G.17.3

Harch 7, 1952

DEPARTMENT STORE CREDIT - JANUARY 1952

Instalment accounts receivable at department stores declined seasonally in January, bringing the month-end total to a level 5 per cent below that for December. Balances on January 31 were 8 per cent less than those on the same date last year. Collections on instalment accounts were up 6 per cent in January, but the collection ratio remained at 19, the same as in the preceding month and in January a year ago.

Charge accounts receivable were down 20 per cent from the end of December, but were off only 1 per cent from the year-ago level. Collections on charge accounts increased 34 per cent in January, when sharp gains are usual. The collection ratio of 17 was two points above that for December but three points below the ratio for January 1951.

All types of sales showed the usual sharp declines from December to January. Sales during the month were also substantially less than in January a year ago.

Department Store Sales, Accounts Receivable, and Collections

| | | Percentage c | Percentage change from: | | | | |
|---|-------|------------------------------|------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Item | | Dec. 1951 to Jan. 1952 | Jan. 1951 to Jan. 1952 | | | | |
| Sales during month Cash Instalment Charge accounts | | -54 -47 -51 | - 9 -15 -17 | | | | |
| Accounts receivable, end of Instalment accounts Charge accounts | month | - 5 -20 | - 8 - 1 | | | | |
| Collections during month Instalment accounts Charge accounts | | + 6 +3l ₄ | - 4 0 | | | | |

Ratio of collections during month to accounts receivable at beginning of month:

| | Jan. Dec. 1952 1951 | Jan. 1951 |
|---------------------|------------------------|--------------|
| Instalment accounts | 19 19 47 45 | 19 50 |

Digitized for NTBBLIR wres for January 1952 are preliminary and subject to revision. http://fraser.stlouisfed.org/

DEPARTMENT STORES - JANUARY 1952

Cash and Credit Sales, by Federal Reserve Districts

| Federal Reserve District | Indexes: $1947-1949$ average = $100 \frac{1}{4}$ | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|--|
| | , C | ash sale | S | Insta | lment s | ales | Charge-account saler | | | |
| | Jah. 1952m | Dec. 1951 | Jan. 1951 | Jan. 1952p | Dec. | Jan. 1951 | Jan. 1952p | Dec. 1951 | Jan. 1951 | |
| Boston Mew York Shilsdelphia OlsWelend Fishmond Atlanta Chicago St. Louis Winnespolis Kansas City Lase Lam Francisco U. S. Total | 73 73 77 79 77 79 75 75 75 75 75 77 77 | 173 161 179 1655 1656 150 150 175 167 | 3856714617 8856714617 8856715 8856715 | 110 98 83 116 98 111 106 112 205 115 136 133 | 167 237 160 270 195 211 195 185 250 164 251 | 171 111 102 149 103 104 123 131 223 113 149 | 7.8.8.5.2.8.5.8.5.8.5.8.5.8.5.8.5.8.5.8.5 | 178 134 182 183 185 186 166 187 191 190 194 | 109 1104 1112 98 93 111 100 112 112 108 113 | |

Accounts Receivable and Collections, by Federal Reserve Districts

| Federal | Account Indexes | Collection ratios: | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|---|--|--|
| Reserve . | Instalment | | | Charge-account | | | Instalment | | | Charge-account | | |
| District | Jan. 1952p | 1.ec. 1951 | Jan. 1951 | Jan: 1952p | Dec. 1951 | | Jan. 1952p | Dec. | Jan. 1951 | Jan. 1952p | | |
| Bostom New York Thiladelphie Clevelsho Idishmond Atlanta Chiqano Et. Louis Tinneapolis Tinneapolis Cansas City Lellas Can Francisco U. S. Total | 185 183 161 179 183 208 185 175 166 201 204 229 | 196 191 187 187 183 204 193 170 215 234 197 | 2184 1755 183 183 217 213 183 236 221 266 | 133 132 154 127 166 143 137 138 159 159 150 | 167 161 169 169 171 181 176 169 185 181 | 11.1 13.1 14.6 13.8 14.7 14.3 15.0 15.6 14.2 15.2 | 10 16 18 17 27 23 19 23 20 17 20 | 18 16 16 17 16 18 21 21 21 21 21 21 | 17 16 17 18 14 22 19 22 21 14 20 | 46 437 48 38 55 47 46 47 | 43 42 34 37 52 47 51 46 47 45 | 13 17 19 14 17 17 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 |

t--Preliminary.

Digitized for FRASHEST from the Division of Research and Statistics.

http://fraser.stlouisfed.org/

Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis

^{*--}Collections during month as percentage of accounts receivable at beginning of

^{1/} Indexes have been converted from the 1941 base proviously used to a 1947-1949

MATE: -These data are based on reports of about 550 large department stores reporting sales by type of transaction, about 175 having charge accounts and