

BOARD OF GOVERNORS OF THE FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

H. 11

February 24, 1950

DEPARTMENT STORE SALES, -BY CITIES.
(Based on Dollar Amounts)

| Federal Reserve district and city | Percentage change from corresponding period a year ago | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|---|------------------|---------|---------|--------|---------|---------|
| | Jan.- Nov. | Month of Dec. | Jan. 21 | Jan. 28 | Feb. 4 | Feb. 11 | Feb. 18 |
| UNITED STATES | - 6 | - 2 | 0 | + 2 | - 1 | 0 | + 1 |
| <u>Boston District</u> | - 3 | - 3 | + 6 | + 9 | + 1 | + 1 | - 4 |
| New Haven Conn. | - 5 | 0 | - 2 | - 1 | - 2 | - 14 | - 13 |
| Boston Mass. | 0 | - 3 | + 8 | + 10 | + 4 | + 2 | - 1 |
| Springfield " | - 5 | - 1 | + 6 | + 2 | - 4 | - 9 | - 18 |
| Providence R. I. | - 6 | 0 | + 3 | + 5 | - 8 | - 4 | - 11 |
| <u>New York District</u> | - 7 | - 3 | 0 | 0 | - 3 | r- 5 | - 5 |
| Newark N. J. | - 7 | - 1 | 0 | + 6 | - 8 | - 3 | - 1 |
| Buffalo N. Y. | - 3 | - 4 | - 3 | + 1 | + 13 | - 1 | - 4 |
| New York " | - 8 | - 4 | - 1 | - 2 | - 6 | r- 6 | - 6 |
| Rochester " | - 8 | - 3 | + 6 | + 5 | + 4 | r- 3 | - 5 |
| Syracuse " | - 5 | - 2 | 0 | + 5 | + 7 | - 1 | + 8 |
| <u>Philadelphia District</u> | - 5 | - 2 | - 1 | 0 | 0 | r+ 9 | + 57 |
| Philadelphia Pa. | - 5 | - 4 | - 1 | 0 | - 2 | r+ 9 | 1/ + 81 |
| <u>Cleveland District</u> | - 8 | - 5 | - 5 | 0 | - 1 | - 5 | - 5 |
| Akron Ohio | - 7 | - 9 | - 6 | + 1 | - 8 | - 3 | - 11 |
| Cincinnati " | - 8 | - 3 | - 7 | + 23 | + 6 | - 1 | - 1 |
| Cleveland " | - 6 | - 7 | + 1 | - 2 | + 3 | - 4 | - 8 |
| Columbus " | - 5 | - 1 | - 8 | - 7 | + 2 | - 11 | - 4 |
| Toledo " | - 7 | - 8 | - 7 | - 2 | - 9 | - 2 | - 15 |
| Erie Pa. | - 4 | - 4 | - 3 | + 10 | - 1 | + 2 | - 7 |
| Pittsburgh " | - 8 | - 4 | - 6 | - 6 | - 4 | - 7 | - 3 |
| <u>Richmond District</u> | - 5 | 0 | - 1 | + 4 | - 3 | r- 2 | 0 |
| Washington D. C. | + 1 | + 2 | + 4 | + 8 | 0 | + 1 | + 7 |
| Baltimore Md. | - 7 | - 4 | - 4 | + 4 | - 5 | - 4 | - 8 |
| <u>Atlanta District</u> | - 4 | 0 | + 2 | + 4 | + 11 | r+ 2 | + 8 |
| Birmingham Ala. | - 8 | - 15 | - 3 | - 14 | + 6 | - 8 | 0 |
| Miami Fla. | - 3 | - 4 | + 4 | + 1 | 0 | 0 | + 3 |
| Atlanta Ga. | - 6 | + 5 | + 3 | + 12 | + 19 | + 1 | + 13 |
| New Orleans La. | + 1 | + 3 | + 10 | + 6 | + 14 | r+ 3 | * |
| Nashville Tenn. | - 8 | + 2 | - 6 | + 2 | + 8 | - 3 | + 10 |
| <u>Chicago District</u> | - 7 | - 3 | + 1 | + 2 | - 1 | + 1 | - 4 |
| Chicago Ill. | - 6 | - 3 | + 1 | + 5 | - 3 | 0 | - 5 |
| Indianapolis Ind. | - 4 | - 2 | + 3 | - 7 | - 4 | 0 | - 9 |
| Detroit Mich. | - 8 | - 5 | + 1 | 0 | + 1 | + 2 | - 5 |
| Milwaukee Wis. | - 7 | - 5 | - 2 | - 4 | - 7 | - 4 | - 5 |

DEPARTMENT STORE SALES, BY CITIES (Continued)

| Federal Reserve district and city | Percentage change from corresponding period a year ago | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|---|------------------|---------|---------|--------|---------|---------|
| | Jan. - Nov. | Month of Dec. | Jan. 21 | Jan. 28 | Feb. 4 | Feb. 11 | Feb. 18 |
| <u>St. Louis District</u> | - 6 | - 1 | + 8 | +19 | -10 | r- 3 | + 1 |
| Little Rock Ark. | - 6 | - 4 | + 5 | +31 | -32 | 0 | - 6 |
| Louisville Ky. | - 5 | 0 | - 2 | + 3 | - 1 | - 4 | - 2 |
| St. Louis Mo. | - 7 | 0 | +14 | +28 | -11 | - 6 | + 2 |
| Memphis Tenn. | - 3 | - 2 | - 3 | + 1 | - 2 | 0 | + 2 |
| <u>Minneapolis District</u> | - 6 | + 1 | + 4 | -15 | - 7 | +10 | + 7 |
| Minneapolis Minn. | - 3 | + 4 | +11 | -17 | -10 | + 8 | +11 |
| St. Paul " | - 9 | + 2 | - 4 | -14 | 0 | +16 | + 3 |
| Duluth-Superior "Wis. | - 6 | - 1 | -15 | - 2 | - 2 | + 1 | - 3 |
| <u>Kansas City District</u> | - 7 | + 2 | + 4 | +12 | - 5 | r- 4 | - 3 |
| Denver Colo. | - 7 | 0 | - 6 | - 3 | - 3 | - 2 | - 4 |
| Wichita Kans. | - 2 | - 1 | 0 | +11 | -14 | - 7 | - 4 |
| Kansas City Mo. | -10 | 0 | +16 | + 5 | - 3 | - 9 | - 3 |
| St. Joseph " | - 7 | + 1 | 0 | - 1 | -11 | - 8 | - 4 |
| Oklahoma City Okla. | - 7 | + 2 | +18 | +49 | - 2 | - 1 | - 5 |
| Tulsa " | - 9 | + 9 | + 3 | +24 | -12 | -15 | + 3 |
| <u>Dallas District</u> | - 6 | + 2 | + 3 | +18 | - 2 | + 1 | + 9 |
| Dallas Tex. | - 7 | + 4 | + 3 | +28 | -12 | - 2 | + 1 |
| Fort Worth " | - 3 | + 4 | + 8 | +38 | - 9 | r+ 4 | +10 |
| Houston " | - 9 | - 4 | + 3 | - 1 | + 9 | - 1 | + 6 |
| San Antonio " | - 5 | + 4 | + 3 | +15 | +15 | +14 | +19 |
| <u>San Francisco District</u> | - 7 | 0 | - 5 | - 4 | 0 | + 6 | + 5 |
| Los Angeles Area Calif. | -10 | - 2 | - 1 | - 3 | + 3 | 0 | - 3 |
| Oakland " | - 5 | 0 | - 6 | - 8 | - 2 | + 1 | + 4 |
| San Francisco " | - 2 | + 1 | + 1 | - 4 | + 3 | +10 | + 5 |
| Portland Ore. | -10 | - 1 | -30 | - 8 | -20 | +18 | +17 |
| Salt Lake City Utah | - 5 | + 6 | + 8 | + 1 | +11 | +16 | + 8 |
| Seattle Wash. | - 2 | + 2 | -10 | - 5 | - 2 | + 8 | +15 |

r--Revised.

* Data not available.

1/ The large increase shown for this week reflects in part the fact that sales in the corresponding week last year were reduced because of a curtailment in public transportation service in the City of Philadelphia.