## HETAII FURIITURE REDORT FOR \&UGUST 1942

Retail fumiture store sales showed somewhat less than the usual marked seasonal rise from July to August. Both instalment and charge-account sales showed smiller increases than are usual. Cash sales were larger in August than in the same month last year and charge-account sales were about the same, while instalment soles were considerably snaller. Instalment scles, however, as show in the table on page 2, still comprise the major portion of totril sales.

Inventories showed little change fram July to August but continued substantially above the year ago level. The retios of inventories at rotail value to current month's sales is almost double that for fugust 1941.

Accounts receivable declined further, as shown in the table on the back of this sheet, and by the end of August were 28 per cent below the corresponding period of 1941. Collections in August were slightly larger than in July, reflecting increased collections on instalment accounts.

Soles and Inventories for August 1942


* Not sho:m sepirately but included in United States totil. Note: Figures show are preliminary and subject to revision.

Total Het Sales by Type of Transaction Por cent cf.total

| Type of transaction | eugust 19̌2 | ugust 1941 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Cash sales | 16 | 8 |
| Instalment sales | 70 | 82 |
| Other credit sales | 14 | 10 |
| Total net sales | 100 | 100 |

Retail Furniture Store Credit, iugust 1942

| Federal Reserve District | Totalaccountsreceivable,end of month |  | Instalment accounts receivablo, end of month |  | Totel collections during month |  | Instelment collections during month |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percontage |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percentage } \\ \text {-chango frem } \end{gathered}$ |  | Percontage change. from |  | percentago charigo from |  |
|  | chan | fron- |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ing. } \\ & 1941 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { July } \\ & 1942 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{lug} \\ & 1841 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { July } \\ & 1942 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & i \mathrm{ug}_{0} \\ & 19 \therefore 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { July } \\ & 1042 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text {-ug. } \\ & 19 t_{s} 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { July } \\ & 1942 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| BosEon | -23 | - | -21 | -2 | $+9$ | +2 | + 7 | +10 |
| Hew York | -25 | -5 | -25 | -6 | $+1$ | 0 | + 3 | $+{ }^{4}$ |
| Philadelphia*. | -25 | -5 | -2is | -5 | 7.6 | +8 | $+10$ | + 7 |
| Cleveland | -29 | -5 | -29 | -7 | $+7$ | $+1$. | $+6$ | $+1$ |
| Richmend | -32 | -5 | -32 | $-L_{s}$ | $+6$ | $\cdots$ | + 6 | $+5$ |
| A.tlanta | -29, | -3 | -28 | -3 | $+11$ | 0 | +11 | $+1$ |
| Chicagc | -29 | -5 | -29 | -5 | $+3$ | +1 | 0 | + 2 |
| St. Louis | -32 | -5 | -31 | -6 | +10 | +1 | +15 | $+2$ |
| Niinneapolis | -29 | -6 | -29 | -6 | $+6$ | +1 | + 8 | + 1 |
| Kansas City | -28 | - 4 | -29 | -5 | +11 | -1 | +1ij | $+3$ |
| Dallas | -30 | -4 | -30. | -5 | +12 | -1 | +20 | $+{ }^{+}$ |
| San Prancisco | -25 | -3 | -23 | . | +12 | +1 | +31 | $+6$ |
| U. S. Total | -28 | -5 | -27 | -5 | $+7$ | +1 | $+9^{\circ}$ | + 4 |
| Number of stcros roperting | 798 | 868 | $4 \times 1$ | $\therefore 8$ | 798 | 868 | $\therefore 1$ | $\therefore 88$ |

Note: , Figuras shown are proliminsry and subject te revision.
"Retail Furniture Report", Sept. 1942 is missing. Not available for filming.

