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## BUSINESS CONDITIONS IN TEE UNITTED STATES.

## (Prepared by the Federal Reserve Board.)

Industrial activity in January was in slightly smaller volume than in December, and the distribution of commodities showed a seasonal decline. The level of prices remained practically unchanged.
Production The Federal Reserve Board's index of production in selected basic industries was about one per cent. lower in January than in December. The output of iron and steel, copper and zinc increased while activity in the woolen and petroleum industries declined, and mill consumption of cotton, the cut of lumber, and bituminous coal production increased less than is usual at this season of the year. Automobile production, not included in the index was slightly smaller than in December, but considerably larger than in January, 1925. Factory employment changed but little in January but the earnings of workers decreased considerably owing to the closing of plants in most industries at the opening of the year for inventory-taking and repairs. The volume of building contracts awarded in January, although seasonally less than in December, exceeded that of any previous January on record. Contracts awarded were particularly large in the New York and Atlanta Districts.

## Trade Sales of department stores and mail order

 houses showed more than the usual seasonal decline in January, but were larger than in January of last year. Wholesale trade declined considerably and was in smaller volume than a year ago. Stocks at department stores showed more than the usual increase in January and were about 11 per cent. larger than at end of January, 1925. Freight car loadings declined in January and the daily average for the month was approximately the same as a. year earlier.
## Prices

Wholesale prices as measured by the index number of the Bureau of Labor Statistics, remained practically unchanged from December to July. By groups of commodities, prices of grains, coke, and paper and pulp increased, while dairy products, cotton goods, bituminous coal and rubber declined. In the first three weeks of February there was a decline in the prices of grains, and following the settlement of the strike in the anthracite region, a drop in the prices of bituminous coal and coke. Price advances were shown for refined sugar, copper and petroleum.

Bank Credit At member banks in leading cities the seasonal decline in the demand for credit, which began at the turn of the year, came to an end toward the close of January, and in the early part of February the volume of loans and investments at these banks increased considerably. The increase was largely in loans for commercial purposes, which after declining almost continuously from their seasonal peak in early October, advanced by more than $\$ 50,000,000$ in February.

The growth in the commercial demand for credit throughout the country, together with some increase in currency requirements, was reflected in a withdrawal of funds from the New York money market and was a factor in the increase in the demand for Reserve bank credit after the end of January. Reserve Banks' holdings of bills and securities increased by about $\$ 66,000,000$ between January 27 and February 17.

As the result of the withdrawal of funds from New York the rates on call loans became somewhat firmer in February, but commercial paper rates were slightly lower.


Inder of 28 basto commodities adjusted for seasonal variations (1919-100.) Latest ficure. January 120.


Index of Onited States Burean of Labor Statistics. (1918-100, base adopted by bureau.) Latest figure January 156.


Monthly averages of weekly figures for banks in 101 leading cities. Latest figures are averages for first weekly report dates in February.

## SIXTH DISTRICT SUMMARY.

Business statistics gathered and compiled for the Monthly Business Review continue to reflect generally favorable conditions in most instances. Wholesale and retail trade, reflected in confidential reports from department stores and wholesale firms dealing in eight different lines, and located in all parts of the district, show a larger volume of sales than was recorded for January, 1925, bank deposits, both demand and savings, are greater than a year ago, and the volume of debits to individual accounts indicates a larger volume of transactions settled by check than for the corresponding periods last year.
Where weather conditions have permitted, there has been some progress in farm work in preparation for the coming season. Farm work has been retarded in some sections, however, by wet weather.
The volume of retail trade, reported by 44 department stores, was 7.6 per cent greater in January this year than last, and stocks of merchandise were slightly smaller. Sales by wholesale firms were greater than in January, 1925, in seven of the elght lines from which reports were received. Commercial failures in the sixth district were approximately half as large as in January last year, both in number and in total liabilities. Savings deposits at the end of January, held by 91 banks in the district, were 15.2 per cent. greater than a year ago. Demand deposits on February 10 , held by 36 member banks in selected cities of the district, increased 12.6 per cent. over the corresponding report date last year, and their total loans, discounts and investment increased 18.3 per cent.
Building activity in the district continues at a high level.


Weekly rates in New York money market: commercial paper rate on 4 to 6 months paper and acceptance rate on $90-$ day paper.

In January permits were issued at 20 reporting cities for buildings to be valued at $\$ 13,931,680$, showing an increase over permits issued in January, 1925, of 79.8 per cent. Prices received by naval stores producers for both turpentine and rosin have improved during January, and are well above those prevailing at this time last year.

## RETAIL TRADE.

Sales of merchandise at retail during January exhibited a sharp decline from the high level recorded for December, because of the large volume of holiday trade, but was better than in January a year ago. Sales during January reported by 44 department stores scattered throughout the district averaged 7.6 per cent. greater than in January, 1925. Decreases at Chattanooga, Nashville and Savannah were more than offset in the general average for the district by increases reported from other cities shown in the statement. The index number of January sales, computed from figures reported by 39 of these stores, was 92.1 , and was higher than the index number for January of any year since 1920, when it was 100.1. This also applies to individual index numbers for Atlanta, Jackson and "Other Cities." The January, 1926, index for Birmingham is higher than has been recorded in January for that city, and the Now Orleans index is the highest recorded in January for that city since 1921. Stocks of merchandise at reporting department stores increased 9.1 per cent. over stocks at the end of December, but were 0.6 per cent. smaller than those on hand at the end of January, 1925. Stock turnover for January was at a rate more rapid than in January, 1925, excepting at Nashville and New Orleans. Detailed comparisons are shown below, and index numbers appear on page 8:

## CONDITION OF RETAIL TRADE DURING DECEMBER 1925 <br> IN THE SIXTH FEDERAL RESERVE DISTRICT BASED UPON REPORTS FROM 44 STORES

|  | 1 |  | 2 |  | 3 |  | 4 |  | 5 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Net sales-percentage increase or decrease compared with: |  | Stocks at end of month. percentage increase or decrease compared with: |  | Percentage of sales to average stocks in Jan. (stock turnover for the month): |  | Percentage of sales to average stocks from Jan. 1 to Jan. 31 (Stock turnover for year to date) |  | Percentage of outstanding orders at end of month to Durchases during calendar year. 1925: |  |
|  | (A) 1925 | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} (B) \\ \operatorname{Jan}^{(1)} \text { to } \\ \operatorname{Jan} .31 .1925 \end{gathered}\right.$ | (A) <br> Jan. 1925 | $\begin{gathered} \text { (B) } \\ \text { Dec. } 1925 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (A) } \\ & 1925 \end{aligned}$ | (B) 1926 | (A) | (B) 1926 | (A) | (B) Jan. |
| Atlanta (3)...- | +14.7 |  |  | $+21.1$ | 24.4 | 81.1 |  |  | 1.3 | 5.1 |
| Birmingham (5) | +7.8 |  | $-32.3$ | +3.0 | 18.8 | 21.4 |  |  | 5.6 | 4.8 |
| Ohattanooga (5). | 10.0 +11.9 | Same | -8.9 +6.6 | +4.4 +4.4 | 23.4 19.0 | 30.3 21.4 |  |  | 1.8 | 4.3 |
| Nashville (5)....... | $\underline{+5.7}$ | Sas | + | + 4.4 | 21.4 | 20.3 | Same | Same | 2.6 | 4.5 |
| New Orleans (5). | +5.2 | 1-A | +10.5 | +10.0 | 23.4 | 21.5 | 3-A | 3-B | 11.2 | 7.7 |
| Gavannah (3) - | -19.2 |  | $\underline{+13.17}$ | -2.7 | 22.9 | 25.7 |  |  | 8.0 | ${ }^{2}$ |
| Other Oities (15). | +27.0 +7.6 |  | + 6.7 +0.6 | +17.4 +9.1 | 19.6 22.2 | 24.7 23.3 |  |  | 5.6 4.7 | 6.0 5.9 |

## WHOLESALE TRADE.

Distribution of merchandise at wholesale in the sixth district during January was greater in dry goods, hardware, and shoes, than in December, but smaller total sales were shown in aggregate figures reported by firms dealing in groceries, furniture, electrical supplies, stationery and drugs. It is probable that these decreases are due, to some extent at least, to seasonal causes, as the larger volume of sales in December is no doubt due to purchases by retail merchants for the holiday trade. Compared with January a year ago, all lines reported increased sales except stationery, where there was a decrease of one-half of one per cent. The index number for January, computed from sales by firms dealing in groceries, dry goods, hardware and shoes, is 98.9, and is higher than the number for any other January since 1920. The numbers for sales of groceries, hardware and shoes are also higher than for the same month of any year since 1920.

## Groceries

January sales of groceries at wholesale, reported by 35 firms in the district, averaged three-tenths of one per cent. smaller in volume than in December. This is due to decreases reported from New Orleans and Vicksburg, as other points reported increased sales over December. Compared with January, 1925, decreases in sales at Atlanta, Meridian, New Orleans and Vicksburg were more than offset by increases at Jacksonville and Other Cities, resulting in an average increase of 1.7 per cent. Collections were reported good by 14 firms, and fair by 10. Percentage comparisons of sales are shown below:

|  | Jan. 1926 compared with: |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Atlanta ( 5 firms) | Dec. 1925 +10.8 | Jan. ${ }^{10.0}$ |
| Jacksonville (4 firms) | +1.1 | +42.1 |
| Meridian (3 firms) | $+7.1$ | -16.1 |
| New Orleans ( 8 firms) | $-5.7$ | -22.4 |
| Vicksburg (3 firms). | -15.4 | -16.1 |
| Other Cities (12 firms) | +2.5 | +9.6 |
| DISTRICT (35 firms) | $-0.3$ | + 1.7 |

Dry Goods Sales of dry goods at wholesale during January were seasonally greater than in December, averaging 12.0 per cent. in the district. Compared with January last year, increases at Jacksonville and "Other Cities' more than offset decreases reported from Atlanta and New Orleans, the average increase for the district being 9.9 per cent. The reports indicate a decided hesitancy on the part of retail merchants to place orders for any considerable period ahead. Collections were reported excellent by 1 firm, good by 3, fair by 8 , and poor by 2. Percentage comparisons are shown in the table:

|  | Jan. 1926 compared with: |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Atlanta (4 firms) | Dec. 1925 +19.8 | Jan. 1925 |
| Jacksonville (3 firms) | $+8.9$ | +43.3 |
| New Orleans (3 firms) | +11.4 | -22.2 |
| Other Cities (15 firms) | +11.9 | +10.8 |
| DISTRICT (25 firms) | +12.0 | + 9.9 |

Hardware January sales of hardware at wholesale, reported by 25 firms in the district averaged 1.4 per cent. greater than in December, increases at Atlanta and New Orleans slightly more than offsetting decreases at Jacksonville and "Other Cities." All cities shown in the statement reported increases over January, 1925. The reports indicate cautious buying on the part of retail merchants. Collections were reported good by 9 firms, and fair by 8. Percentage comparisons of sales follow:

|  | Jan. 1920 compared with: |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dec. 1925 | Jan. 1925 |
| Atianta (3 firms) ...... | +13.3 -3.1 | +13.6 +39.2 |
| New Orleans (4 firms) | +12.5 | + 4.0 |
| Other Cities ( 15 firms) | -5.0 | +35.3 |
| DISTRICT (25 firms). | +1.4 | +22.7 |

Furniture Sales in January by 17 reporting wholesale furniture dealers averaged 17.8 per cent. smaller in volume than in December, but were 13.5 per cent. greater than in January, 1925. A decrease of 5.5 per cent. at Chattanooga, compared with January a year ago, was more than offset by increases at other points. Collections were reported good by 9 firms, and fair by 5 . Percentage comparisons of sales are shown below:

|  | Jan. 1926 compared with: |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dec. 1925 | Jan. 1925 |
| Atlanta (6 firms) | -22.4 | +73.8 |
| Ohattanooga (3 firms | - 9.4 | - 5.5 |
| DISTRICT ( 17 firms). | -17.8 | +13.5 |

## Electrical

 SuppliesThe volume of sales during Tanuary reported by 10 wholesale electrical supply firms decreased 38.3 per cent. compared with December, but were still about half again as large as sales in January a year ago. The reports indicate no material change in prices during the month. Collections were reported good by 3 firms, and fair by 6 . The table shows percentage changes in sales:

| Jan. 1926 compared with: |  |
| :---: | ---: |
| Dec. 19.5 | Jan. 1925 |
| -47.7 | +82.2 |
| -21.1 | +11.7 |
| -22.3 | +47.0 |
| -38.3 | +49.8 |

In the other three lines percentage changes are shown only for the district, as three reports were not received in any of these lines from a single city. January sales by wholesale shoe firms were 1.8 per cent. greater than in December, and 18.3 per cent. greater than a year ago. Stationery sales showed decreases in both instances, and sales of drugs, while smaller than in December, were 9 per cent. greater than in January a year ago. Collections during January were reported fair by 3 wholesale shoe firms, and fair by 3 wholesale drug firms; good by 3 wholesale stationery firms, and fair by 1.

| 兂 | Jan. 1926 compared with: |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Dec. 1925 Jan. 1925 |
| Stationery ( 4 firms) | -18.4 -0.5 |
| Drugs ( 5 firms). | $-7.0-9.0$ |

## AGRICULTURE.

Weather conditions in some sections of the district have been such as to retard the progress of farm work in preparation for the coming season, but where plowing has been possible, some progress has been made. No information is yet available as to the probable acreage to be planted in cotton, or in other crops, but reports indicate that the supply of farm labor will be an important factor in this connection.

Farm Animals Statistics are compiled and published annually by the Department of Agriculture during January showing the number and value of live stock on the farms in the United States on January 1 of each year. For January, 1926, the statistics recently published show the total number of farm animals, including horses, mules, cattle, milk cows, sheep and swine, to be $173,358,000$, compared with $179,621,000$ a year ago. 'The average value per head shows a small decrease for mules, but increases in all other instances, and the total value for January, 1926, is reported as $\$ 5,001,297,000$ as compared with $\$ 4,685$,021,000 in January, 1925.

In the sixth district there was a decrease in all of the states in the total number of farm animals on January 1 , 1926, compared with a year ago, amounting to an average of 8.4 per cent. for the district. In Alabama, due to increased values of mules and cattle, there was an increase of 1.3 per cent. in the total value of farm animals compared with a year ago. In Mississippi and Tennessee lower values were reported for horses and mules, but increased values per head of cattle, swine and sheep, and in both of these states the total value of farm animals was 0.4 per cent greater than a year ago. In Florida, Georgia and Louisiana total values were reported lower than a year ago, and for the district as a whole, the aggregate value of farm animals was 2.1 per cent. smaller than a year ago.

The following figures show the values reported for the states of this district on January 1, 1926, compared with revised figures for a year ago:

|  | 1926 | 1925 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alabama | \$ 55,769,000 | \$ 55,073,000 |
| Florida | 24,613,000 | 26,058,000 |
| Georgia | 68,566,000 | 72,196,000 |
| Louisiana | 47,506,000 | 50,708,000 |
| Mississipp | 58,735,000 | 58,496,000 |
| Tennessee | 73,945,000 | 73,678,000 |
| Total. | \$329,134,000 | \$336,209,000 |

Florida Fruits Revised estimates by the Department of and Vegetables Agriculture show a probable total production of 14 million boxes of citrus fruits during the present season, of which $8 \frac{1}{2}$ million boxes will be oranges and $5 \frac{1}{2}$ million boxes grapefruit, including fruit already shipped. The Department states that the total movement to Eebruary 1 has been around 7 million boxes, and states that the crop is approximately half moved. The report states that while the lighter setting of fruit this year
has probably been the most important factor in the decreased yields, the cutting up of groves into sub-divisions, storm damage and heavy crops, in some sections have contributed to the lower crop.

The figures below, reported by Ohase \& Oo., show the citrus movement in cars 26.4 per cent. smaller through January than for the same period last season, and the car lot movement of vegetables has been less than one-third as large as for that period a year ago:

| Oltrus Fruits: | Jan. 1926 Jan. 1925 |  | Season through Jan. 1926 Jan. 1925 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Oranges- | 3,181 | 3,442 | 9,884 | 14,820 |
| Grapefrult. | 2,436 | 2,511 | 7,270 | 8,941 |
| Tangerines | 484 | 356 | 980 | 888 |
| Total. | 6,101 | 6,309 | 18,134 | 24,649 |
| Total movemen | les 911 | 4,593 | 2,017 | 6,954 |

## Cotton Movement-Sixth District.

 (Bales)|  | Jan. 1926 | Season through <br> Dec. 1925 Jan. 1925 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Recelpts: |  |  |  |
| New Orieans. | 280,775 | 387,414 | 250,987 |
| Mobile | 13,264 | 29,764 | 12,041 |
| Savannah | 48,855 | 84,892 | 42,986 |
| Atlanta | 16,389 | 30,459 | 11,382 |
| Augusta | 30,077 | 42,525 | 12,463 |
| Montgomery | 2,078 | 6,448 | 2,770 |
| Macon. | 3,716 | 5,560 | 1,882 |
| Stocks: |  |  |  |
| New Orleans. | 475,335 23,876 | 500,199 24.429 | 415,100 11.568 |
| Savannah | 92,006 | 104,642 | 67,113 |
| Atlanta | 56,526 | 60,447 | 47,321 |
| Augusta | 104,592 | 108,957 | 62,089 |
| Montgomery | 25,148 | 28,897 | 19,294 |
| Macon.....- | 24,961 | 26,286 | 8,359 |

## Cotton Movement-United States. Since August 1, (Bales)

|  | $\begin{gathered} 1926 \\ 7,451,433 \end{gathered}$ | $7,301,714$ | $5,450$ | $4,612,9$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Recelpts at all U.S. Dorts 7,451,433 7,301,714 5,450,816 4,612,90 |  |  |  |  |
| Issippi, Ohio, Poto- |  |  |  |  |
| mac Rivers to Nor. |  |  |  |  |
| Mills and Canada... | 969,977 | 873,202 | 610,709 | 54,55 |
| Interior stocks in excess |  |  |  |  |
| of those held at close |  |  |  |  |
| of Commercial year | 1,490 | 937,002 | 523,924 | 901 |
| outhern mills takings | ,975,000 | 2,649,282 | 2,516,859 | 2,807,075 |
| et total 182 day | ,887,352 | 11,761,200 | 9,102,308 | 8,95 |
| Forelgn exports. | 5,316,937 | 5,345,174 |  |  |
| *American Mills Nor. \& |  |  |  |  |
| South and Canada. | 4,824,433 | 4,322,370 |  |  |
| American cotton thus far | 9,073,000 | 8,113,000 | 7,040,000 |  |
| *Of which $1,601,829$ by Northern spinners against 1,441,042 last year and $3,222,604$ by Southern spinners against 2,881,328 last year. |  |  |  |  |

Sugar and While the estimate of the Department of Agrisugar Cane culture indicated a production of sugar in Louisiana more than twice as large as in 1924, reports indicate the grinding season has been one of the most unsatisfactory experienced. Poor sugar content of the cane early in the season delayed grinding operations; this was followed by unfavorable weather conditions during the grinding season and a shortage of labor, which, with the severe freeze near the end of the year, resulted in the loss of thousands of tons of cane which the factories were unable to grind.

| Sugar Movement. Rew Sugar (Pounds) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Jan. 1926 | Dec. 1925 | Jan. 1925 |
| Receipts: |  |  |  |
| New Orleans............- | $\begin{array}{r} 108,150,141 \\ 31,977,666 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 103,010,045 \\ 31,111,238 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 86,231,781 \\ & 38,957,403 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Meltings: |  |  |  |
| New Orleans. | 94,233,362 | 102,618,168 | 67,438,361 |
| Savannah...- | 29,085,724 | 31,111,238 | 38,957,403 |
| Stocks: New Orlean | 22,747,662 | 8,851,358 | 23,531,645 |
| Savannah.. | 2,891,942 | 8, |  |
| Refined Sugar (Pounds) |  |  |  |
|  | Jan. 1926 | Dec. 1925 | Jan. 1925 |
| Shipments: $\quad 92,550,112 \quad 80,158,695 \quad 72,408,850$ |  |  |  |
| Savannah. | 26,996,926 | 26,038,324 | 31,052,128 |
| Stocks: |  |  |  |
| New Orleans. | 24,733,465 | 30,571,181 | 6,390,290 |
| Savannah.... | 3,208,441 | 5,332,633 | 2,998,586 |
| Rice. |  |  |  |
| Bough Rice (Sacks) Port of New Orlesns. |  |  |  |

Recelipts
Shlpments
Stocks $\begin{array}{ccr}\text { Jan. 1926 } & \text { Dec. 1925 } & \text { Jan. } 1925 \\ 121,062 & 141,345 & 79,519 \\ 95,788 & 128,534 & 105,001 \\ 65,988 & 39,734 & 60,219\end{array}$

## Clean Rice (Backs) Port of New Orleans.

Recelpts of Rough Rice (Barrels).

|  | Season to |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Jan. 1926 | Jan. 31, 1926 | Jan. 31, 1925 |  |
| Association Mills.............. | 576,004 | $4,084,961$ | $4,23,359$ |  |
| New Orleans Mills............ | 121,962 | 690,948 | 842,658 |  |
| Outside Mills............... | 321,600 | $1,391,100$ | $1,729,940$ |  |
|  | $1,019,566$ |  | $6,167,009$ | $6,802,957$ |


| Distribution of Milled Rice (Pockets.) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Association Mills. | 598,278 | 2,880,953 | 3,375,648 |
| New Orleans Mills | 52,450 | -554,665 | -704,174 |
| Outside Mills. | 260,850 | 838,948 | 1,300,720 |
|  | 911,578 | 4,274,566 | 5,380,54 |


|  | Stock. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Feb. 1, 1926 | Jan. 1, 1926 | Feb. 2. 1925 |
| Association Mills.New Orleans...- | 1,274,096 | 1,318,077 | 1,047,876 |
|  | 272,458 | 191,454 | 303,211 |
| Outside Mills. | 622,000 | 636,250 | 516,140 |
|  | 2,168,554 | 2,045,781 | 1,867,227 |

## FINANCIAL.

Savings Savings deposits reported to the Federal Deposits Reserve Bank by 91 banks in the district, as of the last of January, showed an increase over the previous month of three-tenths of one per cent., notwithstanding the withdrawal of some funds after the interest payment at the end of the year. Increases were reported from Jacksonville, Nashville and "Other Cities." All cities shown in the table reported increases over January, 1925, the average for the district being 15.2 per cent. Percentage comparisons are shown in the table below:
(000 Omitted)
Comparison Compar1-

| Atlanta (7 banks) | Jan. 1925 | Dec | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ompal } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { an. } 192 \end{aligned}$ | Jan. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { mpari- } \\ & \text { on of } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | - |  | -1925 |
|  | 33,964 | \$ 35,5 | - | 32,546 |  |
| Jacksonville ( 5 banks). | 30,238 | 28,640 | +5 | 20,11 | 4 |
| Nashville (10 banks) | 25,150 | 24,898 | +1.0 | 20,674 | 7 |
| New Orleans (8 banks). | 48,053 | 48,777 | -1.5 | 47,717 | 0.7 |
| Other Cities ( 56 banks) | - 108,285 | 107,036 |  | 91,243 | 18.7 |
| Total (91 banks) | 270,612 | 269,881 | +0.3 | 234,859 | +15.2 |

Debits to The volume of debits to individual accounts Individual Accounts reported from important cities in the district continue to exceed the total figures for corresponding periods a year ago. For the week ended February 3 an increase of 20.7 per cent. was shown over the same week last year, and for the week ended February 10 the total figures show an increase of 10.9 per cent. The statement below shows debits to individual accounts by reporting cities in the district for January, 1926, compared with the preceding month, and with the corresponding month last year. The monthly figures are arrived at by prorating the figures for those weeks which do not fall entirely within a single month.

| abama: | Jan. 1926 | Dec. 1925 | Jan. 1925 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Birmingham. | \$155,109,000 | \$145,136,000 | \$153,141,000 |
| Dothan | 4,332,000 | 4,221,000 | 4,120,000 |
| Mobile | 41,072,000 | 42,408,000 | 37,562,000 |
| Montgomer | 27,069,000 | 27,975,000 | 24,776,000 |
| Florida: | 138,162,000 | 144,950,000 | 74,954,000 |
| Pensacola | 10,060,000 | 11,259,000 | 7,935,000 |
| Tampa | 108,803,000 | 110,759,000 | 52,414,000 |
| Georgia: |  |  |  |
| Atlanta | 171,890,000 | 178,530,000 | 160,295,000 |
| Augusta | 31,882,000 | 32,737,000 | 29,455,000 |
| Brunswick | 3,624,000 | 3,465,000 | 3,138,000 |
| Columbus | 15,980,000 | 15,600,000 | 15,037,000 |
| Elberton | 951,000 | 1,095,000 | 1,423,000 |
| Macon-- | 23,682,000 | 27,843,000 | 22,410,000 |
| Newnan | 2,424,000 | 2,820,000 | 3,730,000 |
| Savannah | 49,957,000 | 55,623,000 | 41,950,000 |
| Louisiana: |  |  |  |
| Lousiana: | 398,768,000 | 409,468,000 | 419,085,000 |
|  |  |  |  |
| "Jackson. Meridian | 22,159,000 |  | 18,810,000 |
| Vicksbur | 15,105,000 | 15,995,000 | 15,309,000 |
| Tennessee: |  |  |  |
| Chattanooga | 48,979,000 | 49,051,000 | 42,362,000 |
| Knoxville. | 40,095,000 | 34,955,000 | 34,662,000 |
| Naskville | 82,450,000 | 85,469,000 | 89,162,000 |
| Total 23 Cities... "Jackson figures completa. | $1,393,487,000$ <br> om totals be | 1,422,261,000 ause Decemb | $1,254,555,000$ figuresin- |

## Condition of Member Banks in Selected Cities

Weekly reports received by the Federal Reserve Bank from 36 member banks located in Atlanta, New Orleans, Birmingham, Jacksonville, Nashville, Chattanooga, Knoxville and Savannah, show an increase of $\$ 537,000$ in the volume of loans and discounts on February 10, compared with January 6. Discounts secured by Government obligations increased \$2,229,000 during this period, but there were decreases in loans secured by stocks and bonds, and "Other Loans." Investment holdings of United States securities, and of other stocks and bonds declined somewhat during this period, and total loans, discounts and investments were $\$ 3,233,000$ smaller than a month ago. There were also declines in time and demand deposits, and rediscounts at the Federal Reserve Bank declined from $\$ 24,720,000$ on January 6 to $\$ 13,908,000$ on February 10. Compared with figures for February 11, 1925, the total of discounts by these banks on February 10, this year, showed an increase of $\$ 72,105,000$, and, with increased investment holdings, the total of loans, discounts and investments showed an increase of $\$ 96$, 068,000.

## Member Banks in Selected Cities.

(000 Omiltted.)
Feb. 10, Jan. 6,

|  | Feb. 10, 1926 | Jan. 6, 1926 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Feb. 11, } \\ 1925 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bills Discounted: |  |  |  |
| Secured by Govt. Obligations | \$ 7,595 | \$ 5,366 | \$ 7,453 |
| Secured by Stocks and Bonds | 98,003 | 98,176 | 72,894 |
| All Others | 421,110 | 422,629 | 374,256 |
| Total Discounts | 526,708 | 526,171 | 454,603 |
| U. S. Securities. | 43,240 | 45,030 | 30,228 |
| Other Stocks and Bonds--------- | 52,410 | 54,390 | 41,459 |
| Total Loans, Discounts and In- |  |  |  |
|  | 622,358 | 625,591 | 526,290 |
| Time Deposits | 217,466 | 219,923 | 189,950 |
| Demand Deposits | 368,312 | 370,490 | 327,182 |
| Accommodation at F. R. Bank.-- | 13,908 | 24,720 | 2,987 |

Operations of During the five week period between Janthe Federal uary 13 and February 17 there was an inReserve Bank crease of $\$ 5,893,000$ in Federal Reserve bank discounts for member banks in the sixth district. United States securities owned, however, declined $\$ 2,286,000$ during this period, and there was a decrease of $\$ 28,066,000$ in holdings of bills bought in the open market, resulting in a decrease of $\$ 24,460,000$ in the total amount of bills and securities held. Cash reserves increased $\$ 31,633,000$ during this time. Since the weekly statement on December 23rd there has been a gradual withdrawal of Federal Reserve Notes from circulation, the decrease amounting on February 17 , to $\$ 15,868,000$. Holdings of bills bought in the open market have also declined from the high point of $\$ 76,045,241$ on December 30 to $\$ 28,491,559$ on February 17. The reserve ratio on February 17 was 72.0 , compared with 59.9 five weeks earlier, and with the low point for 1925, 49.9, reached on December 23rd. Compared with figures for the corresponding report date a year ago, increases are shown in discounts, United States securities owned, and in holdings of bills bought in the open market, and on February 17 total bills and securities amounted to $\$ 70,880,000$ compared with $\$ 21,067,000$ on February 18, 1925. Reserves are $\$ 7,265,000$ lower than a year ago, and increases are shown in both deposits and note circulation over that time. Important items in the weekly statement, with comparisons are shown below:

## Federal Reserve Bank. (000 Omitted.)

Feb. 17, Jan. 13, Feb. 18,

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Feb. } 17, \\ 1926 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jan. } 13, \\ 1926 \end{gathered}$ | Feb. 18, $1925$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bills Disounted: |  |  |  |
| Secured by Govt. Obligations. | \$ 4,436 | \$ 3,910 | \$ 504 |
| All Others. | 22,022 | 16,656 | 11,183 |
| Total Discounts | 26,459 | 20,566 | 11,688 |
| Bills bought in open market | 28,492 | 56,558 | 5,514 |
| U. S. Securities .-...--- | 15,643 | 17,929 | 3,403 |
| Total Bills and Securities | 70,880 | 95,340 | 21,067 |
| Cash Reserves. | 177,695 | 146,062 | 184,960 |
| Total Deposits...-...---.-.----- | 88,084 | 82,425 | 69,652 |
| F. R. Notes in actual circulation | 158,773 | 161,312 | 140,458 |
| Reserve Ratio........................ | 72.0 | 59.9 | 88.0 |

Commercial According to statistics compiled and publishFailures ed by R. G. Dun \& Co., commercial failures in the United States during January, 1926, numbered 2,296, compared with 2,317 for January a year ago, and liabilities totaled $\$ 43,661,444$ compared with $\$ 54,354,032$ for January last year. Figures for the sixth district show that January failures numbered only slightly more than one-half those in January last year, and liabilities were Digitized appreximately half those reported for that month.

| District | Number Liabilities Liabilities |  |  | Liabilities Jan. 1925 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Jan. 19 | 6 Jan. 1926 | Dec. 1925 |  |
| Boston | 211 | \$ 6,691,046 | \$ 4,994,177 | \$3,742,645 |
| New York | 466 | 8,636,584 | 7,521,099 | 20,028,016 |
| Philadelphia | 56 | 1,348,759 | 2,649,331 | 1,747,846 |
| Oleveland | 244 | 5,581,160 | 4,338,840 | 4,937,059 |
| Richmond | 182 | 3,516,504 | 3,596,748 | 4,029,401 |
| Atlanta- | 80 | 1,355,434 | 1,206,227 | 2,750,320 |
| Chicago | 316 | 8,180,404 | 5,467,071 | 9,753,298 |
| St. Louis | 136 | 2,116,266 | 1,323,752 | 433,149 |
| Minneapolis |  | 1,298,783 | 1,414,078 | 1,095,724 |
| Kansas City | 147 | 1,318,728 | 1,176,400 | 1,678,389 |
| Dallas | 108 | 1,457,716 | 608,088 | 1,312,836 |
| San Francisco | 237 | 2,130,060 | 2,232,349 | 2,845,349 |
| Total United | -2,296 | \$43,661,444 | \$36,528,160 | \$ $\$ 54,354,032$ |

## IMPORTS AND EXPORTS.

## United States

Preliminary figures compiled and released by the United States Department of Commerce for January show that increased imports and decreased exports during the month resulted in an excess of 15 million dollars in the amount of imports over exports. January exports amounted to 399 millions of dollars, a decrease of over 69 million dollars compared with the month before, and nearly $47 \frac{1}{2}$ millions smaller than in January, 1925. Imports increased more than 17 millions over December, and were nearly 68 millions greater than in January last year. For the seven months ending with January, exports have totaled $\$ 2,945,492,856$, compared with $\$ 2,947$,704,335 for the same period a year ago, and imports have totaled $\$ 2,577,522,820$, compared with $\$ 2,106,536,977$ during the same period a year ago. Preliminary figures for January, with corrected figures for December, and comparisons with those months a year ago, are shown below:

```
Imports:
    January
        (1925-24)
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Januaryber (1925-24) } \\
& \text { Decemb }
\end{aligned}
\]
```

```
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Seven months ending with January } 2,577,522,820 \quad 2,106,536,977 \\
& \text { sports: }
\end{aligned}
\]
Exports:
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { January. } \\
& \text { December }
\end{aligned}
\]
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Danuary } \\
& \text { December (1925-24) } \\
& \text { Seven months endi }
\end{aligned}
\]
4)
\[
399,000,000 \$ \$
\] 468,270,706 \(446,443,088\)
\(445,748,393\)
Seven months ending with January \(2,945,492,856 \quad 2,947,704,335\)
```

Imports Notwithstanding decreases in some important Now Orleans commodities, the total value of merchandise imported during November, 1925, (the latest month for which detailed figures are available), exceeded the total for November a year earlier by $\$ 752,308$, and was the largest total record for November in more than ten years, with the exception of the year 1919. Decreases in volume and value, compared with November, 1924, were shown in coffee, petroleum, gasoline, sisal, sugar, newsprint paper, and sodium nitrate, while increases were shown in burlap, bananas, mahogany and molasses. Principal items imported in November were:

|  | Volume | Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Coffee, pounds | 31,920,219 | \$6,546,262 |
| Burlap, pounds | 7,083,744 | 953,517 |
| Petroleum, gallons | 28,545,946 | 861,947 |
| Gasoline, gallons | 9,072,126 | 915,042 |
| Creosote Oil, gallons | 3,498,225 | 473,363 |
| Sisal, tons. | 4,198 | 730,615 |
| Bananas, bunches | 1,450,931 | 746,576 |
| Mahogany, feet | 4,420,000 | 374,103 |
| Sugar, pounds | 3,085,328 | 106,710 |
| Molasses, gallons | 9,069,272 | 536,695 |
| Newsprint paper, pounds. | 5,865,674 | 173,329 |
| Sodium Nitrate, tons. | 6,823 | 500,793 |

The total value of imports through New Orleans for the month of November for preceding years is shown for comparison:

| November 1925 | ,834,047 | November 1921..... ${ }^{\text {6 }}$ 6,788,624 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| November 1924 | -14,081,739 | November 1920.....- 8,317,750 |
| November 1923. | 12,820,974 | November 1919.....-20,670,304 |
| November 1922 | 10,609,085 |  |

Exports

## New Orleans

 The total value of merchandise exported through the port of New Orleans during November, 1925, was $\$ 52,085,204$, compared with $\$ 48,448,750$ for the corresponding month a year earlier. Declines in some commodities were more than offset by increases in others. Some of the larger items exported in November, 1925, were:

Grain Exports Grain exports through the port of New Orleans during January show a decrease of $1,031,800$ bushels when compared with January, 1925. Corn exports were larger than last year but a substantial decrease is shown in wheat and oats.

The following table is given for comparison:
Grain Exports.


## BUILDING.

Building permits were issued during Januray, 1926, at twenty reporting cities in the sixth district to the value of $\$ 13,931,680$. This total is nearly three million dollars smaller than the total for December, but exceeds the aggregate of permits issued at the same cities in January, 1925, by 79.8 per cent. The index number for January is 386.4 , compared with 461.3 for December, and with 214.9 for January, 1925. Fourteen of these cities reported increases over January a year ago, while only six reported decreases. Florida cities continue to report gains over a year ago, but at Jacksonville, Miami and Tampa the value of permits in January was considerably smaller than in December, and noticeable gains over January, 1925, were reported from Knoxville, Nashville, Savannah and Anniston.
Detalled figures, with percentage comparisons for January, are shown in the table below, and index numbers for Atlanta and the branch Federal Reserve Bank cities in this district appear on page 8.

| 保 | Jan. 1926 |  | Percentage |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | No. | Janiue | in Value |
| Alabama: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Anniston... | 25 | \$ 170,150 | 16 | \$ 32,200 | +428.4 |
| Birmingham | 470 | 1,252,826 | 439 | 1,733,815 | $-27.7$ |
| Mobile | 56 | 71,136 | 83 | 120,320 | - 40.9 |
| Montgomery | 89 | 48,595 | 74 | 72,476 | -33.0 |
| Florida: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jackson | 373 | 1,383,555 | 246 | 374,467 | $+269.5$ |
| Miami | ,235 | 3,431,350 | 339 | 1,058,631 | $+224.1$ |
| Orlando | 270 | 648,255 | 184 | 284,067 | $+128.2$ |
| Pensacola | 59 | 144,525 | 53 | 34,061 | +324.3 |
| Tampa. | 786 | 1,864,175 | 499 | 765,382 | +143.6 |
| *Lakeland | 206 | 1,329,500 | 101 | 169,640 | $+683.7$ |
| Georgla: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Atlanta | 261 | $1,483,998$ 36,165 | $\begin{gathered} 298 \\ 61 \end{gathered}$ | $1,463,667$ 46,181 | $\pm 21.4$ |
| Columbu | 42 | 45,445 | 36 | 24,535 | + 85.2 |
| Macon. | 131 | 78,173 | 132 | 150,804 | $-48.2$ |
| Savannah | 66 | 213,125 | 32 | 44,350 | +380.6 |
| Louisiana: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alexandria. | 78 |  | 100 | 146,166 | $-33.3$ |
| Tennessee: 210 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Johnson City | ${ }^{6}$ | 34,200 | 18 | 29,975 | +14.1 |
| Knoxville. | 204 | 1,335,498 | 165 | 312,642 | +327.2 |
| Nashville. | 136 | 627,338 | 193 | 258,273 | +142.9 |
| Total 20 Cities | 4,725 | \$13,931,680 | 3,257 | \$ 7,750,315 | +79.8 |
| Index No. |  | 386.4 |  | 214.9 |  |

## LUMBER.

Preliminary figures received by the Southern Pine Association up to the middle of February from 124 subscribing mills show a volume of orders for January amounting to $296,854,730$ feet. This total of orders for January was 5 per cent greater than the production by these reporting mills, and exceeded their January shipments by 6.5 per cent. It was, however, 4.1 per cent smaller than the computed normal monthly production of these mills. Shipments by these 124 reporting mills during January amounted to $278,708,-$ 420 feet, and was 1.4 per cent smaller than production, and was 10 per cent smaller than their normal monthly production. Actual production in January by these reporting mills amounted to $282,657,113$ feet, 8.7 per cent smaller than the normal production of these mills. Stocks on hand at the end of January, reported by these 124 mills, amounted to $753,978,418$ feet, and were 7.4 per cent smaller than normal stocks for these mills, but were approximately three times the volume of unfilled orders on hand at the end of the month. Unfilled orders totaled 249,904,200 feet, and were 15.8 per cent smaller than the volume of orders booked during January, 10.3 per cent smaller than their shipments, 11.6 per cent smaller than their actual production, and 19.3 per cent smaller than their normal monthly production.

The latest weekly report of running time issued by the Southern Pine Association, (for week ending February 12), shows that of 117 mills which reported, 99 operated $5 \frac{1}{2}$ days Digitizerfultime, rand of this number 19 reported overtime aggre-
gating 765 hours, or an average of $40 \frac{1}{4}$ hours overtime each for the week.
The Annual Trade Barometer for the year 1925, issued by the Southern Pine Association, shows that for 113 identical mills which reported for each of the 52 weeks in the year, actual production for the year was 8.04 per cent below normal; orders exceeded production by 2.42 per cent, and shipments exceeded production by 2.36 per cent.

Preliminary figures for January, with comparisons, are shown in the table:

|  | Jan. 1926 | Dec. 1925 | Jan. 1925 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Orders | (124 millis) | (127 mills) | (131 mills) |
| Shipmerts. | 278,708,420 | 282,519,153 | 278,132,762 |
| Production | 2,657,113 | 275,349,514 | 305,910,912 |
| Normal production these mills | 309,665,415 | 294,821,138 | 308,978,683 |
| Stock erd of month | 753,978,418 | 735,686 ,993 | 711,116,972 |
| Normal stocks these mills | 814,465,834 | 783,723,049 |  |
| ld | 249,904,200 | 229,814,020 | 8,497, |

## TEXTILES.

Cotton The consumption of lint cotton in the United Consumption States during January, 1926, according to the Census Bureau's statement, amounting to 583,192 bales, exceeding consumption in December, 1925, by one per cent., but was 1.8 per cent. smaller than consumption in January a year ago. Stocks of lint cotton in consuming establishments increased 5.4 per cent. in January over December, and were 25.6 per cent. greater than a year ago. Stocks in public storage and at compresses declined 7.7 per cent. in January compared with December, but were 34.1 per cent. greater than a year ago. Exports in January were 23.8 fer cent smaller than in December, and were 30.3 per cent smaller than in January, 1925. There was a decline of 197,718 in the number of active spindles in January compared with December, and a decline of 517,402 compared with January last year.

For the cotton-growing states, the statement shows an increase of 3.1 per cent in January consumption over December, and an increase of 1.8 per cent over January, 1925. Stocks in consuming establishments were 1.7 per cent larger than a month ago, and 26.5 per cent greater than a year ago, and stocks in public storage and at compresses, while 8.2 per cent smaller than in December, were 38 per cent greater than a year ago. Active spindles declined 14,776 compared with December, but numbered 226,150 more than in January, 1925.

United States.

| Cotton Consumed: | Jan. 1926 | Dec. 1925 | Jan. 1925 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lint | 583,192 | 577,271 | 594,010 |
| Stocks: In Consuming Establishments: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Lint | 1,811,392 | 1,717,972 | 1,441,699 |
| Linters ----------------- | 159,875 | 135,448 | 137,367 |
| Lint. | 5,175,83 | 608 | 860,333 |
| Linter | 69,588 | 50,723 | 57,953 |
| Exports | 749,967 | 984,061 | ,076,075 |
| Imports | 62,061 | 34,474 | 54,822 |
| Active Spindles | 32,803,156 | 33,000,874 | 33,320,558 |
| Cotton Growing States. |  |  |  |
| Cotton Consumed | Jan. 1926 412,242 | $\underset{399,908}{\text { Dec. } 1925}$ | Jan. 1925 404,868 |
|  |  |  |  |
| In Consuming Establishments | 1,122,299 | 1,104,001 | 887,497 |
| In Public Storage and at | 4 | 5,389,070 | 3,582,245 |
| Active Spindles. | 17,176,666 | 17,191,442 | 16,950,516 |

Cotton Cloth A decrease in production during January is shown in figures reported by cotton mills in the sixth district, which produced during the month 26,887,000 yards of cloth. This output was 11.3 per cent below the production by the same mills in December, and 11.5 per cent smaller than their output in January a year ago. January shipments exceeded those in December by 6 per cent, but decreases are shown in orders booked, unfilled orders, and in stocks on hand. Compared with January a year ago, stocks were 9.9 per cent larger, but production, shipments and orders showed decreases. Percentage comparisons are shown below:

|  | Jan. 1926 compared with: |
| :---: | :---: |
| Production. | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { Dec. } 1925 & \text { Jan. } 1925 \\ -11.3 \end{array}$ |
| Shipments | +6.0 -12.1 |
| Orders booked- | -27.3 |
| Unfilled orders. | 2.5 |
| Number on payroil | +2.2 |

Cotton Yarn January reports received from yarn mills in the district which during the month produced $8,287,000$ pounds of yarn, show a decrease of 0.8 per cent compared with December, and 6.8 per cent compared with

January, 1925. Shipments, orders booked, and stocks on hand showed increases over December, but unfilled orders reported were in smaller volume. Compared with January a year ago, production, shipments and unfilled orders were smaller, but orders booked and stocks on hand were greater. Comparisons are shown in the table:

| Jan. 1926 compared with: |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Dec. 1925 | Jan. 1925 |
| +0.8 | -6.8 |
| +6.1 | -6.3 |
| +102.9 | +48.6 |
| +4.1 | +13.7 |
| +0.6 | -4.4 |

Overalls.
Production by reporting overall plants during January exceeded their December output by 10.4 per cent, and was 23.8 per cent greater than their output in January a year ago. Stocks and unfilled orders were greater than a month ago, but orders were booked in smaller volume. Stocks and orders booked were greater than a year ago, but unfilled orders were 2 per cent smaller.

| Jan. 1926 compared with: |  |
| :---: | ---: |
| Dec. 11925 | Jan. 1925 |
| +10.4 | +23.8 |
| +1.1 | +51.8 |
| -35.7 | +44.7 |
| +34.4 | -2.0 |
| +1.7 | +13.6 |

Brick.
January production at reporting brick plants was 19 per cent smaller than in December, but stocks, orders and unfilled orders were reported in larger volume. Compared with January, 1925, increases are shown in all items reported.

|  | Jan. 1926 compared with: |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dec. 1925 | Jan. 1925 |
| Brick manufactured | - 19.0 | +16.7 |
| Brick on hand | +30.5 | +111.0 |
| Orders booked | $+178.2$ | +18.2 |
| Unfilled orders. | +16.9 | $+167.1$ |
| Number on payrol | + 9.7 | + 5.5 |

## Hosiery

Figures reported to the Census Bureau by 35 identical establishments in the sixth district, show increases in January over December in production, shipments, stocks on hand, orders received during the month and unfilled orders on hand at the end of the month, and a decrease in cancellations, as indicated in the table below :


According to statistics compiled and published weekly by the United States Geological Survey, the production of bituminous coal in the United States has been maintained at a high level since early in January, but the output in the last two weeks of January and the first week in February was not equal to that attained for the weeks ending Jan uary 9 th and 16th. The statement of the Geological Survey indicates that the peak of production in the coal year, which begins April 1 and ends March 31, was reached for the week ending January 16, as production heretofore has gradually declined from that time on through March. It will be noted from the figures shown below that production this year has continued to exceed the output for corresponding weeks a year ago. The table also includes current figures for production in Alabama and Tennessee.
The production of bituminous coal during the present coal year through February 6 (262 days) amounts to $460,-$ 149,000 tons, approximately 14 per cent greater than during the corresponding period of the year 1924-25.

| Week Ended | 1926 | 1925 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| January 9 | 13,031,000 | 12,590,000 |
| January 16 | 13,069,000 | 12,044,000 |
| January 23. | 12,431,000 | 11,588,000 |
| January 30 | 12,563,000 | 11,073,000 |
| February 6 | 12,134,000 | 10,910,000 |
|  | Alabama | Tennessee |
| January 9 | 502,000 | 129,000 |
| January 16 | 504,000 | 132,000 |
| January 23 | 475,000 | 123,000 |
| January 30 | 516,000 | 132,000 |

Statistics complied and published by the Iron Age indicate a gain in total production, and in the daily average output Digitized of pigiron during January, although there was a decrease http://fraser.stlouisfed.org/

## MONTHLY INDEX NUMBERS.

The following index numbers, except where indicated otherwise, are computed by the Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta, and are based upon average figures for 1919. That is, average monthly figures for the year 1919 are represented by 100, and the current monthly index numbers show the relation of activity in these lines to that prevailing in 1919.


