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## Foreword

This bulletin examines the economic status of Negro women workers in 1960, and tells which industries employ Negro women, the occupations they hold, in what States they work, what their personal and family characteristics are, how much they earn, and how many have jobs or are unemployed. The 1960 data are compared with those for 1940 and 1950 wherever possible-to help determine progress during the last two decades.

Bureau of the Census decennial reports concerning general, social, and economic characteristics of the population are the basis of this study. It is one of a series of bulletins being prepared by the Women's Bureau concerning various aspects of women's employment. The first bulletin, Women Workers in 1960-Geographical Differences, published in 1962, compares women's employment in 1950 and 1960 with special emphasis on State and regional differences. Currently underway are studies of changes in women's occupations and industries between 1950 and 1960, and the relationship between a woman's education and her employment.

This bulletin supersedes Women's Bureau publication Negro Women and Their Jobs, dated 1954, which was based primarily on data collected in the 1950 census. The current publication was prepared in the Division of Research and Manpower Program Development under the direction of Jean A. Wells, Acting Chief. It was written by Helen O. Nicol, Chief, Branch of Labor Force Research, with the assistance of Merci L. Drake. Statistical tabulations were prepared by Harriet G. Magruder and Grace R. Hipp.

October 1963

Esther Peterson, Director, Women's Bureau.
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## Highlights

## Women in the Labor Force

A total of $2,455,000$ Negro women were employed in 1960, as compared with $1,870,000$ in 1950 .
The number of nonwhite women workers rose from just over 2 million in 1950 to almost 3 million in 1960 -a gain of 35 percent. The percentage of nonwhite women of working age who were in the labor force increased from 37 to 42 percent between 1950 and 1960.

Both in 1950 and 1960, one out of eight women workers was nonwhite.

## Geographical Shifts

Nonwhite women continued their migration away from farms into industrial and metropolitan centers. Many also moved out of the South; the proportion of nonwhite women living there dropped from almost three-fourths in 1940 to just over half in 1960.

## Ages of Nonwhite Women Workers

The median age of nonwhite women workers in 1960 was 38 years-slightly lower than the 40 -year average of white women workers.
Among those 20 years of age and over there are higher proportions of nonwhite women than white women who work outside the home. The difference is greatest for women between 25 and 45 .

## Working Wives and Mothers

Nonwhite working wives increased almost 50 percent between 1950 and 1960 and approached a total of 1.4 million. Working wives constituted almost one-half of all nonwhite women workers in 1960.
One out of three nonwhite mothers with small children (under 6 years) was in the labor force in 1960, as compared with less than one out of five white mothers.

## Educational Attainment

In 1960, half of all nonwhite women 25 years of age and over had received better than an eighth grade education-an average gain of more than 1 year since 1950 and more than 2 years since 1940. The percentage of high school graduates rose from 14 to 23 percent from 1950 to 1960 .

## Industries of Negro Women

Relatively more Negro women were employed in professional services and public administration in 1960 than 1950. On the other hand, there were relatively fewer employed in personal services and an actual decline in agriculture. In terms of detailed industries, marked employment increases occurred in banking, medical and other health services, State and local public administration, general merchandise stores, welfare and religious organizations, and in several expanding divisions of manufacturing.

## Occupations of Negro Women

The number of Negro women employed as clerical, professional, sales, and miscellaneous service workers increased considerably between 1940 and 1960. More than one-third were in these occupations in 1960, as compared with less than one-fifth in 1940. Private-household workers declined in terms of occupational importance. Fewer Negro women were farm workers in 1960 than in 1940, as a result of the long-term decline in agricultural employment.

## Income and Earnings

Negro women's median money income from all sources in 1959 was $\$ 905$. This represented a 29 -percent increase over their 1949 average of $\$ 703$.
Among women workers, nonwhite women had median earnings (full-time and part-time combined) of $\$ 1,219$ in 1959-a little more than half the median earnings of all women workers.

## Prefatory Statement

Statistics for Negro women are presented wherever possible. Otherwise, the basic data cover nonwhite women who include, in addition to Negro women, mainly American Indian and Oriental women, and also Aleut and other Eskimo women. Since Negro women represented 93 percent of all nonwhite women in the United States in 1960, the data for nonwhite women also describe Negro women in most States. The major exceptions are Alaska, Hawaii, and some western and West North Central States. Throughout, however, each statistic has been identified in terms of Negro or nonwhite.

## Introduction

A pattern of steadily rising gains emerges from an analysis of the employment statistics of Negro (or nonwhite) women from 1950 to 1960, and from 1940 to 1960 where data are available. In terms of kinds of jobs, extent of education, industries of employment, levels of income, and accessibility to employment opportunities, the status of Negro women has improved-partly because of concerted efforts of their own and partly because of economic growth, better educational facilities, and various political and social developments-all of which have broadened their educational and employment opportunities.

The major occupational shifts of Negro women have been away from jobs as private-household workers, farmers, and operatives and into clerical, professional, technical, sales, and miscellaneous service jobs. At the same time, significantly higher numbers of Negro women have been employed in banking, retail trade, medical and other health services, public administration, and in some branches of manufacturing that are expanding.

These changes have been related to the continuing migration of Negroes away from farms and into industrial and metropolitan areas, and to a noticeable flow out of the South into other regions of the country. With these moves has come a wider range of employment opportunities.

Another very influential factor has been the steady rise in educational preparation-with many more nonwhite women graduating from grammar school and high school than previously.

But despite considerable achievements, many Negro women were still employed in low-skill and low-paid jobs in 1960, and their unemployment rates were high. Further gains are needed before their employment status matches that of white women workers.
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## Women in the Population

The 1960 United States Census of Population counted 6.9 million nonwhite women of working age 14 years and over. (Table A-1) This number represented a rise of 18 percent since 1950 and 36 percent since 1940. The increase was substantially greater than that for white women, whose numbers rose 13 percent during the 1950-60 decade and 27 percent from 1940 to 1960 . The numerical changes follow:

|  | Women 14 years and over |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1960 | Nonwhite | White |
| 1950 | $6,874,000$ | $58,087,000$ |
| 1940 | $5,-15,000$ | $51,494,000$ |
|  |  | $5,041,000$ |

These figures for nonwhite women in the population are essentially the same as those for Negro women. The latter are not shown separately because population statistics were not available by race for persons 14 years of age and over.

The greater rate of population increase among nonwhite women as compared to white women is believed to be largely the result of a drop in the Negro death rate. By 1960 this was only slightly higher than the rate for whites, and was a marked improvement over the death rates of the past two decades. Better health, sanitation, and improved living conditions have had a direct bearing on the lengthened life span of Negroes.

As the number of nonwhite women in the working-age population increased, so did their ratio to all women in the population. In 1960 this proportion was 11 percent-almost one percentage point greater than in 1950 and 1940. It also exceeded slightly the proportion that nonwhite women and men were of the total population in 1960.

## Major regions and States

The geographical location of Negro women in the population influences directly the place where Negro women work. In 1960 there were Negro women, as well as other nonwhite women, living and working in all the 50 States, but their numbers ranged widely from State to State. (Charts A and B)

## CHART A



Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census

CHART B


Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census

Historically, Negroes living in the United States have been concentrated in southern rural areas. However, since World War II the search for better jobs, better education, and higher living standards has caused many to leave the farm for industrial areas. In many instances they moved to cities and towns in the South; in fact in 1960 the majority of Negroes were still living in the South. Others moved out of the southern States into other regions. This largescale migration of Negroes away from the farm has contributed to significant changes in the economic status of Negro women in the last two decades. Of all nonwhite women of working age 14 years and over, three-fourths lived in cities in 1960.

By region, over half were in the South, whereas about three-fourths were there in 1940 . Conversely, the representation of nonwhite women was greater in all the other major regions. The following illustrates their movement away from the South:

|  | Percent distribution of nonwhite women |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1960 | 1950 | 1940 |
| United States | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Northeast | 17 | 14 | 11 |
| North Central | 18 | 15 | 12 |
| South_ | 55 | 63 | 72 |
| West | 10 | 8 | 5 |

Information available for Negro women shows that the southern States in which the largest numbers were living in 1960 were Texas, Georgia, North Carolina, Louisiana, and Alabama. Outside the South, the numerically most important States were New York, Illinois, California, and Pennsylvania.

## Population proportions

Negro women were 92.7 percent of all nonwhite women in 1960, as compared with 96.2 percent in 1950 and 96.4 percent in 1940. The proportion that Negro women were of all nonwhite women within individual States depended primarily on the number of Oriental or Indian women residing in a State.

In 1960, Negro women constituted virtually all of the nonwhite women in the southern States-with one exception, Oklahoma-and in Connecticut, Illinois, Indiana, Michigan, Missouri, New Jersey, New York, Ohio, and Pennsylvania. They represented between two-thirds and three-quarters of the nonwhite women in California, Colorado, New Hampshire, Oklahoma, and Vermont, and about half of them in Maine, Minnesota, Oregon, and Washington. Their proportion approximated one-fifth to one-third of all nonwhite women in Arizona, Wyoming, Utah, Idaho, and New Mexico, and one-tenth or
less in Alaska, Montana, North Dakota, and South Dakota. In Hawaii, they were less than one percent.

The proportion that Negro women were of all Negroes in the population varied very little among the major regions. They were 52 percent of the total in the South and the North Central States, 54 percent in the Northeast, and 50 percent in the West. As these percentages were about the same in 1950 and 1940, they indicate that Negro women were migrating generally from one region to another to about the same extent as Negro men, and thus they also were broadening their range of employment opportunities.

## Women in the Labor Force

Almost 3 million nonwhite women 14 years of age and over were in the labor force in 1960. (Table A-2) They exceeded by almost three-quarters of a million their number in 1950. Their 35 -percent gain was the same as the increase reported for white women workers. Compared with 1940, however, the growth in the number of women workers was much less pronounced among nonwhite women, 53 percent, than among white women, 77 percent.

|  | Women workers 14 years and over |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Nonwhite | White |
| 1960 | $2,872,000$ | $19,538,000$ |
| 1950 |  | $2,131,000$ |
| $14,462,000$ |  |  |
| 1940 |  |  |

As there are only small differences between the number of nonwhite women workers and Negro women workers, these figures may be considered representative of Negro women workers. The latter group-which covers both employed and unemployed persons-cannot be reported separately, however, because data were not available for unemployed workers by race.

One out of eight women workers in 1960, or about 13 percent, was nonwhite. This proportion was just about the same as in 1950 and slightly lower than in 1940.

Employment statistics reported for Negro women in 1960 cover only those who were actually employed. This group, numbering $2,455,000$, has expanded 31 percent since 1950 , an increase somewhat smaller than the 34 -percent gain of all employed women. It indicates greater unemployment and limitations in job opportunities among Negro women.

Negro women constituted over 90 percent of all employed nonwhite women in a majority of the States in 1960. (Table A-3) In only eight States there were fewer employed Negro women than other nonwhite women who were employed.

Negro women account for a relatively high proportion of all employed Negroes. In 1960 they represented 40 percent of the group, whereas white women were only 32 percent of all white employed persons.

## Regional changes

In the past two decades, relatively more nonwhite women workers moved from one region to another than appeared to be true for women workers as a whole. As indicated by previous population changes, significant numbers of Negro women of working age migrated out of the South. Mainly as a result of this, the number of nonwhite women workers in that region declined from 1940 to 1950, but rose again slightly between 1950 and 1960. The 15 -percent increase in the number of nonwhite women workers in the South during the 20 -year period compared with a nationwide increase of 53 percent. Six States, Alabama, Arkansas, Kentucky, Mississippi, South Carolina, and West Virginia, had fewer nonwhite women workers in 1950 and 1960 than in 1940.

Increases recorded during the 20 -year period have been greatest in the West on a percentage basis, and in the Northeast on a numerical basis. New York, with over 282,000 nonwhite women workers, surpassed every other State, including those in the South.

## Percentages who work

Traditionally, a larger proportion of nonwhite women than of white women work outside the home. In 1960, 42 percent of all nonwhite women 14 years of age and over, and 34 percent of all white women were in the labor force. The difference has narrowed in the past two decades with the growing interest of white women in paid employment. Nonwhite women showed no change between 1940 and 1950 in the proportion who worked outside the home, and only a 5 percentagepoint increase from 1950 to 1960 . In contrast, there was a full 10 percentage-point gain for white women over the 20 -year period, as the following figures show:

|  | Percent | wom | ho wor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1960 | 1950 | 1940 |
| Nonwhite women | 42 | 37 | 37 |
| White women_ | 34 | 28 | 24 |

The percentages of nonwhite women who were in the 1960 labor force were highest in the District of Columbia, 53 percent; Florida, 52 percent; and New York, 50 percent; followed by Connecticut, Delaware, Massachusetts, and New Jersey, each with 48 percent; and by Nevada, 47 percent. These were all States with relatively high proportions of workers among all women. Similarly, the States with the lowest proportions of nonwhite working women-North
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Dakota, 19 percent; South Dakota, 21 percent; and Idaho and Montana, 23 percent-had below-average percentages of all women who work outside the home. The latter group of States had relatively limited employment opportunities for all women, and included only small numbers of Negro women in their population.

## Unemployment

Consistently higher unemployment among nonwhite women than among white women also prevailed at the time of the 1960 census. A total of almost 250,000 nonwhite women were reported to be unemployed and seeking work in 1960. They represented 8.5 percent of all nonwhite women in the labor force. By comparison, only 4.9 percent of white women were unemployed at that time.

By occupational group, nonwhite women workers with the highest rate of unemployment during the 1960 census reference week were farm laborers, 17.4 percent. There was much less unemployment among white women, 6.1 percent, in the same group. Similarly, in the other important occupational group with markedly high unemployment factory operatives-the jobless rate for nonwhite women, 12.3 percent, was greater than that for white women, 9.6 percent. Nonwhite women had consistently higher unemployment than white women in each of the remaining major occupational groups in the experienced labor force, with the difference ranging between 1 and 6 percentage points.

|  | Unemploym | rate, 1960 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Nonwhite women | White women |
| Farm laborers | 17. 4 | 6. 1 |
| Laborers | 16. 6 | 10.9 |
| Operatives | 12. 3 | 9.6 |
| Craftswomen. | 9.2 | 5. 6 |
| Sales workers | 8. 0 | 4. 8 |
| Service workers (other) | 7. 9 | 5. 3 |
| Private-household workers | 6. 0 | 4. 6 |
| Clerical workers. | 5. 8 | 3. 1 |
| Farmers | 5. 2 | . 8 |
| Managers_ | 2. 5 | 1. 8 |
| Professional workers | 2. 2 | 1. 4 |

Similarly, in every age group higher proportions of nonwhite women than white women were seeking work. Among girls 14 to 19 years of age, where the highest unemployment prevailed, 19 percent of the nonwhite and 9 percent of the white girls were unemployed in 1960. Reasons contributing to the especially high rate of jobless nonwhite girls were their lack of marketable skills and their concentration in southern rural areas where job opportunities often are limited.

In older age groups of women, unemployment rates declined. Thirteen percent of the nonwhite women in the $20-$ to 24 -year age group were unemployed in 1960 and 10 percent in the 25 - to 29 -year age group. Nonwhite women with the lowest rate of unemploy-ment-about 6 percent-were those 45 years and over. By comparison, unemployment rates of white women in these age groups ranged from 6 to 4 percent.

## Ages of Women Workers

Nonwhite women workers tend to be slightly younger than white women workers. In 1960, the median age was about 38 years for nonwhite women workers and about 40 years for white. (Table A-4) The lower figure for nonwhite women workers reflects partly
the relatively younger age of nonwhite women in the population, and partly the much higher proportion of nonwhite women than of white women who work and who are between 25 and 45 years of age.

Although the age distribution of nonwhite women workers is basically similar to that of white women workers, two major differences existed both in 1960 and 1950. In comparison to white women, there were relatively more nonwhite women workers who were 25 to 35 years of age and relatively fewer who were 55 years and over. The larger number in the 25 - to 35 -year age group resulted from their greater rate of labor-force participation, whereas the smaller number of those 55 years and over was related to their smaller population.

Typically, more nonwhite women than white women work outside the home in all age groups, except those aged 14 to 19. The laborforce participation rate of nonwhite women was particularly low in 1960 among girls 14 to 19 years-a group that includes relatively large numbers who live in rural areas of the South.

In higher age groups, the proportion of nonwhite women who are in the labor force is also higher. From 45 percent of the 20- to 24year group of nonwhite women, the proportion who worked in 1960 increased to 56 percent of those 35 to 44 years and 55 percent of those 45 to 54 years.

This pattern of steadily increased employment among nonwhite women up to age 45 , and continuing at a high rate through 55 , differs considerably from the work pattern of white women. Following relatively high labor-force participation of white women in their early twenties, there is a noticeable drop for those 25 to 35 years of age-the time when their family responsibilities are greatest.

After age 55, there are fewer workers among both nonwhite and white women. Nevertheless, the proportion of nonwhite women who work is still above that of white women. The following compares 1960 labor-force participation rates of nonwhite and white women by age group:

|  | Percent of women who work |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Nonwhite | White |
| 14-19 years | 17 | 25 |
| 20-24 years_ | 45 | 45 |
| 25-29 years_ | 47 | 33 |
| 30-34 years_ | 50 | 34 |
| 35-44 years_ | 56 | 41 |
| 45-54 years. | 55 | 46 |
| 55-64 years | 40 | 34 |
| 65 years and over | 13 | 10 |

## Marital and Family Status

About three out of five nonwhite women workers in 1960, as well as white women workers, were married. (Table A-5) However, in comparison with white women, there were proportionately fewer nonwhite women workers who were single, or married with husband present.

| Women workers 14 years and over_ | Percentdistribution |  | Percent increase,1950 to 1960 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Nonwhite | White | Nonwhite | White |
|  | 100 | 100 | 36 | 35 |
| Single_ | 19 | 24 | 27 | ${ }^{2} 2$ |
| Married | 63 | 60 | 43 | 60 |
| Husband present. | 47 | 56 | 48 | 62 |
| Husband absent | 16 | 4 | 29 | 35 |
| Widowed or divorced | 18 | 15 | 25 | 34 |

The marked rise in the number of working wives during the 1950 's accounted for much of the employment increase of nonwhite women workers, although it was lower than that of white women. Nonwhite working wives (married women with husband present) increased in number from about 900,000 in 1950 to almost 1.4 million in 1960 a gain of almost 50 percent. More moderate gains were recorded in the numbers of nonwhite women workers in other marital groups.

Within each marital group except one, relatively more nonwhite women than white women were in the labor force in 1960. The exceptional group was that of nonwhite single women-a high proportion of whom were living in southern rural areas, where fewer employment opportunities were available. The labor-force participation rates of nonwhite and white women, by marital status, follow:

| Total, 14 years and over_-- | Women workers as percent of all women |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Nonwhite | White |
|  | 42 | 34 |
| Single | 36 | 44 |
| Married | 44 | 30 |
| Husband present | 41 | 30 |
| Husband absent. | 56 | 45 |
| Widow or divorced........- | 42 | 35 |

In 1960, among mothers with small children, nonwhite women engaged in work outside the home to a greater extent than white women.

| Married women (husband present) with children | Percent <br> in labor <br> force |
| :--- | :--- |
| under 6 years: |  |
| Nonwhite_- |  |
| White_- | 18 |

## Educational Progress

The education attained by Negro women has a direct bearing on their economic advancement. Some indication of the educational gains made by Negro women is reflected in the statistics available for nonwhite women. These show that the median educational level of nonwhite women, 25 years of age and over, rose from 6.1 years in 1940 to 7.2 years in 1950 and 8.5 years in 1960. At the same time, there was also increased employment of nonwhite women in professional, technical, and clerical occupations-most of which require above-average educational preparation.

A total of 2.6 million nonwhite girls and women under 25 years of age were attending school in 1960. Since education through secondary school is available to almost everyone in the Nation, the proportion of nonwhite girls and women under 25 enrolled in school, 68 percent, was almost as high as that of white girls and women, 70 percent. For both groups there was improvement over 1950, when the proportions were 58 and 61 percent, respectively.
The relatively favorable comparisons of nonwhite and white women-with respect to the percentages of the population under 25 attending school-generally prevailed for each age group, including
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those 21 to 24 years of age. The only significant difference was in the 14 - to 17 -year group, among whom were proportionately fewer nonwhite women students.

Comparisons of nonwhite women and nonwhite men show that the proportions in school were the same through age 17 . In the age groups 18 to 24 , however, smaller percentages of women than men were students, as the following summary shows:


In 1960, half of all nonwhite women 25 years of age and over had received more than an eighth grade education. This amounted to an average gain of more than 1 year since 1950, and more than 2 years since 1940. On the average, nonwhite women over 25 years continued to have much less formal education than white women. They were still slightly ahead of nonwhite men, although the differences among the various groups in the population were narrowing.

${ }^{1}$ Excludes Alaska and Hawaii.
Within the major regions of the country, there were considerable differences in the extent of education attained by both nonwhite and white women. The highest level of attainment was recorded in western States. Nonwhite women in southern States, virtually all of whom are Negroes, had received the least education.

|  | Median school years completed by nonwhite women |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1960 | 19501 | 19401 |
| United States | 8.5 | 7. 2 | 6. 1 |
| Northeast_ | 9. 5 | 8. 5 | 8. 0 |
| North Central_ | 9. 4 | 8. 6 | 8.1 |
| South | 7. 6 | 6. 3 | 5. 5 |
| West. | 10.3 | 8. 9 | 8. 2 |

By State, in 1960, nonwhite women attained the highest education in New Hampshire, median 12.1 years, and the lowest in South Carolina, median 6.4 years. (Table A-6) The greatest differences in the median number of school years completed by women prevailed in the following States: Alaska, nonwhite women, 6.5 years; white women, 12.4 years; Arizona, 7.2 years, 12.0 years; New Mexico, 7.2 years, 11.8 years; Mississippi, 6.7 years, 11.3 years; and South Carolina, 6.4 years, 10.7 years. In all of these five States, higher proportions of nonwhite women than of white women were living in rural areas. In Alaska, Arizona, and New Mexico, less than half the nonwhite women were Negro.

In the population 25 years of age and over, relatively fewer nonwhite women than white women had finished grammar school in 1960. Also a much smaller percentage of nonwhite women, 23 percent, than of white women, 44 percent, had obtained at least a high school education. Four percent of the nonwhite women were college graduates, as compared with 6 percent of the white women. In fact the percentages of nonwhite women 25 years of age and over, in the higher educational levels, showed noteworthy gains between 1950 and 1960.


Of the nonwhite women 25 years of age and over who had 4 years or more of college and were employed in 1960, over three-quarters had professional or related kinds of jobs. This proportion was slightly higher than that for white college women. However, the available statistics did not reveal how many of the nonwhite professional women were teachers and how many were employed in other kinds of professional work.

## Industries of Employment

## Major industry groups

Economic growth during the 1950's accounted for much of the employment increase recorded by Negro women. There were sig-
nificant differences, however, among the principal industry groups. The major changes were substantial increases in the numbers of Negro women employed in professional services and public administration, only a small increase in personal services, and a noticeable decrease in agriculture. (Chart C) The following summary shows shifts in the percentage distribution of Negro women employed in major industry groups:


Of 2.5 million Negro women employed at the time of the 1960 census, more than one million were in various categories of the personal service industries, including private households; over 400,000 in professional and related services ; nearly 250,000 in wholesale and retail trade; over 200,000 in manufacturing; and 88,000 each in agriculture and public administration. (Table A-7) Despite this concentration in 1960 , a comparison with 1950 indicates that slightly greater proportions of Negro women were employed in the remaining industry array. Thus, the proportion of Negro women employed in these six major industry groups declined from about 94 to 90 percent between 1950 and 1960.

Changes in detailed industries, 1950-60
Among detailed industries, shifts in the employment of Negro women from 1950 to 1960 reflected largely the long-term growth of service-producing industries and the relatively declining importance of goods-producing industries. The size of the changes, percentagewise, frequently were more pronounced for Negro women, however. For example, the number of Negro women more than doubled between 1950 and 1960 in banking, postal service, medical and other health services, State and local public administration, general merchandise and limited price variety stores, and welfare and religious organizations. The increases in the number of white women workers in the same industries ranged from 22 to 89 percent.

Negro women also made important gains-considerably above the percentage gains for white women-in four growing divisions of

## CHART C

Percent Changes in Numbers of Negro and White Women Employed in Selected Industries, 1950-60

manufacturing: aircraft, electrical machinery, knitting mills, and rubber and plastic plants.

| Industry | Percent increase$1950-60$ |  | Negro women a percent of all women |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Negro | White | 1960 | 1950 |
| Aircraft manufacturing | 382 | 213 | 2. 0 | 1. 3 |
| Banking | 172 | 89 | 1. 4 | 1. 0 |
| Postal service | 162 | 22 | 11. 0 | 5. 4 |
| Medical, other health services. | 154 | 65 | 11. 9 | 8.1 |
| State, local public administration_ | 134 | 49 | 7. 3 | 4. 8 |
| Electrical machinery | 134 | 83 | 3. 6 | 3. 0 |
| Knitting mills | 109 | 10 | 3.6 | 1. 9 |
| General merchandise, limited price variety stores | 108 | 39 | 4. 0 | 2. 7 |
| Rubber, plastic products | 104 | 72 | 4. 0 | 3. 4 |
| Welfare, religious organizations... | 104 | 63 | 7. 4 | 6. 0 |

Compared with the overall 31-percent increase in employment of all Negro women, small increases were recorded in such industries as private households, eating and drinking places, apparel manufacturing plants, hotels and lodging places, and insurance and real estate companies. Generally, these were industries in which employment growth was also below average for white women, although usually not as far below as for Negro women.

In several manufacturing industries, as well as in agriculture, railroads, and laundries and drycleaning firms, fewer Negro women were employed in 1960 than in 1950. The decreases in employment in these industries were mainly the result of technological changes, and generally affected Negro women more adversely than white women. These percent changes are noted in the following data for employed women:


In industries where Negro women's employment had at least doubled between 1950 and 1960, their proportions of all employed women rose perceptibly. There were generally only small changes in
their representation in industries where they had minor increases or decreases in employment.

## Industry variation by region

The major industries in which Negro women were employed in 1960 were somewhat different in the South from those in other regions of the country. The variations in industrial employment patterns resulted from several factors: the geographical location of specific industries and of the Negro woman population, hiring practices, and the educational qualifications of Negro women for certain types of work.

In the South, a high proportion of Negro women were employed in six industries: private households, retail trade, education, agriculture, medical and health services, and manufacturing. In the Northeast, North Central, and Western regions, the proportions employed in private households, education, and agriculture were much smaller than in the South, and those in manufacturing and medical and health services were much larger. Compared with other regions, the West had a greater proportion of Negro women employed in public administration, and the Northeast had more in apparel and other textile manufacturing firms. In general, there was more dispersion in the industrial distribution of Negro women employed outside the South.

## Occupational Changes

Increased employment opportunities in white-collar and service jobs have contributed to the most important occupational development for Negro women workers in the past two decades the trend toward greater diversity. World War II stimulated their entry into many new kinds of jobs-particularly clerical, sales, professional, and service. (Table A-8) The proportion of Negro women employed in these fields, excluding private households, rose from less than one-fifth in 1940 to more than one-third in 1960. The following summary shows the distribution of Negro women employed in the major occupational groups, as reported in the 1940, 1950, and 1960 censuses:

| Total employedwomen_----- | Percent distribution |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1960 | 19501 | 19401 |
|  | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Increases: |  |  |  |
| Service workers (other) | 21 | 19 | 10 |
| Clerical and sales workers | 9 | 5 | 1 |
| Professional workers. | 7 | 6 | 4 |
| Craftswomen | 1 | 1 | ${ }^{(2)}$ |
| See footnotes at end of table. |  |  |  |


| Percent distribution |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1960 | 19501 | $1940^{1}$ |

No change:
Managers_-------------------- 1 $\begin{array}{lll}1 & 1 & 1\end{array}$
Decreases, 1950-60:
$\begin{array}{cccc}\text { Private-household workers_-- } & 36 & 41 & 60\end{array}$




Laborers_..................... 1
$2 \quad 1$

$8 \quad 2 \quad 1$
${ }^{1}$ Excludes Alaska and Hawaii
${ }^{2}$ Less than 0.5 percent.


Braille worker proofreads publications for the blind

The employment fields in which Negro women made their greatest progress were generally those which were expanding and which traditionally hired significant numbers of women. Nevertheless, the percentage gains made by Negro women frequently were higher than those of white women. For example, between 1950 and 1960 the percentage gains in the number of those employed as clerical, professional, and sales workers were much greater for Negro women than white women. On the other hand, the percentage increase for service workers outside of private households was the same for both Negro and white women.

| Total employed women | Percent change $1950{ }^{1}$ to 1960 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Negro } \\ +31 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { White } \\ & +34 \end{aligned}$ |
| Professional workers | $+67$ | $+40$ |
| Managerial workers | +1 | +15 |
| Clerical workers | $+145$ | $+44$ |
| Sales workers | +42 | $+24$ |
| Craftswomen | $+37$ | $+5$ |
| Operatives | +13 | $+7$ |
| Laborers | $-17$ | $-14$ |
| Private-household workers | $+15$ | +38 |
| Service (other) workers_ | $+48$ | +48 |
| Farmers | $-53$ | $+20$ |
| Farm laborers | $-50$ | $-45$ |

Individual occupations which Negro women have recently entered in large numbers include many clerical jobs, especially those of secretary, stenographer, typist, cashier, telephone operator, and bookkeeper. (Table A-9) These require more education, skill, and responsibility than many jobs held by Negro women prior to World War II.

In many professional fields, where demand for workers increased considerably between 1950 and 1960, Negro women have made impressive gains. Their number more than doubled among the professional nurses, medical and dental technicians, dietitians, librarians; accountants, and lawyers. In addition, Negro women made significant percentage gains as social and welfare workers, therapists, natural scientists, and physicians. Numerically, their greatest increase was in the teaching profession.

Among service workers-except those in private households-large percentage increases were recorded in the number of hospital attendants, practical nurses, and institutional housekeepers. There were


A practical nurse administers an injection under the supervision of a professional nurse
only minor employment increases for the numerically large groups of cooks, waitresses, and beauty operators.

The number of Negro women employed as saleswomen and as craftswomen increased at a somewhat greater rate between 1950 and 1960 than the employment of all Negro women. Nevertheless, each group constituted only about one percent of all Negro women employed in both periods.

## Declines in occupational importance

The major occupations from which Negro women shifted between 1950 and 1960 were private-household workers, farmers, and operatives. These were fields in which there were also either decreases or only limited increases in the number of white women.

The number of Negro women employed as private-household workers rose from about 774,000 in 1950 to 888,000 in 1960. However, as this 15 -percent increase in number was less than the 31-percent gain in the total employment of Negro women, there was a decline in the occupational significance of this group. In the previous decade there was a numerical decrease in employment as well. The small percent increase recorded by Negro women in private-household work between 1950 and 1960 was exceeded by white women. The difference probably reflects the greater rise in the number of paid babysitters among white women and girls.

The proportion of Negro women employed as operatives also declined from 1950 to 1960 , although the overall number rose from 274,000 to 310,000 . Some of the principal operative groups had smaller numbers of Negro women employees in 1960 than 1950. They included dressmakers, and spinners and weavers, as well as operatives in apparel firms, textile mills, and food plants. Generally, these were occupations of decreasing importance to other workers as well as to Negro women.

Similarly, the long-term decline in the demand for agricultural workers affected both Negro and white women. However, mechanization in this field has had a markedly greater effect on the employment of Negro women than on white women.

## Occupational patterns, 1960

The occupational pattern of Negro women in 1960 was quite different from that of white women, and also from that of Negro men. As indicated, however, there was somewhat more similarity in the jobs held by Negro and white women in 1960 than there was in 1950.

The main distinction in the occupational distribution of the two groups of women in 1960 was that the majority of Negro women were service workers and the majority of white women were white-collar workers. The percentages of blue-collar workers and of farm workers were relatively low in both groups, as shown by the following distribution of workers employed in 1960:

|  | Percent distribution |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Negro women | $\begin{gathered} \text { White } \\ \text { women } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Negro } \\ & \text { men } \end{aligned}$ |
| Total employed persons_ | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| White-collar workers: |  |  |  |
| Professional | 7 | 14 | 3 |
| Managerial | 1 | 4 | 2 |
| Clerical | 7 | 33 | 5 |
| Sales | 1 | 9 | 1 |
| Blue-collar workers: |  |  |  |
| Operatives | 13 | 16 | 24 |
| Craftsmen | 1 | 1 | 10 |
| Laborers | 1 | (1) | 20 |
| Service workers: 20 |  |  |  |
| Private-household | 36 | 4 | 1 |
| Other service_ | 21 | 12 | 14 |
| Farm laborers and farmers | 3 | 1 | 11 |
| Occupation not reported. | 8 | 5 | 8 |
| ${ }^{1}$ Less than 1 percent. |  |  |  |

Negro women, like white women, work largely in jobs that differ from those held by men. In contrast to the predominance of service
jobs in the occupational pattern of Negro women, the largest group of Negro men were blue-collar workers in 1960. Two other comparisons are significant: relatively more Negro women than men had professional or technical jobs, and only a slightly higher percentage of Negro women than men were doing clerical work. The greater number of Negro women in professional jobs is explained primarily by their extensive employment as teachers and nurses.

Of all Negro workers in 1960, Negro women constituted nearly all the private-household workers. (Chart D) They were also more than half the number of Negroes employed as professional workers and as other service workers. Except for the clerical group, in which the numbers were about equal, the remaining occupational groups had fewer Negro women than men.

## Geographical variations

Occupational variations of Negro women workers by region and State were influenced somewhat by the geographical location of the industries which employed them. (Table A-10) The South, where three out of five Negro women workers lived, had relatively fewer operatives and clerical workers and relatively more private-household workers and farm workers. In most instances, these differences prevailed for white women as well as Negro women.

There was considerable similarity in the occupational patterns of Negro women employed in the Northeast, North Central, and Western regions. This also was noted previously in their industrial patterns. Principal exceptions to this similarity in occupational distribution outside the South were the particularly high proportion of operatives among Negro women employed in the Northeast and of miscellaneous service workers in the North Central States. The following shows these differences:

| Major occupational group | Percent distribution |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { United } \\ & \text { States } \end{aligned}$ | Northeast | North Central | South | West |
| Total employed Negro women_ | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Professional workers | 8 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| Clerical workers | 8 | 14 | 14 | 4 | 15 |
| Sales workers | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| Managers | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Private-household workers | 39 | 28 | 25 | 48 | 31 |
| Other service workers | 23 | 20 | 29 | 22 | 25 |
| Operatives | 14 | 25 | 17 | 9 | 15 |
| Craftswomen | 1 | 1 | 1 | (1) |  |
| Laborers | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | $1$ |
|  | 4 | (1) | (1) | 6 | (1) |

[^0]
## CHART D

Proportion of Women Among All Negro Workers, by Occupational Group, 1960


Since a majority of all employed Negro women are located in the South, it might be expected this also would be true for Negro women in each of the major occupational groups. On the contrary, in four groups-clerical, craft, operative, and sales-only from one-fourth to two-fifths of the Negro women were in the South. Moreover, the South included almost all the Negro women who were farmers, about three-fourths of the private-household workers, and three-fifths of all Negro professional women. The regional variations in the proportions of Negro women employed in the major occupational groups are:

| Major occupational group | Percent distribution |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { United } \\ & \text { States } \end{aligned}$ | Northeast | $\begin{aligned} & \text { North } \\ & \text { Central } \end{aligned}$ | South | West |
| Total employed Negro women . | 100 | 20 | 17 | 58 | 6 |
| Professional workers | 100 | 18 | 16 | 60 | 6 |
| Clerical workers | 100 | 34 | 29 | 28 | 10 |
| Sales workers | 100 | 29 | 25 | 40 | 6 |
| Managers | 100 | 18 | 19 | 56 | 7 |
| Private-household workers | 100 | 14 | 10 | 72 | 4 |
| Other service workers. | 100 | 17 | 20 | 57 |  |
| Operatives | 100 | 35 | 20 | 39 |  |
| Craftswomen_ | 100 | 30 | 24 | 38 | 8 |
| Laborers | 100 | 16 | 24 | 54 | 6 |
|  | 100 | 1 | 1 | 97 |  |

## Income and Earnings

Women's income and earnings, measures of their economic status, are directly influenced by the extent of women's employment, the type of jobs they have, and the part of the country they live in. The concentration of Negro women in unskilled jobs and in part-time or part-year work, therefore, is reflected strongly in the income and earnings statistics recorded in the 1960 census for the year 1959.

## Income

Three out of five Negro women 14 years of age and over reported some money income in 1959. Their median income was $\$ 905$, which is 29 percent more than the $\$ 703$ average reported in 1949 . (Table A-11) By comparison, the income of white women increased 33 percent over the decade. However, the figures are not necessarily comparable, since they include women with varying amounts of part-time and part-year employment.

According to available statistics, however, the gap between the income of Negro women and the income of white women increased slightly from 1950 to 1960. Negro women's median income amounted
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to 62 percent of that of white women in 1949 , but only 60 percent in 1959.

Negro women continued to receive substantially less income than Negro men. In 1949 they averaged better than half as much as men, but in 1959, only about two-fifths as much. Despite a high rate of
increase in their income, Negro men also were in a slightly less favorable income position in 1959 than in 1949 in relation to white men.

| Women: | Median income |  | Percent increase |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1959 | 19491 |  |
| Negro | \$905 | \$703 | 29 |
| White | 1,510 | 1,139 | 33 |
| Men: |  |  |  |
| Negro | 2, 254 | 1,356 | 66 |
| White | 4,337 | 2, 582 | 68 |

More than half of all Negro women with income received less than $\$ 1,000$ in 1959. About two-fifths of the white women with income also had this small amount. Only one in 10 Negro women and one in 4 white women received $\$ 3,000$ or more in 1959.

|  | Women |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Negro | White |
| Total | 100 | 100 |
| Under \$1,000 | 55 | 41 |
| \$1,000-\$1,999 | 22 | 18 |
| \$2,000-\$2,999 | 12 | 15 |
| \$3,000-\$3,999 | 6 | 12 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 3 | 7 |
| \$5,000 and over | 2 | 7 |

Among the States, the highest median income was received by Negro women living in New York, $\$ 1,962$. This amount was higher than the median reported for white women, $\$ 1,944$, because of the greater concentration of Negro women in metropolitan and industrial centers of that State. Negro women had relatively high income also in the District of Columbia, $\$ 1,894$; followed closely by Nevada, $\$ 1,879$; Alaska, $\$ 1,743$; Illinois, $\$ 1,678$; Massachusetts, $\$ 1,653$; Connecticut, $\$ 1,628$; New Jersey, $\$ 1,621$; and California, $\$ 1,596$. The lowest median income was reported by Negro women living in South Carolina, $\$ 614$; Arkansas, $\$ 604$; and Mississippi, $\$ 588$.

## Earnings

Median earnings of $\$ 1,219$ were reported by nonwhite women who worked in 1959. Since earnings data were not reported by race, this figure represents the best information available concerning Negro women. The amount was little more than half the $\$ 2,257$ averaged by all women workers. (Table A-11) The average earnings of nonwhite men, $\$ 2,703$, were considerably higher than those of nonwhite women, but not quite two-thirds as much as those of all men workers, $\$ 4,621$.

The relatively low median earnings of nonwhite women workers result mainly from the large numbers employed in low-wage industries and in occupations where part-time and intermittent work is widespread. Only 46 percent of all nonwhite women workers were employed at least 50 weeks in 1959, as compared with 51 percent of all women workers and 56 percent of nonwhite men workers. In addition, within the year-round group, a higher proportion of women than men generally are employed in part-time jobs.

As might be expected, professional workers received the highest median earnings, $\$ 3,571$, of all nonwhite women workers in 1959. They averaged just slightly less than the median earnings of all women professional workers, $\$ 3,625$. As a result, they had one of the highest proportions of earnings reached by nonwhite women when compared with all women in the same occupational group. However, nonwhite women employed as sales workers and private-household workers had higher median earnings in 1959 than all women in these groups. In both instances, relatively more nonwhite women were employed at least 50 weeks in 1959.

Earnings comparisons for nonwhite men and women in the same occupational groups reveal that professional and clerical women averaged about three-fourths as much as their male counterparts. In 1959 the greatest differences in the median earnings of nonwhite men and women existed in the managerial group.

|  | Median earnings of <br> nonwhite | Percent <br> nonwhite <br> women's <br> earnings |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| ofnonwhite |  |  |

## Recent Developments

The preceding statistics show the progress Negro women workers have made in the professions, in clerical work, and in other aspects of business and industry between 1940 and 1960. More Negro women than ever before have become nurses, technicians, secretaries, and saleswomen. As they moved into more responsible and rewarding occupations, they also improved their earning power. Yet, as the figures show, there still remains a significant gap between the 'employment and earnings status of Negro and white women workers.

There is hope that the gap will be bridged in the near future. Since the 1960 census, there have been numerous developments in economic, political, and social spheres that tended to have favorable employment effects on Negro women. More employers are reexamining and revising their hiring policies and practices, and more unions and companies are adopting nondiscrimination clauses in their collective bargaining contracts.

With the growing concern about unemployment, expanded training programs have been made possible by a number of Federal acts designed to meet changing manpower requirements and to upgrade skills of unemployed workers. Negro women are participating in these training programs and thus sharing in the opportunity for broadening their occupational qualifications. In addition, under the public welfare amendments of 1962, families receiving such financial benefits as Aid to Dependent Children also may seek training, counseling, guidance, and special job application services. Furthermore, the President's Committee on Equal Employment Opportunities is helping to open up many occupational fields which previously were closed to Negro women and $\cdot \mathrm{men}$.

Certain recent legislative actions also have been particularly helpful to women workers. Extended coverage of the Fair Labor Standards Act in 1961 gave minimum wage protection to several million additional workers, a significant proportion of whom were women. Raising
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the minimum wage rate of those already covered by the act also was advantageous for many Negro and white women, since large numbers of women are in the low-wage brackets.

Women will benefit also from the new Federal legislation which provides funds for the expansion of day care centers. A major group to be aided are working mothers, many of whom are Negro women. In addition, the enactment in 1963 of a provision extending the amount of child-care expenses allowable as income tax deductions to deserted wives will increase the real income of a large number of women with dependents. And further improvements in Uniform Reciprocal Enforcement of Support Acts will enable courts to collect support payments for deserted wives and children.

Of special importance to women is the Equal Pay Act of 1963, which will become effective June 11, 1964. This provides for employees of firms covered by the Fair Labor Standards Act to receive the same pay for the same work, regardless of sex. Also beneficial to Negro women, as to all women in the Nation, are activities stimulated by establishment in 1961 of the President's Commission on the Status of Women. The Commission was charged with developing recommendations to overcome discrimination against women and to enable women to make fuller use of their abilities. Although the recommendations may require time for fulfillment, their scope is long-range and promising.

While these changes cannot be assessed statistically, they do indicate the activities underway which should enable Negro women to participate more fully in the economic life of our country.
It is important for the talents of all men and women to be developed and used so that each may work toward attaining personal goals and contribute to the ever-growing needs of society.

## Appendix

Table A-1.-Nonwhite Women in the Population, by State, 1960, 1950, and 1940
(14 years of age and over)

| Region and State | Number of nonwhite women in population |  |  | Nonwhite women workers ${ }^{1}$ as percent of nonwhite women |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1960 | 1950 | 1940 | 1960 | 1950 | 1940 |
| UNITED STATES.- | 6, 874, 484 | 5, 814, 865 | 5, 041, 472 | 42 | 37 | 37 |
| Northeast | 1, 157, 036 | 829, 307 | 571, 330 | 47 | 43 | 44 |
| Connecticut | 37, 660 | 20,511 | 13, 086 | 48 | 46 | 46 |
| Maine_-.......- | 1,697 | 850 |  | 27 | 22 | 26 |
| Massachusetts | 42, 992 | 29,499 | 22,626 | 48 | 40 | 38 |
| New Hampshire | 730 | 282 | 170 | 40 | 34 | 31 |
| New Jersey ..... | 186, 924 | 124, 295 | 89, 909 | 48 | 47 | 45 |
| New York | 570, 018 | 400, 657 | 256, 690 | 50 | 47 | 50 |
| Pennsylvania | 310, 543 | 247, 957 | 183, 659 | 43 | 36 | 38 |
| Rhode Island | 6, 202 | 5, 042 | 4, 236 | 38 | 37 | 39 |
| Vermont | 270 | 214 | 131 | 33 | 32 | 28 |
| North Central. | 1,217, 411 | 886, 532 | 587, 132 | 40 | 35 | 33 |
| Illinoi | 366, 024 | 261, 049 | 161, 056 | 41 | 39 | 35 |
| Indiana | 91, 651 | 66, 277 | 48, 386 | 40 | 35 | 32 |
| Iowa_. | 10, 000 | 7, 574 | 6, 613 | 41 | 37 | 29 |
| Kansas | 33, 160 | 28, 589 | 26, 032 | 39 | 32 | 29 |
| Michigan | 243, 218 | 166, 234 | 81, 593 | 36 | 29 | 29 |
| Minnesota | 12,859 | 9,217 | 7, 540 | 38 | 29 | 23 |
| Missouri | 139, 017 | 117, 909 | 98,757 | 41 | 37 | 37 |
| Nebraska_... | 11, 599 | 8, 681 | 6, 818 | 39 | 34 | 28 |
| North Dakota Ohio_-.... | 3,410 270,889 | 3, 085 | 2, 977 | 19 | 14 | 13 |
| Shio-------- | 270, 889 | 196, 919 | 131, 917 | 41 | 36 | 33 |
| Wisconsin | 7,965 27,619 | 7,219 13,779 | 7,176 8,267 | 21 39 | 12 | 9 |
| South | 3, 803, 144 | 3, 643, 121 | 3, 618, 223 | 41 | 36 | 37 |
| Alabama | 329, 366 | 344, 326 | 352, 800 | 37 | 34 | 36 |
| Arkansas | 127, 692 | 148, 816 | 173, 481 | 31 | 26 | 25 |
| Delaware | 20, 292 | 15, 991 | 13, 304 | 48 | 43 | 45 |
| District of Columbia | 150, 914 | 115, 715 | 79, 284 | 53 | 51 | 52 |
| Florida | 290, 182 | 224, 094 | 196, 832 | 52 | 47 | 49 |
| Georgia-- | 379, 280 | 378, 349 | 397, 900 | 43 | 39 | 40 |
| Kentucky | 76, 930 | 77, 782 | 83, 801 | 40 | 36. | 37 |
| Louisiana | 343, 173 | 310, 580 | 309, 917 | 36 | 30 | 33 |
| Maryland | 174, 137 | 139, 223 | 111, 233 | 45 | 42 | 43 |
| Mississippi | 292, 110 | 329, 162 | 374, 043 | 34 | 29 | 33 |
| North Carolina --- | 370, 574 | 361, 231 | 345, 578 | 40 | 35 | 36 |

[^1]Table A-1.-Nonwhite Women in the Population, by State, 1960, 1950, and 1940 -Continued

| Region and State | Number of nonwhite women in population |  |  | Nonwhite women workers ${ }^{1}$ as percent of nonwhite women |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1960 | 1950 | 1940 | 1960 | 1950 | 1940 |
| South-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oklahoma | 73, 716 | 71, 122 | 82, 454 | 32 | 28 | 28 |
| South Carolina | 262, 347 | 268, 540 | 276, 682 | 39 | 36 | 40 |
| Tennessee | 202, 254 | 199, 684 | 197, 939 | 41 | 36 | 39 |
| Texas | 408, 036 | 361, 499 | 347, 376 | 44 | 38 | 40 |
| Virginia | 270, 799 | 256, 467 | 234, 166 | 39 | 34 | 35 |
| West Virginia | 31, 342 | 40, 540 | 41, 433 | 28 | 23 | 23 |
| West | 696, 893 | 455, 905 | 264, 787 | 41 | 36 | 33 |
| Alaska | 13, 395 | 9, 784 | 9,392 | 26 | 25 | 19 |
| Arizona | 37, 678 | 28,511 | 21,239 | 27 | 28 | 30 |
| California | 408, 338 | 233, 043 | 99, 347 | 44 | 41 | 37 |
| Colorado | 17, 343 | 10, 277 | 6, 502 | 43 | 38 | 34 |
| Hawaii | 134, 979 | 116, 779 | 88, 038 | 44 | 34 | 32 |
| Idaho | 2, 803 | 2, 192 | 1, 760 | 23 | 16 | 10 |
| Montana_ | 6, 671 | 5,289 | 5, 439 | 23 | 12 | 12 |
| Nevada | 6, 581 | 3, 243 | 1,968 | 47 | 35 | 20 |
| New Mexico | 21, 618 | 15, 190 | 11,678 | 27 | 24 | 40 |
| Oregon_ | 11, 266 | 7, 814 | 4,385 | 37 | 33 | 25 |
| Utah | 4,688 | 3, 574 | 2, 180 | 33 | 34 | 25 |
| Washington | 29,641 | 18, 516 | 11,670 | 37 | 31 | 28 |
| W yoming--- | 1, 892 | 1,693 | 1, 189 | 28 | 22 | 18 |

[^2]Table A-2.-Nonwhite Women Workers, by State, 1960, 1950, and 1940 ( 14 years of age and over)

| Region and State | Number of nonwhite women workers ${ }^{1}$ |  |  | Percent increase |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1960 | 1950 | 1940 | $\begin{gathered} 1950- \\ 60 \end{gathered}$ | ${ }_{60}^{1940-}$ |
| UNITED STATES | 2, 871, 510 | 2, 131, 442 | 1, 873, 742 | 35 | 53 |
| Northea | 549, 280 | 357, 178 | 253, 801 | 54 | 116 |
| Connecticut | 18,134 | 9, 430 | 5, 993 | 92 | 203 |
| Maine_------ | - 452 | 190 | - 217 | 138 | 108 |
| Massachusetts | 20, 453 | 11,685 | 8, 643 | 75 | 137 |
| New Hampshire | -294 | ${ }^{96}$ | 53 | 206 | 455 |
| New Jersey---- | 90, 592 | 57, 854 | 40,223 | 57 | 125 |
| New York | 282, 307 | 187, 616 | 127, 099 | 50 | 122 |
| Pennsylvania | 134, 616 | 88, 391 | 69, 898 | 52 | 93 |
| Rhode Island Vermont---- | 2,343 | 1,848 | 1,638 | 27 | 43 |
| Vermont | 89 | 68 | 37 | 31 | 141 |
| North Central | 485, 864 | 311, 255 | 190, 942 | 56 | 154 |
| Illinois_ | 151, 789 | 101, 135 | 55, 860 | 50 | 172 |
| Indiana | 36, 662 | 23, 379 | 15,632 | 57 | 135 |
| Iowa--- | 4,075 | 2, 794 | 1,918 | 46 | 112 |
| Michigan | 12,998 88,464 | 9,241 48,776 | 7,622 | 41 | 71 |
| Minnesota | 4,875 | 2,695 | 23,587 1,764 | 81 81 | 275 176 |
| Missouri | 57, 272 | 43, 976 | 36, 494 | 30 | 57 |
| Nebraska | 4, 561 | 2,954 | 1,915 | 54 | 138 |
| North Dakota | 639 | 434 | 386 | 47 | 66 |
| Ohio | 111, 982 | 70,767 | 43,242 | 58 | 159 |
| South Dakota | 1,678 | 865 | , 662 | 94 | 153 |
| Wisconsin | 10, 869 | 4, 239 | 1, 860 | 156 | 484 |
| South | 1, 547, 748 | 1, 296, 721 | 1, 342, 512 | 19 | 15 |
| Alabama | 123, 628 | 115, 838 | 125, 324 | 7 | ${ }^{2} 1$ |
| Arkansas | 39, 324 | 38, 053 | 43, 040 | 3 | 29 |
| Delaware | 9, 745 | 6, 871 | 5, 946 | 42 | 64 |
| District of Columbia | 79, 556 | 58, 822 | 40, 912 | 35 | 94 |
| Florida- | 151, 848 | 104, 812 | 95, 713 | 45 | 59 |
| Georgia | 162, 694 | 147, 567 | 161, 033 | 10 | , |
| Kentucky | 30, 901 | 27, 841 | 31, 101 | 11 | ${ }^{2} 1$ |
| Louisiana | 122, 711 | 94, 044 | 103, 704 | 30 | 18 |
| Maryland | 78, 420 | 58,331 | 48, 241 | 34 | 63 |
| Mississippi - - | 100,595 | 94, 208 | 122, 777 |  | ${ }^{2} 18$ |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table A-2.-Nonwhite Women Workers, by State, 1960, 1950, and 1940 -Continued

| Region and State | Number of nonwhite women workers ${ }^{1}$ |  |  | Percent increase |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1960 | 1950 | 1940 | $\begin{gathered} 1950- \\ 60 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1940- \\ 60 \end{gathered}$ |
| South-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |
| North Carolina | 148, 321 | 126, 180 | 124, 216 | 18 | 19 |
| Oklahoma | 23, 293 | 19,656 | 22, 958 | 19 | 1 |
| South Carolina | 101, 780 | 96, 625 | 109, 405 | 5 | ${ }^{2} 7$ |
| Tennessee | 82, 491 | 72, 184 | 77, 172 | 14 | 7 |
| Texas | 178, 002 | 139, 127 | 138, 654 | 28 | 28 |
| Virginia | 105, 749 | 87, 149 | 82, 687 | 21 | 28 |
| West Virginia | 8,690 | 9,413 | 9,629 | ${ }^{2} 8$ | ${ }^{2} 10$ |
| West. | 288, 618 | 166, 288 | 86, 487 | 74 | 234 |
| Alaska | 3, 505 | 2,471 | 1,795 | 42 | 95 |
| Arizona | 10, 359 | 8, 091 | 6, 367 | 28 | 63 |
| California | 180, 171 | 95, 930 | 36,604 | 88 | 392 |
| Colorado | 7,467 | 3, 904 | 2, 198 | 91 | 240 |
| Hawaii | 58, 801 | 40, 144 | 28, 511 | 46 | 106 |
| Idaho | 652 | 358 | 183 | 82 | 256 |
| Montana | 1, 522 | 635 | 626 | 140 | 143 |
| Nevada | 3, 068 | 1, 125 | 389 | 173 | 689 |
| New Mexico | 5,755 | 3, 633 | 4,680 | 58 | 23 |
| Oregon_ | 4, 163 | 2, 575 | 1, 098 | 62 | 279 |
| Utah. - | 1,546 | 1, 232 | 538 | 25 | 187 |
| Washington | 11, 083 | 5, 816 | 3, 288 | 91 | 237 |
| W yoming - | 526 | 374 | 210 | 41 | 150 |

[^3]${ }^{2}$ A decrease instead of an increase.
Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census.

Table A-3.-Employed Women, by Race and Color, and by State, 1960 (14 years of age and over)

| Region and State | Number of employed women |  |  |  | Negro women as percent of all emwomen | Negro women as percent of all employed Negroes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Nonwhite |  | White |  |  |  |
|  | Negro | Other nonwhite |  |  |  |  |
| UNITED STATES.-. | 2, 455, 140 | 168, 584 | 18, 548, 577 | 94 | 12 | 40 |
| Northeast | 486, 506 | 16, 080 | 5, 295, 416 | 97 | 8 | 43 |
| Connecticut | 15, 946 | 527 | 328, 788 | 97 | 5 | 40 |
| Maine | 190 | 179 | 110, 106 | 51 | $\left.{ }^{1}\right)$ | 46 |
| Massachusetts | 17,292 | 1,659 | 703, 946 | 91 | 2 | 44 |
| New Hampshire | 166 | 87 | 84, 763 | 66 | ${ }^{(1)}$ | 47 |
| New Jersey | 79, 177 | 1,872 | 681, 795 | 98 | 10 | 42 |
| New York | 252, 498 | 9, 787 | 2, 006, 961 | 96 | 11 | 45 |
| Pennsylvania | 119, 351 | 1, 676 | 1, 221, 015 | 99 | 9 | 41 |
| Rhode Island | 1,830 | 272 | 111, 742 | 87 | 2 | 39 |
| Vermont. | 56 | 21 | 46, 300 | 73 | (1) | 31 |
| North Central_ | 414, 098 | 15,588 | 5, 518, 449 | 96 | 7 | 38 |
| Illinois | 128, 424 | 4, 824 | 1, 148, 952 | 96 | 10 | 38 |
| Indiana | 32, 484 | 584 | 501, 528 | 98 | 1 | 36 |
| Iowa | 3, 237 | 560 | 304, 521 | 85 | 1 | 40 |
| Kansas | 11, 131 | 883 | 232, 369 | 93 | 5 | 40 |
| Michigan | 73, 352 | 1, 546 | 753, 932 | 98 | 9 | 35 |
| Minnesota | 3, 080 | 1,389 | 388, 718 | 69 | , | 40 |
| Missouri | 51,613 | 734 | 465, 887 | 99 | 10 | 41 |
| Nebraska | 3, 735 | 464 | 158, 416 | 89 | 2 | 39 |
| North Dakota | 54 | 512 | 59,572 | 10 |  | 45 |
| Ohio--.-.-.-- | 98, 433 | 1, 553 | 989, 088 | 98 | 9 | 38 |
| South Dakota Wisconsin_--- | 93 | 1, 337 | 67, 823 | 7 | $\left.{ }^{1}\right)$ | 40 |
| Wisconsin | 8, 462 | 1,202 | 447, 643 | 88 | 2 | 36 |
| South | 1, 414, 932 | 14, 656 | 4, 759, 167 | 99 | 23 | 40 |
| Alabama | 113, 807 | 266 | 236, 077 | 100 | 33 | 40 |
| Arkansas | 35, 155 | 193 | 135, 304 | 99 | 21 | 35 |
| Delaware | 8, 801 | 164 | 45, 195 | 98 | 16 | 41 |
| District of Columbia $\qquad$ | 74, 009 | 943 | 81, 034 | 99 | 47 | 44 |
| Florida | 140, 102 | 979 | 459, 829 | 99 | 23 | 42 |
| Georgia | 150, 795 | 414 | 344, 435 | 100 | 30 | 42 |
| Kentucky | 28,515 | 249 | 246, 452 | 99 | 10 | 41 |
| Louisiana | 112, 088 | 428 | 204, 369 | 100 | 35 | 39 |

[^4]Table A-3.-Employed Women, by Race and Color, and by State, 1960
-Continued


[^5]Table A-4.-Age of Nonwhite and White Women Workers, 1960 and 1950 (Women 14 years of age and over)

| Age | Number of women workers |  | Percent distribution |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Percent } \\ & \text { increase, } \\ & 1950-60 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1960 | 1950 | 1960 | 1950 |  |
| nonwhite |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total, 14 years and over | 2, 872, 000 | 2, 154, 000 | 100 | 100 | 33 |
| 14 to 19 years. | 172, 000 | 149, 000 | 6 | 7 | 15 |
| 20 to 24 years | 317, 000 | 288, 000 | 11 | 13 | 10 |
| 25 to 34 years_ | 694, 000 | 597, 000 | 24 | 28 | 16 |
| 35 to 44 years | 742, 000 | 561, 000 | 26 | 26 | 32 |
| 45 to 54 years | 566, 000 | 361, 000 | 20 | 17 | 57 |
| 55 to 64 years | 295, 000 | 147, 000 | 10 | 7 | 101 |
| 65 years and over | 85, 000 | 51, 000 | 3 | 2 | 67 |
| Median years of age --- | 38.4 | 35. 8 |  |  |  |
| white |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total, 14 years and over. $\qquad$ | 19, 538, 000 | 14, 461, 000 | 100 | 100 | 35 |
| 14 to 19 years | 1, 720, 000 | 1,296, 000 | 9 | 9 | 33 |
| 20 to 24 years. | 2, 158, 000 | 2, 262, 000 | 11 | 16 | ${ }^{15}$ |
| 25 to 34 years | 3, 423, 000 | 3, 288, 000 | 18 | 23 | 4 |
| 35 to 44 years | 4, 524, 000 | 3, 245, 000 | 23 | 22 | 39 |
| 45 to 54 years | 4, 328, 000 | 2, 504, 000 | 22 | 17 | 73 |
| 55 to 64 years.. | 2, 553, 000 | 1, 409, 000 | 13 | 10 | 81 |
| 65 years and over .-...---- | 834, 000 | 457, 000 | 4 |  | 82 |
| Median years of age .-- | 40.5 | 36.2 |  |  |  |

[^6]Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census.

Table A-5.-Marital Status of Nonwhite and White Women Workers, 1960 and 1950 (Women 14 years of age and over)

| Marital status | Number of women <br> workers |  |  | Percent dis- <br> tribution | Percent <br> increase, <br> $1950-60$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | -1960 | $1950^{1}$ | 1960 | $1950^{1}$ |  |

Nonwhite

| over | 2, 872, 000 | 2, 110, 000 | 100 | 100 | 36 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Single | 541, 000 | 425, 000 | 19 | 20 | 27 |
| Married | 1, 822,000 | 1, 278, 000 | 63 | 61 | 43 |
| Husband present | 1, 361, 000 | 921, 000 | 47 | 44 | 48 |
| Husband absent | 461, 000 | 357, 000 | 16 | 17 | 29 |
| Widowed or divorced | 509, 000 | 407, 000 | 18 | 19 | 25 |

WHITE

| ov | 19,538, 000 | 14, 443, 000 | 100 | 100 | 35 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Single | 4, 742, 000 | 4, 849, 000 | 24 | 34 | ${ }^{2} 2$ |
| Married | 11, 787, 000 | 7, 356, 000 | 60 | 51 | 60 |
| Husband present | 11, 004, 000 | 6, 776,000 | 56 | 47 | 62 |
| Husband absent | 783, 000 | 580,000 | 4 | 4 | 35 |
| Widowed or divorced | 3, 009, 000 | 2, 238, 000 | 15 | 15 | 34 |

${ }^{1}$ Data for 1950 exclude Alaska and Hawaii.
${ }^{2}$ A decrease instead of an increase.
Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census.

Table A-6.-Educational Attainment of Nonwhite and White Women, by State, 1960
(Women 25 years of age and over)

| Region and State | Number of women in the population |  | Median years of school completed |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Nonwhite | White | Nonwhite women | White women |
| UNITED STATES | 5, 185, 142 | 46,322, 377 | 8.5 | 11.0 |
| Northeast | 902, 132 | 12, 968, 021 | 9. 5 | ${ }^{(1)}$ |
| Connecticut | 28, 078 | 742, 278 | 9. 5 | 11.4 |
| Maine | 1,175 | 275, 189 | 10. 6 | 11.5 |
| Massachusetts_ | 33, 162 | 1, 570, 932 | 10. 5 | 11. 8 |
| New Hampshire | 535 | 180, 074 | 12. 1 | 11. 3 |
| New Jersey - | 144, 212 | 1, 732, 878 | 9. 1 | 10. 8 |
| New York-- | 447, 856 | 4, 881, 136 | 9. 6 | 10. 9 |
| Pennsylvania | 242, 375 | 3, 215, 041 | 9. 3 | 10. 5 |
| Rhode Island | 4,538 | 259, 386 | 9. 6 | 10. 1 |
| Vermont | 201 | 111, 119 | 9.4 | 11. 6 |
| North Central | 941, 064 | 13, 818, 536 | 9. 4 | ${ }^{(1)}$ |
| Illinois | 282, 313 | 2, 718, 144 | 9. 3 | 10. 8 |
| Indiana | 70, 898 | 1, 245, 886 | 9. 4 | 11. 1 |
| Iowa_- | 7,638 | 788, 091 | 9. 9 | 12.0 |
| Kansas. | 25,559 | 599, 819 | 10. 1 | 12. 0 |
| Michigan | 188, 980 | 1, 955, 435 | 9. 6 | 11. 3 |
| Minnesota | 9,488 | 928, 463 | 10. 2 | 11. 6 |
| Missouri | 108, 739 | 1, 199, 820 | 8.9 | 10. 1 |
| Nebraska_-- | 8, 943 | 396, 356 | 10.0 | 12. 1 |
| North Dakot | 2, 307 | 155, 824 | 8.6 | 10.8 |
| Ohio-------- | 210, 775 | 2, 570, 087 | 9.5 | 11. 3 |
| South Dakota | 5,328 | 173, 690 | 8. 7 | 11.7 |
| Wisconsin_ | 20,096 | 1, 086, 932 | 9.4 | 11.0 |
| South | 2, 818, 451 | 12, 284, 570 | 7. 6 | ${ }^{(1)}$ |
| Alabama | 241, 448 | 638, 973 | 7.0 | 10. 4 |
| Arkansas | 96, 359 | 405, 758 | 7. 1 | 9. 8 |
| Delaware-.-------- | 15, 761 | 110, 108 | 8. 7 | 11. 8 |
| District of Columbia | 117, 791 | 133, 448 | 10. 2 | 12. 4 |
| Florida- | 216, 205 | 1, 260, 760 | 7.6 | 11.8 |
| Kentucky | 278, 932 | 785, 981 | 6. 7 | 10. 6 |
| Louisiana | 61, 352 | 770, 755 | 8. 5 | 8. 8 |
| Maryland | 251, 632 | 606,148 740,719 | 6. 5 8.5 | 10.7 |
| Mississippi | 209, 119 | 352, 826 | 6. 7 | 11. 3 |
| North Carolina | 265, 776 | 940, 776 | 7. 5 | 10. 3 |

[^7]Table A-6.-Educational Attainment of Nonwhite and White Women, by State, 1960-Continued

| Region and State | Number of women in the population |  | Median years of school completed |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Nonwhite | White | Nonwhite women | White women |
| South-Continued |  |  |  |  |
| Oklahoma | 55, 943 | 621, 565 | 8. 8 | 11.0 |
| South Carolina | 183, 869 | 416, 915 | 6. 4 | 10. 7 |
| Tennessee | 154, 791 | 850, 982 | 8.0 | 9. 4 |
| Texas | 310, 826 | 2, 285, 184 | 8. 5 | 11.0 |
| Virginia | 203, 042 | 870, 337 | 7. 6 | 11. 4 |
| West Virginia | 24, 169 | 493, 349 | 8. 8 | 9. 0 |
| West | 523, 495 | 7, 251, 250 | 10. 3 | (1) |
| Alaska | 8,851 | 35, 805 | 6. 5 | 12. 4 |
| Arizona | 25,592 | 307, 142 | 7.2 | 12. 0 |
| California | 314, 872 | 4, 221, 628 | 10. 8 | 12. 1 |
| Colorado | 13, 516 | 469, 510 | 11. 4 | 12. 1 |
| Hawaii | 99, 533 | 44,496 | 10. 4 | 12. 4 |
| Idaho - | 2, 059 | 166, 339 | 9. 5 | 12. 1 |
| Montana | 4,580 | 169, 626 | 8. 7 | 12. 1 |
| Nevada | 4,549 | 71, 810 | 9. 2 | 12. 2 |
| New Mexico | 14, 196 | 207, 891 | 7.2 | 11.8 |
| Oregon. | 8, 451 | 496, 251 | 10. 3 | 12. 1 |
| Utah | 3, 382 | 208, 033 | 10. 1 | 12. 2 |
| Washington | 22,548 | 769, 964 | 10.9 | 12. 1 |
| Wyoming---.--- | 1,366 | 82,759 | 9. 2 | 12. 2 |

1 Median years of school completed were not reported by region for white women.
Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census.
Note: The numbers of women in the individual States do not necessarily total to the figures shown for the regions or for the United States because different tabulations were used by the Bureau of the Census in expanding the sample figures.

Table A-7.-Industries of Negro Women Employed in 1960 and 1950 (Women 14 years of age and over)

| Industry ${ }^{1}$ | Number of employed Negro women |  | Percent distribution |  |  | Negrowomenas per-centof allem-ployedwomen,1960 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1960 | $1950{ }^{2}$ | 1960 | $1950{ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| Total employed Negro women | 2, 455, 140 | 1, 869, 956 | 100.0 | 100. 0 | 31 | 12 |
| Agricultue, forrestry, fisheries ${ }^{3}$ Agriculture$\qquad$$\qquad$ | 88, 195 | 172, 112 |  | 9. 2 | ${ }^{4} 49$ | 21 |
|  | 87, 573 | 171, 461 | 3. 6 | 9. 2 | ${ }^{4} 49$ | 21 |
| Construction.-- | 5, 268 | 4,534 | 3. . 2 | +. 2 | 49 16 | 21 |
| Manufacturing ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Durable goods | 219,352 67,479 | 174, 070 | 8. 9 | 9. 3 | 26 | 5 |
| Sawmills, planing mills, millwork and misc. wood products | 67,479 4,552 | 47, 191 5, 182 | 2.7 .2 | 2.5 .3 | 43 4 4 | 12 |
| Furniture, fixtures.- | 4, 279 | 3, 368 | 2 | . 2 | 27 | 7 |
| Stone, clay, glass products. | 2, 660 | 2, 049 | . 1 | . 1 | 30 | 7 |
| Fabricated metal industries (including not specified metal) .-.... | 8,142 | 5,284 | .1 . | .1 .3 | 54 | 4 |
| Machinery, except electrical | 3, 141 | 2,160 | . 1 | . 1 |  | 4 |
| Electrical machinery, equipment, supplies. | 18,173 | 7, 782 | 7 | . 4 | 45 134 | 1 |
| Motor vehicle, motor vehicle equipment | 4, 526 | 4, 250 | 2 | 2 | 134 | 5 |
| Aircraft and parts.-.---- | 2, 113 | 438 | 1 | ${ }_{(5)}{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 382 | 2 |
| Nondurable goods. | 149, 207 | 123, 262 | 6. 1 | 6. 6 | 21 | 6 |
| Meat products.- | 9, 915 | 6, 289 | 4 | . 3 | 58 | 13 |
| Bakery products-------- | 5,061 | 3, 589 | 2 | 2 | 41 | , |
| Other food industries. | 20,603 | 13, 919 | 8 | 7 | 48 | 8 |
| Knitting mills | 4, 802 | 2, 295 | 2 | 1 | 109 | 4 |
| Yarn, thread, fabric mills_ | 3, 790 | 5, 050 | 2 | 3 | ${ }_{4} 25$ | 2 |
| Apparel, other fabricated textile products | 65, 130 | 57, 101 | 2. 7 | 3. 1 | 14 | 8 |
| Paper, allied products.-- | 4,898 | 4,207 | 2. 2 | 3. 1 | 14 16 | 8 |
| Printing, publishing, allied industries ....... | 11,389 | 7,033 | 5 | 4 | 16 62 | 4 |
| Chemicals, allied products | 4,812 | 3,902 | ${ }_{2}$ |  | 62 23 | 3 |
| Rubber, miscellaneous plastic products. | 4,812 4,199 | 3,902 2,054 | . 2 | ${ }^{2}$ | ${ }^{23}$ | 3 |
| Footwear, except rubber- | 2, 095 | 1, 911 | . 2 | 1 | 10 | 4 2 |



See footnotes at end of table.

Table A-7.-Industries of Negro Women Employed in 1960 and 1950-Continued

| Industry ${ }^{1}$ | Number of employed Negro women |  | Percent distribution |  |  | Negrowomenas per-centof allem-ployedwomen,1960 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1960 | $1950{ }^{2}$ | 1960 | $1950{ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| Public administration | 87, 892 | 43, 009 | 3. 6 | 2. 3 | 104 | 10 |
| Postal service------------ | 7,499 | 2,857 | . 3 | 2 | 162 | 11 |
| Federal public administration | 51,308 |  | 2.1 | 1. 5 | 162 85 |  |
| State and local public administration | 29, 085 | 12, 424 | 1. 21 | 1. 5 | 85 34 | 11 |
| Industry not reported. | 179, 075 | 33, 035 | 7. 3 | 1. 8 | , | 7 |

${ }^{1}$ Industries listed are those employing 2,000 or more Negro women in 1960.
${ }^{2}$ Excludes Alaska and Hawaii since detailed industry data were not reported for the territories in 1950.
${ }^{3}$ Includes industries not shown separately in this category.
${ }^{4}$ A decrease instead of an increase.
${ }^{5}$ Less than 0.05 percent.
6 Women employed in radio broadcasting and television were included in entertainment and recreation services in 1950 and in communications in 1960.
${ }^{7}$ Women employed in accounting and auditing services were included in business services in 1950 and in legal, engineering, and miscellaneous professional services in 1960.
${ }^{8}$ Less than 1 percent decrease.

Table A-8.-Major Occupational Groups of Negro Women Employed in 1960, by Region
(Women 14 years of age and over)

| Major occupational group | United States | Northeast | North Central | South | West |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| number |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total employed Negro women- | 2, 455, 140 | 486, 506 | 414, 098 | 1, 414, 932 | 139, 604 |
| White-collar workers: Professional, technical workers $\qquad$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Managers, officials, proprietors (except farm) | 175,308 24,757 | 31,192 4,377 | 28,147 4,623 | 105,458 13,967 | 10,511 1,790 |
| Clerical workers.-.......-- | 181, 678 | 61, 222 | 51, 797 | 50, 110 | 18, 549 |
| Sales workers | 36, 083 | 10, 526 | 8,900 | 14, 344 |  |

Table A-8.-Major Occupational Groups of Negro Women Employed in 1960, by Region-Continued

| Major occupational group | United States | Northeast | North Central | South | West |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| number-continued |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blue-collar workers: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operatives_ | 310, 233 | 108, 146 | 62, 460 | 120, 943 | 18, 684 |
| Craftsmen | 15,877 | 4, 684 | 3, 795 | 6, 082 | 1,316 |
| Laborers (except farm and mine) | 23, 627 | 3, 670 | 5,658 | 12, 865 | 1, 434 |
| Service workers: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Private-household workers--- | 888, 206 | 120, 524 | 91, 370 | 637, 273 | 39, 039 |
| Service workers (except private household) | 519, 823 | 87, 323 | 106, 078 | 295, 094 | 31, 328 |
| Farm workers | 84, 031 |  | 771 | 81, 848 | 609 |
| Occupation not reported | 195, 517 | 54, 039 | 50, 499 | 76, 948 | 14, 031 |

PERCENT DISTRIBUTION

| Total employed Negro women | 100. 0 | 100. 0 | 100. 0 | 100. 0 | 100. 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| White-collar workers: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Professional, technical workers | 7. 1 | 6. 4 | 6. 8 | 7. 5 | 7. 5 |
| Managers, officials, proprietors (except farm) | 1. 0 | 9 | 1. 1 | 1. 0 | 1. 3 |
| Clerical workers............- | 7. 4 | 12. 6 | 12. 5 | 3. 5 | 13. 3 |
| Sales workers | 1. 5 | 2. 2 | 2. 1 | 1. 0 | 1. 7 |
| Blue-collar workers: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operatives | 12. 6 | 22. 2 | 15. 1 | 8. 5 | 13. 4 |
| Craftsmen | 6 | 1. 0 | 9 | . 4 | 9 |
| Laborers (except farm and |  |  |  |  | 1. 0 |
| Service workers: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Private-household workers--- | 36. 2 | 24.8 | 22. 1 | 45. 0 | 28.0 |
| Service workers (except pri-vate-household) | 21. 2 | 17.9 | 25. 6 | 20.9 | 22. 4 |
| Farm workers | 3. 4 | . 2 | . 2 | 5. 8 | 4 |
| Occupation not reported | 8. 0 | 11. 1 | 12. 2 | 5. 4 | 10. 1 |

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census.

Table A-9.-Occupations of Negro Women Employed in 1960 and 1950 (Women 14 years of age and over)


Table A-9-Occupations of Negro Women Erployed in 1960 and 1950-Con.


See footnotes at end of table.

Table A-9.-Occupations of Negro Women Employed in 1960 and 1950-Con.

| Occupation | Number of employed Negro women |  | Percent distribution |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percent } \\ \text { in- } \\ \text { crease, } \\ 1950- \\ 60 \end{gathered}$ | Negro women as percent of all employed women, 1960 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1960 | $1950{ }^{\text { }}$ | 1960 | $1950{ }^{1}$ |  |  |
| Blue-collar workers: Operatives ${ }^{2}$ | 310, 233 | 274, 000 | 12. 6 | 14. 7 | 13 |  |
| Dressmakers, seamstresses (except factory) | 8,528 | 10,248 | 12.6 .3 | 14. .5 | 13 4 4 | 7 |
| Laundry, drycleaning operatives. | 99,494 | 98,998 | 4. 1 | 5. 3 | 61 | 36 |
| Spinners, weavers (textile) | 264 | 413 | ${ }^{(3)}$ | ${ }^{(3)}$ | 436 | ${ }^{(3)}$ |
| Machinery (including electrical mfg.) $\qquad$ | 8, 017 | 7,613 | .3 | 4 | 5 | $\left.{ }^{( }\right)$ |
| Food, kindred products (mfg.) | 18,575 | 18,710 | . 8 | 1. 0 | ${ }^{4} 1$ | 16 |
| Textile mill products (mfg.) | 5, 004 | 6,063 | 2 | 1.3 | ${ }^{4} 17$ | 16 3 |
| Apparel, other fabricated textile products (mfg.) | 34, 550 | 52, 910 | 1. 4 | 2.8 | 4 4 45 | 12 |
| Craftsmen, foremen.... | 15,877 | 11, 629 | . 6 | . 6 | 37 | 6 |
| and mine | 23, 627 | 28, 414 | 1. 0 | 1. 5 | ${ }^{4} 17$ | 22 |
| workers_ | 888, 206 | 773,590 |  |  |  |  |
| Living in | 39, 863 | 43, 201 | 1. 6 | 2. 3 | 48 |  |
| Living out.- | 848, 343 | 730, 389 | 34.6 | 39.1 | 16 | 56 |
| Service workers (except private-household) ${ }^{2}$ | 519,823 | 351, 856 | 21. 2 | 18. 8 | 48 | 18 |
| Attendants (hospitals, other institutions) | 66, 997 | 19, 324 | 2.7 | 1. 0 | 247 | 23 |
| Charwomen, janitors, porters | 50, 655 | 35, 456 | 2.1 | 1. 9 | 43 | 23 25 |
| Cooks (except pri-vate-household) ..- | 80,980 | 35,456 60,385 | 2.1 3.3 | 1.9 3.2 | 43 34 | 25 22 |
| Hairdressers, cosmetologists $\qquad$ | 31, 918 | $26,584$ | $\text { 1. } 3$ |  | 20 | 12 |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table A-9.-Occupation of Negro Women Employed in 1960 and 1950 -Con.


[^8]Table A-10.-Major Occupations of Employed Negro Women, by State, 1960 (Women 14 years of age and over)


| Region and State | Number of employed Negro women ${ }^{1}$ | Major occupational group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Professional | Clerical | Sales | Managers | Private household | Service (other) | Operatives | Craftsmen | Laborers | Farmers |
| North Central-Con. Minnesota |  |  |  |  |  |  | 962 | 475 |  | 36 | 4 |
|  | 3, 080 | 285 | 517 | 65 | 36 | 411 | 962 13,369 | 6. 017 | 282 | 683 | 330 |
| Missouri | 51, 613 | 4, 148 | 3, 976 | 851 | 589 | 14, 083 | 13, 369 | 6, 017 | 282 | 683 | 330 |
| Nebraska | 3, 735 | 184 | 377 | 38 | 69 | 817 | 1,412 | 445 | 28 | 74 |  |
| North Dakota | 54 | 25 | 16 |  | 4 |  | - ${ }^{4}$ | 11, 5 |  |  |  |
| Ohio | 98, 433 | 6, 238 | 10,884 | 2, 191 | 945 | 25, 653 | 30, 068 | 11, 383 | 802 | 1,135 | 95 |
| South Dakota | 93 | 28 | 9 | 5 |  | 15 | 24 | 12 |  |  |  |
| Wisconsit | 8, 462 | 465 | 638 | 90 | 50 | 1,501 | 2, 774 | 1, 878 | 79 | 7 | 28 |
| South | 1, 414,932 | 105, 458 | 50,110 | 14, 344 | 13, 967 | 637, 273 | 295, 094 | 120,943 | 6, 082 | 12, 865 | 81, 848 |
| Alabama | 113, 807 | 9, 624 | 2, 362 | 1,289 | 912 | 59,649 | 22, 091 | 8,331 | 412 | 821 | 5, 381 |
| Arkansas | 35,155 | 2, 703 | 521 | 412 | 540 | 18, 072 | 7,334 | 2, 484 | 73 | 278 | 1,650 |
| Delaware | 8, 801 | 501 | 291 | 118 | 73 | 2, 964 | 1,457 | 1, 625 | 42 | 247 | 103 |
| District of Colum | 74, 009 | 6, 262 | 17, 609 | 1, 055 | 748 | 15, 291 | 17, 931 | 5, 158 | 713 | 703 | 22 |
| Florida | 140, 102 | 7, 926 | 2, 435 | 1, 291 | 1, 512 | 60, 417 | 29, 606 | 11, 682 | 624 | 1, 498 | 14, 374 |
| Georgia | 150, 795 | 9, 954 | 2, 749 | 1, 050 | 1, 183 | 78, 090 | 28, 449 | 14, 568 | 607 | 1, 411 | 7,636 |
| Kentucky | 28. 515 | 1, 709 | 867 | 268 | 271 | 13, 972 | 6, 687 | 2, 071 | 91 | 318 | - 105 |
| Louisiana | 112, 088 | 8, 725 | 2, 410 | 1, 291 | 1, 313 | 54, 333 | 26, 007 | 8,706 | 365 | 782 | 2, 598 |
| Maryland | $69,871$ | 5, 258 | 6, 124 | 1, 264 | 653 | 22, 277 | 16, 054 | 10,325 | 413 | 956 | 509 |
| Mississippi | 91, 746 | 6, 583 | 963 | 777 | 1, 026 | 43, 695 | 15,592 | 5,435 | 184 | 656 | 14, 433 |


| North Carolina | 131, 026 | 11, 030 | 2, 248 | 1,102 | 959 | 59, 316 | 22, 101 | 13, 444 | 473 | 1,461 | 12,854 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Oklahoma | 17, 664 | 1,440 | 742 | 224 | 304 | 7, 614 | 4,349 | 698 | 108 | 107 | -137 |
| South Carolina | 95, 195 | 7, 265 | 899 | 538 | 693 | 45, 742 | 14, 187 | 7, 149 | 265 | 548 | 14, 085 |
| Tennessee - | 76, 974 | 5, 386 | 2, 074 | 608 | 746 | 36, 686 | 17, 498 | 6, 571 | 458 | 641 | 2,140 |
| Texas | 164, 176 | 11,909 | 3, 778 | 1,622 | 2, 035 | 77, 470 | 41, 164 | 10,570 | 693 | 1,294 | 2, 705 |
| Virginia | 97, 044 | 8, 321 | 3, 736 | 1,337 | 909 | 38, 085 | 22, 417 | 11, 932 | 518 | 1,100 | 3, 106 |
| West Virginia | 7,964 | 862 | 302 | 98. | 90 | 3, 600 | 2, 170 | 194 | 43 | 44 | 10 |
| West | 139, 604 | 10,511 | 18, 549 | 2, 313 | 1,790 | 39,039 | 31,328 | 18, 684 | 1,316 | 1,434 | 609 |
| Alaska | 754 | 86 | 78 | 5 | 5 | 158 | 205 | 149 |  |  |  |
| Arizona- | 4, 937 | 393 | 166 | 52 | 50 | 2, 345 | 1, 037 | 290 | 34 | 25 | 106 |
| California | 115, 694 | 8, 762 | 16,370 | 2, 014 | 1,517 | 31, 503 | 23, 938 | 16, 865 | 1,146 | 1,247 | 473 |
| Colorado | 5,632 | 506 | 894 | 56 | 52 | 1,488 | 1. 721 | 273 | 32 | 54 | 3 |
| Hawaii | 170 | 17 | 21 | 18 |  | 40 | 49 | 21 |  |  |  |
| Idaho | 156 | 8 | 16 |  |  | 61 | 55 |  | 4 | 4 |  |
| Montana | 110 | 22 | 6 |  | 5 | 22 | 52 |  |  |  |  |
| Nevada | 1, 888 | 55 | 69 | 20 | 18 | 391 | 908 | 209 |  | 19 |  |
| New Mexico | 2,259 | 120 | 113 | 40 | 29 | 1, 141 | 631 | 81 | 12 | 6 | 13 |
| Oregon. | 2, 160 | 132 | 142 | 12 | 12 | 559 | 875 | 168 | 10 | 38 | 9 |
| Utah | 447 | 53 | 71 | 17 | 9 | 105 | 146 | 14 | 3 | 9 |  |
| Washington | 5, 146 | 350 | 590 | 75 | 93 | 1, 121 | 1,609 | 611 | 71 | 28 | 5 |
| W yoming | 251 | 7 | 13 | 4 |  | 105 | 102 | 3 |  | 4 |  |

[^9]Table A-11.-Median Income and Earnings of Women, by State and by Race or Color, 1959
(Women 14 years of age and over)

| Region and State | Median income |  |  | Median earnings |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total women | Negro women | White women | Total women | Nonwhite women |
| UNITED STATES | \$1,415 | \$905 | \$1,510 | \$2, 257 | \$1,219 |
| Northeast | 1, 746 | 1, 724 | 1, 748 | ${ }^{(2)}$ | ${ }^{(2)}$ |
| Connecticut | 1, 908 | 1,628 | 1,926 | 2, 733 | 1, 962 |
| Maine | 1, 096 | 1, 058 | 1, 099 | 1, 927 |  |
| Massachusetts | 1, 713 | 1, 653 | 1,715 | 2, 450 | 2, 092 |
| New Hampshire | 1, 497 | 1,106 | 1, 499 | 2, 240 | ${ }^{(3)}$ |
| New Jersey | 1, 847 | 1,621 | 1, 880 | 2, 677 | 1,934 |
| New York | 1,947 | 1,962 | 1, 944 | 2, 739 | 2, 300 |
| Pennsylvania | 1, 495 | 1, 421 | 1,504 | 2, 286 | 1, 776 |
| Rhode Island | 1,591 | 1, 163 | 1, 602 | 2, 258 | ${ }^{(3)}$ |
| Vermont | 1, 060 |  | 1, 062 | 1, 846 | ${ }^{(3)}$ |
| North Central | 1, 389 | 1,355 | 1,393 | ${ }^{(2)}$ | $\left.{ }^{2}\right)$ |
| Illinois | 1, 724 | 1,678 | 1,730 | 2, 685 | 2, 295 |
| Indiana | 1, 371 | 1, 191 | 1,386 | 2, 273 | 1, 575 |
| Iowa | 1, 093 | 1,126 | 1, 092 | 1, 778 | 1, 374 |
| Kansas | 1, 161 | 969 | 1, 175 | 1, 860 | 1, 276 |
| Michigan | 1,438 | 1,353 | 1,450 | 2, 438 | 1, 805 |
| Minnesota | 1,238 | 1,578 | 1,236 | 2, 031 | 2, 016 |
| Missouri | 1, 263 | 1, 021 | 1, 301 | 2, 191 | 1, 436 |
| Nebraska | 1, 176 | 1, 183 | 1, 178 | 1, 782 | 1, 386 |
| North Dakota | 946 |  | 947 | 1,469 | ${ }^{(3)}$ |
| Ohio- | 1, 428 | 1, 262 | 1, 450 | 2, 390 | 1,670 |
| South Dakota | 940 |  | 949 | 1, 469 | 1, 378 |
| Wisconsin | 1,267 | 1,435 | 1,266 | 2, 124 | 1, 737 |
| South | 1, 028 | 732 | 1,317 | ${ }^{(2)}$ | ${ }^{(2)}$ |
| Alabama | 883 | 645 | 1, 184 | 1,498 | 706 |
| Arkansas | 813 | 604 | 914 | 1, 339 | 677 |
| Delaware | 1, 493 | 1, 062 | 1, 615 | 2, 231 | 1, 322 |
| District of Columbia | 2, 576 | 1, 894 | 3, 352 | 3, 288 | 2, 380 |
| Florida | 1, 192 | 843 | 1,359 | 1, 740 | 936 |
| Georgia | 980 | 685 | 1, 465 | 1,653 | 748 |
| Kentucky | 984 | 772 | 1, 034 | 1,884 | 869 |
| Louisiana | 945 | 729 | 1,292 | 1, 489 | 798 |
| Maryland | 1,645 | 1, 134 | 1, 821 | 2, 413 | 1,392 |
| Mississippi | 784 | 588 | 1, 126 | 1, 016 | 627 |
| North Carolina.- | 1,036 | 681 | 1, 465 | 1,820 | 754 |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table A-11.-Median Income and Earnings of Women, by State and by Race or Color, 1959-Continued

| Region and State | Median income |  |  | Median earnings |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total women | Negro women | White women | Total women ${ }^{1}$ | Nonwhite women |
| South-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oklahoma | \$1, 023 | $\$ 844$ | \$1,071 | \$1,826 | \$968 |
| South Carolina | 937 | 614 | 1,547 | 1, 583 | 650 |
| Tennessee | 995 | 732 | 1, 196 | 1, 743 | 826 |
| Texas | 1, 046 | 770 | 1, 197 | 1, 783 | 892 |
| Virginia | 1, 267 | 792 | 1,547 | 2, 004 | 908 |
| West Virginia | 968 | 772 | 981 | 1,883 | 941 |
| West | 1, 607 | 1, 543 | 1,612 | $\left.{ }^{(2}\right)$ | ${ }^{2}$ ) |
| Alaska | 1,770 | 1, 743 | 2, 149 | 2,952 | 1,150 |
| Arizona_- | 1, 336 | , 924 | 1, 407 | 2, 124 | 1, 057 |
| California | 1, 798 | 1,596 | 1, 812 | 2,812 | 2, 082 |
| Colorado | 1, 492 | 1,478 | 1, 493 | 2, 263 | 1, 858 |
| Hawaii | 1, 796 | 1, 026 | 1, 734 | 2, 487 | 2, 455 |
| Idaho_-. | -957 | 760 | 959 | 1, 588 |  |
| Montana | 1, 085 | 1, 017 | 1, 112 | 1, 785 | $\left.{ }^{3}\right)$ |
| Nevada.-.- | 1,885 | 1, 879 | 1, 915 | 2, 646 | ${ }^{(3)}$ |
| New Mexico | 1, 257 | 982 | 1, 292 | 1, 955 | 1, 203 |
| Oregon | 1, 175 | 1,332 | 1, 174 | 2, 135 | 1. 811 |
| Utah_---- | 1, 107 | 1, 161 | 1, 107 | 1, 883 |  |
| Washington | 1,383 | 1, 526 | 1,385 | 2, 351 | 1, 999 |
| Wyoming- | 1, 144 | 1, 043 | 1, 175 | 1, 756 | ${ }^{(3)}$ |

[^10]
[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Less than 0.5 percent.

[^1]:    see footnote at end of table.

[^2]:    1 Includes members of the Armed Forces.
    Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census.

[^3]:    ${ }_{1}$ Includes members of the Armed Forces.

[^4]:    see footnote at end of table.

[^5]:    ${ }^{1}$ Less than 0.5 percent.
    Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census.

[^6]:    ${ }^{1}$ A decrease instead of an increase.

[^7]:    See footnote at end of table.

[^8]:    1 Excludes Alaska and Hawaii, since detailed occupational data were not reported for the territories in 1950.
    ${ }^{2}$ Includes occupations not shown separately.
    ${ }^{3}$ Less than 0.05 percent.
    ${ }^{4}$ A decrease instead of an increase.
    ${ }^{5}$ Women employed as insurance-adjustors, examiners, and investigators were included among sales workers in 1950 and among clerical workers in 1960.

    Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census.

[^9]:    ${ }^{1}$ Includes women whose occupations were not reported.
    Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census.

[^10]:    ${ }^{1}$ Earnings data were not reported separately for white women.
    ${ }^{2}$ Earnings data were not reported separately by region.
    Earnings data for nonwhite women were not shown separately where their number was less than 25,000 . Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census.

