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This report shows the situation as to the employment of mothers and the care of their children in 28 cities, chiefly areas for some time subject to marked industrial expansion—in most cases an expansion currently enlarged by defense activities. These cities were visited by representatives of the Women's Bureau in 1951 and 1952, many of them at the request of the National Security Resources Board, to which this Bureau furnished information on women workers in numerous defense areas.

The particular situations reported here thus vary somewhat in time. Nevertheless, they exemplify a perennial problem of almost every industrial community, continuing alike in periods of economic depression, in wartime, and when, as at present, production is increasing notably. Furthermore, the cities visited well represent this universal problem, since they employ considerably more than a tenth of the women workers in all urban areas in the United States.

Visiting and reporting on these various cities were this Bureau's field representatives, Dorothy M. Frost, Elsie I. Wolfe, and Marion B. Beaven. Much of the research for the first section of the report was done by Nora R. Tucker of the Bureau's Division of Research. The report was written by Mary-Elizabeth Pidgeon, economic consultant.
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Employed Mothers and Child Care

INDUSTRIAL GROWTH AND THE SITUATION OF WOMEN WORKERS

IN THE lives of an increasing number of working women, the care of their children during the mother’s necessary absence from home is a major consideration. The employment of women, married as well as single, has grown markedly over recent decades, as a result of compelling economic and social influences. Following these varied influences, today’s workers include 5¼ million mothers, 2 million having children under 6 years of age (April 1951).

All trends evident in the foreseeable future point toward the continuance of a large and probably growing woman labor force, including the married as well as the single. This fact is indicated in the first place by the nature of an economic society in which many family needs must be purchased in the market rather than supplied at home, and in which pressures for adding to family income consequently are strong. Furthermore, this country’s current objectives will continue to require a considerable woman labor force, since they are directed toward maintaining a high level of production parallel with a military program that tends to lessen the supply of manpower for essential civilian occupations. Since these national plans, both in their defense manufacture and their military aspects, are designed to extend through an indefinite period of time, the need for women workers, particularly for those who develop the skills most in demand, is likely to continue.

The available labor supply of women must come from the 60 percent of the woman population 18 through 64 who are not in the labor force—only about 1¾ million of them unmarried. Many single women of these ages who are not in the labor force are still in school, others are chronically ill, or for other reasons unable to take jobs. Hence, needs for new women workers inevitably will call into the labor force many married women, including many mothers.

During 1951 there were abundant evidences that defense contracts and new military installations of various types were expanding the industries and the population in industrial areas throughout the country. The Women’s Bureau, charged by law with the responsi-
bility to promote the welfare of wage-earning women, realized the importance of knowing how these industrial expansions are affecting women workers, what opportunities they afford for women’s employment and suitable training, what work surroundings exist, and the extent to which the available women workers have the skills that are in demand, or can develop them.

Accordingly, the Women’s Bureau sent field representatives to explore the situation of women workers in 28 growing industrial communities in 12 States in all sections of the country. The communities visited employed well over a tenth of the woman labor force in urban areas in the entire United States.

When marked changes are occurring in a locality, it becomes important for the Bureau to examine also the community’s plans and capacity to provide for certain living necessities of the new workers. Basic among these are adequate housing, food, health and recreation facilities, and transportation to workplaces. By the lack of necessary arrangements of these various types, women workers may be seriously handicapped—they may be prevented from securing employment or from remaining in the labor force, or their health may be adversely affected, or their effectiveness in their jobs may be undermined.

In today’s economy, where married women constitute such a considerable proportion of the woman labor force, another important local problem is the provision of suitable facilities for the care of children during their mothers’ working hours. This becomes a matter of particular importance when full utilization of the available local labor force is being sought before bringing in new workers, whose presence would require added housing and other physical facilities. The present report gives the findings of the Women’s Bureau field representatives as to community services for children during their mothers’ work hours—whether child-care centers or nurseries, homes licensed to give day care to a smaller number of children, nursery schools, or other extended school services; whether conducted by schools, welfare, or other community agencies or privately on a commercial basis.

Besides securing objective data and statistics relating to the various aspects of women’s employment, the Bureau representatives gained a more complete picture of the community facilities and needs through interviews with the principal employers of women and with informed local persons, such as various city, State, and Federal officials, administrators of the public welfare, employment, educational, and legal services, and officers of welfare, union, employers’, and women’s organizations.

The Bureau’s visits were made chiefly in 1951, and continued through 1952, with revisits to several communities. In every area included, the employment of women had increased since 1940. In about half
of them, these increases continued notably from 1951 to 1952, in some of them to an enormous extent. In several of them, particularly certain of the largest aircraft centers, increases were primarily in manufacturing industries. In some of the cities visited, chiefly in some of those in Connecticut and Indiana, women’s employment declined notably from 1951 to 1952, even though in a few of these the numbers of women in manufacturing increased.

DEMAND FOR WOMEN WORKERS AND SOURCES OF SUPPLY

The employment of women, after a postwar decrease, began to rise again, and in September 1951 the civilian labor force in the United States included over 1 million more women than in 1949. By September 1952, another three-quarter million women workers had been added, the number then approximating the wartime peak. This is the more striking when it is realized that over the same period of time (1949–52) the number of male civilian workers declined by not far from 1 million.

This situation points up the fact that women constitute this country’s most numerous and available labor reserve, which will have to be substantially tapped if there is any appreciable industrial expansion, and certainly in event of any emergency development. The men in the civilian population aged 18 through 64 who are not in the labor force numbered only about 21/2 million in September 1952. Many of these will spend varying lengths of time in military service, and there always is a considerable number who are in school, chronically ill, or otherwise unable to work continuously.

Of the women of working age (18 through 64), 28 1/2 million, or 60 percent, are outside the labor force (September 1952). The great majority of the 29 1/2 million women in this group in 1951 were reported as married and engaged chiefly in maintaining their homes. As has been pointed out, only about 1 3/4 million were unmarried, and consequently any needs for new women workers will require many married women, including many mothers, to enter the labor force.

Reference has been made to the fact that the marked growth in the employment of women through past decades has been an inevitable result of economic and social influences. It has been accelerated by the pressure for an increased labor force through two world wars, and by family financial needs in a major depression. It has affected to a large extent the married as well as the single women. It is a result of these varied influences that today one mother in every four (with children under 18) is in the labor force.

The economic trends that have caused this situation are continuing today, and there is no evidence that the clock can be turned back. In
fact, the increasing number of families in the country increases family financial need. The number of families grew by more than a fifth from 1940 to 1950, and even from 1950 to 1951 there were more than 600,000 new families added. At the same time, the birth rate is maintained at a high level. It is well above that of any period previously recorded in this country, except that it is slightly below the peak of the World War I period and the postwar peak of 1947.

The increase in families and in number of children, at the same time that the labor force requires an unprecedented number of women, means that the care of children during the mothers’ necessary absence from home has become not only of prime importance to more and more working women, but an important consideration for most industrial communities. No one will dispute that the primary responsibility for the care and direction of the lives of young children rests with the parents, and especially with the mother. Her problem has become increasingly complicated in modern society, with its money economy, its consequent pressure for the wherewithal to buy the necessities of life, its efforts to enable all citizens to receive education and training to the fullest extent of their capabilities. It is a problem that grows with the number of families to be supported. The present report examines the ways in which the communities visited are assisting or planning to assist their working mothers with this problem.

THE MOTHER’S ENTRANCE TO THE LABOR FORCE

The fact that many mothers are employed, and that this is likely to be a permanent feature of our economy, make it of interest to society to examine the facilities available for the care of their children. Whether or not to enter paid employment is a personal decision that must be made by the mother and her family, in the light of all the conditions that surround them.

Census data indicate that most mothers of very young children remain at home to care for them rather than take a job. (See p. 7.) However, many mothers must work to support their children, or to aid in family support. To relieve mothers of pressing economic necessity is an underlying principle of the aid given dependent children under the Federal Social Security Act and the policies of many private social agencies. Other mothers, more frequently those of children beyond preschool age, feel the importance of earning in order to assure for their children certain advantages that otherwise could not be provided. And there are other reasons, as sound as economic ones, why some mothers enter the labor force, especially if they can be assured that their children will have proper care while they are away. The needs of today’s industry for their services also constitute one
of the strong pressures on mothers in many communities to enter or to continue in employment.

A variety of considerations will enter into the final decision of each mother who enters the labor force, the most important being adequate care for her children. In fact, psychologists find that mothers often are able to meet their children's emotional needs more fully if they can devote some time in the day to wholly outside experiences and achievements, either in paid employment or in some community service or creative activity. An outstanding statement on the mother's decision to enter or not to enter the labor force has been made jointly by a Chicago social worker and a trained psychiatrist. It presents in brief form a variety of situations under which mothers find themselves that influence their planning for employment and care of their children. Its judgment is that too many factors are involved to justify generalizations, and that not enough attention has been paid to analyzing individual situations.

THE EMPLOYMENT STATUS OF MOTHERS

The employment of mothers outside their homes is by no means new in American life. Indeed before 1800 the earliest New England cotton mills especially solicited mothers (and their children as well) as employees to aid in the success of new undertakings, sometimes enticing them with the promise of living quarters for their children. National figures on the extent of mothers' employment are available only for recent years, but various special studies give some earlier information. In a study of conditions of women's work, authorized by Congress in 1907, investigations sampling several large woman-employing industries reported among their employees mothers from over 1,900 families. A special study of more than 700 employed mothers in Philadelphia was made in 1918–19. Of these over 60 percent were in factories, chiefly in textile mills or the needle trades, nearly 30 percent were in domestic or personal service, the remainder in trade or clerical occupations.

In 1951, in the United States as a whole, 28 percent of all women who ever had been married were in the labor force, and 40 percent of these workers had children under 18 years of age. Of all the mothers in the population with children under 18, 1 in 4 (24 percent) were in the labor force, and these numbered 5¼ million. Of these about three-fifths had no preschool children. More than 2 million had children under 6 years of age; nearly half these also had older children. Mothers with children under 6 were less than half as likely to be in the labor force as those with no children so young. The following summary shows current figures as to the employment of mothers.

1 Edith Abbott: Women in Industry. 1910. See especially Ch. III.
DEVELOPMENT OF CHILD-CARE PROGRAMS

LABOR FORCE PARTICIPATION OF WOMEN EVER MARRIED, BY WHETHER OR NOT HAVING OWN CHILDREN UNDER 18, 1951

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Status as to children</th>
<th>Number of women in—</th>
<th>Percent in labor force</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Population</td>
<td>Labor force</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All women</td>
<td>57,354,000</td>
<td>18,602,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women ever married</td>
<td>46,408,000</td>
<td>13,172,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With no children under 18</td>
<td>24,265,000</td>
<td>7,910,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total with children under 18</td>
<td>22,143,000</td>
<td>5,262,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With children 6–17 only</td>
<td>9,259,000</td>
<td>3,222,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With children under 6 only</td>
<td>7,104,000</td>
<td>1,096,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With children both 6–17 and under 6</td>
<td>5,780,000</td>
<td>944,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total with children under 6</td>
<td>12,884,000</td>
<td>2,040,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


The decided increases in the employment of mothers in the postwar years indicate the importance of public attention to provisions for their children. Figures showing this increase are given in table 1. Whereas in 1940 mothers were 11 percent of the women in the labor force, by 1951 they were 28 percent. Whereas in 1940 only 4 percent of all women ever married were working mothers, 11 percent of the women ever married were in this role in 1951. This situation has developed largely from the increased marital and birth rates during part of the 1940's, as well as from the influences of wartime economy and postwar living costs that brought more married women and mothers into the labor force.

Table 1.—WOMEN EVER MARRIED AND MOTHERS, IN THE LABOR FORCE, 1940 AND 1948–51

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Women ever married in labor force</th>
<th>Mothers in labor force</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>Percent of all—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>women ever married</td>
<td>women in the labor force</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1940</td>
<td>7,130,000</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1948</td>
<td>11,207,000</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1949</td>
<td>11,485,000</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1950</td>
<td>12,174,000</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1951</td>
<td>13,172,000</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Includes women widowed, separated, and divorced. Mothers included are those with children under 18.

AREA ESTIMATES OF MOTHERS’ EMPLOYMENT

For the various communities the Women’s Bureau visited, complete data are not available as to the number of mothers employed since there is no source of such information for individual areas. However, many localities do have some information that gives partial
indication as to their working mothers. In some places, schools, plant personnel records, or experiences of existing child-care centers show concrete evidence. Furthermore, responsible general statements can sometimes be made on this subject by authorities of schools, industries, employment services, or social welfare agencies. The great majority of mothers who go to work must make some provision for the care of their children during the working hours of the mothers or in out-of-school periods. Any evidence as to employment of mothers, or even of women who have been married and are of the ages to have children, is likely to indicate a need for child care. Some localities have made studies of one type or another designed to give some light on the community child-care situation.

OCCUPATIONS OF EMPLOYED MOTHERS

Some differences appear in the occupational distribution of mothers and of women who have no children. For example, larger proportions of women with children than of those without are in operative and farm occupations, according to census data for 1950. Of the women with children under 6 years of age, a fifth are operatives; another fifth are in farm work, and many of these are likely to be unpaid family workers. A slightly larger proportion of the women with children than of those without are salespersons, work that often can be done on a part-time basis. Smaller proportions of women with children than of those without are clerical workers. Table 2 gives further details.

Table 2.—Occupation Grouping of Women 18 to 64, With and Without Children, 1950

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Occupation group</th>
<th>Total 1</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>With no children</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>None under 6</th>
<th>Some under 6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All occupations</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clerical, kindred workers</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operatives, kindred workers</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service (except private household)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional, technical workers</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farmers, farm workers</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sales workers</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private household workers</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Managers, officials, proprietors (except farm)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (craftsmen, laborers)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 All women 18 through 64 in labor force, including single, widowed, separated, divorced. The occupation used is that of longest job held in 1950.

EMPLOYMENT OF MARRIED WOMEN

Table 3 shows that from 1940 to 1950 there was a marked increase in the proportion of women in the population who were married, and a considerably greater increase in the proportion of women in the labor force who were married. Although a much larger proportion of single than of married women are in gainful work, the proportion of married women who have entered the labor force has increased notably in the last 30 years, to an especially great extent during and since World War II. In 1951, half the single women, over a third of the widowed and divorced, and over a fourth of the married were in the labor force.

Table 3.—Marital Status of Women of Working Age in Population and Labor Force, 1900–1951

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Marital status</th>
<th>1900</th>
<th>1910</th>
<th>1920</th>
<th>1930</th>
<th>1940</th>
<th>1950</th>
<th>1951</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All groups</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Widowed or divorced</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PERCENT DISTRIBUTION IN LABOR FORCE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>All groups</th>
<th>1900</th>
<th>1910</th>
<th>1920</th>
<th>1930</th>
<th>1940</th>
<th>1950</th>
<th>1951</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Widowed or divorced</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>(7)</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PERCENT OF POPULATION IN EACH MARITAL GROUP WHO WERE IN LABOR FORCE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>All groups</th>
<th>1900</th>
<th>1910</th>
<th>1920</th>
<th>1930</th>
<th>1940</th>
<th>1950</th>
<th>1951</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Widowed or divorced</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>(7)</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Includes "unknown."
2 Includes widowed, divorced, and unknown.
3 Includes widowed, divorced, and unknown.
4 "Gainfully employed."
5 Adjusted for comparability with later years (each of them 2 points above figure comparable to earlier years).


Information on the age and family income of employed mothers is not available. However, data on these subjects for all married women workers are of some interest, although they do not give an accurate picture of the situation of mothers, since mothers (of children under 18) are only 40 percent of all married women workers.

The median age of married women in the labor force is 38.2 years; of the group of women who are widowed, divorced, and separated, 47.7 years; and of the single women, 24.3 years. (Half the women
are older, half younger, than the median figure.) Of the married
women in the labor force over 40 percent are under 35 years of age,
and 30 percent are 45 or older. Of the widowed, divorced, and sepa­
rated group, 20 percent are under 35 and almost 60 percent are 45
years of age or older. Of the single women 70 percent are under 35,
and only 16 percent are 45 or older.

Wives enter the labor force to a greater extent in urban areas
than elsewhere. Census reports on family income show that a much
larger proportion of wives enter the labor force, especially in urban
areas, when the husband’s income is under $3,000 than when his
income is $5,000 or more. The following summary shows details
of this.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Income of husband, 1950</th>
<th>United States</th>
<th>Urban areas</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Under $1,000</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1,000, under $2,000</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2,000, under $3,000</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$3,000, under $4,000</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$4,000, under $5,000</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$5,000, under $6,000</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$6,000, under $10,000</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10,000 and over</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


CHILD-CARE PROGRAMS THAT AID WORKING MOTHERS

Community attention to daytime care of the children of working
mothers is a logical outgrowth of the widespread employment of
mothers, referred to on page 6. It has been accelerated in recent
decades when the economic conditions of depression and wartime
brought increased numbers of mothers into the labor force, although
the employment of mothers is by no means new to the American
scene. Response to the needs of working mothers’ children for child
care has developed partly from the educational focus on the preschool
child and group programs for school-age children outside of school
hours, partly as an aspect of the even older public interest in the wel­
fare of the underprivileged child. It remained for the experiences
of World War II to draw these two influences somewhat more closely
together in objectives and standards. The pages following give a brief
outline of the chief types of care for young children. Where these
exist in a community, they can be of invaluable aid to the working
mother, though most of them do not confine their services to her
children but also serve children whose mothers are not employed.
Development of Child-Care Programs

Day Nurseries and Child-Care Centers

Day nurseries to care for children of employed mothers were first established by welfare groups in several large cities. The first such nursery in the United States opened in 1854 at Nursery and Child's Hospital, New York City. Employed mothers who had been patients in this hospital left their children under the care of nurses. Similar day nurseries were opened in 1858 in Troy, N. Y., and in 1863 in Philadelphia. By 1897, it was estimated that 175 nurseries had been established in various cities, located mainly in settlement houses. The day nurseries were chiefly custodial and provided little of educational value for the young child. Children were admitted after careful case work investigation of the mother and the family situation. The change in attitudes toward eligibility of cases, as the reasons why mothers often worked became better known, is illustrated by the following statement made by Mrs. Arthur M. Dodge at the National Conference of Charities and Correction in 1912:

The basic rule of assistance for deserted women or widows obliged to work underlies all day nursery work, but industrial conditions have changed to such an extent that exceptions must be made and there are now more cases where both father and mother are working. The low prices paid for unskilled labor, the scarcity of work for men in some communities where women find no difficulty in obtaining employment, illness or partial disability of husbands are all factors in determining cases...

Many of the day nurseries and day-care centers in the country, which are chiefly under welfare auspices, public or private, have a long history of active existence. For example, in cities the Women's Bureau visited in 1951, a day-care center now operating in New Haven was opened about 1871, one in Cleveland began in 1882, and one in Colorado Springs was opened in 1897; all these were endowed and also received Community Chest funds.

Licensing of day nurseries or day-care centers is provided for in 35 States either by specific legislation or in general licensing laws by specific mention or interpretation. The State welfare department has the responsibility for licensing in 26 of these States. In one the local and in five the State health authorities are the licensing agencies, in one of the latter with approval of the State welfare department.

4 Local health authorities in Massachusetts; State health authorities in Connecticut, District of Columbia, New Mexico, Oregon, and in Kansas with State welfare authority approval.

220674—53—3
In only one State, New Jersey, the State educational authorities who authorize nursery schools also certify day-care centers. In Colorado licensing is under a special board of standards of child care in the State.

AID TO DEPENDENT CHILDREN

Parallel to the growth of nurseries for children of working mothers was the development in social thinking and practice in regard to neglected and abandoned children. The details of care for these children are not a true part of this report, but it may be noted here that at the close of 1951 aid to dependent children was being received by over one-half million families in the country.8 A 1948 study showed 16 percent of mothers receiving such aid at that time to be in the labor force.

EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS

The programs so far discussed are directed primarily toward care for children of working mothers or for underprivileged children. Developments also have taken place in the educational field aside from the usual provisions for school-age children in school hours. The first of these was directed toward the preschool child, and a later development has been that of group programs for school-age children in after-school hours or in vacation periods. It is at once apparent that in communities where such plans are in operation they can be of untold aid to working mothers and their children, though their services are by no means limited to this group of children. The extension of school services, though not confined to working mothers' children, developed during World War II on a much wider scale than ever before. (See p. 19.)

The preschool child.—Group training for the preschool child followed studies of the psychological development and needs of young children. The kindergarten took children at 4 or 5 years and emphasized preschool training as an aid to later school training. Based on a child-study movement 42 private kindergartens were in operation in this country by 1873, when the first public-school kindergarten was established.

In all States but one (Arkansas) the law either expressly or impliedly authorizes kindergartens in the public schools—in 32 by the use of State funds, in the remainder from local tax levies. A study by the National Education Association reports that, in 1,518 city school systems surveyed, almost 60 percent, or about 900 cities, had kindergartens in 1948. The number of kindergartens had increased 30 percent in a 10-year period.9

---

A later development was the nursery school for children aged 2 to 4 years. These usually were founded as research centers, often in connection with universities and colleges in which teacher training was sometimes combined with research in child growth and development. They seek to supplement and aid home training, to give the child his earlier group experiences, and at the same time to inculcate in him an appreciation for the basic values of home life. They focus attention on the very young child's mental, social, and emotional development. Today many of the large universities provide housing for parent-sponsored cooperative nursery schools, which often have professional assistance from faculty members. Among these are, for example, the University of Chicago and the Universities of Illinois, Indiana, Michigan, and Iowa.

The laws of 14 States permit nursery schools to be operated in connection with public schools, though two of these specify that the costs must be met from sources other than school funds. The National Education Association report to which reference has been made shows that, of the 1,518 school systems surveyed, over 165 cities had nursery schools and over 150 had child-care centers. Four out of five of these had been established within a 10-year period, though in more than half the cities these programs were being curtailed. The curtailments were especially great in New England and on the west coast, with expansions occurring in the southwestern cities.

Extended school services.—Many public schools have developed activity programs outside school hours for children of 6 to 14 years. School and public welfare authorities in a number of cities visited by the Women’s Bureau felt that children of these ages were in particular need of further facilities in the community. The full extent of such provisions in the United States is not known. California has had the most complete State-supported extended school program, though funds have been voted and renewed on a short-term basis. Other notable programs developed have been those in Milwaukee and, during the war, in Seattle.

The Office of Education reported in 1948–49 that, of 100 school systems visited in 43 States, 54 had extended programs of some type; some schools gave service until 6, a few had Saturday programs of

---

20 In 1915, the faculty wives of the University of Chicago began a cooperative nursery school; in 1919, the Bureau of Educational Experiments in New York started one, and in 1921 Teachers College of Columbia University opened one. In 1922, the Merrill-Palmer School in Detroit was founded; in 1924, the Iowa State College school opened; a year later Cornell University and Ohio State opened schools and Franklin Public School Nursery was started. The Yale Psycho-Clinic Guidance Nursery was opened in 1924. In the same year, Vassar, Smith, and Antioch Colleges started nursery schools, and in 1927 Mills College opened one.

4 to 6 hours, and 42 school systems operated a 4 to 10 weeks' summer program. Frequently schools in crowded areas are given priority when these programs are planned.12

HOME CARE OTHER THAN IN CHILD'S OWN HOME

If the knowledge as to day nurseries, day-care centers, nursery schools, and extended school services is incomplete, the extent to which children are cared for in private homes on a commercial basis—either by day only or on a 24-hour schedule—is even more of a twilight zone of information, except to the extent licensed. Where any data exist for such a comparison, the number of children receiving day care in known facilities in a community usually is small in comparison with the number of married women employed in the same area. If 40 percent of the employed women have children (the national figure) the indication is that in most places the great majority of children of working mothers must receive any daytime care that they get either by the frequently preferred methods of family work adjustment or the care of some relative, friend, or neighbor, or of a nursemaid employed by the family, or else in a commercially operated home.

This conclusion is further supported by the large number of requests for day care of children that the existing agencies receive but cannot fill in most of the communities visited by the Women's Bureau in 1951 and 1952. The Bureau also found that, even in communities where provision is made for licensing them, the number of homes giving day care usually is not fully known, though authorities responsible for licensing make every effort to fulfill their function. Furthermore, licensing provisions frequently apply only to those homes that take a specified number of children, such as three or four, or more, and the number of private persons caring for fewer children is not known.

CHILD-CARE PROVISIONS IN PERIODS OF ECONOMIC STRESS

The marked increase in the employment of married women in the postwar years, as well as during World War II, has been a major influence in the recognition that nursery schools and day-care centers for working mothers' children are a part of the established pattern of the economic life of the mid-twentieth century. The federally sponsored Work Projects Administration and Lanham Act programs provided a more widespread experience with such services than formerly had been available. This acquainted mothers and the public with their advantages.

DEVELOPMENT OF CHILD-CARE PROGRAMS

IN WORLD WAR I

In the World War I period such nursery schools and day-care services as existed for working mothers were on a local basis, and over-all information in regard to them is not available, although the child-welfare department of the Woman's Committee of the Council of National Defense was interested in their establishment in war-manufacturing areas.13 A considerable part of the extensive entry of women into the World War I labor force was felt to be temporary, and in fact the percent of increase from 1910 to 1920 in the employment of women was smaller than in any other decade.14 Furthermore, the proportion of married women who were in gainful work remained about the same through the decades 1910 to 1930, and the marked increase did not show up until 1940. (See table 3, p. 9.) Employed mothers undoubtedly depended with great frequency on relatives or neighbors for care of their children, though there is no measure by which the extent of this can be compared with the experience in later periods.

IN THE ECONOMIC DEPRESSION OF THE EARLY 1930's

When the economic depression of the 1930's impelled the Government to make plans to increase employment, nursery schools were among the types of educational work organized. They were specifically authorized by the Federal Emergency Relief Administration in October 1933. They enabled many children to attend nursery schools whose families otherwise could not have given them this experience, even though the primary objective had been to give useful work to unemployed persons and though these services had to be fitted in among other work projects.

In 1934-35, the Works Progress Administration reported some 1,900 nursery schools in which 75,000 children were enrolled. Early in 1938 the Administrator estimated that more than 200,000 children of low-income families had benefited from these schools.15 As the country moved toward greater prosperity, fewer families were eligible to send children to the WPA schools, but the value of nursery schools had been so fully shown that public demand for them continued.

IN WORLD WAR II

During World War II women were drawn into the labor force more extensively than at any previous time in this country's history. This was an inevitable result of the demand for an increased labor supply to

15 H. L. Hopkins: Inventory and Appraisal of Results of Works Progress Administration. 1938.
manufacture war matériel and to carry on essential civilian services at the same time that men were being drawn from civilian jobs into the armed services. From June 1940 to June 1942 more than 2 million women were added to the labor force. The demand for workers continued to increase, and by June 1943 more than another 3 million women were added, and still the peak in woman employment was not reached. Before the war, single women were only 27 percent of the woman population and almost half of them already were in the labor force. Married women constituted the country’s greatest labor reserve. The proportion of married women in the labor force increased from 15 percent in the prewar period to 23 percent in the war period while among single women the increase was from 46 percent to 55 percent. More than 3 million married women entered the labor force from 1940 to 1944. Many of these were mothers, though figures as to the exact number are not available.

Many communities were seriously concerned over the situation as to care of working mothers’ children, which became increasingly acute. These children were not eligible to attend the still-existing WPA nurseries, which were open only to those whose parents had very limited income. Private nurseries existed chiefly in the larger cities, while many of the working mothers were in communities that had mushroomed with new war-production plants. Even where private nurseries existed their capacity was limited, and of course their fees had to cover costs at least. There were practically no facilities for the care of school-age children after school. Increased juvenile delinquency in some communities and high absenteeism in some war-manufacturing plants often were attributed to the lack of adequate child-care services.

Federal agencies were seeking means to assist hard-pressed localities with this problem, and to secure Federal funds for this purpose. Comments from employed mothers, officials of war industries, and others interested in the problems of child care for working mothers pointed up the possibility that the WPA nurseries, if a change in admission requirements were effected, could become the nucleus around which a Federal child-care program for employed mothers could be established. After a year and a half of effort, supplemented by organized work of many State agencies, a vigorous program was at length under way by mid-1943. It operated for about 2½ emergency years, until Federal funds ceased to be available in February 1946. The brief discussion that follows indicates the agencies most active in developing this program, and the scope of its services.

Federal welfare and educational agencies.—The Chief of the Children’s Bureau, then in the Department of Labor, who had been named

---

DEVELOPMENT OF CHILD-CARE PROGRAMS

Child Welfare Consultant to the Coordinator of Health, Welfare and Related Defense Activities, called a national conference on day care of children of working mothers, which convened in July 1941. The participants in this conference included representatives from the United States Office of Education, Work Projects Administration, Women's Bureau, State and local welfare departments, councils of social agencies, Catholic community services, business and professional women's clubs, professional nursery-school groups, trade-unions, and others interested and active in maintaining community welfare through a planned program for children of working mothers. State groups also developed more concerted activities, and by May 1942 State-wide child-care committees were in existence in 19 States and local groups were planning programs of child care in countless communities.

In July 1942 a sum of $400,000 was granted to the Office of Education and the Children's Bureau from the President's emergency fund. This was to plan and coordinate child-care activities on the State and local levels through grants to States on the basis of State plans approved by the Children's Bureau or the Office of Education. State plans for programs of extended school services were submitted by departments of education in 33 States and approved by the Office of Education and the Office of Defense Health and Welfare Services. Grants totaling $163,143 were made to States, enabling them to employ specialized personnel to work with communities expanded by war industries to set up school programs for working mothers' children. At the same time grants were made to public welfare agencies in 28 States to set up day-care centers and other services for working mothers' children, under plans made by these State agencies and approved by the Children's Bureau and the Office of Defense Health and Welfare Services. The program financed from the President's emergency fund was discontinued June 30, 1943, because of legal restrictions on use of this fund.

The Federal Works Agency.—In the meantime, another Federal agency that had the allocation of large wartime funds had been seeking authorization to enable it to aid in the child-care program. In June 1941, Congress passed Public Law 137 (the Lanham Act) assigning responsibility to the Federal Works Agency "to provide for the acquisition and equipment of public works (community facilities) made necessary by the defense program." The language contained

17 The Federal Security Administrator was designated by the Council of National Defense as Coordinator of Health, Welfare and Related Defense Activities on November 28, 1940.
no specific provision that child-care facilities would come within the meaning of public works.

The liberalization of this law, sought by the Federal Works Agency, was granted in August 1942 by the Committee on Buildings and Grounds, whose members recognized the imperative need and specified that child-care centers were public works within the meaning of the act.20 The Federal Works Administrator organized within his agency a War Public Service Division, thus enabling hundreds of the WPA nursery schools to be continued with Lanham Act funds.

The Commissioner of WPA in January 1943 sent a letter to all State administrators stating that "nursery schools and day nursery services * * * which have a war-connected need and are eligible for operation under the Lanham Act, may be operated by the Work Projects Administration, pending approval of War Public Service applications, even though other WPA activities in the States have been closed."21 Provision thus was made under the Lanham Act for the first allotment of Federal funds directly to communities for wartime child-care centers. All applications made for such centers were to be cleared with the Office of Education of the Federal Security Agency, for certification as to war necessity and program standards, in accordance with a Presidential directive of February 12, 1942. More than 95 percent of the projects receiving Federal funds were operated by educational authorities. In instances where the applicant was an agency other than the schools, clearance was made with the Children's Bureau.

In July 1943, Public Law 150 was passed appropriating additional funds to carry out the community-facilities provisions of the Lanham Act, and further established the authority of the Federal Works Agency over the wartime child-care centers. The Administrators of the Federal Works Agency and of the Federal Security Agency, together with the Director of the Bureau of the Budget, then held a series of meetings to set forth the respective responsibilities of each agency, and resolve the divided administrative responsibilities that had developed.

The resulting agreement approved by the President on August 13, 1943, stated in substance that the Federal Works Agency had the primary responsibility for assembling data necessary for a determination of community need, which would be referred for recommendations to the appropriate Federal agencies on the regional level. Such recommendations would generally be confined to questions of need for services. When the regional representatives strongly disagreed with the procedures of the Federal Works Agency Regional Office, the disagreement would be settled at the Federal level. The final judg-

ment as to the extent to which the need was to be met and the types of projects to be submitted to the President for approval, then rested with the Federal Works Agency.

Child-care centers and children enrolled.\textsuperscript{22}—The number of child-care centers receiving financial assistance from the Federal Government varied from month to month. The first regular report, August 1943, showed 49,197 children enrolled in 1,726 centers operating with an average daily attendance of 36,923. Enrollments, attendance, and number of units in operation continued to increase, with few exceptions every month until the peak in July 1944, when 3,102 units were in operation servicing 129,357 children, with an average daily attendance of 109,202. About 60 percent of the children served throughout the program were of preschool age.

The November 1944 report showed 2,828 extended school service units in operation with an enrollment of 105,263 children. Almost half these children were in centers taking only nursery-school ages, not far from a third in centers having only school-age children, the remainder in centers serving children in both age groups.

It is difficult to establish the total number of different children cared for during the life of the Lanham Act program, since there was considerable turnover throughout the period as families moved from one area to another, changed employment, or withdrew their children from centers for various reasons. However, it has been estimated that roughly 550,000 to 600,000 different children received care at one time or another during the period of time Lanham Act funds were dispensed for these purposes.

Geographic distribution and proportion of Federal aid.\textsuperscript{23}—Every State had some child-care centers financed with the aid of Lanham Act funds, except New Mexico, which applied for none. Centers were located in highly concentrated areas of war-production activities. The largest number were in California, with 392 units, the next in Washington State with 103, New York had 84, Georgia and Illinois each about 70, and eight other States had over 40 each (Florida, Maryland, Michigan, New Jersey, North Carolina, Ohio, Pennsylvania, and Tennessee).

The centers received about two-thirds of their funds from the Federal Government. The centers in only three States were financed as much as three-fourths from Federal funds (Rhode Island, Washington, and West Virginia), and in only two was as little as half the support from Federal sources (Nevada and North Dakota).

Close of Federal program.—After the war, the number of centers decreased gradually, then more rapidly. Federal funds finally were discontinued at the end of February 1946. Communities continued

\textsuperscript{22} Federal Works Agency: Final Report of the War Service Program. See pp. 75, 76, 80, 81.

\textsuperscript{23} Ibid., pp. 78, 79, 82, 83.
programs with local funds for periods varying in length. After the withdrawal of Federal funds, State funds were made available in California, New York, Washington, the District of Columbia, and to a limited extent in Massachusetts. Meanwhile, the Public Housing Administration had provided in many housing projects facilities for nursery schools and recreation centers, without charge for space to schools or other community agencies that would operate services.

**Value and handicaps of World War II program.**—In spite of the marked values of the wartime child-care program, some of them of a more or less permanent character, the program suffered from many disadvantages, not least of which was the reflection in various communities of the lack of clarity in the enabling legislation and the resulting confusion of authority at the Federal level. Provision for facilities had to be authorized, under the Federal Works Agency, by construction engineers not familiar with the requirements for handling child-care services. Federal money could be granted only to supplement community resources, or take their place where none was available, and once the Federal Works Agency had approved a community plan it could not further control operations in the locality. Moreover, this was a program providing only for the group care or activities of children. It was not available for other types of child care or auxiliary services that could constitute a more complete program, as for example, foster-home service, counseling, or homemaker services. In the nature of the case, planning was done on a short-time emergency basis and attention could not be focused extensively on long-term implications.

With all these handicaps, this World War II child-care program developed, with the aid of Federal funds, day care and extended school services on a more far-reaching basis than there had been at any previous time. Undoubtedly it marked a far more general understanding than ever before, both by many cooperating agencies and by the public in general, of the working mother's problems and the community's responsibilities in assisting with them. Employers also testified that the nurseries had great value in reducing absenteeism and turn-over in their plants. Perhaps one of the more lasting effects was that the planning and operation of the program brought educational and welfare authorities to a better understanding of each other's policies and objectives, and created a more general public knowledge of the standards recommended by educational and welfare agencies.

**IN THE DEFENSE PERIOD OF THE EARLY 1950's**

The increasing employment of women was accelerated after Korean hostilities began, and the subject of care of working mothers' children again came to the fore. The Defense Housing and Community Facilities and Services Act of September 1951 (Public Law 139, 82d Cong.)
authorizes loans or grants to public or nonprofit agencies to provide community facilities or services, the definition including day-care centers. However, when funds were provided, the use of money for this purpose was specifically excluded. An Executive order of October 2, 1951, placed responsibility for day-care facilities and services under the act with the Federal Security Administrator or units in his agency that he would designate. Provision for school construction and maintenance was not included in this act because it was to be included in amendments to two 1950 acts providing Federal aid in school construction and maintenance in areas expanded by Federal defense installations.

**CHILD-CARE FACILITIES CURRENTLY AVAILABLE**

Discussion of the various types of day care for working mothers’ children and the various auspices under which they may be available indicates the difficulty of obtaining an over-all picture of the number of such facilities. Still less possible is it to say how many mothers or how many children they serve. Enrollments always are larger than the number of children present on any one day. Some of the following indications as to numbers have been mentioned elsewhere in this report.

**INDICATIONS OF AVAILABLE FACILITIES**

[Asterisk denotes those probably best adapted for the working mother]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting Agency</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Under School Auspices</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Report of School Systems in 1,518 cities, by National Education Association. (See pp. 12–13.)</td>
<td>1948</td>
<td>Over 900 cities had public kindergartens. The number of such kindergartens had increased 30 percent in 10 years. 165 cities had public nursery schools. *Over 150 cities had public child-care centers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Report on 100 School Systems in 43 States by Office of Education. (See p. 13.)</td>
<td>1948–49</td>
<td>*54 had extended school programs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Under Welfare Agencies</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Report to Children’s Bureau by Community Chests, Inc., for 41 cities.</td>
<td>1948</td>
<td>*Day care was received by 22,400 children.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reports of Federal Security Agency, 1951. (See p. 12.)</td>
<td>1951</td>
<td>Aid for dependent children was received by more than one-half million families.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Under Various Auspices</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merrill-Palmer School, Detroit. Directory of Nursery Schools and Child-Care Centers. Published 1951; report on 1950 data. (See p. 22.)</td>
<td>1950</td>
<td>*Reports 3,525 nursery schools or centers in the country as a whole.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
EMPLOYED MOTHERS AND CHILD CARE

It is probable that the number of privately operated nursery schools or day-care facilities has increased greatly in the postwar period, and this was indicated in a number of the areas visited by the Women's Bureau in 1951-52. However, concrete data to substantiate the extent of this are lacking. Influences that logically would contribute to the growth of such services are the increase in the number of working mothers and employed wives, the withdrawal of the Lanham Act facilities that had proved of such marked usefulness to employed mothers, and the general growth in knowledge of the advantages to young children of early group experiences. The increase in number of kindergartens in the past decade also would suggest increased demands for services for young children. (See p. 12.)

The first effort to prepare a comprehensive directory of nursery schools and day-care centers reported 3,500 public and private facilities of these types in 1949–50. This compilation was made by the Merrill-Palmer School of Detroit, Mich., widely known in the field of nursery school education and research. Information as to the number of facilities and types of sponsors was secured for this volume by correspondence with State and local welfare agencies, children’s organizations, and other key sources such as United States Children’s Bureau and the United States Office of Education. The report states that the data are at best approximations, since it often was impossible to determine under which category some of the schools and centers would correctly fall. Furthermore, it sums up at a given period of time a situation that is continually changing. However, this Directory of Nursery Schools is valuable since it gives the most comprehensive figures of this sort available.

Of the facilities reported that could be classified, over 40 percent were private schools or centers, conducted on a commercial basis. More than a tenth were under community auspices, another tenth under educational authorities, State or local. Eight percent were cooperative and the same proportion were church sponsored.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sponsorship of Nursery Schools and Day-Care Centers, 1950</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Type of sponsor</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private nursery schools and centers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community nursery schools and centers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public school nursery schools and centers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Department of Education (292).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local public schools (68).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Church affiliated schools and centers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cooperative schools and centers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laboratory nursery schools (auspices of university or college)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philanthropic nursery schools and centers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial nursery schools</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Sponsorship of Nursery Schools and Day-Care Centers, 1950—Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of sponsor</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Other nursery schools and centers</td>
<td>324</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schools for exceptional children (76)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summer day camps (19)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not elsewhere classified (229)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


More than three-fourths of these schools or centers were located in only 16 States. In fact over half of the facilities were in 6 States (California, New York, Illinois, Pennsylvania, New Jersey, and Ohio). It is scarcely surprising that these States also are among those with the largest numbers of employed women in the country.

In most of these States, by far the largest group were private schools or centers. In California, the State educational funds have assisted the activities, and in several States a number have been developed on a cooperative basis. Church affiliates sponsored from somewhat less than a tenth to almost a fifth of the facilities in a number of States. Table 4 shows further details as to numbers and sponsorship of nursery schools and child-care centers in the 16 States that had 78 percent of all those in the country, according to this report.

Table 4.—State Distribution of Nursery Schools and Day-Care Centers, by Sponsorship, 1950

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Total Number</th>
<th>Total Percent</th>
<th>Private Number</th>
<th>Private Percent</th>
<th>Community Number</th>
<th>Community Percent</th>
<th>Public Education Authorites Number</th>
<th>Public Education Authorites Percent</th>
<th>Church Affiliates Number</th>
<th>Church Affiliates Percent</th>
<th>Cooperatives Number</th>
<th>Cooperatives Percent</th>
<th>Laboratory Number</th>
<th>Laboratory Percent</th>
<th>All Other Number</th>
<th>All Other Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>California</td>
<td>626</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>64</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York</td>
<td>503</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>227</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Jersey</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>61</td>
<td></td>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ohio</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>37</td>
<td></td>
<td>23</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>43</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Carolina</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tennessee</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>54</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maryland</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connecticut</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minnesota</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Massachusetts</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missouri</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other 32 States and District of Columbia</td>
<td>752</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>332</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Includes schools or centers sponsored by philanthropic agencies (46), industrial firms (17), schools for exceptional children (76), summer day camps (19), and nursery schools or centers not elsewhere classified (229).

2 State public school funds depend on current legislative appropriations and have been eliminated in some sessions since this report.

Part II

Care for Working Mothers' Children in Selected Communities in 1951–52

AREAS VISITED AND FINDINGS

CHARACTER OF AREAS

Women’s Bureau visits in 1951 or 1952 included 28 cities and towns in 12 States in all parts of the country. Together these areas employed more than 14 percent of the woman labor force in urban areas in the entire country. It follows that the pertinent case studies as to child day care presented here illustrate the situation through much of the United States, as reported by persons well-informed locally. Though they vary greatly from place to place, they show certain aspects of considerable similarity.

The industrial character of these areas presents wide variations, though almost all were engaged in defense production of some type. Several had ordnance plants or arsenals, others had large Government installations, such as air-force experimental bases or administrative services, atomic-energy plants, shipbuilding or naval centers. A number were among the country’s great centers of aircraft manufacture. Others made aircraft parts, precision instruments, electrical supplies, and light or heavy metal products. Almost all the acutely labor-tight areas that might have special demands for additional women workers were included. Three were State capitals, which require many clerical workers.

Employment of women ranged from the great metropolis with over 574,000 women workers (Los Angeles) to the small city with less than 2,500 (Idaho Falls). More than a third of the areas or cities had over 55,000 women workers, five having more than 90,000, as table 5 shows. Others had fewer than 5,000 women workers.

In about half these areas, women were 29 to 33 percent of the labor force, much the same as the 31 percent in urban areas in the United States as a whole. In a few areas, women were a notably smaller proportion of the workers, in a few they were a markedly larger proportion—the entire range was from 24 percent of the labor force in the steel city of Gary, and 25 percent in Pueblo, to 34 percent in the insurance city of Hartford, and in New Haven and Dallas, and 36
percent in Colorado Springs. Data as to marital status of women workers are not fully available by area, but such data as the Women’s Bureau field representatives found available will be shown in the discussion of the individual areas to which they apply.

The increases in the labor force that have occurred in all these areas over the past decade, some of them enormous, suggest the possible extent of increased need for day care of children whose mothers work. In half the cities and towns visited the number of women workers had increased by 1950 from half to over three-fourths above 1940; in only three cities had the increase been less than a fourth, all in Connecticut. This marked growth in the employment of women was but a part of a great expansion in the entire working population in these areas, since in most of them there was but little advance over the decade in the proportion women were of all workers. The greatest changes were only 6 points in two cities and 5 points in several others. Table 5 shows the number of women workers in the areas visited as reported by the decennial census, and the changes from 1940 to 1950.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Number of women in total labor force, 1950</th>
<th>Increase in woman labor force, 1940 to 1950</th>
<th>Percent of women in population who were in labor force, 1950</th>
<th>Women as percent of all workers, 1950</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>United States: Urban area 1.</td>
<td>12,533,000</td>
<td>2,926,789</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
<td>574,104</td>
<td>226,439</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Francisco</td>
<td>206,069</td>
<td>116,066</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milwaukee</td>
<td>116,548</td>
<td>58,959</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas</td>
<td>63,400</td>
<td>33,648</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seattle</td>
<td>91,069</td>
<td>35,464</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indianapolis</td>
<td>77,664</td>
<td>20,677</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denver</td>
<td>71,943</td>
<td>24,964</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Diego</td>
<td>55,491</td>
<td>27,438</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dayton</td>
<td>44,103</td>
<td>20,768</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hartford</td>
<td>55,841</td>
<td>13,989</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Akron</td>
<td>45,757</td>
<td>12,587</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Davenport, Rock Island, Moline 2</td>
<td>26,332</td>
<td>7,279</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Davenport</td>
<td>11,345</td>
<td>2,856</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rock Island, Moline, East Moline</td>
<td>15,187</td>
<td>4,442</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wichita</td>
<td>26,306</td>
<td>10,356</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Haven 3</td>
<td>24,351</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Bend</td>
<td>23,671</td>
<td>6,339</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bridgeport 1</td>
<td>23,556</td>
<td>1,149</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waterbury</td>
<td>15,927</td>
<td>1,462</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rockford</td>
<td>14,303</td>
<td>3,401</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gary 2</td>
<td>13,518</td>
<td>5,439</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Britain  3</td>
<td>11,584</td>
<td>2,611</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stamford 3</td>
<td>10,549</td>
<td>3,641</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pueblo</td>
<td>8,283</td>
<td>2,739</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorado Springs 1</td>
<td>6,670</td>
<td>2,135</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burlington 1</td>
<td>3,963</td>
<td>1,096</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idaho Falls 1</td>
<td>2,194</td>
<td>848</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Preliminary report, 1930 Census, Series PC.
2 The area comprises the 2 counties of Rock Island, Ill., and Scott, Iowa.
3 Urban area only.
4 Percent not shown where less than 1.

Source: U. S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census. Unless otherwise shown, from Final Reports, 1940, Series PB for States; and Census of 1940, Vols. II and III. Data are for metropolitan area if available; otherwise, as indicated, for urban areas only.
Data showing changes in the past year also are available, from current estimates made by the Employment Service for 21 of the 28 cities or towns the Women's Bureau visited, as shown in table 6. Totals include only nonagricultural occupations and omit household employees and the self-employed. The estimates cannot be fully compared with census data.

Increases in number of women in these nonagricultural occupations continued to a notable extent from 1951 to 1952 in a third of the areas for which current information is available, though in some there were declines. Increases were especially great in west coast cities and in Denver, Wichita, and Dallas. (Changes noted are to the fall of 1952 where data available, otherwise to the spring.) In most areas the proportion women constituted of all workers varied but little in the year's time. Some declines in women's employment had occurred from the fall of 1951 to the fall of 1952 in most of the Connecticut cities, apparently due largely to decreases in the trade occupations. A few Midwestern cities also showed some decline.

The extent to which women workers were in trade occupations is of interest in a consideration of child care, since these are occupations that may afford part-time work to mothers. In a third of the localities reported here 30 percent or more of the women workers were in trade, and in another third fewer than 25 percent were in trade. The range was 36 to 41 percent in South Bend, Denver, Indianapolis, and Seattle; 12 to 17 percent in most Connecticut cities.

Manufacturing occupations are less likely than some of those in trade to have possibilities for adjusting time schedules of working mothers to meet their arrangements for child care. In half of the areas shown in table 6 a third or more of the women nonagricultural workers were in manufacturing. Over 60 percent were factory workers in two of the smaller Connecticut cities. Fifteen percent or fewer of these women workers were in manufacturing in some of the far western cities that were centers for trade or had Government installations that would require large clerical forces. Increases were very marked over the past year in the proportions of women workers who were in manufacturing in Wichita and two prominent west coast aircraft centers.

EXISTING CHILD-CARE FACILITIES

Every area visited, with a single exception, had some nursery or center for the day care of children, though several had no public facility. This is aside from part-time nursery schools or kindergartens that would not be adequate for the needs of working mothers. A number of cities had 5 or more centers under varied auspices, Dallas and Indianapolis having more than 10. California cities had services in much larger numbers than elsewhere, owing to the extended school
programs operated with State funds. In addition to facilities serv­
ing larger numbers of children, most areas had at least several homes licensed by appropriate authorities to give day care to a small number of children. Several localities reported 25 or more of these, San Diego as many as 215. In addition to those licensed, it was thought in most areas that many more homes were giving services to employed mothers.

EVIDENCES OF CHILD-CARE NEEDS

The full extent of the need working mothers have of day care for their children is not known. However, the growth in employment of mothers, the evidence in almost all communities visited of the great insufficiency of facilities to meet current demands, and the pressures for expansion of services give strong indication that an enormous and probably growing need is as yet far from being met.

Employed mothers (with children under 18) numbered 1.5 million in 1940. It was estimated that the federally aided day-care facilities served not over 600,000 children through the war period. Thus with only the number of mothers who worked in 1940, and if each of these had but one child, these public centers could not have served more than 40 percent of the children. Some of these were newly established centers, others were expansions of already existing facilities. Many of them had to close after the war when Federal funds were withdrawn.

The number of employed mothers increased markedly during the war period of industrial expansion, and by 1950 reached 4.6 million. In that year, the first effort made toward an over-all listing of operating nursery schools and day-care centers reported about 3,500 units. By 1951 the number of working mothers had grown to more than 5.2 million.

It is apparent from such information as exists, whether in the war period or today, that the care given innumerable children of working mothers is furnished through family or private arrangements.

Almost all of the communities visited by the Women’s Bureau were expanding industrially and increasing notably in the employment of women. A similar industrial growth also is occurring in a great number of other communities throughout the country. In every area visited, the total number of children known to be enrolled in day-care centers, nurseries, or nursery schools, or cared for in licensed homes, is exceedingly small in comparison with the probable number of mothers employed.

The economic pressures that bring mothers into the labor force may be the internal needs of the family or the external demands of business and industry for young women workers and the almost universal shortages in such occupations as nursing and stenography. In many
families, however, working mothers find themselves subject to conflicts that cause them considerable apprehension, either for the welfare of their children if they continue to work, or for the financial well-being of the family if they quit. There is ample evidence of the effect of these apprehensions in industrial absenteeism and turnover. With business and industry relying on women workers, the problem becomes a salient one for the entire community. The dilemma is not peculiar to the communities studied, but presses for solution wherever industrial demands and living costs combine to increase the employment of women with children.

The correct measure of need for day care for working mothers' children is very difficult to ascertain, and can be determined only in the individual community. In almost all areas the existing agencies have waiting lists, sometimes two or three times as great as their capacity for service. In many places these centers are always overcrowded. Furthermore, it is usual for the agencies to receive numerous calls for service that they cannot handle and often cannot refer because sufficient facilities are not available. This does not necessarily mean that all calls are made by working mothers though the majority are likely to be. In some cities an increased demand for foster-home care of children has been noted by welfare agencies, and this usually is attributed to the fact that mothers who have to work are unable to find suitable day care. These agencies also noted an increased number of families in which high living costs impel a mother formerly not employed to go to work.

In cities with large populations of particular racial or nationality groups, as for example, Negroes or those of Mexican origin, the services are especially insufficient for these groups. The pressure of numbers to be served by facilities under the auspices of welfare groups usually causes restriction of their use to those most greatly in need—low-income families, in some agencies with preference given to mothers who are the sole support of their children. Thus it sometimes is found that mothers with slightly higher incomes are excluded from any group resource for the care of their children if no good commercial centers exist, or if the necessary fees are above the limits of their ability to pay. Another kind of difficulty appears when the location of existing services is not convenient to the mother's necessary transportation to and from her workplace. In some cases, facilities were closed for lack of use, although overcrowding of services in other parts of the city indicated they would be used if located where needed. Moreover, it is often in the most congested sections of the city that

1 Some of the communities visited have developed techniques for evaluating their own child-care needs, and in one, Wichita, the Women's Bureau is cooperating with other Federal agencies in a more detailed study.
the need appears greatest, and yet it may be just there that space to develop facilities is most difficult to find.

Special agencies in some communities reported additional indications that child-care facilities are far from adequate to the demand. For example, school authorities sometimes noted that many children who formerly went home to lunch were now remaining at school through the noon period. The YWCA sometimes noted that a large number of smaller children were accompanying older sisters at centers for teen-agers. Elsewhere, a city with extensive park recreation services noted that in summer increased numbers of children brought lunch and remained through the day; recreation workers were sure the mothers of many of these children were employed. Another indication in several communities that further planning for children is imperative was in the increase in juvenile court cases. That these occur most frequently in congested sections where many low-income families live was again confirmed by a special spot check that social agencies had made of such cases in at least one city visited. Of course, these are families whose economic necessities are the most likely to require the mother's employment, but the unsuitable climate for young people is caused primarily by the overcrowding and other disadvantages suffered among low-income groups.

Other types of family situation were reported where child-care services might have relieved acute problems. In at least one city, numerous cases of aid to dependent children had been closed because the mothers went to work, although it was not known what was done with the children nor whether the family subsequently was in a better position financially. Elsewhere mothers were being disqualified for unemployment compensation if they refused to work because, due to some change in family situation, location, or working hours, they no longer could find suitable child care to enable them to continue working; yet no account was taken of how their families could subsist without their wages.

The plant personnel officers consulted in the various communities almost always reported many mothers among their women employees. It is a frequent policy for the plant, before hiring mothers, to inquire whether child care had been provided, though they may be unable to suggest any available facilities to the mother. In a few cases the plant policy formerly was against hiring married women, but they subsequently found it necessary to abandon or greatly modify this policy when they had to seek an adequate woman labor supply.

CONSTRUCTIVE PLANNING IN COMMUNITIES

In any community seeking to deal adequately with child-care needs, the first necessity is to examine in detail the local situation. In most
of the cities visited some type of committee had been formed to consider the subject, usually composed of school, social welfare, industry, and labor members. In some cases this group had been sponsored by welfare authorities, in others it grew out of the labor-management committees dealing with labor-force needs.

A number of areas had conducted or were making comprehensive surveys. Methods used in estimating the number of mothers who were working or planning to work included question cards through children in the schools, questions of plant employees through personnel departments, or systematic sample canvass of blocks in various home areas of plant employees. Sometimes community agencies such as the YWCA, PTA, social agencies, boys’ clubs, and the like, questioned the groups using their services but this method risked considerable overlapping as well as incompleteness.

Some of the areas that had made surveys had estimated the extent to which new child-care facilities were needed. A few even had gone so far as to explore ways and means of establishing these, agencies that might sponsor them, and locations that might be available for them.

In some places industrial plants were making plans that would enable them to develop and utilize skills of women workers available in the labor force who were beyond the ages usually most desired. It was the general feeling that, in efforts to enlarge local labor supplies, women with young children should not be urged to take jobs until all other available sources of labor had been canvassed. This was stated as a definite womanpower policy during the second World War.

In many communities there is a considerable variation in active opinion regarding the method of meeting the need of working mothers for child care. Some groups strongly urge public support for additional day-care facilities and perhaps advocate State aid, or Federal assistance. At the same time other groups have the belief that it is better for mothers to make their own private arrangements, with the help of family members or otherwise. The latter view sometimes arises from strong traditional customs in the community and may go so far as to question whether in general mothers should enter employment. Costs are another consideration that enters into opinion as to the provision of community facilities for child care.

Where mothers are employed, special care is needed to provide healthful conditions of work and hours of work on a shift fitted so far as possible to their family needs. The community also can plan to provide certain services to aid working mothers with their household duties, such as outside services for laundry and food preparation, and extension of shopping hours.
One of the most marked needs in almost all areas visited is for some central information service that could at least refer inquiring mothers to any available possibilities for child care. This becomes especially pressing at employment services, as well as plant personnel departments, in fact wherever mothers apply for jobs. Such a service could be sponsored by any of the competent public or voluntary community agencies, and should have an effective liaison with the employment services and be known to all plants taking on workers. Such a central agency could be more fully developed into a valuable advisory service for mothers on many of the problems they face in coordinating the demands of their jobs with their household-management responsibilities.

Table 6.—Estimated Nonagricultural Employment of Women in Selected Areas, 1951 and 1952

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Locality and dates</th>
<th>Women in nonagricultural employment</th>
<th>Percent distribution of all women</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>All nonagricultural industries</td>
<td>Manufacturing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>Percent of all employed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NEW ENGLAND</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hartford:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 1951</td>
<td>77,130</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September 1951</td>
<td>78,710</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 1952</td>
<td>72,700</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September 1952</td>
<td>68,100</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Haven:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 1951</td>
<td>45,800</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September 1951</td>
<td>44,340</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 1952</td>
<td>40,620</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September 1952</td>
<td>40,900</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bridgeport:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 1951</td>
<td>40,000</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September 1951</td>
<td>38,900</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 1952</td>
<td>37,800</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September 1952</td>
<td>38,800</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waterbury:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 1951</td>
<td>23,230</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September 1951</td>
<td>23,700</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 1952</td>
<td>24,010</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September 1952</td>
<td>22,000</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stamford-Norwalk:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 1951</td>
<td>24,410</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September 1951</td>
<td>24,830</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 1952</td>
<td>25,020</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September 1952</td>
<td>26,300</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Britain:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 1951</td>
<td>15,000</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September 1951</td>
<td>14,660</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 1952</td>
<td>13,570</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September 1952</td>
<td>12,620</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See end of table for footnotes.
### Table 6.—Estimated Nonagricultural Employment of Women in Selected Areas, 1951 and 1952—Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Locality and dates</th>
<th>All nonagricultural industries</th>
<th>Manufacturing</th>
<th>Percent distribution of all women</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Num­ber</td>
<td>Num­ber</td>
<td>All non-agricultural</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Per­cent of all em­ployed</td>
<td>Per­cent of all em­ployed</td>
<td>Manu­facturing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>INDIANA</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indianapolis:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 1951</td>
<td>96,700</td>
<td>29,330</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September 1951</td>
<td>90,673</td>
<td>27,830</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 1952</td>
<td>93,200</td>
<td>29,600</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Bend:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 1951</td>
<td>30,025</td>
<td>9,625</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September 1951</td>
<td>29,965</td>
<td>9,445</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>**ILLINOIS **</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rockford, Ill:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 1951</td>
<td>19,775</td>
<td>8,875</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September 1951</td>
<td>18,475</td>
<td>8,575</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 1952</td>
<td>25,220</td>
<td>6,920</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September 1952</td>
<td>26,675</td>
<td>8,600</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>OHIO</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Akron:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September 1951</td>
<td>48,030</td>
<td>15,700</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 1952</td>
<td>48,200</td>
<td>15,975</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September 1952</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>16,800</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dayton:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September 1951</td>
<td>59,750</td>
<td>16,700</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 1952</td>
<td>59,400</td>
<td>15,950</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September 1952</td>
<td>58,850</td>
<td>15,450</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MICHIGAN</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PITTSBURGH</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September 1951</td>
<td>117,900</td>
<td>45,000</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 1952</td>
<td>123,000</td>
<td>43,600</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September 1952</td>
<td>125,200</td>
<td>44,000</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**MOUNTAIN STATES**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Locality and dates</th>
<th>All nonagricultural industries</th>
<th>Manufacturing</th>
<th>Percent distribution of all women</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Num­ber</td>
<td>Num­ber</td>
<td>All non-agricultural</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Per­cent of all em­ployed</td>
<td>Per­cent of all em­ployed</td>
<td>Manu­facturing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>COLORADO</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denver:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 1951</td>
<td>66,760</td>
<td>9,300</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September 1951</td>
<td>71,450</td>
<td>9,800</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 1952</td>
<td>70,332</td>
<td>11,735</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pueblo:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September 1951</td>
<td>9,627</td>
<td>786</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 1952</td>
<td>9,170</td>
<td>832</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September 1952</td>
<td>9,437</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See end of table for footnotes.
Table 6.—Estimates of Nonagricultural Employment of Women in Selected Areas, 1951 and 1952—Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Locality and dates</th>
<th>All nonagricultural industries</th>
<th>Manufacturing</th>
<th>Percent distribution of all women</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>Percent of all employed</td>
<td>Number</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **SOUTHWESTERN STATES**

**Texas**

Dallas:
- May 1951: 78,963 35 16,663 27 100 21 32 22 25
- September 1951: 81,394 35 17,811 27 100 22 31 22 25
- March 1952: 84,338 35 18,624 28 100 22 32 22 24
- September 1952: 86,578 35 19,965 27 100 22 32 21 25

**WEST COAST STATES**

**California**

Los Angeles:
- May 1951: 494,400 32 115,500 24 100 23 28 23 21
- September 1951: 514,300 32 124,500 25 100 24 26 25 27
- March 1952: 532,800 32 146,300 26 100 27 25 27 20
- September 1952: 550,500 32 154,500 27 100 28 26 26 20

San Francisco-Oakland:
- May 1951: 236,000 35 37,400 19 100 13 29 27 31
- September 1951: 265,000 32 44,000 20 100 15 30 27 28
- March 1952: 253,400 35 40,000 20 100 14 31 28 27
- September 1952: 304,800 34 53,000 24 100 17 30 26 27

San Diego:
- May 1951: 48,150 32 9,450 23 100 20 23 26 21
- September 1951: 53,500 34 11,400 26 100 21 30 26 21
- March 1952: 56,900 34 14,500 28 100 26 27 27 20
- September 1952: 59,100 35 14,500 27 100 25 26 27 20

**Washington**

Seattle:
- March 1951: 91,370 35 11,900 17 100 13 37 28 22
- September 1951: 96,170 36 13,800 19 100 15 35 28 21
- March 1952: 94,350 36 13,620 19 100 14 36 28 22
- September 1952: 89,410 36 14,490 19 100 15 36 26 21

1 The sharp changes here are attributed partly to variation in sampling; hence comparisons in the text are made for the spring months.
2 September 1952 figures are not shown, as they reflect still persisting effects of the steel strike.
3 Reports not available for spring of 1951.
4 In all the Akron reports "service" includes "finance, insurance, and real estate," a group shown separately in all the other areas.
5 In Pueblo, the low percentage of women in manufacturing is probably due to the fact reported by the Women's Bureau field representative who visited the area, that "ordnance" is not included in manufacturing (as it is in other areas), but is in "all other."

Source: United States Employment Service Reports, including wage and salary workers only. (Self-employed, domestics, and unpaid family workers are excluded.) Reports were not available for all periods from this agency for Gary, Colorado Springs, Idaho Falls, or Burlington, Iowa. Spring 1951 reports are used for March where available, otherwise for May.

NEW ENGLAND STATES

Connecticut

Connecticut communities are keenly aware of the needs of working mothers for the care of their children. Connecticut is well up among the States in number of day-care centers, nearly 90 being reported for 1950 in the 1951 Merrill-Palmer Directory. The Women's Bureau
visited Connecticut cities at some time between March 1951 and the end of the year. Most of these visits were made earlier than to other areas, and hence the information on child care is less current than elsewhere, though the data in table 6 carry the employment status to the fall of 1952.

Included are six cities important in metal and electrical manufacturing and, hence, likely to have defense contracts. Four of these had active child-care committees. In all of them councils of social agencies were taking the lead in gathering facts or obtaining surveys of the current evidences as to extent of employment of mothers and demands for day care for their children. In at least three cities, school authorities were making a complete check as to number of children whose mothers were employed. There appeared to be a close connection between community activities related to day care and the proportion of women in the labor force. Many of the employers interviewed reported large proportions of their women workers were married, and some substantiated this fully with figures.

In several of these cities women constituted a larger proportion of the nonagricultural labor force than in most other localities visited. In several of them, much larger proportions of the nonagricultural women workers were in manufacturing than in cities visited in any other part of the country. (See table 6.) In some of them there were declines in women’s employment from the spring to the fall of 1951. There was some planning for industrial expansion, but there was little evidence of an emergency situation. It was usual for job applications at employment offices, especially among women, to outrun the available jobs. Shortages existed for clerical workers and men with high skills in manufacturing, but the supply of unskilled and semiskilled workers was far above the demand. In all but one of these Connecticut cities women’s employment declined from the fall of 1951 to the fall of 1952, though in some the number in manufacturing increased.

Most of the existing nonprofit day-care centers had waiting lists, though a few were not filled to capacity. This may have been for a variety of reasons, such as hours or locations unsatisfactory for working mothers. In some of these localities where large proportions of the working population were of foreign origin, there was a tendency not to use public day-care facilities but rather to leave children with relatives or neighbors. The schools often were overcrowded, and there were several expressions of the opinion that more recreational plans were needed, in particular for children of the ages of 6 to 10. The extent of commercial day-care facilities was not known, as these are not licensed by the State though they must secure health certification.
The employment of women in Hartford had increased by a third from 1940 to 1950, according to census figures. (See table 5.) The proportion of women workers to all workers had increased somewhat, to 34 percent in 1950. When first visited by the Women's Bureau in 1951, Employment Service reports for the area indicated that shortages of women existed in clerical occupations, though there continued to be more women applicants for industrial jobs than could be placed. Shortages of manufacturing workers at the higher-skill levels, largely in machinery industries, are likely to be almost entirely of men. The summer labor supply of women was well above the demand. In the fall of 1951 the supply of women and the number of unemployment claims were increasing, and in December there still were plenty of women available "at the entry level." Many women worked the second-shift hours 3:30 p.m. to midnight.

When Hartford was revisited by the Women's Bureau in the fall of 1952, the general situation as to women's employment was found to be much the same as in 1951. The area continues to be classified as one of the few acute labor-shortage areas in the country, but this is largely because of shortages in certain skills in heavy industries. There remain sufficient numbers of women in the labor market to meet any needs short of an extreme emergency.

Employment Service figures showed a decline from 1951 to 1952 in the employment of women in nonagricultural occupations (except household work). However, the 68,000 women workers in 1952 were a larger proportion of the nonagricultural labor force (36 percent) than in almost any city visited outside Connecticut. (See table 6.) At least a part of the explanation for this is that the city is the State capital and perhaps the largest insurance office center in the world, and thus requires especially great numbers of workers in the clerical and allied occupations. In manufacturing, the area is a recognized center for precision products, particularly in the metal trades, and includes large plants making typewriters (labor force about 35 percent women), precision instruments, machine tools, aircraft engines (labor force about 20 percent women), propellers, and firearms. Several new plants have been located in the area since World War II, and in the early summer of 1951 Employment Service reports indicated that many large plants were approaching their World War II peak and had a much larger potential ahead.

There were two public day-care centers which provided for working mothers' children. One, under the Community Chest, had in the spring of 1951 an enrollment of 102, largely Negro children, and was open from 7 a.m. to 4:45 p.m. It was full to capacity, and new
applicants in July must wait until December for places. The other center was under the board of education and had in the spring an enrollment of 45, chiefly white children, with hours 8 to 5. It was not full to capacity in the spring, but had a waiting list by summer. The other center referred children to it, but its later opening hour may not have been satisfactory or its location may not have been suited to needs of the mothers requesting service. Where there is only one parent in the home, and that parent must work, children are admitted to this center on application. If there is more than one wage earner, or if the mother is ill, recommendation for admission is necessary from the department of public welfare. Fees for both these centers were $6 to $9 a week, depending on ability to pay. There were 22 commercial centers having 474 children in the spring of 1951, also full to capacity. Their fees ran from $2.50 to $21.50 a week, depending on the length of time the child spent in the center and other factors.

Although several new plants have been located in the area since World War II, the Employment Service reports as to the continuing surplus in the woman labor supply in the Hartford area might seem to indicate little need for increased day-care facilities. However, such a conclusion would lose sight of the insufficiency of the existing facilities to meet the demand for their use, and of the evidences of growth in this demand. The Employment Service reported in July increases not only in requests for part-time jobs, but also in requests for help at home to care for children. On the other hand a spot check this agency made was interpreted as revealing little need for expansion of day-care facilities. The large aircraft-engine plant reported, from exit interviews with women leaving its service over the first 6 months of 1951, that 15 women had left because they could not get child care, and 28 others because of home responsibilities. One of the large insurance companies reported an increase in married women workers as living costs advanced. This company takes women with children under 6 years of age only if evidence can be shown that satisfactory care has been provided for these children.

The community welfare council organized a day-care committee as early as the fall of 1950, with representatives from welfare, education, labor, industry, the Employment Service, the juvenile court, and church groups. A school survey in the fall of 1951 included 11,800 children in the kindergarten through the sixth grade in 18 schools, and its findings were most revealing. They showed that 24 percent of these children had mothers who were employed all day, in 5 schools 30 percent or more. The schools of the area are so overcrowded that they are unable to provide any further extensions of service.

The committee continued very active in securing information and exchange of data between agencies in the community. In 1952 it
studied applications for day care to discover sections of the city from which they chiefly come. Its reports also showed 41 foster family day-care homes caring for 40 children in the fall of 1952, but with capacity to serve 72.

NEW HAVEN

There was little change in the employment of women in New Haven in the decade 1940–50. Likewise, the proportion women constituted of all workers, 34 percent in 1950, showed little change from 1940. (See table 5.) Employment Service reports showed about 41,000 women workers in New Haven in 1952 in nonagricultural occupations (except household work). Although this was a decline from 1951, women were 35 percent of the nonagricultural labor force, a proportion larger than in most areas visited outside Connecticut. (See table 6.)

The population of the area includes many of Italian origin. In manufacturing, this area is characterized by relatively small plants—of its 500 firms, only 7 employ more than 1,000 workers. Some of the larger plants produce hardware, electrical appliances, arms, rubber goods, and corsets. In five of these, the labor force in the summer of 1951 included about 35 percent women. Employment managers testified to the large proportion of married women among their employees—in some cases as high as 60 percent of the women. Each asks the employment applicant about care of children under 6 years of age.

The area had four centers of day care for children of working mothers. Two of these were operated by Catholic schools, taking primarily Italian children. Their enrollment in the fall of 1951 was 128, with a waiting list almost a third as great. The other two had an endowment of long standing, and were aided by the Community Chest. The larger of these was 80 years old, and the second was opened recently by it in a Negro housing project. Together they cared for 115 children (45 in the Negro development) and had a waiting list of nearly half as many. Recently 30 families had formed a cooperative and were appealing for foundation funds to open a center for 250 children, largely of the ages 6 to 10, with a fee of $1.50 a week per child. It was reported that there was a mushrooming of commercial centers, with new ones reported weekly.

Plans for the new center, growth of private agencies, waiting lists at the public agencies, and in some plants the large proportions of the women workers who were married indicate need for further day-care facilities. The general opinion of interviewers at the Employment Service was that job applicants are in need of work to meet family living costs. The director of the endowed nurseries was eager to see
new centers opened in sections of the city where delinquency was high. A school social worker reported that practically all the children with behavior problems come from homes where the mother works (although this is only one element in their unsatisfactory family situations).

The community was alive to the importance of developing further information. School authorities planned to survey the extent to which school children’s mothers are at work, and a similar question was to be asked registrants of a large boys’ club. The family service agency was planning to get a similar report of some 400 families handled by case workers, and the council of social agencies was seeking to prepare an accurate directory of the many homes newly taking children on a commercial basis.

The importance of greater facilities for child care seemed evident in spite of the fact that in the late summer and early fall of 1951 there was considerable questioning as to how fully employment opportunities in the area would develop. Business executives felt they had few defense contracts and that the high costs tended to cut civilian spending so that they feared layoffs. Thirteen industries with defense contracts showed little employment increase. The applications of women for jobs far outran the available openings in the late summer of 1951. The only occupation group in short supply was that of skilled clerical workers. There were more than 22 women job seekers for every unskilled job open. In mid-September, 60 percent of the unemployment insurance claims were women’s, and the number was greater than a year earlier.

BRIDGEPORT

Bridgeport is a large center for the manufacture of metal products, small arms, and electrical supplies, with a new aircraft-parts plant. There was little change from 1940 to 1950, either in the number of women employed or the proportion they constitute of the labor force, according to census figures. (See table 5.) The population is 40 percent foreign speaking, and one large plant reported 20 languages spoken among its workers. Employment Service estimates showed about 39,000 women in nonagricultural employment (except household work) in Bridgeport, with little change from the fall of 1951 to the fall of 1952. (See table 6.) An unusually large proportion of these women workers (56 percent) are in manufacturing industries, compared to all cities visited in other sections of the country.

There were four child-care centers operated under the Community Chest. At the time reported, in September 1951, none was running to capacity; one had a small waiting list, the others none. A total of about 90 children was reported in three centers. One was located in a
school building, though it was directed by the city welfare department, and formerly had had Lanham Act funds. It was probable that with such a large foreign population, children were cared for to a large extent by relatives or neighbors. There were no records of private homes giving care to a small number of children on a commercial basis. School officers stated that space could be made available in schools, either for extended school services or day-care centers under other auspices.

There was evidence of some industrial growth, with some new contracts in prospect and one new plant planning a large labor-force increase during a year's period. On the other hand, one of the largest plants had removed a considerable section of its production to another city. The area had the largest number of applicants for unemployment compensation in the State. Over 60 percent of these applicants were women, though a year previously the applications of men and women were equally divided. Women also are over 60 percent of the Employment Service applicants for jobs, with about eight women applicants for every available job.

School authorities thought it probable that there was a need for extended school services, with especial attention to the recreational requirements of school-age children. A check was planned in certain schools in sections of the city having large working populations, to obtain a count of number of mothers employed through the day; and also a check through the registration cards issued by the director of the boys' club.

WATERBURY

The number of women workers in Waterbury had increased by a tenth from 1940 to 1950, according to census reports. (See table 5.) These women were about a third of all workers, a proportion slightly higher than the 31 percent for all urban areas in the country. Employment Service estimates for the fall of 1952 showed 22,000 women in nonagricultural occupations (except household employment) in Waterbury, with some decline from the fall of 1951. (See table 6.) Principal products of the city's manufacturing are copper and brass goods, clocks, watches, and time devices. The proportion of women nonagricultural workers who are in manufacturing (63 percent) is larger than in any other city visited, except one other in Connecticut. Three of the largest manufacturing plants had 2,200 women, who were 20 percent of their workers. Women from Waterbury also commute to two large plants producing rubber goods and time devices at nearby Naugatuck. Almost 2,700 women were reported employed in one of these in the fall of 1951. At least two-thirds of the women in the labor force in each of these plants were married. Many of
employed mothers and child care

these married women worked on the second shift. Some brought their children to the plants and turned them over to the fathers who were just leaving the first shift.

The city has one public day nursery caring for 45 to 47 children. It had a waiting list of 75 in the summer, which later decreased as there was some employment slump at the end of 1951. It has a scale of fees based on ability to pay, with a maximum of $6 a week. There are four private day-care centers having 175 children, and operating from 6:30 or 7:30 a.m. to 5 p.m. The public schools have no nursery or after-school programs, but a State school official advised planning services for the 6- to 12-year children.

The child-care committee of World War II was reactivated early in 1951, and includes representatives of education, social work, industry, and labor. The committee planned to survey all schools, ascertaining the number whose mothers were employed, their working hours, and number and ages of children at home. Even though there was some slump at the end of 1951, several plants had plans for growth. Further, the girls' club in the city had increased markedly in the fall of 1951, had 800 members aged 8 to 10, and a waiting list of 400.

New Britain

The number of women workers in New Britain had increased by more than a fourth from 1940 to 1950, according to census reports. (See table 5.) In the same time, their proportion among all workers had increased from 29 to 34 percent. Estimates of the Employment Service for 1952 showed nearly 13,000 women nonagricultural workers (exclusive of household employees). Both in total nonagricultural employment and among manufacturing workers, the number of women had declined from the preceding year. (See table 6.) A larger proportion of the women nonagricultural workers than in any other city visited were in manufacturing occupations (65 percent). Electrical supplies, tools, hardware, refrigerators, and washing machines are among products made in New Britain. Several firms reported that a large proportion of their women workers were married—where figures were available, about two-thirds.

At the time of the Women's Bureau report in July 1951, one public child-care center was operating with 47 children and no vacancies, supported by the Community Chest, with Junior League aid. The fee was $5.50 to $12 a week and the hours, 7:30 a.m. to 5:30 p.m. A privately endowed center of 20 years' standing, having had active and inactive periods in its history, had been closed since 1948 when it had been operating to capacity with Lanham Act aid and had had a waiting list. Half the children then served were from broken homes, and
some had to be put in foster homes. The general hospital had opened a new child-care center for its employees early in July 1951, to meet the shortage of nurses and reduce terminations due to home responsibilities for children. It had a capacity of 40, started with 12, operated from 8 a.m. to 5 p.m. and charged $5 for 3 days, $7 for 5½ days. There was one commercial center in New Britain in the summer of 1951 with 42 children, not its full capacity. It operated only half the day and had a fee of $3 a week.

The council of social agencies had a child-care committee, which made a survey that revealed no increase in requests. There is a large Polish population, whose mothers when employed tend to leave children with relatives or neighbors. However, large proportions of job applicants at the Employment Service wanted part-time work, and industrialists felt that more women workers would be needed. Some thought increased absenteeism might be due to increase in employment of mothers, though there were no figures to support this. Social workers almost universally point out that low-income families often are living in crowded and unsanitary accommodations and are subject to many unfavorable influences; also the mother in such families frequently works. Among the 400 or 500 delinquency cases in the juvenile court of the county, the proportion of children with both parents at work fluctuated from time to time—it had increased from 33 percent in 1943 to 35 percent in 1948, and from 32 percent in 1949 to 40 percent in 1950. The director of the boys' club planned to include in his registration card for the fall a question on whether the mother was working.

STAMFORD

The number of women workers in Stamford in 1950 had increased by more than half above 1940, according to census reports. Women were 32 percent of all workers, a proportion much the same as in 1940, and as that of women in urban areas throughout the country in 1950. (See table 5.) In the Stamford-Norwalk area Employment Service estimates for the fall of 1952 showed 26,000 women workers in non-agricultural employment (except in households), an increase from 1951. (See table 6.) About half these women were in manufacturing, a larger proportion than in most areas visited. Characteristic manufacturing industries make metal products, and most of the plants are relatively small. In the entire area only four firms have over 1,000 workers.

There is one nonprofit day-nursery supported by the Community Chest with assistance from the Junior League. When visited by the Women's Bureau in the fall of 1951 it had enrolled 78 children and could have handled more but had no waiting list. Fees were from $5.50 to $12 a week, and hours 7:30 a.m. to 5:30 p.m.
It was thought a considerable proportion of the women workers were married, though exact figures were not available. Several personnel directors who had been investigating employment opportunities thought that some small industries would come into the area, but one of the larger firms expected to make some layoffs before the end of 1951. The applications of women for jobs exceeded the demand for workers during the summer by almost 4 to 1. The only shortages were in clerical occupations and some skilled factory jobs for men.

The community council had organized a child-care committee in the spring of 1951. The committee was making a spot check in two areas to obtain some data on child-care needs—one a low-cost housing, and one a moderate-income area. Results of one of these had been tabulated at the time of the Women's Bureau visit. In half the homes the committee interviewed the mother was working, and in others the mothers desired to take jobs. School authorities were cooperating with the committee. The chairman was of the opinion that the school-age children were the most neglected group.

**MIDWESTERN STATES**

**Indiana**

The three areas reported in this State were of a highly developed industrial character, but were not tight labor-market areas in mid-1951 at the time visited by the Women's Bureau, and the employment of women declined from 1951 to 1952. They had large population groups who were foreign-born or first-generation citizens, and they had drawn and still could draw a supply of Negro labor from Southern States. The proportions of the employees who were women were smaller in two of these areas (Gary and South Bend) than in the United States as a whole. Consequently, women not in the labor force constituted a large labor reserve. Furthermore, in these cities heavy industries such as steel and automobiles predominate, requiring chiefly a male labor force and giving small opportunity for women workers.

In spite of this general situation, there was a considerable demand for child care apparent, as elsewhere most largely among those in lower income levels. The opinion was held by some that more facilities were needed for mothers who had to work to aid in family support. However, there also was an element of opinion in these communities that opposed spending public money for child-care centers, and felt that further facilities of this type might encourage more women to enter a labor force already overcrowded with surplus labor. The State department of public welfare licenses child-care centers, formerly done on a county basis; but the State funds had been cut and it had not been possible to check on centers in the year visited.
INDIANAPOLIS

One of the areas visited in Indiana was Indianapolis, in which 77,700 women were employed in 1950. (See table 5.) This was an increase of more than a third above 1940. The proportion women were of all workers had risen from 28 percent in 1940 to 32 percent in 1950; it was thus in 1950 very similar to the 31 percent in urban areas throughout the United States. Current estimates of women in nonagricultural employment showed a slight decline from the fall of 1951 to the fall of 1952 (see table 6), though the number of women in manufacturing, and consequently the proportion women constituted of all manufacturing workers, had increased.

Electrical manufacturing and textiles were large employers of women in this city, but the number in these industries had declined slightly over a year's time. However, one important electrical plant was running an overtime shift of 6 hours on Saturdays. Others were running two shifts. One of these refused to employ women unless they could work on any shift as needed, but tried to put husband and wife on the same shift if desired. Considerable numbers of women also were at work in automobile manufacture.

Community funds were operating three child-care centers, and eight private (commercial) day nurseries had been licensed. Apparently a smaller number of children were cared for in each of about 40 homes, since it was reported that a total of 51 facilities had been licensed.

Varied opinion as to the need for added child-care facilities reflected conflicting opinions in regard to the seriousness of labor-market problems in the city. Industry and the chamber of commerce, while foreseeing a moderate growth in employment, also recognized continuing access to a labor supply from depressed areas of Tennessee, Kentucky, and West Virginia, making it unnecessary to draw heavily on the available surplus of women. On the other hand, there seemed some evidence that workers from trade and service occupations were entering factories, and social workers believed that more women than generally supposed were newly entering retail trade, laundries, and other services. Furthermore, representatives of some businesses and unions considered lack of child-care facilities a deterrent to employment of more women and a serious cause of turnover and absenteeism. One firm that ran its own day nursery during World War II was considering the necessity of doing so again. The council of social agencies was organizing a committee of industry, school, and social agency personnel, and planned to survey available resources and needs.

SOUTH BEND

The 1950 census reported 23,900 women workers in South Bend, an increase of more than a third above 1940. Women constituted
EMPLOYED MOTHERS AND CHILD CARE

a smaller proportion of the labor force in this area (27 percent) than in United States urban areas as a whole (31 percent in 1950). (See table 5.) In manufacturing industries, the proportion of women was smaller in South Bend than in most of the other areas visited. (See table 6.) A major aviation plant reported its total labor force as only 13 percent women, its factory operations only 10 percent.

There is considerable diversity of industry in this city, the major manufacturing groups being automotive and aviation, with rubber and apparel also of importance. There was no serious labor shortage, though some skills were in short supply. There was a surplus of workers to draw on as well as many married women who might be called on, and in addition there was a steady in-migration of families from surplus-labor States to the South. No new industries were currently coming into the area, and there was little sign of unusual industrial expansion. The community includes many families of Polish and Hungarian origin.

There are no public day-care centers in this city, and the one private (commercial) day nursery was reported "full to bursting." There are a number of private homes that can serve a total of about 40 children. Mothers frequently get neighbors to care for children, but there have been cases where there was no care, usually between shifts when one parent is en route home, the other to the plant. The schools have had no extended services, even during World War II, and were not planning for them. No industrial plant organized a day-care center during the war, so far as known. An effort was made to establish a public center during the war, but it was not fully used. The council of community services has a committee on foster care and day care, but it has not been active.

There seems to be a widespread feeling in the community for letting families and industry handle their own problems. Employers see little need for public child-care facilities. At least one of the largest plants has a general policy that their women employees will be only the single and widowed, as they believe them to be more stable workers than married women. If there were children, an effort was made to find out about their care before hiring. There is labor opinion that industry will need more women, including many who are married, and that child-care centers should be organized. The surplus of unskilled and semiskilled labor, with the type of industry prevailing and the lack of plans for new industry, gives little evidence that the demand for women workers will increase to any great extent. This is the basis for a strong opinion in the community that to provide child-care arrangements might create more problems than it would solve; there are also objections to expenditure of public funds except in hardship cases. Social agencies see need to reserve existing child-care
facilities to be used by deserted wives and lower income groups, and in family emergencies.

On the other hand, with recent advancing costs, the social case-work load has increased considerably in families that might solve their problems if the mother could find care for her children so she could take a job. In three recent cases women have been disqualified for unemployment compensation when they turned down employment because, due to some change in their family situation or work hours, they no longer were able to get child care. Many wards of the court, under jurisdiction of the welfare department, come from broken homes where the mother must work. The social welfare, YWCA, and other agencies get numerous inquiries every week about availability of day-care facilities. The family welfare service advises some mothers who inquire about child care to apply for license to care for children instead of taking jobs away from home. They believe a rise in fees would encourage this, though there would be the problem as to how much a working mother could afford.

GARY

The type of industry prevailing in Gary offers women few factory employment opportunities, except for some hosiery manufacture. As the home of the largest integrated steel plant in the world and of related heavy industry, Gary's economic life is dominated by industries that employ few women. The population is about equally divided among in-migrant native white families, Negro families from southern surplus-labor States, and the families of men who came from Central or Southern Europe from 1910 to World War I to work in the steel mills.

The 1950 census reported 13,800 working women living in Gary, an increase of about two-thirds from the 1940 number. These constituted only 24 percent of the labor force, a proportion smaller than in any other city or area visited. (See table 5.)

Possible employment outlets for Gary women, other than Chicago, would be in other cities in the Calumet area. These are Hammond, a high-income residential city; East Chicago, with expanding industry but inadequate housing space; Whiting; and La Porte with Kingsbury Ordnance. However, each of these cities had its own pool of unemployed women competing for jobs when the Women's Bureau visited Gary late in 1951.

There are no public facilities for day care of children in Gary. There were three or four set up with Lanham Act funds during World War II, but later discontinued. There is one excellent center in Hammond and also in East Chicago. One of the Gary settlement houses
includes in its program for all ages and groups in the community a morning nursery school and an afternoon play period running to 3 p.m., and in these it can accommodate 20 preschool children. There are two commercial day nurseries in Gary, with a maximum capacity of 40 children; both are fairly expensive. Gary has five day-care homes, licensed to serve a small number of children, and there are six others in the Calumet area. These have a capacity averaging three children each and charge an average of $2 a day for care of a child, $3 if they have a planned program for the children. There are no extended school services, and there seem to be no cooperative nurseries.

The Gary community welfare council analyzed the problem of day-care centers in 1950 and decided the city needed about four. However, neither funds nor space were available to set them up. As in other communities, cleavage of opinion existed between those who for traditional or other reasons felt it unwise to do anything that might encourage mothers to work and those who recognized the intensive economic pressures that impelled many mothers to work.

Two or three years previously there were almost twice as many licensed day-care homes as at the end of 1951. It was thought that many of those who formerly conducted them had later to take outside jobs themselves, and possibly others were not qualified to obtain licenses. Social agencies were concerned that many of the children formerly in these homes might now be cared for by informal arrangement not advantageous to the children. Also, complaints were reported as to activities of both school-age and younger children in some of the more crowded sections of the city.

The children's division of the Lake County welfare department was currently having the greatest number of cases in its history. These resulted from varied economic and social problems including overcrowding, substandard housing, and low family income that impelled the mother to work. Although a surplus of woman labor was available at the time, there was some indication of growth in industries that would afford women increased opportunities for employment, and this may intensify the child-care needs in the city and the area.

FORT WAYNE

In Fort Wayne, though not visited by the Women's Bureau in this period, the Child Welfare League of America, in a survey of eight cities, reported that in a 5-month period early in 1950 applications had increased 30 percent for day-care services for children of mothers who had to work.

Illinois and Iowa

In the fall of 1951 the Women's Bureau made visits to a number of important manufacturing centers in Illinois and Iowa where de-
fense activities were expanding the woman labor force, or appeared likely to expand it. The largest cities in these States were excluded but visits were made to Rockford, Ill., Burlington, Iowa, and the "Quad Cities" of Davenport, Iowa, and Moline, East Moline, and Rock Island, Ill.

Both Iowa and Illinois have State laws providing for licensing of child-care institutions by the State welfare department. In Iowa child-care agencies of all types are licensed if they care for more than 2 children. Day-care centers with over 25 children enrolled are supervised by a child-welfare consultant who is the agent of the State for a district composed of several counties. Smaller centers are supervised by the county, though licensed by the State.

A recent Illinois law requires a State license for any day-care home taking more than four children and for any foster home taking one or more. The following summary indicates the chief specifications the State makes for such homes, which may be considered fairly typical of recommended standards.

Space: For each child 35 square feet, with one-eighth floor space windows, 75 square feet of outdoor play space, quarters for isolation of those taken ill.

Program and care: Constructive daytime program, one adult for 5 or 6 children, physical examination for child, hot lunch, other health and sanitation standards.

Sleeping space: For each child small cot with 2 feet between cots; only children of same parents and same sex in one bed.

The Merrill-Palmer Directory reported 227 nursery schools and child-care centers in Illinois in 1950, of which 151 were private commercial agencies, 40 were maintained by the communities, and 17 by churches, 8 were cooperative, and a few were child-research centers or agencies of other character. (See table 4.) In Iowa, the directory reported 33 nursery schools and child-care centers, 14 of them community agencies and 13 private commercial undertakings.

**ROCKFORD, ILL.**

Rockford is a community that for many years has had a high degree of economic stability. This is based chiefly on two characteristics—a national reputation for skilled production of machine tools and patterns, so complicated and precise as to be almost individually developed, and an underlying diversity of industry with many small shops employing not over 100 persons. With a labor market consistently rather tight, especially for men with highly developed metalwork skills, Rockford has had no new industries since before World War II, except for an ordnance plant. The products made in the area, other than machine tools and related metal products, include farm implements, gas stoves, locks, hardware for buildings, tin containers, textiles, clothing, and furniture. At the time of the Women's Bureau
visit in late 1951, the ordnance plant was being reactivated. A number of subcontracts and two large contracts for shell making had been let to the local firms and more were expected.

The 14,000 women workers in Rockford reported in the 1950 census represented an increase of almost a third above 1940. They constituted 32 percent of the area’s labor force, about the same proportion as the 31 percent in all urban areas in the United States. (See table 5.) Not far from half the women nonagricultural workers were manufacturing employees—a proportion considerably larger than in other areas reported here, except for several in Connecticut. (See table 6.) Employment of women had declined somewhat in all nonagricultural industries from the fall of 1951 to the fall of 1952. Even in the fall of 1951, there were 1,200 women available for work, including many who would go to work if they could find adequate care for their children. Others among those seeking work were over 50 years of age, and still others were inexperienced in-migrants from southern Illinois, Missouri, and Arkansas, some of them Negroes. Reports as to employed married women are incomplete, but in two plants employing nearly 2,000 women it was estimated that over 60 percent were married.

*Day-care services for children.*—The Rockford Community Chest sponsors the Rockford Day Nursery Association, a service association that has been in existence over 30 years, which in turn administers one day nursery providing services for 75 children from 2 to 5 years of age, and with hours from 6:30 a.m. to 5:30 p.m. The chest also supports five community centers, some of which conduct nursery schools. The children in the day nursery ordinarily come from families where both parents must work, or from families supported by only one parent, usually by the mother, but in a few cases, where the mother is ill, by the father. Fees range from $1 to $10 a week per child.

The five centers supported by the Community Chest are located in congested areas, and two of them that have nursery schools could expand to give full-day service. They take children for a morning or an afternoon session at a charge of $1 a week for lunches. They informally carry some children through the day at a charge of $6 a week. One of these has 34 Negro children aged 2 to 5, about two-thirds of whom stay only half the day, either because of the cost, or because in this crowded area there may be someone at home to look after them. The other agency has 68 children 5 years of age for a half-day kindergarten session.

Besides these chest-supported facilities, a church group has organized a cooperative nursery school which takes 27 children 3 to 5 years old for a half-day session. The fee is $12 a month plus two mornings a month to be given by the mother, and spare time by fathers to con-
struct equipment. Though not well-adapted to serve working mothers, this nursery school had a full-time director and a part-time staff and could be expanded as dictated by the needs of the middle-income area where it is located.

Aside from the day nurseries and nursery schools mentioned, a total of 23 day-care homes in the city and 2 others located just outside the city are licensed to take small numbers. In all, 53 children are enrolled in these. When visited by the Bureau representative, however, officers of the State welfare department felt sure they had not yet learned of all such homes that should come under the recently revised State licensing law.

No plans had been made for extended school services in Rockford. School funds did not permit making such plans. It was believed space would be available for such services if they could be financed, although most schools in the county are so crowded that schooling has to be limited to half-days for children through the third, and sometimes the fourth, grade.

Evidences of need and future plans.—The long waiting list of the Rockford day nursery appears to indicate existing need of greater day-care facilities, which would be far from met even with the opening of a proposed new center. The director of the welfare group of the churches reported that frequently the mother in low-income families who most needed to earn was unable to do so because there was no care available for her child.

Requests for foster-home care through the juvenile court had increased, and in 1951 probation officers reported that many parents are forced to this expedient if the mother must work to help support the family and her working hours do not permit use of neighborhood facilities for child care. In one morning, requests for such relief had come to the court from eight families. The case load of juvenile delinquency problems among minors also had risen greatly in 1951 over 1950, and of the 617 children affected in the first 9 months of 1951 over a fourth had mothers at work.

Industrial plant officials felt child care was becoming a serious problem. A chief department store reported difficulty with absenteeism and turnover among employees who were mothers of small children. Several manufacturing plants sought, before employing mothers, to be sure care of children was provided for. Some expanded need for women workers was expected as the ordnance plant came into production and subcontracts elsewhere drained labor from existing plants. The available single women already were at work, for the most part, and more married women undoubtedly would be needed. This pointed to the need for expanding the already inadequate child-care facilities, and efforts were being made to do this.
The council of social agencies has had two active committees whose work touched this subject—one examining the overlapping of agencies and gaps that need to be filled, the other examining the foster-care situation. In addition, a survey was being made of the child-care needs of women job applicants at the employment service offices, since a private nursery was applying for a license and funds. In order to check more fully on the child-care facilities that should come under the revised State law, the State child welfare division has arranged with newspapers that any woman wishing to place an advertisement offering child care is referred to the child welfare division for clearance. The agency has also established a small file of cleared day-care homes and refers women inquiring about facilities to one of these homes. Officials of the child welfare division believe that it would not be difficult to expand this type of file and improve informational services to mothers if adequate staff were provided.

THE “QUAD CITIES”

The Iowa city of Davenport faces the smaller Illinois cities of Rock Island, Moline, and East Moline across the Mississippi, with the Rock Island arsenal in mid-river. This area has aspects of economic unity, with labor needs, flow of workers, and plant representation interlocking. Inevitably many of the activities and problems in such an area will affect all four cities. On the other hand, each of these “quad cities” is a vital unit in itself, with its own customs and with its own individual system of government. This results in part from the fact that two States are represented, with differing interests, laws, and agencies.

The Iowa and the Illinois parts of the area also differ considerably in types of industries and extent of employment of women. The Illinois side, with its three cities, has three-fourths of the area’s industry, dominated in general by the heavier industries, chiefly numerous plants of three large agricultural implement companies and a Government arsenal. Its employment of women is relatively small in proportion to total employment. The Iowa side, with the largest of the four cities, is the major trading and service section of the area and has a number of woman-employing industries and several new plants of a type likely to employ women. Its labor force includes women in much the same proportion as the average for urban areas in the United States as a whole.

In this single economic area, split by so many barriers, liaison between the two sides of “The River” is effected through cooperative activities of the Employment Service and the Quad-Cities Association of Industries, the actual flow of workers and shoppers back and
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Davenport, Iowa, and Rock Island, Moline, and East Moline, Ill.
forth across the two toll bridges and the free "government bridge" to
the arsenal, many courtesy and invitational exchanges of service-
club and trade-association personnel, the area labor-management com-
mittee, and informal activities of various sorts.

The entire "Quad-Cities" area had 26,500 women workers in 1950. (See table 5.) This was an increase in employment of women of
almost 40 percent above 1940; the proportion of women in the labor
force had risen from 23 percent in 1940 to 27 percent in 1950.

There appears to be little change in number of women workers since
1950. Women were about a fifth of the workers in manufacturing,
a proportion smaller than in most cities reported here. (See table 6.)
This is due to the predominance of heavy industry in a large part
of the area. The available supply of women seeking work exceeded
opportunities for them and the Quad-Cities Association of Indus-
tries, which had its own manpower committee functioning informally,
thought the area would benefit by having a new large industry that
would employ a considerable number of women.

DAVENPORT, IOWA

Davenport is the largest city in the "Quad-Cities" area, with a
population not far from 75,000. It has a considerable population of
German extraction, many of them retired farmers. Davenport has
the highest proportional utilization of women in the "Quad-Cities"
area, according to the Employment Service director. Census figures
show women as 30 percent of all workers, much the same as in urban
areas in the United States as a whole (31 percent).

The 11,000 women employed in Davenport in 1950 represent an
increase of a third from 1940. (See table 5.) This is the trade and
service center for the "Quad-Cities" area, with a concentration of
department stores and large hotels normally hiring many women.
About a fifth of its women workers, almost 2,500 of them, are in
manufacturing. Industries such as meat packing and electrical equip-
ment employ women extensively. When the Women's Bureau visited
the area in late 1951, the large new electrical supply and aircraft
parts plants recently located in the area had a work force but little
over a tenth women, but these are industries that usually employ
many women. They were expecting to expand rather rapidly and
thought they might eventually need almost 1,000 women. Currently
they were hiring at the gate and had plenty of applicants.

Day-care services for children.—Davenport has for years had an
all-day center for care of working mothers' children, which is oper-
ated by the Industrial Welfare Society (a family-service agency of
long standing). It is in a congested area and has capacity for only
30 children, hence it limits nursery service to children whose mothers
are their only support. Hours are 7:15 a.m. to 5:30 p.m. and fees are adjusted to ability to pay, usually $1 a day. The nursery also allows some young children to come in after school if they formerly had been in the nursery. In addition, the agency assists mothers to find day-care homes that take small numbers. Eleven homes are used for this purpose and three more were being added at the time of the Women's Bureau visit in late 1951. During World War II the agency operated three nurseries in various parts of the city, none of which was used to capacity, and felt referral to homes more satisfactory.

Besides this local agency, the State welfare department has an active branch in the county, which, in cooperation with the Catholic Charities, had 17 homes recommended for use. The agencies were sure that there also were many private arrangements in the area, which were not known to them.

Evidences of need and future plans.—The attention formerly given to day care in Davenport has kept community agencies alive to this subject. Furthermore, the personnel officers of the new airplane parts and electrical supply plants recently located in the area recognized the importance of planning for day care, especially in view of the increased employment of women they were projecting. One of them had begun by employing only single women, then took women having one child, but finally took women with more children, trying first to make sure that day-care arrangements had been made.

Most of the mothers applying to the community agencies for advice and information were young women whose husbands also were employed. Some persons in the community felt progress had been made in persuading employers to employ local women—the older as well as the younger, and including the married—rather than "floater men" from outside the area who might prove less stable.

It was thought that the area had sufficient resources to meet the day-care needs. At the time of the Women's Bureau visit in late 1951, no extended school services had been developed. One plan proposed in conferences of the welfare agencies with the school director of adult education was to arrange child care for mothers taking an evening course leading to employment, at the same time providing a course for women desiring to give child care. From the project could later be developed both a daytime nursery for children of employed mothers and additional homes for day care.

ROCK ISLAND, MOLINE, AND EAST MOLINE, ILL.

Each of the three cities in Rock Island County, on the Illinois side of the river, has a population under or but little over 50,000. Each has its own strong individuality and its own separate systems of
government, schools, transport, public welfare, and its own independent organizations of business men, women's groups, church agencies, and so forth. Populations of differing national origins characterize these separate cities. In Rock Island there is a high percentage of Belgian extraction, many of Swedish and Irish origin, and many Negroes; in Moline the population is predominantly of Swedish origin and there are also large groups of Belgian and Mexican extraction; East Moline has a highly organized Greek-American group. Among the more unifying influences in the county as a whole are offices of the State employment service and the public welfare division.

In the entire area, employment is largely concentrated in numerous plants of three large farm-implement companies and a Government arsenal. The farm-implement plants require heavy metal operations that usually employ only small proportions of women, but in the arsenal about a fifth of the employees are women, though here and in a large Moline agricultural-implement company making aircraft parts, two-thirds of the women workers are in clerical and technical occupations. In spite of this, these plants had about 1,500 women in plant operations. Personnel officers of the arsenal called attention to the fact that at the time of the Women's Bureau visit a sizable proportion of the job applicants who were being taken on were single women who had been school teachers. In several smaller companies in Rock Island making electrical appliances, clothing, and rubber footwear, almost 1,000 women were employed, constituting 60 percent or more of the labor force in these establishments.

Although the arsenal was hiring unskilled women in late 1951 as rapidly as skilled men could be found for key jobs upon which the less skilled operations depend, it was not expected that there would be an appreciable upturn in the employment of women in Rock Island County as a whole. There was a much greater supply of women applicants than of jobs; in a week's time while the Women's Bureau representative was visiting the area fewer than a fifth of the women applicants were hired. Personnel authorities of this plant thought 1,000 women were available before any recruiting would be needed. However, it was pointed out that few single women still were available for appointment. This meant that the supply available consisted largely of the married, and that day-care for children thus would be likely to need consideration. In this period cut-backs in some of the metal plants had occurred due to steel shortage, though this was expected to be only a temporary situation.

Day-care services for children.—In Rock Island County no centers exist for day care of children of working mothers. There are four licensed homes, caring for no more than four children each, which might become a nucleus for establishing centers. The Moline YWCA
offers to care for children of mothers who need to shop or go to the doctor, and the Jewish Center for the county conducts a half-day kindergarten. Aside from a small number of known day-care homes, informal and individual arrangements seem to prevail. The area does not have a high utilization of women, and even at the maximum of World War II employment public facilities did not provide for day care. There was some belief that one reason for lack of attention to child-care provision is that there is a large proportion of long-term residents with relatives and neighbors known as sources of aid to the working mother. On the other hand, numerous hardship cases were reported of mothers having to pay as high as $15 a week for care, and consequent resort of low-income families to the use of foster homes. One mother was reported having to place her child 15 times in as many months.

Evidences of need and future plans.—Some of the employers, including one who had developed counseling for his women employees during the war, and one located in a Mexican and Negro neighborhood, saw the day care of working mothers’ children as an important problem for solution in the community.

Women’s organizations and some school authorities were concerned over the general problems centering around the community’s young people. The State welfare department established a local child welfare division in 1949, and currently a survey of juvenile delinquency and its causes was being made with a view to developing neighborhood councils and centers. Juvenile court cases were concentrated in areas characterized by density of population, substandard housing, and low family incomes that required both parents to work in a large proportion of families.

BURLINGTON, IOWA

Prior to World War II, Burlington, Iowa, had been for 50 years a small, rather homogeneous city of about 35,000 population, about 3,000 of whom were women workers. The city’s relatively stable industrial life was centered chiefly around the Burlington Railroad shops and section office activities. It also had a number of small industrial plants making furniture, soap, men’s and women’s clothing. During World War II, this was one of the urban areas which, originally small, was suddenly enlarged enormously by being chosen as the location of a new Government ordnance plant, in this case one that employed at maximum 10,000 persons, about half women. This precipitated the community into new problems of labor turnover, in- and out-migration, and intensive overcrowding of housing, schools, and all community facilities, including those for care for working mothers’ children. In the spring of 1951 the ordnance plant was re-
vived and its summer demands for a very large number of new workers within a short period of time again placed a prodigious strain on the community.

The 1950 census reported almost 4,000 women employed in Burlington, an increase of 38 percent above 1940. (See table 5.) These women were 30 percent of all workers. Employment of women in nonagricultural occupations (except household employment) was considerably above this in the fall of 1951, when visited by a Women’s Bureau representative. At that time the huge Government ordnance plant, in which over half the workers were women, employed 40 percent of all women nonagricultural employees. Several other industries, most of them small and some of them new after World War II when the ordnance plant had closed, employed about 600 persons, over three-fourths of them women. These included radio parts, television, instruments, and advertising research. It was expected that within less than a year’s time the ordnance plant and several other industries with defense contracts or plans for expansion would need an additional 3,000 women. While many of them might come from other occupations, these would leave vacancies to be filled, largely in service and trade.

Day-care services for children.—The community has one day nursery, a private agency supported by the Community Chest, caring for about 20 children. Designed for the neediest cases, it admits only children whose mothers are their sole support, charging a very small fee. In addition, there are two licensed day-care homes, handling not over 6 or 8 children each, and a private kindergarten that was considering the possibility of undertaking full-time day care. During World War II the county welfare agency had three day-care centers, supported by Lanham Act funds, and some members of the parent-teacher association undertook child care on a cooperative basis.

Currently, there was little doubt that informal neighborhood and family arrangements were made in perhaps hundreds of cases, where one, two, or more children were being cared for. Such arrangements are known to exist in practically every neighborhood in the city, including the predominantly middle-income neighborhoods, but there had been no way to tabulate or investigate them. The average charge appeared to be $2 a day per child with noon lunch furnished.

Foster-home care seems to have been resorted to by some mothers employed on swing shifts and rotating shifts, since few other facilities are available for them. The State welfare department was receiving increased requests for placement of children in homes, and also from persons wishing to operate homes; the number of children in foster homes already had increased.
There is no present program of extended school services, and no space now available for any, though during World War II four preschool and after-school centers were provided, besides a nursery for children under 5 years of age, and a half-day center for younger children.

Evidences of need and future plans.—Those interviewed almost unanimously believed that, although the situation as to day care for working mothers' children did not seem to be acute in the community as a whole in the fall of 1951, it was rapidly becoming so and already was serious in some sections. It was thought that it soon might be the foremost problem in the area if industrial expansion moved toward the expected levels. In the ordnance plant, where turnover was high, half the terminations of women over a 7-month period were voluntary quits, almost all believed to be related to problems concerning community facilities or the dual responsibilities of the married woman worker.

Other plants in the area likewise suffered from high turnover, a considerable portion of which was attributed to child-care problems. In some plants, rotating shifts made it particularly difficult to arrange for child care, and for this reason a number of women found they had to leave and take other jobs at less pay. Personnel officers believed that a central agency to assist mothers in finding adequate day care for their children before taking jobs would be a most helpful community service and an aid in reducing turnover and absenteeism.

That need for day care is closely bound up with many other economic conditions was indicated by the judgment of a probation officer who pointed out basic problems of poverty or low family income. The mother's work in numerous instances, he believed, becomes the more necessary where the number of children is large and the father's earnings insufficient to provide for the family.

A suggestion of quite a different type for minimizing the problem of day care was for the greater utilization of older women. The local supply of women workers within the preferred ages 18–30 years was about exhausted by the late fall of 1951. The reason these ages have been preferred was in part that expert eye-hand dexterity is required by much of the production-line assembly work of new industry. However, the ordnance and other plants do employ a considerable number of older men and women, one of them experimenting especially with methods of utilizing older women with bifocals on some of its close work.

There were evidences that women beyond 30 and beyond 40 were available for work. Nearly half of about 800 job applicants to one large plant were 30 or over, a fourth being 40 or more. The manager of another company had received, in response to a recent advertise-
ment, applications from 50 women of between 50 and 60 years of age, most of them with grown children.

Aside from the problem of reducing turnover and absenteeism in local industry, the following facts give additional concrete evidence that even as early as the autumn of 1951 further day-care facilities were needed in the community, and the plans for future expansion already discussed give strong indications that such needs will increase rather than lessen.

Of nearly 800 new women job applicants reported by one large company, over half had children. Other smaller companies also reported large proportions of their work force consisted of married women.

The one available day nursery always had many more applicants than it could take. While it kept no record of these, it reported many calls of inquiry daily. The welfare department also was receiving requests for information on where child care would be available.

The YWCA was concerned about the number of small children coming to their teen-age center because their mothers are working. This agency also had frequent calls as to availability of child care—about 5 or 6 a week.

Surveys in two junior high schools reported 40 percent of the mothers of children attending were employed.

Another school reported that of 26 children in the fourth grade 20 came from homes where the mother was employed.

The number of school children who lived within walking distance but who remained at school during the lunch period had doubled since a year ago, according to an education official.

Actual provision for additional day care had not been made at the time of the Women's Bureau representative's visit to Burlington in late 1951, but agencies in the community already had constructive plans afoot for consideration and solution of the problems of securing a sufficient woman labor force and providing for day care and other community needs. Active in developing these plans was a permanent committee made up of representatives of the new-industry committee of the chamber of commerce, the personnel committee of the manufacturers' association, and the local manager of the State Employment Service, with representatives of other community and voluntary agencies to be added. A basic activity of this group was to initiate cooperative exchange of labor needs at least 60 days in advance, which would enable more adequate planning for community needs such as child care, housing, and transportation. Among the subcommittees organized by this committee was one on utilization of women, which as one of its earliest projects would initiate a survey of child-care needs and facilities. This was designed to stimulate establishment of an information center on available facilities for working mothers, and encourage the development of further facilities as needed. Social agencies, both State and local, and the PTA were among the groups that had expressed interest and willingness to cooperate in this community project.
EMPLOYED MOTHERS AND CHILD CARE

WICHITA

Prior to 1940 Wichita had only a normal growth, but World War II demands for aircraft brought a 50-percent increase in population within 2 or 3 years, reaching an estimated peak population of nearly 211,000 in the greater Wichita area in 1944. Though some of the immigrants left after the war, many remained, and others who came in offset the loss. With the expansion of the national defense program, Wichita again became a boom town with an estimated population of 250,000 in greater Wichita and 200,000 in the city. Much of the new development has been outside the city limits. Ten years ago Wichita had 200 factories. In late 1951, when visited by the Women’s Bureau, it had more than 550 manufacturing plants with new firms coming in every month. Most of the recent additions are small subcontractors for the aircraft industry.

Manufacturing industries in Wichita, except aircraft, are predominantly the heavy-metal type and therefore not conducive to the employment of women. Typical products are oil-field equipment; agricultural equipment; concrete mixers; air-conditioning; heating and lighting equipment. Other important industries are grain milling and printing and publishing. However, a lamp and stove company has provided employment for women over a period of nearly 50 years, there are a few clothing and canvas products manufacturers that utilize women workers, and meat packing is an important industry which has expanded in recent months. Wichita also is a trading center for a large area, including 264 counties in parts of 7 States. A new development is the Wichita air base, which will be a permanent installation for technical training of Air Force personnel.

According to the 1950 census, the employment of women in Wichita had increased almost two-thirds since 1940. (See table 5.) The proportion of women in the labor force, however, had increased but little and was 27 percent in 1950, less than the proportion in all urban areas in the country (31 percent).

In the fall of 1951, when visited by the Women’s Bureau, Wichita had about 32,000 women nonagricultural workers, an increase of almost a fourth in a year’s time, according to Employment Service figures. Marked increases continued in 1952, these being to a large extent in manufacturing. (See table 6.) By the fall of 1952 more than a third of the nonagricultural women workers in the area were in manufacturing, a larger proportion than in the majority of the areas visited. According to the Employment Service figures for early 1952, the aircraft industry in the area employed over three-fourths of all women in manufacturing, and women constituted more than a fifth of all workers in aircraft plants.
Day-care services for children.—Wichita has been one of five labor-shortage areas in the United States. Since this highlighted the possibility that it might be urgent to provide further child-care facilities of several types, plans for a careful survey of the situation were being made at the time of the Women’s Bureau visit in late 1951. Three Federal agencies were cooperating in these plans, the Women’s Bureau of the Department of Labor and the Office of Education and the Children’s Bureau of the Federal Security Administration. The following findings on facilities for day care are summarized as reported in late 1951 by the Children’s Bureau.

A 1951 amendment of the Kansas licensing law, which included day-care services, places primary responsibility for licensing with the State board of health, providing that approval of the division of child welfare, State department of social welfare, be secured before a license is issued.

Wichita has two nurseries supported by the Community Chest. One had been licensed for 40 white children but was caring for 50 to 60, aged 2 to 8 years. It is open from 6:30 a.m. to 6:30 p.m. on 5 days a week, with a maximum fee of $2 per day for parents who can afford that amount. Four out of five parents pay less. The other is for Negro children and had enrolled only 12 to 15, with no waiting list, as the Negro population in the area is small. Its hours are flexible, fees range from 50 cents to $1 a day, and no children under 2 years are accepted.

Two commercial facilities were in operation in late 1951. One of these was a nursery school that has been in existence a number of years. It had 52 children aged 2 to 5, but their mothers were not employed and most of them remained only until after lunch. The other nursery was designed for 10 children but had 15, aged 16 months to 4 years, whose mothers were working outside the home. Fees were $12 for a 5-day week, $15 for 6 days. Licenses to operate 14 additional day-care centers for more than 4 children had been applied for under the new legal regulations.

Licenses to operate 17 day-care homes also had been applied for, 5 of which had, at the time of the visit to Wichita, been cleared by the State board of health. Also 4 applications had been made for licenses to give 24-hour care. Advertisements for child care in private homes were appearing regularly in the daily papers, usually with only the address and telephone number given, sometimes also stating the fee.

Five or six elementary school playgrounds were kept open after school hours under supervision of physical education students from Wichita University. However, 14 of the public schools already were on a two-shift basis, and these crowded conditions made it unlikely
that a program of extended school services could be developed on any considerable scale.

*Evidences of need and future plans.*—The joint survey referred to above was still in progress when the present report was in preparation. At the time of the Women's Bureau visit it was the general opinion of personnel directors and other informed persons that the lack of sufficient day-care services was a real problem to the community as a whole, as well as to women who were either working or seeking work. For example, the personnel director of a department store spoke of child-care needs as one of her two greatest problems. Aircraft plants were having great difficulty with turnover. And at the largest plant about a tenth of the women's terminations in the preceding month had been due to lack of child-care facilities. The personnel director of another large aircraft company also reported "family reasons" were given increasingly by both men and women for leaving the plant. Personnel directors believed the real reasons for leaving frequently were not the ones reported, and that many women failed to mention child care as a reason, fearing it would count against them if applying elsewhere for a job.

Wichita continues as one of the few areas of labor shortage in the United States, and it is probable that its great aircraft plants and other industries not only will continue to need women but must increase their employment. For this reason the need for day-care facilities is likely to increase rather than abate.

**Ohio**

Two of the important and expanding industrial centers in Ohio were visited by Women's Bureau agents in the early summer of 1951. Both had active groups surveying the situation as to day care of children. Full information as to number of private units giving such service, or number of children cared for, was not available. In early 1951 the State law relating to licensing of child-care facilities was interpreted as not including day-care homes and centers, and hence the State does not license or supervise them as it does foster homes. State and local welfare agencies recommend the same standards for day-care centers as for foster homes, but cannot enforce them for the former. The State department of public welfare was adding to its staff a consultant on day care, and there also was a citizens' movement developing to change the licensing law to cover day-care homes and centers.

In late 1950, prior to this interpretation of the law, the State department of public welfare had licensed 53 agencies (day-care centers or nurseries). Of these 38 had reported enrollment of nearly 1,600
children. Enrollment in the remaining agencies was not reported. It was thought that part-day centers never licensed had a similar number of children enrolled. The Merrill-Palmer Directory listed 138 nursery schools or day-care centers in Ohio in 1950. (See table 4.) Private agencies were the largest group of these, community services the next in size, and a considerable number of others were under church auspices.

DAYTON

The Dayton area employed 54,831 women in 1950, a striking increase of over 60 percent from the number in 1940. (See table 5.) Their proportion in the labor force also increased from 25 percent in 1940 to 29 percent in 1950. The major factor in this unusual increase in numbers of women undoubtedly was the establishment in the area of the enormous Government air base, and its development as an experimental aircraft center. More than a third of all its employees were women, 98 percent of whom were in clerical and some professional occupations. Its demand for such workers had drawn them from other industries and taken as many as training schools could provide. The area has a continuing shortage of secretarial, office-machine operating, and other clerical workers. From the fall of 1951 to the fall of 1952 there was a slight decrease in the number of women workers (exclusive of household workers), with but little change in their proportion in the labor force. (See table 6.) With the great use of clerical workers in the area, it is not surprising that the proportion women constituted of manufacturing workers was smaller than in most areas visited.

The area also has other industries of longer standing than the air base, including an envelope company with a high proportion of women among its employees; two-thirds of these women are married. Women also are employed extensively in a number of plants that produce various metal and electrical products—for example, electric motors, refrigerators, aircraft parts, and cash registers. Two of these establishments employed over 4,500 women, more than four-fifths of them in plant rather than office occupations.

Nearby Springfield, about the same distance from the airfield as from Dayton (9 or 10 miles), has a labor force of 21,000 persons, three-fourths of them production workers in manufacturing. Both among these and among the clerical workers in the plants a substantial proportion are women, and in addition many Springfield women commute to the airfield.

Day-care services for children.—At the time of the Women's Bureau visit near mid-1951 Dayton had only one public center for day care of children; this was financed by the Community Chest and was for
Negro children. There was no after-school program. During World War II, 17 day nurseries had been in operation, and 8 to 10 centers provided for school-age children.

There were known to be a number of privately run homes caring for two or three children, but the exact number of these was unknown, since currently there was no State licensing system in operation. The local visiting nurse association licenses for health and fire hazards those homes that care for three or more children.

During World War II, two large plants were operating day nurseries, and another had planned to open one but had not done so. One of these industry nurseries is still in operation and is located in a highly industrialized area of the city where crowded conditions prevail. It is under the charge of a trained nurse, and serves upwards of 45 children. A mother may enter only one child, and if she has more than one is asked to make other arrangements for her other children. The fees are small (25 cents a day) and are not expected to cover expenses, since this is considered a part of the plant's welfare program. If the mother is absent from the plant for a day the usual charge of 25 cents a day is raised to 50 cents for the remainder of the week.

Evidences of need and future plans.—The extent of future day-care needs in Dayton and even the extent of the present need, were by no means clear at the time of the Women's Bureau visit near mid-1951. The council of social agencies and the Miami Valley Personnel Association were cooperating in a survey of the situation. This was to estimate, on the basis of its investigations, the number of women with school-age children, the number who would work if their children were cared for, the probabilities as to industrial expansion and increased need for labor, and policies the expanding industries were planning as to employment of married women.

Several large plants in the area had new building programs and plans for expansion during 1951. The shortages of clerical workers have been mentioned, and an eventual need for over a tenth more women factory workers was estimated. It was felt that migration of labor into the area would cause great overcrowding, and that efforts therefore should be made to employ local women so far as possible. Employers believed that there was a considerable supply of women workers available, and that "hundreds" trained in World War II and even some in World War I would respond to demands for workers. Many of these women probably would be over 40 and have grown children.

Some employers in the area had specific policies in regard to employing married women. One firm whose policy historically has been to employ only single women has broadened its hiring qualifications to
take married women in clerical jobs or other occupations in scarce supply. It also hires wives of its workers who are inducted into military service. However, the married women are placed in a separate category and do not have certain seniority rights accorded single women workers.

Not only the extent of need, but also the possible methods of furnishing day-care services seemed problematical at the time of the Women's Bureau visit. School authorities saw themselves unable to finance added after-school services. Already they were "fighting inflation" with 85 percent of their funds going for salaries, and expected facilities to be overcrowded with the population increases. After completion of the survey referred to, it was hoped to organize a community-wide committee to investigate locations and resources to provide such day-care services as were required.

AKRON

Rubber manufacture is an outstanding industry in the Akron area, which also is important in machine products. The labor force was 27 percent women, according to the 1950 census, smaller than the proportion in urban areas in the United States as a whole (31 percent). In 1950 the area employed 45,757 women, an increase of more than a third from 1940. (See table 5.) Roughly a tenth of these were in the rubber industry. Aircraft parts, food manufacture, plastics, and publishing also employed women, though some of these plants were smaller than those making rubber products.

The impact of increased defense production had not seriously affected Akron at the time of the Women's Bureau visit near mid-1951, and many of the area's shops were not operating at full capacity. The situation at that time appeared somewhat similar to that in World War II, when Akron felt the impact of defense employment later than Cleveland. Some manufacturing plants were planning expansions of labor force, but thought this might require few additional women.

There were women available for work, and one of the chief rubber plants reported hundreds of them applying who could not be placed. Many more, it was expected, would respond to more acute needs for workers. One estimate was that as many as 10,000 women could be found for work if needed. Although some plants were recalling former workers, these were sent for on the basis of seniority, and so far this was affecting men almost entirely.

There was some increase from 1951 to 1952 in the number of women in nonagricultural employment (except household), though the proportion in the labor force had changed but little. (See table 6.) The proportion of women nonagricultural workers who were in man-
manufacturing was smaller than in any other area visited with but one exception.

Day-care services for children.—There are no agency- or community-sponsored nurseries in the area, except one at East Akron accommodating 25 children. The Peoples Hospital recently set up a nursery for children of nurses. During World War II the city had a number of nurseries which women of the community wished continued, but this could not be done because of the drain on the public funds and the diminished need. However, in 1951 there were known to be 11 day-care homes caring for a total of 20 children. There also were about 12 or 14 centers for teen-aged children, sponsored by churches, the YWCA, YMCA, and other agencies.

Evidences of need and future plans.—Because of the current lack of industrial expansion and the availability of a large woman labor supply referred to above, most of those with whom the subject was discussed felt there was not a pressing need for greatly increased day-care facilities. Though current planning envisaged the employment of women without children so far as possible, the experience of other areas has been that such a policy had to be abandoned if any great expansion occurred.

On the other hand, there had been a marked increase in late 1950 and the first half of 1951 in inquiries on child care. The family service society was planning a monthly check of number of children cared for to note increases that might be occurring. It was thought that greater employment of women might be expected, and that this was likely in the future to require more day-care provisions. The council of social agencies believed that potential facilities such as were active during the war could be reorganized to develop an excellent program if needed. Various agencies in the community were cooperating in a survey to ascertain the extent of the need that might be expected and the methods of meeting it.

MILWAUKEE

The county of Milwaukee ranked in size as the eighth industrial center in the United States at the time of the 1947 Census of Manufactures. Evidences of increased demand for women workers in the area in the current defense period led the Women's Bureau to visit Milwaukee near the close of 1951 to ascertain conditions as to women's employment, types of jobs into which they were going, opportunities for training for such work, and community planning for women workers, including care of children during their mothers' work hours. Milwaukee industries and business activities are diversified, with emphasis on machine products and food products. Numerous defense
contracts have been let in the area, and some plant expansions are in progress. Of its manufacturing, almost two-thirds is in durable goods, including machinery, internal combustion engines, and related metal products, such as a variety of machine tools and dies, metal fabrication, foundry and forge products, electrical machinery and products, and many others. Nondurable manufacturing includes food products, shoes, and textiles. The bulk of the manufacturing firms are small to medium sized, employing less than 50 workers, yet over two-thirds of the factory employment occurs in firms having 500 or more employees.

Employment of women.—The Milwaukee area had more than 116,600 women workers in 1950, an increase of about one-third from 1940. (See table 5.) Women were 27 percent of the area’s labor force in 1940 and 30 percent in 1950. The number of women workers had increased somewhat from the fall of 1951 to the fall of 1952. (See table 6.) The proportion of the women nonagricultural workers who were in manufacturing industries was larger than elsewhere except for Rockford, Ill., and the Connecticut cities. About 70 percent of the women workers were less than 45 years of age. Data on their marital status were incomplete, but several plants reported from one-half to two-thirds of their women employees married, and trade and service establishments have a much greater proportion of married women than manufacturing plants.

Day-care services for children.—Milwaukee has 8 day-care centers, aside from its 4 private nursery schools, its extended school services, and an unknown number of private homes that take care of fewer than 4 children on a commercial basis. In the State as a whole, 40 day-care centers or nursery schools are listed in 1950 in the Merrill-Palmer Directory of Nursery Schools. Of the 8 day-care centers in Milwaukee, 3 are supported by the Community Chest, 2 are Catholic, and 3 are private fee agencies. Together they care for 315 children from 2 to 9 years of age.

The three Community Chest centers have a capacity of 170 children. One takes children from 2 to 7 years of age and operates from 6:30 a.m. to 6 p.m. on 5 days in the week, with fees of $10 to $12.50, according to family need. This center can accept only one in six of the written applications for care, and besides this has many telephone calls for aid. The other two centers, one in a Polish neighborhood and one in an area serving Jewish and Negro families, take children aged 2½ to 5 years and are open from 7:15 or 7:45 a.m. to 5:30 p.m. Fees run from $1 to $15 a week, based on family need. These had long waiting lists which had been analyzed so that by late 1951 they had been brought down to a total of 80 unserved applicants. The largest group waiting consisted of 3-year-old children.
The two Catholic centers have a combined capacity of 65 children, both operating from 6:30 a.m. to 6:30 p.m., with fees determined on the basis of need. One takes children 2 to 9, with fees averaging $3.55 a week. The other takes children 2 to 6, with fees averaging $2. In each case there are small additional charges for supplies.

The State department of public welfare licenses private facilities that care for four or more children for more than 2 hours a day. Milwaukee has three licensed private day nurseries, open 7 a.m. to 6 p.m., which have a combined capacity of 70 to 80 children. Their fees are $11 to $15 a week.

There is no way of knowing fully the extent and type of care given in homes serving less than four children. Some indication of extent of such service may be seen in the fact that in the first 6 months of 1951 agencies affiliated or cooperating with the community welfare council received almost 650 requests that they could not fill for day care of children aged 2 to 9 years. In the last 5 months of 1950, a spot check showed 206 individual advertisements in Milwaukee newspapers that offered child care, where the advertiser was not working with any of the agencies. The Milwaukee County welfare agency discontinued aid to dependent children on an average of 20 cases a month during 1950 because the mothers went to work, and in 5 months of 1951 (April to August) the number of closed cases had increased to an average of 32 a month—a total of 161 cases in this period. These children undoubtedly were cared for during the day, though no report was available on types of care the mothers had arranged for them.

Seven nursery schools were operating in the city and its suburbs. Although they were open only part of the day and some were expensive, they may be mentioned because it was possible some of them could be extended to give day care. Altogether they were serving about 150 children 2 to 5 years of age, one being under Community Chest, one Catholic, and one under Jewish auspices; one, community and parent sponsored; two private nonprofit; and one commercial school.

School services.—Extended recreational services are well developed, with 55 school buildings in use for all ages, including 40 school social centers open after school to grade-school children, and 35 open in the evenings for older children and adults. In the spring and summer 80 playgrounds are open and supervised from 8 a.m. to 9 p.m. (in addition to extensive park development playfields and centers). There were evidences that many children using these facilities had mothers working, and undoubtedly it was proving an invaluable aid to employed mothers, though the extent of this was not known. Programs are planned to meet changing needs of families
in the particular neighborhood, and thus could lend themselves to service of children of employed mothers where needed.

Evidences of need and future plans.—Estimates of employment needs were made by the Employment Service in late 1951, based on known contracts or plans for new industries or for plant expansion. These indicated that an additional 10,000 women would be needed in the area labor force during 1952, and probably another 5,000 the year following. Heavy industries already were taking on women for types of work similar to those performed by women during World War II. Though currently 3,000 women were reported unemployed, half of these were considered to be only temporarily out of work. Of the women 16 years of age and over who were not in the labor force, only about a tenth were single, and of those married almost two-thirds had children under 12 years of age.

All industries contacted by the Women's Bureau representative already were affected by the lack of sufficient child-care service. There were abundant evidences that the existing facilities were wholly inadequate to the current demands on them. Many personnel directors considered this one of the most serious employment problems emerging in the area, likely to become even more acute with the anticipated expansion of the labor force. In every large woman-employing industry, instances were cited both of absenteeism and turnover among women employees that were attributed directly to lack of child-care facilities.

All the social welfare agencies also considered this a serious problem. The community welfare council has an informational service to refer requests for child care to the proper agencies. At least one of the largest woman-employing firms has numerous inquiries daily, and keeps a file of State-licensed homes to which applicants are referred. The community welfare council set up in 1950 a special child-care committee in a pattern similar to those established in World War II here and in other communities, with representation from social agencies, school authorities, industry, labor, and Employment Service. A thorough and comprehensive study was made which might well become a model for other areas, and a report was made in the spring of 1951. It included pertinent data on the child-care centers available—both Community Chest financed and private—the conditions surrounding voluntary private-home placement, requests from parents in relation to the availability of facilities, standards of acceptance, location of centers in relation to geographic areas of greatest concentration of working mothers, and other information.

The committee found that existing agencies could handle only one in six of the bona fide demands for day care. A record was kept of requests received by 40 agencies over a 10-month period in 1951. These
numbered 1,000, excluding an additional 240 requests that were for children under 2 years of age, or for temporary care only, or for home service and the like. Of these, 659 were verified and classified as within the jurisdiction of the three Community Chest agencies, but only 122 of these applicants could be placed. Recommendations of the committee included a plan to expand Community Chest facilities to a capacity of 145 more children, though this would by no means fully serve the demand, and even for this the funds had not yet been secured. It was thought that more licensed homes taking 4 to 10 children were needed, as well as many more nurseries or centers. However, in Milwaukee as elsewhere, informal neighborhood arrangements will continue to be made by a large proportion of the working mothers, including family arrangements such as work of parents on different shifts, calling on relatives or neighbors for aid, or hiring a helper in the home. Furthermore, traditional emphases in some sections of the community tend toward objection to the large-scale entrance of married women into the labor force.

MOUNTAIN STATES

Colorado

The three Colorado cities visited by the Women's Bureau in the spring of 1951 (one revisited in the late summer) all were or were fast becoming short of labor supply. Various agencies connected with Federal military organization or supply had been established or expanded in this State. Coupled with this also was growth in existing industries. The requirements of the added population and work force, combined with the needs of earlier residents and of a customary large tourist trade, demanded more workers to furnish supplies and services.

The Board of Standards of Child Care of Colorado is unlike that of any other State. Set up in 1923, it consists of nine members, including representatives of the departments of health, welfare, and education, together with lay persons, and must include one Catholic, one Jew, and one Protestant. It is the authority that licenses public and private child-care facilities, including day-care centers, nurseries, boarding homes, and foster homes.

Denver

The 72,000 women workers reported in Denver by the Census of 1950 represented an increase of more than 50 percent since 1940. (See table 5.) They were 30 percent of the labor force as compared to 28
percent in 1940. From the fall of 1951 to the fall of 1952, there was
a marked increase in number of women workers in nonagricultural
occupations (except household employment). (See table 6.) The
proportion of women who were in manufacturing was smaller than in
most cities visited.

Denver was reported to have a tighter labor market in the spring of
1951 than at any time during World War II, and demands for workers
were continuing to expand. In addition to regional offices of Federal
agencies, Denver had a new and very large veterans' hospital, and
the Air Force finance center recently transferred there expected to
need 3,500 workers, many of them to be women in clerical occupations.
Furthermore, Denver is the State capital, which requires many clerical
and other employees. Owing to these activities it is not surprising
that the proportion of women workers who were in manufacturing
was smaller than in most other areas. Women were employed in a
spark-plug plant, and in a rubber plant (which had increased employ­
ment about a fourth from June 1949), and women were working on
each of three shifts in a steel plant.

Denver had six child-care centers, formerly operated with the aid
of Lanham funds and now under the Community Chest. These had
enrolled 320 children and all had waiting lists. Fees were $3 to
$10.50 a week, hours 7 a.m. to 5:30 p.m., 5 days a week. There were
13 or 14 commercial centers, all expensive and some not providing
all-day care. A total of 136 licensed facilities was reported, many of
them foster homes.

The industrial Employment Service, and social welfare officials
interviewed believed the need for additional facilities for the day care
of children was acute, with little promise that local community funds
could be further stretched to meet it. A labor official, though feeling
that in general mothers should not be called into the labor force,
thought that child care should be provided for those who must work.
All existing day-care agencies for children had continuing waiting
lists. A survey made in eight cities by the Child Welfare League of
America in the spring of 1951 reported a 100-percent increase in appli­
cations for the day care of Denver children over a current 5-month
period.

A housing project nearing completion, designed for 500 families in
lower-income groups where many mothers must work, had provided
no facilities for a nursery school, though the request for this was made.
Near these homes a new macaroni factory is being built which will
employ "quite a number" of women. The Community Chest had re­
quested that a survey of housing and child-care facilities be made by
the special services department of the Employment Service.
COLORADO SPRINGS

The 1950 census reported about 6,700 women workers in Colorado Springs in 1950, an increase of almost 50 percent above the 1940 number. (See table 5.) The proportion of women among all workers also had increased, from 32 percent in 1940 to 36 percent in 1950, well above the 31 percent for urban areas as a whole. Estimates of the Employment Service in the spring of 1951 were much larger than this, and showed about 9,000 women in nonagricultural employment, exclusive of household workers. In 1950–51 the usual winter drop in employment did not occur, but instead there was a continuing rise. Great expansions had taken place, and were continuing at the time of the Women's Bureau visit in the summer of 1951, in the labor force at nearby Camp Carson and the Air Defense Command. Women clerical workers constitute a considerable proportion of these. Manufacturing plants in the city are not large, and are of a type to employ only small proportions of women, but they were planning to take on new workers, some of them women. The tourist trade always requires many women workers. All told, the Employment Service had placed almost 1,000 workers during March and April of 1951, over a third of them women.

Colorado Springs had two day-care centers, both receiving Community Chest funds and having some endowment. The one with the heavier endowment was established in 1897, had 85 children enrolled aged 3 to 10, and operated from 7:00 a.m. to 6:30 p.m. 5 days a week. It had received almost 100 new applications in the preceding 7 months. The other had 33 children enrolled aged 3 to 5, and it also was receiving a regular increase in applications. Both of these centers took children of white, Negro, Indian, and Mexican parents and charged fees of $3 to $7 a week. The larger one reported the mothers of its enrollees to be working as waitresses, beauty operators, nurses' aides, and in clerical and laundry occupations. The city had four private nurseries, all charging $65 a month.

The continuation of new applications for child care, coupled with the expected increases in demand for workers, indicated a need for additional facilities. The most frequent requests were for the care of very young children, 2 to 3 years of age.

PUEBLO

The 1950 census showed 8,200 women workers in Pueblo, an increase of 50 percent above 1940. (See table 5.) However, the proportion of women among all workers showed little increase in the decade, and was 25 percent in 1950, smaller than in almost any other area visited. The Employment Service estimates show some increase from the fall of 1951 to the fall of 1952 in the number of women in non-
agricultural employment in Pueblo (exclusive of household employees), but women still were only 26 percent of the city’s labor force. (See table 6.) Manufacturing employed about a fourth of the women workers, and of these 60 percent were in the Government ordnance plant. About a fifth of the Pueblo population is Mexican and there also is a considerable Negro population. Many of the women workers commute long distances, some as far as 75 miles.

The city had one public day-care center, a joint community project that had been built with WPA funds, never had Lanham Act funds, and was now supported chiefly by the Community Chest with Junior League aid. Fees were on a sliding scale with a maximum of $1 a day, and hours were 7 a.m. to 6 or 7 p.m. With an enrollment of 136 children 2 to 10 years of age, the center was so overcrowded in the spring of 1951 that reinvestigations were being made and children moved to private homes where possible. At the time of the Women’s Bureau visit 12 homes had been approved though not yet licensed. Plans were under discussion for two commercial nurseries. One of these accommodating 20 children had opened, but was able to run only about 4 months, closing in August. This may have been because mothers could not afford the fee of $2 a day, later reduced to $1.50; in addition it did not serve Mexican or Negro children, groups very greatly needing such service but with low income.

Interviews in Pueblo indicated that an urgent need was felt for additional child-care facilities, and it was thought that the situation was likely to grow worse. The Pueblo ordnance plant, largest manufacturing employer of women, was a small temporary depot in October 1950, had become more than 3 times as large by April 1951, and its growth was continuing. Women were about a fifth of the workers, and it was claimed they performed more types of jobs here than in any other ordnance plant. The agencies reported new applications for child care continuing, though less in the summer, probably because older children when at home from school were depended on to look after the younger ones.

The need was considered particularly urgent for child care for the Mexican and Negro populations for whom no such service was available. This is pointed up by one dramatic story of a Negro working mother who wrote the President of the United States regarding lack of child-care facilities, also stating she knew of at least 100 children in her own neighborhood whose mothers had to work.

This mother was employed in the ammunition department of the Pueblo ordnance plant, working on the third shift (12:30 a.m. to 8:30 a.m.) for which she received a pay differential of 6 cents per hour. She had five children aged 14 months to 10 years, the two oldest staying with their grandparents. Her husband was at home with the three youngest children at
night, but left at 7 a. m., so they were alone until their mother returned at 9 a. m. or later, after a trip of over 14 miles from the plant. She had little opportunity to sleep by day because of the small children. She reported that some women offered jobs at the ordnance plant could not take them because they had no place to leave their children. In the summer she was transferred to a factory clerical job of checking materials and to the shift of 4:30 p. m. to 1 a. m., but there still was considerable time between her leaving home and her husband's arrival there. She reported her problem would be greater in the fall, since the 6-year-old had to go to school and the grandparents could no longer keep the two older children. She had no room for them in her 2-room house which rented for $10 a month and had no water nor plumbing, and they had been unable to locate other accommodations.

Idaho Falls

Idaho Falls was visited by the Women's Bureau in the fall of 1951 and again about a year later. Until 1949-50, this had been a stable community of about 19,000 population, largely an agricultural and trading center for the surrounding countryside. Plants employing women were largely in food industries, such as potato dehydrating, sugar-beet processing, and seed sorting. They employed only small numbers of women, some of them for only a few months in the year. This small city is the only city in Idaho with a temple of the Latter-day Saints, which gives it great prestige in a population of this faith.

The Government recently (about 1948) brought to this community an atomic energy project, locating the plant some distance from the city where its offices were established. Several private companies holding Government contracts also set up activities in the area. Before the plants were in operation, the administrative work of the projects, as well as large construction forces necessary to build the plants, brought a considerable new population. These were followed by new shopping centers, stores, and other services. For every worker who had come in for the atomic energy project two service or trade workers had newly entered the community. The population had expanded to about 23,000 by the fall of 1952, increases were continuous, and considerably greater expansion was expected to follow shortly. Great care had been taken in planning along various lines for the expected growth, and one plant official commented that housing had been taken care of better than in almost any other rapidly expanding community.

In 1950, Idaho Falls had about 2,200 women workers according to census reports. This was an increase of almost two-thirds above 1940. (See table 5.) The proportion of women in the labor force increased from 23 percent in 1940 to 29 percent in 1950. The marked growth in number of women workers since operation of the new industries was noted by residents, and expansion was continuing in 1952. Sev-
eral firms reported that half of their women employees were married. It was thought that of the young employed married women the majority had children. An official of one company reported frequent requests for time off to care for home needs, but said this time always was made up and praised women's performance.

Employed women had been leaving their jobs, especially in clerical occupations, for new openings offering higher pay. However, some objected to work in outlying plants involving commuting for some 40 miles because the distance materially lengthened the working day, sometimes to 12 hours, from 6:30 a.m. to 6:30 p.m. The demand exceeded the supply of nurses, experienced waitresses, stenographers, and expert clerical workers. New jobs often were filled from outside the area. In recruiting such help, companies were attempting to get women with relatives in the area with whom they could live. Experienced workers, usually young married women, also were drawn back into the labor market.

At the same time, there seemed to be no acute over-all shortage of women workers in the area. It was stated that employers could get women workers by offering enough pay. Moreover, there was a continuing surplus of unskilled women job seekers. Department stores, restaurants, and laundries had long waiting lists of applicants in 1951, and in 1952 there was little change in the situation. Unemployment claimants, many of them older women, increased markedly in 1951.

Day-care services for children.—By Idaho law the State department of public assistance has the responsibility for licensing—after inspecting for health and sanitation and considering general adequacy of the applicant to give such care—day-care homes (for 2 to 6 children), day-care centers (for more than 6 children), and 24-hour homes. The Merrill-Palmer Directory of day nurseries and day-care centers reported four in Idaho in 1950. The Idaho Falls expansion alone has added to this. There seems to have been little coordinated planning on this subject by public agencies, except for the summer kindergartens recently conducted in the schools.

In the fall of 1951 two day-care centers and five day-care homes, together caring for 40 children, and three 24-hour homes caring for 7 children (usually at least 2 years of age) had been licensed. The day-care centers were full and could not be expanded. By the fall of 1952, two of the day-care homes had shifted to serving elderly persons and no longer took children, and the county welfare department reported that no new ones had been licensed. Hours of operation of the day-care homes usually were 8:30 a.m. to 5:30 p.m., with a hot noon meal, and rates $1.50 a day per child, amounting to $9 for a 6-day week.
A large private kindergarten with 65 children was providing varied services, and in addition would send someone to care for a sick mother at the rate of $2 a day. It had a morning and an afternoon kindergarten and also provided full-day care for 20 to 35 children 4 or 5 years of age. Hours were 8 a.m. to 6 p.m. and several assistants were employed. Costs were $5 for enrollment and $1 a day with additional 50 cents for those having lunch. This combination kindergarten-day-care center was operating to capacity and had turned down requests to take about 100 more children within the year.

Many small nurseries were reported operating in all sections of town, some with as many as 15 children. In the smaller private nurseries or kindergartens, the usual rates were $1.50 a day for one child, $2.50 for two children, and $3 for three children. Hours ordinarily were 8:30 a.m. to 5:30 p.m. One recently started with an average enrollment of 10 children aged 2 to 6, kept open late enough to serve parents working in outlying places, and also would care for children on Saturday, or at night if parents wanted to go out.

There was no complete record of all services available for child care. Those known served chiefly children 2 to 6, and there seemed no provision for the care of children under 2. The majority of working mothers were believed to be making private arrangements for the care of their children by family members or neighbors or through commercial facilities within their means. In this community, youthful marriage and large families are customary, and women of the locality tend to remain in their home areas, so that if mothers work there frequently are family members or neighbors who can care for children. In some cases this was expensive; for example, one mother reported paying a neighbor $15 a week to care for her two children (in first and third grades) before and after school. Cost was the same in summer. She felt this was high, but knew the care was good.

About 100 high-school girls were employed after school to care for younger children until their parents returned from work and from 100 to 200 gave full-day care to children during the summer.

In other cases no care is provided, as for example for two children aged 11 and 14, whose mother considered them old enough to look after themselves, reporting that they seldom got home much before the parents did.

School service.—In 1951 a nursery school specialist and other interested women, working through the American Association of University Women and the Parent-Teacher Association, were instrumental in starting a 6-week summer kindergarten to prepare young children for entry to the first grade. In the summer of 1952, these were operating in every school in the city, running with two sessions daily. The registration fee was $8 but children unable to pay continued to attend.
For school-age children school authorities reported beyond-hours extension of school services to be out of the question. In 1951, overcrowding was so great that half-day sessions were the rule, even through junior high, and in a number of cases basements of churches and public buildings had to be used for classrooms for grade-school children. By the fall of 1952 a new high-school building had been completed, and this eased the situation so that more space was available and half-day sessions were not currently necessary. However, 500 additional school children were reported in the early fall of 1952, with more expected to enter. High-school students old enough for employment usually work after school hours in groceries or other stores, services, agriculture, or caring for young children. In September 1952, the city superintendent of schools was conducting a school-wide survey of the type and place of occupation of the parents, date parents moved to the city, and date of enrollment of the student. It was expected that the resulting data would have many uses in the planning and development of other community resources to meet expansion strains.

SOUTHWESTERN STATES

Texas

Dallas

Dallas is a pivotal financial, manufacturing, and trading center of the Southwest. Its manufacturing is diversified, tending toward lighter products, particularly electrical and electronics equipment, cotton ginning equipment, and more recently aircraft. However, since its early economic growth was related to its location in the heart of the cotton region, textiles and clothing manufacture represents a substantial part of its basic economy, and it has become an increasingly important center for these products. The area became a center for aircraft and parts manufacture during World War II, and these activities have increased employment in the current defense period. The new plants are permanent installations, themselves tending to draw more industry to the area, and the aircraft plants have brought growth in subcontracting and in machine-tool and electronics industries. These are among factors indicating that the expansion is a long-term trend. The population includes both Latin Americans and Negroes, constituting a considerable labor reserve.

Employment of women.—It will be noted that a number of the industries in this area are of types that usually employ many women. Over 93,000 women were in the 1950 labor force in Dallas, an increase of 56 percent above 1940. (See table 5.) Though the proportion of
women among all workers increased but little in the decade, it was 34 percent in 1950, higher than the 31 percent in urban areas throughout the country. This fact, and the character of the industries indicate that matters relating to women workers are a permanent part of the locality's employment pattern. The employment of women in nonagricultural occupations (except household work) was continuing to increase in 1952. (See table 6.) The proportion of these women workers who were in manufacturing is lower in Dallas than in most areas visited.

There is a broad spread in the ages of Dallas women workers, with many employers reporting the average age of their employees as 30 years. Some employers indicated a preference for older women. The 1950 census reported about 70 percent of all women workers in the area to be under 45. The majority of companies reported at least 60 percent of their women employees as married and well over half as mothers of children. In addition, some employers expressed surprise at the number of divorced or widowed workers with heavy responsibilities in the support of their families. One electrical manufacturing company reported many quite young women to be the sole support of their children.

Day-care services for children.—The State of Texas had 52 child-care centers in 1950, as listed in the Directory of Nursery Schools, 1951, compiled by the Merrill-Palmer School of Michigan. As of April 1952, the Dallas area had 8 child-care centers sponsored by the Community Chest, and 5 centers operated by churches. In addition, it had 80 homes licensed to take small numbers of children on a commercial basis. The mothers served by Community Chest agencies usually are those from the lower economic levels, since in these agencies fees are adjusted to ability to pay, which cannot be done by the commercial homes. A sliding scale of fees is charged, from nothing to an estimated $1.65 a day. These fees represent about 38 percent of the cost of administration of the centers, including building maintenance, equipment, and debt retirement. Hours are arranged to fit the needs of parents, and some centers open at 6:30 a.m. and do not close until 6 p.m.

The State department of public welfare licenses nonprofit and commercial day-care centers that serve more than six children for any part of a day and commercial boarding homes that serve less than six children under 16 years of age for all or any part of a day. The department learns of private homes as best it can, and estimates that 220 in the Dallas area take children, though not licensed. These various

---

3 of these, 60 were licensed by the State department of public welfare, the remainder by the city health department pending State licenses.
facilities cared for over 3,500 children in the spring of 1952 as the following shows:

**Children in Day-Care Facilities**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of facility</th>
<th>Number enrolled</th>
<th>Fees</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>3,564</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Chest sponsored:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 for white</td>
<td>386</td>
<td>0 to $1.65 per day.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 for Negro</td>
<td>118</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Church centers:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 for white</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>$8 to $10 per week.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 for Negro</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>Nominal fees, if any.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial homes:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80 licensed</td>
<td>1,500</td>
<td>$8 to $10 per week.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private homes:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Various fees.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>220 estimated</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The enrollment in the centers usually is considerably above their capacity; however, on any given day some children are likely to be absent. Most of the existing centers have waiting lists, especially for children of 4 to 6 years of age. A few vacancies exist for 2-year-olds; this bears out other indications that mothers of children so young make every effort to care for them at home. The central office of the Community Chest centers can place only about one in five of the new requests for care that are received daily. The commercial centers also have waiting lists, though they try to accommodate as many children as possible. In Dallas County outside the city, there appear to be no centers sponsored by the Community Chest and few commercial centers. However, Grand Prairie, one of the rapidly growing areas, has three commercial centers handling 70 children.

**School services.**—The Dallas area has not developed a program of extended school service. Because of overcrowding, the public-school facilities cannot be utilized for this purpose. The Dallas public-school population is increasing at the rate of 5,000–6,000 a year, and about 300–400 children are on a double shift. Many communities in the county have also had double school schedules for years. Further, parents cannot count on the schools for any kind of early morning or after-school care of children because many of them have to drive 15 miles to work and often have to be at work at 7 a.m.

**Evidences of need and future plans.**—The evidence appears to be rather positive that the need of working mothers in Dallas for the daytime care of their children will not lessen and is likely to increase. Industries are expanding their permanent plant installations, and the employment of women continues to grow. A survey made in eight cities in the spring of 1951 by the Child Welfare League of America reported a 20-percent increase in applications for day care of Dallas children over a 5-month period.
The current labor market for workers is not tight (except for skilled workers) and many employers and a section of the public believe arrangement for child care to be the responsibility of the mother seeking work. Most employers do not list child care as a serious problem in hiring or turnover of women workers, but they do recognize it as a factor in absenteeism. Some companies will not hire a mother unless she has what they consider an adequate plan for child care. The plan most frequently preferred is to have a relative in the home or nearby care for young children by specific arrangement. Many employers feel that commercial or public nurseries do not prevent any great amount of absenteeism because the health requirements make it necessary for the mother to keep home a child who shows signs of illness.

On the other hand, the existing community agencies can by no means fully take care of the current demands. One of the large plants in this section employs 1,400 women and is expanding. The report that community agencies can meet only one in five of their daily requests for child care has been mentioned. The three commercial operators in Grand Prairie, who care for a total of 70 children and always run to capacity, estimate that they turn down at least 15 to 20 calls a month from parents in desperate need of services. There is no place to which these calls may be referred.

In connection with care of Negro children, one Negro commercial operator, highly trained, set up a very nice center for 15 children; she now has 30 and is under terrific pressure to handle 10 or 15 more, as the parents are desperate. The pastor of one of the two Negro churches taking from 200–300 children says that if he had facilities he could round up another 200–300 still without any care. One section of the city with a large Negro population, where most mothers have to work, has no facilities whatever.

The State department of welfare sees little prospect that the community will support more public centers. Even should it finance two or three more in the next few years, as has been hoped, these can do no more than alleviate the most pressing needs. Therefore, private facilities will be required for those who can pay for them, and this calls for an increased number of well-equipped and licensed commercial centers. Dallas working mothers, like working mothers in many other industrial areas, are continuing to make informal neighborhood arrangements for child care.

The following plan, which was being developed cooperatively by the child welfare committee of the Dallas council of social agencies and some of the operators of commercial homes and centers, merits special consideration as a method of raising standards of existing child care and also developing new resources.

The child welfare committee has membership covering such community agencies as housing, health, public child-care centers, city,
State, and private welfare organizations and has representation from some of the commercial centers. At the request of some of the commercial operators, the committee was developing a 2-day institute covering such topics as interpretation of child care, adequate standards, good programming, administrative and operating techniques, with invitations to be issued to employers, local community leaders, representatives of labor organizations, and interested citizens. Besides giving operators of child-care facilities opportunity for contact and exchange of ideas, the institute had the objective of giving more complete information to community leaders so they will understand the need for additional child care for working mothers. A further long-time objective was to develop a permanent organization of commercial operators who could continue to exchange ideas and knowledge, and perhaps be the means of attracting other interested and qualified women into the operation of child-care centers or homes.

WEST COAST STATES

California

California has the metropolitan area third in size in the United States (Los Angeles) and also the area seventh in size (San Francisco-Oakland). Los Angeles and San Diego are two of the seven aircraft manufacturing centers that employ about half of all aircraft workers in the United States. Large shipbuilding installations, production of women's clothing, shoes, electrical equipment, metal goods, and chemical supplies are important among the manufacturing industries in California. The State has large agricultural, canning, and food-supply industries, and an extensive tourist trade. Besides working in large numbers in the manufacturing industries, many women are in the types of occupations that usually employ them, such as trade, restaurant and other service, and clerical work.

EMPLOYMENT OF WOMEN

The Women's Bureau visited major cities in California in the first half of 1951 to learn the effects on women workers of the expansions occurring in defense industries such as metal, aircraft, shipbuilding, and others. The extent of the child-care provisions was examined at this time, and opinions of informed persons were sought as to their sufficiency to meet needs that could be foreseen. Later, a return visit was made in mid-1952, when further indications were noted as to the expected growth in industry and opportunities for women's work.

Manufacturing employment of women in the State was at an all-time postwar high as early as the fall of 1950. The largest gains were in industries connected with defense, such as electrical equipment,
EMPLOYED MOTHERS AND CHILD CARE

Aircraft, and fabricated metal. Increases continued, and by mid-1952 employment of women in the State was 1,445,000, which exceeded the World War II peak by 177,000 and was almost double the number of women reported at work in the State by the Census of 1940. Women were 31 percent of all the State's workers. The Labor-Management Committee for Defense Manpower for the region, in setting forth a defense manpower program for 1952-53, specifically mentioned child-care facilities in its plans for cooperation with other agencies to provide community facilities for workers.

STATE PROVISION FOR CARE OF WORKING MOTHERS' CHILDREN

California has provided more extensive State-wide extended school services than any other State. These include services for children of preschool age and supervision of school-age children after school hours. The program is supervised by the State department of education and operated by local school boards with the aid of State funds.

It has been concentrated in the urban areas, which have two-thirds of the State's population, though a number of centers also exist in rural areas for parents in food production and canning. This provision was initiated during World War II, and continued by State appropriations after Federal funds no longer were available. The most recent authorization extends for a 2-year period following January 1952. Public opinion is strongly of the belief that it should be made permanent, and this is reinforced by the current expansion in industry and demands for labor. At the same time opposition was felt in some areas to the tax funds required for this program.

In February 1951 the State had over 280 centers in 52 school districts. Until June 1951 a child was admitted when family income was less than $225 a month with one parent working, or less than $275 with both parents employed, and with higher maximums for additional children in the family. Weekly fees paid by parents were adjusted on a sliding scale according to family income. Regardless of income veterans attending school, teachers, registered nurses, and those harvesting or processing crops in emergency could use centers.

Because of the income ceilings, some parents in areas where defense industries were expanding had to give up the use of these facilities, even though other means of care for these children were problematical. The facilities still had long waiting lists. There was a widespread opinion that the maximum should be raised, and this was done in the new act that continued the program for 2 years. Where one parent worked earning $250, or if both parents worked with combined income of $300 they could then take advantage of these services for one child, and the maximums were raised $50 for each additional child. In servicemen's families, only the dependency allotment and the wife's
salary were counted, the serviceman's salary being exempt. Defense workers were added to the group exempt from these maximums, but all those exempt, regardless of income, then had to pay fees covering the full cost. This was estimated at 37½ cents an hour for preschool, 28½ cents for school-age children, obviously burdensome on many incomes; however, districts were allowed to make some variations.

SAN FRANCISCO

The San Francisco area employed over 295,000 women in 1950, an increase of more than two-thirds above 1940. (See table 5.) The proportion of women among all workers also had increased from 26 percent in 1940 to 30 percent in 1950, a growth greater than in most of the cities visited. The area is reported to have almost 25 in every 100 of the women workers in the State. Employment in nonagricultural occupations (other than household workers) increased considerably from the fall of 1951 to the fall of 1952. (See table 6.) Fewer than a fifth of these women were in manufacturing, a considerably smaller proportion than in most other areas visited. Nondurable industries, of which apparel, food, printing, and chemicals were chief, employed over 60 percent of the women in manufacturing. The metal, electrical and other machinery, shipbuilding, and other durable industries expanding with the defense program employed 17,000 women. The huge naval installations of World War II were enlarging again; few of their employees as yet were women, although during the war a fifth of the workers had been women.

Though many new labor-force demands were for specialized skills, as for example in metal work, in two spring months of 1952 the demand for clerical workers increased by a third, for semiskilled workers by nearly half, and even for the unskilled by a fifth. It was estimated in the spring that by midsummer of 1952, the area's industries would require 10,000 additional women workers, and the employment reported in September 1952 showed the actual increase had been more than twice this. There was no over-all shortage of women workers, since over 17,000 women were unemployed and women were 40 percent of the applicants for jobs at the Employment Service, though only 34 percent of the labor force.

Day-care and school services.—The department of education was providing 34 child-care centers at the time of the Women's Bureau visit in the spring of 1951, and these were filled to capacity. They had waiting lists of over 2,000 eligible applicants and 5,000 more desiring service but unable to qualify for admission (this was prior to raising of the maximum family incomes under which children were eligible).
Aside from those operated by educational authorities, licenses had been granted to 51 child-care facilities, the largest number being small daytime homes. However, most of these did not have a full day’s program. Nineteen were private nurseries, including 2 large church schools enrolling several hundred children; 7 cooperatives open only 2 or 3 hours a day, a type that has increased in the postwar period; 7 commercial nurseries; and 3 nurseries aided by the Community Chest, which took children of 22 months to 4½ years and had increasing applications as early as the fall of 1950. Most facilities had waiting lists.

Evidences of need and future plans.—Continuation of the educational service program was considered of prime importance by all the management, school, labor, and welfare authorities interviewed, both in early 1951 and in mid-1952. They gave unanimous testimony to the belief that more facilities were needed, even in early 1951 when industrial growth was very far from the area’s expected maximum.

The most pressing problem in regard to child-care facilities in the area seemed to be the cost of operation, and suggestions continued to be made in 1952 that fees to parents be increased and eligibility requirements also be further liberalized. Still in many cases parents found existing fees difficult to meet. It was also thought that additional building space was required, since schools already are overcrowded. This need will be intensified if defense industries continue to expand and do mass hiring.

The increasing demands on the already inadequate private commercial facilities indicate that additions to this type also are needed, though many of them operate short hours and would not be helpful to working mothers. Moreover, in the nature of the case most of them are small and their capacity never would be sufficient to serve the numbers of children cared for by the school facilities.

LOS ANGELES

Los Angeles employs almost half of the women workers in California, having over 574,000 at the 1950 census report, an increase of two-thirds above 1940. (See table 5.) The proportion women constituted of the labor force had increased somewhat, and was 30 percent in 1950, similar to the urban areas in the country as a whole. The employment of women in nonagricultural occupations (except in households) increased notably from 1951 to 1952. (See table 6.) Of these women somewhat more than a fourth were in manufacturing.

Los Angeles ranks first in the country in six industries—aircraft, motion pictures, refrigeration equipment and machinery, canned sea-food, heating and plumbing equipment. It also ranks second in the country in nine additional industries, which include women’s outer
apparel, pressed and blown glass, automobile tires and tubes, and jewelry and silverware. The area has had recent expansions in the electronic industry, and in the making of scientific instruments, where a third of the workers were women. Industrial capacity was expanding rapidly, both for defense and civilian needs, at the time of the Women’s Bureau visit, and 61 new factories were reported in the first 6 months of 1951.

Six plants of 4 major aircraft companies employed nearly 8,500 women in early 1951, constituting about 16 percent of all their workers. In some of these the great majority of the women were in the plant offices, but in the largest company (which employed nearly half the aircraft workers in these plants) two-thirds of the women were on production jobs. Two of these plants, revisited in May 1952, had more than five times as many employees as in February 1951, and the proportion of women in their work force had increased from 16 percent to 19 percent.

School services for children.—The Los Angeles area had 98 child-care centers operated under public-school auspices at the time of the Women’s Bureau visit in early 1951. Of these 66 were nursery schools, 26 gave day care, and the remainder combined the two. Most of them were operating at capacity with waiting lists. They had 11,500 children enrolled in January 1951, and 1,100 new applications were received in the month February 15 to March 15.

The Women’s Bureau representative visited several of the centers. One of these was located in a housing project, operated from 6:30 a.m. to 6 p.m. on 5 days a week, and cared for children whose mothers were employed chiefly in the garment industries. One was in a junior high school, operated 7 a.m. to 6 p.m., and had children whose mothers were largely clerical workers. A third occupied a building erected with Lanham Act funds and adjoining a school; mothers of the 61 children attending included waitresses, saleswomen, and clerical workers, many of whom lived in one-room apartments because rentals were very high in the area. Another center occupied two houses adjoining an elementary school; it took very young children, and it was open 6 days a week from 7 a.m. to 6 p.m. Mothers were chiefly sales and clerical workers. Children 2 and 3 years old were cared for in one of the houses, while those 4 and 5 were in the other house or attended the kindergarten in the adjoining school.

The Los Angeles welfare council has supported the State educational program for child care rather than operate nurseries under the Community Chest, though about 15 of the city’s agencies provided some type of care. Instead, the Community Chest rather has sponsored development of independent homes licensed by the State to care for small numbers of children, some of them by day only,
others for the full 24 hours. Of course, this type of service cannot be sufficient to meet any mass need under an expanded defense program. A number of private nurseries also exist, but they are expensive.

*Evidences of need and future plans.*—The need to maintain and expand the area's child-care facilities was stressed by all the industrial, labor, educational, and welfare officers with which the Women's Bureau agent discussed the subject. These authorities made statements such as that the need for more child-care centers was “evident” and that the facilities were “already inadequate” and would be even more so in view of the industrial expansion expected. Concrete evidence to support these views lay in the more than 1,000 additional applications for child care received in one month early in 1951.

**SAN DIEGO**

The woman labor force in San Diego had very nearly doubled in the decade from 1940 to 1950, and included over 55,000 in 1950. The proportion women constituted of the labor force had not increased, and in 1950 it was 23 percent, much less than in urban areas in the country as a whole. (See table 5.)

The population of San Diego expanded greatly in 1951 and 1952, through in-migration resulting from defense increases in industrial activities. In the spring of 1952 one person in four in the population was a newcomer within a 2-year period. In mid-1952, when the Women's Bureau visited the area, employment was well above that of the World War II peak. Employment of women in nonagricultural occupations (except household work) increased from the fall of 1951 to the fall of 1952 in a proportion considerably greater than in most other areas visited. (See table 6). By late 1952 the employment of women in the area approximated that of the peak period during the war.

A fourth of these women were in manufacturing. The principal industries employing women, aside from the usual service and trade establishments, include a large aircraft plant, repair and assembly plants, and several making jet engine components and airplane parts. Together these employed nearly 12,000 women in the fall of 1952. Among other industries were extensive fish canneries, with women as two-thirds of the workers, and some clothing factories. The Eleventh Naval District, with its various installations, was resuming activities by 1951, and about a fifth of its employees were women, a third of them in the naval air station. Special skills that have been in heavy demand and short supply in the area include those of nurses, laboratory technicians, and well-qualified stenographers and secretaries.
Day-care and school services.—The San Diego area had 25 centers of extended school services under the auspices of the department of education, with over 950 children enrolled in the late fall of 1952. This included extended services for school-age children, as well as nursery schools for those who were younger. Five centers were open on 6 days a week, the remainder only on 5 days. Care per child averaged 9½ hours a day. The nursery schools located in the central part of the city were filled and had waiting lists, though some in outlying sections were not operating to capacity because not located conveniently for mothers. It was estimated that a large proportion of the children in these school centers had mothers who were the only wage earners in their families.

In the fall of 1952 over 200 licensed homes in San Diego were giving day care to small numbers of children, and more were in the process of being licensed. This was in addition to over 500 full-time foster homes. The number of children cared for was small for each of these homes, the maximum usually being six, but together they cared for over 1,860 children.

Twenty-three commercial nurseries in the area, 10 in the city proper, and 13 in nearby communities were serving over 530 children. Thus, a total of some 3,350 children had full-time service, through schools or other licensed agencies, and great pressure continued for additional services. Besides this, there were 12 part-time private nurseries with 300 children enrolled, but such facilities probably cannot meet the needs of most working mothers.

Evidences of need and future plans.—There were unmistakable evidences of an acute need for expansion of day-care facilities in the San Diego area. Even as early as mid-1951 educational authorities estimated that the extended school program required immediately six or seven additional buildings. In the early part of 1952 new applications were coming in at the rate of 50 a day, and by mid-1952 some 5,000 applications had been received over about 10 months’ time. Many of these children could not be given places, either because of insufficient facilities or because of the maximum income limitations explained above.

At the time of the Women’s Bureau visit in mid-1952, it was expected that the current shortage of child-care facilities would continue. Even with the removal by the fall of 1952 of some naval personnel to nearby places because of the shortage of housing and other facilities in San Diego, only a small proportion of the mothers needing day care for their children had been affected, and the stream of additional new applicants continued.

Some of the aircraft companies had requested aid in finding day care for children of experienced former employees whom they needed
to reemploy, but who could not accept jobs unless they could find satisfactory care for their children. Educational and aircraft-plant officers were canvassing possibilities for space to be furnished by the plants, with the educational authorities providing equipment and personnel for services to children.

To complicate still more the shortage of child-care facilities, three nursery schools located in the central part of the city, where population increases and added child-care demands had been greatest, had been forced to close early in the fall of 1952 because the facilities had to be used for first-grade children. At the time of the Women’s Bureau visit the effort was being made to secure the loan of Navy Quonset huts, both for replacing the three facilities that must be given up and for increasing services in areas where nursery schools are most needed. The necessary equipment would be provided by the board of education.

Aside from insufficiency of facilities, two further problems beset the patrons and the managers of the child-care program. One of these was the sometimes unsatisfactory location of the centers. The best use can be made of nurseries and school services if located near the mother’s home or workplace or on transportation routes that enable employed mothers to leave children and pick them up again while en route to and from their jobs.

The other problem was that fees often proved burdensome, even though efforts were made to adjust them to the extent possible. Defense workers can enter their children without regard to their scale of earnings, but, regardless of income, they must pay the full cost of care. For the usual 9- to 9½-hour day this averages $13.30 for a 5-day week or $15.96 for a 6-day week, too high a rate for many mothers. In some cases costs amounted to more than in some commercial nurseries, though the latter may not always give care comparable to that of the educational services.

The San Diego area labor-management committee took cognizance in the spring of 1952 of the serious situation as to day care, and its attendant problems. The committee emphasized that the defense production program would be greatly handicapped by curtailment of the supply of women workers because of lack of child-care facilities. The committee advocated not only continuation of State financing of the program of extended school services, but also a reduction in fees and the still further raising of the maximum income allowable for families in which only one parent was employed.

While in some respects California communities appear to have advantage over many areas, San Diego, as well as other California cities, has not as yet fully met the child-care needs of the large numbers of working mothers. Some observers believe that with a few adjust-
ments in fees and maximum income four times as many public child­care services could be utilized. Many parents cannot now afford the fees.

A further problem is that of transportation of small children to and from established centers, or of creating new centers in the newer and frequently outlying residential districts. It is in these areas that many working mothers live, particularly if they are newer in-migrants to the community.

**Washington**

Seattle was reported by the 1950 census as having an area population of between 700,000 and 800,000. Since the census period, defense industrial activities have been expanding in the area, which is a center for shipbuilding (including Bremerton Navy Yard), extensive manufacture of heavy aircraft, transportation equipment, car and foundry products, and allied industries such as some aluminum processing and fabrication, steel, auto assembly, and manufacture of machine tools. Other important industries are food processing, lumber and furniture production, and some clothing and textiles.

While most of these are not primarily woman-employing industries, some of them may need to train and utilize an additional woman labor force. The city was visited just before mid-1951 and again in mid-1952, because of the effect of defense expansion on the employment of women, most of whom were concentrated in trades and services. Seattle is an air, shipping, and rail center for foreign and domestic trade and transportation to Alaska and the Orient, and for year-round tourist travel. The character of some of the other industries also is influenced by the fact that it is the gateway to Alaska. For example, the local clothing and textile plants produce heavy sport and work clothing suitable for Alaskan wear.

*Employment of women.*—The labor force in the Seattle area included 92,000 women in 1950, an increase of almost 60 percent above the 1940 number, according to census data. Women were 26 percent of the labor force in 1940, and in 1950 had increased to 29 percent, a proportion similar to the 31 percent they constitute in United States urban areas as a whole. (See table 5.) Nonagricultural employment of women continued to increase markedly in 1952, though the proportion of women who were in manufacturing was smaller than in most of the areas visited. In fact almost two-thirds of the women in non-agricultural occupations (except household employment) were in the more traditional women’s employment in trade and service industries where the proportion of women ran as high as 35 percent. (See table 6.)
Of the women in manufacturing about a fifth were in food industries. Almost 60 percent were in the two major defense industries, aircraft and shipbuilding, those in the latter being chiefly in the navy yard in Bremerton. By the summer of 1952 women constituted about a fifth of the work force of the large aircraft plant in the area. Of these over half were plant workers, the remainder in the offices. Some expansion was expected, though these heavy planes are less suited to women’s work than lighter aircraft. For example, the outer “skin” of aluminum is thicker than formerly and requires operations considered too heavy for women.

The naval shipyard began employing women for production jobs in the late spring of 1951, and by late summer had several hundred on such work, a few having acquired journeyman status as welders. Employment had increased in 1952, but personnel offices reported hundreds of applications on file from women who would work if they could get jobs, many of them experienced in World War II; however, men are being recruited from outside the area. Considerably over half the women employed at the navy yard are office workers.

Reports from the area consider the employment of women relatively high, and unless an emergency situation should occur new hiring is expected to be more gradual. The labor market for women in this area is not tight on an over-all basis. There are women receiving unemployment compensation, others unemployed, and still others with previous experience who would enter the labor force again. Wives of servicemen entering the area have difficulty in getting jobs unless they have special skills, since employers think they will be temporary. Further, young women at the University of Washington, with its approximately 16,000 school population, are available in large numbers for part-time jobs.

There is much job shifting in the area, as women move from trade and services to higher-paying work in the defense industries. For example, it was estimated that the average work period in the occupation of waitress was in the neighborhood of 6 months. Furthermore, as is often the case in numerous other areas as well, shortages of women workers with special skills exist, such as nurses, well-qualified stenographers, X-ray and other medical technicians. Lack of child-care facilities was believed to be one deterrent against securing women trained in some occupations.

Even though there is no over-all shortage of women workers, as early as the summer of 1951 the demand exceeded the supply of those under 35 years of age. Many employers request the younger women but the chief defense industries that employ women, aircraft and the navy yard, will take those who are older, especially if experienced in this work, and consider them more stable. Laundries, various
Clothing industries, food processors, and packing houses also consistently employ the women over 35 and think them more stable; many of the younger women are not attracted by these occupations. Among the men and women applicants to the Employment Service for business and professional jobs in a 1952 summer month, about a fourth were over 45 years of age.

The average age of women workers in the Seattle area is reported as about 32 years, while the national average is 37. More than a third of the women aged 25 to 44 are in the labor force. As an illustration of the age characteristics in one occupation in the area, waitresses average 32 years, with a large number in their twenties and few opportunities for older women in the larger establishments.

While there are no complete area figures as to married women workers or employed mothers, it is estimated that well over half the working women are married. This fact is especially apparent in the industries traditionally large employers of women. Nearly all the waitresses, largely young women, are or have been married. The same situation prevails in retail trade. In the needle trades and among packing-house, laundry, and dry-cleaning workers, the majority of women also are married, though as a group somewhat older than waitresses. A similar situation exists in the aircraft industry and the navy yard, with their acceptance of older, more experienced women.

**Day-care services for children.**—In World War II the Seattle area had 26 nursery schools and 26 day-care centers operated with the aid of Lanham funds. The community received an award for the best child-care program in the Nation. A State law authorizes public school administrators to conduct nursery schools. This program has had serious ups and downs with State funds cut off July 1, 1948, restored in the next biennium, and again cut off in mid-1951.

In the spring of 1951, before State funds were withdrawn, nursery schools had an enrollment of about 200 children, of whom over a third were in families where the mother provided the only support, or where one parent was attending school or was ill. Almost 100 additional children were cared for in a Community Chest center for children of low-income families.

The nursery schools were open from 7 a.m. to 6 p.m. on 5 days a week; parents paid $1.50 a day per child and the State $1.25. School authorities estimated in 1952 that to meet the actual cost of the services this total of $2.75 per child would have to be raised to $3.75. None of these schools were adjacent to the large aircraft plant or the shipyards, though preference in admission was given to military personnel and defense workers.

After withdrawal of State funds some of the nursery schools closed...
at once, others made some plan to continue for a time but finally closed. One that attempted to continue had free rent and services, since it was in a housing project. Despite this, the fees necessary to cover expenses (a flat $12.50 a week) were beyond what patrons felt they could afford, so enrollment declined and this nursery school had to close.

Only one nursery school remains, and this is now conducted under welfare rather than school auspices, though at the time of the Women’s Bureau visit it still remained open to applicants without fixing the upper income limit of $300 per month required by welfare facilities. In fact, at that time more than a third of the families patronizing it had monthly incomes above $300. When closing of this school was threatened in 1951, mothers were asked what they would do if it closed. Nearly a fifth of them said they would quit work, but some of these would have to go on relief. The great majority had no plans, though a tenth said they would try to find a private nursery or neighbors who would help. A few said they would be forced to put their children in institutions. This is at a time when more young women with children are working than ever before, the majority from economic necessity. This nursery school accommodates about 70 children and besides parents’ fees now receives support from the Community Chest and the Junior League. Fees range from $2.50 to $12.50, depending on income. These were determined by case-work investigation, a feature some parents at first objected to, since it had not been a part of the nursery-school program.

A recent plan of the Community Chest seeks to combine this facility with the day nursery supported for many years by the Chest, which serves about 100 children in two branches in different parts of the city, each with a long waiting list. In this Chest nursery, admission is limited to those with family income not over $300 a month. Under the combination plan a board of representatives from each agency would advise on policy. However, admission to the limited facilities available would have to be determined on the basis of the most pressing necessity for care, and the distinguishing feature of the nursery schools, accessibility to all working mothers, might be lost.

The extent to which care is given on a commercial basis in nurseries and private homes has not been fully ascertained. Welfare authorities responsible for licensing have an arrangement with local newspapers whereby any person seeking to insert a child-care advertisement will be referred for clearance to the proper agency. It was estimated that known commercial nurseries and kindergartens were giving full-day care to more than 600 children. This included at least 350 in 15 nurseries, those in several full-day kindergartens and in one small cooperative serving chiefly student-veteran families, over
100 in 3 Chinese or Japanese Baptist or Buddhist facilities, and about 100 in 32 day-care homes. About 200 additional children were enrolled in half-day kindergartens or nurseries, which, of course, are not as well adapted as full-time facilities to the needs of most employed mothers.

Fees in the majority of the commercial nurseries were $2.50 to $3 a day, though they ran as low as $2.25 and as high as $3.50. Day-care homes usually charged $2 to $2.50. The Chinese church nursery had a fee of $6 a month, the Japanese $13 a month.

Although the schools do not offer extended services, the city and county park board recreation programs for school-age children are being used by parents for this purpose. They include 26 social and recreation centers throughout the county, with year-round afternoon and evening programs, as well as about 65 school and small-park playfields used as long as weather permits, and gymnasiums available through the winter. Recreation supervisors report extensive use of these daily by children after school hours, and believe many of these have parents at work. The staff also notes that in summer larger numbers of children bring lunches and remain all day.

Evidences of need and future plans.—If the proportion of married women workers who have children under 18 can be estimated from the national figure, some 25,000 of the women workers in Seattle have one or more children. The known facilities for full-day care can provide for fewer than 1,000 children and thus appear very far from adequate. The need for expansion rather than curtailment is shown further by the fact that existing facilities were filled to capacity and had waiting lists of children as yet unable to enter. The county welfare department received at least six calls a day from mothers wanting information on child-care facilities.

Even though employment expansion to the full World War II level is not expected, an increased labor force is in prospect, including some increases among women. Plans for this take into consideration the shortage of home accommodations for any great incoming new population, so that it appears that as much as possible of the necessary labor should be obtained from available resources now in the community. This means that more married women are likely to be under pressure to enter the labor force, and that provision of the facilities to enable them to go to work, including child care, will be necessary.

Both the large aircraft plant and the shipyards sought to have mothers give assurance that they had adequate care for their children before being taken on the job. Plant, welfare, school and employment officials, as well as parents, thought that the child-care situation was very serious and that further provisions certainly were required.

Reduction of welfare funds had resulted in cuts in the amounts
paid to families under the program for aid to dependent children. Because of this it became necessary for some of the recipients to enter the labor market, and the welfare agencies had insufficient personnel to follow up the families and learn how the children were cared for while their mothers worked.

In September 1952 a significant development occurred which may greatly influence the future program in Washington and also indicate a possible plan of action for other States. The State superintendent of public instruction called a State planning conference, with administrators from the State departments of education, welfare, and health, together with representatives of school and public and private welfare agencies and community leaders from communities where large numbers of women were working. Those present decided to continue as a planning group, pool all available information on existing conditions and programs as well as current surveys and plans, and develop a coordinating State committee to report progress in various areas.