1.13.3: 175

State Teachers College Library

1

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF LABOR WOMEN'S BUREAU Bulletin No. 175

EARNINGS IN THE WOMEN'S AND CHILDREN'S APPAREL INDUSTRY IN THE SPRING OF 1939

Digitized for FRASER http://fraser.stlouisfed.org/ Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

FRANCES PERKINS, Secretary

WOMEN'S BUREAU MARY ANDERSON, Director

EARNINGS IN THE WOMEN'S AND CHILDREN'S APPAREL INDUSTRY IN THE SPRING OF 1939



UNITED STATES
GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE
WASHINGTON: 1940

For sale by the Superintendent of Documents, Washington, D. C. - - - - - - Price 15 cents

CONTENTS

(2012년 1일	Page
Letter of transmittal	VII
Part I —Introduction and summary	
Taivi. Indicate of and Summary	1
Part I.—Introduction and summary— Description of related branches surveyed———————————————————————————————————	1
Extent and location of related branches	2
Extent	2
Table 1	2
Location	3
Scope of study	4
Characteristics of the industry	0
Characteristics of the industry	6 7 9 9
Composition of labor force	7
LearnersHourly earnings of experienced workers	9
Hourly parnings of experienced workers	0
flourly earnings of experienced workers.	9
Comparison by branch	9
Comparison by area	11
Port II Warrania daran mind daran	
Fart 11.— women's dozen-priced dresses	15
Scope of survey Labor costs	16
Labor costs	
T T	17
Learners	17
Earnings and hours	18
Hourly comings	
Hourly earnings	18
Hourly earnings by wholesale price of dress	22
Hourly earnings by type of organization	23
Havily coming by the distribution	20
nourly earnings by occupation.	23
Hourly earnings by occupation Hourly earnings in union and nonunion shops	26
Hourly earnings in the various firms	27
Transport of the various irrins	21
Hours worked	28
Week's earnings	29
Week's earnings	33
Constitution of the priced diesses	
Scope of survey	33
Labor costs	35
Learners	36
The state of the s	
Earnings and hours	37
Hourly earnings Hourly earnings by wholesale price of dress	37
Hourly earnings by wholesale price of dress	39
Trulings by wholesale price of dress	99
Hourly earnings by type of organization	41
Hourly earnings by occupation	41
Hourly campings in union and nanupion shape	
Hourly earnings by occupation Hourly earnings in union and nonunion shops	44
Hourly earnings in the various firms	45
Hours worked	46
Wook's comings	
Week's earnings	47
Part IV.—Women's blouses	49
Scope of survey	49
Labor costs	
Labor costs	50
Learners	50
Earnings and hours	51
Hourly oppings	
Hourly earnings	51
Hourly earnings by occupation Hourly earnings in union and nonunion shops	53
Hourly earnings in union and nonunion shops	56
Hourly earnings in the various firms	
from the various firms	56
Hours worked	57
Week's earnings	58
Week's earnings Part V.—Children's and infants' outerwear	
Total S and illands outerwear	60
bcope of survey	60
Labor costs	61
Learners	
Learners	62
Earnings and hours	62
Hourly earnings	62
Housely company by compating	
Hourly earnings by occupation	64
Hourly earnings in union and nonunion shops	67
Hourly earnings in the various firms	67
House worked	
Hours worked	68
Week's earnings	68

Part VI.—Corsets and allied garments Scope of survey Labor costs Learners Earnings and hours Hourly earnings Hourly earnings by occupation Hourly earnings in union and nonunion shops	71 72 72 73 73 75
Hourly earnings in the various firms Hours worked Week's earnings Part VII.—Underwear and nightwear Scope of survey Labor costs Learners Earnings and hours Hourly earnings Hourly earnings by occupation Hourly earnings in union and nonunion shops Hourly earnings in the various firms Hours worked Week's earnings	78 79 79 81 81 82 83 83 85 85 88 88 88
TABLES	
WOMEN'S AND CHILDREN'S APPAREL	
 I. Extent of industry in the spring of 1939 and number of firms and en ployees included in survey II. Location of industry in the spring of 1939 and number of firms an 	4
employees included in survey	
IV. Hourly earnings of experienced employees in the industry, by branc of industry	
V. Hourly earnings of experienced employees in the industry, by area	10 12
WOMEN'S DOZEN-PRICED DRESSES	
 I. Extent and location of the industry in the spring of 1939 and number of firms and employees included in survey. II. Hourly earnings of experienced employees in the industry, by area. III. Average hourly earnings of experienced employees in the industry, by wholesale price of dress and by area. IV. Average hourly earnings of experienced employees in the industry, by 	16 20 y 22
occupation and by area	_ 25 1-
VI. Week's earnings of experienced employees in the industry, by area.	- 29 - 30
WOMEN'S UNIT-PRICED DRESSES	
I. Extent and location of the industry in the spring of 1939 and number of firms and employees included in survey	er - 35
II. Hourly earnings of experienced employees in the industry, by area	38
wholesale price of dress and by areaIV. Average hourly earnings of experienced employees in the industry, b	- 40 y
V. Hours worked in the pay-roll week recorded by employees in the ir dustry	
VI. Week's earnings of experienced employees in the industry, by area_	48
WOMEN'S BLOUSES	
 I. Extent and location of the industry in the spring of 1939 and number of firms and employees included in survey. II. Hourly earnings of experienced employees in the industry, by area. III. Average hourly earnings of experienced employees in the industry by occupation and by area. 	- 50 - 52

		Dom
IV.	Hours worked in the pay-roll week recorded by employees in the in-	Pag
V.	dustry ————————————————————————————————————	5
	CHILDREN'S AND INFANTS' OUTERWEAR	
	Extent and location of industry in the spring of 1939 and number of firms and employees included in survey	6
III.	Hourly earnings of experienced employees in the industry, by area—Average hourly earnings of experienced employees in the industry, by	6
IV.	occupation and by areaHours worked in the pay-roll week recorded by employees in the industry	6
V.	Week's earnings of experienced employees in the industry, by area	6
	CORSETS AND ALLIED GARMENTS	
II.	Extent and location of the industry in the spring of 1939 and number of firms and employees included in survey————————————————————————————————————	7 7 7 7
V.	Week's earnings of experienced employees in the industry, by area	8
	UNDERWEAR AND NIGHTWEAR	
II. III.	Extent and location of the industry in the spring of 1939 and number of firms and employees included in survey————————————————————————————————————	8 8
V.	Week's earnings of experienced employees in the industry, by area	8

The state of the s

CHEST HAND THE RESTRICT OF

LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF LABOR, WOMEN'S BUREAU, Washington, November 22, 1939.

Madam: I have the honor to transmit a report on earnings in the women's and children's apparel industry in the spring of 1939. This report is based on the extensive survey made by the Women's Bureau for the Wage and Hour Division, in which pay-roll data were secured for almost half the firms and practically two-thirds of the factory employees known to be in the business. Eighty-five percent of the employees were women.

Six branches of the industry are included—Women's dozen-priced dresses and uniforms, women's unit-priced dresses, women's blouses, children's and infants' outerwear, corsets and allied garments, and

underwear and nightwear.

Besides the week's earnings and hourly earnings of employees, and a comparison of earnings in union and nonunion plants, the firms'

policies concerning learners were inquired into.

The study was planned and directed by Bertha M. Nienburg, Chief Economist of the Bureau. The field work was under the supervision of Caroline Manning and Ethel Erickson, the statistical work was directed by Isadore Spring, and the report as presented here was written by Arthur T. Sutherland.

Respectfully submitted.

MARY ANDERSON, Director.

Hon. Frances Perkins, Secretary of Labor.

VII

EARNINGS IN THE WOMEN'S AND CHILDREN'S APPAREL INDUSTRY IN THE SPRING OF 1939

Part I.—INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY

The survey on which this report of earnings and hours in the women's and children's apparel industry is based was made by the Women's Bureau at the request of the Wage and Hour Division to furnish current data to the Industry Committee for the Women's Apparel Industry, set up under the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938.1

DESCRIPTION OF RELATED BRANCHES SURVEYED

The survey included the following branches: Women's dresses and service uniforms of all types, women's blouses, children's and infants' outerwear, corsets and allied garments, and women's and children's underwear and nightwear. It does not include the millinery industry, a separate study of which was made in 1938; nor does it include the women's coat and suit industry. The latter industry was not surveyed because previous studies showed higher rates for the mass of employees than the minimum rates that may be recommended by industry committees under the Fair Labor Standards Act.2

Women's dresses and service uniforms.

Earnings in the women's dress industry are considered under two classifications, dresses wholesaling by the unit and dresses wholesaling by the dozen. Some years ago these two types were designated respectively as street dresses or silk dresses and as house dresses or cotton wash dresses, a distinction that exists no longer. The manufacturers of dresses wholesaling by the dozen, dresses intended originally for house use only, have styled some of their dresses, used rayoncotton mixed materials, and produced garments that are worn for street as well as house use. This action brought a part of their production in direct competition with the cheaper quality of silk street dress. Silk dress manufacturers, in turn, had to use cheaper materials of mixed fabric in order to meet the inroads into their market. Today it is not feasible to designate dresses by the material of which they are made, as mixtures are in general use. While many dresses sold by the dozen still are made for housework use, while the higher-priced street dress holds its own markets, in the popular-price field competition between firms wholesaling dresses by the dozen and firms whole-

¹ Much more detailed data than are published here were presented to the committee. The detailed tables are available in the files of the Women's Bureau for examination by interested persons.
² The minimum amount guaranteed to the lowest-paid occupational group in the Metropolitan District under the contract of the Industrial Council of Cloak, Suit, and Skirt Manufacturers Inc. and the International Ladies' Garment Workers' Union for 1937-40 is 80.66 an hour. The term Metropolitan District is said to refer to "the City of New York and all such cities and towns in the States of New York, New Jersey, Connecticut, and Pennsylvania in which garments are being manufactured by or for members of the Council or other manufacturers, merchants, jobbers, or wholesalers doing business in the City of New York."

saling dresses by the unit is keen. As the system of manufacturing a dress has varied in the two groups of firms, the one group tending to subdivide manufacturing processes among many workers and the other to employ skilled operators to sew the dress in its entirety, relative wages paid are an important factor in successful competition.

The distribution of hourly earnings in the detailed report is shown not only for dresses wholesaling by the unit and for those wholesaling by the dozen but by comparative price ranges. Included in dresses wholesaling by the dozen are service uniforms, aprons, and specially named washable sports garments.

Women's blouses.

While some firms specialize in blouse manufacture, others produce blouses along with other types of garments. For the purposes of this survey, all firms whose largest volume of production was blouses during the spring period for which pay-roll data were secured were considered blouse manufacturers.

Children's and infants' outerwear.

Firms specializing in infants' outerwear or children's playsuits, dresses, coats, and suits are included in this classification. Men's work-clothing factories that produce some children's play suits or similar garments are not covered, as such factories are classed as in the men's work-clothing industry.

Home workers employed by infants' and children's wear factories or jobbers have not been included in the study, as time did not permit visits to individual homes to ascertain hours worked and earnings.

Corsets and allied garments.

The corset and allied-garment industry includes factories making foundation garments, known as girdles, corsets, surgical belts, combinations, brassieres, and corset accessories. Local sales outlets of firms doing a semicustom business are not included.

Underwear and nightwear.

The underwear and nightwear branch of the apparel industry includes factories sewing woven or purchased knitted fabric into women's shirts, bloomers, step-ins, athletic underwear, slips and petticoats, negligees, and housecoats or similar garments, and women's, children's and infants' nightgowns, pajamas, and bath robes. Factories knitting underwear fabrics and sewing the knitted material into garments are not included, as they had been covered in an earlier study of the knit-goods industry. Some factories included in this branch made other garments in less volume during the pay-roll period covered or at some time in 1938.

EXTENT AND LOCATION OF RELATED BRANCHES

Extent.

Every effort was made to determine the size of the women's and children's apparel industry in the spring of 1939. Existing conditions,

however, made an accurate count difficult. Not only has the firm mortality rate been so high since 1937 as to make the Census of 1937 of doubtful value as a measuring rod in 1939, but firms closed, changed products, changed from manufacturing to wholesaling, merged, or

moved during the 3½ months the survey was in progress.

Through consultation with State department of labor officials, local trade associations, local unions, and individual firms, and through the cooperation of telephone company officials, it is believed that all firms in business outside New York City were located and their major spring product determined. In New York City difficulty was encountered in distinguishing manufacturing jobbers from whole-salers and from jobbers who furnished materials to contractors but performed no manufacturing operations in their salesrooms. Every effort was made to exclude all jobbers from the count except those employing workers on manufacturing processes. In the unit-priced-dress branch of manufacture in New York City, the number of firms was so large, the firms entering business and those leaving it were so many, that a complete check on all firms in operation in the spring of 1939 was not complete when the survey had to be terminated. Accordingly, the totals given by the Census of Manufactures for 1937 have been used for this branch in New York City though there are indications that the number of firms has materially decreased in the last 2 years.

It would appear, therefore, that in the spring of 1939 there were about 4,700 firms engaged in the 6 branches of the women's and children's apparel industry included in this survey. These firms gave employment to approximately 216,500 persons in their factories. The largest proportion of workers were employed in the unit-priced-dress branch, which had 39.6 percent of all the workers. The dozen-priced-dress branch employed 48,700 persons, or 22.5 percent of the total. Plants making principally underwear and nightwear in the spring gave employment to over 30,000 persons, and firms specializing on blouses employed over 10,000. Attention should be called to the fact that firms listed as blouse manufacturers in the spring of 1939 may have made underwear or other garments to a less degree during that season or may have made such garments with or without blouses during 1938. Little shifting of product, however, occurs in the corset and allied-garment branch or in the children's and infants' outerwear branch; the first employs about 17,000 workers, the second

over 24,000 workers.

Location.

The center of the women's and children's apparel industry is New York City. Over 40 percent of the workers in these branches of clothing manufacture were employed in the city and State of New York. The second largest groups were in New Jersey and Pennsylvania, the proportion in each of these States representing approximately one-eighth of the total. Next in rank according to numbers employed was Illinois, with about 7 percent of all workers. Connecticut had 5 percent and Massachusetts 4 percent of the total. California had less than 4 percent. In addition to Illinois, midwestern States each employing more than 1 percent of the total were Indiana, Michigan, Missouri, and Ohio. In the South only

Texas employed as many as 1 percent of the total workers in the United States; the other southern States with workers so engaged

were Georgia, Virginia, Tennessee, Arkansas, and Kentucky.
While New York leads in five branches of the women's and children's apparel industry, its position is especially dominant in the unitpriced-dress branch and in the underwear and nightwear branch. Pennsylvania leads in dozen-priced dresses, the branch that is more widely scattered than any other in women's and children's apparel. Illinois gives employment to almost as many persons in this branch as does New York, and Missouri ranks fifth in numbers employed on the dozen-priced dresses.

Table I.—Extent of WOMEN'S AND CHILDREN'S APPAREL INDUSTRY in the spring of 1939 and number of firms and employees included in survey

	Firms in	of 1939	s, spring	Firms included in survey								
Related industry group	Firms—	Factor	y em- yees	Firms—	Factory employees							
(1941 and south and the many successful the means	Num- ber	Num- ber	Per- cent	Num- ber	Total num- ber	Per- cent	Men	Wom- en				
Total Percent	4, 692 100. 0	216, 461 100. 0	100.0	2, 287 48. 7	141, 607 65. 4	100.0	20, 535	121, 072				
Dozen-priced dresses Unit-priced dresses Women's blouses Children's and infants' outer-	816 2, 463 295	48, 704 85, 796 10, 324	22. 5 39. 6 4. 8	399 1, 104 156	32, 612 52, 380 7, 204	23. 0 37. 0 5. 1	2, 920 12, 145 601	29, 692 40, 235 6, 603				
wear Corsets and allied garments Underwear and nightwear	431 194 493	24, 304 17, 185 30, 148	11. 2 7. 9 13. 9	238 100 290	16, 882 10, 070 22, 459	11. 9 7. 1 15. 9	1, 655 1, 182 2, 032	15, 227 8, 888 20, 427				

Pennsylvania ranks a close second to New York in the children's and infants' outerwear industry, with New Jersey ranking third. The corset and allied-garment industry is situated chiefly in New York, New Jersey, Connecticut, and Illinois, though Indiana, Michigan, and Massachusetts have fairly large plants.

SCOPE OF STUDY

Investigators from the Women's Bureau visited women's and children's apparel manufacturers between February 1 and May 6, 1939. A pay roll for a period of steady operation was requested. Usually the record copied was for a pay period in February, March, or April, though an earlier period had to be taken for a few firms in which employment was irregular during those months. The amount of production of each type of garment made in the pay-roll period taken was secured, and firms were allocated to the branch in which fell the major product made during this time, regardless of type of manufacture in 1938.

Wherever total costs, manufacturing costs, and labor costs for 1938 could be copied from firm records at the plant without making original computations, these data were secured in order to indicate proportion labor costs were of total cost of production in that year. Information concerning beginners or learners and the policies relating thereto also was requested, as was other information necessary to a

correct interpretation of the wage-rate structure.

The total number of firms for which usable pay-roll data were taken was 2,287, or 48.7 percent of all firms in the women's and children's apparel industry in the spring of 1939. These firms were in 22 States. The proportion scheduled was approximately 50 percent or more in all branches of the industry but unit-priced dresses, where the dominance of New York City made a somewhat smaller sample representative.

The number of employees in these firms was 141,607, or 65.4 percent of all employed in the spring of 1939. The distribution of the employees in the various branches was much the same for those for whom information was secured as for those in the entire industry. Thirty-seven percent were making the unit-priced dress, 23 percent the dozen-priced dress, 16 percent underwear and nightwear, 12 percent children's and infants' outerwear, and smaller proportions were

in the corset and the blouse branches.

Forty percent of the employees included in the survey, as compared to a 41.8 percent representation in the entire women's and children's apparel industry, were working in New York. Fourteen and one-half percent of the employee representation in the survey were in New Jersey, and 13 percent in Pennsylvania, proportions closely paralleling the States' representation in the entire industry. Table II compares the total numbers in the industry in each State with the numbers included in the earnings survey.

Table II.—Location of WOMEN'S AND CHILDREN'S APPAREL INDUS-TRY in the spring of 1939 and number of firms and employees included in survey

To the teacher		ms in busin pring of 193		Firms included in survey				
State	Firms—	Factory e	mployees	Firms—	Factory employees			
	Number	Number	Percent	Number	Number	Percent		
Total	4, 692	216, 461	100.0	2, 287	141, 607	100.0		
Arkansas and Kentucky California Connecticut Geordia Illinois	8 253 119 17 256	590 7, 727 10, 967 863 14, 475	0.3 3.6 5.1 .4 6.7	3 118 66 13 117	485 4, 730 6, 980 678 8, 520	0.3 3.3 4.9 .5 6.0		
Indiana Maryland Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota	26 39 155 29 29	3, 232 1, 972 9, 160 2, 977 1, 238	1. 5 . 9 4. 2 1. 4 . 6	14 17 76 19 19	2, 162 1, 018 6, 201 2, 137 1, 036	1. 5 . 7 4. 4 1. 5		
Missouri New Jersey New York Ohio Pennsylvania	96 549 2, 578 57 358	7, 169 29, 379 90, 423 3, 264 27, 120	3. 3 13. 6 41. 8 1. 5 12. 5	55 268 1, 186 31 224	5, 272 20, 497 56, 964 2, 355 18, 562	3. 7 14. 5 40. 2 1. 7 13. 1		
Tennessee Texas Utah Virginia Washington	6 50 12 11 22	614 2, 320 487 718 531	.3 1.1 .2 .3 .2	5 29 5 10 5	594 1, 795 304 670 79	. 4 1. 3 . 2 . 5		
Wisconsin	13 9	1, 093 142	.5	7	568	.4		

CHARACTERISTICS OF THE INDUSTRY

In great measure, women's and children's apparel is manufactured in small shops. From 20 percent to 30 percent of the plants in each of the related branches employed fewer than 25 workers in the spring of 1939. Sixty-eight percent of the factories producing the unit-priced dress employed less than 50 workers in this, their busy season. Almost two-thirds of the blouse shops, about one-half of the factories making the dozen-priced dress, and half of those making underwear and nightwear and making children's outerwear, employed less than 50 persons. In corset and allied-garment manufacture the proportion of small shops was less; 43 percent employed fewer than 50 workers.

Factories of 200 and more employees were nonexistent in blouse manufacture and only 11 of the 1,104 plants scheduled in unit-priced dresses were of such size. Fifteen percent of corset and allied-garment plants employed 200 or more workers, and 11 percent of the dozen-priced dress or uniform plants were so large. Only 7 and 5 percent, respectively, of the firms making underwear and nightwear and children's outerwear employed 200 or more persons. The summary following shows the size of the firms scheduled in each branch of the industry.

adl atia elettricina a v		Percent of firms that had—												
Branch of Industry	Num- ber of firms	Under 25 em- ploy- ees	25, under 50 em- ploy- ees	50, under 75 em- ploy- ees	75, under 100 em- ploy- ees	100, under 200 em- ploy- ees	200, under 300 em- ploy- ees	300 em- ploy- ees and over						
Total—NumberPercent	2, 287 100. 0	576 25. 2	802 35. 1	403 17. 6	169 7. 4	234 10. 2	56 2. 4	47 2. 1						
Dozen-priced dresses Unit-priced dresses Blouses	399 1, 104 156	27. 6 23. 5 30. 1	25. 0 44. 8 35. 3	13. 8 18. 6 18. 6	9. 0 6. 2 9. 0	14. 0 6. 0 7. 1	6.0	4.8						
Children's and infants' outerwear Corsets and allied garments Underwear and nightwear	238 100 290	20. 6 27. 0 29. 0	29. 8 16. 0 22. 4	21. 4 15. 0 16. 6	8. 4 10. 0 7. 6	14. 3 17. 0 17. 2	2. 5 8. 0 4. 8	2. 9 7. 0 2. 4						

Another characteristic of some branches of the industry is the jobber-contractor system. Instead of the regular type of manufacturing where the firm purchases materials and makes them up into finished garments, under the jobber-contractor system the jobber purchases the materials and designs and may cut the materials and make up samples, after which the materials are sent to the contractors to sew into garments. Jobbers who do no manufacturing themselves have not been included in this survey. In the unit-priced-dress branch, the contractor predominates in the metropolitan area where much of this manufacture is done. He is also the more important producer of blouses. On the other hand, two-thirds of the producers of the dozenpriced dress are inside manufacturers. Children's and infants' outerwear and women's and children's underwear and nightwear also are made more frequently in inside shops, and corsets and allied garments are made almost entirely in such shops. As earnings in contract shops did not differ noticeably from those of inside shops, no tables showing them separately have been drafted.

The unit-priced dress and the blouse branch of the industry have a high degree of unionization. Over half the children's outerwear and the underwear and nightwear branches are organized. Only that part of the corset branch that is in New York City is partially unionized. Unionization in dozen-priced dresses is about one-third.

Composition of labor force.

Data pertaining to the composition of the labor force in firms making women's and children's apparel show that this industry is of major importance in furnishing employment to women. Of the 137,932 experienced employees whose occupations were reported, the very great majority, 85 percent, were women, who comprised 77 percent of all employees in firms making unit-priced dresses and were from 88 to 92 percent of the employees in the firms making other types of apparel.

In the manufacture of these types of garments there are several principal operations, and in general certain operations are performed almost exclusively by women and others largely by men. An examination of table III shows that there were only a few instances where the relative proportions of men and women in an occupational group varied to any appreciable extent among the different branches of the industry. The largest occupational group consisted of machine operators and numbered 83,758, or 61 percent of all workers reported. Well over nine-tenths (95 percent) of this large group were women, the proportion varying from 87 percent in unit-priced dresses and 90 percent in children's outerwear to 99 percent or more in all other branches. The hand workers—finishers, inspectors, and packers—comprised the next largest group, 21,806 persons, or 16 percent of the total. About 99 percent of this group were women.

The third group in size were the pressers, 10,896 persons, and again the majority, though but 65 percent, were women. However, the relative proportions of men and women in this occupation varied considerably among the industry branches. In all but unit-priced dresses, from 91 to 96 percent of the pressers were women, but in the unit-priced-dress firms almost four-fifths of the pressers were men. Workers on cutting operations numbered 6,711, or 5 percent of the total, and these were predominantly men, who formed 84 percent of the group. The proportion of men among the cutters in the various branches ranged from 72 percent in dozen-priced dresses to 92 percent in blouses and in unit-priced dresses. Another small group of manufacturing employees did general indirect labor, comprising a group of unskilled workers who did odd jobs around the plant. These numbered 4,189, and 76 percent of them were women.

There were also several groups of nonmanufacturing workers employed in the apparel firms for whom pay-roll data were reported. Two of these groups, the shipping and maintenance workers, together comprised about 3 percent of the total, and roughly nine-tenths in each case were men. Supervisors and machinists (combined because of the small number of machinists) and plant clerical workers together made up 5 percent of the work force. In the unit-priced-dress firms three-fifths of the clerical workers were men, but in all other cases the large majority of workers in these two classes were women.

Table III.—Occupations in the various branches of the WOMEN'S AND CHILDREN'S APPAREL INDUSTRY, by sex of employees

	Number of men and women in the occupations specified in—													
Occupation and sex	All br	anches	Dozen dre	-priced sses	Unit- dre	priced sses	Blo	uses	Childre infants'	en's and outerwear	Corsets and allied garments			vear and twear
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Total employees Men Women	137, 932 20, 397 117, 535	100. 0 14. 8 85. 2	31, 332 2, 884 28, 448	100. 0 9. 2 90. 8	51, 688 12, 087 39, 601	100. 0 23. 4 76. 6	6, 964 592 6, 372	100. 0 8. 5 91. 5	16, 542 1, 645 14. 897	100. 0 9. 9 90. 1	9, 702 1, 171 8, 531	100. 0 12. 1 87. 9	21, 704 2, 018 19, 686	100. 0 9. 3 90. 7
Machine operators—Total Men	83, 758 4, 249 79, 509	100. 0 5. 1 94. 9	20, 455 119 20, 336	100. 0 . 6 99. 4	29, 323 3, 892 25, 431	100. 0 13. 3 86. 7	4, 507 48 4, 459	100. 0 1. 1 98. 9	9, 560 107 9, 453	100. 0 9. 9 90. 1	6, 134	100.0	13, 779 74	100.0
Hand finishers, inspectors, and packers—Total	21, 806 256 21, 550	100. 0 1. 2 98. 8	3, 476 21 3, 455	100. 0 . 6 . 99. 4	10, 131 85 10, 046	100. 0 . 8 99. 2	1, 030 9 1, 021	100.0	2, 583 49 2, 534	100.0	6, 125 1, 502	100.0	13, 705 3, 084 89	99. 5 100. 0 2. 9
Cutters—Total	6, 711 5, 635 1, 076	100. 0 84. 0 16. 0	1, 613 1, 157 456	100. 0 71. 7 28. 3	2, 471 2, 273 198	100. 0 92. 0 8. 0	237 218 19	100. 0 92. 0 8. 0	723 591 132	98. 1 100. 0 81. 7 18. 3	1, 499 598 517 81	99. 8 100. 0 86. 5 13. 5	2, 995 1, 069 879	97. 1 100. 0 82. 2
Pressers—Total	10, 896 3, 813 7, 083	100. 0 35. 0 65. 0	2, 509 182 2, 327	100. 0 7. 3 92. 7	4, 267 3, 348 919	100. 0 78. 5 21. 5	632 45 587	100. 0 7. 1 92. 9	1, 847 169 1, 678	100. 0 9. 1 90. 9	157 8 149	100. 0 5. 1 94. 9	190 1, 484 61 1, 423	17. 8 100. 0 4. 1 95. 9
General indirect labor—Total Men	4, 189 1, 013 3, 176	100. 0 24. 2 75. 8	921 165 756	100. 0 17. 9 82. 1	1, 320 476 844	100. 0 36. 1 63. 9	176 45 131	100. 0 25. 6 74. 4	615 138 477	100. 0 22. 4 77. 6	311 65 246	100. 0 20. 9 79. 1	846 124 722	100. 0 14. 7 85. 3
Shipping—Total Men Women	3, 240 2, 799 441	100. 0 86. 4 13. 6	632 500 132	100. 0 79. 1 20. 9	1, 186 1, 131 55	100. 0 95. 4 4. 6	162 151 11	100. 0 93. 2 6. 8	451 364 87	100. 0 80. 7 19. 3	279 240 39	100. 0 86. 0 14. 0	530 413	100. 0 77. 9 22. 1
Maintenance—Total Men Women	949 855 94	100. 0 90. 1 9. 9	270 241 29	100. 0 89. 3 10. 7	170 156 14	100. 0 91. 8 8. 2	33 30 3	100. 0 90. 9 9. 1	88 70 18	100. 0 79. 5 20. 5	205 187 18	100. 0 91. 2	117 183 171	100. 0 93. 4
Supervisory and machinists— Total Men Women	5, 504 1, 532 3, 972	100. 0 27. 8 72. 2	1, 172 445 727	100. 0 38. 0 62. 0	2, 610 597 2, 013	100. 0 22. 9 77. 1	157 38 119	100. 0 24. 2 75. 8	576 147 429	100. 0 25. 5	359 110	8. 8 100. 0 30. 6	630 195	6. 6 100. 0 31. 0
Plant clerical—Total————————————————————————————————————	879 245 634	100. 0 27. 9 72. 1	284 54 230	100. 0 19. 0 81. 0	210 129 81	100. 0 61. 4 38. 6	30 8 22	100. 0 26. 7 73. 3	99 10 89	74. 5 100. 0 10. 1 89. 9	249 157 32 125	69. 4 100. 0 20. 4 79. 6	435 99 12 87	69. 0 100. 0 12. 1 87. 9

LEARNERS

Learners, apprentices, and handicapped persons are not included in the figures just shown for occupational groups, but data were obtained for 3,630 such employees in the firms visited. The smallest group, 235 persons, was in blouse firms, and the largest, 1,280 persons, was in firms making dozen-priced dresses. Firms making unit-priced dresses, with 52,000 employees reported, had only 692 who were

learners or handicapped workers.

The most common earnings paid to learners were 25 cents an hour; the proportion receiving such earnings ranged from 33 percent in blouse firms to 51 percent in firms making the dozen-priced dress. With the exception of the unit-priced-dress and the blouse branches, relatively few of the learners averaged as much as 35 cents an hour; in fact, only from 3 percent in children's outerwear to 15 percent in corsets and allied garments earned 35 cents or more. Twenty-five percent of the learners in blouse firms and 30 percent of those in firms making unit-priced dresses averaged as much as 35 cents.

HOURLY EARNINGS OF EXPERIENCED WORKERS

The hourly earnings presented here are those of experienced workers for whom hour records and earnings records are complete. They were arrived at by dividing the week's earnings by the number of hours worked, for each employee separately. Earnings are computed for regular time only, all overtime payments having been eliminated from such computations.

Comparison by branch.

About 11 percent of all experienced workers in the women's and children's apparel industry were earning under 27.5 cents an hour, and 5 percent were earning 27.5 cents but under 30 cents, in the pay-roll period covered in 1939. The proportion of workers at these lower rates varied greatly in the several branches. Workers on unit-priced dresses earned under 30 cents in only 4 percent of the cases, but the proportion with such earnings on dozen-priced dresses and uniforms was 28 percent. Over a fifth of the employees in the children's and infant's outerwear branch and in the underwear and nightwear branch earned under 30 cents, but only 10 percent in corsets and allied garments and only 6.6 percent in blouses had such low earnings.

When 35 cents an hour is used as the point of comparison, 50 percent of the employees in dozen-priced dresses and uniforms, in contrast to 8 percent of those in unit-priced dresses, earned less than that amount. About two-fifths of the workers both in underwear and nightwear and in children's and infant's outerwear earned less than 35 cents an hour. In corsets and allied garments one-fifth of the workers, and in blouses

a little over a seventh, had earnings below 35 cents.

Table IV.—Hourly earnings of experienced emplayees in the WOMEN'S AND CHILDREN'S APPAREL INDUSTRY, by branch of industry

Hourly earnings (cents)	All em	ployees	Dozen		Unit- dre	priced sses	Blo	uses	Childre infants'	on's and outerwear	Corsets and allied garments		Underwear and nightwear	
(control)	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Number of firms Number of employees Average earnings (cents)_	2, 287 136, 337 55. 5	100.0	399 31, 047 38. 5	100.0	1, 104 51, 055 78. 2	100.0	156 6, 765 53. 1	100.0	238 16, 425 41. 3	100.0	100 9, 628 46. 3	100.0	290 21, 417 41, 5	100. 0
Under 25.0. 25.0, under 27.5. 27.5, under 30.0 30.0, under 32.5 32.5, under 35.0	924 13, 479 6, 120 7, 413 9, 474	0. 7 9. 9 4. 5 5. 4 6. 9	286 6, 060 2, 497 2, 736 3, 903	0. 9 19. 5 8. 0 8. 8 12. 6	147 1, 452 583 807 1, 004	0.3 2.8 1.1 1.6 2.0	58 262 190 233 297	0.9 3.9 2.8 3.4 4.4	123 2, 341 1, 065 1, 388 1, 912	0. 7 14. 3 6. 5 8. 5 11. 6	18 532 375 531 450	0. 2 5. 5 3. 9 5. 5 4. 7	292 2, 832 1, 410 1, 718 1, 908	1. 4 13. 2 6. 6 8. 0 8. 9
35.0, under 37.5 37.5, under 40.0 40.0, under 42.5 42.5, under 45.0 45.0, under 47.5	9, 811 7, 152 7, 865 6, 240 7, 719	7. 2 5. 2 5. 8 4. 6 5. 7	3, 377 2, 368 1, 963 1, 338 1, 311	10. 9 7. 6 6. 3 4. 3 4. 2	993 878 1, 585 1, 360 3, 180	1, 9 1, 7 3, 1 2, 7 6, 2	410 326 487 400 471	6. 1 4. 8 7. 2 5. 9 7. 0	1, 722 1, 217 1, 225 927 900	10. 5 7. 4 7. 5 5. 6 5. 5	899 763 887 1, 055 721	9. 3 7. 9 9. 2 11. 0 7. 5	2, 410 1, 600 1, 718 1, 160 1, 136	11. 3 7. 5 8. 0 5. 4 5. 3
47.5, under 50.0 50.0, under 52.5 52.5, under 55.0 55.0, under 57.5 57.5, under 60.0	4,728 4,964 3,366 3,724 2,376	3. 5 3. 6 2. 5 2. 7 1. 7	759 835 499 495 343	2. 4 2. 9 1. 6 1. 6 1. 1	1, 654 1, 680 1, 192 1, 698 947	3. 2 3. 3 2. 3 3. 3 1. 9	304 592 301 370 215	4. 5 8. 8 4. 4 5. 5 3. 2	660 584 374 319 207	4. 0 3. 6 2. 3 1. 9 1. 3	502 487 387 360 256	5. 2 5. 1 4. 0 3. 7 2. 7	849 736 613 482 408	4. 0 3. 4 2. 9 2. 3 1. 9
60.0, under 62.5 62.5, under 65.0 65.0, under 67.5 67.5, under 70.0 70.0, under 72.5	2, 570 3, 380 2, 295 2, 062 2, 000	1. 9 2. 5 1. 7 1. 5 1. 5	282 339 168 189 133	.9 1.1 .5 .6	1, 333 2, 180 1, 408 1, 249 1, 381	2. 6 4. 3 2. 8 2. 4 2. 7	206 215 249 184 150	3. 0 3. 2 3. 7 2. 7 2. 2	197 155 127 106 82	1. 2 . 9 . 8 . 6	213 203 151 124 98	2. 2 2. 1 1. 6 1. 3 1. 0	339 288 192 210	1. 6 1. 3 . 9 1. 0
72.5, under 75.0 75.0, under 77.5 77.5, under 80.0 80.0, under 82.5 82.5, under 85.0	1, 395 2, 548 1, 244 1, 528 1, 029	1, 0 1, 9 , 9 1, 1 , 8	81 133 89 72 52	.3 .4 .3 .2 .2	1, 011 2, 043 926 1, 222 849	2. 0 4. 0 1. 8 2. 4 1. 7	95 114 69 59 29	1. 4 1. 7 1. 0 . 9	40 67 25 42 21	. 2 . 4 . 2 . 3	58 84 60 46 35	.6 .9 .6 .5	110 107 75 87 43	.7 .5 .5 .4 .4
85.0, under 87.5. 87.5, under 90.0. 90.0, under 92.5. 92.5, under 95.0. 95.0, under 97.5.	1, 610 1, 120 1, 805 1, 069 886	1. 2 . 8 1. 3 . 8 . 6	58 75 69 49 38	.2 .2 .2 .2 .2	1, 372 879 1, 547 928 769	2. 7 1. 7 3. 0 1. 8 1. 5	52 35 40 18 20	.8 .5 .6 .3	34 38 67 23 36	.1 .2 .2 .4 .1	48 39 42 25 14	. 5 . 4 . 4 . 3	46 54 40 26 9	.2 .2 .2 .2 .2
97.5, under 100.0 100.0 and over	861 13, 580	10.0	17 383	1.2	739 12, 059	1. 4 23. 6	16 298	4.4	38 363	2 2 2	13 152	.1	38 325	. 2

¹ The mean—the simple arithmetic average.

² Less than 0.05 percent.

The proportion of employees with earnings as high as 75 cents an hour varied from only 3 to 6 percent in firms making children's and infants' outerwear, dozen-priced dresses, underwear and nightwear, and corsets and allied garments, but was 11 percent in blouse firms

and as much as 46 percent in unit-priced-dress firms.

The relative position of these branches in the wage scale is clear in the average hourly earnings for all workers in a branch. Workers in unit-priced dresses averaged 78 cents an hour; the branch paying second highest was blouse manufacture, in which average earnings of all workers were 53 cents. Corsets and allied garments were third, with an average of 46 cents. Children's and infants' outerwear and women's and children's underwear and nightwear had about the same average, or a little more than 41 cents. Employees of firms making dresses to sell by the dozen had the lowest earnings, the average for this industry being 38.5 cents.

Comparison by area.

The earnings of all employees for whom earnings data were secured in any one State are influenced by the particular branch of the industry important there. New York City, with just over two-thirds of its firms making the unit-priced dress, had the highest average hourly earnings; for the 45,656 employees scheduled the average was 76.8 cents. Only 3 percent earned under 30 cents an hour and only 12 percent under 40 cents an hour, while as many as 23 percent earned \$1 an hour or more. In Chicago the average hourly earnings of all workers were 49.6 cents; here 16 percent earned under 30 cents and 48 percent earned under 40 cents in the spring of 1939. In New Jersey and Pennsylvania, which ranked second and third in regard to number of employees, the average hourly earnings were respectively 46.8 cents and 44.4 cents. Eighteen percent of the New Jersey workers and 24 percent of those in Pennsylvania had hourly earnings below 30 cents, but in these two States 21 percent and 16 percent. respectively, earned 60 cents an hour or more.

Among the remaining States, average hourly earnings varied from 28.6 cents in Tennessee to 50.2 cents in Connecticut. States in this group in which employees averaged more than 45 cents were California, Minnesota, and Ohio. At a relatively low level, with averages below 35 cents, were—in addition to Tennessee—Utah, Texas, Virginia, and Arkansas and Kentucky. While Arkansas and Kentucky, Tennessee, and Utah employ the majority of their workers on the dozen-priced dress, Texas and Virginia have some children's outerwear and some underwear plants, and Texas has some unit-

priced-dress plants as well.

The only States in which less than 10 percent of the women's and children's apparel workers scheduled earned under 30 cents an hour were California, Washington, Minnesota, Wisconsin, and Massachusetts. States in which 18 percent but not 25 percent of all workers had such earnings were New Jersey, Ohio, Indiana, and Pennsylvania. The only areas in which less than half the workers averaged under 40 cents an hour were New York City, Chicago, California, Connecticut, and Minnesota.

Table V.—Hourly earnings of experienced employees in the WOMEN'S AND CHILDREN'S APPAREL INDUSTRY, by area

	Total en	aployees,	Arkans	as and	Calif		Conne	ecticut	0			Illi	nois			
Hourly earnings (cents)	all a	reas 1	Kent	ucky	Carn	orma	Conne	ecticut	Geo	rgia	Chie	cago	Other	Illinois	Ind	iana
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Number of employees A verage earnings 2 (cents)	136, 337 55. 5	100.0	484 32. 0	100.0	4, 376 49. 9	100.0	6, 736 50. 2	100.0	621 39. 5	100.0	5, 931 49. 6	100.0	2, 088 36. 5	100.0	2, 033	100.0
Under 25.0 25.0, under 27.5 27.5, under 30.0 30.0, under 32.5 32.5, under 35.0	924 13, 479 6, 120 7, 413 9, 474	0.7 9.9 4.5 5.4 6.9	1 193 48 56 48	0. 2 39. 9 9. 9 11. 6 9. 9	38 65 54 74 480	0.9 1.5 1.2 1.7 11.0	13 664 317 332 364	0. 2 9. 9 4. 7 4. 9 5. 4	15 118 29 51 58	2. 4 19. 0 4. 7 8. 2 9. 3	28 606 328 346 349	0. 5 10. 2 5. 5 5. 8 5. 9	12 501 173 221 213	0. 6 24. 0 8. 3 10. 6 10. 2	5 309 141 202 189	0. 3 15. 2 6. 9 9. 9 9. 3
35.0, under 37.5	9, 811 7, 152 7, 865 6, 240 7, 719	7. 2 5. 2 5. 8 4. 6 5. 7	45 27 19 18 7	9. 3 5. 6 3. 9 3. 7 1. 4	366 355 473 245 367	8. 4 8. 1 10. 8 5. 6 8. 4	465 377 477 406 433	6. 9 5. 6 7. 1 6. 0 6. 4	56 28 44 34 36	9. 0 4. 5 7. 1 5. 5 5. 8	711 499 377 298 284	12. 0 8. 4 6. 4 5. 0 4. 8	273 182 138 76 47	13. 1 8. 7 6. 6 3. 6 2. 3	222 149 183 143 110	10. 9 7. 3 9. 0 7. 0 5. 4
47.5, under 50.0 50.0, under 52.5 52.5, under 55.0 55.0, under 57.5 57.5, under 60.0	4, 728 4, 964 3, 366 3, 724 2, 376	3. 5 3. 6 2. 5 2. 7 1. 7	2 8 2 1 1	. 4 1. 7 . 4 . 2 . 2	218 273 169 165 110	5. 0 6. 2 3. 9 3. 8 2. 5	270 456 181 195 133	4.0 6.8 2.7 2.9 2.0	32 32 13 21 12	5. 2 5. 2 2. 1 3. 4 1. 9	190 186 136 198 120	3. 2 3. 1 2. 3 3. 3 2. 0	41 36 21 28 14	2. 0 1. 7 1. 0 1. 3 . 7	89 76 37 39 22	4. ± 3. 8 1. 8 1. 9 1. 1
60.0, under 62.5. 62.5, under 65.0. 65.0, under 67.5. 67.5, under 70.0. 70.0, under 72.5.	2, 570 3, 380 2, 295 2, 062 2, 000	1.9 2.5 1.7 1.5 1.5	1 2	. 2	99 154 83 73 70	2.3 3.5 1.9 1.7 1.6	168 184 96 113 118	2.5 2.7 1.4 1.7 1.8	11 4 2 8 3	1.8 .6 .3 1.3	116 112 93 65 55	2.0 1.9 1.6 1.1	4 11 6 9 10	.2 .5 .3 .4 .5	17 11 15 10 6	.8 .5 .7 .5
72.5, under 75.0	1, 395 2, 548 1, 244 1, 529 1, 028	1.0 1.9 .9 1.1	3	. 6	45 61 41 34 12	1. 0 1. 4 . 9 . 8 . 3	63 80 58 210 58	.9 1.2 .9 3.1	3 3	. 2 . 5 . 5 . 2	44 62 66 46 35	.7 1.0 1.1 .8 .6	7 10 19 12 3	.3 .5 .9 .6	9 10 1 4 2	.4 .5 (3) .2 .1
85.0, under 87.5 87.5, under 90.0 90.0, under 92.5 92.5, under 95.0 95.0, under 97.5	1,805	1.2 .8 1.3 .8 .6	-1	. 2	29 35 28 10 8	.7 .8 .6 .2 .2	78 44 57 30 28	1.2 .7 .8 .4 .4	2	. 3	33 34 41 48 26	.6 .6 .7 .8 .4	1 2 1 5 1	(3) (3) (3) (3)	1 5 2 2	(3) .3 .1 .1
97.5, under 100.0 100.0 and over	861 13, 580	10. 0			10 132	3.0	26 242	3.6	1 3	.2	30 369	6.2	11	.5	22	1.1

				West Transfer										New	Yerk	
Hourly earnings (cents)	Mar	yland	Massac	husetts	Mich	nigan	Minn	iesota	Miss	souri	New J	Tersey	New York City		Up-Sta	te New
were filled them	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Number of employees	992	100.0	5, 742	100.0	1, 949	100.0	973 47. 7	100.0	5, 042 43. 5	100. υ	19, 911 46. 8	100.0	45, 656 76. 8	100.0	9, 593 41. 3	100.0
Under 25.0	14 214	1. 4 21. 6 7. 5 13. 5 7. 0	37 255 148 197 552	0.6 4.4 2.6 3.4 9.6	45 299 171 191 179	2.3 15.3 8.8 9.8 9.2	16 10 29 38	1.6 1.0 3.0 3.9	18 941 322 356 456	0.4 18.7 6.4 7.1 9.0	123 2, 239 1, 247 1, 426 2, 269	0.6 11.2 6.3 7.2 11.4	116 869 508 761 1,093	0.3 1.9 1.1 1.7 2.4	74 1,715 810 830 883	0. 8 17. 9 8. 4 8. 6 9. 2
35.0, under 37.5	46	6. 6 5. 5 4. 6 3. 4 4. 0	1, 428 556 491 338 291	24. 9 9. 7 8. 5 5. 9 5. 1	184 165 227 94 94	9.4 8.5 11.6 4.8 4.8	58 138 101 100 103	6. 0 14. 2 10. 4 10. 3 10. 6	305 270 390 238 239	6. 0 5. 4 7. 7 4. 7 4. 7	1, 623 1, 234 1, 108 975 1, 049	8. 2 6. 2 5. 6 4. 9 5. 3	1, 289 1, 006 1, 766 1, 771 3, 187	2.8 2.2 3.9 3.9 7.0	785 562 590 452 477	8. 2 5. 9 6. 2 4. 7 5. 0
47.5, under 50.0 50.0, under 52.5 52.5, under 55.0 57.5, under 60.0	29 28 24	2. 5 2. 9 2. 8 2. 4 1. 1	204 211 108 128 75	3. 6 3. 7 1. 9 2. 2 1. 3	62 62 25 22 21	3. 2 3. 2 1. 3 1. 1 1. 1	62 62 52 41 20	6. 4 6. 4 5. 3 4. 2 2. 1	223 216 113 154 80	4. 4 4. 3 2. 2 3. 1 1. 6	658 582 488 472 274	3. 3 2. 9 2. 5 2. 4 1. 4	1, 689 1, 692 1, 280 1, 541 989	3.7 3.7 2.8 3.4 2.2	289 354 205 230 118	3. 0 3. 7 2. 1 2. 4 1. 2
60.0, under 62.5	17 14 11 9	1.7 1.4 1.1 .9	57 86 62 57 46	1. 0 1. 5 1. 1 1. 0	21 18 9 8 3	1.1 .9 .5 .4 .2	21 20 20 17 9	2. 2 2. 1 2. 1 1. 7 . 9	64 130 55 65 38	1.3 2.6 1.1 1.3 .8	264 691 358 285 290	1.3 3.5 1.8 1.4 1.5	1, 314 1, 353 1, 098 963 1, 008	2. 9 3. 0 2. 4 2. 1 2. 2	121 280 107 97 78	1.3 2.9 1.1 1.0 .8
72.5, under 75.0	7 7 5 6	7 7 5 6	33 48 21 30 16	.6 .8 .4 .5	18 2 2 5	9 .1 .1 .3	6 16 1 6 4	.6 1.6 .1 .6 .4	34	.3 .8 .7 .4 .3	169 181 126 196 116	.8 .9 .6 1.0	777 1, 768 705 772 616	1.7 3.9 1.5 1.7	64 56 37 60 27	.7 .6 .4 .6 .3
85.0, under 87.5	9 3 1 3	.9 .3 .1 .3	21 17 27 11 15	.4 .3 .5 .2 .3	7 5 3	.4 .3 .2 .1	3 3 3 2 5	.3 .3 .3 .2 .5	16 25 11 28 11	.3 .5 .2 .6	158 126 169 122 74	.8 .6 .8 .6 .4	1, 090 676 1, 314 714 604	2. 4 1. 5 2. 9 1. 6 1. 3	64 34 25 16 8	.7 .4 .3 .2 .1
97.5, under 100.0 100.0 and over		2. 0	10 166	2.9	5	.3	7	.7	5 147	2.9	52 767	3.9	637 10, 690	1. 4 23. 4	14 131	1.4

See footnotes at end of table.

Table V.—Hourly earnings of experienced employees in the WOMEN'S AND CHILDREN'S APPAREL INDUSTRY, by area—Con.

Hourly earnings (cents)	01	hio	Penns	ylvania	Tenr	nessee	Te	xas	U	tah	Virg	ginia	Wise	onsin
are the second of the second o	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Number of employees Average earnings ² (cents)	2, 228 47. 5	100.0	18, 153 44. 4	100.0	582 28. 6	100.0	1,756 33.0	100.0	271 34. 9	100.0	621 30. 2	100.0	523 44. 7	100.0
Under 25.0. 25.0, under 27.5. 27.5, under 30.0. 30.0, under 32.5. 32.5, under 35.0.	10 366 123 164 210	0. 4 16. 4 5. 5 7. 4 9. 4	330 2, 838 1, 223 1, 450 1, 648	1. 8 15. 6 6. 7 8. 0 9. 1	16 363 79 61 22	2. 7 62. 4 13. 6 10. 5 3. 8	23 620 194 242 185	1. 3 35. 3 11. 0 13. 8 10. 5	1 52 34 29 52	0. 4 19. 2 12. 5 10. 7 19. 2	5 219 68 237 31	0. 8 35. 3 11. 0 38. 2 5. 0	16 15 23 82	3. 0 2. 9 4. 4 15. 7
35.0, under 37.5 37.5, under 40.0 40.0, under 42.5 42.5, under 45.0 45.0, under 47.5	228 141 115 90 69	10. 2 6. 3 5. 2 4. 0 3. 1	1, 427 1, 215 1, 163 825 778	7. 9 6. 7 6. 4 4. 5 4. 3	7 7 2 8 4	1. 2 1. 2 . 3 1. 4 . 7	133 83 75 45 48	7.6 4.7 4.3 2.6 2.7	32 17 9 12 9	11. 8 6. 3 3. 3 4. 4 3. 3	14 9 12 2 7	2. 3 1. 4 1. 9 . 3 1. 1	64 72 52 33 33	12. 2 13. 8 9. 9 6. 3 6. 3
47.5, under 50.0 50.0, under 52.5 52.5, under 55.0 55.0, under 57.5 57.5, under 60.0	46 63 26 32 66	2. 1 2. 8 1. 2 1. 4 3. 0	575 570 442 412 298	3. 2 3. 1 2. 4 2. 3 1. 6	4	.7	19 20 9 8 3	1.1 1.1 .5 .5	9 5 1 1 2	3.3 1.8 .4 .4	1 5 2	.2 .8	23 17 29 8 4	4. 4 3. 3 5. 5 1. 5
60.0, under 62.5. 62.5, under 65.0. 65.0, under 67.5. 67.5, under 70.0. 70.0, under 72,5.	28 36 22 16 39	1.3 1.6 1.0 .7 1.8	235 256 255 256 208	1. 3 1. 4 1. 4 1. 4 1. 1	1 1	.2	4 11 1 3 4	.2 .6 .1 .2 .2	2 1 1 1	.7 .4 .4 .4	2 1	.3 .2	8 3 4 4	1. 5 . 6
72.5, under 75.0 75.0, under 77.5 77.5, under 80.0 80.0, under 82.5 82.5, under 85.0	16 23 18 28 17	.7 1.0 .8 1.3 .8	135 154 105 96 90	.7 .8 .6 .5	1	.2	2 3 2 1 1	.1 .2 .1 .1			1	.2	2 3 1 1 2	.4 .6 .2 .2
85.0, under 87.5. 87.5, under 90.0. 90.0, under 92.5. 92.5, under 95.0. 95.0, under 97.5.	15 15 20 22 16	.7 .7 .9 1.0 .7	80 88 100 53 87	.4 .5 .6 .3			2 2 2 2 1	.1 .1 .1 .1			2	.3	1 5 1 1	. 2 1. 0 . 2 . 2
97.5, under 100.0 100.0 and over	9 139	6.2	65 696	3.8	5	.9	1 9	.1	1	.4	1	.2	16	3. 0

¹ Total includes Washington State, not shown separately.

² The mean—the simple arithmetic average.

² Less than 0.05 percent.

Part II.—WOMEN'S DOZEN-PRICED DRESSES

This branch of the women's and children's apparel industry includes such women's dresses, sportswear, house coats, and service approns, service accessories, and uniforms as are wholesaled by the dozen. While much of this production is washable and much is worn for work or informal play, no single characteristic describes the group today except the system by which the garments are wholesaled. The wholesale-price groups commonly used in the trade are these: \$8.50 and under, over \$8.50 and including \$15.75, over \$15.75 and including \$42, over \$42 and including \$57, and over \$57—in each case for a dozen garments.

Only one firm making this type of dress was found to be producing also a dress selling by the unit; this firm was in Georgia. However, about one-sixth of the firms made a secondary product during the payroll period covered in the survey. These products were chiefly juniors' and children's dresses and playsuits, blouses and skirts, underwear, housecoats and bathrobes, men's service uniforms, men's shirts, paja-

mas, and shorts, or products other than apparel.

This dozen-priced dress and uniform branch is scattered more widely than any other branch of the women's apparel industry. Factories were found in 22 States in the course of the survey, and the 1937 Census reports one or two plants in a number of other States not included in the survey. These census data are not included in the scope table because the 1939 survey experience showed that many plants operating formerly in smaller cities away from style centers had closed down since 1937.

Because this branch is less concentrated in metropolitan areas than are some other lines of the apparel industry, the inside manufacturer dominates the industry. Two-thirds of the firms included in the survey were inside manufacturers and over one-fourth (27 percent) were contract shops. All the firms scheduled in Arkansas, Georgia, Indiana, Kentucky, Michigan, Minnesota, Ohio, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, and Wisconsin, and the majority in all other areas but New Jersey, were inside manufacturers. New Jersey had the largest number of contractors, 31, followed by Pennsylvania with 19, New York City and Illinois each with 12, and California with 10. Other contract shops, fewer than 10 in each case, were in Connecticut, Maryland, Massachusetts, Missouri, up-State New York, and Virginia. In California the Chinese contractor is a factor in this industry. The jobber manufacturers scheduled, the remaining 7 percent, were—according to number—in New York City, California, Pennsylvania, New Jersey, Illinois, Massachusetts, and Washington.

Scope of survey.

Through consultation with State departments of labor, local trade associations, union officials, chambers of commerce, and local telephone companies, there were located 816 firms whose major product in the spring of 1939 was a woman's outergarment for wear at work or play, a garment that wholesaled by the dozen. These firms employed 48,704 workers. Almost half the firms (399) contributed pay-roll data for survey purposes; these employed 32,612 factory workers, or about two-thirds of all the employees in this branch of the industry. Table I shows the representation of each State in the survey sample.

Pay-roll data were secured for a week of good production, usually in March or April 1939; the plants were visited from February to May.

Table I.—Extent and location of the DOZEN-PRICED-DRESS INDUSTRY in the spring of 1939 and number of firms and employees included in survey

	Firms in b	usiness, sp	ring of 1939	Firms included in survey								
State	77/	Factory	employees	The state of the s	mil t	Factory e	mployees					
	Firms— Number	Number	Percent	Firms— Number	Total number	Percent	Men	Women				
Total Percent	816 100. 0	48, 704 100. 0	100. 0	399 49. 0	32, 612 67. 0	100.0	2, 920	29, 692				
California	77	2, 195	4. 5	39	1, 455	4. 5	166	1, 289				
	13	852	1. 7	8	649	2. 0	47	602				
	7	497	1. 0	5	337	1. 0	27	310				
	80	6, 545	13. 4	41	4, 389	13. 5	348	4, 041				
	16	2, 016	4. 1	7	1, 342	4. 1	123	1, 219				
Maryland	29	1, 467	3. 0	12	709	2. 2	100	609				
Massachusetts	49	2, 886	5. 9	20	1, 726	5. 3	136	1, 590				
Michigan	9	1, 469	3. 0	6	1, 091	3. 3	102	989				
Minnesota	11	488	1. 0	7	422	1. 3	20	402				
Missouri	29	3, 750	7. 7	17	2, 794	8. 6	235	2, 559				
New Jersey New York Ohio Pennsylvania Tennessee	95	5, 097	10. 5	45	3, 374	10. 3	264	3, 110				
	187	6, 939	14. 2	81	5, 009	15. 4	629	4, 380				
	27	2, 112	4. 3	17	1, 671	5. 1	127	1, 544				
	123	8, 490	17. 4	60	4, 697	14. 4	396	4, 301				
	4	567	1. 2	4	567	1, 7	38	529				
Texas	17	1, 025	2. 1	10	857	2. 6	53	804				
Utah	9	342	. 7	5	304	. 9	19	285				
Wisconsin	10	1, 014	2. 1	4	489	1. 5	33	456				
Other ¹	24	953	2. 0	11	730	2. 2	57	673				

¹ Includes Arkansas, Kentucky, Oregon, Washington, and Virginia, all of them but Oregon included in the Women's Bureau survey.

Only 4 States had as many as one-tenth of all the employees reported in the industry in 1939. Over one-sixth (17 percent) of the workers were in Pennsylvania, 14 percent were in New York, 13 percent in Illinois, and 11 percent in New Jersey. Of the 32,612 for whom earnings and hours worked were reported, the largest group, 15 percent, were in New York, followed by 14 percent in Pennsylvania and in Illinois, and 10 percent in New Jersey.

Employees in the dress industry are largely women. They comprised 91 percent of the workers in the firms scheduled. By State, the proportion that women comprised of the total work force varied

from 86 percent in Maryland to 95 percent in Minnesota; it was over 90 percent in every case but California, Maryland, and New

Most of the dress-manufacturing establishments had few employees. Over one-fourth (28 percent) of the 399 firms scheduled had fewer than 25 workers and one-fourth had 25 but not so many as 50. Ninety-nine firms, about one-fourth of the total, employed 100 or more workers, but only 6 had as many as 500.

Labor costs.

Total labor costs and total manufacturing costs for 1938 were reported by 20 percent of the firms scheduled. These firms were inside shops, except in a few instances where jobbers reported their costs including the cost of contracting. The firms reporting were situated in 17 States and in both large and smaller cities. For all firms combined, labor costs were 25 percent of total costs. In areas in which costs were reported for 3 or more firms, the proportion labor costs comprised of total costs varied from 12 percent in Ohio and 20 percent in Indiana and New York City to more than 25 percent in Illinois, Minnesota, Missouri, and Maryland, and as much as 36 percent in Pennsylvania. Some of the 81 firms reporting on total costs of operation did not report on manufacturing costs; the 77 firms reporting on the latter had labor costs that were 31 percent of manufacturing costs. In Ohio labor costs were only 17 percent of manufacturing costs, but in Pennsylvania they were 45 percent and in New York City 49 percent of manufacturing costs. In 9 other areas the proportion labor costs were of manufacturing costs varied from 27 percent in Utah and Texas to 35 percent in Missouri.

Learners.

Their policy in regard to the employment of learners was reported by 140 firms, or 35 percent of the total number scheduled. Approximately one-third of these firms reported that they had no specific learning period, the time required to become experienced depending on the ability of the individual. However, in 94 firms workers were considered as learners for a definite time, this being 4 weeks or less in 30 firms, and over 4 and ir cluding 8 weeks in 25. As many as 17 firms reported 4 months or longer as the time required to become experienced.

All but 3 firms making a statement as to policy reported also the rates paid to learners; 73 paid time rates and 64 piece rates. In 50 firms the time rate was 25 cents an hour—\$10 for 40 hours, \$11 for 44—or, in agreement with State regulations, varying according to weeks of experience. Sixteen firms paid hourly rates of less than 25 cents (15 to 20 cents) or paid weekly rates of \$6 to \$8 for 35 to 40 hours, or \$9 to \$10 for 44. In 7 firms hourly rates varied from 27½ to 35 cents and weekly rates from \$11 to \$16.50 for 40 or 44 hours.

Learners were paid piece rates in 64 firms, about two-thirds of which had a guaranteed minimum. This guarantee was 25 cents an

hour in 34 firms, and in the 6 others was variously \$6 for a 40-hour week, or 20 or 20½ cents an hour, or even as much as 35 cents an hour.

The total number of employees reported as learners was 1,092. In addition there were 188 handicapped persons. Nearly four-fifths of the combined group were machine operators and one-tenth were hand finishers, inspectors, and packers. The majority of the total group, 51 percent, had earnings of 25 cents and 18 percent earned less than 25 cents. Only 16 percent of these workers earned more than 30 cents an hour. The earnings of learners and handicapped persons are not included in any table of earnings.

EARNINGS AND HOURS

Hourly earnings.

The number of incomplete pay-roll records in this branch of the industry was negligible, and the tables on hourly earnings that follow show the earnings of practically all (99.8 percent) of the experienced workers in the plants scheduled. They were arrived at by dividing the week's earnings by the number of hours worked, for each employee separately. Earnings were computed for regular time only, all overtime payments being eliminated from the computations.

Earnings information is shown not only by State but for New York City, Chicago, Boston, St. Louis, Philadelphia, and Cleveland, as the spread of the industry in smaller centers of the same States

warranted such tabulation.

Table II shows that in the spring of 1939 about 20 percent of all workers on dozen-priced dresses or uniforms had hourly earnings approximately the same as the minimum rate (25 cents) set for the first year by the Fair Labor Standards Act. A total of 28.4 percent earned under 30 cents; half the workers (49.8 percent) earned under 35 cents; and over two-thirds (68.3) earned under 40 cents. The

average hourly earnings of all workers were 38.5 cents.

Average hourly earnings of workers in the various areas ranged from 27.8 cents in Virginia and 28.6 cents in Tennessee to 46.1 cents in Philadelphia and to 47.5 cents in New York City. Other areas in which the workers had relatively high hourly earnings, over 40 cents, were Boston, other Ohio, California, other Missouri, Connecticut, Minnesota, and Wisconsin. Besides Virginia and Tennessee, workers in Utah, Texas, other Illinois, Michigan, other Pennsylvania, and Arkansas and Kentucky combined averaged less than 35 cents an hour.

While earnings in New York City, Philadelphia, and Boston were materially higher than earnings elsewhere in New York, Pennsylvania, and Massachusetts, average earnings in Chicago were only 3½ cents higher than in the remainder of Illinois. In Ohio earnings were higher in other parts of the State than in Cleveland, and in Missouri earnings were higher in other parts of the State than in St. Louis.

The areas in which a significant proportion of the employees, one-fifth or more, earned as much as 50 cents were Boston (20 percent), California (23 percent), Connecticut (25 percent), other Ohio (26 percent), New York City (28 percent), and other Missouri and Phil-

adelphia (31 percent in each). The summary following shows for each area the smallest range of consecutive 2½-cent intervals that contains the earnings of the majority of employees, arranged according to the amount of the highest interval included.

Nove Vonly City	58 percent earned 321/2 and under 471/2 cents.
New York City	57 percent earned 35 and under 45 cents.
Boston	56 percent earned 35 and under 45 cents.
Minnesota	60 percent earned 32½ and under 42½ cents.
Wisconsin	
California	56 percent earned 32½ and under 42½ cents.
Philadelphia	52 percent earned 25 and under 42½ cents.
Other Missouri	52 percent earned 25 and under 40 cents.
Connecticut	51 percent earned 25 and under 40 cents.
Chicago	63 percent earned 25 and under 37½ cents.
Other Massachusetts	59 percent earned 32½ and under 37½ cents.
Other Ohio	53 percent earned 25 and under $37\frac{1}{2}$ cents.
Indiana	52 percent earned 25 and under 37½ cents.
Utah	62 percent earned 25 and under 35 cents.
New Jersey	60 percent earned 25 and under 35 cents.
Maryland	58 percent earned 25 and under 35 cents.
Georgia	57 percent earned 25 and under 35 cents.
Cleveland	56 percent earned 25 and under 35 cents.
Up-State New York	55 percent earned 25 and under 35 cents.
St. Louis	53 percent earned 25 and under 35 cents.
Arkansas and Kentucky	
Other Pennsylvania	
Michigan	53 percent earned 25 and under 32½ cents.
Texas	
	150 percent earned 25 and under 32½ cents.
Virginia	
Toppoggo	63 percent earned 25 and under 27½ cents.
Tennessee	os percent carned 25 and under 21/2 cents.

^{1 50.1.}

Lowest earnings in this branch were in Virginia, where 73 percent of all experienced workers earned under 27½ cents an hour and 85 percent earned under 30 cents. In Tennessee 65 percent earned under 27½ cents and 79 percent under 30 cents. In Arkansas and Kentucky combined, one-half earned under 30 cents. Earnings in Georgia and in Texas were higher than in some eastern and midwestern States. While 37 percent of the workers in each of these southern States earned under 30 cents an hour, in Pennsylvania other than Philadelphia 51 percent of the workers, in Michigan 43 percent, and in Illinois other than Chicago 38 percent, earned less than 30 cents.

Table II.—Hourly earnings of experienced employees in the DOZEN-PRICED-DRESS INDUSTRY, by area

	All a	ireas				mi	nois			Massac	husetts		
Hourly earnings (cents)	Number of em- ployees 1	Percent	Cali- fornia	Connect- icut	Georgia	Chicago	Other Illinois	Indiana	Mary- land	Boston	Other Massa- chusetts	Michigan	Minne- sota
Total employees	31, 047 38. 5	100. 0	1, 337 43. 4	602 41. 9	306 35. 2	2, 696 37. 6	1, 468 34. 1	1, 268 38. 6	687 36. 4	610 44. 2	934 36. 0	1, 008 33, 0	371 41. 6
						Гегс	ent of empl	oyees			454		
Under 25.0	286 6, 060 2, 497 2, 736 3, 903	0. 9 19. 5 8. 0 8. 8 12. 6	1. 5 2. 4 1. 5 1. 9 21. 1	0. 2 17. 3 5. 5 6. 0 7. 3	4. 6 26. 1. 6. 2 10. 1 14. 7	0.6 18.0 8.7 9.2 8.1	0.7 28.4 9.3 12.3 12.3	0. 2 18. 2 6. 8 8. 3 9. 5	1. 6 23. 9 8. 5 16. 8 8. 6	1.6 2.0 2.5 3.6	1. 5 5. 2 3. 4 2. 9 37. 4	4. 1 25. 0 14. 0 14. 4 11. 7	3. 5 1. 9 7. 3 6. 7
35.0, under 37.5 37.5, under 40.0 40.0, under 42.5 42.5, under 45.0 45.0, under 47.5	3, 377 2, 368 1, 963 1, 338 1, 311	10. 9 7. 6 6. 3 4. 3 4. 2	10. 6 12. 7 11. 7 4. 3 6. 7	10. 5 4. 0 5. 1 9. 6 6. 5	10. 5 3. 3 7. 2 4. 2 2. 9	18. 8 10. 9 6. 5 4. 2 3. 8	11. 6 8. 5 6. 0 2. 3 2. 0	8. 7 7. 0 9. 6 7. 2 6. 5	7. 4 7. 0 4. 8 4. 1 4. 2	24. 4 11. 5 13. 1 7. 7 7. 7	21. 8 7. 7 5. 5 4. 7 2. 7	10. 0 7. 0 2. 9 2. 6 2. 4	8. 9 22. 4 17. 0 8. 1 7, 0
47.5, under 50.0 50.0, under 55.0 50.0, under 60.0 60.0, under 75.0 75.0, under 100.0	759 1, 384 838 1, 192 652 383	2. 4 4. 5 2. 7 3. 8 2. 1 1. 2	2. 9 8. 0 3. 4 6. 3 3. 8 1. 0	3.7 7.2 4.5 9.8 2.4	1.0 3 0 1.7 2.6 1.0 1.0	2. 1 2. 5 1. 5 1. 9 2. 1 1. 1	1. 4 1. 5 1. 0 1. 2 . 9 . 5	5. 4 5. 8 2. 6 2. 4 1. 4 . 6	1, 9 3, 5 1, 3 3, 9 1, 9	5. 7 9. 7 3. 2 3. 6 1. 7 2. 0	2. 1 2. 3 1. 4 .8 .4	.9 1.8 1.2 .8 1.2	3. 8 5. 7 1. 8 3. 8 2. 0

PART
IIWOMEN'S
DOZEN-PRICED
D DRESSES

	Miss	souri		New	York	Ol	nio	Pennsylvania							
Hourly earnings (cents)	St. Louis	Other Missouri	New Jersey	New York City	Up-State New York	Cleve- land	Other Ohio	Phila- delphia	Other Pennsyl- vania	Tennes- see	Texas	Utah	Virginia	Wiscon- sin	Other States
Total employees	1, 132 37. 4	1, 544 42. 7	3, 243 36. 7	2, 230 47. 5	2, 597 37. 0	888 38. 1	694 43. 5	2, 067 46. 1	2, 547 32. 7	560 28. 6	833 34. 6	271 34. 9	207 27. 8	446 41.6	32.
							Percent	of employ	rees						
Under 25.0 25.0, under 27.5 27.5, under 30.0 30.0, under 32.5 32.5, under 35.0	0.3 20.9 8.2 8.2 15.2	0. 5 20. 6 7. 3 7. 4 7. 9	0. 7 17. 6 10. 4 10. 3 21. 6	0. 4 2. 6 3. 0 4. 2 11. 0	1. 2 22. 5 11. 7 9. 6 11. 3	0. 2 28. 5 6. 9 7. 9 13. 1	0. 4 12. 5 7. 5 10. 2 10. 7	0.8 8.1 5.6 10.0 6.2	1. 5 38. 6 10. 9 9. 7 10. 8	1. 8 63. 4 13. 7 10. 5 3. 7	1. 2 23. 7 12. 4 14. 9 12. 8	0. 4 19. 2 12. 5 10. 7 19. 2	0.5 72.9 11.1 6.8 2.9	2. 7 3. 1 4. 3 18. 2	0. 39. 9. 11. 9.
35.0, under 37.5	10. 4 8. 5 5. 4 5. 1 3. 5	4. 9 4. 1 6. 9 3. 2 4. 9	10. 6 6. 5 4. 6 3. 1 2. 9	11. 1 9. 5 10. 7 7. 2 8. 4	9. 5 6. 1 5. 0 3. 9 3. 8	11. 0 7. 9 5. 2 3. 5 2. 9	12. 4 6. 5 5. 0 4. 6 2. 4	8. 4 7. 0 6. 6 5. 4 6. 2	7.8 5.3 3.4 2.0 2.2	1. 1 1. 2 . 4 1. 1 . 7	9. 6 6. 2 5. 3 3. 5 3. 6	11. 8 6. 3 3. 3 4. 4 3. 3	.5 1.0 .5 .5 1.0	14. 4 15. 9 11. 2 6. 7 6. 5	9. 5. 3. 3. 1.
17.5, under 50.0	3. 0 3. 1 1. 7 3. 1 2. 6 . 9	1. 6 9. 4 6. 8 9. 4 3. 7 1. 5	1. 5 2. 9 2. 0 2. 8 1. 3 1. 2	4. 3 7. 5 5. 9 6. 6 4. 0 3. 7	2. 1 4. 1 2. 6 4. 3 1. 6 . 8	1. 2 1. 3 2. 2 2. 5 4. 1 1. 7	2. 0 6. 5 2. 3 9. 3 3. 7 3. 9	4. 5 9. 2 6. 5 7. 9 4. 6 2. 8	1.3 2.2 1.5 2.1 .4 .2	.7 .6 .2 .9	1.3 1.9 .3 1.6 .8 .7	3.3 2.2 1.1 1.9	1.0	4. 7 6. 1 1. 1 1. 9 1. 9 1. 1	2

¹ Total includes Washington State, with 3 firms and 17 employees, not shown separately.

³ The mean—the simple arithmetic average.

³ Arkansas and Kentucky.

Hourly earnings by wholesale price of dress.—How extensively are earnings influenced by the price at which these dresses and uniforms are sold? Table III shows that when earnings in the major price groupings are totaled, employees in firms specializing on garments at \$8.50 and under a dozen are found to have averaged less than those on higher-priced garments, or 35.2 cents an hour in contrast to 39.1 cents in firms making dresses to wholesale at over \$8.50 and including \$15.75 a dozen, and compared to 41.9 cents an hour on those to wholesale at over \$15.75 a dozen.

While earnings generally were higher on the higher-priced dresses in the several States, there were exceptions to this. For example, New Jersey earnings on the dresses at over \$8.50 and including \$15.75 a dozen averaged but 33.5 cents an hour, compared to 35.3 cents on the lower-priced dresses. In Ohio and in up-State New York, employees on the middle-priced group earned 49.5 cents and 40.1 cents, respectively, compared to 35.3 cents and 35.8 cents on dresses at over \$15.75 a dozen. In California, workers on the low-priced dress, that at \$8.50 and under a dozen, averaged 45.5 cents, or 3.3 cents more than those on the middle-priced dress and 1 cent more than those on the highest-priced dress.

Table III.—Average hourly earnings of experienced employees in the DOZEN-PRICED-DRESS INDUSTRY, by wholesale price of dress and by area

			D	resses and	uniform	s priced at	-			
Area	\$8.50 a	nd unde	r a dozen	Over \$	8.50 and 15.75 a do	including ozen	Over \$15.75 a dozen			
		Em	ployees 1		Emp	oloyees 1		Employees 1		
	Firms— Num- ber	Num- ber	A verage hourly earnings ² (cents)	Firms- Num- ber	Num- ber	Average hourly earnings 2 (cents)	Firms— Num- ber	Num- ber	Average hourly earnings ² (cents)	
All areas 3	150	11, 593	35. 2	136	9, 886	39.1	113	9, 568	41. 9	
Cal fornia Connecticut Chicago Other Illinois	3 3 16 8	106 173 1, 063 868	45. 5 35. 9 34. 9 31. 7	16 1 6 5	658 537 600	42. 2 38. 4 37. 5	20 4 6	573 381 1, 096	44. <i>8</i> 45. 1 39. 9	
Indiana	3	564	33. 3	1			3	443	42. 3	
Maryland Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota and	3 9 4	154 1, 201 942	31. 3 38. 7 32. 3	6 5 2	396 239 66	37. 7 39. 9 43. 4	3 6	137 104	38. 2 43. 7	
Wisconsin Missouri	1 4	168	35. 1	5 7	465 1, 207	41. 7 34. 7	5 6	348 1, 301	41. 6 46. 6	
New Jersey New York City Up-State New	19 31	1, 552 1, 369	35. 3 45. 9	14 15	764 484	33. 5 46. 0	12 8	927 377	41. 9 55. 4	
Ŷork Ohio Philadelphia	5 4 9	409 237 216	31. 0 34. 6 44. 5	12 8 15	1, 197 588 821	40. 1 49. 5 43. 7	10 5 14	991 757 1, 030	35. 8 35. 3 48. 3	
Other Pennsylvania_ Tennessee	12 3	1, 290 431	30. 0 28. 5	8 1	870	36. 2	2	387	33. 9	
Texas Utah Virginia	1 2 4	127 131	33. 0 28. 9	3 2 1	114 128	28. 2 36. 7	6	676	35. 5	
Other 4	4	537	32. 4	2	229	34.1	2	24	(5)	

<sup>Where only one firm is reported, employees and earnings are included in total but not shown separately.
The mean—the simple arithmetic average.
Total includes Washington State, with 3 firms and 17 employees, not shown separately,
Arkansas, Georgia, and Kentucky.
Not computed; base too small.</sup>

Average hourly earnings of employees in firms competing in the same price market ranged on the dress at \$8.50 and under a dozen from 28.5 cents in Tennessee and 28.9 cents in Virginia to 45.5 cents in California and 45.9 cents in New York City. On the dress priced at over \$8.50 and including \$15.75 a dozen the earnings range was from 28.2 cents in Texas to 49.5 cents in Ohio. On dresses priced at over \$15.75 a dozen the range was from 35.3 cents in Ohio, 35.5 cents in Texas, and 35.8 cents in up-State New York, to 55.4 cents in New

York City.

Hourly earnings by type of organization.—Comparison has been made of earnings in contract shops with earnings in inside manufacturers' shops in the Metropolitan District, comprising New York, New Jersey, and Connecticut, where contractors are most numerous. In New Jersey the average hourly earnings in contract shops were 35.3 cents an hour, while in inside manufacturers' plants they were 36.6 cents. The difference is mainly accounted for by the presence of a few more high-priced men in inside plants, men employed by the jobber when the sewing is done by contractors. In Connecticut the same relation exists, that is, earnings are slightly higher in inside shops than in contract shops. In New York City, however, workers in contract shops averaged 46.4 cents compared to 44.7 cents in regular factories, and in plants in up-State New York workers in contract shops made 43.4 cents compared to 35 cents in inside shops. Jobbers' employees averaged about 71 cents an hour both in New York City and in New Jersev.

Hourly earnings by occupation.—The relative proportions of men and women employed in firms making dozen-priced dresses and uniforms are very similar to those in other women's apparel industries, and due to the fact that some types of work are performed largely by men and others almost wholly by women, a tabulation of hourly earnings by occupation gives a fairly accurate indication of the rel-

ative wage levels of men and women.

Machine operators comprised the largest group of workers in the plants scheduled, numbering 20,455, or 65 percent of the total reported. Almost seven-eighths of this group of workers were paid on a piecework basis. In roughly three-fourths of the plants employing machine operators on a piece-work basis, and including all the larger firms, the operators worked on the sectional method of production; that is, the work on each garment was divided and each operator did only one part of it. The second largest group of workers, 3,476, or 11 percent of the total, were hand finishers, inspectors, and packers. Over 99 percent of the employees in these two occupational classes were women. The third largest group, 2,509 persons or 8 percent of the total, were pressers, and 93 percent of this group were women.

The most important occupation for men was cutting; approximately 5 percent of the total, or 1,613 persons, were in this group, and 72 percent of them were men. Other groups that consisted largely of men were the shipping workers and the plant maintenance workers. Together these groups comprised 3 percent of the total reported. The remaining workers, about 8 percent, were general indirect workers, plant clerical workers, and supervisors and machinists. Over four-fifths of the indirect factory workers and the clerical workers, and over three-fifths of the supervisory and machinist group, were women.

Occupation	All	Men	Women			
	employees	Men	Number	Percent		
Total	31, 332	2, 884	28, 448	90. 8		
Machine operators. Hand finishers, inspectors, and packers. Cutters. Pressers. General indirect labor. Shipping. Maintenance. Supervisory and machinists. Plant clerical.	20, 455 3, 476 1, 613 2, 509 921 632 270 1, 172 284	119 21 1, 157 182 165 500 241 445 54	20, 336 3, 455 456 2, 327 756 132 29 727 230	99. 4 99. 4 28. 3 92. 7 82. 1 20. 9 10. 7 62. 0 81. 0		

In each area the largest occupational group was the machine operators, the proportions varying from 56 percent in New York City to 70 percent in Pennsylvania outside of Philadelphia and to 72 percent in Boston and in Virginia. In all but four areas the hand finishers, inspectors, and packers made up the second largest group, the proportions of workers in this class varying from 6 percent in Utah to 15 percent in Cleveland and 18 percent in Connecticut. In Maryland hand workers were fewer in number than pressers or cutters, and in Minnesota, Philadelphia, and Virginia the pressers were the second largest group. In the Utah plants there were as many cutters as hand workers.

The average hourly earnings of the workers in the various occupations are shown in table IV. Among the manufacturing workers the highest earnings were paid to cutters and the lowest to the hand workers and the general indirect workers. Average hourly earnings of the cutters were 59.4 cents, but for the hand workers they were only 33.7 cents and for the general indirect workers only 33.3 cents. Unpublished figures show that three-tenths (31 percent) of the cutters had earnings below 40 cents, but the same proportion had earnings of 70 cents or more. In contrast to this, 34 percent of the hand finishers, inspectors, and packers and as many as 38 percent of the indirect factory workers had earnings below 30 cents, and only 5 percent of the workers in each of these groups earned as much as 50 cents.

Machine operators, much the largest of the occupational groups, averaged 36.3 cents an hour. There was a heavy concentration at 25 and under 40 cents, 71 percent of the workers falling in these classes. Only about 1 in 9 of the machine operators had earnings as high as 50 cents an hour. Pressers averaged slightly more than machine operators, 38.2 cents, but two-thirds of the pressers had earnings of 25 and under 40 cents and just over 12 percent received 50 cents or more.

The nonmanufacturing workers had average earnings varying from 38.7 cents for the plant clerical workers and 38.9 cents for the plant maintenance workers to 42.8 cents for the shippers and 66.8 cents for the supervisors and machinists. Only about one-fifth of the supervisors and machinists had earnings below 40 cents, but 53 percent of the shipping force, 62 percent of the clerical workers, and 64 percent of the maintenance workers had such earnings.

Among the different areas the average hourly earnings of machine operators varied from less than 30 cents in Virginia (26.4 cents) and Tennessee (27.2 cents) to 40.6 cents in Minnesota, 40.7 cents in California and Ohio outside of Cleveland, 42.6 cents in Boston, 43.7

Table IV.—Average hourly earnings of experienced employees in the DOZEN-PRICED-DRESS INDUSTRY, by occupation and by area

	fact empl (91 pe	oyees ercent nen)	Mac oper: (99 pe won	ators	Ha finish inspecto pack (99 per won	ners, ors, and cers rcent	Cut (28 pe won	rcent	Pres (93 pe won	rcent	Gen indirec (82 pe won	t labor ercent	Ship (21 pe won	rcent	Mainte (11 pe won	ercent	Super mach (62 pe	inists ercent	(81 p	clerica ercent nen)
Area	Number of employees	Average hourly earnings 1 (cents)	Number of employees	A verage hourly earnings 1 (cents)	Number of employees	Average hourly earnings 1 (cents)	Number of employees	A verage hourly earnings 1 (cents)	Number of employees	A verage hourly earnings ¹ (cents)	Number of employees	Average hourly earnings 1 (cents)	Number of employees	A verage hourly earnings 1 (cents)	Number of employees	Average hourly earnings (cents)	Number of employees	Average hourly earnings 1 (cents)	Number of employees	Average hourly earnings 1 (cents)
All areas 2	31, 047	38. 5	20, 307	36. 3	3, 472	33.7	1, 598	59. 4	2, 482	38. 2	916	33. 3	624	42.8	258	38.9	1, 121	66. 8	269	38. 7
California Connecticut Georgia Chicago Other Illinois Indiana Maryland Boston Other Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota St. Louis Other Missouri New Jersey New York City Up-State New York Cleveland Other Other Philadelphia Other Pennsylvania Tennessee Texas Utah Virginia Wisconsin Other 3	1, 337 - 306 - 2, 696 - 1, 468 - 1, 258 - 610 - 1, 132 - 1, 132 - 1, 132 - 1, 132 - 2, 597 - 858 - 894 - 2, 667 - 2, 567 - 2, 57 - 560 - 2, 567 - 2, 547 - 2, 547 - 2, 271 - 207 - 240 - 240	43. 4 41. 9 35. 22 37. 6 34. 1 38. 6 36. 4 44. 2 36. 0 33. 0 41. 6 37. 4 42. 7 36. 7 47. 5 37. 0 38. 1 32. 7 28. 6 34. 6	847 377 177 1, 800 1, 018 785 4440 646 200 2140 716 999 2, 174 1, 242 1, 721 1, 721 1, 779 369 369 369 360 156 300	40. 7 43. 7 35. 0 34. 4 32. 1 36. 0 32. 9 42. 6 35. 2 39. 2 35. 2 35. 2 35. 2 36. 1 40. 6 35. 2 35. 2 36. 1 35. 2 35. 2 36. 1 36. 0 36. 0	141 111 39 273 133 128 47 72 111 94 34 121 152 433 303 303 303 130 95 5217 258 66 17 13 42 42 43	37. 2 35. 3 28. 8 35. 0 31. 2 37. 2 32. 6 36. 2 34. 3 31. 9 39. 0 30. 5 30. 5 35. 9 35. 2 30. 0 26. 4 32. 1	103 18 17 159 68 876 58 24 28 64 27 75 27 111 112 110 51 52 126 104 27 45 17 77 27 27	61. 0 61. 5 43. 8 51. 3 53. 6 50. 0 45. 0 45. 0 45. 0 84. 7 62. 0 84. 7 70. 1 82. 0 47. 4 34. 2 46. 5	106 37 30 210 117 87 75 34 70 66 35 92 239 239 231 164 41 289 220 44 15 18 33 33	41. 9 44. 9 24. 6 37. 5 34. 7 39. 8 36. 9 40. 8 38. 0 31. 3 41. 4 39. 8 45. 6 40. 0 34. 4 26. 0 34. 8	29 19 7 37 16 16 55 22 7 50 21 16 33 33 7 128 99 98 20 28 57 20 8 8 11 4 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	39. 4 35. 7 36. 0 37. 1 29. 6 39. 4 28. 5 35. 6 30. 8 37. 1 32. 1 29. 6	34 10 12 75 23 52 19 13 3 19 10 6 17 47 103 22 11 10 59 38 8 12 4 4	48. 7 41. 4 40. 6 45. 3 46. 0	10 6 188 14 12 6 5 8 8 10 0 2 2 166 26 26 19 10 23 4 4 9 9 9 9 10 3 4 4 4 9	42.5	61 155 16 91 67 60 17 14 5 29 5 62 76 76 110 26 64 72 7 43 13	63. 3 55. 1 57. 5 45. 9 53. 4 71. 9 82. 2 91. 8 67. 1 102. 4 74. 7 70. 9 46. 3	6 15 2 33 12 13 3 13 15 5 5 11 400 166 200 37 7 7 3 5 5 5 5 5 5 2	40.

¹ The mean—the simple arithmetic average. Not computed where base less than 25. ² Total includes Washington State, with 3 firms and 17 employees, not shown separately. ³ Arkansas and Kentucky.

cents in Connecticut, and 45.1 cents in New York City and Philadelphia. In 10 areas the earnings varied from 30 to 35 cents and in 7 areas from over 35 to 40 cents. The hand finishers, inspectors, and packers had average earnings varying from 26.4 cents in Tennessee, 28.8 cents in Georgia, and 30 to 31 cents in Pennsylvania other than Philadelphia, up-State New York, and New Jersey, to 41.1 cents in Wisconsin and 41.2 cents in Missouri other than St. Louis. They averaged about 35 cents in Philadelphia and Chicago

and 37 cents in New York City.

Cutters, the large majority of whom were men, averaged more than 80 cents an hour in New York City and Philadephia and 70 cents in Ohio outside of Cleveland, but in Tennessee they averaged only 34.2 Other areas paying relatively high wages to cutters, the average earnings being over 60 cents, were Cleveland, Missouri other than St. Louis, New Jersey, Chicago, and California. The average earnings of the pressers varied from 24.6 cents in Georgia and 26 cents in Tennessee to 45.2 cents in other Missouri and 45.6 cents in Cleveland. In 7 other areas the pressers averaged 40 cents or more, and in 13 areas the averages varied from 31.3 cents to 39.8 cents. In St. Louis. other Pennsylvania, and New Jersey the indirect factory workers averaged less than 30 cents, and in the other areas (9) where a sufficient number of these workers were reported their average earnings varied from 30.8 cents in up-State New York to 39.4 cents in California and in other Missouri.

The average earnings of supervisors and machinists ranged from less than 50 cents in Michigan and other Pennsylvania to 102.4 cents in Cleveland. They were 91.8 cents in New York City, 82.2 cents in New Jersey, and from 70 to 75 cents in Philadelphia, Wisconsin,

other Missouri, and other Ohio.

In general, the supervisors and machinists and the cutters had the highest earnings, followed by the shipping workers, the maintenance staff, the clerical workers, pressers, and machine operators; the lowest earnings were those of the hand workers and the general factory workers. There were, however, some exceptions to this. For example, in New York City the pressers, and in Philadelphia both shippers and pressers, averaged less than the machine operators. In Chicago and in other Missouri, the hand workers and general factory workers had higher average earnings than machine operators. In Michigan and Wisconsin, hand workers averaged more than pressers and machine operators; in Georgia and Tennessee, machine operators and hand workers had earnings higher than those of the pressers. And in Cleveland and Massachusetts outside of Boston the general indirect workers had better earnings than those of the machine operators or the hand workers.

Hourly earnings in union and nonunion shops.—Extensive organization of this branch of the apparel industry has taken place only in recent years. Slightly more than a third of the firms scheduled were unionized, and these union firms were not confined to any particular part of the country. None of the firms in Maryland, Boston, Michigan, Utah, Virginia, or Washington, and from one-eighth to less than one-fourth in Connecticut, up-State New York, other Illinois, Indiana, Minnesota, Ohio, and Texas, had an agreement with a union. But half of the firms in Tennessee, more than half to three-fourths of those

in New York City, Philadelphia, St. Louis, other Missouri, and Wis-

consin, and all those in Georgia were unionized.

The average hourly earnings of union employees in 16 areas ranged from 29.3 cents in Tennessee to between 45 and 48 cents in California, Philadelphia, and New York City, and to 66.1 cents in Ohio. In 23 areas nonunion workers' earnings varied from less than 30 cents in Tennessee, Virginia, and Arkansas and Kentucky to 45.8 cents in New York City and to 54.7 cents in Missouri outside of St. Louis.

When both organized and unorganized firms operated in the same area, a comparison of the earnings shows that the average was higher in the organized firms with one notable exception, other Missouri, and one minor exception, Texas. The difference in favor of the union workers was 30.4 cents in Ohio and was from 1.1 cents to 8.3 cents in the 11 other areas where comparisons were possible. Nonunion workers averaged 20.2 cents more than union employees in other Missouri and 1.6 cents more in Texas.

Hourly earnings in the various firms.—Employees' average hourly earnings were computed for each firm scheduled and these firm averages have been examined in relation to the size of the firm, the distribution of individual employees' earnings, and the areas in which the

various firms are situated.

The average earnings of employees in individual firms ranged from 25 and under 27½ cents to 90 and under 92½ cents; the average was 60 cents or more in 22 firms, 50 and under 60 cents in 25, 40 and under 50 cents in 110, 30 and under 40 cents in 191, and under 30 cents in 51.

Average hourly	Number	Emp	loyees	Average hourly	Number	Employees			
earnings in the firm (cents)	of firms	Number	Percent	earnings in the firm (cents)	of firms	Number	Percent		
Total	399	31, 047	100.0	40.0, under 42.5 42.5, under 45.0	35 34	2, 908 2, 453	9. 7.		
25.0, under 27.5 27.5, under 30.0	20 31	1, 204	3.9	45.0, under 47.5	28	1,940	6.		
30.0, under 32.5	48	2, 337 3, 220	7. 5 10. 4	47.5, under 50.0 50.0, under 60.0	13 25	575 1, 981	1.		
32.5, under 35.0	50	4,712	15. 2	60.0, under 70.0	15	382	1.		
35.0, under 37.5 37.5, under 40.0	46 47	4, 824 4, 202	15. 5 13. 5	70.0 and over	7	309	1.		

The firms also varied widely in regard to number of workers employed: 110 of the 399 firms employed fewer than 25 workers, 100 had 25 but under 50, and 90 had 50 but under 100. Only 43 firms had as

many as 200 workers, but 6 of these employed 500 or more.

There appears to be little connection between earnings and size of The high-wage firms or low-wage firms were not concentrated at any one size, though none of the largest firms had averages in the higher brackets. The earnings in firms of each class had a wide range. In the 110 firms that employed fewer than 25 workers the firm averages varied from 25 and under 27.5 cents to 70 and under 75 cents; in 42 of these the averages were 25 and under 35 cents, in 50 they were 35 and under 50 cents, and in 11 they were 60 cents or more. Similarly, the range of average earnings in the 100 firms with 25 and under 50 employees was from 25 and under 27.5 cents to 90 and under 92½ cents; the averages were less than 35 cents in 33 of these, were 35 and under 50 cents in 53, and were 60 cents or more in 6. In the 99 firms that employed 100 or more workers, average earnings ranged from 25 and

under 27.5 cents to 70 and under 75 cents; they were 25 and under 35 cents in 41 of the group, 35 and under 50 cents in 51, and 50 cents or

more in only 7 firms.

Expressed differently, of the 242 firms in which the average earnings were below 40 cents, 26 percent employed fewer than 25 workers and 37 percent employed 75 or more; and of the 157 firms in which average earnings were 40 cents or over, 30 percent had fewer than 25 employees

and 28 percent had 75 or more.

The hourly earnings of individual employees not only varied among the different firms but had a wide range in a single establishment or in a group of establishments with similar average hourly earnings. It is to be expected, however, that in plants with low average earnings there will be a concentration of employees in the low-wage groups and, as the firm average earnings increase, the proportion of employees with earnings at relatively high levels will increase, while the proportion at the lower levels will decrease.

Unpublished figures show that three-eighths of all the employees reported, or 11,473 persons, were in 149 firms with average hourly earnings below 35 cents, 40 percent of these workers having earnings of less than 27½ cents; one-tenth earned 40 cents or over, 3 percent of

them 50 cents or more.

At the opposite extreme were 88 firms with average hourly earnings of 45 cents or more, and these employed 5,187 persons, or one-sixth of the total reported. The heaviest concentration of earnings of this group of workers was from 35 to 52½ cents, but nearly one-fourth (23 percent) earned as much as 60 cents (4 percent received \$1 an hour or more), and nearly one-eighth (12 percent) had earnings in the low-wage intervals of less than 35 cents.

Hourly earnings varied as much between individual firms within an area as between the different areas covered. For example, in New York City the firm averages varied from 25 and under 27.5 cents to 90 and under 92.5 cents; in Cleveland the range was from 30 and under 32.5 cents to 85 and under 87.5 cents, and in Wisconsin, where only 4 firms were scheduled, the range was from 35 and under 37.5 cents to

45 and under 47.5 cents.

Firms whose employees averaged 60 cents or more were found in California (6 firms), Chicago (1), Boston (1), New Jersey (2), New York City (8), Cleveland (1), other Ohio (1), and Philadelphia (2). Low-wage firms, whose employees averaged less than 35 cents, were found in all the areas visited but Minnesota and Wisconsin, though in no firm in Georgia, other Massachusetts, or Utah were average earnings below 32.5 cents, and in California, Connecticut, Boston, other Missouri, and Cleveland all firm averages were 30 cents or more.

Hours worked.

The hours worked by individual employees in the pay-roll week recorded are shown for all areas combined in table V. This information was reported for 30,987 workers, or practically all those with week's earnings reported. About one-fourth of the employees had less than 35 hours of work, and nearly as large a group, just over 22 percent, worked 44 hours or longer. Over two-fifths of the employees worked 35 to 40 hours, inclusive.

Table V.—Hours worked in the pay-roll week recorded by employees in the DOZEN-PRICED-DRESS INDUSTRY

	Emp	loyees	Hausa washad	Empl	oyees
Hours worked	Number	Percent	Hours worked	Number	Percent
Total	30, 987	100. 0	40 Over 40, under 44	7, 142 3, 793	23. 0 12. 2
Under 35 35 Over 35, under 40	7, 566 1, 136 4, 431	24. 4 3. 7 14. 3	Over 44	5, 285 1, 634	17. 1 5. 3

Details by area show that the proportion of employees who worked less than 35 hours in the week varied from below one-fifth in other Massachusetts (14 percent), Connecticut (15 percent), Cleveland (16 percent), New Jersey and other Pennsylvania (17 percent), and Minnesota (18 percent) to over two-fifths in Utah (41 percent), other Illinois (44 percent), and other Ohio (46 percent).

There were significant variations also in the proportion with a relatively long week. In Georgia, Minnesota, Wisconsin, Virginia, and New York City from 3 to 10 percent of the employees worked 44 hours or more, but in 8 areas—Texas, other Pennsylvania, Utah, Cleveland, St. Louis, Michigan, Tennessee, and Boston—from 32 to 40 percent of the employees worked such hours. In Boston as many as 29 percent of the employees exceeded 44 hours.

The proportion of employees who worked 40 hours was from 1 to 10 percent in Virginia, Michigan, Utah, Texas, other Illinois, and Tennessee, and was 45 and 47 percent, respectively, in Georgia and

Wisconsin.

Week's earnings.

The amount of the earnings received during the pay period was reported for 31,330 experienced workers, and the average earnings for the entire group, regardless of time worked, were \$14.65. The highest amount was \$18.10, the average for the workers in Boston, and the lowest was \$9.75, for those in Virginia. The Virginia average was the only one below \$10, though in two other areas average earnings were below \$12, Tennessee with \$10.90 and other Illinois with \$11.85. Earnings that averaged between \$12 and \$14 were found in seven areas—Arkansas and Kentucky, Utah, other Pennsylvania, Michigan, Georgia, Texas, and up-State New York. Philadelphia, Connecticut, and New York City ranked below Boston, with averages of \$17.50 to \$17.30.

Owing to differences in hours worked, some areas had a different position in the wage scale when based on week's earnings than when based on hourly earnings. For example, workers in New York City had the highest average hourly earnings but their average week's earnings ranked fourth, being below the figures for Boston, Philadelphia, and Connecticut. A smaller proportion of workers in New York City than in Boston or Philadelphia worked as long as 40 hours, and a larger proportion had a workweek of 35 hours or less.

TABLE VI.- Week's earnings of experienced employees in the DOZEN-PRICED-DRESS INDUSTRY, by area

	Tot	al 1			Perce	nt of empl	oyees wit	h week's	earnings a	as specifie	ed in—		
Week's earnings						Illia	nois	學是		Massa	chusetts		
	Num- ber	Per- cent	Califor- nia	Con- necticut	Georgia	Chicago	Other Illinois	Indiana	Mary- land	Boston	Other Massa- chusetts	Michi- gan	Minne- sota
Number of firms Number of employees. Average earnings ³	399 31, 330 \$14. 65	100. 0	39 1, 337 \$16. 15	8 602 \$17. 40	5 307 \$13.00	28 2, 721 \$14. 05	13 1, 473 \$11. 85	7 1, 280 \$14. 45	12 698 \$14.75	13 613 \$18. 10	7 936 \$14.10	6 1, 010 \$12, 90	374 \$15. 85
						Percent	of emplo	yees					
Inder \$5 5, under \$10. 10, under \$15. 15, under \$20. 20, under \$25. 25, under \$25. 25, under \$30. 30 and over	1, 059 4, 661 14, 089 7, 282 2, 265 845 1, 129	3. 4 14. 9 45. 0 23. 2 7. 2 2. 7 3. 6	4.3 11.4 30.5 32.2 11.0 5.5 5.2	1, 3 10, 8 39, 0 29, 7 13, 1 2, 7 3, 3	4. 2 18. 9 53. 7 14. 7 4. 6 2. 0 2. 0	5. 8 17. 5 40. 7 25. 5 5. 1 2. 0 3. 5	7. 0 31. 3 43. 0 12. 6 2. 7 1. 2 2. 2	3.8 15.8 39.4 27.8 8.6 1.7 2.9	2. 3 14. 3 47. 6 22. 9 4. 6 3. 2 5. 2	1. 6 6. 9 23. 7 39. 6 16. 0 6. 5 5. 7	2. 6 6. 7 59. 8 25. 6 3. 6 1. 1	3. 1 20. 3 50. 6 20. 2 3. 2 .8 1, 9	1. 3 6. 7 31. 6 48. 1 7. 8 2. 4 2. 1
						Cumulo	ative perce	nts					
Under \$12. Under \$14. Under \$16. Under \$18.	11, 564 17, 159 22, 137 25, 189	36. 9 54. 8 70. 7 80. 4	23. 3 35. 7 53. 9 70. 2	24. 8 41. 9 61. 1 72. 9	46. 9 68. 4 81. 8 88. 9	38. 7 55. 8 73. 4 83. 9	57. 6 75. 0 86. 2 90. 9	36, 1 50, 6 66, 3 79, 1	37. 5 57. 6 72. 9 81. 1	15. 0 25. 4 42. 6 58. 6	20. 4 45. 7 80. 0 89. 0	45. 9 66. 3 81. 5 89. 8	16. 0 30. 2 54. 0 78. 9
\$18 and over\$20 and over\$22 and over\$22 and over\$22 and over\$23	6, 141 4, 239 2, 975	19. 6 13. 5 9. 5	29. 8 21. 7 15. 0	27. 1 19. 1 12. 0	11, 1 8, 5 5, 5	16. 1 10. 5 7. 5	9. 1 6. 0 4. 4	20. 9 13. 2 7. 2	18. 9 12. 9 10. 2	41. 4 28. 2 20. 2	11. 0 5. 2 2. 7	10. 2 5. 8 4. 8	21. 1 12. 3 8. 3

					Perce	nt of emp	loyees wit	th week's	earnings a	s specified	in—				
Week's earnings	Miss	souri		New	York	Ol	nio	Penns	ylvania						0.11
week's earnings	St. Louis	Other Mis- souri	New Jersey	New York City	Up-State New York	Cleve- land	Other Ohio	Phila- delphia	Other Pennsyl- vania	Tennes- see	Texas	Utah	Vir- ginia	Wis- consin	Other States ²
Number of firms. Number of employees. Average earnings 3	10 1, 149 \$16, 10	7 1, 553 \$16. 15	45 3, 339 \$14. 20	54 2, 237 \$17. 30	27 2, 610 \$13. 90	6 893 \$14. 70	11 737 \$15. 40	38 2, 078 \$17. 50	22 2, 561 \$12. 90	560 \$10. 90	10 835 \$13.80	5 271 \$12.60	5 207 \$9. 75	4 448 \$14, 45	3 484 \$12. 05
							Perc	ent of emp	loyees						
Under \$5. \$5, under \$10. \$10, under \$15. \$15, under \$20. \$20, under \$25. \$25, under \$30. \$30 and over.	4. 0 9. 7 44. 4 28. 6 6. 3 1. 6 5. 5	3. 5 19. 1 26. 7 21. 6 15. 9 8. 0 5. 2	2. 2 11. 4 57. 9 18. 3 5. 6 1. 8 2. 8	1. 8 8. 2 35. 0 33. 3 11. 8 3. 8 6. 1	3.7 14.3 48.9 22.0 6.1 2.3 2.6	2. 8 15. 1 45. 3 25. 3 4. 4 2. 4 4. 7	3. 0 19. 9 42. 1 15. 9 7. 5 5. 3 6. 4	1.8 9.4 35.4 28.6 13.0 4.5 7.3	2. 8 15. 9 58. 4 15. 2 4. 8 1. 8 1. 1	4, 3 27, 7 62, 1 3, 7 .9 .4	4.3 15.7 47.3 23.5 5.3 .7 3.2	4. 1 25. 1 46. 1 16. 2 4. 8 2. 2 1. 5	9. 7 36. 7 48. 3 3. 4	1. 8 15. 0 50. 4 25. 4 4. 2 . 4 2. 7	3. 3 17. 4 64. 0 12. 0 2. 1 . 4 . 8
							Cum	ulative pe	rcents						
Under \$12. Under \$14. Under \$16. Under \$18.	30. 5 46. 6 67. 4 78. 9	33. 4 43. 5 54. 3 62. 9	34. 7 62. 3 78. 7 85. 4	19. 4 35. 1 54. 2 69. 9	42. 5 61. 1 73. 8 82. 0	38. 4 54. 2 73. 1 83. 0	40. 7 56. 9 67. 8 75. 4	24, 2 39, 0 53, 3 65, 5	52. 3 70. 0 82. 7 89. 3	73. 0 89. 8 96. 1 97. 3	39. 8 60. 1 75. 4 85. 6	49. 1 68. 3 81. 5 90. 8	88. 4 92. 8 97. 1 97. 1	30. 6 53. 3 76. 3 86. 4	56. 0 78. 3 89. 7 94. 6
\$18 and over \$20 and over \$22 and over	21. 1 13. 3 9. 2	37. 1 29. 0 20. 2	14. 6 10. 2 6. 9	30. 1 21. 7 15. 7	18. 0 11. 1 7. 5	17. 0 11. 4 8. 4	24. 6 19. 1 15. 3	34. 5 24. 8 18. 7	10. 7 7. 7 5. 4	2. 7 2. 1 1. 6	14. 4 9. 2 6. 0	9. 2 8. 5 6. 3	2. 9 1. 9 1. 9	13. 6 7. 4 4. 9	5. 4 3. 3 2. 1

 $^{^1}$ Total includes Washington State, with 3 firms and 17 employees, not shown separately. 3 Arkansas and Kentucky. 3 The mean—the simple arithmetic average.

Other areas with a relatively higher position in the hourly earnings scale than in the week's earnings scale were other Ohio, Wisconsin, and Chicago, and in each case a substantial proportion of the employees worked less than 35 hours. On the other hand, Connecticut, St. Louis, and Maryland had a much higher position in regard to week's than to hourly earnings, and in each of these areas relatively small proportions of the employees worked less than 35 hours.

Based on week's earnings, the highest wage level was in Boston, with 68 percent of the employees earning \$15 or more, followed by Minnesota with 60 percent, New York City with 55 percent, California with 54 percent, Philadelphia with 53 percent, and other Missouri with 51 percent having such earnings. At the other extreme of the wage scale were other Pennsylvania, Arkansas and Kentucky, other Illinois, Tennessee, and Virginia, where from 52 to 88 percent of the

employees were paid less than \$12.

From table VI it is apparent that the most common earnings usually were between \$10 and \$20; however, in many cases significant proportions of the employees earned less than \$10 or as much as \$20. Less than one-tenth of the workers in Boston, other Massachusetts, and Minnesota earned under \$10, but from 20 to 30 percent in 9 areas—Indiana, Texas, Arkansas and Kentucky, other Missouri, other Ohio, Georgia, Chicago, Michigan, and Utah—and as many as 32 percent in Tennessee, 38 percent in other Illinois, and 46 percent in Virginia, had such low earnings.

The smallest proportions of employees who received as much as \$20 were 2 percent in Tennessee and in Virginia and 3 percent in Arkansas and Kentucky combined; the largest proportions were from 22 to 29 percent in California, New York City, Philadelphia, Boston,

and other Missouri.

Part III.—WOMEN'S UNIT-PRICED DRESSES

The woman's dress styled especially for street or dress wear and sold by the individual garment is produced most largely in the New York metropolitan area. Over four-fifths of the producers of these dresses are in New York, New Jersey, and Connecticut, and almost as large a proportion of the workers are concentrated in this area. No other State has as many as 5 percent of the firms or of the workers. In this

area the contract system of manufacture prevails.

Because of the inroads into the street-dress market of the dresses wholesaling by the dozen, the lowest price range of the unit dress is at \$1.37½ and under. This competes with the styled dozen-priced dresses of \$15.75 and under a dozen. The second price grouping is for the dress wholesaling at over \$1.37½ and including \$3.75, which competes with the dozen-priced dresses at over \$15.75. The third price grouping of the unit dress is at over \$3.75 and including \$4.75, the fourth is at over \$4.75 and including \$8.75, the next is at over \$8.75 and including \$10.75, and the highest is at over \$10.75 a dress. The survey as originally planned did not contemplate covering dresses sold at over \$8.75, as rates were known to be high in these fields. As such exclusion would have restricted the sample from States outside the metropolitan area, a small number of these firms were taken in each State where such dresses were produced.

Generally the firms in this branch of the apparel industry made only dresses or dress suits and ensembles, and less than 2 percent (18 firms) produced secondary products at the time of the survey. These minor products consisted of women's blouses, separate skirts, housecoats, undergarments, bathing suits, children's dresses, and men's uniforms and shirts. Only one firm made a small proportion of dresses that

wholesaled by the dozen.

Scope of survey. The turn-over in firms manufacturing the unit-priced dress in New York City was so continuous through the spring of 1939 as to render impossible the making of an accurate list of firms in business at any one time. Many firms reported as jobbers in trade directories and those of the State Department of Labor were wholesalers only or purchased materials and furnished designs to contractors and did no manufacturing. As the date for reporting the findings of the survey arrived before a complete check-up on every firm on any list was possible in New York City, the 1937 Census enumeration for New York has been taken in place of the 1939 recounting made elsewhere by the Bureau. While all indications point to a smaller number of producing firms in 1939 than two years before, the Bureau's sample for New York covers at least 44 percent of the firms and over 60 percent of the employees reported for 1937. With very extensive unionization of both inside and contract shops in New York City, the sample would seem to be fully indicative of

conditions in the unit-priced dress industry in the city.

The representative nature of the New York City sample is illustrated further by a comparison of the Bureau figures with data received from the research director of the Joint Board of the Dress and Waistmakers' Union of Greater New York. The report of the Joint Board shows that in 1938 there were 2,245 firms, with 79,365 employees, in the New York metropolitan area, including, of course, the New York City firms and their many contractors in adjacent communities.

As shown in the following summary, the proportions of firms and of employees producing dresses at the various prices correspond closely in the two tabulations. For example, in the current study 31 percent of the workers in New York City were employed on dresses wholesaling at \$3.75 and less, 41 percent were on dresses wholesaling at over \$3.75 and including \$8.75, and 28 percent were on dresses wholesaling at more than \$8.75; the proportions reported by the Joint Board were 32 percent, 38 percent, and 30 percent, respectively.

	Fin	rms	Emp	loyees
Unit price of dress	Women's Bureau study, 1939	Joint Board ¹ report, 1938	Women's Bureau study, 1939	Joint Board 1 report, 1938
Total number	720	2, 245	29, 345	79, 365
		Per	cent	
\$1.37½ and under	7. 0 26. 0 17. 0 23. 0 12. 0 16. 0	5. 0 22. 0 16. 0 23. 0 14. 0 20. 0	6. 0 25. 0 20. 0 21. 0 11. 0 17. 0	7. 0 25. 0 19. 0 19. 0 12. 0 18. 0

¹ Joint Board of the Dress and Waistmakers' Union of Greater New York.

Of approximately 2,500 firms manufacturing the unit-priced dress, 1,104 were included in the survey. These firms gave employment to 52,380 persons in the spring of 1939. As it is not customary in this industry in New York City to keep a record of the hours worked by employees, almost all firms in the city had to be requested to keep such record on pay-roll forms left with them and second calls were

made to secure the complete information.

Due to the extremely heavy concentration of firms in New York, New Jersey, Pennsylvania, and Connecticut, and to the fact that the jobber-contractor method of production is prevalent in these States, 583 of the 1,104 firms scheduled (53 percent) were contract shops. Such shops comprised only 15 percent of the 163 firms visited in other States, and these were in California, Georgia, Illinois, Massachusetts, Missouri, and Ohio. Eighty-nine firms, or 8 percent of the total, were jobber manufacturers, and all these but 3 in Pennsylvania and 1 each in Illinois and Massachusetts were in New York City.

Considering all areas combined, well over one-half of the firms visited produced the popular-priced dress, the one selling at \$4.75 or less. Approximately a third produced dresses priced at over \$4.75

and including \$10.75 apiece, and about an eighth made dresses wholesaling at over \$10.75.

Table I.—Extent and location of the UNIT-PRICED-DRESS INDUSTRY in the spring of 1939 and number of firms and employees included in survey

		is in busing of 1939			Firms in	cluded in	survey	
State	Firms—	Fact emple	ory	Firms—	milis Lisa	Factory 6	mploye	es
	Number	Number	Percent	Number	Total number	Percent	Men	Women
TotalPercent	2, 463 100. 0	85, 796 100. 0	100.0	1, 104 44. 8	52, 380 61. 1	100.0	12, 145	40, 235
California Connecticut Georgia Illinois Massachusetts	101 53 7 110 44	3, 500 3, 663 232 3, 796 2, 117	4. 1 4. 3 . 3 4. 4 2. 5	35 28 6 40 24	1, 855 2, 548 222 1, 779 1, 516	3. 5 4. 9 . 4 3. 4 2. 9	215 215 21 380 259	1, 640 2, 333 201 1, 399 1, 257
Minnesota Missouri New Jersey New York Ohio	12 47 245 1 1, 711 19	486 1, 791 10, 084 1 53, 305 851	.6 2.1 11.8 62.1 1.0	8 24 103 762 7	356 1, 247 6, 122 32, 093 439	.7 2.4 11.7 61.3 .8	32 214 526 9, 321 114	324 1, 033 5, 596 22, 772 325
Pennsylvania TexasOther ²	73 16 25	4, 242 762 967	4. 9 . 9 1. 1	48 10 9	3, 183 647 373	6. 1 1. 2 . 7	772 40 36	2, 411 607 337

Almost three-fourths (73 percent) of all employees reported were in New York and New Jersey shops, and nearly one-seventh were in shops in 3 other eastern States-Connecticut, Massachusetts, and Pennsylvania. The remaining workers were employed in 7 mid-western States (8 percent), in California and Washington (4 percent), and in Georgia, Maryland, and Texas (2 percent). Firms in this branch of the apparel industry were, on the whole,

small establishments; only 77 firms, or 7 percent of those scheduled, had 100 or more factory employees, and only 1 employed as many as 500. The largest proportion, 45 percent of the firms, employed 25 and under 50 workers, and 24 percent had fewer than 25. In only 5 States—California Connections Manual Property 10 on the control of the states of the control of the states of the control of the only 5 States—California, Connecticut, Massachusetts, New York. and Pennsylvania—were there 1 or more firms employing as many as 200.

The large majority of the employees were women. They comprised 77 percent of the total, but the proportion varied among the States. In New York women were 71 percent of the work force and in Ohio they were 74 percent. In contrast, from 90 to 94 percent of the workers in at least 5 States were women, the largest proportion being in Texas.

Labor costs.

Labor costs and total costs of operation were reported by 73 inside dress manufacturers in 13 States. The relation is given here as indicative, though not conclusive evidence, of the proportion labor costs are of total costs. In these 73 inside plants labor costs repre-

Census of Manufactures, 1937.
 Indiana, Maryland, Michigan, Washington, and Wisconsin.

sented 29.8 percent of total costs, or much more than in related indus-Inside shops in New York City reported labor costs that were 27.6 percent of total costs, or somewhat less than for all States combined. Among the other States in which 3 or more firms reported cost figures the proportion labor costs were of total costs was 22 percent in Massachusetts, 25 percent in Michigan, and as much as 33 to 36 percent in Pennsylvania, Missouri, and Illinois.

Seventy-two inside firms reported labor costs and manufacturing costs, and in these firms labor costs were 37.4 percent of manufacturing costs. Labor costs were only 28 or 29 percent of manufacturing costs in Massachusetts and Michigan and 33 percent in New York City, but they were 41 percent in Illinois, 42 percent in Missouri, and 52 percent in Pennsylvania.

While costs were secured from some contractors and jobbers, they are not quoted because the exact relation between them is not known. nor is it possible to state what items were included in the totals given.

Learners.

The employment of learners in this branch of the apparel industry was not so general as in other branches because the great majority of these firms were unionized and the learner problem was considered in the union agreements, with the provision that the union guarantees the supply of experienced workers. Consequently the number of firms reporting a policy in regard to learners was very small, only

127, or 12 percent of the total.

There was very little agreement among these firms concerning the length of the learning period or the method of pay for learners. In 76 firms the learning period varied from 1 week to more than 1 year; it was less than 4 weeks in 16 firms, 4 and under 8 weeks in 23, 8 and including 12 weeks in 20, and 4 months or more in 17. Fifty-one firms stated that there was no definite period for learning and that the length of time a person was considered to be a learner depended on his or her ability and the type of work being done.

The rate of pay at which beginners were started showed striking variations. In one firm the rate was reported as \$3 for the first week with a \$1 increase each week for some time; at the other extreme a firm reported that beginners were paid \$24 for a 35-hour week. The rate of pay was reported by 117 firms; in 85 firms learners were paid at time rates and in 32 they were paid at piece rates. The variations

in the rates reported were as follows:

Time rates-85 firms:

\$3 for first week with \$1 increase each week-1 firm.

\$9 for 40-hour week, \$10 for 44-hour week—2 firms.
25 cents an hour, \$8.75 for 35 hours, \$9 for 36 hours, \$10 for 40 hours, or \$11 for 44 hours—43 firms.

26 cents an hour, 28% cents an hour—2 firms.

33½ cents to 40 cents an hour—6 firms. \$9 to \$24 for a 35-hour week—29 firms.

\$12 for a 37½-hour week, \$13 for a 40-hour week—2 firms.

Piece rates-32 firms:

Regular piece-work rates—21 firms. Piece rates with a minimum guarantee of-25 cents an hour—10 firms. 28 cents an hour—1 firm.

At the time of the survey only 430 workers, or less than 1 percent of the total, were reported as learners, and earnings data were obtained for these. There were reported also 262 substandard or handicapped workers. The proportion of learners was lower in the unit-priced-dress firms than in any other branch of the apparel industry. Four-fifths of the entire group of learners and handicapped workers were employed as sewing-machine operators and one-seventh were employed as hand finishers, inspectors, and packers.

The largest group, 36 percent, were paid 25 cents an hour and only 10 percent were paid less than 25 cents. Nearly one-fourth (24 percent) had earnings of 40 cents or more, the great majority of these exceeding 40 cents. Earnings of the learners and handicapped are not included in the earnings tables for experienced workers presented

later in the report.

EARNINGS AND HOURS

Hourly earnings.

Hourly earnings have been computed for 51,055 experienced workers. They were arrived at by dividing the week's earnings by the number of hours worked, for each employee separately. These earnings are for regular time only, as overtime payments have been elimi-

nated from such computations.

Because of the large numbers of employees reported in certain metropolitan areas, tabulations of earnings have been prepared for Boston, New York City, and Philadelphia separately from the remainder of their respective States. In Indiana, Maryland, Washington, and Wisconsin, on the other hand, too few firms were scheduled to show separately, so these are combined and classed as "other States."

In general, the wage standards in unit-priced-dress firms were considerably higher than in the other branches of the apparel industry included in the current study. The average hourly earnings of the entire group of employees producing unit-priced dresses were 78.2 cents, but about one-fourth (24 percent) of the workers averaged as much as \$1 an hour and one-sixth earned 80 cents and under \$1. Just over one-fourth (27 percent) had earnings below 50 cents, but for less

than 5 percent were the earnings below 30 cents.

Among the States there were very wide differences in the employees' earnings. The extremes of average hourly earnings were Texas with 31.8 cents and New York City with 90 cents, a variation from low to high of 283 percent. Ohio, with 79.7 cents, was the only other area in which the average was above that for all workers, though Philadelphia and Illinois averaged nearly as high, with 74 and 75 cents, respectively. New Jersey, Connecticut, and Boston workers also had relatively high earnings, with averages varying from 66.7 cents to 69.9 cents. Low hourly earnings, averaging less than 50 cents, were reported for workers in other Massachusetts, Georgia, other Pennsylvania, and Michigan.

With few exceptions there was very little concentration of hourly earnings at any particular point in the wage scale. In New York City and Ohio the majority of the employees, 61 and 53 percent, respectively, had earnings of 75 cents and over, and in Philadelphia, Illinois, New Jersey, Connecticut, and Boston the most usual earnings were 60 cents

and over.

Table II.—Hourly earnings of experienced employees in the UNIT-PRICED-DRESS INDUSTRY, by area

	All	areas					Massac	husetts				New	York			Penns	ylvania		
Hourly earnings (cents)	Num- ber of em- ployees	Per- cent	Califor- nia	Con- necti- cut	Geor- gia	Illinois	Boston	Other Mass- achu- setts	Michi- gan	Min- neso- ta	Mis- souri	New York City	Up- State New York	New Jersey	Ohio	Phila- del- phia	Other Penn- sylva- nia	Texas	Other States
Total employ- ees	51, 055	100.0	1, 799	2, 401	199	1, 650	793	658	55	350	1, 208	29, 345	2, 160	5, 986	404	2, 179	930	632	30
ings2 (cents).	78. 2		55. 9	66. 8	44. 3	75. 0	69.9	46. 5	41.0	54. 1	57. 5	90.0	50.9	66. 7	79.7	74.0	42.3	31.8	59.
							103		Perce	nt of emp	loyees								
Under 25.0 25.0, under 27.5 27.5, under 30.0 30.0, under 32.5 32.5, under 35.0 35.0, under 37.5 37.5, under 40.0 40.0, under 42.5 42.5, under 40.0 40.0, under 42.5 42.5, under 50.0 50.0, under 50.0 60.0, under 62.5 52.5, under 50.0 60.0, under 62.5 65.0, under 65.0 65.0, under 67.5 67.5, under 70.0 67.0, under 70.0 67.0, under 70.0 67.0, under 75.5 67.5, under 70.0 67.0, under 75.5 67.5, under 70.0 67.0, under 75.5 67.5, under 75.0 67.5, under 75.0 67.5, under 75.0 67.5, under 80.0 60.0, under 82.5 60.0, under 82.5 60.0, under 82.5 60.0, under 87.5 60.0, under 90.0	147 1, 452 583 807 1, 004 993 8, 585 1, 360 1, 654 1, 664 1, 689 947 1, 333 2, 180 1, 408 1, 249 1, 381 1, 011 2, 043 1, 381 1, 011 2, 043 1, 382 849 1, 372 926 1, 372 928 849 1, 373 926 1, 229 1, 373 926 1, 229 1, 373 928	0.38 1 1.60 2.19 1.7 1 2.62 2.33 3.39 1.26 3 2.47 2.40 0 4.8 4.17 7 2.77 3.08 1.54 6 3 2.36 6 3 2.36 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	0.8 .9 1.3 6.3 5.4 5.5 6.0 5.4 8.2 5.6 6.1 4.6 2.3 1.1 1.0 1.1 1.1 1.0 1.1 1.0 1.0	0.10 3.55 1.25 1.30 2.13 3.70 3.55 6.37 4.29 4.29 4.25 2.19 8.21 2.15 1.70 1.00 1.70 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00	0. 5 11. 1 2. 0 6. 5 3. 0 5. 5 5. 0 8. 5 8. 5 10. 6 9. 0 10. 6. 0 1. 5 2. 0 3. 0 5 1. 0	0.1 1.6 1.6 1.6 1.6 1.6 1.6 1.6 1	1.0 1.5 1.7 1.7 1.17 1.5.0 2.7 4.4 3.9 4.8 3.3 6.7 2.5 4.4 4.9 4.0 3.4 4.4 4.3 4.4 4.3 4.4 4.3 4.8 1.9 1.9 1.0 1.9 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0	0.8 8.5 2.9 2.9 3.5 17.9 7.0 8.3 8.5 5.5 5.0 6.2 3.0 7.1 2.0 9 4.5 1.4 1.3 9 2.2 5.5 5.2	3. 6 7. 3 3. 6 12. 7 16. 4 5. 5 5. 5 7. 3 1. 8 7. 3 10. 9 3. 6 1. 8 1. 8	2.8 9 4.6 3.1 10.0 0 13.4 7.7 8.8 9 6.9 4.3 3.4 4.2.3 1.7 9 3.3 1.7 9 3.3 1.7 9 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.5 1.5 1.4 1.4 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.4 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5	0. 2 4. 9 1. 4 2. 8 2. 1 2. 6 3. 1 12. 8 6. 2 6. 2 6. 2 3. 1 12. 8 3. 4 2. 2 2. 2 2. 1 1. 2 6. 3 4. 6 3. 4 2. 6 3. 4 1. 2 1. 4 1. 6 1. 6 1. 6 1. 6 1. 6 1. 6 1. 6 1. 6	0. 2 . 7 . 6 1. 0 1. 0 1. 7 1. 6 6. 3 2. 4 2. 5 1. 7 1. 4 2. 5 2. 7 1. 4 2. 5 3. 1 2. 2 2. 1 2. 2 2. 1 2. 2 2. 1 2. 2 2. 1 2.	0.5765.5225.5226.6603.264.836.9.19.2226.1.54.1.29.2.264.3.660.22.22.3.660.22.22.3.660.22.22.22.22.22.22.22.22.22.22.22.22.22	0.4 0 5 4 4 2 2 2 2 3 3 2 2 7 7 7 7 0 0 6 8 2 4 2 2 4 4 8 1 8 2 2 3 4 8 1 1 1 8 8 1 1 0 9	0. 2 . 5 . 7 2. 0 1. 0 3. 0 1. 7 3. 0 1. 7 3. 0 1. 7 3. 0 1. 7 3. 0 1. 7 3. 0 1. 7 3. 0 4.	0.351.169.11.19.19	0. 4 13. 0 9. 5 9. 6 7. 4 7. 7 1. 8 11. 6 8. 4 5. 5 2. 4 2. 9 5. 7 1. 3 . 9 8 3. 3 4. 3 5 5 2. 4 1. 1 5 2. 0	1. 4 52. 1 7. 4 11. 2 4. 9 5. 2 2. 7 4. 0 1. 7 2. 8 1. 3 . 5 . 9 . 3 . 9 . 2 . 2 . 2 . 2 . 2	0. 6. 1. 2. 2. 2. 4. 4. 4. 2. 3. 3. 2. 2. 2. 4. 4. 4. 5. 1. 1. 1. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2.

Indiana, Maryland, Washington, and Wisconsin.
 The mean—the simple arithmetic average.
 About 11 percent earned \$1 and under \$1.25; 7 percent, \$1.25 and under \$1.50; and 5 percent, \$1.50 and over.

In some areas, however, the concentration of earnings was in the lower wage levels. Over three-fifths of the workers in Texas were paid less than 30 cents, and from one-third to more than one-half (55 percent) in Georgia, up-State New York, other Massachusetts, other Pennsylvania, and Michigan had earnings below 40 cents. In these areas the proportion of workers who earned as much as 60 cents varied from only 3 percent in Texas and 5 percent in Michigan to 14 percent in other Massachusetts and to 33 percent in up-State New York.

There was also a large difference between the earnings of workers in Boston, Philadelphia, and New York City and in the remainder of the respective States, with the workers in the metropolitan areas averaging from 23 to 39 cents an hour more than the workers in the

areas outside the cities.

Hourly earnings by wholesale price of dress.—On the whole, though earnings do not advance uniformly with the wholesale price of the dress in each State, the better the workmanship required on these street dresses the higher the earnings. Considering all States combined, the average hourly earnings of the workers on the lowest-priced dress, that at \$1.37½ and under, were 51.8 cents; they increased to 85.5 cents for workers on dresses priced at over \$4.75 and including \$8.75, but decreased to 85.2 cents in the next higher price range, and advanced to 97.2 cents for the workers on dresses wholesaling at over \$10.75.

Workers in New York City had much higher earnings than workers in other places on all dresses but those at the lowest price levels. On dresses selling for \$1.37½ and under, New York City workers averaged 56.9 cents an hour, whereas in Connecticut employees received 61.5 cents an hour. Average earnings were somewhat lower in New

Jersey (47.9 cents) and in up-State New York (43.1 cents).

On dresses wholesaling at over \$1.37½ and including \$3.75, workers in New York City averaged 80 cents, but in other places the average varied from only 27.6 cents in Texas to 67 cents in Illinois. Workers on dresses in the four price groups above \$3.75 had average hourly earnings of 91.8 cents to just over \$1.00 in New York City. In New Jersey their highest average earnings were 90.7 cents, but in all other States the average was less than 90 cents, the highest figure being 85.9 cents for Ohio workers employed on dresses priced at over \$8.75 and including \$10.75. The lowest average was the 29.3 cents earned by workers on dresses at over \$3.75 and including \$4.75 in Texas.

Table III.—Average hourly earnings of experienced employees in the UNIT-PRICED-DRESS INDUSTRY, by wholesale price of dress and by area

									Dresses p	riced at-								
	\$1.37}	½ and u	nder		\$1.37½ uding \$3			r \$3.75 auding \$			er \$4.75 a uding \$			r \$8.75 a		0	ver \$10.7	75
Area		Empl	oyees 1	133	Empl	oyees 1		Emp	loyees 1		Emp	loyees 1		Emp	loyees 1		Emp	loyees 1
All areas	Firms— Number	Num- ber	Average hourly earnings 2 (cents)	Firms— Number	Num- ber	Average hourly earnings 2 (cents)	Firms— Number	Num- ber	Average hourly earnings? (cents)	Firms— Number	Num- ber	Average hourly earnings 2 (cents)	Firms— Number	Num- ber	Average hourly earnings? (cents)	Firms— Number	Num- ber	Average hourly earnings? (cents)
All areas	80	3, 376	51.8	316	14, 744	67. 4	207	10, 370	79. 5	229	10, 043	85. 5	130	6, 172	85. 2	142	6, 350	97. 2
CaliforniaConnecticut	3 2	188 80	61. 5 46. 6	12 6	810 436 43	50. 4 55. 2 38. 9	5 8 2	130 991 76	56. 2 65. 4 44. 9	10 6	523 426	58. 3 72. 3	4 2	235 99	64. 2 73. 6	4 3	101 261	71. 6 84. 3
Georgia	1	80	40.0	2 2 4	36 609	67. 0 46. 5	6 9	86 336	64. 0 67. 9	8 5	432 240	68. 4 64. 6	11 4	477 196	77. 9 68. 8	12 2	594 70	81. 3 84. 3
Michigan Minnesota Missouri New York City Up-State New York		1, 791 390	56. 9 43. 1	1 2 188 26	61 7, 436 1, 158	50. 0 80. 0 54. 7	3 4 11 119 3	55 195 599 5, 774 144	41. 0 53. 9 53. 5 91. 8 76. 5	3 7 162 3	139 229 6, 055 468	55. 9 64. 9 97. 4 40. 2	4 89	319 3, 186	61. 0 97. 5	115	5, 103	101. 2
New Jersey	13	633	47.9	45 2	2,817	59.8	25	1, 317	76. 7	12	732	81. 2	6 3	386 196	80. 4 85. 9	2	101	90.7
Philadelphia Other Pennsylvania	2 2	53 216	40.6	15 7	586 410	(3) 62. 0 35. 6	6	272	76. 9	11	570	83. 7	4	698	77.6			
TexasOther				2 2	155 164	27. 6 64. 0	4 2	324 71	29. 3 43. 8	1			2	76	46.1	1 2	71	65. 3

¹ Where only one firm is reported, employees and earnings are included in total but not shown separately.
² The mean—the simple arithmetic average.
³ Not computed; base too small.
⁴ Indiana, Maryland, Washington, and Wisconsin.

Hourly earnings by type of organization.—A separate tabulation was made of earnings in contract shops and in inside shops in the New York Metropolitan District. Only 30 percent of the firms scheduled in this area were inside shops. In New York City the average hourly earnings of workers in inside shops were 97.2 cents, in contract shops 83.3 cents. In up-State New York there was little difference in the two groups; in both, earnings were approximately 50 cents. In Connecticut the contract-shop earnings averaged 68.4 cents an hour, compared with 55.7 cents for inside factories. In New Jersey also earnings were higher in the contract shops, or 67.3 cents compared with 62.8 The difference in earnings between contract shops and inside plants is due more largely to size of plant than to method of operation. The average number of employees in contract shops in New York City was about 40, whereas inside shops in New York City averaged around 50 workers and in New Jersey and up-State New York approximately 100.

Hourly earnings by occupation.—Information was obtained concerning the sex and occupation of each employee, and as some occupations are filled almost entirely by men and others by women a comparison of the earnings of workers classified according to occupation gives a fairly accurate picture of the differences in men's and women's earnings, as well as differences in earnings paid for the various types of

work.

The largest occupational group, 29,323 workers or 57 percent of the total, were machine operators, and the great majority of these, 87 percent, were women. Sewing-machine operations generally were paid for on a piece-rate basis and three-fourths of the operators reported were paid piece rates. Hand finishers, inspectors, and packers made up the second largest group and these numbered 10,131, or 20 percent of the force. Practically all this group, over 99 percent, were women. Other groups composed largely of women were the general indirect labor group (floor girls, bundlers, cleaners, and so forth), who make up about 3 percent of the force, and the supervisory group,

comprising 5 percent.

The largest though not the most important group of men, 3,892, were machine operators, 13 percent of the machine-operator group. The occupations requiring the highest degree of skill are cutting out the materials preparatory to their being sewed into dresses, and pressing the finished garments. Good workmanship by pressers is essential on the higher-priced dresses. The cutters comprised 5 percent, and the pressers 8 percent, of all the workers. More than four-fifths of these two groups combined were men—92 percent of the cutters and 79 percent of the pressers. The nonmanufacturing groups of shipping, maintenance, and plant clerical workers together formed 3 percent of the employees reported, and the large majority of these workers also were men. The composition of the work force in the firms scheduled is shown in the following summary.

202064°-40-4

Occupation	All	Men	Wor	nen
	employees	wien	Number	Percent
Total	51, 688	12, 087	39, 601	76. 6
Machine operators Hand finishers, inspectors, and packers Cutters. Pressers. General indirect labor Shipping Maintenance Supervisory and machinists Plant clerical	29, 323 10, 131 2, 471 4, 267 1, 320 1, 186 170 2, 610 210	3, 892 85 2, 273 3, 348 476 1, 131 156 597 129	25, 431 10, 046 198 919 844 55 14 2, 013 81	86. 7 99. 2 8. 0 21. 5 63. 9 4. 6 8. 2 77. 1 38. 6

Unpublished tabulations of the occupational distribution of employees by area show that in each instance the largest group of workers were machine operators. Less than half in Minnesota (48 percent) and Boston (49 percent) were machine operators, but in the other areas the proportion varied from 50 percent in Illinois to 66 percent in New Jersey and 69 percent in up-State New York. Hand finishers, inspectors, and packers made up the second largest group in each area and the proportion they were of the total number varied from 13 percent in Georgia to 25 percent in Illinois and Minnesota. In New York City, much the largest of the dress-producing centers covered, 53 percent of the workers were machine operators and 20 percent were hand workers.

With four exceptions, the next largest occupational group were pressers, the proportion in this class varying from 6 to 10 percent. In other Massachusetts, California, and Missouri the proportions of cutters and pressers were practically the same, and in Minnesota pressers were fewer in number than cutters. Though cutting is one of the most important and exacting occupations in the clothing industry, this work is largely confined to inside and jobber manufacturers. Consequently, in areas such as New Jersey, Connecticut, up-State New York, and other Pennsylvania, where the majority of firms are contract shops, cutters are a negligible part of the work force. Three percent or less of the workers in these areas were cutters.

The average hourly earnings of the workers in the various occupations are shown by area in table IV. A comparison with other branches of the apparel industry shows that in all but two occupations the unit-priced-dress workers had substantially higher average earnings than workers in any other branch. The exceptions were that shipping workers in the unit-priced-dress firms had earnings below those in corset firms (they were the same as in dozen-priced dresses) and that maintenance workers averaged less than those in corset, blouse, and underwear and nightwear firms.

The highest earnings in unit-priced-dress firms were those of pressers. Their average earnings were \$1.26 an hour, and as many as 30 percent had earnings of \$1.50 or more. Only 18 percent of the group had earnings below 75 cents an hour. Cutters ranked next, with average hourly earnings of \$1.15. Only 18 percent of the cutters were paid less than 75 cents, and 46 percent earned \$1.25 and under \$1.50, and 11 percent \$1.50 or over. Other employees with relatively high earnings were the supervisors and machinists, who averaged 96.4 cents.

Table IV.—Average hourly earnings of experienced employees in the UNIT-PRICED-DRESS INDUSTRY, by occupation and by area

	All fac employe per won	ees (77 cent	Macl operato perc wom	ent (87	Hand ers, in tors, packe percent	and ers (99	Cutto pero won	cent	Presse perc won	ent			Shipp perc won	ent	Mainte (8 per won	cent	Superand m ists (7 cent w	achin- 7 per-	(39 pe	clerical ercent nen)
Area	Number of employees	Average hourly earnings ¹ (cents)	Number of employees	Average hourly earnings ¹ (cents)	Number of employees	Average hourly earnings ¹ (cents)	Number of employees	Average hourly earnings 1 (cents)	Number of employees	Average hourly earnings 1 (cents)	Number of employees	Average hourly earnings (cents)	Number of employees	Average hourly earnings 1 (cents)	Number of employees	Average hourly earnings ! (cents)	Number of employees	Average hourly earnings ¹ (cents)	Number of employees	Average hourly earnings (cents)
All areas	51, 055	78. 2	29, 182	77.7	9, 981	55. 8	2, 458	115. 4	4, 179	126. 3	1, 286	41.7	1, 138	42.8	153	39. 2	2, 478	96. 4	200	52.
California Connecticut Georgia Illinois Boston Other Massachusetts	1, 799 2, 401 199 1, 650 793 658	55. 9 66. 8 44. 3 75. 0 69. 9 46. 5	1, 043 1, 537 131 853 389 405	52. 7 68. 3 46. 1 72. 2 70. 1 45. 4	314 501 26 407 180 129	46. 9 49. 8 37. 3 56. 4 46. 7 35. 9	123 31 11 125 47 38	86. 8 72. 8 103. 0 99. 8 66. 2	121 189 19 167 80 40	68. 2 109. 5 114. 1 116. 9 76. 2	40 101 2 24 24 24 21	44. 7 42. 7	43 4 	48.0	10 3 5 9 1		100 35 5 41 48 14	76. 4 82. 7 115. 8 78. 7	6	
Michigan Minnesota Missouri New York City Up-State New York	55 350 1, 208 29, 345 2, 160	41. 0 54. 1 57. 5 90. 0 50. 9	37 165 670 15, 752 1, 488	41. 0 54. 8 52. 9 91. 6 50. 0	10 88 234 5, 838 348	45. 5 44. 8 61. 2 39. 8	1 32 85 1,644 52	70. 9 88. 0 127. 4 84. 7	5 27 86 2, 369 167	56. 4 103. 5 150. 6 75. 7	1 4 41 690 50	39. 8 43. 7 34. 9	12 19 927 6	42.8	1 1 20 75 1	41. 0	10 45 1, 902 39	86. 8 101. 7 62. 4	11 8 148 9	56.
New Jersey Ohio Philadelphia Other Pennsylvania Texas Other 2	5, 986 404 2, 179 930 632 306	66. 7 79. 7 74. 0 42. 3 31. 8 59. 6	4, 003 235 1, 294 589 407 184	67. 7 77. 8 73. 4 39. 5 29. 9 58. 3	1, 126 80 367 179 100 54	49. 4 61. 1 56. 4 39. 9 30. 0 48. 9	47 32 126 20 24 20	101. 8 126. 8 102. 9	48	101. 8 104. 7 109. 7 50. 3 33. 7	166 7 48 41 15	40. 6 35. 4 32. 2	2 8 52 4 6 2	44. 9	16 1 2 2 2 3 3		80 3 99 21 27 9	78. 9 78. 7 42. 7	3 2	

¹ The mean—the simple arithmetic average. Not computed where base less than 25. Indiana, Maryland, Washington, and Wisconsin.

Approximately three-fifths of this group earned 80 cents or more and

only one-tenth were paid less than 60 cents.

The distribution of earnings of the machine operators, the largest of the occupational groups, approximated the distribution of all employees combined, and their average earnings, 77.7 cents, were only one-half cent below the general average. The majority of the machine operators, 56 percent, earned 70 cents and over, 22 percent earning \$1 or more; however, a substantial group, 21 percent, were paid less than 50 cents.

Average earnings of the other groups varied from 39.2 cents for the maintenance workers and 41.7 cents for the general indirect factory workers to 55.8 cents for the hand-finisher group, the latter comprising the second largest occupational group and having the heaviest concentration of their earnings (56 percent) at below 55 cents. From 50 to 82 percent of the workers in the other groups had earnings below 50 cents. Only 10 percent of the hand-worker group averaged as

much as 80 cents an hour.

By area, the average hourly earnings of pressers, the highest-paid workers, varied from 33.7 cents in Texas to 150.6 cents an hour in New York City, or a difference between the two areas of \$1.17 an hour. Other areas where pressers averaged more than \$1 were Boston, Illinois, Philadelphia, Connecticut, Ohio, Missouri, and New Jersey. The average earnings of cutters ranged from 66.2 cents in other Massachusetts to 127.4 cents in New York City, with averages of more than \$1 paid also in Ohio, Illinois, Philadelphia, and New Jersey. In California, Minnesota, up-State New York, and Ohio, cutters averaged more than pressers, and in New Jersey the average earnings were identical for these groups. The only other groups to average as much as \$1 an hour were the supervisors and machinists in New York City and Illinois firms.

The New York City firms again paid the best wages received by machine operators, the average being 91.6 cents. This was followed by Ohio, Philadelphia, Illinois, and Boston, with averages varying downward from 77.8 cents to 70.1 cents. At the other extreme were Texas with an average of 29.9 cents, other Pennsylvania with 39.5 cents, Michigan with 41 cents, other Massachusetts with 45.4 cents, and Georgia with 46.1 cents. The average earnings of the hand finishers, inspectors, and packers varied from 30 cents in Texas and 34.8 cents in Michigan to 61.1 cents in Ohio and 61.2 cents in New York City. For hand workers in Philadelphia and Illinois the average earnings were alike—56.4 cents for both groups. California firms paid higher wages than those in other areas to indirect factory labor and to shipping workers, and Illinois firms led in average amounts paid to supervisors and machinists.

Hourly earnings in union and nonunion shops.—The unit-priced dress branch is one of the most highly unionized branches of the apparel industry, and 994 firms, with about nine-tenths of the workers, reported that they were operating under an agreement with a union. In all but 5 of the areas covered the majority of the employees were in union shops, the proportion varying from 56 percent in Ohio to 93 percent in Illinois, 94 percent in New Jersey, 95 percent in Philadelphia, 99 percent in New York City, and 100 percent in Georgia and Minnesota. All the workers in scheduled firms in Michigan and Texas and from 53

to 63 percent of those in up-State New York, other Massachusetts,

and California were in unorganized shops.

The average hourly earnings of union workers varied from just over 44 cents in other Pennsylvania and Georgia to 84.9 cents in Ohio and to 90.4 cents in New York City. They were just below 50 cents in other Massachusetts; between 50 and 60 cents in Minnesota and Missouri; between 60 and 70 cents in California, up-State New York, New Jersey, and Connecticut; and between 70 and 80 cents in Boston, Philadelphia, and Illinois. For nonunion employees the range in average earnings was from 29.8 cents in other Pennsylvania to 65.2 cents in Boston and 73 cents in Ohio. In each area where comparison of earnings is possible, the union employees had earnings materially higher than those of the nonunion workers. The difference in favor of the workers in unionized firms was only 3.7 cents in other Massachusetts, 6.1 cents in Boston, and 11.9 cents in Ohio, but in New Jersey, New York City, up-State New York, and Illinois the union workers averaged from 28.3 to 33.5 cents an hour more than the nonunion em-The difference was more than 20 cents an hour also in Connecticut, the residual group "other," and Philadelphia.

Hourly earnings in the various firms.—Tabulations were prepared of employees' individual earnings in each firm separately in order to relate them to size of firm, to firm's average, and to firm's location. The 1,104 firms are distributed according to the average hourly earnings

of their factory employees in the accompanying summary.

Average hourly	Number	Emp	loyees	Average hourly	Number	Empl	oyees
earnings in the firm (cents)	of firms	Number	Percent	earnings in the firm (cents)	of firms	Number	Percent
Total	1, 104	51, 055	100.0	45.0, under 47.5 47.5, under 50.0	8	694 1, 080	1.4
25.0, under 27.5	4	180	0.4	50.0, under 55.0	18 39	1,690	3.
27.5, under 30.0	7	336	.7	55.0, under 60.0	49 138	3, 414 6, 708	13.
30.0, under 32.5	14	684 477	1.3	70.0, under 80.0	164	8, 276	16.
32.5, under 35.0 35.0, under 37.5	16	1,099	2.2	80.0, under 90.0	167	6, 973	13.
37.5, under 40.0	11	346	7	90.0, under 100.0	193	8,094	15.
40.0, under 42.5			1.5	100.0 and over	231	9,353	18.
42.5. under 45.0	14	880	1.7				

On the basis of number of employees the size of the firms ranged from less than 25 to nearly 550; 24 percent of the 1,104 firms employed fewer than 25 workers, 45 percent had 25 and under 50, 19 percent had 50 and under 75, 6 percent had 75 and under 100, and 7 percent had 100 or more. The average earnings in the entire group of firms varied from 25 and under 27½ cents to over \$1; in 123 firms the average was below 50 cents, in 88 it was 50 and under 60 cents, in 138 it was 60 and under 70 cents, in 164 it was 70 and under 80 cents, in 360 it was 80 cents and under \$1, and in 231 it was \$1 or more.

In each of the classes of under 25 employees, 25 and under 50 employees, and 100 and under 200 employees, some firms paid wages that averaged less than 30 cents and some paid wages that averaged \$1 or more; and in the other three classes the range of average earnings was from 30 and under 32½ cents to \$1 or more. However, in general, wage levels are higher in the smaller than in the largest firms. Hourly earnings of employees averaged 75 cents and more in 66 percent of the

firms with fewer than 50 employees but in only 40 percent of those with 100 or more, and they were less than 50 cents in only 10 percent of the firms with fewer than 50 employees but in 18 percent of those

with 100 or more.

Naturally, hourly earnings of individual employees varied widely from the general average for the firm, and both in firms with relatively low averages and in those with relatively high averages some workers earned very much less and others very much more than the average. In one or more firms in every average group but the lowest and that of 32½ and under 35 cents there were workers whose averages were less than 30 cents and others whose averages were \$1 or more. In the 4 firms with averages of 25 and under 27½ cents, individual employees had earnings varying from less than 25 cents to 55 and under 57½ cents; and in the 11 firms with averages of 32½ and under 35 cents to 87½ and under 90 cents. The heavy concentration, however, was in the low intervals of the wage scale in the low-average firms and the concentration points moved upward on the wage scale as the firm averages increased.

Further, there was little correlation between the average hourly earnings of employees and the firm's location. In New York City the range in average earnings in the various firms was from 25 and under 27½ cents to more than \$1.50; in 31 percent of the firms the average was \$1 and over, in 51 percent it was 75 cents and under \$1, and in only 3 percent was it under 50 cents. In New Jersey, the second largest center, the firm averages varied from 27½ and under 30 cents to more than \$1, and in Philadelphia the range was from 37½ and

under 40 cents to \$1 and over.

The 231 firms whose employees averaged \$1 or more an hour were in Connecticut, Illinois, New Jersey, Philadelphia, and New York City, 223 of them in the last named. Low-wage firms, with average earnings below 40 cents, were in each area but Boston and Minnesota. The 11 firms with average earnings below 30 cents were in New Jersey, New York City, up-State New York, other Pennsylvania, and Texas.

Hours worked.

The number of hours worked in the pay-roll week recorded was tabulated for 50,970 employees. Though the largest group, 43 percent of the total, worked 35 hours, the standard workweek adopted by the union, a strikingly large proportion, 35 percent, worked less than 35 hours. Such a large number of employees working less than 35 hours indicates that many unit-priced-dress firms were not operating on a full-time schedule in the spring of 1939. Only 7 percent of all the employees worked as long as 44 hours.

In New York City, most highly unionized center in the industry, 93 percent of the employees worked 35 hours or less, 55 percent of them working 35 hours and 38 percent working less than 35. In New Jersey and Connecticut, considered as part of the New York Metropolitan District and with the employers largely union-contract firms, 78 percent worked 35 hours or less; in New Jersey, however, the group who worked less than 35 was larger than the group working 35 hours,

as was true of 11 of the 17 areas.

Unfavorable working hours for many workers were found in several

areas. In Georgia 57 percent of the employees had less than 35 hours of work, though as many as 15 percent worked over 40 hours. In Boston 37 percent and in other Massachusetts 51 percent worked over 40 hours. In Texas 51 percent and in Michigan 62 percent worked 44 hours and more.

Table V.—Hours worked in the pay-roll week recorded by employees in the UNIT-PRICED-DRESS INDUSTRY

	Empl	oyees		Empl	oyees
Hours worked	Number	Percent	Hours worked	Number	Percent
Total	50, 970	100.0	40 Over 40, under 44	1, 937 1, 982	3.8
Under 35 35 Over 35, under 40	17, 919 22, 050 3, 671	35. 2 43. 3 7. 2	Over 44.	2, 348 1, 063	4. 6 2. 1

Week's earnings.

The amount of the total earnings received in the pay-roll week recorded was reported for 51,688 workers in the 1,104 shops visited. The average week's earnings of the entire group, regardless of the hours worked, were \$25.56. The most usual earnings were \$10 and under \$30, with more than three-fifths of the workers (63 percent) receiving such amounts; 20 percent were in the \$5 group at \$15 and under \$20. Fourteen percent had earnings of \$40 and over, and only

7 percent earned below \$10.

Employees in the unit-priced-dress firms had much higher week's earnings than those of workers in any of the other apparel branches covered. However, there was wide variation in the earnings of workers in the different areas. In Texas the workers averaged only \$12.72, and in Georgia and other Pennsylvania they averaged only about \$14.50. In contrast, employees in Illinois, Boston, Philadelphia, New York City, and Ohio had average earnings of \$25.83 to \$29.84. Thus workers in Texas averaged only 43 percent as much as those in Ohio. The average was more than \$20 also in California, New Jersey, Missouri, Connecticut, and the group "other States." The employees in the large cities—New York City, Philadelphia,

The employees in the large cities—New York City, Philadelphia, and Boston—had materially higher earnings than those in the remainder of their respective States. Employees in Boston averaged \$7.29 more than workers in other Massachusetts firms; the New York City workers averaged \$11.47 more, and the Philadelphia workers \$11.92 more, than workers in firms in their respective States outside those

cities.

The average indicates in a general way the differences in earnings in the various areas, but in all cases important groups of workers had earnings considerably below or above the average. Only from 12 to 20 percent of the workers in Ohio, New York City, Illinois, Boston, and Philadelphia, in contrast to 46 percent in Michigan, 50 percent in up-State New York, and from 70 to 80 percent in other Pennsylvania, Georgia, and Texas, had earnings below \$16.

At the other extreme of the wage scale, the proportion of workers with earnings of \$28 or more varied from less than 5 percent in Texas, Georgia, and other Pennsylvania to 32 percent in Illinois and Boston, 38 percent in Philadelphia, 44 percent in New York City, and 54 per-

cent in Ohio.

Table VI.—Week's earnings of experienced employees in the UNIT-PRICED-DRESS INDUSTRY, by area

	To	otal		4			Pe	rcent of	employ	ees wit	h week'	s earnir	igs as sp	ecified	in—				
Week's earnings	Num-	Per-	Cali-	Con-	G		Mass	sachu- tts		Min-	a RG		New	York	1	Pen	nsyl- nia		
	ber	cent	fornia	necti- cut	Geor- gia	Illi- nois	Bos- ton	Other Mass- achu- setts	Mich- igan	ne- sota	Mis- souri	New Jersey	New York City	Up- State New York	Ohio	Phila- del- phia	Other Penn- sylva- nia	Texas	Other States ¹
Number of firms Number of employees Average earnings ²	1, 104 51, 688 \$25, 56	100.0	35 1,803 \$20.33	28 2, 411 \$22. 31	6 203 \$14. 44	40 1, 753 \$25, 83	19 801 \$26, 24	5 666 \$18. 95	3 59 \$17.45	8 355 \$19, 26	24 1, 219 \$21, 64	103 6, 042 \$20, 66	720 29, 711 \$28, 73	42 2, 176 \$17, 26	7 422 \$29, 84	38 2, 180 \$26, 47	10 947 \$14. 55	10 632 \$12, 72	6 308 \$22, 14
						4 2.		1.6	Percent	of emplo	yees								
Under \$5 \$5, under \$10 \$10, under \$15 \$15, under \$20 \$20, under \$25	764 2, 708 7, 156 10, 401 8, 483	1. 5 5. 2 13. 8 20. 1 16. 4	1. 7 5. 3 17. 4 32. 7 21. 9	1. 2 4. 8 17. 0 21. 3 20. 3	2. 5 23. 2 38. 4 24. 6 7. 9	0.7 2.7 10.0 22.1 22.3	0. 4 1. 9 12. 5 20. 2	0. 5 3. 6 24. 6 43. 8	1.7 6.8 28.8 37.3	2. 5 4. 5 15. 8 36. 9	3. 4 5. 5 18. 5 29. 1	2. 0 8. 8 17. 0 23. 0	1. 2 3. 8 9. 5 17. 4	2.1 10.2 31.6 27.3	0.5 2.4 7.3 13.0	0.7 3.2 12.3 16.7	5. 6 17. 7 39. 9 18. 6	6. 0 18. 2 53. 3 12. 8	1. 6 7. 1 19. 2 21. 4
\$25, under \$30 \$30; under \$35 \$35, under \$40 \$40, under \$45 \$45, under \$50 \$50 and over	6, 761 4, 864 3, 140 2, 297 1, 784 3, 330	13. 1 9. 4 6. 1 4. 4 3. 5 6, 4	8. 8 4. 7 3. 7 1. 7 . 5 1. 7	18. 8 8. 2 3. 5 2. 2 .9 1. 7	1. 5 . 5 1. 0	15. 9 8. 3 4. 7 4. 8 2. 1 6. 4	19. 6 20. 3 8. 4 4. 7 2. 7 2. 6 6. 6	16. 5 5. 0 1. 7 . 9 . 8 . 6 2. 1	15. 3 5. 1 1. 7 1. 7 1. 7	22. 5 10. 7 2. 8 1. 7 1. 4 . 3 . 8	19. 4 8. 8 4. 1 2. 8 3. 5 1. 6 3. 4	23.8 11.4 6.5 3.0 2.1 1.0 1.5	14. 0 14. 2 11. 7 8. 0 5. 8 5. 0 9. 4	16. 7 5. 3 2. 7 1. 8 . 6 . 5 1. 1	14. 7 13. 0 17. 8 8. 8 10. 2 6. 9 5. 5	16.8 17.6 11.7 7.5 6.1 2.8 4.6	11.9 2.0 1.5 1.8 .1 .1	5. 1 2. 5 . 6 . 5 . 8 . 2	20. 5 11. 7 5. 5 4. 9 1. 0 5. 8 1. 3
			Ank of		0 0			Cu	mulativ	e percen	ts	3						a a	
Under \$14 Under \$16 Under \$18 Under \$20 Under \$22	8, 885 12, 685 17, 434 21, 029 24, 646	17. 2 24. 5 33. 7 40. 7 47. 7	18. 0 30. 3 44. 6 57. 1 68. 1	19.0 27.7 37.3 44.4 51.6	56. 2 72. 9 82. 3 88. 7	10. 9 18. 4 27. 3 35. 5	10. 6 19. 1 26. 7 35. 0	20. 3 37. 7 53. 8 72. 5	33. 9 45. 8 64. 4 74. 6	17.7 31.5 47.3 59.7	22. 0 32. 9 45. 1 56. 4	24. 4 32. 3 42. 9 50. 8	12. 1 17. 7 26. 1 31. 9	38.3 49.7 62.8 71.2	7. 8 12. 3 19. 0 23. 2	12.6 19.9 26.3 32.8	50. 9 69. 7 77. 1 81. 8	71, 7 80, 4 85, 8 90, 3	22. 1 33. 1 40. 6 49. 4
\$22 and over \$24 and over \$26 and over \$28 and over	27, 042 23, 635 20, 615 17, 555 15, 415	52. 3 45. 7 39. 9 34. 0 29. 8	31. 9 23. 6 17. 8 14. 0 12. 2	48. 4 39. 9 32. 1 25. 4 16. 5	95. 1 4. 9 3. 9 3. 0 3. 0 3. 0	44. 1 55. 9 46. 3 37. 4 32. 0 26. 2	43. 6 56. 4 49. 1 40. 4 32. 3 25. 1	81. 1 18. 9 11. 9 8. 6 7. 5 6. 0	84. 7 15. 3 11. 9 6. 8 5. 1 5. 1	73. 2 26. 8 19. 7 14. 9 10. 4 7. 0	66, 6 33, 4 25, 8 21, 1 18, 2 15, 3	60. 0 40. 0 29. 3 22. 5 17. 6 14. 0	38. 0 62. 0 56. 6 51. 0 44. 2 39. 9	77. 1 22. 9 14. 0 10. 8 8. 3 6. 7	31. 3 68. 7 65. 2 59. 2 54. 3 49. 1	59. 6 54. 4 46. 7 38. 0 32. 8	85. 0 15. 0 7. 0 5. 0 4. 6 4. 2	93. 8 6. 2 4. 6 3. 3 2. 2 2. 1	57. 1 42. 9 34. 4 26. 3 22. 7 18. 5

¹ Indiana, Maryland, Washington, and Wisconsin.

² The mean—the simple arithmetic average.

Part IV.—WOMEN'S BLOUSES

Blouses were a fashion garment in the spring of 1939. While some firms specialized regularly in blouse manufacture, other firms produced a different type of garment in 1938 or in conjunction with blouses in 1939. Firms whose volume of manufacture was in blouses during the period for which pay-roll data were taken were considered blouse manufacturers for the purposes of this survey. One-fourth of those scheduled produced other garments in minor quantities in the same period, such garments being skirts, jackets, dresses, playsuits, beachwear, slacks, shorts, underwear, children's dresses, negligees, and neckwear. Over two-fifths of the blouse firms scheduled had produced blouses and one or more other types of garment in 1938.

Scope of survey.

In the spring of 1939, 295 firms operating as inside manufacturers, manufacturing jobbers, or contractors, and employing approximately 10,300 persons, were known to be engaged in blouse manufacture. Seven States had 3 or more establishments and 7 other States had 1 or 2 blouse manufacturers. Firms for which pay-roll data were secured numbered 156, or 53 percent of the total; these were situated in each of the States with 3 or more blouse factories and in 3 of the 7 States

with 1 or 2 such factories.

Of the 156 firms scheduled, 62 were inside shops, that is, factories carrying on all parts of the production within the plant though some work occasionally was sent out to contractors. Jobbers who cut material but jobbed the sewing to contractors were 12 in number, and contractors were 82. The proportion of jobbers scheduled was small intentionally because jobbers usually employ only cutters in their shops. As all jobbers are reported to be unionized, the union cutters' rate prevails in such plants. The number of employees in the factories scheduled was 7,204, or 70 percent of all blouse makers in the spring of 1939. The proportion of employees for whom pay-roll data were secured is materially higher than the proportion of firms scheduled, because of the complete inclusion of jobbers in the firm listing. The proportion of total inside firms and contractors that were scheduled is 64 percent.

The distribution of plants and employees among the States covered in the survey corresponds very closely to the distribution of all plants and employees in the industry. Table I shows that the very great majority, 69 percent of the plants scheduled and 72 percent of the employees, were in New York or Pennsylvania, and no other State had

so many as 10 percent.

In general the blouse factories were small establishments; only 11 of the 156 scheduled employed as many as 100 workers and none employed 200. Nearly one-third (47) of the plants had under 25 employees, and just over one-third (55) had 25 and under 50.

More than nine-tenths (92 percent) of all employees reported were women. In Massachusetts and New York they comprised respectively 88 and 89 percent of the workers, but in Connecticut and New Jersey all but 3 percent of the force were women. In Pennsylvania, which ranked next to New York in number of employees, women comprised 93 percent of the workers.

The pay period for which wage and hour data were obtained was in February, March, or April, 1939; a few firms that had not operated so much as 3 days a week in these months gave pay-roll data for

January instead.

Table I.—Extent and location of the BLOUSE INDUSTRY in the spring of 1939 and number of firms and employees included in survey

	Firms in b	usiness, sp	ring of 1939	Firms included in survey								
Connecticut Illinois Massachusetts New Jersey New York Pennsylvania		Factory e	employees		ostolii.	Factory employees						
	Firms— Number	Number	Percent	Firms— Number	Total number	Percent	Men	Women				
	295 100. 0	10, 324 100. 0	100. 0	156 52. 9	7, 204 69. 8	100. 0	601	6, 603				
California Connecticut Innois Massachusetts New Jersey New York Pennsylvania Other 2	22 5 16 10 20 159 54 9	601 353 389 320 783 4, 597 3, 093 188	5. 8 3. 4 3. 8 3. 1 7. 6 44. 5 30. 0 1. 8	13 3 9 6 14 66 42 3	554 275 242 191 681 2, 768 2, 425 68	7. 7 3. 8 3. 4 2. 7 9. 5 38. 4 33. 7	32 9 18 23 20 317 178 4	522 266 224 168 661 2, 451 2, 247				

¹ Includes 57 manufacturing jobbers not scheduled and 12 manufacturing jobbers scheduled.
² Michigan, Minnesota, Washington, Missouri, Delaware, Rhode Island, and Oregon, the first three of which were covered in the Women's Bureau survey.

Labor costs.

Because of the large number of contractors and jobbers in blouse manufacture, a representative number of reports on labor costs related to total costs were not obtainable in New York, the principal blouse-producing State. The costs secured were for inside manufacturers in California, Illinois, and Pennsylvania. In California inside shops labor costs were 29 percent of total costs, in Illinois 26 percent, and in Pennsylvania 23 percent. Labor costs were 35 percent of manufacturing costs in inside shops in California and approximately 31 percent in Illinois and Pennsylvania.

Learners.

When questioned as to the time required to learn the crafts, less than two-fifths of the firms (59) reported any learner policy and a large proportion of these had no definite idea as to the time required to learn the work. Over two-fifths of the firms reporting a definite time gave less than four weeks as the learning period and more than one-third gave from four to eight weeks. In the other firms the ideas of a learning period ranged from over eight weeks to one year.

Learners were paid hourly or weekly time rates by 32 firms and piece rates with or without a guaranteed minimum per hour by 23 firms.

Among the plants paying on a time basis, the rate was only 20 cents an hour in 2; but in 15 it was 25 cents or \$10 for a 40-hour week, and in 11 it was above 25 cents, 10 firms paying \$10 for 35 hours or \$11 for 40 hours. In the firms employing learners at piece rates but guaranteeing an hourly minimum, such minimum was 25 cents in 12 firms and 35 cents in 1 firm. Ten firms paid regular piece rates

without a guaranty.

The number of workers reported on the pay roll as learners or handicapped workers, 61 in the latter class, was 240; 171 were machine operators, 49 were hand workers, inspectors, and packers, and 20 did other work. Hourly earnings were computed for 235 workers. The most common earnings, received by practically one-third (33 percent) of the total, were 25 cents, and almost one-fifth of all (19 percent) were paid over 25 but under 30 cents. About one-fourth of the learners and substandard workers had earnings above 35 cents, most of these 40 cents or more. One-eighth were paid less than 25 cents an hour. None of these workers are included in the tables on hourly earnings.

EARNINGS AND HOURS

Hourly earnings.

The tables on hourly earnings that follow show earnings of 6,765 experienced workers for whom hour records and earnings records were complete. A loss of records of 199 experienced workers, or 3 percent of the total, resulted from inadequate data on exact hours of work of some individuals scattered over a number of pay rolls. Hourly earnings were arrived at by dividing the week's earnings by the number of hours worked, for each employee separately. Earnings are computed only for regular time worked, all overtime payments having been eliminated from such computations.

All firms included in the survey in New York, Connecticut, and Illinois have union contracts. The majority of firms in Pennsylvania and New Jersey also have such contracts. The contracts in New York City, the center of blouse manufacture, provide a higher scale than elsewhere, as is shown in workers' earnings in table II and more clearly in earnings by occupation in table III. Twenty-seven percent of all employees scheduled, in contrast to 39 percent of all in the industry,

were in New York City.

Because of this concentration in New York City, separate tabulations have been prepared for New York City firms and for those in places elsewhere in New York State.

Too few firms for separate tabulation were scheduled in Michigan, Minnesota, and Washington, so the earnings data reported in these

States have been combined.

The average hourly earnings of the entire group were 53.1 cents, but earnings of individual employees show an extremely wide distribution, the range being from 15 cents to well over \$2 an hour. There was very little concentration at any point in the wage scale. The largest group with earnings in any 2½-cent interval was only 9 percent of the total, and the largest group in any 5-cent interval was only 13 percent of the total. One-third of the workers earned 40 and under 52½ cents; over one-fourth (26 percent) earned less than 40 cents,

but only one-twelfth earned less than 30 cents; more than one-fourth (27 percent) earned 60 cents or over.

	All a	areas	EATH	0.1150	1		all left	100	4 201	and	
Hourly earnings (cents)	Number of employees	Per- cent	Cali- fornia	Con- necti- cut	Chi- cago	Mas- sachu- setts	New Jersey	New York City	Up- State New York	Penn- syl- vania	Other
Total employ- eesAverage earn-	6, 765	100.0	487	271	228	183	620	1, 825	778	2, 307	66
ings 2 (cents)	53. 1	(182.6)	48. 9	51. 9	41.3	49.3	43.4	61.0	48.6	53. 9	39. 1
eal line, Now	dida	is su	9	HOM	Perce	nt of em	ployees	d) lo	Jeaner	r jelo	
Under 25.0	58 262 190 233 297	0. 9 3. 9 2. 8 3. 4 4. 4	2.9 2.5 2.1 6.4	1,8	3.5 5.3 7.5 9.6	0. 5 3. 3 1. 6 2. 2 2. 7	1. 1 8. 2 4. 5 5. 3 7. 4	0.7 2.2 1.4 1.8 3.2	0. 5 2. 6 3. 0 3. 3 4. 1	1. 4 5. 3 3. 5 4. 7 4. 0	7. 6 3. 6 7. 6
35.0, under 37.5 37.5, under 40.0 40.0, under 42.5 42.5, under 45.0 45.0, under 47.5	410 326 487 400 471	6. 1 4. 8 7. 2 5. 9 7. 0	8. 0 6. 2 12. 1 5. 7 8. 8	5. 9 1. 1 10. 0 1. 1 3. 3	11. 8 14. 5 11. 0 5. 7 8. 8	14. 8 8. 2 11. 5 9. 8 5. 5	9. 0 9. 8 8. 6 7. 7 5. 2	2.9 2.1 4.9 5.0 8.7	7, 3 3, 5 9, 5 6, 4 8, 9	4. 5 4. 9 5. 7 6. 5 5. 4	47. 0 6. 1 10. 6
47.5, under 50.0 50.0, under 52.5 52 5, under 55.0 55.0, under 57.5 57.5, under 60.0	304 592 301 370 215	4. 5 8. 8 4. 4 5. 5 3. 2	5. 1 8. 0 4. 7 4. 7 3. 5	1, 5 53, 9 4, 8 3, 3 2, 2	7. 5 5. 7 1. 8 . 4 1. 8	4.9 3.3 5.5 3.8 2.2	7. 1 6. 0 3. 5 2. 7 2. 7	3.8 7.3 4.3 7.4 2.6	5.0 13.8 6.2 7.7 3.6	4. 2 4. 7 4. 4 5. 1 3. 8	1. 8 1. 8 1. 8
60.0, under 62.5 62.5, under 65.0 65.0, under 67.5 67.5, under 70.0 70.0, under 72.5	206 215 249 184 150	3. 0 3. 2 3. 7 2. 7 2. 2	3.3 2.1 1.6 2.7 2.7	3.7 1.5 .7 .4 1.1	1. 3	2. 2 3. 3 2. 7 2. 2 1. 6	2.4 2.7 1.1 1.0	3. 2 4. 3 7. 0 4. 4 2. 9	2. 2 3. 0 1. 8 2. 1 1. 2	3. 6 3. 2 3. 7 2. 8 2. 8	
72.5, under 75.0 75.0, under 77.5 77.5, under 80.0 80.0, under 85.0 85.0, under 90.0	95 114 69 88 87	1. 4 1. 7 1. 0 1. 3 1. 3	1.6 .8 1.2 .6 .8	1.5	.9 .4 1,3	1, 1	.6 .3 .2 .2 .2	2. 1 2. 7 1. 2 1. 9 2. 0	1.5 .5 .3 .4 .8	1.3 2.3 4.6 1.7	
90.0, under 100.0 100.0 and over	94 298	1. 4 4. 4	1.0	1.1	.4	2. 7 3. 3	1. 0 . 2	1.7 8.3	.1	1. 9 5. 4	1.5
the 16 important	u lle	30-30	637 I	116	Cumu	lative pe	rcents	edub			ferre
Under 35 Under 40 Under 45 Under 50	1, 040 1, 776 2, 663 3, 438	15. 4 26. 3 39. 4 50. 8	13. 9 28. 1 45. 9 59. 8	1.8 8.8 19.9 24.7	25. 9 52. 2 68. 9 85. 1	10. 3 33. 3 54. 6 65. 0	26. 5 45. 3 61. 6 74. 0	9.3 14.3 24 2 36.7	13. 5 24. 3 40. 2 54. 1	18. 9 28. 3 40. 5 50. 1	19. 7 72. 8 83. 4 91. 0
50 and over 55 and over 60 and over 65 and over 70 and over	3, 327 2, 434 1, 849 1, 428 995	49. 2 36. 0 27. 3 21. 1 14. 7	40. 2 27. 4 19. 2 13. 8 9. 5	75. 3 16. 6 11. 1 5. 9 4. 8	14. 9 7. 4 5. 2 3. 0 3. 0	35. 0 26. 2 20. 2 14. 7 9. 8	26. 0 16. 4 11. 0 5. 9 3. 8	63. 3 51. 7 41. 7 34. 2 22. 8	45. 9 26. 1 14. 8 9. 6 5. 7	49. 9 40. 8 31. 9 25. 1 18. 6	9. 0 6. 0 1. 5 1. 5 1. 5

¹ Michigan, Minnesota, and Washington. ² The mean—the simple arithmetic average

The variations in hourly earnings in the different areas are shown in table II. The two extremes of average hourly earnings were in New York City and the group of States consisting of Michigan, Minnesota, and Washington. In the former, average earnings were 61 cents; in the latter, they were only 39.1 cents. Relatively low earnings, shown by averages of 43.4 and 41.3 cents, were found also in New

Jersey and Chicago. The remaining 5 areas—up-State New York, California, Massachusetts, Connecticut, and Pennsylvania—were in an intermediate position, with average earnings varying from 48.6 cents to 53.9 cents. New York City and Pennsylvania, with over three-fifths of all the employees, were the only areas in which average

earnings were above the general average for all workers.

The differences in degree of concentration of earnings at various points of the wage scale are striking. More than half of the workers in Connecticut had earnings falling in one 2½-cent interval. In comparison with this, the largest proportion of the workers with earnings in a 2½-cent interval was only 7 percent in Pennsylvania and only 9 percent in New York City. The summary following shows for each area the narrowest range of wage intervals that contains the earnings of a majority of the workers, arranged according to the highest figure of the intervals included.

New York City	54 percent earned 42½ and under 67½ cents.
Up-State New York	58 percent earned 40 and under 57½ cents.
Pennsylvania	54 percent earned 30 and under 57½ cents.
Connecticut	54 percent earned 50 and under 52½ cents.
California	54 percent earned 35 and under 52½ cents.
Massachusetts	55 percent earned 35 and under 50 cents.
New Jersey	55 percent earned 32½ and under 50 cents.
Chicago	54 percent earned 30 and under 42½ cents.
Other States	55 percent earned 321/2 and under 371/2 cents.

Relatively few of the employees were paid less than 30 cents an hour, the largest numbers being 9 percent of the workers in Chicago and in "other States", 10 percent in Pennsylvania, and 14 percent in New Jersey. However, a significant number in each area but Connecticut and New York City had earnings of less than 40 cents; the proportions with such earnings varied from about one-fourth in up-State New York to nearly three-fourths in Michigan, Minnesota, and Washington combined.

The more highly paid workers, those with earnings of 60 cents or over, were largely in California, Massachusetts, Pennsylvania, and New York City, where from 19 to 42 percent of the employees had such earnings. Over one-fourth of the workers in each area but Chicago and the group of Michigan, Minnesota, and Washington were paid 50

cents an hour or more.

Hourly earnings by occupation.—Sewing-machine operators comprise the largest occupational group in the blouse industry. Usually they are paid on a piece-work basis, with piece rates fixed to yield to the average worker the amount specified in the union contract. Differences in individual skill and differences in management, as well as varying piece rates, play a part in the wide variations in amounts earned. Ninety-nine percent of the machine operators, or all but 48, were women.

The second largest occupational group are girls who clean up the blouses and put on any hand touches and girls who examine them and pack them. Workers in this group frequently are employed at a weekly rate and they are among the lower-paid workers. All but 9 of the hand finishers, inspectors, and packers were women.

Cutters are the most highly paid employees; pressers rank second, excepting only the small group of supervisors and machinists. Pressers may be paid on either a piece or a time basis. The fact that a few

experienced workers in these crafts earned small amounts in the pay period scheduled has little significance, for these low hourly earnings may have been occasioned by several factors. The great majority of the cutters, 92 percent, were men, but women comprised 93 percent of the pressers and 76 percent of the supervisors.

The following summary shows the number of men and women in

each occupational group for all areas combined.

Occupation	All	Men	Women			
Residue bios musicolemas e si sus com	employees	Men	Number	Percent		
Total	6, 964	592	6, 372	91. 5		
Machine operators Hand finishers, inspectors, and packers Cutters	4, 507 1, 030 237	48 9 218	4, 459 1, 021 19	98. 9 99. 1		
Pressers. General indirect labor	632 176 162	45 45 151	587 131 11	8. 0 92. 9 74. 4 6. 8		
Maintenance. Supervisory and machinists. Plant clerical.	33 157 30	30 38 8	11 3 119 22	9. 1 75. 8 73. 3		

The lowest-paid workers are employees who do odd jobs in the factory. They are comparatively few, as the majority of blouse factories are small and seldom have more than one floor boy or girl. The number of clerical workers in the plants is small. Firms in large cities employ the traveling auditor instead of a bookkeeper. One of the partners may take care of the daily journal, or firms may employ a young woman who can help with hand work in the factory. Together the indirect factory workers, plant clerical workers, and shipping workers comprised only about 5 percent of the total. About three-fourths of the first two, but less than one-tenth (7 percent) of the shipping force, were women.

Due to the fact that women predominate in all occupations but cutting, shipping, and plant maintenance, an excellent indication of the relative wage standards of men and women is gained by a comparison of the earnings of employees in the various occupational groups.

The cutters, most of whom were men, had the best earnings. The average for the group was just over a dollar an hour (100.6 cents), and less than one-fifth (18 percent) were paid as little as 60 cents. Shippers and maintenance workers, the other groups that consisted largely of men, had much lower earnings, shown by averages of only 42.6 cents and 41.9 cents. Almost half (47 percent) of the shippers, and three-fifths of the maintenance workers, were paid less than 40 cents.

Machine operators, much the largest group and the vast majority of them women, averaged 53.3 cents an hour. More than one-fifth (22 percent) of this group had earnings under 40 cents, but at the other extreme of the wage scale a substantial number, three-tenths, were paid 60 cents or more. The average hourly earnings of hand finishers, inspectors, and packers, the second largest group, were only 40.7 cents. Three-tenths of these workers earned less than 35 cents and over one-half earned 35 and under 50 cents.

The earnings of pressers were very similar to those of machine operators, the pressers' average (54.5 cents) being the higher by only

Table III.—Average hourly earnings of experienced employees in the BLOUSE INDUSTRY, by occupation and by area

	fact emple (92 pe	ory oyees rcent	Macl opera (99 pe wom	tors	Ha finish inspec and pa (99 pe won	etors, ackers rcent	Cut (8 per won	rcent	Pres (93 pe won	ercent	Gen indi lak (74 pe won	rect oor ercent	Ship (7 pe won		(9 pe	enance rcent nen)	mach (76 pt	visory nd unists ercent men	Plant clerical (73 percent wom- en)
Area	Number of employees	Average hourly earnings 1 (cents)	Number of employees	Average hourly earn- ings ¹ (cents)	Number of employees	Average hourly earnings 1 (cents)	Number of employees	Average hourly earnings 1 (cents)	Number of employees	Average hourly earnings ¹ (cents)	Number of employees	Average hourly earnings 1 (cents)	Number of employees	Average hourly earnings 1 (cents)	Number of employees	Average hourly earnings 1 (cents)	Number of employees	Average hourly earnings 1 (cents)	Number of employees
All areas	6, 765	53. 1	4, 411	53. 3	999	40. 7	231	100.6	615	54.5	171	37. 0	155	42.6	26	41. 9	133	88. 6	24
California Connecticut Chicago Massachusetts New Jersey New York City Up-State New York Pennsylvania	487 271 228 183 620	48. 9 51. 9 41. 3 49. 3 43. 4 61. 0 48. 6 53. 9 39. 1	342 211 137 110 487 1,011 529 1,540	47. 8 52. 5 40. 9 49. 1 43. 8 60. 6 50. 6 55. 6 36. 7	40 41 33 36 86 340 160 259	43. 9 40. 5 36. 7 37. 3 37. 6 45. 5 38. 7 37. 3	25 3 11 8 8 8 87 13 74	70. 7 	35 10 23 14 24 130 34 339 6	50. 3 69. 7 49. 5 51. 4	18 1 9 3 12 78 17 31 2	38. 5	10 3 4 1 96 3 35	41. 0	5 1 2 2 2 6 3 7		12 4 7 6 1 62 15 21 5	105. 9	- 4

¹ The mean—the simple arithmetic average. Not computed where base less than 25.

Michigan, Minnesota, and Washingon.

1.2 cents. The distribution of pressers' earnings shows that approximately one-fifth earned less than 40 cents and three-tenths earned 60 cents or more.

Supervisors and machinists ranked next to cutters, with an average of 88.6 cents, while the lowest-paid were the general indirect workers,

with an average of 37 cents.

Table III shows the average hourly earnings of employees in each occupation in an area where 25 or more workers were reported in the occupation. New York City had the highest average earnings in each class but shipping workers, the averages in this area varying from 38.5 cents for general indirect workers to 105.9 cents for supervisors and machinists and 128.9 cents for cutters. In Pennsylvania average earnings varied from 33 cents for general indirect workers to 94 cents for cutters.

The average for machine operators varied from 36.7 cents in Michigan, Minnesota, and Washington combined to 60.6 cents in New York City, and it was above 50 cents also in Pennsylvania, Connecticut, and up-State New York. Hand finishers, inspectors, and packers averaged from 40.5 cents to 45.5 cents in Connecticut, California, and New York City, and from 36.7 cents to 38.7 cents in the 5 other

areas.

Hourly earnings in union and nonunion shops.—As already noted, the great majority of the plants scheduled—133 of the 156, and found in all areas but Massachusetts—operated under a union contract. All the plants in Connecticut, Chicago, New York City, and up-State New York were unionized. About nine-tenths of the employees were

in union plants.

The average hourly earnings of employees in union plants varied from 41.3 cents in Chicago to 61 cents in New York City; those in nonunion plants, from 37.3 cents in Pennsylvania to 49.3 cents in Massachusetts. In only two States—California and Pennsylvania—were the numbers in union and in nonunion plants large enough for the computation of averages, and in each case the earnings in the union plants greatly exceeded those in the nonunion plants. The average hourly earnings of union employees in California were 59.7 cents, or 12.4 cents above the average of nonunion workers; and in Pennsylvania the average earnings of union employees exceeded the average of nonunion workers by 16.6 cents.

Hourly earnings in the various firms.—Average hourly earnings have been computed for each firm scheduled, and they are classified in the accompanying summary. In unpublished tables these are related to

size and location of firm, and individual employees' earnings.

Hourly earnings varied widely when classed by size of firm. In firms with fewer than 25 employees the average earnings varied from 25 and under 27½ cents to 80 and under 90 cents, and in firms with 75 or more employees they varied from 32½ and under 35 cents to 72½ and under 75 cents.

There was no concentration of average earnings peculiar to any one size of firm. For example, of the 47 firms with fewer than 25 workers, average earnings were below 40 cents in 12, were 40 and under 50 cents in 11, were 50 and under 60 cents in 8, and were 60 cents or more in 16. Of the 54 firms with 50 or more employees, average earnings were below 40 cents in 7 firms, were 40 and under 50 cents in 18, were 50 and under 60 cents in 16, and were 60 cents or more in 13.

When the averages of the individual employees are examined in relation to the firms' averages, it is clear that in most classes there were employees with relatively low and others with relatively high earnings. In the 7 firms with average earnings below 35 cents and employing only 4 percent of all employees, the range in individual employees' earnings was from less than 25 cents to 57½ and under 60 cents, but in practically all the other classes the individuals' earnings varied from less than 30 cents to \$1 and over.

	Number	Empl	oyees	Average hourly earnings in the	Number	Employees			
firm (cents)	of firms	Number	Percent	firm (cents)	of firms	Number	Percent		
Total	156	6, 765	100. 0	47.5, under 50.0 50.0, under 52.5	13 13	775 500	11.		
25.0, under 30.0 30.0, under 32.5	under 30.0 2 24 0. 4 under 32.5 3 103 1. 5		52.5, under 55.0 55.0, under 57.5	6 9	454 419	6.			
32.5. under 35.0	2	163	2.4	57.5, under 60.0	12 16	637 876	9. 12.		
35.0, under 37.5 37.5, under 40.0	8 12	219 359	3. 2 5. 3	60.0, under 65.0 65.0, under 70.0	8	301	4.		
40.0, under 42.5 42.5, under 45.0	9 12	312 542	4.6 8.0	70.0, under 75.0	10 11	369 281	5.		
45.0, under 47.5			Contract A Fig. Com	Eura Say	on here	A THE			

A comparison of average earnings in firms in the different areas shows that the high-wage firms, with averages of 60 cents or more, were found in only 5 areas—New York City (29), Pennsylvania (12), California (2), New Jersey (1), and up-State New York (1)—while firms whose average earnings were below 40 cents were found in each area but Connecticut and Massachusetts. In New York City the lowest firm average was at 37½ and under 40 cents and the highest (in a jobber's shop) was at 80 and under 90 cents. In Pennsylvania, the second largest blouse center, the firms' averages ranged from 25 and under 27½ cents to 72½ and under 75 cents.

Hours worked.

The number of hours worked in the pay-roll week recorded was reported for 6,777 persons, or over 97 percent of all with week's earnings reported. Table IV shows that the majority of the employees, 55 percent, worked a favorable workweek of from 35 to 40 hours. A significant proportion of the group, however, 32 percent, worked less than 35 hours. Only 8½ percent had a workweek as long as 44 hours.

	Empl	loyees	Hours worked	Employees			
Hours worked	Number	Percent	Hours worked	Number	Percent		
Total	6, 777	100. 0	40 Over 40, under 44	797 320	11.8		
Under 35 35 Over 35, under 40	2, 148 1, 598 1, 333	31. 7 23. 6 19. 7	0ver 44	416 165	6. 1		

202064°-40-5

Week's earnings.

The total earnings received in the pay-roll week were reported for 6,964 experienced employees and are presented in table V. Without regard to the number of hours worked the average earnings for the entire group were \$18.35. Unpublished details show that the range in the week's earnings was from less than \$5 to more than \$100, but the greatest concentration was at \$11 to \$21. One-fourth of the workers earned \$10 and under \$15, and over three-tenths (31 percent) earned \$15 and under \$20. Fifteen percent had earnings of \$25 or more, and less than 11 percent had earnings below \$10.

Workers in New York City had much the highest earnings, with an average of \$21.25. As many as three-fourths earned \$15 or more, almost one-fourth earning at least \$25. Less than 8 percent had

earnings below \$10.

California followed, with an average of \$19.35 and an even larger proportion (79 percent) earning \$15 and over; however, 18 percent, in contrast to New York's 24 percent, earned as much as \$25. In Massachusetts the average was \$18.75, and two-thirds (66 percent) of the employees earned \$15 or more.

Concentration was most pronounced in Connecticut, with about three-fifths (59 percent) of the employees earning \$15 and under \$20; in fact, almost one-half (48 percent) of the total had earnings

falling in the \$1 interval of \$18 and under \$19.

In 6 of the 9 areas—California, Connecticut, Chicago, Massachusetts, New York City, and up-State New York—the largest proportions earned \$15 and under \$20, and in 2 others—New Jersey and Pennsylvania—that group and another were practically equal.

There was wide variation in Pennsylvania, which ranked second in the proportion at under \$10 but was among the States with high

proportions at \$25 and over.

The lowest earnings were those of employees in Chicago, New Jersey, and the combined Michigan, Minnesota, and Washington, shown by averages varying from \$15.80 to \$15.35. In each case less than 5 percent of the workers earned as much as \$25.

Table V.—Week's earnings of experienced employees in the BLOUSE INDUSTRY, by area

	Т	otal		Per	rcent of em	ployees wit	h week's ea	arnings as	specified in	1	
Week's earnings	Number	Percent	Califor- nia	Connect- icut	Chicago	Massa- chusetts	New Jersey	New York City	Up-State New York	Pennsyl- vania	Other States 1
Number of firms Number of employees Average earnings ³	156 6, 964 18, 35	100.0	13 487 \$19. 35	3 271 \$17.55	9 229 \$15. 80	6 183 \$18. 75	14 667 \$15, 40	53 1, 899 \$21. 25	13 798 \$16. 35	42 2, 364 \$17. 75	3 66 \$15. 35
					Per	cent of empl	oyees				
Under \$5	566 1, 753 2, 187 1, 234	2. 4 8. 1 25. 2 31. 4 17. 7 7. 7 7. 4	1. 0 5. 1 15. 2 40. 2 20. 5 9. 9 8. 0	0.4 3.3 21.4 59.4 10.0 4.8 .7	4. 4 7. 9 30. 1 41. 5 11. 8 . 9 3. 5	1. 1 4. 9 27. 9 33. 9 15. 3 9. 8 7. 1	3. 7 11. 4 34. 2 33. 6 12. 6 2. 1 2. 4	2. 1 5. 4 18. 0 25. 9 24. 4 12. 0 12. 3	3. 5 7. 4 30. 8 38. 0 12. 4 5. 5 2. 4	2. 4 11. 1 27. 5 26. 9 16. 9 7. 3 7. 9	6. 1 56. 1 27. 3 9. 1
				1	Cur	mulatne per	cents	1 4 4	24.5		
Under \$12 Under \$14 Under \$16 Under \$18	2, 002 2, 932	18. 4 28. 7 42. 1 55. 0	10. 3 16. 7 28. 0 46. 4	8. 5 15. 2 26. 3 33. 2	20. 9 32. 7 53. 7 68. 6	15. 1 26. 0 41. 9 62. 3	26. 1 40. 6 57. 1 70. 9	12. 6 20. 4 30. 2 41. 8	19. 1 30. 9 50. 2 66. 9	23. 7 35. 4 47. 6 58. 6	15. 1 30. 2 75. 6 81. 8
\$18 and over	2, 291	45. 0 32. 9 24. 2	53. 6 38. 3 29. 1	66. 8 15. 5 8. 8	31. 4 16. 0 7. 7	37. 7 32 0 21. 6	29. 1 16. 8 9. 8	58. 2 48. 7 37. 9	33. 1 20. 4 13. 3	41. 4 32. 2 23. 9	18. 2 10. 5 7. 5

¹ Michigan, Minnesota, and Washington. ² The mean—the simple arithmetic average.

Part V.—CHILDREN'S AND INFANTS' OUTERWEAR

This study of the children's and infants' outerwear industry covers factories making almost exclusively infants' outerwear or children's play suits, dresses, coats, and suits. While only 4 of the factories scheduled made any other type of garment in the spring period for which pay-roll data were secured, some men's work-clothing factories also produced a line of children's play suits and similar garments. All men's work-clothing factories, including those making children's clothing, are included in the Bureau of Labor Statistics study of men's

work clothing rather than in this study.

The inside manufacturer predominated in the children's and infants' outerwear industry. However, there are a number of contractors in 6 States who receive goods uncut as well as cut to make into children's and infants' clothing. In determining the size of the industry every effort has been made to eliminate from the count of manufacturing firms the jobber who does not employ any person in manufacturing. Unquestionably, the variation in numbers of firms in this industry in New York City shown in the several available firm listings is due to the inclusion or elimination of the jobber who is a wholesaler only and the jobber who sends out material to be cut as well as made up by contractors.

Scope of survey.

At the time of the survey, February to May 1939, 431 firms were known to be manufacturing the articles included in the children's and infants' outerwear branch. These firms gave employment to 24,304 persons. Twelve States had 3 or more factories and 2 States had 1 or 2. 1

Pay-roll information was secured from 238 firms, or 55 percent of those known to be in business. Factories were visited in every State with 3 or more and in 2 States with fewer than 3. The number of employees in the factories scheduled was 16,882, or 69.5 percent of the total as reported in the spring of 1939. Home workers, who comprise a large number in this industry, are not included in the study because time did not permit visits to individual houses to ascertain earnings and hours worked. However, 39 firms in 8 States reported that they were sending out work to home workers at the time of the survey.

This industry centers in New York, Pennsylvania, and New Jersey, over 80 percent of the workers being in these States. In the survey there is a slight difference in the proportion covered in the New York area as compared with the proportion of the total in this area; however, it is too small to affect earnings to any appreciable extent.

The large majority, 156, of the firms scheduled were inside manufacturers, but 66 firms, in 6 States, reported that they were contract

¹ The census lists 1 factory in each of 6 States not visited. As no information was secured concerning these, they are not included.

firms, and 16, in 3 States, that they were jobbers. Five of the firms reported as inside manufacturers had part of their work done by contractors. In general, the factories in this industry were relatively small. There were 120 plants that employed less than 50 workers, 49 of these having fewer than 25; 71 had 50 and under 100; 34 had 100 and under 200; and only 13 had as many as 200.

The pay-roll period for which data were obtained was in February, March, or April 1939; a few firms asked that an earlier month be used

as more representative of normal operation.

Table I.—Extent and location of CHILDREN'S AND INFANTS' OUTER-WEAR INDUSTRY in the spring of 1939 and number of firms and employees included in survey

rais Managariya Sirol (1 so) (18		as in busin oring of 193		Firms included in survey							
State	Firms—	Fact		Firms—	Factory employees ¹						
erow-senat bara 3. RE off to suxusul madeless donn's o	Number	Number	Percent	Number	Total number	Percent	Men	Women			
TotalPercent	431 100. 0	24, 304 100. 0	100.0	238 55. 2	16, 882 69. 5	100.0	1, 655	15, 227			
California	12 10 7 11 15	244 1, 059 382 487 920	1. 0 4. 4 1. 6 2. 0 3. 8	5 7 4 7 9	139 533 188 385 873	0.8 3.2 1.1 2.3 5.2	9 31 17 34 61	130 502 171 351 812			
Michigan, Indiana, and Ohio Missouri New Jersey New York Pennsylvania Texas	7 7 100 2 192 61 9	240 616 5, 117 7, 946 7, 011 282	1. 0 2. 5 21. 1 32. 7 28. 8 1. 2	4 4 53 101 39 5	158 466 3, 941 4, 991 5, 063 145	2.8 23.3 29.6 30.0	16 34 203 770 471 9	142 432 3, 738 4, 221 4, 592 136			

Labor costs.

Total costs as well as labor costs for 1938 were secured from 26 firms elsewhere than in New York City. Because the firms reporting were in 9 States, the relation they show between labor costs and total costs may be considered as indicative of the conditions in some inside shops not in the Metropolitan Area. Labor costs represented 30 percent of total costs in the factories reporting. Twenty-four firms reported

that labor costs were 36 percent of manufacturing costs.

In only two States—New Jersey and Pennsylvania—did 3 or more firms report both total and labor costs, and in only three-California, Missouri, and Pennsylvania—did 3 or more report manufacturing and labor costs. In the 4 New Jersey firms reporting, labor costs were only 22 percent of the total costs, but in the 10 Pennsylvania firms (including a branch plant in New Jersey) they were 27 percent of the total. The proportion that labor costs formed of the manufacturing costs was 35 percent in Pennsylvania, 41 percent in California, and 42 percent in Missouri.

¹ Home workers employed are not included in this study. ² Jobbers who perform no manufacturing operations are not included.

Learners.

Comparatively few firms employed learners; and in the firms that did employ them, the learning period and the method and rate of pay differed considerably from firm to firm. Of the firms that reported on a learning period, about one-third reported the time as indefinite, depending on the ability of the individual and the type of work. Those that gave a specific period as the time required reported periods varying from less than 1 month to as much as 6 months. The most usual period was 1 month or less, but significant proportions reported from 6 to 8 weeks, and from 10 to 12 weeks, as the necessary learning time.

In the majority of these firms learners were paid a time rate ranging from 19 cents an hour to \$14 for a 35- to a 40-hour week, the most usual rate being 25 cents an hour or \$10 for a 40-hour week. In the remaining firms learners were paid piece rates, though usually they were guaranteed a minimum of 25 cents an hour or \$10 for 40 hours.

Data were reported also as to the number of learners and substandard or handicapped persons employed at the time of the survey. The number of workers reported to be learners was 275, and there were also 65 handicapped workers. Approximately three-fourths of the 340 were machine operators and nearly one-eighth were hand finishers, inspectors, and packers.

The most common earnings of learners and handicapped workers were 25 and under 30 cents an hour, paid to 73 percent of the group, 42 percent earning 25 cents even. However, a significant proportion, 14 percent, had earnings below 25 cents, and only 3 percent had earnings of 35 cents or more. Learners and handicapped persons are not included in the tables on earnings.

EARNINGS AND HOURS

Hourly earnings.

The tables on hourly earnings that follow give the earnings of experienced workers for whom records of hours and earnings were complete. A loss of records of 116 workers, or less than 1 percent, was caused by inaccuracies in records of hours worked by individuals scattered over a number of pay rolls. Hourly earnings were arrived at by dividing the week's earnings by the number of hours worked, for each employee separately. Earnings are computed for regular time only, all overtime payments having been eliminated from such computations.

Too few workers were employed in Michigan, Indiana, and Ohio to permit of a separate distribution of earnings by State; consequently, these State reports are combined, as are those of Maryland and

Table II shows that the average hourly earnings of the 16,425 employees were 41.3 cents, and though there was a very wide range in individual earnings, the bulk of them, 66 percent, were 25 and under 42½ cents. On the basis of 2½-cent intervals, the largest group of workers, 14 percent, earned 25 and under 27½ cents, followed by 12 percent with earnings of 32½ and under 35 cents, and 11 percent with earnings of 35 and under 37½ cents. A negligible group, less than 1 percent, had earnings below 25 cents an hour, but 22 percent earned

Table II.—Hourly earnings of experienced employees in the CHILDREN'S AND INFANTS' OUTERWEAR INDUSTRY, by area

	All a	areas				Mary-		Michi-			New	Up-State		Other	
Hourly earnings (cents)	Number of em- ployees	Percent	Cali- fornia	Connect- icut	Chi- cago	land and Virginia	Massa- chusetts	gan, Indiana, and Ohio	Missouri	New Jersey	York City	New York	Phila- delphia	Pennsyl- vania	Texas
Total employees	16, 425 41. 3	100.0	125 45. 1	521 36. 1	186 34.3	369 31. 2	807 38. 4	131 36, 2	431 41. 7	3, 863 36. 4	3, 873 53. 9	977 36. 2	2, 198 44. 0	2, 799 34. 5	14 30.
		T T E					Perce	nt of emplo	oyees					\$9E	
Under 25.0 25.0, under 27.5 27.5, under 30.0 30.0 under 32.5 32.5, under 35.0	123 2, 341 1, 065 1, 388 1, 912	0. 7 14. 3 6. 5 8. 5 11. 6	0. 8 3. 2 12. 0	22. 8 7. 1 11. 9 9. 8	2. 7 23. 7 16. 1 10. 2 7. 5	1. 6 23. 6 6. 8 47. 4 5. 7	0. 9 7. 8 5. 3 6. 3 10. 2	14. 5 9. 9 17. 6 13. 0	0. 2 12. 3 5. 3 6. 7 10. 6	0. 5 18. 4 9. 1 9. 9 18. 4	0.3 3.0 2.1 3.8 3.9	0.3 22.2 9.5 11.0 14.1	1. 5 7. 8 4. 7 5. 8 6. 7	1. 3 24. 4 8. 6 8. 7 18. 1	2. 41. 14. 11. 8.
15.0, under 37.5 17.5, under 40.0 10.0, under 42.5 12.5, under 45.0 15.0, under 47.5	1, 722 1, 217 1, 225 927 900	10. 5 7. 4 7. 5 5. 6 5. 5	11. 2 9. 6 8. 8 8. 8 12. 0	11. 5 8. 1 8. 4 4. 8 3. 5	14. 0 7. 5 4. 8 3. 8	2. 4 2. 7 3. 8 . 8 1. 6	31. 5 11. 8 7. 6 5. 0 4. 1	8. 4 8. 4 13. 0 6. 1 1. 5	7. 7 8. 4 9. 3 9. 0 7. 0	9. 6 7. 3 6. 5 4. 7 3. 7	9. 3 3. 3 8. 4 7. 5 10. 4	10. 5 7. 0 5. 3 4. 9 3. 3	7. 8 12. 4 11. 1 8. 0 6. 5	10. 6 8. 5 5. 4 3. 4 2. 6	6. 5. 3. 2.
7.5, under 50.0 0.0, under 55.0 5.0, under 60.0 0.0, under 65.0 5.0, under 70.0	660 958 526 352 233	4. 0 5. 8 3. 2 2. 1 1. 4	8. 8 9. 6 6. 4 3. 2 1. 6	3. 3 4. 0 1. 7 1. 0 . 6	2. 2 2. 2 2. 2 1. 6.	.5 1.1 .3 .8 .3	2. 2 2. 2 1. 1 1. 0 . 5	. 8 2. 3 2. 3	4. 2 7. 7 3. 5 2. 1 1. 2	2.7 3.8 2.0 1.0 .8	7. 8 10. 8 6. 2 5. 0 3. 4	1.8 3.9 2.0 1.3 .4	4. 4 8. 3 5. 0 2. 3 2. 0	2. 4 2. 8 1. 1 . 7 . 3	1.
0.0, under 80.0 0.0, under 90.0 0.0, under 100.0 00.0 and over	214 135 164 363	1.3 .8 1.0 2,2	2. 4 1. 6	.2 .4 .6 .4	. 5 . 5 . 5	.3	.7 .4 .5 1.0	2.3	1. 2 1. 4 1. 2 1. 2	.5 .3 .2 .5	3. 5 2. 0 2. 5 6. 8	.5 .4 .9 .5	1. 0 1. 0 1. 5 2. 2	.4 .1 .2 .4	

¹ The mean—the simple arithmetic average.

less than 30 cents. Eighteen percent of the total averaged from 50 cents to a dollar or more.

Earnings were influenced greatly by locality and by type of product. They were at their highest level in New York City, with an average of 53.9 cents; only one-fourth of the workers in that city had earnings below 40 cents and nearly one-fourth earned 60 cents or more.

New Jersey had about the same number of employees as New York City but paid much lower wages. Average earnings in New Jersey were only 36.4 cents. Nearly three-tenths (28 percent) of the workers earned less than 30 cents, and only about one-tenth earned as much as 50 cents.

Other areas with relatively high wage standards were Massachusetts, Missouri, Philadelphia, and California, shown by averages varying from 38.4 cents to 45.1 cents. The proportion of workers with earnings below 30 cents was only 1 percent in California, but it was 14 percent in Philadelphia and Massachusetts and 18 percent in Missouri. At the other extreme of the wage scale, with earnings of 50 cents or more, were 7 percent of the workers in Massachusetts, and from 20 to 25 percent of those in Missouri, Philadelphia, and California.

The remaining seven areas—Michigan, Indiana, and Ohio combined, up-State New York, Connecticut, other Pennsylvania, Chicago, Maryland and Virginia, and Texas—paid relatively low wages, the average varying downward from 36.2 cents to 30.9 cents. In each area a substantial number of the workers had earnings of less than 30 cents, the proportions being 24 percent in Michigan, Indiana, and Ohio, 30 to 34 percent in Connecticut, up-State New York, Maryland and Virginia, and other Pennsylvania, 43 percent in Chicago, and as much as 58 percent in Texas. Of these areas up-State New York was the only one in which as many as one-tenth of the workers averaged 50 cents or more. In comparison are Maryland and Virginia and Texas, where only 3 percent of the employees had such earnings.

Hourly earnings by occupation.—In addition to earnings data, information was obtained as to the sex and occupation of each worker in the 238 plants scheduled. Nine-tenths of the 16,542 employees for whom week's earnings were reported were women. A fairly accurate picture of the kinds of work done by men and by women is shown in the accompanying summary, and table III gives the average hourly earnings of the workers in the various occupations.

Like the other apparel industries, from the point of view of numbers the most important occupation is sewing-machine operating; well over half of all workers—9,560, or 58 percent—were machine operators and of this large group only 1 percent, or 107 persons, were men. The second largest group, consisting of hand finishers, inspectors, and packers, numbered 2,583, or 16 percent of the total. This class also consisted chiefly of women, who comprised 98 percent of the group. Pressers formed one-ninth of the total and 91 percent of them were women.

Other groups that consisted largely of women were the supervisors and machinists (combined because of the small number of machinists employed), general indirect labor, and plant clerical workers. Together these groups formed only about 8 percent of the total, but over three-fourths of the workers were women.

The most important occupation for men is cutting, a highly skilled

occupation in all apparel industries. However, in children's and infants' outerwear the group is relatively small, forming only about 4 percent of all employees, though differing considerably by area, as is clear from table III. Four-fifths of the cutters and of the shipping and maintenance workers were men.

The summary following shows the number of men and women in

each occupational group for all areas combined.

A	All		Wor	nen
Occupation	employees	Men	Number	Percent
Total	16, 542	1,645	14, 897	90. 1
Machine operators Hand finishers, inspectors, and packers Cutters Pressers General indirect labor	9, 560 2, 583 723 1, 847 615	107 49 591 169 138	9, 453 2, 534 132 1, 678 477	98. 9 98. 1 18. 3 90. 9 77. 6
Shipping Maintenance Supervisory and machinists Plant clerical	451 88 576 99	364 70 147 10	87 18 429 89	19. 8 20. 8 74. 8 89. 9

The highest earnings were paid to the supervisors and machinists and the cutters, their average earnings being respectively 75.2 cents and 72.3 cents. Considerably lower, but still above the general average for all workers (41.3 cents), were the pressers with an average of 43.4 cents, and the shipping employees with an average of 42.3 cents. Machine operators, the largest of the occupational groups, averaged only 39 cents. The lowest-paid workers were the hand finishers, inspectors, and packers, who averaged 34.8 cents, and those doing general indirect labor, whose average amounted only to 31.9 cents.

Unpublished details show that nearly seven-tenths (68 percent) of the cutters and the supervisors and machinists earned 50 cents an hour or more, over one-fifth of them a dollar or more. Less than one-twentieth (4 percent of the cutters and 1 percent of the supervisors

and machinists) were paid below 30 cents.

The workers in the other occupations had much lower earnings. For example, one-fourth of the machine operators earned 32½ and under 37½ cents and three-tenths earned less than 32½ cents; only one-seventh of the operators earned as much as 50 cents. One-third of the hand finishers, inspectors, and packers were paid less than 30 cents and another third earned 30 and under 37½ cents. The earnings of the pressers were less concentrated than those of the operators, but 50 percent had earnings of 30 and under 45 cents, the largest number in any 2½-cent interval being 10 percent earning 42½ and under 45 cents. Nearly one-tenth of the pressers (8 percent) earned as much as 60 cents.

The workers in the general indirect labor group had very low wages; two-fifths had earnings below 27½ cents and nearly one-half earned 27½ and under 40 cents. Only 2 percent of the group earned

as much as 50 cents an hour.

Table III, giving average hourly earnings by occupation in the various areas, shows how greatly both earnings and importance of an occupation varied according to locality. Type of product undoubtedly had considerable influence in this. New York City workers had

Table III.—Average hourly earnings of experienced employees in the CHILDREN'S AND INFANTS' OUTERWEAR INDUSTRY, by occupation and by area

	All fa empl (90 pe won	oyees	oper (99 pe	ehine ators ercent nen)	finis inspe and p (98 pe	and hers, ectors, ackers ercent nen)		ters ercent nen)		ssers ercent nen)	indi la	or ercent	(19 pi	oping ercent nen)	(21 pt	enance ercent nen)	Super ar mach (75 pe	inists ercent	cler (90 p	ant rical ercent nen)
Area	Number of employees	Average hourly earnings 1 (cents)	Number of employees	Average hourly earnings 1 (cents)	Number of employees	Average hourly earnings ¹ (cents)	Number of employees	Average hourly earnings 1 (cents)	Number of employees	Average hourly earnings ¹ (cents)	Number of employees	Average hourly earnings 1 (cents)	Number of employees	Average hourly earnings 1 (cents)	Number of employees	Average hourly earnings 1 (cents)	Number of employees	Average hourly earnings 1 (cents)	Number of employees	Average hourly earnings 1 (cents)
All areas	16, 425	41.3	9, 533	39.0	2, 580	34. 8	715	72.3	1, 837	43. 4	608	31.9	441	42.3	80	38.3	534	75. 2	97	37.
California Connecticut Chicago Maryland and Virginia Massachusetts	125 521 186 369 807	45. 1 36. 1 34. 3 31. 2 38. 4	83 363 130 248 494	42. 5 35. 2 32. 4 29. 6 37. 3	13 80 20 53 128	29. 1 28. 8 33. 5	8 7 10 13 29	47. 6	8 37 8 12 99	44. 1	10 7 6 15		3 2 3 8 10		2 9 3		10 20 7 17 28	69. 1	1 3 1	
Michigan, Indiana, and Ohio Missouri New Jersey New York City Up-State New York	131 431 3, 863 3, 873 977	36. 2 41. 7 36. 4 53. 8 36. 2	82 261 2, 654 1, 688 550	34. 8 39. 6 36. 5 52. 5 34. 3	15 47 499 788 174	35. 3 30. 1 41. 0 29. 2	7 22 51 277 36	65. 0 92. 5 66. 1	6 32 284 502 132	35. 6 40. 5 52. 8 42. 1	9 22 255 147 16	28. 2 34. 6	5 10 29 224 18	45. 7 43. 1	1 4 17 14 10		5 26 62 200 28	68. 2 68. 4 93. 8 54. 7	1 7 12 33 13	38,
Philadelphia Other Pennsylvania Texas	2, 198 2, 799 145	44. 0 34. 5 30. 9	798 2, 100 82	43. 8 33. 6 30. 7	426 319 18	35. 5 32. 9	189 60 6	64. 4 46. 9	534 160 23	40. 6 38. 2	51 66 4	39. 6 32. 2	87 38 4	44. 5 33. 4	11 8 1		89 35 7	68. 6 66. 9	13 13	

¹ The mean—the simple arithmetic average. Not computed where base less than 25,

higher earnings in all occupations but general indirect labor and shipping. The average earnings of machine operators generally were lower than the averages of all workers; they ranged from 29.6 cents in Maryland and Virginia and 30.7 cents in Texas to 42.5 cents in California, 43.8 cents in Philadelphia, and 52.5 cents in New York City. In the other areas the averages varied from 32.4 cents to 39.6 cents. Supervisors and machinists and cutters had much the highest earnings, and general labor, except in Philadelphia, had the lowest, in each area where the numbers in the various occupations were large enough for the computation of averages. Hand finishers, inspectors, and packers had somewhat lower earnings than machine operators. In 5 of the 8 comparable areas—the exceptions being Massachusetts, Missouri, and Philadelphia—pressers averaged more than machine operators.

Hourly earnings in union and nonunion shops.—More than half (55 percent) of the firms scheduled reported an agreement with a union, and these firms employed about three-fifths (59 percent) of all the workers. One or more union plants were found in each area covered except California, Maryland and Virginia, and Michigan, Indiana, and Ohio. Over two-fifths of the 53 firms in New Jersey and the 39 in Pennsylvania, and nearly nine-tenths (87 percent) of the 87 in New

York City, were unionized.

Average hourly earnings in union plants ranged from 31 cents in Texas to 54.6 cents in New York City. In 6 of the 7 areas where union and nonunion earnings can be compared, the earnings in union exceeded those in nonunion plants. The difference in average earnings in favor of union workers varied from 1.4 cents in Philadelphia to 8.5 cents in New York City; it was more than 5 cents also in Chicago, other Pennsylvania, and up-State New York. In Connecticut, nonunion workers averaged 38.1 cents, or 3.5 cents more than the employees in union shops.

Hourly earnings in the various firms.—Wage standards were found not only to vary by area but to differ greatly among firms in the same locality and among firms of the same size. The distribution of all firms and the numbers of their employees are shown in the following

summary.

Average hourly	Number	Empl	oyees	Average hourly	Number	Empl	loyees
earnings in the firm (cents)	of firms	Number	Percent	earnings in the firm (cents)	of firms	Number	Percent
Total	238	16, 425	100.0	42. 5, under 45. 0 45. 0, under 47. 5	21 19	1, 927 1, 799	11. 7 11. 0
25. 0, under 27. 5	6 14 15 33 31 18 13	291 428 820 2, 751 2, 980 1, 746 878	1. 8 2. 6 5. 0 16. 7 18. 1 10. 6 5. 3	47. 5, under 50. 0 50. 0, under 55. 0 55. 0, under 60. 0 60. 0, under 70. 0 70. 0, under 90. 0 100. 0 and over 100. 0 and over 100.	16 16 13 9 7 7	839 693 636 317 210 110	5. 1 4. 2 3. 9 1. 9 1. 3

In the unpublished classification by number of employees it may be seen that 120 firms employed fewer than 50 workers and that their average hourly earnings ranged from 25 and under 27½ cents to \$1 or more, with the average below 40 cents in 50 firms and 60 cents or more in 21 firms. In the high-earnings group are the manufacturing jobbers who employ highly skilled workmen almost entirely.

The range in average hourly earnings in firms employing 100 or more workers was not so wide as that in the small firms; in fact, of the total of 52 firms whose employees averaged 50 cents or more, only 1 had as many as 100 employees. In 28 of the 47 firms with 100 or more

workers the average was below 40 cents.

Another unpublished table gives the distribution of individual employees' earnings in firms, the latter classified according to the average earnings for all employees of the firm. This shows that in 117 (49 percent) of the firms studied, employing 9,016 (55 percent) of the workers, the average hourly earnings were below 40 cents, and that among these there was a pronounced concentration of individual employees' earnings in the wage intervals below 35 cents; however, as many as 208 employees, or just over 2 percent of the group, had earnings of 60 cents or more, and 318, or 4 percent, earned 50 and under 60 cents. Firms in which average hourly earnings were 50 cents or more numbered 52; they employed 1,966 workers, or 12 percent of the total. In this group, as would be expected, individual employees' earnings had large concentrations at the higher levels. Thirty-six percent earned 60 cents or over, though 302, or 15 percent, earned less than 40 cents.

From a comparison of the average hourly earnings in firms according to locality it appears that of 52 firms with average earnings of 50 cents or more, all but 3 were in New York City. In 1 firm in California, 1 in Massachusetts, and 1 in New Jersey, the average was 50 and under 52½ cents; in no firm in Chicago, Connecticut, Maryland and Virginia, other Pennsylvania, or Texas was it so much as 42½ cents. The average was 40 cents or more in all the California firms and 35 cents or more in all the Massachusetts firms.

Hours worked.

Information as to the number of hours worked by individual employees is shown in table IV. Just over one-fifth of the 16,418 employees with hours reported worked 40 hours, but a larger group, 32 percent, worked more than 40. Practically one-fourth of the workers (24 percent) worked 35 and under 40 hours, and almost as large a group, 23 percent, worked less than 35 hours.

Table IV.—Hours worked in the pay-roll week recorded by employees in the CHILDREN'S AND INFANTS' OUTERWEAR INDUSTRY

Hours worked	Emp	loyees	Hours worked	Emplo	yees
Hours worked	Number	Percent	Hours worked	Number	Percent
Total	16, 418	100. 0	Over 37½, under 40	1, 275	7.8
Under 35 35 Over 35, under 37½	3, 837 304 1, 003	23. 4 1. 8 6. 1	40. Over 40, under 44. 44. Over 44	3, 430 2, 038 2, 591 634	20. 9 12. 4 15. 8
371/2	1, 306	8.0	O V 01 11	034	3.

Week's earnings.

Data in regard to week's earnings were reported for 16,542 experienced employees in the 238 firms and are presented in table V. Without regard to the number of hours worked in the week covered, the

Table V.—Week's earnings of experienced employees in the CHILDREN'S AND INFANTS' OUTERWEAR INDUSTRY, by area

	То	tal				Perce	nt of emp	oloyees wit	h week's	earnings a	s specified	l in—			7.0
Week's earnings	Number	Percent	Califor- nia	Con- necticut	Chicago	Mary- land and Vir- ginia	Massa- chu- setts	Michigan, Indiana, and Ohio	Mis- souri	New Jersey	New York City	Up- State New York	Phila- delphia	Other Penn- sylva- nia	Texas
Number of firms Number of employees Average earnings 1	238 16, 542 \$15, 55	100.0	5 126 \$17. 45	7 525 \$13. 55	186 \$13, 45	7 371 \$12.70	9 810 \$14. 15	138 \$14.55	4 432 \$17.00	53 3, 895 \$14. 00	87 3, 914 \$20. 00	14 977 \$13. 70	18 2, 216 \$17. 00	21 2, 807 \$12. 30	145 \$11.50
							Per	cent of emp	loyees						
Under \$5	387 1, 912 7, 115 4, 543 1, 361 463 761	2. 3 11. 6 43. 0 27. 5 8. 2 2. 8 4. 6	4. 0 8. 7 17. 5 39. 7 18. 3 8. 7 3. 2	2. 3 20. 2 44. 6 23. 8 5. 7 1. 5 1. 9	15. 1 58. 1 20. 4 1. 1 3. 8 1. 6	1. 9 17. 3 66. 8 10. 0 1. 6 1. 9	1. 2 10. 4 54. 7 27. 8 2. 6 1. 4 2. 0	2. 2 15. 2 44. 9 29. 0 1. 4 3. 6 3. 6	2. 5 6. 5 32. 6 35. 9 13. 9 3. 2 5. 3	2. 0 12. 1 53. 7 23. 4 5. 6 1. 3 1. 9	1. 2 4. 9 22. 0 39. 2 15. 6 6. 0 11. 2	2. 3 14. 0 54. 1 21. 7 4. 4 1. 3 2. 1	2. 0 9. 3 32. 7 33. 7 12. 1 3. 8 6. 4	5. 1 19. 6 55. 2 16. 0 2. 6 . 6	4. 1 11. 0 71. 0 12. 4 1. 4
							Cun	ulative per	cents		_ \$1				
Under \$12 Under \$14 Under \$16 Under \$18	5, 095 8, 058 10, 726 12, 673	30. 8 48. 7 64. 8 76. 6	14. 3 23. 1 38. 9 56. 3	41. 9 59. 4 73. 9 85. 3	45. 7 62. 4 82. 8 91. 9	35. 8 81. 1 88. 4 93. 0	31. 0 50. 3 80. 4 90. 9	34. 8 53. 6 69. 6 83. 3	21. 1 33. 1 48. 8 64. 6	36. 5 59. 8 75. 4 85. 5	10. 9 20. 3 35. 7 52. 3	40. 8 62. 8 78. 0 86. 6	22. 7 36. 4 53. 9 69. 1	50. 2 71. 6 86. 5 93. 0	60. 3 82. 8 91. 0 97. 3
\$18 and over \$20 and over \$22 and over	3, 869 2, 585 1, 855	23. 4 15. 6 11. 2	43. 7 30. 3 20. 8	14. 7 9. 1 6. 1	8. 1 6. 5 5. 9	7. 0 4. 1 3. 2	9. 1 5. 9 4. 4	16. 7 8. 7 8. 0	35. 4 22. 5 14. 1	14. 5 8. 9 5. 8	47.7 32.7 24.8	13. 4 7. 9 4. 8	30. 9 22. 3 16. 2	7. 0 4. 1 2. 2	2.8

¹ The mean—the simple arithmetic average.

average earnings for the entire group were \$15.55. The range in the earnings was from less than \$5 to as much as \$140, but the great majority of the workers, 63 percent, earned \$10 and under \$18. Not

far from one-sixth earned \$20 or more.

Among the different areas the two extremes in earnings were those of New York City and Texas; in the former average earnings were \$20, in the latter they were \$11.50. Only one-fifth of the large group of workers in New York City were paid less than \$14, but in Texas just over three-fifths earned less than \$12. In New York City 33 percent earned as much as \$20, three-fourths of these earning \$22 and more, but in Texas only about 1½ percent had earnings as high as \$20 and none earned so much as \$22.

Areas other than New York City where workers had relatively high earnings were California, with an average of \$17.45, and Missouri and Philadelphia, each with an average of \$17. The most usual earnings in California were \$14 and under \$21, reported for 54 percent of the workers, though a large group, 21 percent, earned \$22 and over. In Missouri and Philadelphia over three-fifths earned \$11 and under

\$20 and well over one-fifth earned \$20 and over.

Average week's earnings were below \$13 in Maryland and Virginia and in other Pennsylvania, as well as in Texas. They varied from

\$13.45 to \$14.55 in the remaining areas.

In 7 of the 13 areas—the exceptions being California, Connecticut, Missouri, New York City, Philadelphia, and the group of Michigan, Indiana, and Ohio—the majority of the workers, varying from 54 percent to 71 percent, had earnings of \$10 and under \$15. In Connecticut, 52 percent earned \$9 and under \$15. In the other areas the modal groups, 51 to 54 percent, fell at \$11 and under \$18 in Philadelphia, at \$13 and under \$20 in New York City, and at \$14 and under \$21 in Missouri and in California. The proportions of employees in these areas who were paid less than \$10 ranged from 6 percent in New York City to 23 and 25 percent, respectively, in Connecticut and other Pennsylvania.

Part VI.—CORSETS AND ALLIED GARMENTS

This study of the corset and allied-garments industry includes factories making foundation garments, known as girdles, corsets, surgical belts, combinations, and corset accessories. These plants are engaged in manufacturing only. Local sales outlets of firms doing a semicustom business are not included, as these shops correspond closely to those maintained in the corset departments of retail stores.

Scope of survey.

The list of firms believed to be making these garments was corrected by field investigation in each community. As a result of mergers, shutdowns, transfers to other States, and closing out of business, the census enumeration of 1937 had to be adjusted to conditions in the spring of 1939. At the time of the survey, February to May 1939, 194 firms were manufacturing these articles. These firms had on their rolls 17,185 factory employees. In 8 States there were 3 or more factories, and in 3 other States and the District of Columbia there were 1 or 2. On the basis of number of workers the leading States were New York, New Jersey, Connecticut, and Illinois, with from 6,300 to 1,600 employees.

In choosing a 50-percent sample of this branch of the apparel industry for survey purposes, effort was made to cover in each State one-half the firms in each class as grouped by size. In States with only a few firms, however, a larger sample was necessary to prevent disclosure of individual firm conditions; and in States with only one large firm, data for one-half the employees on the pay roll were taken.

Pay-roll data were secured from 100 firms, or just over 50 percent of all in business. These factories were in all the States with 3 or more such factories and in two States with less than 3. The persons employed in the plants scheduled numbered 10,070, or 58.6 percent of the total for all factories in the spring of 1939. This industry, like several other apparel industries, employs very much larger numbers of women than of men. According to the Women's Bureau survey, the proportion women form of the total work force varies only from 85 percent in Michigan and Pennsylvania and 86 percent in New York to 90 percent in Connecticut and 91 percent in Massa-

According to table I, the employees in the firms scheduled exceeded 55 percent of the total for all firms in the State in every case but Massachusetts. However, the Massachusetts sample, 43 percent of all employees in the State, was carefully chosen and is regarded as thoroughly representative.

Corsets and allied garments are made in factories owned and operated by the same management and generally termed inside manufacturers. In only 6 cases did factories send out any work to contractors; and only 5 firms, in Connecticut and New Jersey, were

reported as contract firms. While there are many relatively small shops in this line of manufacture there are also many of medium size and some large ones. Of the 100 firms scheduled, 43 employed fewer than 50 workers, but 32 employed as many as 100 workers and 7 had more than 400. The data in table I show actual numbers of firms and employees in the industry and in the survey by the Women's Bureau.

Table I.—Extent and location of the CORSET AND ALLIED-GARMENTS INDUSTRY in the spring of 1939 and number of firms and employees included in survey

		ber in bus bring of 193			Firms in	cluded in s	urvey		
State	Firms—		tory	Firms—	e oilad	mployees	ployees		
appeared to the	Number	Number	Percent	Number	Total number	Percent	Men	Women	
Total Percent	194 100. 0	17, 185 100. 0	100. 0	100 51.5	10, 070 58. 6	100.0	1, 182	8, 888	
California Connecticut Illinois Massachusetts Michigan	17 19 13 15 7	422 2, 762 1, 634 755 738	2. 5 16. 1 9. 5 4. 4 4. 3	10 11 7 5 4	286 1, 518 1, 099 327 453	2.8 15.1 10.9 3.2 4.5	37 153 113 30 67	249 1, 365 986 297 386	
New Jersey New York Pennslyvania Other ¹	28 84 5 6	3, 274 6, 283 462 855	19. 1 36. 6 2. 7 5. 0	13 43 3 4	2,020 3,543 298 526	20. 1 35. 2 3. 0 5. 2	210 479 45 48	1, 810 3, 064 253 478	

 $^{^1}$ Includes Georgia, Indiana, Minnesota, and District of Columbia, the first two of which were covered in the Women's Bureau survey.

In most cases the pay period for which data were obtained was in March or April, chosen by firm officials as representative of normal conditions at that time. As production in this branch of the women's apparel industry was steady in the spring of 1939, the majority of the firms operated scheduled hours.

Labor costs.

Labor costs, manufacturing costs, and total operating costs for 1938 were reported by one-third of the firms scheduled. As these data were secured from firms in every State, they are indicative of the relation of labor costs to the total. In the firms reporting, labor costs were 29.3 percent of manufacturing costs and 22 percent of total costs of operation.

In the States in which 3 or more firms reported costs, the proportion labor costs were of manufacturing costs varied from 22 percent in Connecticut to over 30 percent in Illinois and Indiana and in New York City, and to a high of 59 percent in California. The proportion labor costs were of total costs of operation varied from 15 percent in Connecticut and 19 to 27 percent in Michigan, Illinois and Indiana, up-State New York, and New York City, to 46 percent in California.

Learners.

The data in regard to learners include, first, the general policy of each firm with reference to the employment of learners, the length of

the learning period, and the beginning rate of pay, and second, the number of learners actually employed at the time of the survey and

the amount of their earnings in the pay period covered.

Of the 100 firms scheduled, 62 reported that they employed learners when necessary, but there was little agreement as to the length of time that constituted a learning period or as to the method of pay. In 27 firms from 1 to 6 weeks, in most cases 6 weeks, was reported as an adequate learning period; in 10 firms the estimate was from 1½ to 3 months; and in 9 firms it was from 4 months to a year. In 16 firms the learning period was said to be indefinite, depending on the ability of the individual and the type of work.

Of the firms reporting on learners' rates, 37 paid learners on a timerate basis. In 1 the beginning rate was only 21 cents an hour; in 22 it was 25 cents an hour or \$10 for a 40-hour week; and in 14 it was 27½ cents, 30 cents, or 35 cents an hour, or was a weekly rate

of \$11, \$12, or \$14 for a 40-hour week.

In 24 firms learners were paid on a piece-rate basis, but in 13 of these they were guaranteed a minimum of 25 cents an hour and in 5 of them such guaranty was 28½ cents, 30 cents, or 35 cents an hour; that is, in these 18 firms learners were paid the guaranteed rate if their piece-work earnings were below such rate but received their piece-work earnings if these exceeded the guaranty. Six firms paid the learners the regular piece-work rates without any hourly guaranty.

At the time of the survey, 324 learners and 44 handicapped or substandard workers were employed in 48 plants. By occupation these were distributed as follows: 312 were machine operators, 35 were hand finishers, inspectors, or packers, and the remaining 21 were employed as cutters, pressers, machinists, or general indirect labor.

employed as cutters, pressers, machinists, or general indirect labor. The largest single group of learners, 40 percent, earned 25 cents an hour, indicating that many of the firms were paying the minimum rate permissible since the enactment of the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938. However, 42 percent of the learners had hourly earnings of 30 cents and over. Only 5 percent of the entire group, which included 44 handicapped workers, were paid less than 25 cents. The earnings of these learners and handicapped workers are not included in the wage tabulations in this report.

EARNINGS AND HOURS

Hourly earnings.

The tables on hourly earnings that follow show the earnings of experienced workers for whom hours worked and wages received were recorded. A loss of records of 56 workers, or one-half of 1 percent, scattered over a number of pay rolls, was caused by faulty hour data. Hourly earnings were arrived at by dividing the week's earnings by the number of hours worked, for each employee separately. Earnings were computed for regular time only, all overtime payments being eliminated from such computations.

In the cases of New York and Illinois, earnings information is given separately for New York City and Chicago, as employees' earnings are higher in metropolitan areas than in smaller communities. Figures for Indiana workers are included with those for Illinois to avoid

202064°-40-6

Table II.—Hourly earnings of experienced employees in the CORSET AND ALLIED-GARMENTS INDUSTRY, by area

	All	areas			r e	041 7111						
Hourly earnings (cents)	Number of em- ployees 1	Percent	California	Connecti- cut	Chicago	Other Illi- nois and Indiana	Massachu- setts	Michigan	New Jersey	New York City	Up-State New York	Pennsyl- vania
Total employees Average earnings ? (cents)	9, 628 46. 3	100.0	255 47. 5	1, 489 45. 4	708 50. 4	671 37. 8	319 40. 3	410 47. 2	1, 980 43. 3	2, 328 54. 3	1, 072 41. 8	280 38. 6
			L			Percent	t of employees					
25.0, under 27.5. 27.5, under 30.0. 30.0, uhder 32.5. 32.5, under 35.0. 32.6, under 35.0. 32.6, under 37.5. 37.5, under 40.0. 40.0, under 42.5. 42.5, under 45.0. 45.0, under 47.5. 47.5, under 50.0. 50.0, under 52.5. 52.5, under 55.0. 55.10, under 57.5. 57.5, under 60.0. 60.0, under 62.5. 62.5, under 65.0. 65.0, under 70.0. 70.0, under 70.0. 70.0, under 80.0. 80.0, under 70.0. 75.0, under 80.0. 80.0, under 80.0. 80.0, under 80.0. 80.0, under 80.0. 80.0, under 90.0. 90.0, under 90.0. 90.0, under 90.0. 90.0, under 100.0.	375 531 450 8899 763 887 1,055 721 502 487 3860 256 213 203 275 1566 144 81	5.7 3.9 5.5 5.7 9.3 7.9 9.2 11.0 7.5 5.5 1.4 2.7 2.7 2.2 2.1 2.9 1.6 1.5 8	0.8 3.1 18.0 3.5 11.8 7.5 16.1 7.1 11.0 1.6 3.1 2.0 2.0 3.1 3.1 4.6 8 1.6 1.8	3.4 3.8 5.0 4.2 9.1 10.9 12.0 9.8 8.5 7.0 6.1 4.2 1.8 1.3 1.5 1.9 .8 .9 .8	1. 4 1. 7 1. 8 3. 0 7. 3 9. 5 7. 1 9. 5 8. 2 5. 4 6. 9 8. 2 5. 4 4. 7 3. 5 1. 1 1. 4 7 3 1. 8 1. 8	9. 2 7. 5 5 14. 5 10. 1 19. 1 12. 7 8. 9 6. 1 2. 4 7 1. 9 6. 3 3 1. 3 1. 5	5.3 4.1 10.7 9.4 12.5 13.2 14.1 7.8 6.9 5.0 3.1 1.6 6.9 6.1 1.3 9.9	3. 4 1. 2 1. 7 3. 4 8. 5 8. 8 13. 9 9. 5 8. 8 8. 5 9. 0 2. 9 2. 4 3. 4 3. 2 2. 0 1. 5 1. 5 1. 5	5.9 4.9 6.7 4.6 11.7 10.1 9.4 14.5 8.6 3.8 3.5 3.8 2.6 1.7 1.0 1.1 1.2 .5 1.0 .4 .6 8 8 1.8	2. 5 1. 4 2. 3 2. 1 3. 4 3. 1 5. 9 5 15. 5 6. 1 5. 6 5. 2 4. 6 5. 3 1. 6 2. 6 1. 7 2. 9	17. 3 7. 2 8. 2 6. 7 7. 5 4. 9 9. 0 4. 9 5. 7 6. 3 4. 8 3. 5 2. 1 1. 8 2. 1 1. 6 6 . 8 1. 0	6.4 8.9 9 8.2 10.0 20.7 15.0 5.0 5.0 3.2 2.9 9 1.8 1.1 .4
						Cumul	ative percents					
Under 30 Under 35 Under 40 Under 45 Under 50 50 and over 55 and over 60 and over	5, 510	9. 6 19. 8 37. 0 57. 2 69. 9 30. 1 21. 0 14. 6	. 8 4. 7 26. 3 45. 5 68. 6 31. 4 18. 8 13. 7	7. 3 16. 4 36. 4 58. 3 73. 7 26. 3 15. 9 9. 9	3. 1 7. 9 24. 7 41. 3 55. 4 44. 6 32. 3 18. 7	16. 7 41. 3 73. 0 88. 1 91. 2 8. 8 6. 3 5. 4	9. 4 29. 5 55. 2 77. 1 89. 0 11. 0 6. 3 4. 7	4. 6 9. 7 27. 0 50. 4 67. 7 32. 3 20. 4 15. 1	10. 8 22. 1 43. 8 67. 7 80. 1 19. 9 12. 6 8. 2	3. 9 8. 3 14. 8 36. 2 49. 3 50. 7 39. 0 29. 2	24. 4 39. 4 51. 7 65. 6 76. 6 23. 4 15. 1 10. 2	15. 4 33. 6 65. 0 85. 0 91. 1 8. 9 7. 1 5. 7

¹ Total includes Georgia, not shown separately.

¹ The mean—the simple arithmetic average.

disclosure of individual firm information. Employees of two Georgia

firms are included in the total but are not shown separately.

The average hourly earnings of the 9,628 workers amounted to 46.3 cents, ranging downward from 54.2 cents in New York City and 50.4 cents in Chicago to 38.6 cents in Pennsylvania and a low of 37.8 cents in other Illinois and Indiana. California, Michigan, and Connecticut had the relatively high average of more than 45 but under 50 cents. New Jersey and up-State New York, which ranked second and fourth respectively in number of employees, had average earnings of less than 45 cents an hour. The details of hourly earnings

by area are shown in table II.

There was an extremely wide variation in the hourly earnings of individual employees, as the actual spread was from 25 cents to \$3.38. However, in each State or city group with the exception of up-State New York, the most pronounced concentration of earnings occurred in the wage intervals of 35 and under 50 cents or 30 and under 45 cents; from 41 to 64 percent of the workers in New York City, Chicago, Connecticut, Michigan, New Jersey, and California had earnings of 35 and under 50 cents, and 68 percent of those in Massachusetts, 70 percent of those in Pennsylvania, and 71 percent of those in other Illinois and Indiana earned 30 and under 45 cents. In up-State New York the concentration was in the intervals of 25 and under 35 cents, with 39 percent of the workers receiving such earnings. For all States combined, 37 percent of the employees had earnings of 35 and under 45 cents.

Almost 10 percent of the workers in the corset and allied-garments industry were paid less than 30 cents an hour. Workers with such low earnings were found most largely in up-State New York, other Illinois and Indiana, Pennsylvania, New Jersey, and Massachusetts. Only from 1 to 5 percent in California, Chicago, New York City, and Michigan were paid less than 30 cents. The proportion of employees with hourly earnings falling at the higher wage levels, 60 cents or over, varied from 5 to 6 percent in Massachusetts, Pennsylvania, and other Illinois and Indiana to almost 19 percent in Chicago and to 29 percent in New York City. Other States in which as many as one-tenth of the workers received at least 60 cents were Connecticut, up-State New York, California, and Michigan.

Hourly earnings by occupation.—Machine operators form much the largest group of workers in the corset industry as in other apparel manufacture. However, special machines are used for this work, such as riveters, claspers, steel stitchers, hook and eye machines, supporter makers, and brassiere and corset makers. Of the 9,702 employees with occupation reported, 6,134, or 63 percent, were engaged in these types of work. Only 9, or one-tenth of 1 percent of the entire group

of machine operators, were men.

There is a limited amount of hand work on garments, such as boning, shaping, end tacking, and hand lacing. After garments are finished, they are inspected and packed for shipment. The number of workers employed on these types of work was 1,502, or 15 percent of the total, and all but 3 were women. Cutters numbered 598, or 6 percent of the plant staff, and of these 87 percent were men. Pressers, the large majority of whom are women, were few in number and comprised only about 2 percent of the work force.

There are also a few workers, generally known as floor boys and girls, that do odd jobs in the factory. Other groups of nonmanufacturing employees in the plant are workers in the shipping department, in the office, and in the plant maintenance department. Working foremen and forewomen, and the machinists, are grouped as supervisors and machinists.

The following summary shows the number of men and women in each occupational group for all areas combined.

ractions visited leginosb at I a mon	All	of the and	Wor	men
Occupation	employees	Men	Number	Percent
Total	9, 702	1, 171	8, 531	87. 9
Machine operators Hand finishers, inspectors, and packers	6, 134 1, 502 598 157 311 279 205 359 157	9 3 517 8 65 240 187 110 32	6, 125 1, 499 81 149 246 39 18 249	99. 9 99. 8 13. 5 94. 9 79. 1 14. 0 8. 88 69. 4 79. 6

Earnings of supervisors and of cutters have the same average. Maintenance workers are the second highest class, but as the group is not homogeneous, and includes janitors, watchmen, and matrons, as well as firemen and engineers, the earnings have a wide range.

The lowest-paid occupations are hand workers, whether employed on hand finishing the garment, as inspectors and packers, or as general utility workers. Average hourly earnings of the group composed of hand finishers, inspectors, and packers were only 38.8 cents; those of the general utility workers were 39.3 cents. Machine operators, over three-fifths of all plant employees, averaged 44.5 cents an hour; 10 percent earned less than 30 cents and 37 percent earned less than 40 cents an hour. Of the employees actually engaged in the manufacturing operations, the proportion who earned as much as 60 cents an hour varied from less than 1 percent of the general utility workers and 3 percent of the hand-worker group to 11 percent of the machine operators, 19 percent of the pressers, and 61 percent of the cutters.

Differences in occupational earnings in the several areas are shown in table III. New York City plants reported the highest earnings for cutters, machine operators, and hand workers, and Chicago firms the next highest. Lowest earnings for machine operators were found in other Illinois and Indiana, Pennsylvania, up-State New York, and Massachusetts, and the lowest-paid groups of cutters were in California, Pennsylvania, New Jersey, other Illinois and Indiana, and Michigan.

	All fa emple (88 pe won	oyees	Mac opera (99+ p won	tors ercent	Ha finish inspec and pa (99+ p won	etors, eckers ercent	Cut (14 pe won	ercent	Pres (95 pe won	ercent	indi lal (79 pe	eral rect oor ercent nen)		ping ercent nen)	Maint (9 pe won		Super ar mach (69 pe won	inists ercent	cler (80 pe	ant rical ercent nen)
Area	Number of employees	Average hourly earnings 1 (cents)	Number of employees	A verage hourly earnings 1 (cents)	Number of employees	A verage hourly earnings 1 (cents)	Number of employees	A verage hourly earnings 1 (cents)	Number of employees	Average hourly earnings 1 (cents)	Number of employees	A verage hourly earnings 1 (cents)	Number of employees	Average hourly earnings 1 (cents)	Number of employees	A verage hourly earnings 1 (cents)	Number of employees	Average hourly earnings 1 (cents)	Number of employees	A verage hourly earnings 1 (cents)
All areas 2	9, 628	46.3	6, 126	44. 5	1, 496	38. 8	589	69. 6	157	48. 6	308	39. 3	270	49. 2	197	53. 9	332	69. 7	153	46.
California Connecticut Chicago Ther Illinois and Indiana Massachusetts	255 1, 489 708 671 319	47. 5 45. 4 50. 4 37. 8 40. 3	171 978 447 440 220	45. 3 42. 9 49. 7 34. 5 38. 1	18 202 118 86 42	39. 5 41. 6 36. 6 38. 5	22 85 46 37 17	72. 2 76. 7 57. 0	7 29 16 10 8	58.1	7 74 21 36 14	40. 9	10 39 5 13 5	49.7	6 25 8 15 3	56. 6	11 45 32 26 8	66. 5 70. 4 61. 5	3 12 15 8 2	
Aichigan New Jersey New York City 'p-State New York Pennsylvania	410 1, 980 2, 328 1, 072 280	47. 2 43. 3 54. 2 41. 8 38. 6	239 1, 243 1, 470 676 153	44. 4 41. 1 54. 9 37. 2 36. 6	56 343 418 147 56	40. 0 34. 7 42. 9 37. 3 32. 9	34 118 157 46 21	68. 0 63. 8 78. 7 71. 8	8 23 28 27 1	49. 0 51. 8	8 43 70 16 18	39. 2 39. 3	14 48 91 32 12	47. 8 47. 7 53. 9	15 44 38 37 5	55. 7 64. 5 55. 1	27 68 35 61 12	61. 2 74. 6 100. 0 61. 3	9 50 21 30 2	51.

 $^{^1}$ The mean—the simple arithmetic average. Not computed where base less than 25. 3 Total includes Georgia, not shown separately.

Hourly earnings in union and nonunion shops.—The corset and allied-garments industry is not unionized to any extent except in New York City, and only 3 firms (in New Jersey and Pennsylvania) outside of that city reported an agreement with a union. In New York City 25 of the 36 firms had a union agreement; the union firms employed 1,569 workers and the nonunion 759 workers. Consequently, the comparison of earnings in union and nonunion shops is confined to New York City.

Within the union shops, the organization is comprised chiefly of workers on the product itself and not of miscellaneous plant workers. Average hourly earnings were 55 cents for the workers in the union shops and 52.7 cents for those in the nonunion shops. However, there were important differences in the distribution: Only 12 percent of the union workers, but 21 percent of the nonunion workers, were paid less than 40 cents an hour; and 31 percent of the union workers, in comparison to 25 percent of the nonunion workers, had earnings of 60 cents an hour or more. Hourly earnings varied widely in both types of shop.

Hourly earnings in the various firms.—Average hourly earnings of employees have been computed for each firm scheduled and these earnings have been tabulated according to size of firm and also by area. The distribution of these firms' averages is shown in the accompanying summary. For the firms in all areas combined, the range in average earnings was from 27½ and under 30 cents to 65 and under 67½ cents. There was some concentration in the 2½-cent intervals from 40 to 47½ cents; 41 firms had averages of such amounts. Thirty-eight firms had averages of 47½ cents or more.

Average hourly earnings in the	Number	Empl	loyees	A verage hourly earnings in the	Number	Emp	loyees
firm (cents)	of firms	Number	Percent	firm (cents)	of firms	Number	Percent
Total	100	9, 628	100.0	42.5, under 45.0 45.0, under 47.5	17 12	2, 222 916	23.
27.5, under 32.5 32.5, under 35.0 35.0, under 37.5	6 4 3	362 342 408	3. 8 3. 6 4. 2	47.5, under 50.0 50.0, under 55.0 55.0, under 60.0	8 16 10	655 1,710 878	6. 8 17. 9.
37.5, under 40.0 40.0, under 42.5	8 12	567 1, 306	5. 9 13. 6	60.0 and over	4	262	2.

Unpublished figures show the variations in earnings according to size of firm. For example, the average earnings of the 27 firms that employed fewer than 25 workers ranged from 27½ and under 30 cents to 57½ and under 60 cents; in 12 of these firms the averages fell within the groups of 40 and under 47½ cents. Averages in firms employing 100 and under 200 employees ranged from 30 and under 32½ cents to 57½ and under 60 cents, and the range in averages of firms employing 200 or more workers was from 32½ and under 35 cents, also to 57½ and under 60 cents.

To express it in another way, of the 21 firms whose employees had average earnings of less than 40 cents, 11 had fewer than 50 employees, 7 had 50 and under 200, and 3 had 200 or more. Of 49 firms with average earnings of 40 and under 50 cents, 22 employed fewer than 50 workers, 11 employed 50 and under 100, 8 employed 100 and under 200, and 8 employed 200 or more.

There was considerable variation also in the firm averages in the different States and cities, with low-average firms (less than 40 cents)

found in all areas but California and Chicago, and with relatively high-average firms (50 cents or more) found in California, Chicago, Michigan, New York City, and up-State New York. However, firms with average earnings of 57½ cents or more were found only in New York City.

Hours worked.

The number of hours worked was reported for 9,646 employees in the 100 corset and allied-garments firms. The majority of the employees had a favorable workweek, slightly over three-tenths working over 35 and under 40 hours, and one-fifth working 40 hours even. The amount of undertime and overtime in the industry is indicated to some extent by the figures, which show that one-fifth of the employees had less than 35 hours of work and one-tenth worked longer than 44 hours. The details in regard to hours of work are given in table IV.

 $\begin{array}{c} {\rm Table\ IV.--} Hours\ worked\ in\ the\ pay-roll\ week\ recorded\ by\ employees\ in\ the} \\ {\rm CORSET\ AND\ ALLIED\text{-}GARMENTS\ INDUSTR\ Y} \end{array}$

Translation of the state of the	Emp	loyees	Hours worked	Empl	oyees
Hours worked	Number	Percent	Hours worked	Number	Percent
Total	9, 646	100.0	40 Over 40, under 44	1, 903 946	19. 7
Under 35 35 Over 35, under 40	1, 900 71 3, 003	19. 7 . 7 31. 1	0ver 44.	856 967	9. 8 8. 9 10. 0

Week's earnings.

The amount of the actual earnings received in the pay-roll week recorded was reported for 9,702 experienced employees. The average for the entire group, regardless of the number of hours worked, amounted to \$17.90. It varied by area from \$13.25 in Massachusetts to \$21.40 in Chicago. Other areas with relatively low averages were Pennsylvania (\$14.45) and other Illinois and Indiana (\$14.50). In an intermediate position were up-State New York, Connecticut, New Jersey, California, and Michigan with averages of \$16 to \$18.55, inclusive. New York City ranked second highest with \$20.20. Table V shows the week's earnings of the employees scheduled.

Considering all employees as a group, the largest proportion (36 percent) had earnings of \$15 and under \$20, and the second largest group (28 percent) had earnings of \$10 and under \$15. For less than

one-tenth (8 percent) were the earnings below \$10.

The heaviest concentration occurred in the wage intervals between \$10 and \$25. In Chicago, New York City, and California, from 49 to 67 percent had earnings of \$15 and under \$25, and in the other areas

from 62 to 78 percent earned \$10 and under \$20.

The proportion of employees with earnings below \$10 was from 3 to 5 percent in New York City, California, Michigan, and Chicago; was from 13 to 16 percent in Pennsylvania, other Illinois and Indiana, and up-State New York; and was 30 percent in Massachusetts. At the other extreme of the wage scale, with earnings of \$30 or more, were 2 to 4 percent in Massachusetts, Pennsylvania, Connecticut, and other Illinois and Indiana; 5 to 8 percent in California, New Jersey, up-State New York, Michigan, and New York City; and 14 percent in Chicago.

 $\textbf{TABLE V.--Weel's earnings of experienced employees in the CORSET\ AND\ ALLIED-GARMENTS\ INDUSTRY, by\ area$

	То	tal			Percent of	employees	with wee	k's earning	s as specifi	ied in—		Page
Week's earnings	Number 1	Percent	Cali- fornia	Connecti-	Chicago	Other Illinois and Indiana	Massa- chusetts	Michigan	New Jersey	New York City	Up-State New York	Pennsyl- vania
Number of firms. Number of employees. Average earnings ³ .	9, 702	100.0	10 255 \$18. 45	11 1, 496 \$16. 95	719 \$21. 40	5 671 \$14. 50	5 319 \$13. 25	4 412 \$18. 55	13 1, 994 \$17. 70	36 2, 355 \$20. 20	7 1, 084 \$16. 00	3 280 \$14. 45
						Percent of	femployees					
Under \$5. \$5, under \$10. \$5, under \$10. \$15, under \$15. \$15, under \$20. \$20, under \$25. \$25, under \$30. \$30, under \$35. \$35 and over.	644 2, 671 3, 484 1, 536 639 274	1. 3 6. 6 27. 5 35. 9 15. 8 6. 6 2. 8 3. 4	0, 8 2, 7 19, 6 45, 9 21, 2 4, 7 2, 4 2, 7	1. 5 5. 9 33. 0 36. 9 14. 5 3. 9 1. 7 2. 5	1. 1 3. 6 16. 1 29. 1 19. 9 16. 0 8. 8 5. 4	1, 8 13, 0 49, 6 26, 2 3, 3 1, 8 1, 9 2, 4	4. 7 25. 1 36. 1 26. 0 3. 4 2. 5 1. 6	1. 2 3. 2 18. 4 51. 0 15. 0 3. 9 3. 6 3. 6	1. 3 5. 2 26. 5 41. 9 14. 7 5. 4 1. 8 3. 4	0.6 2.1 15.8 39.3 24.1 10.1 3.5 4.5	1. 5 14. 4 37. 3 24. 8 12. 1 4. 7 1. 8 3. 5	0. 7 11. 8 52. 5 25. 7 3. 2 3. 6 1. 8
		1 0 -				Cumulat	ive percents					
Under \$12 Under \$14 Under \$16 Under \$18	2, 752 4, 254	17. 5 28. 4 43. 8 59. 9	9. 4 14. 5 32. 5 47. 0	18. 4 31. 7 50. 5 65. 8	9. 5 15. 7 26. 3 39. 2	32, 9 56, 3 75, 1 86, 0	41. 7 56. 1 73. 7 86. 2	10. 9 19. 2 32. 5 52. 4	14. 7 24. 9 41. 2 61. 9	6. 9 13. 2 26. 9 45. 5	34. 2 47. 4 58. 8 68. 5	29. 3 49. 3 76. 1 86, 4
\$18 and over \$20 and over	3, 894 2, 781	40. 1 28. 7	53. 0 31. 0	34. 2 22. 7	60. 8 50. 1	14. 0 9. 4	13. 8 8. 2	47. 6 26. 2	38. 1 25. 2	54. 5 42. 2	31. 5 22. 0	13. 6 9. 3

¹ Total includes Georgia, not shown separately. ² The mean—the simple arithmetic average.

Part VII.—UNDERWEAR AND NIGHTWEAR

The underwear and nightwear branch of the women's and children's apparel industry, as defined for purposes of this study, includes factories sewing woven or knitted purchased fabric into women's shirts, bloomers, step-ins, athletic underwear, slips and petticoats, children's waist suits, and infants' underwear, or into women's, children's and infants' nightgowns, pajamas, bathrobes, negligees, house coats, or similar garments. Factories knitting underwear fabrics and sewing the knitted material into garments are not included in this study, as such factories have been covered in a knit-goods study.

The larger number of underwear and nightwear firms are inside shops. In and around New York City there are jobber manufacturers and contract shops, though even here inside manufacturers outnumber them. Many firms employ less than 50 workers, but there

are a few with over 300 workers.

In each community the field work in this branch of the industry was carried on at the same time that surveys of other branches of the industry were being made. It was possible, therefore, to revise lists of firms according to the type of garment made in largest quantity in the payroll period covered. About 20 percent of the firms whose major production in the pay-roll period was in women's and children's underwear and nightwear either made other garments at the same time or had made others at some time in 1938. The other garments made consisted of many types of sports garments, such as slacks, wovenfabric swim suits, beach garments, boys' and men's polo shirts, and children's sportswear, as well as blouses, uniforms, house dresses, brassieres, pillow slips, and men's nightwear.

At the time of the survey, February to May 1939, the chief product of 493 firms was women's and children's underwear and nightwear as defined. These firms gave employment to 30,148 persons. Twelve States had at least 3 factories—4 having 30 or more—and in 7 others

there were 1 or 2 factories.

Scope of survey.

The Women's Bureau secured pay-roll data from 290 firms, or 59 percent of all in the industry in the spring of 1939. The firms visited were in all States that had 3 or more factories and in 4 of those with only 1 or 2. They gave employment to 22,459 persons. Table I shows that in each State the proportion of employees for whom wage data were secured corresponds closely to the proportion of the total represented by that State. The distribution of the firms scheduled also compares favorably with the distribution of all firms. Three-fourths of the plants surveyed employed less than 100 persons (29 percent, fewer than 25) and only 2 percent had as many as 300 workers. Except in the New York-New Jersey area, undergarments are made

Except in the New York-New Jersey area, undergarments are made in inside factories, that is, in factories purchasing their materials and carrying on all manufacturing processes. In New York City and New Jersey, part of the manufacture is done on a jobber-contractor basis, the contractor sewing garments from cut materials furnished by the jobber. Eleven of the firms scheduled were jobbers and 55 were contractors. The remaining firms were inside manufacturers, though 24 of these also sent some work out to contractors and 4 reported doing some work on contract for other firms.

Except in a few plants, the pay period for which earnings were obtained was in February, March, or April of 1939; in the exceptions it was necessary to take a November, December, or January pay roll to secure figures considered representative by the management.

The large majority of workers in the underwear and nightwear industry are women; they comprised slightly more than nine-tenths of the factory employees in the plants scheduled.

Table I.—Extent and location of the UNDERWEAR AND NIGHTWEAR INDUSTRY in the spring of 1939 and number of firms and employees included in survey

	Firms in	n business of 1939	, spring	Firms included in survey								
State	Firms—	Fac empl	tory oyees	Firms—	Factory employees							
	Number	Number	Percent	Number	Total number	Percent	Men	Women				
Total Percent	493 100. 0	30, 148 100, 0	100. 0	290 58. 8	22, 459 74. 5	100.0	2, 032	20, 427				
California Connecticut Illinofs Indiana Massachusetts	24 19 30 5 22	765 2, 278 1, 729 349 2, 162	2. 5 7. 6 5. 7 1. 2 7. 2	16 9 16 3 12	441 1, 457 823 325 1, 568	2. 0 6. 5 3. 7 1. 4 7. 0	27 125 74 25 144	414 1, 332 749 300 1, 424				
Michigan Minnesota and Wisconsin Missouri New Jersey New York	5 4 12 61 245	592 253 1,006 5,024 11,353	2. 0 . 8 3. 3 16. 7 37. 7	3 4 10 40 133	450 253 765 4,359 8,560	2. 0 1. 1 3. 4 19. 4 38. 1	43 20 68 280 913	407 233 697 4, 079 7, 647				
Ohio Pennyslvania Other ¹	10 42 14	295 3, 822 520	1. 0 12. 7 1. 7	6 32 6	239 2, 896 323	1. 1 12. 9 1. 4	19 277 17	220 2, 619 306				

¹ Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, Maryland, Georgia, and Utah, the first three of which were covered in the Women's Bureau survey.

Labor costs.

Small underwear firms in the New York area employ itinerant auditors. In the time available for this study it was not possible to visit these auditors to secure cost data for the firms scheduled. In other sections of the country, some firms scheduled reported total costs of operation and labor costs. While these figures cannot be taken as representative of conditions in the entire industry, they are quoted here as indicative of inside manufacturers' relative costs. Labor costs in 45 plants reporting were 21.6 percent of total costs and in 40 plants reporting were 26 percent of manufacturing costs.

The proportions that labor costs formed of total costs in the State and city areas in which three or more firms reported costs were 14.8 percent in Texas and 17.2 percent in Pennsylvania; from 21.5

to 26.3 percent in California, Illinois, up-State New York, New Jersey, and New York City; and 31.6 percent in Ohio. Labor costs were only 18.2 percent of manufacturing costs in Texas, but varied from 22.3 to 28.4 percent of such costs in Pennsylvania, Illinois, up-State New York, New Jersey, and California, and were 36 percent in Ohio.

Learners.

Approximately one-half of the firms scheduled reported a learner policy. There was no agreement as to the time required to become an efficient worker, opinions varying from two weeks to over six months. Of the firms reporting the learning period, almost equal proportions gave as their policy one month or less, 6 or 8 weeks, and 10 to 16 weeks. In about 10 percent of the firms the learning period was reported as six months or more. About one firm in three considered the learning period indefinite, varying according to the ability of the individual and the type of work.

Sixty-two firms paid learners on a time basis and 66 paid them on a piece basis. In 34 firms the time rate was 25 cents an hour, \$10 for a 40-hour week, or \$10.65 for 42½ hours. In 7 firms the rate was only 18¾ cents an hour, or \$8 to \$9 for a week of 37½ or 40 hours; and in 21 firms it was on a distinctly higher level, 12 of them paying

from \$11 to \$15 for a week of 35, 37½, or 40 hours.

Thirty-three firms paid learners the regular piece rates with no guaranty; 30 paid piece rates with a guaranty of 25 cents an hour, and 3

guaranteed \$8 or \$10 for 40 or 44 hours.

The total number of learners employed during the pay-roll period covered was 623. There were also 98 employees reported as handicapped persons. The large majority (557) were machine operators, but a substantial group (111) were employed as hand finishers, inspectors, or packers. From the actual hourly earnings of 715 of the learners and handicapped employees it is clear that the largest group, 42 percent, had earnings of 25 cents, but 17 percent had earnings of less than 25 cents. Over one-fifth (22 percent) earned 30 cents or more, and 4 percent earned more than 40 cents. The earnings of these employees are not included in the wage tables that follow.

EARNINGS AND HOURS

The tables on hourly earnings that follow show earnings of experienced workers for whom hours worked and earnings were reported. Hourly earnings were arrived at by dividing the week's earnings by the number of hours worked, for each employee separately. Hourly earnings are computed for regular time only, all overtime payments having been eliminated from such computations.

Earnings information is shown for New York City, Philadelphia, and Chicago separately from the remainder of the respective States. To avoid disclosure of individual firms, earnings for Indiana are included with those for Illinois State, earnings for Wisconsin with those for Minnesota, and earnings for Texas with those for Tennessee and

Virginia.

Table II.—Hourly earnings of experienced employees in the UNDERWEAR AND NIGHTWEAR INDUSTRY, by area

	All a	reas				Other			Min-							5	Tennes
Hourly earnings (cents)	Num- ber of em- ployees	Per- cent	Cali- fornia	Con- necti- cut	Chi- cago	Illinois and Indi- ana	Massa- chu- setts	Michi- gan	nesota and Wis- consin	Mis- souri	New Jersey	New York City	Up- State New York	Ohio	Phila- del- phia	Other Penn- sylva- nia	Texas and Vir- ginia
Total employees	21, 417 41. 5	100.0	373 47. 5	1, 452 35. 8	678 42. 0	424 42. 8	1, 438 39. 9	408 40. 9	249 47. 9	727 32. 6	4, 219 38. 1	6, 055 51. 7	2,009 36.0	236 39. 8	580 40. 4	2, 266 33. 2	31.
								Perce	nt of emp	oloyees							
Jnder 25.0	292 2, 832 1, 410 1, 718 1, 908	1. 4 13. 2 6. 6 8. 0 8. 9	0.8 .8 1.3 2.4 8.3	0.6 22.0 10.7 9.1 9.8	0.9 7.8 4.6 4.7 8.7	0. 5 19. 1 6. 1 3. 8 4. 7	0. 6 3. 3 1. 5 2. 4 2. 2	0. 2 5. 4 3. 9 4. 7 6. 6	1, 6 . 4 1, 6 . 4	0. 6 37. 7 10. 5 11. 8 12. 5	0.8 14.8 8.2 9.5 13.5	0.4 6.5 3.1 4.3 4.7	1.3 20.5 10.7 11.9 11.5	2.1 10.6 3.4 8.5 4.7	1. 4 8. 6 5. 9 11. 4 11. 7	6, 9 20, 6 10, 3 13, 5 12, 9	2 18. 19. 31. 15.
5.0, under 37.5 7.5, under 40.0 0.0, under 42.5 2.5, under 45.0 5.0, under 47.5	1, 600 1, 718 1, 160	11. 3 7. 5 8. 0 5. 4 5. 3	7. 8 9. 4 14. 5 8. 6 8. 3	10. 9 8. 3 8. 1 5. 9 5. 0	11. 9 10. 2 9. 7 7. 8 9. 0	16. 3 4. 0 6. 4 6. 1 4. 7	41. 4 13. 6 9. 9 6. 9 5. 6	9.8 12.0 30.9 6.1 6.9	2. 4 15. 3 10. 8 14. 1 11. 6	6. 5 5. 1 3. 9 2. 3 2. 2	11. 4 8. 7 7. 3 4. 6 4. 5	5.3 4.6 7.7 6.5 6.8	10. 4 7. 2 6. 3 5. 0 4. 3	16. 9 9. 3 8. 1 7. 6 8. 1	11. 0 9. 3 8. 3 4. 8 6. 2	11. 1 7. 3 7. 1 2. 2 2. 6	1
7.5, under 50.0 1.0, under 52.5 2.5, under 55.0 1.0, under 57.5 1.5, under 60.0	849 736 613 482 408	4. 0 3. 4 2. 9 2. 3 1. 9	6. 4 5. 6 4. 8 4. 8 2. 9	2.8 1.7 1.2 .8 .8	4. 3 5. 3 2. 7 2. 5 2. 5	4. 2 4. 0 3. 1 3. 5 2. 4	3. 3 2. 2 1. 6 . 9 1. 0	2.9 2.2 2.0 .7 .5	8. 4 9. 2 5. 2 4. 8 1. 2	.8 1.8 .4 .6 .1	3. 5 2. 9 2. 9 1. 8 1. 1	6. 2 5. 6 5. 3 4. 4 4. 4	2. 5 2. 1 . 9 1. 0 . 6	5. 9 3. 4 3. 0 2. 1 2. 1	4. 1 3. 4 3. 1 1. 4 . 7	1.6 1.1 .5 .6 .4	
0.0, under 62.5. 2.5, under 65.0 .0, under 67.5. 7.5, under 70.0 0.0, under 72.5	339 288 192 210 156	1.6 1.3 .9 1.0	1.3 2.7 1.9 1.3 1.1	.6 .1 .3 .1	1.5 1.2 1.0 .4 .1	2. 1 1. 7 . 9 1. 7	.4 .3 .4 .3	.5 .7 .7 .2 .2	2. 0 2. 8 2. 0 1. 6 . 4	.3 .8 1.2 .4	.9 1.0 .5 .3	3.7 2.9 2.0 2.4 1.9	.7 .6 .2 .4 .3	.4 .8 .4 .4	2.1 1.0 .3 .7 1.4	.1 .3 .1 .1 .2	
2.5, under 75.0 5.0, under 80.0 0.0, under 85.0 5.0, under 90.0 0.0, under 100.0	110 182 130 100 113 325	.5 .8 .6 .5 .5	.5 .8 .8 .8 1.1	.1 .3 .2 .2 .2	1.0 .7 .3 .3 .3	.7 .2 .5 .7	.1 .8 .2 .3 .1	1. 5 .2 .5 .2 .2	.4 1.6 .8 .8	.1	.1 .4 .1 .4 .2 .5	1. 4 2. 0 1. 6 . 9 1. 4 4. 1	.1 .3 .2 .2 .1 .4	1, 3	.3 .7 .7 .3 .3	.1	

¹ The mean—the simple arithmetic average.

Hourly earnings.

The average hourly earnings of the 21,417 workers were 41.5 cents. Table II shows that 21 percent of all employees earned under 30 cents an hour and 57 percent earned under 40 cents. Only one-tenth earned as much as 60 cents an hour.

Of the various State and city groups, workers in New York City had the best earnings, shown by an average of 51.7 cents, followed by Minnesota and Wisconsin with an average of 47.9 cents, and California with 47.5 cents. In an intermediate position, with averages varying downward from 42.8 cents to 38.1 cents, were other Illinois and Indiana, Chicago, Michigan, Philadelphia, Massachusetts, Ohio, and New Jersey. Areas that paid relatively low wages were up-State New York, Connecticut, other Pennsylvania, Missouri, and Tennessee, Texas, and Virginia combined, these having averages varying from 36 to 31.1 cents.

The range in hourly earnings was very wide, from 10 cents to over \$2, but in each area there was some concentration of earnings, the degree varying considerably by locality. An examination of table II shows that the largest groups falling in any 2½-cent interval were 41 percent in Massachusetts and 38 percent in Missouri; but in New York City the largest group comprised only 8 percent, and in Philadelphia and Chicago the largest groups were only 12 percent of the

workers.

The point of concentration in the wage scale also varied in the different areas. On the basis of 5-cent intervals the modal groups in New York City, California, Minnesota and Wisconsin, and Michigan had earnings of 40 and under 45 cents. Areas in which the largest groups of workers had earnings of 35 and under 40 cents were Chicago, Ohio, and Massachusetts; those with earnings in the next lower interval, 30 and under 35 cents, were Philadelphia and the combination of Tennessee, Texas, and Virginia. In the remaining six areas the largest groups of workers had earnings of 25 and under 30 cents; in these and in Tennessee, Texas, and Virginia, more than one-fifth of the workers earned under 30 cents.

A substantial proportion of the workers in New York City (44 percent), Minnesota and Wisconsin (33 percent), California (31 percent), other Illinois and Indiana (24 percent), and Chicago (20 percent) earned 50 cents or more, but only from 10 to 17 percent in Michigan, New Jersey, Ohio, and Philadelphia, and less than 10 percent in the six other areas, had such earnings.

Hourly earnings by occupation. -- As is generally the case in the women's apparel industries, women largely predominate among the employees. In the factories included in the current study, just over ninetenths of the workers were women. Distribution of the employees by occupation shows striking differences in the number and sex of the workers in the occupational groups, and the earnings received for the various types of work may be considered as indicative of the wage levels for the two sexes.

Sewing-machine operators made up the largest group, numbering 13,779, or 64 percent of all the employees reported. All these but 74 were women. The next largest group, 3,084, or 14 percent of the total, consisted of hand finishers, inspectors, and packers; 97 percent of this group were women. Cutters numbered 1,069, or 5 percent of the workers, and over four-fifths of this group were men. Seven percent of the workers were pressers, and again the great majority, 96 percent, were women. Smaller groups were employed in general factory work, as floor boys or girls and cleaners (4 percent of the total workers), and in such nonmanufacturing occupations as shipping (2 percent), maintenance (1 percent), and plant clerical work (1 percent). Working foremen and forewomen are grouped with machinists and together comprise 3 percent of the work force. The summary following shows the numbers of men and women with occupation reported.

Occuration Title 2	All	Men	Women				
Occupation	employees	Men	Number	Percent			
Total	21, 704	2, 018	19, 686	90.7			
Machine operators Hand finishers, inspectors, and packers Cutters Pressers General indirect labor Shipping Maintenance Supervisory and machinists Plant clerical	13, 779 3, 084 1, 069 1, 484 846 530 183 630 99	74 89 879 61 124 413 171 195	13, 705 2, 995 190 1, 423 722 117 12 435 87	99. 5 97. 1 17. 8 95. 9 85. 3 22. 1 6. 6 69. 0 87. 9			

Average hourly earnings are shown by occupation and area in table III. The supervisory and machinist group and the cutters had much better earnings than workers in the other occupations, their averages being respectively 66.3 cents and 62.3 cents. Machine operators, the largest of the occupational groups, averaged 41 cents, but the hand finishers, inspectors, and packers had an average of only 35.7 cents. The lowest earnings, only 31.7 cents, were those of the general indirect workers. The other groups, maintenance, pressing, shipping, and plant clerical workers, had averages varying from 39.5 cents to 41.8 cents.

Unpublished figures show that the number of workers with earnings below 30 cents varied from only 4 percent of the supervisory group and 14 to 19 percent of the cutters, pressers, and machine operators, to over 30 percent of the hand finishers, inspectors, and packers and the maintenance workers, and to nearly one-half (47 percent) of the general indirect workers. More than half of the employees in each group but the supervisors and the cutters had earnings below 40 cents. Thirty-five percent of the cutters and 34 percent of the supervisors and machinists earned 70 cents or more, but fewer than 10 percent of the workers in the other groups were paid such wages.

In nearly every case where it was possible to compute average earnings, the supervisors and machinists and the cutters had the highest earnings and the general indirect labor and the hand finishers, inspectors, and packers had the lowest. Other Illinois and Indiana plants paid hand finishers, inspectors, and packers higher wages than did other areas, and Massachusetts paid the general indirect labor group more than did other places. With these exceptions, New York City plants paid the highest amounts in all occupations. Machine operators and hand finishers, inspectors, and packers had relatively high earnings in Minnesota and Wisconsin and in California.

Table III.—Average hourly earnings of experienced employees in the UNDERWEAR AND NIGHTWEAR INDUSTRY, by occupation and by area

(91 pe	oyees	(99+ p	ators ercent	pack (97 pe	etors, eers reent	(18 p			ercent	indired (85 pe		(22 pe		(7 pe		mach (69 pe	inists ercent		clerica ercent nen)
Number of employees	Average hourly earnings 1 (cents)	Number of employees	Average hourly earnings 1 (cents)	Number of employees	Average hourly earnings 1 (cents)	Number of employees	Average hourly earnings 1 (cents)	Number of employees	A verage hourly earnings 1 (cents)	Number of employees	A verage hourly earnings 1 (cents)	Number of employees	Average hourly earnings ¹ (cents)	Number of employees	Average hourly earnings 1 (cents)	Number of employees	A verage hourly earnings 1 (cents)	Number of employees	Average hourly earn- ings 1 (cents)
21, 417	41.5	13, 670	41.0	3, 057	35. 7	1,060	62. 3	1, 472	40. 4	828	31.7	504	40.7	170	39. 5	570	66. 3	86	41
373 1, 452 678 424 1, 438	47. 5 35. 8 42. 0 42. 8 39. 9	252 903 445 273 967	46. 5 36. 2 41. 4 38. 6 39. 7	42 253 93 60 203	40. 7 31. 4 37. 4 44. 3 36. 5	21 61 57 32 69	42. 9 49. 0 69. 0 49. 9	25 106 36 23 86	46. 3 37. 0 40. 4	8 65 6 7 43	29. 4	6 32 20 2 30	36. 1	2 8 5 4 7		16 21 13 23 30	58. 2	1 3 3	
408 249 727 4, 219 6, 055 2, 009	40. 9 47. 9 32. 6 38. 1 51. 7 36. 0	288 141 474 2, 881 3, 319 1, 351	39. 5 48. 7 31. 3 37. 9 53. 1 35. 5	52 30 101 570 1, 064 275	38. 7 44. 0 31. 1 32. 9 39. 6 32. 1	14 14 44 143 329 88	41. 0 54. 2 91. 5 53. 9	17 18 35 313 467 144	32. 8 37. 3 48. 8 34. 6	7 8 11 114 401 54	32. 6 31. 4 29. 8	5 3 21 84 202 18	36. 3 45. 8	8 4 7 24 43 18	39. 3	15 12 26 79 214 47	50. 9 61. 6 79. 8 55. 9	2 19 8 11 16 14	
236 580 2, 266	39. 8 40. 4 33. 2	165 396 1, 606	39. 4 39. 3 33. 4	18 48 224	35. 2 29. 7	24 38 106	63. 1 33. 0	6 54 124	36. 5 32. 6	1 8 92	30.8	11 14 50	32. 4	3 2 28	35. 7	5 20 34	54. 9	3	
	(91 pe won 89 of old may be a seed of old may be a	21, 417 41. 5 373 47. 5 1, 452 35. 8 1, 452 35. 8 1, 452 35. 8 42. 42. 8 1, 438 39. 9 408 40. 9 249 47. 9 727 32. 6 4, 219 38. 1 6, 055 51. 7 2, 009 36. 0 236 39. 8 580 40. 4 2, 266 33. 2	(91 percent (99+ p. wone) 1	(99 + percent women) (99 + per	(91 percent women) (92+ percent women) pack (97 per women) (92+ percent women) pack (97 per women) (93+ percent women) (94+ percent women) (95+ pe	(91 percent women) (92+ percent women) packers (97 percent women) (97	(91 percent women) (99+ percent women) (18 percent	(91 percent women) (92) + percent women) (93) + percent women) (94) + percent women) (95) + percent women) (97) percent women) (97) percent women) (98) + percent women) (97) percent women) (97) percent women) (98) + percent women) (97) percent women) (98) + percent women) (97) percent women (98) doll percent wom	(91 percent women) (99+ percent women) (18 percent	(99+ percent women) (99+ percent women) (99+ percent women) (99- percent women) (99- percent women) (97 percent women) (98 percent women) (99 percent women) (97 percent women) (98 percent women) (99 percent women) (99 percent women) (90 percent women) ((9) percent (9)+ percent (9)+ percent (9)	(85 percent women) (99+ percent women) (99+ percent women) (99+ percent women) (85 percent women)	(9) percent (9) percent (9) percent (women) (18) percent women) (1	(9) percent (9) pe	(9) percent (9) pe	(9) percent women) (9) percent women) (18) percent women) (25) percent women) (27) percent women) (28) percent women) (28) percent women) (29) percent women) (20) percent women) (20) percent women) (20) percent women) (20) percent women) (21) percent women) (22) percent women) (23) percent women) (24) percent women) (25) percent women) (25) percent women) (26) percent women) (27) percent women) (28) percent women) (29) percent women) (20) percent women) (21) percent women) (22) percent women) (23) percent women) (24) percent women) (25) percent women) (25) percent women) (26) percent women) (27) percent women) (28) percent women) (29) percent women) (20) percent women) (20) percent women) (20) percent women) (22) percent women) (23) percent women) (24) percent women) (25) percent women) (25) percent women) (26) percent women) (27) percent women) (28) percent women) (28) percent women) (29) percent women) (20) percent women) (20) percent women) (20) percent women) (22) percent women) (23) percent women) (24) percent women) (25) percent women) (26) percent women) (26) percent women) (27) percent women) (28) percen	(9) percent women) (9) - percent women) (9) - percent women) (9) - percent women) (9) - percent women) (8) - percent women) (9) - percent women) (8) - percent women) (9) - percent women) (9	(9) percent (9) pe	(8) percent (9) pe

¹ The mean—the simple arithmetic average. Not computed where base less than 25.

Tennessee, Texas, and Virginia combined paid the lowest wages, and Missouri the next lowest, to machine operators, and in the other classes for which average hourly earnings were computed the areas paying the lowest amounts were other Pennsylvania, Missouri, and Connecticut. The lowest earnings of all were the 29.4 cents averaged by general indirect labor in Connecticut and the highest were the 91.5 cents averaged by cutters in New York City.

Hourly earnings in union and nonunion shops.—Fifty-two percent of the firms scheduled were union shops. To compare the hourly earnings for all employees in union shops with the earnings for all employees in nonunion shops, averages have been computed for the two types of shop. While usually only the productive workers are organized, the earnings of the other groups are included, as they are

influenced to some degree by union rates of coworkers.

The greatest degree of unionization exists in New York City, and here the averge earnings were higher than elsewhere; they were 17 cents higher than the earnings in nonunion shops in the same city, or 53.7 cents compared with 36.6 cents. In New Jersey, the second largest center of underwear production, the average earnings in organized shops were 39.4 cents, in unorganized 36.7 cents. In up-State New York, Pennsylvania outside of Philadelphia, California, and Chicago, employees in union shops earned slightly less than those in nonunion shops, the difference in hourly earnings varying from 1.6 cents to 4.2 cents. In the other areas in which both union and nonunion shops were scheduled the averages for union employees exceeded those for nonunion workers, the difference in favor of union employees being as follows: 1.6 cents in Philadelphia, 3.3 cents in Missouri, 7.1 cents in Connecticut, 8.9 cents in other Illinois and Indiana, and 13.6 cents in Ohio.

Hourly earnings in the various firms.—Equally significant is the contrast in average earnings for the various establishments. These

averages are shown in the summary following.

Average hourly earnings in the firm (cents)	Number	Emp	loyees	Average hourly	Number	Employees			
	of firms	Number	Percent	earnings in the firm (cents)	of firms	Number	Percent		
Total	290	21, 417	100.0	40.0, under 42.5 42.5, under 45.0	22	1, 628	7. 6		
25.0, under 27.5 27.5, under 30.0	8	198 671	0. 9 3. 1	45. 0, under 47.5 47.5, under 50.0	29 11 15	2, 105 683	9.8		
30.0, under 32.5	12 21	1, 541	7.2	50.0, under 55.0	33	835 1, 867	3. 9 8. 8		
32.5, under 35.0 35.0, under 37.5	29 44	2, 975 4, 617	13. 9 21. 6	55.0, under 60.0	19 15	1, 172 812	5. 4 3. 8		
37.5, under 40.0	26	2, 037	9.5	70.0 and over	6	276	1.3		

Jobbers, few in number, had the highest averages, as they employed only cutters or sample workers. However, unpublished figures show that when jobbers' shops are eliminated many manufacturers with less than 50 employees paid higher rates than firms with over 100 employees; in fact, firms having 300 or more employees paid rates that yielded their workers, considered as a group, amounts ranging only from 32.5 and under 35 cents to 42.5 and under 45 cents an hour.

Of the 149 plants employing fewer than 50 workers, average earnings were below 30 cents in 16, 30 and under 40 cents in 48, 40 and under 60 cents in 72, and 60 and under 90 cents in 13. Only 2 of the

71 firms employing 100 or more workers had averages below 30 cents, but in 41 plants the average was 30 and under 40 cents, in 25 it was 40 and under 60 cents, and in only 3 did the workers average 60 cents

The distribution of employees by their individual earnings according to the average for the firm also is available in unpublished tables. The range of the employees' earnings in each class but the lowest is very wide: in fact, in all but the highest of the 21/2-cent intervals in firm averages there were employees who earned less than 32% cents and in all but the lowest there were employees who earned \$1 or more. In plants with low average hourly earnings there is a pronounced concentration of earnings in the wage intervals below 30 or 35 cents: and as the plant average increases, the proportion of employees with relatively high earnings increases and the proportion paid low

wages decreases.

The different wage levels of the firms in the various areas are striking. In all the firms in Tennessee, Texas, and Virginia the average earnings were below 35 cents, and in all in other Pennsylvania and in Missouri the averages were below 40 cents. In the other areas the range in plant averages was much wider. Plants with average earnings under 30 cents were found in all areas but California, Chicago, other Illinois and Indiana, Michigan, and Philadelphia. No area but New York City had plants in which average earnings were as much as 57½ cents, but plants in that city had averages up to 70 cents and over. However, one or more plants with averages of 50 cents or more were found also in California, other Illinois and Indiana, Massachusetts, Minnesota and Wisconsin, New Jersey, and Philadelphia.

Hours worked.

Data in regard to the number of hours worked in the pay-roll period covered were reported for 21,440 employees. These are shown in table IV. Nearly two-fifths of the employees worked from 37% to 40 hours, inclusive, but a significant number, 27 percent, had less than 35 hours of work. Almost one-sixth had a workweek of 44 hours or longer.

Table IV.—Hours worked in the pay-roll week recorded by employees in the $UNDERWEAR\ AND\ NIGHTWEAR\ INDUSTRY$

Hours worked	Empl	loyees	Hours worked	Employees			
	Number	Percent	Hours worked	Number	Percent		
Total	21, 440	100.0	Over 37½, under 40	2, 095 3, 869	9. 8 18. 0		
Under 35 35 Over 35, under 37½ 37½	5,807 313 1,687 2,071	27. 1 1. 5 7. 9 9. 7	Over 40, under 44	2, 279 2, 435 884	10. 6 11. 4 4. 1		

202064°-40--7

Week's earnings.

Table V gives the total week's earnings as reported for 21,704 experienced employees. The average of the entire group, regardless of the number of hours worked, was \$15.30. It ranged by area from \$12.45 in Tennessee, Texas, and Virginia to \$18.70 in New York City. Other relatively high earnings, shown by averages of \$16.10 to \$17.60, were those for Chicago, California, and Minnesota and Wisconsin. At a lower level, with averages varying from \$13.45 to \$15.45, were Ohio, Connecticut, up-State New York, New Jersey, Massachusetts, other Illinois and Indiana, Philadelphia, and Michigan. Missouri and other Pennsylvania also ranked low, with averages of \$12.60 and \$12.70, respectively.

In each area there was a very wide range, but the most common earnings, on the basis of \$5 intervals, were \$10 and under \$20, in most cases \$10 and under \$15. The modal groups in New York City, Chicago, California, Michigan, and Minnesota and Wisconsin, varying from 32 to 54 percent, had earnings of \$15 and under \$20.

The intervals in which fell the earnings of at least 50 percent of the employees in an area, arranged in descending order according to the highest of the intervals, are as follows:

Minnesota and Wisconsin—54 percent earned \$15 and under \$20. New York City—54 percent earned \$11 and under \$20. California—52 percent earned \$13 and under \$19. Chicago—51 percent earned \$13 and under \$19. Other Illinois and Indiana—51 percent earned \$10 and under \$17. Michigan—50 percent earned \$13 and under \$17. Ohio—55 percent earned \$10 and under \$17. Philadelphia—52 percent earned \$10 and under \$17. Missouri—53 percent earned \$10 and under \$16. Missouri—53 percent earned \$10 and under \$16. New Jersey—56 percent earned \$10 and under \$16. Versey—56 percent earned \$10 and under \$15. Up—State New York—54 percent earned \$10 and under \$15. Other Pennsylvania—54 percent earned \$10 and under \$15. Tennessee, Texas, and Virginia—59 percent earned \$11 and under \$14.

The proportion of workers with relatively low or high earnings also varied considerably in the different areas. Less than one-fifth of the workers in Minnesota and Wisconsin, California, New York City, and Michigan had earnings below \$12, but in up-State New York, Connecticut, other Pennsylvania, and Missouri, from two-fifths to more than one-half of the workers had such earnings. In Ohio, other Pennsylvania, and Missouri, roughly one-fourth earned less than \$10.

From 20 to 33 percent of the workers in Chicago, Minnesota and Wisconsin, California, and New York City, earned \$20 or more. In contrast to this, only 2 percent of those in Tennessee, Texas, and Virginia, and only from 7 to 11 percent of those in Connecticut, other Pennsylvania, Massachusetts, up-State New York, Michigan, Missouri, Ohio, and New Jersey, had earnings of as much as \$20.

Table V.—Week's earnings of experienced employees in the UNDERWEAR AND NIGHTWEAR INDUSTRY, by area

	То	tal					Percent o	of employ	rees with	week's e	arnings a	as specific	ed in→				
Week's earnings	Num- ber	Per- cent	Cali- fornia	Con- necti- cut	Chi- cago	Other Illinois and Indi- ana	Mas- sachu- setts	Michigan	Min- nesota and Wis- consin	Mis- souri	New Jersey	New York City	Up- State New York	Ohio	Phila- del- phia	Other Penn- sylva- nia	Ten- nessee, Texas, and Vir- ginia
Number of firms Number of employees Average earnings }	290 21, 704 \$15. 30	100.0	16 375 \$17. 20	9 1, 454 \$13. 50	15 681 \$16. 10	4 425 \$15. 15	12 1, 453 \$14. 45	3 411 \$15, 45	4 250 \$17. 60	10 746 \$12.60	40 4, 233 \$13. 80	112 6, 184 \$18. 70	21 2, 080 \$13. 55	6 238 \$13, 45	16 596 \$15. 40	16 2, 274 \$12. 70	304 \$12, 48
	Percent of employees																
Under \$5 \$10, under \$10. \$10, under \$15. \$15, under \$20. \$20, under \$25. \$25, under \$30. \$30 and over.	604 2,727 9,153 5,573 2,043 790 814	2. 8 12. 6 42. 2 25. 7 9. 4 3. 6 3. 8	1. 9 5. 3 26. 1 40. 8 16. 5 6. 7 2. 7	2. 2 15. 8 50. 5 24. 5 4. 4 1. 4 1. 2	2. 8 10. 3 29. 8 37. 4 12. 5 4. 0 3. 2	5. 6 13. 4 40. 2 24. 2 9. 4 3. 1 4. 0	2. 0 10. 5 53. 2 25. 9 4. 6 1. 4 2. 4	2. 4 5. 4 40. 6 42. 3 4. 4 1. 7 3. 2	1. 2 6. 4 14. 0 53. 6 16. 4 4. 4 4. 0	8. 4 18. 2 49. 1 14. 9 4. 6 2. 3 2. 5	2. 6 16. 2 48. 7 21. 7 7. 6 1. 6 1. 6	1. 7 7. 5 26. 4 31. 5 16. 5 8. 1 8. 3	2. 4 15. 0 53. 9 20. 7 4. 7 1. 4 1. 8	5. 5 18. 5 42. 0 24. 4 5. 5 2. 9 1. 3	2. 3 12. 8 36. 9 29. 9 11. 1 4. 0 3. 0	5. 2 18. 3 54. 3 15. 3 4. 9 . 8 1. 2	3. 0 8. 2 77. 6 9. 2
								Cumula	tive perce	nts							
Under \$12 Under \$14 Under \$16 Under \$18	14, 134	31. 4 47. 7 65. 1 76. 0	13. 9 25. 3 43. 2 61. 9	42. 2 59. 5 76. 6 86. 9	20, 9 31, 4 51, 4 69, 0	38. 6 47. 8 63. 8 75. 8	25. 6 44. 0 76. 1 85. 8	18. 5 33. 6 63. 0 81. 8	10. 8 17. 2 36. 8 59. 6	53. 2 69. 7 80. 0 87. 3	38. 2 57. 9 74. 7 84. 2	18. 2 28. 9 43. 0 56. 0	41. 2 62. 7 78. 6 87. 3	37. 4 51. 7 73. 1 83. 6	28. 8 42. 9 61. 1 72. 5	44. 0 64. 4 83. 7 90. 8	36. 5 79. 9 93. 9 97. 4
\$18 and over \$20 and over \$22 and over	3, 647	24. 0 16. 8 11. 7	38. 1 25. 9 17. 3	13. 1 7. 0 3. 9	31. 0 19. 7 12. 6	24, 2 16, 5 11, 3	14. 2 8. 4 5. 3	18. 2 9. 2 6. 8	40. 4 24. 8 14. 4	12.7 9.4 7.0	15. 8 10. 8 6. 0	44. 0 32. 9 25. 3	12. 7 7. 9 5. 0	16. 4 9. 7 5. 5	27. 5 18. 1 10. 7	9. 2 6. 9 3. 5	2. 6 2. 6 2. 6

¹ The mean—the simple arithmetic average.