

AGRICULTURAL FINANCE DATABOOK

First Quarter 1996

<u>Guide to internal tables of contents and notes on sources</u>	<u>Page</u>
Amount and characteristics of farm loans made by commercial banks	3
Selected statistics from the quarterly reports of condition of commercial banks	17
Reserve bank surveys of farm credit conditions and farm land values	28

Division of Research and Statistics
Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System
Washington, D.C. 20551

Nicholas A. Walraven and Douglas Carson

General Information

The Agricultural Finance Databook is a compilation of various data on current developments in agricultural finance. Large portions of the data come from regular surveys conducted by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System or Federal Reserve Banks. Other portions of the data come from the quarterly call report data of commercial banks or from the reports of other financial institutions involved in agricultural lending. When the current issue went to press, data from the survey of terms of bank lending were available for the first quarter of 1996; the other data generally were available through the third quarter.

Parts or all of the Agricultural Finance Databook may be copied and distributed freely. Any redistribution of selected parts of the Databook should be accompanied by the "contents" pages at the beginning of the corresponding section, together with the front cover identifying the Databook and date of issue, and this page providing subscription information. Remaining questions may be addressed to Nicholas Walraven or Doug Carson at the address shown on the cover.

The Databook is furnished on a complimentary basis to college and university teachers, libraries of educational institutions, government departments and agencies, and public libraries. Others should enclose the annual subscription fee of \$5.00.

New subscriptions to the Databook (Statistical Release E.15) may be entered by sending a mailing address (including zip code) to:

Publications Services, Mail Stop 138
Federal Reserve Board
Washington, D.C. 20551

Notice of change of address also should be sent to Publications Services. A copy of the back cover showing the old address should be included.

SECTION I: AMOUNT AND CHARACTERISTICS OF FARM LOANS MADE BY COMMERCIAL BANKS

<u>Estimates from the quarterly survey of non-real-estate farm loans</u>	<u>Page</u>
Summary charts.....	5
Tables:	
I.A Number.....	7
I.B Average size	8
I.C Amount	9
I.D Average maturity.....	10
I.E Average effective interest rate.....	11
I.F Percentage of loans with a floating interest rate.....	12
I.G Distribution of farm loans by effective interest rate.....	13
I.H Detailed survey results.....	14
I.I Regional disaggregation of survey results.....	16

SOURCES OF DATA:

These data on the farm loans of \$1000 or more made by commercial banks are derived from quarterly sample surveys conducted by the Federal Reserve System during the first full week of the second month of each quarter. Data obtained from the sample are expanded into national estimates for all commercial banks, which are shown in the following tables.

Before August 1989, the farm loan survey was part of a broader survey of the terms of lending by a sample of 340 commercial banks. A subset of 250 banks was asked for information regarding agricultural lending, and about 150 typically reported at least one farm loan.

Since August of 1989, the data have been drawn from a redesigned sample of 250 banks that is no longer part of the broader survey. In the redesigned sample, banks are stratified according to their volume of farm lending; previously, they had been stratified according to the volume of business loans. As before, however, the sample data are being expanded into national estimates for all commercial banks. In the February 1996 survey, 196 banks reported at least one farm loan, and the number of sample loans totaled 3944.

In both the previous survey and the new one, the national estimates exhibit variability due to sampling error. The estimates are sensitive to the occasional appearance of very large loans in the sample. In addition, the breakdown of national estimates into those for large banks and small banks may have been affected somewhat by the new sampling procedures that were implemented in August 1989; apparent shifts in the data as of that date should be treated with caution.

More detailed results from each quarterly survey previously were published in Statistical Release E.2A, "Survey of Terms of Bank Lending to Farmers". Beginning in February, 1992, the more detailed results are included at the end of this section of the Databook, and the E2.A has been discontinued. Starting with the August 1986 survey, farm loans secured by real estate are included in the data shown in the table of detailed results, whereas such loans are excluded from the tabulations in Tables I.A through I.G and the summary charts.

Beginning in November 1991, several survey statistics are estimated for each of ten farm production regions as defined by the USDA. These statistics, which are presented in table I.I, should be treated with some caution. Although an effort was made to choose a good regional mix of banks for the panel, the panel has never been stratified by region. Consequently, the survey results are less precise for each region than for the totals for the nation.

RECENT DEVELOPMENTS:

In the February 1996 survey, the estimated number of non-real-estate farm loans made by banks remained near the bottom end of the range seen since over the past decade. However, the average size of loans rose remained high as well, offsetting the low number of loans to leave the estimated volume of loans during the survey week about in line with the average of recent years.

In the February survey, the average effective rate of interest on non-real-estate farm loans fell 70 basis points to 8.5 percent, roughly a percentage point than the rate that prevailed during 1995. Rates on loans for livestock remained rather high, perhaps reflecting some concerns about profits for livestock enterprises. In addition, rates on loans for farm machinery and equipment remained rather high, perhaps owing to strong demand for farm machinery, but also likely reflecting the longer average maturity that is typical for these loans. Rates on loans for operating expenses and for other purposes dropped about 75 basis points, on average, in the in the February survey. The percentage of loans that were made with a rate of interest that floats fell to 70.4 percent, towards the lower end of the range for the past couple of years.

Table I.G offers a historical perspective on changes in the dispersion of rates of interest for non-real-estate loans, which suggests that the dispersion of rates in the February survey was lower than the survey one quarter earlier. Since the November 1995 survey, the average rate of interest charged for farm loans fell in all USDA farm production regions except for the Delta States and the Southern Plains. The estimated standard errors of the weighted average rate of interest have been particularly high in the past two quarters in the Corn Belt, indicating a much wider range than usual in the rates offered to farmers there.

Chart 1

Results from the Survey of Terms of Bank Lending to Farmers







Chart 2

Results from the Survey of Terms of Bank Lending to Farmers



ESTIMATES FROM THE QUARTERLY SAMPLE SURVEY OF BANK NON-REAL-ESTATE LOANS TO FARMERS
TABLE I.A

NUMBER OF LOANS MADE (MILLIONS)

	BY PURPOSE OF LOAN							BY SIZE OF LOAN (\$1,000s)				BY SIZE OF BANK	
	ALL LOANS	FEEDER LIVE-STOCK	OTHER LIVESTOCK	OTHER CURRENT OPERATING EXPENSES	FARM MACHINERY AND EQUIPMENT	OTHER	1 to 9	10 to 24	25 to 99	100 and over	LARGE	OTHER	
ANNUAL NUMBER OF LOANS MADE													
1984.....	3.44	0.34	0.29	2.06	0.35	0.35	2.42	0.53	0.40	0.09	0.18	3.26	
1985.....	2.96	0.34	0.23	1.77	0.36	0.27	2.06	0.51	0.30	0.09	0.18	2.78	
1986.....	2.55	0.30	0.17	1.66	0.17	0.24	1.71	0.46	0.29	0.08	0.20	2.34	
1987.....	2.38	0.39	0.13	1.54	0.14	0.19	1.57	0.46	0.27	0.08	0.20	2.18	
1988.....	2.21	0.29	0.11	1.45	0.14	0.21	1.42	0.43	0.28	0.07	0.23	1.99	
1989.....	2.60	0.30	0.20	1.73	0.16	0.20	1.67	0.52	0.31	0.09	0.36	2.23	
1990.....	2.63	0.32	0.24	1.69	0.19	0.19	1.70	0.49	0.35	0.09	0.44	2.20	
1991.....	2.60	0.35	0.23	1.64	0.17	0.21	1.66	0.51	0.32	0.10	0.50	2.10	
1992.....	2.69	0.35	0.25	1.67	0.18	0.24	1.67	0.54	0.37	0.11	0.51	2.18	
1993.....	2.70	0.36	0.27	1.62	0.18	0.27	1.65	0.56	0.37	0.12	0.55	2.15	
1994.....	2.53	0.28	0.23	1.56	0.18	0.27	1.55	0.51	0.35	0.12	0.54	1.98	
1995.....	2.49	0.26	0.19	1.48	0.17	0.39	1.45	0.57	0.36	0.12	0.66	1.83	

NUMBER OF LOANS MADE DURING FIRST FULL WEEK OF SECOND MONTH OF QUARTER, ANNUAL RATE

1994 Q1...	2.44	0.28	0.33	1.40	0.21	0.22	1.44	0.50	0.38	0.12	0.48	1.96
Q2...	3.18	0.30	0.25	2.06	0.25	0.32	1.97	0.65	0.42	0.15	0.66	2.52
Q3...	2.66	0.21	0.16	1.79	0.16	0.34	1.72	0.52	0.33	0.09	0.62	2.04
Q4...	1.83	0.32	0.18	0.98	0.12	0.22	1.08	0.36	0.28	0.10	0.41	1.42
1995 Q1...	2.35	0.29	0.23	1.33	0.17	0.33	1.31	0.56	0.35	0.12	0.54	1.81
Q2...	2.96	0.23	0.22	1.89	0.23	0.39	1.80	0.63	0.40	0.14	0.74	2.22
Q3...	2.61	0.22	0.13	1.68	0.15	0.44	1.55	0.60	0.37	0.10	0.73	1.89
Q4...	2.04	0.29	0.20	1.01	0.15	0.38	1.13	0.47	0.31	0.13	0.63	1.41
1996 Q1...	1.95	0.15	0.22	1.14	0.15	0.29	1.10	0.41	0.31	0.13	0.45	1.50

ESTIMATES FROM THE QUARTERLY SAMPLE SURVEY OF BANK NON-REAL-ESTATE LOANS TO FARMERS
TABLE I.B

AVERAGE SIZE OF LOANS MADE (THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

	BY PURPOSE OF LOAN							BY SIZE OF LOAN (\$1,000s)				BY SIZE OF BANK	
	ALL LOANS	FEEDER LIVE-STOCK	OTHER LIVESTOCK	OTHER	FARM	OTHER	1 to 9	10 to 24	25 to 99	100 and over	LARGE	OTHER	
				CURRENT OPERATING EXPENSES	MACHINERY AND EQUIPMENT								
ANNUAL AVERAGE SIZE OF LOANS MADE													
1984.....	17.7	31.8	21.9	12.9	12.5	34.8	3.7	14.7	43.8	291.2	88.1	13.8	
1985.....	17.6	25.7	22.5	12.8	12.4	42.1	3.5	14.4	45.5	254.7	82.0	13.4	
1986.....	19.0	35.0	25.8	14.0	13.6	32.9	3.5	14.9	44.9	280.4	62.0	15.3	
1987.....	20.8	33.8	26.3	14.6	16.1	44.6	3.6	14.7	46.5	320.4	85.5	14.9	
1988.....	21.8	34.1	40.6	16.7	13.9	34.7	3.7	14.8	45.2	320.4	70.0	16.3	
1989.....	19.9	42.7	29.5	14.1	12.1	32.2	3.6	14.7	45.9	272.1	53.7	14.4	
1990.....	28.4	69.7	22.7	15.7	11.9	94.3	3.6	14.8	46.1	487.7	100.7	13.9	
1991.....	31.9	61.0	25.2	15.6	15.1	129.3	3.6	14.9	46.6	539.9	107.0	13.9	
1992.....	31.2	68.2	26.9	14.7	15.9	108.7	3.7	14.8	45.9	468.2	97.0	15.8	
1993.....	34.3	79.7	23.1	15.2	13.9	112.0	3.7	14.9	46.1	490.3	106.0	15.8	
1994.....	33.9	60.3	27.6	16.3	17.5	123.6	3.7	14.6	47.0	480.7	101.3	15.4	
1995.....	33.8	49.7	26.7	18.5	15.6	93.6	3.7	14.7	44.9	451.3	84.0	15.7	
AVERAGE SIZE OF LOANS MADE DURING FIRST FULL WEEK OF SECOND MONTH OF QUARTER, ANNUAL RATE													
1994 Q1...	34.9	72.5	27.3	19.9	21.5	106.5	3.6	14.7	48.5	445.0	102.8	18.1	
Q2...	28.9	57.0	27.9	15.7	19.0	97.5	3.9	14.4	46.0	377.9	77.6	16.1	
Q3...	31.3	72.3	24.0	14.2	12.7	108.0	3.5	14.4	46.0	588.4	98.2	11.0	
Q4...	45.0	44.9	30.7	16.3	14.0	202.0	3.9	14.9	47.5	572.2	142.4	16.9	
1995 Q1...	34.8	65.2	24.6	20.1	15.4	83.8	3.6	14.8	46.7	431.3	90.8	18.1	
Q2...	33.0	62.7	28.1	17.4	18.7	101.7	3.8	14.5	43.7	466.5	82.8	16.4	
Q3...	27.7	33.9	26.4	14.6	14.4	79.5	3.6	14.5	44.5	437.5	66.8	12.6	
Q4...	41.7	35.7	28.0	24.6	12.4	110.0	3.9	15.2	45.1	464.0	99.8	15.9	
1996 Q1...	43.4	59.7	23.2	27.1	18.4	127.0	3.6	15.1	45.0	474.1	122.8	19.6	

ESTIMATES FROM THE QUARTERLY SAMPLE SURVEY OF BANK NON-REAL-ESTATE LOANS TO FARMERS
TABLE I.C

AMOUNT OF LOANS MADE (BILLIONS OF DOLLARS)

	BY PURPOSE OF LOAN						BY SIZE OF LOAN (\$1,000s)				BY SIZE OF BANK	
	ALL LOANS	FEEDER LIVE-STOCK	OTHER LIVESTOCK	OTHER CURRENT OPERATING EXPENSES	FARM MACHINERY AND EQUIPMENT	OTHER	1	10	25	100	LARGE	OTHER
							to 9	to 24	to 99	and over		
ANNUAL AMOUNT OF LOANS MADE												
1984.....	60.8	10.7	6.5	26.5	4.4	12.2	8.9	7.8	17.6	26.5	15.8	45.0
1985.....	52.1	8.6	5.2	22.6	4.4	11.3	7.2	7.4	13.5	24.0	14.9	37.3
1986.....	48.5	10.4	4.5	23.2	2.4	8.0	6.0	6.9	13.2	22.3	12.6	35.9
1987.....	49.6	13.2	3.4	22.5	2.3	8.3	5.7	6.8	12.6	24.5	17.1	32.5
1988.....	48.2	10.0	4.6	24.3	1.9	7.4	5.2	6.4	12.9	23.7	15.9	32.3
1989.....	51.6	12.9	6.0	24.3	2.0	6.4	6.1	7.7	14.4	23.4	19.6	32.0
1990.....	74.7	22.0	5.5	26.6	2.3	18.3	6.1	7.3	15.9	45.3	44.2	30.5
1991.....	82.8	21.4	5.8	25.5	2.5	27.6	6.1	7.6	15.1	54.0	53.7	29.1
1992.....	83.7	23.6	6.7	24.6	2.9	26.0	6.2	8.0	16.8	52.8	49.4	34.3
1993.....	92.6	28.7	6.2	24.7	2.5	30.6	6.1	8.3	17.1	61.0	58.8	33.8
1994.....	85.7	16.8	6.4	25.4	3.2	33.9	5.8	7.4	16.5	56.0	55.1	30.6
1995.....	84.1	12.7	5.2	27.3	2.7	36.1	5.4	8.3	16.0	54.4	55.3	28.8

AMOUNT OF LOANS MADE DURING FIRST FULL WEEK OF SECOND MONTH OF QUARTER, ANNUAL RATE

1994 Q1...	85.27	20.2	9.1	27.7	4.5	23.7	5.2	7.4	18.3	54.3	49.9	35.4
Q2...	91.99	17.2	7.1	32.3	4.7	30.7	7.7	9.3	19.2	55.7	51.5	40.5
Q3...	83.14	15.4	3.8	25.5	2.0	36.5	6.0	7.5	15.0	54.6	60.6	22.5
Q4...	82.44	14.5	5.6	16.0	1.7	44.6	4.2	5.4	13.3	59.5	58.4	24.1
1995 Q1...	81.59	18.9	5.6	26.8	2.6	27.8	4.8	8.4	16.2	52.2	48.8	32.8
Q2...	97.62	14.4	6.3	33.0	4.2	39.7	6.9	9.2	17.3	64.3	61.3	36.4
Q3...	72.31	7.5	3.4	24.5	2.1	34.9	5.5	8.7	16.3	41.7	48.6	23.7
Q4...	84.85	10.2	5.6	24.9	1.9	42.2	4.4	7.1	14.1	59.2	62.5	22.4
1996 Q1...	84.76	9.1	5.1	31.0	2.7	36.9	4.0	6.2	14.1	60.5	55.3	29.5

ESTIMATES FROM THE QUARTERLY SAMPLE SURVEY OF BANK NON-REAL-ESTATE LOANS TO FARMERS
TABLE I.D

AVERAGE MATURITY OF LOANS MADE (MONTHS)

	BY PURPOSE OF LOAN							BY SIZE OF LOAN (\$1,000s)				BY SIZE OF BANK	
	ALL LOANS	FEEDER LIVE-STOCK	OTHER LIVESTOCK	OTHER	FARM	OTHER	1 to 9	10 to 24	25 to 99	100 and over	LARGE	OTHER	
				CURRENT OPERATING EXPENSES	MACHINERY AND EQUIPMENT								
ANNUAL AVERAGE MATURITY													
1984.....	7.7	5.0	6.6	7.8	12.6	8.1	7.0	7.5	7.7	8.0	7.0	7.9	
1985.....	8.0	6.1	7.8	7.3	13.4	8.8	6.7	7.7	9.1	7.9	6.9	8.4	
1986.....	8.0	5.8	6.3	7.6	21.0	8.8	6.8	8.0	9.8	7.1	5.5	8.8	
1987.....	8.4	5.5	7.7	7.6	22.8	12.1	7.5	8.1	9.3	8.3	5.9	9.3	
1988.....	8.7	6.4	4.7	8.5	19.8	10.9	7.1	9.2	10.2	7.7	8.1	8.8	
1989.....	8.1	6.8	7.4	7.2	18.7	11.8	7.4	8.3	9.3	7.1	7.8	8.2	
1990.....	7.5	6.0	8.8	7.5	21.9	6.4	7.4	9.2	11.9	4.9	4.7	10.2	
1991.....	7.3	6.7	8.5	7.2	24.6	5.3	7.7	8.3	10.6	5.8	5.2	9.6	
1992.....	8.9	6.1	9.5	8.6	20.1	9.4	8.3	9.7	11.1	7.2	6.4	10.1	
1993.....	9.2	7.3	9.6	8.3	30.4	9.4	8.5	10.0	11.1	7.4	6.4	10.4	
1994.....	10.3	7.6	9.8	8.6	36.6	9.4	8.6	11.6	13.5	7.2	5.8	12.6	
1995.....	9.9	8.7	9.9	8.5	26.5	10.0	9.0	10.8	12.1	8.2	7.3	11.4	

MATURITY OF LOANS MADE DURING FIRST FULL WEEK OF SECOND MONTH OF QUARTER, ANNUAL RATE

1994 Q1...	10.1	6.9	8.3	8.9	32.0	6.6	8.6	12.7	13.9	6.6	4.5	12.8
Q2...	13.0	8.4	9.4	10.5	45.7	10.8	9.5	13.3	14.2	10.7	7.0	15.0
Q3...	9.3	9.4	16.2	6.8	32.3	7.9	8.1	9.2	13.2	6.8	5.9	11.5
Q4...	8.3	5.7	8.2	7.3	28.2	11.3	7.6	10.5	12.3	4.8	5.8	9.3
1995 Q1...	10.3	8.0	9.8	10.5	28.4	7.0	9.3	11.2	13.9	8.1	5.6	12.3
Q2...	10.6	7.1	9.2	9.5	24.7	12.7	10.2	12.1	13.6	8.4	6.9	12.6
Q3...	9.0	7.9	10.4	6.8	30.4	10.9	8.0	9.8	9.4	7.6	6.7	10.1
Q4...	9.4	13.0	10.6	6.8	23.9	8.6	8.2	10.0	11.4	8.7	9.6	9.2
1996 Q1...	11.2	8.3	15.0	8.7	26.3	17.4	8.9	13.0	12.7	10.1	8.7	12.8

ESTIMATES FROM THE QUARTERLY SAMPLE SURVEY OF BANK NON-REAL-ESTATE LOANS TO FARMERS
TABLE I.E

AVERAGE EFFECTIVE INTEREST RATE ON LOANS MADE

	BY PURPOSE OF LOAN							BY SIZE OF LOAN (\$1,000s)				BY SIZE OF BANK	
	ALL LOANS	FEEDER LIVE-STOCK	OTHER LIVESTOCK	OTHER	FARM	OTHER	1 to 9	10 to 24	25 to 99	100 and over	LARGE	OTHER	
				CURRENT OPERATING EXPENSES	MACHINERY AND EQUIPMENT								
ANNUAL AVERAGE INTEREST RATE													
1984.....	14.1	13.7	14.3	14.2	14.6	14.0	14.6	14.3	14.3	13.7	13.1	14.4	
1985.....	12.8	12.5	12.7	13.0	13.7	12.1	13.7	13.2	13.2	12.1	11.2	13.4	
1986.....	11.5	11.1	11.9	11.5	12.2	11.2	12.4	12.0	11.8	10.8	9.6	12.1	
1987.....	10.6	10.7	10.2	10.8	11.5	9.5	11.6	11.3	11.1	9.9	9.2	11.3	
1988.....	11.2	10.9	11.9	11.2	11.7	10.7	11.7	11.6	11.4	10.8	10.2	11.6	
1989.....	12.5	12.3	12.4	12.6	12.8	12.3	12.8	12.7	12.7	12.2	12.1	12.7	
1990.....	11.4	11.5	12.0	11.7	12.3	10.7	12.5	12.4	12.1	10.9	10.9	12.3	
1991.....	9.8	10.2	11.0	10.4	11.3	8.6	11.5	11.2	10.7	9.2	9.0	11.3	
1992.....	7.8	8.2	8.6	8.8	9.3	6.3	9.7	9.3	8.8	7.1	6.8	9.4	
1993.....	7.5	8.0	8.1	8.1	8.7	6.2	9.0	8.7	8.3	6.9	6.7	8.7	
1994.....	7.8	8.3	8.0	8.4	8.6	7.0	9.1	8.8	8.6	7.3	7.2	8.8	
1995.....	9.5	10.1	10.2	10.0	10.3	8.8	10.6	10.5	10.3	9.0	9.0	10.4	
AVERAGE RATE ON LOANS MADE DURING FIRST FULL WEEK OF SECOND MONTH OF QUARTER, ANNUAL RATE													
1994 Q1...	7.3	7.7	7.3	7.9	8.2	6.1	8.8	8.4	8.1	6.7	6.6	8.3	
Q2...	7.8	8.1	8.1	8.5	8.6	6.6	8.9	8.7	8.5	7.2	7.0	8.7	
Q3...	7.9	8.7	8.4	8.4	9.0	7.0	9.2	9.0	8.7	7.3	7.4	9.2	
Q4...	8.3	8.8	8.7	8.7	9.5	7.8	9.6	9.4	9.1	7.9	7.8	9.5	
1995 Q1...	10.0	10.9	9.9	10.3	10.4	9.0	10.6	10.3	10.2	9.8	9.7	10.4	
Q2...	9.4	9.6	10.2	9.9	10.2	8.7	10.6	10.6	10.4	8.8	8.9	10.3	
Q3...	9.5	9.8	9.8	10.2	10.4	8.8	10.6	10.6	10.2	8.8	9.0	10.5	
Q4...	9.2	9.7	10.6	9.4	10.0	8.8	10.6	10.5	10.2	8.8	8.8	10.6	
1996 Q1...	8.5	9.5	9.9	8.8	9.8	7.8	10.3	10.1	9.8	7.9	7.7	10.0	

PERCENTAGE OF LOANS MADE WITH A FLOATING INTEREST RATE

	BY PURPOSE OF LOAN						BY SIZE OF LOAN (\$1,000s)				BY SIZE OF BANK	
	ALL LOANS	FEEDER LIVE-STOCK	OTHER LIVESTOCK	OTHER CURRENT OPERATING EXPENSES	FARM MACHINERY AND EQUIPMENT	OTHER	1 to 9	10 to 24	25 to 99	100 and over	LARGE	OTHER
ANNUAL PERCENTAGE OF LOANS MADE												
1984.....	38.9	41.2	32.3	41.7	24.3	39.5	23.8	31.3	29.0	52.7	71.1	27.6
1985.....	45.3	61.4	44.9	43.0	19.6	47.3	27.6	31.5	42.0	56.6	77.1	32.6
1986.....	53.4	60.5	34.8	57.2	30.9	50.6	40.6	41.8	48.2	63.7	71.9	47.0
1987.....	59.5	51.6	69.6	62.1	55.5	62.1	48.5	45.6	54.4	68.5	77.6	49.9
1988.....	61.4	65.3	39.5	63.8	54.9	63.2	49.3	51.5	60.8	67.0	79.1	52.6
1989.....	61.0	71.4	40.0	59.7	32.9	73.6	50.4	49.6	58.5	69.1	83.6	47.2
1990.....	65.2	76.8	61.6	68.3	40.0	51.2	53.6	59.2	66.0	67.5	69.4	59.3
1991.....	65.1	81.5	69.3	68.8	40.6	50.3	52.0	59.0	64.0	67.8	70.0	56.1
1992.....	71.7	78.5	63.5	66.3	47.8	75.3	57.3	59.1	61.2	78.6	82.9	55.5
1993.....	76.7	84.6	70.0	70.3	48.2	78.1	60.1	61.0	64.5	83.9	86.9	58.9
1994.....	75.1	82.9	74.3	72.3	51.6	75.7	58.6	59.8	70.4	80.2	83.7	59.7
1995.....	73.8	83.9	75.9	73.0	53.1	72.2	61.7	63.9	73.6	76.7	79.9	62.3
AVERAGE PERCENTAGE DURING FIRST FULL WEEK OF SECOND MONTH OF QUARTER												
1994 Q1...	77.2	89.1	78.1	76.6	66.9	69.6	56.6	59.3	72.9	83.1	85.8	65.3
Q2...	71.7	78.3	74.4	73.9	40.4	70.0	59.6	56.8	68.7	77.0	81.8	58.9
Q3...	78.6	91.3	79.8	65.6	51.1	83.6	58.9	62.4	70.2	85.3	86.8	56.4
Q4...	73.1	70.7	64.3	72.3	43.3	76.5	58.9	62.2	69.8	75.9	80.3	55.7
1995 Q1...	79.0	88.3	76.1	84.3	55.7	70.3	63.6	61.4	79.9	82.9	83.1	72.9
Q2...	67.3	82.8	79.5	65.7	59.7	62.0	60.9	63.2	66.1	69.0	73.7	56.7
Q3...	73.4	76.3	51.1	65.3	50.2	82.0	61.7	65.1	72.1	77.2	83.3	53.2
Q4...	76.7	82.8	86.5	78.0	37.9	75.0	60.6	66.3	77.0	79.1	80.8	65.5
1996 Q1...	70.4	86.4	56.6	74.6	40.0	67.0	58.7	61.6	67.1	72.8	74.1	63.3

Table I.G

PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF NON-REAL-ESTATE FARM LOANS MADE BY BANKS,¹
BY EFFECTIVE INTEREST RATE

Effective interest rate (percent)	February												Memo: Percentage Distribution of Number of Loans, Nov 95 Feb 96	
	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	Nov 95	Feb 96
All loans.....	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Under 5.0.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8	-	-	-	-
5.0 to 5.9.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	4	4	2	4	*	*
6.0 to 6.9.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	16	11	30	1	23	*	1
7.0 to 7.9.....	-	-	19	-	-	-	4	10	20	18	1	5	1	2
8.0 to 8.9.....	-	8	16	3	-	-	3	17	17	22	9	19	6	13
9.0 to 9.9.....	1	5	13	18	1	1	31	18	26	15	7	26	27	33
10.0 to 10.9...	8	7	11	34	4	8	19	22	15	2	27	18	42	37
11.0 to 11.9...	10	21	26	30	30	34	22	10	7	1	27	4	19	11
12.0 to 12.9...	16	23	12	10	46	38	14	5	1	-	15	1	4	2
13.0 to 13.9...	39	31	2	3	15	14	4	-	-	-	11	*	1	*
14.0 to 14.9...	15	4	-	1	3	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	*	*
15.0 to 15.9...	10	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	*	-
16.0 to 16.9...	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*	-
17.0 to 17.9...	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
18.0 to 18.9...	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
19.0 to 19.9...	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
20.0 to 21.9...	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
21.0 to 21.9...	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
22.0 to 22.9...	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
23.0 to 23.9...	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
24.0 to 24.9...	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
25.0 and over..	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

1. Percentage distribution of the estimated total dollar amount of non-real-estate farm loans of \$1,000 or more made by insured commercial banks during the week covered by the survey, which is the first full business week of the month specified.

Data are estimates from the Federal Reserve survey of terms of bank lending to farmers. Percentages may not add to 100 because of rounding.

SURVEY OF TERMS OF BANK LENDING MADE DURING FEBRUARY 5-9, 1996
Loans to farmers

	Size class of loans (thousands)							
	all sizes	\$1-9	\$10-24	\$25-49	\$50-99	\$100-249	\$250 and over	
ALL BANKS								
1	Amount of loans (thousands)	1,728,651	79,640	125,686	138,775	166,052	262,914	955,584
2	Number of loans	39,642	22,040	8,293	4,128	2,489	1,752	941
3	Weighted average maturity (months) ¹	15.0	9.1	13.8	16.6	28.2	14.9	11.0
4	Weighted average interest rate (percent) ²	8.58	10.28	10.07	9.91	9.65	9.57	7.60
5	Standard error ³	0.21	0.05	0.10	0.04	0.14	0.20	0.33
6	Interquartile range ⁴	6.94 - 9.92	9.75 - 10.78	9.50 - 10.52	9.38 - 10.47	9.01 - 10.25	8.68 - 10.16	6.17 - 8.77
7	By purpose of loan							
8	Feeder livestock	9.45	10.34	9.99	10.23	10.11	9.53	9.12
9	Other livestock	9.93	10.42	10.25	9.61	10.74	9.77	8.84
10	Other current operating expenses	8.83	10.28	10.20	10.07	9.64	9.59	7.21
11	Farm machinery and equipment	9.75	10.29	9.81	9.48	9.25	9.77	-
12	Farm real estate	9.37	9.65	9.94	8.94	9.08	9.21	9.75
13	Other	7.86	10.07	9.81	9.81	9.37	9.40	7.44
14	Percentage of the amount of loans							
15	With floating rates	70.0	58.5	61.6	62.9	67.7	76.7	71.7
16	Made under commitment	71.4	59.0	62.5	60.0	54.8	64.6	80.0
17	By purpose of loan							
18	Feeder livestock	10.2	8.0	8.7	9.3	7.0	14.2	10.1
19	Other livestock	5.7	10.6	12.3	11.2	8.1	14.4	0.8
20	Other current operating expenses	35.6	62.2	47.7	47.9	51.0	43.5	25.2
21	Farm machinery and equipment	3.1	8.4	11.8	8.4	2.0	6.2	-
22	Farm real estate	2.6	0.7	1.6	1.4	8.1	4.9	1.5
23	Other	42.8	10.0	17.7	21.9	23.7	16.6	62.4
LARGE FARM LENDERS⁵								
24	Amount of loans (thousands)	1,054,436	17,470	32,808	38,808	56,332	86,246	822,773
25	Number of loans	10,207	4,799	2,135	1,174	807	582	709
26	Weighted average maturity (months) ¹	10.7	8.5	11.0	13.1	12.5	22.3	7.5
27	Weighted average interest rate (percent) ²	7.79	10.03	9.75	9.56	9.29	8.95	7.36
28	Standard error ³	0.30	0.11	0.11	0.12	0.16	0.18	0.31
29	Interquartile range ⁴	6.53 - 9.10	9.40 - 10.67	9.04 - 10.28	8.84 - 10.20	8.57 - 9.78	8.34 - 9.72	6.10 - 8.57
30	By purpose of loan							
31	Feeder livestock	9.25	10.09	9.59	9.43	9.70	8.63	9.30
32	Other livestock	9.01	9.96	9.63	9.38	9.01	8.65	8.84
33	Other current operating expenses	7.82	10.09	9.94	9.71	9.39	8.89	7.14
34	Farm machinery and equipment	9.49	10.36	9.48	10.24	9.53	9.20	-
35	Farm real estate	9.59	9.62	10.02	9.35	8.89	9.26	9.75
36	Other	7.52	9.93	9.62	9.43	9.19	9.13	7.24
37	Percentage of the amount of loans							
38	With floating rates	70.9	88.2	83.9	88.4	86.0	81.6	67.1
39	Made under commitment	79.9	77.5	79.9	78.3	70.8	78.8	80.7
40	By purpose of loan							
41	Feeder livestock	5.1	3.8	7.1	7.5	4.3	8.8	4.6
42	Other livestock	2.0	6.7	6.6	5.4	4.3	6.3	1.0
43	Other current operating expenses	32.1	53.7	40.7	40.8	40.9	47.7	28.6
44	Farm machinery and equipment	0.8	2.4	5.5	2.2	2.6	4.2	-
45	Farm real estate	2.2	1.4	2.4	2.2	1.5	7.0	1.7
46	Other	57.9	32.1	37.7	42.0	46.4	26.0	64.1
OTHER BANKS⁵								
47	Amount of loans (thousands)	674,214	62,170	92,877	99,967	109,720	176,669	132,811
48	Number of loans	29,434	17,241	6,158	2,954	1,681	1,169	231
49	Weighted average maturity (months) ¹	17.7	9.2	14.4	17.4	33.4	12.4	17.9
50	Weighted average interest rate (percent) ²	9.82	10.34	10.18	10.05	9.83	9.87	9.07
51	Standard error ³	0.16	0.08	0.11	0.07	0.18	0.27	0.28
52	Interquartile range ⁴	9.00 - 10.38	9.92 - 10.79	9.65 - 10.56	9.69 - 10.47	9.20 - 10.26	9.26 - 10.40	8.57 - 9.65
53	By purpose of loan							
54	Feeder livestock	9.54	10.37	10.09	10.46	10.22	9.76	9.00
55	Other livestock	10.18	10.49	10.35	9.64	11.12	9.95	-
56	Other current operating expenses	10.07	10.33	10.27	10.18	9.73	9.99	10.28
57	Farm machinery and equipment	9.80	10.29	9.85	9.42	9.03	9.94	-
58	Farm real estate	9.15	9.67	9.90	8.63	9.09	9.18	-
59	Other	9.45	10.40	10.05	10.24	9.72	9.68	9.04
60	Percentage of the amount of loans							
61	With floating rates	68.5	50.1	53.7	53.0	58.3	74.2	100.0
62	Made under commitment	58.1	53.8	56.4	52.8	46.6	57.6	75.6
63	By purpose of loan							
64	Feeder livestock	18.1	9.2	9.3	10.0	8.4	16.9	44.1
65	Other livestock	11.5	11.7	14.3	13.5	10.1	18.4	-
66	Other current operating expenses	41.2	64.6	50.2	50.6	56.1	41.5	4.1
67	Farm machinery and equipment	6.6	10.2	14.1	10.8	1.7	7.2	-
68	Farm real estate	3.3	0.5	1.4	1.1	11.6	3.9	-
69	Other	19.2	3.8	10.7	14.0	12.0	12.1	51.8

The Survey of Terms of Bank Lending to Farmers collects data on gross loan extensions made during the first full business week in the mid-month of each quarter by a sample of 250 banks of all sizes. The sample data are blown up to estimate the lending terms at all insured agricultural banks during that week. The estimated terms of bank lending are not intended for use in collecting the terms of loans extended over the entire quarter or residing in the portfolios of those banks. Loans of less than \$1,000 are excluded from the survey. Beginning with the August 1986 survey, loans secured by farm real estate are included in the survey, and one purpose of a loan may be "purchase or improve farm real estate". In previous surveys, the purpose of such loans are reported as "other".

1. Average maturities are weighted by loan size and exclude demand loans.
2. Effective (compounded) annual interest rates are calculated from the stated rate and other terms of the loans and weighted by loan size.
3. The chances are about two out of three that the average rate shown would differ by less than this amount from the average rate that would be found by a complete survey of lending at all banks.
4. The interquartile range shows the interest rate range that encompasses the middle 50 percent of the total dollar amount of loans made.
5. Among banks reporting loans to farmers, most "large banks" (survey strata 1 and 2) had over \$20 million in farm loans, most "other banks" (survey strata 3 to 5) had farm loans below \$20 million.

Table I.1
Survey of Terms of Bank Lending to Farmers. (selected quarters)
by USDA Farm Production Region

	USDA Region									
	NE	LS	CB	NP	AP	SE	DL	SP	MN	PA
Proportion of farm loans outstanding, Feb. 1996 survey	2.8	10.3	25.1	17.2	6.2	5.1	6.1	9.6	7.3	10.3
Sample Coverage, Feb. 1996 survey (%)	14.5	5.2	8.0	14.7	11.7	8.5	6.9	9.3	21.4	69.4
Avg. Loan Size, Feb. 1996 survey (\$1000)	75.2	19.7	87.5	33.7	271.3	39.6	17.3	22.5	127.0	122.3
Survey date:	Weighted Average Interest Rate During Sample Week									
Nov. 1991	9.8 (.23)	10.6 (.27)	10.2 (.38)	9.3 (.71)	7.1 (1.03)	9.4 (.18)	9.2 (.33)	10.0 (.52)	9.5 (.58)	8.3 (.36)
Feb. 1992	8.4 (.15)	10.2 (.16)	9.3 (.21)	8.8 (.44)	6.3 (1.06)	8.0 (.33)	8.2 (.67)	8.7 (.57)	8.2 (.45)	6.8 (.21)
May 1992	8.6 (.20)	9.8 (.19)	9.1 (.13)	8.4 (.55)	6.3 (1.29)	8.0 (.35)	8.3 (.53)	9.0 (.81)	7.9 (.43)	7.3 (.19)
Aug. 1992	7.7 (.15)	9.3 (.21)	9.1 (.10)	8.6 (.50)	5.6 (1.36)	7.0 (.17)	8.1 (.30)	8.3 (.94)	7.5 (.32)	7.1 (.27)
Nov. 1992	7.9 (.28)	9.2 (.18)	8.3 (.25)	7.9 (.56)	5.5 (1.38)	7.3 (.39)	8.4 (.13)	8.2 (.50)	7.6 (.47)	6.9 (.33)
Feb. 1993	7.8 (.27)	9.0 (.28)	8.0 (.27)	8.0 (.47)	5.6 (.90)	8.3 (.22)	7.8 (.41)	7.8 (.61)	7.5 (.41)	6.5 (.44)
May 1993	8.1 (.24)	8.7 (.21)	8.1 (.27)	7.9 (.32)	5.2 (.57)	8.4 (.29)	7.8 (.43)	8.3 (.48)	7.7 (.52)	6.8 (.26)
Aug. 1993	8.2 (.35)	7.5 (.69)	8.2 (.18)	8.0 (.33)	5.7 (.94)	7.3 (.37)	7.0 (.74)	7.7 (.62)	7.1 (.34)	7.2 (.39)
Nov. 1993	8.3 (.28)	8.1 (.19)	7.8 (.22)	7.4 (.50)	5.3 (1.73)	6.3 (.07)	8.2 (.12)	7.8 (.57)	7.1 (.36)	6.7 (.49)
Feb. 1994	7.7 (.32)	8.6 (.25)	7.9 (.22)	7.5 (.39)	5.2 (1.09)	7.3 (.09)	7.7 (.33)	7.6 (.43)	7.3 (.69)	6.9 (.31)
May 1994	8.7 (.28)	9.0 (.26)	8.0 (.17)	8.1 (.23)	6.1 (.79)	8.2 (.29)	7.8 (.60)	8.4 (.36)	7.5 (.34)	7.2 (.26)
Aug. 1994	9.1 (.19)	8.6 (.41)	8.3 (.40)	8.6 (.19)	6.5 (.83)	8.6 (.11)	7.6 (.72)	8.6 (.37)	7.6 (.35)	7.5 (.25)
Nov. 1994	10.2 (.38)	9.7 (.18)	8.9 (.18)	8.5 (.39)	7.1 (.39)	8.5 (.37)	8.8 (.68)	9.0 (.17)	8.0 (.43)	8.5 (.20)
Feb. 1995	11.7 (.65)	10.7 (.14)	10.0 (.14)	9.9 (.16)	8.6 (.79)	7.2 (1.79)	10.4 (.34)	10.4 (.21)	9.4 (.50)	9.4 (.25)
May 1995	9.0 (.38)	10.4 (.29)	9.3 (.45)	9.4 (.42)	8.5 (.93)	10.2 (.31)	10.7 (.74)	10.1 (.18)	9.3 (.23)	9.3 (.34)
Aug. 1995	9.6 (.36)	10.3 (.21)	9.3 (.46)	9.8 (.16)	8.1 (.96)	9.6 (.10)	10.4 (.31)	10.1 (.22)	9.4 (.39)	9.5 (.29)
Nov. 1995	10.8 (.32)	10.3 (.21)	8.3 (.93)	9.6 (.26)	7.9 (.80)	10.1 (.25)	10.3 (.32)	9.8 (.24)	9.3 (.66)	8.9 (.40)
Feb. 1996	8.8 (.32)	9.9 (.25)	8.0 (1.10)	9.4 (.22)	7.3 (.99)	9.4 (.31)	10.9 (.22)	9.9 (.24)	8.9 (.85)	8.1 (.65)

* NE is Northeast, LS is Lake States, CB is Cornbelt, NP is Northern Plains, AP is Appalachia, SE is Southeast, DL is Delta States, SP is Southern Plains, MN is Mountain States, and PA is Pacific.

Standard errors are in parentheses below each estimate. Standard errors are calculated from 100 replications of a bootstrap procedure (resampling of banks) in each region.

SECTION II: SELECTED STATISTICS FROM THE QUARTERLY REPORTS OF CONDITION OF COMMERCIAL BANKS

TABLES:

	<u>Page</u>
<u>Commercial banks:</u>	
II.A Estimated volume of farm loans at insured commercial banks.....	19
II.B Estimated delinquent non-real-estate farm loans at insured commercial banks.....	20
II.C Estimated net charge-offs of non-real-estate farm loans at insured commercial banks.....	21
II.D Estimated delinquent real estate farm loans at insured commercial banks.....	22
II.E Estimated net charge-offs of real estate farm loans at insured commercial banks.....	23
<u>Agricultural banks:</u>	
II.F Distribution of agricultural banks by ratio of nonperforming loans to total loans.....	24
II.G Distribution of agricultural banks by rate of return to equity.....	25
II.H Loan-deposit ratios at agricultural banks.....	26
II.I Failures of agricultural banks.....	27

SOURCES OF DATA:

The data in tables II.A through II.H are prepared using data from the quarterly reports of condition and income for commercial banks. Delinquencies and charge-offs of non-real-estate farm loans for the nation as a whole (table II.B and table II.C) are estimated from reports of banks that hold more than 90 percent of total non-real-estate farm loans. The incomplete coverage arises because banks with less than \$300 million in assets have been excused from some reporting requirements. First, these smaller banks report delinquencies and chargeoffs of "agricultural loans" according to the particular bank's own definition, which may include loans that are secured by farm real estate. Furthermore, small banks that hold less than 5 percent of total loans as farm production loans are not required to report any information regarding delinquencies or charge-offs of "agricultural loans." In constructing the data presented in the tables, banks that are not required to report these data are assumed to have the same delinquency rates as those that do report. Recently, banks began to report delinquencies of loans that are secured by farm real estate. These data, which are shown in tables II.D and II.E, are reported by all banks, regardless of the size of the institution or the relative amounts of farm loans that they hold. Because "agricultural loans" and loans secured by farm real estate may overlap for some small banks, it is unclear whether it is proper to add the data in table II.B to its counterpart in table II.D to obtain total agricultural delinquencies. A similar caveat applies to the data concerning charge-offs in tables II.C and II.E.

Examination of total lending at banks that have a high exposure to agricultural loans provides an alternative perspective on the agricultural lending situation. Agricultural banks in table II.D through table II.I are those that have a proportion of farm loans (real estate plus nonreal estate) to total loans that is greater than the unweighted average at all banks. The estimate of this average was 15.8 percent in December of 1995.

Information on failed banks (table II.I) is obtained from news releases of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, with agricultural banks broken out in our tabulation according to the definition stated in the previous paragraph.

SECTION II: (continued)

Recent Developments:

Loans outstanding: Over the four quarters of 1995, the volume of non-real-estate farm loans rose 2.8 percent, the smallest yearly rise since 1992. The volume of farm real estate debt that was held by commercial banks rose 5.9 percent in 1995, towards the low end of the range of increases seen during the past decade.

Problem loans: At the end of December 1995, delinquent farm non-real-estate loans amounted to \$0.8 billion, about 2 percent of such loans outstanding. After falling rapidly in the latter 1980s, delinquencies have remained about flat throughout the 1990s. In 1995 commercial banks charged off, on net, \$51 million of farm production loans. The volume of delinquent farm real estate loans outstanding at yearend was a bit higher than than one year earlier, but as a percentage of farm real estate loans outstanding, the delinquencies were unchanged from the previous year. Beginning in the third quarter of 1995, the proportion of agricultural banks that reported a level of nonperforming loans that was greater than 2 percent of total loans began to edge up, perhaps foreshadowing a bit less favorable operating environment than agricultural banks have enjoyed since the latter 1980s. Nevertheless, most agricultural banks continued to report few problem loans.

Performance of agricultural banks: The average rate of return on assets at agricultural banks in 1995 was 1.2 percent, identical to the past three years. The average capital ratio for both agricultural banks and other small banks, though already high when compared to the average over the past decade, edged up further in 1995, providing a substantial cushion for any losses on nonperforming loans. In December 1995, the ratio of loans to deposits at agricultural banks was higher than at the close of 1994 in all Federal Reserve districts except San Francisco. For all agricultural banks, the ratio of loans to deposits was 64.5 percent at yearend, the highest level since the late 1970s, when the liquidity of many agricultural banks was a concern.

Failures of agricultural banks: No agricultural banks failed in the first quarter of 1995, the tenth consecutive quarter with no failures. Given the strong capital positions of most agricultural banks and their low levels of problem loans, the number of failures seems likely to remain quite low in coming quarters.

TABLE II.A

FARM DEBT OUTSTANDING AT COMMERCIAL BANKS, END OF QUARTER

	LOAN VOLUME, BILLIONS OF DOLLARS			PERCENT CHANGE FROM PREVIOUS QUARTER			PERCENT CHANGE FROM PREVIOUS YEAR		
	TOTAL LOANS	REAL	NONREAL	TOTAL LOANS	REAL	NONREAL	TOTAL LOANS	REAL	NONREAL
		ESTATE LOANS	ESTATE LOANS		ESTATE LOANS	ESTATE LOANS		ESTATE LOANS	
1988 Q1...	42.8	14.7	28.1	-1.5	1.9	-3.2	2.2	12.1	-2.3
Q2...	45.4	15.2	30.3	6.0	3.0	7.6	2.6	9.6	-0.5
Q3...	46.1	15.3	30.8	1.5	1.2	1.7	3.0	8.6	0.4
Q4...	45.2	15.4	29.8	-1.9	0.5	-3.1	4.0	6.7	2.6
1989 Q1...	44.2	15.8	28.4	-2.2	2.7	-4.7	3.2	7.5	1.0
Q2...	47.0	16.3	30.7	6.3	3.0	8.2	3.5	7.6	1.5
Q3...	48.0	16.5	31.5	2.1	1.2	2.5	4.1	7.6	2.4
Q4...	47.4	16.6	30.8	-1.2	0.9	-2.2	4.9	8.0	3.3
1990 Q1...	46.1	16.8	29.3	-2.8	0.7	-4.7	4.3	5.9	3.4
Q2...	49.0	17.1	31.9	6.4	2.2	8.7	4.3	5.1	3.9
Q3...	50.5	17.3	33.2	3.1	1.1	4.1	5.3	5.0	5.5
Q4...	50.1	17.2	32.9	-0.8	-0.6	-0.9	5.7	3.5	6.9
1991 Q1...	49.5	17.5	32.0	-1.3	1.5	-2.8	7.4	4.3	9.1
Q2...	52.6	18.1	34.5	6.2	3.4	7.7	7.2	5.5	8.1
Q3...	53.9	18.3	35.6	2.5	1.4	3.1	6.6	5.8	7.1
Q4...	53.0	18.4	34.6	-1.6	0.6	-2.7	5.7	7.0	5.1
1992 Q1...	51.9	18.9	33.0	-2.1	2.7	-4.6	4.9	8.2	3.1
Q2...	55.1	19.5	35.6	6.2	3.3	7.8	4.9	8.1	3.2
Q3...	56.2	19.9	36.2	1.9	1.9	1.9	4.2	8.6	1.9
Q4...	54.5	19.9	34.7	-2.9	-0.2	-4.4	2.9	7.8	0.2
1993 Q1...	52.8	20.0	32.8	-3.2	0.5	-5.3	1.7	5.6	-0.5
Q2...	56.0	20.6	35.4	6.0	3.1	7.8	1.6	5.4	-0.6
Q3...	58.0	20.8	37.1	3.5	1.2	4.9	3.2	4.7	2.4
Q4...	57.7	20.9	36.8	-0.5	0.1	-0.8	5.8	5.0	6.2
1994 Q1...	56.8	21.2	35.5	-1.5	1.8	-3.4	7.6	6.4	8.3
Q2...	61.1	21.9	39.2	7.6	3.2	10.2	9.1	6.4	10.7
Q3...	63.0	22.4	40.6	3.1	2.2	3.6	8.7	7.5	9.3
Q4...	61.3	22.6	38.7	-2.7	0.7	-4.6	6.2	8.2	5.2
1995 Q1...	59.9	22.9	36.9	-2.3	1.6	-4.6	5.4	8.0	3.9
Q2...	63.5	23.6	40.0	6.1	2.7	8.2	4.0	7.5	2.0
Q3...	65.3	23.8	41.5	2.9	1.1	3.9	3.7	6.3	2.3
Q4...	63.7	23.9	39.8	-2.5	0.4	-4.1	3.9	5.9	2.8

TABLE II.B

ESTIMATED DELINQUENT FARM NON-REAL-ESTATE LOANS
INSURED COMMERCIAL BANKS

	BILLIONS OF DOLLARS							AS PERCENTAGE OF OUTSTANDING FARM PRODUCTION LOANS							
	NONPERFORMING							NONPERFORMING							
	TOTAL	PAST DUE 30 TO 89 DAYS ACCRUING	TOTAL	PAST DUE 90 DAYS ACCRUING	NON- ACCRUAL	MEMO: RESTRUCTURED LOANS IN COMPLIANCE		TOTAL	PAST DUE 30 TO 89 DAYS ACCRUING	TOTAL	PAST DUE 90 DAYS ACCRUING	NON- ACCRUAL	MEMO: RESTRUCTURED LOANS IN COMPLIANCE		
-----December 31 of year indicated-----															
1987.....		1.9	0.5	1.4	0.2	1.2	0.5		6.5	1.7	4.8	0.7	4.2	1.7	
1988.....		1.4	0.4	1.0	0.1	0.9	0.5		4.5	1.2	3.3	0.5	2.9	1.6	
1989.....		1.1	0.4	0.7	0.1	0.6	0.4		3.7	1.3	2.3	0.5	1.9	1.4	
1990.....		1.0	0.4	0.6	0.1	0.5	0.4		3.1	1.3	1.9	0.3	1.6	1.1	
1991.....		1.1	0.4	0.7	0.1	0.5	0.3		3.2	1.3	1.9	0.3	1.6	0.9	
1992.....		1.0	0.3	0.6	0.1	0.5	0.2		2.8	1.0	1.8	0.3	1.5	0.7	
1993.....		0.8	0.3	0.5	0.1	0.4	0.2		2.2	0.8	1.4	0.2	1.2	0.5	
1994.....		0.8	0.3	0.4	0.1	0.3	0.1		2.0	0.9	1.1	0.2	0.9	0.4	
1995.....		0.8	0.4	0.4	0.1	0.3	ND		2.1	0.9	1.1	0.3	0.9	ND	
-----End of quarter-----															
1992 Q4...		1.0	0.3	0.6	0.1	0.5	0.2		2.8	1.0	1.8	0.3	1.5	0.7	
1993 Q1...		1.3	0.5	0.8	0.2	0.6	0.2		3.9	1.6	2.3	0.6	1.7	0.6	
Q2...		1.0	0.3	0.7	0.1	0.5	0.2		2.7	0.8	1.9	0.4	1.5	0.5	
Q3...		0.8	0.2	0.6	0.1	0.5	0.2		2.3	0.7	1.6	0.3	1.3	0.5	
Q4...		0.8	0.3	0.5	0.1	0.4	0.2		2.2	0.8	1.4	0.2	1.2	0.5	
1994 Q1...		1.1	0.5	0.6	0.1	0.4	0.2		3.1	1.5	1.6	0.4	1.2	0.4	
Q2...		0.9	0.3	0.6	0.1	0.4	0.2		2.2	0.7	1.5	0.4	1.1	0.4	
Q3...		0.8	0.3	0.5	0.1	0.4	0.1		1.9	0.6	1.3	0.3	1.0	0.4	
Q4...		0.8	0.3	0.4	0.1	0.3	0.1		2.0	0.9	1.1	0.2	0.9	0.4	
1995 Q1...		1.1	0.6	0.5	0.1	0.4	ND		2.9	1.6	1.4	0.4	1.0	ND	
Q2...		0.9	0.4	0.5	0.1	0.4	ND		2.3	0.9	1.3	0.4	1.0	ND	
Q3...		0.8	0.3	0.5	0.1	0.4	ND		1.9	0.7	1.2	0.3	0.9	ND	
Q4...		0.8	0.4	0.4	0.1	0.3	ND		2.1	0.9	1.1	0.3	0.9	ND	

Data are estimates of the national totals for farm non-real-estate loans. After 1984, estimates are based on reports from banks that hold more than 90 percent of such loans. Earlier, only large banks that held about one-fourth of such loans reported nonaccrual and renegotiated farm loans; for other banks, estimates of delinquent farm loans are based on a study of delinquent total loans at these banks.

TABLE II.C

ESTIMATED NET CHARGE-OFFS OF NON-REAL-ESTATE FARM LOANS
INSURED COMMERCIAL BANKS*

	ESTIMATED AMOUNT MILLIONS OF DOLLARS					CHARGE-OFFS AS A PERCENTAGE OF SUCH LOANS OUTSTANDING				
	ANNUAL TOTAL	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	ANNUAL TOTAL	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
1988.....	128	28	39	24	37	0.46	0.10	0.14	0.10	0.12
1989.....	91	10	26	15	40	0.27	0.03	0.09	0.05	0.13
1990.....	51	-5	19	10	28	0.20	-0.02	0.06	0.03	0.08
1991.....	105	12	25	36	32	0.32	0.04	0.08	0.10	0.09
1992.....	82	14	20	29	18	0.24	0.04	0.06	0.08	0.05
1993.....	54	7	16	5	26	0.15	0.02	0.05	0.01	0.07
1994.....	69	10	11	15	33	0.19	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.08
1995.....	51	-2	14	13	25	0.13	-0.00	0.04	0.03	0.06

* Data are estimates of the national charge-offs of farm non-real-estate loans based on reports from banks that hold more than 90 percent of the outstanding national volume of such loans. Additional uncertainty of the estimates arises because small banks report only charge-offs of 'agricultural' loans as defined by each bank for its internal purposes. Banks first reported these data on the March 1984 Report of Income.

TABLE II.D

DELINQUENT FARM REAL ESTATE LOANS
INSURED COMMERCIAL BANKS

BILLIONS OF DOLLARS							AS PERCENTAGE OF OUTSTANDING FARM REAL ESTATE LOANS					
NONPERFORMING							NONPERFORMING					
	PAST DUE 30 TO 89 DAYS		TOTAL	PAST DUE 90 DAYS ACCRUING		NON- ACCRUAL	TOTAL	PAST DUE 30 TO 89 DAYS		PAST DUE 90 DAYS ACCRUING		NON- ACCRUAL
	TOTAL	ACCRUING		TOTAL	ACCRUING			TOTAL	ACCRUING	TOTAL	ACCRUING	
-----December 31 of year indicated-----												
1992.....	0.4	0.2	0.3	0.1	0.2	2.1	0.8	1.3	0.3	1.0		
1993.....	0.4	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.2	1.8	0.7	1.1	0.2	0.8		
1994.....	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.1	1.5	0.7	0.8	0.2	0.6		
1995.....	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	1.5	0.7	0.8	0.2	0.6		
-----End of quarter-----												
1992 Q4...	0.4	0.2	0.3	0.1	0.2	2.1	0.3	1.3	0.3	1.0		
1993 Q1...	0.5	0.2	0.3	0.1	0.2	2.5	0.5	1.5	0.5	1.0		
Q2...	0.4	0.1	0.3	0.1	0.2	2.0	0.4	1.4	0.4	1.0		
Q3...	0.4	0.1	0.3	0.1	0.2	1.8	0.3	1.3	0.3	0.9		
Q4...	0.4	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.2	1.8	0.7	1.1	0.2	0.8		
1994 Q1...	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.2	2.1	1.0	1.1	0.4	0.7		
Q2...	0.4	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.2	1.6	0.6	1.0	0.4	0.7		
Q3...	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1	1.5	0.5	1.0	0.3	0.7		
Q4...	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.1	1.5	0.7	0.8	0.2	0.6		
1995 Q1...	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	1.9	0.9	1.0	0.3	0.6		
Q2...	0.4	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1	1.5	0.6	0.9	0.3	0.6		
Q3...	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1	1.4	0.5	0.9	0.3	0.6		
Q4...	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	1.5	0.7	0.8	0.2	0.6		

All commercial banks began to report these data in 1991.

TABLE II.E

NET CHARGE-OFFS OF REAL ESTATE FARM LOANS
INSURED COMMERCIAL BANKS*

	ESTIMATED AMOUNT MILLIONS OF DOLLARS					CHARGE-OFFS AS A PERCENTAGE OF SUCH LOANS OUTSTANDING				
	ANNUAL TOTAL	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	ANNUAL TOTAL	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
1992.....	20	4	7	4	6	0.11	0.019	0.033	0.022	0.029
1993.....	6	0	1	2	3	0.03	0.002	0.003	0.008	0.015
1994.....	-1	-1	-1	0	1	-0.00	-0.004	-0.004	0.002	0.003
1995.....	3	-0	-0	2	2	0.01	-0.001	-0.001	0.006	0.007

* All commercial banks began to report these data in 1991.

DISTRIBUTION OF AGRICULTURAL BANKS BY THE SHARE OF THEIR LOANS THAT ARE NONPERFORMING*

		NONPERFORMING LOANS AS A PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL LOANS							
		UNDER	2.0	5.0	10.0	15.0	20.0		
		2.0	TO	TO	TO	TO	AND		
TOTAL		2.0	4.9	9.9	14.9	19.9	OVER		
-----Percentage distribution, December 31 of year indicated-----									
1987.....		100.0	50.3	30.6	14.4	3.3	0.9	0.3	
1988.....		100.0	59.0	28.9	9.7	1.9	0.4	0.2	
1989.....		100.0	65.8	25.1	7.6	1.2	0.2	0.1	
1990.....		100.0	69.6	22.7	6.4	1.0	0.2	0.0	
1991.....		100.0	70.8	22.3	5.8	0.7	0.3	0.1	
1992.....		100.0	76.2	18.9	3.9	0.8	0.1	0.0	
1993.....		100.0	80.6	15.9	2.8	0.6	0.1	0.0	
1994.....		100.0	85.5	12.3	1.9	0.2	0.1	0.0	
1995.....		100.0	83.7	13.8	2.1	0.3	0.1	0.1	
-----Percentage distribution, end of quarter-----									
1993 Q3...		100.0	76.6	19.1	3.6	0.6	0.1	0.0	
Q4...		100.0	80.6	15.9	2.8	0.6	0.1	0.0	
1994 Q1...		100.0	79.2	16.8	3.3	0.5	0.1	0.0	
Q2...		100.0	81.1	16.0	2.4	0.4	0.1	0.0	
Q3...		100.0	83.6	13.6	2.4	0.3	0.0	0.0	
Q4...		100.0	85.5	12.3	1.9	0.2	0.1	0.0	
1995 Q1...		100.0	81.7	15.3	2.7	0.2	0.1	0.1	
Q2...		100.0	82.1	15.0	2.5	0.2	0.1	0.1	
Q3...		100.0	83.0	14.3	2.3	0.3	0.0	0.1	
Q4...		100.0	83.7	13.8	2.1	0.3	0.1	0.1	

* Nonperforming loans are loans in nonaccrual status or past due 90 days or more. Renegotiated or restructured loans in compliance with the modified terms are not included. Agricultural banks are defined in the introduction to section II.

TABLE II.G

SELECTED MEASURES OF FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE OF AGRICULTURAL AND OTHER BANKS*

	ALL BANKS	NET INCOME AS A PERCENTAGE OF AVERAGE EQUITY AT AGRICULTURAL BANKS								AVERAGE RATE OF RETURN TO EQUITY		RATE OF RETURN TO ASSETS		NET CHARGE-OFFS AS PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL LOANS		AVERAGE CAPITAL RATIO (PERCENT)	
		NEGATIVE	0	5	10	15	20	25	AGRI- CULTURAL BANKS	OTHER SMALL BANKS	AGRI- CULTURAL BANKS	OTHER SMALL BANKS	AGRI- CULTURAL BANKS	OTHER SMALL BANKS	AGRI- CULTURAL BANKS	OTHER SMALL BANKS	
			TO 4	TO 9	TO 14	TO 19	TO 24	AND OVER									
-----percentage distribution-----																	
1984.....	100.0	13.0	9.0	23.0	36.0	15.0	3.0	1.0	8.0	12.0	0.7	0.8	1.2	0.6	9.5	8.5	
1985.....	100.0	18.0	11.0	22.0	33.0	13.0	3.0	1.0	6.0	11.0	0.5	0.8	2.1	0.8	9.6	8.5	
1986.....	100.0	19.0	14.0	27.0	28.0	9.0	2.0	1.0	5.0	8.0	0.4	0.6	2.3	1.1	9.5	8.4	
1987.....	100.0	13.0	13.0	31.0	31.0	9.0	2.0	1.0	8.0	8.0	0.7	0.6	1.3	0.9	9.8	8.8	
1988.....	100.0	9.0	9.0	30.0	36.0	12.0	3.0	2.0	10.0	9.0	0.9	0.7	0.7	0.7	9.9	8.8	
1989.....	100.0	5.0	7.0	29.0	38.0	14.0	4.0	3.0	11.0	10.0	1.0	0.8	0.6	0.7	10.1	9.0	
1990.....	100.0	4.9	7.5	33.4	37.6	12.9	2.6	1.1	10.8	8.5	1.0	0.7	0.4	0.7	9.9	9.0	
1991.....	100.0	4.1	7.7	32.2	39.2	13.4	2.5	0.9	10.9	8.9	1.0	0.7	0.4	0.8	10.1	9.2	
1992.....	100.0	1.9	5.0	25.5	41.1	19.8	5.1	1.7	12.6	11.5	1.2	1.0	0.4	0.7	10.4	9.5	
1993.....	100.0	1.5	5.7	27.8	40.6	18.5	4.6	1.3	12.4	12.4	1.2	1.1	0.2	0.4	10.8	10.0	
1994.....	100.0	1.5	5.7	31.3	40.2	17.1	3.3	0.9	11.9	12.4	1.2	1.1	0.2	0.3	10.7	9.9	
1995.....	100.0	1.4	5.6	36.8	39.9	13.3	2.4	0.6	11.3	11.6	1.2	1.1	0.2	0.3	11.1	10.5	
-----QUARTERLY-----																	
-----YEAR TO DATE-----																	
1993 Q4...	100.0	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	12.4	12.4	1.2	1.1	0.2	0.4	10.8	10.0	
1994 Q1...	100.0	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	3.0	3.1	0.3	0.3	0.0	0.1	11.0	10.1	
Q2...	100.0	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	6.2	6.3	0.6	0.6	0.1	0.1	11.0	10.1	
Q3...	100.0	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	9.2	9.4	0.9	0.9	0.1	0.2	11.1	10.1	
Q4...	100.0	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	11.9	12.4	1.2	1.1	0.2	0.3	10.7	9.9	
1995 Q1...	100.0	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	3.0	3.1	0.3	0.3	0.0	0.1	11.1	10.3	
Q2...	100.0	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	5.8	6.1	0.6	0.6	0.1	0.1	11.3	10.4	
Q3...	100.0	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	8.9	9.3	0.9	0.9	0.1	0.2	11.3	10.5	
Q4...	100.0	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	11.3	11.6	1.2	1.1	0.2	0.3	11.1	10.5	

* Agricultural and other banks are defined in the introduction to section II; small banks have less than 500 million dollars in assets. Total primary and secondary capital (items that are available at the end of the period specified) are measured as a percentage of total assets. Quarterly data in the lower panel are cumulative through the end of the quarter indicated and, for periods of less than a year, are not comparable to the annual data in the upper panel.

TABLE II.H

AVERAGE LOAN-DEPOSIT RATIOS AT AGRICULTURAL BANKS IN SELECTED FEDERAL RESERVE DISTRICTS*

DECEMBER 31																			
U.S.		CLEVELAND		ATLANTA		CHICAGO		ST. LOUIS		MINNE- APOLIS		KANSAS CITY		DALLAS		SAN FRANCISCO		MINIMUM FARM LOAN RATIO	
NUMBER OF BANKS	LOANS TO DEPOSITS	NUMBER OF BANKS	LOANS TO DEPOSITS	NUMBER OF BANKS	LOANS TO DEPOSITS	NUMBER OF BANKS	LOANS TO DEPOSITS	NUMBER OF BANKS	LOANS TO DEPOSITS	NUMBER OF BANKS	LOANS TO DEPOSITS	NUMBER OF BANKS	LOANS TO DEPOSITS	NUMBER OF BANKS	LOANS TO DEPOSITS	NUMBER OF BANKS	LOANS TO DEPOSITS	NUMBER OF BANKS	LOANS TO DEPOSITS
1991.....	3955	0.551	71	0.642	133	0.609	969	0.572	470	0.567	725	0.569	1135	0.522	378	0.438	60	0.711	16.56
1992.....	3854	0.555	75	0.643	131	0.607	948	0.574	456	0.563	694	0.579	1092	0.533	384	0.422	61	0.708	16.72
1993.....	3723	0.582	67	0.660	130	0.618	912	0.600	432	0.590	669	0.615	1063	0.566	378	0.442	58	0.733	17.04
1994.....	3550	0.625	56	0.707	125	0.646	860	0.643	402	0.629	658	0.674	1014	0.618	366	0.474	53	0.747	16.99
1995.....	3482	0.641	60	0.717	135	0.647	841	0.658	393	0.654	637	0.681	981	0.634	359	0.499	55	0.741	15.79
1993 Q4...	3723	0.582	67	0.660	130	0.618	912	0.600	432	0.590	669	0.615	1063	0.566	378	0.442	58	0.733	17.04
1994 Q1...	3705	0.586	66	0.670	132	0.620	894	0.606	421	0.590	672	0.622	1057	0.570	387	0.453	58	0.749	16.88
Q2...	3689	0.621	64	0.704	138	0.652	886	0.634	431	0.626	668	0.677	1046	0.601	379	0.476	59	0.764	17.42
Q3...	3640	0.643	61	0.701	131	0.669	889	0.658	432	0.657	664	0.702	1023	0.618	367	0.503	56	0.768	17.55
Q4...	3550	0.625	56	0.707	125	0.646	860	0.643	402	0.629	658	0.674	1014	0.618	366	0.474	53	0.747	16.99
1995 Q1...	3484	0.634	56	0.718	129	0.653	847	0.650	389	0.634	638	0.684	993	0.622	364	0.491	50	0.768	16.75
Q2...	3488	0.655	55	0.730	136	0.668	844	0.664	397	0.665	639	0.714	984	0.637	361	0.518	52	0.791	17.12
Q3...	3617	0.668	64	0.736	150	0.680	868	0.685	432	0.692	652	0.717	1007	0.647	368	0.525	56	0.763	17.27
Q4...	3482	0.641	60	0.717	135	0.647	841	0.658	393	0.654	637	0.681	981	0.634	359	0.499	55	0.741	15.79

* The loan-deposit ratio is defined as total loans divided by total deposits. Agricultural banks are defined as banks with a farm loan ratio at least as great as that shown in the last column, as described in the introduction to section II.

TABLE II.I

FAILURES OF AGRICULTURAL BANKS*

	NUMBER OF FAILURES				ANNUAL TOTAL
	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	
1988.....	11	6	12	7	36
1989.....	5	7	5	5	22
1990.....	3	5	6	3	17
1991.....	2	2	3	1	8
1992.....	1	1	1	4	7
1993.....	1	2	2	0	5
1994.....	0	0	0	0	0
1995.....	0	0	0	0	0
1996.....	0	*	*	*	*

* Data exclude banks assisted to prevent failure. Industrial banks and mutual savings banks also are excluded. Agricultural banks are defined in the introduction to section II.

SECTION III: FEDERAL RESERVE BANK QUARTERLY SURVEYS OF FARM CREDIT CONDITIONS AND FARM LAND VALUES

<u>TABLES:</u>	<u>Page</u>
III.A Nonreal estate lending experience.....	30
III.B Expected change in non-real-estate loan volume and repayment conditions.....	32
III.C Average loan/deposit ratio, and other indicators of relative credit availability.....	34
III.D Interest rates.....	36
III.E Trends in real estate values and loan volume.....	38

SOURCES OF DATA:

Data are from quarterly surveys of agricultural credit conditions at commercial banks. These surveys are conducted at the end of each quarter by five Federal Reserve Banks. The size of the surveys differs considerably, as is noted in the information below. In addition, the five surveys differ in subject matter covered (as is evident in the tables), wording of basically similar questions, and type of banks covered. Most of the differences in wording are reflected in the use of different column headings on the two pages of each table. The states included in each district are indicated in the table headings; states that fall only partly within a given district are marked with asterisks.

Beginning in 1994, the Minneapolis Federal Reserve Bank revised its survey considerably. Many questions were changed and it was not always possible to match the data to the categories that we have shown in previous editions of the Databook. Whenever possible, we have tried to fit the data from the revised survey into the older format. Series that were discontinued show no data for the first quarter, while those that were added suddenly appear. When a significant break in the data occurred, we included the new data and added a footnote to highlight the changes.

Research departments at each of the five Reserve Banks issue more detailed quarterly reports on their survey results; these reports are available at the addresses given below.

Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago, Box 834, Chicago, Illinois, 60690

The sample includes member banks at which farm loans represented 25 percent or more of total loans as of June 1972 (a 10 percent standard is used for banks in the state of Michigan). The sample has undergone periodic review. The latest survey results were based on the responses of about 450 banks.

Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City, Federal Reserve P.O. Station, Kansas City Missouri 64198

The sample chosen originally in 1976 consisted of 181 banks selected from banks at which farm loans constituted 50 percent or more of total loans, with appropriate representation of all farm areas. The sample was redrawn and significantly expanded in 1987; roughly 300 banks responded to the latest survey.

Federal Reserve Bank of Minneapolis, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55480

Before 1987, the sample provided a cross-section of banks of all sizes that were engaged in farm lending. Members of the Upper Midwest Agricultural Credit Council formed the core of the survey panel. Beginning in 1987, the sample was redrawn to include only banks at which farm loans represented 25 percent or more of total loans. As outlined above, the Minneapolis survey was changed considerably beginning in the first quarter of 1994. In recent surveys, about 130 banks responded.

Section III: (continued)

Federal Reserve Bank of Dallas, P.O. Box 655906, Dallas, Texas 75265-5906

The sample is stratified regionally and includes banks at which farm loans are relatively important or which hold a major portion of bank loans in their region. The sample was enlarged in the first quarter of 1985 and was redrawn in the second quarter of 1989. The results for the most recent quarter were based on the responses from about 200 respondents.

Federal Reserve Bank of Richmond, Richmond, Virginia 23261

The number of agricultural banks in this district is much smaller than those of the other districts. When the survey was initiated in 1975, the sample consisted of 43 banks of all sizes; banks with larger amounts of farm loans were sampled more heavily. More recently, the sample has consisted of about 30 banks, roughly three-fourths of which typically respond to the quarterly surveys.

RECENT DEVELOPMENTS:

Bankers responding to the surveys indicated that the demand for farm loans remained fairly steady in the fourth quarter of 1995. The availability of funds, which may have tightened a bit early in 1995, appears to have been adequate at the end of the year. The rate of loan repayment relative to one year earlier was lower in all the districts that report except the Chicago district. Similarly, bankers in most districts reported somewhat higher renewals and extensions. These indicators may be showing some early hints of debt repayment problems.

Consistent with the data from the Call reports shown in the previous section, the ratio of loans to deposits was well above year-earlier levels at banks in all the districts that report. However, bankers in most districts reported the loan-deposit ratio as "higher than desired".

Rates of interest on farm loans edged down, on balance, in all districts in the fourth quarter of 1995, consistent with the widespread declines that were reported in section I. The timing of these surveys varies across districts, and the average speed at which banks pass along changes in costs of funds may vary across districts as well.

Relative to one year earlier, prices for agricultural land seem to be up about 5 percent in the Chicago, Kansas City, and Minneapolis districts. In contrast, prices for farmland in the Richmond district were 9 percent greater than one year earlier, while in the Dallas district, prices for cropland edged down as prices for ranchland soared.

FARM NONREAL ESTATE LENDING EXPERIENCE COMPARED WITH A YEAR EARLIER
(PERCENTAGE OF BANKS REPORTING)

	DEMAND FOR LOANS			FUND AVAILABILITY			LOAN REPAYMENT RATE			RENEWALS OR EXTENSIONS			COLLATERAL REQUIRED		
	LOWER	SAME	HIGHER	LOWER	SAME	HIGHER	LOWER	SAME	HIGHER	LOWER	SAME	HIGHER	LOWER	SAME	HIGHER
III.A1 SEVENTH (CHICAGO) FEDERAL RESERVE DISTRICT (IL*, IN*, IA, MI*, WI*) AGRICULTURAL BANKS															
1993 Q4...	15	44	40	6	62	32	29	46	25	21	49	30	1	87	12
1994 Q1...	12	41	47	9	61	30	28	50	22	18	50	32	0	86	14
Q2...	10	41	49	13	67	20	20	69	11	10	65	25	0	89	11
Q3...	13	42	45	22	60	18	20	66	14	13	68	19	1	88	11
Q4...	21	46	33	18	63	19	18	53	29	24	60	17	1	90	9
1995 Q1...	15	49	37	20	64	16	19	64	17	17	63	20	1	87	12
Q2...	13	50	37	14	67	18	16	76	9	13	71	16	0	89	10
Q3...	13	52	36	16	65	19	13	76	11	13	72	16	1	90	9
Q4...	20	48	32	6	65	29	15	53	32	29	57	14	1	90	9
III.A2 TENTH (KANSAS CITY) FEDERAL RESERVE DISTRICT (CO, KS, MO*, NE, NM*, OK, WY) AGRICULTURAL BANKS															
1993 Q4...	14	56	30	12	68	20	20	71	10	10	74	16	1	91	9
1994 Q1...	9	59	32	10	72	18	16	76	8	7	78	15	1	89	10
Q2...	10	53	37	19	67	13	16	78	6	5	84	12	0	92	8
Q3...	10	49	41	28	62	9	18	74	8	8	77	15	0	90	9
Q4...	9	56	35	26	65	9	25	65	10	10	69	21	0	89	11
1995 Q1...	10	51	39	21	69	10	28	67	5	6	69	25	0	87	13
Q2...	11	58	32	18	69	14	32	67	1	2	70	27	0	88	12
Q3...	16	53	32	20	67	14	32	63	5	5	67	28	0	86	13
Q4...	16	56	28	14	66	20	43	53	4	5	55	41	1	84	15
III.A3 ELEVENTH (DALLAS) FEDERAL RESERVE DISTRICT (LA*, NM*, TX)															
1993 Q4...	8	62	30	3	70	27	12	70	18	24	63	14	0	86	14
1994 Q1...	11	62	26	3	78	19	9	78	13	17	76	7	1	86	13
Q2...	22	56	21	3	79	18	14	75	11	12	77	11	1	91	8
Q3...	16	49	35	10	72	18	13	76	12	10	75	16	2	88	10
Q4...	13	54	33	7	71	22	16	72	12	13	68	20	0	88	11
1995 Q1...	13	53	34	8	78	14	20	72	7	11	67	22	1	83	16
Q2...	12	50	38	8	79	14	21	74	5	9	70	21	0	81	19
Q3...	17	50	33	10	76	14	28	63	9	12	64	24	2	78	20
Q4...	20	46	34	9	77	14	40	53	7	7	54	39	1	74	25

FEDERAL RESERVE BANK QUARTERLY SURVEYS OF AGRICULTURAL CREDIT CONDITIONS AT COMMERCIAL BANKS
TABLE III.A (CONTINUED)

FARM NONREAL ESTATE LENDING EXPERIENCE COMPARED WITH NORMAL CONDITIONS
(PERCENTAGE OF BANKS REPORTING)

	DEMAND FOR LOANS			FUND AVAILABILITY			LOAN REPAYMENT RATE			RENEWALS OR EXTENSIONS			COLLATERAL REQUIRED		
	LOWER	SAME	HIGHER	LOWER	SAME	HIGHER	LOWER	SAME	HIGHER	LOWER	SAME	HIGHER	LOWER	SAME	HIGHER
III.A4 NINTH (MINNEAPOLIS) FEDERAL RESERVE DISTRICT (MI*, MN, MT, ND, SD, WI*)															
1993 Q4...	3	79	18	***	***	***	49	45	6	8	52	40	***	***	***
1994 Q1...	***	***	***	11	61	28	30	59	10	7	63	30	1	83	16
Q2...	***	***	***	16	64	19	25	71	5	10	71	20	1	86	13
Q3...	***	***	***	29	54	17	28	62	9	10	74	16	0	93	7
Q4...	***	***	***	17	66	17	36	47	17	11	64	25	0	86	14
1995 Q1...	***	***	***	21	66	13	43	51	6	10	55	35	0	81	19
Q2...	***	***	***	20	62	18	45	53	2	7	63	30	1	74	25
Q3...	***	***	***	21	60	20	35	59	6	9	66	25	1	84	15
Q4...	***	***	***	11	62	27	36	49	15	4	60	26	0	84	16
III.A5 FIFTH (RICHMOND) FEDERAL RESERVE DISTRICT (MD, NC, SC, VA, WV*)															
1993 Q4...	30	57	13	0	74	26	30	65	4	5	64	32	0	70	30
1994 Q1...	4	72	24	4	64	32	20	64	4	0	76	24	0	88	13
Q2...	5	76	19	0	67	33	10	90	0	0	86	14	0	80	20
Q3...	13	79	8	0	75	25	4	88	8	17	79	4	0	83	17
Q4...	19	71	10	0	76	24	10	81	10	14	76	10	0	76	24
1995 Q1...	20	68	12	16	72	12	12	84	4	12	84	4	4	80	16
Q2...	20	76	4	12	72	16	12	88	0	4	88	8	4	84	12
Q3...	32	64	5	9	64	27	9	82	9	14	68	18	0	91	9
Q4...	24	62	14	0	76	24	29	67	5	5	67	29	0	90	10

FARM NONREAL ESTATE LOAN VOLUME EXPECTED DURING THE NEXT QUARTER, COMPARED WITH VOLUME OF LOANS MADE A YEAR EARLIER
(PERCENTAGE OF BANKS REPORTING)

	TOTAL			FEEDER CATTLE			DAIRY			CROP STORAGE			OPERATING			FARM MACHINERY		
	LOWER	SAME	HIGHER	LOWER	SAME	HIGHER	LOWER	SAME	HIGHER	LOWER	SAME	HIGHER	LOWER	SAME	HIGHER	LOWER	SAME	HIGHER
III.B1 SEVENTH (CHICAGO) FEDERAL RESERVE DISTRICT (IL*, IN*, IA, MI*, WI*) AGRICULTURAL BANKS																		
1993 Q4...	10	43	47	19	72	8	16	75	8	28	59	13	7	36	57	21	43	36
1994 Q1...	11	42	48	22	72	6	16	74	10	28	64	8	7	38	55	15	48	36
Q2...	13	55	32	48	50	2	24	70	6	19	67	14	8	50	42	25	54	21
Q3...	23	48	29	44	50	5	20	74	6	12	45	43	21	49	29	17	50	34
Q4...	18	52	30	31	62	7	21	74	5	19	58	23	12	46	42	16	54	30
1995 Q1...	14	53	33	32	62	6	19	71	10	19	68	13	13	42	46	15	53	33
Q2...	14	60	26	38	58	3	21	74	5	26	65	9	11	53	36	22	61	17
Q3...	15	59	26	40	54	6	21	75	5	23	58	19	13	60	27	16	55	29
Q4...	17	50	32	47	48	5	21	71	8	37	52	11	13	46	41	8	46	45
III.B2 ELEVENTH (DALLAS) FEDERAL RESERVE DISTRICT (LA*, NM*, TX)																		
1993 Q4...	7	62	31	11	69	19	12	79	9	9	81	10	7	61	31	10	62	28
1994 Q1...	7	75	18	14	74	12	13	77	9	17	79	5	7	65	28	8	74	18
Q2...	18	67	15	38	51	10	16	80	4	15	72	13	5	63	32	15	69	16
Q3...	10	62	28	28	55	17	9	88	3	8	74	17	10	63	28	15	66	19
Q4...	6	63	31	18	68	13	13	81	6	11	84	6	5	60	36	12	69	19
1995 Q1...	15	65	21	22	63	15	13	83	3	12	86	3	12	55	34	12	67	21
Q2...	16	54	30	33	55	12	20	78	3	11	79	10	8	58	33	15	69	16
Q3...	15	59	25	31	50	20	25	71	4	16	75	9	13	54	33	23	64	14
Q4...	14	59	27	42	50	7	19	79	2	19	68	12	15	50	35	27	55	19
III.B3 FIFTH (RICHMOND) FEDERAL RESERVE DISTRICT (MD, NC, SC, VA, WV*)																		
1993 Q4...	11	74	16	11	78	11	18	82	0	30	70	0	4	70	26	18	64	18
1994 Q1...	14	59	27	5	90	5	11	89	0	14	82	5	13	57	30	23	59	18
Q2...	5	65	30	16	74	11	18	82	0	10	86	5	10	62	29	10	67	24
Q3...	18	68	14	15	70	15	11	84	5	9	77	14	17	71	13	13	67	21
Q4...	11	72	17	13	81	6	7	87	7	5	95	0	5	71	24	0	81	19
1995 Q1...	17	67	17	25	70	5	14	76	10	14	77	9	12	72	16	8	71	21
Q2...	8	79	13	20	80	0	21	79	0	14	86	0	4	88	8	4	84	12
Q3...	16	74	11	18	82	0	27	73	0	25	60	15	15	80	5	19	67	14
Q4...	20	65	15	35	65	0	25	75	0	20	65	15	19	62	19	19	67	14

FEDERAL RESERVE BANK QUARTERLY SURVEYS OF AGRICULTURAL CREDIT CONDITIONS AT COMMERCIAL BANKS
TABLE III.B (CONTINUED)

EXPECTED DEMAND FOR FARM LOANS DURING NEXT QUARTER,
COMPARED WITH NORMAL DEMAND
(PERCENTAGE OF BANKS REPORTING)

	SHORT-TERM NONREAL ESTATE LOANS			INTERMEDIATE-TERM NONREAL ESTATE LOANS			DEBT EXTENSION OR REFINANCING		
	LOWER	SAME	HIGHER	LOWER	SAME	HIGHER	LOWER	SAME	HIGHER
III.B4 NINTH (MINNEAPOLIS) FEDERAL RESERVE DISTRICT (MI*, MN, MT, ND, SD, WI*)									
1990 Q4...	8	69	23	9	81	10	11	68	20
1991 Q1...	5	72	23	12	82	6	6	83	12
Q2...	4	75	21	14	84	2	5	78	16
Q3...	3	78	18	12	81	7	5	66	29
Q4...	8	75	18	11	82	7	4	69	27
1992 Q1...	2	86	11	3	90	7	2	79	18
Q2...	8	78	14	11	86	3	2	86	11
Q3...	10	80	10	13	82	5	8	78	14
Q4...	5	86	9	14	80	6	7	68	25
1993 Q1...	5	84	11	8	85	7	3	84	13
Q2...	3	81	16	13	82	6	6	78	17
Q3...	7	62	32	15	71	14	6	55	39
Q4...	3	69	28	7	75	18	6	56	38

	FEEDER LIVESTOCK			OTHER INTERMEDIATE			FARM REAL ESTATE			OTHER OPERATING			FARM MACHINERY							
	LOWER	SAME	HIGHER	LOWER	SAME	HIGHER	LOWER	SAME	HIGHER	LOWER	SAME	HIGHER	LOWER	SAME	HIGHER					
1994 Q4...	31	61	7	13	77	10	33	55	12	3	66	31	24	61	15					
1995 Q1...	28	68	4	15	75	10	30	60	10	5	58	37	29	58	13					
Q2...	47	49	4	27	58	16	44	48	8	5	59	36	45	49	7					
Q3...	43	50	7	25	64	11	38	52	10	16	64	20	36	55	9					
Q4...	53	36	11	26	59	15	31	53	16	9	62	29	32	55	12					
1996 Q1...	***	52	44	4	***	24	62	14	***	31	50	19	***	5	64	31	***	30	54	15

AVERAGE LOAN-TO-DEPOSIT RATIO AND OTHER INDICATORS OF RELATIVE CREDIT AVAILABILITY (PERCENTAGE OF BANKS REPORTING)

	AVERAGE LOAN-TO- DEPOSIT RATIO, END OF QUARTER PERCENT	LOAN/DEPOSIT RATIO IS			REFUSED OR REDUCED A FARM LOAN BECAUSE OF A SHORTAGE OF LOANABLE FUNDS	ACTIVELY SEEKING NEW FARM LOAN ACCOUNTS	NUMBER OF FARM LOAN REFERRALS TO							
		LOWER THAN DESIRED	AT DESIRED LEVEL	HIGHER THAN DESIRED			CORRESPONDENT BANKS				NONBANK AGENCIES			
							COMPARED WITH A YEAR EARLIER				COMPARED WITH A YEAR EARLIER			
		NONE	LOWER	SAME	HIGHER	NONE	LOWER	SAME	HIGHER	NONE	LOWER	SAME	HIGHER	
III.C1 SEVENTH (CHICAGO) FEDERAL RESERVE DISTRICT (IL*, IN*, IA, MI*, WI*) AGRICULTURAL BANKS														
1994 Q2...	62	55	33	12	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
Q3...	65	50	30	20	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
Q4...	64	50	32	18	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
1995 Q1...	65	49	34	17	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
Q2...	66	48	35	17	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
Q3...	67	51	32	17	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
Q4...	65	53	36	11	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
III.C2 TENTH (KANSAS CITY) FEDERAL RESERVE DISTRICT (CO, KS, MO*, NE, NM*, OK, WY) AGRICULTURAL BANKS														
1994 Q2...	59	69	8	23	1	76	77	11	82	7	68	10	78	12
Q3...	61	59	10	26	3	70	74	11	81	9	70	11	77	12
Q4...	60	61	7	30	4	72	75	12	81	7	67	9	77	14
1995 Q1...	61	61	7	29	5	70	76	9	85	6	68	8	79	13
Q2...	62	61	7	26	5	66	78	11	84	5	70	9	81	10
Q3...	63	59	8	25	4	65	80	11	83	6	74	11	78	11
Q4...	61	60	7	25	3	67	78	8	86	6	68	9	77	14
III.C3 ELEVENTH (DALLAS) FEDERAL RESERVE DISTRICT (LA*, NM*, TX)														
1994 Q2...	44	***	***	***	0	***	***	13	84	3	***	10	86	4
Q3...	47	***	***	***	1	***	***	12	82	6	***	10	83	7
Q4...	44	***	***	***	1	***	***	13	80	7	***	13	84	4
1995 Q1...	45	***	***	***	1	***	***	9	85	5	***	11	84	5
Q2...	47	***	***	***	1	***	***	14	80	6	***	18	76	6
Q3...	51	***	***	***	1	***	***	9	83	8	***	10	84	6
Q4...	49	***	***	***	0	***	***	9	83	9	***	7	81	12

FEDERAL RESERVE BANK QUARTERLY SURVEYS OF AGRICULTURAL CREDIT CONDITIONS AT COMMERCIAL BANKS
TABLE III.C (CONTINUED)

AVERAGE LOAN-TO-DEPOSIT RATIO AND OTHER INDICATORS OF RELATIVE CREDIT AVAILABILITY (PERCENTAGE OF BANKS REPORTING)

	AVERAGE LOAN-TO- DEPOSIT RATIO, END OF QUARTER PERCENT	LOAN/DEPOSIT RATIO IS			REFUSED OR REDUCED A FARM LOAN BECAUSE OF A SHORTAGE OF LOANABLE FUNDS	ACTIVELY SEEKING NEW FARM LOAN ACCOUNTS	NUMBER OF FARM LOAN REFERRALS TO							
		LOWER THAN DESIRED	AT DESIRED LEVEL	HIGHER THAN DESIRED			CORRESPONDENT BANKS				NONBANK AGENCIES			
							COMPARED WITH NORMAL NUMBER				COMPARED WITH NORMAL NUMBER			
		NONE	LOWER	SAME	HIGHER	NONE	LOWER	SAME	HIGHER	NONE	LOWER	SAME	HIGHER	
III.C4 NINTH (MINNEAPOLIS) FEDERAL RESERVE DISTRICT (MI*, MN, MT, ND, SD, WI*)														
1994 Q2...	65	***	***	***	5	***	49+	44	7	48	45	7		
Q3...	68	***	***	***	11	***	42	50	8	41	51	8		
Q4...	66	***	***	***	7	***	36	57	7	34	56	10		
1995 Q1...	66	***	***	***	10	***	36	58	6	31	57	12		
Q2...	69	***	***	***	9	***	36	55	9	32	57	11		
Q3...	68	***	***	***	7	***	44	53	3	42	50	8		
Q4...	71	***	***	***	4	***	43	57	0	39	58	3		
III.C5 FIFTH (RICHMOND) FEDERAL RESERVE DISTRICT (MD, NC, SC, VA, WV*)														
1994 Q2...	68	45	50	5	5	76	90	0	10	0	74	5	21	0
Q3...	71	38	52	10	0	78	85	0	15	0	74	0	26	0
Q4...	70	37	58	5	0	90	88	0	13	0	71	0	24	6
1995 Q1...	75	42	46	13	0	76	83	4	13	0	70	9	22	0
Q2...	76	36	41	23	0	83	86	0	14	0	77	0	23	0
Q3...	75	45	50	5	0	68	81	0	19	0	89	0	11	0
Q4...	71	52	43	5	0	86	95	0	5	0	90	0	10	0

+Beginning in 1994, Minneapolis omitted the response "none" for the number of referrals to either correspondent banks or nonbank agencies. The column that has been added combines responses that formerly would have been reported as either "none" or "low".

INTEREST RATES ON FARM LOANS

MOST COMMON INTEREST RATE ON FARM LOANS (AVERAGE, PERCENT)						AVERAGE INTEREST RATE EXPECTED DURING THE NEXT QUARTER COMPARED WITH AVERAGE RATES IN THE CURRENT QUARTER (PERCENTAGE OF BANKS REPORTING)								
FEEDER CATTLE LOANS	OTHER OPERATING LOANS	SHORT- TERM NONREAL ESTATE	INTER- MEDIATE NONREAL ESTATE	LONG-TERM REAL ESTATE LOANS		SHORT-TERM NONREAL ESTATE LOANS			INTERMEDIATE-TERM NONREAL ESTATE LOANS			LONG-TERM REAL ESTATE LOANS		
						LOWER	SAME	HIGHER	LOWER	SAME	HIGHER	LOWER	SAME	HIGHER
III.D1 SEVENTH (CHICAGO) FEDERAL RESERVE DISTRICT (IL*, IN*, IA, MI*, WI*) AGRICULTURAL BANKS														
1994 Q2...	9.0	9.0	***	***	8.5	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
Q3...	9.3	9.4	***	***	8.9	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
Q4...	9.9	10.0	***	***	9.5	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
1995 Q1...	10.3	10.3	***	***	9.7	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
Q2...	10.2	10.2	***	***	9.6	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
Q3...	10.1	10.2	***	***	9.3	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
Q4...	9.9	9.9	***	***	8.9	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
III.D2 TENTH (KANSAS CITY) FEDERAL RESERVE DISTRICT (CO, KS, MO*, NE, NM*, OK) AGRICULTURAL BANKS														
1994 Q2...	9.1	9.2	***	9.2	8.8	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
Q3...	9.4	9.6	***	9.6	9.2	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
Q4...	10.0	10.1	***	10.1	9.7	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
1995 Q1...	10.4	10.5	***	10.5	10.1	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
Q2...	10.3	10.5	***	10.4	9.9	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
Q3...	10.2	10.4	***	10.3	9.8	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
Q4...	10.1	10.2	***	10.1	9.6	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***

FEDERAL RESERVE BANK QUARTERLY SURVEYS OF AGRICULTURAL CREDIT CONDITIONS AT COMMERCIAL BANKS
TABLE III.D (CONTINUED)

INTEREST RATES ON FARM LOANS

	MOST COMMON INTEREST RATE ON FARM LOANS (AVERAGE, PERCENT)					AVERAGE INTEREST RATE EXPECTED DURING THE NEXT QUARTER COMPARED WITH AVERAGE RATES IN THE CURRENT QUARTER (PERCENTAGE OF BANKS REPORTING)								
	FEEDER CATTLE LOANS	OTHER OPERATING LOANS	SHORT- TERM NONREAL ESTATE	INTER- MEDIATE NONREAL ESTATE	LONG-TERM REAL ESTATE LOANS	SHORT-TERM NONREAL ESTATE LOANS			INTERMEDIATE-TERM NONREAL ESTATE LOANS			LONG-TERM REAL ESTATE LOANS		
						LOWER	SAME	HIGHER	LOWER	SAME	HIGHER	LOWER	SAME	HIGHER
III.D3 NINTH (MINNEAPOLIS) FEDERAL RESERVE DISTRICT (MI*, MN, MT, ND, SD, WI*)														
1994 Q2...	***	9.1	***	9.2	8.4	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
Q3...	***	9.5	***	9.8	8.7	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
Q4...	***	10.3	***	10.4	9.7	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
1995 Q1...	***	10.6	***	10.6	10.1	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
Q2...	***	10.8	***	10.8	10.2	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
Q3...	***	10.3	***	10.3	9.9	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
Q4...	***	10.0	***	10.4	9.7	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
III.D4 ELEVENTH (DALLAS) FEDERAL RESERVE DISTRICT (LA*, NM*, TX)														
1994 Q2...	9.6	9.8	***	9.7	9.7	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
Q3...	10.1	10.1	***	10.1	10.1	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
Q4...	10.5	10.5	***	10.6	10.6	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
1995 Q1...	11.0	11.1	***	11.2	11.0	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
Q2...	11.0	11.0	***	11.1	10.7	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
Q3...	10.8	10.9	***	10.7	10.5	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
Q4...	10.6	10.8	***	10.7	10.4	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
III.D5 FIFTH (RICHMOND) FEDERAL RESERVE DISTRICT (MD, NC, SC, VA, WV*)														
1994 Q2...	9.8	9.7	***	9.9	10.0	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
Q3...	9.6	9.5	***	9.7	9.4	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
Q4...	10.0	10.2	***	10.2	9.8	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
1995 Q1...	10.7	10.5	***	10.5	10.2	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
Q2...	10.4	10.4	***	10.4	10.0	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
Q3...	10.4	10.2	***	10.2	10.0	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
Q4...	10.1	10.1	***	10.1	9.5	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***

TRENDS IN FARM REAL ESTATE VALUES AND LOAN VOLUME

MARKET VALUE OF GOOD FARMLAND											EXPECTED TREND IN FARM REAL ESTATE LOAN VOLUME DURING THE NEXT QUARTER, COMPARED TO YEAR EARLIER (PERCENTAGE OF BANKS)					
PERCENTAGE CHANGE DURING QUARTER				PERCENTAGE CHANGE FROM A YEAR EARLIER				TREND EXPECTED DURING THE NEXT QUARTER (PERCENTAGE OF BANKS)								
ALL	DRY- LAND	IRRI- GATED	RANCH- LAND	ALL	DRY- LAND	IRRI- GATED	RANCH- LAND	DOWN	STABLE	UP	LOWER	SAME	HIGHER			
III.E1 SEVENTH (CHICAGO) FEDERAL RESERVE DISTRICT (IL*, IN*, IA, MI*, WI*) AGRICULTURAL BANKS																
1994 Q2...	1	***	***	***	6	***	***	***	2	70	28	16	66	18		
Q3...	1	***	***	***	7	***	***	***	4	59	37	17	64	19		
Q4...	1	***	***	***	7	***	***	***	3	65	32	19	63	18		
1995 Q1...	1	***	***	***	5	***	***	***	2	67	31	18	60	22		
Q2...	0	***	***	***	5	***	***	***	3	73	23	18	69	13		
Q3...	1	***	***	***	4	***	***	***	3	65	31	16	63	21		
Q4...	2	***	***	***	5	***	***	***	1	41	58	11	60	29		
III.E2 FIFTH (RICHMOND) FEDERAL RESERVE DISTRICT (MD, NC, SC, VA, WV*)																
1994 Q2...	-8	***	***	***	-3	***	***	***	0	100	0	10	80	10		
Q3...	4	***	***	***	4	***	***	***	4	92	4	17	74	9		
Q4...	-5	***	***	***	-1	***	***	***	5	95	0	15	80	5		
1995 Q1...	18	***	***	***	8	***	***	***	4	96	0	17	83	0		
Q2...	-6	***	***	***	9	***	***	***	0	96	4	26	74	0		
Q3...	-8	***	***	***	-3	***	***	***	5	91	5	16	74	11		
Q4...	8	***	***	***	9	***	***	***	0	100	0	26	68	5		
III.E3 ELEVENTH (DALLAS) FEDERAL RESERVE DISTRICT (LA*, NM*, TX)																
1994 Q2...	***	1	3	1	***	5	3	2	***	***	***	17	73	10		
Q3...	***	0	-2	-5	***	4	5	-0	***	***	***	14	72	14		
Q4...	***	1	3	9	***	4	5	3	***	***	***	11	79	10		
1995 Q1...	***	-1	1	1	***	1	4	6	***	***	***	10	78	12		
Q2...	***	1	4	9	***	1	5	14	***	***	***	17	73	10		
Q3...	***	-2	-3	3	***	-1	4	24	***	***	***	14	73	13		
Q4...	***	0	-2	8	***	-2	-0	23	***	***	***	21	68	11		

FEDERAL RESERVE BANK QUARTERLY SURVEYS OF AGRICULTURAL CREDIT CONDITIONS AT COMMERCIAL BANKS
TABLE III.E (CONTINUED)

TRENDS IN FARM REAL ESTATE VALUES AND LOAN VOLUME

MARKET VALUE OF GOOD FARMLAND											EXPECTED DEMAND FOR FARM REAL ESTATE LOANS DURING THE NEXT QUARTER, COMPARED WITH NORMAL (PERCENTAGE OF BANKS)			
PERCENTAGE CHANGE DURING QUARTER				PERCENTAGE CHANGE FROM A YEAR EARLIER				TREND EXPECTED DURING THE NEXT QUARTER (PERCENTAGE OF BANKS)						
ALL	DRY- LAND	IRRI- GATED	RANCH- LAND	ALL	DRY- LAND	IRRI- GATED	RANCH- LAND	DOWN	STABLE	UP	LOWER	SAME	HIGHER	
III.E4 TENTH (KANSAS CITY) FEDERAL RESERVE DISTRICT (CO, KS, MO*, NE, NM*, OK, WY)														
1994 Q2...	***	0	1	1	***	3	4	7	***	***	***	***	***	***
Q3...	***	2	2	1	***	6	6	7	***	***	***	***	***	***
Q4...	***	2	-0	1	***	6	5	7	***	***	***	***	***	***
1995 Q1...	***	2	2	3	***	7	4	6	***	***	***	***	***	***
Q2...	***	1	1	-0	***	7	4	5	***	***	***	***	***	***
Q3...	***	1	0	2	***	6	3	5	***	***	***	***	***	***
Q4...	***	1	1	1	***	5	4	5	***	***	***	***	***	***
III.E5 NINTH (MINNEAPOLIS) FEDERAL RESERVE DISTRICT (MI*, MN, MT, ND, SC, WI*)														
1994 Q2...	***	***	***	***	***	7	4	6	***	***	***	***	***	***
Q3...	***	***	***	***	***	6	4	4	***	***	***	***	***	***
Q4...	***	***	***	***	***	4	4	5	***	***	***	***	***	***
1995 Q1...	***	***	***	***	***	4	4	3	***	***	***	***	***	***
Q2...	***	***	***	***	***	3	3	2	***	***	***	***	***	***
Q3...	***	***	***	***	***	3	4	3	***	***	***	***	***	***
Q4...	***	***	***	***	***	4	6	2	***	***	***	***	***	***