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There has been a noticeable improvement in general conditions during the month just ended, and progress is being made toward that condition now popularly termed "Normaly".

Unemployment appears less prevalent than during the early part of January, as a result of the resumption of operations by cotton mills and other manufacturing indus. tries. Prices on some lines have about reached a point where more buyers will be brought into the market to replenish low stocks on hand.

## RETAIL AND WHOLESALE TRADE.

Measured in terms of dollars, sales by reporting Department Stores in the Sixth Federal Reserve District show decreases during January 1921 compared with those in January 1920. Reporting stores in New Orleans and Birmingham show decreases of $1.6 \%$ and $3.6 \%$ respectively, while stores in Atlanta, Jacksonville, and Nashville show decreases of $29.1 \%, 17.9 \%$, and $21.3 \%$ respectively. The weighted per cent of decrease for the District is $9.0 \%$.

Stocks of merchandise on hand at the end of January in all reporting cities are lower than for the same date in 1920, the weighted average for the District being $11.4 \%$. New Orleans stores show an increase of $6.2 \%$ in stocks compare with those on hand at the end of December 1920; other cities show decreases ranging from $1.0 \%$ at Jacksonville to $16.9 \%$ at Nashville.

In the four reporting lines of wholesale trade decreases are shown by all cities, except Augusta, in amount of sales during January 1921 compared with sales during January 1920. The average decrease in wholesale groceries for the District was $36.7 \%$, in wholesale dry goods $41.0 \%$, in wholesale hardware $38.7 \%$, and in wholesale shoes $59.6 \%$.
in sales by wholesale grocery firms during January compared with their busniess for the preceding month. That improvement in the other reporting lines has taken place, is indicated by the fact that sales during January were larger in a number of instances than were those in December. The most noticeable improvement is shown in dry goods, in which all reporting cities, except Tampa, showed increases, the resulting average being $35.1 \%$ for the District. Decreases in wholesale hardware sales in Birmingham, Jacksonville, New Orleans, and Tampa were more than offset by increases in Atlanta and Nashville, the average for the District being $8.4 \%$.

The District average increase in wholesale shoe sales in January over December was $6.1 \%$, decreases in Birmingham and New Orleans being offset by increases in Atlanta, Jacksonville, and Nashville.

The tendency in all four reporting wholesale lines is to buy only for immediate requirements and only such goods as are needed by both wholesalers and retailers.

## AGRICULTURE.

Reports from different sections of Georgia indicate that the weather has not, so far this winter, been cold enough to kill the boll weevil, and that many of the insects are to be found in old stalks and bolls. This is true in regard to other States in the District, all of which have experienced very mild weather throughout January and early February. The mild weather has caused sap to rise and in certain sections peach trees are already in bloom.

It is too early to form an estimate as to the probable cotton acreage for the coming season. There is a very active campaign being carried on, looking to a decrease as compared to last year, and diversification to a greater degree is constantly being urged.

Results are shown of investigations conducted by the

Agricultural Statisticians of the Department of Agriculture, into the numbers and value of livestock on farms in the States of the District, on January 1, 1921, compared with the same date in 1920.

| ALABAMA : | 1921 | 1920 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Value | umber | Val |
| orses ................ 158,000 | \$ 14,062,000 | 158,000 | \$ 20,224,000 |
| Mules .................. 322,000 | 36,064,000 | 316,000 | 54,036,000 |
| Milk cows ......... 507,000 | 20,280,000 | 502,000 | 28,614,000 |
| Other cattle ...... 791,000 | 11,865,000 | 842,000 | 19,282,000 |
| Sheep ....-............ 123,000 | 529,000 | 137,000 | 767,000 |
| Hogs ................. 1,861,000 | 18,610,000 | 2,190,000 | 28,173,000 |
| Poultry ...............382,000 | 3,928,000 | 5,085,000 | 1,781,000 |
| Total value . | \$105,338,000 |  | \$152,877,000 |

The net weight of beef going into consumption from the farms of the State is estimated at $113,760,000$ pounds; the production being 119.8 per cent of the consumption. The ratio of production to consumption last year was 125 per cent.

The consumption of pork in the State during 1920 is estimated at $278,000,000$ pounds; the net weight of poik going into consumption from the farms at $257,697,000$ pounds; the production being 92.3 per cent of the consumption. The raito in 1919 was 88 per cent.

The number of brood sows on hand January 1 is estimated at 240,000 , compared with 286,000 last January (1920), the decrease being due to the sales of sows at high prices last spring. The general decrease in hogs on hand is due to heavy sales and slaughters.

The numbers and value of livestock on the farms in Florida January 1, 1921, and January 1, 1920, are shown in the following table:

|  | 1921 |  | 1920 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FLORIDA: | Number | Value | umber | Va |
| Horses | 58,000 | \$ 7,134,000 | 60,000 | \$ 8,400,000 |
| Mules | 40,000 | 6,680,000 | 40,000 | 7,840,00 |
| Milk cows | 156,000 | 11,544,000 | 156,000 | 11,232,00C |
| Other cattle | 917,000 | 19,807,000 | 945,000 | 25,798,000 |
| Sheep | 89,000 | 320,000 | 95,000 | 494,000 |
| Swine | 1,493,000 | 14,930,000 | 1,588,000 | 20,644,000 |
| Total value. |  | \$ 60,415,000 |  | \$ 74,408,000 |

The steadily increasing number of milk cows in Florida was checked in 1920. The same number is reported for January 1921 as for the previous year. This is also true in the case of mules, and decreases were shown in all other kinds of livestock, the heaviest rate being in swine.

In Georgia all kinds of farm animals, except milk cows show a falling off in number. The increase in the number
of milk cows is about 2 per cent. The number of sheep continues to decrease, as in previous years.

The number and value of farm animals in the State January 1, 1921, compared with the same date of the previous year, follows:

|  | 1921 |  | 1920 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GEORGIA: | Number | Value | Number | Value |
| Horses | 132,000 | \$ 14,784,000 | 132,00 | \$ 20,988,00C |
| Mules | 347,000 | 53,091,000 | 351,000 | 75,816,000 |
| Milk cows | 470,000 | 21,150,000 | 461,000 | 29,965,000 |
| Other cattle ...... | 763,000 | 15,260,000 | 771,000 | 20,971,000 |
| Sheep | 119,000 | 487,900 | 125,000 | 612,000 |
| Swine | ,102,000 | 35,673,000 | 3,165,000 | 53,488,000 |
| Total value ... |  | \$140,445,900 |  | \$201,840,000 |

The number of domestic animals on Louisiana farms January 1 is estimated at $2,943,000$ head, compared with $3,124,000$ head one year ago, showing a decrease in number of about 6 per cent. Horses, sheep and swine show a falling off in numbers; cows show an increase of about 1 per cent; and the number of mules and other cattle is reported the same as last year.

Following is tabulated statement with comparison:

| LOUISIANA : | 1921 | 1920 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Value | Number | Value |
| Horses ................ 211,000 | \$ 17,724,000 | 215,000 | \$ 23,005,000 |
| Mules .-.......-........ 166,000 | 23,240,000 | 166,000 | 27,224,000 |
| Milk cows .......... 382,000 | 19,864,000 | 378,000 | 25,326,000 |
| Other cattle ........ 725,000 | 16,022,500 | 725,000 | 21,242,000 |
| Sheep .................. 209,000 | 794,200 | 220,000 | 1,188,000 |
| Swine .-................1,250,000 | 14,625,000 | 1,420,000 | 20,306,000 |
| Total value | \$ 92,269,700 |  | \$118,291,0 |

In Mississippi all farm animals, except milk cows, showed decreases in numbers on January 1, 1921, compared with January 1,1920 , the number of milk cows showing no change. The following table shows the number of animals on farms in the State on the date mentioned:

|  | 1921 | 1920 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MISSISSIPPI: Number | Value | Number | Value |
| Horses ................. 256,000 | \$ 22,272,000 | 260,760 |  |
| Mules ................ 312,000 | 37,128,000 | 321,810 |  |
| Cattle (all) ........1,251,000 | 36,425,000 | 1,287,000 |  |
| Sheep ................. 149,000 | 491,700 | 175,000 |  |
| Swine ................1,783,000 | 16,938,000 | 2,050,000 |  |
| Total value ........... | \$113,254,700 |  | 166,38 |

A slight decrease in numbers of all livestock on farms in Tennessee is shown in the Department of Agriculture's state-
ment. The heaviest decline is noted in swine, the number being 282,000 less than a year ago. The reduction in prices of both sheep and wool has caused many farmers to dispose of their sheep, and a decline of about six per cent took place in the State. Both milk cows and other cattle show decreases, milk cows 1 per cent, and other cattle 5 per cent. The slump in prices of beef cattle last year and the high prices of feed are given as the principal causes. A reduction of 1 per cent is shown in mules and 2 per cent in horses, compared with the same date last year.

The following table shows the number and value of animals on farms in Tennessee January 1, 1921, figures in detail for 1920 not being available:

|  | 1921 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TENNESSEE: | Number | Value |
| Horses | 312,000 | \$ 27,080,000 |
| Mules | . 349,000 | 37,692,000 |
| Milk cows | . 410,000 | 20,090,000 |
| Other cattle | . 710,000 | 14,839,000 |
| Sheep | . 343,000 | 1,920,000 |
| Swine | .1,550,000 | 14,725,000 |
| Total value |  | \$116,346,000 |

The aggregate value of farm stock in the State is estimated to be $\$ 45,156,000$ less than on the January 1,1920 , or a reduction in value of about 28 per cent.

## SUGAR (Louisiana)

Sugar cane used for seed is generally in good condition, and planting operations are in full progress. Fall planted cane is doing well. The new Cuban sugar crop has begun to move, but slowly, and is smaller than has been anticipated, due to a decrease of from 15 to 20 per cent of the sugar content of the cane, and the late start in grinding. The Cuban crop is estimated at from three million to three and one-half million tons.

## RICE (Louisiana)

A slight improvement has been noticed in the rice market, attributed party to the determination to convert all donations to the Armenian Relief Fund from the four Southern riceproducing States into rice shipments. This is expected to aggregate about 500,000 bags, of which 100,000 have been shipped. The export rice trade has been fairly active, and this is another cause for improvement.

## IMPORTS AND EXPORTS-NEW ORLEANS.

Imports at New Orleans for the month of December 1920 totalled $\$ 9,138,561$, as compared with $\$ 12,997,097$ in December 1919. The decline is attributed to the unprecedented decline in the price of coffee, sisal, and sugar, three of the leading imports.

Following is a list of the principal articles imported during December 1920:

| Commodity | Volume | Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Coffee | 29,216,304 lbs. | \$2,921,292 |
| Sugar ........ | . 14,769,278 lbs. | 1,788,078 |
| Burlaps | .12,599,000 lbs. | 1,153,493 |
| Mineral Oil .. | . $53,439,120$ gals. | 651,757 |
| Bananas .......... | 1,259,270 bunches | 535,423 |
| Crude bones ... | . $30,750,821 \mathrm{lbs}$. | 305,648 |
| Nitrate of Soda | 10,930 tons | 479,465 |
| Sisal | 3,547 tons | 394,075 |
| Cocoanuts ....... | . 3,165,255 | 115,554 |
| Mahogany ...... | .. 2,756,000 ft. | 308,935 |
| Molasses ......... | . 5,405,506 gals. | 121,624 |

The following table shows the value of imports at New Orleans for the month of December, for the years indicated, and for the total year's business of the respective years:

|  | Month of December | For the Year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1920 | . $9,138,561$ | \$277,265,260 |
| 1919 | 12,997,097 | 177,286,076 |
| 1918 | 5,933,513 | 124,296,869 |
| 1914 | 4,032,652 | 79,876,065 |
| 1910 | 5,687,759 | 61,158,215 |

Exports from New Orleans during December 1920 totalled $\$ 69,672,490$, compared with $\$ 71,239,874$ for December 1919. Exports and imports for the year 1920 were the largest in the history of the port, in spite of declines in the value of all freight. Exports for the year totalled $\$ 712,877,774$, while imports, as indicated above, were valued at $\$ 277,265,266$, showing a total for the two items of $\$ 990,143,040$. The value of imports exceeded those of the previous year by approximately $\$ 100,000,000$.

Following is a statement by months of exports and imports at New Orleans for the year 1920:

| Month | Exports | Imports |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| January | . $\$ 73,050,169$ | \$19,081,631 |
| February | 59,516,712 | 15,401,869 |
| March | 62,535,478 | 28,249,555 |
| April | 63,218,836 | 30,831,682 |
| May | 43,465,185 | 28,469,606 |
| June | . 36,974,942 | 31,384,171 |
| July | . 66,064,517 | 34,087,935 |
| August | 57,166,906 | 38,281,152 |
| September | . $66,871,305$ | 21,820,271 |
| October | 63,683,296 | 12,201,083 |
| November | 54,657,938 | 8,317,750 |
| December | 69,672,490 | 9,138,561 |

Exports to Mexico through New Orleans have increased from $\$ 1,050,000$ last June to $\$ 4,436,933$ for December, the increase being attributed to a more stabilized government in Mexico, and the fact that there is no financial or credit stringency there.

Discontinuance of the Cuban Moratorium, and an arrangement of a special graduated schedule for liquidating existing bills collectible, have been announced. During the existence of the moratorium New Orleans exports to Cuba were reduced to a minimum. Freight congestion in the port of Havana is reported improving, and normal sailings are to be resumed shortly.

## FINANCIAL.

The demand for funds throughout the District has continued fairly heavy. Increases in the demand are reported from Mississippi, Tennessee, and parts of Georgia, where preparations for the crop season are actually under way.

Interest rates range from 6 to 8 per cent; 8 per cent prevailing in a majority of cases, as few loans are now being made at less than the legal rate.

The volume of loans and discounts during January 1921 average from 10 to 20 per cent larger in Alabama, as much as 50 per cent larger in one instance in Florida, from 10 to 30 per cent larger in Georgia, and about 25 per cent larger in Louisiana, than during January 1920.

Deposits subject to check range considerably lower during January 1921 than in the same month last year. The decrease is more noticeable in the smaller banks than in the larger city banks. Savings deposits are larger than for the same month last year.

Renewals are estimated by reporting banks to be from 75 to as high as 90 per cent of agricultural paper, and about the same proportion of other classes of paper falling due This is attributed in most instances to the holding of cotton, part of which is stored and held as collateral for loans, and part held on the farms.

## MANUFACTURING.

Wages in almost all lines of manufacturing have been reduced from ten to as high as fifty per cent, compared with those prevailing in January 1920. Prices of many manufactured products have been cut from twenty to fifty and sixty per cent. Manufacturers of brick and clay products in Georgia report conditions in that line improving, although this is not true of those in Alabama. Cotton seed oil mills in Alabama report ample supplies of raw material at low prices, but no demand for their product. In Georgia, farmers are reported not willing to sell seed at prices that the mills can pay. Low stocks of merchandise in the hands of retailers are favorable to overall and hosiery manufacturers; fear by the retailers of further declines in prices, lack of export trade, and unemployment, are deterring factors.

January has witnessed to a degree that is encouraging, the spread of confidence. In lumber circles the volume of business transacted, while far from satisfactory, is reported as not disappointing under the circumstances. Buyers, for the most part, are still actuated by a desire to secure material for present and not future requirements.

In the Southern pine market the volume of business is in excess of the output, and is increasing. A gain in production and shipments was also reported but this fell considerably short of the expansion in the volume of business. So far, the heavier demand has resulted in no actual advances in prices, but it has served to give the lists now in force a decidely stronger tone. Lately, the confidence of the manufacturers has been materially increased by the favorable turn of the demand, which has resulted in steps to place in operation a number of plants now closed. The improved tone of the market is entirely due to domestic demand, as the volume of business of foreign origin is still decidedly restricted. The bulk of orders received is placed by retailers, especially in those sections where weather conditions do not prohibit outdoor construction.

## LABOR.

While a number of cotton mills, some hosiery mills, and other manufacturing plants, have resumed operations during January, and others have increased operations, reports show that there is a supply of both skilled and unskilled labor more than ample for all present requirements. Unemployment is noticeable in the larger cities of the District. The supply of farm labor appears ample in all parts of the District.

## COAL, IRON, AND STEEL.

Coal production in the Birmingham District is still above the 300,000 tons per week mark. The coal operators are persistent in their refusal to recognize the union, and the leaders of the United States Mine Workers of America are still active. The Supreme Court recently rendered decisions in favor of the operators in a number of house detention cases, the costs of which are estimated at a large figure.

Domestic coal is in good demand, but the steam coal market is still quiet. Shipments of coal down the Warrior River are increasing as the new equipment of the Government's service is being placed in use. Bids have been called for on a big steel derrick to be erected at Birmingham, on the Warrior River, for handling ore. Two large self-propelled barges, during a recent week, unloaded manganese ore from Brazil for one of the large companies. Steel Corporation purchases of extensive ore fields in Brazil mean that there will be steady shipments of the product via. Mobile up the Warrior River to the immediate Birmingham District.

The coke market in this section continues dull. Foundry coke is quoted at 8.50 per ton and furnace at $\$ 6.50$. One or two of the by-product plants are working to capacities, while others are curtailing output to meet the immediate demands.

Production of pig-iron in this territory is down to the minimum and still in excess of the business that is being booked. Four of the large companies have cut their production to one furnace each in operation, although a number of furnaces are kept in shape to be started on short notice.

But few companies have made appreciable cuts in wages, and the readjustment of working hours to bring about some reduction in costs of pig-iron has not been very strong.

The steel mill operations and plants fabricating steel are in good shape, and prospects are bright. The big plants of the Steel Corporation subsidiaries are working almost to capacities. The Birmingham Steel Corporation is completing structural steel for large building contracts, and has received contracts for some big bridges. The wire and nail mills are well supplied with orders. Steel rail is in strong
demand, and shipments to foreign countries are made every week.

Several of the smaller industries are starting up again. The stove foundries and heating apparatus plants are also picking up again and are melting some iron. Sanitary pipe plants are also resuming operation.

The scrap iron and steel market is also lagging. A little old material is moving, but the aggregate is very small. No difficulty is being met in getting delivery on any business that develops.

## CONDITION OF RETAIL TRADE DURING JANUARY 1921.

## Federal Reserve District No. 6.

Percentage of Increase or Decrease

|  | (1) |  |  |  | (3) <br> Per centage of average stocks at end of each month January to date, to average month ly sales over same period | (4) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Comp with th ing <br> Janu | f net sa corresp last yea B to dat | Stocks at e compare <br> A <br> same month last year | of month with- <br> B <br> Last month |  | Percentage of outstanding orders at end of month to total purchases during calendar year 1920 |
| Atlanta | -29.1 | -29.1 | -10.5 | -13.8 | 556.6 | 2.9 |
| New Orleans | $-1.6$ | $-1.6$ | -13.9 | 6.2 | x | 3.1 |
| Birmingham | $-3.6$ | $-3.6$ | $-6.6$ | $-1.4$ | 540.1 | x |
| Jacksonville | -17.9 | -17.9 | $-6.6$ | $-1.0$ | x | $\mathbf{x}$ |
| Nashville | -21.3 | -21.3 | $-7.6$ | -16.9 | 550.5 | 3.2 |
| DISTRICT | - 9.0 | -9.0 | --11.4 | $-0.8$ | 549.0 | 3.0 |

xltem not reported.

CONDITION OF WHOLESALE TRADE DURING JANU. ARY, 1921.


2-Increase or Decrease in sales during January 1921 compared with January, 1920:

|  | Groceries | Dry Goods | Hardwar | Shoes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Atlanta | ............43.9\# | 78.4\# | 66.7\# | 75.3\# |
| Augusta |  | 83.0 | - | - |
| Birmingham | .............41.9\# | 51.3\# | 27.5\# | 64.1\# |
| Jacksonville | ...............43.4\# | 68.0\# | 11.6\# | 75.4\# |
| Meridian .... | ...............27.9\# | - | - | - |
| Nashville | ............36.4\# | 80.7\# | 53.8\# | 67.8\# |
| New Orleans. | ..............38.5\# | 53.7\# | 61.0\# | 15.2\# |
| Tampa ............ | $\ldots$ | 43.1\# | 11.6\# | - |
| DISTRICT | ............36.7\# | 41.7\# | 38.7\# | 59.6\# |
| \#Decrease. <br> -No report. |  |  |  |  |
| CLEARINGS-JANUARY. |  |  |  |  |
| ALABAMA : | 1921 | 1920 P | Per cent. | Per cent. |
|  |  |  | Inc. | Dec. |
| Birmingham | ........... $\$ 76,291,525$ | \$88,176,781 |  | 13.5 |
| Mobile ........... | .......... 8,960,468 | 11,634,182 |  | 22.9 |
| Montgomery | .......... 6,866,829 | 12,030,718 | 18- | 42.9 |



|  | Jan. 1921 | Dec. 1920 | Jan. 1920 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jackson ........................... | 1,271 | 2,169 | 2,053 |
| Meridian .......................... | 1,839 | 5,865 | - |
| Montgomery ................... | 1,063 | 3,856 | 4,196 |
| Vicksburg ........................ | 11,198 | 10,131 | 15,9:0 |
| SHIPMENTS-PORTS : |  |  |  |
| New Orleans | 177,709 | 211,069 | 312,078 |
| Mobile ............................. | 5,802 | 16,741 | 40,825 |
| Savannah ..................... | 51,260 | 66,765 | 240,801 |
| SHIPMENTS-INTERIOR TOWNS : |  |  |  |
| Atlanta ........................... | 18,516 | 14,684 | 33,685 |
| Augusta ............................ | 23,740 | 24,575 | 62,788 |
| Canton | 2,002 | 1,691 | 2,533 |
| Jackson ............................. | 1,396 | 1,375 | 4,673 |
| Meridian ......................... | 1,510 | 2,301 | - |
| Montgomery .................... | 928 | 411 | 9,564 |
| Vicksburg ........................ | 2,417 | 1,701 | 7,677 |
| STOCKS-PORTS : |  |  |  |
| New Orleans ............... | 436,633 | 451,626 | 396,551 |
| Mobile ............................. | 23,939 | 18,443 | 27,888 |
| Savannah .......................... | 149,566 | 149,628 | 263,549 |
| STOCKS-INTERIOR TOWNS: |  |  |  |
| Atlanta | 31,433 | 31,258 | 33,899 |
| Augusta ............................ | 162,002 | 163,821 | 180,744 |
| Canton | 10,522 | 11,272 | 4,773 |
| Jackson ............................. | 11,226 | 11,351 | 6,741 |
| Meridian .......................... | 13,477 | - | - |
| Montgomery ................... | 32,719 | 32,584 | 16,685 |
| Vicksburg ........................ | 14,275 | 13,924 | 10,432 |


| CLEAN RICE (POCKETS) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RECEIPTS: Jan. 1921 | Dec. 1920 | Jan. 1920 |
| Receipts ................................. 153,756 | 160,725 | 324,277 |
| Shipments ............................. 351,341 | 228,537 | 398,180 |
| Stock ..................................... 368,699 | 415,570 | 378,222 |
| SALES OF RICE (POCKETS) |  |  |
| Jan. 1921 | Dec. 1920 | Jan. 1920 |
| Rough Rice........................... None | None | None |
| Clean Rice ........................... 144,968 | 68,809 | 90,878 |
| MOVEMENT OF LIVESTOCK-JANUARY 1921 |  |  |
| CATTLE AND CALVES |  |  |
| RECEIPTS: Jan. 1921 | Dec. 1920 | Jan. 1920 |
| Nashville ........................... 6,499 | 5,656 | 6,765 |
| Jacksonville ...................... 368 | 53 | 632 |
| \# Montgomery ....................... |  |  |
| PURCHASES FOR SLAUGHTER: |  |  |
| Nashville .......................... 3,733 | 3,052 | 3,678 |
| Jacksonville ....................... 368 | 53 | 442 |
| \# Montgomery ...................... |  |  |
| HOGS |  |  |
| RECEIPTS : |  |  |
| Nashville ........................... 41,424 | 55,544 | 74,689 |
| Jacksonville ....................... 13,823 | 13,620 | 14,370 |
| \#Montgomery ...................... |  |  |
| PURCHASES FOR SLAUGHTER: |  |  |
| Nashville ............................ 9,396 | 7,811 | 7,124 |
| Jacksonville ...................... 12,762 | 6,709 | 6,405 |
| \# Montgomery ........................ |  |  |
| SHEEP |  |  |
| RECEIPTS : |  |  |
| Nashville ........................... 399 | 592 | 365 |
| Jacksonville ....................... 20 | 115 | 0 |
| \# Montgomery ...................... |  |  |
| PURCHASES FOR SLAUGHTER: |  |  |
| Nashville ........................... 399 | 553 | 365 |
| Jacksonville ....................... 20 | 0 | 0 |

## CENSUS REPORT OF CONSUMPTION OF COTTON DURING JANUARY, 1921.

Cotton consumed during January, 1921, amounted to 366 ,270 bales of lint and 23,549 bales of linters, compared with 591,921 bales of lint and 27,243 bales of linters consumed during January, 1920.

Cotton on hand January 31 in consuming establishments amounted to $1,273,067$ bales of lint and 231,675 bales of linters,
compared to $1,952,326$ bales of lint and 276,546 bales of linters a year ago; and in public storage and compresses holdings were $5,645,368$ bales of lint and 336,561 bales of linters, compared to $3,758,329$ bales of lint and 324,965 bales of linters last January.

Cotton imported during January amounted to 24,024 bales, compared with 104,485 bales imported during January of last year.

Exports amounted to 606,002 bales, including 5,246 bales of linters, compared with 929,671 bales last year, which included 7,391 bales of linters.

Cotton spindles active during January, 1921, numbered $31,509,021$, compared with $34,739,579$ a year ago.

|  | Statistics for Cotton Growing States |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| January | 1921 | 1920 |
| Consumed | 235,233 | 330,793 |
| Held in co | nsuming establishments......... 623,752 | 1,105,967 |
| In public s | torage and compresses.......... 5,201,262 | 3,481,165 |
| Active spin | ndles ......................................14,766,748 | 14,918,924 |

MOVEMENT OF NAVAL STORES FOR FOUR YEARS. RECEIPTS OF TURPENTINE APRIL 1-FEBRUARY 3.

|  | 1920-21 | 1919-20 | 1918-19 | 1917-18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Savannah | 90,686 | 57,874 | 44,340 | 83,544 |
| Jacksonville | .110,957 | 86,619 | 72,503 | 126,580 |
| Pensacola | . 48,385 | 34,961 | 27,870 | 54,671 |
|  | 250,028 | 179,454 | 144,713 | 264,795 |

Decrease or increase for
1920-21 compared with former years ........................
..Inc. 70,574 105,315 D 14,767
Per cent. decrease or increase

Inc. 39.3
42.1 D 05.6

RECEIPTS OF ROSIN APRIL 1-FEBRUARY 3.

|  | 1920-21 | 1919-20 | 1918-19 | 1917-18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Savannah | 298,173 | 190,731 | 175,768 | 286,985 |
| Jacksonvill | .343,875 | 309,243 | 254,227 | 418,211 |
| Pensacola | 148,908 | 133,267 | 93,135 | 183,382 |
|  | 790,956 | 633,241 | 523,130 | 888,578 |

Decrease or increase for
1920-21 compared with
former years ................................. 157,715 267,826 D 97,622
Per cent. decrease or in-
crease $\qquad$ Inc. 24.9

TURPENTINE STOCKS CLOSE FEBRUARY 3.

| 1920-21 | 1919-20 | 1918-19 | 1917-18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Savannah ........................ 15,498 | 9,750 | 30,508 | 25,598 |
| Jacksonville ..................... 26,115 | 8,965 | 56,046 | 63,776 |
| Pensacola ...................... 11,681 | 5,710 | 38,924 | 44,626 |
| 53,294 | 24,425 | 125,478 | 134,000 |

ROSIN STOCKS CLOSE FEBRUARY 3.

|  | 1920-21 | 1919-20 | 1918-19 | 1917-18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Savannah | . 83,326 | 36,728 | 77,972 | 90,094 |
| Jacksonville | .176,479 | 82,910 | 158,225 | 173,868 |
| Pensacola | . 55,977 | 47,067 | 47,465 | 89,618 |
|  | 315,782 | 166,705 | 283,662 | 352,580 |

## STATISTICAL REPORT OF THE SOUTHERN PINE ASSOCIATION FOR WEEK ENDING FRIDAY, FEB. <br> RUARY \& 1921-135 MILLS REPORTING.

| Cars | Feet |
| :---: | :---: |
| Orders on hand beginning of week.........10,591 | 223,766,648 |
| Orders received during week ................ 2,941 | 62,137,448 |
| Total ......................................................13,532 | 285,904,096 |
| Shipments during week ........................... 2,829 | 59,771,112 |
| Orders on hand end of week....................10,703 | 226,132,984 |

## For the Week (135 Mills)

Total | Average |
| :---: |
| Per Mill |

Orders
$. .62,137,448 \mathrm{ft} .460,277 \mathrm{ft}$.
Shipments .....................................................59,771,112 ft. $442,749 \mathrm{ft}$.
Production .....................................................57,926,050 ft. 429,082 ft.
Normal production these mills................ $89,784,848 \mathrm{ft} .665,073 \mathrm{ft}$.
Shipments above production for week.. $1,845,062 \mathrm{ft} .=3.19 \%$
Orders above production for week.......... 4,211,398 ft. $=7.27 \%$
Orders above shipment for week........... 2,366,336 ft. $=3.96 \%$
Actual production below normal.............. $31,858,798 \mathrm{ft} .=35.48 \%$
Shipments below normal production.... $30,013,736 \mathrm{ft} .=33.43 \%$ Orders below normal production......... $27,647,400 \mathrm{ft} .=30.79 \%$
Increase in orders on hand during week $2,366,336 \mathrm{ft} .=1.06 \%$

## Previous Reports

| Week <br> Ending | Mills <br> Reporting | Average <br> Orders <br> (feet) | Average <br> Shipments <br> (feet) | Average <br> Production <br> (feet) | Average Normal <br> Production <br> (feet) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

