Ø)

4-2260

FEDERAL WORKS AGENCY WORK PROJECTS ADMINISTRATION

Northwestern Universit AUG 11 LIBRARY

For Release Monday, August 11, 1941

WPA SURVEYS FIND SHARP RENT INCREASES AND FEW DWELLINGS AVAILABLE IN DEFENSE AREAS

Rents increased in a large proportion of dwelling units and in some instances more than doubled in small industrial cities and camp towns during the year since the defense program was inaugurated, according to a summary of WPA rental studies released today by Howard O. Hunter, Commissioner of Work Projects.

More than half of 58 communities surveyed showed rent increases for more than 30 percent of their rental dwellings. In half of the places surveyed the average increases were more than 20 percent of the rent paid in March, 1940.

A summary of another series of studies, on vacant dwellings available in defense areas, disclosed that in 90 percent of the areas the vacancy rates in recent months were well below the five percent level which is considered normal. In two out of every five of the areas the housing situation was found to be "critical," with fewer than two percent of the dwelling units vacant.

Both studies were conducted by the WPA Division of Research, the rent survey at the request of the Office of Price Administration and Civilian Supply and the vacancy surveys at the request of the Division of Defense Housing Coordination. The surveys are being used by the agencies which requested them in planning programs of rent

control and defense housing construction. Original from NORTHWESTERN UNIVERSITY NORTHWESTERN UNIVERSITY

4-2260

- 2 -

"Complicating the situation for the migrating defense worker is the fact that many of the relatively few dwellings remaining vacant are not for rent or are unfit for use," Mr. Hunter said. "The number of dwelling units available for rent was often found to be less than half of those vacant.

"In a number of areas the rent increases have been truly startling. They are hardest, moreover, on the families who are least able to pay, because in most instances the increases have been relatively greater on the poorer and cheaper dwellings."

In Burlington, Iowa, the study showed, more than half of the rents had been raised and the average increase was 33 percent. In Leesville, Louisiana, almost three-fourths of the rents had been raised, the average increase amounting to 109 percent.

More than three-fourths of the rents in Brownwood, Texas, were up, and the average increase was 64 percent. In the small town of Starke, Florida, near Camp Blanding, seven-tenths of the rents had been raised an average of 101 percent.

(Attached are two tables, no. 1 summarizing findings of those vacancy studies made during this calendar year, and no. 2 summarizing findings of the rental surveys.)

00

Table	1.	-	Res	sults	of	Vaca	ancy	Sur	rveys	Made	by	the	WFA	Divisio	n of	Research
			at	the	Req	uest	of	the	Divi	sion	of	Defe	nse .	Housing	Coor	dination

Area surveyed	Date of survey	Gross vacancy rate	Habitable rental vacancy rate
Mobile, Alabama	January 1941	1.7%	0.8%
Phoenix, Arizona	April 1941	9.0	7.4
Little Rock area, Arkansas	May 1941	2.4	1.1
B a k er sfield, California	April 1941	5.6	4.2
Oakland area, California	January 1941	2.8	1.4
Sacramento, California	April 1941	5.3	3.6
San Francisco, California	January 1941	5.1	3.8
San Rafael area, California	February 1941	8.3	2.0
Vallejo area, California	February 1941	1.0	0.1
Denver, Colorado	April 1941	2.5	1.9
Stamford, Connecticut	February 1941	1.4	1.0
Waterbury area, Connecticut	February 1941	1.0	0.3
Wilmington, Delaware	February 1941	1.9	1.1
Washington, D. C.)	January 1941	2.5	1.9
Montgomery County, Maryland)	January 1941	4.5	0.8
Frince Georges County, Maryland)	January 1941	3.7	1.3
Alexandria, Virginia)	January 1941	5.6	2.8
Arlington County, Virginia)	January 1941	4.7	1.6
Falls Church, Virginia)	January 1941	7.5	1.2
Fanama City, Florida	April 1941	8.7	4.1
Atlanta, Georgia	April 1941	2.7	1.9
Macon area, Georgia	February 1941	1.2	0.5
Chicago, Illinois	April 1941	2.4	1.7
East Alton area, Illinois	January 1941	1.3	0.6
East St. Louis area, Illinois	January 1941	1.3	0.8
Fort Wayne, Indiana	April 1941	2.7	1.5
Indianapolis, Indiana	January 1941	2.5	1.4
Kansas City area, Kansas	January 1941	3.1	1.6
Baton Rouge, Louisiana	April 1941	3.5	2.9
Portland area, Maine	January 1941	5.1	3.0
Digitized by	Or	iginal from	

• Digitized by NORTHWESTERN UNIVERSITY

Ô

3

Area surveyed	Date of survey	Gross vacancy rate	Habitable rental vacancy rate		
			4		
Baltimore, Maryland	January 1941	2.7%	1.3%		
Hagerstown, Maryland	January 1941	1.4	0.6		
Boston area, Massachusetts	January 1941	4.3	2.5		
Charlestown area, Massachusetts	January 1941	2.6	1.5		
Quincy area, Massachusetts	February 1941	3.3	2.0		
Bay City area, Michigan	February 1941	1.5	0.7		
Detroit area, Michigan	January 1941	2.2	0.8		
Flint, Michigan	February 1941	1.2	0.4		
Midland, Michigan	February 1941	1.4	0.3		
Muskegon, Michigan	January 1941	1.6	1.1		
Fontiac, Michigan	February 1941	1.6	0.5		
Saginaw, Michigan	February 1941 February 1941	2.1	0.9		
Biloxi, Mississippi	April 1941	8.9	4.7		
Meridian, Mississippi	April 1941	2.8	2.0		
Independence area, Missouri	February 1941	1.9	1.2		
Kansas City, Missouri	February 1941	4.1	2.5		
St. Charles area, Missouri	January 1941	3.8	2.1		
St. Louis, Missouri	January 1941	5.3	4.2		
Manchester, New Hampshire	April 1941	4.2	2.3		
Belleville, New Jorsey	January 1941	1.4	0.9		
Elizabeth, New Jersey	January 1941	1.5	1.0		
Harrison, New Jersey	January 1941	2.3	1.0		
Irvington, New Jersey	January 1941	1.4	1.2		
Jersey City area, New Jersey	January 1941	4.1	2.3		
Kearny, New Jersey	January 1941	1.0	0.5		
Newark, New Jersey	January 1941	2.6	1.9		
Orange area, New Jersey	January 1941	2.4	1.8		
Paterson area, New Jersey	January 1941	1.4	0.6		
Albonn Nou Vonk	February 10/1	5.5	3.4		
Albany, New York	February 1941	2.9			
Elmira area, New York	March 1941		1.3		
Schenectady area, New York	January 1941	2.1	1.0		
Syracuse, New York	February 1941	2.5	1.9		
Troy, New York	February 1941	4.7	2.2		
Utica, New York	January 1941	2.8	2.0		
Charlotte, North Carolina	April 1941	3.4	1.8		
Wilmington, North Carolina	February 1941	3.9	0.7		
Akron area, Ohio	March 1941	1.8	0.9		
Cincinnati area, Ohio	January 1941	3.4	2.2		
Cleveland area, Ohio	April 1941	1.9	1.1		
Toledo, Ohio Digitized by	April 1941		2.2		
NORTHWESTERN UNIVERSITY		ESTERN UNIVERS			

.

0

Table 1. - Results of Vacancy Surveys Made by the WFA Division of Research at the Request of the Division of Defense Housing Coordination - Cont&

- 2 -

Area surveyed	Date of survey	Gross vacaney rate	Habitable rental vacancy rate
	₩₩₩₩₩₽₽₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩		
Allentown, Fennsylvania	January 1941	1.3%	0.6%
Beaver County, Pennsylvania	January 1941	1.6	1.0
Bethlehem, Pennsylvania	January 1941	0.9	0.3
Ellwood City, Pennsylvania	January 1941	1.5	0.7
Harrisburg area, Pennsylvania	February 1941	2.0	1.2
New Castle, Fennsylvania	January 1941	3.7	2.3
Philadelphia, Fennsylvania	March 1941	2.9	1.8
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania)February 1941	2.2	1.3
Allegheny River area, Fennsylvan		1.2	0.6
Monongahela River area, Pennsylvan		1.0	0.4
Dhio River area, Pennsylvania)February 1941	1.9	1.0
Reading, Fennsylvania	January 1941	2.2	1.5
Fitusville, Pennsylvania	January 1941	1,8	0.7
Villiamsport, Pennsylvania	February 1941	1.1	0.5
Columbia, South Carolina	February 1941	1.9	0.6
Beaumont, Texas	June 1941	3.6	2.4
Dallas area, Texas	February 1941	4.9	3,5
Houston, Texas	April 1941	4.8	3.8
Port Arthur, Texas	June 1941	2.9	2.2
Victoria, Texas	April 1941	3.6	2.4
Vichita Falls, Texas	April 1941	5.1	3.0
Ogden, Utah	May 1941	1.4	0.5
Everett, Washington	April 1941	4.4	2.1
Charleston area, West Virginia	January 1941	2.4	1.2
Norgantown area, West Virginia	January 1941	1.7	0.8
Beloit, Wisconsin	January 1941	1.3	0.7
Manitowoc, Wisconsin	January 1941	2.2	1.1
Oshkosh, Wisconsin	February 1941	1.9	1.1

Table 1. - Results of Vacancy Surveys Made by the WFA Division of Research at the Request of the Division of Defense Housing Coordination - Cont'd.

0

**

Table 2. - Results of Rental ^Change Surveys Made by the WPA Division of Research at the Request of the Office of Price Administration and Civilian Supply

2	Area surveyed	Date of survey	Proportion of identical units having rent in- creases since	Average rent March 1940, all units	Average increase for units having increases		
		501 VC3	March 1940		Amount	Percent	
	Anniston, Alabama	June 1941	38%	\$13.10	\$3.60	28	
	Florence, Alabama)	June 1941	30,	13.90	4.20	30	
	Sheffield, Alabama)	June 1941	38	12.60	5.10	40	
	Tuscumbia, Alabama)	June 1941	36	12.70	5.00	40	
	Monterey, California)	June 1941	28	20.60	4.60	23	
	Salinas, California)	June 1941	10	31.10	4.50	15	
	Bristol, Connecticut	May 1941	39	25.80	3. 80	15	
	Meriden, Connecticut	May 1941	32	23.80	3.40	14	
		May 1941	22	28.00	4.70	17	
	New London, Connecticut)	v	19	26.60	3.40	13	
-	Gainesville, Florida)	May 1941	12	19.20	5.00	26	
3	Starke, Florida)	May 1941	70	13.00	13.20	101	
	Pensacola, Florida	June 1941	23	15.70	2.70	17	
	Joilet, Illinois	May 1941	44	25.80	5.40	21	
	Madison County cities, II		12	20.50	3.20	16	
	LaPorte, Indiana	June 1941	38	24.60	5.80	24	
	Madison, Indiana	July 1941	34	12.10	3.80	31	
	Burlington, Iowa	May 1941	58	20.20	6.60	33	
	Junction City, Kansas	June 1941	46	18.30	5.40	30	
	Alexandria, Louisiana	March 1941	55	15.80	5.70	36	
	Leesville, Louisiana	June 1941	74	11.60	12.70	109	
	Bath, Maine	March 1941	22	20.50	3.90	19	
	Elkton, Maryland	June 1941	9	19.90	3.60	18	
	Hagerstown, Maryland	June 1941	15	21.00	2.70	13	
	Ayer, Massachusetts	June 1941	15	20.10	4.30	21	
	Bay City, Michigan	June 1941	13	22.30	4.20	19	
	Midland, Michigan	June 1941	19	25.80	4.40	17	
	Muskegon, Michigan	May 1941	15	21.50	3.80	18	

1

23

Area surveyed	Date of survey	Proportion of identical units having rent in- creases since	Average rent March 1940, all units	Average increas for units havin increases		
		March 1940		Amount		
Bethpage, New York)	May 1941	17%	\$26.60	\$4.50.	17	
Farmingdale, New York)	May 1941		33.50	4.10	12	
Elmira, New York	May 1941		23.70	3.50	15	
Massena, New York	May 1941		22.80	4.30	19	
Sidney, New York	May 1941		21.70	3.80	18	
Fayetteville, North Caroli	na June	1941 40	16.80	3.90	23	
Wilmington, North Carolina			15.20	4.80	31	
Warren, Ohio)	May 1941	29	29.20	5.50	19	
Ravenna, Ohio)	May 1941		21.00	4.80	23	
Lawton, Oklahoma	June 194	49	19.50	6.10	31	
Beaver County, cities, Pa.	June 194	1 20	22.40	3.70	16	
Ellwood City, Pennsylvania			24.90	3.80	15	
Titusville, Pennsylvania			15.90	2.60	17	
Williamsport, Pennsylvania			22.30	2.80	13	
Newport, Rhode Island	June 194	-1 4	30.00	4.30	14	
Milan-Humboldt area, Tenn.	June 194	1 38	10.20	9.10	89	
Tullahoma, Tennessee	July 194	1 47	13.30	9.20	69	
Abilene, Texas	June 194	1 56	20.30	5.70	28	
Brownwood, Texas	June 194	1 78	15.20	9.70	64	
Mineral Wells, Texas	June 194	1 64	17.30	9.90	57	
Ogden, Utah	May 1941	15	23.20	3.60	15	
Springfield, Vermont)	June 194	41	23.50	5.10	22	
Windsor, Vermont)	June 194	1 30	20.80	4.00	19	
Petersburg, Virginia	May 1941	. 26	13.10	3.30	25	
Pulaski, Virginia)	May 1941	. 41	17.50	5.60	32	
Radford, Virginia)	May 1941	. 43	15.80	7.00	44	
Morgantown, West Virginia	May 1941	48	21.50	6.60	31	
Manitewoc, Wisconsin)	May 1941	. 23	24.30	3.90	16	
Two Rivers, Wisconsin)	May 1941		19.70	3.20	16	

Table 2. - Results of Rental Change Surveys Made by the WPA Division of Research at the Request of the Office of Price Administration and Civilian Supply - Continued