E.15 (125)



AGRICULTURAL FINANCE DATABOOK

D

Fourth Quarter 1990

Guide to internal tables of contents and notes on sources	Page
Farm debt outstanding, major lending institutions	3
Amount and characteristics of farm loans made by commercial banks	6
Selected statistics from the quarterly reports of condition of commercial	
banks	15
Reserve bank surveys of farm credit conditions and farm land values	25

Division of Research and Statistics Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System Washington, D.C. 20551

gitized for Nicholas A. Walraven and John Rosine

http://fraser.stlouisfed.org/ Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis

General Information

The <u>Agricultural Finance Databook</u> is a compilation of various data on current developments in agricultural finance. Large portions of the data come from regular surveys conducted by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System or Federal Reserve Banks. Other portions of the data come from the quarterly call report data of commercial banks or from the reports of other financial institutions involved in agricultural lending. When the current issue went to press, data from the survey of terms of bank lending were available for the fourth quarter of 1990; the other data generally were available only through the third quarter of 1990.

Parts or all of the <u>Agricultural Finance Databook</u> may be copied and distributed freely. Any redistribution of selected parts of the <u>Databook</u> should be accompanied by the "contents" pages at the beginning of the corresponding section, together with the front cover identifying the <u>Databook</u> and date of issue, and this page providing subscription information. Remaining substantive questions may be addressed to Nicholas Walraven or John Rosine at the address shown on the cover.

The <u>Databook</u> is furnished on a complimentary basis to college and university teachers, libraries of educational institutions, government departments and agencies, and public libraries. Others should enclose the annual subscription fee of \$5.00.

New subscriptions to the <u>Databook</u> (Statistical Release E.15) may be entered by sending a mailing address (including zip code) to:

Publications Services, Mail Stop 138 Federal Reserve Board Washington, D.C. 20551

Notice of change of address also should be sent to Publications Services. A copy of the back cover showing the old address should be included.

Digitized for FRASER http://fraser.stlouisfed.org/ Federal Referve Bank of St Lesis SECTION I: FARM DEBT OUTSTANDING (including farm households)

TABLES:

Debt held by institutional lenders	Page
I.A Quarterly estimates	4

SOURCES OF DATA:

The sources of the data in this section are: quarterly reports of condition, all insured commercial banks; the quarterly information statements of the Farm Credit System; "Gross Flow of Mortgage Loans in the United States," American Council of Life Insurance; and "Report 616," Farmers Home Administration, U.S. Department of Agriculture. The farm debt series on a USDA basis is from the Economic Research Service. The data are not seasonally adjusted.

The quarterly data shown here for commercial banks, life insurance companies, and the Farmers Home Administration are virtually the same as those reported annually in the USDA accounts that include the debt of farm households (as well as the debt of farm businesses). By contrast, the numbers shown here for the Farm Credit System differ somewhat from those shown in the USDA accounts, owing to adjustments by the USDA to allow for loans that are not for agricultural purposes.

Recent developments:

At the end of the third quarter of 1990, the volume of farm loans held by the major institutional lenders was about 1/2 percent below the level of a year earlier; this year-to-year rate of decline was a little smaller than the figure reported in the previous quarter. The reduction in outstanding loans, relative to a year earlier, continued to be concentrated in the loans held by the Farmers Home Administration. Excluding the FmHA, the loan volume of the institutional lenders was about 3-1/4 percent above the level of a year earlier. The volume of loans held by life insurance companies rose almost 11-1/2 percent over the year. In addition, the volume of farm loans held by commercial banks was up more than 5 percent from the level of a year earlier, with roughly similar percentage gains in the real estate and nonreal estate categories. The Farm Credit System saw its volume of real estate loans fall about 3-1/2 percent over the year; however, the system's volume of nonreal estate farm loans increased more than 7 percent over the same period.

TABLE I.A

FARM DEBT OUTSTANDING: DATA REPORTED BY THE MAJOR FARM LENDING INSTITUTIONS (END OF QUARTER)

						REA	L ESTATE	DEBT				NONREAL ES	TATE DEB	Т		MEMO: FARM DEBT
		TOTAL		TOTAL	INSURED COMMERCIAL BANKS	FARM CREDIT SYSTEM	LIFE INSURANCE COMPANIES	FARMERS HOME ADMINIS- TRATION		TOTAL	INSURED COMMERCIAL BANKS	FAŔM CREDIT SYSTEM	FARMERS HOME ADMINIS- TRATION	. J	HELD BY MAJO FARM LENDING INSTITUTIONS USDA BASI	
		I.A1	AMOUNT,	END OF	QUARTER,	BILLIONS OF	DOLLARS									
1987	Q 4	I	123.8	I	68.8	14.5	34.3	9.9	10.1		55.1	29.0	9.9	16.1		122.0
1988	Q1	1	121.4	1	67.9	14.7	33.6	9.7	10.0	1	53.4	28.1	9.5	15.9		***
	Q2	1	124.1	1	67.7	15.2	33.0	9.6	9.9		56.4	30.3	10.1	16.0	!	***
	Q3	1	124.2	i	67.8	15.3	33.0	9.6	9.8	ł	56.4	30.8	9.8	15.8	!	***
	Q 4	1	120.6	1	66.9	15.4	32.2	9.7	9.6	i	53.8	29.8	9.3	14.7		118.5
1 9 9 9	01		110 0												•	110.0
1909	Q2	1	118.2		65.9	15.8	31.1	9.5	9.6	1	52.3	28.4	9.3	14.6	1	***
	Q3	!	121.2	•	65.9	16.3	30.6	9.5	9.4	1	55.3	30.7	10.0	14.6	1	***
	Q4		122.0		65.8	16.5	30.5	9.5	9.3	1	56.2	31.5	10.2	14.4	1	***
	24	I	118.4	1	65.2	16.6	30.2	9.6	8.7		53.2	30.8	10.0	12.4	1	116.5
1990	Q1	1	116.3	1	65.1	16.8	29.9	9.8	8.6	1	51.2	29.3	9.7	12.1		***
	Q2	1	119.6	1	65.2	17.1	29.6	10.1	8.5	- i	54.4	31.9	10.5	11.9		***
	Q3	ł	121.5	I	65.7	17.3	29.4	10.6	8.3	i	55.8	33.2	11.0	11.9	1	***
		I. A 2	PERCENT	AGE OF 1	OTAL SPE	CIFIED DEBT,	END OF	UARTER								
1987	Q 4	I	***	I	100.0	21.0	49.9	14.4	14.6	I	100.0	52.7	18.0	29.2	1	***
1988	Q1	1	***	1	100.0	21.7	49.4	14.2	14.7		100.0	52.6				
	Q2	1	***	i	100.0	22.4	48.8	14.1	14.7	-	100.0	53.6	17.7	29.7		***
	Q3	1	***	1	100.0	22.6	48.7	14.1	14.5	1	100.0	54.6	17.9	28.4	1	***
	Q4	I	***	1	100.0	23.1	48.1	14.5	14.4	í	100.0	55.4	17. 4 17.2	28.0 27.3		***
										·		••••	11.2	21.5	ł	~~~
1989	01	!	***	1	100.0	24.0	47.1	14.4	14.5	1	100.0	54.3	17.8	27.9		***
	Q2	1	***	1	100.0	24.7	46.5	14.4	14.3	1	100.0	55.5	18.1	26.4	1	***
	Q3	1	***	1	100.0	25.1	46.3	14.5	14.2	1	100.0	56.1	18.2	25.7		***
	Q 4	I	***	1	100.0	25.5	46.4	14.7	13.3	Ì	100.0	57.9	18.8	23.3		***
	Q1	I	***	I	100.0	25.8	46.0	15.0	13 0		100.0					
L 99 0		i	***	i	100.0	26.3	45.4	15.4	13.2 13.0		100.0	57.3	19.0	23.7	I	***
L 99 0	Q2	,					30.3	1	13.0	1	100.0	58.7	19.4	21.9		
	Q2 Q3	Ì	***	i	100.0	26.4	44.8	16.1	12.7		100.0	59.5	19.7	20.9		***

.

•

£

· • •

۲

۲

•

TABLE I.B

•

0

1

•

Ð

FARM DEBT OUTSTANDING: DATA REPORTED BY THE MAJOR FARM LENDING INSTITUTIONS

• • •

۲

•

•

						REA	L ESTATE	DEBT				NONREAL ES	T		MEMO: FARM DEBT	
			TOTAL		TOTAL	INSURED COMMERCIAL BANKS	FARM CREDIT SYSTEM	LIFE INSURANCE COMPANIES	FARMERS HOME ADMINIS- TRATION		TOTAL	INSURED FARM FARMERS AL COMMERCIAL CREDIT HOME BANKS SYSTEM ADMINIS- TRATION			HELD BY MAJO FARM LENDING INSTITUTIONS USDA BASI	
		I.B1	PERCENT C	HANGE	FROM PRE	VIOUS QUARTE	IR									
.987 Q4		1	-2.4		-0.9	2.4	-2.2	-1.8	0.0	I	-4.1	-5.2	-5.6	-1.1	ł	***
000 01			-2.0		-1.2	1.9	-2.3	-2.4	-1.1	I.	-2.9	-3.2	-4.5	-1.4	1	***
.988 Q1		1	2.3	1	-0.3	3.0	-1.5	-0.8	-0.4	i	5.6	7.6	6.8	1.1	Í	***
	•••	1			-0.3	1.2	-0.0	0.1	-1.0	i	-0.1	1.7	-3.1	-1.5	i i	***
	 		0.0 -2.9	1	-1.4	0.5	-2.6	0.8	-2.5	i	-4.6	-3.1	-5.7	-6.9	I	***
			a a			2.7	-3.5	-1.7	-0.4	1	-2.8	-4.7	0.3	-0.7	1	* * *
.989 Q1		I	-2.0	1	-1.4		-3.5	0.0	-1.2		5.8	8.2	7.5	-0.0	i	***
_	• • •	1	2.5	1	-0.1	3.0	-1.4	0.2	-1.2	1	1.6	2.5	2.6	-1.0	i	***
	•••		0.7	I	-0.1	1.2	-0.3	0.9	-6.8		-5.3	-2.2	-2.1	-14.4	i	***
Q4	•••	i	-3.0	I	-1.0	0.9	-0.8	0.9	-0.0	1	0.0					
.990 Q1		1	-1.8	1	-0.2	0.7	-1.1	1.8	-0.9	1	-3.7	-4.7	-3.2	-1.8	1	***
-		i	2.9	İ	0.3	2.2	-1.1	3.0	-1.9	1	6.2	8.7	8.7	-1.7		***
-	•••	i	1.6	I	0.7	1.1	-0.5	5.3	-1.4	I	2.7	4.1	4.1	-2.3		***
		I.B2	PERCENTAG	E CHAN	ge from	SAME QUARTER	OF PREV	IOUS YEAR								
987 Q4		1	-6.5	1	-6.2	13.9	-12.6	-9.9	-2.7	I	-7.0	-6.9	-14.1	-2.1	I	-6.4
						10.1	-10.4	-7.4	-3.0	ı	-3.8	-2.3	-9.1	-3.1	1	***
L988 Q1		1	-4.3	1	-4.7	12.1	-10.4	-7.4	-2.6	1	-2.2	-0.5	-4.9	-3.6	i	***
	• • •	I	-3.1	I	-3.8	9.6 8.6	-8.2	-4.8	-2.3	1	-1.8	0.4	-6.7	-2.9	i	***
	• • •	I	-2.1	ļ	-2.3	8.6 6.7	-5.9	-2.3	-4.7	i	-2.4	2.6	-6.8	-8.6	i	-2.8
Q4	• • •	I	-2.6	1	-2.8	σ./	-0.3	-2.5	3.7	1					•	
.989 Q1		ı	-2.6	I	-2.9	7.5	-7.5	-1.6	-4.1	i	-2.2	1.0	-2.0	-8.0		***
-		i	-2.4	i	-2.7	7.6	-7.3	-0.8	-4.9	I	-2.0	1.5	-1.5	-9.0	1	***
		i	-1.8	i	-2.9	7.6	-7.8	-0.7	-5.1	I	-0.4	2.4	4.4	-8.6	1	***
			-1.9	i	-2.5	8.0	-6.0	-0.6	-9.3	1	-1.1	3.3	8.3	-15.9	I	-1.8
000 61			-1.7	,	-1.3	5.9	-3.6	2.9	-9.8	I	-2.1	3.4	4.5	-16.9	I	***
L990 <u>Q</u> 1		1	-1.7	1	-1.3	5.1	-3.4	6.0	-10.5	i	-1.6	3.9	5.6	-18.3	1	***
-	• • •	1	-1.3 -0.4	1	-0.2	5.0	-3.4	11.4	-10.7	i	-0.6	5.5	7.2	-19.3	1	***
3		1	-0.4	1	-0.2	5.0	.		—	•						

SECTION II: AMOUNT AND CHARACTERISTICS OF FARM LOANS MADE BY COMMERCIAL BANKS

TABLES:

<u>Estimat</u>	es from the quarterly survey of nonreal estate farm loans	Page
A.II	Amount	8
	Number	
II.C	Average size	10
II.D	Average maturity	11
II.E	Average effective interest rate	12
II.F	Percentage of loans with a floating interest rate	13
II.G	Distribution of farm loans by effective interest rate	14

SOURCES OF DATA:

These data on the farm loans made by commercial banks are derived from quarterly sample surveys conducted by the Federal Reserve System during the first full week of the second month of each quarter. Data obtained from the sample are expanded into national estimates for all commercial banks, which are shown in the following tables.

Before August 1989, the farm loan survey was part of a broader survey of the terms of lending by a sample of 340 commercial banks. A subset of 250 banks was asked for information regarding agricultural lending, and about 150 typically reported at least one farm loan.

Beginning in August of 1989, the data are being drawn from a new, redesigned sample of 250 banks that is no longer part of the broader survey. In the new sample, banks are stratified according to their volume of farm lending; previously, they had been stratified according to the volume of total loans. As before, however, the sample data are being expanded into national estimates for all commercial banks. In the November 1990 survey, about 210 banks reported at least one farm loan, and the number of sample loans totaled almost 5000, more than twice the number typically reported in the quarterly surveys that were done before the sample was redesigned.

In both the previous survey and the new one, the national estimates exhibit variability due to sampling error. This variability is particularly evident in data on average maturity, which are greatly affected by the occasional appearance of large loans with either very long or very short maturities. In addition, the breakdown of national estimates into those for large banks and small banks may be affected somewhat by the new sampling procedures that were implemented in August 1989; apparent shifts in the data as of that date therefore should be treated with caution.

SECTION II: (CONTINUED)

More detailed results from each quarterly survey are published in Table 5 of Statistical Release E.2, "Survey of Terms of Bank Lending," for which a mailing list is maintained by Publications Services, Mail Stop 138, Federal Reserve Board, Washington, D.C., 20551. Starting with the August 1986 survey, farm loans secured by real estate are included in the data shown in Statistical Release E.2, whereas such loans are excluded from the tabulations presented here.

RECENT DEVELOPMENTS:

Results from the two most recent quarterly surveys (conducted in August and November of 1990) were heavily influenced by the terms of lending on some unusually large farm loans. The size of these loans has been in the range of \$10 to \$20 million, and the loans have had maturities of one or two days. Although it probably is still too early to read too much into the recurrence of such loans, they do seem to be cropping up more frequently in the recent surveys, and may signal a shift in the type of credit demanded by agricultural borrowers. Apart from these large loans (which, by purpose of loan, show up mainly in the "other" category), the volume of new lending appeared to rise in the fourth quarter, paced by strong growth in the volume of loans for feeder livestock.

The estimated number of loans made by all commercial banks was 2.77 million at an annual rate in the fourth quarter, and 2.63 million for all of 1990; the figure for the year was about the same as the number for 1989. The interest rates on nonreal estate farm loans (Table II.E) were down about 1 percentage point in 1990, to an annual average of 11.4 percent; rates fell in 1990 for all categories of loans. Although the average rate was pulled down by relatively low rates on the aforementioned large loans, rates for smaller loans fell as well. As shown in the second-to-last column of Table II.G, almost three-fourths of the loans made in the mid-November survey week carried an effective interest rate between 11.0 percent and 12.9 percent; a similar proportion of loans had fallen in this same range in the August 1990 survey. When weighted by the amount of the loan, the distribution of loans skews towards lower interest rates. This reflects, in part, the large loans mentioned earlier, but also it reflects the lower interest rates offered frequently for larger loans.

ESTIMATES FROM THE QUARTERLY SAMPLE SURVEY OF BANK NONREAL ESTATE LOANS TO FARMERS TABLE II.A AMOUNT OF LOANS MADE (BILLIONS OF DOLLARS)

					BY P	URPOSE OF L	OAN			LA		ZE OF 1,000s	;)			SIZE BANK
		ALL		FEEDER	OTHER	OTHER CURRENT	FARM MACHINERY			1	10	25	100			
		LOANS		LIVE-	LIVESTOCK	OPERATING	AND	OTHER		to	to	to	and			
				STOCK		EXPENSES	EQUIPMENT			9	24	99	over		LARGE	OTHE
					ANNUAL AMOU	NT OF LOANS	MADE									
 1979		52.7		16.8	4.9	18.7	4.2	8.0	1	8.7	8.5	17.3	18.2		11.1	41.6
1980	i	60.0	i	16.1	4.6	24.1	4.8	10.1	i	8.5	9.7	18.1	23.8	i	11.9	48.1
1981	i	61.1	i	12.7	4.3	27.3	4.7	11.4	i	8.3	9.5	18.0	25.3	i	12.8	48.3
1982	Í	66.0	Ì	13.6	4.5	28.1	5.4	13.4	i	7.9	9.8	18.2	30.0	i	21.7	44.3
1983	1	67.3	1	12.1	5.9	31.1	6.1	11.9	i i	8.4	9.0	17.5	32.4	i	18.6	48.7
1984	1	60.8	1	10.7	6.5	26.5	4.4	12.2	i	8.9	7.8	17.6	26.5	i	15.8	45.0
1985	1	52.1	I	8.6	5.2	22.6	4.4	11.3	Í	7.2	7.4	13.5	24.0	i	14.9	37.3
1986	1	48.5	1	10.4	4.5	23.2	2.4	8.0	i	6.0	6.9	13.2	22.3	i	12.6	35.9
1987	I	49.6	1	13.2	3.4	22.5	2.3	8.3	i	5.7	6.8	12.6	24.5	i	17.1	32.5
1988	1	48.2	1	10.0	4.6	24.3	1.9	7.4	i	5.2	6.4	12.9	23.7	i	15.9	32.3
1989	1	51.6	1	12.9	6.0	24.3	2.0	6.4	i	6.1	.7.7	14.4	23.4	i	19.6	32.0
1990	I	74.7	I	22.0	5.5	26.6	2.3	18.3	i	6.1	7.3	15.9	45.3	i	44.2	30.5
			AMOUNI	OF LOANS	MADE DURIN	G FIRST FUL	L WEEK OF S	econd Mon	ITH OF	QUARTI	ER, AN	NUAL F	ATE			
1988 Q4	I	38.1	I	10.7	5.4	14.7	1.1	6.1	I	3.7	4.9	10.8	18.7	I	14.1	24.0
1989 01	1	41.2	I	11.7	3.1	19.1	1.8	5.4	1	4.8	5.6	10.0	20.7		17.0	24.2
Q2	İ	62.7	i	11.8	5.2	35.8	1.8	8.1	i	7.4	9.3	15.6	30.4		18.8	43.9
Q3	i	47.7	Ì	11.4	5.7	22.3	2.5	5.8	i	6.7	8.0	14.8	18.2		18.4	29.4
Q4	Ì	54.8	Ì	16.8	10.0	20.0	1.7	6.3	i	5.6	7.6	17.2	24.4	i	24.2	30.6
1990 Q1	I	62.1	I	18.4	7.3	24.6	3.3	8.5	,	5.3	6.5	16.9	33.3		20.4	<u> </u>
Q2	i	59.9	i	15.9	3.5	23.9	2.2	14.4	1	6.8	8.1	13.6			29.4	32.6
Q3	i	81.4	i	20.6	3.6	32.8	1.3	23.1		6.0	7.1		31.4	ļ	33.2	26.7
Q4	i	95.4	1	33.1	7.7	25.0	2.3	23.1	1	6.4	7.6	12.8	55.5	1	54.8	26.6
*****					· • /	20.0	£.J	4/.9	1	0.4	/.0	20.3	61.2	1	59.4	36.0

٠

.

.

 $\sim 10^{-1}$

ESTIMATES FROM THE QUARTERLY SAMPLE SURVEY OF BANK NONREAL ESTATE LOANS TO FARMERS TABLE II.B

•

,

e

NUMBER OF LOANS MADE (MILLIONS)

•

۲

, **•**

					BY P	URPOSE OF I	OAN			I		ZE OF	3)			SIZE BANK
		ALL LOANS		FEEDER LIVE- STOCK	OTHER LIVESTOCK	OTHER CURRENT OPERATING EXPENSES	FARM MACHINERY AND EQUIPMENT	OTHER		1 to 9	10 to 24	25 to 99	100 and over		LARGE	OTHE
				ANNUA	L NUMBER OF	LOANS MADE										
1979		3.42	1	0.52	0.31	1.75	0.43	0.41		2.37	0.59	0.37	0.08	1	0.18	3.24
1980	1	3.40	1	0.50	0.29	1.76	0.45	0.39	1	2.23	0.66	0.41	0.10	1	0.18	3.22
1981	1	3.39	1	0.39	0.26	2.01	0.38	0.34	I	2.23	0.65	0.4.	υ.10	1	0.17	3.21
1982	1	3.30	1	0.33	0.26	2.06	0.30	0.35	1	2.14	0.67	0.40	0.09	1	0.22	3.08
1983	1	3.41	1	0.37	0.32	2.00	0.39	0.32	1	2.32	0.60	0.38	0.11	1	0.20	3.21
1984	1	3.44	1	0.34	0.29	2.06	0.35	0.35	1	2.42	0.53	0.40	0.09	1	0.18	3.26
1985	1	2.96	1	0.34	0.23	1.77	0.36	0.27	1	2.06	0.51	0.30	0.09	1	0.18	2.78
1986	1	2.55	1	0.30	0.17	1.66	0.17	0.24	1	1.71	0.46	0.29	0.08	1	0.20	2.34
1987	1	2.38	1	0.39	0.13	1.54	0.14	0.19	1	1.57	0.46	0.27	0.08	1	0.20	2.18
1988	1	2.21	1	0.29	0.11	1.45	0.14	0.21	1	1.42	0.43	0.28	0.07	1	0.23	1.99
L989	1	2.60	1	0.30	0.20	1.73	0.16	0.20	I	1.67	0.52	0.31	0.09	1	0.36	2.23
1990	I	2.63	1	0.32	0.24	1.69	0.19	0.19	1	1.70	0.49	0.35	0.09	I	0.44	2.20
		ł	NUMBER	OF LOANS	MADE DURIN	G FIRST FUL	L WEEK OF SI	econd mon	ITH OF	F QUART	ER, AN	NUAL P	ATE			
1988 Q4	1	1.64	I	0.33	0.09	1.00	0.09	0.12	I	1.02	0.33	0.22	0.06	I	0.18	1.46
L989 Q1	i	2.01	ł	0.24	0.12	1.31	0.16	0.17	1	1.33	0.38	0.23	0.07	1	0.21	1.80
Q2	i	3.13	i	0.28	0.22	2.25	0.15	0.24	i	2.06	0.64	0.32	0.11	i	0.33	2.80
Q3	i	2.78	i	0.26	0.19	1.95	0.19	0.20	i	1.84	0.55	0.32	0.07	i	0.48	2.30
Q4	i	2.47	i	0.44	0.29	1.40	0.15	0.19	i	1.47	0.52	0.39	0.09	i	0.45	2.02
L990 Q1	1	2.37	I	0.30	0.23	1.38	0.25	0.20	I	1.48	0.42	0.36	0.10	I	0.40	1.97
	1	2.81	1	0.26	0.26	1.90	0.21	0.19	1	1.86	0.56	0.31	0.08	1	0.52	2.29
Q2							A 13				A 4A	A AA	A A A			0 17
Q2 Q3	Ì	2.59		0.25	0.16	1.87	0.13	0.18	1	1.73	0.48	0.29	0.09	1	0.42	2.17

ESTIMATES FROM THE QUARTERLY SAMPLE SURVEY OF BANK NONREAL ESTATE LOANS TO FARMERS TABLE II.C

			. ·	57.5					Ŧ		ZE OF 1,000s	\			SIZE BANK
				BIP	URPOSE OF I	JOAN			1	oan (ş	1,0003)		ŰĔ	DAMA
		ALL LOANS	FEEDER LIVE- STOCK	OTHER LIVESTOCK	OTHER CURRENT OPERATING EXPENSES	Farm Machinery And Equipment	OTHER		1 to 9	10 to 24	25 to 99	100 and over		LARGE	othe
			ANNUZ	L AVERAGE S	IZE OF LOAN	IS MADE									
1979	1	15.4	32.4	15.9	10.7	9.8	19.4	1	3.7	14.4	46.7	220	1	61.5	12.8
1980	i	17.7	32.3	15.8	13.7	10.7	25.8	I	3.8	14.7	43.9	239	I	66.3	14.9
.981	i	18.0	32.6	16.6	13.6	12.3	33.8	Ì	3.7	14.7	43.5	255	1	73.0	15.
.982	i	20.0	41.5	17.5	13.6	17.6	38.9	Ì	3.7	14.6	46.1	326	Ì	97.8	14.
983	i	19.7	32.5	18.2	15.5	15.6	37.1	1	3.6	14.8	46.3	294	1	92.0	15.
984	i	17.7	j 31.8	21.9	12.9	12.5	34.8	Ì	3.7	14.7	43.8	291	1	88.1	13.
985	i	17.6	25.7	22.5	12.8	12.4	42.1	Ì	3.5	14.4	45.5	255	Í	82.0	13.
986	i	19.0	j 35.0	25.8	14.0	13.6	32.9	Ì	3.5	14.9	44.9	280	Ì	62.0	15.
987	i	20.8	33.8	26.3	14.6	16.1	44.6	i	3.6	14.7	46.5	320	i	85.5	14.
988	i	21.8	j 34.1	40.6	16.7	13.9	34.7	i	3.7	14.8	45.2	320	Í	70.0	16.
989	i	19.9	42.7	29.5	14.1	12.1	32.2	i	3.6	. 14.7	45.9	272	- Î	53.7	14.
.990	i	28.4	69.7	22.7	15.7	11.9	94.3	Î	3.6	14.8	46.1	488	1	100.7	13.
		AVEF	RAGE SIZE OF 1	LOANS MADE D	OURING FIRST	FULL WEEK	OF SECOND	MONT	H OF C	UARTER	, ANNU	AL RATE	5		
988 Q4	1	23.2	32.6	59.3	14.6	12.3	50.0	I	3.6	14.5	48.7	288	I	80.0	16.
989 01	1	20.5	49.1	25.5	14.5	11.0	32.0	I	3.6	15.1	43.4	296	1	80.9	13.
Q2	i	20.1	42.7	24.4	15.9	12.3	34.0	i	3.6	14.6	48.5	275	i	57.8	
Q3	i	17.2	44.2	30.0	11.4	13.6	29.2	i	3.6	14.5	46.5	252	i	38.5	
Q4	1	22.2	38.3	34.5	14.3	11.0	33.4	i	3.8	14.8	44.5	266	i	54.0	15.
		26.2	61.2	32.0	17.7	13.0	42.0	I	3.6	15.3	47.5	324	I	73.8	16.
990 Q1									2 6	14.3	44.3	409			
990 Q1 Q2		21.3	62.0	13.8	12.6	10.5	77.5	1	3.6	14.2	44.3	409		63.6	- 11.
990 Q1 Q2 Q3	 		•	13.8 22.1	12.6 17.6	10.5	128.3		3.5	14.5	44.0	409 651		63.6 130.3	11. 12.

-

.

ESTIMATES FROM THE QUARTERLY SAMPLE SURVEY OF BANK NONREAL ESTATE LOANS TO FARMERS TABLE II.D

•

AVERAGE MATURITY OF LOANS MADE (MONTHS)

• • • •

۲

					BY F	URPOSE OF I	JOAN]		IZE OF \$1,000s	3)		SIZE BANK	
		ALL LOANS		FEEDER LIVE- STOCK	OTHER LIVESTOCK	OTHER CURRENT OPERATING EXPENSES	FARM MACHINERY AND EQUIPMENT	OTHER		1 to 9	10 to 24	25 to 99	100 and over		LARGE	OTHE
					ANNUAL AVER	AGE MATURIT	Ŷ									
1979		7.1		5.9	6.8	6.0	14.2	8.7		7.7	8.3	7.8	5.5		5.3	7 6
1980	1	7.2	1	6.2	7.1	6.6	13.5	6.7	1	6.8	7.0	7.1	5.5 7.6	1	5.3	7.6
1981	1	6.0	i	5.5	6.5	5.6	11.1	5.2	1	6.2	6.4	6.0	7.6 5.8			7.3
1982	i i	6.5	İ	5.1	7.0	7.1	8.4	5.4	i	6.0	7.0	6.6	5.8	1	5.4	6.2
1983	1	8.9	Ì	5.5	8.1	10.4	10.6	7.8		7.0	8.1	8.1	10.0		6.0 6.1	6.7
1984	Í	7.7	i	5.0	6.6	7.8	12.6	8.1		7.0	7.5	7.7	8.0			9.9
1985	1	8.0	i	6.1	7.8	7.3	13.4	8.8	1	6.7	7.7	9.1	7.9		7.0	7.9
1986	i	8.0	i	5.8	6.3	7.6	21.0	8.8	1	6.8	8.0	9.1	7.9	1	6.9	8.4
1987	Ì	8.4	i	5.5	7.7	7.6	22.8	12.1	1	7.5	8.1	9.8	8.3	1	5.5	8.8
1988	i	8.7	i	6.4	4.7	8.5	19.8	10.9	1	7.1	9.2	9.3 10.2	8.3	1	5.9	9.3
1989	Ì	8.1	i	6.8	7.4	7.2	18.7	11.8		7.4	8.3	9.3	7.1	1	8.1	8.8
1990	i	7.5	i	6.0	8.8	7.5	21.9	6.4		7.4	9.2	9.3 11.9	4.9		7.8	8.2
			•								9.2		4.9		4.7	10.2
		M	ATURI	TY OF LOAD	NS MADE DUR	ING FIRST F	ULL WEEK OF	SECOND M	ONTH	OF QUA	RTER,	ANNUAL	RATE			
1988 Q4	I	7.2	I	6.2	3.9	7.0	32.4	7.4	I	6.1	8.0	9.5	5.5	1	5.1	8.0
1989 Q1	1	9.7	1	7.4	6.6	8.7	21.4	15.7	1	8.4	10.4	12.3	7.0	,	6.8	10.7
Q2	1	7.9	1	6.2	6.8	7.3	18.5	11.2	i	8.0	8.8	9.5	6.3	1	8.8	7.7
Q3	1	7.9	1	6.5	8.3	6.1	17.8	13.3	i	6.5	7.7	8.1	8.5		8.3	7.7
Q4	I	7.5	Ì	7.0	7.4	6.8	17.4	8.1	1	6.8	6.8	8.5	7.1	Ī	7.2	7.6
L990 Q1	1	10.8	1	6.3	8.5	11.6	23.7	11.9		8.3	10.2	16.3	76		6.0	12.0
Q2	Ì	10.0	i	6.2	10.6	9.7	19.2	11.9	1	8.7	10.2	12.6	7.6	I ,	6.8	13.0
Q3	i	5.4	i	5.0	9.2	4.5	25.1	4.7	1	6.6	7.5		8.3	1	8.2	11.3
Q4	i	5.5	1	6.4	8.2	5.3	20.3	3.4	1	6.2	7.5 8.2	9.2 9.4	3.0	1	2.9	8.3
			1	0.1	0.2	J.J	20.5	5.4		0.2	8.7	9.4	3.3	1	3.3	8.2

ب بر ب

ĸ

ESTIMATES FROM THE QUARTERLY SAMPLE SURVEY OF BANK NONREAL ESTATE LOANS TO FARMERS TABLE II.E

AVERAGE EFFECTIVE INTEREST RATE ON LOANS MADE

	BY PURPOSE OF LOAN OTHER FARM ALL FEEDER OTHER CURRENT MACHINERY LOANS LIVE- LIVESTOCK OPERATING AND OT									I		ZE OF	5)			SIZE BANK
						CURRENT	MACHINERY	OTHER		1 to 9	10 to 24	25 to 99	100 and over		LARGE	OTHE
				ANNUA	L AVERAGE I	NTEREST RAI	Έ									
1979		11.9	1	12.1	11.7	11.8	11.2	12.1	1	11.1	11.4	11.5	12.8		13.6	11.4
1980	1	15.2	1	15.6	14.6	15.3	14.4	15.3	1	14.7	14.7	14.9	15.9	1	16.2	15.0
1981	1	18.5		18.6	18.4	18.4	17.9	18.6	1	18.0	18.2	18.2	18.9	I	19.8	18.1
1982		16.7	1	15.9	16.3	16.9	17.1	16.9		17.0	16.8	17.0	16.4	1	16.1	17.0
1983	1	13.5	1	13.6	13.8	13.5	14.3	12.8	1	14.2	14.1	14.0	13.0	1	12.1	14.1
1984	1	14.1	1	13.7	14.3	14.2	14.6	14.0		14.6	14.3	14.3	13.7	1	13.1	14.4
1985	1	12.8		12.5	12.7	13.0	13.7	12.1	1	13.7	13.2	13.2	12.1	1	11.2	13.4
1986	1	11.5	1	11.1	11.9	11.5	12.2	11.2	- 1	12.4	12.0	11.8	10.8	1	9.6	12.1
1987	1	10.6	1	10.7	10.2	10.8	11.5	9.5	1	11.6	11.3	11.1	9.9	1	9.2	11.3
1988	1	11.2	1	10.9	11.9	11.2	11.7	10.7	1	11.7	11.6	11.4	10.8	Ì	10.2	11.6
1989	1	12.5	1	12.3	12.4	12.6	12.8	12.3	1	12.8	12.7	12.7	12.2	i	12.1	12.7
1990	1	11.4	I	11.5	12.0	11.7	12.3	10.7	I	12.5	12.4	12.1	10.9	I	10.9	12.3
		AVERAGE	E R ATE	ON LOANS	MADE DURIN	G FIRST FUL	L WEEK OF SI	ECOND MON	TH OF	QUART	ER, AN	NUAL P	ATE			
1988 Q4	1	11.6	I	11.6	11.7	11.6	12.1	11.3	1	12.1	11.9	12.0	11.1	1	11.1	11.8
1989 Q1	1	12.3	1	12.2	12.2	12.4	12.5	12.1	1	12.5	12 3	12.6	10 1	,	10.1	10.4
Q2	i	12.9	i	12.9	12.8	12.9	13.2	12.8	1	12.5	12.9	12.6	12.1	1	12.1	12.4
Q3	i	12.5	i	12.0	12.6	12.7	12.9	12.2	1	13.1	12.9	12.6	12.7	1	12.8	13.0
Q4	i	12.1	i	12.2	12.2	12.0	12.4	12.1	1	12.7	12.9	12.8			12.0	12.8
<u> </u>	'		'			12.00	16.3		I	12.1	12.3	12.3	11.8	I	11.6	12.5
1990 <u>0</u> 1	1	11.8	1	11.4	11.7	12.1	12.2	11.5	I	12.6	12.4	12.2	11.3	1	11.2	12.3
Q2	1	11.8	I	11.6	12.2	12.0	12.4	11.5	i	12.5	12.3	12.1	11.4	1	11.2	12.3
<u>Q</u> 3	i	10.9	1	11.5	12.4	11.1	12.4	9.8	-	12.6	12.3	12.1	10.3	1		
Q4	i	11.5	i	11.6	12.2	11.7	12.3	10.9		12.5	12.4	12.1	10.3		10.2	12.3
			•						1	** • ^	12.3	12.0	1 I I I		11.0	12.2

.

,

ESTIMATES FROM THE QUARTERLY SAMPLE SURVEY OF BANK NONREAL ESTATE LOANS TO FARMERS TABLE II.F

•

PERCENTAGE OF LOANS MADE WITH	Α	FLOATING	INTEREST	RATE
-------------------------------	---	----------	----------	------

۲

•

•

					BY P	URPOSE OF L	OAN			L	BY SI OAN (\$	ZE OF 1,000s	;)			SIZE BANK
						OTHER	FARM									
		ALL		FEEDER	OTHER	CURRENT	MACHINERY			1	10	25	100			
		LOANS		LIVE-	LIVESTOCK	OPERATING	AND	OTHER		to	to	to	and			
		LOANS		STOCK	HIVESTOCK	EXPENSES	EQUIPMENT	011111		9	24	99	over		LARGE	OTHEI
				ANNUZ	L PERCENTAG	E OF LOANS	MADE									
1979		16.7		16.5	17.1	15.8	7.3	23.8	1	3.1	6.2	9.7	34.7		66.4	3.4
1980	1	21.5	1	19.8	17.7	21.0	11.2	32.7	i	6.9	12.1	12.9	37.2	i	74.6	8.4
1981	1	29.0	1	33.3	21.6	31.5	14.9	28.5	i	15.5	17.7	21.7	42.9	i	80.0	15.5
1982	1	39.2	1	47.8	30.2	43.0	15.5	31.4	i	24.3	25.6	29.7	53.4	i	65.6	26.3
1983	1	43.1		47.8	28.7	48.1	17.6	44.3	i	25.6	29.1	34.9	55.9	i	77.7	29.9
1984	1	38.9	;	41.2	32.3	41.7	24.3	39.5	i	23.8	31.3	29.0	52.7	i	71.1	27.6
1985	1	45.3	1	61.4	44.9	43.0	19.6	47.3	i	27.6	31.5	42.0	56.6	i	77.1	32.6
1986	1	53.4	1	60.5	34.8	57.2	30.9	50.6	1	40.6	41.8	48.2	63.7	i	71.9	47.0
1987	1	59.5	1	51.6	69.6	62.1	55.5	62.1	i	48.5	45.6	54.4	68.5	i	77.6	49.9
1988	1	61.4	1	65.3	39.5	63.8	54.9	63.2	i	49.3	51.5	60.8	67.0	i	79.1	52.6
1989	i 1	61.0	1	71.4	40.0	59.7	32.9	73.6	i	50.4	49.6	58.5	69.1	i	83.6	47.2
1990		65.2		76.8	61.6	68.3	40.0	51.2	I	53.6	59.2	66.0	67.5	I	69.4	59.3
		AVI	ERAGE	PERCENTAG	E DURING FI	RST FULL WE	EK OF SECON	D MONTH O	F QU	ARTER						
1988 Q4		62.9		61.8	17.4	78.4	68.1	66.8	I	51.3	53.2	60.5	69.1	1	74.8	55.9
1989 gl	1	68.8	i	82.9	30.0	67.4	40.1	75.6	1	45.8	52.4	69.4	78.3	I	88.6	55.0
Q2	, I	51.6	i	66.0	18.2	49.7	26.9	66.0	1	56.3	45.9	50.6	52.7	1	80.3	39.3
Q3	j	65.7	,	69.5	46.2	69.5	30.7	78.3	1	51.9	58.2	63.9	75.6	1	87.1	52.3
Q4	Ì	61.8	I	68.5	51.0	59.1	35.1	77.2	ł	44.5	42.9	54.6	76.8	I	80.1	47.4
	I	71.6	1	78.3	47.5	73.0	41.7	85.3	I	50.2	57.8	69.6	78.8	I	85.0	59.5
1990 01			1		50.4	73.0	47.1	84.2	I	55.7	63.2	65.2	85.7	1	88.0	58.0
	1	74 6	1	(1.5												
1990 Q1 Q2 Q3		74.6 61.5	1	77.5 72 .4	76.3	60.3	46.3	52.0	i	53.9	66.2	73.9	58.9	I	60.6	63.4

•

•

.

Effective interest						Nov	vembe	r					Memo: Percenta Distribu	5
rate (percent)	1979	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	of Number Loans, 1 November	er of .990
All loans	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Under 6.0	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	 –	-
6.0 to 6.9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-		-	-	I –	-
7.0 to 7.9	- (-	-	-	-	-	-	6	1	-	-	-	-	-
8.0 to 8.9	-	-	-	-		~	1	12	11	-		~	-	-
9.0 to 9.9	1	-	-	-	-	-	16	11	15	5	1	3	1	1
10.0 to 10.9	7	-	-	3	8	1	7	13	17	25	10	36	10	9
11.0 to 11.9	10	_	-	6	5	3	13	29	25	41	29	24	35	33
12.0 to 12.9	15	3	-	6	17	12	21	25	27	20	41	30	37	39
13.0 to 13.9	35	10	-	15	20	24	33	2	4	7	17	5	14	15
14.0 to 14.9	13	21	-	17	36	32	7	1	-	2	2	1	3	2
15.0 to 15.9	4	28	2	37	13	22	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
16.0 to 16.9	6	27	5	13	1	5	-	-	-	-	-	- 1	-	-
17.0 to 17.9	5	7	20	3	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	- 1	-	-
18.0 to 18.9	3	3	31	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
19.0 to 19.9	-	-	23	1	/-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
20.0 to 20.9	-	-	13	~	1	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
21.0 to 21.9	-	-	5	70	v _	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
22.0 to 22.9	- :	-	1	-`	٦-	-	-	-	-	-	-	- 1	-	-
23.0 to 23.9	-	-	-	-	<u>x</u>	1/ 1/2-	-	-	-	-	-	- 1	-	-
24.0 to 24.9	- [-	-	- 、	∑ - ! `	<u>, ;-</u>	-	-	-	-	-	- 1	-	-
25.0 and over	- (-	-	- 0	- 's - 's	جر وربا ۱	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF NONREAL ESTATE FARM LOANS MADE BY BANKS,¹ BY EFFECTIVE INTEREST RATE

1. Percentage distribution of the total dollar amount of nonreal estate farm loans of \$1,000 or more made by insured commercial banks during the week covered by the survey, which is the first full business week of the month specified.

Data are estimates from the Federal Reserve survey of terms of bank lending to farmers. Percentages may not add to 100 because of rounding.

SECTION III: SELECTED STATISTICS FROM THE QUARTERLY REPORTS OF CONDITION OF COMMERCIAL BANKS

TABLES:

Commercial banks:

A.III	Estimated	delinquent no	nreal estat	e farm loans	at insured	commercial banks	17
III.B	Estimated	net charge-of	fs of nonre	al estate fa:	rm loans at	insured commercial banks	18

Agricultural banks:

III.C	Distribution of agricultural banks by ratio of nonperforming loans to total loans	19
III.D	Distribution of agricultural banks by ratio of net charge-offs to total loans	20
III.E	Distribution of agricultural banks by ratio of nonperforming loans to total capital	21
III.F	Distribution of agricultural banks by rate of return to equity	22
III.G	Loan-deposit ratios at agricultural banks	23
	Failures of agricultural banks	

SOURCES OF DATA:

The data in tables III.A through III.G are prepared using data from the quarterly reports of condition and income for commercial banks. Delinquencies and charge-offs of nonreal estate farm loans for the nation as a whole (table TIL.A and table TIL.B) are estimated from reports of banks that hold more than 90 percent of total nonreal estate farm loans. Banks that do not report delinquencies of agricultural loans are assumed to have the same delinquency rates as those that report.

Examination of total lending at banks that have a high exposure to agricultural loans provides an alternative perspective on the agricultural lending situation. Agricultural banks in table III.C through table III.H are those that have a proportion of farm loans (real estate plus nonreal estate) to total loans that is greater than the unweighted average at all banks. The estimate of this average was 16.25 percent in September of 1990.

Failures of banks (table III.H) are obtained from news releases of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, with agricultural banks defined, as above, by the amount of farm loans relative to total loans that they hold.

Page

SECTION III: (continued)

Recent Developments.

The volume of derinquent farm nonreal estate loans (table III.A) edged down further in the third quarter of 1990. These delinquencies amounted to 3.2 percent of nonreal estate farm loans, well below the rate of delinquency for all loans at banks. Total net charge-offs of farm loans (table III.B) were very small for the nation through September as substantial net recoveries in California largely offset sizeable net charge-offs in Texas and small net charge-offs in most other states. Fewer than one in twelve agricultural banks had nonperforming loans that amounted to more than 5 percent of total loans (table III.C), and the proportion of banks that had large amounts of net charge-offs fell compared to a year earlier (table III.D).

Data through Seprember suggest that agricultural banks have been earning profits at about the same rate as in the last three or four years, a rate that has been higher than that earned by nonagricultural banks (table 111.F). The ratio of loans to deposits at agricultural banks in the third quarter of 1990 (table III.G) was about the same as it was a year earlier, and this ratio remained quite low by historical standards. The ratios at agricultural banks in the Kansas City and Dallas Federal Reserve Districts remained well below the ratios in other districts. Failures of agricultural banks were rare in 1990 (table III.H), and the data in tables III.C and III.D suggest that the episode of widespread failures of these banks seen in 1984 through 1988 has run its coarse.

TABLE III.A

ESTIMATED DELINQUENT FARM NONREAL ESTATE LOANS INSURED COMMERCIAL BANKS

							SEPTEME	36R 30							
				BIL	LIONS OF DOL	LARS			AS	PERCENTAG	E OF OU	TSTANDING FA	RM PRODUCT	ION LOANS	
					NCNPERFORMIN	G						NONPERFORMIN	G		
		total	PAST DUE 30 TO 89 DAYS ACCRUING	TOTAL	PAST DUE 90 DAYS ACCRUING	NON- ACCRUAL	MEMO: RESTRUCTURED LOANS IN COMPLIANCE		TOTAL	PAST DUE 30 TO 89 DAYS ACCRUING	TOTAL	PAST DUE 90 DAYS ACCRUING	NON- ACCRUAL	MEMO: RESTRUCTURED LOANS IN COMPLIANCE	
				2.1	0.5	1.6	NA	1	6.4	1.4	5.0	1.2	3.8	NA	
1984	1	2.7	0.6	2.1	0.6	2.2	NA	i i	8.8	1.7	7.1	1.5	5.6	NA	
1985		3.5		2.9	0.8	2.1	0.4	i	9.2	1.6	7.7	1.4	6.2	1.2	
1986	1	3.1	0.5		0.3	1.5	0.5	i	6.7	1.0	5.7	0.9	4.8	1.6	
1987	1	2.1	0.3	1.8		1.0	0.5	i	4.9	0.9	4.0	0.6	3.4	1.6	
1988	1	1.5	0.3	1.2	0.2	0.7	0.4	i	3.7	1.1	2.7	0.5	2.2	1.4	
1989	1	1.2	0.3	0.9 0.7	0.2	0.5	0.4	i	3.2	1.1	2.0	0.4	1.6	1.1	
1990		1.0	0.4												
1987 Q3		2.1	0.3	1.8	0.3	1.5	0.5	I	6.7	1.0	5.7	0.9	4.8	1.6	
1987 Q3 Q4	1	1.9	0.5	1.4	0.2	1.2	0.5	ł	6.5	1.7	4.8	0.7	4.2	1.7	
Q4		1.9	0.5	1.4	•••										
		2.0	0.5	1.5	0.3	1.2	0.5	1	7.1	2.0	5.2	1.0	4.2	1.7	
1988 Q1		2.0	0.3	1.3	0.2	1.1	0.5	1	5.5	1.0	4.5	0.7	3.7	1.7	
Q2	1	1.7	0.3	1.2	0.2	1.0	0.5	1	4.9	0.9	4.0	0.6	3.4	1.6	
Q3	1			1.2	0.1	0.9	0.5	i i	4.5	1.2	3.3	0.5	2.9	1.6	
Q4	1	1.4	0.4	1.0	0.1	0.9									
					0.2	0.8	0.5	1	5.5	1.9	3.5	0.6	2.9	1.6	
1989 Ql	I	1.6	0.5	1.0	0.2	0.8	0.5		4.4	1.3	3.2	0.6	2.5	1.5	
Q2	1	1.4	0.4	1.0	0.2		0.4	i	3.8	1.1	2.7	0.5	2.2	1.4	
Q3	l l	1.2	0.3	0.9	0.2	0.7	0.4	1	3.7	1.3	2.3	0.5	1.9	1.4	
Q4	1	1.1	0.4	0.7	0.1	0.6	0.4	1	5.1						
							0.4	,	4.8	2.1	2.7	0.7	2.0	1.3	
1990 Ql	1	1.4	0.6	0.8	0.2	0.6		1	3.5	1.2	2.4	0.5	1.8	1.2	
Q2	1	1.1	0.4	0.8	0.2	0.6	0.4	1	3.5	1.1	2.0	0.4	1.6	1.1	
Q3	1	1.0	0.4	0.7	0.1	0.5	0.4	I	3.2	1.1		~			

Data are estimates of the national totals for farm nonreal estate loans. After 1984, estimates are based on reports from banks that hold more than 90 percent of such loans. Earlier, only large banks that held about one-fourth of such loans reported nonaccrual and renegotiated farm loans; for other banks, estimates of delinquent farm loans are based on a study of delinquent total loans at these banks.

TABLE III.B

ESTIMATED NET CHARGE-OFFS OF NONREAL ESTATE FARM LOANS INSURED COMMERCIAL BANKS*

				TED AMOU S OF DOL					CHARGE-OFF OF SUCH L				
		ANNUAL TOTAL	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4		ANNUAL TOTAL	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	
1985	1	1300.00	200	320	255	525	1	3.28	0.51	0.84	0.64	1.34	
1986	1	1195.00	235	360	230	370	Ì	3.38	0.66	1.07	0.67	1.10	i
1987	1	503.00	173	133	57	140	1	1.61	0.55	0.46	0.19	0.46	i
1988	1	128.00	28	39	24	37	ł	0.44	0.10	0.14	0.10	0.12	I
1989	1	91.00	10	26	15	40	Ì	0.29	0.03	0.09	0.05	0.13	i
1990	1	* *	-5	19	10	**	Ì	**	-0.02	0.06	0.03	**	i

*Data are estimates of the national charge-offs of farm nonreal estate loans based on reports from banks that hold more than 90 percent of the outstanding national volume of such loans. Additional uncertainty of the estimates arises because small banks report only charge-offs of 'agricultural' loans as defined by each bank for its internal purposes. Banks first reported these data on the March 1984 Report of Income.

TABLE III.C

e

DISTRIBUTION OF AGRICULTURAL BANKS BY RELATIVE NONPERFORMING LOANS*

			PERCENT	AGE DISTRIBU	TION, SEPTEM	BER 30			
		TOTAL	UNDER 2.0	2.0 TO 4.9	5.0 TO 9.9	10.0 TO 14.9	15.0 TO 19.9	20.0 AND OVER	
984		100.0	46.2	33.0	15.8	3.7	0.8	0.4	
985	1	100.0	36.7	34.6	20.6	5.2	1.9	0.9	Í
986	1	100.0	33.9	32.8	23.2	6.6	2.2	1.4	1
987	i	100.0	43.0	33.3	17.0	4.9	1.3	0.5	I
988	i	100.0	54.0	31.3	12.0	2.1	0.4	0.3	1
989	i	100.0	61.7	27.6	8.5	1.6	0.4	0.2	
990	I	100.0	66.6	24.8	7.3	1.0	0.2	0.0	l
		100.0	42.0	22.0	17.0	4.9	1.3	0.5	
987 Q3	1	100.0	43.0 50.3	33.0 30.6	14.4	3.3	0.9	0.3	
Q4	I	100.0	50.3	30.6	14.4	3.5	0.9	0.5	
988 Q1	1	100.0	49.1	30.8	15.7	3.2	0.9	0.4	
Q2	i	100.0	51.7	31.9	13.1	2.4	0.6	0.3	
<u>0</u> 3	i	100.0	54.0	31.3	12.0	2.1	0.4	0.3	
Q4	i	100.0	59.0	28.9	9.7	1.9	0.4	0.2	
989 Q1	1	100.0	57.6	29.3	10.6	1.9	0.4	0.2	
Q2		100.0	60.2	29.3	8.3	1.5	0.6	0.2	
Q3	I	100.0	61.7	27.6	8.5	1.6	0.4	0.2	
Q4	İ	100.0	65.8	25.1	7.6	1.2	0.2	0.1	
990 Q1	I	100.0	61.9	26.8	9.2	1.5	0.5	0.2	
Q2	Ì	100.0	65.4	25.0	8.0	1.3	0.3	0.1	
Q3	Ì	100.0	66.6	24.8	7.3	1.0	0.2	0.0	

NONPERFORMING LOANS AS A PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL LOANS

* Nonperforming loans are loans in nonaccrual status or past due 90 days or more. Renegotiated or restructured loans in compliance with the modified terms are not included. Agricultural banks are defined in the introduction to section III.

			PE	RCENTAGE DIS	TRIBUTION				
				0.10	0.50	1.00	2.50	5.00	
			UNDER	TO	TO	TO	TO	AND	
		TOTAL	0.10	0.49	0.99	2.49	4.99	OVER	
1984	I	100.0	18.5	23.6	20.0	23.9	9.2	4.8	
1985	I	100.0	10.6	14.5	18.0	30.2	16.1	10.5	i
1986	I	100.0	9.7	13.4	15.5	30.7	18.0	12.6	i
1987	ł	100.0	19.4	20.6	18.5	25.4	11.0	5.1	i
1988	I	100.0	31.8	25.7	17.2	17.3	5.8	2.2	i
1989	I	100.0	36.0	28.1	16.5	14.1	3.9	1.4	i
			YEAR-TC	DATE PERCEN	TAGE DISTRIE	BUTION			
1988 Q3	I	100.0	46.0	25.3	13.3	10.7	3.6	1.3	1
Q 4	I	100.0	31.8	25.7	17.2	17.3	5.8	2.2	i
1989 Q1	I	100.0	75.6	17.3	3.7	2.9	0.4	0.2	1
Q2	I	100.0	59.9	24.7	8.0	5.6	1.5	0.4	1
Q3	1	100.0	50.6	26.0	12.0	8.7	2.1	0.7	İ
Q4	I	100.0	36.0	28.1	16.5	14.1	3.9	1.4	i
1990 Q1	ł	100.0	77.2	16.3	3.8	2.2	0.4	0.1	I
Q2	I	100.0	61.8	24.2	7.9	4.9	1.0	0.3	1
Q3	1	100.0	53.2	26.7	10.5	7.4	1.7	0.3	i

NET CHARGE-OFFS AS A PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL LOANS

* Net charge-offs are charge-offs less recoveries for all loans (both agricultural and nonagricultural) in the year indicated. Agricultural banks are defined in the introduction to section III.

20

DISTRIBUTION OF AGRICULTURAL BANKS BY RATIO OF NONPERFORMING LOANS TO TOTAL CAPITAL*

				PERCENT	AGE DISTR	IBUTION,	SEPTEMBER	30				
				25	50	75	100	125	150	175	200	
			UNDER	TO	TO	TO	TO	TO	TO	TO	AND	
		ALL BANKS	25	49	74	99	124	149	174	199	OVER***	
1986		100.0	67.5	19.6	6.0	3.2	1.1	0.8	0.4	0.3	1.0	1
1987	1	100.0	76.9	14.8	4.2	1.9	0.8	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.7	1
1988	Í	100.0	85.4	10.0	2.4	1.0	0.5	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.3	İ
1989		100.0	89.2	7.6	1.6	0.6	0.4	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.3	1
1990	I	100.0	90.9	6.9	1.5	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0	**	I
1988 Q3		100.0	85.4	10.0	2.4	1.0	0.5	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.3	
Q4	1	100.0	87.5	8.4	2.1	0.7	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.3	1
1989 Q1	1	100.0	87.0	8.5	2.2	0.7	0.4	0.4	0.1	0.1	0.3	1
Q2	i i	100.0	88.6	7.9	1.7	0.6	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.4	I
Q3	1	100.0	89.2	7.6	1.6	0.6	0.4	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.3	1
Q4	Ì	100.0	90.1	7.6	1.1	0.6	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.3	I
1990 Q1	I	100.0	89.0	8.1	1.6	0.6	0.3	**	0.1	0.1	0.2	1
Q2	1	100.0	90.1	7.4	1.5	0.4	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	I
Q3	1	100.0	90.9	6.9	1.5	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0	**	1

NONPERFORMING LOANS AS A PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL CAPITAL

* Total primary and secondary capital items that are available at the end of the period specified. Agricultural banks are defined in the introduction to section III.

** Less than 0.05 percent.

*** Includes banks with negative capital.

		N	ET INCOME OF AVER AGRICUL (PERCENTAG	AGE	EQUI L BA	TY A NKS	Т				AVERAGE OF REI TO EQU	URN	RATE OF REI TO ASS	URN	NET CHARG AS PERCE OF TOTAL	NTAGE	AVERA CAPITAL (PERC	RATIO	
		ALL BANKS	NEGATIVE	0 TO 4	TO	10 TO 14	15 TO 19	20 TO 24	25 AND OVER	,	AGRI- CULTURAL BANKS	OTHER SMALL BANKS	AGRI- CULTURAL BANKS	OTHER SMALL BANKS	AGRI- CULTURAL BANKS	OTHER SMALL BANKS	AGRI- CULTURAL BANKS	OTHER SMALL BANKS	
1979		100	1	2	8	36	38	12	3		15	14	1.2	1.0	0.2	0.3	9.0	8.5	I
	1	100	1	2	9	33		14	5	1	16	14	1.3	1.0	0.3	0.4	9.2	8.6	1
1980		100	2	2	12	33		13	6	1	15	13	1.2	0.9	0.4	0.4	9.2	8.6	1
1981 1982	1	100	4	5	15	33	28	11	4	l l	14	12	1.1	0.9	0.7	0.6	9.3	8.5	I.
1982	1	100	4 7	7	18	36	24	7	2	-	11	12	1.0	0.9	0.9	0.7	9.4	8.4	1
	1	100	13	9	23	36	15	3	1		8	12	0.7	0.8	1.2	0.6	9.5	8.5	1
1984 1985	1	100	18	11	22	33	13	3	1	1	6	11	0.5	0.8	2.1	0.8	9.6	8.5	1
		100	18	14	27	28	9	2	1	1	5	8	0.4	0.6	2.3	1.1	9.5	8.4	ł
1986 1987		100	19	14	31	31	9	2	1	1	8	8	0.7	0.6	1.3	0.9	9.8	8.8	1
1987	1	100	9	9	30	36	12	3	2	1	10	9	0.9	0.7	0.7	0.7	9.9	8.8	1
1989	I	100	5	9 7	29	38	14	4	3	I	11	10	1.0	0.8	0.6	0.7	10.1	9.0	I
	197 <u>.</u> 9-2 como com										QUARTERLY								
	<u></u>												YEAR	TO DATE-					
1988 Q3	1	100	* *	**	**	**	**	**	**	1	8	7	0.8	0.6	0.5	0.5	10.3	8.9	1
Q 4	i	100	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	1	10	9	0.9	0.7	0.7	0.7	9.9	8.8	ł
1989 01	1	100	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	1	3	3	0.3	0.3	0.1	0.1	10.2	8.9	1
Q2	i i	100	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	1	6	6	0.6	0.4	0.2	0.3	10.4	9.1	
Q3	1	100	* *	**	**	**	**	**	**	1	9	8	0.9	0.7	0.3	0.4	10.4	9.1	!
Q4	1	100	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	ł	11	10	1.0	0.8	0.6	0.7	10.1	9.0	I
1990 Q1	I	100	* *	**	**	**	**	**	**	I	3	З	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.1	10.2	9.0	!
Q2	I	100	* *	**	* *	**	**	**	**	I	6	5	0.5	0.4	0.2	0.3	10.2	9.0	1
Q3	1	100	**	* *	**	**	* *	**	**	1	9	7	0.8	0.6	0.3	0.5	10.3	9.1	1

*Agricultural and other banks are defined in the introduction to section III; small banks have less than 500 million dollars in assets. Total primary and secondary capital (items that are available at the end of the period specified) are measured as a percentage of total assets. Quarterly data in the lower panel are cumulative through the end of the quarter indicated and, for periods of less than a year, are not comparable to the annual data in the upper panel. .

AVERAGE LOAN-DEPOSIT RATIOS AT AGRICULTURAL BANKS IN SELECTED FEDERAL RESERVE DISTRICTS*

SEPTEMBER 30

	U.	.s.	c	LEVELAND	A	LANTA	СН	ICAGO	ST.	LOUIS		I NNE- POLIS		NSAS ITY	DA	LLAS		AN MCISCO	AVERAGE FARM LON RATIO
	NUMBER OF BANKS	LOANS TO DEPOSITS	NUMB) OF BANK:	TO	NUMBER OF BANKS	LOANS TO DEPOSITS	NUMBER OF BANKS	LOANS TO DEPOSITS	NUMBER OF BANKS	LOANS TO DEPOSITS	NUMBER OF BANKS	R LOANS TO DEPOSITS	NUMBEF OF BANKS	LOANS TO DEPOSITS	NUMBER OF BANKS	C LOANS TO DEPOSITS	NUMBER OF BANKS	LOANS TO DEPOSITS	
1985	4937	0.593	13	0.677	31	0.750	207	0.588	1285	0.575	608	0.567	883	0.628	1370	0.582	333	0.600	16.89
1986	4801	0.550	11	0.709	29	0.744	186	0.602	1249	0.522	591	0.538	860	0.566	1 1330	0.502	350	0.572	16.25
1987	4594	0.543	115	0.632	172	0.619	1189	0.523	569	0.541	822	0.544	1 1281	0.507	1 350	0.550	62	0.677	1 16.02
1988	4403	0.555	97	0.660	159	0.614	1131	0.539	530	0.571	787	0.557	1233	0.519	381	0.535	61	0.657	16.12
1989	4266	0.565	1 85	0.666	150	0.599	1075	0.566	504	0.577	772	0.583	1211	0.520	1 387	0.509	59	0.675	16.17
1990	4149	0.563	81	0.681	153	0.612	1023	0.563	511	0.594	749	0.587	1164	0.516	389	0.477	60	0.714	16.25
1988 Q3	4403	0.555	97	0.660	159	0.614	1131	0.539	530	0.571	787	0.557	1233	0.519	1 381	0.535	61	0.657	16.12
Q 4	4344	0.538	96	0.637	144	0.585	1111	0.529	500	0.549	778	0.532	1239	0.512	392	0.506	62	0.637	15.72
1989 Ql	4317	0.537	92	0.648	149	0.600	1100	0.535	773	0.548	773	0.540	1229	0.508	396	0.479	62	0.624	15.47
Q2	4321	0.557	94	0.665	161	0.604	1085	0.555	499	0.569	778	0.575	1223	0.514	391	0.495	62	0.624	15.47
Q3	4266	0.565	1 85	0.666	150	0.599	1075	0.566	504	0.577	772	0.583	1211	0.520	1 387	0.509	62 59	0.682	1 16.00
Q 4	4181	0.544	84	0.641	138	0.588	1055	0.548	477	0.558	758	0.552	1196	0.511	393	0.481	57	0.637	15.87
1 9 90 Q1	4177	0.536	81	0.648	151	0.592	1037	0.541	487	0.549	756	0.557	1191	0.497	398	0.468	54	0.622	15.63
Q2	4196	0.553	85	0.672	161	0.612	1033	0.554	495	0.569	755	0.583	1183	0.506	401	0.468	60	0.714	16.08
Q3	4149	0.563	81	0.681	153	0.612	1023	0.563	511	0.594	749	0.587	1164	0.516	1 389	0.477	60	0.714	16.25

*The loan-deposit ratio is defined as total loans divided by total deposits. Agricultural banks are defined in the introduction to section III.

TABLE	III.	. H
-------	------	-----

		N	UMBER OF FAI	LURES	
	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4**	ANNUAI TOTAI
1982	2	3	3	3	11
1983	1	1	2	3	7
1984	3	7	10	12	32
1985	12	21	17	18	68
1986	14	14	21	16	65
1987	22	19	12	16	69
1988	11	6	12	7	36
1989	5	7	5	5	22
1990	3	5	6	3	17

FAILURES OF AGRICULTURAL BANKS* SEPTEMBER 30

*Data exclude banks assisted to prevent failure. Industrial banks and mutual savings banks also are excluded. Agricultural banks are defined in the introduction to section III. **Data for the fourth quarter and year end 1990 are preliminary. SECTION IV: FEDERAL RESERVE BANK QUARTERLY SURVEYS OF FARM CREDIT CONDITIONS AND FARM LAND VALUES

TABLES:

Page

IV.A	Nonreal estate lending experience	28
IV.B	Expected change in non-real-estate loan volume and repayment conditions	30
IV.C	Average loan/deposit ratio, and other indicators of relative credit availability	32
IV.D	Interest rates	34
IV.E	Trends in real estate values and loan volume	36

SOURCES OF DATA:

Data are from quarterly surveys of agricultural credit conditions at commercial banks conducted at the end of each quarter by five Federal Reserve Banks. Each of these banks issues a more detailed quarterly report on its survey results, available from its Research Department at the address given below. The five surveys differ in subject matter covered (as is evident in the tables), wording of basically similar questions, and type of banks covered. Most of the differences in wording are reflected in the use of different column headings on the two pages of each table. The states included in each district are indicated in the table headings; states that fall only partly within a given district are marked with asterisks. Important differences in the type of banks surveyed are noted below.

Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago, Box 834, Chicago, Illinois, 60690

The sample includes member banks at which farm loans represented 25 percent or more of total loans as of June 1972 (a 10 percent standard is used for banks in the state of Michigan). The sample has undergone periodic review and has included roughly 900 banks in recent quarters.

Federal Reserve Bauk of Kansas City, Federal Reserve P.O. Station, Kansas City Missouri 64198

The sample chosen originally in 1976 consisted of 188 banks selected from among banks at which farm loans constituted 50 percent or more of total loans, with appropriate representation of all farm areas. In recent quarters, the sample has included about 150 banks.

Federal Reserve Bank of Minneapolis, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55480

Before 1987, the sample provided a cross-section of banks of all sizes that were engaged in farm lending. Members of the Upper Midwest Agricultural Credit Council formed the core of the survey panel. Beginning in 1987, the sample was redrawn to include only banks at which farm loans represented 25 percent or more of total loans. Currently the sample includes 325 banks and in recent quarters the rate of responses has averaged roughly 50 percent.

Federal Reserve Bank of Dallas, Station K, Dallas, Texas 75222

The sample is stratified regionally and includes banks at which farm loans are relatively important or which hold a major portion of bank loans in their region. The sample was enlarged in the first quarter of 1985 and was redrawn in the second quarter of 1989. About 300 banks have been responding to the survey in recent quarters.

Federal Reserve Bank of Richmond, Richmond, Virginia 23261

The sample selected in 1975 consisted of 43 banks of all sizes. Banks with the larger amounts of farm loans were sampled more heavily.

RECENT DEVELOPMENTS:

The Reserve Bank surveys that were completed around the end of the third quarter of 1990 showed continued stability in agricultural credit conditions.

Loan demand was reported to be still on a moderate uptrend. More specifically, in all of the districts, the number of banks reporting an increase in the demand for farm loans outnumbered those reporting decreases (Table IV.A), and further moderate gains in loan demand were anticipated in most districts in the fourth quarter (Table IV.B).

Agricultural banks appear to have ample funds available for farm lending. Loan-to-deposit ratios in the third quarter were little changed from those of the previous quarter; these ratios also were little changed from those of a year earlier. The number of respondents who characterized their ratios as being lower than desired continued to greatly outnumber those who viewed their loan-to-deposit ratios as being too high. A large majority of the respondents say that they are actively seeking new farm accounts. Only a very small fraction of the respondents report having refused or reduced a farm loan because of a shortage of loanable funds.

Section IV: (continued)

In some of the districts, repayment rates on farm loans were not quite as rapid as in previous quarters; nonetheless, the percentages of banks reporting that repayment rates were slow generally remains quite low by historical standards.

The Reserve Bank surveys indicate that the interest rates on farm loans (Table IV.D) changed little in the third quarter of 1990. In most districts, the rates on farm loans were about 1/4 percentage point below the levels of a year earlier.

Land prices, on average, still appeared to be trending up at the end of the third quarter, but at a slower rate than in previous quarters. In most districts, the year-to-year gains were in a range of 4 to 5 percent. Slightly stronger year-to-year gains were reported in the Kansas City district. In the Dallas district, however, land prices in the third quarter are reported to have been moderately below the levels of a year earlier.

FEDERAL RESERVE BANK QUARTERLY SURVEYS OF AGRICULTURAL CREDIT CONDITIONS AT COMMERCIAL BANKS TABLE IV.A

FARM NONREAL ESTATE LENDING EXPERIENCE COMPARED WITH A YEAR EARLIER (PERCENTAGE OF BANKS REPORTING)

			DEMAN	ID FOR	LOANS		FUND	AVAILA	BILITY		LOAN F	EPAYME	NT RATE		RENEWAL	S OR EX	TENSIONS		COLLAI	ERAL F	EQUIRE
			LOWER	SAME	HIGHER		LOWER	SAME	HIGHER		LOWER	SAME	HIGHER		LOWER	SAME	HIGHER		LOWER	SAME	HIGHE
		IV.A1	SEVEN	ITH (CH	HICAGO) H	FEDERAL	RESERV	E DIST	RICT (II	.*, IN	*, IO,	MI*, ¥	VI*) AGRI	CULTU	RAL BANK	S					
								66	24		22	68	10		14	63	23	1	0	77	22
	Q3	ļ	18	45 43	37 42	1	9 5	67	28	1	29	54	17	ł	14	57	28	i	1	78	22
	Q4	1	15	45	42	1	5		20					•							
000	Q1	1	11	39	50	1	11	63	26	1	27	62	11	1	11	61	28	1	0	73	26
	Q2	1	11	41	49	1	15	63	22	Ì	18	72	10	1	11	68	21	1	1	79	20
	Q2		13	50	37	1	13	65	22	i	12	70	18	I	15	70	15	1	0	81	18
	Q4	i	17	48	35		6	64	30	i	11	54	34	ł	28	59	12	1	1	83	16
	-	•										FO	21		29	61	11	,	1	81	18
.990	Q1	1	15	45	40	1	6	63	31	1	10	59	31		23	72	6	1	ō	87	12
	Q2	1	18	46	36	1	5	65	30	1	5	71	24	1	22	74	4	-	õ	84	16
	Q3	1	16	52	32	1	6	66	28	1	5	76	19	1	22	/4	4	1	Ŭ		10
		IV.A2	TENT	H (KANS	SAS CITY) FEDER	RAL RESE	ERVE DI	ISTRICT (CO, 1	(S, MO*,	, NE, 1	NM*, OK, 1	WY) AG	RICULTUR	AL BANK	S				
988	Q3		22	60	18		9	59	32	1	5	63	32	1	26	66	7	I	1	69	29
	Q4	i	22	52	26	i	5	60	36	I.	4	60	36	I	27	67	6	1	1	75	25
					07			63	33		3	67	29	,	25	71	4	1	1	72	27
	Q1	1	17	56	27		4 10	63	27		9	71	20		13	78	9	i	0	75	25
	Q2	l	15	56	28	1	10	63 64	26	1	14	70	16	1	11	78	11	- 1	Ō	80	20
	Q3		11	53	36	1	9	63	28	1	21	63	16		11	65	24	i	1	82	16
	Q4	1	11	53	36	1	9	63	20	1	21	0.5	10	,	**	05		ſ	-		
مەم	Q1	,	13	55	32	1	7	66	27	I	14	67	19	1	13	72	15	1	1	80	19
	Q2		17	53	31	1	8	59	34	i	5	75	20	1	17	78	5	1	2	82	17
	Q3	1	11	59	31	i	8	68	24	i	12	72	16	1	14	74	13	I	0	85	15
		IV.A3	ELEV	ENTH ()	DALLAS)	FEDERA	L RESERV	VE DIST	TRICT (L	A*, N	M*, TX)									
			01				12	55	33		13		26		27	58	15		0	46	54
988	Q3 Q4		21 21	46 49	32 30	1	8	55 50	42	ľ	11	60	30	i	31	56	13	i	0	51	49
989	Q1	1	25	47	27	1	5	51	44	I	10	58	22	I	27	64	9	I	0	54	46
203	Q1	1	28	47	26	i	8	55	37	i	15	68	17	Ì	18	66	16	1	0	56	44
	Q2	1	20	52	28		10	57	33	i	15	71	14	1	17	65	18	1	0	61	39
	Q4	ļ	20	54	26	i	5	61	33	i	23	61	16	1	16	61	23	ł	0	57	43
	_				20		7	60	22		22	68	10	,	13	65	22		o	59	4:
990	Q1		16	54	30	1	7	60 61	33			68 73	10	1	13	73	14		1	62	37
	Q2	1	18	56	25	1	8	61	31	!	17			1			24		4	52	44
	Q3		17	53	30	1	13	58	29	1	23	67	9	1	13	64	24	1	4	52	44

.

FEDERAL RESERVE BANK QUARTERLY SURVEYS OF AGRICULTURAL CREDIT CONDITIONS AT COMMERCIAL BANKS TABLE IV.A

.

.

•

,

FARM NONREAL ESTATE LENDING EXPERIENCE COMPARED WITH NORMAL CONDITIONS (PERCENTAGE OF BANKS REPORTING)

۲

, **•**

,

0

			DEMAN	D FOR	LOANS		FUND	AVAILA	BILITY		LOAN F	EPAYME	NT RATE		RENEWAL	S OR EX	TENSIONS		COLLA	ERAL F	REQUIRE
			LOWER	SAME	HIGHER		LOWER	SAME	HIGHER		LOWER	SAME	HIGHER		LOWER	SAME	HIGHER		LOWER	SAME	HIGHE
		IV.A4	NINTH	(MINN	EAPOLIS)	FEDER	AL RESE	RVE DI	STRICT (MI*,	MN, MI,	ND, S	D, WI*)								
1988	Q3	I	12	67	21	1	***	***	***	 I	29	61	10			81	11	·····	***		
	Q 4	I	18	69	12	i	***	***	***	i	19	65	16	i	13	77	11 10	1	***	*** ***	***
1989	Q1	I.	14	64	22	1	***	***	***	1	13	75	12		16	71	13		***		
	Q2	1	4	70	26	i	***	***	***	i	17	82	1		20	71		!		***	***
	Q3	i	6	75	20	i	***	***	***	1	16	80	5		12		9	I	***	***	***
	Q4	i	5	85	10	i	***	***	***	1	22	70	8		9	81 80	7	1	***	***	***
												70	0	1	9	80	11	1	***	***	***
1990	Q1	1	4	78	18	1	***	***	***	1	25	70	5		12	68	20				
	Q2	i	7	78	15	i	***	***	***	i	10	82	8		16	70	20 14	1	***	***	***
	Q3	Ì	10	76	14	i	***	***	***	1	19	78	3	1	16	78	14 6	!	***	***	***
						•				•			5	1	10	10	o	1	***	***	***
		IV.A5	FIFTH	(RICH	MOND) FEI	DERAL	RESERVE	DISTR	ICT (MD,	NC,	SC, VA,	₩V*)									
1988	Q3	I	18	64	18	1	0	77	23	1	5	82	14	1	18	77	E		 F		
	Q4	1	21	68	11	i	4	82	14	i	4	71	25	ł	21	75	5 ∡		5 0	68 75	27 25
														•			•	1	U	15	25
	Q1	1	21	66	14	1	14	72	14	1	3	83	14	1	28	69	3	1	٥	69	31
	Q2		14	69	17	1	10	76	14	1	0	89	11	i	14	82	4	1	ŏ	71	29
	Q3	1	19	67	15	1	12	65	23	1	4	82	15	i	19	78	Ā		4	85	12
	Q 4	1	19	69	12	1	4	64	32	Ì	4	77	19	i	15	81	4	ł	4	84	12
990	Q1		21	68	11			C0													
	Q2		25	68	11 7	1	11	68	21	!	11	79	11		18	79	4	I	4	74	22
	Q3		12	72	16	!	7 12	82 72	11 16	I	7 8	93 76	0 16		7 21	86 71	7 8	1	1	62	37
																				60	36

FEDERAL RESERVE BANK QUARTERLY SURVEYS OF AGRICULTURAL CREDIT CONDITIONS AT COMMERCIAL BANKS FARM NONREAL ESTATE LOAN VOLUME EXPECTED DURING THE NEXT QUARTER, COMPARED WITH VOLUME OF LOANS MADE A YEAR EARLIER

TABLE IV.B

				TOTAI			FEED	ER CAT	TLE			DAIR	Y		CRO	P STOP	RAGE		O	PERATI	NG		FARM	MACHI	NERY
			LOW	ER SAME			LOWER	SAME H	IIGHER		LOWER	SAME	HIGHER		LOWER	SAME	HIGHER	L	OWER	SAME	HIGHER		LOWER	SAME	HIGHEF
		IV.B			(CHICAGO) F				CT	(IL*,	IN*,	10, MI*,	WI	(*) AGR	ICULT	JRAL BANKS								
									.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,						<u></u>				• • •	42	44		37	45	18
1988	Q3	I	18	48	34	I	30	56	14	I	18	76	6	!	42 33	43 57	15 10		13 5	42 31	64	ł	17	50	33
	Q4	i	8	45	47	1	16	70	13	I	14	77	9	1	33	57	10 1		5	51	••	•			
									10		15	78	7		33	59	8		6	28	66	1	14	49	37
1989	Q1	1	9		51		25	62	13	-	13	80	7		28	65	6		9	46	45	1	15	58	27
	Q2	1	10	53	37		22	69	9				8	1	19	62	20		20	57	24	1	9	45	46
	Q3	1	16	57	27		21	67	12	1	14	78		1	25	63	11		13	45	43	i	8	38	54
	Q4	1	12	51	37	1	13	70	17	I	11	77	11		25	0.5	++ (·			
											10	77	11		23	71	6		13	46	41	1	6	41	53
1990	Q1	1	12	50	38	1	20	60	20	1	13	77	7	1	21	72	7 1		17	56	27	i	8	51	40
	<u>q</u> 2	1	15	58	27	1	20	70	10		12	81	6		12	58	30		15	62	23	i	8	47	45
	Q3	1	14	58	28	I	20	66	14	I	16	78	D	1	12	50	50 1		10			•			
		IV.B	2	ELEVENT	H (DALLAS	5) E	FEDERAL	RESER	VE DISTR	ICT	(LA*,	NM*,	TX)								4. 1*	:	1	£ .	<u></u>
								54	22	,	21	72	6	1	18	71	11		20	50	30	I	28	51	22
1988	Q3	1	20		19		24	54 57	20	1	16	79	5	i	15	76	9		14	52	33	1	19	58	23
	Q4	1	15	66	20		23	57	20	1	10	15	5	1											
							~~	53	17		16	80	4	1	19	76	5		16	54	31	1	19	61	20
1989	Q1	1	22		23		29		14	1	18	76	5	i	24	71	5 1		16	54	30	1	25	58	17
	Q2	1	24		24	1	31	55		-	20	75	5	i	21	70	9		15	63	22	1	22	64	14
	Q3	1	18	66	16	1	23	59	18	!		82	6		17	76	7 1		12	54	34	i	24	63	13
	Q4	I	15	59	25	ł	23	65	12	1	12	82	0	1	1,	10						•			
							22	62	16	1	19	76	5	1	17	80	3		11	58	31	1	20	62	17
1990	Q1	1	17		25	1	22				15	79	6	i	22	70	8		15	61	24	1	27	63	10
	Q2		18		22	1	25	64	12		15	79	7		18	74	8		16	54	30	i	22	64	14
	Q3	1	21	. 55	23		26	58	16	1	12	19	'	1	10		-	•							
		IV.E	3	FIFTH (RICHMOND)	FI	EDERAL	RESERV	E DISTRI	CT	(MD, N	ic, so	C, VA, WV	*)		<u></u>									
									6		21	68	11		25	60	15	1	10	67	24	1	18	55	27
1988	Q3	l	15		15	ļ	6	89		1	32	68	0	1	24	68	8	i	11	71	18	Ì	14	68	18
	Q4	1	10	80	10	1	9	87	4	I	32	00	v	1	- •		-	•		_					
				77	15	,	21	79	0	ł	26	74	0	I	23	77	0	I	10	69	21	1	10	66	24
T983	Q1	1	8			1	12	85	4		24	76	0	i	24	76	0	1	3	72	24	1	10	69	21
	Q2	I	17		21		12	83	0		44	57	0 0	i	20	64	16	I	11	70	19	1	26	63	11
	Q3	1	24		12	1		83 87	4	1	21	79	0	i	17	83	0	Ì	8	65	27	1	15	62	23
	Q4	I	12	64	24	I	9	8/	4	I	£ 1	و،	U U	1				•							
1000	01	1	23	65	12	ı	20	80	0	1	28	72	0	1	24	72	4	1	15	67	19	1	19	67	15
1990	Q1	1	11		4		12	85	4	i	26	70	4	1	27	65	8	i i	7	82	11	1	11	71	18
	Q2	1	17	-	4		9	87	4	i	18	82	0	i	5	81	14	1	4	83	13		17	67	17
	Q3	1	- 1/	/0	-	1	3		•				-	•											

(PERCENTAGE OF BANKS REPORTING)

.

.

FEDERAL RESERVE BANK QUARTERLY SURVEYS OF AGRICULTURAL CREDIT CONDITIONS AT COMMERCIAL BANKS TABLE IV.B (CONTINUED)

EXPECTED DEMAND FOR FARM LOANS DURING NEXT QUARTER, COMPARED WITH NORMAL DEMAND (PERCENTAGE OF BANKS REPORTING)

				ORT-TI					E-TERM					NSION	
			NONREAL	ESTA	TE LOANS		NONREAL	estat	e loans			OR R	efina	NCING	
			LOWER	SAME	HIGHER		LOWER	SAME	HIGHER		LOW	ER	SAME	HIGHER	
		IV.B4	NINTH	(MIN	NEAPOLIS)	FEDERAL	RESERVI	DIST	RICT (MI*, M	I, MT,	ND,	SD,	WI*)	
1987	Q3	1	23	71	6		20	72	8		14		80	6	
	Q4	i	19	70	10	i	20 17	74	9	i	18		73	9	i
1988	Q1	1	13	74	13	I	14	75	12	I	15		80	6	I
	Q2	1	11	65	24	I	21	65	14	1	12		61	26	i i
	Q3	1	13	69	18	1	24	69	7	1	7		68	25	1
	Q 4	I.	11	56	32	I	12	80	8	I	7		82	11	I
L989	Q1	I	6	64	30	I	5	80	14	I	10		82	9	I
	Q2	1	3	82	16	I	11	82	7	1	11		83	6	1
	Q3	1	7	81	13	I	10	80	10	ł	7		81	12	1
	Q 4	I	3	82	16	T	7	78	16	1	2		88	10	Í
1990	Q1	1	0	80	19	I	4	86	10	I	5		81	13	1
	Q2	1	4	86	10	1	4	83	12	1	12		80	8.	. 1
	Q3	1	8	76	16	1	9	81	11	1	9		78	13	i

4

4

a yog

31

6

.

Digitized for FRASER http://fraser.stlouisfed.org/ Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis

FEDERAL RESERVE BANK QUARTERLY SURVEYS OF AGRICULTURAL CREDIT CONDITIONS AT COMMERCIAL BANKS TABLE IV.C

AVERAGE LOAN-TO-DEPOSIT RATIO AND OTHER INDICATORS OF RELATIVE CREDIT AVAILABILITY (PERCENTAGE OF BANKS REPORTING)

			AVERAGE		LOAN/I	DEPOSIT R	ATTO IS		REFUSED OR REDUCED A	ACTIVELY				NUMBER	OF FARM	LOAN				
		1	DEPOSIT						FARM LOAN	SEEKING NEW		cc	RRESPON	DENT E	ANKS		ľ	ONBANK	AGENCI	es
			RATIO,		LOWER	AT	HIGHER		BECAUSE OF A SHORTAGE	FARM			COM	PARED	WITH			CON	PARED	WITH
			END OF		THAN	DESIRED	THAN		OF LOANABLE	LOAN			A YE	AR EAF	LIER			A YI	lar ear	LIER
		1	QUARTER PERCENT		DESIRED		DESIRED		FUNDS	ACCOUNTS		NONE	LOWER	SAME	HIGHER		NONE	LOWER	SAME	HIGHER
		IV.C1	SEVENTH	(CHIC	CAGO) FED	ERAL RESE	RVE DISTR	ICT (IL*, IN*, IC	, MI*, WI*)	AGR	ICULTUR	AL BANK	(S						
						<u></u>				***		***	***	***	***		***	***	***	***
1989	Q1	1	54	1	68	22	11		***	***	1	***	***	***	***		***	***	***	***
	Q2	1	56	1	66	22	12	- !	***	***	-	***	***	***	***	1	***	***	***	***
	Q3	1	57	1	62	28	10	ļ		***		***	***	***	***	i i	***	***	***	***
	Q4	I	56	I	65	26	9	ł	***		I	~~~								
1 0 0 0	Q1		55	1	67	25	7	1	***	***	1	***	***	***	***	1	***	***	***	***
1990	Q1		56		68	25	7	i	***	***	Ì	***	***	***	***	- 1	***	***	***	***
	Q2	1	57	i	65	27	8	i	***	***	L	***	***	***	***	I	***	***	***	***
		IV.C2	TENTH (1	ANSA	S CITY) F	EDERAL RI	ESERVE DIS	TRIC	Г (CO, KS, MC)*, NE, NM*	, OK,	WY) AG	RICULT	JRAL BI	anks					
1989	Q1		49		81	12	8	1	3	71	I	75	23	70	7	I	65	21	67	11
1.00	Q2	i	51	i	82	7	11	1	2	69	1	78	25	75	1	1	65	21	75	4
	Q3	i	51	i	79	7	14	Í	4	70	i	78	21	75	4	1	74	21	73	6
	Q4	i	51	i	79	7	14	Ì	5	67	I	76	18	76	6	1	72	19	75	6
					~1	0	10		4	71		74	15	78	7	1	67	15	74	11
1990	Q1		50	1	81	9	10		4	68		77	13	83	4	- 1	68	12	79	9
	Q2 Q3	1	51 52	1	82 82	7 4	11 14	1	1	69		77	16	81	3	i	71	14	78	8
		IV.C3		H (DA)	LLAS) FED	ERAL RESI	ERVE DISTR	RICT	(LA, NM*, TX)	i										
									_				10	70	8		***	15	75	9
1989	Q1	1	46	1	***	***	***	1	1	***		***	12	79	8		***	13	75	6
	Q2		48	ł	***	***	***	1	3	***	!		18	75	12		***	18	80	9
	Q3	1	49	1	***	***	***	I	4	***		***	16	72			***	17	68	15
	Q 4	I	47	1	***	***	***	I	2	***	I	***	16	74	10	1		17	00	10
1990	Q1	I	45	I	***	***	***	I	2	***	I	***	19	76	5	I	***	15	75	10
	Q2	i	45	1	***	***	***	1	3	***	1	*** ***	21	72	8 9	1	***	19 11	75	6
										***							***		76	13

.

τ

FEDERAL RESERVE BANK QUARTERLY SURVEYS OF AGRICULTURAL CREDIT CONDITIONS AT COMMERCIAL BANKS TABLE IV.C

*

1

AVERAGE LOAN-TO-DEPOSIT RATIO AND OTHER INDICATORS OF RELATIVE CREDIT AVAILABILITY (PERCENTAGE OF BANKS REPORTING)

Ő

.

		:	AVERAGE LOAN-TO- DEPOSIT	-	LOAN,	DEPOSIT	RATIO IS		REFUSED OR REDUCED A FARM LOAN	ACTIVELY					R OF FARM	LON	N REFER	RALS TO		
			RATIO, END OF	_	LOWER	AT	HIGHER		BECAUSE OF A SHORTAGE	SEEKING NEW Farm		C	RRESPON				1	ONBANK		
		I	QUARTEF PERCENT	ર	THAN DESIREI	DESIRED			OF LOANABLE FUNDS			NONE		PARED MAL NU SAME			NONE	NO	RMAL NO	
		IV.C4	NINTH	(MINNEA	POLIS) F	EDERAL R	ESERVE DIS	TRICT	(MI*, MN,	MT, ND, SD,	WI*)									
1989	Q1	1	50	I	56	41	3	1	6	***	 I	34	10	55						
	Q2	1	53	1	54	45	1	i	3	***		35	5	55 59	1 0		34	8	56	2
	Q3	1	55	1	43	52	5	i	3	***		34	5	59	2		31 32	6	61	2
	Q4	1	55	I	43	48	9	i	3	***	i	34	4	60	3		32 29	6 3	57 63	5 5
1990	Q1	1	52	1	49	47	4	I	3	***		32	3	63	2		20	•		
	Q2	1	55	1	43	51	6	i	6	***	i	34	7	56	3		30 35	2	63	4
	Q3	1	55	1	40	55	5	i	2	***	i	30	7	62	1		35 31	8 7	52 58	4
		IV.C5	FIFTH	(RICHMO)	ND) FEDE	RAL RESER	NE DISTRIC	CT (M	1D, NC, SC, V	VA, WV*)										
1989	Q1	1	80	1	14	50	36	1	7	55										
	Q2	i	79	i	10	62	28	-	3	55 66	!	77 82	4	19	0		60	0	36	4
	Q3	i	80	i	22	56	22		4	63	-	82 84	4	15	0		64	4	32	0
	Q4	I.	76	i	32	56	12	i	0	69		73	4	12 23	0 0		71 62	8 12	21 27	0
1990	Q1	1	76	1	32	57	11	,	0	68		04		••	-					Ŭ
	Q2	Ì	77	i	19	74	7	Ì	4	75		84 84	4	12 16	0		65	8	27	0
	Q3	ł	77	i	26	61	13	i	0	80		87	0	13	0	!	67 77	4	29 18	0

FEDERAL RESERVE BANK QUARTERLY SURVEYS OF AGRICULTURAL CREDIT CONDITIONS AT COMMERCIAL BANKS TABLE IV.D INTEREST RATES ON FARM LOANS

			MOST	COMMON IN (AVE	TEREST RA		NM LOANS			A	VERAGE IN COMPARED	WITH	AVERAGE	RATES	DURING T IN THE CU KS REPORT	RRENT		EK	
			FEEDER	OTHER OPERATING	SHORT - TERM NONREAL	INTER- MEDIATE NONREAL	LONG-TERM REAL ESTATE			HORT-TE L ESTAT	rm E loans				e-term Te loans			Long-Te Estate	ern Loans
			CATTLE LOANS	LOANS	ESTATE	ESTATE	LOANS		LOWER	SAME	HIGHER		LOWER	SAME	HIGHER		LOWER	SAME	HIGHER
		IV.D1	SEVENTH	I (CHICAGO)	FEDERAL	RESERVE	DISTRICT (II	,*, IN*,	10, MI	*, WI*)	AGRICULT	URAL	BANKS						
1000			12.5	12.5	***	***	11.7	1	***	***	***	I	***	***	***	I	***	***	***
1989	-	-	12.5	12.4	***	***	11.5	i	***	***	***	i	***	***	***	1	***	***	***
	Q2		12.4	12.2	***	***	11.3	i	***	***	***	i	***	***	***	1	***	***	***
	Q3		12.1	12.2	***	***	11.1	i	***	***	***	i	***	***	***	Í	***	***	***
	Q 4	1	12.0	12.0			44.4					•							
1	~1		11.9	11.9	***	***	11.1	1	***	***	***	1	***	***	***	1	***	***	***
1990	-	-		11.9	***	***	11.1	1	***	***	***	i	***	***	***	Ì	***	***	***
	<u>0</u> 2		11.9 11.9	11.9	***	***	11.1	i	***	***	***	i	***	***	***	Ì	***	***	***
	Q3	I	11.9	11.9			** • *	1				•				·			•
		IV.D2	TENTH	(KANSAS CIT	Y) FEDER	AL RESERV	E DISTRICT	(CO, KS,	MO*, N	E, NM*,	OK) AGRI	CULTU	RAL BANK	5					
1989		ı	12.6	12.6	***	12.6	12.0		***	***	***	1	***	***	***	1	***	***	***
	Q1	1	12.5	12.4	***	12.5	11.9	i	***	***	***	i	***	***	***	1	***	***	***
	Q2 Q3	1	12.5	12.3	***	12.4	11.7	i	***	***	***	İ	***	***	***	1	***	***	***
	Q3 Q4		12.4	12.3	***	12.2	11.6	i	***	***	***	i	***	***	***	Ì	***	***	***
	Q4	I	12.3	** • *															
1990	~1		12.2	12.1	***	12.1	11.5	1	***	***	***	I	***	***	***	1	***	***	***
	-		12.2	12.0	***	12.1	11.4	i	***	***	***	i	***	***	***	i	***	***	***
	Q2 Q3		12.1	12.0	***	12.1	11.4	i	***	***	***	i	***	***	***	i	***	***	***

L

1

ι

FEDERAL RESERVE BANK QUARTERLY SURVEYS OF AGRICULTURAL CREDIT CONDITIONS AT COMMERCIAL BANKS TABLE IV.D

THEFT				
INTEREST	RAIES	ON	I ARM	LOANS

۲

, **•**

•

			MO:	ST COMMON II (AVI	NTEREST RA ERAGE, PER		M LOANS	-			AVERAGE II COMPAREI	D WIT	ST RATE E H AVERAGE ERCENTAGE	RATES	IN THE CU	JRRENT	EXT QUART I QUARTER	TER R	
			FEEDER CATTLE	OTHER OPERATING	SHORT- TERM NONREAL	INTER- MEDIATE NONREAL	LONG-TERM REAL ESTATE	I		SHORT-TI AL ESTA	ERM TE LOANS				TE-TERM TE LOANS			LONG-TI ESTATI	erm E loans
			LOANS	LOANS	ESTATE	ESTATE	LOANS		LOWER	SAME			LOWER	SAME	HIGHER		LOWER	SAME	HIGHER
		IV.D3	NINTH	(MINNEAPOL)	S) FEDERA	L RESERVE	DISTRICT	(MI*, MN	, MT , 1	ND, SD,	WI*)								
1989	Q1	I	***	***	12.8	12.9	12.2	1	7	29	63		7	31					
	Q2	1	***	***	12.7	12.9	12.1	i	43	55	2		42	54	62		8	36	56
	Q3	1	***	***	12.5	12.5	11.9	ł	30	68	2				3		42	56	1
	Q4	Í	***	***	12.4	12.3	11.7		49	50	1		28 44	70 56	2 1	!	29	69	2
											-	I		56	T	1	46	54	0
1990	Q1	1	***	***	12.3	12.3	11.5	1	8	83	9	1	8	80	12		8	0.0	
	Q2	1	***	***	12.3	12.3	11.6	i	18	79	3		17	81	3	1		80	11
	Q3	I	***	***	12.3	12.3	11.7	i	11	77	12		11	77	12		17 8	80 82	4 10
		IV.D4	ELEVEN	TH (DALLAS)	FEDERAL H	ESERVE D	ISTRICT (L	A*, NM*,	TX)		<u></u>								
1989	Q1		13.3	13.5	***	13.6	13.2		***	***	***	•							
	Q2	i	13.2	13.3	***	13.8	13.2		***	***		ł	***	***	***	1	***	***	***
	Q3		13.0	13.0	***	13.3		1	***		***	1	***	***	***	1	***	***	***
	Q4	1	12.9	12.9	***	12.9	12.7	!	***	***		1	***	***	***	1	***	***	***
	_ · · · ·	1	12.5	12.9		12.9	12.7	I	***	***	***	1	***	***	***	I	***	***	***
1990	Q1	1	12.7	12.8	***	12.9	12.6	1	***	***	***		***	***	***				
	Q2	i i	12.7	12.8	***	12.8	12.4	-	***	***	***	1	***	***	***	!	***	***	***
	Q3	i	12.6	12.7	***	12.7	12.5	1	***	***	***		***	***	***		***	***	***
		IV.D5	FIFTH	(RICHMOND)	EDERAL RE	SERVE DIS	TRICT (MD,	NC SC	VA W	V*)		•							
				. ,				,,	·A, 1	• ,									
1989	Q1	I.	12.8	12.7	***	12.9	12.7	I	***	***	***		***	***	***				
	Q2	1	12.6	12.5	***	12.6	12.5	i	***	***	***		***	***	***	!	***	***	***
	Q3	1	11.9	12.3	***	12.4	12.3		***	***	***		***	***		!		***	***
	Q4	i	12.2	12.1	***	12.2	11.8	1	***	***	***	1	***	***	*** ***		***	*** ***	***
	Q1	1	12.0	12.0	***	12.1	12.0	1	***	***	***	1	***	***	***	1	***	***	***
	Q2	1	12.2	12.0	***	12.0	11.9	1	***	***	***	i	***	***	***	i	***	***	***
	Q3	1	12.0	12.0	***	12.1	11.9	i	***	***	***		***	***	***	1	***	***	***

•

.

-

•

FEDERAL RESERVE BANK QUARTERLY SURVEYS OF AGRICULTURAL CREDIT CONDITIONS AT COMMERCIAL BANKS TABLE IV.E

TRENDS IN FARM REAL ESTATE VALUES AND LOAN VOLUME

					AGE CHA QUARTE			PERCE	NTAGE	OF GOO CHANGE EARLIE		AND	TH	ID EXPECTED IE NEXT QUAR RCENTAGE OF	TER		REAL B DURING COMPARE	TED TREND STATE LOA THE NEXT D TO YEAR CENTAGE OF	N VOLUME QUARTER, EARLIER
			A T.T.		IRRI- GATED	RANCH- LAND		ALL		IRRI- GATED	RANCH- LAND	-	DOWN	STABLE	UP		LOWER	SAME	HIGHER
		IV.E1				FEDERAL	RESER	Æ DI	STRIC	[(IL*,	IN*, I	0, MI*	, WI*)	AGRICULTURA	L BANKS				
989	Q1	1	3	***	***	***	1 1	10	***	***	***	1	4	73	24	I	15	56	30
	Q1	1	1	***	***	***	i	9	***	***	***	1	3	77	20	I	17	63	19
	Q2	i	2	***	***	***	i	8	***	***	***	1	1	67	32	I	11	65	24
	Q3		1	***	***	***	i	6	***	***	***	1	2	70	29	1	11	60	29
	A	I.	-				'										_		
000	Q1		3	***	***	***	1	5	***	***	***	1	2	71	28	1	6	66	28
	Q1 Q2		1	***	***	***	i	6	***	***	***	1	0	75	25	1	12	66	22
	Q2		1	***	***	***	i	4	***	***	***	Ì	3	73	24	1	12	68	20
	Q3	I	_				•	-				•							
		IV.E2	FIFTH	I (RIC	HMOND)	FEDERAL	RESERVI	E DIS	TRICT	(MD, 1	NC, SC,	VA, WV	*)						
	01		6	***	***	***	1	16	***	***	***		0	69	31	I	22	70	7
	Q1		-0	***	***	***		11	***	***	***	i	0	69	31	I	21	72	7
	-	1	11	***	***	***		21	***	***	***	i	0	93	7	1	28	64	8
	Q3 Q4	1	-4	***	***	***	•	13	***	***	***	i	0	73	27	I	16	76	8
	¥ • • • •	1	-															c 0	8
1990	01	I.	14	***	***	***	1	21	***	***	***		4	68	29	1	23	69	° 7
	Q2	i	-11	***	***	***	1	8	***	***	***	1	7	86	7		22	70	
	Q3	i	6	***	***	***	I	4	***	***	***	I	4	80	16	1	22	65	13
		IV.E3	ELEVI	ENTH (DALLAS)	FEDERAL	RESER	VE DI	STRIC	T (LA*	, NM*, 1	X)							
													***	***	***		25	65	10
1989	Q1	1	***	-1	2	-2		***	-2	5	-9	1	***	***	***	1	32	61	
	Q2	1	***	0	2	-1	1	***	-3	3	-8	1	***	***	***	I I	28	61	10
	Q3	1	***	-0	4	-0		***	-3	5	-7	1	***	***	***	1	28	65	9
	Q4	I	***	0	-3	-1	I	***	-1	5	- 4	I	***	~ ~ *		I	20	00	,
			***	-0	-2	-3		***	0	2	-5	1	***	***	***	1	25	64	11
1990	Q1	1					1	***	-0	-0	-6		***	***	***	i	34	56	10
	Q2	1	*** ***	0 -1	-0 1	-2 1	1	***	-0 -1	-4	-5		***	***	***	i	29	64	6
	Q3		***	- 1			1	***	-1	- 4	-5	1							

1

۲

4

FEDERAL RESERVE BANK QUARTERLY SURVEYS OF AGRICULTURAL CREDIT CONDITIONS AT COMMERCIAL BANKS TABLE IV.E

•

•

•

•

ŧ

TRENDS IN FARM REAL ESTATE VALUES AND LOAN VOLUME

			MARKET VALUE OF GOOD FARMLAND														EXPECTED DEMAND FOR			
			PERCENTAGE CHANGE DURING QUARTER					PERCENTAGE CHANGE FROM A YEAR EARLIER					TREND EXPECTED DURING THE NEXT QUARTER (PERCENTAGE OF BANKS)				FARM REAL ESTATE LOANS DURING THE NEXT QUARTER COMPARED WITH NORMAL (PERCENTAGE OF BANKS)			
			ALL	DRY- LAND	IRRI- GATED	D LAND			DRY- LAND	GATED	RANCH- LAND		DOWN	STABLE	UP		LOWER	SAME	HIGHE	
		IV.E4	TEN	TH (KA	NSAS CI	TY) FEDI	ERAL		DISTR		KS, MO*, 1		, NM*,	OK, WY)						
1989	Q1	1	***	4	5															
	02	i	***	1	1	4	!	***	11	14	10	1	***	***	***	1	***	***	***	
	Q3	i	***	ō	2	2	!	***	10	11	10	1	***	***	***	1	***	***	***	
	Q4		***	2	2	-0 5		***	8	11	8	1	***	***	***		***	***		
		•		2	T	5	- 1	***	8	9	11	1	***	***	***	i	***	***	***	
1990	Q1	1	***	2		•												~~~	***	
	Q2	ł	***	2	1	-0	1	***	5	5	7	1	***	***	***	1	***	***		
	Q3		***	2	2 1	4	1	***	7	6	8	1	***	***	***	1	***		***	
	¥9	1	~~~	U	1	-2	1	***	7	5	6	Ì	***	***	***		***	***	***	
		IV.E5	NINT	H (MI	NEAPOL	IS) FEDE	RAL	RESERVE	DISTRI	CT (MI*	, MIN, M	T, ND,	SC, W	I*)						
989	Q1	1	***																	
	Q2		***	8	2	10	1	***	7	5	5	1	***	***	***	1	10			
	Q3		***	4	5	2	1	***	6	4	3	i	***	***	***	;	10	74	15	
	Q3	•	***	-4	-8	-1	1	***	6	3	5	i	***	***	***	1	9	85	6	
	A	1	- * *	-2	8	-5	1	***	6	6	5	i	***	***	***	1	4 12	75	21	
990	Q1		***	-												I	12	75	13	
	Q2	•	***	2	1	8	1	***	5	5	3	1	***	***	***	1	3	~ ~		
	Q3		***	5	5	2	1	***	5	5	4	i	***	***	***	1		84	13	
	¥2	1	~ # *	-5	-9	-2	1	***	5	4	3	i	***	***	***	1	10	83	7	
												•				1	/	84	9	