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## DEPARTIIENT OF COMUERCE

bUREAU OF THE CENSUS
Washington, D. C., Sept. 20, 1940.

SIR: I transmit herewith the annual report of the Bureau of the Census showing for the fiscal year 1937 the financial transactions of the 94 cities having a population of over 100,000 and the city of Honolulu, including revenues and expenditures, gross and net indebtedness, the assessed valuation of taxable property and the tax levies, and value of specified assets at the close of that year.

The collection and compilation of these statistics and the preparation of this Volume were under the supervision of Chester E. Rightor, chief statistician for State and Local Government, and Edward R. Gray, assistant chief statistician, assisted by Lewis B. Sims, technical assistant, Cora Higgins, Inez A. Applebee, Lella B. Flagg, and Hugh D. Ingersoll. The text discussion was prepared by Iver C. Olsen, consultant in municipal finance.

The Bureau has had the advice of the Director's Special Advisory Committee for State and Local Government on the revised classification of accounts and the form of presentation of the statistios, and of the Municipal Finance Officers' Association on the classification of accounts. The cooperation of the finance officers of the cities and other independent local divisions of government, whose records were made available to the Bureau, is acknowledged.

Respectfully,
WILLIAR LANE AUSTIN,
Director of the Census.

HON. JESSE H. JONES, Secretary of Commerce.
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# FINANGIAL STATISTICS OF CITIES OVER 100,000 POPULATION: 1937 

## SUMMARY

America's large cities were able not only to meet the fiscal emergencies of 1937, through their own devices and substantial intergovernmental aid, but were able qlso to make notable progress in consolidating their finances. This was accomplished notwithstanding a continuation of the fiscal circumstances, largely introduced by the depression, that have been exerting increasing pressure upon the fiscal structure of local government. Not only were these municipalities called upon to provide a greatly expanded range of public services, either necessary or desirable from the point of view of civic progress, but many of them were faced also with unprecedented demands for local rellef.

Although the impact of the depression had materially lessened by 1937, there remained a substantial group of citizenry who, instead of being able to render their normal support to local government, continued to be increasingly dependent upon the municipalities for shelter, food, clothing, medication, and hospitalization. The problems of coping with this two-way shift in the composition of an important element of the municipal population, from fiscal asset to fiscal liability, continued to be the most vexing problem confronting local government. In addition to this situation, those who were bearing the burden of local government demanded more and improved services for the support they were render-ing-better streets and highways, improved educational facilities, modern recreational facilities, and the best in public safety equipment. In making these demands, they were equally insistent that the burden of supporting local government, especially the burden on property, be lightened-certainly not increased.

These fiscal demands were thrust upon the municipalities at a time when their ifiscal structures had not completely recovered from the successive shocks administered to the bulwark of the revenue structure-the property tax. Not only had the tax base been seriously affected in previous years because of sharply declining property valuations, which appear to be fairly stabilized at the new level, but the productivity of property taxes had been narrowed because of tax delinquency, tax limitations, homestead and industrial exemptions, and other factors. Economic recovery and a continuation of recent efficient and aggressive tax collection policies, however, permitted these municipalities to be remarkably successful in holding the level of property tax receipts in 1937. Nevertheless, local government was faced with an ever-present problem of developing or discovering supplemental sources of revenue, but experience has ind1cated that new sources were not giving substantial yields.

For reasons which were practical, and in some cases also legal, it was considered impossible to increase tax rates in any substantial degree; in the first instance, it was apparent that the maximum productivity of tax rates had been approached, and, in the other, it was legally impossible to increase tax rates beyond certain prescribed limits. It was likewise considered impracticable to supplement local revenues through special assessments, a revenue device frequently resorted to in the pre-depression years, but of doubtful success in periods when property owners are unwilling or unable to assume additional burdens.

Some local governments sought a partial solution of their problems in experiments with various forms of sales taxes. There was local resistance to such taxes in certain areas, which caused the experiments either to be abandoned or to be so restricted in scope that these "general" sales taxes were in effect luxury taxes or selective sales taxes, the latter being levied on such articles as cigarettes, tobacco, or gasoline. The receipts from such sources in some localities were a fairly important item in the municipal revenue structure.

Other local governments, reluctant to impose sales taxes even if legally empowered, found that some relief was forthcoming from a broader use of business licenses and certain types of permits. In this process of bolstering a sagging revenue structure, the consideration of whether the licenses and permits were intended for regulatory or revenue purposes was generally obscured.

For most municipalities, however, the problem of raising sufficient revenue to meet the pressing requirements was one beyond their individual capacities, and the natural consequence has been for local government generally to seek an increasing proportion of its revenue through the indirect source of grants and shared taxes. State grants have long been identified with local government. Even in the early days of the Nation, people were familiar with the practice of the States' paternalistic guidance, if not control, over certain basic local functions, such as education, through grants-in-aid. Not until the depression years, however, did this source of financial assistance reach a volume of extreme importance or become indispensable to the fiscal structure of local government, as it is today. It is to be noted, in addition, that state supervision of local activities themselves is furthered, because virtually all grants are earmarked for specific purposes.

Shared State taxes have appeared in the accounts of local goverrment since Civil War days and, although increasing in recent years, do not have the same close relation to the depression problems of local government as grants. Since the amount of taxes shared is based on the amount collected, such receipts, like all other taxes, are themselves affected by depression circumstances. Consequently, there has been no marked growth in shared taxes in recent years except in those instances where the relative proportion of taxes allotted to the local units has been increased or where new types of taxes have been shared with these units.

Federal grants, a new source of municipal revenue during the past decade, at times reached substantial amounts, but they were not so sizable in 1937. One important reason for this was the fact that the Public Works Administration was not especially active in 1937; indeed, it was considered to be in the stage of liquidation.

The payment side of the municipal picture was, as previously mentioned, featured by record expenditures for relief and other welfare activities. There was also evidence that the retrenchment policies pursued in the carly depression years had been lightened. Salary cuts for school teachers, police, firemen, and other municipal employees had been largely restored by the end of 1937. Expenditures for capital outlays, which had been drastically pruned, were resumed on a wider scale. Municipal plant equipment, which had been neglected, was more adequately maintained and kept in repair; additions or replacements were made and additional improvements constructed. These activities may be taken as an indication that local government considered most of its housecleaning accomplished and its fiscal structure consolidated in a degree sufficient to permit the resumption of more nearly normal municipal activity.

Despite the continued demands upon these cities, the situation was met without wholesale borrowing. Indeed, the indebtedness, both gross and net, of the 94 cities at the close of 1937 was less than at the close of the previous year. Even the character of the indebtedness was more favorable. The practice of accumulating an unwieldy floating indebtedness from deficits in current operations, and then funding this indebtedness as a last resort to tidy up the fiscal structure, was generally discarded in 1937. Moreover, improving fiscal conditions and more favorable money markets enabled some of the cities to refund at attractive rates a portion of their high interest-bearing indebtedness. As a result, the interest burden upon these cities in 1937 was lighter than it had been in the previous year.

The following report merits study as to the scope and cost of these public services, the revenues from which this cost was financed, and the influence of both upon the debt structure of these cities. The 94 cities represented in this study furnished public services in 1937 to over one-half the urban population of the United States, and it is belleved that this study offers evidence of the important position of municipal government in the national economy.

## PART I. INTRODUCTION

Table 1.-Year of incorporation, fiscal year, population, and area: 1937 Table 2.-Employees and pay rolls: 1937

## PART I. INTRODUCTION

SCOPE OF REPORT.-The present report of the Bureau of the Census is for the most part restricted to a presentation of statistics of the financial transactions of 94 cities during the fiscal year 1937, each of which had a population of over 100,000 as enumerated by the Federal Census of April 1, 1930. This series of reports included through 1931 all cities having a population of over 30,000. By virtue of Executive order dated June 10, 1933, the annual collection and compilation of statistics has been limited to cities of over 100,000 . The report also presents financial data relating to the city and county of Honolulu, Hawaii.

The 94 cities included in this report embrace a total population group of over $37,600,000$, and are responsible for the protection of the lives, property, and health of almost a third of the Nation's population, in addition to the millions of persons who visit these communities annually. Within this group falls a substantial portion of the Nation's problems in providing educational and recreational services, caring for the indigent, defective, and delinquent classes, and providing a constantly growing number of conveniences and services being sought by citizens and by associations interested in modern civic management.

The statistics presented in this volume relate to the financial transactions of the 94 cities for the fiscal year ended in 1937, with the exception of three cities which closed their fiscal year in January 1938. The statistics are as accurate and as comparable as 1t has been poswible to make them. For the general functions of municipal government the subjects are: the revenues and principal classes thereof; the payments for the operation and maintenance of the various governmental functions, for interest on indebtedness, and for capital outlays; the gross and net indebtedness; the assessed valuation of property subject to taxation, and the amount and rate of tax levy. In a separate part of the volune data are presented on municipal puplic-service enterprises. In addition, certain other subjects are included, as, for example, population, employment and pay rolls, and specified assets of municipal government.

This report is the first one in the series Financial Statistics of Cities in which the data, to facilitate reference, have been presented in three separate parts. The first part is introductory, presenting general information on methods of compilation and presentation, as well as a general summary of the statistical material developed. Part II, which consists of four sections, relates to the ordinary or "governmental" activities of local governments. Part III relates to the "proprietary" enterprises-i.e., the public-service facilities maintained by the municipalities.

The main tables comprising each of the three parts may be indicated as follows:

Part I Introduction (tables 1 and 2 ).
Part II General Government (tables $\underline{3}$ to $2 \underline{8}$, inclusive).
Section A: Revenues (tables $\underline{3}$ to 13 , inclusive).
Section B: Cost Payments (tables 14 to 21, inclusive).
Section C: Debt and Specified Assets (tables 22 to 26 , inclusive).
Section D: Assessed Valuation and Tax Levies (tables $\underline{2^{7}}$ and 28).
Part III Public-Service Enterprises (tables $\underline{29}$ to $\underline{50}$, inclusive).
REVISION OF PROCEDURE. - Many new functions and activities of municipal government have arisen, and other services have expanded, since the depression.

New sources of taxes and other revenues have been found and utilized. Municipalities have found it necessary or desirable to meet their financisil requirements through the issuance of forms of obligations that were compare.tively unknowm in the past, which in itself has occasioned new types of furids and accounts. Federal leadership in a number of fields has resulted in the expansion of municipal activities into new fields, largely through the grant-in-aid device.

For the 1937 report, therefore, it was deemed essential to revise the classifications for revenue, expenditure, and debt. Perhaps the greatest change in the procedure followed in this report, as compared with prior volumes, is that the statistics of public-service enterprises, for the first time, are reported separately from those of general governmental functions. This separate reporting permits an improved basis of comparability among the various municipalities, since it takes cognizance of the fact that the operation and accounting practices followed by the various cities with respect to their public-service enterprises are the subject of wide variance and require separate and uniform treatment. The types of public-service enterprises are themselves reclassified in this report, and some activities formerly considered within this group are excluded. Public-service enterprises include water-supply systems,electric light and power systems, gas systems, street railways and other transit facilities, ports, docks, harbors, and wharves, airports, ferries, housing, and associated enterprises.

DIVISIONS OF MUNICIPAL GOVERNIENT. -The wide diversity of the organization of municipal government is indicated by the number of independent units employed to perform the functions and services of local government. In all cases there is the city corporation, which is charged with the administration of all municipal functions except those entrusted to independent districts created to provide specific services for the community, the extent of authority in each case being determined by statute or charter enactments. For 32 municipalities the city corporation was the only local governmental unit, while in the other 62 the functions of local government were administered by two or more governments as independent units, each having the power of taxation-for the jurpose for which it was created-over all or part of the territory of the city.

With the object of affording comparability of local urban government, regardless of the number of legally independent political subdivisions and types of administrative organizations established locally to levy taxes, incur debt, and perform governmental functions, this report for 94 cities includes all types of funds of each independent division. The statistics, therefore, include not only the finances of the city corporation, but a proportion of all independent, overlapping units of government virtually coextensive in area with the city, and, for cities over 300,000 population having an independent county, a proportion of the county's finances. In all cases, the proportion of financial transactions included is based upon the ratio of assessed valuation of the city to that of the overlapping independent division or county.

In connection with the foregoing, it should be pointed out that the term "county" appears as the designation of independent governnental units in the 18 following cities: Chicago, Detroit, Los Angeles, Cleveland, Pittsburgh, Milwaukee, Buffalo, Minneapolis, Cincinnati, Newark, Kansas City (Mo.), Seattle, Indianapolis, Rochester, Jersey City, Houston, Loulsville, and Portland (oreg.). On the other hand, in the 8 following cities the original county governnents have been merged with those of the cities: New York, Philadelphia, St. Louis, Baltinore, Boston, San Francisco, Washington, and New Orleans. It is clear that no basis of comparison of local government would be afforded within this group of cities unless the previously discussed method of apportioning the finances of overlapping divisions or counties was pursued. The data for cities of these two groups, however, are not comparable with those for cities of group III, with the exception of those for Denver, a municipality in which the county organization is merged with that of the city, and the autonomous cities of Richmond and Norfolk.

GROUPS OF CITIES. -The statistical data presented in this report are arranged in three principal population groups, for each of which, as well as for the entire 94 cities, totals are given. Group I includes cities having a population
of 500,000 and over; group II, cities having a population of 300,000 and less than 500,000; and group III, cities having a population of 100,000 and less than 300,000 . The grouping is based upon the estimated population of the city as at July l, 1933, no later estimates having been made, except for Washington, D. C. Data for the city and county of Honolulu are not included in the group or grand totals of the 94 cities.

In the tables of this report, with the exception of tables 27 and 28 , the cities are arranged in the order of their estimated population, and each is given a number corresponding to its position in the table. For convenience in finding the position in the table of the data for each city, there is shown on this page a list of the cities arranged alphabetically by States, with the number assigned to each. The type or plan of city government effective in 1937 also is indicated.

The location of each of these cities is shown on the map of the United States on page VIII.


1/ Commission plan of government.
2/ Council-manager or commission-manager plan of government.
NOTE: Unless otherwise noted, during 1937 the cities listed operated under the mayor-council plan.

## FLNANCIAL STATISTICS OF CITIES

SECURING THE DATA.-The information presented in this report is not the result of a mail canvass. The statistics were obtained in city and other local government fiscal offices with the close cooperation of the local ofiicials. The data for the present report were secured by Bureau agents or by local agents.
"Bureau agents" are employees of the Bureau of the Census trained in the work and sent into the field to complle the report for a given city. These Bureau agents spend a varying number of working days in preparing the detalled report for any one city, depending upon the size of the city and the difficulty in working up the data from the official records. When the report is received in Washington, it is examined by the Division of State and Local Government, which makes whatever changes or consolidations appear to be necessaryr; in the case of the reports prepared by Bureau agents, this editing work is reduced to the minimum.
"Local agents" are of two types, namely, municipal employees and nonofficial citizens. A municipal employee may be a person in the city controllerts office, for example; a citizen may be a private accountant or other qualified individual. Whichever of the two types is used, a local agent is selected by correspondence with the local finance officer and other officials familiar with the financial administration of the city, the purpose being to appoint the best qualified person available, and he is then appointed by the Director a special agent of the Bureau. The local agents are paid at the rate of $\$ 6.00$ a day for the number of days required to compile the report, the number of such days being determined by the Bureau of the Census from previous years' experience. The report is malled to washington when completed and is then reviewed-usually at greater length than in the case of a report, by a Bureau agent, although not necessarily so, since some of the local agents have had experience in oreparing previous city financial reports for the Bureau of the Census. ${ }^{1}$

When the report on any particular city is edited and tabulated, a preliminary report is printed and sent to all names on the mailing list. This procedure allows the data on any particular city to become available many months before copy for the final report can be prepared and the finished volume received from the press. ${ }^{2}$

The careful data-collecting process just outlined necessarlly makes for a less pronpt publication of statistics than would be possible by means of a mail canvass, but it assures a greater degree of accuracy and completeness.

To promote the maximum comparability of the statistics, the Bureau has compiled the data for each city according to uniform classifications of revenues, expenditures, and indebtedness, so far as possible. In reporting the statistics for any city, therefore, extensive reclassification of data in the city's records may be necessary. For this reason, it is not possible in all cases to identify in the Bureau's publication the various items appearing in the city's report.

During 1937, the unit of government administering certain local services and maintaining the records of revenues and expenditures thereof, such as outdoor relief, varied among the cities. If administered by Federal or State agencies, the figures are not included in this report, which is limited to the official records of cities and overlying independent local units. The differing practice resulted in a wide variation in the statistics of revenues and payments for these services among the cities, which should be recognized in using the figures for comparisons.

PURPOSE OF REPORT.-The specific purpose of the reports in this series is twofold: (a) To make financial statistics of local governments avallable to those persons who have an economic interest in municipal activities, especially officials engaged in planning and projecting municipal programs, preparing economic analyses, making estimates of national income and national wealth, and

[^0]considering relationships among the three levels of government; (b) to present municipal financial data in a detailed form useful to those persons who have a localized and comparative interest in one or more individual cities, especially taxpayers, investors, administrators, and political scientists. The report also may prove useful to Federal and State officials concerned with loans or grants-in-aid. These purposes perhaps may be accomplished directly through personal study of the reports in this series or indirectly through interpretations and recommendations of practical students of civic affairs and of local civic bodies.

A brief analysis of the data presented in each of the main tables comprising part I appears below.

TABLE 1
YEAR OF INCORPORATION AS A CITY. - In the first column of table 1 are given the years in which the 94 municipalities included in this study were organized as cities.

DATE OF CLOSE OF FISCAL YEAR. - The date shown is the close of the year of the city compration, even though independent governnental districts or independently administered funds may have fiscal years which do not coincide with that of the city.

POPULATION. - The figures on population are furnished to the Division of State and Local Government by the Division of Population. Except as indicated by footnotes, the table shows for each city the estimated population as at July 1, 1933. The estimates at the middle of the fiscal year are employed as the basis for the per capita data presented in some of the tables in this report because their use results in more accurate statements of per capita transactions than would be secured by using the estimated population as at either the beginning or the close of the fiscal period.

The estinated population figures were computed as follows: The estinated increase for the United States from the 1930 census to July l, 1933, was computed from statistics of births, deaths, immigration, and emigration. The increase during this period, which amounted to $2,995,000$ inhabitants, was distributed among the States and cities on the basis of their proportion of the increase for the United States between 1920 and 1930. Due allowance was made for any annexations or detachments of territory that may have taken place and of which the Bureau was advised.

In separate colunns is shown the population of each city as enumerated at the decennial censuses of 1930, 1920, and 1910.

AREA. -The data on area of the cities are furnished to the Division of State and Local Government by the Division of Geography. These data show the land area subject to the administration of the city corporation on July $1,1937$.

TABLE 2
Information on the number of municipal employees-first made available in the 1936 issue of this series of reports-was collected on a nonfunctional basis for 1937, supplemented for the first time by pay roll data. As with other tables in this report, the figures include a proportionate part of the employee and pay roll data of all independent units of local government covered as part of the "city." These figures are presented in table 2.

NUMBER OF EMPLOYEES AND AMOUNT OF PAY ROLLS. -The number of employees of 88 cities, at quarterly intervals, is reported, with a separation of permanent employees from temporary, seasonal, and emergency employees. Except where otherwise noted, school employees have been included, but persons on work relief and employees of contractors were excluded from the report.

Data on the amount of pay rolls for the calendar year 1937, which were available for 81 cities, are also shown in table 2. In comparing pay roll figures with number of employees reported, it should be remembered that part-time 261932 (1) in -2
and temporary employees have been included without any estimate of their "fulltime equivalent," so average earnings of all employees shown are probably lower than the average salaries of full-time employees only.

It is significant that all cities, even of the population groups covered in this study, do not maintain a readily available record of public employnent and pay rolls. ${ }^{3}$ It is true that the data might be assembled, at a considerable expenditure of time, from existing pay roll records in the various departments and funds. Even then, however, duplications of names appearing on two or more pay rolls, because of part-time employment in different departments or offices, might occur. An ideal control would be one by a personnel office having a record of all municipal employees, the dates of their entrance into the service and of their separation from the service, rate and amount of compensation, and related data. ${ }^{4}$

INAUGURATION OF NEIV SERIES.-Beginning with January 1940, the Bureau is changing its report on the number of employees and amount of pay rolls of cities to a State and Local Government Quarterly Employment Survey, consisting of a mail canvass with a quarterly publication of results. This new series coversin addition to cities over 100,000 population-all States, all cities over 5,000 population, all counties over 50,000 population, all townships over 10,000 population, and a representative sample of smaller cities, counties, and townships.

[^1]TABLE 1.-YEAR OF INCORPORATION, FISCAL YEAR, POPULATION, AND AREA: 1937

|  | CITY | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Year } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { in- } \\ & \text { cor- } \\ & \text { pora- } \\ & \text { tion } \\ & \text { as a } \\ & \text { city } \end{aligned}$ | Date of close of fiscal year | POPULATION |  |  |  | Land area (acres) July 1, 1937 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Estimated as at July 1, 1933 | Decennial census |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{1930}^{\text {Apr. }} 1 \text {, }$ | ${ }_{1920}{ }^{\text {Jan. }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Apr. } 15 \text {, } \\ 1910 \end{gathered}$ |  |
|  | Grand total | ---- | ------------ | 37,673,812 | 36,425,638 | 29,497,009 | 23,248,623 | 2,792,803.1 |
|  | Group I- | ---- | ------------ | 22,131,800 | 21,315,411 | 17,264,019 | 13,842,358 | 1,098,855.8 |
|  | Group II | ---- |  | 4,612,500 | 4,492,864 | 3,762,796 | 3,066,638 | 473,862.7 |
|  | Group III------------ |  |  | 10,929,512 | 10,617,363 | 8,470,194 | 6,339,627 | 1,220,084.6 |

GROUP I.-CITIES HAVING A POPULATION OF 500,000 AND OVER

| 1 | New York, N. | 1653 | Dec. 31,1937 | 7,154,300 | 6,930,446 | 5,620,048 | 4,766,883 | 191,360.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Chicago, Ill | 1837 | Dec. 31, 1937 | 3,490,700 | 3,376,438 | 2,701,705 | 2,185,283 | 132,267.2 |
| 3 | Philadelphia, | 1683 | Dec. 31, 1937 | 1,972,700 | 1,950,961 | 1,823,779 | 1,549,008 | 81, 920.0 |
| 4 | Detroit, Mich | 1824 | June 30, 1937 | 1,666,100 | 1,568,662 | 993,678 | 465,766 | 88,255.6 |
| 5 | Los Angeles, Cali | 1851 | June 30, 1937 | 1,354,100 | 1,238,048 | 576,573 | 319,198 | 287,594.0 |
| 6 | Cleveland, Ohi | 1836 | Dec. 31, 1937 | 918,400 | 900,429 | 796,841 | 560,663 | 46,774.0 |
| 7 | St. Louis, Mo | 1822 | ApF. 12,1937 | 830,300 | 821,960 | 772,897 | 687,029 | 39,276.8 |
| 8 | Baltimore, | 1796 | Dec. 31, 1937 | 817,100 | 804,874 | 733,82¢ | 558,485 | 50,380.8 |
| 9 | Boston, Mass | 1822 | Dec. 31, 1937 | 786,900 | 781,188 | 748,06u | 670,585 | 28,096.0 |
| 10 | Pittsburgh, Pa . | 1816 | Dec. 31, 1937 | 678,500 | 669,817 | 588,343 | 533,905 | 33,365.2 |
| 11 | San Francisco, Calif. | 1850 | June 30,1937 | 656,200 | 634,394 | 506,576 | 416,912 | 26,880.0 |
| 12 | Washington, D. | 1802 | June 30,1937 | 1/ 623,000 | 486,869 | 437,571 | 331,069 | 39,680.0 |
| 13 | Milwaukee, Wis | 1846 | Dec. 31, 1937 | 599,100 | 578,249 | 457,147 | 373,857 | 27,745.2 |
| 14 | Buffalo, N. Y | 1832 | June 30,1937 | 584,400 | 573,076 | 506,775 | 423,715 | 25,261.0 |

GROUP II.-CITTES HAVING A POPULATION OF 300,000 T) 500,000

| 15 | Minneapolis, Minn | 1867 | Dec. 31, 1937 | 477,700 | 464,356 | 380,582 | 301,408 | 34,443.3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 | New Orleans, Ia | 1805 | Dec. 31, 1937 | 471,000 | 458,762 | 387,219 | 339,075 | 127,808.0 |
| 17 | Cincinnati, Ohi | 1819 | Dec. 31,1937 | 460,100 | 451,160 | 401,247 | 363,591 | 45,995.5 |
| 18 | Newark, N. | 1836 | Dec. 31, 1937 | 447,000 | 442,337 | 414,524 | 347,469 | 10,927.0 |
| 19 | Kanses City, Mo | 1853 | Apr. 30,1937 | 412,600 | 399,746 | 324,410 | 248,381 | 37,395.2 |
| 20 | Seattle, Wash. | 1869 | Dec. 31, 1937 | 374,100 | 365,583 | 315,312 | 237,194 | 43,840.0 |
| 21 | Indianapolis, Ind. | 1831 | Dec. 31, 1937 | 372,100 | 364,161 | 314,194 | 233,650 | 33,600.0 |
| 22 | Rochester, N. Y. | 1834 | Dec. 31, 1937 | 333,500 | 328,132 | 295,750 | 218,149 | 21,924.8 |
| 23 | Jersey City, N. | 1827 | Dec. 31, 1937 | 319,900 | 316,715 | 298,103 | 267,779 | 8,320.0 |
| 24 | Houston, Tex. | 1839 | Dec. 31, 1937 | 317,900 | 292,352 | 138,276 | 78,800 | 45,970.5 |
| 25 | Louisville, Ky | 1824 | Aug. 31, 1937 | 317,500 | 307,745 | 234,891 | 223,928 | 23,024.0 |
| 26 | Portland, Oreg. | 1851 | Nov. 30, 1937 | 309,100 | 301,815 | 258,288 | 207,214 | 40,614.4 |

GROUP III. -CITLES HAVING A POPULATION OF 100,000 TO 300,000

| 27 | Columbus, 0 | 1816 | Dec. 31, 1937 | 299,700 | 290,564 | 237,031 | 181,511 | 24,551.7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 28 | Toledo, Ohio | 1837 | Dec. 31, 1937 | 298,900 | 290,718 | 243,164 | 168,497 | 23,304.4 |
| 29 | Oakland, Calif | 1854 | June 30, 1937 | 295,600 | 284,063 | 216,261 | 150,174 | 34,023.0 |
| 30 | Denver, Colo | 1859 | Dec. 31, 1937 | 293,200 | 287,861 | 256,491 | 213,381 | 37,085.0 |
| 31 | Atlanta, Ga | 1847 | Dec. 31, 1937 | 280,400 | 270,366 | 200,616 | 154,839 | 21, ¢32.8 |
| 32 | Dallas, Tex | 1856 | Sept. 30, 1937 | 278,000 | 260,475 | 158,976 | 92,104 | 26,147.5 |
| 33 | St. Peul, Min | 1854 | Dec. 31, 1937 | 277,900 | 271,606 | 234,698 | 214,744 | 33,423. 4 |
| 34 | Birmingham, Al | 1871 | Aug. 31,1937 | 273,300 | 259,678 | 178,806 | 132,685 | 32,128.4 |
| 35 | Akron, Ohio | 1836 | Dec. 31, 1937 | 265,100 | 255,040 | 208,435 | 69,067 | 34,444.0 |
| 36 | Memphis, Tenn. | 1849 | Dec. 31, 1937 | 261,500 | 253,143 | 162,351 | 131,105 | 29,209.6 |
| 37 | Providence, R. I. | 1832 | Sept. 30,1937 | 255,600 | 252,981 | 237,595 | 224,326 | 11,462.0 |
| 38 | San Antonio, Tex. | 1837 | May 31, 1937 | 243,500 | 231,542 | 161,379 | 96,614 | 22,860.5 |
| 39 | Omaha, Nobr | 1857 | Dec. 31, 1937 | 217,800 | 214,006 | 191,601 | 124,096 | 24,866.8 |
| 40 | Syracuse, N. | 1848 | Dec. 31, 1937 | 214,500 | 209,326 | 171,717 | 137,249 | 16,000.6 |
| 41 | Dayton, Ohio- | 1841 | Dec. 31, 1937 | 206,600 | 200,982 | 152,559 | 116,577 | 15,175.7 |
| 42 | Oklahoma City, Okla. | 1891 | June 30,1937 | 201,400 | 185,389 | 91,295 | 64,205 | 16,064.0 |
| 43 | Worcester, Ne | 1848 | Dec. 31,1937 | 198,000 | 195,311 | 179,754 | 145,986 | 23,808.0 |
| 44 | Richmond, Va | 1782 | Jan. 31,1938 | 184,900 | 182,929 | 171,667 | 127,628 | 15,360.0 |
| 45 | Youngstown, Ohio- | 1868 | Dec. 31,1937 | 174,200 | 170,002 | 132,358 | 79,066 | 21,544.0 |
| 46 | Grand Rapide, Mich. | 1850 | Mar. 31, 1937 | 172,800 | 168,592 | 137,634 | 112,571 | 14,735.0 |

[^2]table 1.-YEAR of incorporation, fiscal year, population, and area: 1937-Continued

|  | CITY | Yearofin-cor-pora-tionas acity | Date of close of fiscal year | POPULATION |  |  |  | Land area (acres) July 1, 1937 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Estimated as at July 1, 1933 | Decennial census |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{1930}{ }^{19}$ | ${ }_{1920}{ }_{\text {Jan. }} 1 \text {, }$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Apr. } 15, \\ 1910 \end{gathered}$ |  |

GROUP III.-CITIES HAVING A POPULAIION OF 100,000 TO 300,000-Continued

| 47 | Fort Worth, | 1872 | Sept. 30, 1937 | 169,200 | 163,447 | 106,482 | 73,312 | 29,696.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 48 | Hartford, Con | 1784 | Mar. 31, 1937 | 168,500 | 164,072 | 138,036 | 98,915 | 10,162.9 |
| 49 | Flint, Mich. | 1855 | June 30,1937 | 167,200 | 156,492 | 91,599 | 38,550 | 18,771.8 |
| 50 | New Haven, Con | 1784 | Dec. 31, 1937 | 162,700 | 162,655 | 162,537 | 133,605 | 11,445.0 |
| 51 | San Diego, Cali | 1850 | June 30,1937 | 160,100 | 147,995 | 74,361 | 39,578 | 60,989.6 |
| 52 | Long Beach, | 1897 | June 30,1937 | 157,000 | 142,032 | 55,593 | 17,809 | 18,985.7 |
| 53 | Nashville, Tenn | 1806 | Dec. 31,1937 | 156,200 | 153,866 | 118,342 | 110,364 | 13,583.6 |
| 54 | Springfield, Mass | 1852 | Dec. 31, 1937 | 153,400 | 149,900 | 129,614 | 88,926 | 20,288.0 |
| 55 | Tulsa, Okla. | 1903 | June 30, 1937 | 148,000 | 141,258 | 72,075 | 18,182 | 13,506.3 |
| 56 | Bridgeport, Conn | 1836 | Mar. 31, 1937 | 147,300 | 146,716 | 143,555 | 102,054 | 9,370.0 |
| 57 | Des Moines, I | 1857 | Mar. 31, 1937 | 145,300 | 142,559 | 126,468 | 86,368 | 34,310.3 |
| 58 | Scranton, Pa | 1866 | Dec. 31, 1937 | 144,400 | 143,433 | 137,783 | 129,867 | 12,361.7 |
| 59 | Salt Lake City, Utah- | 1851 | Dec. 31, 1937 | 144,200 | 140,267 | 118,110 | 92,777 | 33,560.6 |
| 60 | Yonkers, N. Y. ---- | 1872 | Dec. 31, 1937 | 140,500 | 134,646 | 100,176 | 79,803 | 11,000.0 |
| 61 | Paterson, N. | 1851 | Dec. 31, 1937 | 139,000 | 138,513 | 135,875 | 125,600 | 5,157.0 |
| 62 | Jacksontille, | 1822 | Dec. 31, 1937 | 138,900 | 129,549 | 91,558 | 57,699 | 19,129.6 |
| 63 | Albany, N. Y. | 1686 | Dec. 31, 1937 | 129,800 | 127,412 | 113,344 | 100,253 | 12,147.0 |
| 64 | Norfolk, | 1845 | Dec. 31, 1937 | 2) 129,710 | 129,710 | 115,777 | 67,452 | 17,920.0 |
| 65 | Trenton, N. | 1792 | Dec. 31, 1937 | 124,100 | 123,356 | 119,289 | 96,815 | 4,630.0 |
| 66 | Chattanooga, | 1851 | Sept. 30,1937 | 123,500 | 119,798 | 57,895 | 44,604 | 17,548.8 |
| 67 | Kansas City, Kans | 1886 | Dec. 31, 1937 | 123,400 | 121,857 | 101,177 | 82,331 | 12,938.2 |
| 68 | Fort Nayne, | 1839 | Dec. 31, 1937 | 120,100 | 114,946 | 86,549 | 63,933 | 10,955.0 |
| 69 | Camden, N. | 1828 | Dec. 31, 1937 | 119,100 | 118,700 | 116,309 | 94,538 | 5,554.0 |
| 70 | Erie, Pa | 1851 | Jan. 3, 1938 | 118,300 | 115,967 | 93,372 | 66,525 | 10,368.0 |
| 71 | Elizabeth, | 1855 | Dec. 31,1937 | 117,900 | 114,589 | 95,783 | 73,409 | 6,231.9 |
| 72 | Wichita, Kans | 1871 | Dec. 31,1937 | 117,600 | 111,110 | 72,217 | 52,450 | 13,489.0 |
| 73 | Spokane, W | 1883 | Dec. 31, 1937 | 116,600 | 115,514 | 104,437 | 104,402 | 26,547.2 |
| 74 | Fall River, | 1854 | Dec. 31, 1937 | 2/ 115,274 | 115,274 | 120,485 | 119,295 | 21,056.0 |
| 75 | Cambridge, Mas | 1846 | Dec. 31, 1937 | 114,300 | 113,643 | 109,694 | 104,839 | 3,968.0 |
| 76 | Now Bedford, Mas | 1847 | Dec. 31, 1937 | 2) 112,597 | 112,597 | 121,217 | 96,652 | 12,160.0 |
| 77 | Reading, P | 1847 | Jan. 3, 1938 | 111,800 | 111,171 | 107,784 | 96,071 | 6,119.2 |
| 78 | Knoxville, Te | 1815 | Dec. 31, 1937 | 110,600 | 105,802 | 77,818 | 36,346 | 16,896.0 |
| 79 | Peoria, Ill. | 1845 | Dec. 31, 1937 | 109,800 | 104,969 | 76,121 | 66,950 | 8,218.8 |
| 80 | South Bend, | 1865 | Dec. 31, 1937 | 109,600 | 104,193 | 70,983 | 53,684 | 10,793.2 |
| 81 | Tacoma, Was | 1875 | Dec. 31, 1937 | 108,200 | 106,817 | 96,965 | 83,743 | 29,728.0 |
| 82 | M1ami, Fla | 1896 | June 30, 1937 | 108,200 | 110,637 | 29,571 | 5,471 | 19,386.0 |
| 83 | Gary, Ind. | 1909 | Dec. 31,1937 | 108,000 | 100,426 | 55,378 | 16,802 | 25,811.4 |
| 84 | Canton, on | 1854 | Dec. 31, 1937 | 107,500 | 104,906 | 87,091 | 50,217 | 8,716.0 |
| 85 | Wilmington, | 1832 | June 30, 1937 | 2/ 106,597 | 106,597 | 110,168 | 87,411 | 6,243.8 |
| 86 | Tempa, Fla | 1887 | Way 31, 1937 | 106,500 | 101,161 | 51,608 | 37,782 | 12,160.0 |
| 87 | Samerville, Mass | 1871 | Dec. 31, 1937 | 105,800 | 103,908 | 93,091 | 77,236 | 2,496.0 |
| 88 | El Paso, Tex. | 1873 | Feb. 28,1937 | 105,800 | 102,421 | 77,560 | 39,279 | 8,549.0 |
| 89 | Evansville, Ind | 1847 | Dec. 31,1937 | 105,100 | 102,249 | 85,264 | 69,647 | 5,577.0 |
| 90 | Lynn, Mass | 1850 | Dec. 31, 1937 | 102,900 | 102,320 | 99,148 | 89,336 | 6,720.0 |
| 91 | Utica, N. | 1832 | Dec. 31, 1937 | 102,600 | 101,740 | 94,156 | 74,419 | 10,258.0 |
| 92 | Duluth, Minn. | 1870 | Dec. 31, 1937 | 101,900 | 101,463 | 98,917 | 78,466 | 39,897.6 |
| 93 | Waterbury, Conn. 3/-- | 1853 | Dec. 31, 1937 | 101,300 | 99,902 | 91,715 | 73,141 | 17,981.0 |
| 94 | Lowell, Mass. .-....-- | 1836 | Dec. 31,1937 | 2) 100,234 | 100,234 | 112,759 | 106,294 | 8,576.0 |
|  | Honolulu, Hawaii 4/-- | 1907 | Dec. 31, 1937 | 2/ 202,923 | 202,923 | 123,527 | 82,028 | (5/) |

[^3]TABLE 2.-EMPLOYEES AND PAY ROLIS: 1937


GROUP I.-CITIES HAVING A POPULATION OF 500,000 AND OVER

| 1 | New York, N. |  | ---- |  |  | ----- |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Chicago, Ill | 51,912 | (2/) | 51,798 | (2/) | 49,825 | (2/) | 52,455 | (2/) | \$103,993,899 |
| 3 | Philadelphia, | 34,694 | 39 | 33,166 | 341 | 24,113 | 584 | 33,170 | 51 | 61,242,639 |
| 4 | Detroit, M1 ch | 35,900 | 8 | 37,434 | 12 | 36,673 | 49 | 36,911 | 148 | 83,350,314 |
| 5 | Los Angeles, Calif | 30,609 | 888 | 31,787 | 12,061 | 30,492 | 1,804 | 30,736 | 1,083 | 60,015,733 |
| 6 | Cleveland, Ohio | 16,176 | 187 | 15,944 | 307 | 15,689 | 1,699 | 16,716 | 1,139 | 28,151,319 |
| 7 | St. Louis, Mo. | 14,279 | 18 | 14,486 | 4,931 | 11,026 | 4,961 | 14,967 | 4,707 | 25,568,599 |
| 8 | Baltimore, M | 11,922 | 4,788 | (1/) | (1/) | (1/) | (1/) | (1/) | (1/) | (1/) |
| 9 | Boston, Mass | 23,031 | (2/) | (1) | (1) | (1/) | (1/) | (1/) | (1/) | 39,445,721 |
| 10 | Pittsburgh, Pa. | 12,860 | 1,001 | 13,061 | 801 | 9,308 | 207 | 13,361 | 801 | 24,684,141 |
| 11 | San Francisco, Calif. | 10,302 | 965 | 10,274 | 1,211 | 10,282 | 1,183 | 10,215 | 1,186 | 23,959,562 |
| 12 | Washington, D. | 12,676 | 716 | 12,876 | 604 | 12,810 | 751 | 12,699 | 638 | 23,902,333 |
| 13 | Milwaukee, | 12,555 | 2,262 | 12,604 | 2,349 | 9,369 | 2,472 | 12,942 | 1,940 | 25,383,278 |
| 14 | Buffalo, N. Y. | 11,875 | 1,791 | 12,406 | 1,121 | 11,684 | 1,814 | 11,943 | 1,643 | 23,192,623 |

GROUP II. -CITIES HAVING A POPULATION OF 300,000 TO 500,000


GROUP III. -GITIES HAVING A POPULATION OF 100,000 TO 300,000

| 27 | Columbus, Ohio | 2,778 | 83 | 2,742 | 263 | 1,360 | 329 | 2,843 | 284 | \$5,424,435 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 28 | Toledo, Ohio 1/ |  | --- |  | --- |  | ----- |  | ------ |  |
| 29 | Oakland, Calif | 5,227 | 503 | 5,230 | 460 | 5,041 | 585 | 5,209 | 645 | 9,009,888 |
| 30 | Denver, Colo | 5,176 | 435 | 3,006 | 542 | 3,023 | 582 | 3,077 | 373 | 9,278,748 |
| 31 | Atlanta, Ga. | 4,410 | (2/) | 4,417 | 20 | 4,400 | 70 | 4,420 | (2/) | 6,409,000 |
| 32 | Dallas, Tex. | 4,385 | 82 | 4,427 | 80 | 4,489 | 127 | 4,545 | 78 | 6,074,270 |
| 33 | St. Paul, Minn | (1/) | (1/) | (1/) | (1/) | (1/) | (1/) | 3,403 | 37 | (1/) |
| 34 | Birmingham, Ale | 3,194 | (2/) | 3,221 | (2/) | 1,406 | 43 | 3,313 | 120 | 4,139,309 |
| 35 | Akron, Ohio- | 3,250 | 222 | 3,310 | 342 | 1,531 | 375 | 3,313 | 471 | 5,744,079 |
| 36 | Memphis, Tenn. | 3,662 | 328 | 3,610 | 211 | 3,761 | 224 | 3,767 | 171 | 4,161,906 |
| 37 | Providence, R. I. | 5,006 | 2,492 | 4,942 | 2,465 | 4,915 | 1,664 | 5,881 | 2,545 | 9,535,956 |
| 38 | San Antonio, Tex. | 3,322 | 372 | 3,349 | 577 | (1/) | (1) | (1/) | (1/) | 2,344,791 |
| 39 | Omaha, Nebr. | 2,487 | 83 | 2,486 | 97 | 2,477 | 172 | 2,488 | 172 | 3,807,329 |
| 40 | Syracuse, N. Y | 3,591 | 777 | 3,559 | 757 | 3,640 | 850 | 3,652 | 745 | 7,151,636 |
| 41 | Dayton, Ohio- | 2,603 | 170 | 2,629 | 130 | 2,663 | 226 | 2,748 | 194 | 4,419,083 |
| 42 | Oklahoma City, Okla. | 2,417 | 157 | 2,435 | 164 | 2,208 | 171 | 2,283 | 156 | 3,700,195 |
| 43 | Worcester, Mass.--- | 3,843 | 52 | 3,820 | 9 | 2,474 | 53 | 3,634 | 19 | 7,767,807 |
| 44 | Richmond, Va. | 3,089 | 268 | 3,027 | 330 | 1,860 | 144 | 2,964 | 72 | 4,949,581 |
| 45 | Youngstown, Ohio---- | 1,952 | (2/) | 2,020 | (2/) | 921 | 162 | 2,086 | (2/) | 3,768,439 |
| 46 | Grand Rapids, Mich. | 2,230 | 107 | 2,245 | 124 | 1,264 | 148 | 2,254 | 131 | 3,479,445 |

See footnotes at end of table.

TABLE 2.- FNPLOYEES AND PAY ROLLS: 1937-Continued

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { H } \\ & \text { o } \\ & \text { 硈 } \\ & \stackrel{~}{0} \end{aligned}$ | CITY | NUMBER OF EMPLOYEES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pay rolls } \\ & \text { for } \\ & \text { fiscal year } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Jamary 1 |  | April 1 |  | July 1 |  | October 1 |  |  |
|  |  | Permanent | Tempo- rary, seasonal, and emergency | Permanent | Temporary, seasonal, and emergency | Permanent | Temporary, seasonal, and emergency | Permanent | Temporary, seasonal, and emergency |  |

GROUP III. -CITIES HAVING A POPULATION OF 100,000 TO 300,000-Contimed

| 47 | Fort Worth, | (1/) | (1/) | (1/) | (1/) | -------- | ------- | 965 | (2/) | \$1,321,044 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 48 | Hartford, Con | 3,662 | 20 | 3,622 |  | 2,465 | 108 | 2,586 | 56 | 6,039,433 |
| 49 | Flint, Mic | 993 | 34 | 962 | 60 | 935 | 91 | 2,505 | 111 | 3,825,156 |
| 50 | New Haven, C | 3,164 | 116 | 3,101 | 84 | 1,879 | 138 | 3,077 | 104 | 5,014,488 |
| 51 | San Diego, Cali | 2,455 | 340 | 2,518 | 350 | 1,329 | 438 | 2,365 | 306 | 4,531,685 |
| 52 | Long Beach, Calif. $1 /$ |  | ---- |  | ----- | ------- | ------ |  | --- |  |
| 53 | Nashville, Tenn. -- | 2,271 | 60 | 2,440 | 71 | 1,547 | 67 | 2,377 | 44 | 3,332,908 |
| 54 | Springfield, Me | 3,333 | (2/) | (1/) | (1/) | (1/) | (1/) | 3,238 | 3 | 5,309,358 |
| 55 | Tulsa, Okl | (1/) | (1/) | 608 | 30 | 984 | (1/) | (1/) | (1/) | 2,658,081 |
| 56 | Bridgeport, | 2,552 | 505 | 2,559 | 654 | 1,734 | 842 | 2,556 | 647 | (1/) |
| 57 | Des Moines, | 2,005 | 200 | 2,005 | 200 | 1,089 | 200 | 2,005 | 200 | 3,610,154 |
| 58 | Scrent on, | 1,914 | 123 | 1,969 | 115 | 1,969 | 266 | 1,969 | 99 | 3/ 1,832,637 |
| 59 | Salt Lake City, Utah | 2,380 | 25 | 955 | 75 | 965 | 216 | 955 | 20 | 4,935,934 |
| 60 | Yonkers, N. Y | 2,972 | 7 | 2,969 | 16 | 2,622 | 195 | 2,862 | 299 | 6,929,338 |
| 61 | Paterson, | 2,102 | 27 | 2,099 | 26 | 2,099 | 125 | 2,094 | 102 | 4,064,287 |
| 62 | Jacksonville | 4/1,333 | (1/) | (1/) | (1/) | (1/) | (1/) | (1/) | (1/) | 4/ $2,114,995$ |
| 63 | Albany, N. | 2,140 | 163 | 2,156 | 106 | 2,177 | 311 | 2,178 | 370 | 4,282,001 |
| 64 | Norfolk, | 1,903 | 30 | 1,903 | 20 | 1,903 | 3 | 1,903 | 32 | 2,740,117 |
| 65 | Trention, N. | 1,982 | 180 | (1/) | (1) | (1/) | (1/) | (1/) | (1) | (1/) |
| 66 | Chattanooga, Tenn. $1 /$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 67 | Kanses City | 739 | 340 | 739 | 356 | 739 | 360 | 739 | 343 | 1,172,264 |
| 68 | Fort Wayne, | 1,337 | 10 | 1,337 | 10 | 1,337 | 13 | 1,337 | 10 | 2,038,581 |
| 69 | Camden, N . | 1,569 | 333 | 1,558 | 331 | 863 | 359 | 1,573 | 333 | 2,993,881 |
| 70 | Erie, P | 1,555 | 108 | 1,560 | 77 | 1,649 | 92 | 1,651 | 85 | 2,679,556 |
| 71 | Elizabeth, | 1,596 | 132 | 1,597 | 138 | 892 | 188 | 1,594 | 146 | 3,309,731 |
| 72 | Wichita, | 1,368 | 40 | 1,369 | 65 | 1,365 | 176 | 1,367 | 74 | 5/ 1,787,464 |
| 73 | Spokene, | 1,265 | 107 | 1,269 | 201 | 1,267 | 406 | 1,267 | 270 | 2,814,963 |
| 74 | Fall River | 1,974 | 36 | 1,984 | 37 | 1,947 | 40 | 1,961 | 22 | 2,487,125 |
| 75 | Cambridge, Me | 2,312 | 22 | 2,360 | 53 | 2,375 | 144 | 2,373 | 170 | 4,552,135 |
| 76 | New Bedford, | (1/) | (1) | 1,821 | 46 | (1) | (1/) | (1) | (1/) | (1/) |
| 77 | Reading, Pa. | 1,417 | 89 | 1,394 | 122 | 1,383 | 164 | 1,395 | 83 | 2,382,482 |
| 78 | Knorville, Tenn. 1/- |  | ---- |  | --- | ------ | --- |  |  | --------- |
| 79 | Peoria, Ill. | 1,174 | 146 | 1,152 | 606 | 1,150 | 484 | 1,163 | 444 | 2,226,389 |
| 80 | South Bend, | 1,082 | 58 | 1,082 | 123 | 573 | 69 | 1,082 | 58 | 1,336,449 |
| 81 | Tacoma, Was | 1,122 | 74 | 1,109 | 86 | 976 | 81 | 1,017 | 41 | 2,637,970 |
| 82 | Miami, Fla | 1,050 | 685 | 1,757 | 669 | 976 | 670 | 1,025 | 617 | 3,176,904 |
| 83 | Gary, Ind. | 1,451 | 5 | 1,546 | 4 | 1,520 | 4 | 1,516 | 6 | 2,478,264 |
| 84 | Canton, 0 | 1,407 | 1,421 | 1,406 | 1,439 | 1,462 | 405 | 1,538 | 1,713 | 4,391,296 |
| 85 | Wilmington, | (1) | (1/) | 838 | 100 | (1/) | (1/) | (1/) | (1/) | 1,675,557 |
| 86 | Tampa, F | 1,865 | (2/) | 1,865 | (2/) | 1,066 | (2) | 1,865 | (2/) | 2,143,385 |
| 87 | Somerville, Mass | 1,603 | 184 | 1,608 | 179 | 1,545 | 89 | 1,665 | 252 | 3,141,531 |
| 88 | El Paso, Tex. 4 | 480 | 24 | 466 | 31 | 492 | 34 | 496 | 57 | 717,636 |
| 89 | Evansville, I | 1,258 | 27 | 1,259 | 30 | 642 | 82 | 1,259 | 37 | 2,045,234 |
| 90 | Lymn, Mass | 1,564 | 50 | 1,666 | 44 | 1,083 | 77 | 1,697 | 56 | 2,992,176 |
| 91 | Utica, N. Y | 1,553 | 225 | 1,516 | 158 | 882 | 156 | 1,467 | 152 | 3,074,135 |
| 92 | Duluth, M1nn. | 1,721 | 219 | 1,720 | 107 | 1,009 | 233 | 1,755 | 184 | 3,076,586 |
| 93 | Waterbury, Co | 2,127 | 142 | 2,123 |  | 2,117 | 162 | 2,102 | ${ }^{56}$ | 3,382,279 |
| 94 | Lowell, Mass. | 1,682 | (1) | (1) | (1/) | (1/) | (1) | (1) | (1) | 2,860,996 |

1/ Not reported.
$\frac{1}{2} /$ Not reported. Incled with permanent.
School pay roll only.
Exclusive of pay roll for 379 employees.

## PART II. GENERAL GOVERNMENT

(For Public-Service Enterprises, see Part III)
$\qquad$
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## PART II. GENERAL GOVERNMENT

The second part of this volume is devoted to a detailed presentation of the finances of the 94 cities, but is limited to what is here called "general government" as distinguished from public-service enterprises. Attention is directed to the change in terminology adopted with the presentation of this 1937 report. Formerly, the term "general government" was used to indicate the legislative, judicial, and general administrative functions of municipal government, whereas all municipal authority other than public-service enterprises was termed "general departments." It has seemed preferable to introduce, despite its length, the expression "general administrative, legislative, and judicial" to replace the term "general government," and to substitute the term "general government" for "general departments" to denote all municipal functions except public-service enterprises.

Part II of the report is divided into four sections: Revenues, Cost Payments, Debt and Specified Assets, and Assessed Valuations and Tax Levies. The data and discussion relate only to general government; excluded, therefore, are revenues, payments, and indebtedness of public-service enterprises owmed by the cities, whether operated or leased to others, information on which is presented as part III of this volurne.

## SEC'IION A: REVENUES (Tables 3 to 13, inclusive)

As was pointed out in the Introduction, numerous changes in classification have been found necessary since the issuance of the 1936 report on Financial Statistics of Cities. As a result, the revenue figures for earlier years were rendered incomparable to some extent, but an effort has been made to retain comparability wherever possible. In order to facilitate the effective use of this report in conjunction with earlier reports in the same series, figure 1 is presented on the following pages, showing precisely the changes that have been made in the 1937 revenue classification as compared with the 1936 report. In this figure the revenue classifications for 1937 and 1936 are placed in parallel columns. The numbers following the names of the revenue sources show the corresponding classification for the other year. For example, the amounts under item 23, "Sales and service taxes," in 1937, were in 1936 classified under item 142, "Business license taxes-all other." However, the numbers following this latter item indicate that it did not consist solely of these amounts but that it also contained items 3212 to 3218 , inclusive, parts of items 22 and 6114 , and all of items 6122 and 613 in the 1937 classification. From this it will be seen that the 1937 item 23 can be directly compared with no item in the classification for 1936 and prior years. Thus, figure 1 not only indicates the changes made in 1937 over 1936 but also shows the extent to which the amounts included in the items in the 1937 classification are, or are not, comparable with the revenue items for 1936 and prior years. ${ }^{1}$

The 94 cities in this study reported total revenues ${ }^{2}$ of $\$ 2,708,292,876$ for 1937, including $\$ 31,636,434$ transferred to general government from the accounts of the municipally-owned public-service enterprises. Of this total revenue, general property taxes accounted for 65.2 percent; followed by grants, 15.0 percent; other local taxes and licenses, 6.4 percent; locally-shared State taxes, 3.6 percent; 3.1 percent from charges for current services; and miscellaneous, 6.7 percent.

[^4]
## FIGURE 1.-CHART COMPARING 1937 CLASSIFICATION OF REVENUES WITH 1936

 CLASSIFICATION OF REVENUE RECEIPTSNOTE: Numbers following names of functions and activities show corresponding classification in the other year.

Revenues 1937
1 General property taxes 11
11 Current year's levies 111
111 Real property 111
112 Personal property 111
1121 Tangible personal 111
1122 Intangible personal 4
12 Prior years' levies 112
121 Real property 112 122 Personal property 112

1221 Tangible personal 112
1222 Intangible personal 112
13 Penalties and interest 113
2 Other local taxes 12, $13,142,2$
21 Property taxes on other than
assessed valuation 121
211 Public-utility taxes 121 212 All other 121
22 Business taxes 2, 142
221 Public utilities 2, 142
2211 Privately-owned 2, 142
2212 Publicly-owned 2, 142
222 All other 122, 142
23 Sales and service taxes 142
24 Poll taxes 13
25 All other 122
3 Licenses and permits 102, 14, 15, 2
31 Licenses and permits for use of street $102,142,152,153,2$ 311 Motor vehicles 152 312 Other vehicles 152 313 Parking meters 102 314 Street privileges 2
32 Other licenses and permits 14, 151, 153
321 Business licenses 14
3211 Alcoholic beverage 141
3212 Health 142
3213 Police and protective 142
3214 Amusement 142
3215 Manufacturing 142
3217 Professional and occu-
pational 142
3218 All other 142 322 Nonbusiness licenses and
permits 151, 153
3221 Animal 151
3222 Marriage 153
3223 Burial 153
3224 Building structure and equipment 153
3225 All other 153
4 Fines, forfeits, and penalties 31, 32, 101, 102
41 Fines 31
42 Forfeits 32
43 Penalties 101, 102
5 Revenue from use of money and property 4, 5
51 Interest 4
511 On bank deposits 4
5111 General funds 44
5112 Sinking funds 41
5113 Public trust funds 42
5114 All other 43

Revenue Receipts 1936
1 Receipts from taxes 1, 2, 311, 31.2, 32, 61
11 General property tax 1
111 Current levy 11
112 Prior years' levies 12
113 Penalties and interest 13
12 Special taxes 21, 25, 6111, 6114, 614
121 Property 21, 6114
122 All other 25, 6111, 6114, 614
13 Poll taxes 24, 6114
14 Business license taxes 22, 23, 321,
6113, 6114, 6122, 613
141 Liquor 3211, 6113
142 All other 22, 23, 3212-3218,
$6114,6122,613$
15 Nonbusiness license taxes 311, 312, 322, 6112, 6121
151 Dog licenses 3221
152 General licenses 311, 312, 6112, 6121
153 Permits 3222-3225

2 Receipts from highway privileges 22, 314

3 Receipts from fines, forfeits, and
escheats $41,42,653$
31 Court fines and forfeits 41
32 Commercial forfeits 42
33 Escheats 653

4 Receipts from interest 51
41 Sinking funds 5112, 5122
42 Public trust funds 5113, 5123
43 Investment funds and from investments 5114, 5124
44 Current deposits 5111, 5121
5 Receipts from rent of investment properties 52
51 Public trust funds 52
52 Investment funds and from miscellaneous real property 52

FIGURE 1.-CHART COMPARING 1937 CLASSIFICATION OF REVENUES WITH 1936 CLASSIFICATION OF REVENUE RECEIPTS-Continued

## (See note at head of figure)

5 Revenue from use of money and property 4, 5-Continued
51 Interest 4-Continued
512 On investments 4
5121 General funds 44
5122 Sinkine funds 41
5123 Public trust funds 42 5124 All other 43
52 Rents and royalties 5
6 Revenues from other agencies $12,13,14$,
$33,152,6,7,8,10 \mathrm{~A}$
61 Revenues from State shared taxes 12, 13, 14, 152
611 For eeneral purposes 12, 13,
14, 152
6111 From individual income taxes 122
6112 From motor vehicle licenses 152
6113 From alcoholic beverage revenues 141
6114 From other specified
612 For highways 142,152
6121 From motor vehicle licenses 152
6122 From motor vehicle fuel taxes 142
613 For pension funds from business license taxes (insurance) 142
614 For debt service from inheritance taxes 122
62 Revenues from State grants 62 621 For schools 621 6211 From unspecified sources 621 6212 From specified 62121 Individual income taxes 621 62122 General sales taxes 621 62123 Other 621
622 For charities 622 6221 From unspecified sources 622 6222 From general sales taxes 622
623 For highways 622 6231 From unspecified sources 622 6232 From specified sources 622
62321 Motor vehicle fuel taxes 622
62322 Motor vehicle licenses 622
624 For health and hospitals from unspecified sources 622
625 For other specified purposes 622
6251 From unspecified sources 622
6252 From personal property taxes 622
626 For unspecified purposes from general sales taxes 622

FIGURE 1.—CHART COMPARING 1937 CLASSIFICATION OF REVENUES WITH 1936 CLASSIFICATION OF REVENUE RECEIPTS-Continued

## (See note at head of figure)

6 Revenues from other agencies $12,13,14$,
33, 152, $6,7,8,10 \mathrm{~A}$-Continued
63 Revenues from grants by counties and other local units 61, 63 631 County and other local unit grants for: 63
6311 Highways 632
6312 Schools 631
6313 All other 632
64 Federal grants for: 61
641 P.W.A. 61
642 All other 61
65 Revenue from private sources $33,7,8$
651 Fension assessments 7
6511 Policemen 71
o6512 Firemen 72 6513 School teachers 73 6514 All other 74
652 Donations and contributions 8 653 Unclaimed moneys 33
66 From public-service enterprises $10 A^{a}$ 7 Special assessments for capital outlay 92 8 Service charges for current services 10 ,

81 General administrative, legislative, and judicial 101, 102
811 Court costs, fees, and
charges (not fines) 101
812 Recording of legal instruments 101, 102
813 All other 101
82 Public safety $102^{\text {b }}$
821 Police charges 102
822 Fire-protection services 102
823 Protective inspection fees 102
824 Weights and measures 102
825 Inspectors' examination
fees 102
826 Pounds 102
827 Scales $^{\text {c }}$
828 All other 102
83 Highways $91,105^{\text {b }}$
831 Street and sidewalk assessments 91
832 Street lighting rates or charges 91,105
833 Bridge and tunnel tolls ${ }^{c}$ 834 All other 105
84 Sanitation 91, 104
841 Sewage charges 104
842 Street sanitation charges 91 , 104
843 Waste collection and disposal charges 91, 104
844 All other 104
85 Health 103
851 Vital statistics 103
852 Health inspection fees 103
853 Clinic fees 103
854 All other 103
86 Hospitals 106
861 Hospital fees 106
862 All other 106
87 Charities 106
871 Institutional receipts 106
872 General relief 106
873 All other 106
88 Correction 106
881 Institutional industry earnings 106
882 All other 106

7 Receipts from pension assessments 651
71 Policemen 6511
72 Firemen 6512
73 School teachers 6513
74 All other 6514
8 Receipts from donations by private
persons and corporations 652
81 For principal of public trust funds 652
82 All other 652
9 Receipts from special assessments and
special charges 7, 831, 832,842, 843
91 For operation and mainterance 831 , 832, 842, 843
92 For outleys 7
10 Receipts from earnings of general departments $8,43,313$
101 General government 81, 43
102 Protection to person anci property 812, 821-826, 828, 43, 313
103 Conservation of health 85
104 Sanitation 84
105 Highways 832, 834
106 Charities, hospitals, and correc-
tions $86,87,88$
107 Education 89, 89A 1071 Schools 89 1072 Libraries 89A
108 Recreation 89B1, 89B3-89B6 109 Miscellaneous 89C3
10A Receipts from earnings of publicservice enterprises ${ }^{\text {a }}$

FIGURE 1.-CHART COMPARING 1937 CLASSIFICATION OF REVENUES WITH 1936 CLASSIFICATION OF REVENUE RECEIPTS-Continued

## (See note at head of figure)

```
8 Service charges for current services 10,
        91b}\mathrm{ -Continued
    89 Schools 107l
    89A Libraries 1072
    89B Recreation 108 b
        89Bl Golf fees 108
        89B2 Auditorium and stadium fees
        89B3 Other admission and use
            fees 108
            89B4 Concessions 108
            89B5 Refectories (net) }10
            89B6 All other 108
    89C Miscellaneous 109b
            89Cl Marketse
        89C2 Cemeteries and crematories c
        89C3 All other 109
```

a In 1937 the transactions of public-service onterprises are reported separately fram general gorermment transactions. The 1tem Fram public-service enterprises consists of enterprise earnings that are turned over to the general fund. In 1936 , all pubilic-service enterprise revenue recelpts wore included in itern 1aA.
b/ This total figure includes some items classified as public-service enterprises in 1936. These are indicated by footnotes.
c/ Formerly classified as a public-service enterprise.
DEFINTTIONS. - At the close of this volume (see pages 323-327) may be found definitions of the terms used in the report.

GENERAL PROPERTY TAXES. - Although receipts from the general property tax still constitute the largest single item of municipal income, its relative importance continued to decline in 1937. For many years the property tax was the principal support of both local and State government, under which practice the local governmental units in effect supported the States. In more recent times the situation has been reversed; the States have abandoned the property tax as an important source of revenue ${ }^{3}$ and, in addition, are making substantial contributions to the support of local government through grants and shared taxes. With the yield of property taxes narrowed because of tax delinquency, tax limitation, homestead and industrial exemption, and other factors, local government has been faced with an acute need for supplemental sources of revenues. Sinco it has been found that the former practice of supplementing local revenue through special assessments has the same limits of practicability as the general property tax, and since most revenue sources alternative to the property tax are not readily susceptible of local administration, the natural consequence has been for local governments to obtain an increasing proportion of their revenue through the indirect source of grants or shared taxes. Some local governments have experimented with various forms of sales taxes, and others have become increasingly dependent upon licenses and municipally-owned public-service enter prises to provide funds for general governmental purposes.

The importance of general property taxes in relation to total municipal revenue varies widely among the 94 cities considered. For example, the following cities received over 80 percent of all revenue from the general property tax: Waterbury and Des Moines over 88 percent; New Haven and Erie, 86 percent; Bridgeport, 83 percent; Hartford, 82 percent; Kansas C1ty, Kans., 81 percent; and Portland, oreg., 80 percent. In contrast, other cities reported general property tax receipts in 1937 amounting to less than half of their total revenue. In the case of Jacksonville, only 39.6 percent of total revenues was from general property taxes; almost a third of all its revenue was derived srom public-service enterprises owned by the city, and a substantial proportion of the balance came from grants. Turning to Birmingham, it is found that only 47.4 percent of 1 ts total 1937 revenue came from general property taxes, large amounts being derived from grants, business licenses and permits, and

[^5]special assessments. Spokane reported only 47.9 percent of municipal income from general property taxes; almost a third of its total municipal revenue was derived from grants.

SPECLAL ASSESSMENTS. - Municipal revenue from special assessments for outlays ${ }^{4}$ has sharply declined in importance in recent years. In 1928 this source of municipal income for the 94 cities reached a peak of $\$ 205,000,000$, but in 1937 only $\$ 33,361,000$ was received from this source; 48 of the 94 cities reported less than one percent of total municipal income from special assessments. The decline may reasonably be attributed in part to the impracticability of requiring local property to assume additional assessments during depression periods when the burden upon property owners becomes increasingly heavy. It also is probable that some of the decline may be attributed to the fact that certain types of local improvements were financed to a large extent by the Works Progress Administration, while others, which normally would be financed through the sale of special assessment warrants to contractors, were constructed with the financial participation of the Public Works Adininistration under terms which imposed certain restrictions upon prevailing practices in special assessment financing.

GRANTS.-Second in importance in the municipal revenue picture are receipts from grants, which in 1937 totaled $\$ 404,957,525$, and represented 15 percent of all revenues reported for the 94 cities. These grants were from the State, from county and local governmental units, and from the Federal Government, the major portion, 83 percent, being from State grants.

Intergovernmental aid of this character, while a relatively insignificant source of municipal income until the present decade, has had a long history in this country. State grants to local units made their first appearance shortly after the adoption of the Constitution, but Federal grants to cities were not in evidence until the last decade. Fiscally speaking, intergovernmentel grants were of slight importance even in 1916, amounting to only $\$ 30,462,000$ and accounting for only 3.9 percent of the total revenues of the 94 cities. It was not until the early '30's, when depression conditions had severely strained local finances, that grants assumed their present position of major importance among municipal revenues. The trend of growth of this source of revenue in recent years, and the percentage it represented to total income of the 94 cities, are indicated in the following figures:

| Year | Grants | Percent of <br> total <br> revenues |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1926 | $\$ 89,238,000$ | 4.3 |
| 1928 | $111,207,000$ | 4.6 |
| 1930 | $126,236,000$ | 5.0 |
| 1932 | $180,938,000$ | 7.7 |
| 1934 | $329,540,000$ | 13.5 |
| 1936 | $377,004,000$ | 14.5 |
| 1937 | $404,958,000$ | 15.0 |

Federal grants directly to the cities amounted to nearly 16 percent of all grants received by the cities in 1937. Virtually all of these grants were for P.W.A. projects for capital improvements. County and other local grants were slightly more than 1 percent of the total of all grants, and were for a variety of purposes, chiefly schools.

PROPORTIONATELY-SHARED STATE TAXES.-The local shares of State taxes are received from the State, as are State grants, but under different conditions, for the former are taxes collected by the State and returned to the city, the state acting only as agent for the city in the transaction. Locally-shared faxes have

4/ In prior years' reports, special assessments and special charges were lumped, and al distinction was made on the basis of purpose-whether "for outlays" or "for operation and maintenance." Beginning with this 1937 report, the term "special assessments" is confined to those for outlays, anci all assessments for purposes of operation and maintenance (exclusive of public-service enterprises) are classified as "charges for current services."
existed since Civil War times, but have assumed a conspicuous place in the municipal revenue system only in recent years. Beginning with the 1937 report, revenue from this source is reported separately, under the general heading of "Revenue from other agencies." In prior years, these revenues were classified by the type of tax, regardless of the fact that they were levied by the state and received through the state on a shared basis determined by the State. The amount received from State-shared taxes in 1937 was $\$ 97,486,655$, or 3.6 percent of all revenues.

It is not possible to present comparative ilgures showing the trend of growth of shared taxes as was done for grants, because the data for earlier years are not available. It may be stated in a general way, however, that revenues from shared taxes during the depression did not show as rapid an increase as grants. Since the amount of locally-shared taxes is controlled by the amount collected, which collections ordinarily decline during periods of depression, a growth in this type of aid to localities has been restricted for the most part to cases where the relative proportion of taxes allotted to the local units has been increased or where newly imposed taxes have been shared with these units.

TOTAL STATE AID. -The total amount of revenues received by the cities in 1937 from the State, either as grants or proportionately-shared taxes, was $\$ 434,062,-$ 251, of which approximately four-fifths was grants and one-fifth shared taxes. The largest portion of these receipts was for schools, followed by charities and highways. While the greater portion of these State-aid revenues was from unspecified, or general, revenues, one-third came from specified sources, the most important being motor vehicle fuel taxes, alcoholic beverage revenues, and income taxes.

SALES TAXES. - Although receipts from taxes on sales and services constituted only a negligible proportion of total revenue for the 94 cities in 1937, they are of general interest largely because of the newness of sales taxes on the municipal level and also because of their importance in the revenue structures of those 7 cities that have adopted tax devices of this type. New York, for example, received almost $\$ 50,000,000$ in 1937 from a 2 percent tax on retail sales, with certain common exemptions. It also imposed a personal property tax designed to be complementary to the sales tax in reaching specific articles on which no sales tax had been paid. A sales tax, which, because of numerous exemptions was in effect a luxury tax, was imposed by New Orleans, and provided approximately 7 percent of the city's total income. Tampa, in 1937, levied a municipal sales tax on wholesale and retail merchants and brokers and also a sales tax on gasoline, both of which were declared unconstitutional by the courts after the close of the year. The 4 remaining cities in the sales tax group-St. Louis, Kansas City (Mo.), Birmingham, and Washington, D. C.-levied sales taxes only on gasoline, Washington's being levied by the city in the capacity of a State. Local sales taxes vary greatly in scope. Some being imposed upon the sale or consumption of a narrowly-selected list of articles, whereas New York City's sales tax is clearly a general sales tax.

OTHER SOURCES OF REVENUE.-The sources of municipal revenue other than those discussed above are fiscally not important. They will be considered briefly in connection with subsequent tables.

An analysis of the data presented in each of the tables comprising section A appears below.

TABLE 3
Revenues recelved by the 94 cities in 1937, classified by major sources, are shown in table 3. A more detailed breakdown of these sources is afforded in tables 6 to 13, inclusive.

REVENUES. - Of the total revenue of $\$ 2,708,292,876$ reported for the 94 cities in 1937, slightly more than half is accounted for by the revenues of New York, Chicago, Philadelphia, Detroit, and Los Angeles. New York alone accounts for
more than 28 percent of the total revenues reported by this group of cities. It may be of interest to note that the revenues of New York City were 1.5 times the total revenues reported by the State of New York; revenues of Chicago were 1.4 times those of the State of Illinois; and those of Baltimore were in excess of the revenues of the State of Maryland. ${ }^{5}$ In short, these three local governnents have fiscal operations of greater magnitude than the States which contain them, New York City being second in fiscal importance only to the Federal Government:

TREND OF REVENUES. -Total revenues reported for 1937 were 240 percent higher than the revenues of $\$ 784,000,000$ reported for the same group in 1916. The volume of revenues increased progressively to $\$ 2,548,000,000$ in 1930, but in 1932 a decline to $\$ 2,354,000,000$ was reported, reflecting, of course, the influence of depression conditions. A return in the volume of revenues to approximately the 1930 level was apparent in the $\$ 2,603,000,000$ reported in 1936, and the 1937 total, which continues the upward trend, is a record high for such recelpts. The figures are as shown herewith.

The increased volume of municipal revenues may be attributed in part to an improvement of general conditions within this group of local governments, but it is due in part to the fact that new and important sources of income have appeared in recent years.

SOURCES OF REVENJES. - As indicated in the open-

| 1926 | $\$ 2,096,342,991$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| 1928 | $2,415,106,587$ |
| 1930 | $2,548,117,410$ |
| 1932 | $2,354,297,618$ |
| 1934 | $2,445,300,134$ |
| 1936 | $2,602,954,735$ |
| 1937 | $2,708,292,876$ | ing discussion of this section, there was during 1937 a continuation of the developments which have occasioned significent shifts in the revenue sources of the 94 cities. Increasing demands upon locel government for general services, and for social welfare and relief activities, were not met through general property taxes or special assessments, probably because of considerations in most cases practical but in some cases also of a legal nature. Since the problems of these local governments involved State and national implications, a consequence has been for these cities to find the solution of their fiscal problems in an increasing dependence upon State and Federal grants. This source of revenue yielded only $\$ 30,000,000$ to the 94 cities in 1916, as compared with $\$ 405,000,000$ in 1937.

Although revenues from locally-shared State taxes are not new, having made their first appearance as early as 1865 in the sharing of corporation taxes in Massachusetts, their significance is increasing. They totaled $\$ 97,486,655$ in 1937.

Seven of the 94 cities found the sales tax an important aid in solving their revenue problems in 1937, particularly New York, which received over $\$ 49,000,000$ of the total of $\$ 55,852,014$ of such reported revenue. Revenues from alcoholic beverage licenses and taxes were also of increasing importance in 1937.

The relative importance of the various sources of revenue in the 1937 fiscal structure of the 94 cities is presented in table 5. Before discussing revenue sources on a relative, or percent distribution, basis, however, the per capita figures will be presented.

[^6]TABLE 3.-SUMMARY OF REVENUES, BY MAJOR SOURCES AND BY DIVISIONS OF MUNICIPAL GOVERNMENT: 1937

|  | CITY, AND DIVISIONS OF MONICIPAL GOVERMIRNT |  |  | Total revenues | General property taxes <br> (Table 6) | Property <br> (Table 6) | Business <br> (Table 6) | Sales and service <br> (Table 6) | OTHigr local taxks |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Poll(Tab1e 6) | All other <br> (Table 6) | Licenses and permits |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Use of street <br> (Table 7) | All other |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Bubiness <br> (Table 7) |  |
|  |  | --.--- | --..-- | \$2,708,292,876 | \$1,766, 972,358 | \$2,485,368 | \$53,971,646 | \$55,852,014 | \$2,235,027 | \$3,785,523 | \$12,253,182 | \$36,455,840 | \$5,549,611 |
|  |  |  | ------- | $\begin{array}{r} 1,863,279,962 \\ 318,583,047 \\ 526,129,867 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,194,225,008 \\ 215,382,832 \\ 357,364,518 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,472,168 \\ 113,955 \\ 899,245 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 39,349,897 \\ 8,570,346 \\ 6,051,403 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 52,885,764 \\ 2,546,517 \\ 419,733 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 235,679 \\ 67,707 \\ 1,931,641 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,639,364 \\ 62,646 \\ 83,513 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9,007,459 \\ 933,714 \\ 2,312,009 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 21,775,298 \\ 5,364,540 \\ 9,316,002 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,257,346 \\ 822,848 \\ 1,469,417 \end{array}$ |
| group i. -citiss having a population of 500,000 and over |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 |  | 39 | ------- | $\begin{array}{r} \begin{array}{r} \$ 771,045,939 \\ 764,588,519 \\ 6,467,420 \end{array} \end{array}$ | $\$ 459,755,607$ 459,755,607 | ---- | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 26,148,320 \\ & 26,148,320 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 49,129,460 \\ 49,129,460 \end{gathered}$ | -------------------- | -------------------- | $\begin{gathered} \begin{array}{c} \$ 1,286,226 \\ 1,286,226 \end{array} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 3,518,456 \\ 3,518,456 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 199,697 \\ 199,697 \end{array}$ |
| 2 |  | 16 | --- | $\begin{aligned} & 281,586,398 \\ & 141,637,738 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 193,000,947 \\ 80,147,735 \end{array}$ | ------------- | $\begin{aligned} & 5,031,029 \\ & 4,818,687 \end{aligned}$ | -------------- | ------------- | ------------- | $\begin{aligned} & 5,711,249 \\ & 5,711,249 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8,772,187 \\ & 8,702,276 \end{aligned}$ | 381,489 279 |
|  | County-------- | 6 | 82.3 | 27,464,331 | 19,143,980 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 59,597 | 101,503 |
|  | School district------------- | ${ }_{9}^{6}$ | 100.0 | 62,849,124 | 53,206,667 | - |  | ----------- |  |  | ------------ |  |  |
|  | Park district------------ |  | 100.0 84.5 | $27,008,096$ $20,719,561$ | $23,377,249$ $15,365,276$ | -------------- | 212,342 |  |  |  |  | 10,314 |  |
|  | Forest preserve district | 4 | 82.3 | 1,907,548 | 1,760,040 | ---------- | ------------ | ----------- | ---------- |  | ------------ | ----------- | ----------- |
| 3 | Philadelphia, Pa.---------- |  | -- | 112,197,354 | 77,859,128 | \$174,998 | 460,323 |  |  | \$44,920 | 70,235 | 403,950 | 184,598 |
|  | City corporation--.-.--- | 107 | ------ | 77,335,097 | 51,506,927 | 174,998 | 460,323 | ----------- | ----------- | 44,920 | 70,235 | 403,950 | 184,598 |
|  | School district-------- | 9 | 100.0 | 34,443,500 | 25,953,008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Poor district------------------ Detroit, | 6 | 100.0 | 418,757 | 399,193 |  |  |  |  |  | ----------- |  | ---------- |
| 4 | Detroit, M1oh. --.-...-.....-- City corporation---- |  | ------- | $\begin{array}{r} 114,061,647 \\ 91,109,751 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 82,776,320 \\ & 68,012,702 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 60,632 \\ 5,207 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | -------------- |  |  | 103,753 | $\begin{aligned} & 252,734 \\ & 252,734 \end{aligned}$ | $508,561$ $508,561$ | 348,931 329,012 |
|  | county----------------- | 27 | 78.9 | 22,951,896 | 14,763,618 | 55,425 |  |  |  | 103,753 |  |  | 19,919 |
| 5 | Los Angeles, Callf. -------- |  | ------ | 108,114,094 | 63,225,838 | ----------- |  | ----------- | --------- |  | 104,685 | 1,371,141 |  |
|  | City oorporation------------- County-- | $\begin{aligned} & 26 \\ & 40 \end{aligned}$ | ------ | 36,549,239 | $22,919,243$ $21,173,776$ | ---------- | 1,156,565 |  |  |  | 24,910 | 1,306,898 | 895,766 |
|  |  | 40 | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} 88.4 \\ 87.4 \\ 81.3 \end{array}\right.$ | 32,894,985 | 21,173,776 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 101,471 |
|  | School distr |  |  | 38,669,870 | 19,132,819 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 3.-SUMMARY OF REvENUES, BY MAJOR SOURCES AND BY DIVISIONS OF MUNICIPAL GOVERNMENT: 1937—Continued


GRoUP II.-CITIES BAVING A POPULAIITIN OF 300,000 TO 500,000



| $\begin{array}{r} \$ 21,238,361 \\ 18,365,293 \\ 2,873,068 \end{array}$ | ---- | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 35,526 \\ & 35,526 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | -------------- |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19,306,004 | ---------- | 684,099 | \$1,921,931 | ----------- |
| 11,084,820 |  | 684,099 | 1,921,931 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 5,342,678 \\ & 2,878,506 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 17,854,308 | ---------- | 59, 263 | ----------- |  |
| 4,657,463 | --------- | ----------- |  |  |
| 24,784 |  |  |  |  |
| 30,007,122 | \$79,025 | 3,216,151 | ----------- | \$105 |
| 24,896,911 | 31,554 47,471 | 3,216,151 |  | 105 |
| 5,110,211 | 47,471 |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{r} 16,210,649 \\ 6,744,365 \end{array}$ | ------------- | $\begin{aligned} & 303,587 \\ & 303,587 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 624,586 \\ & 624.586 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 3,510,376 |  |  |  |  |
| 5,955,908 |  |  |  |  |
| 13,523,239 |  | 712,494 | ----------- |  |
| 5,668,218 <br> 3,853,190 |  | 712,494 |  |  |
| 4,001,831 |  |  |  |  |
| 13,758,151 | ---------- | 19,871 | ----------- | 67,327 |
| 6,007,323 |  | 19,871 |  | 15,311 |
| $2,442,113$ $5,308,715$ |  |  |  | 52,016 |
| 22,126,849 |  | 125,213 | ---------- |  |
| 17,415,519 |  | 125,213 |  |  |
| 4,711,330 |  |  |  |  |
| 24,166,983 | 34,930 | 2,748,182 |  | 275 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 19,125,588 \\ 5,041,395 \end{array}$ | 18,578 16,352 | 2,748,182 |  | 275 |
| 12,287,690 | ---------- | 266,321 | ----------- | ---------- |
| 6,188,054 |  | 266,321 | -------------- |  |
| 3,704,009 |  |  |  |  |
| 599,274 |  | ------.---- |  |  |
| 33,920 |  |  |  |  |


| $\begin{array}{r} \$ 62,646 \\ 47,318 \\ 15,328 \end{array}$ | \$8,745 8,745 --- | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 606,457 \\ 600,918 \\ 5,539 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 94,440 \\ 83,278 \\ 11,162 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -------------- | $\begin{aligned} & 144,567 \\ & 144,567 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,636,178 \\ & 1,636,178 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 29,506 \\ & 29,506 \end{aligned}$ |
| ------------------ | 5,048 5,048 | 77,314 70,453 6,861 | $\begin{array}{r} 115,161 \\ 65,561 \\ 49,601 \end{array}$ |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 753,867 \\ & 753,867 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 83,904 \\ & 83,904 \end{aligned}$ |
| ------------------ | 448,549 448,549 | $\begin{gathered} 812,088 \\ 717,688 \\ 94,40 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 82,861 \\ 73,904 \\ 8,957 \end{array}$ |
| ------------- | 3,910 | 226,879 208,769 | 83,927 74,672 |
|  | 3,910 | 18,110 | 9,255 |
| ------------- | 22,399 22,399 | 60,751 <br> 59,321 | 67,251 58,483 |
|  |  | 1,430 | 8,768 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 1,071 \\ & 1,071 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 50,685 \\ 50,685 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 49,330 \\ & 46,815 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 33,222 \\ & 33,222 \end{aligned}$ | $414,520$ | 21,973 21,327 |
|  |  |  | 646 |
| --- | $\begin{aligned} & 191,273 \\ & 191,273 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 131,521 \\ 76,615 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 57,204 \\ & 57,204 \end{aligned}$ |
| ---------- | ----------- | ----- | ----------- |
| ---------- | -- |  | ----------- |

TABLE 3.-SUMMARY OF REVENUES, BY MAJOR SOURCES AND BY DIVISIONS OF MUNICIPAL GOVERNMENT: 1937-Continued

|  | GTTY, AND DIVISIONS OF MONICIPAL GOVERRMENT | Number or funde ported | Porof in -dependent sions in-cluded | Total revanus | General proporty taxes | OTHER LOCAL taxes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Property | Bualness | Salez and sorvico | Poil | All other | Lioenses and permits |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Use of street | All other |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Buainoss | Nonbus1ness |
|  |  |  |  |  | (Table 6) | (Table 6) | (Table 6) | (Table 6) | (Table 6) | (Table 6) | (Table 7) | (Table 7) | (Table 7) |

group II.-CItias having a population of 300,000 to 500,000-Continued

| 25 | Louisville, Ky.........-....-- C1ty corporation---.... County | 33 | -------- | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 13,846,683 \\ 12,159,385 \\ 1,687,298 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 10,310,934 \\ 8,737,084 \\ 1,573,850 \end{array}$ | ------------- | $\$ 135,588$ 135,588 | ------------- | - | --. | $\begin{array}{r}\$ 59,895 \\ 57,845 \\ 2,050 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\$ 419,006$ 419,006 | $\$ 26,606$ 26,606 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 26 | Portland, City Oreg. Oorporation------------ | 14 | -------- | $18,242,329$ <br> $8,348,094$ | $14,592,542$ <br> $6,240,022$ <br> 1 | --- | 264,051 264,051 |  |  | ------------- | 15,035 | 175,274 <br> 174,333 | 110,685 101,063 |
|  |  | 4 | ${ }_{93.1}^{90.1}$ | 5,373,082 $4,128,059$ | $4,269,349$ $3,690,077$ | -------------- |  |  |  |  |  | 941 | 9,622 |
|  | Port distriot | 1 | 92.7 | 393,094 | 393,094 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

group iti.-Cities having a population of 100,000 to 300,000


$214,340,010$
$9,40,323$
$4,935,687$
$13,983,624$
$7,545,32$
$6,438,302$
$14,833,720$
$7,013,566$
$7,172,591$
648,563
$20,120,345$
$14,407,693$
$5,712,652$
$10,717,485$$|$

10,717,485
$\$ 8,303,891$
$5,138,914$
$3,164,977$
$8,439,193$
$4,368,280$
$4,07,913$
$9,729,728$
$5,152,982$
$3,928,213$
648,563
$11,919,287$
$6,293,233$
$5,666,054$
$5,976,471$



\$117,249 $\qquad$


| 9,498,117 |
| :---: |
| 13,293,217 |
| 6,219,009 |
| 12,358,800 |
| $6,856,868$$5,501,932$ |
|  |  |
|  |
| 16,681,180 |
| 7,025,033 |
| 7,025,033 $3,640,903$ |
| 3,384,130 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 8,718,466 \\ & 5,321,256 \\ & 3,397,210 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 7,568,083 \\ & 3,690,813 \\ & 3,877,270 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |
|  |
| $7,507,092$ <br> $3,690,009$ |
|  |  |
|  |
|  |
| $6,939,809$$3,764,890$ |
|  |  |
|  |
| $6,889,293$$3,753,476$$3,35,817$ |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |
|  |
|  |

$6,776,539$
$8,214,891$
$2,949,595$
$7,176,005$
$3,71,109$
$3,463,896$
$5,728,699$
$12,639,956$
$5,235,070$
$3,111,282$
$2,123,788$
$6,728,710$
$3,150,025$
$2,913,685$
$10,660,049$
$10,413,318$
246,731
$6,813,473$
$4,059,413$
$2,754,060$
$4,612,948$
$1,567,626$
$3,045,322$
$9,507,996$
$5,615,076$
$4,772,540$
$2,332,373$
$2,385,088$
55,079
$4,317,188$
$2,405,416$
$1,911,772$
$4,447,054$
$2,721,232$
$1,725,822$
$9,748,162$
$9,490,520$
257,642

|  | \$73,-759 | 156,050 1,824 22,006 | 73,636 378,958 569,283 | 33,693 23,958 22,887 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2,664 \\ & 2,664 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26,030 \\ & 26,030 \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  |  | 255,133 | 213,811 | 55,420 |
| 51,599 |  | 27,008 | 364,920 | 31,816 |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 99,065 \\ & 99,065 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 61,536 \\ & 61,536 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 23,155 \\ 23,155 \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 199,304 \\ & 199,304 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 360,552 \\ & 216,169 \\ & 144,383 \end{aligned}$ | 50,039 50,039 |
|  |  | --------------- | $\begin{aligned} & 33,420 \\ & 33,420 \end{aligned}$ | 33,139 33,139 |
|  |  | 4,516 4,516 | $\begin{gathered} 38,997 \\ 38,997 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25,448 \\ & 25,448 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 96,647 \\ & 96,647 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 50,022 \\ 50,022 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 94,637 \\ & 94,637 \end{aligned}$ |
| 107,968 |  | 269 | 259,611 | 9,455 |
| 47,488 |  | 257,616 | 612,120 | 14,082 |
|  |  | --------------- | $\begin{aligned} & 34,928 \\ & 34,928 \end{aligned}$ | 15,536 15,536 |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 4,862 \\ & 4,862 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 44,413 \\ & 44,413 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23,724 \\ & 23,724 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 52,234 \\ & 52,234 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20,814 \\ & \infty, 814 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8,233 \\ & 8,233 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 275,713 \\ & 275,713 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 4,658 \\ & 4,658 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8,879 \\ & 8,879 \end{aligned}$ | 30,260 30,260 |

table 3．－SUMMARY OF REVENUES，BY MAJOR SOURCES AND BY DIVISIONS OF MUNICIPAL GOVERNMENT：1937－Continued

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 夏 } \\ & \text { 吕 } \\ & \text { ث } \end{aligned}$ | CITY，AND DIVISIONS OF MUNICIPAL GOVERNMENT | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Kumber } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { funds } \\ & \text { re- } \\ & \text { ported } \end{aligned}$ | Por－centof 1n－depen－dentdentsivi－innoluded | Total revemies | General prop－ erty taxes | other local taxes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Property | Business | Sales and service | Poll | All other | Licenges and permits |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Use of street | All other |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Business | Nonbusi- |
|  |  |  |  |  | （Table 6） | （Table 6） | （Table 6） | （Table 6） | （Table 6） | （Table 6） | （Table 7） | （Table 7） | （Table 7） |

GROUP III．－CITtes having a pofulation of 100,000 to 300,000 －Contimued

| 49 |  | －－－－－18 |  | \＄8，345，131 <br> 4，503，351，752 | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 5,397,821 \\ 3,041,893 \\ 2,355,928 \end{array}$ | －－－－－－－－－－－－－ |  | －－－－－－－－－－－－－－ | －－－－－－－－－－－－－ | －－－－－－－－－－－－－－ | $\$ 5,700$ 5,700 | $\$ 35,219$ 35,219 | $\$ 12,145$ 12,145 -1. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 50 | New Haven，Conn． City corporation Improvement association－ | 37 2 | － | $\begin{array}{r} 9,645,156 \\ 9,607,330 \\ 37,826 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8,317,073 \\ 8,279,855 \\ 37,218 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 1,170 \\ 1,170 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 235,445 \\ 235,445 \end{array}$ | －－－－－－－－－－－－－－ | $\begin{aligned} & 8,327 \\ & 8,327 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13,798 \\ & 13,798 \end{aligned}$ | 30,959 30,959 |
| 51 | San Diogo，Calif． $\qquad$ City corporation－ School district $\square$ |  | －－－．－－ | $\begin{aligned} & 7,955,247 \\ & 4,403,006 \\ & 3,552,241 \end{aligned}$ | 4，825，859 <br> 2，997，353 <br> 1，828，506 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 130,428 \\ & 130,428 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | 4，052 4,052 | $\begin{aligned} & 106,220 \\ & 106,220 \end{aligned}$ | 74,222 74,222 |
| 52 | ng Beach，Callf． $\qquad$ <br> City corporation $\qquad$ <br> School district $\qquad$ | 10 | \｛高 $\begin{gathered}80.2 \\ 80.8\end{gathered}$ | 8，963，072 <br> 4，702，647 <br> 4，260，425 | 5，384，209 <br> 2，941，555 <br> 2，442，654 | －－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－ | $\begin{aligned} & 41,156 \\ & 41,156 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 48,250 \\ & 48,250 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 170,078 \\ & 170,078 \end{aligned}$ | 58,474 58,474 |
| 53 | Nashvi | 23 | －．．．－ | 5，448，420 | 3，652，674 |  | 112，079 |  | －－－－－－．－－－－ |  | 49，021 | 44，810 | 1，878 |
| 54 | Spring | 15 |  | 11，428，473 | 8，473，937 |  |  |  | 85，958 |  | 9，016 | 187，342 | 2，682 |
| 55 | $\underset{\text { Cise }}{\substack{\text { city } \\ 0}}$ | 10 | －－－－－－－－ | $\begin{aligned} & 5,748,232 \\ & 2,718,562 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4,402,697 \\ & 2,337,294 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 151,852 \\ & 151,852 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 10,458 \\ & 10,458 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18,628 \\ 18,628 \end{gathered}$ | 14,528 14,528 |
|  | hool |  | $\left\{\begin{array}{r}87.3 \\ 100.0\end{array}\right.$ | 3，029，670 | 2，065，403 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 56 | Bitugeport，conn | 31 |  | 8，453，022 | 7，059，105 |  |  |  | 250，254 |  | 933 | 10，873 | 10，758 |
| 57 |  | 24 3 3 | －－－－－－－1－1 | $\begin{aligned} & 6,290,348 \\ & 3,352,564 \\ & 2,937,784 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5,553,434 \\ & 2,798,450 \\ & 2,754,984 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 57,469 \\ & 57,469 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | 19,244 19,244 | $\begin{aligned} & 130,352 \\ & 130,332 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 42,963 \\ & 42,963 \end{aligned}$ |
| 58 |  | 13 5 | $\|$－－－－－－－ <br> -100.0 | 5，338，315 <br> 2，238，325 <br> 3，099 990 | 3，866，130 <br> 1，637，518 <br> 2，228，612 | －－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－ | $\begin{aligned} & 16,784 \\ & 16,784 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 65,335 \\ & 18,353 \\ & 46,982 \end{aligned}$ |  | 7,737 7,737 | 12,850 12,850 | 8,770 8,770 |




TABLE 3.-SUMMARY OF REVENUES, BY MAJOR SOURCES AND BY DIVISIONS OF MUNICIPAL GOVERNMENT: 1937—Continued

|  | CITY, AND DIVISIONS OF MUNICIPAL GOVERNUENT | Number of funds reported | Per-contof in-dopen-dentdivi-sionsin-oludod | Total revenues | General proporty texes | OTHER LOCAL taxss |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Property | Business | Sales and service | Poll | All other | Licenses and permits |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Use of street | All other |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Business | Nonbusiness |
|  |  |  |  |  | (Table 6) | (Table 6) | (Table 6) | (Table 6) | (Table 6) | (Table 6) | (Table 7) | ( Table 7) | (Table 7) |

groop ill.-cities having a population of 100,000 to 300,000-Contimued

| 78 | Knoxtille, Tonn. | 13 | ------ | \$4,566,980 | \$3,417,243 | ---------- |  | ----------- | ---------- | ---------- | \$33,933 | \$86,210 | --------- |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 79 | Pooria, mil. |  | - | 5,123,835 | 3,089,570 |  | \$13,676 | ---- |  |  | 13,288 | 275,802 | \$11,784 |
|  | City oorporation School distriot- | 4 | ------8 | 2,388,217 | $1,262,988$ $1,355,038$ | -------------- | 13,676 |  |  | ---------- | 13,288 | 275,802 | 11,784 |
|  | Park distriot- | 1 | 92.8 | 189,748 | 127,354 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ---------- |
|  | Sanitary distrio | 1 | 93.1 100.0 | 429,610 229,703 | 333,097 11,093 | --------- | ----------- |  |  |  |  |  | ----------- |
| 80 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 80 | South Bond, Ind. City oorporation |  | ---. | $\begin{aligned} & 3,240,681 \\ & 1,313,614 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,424,923 \\ 949,290 \end{array}$ | +100 | - |  | $\$ 27,984$ 9,494 | ------------ | 3,363 3,363 | $11,008$ | 7,647 7,647 |
|  | School district |  | 100.0 | 1,927,067 | 1,475,643 |  |  |  | 18,490 |  |  |  |  |
| 81 | Tacoma, Mash.-- |  | ---- | 4,077,315 | 2,024,790 |  | 265,499 |  |  |  |  | 34,727 | 6,141 |
|  | City oorporation | 11 | 98.3 | 2,048,41 | $1,231,276$ 686,06 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Park distriet | 2 | 99.4 | 139,991 | 106,808 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 82 | Muen, Ma |  | ------ | 7,302,722 | 4,614,100 |  | 33,359 33,369 |  | 18,111 |  | 100,185 | 443,233 | 84,771 |
|  | City oorporation School district- | 7 | 54.2 | 5,442,037 $1,860,685$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3,442,756 \\ & 1,171,344 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | 18,111 |  | 100,185 | 441,837 1,396 | 84,771 |
| 83 | Gary, Ind.---------- |  | ------ | 4,001,172 | 3,184,598 | --------- | ----------- |  | 15,224 | ---------- | 917 | 7,228 | 11,696 |
|  | C1ty corporation........ <br> Sohool distriot | 14 2 | 100.0 | $1,832,331$ $2,188,841$ | $1,495,862$ $1,688,736$ |  |  |  | 15,284 |  | 917 | 7,228 | 11,696 |
| 84 | Canton, ohio |  |  | 4,379,340 | 2,456,679 |  |  |  |  |  | 27 | 13,434 |  |
|  | City oorporation- Sohool diatriot-- | ${ }_{5}^{18}$ | 8.1 | $2,069,857$ $2,309,483$ | , 952,503 $1,504,176$ |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{27}$ | 13,434 | 9,399 |
| 85 | Wilmington, |  |  | 4,283,234 | 2,476,973 |  | 14,990 |  |  |  | 7,905 | 60,948 | 18,154 |


| 86 |  | --7 | $-----1$ | $\left.\begin{aligned} & 4,481,450 \\ & 2,871,981 \\ & 1,609,469 \end{aligned} \right\rvert\,$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,784,196 \\ 1,933,226 \\ 850,970 \end{array}$ | ---------- | 3,500 3,500 | $\xrightarrow{\$ 133,273} 13$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,480 \\ \hdashline 1,480 \end{array}$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 234,929 \\ 234,527 \\ 402 \end{array}$ | 8,693 8,693 ---- |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 87 | Sanerville, Mass | 13 | ------ | 6,092, 228 | 4,677,416 |  | --- | ----------- | 52,120 | --------- | 377 | 94,603 | 7,736 |
| 88 | $\mathrm{El}_{1} \mathrm{Paso}$, Tex. | 7 | ------ | 2,753,364 | 1,812,993 | ---.----- | 5,999 | ----------- | --------- | ---------- | 37,888 | 20,782 | 9,386 |
| 89 | Evansville, Ind. City corporation School district | $\begin{array}{r}19 \\ 4 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 100.0 | $\begin{aligned} & 3,157,238 \\ & 1,701,287 \\ & 1,455,399 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,188,175 \\ & 1,155,360 \\ & 1,032,815 \end{aligned}$ | ----.-.-.--- | 10,729 10,729 | -------------- | $\begin{gathered} 20,111 \\ 10,134 \\ 9,977 \end{gathered}$ | ------------- | 22,012 22,012 | $\begin{aligned} & 16,243 \\ & 16,243 \end{aligned}$ | 10,884 10,884 |
| 90 | Lym, Mass | 15 | --.--- | 6,371,602 | 4,298,564 |  |  |  | 57,386 | ---------- | 1,446 | 136,285 | 5,867 |
| 91 | Otica, N. Y. | 26 | ------ | 6,264,306 | 4,502,879 | ---------- | 23,776 | ----------- | ---------- | ----..----- | ----------- | 4,664 | 7,639 |
| 92 | Duluth, Minn. City corporation School district- | 5 | -7--. | $\begin{aligned} & 5,199,226 \\ & 2,626,563 \\ & 2,572,663 \end{aligned}$ | 4,028,739 2,095,491 <br> 1,933,248 | -- | 3,779 3,779 |  | ------------- | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 9,754 \\ 4,877 \\ 4,877 \end{array}$ | 3,773 3,773 | 101,331 <br> 101,331 | 12,715 12,715 |
| 93 | Waterbury, Conn. | 13 | ----- | 5,795,044 | 5,106,400 |  |  |  | 139,630 |  | 4,008 | 7,715 | 16,031 |
| 94 | Lowell, Mass. | 12 | -.-.-- | 5,524,419 | 3,990,328 |  |  |  | 39,626 |  |  | 109,009 | 1,752 |
|  | Honolulu, Hewa 111 | 1 |  | 7,413,352 | 4,000,000 | ---------- | 97,040 | ----------- | 7,978 | ---------- | 1,121,662 | 213,629 | 44,241 |

1/ Not included in group or grand totals.

TABLE 3．－SUMMARY OF REVENUES，BY MAJOR SOURCES AND BY DIVISIONS OF MONICIPAL GOVERNMENT：1937－Continued

|  | CITY，AND DIVISIONS OF MONICIPAL | Fines，for－ feits，and penelties <br> （Table 8） |  |  | From other agencies |  |  |  |  |  | Spacial as－ sesmonts for outlays <br> （Table 12） | current services <br> （Table 13） |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 䛱 } \\ & \text { 号 } \\ & \text { 岂 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Interest <br> （Table 8） | Rents and royaltios <br> （Table 8） | Proportion－ atoly sharod State tazes （Table 9） | Grants （Tables 10 and 11 ） | Pension as－ ses sments <br> （Table 12） | Donations and con－ tributione <br> （Table 12） | Uncladmod monoys （Table 12） | Contribu－ tions from public－ service enterprises （Table 12） |  |  |
|  | Grand | \＄12，103，118 | －55，430，843 | \＄9，366，693 | \＄97，486，655 | \＄404，957，525 | \＄37，538，946 | \＄2，097，841 | \＄755，295 | \＄31，636，434 | \＄33，361，059 | \＄83，997，898 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Group I-. } \\ & \text { Group II- } \\ & \text { Group III- } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6,995,351 \\ & 1,480,218 \\ & 3,627,549 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 45,063,508 \\ 4,707,385 \\ 5,650,050 \end{array}$ $5,659,950$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7,030,964 \\ & 1,003,887 \\ & 1,331,844 \end{aligned}$ | 73，680，158 <br> 6，150，173 <br> 17，656，324 | $\begin{array}{r} 281,323,710 \\ 46,163,746 \\ 77,470,069 \end{array}$ | 29，528，763 <br> 3，781，031 <br> 4，229，152 | $967,262$ <br> 774，112 <br> 356，467 | $\begin{array}{r} 699,552 \\ 45,785 \\ 29,958 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 22,686,598 \\ 2,376,902 \\ 6,572,934 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16,946,275 \\ 5,780,308 \\ 10,634,476 \end{array}$ | 52，529，838 <br> 12，754，395 <br> 18，713，665 |
| group i．－citiles hating a population of 500，000 and ovar |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | New York，N．Y． $\qquad$ City corporation－ Bridge，parkway，and tunnel districts $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1,605,559 \\ 1,605,559 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 21,359,420 \\ 21,266,087 \\ 93,333 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 442,795 \\ 442,795 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 39,306,397 \\ 39,306,397 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 118,963,221 \\ 116,285,582 \\ 2,677,639 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 15,708,086 \\ 15,708,086 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 4,506 \\ 4,506 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 534,599 \\ 534,599 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 12,334,614 \\ & 12,334,614 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 7,761,588 \\ 7,761,588 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 12,987,388 \\ 9,290,940 \\ 3,696,448 \end{gathered}$ |
| 2 | Chicago，Ill． <br> City corporation <br> County <br> School district <br> Park district $\qquad$ <br> Sanitary district $\qquad$ <br> Forest preserve district－－ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,194,341 \\ 925,116 \\ 32,472 \\ \hdashline 236,753 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,761,382 \\ 2,160,702 \\ 204,922 \\ 407,016 \\ 716,881 \\ 1,271,861 \end{array}$ | 869,990 <br> 26,947 <br> 773,021 <br> 3,010 <br> 57,867 <br> 9,145 |  | $\begin{array}{r} 45,460,627 \\ 29,702,881 \\ 4,198,846 \\ 6,452,594 \\ 1,258,162 \\ 3,848,144 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,551,261 \\ 2,358,747 \\ 423,481 \\ 1,253,214 \\ 373,102 \\ 10,958 \\ 21,759 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 46,884 \\ 22,464 \\ \hline-24, \ldots 20 \end{array}$ | 12,624 <br> 4,083 <br> 2,017 <br> -72 <br> 6,452 | $\begin{array}{r}341,903 \\ 341,903 \\ \hline---\cdots-\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,456,515 \\ 2,436,957 \\ 19,558 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8,680,002 \\ 3,684,042 \\ 3,297,508 \\ 732,192 \\ 800,653 \\ 49,003 \\ 116,604 \end{array}$ |
| 3 | Philadelphia，Pa $\square$ City corporation－ School district－ Poor district | $\begin{array}{r} 378,093 \\ 378,045 \\ 48 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9,261,391 \\ 8,283,295 \\ 978,096 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,710,404 \\ 2,709,944 \\ -\quad 460 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,778,558 \\ & 1,778,558 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8,687,889 \\ & 2,186,118 \\ & 6,501,771 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,720,020 \\ 763,498 \\ 956,522 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 34,966 \\ 34,42 \\ \hdashline-354 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,479 \\ & 2,479 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4,997,950 \\ & 4,997,950 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 211,040 \\ & 211,040 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,226,412 \\ 3,143,787 \\ 54,055 \\ 18,570 \end{array}$ |
| 4 | Detroit，M1ch．－－．．．－． City oorporation County County | $\begin{array}{r} 794,134 \\ 748,088 \\ 46,046 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 156,776 \\ 129,531 \\ 27,245 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15,271 \\ 12,790 \\ 2,481 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5,449,616 \\ 921,610 \\ 4,528,006 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13,960,038 \\ 12,778,840 \\ 1,181,198 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 599,937 \\ & 599.937 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 38,598 \\ 18,535 \\ 63 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 47,878 \\ & 47,878 \end{aligned}$ | －－－－－－－－－－－－－－ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,124,070 \\ 2,039,137 \\ 94,933 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6,844,398 \\ & 4,705,189 \\ & 2,139,209 \end{aligned}$ |
| 5 | Los Angeles，Calif． City oopporation－ County－a－．－． School district | $\begin{aligned} & 988,902 \\ & 763,741 \\ & 225,161 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,543,766 \\ 988,380 \\ 555,386 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 53,503 \\ 41,862 \\ 9,017 \\ 2,624 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,674,947 \\ 518,734 \\ 4,156,213 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 27,957,951 \\ 3,794,811 \\ 4,734,322 \\ 19,428,818 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 674,041 \\ & 396,106 \\ & 277,935 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16,120 \\ 15,780 \\ 340 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25,128 \\ 17,366 \\ 7,762 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,025,547 \\ & 1,025,547 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 139,296 \\ 10,728 \\ 31,568 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,159,427 \\ 2,575,820 \\ 1,478,016 \\ 105,609 \end{array}$ |



| 15 | Minneapolis, Minn. | \$126,805 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | City oorporation-- | 121,625 |
|  | county----------- | 5,180 |
| 26 | New Orleans, La.- | 149,606 |
|  | City corporation | 149,606 |
|  | School distriot- |  |
|  | Levee district |  |
| 17 | Cincinnati, ohio- | 236,952 |
|  | City corporation- | 225,321 |
|  | County- | 11,608 |
|  | School district |  |
|  | Park district---- | 23 |
| 18 | Newark, N. J.-- | 135,706 |
|  | City oorporatio | 77,362 |
|  | County- | 58,344 |


| $\$ 671,619$ 645,992 | $\$ 10,759$ 10,759 | 1153,415 120,207 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{r} 645,992 \\ 25,627 \end{array}$ | 10,759 | 120,207 33,208 |
| 257,659 | 50,175 | 24,997 |
| 136,969 | 50,175 | 24,997 |
| 120,489 |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| 1,274,390 | 113,619 | 2,566,518 |
| 1,022,645 | 105,334 | 1,694,881 |
| 126,664 | 2,713 | 871,637 |
| 125,079 | 5,572 |  |
| 908,125 | 80,897 | 115,702 |
| 707,501 | 78,597 | 85,614 |
| 200,624 | 2,300 | 30,088 |


| $\$ 8,054,758$ $5,097,638$ | \%692,421 692,421 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2,957,120 |  |
| 2,830,201 | 215,703 |
| 1,242,408 | 100,568 |
| 1,577,793 | 115,135 |
| 10,000 |  |
| 7,216,840 | 415,632 |
| 1,891,492 | 254,872 |
| 3,195,279 |  |
| 2,125,635 | 160,760 |
| 4,434 |  |
| 5,299,020 | ${ }_{5781}^{651,673}$ |
| 4,897,080 | 578,517 |



| $\$ 13,558$ 13,558 |
| :---: |
| 1,041 |
| 985 |
| 12,082 |
| $\begin{array}{r}12,053 \\ \hline 29\end{array}$ |
|  |
|  |
|  |



| \$1,213,577 | \$1,054,469 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1,202,282 | 842,690 |
| 11,295 | 211,779 |
| 228,772 | 789,644 |
| 828,772 | 743,270 |
|  | 7,054 |
| -------- | 39,320 |
| 1,228,345 | 2,126,311 |
| 864,355 | 1,711,303 |
| 363,990 | 350,086 |
|  | 63,611 |
|  | 1,311 |
| 71,222 | 1,436,187 |
| 71,222 | 462,751 |

TABLE 3.-SUMMARY OF REVENUES, BY MAJOR SOURCES AND BY DIVISIONS OF MUNICIPAL GOVERNMENT: 1937-Continued

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 嵩 } \\ & \text { 冒 } \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \end{aligned}$ | CITY, AND DIVISIONS OF $\underset{\text { GOVRRNAENT }}{\text { RUNICIPAL }}$ | Fines, forfoits, and penalties <br> (Table 8) | USE Of Money and |  | froca other agenctes |  |  |  |  |  | Special assessments for outlays <br> (Table 12) | Charges for current services <br> (Table 13) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Interest <br> (Table 8) | Rents and royalties <br> (Table 8) | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Proportion- } \\ \text { ately } \\ \text { shared } \\ \text { State } \\ \text { taxes } \end{array}$ <br> (Table 9) | Grants <br> (Tables 10 <br> and 11) <br> and 11 | Ponsion assos sments <br> (Table 12) | Donations and contributions <br> (Table 12) | Unclatimed moneys <br> (Table 12) | Contribu- <br> tions from publiceervice enterprises <br> (Table 12) |  |  |

GROUP II.-CITLES HAVING A POPULATION OF 300,000 TO 500,000 -Continued

group iti.-CIties having a population of 100,000 to 300,000

| 27 |  | $\begin{gathered} \$ 212,369 \\ 212,369 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 134,767 \\ 38,313 \\ 96,454 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 14,597 \\ 14,597 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 1,224,977 \\ 1,224,977 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 2,691,571 \\ 1,206,100 \\ 1,485,471 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1108,507 \\ 1,420 \\ 107,087 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 14,365 \\ 14,365 \end{gathered}$ | ------ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 150,288 \\ & 150,288 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 1,001,625 \\ 1,001,625 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 392,270 \\ 310,572 \\ 81,698 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 28 | Toledo, ohioCity corporationSchool district | $\begin{aligned} & 91,436 \\ & 91,436 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 95,560 \\ & 50,543 \\ & 45,017 \end{aligned}$ | 4,939 4,939 | $1,127,309$ <br> $1,127,309$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,621,705 \\ 513,584 \\ 2,114,121 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 144,311 \\ & 133,233 \\ & 131,078 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8,060 \\ & 7,425 \\ & 635 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 170,724 170,724 | $\begin{array}{r} 1,062,224 \\ 98,686 \\ 76,538 \end{array}$ |
| 29 | Oakland, Calif. City corporationSchool district $\qquad$ | $\begin{aligned} & 140,943 \\ & 140,943 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 36,847 \\ 27,830 \\ 9,817 \end{array}$ | ----------------- | 159,015 159,015 | $\begin{array}{r} 3,762,683 \\ 662,686 \\ 3,099,997 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 92,113 \\ & 92,113 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r}344 \\ 344 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | ---------- |  | --------------------- | $\begin{aligned} & 436,132 \\ & 302,568 \\ & 133,564 \end{aligned}$ |
| 30 | Denver, Colo City corporation <br> School district | $\begin{array}{r} 103,241 \\ 102,856 \\ 385 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 73,046 \\ 67,161 \\ 5,885 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 45,843 \\ & 45,843 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 110,400 \\ & 110,400 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5,307,666 \\ 5,277,558 \\ 30,108 \end{array}$ | 30,667 30,667 | $\begin{array}{r} 12,041 \\ 9,719 \\ 2,322 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 181 \\ 181 \end{gathered}$ | - | $1,137,671$ <br> $1,137,671$ | $\begin{array}{r} 753,592 \\ 705,694 \\ 47,898 \end{array}$ |
| 31 | Atlanta, Ge | 237,635 | 31,448 | 7,153 | 27,471 | 600,045 | 33,494 | 300 | 170 | 1,494,673 | 183,638 | 857,568 |
| 32 | Dallas, Tex | 105,017 | 87,009 | 8,048 |  | 1,220,891 | 22,920 | 10,593 | -------- | 134,604 | 267,303 | 442,313 |
| 33 | St. Paul, | 88,635 | 558,495 | 60,115 | 64,943 | 2,266,582 | 51,072 | 325 | 541 |  | 572,866 | 507,539 |
| 34 | B1rmingham, | 193,866 | 131,843 | 18,196 | 144,369 | 824,856 | 14,380 | 100 | 966 |  | 523,350 | 226,458 |
| 35 | Akron, Ohio--City corpor School dist | $\begin{aligned} & 66,170 \\ & 66,170 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 249,523 \\ 235,243 \\ 14,280 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13,837 \\ & 13,837 \end{aligned}$ | 975,959 975,959 | $\begin{array}{r} 2,403,800 \\ 546,463 \\ 1,857,337 \end{array}$ | 112,147 | 15,498 15,498 | ------ | 3,466 3,466 | 708,086 708,086 | $\begin{gathered} 605,615 \\ 551,343 \\ 54,272 \end{gathered}$ |
| 36 | Memphis, Te | 146,458 | 26,573 | 4,191 | 118,830 | 1,329,510 | 7,394 | 4,267 | 1,277 | 110,695 | 125,160 | 263,324 |
| 37 | Pro | 39,909 | 553,943 | 29,444 | 378,675 | 1,792,504 | 300,725 |  |  |  | 21,592 | 449,089 |
| 38 | San Antonio, 'fex.City corporatio School district | $\begin{aligned} & 18,642 \\ & 18,642 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17,481 \\ 14,493 \\ 2,988 \end{gathered}$ | 1,693 1,693 | -------------- | $\frac{1,164,010}{1,164,010}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11,857 \\ & 11,857 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7,502 \\ & 5,552 \\ & 1,950 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 130 \\ \hdashline-130 \end{array}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 240,680 \\ 149,416 \\ 91,264 \end{gathered}$ |
| 39 | Onaha, Nebr. $\qquad$ City corporatio School district | $\begin{aligned} & 43,157 \\ & 32,943 \\ & 10,214 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 116,410 \\ 95,286 \\ 21,124 \end{array}$ | 2,386 2,386 | $\begin{aligned} & 47,535 \\ & 47,535 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 325,714 \\ & 138,000 \\ & 187,714 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 30,229 \\ 3,350 \\ 26,879 \end{array}$ | ------------ |  |  | 162,892 162,892 | $\begin{gathered} 291,397 \\ 198,186 \\ 93,211 \end{gathered}$ |
| 40 | Syracuse, N. Y..................... City corporation--.-. County supervisors' fund | $\begin{aligned} & 65,316 \\ & 65,316 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9,607 \\ & 9,607 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13,213 \\ & 13,213 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 815,584 \\ & 815,584 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,876,548 \\ & 2,876,548 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 239,537 \\ & 239,537 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 405 \\ & 405 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 13,072 \\ & 13,072 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 266,028 \\ & 266,028 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 476,138 \\ & 476,1188 \end{aligned}$ |
| 41 | Dayton, ohio--------- <br> City corporation- <br> School district- | $\begin{aligned} & 69,572 \\ & 69,572 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 90,440 \\ 89,746 \\ 694 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21,414 \\ & 21,414 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 658,863 \\ & 658,863 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,809,944 \\ 608,182 \\ 1,201,762 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 74,436 \\ \hline 74,436 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5,869 \\ & 4,435 \\ & 1,434 \end{aligned}$ | --.------- | ------------ | $\begin{gathered} 276,917,917 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 661,272 \\ & 497,699 \\ & 163,573 \end{aligned}$ |
| 42 | Oklahoma C1ty, Okla City corporatio School district | $\begin{aligned} & 124,667 \\ & 124,667 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 198,975 \\ 180,520 \\ 18,455 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 761,375 \\ 673,134 \\ 88,241 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 93,508 \\ & 93,508 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,057,338 \\ 358,915 \\ 698,423 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8,437 \\ & 8,437 \end{aligned}$ | ------------- |  | -------------- | 28,968 28,968 | $\begin{array}{r} 317,353 \\ 290,524 \\ 26,829 \end{array}$ |

TABLE 3. - SUMMARY OF REVENUES, BY MAJOR SOURCES AND BY DIVISIONS OF MUNICIPAL GOVERNMENT: 1937—Continued

group iti.-CItILs having a population of 100,000 т0 $300,000-$-iontinued



TABLE 3.-SUMMARY OF REVENURS, BY MAJOR SOURCES AND BY DIVISIONS OF MUNICIPAL GOVERNMENT: 1937—Continued

|  | CITY, AND divisions of ionicipal | Fines, forfeits, and penalties <br> (Table 8) | USE ${ }_{\text {OF }}^{\text {PROF }}$ | NEY AND |  |  | FROM OTHER A | gencies |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Interest <br> (Table 8) | Rents and royalties <br> (Table 8) | Proportion- ately shared State texes (Table 9) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Grants } \\ & \begin{array}{c} \text { (Tables } 10 \\ \text { and } 11 \text { ) } \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | Pension as sessments <br> (Table 12) | Donations and contributions <br> (Table 12) | Unclaimed moneys <br> (Table 12) | Contributions fram publicservice enterprises (Table 12) | Special assessments for outlays <br> (Table 12) | services services <br> (Table 13) |

group ili.-Cities having a population of 100,000 to 300,000 -Continued



1/Not included in group or grand totals.

TABLE 4
The revenues of the 94 cities in 1937 are reduced to a per capita basis in table 4, the figures being based upon the absolute amounts shown in table 3.

PER CAPITA REVENUES.-The per capita for total revenues was $\$ 71.89$, with New York, Jersey City, and Boston having the highest per capitas of $\$ 107.77$, \$107.24, and \$99.77, respectively, while the lowest were Birmingham, El Paso, and Fort Wayne, with per capitas of $\$ 22.76, \$ 26.02$, and $\$ 27.97$, respectively.

Taxes yielded $\$ 51.49$ per capita, and nontaxes $\$ 20.40$. Within the tax group, general property taxes and sales and service taxes showed the highest per capita yields, while in the nontax group the highest per capita yield was from grants.

TREND OF PER CAPITA REVENUES. - Per capita revenues in 1937 for the first time exceeded the high of 69.90 established in 1930, as may be seen from the comparison shown in the accompanying statement.

In normal times a variety of factors controls the trend of per capita revenues within the individual cities, although it follows that significant per capita variations in the cost of general government for these cities will necessarily introduce similar fluctuations in the revenues which must be produced to meet such costs. As a population group, however, the cities in group I consistently show a combined per capita revenue

| 1926 | $\$ 62.20$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| 1928 | 68.95 |
| 1930 | 69.90 |
| 1932 | 62.50 |
| 1934 | 65.06 |
| 1936 | 69.12 |
| 1937 | 71.89 | which is much higher than for either group II or group III, although there are individual cities in both latter groups with per capita revenues substantially higher than most of the cities having a population of over 500,000. During the depression period special factors have introduced sharp variances in per capita revenues of individual cities, such as the fact that unusually severe welfare and relief problems in some localities may have temporarily inflated the level of per capita revenues because of Federal and State grants.

POPULATION BASE USED. - The population on which the per capitas for each city are computed is the estimated population of the city as at July l, 1933, no later estimates having been made, except for washington, D. C., which is estimated as at January l, 1937.

TABLE 5
The percentage distribution of revenues by principal classes is shown in table 5. Some of the data disclosed in this table have already been summarized in part I and also have been discussed earlier in this section. The percentages in table 5 are computed upon the basis of the absolute pigures presented in table 3.

PERCENT DISTRIBUIION OF REVENUES. - The four major sources of revenue for the 94 cities in 1937 were, in order of their importance, general property taxes, State and Federal grants, locally-shared State taxes, and charges for current services. Together these sources of revenue represent approximately 87 percent of all income reported by the cities considered in this study. Other sources of revenue, although appearing as a negligible percentage of total revenue for all cities, nevertheless are of great importance to certain individual cities. For example, the 2 percent tax enforced in New York on retail sales produces income amounting to 6.4 percent of total revenues in that municipality, while a sales tax on selective items in New orleans is the source of 6.6 percent of all revenue. Similarly, although revenue from public-service enterprises is only 1.2 percent of total municipal receipts, it is the source of approximately one-third of Jacksonville's total income and almost one-seventh of Atlanta's.

PERCENT DISTRIBUTION, TAXES AND NONTAXES.-Revenues from general property and other local taxes represented 71.6 percent of total revenue, the balance of 28.4 percent being realized from sources which, so far as current local fiscal aspects were concerned, were of a nontax character. Comparable figures for previous years are not avallable, since revenues from shared taxes were not separately reported prior to 1937.
table 4.-PER CAPIta REvenues, by major sources: 1937

table 4.-PER CAPITA REVENUES, BY MAJOR SOURCES: 1937-Continued

| - | CITY | Total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gen- } \\ & \text { eral } \\ & \text { prop- } \\ & \text { erty } \\ & \text { texes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Prop- } \\ & \text { erty } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Busi- } \\ & \text { noss } \end{aligned}$ | OTHER LOCAL taxes |  |  |  |  |  | FInes, forfelts, penalties | USE OF MONEY |  | FRom other agencies |  |  |  |  |  | Special asments for lays | Charg-esforcur-rentser-Fices |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|c} \hline \text { Sales } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { arer } \\ \text { vice } \end{array}$ | Poll | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { All } \\ \text { other } \end{array}$ | Licenses and permits |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { In- } \\ & \text { ter- } \\ & \text { est } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \text { Rents } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { aryal- } \\ \text { ries } \end{array}$ | Pro-por-tionshared State taxes | Grants | Pension 88sessments | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dona- } \\ & \text { tions } \\ & \text { and } \\ & \text { con- } \\ & \text { tri- } \\ & \text { bu- } \\ & \text { tions } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Un- } \\ \text { clafmod } \\ \text { money } \end{gathered}$ | Con- <br> tri- <br> bu- <br> tions <br> from <br> pub- <br> 11c- <br> sice <br> enter- <br> prises |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Use } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { street } \end{array}$ | All other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Non- <br> busi- <br> ness |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| grour in.-Cities having a population of 300,000 T0 500,000-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 | Indiamapolis, | \$48.56 | \$36.97 | ----- | \$0.05 | ----- | \$0.18 | ----- | \$0.06 | \$0.16 | \$0.18 | \$0.18 | \%0.13 | \$0.01 | \$0.67 | \$7.69 | \$0.25 | \$0.02 |  | \$0.13 | \$0.10 | \$1.76 |
| 22 | Rochester, N. Y. | 96.65 | 66.35 | ----- | . 38 | ----- |  |  | (1/) | . 15 | . 15 | . 15 | . 36 | . 05 | 5.69 | 15.58 | 1.12 |  | . 01 |  | 2.71 | 3.95 |
| 23 | Jorsey City, N. J | 107.24 | 75.55 | . 11 | 8.59 | ----- | (1/) | ----- | . 10 | 1.30 | . 07 | . 11 | . 94 | 1.76 | . 18 | 12.04 | 1.70 | (1/) | --1 | . 52 | . 06 | 4.22 2.68 |
| 24 | Houston, Tex. | 53.80 | 38.65 |  | . 83 |  |  |  | . 60 | . 41 | . 18 | . 40 | . 54 | . 16 | -- | ${ }_{3}^{9.20}$ | --- | (1/) | . 01 | . 12 |  | 2.68 |
| 25 26 | Louisville, Ky Portland, Oreg | 43.61 59.02 | 32.48 47.21 |  | . 43 |  |  |  | . 19 | 1.32 .57 | . 08 | .17 .57 | . 82 | . 18 | $\begin{array}{r}\text {. } \\ 2.35 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 3.03 .76 | . 077 | . 50 | (1) ${ }^{0}$ | 1.74 | .13 2.96 | 2.44 1.99 |
| group iit. -Cities having a population of 100,000 to 300,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27 | Columbus, ohi | \$47.85 | \$27.71 | ----- | ----- | ----- | ----- | ----- | \$0.02 | \$0.11 | \$0.17 | \$0.71 | \$0.45 | \$0.05 | \$4.09 | \$8.98 | \$0.36 | \$0.05 | ----- | \$0.50 | \$3.34 | \$1.31 |
| 28 | Toledo, Ohio | 46.78 | 28.23 | ----- | ----- | ----- | ---- | ----- | . 39 | . 22 | . 09 | . 31 | . 32 | . 02 | 3.77 | 8.79 | . 48 | . 03 | --- |  | . 57 | 3.55 |
| 29 | Oakland, Coll | 50.18 | 32.92 |  |  |  |  |  | . 53 | . 76 | . 32 | . 48 | . 12 |  | . 54 | 12.73 | . 31 | (1/) | ---- | ----- |  | 1.48 |
| 31 | Denver, AtIanta, Ga. | 68.62 | 40.65 21.31 | ------ | ${ }_{1}{ }^{1.46}$ | ------ | \$0.42 |  | . 22 | 1.17 2.56 | . 17 | . 85 | . 25 | . 16 | . 18 | $\begin{array}{r}18.10 \\ 2.14 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | .12 | (1/) | (1) | 5.33 | 3.88 .65 | 2.57 3.06 |
| 32 | Dallas, Tex. | 34.17 | 24.38 |  | . 57 |  |  |  | . 56 | . 26 | . 12 | . 38 | . 31 | . 03 |  | 4.39 | . 08 | $\stackrel{1}{ }$ (1)4 |  | . 48 | . 96 | 1.59 |
| 33 | St. Paul, M1的, | 47.83 | 29.56 | ----- | 1.54 |  | ----- | \$0.27 | . 01 | 1.36 | . 09 | . 32 | 2.01 | . 22 | . 23 | 8.16 | . 18 | (1) | (1/) |  | 2.06 | 1.83 |
| 34 | B1rmingham, Al | 22.76 | 10.79 |  | 1.06 | \$. 05 |  |  | . 08 | 2.08 | . 08 | . 71 | . 48 | . 07 | . 53 | 3.02 | . 05 | (1) | (1) |  | 1.91 | . 83 |
| 35 36 | Akron, Ohio-- | 46.62 35.47 | 27.07 21.91 |  |  |  |  | ------ | . 019 | . 10 |  | . 25 | . 94 | . 02 | $\begin{array}{r}3.68 \\ .45 \\ \hline 18\end{array}$ |  | . 42 |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r}2.67 \\ .48 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 2.28 1.01 |
| 36 37 | Memphis, Tenn. Providence, R . | 35.47 65.26 | 21.91 49.45 | \$3.28 | . 11 |  | . 20 | ------ | . 98 | .82 1.43 | . 21 | . 56 | .10 2.17 | . 12 | .45 1.48 | 5.08 7.01 | 1.03 1.18 | . 02 | (1) | . 42 | . 48 | 1.01 1.76 |
| 38 | San Antonio, Ter | 28.85 | 21.50 |  | . 59 |  |  |  | . 41 | . 25 | . 10 | . 08 | . 07 | . 01 |  | 4.78 | . 05 | . 03 | (1/) |  |  | . 99 |
| 39 | Omaha, Nebr. | 40.03 | 30.89 |  | 1.65 |  | --- | ---- | . 92 | 1.66 | . 23 | . 20 | . 53 | . 01 | . 22 | 1.50 | . 14 | --- | ----- |  | . 75 | 1.34 |
| 40 | Syracuse, N. | 72.46 | 49.70 32.98 | ------ | . 196 |  | ----- | ----- |  | . 16 | . 15 | . 30 | . 04 | . 06 | 3.80 3.19 | ${ }_{\substack{13.41 \\ 8.76}}^{1}$ | 1.12 | (1) | ----- | . 06 | 1.24 <br> 1.34 | 2.22 3.20 |
| 41 | Dayton, Ohio---.-- | 51.13 | 32.98 22.90 |  | . 061 |  |  |  | . 02 | . 19 | . 12 | . 34 | . 99 | .10 3.78 | $\begin{array}{r}3.19 \\ .46 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 8.76 5.25 | . 36 | . 03 | ------ |  | $\begin{array}{r}1.34 \\ .14 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 3.20 1.58 |
| 43 | Worcester, Mass. | 69.21 | 48.02 |  | ---- |  | . 55 | ----- | (1/) | 1.31 | . 05 | . 06 | . 54 | (1/) | 7.06 | 7.23 | . 97 | . 14 |  |  | . 19 | 3.10 |
| 44 |  | 50.33 | 30.37 |  | 1.10 |  | . 26 | ----- | 1.39 | 3.31 | . 08 | . 30 | 2.87 | (1) | $\stackrel{.90}{3}$ | 3.42 | . 13 | . 11 | (1/2) | 43 | . 06 | 2.54 |
| 45 | Youngst own, ohio- | 43.09 | 27.40 |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 26 | . 14 | . 28 | . 42 | (1) | $\begin{array}{r}3.09 \\ .22 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 9.13 7.74 | . 14 |  | - |  | 1.49 1.50 | ${ }_{3.13}$ |
| $4{ }_{4}^{46}$ | Grand Raplas, wich. ${ }^{\text {Ge- }}$ | $4 \begin{aligned} & 40.16 \\ & 40.72\end{aligned}$ | 24.98 26.28 | ------ | . 18 |  | ------ |  | . 03 | . 26 | . 14 | . 38 | . 48 | $\stackrel{1}{1}$ | . 22 | 7.74 9.46 | . 04 | . 50 | . 01 | . 88 | 1.50 .12 | 3.13 2.41 |
| 48 | Hartford, Conn. | 70.33 | 57.85 | ----- | . 24 | ----- | 1.64 | ----- | . 03 | . 05 | . 18 | . 15 | . 87 | . 12 | 1.05 | 4.72 | . 08 | . 02 |  | . 01 | . 29 | 3.04 |
| 49 | F1int, M1 ch. - | 49.92 | 32.28 | ----- |  |  | --- | ----- | . 03 | . 21 | . 07 | . 58 | . 52 | . 01 | . 19 | 8.64 | .17 | . 04 | ----- | . 68 | 2.08 | 4.40 |
| 50 | New Haven, | 59.28 | 51.12 |  |  |  | 1.45 |  | . 05 | . 08 | . 19 | . 04 | .45 | . 06 | 1.15 | 2.18 | . 71 | . 07 |  |  | . 10 | 1.63 |
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GROUP I.-CITIES HAVING A POPULATION OF 500,000 AND OVER


GROUP II.-CITIES HAVING A POPULATION OF 300,000 TO 500,000

| 15 | Minneapolis, Minn | 62.3 | ----- | 0.1 | - | ---- | 0.2 | (1/) | 1.8 | 0.3 | 0.4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 | New Orleans, La. | 66.4 | ----- | 2.4 | 6.6 | ---- | ----- | 0.5 | 5.6 | . 1 | . 5 |
| 17 | Cincinnati, Ohio | 51.5 | ----- | . 2 | --.-- | ---- | ----- | (1/) | . 2 | . 3 | . 7 |
| 18 | Newark, N. J. | 69.2 | 0.2 | 7.4 | ----- | (1/) | ----- | --- | 1.7 | . 2 | . 3 |
| 19 | Kansas City, Mo | 73.7 | ----- | 1.4 | 2.8 |  | ----- | 2.0 | 3.7 | . 4 | . 3 |
| 20 | Seattle, Wash. | 61.8 | ----- | 3.3 | ----- | ---- | ----- | (1/) | 1.0 | . 4 | 1.2 |
| 21 | Indianapolis, Ind | 76.1 | ----- | . 1 | ----- | 0.4 | ----- | .1 | . 3 | . 4 | . 4 |
| 22 | Rochester, N. Y. | 68.5 | ----- | . 4 | ----- | -- | ----- | (1/) | . 2 | . 2 | . 2 |
| 23 | Jersey City, N. J. | 70.4 | . 1 | 8.0 | ----- | (1/) | ----- | - 1 | 1.2 | . 1 | . 1 |
| 24 | Houston, Tex. | 71.8 | ----- | 1.6 | ----- |  | ----- | 1.1 | . 8 | . 3 | . 8 |
| 25 | Louisville, Ky. | 74.5 |  | 1.0 | ----- | ---- | ---- | . 4 | 3.0 | . 2 | . 4 |
| 26 | Portland, Oreg. | 80.0 |  | 1.4 |  |  |  | .1 | 1.0 | . 5 | 1.0 |

GROUP III. -CITIES HAVING A POPULATION OF 100,000 TO $\mathbf{3 0 0}, 000$

ee footnotes at end of table.

REVENUES, BY MAJOR SOURCES: 1937
discussion, p. 42)

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 另 } \\ & \text { 兑 } \\ & \stackrel{~}{\mathbf{~}} \end{aligned}$ | CITY | USE OF MONEY hND PROPERTY |  | FROM OTHER AGENCIES |  |  |  |  |  | Spe - <br> cial <br> as- <br> sess- <br> ments <br> for <br> out- <br> lays | Charges for current services |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Inter- } \\ & \text { est } \end{aligned}$ | Rents and royalties | Propor- <br> tion- <br> ately <br> shared <br> State <br> taxes | Grants | Pen- <br> sion assess ments | Donations and con-tributions | Unclatmed moneys | Contri- <br> butions <br> from <br> public- <br> service <br> enter- <br> prises |  |  |
|  | Grand total- | 2.0 | 0.3 | 3.6 | 15.0 | 1.4 | 0.1 | (1/) | 1.2 | 1.2 | 3.1 |
|  | Group I- | 2.4 | .4 | 4.0 | 15.1 | 1.6 | .1 | (1/) | 1.2 | . 9 | 2.8 |
|  | Group II | 1.5 | . 3 | 1.9 | 14.5 | 1.2 | . 2 | (1) | . 7 | 1.8 | 4.0 |
|  | Group III---------- | 1.1 | .3 | 3.4 | 14.7 | . 8 | .1 | (1) | 1.2 | 2.0 | 3.6 |

GROUP I. -CITIES HAVING A POPULATION OF 500,000 AND OVER

| 1 | New York, N. Y | 2.8 | 0.1 | 5.1 | 15.4 | 2.0 | (1/) | 0.1 | 1.6 | 1.0 | 1.7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Chicago, Ill. | 1.7 | . 3 | . 1 | 16.1 | 1.6 | (1/) | (1/) | . 1 | . 9 | 3.1 |
| 3 | Philadelphia, Pa | 8.3 | 2.4 | 1.6 | 7.7 | 1.5 | (1) | (1/) | 4.5 | . 2 | 2.9 |
| 4 | Detroit, Mich. | . 1 | (1/) | 4.8 | 12.2 | . 5 | (1/) | (1) | ------- | 1.9 | 6.0 |
| 5 | Los Angeles, Cali | 1.4 | (1/) | 4.3 | 25.9 | .6 | (1/) | (1) | . 9 | . 1 | 3.8 |
| 6 | Cleveland, Ohio | . 9 | (1/) | 7.7 | 21.7 | . 7 | (1/) | (1/) | . 3 | 2.6 | 4.4 |
| 7 | St. Louis, Mo. | . 9 | . 4 | ------ | 5.3 | .5 | 0.2 | (1) | . 2 | 1.7 | 7.1 |
| 8 | Baltimore, Md. | 2.9 | . 1 | 4.6 | 15.2 | 1.4 | (1/) | (1/) | 4.4 | . 4 | 1.7 |
| 9 | Boston, Mass | 2.6 | . 4 | 6.9 | 10.5 | 1.5 | . 8 | (1) | ------- | . 4 | 3.6 |
| 10 | Pittsburgh, Pa, | 1.0 | . 1 | 1.6 | 8.6 | 1.6 | . 1 | (1/) | 1.2 | . 2 | 3.3 |
| 11 | San Francisco, Ca | 1.8 | 4.4 | 3.6 | 16.9 | 1.8 | (1/) |  | . 6 | (1/) | 3.0 |
| 12 | Weshington, D. | . 7 | . 2 | ------ | 15.5 | 1.8 | (1/) | (1/) | ------- | 1.2 | 2.5 |
| 13 | M1lwaukee, Wis. | 3.6 | .$^{1}$ | 9.1 | 11.2 | . 8 | (1/) | (1/) | 1.0 | - 9 | 4.3 |
| 14 | Buffalo, N. Y. | . 2 | (1/) | 5.7 | 19.7 | 1.9 | . 1 | --- |  | .7 | 2.0 |

GROUP II.-CITIES having a POPULATION of 300,000 TO 500,000

| 15 | Minneapolis, Minn. | 2.0 | (1/) | 0.5 | 23.6 | 2.0 | 0.1 | (1/) | -- | 3.6 | 3.1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 | New Orleans, La. | . 9 | . 2 | . 1 | 9.7 | . 7 | . 2 | (1/) | 0.5 | 2.9 | 2.7 |
| 17 | Cincinnati, Ohio | 3.7 | . 3 | 7.4 | 20.8 | 1.2 | 1.4 | (1/) | 2.6 | 3.5 | 6.1 |
| 18 | Newark, N. J.- | 2.1 | . 2 | . 3 | 12.2 | 1.5 | (1/) | ----- | 1.2 | . 2 | 3.3 |
| 19 | Kanses City, Mo. | 1.2 | (1/) | ------ | 9.7 | . 1 |  | (1/) |  | 1.5 | 3.3 |
| 20 | Seattle, Wash. | 1.3 | .1 | 1.6 | 21.1 | 2.4 | (1/) | (1) |  | . 9 | 4.8 |
| 21 | Indianapolis, Ind | . 3 | (1/) | 1.4 | 15.8 |  | (1/) | ------ | . 3 | . 2 | 3.6 |
| 22 | Rochester, N. Y. | . 4 | . 1 | 5.9 | 16.1 | 1.2 |  | (1/) | ------- | 2.8 | 4.1 |
| 23 | Jersey City, N. J | . 9 | 1.6 | . 2 | 11.2 | 1.6 | (1/) | ---- | . 5 | .1 | 3.9 |
| 24 | Houston, Tex.- | 1.0 | . 3 |  | 17.1 |  | (1/) | (1/) | . 2 | -- | 5.0 |
| 25 | Louisville, Ky. | 1.9 | .4 | (1/) | 7.0 | . 2 | 1.1 | (1/) | 4.0 | . 3 | 5.6 |
| 26 | Portland, Oreg. | . 8 | .1 | 4.0 | 1.3 | 1.3 | .1 | (1) | ------- | 5.0 | 3.4 |

GROUP III. -CITIES HAVING A POPULATION OF 100,000 TO 300,000

| 0.9 | 0.1 | 8.5 | 18.8 | 0.8 | 0.1 | ------ | 1.0 | 7.0 | 2.7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| .7 | (1/) | 8.1 | 18.8 | 1.0 | . 1 | --.-.-- | ------- | 1.2 | 7.6 |
| . 2 | --- | 1.1 | 25.4 | . 6 | (1/) | ---- | -------- | ----- | 2.9 |
| . 4 | . 2 | .5 | 26.4 | . 2 |  | (1/) | ------- | 5.7 | 3.7 |
| . 3 | . 1 | . 3 | 5.6 | .3 | (1/) | (1/) | 13.9 | 1.7 | 8.0 |
| . 9 | . 1 | ------ | 12.9 | . 2 | .$^{1}$ | ------ | 1.4 | 2.8 | 4.7 |
| 4.2 | . 5 | . 5 | 17.1 | . 4 | (1/) | (1/) | ------- | 4.3 | 3.8 |
| 2.1 | .3 | 2.3 | 13.3 | . 2 | (1/) | (1/) |  | 8.4 | 3.6 |
| 2.0 | . 1 | 7.9 | 19.5 | . 9 |  |  | (1/) | 5.7 | 4.9 |
| . 3 | (1/) | 1.3 | 14.3 | . 1 | (1) | (1/) | 1.2 | 1.3 | 2.8 |
| 3.3 | .2 | 2.3 | 10.7 | 1.8 | - | ------ | (1/) | . 1 | 2.7 |
| . 2 | (1/) |  | 16.6 | . 2 | . 1 | (1/) |  | --- | 3.4 |
| 1.3 | (1/) | . 5 | 3.7 | . 3 |  | (1) | ------- | 1.9 | 3.3 |
| . 1 | . 1 | 5.2 | 18.5 | 1.5 | (1/) | ------- | . 1 | 1.7 | 3.1 |
| . 9 | . 2 | 6.2 | 17.1 | .7 | . 1 | ------ | ------- | 2.6 | 6.3 |
| 2.6 | 10.1 | 1.2 | 14.0 | . 1 | ------ | ------ | ------- | . 4 | 4.0 |
| . 8 | (1/) | 10.2 | 10.4 | 1.4 | . 2 | ------ | ------- | . 3 | 4.5 |
| 5.7 | (1/) | 1.8 | 6.8 | . 3 | . 2 | (1/) | 6.8 | . 1 | 5.0 |
| . 1 | (1/) | 7.2 | 21.2 | 1.0 |  | (1/) | ------- | 3.4 | 2.1 |
| 1.1 | (1/) | . 5 | 19.3 | . 3 | 1.2 |  | 2.0 | 3.7 | 7.8 |

TABLE 5.-PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF

|  | CITY | Gen- <br> eral <br> prop- <br> erty <br> texes | OTHER LOCAL TAXES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Fines, forfeits, and penalties |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Property | Business | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sales } \\ & \text { and } \\ & \text { ser- } \\ & \text { vice } \end{aligned}$ | Poll | All other | Licenses and pernits |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Use of street | All other |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Business | Non- <br> busi- <br> ness |  |

GROUP III.-CITIES HAVING A POPULATION OF 100,000 TO 300,000-Continued

| 47 | Fort Worth, Tex | 64.6 | ----- | 0.4 | ----- | ---- | ----- | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 48 | Hartford, Conn. | 82.3 | ----- | . 3 | ----- | 2.3 | ----- | (1/) | . 1 | . 3 | . 2 |
| 49 | Flint, Mich.- | 64.7 | --..-- | ----- | ----- | ---- |  | . 1 | .4 | . 1 | 1.2 |
| 50 | New Haven, Conn | 86.2 | (1/) | ----- | ----- | 2.4 | ------ | . 1 | . 1 | . 3 | . 1 |
| 51 | San Diego, Calif | 60.7 |  | 1.6 | ----- | ---- | ----- | .1 | 1.3 | . 9 | 1.6 |
| 52 | Long Beach, Calif. | 60.1 | ----- | . 5 | ----- | ---- | ----- | . 5 | 1.9 | . 7 | 1.2 |
| 53 | Nashville, Tenn. | 67.0 | ------ | 2.1 | ----- | ---- | ----- | .9 | 2.7 | . 2 | . 8 |
| 54 | Springfield, Mass | 74.1 | ----- | ----- | ----- | . 8 | ----- | . 1 | 1.6 | (1/) | . 1 |
| 55 | Tulsa, Okla. | 76.6 | ----- | 2.6 | ----- | ---- | ----- | . 2 | . 3 | . 3 | . 7 |
| 56 | Bridgeport, Conn. | 83.5 | ----- | ----- | ----- | 3.0 | ----- | (1) | . 1 | .1 | . 4 |
| 57 | Des Moines, Iowa | 88.3 | ----- | . 9 | ----- | ---- | ----- | . 3 | 2.1 | . 7 | . 6 |
| 58 | Scranton, Pa. | 72.4 | ----- | . 3 | ----- | 1.2 |  | . 1 | . 2 | . 2 | . 2 |
| 59 | Salt Lake City, Uta | 69.5 | ----- | . 5 |  | ---- |  | . 9 | 2.5 | . 4 | 1.2 |
| 60 | Yonkers, N. Y. | 75.3 | ----- | . 2 |  | --- | ----- | (1/) | . 3 | . 2 | . 1 |
| 61 | Paterson, N. | 75.0 | 0.1 | 6.7 |  | . 1 | ----- | .1 | 2.5 | . 3 | . 2 |
| 62 | Jacksonville, Fla. | 39.6 | ----- | . 2 | ----- | . 1 | ----- |  | 5.0 | (1/) | . 4 |
| 63 | Albany, N. | 74.1 | ----- | (1/) | ----- | ---- | ----- | (1/) | . 1 | .1 | . 2 |
| 64 | Norfolk, Va | 66.8 | ----- | 1.1 | ----- | ---- | ----- | 4.1 | 6.1 | . 4 | 1.4 |
| 65 | Trenton, N. | 71.0 | . 1 | 5.3 | ----- | ---- |  |  | 2.3 | . 3 | . 3 |
| 66 | Chattanooga, Tenn | 56.9 | ----- | (1/) | ----- | ---- | ----- | . 3 | 2.1 | . 2 | 1.2 |
| 67 | Kansas City, Kans. | 81.2 | ----- | 1.4 | ----- | --- | ----- | . 1 | 2.3 | . 3 | . 3 |
| 68 | Fort Wayne, Ind. | 77.2 | ----- |  |  | 1.8 | ----- | (1/) | . 6 | . 4 | . 2 |
| 69 | Camden, N. J | 64.3 | . 3 | 13.3 | ----- | (1/) | ----- | (1) | 2.1 | . 1 | . 1 |
| 70 | Erie, Pa. | 86.0 | ---- | . 2 | ----- | --- | ----- | - | (1/) | . 1 | . 2 |
| 71 | Elizabeth, N. | 77.7 | (1/) | 4.6 |  | ---- |  | . 1 | 2.7 | . 4 | . 1 |
| 72 | Wichita, Kans. | 79.6 | ----- | 1.1 | ----- | ---- |  | . 2 | 1.5 | . 5 | . 7 |
| 73 | Spokane, Wash. | 47.9 | ----- | 4.2 | ----- | ---- |  | (1/) | . 8 | . 2 | 2.0 |
| 4 | Fall River, Mass | 62.5 | ----- | ----- |  | 1.0 |  | (1/) | 2.1 | (1/) | . 1 |
|  | Cambridge, Mass. | 74.3 | --- | ----- |  | . 5 |  | (1/) | 1.9 | - 2 | . 1 |
| 76 | New Bedford, Mass. | 60.5 | (1/) | ----- | ----- | . 9 | ----- | (1/) | 2.1 | (1/) | . 1 |
| 77 | Reading, Pa. | 77.3 | ----- | . 2 | ----- | 1.8 |  | . 1 | . 4 | .2 | . 4 |
| 78 | Knoxville, Ten | 74.8 | ----- | ----- |  | ---- |  | .7 | 1.9 | ----- | . 6 |
| 79 | Peoria, Ill. | 60.3 | ---- | . 3 | ----- | ---- |  | . 3 | 5.4 | . 2 | . 9 |
| 80 | South Bend, I | 74.8 | (1/) | - |  | . 9 |  | . 1 | . 3 | . 2 | . 3 |
| 81 | Tacoma, Wash. | 49.7 |  | 6.5 |  | --- |  |  | . 9 | . 2 | 1.6 |
| 82 | Miami, Fla. | 63.2 | ----- | . 5 | ----- | . 2 |  | 1.4 | 6.1 | 1.2 | 2.7 |
| 83 | Gary, Ind. | 79.6 | ----- |  |  | . 4 |  | (1/) | . 2 | . 3 | . 3 |
| 84 | Canton, Ohio- | 56.1 | ----- | ----- |  | ---- |  | (1/) | . 3 | . 2 | . 8 |
| 85 | Wilmington, Del | 57.8 | ----- | . 3 | ----- | --7 |  | . 2 | 1.4 | . 4 | 1.7 |
| 86 | Tampa, Fla. | 62.1 | ----- | . 1 | 3.0 | (1/) | --..-- |  | 5.2 | . 2 | 1.4 |
| 87 | Somerville, Mass. | 76.8 | ----- | ----- | ----- | . 9 | ----- | (1/) | 1.6 | -- | . 1 |
| 88 | E1 Paso, Tex.-- | 65.8 | ----- | . 2 | ----- | --.- | ----- | 1.4 | . 8 | .3 | . 7 |
| 89 | Evansville, Ind. | 69.3 | ----- | .3 |  | . 6 | ----- | .$^{7}$ | . 5 | .3 | . 3 |
| 90 | Lyan, Mass. | 67.5 | ----- | ----- |  | . 9 |  | (1/) | 2.1 | . 2 | . 2 |
| 91 | Utica, N. Y.- | 71.9 | ----- | . 4 | --.-- | ---- |  | ------ | . 1 | . 1 | . 2 |
| 92 | Duluth, Minn | 77.5 | ----- | . 1 | ----- | ---- | 0.2 | . 1 | 1.9 | $\cdot 2$ | . 6 |
| 93 | Waterbury, Co | 88.1 | ----- | ----- | ----- | 2.4 | ----- | . 1 | . 1 | .3 | . 4 |
| 94 | Lowell, Mass. | 72.2 | ----- | ----- | - | . 7 | ----- | ------ | 2.0 | (1/) | . 1 |
|  | Honolulu, Hawai1 $2 /-$ | 54.0 | ----- | 1.3 | ----- | (1/) | ----- | 15.1 | 2.9 | . 6 | 1.2 |

$\frac{1}{2}$ Less than $1 / 20$ of 1 percent.

REVENUES, BY MAJOR SOURCES: 1937-Continued
discussion, p. 42)

|  | CITY | USE OF MONEY AND PROPERTY |  | FROM OTHER AGENCIES |  |  |  |  |  | Spe- <br> cial <br> as- <br> sess- <br> ments <br> for <br> out- <br> lays | Charges for current services |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Interest | Rents and royelties | Propor- <br> tion- <br> ately <br> shared <br> State <br> taxes | Grants | Pen- <br> sion <br> as- <br> sess- <br> ments | Donations and con-tributions | Unclajmed moneys | Contributions from publicservice enterprises |  |  |

GROUP III. -CITIES HAVING A POPULATION OF 100,000 TO 300,000 -Continued


TABLE 6
Revenues of the 94 cities in 1937 from general property taxes and other local taxes are shown in greater detail in table 6. General property tax receipts have been considered also in the opening discussion of this section.

GENERAL PROP:KKTY TAXES. - General property taxes yielded over $\$ 1,767,000,000$ to the 94 cities in 1937, an increase of approxinately $\$ 41,000,000$ over 1936. After general property tax yields reached their peak in 1930, such receipts, it will be observed, remained comparatively stable, the greatest fluctuation being a decline of $\$ 102,000,000$ in the 1934 yield as compared with 1930-a decrease of only 4 percent. Concomitant with this discussion, it may be of interest to refer to section D, Assessed Valuations and Tax Levies, which shows, in contrast to the stability of property tax receipts, a sharp decline in the assessed valuations upon which these taxes were levied.

The figures shown in the accompanying statement indicate the trend of general property tax yields over the twelve-year period, 1926 to 1937, inclusive. From these data it will be seen that general property tax receipts in 1937 were higher than in any year except the peak year of 1930.

In contrast to the municipal situation is that of the state. Whereas the property tax has remained a stable and substantial source of municipal revenue, it has fallen in the past quartercentury to a position of small importance as a source of revenue on the State level. ${ }^{6}$

CURRENT AND PRIOR YEARS' LEVIES.-Receipts from general property taxes are classified to show collections on levies for the current year reported, collections from prior years' levies, and collections from penalties and interest on delinquent taxes. It will be observed that 16 percent of total receipts from general property taxes were from prior years' levies, reflecting in part the efficient and aggressive tax collection methods pursued by some local governments and in part the improved economic status of property owners.

OTHER LOCAL TAXES. -The phrase "Other local taxes," as used here, is to be distinguished from the same phrase as used in tables 3, 4, and 5, for in the present case it is exclusive of licenses and pernits. It includes property taxes other than on assessed valuations, business taxes (but not business licenses), sales taxes, poll taxes, and other miscellaneous local taxes. Receipts from these sources in 1937 totaled $\$ 118,329,578$, or 4.4 percent of the total city revenues. Each of these classifications is discussed separately below.

PROPERTY TAXES ON OTHER THAN ASSESSED VALUATION. -This classification includes taxes upon property which differ from the general property tax particularly in the manner of their levy. Such taxes may be imposed upon the property of corporations levied upon the basis of the amount of corporate stock, corporate indebtedness, or of both corporate stock and indebtedness, or on any basis other than an assessed valuation applied to all property of the corporation. They include taxes levied upon savings banks and kindred corporations in proportion to a certain specified portion of deposits, as their excess above the value of specified investments; taxes upon life insurance corporations assessed upon the basis of the valuations of their policies; and all specific taxes upon property, such as taxes upon land at a specified amount per acre, taxes upon horses, mules, and other animals at a specified amount per head, taxes upon vessels at a specifiled amount per registered ton, and taxes upon grain at a specified amount per bushel. From this group of taxes the cities derived $\$ 2,485,368$ in revenue in 1937, almost half of which came from taxes on bank deposits and corporate shares in Baltimore.
buSINESS TAXES.-Business taxes are taxes upon business activity exacted in proportion to the volurne of business and computed through a levy which measures activity by earnings, premiums, etc. They include the taxes on insurance premiums,

6/ Cf. the companion volume Financial Statistics of States: 1937.
on earnings or income of banks and corporations, and those on public utilities based on gross or net income. Total revenue from these taxes was $\$ 53,971,646$ in 1937.

SALES TAXES. - A detailed discussion of sales taxes is presented in the introduction to the revenue section. Total revenues in 1937 from sales taxes were $\$ 55,852,014$, of which sum New York produced $\$ 49,129,460$.

POLL TAXES. - A number of cities, particularly in Massachusetts and Connecticut, derived small sums from the poll tax. The total in 1937 was $\$ 2,235,027$.

ALL OTHER TAXES.-Reported here are lonal taxes other than those separately classified, including mortgage and inheritance taxes, stock transfer taxes, and taxes on legal instruments. Total revenues from such taxes in 1937 were $\$ 3,785,-$ 523. The largest amount was $\$ 3,490,691$ in unemployment compensation taxes in Washington, D. C., the city collecting what in effect is a State tax. The amounts shown here are exclusive of business and nonbusiness licenses and permits, which are presented in table 7.

TABLE 7
Revenues of the 94 cities from licenses and permits in 1937 are shown in table 7 .

LICENSES AND PERMITS. - The 94 cities reportied total revenues of $\$ 54,258,633$ from licenses and permits in 1937. This group of revenues falls into the three general classifications of licenses and permits for use of street, business licenses, and nonbusiness licenses and permits. They may have been imposed primarily for regulation, with the revenue aspect incidental to the regulation, or they may have been imposed chiefly for revenue purposes with regulation only an incidental aspect.

LICENSES AND PERMITS FOR USE OF STREET.-Revenues from licenses and permits for use of streets totaled $\$ 12,253,182$, the largest item being $\$ 8,460,829$ realized from licenses and fees imposed locally on motor vehicles. Chicago accounted for over 60 percent of the latter amount. A rather interesting source of revenue within this group, because of its comparative newness, is the $\$ 922,271$ derived from parking meters. There were 14 cities reporting income from this source in 1937, the largest amount reported being $\$ 188,774$ received by Houston, Texas. It is to be noted that none of the cities with a population of 500,000 or over reported use of the parking meter as a revenue device.
bUSINESS LICENSES. - Revenues from business licenses amounted to $\$ 36,455,840$, approximately two-thirds of total receipts from licenses and permits. Licenses on the wholesalers and retailers of liquor and beer produced revenues of $\$ 17,788,975$. During 1937, revenues from this source were reported by 51 of the 94 cities, the largest single item being the amount of $\$ 5,669,297$ reported by the city of chicago. The next largest amount of revenue within the business license group is derived from certain commercial activities subject to safety regulation and inspection, such as auto service stations, peddlers, junk dealers, pawn shops, and dealers in explosives, firearms, and inflammable materials.
nONBUSINESS LICENSES AND PERMITS. - Revenues from nonbusiness licenses and permits, other than for use of streets, totaled $\$ 5,549,611$, the largest amount being derived from permits and licenses for building construction and equipment, including excavation, blasting, wrecking, elevators, plumbing, etc. Other sources of income within this group are of negligible importance in the municipal revenue structure.

REVISIONS IN LICENSE CLASSIFICATION. -Numerous refinements have been made in the presentation of statistics on revenues from licenses and permits, details being set forth in figure 1 on pages 18-21.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 容 } \\ & \text { 曾 } \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \end{aligned}$ | CITY | general property taxes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | On current year＇s levy |  |  | On prior years＇levies |  |  | Penalties andinterest |
|  |  |  | Real property | Personal |  | Real property | Personal |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Tangible | Intang 1 ble |  | Tangible | Intangible |  |
|  | Grand total $\qquad$ | \＄1，766，972，358 | \＄1，369，544，700 | \＄63，680，404 | \＄18，777，646 | \＄275，105，470 | \＄6，638，883 | \＄1，119，450 | \＄32，105，805 |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 1,194,225,008 \\ 215,382,832 \\ 357,364,518 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 944,232,384 \\ & 156,265,941 \\ & 269,046,375 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25,388,487 \\ & 15,200,902 \\ & 23,091,015 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12,882,736 \\ 3,431,550 \end{array}$ $2,463,360$ | $\begin{array}{r} 185,743,115 \\ 34,602,903 \\ 54,759,452 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,165,011 \\ & 1,748,378 \\ & 2,725,494 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 835,153 \\ 215,217 \\ 69,080 \\ 68 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 22,998,122 \\ 3,917,941 \\ 5,209,742 \end{array}$ |
| group i．－cities having a population of 500，000 and over |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Now York，N．Y． | \＄459，755，607 | \＄409，733，809 | －－－－－－－－－－ | －－－－－－ | \＄42，032，426 | － | $\cdots$ | \＄7，989，372 |
| ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | $193,000,947$ $77,859,128$ | $125,332,880$ $60,229,359$ | （1／） | \＄4，144，812 | $60,582,456$ $11,599,759$ |  | ${ }_{\$ 526,592}^{(1 /)}$ | $7,085,611$ $1,358,606$ |
| 4 | Detroit，Mich．－－－－ | 82，776，320 | 57，037，805 | （1／） | （1／） | 23，826，576 | （1／） | （1／） | 1，911，939 |
| 5 | Los Angeles，Calif． | 63，225，838 | 49，656，435 | \＄7，614，146 | －${ }^{3110,924}$ | 4，626，061 | \＄626，712 | 124，941 | －266，619 |
| 7 | Cleveland，Ohio－－－－ St．Lou1s，Mo． | $38,331,015$ $28,875,803$ | $25,708,345$ $23,123,164$ | $3,766,464$ $1,373,565$ | $\underset{\left.(\underline{2} /)^{2}\right)}{ }$ | $6,172,089$ $3,769,562$ | 323,445 103,344 | $\begin{aligned} & 106,381 \\ & (2 /)^{2} \end{aligned}$ | －－－－－－－－168 |
| 8 | Baltimore，Ma． | 34，100，708 | 24，853，197 | 3，576，514 | 1，243，111 | 3，852，041 | －－－－－－－7 | －－－－－－－－－－ | 575，845 |
| ${ }_{10} 9$ | Boston，Mas8．－－ | 55，210，497 | $41,637,472$ $33,892,205$ | 613，360 | 2，138，088 | $12,082,411$ $8,003,901$ | 272，634 | 30，－－－－806 | 604,620 789,995 |
| 11 |  | 31，512，492 | 25，822，365 | 4，707，955 | 2，1381，456 | 8，093，075 | 38，981 | 30，806 | 789,995 70,290 |
| 12 | Washington，D．C． | 22，070，626 | 15，606，833 | 1，592，842 | 2，620，054 | 1，812，850 | 96，281 | 46，063 | 295，703 |
| 13 | M11weukee，W1s．－ | 29，962，154 | 21，877，401 | 2，143，641 |  | 4，058，806 | 703，614 |  | 1，178，692 |
| 14 | Buffalo，N．Y． | 32，688，878 | 29，711，114 |  |  | 2，633，102 |  |  | 344，662 |

group it．－Cities mating a porulation of 300,000 to 500,000

| 15 | Minneapolis，Min | \＄21，238，361 | \＄15，045，697 | 钓，121，342 | \＄731，705 | ${ }^{\text {12，212，470 }}$ | \＄25，010 | \＄12，327 | \＄931，210 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 | Nem Orleans， | 19，306，004 | 3／ $11,058,730$ | 2，197，103 | （2／） | 3／4，803，126 | 703，691 |  | 543，354 |
| 17 | Cincinnati， | 17，854，308 | 13，357，689 | 1，570，147 | 2，158，737 | 521，008 | 117，456 | 129，271 |  |
| 18 | Newark，N．J． | 30，007，122 | 17，652，977 | 5，654，325 | （2／） | 5，071，475 | 202，526 |  | 925，819 |
| 19 | Kansas Cit | 16，210，649 | 13，219，128 | 1，292，377 | （2／） | 1，510，195 | 21，715 | （2／） | 167，234 |
| 20 | Seattle，Wash． | 13，523，239 | 9，866，570 | （1／） |  | 3，229，534 |  | － | 427，135 |
| 21 | Indianapolis，In | 13，758，151 | 10，164，622 | （1／） | 519，909 | 3，000，601 | （1／） | 73，019 |  |
| 22 | Rochester，N．Y | 22，126，849 | 20，314，225 |  |  | 1，445，229 |  |  | 367，39 |




See footnotes at ond of table.

TABLE 6.-REVLNUES FROM GENERAL PROPERTY TAXES AND OTHER LOCAL TAXES: 1937—Continued

|  | CITY | othirr local taxis |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Totel | Property on other then assessed valuation |  | Business |  |  | Sales and service | Poll | All other |
|  |  |  | Publicutility | All other | Public utility |  | All other |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Privately } \\ & \text { owned } \end{aligned}$ | Publicly owned |  |  |  |  |
|  | Grand | \$118,329,578 | \$1,046,487 | \$1,438,881 | \$41,075,358 | \$1,072,632 | \$11,823,656 | \$55,852,014 | \$2,235,027 | \$3,785,523 |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 97,582,872 \\ 11,361,171 \\ 9,385,535 \end{array}$ | 189,544 $-856,943$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,282,624 \\ 113,955 \\ 42,302 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 27,858,774 \\ 7,621,937 \\ 5,594,647 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 832,821 \\ & 239,811 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11,491,123 \\ 115,588 \\ 216,945 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 52,885,764 \\ 2,546,517 \\ 419,733 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 235,679 \\ 67,707 \\ 1,931,641 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,639,364 \\ 62,646 \\ 83,513 \end{array}$ |
| group I.-Cities having a population of 500,000 and over |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 |  | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 75,277,780 \\ 5,031,029 \\ 680,241 \\ 164,385 \\ 1,156,565 \end{array}$ | ------------- | -------------- | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 17,504,432 \\ 5,001,029 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | -------------- | § $\mathrm{F}, 643,888$ | \$49,129,460 | -------------- | -----.-.-- |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 460,323------4 |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 44,920 \\ 103,753 \end{array}$ |
| 4 |  |  | \$174,998 | ----160,6-6 | --------------- | -------.----- |  | $-\infty-\infty-\infty-\infty$ $-\infty-\infty-\infty-\infty-\infty-\infty$ | -------------- |  |
| 5 6 | Los Angeles, Calif. |  | --- | --- | 1,156,565 | ---------- | ---- | ----------- | ------------- | -------.---- |
| 7 | St. Lou1s, iso. | 3,853,998 |  | -------------- | 1,620,101 | ---------- | 1,056,371 | 1,177,526 | -------------- | ------------- |
| 8 | Baltimore, ma. | $1,917,434$227,083 | ------------ | 1,214,900 | 536,703 | ---.-.-.-...- | 165,831 | - |  | ----------- |
| $10^{9}$ | Boston, iSass.-- Pittsburgh, Pa. |  |  | ------------- | 58,288 366,111 |  | ------------ | ----------- |  |  |
| 11 | San Francisco, Calif | 81,430 366,111 | 14,546 | ----------- |  | -------------- |  |  | $8,596$ |  |
| 12 | Nashington, D. C. | $\begin{array}{r}8,725,395 \\ 43,584 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | ----...- |  | 1,527,708 | -------------- | - 43,584 | 2,578,778 | -------------- |  |
| 14 |  | 57,837 | -------- | ------------- | --------87 | ------------- |  | -------------- | ------------- | ---------- |

GRoUP II. -CITIES Finving a population of 300,000 to 500,000

| 15 | Kinneapolis, Minn | \$98,172 | ----------- | ---------- | \$35,526 |  | ----------- |  | ---------- | \$62,646 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 | New Orleans, La.- | 2,606,030 | ---------- |  |  | \$684,099 | --------------- | \$1,921,931 | ------------- |  |
| 17 | Cincinnati, Ohio Newark, N. J. | 59,263 $3,295,281$ | ----- | \$79,025 | 59,263 $3,216,151$ | ------------- | --------------- | -------------- | \$105 |  |
| 19 | Kansas C1ty, Mo | - 9 928,173 | - |  | $3,303,587$ 563,772 | 148,-722 | ----------- | 624,586 |  |  |

See footnotes at end of table.
table 6．－REVENUES FROM GENERAL PROPERTY TAXES AND OTHER LOCAL TAXES：1937—Continued

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 炭 } \\ & \text { 亳 } \\ & \text { ث } \end{aligned}$ | CITY | OTHER LOCAL TAXES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Property on other than as－ sessed valuation |  | Bustness |  |  | Sales and | Poll | All other |
|  |  |  | Public utility | All other | Public utility |  | All other |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Privately } \\ \text { owned } \end{gathered}$ | Publicly owned |  |  |  |  |

GROUP II．－CITIES having a Population of 300，000 to 500，000－Continued

| 21 | Indianapolis，Ind． | \＄87，198 | －－－－－－－－－－ | －－－－－－－－－－ | \＄19，871 | －－－－－－－－－－ | －－－－－－－－－－ | －－ | \＄67，327 | －－－－－ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22 | Rochester，N．Y．－－ | 125，213 | －－－－－－－－－－ |  | 125，213 | －－－－－－－－－－ | －－－－－－－－－－－ | －－－－－－－－－－－ | －－－－－－ | －－－－－ |
| 23 <br> 24 | Jersey City，N．J． Houston，Tex． | $2,783,387$ 266,321 |  | \＄34，930 | 2，748，182 |  |  | －－－－－－－－－－－－－ | 275 | － |
| 25 | Louisvilie，Ky | 135，588 | －－－－－－－－．－－ | －－－－－－－－－－－ | 20，000 | － | \＄115，588 |  |  |  |
| 26 | Portlend，Oreg． | 264，051 |  |  | 264，051 |  |  |  |  |  |

group iti．－CITtes having a population of 100,000 to 300,000


| 51 | San Diego, Calif. | 130,428 | ---------- |  | 130,428 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{5} 5$ | Long Beach, Calif | 41,156 | ---------- | ---------- | 41,156 | ---------- |  |  | ---------- | ---------- |
| 产 53 | Nashville, Tenn. | $\begin{array}{r}112,079 \\ 85 \\ \hline 958\end{array}$ |  | ----------- | 112,079 | ---------- |  | ----------- |  | ---------- |
| $\bigcirc$ | Tulsa, Okla, - | 151,952 |  |  | 151,852 |  |  |  | 85,958 |  |
| +56 | Bridgeport, Conn. | 250,254 | ---- | ---------- |  | ---------- | ----.-.---- | ------------ | 250,254 | ---------- |
| [ 57 | Des Motines, Towa | 57,469 |  |  | 57,469 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | Scranton, Pa.--- | 82,119 |  |  | 16,784 |  |  |  | 65,335 |  |
| 60 | Yonkers, N. Y.-.- | 29,557 |  |  | 29,557 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 61 | Paterson, N. | 587,956 |  | 7,747 | 567,760 |  |  |  | 12,449 |  |
| 62 | Jacksonville, Fla | 17,769 |  | ------.-- | 11,045 |  |  |  | 6,724 |  |
| 63 | Albany, N. Y | 3,800 |  |  | 3,800 69,927 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 65 | Norenton, N. J. | 69,927 398,264 |  | 5,738 | 392,526 | --.--------- |  |  | - |  |
| 66 | Chattanooga, Tenn, | 300 |  |  | 300 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 67 | Kansas City, Kan | 50,662 59,681 | --- |  | 50,662 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 69 | Camden, N. J.--- | 1,069,339 |  | 25,773 | 1,041,494 |  |  |  | 2,072 |  |
| 70 | Erie, Pa. | 10,526 |  |  | 10,526 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 72 | Wichita, Kans. | 269,825 50,130 |  | 1,379 | 268,446 50,130 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 73 | Spokane, Wash.- | 181,230 | ---------- | ---------- | 163,197 | \$18,033 | ------- | --- |  |  |
| 74 | Fall River, Mass | 55,844 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 55,844 | ---------- |
| 75 76 | Cambridge, Mass.-- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 41,671 |  |
| 77 | Roading, Pa, ---- | 100,428 |  |  | 9,-789 | --.----------- |  | ------------- | - 93 93,639 |  |
| 78 | Knoxville, Tenn. |  |  | ---------- |  |  |  | ----------- |  |  |
| 79 | Peoria, Ill. | 13,676 |  |  | 1,875 |  | 11,801 | ----------- | --- |  |
| 80 | South Bend, Tracoma, Wash, a | 28,384 265,499 | 400 | ----- |  |  | - | ------------ | 27,984 |  |
| 82 | M1ami, Fla. | 51,470 |  |  | 33,359 | 22,710 |  |  | 18,111 |  |
| 83 | Gary, Ind. | 15,224 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15,224 |  |
| 84 | Canton, Ohio- |  |  |  | ---------- |  |  |  | ----------- |  |
| 85 | Wilmington, Del Tampa, Mla.. | 14,990 138,253 |  |  | 14,990 3,500 |  |  | 133,273 | 1,480 |  |
| 87 | Somerville, Mass. | 52,120 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 52,120 |  |
| 88 | El Paso, Tex. | 5,999 |  |  | 5,999 |  |  | ----------- |  | - |
| 89 90 | Evanswlie, Ind. | 30,840 57,386 |  |  | 10,729 |  |  |  | 20,111 57 |  |
| 91 | Utica, N. Y. | 23,776 | ---------- | ---------- | 23,776 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 92 | Duluth, M1nn.- | 13,533 |  |  | 3,779 |  |  |  |  | 9,754 |
| ${ }_{94}^{93}$ | Waterbury, Conn | 139,630 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 139,630 |  |
| 94 | Lowell, Mass | 39,626 |  |  | ------ |  |  | ----------- | 39,626 |  |
|  |  | 105,018 |  |  | 97,040 |  |  |  | 7,978 |  |
|  | Included with real property. 2/ Included ded in group or grand totals. | tangible | nal. | Includes tax | personal p | rty for Ne | rleans Levee | ard and Pari | School board | 4/ Not |

TABLE 7.-REVENUES FROM LICENSES AND PERMITS: 1937
(See text discussion, p. 51)


| 23 | Jer | 469,715 | ---------- |  | - | 33,222 | 388,218 | 3,805 | 11,894 | ,022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 24 | Houston, | 379,998 |  |  | 188,774 | 2,499 | 93,439 | 7,327 |  |  |
| 25 | Loutsville | 505,507 | 51,357 | 36 | -------- | 7,902 | 184,798 | 60,635 | 56,360 | ,288 |
| 26 | Portlana, | 300,994 | 15,035 |  |  |  | 14,001 | 38,188 | 19,323 | 17,279 |


| 27 | Columbus, Ohio |
| :---: | :---: |
| 28 | Toledo, Oh10------------- |
| 29 | Oakland, Calif |
| 30 | Denver, Colo. |
| 31 | Atlanta, Ca |
| 32 | Dallas, Tex. |
| 33 | St. Paul, Minn |
| 34 | Birmingham, Ala. |
| 35 | Akron, Ohio |
| 36 | Memphis, Tenn. |
| 37 | Providence, R. |
| 38 | San Antonio, Tex. |
| 39 | Omaha, Nebr. |
| 40 | Syracuse, N . |
| 41 | Dayton, ohio |
| 42 | Oklahoma City, Okl |
| 43 | Worcester, Mas |
| 44 | R1chmond, Va. |
| 45 | Youngstom, ohio |
| 46 | Grand Rapids, Mic |
| 47 | Fort Worth, Tex |
| 48 | Hartford, Conn. |
| 49 | Fint, Mich. |
| 50 | New Haven, Conn |
| 51 | San Dlogo, Callf |
| 52 | Long Beach, Call |
| 53 | Nashville, Tenn. |
| 54 | Springfield, Mass. |
| 55 56 | Tulsa, Okle.---- |
| 57 | Des Moines, Iowa- |
| 58 | Scranton, Pa . |
| 59 | Salt Lake City, Utah |
| 60 | Yonkers, N. Y. |
| 61 | Paterson, N. J. |
| 62 | Jacksonville, Fla |
| 63 | Albany, N. Y.---- |
| 64 65 | Norfolk, va. Trenton, N. J |
| 66 | Chattenooge, Te |
| 67 | Kansas City, Kans. |

GROUP III.-CITIRS HAVING A POPUIATION OF 100,000 TO 300,000
1/ Includes other business licenses not separately reported.

TABLE 7．－REVENURS FROM LICENSES AND PERMITS：1937—Continued
（See teat discussion，p．51）

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 嵩 } \\ & \text { 号 } \\ & \text { 荢 } \end{aligned}$ | CITY | OTHER LOCAL taxes（CONTINUED From table 6） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Licenses and permits for use of street |  |  |  | Other licenses and permits |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Motor ve－ hicles | Other ve－ hicles | Parking | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Street priv- } \\ & \text { ileges } \end{aligned}$ | Business licenses |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Alcoholic beverage | Health | Police and protective | Amusement |
| group ini．－CIties having a population of 100，000 To 300，000－Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 68 |  | \＄34，602 | \＄576 | －－－－－－－ | －－－－－－－－ | \＄429 |  | \＄11，550 | \＄430 | \＄2，167 |
| ${ }_{70}^{69}$ |  | 175,609 4,852 |  |  | －－－－－－－－ | 1，364 | \＄124，798 | 6，830 | 13，561 | 2，483 |
| 71 |  | 182，856 | －－－－－－－－－－ | －－－ | －－－－－ | 3，129 | 142，601 | 1，910 | 7 7，248 | 5，036 |
| 72 | Wich1ta，Kans．－－－－－－－－ | 106，470 | 9，375 |  | －－－－－－－－ | 1，991 | 22，025 | 5，844 | 4，614 | 14，431 |
| 73 | Spokane，Wash．－－－－－－－－－－－－－ | 45，404 |  | \＄710 | －－－－－－－－ | －－－7 |  | 9，645 | 3,156 7 | 11，565 |
| 74 | Fall River，Mass． | 119，321 | －－ | 331 | －－－－－ | 75 | 101，875 | 1，062 | 7，056 | 6，340 |
| 76 | New Bedford，Mass．－－－－－－－－－－－－－ | 179,591 130,463 | －－－－－－ | 103 593 | －－－－－－－－－ | ${ }_{567}^{293}$ | 110，490 | 3,124 2,431 | 7,188 4,171 | 6，398 |
| 77 |  | 35，170 |  |  |  | 3，988 | －－－－－－－－ | 15，492 | 1，362 | 3，676 |
| 78 |  | 120，143 | 28，752 | －－－－－－－ | \＄5，181 |  | －－－－－－－－7 |  | 72，062 |  |
| 79 80 |  | 300,874 22,018 | 855 | 402 | －－－－－－－－－－ | 13,288 2,106 | 198，773 | 2,719 5,018 | 61,915 1,369 | 2,711 1,425 |
| 81 | Tacoma，Wash．－－ | 40，868 |  | －－－－ | －－－－ | －－，－－－ | －－－－－－－ | 3，458 | 11，921 | 12，864 |
| 82 | Milami，Fla． | 628，189 | 42，039 | －－－ | 58，121 | 25 | 56，789 | 108，435 | 28，538 | 63，348 |
| 83 | Gery，Ind．－－－ | 19，841 | 840 | 77 | －－－－－－－－ | －－－ | －－－－－ | 1，286 | 3，308 | 1，794 |
| ${ }_{88}^{84}$ | Canton，Ohio－－－－－ Wilmington，Del． | 22,860 87,007 | 7，600 | －－－－－－－－ | －－－－－－－－ | 27 305 | 1，－00 | 2,029 14,550 | 2,499 6,500 | 3，11 4,945 |
| 86 |  | 243，622 | －－－ | －－－－－－－ | －－－ | －－－－－－－ | 24，953 | 25，000 | 15，000 | 3，500 |
| 87 | Samerville，Mass． | 102，716 | －－－－－－－－－－ |  |  | 377 | 78，258 | 2，853 | 9，126 | 3，239 |
| 88 | El Paso，Tex．－－－ | 68，056 | －－－－－－－－－－－ | 340 | 37，352 | 196 | 7，587 | 5，269 | 3，347 | 890 |
| 89 | Evansville，Ind． | 49,139 143,598 | 19，527 | 2，485 | －－－－－－－－－－－ |  | －－7－－725 | 2,773 | 3,323 2,899 | 2，411 |
| ${ }_{3 i}$ | Lym，Mass．－－－－－ | 143,598 <br> 12,303 | －－－－－－－－－－－－－ | －－－－－－－－－ | －－－－－－－－－－ | 1，446 | 117，425 | ${ }_{\text {2，}}^{2,773}$ | $\begin{array}{r}\text { 2，899 } \\ \hline 740\end{array}$ | － 11,423 |
| 92 | Duluth，M1nn． | 117，819 | 2，926 | －－－－－－－ | －－－－－－－－ | 847 | 83，509 | 8，496 | 5，781 | 2，638 |
| 93 94 | Waterbury，Conn． Lowell，Mass．－－ | 27,754 110,761 |  |  |  | 4，008 | 95，570 | 187 3,016 | 2,380 2,426 | 1，115 |
|  | Honolulu，Hawa 112 | 1，379，532 | 1，115，048 | 6，614 | －－－－－－－－ | －－－－－－－－－－ | 167，399 | 5，991 | －－－－－－－－－－ | －－－－－ |

2／Not included in group or grand totals．
table 7.-rievenuss from licenses and prraits: 1937-Continued
(See text discussion, p. 51)

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 台 } \\ & \text { 曹 } \\ & \$ \\ & \text { \# } \end{aligned}$ | CITY | OTHER LOCAL taxes (COnTINUKD FROM TABLE 6)-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Other 11 consos and pormits-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Business licenses-Contimued |  |  |  | Nonbusiness 1icenses and permits |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Manufac- } \\ & \text { turing } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Morohan- } \\ \text { dising } \end{gathered}$ | Professional and ocoupational | All othor | Animal | Marriago | Burlal | Building structure and -quipment | All other |
|  | Grand | \$262,245 | \$3,207,554 | \$1,948,294 | \$3,131,571 | \$1,241,963 | \$508,889 | \$16,054 | \$3,442,425 | \$340,280 |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 149,041 \\ 1,517 \\ 108,687 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,866,933 \\ 140,606 \\ 1,200,015 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,037,607 \\ 737,656 \\ 737,651 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 608,656 \\ 1,085,626 \\ 1,457,089 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 739,358 \\ & 191,403 \\ & 311,202 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 381,455 \\ 73,929 \\ 53,505 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9,494 \\ 646 \\ 5,914 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,986,676 \\ 528,239 \\ 947,510 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 160,368 \\ & 28,631 \\ & 151,286 \end{aligned}$ |
| arote I. -Citile having a population of 500,000 and over |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Now York, N. Y. |  | \$343,556 | \$227,951 | \$193,306 | -------- | \$169,897 | ---- | -------- | \$29,800 |
| 2 3 |  | \$109,859 | 915,303 <br> 78,554 <br> 8,585 | 151,515 7,679 | 273,171 5,965 | $\begin{array}{r}\$ 144,618 \\ 27 \\ \hline 2010\end{array}$ | 101,508 | ---------- | $\$ 114,818$ <br> 135 <br> 187 | 20,545 21,760 |
| 4 |  | 4,738 | 69,526 | 35,851 | 3,972 | 82,283 | 19,761 | -------- | - | 21,760 17 |
| 5 | Los Angoles, calif | 24,682 | 298,143 | 237,149 | 58,786 | 224,103 | 14,296 |  | 755,226 | 3,612 |
| 6 | Cloveland, ohio | 1,017 | 8,167 | 9,737 | 8,005 | 50,620 | 6,370 | \$761 | 56,015 | 15,332 |
| 7 | St. Louls, Mo. | 7,875 | 35,210 | 138,137 | 6,545 | 8,652 |  |  | 61,335 |  |
| 8 |  | -------- | 14,042 | 12,--326 | 53,174 | 20,724 29,377 | ------7 | --------- | 79,032 74,589 | 31,---40 |
| 10 |  | -------- | ------- | 10,340 | ----------- | 34,872 | 18,222 |  | 77,479 <br> 708 | 4,616 |
| 11 | San Francisoo, Calif. |  | 12,200 | 64,483 | 4,800 | 15,600 | 14,064 | 8,733 | 99,139 |  |
| 12 | Washington, D. C. | 870 | 8,832 | 83,025 | ---------- | 50,204 |  | - | 168,141 | 4,994 |
| 14 | Milwaukee, Wis. <br> Buffalo, N. Y. | ---------- | $\begin{aligned} & 58,469 \\ & 24,931 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12,051 \\ & 47,363 \end{aligned}$ | ----------- | 28,672 22,532 | 2,724 8,094 |  | 103,967 21,722 | 4,823 6,430 |
| group in.-Citims having a population of 300,000 to 500,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 |  | \$878 | \$523 | \$25,553 | $\$ 2,756$ <br> 532,767 <br> $\mathbf{5}, 59$ | $\$ 13,528$7,417 | \$11,162 | --------- | $\$ 69,750$16,097 | \$126 |
| 16 |  |  | --------- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 |  |  | 6,099 | 9,361 5,555 | 3,009 320 | 40,130 12,705 | 3,425 9,652 |  | 71,513 59,097 | 93 2,450 |
| 19 | Kansas City, Mo. |  | ,09- | 6,954 | 473,610 | 18,485 | 8,957 | ---------- | 36,825 | 18,594 |
| 20 | Seattle, Wash.--- | ---------- | 26,910 | 27,960 | 10,800 | 22,069 | ${ }_{8}^{9,181}$ | - | 52,224 45,238 | -453 |
| ${ }_{22}^{21}$ | Indianapolis, | 575 | 5,460 | 7,985 5,353 | 8,633 | 8,542 31,068 | 8,457 4,449 |  | 45,238 13,813 | 5,014 |




## TABLE 8

Revenues of the 94 cities from fines, forfeits, and penalties, from interest on deposits and investments, and from rents and royalties are shown in table 8. During 1937 approximately $\$ 76,900,000$ was realized from these sources, or about 2.8 percent of total municipal revenue.

FINES, FORFEITS, AND PENAITIES. - Revenues during 1937 from fines, forfeits, and penalties amounted to $\$ 12,103,118$, which was derived almost entirely from fines imposed for the commission of offenses and for the neglect of official duty. New York received the largest revenue from this source, closely followed by Chicago, while New Bedford reported the lowest.

INIEREST. - Revenue in the amount of $\$ 53,373,332$ was realized from interest earned on investments by several types of funds, the most important being the earnings on assets of sinking funds and public trust funds. The larger part of such municipal income was reported by the cities in population group I. Revenues from interest on bank deposits totaled $\$ 2,057,511$, approximately 90 percent of which represented interest drawn on general fund deposits.

RENTS AND ROYALITES. - Revenues in the amount of $\$ 9,366,693$ were reported for 1937 by 82 of the 94 cities as rents or royalties derived from miscellaneous real estate, investment properties, and properties held by public trust and investment funds. Philadelphia reported revenues of $\$ 2,710,404$ from these sources, the major portion of which is rents received from the lease of extensive propperties held in the Girard Trust Fund. Practically all of the revenues reported by San Francisco under this classification were derived from a lease of the new airport site to the Exposition Company for the period of the Exposition. The major portion of Oklahoma City's revenue under this classificasion, which amounted to approximately one-tenth of all revenue received by the cilty in 1937 , was from oil and gas royalties.

TABLE 8．－REVENUES FROM FINES，FORFEITS，AND PENALTIES AND FROM USE OF MONEY AND PROPERTY： 1937

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { L } \\ & \text { d } \\ & \text { 吕 } \\ & \stackrel{5}{0} \end{aligned}$ | CITY | FINES，Forretts，AND PENaLties |  |  |  | USE OF MONEY AND PROFERTY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Fines | Forfeits | $\begin{gathered} \text { Penal- } \\ \text { tioe } \end{gathered}$ | Total | Interest |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Rents and royalties |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | On bank deposits |  |  |  | On 1nvestments |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { General } \\ & \text { funds } \end{aligned}$ | Sinking funds | Public trust funds | $\begin{gathered} \text { fll } \\ \text { other } \end{gathered}$ | General funds | $\underset{\substack{\text { Sinking } \\ \text { funds }}}{ }$ | Public trust funds | All other |  |
|  | Grand to | \＄12，103，118 | \＄11，976，508 | \＄123，213 | \＄3，397 | \＄64，797，536 | \＄1，865，994 | \＄167，656 | \＄11，336 | \＄12，525 | \＄356，512 | \＄24，797，256 | \＄25，418，040 | \＄2，801，524 | \＄9，366，693 |
|  | Group I－－－ Group II Group III | 6，995，351 $1,480,181$ $3,627,549$ | $6,937,590$ $1,471,52$ $3,567,166$ | $\begin{array}{r} 57,761 \\ 8,466 \\ 56,986 \end{array}$ | 3，397 | $52,094,472$ 5,711 $6,991,272$ 6,912 | $\begin{array}{r}1,361,065 \\ 137,112 \\ 367,817 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 94,000 36,586 37,070 | 7,017 1,580 2,739 | $\begin{array}{r}7,988 \\ 338 \\ 4,199 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 337,969 5,404 13,139 | $\begin{array}{r}18,278,606 \\ 2,646,672 \\ 3,811,978 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $22,708,074$ $1,640,074$ $1,069,892$ | $2,268,789$ 239,619 293,116 | $\begin{aligned} & 7,030,964 \\ & 1,003,887 \\ & 1,31,8842 \end{aligned}$ |
| group i．－cities having a population of 500，000 and over |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | New York，N．Y．－－－ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1,605,559 \\ 1,194,341 \\ 379,093 \\ 794,134 \\ 988,902 \\ 31,954 \\ 167,881 \end{array}$ | $\$ 1,556,786$$1,193,576$378,093793,634984,369311,654167,881 | \＄48，773 | －－－－－－－ | $\$ 21,802,215$$5,631,372$$11,971,795$172,047$1,597,269$558,077507,889 $\|$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 597,963 \\ 40,627 \\ 24,095 \end{array}$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 280 \\ \hline-\quad 88 \\ \hline-.-1 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 98,177,744 \\ 3,478 \\ 6,899,384 \\ 33,028 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|r} \hline \$ 12,463,362 \\ 2,70,155 \\ 2,291,321 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 27,018 \\ 1,846,842 \\ 45,101 \\ 3,315 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 442,795 \\ 869,990 \\ 2,710,404 \end{array}$ |
| 2 | Chicago，Inl． |  |  | ${ }^{7465}$ |  |  |  | \＄1，402 |  |  | －9， |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | Philadelphia，Pa．－ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | Detroit，Mich．${ }^{\text {a }}$－－－－ |  |  | 500 | －－－－－－ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 288,587 \\ & 119,721 \end{aligned}$ | －－－7－－7 | －－ |  | 244，636 |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 2,710,404 \\ 15,271 \end{array}$ |
| 5 | Los Angeles，Callf．－ |  |  | 4，533 | －－－－－－ |  |  |  |  | －－－－－－－－－－－－－ |  | 248,95256,184$---3,0-1$ | $\begin{array}{r} 120,470 \\ 837,796 \\ 23,521 \end{array}$ | 172,747 118,437 | $\begin{aligned} & 53,503 \\ & 18,951 \end{aligned}$ |
| 7 | Cleveland，ohio－－－－ St．Louls， |  |  | 300 |  |  | 119,721 45,885 |  | \＄1，572 |  |  |  | 23,521 239,989 | 118,437 11,226 |  |
| 8 | Baltimora，ma．－ |  | 44,160228,438 |  | －－－－－－ | $1,619,631$$2,421,801$ | 25919112,639 | －－－－－－－－－－ | $\begin{array}{r} 207 \\ 5,235 \end{array}$ | －－－－－－－－－ | －－－－－－－－ | $\begin{aligned} & 858,506 \\ & 495,555 \\ & 290,636 \end{aligned}$ | 680,831$1,580,497$49 | 15，720 | 64,315345,52355 |
| 9 | Boston，Mass．－－－－－－－ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | P1ttsburgh，Pa．－－－－－1 | 253，500 | 253，500 | －－－－－－－－－－ | －－－－－－ | $\begin{array}{r}\text { 629，892 } \\ 3,07,978 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |  | －65，596 |  | 4,4882,779 | －ー－ーーーーー |  | $\begin{array}{r} 49,311 \\ 796,262 \\ 303,261 \end{array}$ | －－－－－26，－25 | $\begin{array}{r} 70,701 \\ 2,132,791 \\ 73,230 \end{array}$ |
| 11 | San Francisco，Calif． | 155,639 469,375 | 155,639 468,562 |  |  |  | 76，146 |  | － |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 13 | Nashington，D．C．－－－ Milwaukee，Wis． | 469,375 <br> 313,868 | 468,562 313,673 | 195 | $\begin{aligned} & --\infty-\infty \\ & ------\infty \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,682,984 \\ 114,828 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10,951 \\ & 43,970 \end{aligned}$ | $34$ | $\qquad$ | $\cdots$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 1,165,703 \\ 49,433 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 1,927 \\ 200 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |
| 14 | Buffalo，N．Y．－－－－－ | 87，625 | 87，625 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 448,027 \\ 3,308 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 56,376 \\ & 17,527 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |


$\pi$
table 8.-Revenues from fines, forfeits, and penalties and from use of money and property: 1937-Continued

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 㟔 } \\ & \text { 吕 } \\ & \text { ث } \end{aligned}$ | CITY | FINE, Forfetrs, And penalties |  |  |  | USE OF MONEY AND PROPIRTY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Fines | Forfoits | $\begin{gathered} \text { Penal- } \\ \text { tios } \end{gathered}$ | Total | Interest |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Rents and royalties |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | On benk deposits |  |  |  | On investments |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { coneral } \\ \text { funds } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sinking } \\ & \text { funds } \end{aligned}$ | Public trust funds | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { other } \end{gathered}$ | General funds | Sinking funds | Public trust funds | All other |  |

group iti.-CIties having a population of 100,000 To 300,000

| 27 | Columb | \$212,369 | \$212,369 |  |  | \$149,364 | \$3,235 | 34 | \$175 | \$3 | -------- | \$103,421 | \$22,785 | \$214 | \$14,597 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 28 | Toledo, ohio- | 91,436 | 82,713 | \$8,723 | ----- | 100,499 | 10,245 | 2,681 |  | ------- |  | 50,021 | 25,517 | 7,096 | 4,939 |
| 29 | Oakland, Callf | 140,943 | 140,943 |  |  | 36,847 | 36,003 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 844 |  |
| 30 | Denver, Col | ${ }_{237}^{103,241}$ | 103,241 |  |  | 118,889 38,601 | 5,885 |  |  |  |  | 7,240 24,202 | 56,179 7,246 | 3,742 | 45,843 7,153 |
| 31 | Atlanta, Ge | 237,635 | 235,035 | 19 | \$2,581 | 38,601 |  |  |  |  |  | 24,202 | 7,246 |  | 7,153 |
| 32 | Dallas, Tex.- | 105,017 | 105,017 | --------- | ------ | 95,057 | 4,226 | 14,917 | 2 | 2,693 | -------- | 23,626 | 1,791 | 39,754 | 8,048 |
| 33 | St. Paul, minn | 88,635 | 88,635 |  |  | 618,610 |  |  |  |  |  | 514,223 | 38,635 | 5,637 | 60,115 |
| 34 | B1rmingham, Ale | 193,866 | 189,816 | 4,050 |  | 150,039 |  |  |  | 139 |  | 46,255 | 4,913 | 30,536 | 18,196 |
| 35 | Akron, Ohio | 66,170 | 66,170 |  |  | 263,360 | 2,032 | 131 | 50 |  | \$2,764 | 231,505 | 13,041 |  | 13,837 |
| 36 | Memphis, Tenn. | 146,458 | 146,458 |  |  | 30,764 | 7,921 |  |  |  |  | 16,748 | 1,070 | 34 | 4,191 |
| 37 | Providenc | 39,909 | 39,779 | 130 | ---- | 583,387 | 7,984 | -------- |  | -...-.-- |  | 344,789 | 196,234 | 4,936 | 29,444 |
| 38 | San Antonio, | 18,642 | 18,642 |  |  | 19,174 | 8,057 |  |  |  |  | 9,279 | 145 |  | 1,693 |
| 39 | Omaha, Nebr. | 43,157 | 29,590 | 13,567 | ---- | 118,796 |  | ------- |  |  | 5,468 | 100,687 | 8,878 | 1,377 | ${ }_{3}^{2,386}$ |
| 41 | Syracuse, Dayton, N . | 65,316 69,572 | 65,316 69,572 |  |  | 22,820 111,854 | 9,079 1,127 | 1,080 | 5 |  |  | 76,465 | 4,448 | 7,312 | 13,213 21,414 |
| 42 | Oklahama City, | 124,667 | 124,667 |  |  | 960,350 | 4,893 | 6,666 | ------- |  |  | 187,416 |  |  | 761,375 |
| 43 | Worcester, Mass. | 10,949 | 10,949 | ------- |  | 206,816 |  |  |  |  |  | 9,185 | 97,111 |  | 520 |
| 44 | R1chmond, Va. | 54,580 | 54,580 | -------- |  | 534,755 | ----- | --------- | 76 | -------- | --------- | 496,610 | 26,391 | 8,247 | ,431 |
| 45 | Youngstown, Ohio | 49,638 | 49,638 |  |  | 10,110 | 1,865 |  |  |  |  | 3,146 | 4,795 |  | 304 |
| 46 | Grand Rapids, Mich. | 51,061 | 51,061 |  |  | 73,801 |  |  |  |  |  | 68,209 | 1,660 | 3,147 | 785 |
| 47 | Fort Worth, Tex | 48,044 | 48,044 |  |  | 97,607 |  |  |  |  |  | 63,915 |  |  | 33,637 |
| 48 | Hartford, Conn. | 25,644 | 25,628 | 16 | ------ | 167,410 | 3,840 | -------- | ------- | ------- | -------- | 124,831 | 13,676 | 4,886 | 20,177 |
| 49 | Flint, Mich. - | 96,483 | 96,483 |  |  | 89,503 | 2,935 |  | 14 |  | 2,7 | 80,740 |  | 667 | 1,828 |
| 50 | New Haven, Comn. | 5,950 | 5,950 |  |  | 82,238 |  |  |  |  |  | 33,399 | 39,554 | 185 | 9,100 |
| 51 | San Diego, Calif. | 130,868 | 130,868 |  |  | 73,650 | 8,007 |  | 524 |  |  |  | 46,750 | 11,096 | 7,273 |
| 52 | in̄eg Beach, calif. | 112,024 | 112,024 |  |  | 186,979 | 161,270 |  |  |  |  | 38 | 56 | 4,164 | 21,451 |
| 53 | Neshville, Temm. | 44,294 | 44,294 |  |  | 36,469 | ---- |  |  | ---- | ----- | 10,283 | 16,333 | 6,250 | 3,603 |
| 54 | Springfield, Mass. | 15,534 | 15,534 |  |  | 2,480 | 626 |  |  |  |  |  | 1,213 |  | 641 |
| 55 | Tulsa, okla.---- | 39,585 | 39,585 |  |  | ${ }^{86,316}$ | 2,363 |  |  |  |  | 58,291 | 1,111 | ${ }_{543}^{231}$ | 24,320 |
| 56 | Bridgeport, Conn. | 33,748 | 33,748 |  |  | 23,613 | 5,127 |  |  | 1,364 |  |  | 1,185 | 3,543 | 12,394 |
| 57 | Des Moines, Io | 37,186 | 37,186 |  |  | 33,736 | 7,802 |  |  |  |  |  | 15,653 | 5,130 | 5,151 |
| $8$ | Scranton, Pa.-- | 12,804 | 12,704 | 100 |  | 23,436 | 4,653 | 2,148 | 292 |  |  |  | 13,982 | 1,434 | 420 |



1/ Not included in group or grend totals.

TABLE 9
The revenues of the 94 cities from State-shared taxes, classified by purpose and source, are presented in table 9.

SHARED STATE TAXES. - Shared tax revenues of $\$ 97,486,655$ were repor bed by 80 cities located in 26 States, while 13 remaining cities ${ }^{7}$ situated in the states of Missouri, Texas, Iowa, Utah, Florida, and Illinois reported no revenues from this source. This should not necessarily be interpreted to mean that these States had no shared taxes, but only that they shared no taxes with their cities of over 100,000 population and counties over 300,000 population. ${ }^{8}$

Cities in population groups I and II and those in population group III in the same State may not agree in the types of shared taxes received, because the statistics for cities over 300,000 population, comprising the first two groups, include shared-tax receipts of the county as well as those of the city. ${ }^{9}$ Other variations between cities in the same State may be attributed to special laws which apply to one city but not to the others.
dISTINCTION BETNEEN SHARED TAXES AND GRANTS.-A shared tax is a specific tax collected by the State and distributed to cities and other minor civil divisions in proportion, or substantially in proportion, to the amount of tax collected in each division. A tax is regarded as being distributed "substantially in proportion" to the yield when it is shared on the basis of an approximate measure of the amount produced. For example, a motor vehicle fuel tax is classified as shared if its yield is distributed on the basis of motor vehicle registration, because the number of motor venicles within a particular area is considered to be a fairly good index of the motor vehicle fuel tax yield in that area. Where the amount received by the locality is not substantially proportionate to the yield, it is classified as a grant. (See discussion of table 10.)

PURPOSE AND SOURCE. - Nearly three-fourths of the revenues from shared taxes, amounting to $\$ 72,145,310$, may be used by the receiving cities for unspecified or general purposes. The remaining one-quarter consists chiefly of the following: $\$ 22,705,591$ for highways, comprised mainly of motor vehicle fuel and 11cense taxes, which are customarily earmarked for roads; \$2,015,862 for pension funds, of which the greater portion consists of gross premium business license taxes on insurance companies designated for firemen's pension and relief funds; and $\$ 584,975$ for debt service, from inheritance and estate taxes in Ohio.

In addition to showing the purpose for which the shared taxes must be used by the cities, table 11 also indicates the types of taxes shared for each of the purposes. The classification of sources of shared taxes, or the type of taxes shared, that is used here conforms so far as possible to the classification of State tax revenues.used by the Bureau of the Census in reporting financial statistics of States. ${ }^{10}$ Attention is called to the numerous footnotes to the table; these have been used because some of the sources have been combined to save space.

The three chief sources of revenues which are shared for unspecified purposes are income taxes, motor vehicle licenses, and alcoholic beverage revenues. In addition to these, there are a number of others which have been combined in the column headed "From other speciiled sources." Table 9-A presents an analysis of these sources. The item business license taxes, in this table, consists solely of amounts which would be classified as "Business license taxes-all other" in the State classification, and such taxes are subdivided intc "Corporation," "Bank stock," and "Other." This further breakdown is a departure from the State classification occasioned by the relatively large amourts of the first two items. The column headed "Other" under this heading contiins horseracing taxes, pawnbrokers' licenses, motor bus licenses, real estate brokers' and salesmen's licenses, and other miscellaneous business licenses.

7/ Washington, D. C., because it is not located in any State, is not involved in this discussion.
8 For a more extended discussion of shared taxes from the point of view of the States, see Financial $\frac{\text { Statistics }}{9 / \text { See }} \frac{\text { of }}{\text { page } 6 \text {, supra }}$.

10/ See Financial Statistics of States: 1937.

TABLE 9-A.—SHARED STATE TAXES FOR "GENERAL PURPOSES" FROM "OTHER SPECIFIED SOURCES": 1937

| 世 | CITY | Total | Special property texes | ```Inheri-``` | Tobacco products sales taxes | BUSINESS LICENSE TAXES |  |  | All other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\underset{\sim}{\$}$ |  |  |  |  |  | Corporation | Bank <br> stock | Other |  |
|  | Tot | \$19,536,301 | \$4,772,362 | \$720,363 | \$157,374 | \$6,891,116 | \$5,043,075 | \$609,850 | \$1,342,161 |
| 1 | New York, N. Y | 11,487,740 |  |  |  | 5,675,774 | 4,740,750 | 130,712 | 1/940,504 |
| , | Cleveland, Ohi | 204,866 |  | 144,390 | 57,257 |  |  | 3,219 |  |
| 9 | Boston, Mass. | 1,074,286 | 1,074,286 |  | ------- | ---------- | ---------- |  |  |
| 13 | Milwaukee, Wis | 373,916 |  | 97,532 | -------- | ---7----- | --------- | -------- | 2/276,384 |
| 14 | Buffalo, N. Y | 646,146 |  |  | -------- | 528,938 | 93,791 | ------- | 1/23,417 |
| 15 | Minneapolis, Minn. | 65,004 | ---------- | -------- | ------- | --------- | --------- | 65,004 | -- |
| 16 | New Orleans, La.-- | 7,332 | --------- | - | -- | --------- | --------- | 7,241 | 3/91 |
| 17 | Cincinnati, Ohio- | 251,047 |  | 204,046 | 35,810 | --------- |  | 11,191 |  |
| 18 | Newark, N. J. | 30,088 | ------------ | 30,088 | ---------- |  | 92.322 | 6,617 |  |
| 22 | Rochester, N. Y | 500,246 |  |  | ------- | 365,325 | 92,322 | 6,617 | 1/35,982 |
| 23 | Jersey City, N. J. | 7,567 | ---------- | 7,567 | ------- | --------- | --------- | -------- | --------- |
| 27 | Columbus, Ohio-- | 129,213 | ---------- | 118,366 | 10,847 | --------- | --------- | -------- | --------- |
| 28 | Toledo, Ohio-- | 48,304 | --------- | 35,994 | 11,335 | --------- | --------- | 975 | --------- |
| 33 | St. Paul, Minn. | 17,862 | --------- |  |  | ---------- |  | 17,862 | -- |
| 34 | Birmingham, Ala.-- | 4,770 | --------- | --- | -------- | --------- | 4,770 | -- | --------- |
| 35 | Akron, Ohio- | 39,571 | --------- | 30,151 | 9,420 | --------- | ---------- | ------- | --------- |
| 37 | Providence, R. I | 283,256 | --------- | ------- | ------- | --------- | --------- | 283,256 | -- |
| 40 | Syracuse, N. Y.- | 207,803 | ----- | ----7-- |  | 143,879 | 52,735 |  | 1/11,189 |
| 41 | Dayton, Ohio-- | 24,820 | --------- | 17,226 | 7,594 | --------- |  |  |  |
| 43 | Worcester, Mass. | 674,309 | 674,309 |  |  | --------- | ---------- | ------- | --------- |
| 44 | Richmond, Va. | 18,350 |  | -------- | -------- | --------- | ---------- | 664 | 4/17,686 |
| 45 | Youngstown, Ohio- | 30,110 | ---------- | 24,866 | 5,244 | --------- | --------- | -------- | - |
| 48 | Hartford, Conn.- | 18,515 | ---------- | ------- | ------- | --------- |  | 18,515 | --------- |
| 50 | New Haven, Conn.-- | 4,433 | ---------- | --.----- | -------- | ---------- | --------- | 4,433 | ---------- |
| 54 | Springfield, Mass. | 374,353 | 374,353 | ------- | ------- | --------- | --------- | ------- | --------- |
| 56 | Bridgeport, Conn.- | 23,400 | ---------- | -------- | -------- | ---------- | ---------- | 23,400 | ---------- |
| 60 | Yonkers, N. Y. | 57,867 | --------- | -----... | -------- | 35,415 | 762 | 21,690 | --------- |
| 63 | Albany, N. Y.- | 152,809 | ---------- | -------- | -------- | 87,301 | 53,858 | ---- | 1/11,650 |
| 64 | Norfolk, Va.-...-- | 16,491 |  | -------- |  |  |  | 331 | 4/16,160 |
| 67 | Kanses City, Kans. | 4,326 |  | ------- | 4,326 |  | ---------- | ------- |  |
| 72 | Wichita, Kans..--- | 11,600 | ---------- | -------- | 11,600 | ---------- | --------- | -------- | ---------- |
| 74 | Fall River, Mass.- | 661,306 | 661,306 | -------- | ---.--- | --------- | ---------- | ------- | --------- |
| 75 | Cambridge, Mass.-- | 444,725 | 444,725 | ------***********) | ------- |  | --------- | ------- | --------- |
| 76 | New Bedford, Mass. | 808,342 | 808,342 | -------- | -------- |  | --------- | ------- | --------- |
| 84 | Centon, Ohio- | 14,078 |  | 10,137 | 3,941 | --------- | --------- | ------- |  |
| 87 | Somerville, Mass.- | 70,461 | 70,461 | -------- | ------- | ---------- | --------- | ------- | ---------- |
| 90 | Lynn, Mass, --- | 306,894 | 306,894 |  | ------- | ---------- | ---------- | ------- | --------- |
| 91 | Utica, N. Y.- | 67,669 | ---------- |  | -------- | 54,484 | 4,087 | -------- | 1/9,098 |
| 92 | Duluth, Minn. | 6,493 |  |  |  |  |  | 6,493 | ------- |
| 93 | Waterbury, Conn.- | 8,247 |  |  |  |  |  | 8,247 | -- |
| 94 | Lowell, Mass.----- | 357,686 | 357,686 |  |  | --------- | --------- |  |  |

1/ Mortgage tax.
2/ General property tax.
3 3/ Severance tax.
4/ Poll tax.

TABLE 9.-REVENUES FROM PROPORTIONATLLY-SHARED STATE TAXES, BY PURPOSE AND SOURCE: 1937

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 旁 } \\ & \text { 吕 } \\ & \text { ث } \end{aligned}$ | CITY | Total | FOR GENERAL FURPOSES |  |  |  |  | FOR HICHWAYS |  |  | For pension <br> funds, from <br> business <br> license <br> (Insurance) | For debt service, from inheritance taxes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { From indi- } \\ & \text { vidual } \\ & \text { incone } \\ & \text { taxes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { From motor } \\ & \text { vehicle } \\ & \text { licenses } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { From alco- } \\ \text { holic bev- } \\ \text { erage } \\ \text { revenue } \end{array}$ | Fror other specified sources | Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { From motor } \\ \text { vehicle } \\ \text { licenses } \end{gathered}$ | From motor vehicle fuel taxes |  |  |
|  | nd | \$97,486,655 | \$72,145,310 | 1/ $322,955,722$ | \$5,058,270 | \$24,595,017 | \$19,536,301 | \$22,705,591 | \$12,574, 204 | 2/\$10,131,387 | 3/\$2,015,862 | 4/ ${ }^{\text {6 }}$ 19,892 |
|  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 55,481,520 \\ 2,931,252 \\ 13,732,538 \end{array}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{cc} 5 / & 18,394,112 \\ 7 / 4,160,402 \\ 7 & 401020 \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,949,176 \\ \hline 109,094 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18,351,278 \\ 1,668,566 \\ 4,575,173 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13,786,954 \\ 861,284 \\ 4,888,063 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16,740,123 \\ 2,748,298 \\ 3,217,170 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8,905,966 \\ & 2,291,929 \\ & 1,376,309 \end{aligned}$ | $7,834,157$ $2 / 456,369$ $1,840,861$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,314,125 \\ 26,365 \\ 439,372 \end{array}$ | 144,390 603,258 <br> - $8 / 267,244$ |

croup i. -CITIES having a population of 500,000 and oviri

| 1 | New York, | ,306,397 | \$38,906,977 | \$10,697,058 | \$4,949,176 | ${ }^{\text {+11, }}$,73,003 | \$11,487,740 | ----------- |  |  | 20 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Chicago, | 313,968 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 313,968 |  |
| 3 | Philadolphia, | 1,778,558 | 1,611,481 |  |  | 1,612,481 |  |  |  |  | 167,077 |  |
| 4 | Detroit, M1ch. Los Angeles, | 5,449,616 $4,674,947$ | $\begin{aligned} & 921,610 \\ & 565,807 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 921,610 565,807 |  | $\$ 4,528,006$ $4,109,140$ | $\left.\begin{array}{r} \$ 4,528,006 \\ 416,625 \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | \$3,692,515 |  |  |
| 6 | ${ }^{\text {Cleveland, }}$ O | 4,855,932 | 2,527,634 |  |  | 1,322,768 | 204,866 | 3,183,908 | 2,120,395 | 1,063,513 |  | \$144,390 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | Baltimore, ma | 2,484,480 |  | ----1 |  |  |  | 2,484,480 | 921,055 | 1,563,425 | ------------ | ----------- |
| 10 | Boston, Mass Pittsburgh, | $5,405,685$ 870,128 | $5,405,685$ 714,675 | 4,331,399 |  |  | 1,074,286 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | San Francisco, C | 1,712,650 | 558,548 |  |  | 558,548 |  | 1,154,102 | 239,845 | 914,257 |  |  |
| 12 13 | Washington, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | Buffalo, N | 2,724,154 | 1,981,017 | 2,91,485 |  | 983,386 | 646,146 | 680,040 | 680,040 |  | 10/63,097 |  |

## group in.-Citirs having a population of 300,000 to 500,000

| 15 | M1nneapolis | \$153,415 | \$65,004 |  |  |  | 5,004 |  |  |  | 8,411 | ---------- |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 | New Orleans, La. | 24,997 $2,566,518$ | 7,332 719887 |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 7,332 \\ 251,047 \end{array}$ |  |  |  | 17,665 |  |
| 178 | Cincinnati, Ohio- Newark, N. J.al | 2,566,518 115,702 | 719,887 30,088 |  |  | \$468,840 | $\begin{array}{r} 251,047 \\ 30,088 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \$1,642,785 | \$1,188,499 | \$454, 286 | 85,614 | \$203, 846 |
|  | Kansas City, mo |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | Seatile, | 349,371 | 349,371 |  |  | 349,371 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 | Indianapolis, Ind. | 249,311 | 249,311 |  | ---------- | 249,311 |  |  |  | - |  |  |
| 22 23 | Rochester, N. Y. | $1,898,830$ 58,872 | $\begin{array}{r}1,459,603 \\ 7,567 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | \$401,402 |  | 557,955 | $\begin{array}{r} 500,246 \\ 7,567 \end{array}$ | 419,857 | 419,857 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 19,370 \\ & 51,305 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 24 | Houston, Tex. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25 | Louistille, | 5,893 |  |  |  |  |  | 5,893 | 3,810 | 11/ 2,083 |  |  |
| 26 | Portland, Oreg | 727,264 | 43,08 |  |  | 43,089 |  | 679,763 | 679,763 |  |  | 12/ 4,412 |

group ili.-Cities having a poprlation or 100,000 to 300,000

| 27 | Columbus, ohio | \$1, 224,977 | 3378,826 | ------------ | ---------- | \$249,613 | 29,213 | 727,785 | \$297,568 |  | -- | 118,366 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 28 | Toledo, Ohio | 1,127,309 | 376,406 |  |  | 328,102 | 48,304 | 714,909 | 287,607 | 427,302 |  | 35,994 |
| 29 | Oakland, Callif | 159,015 | 159,015 |  |  | 159,015 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 31 | Denver, Colo.- Atlanta, Ga.-- | 110,400 27,471 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\$ 110,400$ 27,471 | ---- |
| 32 | Dallas, Tex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 33 | St. Paul, Minn | 64,943 | 17,862 | ------------ |  | ----------- | 17,862 | ----------- | - | ------------ | 47,081 |  |
| 34 35 | B1rmingham, Ala Akron, Ohio--- | 144,369 975,959 | 113,864 326,993 |  | \$109,094 | 287, 422 | 4,770 39,571 | 618,815 | 251,903 | 366,912 |  | 13/ $\begin{array}{r}30,505 \\ 30,151\end{array}$ |
| 36 | Memphis, Tenn | 118,830 | 118,830 | 14) \$83,114 |  | 35,716 |  |  |  |  | ----------- |  |
| 37 38 | Providence, R. San Antonio, | 378,675 | 378,675 |  |  | 95,419 | 283,256 |  | -------------- |  |  |  |
| 39 | Omeha, Nebr.-- | 47,535 |  |  |  |  |  | 47, 335 |  | 47,-735 |  |  |
| 40 | Syracuse, | 815,584 | 805,239 | 242,134 |  | 355,302 | 207,803 |  |  |  | 10,345 | - |
| 41 | Dayton, Oh10 | 658,863 | 213,762 |  |  | 188,942 | 24,820 | 427,874 | 192,958 | 234,916 |  | 17,227 |
| 42 43 | Oklahama City, Okla | 93,508 $1,397,945$ |  |  |  | ------------- |  | 62,301 | 62,301 | ---------------- | 31,207 | - |
| 43 44 | Worcestor, Mass. R1chmond, Va. | $\begin{array}{r}1,397,945 \\ 165,615 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $1,397,945$ 165,615 | 723,636 |  | 147,265 | $\begin{gathered} 674,309 \\ 18,350 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | - |
| 45 | Youngetom, ohi | 538,254 38,584 | 186,332 38,084 | ------------ |  | 156,222 38,084 | 30,110 | 327,059 | 138,799 | 188,260 | ----------- | 24,863 |
|  | Grand |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 47 48 | Fort Morth, Tex Hartford, Conn. | 177,102 | 177,102 |  |  | 158,587 | 18,515 | --------- | -------------- | ----------------- | -------------- | -------------- |
| 49 50 | Flint, Mich. | $\begin{array}{r}31,009 \\ 187 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 31,009 |  |  | 31,009 183 |  | ----------- | ------------- |  |  |  |
| 50 51 | New Haven, Conn. San Diego, Calif | 187,682 74,395 | 187,682 74,395 |  |  | 183,249 74,395 | 4,433 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 52 | Long Beach, Calif | 51,997 | 51,997 |  |  | 51,997 | ----------- | ------------ | ------------ |  | - |  |
| 53 54 | Nashrille, Springiold, Mass | 60,414 $1,115,579$ | 60,414 $1,115,579$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14 / \begin{array}{c} 48,324 \\ 741,226 \end{array} \end{array}$ |  | 12,090 | 374,353 | ------- |  | --------------- |  |  |
| 55 | Tulsa, okla.--- | -64,027 |  |  |  |  | 34,353 | 41,951 | 41,951 |  | 22,076 |  |
| 56 | Bridgeport, Conn. | 186,053 | 186,053 |  |  | 162,653 | 23, 400 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 57 | Des Moines, Io |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 58 59 | Scranton, Pa.- | 192,128 | 148,950 | ---- |  | 148,950 | ----------- | ---.----.-.- | -------------- |  | 43,178 | - |
| 60 | Yonkers, N . Y. | 497,646 | 490,117 | 203,298 |  | 228,952 | 57,867 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 61 | Patorson, N . J. | 23,155 |  |  | ---------- |  |  | ----------- | ----------- | ------------ | 23,155 | - |
| 62 | Jacksonville, Fla. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 63 | Albany, $\mathrm{N} . \mathrm{Y}$. | 529,040 | 519,376 | 149,916 |  | 216,651 | 152,809 | ----------- | ----------- |  | 9,664 | - |
| 64 65 | Norfolk, Va.- Trenton, $\mathrm{N} . \mathrm{J}$ | 120,913 16,515 | 120,913 |  |  | 104,422 | 16,491 |  |  |  | 16,515 | - |
| 66 | Chattanooga, Te | 18,992 | 18,992 |  |  | 18,992 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 67 | Kansas City, Kan | 11,367 | 4,326 |  |  |  | 4,326 |  |  |  | 7,041 |  |
| 68 | Fort Wayne, Ind.-------------1 | 84,356 | 84,356 |  |  | 84,356 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 9.-REVENUES FROM PROPORTIONATELY-SHARED STATE TAXES, BY PURPOSE AND SOURCE: 1937—Continued






## TABLE 10

The revenues of the 94 cities from State grants are shown in table 10. Total revenues from such grants were $\$ 336,575,596$, all cities reporting re-ceipts-except iVashington, D. C., of course. New York City alone accounted for nearly one-third of this amount. Grants are amounts, other than receipts from shared taxes, ${ }^{11}$ received by the city from another civil division-as the Federal Government, the State, or a county-to aid in the support of a specified function or for purposes in general.

PURPOSE.-Of the total receipts from State grants, $\$ 327,292,317$, or 97 percent, was for specified purposes. This is in direct contrast to revenues from shared taxes, which, as previously pointed out, are largely for general purposes. Schools recelved the largest amount, $\$ 167,063,856$, or 50 percent of the total grants. Charities were second with $\$ 131,724,818$ or 39 percent, while highways were third, recelving $\$ 22,182,119$, or 7 percent. The wide variety of other purposes for which grants are made is indicated in table $10-A$, which shows the purposes of the amounts shown in the colums headed "For other specified purposes."

TABLE 10-A.—GRANTS FOR "OTHER SPECIFIED PURPOSES"

| CITY AND PURPOSE | Amount | CITY AND PURPOSE | Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total------------------ | \$5,616,956 | Oakland, Calif. |  |
|  | 500,000 | gated | $\$ 109,560$ 291,388 |
| Police pension fund- |  | Providence, R. I. |  |
| Chicago, Ill. | 106,608 | Employment placement project- | 4,686 |
| Library-- |  | Syracuse, N. Y. |  |
| Philadelphia, Pa. |  | Library- | 900 |
| vaseum---- | 30,000 | Dayton, Ohio |  |
| Los Angeles, Calif. |  | Library- | 1,530 |
| Police and fire protection | $\begin{array}{r} 1,528,967 \\ 16,624 \end{array}$ | Youngstown, Ohio |  |
| Fire protection |  | Parks---- | 48,920 |
| Baltimore, Md. |  | Hartford, Conn. |  |
| Teachers' pension fund | 493,537 | Burial of veteran | 4,800 |
| Salaries of judges- | $\begin{array}{r} 17,875 \\ 9,804 \end{array}$ | San Diego, Calif. |  |
| Care of war memori |  | W. P. A. construction of civic |  |
| Boston, Mass. |  | center | 330,937 |
| Debt service | 129,975 | Police and fire protecti | 153,798 |
| San Francisco, Calif. |  | Long Beach, Calif. | 85,775 |
| Buffalo, N. Y. |  | Yonkers, N. Y. |  |
| Library-- | 1,500 | Library- | 300 |
| New Orleans, La. |  | Kansas City, Kans. |  |
| Debt service- | 989,019 | Drainage distric | 412 |
| Cincinnati, Ohio | 4,434 | Spokane, Wash. <br> Firemen's pensions and re | 7,513 |
| Seattle, Wash. |  | Cambridge, Mass. |  |
| Firemen's pension and relit | 27,527 | Teachers' pensions | 1,000 |
| Rochester, N. Y. |  | Hospital equipment | 316 |
| Library | 1,300 | Tacoma, Wash. |  |
| Houston, Tex. |  | Firemon's pensions and relie | 8,745 |
| Debt service- | $\begin{array}{r} 119,302 \\ 45,903 \end{array}$ | Canton, Ohio |  |
| Salaries of court officials |  | Library- | 1,600 |
| Portland, Oreg. County fair- |  | Utica, N. Y. |  |
| Undistributed purposes | $\begin{array}{r} 14,703 \\ 1,313 \end{array}$ | Library- | 200 |

SOURCE.-In table 10, as in table 9, grants for each of the main purposes mentioned above have been subdivided into columns containing the principal sources. As with shared taxes, the classification of sources of grants used follows, so far as possible, the classification of State tax revenues used by the Bureau of the Census. Further, to save space a number of the sources have been combined and indicated with footnotes. Hence, in order to interpret this table correctly, these footnotes should be studied.

In the case of both schools and charities, by far the greater portion of grants comes from unspecified sources, for the former amounting to 90 percent of the total, and for the latter amounting to 97 percent. The diversity of

11/ For the distinction between State grants and State shared taxes (there were nc Federel or county shared taxes), see the discussion of table 9.
$2619320-40$ - $;$
miscellaneous sources of grants for schools is shown in table l0-B, which gives the sources of the amounts showm in the column headed "Other" under grants "For schools from other specified sources." As was the case with shared taxes for highways, grants for that-purpose also come chiefly from motor vehicle fuel sales taxes and motor vehicle licenses.

TABLE 10-B. -GRANTS FOR SCHOOLS FROM "OTHER SPECIFIED SOURCES"

| CITTY AND SOURCE OF REVENUE | Amount | CITY AND SOURCE OF REVENUE | Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | \$10,090,793 | Jacksonville, Fla. |  |
|  |  | General property taxes | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 388,306 \\ 430,696 \end{array}$ |
| Chicago, Ill. <br> Motor vehicle fuel taxes | 2,814,736 | Trenton, N. J. General property | 35 |
| St. Louis, Mo. |  | Camden, N. J. |  |
| Business license taxes (insurance)- | 205,230 | General property taxe | 274,951 |
| Milwaukee, Wis. |  | Elizabeth, N. J. |  |
| Motor vehicle fuel taxes | 267,164 | General property taxes | 338,362 |
| Minneapolis, Minn. General property texe | 11,487 | South Bend, Ind. <br> Alcoholic beverage sa | 19,494 |
| Newark, N. J. | 11,41,260 | Miami, Fla. |  |
| Kansas City, Mo. |  | Alcoholic beverage tax | 41,453 |
| Business license taxes (insurance)- | 123,108 | General property taxe | 17,114 |
| Indianapolis, Ind. |  | Chain store taxes- | 76,379 |
| Alcoholic beverage sales taxes- | 58,156 | Gary, Ind. |  |
| Jersey City, N. J. <br> General property taxes--- | 1,286,803 | Alcoholic beverage sales taxes-Tampa, Fla. | 20,292 |
| Oklahoma City, Okla. |  | Motor vehicle license | 330,129 |
| Alcoholic beverage taxes | 71,452 | Alcoholic beverage taxe | 2,211 |
| General property taxes- | 8,893 | General property taxes | 20,439 |
| Tulsa, Okla. |  | Chain store taxes--- | 99,783 |
| Alcoholic beverage taxes | 53,126 | Evansville, Ind. |  |
| General property taxes | 7,009 | Alcoholic beverage sales taxes---- | 15,320 |
| Paterson, N. J. <br> General property texes- | 400,759 |  |  |

TOTAL STATE-LOCAL FLOW OF FUNDS. - The total of both State-shared taxes and State grants, derived from tables 9 and 10 , is shown in summary form in table lo-c, which presents the whole picture of the flow to the cities of State-local financial aid, classified by type of aid (i.e., shared taxes or grants), purpose, and source.

Of the total $\$ 434,062,251$ in grants and shared taxes, nearly four-fifths, $\sharp 352,633,662$, was for specified purposes, while the remainder, $\$ 81,428,589$, was for unspecified purposes. Alcoholic beverage sales taxes and other revenues constitute'the most important shared tax, followed by income taxes and motor vehicle licenses. By far the greater portion of grants came from unspecified sources, but $\$ 51,905,748$, or 15 percent of the total, was from specified sources, of which motor vehicle fuel sales taxes of $\$ 23,228,687$ were easily the most 1 m portant.

TABLE 10-C.- STATE SHARED TAXES AND STATE GRANTS, BY PURPOSE AND SOURCE: 1937

| type of revenue and source | Total | For unspeci-fledpurposes | FOR SPECIFIED PURPOSES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Total | Schools | Charitios | Highway ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Health and } \\ \text { hos- } \\ \text { pitals } \end{gathered}$ | Pons1on/ funds 2/ | All other |
|  | \$434,062,251 | \$81,428,589 | \$352,633,662 | \$167,094,361 | \$131,729,230 | \$44,887,710 | \$704,568 | \$3,053,184 | \$5,164,609 |
|  | 97,486,655 | 72,145,310 | 25,341,345 | 30,505 | 4,412 | 22,705,591 | --------- | 2,015,862 | 584,975 |
| Property taxes: General Special | $\begin{array}{r} 276,384 \\ 4,772,362 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 276,384 \\ 4,772,362 \end{array}$ | --------- | -- | ---- | --- | ---- | ------------ | ---------------- |
| Income taxes: Corporation | $\begin{array}{r} 2,211,797 \\ 20,912,470 \end{array}$ |  | 168,545 | ---------- | ------------ | ---- | ------ | 168,545 | ----------- |
| Individual-- |  | $\begin{array}{r} 2,043,252 \\ 20,912,470 \end{array}$ | --\%--- | -------------- | ------------- | ------------ | ----------- | ------- | ------------- |
| Inheritance and estate texes---- Poll taxes------------ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,305,388 \\ 1,44,351 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 720,363 \\ 33,846 \end{array}$ | 584,975 30,505 | 30,----5 | ------------- | ------- | ---- | -------------- | 584,975 |
| Sales taxes: Motor-vehicle fuel- | $10,129,304$$24,628,663$ | 24,595,017 | 10,129,304 | ------ | ----- | 10,129,304 | --------- |  | ------------ |
| Alcoholic beverage 3/-- |  |  | 10,23,646 | ------ | 4,412 | 10,120,304 | --.-.-.- | 29,234 | ------------ |
| Tobaceo products--------- | $\begin{array}{r} 157,374 \\ 2,083 \end{array}$ | 157,374 |  | ------ | ----- | 2,083 | ------------- |  | ----- |
| Business license taxes-- | $\begin{array}{r} 4 / \begin{array}{r} 14,362,124 \\ 17,632,474 \\ 1,031,931 \end{array} \\ 5 / 2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12,544,041 \\ 5,058,270 \\ 1,031,931 \end{array}$ | 1,818,083 | ------------- | -------------- | 2,083 | ------------ | 1,818,083 |  |
| Motor-vahicle 1icenses |  |  | 12,574,204 |  |  | 12,574,204 | --------- | - |  |
| All other------------ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gra | 336,575,596 | 9,283,279 | 327,292,317 | 167,063,856 | 131,724,818 | 22,182,119 | 704,568 | 1,037,322 | 4,579,634 |
| Ungpecified sources | $284,669,848$ $51,905,748$ | ------------ | 284,669,848 | 151,373,774 | 128,013,231 | 2,144,571 | 704,568 | 493,537 | 1,940,167 |
| Speoified sources-- | 51,905,748 | 9,283,279 | 42,622,469 | 15,690,082 | 3,711,587 | 20,037,548 | --------- | 543,785 | 2,639,467 |
| Property taxa: Genoral--- | $\begin{array}{r} 7,817,821 \\ 14,606 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 7,817,821 \\ 14,606 \end{gathered}$ | 5,231,708 | ----------------- | ----------- | --------- | - | 2,586,113 |
| Solective- |  | ---------------- | 14,606 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Corporation------------- | $1,725,688$ $1,983,130$ | ---------------- | $1,725,688$ $1,983,130$ | $1,725,688$ $1,983,130$ | ------------------ | ------------- | ----------- | - | - |
| Salos taxes: | 1,983,130 | ------- | 1,983,130 | 1,983,130 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Motor-vehicle-ruel--3/-- | 23,228,687 | $1,680,040$ | 21,548,647 | $\begin{aligned} & 3,081,900 \\ & 281.504 \end{aligned}$ | ------------ | 18,466,747 | - | $\cdots$ | ----------- |
| Al coholic beverage Genoral - | $\begin{aligned} & 1,507,066 \\ & 9,64,045 \end{aligned}$ | 7825,562$6,871,013$ | 781,504 $2,793,032$ |  |  |  | ------------- | 500,000 | ----------- |
|  |  |  | $2,793,032$ $3,410,665$ | $1,890,471$ 504,500 | 849,207 $2,862,380$ |  |  | 43,785 | 53,354 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 3,410,665 \\ & 2,547,376 \\ & 6 / 6,664 \end{aligned}$ |  | 2,547,376 | 991,181 |  | 1,556,195 | ---------- | - | ------------ |
| All other--- |  | 6,664 |  |  | ------------- |  |  | ----------- |  |



table 10．－REVENUES FRoM STATE GRANTS，BY PURPOSE AND SOURCE： 1937

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 炭 } \\ & \text { 者 } \\ & \text { ث } \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | CTIY | Total | FOR SCHOOLS |  |  |  |  | FOR CHARITIES |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Total | From unspeci－ fied sources | Fran specified sources |  |  | Total | From unspeci－ fied sources | $\underset{\substack{\text { From business } \\ \text { license } \\ \text { taxes }}}{ }$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | Individual income taxes | General sales taxes | Other |  |  |  |
|  | Grand total | \＄336，575，596 | \＄167，063，856 | \＄151，373，774 | 1／\＄3，708，818 | \＄1，890，471 | \＄10，090，793 | \＄131，724，818 | \＄128，013，231 | 2／\＄3，711，587 |
|  | Group I－－ | $\begin{array}{r} 235,770,783 \\ 39,399,315 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 107,237,312 \\ 18,602,229 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 103,062,077 \\ 13,411,678 \end{array}$ |  | 815，287 | $3,287,130$ $3,60,814$ 3,2024 | $103,324,377$ $13,871,214$ 14 | $100,903,577$ $12,853,789$ | 7 ${ }_{5}^{2,420,800}$ |
|  | Group iII | 61，405，498 | 41，224，315 | 34，900，019 | $\sqrt[4]{2,046,263}$ | 1，075，184 | 3，202，849 | 14，529，227 | 14，255，865 | 51／ 273,362 |

GRoUP I．－CITIES having a popllation of 500，000 and ovir



| 8110，888，274 | 854，992，493 | \＄54，992，493 | －－－－－－－－－－－－ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $36,400,998$ $5,017,079$ | $5,668,776$ $2,830,961$ | $2,854,040$ $2,830,961$ |  |
| 11，411，953 | 2，846，104 | $2,830,961$ $9,946,104$ |  |
| 22，353，239 | 14，252，207 | 14，252，207 |  |
| 12，623，946 | 4，922，977 | 4，922，977 |  |
| 880，948 | 866，342 | 661，112 |  |
| ${ }^{6,093,813}$ | 953，272 | 953，272 |  |
| 5，824，140 | 1，336，804 | 448，699 | \＄888，105 |
| 3，622，144 | 1，247，394 | 1，247，394 |  |
| 7，229，023 | 4，643，609 | 4，643，609 |  |
| $4,742,182$ $8,683,044$ | $\begin{array}{r} 878,225 \\ 4,698,148 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 611,061 \\ 4,698,148 \end{array}$ |  |



| $\$ 2,814,736$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | －－－－－－－－－－ |
|  | 205，230 |
|  |  |
|  | －－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－ |
|  | －－－－－－－－－－－－－－－ |
|  | 267，164 |
|  |  |



| 15 | Minneapolis， | \＄7，070，532 | \＄1，705，819 | \＄919，882 | 3／\＄774，450 | －－－－－－－－－－－ | \＄11，487 | \＄4，715，385 | \＄4，715，385 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 | New Orleans， | 2，679，618 | 1，690，599 | 1，690，599 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | Cincinnati， 0 | 6，444，516 | 2，151，271 | 2，151，271 |  |  |  | 2，504，035 | 1，486，610 | 7／\＄1，017，425 |
| 18 | Newark，M． | 5，299，020 | 2，309，168 | 187，908 |  |  | 2，121，260 | 2，659，213 | 2，659，213 |  |
| 19 20 | Kansas City Seattle，Wa | 570,391 $4,395,139$ | 546，892 2，869，022 | 223，784 2，869，022 |  |  | 123，108 | 7，688 | 7，688 |  |
| 21 | Indianapolis， | 2，564，442 | 993，799 | 110，346 |  | \＄015，297 | 58，156 | 930，340 | 930，340 |  |
| 22 | Rochester，N． | 4，666，261 | 2，584，514 | 2，584，514 | －－－－－－－－－－－－ |  |  | 1，938，842 | 1，938，842 | －－－－－－－－－－－－－ |
| 23 | Jorbey city， N ． | 2，312，388 | 1，344，170 | 107，367 | －－－－－－－－－ |  | 1，286，803 | 1，107，711 | 1，107，711 | －－－－－－－－－－－－－ |
| 24 25 | Houston，Tex． | $\begin{array}{r}1,739,589 \\ 752,036 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}1,425,582 \\ 752,036 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}1,425,582 \\ 752,036 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 25 \\ & 26 \end{aligned}$ | Loulsrille，Ky Portland，Oreg | 752,036 205,383 | 752,036 189,367 | 752,036 189,367 |  |  |  |  | －－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－ |  |

group ili.-Cities having a pofulation of 100,000 to 300,000

| 27 | Columbus, ohio- | \$1,985,459 | \$1,485,471 | \$1,485,471 | ------------ | ----------- | ------------ | \$56,732 | --- | 5/ \$56,732 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 28 | Toledo, ohio- | 2,026,242 | 1,525,594 | 1,525,594 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 29 30 | Oakland, Colif | $3,663,891$ <br> $4,613,617$ | 3,010,005 30,108 | $3,010,005$ 30,108 | ------------ |  |  | 4,295,291 | \$4,295,291 |  |
| 31 | Atlanta, Ga. | 412,813 | 412,813 | 412,813 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 32 | Dallab, Tex.- | 1,084,830 | 1,060,929 | 1,060,929 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 33 34 | St. Paul, Minn. | $1,312,932$ 296,940 | 1,136,921 | 484,395 289,372 | 3/ \$652,526 |  |  | 152,462 | 152,462 |  |
| 35 | Akron, Ohio | 2,179,501 | 1,865,617 | 1,865,617 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 36 | Memphis, | 112,725 | 112,725 | 112,725 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 37 | Providence, R. | 1,254,412 | 123,352 | 123,352 |  |  |  | 1,126,124 | 1,126,124 |  |
| 38 39 | San Antonio, | 1,029,454 | 1,029,454 | 1,029,454 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40 | Omaha, Nebr | 2,876,548 | $1,28,857$ $1,814,206$ | 1,814,206 |  |  |  | 1,019,936 | 1,019,936 |  |
| 41 | Dayton, Ohi | 1,596,087 | 1,161,375 | 1,161,375 |  |  |  | 42,300 | 42,300 |  |
| 42 | Oklahoma City, | 698,423 | 698,423 | 539,153 | 3/ 69,357 | \$9,568 | \$80,345 |  |  |  |
| 43 | Korcester, Mass | 1,277,912 | 423,959 | 187,181 | 236,778 |  |  | 754,555 | 754,555 |  |
| 44 | R1chmond, Va . | 492,829 | 346,875 $1,169,321$ $1,66,21$ | 346,875 |  |  |  | 71,081 | 71,081 |  |
| 46 | Grand Raplds, Mic | 1,075,018 | 1,066,281 | 1,066,281 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Fort : \%orth, Tex | 749,612 | 749,612 | 749,612 | ----- |  |  |  |  |  |
| 48 | Hartford, Conn. | 540,904 | 93,036 | 93,036 | ------------ | ----------- |  | 436,179 | 436,179 | ------------- |
| 49 | Flint, Mich. | 1,356,536 | 1,335,987 | 1,335,987 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 51 | San Diogo, Callf | 2,171,003 | $1,684,962$ 1,723 | 1,684,962 |  |  |  | 224,242 | 224,242 |  |
| 52 | Long Beach, Calif | 1,818,677 | 1,409,974 | 1,409,974 |  |  |  | 3,950 | 3,950 |  |
| 53 | Nashville, Tenn. | 162,169 | 162,169 | 162,169 | ------------ | ---------- |  |  |  |  |
| 54 | Springrield, Mass | 879,115 | 250,237 | 72,897 | 3/ 177,340 |  |  | 628,878 | 628,878 | ------------- |
| 55 | Tulsa, okla.- | 517,544 | 517,544 83,594 | 370,560 83,594 | 3/86,849 |  | 60,135 |  |  |  |
| 56 | Bridgeport, Conn | 409,148 | 33,594 | 83,594 |  |  |  | 297,172 | 297,172 |  |
| 57 | Des Moines, Iow | 45,198 | 5,016 | 5,016 | ------.------ | ---------- | ----------- | ------------- | ------------- | -------------- |
| $\begin{aligned} & 58 \\ & 59 \end{aligned}$ | Scranton, Pa.-.-..-- Salt Lake City, | 307,524 899,485 | 307,524 899,485 | 307,524 899,485 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 60 | Yonkers, N. Y. | 1,560,391 | 1,265,545 | 1,265,545 |  |  |  | 287,796 | 287,796 | ------------- |
| 61 | Paterson, N. J. | ${ }^{561,096}$ | 445, 268 | 44,509 |  |  | 400, 759 | 92,620 | 92,620 | ------------- |
| 62 | Jacksonville, Fle | 915,393 | 915,393 | 96,391 |  |  | 819,002 |  |  |  |
| 63 | Albany, N. Y. | 824,955 | 662,560 | 662,560 |  |  |  | 162,395 | 162,395 |  |
| 64 | Norfolk, Va. | 390,624 | 279,083 | 279,083 |  |  | 313,935 | 65,326 555,273 | 65,326 555,273 |  |
| 66 | Chattanooga, Tenn | 23,751 | 18,122 | 18,122 | ------------ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 67 \\ & 68 \end{aligned}$ | Kansas City, Kan <br> Fort Wayne, Ind. | 111,349 <br> 45,124 | 86,519 310,681 | 86,519 32,989 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 10．－REVENUES FROM STATE GRANTS，BY PURPOSE AND SOURCE：1937—Continued

|  | CITY | Total | FOR SCHOOLS |  |  |  |  | FOR CHARITIES |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 䂞 |  |  | Total | Fram unspaci－fled sources | From specified sources |  |  | Total | From unspeci－ fied sources | $\begin{gathered} \text { From business } \\ \text { license } \\ \text { taxes } \end{gathered}$ |
| 荌 |  |  |  |  | Individual <br> income taxes | $\begin{gathered} \text { General } \\ \text { sales taxes } \end{gathered}$ | Other |  |  |  |

group iti．－Citics having a population of 100，000 to 300，000－continued

| 69 | Canden，N．J． | \＄908，839 | \＄280，447 | \＄5，496 | －－－－－－－－－－－－ | －－－－－－－－－－ | \＄274，951 | \＄626，517 | \＄626，517 | －－－－－－－－－ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 70 | Erie，Pa．－ | 278，763 | 278，763 | 278，763 | －－－－－－－－－－－－ | －－－－－－－－－－－ |  | －－－－－－－－ | － | －－－－－－－－．－－－－ |
| 71 | Elizabeth， N ． | 466，308 | 389，262 | 50，900 |  |  | 338，362 | 77，046 | 77，046 |  |
| 72 | W1ochita，Kans．－－ | $\begin{array}{r}42,029 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 37,054 895,475 | 37,054 895,475 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 73 74 | Spokane，Wash．－－－ Fall R1ver，Mass． | $1,169,366$ 622,116 | 895,475 125,960 | 895,475 17,762 | \＄108，198 |  |  | 496，156 | 496，156 |  |
| 75 | Cambridge，Mass． | 586，623 | 155，043 | 21，076 | 133，967 |  |  | 430，264 | 430，264 |  |
| 76 | New Bedford，Mass | 808，223 | 198，488 | 84，068 | 114，420 |  |  | 581，502 | 581，502 |  |
| 77 78 | Reading，Pa，－ | 338，972 | 338，972 | 338，972 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 79 | ${ }_{\text {Peorla，}}$ Kill．－ | 534，646 | 133，063 | 117，389 |  | \＄15，674 |  | 216，630 |  | 5／\＄216，630 |
| 80 | South Bend，In | 441，464 | 310，533 | 39，039 |  | 252，000 | 19，494 | －－－－－－－－－－－－－－ |  | －－－－－－－－－－－－－ |
| 81 | Tacoma，Wash | 983， 934 | 950，614 | 950，614 | －－－－－－－－－－－－ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 84 | Canton，Ohio－－ | 873，966 | 717，484 | 717，484 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 85 | Wilmington，Del， | 1，336，582 | 1，336，582 | 1，336，582 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 86 | Tampa，Fla． | 745，637 | 745，546 | 292，984 | －－－ | －－－－－－－－－－－ | 452，562 |  |  | －－－－－－－－－－－－－ |
| 87 | Somerville，Mas | 680，327 | 143，301 | 28，676 | 114，625 | －－－－－－－－－－－ |  | 537，026 | 537，026 |  |
| ${ }_{89}$ | ${ }_{\text {Evansvilie，}}$ Ind． | 519，390 399,518 | 512，646 271,030 | 512,646 26,710 |  | 229，000 | 15，320 |  |  |  |
| 90 | Lynn，Mass． | 763，057 | 152，413 | 36，983 | 115，430 | －－－－－－－－－－－ | －－－－－－－－－－－－ | 610，644 |  |  |
| 91 | Utica，N．Y | 1，078，113 | 817，547 | 817,547 |  |  |  | 257，628 | 257，628 |  |
| 93 | Duluth，M1nm． | 346,286 53,214 | 334，004 | 191，498 | －142，506 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 94 | Lowell，Mass． | 528，803 | 101，550 | 7，283 | 94，267 |  |  | 423，502 | 423，502 |  |
|  | Honolulu，Hawa11 6／ | 82，511 | －－－－－－－－ | －－－－－．－－－－ |  |  |  | 82，51i | 82，511 |  |


table 10.-REVENUES FROM STATE GRANTS, BY PURPOSE AND SOURCE: 1937-Continued

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 炭 } \\ & \text { 者 } \\ & \stackrel{~}{\#} \end{aligned}$ | CITY | for highans |  |  |  | For health and hospitals, rrom unspecified sources | FOR OTHER SPICIFIED PURPOSES |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { For unspact- } \\ & \text { ffed pur- } \\ & \text { poses, fram } \\ & \text { general } \\ & \text { sales taxes } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | From unspecified sources | From specifiod sources |  |  | Total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { From un- } \\ & \text { specified } \\ & \text { sources } \end{aligned}$ | From personalpropertytaxes |  |
|  |  |  |  | Motor vehicle fuel taxes | $\begin{gathered} \text { Motor vehicle } \\ \text { 11censes } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | GrandGroup I...Group II-Group III | *22,182,119 | \$2,144,571 | \$18,466,747 | 1/ $\$ 1,570,801$ | \$704,568 | \$5,616,956 | \$2,433,704 | 2/ \$3,183,252 | 3/ \$9,283,279 |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 16,165,748 \\ 3,737,503 \\ 2,278,868 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,511,231 \\ 403,027 \\ 230,313 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14,139,911 \\ 2,650,049 \\ 1,676,787 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1 / 314,606 \\ 684,427 \\ 371,768 \end{array}$ | 358,853 <br> 201,212 <br> 144,503 | $\begin{aligned} & 3,361,075 \\ & 1,203,501 \\ & 1,052,380 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 805,923 \\ 1,171,540 \\ 456,241 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4 \\ \begin{array}{r} 2,555,152 \\ 6 \\ 31,961 \\ 7 \\ 596,139 \end{array} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5,523,418 \\ & 8 / 1,783,656 \\ & \hline 2,176,25 \end{aligned}$ |
| group I. -Cities having a population of 500,000 and over |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | New York, N. Y. |  |  |  | ------------ | \$12,108 | \$500,000 | -------- | 9/\$500,000 | 10/81,680,040 |
| 2 3 3 | Chicago, Ill. --.-. Philadelphia, Pa. | $\mathbf{\$}, 735,949$ $2,097,966$ | \$602,741 | $\$ 6,133,208$ $1,597,966$ | \$500,000 |  | 106,608 30,000 | $\$ 106,608$ 30,000 | - | ---------- |
| 4 | Detroit, Mich. --- | 1,434,799 | 758,201 | 1,676,598 |  | 31,050 |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 108 Angeles, Calit | 2,096,864 |  | 2,096,864 |  | 92,425 | 1,545,591 | 16,624 | 1,528,967 |  |
| 6 7 | Cleveland, Ohio- St. Louis, Mo. | ,606 |  |  | 11/ 14,606 | 1,217 |  |  |  | 2,917,816 |
| 8 | Baltimore, ma. | 959,558 | ------------ | 959,558 | -- |  |  | 521,216 | -- |  |
| 9 | Boston, Mass. |  |  |  |  |  | 129,975 | 129,975 |  |  |
| 10 | Pittsburgh, Pa. | 636,341 | ---------- | ${ }_{5}^{636,341}$ | ------------- |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | San Francisco, Calif. | 588,153 | ---------------- | 588,153 |  | 40,221 | 526,185 |  | 526,185 |  |
| 13 | M11waukee, Wis, | 1,314,037 | ------- | 1,314,037 |  | 136,250 |  |  |  | 12/ 725,562 |
| 14 | Buffalo, N. Y. | 287,475 | 150,289 | 137,186 |  | 6,394 | 1,500 | 1,500 |  |  |


| 15 | M1 ${ }^{\text {nne }}$ | \$478,256 | \$323,936 | \$154,320 | ------------- | \$171,072 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1{ }_{17}^{16}$ | New Orleans, Cincinnati, |  |  |  |  | $1,120$ | $\$ 989,019$ 4,434 | \$989,019 | 13/ \$4,434 |  |
| 18 | Newark, N.'J. | 321,975 |  | 321,975 |  | 8,664 |  |  |  |  |
| 19 | Kanses City, Seattio, Wash. | $\begin{array}{r} 23,499 \\ 1,490,902 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22,300 \\ & 18,130 \end{aligned}$ | 1,472,772 | \$1,199 |  | 27,527 |  | 14/ 27,527 |  |
| 21 | Indianapolis, 1 | 742,313 | ------------ |  | 123,919 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22 | Rochester, N. Y. | 121,249 | 38,661 | 82,588 |  | 20,356 | 1,300 | 1,300 |  |  |
| 23 | Jersey City, N . | 410,507 |  |  | 410,507 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 | Houston, Tox | 148,802 |  | ------------- | 148,802 | ---------- | 165,205 | 165,205 | ------------- |  |
| 25 26 | Loursvine, Ky |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16,016 |  |  |

TABLE 10．－REVENUES FROM STATE GRANTS，BY PURPOSE AND SOURCE：1937—Continued

|  | CITY | FOR HIGHVAYS |  |  |  | For health and hos－ pitals， from un－ specified sources | FOR OTHER SPECIFIED PURPOSES |  |  | For unspeci－ fied pur－ poses，from general sales taxes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { From un- } \\ & \text { specified } \\ & \text { sources } \end{aligned}$ | From specified sources |  |  | Total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { From un- } \\ & \text { specified } \\ & \text { sources } \end{aligned}$ | From personalpropertytaxes |  |
|  |  |  |  | Motor vehicle fuel taxes | Motor vehicle licenses |  |  |  |  |  |
| grour iit．－Cities having a population of 100，000 to 300，000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27 | Columbus，Ohio |  | －－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－ | －－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－ |  | $\begin{array}{r}\$ 1,120 \\ 1,120 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | －－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 442,136 \\ & 499,528 \end{aligned}$ |
| 28 | Toledo，Ohio－－－ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 29 30 | Oakland，Calif． Denver，Colo．－ |  | －－－－－－－－－－－－－ |  |  |  | \＄400，948 |  |  | －－－－－－－－－ |
| 31 | Atlanta，Ga． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 32 | Dallas，Tex．－－－ | 23，901 | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 23,901 \\ 23,549 \end{array}$ | －－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－ | －－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－ | －－－－－－－－－－ | －－－－－－－－－－－－ | －－－－－－－－－－－－ | －－－－－－－－－－－－ | －－－－－－－－－－ |
| 33 34 | St．Paul，Minn．－ | 23，549 |  |  |  | 7－7－568 |  |  |  | －－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－ |
| 35 | Akron，Oh1o－－－－ |  | －－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－ | －－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－ | －－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－ | 1，120 | －－－－－－－－－－－－－ |  | －－－－－－－－－－－－－－ | 312，764 |
| 36 | Memphis，Tenn． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 37 | Providenca，R．I |  | －－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－ | －－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－ | －－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－ | 250 | 4，686 | 4，686 | －－－－－－－－－－－－－ | －－－－－－－－－－－－－ |
| 38 | San Antonio，Tex． |  | －－－－－－－－－．－－ |  | －－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－ | －－－－－－－－－－ |  |  | －－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－ | －－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－ |
| 40 |  | 33，658 |  | －－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－ |  | 7，848 | 900 | 900 | －－－－－－－－－－－－－－ |  |
| 41 | Dayton，Ohio－ |  |  |  | －－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－ | 1，120 | 1，530 | 1，530 |  | 389，762 |
| 42 | Oklahoma C1ty，0kla． |  | －－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－ | －－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－ |  |  | －－ | － | －－－－－－－－－－－－ | －－－－－－－－－－－－－ |
| 43 44 | Worcester，Mass． | 57,186 74,342 | －－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－ |  | －${ }^{------186}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 42,212 \\ 531 \end{array}$ |  | － |  |  |
| 45 | Youngstown，ohio－－ |  |  |  | －－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,048 \\ & 8,737 \end{aligned}$ | 48，920 | －－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－ | 13／48，920 | 371，589 |
| 46 | Grand Rapids，Mich． |  | －－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－ | －－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 47 | Fort Worth，Tex． | －－－ | －－－－－－－－－－－－ |  |  |  |  |  | －－－－－－－－－－－－－ |  |
| 48 | Hart ford，Conn． Flint，Mich． |  | $\begin{array}{r} 20,549 \\ \cdots \\ \cdots \\ \cdots \end{array}$ |  |  | 5，021 | 4，800 | 4，800 | －－－－－－－－－－－－－ | 15／1，868 |
| 50 | Now Haven，Conn．－ | 10，125 |  |  | －－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－ | －－－－－ |  |  |  | 15／3，164 |
| 51 | San Diego，Calif． | 1，306 |  |  |  |  | 484，735 | 330，937 | 153，798 |  |
| 52 | Long Beach，Calif． | 318，978 | －－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－ | 1,306 <br> 318,978 <br> ---- |  | －－－－－－－－－－－ | 85，775 | － | 85，775 | －－－－－－－－－－－－－ |
| 53 | Nashrille，Tenn．－－ |  | －－－－－－－－－－－－－－ | 318，978 | －－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－ | －－－－－－－－－－－－－－ | －－－－－－－－－－－－ | －－－－－－－－－－－－－－－ | －－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－ | －－ー－ー－ー－ーーーー－ー－ |
| 55 | Tulsa，Okla．．－－ |  | $\qquad$ －ーーーーーーーーーーー・ | －－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－ | －－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 56 | Bridgeport，Conn． | $\begin{aligned} & 26,750 \\ & 40,182 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 26,750 \\ 40,182 \\ \hline-\cdots--\quad . \end{array}$ | ｜－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－ | ｜－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－ | －－－－－－－．－－－ | －－－－－－－－－－－－－ | －－－－－－－－－－－－ | －－－－－－－－－－－－－ | 15／1，632 |
| 57 58 58 | Des Moines，${ }^{\text {dowa }}$ ， Scranton，Pa．－－－ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 59 | Salt Lake City，Uta |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



[^7]Revenues of the 94 cities in 19.37 from grants by the Federal Government and by counties and other local units are shown in table 11. These receipts complete the picture of intergovernmental aid received by the cities.

FEDERAL GRANTS.-Federal grants consist almost exclusively of P.W.A. grants for capital improvements, the single major exception being the contribution of the Federal Government to the municipal government of Washington, D. C., which is made annually because of the national character of the Capital City. Seven-ty-nine cities reported total receipts of $\$ 57,055,078$ from P.W.A. grants in 1937. Other Federal grants were small amounts for fire protection and to municipal universities for R.O.T.C. uniforms.

GRANTS BY THE COUNTY AND OTHER LOCAL UNITS. - Aid to schools constitiated the chief purpose of county and other local-unit grants received by the 94 cities. Highway aid ranked second. As indicated by footnotes, the column headed "All other" contains grants for charities, health, libraries, a civic center, and unreported purposes. Cities in population groups I and II do not show receipts of grants from counties for efther of two reasons: First, in 18 of these 26 cities, called "county cities" by the Bureau, ${ }^{12}$ a proportionate share of the transactions of the county is included in the city report, county-city grants being shown as transfers; second, in the remaining 8 cities, the city and county governments are combined. ${ }^{13}$

TABLE 12
Receipts from private sources,from special assessments for capital outlays, and from contributions from public-service enterprises are show in tabie 12 . Revenues from these sources amounted to $\$ 105,389,575$ in 1937 , or approximately 3.9 percent of total municipal revenue reported by the 94 cities.

PENSION ASSESSMENTS.-During 1937 the reporting cities collected $\$ 37,538,946$ from city employees on account of policemen's, firemen's, school teachers', or other pension or retirement funds, the largest assessment being made for school teachers retirement benefits. Of the 94 cities included in this stuc.y, 73 reported assessments for a pension or retirement system for policemen, 69 for firemen, and 69 for school teachers. Coincident with the growing interest in social security, it might be pointed out, there has been a constant increase in pension assessments collected by the 94 cities in recent years, as is indicated by the accompanying statement.

The foregoing trend comparison has, of course, a definite relation to the liability side of the municipal balance sheet as well. With the adoption of a pension or retirement system, the city incurs an accrued liability amounting to the difference between the sum necessary to retire those included under the system and the amount contributed by the beneficiaries plus interest earned. If it may be assumed that the

| 1916 | $\$ 2,000,000$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1926 | $20,00,000$ |
| 1928 | $24,000,000$ |
| 1930 | $30,000,000$ |
| 1932 | $31,000,000$ |
| 1934 | $33,000,000$ |
| 1936 | $36,000,000$ |
| 1937 | $37,500,000$ | rising level of pension assessments reflects in large part a widening scope of such retirement or pension systems, it is possible that the cities will find it necessary to discover supplemental sources of revenue to meet these accrued liabilities.

SPECIAL ASSESSHENTS FOR CAPITAL OUTLAYS.-Revenues from special assessments for capital outlays amounted to $\$ 33,361,059$ in 1937 , as compared with $\$ 41,590,664$ in 1936. As previously indicated there has been a gradual decline in this source of revenue from its peak of $\$ 205,000,000$ in 1928 . To what extent this reflects the reluctance of local officials to burden property owners with additional assessments in periods of geperal financial stringency, or reflects the increased use of W.P.A. and P.W.A. funds for local improvements, is not readily ascertainable.
$\frac{12}{13}$ See part I of the two report, p. 6, supra.
$13 /$ The two amounts shown as receipts in groups I and II are grants to the city by local units other than the county.

CONIRIBUTIONS FROM PUBLIC-SERVICE ENIERPRISES. - Public-service enterprises contributed $\$ 31,636,434$ to general government in 1937. Although the general government of 47 of the 94 cities reported no contributions from public-service enterprises, and although such recelpts constituted only slightly more than one percent of the total revenue, this source of revenue was of considerable importance to the fiscal structure of some of the reporting cities. This was especially true in the case of Jacksonville, which derived almost one-third of its total municipal revenue from its public-service enterprises, most of which came from the electric light and power system. Approximately one-seventh of Atlanta's total revenue was in the form of profit derived from its water system. The larger part of approximately $\$ 4,000,000$ received by Philadelphia as contributions from public-service enterprises was derived from its gas system, owned but not operated by the city. New York and Baltimore reported substantial contributions from their water systems- $\$ 12,334,614$ and $\$ 2,186,332$, respectively. ${ }^{14}$

TABLE 13
Revenues of the 94 cities in 1937 from charges for current services are shown in table 13. These sources of revenue are broken down in such detall as to require little explanation or comment.

CHARGES FOR CURRENT SERVICES. - During 1937 revenues of $\$ 83,997,898$ were derived by the 94 cities from charges for current services of a varied nature. These charges are for services incidental to the performance by the municipalities of general governmental functions. The largest of these revenues is under the classification of schools, most of which is tuition of students. Next in the order of their importance are hospital fees; court costs, fees, and charges; and fees for the recording of legal documents. Bridge and tunnel tolls, which amounted to almost $\$ 5,000,000$, are virtually all distributed within only 3 cit-1es-New York, St. Louis, and Boston.

COMPARISON WITH 1936. - Revenues from charges for current services in 1937 were 10.8 percent greater than the $\$ 75,817,000$ reported by the 94 cities in 1936 from similar sources. Owing to the fact that in 1937 there was an extensive breakdown of the many sources of such revenues, together with a shifting of revenues from previous classifications to others more appropriate function $1-$ ly, a detailed comparison with previous years as to individual sources is not possible in many instances.

14/ See part III for a discussion of public-service enterprises.

TABLE 11.--REVENUES FROM GRANTS FROM COUNTIES AND OTHER LOCAL UNITS AND FROM THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT, BY PURPOSE: 1937

|  | CITY | COUNTY AND OTHER LOCAL UNIT GRANTS FOR- |  |  |  | FEDERAL GRANTS FOR- |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\stackrel{3}{*}$ |  | Total | Highways | Schools | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { other } \end{gathered}$ | Total | P. W. A. | All other |
|  | Grand totel---- | \$4,688,912 | \$767,520 | \$3,386,641 | 1/4534,751 | 363,693,017 | \$57,055,078 | \$6,637,939 |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 14,787 \\ 101,594 \\ 4,572,531 \end{array}$ |  | ,-...- | 2/101,594 | $45,538,140$ $6,662,837$ | $\begin{array}{r}38,955,495 \\ 6,612,963 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $6,582,645$ 49,874 |
|  | Group III |  | 752,733 | 3,386,641 | 3/433,157 | 11,492,040 | 11,486,620 | 5,420 |

group i.-cities having a population of 500,000 and over

group il.-Cities having a population of 300,000 TO 500,000

group ili.-CIfies having a porulation of 100,000 To 300,000

| 27 | Columbus, Ohi |  | -------- |  | -------- | \$706,112 | \$706,112 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 28 | Toledo, Ohio- |  |  |  |  | 601,463 | 599,726 | \$1,737 |
| 29 | Oakland, Cal | \$8,800 | \$8,800 |  |  | 89,992 | 89,992 |  |
| 30 | Denver, Colo |  |  |  |  | 694,049 | 694,049 | -.--------- |
| 31 | Atlanta, Ge |  |  |  |  | 187,232 | 187,232 |  |
| 32 | Dallas, Te |  | ---- | ----------- |  | 136,061 | 136,06: |  |
| 33 | St. Paul, Minn | 128,379 | 128,379 |  |  | 825,271 | 825,27? |  |
| 34 | Birmingham, Ala | 527,916 |  | \$527,916 | (15, | ------- | ---------... |  |
| 35 | Akron, Ohio- | 15,376 |  |  | 2/\$15,376 | 208,923 | 207,966 | 957 |
| 36 | Memphis, Tenn | 732,096 | 50,000 | 580,496 | 4/101,600 | 484,689 | 484,689 |  |
| 37 | Providence, R. I |  |  |  |  | 538,092 | 538,09: |  |
| 38 | San Antonio, Te | 1,618 |  | 1,618 |  | 132,938 | 132,933 |  |
| 39 | Omaha, Nebr |  | --.----- |  |  | 196,857 | 196,85' |  |
| 40 | Syracuse, N. |  | --------- |  |  | ------- | ------.. |  |
| 41 | Dayton, Oh | 175,956 |  | 956 | 2/175,000 | 37,901 | 37,901 |  |
| 42 | Oklahoma City, Okla. |  | --------- | ----------- | -------- | 358,915 | 358,915 |  |
| 43 | Worcester, Mass. | 49,737 | 40,270 | ----------- | 5/9,467 | 103,949 | 103,949 |  |
| 44 | Richmond, Va. |  |  |  |  | 139,129 | 139,129 |  |
| 45 | Youngstown, Ohio- |  |  |  |  | ----------- | ---------- |  |
| 46 | Grand Rapids, Mich | 262,587 | 253, 032 |  | 5/9,555 | ---------- | ------- |  |
| 47 | Fort Worth, Tex. | 10,068 | --------- | 10,068 |  | 840,338 | 840,333 |  |
| 48 | Hartford, Conn. |  |  |  |  | 253,725 | 253,725 |  |
| 49 | Flint, Mich. | 87,443 | 79,253 | 8,190 | --------- |  | -- |  |
| 50 | New Heven, Conn |  |  |  |  | ------ | ------ | ----------- |
| 51 | San Diego, Calif | 21,168 | 11,000 | 10,168 |  | 37,127 | 37,127 |  |

See footnotes at end of table.

TABLE 11.-REVENUES FROM GRANTS FROM COUNTIES AND OTHER LOCAL UNITS AND FROM THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT, BY PURPOSE: 1937-Continued

|  | CITY | COUNTY AND OTHER LOCAL UNIT GRANTS FOR- |  |  |  | FEDERAL GRANTS FOR- |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Highway | Schools | All other | Total | P. W. A. | All other |

GROUP III.-CIPIES HAVING A POPULATION OF 100,000 TO 300,000 -Continued


1/ Includes $\$ 42,500$ for health, $\$ 302,972$ for charities, $\$ 50,479$ for libraries, $\$ 37,200$ for civic ter, and $\$ 101,600$ for unreported purpose.
2/ For charities.
3/ Includes $\$ 201,378$ for charities, $\$ 42,500$ for health, $\$ 50,479$ for libraries, $\$ 37,200$ for civic center, and $\$ 101,600$ for unreported purpose.

4/ For unreported purpose.
5/ For library.
$\frac{6}{7}$ / Includes $\$ 42,500$ for health and $\$ 17,972$ for library.
$\frac{7}{7}$ / For civic center.
8/ Not included in group or grand totals.

TABLE 12.-REVENUES FROM PRIVATE SOURCES, FROM CONTRIBUTIONS FROM PUBLIC-SERVICE ENTERPRISES, AND FROM SPECIAL ASSESSMENTS: 1937

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 免 } \\ & \text { 目 } \\ & \text { ث } \\ & \text { ث } \end{aligned}$ | CITY | Total | Revenue from private sources |  |  |  |  |  | Contributions from publicservice enterprise | Special assessments for capital outlays |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Pension assessments |  |  |  | Donations and contributions | Unclaimed moneys |  |  |
|  |  |  | Policemen | Firemen | School teachers | All other |  |  |  |  |
|  | Grand total | \$72,028,516 | \$4,217,846 | \$1,922,151 | \$15,656,205 | \$15,742,744 | \$2,097,841 | \$755,295 | \$31,636,434 | \$33,361,059 |
|  | Group I-- | $53,862,175$ $6,977,830$ | $3,328,800$ 420,3726 | $\begin{array}{r}1,108,700 \\ 347,209 \\ \hline 46\end{array}$ | $11,559,782$ $1,563,108$ 2 | $13,531,481$ $1,450,388$ 7 | 967,262 774,112 | $\begin{array}{r}679,552 \\ 45,785 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}22,686,598 \\ 2,376,902 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $16,946,275$ $5,780,308$ |
|  | Group III- | 11,188,511 | 468,720 | 466,242 | 2,533,315 | 760,875 | 356,467 | 29,958 | 6,572,934 | 10,634,476 |

croup I.-Citiles having a population of 500,000 and OVER

| 1 | New York, N. Y | \$28,581,805 | \$1,096,508 |  | \$6,696,374 | \$7,915,204 | \$4,506 | \$534,599 | \$12,334,614 | \$7,761,588 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Chicago, Ill.- | 4,952,672 | 705,340 | \$358,486 | 1,253,214 | 2,234,221 | 46,884 | 12,624 | 341,903 | 2,456,515 |
| 3 | Philadelphia, | 6,755,415 | 353,362 | 136,167 | 829,890 | 400,601 | 34,966 | 2,479 | 4,997,950 | 211,040 |
| 4 | Detroit, Mich | 666,413 | 103,876 |  | 462,304 | 33,757 | 18,598 | 47,878 |  | 2,124,070 |
| 5 | Los Angeles, Cal | 1,740,836 | 251,502 | 168,704 |  | 253,835 | 16,120 | 25,128 | 1,025,547 | 139,296 |
| 6 | Cleveland, Ohio | 592,358 | 17,517 | 13,298 | 317,127 | 63,984 | 5,913 | 15,900 | 158,619 | 1,609,562 |
| 7 | St. Lou1s, | 379,719 | 171,669 | 22,409 |  |  | 91,812 | 6,022 | 87,807 | 686,228 |
| 8 | Baltimore, ma | 3,098,072 | ------ | 29,280 | 353,950 | 364,163 | 10,135 | 603 | 2,339,941 | 227,048 |
|  | Boston, Mass.-- | 1,762,350 | 93,289 | 43,786 | 391,410 | 614,983 | 612,047 | 6,835 | - | 310,699 |
| 10 | Pittsburgh, Pa. | 1,598,170 | 66,515 | 58,735 | 327,047 | 418,528 | 48,027 | 10 | 679,308 | 124,866 |
| 11 | San Francisco, Cal | 1,172,233 | 112,059 | 24,896 | 230,362 | 487,040 | 13,716 | 26,835 | 277,325 | 14,939 |
| 12 | Washington, D. C. | 798,360 | 126,760 | 84,507 | 271,797 | 305,062 | 10,227 | 7 | ------ | 530,668 |
| 13 | uilmakee, Wis. | 813,168 | 121,022 | 79,905 | 155,006 |  | 13,019 | 632 | 443,584 | 409,591 |
| 14 | Buffalo, N. Y.- | 950,604 | 109,381 | 88,527 | 271,301 | 440,103 | 41,292 | --------- |  | 340,165 |


| 15 | Minneapolis, Minn. | \$743,036 | \$22,023 | \$18,228 | \$290,617 | \$361,553 | \$37,057 | \$13,558 |  | \$1,213,577 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 | New Orleans, La | 418,774 | 47,217 | 53,351 | 115,135 |  | 46,693 | 1,041 | \$155,337 | 828,772 |
| 17 | Cincinnati, Ohi | 1,806,683 | 11,841 | 2,859 | 181,615 | 219,317 | 481,095 | 12,082 | 897,874 | 1,228,345 |
| 18 | Newark, N. J | 1,185,978 | 133,803 | 80,728 | 283,921 | 153,221 | 14,769 |  | 519,536 | 71,222 |
| 19 | Kansas City, M | 29,594 |  | 11,912 | - |  | 14,232 | 3,450 | ------------ | 326,301 |
| 20 | Seattle, Wash. | 526,912 | 22,318 | 47,924 | 48,386 | 403,284 | 859 | 4,141 |  | 197,094 |
| 21 | Indianapolis, Ind. | 150,840 | 12,343 | 18,857 | 60,759 |  | 8,881 | ------7--7 | 50,000 | 37,205 |
| 22 | Rochester, N. Y.- | 377,345 | 17,670 | 19,679 | 178,767 | 158,218 | ---------- | 3,011 |  | 904,535 |
| 23 | Jersey City, N. J | 710,553 | 138,599 | 61,869 | 189,609 | 154,795 | 10 |  | 165,671 | 18,003 |
| 24 <br> 25 | Houston, Tex.- Louisville, Ky | 40,769 737,518 | 2,519 | 1,908 | 17,679 | ----------------- | 1,567 158,778 | 1,955 5,397 | 37,247 551,237 |  |
| 26 | Portland, Oreg.- | 249,828 | 11,993 | 29,894 | 196,620 |  | 10,171 | 1,150 |  | 914,278 |

group ili.-CIties having a population of 100,000 to 300,000

| 27 | Columbus, Ohio- |
| :---: | :---: |
| 28 | Toledo, Ohio--- |
| 29 | Oakland, Calif |
| 30 | Denver, Colo. |
| 31 | Atlanta, Ga. |
| 32 | Dalles, Tex.-- |
| 33 | St. Paul, M1nn |
| 34 | Birmingham, Ala |
| 35 | Alkron, onio- |
| 36 | Lemphis, Tenn |
| 37 | Providence, R. I. |
| 38 | San Antonio, Tex |
| 39 | Omaha, Nebr.-.- |
|  | Syracuse, N . |
| 41 | Dayton, Ohio- |
| 42 | Oklahoma City, |
| 43 | Worcester, Mass. |
| 44 | R1chmond, Va . |
| 45 | Youngstom, ohi |
| 46 | Grand Raplds, Mich. |
| 47 | Fort Worth, Tex |
| 48 | Hartford, Conn. |
| 49 | Flint, Mich. |
| 50 | New Haven, Conn. |
| 51 | San Diego, Calif |
|  | Long Beach, Cali |
| 53 | Nashville, Tenn. |
| 54 | Springfield, Mass |
| 55 | Tulsa, Okla.---- |
| 56 | Bridgeport, Conn. |
| 57 | Des Moines, Iowe |
| 58 | Scranton, Pa.-- |
| 59 | Salt Lake City, Utah- |
| 60 | Yonkers, N. Y.------ |
| 61 | Paterson, N. J. |
| 62 | Jacksonville, Fla. |
| 63 | Albany, N. Y.----------- |
| 64 | Norfolk, va. |
| 65 | Trenton, N. J. |
| 66 | Chattenooge, Tenn. |
| 67 | Kansas City, Kans. |
| $\begin{aligned} & 68 \\ & 69 \end{aligned}$ | Ind Canden, N. J. |


| \$273,160 | \$1,420 | ------------ | \$100,494 | \$6,593 | \$14,365 | ---- | \$150,288 | 31,001,625 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 152,371 92,457 | 9,842 45,274 |  | 133,042 | 1,427 | 8,060 |  |  | 170,724 |
| 92,457 42,889 | 45,274 14,682 | 346,839 15,985 | --------------- | -- | 12,041 344 | \$181 |  | 1,137,671 |
| 1,528,637 | 17,404 | 16,090 |  |  | 300 | 170 | 1,494,673 | 183,638 |
| 168,117 | 8,769 | 14,151 |  | ----- | 10,593 | --- | 134,604 | 267,303 |
| 51,938 | 12,032 | 12,020 | 26,254 | 766 | 325 | 541 |  | 572,866 |
| 15,446 | 4,036 | 10,344 |  |  | 100 | 966 |  | 523,350 |
| 131,111 <br> 123,633 | 2,616 | $\cdots 3,879$ | 112,147 | 899 | 15,498 4,267 | ---------7 | 3,466 110,695 | 708,086 125,160 |
| 300,725 | 37,561 | 26,395 | 122,039 | 114,730 | ---- | --- | ------------ | 21,592 |
| 19,489 | 5,006 | 6,851 |  |  | 7,502 | 130 | -------- |  |
| 30,299 | 2,972 |  | 26,879 | 378 110,602 |  |  |  | ${ }^{162,892}$ |
| 253,014 80,305 | 13,705 | 8,103. | 107,127 74,436 | 110,602 | 405 5,869 | ------------ | 13,072 | 266,028 276,917 |
| 8,437 | -- | 8,437 | ------- |  | ----------- |  | ------------ | 28,968 |
| 220,018 | 1,930 | 3,343 | 118,199 | 67,954 | 28,592 | --------- | ------------- | 38,101 |
| 677,261 76,976 | 4,709 | ----- | 18,744 | ------ | 19,439 | 84 10 | 634,285 | 11,202 |
| 247,917 |  | ------------- | 76,966 23,973 |  | 86,632 | 10 | 137,312 | 258,821 258,875 |
| 156,868 17,543 | 7,042 | 6,504 | -------- | 7,559 | 3,--7 | 890 | 148,419 919 | 20,823 48,987 |
| 149,093 | 2,994 | 3,054 | 22,575 |  | 6,000 | --------- | 114,470 | 348,089 |
| 127,312 | 16,060 16,855 | 11,255 | 88,883 | 2,381 51,970 | 11,733 303 | --------- |  | 16,419 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{r}438,824 \\ 50,254 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 7,770 3,799 | $\underset{\substack{10,101 \\ 4,707}}{ }$ | -------- |  | 582 555 | 430 | 419,941 38,166 | 14,633 89,304 |
| 50,254 131,641 | 3,799 | 4,707 | 88,078 | 3,027 20,956 | $\begin{array}{r}555 \\ 21,095 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\cdots$ | 38,166 | 89,304 64,707 |
| 11,319 | -------1 | - |  |  |  | 11,319 | ------------ |  |
| 87,887 113,153 | 12,511 3,794 | 10,867 4,345 | 62,800 101,054 | 1,709 | 2,046 | 1,914 | ------------ | 70,361 30,206 |
| 102,157 | 3,428 | 7,469 | 65,799 | 13,049 | 11,254 | 1,158 | -- | 131,188 |
| 16,729 |  |  | 15,518 |  |  | 1,211 | ------------ | 201,357 |
| 259,839 | 17,530 | 10,835 | 100,880 | 128,880 | 1,714 |  |  | 475,353 |
| 153,658 $1,931,865$ | 32,139 12,462 | 24,579 12,732 | 87,952 | 8,988 8,777 | $-795$ | ------------ | 1,896,935 | 2,721 187,253 |
| 125,614 | 6,987 | 11,561 | 52,155 | 38,772 | 1,632 | 105 | 14,402 | 371,855 |
| 68,906 | 11,919 | 12,789 | 9,786 |  |  | 196 | 34,216 | 9,497 |
| 241,439 | 21,703 | 20, 290 | 61,632 | 2,664 | 25,100 | 50 | 110,000 | 31,720 |
| 15,712 6,000 | 4,867 | 6,736 | ------------ | -- | 4,109 | ------------- |  | 241,020 |
| 25,355 | 1,877 | 5,476 | 17,523 | ------------ | 479 | --.-.-.-. | ,-00 | +43,433 |
| 387,008 | 15,683 | 13,237 | 57,449 | 4,885 | 356 | ---------- | 295,398 | 46,239 |

TABLE 12.-REVENUES FROM PRIVATE SOURCES, FROM CONTRIBUTIONS FROM PUBLIC-SERVICE ENTERPRISES, AND FROM SPECIAL ASSESSMENTS: 1937-Continued

| - | CITY | Total | Revenue from irivate sources |  |  |  |  |  | Contributions from publicservice enterprises | Special assessments <br> for capital outlays |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Pension assessments |  |  |  | Donations and contributions | Unclaimed moneys |  |  |
|  |  |  | Policemen | Firemen | $\underset{\text { School }}{\text { Seachers }}$ | All other |  |  |  |  |

group ili. -CIties having a pofulation of 100,000 To 300,000 -Continued

| 70 | Erie, Pa.- | \$85,173 |  |  | \$50,041 | *8,942 |  | --------- | \$26,190 | \$66,704 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 71 | Elizabeth, N. J | 98,544 | \$21,747 | \$15,937 | 54,705 | 3,130 | \$525 |  | 2,500 | 35,369 34955 |
| 72 | Wichita, Kans. | 6,653 |  | 1,945 |  | -- | 3,112 | \$1,596 | - | ${ }^{349,651}$ |
| 73 74 | Spokane, Wash.-- | 26,580 237 | 4,782 | 6,089 | 14,992 40,998 |  | 25 150 | 692 | ------------- | 265,648 |
| 74 | Fall River, Mass. | 237,048 |  |  | 40,998 | 10,909 | 150 |  | 184,790 |  |
| 75 | Cambridge, Mass.-- | 147,389 | ----- | ----------- | 59,264 | 26,071 | 1,271 | ----- | 60,783 | 3,886 |
| 76 | New Bedford, Mass. | 77,495 | ------- |  | 49,215 | 13,879 |  |  | 14,401 | 14,135 |
| 77 | Reading, Pa.- | 68,758 |  |  | 46,216 | 13,433 | 9,004 | 105 |  | 70,222 |
| 78 79 | Knoxville, Tenn. | 33,518 23,857 | 9,299 | 3,596 | 20,952 9,517 | 12,566 | 1,275 | ---------170 | --- | 1,913 272,823 |
| 79 | Peoria, 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 80 | South Bend, Ind. | 85,063 | 2,113 | 2,709 | 16,858 | ------------ | 398 | 29 | 62,956 | 408 |
| ${ }_{82}^{81}$ | Tacoma, Wash.- | 62,438 | 4,292 | 14,490 | 16,621 | ------.------ | 319 | 3,840 856 | 22,926 | 9,029 |
| 82 | M1ami, Fla. | 180,353 | , ,220 | ${ }^{5,724}$ |  |  | 2,311 |  | 164,242 | 240, 344 |
| 83 84 84 | Gary, Ind.--- | 22,415 45,865 | 1,852 | 3,012 | $\begin{aligned} & 17,481 \\ & 41,338 \end{aligned}$ | 2,-534 | 1,993 | ------------ |  | 1,645 334,605 |
| 85 | Wilmington, Del. | 34,899 | 5,595 | 3,513 | 8,555 | ------------ | 17,213 | 23 |  | 85,910 |
| 86 | Tampa, Fla.-- | 71,733 | 3,113 | 3,305 |  |  |  | 503 | 64,812 | 63,681 |
| 87 | Somerville, Mas | 113,763 | 2,381 | 3,057 | 55,326 | 19,523 | --- | --------- | 33,476 | 2,005 |
| 88 | El Paso, Tex. | 3,791 | 1,463 | 2,032 |  |  | 296 |  |  |  |
| 89 | Evansville, | 22,725 | 3,748 | 4,142 | 14,066 |  | 769 | ---------- | ------------ | 8,571 |
| 90 | Lynn, Mass.- | 240,053 | 284 | 85 | 42,367 | 18,720 |  |  | 178,597 | 22,651 |
| 91 | Utica, N. Y.-- | 123,289 | 10,900 | 29,288 | 47,549 | 34,202 | 1,350 |  |  | 85,321 |
| 92 | Duluth, Minn.- | ${ }^{64,548}$ | 5,071 | 1,663 | 54,362 |  | 3,452 |  |  | 59,900 |
| 93 | Waterbury, Con | 69,722 | 3,281 |  | 59,274 |  | 7,167 |  |  | 54,985 |
| 94 | Lowell, Mass.- | 44,674 |  |  | 44,234 |  | 440 |  |  | 7,782 |
|  | Honolulu, Hawaii 1/- | 207,879 | ----------- | ----------- | ------------ | ------------ | ----------- | 2,661 | 205,218 | 302,425 |

1/Not included in group or grand totals.
table 13. - Revenues from charges for current Services, by principal services: 1937
(See text discussion, p. 83)

|  | CITY | All servicecharges | GENERAL ADMINISTRATIVE, LEGGISLATIVE, AND JUDICIAL |  |  |  | PUBLIC SAFETY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Total |  | Recording of legal instruments | All other | Total | Police charges | Fire protec- tion services | Protective <br> inspection fees | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Weights } \\ & \text { and } \\ & \text { measures } \end{aligned}$ |  | Pounds | Scales | $\underset{\text { All }}{\substack{\text { Alt } \\ \text { other }}}$ |
|  | ran | \$83,997,898 | \$20,641,625 | \$9,093,850 | \$5,022,949 | 86,524,826 | \$4,952,874 | \$1,480,086 | \$374,425 | \$2,089,282 | \$196,714 | \$133,482 | \$82,066 | \$19,901 | \$576,918 |
|  | Group IGroup II- | $\begin{aligned} & 52,529,838 \\ & 12,754,395 \\ & 18,713,665 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 15,561,321 \\ 3,195,909 \\ 1,884,395 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7,084,536 \\ 1,261,083 \\ 748,231 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,042,533 \\ 730,970 \\ 249,446 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,434,252 \\ 1,203,856 \\ 886,718 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,179,468 \\ 855,073 \\ 918,333 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 642,731 \\ & 517,791 \\ & 519,564 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 248,507 \\ 44,186 \\ 81,732 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,579,084 \\ 185,435 \\ 324,763 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 115,852 \\ 15,821 \\ 65,041 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 101,470 \\ 15,591 \\ 16,421 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32,704 \\ & 10,091 \\ & 39,271 \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} 7,837 \\ 1,082 \\ 10,982 \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\begin{array}{r} 451,283 \\ 65,076 \\ 60,559 \\ 60 \end{array}$ |
| group I. -Cities having a population of 500,000 and over |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Now York, N. Y | \$12,987,388 | \$4,697,863 | \$2,265,816 | \$1,917,264 | \$514,783 | \$231,610 | \$104,309 | \$22,034 | \$76,537 |  |  |  | ------ | \$28,730 |
| 2 | Chicago, 112. | 8,680,002 | 3,942,435 | 1,064,113 | 393,632 | 2,484,690 | 1,149,584 | 13,071 | 602 | 870,997 | \$67,568 | \$80,695 | \$7,154 | ------ | 109,497 |
| 3 | Philadelphia, Pa | 3,216,412 | 1,361,489 | ${ }^{936,206}$ | 266,379 | 158,904 | 247, 366 | 22,838 | 1,821 | 183,911 |  | 14,052 |  |  | 24,744 |
| 5 | Detroit, M1ch.---- Los Angeles, Calif | 6,844,398 $4,159,427$ | 478,316 969,577 | 250,376 356,582 | 172,844 <br> 375,178 | 55,096 237,817 | 207,574 254,892 | 65,528 51,085 | 5,602 9,317 | 25,807 113,709 | 1,552 | 1,907 | 14,952 3,616 | \$1,739 | 90,487 76,239 |
| 6 | Cieveland, ohio- | 2,781,169 | 758,692 | 511,800 | 99,452 | 147,440 | 118,488 | 94,417 | 4,152 | 17,527 |  |  | 1,893 |  | +499 |
| 7 | St. Louls, Mo. | 2,939,612 | 804,498 | 464,484 | 115,650 | 224,364 | 158,103 | 2,265 | 4,009 | 117,980 | 29,625 |  |  | 3,624 | 600 |
| 8 | Baltimore, ma. | 886,853 | 118,695 | 76,301 | ------- | 42,394 | 18,103 |  | 4,263 | 11,573 | 2,267 | -------- | -------- | ------ | --------- |
|  | Boston, Mass. | 2,813,252 | 269,439 | 79,918 | 105,623 | 83,898 | 24,648 | 3,508 | 2,395 | 3,905 | 14,840 | -------- | - |  | 47. 242 |
| 10 | Pitteburgh, Pa.-.-.-.- | 1,797,098 | 775,764 | 454, 893 | 80,064 | 240,807 | 335,282 | 200,147 1.635 | 77,043 <br> 97 <br> 887 | - 113,937 |  |  |  | 413 | 47,742 |
| 11 | San Francisco, Callf.- Washington, D. | $1,439,933$ $1,107,229$ | 430,705 534,193 | 222,796 234,186 | 132,117 262,372 | 75,792 37,635 | 220,067 104,729 | 1,635 | 97,887 1,395 | 113,985 28,191 | ---------- | 3,680 | 2,880 | ------- | 71,809 |
| 13 | Mi1 waukee, Wis...--- | 1,938,136 | 229,270 | 140,553 | 64,899 | 23,818 | 100,076 | 78,061 | 15,812 | 2,520 |  |  | 686 | 2,061 | 936 |
| 14 | Buffalo, N. Y. | 938,929 | 190,385 | 26,512 | 57,059 | 106,814 | 8,946 | 4,056 | 2,175 | 2,505 |  | 210 |  |  |  |
| group it.-Cities having a population of 300,000 to 500,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | Minneapolis, Minn. | \$1,054,469 | \$198,567 | \$92,433 | \$87,139 | \$18,995 | \$47,356 | \$35, 916 | \$1,915 | \$514 | - | \$461 | \$1,050 | ------ | \$7,500 |
| 16 | Now Orleans, La.--- | 789,644 | 342,744 <br> 334 | 146,931 | 139,794 | 56,019 | 154,105 | 7,223 | 1,845 | $\begin{array}{r}110,155 \\ 27 \\ \hline 1506\end{array}$ |  | 8,805 | 1,434 | -------- | 24,643 1 1,196 |
| 178 | Cincinnati, Oh10---. Newark, N. | $2,126,311$ $1,436,187$ | 334,934 313,075 | 190,533 208,620 | 60,630 73,728 | 83,771 30,727 | 68,015 123,328 | 27,807 118,571 | 10,646 2,726 | 27,506 1,500 | \$637 | 223 531 |  |  | 1,196 |
| 19 | Kansas City, Mo. | -728,076 | 284,339 | 118,973 | 69,028 | 96,338 | 45,609 | 9,032 | ,678 | 9,157 | 12,643 | 2,068 | --- | \$913 | 11,118 |
| 20 | Seattle, Wash.-- | 1,053,975 | 424,610 | 131,139 | 102,125 | 191,346 | 104,764 | 78,920 | 6,873 | 6,110 | 134 | 3,353 | 2,770 |  | 6,604 |
| 21 | Indianapolis, Ind. | 656,302 | 182,307 | ------- | 39,030 | 143,277 | 41,540 | 22,624 | 14,625 | ------ | ------ |  | 926 |  | 3,365 |
| 22 | Rochester, N. Y.------ | 1,318,813 | 164,480 | 19,333 | 42,655 40,345 | 102,492 1,703 | 20,044 <br> 63,477 | 17,578 <br> 62,836 |  | -------------- |  | 150 | 248 | 169 | 1,819 |
| 23 24 | Jersey City, N. J.-.----- Houston, Tex. | $1,349,628$ 852,836 | 169,899 443,248 | 127,851 78,952 | 40,345 | 1,703 364,296 | 63,477 86,957 | 62,836 <br> 53,709 | 615 | 30,493 | 13 2,394 | ----------- | 361 |  | 13 |
| 25 | Louisville, Ky. ------- | 774,217 | 54,400 | 46,104 | 1,241 | 7,055 | 6,543 |  |  |  |  |  |  | ------ | 6,543 |
| 25 | Portland, Orag. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ - | 613,937 | 283,306 | 100,214 | 75,255 | 107,837 | 93,335 | 83,575 | 4,183 |  |  |  | 3,302 |  | 2,275 |

table 13.-REVENUES FRoM Charges for current Services, by principal Services: 1937—Continued
(See text discussion, D. 83)

|  | CTTY | All servicecharges | gencral administrative, iegislative, AND JUDICIAL |  |  |  | PUBLIC SAFETY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 等 |  |  | Total |  | Recording of legal instru- | All other | Tot ${ }^{1}$ | Police charges | $\begin{gathered} \text { Fire } \\ \text { protec- } \\ \text { tion } \\ \text { services } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Protective } \\ \text { inspection } \\ \text { fees } \end{gathered}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { Neights } \\ & \text { end } \\ & \text { measures } \end{aligned}\right.$ | Inspectors' examina- tion fees tion fees | Pounds | Scales | $\underset{\text { Alher }}{\text { All }}$ |

group ini.-Cities hiving a population of 100,000 to 300,000

| 27 | Columbus, ohio | \$392,270 | \$37,695 | \$35,967 |  | \$1,728 | \$19,390 | \$1,265 | \$17,727 |  | \$398 | -------- | ------- |  | ----- |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 28 | Toledo, Ohio-- | 1,062,224 | 87,454 | 53,736 |  | 33,718 | 14,193 | 2,780 | 1,855 | \$3,045 | \$6,106 |  |  | \$407 |  |
| 29 | Oakland, Calif | 436,132 <br> 7535 | 24,531 |  | \$1, 381 97 | 23,150 197 | 8,219 | +465 | 2, 68 |  |  |  | \$4,592 |  | $\$ 3,094$ 598 |
| 30 | Denver, Colo.- Atlanta, Ga.-- | 753,592 857,568 | 476,166 69,069 | 180,852 67,218 | 97,861 | 197,453 1,851 | 51,741 51,806 | 1,897 13,901 | 2,191 312 | 45,780 36,722 | -------- | $\$ 906$ 605 | 369 | 266 | 598 |
| 32 | Dallas, Tex.-- | 442,313 |  |  |  |  | 53,133 | 21,169 | 815 |  |  |  | 289 | ------ | 30,860 |
| 33 | St. Paul, Minn | 507,539 | 87,919 | 10,316 | 6,352 | ${ }^{71,251}$ | 9,564 | 3,532 | 5,600 | ${ }^{9}$ |  | 260 | 163 |  |  |
| 34 | Biruingham, hl | 226,458 | 13,534 |  |  | 13,534 | ${ }^{20,358}$ | 2,738 | 25 | 16,807 |  | 40 | 748 | ------ |  |
| 35 | ikron, ohio | 605,615 | 72,290 | 71,833 |  | ${ }^{537}$ | 12,979 | 255 | 278 | 12,446 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 36 | Memphis, Tenn. | 263,324 | 230 |  |  | 230 | 69,552 | 45,162 | 500 | 2,913 | 14,290 | 2,374 | 2,426 | 1,887 |  |
| 37 | Providence, R. I. | 449,089 | 86,813 | 6,841 | 23,060 | 56,912 | 27,080 | 15,232 | 729 |  | 5,087 | -------- | 4,914 | 1,118 | -------- |
| 38 | San Antonio, Tex. | 240,680 | 314 |  |  | 314 | ${ }^{865}$ |  |  |  | 3.478 |  | 565 | 300 |  |
| 41 | Syracuse, ${ }^{\text {dayton, }}$ Ohio-- | 476,138 661,272 | 47,578 20,403 | 20,165 | 4 | ${ }_{234}$ | 16,460 | 1,687 | 4,569 | 3,295 | 6,792 | 117 |  |  | , 63 |
| 42 | Oklahama City, okla. | 317,353 | 4,257 |  |  | 4,257 | 50,204 |  | 1,580 | 46,499 |  | 1,385 | 740 | ------ |  |
| 43 | Worcester, Mass. | 613,656 | 53,336 | - | 219 | 53,117 | 4,459 | 710 | 149 |  | 3,600 |  |  |  |  |
| 44 | Richmond, Va.- | 469,865 | 55,069 | 44,253 | 2,068 | 8,748 | 24,413 | 644 | 4,733 | 9,522 | 7,170 | 184 | 683 | 791 | 686 |
| 45 | Youngstown, ohio | 159,411 | 28,224 | 17,497 |  | 10,727 10 | 4,171 16,908 | 13 4,944 | 481 2,155 | 75 | 2,503 |  |  | 1,099 |  |
| 46 | Grand Repids, Mich | 540,649 | 20,532 | 10,046 | 56 | 10,430 | 16,908 | 4,944 | 2,155 |  |  | 1,838 | 7,971 |  |  |
| 47 | Fort Worth, Tex. | 407,923 | 25,534 |  |  | 25,534 $\mathbf{2}, 979$ | 17,521 | 600 75,603 |  | 16,543 |  |  |  | ------- | 855 |
| 48 | Hartford, Conn. | 511,529 736,090 | $\begin{array}{r}43,884 \\ 8,046 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 16,063 7,950 |  | 2,979 72 | 78,753 29,706 | 75,603 |  | 10,804 | 85 | ,229 | 7,548 | - |  |
| 50 | New Haven, Conn. | 264,529 | 58,774 | 33,781 | 22,806 | 2,187 | 1,059 | 1,059 | ------ |  |  |  |  | ------ | -------- |
| 51 | San Dioge, Calif. | 2? 2 ,446 | 4,596 |  | 384 | 4,212 | 5,560 | 1,073 | 112 | 3,183 |  | 833 | 359 |  |  |
| 52 | Long Beach, Callf. | 172,890 | 2,397 |  |  | 2,397 | 906 |  | 22 | 136 |  | 433 | 315 |  |  |
| 53 | Nashville, Tenn.- | 102,487 | 1,912 |  |  | 1,849 | 4,664 |  |  | 3,950 1,808 |  |  |  | 714 |  |
| 54 <br> 55 <br> 5 | Springfield, Mass.- Tulsa, | 353,467 65,422 | $\begin{array}{r}\text { 34,598 } \\ \hline 193\end{array}$ |  | 17,362 | 17,236 193 | 8,123 <br> 2,524 | 1,473 | 2,327 | 1,599 | 2,508 | 829 | 96 |  |  |
| 56 | Bridgeport, Comn.- | 271,979 | 27,653 | 2,052 | 21,372 | 4,229 | 1,788 | 377 | 165 | 1,246 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 57 | Des Moines, Iowa-- | 193,179 | 16,786 | 15,028 |  | 1,758 | 13,155 | 867 | 287 | 1 |  |  |  |  | ,000 |
| 58 | Scranton, Pa.---- | 41,734 | 5,012 | 357 |  | 4,655 | 1,129 | 158 | 561 | 403 |  |  |  |  |  |


| 59 | Salt Lake City, Utan-- | 251,620 | 16,527 | 9,838 | 16 | 6,673 | 25,220 | 1,450 | 40 | 23,730 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 60 | Yonkers, N. | 91,391 | 36,597 | 5,963 |  | 30,634 | 9,396 |  | -------- | 8,044 | -------- | 175 |  | 397 | 780 |
| 61 | Patarson, N. J.--- | 358,038 | 26,882 | 14,487 |  | 12,395 | 10,693 | 545 |  | 9,393 |  | - | 368 |  | 387 |
| 62 | Jacksonville, Fla. | 73,854 | 10,050 |  |  | 10,050 | 1,036 |  |  |  |  | 782 | 254 |  |  |
| 63 | Albany, N. Y. | 89,404 261,967 | 20,011 61,522 | 49,336 |  | 20,011 12,186 | 3,722 8,647 | 3,272 205 | 303 <br> 258 | 72 8,138 | ----------- | 75 46 | ------- | ------ |  |
| 65 | Trenton, N. J.- | 241,705 | 25,696 | 13,385 | ---------- | 12,311 | 738 | -- |  | 738 | -------- |  | --.----- |  |  |
| 66 | Chattenooga, Tenn. | 199,072 | 10,778 |  |  | 10,778 | 613 |  | 231 |  |  | 187 |  | 42 | 153 |
| 67 | Kansas City, Kans. | 61,604 | 102 | ---------- |  | 102 | 4,231 | 269 | 1,483 | ---------- | 498 | 198 | ------- |  | 1,783 |
| 68 | Fort Wayne, ${ }^{\text {Cand.-- }}$ Canden, | 49,916 82,676 | 26 27,516 | 15,419 |  | 26 12,097 | 1,169 8,210 | 78 | 179 | 7,910 |  | 300 |  | 912 |  |
| 70 | Erie, Pa. | 51,477 | 6,325 |  | 4,184 | 2,141 | 4,006 | 369 | 214 | 3,189 |  | 150 | ------- | ------ | 84 |
| 71 | Elizabeth, N. | 45,664 | 3,851 | 25 |  | 3,826 | 665 | 509 | 156 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 72 | Wichita, Kans.-- Spokane, Wesh. | 299,795 209,139 | 1,614 |  |  | 1,614 | 5,408 23,918 | 1,612 | 5,202 281 | 22,025 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 74 | Fall River, Mas | 92,679 | 8,397 |  | 2,397 | 6,000 | 1,666 | 45 | 90 | 23 | 1,211 |  | 297 | ------- |  |
| 75 | Cembridge, Mass. | 188,611 | 9,425 |  | 3,022 | 6,403 | 5,065 | 3,228 | 136 | 65 | 1,636 |  |  |  |  |
| 76 | New Bedford, Mass. | 104,756 | 5,566 |  | 4,106 | 1,460 | 2,404 | 271 | 104 | 109 | 1,920 3,081 |  |  | ------ |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 77 \\ & 78 \end{aligned}$ | Reading, Pa, $-\ldots-$. Knoxvilie, Tenn. | 89,288 135,781 | 10,612 | 2,225 |  | 8,387 | 4,247 10,477 | 1,107 | $\begin{array}{r}59 \\ 475 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 6,652 | 3,081 |  | 2,767 | -- | 583 |
| 79 | Foria, Ill.-- | 117,528 | 2,537 | ------- | ------.--- | 2,537 | 11,682 |  | 175 | 8,678 | 1,222 | 962 |  | 645 |  |
|  | South Bond, Ind | 70,180 | 4,566 | --------- |  | 4,566 | 1,813 | 59 | --- | 1,052 | -----.-- |  |  |  | 310 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 81 \\ & 82 \end{aligned}$ | Trecoma, Mash. | 312,337 576,632 | 5,146 19,353 | ----------- |  | 5,146 19,353 | 1,448 54,654 | 36,935 | --7,000 | 280 714 | 5 |  | 370 | 743 | 55 |
| 83 | Gary, Ind. | 74,329 |  |  |  |  | 389 |  | 389 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 84 | Canton, Ohio-- | 149,507 | 37,444 | 16,845 |  | 20,599 | 4,657 | 2,299 | 677 | 5 |  | 347 |  | 1,329 |  |
| 85 | Wilmington, Del. | 35,765 | 3,855 | --------- |  | 3,855 | 2,808 | 810 | 1,106 | 267 | -------- | 266 | 359 | ------ | -------- |
| 86 | Tampa, Fla.-- | 201,797 | 1,126 | 17 |  | 1,109 | 1,064 | 108 |  | 464 |  | 375 | 117 |  |  |
| 88 | Somarrille, Mas | 63,503 | 14,857 2,982 |  |  | 14,857 2,982 | 1,110 | 52 | 149 | 111 | 988 |  | ------- | ------- |  |
| 89 | Evansville, Ind. | 216,931 | ${ }^{2} 175$ |  |  | 2, 175 | 6,689 | 638 | 251 | 5,311 |  |  | 489 |  | 析 |
| 90 | Lymn, Mass. | 179,630 | 13,265 | --------- | 1,744 | 11,521 | 1,773 | 402 | 32 | 37 | 1,302 |  | -------- | ------ |  |
| 91 | Utica, N. Y. | 33,990 | 5,802 | 297 |  | 5,498 | 3,313 | 2,669 |  |  |  | 54 |  |  |  |
| 92 | Duluth, M1n. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 155,262 | 7,851 | 42 | 1,723 | 6,086 | 4,442 <br> 3,704 | 3,324 3,650 | 668 | $\begin{array}{r}450 \\ 54 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{94}^{93}$ | Waterbury, Conn. Lowell, Mass.-- | 176,800 140,027 | 16,618 39,242 | 1,475 | 14,393 | $\begin{array}{r}750 \\ \hline 39,242\end{array}$ | 3,704 4,698 | 3,650 451 | 283 | 54 | 1,345 | 664 | 1,917 | 19 | 19 |
|  | Honolulu, Hawa1i 1/~- | 287,541 | 35,506 | 29,086 | 14 | 6,406 | 6,000 |  |  | 1,383 | 3,830 | 761 | 26 |  |  |

1/ Not included in group or grand totals.
table 13.-REVENUES FROM CHARGES FOR CURRENT SERVICES, BY PRINCIPAL SERVICES: 1937—Continued

grour i.-cittis having a population of 500,000 and ovir

| 1 | New York, N. Y | 104,598 | \$56, 907 | \$663 | \$3,047,028 |  | \$257,154 | ---------- | \$121 | \$257,033 |  | \$242,896 | \$201,507 | \$10,979 | ------ | \$30,410 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Chicago, ill. | 439,441 |  |  |  | \$439,441 | 470,815 |  | 435,817 |  | \$34,998 | 38,542 | 29,806 | 5,664 |  | 3,072 |
| 3 | Philedelphia, | 4,561 | 2,638 | 38 |  | 1,685 | 180,358 | \$26,143 |  | 154,215 |  | 20,049 | 3,261 | 15,630 |  | 1,158 |
| 4 | Detroit, Mich. Los Angeles, | $\begin{array}{r} 53,438 \\ 1,218,595 \end{array}$ | 5,735 | 1,188,626 |  | 53,438 24,234 | 62,755 529,408 | 50,017 | 1,351 | 11,750 201,853 | 988 257,058 | 81,374 60,763 | 5,718 56,181 | 14,044 23 | 154 | 61,612 4,405 |
| 5 | Los Angeles, ${ }^{\text {cleveland, }}$ | 1,23,5998 |  | 1,188,626 |  | 24,234 3,998 | - 241,120 | 204,457 | 1,610 | 35,487 | 25,566 | 6,436 | 5,846 |  |  | +590 |
| 7 | St. Lou1s, Mo. | 1,088,726 | 34,600 | 6,021 | 1,047,918 | +187 | 17,641 | 8,697 |  | 8,025 | 919 | 31,265 | 4,237 | 26,879 |  | 149 |
| 8 | Baitimore, ma. | 100,584 | 96,865 | --------- |  | 3,719 | 90, 216 | 22,250 | ------.--- | 42,862 | 25,004 | 8,939 | 8,043 | ------- | ----- | ${ }^{896}$ |
| 10 | Boston, Mass.-- Pittaburgh, Pa, | 810,943 12,689 |  |  | 808,939 | 2,004 8,010 | 42,962 | 19,132 | --.--------- | 22,999 | 831 284 | 13,991 | 10,778 |  | 3 | 3,213 |
| 11 | San Francisco, Cal | 17,591 | 17,591 |  |  |  | 71,321 |  |  |  | 71,321 | 123,917 | 7,167 | 115,798 | 38 | 714 |
| 12 | Mashingtor, D. C | 7,076 |  |  |  | 5,312 | 42,853 | 4,557 | 50 | 31,589 | 6,657 | 4,289 | 3,804 |  |  | 485 |
| 13 | M11 waukee, Wis | 46,413 | 9,417 | 12,037 |  | 24,959 | 759,272 | 728,311 | 5,313 | 3,897 | 21,751 | 8,629 | 7,001 |  | 3 | 1,495 |
| 14 | Buffalo, N. Y | 45,611 | 2,600 |  |  | 43,011 | 15,413 | 4,558 | 5 | 6,600 | 4,250 | 8,259 | 5,225 |  |  | 3,034 |

group il.-CIties having a population of 300,000 to 500,000

| 15 | Minneapolis, Mi | \$322,162 | 0,275 | \$98 | ------- | \$11,789 | \$1,152 | 4892 |  | 人े200 |  | \$2,073 |  |  |  | \$2,073 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 | New Orioans, Le | 1,156 | 1,156 |  | --------- |  | 630 | 630 | --------- |  |  | 49,171 | \$8,901 | \$38,178 |  | 2,092 |
| 17 | Cincinnati, Ohio | 162,869 |  | 147,622 |  | 15,247 | 10,836 | 1,322 |  | 3,900 | \$5,614 | 22,962 | 1,532 | 19,879 | \%963 | 588 |
| 18 | Newark, N. J. | 43,880 | 20,670 |  | \$6,034 | 17,176 | 88,930 | 52,149 | ---------- | 11,850 | 24,931 | 5,062 | 3,237 | 1,527 |  | 298 |
| 19 | Kansas City, m | 2,097 |  |  |  | 2,097 | 2,253 |  |  | 1,296 | 957 | 20,206 |  | 20,206 | --.--- |  |
| 20 | Seattle, Wash. | 163,225 | 67 |  |  | 163,158 | 8,950 | 1,391 |  | 1,200 | 6,359 | 3,571 | 2,114 | 975 |  |  |
| 21 | Indianapolis, Ind. | 2,5 |  | 2,011 |  | 555 | 113,346 | 111,355 |  |  | 1,991 | 1,280 | 1,012 |  |  | 268 |


| $\begin{aligned} & 22 \\ & 23 \\ & 24 \\ & 25 \\ & 26 \end{aligned}$ | Rochester, N. Y <br> Jersey City, N. J.-- <br> Houston, Tex. <br> Louisville, Ky. <br> Portland, oreg. | $\begin{array}{r} 399,945 \\ 8,528 \\ 2,381 \\ -23,098 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{r}\text { 4,418 } \\ \hline 10-566\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 315,571 \\ 4,110 \\ 2,381 \\ \hdashline-\cdots,-1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 195,392 \\ 5,148 \\ 48,134 \\ 4,206 \\ 2,878 \\ 2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18,094 \\ \hdashline 9,077 \\ 4,121 \end{array}$ |  | $\left\|\begin{array}{r} 140,547 \\ \hdashline 47,197 \\ 35 \\ 559 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{array}{r} 365 \\ 5,148 \\ \hdashline 500 \\ 2,304 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,297 \\ 10,380 \\ 4,104 \\ 37,414 \\ 3,978 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} -\cdots,-\cdots 25 \\ -2,-\cdots 1 \\ -2,221 \\ 1,163 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,180 \\ 7,685 \\ 3,338 \\ 33,380 \\ 840 \end{array}$ | ------ <br> ---- <br> 1,813 <br> 1,479 | $\begin{gathered} 117 \\ 270 \\ 766 \\ \hline-496 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| group iti.-Cities having a population of 100,000 To 300,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27 | Columbus, ohi | \$3,335 |  |  |  | \$3,335 | \$122,813 | \$30,109 | \$87,129 | \$5,401 | \$174 | \$2,344 | 64 | \$1,780 |  |  |
| 28 | Toledo, Ohio- | 222,823 | \$2,257 | \$217,458 |  | 3,108 | 316,341 | 44,275 | 269,993 | 1,817 | 256 | 5,827 | 1,243 | 4,284 |  | 300 |
| 29 | Oakland, Calif. | 50,148 | 1,696 | 42,987 |  | 5,465 | ${ }^{20,396}$ |  |  | 20,396 |  | 65,497 | 5,017 | 60,432 |  | 48 |
| 30 | Denver, Colo | 14,258 |  |  |  | 14,258 | 3,355 | 1,027 | 2,328 |  |  | 1,194 | 1,023 |  |  | ${ }^{171}$ |
| 31 | Atlenta, Ge | 4,068 |  | 512 | \$3,356 |  | 509,731 | 1,230 |  | 508,489 8,545 | 12 | 14,123 |  | 10,620 |  | 3,503 |
| 33 | Dall Paus, Minx. | 5,507 10,733 |  | 5,507 |  | 10,733 | 84,841 192,618 | 75,667 2,098 | 190,520 | 8,545 | 629 | 16,640 1,957 | 868 1,283 | 15,772 663 |  | 11 |
| 34 | Birmingham, Ale | 13,436 | 4,917 | 8,495 | 24 |  | 127 |  |  |  | 27 | 220 |  | 220 |  | - |
| 35 | Akron, ohjo- | 60,166 |  | 60,095 |  | $\begin{array}{r}71 \\ 824 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 142,696 | 19,484 22,538 | 122,176 | 1,036 |  | 9,969 | 562 | 7,367 | \$1,988 | 52 |
| 36 | Memphis, Tent | 5,712 |  | 4,888 |  | 824 | 22,538 | 22,538 |  |  |  | 2,877 | 2,565 |  |  | 312 |
| 37 | Providence, | 1,823 |  | 92 |  | 1,731 | 55,740 | 10,860 | 239 | 40,200 | 4,441 | 5,415 | 3,633 | 1,700 |  | 82 |
| 38 39 | San Antonio, | 1, 543 7,086 | 5,225 |  |  | ${ }_{881}^{543}$ | 7,707 2,439 | 7,012 2,398 |  | 595 | 100 | 32,783 656 | 2,380 | 30,188 |  | 215 |
| 40 | Syracuse, N . | 87,116 |  | 86,978 |  | ${ }^{138}$ | 152,482 | 1,838 | 145,687 | 58 | 4,899 | 19,483 | 1,453 | 4,035 |  | 13,995 |
| 41 | Dayton, Ohio | 34,968 |  | 25,127 |  | 9,841 | 276,527 | 264,957 |  |  | 11,570 | 10,258 | 560 | 8,538 | 1,160 |  |
| 42 | Oxiahoma City, Of |  |  |  |  |  | 195,833 | 10,314 |  | 184,426 | 1,093 | 15,042 |  | 15,042 |  |  |
| 43 | Worcester, Mas | 18,545 |  |  |  | 18,545 | 39,676 | 4,886 | 21,292 | 12,839 | 659 | 989 | 46 |  |  | 743 |
| 44 | Richmond, Va. | ${ }_{718}^{223}$ |  |  |  | 223 | 185,269 | 180,688 |  |  | 4,581 | 755 |  | 610 | ------ | 145 |
| 45 46 | Youngstown, oht | 15,718 |  | 12,842 |  | 2,876 | 767 8,484 | 7,403 |  | 783 | 157 <br> 298 | 12,133 1,035 | 1,382 | 10,652 |  | 99 2 |
| 47 | Fort Worth, Tex | 17,597 | ------- | 1,541 |  | 16,056 | 146,812 | 146,812 | --.-.-.--- |  |  | 17,684 | --.---- | 17,681 |  | 3 |
| 48 | Hartford, Conn. | 2,873 |  |  |  | 2,873 | 9,279 | 534 |  |  | 8,745 | 3,020 | 2,180 |  |  | 840 |
| 49 | Flint, Mich.--- | 1,105 |  |  |  | 1,105 | 38,326 | 385 |  | 37,941 |  | 5,842 | 238 | 5,604 |  |  |
| 50 | New Haven, Conn | 16,751 |  |  |  | 16,653 | 10,502 | 6,716 |  |  | 3,786 | 3,954 | 2,587 | 1,160 | 3 | 44 |
| 51 | San Diego, ${ }^{\text {calif }}$ Long Beach, Calit | 48,641 <br> 3,592 | 13,211 <br> 1,726 | 31,925 1,775 |  | 3,505 91 | 21,319 | 18,088 |  | 3,231 10,950 | 2,008 | 6,822 5,154 | 3,662 | 5,598 |  | 1,224 |
| 53 | Nashville, Tenn. | 3,592 686 |  |  |  |  | 13,029 |  | 409 | 10, 450 |  |  | 3,662 | 1,492 |  | --------- |
| 54 | Springfield, Mass. | 22,424 | 15,559 | 2,348 |  | 4,517 | 12,974 | 12,300 |  |  | 672 |  | ------- |  | ------ |  |
| 55 | Tulsa, okla. |  |  |  |  |  | 3,363 813 | 1,683 813 |  | 1,680 |  | 4,019 5,126 | 897 | 4,019 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 57 | Des Moines, I |  |  |  |  |  | 5,181 | 2,502 |  | 2,153 | 526 | 2,909 | ------- | 2,909 | ----- | ------- |
| 58 | Scranton, Pa | ,680 |  |  |  | 1,680 | 6,668 |  | 6,320 |  | 348 | 1,934 |  | 1,934 |  |  |
| 59 | Salt Lake City, U | 63,119 | 5,622 | 56,485 |  | 1,012 759 | 6,855 | 3,462 |  | 3,393 |  | 21,350 | 841 | 20,506 |  | 3 |
| 60 | Yonkers, N. Y. | 923 | 164 |  |  | 759 | 11,470 |  |  |  | ,470 | 5,931 | 185 |  |  | 5,746 |
| 61 | Paterson, N. J | 395 | 395 |  |  |  | 10,541 | 13,356 | 2 | 940 | , ${ }_{1,765}^{245}$ | 8,748 | 4,079 |  |  | 4,669 |
| 63 | ¢albany, N. Y. --- | 137 |  |  |  | 137 | 17,172 6,307 | , 363 |  | 210 | 5,734 | 6,050 | 506 | -850 |  |  |
| 64 | Norfolk, V | 1,977 |  | 364 |  | 1,613 | 1,603 | 1,150 | 55 | 398 |  | 7,598 | 306 | 7,064 | 129 | 9 |
| 65 | Trenton, N | 9,058 | 8,810 | 248 |  |  | 6,684 | 1,792 |  |  | 4,892 | 1,845 | 349 |  | 1,496 |  |
| 66 | Chattanooga, Tender | 5,308 |  |  |  | 5,308 | 1,296 |  | 1,286 |  |  | 2,974 |  | 2,390 |  | 584 |
| 67 | Kansas City, Kans.-.--1 | 513 |  |  |  | 513 | 15 |  |  |  | 15 | 1,241 | 1,241 |  |  |  |

(See text discussion, p. 83)

| $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{4}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{1}{a} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{3} \\ & \overrightarrow{0} \end{aligned}$ | CITY | hgarways |  |  |  |  | sanitation |  |  |  |  | HEALTH |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { Street } \\ \text { ond } \\ \text { side- } \\ \text { walk } \\ \text { assess- } \\ \text { ments } \end{gathered}$ | Street <br> lighting charge | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bridge } \\ & \text { and } \\ & \text { tunnel } \\ & \text { tolls } \end{aligned}$ | All other | Total | Sewage charges | Street sanitation charges | Weste collection and disposal charges | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { other } \end{gathered}$ | Total | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Vital } \\ \text { statis- } \\ \text { tics } \end{array}$ | Health inspection fees | $\begin{gathered} \text { Clinic } \\ \text { fees } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { other } \end{gathered}$ |



1) Not included in group or grand totals.
table 13.-REVENURS FROM CHARGES FOR CURRENT SERVICES, BY PRINCIPAL SERVICES: 1937—Continued
(See text discussion, p. 83)

|  | CITY | hospitals |  |  | CHARITIES |  |  |  | corrretion |  |  | Schools | Libraries |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | $\underset{\substack{\text { Hospital } \\ \text { fees }}}{ }$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { Other } \end{gathered}$ | Total | Inst1tutional recoipts | Goneral relliof | $\underset{\text { Alher }}{\text { All }}$ | Total | Institutional industry (net) | All other |  |  |
|  | Grand total $\qquad$ <br>  <br> Group II- <br> Group III- | \$10,874,768 | \$10,050,330 | \$824,438 | \$3,180,611 | \$1,029,157 | \$2,046,304 | \$105,150 | \$1,528,232 | \$175,268 | \$1,352,964 | \$10,739,741 | \$1,139,324 |
|  |  | 6,194,996 $2,334,719$ 2, | $5,455,472$ $2,350,038$ 2,298 | 739,524 29,681 55,233 | $\begin{array}{r}2,209,515 \\ 151,873 \\ \hline 029\end{array}$ | 714,018 20,489 205 | 1,448,117 | 47,380 3,863 53 | $\begin{array}{r} 1,081,631 \\ 224,177 \end{array}$ | 123,446 2,714 4, | 958,185 <br> 220,463 <br> 173,36 | $4,337,299$ $2,490,951$ 3 | 708,296 <br> 134,585 <br> 2643 |
|  |  | 2,345,053 | 2,289,820 | 55,233 | 819,223 | 294,650 | 470,666 | 53,907 | 222,424 | 49,108 | 173,316 | 3,911,491 | 296,443 |
| group i. -cities having a population of 500,000 and over |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Now York, N. Y. | \$880,575 | \$851,237 | \$29,338 | \$223, 936 | \$3,245 | \$220,691 |  | \$55,994 | -------- | \$55,994 | \$815,791 | \$4 |
| 2 | Chicago, Ill.-- | 36,561 | 36,561 |  | 768,647 | 5,318 | 751,317 | \$12,012 | 391,921 | \$48,611 | 343,310 | 732,192 | 88,180 |
| 3 | Philadolphia, Pa. | 989,872 | 977,203 | 12,669 | 20,039 | 19,909 | 130 |  | 105,172 | - | 105,172 | 60,106 | 13,214 |
| 4 | Detroit, Mich. - | 1,787,453 | 1,138,748 | 648,705 | 426,429 | 374,579 | 48,913 | 2,937 | 237,571 | 37,392 | 200,179 | 1,336,837 | 56,327 |
| 5 | Los Angeles, Calif | 237,646 | 231,817 | 5,829 | 65,430 | 45,169 | 18,048 | 2,213 | 42,921 |  | 42,921 | 143,440 | 204,382 |
| 6 | Cleveland, Ohio- | 594,652 | 590,228 | 4,424 | 138,820 | 126,567 | 87 | 12,166 | 35,719 | -------- | 35,719 | 118,384 | 71,349 |
| 7 | St. Lou1s, Mo. | 416,928 | 415,991 | 937 | 2,503 | 110 | 37 | 2,356 | 398 | -------- | 398 | 117,706 | 22,817 |
| 8 | Baltimore, Ma. | 56,676 | 56,676 |  | 1,654 | 1,654 |  |  | 9,732 | -------- | 9,732 | 70,319 | 91,931 |
| 9 | Boston, Mass.- | 365,867 | 357,474 | 8,393 | 248,236 | 26,387 | 214,287 | 7,562 | 6,789 | - | 6,789 | 350,539 | 25,045 |
| 10 | P1ttsburgh, Pa | 206,499 | 206,499 | -------- | 77,903 | 77,839 |  | 64 | 33,420 | 14,953 | 18,467 | 150,992 | 42,414 |
| 11 | San Francisco, Call | 24,733 | 24,733 |  | 16,724 | 16,724 |  |  | 90,459 |  | 90,459 | 56,395 | 20,072 |
| 12 | Washington, D. C. | 134,805 | 134,277 |  | 14,757 | 661 | 7,785 | 6,311 | 6,610 |  | 6,610 | 124,203 | 24,115 |
| 13 | M11 meukee, W1s | 209,922 | 182,735 | 27,187 | 81,229 | 8,395 | 72,328 | 506 | 35,519 | 19,186 | 16,333 | 229,790 | 29,973 |
| 14 | Buffalo, N. Y | 252,807 | 251,293 | 1,514 | 123,208 | 7,461 | 114,494 | 1,253 | 29,406 | 3,304 | 26,102 | 30,605 | 18,473 |


| 15 | M1 ineapolis, Min | \$72,669 | \$69,992 | \$2,677 | \$3,041 | \$2,916 | ---------- | \$125 | \$31,048 | -------- | \$31,048 | \$99,422 | \$22,607 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 | New Orleans, La. |  |  |  | 300 |  |  | 300 | 1,500 | -----.-- | 1,500 | 11,158 | 5,878 |
| 17 | Cincinnati, Ohio | 217,243 | 217,243 | -------- | 3,940 | 3,500 | \$440 |  | 100,581 | --------- | 100,581 | 1,063,709 | 19,618 |
| 18 | Nowark, N. J. | 600,469 | 600,469 |  | 1,182 |  |  | 1,182 | 8,059 |  | 8,059 | 78,711 | 20,148 |
| 19 | Kansas City, Mo. | 8,426 |  | 8,426 |  |  |  |  | 584 | --------- | 584 | 210,155 | 9,075 |
| 20 | Seattle, Mash.- | 99,080 | 97,064 | 2,016 | 81 | 81 |  |  | 12,323 |  | 12,323 | 99,486 | 15,914 |
| 21 | Indianapolis, Ind | -67,546 | 67,059 114,094 | 487 | 14,782 81,654 | 3,847 1,576 | 10,935 |  | 35,729 | \$2,714 | 33,015 | 115,450 104,024 | 12,020 |

table 13.-REVENUES FROM CHARGES FOR CURRENT SERVICES, BY PRINCIPAL SERVICES: 1937—Continued

|  | CITY | hospitals |  |  | Charities |  |  |  | Correction |  |  | Schools | Libraries |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Hospital fees | $\stackrel{\text { All }}{\text { Other }}$ | Total | Institutional receipts | Generel <br> relief | $\stackrel{\text { All }}{\text { other }}$ | Total | Institutional industry earnings (net) | All other |  |  |

GRoUP II.-CITLES having a Population of 300,000 to 500,000-Continued

group iti.-CITLES having a population of 100,000 to 300,000

| 27 | Columbus, ohi |  |  | --------- | \$14,444 | ------- | \$14,444 | ----- | \$43,781 | --------- | \$43,781 | \$81,698 | \$1,503 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 28 | Toledo, Ohio | \$1,828 | \$1,828 |  | , 254 | -------------- | ${ }^{1254}$ | -.-.-.-.---- | - 13,207 | - | 13,207 | 282,758 | 14,947 |
| 29 | Oakland, Calif. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 133,564 | 13,021 |
| 30 | Denver, Colo.- | 13,000 | 8,100 | \$4,900 | 29,213 | \$3,070 | 20,109 | \$6,034 | 8,348 | --------- | 8,348 | 47,898 | 16,819 |
| 31 | Atlanta, Ga. | 76,654 | 75,531 | 1,123 |  |  |  |  | 4,758 4 | ---------- | 4,758 4 | 14,996 | 12,820 |
| 32 | Dallas, Tex. | 15,168 | 10,012 | 5,156 |  | 14,170 |  |  |  |  |  | 142,015 | 5,723 |
| 33 34 | St. Paul, Minn. Birmingham, Ala |  |  |  | 14,170 |  |  |  | 49,108 | \$49,108 |  | 51,075 | 6,123 |
| 35 | Akron, ohi |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 277,669 | 7,647 |
| 36 | Memphis, Tenn | 57,278 | 55,318 | 1,960 | 329 |  |  |  | 16 | -------- | 16 | 3,272 | 8,504 |
| 37 | Providence, R. | 67,806 | 53,797 | 14,009 | 30,051 | 24,564 | 5,447 |  |  |  |  | 94,449 |  |
| 38 | San Antonio, |  |  |  |  | ----------- |  |  |  |  |  | 91,264 185,339 | 7,250 5,552 |
| 40 | Syracuse, N. | 24,163 | 24,163 | -------- | 44,564 | ---------- | 28,363 | 16,201 |  | -------- |  | 18,506 | 5,990 |
| 41 | Dayton, Ohio |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 35,599 |  | 35,599 | 153,513 | 10,060 |
| 42 | Oklahoma City, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 26,829 | 2,698 |
| 43 | Zorcester, Luss | 251,479 | 245,995 | 5,484 | 15,612 | 1,520 | 14,092 |  |  |  |  | 132,127 | 3,826 |
| 44 | Rrchmond, Va. | 30,302 | 30,802 |  | 11,496 | 11.496 |  |  | 9,266 |  | 9,266 | 50,081 | 4,383 |
| 45 | Youngstown, | 1,333 148,077 |  |  | 37 |  | 37 |  |  |  |  | \% $\begin{gathered}4,082 \\ 172,791\end{gathered}$ |  |
| 47 | Fort Worth, Tex |  |  |  | 305 |  |  | 305 |  |  |  | 116,098 | 1,382 |
| 48 | Hartford, Conn. | 19,751 | 19,751 |  | 275,984 | 126,995 | 148,989 |  |  |  |  | 6,941 |  |
| 49 | Flint, Mich. | 550,041 | 548,733 | 1,308 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 58,350 | 3,970 |
| $50$ | Now haven, co |  |  |  | 74,256 | 18,659 | 54,517 | 1,080 |  |  |  | 48,605 <br> 28 | 8,624 |



1/ Not included in group or grand totals.
table 13.-REvenues from charges for current Services, by principal services: 1937-Continued
(See text discussion, p. 83)

|  | CTTY | recramtion |  |  |  |  |  |  | miscelianeous |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Golf fees | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Auditorium } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { stadium } \\ \text { feese } \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Other admis- } \\ & \text { sion and } \\ & \text { use fees } \end{aligned}$ | Concessions | $\begin{gathered} \text { Refecto- } \\ \text { (1es } \\ (\text { net }) \end{gathered}$ | All other | Total | Warkets | Ceme - <br> teries <br> and <br> crems- <br> tories | All other |
|  | Grand tota | \$8,051,613 | \$2,380,119 | \$1,433,674 | \$3,156,940 | \$486,414 | \$123,086 | \$471,380 | \$6,019,801 | \$2,599,079 | \$886,265 | \$2,534,457 |
|  | Group II Group III- | $\begin{array}{r} 4,730,066 \\ 987,907 \\ 2,333,640 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,018,356 \\ 441,606 \\ 920,157 \end{array}$ | 690,527 239,944 503,203 | $\begin{array}{r} 2,356,930 \\ 173,289 \\ 626,721 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 290,759 \\ 71,034 \\ 124,621 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 96,570 \\ & 14,222 \\ & 12,294 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 276,924 \\ 47,812 \\ 146,644 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,140,804 \\ 601,941 \\ 1,277,056 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,628,186 \\ 465,461 \\ 505,432 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 203,141 \\ 85,835 \\ 597,289 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,309,477 \\ 50,645 \\ 174,335 \end{array}$ |
| group i. -cities having a population of 500,000 and over |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | New York, N. Y. | \$1,736,182 | \$213,686 | \$24,839 | \$1,343,523 | \$142,723 | --------- | \$11,411 | \$740,785 | \$737,596 | ---- |  |
| 2 | Chicago, ill. | 557,251 | 155,488 | 51,360 | 222,695 | 66,842 | --------- | 60,866 | 64,433 | 4,825 | --- | 59,608 |
| 3 | Philadelphia, Pa | 182,707 | 38,224 | 63,943 | 80,540 |  |  |  | 31,479 | 29,610 | --------- | 1,869 |
| 4 | Detroit, Mich. | 360,193 | 96,113 |  | 159,527 | 5,027 | \$62,667 | 36,859 | 1,756,131 | 128,633 | ------...- | 1,627,498 |
| 5 | Los Angeles, Cali | 420,501 333,488 | 101,028 | 112,375 | 171,706 38,628 7 | 21,875 |  | 13,517 | -11,872 | 142,050 | 3425 139,027 | 11,447 |
| 7 | St. Louis, Mo. | 177,634 | 30,837 | 89,496 | 7,296 | 4,873 | 15,574 | 29,558 | 101,393 | 100,831 | 139,027 | 79,562 |
| 8 | Baltimore, ma. | 130,200 | 44,434 | 6,745 | 58,600 | 11,347 | --------- | 9,074 | 189,904 | 159,288 |  | 30,616 |
| 10 | Boston, Mass.-- | 78,790 92,134 | 14,906 52,294 | 2,165 | 55,573 31,022 | 131 5,764 |  | 8,180 | 576,003 68,160 | 82,583 68,159 | 63,689 | 429,731 |
| 11 | San Francisco, Callf. | 365,371 | 104,256 | 119,360 | 127,564 |  | 7,336 | 6,855 | 2,578 |  | ---------- | 2,578 |
| 12 | Washington, D. C. | 60,700 |  |  |  | 9,768 |  | 50,932 | 48,899 | 40,598 | ---------- | 8,301 |
| 13 | Mi1 weukee, Wis.-- | 139,436 95,479 | 64,543 | 1,313 12,204 | 49,190 11,066 |  | 10,993 | 13,397 22,681 | 68,607 120,337 | 14,651 119,362 | ----------- | 53,956 975 |
| 14 | Buffalo, N. Y. | 95,479 | 40,986 | 12,204 | 11,066 | 8,542 |  | 22,681 | 120,337 | 119,362 |  | 975 |

grour Ii.-CITIES having a Population of 300,000 To 500,000

| 15 | Minneapolis, Minn. | \$237, 061 | \$205,336 | \$70,320 | 845,722 | \$1,014 | \$11,505 | \$3,164 | \$17,311 | \$10,763 | ---------- | \$6,548 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 | New Orieans, La. | 127,570 | 23,116 | 26,339 | 29,403 | 32,191 |  | 16,521 | 95,432 | 92,961 |  | 2,471 |
| 17 | Cincimati, Ohi | 73,217 | 36,371 |  | 27,813 | 8,534 |  | 499 | 48,387 | 45,974 | --------- | 2,413 |
| 18 | Newark, N. J. | 41,324 | 21,294 |  | 13,410 |  | 2,717 | 3,903 | 122,019 | 112,019 |  |  |
| 19 | Kansas City, Mo | 89,413 | 21,319 | 61,767 | 1,517 | 2,595 |  | 2,215 | 55,919 | 48,250 |  | 7,669 |
| 20 | Seattle, Wash. | 96,533 | 49,274 | 26,618 | 11,574 | 8,926 | - | 141 | 25,438 | 17,565 | \$9 | 7,864 |
| 21 | Indianapolis, In | 65,158 | 49,689 | 1,308 | 10,069 | 3,412 |  | 680 | 40,307 | 40,244 |  | 63 |
| 22 | Rochester, N. Y. | 63,050 | 28,829 | 4,963 | 9,900 | 8,235 |  | 11,123 | 125,661 | 42,506 | 82,084 | 1,071 |


| 27 | Columbus, oh |
| :---: | :---: |
| 28 | Toledo, ohio |
| 29 | Oakland, Calif |
| 30 | Denver, Colo |
|  | Atlenta, Ge |
| 32 | Dallas, Tex. |
| 33 | St. Paul, M1n |
| 34 | Birmingham, Ala. |
|  | Akron, Ohio |
| 36 | Lemphis, Tenn. |
|  | Providence, R. |
| 38 | San Antonio, Tex. |
| 39 | Omaha, Nebr. --- |
|  | Syracuse, N. |
| ${ }^{41}$ | Dayton, Ohio |
| 42 | Oklahome City, Ox |
| 43 | Horcester, Mass |
|  | R1chmond, Va. |
| 45 | Youngstow, ohi |
|  | Grend Rapids, Mic |
|  | Fort Worth, Tox |
|  | Hartfora, Conn. |
| 49 | F1int, Mich.--- |
| 50 | New Haven, Conn |
|  | San Diego, Calif. |
|  | Long Beach, Calif |
| 53 | Nashville, Tenn |
| 54 | Springield, Mass |
| 55 | Tulsa, okla. |
| 56 | Bridgeport, Conn. |
| 57 | Des Moines, Lowe |
| 58 | Scranton, Pa.-- |
| 59 | Salt Lake City, Utah |
| 60 | Yonkers, N . |
| 61 | Patgrson, N. J. |
| 62 | Jacksonville, F18 |
| $63$ | Hlbany N |
| 64 | Norfolk, Va .- |
| $\begin{aligned} & 65 \\ & 66 \end{aligned}$ | Trenton, N. J. Chattanooga, Te |


| 5,498 |  | $-\cdots \cdots \cdots-1$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 60,455 | 379 |  |
| 57,881 | 37,285 | 11,665 |
| 71,147 | 30,343 | 7,872 |
| 38,750 | 28,453 |  |


| 3,723 |  |
| ---: | ---: |
| 3,202 |  |
| 16,956 | $-\cdots-\cdots-\cdots$ |
| $\cdots----$ | 9, |
|  | 3,720 |


1,136
3,204
10
216

|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 56,491 | 55,179 |  | 1,312 |
| 13,118 |  | 2,590 | 10,528 |
| 11,858 |  | 1,152 | 10,706 |

GROUP III.-CITties having a population of 100,000 to 300,000
$1,22,158$
$\$ 29,194$
120,756
61,182
93,786
119,130
128,746
70,502
15,146
94,639
39,906
45,429
22,889
29,926
64,206
17,363
35,456
4,994
39,414
74,256
48,986
47,724
32,892
49,883
79,449
96,479
28,074
48,904
19,680
42,171
35,820
5,880
65,379
2,786
1,496
31
$-$

| \$8,056 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 22,205 | \$15,540 |
| 24,911 | 37,987 |
| 45,182 | 12,795 |
| 34,700 | 658 |
| ,534 | 375 |
|  | 102,964 |
| 6,614 | 29,091 |
| 12,132 |  |
|  | 23,568 |
| ,792 | 3,008 |
| 12,184 | 8,511 |
| 4,136 | 22,156 |
| 41,730 |  |
| 6,729 | 21,077 |
| 227 |  |
| 17,297 | 53,859 |
| 15,617 | 8,357 |
| 29,294 |  |
| 22,542 26,656 | 8,533 |
| , 640 |  |
| 48,908 | 25,130 |
| 12,297 <br> 38,786 |  |
| 3,193 |  |
| 22,688 | 4,784 |
| 13,730 | 246 |
| 891 |  |
| 14,669 | 3,899 |
| 13,55c |  |
| 13,222 | 3,055 |
| 3,831 | 12,0 |







|  | CITY | racreation |  |  |  |  |  |  | mTSCEILANEOUS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Golf fees | $\begin{gathered} \text { Auditorium } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { stadium } \\ \text { fees } \end{gathered}$ | Other admission and use fees | Concessions | $\begin{gathered} \text { Re fecto- } \begin{array}{c} \text { ries } \\ \text { (net) } \end{array} \end{gathered}$ | All other | Total | Markets | Ceme - <br> teries <br> and <br> crema- <br> tories | All other |

group iil. -CITtes having a population of 100,000 to 300,000-Continued


SECTION B. COST PAYMENTS (Tables 14 to 2l, inclusive)
For the 94 cities cost payments for general government ${ }^{1}$ in 1937 were approximately $\$ 87,700,000$ less than the total revenues reported in the preceding section. These cost payments were, however, $\$ 238,000,000$ higher than similar expenditures in 1936.

Cost payments comprise expenditures for services employed, properties constructed, purchased, or rented, public improvements constructed or otherwise acquired, materials utilized, and interest on borrowed money, which are incurred in performing those services and activities for which these local governments have authority. Like the revenue classification, the cost payment classification has been revised for purposes of compiling and presenting the financial data for 1937. In order to facilitate the use of this volume, figure 2 is presented on the following pages to show the changes that have been made in the 1937 cost payment classification as compared with that for 1936.

DEFINITIONS. - At the close of this volume (see pages 323-327) may be found definitions of the terms used in the report.

Cost payments are separable into three principal classes-operation and maintenance of general government, interest charges on account of general municipal debt obligations, and outlays for permanent acquisitions or improvements of general government. ${ }^{2}$ During 1937, expenditures of $\$ 2,025,052,436$ were made for operation and maintenance, $\$ 222,582,157$ for interest, and $\$ 372,922,543$ for capital outlays. The total cost payments were $\$ 2,620,557,136$.

TABLE 14
The cost of general government in the 94 cities during 1937 is summarized in table 14 by the three major classifications. Expenditures for operation and maintenance accounted for 77.3 percent of the total; outlays for 14.2 percent; and interest, 8.5 percent.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE.-The cost of operating and maintaining general government in the 94 cities during 1937 was the highest on record, as may be seen from the statement on the right.

The most conspicuous factor in this increase has been the growth of expenditures for charities

| 1926 | $\$ 1,389,000,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| 1928 | $1,575,000,000$ |
| 1930 | $1,737,000,000$ |
| 1932 | $1,806,000,000$ |
| 1934 | $1,745,000,000$ |
| 1936 | $1,848,000,000$ |
| 1937 | $2,025,000,000$ | and associated welfare and relief activities, which rose in 1937 to a level higher than the combined cost of general government for administrative, legislative, and judicial purposes and for highway construction purposes. The tremendous increase since the pre-depression period in the expenditures of these cities for charities and reliel is indicated by the accompanying statement.


| 1926 | $\$ 43,507,000$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| 1928 | $55,788,000$ |
| 1930 | $67,524,000$ |
| 1932 | $176,693,000$ |
| 1934 | $287,972,000$ |
| 1936 | $281,242,000$ |
| 1937 | $332,083,000$ |

From the foregoing it may be seen that 92 percent of the $\$ 288,000,000$ increase in all operation and maintenance costs of general government in the 94 cities during 1937 as compared with 1930 is accounted for by an increase of $\$ 265,000,000$ in expenditures for charities and associated welfare and relief activities.
INTEREST. - Interest payments of the 94 cities in 1937 totaled $\$ 222,582,157$, all-except Washington, D. C., which lacks statutory authority to incur in-debtedness-reporting expenditures under this classification. Owing to the fact that prior to 1936 interest payments on bonded indebtedness were not reported separately for general government purposes and public-service enterprises, it is not possible to present a trend comparison. Interest payments for general governmental purposes in 1937 were approximately $\$ 12,000,000$ less than the amount reported for 1936, but it is difficult to determine what proportion of this reduction reflects q decrease in outstanding indebtedness of certain reporting cities or how much could be attributed to the fact that some of the cities were successful in refunding high interest-bearing indebtedness during a period of comparatively low interest rates.

1/ For public-service enterprises see part III.
2/ Payments of the principal of debt are ordinarily considered by a city as an expenditure. Outlay payments, made fram the funds received when the debt was incurred, are also considered by the city as an expenditure. Only one of these payments, however, is a cost. The Bureau includes as such the payment for the outlay at the time it is made rather than the payment to retire the principal of the debt.

FIGURE 2.-CHART COMPARING COST PAYMENT CLASSIFICATIONS FOR 1937 AND FOR 1936 NOTE: Numbers following names of functions and activities show corresponding classification in the other year.

Cost Payments 1937
1 General administrative, legislative, and judicial 1, 24, 264, 72, 82, 92, 94, 95 11 Control 11, 121, 1241, 13 111 Legislative 11

1111 Municipal council or commission 11
1112 Legislative committees and special bodies 11
1113 Clerk of council 11
1114 Ordinances and proceedings 11
112 Executive 121, 1241
1121 Mayor 1211
1122 Manager 1241
1123 Boards and commissions 1212 113 Judicial 13

1131 Criminal courts 13 11311 Felonies 132 11312 Misdemeanors 131 113121 Traf fic 131 113122 All other 131
1132 Civil courts 131,132 11321 Chancery 132 11322 Probate 132 11323 Law 131, 132

113231 Major claims 132
113232 Small claims 131, 132
1133 Domestic relations courts 132 11331 Juvenile 132 11332 All other 132 1134 Medical and social service 132
1135 Undistributed and all other 132
12 Staff agencies 122, 123, 1242-1246, 13, 14, 15, 24, 264, 72, 82, 92, 94, 95 121 Elections 14

1211 Supervision 14
1212 Registration 14
1213 Privary elections 14
1214 General elections 14
1215 Special elections 14 122 Finance 122, 92

1221 Supervision ${ }^{\text {a }}$
1222 Accounting and internal auditing 1221
1223 Independent accounting and auditing 1222
1224 Budgeting 1226
1225 Assessment and levy of taxes 1224
1226 Collection, custody, and disbursement of funds 1223
1227 Licensing l223, 1224 1228 Purchasing and custody ; of supplies 1225

Governmental-Cost Payments 1936
1 General government 11, 121, 1.22, 1231, 1232, 1234, 1241, 1243, 1244,125, 126, 1271, 128, 129, 12913
11 Legislative 111
12 Executive 112, 122, 1231., 1241, 1243, 1244, 125, 126, 1271, 128, 129B
121 Chief executive l:21, 1123
1211 Mayor 1121
1212 Executive boards and commissions 1123
122 Finance 122, 1282
1221 Auditor or comptroller 1222
1222 Special accounting and auditing 1223
1223 Treasurer and collector of revenue 1226, 12:27, 1229
1224 Assessment and levy of revenue 1225, 1227
1225 Purchasing officer 1228
1226 All other 1224, 1229, 1282
123 Law 1231
124 General executive 1122, 1241, 1243, 1244, 125, 126, 1271, 128, 129B
1241 City manager 1122 1242 City clerk 1241, 1243, 1244
1243 City engineer and public works and service 1251
1244 Civil service 1271
1245 City planning 126 1246 All other 1252, l29B, 128 dicial 113, 1232, 1234 131 General municipal courts 11312, 113232, 1232, 1234 132 All other 11311, 1132-1135, 246, 1232, 1234
14 Elections 121
15 General government buildings 129

FIGURE 2.-CHART COMPARING COST PAYMENT CLASSIFICATIONS FOR 1937 AND FOR 1936-Continued
(See note at head of figure)


2 Protection to person and property 1242, $1282,21,22,23,241,242,244,245$, 247, 248, 7323
21 Police departnent 2ll-216, 218-219A6 22 Fire department 22

221 General expenditures 221-224, 226-228
222 Water service 225
23 Militia and armories 241
24 Register of deeds and mortgages 1242

FIGURE 2.-CHART COMPARING COST PAYMENT CLASSIFICATIONS FOR 1937 AND FOR 1936-Continued

## (See note at head of figure)

2 Public safety 132, 21-23, 25, 26, $312^{c}$-Continued
21 Police department 21, 253, 264, 312-Continued
217 Motor vehicle inspection 253 218 Criminal investigation 21 219 Uniformed patrol 21

219Al Vice and moral control 21, 264
219 A 2 Crime prevention, juvenile 21
219A3 Traffic control 21, 312
$219 A 4$ Special detail services 21
219A5 All other 21 219A6 Undistributed 21
22 Fire department 22, 253, 264
221 Supervision 221
222 Training schools 221
223 Communication system 221
224 Fire prevention 221, 253, 264
225 Hydrant and water service 222 226 Fire-fighting force 221 2261 Engine service 221 2262 Truck service 221 2263 Fireboat service 221 2264 Salvage service 221 2265 Volunteer service 221 2266 Rescue squads 221
227 All other 221
228 Undistributed 221
23 Protective inspection 25
231 Supervision ${ }^{\text {a }}$
232 Building inspection 251
233 Plumbing inspection 251
234 Electrical inspection 251
235 Gas inspection 253
236 Boiler inspection 253
237 Elevator inspection 253
238 Weights and measures 252
239 All other 253
24 Other protection 132, 23, $26^{\text {c }}$
241 Militia and armories 23
242 Examination of engineers and plumbers 262
243 Scales ${ }^{\text {d }}$
244 Protection to animals 261, 263 245 Morgue 264
246 Investigation of causes of death 132
247 Flood control 264
248 All other 264
3 Highways $3^{c}$
31 Supervision ${ }^{\text {a }}$
32 Roadways 31, 32
321 Paved streets 311
322 Unpaved streets 311
323 Alleys 311
324 Sidewalks and crosswalks 311 325 Culverts 311
326 Snow and ice removal 32
327 Undistributed 31
33 Street lighting 33
34 Bridges and viaducts, and grade
separations $311^{\circ}$
35 Tunnels $311^{\circ}$
36 Waterways 34
37 All other 312

2 Protection to person and property 1242, 1282, 21, 22, 23, 241, 242, 244, 245, 247, 248, 7323-Continued
25 Inspection service 217, 224, 23 251 Building, plumbing, wiring, and boiler 232-234, 236 252 Weights and measures 238 253 All other 235, 237, 239, 224, 217
26 Other protection to person and property 1282, 224, 7323, 242, 244, 245, 247, 248
261 Pounds 244
262 Examining engineers and plumbers 242
263 Humane societies 244 264 All other 245, 247, 248, 1282, 224, 7323

3 Highways 3, 219A3
31 Roadways 321-325, 327, 34, 35, 37, 219A3
311 Streets 321-325, 37, 34, 35, 327
312 All other 327, 37, 219A3
32 Snow and ice removal $321^{\circ}$
33 Street lighting 33
34 Waterways 36

FIGURE 2.-CHART COMPARING COST PAYMENT CLASSIFICATIONS FOR 1937 AND
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FIGURE 2.-CHART COMPARING COST PAYMENT CLASSIFICATIONS FOR 1937 AND
FOR 1936-Continued

## (See note at head of figure)

7 Charities 264, 61, 62, 94-Continued
72 Municipal institutional care 6222, 6242, 6243, 623-Continued 722 Dependent and neglected children 623
73 Other institutional and noninstitutional care 264, 6221, 623, 624, 94
731 Public assistance 6221, 623, 624, 94
7311 Administration 6221 7312 General relief 62212 7313 Old-age assistance 62211
7314 Aid to dependent children 6241, 623 7315 Aid to blind 6243 7316 Veterans' aid 6242 7317 All other 62212, 6243, 94
732 Welfare service 264, 62212, 623, 6243
7321 Regulation of foster or boarding homes 623
7322 Legal aid 6243 7323 Employment agencies 264
7324 All other 62212, 6243 74 All other 6243, 94
8 Correction 61, 64
81 Supervision $61^{1}$
82 Municipal correction institutions 64
821 Adults 641
8211 Men 641
8212 Women 641
822 Minors 642
83 Institutional industry activities (net) 641
84 Delinquents in other institutions 64
841 Adults 641
8411 Men 641
8412 Women 641
842 Minors 642
85 Probation and parole 643
9 Schools 71
10 Libraries 72
101 Supervision 72
102 Accessions 72
103 Library services 72
10A Recreation 712, 8, $94^{\mathrm{c}}$
loAl Supervision 81, 82, 83 10A2 Cultural-scientific recreation 81, 82
10 A2l Art galleries 81 10A21 Art galleri
lof 22 Museums 81
10 A 23 Zoos , aquariums, and botanical gardens 81 10 A24 Community music, drama, and celebrations 82 10A25 All other 81, 82
10A3 Organized recreation 712, 82 10A31 Administration 82 10A32 Outdoor play areas and activities 712, 82 10A321 Playgrounds 712, 82 104322 Golf 82 10A323 All other 82

6 Charities, hospitals, and corrections $56,59,61,62,632,633,642,643$, 71, 721, 722, 731, 7321, 7322, 7324, 74-Continued
63 Hospitals 62, 632, 633, 542, 643Continued
632 Special 632, 633, 542, 643
64 Corrections 82-85
641 Adults 821, 83, 841
642 For minors 822, 842
643 Probation boards and
officers 85

7 Education 9, 10, 10A321, 10A33, 1281
71 Schools 9
711 Instruction 9
712 All other 9, 10A321, 10A33
72 Libraries 10, 1281
8 Recreation 10Al-10A4, 10A54, 10A55, 129 A 2
81 Educational 10A1, 10A21-10A23, 10 A 25
82 General 10Al, $10 \mathrm{~A} 24,10 \mathrm{~A} 25,10 \mathrm{~A} 3$, 10A54, 10A55, 129 A 2
83 Parks and trees 10A4, 10A54, 10A55

FIGURE 2.-CHART COMPARING COST PAYMENT CLASSIFICATIONS FOR 1937 AND FOR 1936-Continued

## (See note at head of figure)

10A Recreation 712, 8, $94^{\mathrm{c}}$-Continued
10A3 Organized recreation 712, 82-Con.
10 A33 Recreation buildings and indoor activities 712, 82
10A34 All other 82
10A4 Municipal parks 83
10A41 Administration 83
l0A42 Park areas 83
l0A43 Parkways and boulevards 83
10A44 Nurseries and forestry 83
10445 Street trees and other plantings 83
10A46 Park policing 83
l0A47 Park lighting 83 10 A48 All other 83
10A5 Special recreation facilities 82, 83, $94^{\mathrm{c}}$
10A51 Auditoriums and stadiums.
10452 Auto and trailer camps 94
10A53 Recreation piers and yacht harbors 82
10A54 Refectories (net) 82, 83 10A55 All other 82, 83
IOB Miscellaneous 91, 93, 94, 95 ${ }^{\text {c }}$
10B1 Judgments and losses -not
allocated 93
10B2 Pensions and gratuities on
account of service 91
10B21 Policemen 911
lOB22 Firemen 912
10B23 School teachers 913 10B24 All other 914
10B3 Compensation for employee injury 95
10B31 Noninsurance compensa tion-not allocated 95 10B32 Compensation insurance premiums-not allocated 95

9 Miscellaneous lOBl-10B4, lOB8, 1243, 1244, 1272, 1282, 129A, 7317, 74 91 Pensions and gratuities to former employees 10B2
911 Policemen 10B21
912 Firemen 10B22 913 School teachers 10B23 914 All other 10B24
92 Administration of public trust
funds and investments 1229A, 1272
93 Judgments and losses 10Bl
94 Unclassified 10B8, $1282,129 \mathrm{~A}$, 7317, 74
95 Undistributed lOB3, 10B4, $10 B 8$ 1243, 1244

10B4 Municipal service enterprisesnot allocated 95
10B5 Markets and warehouses ${ }^{\text {d }}$
lob6 Cemeteries and crematories ${ }^{\text {d }}$ 10B7 Contributions to public-service enterprises $B$
10B8 All other 94, 95
a/ Distributed throughout the items of the corresponding group in 1936.
b/ Distributed to participating departments in 1936.
c/ Includes items classified in 1936 as public-service enterprises. These items are indicated by a footnote.
d/ Classified as a public-service enterprise in 1936.
e/ Part of this item was included under public-service enterprises in 1936.
e/ Part of this item was included under public-serfice
g/ In 1937 the transactions of public-service enterprises are reported separately from general government transactions. Item loB8 consists of cash contributions by the city, plus certain balancing items. In 1936, item 10 included all cost payments of public-service enterprises.

OUTLAYS.-Expenditures of the 94 cities for capital improvements in 1937 totaled $\$ 372,922,543$, more than half of which was for highways and schools. The accompanying comparisons are presented to show the sharp fluctuations in capital outlays for general government in recent years. The figures are not strictly comparable because of obstacles encountered in eliminating all outlays for public-service

| 1926 | $\$ 666,000,000$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| 1928 | $754,000,000$ |
| 1930 | $748,000,000$ |
| 1932 | $557,000,000$ |
| 1934 | $250,000,000$ |
| 1936 | $300,000,000$ |
| 1937 | $373,000,000$ | enterprises from the totals.

Although the volume of capital outlays for general governmental purposes shows an increasing trend, it has not, despite the stimulation afforded by Federal loans and grants for public works and improvements, approached its predepression level. An important part of the Federal public works plogram has embraced public-service enterprises, and the costs of these enterprises are eliminated from the foregoing figures so far as possible.

The figures include for each independent, overlapping governmental unit a percent of its transactions. The reason for this inclusion has been given in part I above. For the percent used in each case, see the second column of table 3.

TABLE 15
Per capita cost payments of the 94 cities in 1937 for operation and maintenance and for interest are shown in table 15. The percent distribution of expenditures under the three major classifications of operation and maintenance, interest, and outlays is also show. Per capita figures for outlays are omitted as affording no useful comparisons, since they are a nonrecurring cost.

PER CAPITA COST PAYMENSS. - Per capita cost payments for operation and maintenance and for interest averaged $\$ 59.66$ for the 94 cities included in this study, the highest average being reported for the cities in group I. Individual averages ranged from $\$ 91.37$ for New York to $\$ 21.83$ for El Paso. Generally speaking, cities of larger population show comparatively higher per capita cost payments, although striking variations may be introduced because of special factors other than population influencing the level of local expenditures.

Per capita costs for interest appear to have no close relation to the population factor, as they do in the case of the cost for operation and maintienance. The cities in group II had an average interest cost higher than those in group I. The highest per capita cost for interest was that of $\$ 15.03$ reported for Miami, while the lowest was $\$ 1.13$ reported for Fort Nayne.

PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF COST PAYMENSS.-As previously indicated, expenditures for operation and maintenance accounted for 77.3 percent of cost payments for general government in 1937, followed by 14.2 percent for capital improvements and 8.5 percent for interest. Operation and maintenance constituted 93.5 percent of total general governmental expenditures for Lowell, Mass., as compared with the lowest of 54.9 percent reported by Fort Worth.

The expenditures for capital improvements also present striking variations from the over-all average reported for the 94 cities. For example, Fort Wurth reported capital outlays amounting to 32.8 percent of the total cost of general government, the larger part of which was for an extensive school building program. In contrast, the city of Flint reported only 0.6 of 1 percent of total general governmental costs as capital outlays.

Interest payments accounted for more than one-fifth of total general govermmental costs in the following cities: Knoxville, 22 percent; Miami, 21.9 percent; and Norfolk, 21.5 percent. Cities reporting a considerably lower than average ratio of interest payments to total general costs were, in percentages, Worcester, 2.1 percent; Somerville, 2.9 percent; and Lowell, 3.3 percent.

TABLE 14.-SUMMARY OF COST PAYMENTS, BY CHARACTER AND BY DIVISIONS OF MUNICIPAL GOVERNMENT: 1937
(For number of funds reported and for percent of independent divisions included, see first two columns of table 3)


GROUP I. -CITIES HAVING A POPULATION OF 500,000 AND OVER

| 1 | New York, N. | \$781,323,581 | \$653,674,727 | \$610,260,559 | \$43,414,168 | \$127,648,854 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | City corporation | 760,444,845 | 650,743,531 | 608,978,724 | 41,764,807 | 109,701,314 |
|  | Bridge, parking and tunnel districts-- | 20,878,736 | 2,931,196 | 1,281,835 | 1,649,361 | 17,947,540 |
| 2 | Chicago, | 238,618,002 | 200,596,317 | 172,960,618 | 27,635,699 | 38,021,685 |
|  | City corpors | 116,481, 256 | 108,382,201 | 99,270,636 | 9,111,565 | 8,099,055 |
|  | County-- | 22,524,470 | 17,190,459 | 15,096,015 | 2,094,444 | 5,334,011 |
|  | School distri | 55,854,350 | 52,070,743 | 45,731,760 | 6,338,983 | 3,783,607 |
|  | Park distric | 21,768,980 | 14,244,937 | 9,407,881 | 4,837,056 | 7,524,043 |
|  | Sanitary distric | 20,423,456 | 7,485,731 | 2,658,496 | 4,827,235 | 12,937,725 |
|  | Forest preserve district- | 1,565,490 | 1,222,246 | 795,830 | 426,416 | 343,244 |
| 3 | Philadelphia, P | 113,952,968 | 99,435,671 | 82,190,756 | 17,244,915 | 14,517,297 |
|  | City corporati | 70,014,036 | 67,223,666 | 52,490,526 | 14,733,140 | 2,790,370 |
|  | School distric | 43,647,797 | 31,920,870 | 29,410,439 | 2,510,431 | 11,726,927 |
|  | Poor district | 291,135 | 291,135 | 289,791 | 1,344 |  |
| 4 | Detroit, Mich | 109,938,936 | 98,284,871 | 84,127,761 | 14,157,110 | 11,654,065 |
|  | City corpora | 92,803,455 | 83,141,526 | 69,329,215 | 13,812,311 | 9,661,929 |
|  | County---- | 17,135,481 | 15,143,345 | 14,798,546 | 344,799 | 1,992,136 |
| 5 | Los Angeles, Calif | 112,850,030 | 87,333,266 | 81,329,374 | 6,003,892 | 25,516,764 |
|  | City corporation | 34,690,409 | 30,213, 971 | 28,387,675 | 1,826,296 | 4,476,438 |
|  | County-------- | 32,979,518 | 27,160,308 | 25,979,586 | 1,180,722 | 5,819,210 |
|  | School district | 45,180,103 | 29,958, 987 | 26,962,113 | 2,996,874 | 15,221,116 |
| 6 | Cleveland, Oh | 60,290,323 | 53,990,696 | 48,267,544 | 5,723,152 | 6,299,627 |
|  | City corpore | 29,747,439 | 24,310,881 | 20,692,340 | 3,618,541 | 5,436,558 |
|  | County- | 12,036,953 | 11,697,439 | 10,332,144 | 1,365,295 | 339,514 |
|  | School distric | 18,244,806 | 17,779,793 | 17,040,477 | 739,316 | 465,013 |
|  | Park district | 261,125 | 202,583 | 202,583 |  | 58,542 |
| 7 | St. Louis, Mo. | 46,819,311 | 37,830,241 | 34,619,539 | 3,210,702 | 8,989,070 |
|  | City corporation---- | 35,032,216 | 26,888,421 | 23,808,129 | 3,080,292 | 8,143,795 |
|  | School district | 11,787,095 | 10,941,820. | 10,811,410 | 130,410 | 845,275 |
| 8 | Baltimore, | 48,820,663 | 43,708,876 | 38,296,529 | 5,412,347 | 5,111,787 |
|  | Boston, Mass. | 73,869,173 | 68,384,828 | 63,972,224 | 4,412,604 | 5,484,345 |
| 10 | Pittsburgh, Pa. | 54,498,829 | 44,489,718 | 38,623,016 | 5,866,702 | 10,009,111 |
|  | City corpora | 21,397,872 | 17,538,140 | 15,413,473 | 2,124,667 | 3,859,732 |
|  | County- | 16,348,045 | 12,879,483 | 10,009,202 | 2,870,281 | 3,468,562 |
|  | School district- | 16,752,912 | 14,072,095 | 13,200,341 | 871,754 | 2,680,817 |
| 11 | San Francisco, Calif | 43,977,234 | 38,691,981 | 36,200,187 | 2,491,794 | 5,285,253 |
|  | Washington, D. | 43,567,773 | 36,642,265 | 36,642,265 |  | 6,925,508 |
| 13 | Milwaukee, Wis. | 41,229,439 | 38,017,229 | 35,553,829 | 2,463,400 | 3,212,210 |
|  | City corpor | 27,254,212 | 24,607,334 | 23,290,568 | 1,316,766 | 2,646,878 |
|  | County----- | 11,862,236 | 11,641,692 | 11,288,095 | 353,597 | 220,544 |
|  | Sewerage district-- | 2,112,991 | 1,768, 203 | 975,166 | 793,037 | 344,788 |
| 14 | Buffalo, N. Y.- | 54,572,974 | 45,093,681 | 39,884,906 | 5,208,775 | 9,479,293 |
|  | City corporation | 39,912,967 | 37,253,672 | 33,249,090 | 4,004,582 | 2,659,295 |
|  | County--------------- | 8,594,111 | 7,582,223 | 6,635,816 | 946,407 | 1,011,888 |
|  | Sewer district------- | 6,065,896 | 257,786 |  | 257,786 | 5,808,110 |

TABLE 14. - SUMMARY OF COST PAYMENTS, BY CHARACTER AND BY DIVISIONS OF MUNICIPAL GOVERNMENT: 1937-Continued
(See note at head of table)

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { H } \\ & \text { o } \\ & \text { 目 } \\ & \text { } \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{0} \end{aligned}$ | CITY | Total cost payments | OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AND INTEREST |  |  | Outlays |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Total | Operation and maintenance | Interest |  |
|  |  |  |  | (Table 16) | (Table 19) | (Table 20) |

GROUP III. -CITTES HAVING A POPULATION OF 100,000 T0 300,000-Continued


TABLE 14.-SUMMARY OF COST PAYMENTS, BY CHARACTER AND BY DIVISIONS OF MUNICIPAL GOVERNMENT: 1937-Continued
(See note at head of table)

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 耑 } \\ & \text { 目 } \\ & \stackrel{~}{\mathbf{~}} \end{aligned}$ | CITY | Total cost payments | OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AND INTEREST |  |  | Outlays |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Total | Operation and maintenance (Table 16) | Interest |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | (Table 19) | (Table 20) |

GROUP II.-CITIES HAVING A POPULATION OF 300,000 TO 500,000

| 15 | Minneapolis, Minn. | \$35,997,907 | \$30,817,552 | \$28,310,821 | \$2,506,731 | \$5,180,355 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | City corporation-- | 30,369,941 | 25,247,222 | 22,856,319 | 2,390,903 | 5,122,719 |
|  | County----------- | 5,627,966 | 5,570,330 | 5,454,502 | 115,828 | 57,636 |
| 16 | New Orleans, La | 22,509,390 | 18,801,979 | 15,128,484 | 3,673,495 | 3,707,411 |
|  | City corporat | 15,074,630 | 12,589,802 | 10,236,126 | 2,353,676 | 2,484,828 |
|  | School distric | 4,248,436 | 4,200,376 | 3,884,127 | 316,249 | 48,060 |
|  | Levee district | 3,186,324 | 2,011,801 | 1,008,231 | 1,003,570 | 1,174,523 |
| 17 | Cincinnati, Ohio | 31,347,712 | 27,332,465 | 23, 951,966 | 3,380,499 | 4,015,247 |
|  | City corporat | 17,372,467 | 14,217,488 | 11,939,764 | 2,277,724 | 3,154,979 |
|  | County--- | 7,203,695 | 6,505,670 | 5,971,718 | 533,952 | 698,025 |
|  | School dist | 6,725,338 | 6,582,355 | 6,013,532 | 568,823 | 142,983 |
|  | Park district | 46,212 | 26,952 | 26,952 |  | 19,260 |
| 18 | Newark, N. J.---------- | 42,664,300 | 40,703,185 | 35,161,513 | 5,541,672 | 1,961,115 |
|  | City corporation---- | 35,877,576 | 34,968,029 | 30,420,980 | 4,547,049 | 909,547 |
|  | County----- | 6,786,724 | 5,735,156 | 4,740,533 | 994,623 | 1,051,568 |
| 19 | Kansas City, Mo. | 24,116,677 | 18,416,324 | 15,634,623 | 2,781,701 | 5,700,353 |
|  | City corporati | 13,167,219 | 8,196,020 | 7,200,568 | 995,452 | 4,971,199 |
|  | County- | 3,735,850 | 3,648,590 | 2,775,145 | 873,445 | 87,260 |
|  | School district | 7,213,608 | 6,571,714 | 5,658,910 | 912,804 | 641,894 |
| 20 | Seattle, Wash. | 20,138,602 | 18,763,962 | 17,100,749 | 1,663,213 | 1,374,640 |
|  | City corpore | 9,323,351 | 8,454,546 | 7,673,198 | 781,348 | 868,805 |
|  | County-------- | 4,582,249 | 4,123,970 | 3,698,676 | 425,294 | 458,279 |
|  | School district | 6,233,002 | 6,185,446 | 5,728,875 | 456,571 | 47,556 |
| 21 |  | 17,112,479 | 16,049,167 | 14,723,559 | 1,325,608 | 1,063,312 |
|  | City corporation | 7,380,089 | 6,842,652 | 6,200,275 | 642,327 | $537,437$ |
|  | County--- | 3,474,031 | 3,446,644 | 3,205,188 | 241,456 | $27,387$ |
|  | School distr | 6,258,369 | 5,759,871 | 5,318,096 | 441,775 | 498,488 |
| 22 |  | 31,137,162 | 28,024,644 | 25,365,216 | 2,659,428 |  |
|  | City corporation----- | 25,662,491 | 23,472,868 | 21, 252,356 | 2,220,512 | $2,189,623$ |
|  | County---------------- | 5,474,671 | 4,551,776 | 4,112,860 | 438,916 | 922,895 |
| 23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | City corporation | $23,741,022$ | $20,695,881$ | $17,972,122$ | $2,723,759$ | $3,045,141$ |
|  | County---------- | 6,168,707 | 5,452,059 | 4,821,737 | 630,322 | 716,648 |
| 24 | Houston, Tex. | 17,652,873 | 12,726,033 | 10,651,697 | 2,074,336 | 4,926,840 |
|  | City corpore | 8,494,812 | 5,666,532 | 4,487,231 | 1,179,301 | 2,828,280 |
|  | County--- | 2,999,920 | 1,942,090 | 1,573,291 | 368,799 | 1,057,830 |
|  | School district | 5,543,770 | 4,503,040 | 3,989,404 | 513,636 | 1,040,730 |
|  | Navigation district- | 599,274 | 599,274 | 599,274 | ------------ | ------------ |
|  | Drainage district- | 15,097 | 15,097 | 2,497 | 12,600 |  |
| 25 | Louisville, Ky. | 14,631,743 | 12,371,827 | 10,728,020 | 1,643,807 | 2,259,916 |
|  | City corporation--- | 13,112,565 | 11,081,353 | 9,438,340 | 1,643,013 | 2,031,212 |
|  | County | 1,519,178 | 1,290,474 | 1,289,680 | 794 | 228,704 |
| 26 | Portland, Oreg.--------- | 14,916,726 | 14,294,871 | 12,619,439 | 1,675,432 | 621,855 |
|  | City corporatio | 6,797,450 | 6,371,631 | 5,581,005 | 790,626 | 425,819 |
|  | County--- | 3,490,533 | 3,297,700 | 2,735,768 | 561,932 | 192,833 |
|  | School district | 4,235,649 | 4,232,446 | 3,909,572 | 322,874 | 3,203 |
|  | Port district--..-- | 393,094 | 393,094 | 393,094 | -~---------- |  |

GROUP III. -CITIES HAVING A POPULATION OF 100,000 TO 300,000

| 27 | Columbus, Ohio- | \$11,417,260 | \$9,428,925 | \$7,953,936 | \$1,474,989 | \$1,988,335 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | City corporatio | 7,288,587 | 5,311,226 | 4,263,063 | 1,048,163 | 1,977,361 |
|  | School district | 4,128,673 | 4,117,699 | 3,690,873 | 426,826 | 10,974 |
| 28 | Toledo, Ohio | 12,780,534 | 11,234,502 | 9,539,825 | 1,694,677 | 1,546,032 |
|  | City corporation- | 6,872,853 | 6,216,194 | 5,130,799 | 1,085,395 | 656,659 |
|  | School district-- | 5,907,681 | 5,018,308 | 4,409,026 | 609,282 | 889,373 |

TABLE 14. - SUMMARY OF COST PAYMENTS, BY CHARACTER AND BY DIVISIONS OF MUNICIPAL GOVERNMENT: 1937-Continued


GROUP III.-CITIES HAVING A POPULATION OF 100,000 TO 300,000-Contimued

| 51 | San Diego, Calif.------- | \$7,035,442 | \$6,561,719 | \$6,252,806 | \$308,913 | \$473,723 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | City corporati | 3,688,549 | 3,344,667 | 3,233,227 | 111,440 | 343,882 |
|  | School district- | 3,346,893 | 3,217,052 | 3,019,579 | 197,473 | 129,841 |
| 52 | Long Beach, Callf. | 9,223,943 | 7,103,870 | 6,523,438 | 580,432 | 2,120,073 |
|  | City corporation----- | 4,607,677 | 3,938,031 | 3,757,201 | 180,830 | 669,646 |
|  | School districts-- | 4,616,266 | 3,165,839 | 2,766,237 | 399,602 | 1.,450,427 |
| 53 | Nashville, Tenn. | 5,503,953 | 4,436,355 | 3,871,211 | 565,144 | 1,067,598 |
| 54 | Springfield, Mass. | 10,708,055 | 9,619,662 | 9,268,627 | 351,035 | 1,088,393 |
| 55 | Tulsa, okla.----------- | 4,910,636 | 4,210,971 | 3,515,740 | 695,231 | 699,665 |
|  | City corporation---- | 2,177,910 | 2,024,932 | 1,551,690 | 473,242 | 152,978 |
|  | School district- | 2,732,726 | 2,186,039 | 1,964,050 | 221,989 | 546,687 |
| 56 | Bridgeport, Conn. ------- | 7,527,555 | 7,309,575 | 6,652,374 | 657,201 | 217,980 |
| 57 | Des Moines, Iowa | 5,747,228 | 5,054,421 | 4,498,399 | 556,022 | 692,807 |
|  | City corporatio | 3,022,230 | 2,376,825 | 2,135,235 | 241,590 | 645,405 |
|  | School district | 2,724,998 | 2,677,596 | 2,363,164 | 314,432 | 47,402 |
| 58 | Scranton, Pa. | 3,914,796 | 4,470,281 | 4,090,968 | 379,313 | 1,444,515 |
|  | City corporation----- | 2,520,546 | 2,086,199 | 1,961,499 | 124,700 | 434,347 |
|  | School district----- | 3,394,250 | 2,384,082 | 2,129,469 | 254,613 | 1,010,168 |
| 59 | Salt Lake City, Utah---- | 5,011,645 | 4,797,153 | 4,440,773 | 356,380 | 214,492 |
|  | City corporation----- | 2,464,568 | 2,361,120 | 2,181,510 | 179,610 | 103,448 |
|  | School district------ | 2,547,077 | 2,436,033 | 2,259,263 | 176,770 | 111,044 |
| 60 | Yonkers, N. Y. | 12,793,076 | 12,192,633 | 10,794,576 | 1,398,057 | 600,443 |
| 61 | Paterson, N. J.-- | 6,681,699 | 6,002,453 | 5,170,691 | 831,762 | 679,246 |
| 62 | Jacksonville, Fla.------ | 5,701,783 | 5,368,067 | 4,867,122 | 500,945 | 333,716 |
|  | City corporation----- | 3,796,274 | 3,614,050 | 3,298,946 | 315,104 | 182,224 |
|  | School district------ | 1,905,509 | 1,754,017 | 1,568,176 | 185,841 | 151,492 |
| 63 | Albany, N. | 7,893,727 | 7,312,098 | 6,601,310 | 710,788 | 581,629 |
| 64 | Norfolk, Va. | 5,561,474 | 5,129,283 | 3,935,512 | 1,193,771 | 432,191 |
| 65 | Trenton, N. J | 6,098,608 | 5,865,893 | 4,993,511 | 872,382 | 232,715 |
| 66 | Chattanooga, Tenn. | 4,330,455 | 3,576,582 | 2,840,629 | 735,953 | 753,873 |
| 67 | Kansas City, Kans. | 4,296,880 | 3,008,810 | 2,675,821 | 332,989 | 1,288,070 |
|  | City corporatio | 1,959,205 | 1,382,469 | 1,205,294 | 177,175 | 576,736 |
|  | School district | 2,234,170 | 1,522,836 | 1,433,085 | 89,751 | 711,334 |
|  | Drainage districts | 103,505 | 103,505 | 37,442 | 66,063 |  |
| 68 | Fort Wayne, Ind | 2,979,362 | 2,769,086 | 2,633,650 | 135,436 | 210,276 |
|  | City corporation----- | 1,246,452 | 1,162,886 | 1,135,270 | 27,616 | 83,566 |
|  | School district- | 1,732,910 | 1,606,200 | 1,498,380 | 107,820 | 126,710 |
| 69 | Camden, N. J.-- | 6,030,329 | 5,767,986 | 4,620,338 | 1,147,648 | 262,343 |
| 70 | Erie, Pa. | 4,337,182 | 4,121,092 | 3,613,265 | 507,827 | 216,090 |
|  | City corporation | 1,969,286 | 1,786,782 | 1,512,184 | 274,598 | 182,504 |
|  | School district-- | 2,367,896 | 2,334,310 | 2,101,081 | 233,229 | 33,586 |
| 71 | Elizabeth, N. J. | 5,646,409 | 5,009,551 | 4,444,012 | 565,539 | 636,858 |
| 72 | Wichita, Kans. | 3,813,994 | 3,475,537 | 3,132,366 | 343,171 | 338,457 |
|  | City corporation | 1,624,631 | 1,396,130 | 1,177,666 | 218,464: | 228,501 |
|  | School district | 1,745,608 | 1,742,736 | 1,638,436 | 104,30¢ | 2,872 |
|  | University district-- | 443,755 | 336,671 | 316,264 | 20,40'i | 107,084 |
| 73 | Spokane, Wash.--- | 4,205,530 | 3,654,841 | 3,501,240 | 153,601. | 550,689 |
|  | City corporation----- | 2,395,075 | 1,864,491 | 1,749,118 | 115,373 | 530,584 |
|  | School district------ | 1,810.455 | 1,790,350 | 1,752,122 | 38,228 | 20,105 |
| 74 | Fall River, Mass. .-...--- | 4,975,714 | 4,885,569 | 4,609,242 | 276,327 | 90,145 |

TABLE 14. - SUMMARY OF COST PAYMENTS, BY CHARACTER AND BY DIVISIONS OF MUNICIPAL GOVERNMENT: 1937-Continued
(See note at head of table)

|  | CITY | Total cost payments | OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AND INIEREST |  |  | Outlays |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Operation and maintenance | Interest |  |
|  |  |  |  | (Table 16) | (Table 19) | (Table 20) |

GROUP III.-CITIES HAVING A POPULATION OF 100,000 T0 300,000-Continued

| 75 | Cambridge, Mass. ------- | \$7,783,250 | \$6,892,560 | \$6,490,427 | \$402,133 | \$890,690 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 76 | New Bedford, Mass.----- | 5,543,513 | 5,336,523 | 5,057,609 | 278,914 | 206,990 |
| 77 | Reading, Pa.----------- | 4,905,152 | 3,799,349 | 3,323,383 | 475,966 | 1,105,803 |
|  | City corporation---- | 1,630,143 | 1,485,397 | 1,303,679 | 181,718 | 144,746 |
|  | School district----- | 3,275,009 | 2,313,952 | 2,019,704 | 294,248 | 961,057 |
| 78 | Knoxville, Tenn.- | 4,410,799 | 4,036,584 | 3,064,707 | 971,877 | 374,215 |
| 79 | Peoria, Ill. ------------ | 4,530,063 | 3,708,669 | 3,523,628 | 185,041 | 821,394 |
|  | City corporation----- | 1,935,781 | 1,726,227 | 1,579,300 | 146,927 | 209,554 |
|  | School district-...- | 1,696,396 | 1,323,416 | 1,299,890 | 23,526 | 372,980 |
|  | Park district- | 239,303 | 182,963 | 182,556 | 407 | 56,340 |
|  | Sanitary district | 353,923 | 171,403 | 157,222 | 14,181 | 182,520 |
|  | Town------------ | 304,660 | 304,660 | 304,660 | ------------- | ------------ |
| 80 | South Bend, Ind.------- | 3,196,928 | 2,822,813 | 2,603,965 | 218,848 | 374,115 |
|  | City corporation---- | 1,315,832 | 1,252,806 | 1,156,648 | 96,158 | 63,026 |
|  | School district | 1,881,096 | 1,570,007 | 1,447,317 | 122,690 | 311,089 |
| 81 | Tacoma, Wash.----------- | 3,743,860 | 3,493,563 | 3,299,948 | 193,615 | 250,297 |
|  | City corporation---- | 1,885,605 | 1,699,678 | 1,583,982 | 115,696 | 185,927 |
|  | School district- | 1,709,829 | 1,680,136 | 1,603,742 | 76,394 | 29,693 |
|  | Park district-- | 148,426 | 113,749 | 112,224 | 1,525 | 34,677 |
| 82 | Miami, Fla.------------ | 7,440,803 | 6,575,385 | 4,949,371 | 1,626,014 | 865,418 |
|  | City corporation---- | 5,659,096 | 5,029,114 | 3,712,851 | 1,316,263 | 629,982 |
|  | School district- | 1,781,707 | 1,546,271 | 1,236,520 | 309,751 | 235,436 |
| 83 | Gary, Ind.--------- | 3,558,447 | 3,291,359 | 3,031,640 | 259,719 | 267,088 |
|  | City corporation | 1,584,357 | 1,4^5,074 | 1,383,719 | 111,355 | 89,283 |
|  | School district- | 1,974,090 | 1,796,285 | 1,647,921 | 148,364 | 177,805 |
| 84 | Canton, Ohio------ | 3,598,896 | 3,470,729 | 2,958,970 | 511,759 | 128,167 |
|  | City corporetion | 1,631,600 | 1,542,920 | 1,296,108 | 246,812 | 88,680 |
|  | School district | 1,967,296 | 1,927,809 | 1,662,862 | 264,947 | 39,487 |
| 85 | Wilmington, Dol. | 3,746,225 | 3,529,068 | 3,240,462 | 288,606 | 217,157 |
| 86 | Tampa, Fla. | 4,039,418 | 3,579,899 | 2,870,598 | 709,301 | 459,519 |
|  | City corporation | 2,635,505 | 2,230,678 | 1,695,932 | 534,746 | 404,827 |
|  | School district | 1,403,913 | 1,349,221 | 1,174,666 | 174,555 | 54,692 |
| 8 | Samerville, Mass. | 6,336,476 | 6,071,368 | 5,890,061 | 181,307 | 265,108 |
|  | El Paso, Tex. | 2,657,303 | 2,309,910 | 1,981,974 | 327,936 | 347,393 |
| 89 | Evansville, Ind.- | 3,541,975 | 2,901,450 | 2,707,299 | 194,151 | 640,525 |
|  | City corporation | 1,906,766 | 1,525,874 | 1,423,341 | 102,533 | 380,892 |
|  | School district | 1,635,209 | 1,375,576 | 1,283,958 | 91,618 | 259,633 |
| 909 | Lynn, Mass.--- | 6,308,335 | 5,552,400 | 5,291,275 | 261,125 | 755,935 |
|  | Utica, N. Y. ------ | 5,820,438 | 5,403,249 | 4,948,722 | 454,527 | 417,189 |
| 92 | Duluth, Minn.----------- | 4,394,429 | 3,925,229 | 3,567,030 | 358,199 | 469,200 |
|  | City corporation---- | 2,389,650 | 1,940,894 | 1,733,111 | 207,783 | 448,756 |
|  | School district--.--- | 2,004,779 | 1,984,335 | 1,833,919 | 150,416 | 20,444 |
| 99 | Haterbury, Conn. ---- | 6,757,568 | 5,939,978 | 5,432,083 | 507,895 | 817,590 |
|  | Lowell, Mass | 5,300,861 | 5,130,869 | 4,956,958 | 173,911 | 169,992 |
|  | Honolulu, Hawaii 1/----- | 6,468,444 | 4,908,901 | 4,605,676 | 303,225 | 1,559,543 |

1/ Not included in group or grand totals.

TABLE 15.-PER CAPITA AND PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF COST PAYMENTS, BY CHARACTER: 1937-Continued
(See text discussion, p. 108)

|  | CITY | PER CAPITA COST PAYMENTS |  |  | PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF COST PAMMENTS |  |  | Compar1son with revemes (cost payments: 100) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Operation and maintenance, and interest | Opera- <br> tion <br> and <br> mainte- <br> nance | Interest | Operation and maintonance | Interest | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Out- } \\ & \text { lays } \end{aligned}$ |  |

GROUP III. -CITES HAVING A POPULATION OF 100,000 TO 300,000 --Continued

| 49 | Flint, Mich. | \$36.78 | \$32.08 | \$4.71 | 86.7 | 12.7 | 0.6 | 134.9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 50 | New Heven, Conn. | 49.65 | 46.12 | 3.54 | 87.4 | 6.7 | 5.9 | 112.3 |
| 51 | San Diego, Calif. | 40.99 | 39.06 | 1.93 | 88.9 | 4.4 | 6.7 | 113.1 |
| 52 | Long Beach, Calif | 45.25 | 41.55 | 3.70 | 70.7 | 6.3 | 23.0 | 97.2 |
| 53 | Nashville, Tenn.- | 28.40 | 24.78 | 3.62 | 70.3 | 10.3 | 19.4 | 99.0 |
| 54 | Springfield, Mass. | 62.71 | 60.42 | 2.29 | 86.6 | 3.3 | 10.2 | 106.7 |
| 55 | Tulsa, Okla. | 28.45 | 23.75 | 4.70 | 71.6 | 14.2 | 14.2 | 117.1 |
| 56 | Bridgeport, Conn. | 49.62 | 45.16 | 4.46 | 88.4 | 8.7 | 2.9 | 112.3 |
| 57 | Des Moines, Iowa | 34.79 | 30.96 | 3.83 | 78.3 | 9.7 | 12.1 | 109.5 |
| 58 | Scranton, Pa | 30.96 | 28.33 | 2.63 | 69.2 | 6.4 | 24.4 | 90.3 |
| 59 | Salt Lake City, Utah- | 33.27 | 30.80 | 2.47 | 88.6 | 7.1 | 4.3 | 110.6 |
| 60 | Yonkers, N. Y | 86.78 | 76.83 | 9.95 | 84.4 | 10.9 | 4.7 | 105.3 |
| 61 | Paterson, N. | 43.18 | 37.20 | 5.98 | 77.4 | 12.4 | 10.2 | 126.7 |
| 62 | Jacksonville, | 38.65 | 35.04 | 3.61 | 85.4 | 8.8 | 5.9 | 102.5 |
| 63 | Albany, N. Y. | 56.33 | 50.86 | 5.48 | 83.6 | 9.0 | 7.4 | 103.8 |
| 64 | Norfolk, Va. | 39.54 | 30.34 | 9.20 | 70.8 | 21.5 | 7.8 | 110.1 |
| 65 | Trenton, N. J. | 47.27 | 40.24 | 7.03 | 81.9 | 14.3 | 3.8 | 122.5 |
| 66 | Chattanooga, Tenn. | 28.96 | 23.00 | 5.96 | 65.6 | 17.0 | 17.4 | 98.2 |
| 67 | Kansas City, Kans. | 24.38 | 21.68 | 2.70 | 62.3 | 7.7 | 30.0 | 85.3 |
| 68 | Fort Mayne, Ind. | 23.06 | 21.93 | 1.13 | 88.4 | 4.5 | 7.1 | 112.8 |
| 69 | Camden, N. J. | 48.43 | 38.79 | 9.64 | 76.6 | 19.0 | 4.4 | 129.6 |
| 70 | Erie, Pa. | 34.84 | 30.54 | 4.29 | 83.3 | 11.7 | 5.0 | 104.7 |
| 71 | Elizabeth, N. J | 42.49 | 37.69 | 4.80 | 78.7 | 10.0 | 11.3 | 104.4 |
| 72 | Wichita, Kans. | 29.55 | 26.64 | 2.92 | 82.1 | 9.0 | 8.9 | 122.4 |
| 73 | Spokane, Wash. | 31.35 | 30.03 | 1.32 | 83.3 | 3.7 | 13.1 | 103.3 |
| 74 | Fall River, Mas | 42.38 | 39.99 | 2.40 | 92.6 | 5.6 | 1.8 | 112.3 |
| 75 | Cambridge, Mass. | 60.30 | 56.78 | 3.52 | 83.4 | 5.2 | 11.4 | 110.1 |
| 76 | New Bedford, Mass. | 47.39 | 44.92 | 2.48 | 91.2 | 5.0 | 3.7 | 107.2 |
| 77 | Reading, Pa, --- | 33.98 | 29.73 | 4.26 | 67.8 | 9.7 | 22.5 | 104.7 |
| 78 | Knorville, Tenn. | 36.50 | 27.71 | 8.79 | 69.5 | 22.0 | 8.5 | 103.5 |
| 79 | Peoria, Ill. | 33.78 | 32.09 | 1.69 | 77.8 | 4.1 | 18.1 | 113.1 |
| 80 | South Bend, Ind.- | 25.76 | 23.76 | 2.00 | 81.5 | 6.8 | 11.7 | 101.4 |
| 81 | Tacoma, Wash.--- | 32.29 | 30.50 | 1.79 | 88.1 | 5.2 | 6.7 | 108.9 |
| 82 | Miami, Fla. | 60.77 | 45.74 | 15.03 | 66.5 | 21.9 | 11.6 | 98.1 |
| 83 | Gary, Ind.- | 30.48 | 28.07 | 2.40 | 85.2 | 7.3 | 7.5 | 112.4 |
| 84 | Centon, Ohio | 32.29 | 27.53 | 4.76 | 82.2 | 14.2 | 3.6 | 121.7 |
| 85 | Wilmington, Del.-- | 33.11 | 30.40 | 2.71 | 86.5 | 7.7 | 5.8 | 114.3 |
| 86 | Tampa, Fla. - | 33.61 | 26.95 | 6.66 | 71.1 | 17.6 | 11.4 | 110.9 |
| 87 | Somerville, Mass. | 57.39 | 55.67 | 1.71 | 93.0 | 2.9 | 4.2 | 96.1 |
| 88 | El Paso, Tex. | 21.83 | 18.73 | 3.10 | 74.6 | 12.3 | 13.1 | 103.6 |
| 89 | Evanstille, Ind. | 27.61 | 25.76 | 1.85 | 76.4 | 5.5 | 18.1 | 89.1 |
| 90 | Lynn, Mass. | 53.96 | 51.42 | 2.54 | 83.9 | 4.1 | 12.0 | 101.0 |
| 91 | Utica, N. Y. | 52.66 | 48.23 | 4.43 | 85.0 | 7.8 | 7.2 | 107.6 |
| 92 | Duluth, Minn. | 38.52 | 35.01 | 3.52 | 81.2 | 8.2 | 10.7 | 118.3 |
| 93 | Waterbury, Conn | 58.64 | 53.62 | 5.01 | 80.4 | 7.5 | 12.1 | 85.8 |
| 94 | Lowell, Mass | 51.19 | 49.45 | 1.74 | 93.5 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 104.2 |
|  |  | ------ | ------ | ----- | ---- | ---- | $\cdots$ | ------ |

1/ Not included in group or grand totals.

TABLE 15. -PER CAPITA AND PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF COST PAYMENTS, BY CHARACTER: 1937
(See text discussion, p. 108)

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 容 } \\ & \text { 目 } \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathbf{~}} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \end{aligned}$ | CITY | PER CAPITA COST PAYMENTS |  |  | PERCENT DISTRIBUT: ON OF GOST PAYMENK |  |  | Comparison with revenues (cost payments= 100) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Operation and maintenance, and interest | Opera- <br> tion <br> and <br> mainte- <br> nance | Interest | Opera- <br> tion <br> and <br> madnto- <br> nance | Interest | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Out- } \\ & \text { 1:ays } \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | Grand total | \$59.66 | \$53.75 | \$5.91 | 77.3 | 8.5 | 14.2 | 103.3 |
|  | Group I-------------------------------- | 69.86 | 63.39 | 6.47 | 76.9 | 7.9 | 15.2 | 102.1 |
|  | Group II- | 57.3339.98 | 50.33 | 7.00 | 76.8 | 10.7 | 12.5 | $\begin{aligned} & 105.5 \\ & 134.9 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Group III- |  | 35.68 | 4.31 | 78.9 | 9.5 | 11.6 |  |

GROUP I.-CITIES HAVING A POPULATION OF 500,000 AND OVER

| 1 | Now York, N. | \$91.37 | \$85.30 | \$6.07 | 78.1 | 5.6 | 16.3 | 98.7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Chioago, Ill. | 57.47 | 49.55 | 7.92 | 72.5 | 11.6 | 15.9 | 118.0 |
| 3 | Philadelphia, Pa. | 50.41 | 41.66 | 8.74 | 72.1 | 15.1 | 12.7 | 98.5 |
| 4 | Detroit, Mich. | 58.99 | 50.49 | 8.50 | 76.5 | 12.9 | 10.6 | 103.8 |
| 5 | Los Angeles, Calif | 64.50 | 60.06 | 4.43 | 72.1 | 5.3 | :2.6 | 95.8 |
| 6 | Cleveland, Ohio- | 58.79 | 52.56 | 6.23 | 80.1 | 9.5 | 1.0 .4 | 104.4 |
| 7 | St. Louis, Mo. | 45.56 | 41.70 | 3.87 | 73.9 | 6.9 | 1.9 .2 | 88.4 |
| 8 | Baltimore, Má | 53.49 | 46.87 | 6.62 | 78.4 | 11.1 | 1.0 .5 | 110.1 |
| 9 | Boston, Mass. | 86.90 | 81.30 | 5.61 | 86.6 | 6.0 | 7.4 | 106.3 |
| 10 | Pittsburgh, Pa . | 65.57 | 56.92 | 8.65 | 70.9 | 10.8 | 1.8 .4 | 101.2 |
| 11 | San Francisco, Cal | 58.96 | 55.17 | 3.80 | 82.3 | 5.7 | -2.0 | 109.1 |
| 12 | Washington, D. C. | 58.82 | 58.82 | ----- | 84.1 | -- | . 3.9 | 100.8 |
| 13 | Mil waukee, Wis. | 63.46 | 59.35 | 4.11 | 86.2 | 6.0 | 7.8 | 109.5 |
| 14 | Buffalo, N. Y | 77.16 | 68.25 | 8.91 | 73.1 | 9.5 | 17.4 | 87.0 |

GROUP II. -CITIES HAVING A POPULATION OF 300,000 TO 500,000

| 15 | Minneapolis, Minn. | \$64.51 | \$59.26 | \$5.25 | 78.6 | 7.0 | 14.4 | 94.7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 | New Orleans; La | 39.92 | 32.12 | 7.80 | 67.2 | 16.3 | 16.5 | 129.2 |
| 17 | Cincinnati, Ohio | 59.41 | 52.06 | 7.35 | 76.4 | 10.8 | 12.8 | 110.6 |
| 18 | Newark, N. J | 91.06 | 78.66 | 12.40 | 82.4 | 13.0 | 4.6 | 101.7 |
| 19 | Kansas City, Mo | 44.63 | 37.89 | 6.74 | 64.8 | 11.5 | 23.6 | 91.3 |
| 20 | Seattle, Wash | 50.16 | 45.71 | 4.45 | 84.9 | 8.3 | 6.8 | 108.6 |
| 21 | Indianapolis, Ind | 43.13 | 39.57 | 3.56 | 86.0 | 7.7 | 6.2 | 105.6 |
| 22 | Rochester, N. Y. | 84.03 | 76.06 | 7.97 | 81.5 | 8.5 | 10.0 | 103.5 |
| 23 | Jersey City, N. | 81.74 | 71.25 | 10.48 | 76.2 | 11.2 | 12.6 | 114.7 |
| 24 | Houston, Tex.- | 40.03 | 33.51 | 6.53 | 60.3 | 11.8 | 27.9 | 96.9 |
| 25 | Louisville, Ky | 38.97 | 33.79 | 5.18 | 73.3 | 11.2 | 15.4 | 94.6 |
| 26 | Portland, Oreg | 46.25 | 40.83 | 5.42 | 84.6 | 11.2 | 4.2 | 122.3 |

group III.-CITIES having a population of 100,000 to 300,000

| 27 | Columbus, Ohio- | \$31.46 | \$26.54 | \$4.92 | 69.7 | 12.9 | 17.4 | 125.6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 28 | Toledo, Ohio | 37.59 | 31.92 | 5.67 | 74.6 | 13.3 | 12.1 | 109.4 |
| 29 | Oakland, Calif | 43.80 | 41.66 | 2.14 | 88.0 | 4.5 | 7.5 | 106.0 |
| 30 | Denver, Colo | 58.60 | 55.67 | 2.93 | 83.7 | 4.4 | 11.9 | 103.2 |
| 31 | Atlante, Ga, | 31.22 | 29.22 | 1.99 | 78.2 | 5.3 | 16.4 | 102.3 |
| 32 | Dallas, Tex. | 28.22 | 23.70 | 4.51 | 69.1 | 13.2 | 17.7 | 99.6 |
| 33 | St. Paul, Minn | 37.09 | 30.99 | 6.10 | 67.1 | 13.2 | 19.7 | 103.5 |
| 34 | Birningham, Al | 23.34 | 19.34 | 4.00 | 80.2 | 16.6 | 3.2 | 94.4 |
| 35 | Akron, Ohio | 36.51 | 30.05 | 6.46 | 68.9 | 14.8 | 16.3 | 106.9 |
| 36 | Memphis, Tenn | 24.35 | 20.65 | 3.70 | 72.9 | 13.1 | 14.0 | 125.2 |
| 37 | Providence, R. I. | 57.43 | 50.98 | 6.44 | 72.4 | 9.2 | 18.4 | 92.7 |
| 38 | San Antonio, Tex. | 26.55 | 21.96 | 4.59 | 71.7 | 15.0 | 13.3 | 94.2 |
| 39 | Omaha, Nebr.- | 31.62 | 26.57 | 5.05 | 77.3 | 14.7 | 8.0 | 116.5 |
| 40 | Syracuse, N. | 66.16 | 60.44 | 5.72 | 87.5 | 8.3 | 4.2 | 104.9 |
| 41 | Dayton, Ohio- | 35.36 | 31.73 | 3.64 | 77.1 | 8.8 | 14.0 | 124.3 |
| 42 | Oklahoma City, Okl | 27.65 | 24.39 | 3.26 | 63.2 | 8.5 | 28.3 | 97.4 |
| 43 | Worcester, Mass. | 63.82 | 62.42 | 1.40 | 91.8 | 2.1 | 6.2 | 101.8 |
| 44 | Richmond, Va. | 44.58 | 36.92 | 7.66 | 73.2 | 15.2 | 11.5 | 99.9 |
| 45 | Youngstow, Ohio- | 34.78 | 32.04 | 2.74 | 88.7 | 7.6 | 3.7 | 119.3 |
| 46 | Grand Rapids, Mich | 31.18 | 28.04 | 3.14 | 82.1 | 9.2 | 8.7 | 117.6 |
| 47 | Fort Worth, Tex. | 32.67 | 26.67 | 6.00 | 54.9 | 12.4 | 32.8 | 83.8 |
| 48 | Hartford, Conn. | 60.76 | 56.07 | 4.69 | 82.4 | 6.9 | 10.7 | 103.4 |

TABLE 16
Cost payments of the 94 cities for operation and maintenance of general government are shown in table 16. The payments are grouped under twelve major functions. These functions, and the individual classifications under each function, are presented in sufficient detail in the table to be largely selfexplanatory. Reference may be made to the definitions, found at the close of this volume, of terms used in the report. The twelve major functional classifications of cost payments are as follows:

> I. General administrative, legislative, and judicial.
> II. Public safety.
> III. Highways.
> IV. Sanitation and waste removal.
> V. Conservation of health.
VI. Hospitals.

The Bureau classifies cost payments according to a functional and activity basis, regardless of the departmental organization within the city conducting the function or activity. ${ }^{3}$ Frequently one governmental activity may be found to be conducted by more than one governmental department, and in such cases the Bureau combines the several activity costs into a total. Further, classification by function and activity may result in a total different from that shown by the report of a municipal department or agency conducting a single function. It is also emphasized that the accounts maintained by several municipalities did not always adapt themselves to the detailed Bureau classifications, with the result that it was sometimes necessary to show large residue payments under "Undistributed."

During 1937 the unit of government administering certain local services and maintaining the records of expenditures thereof, such as welfare and relief, varied among the cities. If administered by Federal or State agencies, the figures are not included in this report, which is limited to the official records of cities and over-lapping independent local units. The differing practice results in a wide variation in the statistics of payments among the cities, which should be recognized in using the figures for comparisons. An example is found in the case of the function called "Charities," in which there is shown a wide range in payments for care of outdoor poor, owing to the varying degree of responsibility among the governmental units for this type of relief.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE COSTS OF GENERAL GOVERNMENT.-As previously indicated, the cost of operating and maintaining the general governments of the 94 cities in 1937 was the highest ever recorded- $\$ 2,025,052,436$. Expenditures for schools accounted for $\$ 596,000,000$, or 29.4 percent of the total. In second place, and a cost item that is almost entirely responsible for the rising level of general government costs in recent years, is an expenditure of over $\$ 332,000,000$ for charities. Next in the order of their ifscal magnitude were public safety, $\$ 330,107,033$; general administrative, legislative, and judicial, $\$ 159,557,414$; and highways, $\$ 106,242,397$. A further analysis of these cost payments by main functions is made on a per capita basis in table 17, and by percentage distribution in table 18.

A discussion of the operation and maintenance cost payments jor general government of the 94 cities in 1937 is presented below by the tivelve major functions, in the order of their appearance in table 17.
general adimnistrative, legislative, and Judicial. - Cost payments of $\$ 159,557,414$ were reported by the 94 cities under this functional heading, which consist of the legislative, executive, and judicial branches of local government and, in addition, such auxiliary agencies as those established to deal with finances, law, elections, and general administrative buildings. The longer description of this functional group supersedes and is synonomous with the term "general government" used in the Bureau's previous reports.

[^8]This major functional group of expenditures is segregated into cost payments for those municipal activities described as "Control" and those termed "Staff." All expenditures by courts and those divisions of government which determine policies and provide general administration for the entire municipality are included under "Control"; expenditures for auxiliary staff agencies which perform general services for the control agencies as well as for the various services or "line" departinents are included under "Staff." The classification under each subdivision is self-explanatory and is in harmony with the standard classification sponsored by the National Committee on Municipal Accounting.

It was previously disclosed that the total cost of general government in 1937 was $\$ 288,000,000$, or 16.6 percent higher than in 1930 . In this connection it is interesting to note that, despite the sharp increase in specilic services rendered by municipalities during this period and the unprecedented administrative problems introduced by the depression, there was only a negligible increase in the cost of administrative, legislative, and judicial overhead. Such cost payments in 1937 were less than 1 percent higher than in 1930, as may be seen from the accompanying comparative figures.

Under the heading of "Control" expenditures, Judicial costs were by far the highest, while expenditures under the various classifications of "F1nance" were the largest among the auxillary staff agencies. It should be noted that the judicial organization in some cities and the classifications used in reporting such costs in others were not

| 1926 | $\$ 123,687,000$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| 1928 | $141,929,000$ |
| -1930 | $158,374,000$ |
| 1932 | $149,909,000$ |
| 1934 | $135,760,000$ |
| 1936 | $150,635,000$ |
| 1937 | $159,557,000$ | wholly adaptable to the Bureau's classirication.

PUBLIC SAFETY. - Expenditures for public safety totaled $\$ 320,107,033$ in 1937 , the third largest item in the cost of operating and maintaining general government in the 94 cities during the year. These expenditures are for municipal activities which serve primarily to protect persons and property and consist or police, fire, protective regulation and inspection, flood control, and such miscellaneous activities as travelers' aid, game and fish wardens, and bounties on animals.

Expenditures for police totaled $\$ 192,711,789$, or 60.2 percent of all public safety costs. It may be of interest to note that previously the Bureau has reported police costs of the 94 cities under the single heading "Police" whereas in 1937 these expenditures are shown under 15 subdivisions. Unfortunately, many of the cities, especially some of the larger ones, included in this study, do not have a breakdown of police expenditures itemized in sufficient detail to lend itself to the Bureau's classification, with the result that a large amount of such cost payments is necessarily shown under "Undistributed." It may be necessary to abandon some of these subdivisions in subsequent years, but it is hoped, because of the wide public interest in the detailed expenditures, that the future basis of accounting and reporting pursued by the various cities will permit wider and more useful comparisons.

Similar difficulties were encountered in presenting detailed classifications of cost payments for fire protection, but the results, even though scattered in some subdivisions, more than justify the effort. Previous to 1937 such expenditures were shown only under the two headings "General expenditures" and "Water service," whereas 13 classifications of payments are presented in this report. The total of all expenditures for fire protection was $\$ 122,064,413$.

Since expenditures for police and fire protection comprise over 95 percent of the cost payments for public safety, the following comparison of the trend of such expenditures since 1926 may be of interest:

| Year | Police | Fire |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1926 | $\$ 150,482,000$ | $\$ 105,925,000$ |
| 1928 | $168,844,000$ | $115,479,000$ |
| 1930 | $191,763,000$ | $125,875,000$ |
| 1932 | $186,194,000$ | $117,342,000$ |
| 1934 | $170,236,000$ | $107,273,000$ |
| 1936 | $182,120,000$ | $114,461,000$ |
| 1937 | $192,712,000$ | $122,064,000$ |

Although the combined costs of police and fire protection have grown somewhat in the 94 cities since 1926, they were actually less in 1937 than in 1930. This is especially significant when the influence of increasing population is considered in connection with per capita costs of rendering these services, because the indication is that more persons are being served at less cost.

HIGHWAYS. - Expenditures for highways totaled $\$ 106,242,397$. This major functional group includes, not only activities normally associated with streets and other roadways, but also waterways and structures and improvements necessary for the use of roadways, such as bridges, tunnels, viaducts, and gracie separations, and also services that are appurtenant to streets, such as street lighting and snow and ice removal. Cost payments within this functional group are indicated under 13 detailed classifications in the 1937 report, as compared with only five general classifications in reports for previous years.

The cost of operation and maintenance of general government for highways has experienced a declining trend in recent years, as is evident from the accompanying comparisons, such expenditures in 1937 being 23.7 percent less than in 1930.

Expenditures for roadways proper constituted the largest cost incurred in the operation and maintenance of highways during 1937; under the roadways caption the most significant item is the maintenance and repair of paved streets, as might be expected, since the scope of the present study involves only the larger American cities. Aside from roadways,

| 1926 | $\$ 122,619,000$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1928 | $128,694,000$ |
| 1930 | $139,323,000$ |
| 1932 | $123,101,000$ |
| 1934 | $10,066,000$ |
| 1936 | $109,382,000$ |
| 1937 | $106,242,000$ | expenditures for street lighting constituted the largest cost incurred in the operation and maintenance of highways in 1937.

SANITATION AND WASTE REMOVAL, -Activities relative to sanitation and to the removal and disposal of waste are now classified into eight general headings, whereas prior to 1937 these expenditures were presented under only four headings. It will be noted that difficulties were encountered in some of the subheadings, particularly in segregating cost items on the collection and disposal of waste.

Expenditures for sanitation and waste removal

| 1926 | $\$ 107,575,000$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| 1928 | $121,693,000$ |
| 1930 | $128,340,000$ |
| 1932 | $117,965,000$ |
| 1934 | $91,821,000$ |
| 1936 | $97,547,000$ |
| 1937 | $99,947,000$ |

totaled $\$ 99,947,445$ in 1937, the largest of which was for street cleaning. Next in importance were expenditures for garbage collections ard for the operation and maintenance of sewer systems.

The trend in the cost of operating and maintaining sanitary and waste removal facilities in 94 citles is shown in the accompanying statement. From this statement it appears that expenditures for sanitation and waste removal were 22.1 percent less in 1937 than in 1930, although since 1934, which was the low year, such costs have been increasing.

CONSERVATION OF HEAITH. - Municipal activities for the conservation and improvement of public health constitute this major function. The institutional function, which also affects the health of the community, but which is distinct in purpose, operation, and administration, is separately considered under the major functional group designated "Hospitals," which also includes the cost of medical aid given free by hospitals in connection with public welfare activities.

Cost payments for the conservation of health amounted to $\$ 27,686,660$ in 1937, the largest item being expenditures for child health services pertaining to schools. The next largest item was $\$ 2,545,232$, expended for preschool and prenatal services.

Owing to numerous changes in classification of these cost payments, it is not possible to present a trend comparison of such expenditures over a period of years.

HOSPITALS. - Both the cost of municipally-conducted hospitals, and the cost to municipalities of placing patients in the care of hospitals not municipallyowned, are included under this major functional classification. These two classes of expenditure are further subdivided according to the specific activities engaged in by the municipal hospitals, and the nature of services rendered
to the municipalities by other hospitals. In the case of municipally-owned hospitals certain expenses which cannot be classified in detail, such as maternity hospitals, cancer hospitals, and expenses for ambulance service, are shown under "All other" special municipal hospitals. In the case of expenses for patients in hospitals other than those municipally-owned, the somewhat substantial "All other" classification includes such items as appropriations made to general hospitals (New York) and expenditures for the care of sick in private and State hospitals (Detroit).

All but 10 of the 94 cities reported cost payments for hospitals in 1937 , the aggregate being $\$ 98,059,013$. The largest item was the expenditure of $\$ 49,061,995$ for general municipal hospitals-approximately half of the costs incurred under this major functional classification. The next largest expenditure was for the hospitalization of tuberculous patients in special municipal hospitals.

In the reports for previous years, expenses for hospitals were grouped with two other functions under the major heading "Charities, Hospitals, and Corrections."

CHARITIES. - The major functional classification called "Charities" includes all relief or public assistance to dependents and to persons otherwise handicapped. It consists of all forms of aid given: pensions, food, clothing, shelter, fuel, hospital care, legal aid, utility services, burial expense, etc. The expense of case work and of other investigations of relief clients is also included. Cost payments for charities are shown in this report in much more detail than in previous reports in this series.

Expenditures for the operation and maintenance of general government under the functional heading of charities totaled $\$ 332,082,953$ in 1937 , the second largest cost item of general government. The growth of this expenditure, and its relation to the rising cost level of general government in the 94 cities, has been discussed in the introductory part of this section on cost payments.

As might be expected, the largest single 1 tem in cost payments for charities was an expenditure of $\$ 215,276,898$ for general relief, followed by $\$ 45,301,877$ for old-age assistance and $\$ 38,015,199$ expended in aid to dependent children.

CORRECTION. - Under the major functional heading of "Correction" are grouped the costs to the municipalities of dealing with law offenders by confinement or probation. It includes expenditures for maintaining prisoners in institutions of other civil divisions. The amount shown under institutional industry activities is the net expense of such activities, that is, the excess of manufacturing costs over any revenues realized from the industries. Cost payments shown for the confinement of delinquents in correctional institutions of other civil divisions (municipal, county, or state), or private institutions, include expenditures by the municipalities for transporting these delinquents to or from such institutions.

Cost payments of $\$ 21,329,855$ were reported by the 94 cities in 1937 under the major functional heading of "Correction." The largest expenditure was $\$ 12,049,311$ for the confinement of male delinquents in municipal correctional institutions, the next largest being $\$ 3,164,239$ expended by the municipalities incident to probation and parole activities.

Expenditures in 1937 for correction are presented in considerably more detail than in the 1936 report, when such cost items were included under the major functional heading of "Charities, Hospitals, and Corrections." Of especial note is the new breakdown to show the expenditures of confining delinquents in municipal correctional institutions as distinguished from the costs incurred in confining delinquents in other public or private institutions.

SCHOOLS.-Expenditures for schools constitute the largest item under the major character classification of operation and maintenance of general government. During 1937 such expenditures totaled $\$ 596,128,836$ for the 94 cities. Detailed data are compiled by the U. S. Office of Education, Federal Security Agency.

The expense of operating and maintaining schools has been increasing in 94 cities in recent years, although such costs have not as yet reached the highest level of $\$ 631,784,000$ reported in 1931. Shown herewith is a comparison for certain years since 1926.

In previous years, school expenditures were pre-

| 1926 | $\$ 494,493,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| 1928 | $561,255,000$ |
| 1930 | $599,973,000$ |
| 1932 | $607,090,000$ |
| 1934 | $526,864,000$ |
| 1936 | $571,922,000$ |
| 1937 | $596, \mathrm{~L} 29,000$ | sented, along with cost payments for libraries, under the general functional classification of "Education." Only such libraries as are connected with public schools for the exclusive use of pupils or teachers appear under the cost payments for schools, other expenses for libraries having a separate functional heading.

LIBRARIES. - Under "Libraries" are grouped the costs of libraries administered by municipalities, either as a regular department or as an independent agency governed by a library board or commission. These cost payments do not include expenditures for libraries attached to and primarily serving schools, which appear under the heading "Schools," or expenditures for general municipal reference libraries, which appear under "General Administrative, Legislative, and Judicial-Staff Agencies."

Expenditures for libraries are presented under three general headings. "Accessions" includes the cost of all books, pamphlets, periodicals, and other reading material, and expenditures for finishing, binding, rebinding, and repairing books and periodicals. Expenditures for books to stock a new or branch library, however, would be classified as capital outlays rather than operation and maintenance. The other two classifications are self-explanatory.

The 94 cities considered in this study reported total expenditures of $\$ 24,101,002$ for operation and maintenance of municipal libraries during 1937. The largest portion of the expenditures was under the caption "Library services," as distinguished from the payments for supervision and accessions.

RECREATION.-This major function of "Recreation" includes the various municipal activities intended to provide pleasurable diversion. Municipal services to re-create the body and mind by play and other activities cover a wide range, and, although related, are not always organized into a single department. Even though the primary responsibility for one or more activities is assumed by another department, as of outdoor play by the school department, the expenses involved should be and are appropriately classified as recreation.

The basis of reporting recreational expenditures has been greatly broadened in the 1937 report. In previous years these expenditures were reported under only three general items, whereas in the present report they are presented under five general classifications of "Supervision," "Cultural-scientific recreation," "Organized recreation," "Municipal parks," and "Special recreation facilities." These are in turn broken down into 24 supporting activities. Numerous difficulties were encountered in adapting the individual city reports to the new classifications of the Bureau, but more representative results are enticipated in future reports. Even so, it is to be noted that the largest unclassifiable "All other" amount was $\$ 1,073,865$, appearing under the "Municipal parks" heading, which amount represented only about 3 percent of total expenditures under that particular group.

Total expenditures of $\$ 58,181,752$ were reported by the 94 cities for operation and maintenance of recreational facilities. The largest single item of expense was $\$ 21,476,126$ for park areas, while the operation and maintenance of municipal parks in general accounted for approximately 53 percent of all recreational expenditures.

MISCELLANEOUS OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE. -This group of expenditures is presented under seven general classifications. . Most of these headings are selfexplanatory, although it may be explained that "Judgments and losses, not allocated," include expenditures in settlement of claims against the municipalities for injury to persons other than city employees. It also includes all losses to the cities through defalcation of city officials, insolvency of banks, and kindred causes, provided such losses have been recognized through appropriate adjustments of the municipal accounts. Expenditures for "Municipal service
enterprises, not allocated," include undistributed payments for incidental operating plants classified as municipal service enterprises, such as electric light plants, heating plants, and garages. ${ }^{4}$ Cost payments for "All other" include expenditures of incidental operating plants not classified as municipal service enterprises, and such other expenditures as blanket insurance on all municipal properties and blanket printing and advertising bills that cannot be segregated. It also includes postage, mailing, and similar expenditures that cannot be distributed.

Cost payments classiried as "Miscellaneous" aggregated $\$ 171,678,076$, the largest portion of which was for pensions and associated gratuities in the amount of $\$ 90,311,769$. In connection with the latter amount, reference may be made to the $\$ 37,500,000$ received by the 94 cities as pension assessments from employees in 1937.

The next largest expenditure was $\$ 61,383,253$ transferred to public-service enterprises. This amount should, of course, be considered with the $\$ 31,636,434$ oontributed by public-service enterprises to general government in 1937, indicating a net contribution of approximately $\$ 30,000,000$ to such enterprises.

4/ Municipal service enterprises are not to be confused with public-service enterprises. The latter serve the public in general; the former serve mainly, if not exclueively, the city government itself. $2619320-40$ - -

I'ABLE 16. -COST PAYMENTS FOR OPERATION aND MAINTENANCE, BY FUNCTIONS IN DETAIL: 1937

group II.-CITtes having a porulation of 300,000 To 500,000

| 15 | winneapoiis, imin | \$28,310,821 | \$1,674,681 | \$76,141 | -------- | \$8,177 | \$7,499 | \$12,539 | -------- | \$19,570 |  |  | \$83,133 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 | New Orleans, La | 15,128,484 | 2,343,677 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 147,028 | \$243,948 | \$55,878 |  |
| 17 | Cinctinnati, on | 23,951,966 | 2,127,240 | 43,960 |  | 12,978 | 2,600 |  | \$28,304 | 25,824 | 282,286 | 149,265 |  |
| 18 | Newark, N. J. | 35,161,513 | 2,845,726 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 212,016 |  | 20,000 | 55,316 |
| 19 | Kansas City, Seattle, Wash | $15,634,623$ $17,100,749$ | $2,040,748$ $1,757,793$ | 30,047 38,689 | \$341 | 10,619 | 702 78 |  | 14,638 | 24,152 17,487 | 41,469 5,419 | 26,323 | 58,890 20,262 |
| 21 | Indianapolis, | 14,723,559 | -950,152 | 5,400 | --...-.- | 1,800 |  | 11,962 | -------- | 8,603 | 24,784 |  |  |
| 22 | Rochester, N. Y | 25,365,216 | 1,746,705 | 85,033 |  | 3,071 | 11,771 |  | 21,977 |  | 79,572 |  | 43,685 |
| 23 | Jersey City, N . | 22,793,859 | 2,533,915 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 175,653 | 177,352 | 10,965 | 171,884 |


$10,651,697$
$10,782,020$
12,761
$1,037,368$
789,976
1,
group ili.-cities having a population of 100,000 to 300,000


| \$7,953,936 |
| :---: |
| 9,539,825 |
| 12,314,947 |
| 16,322,545 |
| 8,194,668 |
| 6,589,735 |
| 8,611,224 |
| 3,286,402 |
| 7,966,573 |
| 5,400,904 |
| 13,031,341 |
| 5,348,156 |
| 5,786,067 |
| 12,964,709 |
| 6,554,668 |
| 4,911,943 |
| 12,359,604 |
| 6,826,164 |
| 5,581,886 |
| 4,845,276 |
| 4,511,942 |
| 9,447,011 |
| 5,363,213 |
| 7,502,913 |
| 6,252,806 |
| 6,523,438 |
| 3,871,211 |
| 9,268,627 |
| 3,515,740 |
| 6,652,374 |
| 4,498,399 |
| 4,090,968 |
| 4,440,773 |
| 10,794,576 |
| 5,170,691 |
| 4,867,122 |
| 6,601,310 |
| 3,935,512 |
| 4,993,511 |
| 2,840,629 |
| 2,675,821 |
| 2,633,650 |
| 4,620,338 |


| $\mathbf{2}, 633,650$ |
| :--- |
| $4,620,338$ |


| $\begin{array}{r} 3566,103 \\ 488,796 \end{array}$ |
| :---: |
| 517,230 |
| 1,197,967 |
| 399,132 |
| 307,335 |
| 444,343 |
| 287,185 |
| 406,512 |
| 278,691 |
| 898,961 |
| 338,452 |
| 287,160 |
| 624,233 |
| 315,153 |
| 231,658 |
| 462,764 |
| 590,682 |
| 269,716 |
| 229,503 |
| 225,195 |
| 567,022 |
| 197,920 |
| 380,502 |
| 470,263 |
| 423,750 |
| 258,713 |
| 381,657 |
| 175,778 |
| 350,802 |
| 252,095 |
| 247,593 |
| 315,748 |
| 754,216 |
| 256,329 |
| 323,314 |
| 404,199 |
| 443,476 |
| 213,885 |
| 117,979 |
| 159,683 |
| 81,892 |
| 367,358 |



| ----------- |
| :---: |
| ---.------ |
| ---------- |
| -------- |
| -------- |
| ----------- |
| ----------- |
| \$406 |
| 195 |
| ----------- |
| --..---- |
| --.--------- |
| 1,014 |
| 3,973 |
| ---------- |
| ---- |
| ---------- |
| ---------- |
| ----------- |
| ----------- |
| ---------- |


| $\$ 6,076$ 10,622 4,62 |
| :---: |
| 4,415 |
| 180 |
| 5,580 |
| --...-.- |
| 3,672 |
| 10,129 |
| ---- |
| ----- |
| 10,573 |
| 3,125 |
| 6,163 |
| 1,000 |
| 1,660 |
| ---- |
| 1,920 |
| 4,600 |
| --- |
| -.---- |
| 4,566 |
| 1,250 |
| 3,291 |
| -------- |
| -------- |
| 800 |


| $\left\|\begin{array}{r} \$ 52 \\ 2,700 \end{array}\right\|$ |
| :---: |
| 528 |
|  |
| 1,349 |
| 5,030 |
| ------ |
| 1,100 246 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1,158 \\ & 2,829 \\ & 4,361 \end{aligned}$ |
| 1,932 <br> 1,235 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 248 \\ 1,831 \\ 125 \end{array}$ |
| 2,788 |
| ---7-7--7 |
| ----------- |
| 645 |
| 2,106 |
| ----------- |
| 5,050 |




| ------------- |
| :---: |
| \$3,055 |
|  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 37,656 \\ & 47,773 \end{aligned}$ |
| 45,901 |
| $\begin{gathered} 20,126 \\ 42,578 \end{gathered}$ |
| -------------- |
| ------------- |
| ------------------ |
|  |
| -----.-.-.--- |
| --------------- |
| ------------- |
| ----7-7-74 |
| 32,862 |
| 45,034 |
| ------------- |
| --.-.-.-.----- |
|  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 27,631 \\ & 16,223 \end{aligned}$ |
| 55,-745 |


|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \$3,909 | \$14,086 |
|  | 8,998 | 8,998 |
|  | 5,201 |  |
|  | 3,900 3,925 | 7,801 3,925 |
|  | 14,101 | 21,005 |
|  | 5,720 | 15,754 |
|  | 9,181 | 24,174 7,458 |
|  | 8,499 | ---------- |
|  |  |  |
|  | 16,526 | 966 |
|  | ---7,-75 | 67,799 4,751 |
|  |  |  |
| \$18,008 | 7,850 | 11,408 15,337 |
| 18,211 | ---------- |  |
|  | 6,946 |  |
|  | - | 25,221 |
|  |  | 5,452 |
|  | 13,564 | 13,698 |
|  | 8,600 |  |
|  |  | 7,068 |
|  |  | 27,489 33,730 |
|  |  | 4,487 |
|  | 3,600 | 7,998 |
|  | 44,557 4,263 | 14,852 |
|  | 4,263 6,291 |  |
|  | 5,171 | 9,690 |
| 20,037 | 2,370 | 9,565 |
| ------------- | 3,586 11,142 | 7,173 |
|  |  | 1,695 |
|  | 6,882 | 4,856 |

TABLE 16.-COST PANENTS FOR OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, BY FUNCTIONS IN DETAIL: 1937-Continued

|  | CITY | All major functions | I.-GEneral adimisistrative, legislative, and judicial-control |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Total | Legislativa |  |  |  | Executive |  |  | Judicial |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Legislative com- |  |  |  |  |  |  | minal cou |  |
|  |  |  |  | Municipal council or | mittees | Clerk of | nances | Mayor | Manager | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Boords and } \\ & \text { commis- } \end{aligned}$ |  | M1sd | anors |
|  |  |  |  |  | special <br> bod1es |  | ceedings |  |  |  | Felonies | Traffic | All other |

group ili.-CITILS having a population of 100,000 to 300,000-Continued


1/ Not included in group or grand totals
table 16. - Cost paynents for operation and maintenance, by functions in detail: 1937-Continued

|  | CITY | I.-Gineral adicnistrative, iegislativa, and judicial-control-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I.-GENERAL ADMINISTRATIVE, IRGISLATIVE, AND JUDICIAL-STAFF AGENCIES |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Judicial-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Elections |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Civil courts |  |  |  | Domestic relations courts |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Modical } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { soccial } \\ \text { sorvice } \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { Undistrib- } \\ \text { uted and } \\ \text { other } \end{array}$ | $\underset{\text { suparvi- }}{\substack{\text { Sulon }}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Registra- } \\ \text { tion } \end{gathered}$ | Primary elections | Generalelections | Special elect1ons |
|  |  | Chancery | Probate | Law |  | Juvenile | ${ }_{\text {other }}^{\text {All }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Major } \\ \text { claime } \end{gathered}$ | Small <br> claims |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Grand | \$1,505, 941 | \$3,132,827 | \$10,279,071 | \$5,374,415 | \$937,576 | \$999,592 | \$440,920 | \$6,019,858 | \%352,415 | \$1,974,479 | \$881,912 | \$7,561,816 | \$131,86\% |
|  | Group IGroup II Group III | $\begin{array}{r} 1,029,269 \\ 385,994 \\ 90,678 \end{array}$ | $2,585,929$ 439,403 107,495 | $9,451,638$ 558,629 268,804 | $\begin{array}{r} 4,467,653 \\ 436,617 \\ 470,145 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 657,119 \\ & 169,803 \\ & 110,654 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 824,963 \\ 148,450 \\ 26,179 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 367,431 \\ 49,201 \\ 24,288 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5,357,799 \\ 536,974 \\ 125,085 \end{array}$ | 254,965 47,054 50,396 | $\begin{array}{r} 1,361,721 \\ 400,940 \\ 211,818 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 657,024 \\ 89,360 \\ 135,528 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5,642,362 \\ 1,136,243 \\ 783,211 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 98,388 \\ & 11,882 \\ & 21,597 \end{aligned}$ |
| group I.-Cities havilg a population of 500,000 and over |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | New York, N. Y. |  | 21,267,005 | \$5,007,049 | \$2,141,897 | -- | \$504,657 | ₹40,200 | \$2,204,723 | --.----- | -------- | -------- | \$2,719,223 | -------- |
| 2 | Chicago, Ill. | \$73,493 | 205,318 | 1,419,336 | 1,218,823 | \$24,713 |  | 98,838 | 76,669 991 | ${ }^{355,541}$ | \$287,675 |  | 199,779 | --------- |
| 4 | Detroit, Mich. | 107,873 | 220,022 | 297,850 | 227,169 | 194,161 | 156,400 | 84,507 |  | 65,077 | 415,925 126,171 | \$27, <br> 163,698 | 206,226 | ---------- |
| 5 | Los Angeles, Cal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,189,835 |  |  |  | 1,081,108 | - |
| ${ }_{7} 7$ | Cleveland, Ohio St. Louis, Mo. |  | $\begin{array}{r} 172,934 \\ 89,343 \end{array}$ | 289,272 412,892 | $\begin{aligned} & 295,797 \\ & 123,949 \end{aligned}$ | 36,541 13,919 | 22,350 | 3,339 11,242 | 135,088 168,754 | 32,574 19,916 | 11,264 342,586 | 19,562 128,234 | 249,063 93,718 | *45,413 |
| 8 | Baltimore, Ma. | 131,250 | 14,884 | 59,511 | ---- | 38,674 | --.-- | -..---- | 151,394 | -------- | ----------- | --..----- | 60,400 | ---- |
| 9 | Boston, Mass. |  | 30,273 | 1,093,868 |  | 18,692 |  | 38,580 | 67,447 | ------- |  |  | 236,636 | - |
| 10 | Pittsburgh, Pa. |  | 117,265 <br> 52 | 376,698 | 93,712 | 72,109 | 1,477 | 12,174 | 67,440 | ----------- | 121,818 | ----1--1- | 259,054 | ------7-1 |
| 11 | San Francisco, Cali |  | 52,579 | 190,448 | 150,602 | 82,627 |  | 11,290 | 128,076 |  | 11,584 | 53,901 | 154,474 | 52,975 |
| 12 | Washington, $\mathrm{D} . \mathrm{C}$. Milwaukee, Wis. | 73,617 240,866 | 98,804 <br> 51 <br> 189 | 165,776 |  | 70,865 7,612 | 42,303 <br> 47,433 | 10,135 | 16,283 4,825 | 19,523 | 7,642 |  | 39,359 |  |
| 14 | Buffalo, N. Y.- | 24,136 | 44,180 | 138,938 | 65,725 | 33,406 | 27,093 | 7,380 | 155,924 | 28,430 | 37,056 | 18,263 | 103,494 |  |

group II.-CITIes hiaving a population of 300,000 To 500,000

| 15 | Minneapolia, Min |  | \$47,533 |  |  |  | -------- | \$13,306 | \$219,724 | -------- | \$11,317 | --.-.---- | จ 58,955 | -------- |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 | New Orlaans, | \$19,302 | 97,949 |  | \$68,213 | \$11,479 |  |  | 25,992 |  | 13,453 |  |  |  |
| 17 | Cincinnati, Ohio |  | 61,581 |  | 14,056 56,716 |  | \$31,158 | $\begin{aligned} & 4,543 \\ & , 7060 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | 214,160 128,718 |  |
| 19 | Newark, N. J. | 280,806 | 34,158 33,312 | \$116,743 | 56,716 <br> 35,140 | 15,489 19,284 |  | 17,868 | 12,782 | \$10,254 | 168,728 | \$18,371 | 128,718 239,409 |  |
| 20 | Seattle, Wash. | 77,421 | 43,872 | 77,421 | 19,802 | 4,904 | 49,032 | -----..- | 15,866 | 9,272 | 6,664 | 20,717 | 31,556 |  |
| 21 | Indienapolis, |  | 14,085 | 129,383 |  | 21,250 |  | 10,701 | 47,954 |  | 14,158 |  |  |  |
| 22 | Rochester, N . |  | 36,711 | 84,829 | 57,937 | 14,798 |  |  | 38,491 | 16,554 | 51,109 | 32,993 | 32, |  |
| 23 | Jersey City, |  | 54,551 | 26,134 | 78,972 | 46,586 | 48,976 |  | 29,208 |  | 106,983 |  | 400,074 |  |

table 16.-COSt Payminis for operation and matntenance, by functions in detail: 1937-Continued

|  | CITY | I. -General adiinistrative, iegislative, and judicial-control-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I. - GEINRAL ADEIINISTRATIVE, LEGISLATIVE, AND JUDICIAL-STAFF AGENCIES |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Judicial-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Elections |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Civil courts |  |  |  | Domestic relations courts |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Medical } \\ \text { snd } \\ \text { social } \\ \text { service } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Undistrib- } \\ & \text { uted and } \\ & \text { other } \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\text { sion }}{\substack{\text { Supervi- }}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Registra- } \\ \text { tion } \end{gathered}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Primary } \\ \text { elec- } \\ \text { tions } \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\int_{\text {General }}^{\text {Glections }}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Spectal } \\ \text { eleo- } \\ \text { thons } \end{array}$ |
|  |  | Chancery | Probate | Law |  | Juvenile | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { other } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\underset{\substack{\text { Major } \\ \text { claims }}}{ }$ | Small <br> claims |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

group il. -cities having a population of 300,000 to 500,000-continued



|  | Long Beach, Cel |  |  |  | ---------- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16,106 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 53 | Nashville, Tenn |  |  |  |  | 10,377 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 54 | Springitild, Mass |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,950 | ---------- |  | 26,065 | -------- |
| 55 56 | Tu1sa, Okla.----- |  |  |  |  | 4,056 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7,798 |  |
| 57 | Des Moines, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2,143 |  | 24,034 | 2,249 |
| 58 | Scranton, Pa. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 59 | Salt Lake Cit |  |  | 10,800 | 11,496 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20,020 | -- |
| 60 | Yonkors, N . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3,662 | 20,195 |  |
| 61 | Paterson, N. |  |  | 27,555 | ---- |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9,780 |  |  |
| 62 | Jacksonville, Fle |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4,500 |  | 6,495 | 292 |
| 63 64 | Albany, N. Y. | 18,352 |  |  | 23,290 29,839 |  | 19,627 | 2,963 | 4,914 | 475 | 28,403 4,028 | 7,101 | 7,131 3,759 |  |
| 65 | Trenton, N. J |  |  |  | 15,161 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2,448 |  |
| 66 67 | Chattanooga, Tenn. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2,957 |  |
| 68 | Fort Wayne, I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 69 | Camden, N. J. |  |  | 18,395 |  |  |  |  | 5,459 |  |  | 4,635 | 4,471 |  |
| 70 | Erie, Pa. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 333 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 71 | Elizabeth, N. J. |  | ---- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8,314 | 1,112 |  |
| 72 | Wrohita, Kans. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2,600 |  | 12,684 2,723 |  |
| 74 | Spokane, Mash.-- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,234 | -- |  |  |
| 75 | Cambridga, Mass. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10,775 |  | -------- | 22,501 |  |
| 76 | New Bedford, Mas |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6,797 |  |  |  |
| 77 | Reading, Pa. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | -------- |  |  |
| 78 | Knoxrille, Tenn |  |  |  |  | 5,838 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5,915 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 79 \\ & 80 \end{aligned}$ | Peoria, Ill.--- South Bend, Ind |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9,030 | 2,840 |  |  | 25,805 |  |
| 81 | Tacors, Wash. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | -------- | ---------- |  | 6,791 |  |
| 82 | ${ }^{218 a m 1, ~ F l a . ~}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 25,087 | 1,775 |
| 883 | Gary, Ind. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12,464 | -------- |  | -------- |  |  |
| 85 | Wilmington, Del. |  |  |  | 15,224 | 5,435 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 3,664 \\ 19,857 \end{array}$ |  |
|  | Tampa, Fla. |  |  |  |  |  |  | -------- | ---------- |  |  | ----- | 4,604 | -- |
| 87 | Somerville, M |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4,694 | 10,015 | 2,657 | 2,648 | ------- |
| 88 | El Paso, Tex. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 596 |  |
| 90 | Lymn, Mass..--- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2,200 |  |  | 20,084 |  |
| 91 | Utica, N. Y. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2,800 | 22,008 |  |
| 92 | Duluth, Minn |  |  |  | 43,331 |  |  |  |  | 1,500 | 3,857 31,809 | 4,750 | ${ }_{6,677}^{8,775}$ |  |
| 99 | Waterbury, Lowell, Mass. |  | 1,050 |  |  |  |  |  | 3,446 |  | 31,809 | 3,697 | rer $\begin{array}{r}8,775 \\ 24,134\end{array}$ |  |
|  | Honolulu, Hewali 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 39,428 | -------- |  |  |  |  |  |  |

1/ Not included in group or grand totals.

TABLE 16. - COST PAYMENTS FOR OPERATION AND MAINTENANGE, BY FUNC'TIONS IN DETAIL: 1937-Continued

|  | CITY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Finance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Law |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\underset{\substack{\text { Supervi- } \\ \text { sion }}}{ }$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Accounting } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { internal } \\ \text { auditing } \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Budget- } \\ \text { ing } \end{gathered}$ | Assessment and levy of taxes | Collection, custody, and disbursement of funds | Licensing | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Purchasing } \\ & \text { and } \\ & \text { custody of } \\ & \text { supplies } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Debt ad- } \\ \text { ministra- } \\ \text { tion } \end{array}$ | Admini- <br> stration of <br> special funds and investments | Counsel advice | Criminal <br> prosecution | Special civil counsel | Special criminal tion |
|  | Grand to | \$676,266 | \$8,135,074 | \$777,041 | \$539,205 | \$10,201,629 | \$16,058,733 | \$1,833,728 | \$3,380,207 | \$3,142,624 | \$828,083 | \$6,138,397 | \$4,270,589 | \$626,831 | \$398,688 |
|  | Group IGroup II Group II | $\begin{array}{r} 441,116 \\ 92,289 \\ 142,861 \end{array}$ | 5,536,285 $1,070,570$ $1,528,219$ | 288,643 186,117 302,281 | 489,474 171861 31,870 | $6,671,767$ $1,767,866$ $1,761,996$ | $\begin{array}{r}10,746,820 \\ 2,190,156 \\ 3,121,57 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,157,442 \\ 280,355 \\ 395,931 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,599,725 \\ 381,230 \\ 399,252 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,143,487 \\ 542,848 \\ 456,289 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 521,354 \\ & 124,019 \\ & 182,710 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,948,130 \\ 796,722 \\ 1,393,545 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,561,228 \\ 533,944 \\ 175,417 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 329,157 \\ 24,082 \\ 273,592 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 324,765 \\ 73,148 \\ 775 \end{array}$ |
| group I. -cities having a population of 500,000 and over |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | New York, N. Y.- | \$175,046 | \$2,072,297 | -------- | \$353,060 | \$1,091,700 | \$2,652,432 | \$203,879 | \$1,354,996 | \$123,001 | \$195,894 | \$1,422,060 | \$1,984,722 | - | \$278,345 |
| 2 | Chicago, Ill.-------- | 95,065 | 931,542 | \$36,614 |  | 1,437,258 | 3,208,410 | 402,380 | 235,319 | 187,692 | 40,687 | 739,835 | 92,586 | \$218,629 |  |
| 3 | Philadelphia, Pa. | 21,361 | 145,727 | 14,069 |  | 436,103 | 696,221 | 11,800 | 119,942 | 1,207,840 | 203,794 | 242,171 | 294,111 | 23,668 | -------- |
| 4 | Detroit, Mich.-- |  | 470,748 | 43,845 | 48,812 | 484,724 | 1,153,679 | 51,045 | 98,091 | 89,600 | 837 | 140,135 | 168,352 | 2,480 | -------- |
| 5 | Los Angeles, Calif. Cleveland, Ohio--- | 13,321 | 571,772 203,570 | 48,690 88,712 | 48,696 | 725,219 298,553 | 616,716 237,128 | 36,315 24,356 | 170,271 39,192 | 939 35,166 | 837 1,592 | 213,960 64,639 | 449,851 | 27,280 3,485 | -------- |
| 7 | St. Louis, Mo. | 43,009 | 92,490 | 3,075 | 8,052 | 256,496 | 252,406 | 150,271 | 47,078 | 17,769 | 7,121 | 65,248 | 84,260 | 19,845 | 4,185 |
| 8 | Baltimore, ma. |  | 188,187 | 27,898 | 12,620 | 183,303 | 241,650 | 52,704 <br> 54 <br> 169 | 30,020 | 5,103 | 2,308 | 145,293 147,231 | 50,497 | ---- | ----------- |
| 10 | Boston, Mass.--------- | 49,432 27,582 | 103,583 167,767 | 7,216 9,057 | 12,620 | 330,586 569,319 | 256,664 632,261 | 54,469 | 65,367 118,249 | 5,103 441,106 | 17,190 15,243 | 147,231 268,293 | 107,699 | 18,770 | ---------- |
| 11 | San Franclsco, Calif. | 10,000 | 206,645 | 5,100 | --------- | 229,212 | 231,220 | 24,597 | 165,810 | 1,666 | 25,999 | 86,934 | 124,676 | 15,000 | 42,235 |
| 12 | Washington, D. c.---- |  | 102,612 |  |  | 228,787 | 72,716 | 88,708 | 56,552 |  | ${ }^{6}$ | 89,009 | 12,640 |  |  |
| 13 14 | Milwaukee, Wis. Buffalo, $\mathrm{N} . \mathrm{Y}$. | 6,300 | 128,401 150,944 | 1,488 2,879 | 4,976 13,258 | 180,609 219,898 | 305,413 189,904 | 1,380 55,538 | 31,615 67,223 | 20,216 13,389 | 10,644 39 | 105,422 217,900 | 4,000 56,480 | ---------- |  |

GROUP II.-CITIES HAVING A POPULATION OF 300,000 TO 500,000

| 15 | nncapolis, |  | \$166,042 | \$1 | \$12,496 | 制 109 | \$99;879 | \$34, | \$23,291 |  | \$20 | \$52,995 | \$72.598 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 | New Orleans, Le | \%7,458 | 68,369 | 15,816 |  | 108,133 | 301,481 | 41,943 | 18,234 | \$462,826 | 43,530 | 42,972 | 45,777 |  |  |
| 17 | Cincinnati, ohi | 9,322 | 123,846 | 37,194 | 14 | 189,608 | 113,945 | 4,840 | 37,521 | 7,541 | 33,459 | 57,959 | 80,849 | \$2,119 |  |
| 18 | Newark, N. J. |  | ${ }^{64,712}$ | 19,673 | 300 | 292,443 | 259,331 | 25,873 | 76,103 | 13,579 | 8,120 | 216,329 | 48,389 | 10,918 | \$73,14 |
| 19 20 | Kensas C1ty, Seattle, Wash. | 13,876 9,515 | 93,757 88,841 | 23,023 12,757 | 946 | 199,760 148,168 | 295,484 234,910 | 72,683 34,524 | 18,224 42,084 | 15,476 | 4,748 7,777 | 68,491 110,347 | 46,622 32,835 |  |  |
| 21 |  |  | 92,062 | 27,547 |  | 129,905 | 115,329 | 1,500 | 15,564 | 1,754 | 1,314 | 32,687 | 58,733 | 948 |  |
| 22 | Rochester, N. Y. | 12,995 | 70,155 | 7,717 |  | 85,576 | 213,093 | 7,892 | 52,873 | 15,550 | 5,398 | 79,901 | 39,540 |  |  |


| $\begin{aligned} & 23 \\ & 24 \\ & 25 \\ & 26 \end{aligned}$ | Jersey City, N. J.-- <br> Houston, Tex. - <br> Louisville, Ky. <br> Portland, oreg. | $\begin{array}{r}27,455 \\ \hline 11,668\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 53,346 \\ 80,848 \\ 68,293 \\ 100,299 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14,947 \\ 1,819 \\ 4,256 \\ 19,592 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 224,162 \\ 136,836 \\ 48,123 \\ 95,885 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 169,868 \\ 159,277 \\ 94,053 \\ 133,506 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,006 \\ \hdashline 16, \ldots 97 \\ 38,879 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6,283 \\ 13,481 \\ 14,842 \\ 8,750 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,952 \\ 6,416 \\ 15,960 \\ 1,794 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17,438 \\ 2,065 \\ 250 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 128,719 \\ 29,866 \\ 39872 \\ 36,584 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 56,86 \\ & 19,557 \\ & 32,388 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,585 \\ \hdashline 7,582 \\ \hline 530 \end{array}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| group ili.-CItiss having a population of 100,000 TO 300,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27 | Columbus, 0 |  | \$37,442 | \$19,360 |  | 3,617 | \$61,580 | 2,444 | \$6,109 | \$327 | 17,499 | \$24,671 | \$6,400 | \$109,308 |  |
| 28 | Toledo, oh1 | \$4,913 | 18,131 | 16,521 |  | 9,154 | 9,318 | 2,739 | 8,467 | 7,877 | 103 | 22,407 | 5,400 | 57,654 | -...-...- |
| 29 | Oakland, Cald |  | 61,318 |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r}33,441 \\ \hline 209\end{array}$ | 24,982 | 8,705 |  | 8,894 | 34,572 35 | 1,014 |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & 31 \end{aligned}$ | Denver, Colo. itlanta, Ca. |  | 39,399 45,776 | 11,033 |  | 116,520 58,006 | 221,809 103,273 | 51,998 6,894 | 13,999 | 4,602 | 24,133 | 35,050 26,303 | 41,919 | 3,265 |  |
| 32 | Dallas, Tex. | 3,420 | 24,084 | 2,995 | \$7,699 | 44,552 | 42,933 |  | 10,975 | 5,818 | -------- | 27,289 | 2,400 | -------- | 50 |
| 33 | St. Paul, Minn | 26,112 | 38,413 | 2,010 |  |  | 25,693 | 9,895 | 18,271 | 15,023 |  | 31,139 |  |  |  |
| 34 | Birmingham, Ale | 6,500 | 18,881 | 3,454 |  | 11,149 | 23,569 | 22,080 | 11,209 | 6,908 | 10,850 | 22,181 | 7,500 | 6,500 |  |
| 35 36 | Akron, Ohio-- <br> Memphis, Tenn | 4,169 | 39,006 20,896 | 7,773 10,720 |  | 33,209 26,978 | 37,708 36,023 | 2,271 15,265 | 5,572 10,054 | 15,022 2,021 | 1,986 80 | 16,723 28,976 | $\begin{aligned} & 4,800 \\ & 4,025 \end{aligned}$ | 5,164 |  |
| 37 | Prov |  | 23 | 1,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15,390 |  |  |
| 38 | San Antonio, | 10,600 | 39,094 | 900 |  | 58,829 | 36,942 | 7,596 | 7,189 | 2,857 |  | 27,585 |  | 26,717 |  |
| 39 40 | Omaha, Nobr. |  |  | 426 |  |  | 78,477 88,235 | 2,048 8,585 | 4,704 8,684 | 503 | 5 | 19,609 31,796 | 2,698 2,500 |  |  |
| 41 | Dayton, ohio | 6,326 | 25,135 | 5,734 |  | $\stackrel{\text { re, }}{2,171}$ | 88,184 20,185 | 8,198 | 22,574 | 3,750 |  | 10,115 | 2,160 | ----------- |  |
| 42 | Oklahoma city, |  | 26,286 | 5,110 |  | 4,573 | 25,320 | 7,459 |  | 5,055 |  | 32,136 |  |  |  |
| 43 | Worcester, Mass |  | 26,979 | 12,196 |  | 52,758 | 96,043 | 8,274 | ----...-- | 3,958 | 21 | 16,352 |  | ---------- |  |
| 4 | R1chmond, Va | 6,734 | 26,994 |  |  | 48,719 | 55,121 | 22,128 |  | $\begin{array}{r}10,790 \\ 5,808 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |  | 29,573 | 7,741 |  |  |
| 46 | Youngstown, Grand Raplds, | 4,950 | $\begin{array}{r} 9,839 \\ 16,130 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7,390 \\ & 2,198 \end{aligned}$ | 3,850 | 25,083 21,764 | 30,626 29,106 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1,260 \\ & 3,553 \end{aligned}$ | 5,808 | 656 503 | $\begin{aligned} & 25,706 \\ & 10,347 \end{aligned}$ | 5,090 |  |  |
| 47 | Fort Worth, Te |  | 17,428 | 4,220 |  | 35,068 | 64,202 | ---------- | 4,504 | 7,062 | -------- | 18,701 |  |  |  |
| 48 | Hartford, Conn. |  | 16,980 | 407 |  | 63,575 | 70,398 | ---------- |  | 4,302 | 854 | 30,548 | --------... | --------- | ---.---- |
| 49 | Flint, Mich |  | 15,443 | 2,372 |  | 38,347 | 35,768 | - | 2,341 |  | -------- | 5,508 |  |  |  |
| 50 | Now Haven, Conn. | 4,194 | 18,594 | 1,025 |  | 32,380 | 43,796 |  |  | 2,106 | --------- | 15,434 |  | --------- |  |
| 51 52 5 | San Dlego, Calif |  | 50,329 60,971 | 4,110 2,465 | 3,082 |  | 42,235 | 9,653 12,509 | 28,864 |  |  | 33,980 <br> 36,606 | 8,544 23,095 |  |  |
| 53 | Nashville, Tern. |  | 59,595 |  |  | 16,728 | 15,482 | 9,607 | 11,500 | 17 |  | 32,348 |  |  |  |
| 54 | Springfield, Mas |  | 29,255 |  |  | 65,383 | 73,913 | 6,070 |  | 1,161 | 100 | 22,884 | ---------- |  |  |
| 55 | Tulsa, okla. |  | 27,628 | 1,946 |  |  | 18,983 | 5,720 | 5,991 | 7,206 |  | 22,266 | 0 | 3,325 |  |
| 56 |  |  | 23,843 | 10,000 | 5,585 | 40,395 5,780 | 38,578 |  | 16,241 | --------- | 5,304 | 21,102 |  |  |  |
| 57 | Des Moines, Iowa | 3,025 | 15,575 | 388 |  | 5,780 | 17,234 | 6,747 | 4,305 | 807 | 1,423 | 29,759 | 1,810 |  |  |
| 58 | Scranton, Pa.- |  | 19,092 |  |  | 1,244 | 79,461 |  | 3,042 | 20,911 | 850 | 31,171 | ---------- | ---..---- |  |
| 59 | Salt Lake City, |  | 21,382 | 4,541 |  | 25,600 | 50,892 | 9,478 | 13,159 | 7,929 | 121 | 15,667 | ---------- |  |  |
| 61 | Yonkerson, N. | 11,000 | 47,711 13,795 | 8,020 | 1,561 | 46,483 19,961 | - ${ }_{37,298}$ | 3,300 15,415 | 10,982 | 11,354 |  | 14,001 |  |  |  |
| 62 | Jacksontille, |  | 27,411 | 4,469 |  | 19,656 | 71,122 | 3,225 | 10,978 |  |  | 29,526 |  |  |  |
| 63 | Albany, N. |  | 31,035 |  |  | 39,126 | 52,584 |  | 4,013 | 5,172 |  | 22,338 | 3,300 |  |  |
| 64 | Norfolk, |  | 22,747 | 2,950 |  | 47,827 | 74,157 | 12,825 | 7,773 | 4,044 | ------- | 17,391 | 6,989 | -------- |  |
| 65 | Trenton, N |  | 15,419 | 3,000 |  | 32,403 | 35,597 |  | 8,220 | 180 |  | 10,020 |  |  |  |
| 66 | Chattanooga, Te |  | 8,6 | 2,100 |  |  | 15,858 | 3,343 11,650 | 3,226 <br> 3,835 | 11,216 | 10 | 10,402 |  |  |  |
| 68 | Kansas city, Kans. Fort Wayne, Ind. | 2,700 | 11,018 8,207 | 5,899 1,920 | 193 | 4,176 | 11,764 |  | 3,835 19 | 983 47 |  | 24,479 |  |  |  |

TABLE 16. - COST PAYMENTS FOR OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE BY FUNCTIONS IN DETAIL: 1937-Continued

|  | CITY | I.-Gensrai administrative, lbgislative, and judicial-staff agencies-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Finance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Law |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Supervi- } \\ \text { sion } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Accounting } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { internal } \\ \text { auditing } \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Budgot- } \\ \text { ing } \end{gathered}$ | Assessment and levy of taxes | Collection, custody, and disbursement of funds | Licensing | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Purchasing } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { custody of } \\ \text { supplites } \end{array}\right\|$ | Debt ad-ministration | Administration of special funds pestinrestments | Counsel and legal advice | Criminal prosecution | Special civil counse | Special criminal tion |

group iti.-CITIES having a population of 100,000 to 300,000-Continued

| 69 | Camdon, N. J |  | \$24,861 | \$3,656 | --------- | \$39,671 | \$73,733 | \$4,545 | \$2,247 | \$8,744 | \$18,297 | \$12,466 |  | \$243 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 70 | Erie, Pa. | \$2,250 | 14,103 | 1,991 |  | 29,464 | 63,676 |  |  | 76,081 | 942 | 24,241 |  |  |  |
| 71 | K11zabeth, N. J |  | 34,000 | 4,500 |  | 27,822 | 21,833 |  |  | 158 | 149 | 18,016 |  |  |  |
| 72 73 | Wichita, Kans.- Spokane, Wash. | 4,650 | 9,654 | 1,952 3,279 |  |  | 7,998 14.782 | 4,280 1,932 | 1,717 | 5,185 |  | 4,036 | \$6,041 |  |  |
| 74 | Fall River, Mass. | -------- | 8,627 | ${ }_{346}$ | --------- | 20,474 | ${ }_{28,181}^{14,}$ |  | 1,160 | 1,501 | 48,629 | -13,166 |  |  |  |
| 75 | Cambridge, Mass. |  | 14,846 | 6,152 |  | 30,180 | 46,980 | 7,585 | 8,225 | 3,995 |  | 17,759 |  |  |  |
| 76 | New Bedford, Mas |  | 10,615 | 5,386 |  | 23,775 | 33,968 | 3,484 |  | 3,940 | 2,077 | 7,314 |  |  |  |
| 77 | Reading, Pa. |  | 5,914 | 950 |  | 12,014 | 54,519 | 925 | 2,432 | 57,649 | ---- | 19,899 | --- |  |  |
| 78 | Knoxville, Tend | 5,389 | 6,372 | 4,400 | 45,327 | 13,176 | 34,737 |  | 7,698 | 17,994 | -------- | 10,308 | ---------- | 1,000 | -------- |
| 79 | Peoria, Ill.- | 3,569 | 4,650 | 1,683 |  | 11,358 | 75,379 | 2,717 |  | 665 | --------- | 10,757 | 2,000 |  |  |
| 80 | South Bend, In | 6,80 | 7,886 | 8,627 |  | 264 | 12,010 22,632 | 1,719 |  | 61 | 5,274 | 4,146 9,649 | 6,584 | 274 |  |
| 82 | M1ami, Fla. | 8,934 | 27,532 | 3,845 | -------- | 40,083 | 67,199 | 15,454 | 5,848 | 56,057 | 3,127 | 47,100 |  | 13,823 |  |
| 83 | Gary, Ind. | 3,630 | 19,776 | 4,616 |  | 300 | 2,804 |  |  | 271 |  | 7,548 |  | 377 |  |
| 84 | Canton, Ohio |  | 12,803 | 1,413 | --------- | 14,463 | 18,895 | 30 | 2,857 | 5,529 | --------- | 6,899 | 1,768 | 200 | 625 |
| 85 | wilmington, D |  | 7,672 | 4,000 |  | 13,744 | 31,187 | 2,420 |  | 1,482 |  | 8,958 | 1,800 |  |  |
| 86 | Tampa, Fla. | --------- | 17,139 | 3,700 | --...-.- | 11,665 | 44,872 | 4,764 | 2,094 | 3,185 | 414 | 21,517 | ---------- | 500 | -------- |
| 87 88 | Somarville, |  | 10,510 | 7,302 1,500 |  | 30,874 9 9 , | 56,225 | 3,334 |  | 4,158 |  | 6,168 |  |  |  |
| 89 | Evansvilie, Ind |  | 14,036 | 1,430 |  | ${ }^{9} 900$ | 26,697 | 1,992 | 1,600 | 400 |  | 7,347 |  |  |  |
| 90 | Lymn, ikass. |  | 8,906 | 31 |  | 25,394 | 36,671 | 3,340 | 1,866 | 2,075 | 10,238 | 13,858 |  | 33,242 |  |
| 91 | Utica, N. Y. | 6,000 | 12,233 |  | 4,573 | 27,748 | 29,877 |  |  | 10,274 |  | 16,781 |  |  |  |
| 92 | Du1uth, Minn. |  | 12,768 | 3,926 |  | 29,159 | 18,115 | 4,461 | 7,721 | 2,181 |  | 22,148 |  |  |  |
| 93 | Waterbury, Conn | 6,988 | 23,221 | 36,722 9 |  | 36,522 25,209 | 44, 455 |  | 5,443 8,014 | 234 |  | 43,437 |  | 12,000 |  |
| 94 | Lowell, Mass |  | 13,255 | 9,256 |  | 25,209 | 35,353 | 6,931 | 8,014 | 2,500 |  | 9,799 |  |  |  |
|  | Honolulu, Hawali 1/- | ------- | 36,751 | 200 | -------- | ------ | 101,194 | ----- | ---------- | 2,456 | 1,099 | 24,584 | 33,982 | -------- | -------- |

/ Not included in group or grand total.
TABLE 16．－COST PAYMENTS FOR OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE BY FUNCTIONS IN DETAIL：1937－Continued

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 苟 } \\ & \text { 品 } \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \end{aligned}$ | CITY | I．－ginerai administrative，lrgislarive，and rodiciai－staff agencirs－Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Recording and reporting |  |  |  | Administrative offices and boards |  | Planning and zoning |  | Personnel administration |  | Research and investigation |  |  |
|  |  | Municipal | Recording deeds and mortgages | General public reports | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { other } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { city eng1- } \\ \text { neir and } \\ \text { public } \\ \text { works } \end{gathered}$ | All other | Planning | zoning | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Personnel } \\ \text { selection } \\ \text { and zamini- } \\ \text { stration } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Pension } \\ \text { administra- } \\ \text { tion } \end{gathered}$ | Research bureaus | Special research projects | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Public } \\ & \text { officers } \\ & \text { associa- } \\ & \text { tions } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Grand | \＄2，700，189 | \＄4，094，693 | \＄554，385 | \＄40，970 | \＄3，856，019 | \＄2，831，695 | \＄766，355 | \＄303，313 | \＄1，681，727 | \＄1，114，364 | \＄316，514 | \＄409，551 | \＄57，824 |
|  | Group I－－－ Group II－ Group III－ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,829,564 \\ 361,202 \\ 509,423 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,228,334 \\ 687,469 \\ 178,890 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 485,950 \\ 23,014 \\ 45,421 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19,437 \\ 15,158 \\ 6,37 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,769,370 \\ 702,748 \\ 1,383,901 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,471,526 \\ 117,964 \\ 242,205 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 428,525 \\ & 154,287 \\ & 183,543 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 164,299 \\ 51,662 \\ 87,352 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,336,437 \\ 136,471 \\ 208,819 \end{array}$ | 873,079 <br> 137,137 <br> 104,148 | $\begin{array}{r} 255,026 \\ 25,180 \\ 35,308 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 237,641 \\ 288,306 \\ 143,604 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19,652 \\ & 13,630 \\ & 25,142 \end{aligned}$ |
| grotip I．－Citites having a poputation or 500，000 and over |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | New York，N．Y．－－ Chicago，Iil． | \＄1，231，418 120,131 | \＄1，062，006 | \＄439，513 ${ }^{785}$ | \＄19，437 | $\begin{array}{r}\$ 295,344 \\ 57,679 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |  |  |  | $\$ 435,118$ 267,885 | $\$ 337,472$ 334,461 | $\$ 128,438$ 12,843 |  | \＄－－1．－575 |
| 3 | Philaediphia，Pa． |  | 280，598 <br> 234 | 2，600 | －－－－－－－－ | － $\begin{array}{r}\text { 57，679 } \\ 227,747\end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 242,014 \\ & 104,886 \end{aligned}$ | $\$ 40,336$ <br> 20,438 | $\$ 75,865$ 20,134 | 267,885 <br> 78,146 | 334,461 19,979 | 12，843 | \＄122，133 7,200 | $\begin{array}{r}\text { \＄5，575 } \\ \hline 750\end{array}$ |
| 5 | Detroit，M1ch．－－－－－ Los Angeles，Calif． | 194，528 | 119,615 290,216 |  | －－－－－－－－－－ | 106,644 <br> 377,282 | 6，026 | 18，291 |  | 82，080 | 735 | －－－．－．．．－－ | 103 | 3，872 |
| 6 | Los Angeles，Calif．－－－－－ | 46，923 | 290,216 69,344 129 | 3，818 | －－－－－－－－－－－ | 377,282 201,35 | 52，722 | 72,013 18,258 | 13，375 | 181,807 35,977 | 36,123 3,335 | 102，051 | －－－－－－－－－－－ | 4，101 |
| 7 | St．Louls，Mo． | 18，232 | 112，977 | 6，249 | － | 29，580 | 78，348 | 15，723 |  | 27，677 | 7，958 | －－－－－－－－－ | －－－－－－－－－ | 130 |
| 8 | Baltimore，Ma．－－－－－ Boston，Mass． | 44，256 | 210，999 | 31，260 | －－－－－－－－－－－ | 102,071 32,756 | －－－－－12 | 26，642 | 26,157 6,645 | 20，726 | 25,360 32,178 | 11，694 | －－ | －－－－－－－－－－ |
| 10 | Pittsburge，Pa． |  | 153，447 |  | －－－－－－－－－ | 102，642 |  | 75，589 |  | 31，831 | 18，557 |  | 46，955 |  |
| 11 | San Francisco，Calif．－－ | 109，738 | 99,806 129,431 | 1，725 | －－－－－－－－ | 94，803 | $\begin{array}{r}32,775 \\ \hline 169633\end{array}$ | 4，253 | 12，757 | 69，572 | 37，819 |  |  | 650 |
| 12 | Washington，D．C．．．－－－－－ | 35，829 | 129,431 59,230 |  | －－－－－－－－ | 29,266 48,046 | 169,633 24,260 | 36,794 60,768 | 2，316 | 66，928 |  | －－－－－－－－－－－－ | 55,250 6,000 | 4，574 |
| 14 | Buffalo，N．Y．－－－－－－ | 28，509 | 106，026 |  |  | 64，－75 | 172，191 | 9，774 | 7，050 | 38，690 | 5，892 |  |  | 4，574 |

TABLE 16.-COST PAYMENTS FOR OPERATION AND MATNTENANCE BY FUNCTIONS IN DETAIL: 1937—Continued

|  | CITY | I.-GENERAL ADMINISTRATIVE, IEGISLATIVE, AND JUDICIAL-STAFF AgENCIES-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Recording and reporting |  |  |  | Administrative offices and boards |  | Planning and zoning |  | Personnel administration |  | Research and investigation |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Municipal } \\ \text { clerk } \end{gathered}$ | Recording deeds and mortgages | General <br> public <br> reports | $\stackrel{\text { All }}{\text { other }}$ | City engineer and public works | All other | Planning | zoning | Personnel selection and administration | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pension } \\ & \text { administra- } \\ & \text { tion } \end{aligned}$ | Research bureaus | Special research projects | Public officers associations |
| group iti.-Citites maving a population of 100,000 to 300,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27 | Columbus, ohio | \$6,123 | ----------- | \$6,823 | -------- | \$17,968 | \$11,773 | \$283 | --------- | \$8,665 | ----------- | -- |  | --- |
| 28 29 | Toledo, Ohio-- | 8,200 |  | 3,664 806 | --.-.-.---- | 8,742 47333 |  | 4,716 8,466 |  | 8,188 22,735 | \$480 | ---------- | \$1,000 | -- |
| 30 | Denver, Colo.- | 3,099 | \$53,980 | 647 | --------- | 63,532 | 17,610 | 2,538 | \$8,626 | 4,546 | ------------ | ------------ | 1,140 | \$967 |
| 31 | Atlanta, Ga. | 9,716 |  |  |  | 8,020 |  |  | 11,242 |  | 22 |  | 6,333 | 350 |
| 32 | Dallas, Tex.-... | 10,400 | ---------------- | ----------- | ---------- | 34,623 | ---- | 4,869 4,805 |  | $\begin{array}{r}7,368 \\ \hline 17\end{array}$ | 38 7 | ------------ |  | 300 |
| 34 | St. Paul, minn. | 17,830 4,000 |  | 424 | ----------- | 8,953 | -.-.-....... | 1,363 | 5,458 | -8,234 | 3,776 | --.-.-.-.- | 150 | 799 |
| 35 | Akron, ohio-- |  | ------------ | 97 | -------- | 14,936 |  | 2,775 | 652 | 1,724 | 286 |  |  |  |
| 36 | Memphis, Tenn. | 3,079 |  | 674 |  | 9,000 |  | 4,567 | 1,896 | 4,848 |  | \$9,996 |  | 904 |
| 37 | Providence, R. I. | 15,193 | 27,814 | 2,459 | -------- | 68,104 | 13,724 | 1,730 | 5,318 | $3.1-$ | 9,493 | --- |  | 270 |
| 38 38 | San Antonio, Tex. Omaha, Nebr. | 17,799 10,113 |  |  |  | 9,000 28,297 | - | 157 | ---------- | 3,270 | 483 | 22,485 | 10,177 | 75 |
| 40 | Syracuse, N. Y | 15,644 |  |  |  | 63,674 | 12,539 | 15,917 | --- | 7,600 | 38 | --------- | --------- | 750 |
| 41 | Dayton, Ohio- |  |  | 89 |  | 4,825 |  | 2,564 | 1,462 | 4,564 | 565 |  |  |  |
| 42 | Oklahoma City, okla. | 10,210 |  | 1,252 | --------- | 8,428 |  | 8,292 | 1,778 | 1,727 | ------...- | --------- | ---------- | 192 |
| 43 | Worcester, Mass.----- Richmond, | 20,951 5,535 |  | 7,088 |  | 49,248 42,076 | 18,421 | 100 3,556 | 1,764 193 | 2,739 | 1,229 213 |  |  | 2,250 |
| 45 | Youngstow, ohio |  |  | 111 |  | 25,320 |  |  | 1,732 | 2,375 | 789 |  |  |  |
| 46 | Grand Rapids, Mich | 8,753 | 32 |  |  | 29,278 |  | 52 |  | 1,384 |  |  |  | 1,074 |
| 47 | Fort Worth, Tex. | 3,141 |  | --------- | -------- | 16,052 |  |  |  | 653 | - | 679 | 6,872 | 500 |
| 48 | Hartford, Conn. Flint, Mich.-- | 3,008 6,329 | 38,674 |  |  | 14,600 25,076 | 44,112 | 18,670 15 | 500 | 5,021 | 16 |  | 40,765 | 487 |
| 50 | New Haven, Conn. | 8,676 | 26,159 |  |  | 28,710 | 3,833 | 1,350 |  | 1,091 | 2,162 |  |  |  |
| 51 | San Diego, Calif. | 11,365 |  | 1,47? |  | 56,662 |  | 2,944 | 2,894 | 15,881 | 3,098 |  | 16,600 | 570 |
| 52 | Long Beach, Calif. | 7,501 | ------------ | 1,383 | ---.-.-. | 17,893 |  | 947 | 103 | 9,578 | 2,178 | - |  | 89 |
| 54 |  | 20,561 |  | 2,102 |  | 19,223 |  | 5,172 6,229 | 5,172 | 3,976 | 3,611 |  | 108 | 317 |
| 55 | Tulsa, okla. |  |  | 1,180 | -------- | 14,015 | ------------ | 1,755 | 605 | 1,889 |  |  |  |  |
| 56 | Bridgeport, Conn. | 8,345 | 19,766 | 4,033 |  | 42,399 |  | ${ }^{903}$ | 184 | 6,147 | ---------- |  |  | 80 |


| 58 | Scranton, Pa.----..- | 4,572 | ----------- | 627 | --------- | 25,303 | ------------- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 59 | Salt Lake City, Utah | 10,365 |  |  |  | 11,972 | ---- | 666 | 1,516 | 1,932 | , 385 | -------.--- | 3,789 | 2,398 |
| 60 | Yonkers, N. Y.------ | 17,662 |  |  |  | 108,121 |  | 7,297 | 348 | 5,610 |  |  |  |  |
| 61 | Paterson, N. J.- | 3,602 |  |  |  | 23,068 |  | 575 |  |  | 605 | --------- | ---------- | 904 |
| 62 | Jacksonville, | 6,582 |  |  |  | 7,890 | 47,481 |  | 1,000 | 9,130 |  |  |  |  |
| 63 | Albany, N. Y | 6,420 |  |  |  | 33,040 | 4,406 | 7,244 |  | 3,467 | 115 |  |  |  |
| 64 | Norfolk, Va.- | 2,121 | --.......--- | 1,413 | 3,758 | 17,453 | 16,771 | 923 | 16,864 | 2,443 |  | 2,148 | 913 | 1,950 |
| 65 | Trenton, N. J. | 8,485 | 43 |  |  | 9,165 |  |  |  |  | 583 |  |  |  |
| 67 | Kansas City, K | 14,495 |  |  | 24 | 4,427 |  | 15,380 |  | 1,800 |  |  |  | 755 170 |
| 68 | Fort Wayne, Ind | 3,753 |  |  | --------- | 15,435 | ------.-.-- | 3,849 | --------- |  | 557 | --------- |  |  |
| 69 | Canden, N. J. | 2,961 |  | 331 |  | 3,600 | ----------- |  | --------- |  | 691 |  | 16,751 | 215 |
| 70 | Erie, Pa. | 3,521 |  | 616 |  | 8,100 |  | 2,409 | 1,825 | 620 |  |  |  | 250 |
| 72 | Elizabeth, N. J. | 6,828 |  | 260 | -------- | 37,076 | 3,527 | 352 | 339 |  | 250 |  |  | 747 |
| 73 | Spokane, Wask. | 14,709 |  | 441 | ----.-.- | 14,761 |  | 5,093 | --------- | 1,923 |  |  |  | 248 |
| 74 | Fall River, Mass | 8,775 |  | 339 | -------- | 3,301 |  |  | --------- |  | 440 |  |  | 70 |
| 75 | Cambridge, Mass. | 20,238 |  | 2,000 |  | 6,896 |  | 1,093 | 1,184 |  | 2,695 |  |  | 150 |
| 77 | New Bedford, Mass Reading, Pa.---- | 18,381 3,479 | 910 | 458 | 2,593 | 13,562 9,947 |  | (10,248 | 542 | ${ }_{244}^{496}$ | 2,255 |  |  |  |
| 78 | Knoxville, Tenn |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 79 | Peoria, Ill. | 4,605 |  |  |  |  |  | 2,322 | 165 |  | 4,911 |  | -------... | - |
| 80 | South Bend, In | 1,545 |  |  |  | 7,795 |  | 2,803 |  |  | 251 | ---------- | --------- | - |
| 81 82 | Tacoma, Wash. | $\begin{aligned} & 3,440 \\ & 5,610 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 34,055 \\ & 13,771 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 7,205 | 4,998 7,900 | $\begin{aligned} & 1,037 \\ & 2,336 \end{aligned}$ |  | 15,537 | 500 |
|  | Gery, Inà. | 6,730 |  |  | ----...- |  |  | 396 | --- |  |  |  | 496 | 170 |
| 84 | Canton, Ohio |  |  | 49 |  | 11,979 |  |  |  | 2,286 | 124 |  | --------- |  |
| 85 | W11mington, De | 8,239 |  |  |  |  | 25,167 | --------- | 2,100 |  | 684 |  |  |  |
| 86 | Tampa, Fla.-- | 2,558 |  | 295 |  | 5,822 |  |  |  | 3,281 | 615 |  |  | 600 |
| 87 | Somerville, Mass | 16,474 |  | 3,797 |  | 16,389 | 402 | 1,061 | 2,401 |  | 3,049 | ------.--- |  | ---.---- |
| 88 | El Paso, Tex.... | 3,738 |  |  |  | 3,707 |  |  |  | 514 |  |  | 835 | 120 |
| 89 | Evansville, In | 3,068 |  |  |  | 7,949 18,573 |  | 5,227 |  |  | 407 |  |  | ---.---- |
| 90 | Lyan, Mass | 9,071 |  |  |  | 18,573 | 11,123 | 1,746 | 710 | 12 | 756 |  |  | -------- |
| 91 | Utica, N. Y. | 11,292 |  |  |  |  | 11,316 |  | 1,044 | 2,158 |  |  | 4,999 |  |
| ${ }_{93}^{92}$ | Duluth, Minn.- | 4,543 | 2,040 | 508 |  | 23, 211 |  | 6,574 |  | 3,654 | 39,383 |  | 1,439 | 940 |
| 93 | Waterbury, Conn | 11,473 10,591 | 9,472 |  |  | 17,483 14,386 |  | 97 |  | 920 | 2,500 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Honolulu, Hawai1 1 | 31,806 |  |  |  | 44,320 |  | 6,374 | 107 |  |  |  |  |  |

1/ Not included in group or grand totals.

TABIE 16. - COST PAYMENTS FOR OPERATION AND MAINTENANGE BY FUNCTIONS IN DETAIL: 1937—Continued

|  | CITY | I. -GENERAL ADMINISTRATIVE, LEGISLATIVE, AND JUICIAL-STAFF AGENCIES-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  | II.--PUBLIC SAFETY |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | General administrative buildings |  |  | Cormunity promotion |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { All } \\ & \text { other } \end{aligned}$ | Total | Police department |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Superv1- } \\ \text { sion } \end{array}$ | office buildings | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { other } \end{gathered}$ | Advertising | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Exposi- } \\ & \text { tions } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { A11 } \\ \text { other } \end{gathered}$ |  |  | Supervision | $\begin{gathered} \text { Police } \\ \text { training } \end{gathered}$ | General and criminal records | Identification records | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Communica- } \\ \text { tion } \\ \text { system } \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Detention } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { custody } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { prisoners } \end{array}$ |
|  | Grand total- | \$601,853 | \$20,908, 256 | \$1,921,793 | \$763,404 | \$109,873 | \$321,490 | \$180,534 | \$330,107,033 | \$2,707,177 | \$285,602 | \$229,743 | \$815,049 | \$3,445,801 | \$1,477,329 |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 382,109 \\ 48,523 \\ 171,221 \end{array}$ | $15,249,197$ $2,988,29$ $2,750,790$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,777,162 \\ 37,593 \\ 107,038 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 418,199 \\ 62,780 \\ 282,425 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}61,760 \\ \hdashline-18,113\end{array}$ | 274,497 11,35 35,648 | 77,039 16,13 86,582 | $\begin{array}{r} 223,777,412 \\ 35,964,481 \\ 70,365,140 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}1,348,842 \\ 433,10 \\ 925,325 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 195,815 47,154 42,633 | $\begin{array}{r} 467,145 \\ 76,045 \\ 186,553 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 396,001 \\ & 131,771 \\ & 287,277 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,184,115 \\ 221,584 \\ 1,040,102 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 721,024 \\ & 278,222 \\ & 478,083 \end{aligned}$ |


| 1 | Now York, N |  | \$7,714,036 | \$1,046,022 | -------- | \$9,554 | \$22,306 | -------- | \$86,624,288 | \$203,438 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Chicago, Ill, --- | \$43,220 26,335 | $1,760,430$ $1,009,706$ | 319,886 58,595 | \$1,987 | 2,263 | 11,483 |  | 27,652,974 | 24,733 67,346 | \$6,825 |  | + $\begin{array}{r}\text { \$11,232 } \\ \mathbf{2 5 , 1 9 6}\end{array}$ | $\$ 523,376$ 148,191 | \$20,023 |
| 4 | Detroit, Mich. -- | 6,898 | 459,336 | 80,690 | 1,578 |  | 4,103 |  | 16,153,920 | 157,694 |  | \$61,934 |  | 466,789 | 198,351 |
| 5 | Los Angeles, Calif. | 8,983 | 730,635 | 250,104 | 255,277 | 14,706 | 23,631 |  | 14,349,982 | 214,870 | 122,202 | 245,188 | 236,159 | 279,672 |  |
| ${ }_{7}^{6}$ | Cleveland, Ohio--- St. Louis, Mo..-- | 3,072 4,200 | 406,786 414,187 | 9,150 | 31,032 | 34,237 | 20,000 |  | $7,590,908$ $7,816,231$ | 55,845 | 12,180 | 41,217 | 36,878 | 79,092 144,395 | $\begin{array}{r} 43,881 \\ 225,139 \end{array}$ |
| 8 | Baltimore, Ma | 239,297 | 107,083 | 1,112 | 30,000 | 1,000 | 31,680 | \$10,001 | 7,775,889 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | Boston, Mass. | 22,149 | 794,558 |  |  |  | 8,710 | 64,090 | 9,721,080 | 179,700 | 4,064 | 38,716 | 36,630 | 129,770 | 18,852 |
| 10 | Pittsburgh, Pa, ----- | 9,118 3,120 | 569,040 379,580 | 10,752 | 96,439 |  | 17,150 88,666 | 2,238 710 | $5,488,168$ $7,113,864$ | 21,135 51,902 | 7,340 |  | ----------- | 87,431 75,497 | 114,612 |
| 12 | Washington, D. |  | 201,639 |  |  |  |  |  | 6,696,530 | 136,723 | 37,400 | 13,200 | 17,120 | 58,292 | 89,965 |
| 13 | M11 waukee, W1s | 13,496 | 309,679 |  | 1,886 |  |  |  | 5,177,130 | 84,401 | 3,640 |  | 13,320 | 123,045 |  |
| 14 | Buffalo, N. Y | 2,221 | 392,502 | 252 |  |  | 46,768 |  | 5,195,269 | 151,055 | 2,164 | 9,733 | 19,466 | 68,565 | 10,201 |

group II.-Cities having a population of 300,000 to 500,000

| 15 | Minneapo |  | 9,838 |  |  |  |  |  | \$2,769,554 |  |  |  | -------- | \$2,243 | \$21,824 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16. | New Orleans, |  | 7,698 |  | \$29,361 |  | \$8,560 |  | 3,275,744 | 27,468 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Cincinnati, Newark, | \$9,731 15,865 | 264,071 543,346 | $113,27 ?$ 8,321 |  |  | 2,785 |  | $3,036,361$ $5,913,892$ | 44,503 | \$4,000 |  |  | 17.135 |  |
| 19 | Kansa |  | 379,864 |  |  |  |  |  | 2,378,350 |  |  |  |  |  | 37,627 29880 |
| 20 |  | 19,827 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2, | 95,4 |  |  |  |  | 3,609 |
| 21 | Indianapolis, |  | 81,405 |  |  |  |  |  | 2,919,370 | 11,431 | 6,507 | \$7,770 |  | 33,728 | 76,151 |
| 22 | Roch | 3,100 | 246,505 |  |  |  |  |  | 2,513,493 | 99,359 | 4,445 | 11,085 | 9,630 | 54, 5 -03 | 17,167 25,365 |
|  | Jersey City, N. J. |  | 303, 94 | 16,00\| |  |  |  |  | 4,390,017 |  |  |  |  | 55,921 | 25,365 |


table.16.-COST Payments for operation and maintenance by functions in detail: 1937—Continued

|  | CITY | I. - GENERAL ADMINISTRATIVE, LEGISLATIVE, AND JUDICIAL-STAFF AGENCIES-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  | II.-PUBLIC SAFETY |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | General administrative buildings |  |  | Cormunity promotion |  |  | $\underset{\text { All }}{\text { Aller }}$ | Total | Police department |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\underset{\substack{\text { Supervi- } \\ \text { sion }}}{ }$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Office } \\ & \text { bufldings } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { All } \\ & \text { other } \end{aligned}$ | Advertising | Expositions | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { other } \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Supervi- } \\ \text { sion } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Police } \\ \text { training } \end{array}$ | General criminal records | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Identifif } \\ & \text { cation } \\ & \text { recordds } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cormunica- } \\ \text { tion } \\ \text { system } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Detention } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { custody } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { prisoners } \end{gathered}$ |

GROUP III.-CITIES HAVING A POPULATION OF 100,000 TO 300,000-Continued

| 69 | Camden, N. J |  | \$58,923 |  | \$516 |  |  | \$223 | \$801,712 | \$3,600 |  |  | \$622 | \$6,204 | \$6,033 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 70 | Erie, Pa.----- |  | 17,610 |  |  |  |  |  | 581,155 | 5,790 |  | \$50 | 2,200 | 4,906 |  |
| 71 | Elizabeth, N. J | \$506 | 19,456 |  |  |  |  |  | 1,016,973 | 15,131 | \$500 |  | 452 | 2,700 |  |
| 72 | Wichita, Kans. Spokane, Wash. |  | 10,274 37,435 |  |  |  | \$100 |  | 525,769 614,441 | 6,120 8,632 | 2,040 | 11,460 | 960 4,260 | \%,780 7,535 | 8,116 |
| 74 | Fall River, Mass. | 1,642 | 25,405 |  | 1,454 |  |  |  | 715,717 | 8,668 | 255 |  | +295 | 6,998 | 978 |
| 75 | Cambridge, Mas | 10,412 | 44,946 | \$2,036 | 1,369 | -------- |  | 29,366 | 1,065,406 | 4,500 | -------- | -------- | ---- | 24,336 | -- |
| 77 | New Bedford, Reading, Pa. | 1,500 | 62,141 25,458 |  |  |  | 1,230 |  | 796,989 448,727 | 15,841 |  |  | 1,608 | 8,415 28,202 | 257 |
| 78 | Knoxville, Tenn. | 1,920 | 15,130 | ---- |  |  |  |  | 650,705 | 6,528 | -- | ----- | ----- |  | , 842 |
| 79 | Peoria, |  | 27,855 |  |  |  |  |  | 648,568 | 18,302 |  | 1,680 | 10,704 | 6,685 | 2,823 |
| 80 | South Bend, I | --- | 7,544 |  |  |  |  | ---.----- | 564,677 | 4,777 | 799 | -------- | --------- | 9,984 | - |
| ${ }_{82}^{81}$ | Tacoma, Wash |  | 32,819 |  | 158,347 |  | 4,237 |  | 718,563 | 19,251 |  |  |  | 15,045 | 19,431 |
| 83 | Gary, Ind. | 2,200 | 15,875 | 1,609 |  |  |  |  | 1,658,055 | 6,544 | 500 | 2,400 | 3,145 | 2,550 | 1,296 |
| 84 | Canton, ohio | 3,610 | 9,175 | 1,430 |  |  | 127 |  | 478,381 | 21,554 | 398 |  | 2,496 | 15,046 | 1,135 |
| 85 | Wilmington, De |  | 46,914 |  |  |  |  |  | 683,747 | 6,224 |  |  |  | 15,204 | 847 |
| 86 | Tampa, Pla. |  | 10,494 | ---------- | 9,815 |  | 92 |  | 439,686 | 7,872 | 1,014 | 1,500 | 3,578 | 6,553 |  |
| 88 | El Paso, Tex. | 9,184 | $\begin{array}{r}\text { 24, } \\ 3,543 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  | 5,930 | 410,402 | 3,885 | -------- |  | 4,292 | 1,960 | ,499 |
| 89 | Evanstille, |  | 13,105 |  |  |  |  |  | 650,338 | 773 |  |  |  | 1,487 | 1,097 |
| 90 | Lymn, Mass. |  | 17,813 |  |  |  |  |  | 917,535 | 11,061 |  |  |  | 10,797 |  |
| 91 | Utica, N. | 2,700 | 36,014 | 8,984 |  |  |  | 71 | 880,621 | 3,400 | 300 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,056 | 172 |
| 92 | Duluth, Minn. |  | 34,978 |  | 4,959 |  |  |  | 657,389 | 9,905 | -------- | 4,814 | 4,654 | 15,536 | 12,132 |
| 93 | Waterbury, Conn. | 2,437 | 40,537 36,353 |  |  |  |  |  | 1,007,032 | 14,233 | --------- |  | 2,738 | 29,739 | 14,628 |
| 94 | Lowell, Mass. | 8,522 | 36,353 | ---------- |  |  |  | 2,201 | 744,650 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Honolulu, Hawait 1/- |  | 30,474 | ---------- | -------- |  | 4,999 | 731 | 962,717 | ---------- |  | -------- | -------- | 5,013 | ---------- |

1/ Not included in group or grand totals.
table 16. - COST Payments for opgration and maintenance by functions in detail: 1937—Continued

|  | CITY | II. -PUBLIC SAFETY-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Police department-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Fire department |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Motor inspec tion | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Criminal } \\ & \text { investi- } \\ & \text { gation } \end{aligned}$ | Uniformed patrol | Vice and mo ${ }^{2}$ control | $\begin{gathered} \text { Crime } \\ \text { preven- } \\ \text { tion } \\ \text { (juve- } \\ \text { niles ) } \end{gathered}$ | Traffic | Special detall services | All other | Undistrib- uted | $\underset{\substack{\text { sion }}}{\text { Supervi- }}$ | Training schools | $\begin{gathered} \text { Carmuni- } \\ \begin{array}{c} \text { catson } \\ \text { system } \end{array} \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\text { Fire pro- }}{\text { vention }}$ | Hydrant and water service |
|  | Grand total | \$301,245 | \$9,751,107 | \$72,894,978 | \$731,208 | \$472,168 | \$14,595,654 | \$402,631 | \$5,106,330 | 78,995,767 | 1,860,378 | \$165,764 | \$3,182,683 | \$3,007,978 | 43,845,202 |
|  | Group I------------------- Group Group III | $\begin{array}{r} 30,353 \\ 170,908 \\ 99,984 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5,459,091 \\ & 1,653,618 \\ & 2,638,398 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 47,154,799 \\ 7,645,768 \\ 18,094,411 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 416,249 \\ 41,299 \\ 273,660 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 358,830 \\ 32,031 \\ 81,307 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9,309,859 \\ & 1,638,378 \\ & 3,647,417 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 94,483 \\ 88,817 \\ 219,331 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,473,501 \\ 950,643 \\ 1,682,186 \end{array}$ | 69,637,290 <br> 5,759,719 <br> 3,598,758 | $\begin{array}{r} 492,126 \\ 343,417 \\ 1,024,835 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100,026 \\ 26,019 \\ 39,719 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,818,378 \\ 376,909 \\ 987,396 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,543,273 \\ 204,529 \\ 260,176 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,334,405 \\ & 447,976 \\ & 2,062,821 \end{aligned}$ |
| group i. -citims having a population of 500,000 and over |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Now York, N. Y. | -------- | ---------- |  | -------- | \$66,090 |  | -------- | \$305,569 | *56,497,189 | \$85,596 |  | \$511,184 | 12,427,330 | \$231,966 |
| 3 | Chicago, Ill, -....-- |  |  | \$14,812,811 | ----------- |  | \$1,761,646 | -------- |  | 1,094,446 | 47,244 | \$13,978 | $\begin{array}{r}309,999 \\ 94 \\ \hline 184\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}118,278 \\ 2,600 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |  |
| 3 | Philadelphia, Pa.---- |  | \$799,871 $1,106,350$ | $8,489,891$ $6,988,358$ | ----------- | 9,800 | $1,437,613$ 980,172 | \$2,823 | 178,758 278,235 | 467,748 144,698 | 27,214 22,780 | 5,390 | 94,934 147,159 | 27,600 43,311 | 206,898 |
| 5 | Los Angeles, Calif.- |  | 1,485,437 | 1,764,528 | \$186,376 | 268,790 | 1,932,554 | 75,749 | 176,188 | 142,390 |  | -------- | 73, 275 | 541,240 | 736,387 |
| ${ }^{6}$ | Cleveland, ohio----- |  |  |  |  |  | 3,876 516,170 |  | 6,891 502,164 | 4,323,671 |  |  | 87,968 68,527 |  |  |
| 7 | St. Louls, Mo. |  | 644,000 | 3,068,574 | 8,860 | --------- | 516,170 |  | 502,164 |  | 66,213 | 37,238 | 68,527 | 73,416 | -------- |
| 8 | Baltimore, Ma.- |  |  |  |  | ---- |  |  |  | 4,501,390 |  |  | 12,754 | 147888 | 402 |
| 9 10 | Boston, Mass.----------- Pittsburgh, |  | 298,270 232,956 | 3,816,839 | 29,712 | -------- | 640,329 204,034 | 3,274 7,487 | 434,473 120,966 | 2,355,490 | 43,639 16,908 | 2,700 | 161,181 87,431 | 147,888 1,965 | 70 |
| 11 | San Francisco, Calif. | \$2,760 | 419,643 | 1,871,778 | 78,509 |  | 814,650 | 5,150 |  | 20,503 | 59,840 | 18,060 | 116,764 | 47,070 | 106,502 |
| 12 | Washington, D. C.--- |  | 237,716 | 2,449,590 | 47,399 | 14,150 | 386,031 |  | 141,726 | 89,765 | 40,506 | 10,100 | 36,808 | 53,360 |  |
| 13 | M11 waukee, W1s.----- |  |  | 2,198,263 | 20,289 |  | 391,785 | -------- | 129,715 | ---------- | 34,075 | 7,940 | 60,427 | 61,815 | 40,175 |
| 14 | Buffalo, N. Y.------ | 27,593 | 234,848 | 1,754,167 | 45,104 | -------- | 240,999 | --------- | 198,816 | ---------- | 48,111 | 4,620 | 49,967 |  | 112,005 |

Group il.-Citirs having a population of 300,000 to 500,000

| 15 | Minnoapolis, Minn |  | \$29,355 | \$27,272 |  |  | \$53, | \$59,518 |  | \$1,210,768 |  |  |  | \$35,640 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 | New Orleans, La.- | \$14,316 |  |  |  |  | 15,607 39,849 | 7,110 | \$74,735 | 1,360,603 | \$13,599 |  | \$42,250 |  |  |
| 17 | Cincinnati, Oh10 Newark, N. J. |  | 91,121 567,055 | 2,544,717 |  | ------ | 39,849 81,820 |  | 207,724 | ,434,952 |  |  |  | 30,010 |  |
| 19 | Kansas City, Mo |  | 120,288 |  |  |  | 80,054 | -------- |  | 1,159,797 | 16,265 | 687 | 34,506 | 15,029 |  |
| 20 | Seattle, Wash. | 97,128 | 126,398 | 985,425 | \$12,785 |  | 17,873 |  | 43,533 |  | 65,156 |  | 23,326 | 4,813 |  |
| 21 | Indianapolis, In |  | 162,767 | 795,415 | 7,553 | \$3,263 | 31,310 |  | 99,479 |  | 18,323 | 6,004 | 21,917 | 2,618 | 368,966 |
|  | Rochester, |  | 153,115 | 608, |  |  | 179,665 | 3,400 | 50,487 | 21,517 | 39,068 | 3,100 | 45,103 | 22,944 |  |

TABLE 16. - COS: PAYMENTS FOR OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE BY FONCTIONS IN DETAIL: 1937 -Continued

|  | CITY | II. -PUBLIC SAFETY-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Police department--Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Fire department |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Motor vehicle inspec- tion | Criminal investigation | Uniformed patrol | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Vice and } \\ & \text { moral } \\ & \text { control } \end{aligned}$ | Crime prevention (Juve- niles) | Traffic control | Special detail services | All other | Undistributed | ${\underset{\text { sion }}{\text { Supervi- }}}^{\text {Sin }}$ | Training schools | $\begin{gathered} \text { Conmuni- } \\ \text { cation } \\ \text { system } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Fire pre- } \\ \text { vention } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Hydrant } \\ \text { and water } \\ \text { service } \end{gathered}$ |

group il.-citids having a population of 300,000 to 500,000-Continued

| $\begin{aligned} & 23 \\ & 24 \\ & 25 \\ & 26 \end{aligned}$ | Jersey City, N. J.-- <br> Houston, Tex. $\qquad$ <br> Louisville, Ky. $\qquad$ <br> Portland, Oreg. | $\begin{array}{r}\$ 2,100 \\ \hline 7,364\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 244,693 \\ 70,327 \\ 88,499 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1,563,231 \\ \hline \begin{array}{r} 424,159 \\ 696,911 \end{array} \end{array}$ |  | \$28,768 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 60,458 \\ 179,735 \\ 219,978 \\ 138,915 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r}\$ 10,150 \\ \hdashline---7,-829\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 418,337 \\ 19,145 \\ \hline-17,203 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 479,663 \\ 87,692 \\ 4,727 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 58,680 \\ 14,074 \\ 35,856 \\ 47,505 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 3,129 \\ \hdashline 861 \\ 8,238 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 22,398 \\ 34,285 \\ 24,699 \\ 42,460 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 30,116 \\ 24,631 \\ 1,271 \\ 37,457 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 247 \\ 30,763 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

GROUP III.-CITIRS having a population of 100,000 To 300,000

| 27 | Col |  |  | \$566,894 |  |  | \$35,697 |  | ,418 |  | \$8,433 |  | \$14,861 |  | 1,013 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 28 | Toled | \$3,600 | \$91,620 | 29,153 | \$20,220 | \$1,800 | 95,990 |  | 250 |  | 40,730 |  | 39,637 | \$2,520 |  |
| 29 | Oakland, Call | 194 | 124,605 | 602,107 | 7,656 | 11,760 | 152,510 |  | 35,643 | \$5,350 | 44,852 |  | 39,328 | 20,641 | 176,857 |
| 30 | Denver, Colo |  | 126,540 | 423,111 |  |  | 124,315 |  |  | 275,280 | 55,745 |  | 5,373 |  | 100,935 |
| 31 | Atlanta, Ga |  |  | 798,757 |  |  | 9,985 |  |  | 16,959 | 11,567 |  | 8,595 | 10,693 |  |
| 32 | Dallas, Te |  | 111,460 | 153,725 | 36,080 |  | 180,606 | \$20,724 | 46,068 |  | 11,093 |  | 12,522 |  |  |
| 33 | St. Paul, M |  | 138,132 | 368,601 |  |  | 85,555 |  |  |  | 48,855 |  | 26,271 | 16,664 | 5,000 |
| 34 | B1rmingham, |  | 74,388 | 248,040 | 11,220 |  | 72,691 | 2,100 | 76,128 | 1,745 | 16,220 | \$2,820 | 23,520 | 14,040 | 88,786 |
| 35 | Akron, ohi |  |  |  |  |  | 46,658 |  |  | 420,524 |  |  | 7,997 |  |  |
| 36 | Memphis, Tenn | 32,707 | 55,781 | 254,396 | 4,422 |  | 70,083 |  | 47,779 | 17,678 | 24,264 | 3,568 | 20,600 39,354 | 6,782 4,529 |  |
| 37 | Providence, R |  | 84,791 | 625,512 |  |  | 200,332 | $\begin{array}{r}19,683 \\ 4,920 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 115,472 61,972 | 2,787 | 44,178 |  | 39,354 8,900 | 4,529 7,200 | $145,800$ |
| 38 | San Antonio, | , 727 | 55,800 | 18,180 | 2,850 3,360 |  | 156,343 78,758 | 4,920 3,120 | 61,972 <br> 56,606 |  | 25,536 | 1,560 2,040 |  | 8,970 | 140,835 |
| 49 | Omaha, | 17,739 | 88,620 121,260 | 216,034 292,807 |  |  | 103,508 | 11,160 | 46,147 | 37,245 | 44,822 | 6,720 | 35,442 | 7,365 |  |
| 41 | Dayton, ohio |  | 40,920 | 301,200 | 3,840 |  | 28,119 |  |  | 51,928 | 27,651 |  | 13,783 | 7,662 | 10,260 |
| 42 | Oklahama City, |  | 55,315 | 214,807 | 26,928 | 3,720 | 134,205 |  |  |  | 12,785 | 2,045 | 5,452 | 9,280 |  |
| 43 | Worcester, Mas |  | 71,645 | 431,300 | 20,022 |  | 97,824 | 8,736 | 166,017 | 39,180 |  |  | 25,006 |  |  |
| 44 | Richmond, |  | 58,750 | 303,785 |  | 5,600 | 126,114 |  | 8,737 | 20,248 | 10,650 | ------ | 26,825 |  | --------- |
| 45 | Youngstow, ohio |  | 22,691 | 217,648 | --------- |  | 80,423 | -------- | 29,702 |  | 13,805 | -------- | 13,157 | 2.50 |  |
| 48 | Hartford, Conn. |  | 57,404 | 462,769 | 1,597 | --------- | 112,128 | 70,587 | 92,358 |  | 4,812 | 2,850 | 38,137 | 5,106 | 13,974 |
| 49 | Flint, Mich. |  |  |  |  |  | 8,954 |  |  | 391,299 |  |  | 12,331 |  |  |
| 50 | New Haven, |  |  | 689,436 |  |  | 8,602 | 5,653 | 45,547 | 13,544 | 12,967 |  | 28,766 | 5,047 | 64,636 |
| 51 | San Diego, |  | 42,444 | 232,688 | ,527 | 22,072 | 72,143 | 2,612 | 29,279 |  | 16,980 |  | 16,959 | 11,509 | 2,675 |
| 52 | Long Beach, Calif |  | 102,080 | 194,719 | 34,100 | 12,324 | 107,756 | 2,400 | 24,418 | 35,750 | 19,268 | 3,000 | 12,960 | 10,500 | 2,400 |



1/ Not included in group or grand totals.

|  | CITY | II.-PUBLIC SAFETY-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Fire department-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Protective inspection |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Fire fighting force |  |  |  |  |  | All other | $\underset{\substack{\text { Undistrib- } \\ \text { uted }}}{ }$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { Supervi- } \\ \text { sion }}}{ }$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Building } \\ & \text { inspection } \end{aligned}$ | Plumbing inspection | Electrical inspection | $\begin{gathered} \text { Gas } \\ \text { inspec- } \\ \text { tion } \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Engine ser- } \\ \nabla i c e \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Truck ser- } \\ \text { vice } \end{gathered}$ | Pireboat service | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Salvage } \\ & \text { service } \end{aligned}$ | Volunteer <br> service | Rescue squads |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Grand total <br> Group $\qquad$ <br> Group II- $\qquad$ <br> Group III | \$27,455,664 | \$11,255,687 | \$926,745 | \$38,247 | \$152,180 | \$956,396 | \$5,277,586 | \$63,939,903 | \$1,188,615 | \$3,190,146 | \$1,220,156 | \$1,598,126 | \$86,565 |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 14,950,372 \\ 3,082,543 \\ 9,422,749 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6,604,592 \\ & 1,292,047 \\ & 3,359,048 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 677,195 \\ 184,409 \\ 65,141 \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{array}{r} 7,600 \\ 6,600 \\ 137,988 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \begin{array}{r} 76,855 \\ 35,731 \\ 143,810 \end{array} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,800,630 \\ 848,676 \\ 1,628,280 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 40,889,676 \\ 7,915,301 \\ 15,134,926 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,000,184 \\ 58,658 \\ 129,773 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,197,936 \\ 333,462 \\ 658,748 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 660,533 \\ & 209,620 \\ & 350,003 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 994,003 \\ & 185,719 \\ & 418,404 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 49,382 \\ & 18,049 \\ & 19,134 \end{aligned}$ |
| group i.-Cities having a population of 500,000 and over |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | New York, N. Y |  | ------- | -------- | ------- | \$7,600 | ------ | \$325,278 | \$23,650,357 | \$623,862 | \$876,048 | \$178,228 | \$29,246 | ------- |
| 2 | Chicago, Inl.- | \$4,369,904 | \$1,789,426 | \$58,877 | -------- | ------- | \$463,925 |  | 602,449 | 71,527 | 255,262 | 74,576 | 399,479 | \$28,768 |
| 3 | Philadelphia, Pa Detroit, Mich. | 2,347,955 | 934,857 | 131,212 |  |  | 39,330 | 488,876 521,185 | 126,398 $4,122,090$ | 43,291 | 94,105 116,629 | 27,298 54,001 | 20,666 64,727 | --------- |
| 5 | Los Angeles, Calif.--------- |  | ------------ |  |  |  | --------- | 2,738 | $4,574,720$ | 46,476 | 244,734 | 119,738 | 138,954 | --------- |
| 6 | Cleveland, ohio- |  |  |  |  | -------- |  | 3,426 | 2,754,643 |  | 75,278 | 12,840 | 22,680 | ------- |
| 7 | St. Louis, Mo. | 1,183,333 | 597,120 |  |  |  | 19,280 | 199,559 |  | 44,015 | 51,604 | 17,520 | 32,642 | ------- |
| 8 | Baltimore, Md.-- |  |  | 976 |  | -------- |  |  | 2,993,367 | 46,084 | 56,113 | 22,713 | 36,989 | $\cdots$ |
| 10 | Boston, Mass.-. | 1,392,000 | 826,000 | 105,000 | --------- | ----------- | 74,000 | 775,149 88,465 | 122,603 $1,897,836$ | 80,456 2,114 | 67,839 97,424 | 28,000 32,718 | 97,412 15,680 | 18,014 |
| 11 | San Franclsco, Callf. | 2,070,473 | 770,759 | 151,676 |  |  | 22,290 |  | 1,897,511 | 6,000 | 49,022 |  | 46,845 |  |
| 12 | Weshington, D. C. | 1,268,678 | 681,462 | 58,656 |  | --------- | 49,590 | 199,973 | 44,702 |  | 120,004 | 41,746 | 54,483 | 2,600 |
| 13 | M11 waukee, W1s.-- | 1,068,618 | 377,952 627,016 | 128,525 42,273 | --------- | ---------- | $\begin{aligned} & 51,190 \\ & 57,250 \end{aligned}$ | 144,378 51,121 | --- | 36,359 | $44,000$ | 28,900 22,255 | 34,200 | --------- |
| 14 | Buffalo, N. Y. | 1,249,411 | 627,016 | 42,273 |  |  | 57,250 | 51,121 | ----------- |  | 49,874 | 22,255 |  |  |

group it.-Cities having a population of 300,000 to 500,000

| 15 | Minneapolis, Minn |  | ----------- | -------- | ------- | -------- | -------- | \$13,063 | \$1,128,600 | \$13,080 | \$38,940 | \$33,124 | \$16,058 | \$10,045 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15 | Now Orleans, |  |  |  |  |  |  | 35,972 | 1,153,866 |  | 9,958 | 26,146 | 28,506 |  |
| 18 | Cincinnati, ohio |  |  | \$12,785 |  | ---------- |  | 146,213 | 1,291,964 | 13,001 | 46,480 80,052 | \%9,709 | 53,435 |  |
| 19 | Kansas City, Mo |  |  |  |  |  |  | 81,692 | -733,707 |  | 17,656 | 12,097 | 10,461 | 6,614 |
| 20 | Seattle, Wash. | \$705,943 | \$294,845 | 97,095 |  | ------.- |  | 44,687 | 75,790 |  | 28,515 | 11,461 | 14,773 |  |
| 21 | Indianapolis, In | ${ }^{607,171}$ | 312,557 |  |  |  | \$23,132 | 98,551 | 146,683 | 8,491 | 10,800 | 3,854 | 6,300 |  |
| 22 | Rochester, N. Y. | 572,000 | 375,200 |  |  | \$6,600 | 7,216 | 102,949 |  | 16,967 | 11,331 | 12,310 | 1,390 | 1,390 |
| 23 | Jersey City, N |  |  |  |  |  |  | 287,163 | 850,77 |  | 39,145 | 39,012 |  |  |


| $\begin{aligned} & 24 \\ & 25 \\ & 26 \end{aligned}$ | Houston, Tex. Louisville, Ky. <br> Portland, Oreg. | 420,972 776,457 | 118,627 190,818 | ------------7, | $\|$$--\cdots---1$ <br> $-\cdots 1,531$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & ---------------1 ~\end{aligned}\right.$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 9,177 \\ 29,209 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 734,806 \\ \hdashline--8,519 \end{array}$ | 7,-119 | $\begin{array}{r} 14,220 \\ 8,913 \\ 87,512 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14,220 \\ 4,379 \\ 17,204 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13,380 \\ 7,988 \\ 33,428 \end{array}$ | ------ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| group int.-Cities having a population of 100,000 T0 300,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27 | Columbus, onio | -------- | ----------- | --- | ------- | ------ |  | \$34,211 | \$595,843 | \$,442 | \$8,822 | \$5,314 | \$7,351 | -- |
| 28 | Toledo, ohio-- |  |  |  |  |  | \$34,860 |  | 656,391 | 3,778 | 9,484 | 8,045 | 7,453 |  |
| 29 | Oakland, Calif | \$574,055 | \$186,210 |  |  |  |  | 37,487 | 124,213 | 12,675 | 25,637 | 23,138 | 18,215 |  |
| 30 31 | Denver, Colo. Atlanta, Ga. |  |  |  |  |  |  | 35,660 | 838,717 646,925 | 5,100 | 23,515 13,975 | 6,722 10,274 | $\begin{aligned} & 12,805 \\ & 20,777 \end{aligned}$ | ------- |
| 32 | Dallas, Tex. | 697,582 |  |  | ------- |  |  | 10,039 |  | 4,777 | 12,200 | 9,150 | 9,150 |  |
| 33 | St. Paul, M1nn |  |  |  |  |  |  | 43,957 | 713,373 | 9,967 | 11,598 | 5,932 | 5,809 |  |
| 34 | Birmingham, Ale | 13,860 | 440,355 |  |  |  |  | 52,883 |  |  | 11,805 | 12,029 | 10,661 | \$1,560 |
| 35 36 | Akron, Ohio- | 383,780 | 131,491 |  |  |  |  | 13,725 | 358,845 36,437 | 3,272 | 8,981 12,650 | 5,392 | 3,999 6,530 |  |
| 37 | Providence, R, |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100,811 | 831,027 | ---------- | 33,621 | 15,678 | 6,552 |  |
| 38 | San Anton10, Te | 259,446 264,916 | 43,850 149,246 |  |  |  | 17,965 | 58,260 <br> 61,862 |  |  | 6,900 11,984 | 5,965 6,687 | 10,800 5,550 | 2,982 |
| 40 | Omaha, Neb | 264,916 459,599 | 149,246 220,857 |  |  |  | 17,965 | - ${ }^{67,967}$ | 52,028 | 322 | 14,998 | 6,827 | 9,367 | - |
| 41 | Dayton, ohio | 232,540 | 99,420 |  |  |  |  |  | 60,317 |  | 13,142 | 7,619 |  |  |
| 42 | Oklahoma City, | 364,479 | 20,389 |  |  |  |  | 8,855 |  | 6,905 | 4,050 | 6,244 | 4,322 | 1,968 |
| 43 | Worcester, Mass. |  |  | -------- | ------- | \$15,000 |  | 38,429 2 2 | 812,124 |  | 12,142 | 5,792 4,505 | 10,647 | 3,200 |
| 45 | Richmond, ${ }^{\text {che }}$ Youngstown, ohi | 408,731 157,096 | 143,075 45,146 |  |  |  |  | 2,062 72,217 | --------------- |  | 18,933 5,386 | 2,815 | 10,894 2,759 | ------- |
| 46 | Grend Raplds, ma |  |  | -------- |  |  |  | 21,632 | 369,372 |  | 4,939 | 2,041 | 12,609 | ------- |
| 47 | Fort Worth, Tex. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 429,854 | 6,190 |  | 7,471 | 7,509 |  |
| 48 | Hartford, Conn | 411,270 | 161,928 |  |  |  |  | 114,849 |  | 8,360 | 19,392 | 7,980 | 7,980 |  |
| 49 | Flint, M1ch. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 319,262 | 2,717 | 7,556 | 5,210 | 7,429 | 1,776 |
| 51 | New Haven, Conn. | 328,475 | 44,162 | \$9,048 |  |  |  | 35,401 16,296 | 559,939 | 6,403 | 10,876 | 9,642 | 11,873 |  |
| 52 | Long Beach, Call | 365,696 | 48,720 |  | §9,958 |  | 29,882 | 26,544 | 5,981 | 6,557 | 20,531 | 3,869 | 4,842 |  |
| 53 | Nashville, Tenn. | 365,280 | 117,120 |  |  |  |  | 6,893 |  |  | 14,335 | 9,000 | 5,532 |  |
| 54 | Springfield, Mass |  |  |  |  |  |  | 23,370 | 758,036 | ---------- | 32,308 |  | 1,012 | 2,650 |
| 55 | Tulsa, okla.- |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,586 | 323,288 446,729 |  | 3,707 | 4,614 2,201 | 4,703 |  |
| 56 57 | Briageport, Des Moines, Iow | 274,686 | 73,320 |  |  |  | 8,003 | $1,23,333$ <br> 23,162 | 446,729 4,554 | 2,100 | 5,297 11,604 | 2,201 5,607 | 2,201 | ------ |
| 58 | Scranton, Pa |  | 383,827 |  |  |  |  | 23,392 | 12,928 |  | 5,929 | 4,381 |  | - |
| 59 | Salt Lake City, U | 219,369 |  |  |  |  |  | 6,488 |  |  | 4,265 | 2,845 | 8,932 |  |
| 60 | Yonkers, N | 322,800 | 151,000 |  |  |  | 31,400 | 98,705 |  | 19,566 | 12,000 | 10,846 | 2,400 |  |
| 61 | Paterson, N. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 518,983 |  | 14,445 | 6,546 | 9,810 |  |
| 63 | Albany, N. Y. ---- | 275,781 | 118,000 | 27,701 |  |  |  | 15,045 38,448 | 405,894 9,162 | 5,076 | 28,123 | 4,269 | 16,062 |  |
| 64 | Nortolk, | 236,400 | 146,880 | 4,912 |  |  |  | 21,850 |  | ----------- | 6,662 | 4,348 | 3,938 | 200 |
| 65 | Trenton, N. J. | 222,998 | 94,606 |  | 6,758 |  |  | 18,975 | 101,621 | 4,382 | 6,297 | 2,775 | 525 |  |



1/ Not included in group or grand totals.
table 16. - COSt payments for operation and maintinance by functions in detail: 1937-Continued

|  | CITY | II.-PUBLIC SAFETY-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Protective 1nspection-Continued |  |  |  | Other protection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Boller <br> inspec- <br> tion | Elevator inspection | Weights and measures | All other | Militie and | Examina- <br> tion of engineers and <br> plumbers | Scales | Protection to animals | Morgue | Investigation of causes of death | Flood control | All other |
|  | Grand | \$276,310 | \$520,542 | \$1,105,080 | \$570,464 | \$1,251,218 | \$120,375 | \$28,302 | \$774,168 | \$266,620 | \$926,512 | \$993,398 | \$1,214,294 |
|  | Group $\square$ Group II-- | $\begin{array}{r} 211,743 \\ 26,324 \\ 0,014 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 461,436 \\ 23,808 \\ 7,00 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 644,039 \\ & 165,423 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 401,113 \\ & 109,102 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,144,984 \\ 42,879 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 102,851 \\ 5,633 \end{gathered}$ | 5,867 2,060 80,375 | $\begin{aligned} & 372,085 \\ & 173,501 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 208,793 \\ 51,154 \\ 6,150 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 833,805 \\ 89,346 \\ 8,364 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 250,990 \\ & 457,042 \end{aligned}$ | $995,143$ $58,046$ |
| group i.-cities having a population of 500,000 and ovir |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | New York, N. Y. | \$16,839 | \$238,674 |  |  | \$1,007,641 | \$9 | -------- |  | \$69,925 | \$164,731 | ---- | \$158,503 |
| 2 | Chicago, Ill.- | 75,719 | 61,725 | 87,088 | 236,074 |  | 57,216 | -------- | \$36,867 | 16,081 | 182,462 | --------- | 67,324 |
| 3 | Philadelphia, Pa . | 21,598 | 36,823 | 182, 619 |  | 20,499 | 1,450 |  | 6,700 | 13,205 | 66,655 |  | 54,533 |
| 4 | Detroit, Mich.- | 24,652 | 22,236 | 46,148 | 57,475 |  |  | ¢2,160 | 81,120 | 27,220 | 66,164 65,095 |  | 159,270 212,088 |
| 5 | Los Angeles, Call Clovelend, ohio-- | 20,519 | 12,325 17,760 | 43,567 | 22,851 4,920 | ----------- | 21,661 | ---------- | 102,969 52,436 | 16,380 | 65,095 10,168 | จ240,542 | 212,088 56,222 |
| 7 | St. Lou1s, mo. | 20,260 | 20,260 | 29,901 |  | 29,249 | 1,520 | 1,448 | 11,391 | 12,982 | 38,740 | --------- | 4,591 |
| 8 | Baltimore, Md | 3,873 | 11,227 | 18,190 | 14,186 | 14,996 | ---------- | -------- | 18,500 | 7,071 | 15,939 | --------- | 1,119 |
| 10 | Boston, Mas8.... | 4,757 | 31,206 4,400 | 48,936 <br> 19,962 |  | 15,656 14,277 | 2,220 | 309 | 4,500 12,600 | --7,081 | 45,981 109,111 | 10,448 | 2,291 9,166 |
| 11 | San Frencisco, Callf. | 3,125 |  | 22,547 | 20,236 |  | 3,680 |  | 18,000 | 12,300 | 47,678 |  | 985 |
| 12 | Washington, D. C.- | 3,470 |  | 30,482 | 4,180 | 42,582 | 675 |  | 1,773 | 9,169 |  |  | 222,454 |
| 13 | Millwaukee, Wis..-- | 10,172 6,759 | 4,800 | 23,189 24,279 |  | 84 | 4,521 9,899 | 1,950 | 8,313 16,916 | 3,890 12,489 | 21,081 | ----------- | 16,118 30,479 |
|  | Buffalo, N. Y.- | 6,759 |  | 24,279 | 17,834 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

group il.-CIties having a population of 300,000 To 500,000

table 16．－COST PAYMENTS FOR OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE BY FUNCTIONS IN DETAIL：1937－Continued

| $\begin{aligned} & h \\ & \stackrel{H}{0} \\ & ⿱ 丷 ⿱ 一 ⿱ ㇒ ⿴ 囗 ⿱ 一 一 寸 \\ & \stackrel{~}{0} \end{aligned}$ | CITY | II．－PUBLIC SAFETY－Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Protective inspection－Continued |  |  |  | Other protection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Boiler <br> inspec－ <br> tion | Elevator inspec－ tion | Weights and measures | All other | $\underset{\text { armories }}{\text { Militia and }}$ | Examine－ <br> tion of engineers and <br> plumbers | Scales | Protec－ tion to animals | Morgue | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Investiga- } \\ \text { tion of } \\ \text { cause of } \\ \text { death } \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Flood } \\ \text { control } \end{gathered}$ | All other |

group il．－Cities having a population of 300，000 to 500，000－Continued


| 27 | Columbus， 0 |  | －－ |  |  | －－－－－－－－－－－ | \＄684 |  |  | －－－－－－－－－ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 28 | Toledo，ohio |  |  | 5，025 | \＄700 |  |  | \＄1，560 |  |  |  |  | \％ 2,969 97 |
| 29 | Oakland，Calif． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \＄8，886 |  |  |  | 639 |
| 30 | Denver，Colo．－－ | \＄4，603 | \＄4，200 | 3，300 | －－－－－－－－－－－－－ |  | 432 |  | 1，200 | \＄6，673 | \＄273 | \＄10，059 |  |
| 32 | Atlanta，Ge Dallas，Tex |  |  | 2,035 6,100 |  | $\$ 1,200$ 2,000 |  | 1，140 | 8，039 2，400 |  |  |  | 2,509 36,753 |
| 33 | St．Paul，Minn． |  | 3，039 |  | 15，224 | 11，075 |  |  | 4，934 |  |  |  | 30，188 |
| 34 | Birmingham， |  |  | 7，076 |  |  |  |  | 8，786 |  |  |  |  |
| 35 | Akron，ohio |  |  |  | 1，339 | －－－－－－－－－－－ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1，369 |
| 36 | Memphis，Tenn | 2，178 | 2，178 | 4，311 |  | －－－－－－－－－－－ | 813 | 1，263 | 12，182 | －－－－－－－－－ | －－－－－－－－－ | 63，673 | 2，170 |
| 37 | Providence，R． |  |  | 6,684 7,676 | － |  |  | 3，735 | 10,328 3,480 |  |  |  | 12，729 |
| 39 | San Anton 10 ， | 4，500 | 1，800 | 3，100 | 2，138 |  | 1，224 | －－－－－－－－－ | 13，058 |  |  | 35，262 | －－－－－－－ |
| 40 | Syracuse，N． |  |  | 3，782 | 1，583 | －－－－－－－－－－－－ | 394 | －－－－－－－－ | 9，000 | －－－－－－－－－ | －－－－－－－－－－ | －－－－－－－－－ | 161 |
| 41 | Dayton，Ohio－－ |  |  | 11，200 |  |  |  | －－－－－－－ |  |  |  |  | －－－－－－ |
| 42 | oxlahoma city， | 1，020 | 1，020 | 3，948 | 5，213 |  | 799 |  | 5，846 |  |  |  |  |
| 43 | Worcester， No |  | 5，896 | 26，077 | 2，184 | 2，611 | －－－－－－－－－－－ | 1，262 | 1，300 | － | －－－－－－－－－ |  | 2，398 |
| 44 | Richmond，Va． |  |  | 14,476 3,840 |  | 9，989 |  |  | 6，503 |  |  | 7，394 | 913 45 |
| 46 | Grand Replds，lit |  |  | 4，471 |  |  |  |  | 5，459 |  |  |  | 1，284 |
| 47 | Fort Worth，Tex |  |  | 2，604 |  | 900 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 48 | Hartford，Conn． |  |  | 6，593 | 2，850 | －－－－－－－－－－ |  |  | 2，675 |  |  | 77，418 | 367 |
| 49 | Flint，Mich． |  |  | 2，473 |  |  |  | 182 | 4，519 |  |  | 44 | ${ }_{563}$ |
| 50 | New Haven，Co |  |  | 12，920 |  |  | 782 |  | 2，060 |  |  |  | 543 |
| $5$ | San Diego，Calif | 2，88 | 273 |  |  |  |  |  | 8，470 |  |  |  |  |


table 16. - COST PAYMENTS FOR OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE BY FUNCTIONS IN DETAIL: 1937—Continued

|  | CITY | III.-HICHWAYS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | $\underset{\text { sion }}{\substack{\text { Supervi- }}}$ | Roedways |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Street } \\ \text { lighting } \end{gathered}$ | Bridges, viaducts, and grade separations | Tunnels | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Water- } \\ \text { ways } \end{array}$ | All other |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Paved } \\ & \text { streets } \end{aligned}$ | Unpaved streets | Alleys | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sidewalks } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { cross- } \end{gathered}$ walks | Cul- verts | $\begin{gathered} \text { Snow and } \\ \text { ice } \\ \text { removal } \end{gathered}$ | $\underbrace{}_{\substack{\text { Undistrit } \\ \text { uted }}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Grand total- | \$106,242,397 | \$2,607,431 | \$31,507,760 | \$6,756,903 | \%92,673 | \%678,835 | \$95,063 | \$2,593,615 | \$17,159,056 | \$33,689,230 | \$9,275,215 | 3285,117 | 8491,835 | \$1,009,664 |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 65,361,537 \\ & 15,792,460 \\ & 25,088,4 c 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,787,462 \\ 182,633 \\ 637,336 \end{array}$ | $19,347,131$ $4,076,648$ $8,083,981$ | $3,085,509$ $1,208,011$ $2,463,383$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,218 \\ 30,300 \\ 59,155 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 210,940 \\ & 158,277 \\ & 309,618 \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{13,713}{81,350}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,544,199 \\ 513,681 \\ 535,735 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10,995,188 \\ 3,371,638 \\ 2,862,230 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 20,258,074 \\ 4,842,359 \\ 8,588,797 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7,406,758 \\ 809,960 \\ 1,058,497 \end{array}$ | 285,117 | $\begin{array}{r} 222,165 \\ 224,346 \\ 45,324 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 272,063 \\ & 374,607 \\ & 362,994 \end{aligned}$ |
| group i.-Cities having a population of 500,000 and over |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | New York, N. Y. | \$22,730,107 | \$815,258 | \$4,047,729 | --------- | --- | --.-- | ------- | \$1,019,548 | \$6,890,870 | \$6,810,798 | 145,904 | ----- | -------- |  |
| 2 | Chicago, Inl.----- | 10,094,557 | 146,058 | 4,554,840 | \$24,181 | --- |  | --- | 3,107 | 751,964 | 2,786,004 | 1,823,685 | ---- |  | \$4,718 |
| 3 | Philadelphia, Pa.---- | 1,495,991 | 62,616 | 500,074 | 182,841 | --- | \$14,843 |  | 22,348 | 396,380 | 79,916 | 145,069 | ------- | \$78,360 | 13,544 |
| 4 5 | Detroit, Mich.------1 | $4,233,011$ <br> $4,680,385$ | 271,308 40,272 | $1,985,691$ 477,464 | 705,158 $1,658,659$ | --------- | 1,275 13,722 | $\$ 7,781$ 5,932 | 78,018 4,274 | 30,206 433,004 | 928,815 $1,931,011$ | 133,677 <br> 34,475 | \$7,963 | 8,631 | 82,451 73,609 |
| 6 | Cleveland, ohio----1 | 3,765,689 |  | 1,088,894 | -48,662 |  |  |  | 56,835 | 1,546,420 | 1,755,355 | 212,414 | ゅ, | 43,600 | 13,509 |
| 7 | St. Lou1s, Mo.-- | 2,017,718 | 11,344 | 622,099 |  |  | 5,400 |  | 10:200 |  | 973,840 | 373,193 |  |  | 21,642 |
| 8 | Baltimore, Md. | 3,148,456 | ----...---- | 1,815,362 | -------- | ------ | -------- | ------- |  | ---------- | 1,327,194 |  |  | -------- | 5,900 |
| 10 | Boston, Mass.--------- | $2,927,307$ $2,936,537$ | 7,-671 | 1,199,801 | ------------ |  | 40,942 |  | 18,750 1,698 | 720,867 | 982,206 769,479 | 496,416 304,460 | 224,362 52,792 | ---------- | 5,772 11,070 |
| 11 | San Francisco, Calif. | 1,207,156 | 21,122 | -364,848 | 4,187 |  | 18,586 |  |  | 25,168 | 712,610 | 60,635 |  |  |  |
| 12 | Washington, D. C.---- | 1,768,197 | 175,853 | 268,720 | 461,821 | \$3,218 | 31,554 |  | 7,503 | 1,025 | 738,442 | 75,213 | ------- |  | 4,848 |
| 13 | Milweukee, wis.------ | 2,211,746 | 170,689 | 726,757 |  |  | 75,828 |  | 22,053 | 100,071 | 580,510 | 434,120 |  | 84,800 | 16,918 |
| 14 | Buffalo, N. Y.------- | 2,144,680 | 65,271 | 667,294 |  |  | 8,790 |  | 299,865 | 29,213 | 881,894 | 167,497 | ------- | 6,774 | 18,082 |

group it.-cities having a population of 300,000 to 500,000

| 15 | minneapolis, minn | \$1,735,810 | - | \$597,478 | \$349,680 | \$22,547 | \$62,031 | -------- | \$153,556 | \$74,516 | 4,583 | 71 |  | 0 | 98 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 | New Orleans, La.-- | 1,563,423 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,079,895 | 332,112 | 173,300 |  |  | 4,122 |
| 178 | Cinclinnat1, Ohio- Newark, N. J. | $1,560,763$ 954,752 | \$2,701 | $\begin{aligned} & 968,885 \\ & 474,165 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 13,069 6,921 |  | 18,543 | ------------- | 561,136 396,996 | 17,575 49,511 |  |  | 5,915 |
| 19 | Kansas C1ty, Mo. | 1,375,738 | 11,562 |  |  |  |  |  | 7,138 | 788,974 | 564,185 | 3,379 |  |  |  |
| 20 | Seattle, Wash. | 2,250,530 | 75,941 | 307 | 4,394 | 15 | 31,753 |  | 26,702 | 1,090,903 | 375,000 | 128,437 |  | 223,728 | 293,350 |
| 21 | Indianapolis, Ind | 1,001,277 |  | 347,019 | 64,580 |  | 13,050 | ------- |  | 195,037 | 362,209 | 19,382 |  |  |  |
| 28 | Rochester, N. Y.- | 1,566,403 | 29,884 | 396,553 527,823 |  |  | 26,072 |  | 230,881 |  | 841,729 <br> 328,95 | 29,701 |  | 368 | ,215 |
| 23 | Jersey City, N. J. | 974,665 |  | 527,823 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 328,925 | 39,299 |  |  |  |


| 24 <br> 25 <br> 26 | Houston, Tex. Louisville, Ky. Portlend, Oreg. | $\begin{array}{r} 1,090,223 \\ 681,187 \\ 1,011,683 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18,997 \\ 34,997 \\ 8,551 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 283,587 \\ & 337,330 \\ & 143,503 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 433,884 \\ 26,309 \\ 253,131 \end{array}$ | 7.738 | $\begin{aligned} & 1,920 \\ & 1,7,767 \\ & 1,694 \end{aligned}$ |  | 1,365 72,911 | $\begin{array}{r} 92,095 \\ \hline 50, \ldots 218 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 143,574 \\ & 270,498 \\ & 291,412 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 70,007 \\ 1,183 \\ 189,515 \end{array}$ |  |  | $\frac{46,159}{748}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| group iti.-Cities having a population of 100,000 To 300,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27 | Columbus, ohio- | \$558,875 | \$6,060 | \$279,991 | §12,175 | \$18,474 |  | ---- | \$2,136 | \$239,854 |  |  | ------- | ------- | \$185 |
| 28 | Toledo, Ohio-- | ${ }^{673}$ 57,115 |  | 492,669 | 31,723 |  | \$4,985 |  | 4,421 |  | \$7,261 | \$130,487 |  |  | 1,498 |
| 29 30 | Oakland, Callf. Denver, Colo.- | 575,856 748,324 | 72,688 11,486 | 151,605 277,833 | 20,190 104,139 |  | 426 |  | 21,786 | 114,464 1,363 | 215,467 260,488 | 1,000 |  |  | 71,223 |
| 31 | Atlanta, Ga.-- | 458,121 | 39,211 | 70,169 | 100,499 | ------- | 6,317 |  | 21,86 |  | 230,782 | 9,718 |  | ------ | 1,425 |
| 32 33 |  | 301,700 525,565 | 17,364 | 68,194 35,709 | 81,143 145,573 |  | 4,612 |  | 79,795 | 2,496 | 152,363 216,102 | 20,942 |  |  | 2,972 |
| 34 | Birmingham, Ala. | 249,750 | 3,483 | 37,462 | 86,937 |  | ${ }_{9} 91$ |  |  | 2,418 | 100,569 | 17,890 |  |  |  |
| 35 | Akron, Oh10- | 739,188 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 562,021 | 173,631 | 3,536 |  |  |  |
| 36 37 37 | Memphis, Tenn.-- Providence, R. | 525,141 675,824 | 20,372 4,161 | 138,026 49,118 | 124,603 129,623 | 13,715 | 9,200 11,818 | \$38,385 | 5,364 | 173,789 | 180,840 259,794 | 38,202 |  |  | --739 |
| 38 | San Antonio, Te | 315,932 | 21,921 | 4,170 |  |  |  | ------ | 5,364 | 203,490 | 85,309 | 1,042 |  | ,416 | , 39 |
| 39 | Omaha, Nebr.- | 416,637 | 11,027 | 164,487 |  |  | 1,500 | --.---- | 6,439 | 3,165 | 230,019 |  |  | -------- |  |
| 40 | Syracuse, N. Y | 758,291 |  | 276,681 | 111,215 |  | 3,000 |  | 40,706 |  | 305,545 | 2,719 |  |  | 18,425 |
| 42 | Dayton, ohio---.--- Oklahoma City, okla. | 505,887 249,344 | 5,097 | 372,212 129,372 | 39,601 |  |  | 3,915 |  |  | 128,578 74,976 | 1,480 |  |  |  |
| 43 | Worcester, Mass. | 987,465 | 26,609 | 670,556 |  |  | 77 | 5,055 | 20,225 | 位 | 261,361 | 3,582 | ------- |  | --------- |
| 44 | Richmond, Va.--- | 469,848 |  | 128,740 | 76,506 | 15,355 | 14,337 | 3,955 | 585 | 86,172 | 10, 270 | 27,213 |  | 16,715 | ---------- |
| 45 | Youngstown, oh $10-1$ Grand Rapicis, mich | 312,014 198,229 | 14,415 | 97,162 92,130 | 92,277 |  | 1,746 |  | 20,260 | 5,590 | 121,780 59,531 | 795 3,525 |  |  | ,032 |
| 47 | Fort Worth, Tex. | 250,634 | 16,052 | 43,758 | 91,214 |  |  | 11,080 |  | 7,305. | 81,225 |  |  |  |  |
| 48 | Hartford, Conn.-- | 429,810 | 33,141 | 138,621 | 30,615 | ------- | 6,559 |  | 17,768 | 10,115 | 186,681 | 6,075 |  |  | 235 |
| 49 50 | Flint, Mi ch.----- New Haven, Conn.- | 368,474 362,955 | 7,380 | 201,835 78,884 | 39,420 11,173 |  | 13,139 2,678 | 3,333 | 1,580 | 797 | 107,827 159,816 | 1,340 86,416 |  |  | 9,183 |
| 51 | San Diego, Calif | 349,247 | 13,563 | 60,226 | 68,501 | 1,215 | 7,830 | 8,390 | --- |  | 166,807 | 6,490 |  |  | 16,225 |
| 52 | Long Beach, Calif. | 487,646 |  | 210,031 | 79,494 |  |  |  | ---------- | 26,774 | 152,093 | 19,254 |  |  |  |
| 53 | Nashrille, Tenn.--- | 196,589 | 12,131 | 106,039 |  | 9,953 |  |  |  |  | - $\begin{array}{r}63,624 \\ 262,133\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}585 \\ 8,764 \\ \hline 1\end{array}$ |  |  |  |
| 54 55 | Springfield, Nass.- Tulsa, Okla. | 480,510 238,719 |  | 137,636 111,912 | 18,947 |  | 17,783 | 2,397 | 17,563 | 18,582 30,650 | 262,133 69,391 | 8,764 1,090 |  |  | 18,049 4,332 |
| 56 | Bridgeport, Conn | 846,242 | 3,000 | 74,942 | 78,133 |  |  | 3,272 | 1,930 | 325,626 | 135,959 | 99,017 |  |  | 124,363 |
| 57 | Des Moines, Iowa | 317,153 | 7,369 | 66,471 | 15,759 | ------ | 17,032 | ------- | 24,499 | 13,127 | 142,028 | 30,613 |  |  | 255 |
| 58 | Scranton, Pa. | 313,924 | 18,663 | 60,944 | 21,188 |  | 5,026 |  | 1,907 |  | 90,751 | 112,264 |  | 3,155 | 26 |
| 59 | Salt Lake City, | 413,932 | ---.--- | 236,929 | 2,675 | --.----- | 6,978 | --.---- | 18,271 | ---------- | 146,963 | ------- |  | -------- | 2,116 |
| 60 | Yonkers, N. Y. ${ }^{\text {Paterson, }}$ | 439,126 191,571 |  | 192,370 43,057 | 10,491 |  | 37,574 |  | 11,727 2,627 | 18,359 | 194,107 |  |  |  | 12,930 |
| 62 | Jacksonville, Fla. | 532,640 | 4,318 | 347,737 | 14,604 |  | 12,711 |  |  | 450 | 142,657 | --------- |  | 7,800 | 2,363 |
| 63 | Albany, N. Y. | 305,645 | 14,358 | 79,823 |  |  | 3,587 | ------ | 21,937 | 37,185 | 135,975 |  |  |  | 12,780 |
| 64 | Norfolk, Va. | 133,806 | 9,167 | 9,592 | 13,680 | ------- | 13,502 | ------- | 550 |  | 62,367 | 16,269 | ------- | 7,961 | 718 |
| 65 | Trenton, N. J. | 284,567 <br> 243,096 | 99 | 14,220 139,566 |  |  | 1,340 |  | 480 | 126,920 | 141,607 83,584 |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | Chattanooga, Menn.---] | 243,096 178,718 | 20,938 | 139,566 |  |  |  |  |  | 72 | 83,504 <br> 80,010 | 5,24 |  |  |  |

TABLE 16.-COST PAYMENTS FOR OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE BY FUNCTIONS IN DETAIL: 1937—Continued

|  | CITY | III.-HIGHwAYS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | $\underset{\substack{\text { Supervi- } \\ \text { sion }}}{ }$ | Roadways |  |  |  |  |  |  | Street <br> lighting | Bridges, Viaducts, and grade tions | Tunnels | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Water- } \\ & \text { weys- } \end{aligned}$ | All other |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Paved } \\ \text { streets } \end{gathered}$ | Unpaved streets | Alleys | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sidewalks } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { cross- } \\ \text { waks } \end{gathered}$ | Culverts | $\begin{gathered} \text { Snow and } \\ \text { ice } \\ \text { removal } \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { Undistrib- } \\ \text { uted }}}{ }$ |  |  |  |  |  |

group ili.-CITIES having a population of 100,000 to 300,000 -Continued

| 68 | Fort Wayne, In | \$99,119 | \$7,000 | \$59,061 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$33,058 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 69 | Camden, N. J. | 191,123 | 2,700 | 54,042 | \$7,470 |  |  | \$165 |  | \$10,479 | \$115,893 |  |  |  | \$374 |
| 70 | Erie, Pa. | 227,858 | 14,365 | 65,863 | 5,416 |  |  |  | \$10,000 | 632 | 119,411 | 5,666 |  | \$6,500 | 5 705 |
| 72 | Elizabeth, N. J. | 236,930 127,674 | 16,423 | 133,752 16,067 | 15,851 |  | \$2,124 | 813 | 1,977 | 12,733 | 99,496 58,132 | 1,000 |  |  | 705 |
| 73 | Spokane, Wesh.-- | 296,386 | 13,094 | 84,530 | 53,090 | \$372 | 481 |  | 21,000 | 20,659 | 95,496 | 7,664 |  |  |  |
| 74 | Fall River, Mass | 311,695 | 8,575 | 168,329 | 16,938 |  |  |  | 590 | ----------- | 117,263 |  |  |  |  |
| 75 76 | Cambridge, Mass... | 361,390 | 4,250 15,697 | 125,927 16,543 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 129,590 103,991 | 71,189 817 |  |  | 30,434 4,382 |
| 76 7 | New Bedford, Mass.- Reading, Pa, | 191,421 226,815 | 15,697 | 16,543 | 4,572 | -------- | 8,422 1,850 | --------- | 1,664 | $\begin{array}{r}35,333 \\ 136,046 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 103,991 88,919 | 817 |  |  | 4,382 |
| 78 | Knoxv111e, Tenn. | 242,423 | 5,515 | 22,344 | 49,077 | -------- | 2,116 |  | ---------- | 39,848 | 97,644 | 25,771 |  | ------- | 108 |
| 79 | Peoria, Ill. | 277,613 | 23,545 | 33,718 | 61,659 |  |  | 590 |  | 37,366 | 104,647 | 12,075 |  |  | 4,013 |
|  | South Bend, Ind. | 225,690 |  | 58,115 |  | ------- | -------- | -----.- |  | 75,896 | 89,622 | 2,057 | -....-. | ------- |  |
| ${ }_{82}^{81}$ | Tacoma, Wash. | 201,124 | 12,958 | 26,821 101,046 | 73,797 33,147 | -------- |  |  | 3,581 | 40,753 |  | 29,963 72,483 |  |  | 13,251 |
| 83 | Gery, Ind.--- | 136,901 |  | 103,996 |  | -------- | 1,010 | ------- |  |  | 82,905 |  |  | 1,500 |  |
| 84 | Canton, Ohio | 339,603 | 6,633 | 138,641 | 58,582 |  | 464 |  | 3,015 | 19,175 | 109,470 |  |  |  | 3,623 |
| 85 | W11mington, De | 149,866 |  | 49,886 |  | -------- |  | ------- |  |  | 97,962 |  |  |  | 2,018 |
| 86 | Tempa, Fla.----- | 293,169 | 6,180 | 65,392 | 18,888 |  | 1,724 | --------- |  | 17,710 | 143,545 | 39,558 |  |  | 172 |
| 87 | Somerville, Mass. | 267,756 | 11,815 | 410 7,388 |  |  | 19,699 | ------- | 5,341 | 145,242 | 83,381 | 1,868 | ------ | ------- | -- |
| 88 | El Paso, Tex.--- | 85,319 225,564 | 1,316 6,500 | 7,388 | 24,437 |  |  |  |  | 136,388 | 52,178 82,676 |  |  |  | ------------ |
| 90 | Lynn, Mass. | 267,861 | 7,158 | 66,589 |  |  |  |  | 4,968 | 17,654 | 170,283 | 130 |  |  |  |
| 91 | Utice, N. Y.---- | 355,500 |  | 159,605 | --------- |  | 1,082 | ------- | 25,277 | 19,830 | 144,664 | 4,623 | ------- | - | 419 |
| 92 | Duluth, Minn.- | 245,041 |  | 52,143 |  |  |  |  | 74,906 | 13,036 | 74,784 | 29,295 |  | 7 | 600 |
| 93 | Weterbury, Conn. | 1,138,082 | 57,689 | 4600,994 | 306,361 |  | 59,479 |  | 44,384 <br> 4,771 |  | 110,491 | 16,684 |  |  |  |
| 94 | Lowell, Mass.- | 317,641 |  | 171,900 |  |  | 18 |  | 4,771 |  | 109,300 | 31,652 |  |  |  |
|  | Honolulu, Hawali $1 /-$ | 758,993 |  | 352 | ----- | ------- | ------- | ------- | ---------- | 653,365 | 99,188 | 705 | ------- |  | 5,383 |

1/ Not included in group or grand totals.

group in.-Citise having a population of 300,000 to 500,000


TABLE 16.-COST PAYMENTS FOR OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE BY FUNGTIONS IN DETAIL: 1937—Continued

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { H } \\ & \text { 膏 } \\ & \stackrel{4}{0} \end{aligned}$ | CITY | iv.-santitation and maste rmoval |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Supervi-sion | Sewers and sewage disposal |  | Street sanitation |  | Waste collection |  |  | Waste disposal |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sewer sys- } \\ \text { temm } \end{gathered}$ | Sewage treatment ond disposal | Street | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { other } \end{gathered}$ | Garbage | Ashes | Other waste | Carbage | Ashes | Other |

group II.-CITIES having a population or 300,000 To $500,000-C 0 n t i n u e d$

| 23 24 25 26 | Jersey City, N. J. <br> Houston, Tex. $\qquad$ Louisville, Ky. <br> Portland, Oreg. | $\$ 953,213$ 523,630 512,044 334,928 |  | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 55,334 \\ 88,013 \\ 92,643 \\ 61,973 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}\text { \$147,987 } \\ \hdashline-1,832\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 377,610 \\ 85,779 \\ 93,079 \\ 204,804 \end{array}$ | ------------- | $\begin{gathered} \$ 484,536 \\ 143,518 \\ 176,348 \end{gathered}$ | -------------- |  | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 58,333 \\ 31,900 \\ 45,019 \end{array}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

group iti.-Citirs having a population or 100,000 to 300,000



| 363,639 | -- | 59,384 | 12,193 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 179,141 | ------.- | 9,054 | --- |
| 567,103 |  | 40,195 |  |
| 79,168 |  | 16,857 | 13,915 |
| 367,641 | 7,026 | 133,202 | 42,901 |
| 209,857 | 3,000 | 48,836 | 9,602 |
| 283,392 | 5,985 | 38,134 |  |
| 102,825 |  | 33,734 | 6,235 |
| 1,034,112 | -------- | 119,512 | - |
| 377,344 |  | 174,399 |  |
| 429,016 | 7,911 | 201,576 |  |
| 546,598 | 19,144 | 37,766 | 66,534 |
| 271,467 |  | 30,817 | 39,439 |
| 272,165 | ----- | 39,417 | 37,005 |
| 163,342 | 974 | 75,826 |  |
| 40,473 |  | 5,504 |  |
| र44,952 | 2,400 | 27,061 |  |
| 174,104 |  | 14,073 | 22,888 |
| 213,995 | -------- | 30,705 | 24,312 |
| 224,584 |  | 37,200 |  |
| 119,286 | 4,971 | 21,572 | 20,352 |
| 224,857 |  | 28,620 |  |
| 72,881 417,341 | 3,000 4,250 | 2,658 | 52 |
| 4172,311 <br> 232,511 |  | 100,643 23,688 | 29,514 |
| 152,738 | ---- | 16,664 | 38,638 |
| 156,281 |  | 26,838 | 14,115 |
| 296,648 | 3,048 | 17,708 | 145,621 |
| 81,245 |  | 14,531 |  |
| 216,966 |  | 29,392 |  |
| 315,908 |  | 26,073 | 28,246 |
| 181,516 | ----- | ${ }^{25,045}$ |  |
| 101,287 |  | 21,364 | 22,604 |
| 254,313 |  | 15,847 | 3,113 |
| 169,448 | 4,800 | 11,813 | 16,610 |
| 364,907 |  | 79,690 8826 |  |
| 102,015 83,136 | 1,316 | 8,267 22,654 | 23,670 2,299 |
| 237,394 |  | 27,805 | 50,000 |
| 221,435 | --------- | 12,669 |  |
| 108,995 |  | 42,826 | 3,037 |
| 165,566 |  | 38,791 |  |
| 203, 939 | -------- | 63,733 | -------- |
| 350,861 | -------- | 81,295 | ---------- |



| \% |  |  |  | $\infty$ modid <br>  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (1) |  | (1)10:\|c| | (\%\||:|1 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  <br>  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | (1)\|c|c| | (1) | (1:c\|c| |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |     <br>  1   <br>     <br>     | 111 | 11: |  | + |
|  |    <br>    <br>  0  | (1)c:c: |  | \| $110\|1\|$ |  |

TABLE 16. -COST PAYMENTS FOR OPERATION AND MATNTENANCE BY FUNCTIONS IN DETAIL: 1937-Continued

|  | CITY | IV. -SANITATION AND WASTERLROVOAL-Continued |  |  | v. -CONSERTVATION Of health |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Canfort stations | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Smoke } \\ & \text { regula- } \\ & \text { tions } \end{aligned}$ | All other | Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { Suparvi- } \\ \text { sion } \end{gathered}$ | Vital statis-tics | Regulation and inspection |  |  |  | Control of communicable diseases |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Milk and dairy products | Other food and drugs | Sanitary inspection | $\underset{\text { other }}{\text { All }}$ | Tuberculosis | Venereal diseases | All other |
|  | Grand to | \$571,235 | \$198,790 | \$1,174,943 | \$27,686,660 | \$2,503,639 | \$795,595 | \$1,658,104 | \$2,052,386 | \$2,027,134 | \$110,886 | \$1,837,779 | \$938,290 | \$2,360,879 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Grop I---. } \\ & \text { Group II- } \\ & \text { Group III } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 238,232 \\ 93,426 \\ 239,577 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 119,060 \\ & 59,004 \\ & 20,726 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 606,473 \\ & 258,778 \\ & 309,692 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16,889,189 \\ 3,893,108 \\ 6,904,363 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,442,181 \\ 558,050 \\ 703,408 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 515,671 \\ & 106,795 \\ & 173,129 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 946,097 \\ & 186,614 \\ & 525,393 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,122,156 \\ 347,747 \\ 582,483 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,118,047 \\ 375,703 \\ 533,384 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 53,941 \\ & 33,934 \\ & 23,911 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,495,480 \\ 137,641 \\ 204,658 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 661,345 \\ 95,022 \\ 181,923 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,533,644 \\ 308,770 \\ 518,465 \end{array}$ |

group I.-Citids having a population of 500,000 and over

| 1 | New York, N. |  |  |  | \$4,777,468 | \$581,988 | \$187,032 | \$194,522 | \$352,340 | \$294,007 |  | \$361,360 | \$317,324 | \$277,322 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Chicago, | \$10,024 | \$43,091 | \$100,399 | 1,901,930 | 276,179 | 48,721 | 208,671 | 94,357 | 46,956 | \$31,624 |  | 97,090 | 298,904 |
| 3 | Philadelphia, Pa | 12,938 |  |  | 1,061,788 | 50,400 | 11,387 | 61,461 | 23,605 | 106,309 |  | 67,744 |  | 107,518 |
| 4 | Detroit, Mich. | 37,672 | 6,900 | 28,841 | 1,600,085 | 151,221 | 34,661 | 72,036 | 110,440 | 92,605 |  | 161,629 | 74,041 | 182,692 |
| 5 | Los Angeles, C | 3,810 |  | 94,106 | 1,706,122 | 103,065 | 34,995 | 47,695 | 83,161 | 166,759 |  | 283,716 | 40,477 | 140,701 |
|  | Cleveland, ob |  | 4,200 |  | 788,017 | 20,004 | 12,140 | 70,895 | 36,507 | 1,555 |  | 104,590 | 23,358 | 110,327 |
| 7 | St. Louls, Mo | 6,130 | 1,250 | 61,215 | 636,072 | 23,805 | 12,644 | 56,021 | 57,977 | 40,526 |  | 56,078 | 24,113 | 53,275 |
|  | Baltimore, m | 23,680 | 7,357 | 89,103 | 582,985 | 22,881 | 21,618 | 32,483 | 61,340 | 17,750 | 20,255 | 6,975 | 8,652 | 66,617 |
| 9 | Boston, Mass. | 42,314 | 16,902 |  | 1,222,902 | 32,822 | 80,240 | 51,974 | 64,492 | 129,421 | -------- | 237,615 | -------- | 85,892 |
| 10 | Pittsburgh, Pa, | 64,437 | 11,457 | 98,265 | 523,093 | 17,186 | 9,833 | 10,080 | 62,752 |  |  | 16,375 |  | 89,665 |
| 11 | San Francisco, Col |  |  | 5,000 | 624,750 | 55,478 | 16,653 | 38,945 | 60,357 | 69,910 |  | 98,071 | 6,983 | 7,873 |
| 12 | Washington, D. C. | 15,830 | 11,560 | 6,465 | 562,764 | 51,639 | 10,030 | 34,004 | 29,249 | 55,586 | -------- | 49,730 | 51,245 | 42,969 |
| 13 | M11waukee, Wis | 6,572 | 7,911 | 52,221 | 376,984 | 30,093 | 19,209 | 25,137 | 51,751 | 56,182 |  | 16,277 | 8,138 | 40,984 |
| 14 | Buffalo, N. Y. | 14,825 | 8,432 | 70,858 | 524,229 | 25,420 | 16,508 | 42,173 | 33,828 | 40,481 | 2,062 | 35,320 | 9,924 | 28,905 |


| 15 | Minneapolis, Minn. | ----- | \$2,220 | \$5,549 | \$300,879 | \$13,260 | \$7,174 | \$16,760 | \$22,480 | \$11,240 | -----.-- | \$7,560 | \$5,340 | \$4,240 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 | Now Orieans, Le |  | 3,165 |  | 270,406 | 12,175 | 16,364 | 34,084 | 34,084 | 17,175 |  |  |  | 84,897 |
| 17 | Cincinnat1, oh | \$16,427 | 15,600 | 3,334 | 257,001 | 7,835 | ?,2? | 15;876 | 31,182 | 15,312 |  | 19,692 | 10,818 | 18,960 |
| 18 | Nemark, N. J. | 33,454 | 11,150 | 169,566 | 850,699 | 56,087 | 17,500 |  | 96,715 | 96,700 | \$15,275 | 28,685 | 67,790 | 95,996 |
| 19 | Kansas City, Mo | 8,250 | 6,015 | 6,312 | 188,588 | 44,602 | 8,296 | 17,321 | 12,180 | 37,622 |  | 1,313 |  | 4,913 |
| 20 | Seattle, Wash. | 17,618 |  | 18,035 | 257,137 | 35,915 | 1,317 | 8,759 | 40,882 | 34,150 |  | 1,770 | 2,329 | 18,266 |
| 21 | Indianapolis, Ind | 3,036 |  | 4,212 | 211,639 | 18,526 | 3,266 | 5,373 | 7,149 | 26,538 |  | 27,165 |  | 4,560 |
| 22 | Rochester, N. Y. | 11,132 |  |  | 453,733 |  | 8,312 | 23,529 | 14,704 | 27,528 |  | 17,554 | 4,209 | 4,209 |
| 23 | Jersey City, N. J. |  | 13,088 | 22,645 | 512,728 | 78,937 | 22,925 |  | 18,421 | 58,322 |  |  |  | 34,062 |


|  |  | 3,509 | 3,016 | (11,752 | $\begin{aligned} & 136,472 \\ & 249,129 \\ & 204,697 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18,858 \\ & 15,775 \\ & 24,926 \end{aligned}$ | $\left.\begin{aligned} & 2,095 \\ & 7,379 \\ & 4,946 \end{aligned} \right\rvert\,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11,102 \\ & 30,574 \\ & 23,236 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22,162 \\ & 27,492 \\ & 20,296 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18,994 \\ & 1,805 \\ & 30,317 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16,472 \\ \hdashline 1,287 \end{array}$ | 33,--202 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 4,947 \\ & 22,543 \\ & 11,177 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| group ili.-citirs baving a population of 100,000 to 300,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27 | Columbus, ohio | \$133 | 468 | -------- | \$107,643 | \$8,395 | \$4,442 | \$11,109 | \$25,960 | \$16,766 | ------ |  |  | \$2,556 |
| 28 | Toledo, Ohio- |  |  |  | 122,917 | 8,555 | 2,135 | 10,545 | 14,560 | 12,580 |  | \$4,978 | \$5,151 | 23,941 |
| 29 | oaklana, Cal1 |  |  | \$22,341 | 214,542 | 10,790 | 4,590 | 41,155 | 37,927 | 21,184 | -------- | 10,169 | 152 | 14,081 |
| 30 | Denrer, Colo | 4,899 |  | 19,830 | 160,928 | 15,590 | 3,487 | 15,655 | 25,283 |  |  | 13,219 |  | 27,296 |
| 31 31 | Atlanta, Oa. | 2,013 | 1,425 |  | 99,312 | 11,561 |  | 13,041 | 15,375 | 10,609 | \$7,072 |  |  |  |
| 33 | St. Paul, Minn. |  |  | 3,900 | 153,536 | 21,108 | 6,628 | 4,449 | 4,450 | 4,450 | , | 15,327 | 9,674 | 23,035 |
| 34 | Birmingham, Ala. |  |  | ${ }^{205}$ | 114,161 | 9,621 | 6,835 | 13,461 | 17,132 | 8,934 | 458 |  | 3,391 | 6,742 |
|  | Akron, ohio |  |  |  | 141,232 | 5,719 | 5,000 | 19,275 | 8,847 | 5,641 | -------- |  | 6,549 | 16,250 |
| 36 | Memphis, Tonn |  |  | 17,431 | 181,059 | 13,040 | 2,842 | 14,440 | 20,216 | 23,104 | -- | 8,615 | ------- | 27,006 |
|  | Providenco, R. | 33,505 | 2,012 | 26,483 | 166,888 | 27,563 | 9,038 | 15,632 | 3,904 | $\stackrel{2,202}{58,906}$ |  |  | 566 | 11,522 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 38 \\ & 39 \end{aligned}$ | San Antanio, Te | 2,395 |  |  | 229,502 62,809 | 48,439 10,560 | 1,500 1,200 | 9,720 2,085 | 48,197 7,190 | 58,906 6,258 |  |  | 566 | 3,240 4,290 |
| 40 | Syracuse, N. | 13,299 |  | 9,642 | 316,078 | 16,105 | 8,291 | 9,823 | 6,917 | 2,984 | 6,156 | 21,419 | 14,808 | 17,154 |
| 4 | Dayton, ohio--- | 5,978 |  | 5,355 | 116,679 97,679 | 10,692 9,695 | 1,500 | 18,075 | 34,365 16,488 | 4,080 |  |  | 7,642 8,774 | 5,370 |
|  | Woroestor, Mass | 9,461 | -------- |  | 164,178 | 30,302 | 1,536 | 12,445 | 7,100 | 20,510 |  | 4,190 | 704 | 8,473 |
|  | R1chmond, va. |  |  | 10,748 | 150,227 | 4,162 | 2,801 | 6,888 | 15,453 | 1233.5 | -------- | 10,410 | 7,432 | 14,476 |
| 45 | Youngstown, ohio | 6,939 2,831 |  |  | 62,050 | 5,287 | 1,500 | 6,889 | 8,311 | 9,360 | -------- |  | 2,541 10,481 | 2,023 |
| 47 | Fort Morth, Te |  | 1,818 | 6,020 | 124,566 109,164 | 11,500 | 1,320 | 16,626 | 17,160 |  | 4,002 |  |  | --.---.--- |
|  | Hartford, Conn. | 21,744 |  | 16,934 | 179,984 | 7,306 | 14,968 | 5,536 | 10,342 | 9,114 |  | 4,297 | 7,725 | 8,867 |
| 49 50 | Fint, Mich. Now Haven, |  | 197 |  | 94, 655 | 7,990 18,808 | 1,325 9,130 | 15,063 12,471 | 3,259 4,545 | 2,640 6,660 |  | 8,167 | 5,518 | 16,475 |
|  |  | 5,715 |  | 15,764 | 136,850 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 51 | San Diego, | 8,950 |  |  | 124,157 | 9,582 | 5,051 | 6,668 | 6,360 | ---- | 1,200 | 1,953 | 4,713 | 12,865 |
| 52 | Long Beach, Call | 22,253 |  | 10,533 | 84,135 | 7,859 | 1,643 |  | 12,339 | 5,791 |  | 1,633 |  | 7,036 |
| 53 | Nastrille, Ton |  | 5,967 | 3,305 | 120,257 | 8,584 | 1,359 1,616 | 13, 296 | 5,009 | 13,843 | -------- | 9,037 | $\begin{array}{r}11,880 \\ 1,542 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 8,129 2,346 |
| 54 | Springriold, | 15,281 |  | 1,575 | 116,885 36,821 | 15,809 8,361 | 1,616 | 9,134 10,920 | 4,122 | 5,625 |  |  |  | 2,505 |
| 56 | Bridgeport, Conn | 2,146 |  |  | 108,983 | 7,442 | 4,919 | 5,774 |  |  |  | 2,392 | 478 | 3,966 |
| 57 | Des Moinos, Iowa | 11,349 |  | 2,998 | 81,406 | 9,271 |  | 5,911 | 6,691 | 11,319 |  |  |  | 6,511 |
| 58 | Scranton, Pa.- | 2,886 |  |  | 53,342 | 2,860 | ----- | 2,702 | 3,606 | 1,710 |  |  |  | 4,751 |
|  | Salt Lake city, | 2,800 | 3,925 |  | 83,895 | 4,628 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $2,310$ | 6,318 7,900 |
| 60 | Yonkers, N. Y. |  |  | 60,670 | 193,861 | 22,364 | 3,988 | 7,769 | $7,869$ | 8,280 |  | 9,404 | 10,699 | 7,900 |
| 61 | Patorson, N. J |  |  |  | 117,035 | 23,049 | 4,176 | 5,736 |  | 9,800 | 4, |  |  |  |
| 62 | Jacksonville, F1 |  |  |  | 180,434 | 13,716 |  | 7,320 | 25,277 | 37,109 8,025 |  | 7,742 |  | 9,923 |
| 63 | Albany, N. | 4,806 | --------- | 39,596 | 142,210 | 25,679 | 7,341 | 7,979 2,656 | 2,100 | 8,025 15,483 |  | 7,742 |  | 17,242 |
| 65 | Norfolk, ${ }_{\text {Prenton, }}^{\text {N }}$ | 9,349 |  | 984 | 84,690 96,472 | 3,657 11,110 | 1,320 4,065 | 2,656 5,001 | 5,004 11,113 | 15,483 2,414 | 764 | 3,571 | 1,371 6,385 | 17,242 2,624 |
| 66 | Chattanooga, Tonn. |  |  |  | 36,169 | 9,067 |  |  |  | 14,095 |  |  |  | 3,486 |
| 67 | Kansas City, Kans. |  |  |  | 82,187 |  | 1,242 | 4,733 | 6,000 | 5,950 |  |  |  | 2,782 |

table 16.—cost Payments for operation and maintenance by functions in detail: 1937—continued

|  | CITY | IV. - SANITATION AND WASTEREMOVAL -Continued |  |  | v. -conservatton of heaith |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Comfort stations | $\begin{gathered} \text { Smoke } \\ \text { regula- } \\ \text { tions } \end{gathered}$ | All other | Total | $\underset{\text { sion }}{\text { Supervi- }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Vital } \\ \text { statis- } \\ \text { tics } \end{gathered}$ | Regulation and inspection |  |  |  | Control of communicable diseases |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Milk and dairy product | Other food and drugs | Sanitary inspection | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { other } \end{gathered}$ | Tuberculosis | Venereal diseases | All other |

GRoup III.-CITIES having a population or 100,000 To 300,000-Continued


1/ Not included in group or grand totals.

TABLE 16. - COST PAYMENTS FOR OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE BY FUNCTIONS IN DETAIL: 1937-Continued

|  | CITY | v. - Conskrvation or hraith-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  | VI.-Hospitais |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Child heelth sertices |  |  | Adult health services | Laboratory | Health and general clinics | $\stackrel{\text { All }}{\text { other }}$ | Total | $\underset{\text { sion }}{\text { Supervi- }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Goneral mul } \\ \text { nicipal } \\ \text { hospitals } \end{gathered}$ | Special municipal hospitals |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Pre-school } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { pre-natal } \end{gathered}$ | School | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { other } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Carmuni | cable dise | ases |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Tuberculosis | Venereal diseases | All other |
|  |  | \$2,545,232 | \$6,802,367 | \$851,383 | \$182,701 | \$1,424,891 | \$659,875 | \$935,519 | \$98,059,013 | \$514,305 | \$49,061,995 | \$15,797,433 | \$162,181 | \$5,069,088 |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 1,971,015 \\ 148,609 \\ 425,608 \end{array}$ | 3,702,743 1,055,966 2,043,658 | $\begin{array}{r} 666,049 \\ 152,751 \\ 32,583 \end{array}$ | 87,762 53,945 40,994 | 842,462 218,500 363,929 | $\begin{aligned} & 267,694 \\ & 199,562 \\ & 192,619 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 462,902 \\ & 114,399 \\ & 358,218 \end{aligned}$ | $72,197,494$ $15,478,788$ $10,382,721$ | $\begin{gathered} 402,663 \\ 50,599 \\ 61,043 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 34,624,430 \\ 8,914,731 \\ 5,52,, 834 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11,223,315 \\ 3,316,393 \\ 1,257,725 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100,899 \\ 10,838 \\ 50,444 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,912,718 \\ 253,112 \\ 903,258 \end{array}$ |
| grour i. -citirs having a population or 500,000 and over |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | New York, N. Y | $\$ 423,749$ <br> 554,857 101,371 164,72148,364 | \$1,160,100 | \$276,004 | \$41,282 | \$253,551 59,044 69,579 | \$12,078 | \$44,809 | \$28,632,115 | \$265,738 | \$17,444,522 | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 2,754,960 \\ 2,739,969 \end{array}$ | \$100,899 | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1,382,284 \\ 548,823 \\ 398,812 \\ 359,747 \\ 236,147 \\ 177,957 \\ 115,326 \end{array}$ |
| 3 |  |  | 125,429 |  |  |  |  |  | 5,858,000 |  | 2,345,610 |  |  |  |
| 3 |  |  | 449,891 357,907 | 23,058 | --7--7-508 |  | 42,108 | 40,937 | $3,915,726$ $6,985,255$ | ----------- | 1,494,694 |  | ---------- |  |
| 5 |  |  | 341, 372 | 16,410 | ----- |  | 57,711 | 277,689 | 4,373,303 | 10,072 | 2,989,388 | 728,332 | --------- |  |
| ${ }^{6}$ |  |  | 173,712 | 248,436 |  | 39,744 | 14,810 | ------- | 2,919,592 | 28,981 | 1,295,534 | 369,702 | -------- |  |
| 7 |  | 83,367 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | Baltimore, ma. | 65,828161,490 | $\begin{aligned} & 116,463 \\ & 244,474 \end{aligned}$ | ---------- | ----------- | 45,17830,883 | $\begin{array}{r} 1,575 \\ 103,599 \end{array}$ | 95,370 | 1,847,374 | 28,000 | 602,099$3,031,347$ | $\begin{array}{r} 76,045 \\ 647,041 \end{array}$ | --.-------- | $\begin{array}{r} 298,086 \\ 2,231 \end{array}$ |
|  | Boston, Mass. |  |  |  | --------- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | P1ttsburgh, Pa.----- San Francisco, | 161,623 10,463 | 136,131 148,326 | 93,417 | --------- | $\begin{aligned} & 18,351 \\ & 18,274 \end{aligned}$ |  | 1,097 | \% 981,823 | ---1-452 | --->-1-772 | $\begin{aligned} & 303,569 \\ & 776,806 \end{aligned}$ | ---------- | 103,232 71,204 |
| 12 | Washington, D. |  | 104,621 |  |  | 34,215 |  |  | 3,911,665 | 28,420 | 537,873 | 437,167 | -------- |  |
| 13 | M11waukee, Wis.- | 28,56767,139 | $\begin{array}{r} 65,668 \\ 118,444 \end{array}$ | ----1-724 | $-------$ | $\begin{aligned} & 63,922 \\ & 63,572 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5,056 \\ 30,757 \end{array}$ | 3,000 | $\begin{aligned} & 2,507,393 \\ & 2,053,203 \end{aligned}$ | ----------- | $\begin{aligned} & 944,234 \\ & 819,230 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 559,640 \\ & 390,497 \end{aligned}$ | ----------- | $\begin{array}{r} 0,012 \\ 164,897 \\ 1647 \end{array}$ |
| 14 | Buffalo, N. Y. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

group it.-cities having a population of 300,000 to 500,000

table 16. - COST PAYMENTS FOR OPERATION AND MAINTTENANCI BY FUNGTIONS IN DETAIL: 1937—Continued

|  | CITY | v. -conservation or hraith-Continuod |  |  |  |  |  |  | vi.-Hospitais |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 夏 |  | Child heelth services |  |  | Adult health services | Laboratory |  | $\underset{\text { other }}{\text { All }}$ | Total | $\underset{\text { sion }}{\substack{\text { supervi- }}}$ | General municipal hospitals | Speoial municipal hospitals |  |  |
| 官 |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Pro-school } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { pre-natal } \end{gathered}$ | School | Allother |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Caumum | cable dise | ases |
| \# |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Tuberculosis. | Venereal diseases | All other |

group il.-citites having a population of 300,000 to 500,000-Continuod

| 23 24 24 26 | Jersey City, N. J. <br> Houston, Tex, <br> Louisville, Ky <br> Portland, ores. | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 77,703 \\ \hline 14,699 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 196,219 \\ 37,764 \\ 23,98 \\ 51,879 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 8,041 \\ 7,648 \end{array}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{r} \$ 26,139 \\ 4,168 \\ \hdashline 7,610 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\underset{\text { - }}{---\cdots-7-365}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{\$ 1 , 1 0 8} \\ & 17,880 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 3,365,620 \\ 491,728 \\ 833,416 \\ 355,578 \end{array}$ | ------------------ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1,951,854 \\ 358,950 \\ 443,186 \\ 253,548 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8445,168 \\ 81,947 \\ 381,953 \\ 18,643 \end{array}$ | ---------- | $\begin{array}{r}\text { \$113,433 } \\ \hdashline-15,000\end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

group iti.-ctitirs hatina a population of 100,000 to 300,000

| 27 | Columbus, ohio |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 28 | Toledo, ohio- |  |
| 29 | Oaklend, Call | \$3,488 |
| 30 | Denver, Colo |  |
| 31 | At1anta, Ga. |  |
| 32 33 | Dallas, Tox. |  |
|  | St. Paul, M1nn.- Birmingham, Ala. |  |
| 34 | B1rmingham, Ala | 15,394 |
|  | Akron, on |  |
| 36 | Memphis, Teenn. | 32,845 |
| 37 | Proridence, R. I. | 16,578 |
|  | San Antonio, Te |  |
| 39 | amaha, Nebr. |  |
| 40 | Syracuso, N. Y | 28,210 |
| 41 | Dayton, ohio---- |  |
| 42 | Oklahoma City, okla. | 3,890 |
| 43 | Worcoster, Mass. | 12,087 |
| 44 | R1ohmond, Va. | 25,066 |
| 45 | Youngstoma, oh 1 |  |
| 46 | Orand Rapids, Mich | 3,000 |
| 48 | Fortford, Conn.- | 30,200 |
| 49 | Fint, Mich.-- | 7,286 |
| 50 | Nem Haven, Conn. | 18,802 |
| 51 | San Diogo, Calif. |  |
| 52 | Long Beach, Calif. --- | 155 |





|  |
| :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |



tablis 16.-COST PAYMENTS FOR OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE BY FUNCTIONS IN DETAIL: 1937—Continued

group il.-Cities having a pofulation of 300,000 to 500,000

| 15 | minneapolis, Minn. --- | \$15,394 | \$102, 065 |  |  |  |  | \$31,678 | \$559 | \$8,882,793 |  | \$74,773 |  | \$531 | \$5,321,199 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 | New Orleans, La. | 22,987 |  | \$2,158 |  | ------- | \$7,689 | 19,296 |  | 152,143 |  | 43,396 |  | 20,283 | 12,905 |
| 178 | Cincinnati, oh10----- Newark, | 767,078 | 231,667 |  | \$1,682 |  | 214,968 |  |  | $3,284,774$ $5,481,086$ |  | 168,561 <br> 192,058 |  | 164,449 | $2,085,937$ $4,768,580$ |
| 19 | Kansas C1ty, Mo |  |  | 1,358 |  |  | 129,469 |  |  | 345,362 | \$1,730 | 193,167 |  |  | 53,660 |
| 20 | Seattle, Wash. |  |  |  |  |  | 39,325 2 |  |  | 543,136 |  | 47,372 |  | 49,011 | 264,808 2,398 |


| 22 | Rochester, N. Y.--- |  |  |  |  |  | 1,205 | 94,405 | 10,000 | 5,979,439 | 391,250 | 181,341 |  |  | 3,021,681 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 23 | Jersey City, N. J.--- | 417,936 | 427,363 |  |  |  |  | 9,866 |  | 1,938,646 | ----- | 146,065 | ------ | 51,559 | 1,484,486 |
| 24 | Houston, Tex. -------1 | 30,831 |  | ---------- | -------- | -------- | --------- | 20,000 | ------ | 383,068 |  | 23,807 | 56,317 |  | 283,769 |
| 25 | Louisville, Ky.----- |  | 8,877 | --------- | ------- | -------- |  |  | ------ | 903,721 | 29,875 | 66,306 | 185,870 | 63,517 | 230,697 288,742 |
| 26 | Portiand, Orag. - ----1 |  | 64,613 |  |  |  | 3,774 |  |  | 907,150 |  | 141,307 |  |  | 288,742 |

group iti.-cittres having a population of 100,000 to 300,000

| 27 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | *514,073 |  |  |  |  | \$490,908 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 28 | Toledo, Ohio |  |  |  |  | ,992 |  |  |  | 670,146 |  | \$11,439 | 663 |  | 652,676 |
| 29 30 | Oakland, Cali |  |  |  |  |  | \$16 | \$1,080 |  | 22,351 $4,891,043$ |  | 53,425 |  | $\cdots$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16,077 \\ 1,084,404 \end{array}$ |
| 31 | Atienta, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4, 276,937 |  |  |  | 3,600 | 244,082 |
| 32 | Dallas, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 178, 433 | 2,937 | , 841 |  |  | 134,655 |
| 34 | Birmingham, |  |  | \$12,00 |  |  |  |  |  | 791,605 121,544 |  |  |  |  | 119,336 |
| 35 | Akron, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 372,985 |  |  |  |  | 372,985 |
| 36 37 | Memphis, Tenn Providence, R | 45,180 |  | 79,153 | $\xrightarrow{\text { \$17,094 }}$ |  |  | 78,052 | ------ | $\begin{aligned} & 4,2 \\ & 660,30 \end{aligned}$ | 24,371 | 99,298 |  | 125,843 | -7--7,08 |
| 38 | San An |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 19,5 |  |  |  |  | 19,596 |
| 39 | amaha, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2,238 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 40 \\ & 41 \end{aligned}$ | Syracuse, N. Y Dayton, ohio- |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 3,754 \\ & 2,700 \end{aligned}$ |  | $3,445,579$ 374,037 | $\begin{array}{r} 44,456 \\ 2,117 \end{array}$ | 226,515 |  | $\begin{gathered} 336,960 \\ 51,920 \end{gathered}$ | 807,695 312,841 |
| 42 | Oklahama C1ty, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 23,982 |  |  |  |  | 23,982 |
| 43 | Worce |  |  | 649 |  | 910 |  |  |  | 31, |  |  |  | 10,097 | 461,236 |
| 44 | R1chmond, |  |  |  |  | 5,130 |  |  |  | 562,6 |  | 92,157 |  |  | 437,304 |
| 46 | Youmgstown, Grand Rapids, |  |  |  |  |  |  | 71,263 |  | 20,487 340,549 |  |  |  | 1,358 | 17,732 366,953 |
| 47 | Fort Worth, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 222,687 |  |  | 18,551 | 30,954 | 157,822 |
| 48 | Hartford, Conn. |  |  | 14,435 |  |  | 64,65 | 14,181 |  | 1,708,929 | 18,8 | 458,203 |  | 176,373 | $\begin{array}{r}722,240 \\ \hline 159\end{array}$ |
| 50 | New Haven, | 926 |  | 19,53 |  |  | 63,493 | 129,443 | \$1,288 | 1,162,114 | 15,418 | 79,434 |  | 10, | -758,933 |
|  | San |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 52 | Long Beach, call |  |  |  | ------- | ------- | ---------- | ------- |  | 27,855 | --------- |  |  | 7,698 | 4,610 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 53 \\ & 54 \end{aligned}$ | Nashville, Ten Springfieid, |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 10,500 \\ & 1,345 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | 75,734 |  | 62,605 2,047,705 | 160,310 |  | 3,335 | ----------- | 36,786 72,292 |
|  | Tulsa, okla. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | -12,702 | 160,310 |  |  |  | 12,477 |
| 56 | Bridgeport, Co |  |  | 12,378 |  |  | 57,469 | 91,024 |  | 946,467 | 16,211 | 152,164 | 1,654 | --------- | 554,466 |
| 57 58 | Des Moines, Iowa |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6,439 |  |  |  |  | 6,439 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Salt Lake City, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15, 169 |  |
| 61 | Yonkers, ${ }_{\text {Paterson, }}$ |  |  | 7 |  |  |  | 287,921 80,000 |  | ,250,193 |  | 46, |  | 15,169 | 322,167 |
| 62 | Jacksonville |  |  | 3,498 |  |  |  | 20,400 | ------ | 32,7 |  |  |  |  | 31,889 |
| 63 | Alb |  |  |  |  | 5,670 |  | 165,002 |  | 483 | 20,500 |  | --------- | 11,717 | 372,181 |
| 64 | Norfoik, |  | 213 |  |  |  |  | 32,052 |  | 276,691 |  | 87,878 |  | 17,068 |  |
| 66 | Chattanooga, Tenn. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{45,265}$ |  |  |  |  | 32,256 |

table 16. - COST PATMENTS FOR OPERATION AND MATNTKNANCI BY FONCTIONS IN DETAIL: 1937 -Continued

|  | CITY | VI. - iospitais-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | VII. - Cibartites |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Special mmicipal hospitals-Continued |  | Patients in other hospitals |  |  |  |  | $\underset{\text { Other }}{\text { All }}$ | Total | $\begin{array}{\|c\|c\|} \text { supprysi- } \\ \text { sion } \end{array}$ | Municipal institutional care |  | Other institutional and noninstitutional care |  |
|  |  | Montal diseases | All other | Coamunioable diseases |  |  | Montal | All othor |  |  |  | Adult dependents | Dependent and nogahildron ahllar | Public assistance |  |
|  |  |  |  | Tubercu10:18 | Venereal diseases | $\underset{\text { other }}{\text { All }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Administration | $\underset{\text { Coneral }}{\substack{\text { Coliof }}}$ |



TABLE 16. - COST PAMMENTS FOR OPERATION AND MAINTEENANCE BY FUNCTIONS IN DETAIL: 1937-Continuad

|  | CITY | VII.-CEARITIES-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | VIII.-CORrECTİN |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Other institutional and noninstitutional care-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { other } \end{gathered}$ | Total | Suparvision | Munioipal correotion institutiona |  |  |
|  |  | Public asaistance-Continued |  |  |  |  | Wolfare sorvice |  |  |  |  |  |  | Adults |  | Minors |
|  |  | Old-age | Aid to depondent childron | Ald to blind | Votorans ald | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { other } \end{gathered}$ | Regule tion of or boarding homes | $\underset{\text { Legal }}{\substack{\text { aid }}}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \text { Rmploy- } \\ \text { ment } \\ \text { egens } \\ \text { cies } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { other } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | Men | Women |  |
|  | Grand total- | \$45,301,877 | \$38,015,199 | \$2,290,658 | 112,487,590 | \$615,747 | \$97,845 | \$39,060 | \$280,394 | *123,561 | (329,680 | (21,329,855 | \$104,868 | 12,049,311 | \$720,671 | 11,987,496 |
|  | Group I-------------- | $30,493,907$ $5,387,491$ $9,480,479$ | $32,412,189$ $3,516,154$ $2,086,916$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,090,739 \\ 156,863 \\ 43,056 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8,606,922 \\ 998,376 \\ 1,882,292 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 214,715 \\ & 126,855 \\ & 274,177 \end{aligned}$ | 97,845 ------ | $\begin{array}{r} 19,257 \\ 9,250 \\ 10,543 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 114,090 \\ 93,540 \\ 72,764 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 75,452 \\ & 13,623 \\ & 34,486 \end{aligned}$ | 307,890 11,484 10,306 | $\begin{array}{r} 17,610,735 \\ 2,637,194 \\ 1,081,926 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 90,930 1,419 12,519 | $\begin{array}{r} 10,006,205 \\ 1,358,890 \\ 684,216 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 576,809 \\ 83,533 \\ 60,329 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,462,428 \\ 49,274 \\ 99,794 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |


| 1 | New Yori, N. Y | \$14,052,215 | \$18,761,476 | \$236,943 | \$6,500,259 | \$25,012 | \$20,011 | ------ | -------- | \$5,657 | 250,000 | \$4,528,663 | ------- | \$3,380,927 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 | Chicago, Ill.-- | 810,688 | 1,233,624 | 511,189 | 5,955 |  |  |  |  | 21,403 |  | 2,087,921 |  | 1,091,597 | \$31,708 | \$363,000 |
| 3 | Philadolphia, Pa | 140,993 439,594 | 712,680 $1,905,184$ | 18,000 | 69,880 213,556 | 84,500 |  |  | \$14,100 |  | 57,890 | $2,655,814$ 695,403 |  | 647,517 488,740 | 286,748 69,647 | 23,649 |
| 5 | Los Angoles, Cal | 5,236,621 | 919,522 | 588,528 | 3,868 |  |  |  | 21,159 |  |  | 1,531,770 |  | 1,015,454 | 67,751 | 136,118 |
| 7 | clevelend, oh1 St. Louls, Mo. |  | $\begin{array}{r} 1,091,841 \\ 296,951 \end{array}$ | 49,266 | 184,387 | $\begin{array}{r} 687 \\ 18,941 \end{array}$ | 65,195 | \$7,967 | 15,563 | 5,454 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 417,329 \\ & 403,213 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 179,649 \\ & 185,035 \end{aligned}$ | 11,959 | $\begin{aligned} & 174,826 \\ & 138,869 \end{aligned}$ |
| 8 | Baltimore, ya | 1,667,805 | 1,601,521 | 107,832 | 700 |  | ----.-. |  | 1, | 1,000 | ------- | 488,800 |  | 169,932 | -------- | ---------- |
| 10 |  | $3,535,760$ 737,281 | 1,414,067 | 308,688 | 660,088 44,093 | ,000 |  |  | 84,18 |  |  | $1,152,809$ 781,884 | , | 768,375 327,814 |  | 149,820 |
| 11 | San Pran 01800 , Ca | 1,921,856 | 894,324 | 142,461 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 314,169 | 15,420 | 211,562 | 23,507 | 36,167 |
| 12 | Washington, D. | 534,480 | 636,195 | 35,468 |  | 29,180 | 12,639 |  | 4,317 | 4,984 |  | 1,821,091 | 28,121 | 1,023,424 | 62,213 | 414,969 |
| 13 | M11 waukeo, Wis | 1,200,107 | 793,017 | 68,715 | 3,334 |  |  |  | 15,113 | 31,804 |  | 372,626 |  | 243,287 |  | 31,010 |
| 14 | Buffalo, N. Y. | 816,567 | 1,030,141 | 23,649 | 917,765 | 55,455 |  | 11,290 | 18,174 | 5,150 |  | 413,243 | 6,902 | 272,892 | 23,276 |  |

CROUP II.-CITIES BAVING A POPOLATION OF 300,000 TO 500,000

| 15 | Minnoe | 98,800 | 18,974 | \$234 | , 391 | \$932 |  |  | \$4,400 |  | \$559 | \$242,746 |  | \$125,365 | \$19,657 | \$43,324 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 | New Orleans, La | 7,300 | 35,962 |  | 450 | 31,849 | ------- |  |  | ------- |  | 189,176 | \$1,419 | 111,060 |  | 55,353 |
| 17 18 | Cincinnati, Ohio | 97,452 | 572,679 376,530 | $\left.\begin{gathered} 53,819 \\ 6,974 \end{gathered} \right\rvert\,$ | 209,024 2,390 |  |  |  | 30,305 27,775 |  | 9,327 | 228,598 518,956 |  | 150,143 252,868 |  |  |
| 19 | Kansas city, |  | 90,089 |  |  | 2,094 | ------- | \$4,284 | 1,338 | ------- |  | 287, 648 | ------- | 84,291 | 27,274 | 111,136 |
| 20 | seattlo |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3,939 |  |  | 179,210 |  | 76,461 | 2,010 | 80,296 |

table 16. - COST PABMENTS FOR OPERATION AND MAINTIGNANGE BY FUNCTIONS IN DETAIL: 1937—Continued

|  | CITY | VII.-CEARITIRS-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | VIII.-CORRECTION |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Other institutional and noninstitutional cars-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\underset{\text { other }}{\text { All }}$ | Total | Supervision | Lamicipel correotion institutions |  |  |
|  |  | Public assistanco-Continuod |  |  |  |  | Welfare sorvice |  |  |  |  |  |  | Adults |  | Minors |
|  |  | O1d-ago asistance | Ald to dependent children | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ald to } \\ & \text { bilnd } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Vetorans' } \\ & \text { ald } \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\text { othor }}{\text { All }}$ | foator or boarding homes | $\begin{gathered} \text { Logeal } \\ \text { aidd } \end{gathered}$ | Employ <br> agen- <br> cies | $\underset{\text { other }}{\text { All }}$ |  |  |  | Kon | Women |  |

GROUP II.-CITIES HAVING A POPOLATION OF 300,000 TO 500,000-Continued

| $\begin{aligned} & 22 \\ & 23 \\ & 24 \\ & 25 \\ & 26 \end{aligned}$ | Rochester, N. Y $\qquad$ <br> Jorsey City, N. $\square$ <br> Houston, Tex. $\qquad$ <br> Louisville, Ky. <br> Portland, Oreg. $\qquad$ | $\$ 977,360$ <br> 84,305 <br> 1,020 <br> 297,528 | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 807,699 \\ 154,743 \\ 16,366 \\ 223,596 \\ 56,982 \end{array}$ | $\$ 18,266$ <br> $\cdots-60$ <br> 11,624 | \$576,724 <br> $\mathbf{9 , 6 3 6}$ <br> --7 | $\begin{array}{r}\$ 120 \\ \hdashline-- \\ \hline 91,200\end{array}$ |  | $\frac{8,400}{-\cdots-\cdots}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 7,772 \\ 1,789 \\ 12,338 \\ 3,884 \end{array}$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 219,012 \\ 293,434 \\ 163,686 \\ 191,362 \\ 86,917 \end{array}$ | ------------- <br> - | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 123,031 \\ 209,361 \\ 65,641 \\ 83,165 \\ 76,690 \end{array}$ | $\$ 9,547$ <br> --7 <br> 23,048 <br> 1,997 | $\begin{array}{r} \begin{array}{r} \$ 53,760 \\ 66,655 \\ \hline 8,230 \end{array} \\ \hdashline-7 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

GROUP III.-CITIES having a population or 100,000 T0 300,000


|  | Sen D |  |  |  |  | 764 |  |  |  | 4,014 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 52 | Iong Be |  | 20 |  | 4,275 | $\cdots$ |  |  | 11,018 | 4,014 | 234 | 22,334 |  | 19,762 |  |  |
| 53 54 54 | Nashville, T Tenn.-- Springfield, Mass. | 584,933 | 112,803 |  | 226,759 | 66-7--729 |  |  |  |  |  | 69,597 |  |  |  | 19,994 |
| 55 | Tulsa, Okla.----- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 225 |  |  | 36,387 |  | 23,304 |  | 10,483 |
| 56 57 | Bridgeport, Conn. | 193,783 | 25,552 | 247 | 1,890 |  |  |  | -------- | --------- | 500 | 4,656 |  |  |  | 4,656 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 57 \\ & 58 \end{aligned}$ | Des Moines, Iowa-Scranton, Pa . |  |  |  |  | 500 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |
| 59 | Salt Lake City, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 13,396 |  | 6,173 |  | 1,397 |
| 60 | Yonkers, | 299 |  |  | 170,767 | -------- | ---- | ----- |  | 2,500 |  | 9,000 |  |  |  |  |
| 62 | Packsonville, | 640 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3,399 | -------- | 250 | 32,072 |  | 30,385 |  |  |
| 63 | Albany, N. Y. |  |  |  | 64,434 | 14,403 |  |  |  | ------- |  | 1,200 | ------ |  | ------- | --------- |
| 64 | Norfolk, Va. |  | 3,957 |  |  | 1,000 |  |  | 213 | ------- |  | 91,000 |  | 32,379 | 6,301 | 1,727 |
| 65 | Tranton, N. J. Chattanooga, | 300 | 11,642 |  | 300 | 600 |  |  | 167 |  |  | 33,842 |  | 14,807 |  |  |
| 67 | Kansas City, Kan | 100 | 425 |  |  | 200 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 68 | Fort Wayne, Ind. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 69 | Camden, N. |  | 2,700 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,200 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 70 | Erie, Pa.- |  |  |  | - | ------- | ------- | --- |  |  | 650 | - | -- | ---------- | ------- | --------- |
| 71 | Elizabeth, N. J |  | 16,288 3,600 | 400 |  |  |  |  | 4,119 | 300 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 73 | Spokane, Wash |  | 3,600 |  |  | 3,150 | ------- | ------ | 4,855 | ------- | ------- | 24,070 | ------- | 13,312 | 4,293 | 6,465 |
| 74 | Fall River, Mass Cambridge, Wass. | 555,557 323,958 | 103,617 149,305 | 168 | 118,501 91029 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 76 | New Bedford, Mass | 732,954 | 77,374 |  | 133,634 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 329 |  |  |  |  |
| 77 | Reading, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 78 | Knoxtille, Tenn |  | 13,422 |  |  |  |  |  | 2,224 |  |  | 17,033 |  |  | 5,291 | 11,699 |
| 79 80 | Peoria, Ill South Bend | 22,242 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ------ | ------- |  | ------ |  | ------- | --- |
| 81 | Tacoma, Wash.- |  |  |  |  |  |  | ------ | 2,070 |  |  | 1,775 |  |  |  |  |
| 82 | M1ami, Fla. |  |  |  | ---------- | ------- |  | ---- |  | -------- | ----- | 21,751 |  | 19,413 | 2,338 | --------- |
| 83 | Gary, Ind.----- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 84 85 | Cilmington, Del. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2,78 3,000 |  |  |  | 3,000 |
| 86 | Tampa, Fla. | 1,800 | 9,000 | 1,200 | 151 | 4,100 |  |  |  |  |  | 41,526 |  | 41,526 |  |  |
|  | Somerville, Ma | 393,212 | 91,778 |  | 90,787 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 88 | El Pabo, Tex. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 14,661 |  | 14,661 |  |  |
| 89 | Evansville, In | 3,500 | 1,000 |  |  |  |  |  | -------- |  |  |  |  |  | ------- | --------- |
| 91 | Utica, N. N . Y .-- | 698,993 | 84,364 |  | 68,897 119,415 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2,685 3,034 |  |  |  |  |
| 92 | Duluth, Minn.- |  |  |  |  |  |  | 926 | 2,000 | 8,642 | ------- | 4,580 | -...---- |  |  |  |
| 94 | Waterbury, ${ }^{\text {Well }}$ Mass. | $\begin{aligned} & 154,310 \\ & 529,671 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 38,781 \\ 126,2 \end{array}$ |  | 214,266 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6,120 605 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Honolulu, Haweli 1/- | 27,845 | 61,232 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 65,357 |  | 65,357 | ------- |  |

TABLE 16.-COST PAYMENTS FOR OPERATION AND LAINTENANCE BY FUNCTIONS IN DETAIL: 1937-Continued

|  | CITY | VIII.-COPRECTION-Continued |  |  |  |  | IX.-Schoors | x.-LIBRARIES |  |  |  | xi.-RECREATIon |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Institutional industry ties (net) | Delinquents in other institutions |  |  | $\left\{\begin{array}{c} \text { Probation } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { parole } \end{array}\right.$ |  | Total | $\int_{\text {sion }}^{\text {Supervi- }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Acces- } \\ & \text { sions } \end{aligned}$ | Libraryservices | Total | Supervision | Cultural-scientific recreation |  |
|  |  |  | Adults |  | Minors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Art gal- |  |
|  |  |  | Men | Women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | \$152,674 | \$598,387 | \$76,165 | \$2,476,044 | \$3,164,239 | \$596,128,836 | \$24,101,002 | \$1,718,381 | \$3,500,732 | 18,881,889 | 858,131,752 | \$770,444 | \$1,029,942 | \$2,221,189 |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{r}102,081 \\ \hline-\cdots 0,593\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 526,749 \\ 66,850 \\ 4,788 \end{array}$ | 76,165 | $\begin{array}{r} 2,221,903 \\ 215,066 \\ 39,075 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,547,465 \\ 486,162 \\ 130,612 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 375,360,668 \\ 68,523,384 \\ 152,244,784 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14,150,375 \\ 3,737,563 \\ 6,213,064 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 336,685 \\ & 635,457 \\ & 746,239 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,842,166 \\ 683,366 \\ 975,200 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11,971,524 \\ 2,418,740 \\ 4,491,625 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 38,841,912 \\ 6,490,657 \\ 12,799,183 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 502,028 \\ 76,026 \\ 192,390 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 942,408 \\ 28,288 \\ 59,246 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,710,633 \\ 237,068 \\ 273,488 \end{array}$ |
|  | grour i.-cities having a population of 500,000 and ovier |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | New York, N. Y | \%69,092 | -------- | ------- | \$208,426 | \$870,218 | \$151,346,306 | \$3,038,614 |  | \$329,645 | \$2,708,969 | 10,498,160 |  | \$355,882 | \$782,727 |
| 2 | Chicago, I11.-- |  |  |  | 293,178 | 308,438 | 41,597,796 | 1,469,959 | \$39,450 | 254,870 | 1,175,639 | 7,682,841 | \$317,749 | 120,170 | 116,779 |
| 3 | Philadiphipha, Pa |  | \$300,000 | -15,000 | 1,115,000 | 207,900 137,016 | $28,648,559$ $26,958,277$ | 686,984 $1,313,522$ | 20,994 <br> 37,940 <br> 1 | - $\begin{array}{r}61,396 \\ 203,322\end{array}$ | 604,594 $1,072,260$ | $2,421,558$ $1,880,953$ | --------- | 60,000 128,537 | 143,054 |
| 4 | Detroit, Mich.-- Los Angeles, Cal |  |  |  | 58,400 | 137,016 260,047 | 26,956,277 | 1,313,522 | 37,940 36,288 | - $\begin{array}{r}203,322 \\ 233,527\end{array}$ | $1,072,260$ 981,863 | 1,880,953 | ---------- | 128,537 2,333 |  |
| 6 | Clevelend, Ohio |  |  |  |  | 62,854 | 14,261,368 | 1,554,671 |  |  | 1,554,671 | 1,082,018 |  | 2,586 | 152,643 |
| 7 | St. Lou1s, Mo. |  |  |  | 18,842 | 54,508 | 10,305,794 | 471,452 | 24,654 | 82,321 | 364,477 | 1,533,302 | 32,630 | 97,830 | 60,734 |
| 8 | Baltimore, Ma. |  |  |  | 219,842 | 39,026 | 9,259,508 | 579,405 | 19,000 | 106,000 | 454,405 | 1,052,919 |  | 41,748 | 7,809 |
| 10 | Boston, Mass.--.-.--- | 6,461 |  | --------- | r 15,141 | 322,345 66,897 | 16,289, 347 | 1,307,893 | 52,100 | 132,158 | 1,123,635 | 2,485,687 | 82,662 |  | 3,777 |
| 10 | Pittsburgh, Pa....--- San Francisco, |  | 135,649 | ---------- | 101,704 | 66,897 27 | $12,167,316$ $9,008,813$ | 656,103 447,008 | 19,585 | 108,865 106,852 | 527,653 326,836 | 1,091,775 | 13,532 9,000 | 54,500 | 4,037 81,607 |
| 12 | Washington, D. C.-.- | 26,528 | 91,100 |  | 162,479 | 12,257 | 10,239,171 | 471,117 | 35,320 | 82,392 | 353,405 | 1,599,249 |  | 54,500 |  |
| 13 | Milwaukee, W1s. |  |  |  | 24,106 | 74,223 | 9,123,063 | 410,490 | 11,711 | 49,767 | 349,012 | 1,828,135 | 33,929 | 20,000 | 228,075 |
| 14 | Buffalo, N. Y.- | ----- | -------- | 1,165 | 4,785 | 104,223 | 10,072,867 | 491,479 | 26,323 | 91,051 | 374,105 | 1,338,863 | 12,526 | 60,822 | 129,391 |

group il.-CITIES having a population of 300,000 to 500,000

| 15 | Minneapolis, M |  | -------- | ------- |  | \$54,400 | \$7,806,563 | \$478,067 | ---------- | \$103,301 | \$374,766 | \$864,156 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 | New Orieans, |  |  |  | \$8,035 | 13,309 | 3,897,999 | 79,862 | --------- | 12,490 | 67,372 | 366,123 | \$6,940 |  | 13,045 |
| 17 | Cinc1nnat1, Oh10 |  | \$18,349 |  |  | 53,586 | 7,588,455 | 467,079 | \$7,900 | 101,090 | 358,085 | 416,224 |  |  |  |
| 18 | Newerk, N.'J. |  |  |  | 165,043 | 101,045 | 9,606,862 | 541,375 | 352,985 | 93,628 | 94,762 | 664,922 | 1,290 |  | 110,000 |
| 19 | Kansas |  | ------.- | ------- | 12,323 | 52,624 | 5,312,219 | 273,202 | 9,336 | 59,596 | 204,270 | 853,102 |  |  | 2,798 |
| 20 | Seattle, Wash. |  |  |  | 1,496 | 18,947 | 5,535,606 | ${ }^{307,873}$ | 13,419 | 55,552 | 238,902 | 507,402 | 8,972 | \$11,446 |  |
| 21 | Indianapolis, |  | 501 |  | 7,565 | 27,569 | 4,915,428 | 337,914 | 5,600 | 48,394 | 283,920 | 410,417 | 7,973 | 16,842 | ,000 |
| 22 | Rochester, N. |  |  |  | 20,604 | 65,830 | 6,903,925 | 402,892 | 18,339 | 105,008 | 279,545 | 734,338 | 16,087 |  | 46,758 |


| 23 | Jersey City, N. J. |  |  |  | --- | 30,313 | 5,452,433 | 236,443 | 184,656 | 15,412 | 36,375 | 628,979 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 24 | Houston, Tox. |  |  |  |  | 31,390 | 3,912,593 | 94,421 | 12,444 | 18,817 | 63,160 | 213,604 |  | --------- | 4,800 |
| 25 | Lou1sville, Ky.-----1 |  | 48,000 | ------- | ---------- | 37,149 | 3,909,981 | 261,812 | 10,488 | 13,160 | 238,164 | 398,454 | 17,052 |  |  |
| 26 | Portland, Oreg.-- |  |  |  |  |  | 3,681,320 | 256,623 | 20,290 | 56,918 | 179,415 | 430,936 | 17,712 |  | 8 |


| 27 | Columbus, ohio |  |  |  |  | \$2,314 | \$3, 408,564 | \$106,808 | \$68,160 | \$11,479 | \$27,169 | \$80,786 |  |  | 94,197 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 28 | Toledo, Ob10-- |  |  |  |  | 1,500 | 4,285,052 | 268,257 | 11,349 | 50,203 | 206,705 | 156,678 |  |  |  |
| 29 | Oakland, Callf |  |  |  |  |  | 5,721,598 | 270,446 | 11,280 | 35,712 | 223,454 | 576,755 |  | \$5,898 | 20,124 |
| 30 | Denvor, Colo |  |  |  | \$13,398 | 20,898 | 4,593,810 | 241,100 | 143,304 | 45,338 | 52,458 | 734,864 176 ,715 |  | 18,000 300 | 46,923 |
| 31 32 | Atlanta, Ga. |  |  |  |  |  | $3,474,114$ <br> $3,147,288$ | 117,776 <br> 59,893 | 8,502 | 22,441 | 86,833 59,893 | 176,715 261,309 | \$8,472 | 300 4,500 |  |
| 33 | St. Paul, Minn. |  |  |  |  | --------- | 3,371,522 | 204,581 | 12,000 | 26,312 | 166,269 | 878,952 |  | 4,000 |  |
| 34 | Birmingham, Ala |  |  |  |  |  | 2,433,288 | 100,060 | 7,300 | 16,192 | 76,568 | 225,813 | 9,189 |  |  |
| 35 | Akron, Ohio-- |  |  |  |  |  | 4,230,917 | 149,354 | 6,500 | 37,325 | 105,529 | 62,046 |  |  |  |
| 36 | Memphis, Tenn |  |  |  |  | 8,310 | 1,649,400 | 95,180 | 6,780 | 29,334 | 59,066 | 292,185 | 15,678 | 7,110 | 9,785 |
| 37 <br> 38 | Providence, R. |  |  |  |  |  | 4,468,062 | - 627,050 |  |  | 67,050 24,488 | 504,763 249,622 |  |  | -7,992 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 38 \\ & 39 \end{aligned}$ | Sen Antonio, To |  |  |  |  |  | $2,805,450$ $2,701,787$ | 52,373 69,760 | 24,359 10,325 | 3,526 12,636 | 24,488 46,799 | 249,622 154,457 | 6,328 |  | 21,388 |
| 40 | Syracuse, N. Y |  |  |  | 55 |  | 3,925,197 | 138,624 | 7,650 | 19,103 | 111,871 | 382,289 |  |  | 10,750 |
| 41 | Dayton, Ohio |  |  |  | 7 |  | 2,704,552 | 208,497 | 5,162 | 36,266 | 167,069 | 198,905 | 2,117 |  | 4,574 |
| 42 | Oxiahoma City, |  |  |  |  |  | 2,483,819 | 84,530 | 5,283 | 36,401 | 42,906 | 173,140 | 2,830 |  |  |
| 43 | Worcester, |  |  |  | 1,971 |  | 3,831,908 | 176,197 | 14,400 | 22,067 | 139,730 | 207,939 | ------- |  |  |
| 44 | Richmond, Va |  |  |  |  |  | 2,419,291 | 68,507 | 6,200 | 16,373 | 45,934 | 167,719 |  |  | 70 |
| 45 46 | Youngstom, Ohio |  |  |  |  |  | 2,871,368 |  |  |  |  | 199,863 | 16,692 |  |  |
| 47 | Grand Raplds, Fort Worth, Tex |  |  |  |  |  | $2,339,332$ $2,090,990$ | 132,793 45,708 |  | 1,177 | 131,616 45,708 | 174,458 $\mathbf{2 6 8 , 8 8 5}$ | 7,038 |  | 10,207 |
| 48 | Hartford, conn |  |  |  |  | 38,413 | 3,171,155 | 123,000 | -----.-.-- |  | 123,000 | 369,846 | 28,055 | ---------- | 12,255 |
| 49 | Flint, Mich. |  |  |  |  |  | 2,544,448 | 94,618 |  | 29,351 | 65,267 | 118,334 |  |  |  |
| 50 | New Haven, ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  | 6,053 | 2,625,509 | 124,650 | 64,950 | 29,636 | 30,064 | 227,441 | 10,568 |  |  |
| 51 | San Diego, Calif. |  |  |  |  |  | 2,930,686 | 119,507 |  | 10,989 | 102,635 | 342,612 |  | 7,662 | 16,067 |
| 52 | Long Seach, Calif |  |  |  |  | 2,572 | 2,710,099 | 149,374 | 6,525 | 19,852 | 122,997 | 442,138 |  |  |  |
| 53 | Nashville, Tenn. |  |  |  |  | 14,640 | 1,240,345 | 45,829 | 5,520 | 6,921 | 33,388 | 185,734 | 8,820 |  | 3,715 |
| 54 | Springfield, Mass |  |  |  | 1,785 |  | 2,917,454 | 192,236 |  |  | 192,236 | 385,112 |  |  |  |
| 55 | Tulsa, okla. |  |  |  |  | 2,600 | 1,918,914 | 48,294 | 4,470 | 16,285 | 27,539 | 124,756 | 3,177 |  |  |
| 56 | Bridgeport, |  |  |  |  |  | 1,863,899 | 150,281 | 7,500 | 37,994 | 104,787 | 189,038 | 22,319 |  |  |
| 57 | Des Moines, Iow |  |  |  |  |  | 2,270,563 | 99,889 | 8,460 | 18,084 | 73,345 | 118,338 |  |  |  |
| 58 | Scrant |  |  |  |  |  | 1,954,356 | 45,047 | 22,310 | 7,768 | 14,969 | 122,037 | 4,182 |  | 15,129 |
| 39 | Salt Lake City, |  |  |  |  |  | 2,172,726 | 89,975 | 10,426 | 15,855 | 63,694 | 219,698 | 3,201 | --------- |  |
| 50 | Yonkers, N . |  |  |  |  | 9,000 | 3,622,796 | 74,470 | 11,195 | 14,061 | 49,214 | 273,655 |  |  | 11,741 |
| 61 | Paterson, N. J. |  |  |  |  |  | 2,018,970 | 84,325 | 8,436 | 14,929 | ${ }^{60,960}$ | 72,129 |  |  |  |
| 62 | Jacksonville, Fla |  |  |  |  | 1,687 | 1,515,794 | 74,753 | 6,960 | 10,363 | 57,430 | 213,536 |  | 1,975 |  |
| 54 | Albany, N. | \$50,593 |  |  |  | 1,200 | $1,870,767$ $1,264,163$ | 111,961 43,011 | 23,301 | 7,774 | 111,961 11,936 | 369,483 111,478 |  |  | 7,497 |
| 65 | Trenton, N |  |  |  |  |  | 1,724,658 | 98,841 | 8,100 | 23,853 | 66,888 | 91,289 |  |  |  |
|  | Chạttanooga, Tenn |  |  |  |  | 1,500 | 1,005,051 | 42,864 | 6,500 | 4,673 | 31,691 | 83,570 | 5,688 |  |  |

TABLE 16.-COST PAYMENTS FOR OPERATION AND VATNTENANCE BY FUNCTIONS IN DETAIL: 1937 -Continued

|  | CITY | VIII.-CORRECTION-Continued |  |  |  |  | IX--SCHOOLS | X. -LIbraries |  |  |  | xi.-RECREATION |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Institu- <br> tional industry activi(net) | Delinquents in other institutions |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { Probation } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { parole } \end{array}$ |  | Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { Supervi- } \\ \text { sion } \end{gathered}$ | Acces-sions | Library services | Total | Supervision | Cultural-scientific recreation |  |
|  |  |  | Adults |  | Minors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Art gal- | Nuseums |
|  |  |  | Men | Women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | leries |  |

Grour ili.-CITIES having a population of 100,000 to 300,000-Continued


1/ Not included in group or grand totals.

TABLE 16.-COST PAYMENTS FOR OPERATION AND MATNTENANCE BY FUNCTIONS IN DETAIL: 1937-Continued

|  | CITY | XI.-RECREATION-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Cultural-scientific recreation-can. |  |  | Organized recreation |  |  |  |  |  | Municipal parks |  |
|  |  | Zoos, aquariums, and botanical gardens | $\begin{gathered} \text { Community } \\ \text { masic, } \\ \text { drama, and } \\ \text { celebrations } \end{gathered}$ | All other | Adminis- <br> tration | Outdoor play areas and activitios |  |  | Recreation buildinga and 1ndoor activities | Undistributed and other | $\underset{\text { tion }}{\text { Administra- }}$ | Park areas |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Playground | Golf | All other |  |  |  |  |
| Grand total $\qquad$ <br> Group II- <br> Group III- |  | \$2,966,966 | \$1,230,643 | \$106,094 | \$669,261 | \$4,554,525 | \$2,239,242 | \$5,892,061 | \$2,397,106 | \$488,878 | \$1,167,536 | \$21,478,080 |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 2,337,185 \\ 215,694 \\ 414,087 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 788,804 \\ & 141,666 \\ & 300,173 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 92,747 \\ & 48 \\ & 13,299 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 354,431 \\ 51,885 \\ 262,945 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,970,430 \\ 643,001 \\ 941,014 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 704,295 \\ 467,518 \\ 1,067,429 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,422,421 \\ 440,293 \\ 1,029,347 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,927,916 \\ 121,895 \\ 347,295 \end{array}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{r} 366,856 \\ -122,022 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & 604,751 \\ & 205,314 \\ & 357,471 \end{aligned}$ | $14,112,905$ $2,510,006$ $4,85,169$ <br> 4,855,169 |
| group I.-cities having a population of 500,000 and over |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | New York, N. Y. $\qquad$ Chicago, Ill. $\qquad$ | $\$ 739,798$ 396,514 | $\$ 123,406$ 20,222 | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 6,000 \\ & 27,825 \end{aligned}$ | \$123,199 | $\$ 259,973$ 530,161 | \$126,936 | \$1, 975,726 676,710 | \$99,635 697,045 | -- | $\begin{array}{r}\$ 148,788 \\ 67,817 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 5,804,800 \\ 2,137,066 \end{array}$ |
| 3 | Philadelphia, Pa | 57,051 | 53,525 |  | $\begin{array}{r}12,14,415 \\ \hline 1\end{array}$ | 555,237 | - 44,885 | 67,911 | 277,570 | \$13,201 | 18,714 | 2,566,339 |
| 4 | Detroit, M1 ch.-- | 225,091 | 25,109 |  | 28,966 |  | 83,708 | 117,622 | 68,447 | 343,376 | 41,638 | 619,405 |
| 5 | Los Angeles, Cal | 35,135 | 43,218 | 24,630 | ${ }^{61,063}$ | 548,514 | 89,637 | 530,142 | 4,664 |  | 72,052 | 647,837 |
| 7 | cleveland, Ohio- St. Louls, Mo.- | 35,996 203,679 | 4,939 7,126 | 5,366 | 7,540 2,700 | 36,129 155,853 | 42,169 26,241 | 36,174 51,164 | 186,641 55,584 | -- | 2,700 | $\begin{aligned} & 256,197 \\ & 481,749 \end{aligned}$ |
| 8 | Beltimore, xa. |  | 79,643 | 17,062 | ----- | 66,362 | ------ | 9,296 | ------- | ---- | 62,482 | 642,311 |
| 9 | Boston, Mass | 85,079 | 42,044 | 323 |  | 387,907 | 74,683 | 253,079 | 154,162 | 5,544 |  | 767,637 |
| 10 | Pittsburgh, Pa.-- | 97,830 | $\begin{array}{r}50,352 \\ \\ 199 \\ \hline 147\end{array}$ |  | 6,705 58 | 73,462 453,160 | 47,689 65,174 | $\begin{array}{r}311,440 \\ 65,744 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 4,502 70 7052 |  | 20,205 <br> 34,478 | 337,873 356,504 |
| 11 | San Francisoo, Washington, D , Calli c.-- | 128,080 211,714 | 199,437 52,589 | 6,881 | 52,379 31,060 | 453,160 93,740 | 65,174 | 65,744 101,928 | 70,952 96,464 | 4,213 | 34,478 <br> 48,107 <br> 1 | 356,504 582,971 |
| 13 | Minmukeo, w1s.- | ${ }_{991,653}$ | 76,616 | 3,995 | 12,408 <br> 1 | 214,031 | 58,092 | 159,237 | 215,154 | 522 | -45,977 | 451,118 |
| 14 | Buffalo, N. Y. | 29,628 | 10,578 |  | 13,996 | 95,901 | 45,081 | 66,248 | 57,096 |  | 71,793 | 461,098 |
| group il.-CITIES having a population of 300,000 to 500,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | Minnoapoils, Minn. |  | \$15,389 | --------- | --------- | \$131,149 | \$107,280 | \$28,912 | \$33,355 | - |  | \$294,051 |
| 17 | Now Orloans, La.- Cinoinnati, Ohio- | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 18,857 \\ 88,170 \end{array}$ | 10,920 17,655 | -- | \$21,453 | 25,196 | 14,166 34,162 | 30,942 104,255 |  | ----------- | $\$ 14,955$ 30,354 | 166,449 118,900 |
| 18 | Nowark, N. J.-- |  | 12,717 | --------- |  | 44,719 | 24,744 | 188,946 | 33,223 |  | 32,176 | 167,591 |
| 19 | Kanses C1ty, Mo. | 42,544 | 24,119 |  |  | 2,520 | 34,677 | 45,930 | 11,016 |  | 48,899 | 276,578 |
| 20 | Soattle, Wash. | 33,375 | 2,492 |  |  | 152,727 | 55,802 | 20,562 |  |  | 3,000 | 138,003 |
| 21 | Indianapolis, Ind. |  | 1,050 |  | 4,004 | 29,169 | 40,229 | 13,537 |  | --------- | 17,759 | 258,311 |
| ${ }_{23}^{22}$ | Rochostor, N. Y. | 32,993 | 24,180 2 2 | \$48 | 8,038 | 76,699 106,022 | 39,891 | 89,480 |  | --------- | 32,696 <br> 19,625 | 225,972 |
| 23 24 | Jorsoy City, N. J. Houston, Tox.--. | 46,416 | 2,000 | ------------ |  | 106,022 | $-34,020$ | 9,642 27,425 | 8,590 |  | 19,625 5,850 | 392,848 69,601 |
| 25 | Loulstille, Ky |  | ---------- |  | 18,396 | 32,133 | 56,845 | 29,662 | 23,900 | --------- |  | 147,754 |
| 26 | Portland, Oreg.-----------------------1- | 13,339 | 31,144 |  |  | 42,747 | 25,702 | 11,000 |  |  |  | 253, 948 |

table 16.-COST PAYMENTS FOR OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE BY FUNCTIONS IN DETAIL: 1937—Continued

|  | CITY | XI.-RECREATION-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Cultural-scientific recreation-Con. |  |  | Organized recreation |  |  |  |  |  | Municipal parks |  |
|  |  | Zoos, equariums, and botanical gardens | Community music, drama, and celebrations | All other | Adminis- <br> tration | Outdoor play areas and activities |  |  | Recreation buildings and indoor activities | Undistributed and other | $\underset{\text { tion }}{\text { Adinistra- }}$ | Park areas |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Playground | Goif | All other |  |  |  |  |

GROUP III.-CITIES having A population of 100,000 T0 300,000

| 27 | Columbus, |  | ---.---...- | --------- | \$21,878 |  | \$4,627 | \$14,733 | ----------- | --------- | ----------- |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 28 | Toledo, Ohio | \$48,627 |  |  | 6,000 | \$6,000 | 16,522 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 29 | Oakland, Calif | 7,099 | 817,713 |  | 12,268 |  | 40,708 | 176,240 | \$51,211 | \$588 | \$10,335 | \$148,824 |
| 30 31 | Denver, Colo. Atlenta, Ga. | 20,118 8,140 | 22,252 | \$2,280 |  | 14,322 466 | 68,919 28,914 | 2,374 13,722 | 21,725 |  | 10,395 8,305 | 320,580 87,905 |
| 32 | Dallas, Tex | 14,880 |  |  |  | 3,900 | 23,567 | 59,565 |  |  |  | 122,742 |
| 33 | St. Paul, minn |  | 17,436 | ----- | 10,320 | 33,421 |  |  |  | --------- | 15,977 | 87,146 |
| 34 | Birmingham, Ala |  | 364 |  | 2,875 | 4,638 | 52,943 | 15,017 | 4,780 | 582 |  | 89,174 |
| ${ }_{36}^{35}$ | Akron, ohio-- | 29,931 | 200 853 | 999 | 27,387 | 27,486 336 | 11,196 | 41,169 | 23,169 | 2,161 | 2,735 6,505 | 37,658 |
| 37 | Providence, R. | 16,935 | 44,347 |  |  | 27,991 | 22,700 | 2,404 |  |  | 9,101 | 307,068 |
| 38 | San Antonio, | 38,421 |  |  | 18,039 |  | 25,860 |  |  |  | 8,165 | 95,660 |
| 39 | Omaha, Nebr | 2,365 |  |  | 10,176 | 9,382 | 12,408 | 19,301 | 11,517 |  | 4,736 | 44,069 |
| 40 | Syracuse, N . | 12,119 | 7,835 |  | 4,367 | 26,259 | 4,653 | 6,238 | 8,253 |  | 14,771 | 201,358 |
| 41 | Dayton, Ohic |  |  |  | 3,000 | 19,600 | 58,162 | 7,361 | 22,757 |  | 3,600 | 39,394 |
| 42 | Oklahoma City, | 12,626 |  |  | --------- | 39,658 |  | 16,286 | ----------- | ---------- | 11,215 | 50,726 |
| 43 | Worcester, |  | 6,372 | 605 |  | 23,736 | 9,646 | 5,387 | --.---------- |  |  | 96,667 |
| 44 | R1chmond, Va.- |  | 1,060 |  | --.-.-.-. | 36,519 18,932 |  |  |  | 199 |  | 71,482 |
| 45 46 | Youngstow, Ohio- Grand Rapids, Mich |  | 310 |  | 2,103 | 18,932 5,395 | 27,722 15,028 | $\begin{gathered} 22,483 \\ 2,323 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | 7,086 | 76,360 76,345 |
| 47 | Fort Worth, | 28,769 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 88,108 | 39,744 |  |
| 48 | Hertford, Conn. |  | 10,424 | --.-...-- | 6,304 | 15,470 | 34,240 | 23,000 | 4,862 |  |  | 192,955 |
| 49 | Flint, Mich. | 2,580 | 279 |  | 15,923 | 5,267 | 18,750 | 2,345 | 21,787 |  |  | 39,282 |
| 50 | Now Havon, Conn | ${ }^{655}$ | 4,430 |  | 3,109 | 10,951 | 30,714 | 9,900 |  | 2,663 |  | 115,386 |
| 51 | San Diogo, Calif | 26,031 |  | 3,507 | 7,453 | 64,654 | 39,726 | 2,940 |  |  | 10,732 | 129,906 |
| 52 | Long Beach, Callf |  | 76,779 |  | 19,189 | 17,366 | 45,109 | 112,947 | 15,940 |  |  | 102,058 |
|  | Nambille, Tenn. |  |  | ------ | -------- |  | 12,124 | 46,712 |  | --.------ |  | 86,384 |
| 54 | Springfiold, Mas | 19,065 10,310 | 3,760 | ---.-.-.-- | ${ }^{3,251}$ | 31,915 | 36,829 12,945 | 42,397 | 23,531 | -----.-.- | 14,459 | 170,683 |
| 55 | Tulsa, orla.- | 10,310 |  |  |  | 14,321 | 12,945 | 12,449 | 3,512 |  |  | 66,780 |
| 56 | Bridgeport, Con |  | 15,310 |  | --------- | 2,923 | 8,692 14,969 | 7,874 | ---------- | --------- | 14,773 | 111,786 |
| 57 | Des Moines, |  | 665 |  |  | 20,347 | 14,969 | 18,086 | 190 |  | 7,399 | 44,833 |
| 58 | Scranton, Pa.- | 17,313 | 600 |  |  | 7,395 |  | 19,883 | 25,306 |  | 3,223 | 25,969 |



1/ Not includod in group or grand totals.

group II. -CIttras having a population of 300,000 TO 500,000


group ilis.-Cities having a population of 100,000 To 300,000


TABLE 16.-COST PAYMENTS FOR OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE BY FUNCTIONS IN DETAIL: 1937-Continued

| 首 | ctit | xI.-Rrcrearton-Continuod |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Mumicipal parks-Continued |  |  |  |  |  | Spectal recreation facilitios |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Pariways } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { boulevards } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nurseries } \\ & \text { and } \\ & \text { forestry } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|c\|} \text { Strreet traes } \\ \text { and other } \\ \text { plantings } \end{array}$ | Park po- 1101ng | $\underset{\text { Park }}{\substack{11 \mathrm{ght}}}$ | Undistrib- uted and other | Auditoriums and and stadiums | $\begin{gathered} \text { ato and and } \\ \text { tratar } \\ \text { campars } \end{gathered}$ |  | Refocto- ries (net) | All oth |

group ili.-Cities having a population of 100,000 to 300,000-Continued

| 67 | Kansas Cit |  | \$2,783 |  | ----------- | \$1,163 |  | \$14,247 | --------- |  | -------- |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 68 | Fort Wayne, Ind. | \$16,131 |  | \$8,541 |  | ${ }^{436}$ | \$668 |  | ----------- |  |  |  |
| 69 70 |  | 141 |  | 401 | \$10,004 |  | 91 | 13,626 6,654 |  |  |  | --- |
| 71 | E11zaboth, N. J. |  |  |  |  |  | ---789 |  | ----------- |  |  |  |
| 72 73 | Wiohita, Kans.- Spokane, Wash. | 5,311 | 3,141 7,711 | 2,341 | 3,334 |  | 4,489 | 29,912 |  |  | - | - |
| 74 | Fall River, Mass. |  |  | 6,804 | 8,539 | 2,000 | ----------- |  |  |  |  |  |
| 75 |  | 14,586 |  | 25,521 |  | 1,307 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 76 | Now Bedford, Mas |  |  | 18,299 |  | 911 | 3,178 | ------------ |  | -------- | -------- |  |
| 77 | Roading, Pa.- |  |  |  | 13,860 | 3,535 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 79 | Peorla, Iill -- | 11,599 | 9,301 |  |  | 3,052 | 17,685 | 1,604 |  |  |  |  |
| 80 | South Bend, Ind |  | 2,867 |  |  | 1,248 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 81 | Tacoma, Wash. |  | 6,859 | ----------- |  |  | 3,993 |  | ---------- |  | -------- |  |
| 83 | M1ami, Fla. | 1,632 | 40,479 1,791 |  |  |  | 10,640 | 16,644 6,735 |  | \$12,973 |  |  |
| 84 | Canton, Ohio |  | 1,852 |  | 2,678 | 252 |  | 8,023 | ----- |  |  |  |
|  | Wilmington, Del | 14,455 | ---------- | 10,353 | 20,978 |  | 6,229 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Tampa, Fla. ---- Somerville, | 9,056 | - | 7,530 | --------------- | 2,000 | - | 3,497 | \$1,340 | ---------- | - | \$12,414 |
| 88 | El Paso, Tex. |  |  |  | 2,457 | 347 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 89 90 | Svansville, Ind | 751 | 3,971 | 7,344 |  |  |  |  |  |  | --------- |  |
| 91 | Utica, N. Y.- |  | 14,074 |  | 2,920 | 3,631 | 8,657 |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{38}$ | Duluth, Minn.- | 6,202 | 706 |  | 11,179 | 2,750 |  |  | 1,362 |  | $\$ 8,250$ |  |
| 94 | Lowell, Mass. |  |  | 5,210 |  |  | 1,898 | 16,263 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Honolulu, Hamail 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

1/ Not included in group or grand totals.
table 16. - COST Payments for operation and maintenance by fonctions in detail: 1937—Continued

|  | CITY | XII. - Migceilanbots |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Judgments and losses (not allocated) | Pension and gratuities on account of service |  |  |  | Compensation for employee injury |  | Municipalserviceenter-prises(not al-located) | Markets and warehouses | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cemeter- } \\ & \text { fes and } \\ & \text { cremato- } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Contribu- } \\ \text { tions to } \\ \text { public- } \\ \text { service } \\ \text { enter- } \\ \text { prises } \end{gathered}$ | All other |
|  |  |  |  | Policemen | Firemen | School teachers | All other | Noninsurance compensation (not allocated) | Compensation insurance (not allocated |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Grand tot | \$171,678,076 | \$2,765,810 | \$22,149,775 | \$17,238,675 | \$22,747,177 | \$28,176,142 | \$1,949,525 | \$543,944 | \$3,821,981 | 12,633,138 | \$1,145,515 | \$61,383,253 | \$8,123,141 |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 130,020,136 \\ 17,397,572 \\ 24,260,368 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,541,136 \\ 435,155 \\ 789,519 \end{array}$ | $16,896,814$ 2,052,590 3,200,371 | $\begin{array}{r} 11,625,347 \\ 2,129,582 \\ 3,483,746 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16,630,670 \\ 1,986,464 \\ 4,130,043 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 24,034,636 \\ 2,176,849 \\ 1,964,657 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,758,480 \\ 70,684 \\ 120,361 \end{array}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 106,297 \\ 91,724 \\ 345,923 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,105,513 \\ 178,708 \\ 537,760 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,099,137 \\ 2223,041 \\ 310,960 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 202,784 \\ & 105,773 \\ & 837,058 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 47,243,180 \\ 6,743,183 \\ 7,396,220 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5,776,142 \\ & 1,203,249 \\ & 1,143,750 \end{aligned}$ |
| GROUP I.-CIttips having a Population of 500,000 And OVER |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | New York, N. Y. .---- | \$71,888,205 | \$562,321 | \$7,665,600 | \$5,240,827 | \$8,234,630 | \$13,049,287 | \$941,411 | ------- | \$412,254 | \$635,471 | --------- | \$34,636,866 | \$309,538 |
| 2 | Chicago, Ill.------- | 13,374,308 | 260,881 | 3,460,487 | 1,681,842 | 2,975,564 | 3,573,806 | 274,416 |  | 70,102 | 4,800 |  | 346,766 | 725,644 |
| 3 | Philadelphia, Pa.---- | 10,987,723 | 57,330 | 1,039,731 | 307,451 | 1,556,443 | 1,500,899 | 81,088 | -- | 8,893 | 7,900 | --- | 4,665,562 | 1,762,426 |
| 4 | Detroit, Mich. | 4,817,430 | 37,728 | 655,865 | 435,697 | 453,478 | 204,858 | 52,786 | ------- | 1,609,869 | 50,186 |  | 311,702 | 1,005,261 |
| 5 | Los Angeles, Calif.-- | 3,647,364 | 73,367 | 683,242 | 621,020 |  | 162,445 | 76,048 |  | 246,008 |  | \$1,751 | 1,451,053 | 332,430 |
| ${ }_{7}^{6}$ | Cleveland, ohio-----1 | 2,166,509 | 81,122 | 445,111 | 386,029 | 589,277 | 170,384 |  | \$14,982 | 570 | ${ }^{63,006}$ | 131,606 | ${ }_{3}^{135,316}$ | 149,106 |
| 7 | St. Louis, Mo.------- | 1,315,988 | 57,451 | 399,650 | 181,446 |  |  |  |  | 159,035 | 58,428 |  | 361,035 | 98,943 |
| 8 | Baltimore, Md.------- | 3,387,853 |  | 130,186 | 231,038 | 28,071 | 1,137,458 | 59,006 | ------- | 406,746 | 83,570 |  | 1,139,518 | 172,260 |
| 9 | Boston, Mass.-------- | 4,100,496 | 31,676 | 387, 103 | 422,843 | 591, 640 | 1,411,536 | -..-- |  |  | 29,405 | 69,427 | 804,298 | 352,568 |
| 10 | P1ttsburgh, Pa.-----1. | $2,679,331$ $4,614,531$ | 137,644 | 382,512 338828 | 419,350 657,811 | 537,104 299,005 | 777,411 697,678 |  | 11,523 | 99,259 | 36,732 |  | 229,205 $2,267,883$ | $\begin{array}{r}48,591 \\ 129 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |
| 12 | Washington, D. C.-.- | $4,614,531$ $2,217,195$ | 149,669 23,731 | 3388872 688,364 | 367,936 | 457,323 | 455, 062 | 104,051 | --------- | 53,411 | 30,865 |  | 2, 1,478 | 94,974 |
| 13 | Milwaukee, Wis. - ----- | 1,891,129 | 23,826 | 355,266 | 361,045 | 205,721 |  | 93,346 |  | 39,366 | 3,356 | --------- | 453,996 | 355,207 |
| 14 | Buffalo, N. Y.-------1 | 2,932,074 | 44,390 | 324,825 | 311,012 | 702,414 | 893,812 |  | 79,792 |  | 95,418 |  | 438,502 | 41,909 |


| 15 | minneapolis, M1 | \$1,275,570 | \$6,274 | \$154,419 | \$205,494 | \$272,620 | \$453,127 | \$1,048 |  |  | \$3,292 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 | New Orleans, La.---- | 1,651,669 | 204,650 | 104,652 | 120,209 | \$24,183 | -4,790 | \$1,048 |  |  | 80,602 | \$1,272 | \$137,216 | \$88,095 |
| 17 | Cincimati, ohio----- | 2,248,553 | 17,241 | 280,484 | 244,780 | 390,731 | 180,497 |  | \$60,612 | \$16,170 | 20,051 |  | 1,032,606 | 5,381 |
| 18 | Newark, N. J. | 3,132,367 | 3,022 | 415,977 | 378,644 | 284,885 | 406,447 | 31,255 | 19,804 | 14,849 | 25,415 |  | 1,532,653 | 19,416 |
| 19 | Kansas City, Mo. | 686,284 | 13,511 | 12,175 | 76,137 |  |  |  |  | 52,530 | 13,204 |  | 150,487 | 368,240 |
| 20 | Seattle, Wash. | 1,198,547 | 14,712 | 204,097 | 292,652 | 63,460 | 341,205 |  | 1,460 |  | 8,759 | 1,838 | 258,578 | 11,786 |
| 21 | Indianapolis, In | 618,271 | 154,176 | 111,930 | 118,656 | 60,759 |  |  |  | 47,894 | 26,903 |  | 44,888 673,715 | 53,065 |
| 22 | Rochester, N. Y.-.--- | 2,142,810 | 2,035 | 136,588 | 172,176 | 476,873 | 320,380 | 38,381 | 9,848 | 39,983 | 16,011 | 93,637 | 673,715 | 163,183 |
| 23 | Jorsey City, N. J. | 1,513,76 |  | 514,026 | 389,008 | 189,609 | 443,147 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 16.-COST PAYMENTS FOR OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE BY PUNGTIONS IN DETAIL: 1937-Continued

|  | CITY | XIII. -MISCELIANEOUS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Pension | gratuities | on account | service | Compensatio employee | $\begin{aligned} & \text { on for } \\ & \text { injury } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Total | Judgments and losses (not allo cated) | Policemen | Firemen | School teachers teachers | All other | Noninsurance canpensation (not allocated) | Compensation insurpremiums (not allocated) | service <br> enter- <br> prises <br> (not al- <br> located) | Markets and ware houses | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cemeter- } \\ \text { 1es and } \\ \text { cremato- } \\ \text { ries } \end{gathered}$ | tions to publicservice enterprises | All other |
| group II.-Cities having a population or 300,000 T0 500,000-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 24 \\ & 25 \\ & 26 \end{aligned}$ | Houston, Tex. Louisville, Ky. Portland, oreg. | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 896,295 \\ 386,774 \\ 1,646,666 \end{array}$ | \$18,671 863 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 88,180 \\ 40,062 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 58,206 \\ & 173,620 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 1,133 \\ 152,211 \end{gathered}$ | \$27,256 | ---------------- | ------------ | \$7,-282 | \$28,804 | \$3,415 5,511 | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 845,718 \\ 41,484 \\ 1,051,981 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 21,773 \\ & 178,403 \\ & 195,162 \end{aligned}$ |
| group iti.-CIties having a population of 100,000 T0 300,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27 | Columbus, ohio-- | \$786,272 | \$3,146 | \$111,679 | \$203,907 | \$186,793 | \$29,693 | ------ | \$74,467 |  | \$16,038 |  | \$133,947 | \$26,602 |
| 28 | Toledo, Ohio---- | 652,707 | 16,726 | 131,977 | 123,905 | 169,548 | 44,961 | ---------- | 50,018 | \$9,986 | 5,240 | \$24,179 | 74,589 | 1,578 |
| 29 | Oakland, Calif.- | 1,847,806 |  | 80,110 | 76,704 |  | 1,050 |  |  |  |  | -------- | 1,654,876 | 34,894 |
| 30 | Denver, Colo.---- | 623,583 <br> 254 <br> 237 | $\begin{array}{r}38,109 \\ 9 \\ \hline 024\end{array}$ | 133,039 68,893 | 133,409 66,864 | 171,066 48,554 |  |  | 63,367 | 5,340 3,341 | 4,678 |  | 71,894 9 | 2,681 |
| 32 | Atlanta, Ga...... | 254,537 134,835 | 4,264 | 55,947 | 66,907 |  | 3, |  |  |  |  | 14,750 | 7,717 | ---------- |
| 33 | St. Paul, Minn.-- | 439,864 | 10,288 | 127,579 55 | 123,699 | 80,747 | 3,600 | \$3,987 | ------- |  | 12,573 | 2,00 | 54,796 | 22,595 |
| 34 | Birmingham, Ala. | 186,660 | 7,143 | 55,337 | 55,663 |  | 30,781 |  |  | 12,008 |  | 2,000 | 19,975 | 3,753 |
| 35 | Akron, ohio----.-- | 659,223 | 16,131 | 55,099 23,327 | 43,914 35,233 | $\begin{array}{r}211,769 \\ 21 \\ \hline 150\end{array}$ |  | -------------- | 35,024 | ------ |  | -------- | 292,353 | 4,933 |
| 36 37 | Memphis, Tenn.------ | 200,019 | $\begin{array}{r}830 \\ 4,924 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 23,327 146,134 | 35,233 136,621 | 21,950 107,560 | 4,298 192,902 | -------------- |  | 2,-722 | 4,562 | 51,---32 | 94,665 245,649 | 15,154 11,128 |
| 38 | San Antonio, Tex. --- | 127,005 | 8,143 | 36,580 | 25,536 |  |  | - |  |  | 19,524 | 16,365 | 20,857 | 11,128 |
| 39 | Omaha, Nebr. ----- | 568,717 | 286 | 117,811 | 228,705 | 145,844 | 684 |  |  |  |  |  | 75,362 | 25 |
| 40 | Syracuse, N. Y.-- | 891,086 | 7,084 | 78,752 | 76,368 | 272,128 | 203,626 | ---------- | 20,178 | 109,661 | 27,640 | --------- | 34,930 | 60,719 |
| 42 | Dayton, Oh10---.-.-- | 676,870 282,711 | 17,558 17 | 70,289 8,388 | 81,289 40,746 | 132,745 |  |  | 18,172 | 165,257 |  |  | 364,970 50,492 | 7,847 |
| 43 | Worcester, Mass.--- | 587,758 | 78,935 | 55,529 | 51,665 | 118,199 | 163,635 |  |  |  |  | 64,045 | 13,691 | 42,059 |
| 44 | Richmond, va. -------- | 412,837 | 1,440 | 32,150 | 4,800 | 34,344 | 54,113 |  |  |  | 16,885 | 60,287 | 198,405 | 10,413 |
| 45 | Youngstown, Oh10-----1 | 875, 065 | 6i,203 | 40,322 12,476 | 40,729 30,457 | 143,793 23,674 |  |  | 10,656 | $\begin{aligned} & 3,094 \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 565,554 |  |
| 46 47 | Grand Rapids, Mich.-- Fort Worth, Tex. | 145,160 142,426 | 569 3,722 | 12,476 | 30,457 7,791 | 23,674 | 7,003 | ------------ |  | 7,801 | 12,118 | 58,015 | 82,218 | - $\begin{array}{r}\text { 50 } \\ 41,702\end{array}$ |
| 48 | Hartford, Conn.------ | 441,345 | 25,872 | 40, 492 | 72,644 | 107,741 | 34,195 |  |  | 23,226 | 24,160 | 17,980 | 70,649 | 24,386 |
| 49 | F1int, Mich. ---------- | 102,025 | 41,119 | $\begin{array}{r}3,412 \\ 55,124 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 9,694 93,104 | 22,575 92,469 |  | 32,822 | 6,750 |  | 2,901 | 1,994 | 13,102 59,874 | 41,486 |
| 50 | New Haven, Conn.------ San Diego, Calli. | 468,581 | 11,529 | 55,124 53,824 | 93,1404 44,407 | 92,469 | 75,319 | 32,822 | -- | 15,967 |  | 25,843 | 440,346 | 1,218 |
| 52 | Lone Beach, Calif.--- | 661,920 | 837 | 24,402 | 26,905 | ----------- |  |  | -- | 6,285 | 1,079 | 1,883 | 579,741 | 20,788 |


| － |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}$ $\cdots$ 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | （ |  | －${ }_{\text {a }}$ | ＋ |
| 8\％ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | （：17：｜c｜c｜ |  |
|  |  |  |  | ¢ |
| ｜\％ |  |  | 111 | ＋ |
|  |  |  | （ ${ }_{0}^{0} \mathrm{O}$ | ¢ <br> $\substack{\text { a } \\ \\ \\ \hline}$ |
|  |  | 気漗呂： |  | ¢ $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ $\stackrel{-1}{4}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | ిoio <br>  |  |
|  <br>  |  |  |  | － |
|  <br>  －i |  <br>  |  |  | 咎 |
|  |  |  |  | I |
| 芯枵絾品品 |  |  |  |  |

## TABLE 17

Cost payments, total and per capita, for operation and maintenance or general government, are shown in table 17 under the 12 major functional classif1cations discussed in connection with table 16.

PERR CAPITA COST PAYMENIS FOR OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE OF GENERAL GOVERNMENT. - The general average of per capita expenditures for the operation and maintenance of general govermment was $\$ 53.75$ for the 94 cities as a whole, the cities in group I having the highest average of $\$ 63.39$ as compared with an average of $\$ 35.68$ in group III. Individually, the per capita averages ranged from the highest of $\$ 85.30$ in the city of New York and $\$ 81.30$ in Boston to $\$ 18.73$ in El Paso and \$19.34 in Birmingham.

The level of per capita expenditures of the individual cities varies strikingly within the functional groups presented. For example, the per capita cost of police protection is a larger item of public safety than fire protection in all but one of the cities in group I and group II, whereas fire protection is a larger per capita cost item in 40 of the 68 cities in group III. In almost all cases, school expenditures were the largest per capita cost items, but it should be noted that in six cities-Minneapolis, Denver, Lowell, Fall River, New Bedford, and Somerville-per capita expenditures for charities were the largest. Per capita expenditures for charities reveal wide fluctuations, averaging $\$ 18.59$ in Minneapolis as against 1 cent or less, or even nothing, in other cities. It should be emphasized in this connection that although these wide variations may be due to unusual local conditions, they occasionally were caused by 1 mperfect statements that defied proper classification or by special local arrangements whereby relief and welfare activities were assumed either by the States or by the Federal Government.

Per cap1ta payments for operation and maintenance of general government are larger for cities in group I and group II than they otherwise would be because of the fact that New York, Philadelphia, St. Louis, Baltimore, Boston, San Francisco, Washington, and New Orleans exercise all the executive and judicial functions usually possessed by counties. To secure comparability between the payments for all general governmental functions in these cities and in other cities of groups I and II which exercise no county functions, certain percentages of the payments for operation and maintenance of county governments of the other cities of groups I and II are combined with the city payments, as explained in the Introduction.

TRENDS IN PER CAPITA COSTS OF OPERATION AND MAINIENANCE. -The revised classification of accounts adopted for the 1937 report does not permit reference to previous volumes for comparative trends in per capita costs of operating and maintaining general government. To present certain trend comparisions since 1926, however, the statistics published in such years were revised according to 1937 classifications, with the accompanying results indicated. Although previously presented comparisons have indicated that the cost of operating and maintaining general government has increased $\$ 288,000,000$, or 16.6

| 1926 | $\$ 41.22$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| 1928 | 44.96 |
| 1930 | 47.66 |
| 1932 | 47.87 |
| 1934 | 4.44 |
| 1936 | 49.06 |
| 1937 | 53.75 | percent, since 1930, the foregoing per capita comparisons show a less extreme increase when the factor of population growth is considered. The increase in the latter case is only 12.8 percent.

TABLE 18
The percent distribution of cost payments for operating and maintaining general government in the 94 cities during 1937 is shown in table 18 under the li major functional activities.

PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF OPERATION AND MAINIENANCE COSTS. -The percent distribution of expenditures for operating and maintaining general government is, like per capita costs, subject to wide variance among the individual cities. For example, school expenditures constituted 60.6 percent of operation and maintenance costs in Wichita, but only 23.9 percent or such expenditures in Miami and Jersey City. For nearly all cities a larger percentage was reported for schools
than for any other purpose shown in table 17, the only exception being the six cities that reported the largest expenditures for charities and rellef (see above). It is to be noted, however, that the cities in group III report a higher percentage of expenditures for schools than the cities in groups I or II, suggesting that expense for this purpose, while increasing with population growth, does not adjust itself to the population factor so quickly as other cost items. Cities in group III also reported a relatively larger percentage of total expenditures for public safety, highways, libraries, and recreation than the cities in group I.

Other interesting variations in the percentage distribution of operation and maintenance expenditures are shown under the caption of "Highways," which 1 tem accounted for 21 percent of Waterbury's expenditures but only 1.8 percent of Philadelphia's. General administrative, legislative, and judicial costs accounted for only 2.9 percent of operation and maintenance expense in Evansville, Wichita, and South Bend, as against 15.5 percent in New Orleans.

TREND OF PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF OPERATION AND MAINIENANCE.-As previously indicated, cost payments have been subjected to so many revisions in compilation procedure that comparisons with previous years are elusive. To present comparative trends as to percentage distribution of expenditures for operation and maintenance in the case of six of the major functions, statistics previously published have been revised to conform with the 1937 classifications. The figures are as follows:


As seen from the foregoing, the percent distribution of expenditures for the larger major functions was relatively less in 1937 for all items except charities.

TABLE 17. - COST PAYMENTS FOR OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE,


GROUP I.-CITIES HAVING A

| 1 | New York, N. | \$610,260,559 | \$85.30 | \$45,106,855 | \$6.30 | \$57,072,286 | \$7.98 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Chicago, Ill | 172,960,618 | 49.55 | 17,846,015 | 5.11 | 18,228,244 | 5.22 |
| 3 | Philadelphia, Pa | 82,190,756 | 41.66 | 8,806,972 | 4.46 | 11,651,262 | 5.91 |
| 4 | Detroit, Mich. | 84,127,761 | 50.49 | 6,377,821 | 3.83 | 10,325,404 | 6.20 |
| 5 | Los Angeles, Cal | 81,329,374 | 60.06 | 7,874,269 | 5.82 | 7,130,103 | 5.27 |
| 6 | Cleveland, Ohio | 48,267,544 | 52.56 | 3,818,254 | 4.16 | 4,457,411 | 4.85 |
| 7 | St. Louls, Mo. | 34,619,539 | 41.70 | 3,583,335 | 4.32 | 5,255,422 | 6.33 |
| 8 | Baltimore, | 38,296,529 | 46.87 | 2,301,073 | 2.82 | 4,501,390 | 5.51 |
| 9 | Boston, Mass | 63,972,224 | 81.30 | 4,473,780 | 5.69 | 5,63C, 629 | 7.16 |
| 10 | Pittsburgh, Pa. | 38,623,016 | 56.92 | 5,374,420 | 7.92 | 3,029,499 | 4.46 |
| 11 | San Francisco, Ca | 36,200,187 | 55.17 | 3,484,064 | 5.31 | 3,519,501. | 5.36 |
| 12 | Washington, D. C. | 36,642,265 | 58.82 | 2,158,117 | 3.46 | 3,719,077 | 5.97 |
| 13 | Milmakee, Wis. | 35,553,829 | 59.35 | 2,358,262 | 3.94 | 2,964.,458 | 4.95 |
| 14 | Buffalo, N. Y | 39,884,906 | 68.25 | 2,882,897 | 4.93 | 2,762,711. | 4.73 |

GROUP II.-CITIRS HAVING A

| 15 | Minneapolis, Minn. | \$28,310,821 | \$59.26 | \$1,674,681 | \$3.51 | \$1,41", 888 | \$2.97 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 | New Orleans, La | 15,128,484 | 32.12 | 2,343,677 | 4.98 | 1,497,839 | 3.18 |
| 17 | Cincinnati, Oh1 | 23,951,966 | 52.06 | 2,127,240 | 4.62 | 1,5615,132 | 3.40 |
| 18 | Newark, N. J. | 35,161,513 | 78.66 | 2,845,726 | 6.37 | 3,587,515 | 8.03 |
| 19 | Kansas City, Mo | 15,634,623 | 37.89 | 2,040,748 | 4.95 | 1,390,019 | 3.37 |
| 20 | Seattle, Wash. | 17,100,749 | 45.71 | 1,757,793 | 4.70 | 1,424,969 | 3.81 |
| 21 | Indianapolis, Ind. | 14,723,559 | 39.57 | 950,152 | 2.55 | 1,235,374 | 3.32 |
| 22 | Rochester, N. Y | 25,365,216 | 76.06 | 1,746,705 | 5.24 | 1,218,311 | 3.65 |
| 23 | Jersey City, N. | 22,793,859 | 71.25 | 2,533,915 | 7.92 | 2,988,192 | 9.34 |
| 24 | Houston, Tex. | 10,651,697 | 33.51 | 1,037,368 | 3.26 | 822,692 | 2.59 |
| 25 | Louisville, Ky | 10,728,020 | 33.79 | 789,976 | 2.49 | 924,445 | 2.91 |
| 26 | Portland, Oreg. | 12,619,439 | 40.83 | 1,192,287 | 3.86 | 1,093,591 | 3.54 |


| 27 | Columbus, Ohio | \$7,953,936 | \$26.54 | \$566,103 | \$1.89 | \$675,859 | \$2.26 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 28 | Toledo, ohio- | 9,539,825 | 31.92 | 488,796 | 1.64 | 838,140 | 2.80 |
| 29 | Oakland, Call | 12,314,947 | 41.66 | 517,230 | 1.75 | 1,048,356 | 3.55 |
| 30 | Denver, Colo | 16,322,545 | 55.67 | 1,197,967 | 4.09 | 1,003,626 | 3.42 |
| 31 | Atlanta, Ga. | 8,194,668 | 29.22 | 399,132 | 1.42 | 8831,537 | 3.14 |
| 32 | Dallas, Tex | 6,589,735 | 23.70 | 307,335 | 1.11 | 639,054 | 2.30 |
| 33 | St. Paul, Minn | 8,611,224 | 30.99 | 444,343 | 1.60 | 737,084 | 2.65 |
| 34 | Birmingtam, Al | 5,286,402 | 19.34 | 287,185 | 1.05 | 5.19,963 | 1.90 |
| 35 | Akron, Ohio- | 7,966,573 | 30.05 | 406,512 | 1.53 | 432,254 | 1.82 |
| 36 | Memphis, Tenn. | 5,400,904 | 20.65 | 278,691 | 1.07 | 5:36,111 | 2.05 |
| 37 | Providence, R. | 13,031,341 | 50.98 | 898,961 | 3.52 | 1,159,656 | 4.54 |
| 38 | Sen Antonio, Tex. | 5,348,156 | 21.96 | 338,452 | 1.39 | 3:30,962 | 1.36 |
| 39 | Omaha, Nebr. | 5,786,067 | 26.57 | 287,160 | 1.32 | 535,376 | 2.46 |
| 40 | Syracuse, N. | 12,964,709 | 60.44 | 624,233 | 2.91 | 712,552 | 3.32 |
| 41 | Deyton, Ohio | 6,554,668 | 31.73 | 315,153 | 1.53 | 475,395 | 2.30 |
| 42 | Oklahoma City, Okl | 4,911,943 | 24.39 | 231,658 | 1.15 | 515,983 | 2.56 |
| 45 | Worcester, Mass | 12,359,604 | 62.42 | 462,764 | 2.34 | 961,134 | 4.85 |
| 44 | Richmond, Va. | 6,826,164 | 36.92 | 590,682 | 3.19 | 564,759 | 3.05 |
| 45 | Youngstow, Ohio | 5,581,886 | 32.04 | 269,716 | 1.55 | 394,430 | 2.26 |
| 46 | Grand Rapids, Mich. | 4,845,276 | 28.04 | 229,503 | 1.33 | 362,1.77 | 2.10 |
| 47 | Fort Worth, Tex | 4,511,942 | 26.67 | 225,195 | 1.33 | 388,294 | 2.29 |
| 48 | Eartford, Conn. | 9,447,011 | 56.07 | 567,022 | 3.37 | 865,893 | 5.14 |
| 49 | Flint, Mich. | 5,363,213 | 32.08 | 197,920 | 1.18 | 402,135 | 2.41 |
| 50 | Hew Haven, Conn. | 7,502,913 | 46.12 | 380,502 | 2.34 | '197,978 | 4.90 |
| 51 | San Diego, Callf. | 6,252,806 | 39.06 | 470,263 | 2.94 | 519,241 | 3.24 |

TOTAL AND PER CAPITA, BY MAJOR FUNCTIONS: 1937

| II. -PUBLIC SAFETY-Continued |  |  |  | III. -HIGHWAYS |  | IV. -SANITATION AND WASTE REMOVAL |  | V. -CONSERVATION OF HEALTH |  | 宮 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fire department |  | All other |  | Total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per } \\ & \text { cap- } \\ & \text { 1ta } \end{aligned}$ | Total | Per capita | Total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per } \\ & \text { cap- } \\ & \text { ita } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Total | Per capita | Total | Per capita |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$122,064,413 | \$3. 24 | \$15,330,831 | \$0.41 | \$106,242,397 | \$2.82 | \$99,947,445 | \$2.65 | \$27,686,660 | \$0.73 |  |
| 72,995,128 | 3.30 | 10,534,887 | . 48 | 65,361,537 | 2.95 | 69,827,555 | 3.16 | 16,889,189 | . 76 |  |
| 14,785,688 | 3.21 | 2,009,826 | . 44 | 15,792,460 | 3.42 | 10,634,953 | 2.31 | 3,893,108 | . 84 |  |
| 34,283,597 | 3.14 | 2,786,118 | . 25 | 25,088,400 | 2.30 | 19,484,937 | 1.78 | 6,904,363 | . 63 |  |

POPULATION OF 500,000 AND OVER

| $\$ 26,139,311$ | $\$ 3.65$ | $\$ 3,412,691$ | $\$ 0.48$ | $\$ 22,730,107$ | $\$ 3.18$ | $\$ 32,147,485$ | $\$ 4.49$ | $\$ 4,777,468$ | $\$ 0.67$ | 1 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $7,774,562$ | 2.23 | $1,650,168$ | .47 | $10,094,557$ | 2.89 | $2,516,542$ | 2.73 | $1,901,930$ | .54 | 2 |
| $4,223,766$ | 2.14 | 546,151 | .28 | $1,495,991$ | .76 | $3,580,165$ | 1.81 | $1,061,788$ | .54 | 3 |
| $5,063,423$ | 3.04 | 765,093 | .46 | $4,233,011$ | 2.54 | $4,914,577$ | 2.95 | $1,600,085$ | .96 | 4 |
| $5,928,360$ | 4.38 | $1,291,519$ | .95 | $4,680,385$ | 3.46 | $1,970,615$ | 1.46 | $1,706,122$ | 1.26 | 5 |
| $2,846,037$ | 3.10 | 287,460 | .31 | $3,765,689$ | 4.10 | $1,982,600$ | 2.16 | 788,017 | .86 | 6 |
| $2,244,686$ | 2.70 | 316,123 | .38 | $2,017,718$ | 2.43 | 886,860 | 1.07 | 636,072 | .77 | 7 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3,007,499$ | 3.68 | 267,000 | .33 | $3,148,456$ | 3.85 | $2,566,658$ | 3.14 | 582,985 | .71 | 8 |
| $3,650,160$ | 4.64 | 440,291 | .56 | $2,927,307$ | 3.72 | $3,020,513$ | 3.84 | $1,222,902$ | 1.55 | 9 |
| $2,092,675$ | 3.08 | 365,994 | .54 | $2,936,537$ | 4.33 | $1,753,415$ | 2.58 | 523,993 | .77 | 10 |
| $3,363,945$ | 5.13 | 230,418 | .35 | $1,207,156$ | 1.84 | 89,455 | 1.36 | 624,750 | .95 | 11 |
| $2,443,835$ | 3.92 | 533,618 | .86 | $1,768,197$ | 2.84 | $1,826,921$ | 2.93 | 562,764 | .90 | 12 |
| $1,975,095$ | 3.30 | 237,577 | .40 | $2,211,746$ | 3.69 | $2,990,474$ | 4.99 | 376,984 | .63 | 13 |
| $2,241,774$ | 3.84 | 190,784 | .33 | $2,144,680$ | 3.67 | $1,775,275$ | 3.04 | 524,229 | .90 | 14 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

POPULATION OF 300,000 TO 500,000

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 1,177,303$ | $\$ 2.46$ | $\$ 174,363$ | $\$ 0.37$ | $\$ 1,735,810$ | $\$ 3.63$ | $\$ 594,049$ | $\$ 1.24$ | $\$ 300,879$ | $\$ 0.63$ | 15 |
| $1,245,687$ | 2.64 | 530,218 | 1.13 | $1,589,429$ | 3.37 | $1,251,387$ | 2.66 | 270,406 | .57 | 16 |
| $1,291,964$ | 2.81 | 178,265 | .39 | $1,560,763$ | 3.39 | 892,711 | 1.94 | 257,001 | .56 | 17 |
| $2,104,459$ | 4.71 | 221,918 | .50 | 954,752 | 2.14 | $2,034,678$ | 4.55 | 850,699 | 1.90 | 18 |
| 881,886 | 2.14 | 106,445 | .26 | $1,375,738$ | 3.33 | 731,988 | 1.77 | 188,588 | .46 | 19 |
| $1,359,655$ | 3.63 | 153,649 | .41 | $2,250,530$ | 6.02 | 619,056 | 1.65 | 257,137 | .69 | 20 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $1,605,922$ | 4.32 | 78,074 | .21 | $1,001,277$ | 2.69 | 732,536 | 1.97 | 211,639 | .57 | 21 |
| $1,174,180$ | 3.52 | 121,002 | .36 | $1,566,403$ | 4.70 | $1,454,753$ | 4.36 | 453,733 | 1.36 | 22 |
| $1,252,257$ | 3.91 | 149,568 | .47 | 974,665 | 3.05 | 953,213 | 2.98 | 512,728 | 1.60 | 23 |
| 807,796 | 2.54 | 78,121 | .25 | $1,090,223$ | 3.43 | 523,630 | 1.65 | 136,472 | .43 | 24 |
| 611,710 | 1.93 | 74,009 | .23 | 681,187 | 2.15 | 512,044 | 1.61 | 249,129 | .78 | 25 |
| $1,272,869$ | 4.12 | 144,194 | .47 | $1,011,683$ | 3.27 | 334,928 | 1.08 | 204,697 | .66 | 26 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

POPULATION OF 100,000 TO 300,000

| \$654,361 | \$2.18 | \$36,854 | \$0.12 | \$558,875 | \$1.86 | \$418,071 | \$1.39 | \$107,643 | \$0.36 | 27 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 774,138 | 2.59 | 36,142 | .12 | 673,115 | 2.25 | 488,360 | 1.63 | 122,917 | .41 | 28 |
| 1,203,643 | 4.07 | 89,190 | .30 | 575,856 | 1.95 | 226,094 | . 76 | 214,542 | . 73 | 29 |
| 1,000,770 | 3.41 | 78,882 | . 27 | 748,324 | 2.55 | 414,130 | 1.41 | 160,928 | . 55 | 30 |
| 713,440 | 2.54 | 59,949 | . 21 | 458,121 | 1.63 | 505,871 | 1.80 | 99,312 | . 35 | 31 |
| 731,236 | 2.63 | 82,530 | . 30 | 301,700 | 1.09 | 447,398 | 1.61 | 101,017 | .36 | 32 |
| 854,120 | 3.07 | 77,766 | . 28 | 525,565 | 1.89 | 303,007 | 1.09 | 153,536 | . 55 | 33 |
| 652,484 | 2.39 | 51,917 | . 19 | 249,750 | . 91 | 276,450 | 1.01 | 114,161 | . 42 | 34 |
| 366,842 | 1.38 | 18,229 | . 07 | 739,188 | 2.79 | 309,707 | 1.17 | 141,232 | . 53 | 35 |
| 623,704 | 2.39 | 116,612 | . 45 | 525,141 | 2.01 | 368,001 | 1.41 | 181,059 | . 69 | 36 |
| 1,165,699 | 4.56 | 89,327 | . 35 | 675,824 | 2.64 | 771,225 | . 3.02 | 166,885 | . 65 | 37 |
| 404,752 | 1.66 | 73,065 | . 30 | 315,932 | 1.30 | 374,757 | 1.54 | 229,502 | . 94 | 38 |
| 716,429 | 3.29 | 50,041 | . 23 | 416,637 | 1.91 | 207,686 | . 95 | 62,809 | . 29 | 39 |
| 864,800 | 4.03 | 46,434 | . 22 | 758,291 | 3.54 | 768,327 | 3.58 | 316,078 | 1.47 | 40 |
| 451,633 | 2.19 | 32,088 | . 16 | 505,887 | 2.45 | 409,820 | 1.98 | 116,679 | . 56 | 41 |
| 423,285 | 2.10 | 41,335 | . 21 | 249,344 | 1.24 | 263,378 | 1.31 | 97,679 | . 49 | 42 |
| 890,559 | 4.50 | 73,509 | . 37 | 987,465 | 4.99 | 332,508 | 1.68 | 164,178 | . 83 | 43 |
| 591,343 | 3.20 | 73,607 | . 40 | 469,848 | 2.54 | 368,523 | 1.99 | 150,227 | . 81 | 44 |
| 303,571 | 1.74 | 16,650 | . 10 | 312,014 | 1.79 | 161,420 | . 93 | 62,050 | .36 | 45 |
| 441,598 | 2.56 | 30,803 | . 18 | 198,229 | 1.15 | 181,888 | 1.05 | 124,566 | . 72 | 46 |
| 452,813 | 2.68 | 24,674 | .15 | 250,634 | 1.48 | 217,272 | 1.28 | 109,164 | . 65 | 47 |
| 752,926 | 4.47 | 133,615 | . 79 | 429,810 | 2.55 | 445,207 | 2.64 | 179,984 | 1.07 | 48 |
| 331,593 | 1.98 | 32,369 | . 19 | 368,474 | 2.20 | 218,228 | 1.31 | 94,655 | . 57 | 49 |
| 706,756 | 4.34 | 35,039 | . 22 | 362,955 | 2.23 | 254,739 | 1.57 | 136,850 | . 84 | 50 |
| 446,104 | 2.79 | 50,41.9 | . 31 | 349,247 | 2.18 | 227,339 | 1.42 | 124,157 | . 78 | 51 |

FINANCIAL STATISTICS OF CITIES
TABLE 17.-COST PAYMENTS FOR OPERATION AND MAINTHENANCE, TOTAL

|  | CITY | ALL MAJOR FUNCTIONS |  | I. -GRNERAL ADMINISTRATIVE, LEGISLATIVE, AND JUDICIAL |  | II. - PUBLIC SAFETY |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Per capita | Total | Per capita | Police department |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | Per capita |

GROUP III.-CITIRS HAVING A POPULATION

| 52 | Long Beach, Callf. | \$6,523,438 | \$41.55 | \$423,750 | \$2.70 | \$563,348 | \$3.59 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 53 | Nashville, Tenn. | 3,871,211 | 24.78 | 258,713 | 1.66 | 489,135 | 3.13 |
| 54 | Springfield, Mass. | 9,268,627 | 60.42 | 381,657 | 2.49 | 731,442 | 4.77 |
| 55 | Tulsa, Okla.--- | 3,515,740 | 23.75 | 175,778 | 1.19 | 260,648 | 1.76 |
| 56 | Bridgeport, Conn. | 6,652,374 | 45.16 | 350,802 | 2.38 | 561,983 | 3.82 |
| 57 | Des Moines, Iowa- | 4,498,399 | 30.96 | 252,095 | 1.73 | 333,713 | 2.30 |
| 58 | Scranton, Pa. | 4,090,968 | 28.33 | 247,593 | 1.71 | 385,703 | 2.67 |
| 59 | Salt Lake City, Utah | 4,440,773 | 30.80 | 315,748 | 2.19 | 332,019 | 2.30 |
| 60 | Yonkers, N. Y. | 10,794,576 | 76.83 | 754,216 | 5.37 | 978,290 | 6.96 |
| 61 | Paterson, N . | 5,170,691 | 37.20 | 256,329 | 1.84 | 636,947 | 4.58 |
| 62 | Jacksonville, Fla. | 4,867,122 | 35.04 | 323,314 | 2.33 | 4:94,201 | 3.56 |
| 63 | Albany, N. Y.-- | 6,601,310 | 50.86 | 404,199 | 3.11 | 8127,410 | 6.37 |
| 64 | Norfolk, Va. | 3,935,512 | 30.34 | 443,476 | 3.42 | 468,606 | 3.61 |
| 65 | Trenton, N. | 4,993,511 | 40.24 | 213,885 | 1.72 | 523,806 | 4.22 |
| 66 | Chattanooga, Tenn.-- | 2,840,629 | 23.00 | 117,979 | . 96 | 263,778 | 2.14 |
| 67 | Kansas City, Kans.---------------- | 2,675,821 | 21.68 | 159,683 | 1.29 | 236,059 | 1.91 |
| 68 | Fort Wayne, Ind. | 2,633,650 | 21.93 | 81,892 | . 68 | 241,802 | 2.01 |
| 69 | Camden, N. J. | 4,620,338 | 38.79 | 367,358 | 3.08 | 426,353 | 3.58 |
| 70 | Erie, Pa. | 3,613,265 | 30.54 | 284,106 | 2.40 | 8:59,138 | 2.19 |
| 71 | Elizabeth, N. J. | 4,444,012 | 37.69 | 211,111 | 1.79 | 520,100 | 4.41 |
| 72 | Wichita, Kans. | 3,132,366 | 26.64 | 90,896 | . 77 | :119,655 | 1.87 |
| 73 | Spokane, Wash. | 3,501,240 | 30.03 | 175,767 | 1.51 | 267,869 | 2.30 |
| 74 | Fall River, Mass | 4,609,242 | 39.99 | 190,548 | 1.65 | 354,631 | 3.08 |
| 75 | Cambridge, Mass. | 6,490,427 | 56.78 | 323,331 | 2.83 | 514,270 | 4.50 |
| 76 | New Bedford, Mass. | 5,057,609 | 44.92 | 220,151 | 1.96 | 411,366 | 3.65 |
| 77 | Reading, Pa. | 3,323,383 | 29.73 | 241,063 | 2.16 | :884,675 | 2.55 |
| 78 | Knoxville, Temn | 3,064,707 | 27.71 | 163,115 | 1.47 | 295,927 | 2.68 |
| 79 | Peoria, Ill. | 3,523,628 | 32.09 | 209,865 | 1.91 | :290,145 | 2.64 |
| 80 | South Bend, Ind | 2,603,965 | 23.76 | 75,735 | . 69 | 239,917 | 2.19 |
| 81 | Tacoma, Wash. | 3,299,948 | 30.50 | 207,480 | 1.92 | 243,933 | 2.25 |
| 82 | Miami, Fla. | 4,949,371 | 45.74 | 636,718 | 5.88 | 535,799 | 4.95 |
| 83 | Gary, Ind. | 3,031,640 | 28.07 | 104,119 | . 96 | 317,1004 | 2.94 |
| 84 | Canton, Ohio | 2,958,970 | 27.53 | 142,100 | 1.32 | 267,404 | 2.49 |
| 85 | Wilmington, Del | 3,240,462 | 30.40 | 218,516 | 2.05 | 344,806 | 3.23 |
| 86 | Tampa, Fla.--- | 2,870,598 | 26.95 | 170,367 | 1.60 | 206,863 | 1.94 |
| 87 | Samerville, Mass. | 5,890,061 | 55.67 | 258,198 | 2.44 | 375,369 | 3.55 |
| 88 | El Paso, Tex. | 1,981,974 | 18.73 | 93,673 | . 89 | .161,832 | 1.53 |
| 89 | Evansville, Ind | 2,707,299 | 25.76 | 79,550 | .76 | :287,985 | 2.74 |
| 90 | Lупn, Mase.--- | 5,291,275 | 51.42 | 233,787 | 2.27 | 381,679 | 3.71 |
| 91 | Utica, N. Y. | 4,948,722 | 48.23 | 267,301 | 2.61 | 377,674 | 3.68 |
| 92 | Duluth, Minn | 3,567,030 | 35.01 | 328,703 | 3.23 | 278,484 | 2.73 |
| 93 | Waterbury, Conn | 5,432,083 | 53.62 | 399,008 | 3.94 | 420,976 | 4.16 |
| 94 | Lowell, Mass. | 4,956,958 | 49.45 | 234,859 | 2.34 | 334,732 | 3.34 |
|  | Honolulu, Hawaii 1/------------- | 4,605,676 | 22.70 | 563,594 | 2.78 | 608,055 | 3.00 |

1/ Not included in group or grand totals.

AND PER CAPITA, BY MAJOR FUNCTIONS: 1937-Continued

| II.-PUBLIC SAFETY-Continued |  |  |  | III. -HICHWAYS |  | IV. SANITATIONAND WASTERRMOVAL |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { J. -CONSERVATION } \\ & \text { OF HEALTH } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\stackrel{\square}{8}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fire department |  | All other |  | Total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per } \\ & \text { cap- } \\ & \text { ita } \end{aligned}$ | Total | Per capita | Total | Per capita | 家 |
| Total | Per capita | Total | Per capita |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\$}{3}$ |

OF 100,000 TO 300,000-Continued

| \$534,909 | 43.41 | \$52,291 | \$0.33 | \$487,646 | \$3.11 | \$363,639 | \$2.32 | \$84,135 | \$0.54 | 52 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 535,013 | 3.42 | 50,092 | . 32 | 196,589 | 1.26 | 179,141 | 1.15 | 120,257 | . 77 | 53 |
| 859,720 | 5.60 | 60,826 | . 40 | 480,510 | 3.13 | 567,103 | 3.70 | 116,885 | . 76 | 54 |
| 337,422 | 2.28 | 18,648 | . 13 | 238,719 | 1.61 | 79,168 | . 53 | 36,821 | . 25 | 55 |
| 700,330 | 4.75 | 18,205 | . 12 | 846,242 | 5.75 | 367,641 | 2.50 | 108,983 | . 74 | 56 |
| 480,768 | 3.31 | 37,989 | . 26 | 317,153 | 2.18 | 209,857 | 1.44 | 81,406 | . 56 | 57 |
| 467,640 | 3.24 | 22,276 | . 15 | 313,924 | 2.17 | 283,392 | 1.96 | 53,342 | . 37 | 58 |
| 248,814 | 1.73 | 27,890 | . 19 | 413,932 | 2.87 | 102,825 | . 71 | 83,895 | . 58 | 59 |
| 639,901 | 4.55 | 78,635 | . 56 | 439,126 | 3.13 | 1,034,112 | 7.36 | 193,861 | 1.38 | 60 |
| 623,277 | 4.48 | 32,833 | . 24 | 191,571 | 1.38 | 377,344 | 2.71 | 117,055 | . 84 | 61 |
| 512,367 | 3.69 | 60,461 | . 44 | 532,640 | 3.83 | 429,016 | 3.09 | 180,434 | 1.30 | 62 |
| 531,765 | 4.10 | 50,328 | . 39 | 305,645 | 2.35 | 546,598 | 4.21 | 142,210 | 1.10 | 63 |
| 459,113 | 3.54 | 53,682 | . 41 | 133,806 | 1.03 | 271,467 | 2.09 | 84,690 | . 65 | 64 |
| 475,083 | 3.83 | 21,284 | . 17 | 284,567 | 2.29 | 272,165 | 2.19 | 96,472 | . 78 | 65 |
| 408,235 | 3.31 | 9,658 | . 08 | 243,096 | 1.97 | 163,342 | 1.32 | 36,169 | . 29 | 66 |
| 367,265 | 2.98 | 53,393 | . 43 | 178,718 | 1.45 | 40,473 | . 33 | 82,187 | . 67 | 67 |
| 285,992 | 2.38 | 16,801 | . 14 | 99,119 | . 83 | 144,952 | 1.21 | 45,632 | . 38 | 68 |
| 354,376 | 2.98 | 20,983 | . 18 | 191,123 | 1.60 | 174,104 | 1.46 | 63,779 | . 54 | 69 |
| 306,514 | 2.59 | 15,503 | .13 | 227,858 | 1.93 | 213,995 | 1.81 | 86,209 | . 73 | 70 |
| 469,081 | 3.98 | 27,792 | . 24 | 236,930 | 2.01 | 224,584 | 1.90 | 83,596 | . 71 | 71 |
| 288,350 | 2.45 | 17,764 | . 15 | 127,674 | 1.09 | 119,286 | 1.01 | 44,121 | . 38 | 72 |
| 313,486 | 2.69 | 33,086 | . 28 | 296,386 | 2.54 | 224,857 | 1.93 | 61,006 | . 52 | 73 |
| 344,266 | 2.99 | 16,820 | . 15 | 311,695 | 2.70 | 72,881 | . 63 | 76,097 | . 66 | 74 |
| 511,920 | 4.48 | 39,216 | . 34 | 361,390 | 3.16 | 417,341 | 3.65 | 97,581 | . 85 | 75 |
| 348,896 | 3.10 | 36,727 | . 33 | 191,421 | 1.70 | 232,511 | 2.06 | 83,280 | . 74 | 76 |
| 153,546 | 1.37 | 10,506 | . 09 | 226,815 | 2.03 | 152,738 | 1.37 | 92,739 | . 83 | 77 |
| 340,671 | 3.08 | 14,107 | . 15 | 242,423 | 2.19 | 156,281 | 1.41 | 50,698 | . 46 | 78 |
| 337,975 | 3.08 | 20,448 | . 19 | 277,613 | 2.53 | 296,648 | 2.70 | 41,077 | . 37 | 79 |
| 309,851 | 2.83 | 14,909 | . 14 | 225,690 | 2.06 | 81,245 | .74 | 35,626 | . 33 | 80 |
| 455,448 | 4.21 | 19,182 | . 18 | 201,124 | 1.86 | 216,966 | 2.01 | 54,916 | . 51 | 81 |
| 405,625 | 3.75 | 114,691 | 1.06 | 438,726 | 4.05 | 315,908 | 2.92 | 101,259 | . 94 | 82 |
| 325,395 | 3.01 | 15,656 | . 14 | 135,901 | 1.27 | 181,516 | 1.68 | 51,173 | . 47 | 83 |
| 201,157 | 1.87 | 9,820 | . 09 | 339,603 | 3.16 | 101,287 | . 94 | 37,296 | . 35 | 84 |
| 322,140 | 3.02 | 16,801 | . 16 | 149,866 | 1.41 | 254,313 | 2.39 | 49,168 | . 46 | 85 |
| 217,031 | 2.04 | 15,792 | . 15 | 293,169 | 2.75 | 169,448 | 1.59 | 61,647 | . 58 | 86 |
| 439,455 | 4.15 | 37,573 | .36 | 267,756 | 2.53 | 364,907 | 3.45 | 67,961 | . 64 | 87 |
| 235,921 | 2.23 | 12,649 | . 12 | 85,319 | ${ }^{.81}$ | 102,015 | . 96 | 62,626 | . 59 | ${ }^{88}$ |
| 339,504 | 3.23 | 22,849 | . 22 | 225,564 | 2.15 | 83,136 | . 79 | 45,995 | . 44 | 89 |
| 506,526 | 4.92 | 29,330 | . 29 | 267,861 | 2.60 | 237,394 | 2.31 | 63,078 | . 61 | 90 |
| 484,461 | 4.72 | 18,486 | .18 | 355,500 | 3.46 | 221,435 | 2.16 | 97,517 | . 95 | 91 |
| 356,302 | 3.50 | 22,603 | . 22 | 245,041 | 2.40 | 108,995 | 1.07 | 57,613 | . 57 | 92 |
| 563,034 | 5.56 | 23,022 | . 23 | 1,138,082 | 11.23 | 165,566 | 1.63 | 61,572 | . 61 | 93 |
| 366,453 | 3.66 | 43,465 | . 43 | 317,641 | 3.17 | 203,939 | 2.03 | 80,489 | . 80 | 94 |
| 325,922 | 1.61 | 28,740 | . 14 | 758,993 | 3.74 | 350,861 | 1.73 | 292,289 | 1.44 |  |

TABLE 17.-COST PAYMENTS FOR OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, TOTAL

|  | CITY | VI. - HOSPITALS |  | VII.-CHARITIES |  | VIII.-CORrECTION |  | IX. --scriooss |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per } \\ & \text { cap1ta } \end{aligned}$ | Total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per } \\ & \text { cap- } \\ & \text { ita } \end{aligned}$ | Total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per } \\ & \text { cap- } \\ & \text { ita } \end{aligned}$ | Totell | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per } \\ & \text { cap- } \\ & \text { ita } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Grand total | \$98,059,013 | \$2.60 | \$332,082,953 | \$8.81 | \$21,329,855 | \$0.57 | \$596,128,836 | \$15.82 |
|  | Group I- | 72,197,494 | 3.26 | 262,445,960 | 11.86 | 17,610,735 | . 80 | 375,360,668 | 16.96 |
|  | Group II- | 15,478,798 | 3.36 | 30,579,508 | 6.63 | 2,637,194 | . 57 | ${ }^{68,523,384}$ | 14.86 |
|  | Group III | 10,382,721 | . 95 | 39,057,485 | 3.57 | 1,081,926 | . 10 | 152,244,784 | 13.93 |

group I.-CIIIIRS having a

| 1 | New York, N. | \$28,632,115 | \$4.00 | ,942,293 | 20.82 | \$4,528,663 | \$0.63 | 5 | \$21.15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Chicago, 111. | 5,858,000 | 1.68 | 33,877,775 | 9.71 | 2,087,921 | . 60 | 41,591,796 | 11.92 |
| 3 | Philadelphia, | 3,915,726 | 1.98 | 1,508,297 | . 76 | 2,655,814 | 1.35 | 28,643,559 | 14.52 |
| 4 | Detroit, mich | 6,985,255 | 4.19 | 8,197,507 | 4.92 | 695,403 | . 42 | 26,9513,277 | 16.18 |
| 5 | Los Angeles, Cal | 4,373,303 | 3.23 | 11,379,106 | 8.40 | 1,537,770 | 1.14 | 26,08:2,483 | 19.26 |
| 6 | Cleveland, | 2,523,687 | 2.75 | 8,316,494 | 9.06 | 417,329 | . 45 | 14,261,368 | 15.53 |
| 7 | St. Louis, Mo | 2,919,592 | 3.52 | 2,729,982 | 9 | 403,213 | . 49 | 10,305,794 | 12.41 |
| 8 | Baltimor | 1,847 | 2.26 | 5,365,609 | 6.57 | 428,800 | . 52 | 9,257,508 | 11.33 |
|  | Boston, Mass | 3,709,025 | 4.71 | 13,561,385 | 17.23 | 1,152,809 | 1.47 | 16,289,347 | 20.70 |
| 10 | Pittsburgh | 981,823 | 1.45 | 4,189,151 | 6.17 | 781,884 | 1.15 | 12,167,316 | 17.93 |
| 11 | San Francisco, Cal | 1,979,333 | 3.02 | 4,640,889 | 7.07 | 314,169 | . 48 | 9,008,813 | 13.73 |
| 12 | Washington, D. C | 3,911,665 | 6.28 | 3,370,248 | 5.41 | 1,821,091 | 2.92 | 10,239,171 | 16.44 |
| 13 | Mil waukee, | 2,507,393 | 4.19 | 6,306,397 | 10.53 | 372,626 | . 62 | 9,123,063 | 15.23 |
| 14 | Buffalo, | 2,053,203 | 3.51 | 10,060,827 | 17.22 | 413,243 | 1 | 10,072,867 | 17.24 |

grour il.-CIties having a

| 15 | Minneapolis, Minn.- | \$1,685,953 | \$3.53 | \$8,882,793 | \$18.59 | \$242,746 | \$0.51 | \$7,8c6,563 | \$16.34 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 | New Orleans, La. | 60,869 | . 13 | 152,143 | . 32 | 189,176 | . 40 | 3,897,999 | 8.28 |
| 17 | Cincinnati, nhio- | 1,842,207 | 4.00 | 3,284,774 | 7.14 | 228,598 | . 50 | 7,588,455 | 16.49 |
| 18 | Newark, N. | 2,616,198 | 5.85 | 5,481,086 | 12.26 | 518,956 | 1.16 | 9,606,362 | 21.49 |
| 19 | Kansas City, | 1,161,414 | 2.81 | 345,362 | . 84 | 287,648 | . 70 | 5,312,21.9 | 12.87 |
| 20 | Seattle, Was | 1,006,186 | 2.69 | 543,136 | 1.45 | 179,210 | . 48 | 5,535,606 | 14.80 |
| 21 | Indianapolis, Ind. | 811,916 | 2.18 | 1,778,190 | 4.78 | 36,449 | . 10 | 4,915,428 | 13.21 |
| 22 | Rochester, N. Y. | 1,247,713 | 3.74 | 5,979,439 | 17.93 | 219,012 | . 66 | 6,905,925 | 20.70 |
| 23 | Jersey City, N. J | 3,365,620 | 10.52 | 1,938,646 | 6.06 | 293,434 | . 92 | 5,452,433 | 17.04 |
| 24 | Houston, Te | 491,728 | 1.55 | 383,068 | 1.20 | 163,686 | . 51 | 3,91.2,593 | 12.31 |
| 25 | Louisville, | 833,416 | 2.62 | 903,721 | 2.85 | 191,362 | . 60 | 3,909,981 | 12.31 |
| 26 | Portland, Oreg. | 355,578 | 1.15 | 907,150 | 2.93 | 86,917 | . 28 | 3,681,320 | 11.91 |


$1 /$ Less than $1 / 2$ of 1 cent.

AND PER CAPITA, BY MAJOR FUNCTIONS: 1937-Continued

| X -LIPRARIES |  | XI. --RECREATION |  | MII. -MISCELLANEOUS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | Per capita | Total | Per capita | Pensions | Per capita | Contributions to publicservice enterprises | Per capita | All other | Per cap1ta |  |
| \$24,101,002 | \$0.64 | \$58,131,752 | \$1.54 | \$90,311,769 | \$2.40 | \$61,383,253 | \$1.63 | \$19,983,054 | \$0.53 |  |
| 14,150,375 | . 64 | 38,341,912 | 1.76 | 69,187,467 | 3.13 | 47,243,180 | 2.13 | 13,589,489 | . 61 |  |
| 3,737,563 | . 81 | 6,490,657 | 1.41 | 8,345,485 | 1.81 | 6,743,853 | 1.46 | 2,308, 234 | . 50 |  |
| 6,213,064 | . 57 | 12,799,183 | 1.17 | 12,778,817 | 1.17 | 7,396,220 | . 68 | 4,085,331 | . 37 |  |

POPULATION OF 500,000 AND OVER


POPULATION OF 300,000 TO 500,000

| \$478,067 | \$1.00 | \$864,156 | \$1.81 | \$1,085,660 | \$2.27 | \$138,527 | \$0.29 | \$51,383 | \$0.11 | 15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 79,862 | . 17 | 366,123 | . 78 | 323,834 | . 69 | 973,216 | 2.07 | 354,619 | . 75 | 16 |
| 467,079 | 1.02 | 418,224 | . 91 | 1,096,492 | 2.38 | 1,032,606 | 2.24 | 119,455 | . 26 | 17 |
| 541,375 | 1.21 | 664,922 | 1.49 | 1,485,953 | 3.32 | 1,532,653 | 3.43 | 113,761 | . 25 | 18 |
| 273,202 | . 66 | 853,102 | 2.07 | 88,312 | . 21 | 150,487 | . 36 | 447,485 | 1.08 | 19 |
| 307,873 | . 82 | 507,402 | 1.36 | 901,414 | 2.41 | 258,578 | . 69 | 38,555 | . 10 | 20 |
| 337,914 | . 91 | 410,417 | 1.10 | 291,345 | . 78 | 44,888 | . 12 | 282,038 | . 76 | 21 |
| 402,892 | 1.21 | 734,338 | 2.20 | 1,106,017 | 3.32 | 673,715 | 2.02 | 363,078 | 1.09 | 22 |
| 236,443 | .74 | 628,979 | 1.97 | 1,435,790 | 4.49 |  | ----- | 77,976 | . 24 | 23 |
| 94,421 | . 30 | 213,604 | .67 |  | ----- | 845,718 | 2.66 | 50,577 | . 16 | 24 |
| 261,812 | . 82 | 398,454 | 1.25 | 137,519 | . 43 | 41,484 | . 13 | 207,771 | . 66 | 25 |
| 256,623 | . 83 | 430,936 | 1.39 | 393,149 | 1.27 | 1,051,981 | 3.40 | 201,536 | . 65 | 26 |

POPULATION OF 100,000 TO 300,000

| \$106,808 | \$0.36 | \$80,786 | \$0.27 | \$532,072 | \$1.78 | \$133,947 | \$0.45 | \$120,253 | \$0.40 | 27 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 268,257 | . 90 | 156,678 | . 52 | 470,391 | 1.57 | 74,589 | . 25 | 107,727 | .36 | 28 |
| 270,446 | . 91 | 576,755 | 1.95 | 157,864 | . 53 | 1,654,876 | 5.60 | 35,066 | . 12 | 29 |
| 241,100 | . 82 | 734,864 | 2.51 | 437,514 | 1.49 | 71,894 | . 25 | 114,175 | .39 | 30 |
| 117,776 | . 42 | 176,715 | . 63 | 217,263 | . 77 | 9,956 | . 04 | 27,318 | . 10 | 31 |
| 59,893 | . 22 | 261,309 | . 94 | 122,854 | . 44 | 7,717 | . 03 | 4,264 | . 02 | 32 |
| 204,581 | . 74 | 378,952 | 1.36 | 335,625 | 1.21 | 54,796 | . 20 | 49,443 | . 18 | 33 |
| 100,060 | .37 | 225,813 | . 83 | 141,781 | . 52 | 19,975 | . 07 | 24,904 | . 09 | 34 |
| 149,354 | .56 | 62,046 | . 23 | 310,782 | 1.17 | 292,353 | 1.10 | 56,088 | . 21 | 35 |
| 95,180 | .36 | 292,185 | 1.12 | 84,808 | . 32 | 94,665 | .36 | 20,546 | . 08 | 36 |
| 67,050 | . 26 | 504,763 | 1.97 | 583,217 | 2.28 | 245,649 | . 96 | 69,806 | . 27 | 37 |
| 52,373 | . 22 | 249,622 | 1.03 | 62,116 | . 26 | 20,857 | . 09 | 44,032 | . 18 | 38 |
| 69,760 | . 32 | 154,457 | . 71 | 493,044 | 2.26 | 75,362 | .35 | 311 | (1/) | 39 |
| 138,624 | . 65 | 382,289 | 1.78 | 630,874 | 2.94 | 34,930 | . 16 | 225,282 | 1.05 | 40 |
| 208,497 | 1.01 | 198,905 | . 96 | 284,323 | 1.38 | 364,970 | 1.77 | 27,577 | . 13 | 41 |
| 84,530 | . 42 | 173,140 | . 86 | 49,134 | . 24 | 50,492 | . 25 | 183,085 | . 91 | 42 |
| 176,197 | . 89 | 207,939 | 1.05 | 389,028 | 1.96 | 13,691 | . 07 | 185,039 | . 93 | 43 |
| 68,507 | . 37 | 167,719 | . 91 | 125,407 | . 68 | 198,405 | 1.07 | 89,025 | . 48 | 44 |
|  | ------ | 199,863 | 1.15 | 233,294 | 1.34 | 565,554 | 3.25 | 75,015 | . 43 | 45 |
| 132,793 | . 77 | 174,458 | 1.01 | 66,607 | . 39 | ------- | ----- | 78,553 | . 45 | 46 |
| 45,708 | . 27 | 268,885 | 1.59 | 14,784 | . 09 | 82,218 | . 49 | 45,424 | . 27 | 47 |
| 123,000 | .73 | 369,846 | 2.19 | 255,072 | 1.51 | 70,649 | . 42 | 115,624 | . 69 | 48 |
| 94,618 | . 57 | 118,334 | . 71 | 35,681 | . 21 | 13,102 | . 08 | 53,242 | .32 | 49 |
| 124,650 | .77 | 227,441 | 1.40 | 245,566 | 1.51 | 59,874 | .37 | 163,141 | 1.00 | 50 |
| 119,507 | . 75 | 342,612 | 2.14 | 173,550 | 1.08 | 440,346 | 2.75 | 54,557 | . 34 | 51 |

TABLE 17.-COST PAYMENTS FOR OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, TOTAL

|  | CITY | VI. -HOSPITALS |  | VII. -CHARITIES |  | VIII. -CORRECTION |  | IX, -SCHOOLS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Per capita | Total | Per capita | Total | Per <br> cap- <br> ita | Total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per } \\ & \text { cap- } \\ & \text { ita } \end{aligned}$ |

group ill. -CITIES having a population

| 52 | Long Beach, Calif. |  | --.--- | \$27,855 | \$0.18 | \$22,334 | \$0.14 | \$2,71C,099 | \$17. 26 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 53 | Nashville, Tenn. | \$235,435 | \$1.51 | 62,605 | . 40 | 69,597 | . 45 | 1,240,345 | 7.94 |
| 54 | Springfield, Mass. | 190,528 | 1.24 | 2,047,705 | 13.35 | 1,785 | (1/) | 2,917,454: | 19.02 |
| 55 | Tulse, Okle.--- | 3,455 | . 02 | 12,702 | . 09 | 36,387 | -. 25 | 1,918;,914: | 12.97 |
| 56 | Bridgeport, Conn. | 232,555 | 1.58 | 946,467 | 6.43 | 4,656 | . 03 | 1,862,899 | 12.65 |
| 57 | Des Moines, Iow | ------ | ------- | 6,439 | . 04 | ----------- | ----- | 2,270,563 | 15.63 |
| 58 | Scranton, Pa.-- | 15,353 | . 21 | 500 | (1) |  | ----- | 1,954, 356 | 13.53 |
| 59 | Salt Lake City, Utah | 11,213 | . 08 |  |  | 13,396 | . 09 | 2,172,726 | 15.07 |
| 60 | Yonkers, N. Y.- | 403,785 | 2.87 | 1,250,193 | 8.90 | 9,000 | . 06 | 3,622,796 | 25.79 |
| 61 | Paterson, N. | 139,895 | 1.01 | 325,816 | 2.34 |  |  | 2,018,970 | 14.52 |
| 62 | Jacksonville, Fla. | 48,170 | . 35 | 32,779 | . 24 | 32,072 | . 23 | 1,515,794 | 10.91 |
| 63 | Albany, N. | 170,672 | 1.31 | 485,235 | 3.72 | 1,200 | . 01 | 1,870,767 | 14.41 |
| 64 | Norfolk, Va | 78,781 | . 61 | 276,691 | 2.13 | 91,000 | .70 | 1,264,163 | 9.75 |
| 65 | Trenton, N. | 101,685 | . 82 | 824,672 | 6.65 |  | ----- | 1,724,658 | 13.90 |
| 66 | Chattanooga, Tenn. | 257,559 | 2.09 | 45,265 | . 37 | 33,842 | . 27 | 1,0015,051 | 8.14 |
| 67 | Kansas City, Kans. | 5,300 | . 04 | 725 | . 01 | ----------- | ----- | 1,3815,638 | 11.24 |
| 68 | Fort Wayne, Ind. |  |  |  |  | ----------- | ----- | 1,354,509 | 11.28 |
| 69 | Camden, N . | 126,142 | 1.06 | 811,736 | 6.82 | ----------- | ----- | 1,653, 024 | 13.92 |
| 70 | Erie, Pa.- | 14,364 | . 12 | 737 | . 01 | ----------- | ----- | 1,814,530 | 15.34 |
| 71 | Elizabeth, N. | 74,672 | . 63 | 352,839 | 2.99 | ------------ | ----- | 1,921,592 | 16.30 |
| 72 | Wichita, Kans. |  | ------ | 12,077 | .10 | 11,497 | .10 | 1,898,487 | 16.14 |
| 73 | Spokane, Wash. | 18,578 | . 16 | 11,605 | . 10 | 24,070 | . 21 | 1,701,242 | 14.59 |
| 74 | Fall River, Mass | 248,701 | 2.16 | 1,443,230 | 12.52 | ---------- | ----- | 1,223,625 | 10.61 |
| 75 | Cambridge, Mass. | 341,991 | 2.99 | 1,354,156 | 11.85 | 678 | . 01 | 1,843,607 | 16.13 |
| 76 | New Bedford, Mass. | 185,991 | 1.65 | 1,499,580 | 13.32 | 329 | (1/) | 1,464,006 | 13.00 |
| 77 | Reading, Pa. |  | ------- | ------------- | ----- |  | ----- | 1,757,640 | 15.72 |
| 78 | Knoxtille, Tenn. | 260,268 | 2.35 | 80,799 | . 73 | 17,033 | . 15 | 1,257,834 | 11.37 |
| 79 | Peoria, Ill. | 91,216 | . 83 | 300,255 | 2.73 |  |  | 1,227, 052 | 11.18 |
| 80 | South Bend, I | 48,887 | . 45 |  |  | 1,775 | . 02 | 1,317,606 | 12.02 |
| 81 | Tacoma, | 2,349 | . 02 | 2,070 | . 02 |  | ------ | 1,552,411 | 14.35 |
| 82 | M1ami, Fla. | 598,160 | 5.53 | 160,281 | 1.48 | 21,751 | . 20 | 1,181,391 | 10.92 |
| 83 | Gery, Ind |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,581,399 | 14.64 |
| 84 | Canton, Ohio | 39,771 | .37 | 21,983 | . 20 | 2,778 | . 03 | 1,501,256 | 13.97 |
| 85 | Wilmington, D |  |  | 4,770 | . 04 | 3,000 | . 03 | 1,4438,365 | 13.96 |
| 86 | Tampa, Fla.- | 280,393 | 2.63 | 36,471 | . 34 | 41,526 | .39 | 1,151,153 | 11.00 |
| 87 | Somerville, Mass. | 211,425 | 1.05 | 1,971,798 | 18.64 | 184 | (1/) | 1,588,033 | 15.01 |
| 88 | EH Paso, Tex. | 29,906 | . 28 | 7,133 | . 07 | 14,661 | . 14 | 1,0.14,580 | 9.59 |
| 89 | Evansville, Ind. | 12,500 | . 12 | 9,683 | . 09 | ----------- |  | 1,2388,150 | 11.78 |
| 90 | Lynn, Mass. | 118,321 | 1.15 | 1,367,193 | 13.29 | 2,685 | . 03 | 1,551,110 | 15.07 |
| 91 | Utica, N. Y.-------- | 102,644 | 1.00 | 784,000 | 7.64 | 3,034 | . 03 | 1,655,386 | 16.13 |
| 92 | Duluth, Minn. | 60,400 | .59 | 16,094 | . 16 | 4,580 | . 04 | 1,683,284 | 16.52 |
| 93 | Waterbury, Conn | 98,048 | .97 | 454,744 | 4.49 | 6,120 | . 06 | 1,701,055 | 16.79 |
| 94 | Lowell, Mass. | 72,706 | . 73 | 1,608,678 | 16.05 | 605 | . 01 | 1,211,15? | 12.08 |
|  | Honolulu, Hewaii $2 /-$ | 183,597 | . 90 | 154,733 | . 76 | 65,357 | .32 | 395,020 | 1.95 |

$\frac{1}{2}$ Less than $1 / 2$ of 1 cent.
Not included in group or grand totals.

AND PER CAPITA, BY MAJOR FUNCTIONS: 1937-Continued

| X. -LIBRARIES |  | XI. -RECREATION |  | XII. -MISCELLANEOUS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | Per capita | Total | Per capita | Pensions | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per } \\ & \text { cap- } \\ & \text { ita } \end{aligned}$ | Contributions to publicservice enterprises | Per capita | All other | Per <br> cap- <br> ita | 曾 |

OF 100,000 TO 300,000-Continued

| \$149,374 | \$0.95 | \$442,138 | \$2.82 | \$51,307 | \$0.33 | \$579,741 | \$3.69 | \$30,872 | \$0. 20 | 52 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 45,829 | . 29 | 185,734 | 1.19 | 108,585 | . 70 | 32,232 | . 21 | 61,909 | . 40 | 53 |
| 192,236 | 1.25 | 385,112 | 2.51 | 228,224 | 1.49 |  | ----- | 107,440 | . 70 | 54 |
| 48,294 | . 33 | 124,756 | . 84 | 25,737 | . 17 | 166,396 | 1.12 | 31,895 | . 22 | 55 |
| 150,281 | 1.02 | 189,038 | 1.28 | 232,807 | 1.58 |  | ----- | 78,485 | . 53 | 56 |
| 99,889 | . 69 | 118,338 | . 81 | 137,458 | . 95 | 27,059 | . 19 | 125,672 | . 86 | 57 |
| 45,047 | . 31 | 122,037 | . 85 | 163,313 | 1.13 |  | ----- | 16,492 | . 11 | 58 |
| 89,975 | . 62 | 219,698 | 1.52 | 61,943 | . 43 | 236,401 | 1.64 | 110,298 | . 76 | 59 |
| 74,470 | . 53 | 273,655 | 1.95 | 699,369 | 4.98 | 161,826 | 1.15 | 181,341 | 1.29 | 60 |
| 84,325 | . 61 | 72,129 | . 52 | 257,063 | 1.85 |  | ----- | 37,137 | . 27 | 61 |
| 74,753 | . 54 | 213,536 | 1.54 | 158,628 | 1.14 | 157,137 | 1.13 | 101,820 | . 73 | 62 |
| 111,961 | . 86 | 369,483 | 2.85 | 302,588 | 2.33 | 431,740 | 3.33 | 51,509 | . 40 | 63 |
| 43,011 | . 33 | 111,478 | . 86 | 81,099 | . 63 | ----------- | ----- | 74,449 | . 57 | 64 |
| 98,841 | . 80 | 91,289 | . 74 | 238,465 | 1.92 | 11,249 | . 09 | 15,390 | .12 | 65 |
| 42,864 | . 35 | 83,570 | . 68 | 64,036 | . 52 | 37,455 | . 30 | 28,730 | . 23 | 66 |
| 35,233 | . 29 | 53,080 | . 43 | 60,060 | . 4.9 | ------------- | ------ | 17,007 | . 14 | 67 |
| 112,917 | . 94 | 99,865 | . 83 | 82,591 | . 69 | 54,783 | . 46 | 12,795 | . 11 | 68 |
| 39,553 | .33 | 82,571 | . 69 | 263,300 | 2.21 |  | --.-- | 40,936 | . 34 | 69 |
| 60,709 | . 51 | 56,565 | . 48 | 114,231 | . 97 | 144,432 | 1.22 | 14,374 | .12 | 70 |
| 70,487 | . 60 | 69,507 | . 59 | 175,087 | 1.49 | 3,803 | . 03 | 2,831 | . 02 | 71 |
| 64,560 | . 55 | 156,763 | 1.33 | 35,411 | . 30 | 37,954 | . 32 | 7,871 | . 07 | 72 |
| 67,254 | . 58 | 179,358 | 1.54 | 109,663 | . 94 | 9,052 | . 08 | 7,961 | . 07 | 73 |
| 42,278 | . 37 | 68,185 | . 59 | 166,496 | 1.44 | -----.-.--- | ----- | 49,789 | . 43 | 74 |
| 80,369 | . 70 | 215,349 | 1.88 | 303,298 | 2.65 | ------------ | ----- | 85,930 | . 75 | 75 |
| 60,136 | . 53 | 87,814 | . 78 | 145,372 | 1.29 | 31,474 | . 28 | 58,555 | . 52 | 76 |
| 49,039 | . 44 | 167,124 | 1.49 | 116,106 | 1.04 | 14,382 | . 13 | 57,010 | . 51 | 77 |
| 37,950 | . 34 | 21,202 | . 19 | 108,050 | . 98 | ----------- | ----- | 18,349 | . 17 | 78 |
| 73,050 | . 67 | 184,702 | 1.68 | 106,626 | . 97 | 32,600 | . 30 | 34,356 | .31 | 79 |
| 90,890 | . 83 | 72,452 | . 66 | 72,853 | . 66 | ---------.- | ----- | 16,529 | . 15 | 80 |
| 74,234 | . 69 | 109,710 | 1.01 | 100,715 | . 93 | 55,906 | . 52 | 3,504 | . 03 | 81 |
| 25,518 | . 24 | 195,667 | 1.81 | 39,331 | . 36 | 78,207 | . 72 | 100,339 | . 93 | 82 |
| 105,516 | . 98 | 119,846 | 1.11 | 42,540 | . 39 | ------------ | ----- | 50,575 | . 47 | 83 |
| 36,911 | . 34 | 72,355 | . 67 | 158,204 | 1.47 | ------------ | ----- | 27,045 | . 25 | 84 |
| 54,687 | .51 | 101,722 | . 95 | 60,109 | . 56 | 170,327 | 1.60 | 1,872 | . 02 | 85 |
| 20,547 | .19 | 71,913 | . 68 | 69,389 | . 65 | 41,181 | . 39 | 3,708 | . 03 | 86 |
| 90,836 | . 86 | 121,553 | 1.15 | 170,821 | 1.61 | ------------ | --- | 24,192 | . 23 | 87 |
| 31,214 | .30 | 81,949 | . 77 | 24,156 | . 23 | 22,105 | . 21 | 2,235 | . 02 | 88 |
| 74,126 | . 71 | 109,215 | 1.04 | 78,771 | .75 | 35,340 | . 34 | 64,931 | . 62 | 89 |
| 82,503 | . 80 | 173,171 | 1.68 | 153,748 | 1.49 |  | ----- | 122,889 | 1.19 | 90 |
| 87,171 | . 85 | 97,257 | . 95 | 311,814 | 3.04 | 36,845 | . 36 | 48,197 | . 47 | 91 |
| 79,686 | . 78 | 127,543 | 1.25 | 154,364 | 1.51 | 33,863 | . 33 | 9,475 | . 09 | 92 |
| 58,866 | .58 | 142,040 | 1.40 | 123,988 | 1.22 | 15,345 | .15 | 60,617 | . 60 | 93 |
| 36,405 | .36 | 72,338 | .72 | 168,450 | 1.68 | 86,518 | . 86 | 118,523 | 1.18 | 94 |
| 3,158 | . 02 | 368,342 | 1.82 | 305,835 | 1.51 | ----------- | ----- | 201,180 | . 99 |  |

[^9]TABLE 18.-PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF COST PAYMENTS FOR OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, BY MAJOR FUNCTIONS: 1937
(See text discussion, p. 176)

|  | CITY | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I.-cen- } \\ & \text { oral } \\ & \text { odminis- } \\ & \text { trative, } \\ & \text { logive } \\ & \text { tive, and } \\ & \text { fudicial } \end{aligned}$ | II. - PUBLIC SAFETY |  |  | $\underset{\text { Highways }}{\text { III.- }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { IV.-San- } \\ \text { 1tation } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { wasto } \\ \text { removal } \end{gathered}$ | V. -Con-servation of healt | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { VI.-Hos } \\ \text { pitals } \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { VII.- } \\ & \text { Chari- } \\ & \text { ties } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { VIII.- } \\ & \text { Correc- } \\ & \text { tion } \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\text { Schools }}{\text { IX. }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{x} .-\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{b}}^{\mathrm{Li} 1}- \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { XI.-Rac- } \\ \text { reation } \end{gathered}$ | XiI. -mascelianeous |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Police depart ment | $\begin{gathered} \text { Fire } \\ \text { depart- } \\ \text { ment } \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{\text { All }}{\text { other }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Pon- } \\ \text { sions } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\underset{\text { Other }}{\text { All }}$ |
|  | Grand total----- | 7.9 | 9.5 | 6.0 | 0.8 | 5.2 | 4.9 | 1.4 | 4.8 | 16.4 | 1.1 | 29.4 | 1.2 | 2.9 | 4.5 | 3.0 | 1.0 |
|  | Group I-- | 8.3 9.1 | 10.0 8.3 | 5.2 <br> 6.4 | . 8 | 4.7 6.8 | 5.0 4.6 | 1.2 1.7 1.8 | 5.1 6.7 8.7 | 18.7 13.2 | 1.3 1.1 | 26.8 29.5 | 1.0 1.6 | 2.8 2.8 | 4.9 3.6 | 3.4 2.9 | 1.0 1.0 |
|  | Group III | 5.7 | 8.5 | 8.8 | . 7 | 6.4 | 5.0 | 1.8 | 2.7 | 10.0 | . 3 | 39.0 | 1.6 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 1.9 | 1.0 |
| group I. -Citibs having a population of 500,000 and oter |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | New York, N. Y. | 7.4 | 9.4 | 4.3 | 0.6 | 3.7 | 5.3 | 0.8 | 4.7 | 24.4 | 0.7 | 24.8 | 0.5 | 1.7 | 5.6 | 5.7 | 0.5 |
| 2 | Chicago, Ill.-- | 10.3 | 10.5 | 4.5 | 1.0 | 5.8 | 5.5 | 1.1 | 3.4 | 19.6 | ${ }_{3}^{1.2}$ | 24.1 | ${ }^{8}$ | 4.4 | 6.8 | . 2 | . 8 |
| 3 | Philadelphia, Pa.---- | 10.7 | 14.2 | 5.1 |  | 1.8 | 4.4 | 1.3 | 4.8 | 1.8 | 3.2 | 34.9 32.0 | . 8 | 2.9 | 5.4 | 5.7 | 2.3 |
| 5 | Dotroit, Mich.-------- | 7.6 9.7 | 12.3 8.8 | 6.0 7.3 | 1.9 | 5.0 5.8 | 5.8 2.4 | 1.9 | 8.3 5.4 | 9.7 14.0 | 1.89 | 32.0 32.1 | 1.6 | 2.2 3.0 | 2.1 1.8 | 1.8 | 3.3 .9 |
| 6 | Cleveland, ohio-... | 7.9 | 9.2 | 5.9 | . 6 | 7.8 | 4.1 | 1.6 | 5.2 | 17.2 | . 9 | 29.5 | 3.2 | 2.2 | 3.3 | . 3 | . 9 |
| 7 | St. Louls, Mo.------- | 10.4 | 15.2 | 6.5 | . 9 | 5.8 | 2.6 | 1.8 | 8.4 | 7.9 | 1.2 | 29.8 | 1.4 | 4.4 | 1.7 | 1.0 | 1.1 |
| 8 | Baltimore, ma. ------ | 6.0 | 11.8 | 7.9 | .7 | 8.2 | 6.7 | 1.5 | 4.8 | 14.0 | 1.1 | 24.2 | 1.5 | 2.7 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 1.9 |
| - | Boston, Mass. | 7.0 | 8.8 | 5.7 | . 7 | 4.6 | 4.7 | 1.9 | 5.8 | 21.2 | 1.8 | 25.5 | 2.0 | 3.9 | 4.4 | 1.3 | . 8 |
| 10 | Pittsburgh, Pa.---------- | 13.9 | 7.8 | 5.4 | . 9 | 7.6 | 4.5 | 1.4 | 2.5 | 10.8 | 2.0 | 31.5 | 1.7 | 2.8 | 5.5 | . 6 | . 9 |
| 11 | San Prancisco, Calif.--- | 9.6 | 9.7 | 9.3 | . 6 | 3.3 | 2.5 | 1.7 | 5.5 | 12.8 | .9 | 24.9 | 1.2 | 5.2 | 5.5 | 6.3 | 1.0 |
| 12 | Washington, D. C.----- | 5.9 | 10.1 | 6.7 | 1.5 | 4.8 | 5.0 | 1.5 | 10.7 | 9.2 | 5.0 | 27.9 | 1.3 | 4.4 | 5.2 | (1/) | . 8 |
| 14 | Mil weukee, W1s.---------- Buffalo, | 6.6 7.2 | 8.3 6.9 | 5.6 5.6 | . .5 | 6.2 5.4 | 8.4 4.5 | 1.1 1.3 | 7.1 5.1 | 17.7 25.2 | 1.0 | 25.7 25.3 | 1.2 1.2 | 5.1 3.4 | 2.6 5.6 | 1.3 1.1 | 1.4 .7 |
| group il.-Citise havinc a population of 300,000 to 500,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | Minnoapolis, Minn. .-...-- | 5.9 | 5.0 | 4.2 | 0.6 | 6.2 | 2.1 | 1.1 | 6.0 | 31.4 | 0.9 | 27.6 | 1.7 | 3.1 | 3.8 | 0.5 | 0.2 |
| 16 | New Orleans, Le.--------- | 15.5 | 9.9 | 8.2 | 3.5 | 10.5 | 8.3 | 1.8 | $\cdot 4$ | 1.0 | 1.3 | 25.8 | . 5 | 2.4 | 2.16 | 6.4 | 2.3 |
| 17 | Cinctinati, ohio---.-.-.- | 8.9 | 6.5 | 5.4 | .7 | 6.5 | 3.7 | 1.1 | 7.7 | 13.7 | 1.0 | 31.7 | 2.0 | 1.7 | 4.6 | 4.3 | . 5 |
| 18 |  | 8.1 13.1 | 10.2 8.9 | 6.0 5.6 | . 6 | 2.7 8.8 | 5.8 4.7 | 2.4 1.2 | 7.4 | 15.6 2.2 | 1.5 | 27.3 34.0 | 1.5 | 1.3 5.5 | $\begin{array}{r}4.2 \\ .6 \\ \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 4.4 1.0 | .3 2.9 |
| 20 | Seattle, Wash.---------- | 10.3 | 8.3 | 8.0 | . 9 | 13.2 | 3.6 | 1.5 | 5.9 | 3.2 | 1.0 | 32.4 | 1.8 | 3.0 | 5.3 | 1.5 | . 2 |
| 21 | Indianapolis, Ind.---- | 6.5 | 8.4 | 10.9 | . 5 | 6.8 | 5.0 | 1.4 | 5.5 | 12.1 | . 2 | 33.4 | 2.3 | 2.8 | 2.0 | . 3 | 1.9 |
| 22 | Rochester, N. Y.-- | 6.9 | 4.8 | 4.6 | . 5 | 6.2 | 5.7 | 1.8 | 4.9 | 23.6 | . 9 | 27.2 | 1.6 | 2.9 | 4.4 | 2.7 | 1.4 |


| 23 | Jorsey City, N. J.-...-- | 11.1 | 13.1 | 5.5 | . 7 | 4.3 | 4.2 | 2.2 | 14.8 | 8.5 | 1.3 | 23.9 | 1.0 | 2.8 | 6.3 | --- | . 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 24 | Houston, Tex. | 9.7 | 7.7 | 7.6 | .7 | 10.2 | 4.9 | 1.3 | 4.6 | 3.6 | 1.5 | 36.7 | . 9 | 2.0 | --- | 7.9 | . 5 |
| 25 | Loutsvilis, Ky. | 7.4 | 8.6 | 5.7 | . 7 | 6.3 | 4.8 | 2.3 | 7.8 | 8.4 | 1.8 | 36.4 | 2.4 | 3.7 | 1.3 | . 4 | 1.9 |
| 26 | Portland, Oreg. -------- | 9.4 | 8.7 | 10.1 | 1.1 | 8.0 | 2.7 | 1.6 | 2.8 | 7.2 | . 7 | 29.2 | 2.0 | 3.4 | 3.1 | 8.3 | 1.6 |



| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 蔐 } \\ & \text { 罟 } \\ & \text { ث } \end{aligned}$ | CITY | I．－Gen adminis－ legisla－ tive，and judiciel | II．－PUBLIC Safety |  |  | $\underset{\text { HII ghways }}{\text { III.- }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IV.-San- } \\ & \text { 1tation } \\ & \text { and } \\ & \text { waste } \\ & \text { removal } \end{aligned}$ | V．－Con－ tion or health | V1．－Hos－ pitals | VII．－Chari－ ties | $\begin{aligned} & \text { VIII.- } \\ & \text { Correc- } \\ & \text { tion } \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\text { Schools }}{\text { IX. }}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \mathrm{x} .-\mathrm{L} 1- \\ & \text { brartes } \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{xI} .-\mathrm{Roc}- \\ \text { reation } \end{gathered}$ | xil．$\rightarrow$ macellianeous |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Police depart－ ment | $\begin{gathered} \text { Fire } \\ \text { depart - } \\ \text { ment } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { other } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Pen- } \\ \text { sions } \end{gathered}$ | Contri－ <br> butions <br> to <br> putic－ <br> service <br> enter－ <br> prises | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { other } \end{gathered}$ |
| group iti．－Citias hating a population of 100，000 to 300，000－Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 67 | Kansas City，Kans． | 6.0 | 8.8 | 13.7 | 2.0 | 6.7 | 1.5 | 3.1 | 0.2 | （1／） | －－－－－ | 51.8 | 1.3 | 2.0 | 2.2 | －－－－ | 0.6 |
| 68 | Fort Wayne，Ind．－－ | 3.1 | 9.2 | 10.9 | ． 6 | 3.8 | 5.5 | 1.7 | －－－－－－ |  | －－－－－ | 51.4 | 4.3 | 3.8 | 3.1 | 2.1 | ． 5 |
| 69 | Camden，N．J．－－－ | 8.0 | 9.2 | 7.7 | ． 5 | 4.1 | 3.8 | 1.4 | 2.7 | 17.6 | －－－－－ | 35.9 | ． 9 | 1.8 | 5.7 |  | ． 9 |
| 70 | Erie，Pa．－－－－－－ | 7.9 | 7.2 | 8.5 | $\cdot 4$ | ${ }_{5} 6.3$ | 5.9 | 2.4 | .4 | （1／） | － | 50.2 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 3.2 | 4.0 | $\cdot 4$ |
| 71 | Elizabath，N．J． | 4.8 | 11.7 | 10.6 | ． 6 | 5.3 | 5.1 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 7.9 |  | 43.2 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 3.9 | ． 1 | $\cdot 1$ |
| 72 | Wichita，Kans．－－－－－ | 2.9 | 7.0 | 9.2 | ． 6 | 4.1 | 3.8 | 1.4 | －－ | .$^{4}$ | 0.4 | 60.6 | 2.1 | 5.0 | 1.1 | 1.2 | ． 3 |
| 73 | Spokane，Wash．－－－－－－ Fall River，Mass． | 5.0 4.1 | 7.7 | 9.0 7.5 | ． 9 | 8.5 6.8 | 6.4 1.6 | 1.7 | .5 5.4 | .3 31.3 | －7 | 48.6 26.5 | 1.9 .9 | 5.1 1.5 | 3.1 3.6 | ． 3 | ． 1.1 |
| 75 | Cambridge，Mass．－ | 5.0 | 7.9 | 7.9 | ． 6 | 5.6 | 6.4 | 1.5 | 5.3 | 20.9 | （1／） | 28.4 | 1.2 | 3.3 | 4.7 | －－－ | 1.3 |
| 76 | New Bedford，Mass． | 4.4 | 8.1 | 6.9 | ． 7 | 3.8 | 4.6 | 1.6 | 3.7 | 29.6 | （1） | 28.9 | 1.2 | 1.7 | 2.9 | ． 6 | 1.2 |
| 77 | Reading，Pa．－－ | 7.3 | 8.6 | 4.6 | ． 3 | 6.8 | 4.6 | 2.8 | －－－－－ | －－．－－－ | －－－－－ | 52.9 | 1.5 | 5.0 | 3.5 | ． 4 | 1.7 |
| 78 | Knoxville，Tonn． | 5.3 | 9.7 | 11.1 | ． 5 | 7.9 | 5.1 | 1.7 | 8.5 | 2.6 | ． 6 | 41.0 | 1.2 | $\cdot 7$ | 3.5 | －－ | ． 6 |
| 79 80 | Peorla，Inl ${ }^{\text {South Bend，}}$ Ind．－－ | 6.0 2.9 | 8.2 9.2 | 9.6 11.9 | .6 | 7.9 8.7 | 8.4 3.1 | 1.2 | 2.6 1.9 | 8．5 | －－－． | 34.8 50.6 | 2.1 3.5 | 5.2 2.8 | 3.0 2.8 | － 9 | 1.0 .6 |
| 81 | Tacoma，Wash． | 6.3 | 7.4 | 13.8 | ． 6 | 6.1 | 6.6 | 1.7 | ． 1 | ． 1 | －－ | 47.0 | 2.2 | 3.3 | 3.1 | 1.7 | ． 1 |
| 82 | Miami，Fla． | 12.9 | 10.8 | 8.2 | 2.3 | 8.9 | 6.4 | 2.0 | 12.1 | 3.2 | ． 4 | 23.9 | ． 5 | 4.0 | ． 8 | 1.6 | 2.0 |
| 83 | Gary，Ind．－ | 3.4 | 10.5 | 10.7 | ． 5 | 4.5 | 6.0 | 2.7 |  |  | －－ | 52.2 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 1.4 | －－－－ | 1.7 |
| 84 | Canton，ohio－．． | 4.8 | 9.0 | 6.8 | ． 3 | 11.5 | 3.4 | 1.3 | 1.3 | $\cdot 7$ | $\cdot 1$ | 50.7 | 1.2 | 2.5 | 5.3 | －－－－－ | ．9 |
| 85 | Wilmington，Del． | 6.7 | 10.6 | 9.9 | ． 5 | 4.6 | 7.8 | 1.5 |  | .1 | .1 | 45.9 | 1.7 | 3.1 | 1.9 | 5.3 | ． 1 |
| 86 | Tampa，Fla．－－ | 5.9 | 7.2 | 7.6 | ． 6 | 10.2 | 5.9 | 2.1 | 9.8 | 1.3 | 1.4 | ${ }^{40.8}$ | .7 | 2.5 | 2.4 | 1.4 | ． 1 |
| 87 | Somerville，M | 4.4 | 6.4 | 7.5 | ． 6 | 4.5 | 6.2 | 1.2 | 2.0 | 33.5 | （1） | 27.0 | 1.5 | 2.1 | 2.9 | －－－ | .$^{4}$ |
| 88 | El Paso，Tex．－．－ | 4.7 <br> 2.9 | 8.2 10.6 | 11.9 12.5 | .8 | 4.3 8.3 | ${ }_{3.1}^{5.1}$ | 3.2 1.7 | 1.5 .5 | .4 | － | 51.2 45.7 | 1.6 2.7 | 4.1 | 1.2 2.9 | 1.1 | ． 2.4 |
| 90 | Lynn，Mass．－－－ | 4.4 | 7.2 | 9.6 | ． 6 | 5.1 | 4.5 | 1.2 | 2.2 | 25.8 | ． 1 | 29.3 | 1.6 | 3.3 | 2.9 | $\cdots$ | 2.3 |
| 91 | Utica，N．Y．－－ | 5.4 | 7.6 | 9.8 | .4 | 7.2 | 4.5 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 15.8 | ． 1 | 33.5 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 6.3 | ． 7 | 1.0 |
| 92 | Duluth，Minn．－ | 9.2 | 7.6 | 10.0 | ． | ¢ 3 | 3.1 | 1.6 | 1.7 | ． 5 | $\cdot 1$ | 47.2 | 2.2 | 3.6 | 4.3 | $\cdot 9$ | ． 3 |
| 93 | Waterbury，Conn | 7.3 | 7.7 | 10.4 | $\cdot 4$ | 21.0 | 3.0 | 1.1 | 1.8 | 8.4 | .$^{1}$ | 31.3 | 1.1 | 2.6 | 2.3 | .3 | 1.1 |
| 94 | Lowell，Mass． | 4.7 | 6.8 | 7.4 | ． 9 | 6.4 | 4.1 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 32.5 | （1） | 24.4 | ．？ | 1.5 | 3.4 | 1.7 | 2.4 |
|  | Honolulu，Hawaii $2 / \cdots$ | 12.2 | 13.2 | 7.1 | ． 6 | 16.4 | 7.6 | 6.3 | 4.0 | 3.4 | 1.4 | 8.6 | ． 1 | 8.0 | 6.6 | －－ | 4.4 |

TABLE 19
Interest payments on general government debt ${ }^{5}$ during 1937 are shown in table 19. These payments are segregated as to those made on bonded indebtedness, on short-term loans, and on special assessment debt. They are exclusive of payments in error later repaid to the cities, and they are exclusive also of interest payments which balance amounts previously received as accrued interest on the obligations when issued. Interest payments are discussed in a general way in the introductory part of this section on cost payments.

INTEREST PAYMENTS ON GENERAL GOVERNMENT DEBT.-Payments of interest in the amount of $\$ 222,582,157$ were made in 1937 on debt incurred for general governmental purposes. Of this amount, $\$ 198,568,577$, or 89.2 percent, was paid on bonded indebtedness, and $\$ 14,765,257$, or approximately 7 percent, was paid on shortterm loans; the remainder represents interest payments on special assessment debt. Comparative figures for recent years are not available because of the fact that the Bureau did not segregate interest payments as between general government debt and public-service enterprise debt.

SPECLAL INIEREST PAYMENTS BY MASSACHUSETTS CITIES.-The Commonwealth of Massachusetts has established for the benefit of a number of cities and towns a metropolitan district in and about Boston for the purchase and improvement of parks and for the construction and maintenance of sewers and waterworks. The cities benefited are required, in addition to sharing the costs of operating and maintaining such properties, to make payments to the state to reimburse it for interest on loans made to finance the construction or acquisition of these improvements. Similar payments of interest are made to the State on the advances by it in financing the cost of grade crossing elimination, and such interest payments by the cities are included in table 19.

TABLE 20
The payments for capital outlays by the major functions show in table 20 comprise the amounts paid by the 94 cities for the acquisition and construction of more or less permanent properties and public improvements, including additions to those previously acquired or constructed. They are exclusive of payments offset by receipts from the public on outlay account, the most important of which are derived from sales of real property and from insurance adjustments. These excluded payments and the counterbalancing receipts are classified as noncost payments and nonrevenues, both of which are excluded from the scope of this report.

THE REPORTING OF OUTLAYS AND THE EXCLUSION OF DEBT RETIREMENT. - Capital outlays are reported by the Bureau as a cost payment in the year the expenditure is made. This procedure is followed rather than classifying as a cost payment the amount paid directly for maturing bonds or placed in a sinking fund for the retirement of indebtedness incurred for outlays. Debt retirement is recorded as a noncost payment, and duplication in cost payments-to the extent that outlays are financed from incurrence of debt-is thereby eliminated.

PAYMENTS FOR CAPITAL OUTLAYS.-Capital outlays, totaling $\$ 372,922,543$, constituted 14.2 percent of total expenditures for general governmental purposes in 1937. The largest outlay was $\$ 118,619,311$ for highways. Schools were next in importance with a total of $\$ 81,898,903$. The item "All other" under "Public safety" represents in large part capital outlays for flood control in Los Angeles and New Orleans. The item "All other" under "Highways" constitutes for the most part bridge and tunnel construction in New York, Chicago, and Pittsburgh.

[^10]TABLE 19.-COST PAYMENTS// FOR INTEREST: 1937

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 台 } \\ & \text { 者 } \\ & \text { \# } \end{aligned}$ | CIITY | Total | PAYMENTS FOR INTEREST ON BONLED DEBT OF- |  |  |  |  | PAMENTS FOR INTEREST ON SHORT TERM LOANS OF- |  |  | PAYNENTS FOR INTEREST ON SPECIAL ASSESSMENT DEBT OF- |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | City corporation |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { School dis- } \\ & \text { trict, } \\ & \text { general } \end{aligned}$ | Other goverumental units |  | City corporation | School district | $\begin{aligned} & \text { other } \\ & \text { govern- } \\ & \text { mental } \\ & \text { units } \end{aligned}$ | City corporation |  | Other governmental units-contingeneral |
|  |  |  | General | Revenue |  | General | Fevenue |  |  |  | $\underset{\text { Contingent }}{\text { Cenal }}$ | Payable only from special assessments |  |
|  | Grand tot | \$222,582,157 | \$149,515,021 | \$420,047 | \$21,894,999 | \$24,830,283 | \$1,908,227 | \$9,268,512 | \$4,829,113 | \$667,632 | \$5,720,118 | \$2,534,126 | \$994,079 |
|  | Group I-Group IIGroup III | $\begin{array}{r} 143,245,260 \\ 32,280,003 \\ 47,056,894 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 94,662,717 \\ & 20,847,471 \\ & 34,004,833 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 119,089 \\ 234,780 \\ 66,178 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9,037,934 \\ & 3,460,404 \\ & 9,396,661 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18,895,423 \\ 5,729,038 \\ 205,822 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,907,147 \\ \hdashline 1,080 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8,180,406 \\ 249,240 \\ 838,866 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,549,834 \\ 72,328 \\ 206,951 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 344,307 \\ 320,662 \\ 2,663 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,574,598 \\ 955,208 \\ 1,190,312 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,172,902 \\ 402,907 \\ 958,317 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 800,903 \\ 7,965 \\ 185,211 \end{gathered}$ |
| group I.-cittes having a pofulation of 500,000 and over |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | New York, N. Y.------------ | $\$ 43,414,168$$27,635,699$ | 433,601,506 | ---------- | $\begin{gathered} \$ 1,910,060 \\ 2,409,952 \end{gathered}$ | \$11,877,752 | \$1,649,361 | $\left.\begin{array}{r} \$ 5,158,744 \\ 2,583,383 \\ 12,438 \\ 5,550 \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | -4, 428, 92 | $\begin{array}{r} +307,399 \\ 1,344 \end{array}$ | \$3,004,557 | \$1,172,902 | ----------- |
| 2 | Chicago, Ill. |  | $14,720,702$$13,674,547$ |  |  |  | ---------- |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 4 4 | ${ }_{\text {Philadelphia, }} \mathrm{Pa}$ | $17,244,915$ $14,157,110$ |  | \$95,089 |  | $\begin{array}{r} 196,947 \\ 1,180,722 \\ 716,763 \end{array}$ | -- |  |  | 2,100 | 37,125 | ---------- | \$145,752 |
| 5 | Los Angeles, Callf | 6,003,892 <br> $5,723,152$ | $1,826,296$$3,429,712$$3,056,292$ | ---------- | $\begin{array}{r} 2,996,874 \\ 718,884 \\ 130,410 \end{array}$ |  | ----------------- | 15,888 |  | -------- |  | ---------- |  |
| ${ }^{6}$ | cleveland, oh10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ---70,432 |  | 172,941 |  | 647,760 |
| 7 | St. Louis, Mo | 3,210,702 |  | 24,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | Baltimore, Md.- | $\begin{aligned} & 5,412,347 \\ & 4,412,604 \\ & 5,86,762 \\ & 2,491,794 \end{aligned}$ | $5,402,757$$3,721,105$2,111838 | ----------- | --------------- | --------------- | ------------- | 351,943 | ------------- | ---------- | $\begin{array}{r} 9,590 \\ 337,556 \\ 12,829 \end{array}$ | ------------- | ---------- |
| $1{ }^{9}$ | Boston, Mass.-- |  |  | ---------- | ----------7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | San Franclsco, Cal |  | 2,454,090 |  |  |  |  | 37,704 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | Weshington, D. C. | $\begin{array}{r} 2,463,400 \\ 5,208,775 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,315,510 \\ & 3,991,082 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | ------- | $\begin{array}{r} 1,139,005 \\ 931,773 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | ${ }_{\text {M11weukee, }}^{\text {Buffelo, N. Y. }}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & ---\infty-\infty-\infty \\ & -----\infty-\infty \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $-\cdots-\cdots,-7$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,256 \\ 13,500 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 238 \\ 14,634 \end{array}$ |  | ------------ | 7,391 |
| 14 | Buffalo, N. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

GRoUP II.-CITIES having a pofulation of 300,000 to 500,000

| 15 | Minneapolis, M1nn. | \$2,506,731 | \$2,123,989 |  |  | \$107,863 | ---------- |  |  |  | \$266,914 |  | 17,965 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 17 17 | New Orleans, La Cincinnati, Ohio | $3,673,495$ $3,380,499$ | $1,865,976$ $2,096,429$ | \$219,280 | $\$ 268,348$ <br> 557,244 | 994,570 303 368 | ----------- | \$34,201 | \$47,901 | \$9,000 | 181.066 | \$234,219 $\mathbf{1 4 3 , 8 6 6}$ |  |
| 17 | Newark, N. J. ${ }^{\text {chincinat }}$ | 5,541,672 | 2,544,518 | --------- |  | 994,623 | ------------- | 2,531 |  |  | 181.066 | 143,866 |  |
| 19 | Kansas City, Mo | 2,781,701 | 969,588 | -------- | 912,804 | 677,580 | ----------- | 1,042 |  | 195,865 | ---------- | 24,822 | -------- |
| 20 | Seattle, Wash. | 1,663,213 | 669,176 | ----- | 456,529 | 369,415 | ---------- | 112,172 | 42 | 55,879 | ----------- |  | -------- |
| 21 | Indianapolis, I | 1,325,608 | ${ }^{636,755}$ |  | 438,627 | 231,715 431,106 |  | 5,622 | 148 | 9,741 |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{23}^{22}$ | $\xrightarrow[\text { Rochester, }]{\text { Jersey City, }}$ N. ${ }^{\text {Y }}$ | $2,659,428$ $3,354,081$ | 1,897,051 |  |  | 431,106 612,671 |  | -7,812 |  | 7,810 17,651 | 318,186 |  |  |

$\qquad$

$\qquad$


Soe footnotes at end of table.


872,382
735,953


| - | $\$ 28,739$ 8,469 | -------- |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3,482 |  |
| $\$ 45,078$ 1,991 |  |  |
| 1,991 |  |  |
| 7,812 | ----------- |  |
|  | 13,206 |  |
| 3,246 71,740 |  |  |
| 24,120 | 20,119 |  |
| 51,380 63,775 |  |  |
| , | 8,390 |  |
| 39,212 | ---------- |  |
| 27,907 | 10,398 |  |
| 1 |  | -------- |
| --- | , 86 | \$954 |
|  | 3,688 |  |
|  |  |  |
| 3,634 | ------- |  |
| 9,505 |  |  |
| 6,042 | 15,074 | --------- |
| 4,500 |  |  |
| 564 |  |  |
| 10,208 | 1,673 |  |
| 57,271 |  |  |
|  | 156 | -------- |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1,806 \\ & 4,273 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| 28,935 |  |  |

 $\qquad$

TABLE 19.-COST PAYMENTS $1 /$ FOR INTEREST: 1937-Continued

|  | city | Total | pandents for Intrrest on bondei debr of- |  |  |  |  | PAYMENTS FOR INTEREST ON SHORT TERM LOANS OF- |  |  | PAYMENTS FOR INTEREST ON SPECIAL ASSESSMENT DEBT OF- |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{Ci}_{1}$ ty corporation |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { School dis- } \\ \text { trict, } \\ \text { general } \end{gathered}$ | Other governmental units |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { City cor- } \\ & \text { poration- } \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\text { Sistrict }}{\substack{\text { School }}}$ | $\begin{array}{c\|c} \text { other } \\ \text { govern- } \\ \text { mentel } \\ \text { units } \end{array}$ | City corporation |  | $\|$other <br> govern- <br> monertal <br> unts- <br> ontin- <br> ontin <br> gent <br> general |
|  |  |  | General | Revenue |  | General | Revenue |  |  |  | Contingent general |  |  |

group ili.-Cities having a population of 100,000 to 300,000-Continued

| 67 | Kansas City, Kans | \$332,989 | \$161,449 | -------- | \$89,751 | \$55,585 | ------- | \$1,195 | ---- | - | \$14,531 | ----- | \$10,478 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 68 | Fort Wayne, Ind. | 135,436 | 27,616 |  | 107,820 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 70 | Crie, Pa.- | $1,147,648$ 507,827 | 1,126,032 |  | 231,-763 |  |  | 17,275 | \$1,666 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 4,341 \\ & 2,510 \end{aligned}$ | \$24,425 |  |
| 71 | E11zabeth, N | 565,539 | 561,590 | \$2,248 |  |  |  | 1,701 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 72 | Wichita, Kans. | 343,171 | 144,281 | 3,-725 | $\begin{array}{r} 104,300 \\ 38,228 \end{array}$ | 20,407 |  | ${ }^{41}$ |  |  | 74,142 |  |  |
| 74 | Fall River, Mass | 276,327 | 260,191 |  |  |  |  | 16,136 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 75 | Cambridge, Mass. | 402,133 | 339,505 |  |  |  |  | 28,671 |  |  | 33, 957 |  |  |
| 76 | New Bedford, Mass | 278,914 | 255,909 | ----- |  | ---- |  | 23,005 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 78 | Reading, Pa, Knoxvilie, | 475,966 971,877 | 159,630 941,877 |  | 293,411 |  |  | 586 30,000 | 837 |  |  | 21,502 |  |
| 79 | Peoria, Ill. | 185,041 | 28,419 | -------- | 23,526 | 14,181 |  | 8,006 | ---------- | \$184 | ---------- | 110,502 | 223 |
| 80 | South Bend, I | 218,848 | 94,402 | -------- | 122,690 |  |  | 1,756 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 81 | Tacoma, Wash | 193,615 | 98,166 |  |  | 64,755 | \$1,080 | 13,220 | 14,869 | 1,525 |  |  |  |
| 82 | ${ }_{\text {M1ami, }}$ Cary, Ind. | 1,626,014 | 1,231,963 | 7,994 | 268,216 |  |  | 18,790 1,565 | 41,535 |  | 57,516 |  |  |
| 84 | Canton, Oh | 511,759 | 208,075 |  | 252,157 |  |  |  | 12,790 |  | 38,737 |  |  |
| 85 | Wilmington, D | 288,606 | 288,606 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 86 | Tampa, Fla. | 709,301 | 513,437 | 2,371 | 174,046 |  |  | 17,191 | 509 |  | 1,747 | ---------- | -------- |
| 88 | Somerville, | 181,307 327,936 | 133,487 302,230 | 14,720 |  |  |  | 26,699 10,986 |  |  | 21,121 |  |  |
| 89 | Evansilile, Ind | 194,151 | 67,213 | 35,320 | 91,618 | ------.----- | ----------- |  | ---------- |  | -------- | ---------- | -------- |
| 90 | Lymn, Mas | 261,125 | 204,163 |  |  |  |  | 39,868 |  |  | 17,094 |  |  |
| 92 | Duiuth, Minn. | 454,527 <br> 388,199 | 4419,316 189 |  | 142,118 |  |  | 12,494 | 8,298 |  | 5,554 |  |  |
| ${ }_{94}^{93}$ |  | 507,895 | $\begin{array}{r}437,840 \\ \hline 150\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  | ?0,055 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Lowell, Mas | 173,911 | 150,390 |  |  |  |  | 23,521 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Honolulu, Hewail $2 /-\cdots-$ | 303,225 | 217,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 86,157 |  |

TABLE 20.-COST PAYMENTS FOR CAPITAL OUTLAYS, BY MAJOR FUNCTIONS: 1937
(See text discussion, p. 189)


GROUP I. -CITIES HAVING A POPULATIO. OF 500,000 AND OVER

| 1 | New York, N. Y. | \$127,648,854 | \$3,287,518 | *51,262 | \$261,110 | \$69,420 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Chicago, Ill. | 38,021,685 | 550,262 | 316,180 | 60,702 |  |
| 3 | Philadelphia, Pa | 14,517,297 | 69,209 | 48,148 | 35,024 | 6,851 |
| 4 | Detroit, Mich. | 11,654,065 | 42,354 | 78,132 | 78,825 | 2,444 |
| 5 | Los Angeles, Calif | 25,516,764 | 140,851 | 283,760 | 198,859 | 4,495,129 |
| 6 | Cleveland, Ohio- | 6,299,627 | 26,898 | 24,161 | 22,369 | 57 |
| 7 | St. Louis, Mo. | 8,989,070 | 176,656 | 469,607 | 14,651 | 66,617 |
| 8 | Baltimore, ma. | 5,111,787 | 8,850 | 33,819 | 108,433 | ----- |
| 9 | Boston, Mass. | 5,484,345 | 1,516,767 | 510,604 | 35,655 | 1,481 |
| 10 | Pittsburgh, Pa. | 10,009,111 | 135,856 | 119,586 | 50,178 | 2,570 |
| 11 | San Francisco, Cal | 5,285,253 | 185,432 | 55,958 | 383,754 | 43 |
| 12 | Washington, D. C. | 6,925,508 | 495,567 | 28,199 | 86,902 |  |
| 13 | Milwaukee, Wis. | 3,212,210 | 61,021 | 99,432 | 3,000 | ----- |
| 14 | Buffalo, N. Y. | 9,479,293 | 59,377 | 249,056 | 4,697 | 34 |

gRour in. -CITIES having a population of 300,000 T0 500,000

| 15 | Minneapolis, Minn. | \$5,180,355 | \$35,362 | \$55,741 | \$47,830 | \$14,898 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 | New Orleans, La. | 3,707,411 | 14,528 | 13,649 | 13,291 | 1,176,327 |
| 17 | Cincinnati, Ohio | 4,015,247 | 29,103 | 27,342 | 120,939 | 3,257 |
| 18 | Newark, N. J | 1,961,115 | 455,976 | 94,557 | 46,965 | ------------ |
| 19 | Kansas City, Mo. | 5,700,353 | 2,39e,025 | 126,832 | 25,765 | 182,126 |
| 20 | Seattle, Wash. | 1,374,640 | 49,775 | 32,396 | 67,221 | 1,460 |
| 21 | Indianapolis, Ind. | 1,063,312 | 7,827 | 17,578 | 43,005 | 45,587 |
| 22 | Rochester, N. Y | 3,112,518 | 33,880 | 26,946 | 272,632 | 84,981 |
| 23 | Jersey City, N. | 3,761,789 | 6,586 |  | ------------ | ------------- |
| 24 | Houston, Tex. | 4,926,840 |  | 36,934 | 15,503 | 156,296 |
| 25 | Louisville, Ky | 2,259,916 | 22,994 | 41,353 | 193,817 | ------------ |
| 26 | Portland, Oreg. | 621,855 | 63,010 | 51,136 | 53,727 | 1,223 |

GROUP III. -CITIES HAVING A POPULATION OF 100,000 TO 300,000

| 27 | Columbus, Ohio- | \$1,988,335 | \$2,886 | \$16,579 | \$22,028 | \$3,105 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 28 | Toledo, Ohio- | 1,546,032 | 3,942 | 23,553 | 9,268 | 1,507 |
| 29 | Oakland, Calif | 1,048,617 | 4,431 | 23,589 | 38,087 | 3,407 |
| 30 | Denver, Colo | 2,317,695 | 13,900 | 1,289 | 45,034 | 34,565 |
| 31 | Atlenta, Ga. | 1,723,130 | ------ | 13,822 | 26,730 |  |
| 32 | Dallas, Tex. | 1,690,875 | 1,629 | 33,614 | 4,214 | 715 |
| 33 | St. Paul, Minn | 2,532,101 | 1,243 | 64,065 |  |  |
| 34 | Birmingham, Ala | 210,824 | 506 | 2,024 | ------------ |  |
| 35 | Akron, Ohio | 1,886,509 | ----- | 13,302 | 46,201 |  |
| 36 | Memphis, Tenn. | 1,039,056 | 9,466 | 17,330 | 43,380 | 907 |
| 37 | Providence, R. I. | 3,315,631 | 20,469 | 10,760 | 684 | 69 |
| 38 | San Antonio, Tex. | 993,608 | 1,581 | 74,740 | 570 | 7,650 |
| 39 | Omaha, Nebr. | 595,729 | 713 | 87,919 | 25,037 | 990 |
| 40 | Syracuse, N. Y | 620,636 | 6,859 | 13,806 | 2,675 |  |
| 41 | Dayton, Ohio- | 1,193,642 | 18,815 | 18,549 | 12,897 | 869,276 |
| 42 | Oklahoma City, Okla | 2,199,314 | 530,286 | 15,173 | 12,636 | ------------- |
| 43 | Worcester, Mass | 828,425 | 5,464 | 13,447 | 1,388 | ------------- |
| 44 | Richmond, Va . | 1,075,959 | ----- | 12,724 | 63,349 | 305 |
| 45 | Youngstown, Ohio | 231,329 | 2,074 | 28,938 | 10,399 |  |
| 46 | Grand Raplds, Mich | 511,716 | 25,844 | 3,151 | 4,495 | 1,918 |
| 47 | Fort Worth, Tex. | 2,696,907 | 7,544 | 8,785 | 1,085 | 54 |
| 48 | Hartford, Conn. | 1,220,372 | 3,222 | 9,934 | 9,990 | ------------ |
| 49 | Flint, Mich. | 36,252 | ----- | ------------ | 2,418 | ----------- |
| 50 | New Heven, Conn. | 509,857 | 1,669 | 4,500 | 2,039 | 2,053 |
| 51 | San Diego, Callf. | 473,723 | 139,151 | 30,885 | 46,518 | 15,583 |
| 52 | Long Beach, Callf. | 2,120,073 | 15,225 | 32,791 | 14,955 | 1,094 |

TABLE 20.-COST PAYNENTS FOR CAPITAL OUTLLAYS, BY MAJOR FUNCTIONS: 1937—Continued
(See text discussion, p. 189)

| $\stackrel{\circ}{\text { ¢ }}$ | CITY | All functions | General administrative, legislative, and judicial | PUBLIC SAFETY |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathbf{~}}$ |  |  |  | Police department | Fire department | All other |

GROUP III. -CITIES HAVING A POPULATION OF 100,000 TO 300,000-Contimed

| 53 | Nashville, Tenn. ---------- | \$1,067,598 | \$3,705 | \$1,225 | \$9,710 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 54 | Springfield, Mass.----- | 1,088,393 | 8,297 | 26,441 | 23,469 | \$2,901 |
| 55 | Tulsa, Okle. | 699,665 | 2,942 | 12,145 | 236 | 90 |
| 56 | Bridgeport, Conn. | 217,980 | 5,319 | 9,136 | 29,110 | 719 |
| 57 | Des Moines, Iowa-- | 692,807 | 1,842 | 12,169 | 8,530 | 2,808 |
| 58 | Scrantion, Pa. | 1,444,515 | ----- | 271 | ------- | -------------- |
| 59 | Salt Lake City, Utah | 214,492 | 2,882 | 4,127 | 11,937 | 25 |
| 60 | Yonkers, N. Y. | 600,443 | 2,613 |  | 1,456 | 1,140 |
| 61 | Paterson, N. J. | 679,246 | 795 | 6,809 | ------------ | 660 |
| 62 | Jacksonville, Fla. | 333,716 | 5,500 | 2,606 | 15,534 | ------------ |
| 63 | Albany, N. Y. | 581,629 | 4,525 | 25,987 | 135,744 | 2,000 |
| 64 | Norfolk, Va. | 432,191 | 71,803 | 24,211 | 6,590 | ------------- |
| 65 | Trenton, N. J | 232,715 | ------------ |  | ------------- | ------------ |
| 66 | Chattanooga, Tenn. | 753,873 | -- | 39,036 | 15,676 | ------------ |
| 67 | Kansas City, Kans. | 1,288,070 | 2,074 | 4,066 | 50,179 | 22,206 |
| 68 | Fort Wayne, Ind. | 210,276 | ------------- | 1,949 | 1,949 | ------------ |
| 69 | Camden, N. J. - | 262,343 | 17,863 | 11,532 | 2,075 | ------------ |
| 70 | Erio, Pa. - | 216,090 | 2,662 | 8,519 | 21,506 | ------------ |
| 71 | Elizabeth; N. | 636,858 | 350 | 9,000 | 9,793 | ------------ |
| 72 | Wichita, Kans. | 338,457 |  | 11,912 | 18,737 | 4,124 |
| 73 | Spakane, Wash. - | 550,689 | 5,939 | 13,820 | 4,673 | 1,625 |
| 74 | Fall River, Mass | 90,145 | 9,382 | 9,127 |  |  |
| 75 | Cambridge, Mass. | 890,690 | 2,655 | 4,040 | 5,856 | ------------- |
| 76 | New Bedford, Mass | 206,990 | 2,397 | 1,766 | 6,097 | 2,667 |
| 77 | Reading, Pa, --- | 1,105,803 | 2,605 | 10,439 | 16,078 | 224 |
| 78 | Knorville, Tenn. | 374,215 | 2,941 | ------------ | 882 | ------------- |
| 79 | Peoria, Ill. - | 821,394 | , | 5,220 | ------------- | ------------ |
| 80 | South Bend, Ind. | 374,115 | 1,164 | 10,195 | 30,092 | ------------- |
| 81 | Tacoma, Wash. | 250,297 | 747 | 6,759 | 1,570 | 985 |
| 82 | M1ami, Fla.- | 865,418 | 250 | 27,090 | 2,320 |  |
| 83 | Gary, Ind.-- | 267,088 | 2,945 | 3,252 | 22,550 | 466 |
| 84 | Canton, Ohio-- | 128,167 | 1,410 | 29,662 | 10,281 |  |
| 85 | Wilmington, Del. | 217,157 |  |  |  |  |
| 86 | Tampa, Fla.-- | 459,519 | 1,558 | 6,311 | 2,023 | ------------ |
| 87 | Somerville, Mass.- | 265,108 | 855 | 10,476 | 18,993 | 300 |
| 88 | El Paso, Tex.-- | 347,393 | 228 | 33,876 | 17,843 | ------------ |
| 89 | Evansville, Ind. | 640,525 | 1,549 | 7,282 | 16,445 | ------------- |
| 90 | Lynn, Mass. --- | 755,935 |  | 1,921 | ------------ | ------------- |
| 91 | Utica, N. Y.- | 417,189 | 1,790 | 879 | 836 | 28,044 |
| 92 | Duluth, Minn. | 469,200 | 7,554 | 14,318 | 9,180 | 677 |
| 93 | Waterbury, Conn. | 817,590 | 4,907 | 14,748 | 20,906 | ------------ |
| 94 | Lowell, Mass. | 169,992 | 720 | 4,484 |  |  |
|  | Honolulu, Hawal1 1/------ | 1,559,543 | 10,269 | 6,703 | 26,022 | 2,978 |

1/ Not included in group or grand totals.

TABLE 20.-COST PAYMENTS FOR CAPITAL OUTLLAYS, BY MAJOR FUNCTIONS: 1937—Continued
(See text discussion, p. 189)


GROUP I.-CITIES HAVING A POPULATION OF 500,000 AND OVER


GROUP II. -CITIES HAVING A POPULATION OF 300,000 TO 500,000


GROUP III.-CITLES HAVING A POPULATION OF 100,000 TO 300,000

| 27 | Columbus, Ohio | \$65,102 | \$137,632 | \$1,688,790 | \$22,972 | \$5,309 | \$1,882 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 28 | Toledo, Ohio- | 281,606 | 27,639 | 125,224 | 30,959 | 17,797 | 3,380 |
| 29 | Oakland, Calif | 342,587 | 30,954 | 50,039 |  |  | 6,614 |
| 30 | Denver, Colo | 165,881 | 19,339 | 1,590,481 |  | 16,407 | 1,221 |
| 31 | Atlanta, Ga | 73,212 |  | 1,327,876 | 15,000 | 10,006 |  |
| 32 | Dallas, Tex. | 691,666 | 63,180 | 168,643 | 4,828 | 10,950 | 398 |
| 33 | St. Peul, Minn | 250,820 | 13,961 | 2,003,251 |  | 24,601 |  |
| 34 | Birmingham, Al | 23,617 |  | 146,234 | ------------ |  | 1,000 |
| 35 | Akron, Ohio | 584,692 | 421,030 | 530,202 | 6,903 | 22,305 | 5,340 |
| 36 | Memphis, Tenn. | 504,454 | 27,197 | 94,880 | 17,183 | 5,534 | 51,985 |
| 37 | Providence, R. I | 725,189 | ------ | 495,507 | 76,788 | ---------- | 597 |
| 38 | San Antonio, Te | 34,561 | 93,694 | 51,369 | ----------- | 10,599 | 1,004 |
| 39 | Omaha, No br | 125,715 |  | 29,345 |  |  | 1,284 |
| 40 | Syracuse, N. | 186,415 | 99,711 | 222,286 | ----------- | --------- | 453 |
| 41 | Dayton, Ohio- | 24,941 |  | 131,194 |  |  | 6,622 |
| 42 | Oklahoma City, Okla | 39,160 | 33,150 | 28,122 | 6,480 | 3,571 | 4,882 |
| 43 | Horcester, Mass. | 35,460 | 128,803 | 439,901 |  |  |  |
| 44 | Richmond, Va . | 384,861 | 51,935 | 133,083 | 6,531 | 2,174 | ------------ |
| 45 | Youngstown, Ohio- | 109,835 | 9,414 | 6,090 |  |  |  |
| 46 | Grand Rapids, Mich. | 176,876 | 115,186 | 118,510 | 8,100 | 7,559 | 690 |
| 47 | Fort North, Tex. | 395,296 | 8,004 | 51,292 | ------------ | ---------- | 145 |
| 48 | Hartford, Conn. | 100,053 | 39,772 | 1,006,683 | 1,850 | 1,403 |  |
| 49 | Flint, Mich. | 24,478 |  | 7,559 | ------------ |  |  |
| 50 | New Heven, Conn. | 289,097 | 17,046 | 79,808 |  |  | 665 |
| 51 | San Diego, Calif.-- | 23,389 | ------ | 13,177 | 1,435 | 1,257 | 706 |

TABLE 20.—COST PAYMENTS FOR CAPITAL OUTLAYS, BY MAJOR FUNCTIONS: 1937-Continued
(See text discussion, p. 189)

| ¢ <br> ¢ | CITY | HIGHWAYS |  | SANITATION AND WASte remmotal |  |  | Conservation of health |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { a } \\ & \stackrel{5}{5} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{d} \end{aligned}$ |  | Roadways | All other | Sewers and sewage disposel | Waste collection and disposal | All other |  |

GROUP III. -CITIES HAVING A POPULATION OF 100,000 TO 300,000-Continued

| 52 | Long Beach, Calif.--- | \$196,556 | \$427 | \$156,151 | \$8,438 | \$13,809 | ----------- |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 53 | Nashville, Tenn. | 193,086 | 7,542 | 118,542 | 3,573 | 665 |  |
| 54 | Springfield, Mass | 346,443 | 179,476 | 221,341 | 80,476 | --------- | \$660 |
| 55 | Tulsa, Okla. | 59,830 | 10,237 | 43,307 | 1,836 | --------- | 214 |
| 56 | Bridgeport, Conn. | 51,228 | 960 | 25,363 | 41,401 | 6,588 | 925 |
| 57 | Des Moines, Iowe- | 393,915 | 8,814 | 120,618 | 1,372 | 1,883 | 511 |
| 58 | Scranton, Pa. | 417,124 | 2,118 | 9,879 | -------.--- |  |  |
| 59 | Salt Lake City, | 11,514 | 7,400 | 34,890 | ------------ |  | 5,563 |
| 60 | Yonkers, N. Y. | 430,813 | 238 | 89,606 | 1,499 | 1,135 | 1,252 |
| 61 | Paterson, N. | 270,851 |  | 5,586 |  |  | ----------- |
| 62 | Jacksonville, Fla. | 39,086 | ------------- | 50,048 | ------------ |  | 5,328 |
| 63 | Albany, N. Y. | 83,534 | 5,671 | 43,875 | ----------- | 25,574 | 4,375 |
| 64 | Norfolk, Va. | 179,080 | 49,044 | 41,275 | ------------ | 8,400 | ----------- |
| 65 | Trenton, N. | 184,974 |  | 15,004 | ------------ |  | ----------- |
| 66 | Chattanooga, Tenn. | 326,165 | ------------- |  | ------------ | --------- |  |
| 67 | Kansas City, Kans. | 437,411 | ------------- | 22,540 | ------------ | ---------- | 579 |
| 68 | Fort Mayne, Ind. | 51,760 | ------------- | ------------ | ------------ | ---------- |  |
| 69 | Camden, N. J |  | 133,250 |  | 2,272 | 7,190 | ------------ |
| 70 | Erie, Pa | 63,032 | 53,861 | 17,040 | 5,223 | 9,586 | ------------ |
| 71 | Elizabeth, N. J |  |  |  |  | 5,313 | ------------ |
| 72 | Wichita, Kans. | 93,463 | ------------- | 63,180 |  | 7,390 |  |
| 73 | Spokane, Wash. | 300,202 | 12,979 | 141,625 | 14,666 | 370 | 1,378 |
| 74 | Fall River, Mass | 24,229 | 11,968 | 20,619 | ---------- | ---------- |  |
| 75 | Cambridge, Mass. | 652,172 |  | 195,768 | ----------- | 950 | ------------ |
| 76 | New Bodford, Mass. | 101,104 | 2,854 | 3,963 | 250 |  | 1,551 |
| 77 | Reading, Pa. | 31,169 | ------------- | 9,740 | 5,164 | 475 | 2,622 |
| 78 | Knorrille, Tenn. | 7,436 | 139,879 | ------------- | 289 | --------- | 220 |
| 79 | Peoria, Ill. | 126,485 | 1,835 | 199,646 | 4,695 | --------- | ----------- |
| 80 | South Bend, I | 6,525 | ----- | 650 | ----------- | -------.- | ------------ |
| 81 | Tacama, Wash. | 125,593 | 1,038 | 27,902 | 20,769 | --------- | 564 |
| 82 | Miami, Fla | 52,604 | ------------- | ------------- | 31,637 | -- | ------------ |
| 83 | Gary, Ind. | 14,665 | ------------ | 1,864 | 4,500 | 1,210 | 352 |
| 84 | Canton, Ohio | 32,740 | ------------- | 12,745 | ----------- | --------- |  |
| 85 | Wilmington, Del | 43,434 | ------------- | 78,604 | - | --------- |  |
| 86 | Tampa, Fla.- | 38,190 | 67,295 | 2,020 | 45,864 | 5,529 | ----------- |
| 87 | Samerville, Mass | 108,222 | 1,698 | 85,591 | ----------- | --------- | 83 |
| 88 | El Peso, Tex. | 27,752 | 7,074 | 91,479 | --.-- | --- | --.---------- |
| 89 | Evansville, Ind. | 23,827 |  | 74,804 | 641 | 6,854 | ------------ |
| 90 | Lymn, Mass. | 359,287 | ------------- | 129,678 |  | 9,045 | ----------- |
| 91 | Utica, N, Y. | 238,410 |  | 58,826 | 11,222 | ---------- |  |
| 92 | Duluth, Minn. | 229,626 | 9,127 | 41,228 | 2,742 | 804 | 1,124 |
| 93 | Waterbury, Conn. | 685,744 | 10,056 | 9,123 | ----------- | 1,014 | --.--------- |
| 94 | Lowe 11, Mass | 54,026 |  |  | 12,978 |  |  |
|  | Honolulu, Hawait 1/ --- | 990,760 | 89,039 | 11,029 | 13,686 | 36,320 |  |

1/ Not included in group or grand totals.

TABLE 20.—COST PAYMENTS FOR CAPITAL OUTLAYS, BY MAJOR FUNCTIONS: 1937-Continued
(See text discussion, p. 189)


GROUP I.-CITIES HAVING A POPULATION OF 500,000 AND OVER

| 1 | New York, N. | \$9,559,092 | \$78,578 | \$1,169,838 | \$18,503,466 | \$86,833 | \$29,079,870 | \$10,039 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Chicago, Ill | 160,746 | 49,035 | 9,819 | 3,783,607 | 86,271 | 3,787,757 | 933,297 |
| 3 | Philadelphia, | 8,429 | 382 | 30,797 | 11,725,510 |  | 206,448 |  |
| 4 | Detroit, Mich | 109,410 | 121,853 | 1,144 | 1,755,306 | 14,518 | 114,882 | 639,939 |
| 5 | Los Angeles, Calif | 58,143 | 69,027 | 7,566 | 15,222,309 | 7,003 | 241,602 | 212,352 |
| 6 | Cleveland, Ohi | 21,078 | 14,530 | 52,948 | 239,945 | 225,068 | 271,290 | 8,046 |
| 7 | St. Louis, Mo. | 1,360,763. | 1,277 | 43,526 | 844,187 |  | 3,569,548 | 5,800 |
| 8 | Baltimore, Ma. | 253,318 |  |  | 1,208,684 | --------- | 356,207 | 500 |
| 9 | Boston, Mass. | 431,878 | 65,446 | 16,922 | 1,077,479 | 21,391 | 103,292 | 116,525 |
| 10 | Pittsburgh, Pa. | 152,105 | 479,101 | 96,442 | 2,659,468 | 26,636 | 1,079,532 | 161,630 |
| 11 | San Francisco, Calif. | 305,908 | 4,664 | 258 | 1,399,599 | 7,988 | 454,261 | 13,033 |
| 12 | Washington, D. C. | 812,192 | 708 | 134,366 | 940,841 | 1,517 | 349,705 | 42,279 |
| 13 | Mil waukee, Wis | 49,103 | 13,630 | 7,066 | 1,191,664 | 800 | 193,652 | 27,435 |
| 14 | Buffalo, N. Y | 18,013 | 10,519 | 143,131 | 237,554 | 16,231 | 373,699 | 349,967 |

GROUP II.-CITIES HAVING A POPULATION OF 300,000 TO 500,000

| 15 | Minneapolis, Minn. | \$12,985 | \$18,955 | \$11,735 | \$423,750 | \$83,468 | \$289,376 | \$392,943 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 | New Orleans, La |  |  |  | 63,733 |  | 90,788 | 30,665 |
| 17 | Cincinnati, Ohio | 63,936 | 686 | 3,310 | 460,330 | 346 | 383,930 | 18,490 |
| 18 | Newark, N. J. -- | 104,224 | --------- | 2,445 | 86,549 | 13,500 | 249,875 | 18,400 |
| 19 | Kansas City, Mo | 124,960 | 1,798 | 3,550 | 641,894 | , | 1,041,428 | 469 |
| 20 | Seattle, Wash. | 16,563 |  | --------- | 47,556 | 3,601 | 89,960 | 3,175 |
| 21 | Indianapolis, Ind. | 246,193 | 2,688 | ---------- | 495,582 | 2,906 | 52,378 | 927 |
| 22 | Rochester, N. Y. | 14,085 | 35,759 | 20,226 | 492,413 | 58,270 | 179,628 | 39,053 |
| 23 | Jersey City, N. | 2,990,865 | ---------- | 14,976 | 182,372 | 9,303 | 247,120 | -------- |
| 24 | Houston, Tex. | 1,212,965 | ---------- | 1,093 | 1,040,730 | 3,000 | 1,118,194 | --------- |
| 25 | Loutsville, Ky | 313,588 | 12,787 | 2,784 | 132,569 | 2,806 | 64,568 | 8,681 |
| 26 | Portland, Oreg.-- | 20,866 | 22,769 | 1,430 | 3,273 |  | 3,239 | 1,884 |

GROUP III. -CITIES HAVING A POPULATION OF 100,000 TO 300,000

| 27 | Columbus, Ohi |  | \$333 | \$426 | \$10,974 | \$1,776 | \$3,381 | \$5,160 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 28 | Toledo, Ohio- | \$2,145 |  | 772 | 894,624 | 2,516 | 115,006 | 6,094 |
| 29 | Oakland, |  |  |  | 491,008 | 3,911 | 51,970 | 2,020 |
| 30 | Denver, C | 2,826 | 933 |  | 31,776 |  | 74,408 | 319,645 |
| 31 | Atlanta, |  |  | 2,568 | 178,552 | 1,555 | 73,809 | ------- |
| 32 | Dallas, Tex. | 311,338 | 133 | 48 | 87,541 | 53,599 | 258,379 | ------- |
| 33 | St. Paul, Min |  |  | 1,217 | 145,735 |  | 3,280 | 23,928 |
| 34 | Birmingham, |  |  |  |  |  | 37,443 |  |
| 35 | Akron, Ohio |  |  |  | 197,862 | 2,084 | 56,588 | --------- |
| 36 | Memphis, Ten | 58,855 |  | 1,209 | 77,042 |  | 129,437 | 197 |
| 37 | Providence, R. | 8,306 | 176,660 | --------- | 1,746,894 | ---------- | 53,076 | 632 |
| 38 | San Antonio, T |  |  | --------- | 647,804 | 16,429 | 52,527 | 1,080 |
| 39 | Omaha, Nebr | 365 | --------- | ---------- | 281,009 | 12,215 | 30,606 | 531 |
| 40 | Syracuse, N. | 90 |  | --------- | 29,720 | 50 | 13,340 | 45,231 |
| 41 | Dayton, Ohio |  | 45 | 2,643 | 95,067 | 3,513 | 10,080 |  |
| 42 | Oklahoma City, | 945 |  | 89,349 | 364,487 | 479 | 1,069,602 | 992 |
| 43 | Worcester, Mass | 150,055 | 13,499 | ---------- | 16,542 |  | ---------- | 23,866 |
| 44 | Richmond, Va.- | 8,366 | 10,641 | --------- | 233,998 | 4,537 | 130,395 | 33,060 |
| 45 | Youngstown, Ohio- |  |  |  | 25,759 | --------- | 38,820 | --------- |
| 46 | Grand Rapids, Mich | 9,774 | ---------- |  | 6,989 | 2,804 | 20,923 | 8,897 |
| 47 | Fort Worth, Tex | 47,571 | 2,274 |  | 1,748,534 | 1,880 | 424,107 | 336 |
| 48 | Hartford, Conn. |  | 11,163 |  | 8,071 |  | 21,386 | 6,845 |
| 49 | Flint, Mich. |  | ---------- |  | - | ---------- | 1,797 |  |
| 50 | New Haven, Conn. |  | 145 |  | 12,624 | 959 | 99,252 | ------ |
| 51 | San Diego, Calif. |  |  |  | 129,841 | 10,431 | 39,189 | 22,161 |
| 52 | Long Beach, Calif |  | 1,700 | 71 | 1,450,427 | 34,988 | 33,321 | 160,120 |
| 53 | Nashville, Tenn. | 80,343 | ------ | 2,306 | 491,456 |  | 46,579 | 108,866 |
| 54 | Springfiold, Mass.- | 2,920 | 17,972 |  | 127,585 | ---------- | 50,310 | 102 |

TABIE 20.-COST PAYMENTS FOR CAPITAL OUTLAYS, BY MAJOR FUNCTIONS: 1937—Continued

| 家 | CITY | Hospitals | Charities | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Correc- } \\ & \text { tion } \end{aligned}$ | Schools | Libraries | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Recrea- } \\ & \text { tion } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { M1scelle- } \\ \text { neous } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

GROUP III. -CITIES HAVING A POPULATION OF 100,000 TO $300,000-$ Continued

| 55 | Tulsa, okl | \$1,000 |  | \$86 | \$546,687 | \$13,779 | \$7,276 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 56 | Bridgeport, Conn | 1,548 | \$3,210 |  | 21,750 | 15,723 | 4,620 | \$380 |
| 57 | Des Moines, Iowa |  |  |  | 47,402 | 685 | 81,949 | 10,309 |
| 58 | Scranton, Pa. |  |  |  | 1,010,168 | 1,347 | 3,608 |  |
| 59 | Soll Lake City, |  |  |  | 111,044 |  | 16,521 | 8,589 |
| 60 | Yonkers, N. Y.-- | 897 | 468 |  | 50,194 | --- | 19,132 | -- |
| 61 | Paterson, |  |  |  | 393,653 |  | 892 |  |
| 62 | Jacksonville |  |  | 12,416 | 151,492 |  | 47,468 | 4,238 |
| 63 | Albany, N. |  |  |  | 19,152 |  | 23,657 | 207,535 |
| 64 | Norfolk, |  | 20,028 | 1,756 | 13,850 | 943 | 13,066 | 2,145 |
| 65 | Trenton, N. J. |  |  |  | 32,271 |  | 466 |  |
| 66 | Chattanooga, Ten | 15,381 |  |  | 353,761 |  | 3,854 | --------- |
| 67 | Kansas City, Ka |  |  |  | 711,334 |  | 37,681 | --------- |
| 68 | Fort Wayne, Ind |  |  |  | 126,710 | --------- | 27, 908 |  |
| 69 | Camden, | 49 |  |  | 72,780 |  | 12,836 | 1,896 |
| 70 | Erie, Pa. |  |  |  | 32,849 |  | 1,373 | 439 |
| 71 | Elizabeth, N. |  |  |  | 594,601 | 17,801 |  |  |
| 72 | Wichita, Kans |  |  |  | 109,956 | 485 | 29,210 |  |
| 73 | Spokane, Wash | 731 |  |  | 20,105 | 20,729 | 9,048 | 2,799 |
| 74 | Fall Rive | 1,296 | 675 |  |  | 2,120 | 8,606 | 2,123 |
| 75 | Cambriage, Mass. | 7,275 |  |  | 2,661 | --------- | 12,275 | 7,038 |
| 76 | New Bod ford, Mas | 424 | 21,621 |  | 6,027 | 9,090 | 28,935 | 18,244 |
| 77 | Reading, F |  |  |  | 961,057 |  | 66,230 |  |
| 78 | Knozville, Tenn. | 112 | 22 | 91 | 212,953 | 8,100 | 765 | 525 |
| 79 | Peoria, | 48,193 |  |  | 372,980 | 6,000 | 56,340 |  |
| 80 | South Bend, |  |  |  | 311,089 | --------- | 14,400 |  |
| 81 | Tacoma, Wesh |  |  |  | 23,693 | --->------- | 34,677 |  |
| 82 | M1 ami, Fis | 233,331 |  |  | 235,436 | -------- | 231,163 | 1,587 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 83 \\ & 84 \end{aligned}$ | Gary, In |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 177,805 \\ 39,487 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 30,899 \\ 1,842 \end{array}$ | 6,580 |  |
| 85 | W11mington, |  |  |  | 80,119 |  |  |  |
| 86 | Tampa, Fla. | 177,718 |  |  | 54,692 | 2,217 | 55,473 | --------- |
| 87 | Somerville, |  | 19,475 |  | 19,317 |  |  |  |
| 88 | El Paso, T |  |  |  | 154,983 |  | 13,958 | 200 |
| 89 | Evansville, |  |  |  | 259,633 | 4,973 | 115,433 | 129,084 |
| 90 | Lymn, Mass |  |  |  | 10,700 | 47,433 | 147,209 | 50,662 |
| 91 | Utica, |  |  |  | 49,964 |  | 27,218 |  |
| 92 | Duluth, Minn. |  |  |  | 20,444 |  | 129,832 | 1,155 52,149 |
| 94 | Waterbury, Con Lowell, Mass.- |  | $\begin{array}{r} 108 \\ 9,971 \end{array}$ |  | 87,813 |  | 18,181 | 52,149 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Honolulu, Hewaii 1/- | 4,200 | 19,100 |  | 311,601 | 68 | 33,934 | 3,834 |

1/ Not included in group or grand totals.

TABLE 21
Table $2 l$ presents data relating to the methods by which the cities financed their capital outlays and is as accurate as compilation from the individual city records permitted. In some cases it was impossible to report anything except a total for bonds issued or current funds drawn upon. This is due to the fact that capital improvements frequently are not completed within the reporting year, and a variety of local funds may be drawn upon before the improvement is completed, the source of all of which may have been unrecorded. Also, some cities have a single capital fund known as the "building" fund, accumulated from numerous sources. Furthermore, it is not always possible to identify the designation of a bond issue with the purpose for which the proceeds were used. For example, part of a "general improvement bond" may have been used in connection with sewers, parks, or even a public-service enterprise.

CAPITAL OUTLAYS FINANCED BY ISSUANCE OF BONDS.-Of the total capital outlays for general governmental purposes, approximately 42.5 percent were financed by proceeds from general obligation bonds, 6.3 percent from revenue bonds, and 3.2 percent from the issuance of special assessment bonds. Only 8 cities reported the financing of capital outlays through the issuance of revenue bonds, and the bulk of such issues was in connection with the construction of the Lincoln Tunnel in New York and the sewer system of the Buffalo Sewer Authority.

CAPITAL OUTLAYS FTNANCED FROM CURRENT REVENUES.-Cash resources in the general fund financed 20.3 percent of the capital outlays for general governmental purposes, special assessments 13.9 percent, and grants and donations 13.7 percent. The larger part of the latter 1 tem of current revenue consisted of P.W.A. grants received under the Federal public works program.

TABLE 21.-METHOD OF FINANCING CAPITAL OUTLAYS: 1937

| 。 | CITY | Total | Bonds |  |  | Current revinte |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 苓 |  |  | General | Revenue | Special assess ments | General | Special assessments | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Grants } \\ & \text { and } \\ & \text { donations } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Grand | \$372,004,302 | \$158,189,569 | \$23,305,352 | \$11,939,858 | \$75,624,954 | \$51,815,99? | \$51,128,572 |
|  | Group I | $\begin{array}{r} 288,079,386 \\ \begin{array}{r} 37,254,700 \\ 56,670,216 \end{array} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 113,072,655 \\ 21,320,841 \\ 23,796,073 \end{array}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{r\|} 23,016,026 \\ -\cdots 99,326 \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10,961,854 \\ 118,444 \\ 859,560 \end{array}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{r} 45,670,776 \\ 9,742,447 \\ 20,211,731 \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | $\begin{array}{r} 48,721,488 \\ 1,951,887 \\ 1,142,622 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 36,636,587 \\ 4,121,081 \\ 10,370,904 \end{array}$ |
|  | Group II----------- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Group III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

group I. -CIties having a population of 500,000 and over

| 1 | New York, N. Y | \$127,648,854 | \$43,804,051 | \$16,224,236 | \$6,700,000 | \$7,829,813 | \$44,416,596 | 8,674,158 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Chicago, Ill. | 37,946,202 | 25,245,055 |  | 24,567 | 6,839,305 | 1,948,856 | 3,888,419 |
| 3 | Philadelphia, Pe | 14,517,297 | 8,071,815 |  |  | 1,241,462 | 211,040 | 4,992,980 |
| 4 | Detroit, Mich. | 11,654,065 |  | 900,000 |  | 7,977,862 | 601,159 | 2,175,044 |
| 5 | Los Angeles, Calif. | 25,516,764 | 12,862,069 |  |  | 6,833,977 | 191,792 | 5,628,926 |
|  | Cleveland, Ohio | 6,299,627 | 43,992 |  | 4,237,287 | 1,042,465 | 746,066 | 229,817 |
|  | St. Louis, Mo | 8,989,070 | 7,070,846 | 299,822 |  | 1,170,323 | 99,794 | 348,285 |
|  | Baltimore, | 5,111,787 | 2,254,550 |  |  | 422,982 | 67,818: | 2,366,443 |
|  | Boston, Mass, | 5,484,345 | 1,328,949 | --------- | ---------- | 1,761,998 |  | 2,393,398 |
| 10 | Pittsburgh, Pa | 10,009,111 | 6,321,266 |  |  | 1,205,768 | 6,887 | 2,475,190 |
| 11 | San Francisco, Calif. | 5,285,253 | 2,074,339 | --.-.-.--- |  | 1,769,960 |  | 1,440,954 |
| 12 | Washington, D. C. | 6,925,508 | 1,862,152 |  |  | 4,003,740 | 161,077 | 898,539 |
| 13 | M11 waukee, Wis | 3,212,210 | 472,868 |  |  | 2,659,652 |  | 79,690 |
| 14 | Buffalo, N. Y. | 9,479,293 | 1,660,703 | 5,591,968 |  | 911,469 | 270,409 | 1,044,744 |

grour in.-CITIES having a population of 300,000 to 500,000

| 15 | Minneapolis, Minn | \$4,756,635 | 365,327 |  | \$1,687 | \$389,621 | ----- |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 | New Orleans, La | 3,707,411 | 7,641 |  |  | 3,682,961 |  | \$16,809 |
| 17 | Cincinnati, Ohio | 4,008,316 | 543,018 |  | 71,188 | 1,504,640 | \$1,663,752 | 225,718 |
| 18 | Newark, N. | 1,961,115 | 1,642,572 |  |  | 318,543 |  |  |
| 19 | Kansas Cit | 5,700,353 | 4,114,472 |  |  | 150,847 | 158,227 | 1,276,807 |
| 20 | Seattle, W | 1,374,640 | 156,560 |  |  | 1,059,118 |  | 158,962 |
| 21 | Indianapol | 1,063,312 | 374,744 |  |  | 421,339 |  | 251,859 |
| 22 | Rochester, N. Y. | 3,112,518 | 1,638,544 |  |  | 703,905 | 27,720 | 742,349 |
| 23 | Jersey City, N . | 3,761,789 | 2,790,590 |  |  | 30,479 |  | 940,720 |
| 24 | Houston, Tex. | 4,926,840 | 4,826,331 |  |  | 100,509 |  |  |
| 25 | Louisville, Ky | 2,259,916 | 861,042 |  |  | 884,194 | 37,732 | 476,948 |
| 26 | Portland, Oreg. | 621,855 |  |  | 45,569 | 496,291 | 49,0¢6 | 30,909 |

group iil. -Cities having a population of 100,000 to 300,000

| 27 | Columbus | \$1,988,335 | \$1,162,919 |  |  | 42 |  | 91 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 28 | Toledo, Ohio | 1,546,032 |  |  |  | 502,316 | 149,092 | 894,624 |
| 29 | Oakland, | 1,048,617 |  |  |  | 1,046,817 |  | 1,800 |
| 30 | Denver, Colo. | 2,140,202 | 834,545 |  |  | 533,943 | 72,2:6 | 99,498 |
| 31 | Atlanta, | 1,223,130 | 1,506,428 |  |  | 216,702 |  |  |
| 32 | Dallas, Tex | 1,690,875 | 1,020,007 |  |  | 212,674 | 230,509 | 227,685 |
| 33 | St. Paul, Minn | 2,297,459 | 1,403,303 |  | \$218,875 | 147,284 | 230,935 | 297,012 |
| 34 | Birmingham, A | 210,824 |  | \$146,234 | 6,207 | 58,383 |  |  |
| 35 | Akron, Ohio-- | 1,886,509 | 1,284,082 |  |  | 313,165 | 24,937 | 264,275 |
| 36 | Memphis, Ten | 1,039,056 | 483, 243 |  |  | 239,764 |  | 316,049 |
| 37 | Provide | 3,315,631 | 2,681,929 |  |  | 145,371 | ---------- | 488,331 |
| 38 | San Antonio, Tis | 993,608 | 114,484 |  |  | 746,186 |  | 132,938 |
| 39 | Omaha, Nebr. | 595,729 |  |  |  | 313,344 | 1,376 | 281,009 |
| 40 | Syracuse, N. | 620,636 | 334,506 |  | 108,772 | 177,358 |  |  |
| 41 | Dayton, 0 | 1,193,642 | 131,194 |  |  | 967,381 |  | 95,067 |
| 42 | Oklahoma C1ty, | 2,199,314 | 886,297 |  |  | 635,139 | ---------- | 77,878 |
| 43 | Worcester, Mass. | 828,425 | 697,486 |  |  | 35,035 | --------- | 95,904 |
| 44 | Richmond, Va. | 1,075,959 | 805,072 |  |  | 179,493 |  | 91,394 |
| 45 | Youngstown, ohio | 231,350 | 17,264 |  |  | 111,987 414,583 |  | 89,296 5,068 |
| 46 | Grand Rapids, Mi Fort Worth, Tex. | 511,716 $2,696,907$ | 78,522 414,384 |  |  | 414,583 $1,162,536$ | 13,543 | 1,119,988 |
| 48 | Hartford, Conn | 1,220,372 | 850,968 |  |  | 107,425 | 8,254 | 253,725 |
| 49 | Flint, Mich. | 36,252 |  |  |  | 11,774 | 24,478 |  |
| 50 | New Haven, Conn | 509,857 | ,753 |  |  | 508,104 |  |  |
| 51 52 | San Diego, Calif | 473,723 |  |  |  | 361,811 $1,955,426$ |  | 111,912 164,647 |
| $52$ | Long Beach, Call | 2,120,073 |  |  |  | 1,955,426 |  | 164,647 317,745 |

TABLE 21. -METHOD OF FINANCING CAPITAL OUTLLAYS: 1937—Continued

| $$ | CITY | Total | BONDS |  |  | CURRANT REVEIUE |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | General | Revemue | Special assessments | General | Special assessments | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Grants } \\ & \text { and } \\ & \text { donations } \end{aligned}$ |

GROUP III.-CITIES HAVING A POPULATION OF 100,000 TO 300,000 -Continued

| 54 | Springfield, Mass. | \$1,088,393 | \$100,000 |  |  | \$910,626 | -- | \$77,767 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 55 | Tulsa, Okla. | 699,665 | 218,741 | ---------- |  | 156,493 |  | 324,431 |
| 56 | Bridgeport, Conn | 217,980 |  |  |  | 183,492 | \$34,488 |  |
| 57 | Des Moines, Iowa | 692,807 | 419,283 |  |  | 221,853 | 17,514 | 34,157 |
| 58 | Scranton, Pa | 1,444,515 | 604,291 |  | \$112,800 | 11,280 | 198,623 | 517,521 |
| 59 | Salt Lake City, Utah | 214,492 |  |  | 11,900 | 202,592 | --------- |  |
| 60 | Yonkers, N. Y | 600,443 | 336,772 | --------- | --------- | 196,906 | ---------- | 6e,765 |
| 61 | Paterson, N. | 579,246 | 472,016 |  |  | 115,593 | --------- | 9.1,637 |
| 62 | Jacksonville, | 333,716 |  |  |  | 259,062 |  | 74,654 |
| 63 | Albany, N. Y | 531,629 | 504,012 |  |  | 48,413 | 24,681 | 4,523 |
| 64 | Norfolk, Va . | 432,191 | 280,896 |  |  | 116,951 | 2,732 | 31,612 |
| 65 | Trenton, N. J. | 232,715 | 134,727 |  |  | 7,998 | ---------- | 89,990 |
| 66 | Chattanooga, Tenn. | 753,873 |  |  |  | 753,873 | --------- | --------- |
| 67 | Kansas City, Kans. | 1,288,070 | 456,430 |  | 87,008 | 562,987 | --------- | 181,645 |
| 68 | Fort Wayne, Ind. | 210,276 |  |  |  | 210,276 | ---------- |  |
| 69 | Camden, N. J.-- | 262,343 | ------ |  |  | 243,252 | --------- | 19,091 |
| 70 | Erio, Pa.- | 216,090 | ------- | --------- | ---------- | 216,090 | --------- | --------- |
| 71 | Elizabeth, N. | 636,858 | 599,028 |  |  | 37,830 | --------- | ---------- |
| 72 | Wichita, Kans. | 338,457 | 69,244 |  | 140,128 | 92,163 |  | 36,922 |
| 73 | Spokane, Wash. | 550,689 | ----------- |  |  | 550,689 | --------- | --------- |
| 74 | Fall River, Mass | 90,145 |  |  | --------- | 79,079 | ---------- | 11,066 |
| 75 | Cambridge, Mass. | 890,690 | 753,703 |  |  | 96,937 |  | 40,050 |
| 76 | New Bedford, Mass | 206,990 | 11,573 |  |  | 195,417 |  |  |
| 77 | Reading, Pr.-- | 1,105,803 | 1,011,661 |  |  | 87,340 | 652 | 6,150 |
| 78 | Knoxville, Tenn. | 374,215 | --.---.---- |  |  | 195,775 |  | 178,440 |
| 79 | Peoria, Ill. | 821,394 | ----------- |  | 172,295 | 139,420 | 3,082 | 506,597 |
| 80 | South Bend, Ind. | 374,115 | ------------ | ---------- | ---------- | 374,115 | ---------- | ---------- |
| 81 | Tacoma, Nash. | 250,297 | ------------ |  | --------- | 213,123 | 9,029 | 28,145 |
| 82 | Miami, Fla. | 865,418 | 426,179 | \$4,000 | ---------- | 297,040 |  | 138,199 |
| 83 | Gary, Ind. | 267,088 | ------- |  |  | 267,088 | --------- | - |
| 84 | Canton, Ohio | 128,167 | 33,860 |  | 1,575 | 46,612 | 4,791 | 41,329 |
| 85 | Wilmington, Del. | 217,157 |  |  |  | 43,434 | 78,604 | 95,119 |
| 86 | Tampa, Fla.-- | 459,526 | 15,835 | 106,771 |  | 259,895 | --------- | 77,025 |
| 87 | Samerville, Mass | 265,108 | 186,859 |  |  | 78,249 |  |  |
| 88 | El Paso, Tex. | 347,393 | 27,446 | --------- |  | 129,185 |  | 190,762 |
| 89 | Evensville, Ind. | 640,525 |  | 32,321 |  | 445,413 | --------- | 162,791 |
| 90 | Lynn, Mass. | 755,935 | 642,110 |  |  | 103,805 | --------- | 10,020 |
| 1 | Utica, N. Y | 417,189 | 398,944 |  |  | 18,245 | --------- |  |
| 92 | Duluth, Minn. | 469,200 | 391,841 |  |  | 77,359 | --------- | ------ |
| 93 | Waterbury, Conn | 817,590 | 704,166 |  |  | 110,688 | ---------- | 2,736 |
| 94 | Lowell, Mass. | 169,992 | 87,981 |  |  | 53,486 | -------- | 28,525 |
|  | Honolulu, Hewaii 1/- | 1,559,543 |  |  |  | 1,347,962 | --------- | 211,581 |

1/ Not included in group or grand totals.
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The preceding analyses of revenues and cost payments have an intimate connection with the data presented in this section, which is a study of the trend of indebtedness in the 94 cities during 1937 and of the status of cash and specified assets of the administrative, sinking, public trust, and investment funds of these cities.

When revenues are comfortably in excess of expenditures, the retirement of debt may be accelerated, cash balances accumulated, and holdings of sinking and investment funds increased. On the other hand, when local governments incur expenses beyond the level of revenue available within that period, borrowing may be resorted to, cash or investment resources drawn upon, or sinking funds neglected. The nature of the expenditures usually determines whether the borrowing will be on a long-term or a short-term basis, and also is related to other characteristics of the obligations issued. For example, current deficits may be temporarily financed by short-term tax anticipation notes or funded by long-term general obligation bonds; capital outlays for income-producing properties may be financed by revenue bonds; and cost payments for stcrm sewers, paving, and sidewalks may be financed by special assessment bonds. Accordingly, the fiscal operations of general government in 1937 as presented in the two preceding sections are largely reflected in the data presented in this section on debt and specified assets.

The classification of debt in the 1937 report has been changed from that used in prior reports, as has the classification affecting revenues and cost payments. The present classification applies some of the old terms differently and introduces a few new terms. To aid in comparing items in the present debt classification with those in the former, figure 3, presented on the following page, shows the changes made in the 1937 debt classification as compared with the one for 1936.

In this 1937 report all activities of public-service enterprises have been segregated and are reported separately in part III.

DEFINTTIONS. - At the close of this volume (see pages 323-327) may be found definitions of the terms used in this report.

TREND OF INDEBTEDNESS. - Despite the heavy financial demands upon local government, the volume of gross indebtedness of the 94 cities has declined since the beginning of the depression period, and at the close of 1937 it was approximately 14 percent less than in 1928. The decline in gross indebtedness, both in volume and in per capita, is shown in the following comparisons:

|  | Gross debt | Per capita |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1926 | $\$ 5,299,000,000$ | $\$ 157.23$ |
| 1928 | $6,207,000,000$ | 177.19 |
| 1930 | $6,080,000,000$ | 166.79 |
| 1932 | $5,823,000,000$ | 154.31 |
| 1934 | $5,797,000,000$ | 154.23 |
| 1936 | $5,448,000,000$ | 144.66 |
| 1937 | $5,359,000,000$ | 142.24 |

A similar comparison for net indebtedness cannot be presented, owing to the fact that in previous years sinking-fund assets for general governmental debt were not segregated from such assets for public-service enterprise debt, and therefore net debt for general government alone was not computed.

A further discussion of gross and net debt of the 94 cities in 1937, total and per capita, is presented in table 22 , with particulars as to character of indebtedness and units of government issuing it.

FIGURE 3.-CHART COMPARING DEBT CLASSIFICATIONS FOR 1937 AND FOR 1936
NOTE: Numbers following names of functions and activities show corresponding classification in the other year.

## 1937

1 Gross debt at close of year 1
11 Classified by the governmental unit by which incurred 11
111 City corporation 111
112 School district 112
113 Other governmental units 113 12 Classified by character l211, 122, 1231
121 General obligation bonds for: 1211
1211 Capital outlays 1211
1212 Funding bond anticipa-
tion notes 1211
1213 Funding current
expenses 1211
1214 Refunding 1211
122 Revenue bonds l211c
123 Short-term obligations 1231
1231 Bond anticipation 1231 1232 Tax and revenue
anticipation 1231
1233 All other 1231
124 Special assessment obligations 122
1241 Long-term loans 122
12411 Contingent general obligations 122
12412 Payable only from special assessments 122
1242 Short-term loans 122
12421 Contingent general obligations 122
12422 Payable only from special assessments 122
2 Net debt 2
3 Increase during year 3
31 Gross bonded debt 31
32 Sinking-fund assets 32 33 Net debt 33
4 Bonded debt for general municipal purposes by purpose of issue 4 41 General administrative, legislative and judicial 41
42 Police and fire departments 42 43 Highways 44
44 Sewers and sewage disposal 43
45 Conservation of health 49A
46 Hospitals 45
47 Charities 45
48 Correction 45
49 Schools 46
49A Libraries 47
49B Recreation 48, 49
4931 Parks and playgrounds 49
49B2 All other 48, 49A
49C Uiscellaneous 49A
49D Combined and unreported 49B
49D1 Sonded 49B1
49D2 Special assessment 49B2

## 1936

1 Gross debt at close of year $1^{a}$
11 Classified by the governmental unit by which incurred 11
111 City corporation 111
112 School district 112
113 Other governmental units 113 12 Classified by character l21, 123, $124^{\mathrm{a}}$
121 Funded or fixed $121^{a}$ 1211 General purposes 121, 122
1212 Public-service enterprises and investments ${ }^{\text {b }}$
12121 Revenue ${ }^{b}$ 12122 Other then revenue ${ }^{\text {b }}$
122 Special assessment bonds and certificates 124
123 Floating 123a
1231 General purposes 123 1232 Public-service enterprises ${ }^{\text {b }}$ 12321 Revenue ${ }^{b}$ 12322 Other then revenue ${ }^{\text {b }}$
13 Classified by creditor ${ }^{\text {d }}$ 131 The public ${ }^{\text {d }}$ 132 City funds ${ }^{\text {d }}$
14 Classified by purpose for which incurred ${ }^{\text {b }}$
141 General departments ${ }^{\text {b }}$
142 Public-service enterprises and investments ${ }^{\text {b }}$

2 Net debt at close of year 2
3 Increase during year 3
31 Funded or fixed debt 31
32 Sinking-fund assets 32 33 Net debt 33
4 Debt for general purposes classified by purpose for which incurred 4
41 General government properties 41 42 Police and fire departments 42 43 Sewers and sewage disposal 44 44 Highways 43
45 Charities, hospitals, and corrections 46, 47, 48
46 Schools 49
47 Libraries 49A
48 Art galleries and museums 49B2 49 Parks and playgrounds 49 Bl 49A Miscellaneous 49C, 45, 49B2
49B Combined and unreported 49D 49B1 Funded 49D1 49B2 Special assessments 49D2
b/ Not included in the 1937 classification, since public-service enterprises are separately reported. c/ In 1936 this item was included in 1211 but was indicated by a footnote.
d/ Not included in 1937.

TABLE 22
The term "gross indebtedness," as used in table 22, is the designation of the aggregate of all outstanding debt obligations, while the term "net indebtedness" is used as the designation of total funded debt less sinking-fund assets accumulated for its amortization. Sinking-fund assets accumulated for the amortization of special assessment debt, where they could be identified as such, were excluded from the calculation of net debt.

GROSS INDEBTEDNESS. - Of the gross indebtedness of $\$ 5,358,833,226$ reported for 1937, approximately 77 percent was issued by city corporations, 10 percent by school districts, and 13 percent by other governmental units. Included in the data appearing under "Other governmental units" are portions of the debts of the counties in which certain cities of groups I and II are located, the basis of apportionment and the objects contemplated by such inclusion having been discussed in part I of this volume. Also included are the debts, or a percentage of indebtedness, of other independent units of municipal government, such as park, sanitary, and improvement districts.

As is indicated in table 22, per capita gross indebtedness is relatively larger for the cities in groups I and II than in group III, although it should be noted that Miami has the highest per capita average of $\$ 291.33$, $\varepsilon$ heritage of the Florida "boom" days of the '20's. On the other hand, 4 of the 14 cities in group I had a lower per capita gross debt than the average for the cities in group III, while that of another city, St. Louls, was exactly the same.

GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS. - Of the gross indebtedness outstanding at the close of 1937, approximately 87 percent was in the form of general obligation bonds, 1.e., bonds payable from recourse to general property taxes. Issues for capital outlays were 56 percent of all general obligation bonds outstanding; : 20 percent were issued to fund bond anticipation notes, mostly in New York, 7 percent for the funding of deficits in current expenses, and 17 percent for refunding purposes. Attention is directed to general obligation bonds in the amount of $\$ 2,565,300$ reported as outstanding in Washington, D. C., for capital outlays. This was an indebtedness created and authorized by the Congress in connection with certain P.W.A. projects. The indebtedness is not evidenced by any formal negotiable instrument, but for lack of a better classification it is shown as general obligation bonds. The city has no statutory authority to incur indebtedness of any kind.

REVENUE BONDS. -Only 12 of the 94 cities reported outstanding in 1937 funded obligations which the Bureau classifies as revenue bonds, and, in the aggregate amount of $\$ 88,158,000$, such issues comprised less than 2 percent of gross outstanding indebtedness. Revenue bonds are classified by the Bureau as those obligations payable exclusively from the revenue of a specified income-producing property or system for the acquisition, construction, or improvement of which the obligations were issued. It is expressly understood that the obilgation is not a general debt of the municipality and that there is no recourse to any taxing power for payment.

SPECIAL ASSESSMENT BONDS.-Special assessment debt constituted 4 percent of outstanding gross indebtedness in 1937 and was made up almost entirely of funded obligations. These obligations include all so-called bonds, certificates, or other short-term obligations incurred with the understanding that they are to be paid wholly or in part from the proceeds of special assessments levied upon benelited properties. This type of indebtedness is segregated in table 22 to show that portion of special assessment debt which is a contingent general obligation of the issuing municipality, and also that portion payable exclusively from special assessments.
special assessment obligations, payable exclusively from assessments to be made against benefited properties, were much in favor during the early '20's for financing paving, sidewalk, storm sewer, and similar improvenients. They were used extensively to ifnance improvements in speculative subdivisions during the building and land boom. With the collapse of this boom, however, assessments levied in these subdivisions became uncollectible, and many of the assessment bonds were thrown into derault. As a consequence, this type of
obligation either came to be regarded with disfavor by investors or its distribution became restricted to the immediate area of the originating municipality, where the merits of the issue could be more readily investigated. Since 1930, however, there has been a wider use of the type of special assessment bond which is a contingent liability of the originating municipality. It will be noted that approximately 80 percent of special assessment debt outstanding at the close of 1937 was in the form of contingent general obligations.

SHORT-TERM INDEBIEDNESS. - Short-term indebtedness, popularly called "floating debt," was outstanding in the amount of $\$ 412,391,042$ at the close of 1937 and constituted almost 8 percent of the gross indebtedness of the 94 cities. This group comprises for the most part temporary indebtedness evidenced by either tax anticipation notes and interest-bearing warrants to be repaid from current tax levies or levies of a succeeding year or by short-term bonds or notes to be redeemed from the proceeds of long-term bonds. It also includes all final judgments against the city that are outstanding at the close of the year.

In the earlier years of the depression the volume of floating indebtedness reached huge proportions, owing to large deficits in current operations which could not be funded. In recent years, however, municipalities have made a determined effort to avoid the practices which cause this fiscal situation, and the larger part of floating indebtedness comprises temporary tax indebtedness issued to cover current operating expenses occurring prior to receipts from current levies.

NET DEBT.-The net indebtedness of the 94 cities was $\$ 3,903,791,182$, or $\$ 103.62$ per capita, at the close of 1937 . There was a decrease of $\$ 52,748,821$ in the bonded indebtedness during the year, $\$ 19,812,756$ of which was accomplished by a net reduction in sinking-fund assets. In connection with this decrease in total net indebtedness, it is to be noted that 28 of the cities reported an increase in net debt during the year.

The amount of decrease in bonded debt is set forth in table 22 in terms of the par value of funded obligations outstanding at the close of the fiscal year 1937. In contrast, table 25 shows the amounts actually received from new issues and the amounts actually paid to retire debt during the fiscal year. The two bases of compiling debt figures necessarily cause a difference in the net change in funded debt as indicated in the two tables.

## TABLE 23

The bonded indebtedness of the 94 cities and the purposes for which such indebtedness was incurred are shown in table 23.

PURPOSE OF ISSUE.-The largest single purpose for which bonded indebtedness was incurred was for schools, which 1tem accounted for approximately 24 percent of the total bonded indebtedness. H1ghway indebtedness was responsible for almost 19 percent of the total, and sewers and sewage disposal accounted for 13.6 percent. The smallest indebtedness reported was for purposes of health conservation, an item reported by only five cities.

DIFFICUUTY OF DISTRIBUTION. -The figures include all general obligations and special assessment debt of a funded character. The classification by purpose of issue is more or less imperfect for several cities because of methods used by them in recording the purposes for which indebtedness was issued. When indebtedness was incurred for a variety of purposes through the issuance of "general improvement" bonds, the amounts have been distributed so far as possible under appropriate captions, and only when such distribution was impossible were such items tabulated as for "Combined or unreported purposes."
table 22.-GROSS AND NET DEBT, TOTAL AND PER CAPITA; GROSS DEBT BY UNIT OF GOVERNMENT AND bY CHARACTER; AND INCREASE DURING THE YEAR IN DEBT AND SINKING-FUND ASSETS: 1937

|  | CITY | gross dibt at close of year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { Por } \\ \text { capita } \end{gathered}$ | Classified by the govermmental unit by which issued |  |  | Classified by character |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\underset{\text { tion }}{\text { C1ty oorpora- }}$ | Shool dis-trict | Othor govern-mental unts | General obligation bonds for- |  |  |  | $\underset{\substack{\text { Revenue } \\ \text { bonds }}}{ }$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Capital out- } \\ & \text { lays } \end{aligned}$ | Funding bond anticipation notes | Funding cur- ront oxpenses | Refunding |  |
|  | and | \$5,358,833,226 | \$142.24 | \$4,123,210,448 | \$546, 287,773 | \$689,335,005 | \$2,587,871,184 | \$932,057,234 | \$324,154,960 | \$797,604,600 | \$98,158,000 |
|  | Group I-- | $\begin{array}{r} 3,551,306,818 \\ 715,866,542 \\ 1,091,659,866 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 160.46 \\ 155.20 \\ 99.88 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,719,802,887 \\ 496,033,490 \\ 907,374,071 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 291,049,055 \\ 77,057,348 \\ 178,181,370 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 540,454,876 \\ 142,775,704 \\ 6,104,425 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,252,385,243 \\ 541,316,440 \\ 794,169,501 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 896,178,092 \\ 31,550,647 \\ 4,328,495 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 194,155,893 \\ 41,999,920 \\ 87,999,147 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 635,678,348 \\ 47,793,886 \\ 114,132,366 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 80,450,000 \\ 5,941,000 \\ 1,767,000 \end{array}$ |
|  | Group II- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Group III-- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

group I.-CITIES having a population of 500,000 and over

| 1 | New Y | \$1,224,508,712 | \$171.16 | 156,4 |  | \$68,200,000 | 0 | \$895,991, | \$24,000,000 |  | \$68,100,000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Chica | 614,179,648 | 175.95 | 226,948,184 | \$117,239,075 |  | 64,504,240 |  | ,849,165 | 325,661,738 |  |
| 3 | Philadelphie | 430, 259,183 | 218.11 | 357,395,683 | 72,861,000 | 2,500 | 408,472,434 |  | 6,650,000 |  |  |
| 4 | Detroit, | 293,805,321 | 176.34 | 286, 857,744 |  | 6,947,577 | 4,099,29? | 287,000 | 11,600,623 | 266,320,386 | 300,000 |
| 5 | Los Angoles, | 134,056,831 | 99.00 | 38,478,326 | 62,645,315 | 32,933,190 | 134,056,831 |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | Cleveland, | 124,447,035 | 135.50 | 83,770,206 | 12,272,625 | 28,404,204 | 83,835,117 |  | 9,832,028 | 12,055,888 |  |
| 7 | St. Lou1s, | 82,929,000 | 99.88 | 79,413,000 | 3,516,000 |  | 77,238,000 |  |  | 4,891,000 | 800,000 |
|  | Baltimor | 122,489,186 | 149.91 | 122,489,186 | -----.-.---- |  | 117,981,786 | ------------ |  | 4,315,300 | ----------- |
| 9 | Boston, Mas | 126,188,222 | 160.36 | 126,188,222 |  |  | 79,868,133 |  | 15,877,000 |  |  |
| 10 | Pittaburgh, Pa | 148,707,803 | 219.17 | ${ }_{54,687,068}$ | ,515,040 | 71,505,695 | 125,802,280 |  | 21,381,255 | 550,200 |  |
|  | Sen Prancisco, | 53,321,954 | 81.26 | 53,321,954 |  |  | $45,596,800$ $2,565,300$ |  | 5,958,000 | ------------- |  |
| 12 | Washin Milwaur | 2,565,300 $56,199,892$ |  | 2,565,300 $28,109,947$ |  | 28,0 | 46,547,450 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Buffaro, N | 137,648,731 | 235.54 | 103,169,355 |  | 34,479,376 | 60,653,075 |  | 40,671,245 | 21,849,716 | ,250 |

group in.-Citids having a population of 300,000 to 500,000

| 5 | Minneapolis, | ¢ $\mathbf{6} 5,391$, 347 | \$136.8s | \$62,812,211 |  | \$2,579,136 | \$49,187.371 |  | \$10,801,811 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 | Now Orleans, Le | 78,038,152 | 165.69 | 50,510,904 | \$6,538,248 | 20,989,000 | 49,201,918 |  |  | \$17,646,500 | \$5,166,000 |
| 17 | Cincinnati, | 73,185,428 | 159.06 | 48,539,867 | 12,946,841 | 11,698,720 | 61,209,595 | \$7,110 | 3,646,332 | 1,809,405 |  |
| 18 | Newark, N. | 100,145,657 | 224.04 | 76,789,955 |  | 23,355,702 | 70,778,307 | 29,345,037 | 22,313 |  |  |
| 19 | Kansas City, | 63,057,696 | 152.83 | 27,242,236 | 21,316,000 | 14,499,460 | 58,104,356 |  | 3, 269,104 |  |  |
| 20 | Seattle, Wash | 40,863,709 | ${ }_{85}^{109.23}$ | 20,722,026 | $8,851,210$ $9,937,000$ | 11,290,473 | 28,161,911 |  | 1,272,255 | 629,000 $2,548,888$ |  |
| 21 22 | Indianapo | $31,705,175$ $62,151,470$ | 85.21 186.36 | $14,644,969$ $50,142,500$ | 9,937,000 | $7,123,206$ $12,008,970$ | $24,034,924$ $36,608,380$ |  | 5, ${ }_{\text {16,639, }}$ | 2,548,888 |  |


| 23 | Jorsey City, N. J | 75,589,567 | 236.29 | 60,289,689 |  | 15,299,878 | 53,787,072 | 2,198,500 |  | 18,607,194 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 24 | Houston, Tax | 47,760,495 | 150.24 | 25,542,099 | 10,875,244 | 11,343,152 | 45,430,993 | ----------- |  | 1,429,650 | 775,000 |
| 25 | Louisville, Ky | 40,869,800 | 128.72 | 40,869,800 |  |  | 36,095,400 | ------------ | 68,000 | 4,681,400 |  |
| 26 | Portland, Oreg | 37,108,046 | 120.05 | 17,927,234 | 6,592,805 | 12,588,007 | 28,716,213 |  | 1,207,000 | 441,849 |  |


| 27 | Coluwbus, | \$32,072,949 | \$107.02 | \$24,413,783 | \$7,659,166 |  | \$28,325,789 |  |  | \$283,556 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 28 | Toledo, Ohio | 39,089,249 | 130.78 | 25,017,887 | 14,071,362 |  | 26,430,265 | \$291,000 | \$2,928,000 | 8,554,810 |  |
| 29 | Oaklend, Cel | 12,480,756 | 42.22 | 2,655,825 | 9,824,931 |  | 12,480,756 |  |  |  |  |
| 30 31 | Denver, Atlanta, Gaio. | $19,545,900$ $13,012,800$ | 66.66 46.41 | 12,043,900 | 7,502,000 |  | $\begin{array}{r} 14,397,000 \\ 9,828,500 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 750,000 \\ 1,225,000 \end{array}$ | 00 |  |
| 32 | Dallas, Te | 29,626,000 | 106.57 | 29,626,000 | ------------ |  | 27,030,000 | ------------ | 2,596,000 | ,500 |  |
| 33 | St. Paul, Mi | 44,567,873 | 160.37 | 44,567,873 |  |  | 30,331,000 |  | 7,936,870 |  |  |
| 34 | Birulngham, | 23,633,508 | 86.47 | 23,633,508 |  |  | 15,858,000 |  | 2,732,000 | 2,466,000 |  |
| 35 | Akron, | 33,276,549 | 125.52 | 26,439,272 | 6,837,277 |  | 21,158,167 |  | 965,289 | 8,121,008 |  |
| 36 37 3 | idempuis, Tenn Providence a | $21,628,000$ $48,556,986$ | 82.71 189.97 | ${ }_{48,556,986}^{21,628,00}$ |  |  | 18,981,000 43,059,500 |  | 245,000 | 1,688,000 |  |
| 38 | Providence, ${ }_{\text {San }}$ S. | 42,556,986 28,997 | 189.94 94.44 | 48,556,986 | 7,513,000 |  | 21,522,000 |  | 920,000 |  |  |
| 39 | Omara, Ne | 22,333,037 | 102.54 | 13,951,979 | 8,381,058 |  | 17,842,933 |  |  |  |  |
| 40 | Syracuse, ${ }^{\text {N }}$ | 38,371,379 | 178.89 | 38, 371,379 |  |  | 13,874,106 | 400,000 | 8,532,000 | 11,714,453 |  |
| 41 | Dayton, Ohio- Oklahoma City, | $15,604,223$ $13,407,543$ | 75.53 66.57 | $9,106,477$ $8,687,300$ | 6,497,746 $4,720,243$ |  | $12,027,613$ $13,336,543$ |  | 905,000 | 1,604,704 |  |
|  | Wor | 13,873,646 |  | 13,873,646 |  |  | 4,990,000 |  | 4,354,000 |  |  |
| 44 | Ricimond, Va | 31,955,295 | 172.82 | 31,955,295 | ------------ |  | 30,413,794 | 919,943 | 338,074 |  |  |
| 45 | Youngstown, | 8,909,470 | 51.15 | 7,208,302 | 1,701,168 |  | 2,940,328 |  | 2,031,252 | 3,525,940 |  |
| 46 | Grand Rapids, | 12,646,134 | 73.18 | 9,597,462 | 3,048,672 |  | 8,322,426 |  | 103,000 | 2,269,000 |  |
| 47 | Fort Worth, Te | 22,798,468 | 134.74 | 15,638,491 | 7,159,977 |  | 20,480,468 | 110,000 |  | 1,848,248 | ----------- |
| 48 <br> 49 | Hartford, ${ }^{\text {Flint, Mich }}$ ( | 20,693,697 $15,131,611$ | 122.81 90.50 | $18,199,000$ $8,654,611$ | 6,477,000 | ,494,697 | 19,119,600 |  | $\begin{array}{r} 730,000 \\ 74,000 \end{array}$ | 1,034,316 |  |
| 50 | New Haven, Con | 13,041,000 | 80.15 | 13,041,000 |  |  | 11,091,000 |  | 1,650,000 |  |  |
| 51 | San Dlego, Calif | 6,004,891 | 37.51 | 2,046,436 | 3,958,455 |  | 5,997,391 | ------------ |  | 7,500 | ----------- |
| 52 | Long Baach, Calif | 11,704,948 | 74.55 | 3,726,458 | 7,978,490 |  | 11,648,877 |  | 53,500 |  |  |
| 54 | Springfiold, Mas | 12,052,917 | 80.95 78.57 | 12,052,917 |  |  | -1,489,500 |  | 2,754,787 | 97,000 |  |
| 55 | Tulsa, okla. | 14,335,991 | 96.86 | 8,909,431 | 5,426,560 |  | 13,692,409 |  | 522,317 |  |  |
| 56 | Bridgeport, Con | 15,612,945 | 105.99 | 15,612,945 |  |  | 10,884,945 | ------.-.---- | 700,000 | 3,028,000 |  |
| 57 | Des Moines, Iow | 12,759,283 | 87.81 | 5,660,997 | 7,098,286 |  | 8,807,660 |  | 2,739,564 | 1,021,112 |  |
| 58 | Scranton, | 9,042,259 | 62.62 | 3,071,259 | 5,971,000 |  | 7,522,000 |  | 513,000 |  |  |
| 59 | Salt Lake City, | 9,065,000 | ${ }^{62.86}$ | 5,148,000 | 3,917,000 |  | 5,082,500 |  |  | 2,151,000 |  |
| 60 61 | Yonkers, N . | 31,615,750 | 225.02 | 31,615,750 |  |  | 16,724,450 | ------------- | 7,838,000 | 3,590,000 | -----.------ |
| 61 62 | Patorson, N. J. | 17,242,829 | 124.05 | 17,242,829 | 3,596,357 |  | 13,160,664 |  | 3,895,000 |  |  |
| 63 | Albany, N. | 18,739,173 | 144.37 | 18,739,173 |  |  | 13,623,250 |  | 1,155,000 | 2,774,423 |  |
| 64 | Norfolk, Va. | 24,247,623 | 186.94 | 24,247,621 |  |  | 16,180,109 | 2,590,500 |  | 5,206,000 |  |
| 65 | Trenton, N. J. | 19,688,025 | 158.65 | 19,688,025 |  |  | 17,638,473 | 17,052 | 1,131,500 |  |  |
| 66 | ${ }_{\text {Chattanooga, }}$ | 682,321 | ${ }^{126.98}$ | 15,682,321 4 | 2,233,844 |  | $\underset{\substack{11,144,558 \\ 6,861,193}}{ }$ |  | 953,000 | 2,237,000 |  |

table 22.-GROSS AND NET DEBT, TOTAL AND PER CAPITA; GROSS DEBT BY UNIT OF GOVERNMENT AND BY CHARACTER; AND INCREASE DURING THE YEAR IN DEBT AND SINKING-FUND ASSETS: 1937-Continued


TABLE 22.-GROSS AND NET DEBT, TOTAL AND PER CAPITA; GROSS DEBT BY UNIT OF GOVERNMENT AND BY CHARACTER; AND INCREASE DURING THE YEAR IN DERT AND SINKING-FUND ASSETS: 1937-Continued

table 22．－GROSS and NET DEBT，tOTAL AND PER CAPITA；GROSS DEBT bY UNIT OF GOVERNQENT AND bY Character；and increase during the year in debt and SINKTNG－FUND ASSETS：1937－Continued

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 炭 } \\ & \text { 曾 } \\ & \text { 芯 } \end{aligned}$ | CITY | GRUSS DEBT AT CLOSE OF YEAR－Contimed |  |  |  |  |  | net imbt $1 /$ |  | INCREASE DURING THE YEAR IN－2／ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Classified by character－Continued |  |  |  |  |  | Total | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Per } \\ \text { capita } \end{gathered}\right.$ | Gross bondeddebt $3 / 8$ | $\underset{\text { assets }}{\text { Sinking-fund }}$ | Net debt 1／ |
|  |  | Short－term obligations |  |  | Spocial assessment obligations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Bond } \\ \text { antici- } \\ \text { pation } \end{gathered}$ | Tax and reve－ nue antici－ pation | All other | Long－term loans |  | Short－ <br> term 10ans－ gent general obliga－ tions |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Contingent general obligations | Payable only from special assessments |  |  |  |  |  |  |



GROUP III．－CITIES having a POPULATTON OF 100,000 T0 300,000

| 27 | Colu |  | 901 |  | ，703 |  |  | \＄24，213，269 | \＄80．79 | \＄1，825，728 | \＄1，548，644 | \＄3，374，372 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 28 | Toj．edo， | \＄96，754 | 482，¢？${ }^{\text {a }}$ | \＄11，766 | 294，633 |  |  | 34，887，151 | 116.72 | －1，467，969 | －2，434，074 | 966，105 |
| 29 | Oakland，Call |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11，451，663 | 38.74 | －613，911 | －20，985 | －592，926 |
| 30 | Denver，Colo |  |  |  | 742，500 | \＄3，656，400 |  | 14，887，863 | 50.78 | 193，000 | －81，984 | 274，984 |
| 31 | Atlant |  |  |  | 72，800 |  |  | 11，948，037 | 42.61 | 722,500 | 419，463 | 303，037 |
| 32 | Dallas，Tex． |  |  |  |  | 6，000，000 |  | $28,048,979$ $24,378,682$ | 100.90 87.72 | 74,250 $-386,810$ | 307，611 | －233，361 |
| ${ }_{34} 3$ | St．Pau，Min |  | 300,003 842,000 |  | 1，710，000 | 6，00， | \＄25， 508 | 19，852，510 | ${ }_{72.64}$ | － 103,000 | $-303,884$ $-15,512$ | $-82,926$ 118,512 |
| 35 | Akron，oh |  | 402，565 |  | 2，629，500 |  |  | 27，236，243 | 102.74 | －1，167，320 | －588，142 | －579，178 |
| 36 | Memphis，Te |  |  |  | 714，000 |  |  | 19，118，544 | 73.11 | 57，738 | －59，678 | 117，416 |
| 37 | Providence， | 3，669，117 | 1，823，369 |  |  |  |  | 32，871，992 | 128.61 | 917，800 | 3，896 | 908，904 |
| 38 | San Antonio， |  | 555，000 |  |  |  |  | 20，577，915 | 84.51 | －819，500 | －323，755 | －495，745 |
| 39 | Omaha，Nebr |  |  | 211，809 | 1，282，000 | 2，989，250 | 7，045 | 16，129，629 | 74.06 | －848，546 | 464，308 | －1，312，854 |
| 40 | Syracuse， N ． |  | 1，350，000 |  | 2，312，775 |  | 188,045 9,486 | 34，520，559 | 160.94 59 | 3，546，498 |  | 3，546，498 |
| 41 | Dayton，ohio |  | 239，484 | 90，147 |  | 727，789 | －9，486 | 12，198，534 | 59.04 | －858，778 | 301，751 | －1，160，529 |
| 42 | OKL anoma city， Worcester，Mas |  | 4，529，646 |  |  |  |  | $8,262,884$ $8,509,520$ | ${ }_{45.00}^{41.03}$ | $-1,124,919$ $-263,000$ | －432，463 | －－276，393 |
| 44 | R1chmond，Va． | 283，484 |  |  |  |  |  | 17，040，815 | 92.16 | 570，110 | 790，750 | －200，640 |
| 45 | Youngstown， |  | ，950 |  | 410，000 |  |  | 7，882，406 | 45.25 | －356，064 | 98，474 | －454，538 |
| 46 | Grand Rapids， |  | 508 |  | 1，951，200 |  |  | 9，232，341 | 53.43 | －527，552 | 73，318 | －600，870 |
| 47 | Fort Worth，Te |  | 359， 752 |  |  |  |  | 21，073，769 | 124．55 | 554，834 | －206，870 | 761，704 |
| 48 | Hertford，con | 844，097 |  |  |  |  | －－an－ | 16，574，906 | 98.37 | －880，950 | －59，784 | －821，166 |
| $49$ | Flint，Mich． |  | 289，000 |  | 1，517，000 |  |  | 11，411，395 |  | $1,231,688$ $-904,000$ | 134,949 18,025 | ，366，637 $-922,025$ |


| 51 | San Diego, Calif.-- | ----------- |  | ------------ | ------------- | ------------ | -------- | 5,741,561 | 35.86 | -367,192 | -30,804 | -336,388 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 52 | Long Boach, Calif |  | 2,571 |  |  |  |  | 11,068,967 | 70.50 | -688,064 | -70,163 | -617,901 |
| 53 | Nashville, Ten |  |  |  | 89,000 |  |  | 11,307,700 | 72.39 | -687,500 | -216,500 | -471,000 |
| 54 | Springfield, Mass |  | ,604,630 |  |  |  |  | 9,448,287 | 61.59 | -947,126 |  | -947,126 |
| 55 | Tulsa, okla. |  | 63,669 | 57,596 |  |  |  | 10,274,515 | 69.42 | 500, 257 | 28,833 | 471,424 |
| 56 | Bridgeport, Conn. |  | 1,000,000 |  |  |  |  | 14,612,945 | 99.21 | -627,408 |  | -627,408 |
| 57 | Des Moines, Iowa |  | 148,861 | 42,086 |  |  |  | 12,507,835 | 86.08 | -143,820 | 55,390 | -199,210 |
| 58 | Scranton, Pa | 102,564 |  | 152,576 | 752,119 |  |  | 7,965,545 | 55.16 | 81,000 | -114,969 | 195,969 |
| 59 | Salt Lake city, |  | 1,660,000 |  | 171,500 |  |  | 6,916,215 | 47.96 | -206,000 | 154,833 | -360,833 |
| 60 | Yonkers, N. | 650,000 | 798,000 | 103,700 | 1,911,600 |  |  | 28,152,450 | 200.37 | 371,750 |  | 371,750 |
| 61 | Paterson, | 187,000 | 165 |  |  |  |  | 15,648,833 | 112.58 | -1,478,350 | -437,585 | -1,040,765 |
| 62 | Jacksonville, F |  |  |  | 742,000 | 100,000 | --.-...- | 8,412,689 | 60.57 | -147,605 | 29,610 | -177,215 |
| 63 | Albany, N. Y. |  |  | 500,000 | 686,500 |  |  | 15,931,732 | 122.74 | -686,785 | -34,575 | -652,210 |
| 64 | Norfolk, Ve |  | 150,000 | 121,012 |  |  |  | 15,207,107 | 117.24 | -468,136 | 712,893 | -1,181,029 |
| 65 | Trenton, N . |  | 825,000 |  | 86,000 |  |  | 17,241,252 | 138.93 | -1,825,750 | -122,401 | -1,703,349 |
| 66 | Chattanooga, Ten |  |  | 4,600 | 1,343,163 |  |  | 13,565,552 | 109.84 | 320,609 | 62,283 | 258,326 |
| 67 | Kansas City, Kans |  | 186,318 | 6,644 | 214,695 | 196,821 |  | 6,556,533 | 53.13 | 12,881 | 6,077 | 6,804 |
| 68 | Fort Wayne, Ind |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2,734,993 | 22.77 | -290,900 | 4,753 | -295,653 |
| 69 | Camden, N. |  | 292,972 |  |  |  |  | 24,082,427 | 202.20 | -516,180 | -379,179 | $-137,001$ |
| 70 | Erio, Pa. |  |  | 1,359 | 36,000 | 442,715 |  | 10,313,292 | 87.18 | -312,000 | 27,361 | -339,361 |
| 71 72 | Elizabeth, N. | 26,770 | 8,916 | 10,794 | 1,927,180 |  | 2,190 | $11,509,607$ $6,484,728$ | 97.62 <br> 55.14 | $\begin{aligned} & -490,000 \\ & -421,266 \end{aligned}$ | -34,581 | ${ }_{-421,266}$ |
| 73 | Spokane, Wash |  |  |  | ----------- |  |  | 3,201,501 |  |  |  |  |
| 74 | Fall R1ver, Mass |  | 428,679 | ------------ |  |  |  | 5,426,486 | 47.07 | -659,000 | -59,531 | -599,469 |
| 75 | Cambridge, Mass |  | 2,900,000 |  |  |  |  | 6,883,612 | 60.22 | -429,000 | -136,251 | -292,749 |
| ${ }_{76}^{76}$ | New Bedford, Mass |  | 1,678,312 | --.-.-.-.-. |  |  |  | 6,040,105 | 53.64 | -159,000 | 45,185 | -204,185 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 77 \\ & 78 \end{aligned}$ | Roading, Pa . |  | 240,000 |  | 66,595 | 372,500 |  | 10,243,584 | 91.62 | -382,400 | -94,669 | -287,731 |
| 79 | Peoria, Iill.- |  | 305,000 | 136,972 |  | 1,188,533 |  | 3,452,487 | 31.44 | 1,193, 91,101 | 65,142 | 1,128,771 |
| 80 | South Bend, In |  |  | 21,309 |  |  |  | 4,297,204 | 39.21 | -307,950 | 23,417 | -331,367 |
| 81 | Tacoma, Wash |  |  | 1,059,631 |  | ------------ |  | 3,241,944 | 29.96 | -230,936 | -277,432 | 46,496 |
| 82 | Miami, Fla |  | 3,252 | 785,664 | 222,000 |  |  | 29,409,627 | 271.81 | 329,494 | 175,900 | 153,594 |
| 83 | Gary, |  | 4,360 |  |  |  |  | 5,341,104 | 49.45 | -261,000 | 38,007 | -299,007 |
| 34 | Canton, Ohio | 15,000 | 822,665 |  | 595,419 |  |  | 6,454, | 60.04 | 70,237 | -73,297 | 143,534 |
| 85 | W11mington, |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4,835,990 | 45.37 | -285, 850 | 90, 908 | -376,758 |
| 86 87 | Tampa, Flis. |  | 476,366 | 252,798 | 36,000 |  |  | 11,176,734 | 104.95 | -433,353 | -99,522 | -333,831 |
| 87 88 | Somerville, Mass |  | 3,333,705 |  |  |  |  | 4,082,400 | 38.59 | 177,400 |  | 177,400 |
| 88 89 | El Pabo, Tex. |  | 311,986 |  |  |  |  | 5,620, 708 | 53.13 | -346,410 | -44,354 | -302,056 |
| 89 | Evansville, Ind |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3,986,448 | 37.93 | -371,000 | -95,486 | -275,514 |
| 90 91 | Lynn, |  | 3,096,000 |  |  |  |  | 5,508,547 | 53.53 | -98,600 | 3,691 | -102,291 |
| 92 | Duluth, minn. | 100,00 | 113,000 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 146,975 \\ & 190,000 \end{aligned}$ |  | 2,861 | 7,730,486 | $\begin{array}{r}\text { 75.86 } \\ \hline 18.58\end{array}$ | -611,888 | -13,311 | -496,176 |
| 93 | Waterbury, co |  | 1,500,000 |  |  |  |  | 11,730,864 | 115.80 | 743,500 | -5,563 | 749,063 |
| 94 | Lowell, Mass |  | 2,497,167 | - |  |  |  | 3,842,230 | 38.33 | -265,170 |  | -265,170 |
|  | Honolulu, Hawe11 5/ |  |  |  |  | 713,500 |  | 6,238,122 | 30.74 | -145,104 | -41,997 | -103,107 |

[^11]TABLE 23. - BONDED DEBT 1/ AT CLOSE OF YEAR, BY PURPOSE OF ISSUE: 193'
(See text discussion, p. 205)

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline $\dot{8}$

¢

+ \& CITY \& Total \& General administrative, legislative, and Judicial \& Police and fire departments \& Highways \& Sewers and sewage disposel <br>
\hline \& Grand tot \& \$4,946,137,049 \& \$147,224,658 \& \$72,869,481 \& \$917,247,042 \& \$672,675,550 <br>

\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{Group I--------------------------} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{$$
\begin{array}{r}
3,205,425,850 \\
696,663,825
\end{array}
$$} \& 103,854,599 \& 50,791,214 \& 605,264,820 \& 419,528,939 <br>

\hline \& Group II- \& \& 20,272,191 \& 5,391,371 \& 132,401,628 \& 88,079,699 <br>
\hline \& Group III- \& 1,044,047,374 \& 23,097,868 \& 16,686,896 \& 179,580,594 \& 165,066,912 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

GROUP I.-CITIES HAVING A POPULATION OF 500,000 AND OVER


GROUP II. -CITIES HAVING A POPULATION OF 300,000 TO 500,000


GROUP III.-CITIES HAVING A POPULATION OF 100,000 TO 300,000


1/ Includes general obligation, revenue, and long-term special assessment bonds.

TABLE 23.-BONDED DEBT 1/ AT CLOSE OF YEAR, BY PURPOSE OF ISSUE: 1937-Continued
(See text discussion, p. 205)

|  | CITY | Total | General administrative, legislative, and judicial | Pollce and fire departments | Highways | Sewers and sewage disposal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

GROUP III.-CITIES HAVING A POPULATION OF 100,000 TO 300,000-Continued

| 51 | San Diego, Calif | \$6,004,891 | ------------- | \$39,000 | \$892,080 | \$218,481 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 52 | Long Beach, Callf | 11,702,377 | \$370,450 | 120,000 |  | 193,750 |
| 53 | Nashville, Tenn | 12,644,700 |  | 381,000 | 2,909,000 | 3,004,000 |
| 54 | Springfield, Mass | 9,448,287 | ------------ | 36,400 | 2,176,350 | 1,899,000 |
| 55 | Tulsa, Okla. | 14,214,726 | 20,000 | 644,000 | 3,203,500 | 3,315,000 |
| 56 | Bridgeport, Conn | 14,612,945 | 341,000 | 50,000 | 2,986,000 | 2,572,000 |
| 57 | Des Moines, Iowa | 12,568,336 | 460,000 | 241,750 | 1,254,300 | 465,800 |
| 58 | Scranton, Pa | 8,787,119 |  |  | 601,900 | 172,219 |
| 59 | Salt Lake City, | 7,405,000 | 775,000 | 22,000 | 247,000 | 1,270,500 |
| 60 | Yonkers, N. Y. | 30,064,050 | 12,000 | 1,065,800 | 3,865,060 | 3,971,740 |
| 61 | Paterson, N. J | 17,055,664 | 588,000 | 5,000 | 63,000 | 1,706,820 |
| 62 | Jacksonville, F | 9,969,650 |  | 6,555 | 2,484,705 | 1,924,500 |
| 63 | Albany, N. | 18,239,173 | 117,541 | 182,753 | 4,107,074 | 1,134,530 |
| 64 | Norfolk, | 23,976,609 |  | 1,077,371 | 7,993,198 | 2,558,181 |
| 65 | Trenton, N. | 18,873,025 | 600,000 | --------- | 425,052 | 55,000 |
| 66 | Chattanooga, Tenn. | 15,677,721 | -------- | 20,000 | 2,989,163 | 1,404,000 |
| 67 | Kansas City, Kans | 8,044,709 | 220,000 | 268,113 | 1,563,941 | 64,905 |
| 68 | Fort Wayne, Ind | 2,883,045 |  |  | 68,500 | --------- |
| 69 | Comden, N . | 25,632,505 | 5,034,000 | 144,050 | 767,823 | 422,132 |
| 70 | Erie, Pa | 11,278,092 | 155,000 | 115,237 | 1,240,645 | 2,347,614 |
| 71 | Elizabeth, N. | 12,184,900 |  | 539,462 | 1,415,701 | 733,823 |
| 72 | Wichita, Kans | 8,411,908 | 18,000 | 138,500 | 898,626 | 605,820 |
| 73 | Spokane, Wash. | 3,261,200 |  |  | 964,700 |  |
| 74 | Fall River, Mas | 6,175,000 | 25,000 | ----------- | 104,000 | 1,423,000 |
| 75 | Cambridge, Liss | 9,191,000 | 214,000 | 194,000 | 4,076,000 | 1,533,500 |
| 6 | New Bedford, Mass | 6,928,735 | ------------ | ----------- | 1,472,000 | 1,050,000 |
| 77 | Reading, P | 11,125,100 | 481,000 | 151,500 | 1,182,000 | 1,206,900 |
| 78 | Knoxville, T | 21,902,600 |  |  | 1,368,595 | 2,082,000 |
| 79 | Peoria, Ill | 4,641,020 |  | 110,743 | 1,138,871 | 2,424,313 |
| 80 | South Bend, Ind. | 4,607,800 |  |  | 590,000 |  |
| 81 | Tacoma, Wash | 3,600,714 | 86,000 | 305,000 | 788,500 | 301,000 |
| 82 | Miami, Fla | 30,733,469 | 165,000 | 979,320 | 11,313,840 | 3,837,000 |
| 83 | Gary, Ind | 5,423,297 | 825,000 | 116,000 | ---------- |  |
| 84 | Canton, Ohio | 9,577,827 | ------------ | 173,500 | 1,371,321 | 2,243,618 |
| 85 | Wilmington, Del | 6,327,650 | 520,000 | 530,500 | 2,094,667 | 1,047,333 |
| 86 | Tampa, Fla.- | 13,442,827 | ------------ | 15,000 | 4,408,200 | 838,000 |
| 87 | Somerville, Nass | 4,082,400 | 42,000 | 150,000 | 515,000 | 95,000 |
| 88 | El Paso, Tex | 6,326,458 | 21,000 | 275,000 | 758,000 | 1,093,000 |
| 89 | Evansille, | 4,059,700 |  | ------------ | --------- | 861,000 |
| 90 | Lynn, Mass. | 5,613,500 |  | 104,000 | 591,000 | 1,990,500 |
| 91 | Utica, N. Y | 11,622,197 | 135,934 | 168,481 | 3,028,462 | 1,827,674 |
| 92 | Duluth, Minn | 7,999,517 | 650,000 |  | 340,000 | 390,000 |
| 93 | Waterbury, Conn | 11,888,400 | 440,000 | 105,000 | 2,194,000 | 1,564,000 |
| 94 | Lowell, Mass. | 3,842,230 |  | 7,000 | 276,000 | 655,500 |
|  | Honolulu, Hawail 2/--- | 7,002,309 | --------- | ----------- | 713,500 | ------------- |

$\frac{1}{2}$ Includes general obligation, revenue, and long-term special assessment bonds. Not included in group or grand totals.

TABLE 23.-BONDED DEBT $1 /$ at CLOSE OF YEAR, BY PURPOSE OF ISSUE: 1937-Continued
(See text discussion, p. 205)


GROUP I.-CITIES HAVING A POPULATION OF 500,000 AND MORE

| 1 | New York, N. | \$4,351,077 | \$57,925,096 | \$5,363,609 | \$8,613,639 | \$342,358,047 | \$12,485,473 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Chicago, Ill |  |  | 593,913 | ---.-.-...- | 38,603,000 |  |
| 3 | Fhiladelphia, Pa |  | 232,500 |  | - | 70,361,000 | - |
| 4 | Detroit, Mich. |  | 5,307,010 | 710,100 | 3,129,000 | 71,650,682 | 2,272,000 |
| 5 | Los Angeles, |  | 178,750 | 8,792,722 |  | 63,255,315 | 2,207,000 |
| 6 | Cleveland, Ohi | 164,000 | 6,793,000 | 5,099,368 | 2,012,400 | 10,934,625 | 1,341,500 |
| 7 | St. Louis, Mo. |  | 8,950,000 | 6,590,000 |  | 3,516,000 |  |
| 8 | Baltimore, M |  | 215,000 |  |  | 24,292,492 | 2,570,000 |
| 9 | Boston, Mass. |  | 6,760,000 | 1,250,000 | 438,000 | 8,406,000 | 516,000 |
| 10 | Pittsburgh, Pa, |  |  | 6,711,190 | 846,260 | 22,573,990 |  |
| 11 | Sen Francisco, Calif |  | 2,800,000 | 6,958,000 | 750,000 | 12,377,000 | 328,800 |
| 12 | Washington, D. C |  | 1,435,000 |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | Milwaukee, Wis |  | 466,750 | 5,504,350 | 300,308 | 6,371,50C | ------------ |
| 14 | Buffelo, N. Y | 87,315 | 4,278,821 | 2,130,884 | 2,352,775 | 30,875,365 | 143,138 |

GROUP II.-CITIES HAVING A POPULATION OF 300,000 TO 500,000

| 15 | Minneapolis, Minn | ----------- | १1,214,746 | \$10,382,217 | \$611,543 | \$17,542,924 | \$357,000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 | New Orleans, La. | --- | --- | 2,000 | - | 6,431,000 | ----------- |
| 17 | Cincinnati, Ohio |  | 5,345,669 | 1,503,607 | 690,138 | 15,476,528 | 34,500 |
| 18 | Newark, N. J | ----------- | 7,878,825 | 3,678,366 | 765,379 | 19,853,50'7 | 126,000 |
| 19 | Kansas City, Mo | ----------- | 2,439,330 | ----------- | --..-.-.-.-- | 21,316,000 |  |
| 20 | Seattle, Wash. |  | 1,737,162 | 1,246,507 | ------------ | 8,851,210 | ----------- |
| 21 | Indianapolis, Ind |  | 2,259,436 | 3,833,898 | ------------ | 9,937,001 |  |
| 22 | Rochester, N. Y. |  | 1,455,950 | 6,300,000 |  | 15,121,500 |  |
| 23 | Jersey City, N. |  | 12,857,178 | 915,738 | 872,179 | 12,925,052 |  |
| 24 | Houston, Te |  | 1,421,100 | ----------- | 323,000 | 10,875,244 | 250,000 |
| 25 | Louisville, Ky |  | 1,735,000 |  |  | 11,124,400 | 325,000 |
| 26 | Portland, Oreg. |  |  | 1,207,000 | 200,000 | 6,592,805 |  |

GROUP III.-CITIES HAVING A POPULATION OF 100,000 TO 300,000

| 27 | Columbus, Ohio |  | ------------- | \$178,000 | \$18,000 | \$7,319,26.5 | \$30,000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 28 | Toledo, Ohio- |  | \$88,000 |  | 133,000 | 15,920,575 |  |
| 29 | Oaklend, Calif |  |  |  |  | 10,515,381 |  |
| 30 | Denver, Colo. |  |  | 2,000,000 | ------------ | 7,502,000 |  |
| 31 | Atlente, Ge. |  | 100,000 |  |  | 4,560,500 |  |
| 32 | Dallas, Tex |  | 402,500 | ----------- | ------------ | 7,163,500 | 118,000 |
| 33 | St. Paul, Minn. |  | 162,000 | ------------ | ------------ | 10,298,000 | 650,000 |
| 34 | Bircingham, Ala |  |  |  | 4,000 | 9,677,504 | 519,216 |
| 35 | Akron, Ohio- |  |  | 7,000 | ----------- | 6,587,632 | ------------ |
| 36 | Memphis, Tenn |  | 904,000 |  | ------------ | 5,760,010 | 80,000 |
| 37 | Providence, R. I |  | 850,000 | 42,000 | ------------ | 16,375,000 |  |
| 38 | San Antonio, Tex |  | 92,000 |  |  | 6,958,000 | 400,000 |
| 39 | Omaha, Nebr. |  |  |  |  | 8,381,058 |  |
| 40 | Syracuse, N. |  | 131,665 | 8,967,000 |  | 10,001,256 | 118,845 |
| 41 | Dayton, Ohio- |  |  |  | 190,000 | 6,258,262 | ----------- |
| 42 | Oklahoma City, Okla. |  | ------------- | ----------- | 100,000 | 4,720,243 | ----------- |
| 43 | Worcester, Mass |  | 642,000 | 3,581,000 | -----.----- | 276,000 | ------------ |
| 44 | Richmond, Va. |  | 85,470 |  | ------------ | 6,751,688 | 112,000 |
| 45 | Youngstown, Ohio |  | 10,000 | 40,000 |  | 1,701,168 | ----- |
| 46 | Grand Rapids, Mich |  | 215,000 | 103,000 | ------------ | 3,048,326 | 15,000 |
| 47 | Fort Worth, Tex. |  | 38,500 | ------------ | ------------ | 6,800,:225 | ----------- |
| 48 | Hartford, Conn. |  | 200,000 |  | ------------ | 9,275, 400 | ----------- |
| 49 | Flint, Mich. |  | 935,000 | 74,000 | ------------ | 6,287,000 | ----------- |
| 50 | New Haven, Conn. |  |  |  | ------------ | 323,000 | 80,000 |
| 51 | San Diego, Calif. |  |  |  | ----------- | 3,958,455 |  |

1/ Includes general obligation, revenue, and long-term speciel essessment bonds.

TABLE 23.- BONDED DEBT I/ AT CLOSE OF YEAR, BY PURPOSE OF ISSUE: 1937—Continued

| (See text discussion, p. 205) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\dot{o}$ | CITY | Conserva- <br> tion of <br> health | Hospitals | Charities | Correction | Schools | Libraries |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

GROUP III.—CITIES HAVING A POPULATION OF 100,000 TO 300,000 -Continued

| 52 | Long Beach, Calif |  | ------------- | \$61,000 | ----------- | \$7,978,490 | ----------- |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 53 | Nashville, Tenn. |  | \$610,000 |  | ----------- | 2,735,000 | ----------- |
| 54 | Springfield, Mass |  | 442,000 |  |  | 1,457,250 |  |
| 55 | Tulsa, Okla.-- |  | 146,000 |  | \$34,000 | 5,366,218 | \$64,000 |
| 56 | Bridgeport, Conn. | \$50,000 | 50,000 | 700,000 |  | 3,408,164 | 166,000 |
| 57 | Des Moines, Iowa |  | ------------ | ----------- | ------------ | 7,098,286 | ----------- |
| 58 | Scranton, Pa. |  | ------------ | ----------- | ----------- | 5,971,000 | ------------ |
| 59 | Salt Lake City, Utah- |  |  |  | ----------- | 3,857,000 | ----------- |
| 60 | Yonkers, N. Y. |  |  | 4,684,000 | 213,160 | 8,367,650 |  |
| 61 | Paterson, N. | 15,000 | 13,458 | 307,000 |  | 5,565,800 | 27,000 |
| 62 | Jacksonville, Fla. |  | ------------ |  | ----------- | 3,596,357 | 4,904 |
| 63 | Albany, N. Y |  | 18,851 |  |  | 5,537,221 | 234,120 |
| 64 | Norfolk, Va. |  |  |  | 45,000 | 5,998,223 | ----------- |
| 65 | Trenton, N. |  | 45,000 | 558,500 |  | 6,616,713 | ----------- |
| 66 | Chattanooga, Ten |  | 300,000 | 8,700 |  | 2,193,958 | ----------- |
| 67 | Kansas City, Kans. | -- | ------------- | ----------- | 30,000 | 2,227,200 | ------------ |
| 68 | Fort Wayne, Ind. |  |  | 5 |  | 2,233,000 | ----------- |
| 69 | Camden, N. J. |  | 10,000 | 5,000 | ----------- | 3,804,000 | ----------- |
| 70 | Erie, Pa. |  | 98,978 | 46,740 |  | 5,351,000 |  |
| 71 | Elizabeth, N. |  | 10,943 | 425,600 | ----------- | 5,708,450 | 64,000 |
| 72 | Wichita, Kans. |  |  | ------------ | ------------ | 2,935,260 | ------------ |
| 73 | Spokane, Wash. |  |  |  |  | 737,200 |  |
| 74 | Fall River, Mass |  | 105,000 |  |  | 1,331,000 |  |
| 75 | Cambridge, Mass |  | 490,000 | 590,000 |  | 1,153,000 |  |
| 76 | New Bedford, Mass |  | 16,000 | 1,860,000 |  | 1,569,000 | 34,000 |
| 77 | Reading, Pa. | ---------- | ------------- | ----------- | ------------ | 7,301,000 | ------------ |
| 78 | Knoxville, Tenn |  | ------------- | ------------ | ------------ | 2,148,000 | ----------- |
| 79 | Peoria, Ill. |  |  | -------..--- |  | 851,840 | ---------- |
| 80 | South Bend, I |  |  |  |  | 2,481,000 |  |
| 81 | Tacoma, Wash |  |  |  |  | 1,433,214 |  |
| 82 | Liami, Fla. |  | 585,500 | ------------ |  | 5,582,789 |  |
| 83 | Gery, Ind.- |  |  |  |  | 3,259,000 |  |
| 84 | Canton, Ohio- |  | ------------- | 75,000 |  | 4,839,273 | ------- |
| 85 | Wilmington, Del |  | ------------- | ----------- |  | 931,000 | 200,000 |
| 86 | Tampa, Fla. |  | 1,220,000 | ----------- |  | 3,204,827 |  |
| 87 | Somerville, Mass. |  | ------------ | ----------- |  | 1,877,000 | ------ |
| 88 | El Paso, Tex. |  | ------------- |  |  | 2,251,458 | 25,000 |
| 89 | Evansville, Ind |  |  |  |  | 1,938,500 | 173,000 |
| 90 | Lynn, Mass.- |  | 40,000 | 365,000 | ------------ | 1,585,500 |  |
| 91 | Utica, N. Y. |  | 61,064 | ----------- |  | 1,738,980 | 2,127 |
| 92 | Duluth, Minn. |  |  |  |  | 3,190,000 | 16,667 |
| 93 | Waterbury, Conn |  | 195,000 | 1,500,000 |  | 1,374,900 |  |
| 94 | Lowell, Mass. |  | 2,000 | 1,412,500 |  | 506,000 |  |
|  | Honolulu, Hawair $2 /-$ | ---------- | ----------- | ----------- | ----------- | --------- |  |

1/ Includes general obligation, revenue, and long-term special assessment bonds. 2/ Not included in group or grand totals.

TABLE 23. - BONDED DEBT 1/ AT CLOSE OF YEAR, BY PURPOSE OF ISSUE: 1937-Continued
(See text discussion, p. 205)

| $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | CITY | RECREATION |  | Miscellaneous | COMBINED AND UNREPORTTCD |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\stackrel{+}{*}$ |  | Parks and playgrounds | All other |  | General <br> obligation | Special assessment |
|  | Grand tot | \$353,193,136 | \$50,367,029 | \$195,269,455 | \$892,948,108 | \$154,214,021 |
|  | Group I- | $\begin{array}{r} 274,737,711 \\ 33,674,631 \end{array}$ | 35,276,346 | 129,601,934 | 559,559,880 | 131,280,643 |
|  | Group II |  | 8,107,500 | 37,811,557 | 131,686,052 | 11,223,558 |
|  | Group III | 44,780,794 | 6,983,183 | 27,855,964 | 201,702,176 | 11,709,820 |

GROUP I.-CITIES HAVING A POPULATION OF 500,000 AND OVER

| 1 | New York, N. | \$62,494,575 | \$10,455,309 | \$44,924,650 | \$222,672,504 | \$99,320,000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Chicago, Il | 120,855,814 | ----------- | 535,000 | 110,162,656 | 25,156,202 |
| 3 | Philadelphia, Pa | 30,699,278 | 5,832,585 | 10,326,383 | 90,988,883 | ------------- |
| 4 | Detroit, Mich. | 15,964,000 | 3,876,000 | 34,950,623 | 36,988,500 | 966,000 |
| 5 | Los Angeles, Cali | 4,104,107 |  | 20,207,066 | 12,300 | - |
| 6 | Cleveland, Ohio- | 6,101,000 | 9,616,000 | 1,340,528 | 14,370,539 | 5,838,441 |
| 7 | St. Louis, Mo. | 15,240,000 |  | 8,617,000 |  |  |
| 8 | Baltimore, Ma. | 1,500,000 | 947,000 | 1,840,000 | 5,502,250 | -------------- |
| 9 | Boston, Mass. | 3,858,000 | 372,000 | 792,000 | 15,671,000 | .------------ |
| 10 | Pittsburgh, Pa. | 4,768,265 | 268,550 | 2,690,920 | 16,159,155 | ------------ |
| 11 | San Francisco, Calif | 1,678,400 | 3,000,000 | 503,000 | ------------- | ------------- |
| 12 | Washington, D. C. |  |  |  | 102,800 | ------------ |
| 13 | Milwaukee, Wis. | 3,069,715 | ----------- | 1,333,000 | 2,734,407 | ------------ |
| 14 | Buffalo, N. Y. | 4,404,557 | 908,902 | 1,541,764 | 44,194,886 | ------------- |

GROUP II.-CITIES HAVING A POPULATION OF 300,000 TO 500,000

| 15 | Minneapolis, Minn. | \$4,279,684 | ----------- | \$555,054 | \$6,896,912 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 | New Orleans, | 67,500 | ------------ | 21,050,000 | 23,430,218 | ------------ |
| 17 | Cincinnati, Oh1 | 9,404,350 | \$457,500 | 2,266,510 | 1,381,994 | ------------- |
| 18 | Newark, N. J | 7,451,974 |  | 3,750,812 | 21,469,665 | ------------- |
| 19 | Kansas City, Mo | 351,989 | 4,460,000 | 1,769,000 | 5,752,104 | ------------ |
| 20 | Seattle, Wash. | 791,000 | 743,000 | 1,168,277 | 2,978,850 |  |
| 21 | Indianapolis, Ind | 2,436,900 | ------------ | 4,259,441 | 2,488,708 | ------------- |
| 22 | Rochester, N. Y. | 1,144,575 | ----------- | 1,028,000 | 20,885,240 | \$6,623,500 |
| 23 | Jersey City, N. | 4,475,383 | ------------ | 284,840 | 37,879,361 | ------------ |
| 24 | Houston, Tex | 1,011,276 | 1,008,000 | 1,313,123 | 3,107,000 | ------------- |
| 25 | Louisville, Ky | 1,984,000 | 750,000 |  | 2,916,000 |  |
| 26 | Portland, Oreg. | 276,000 | 689,000 | 366,500 | 2,500,000 | 4,600,058 |

GROUP III.-CITIES HAVING A POFULATION OF 100,000 TO 300,000

| 27 | Columbus, Ohi | \$262,600 | ----------- | \$2,310,000 | \$637,300 | \$806,950 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 28 | Toledo, Ohio- | 2,032,500 | \$168,000 | 434,000 | 7,582,000 | 294,633 |
| 29 | Oakland, Cali | 454,446 |  |  |  |  |
| 30 | Denver, Colo |  |  | 1,140,000 |  | 1,707,200 |
| 31 | Atlanta, |  |  | 50,000 | 2,978,500 |  |
| 32 | Dallas, Tex | 4,222,000 | 735,000 | 235,000 | 2,596,000 |  |
| 33 | St. Paul, Minn | 1,205,000 | 1,138,000 | 320,000 | 10,797,870 | 6,000,000 |
| 34 | Birminghem, | 1,361,000 |  | 446,000 | 4,851,000 |  |
| 35 | Akron, Ohio | 159,000 |  | 22,000 | 8,041,772 |  |
| 36 | Memphis, Tenn | 1,167,000 |  | 1,580,000 | 4,862,000 | 714,000 |
| 37 | Providence, R. | 1,854,000 | ------------ | 584,000 | 4,105,000 |  |
| 38 | San Antonio, | 921,500 | 625,000 | 2,815,000 | 1,838,000 |  |
| 39 | Omaha, Nebr | 1,586,600 |  | 22,500 |  |  |
| 40 | Syracuse, N. | 971,825 | 105,000 | 1,065,476 | 5,426,263 |  |
| 41 | Dayton, Ohio | 80,000 |  | 4,000 | 1,944,000 | 3,363 |
| 42 | Oklahoma City, Okla | 3,283,000 | 660,000 | --------- | 71,000 | -------------- |
| 43 | Worcester, Mass | 250,000 | 838,000 | 200,000 | 573,000 |  |
| 44 | Richmond, Va. | 207,100 |  | 566,393 | 3,994,749 |  |
| 45 | Youngstown, Ohio | 414,500 | ------------ | 286,000 | 4,904,652 |  |
| 46 | Grand Rapids, Mich |  | 1,200,000 | 171,600 | 2,269,000 |  |
| 47 | Fort Worth, Tex | 1,580,131 | ------------ | 134,000 | 3,085,000 | -------------- |
| 48 | Hartford, Conn | 24,000 | ----------- | 1,550,000 | 2,830,000 | ------------- |
| 49 | Flint, Mich. | 418,000 | -- | ---------- | 1,034,316 |  |
| 50 | New Haven, Conn | 2,397,000 |  | 208,000 | 2,303,000 |  |

1/ Includes general obligation, revenue, and long-term special assessment bonds.

TABLE 23.—BONDED DEBT 1/ AT CLOSE OF YEAR, BY PURPOSE OF ISSUE: 1937—Continued (See text discussion, p. 205)

| $\dot{\circ}$ | CITY | RECREATION |  | Miscellaneous | COMBINED AND UNREPORTED |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| + |  | Parks and playgrounds | All other |  | General obligation | Special assessment |

GROUP III.-CITIES HAVING A POPULATION OF 100,000 TO 300,000-Continued

| 51 | San Diego, Calif. | \$739,375 | ----------- | ------------ | \$157,500 | ------------- |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 52 | Long Beach, Calif | 2,771,237 | ---------- | \$207,450 | ------------ | ------------ |
| 53 | Nashville, Tenn. | 1,053,500 | ------------ | 1,629,000 | 323,200 |  |
| 54 | Springfield, Mass | 85,000 |  |  | 3,352,287 |  |
| 55 | Tulse, Okle.--- | 896,000 | \$60,000 | 57,000 | 409,008 |  |
| 56 | Briageport, Conn | 832,042 | ------------ | 41,739 | 3,416,000 | ------------ |
| 57 | Des Moines, Iowa | 368,400 | 81,300 | 210,125 | 2,388,375 | -------.---- |
| 58 | Scranton, Pa. |  |  |  | 2,042,000 | ------------ |
| 59 | Salt Lake City, Utah | 83,000 | ------------ | 10,500 | 1,140,000 | ------------ |
| 60 | Yonkers, N. Y. |  |  | 639,480 | 7,245,160 |  |
| 61 | Paterson, N. J | 101,000 | ----------- | -------------- | 8,663,586 |  |
| 62 | Jacksonville, Fla | 27,940 |  | 941,689 | 983,000 |  |
| 63 | Albany, N. Y. | 651,168 |  | 876,202 | 5,379,713 |  |
| 64 | Norfolk, Va |  |  | 120,000 | 6,184,636 |  |
| 65 | Trenton, N. | 182,260 |  |  | 10,357,500 | \$33,000 |
| 66 | Chattanooga, Tenn | 281,000 | 300,000 | 130,000 | 8,050,900 |  |
| 67 | Kansas City, Kans | 121,670 | 255,000 | 1,335,941 | 1,743,244 | 214,695 |
| 68 | Fort Wayne, Ind. | 297,045 |  | 284,500 | -------- |  |
| 69 | Camden, N. J | 433,000 | ----------- | 508,500 | 14,504,000 |  |
| 70 | Erie, Pa.--- | 493,738 |  | 1,048,788 | 380,352 |  |
| 71 | Elizabeth, N. J. | 145,280 | 5,115 | 42,526 | 3,094,000 |  |
| 72 | Wichita, Kans. | 697,227 | 155,000 | 440,000 | 734,471 | 1,789,004 |
| 73 | Spokane, Wash. | 945,000 | 44,000 |  | 570,300 |  |
| 74 | Fall River, Mass | 211,000 |  |  | 2,376,000 |  |
| 75 | Cambridge, Mass. | 940,500 |  |  |  |  |
| 76 | New Bedford, Mess | 207,000 | 2,000 | 138,735 | 580,000 | ------------- |
| 77 | Reading, Pa. | 335,300 | -.---------- | 77,400 | 390,000 | ------------- |
| 78 | Knoxville, Tenn | 238,000 | ------------ | 75,000 | 15,991,005 | ------------ |
| 79 | Peoria, Ill.- |  | 28,768 | 81,205 | 5,280 | ------------- |
| 80 | South Bend, Ind. | 446,800 |  |  | 1,090,000 | ------------ |
| 81 | Tacome, Wesh |  | ------------ | 17,000 | 670,000 | ------------ |
| 82 | Miami, Fla. | 2,260,654 | 137,000 | 2,793,352 | 3,079,014 |  |
| 83 | Gary, Ind. | 964,000 | 80,000 | 86,000 | 93,297 |  |
| 84 | Canton, Ohio- | 55,500 |  | 14,615 | 805,000 |  |
| 85 | Wilmington, Del. | 551,150 |  | 75,000 | 378,000 | ------------- |
| 86 | Tampa, Fle. | 241,000 | 185,000 | 62,000 | 3,268,800 | ------------- |
| 87 | Somerville, Mass |  |  | 30,000 | 1,373,400 |  |
| 88 | El Paso, Tex. | 458,000 | ----------- | 101,000 | 1,344,000 |  |
| 89 | Evensville, Ind. | 325,000 |  | 100,000 | 662,200 |  |
| 90 | Lynn, Mass. | 100,000 | ----------- | 282,500 | 555,000 |  |
| 91 | Utica, N. Y. | 254,226 |  | 979,748 | 3,278,526 | 146,975 |
| 92 | Duluth, Minn. | 838,850 |  | 50,000 | 2,524,000 |  |
| 93 | Waterbury, Conn. | 270,500 | ------- |  | 4,245,000 |  |
| 94 | Lowell, Mass. | 27,230 | 181,000 | 225,000 | 550,000 |  |
|  | Honolulu, Hawai1 2/- |  | ----------- | 1,200,000 | 5,088,809 |  |

1/ Includes general obligation, revenue, and long-term special assessment bonds.
$\frac{2}{2}$ Not included in group or grand totals.
2/ Not included in group or grand totals.
$2649320-40-15$

Bonded indebtedness classified by rate of interest paid is shown in table 24. Of the fifteen specified rates, four rates predominated, being peid in the aggregate on 63.4 percent of all funded indebtedness. As expressed iri approximate percent of total bonded debt, these rates were, in order of importance: 4 percent interest, 23 percent of total; $4 \frac{1}{2}$ percent, 18 percent of total; $4 \frac{1}{4}$ percent, 15 percent of total; and 5 percent, 7 percent of total.

NOMINAL AND EFFECTIVE RATES OF INTEREST. - By nominal rate of interest is meant the rate percent stated in the obligation itself, and by effective rate is meant the net interest cost incurred in issuing the obligation after adjustment for premium or discount. When an obligation is sold at par, the effective rate is, of course, the nominal rate.

There are many elements that determine the rates of interest that cities pay for the use of money, one of the principal ones being the condition of the money market at the time money is borrowed. Thus, a city may find its cost of borrowing subject to great variance from time to time, but such fluctuations will not necessarily be evident in the nominal interest rates that the obligations bear. For example, a municipality may wish to offer a large block of 4percent bonds because of certain local considerations-perhaps because they already have been voted or otherwise authorized. If comparable obligations are quoted in the investment markets at a price substantially below par, the proceeds accruing to the city naturally will be substantially less than the amount of indebtedness created, and the effective rate will exceed 4 percent. Conversely, a high premium quoted for comparable obligations will brinis proceeds much greater than the debt incurred, resulting in an effective interest rate of less than 4 percent. Consequently, since the interest rates shown in table 24 are nominal rather than effective rates, they do not necessarily measure the credit rating of the various cities.

AVERAGE RATE OF INIEREST.-The average rate of interest for each city, shown in the last column of table 24 , needs explanation as to method of conputation. These figures, which are the average interest rates borne by funded obligations of cities at the end of the fiscal year 1937, are obtained by the use of the formula $\frac{\xi r d}{\xi}$, where $\underline{r}$ is the nominal rate of interest and $d$ is the amount of interest-bearing debt at that rate. Noninterest-bearing debt and also indebtedness for which no interest rates are reported are excluded from the computations.

The average rate for the cities as a whole was 4.1 percent, the highest individual average being 5.1 percent and the lowest being 2.4 percent. With respect to geographic divisions, it appears that municipalities in the northeastern section, especially New England, generally are able to market obligations at interest rates lower than cities in most other areas, this circumstance reflecting the favorable aspects of greater wealth concentration.

NONINIEREST-BEARING BONDED DEBT. - The items included under this column comprise for the most part bonds that have matured or have been called but have not been presented for payment; they are, of course, no longer interestmearing. For example, Chicago has $\$ 5,000,000$ outstanding of a sanitary district issue which is due and called but not presented. Retirement is provided for by cash in a sinking fund. In the case of M1lwaukee, Cleveland, and Akron, different circumstances prevail. These cities in a time of cash shortage issued "baby bonds" (Milwaukee) or "notes" (Cleveland and Akron), which were noninterestbearing. In effect, these obligations were scrip; M1lwaukee used its "baby bonds" to pay a percentage of municipal employees' wages, receiving them in return for payment of taxes or other indebtedness due the city.

TABLE 25
The amount of indebtedness issued and retired in 1937 is shown in table 25. There was a net decrease of $\$ 52,691,574$ in the bonded indebtedness of the 94 cities. General obligation bonds retired exceeded the amount issued by $\$ 90,580,-$ 257; revenue bonds issued exceeded the amount retired by $\$ 37,888,633$; special
assessment obligations retired exceeded by $\$ 15,168,990$ the amount issued; and short-term indebtedness was retired in amount exceeding by $\$ 49,241,239$ the amount issued

For an explanation of the differing data as to the amount of decrease in bonded debt reported, as shown in tables 22 and 25 , see the discussion of the former table.

ISSUE OF DEBT. -The transactions relating to debt here reported are the net amounts received from the proceeds of the obligations issued, that is, the par value of the obligation plus premiums and less discounts. The receipts from this source in 1937 amounted to $\$ 1,110,498,464$, approximately 65 percent of which was derived from short-term loans. This kind of temporary indebtedness has no particular bearing upon the debt structure of the cities except in those cases where the rate of retirement is considerably below the rate of 1ssue, resulting in an unwieldy accumulation of unfunded indebtedness. When the backlog of floating indebtedness reaches the point where current receipts are not available in an amount sufficient to retire the loans as originally anticipated, the debt structure is affected, since it probably will be necessary to convert such indebtedness into long-term obligations. Normally, however, short-term loans are simply a fiscal convenience employed temporarily to finance current operations until the taxes levied for such purposes are actually received.

RETIREMENT OF DEBT. -The transactions relating to debt here reported are the net amounts paid, that is, the par value of the obligations plus premiums and less discounts. The total amount paid to redeem obligations was $\$ 1,227,600,267$. It is to be noted that only in the case of revenue bonds was the amount paid to redeem such obligations less than the amount received from new issues. The amount paid to redeem short-term loans was well in excess of the proceeds received from new loans, suggesting that the use of this type of financing was restricted to sound fiscal practices during 1937.

| $\begin{gathered} \text { ctit } \\ \text { No. } \end{gathered}$ | cITY | Total | $\stackrel{2}{\text { percent }}$ | $\underset{\text { percont }}{2 \frac{1}{\ddagger}}$ | $\underset{\text { percont }}{2 \frac{1}{2}}$ | ${ }_{\text {parcont }}^{2 \frac{23}{2}}$ | $\stackrel{3}{\text { percent }}$ | $\underset{\text { peroent }}{3 t}$ | $\stackrel{3 \mathrm{l}}{\text { percent }}$ | $\stackrel{3 \frac{37}{3}}{\text { paroent }}$ | $\underset{\text { porcont }}{\substack{\text { a }}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Grand total------------------ <br> Group I- $\qquad$ <br> Group II <br> Group III $\qquad$ | \$4,729,845,978 | *35,854,742 | \$34,145,315 | \$71,413,207 | \$64,663,633 | \$147,478,951 | 1103,327, 893 | \$298,873,875 | \$65,726,116 | *1,089,861,592 |
|  |  | 3, 058, 847, 576 <br> $668,601,893$ $1,002,396,509$ <br> 1,002,396,509 | $\begin{array}{r} 5,275,254 \\ 91,349,929 \\ 21,229,549 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14,607,560 \\ & 5,915,866 \\ & 13,621,869 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 42,956,200 \\ & 7,76,795 \\ & 20,680,212 \end{aligned}$ | $36,427,555$$13,732,500$1,5$13,232,55$ <br> $14,503,578$ | $\begin{array}{r}111,168,575 \\ 8,638,622 \\ \hline\end{array}$ $8,638,622$ $27,671,754$ | $83,536,912$ $10,644,458$ 10 ${ }_{9,146,523}$ | $\underset{\substack{252,563,526 \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline 168,709}}{ }$ $\underset{34,441,640}{11,368,709}$ | $32,249,646$ $22,821,300$ 10,655,170 | $\begin{aligned} & 795,224,461 \\ & 145,969,967 \end{aligned}$ $148,667,194$ |


group i.-Citties hating a population of 500,000 and ovish

| \$989,291,712 | ----------- | ------------ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 440,015,143 |  |  |  |
| $415,122,434$ $285,507,306$ | \$888,414 |  |  |
| 134,055,831 | , |  |  |
| 105,721,033 | -----.-.-.-- | \$854,160 |  |
| 82,929,000 | 1,800,000 | 849,000 |  |
| 122,297,185 | ----------- |  |  |
| $95,745,133$ 147733,735 |  | 5,514,000 $7,290,400$ |  |
| $147,733,735$ $51,554,800$ |  | 7,290,400 |  |
| 2,565,300 |  |  |  |
| 54,883, 927 | 2,586,850 | ---------- |  |
| 131,424,036 |  |  |  |


| \$10,875,000 | ------.-.--- |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
| ----------- | \$15,475,000 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 4,405,100 \\ & 6,150,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3,319,460 \\ & 4,888,000 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{array}{r} 14,267,000 \\ 2,900,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,971,000 \\ 10,774,095 \end{array}$ |
|  | ------------ |
| $\begin{array}{r} 359,100 \\ 4,000,000 \end{array}$ | ----------- |



| \$39,588,694 | $\$ 149,112,759$ | \$7,478,924 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 25,848,625 | 17,438,000 | 6,961,000 |
| 10,494,595 | 3,093,096 | 3,483,572 |
| 988,000 | 409,808 | 2,558,000 |
| 3,500,000 |  | 1,610,000 |
|  | 21,920,530 |  |
| 2,117,000 | 12,204,233 | 3,856,000 |
| 1,000,000 | 3,234,300 | 3,589,000 |
|  | 600,800 | ----- |
|  |  | - |
|  | 4,472,000 | 2,713,150 |


| $\$ 309,382,777$ |
| ---: |
| $226,330,951$ |
| $-26,425,148$ |
| $11,445,587$ |
| $18,461,440$ |
| $30,125,000$ |
| $85,756,000$ |
| $23,010,900$ |
| $22,378,840$ |
| $4,848,000$ |
| $2,462,500$ |
| $4,840,124$ |
| $19,757,194$ |


$\$ 99,989,182$
$72,014,418$
$66,672,442$
$100,145,657$
$61,373,460$
$30,063,166$
$31,656,977$
$53,248,320$
$74,592,766$
$47,635,643$
$40,844,800$
$30,365,062$
grour in.-citiss having a population or 300,000 to 500,000

Loursinile,
Portland, Oreg.

GROUP III.-CITIES HAVING A POPULATION of 100,000 T0 300,000

| 27 | Co | \$28,609,345 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$6,639,548 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 28 | Toledo, 0 | 38,204,075 | \$291,000 | ----------- | \$3,287,000 | 1,188,500 | 1,365,000 | 558,720 | 577,600 | \$263,000 | 4,839,770 |
| 29 30 | Oakland, Calif Denver, Colo. | $12,480,756$ $15,147,000$ |  | \$2,677,000 | ---------- | 618,000 |  | 500,000 |  |  | 265,000 $2,500,000$ |
| 31 | Atianta, | 12,940,000 |  |  |  | 1,217,000 | 1,717,000 |  | 2,000 |  |  |
| 32 33 | Dallas, Tex.-St. Paul, Minn | $29,626,000$ $38,267,870$ | 7,621,870 | 392,000 | $1,240,000$ <br> 1,195,000 | 1,249,000 | 3,738,000 | ---------------- |  |  | $1,290,000$ $7,529,000$ |
| 34 | Birmingham, Al | 21,056,000 |  |  |  | 1,249,000 |  | 298,000 | 662,000 | 800,000 | 1,180,000 |
| 35 | Akron, Ohio | 30,244,464 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 80,000 |  | 2,026,000 |
| 36 | Memphis, Tenn, | 20,914,000 |  |  | 224,000 | 140,000 | 1,788,000 | 513,000 |  |  | 1,038,000 |
| 37 <br> 38 <br> 8 | Providence, San Antonio | $43,059,500$ $22,442,000$ | 2,240,000 | 3,000,000 |  | ------------ | 3,586,000 |  | 5,594,000 | --------- | 20,514,500 |
| 38 39 | San Antonio | $22,442,000$ $17,842,933$ |  |  |  |  |  | 380,000 |  | 500,000 | 1,-740,200 |
| 40 | Syracuse, N. | 34,520,559 | 4,003,921 | 2,313,000 |  |  | 105,000 | 1,164,000 | 1,745,000 | 783,126 | 6,164,000 |
| 41 | Dayton, ohio | 14,537,317 | 4,00,021 | 147,000 | 322,000 | 360,000 | 386,200 | 1,124,000 | 1,76,00 | 240,000 | 1,095,144 |
| 42 | Oklahama City, ot | 13,407,543 | 187,500 | ------- |  |  | 940,500 |  |  |  | 2,652,500 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 43 \\ & 44 \end{aligned}$ | Worcester, Richmond, Va | r $\begin{array}{r}9,344,000 \\ 31,671,811\end{array}$ |  | --------------- | $\begin{array}{r} 1,028,000 \\ 374,887 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 371,000 \\ 1,200,000 \end{array}$ |  | 1,305,000 |  | ${ }_{9}^{1,4154,900}$ |
| 45 | Youngstown, ohi | 8,497,520 |  |  | 290,000 | 537,000 | 1,350,000 | ------------- | 1,193,000 |  | ,347,516 |
| 46 | Grand Rapids, | 10,694,426 |  |  |  |  | 858,300 |  | 1,570,000 | 290,000 | 1,494,079 |
| 47 | Fort Worth, Te | 22,438,716 | ----------- | ----------- |  | ----------- | 103,000 | 181,000 | 1,505,500 | ----------- | 3,809,368 |
| 48 49 | Hartford, Conn | $19,849,600$ $13,325,316$ |  |  | 500,000 |  | $1,650,600$ 116,316 |  | 3,000,000 | ----------- | $7,577,000$ $1,348,000$ |
| 50 | Now Haven, Conn. | 12,741,000 | ----------- |  |  | ------------ |  | 120,000 | 100,000 | ----------- | 2,673,000 |
| 51 | San Diego, C | 6,004,891 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10,00 |  | 466,506 |
|  | Long Beach, Calif | 11,702,377 |  | ----- |  | ----------- |  | ------------- |  |  | 3,044,240 |
| 53 | Naphrille, Tenn. | 12,555,700 | 60,000 |  | 90,000 |  | 394,000 |  | 2,253,000 | 425,000 | 519,000 |
| 54 | Springfield, Mass | 9,448,287 | 243,000 |  | 260,000 |  | 178,000 | 64,000 | 531,000 | 759,000 | 4,147,000 |
| 55 56 | Bridgoport, Comn. | $14,264,726$ $14,612,945$ |  |  | 1,000,000 | 1,173,312 |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \begin{array}{c} 10,788 \\ 44,000 \end{array} \end{gathered}$ |  | 1,777,000 |
|  | Des Moines, Iowa | 12,568,336 | 15,000 | 354,000 | 310,000 |  |  | 245,500 | 290,000 | 197,300 | 1,625,480 |
| 58 | Scranton, Pa. | 8,035,000 |  |  |  | 570,000 | 186,000 | 41,000 | 259,000 | 106,000 | 141,000 |
| 59 | Salt Lake city, | 7,233,500 | 30,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 60 61 | Yonkers, N. Patersan, N. | $28,152,450$ $17,055,664$ |  |  | 779,000 | 1,100,000 | $\begin{aligned} & 536,000 \\ & 277,000 \end{aligned}$ | 985,000 | $\begin{aligned} & 649,000 \\ & 268,800 \end{aligned}$ | 1,075,000 | $\begin{array}{r} 1,920,100 \\ 487,000 \end{array}$ |
|  | Jacksonville, | 9,127,650 |  |  |  |  | 500,000 | ------------ | 100,000 |  | 386,275 |
| 63 | Albany, N. Y | 17,552,673 | 1,429,616 | 1,423,307 | 1,504,500 | 662,000 |  | ------------- | 846,000 | 765,000 | 2,563,250 |
| 64 65 | Norfolk, $\mathrm{Fa} .-$ Trenton, | $23,976,609$ $18,787,025$ |  |  |  | ---------------- | 110,400 |  | 2,883,052 |  | $2,269,000$ $3,225,723$ |
| 66 | Chattanooga, Te | 14,334,558 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 25,000 | 1,012,700 |
| 67 | Kansas City, Kens. | 7,633,193 | 154,000 | 682,300 | 1,479,496 | 125,000 | 21,000 | 108,000 | 71,500 |  | 247,000 |

1/ Includes only general obligation and revenue bonds.
TABLE 24. - BONDED DEBT 1/ AT CLOSE OF YEAR, BY RATE OF INTERRST: 1937—Continuod

| $\begin{gathered} c_{1} t \\ \text { No. } \end{gathered}$ | CITY | Total | $\stackrel{2}{\text { percent }}$ | $\underset{\text { percent }}{2 \frac{1}{4}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \frac{2 \frac{1}{2}}{\text { percent }} \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\text { percent }}{\frac{23}{23}}$ | $\stackrel{3}{\text { percent }}$ | $\underset{\text { percent }}{34}$ | $\underset{\text { peroent }}{\substack{3 \frac{1}{2} \\ \hline}}$ | $\underset{\text { percent }}{\frac{33}{4}}$ | $\stackrel{4}{\text { percent }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |



Not included in group or grand totals.
tabie 24．－bonded debr 1／at close of year，by rate of interest：1937－Continued

| $\begin{gathered} \text { city } \\ \text { No. } \end{gathered}$ | cITY | $\underset{\text { percont }}{4 \frac{4}{4}}$ | ${ }_{\text {percont }}^{4 \frac{4}{2}}$ | $\underset{\text { percont }}{\stackrel{43}{4}}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ \text { percent } \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\text { percont }}{51}$ | $\underset{\text { percent }}{5 k}$ | Other reported ates | Pates not reported reportod | Noninterest bearing | $\underset{\substack{\text { Averago } \\ \text { rate }}}{ }$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Grend total $\qquad$ <br> Group $\qquad$ <br> Group II $\qquad$ <br> Group III $\qquad$ | \＄714，701，488 | ＊845，107，803 | \＄143，312，342 | \＄350，793，886 | \＄10，994，935 | \＄55，253，541 | \＄670，624，200 | \＄16，685，706 | \％11，026，759 | 4.1 |
|  |  | 506，052，082 $78,872,156$ $129,777,244$ 129，777， | $418,330,085$ 244，372，657 | $\begin{aligned} & 50,240,508 \\ & 40,573,668 \\ & 52,498,166 \end{aligned}$ | 19，854，944 68，139，034 62，799，908 | $\begin{aligned} & 1,623,848 \\ & 4,354,587 \\ & 55,015,500 \end{aligned}$ $5,016,50$ | $\begin{array}{r}20,643,499 \\ 6,346,774 \\ \hline\end{array}$ <br> 28，263，268 | $\begin{array}{r}547,959,912 \\ 47,738,134 \\ \hline\end{array}$ 74，926，154 | 10，345，500 $\underset{\substack{3,988,545 \\ 2,411,661}}{ }$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9,787,499 \\ 25,818 \\ 1,213,442 \end{array}$ | 4.0 4.2 4.2 |


| 1 | New York，N．y． | \＄276，086，107 | \＄108，816，541 |  | \＄325，000 |  |  | \＄24，257，000 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 3 |  | －23，279，750 | －－－88，464，554 | \＄9，051，000 | 15，934，695 |  |  | 2／ $\begin{array}{r}13,964,000 \\ 415,113,234 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | \＄6，585，000 | ${ }_{\substack{45,861,193 \\ 9,200}}$ | 4.1 4.2 |
| 4 4 4 | Dotroit，Wich．－－ | 49，023，518 | 12， 1115,826 | 67，812 |  | \＄970，228 | \＄3，188， 000 |  | 3，760，500 |  | 4.1 4.7 |
| 5 <br>  <br> 7 | （e）Angoes，Calif． | （1，583，227 |  | $20,179,200$ <br> 3,895 | $44,655,633$ $5,933,441$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 6,856,321 \\ 10,393,500 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7,385,257 \\ & 9,846,200 \end{aligned}$ | －－－－－－－－－－－ | 1，414，420 | 4.7 4.4 |
|  | St．Louls，Mo． | －0，000 | 18，363，000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Baltimore，val |  |  |  | 11，484，356 |  |  |  |  | 76，300 |  |
| ${ }_{10} 9$ | ${ }_{\substack{\text { Boston，} \\ \text { Pittsuasesh，} \\ \text { Pa，}}}$ | $16,302,00$ <br> $66,524,815$ | 6，962，000 $7,555,180$ | $7,015,000$ $2,5917,725$ | $\begin{array}{r} 884,000 \\ 5,258,800 \end{array}$ | －－－－－－－－－－－－ | 36，000 | 13，247，000 |  | 7,205 | ${ }_{3.9}^{3.7}$ |
| 11 | San Franoisoo，ceir |  | 21，592，000 | 150，000 | 20，739，000 |  |  |  | －－－－－－－－－－－－ |  | 3.5 4.5 |
| 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 169，678 |  |  | （1，528，817 | ${ }_{4.0}^{4.0}$ |
| 14 | Buffalo，N．y． | 34，358，368 | $\underset{5,355,215}{ }$ | 1， $4,656,288$ | 1，173，000 |  | 169，678 | 57，938，821 |  |  | ${ }_{3.9}$ |

group II．－CItids having a population of 300,000 TO 500,000

|  | Minneap | \＄4，106，525 | \＄6，080，9 | ＊2，384，35 |  | \＄35，000 | \＄93，357 | 3，523，396 | \＄1，200，545 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17 | New orloens，Le． | ，532，000 | 26，723， | 9，163，0 | 9，728，604 |  |  | 214，000 |  | \＄11，114 | 4.4 |
| 18 | Newark，N．J． |  | $\xrightarrow{\text { 23，7005，483 }}$ |  | 年，809，522 | ，982，000 |  | ，477，020 |  |  | 4.3 |
| 19 20 | Kannas City，Mo．－ |  | $\xrightarrow{23,440,236} 9$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 6,20,24,000 \\ & 4,103,265 \\ & 4, \end{aligned}$ | 163， | 54, | $\begin{array}{r} 125,000 \\ 3,613,774 \end{array}$ |  | 13， | ${ }_{4.6}^{4.1}$ |
|  | Indian | 2，975，180 | 422， | 95， | 2，506，388 | ，702 | 243，120 | 1，356，782 |  | －－－－－－－－ | ${ }^{4.1}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 22 \\ & 23 \end{aligned}$ | Rochester，N． N ． | $7,489,500$ <br> $7,842,940$ | 23，977，646 | 退， | ¢，5，805，000 <br> $8,708,572$ | 20，000 | 239,700 <br> ，201，000 |  |  | 1，0 | 4．4 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 24 \\ & 25 \end{aligned}$ | Houston， T | 行， 39000 | ${ }_{8}^{11,5766,569}$ | 7，628，978 | coil | 66，101 | 105，867 | 2，240，500 |  |  | ${ }_{4.1}^{4.5}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 25 \\ & 26 \end{aligned}$ | ${ }_{\text {Ler }}$ Loursvinde， | （ $2,792,580$ | $\xrightarrow{8,501,463}$ | 1，814，793 | 1，312，461 |  | 684，150 | 1，772，112 |  |  | $\stackrel{4.5}{4.5}$ |

TABLE 24.—BONDED DEBT 1/ AT CLOSE OF YEAR, BY RATE OF INTEREST: 1937—Continued

| city No. | CITY |  |  | $\underset{\text { percent }}{\stackrel{43}{4}}$ | $\underset{\text { percent }}{5}$ |  |  | $\underset{\text { rates }}{\text { Other reported }}$ | Pates not reported | Noninterest bearing | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Average } \\ \text { rate } \end{gathered}\right.$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| 27 | Columbus, | \$790,200 | \$5,812,497 | \$572,528 | \$3,981,556 | \$174,000 | \$1,107,500 | \$3,012,560 |  |  | 4.3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 28 | Toledo, Ohio | 6,475,870 | 9,364,120 | 2,719,100 | 3,938,585 | 1,670,750 | 1,274,720 | +390,340 |  |  | 4.2 |
| 29 | Oakland, Calif |  | 2,077,825 | 165,000 | 9,734,931 |  | 238,000 |  |  |  | 4.9 |
| 30 | Denver, Colo |  | 6,040,000 |  | 1,562,000 |  |  | 500,000 |  |  | 4.1 |
| 31 | Atlan |  | 5,847,500 |  | 2,868,500 |  | 35,000 | 1,253,000 |  |  | 4.0 |
| 32 | Dallas, Tex. | 4,821,000 | 12,531,000 | 2,876,000 | 2,001,500 | ------------ | 1,089,000 | 39,500 | ------------ |  | 4.3 |
| 33 | St. Paul, Minn. | 7,037,000 | $7,640,000$ |  | 1,833,000 |  | 500,000 | 3,271,000 |  |  | $3.6$ |
| 34 35 | Birmingham, Ala Akron, Ohio | 448,000 $1,600,508$ | $7,411,000$ $10,313,717$ | $1,965,000$ $5,121,615$ | 7,936,000 $5,944,867$ | 1,134,175 | 1,753,081 | 356,000 $1,349,212$ |  | \$921,289 | $\begin{aligned} & 4.6 \\ & 4.7 \end{aligned}$ |
| 36 | Memphis, Tenn | 1,716,000 | 7,692,000 | 2,385,000 | 4,424,000 | 1,134,175 | 1,205,000 | 1,389,000 |  | \$21,289 | 4.5 |
| 37 | Providence, R. | 4,950,000 | 2,375,000 |  |  |  |  | 800,000 | ------------- | ------------ | 3.6 |
| 38 | San Antonio, Te | 4,459,000 | 4,493,000 | 2,901,000 | $9,882,000$ $2,939,691$ |  |  |  |  |  | 4.7 |
| 40 | omaha, Ne | $3,138,000$ $1,846,980$ | 6,857,712 $1,023,000$ | 640,802 | 2,939,691 | 72,030 | 2,957,500 | 14,244,141 |  |  | 4.6 3.1 |
| 41 | Dayton, oh | 1,429,852 | 4,600,635 | 2,295,256 | 1,024,280 | 163,476 | 1,537,292 | 772,420 |  | 163,762 | 4.5 |
| 42 | Oklahoma City, okla | 1,098,370 | 4,493,504 | ------------ | 3,923,536 | 3,692 | ------------- | 107,941 | ------------ |  | 4.4 |
| 44 | Worcester, Mas Richmond, Va. | 5,132,000 | 12,875,000 |  | 1,970,000 |  |  | 5,165,000 115,000 |  | 5,000 5,000 | 2.4 |
| 45 | Youngstown, ohi | 625,736 | 1,128,896 | 40,000 | 1,387,560 | 100,680 | 295,860 | 2,201,272 | ------------ |  | 4.6 |
| 46 | Grand Papids, | 2,104,000 | 2,891,500 | 1,738,747 | 747,800 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.3 |
| 47 | Fort Worth, rex | 2,077,000 | 6,596,020 | 1,995,000 | 4,164,719 | -------.---- | ------------- | 2,007,109 | ------------ |  | 4.3 |
| 48 49 | Fartford, Conn | 3,325,000 | $2,754,000$ $3,307,000$ | 1,111,000 | 305,000 $3,909,000$ | --- | 20,000 | 730,000 $1,385,000$ |  | 8,000 74,000 | 3.8 |
| 50 | New Haven, Con | 5,288,000 | 3,625,000 | 1,111,000 | 3,935,000 |  | 20,000 | 1,385,000 |  | 74,000 | 4.7 |
| 51 | San Diego, cal |  | 1,865,637 |  | 2,783,777 |  |  | 888,971 |  |  | 4.9 |
| 52 | Long Beach, Call | 755,480 | 728,872 | 70,000 | 6,227,791 |  | 72,160 | 802,834 |  | 1,000 | 4.7 |
| 53 | Nashville, Tenn. | 1,171,000 | 3,168,000 | 537,000 | 3,726,700 | 35,000 | 82,000 | 95,000 | ------------- |  | 4.4 |
| 54 | Springfield, Mass | 348,000 | 159,000 |  | 207,500 |  |  | 2,535,000 |  | 16,787 | 3.2 |
| 55 56 | Tulsa, okla.- | 644,830 $4,795,000$ | 3,168,000 2,552,000 | $3,145,060$ 342,000 | 3,107,952 <br> 2,303,000 |  | $2,206,008$ 301,000 | 1,200,000 | \$298,945 |  | 4.7 |
| 57 | Des Moinee, Iown | 2,461,300 | 4,187,112 | 393,224 | 1,876,220 | $\cdots$ | 210,000 | 1,200,000 | \$298,945 | 3,200 | 4.4 |
| 58 | Seranton, Pa. | 5,964,000 | 571,000 |  | 197,000 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.1 |
| 59 | Salt Lake C1ty, | 1,500,000 | 401,000 | 275,000 | 2,895,500 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.5 |
| 60 | Yonkers, N. Y. | 4,527,000 | 4,784,000 | 120,250 | 7,448,950 | ------------ | 857,150 | 3,371,000 |  |  | 4.4 |
| 61 | Paterson, N. J. | 2,194,000 | 11,608,820 | 106,958 | 115,086 |  | 571,000 | 1,427,000 | ------------ |  | 4.6 |
| 62 | Jacksonville, F |  | 286,000 | ----------- | 4,755,971 |  | 1,019,000 | 1,785,500 | ------------ | 9,904 | 5.1 |
| 63 | Albeny, N . | 5,602,00 | 2,600,000 | 15,000 | 72,000 | 20,000 | 50,00 |  |  |  | 3.6 |


$\frac{1}{2}$ Includes only general obligation and revenue bonds.

TABLE 25.-ISSUE AND RETIREMENT OF DEBT: 1937
(See text discussion, p. 218)

gRoup I.-CITIES HAVING A POPULATION OF 500,000 and OVER

| 1 | Naw York, | \$551,182,404 | \$50,530,90 | \$63,486,503 | \$417,915,000 | \$19,250,000 | \$524,585,056 | \$57,472,362 | \$35,536,000 | \$419,966,694 | \$11,610,000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Chicago, 111 | 100,334,546 | 26,028,778 |  | 74,281,201 | 24,567 | 141,848,646 | 36,474,815 |  | 101,997,121 | 3,376,710 |
| 3 | Ph11adelphia, | 33,637,153 | 14,849,803 |  | 18,787,350 |  | 32,520,078 | 11,792,000 |  | 20,728,078 |  |
| 4 | Dotroit, Mich | 48,705,208 | 40,505,208 | 2,200,000 | 5,000,000 | 1,000,000 | 86,909,018 | 67,762,099 |  | 9,879,921 | 9,266,998 |
| 5 6 | Los Angeles, Cal | $3,945,047$ $16,930,971$ | 3,945,047 <br> 7,992,852 |  | 7,124,489 | 1,813,630 | $5,526,716$ $22,933,848$ | $5,526,716$ $11,526,008$ |  | 9,169,415 | 238,425 |
| 7 | St. Louis, Mo | 7,755,355 | 7,755,355 |  |  |  | 5,364,000 | 5,364,000 |  | ,16,415 | ,425 |
|  | Baltimore, | 975,000 | 975,000 |  |  |  | 3,935,927 | 3,853,927 |  |  | 82,000 |
| 9 | Boston, Mass. | 51,996,371 | 6,497,439 |  | 45,498,932 |  | 56,067,499 | 12,084,167 |  | 43,741,774 | 241,558 |
| 10 | Pittsburgh, Pa | 14,522,519 | 10,574,768 |  | 3,602,500 | 345,251 | 14,518,658 | 10,549,925 |  | 3,602,500 | 366,233 |
| 11 | San Francisco, Ca | 8,048,279 | 548,279 |  | 7,500,000 |  | 11,378,526 | 3,776,800 |  | 7,601,726 |  |
| 12 | Washington, D. C. | 542,500 | 542,500 |  |  |  | 1,000,000 | 1,000,000 |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 13 \\ & 14 \end{aligned}$ | M11waukee, | 4,406,695 | 3,205,445 | 7,133, 726 | $1,201,250$ $12,500,075$ |  | $8,961,708$ $23,659,687$ | $8,878,010$ $10,791,195$ |  | 46,899 $12,793,492$ | ,799 |
| group it. -Citties having a population of 300,000 to 500,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | Minneapoli | \$7,364,252 | \$6,011,938 | ----------- | \$1,200, 260 | \$152,054 | \$7,782,937 | *5,575,597 |  | 11,200,000 | \$1,007,340 |
| 16 | New Orleans, La | 9,243,303 | 7,092,444 | - | 2,150,859 |  | 15,678,949 | 6,114,683 | \$321,800 | 8,364,466 | 878,000 |
| 17 | Cincinnati, ob | 3,711,321 | 1,754,882 |  | 1,522,309 | 434,130 | 12,878,753 | 9,963,628 |  | 1,527,418 | 1,387,707 |
| 18 | Newark, N. J. | 3,564,211 | 3,564,211 |  |  |  | 6,340,172 | 4,895,172 |  | 1,445,000 |  |
| 19 | Kansas City, m | 10,467,850 | 6,487,890 |  | 3,979,960 | ---.-.-.- | 8,180,342 | 2,429,426 | ------ | 5,633,744 | 117,172 |
| 20 | Seattle, Wash. | 8,826,029 | 50,000 |  | 8,776,029 |  | 9,770,557 | 2,108,757 |  | 7,661,800 |  |
| 21 | Indianapolis, Ind | 5,887,043 | 1,980,989 | ----------- | 3,906,054 |  | 5,645,356 | 1,787, $44 \overline{2}$ |  | 3,957, 914 |  |
| 22 | Rochester, N. Y. | 9,530,454 | 5,250,204 |  | 4,279,650 |  | 11,840,765 | 6,273,220 |  | 4,562,045 | 1,005,500 |
| 23 | Jorsey City, N . | 4,449,101 | 1,526,626 |  | 2,922,475 | -----1-1 | 6,954,119 | 3,274,523 | --------- | 3,679,596 |  |
| 24 | Houston, Tex. | 5,257,092 | 1,682,240 | \$775,000 | 2,799,852 |  | 5,519,223 | 2,844,223 | --------------- | 2,675,000 |  |
| 25 | Louisville, K | 5,525,251 | 1,575,251 |  | 3,950,000 |  | 4,647,551 | 650,751 |  | 3,996,800 |  |
| 26 | Portland, Oreg | 5,714,660 |  |  | 5,070,525 | 644,135 | 8,282,082 | 1,943,998 |  | 5,078,711 | 1,259,3 |

5,078,711
1,259,373

GROUP III.-CITIES having a poprlation of 100,000 to 300,000

| 27 | Columbus, ohic | 2,898,138 |  |  | 2,157,119 | 741,019 | 4,983,507 | 1,825,728 |  | 2,302,237 | 855,542 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 28 | Toledo, Ohio | 2,603,195 | 872,723 | ------------ | 1,435,839 | 294,633 | 3,811,300 | 2,340,490 |  | 1,470,810 | ---------- |
| 29 | Oakland, Call |  |  |  |  |  | 613,911 | 613,911 |  |  | -----.-.--- |
| 30 | Denver, Colo | 827,404 | 753,639 |  |  | 73,765 | 1,747,000 | -557,000 |  |  | 1,190,000 64,564 |
| 31 | Atlanta, Ga | 2,470,964 | 2,442,000 |  |  | 28,964 | 3,385,628 | 1,719,500 |  | 1,601,564 | 64,564 |
| 32 | Dallas, Tex | 1,220,181 | 1,220,181 | ----------- |  |  | 1,171,830 | 1,165,750 |  | 6,080 |  |
| 33 | St. Paul, Minn | 4,665,813 | 4,464,310 |  | 201,503 |  | 5,115,438 | 4,851,120 |  | 262,318 | 2,000 |
| 34 35 3 | Bimingham, A | 2,056,399 | 803,272 |  | 1,248,000 | 5,127 | 2,202,588 | 697,000 |  | 906,000 | 599,588 |
| 35 36 | Akron, ${ }^{\text {Ohio }}$ Memphis, Ten | 5,090,315 $1,239,000$ | 2,065,553 |  | 3,024,762 |  | 7,012,528 $1,785,003$ | 3,231,828 $1,181,262$ |  | $3,086,300$ 603,741 | 694,400 |
| 37 | Providence, R. | 10,643,617 | 3,669,300 |  | 6,974,317 | ----------- | 10,389,500 | 2,751,500 |  | 7,638,000 | -------- |
| 38 | San Antonio, Ter | 5,262,700 |  |  | 5,262,700 |  | 5,870,867 | 819,500 |  | 5,051,367 | -- |
| 39 | Omaha, Nobz | 51,099 |  |  | 51,099 |  | 1,100,434 | 848,546 |  | 173,388 | 78,500 |
| 40 | Syracuse, N. | 13,293,773 | 7,736,323 |  | 4,700,021 | 857,429 | 10,693,608 | 4,174,981 |  | 5,350,000 | 1,168,627 |
| 41 | Dayton, | 1,293,268 | 586,200 |  | 330,782 | 376,286 | 2,326,088 | 1,444,978 |  | 473,834 | 407,276 |
| 42 | Oklabome C1ty, |  |  |  |  |  | 1,124,919 | 1,124,919 |  |  | ---------- |
| 43 | Worcester, Masa | 11,403,034 | 1,736,834 |  | 9,666,200 |  | 11,910,754 | 1,998,000 |  | 9,912,754 | ----------- |
| 44 | R1cimond, Ve. | 3,305,840 | 1,322,356 |  | 1,983,484 |  | 3,259,943 | 640,000 |  | 2,619,943 | - |
| 45 46 | Youngstown, Grand Raplis, | $\begin{array}{r} 1,302,970 \\ 8,300 \end{array}$ | 676,989 8,300 |  | 625,981 |  | $2,281,275$ 745,929 | $1,029,064$ 535,852 |  | $1,118,211$ 100,077 | $\begin{aligned} & 134,000 \\ & 110,000 \end{aligned}$ |
| 47 | Fort Worth, | 3,133,599 | 1,360,935 |  | 1,772,664 | ------------ | 2,378,318 | 704,446 |  | 1,673,872 | ----------- |
| 48 | Hartford, Conn | 1,092,886 | 450,986 |  | 641,900 |  | 1,345,594 | 1,330,950 |  | 14,644 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 49 \\ & 50 \end{aligned}$ | Flint, M10h.-- New Haven, | 369,000 300,000 |  |  | 369,000 300,000 |  | 1,964,479 | $1,231,688$ 904,000 |  | 344,791 600,000 | 388,000 |
| 51 | San Diego, Calif. |  |  |  |  |  | 367,192 | 367,192 |  | 60,000 |  |
| 52 | Long Beach, Call | 811,708 |  |  | 811,708 |  | 1,497,601 | 688,064 |  | 809,537 |  |
| 53 | Nashrille, Tenn. | 90,709 | 90,709 | ----------- |  | ----------- | 806,500 | 777,500 | ----------- |  | 29,000 |
| 54 | Springtield, Mass | 7,093, 926 | 604,276 |  | 6,489,650 |  | 8,841,653 | 1,550,208 |  | 7,291,445 |  |
| 55 | Tulsa, Okla. | 2,223,281 | 1,484,200 |  | 739,181 |  | 1,869,846 | 983,843 |  | 886,003 |  |
| 56 | Bridgeport, Co | 1,501,093 | 501,090 |  | 1,000,003 | ----------- | 2,127,408 | 1,127,408 |  | 1,000,000 | ----------- |
| 57 | Des Moines, | 855,227 | 664,280 |  | 190,947 |  | 840, 743 | 807,820 |  | 32,923 |  |
| 58 | Scranton, Pa.-- | 989,993 | 610,734 |  | 266,459 | 112,800 | 688,600 | 519,000 |  | 41,000 | 128,600 |
| 59 | Salt lake City, | 2,142,900 | 471,000 |  | 1,660,000 | 11,900 | 1,074,744 | 677,044 |  | 310,000 | 87,700 |
| 60 | Yonkers, N. Y. | 3,951,954 | 2,482,698 |  | 1,469,256 |  | 3,806,867 | 2,106,250 |  | 1,244,617 | 456,000 |
| 61 | Patarbon, N. J. | 187,000 |  |  | 187,000 |  | 1,478,450 | 1,478,350 |  | 100 |  |
| 2 | Jacksonville, F | 294,721 | 165,175 |  | 29,546 | 100,000 | 514,951 | 312,780 |  | 90,171 | 112,000 |
| 63 | Albany, N. Y. | 1,721,535 | 201,535 |  | 1,000,000 | 20,000 | 2,211,785 | 1,386,285 |  | 500,000 | 325,500 |
| 64 | Norfolk, Va. | 599,936 |  | --->------ | 300,000 | ----------- | 918,072 | 768,072 | ----------- | 150,000 |  |
| 65 | Trenton, N. J. | 1,070,000 | 255,000 |  | 815,000 |  | 2,426,188 | 2,080,750 |  | 305,000 | 40,438 |
| 66 | Chattanooga, Te | 1,048,381 | 836,381 | ---------- |  | 212,000 | 789,060 | 501,934 | ---------- | 4,130 | 282,996 |
| 67 | Kansas City, Ka | 789,869 | 646,544 |  | 117,474 | 25,851 | 922,169 | 627,803 |  | 32,074 | 262,292 |
| 8 | Fort Wayne, In | 133,769 | 105,769 |  | 28,000 |  | 440,900 | 395,900 |  | 45,000 |  |
| $99$ | Camden, N. J.- | 1,789,000 | ,789,000 |  |  |  | 2,842,629 | 2,356,180 |  |  | 127,000 |

TABLE 25．－ISSUE AND RETIREMENT OF DEBT：1937－Continued

| 著 | city | Issumb |  |  |  |  | RETIRED |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 苦 |  | Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { General } \\ \text { bonds } \end{gathered}$ | Reverue bonds | Short－term loans | $\left.\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { Special as- } \\ \text { sessment } \\ \text { obligations } \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | Total | $\underbrace{}_{\substack{\text { coneral } \\ \text { bonds }}}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { Revenue } \\ \text { bonds }}}{\text { a }}$ | Stiort－term | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { Special as } \\ & \text { sessemant } \\ & \text { obligations } \end{aligned}\right.$ |



1）Not included in group or grand totals．

Table 26 shows, for each city, specified assets at the close of 1937 held in sinking, public trust, and investment funds as recorded on the books of the several divisions of government of the municipality, regardless of whether the funds were administered by the principal financial officer or by separate boards or commissions; it shows, also, the cash held by the general administrative funds at the close of the year. All cash on hand at the close of the year, except that which is held in private trust funds, is shown in the appropriate four columns.

BASIS OF VALUATION. -The valuations shown as the investments of the various funds are the book values. The figures for real property may represent its cost to the fund or its valuation at a recent appraisal. The data for securities are usually carried on the records of the fund at their full value, profits and losses being recorded only when the securities are disposed of; but if for any city they were reported at cost or at their market value on the last day of the fiscal year, those valuations were used in compiling the table.

CASH AND OTHER SPECIFIED ASSETS AT CLOSE OF 1937. -Of the $\$ 2,066,919,823$ held as cash or other assets in various funds of the 94 cities, approximately 40 percent was held in sinking funds and approximately 35 percent.in public trust funds. Of the total holdi.lgs reported by the 94 cities, 57 percent constituted investments in city securities and almost 25 percent was in the form of cash.

ASSETS IN SINKING FUNDS.--The sinking funds maintained by cities and their independent divisions are of two distinct classes: those with, and those without, investments; the distinction depends largely upon the method of distributing the loads to be carried by these funds. The sinking funds with investments are established and maintained primarily for the redemption of long-term bonds at maturity, the purpose of converting a part of the cash accumulation into securities being to increase the earning power of the funds. The sinking funds without securities are maintained primarily for the amortization of debt obligations by purchase prior to maturity, or for the redemption of serial bonds, the purpose of the funds usually being accomplished without the accumulation of assets in large amounts.

Assets in sinking funds of the 94 cities totaled $\$ 829,502,222$ at the close of 1937 , of which amount 76.3 percent was in the form of holdings of city securities, 7.3 percent in other investments, and 16.2 percent in cash. The composition of sinking-fund assets will, over a period of years, naturally reflect important changes in the methods of municipal financing. In past years the "term" bond was in popular use, and necessarily required the establishment and maintenance of extensive sinking funds. Because of the fact that many unfortunate things could and did happen to dissipate sinking-fund assets before they were actually needed to retire term bonds at maturity, the serial bond with its annual maturities has been regarded in recent years as a more favorable instrument of municipal borrowing. As outstanding term bonds are retired in the future, the need of large accumulations of sinking-fund assets will be reduced correspondingly.

ASSETS IN PUBLIC TRUST FUNDS.-Public trust funds are established by cities and their independent divisions for the purpose of conserving and administering moneys and other forms of wealth derived from donations, bequests, or other sources, under such conditions that the recipient becomes a trustee charged with the administration of the fund, including the disbursement of its principal or the income derived from the principal, for designated public purposes. The usual purposes for which these funds are created are the support of educational activities in schools and libraries, of health and hospital services, care of the poor, and pensions for employees of the cities and independent divisions.

At the close of 1937 the 94 cities reported holdings of $\$ 731,209,901$ in public trust funds, of which amount 63.7 percent constituted holdings of city securities; 34.2 percent was in other investments; and only 2.1 percent was in cash. Most donations and bequests provide that only the income from the fund shall be expended, which accounts for the negligible amount of cash held in public trust funds.

ASSETS IN INVESTMENT FUNDS AND MTSCELLANEOUS INVESTMENTS. -Although the term "investment fund" is seldom employed by city officials, it seems to be an appropriate designation for funds of the class here described. Under this heading are shown all assets of funds other than sinking and public trust funds and all interest-bearing securities and investments other than those of the funds mentioned. The value of real property incidentally acquired and yielding little or no income is included as miscellaneous investment.

In some instances the assets consist of real property held for securing rents or for the profit that may result from an increase in value. In other cases they consist of bonds or mortgages received in exchange for real property and held as investments awaiting maturity or a favorable market.

Assets held in investment funds, together with miscellaneous investments, totaled $\$ 145,187,257$ at the close of 1937 , of which amount 56.5 percent consisted of city securities; 36.4 percent of other investments; 5.2 percent of real property; and 1.9 percent of cash.

In most cities reporting investment funds or other investment holdings the invested assets are comparatively small, and in some instances they are held only temporarily while awaiting a favorable opportunity for the cities to dispose of them, at which time the proceeds are turned over to the general treasury. In some cities permanent funds have been created for the purpose of carrying their own fire risks on municipal buildings, usually setting asicie each year in a fund, from which fire losses may be paid as they occur, an amount equal to the premiums generally charged by fire insurance companies for such coverage. Some of these insurance funds are built up by annual appropriations until the assets reach a prescribed amount, and in most cases they are profitably invested; accordingly, they are here classed as investment funds. Funds provided for the purchase, constivuction, or equipment of buildings or other permanent properties of the municipality which are invested during the period of accumulation are also treated as investment funds.

CASH IN GENERAL TREASURY AND GENERAL ADMINISTRATIVE FUNDS.-General administrative funds, as the term is used here, are all funds administered by the city and its independent divisions of government, except the sinking, public trust, investment, and private trust funds. The cash shown in table 26 as belonging to the general treasury and general administrative funds at the close of the year are presented in two columns in order to show separately the amounts held in private trust accounts.

Of the cash in the amount of $\$ 361,020,143$ held under this heading, almost 94 percent was, in accordance with the foregoing explanation, "free cash" to be drawn upon by the general treasury and general administrative departments.

CTTIES WITH LaRGEST AMOUNTS OF ASSETS. - As a general rule, the cities with the heaviest bonded indebtedness are likely to have the largest amount of sinkingfund assets, although the composition of the debt will control to some extent the necessity of maintaining sinking funds and therefore may cause significant variations. Assets in public trust funds, while generally larger in the more populous cities, have an irregular pattern, because the concentration of wealth in any given city has a greater bearing upon the probabilities of substantial donations and bequests than does the population factor. Assets in other funds reflect no specific pattern of circumstances among the cities; they are controlled by temporary fiscal circumstances and vary greatly from time to time. The cities with the larger ilscal operations are, of course, more likely to have larger cash reserves or more readily convertible assets to draw upon for emergency requirements than do the smaller municipalities.

TABLE 26.-AMOUNT OF SPECIFIED ASSETS AT CLOSE OF YEAR: 1937

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 另 } \\ & \text { 者 } \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \end{aligned}$ | CITY | Aggregate | ASSETS IN SINKING FUNDS |  |  |  | ASSETS In PUBLIC TRUST FUNDS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Total | Cash | $\begin{gathered} \text { City securi- } \\ \text { ties (par } \\ \text { value) } \end{gathered}$ | Other investments | Total | Cash | City securi- <br> ties (par value) | Other investments |
|  | Grand total | \$2,066,919,823 | \$829,502,522 | \$135,207,444 | \$633,286,628 | \$61,008,450 | \$731,209,901 | \$15,706,080 | \$465,647,840 | \$249,855,981 |
|  | Group I-- | $1,637,800,822$ $184,496,978$ | $\begin{gathered} 62,527,383 \\ 80,5222,157 \end{gathered}$ | $90,010,695$ $15,956,219$ | $\begin{gathered} 516,037,435 \\ 48,046,202 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21,479,253 \\ & 16,519,736 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 655,453,344 \\ 43,230,018 \end{gathered}$ | $10,883,231$ $2,237,460$ | $\begin{array}{r} 445,954,386 \\ 11,154,553 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 198,615,727 \\ 29,838,05 \end{array}$ |
|  | Group III---------------------------------- | 244,622,023 | 121,452,982 | 29,240,530 | 69,202,991 | 23,009,461 | 32,526,539 | 2,585,389 | 8,538,901 | 21,402,249 |

group I.-CItIES having a population of 500,000 and ayer

| 1 | New York, N | \$739,218,580 | \$303,265,865 | \$3,459,336 | \$299,806,529 |  | \$330,417,154 | \$1,903,985 | \$328,040,169 | \$473,000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Chicago, In | 257,253,150 | 58,783,796 | 52,970,093 | 18,677 | \$5,795,026 | 89,737,782 | 3,545,553 | 41,138,774 | 45,053,455 |
| 3 | Philadelphia, | 258,242,782 | 171,789,515 | 1,964,128 | ,810,387 | 2,015,000 | 85,412,354 | 845,274 | 16,032,720 | 68,534,360 |
| 4 | Detroit, Mil ch | 29,189,929 | 2,023,121 | 1,273,149 | 436,590 | 313,382 | 3,167,894 | 150,596 | 2,627,671 | 68,534,360 389,627 |
| 5 | Los Angeles, Callf | 63,562,054 | 7,606,901 | 7,606,901 |  |  | 18,273,044 | 203,135 | 5,450,357 | 12,619,552 |
| 6 | Cleveland, Oh10 | 16,684,644 | 9,006,283 | 1,556,219 | 7,127,564 | 322,500 | 731,997 | 109,675 | 226,000 | 396,322 |
| 7 | St. Louis, Mo | 29,349,542 | 4,121,541 | 2,385,541 | 800,000 | 936,000 | 9,202,486 | 180,155 | 3,955,000 | 5,067,331 |
| 8 | Baltimore, Ma. | 49,687,551 | 26,231,992 | 97,858 | 23,773,797 | 2,360,337 | 19,947,389 | 128,923 | 8,924,900 | 10,893,566 |
| 9 | Boston, Mess | 75,713,130 | 11,228,709 | 1,077,450 | 5,916,000 | 4,235,259 | 54,558,528 | 2,656,558 | 27,288,390 | 24,613,580 |
| 10 | Pittsburgh, Pa | 33,055,323 | 15,009,870 | 7,070,740 | 7,939,130 |  | 2,063,531 | 318,047 | 1,166,971 | 578,513 |
| 11 | San Francisco, | 28,117,027 | 1,080,100 | 1,080,100 |  |  | 18,672,927 | 244,179 | 3,656,600 | 14,772,148 |
| 12 | Washington, D. | 18,617,647 | 110,235 | 110,235 |  |  | 11,027,849 | 368,076 |  | 10,659,773 |
| 13 | $\mathrm{M}_{1} 1$ waukee, ${ }^{\text {W1 }}$ | 25,252,330 | 10,356,803 | 2,694,001 | 2,161,053 | 5,501,749 | 12,000,459 | 79,625 | 7,446,834 | 4,474,000 |
| 14 | Bupfalo, N. | 15,857,133 | 6,912,652 | 6,664,944 | 247,708 |  | 239,950 | 149,450 |  | 90,500 |


| 15 | Minneapolis, Minn.- |
| :---: | :---: |
| 16 | New Orleans, La.- |
| 17 | Cincinnati, Ohio- |
| 18 | Newark, N. J.---- |
| 19 | Kansas C1ty, |
| 20 | Seattle, Wash |
| 21 | Indianapolis, Ind. |
| 22 | Rochester, N. Y.-------------- |
| 23 | Jersey City, N. J. |
| 24 | Houston, Tex. |
| $\begin{aligned} & 25 \\ & 26 \end{aligned}$ | Louisville, Ky........ <br> Portland, Oreg.---- |

group it.-CIties having a population of 300,000 To 500,000

| \$21,735,298 | \$4,012,269 | \$605,847 | 726,208 | \$680,214 | \$12,406,254 | \$516,219 | \$1,685,500 | \$10,204,535 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12,992,273 | 2,533,367 | 2,513,367 | 20,000 |  | 4,260,603 | 113,432 | 1,398,000 | 2,749,171 |
| 36,273,093 | 16,804,006 | 1,185,169 | 13,876,214 | 1,742,623 | 13,009,280 | 476,275 | 2,103,703 | 10,429,302 |
| 27,789,053 | 22, ,92,252 | 753,415 | 20,118,586 | 1,620,251 | 1,124,080 | 109,505 | 363,464 | 651,111 |
| 12,726,445 | 6,803,655 | 3,243,227 | 186,428 | 3,374,000 | 460,487 | 67,647 | 48,000 | 344,840 |
| 13,417,804 | 639,122 | 216,743 | 331,234 | 91,145 | 5,963,573 | 95,272 | 3,800,305 | 2,067,996 |
| $6,138,485$ $6,046,579$ | $2,913,474$ $2,752,859$ | $1,377,764$ 494,359 | $59,460$ | $1,476,250$ | 613,282 161,917 | $\begin{aligned} & 132,285 \\ & 50 \end{aligned}$ | 66,000 | 414,997 104,000 |
| 16,805,126 | 6,476,370 | 1,046,205 | 5,430,165 |  | 1,421,868 | 323,935 | 1,072,175 | 25,758 |
| 9,423,805 | 5,871,666 | 2,953,266 | 2,680,157 | 238,243 | 192,073 | 830 | 172,500 | 18,743 |
| $\underset{\substack{11,223,621 \\ 9,925,396}}{ }$ | $7,175,646$ $2,047,471$ | 1,006,171 | 411,000 | $5,758,475$ 33,535 | $1,003,197$ $2,613,404$ | 16,483 327,660 | 10,000 434,906 | 976,714 |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 山⿸⺶ } \\ & \text { 总 } \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \end{aligned}$ | CITY | Aggregate | ASSETS IN SINKING FONDS |  |  |  | ASSETS IN PUBLIC TRUST FUNDS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Total | Cash | $\begin{gathered} \text { City securi- } \\ \text { ties (per } \\ \text { value) } \end{gathered}$ | Other in－ vestments | Total | Cash | $\begin{gathered} \text { City seouri- } \\ \text { ties (par } \\ \text { value) } \end{gathered}$ | Other in－ vestments |
| group iil．－Cities having a population or 100，000 to 300，000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27 | Columbus，ohio | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 5,621,338 \\ 4,58,551 \\ 5,035,141 \\ 5,479,905 \\ 2,314,707 \end{array}$ | $\$ 4,396,076$$3,316,924$2， | \＄2，283，858 | \＄1，719，982 | \＄392，236 | \＄516，583 | \＄26，681 | \＄18，000 | \＄471，902 |
| 28 | Toledo，Ohio－ |  |  | 1，643，911 | 1，673，013 |  | 684，066 | 27,047 43,780 | 75，630 | －－－－－－－－389 |
| 29 30 | Oakland，Callf． Denver，colo．－ |  | $1,029,093$ 259,137 | $1,029,093$ 163,137 | 96，000 | －－－－－－－－－－－ | 2，946，625 | $\begin{array}{r} 76,356 \\ 8,538 \end{array}$ | 297,900126,000 |  |
| 31 | Atlanta，Ga． |  | 997，463 | 469，463 | 528，000 |  | 289，138 |  |  | $2,572,369$ 54,600 |
| 32 | Dallas，Tex．－ | 4，175，307 | 1，577，021 | $\begin{array}{r}1,096,521 \\ 523,536 \\ \hline 29\end{array}$ | 480，500$9,717,503$ | 3，648，149 | 81,563$1,069,751$ | 33,06327,159 | 8,500227,500 | 40,000815,092 |
| 33 | St．Paul，Minn． | 17，258，355 | 13，889，188 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 34 35 | Birmingham，Al Akron， | $3,887,218$ $4,708,033$ | $1,203,490$ $2,661,685$ | － 249,953 | $\begin{array}{r} 1,000 \\ 2,335,158 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 952,537 \\ 33,902 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 160,784 \\ & 352,254 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15,626 \\ & 38,332 \end{aligned}$ | 66，590 | 247， 3 142 |
| 36 | Memphis，Tenn． | 6，673，185 | 2，081，228 | 436，605 | 259，000 | 1，385，623 | 33，745 | ${ }_{470}$ | 24，000 | 9，275 |
| 37 | Providence，R．I． | $17,383,472$$2,406,410$ | 10，187，508 | $\begin{array}{r} 241,216 \\ 1,693,085 \end{array}$ | 9，946，292 <br> 171,000 <br> $1,583,055$ | － | $5,858,801$14,744 | 226,72014,114 | 5，345，788 | 286,293630 |
| 38 | San Antonio，Te |  | 1，864，085 |  |  |  |  |  | 106，796 |  |
| 39 | Omaha，Nebr．－－ Syracuse，N． | 4，227，241 | 3，241，293 | 1，407，488 | 1，583，055 | －－－－－70， | $\begin{array}{r} 225,547 \\ 17,729 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 20,076 \\ 4,438 \end{array}$ |  | 98,675 13,291 |
| 41 | Dayton，Ohio | 4，357，627 | 2，338，783 | $\begin{array}{r} 546,758 \\ 1,301,281 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,792,025 \\ & 1,704,216 \end{aligned}$ | －－－－－－－－－－132 | $\begin{aligned} & 154,407 \\ & 116,388 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 68,105 \\ 116,388 \end{array}$ | 82，000 | 4，302 |
| 42 | Oklahoma City，okla | 7，443，919 | 5，144，659 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 43 | Worcester，Mass． | 3，527，736 | 434，090 | $\begin{array}{r} 107,090 \\ 2,022,246 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 327,000 \\ 9,672,750 \end{array}$ | －－－－－－－－－－－－ | $2,502,805$627,741 | $\begin{aligned} & 88,535 \\ & 27,601 \end{aligned}$ | －－－－－－－－－－ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,414,270 \\ 575,940 \end{array}$ |
| 44 | Richmond，Va．－ | 16，774，385 | 14，630，996 |  |  | 2，936，000 |  |  | 24,200 33,000 |  |
| 45 46 | Youngstown，ohio－－－ Grand Rapids，Mich． | 1，517，821 | 779，123 $2,188,217$ | 551,339 480,316 | 227,784 $1,530,200$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 166,735 \\ & 122,881 \end{aligned}$ | 3,439 44,857 | 33,000 2,500 | 130,296 75,524 |
| 47 | Fort Worth，Tex． | 1，526，476 | 1，364，947 | 489,31634,58315,583 | $\begin{array}{r} 1,030,200 \\ 285,500 \\ 1,027,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 177,701 \\ 684,834 \\ 2,232,111 \end{array}$ |  | 5，－444 | 35，000 | 307，081 |
| 48 | Hartford，Conn． | 5，667，748 | 3，274，694 |  |  |  | 347，525 | 5，444 |  |  |
| 49 | Flint，Mich．－－ | 3，890，046 | 1，913，921 | 54,568 $1,858,364$ <br> 209,760 547,000 |  | $\begin{array}{r} 989 \\ 282,038 \end{array}$ | 27,992$1,333,683$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13,562 \\ & 38,872 \end{aligned}$ | 65，000 | 14,430$1,229,811$ |
| 50 | New Haven，Conn． | 3，595，959 | 1，038，798 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 51 | San Diego，Calif． | 3，177，738 | 263，330 | 263，330 | 547，000 | 282，038 | $\begin{array}{r} 1,160,847 \\ 18,257 \end{array}$ | 137,099 2,813 | －－－－－－－－－－－－－－－－ | 1，023，748 |
| 52 | Long Beach，Celip Nashville，Tenn．－ | $2,255,090$ $3,404,798$ | 1，270，531 | 1，162，531 | 108，000 | －－－－－－－－－－－ | $\begin{gathered} 38,48,48 \\ 77,099 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 59,545 \\ & 19,457 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 61,200 \\ & 16,787 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 264,743 \\ 40,855 \end{array}$ |
| 54 |  | 1，266，702 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 55 | Tuisa，Okia．－ | 5，699，144 | 3，940，211 | 3，028，431 | 721，－780 | 190，000 | $\begin{gathered} 210,311 \\ 85,607 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 210,311 \\ 22,097 \end{gathered}$ | －－－－－－－－－－ |  |
| 56 57 | Bridgeport，Conn． Des Moines， | 1，226，014 | 60，501 | $\begin{array}{r} 60,501 \\ 58,672 \\ 267,285 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 58 | Scranton，Pa． | 975，289 | 69，455 |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 634,165 \\ & 584,792 \\ & 299,032 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 86,307 \\ 156,195 \\ 14,607 \end{array}$ | ［ $\begin{array}{r}196,080 \\ 200,908 \\ \hline-27,080\end{array}$ | 351,778227,689281,425 |
| 59 | Salt Lake City，U | 1，641，542 | 317，285 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 60 | Yonkers，N．Y |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 77，650 | 1，011，141 |



| 2,683,244 | 1,406,831 | 8,931 | 1,397,900 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 908,060 | 714,961 | 349,430 | 180,116 | 185,415 |
| 2,190,684 | 1,620,941 | 98,570 | 706,850 | 815,521 |
| 9,116,263 | 8,769,502 | 193,297 | 7,517,205 | 1,059,000 |
| 2,275,575 | 1,564,287 | 267,024 | 1,237,263 | 60,000 |
| 1,243,001 | 769,005 | 348,594 | 310,000 | 110,411 |
| 1,439,184 | 1,076,696 | 285,948 |  | 790,748 |
| 1,036,597 | 148,052 | 148,052 |  |  |
| 4,090,716 | 1,550,078 | 163,473 | 1,323,005 | 63,600 |
| 1,404,233 | 486,085 | 334,458 | 140,000 | 11,627 |
| 2,946,070 | 675,293 | 93,513 | 575,920 | 5,860 |
| 2,036,737 1717,262 | 59,699 | 56,499 | 1,000 | 2,200 |
| 1,143,629 | 748,514 | 2,514 | 175,000 | 571,000 |
| 4,680,932 | 2,307,388 | 13,476 | 591,500 | 1,702,412 |
| 1,828,171 | 888,630 | 32,540 | 76,000 | 780,090 |
| 924,981 | 509,016 | 284,016 | 12,500 | 212,500 |
| 2,855,790 | 2,305,829 | 47,896 | 2,132,633 | 125,300 |
| 2,392,706 |  |  |  |  |
| 1,159,248 | 310,596 | 298,596 | ---------.-- | 12,000 |
| 1,352,548 | 358,770 | 268,570 | 90,200 | -------- |
| 2,687,440 | 1,101,842 | 1,076,244 | -------760 | 25,598 |
| 973,844 | 82,193 | 78,426 688,468 | 3,767 |  |
| 3,268,391 | 2,527,910 | 688,468 | 1,358,872 | 480,570 |
| 2,862,208 | 1,491,660 | 99,268 | 807,450 | 584,942 |
| 2,519,729 | 2,248,650 | 546,936 | 1,701,714 | ----------- |
| $2,197,215$ 896,472 | 705,750 | 423,750 | 282,000 |  |
| 1,223,229 | 73,252 | 73,252 |  |  |
| 2,245,956 | 104,953 | 3,388 |  | 101,565 |
| 1,067,148 | 242,890 | 182,916 | 59,974 | ------------ |
| 5,314,714 | 79,031 157,536 | 79,031 5,249 |  |  |
| 1,916,932 | 157,536 | 5,249 | 150,000 | 2,287 |
| 402,505 |  |  |  |  |
| 2,113,577 | 50,687 | 50,687 | ------------ |  |


| 75,567 | 20,619 | 25,000 | 29,948 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11,451 | 5,323 | 5,628 | 500 |
| 144,837 | 14,842 | 45,000 | 84,995 |
| 11,796 | 10,796 |  | 1,000 |
| 101,236 | 14,036 | ------.-.---- | 87,200 |
| 35,924 | 1,424 | --.----...-- | 34,500 |
| 2,071 | 2,071 |  |  |
| 254,386 | 37,425 | 89,189 | 127,772 |
| 53,864 | 6,564 | 47,300 |  |
| 12,421 | 485 |  | 11,936 |
| 71,685 | 37,510 | ----------- | 34,175 |
| 223,037 | 16,466 | 80,048 | 126,523 |
| 75,711 | 3,931 |  | 71,780 |
| 94,239 | 20,125 |  | 74,114 |
| 330,201 | 140 | 19,000 | 311,061 |
| 525,539 | 1,200 | 26,000 | 496,339 |
| 23,865 | 1,365 | 5,000 | 17,500 |
| 93,399 | 72,385 |  | 21,014 |
| 851,894 | 126,812 | 97,025 | 628,057 |
| 295,497 | 13,173 | 257,380 | 24,944 |
| 129,035 | 24,966 | 3,932 | 100,137 |
| 22,912 | 2,912 |  | 20,000 |
| 258,737 | 49,113 | 8,535 | 201,089 |
| 126,066 | 5,252 | 98,550 | 22,264 |
| 918,987 | 102,125 | 105,100 | 711,762 |
| 3,200 | 826 | 2,374 |  |
| 301,098 | 8,459 |  | 292,639 |
| 100,096 | 15,096 | 85,000 |  |
| 71,329 | 34,253 | 30,044 | 7,032 |
| 131,250 | 3,168 |  | 128,082 |
| 208,844 | 20,919 | 13,100 | 174,825 |
| 4,353,918 | 210,830 | 404,667 | 3,738,421 |
| 306,640 | 7,098 |  | 299,542 |
| 155,401 | 296 | ------------ | 155,105 |
|  |  |  |  |

1/ Not included in group or grand totals.
TABLE 26.-AMOUNT OF SPECIFIED ASSETS AT CLOSE OF YEAR: 1937-Continued

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 宮 } \\ & \text { 吕 } \\ & \text { ث } \\ & \hline 0 \end{aligned}$ | CITY | ASSETS in investuent funds and miscelianeous investuents |  |  |  |  | CASH IN GENERAL TREASURY AND GENERAL ADWINISTRATIVE FUNDS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Cash | $\begin{gathered} \text { City securi- } \\ \text { ties (par } \\ \text { value) } \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\text { erty }}{\substack{\text { Reel prop- }}}$ | Other investments | Exclusive of amounts held in private trust accounts | Amounts held <br> in private trust accounts |
|  | Grand total | \$145,187,257 | \$2,714,051 | \$82,010,299 | \$7,582,788 | \$52,880,119 | \$337,926,173 | \$23,093,970 |
|  |  | $104,573,157$ $18,406,393$ $22,207,707$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,101,690 \\ 410,723 \\ 1,201,638 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 76,256,352 \\ 3,410,868 \\ 2 ; 343,079 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $1,393,554$ $5,091,769$ $1,097,465$ | $\begin{array}{r} 25,821,561 \\ 9,493,033 \\ 17,565,525 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 230,719,415 \\ 40,269,583 \\ 66,937,175 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 19,527,523 \\ 2,068,827 \\ 1,497,620 \end{array}$ |

GROUP II.-CITIES HAVING A POPULATION OF 300,000 TO 500,000

| 15 | Minneapolis, | \$59,753 | \$2,448 | \$943 | ------------ | \$56,362 | *4,443,116 | \$813,906 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 | New Orleans, La. | 1,871,605 | 212 | 949,300 | \$673,000 | 249,093 | 4,165,121 | 161,577 |
| 17 | Cincinnati, oh | 4,022,049 | 5,189 | 1,197,304 |  | 2,819,556 | 2,379,449 | 58,309 |
| 18 | Newark, N. J. | 889,505 | 43,866 | 401,239 |  | 444,400 | 3,162,087 | 121,129 |
| 19 | Kansas City, | 1,289,550 | 262,479 | 20,000 |  | 1,107,071 | 4,062,635 | 110,118 |
| 20 | Seattie, Ẅash | 4,594,740 | 172,314 | 3,456 | 750,092 | 3,668,878 | 2,091,586 | 128,783 |
| 21 | Indianapoiis, In | 392,845 | 13,569 |  |  | 379,276 | 2,216,884 | 2,000 |
| 22 | Rochester, N. Y. | 874,115 | 9,402 | 547,000 | ------------- | 317,713 | 2,227,971 | 29,717 |
| 23 | Jersey City, N. J | 147,379 |  |  |  | 147,379 | 8,698,854 | 60,655 |
| 24 | Houston, Tox. | 143,478 |  |  |  | 143,478 | 3,045,754 | 170,834 |
| 25 | Loutsville, Ky. | 972,902 |  |  | 818,677 | 154,225 | 2,070,795 | 1,081 |
| 26 | Portiand, Ore | 3,148,472 | 1,244 | 291,626 | 2,850,000 | 5,602 | 1,705,331 | 410,718 |

GROUP III.--CIties having a portlation of 100,000 to 300,000


TABLE 26.-AMOUNT OF SPECIFIED ASSETS AT CLOSE OF YEAR: 1937-Continued

| \% | CITY | ASSETS IN INVESTMENT FUNDS AND MISCELLANEOUS INVESTVENTS |  |  |  |  | CASH IN GENERAL ThEASURY AND GENERAL ADMINISTRATIVE FUNDS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 勆 |  | Totel | Cash | $\begin{aligned} & \text { City securi- } \\ & \text { ties (par } \\ & \text { value) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Real prop- } \\ \text { erty } \end{gathered}$ | Other investments | Exclusive of amounts held in private trust accounts | Amounts held <br> in private trust accounts |

Group iti.-cities having a population of 100,000 To 300,000 -continued

$\frac{1 / 2}{2}$ Overdraft.

## SECTION D. ASSESSED VALUATION AND TAX LEVIES (Tables 27 and 28)

The assessed valuation of property in the 94 cities subject to general property taxes for city corporation purposes in 1937 was $\$ 56,716,868,383$, or $\$ 1,505$ per capita. These valuations for the cities are those upon which the levies were made that produced the current property tax revenue for their fiscal years ended in 1937.

The dates that these assessments were made varied among the cities, running from some date in 1935 for 6 cities and in 1936 for 64 cities to some date in 1937 for 24 cities. In presenting the assessed valuations upon a uniform basis, comparison may be made of the taxes levied for the year with collections on that levy, which are presented in table 3. In prior years, it was the practice of the Bureau to report the latest assessment that had been made in any city at the time the report for a given year was being prepared, regardless of the fiscal year for which the levy on this valuation was to be made. Because of the revised procedure for the present report, the assessed valuations for 1937 in 46 cities are the same as those presented in the 1936 report.

The total valuation consisted of $\$ 47,645,779,149$ real property, which includes land and improvements to land; $\$ 8,453,659,209$ personal property, which includes both tangible and intangible personal property; and $\$ 617,430,025$ other property, which includes largely the valuation of railroads and other types of public utility property which, in some states, is not segregated as between real and personal.

The valuation of property within these cities subject to taxes for state purposes was $\$ 38,241,955,698$; for county purposes, $\$ 11,211,721,614$; and for the purposes of other civil divisions, $\$ 3,087,156,368$. Table 27 presents separately the assessed valuations and tax levies of the city corporations and each independent unit levying general property taxes. As explained in part i of this study, data for counties containing municipalities with a population of over 300,000 are apportioned to the respective figures of the city corporation.

The valuations shown are not the full or market value of all property in the cities, because the basis of assessment in many cities or other local assessing units varies from 100 percent of such value. A tabulation showing the value of all property in the cities, furthermore, would require inclusion of the assessed valuation of property that is exempt from general property taxes. A tabulation of the valuation of property exempt from the general property tax in the 94 cities is not included in this report.

The total levies for all purposes for 1937 upon the assessed valuations of the 94 cities was $\$ 1,821,257,638$, or $\$ 48.34$ per capita. Of this total, $\$ 1,703,094,855$ was $10 r$ city purposes, including the city corporation and independent overlapping districts practically coextensive with the cities, such as schools, parks, and those counties in which are located the cities having over 300,000 population. Of the remainder of the total levies, $\$ 46,284,338$ was for State purposes, $\$ 63,851,501$ was for county purposes, and $\$ 8,026,944$ was for purposes of other civil divisions.

The foregoing data as to assessed valuation and tax levies are discussed in detail below.

DEFINITIONS.-Definitions of the terms used in this report may be found on pages 323-327.

ASSESSED VALUATION OF PROPERTY SUBJECT TO GENERAL PROPERTY TAXES. - ASSessed valuations have been subjected in recent years to a variety of influences, such as the deflation of speculative property values, the partial recovery from severely depressed valuations, the elimination of real property from the tax roll through homestead and other exemptions, and the active resumption of building construction in some areas. The assessed valuation of property subject to general property taxes for city purposes (in the 94 cities) declined $\$ 296,064,000$ during 1937, although the comparison must be qualified because of revision in compilation procedure stated earlier. The erratic trend of assessed valuations for city purposes, both in volume and per capita, is indicated in the following figures:

| Year | Assessed valua- <br> tion (in thou- <br> sands) | Per capita |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1926 | $\$ 57,248,000$ | $\$ 1,699$ |
| 1927 | $63,328,000$ | 1,855 |
| 1928 | $66,109,000$ | 1,887 |
| 1929 | $68,195,000$ | 1,908 |
| 1930 | $71,339,000$ | 1,957 |
| 1931 | $71,252,000$ | 1,921 |
| 1932 | $66,187,000$ | 1,754 |
| 1933 | $60,778,000$ | 1,622 |
| 1934 | $57,296,000$ | 1,524 |
| 1935 | $56,328,000$ | 1,497 |
| 1936 | $57,013,000$ | 1,514 |
| 1937 | $56,717,000$ | 1,505 |

As indicated by these figures, the depression period brought a sharp readjustment downward in property valuations, most of which occurred in 1932, 1933, and 1934. By 1935, assessed valuations had declined $\$ 15,011,000,000$ or 21 percent, from the peak reported in 1930. The decline was even more severe on a per capita basis, the figure for 1935 being 23.5 percent less than for 1930.

TAX LEVIES. -Despite the need for revenue by the 94 cities during the depression years, the total levy (of the general property tax) for city purposes declined sharply from a high of $\$ 2,029,837,666$ in 1931 to a low of $\$ 1,656,950,707$ in 1934. As may be seen from the table presented below, the levy is still well below that of the years 1926 through 1932, especially when considered on a per capita basis:

| Year | Tax levy | Per capita |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1926 | $\$ 1,576,405,048$ | $\$ 46.77$ |
| 1927 | $1,723,537,173$ | 50.48 |
| 1928 | $1,805,147,552$ | 51.53 |
| 1929 | $1,896,063,374$ | 53.06 |
| 1930 | $1,986,260,310$ | 54.49 |
| 1931 | $2,029,837,666$ | 54.73 |
| 1932 | $1,930,680,935$ | 51.16 |
| 1933 | $1,703,849,197$ | 45.46 |
| 1934 | $1,656,950,707$ | 44.08 |
| 1935 | $1,662,096,101$ | 44.17 |
| 1936 | $1,692,573,500$ | 44.94 |
| 1937 | $1,703,094,855$ | 45.21 |

Assessed valuations reached their peak in 1930, tax levies the next year. Total valuations in 1937 were almost back to the 1926 level, while the levies in 1937 exceeded the total for 1926 although less than for 1927 . A return to the levies of pre-depression years may be slow, because of the resistance to tax increases. It is easier for a city to change its assessed valuation than its tax rate. The pressure of organized property owners, the effect of tax limitation laws, the problem of tax delinquency, additional revenue from state aid, and resort to new sources of revenue-such as the city sales tax used in New York, city motor vehicle fuel taxes, and the like-are among the factors leading to moderation in expansion of the tax burden on property. The increased share of the local relief burden borne by the States and the Federal Government has been of great importance in helping to lessen the need for revenue by the cities.

TABLE 27
The valuations shown in table 27 are those of property subject to the general property tax by the 94 cities and also of property within their jurisdiction taxed by the States, counties, and other civil divisions. of the total valuation for city purposes, $\$ 56,716,868,383,84$ percent consisted of real property; 14.9 percent was personal property; and the remaining 1.2 percent comprised other property not segregated as between real and personal. The trend of assessed valuations in the 94 cities has been considered in the opening discussion of this section.

ASSESSED VALUATION OF PROPERTY. - In some cities the valuation taxed by the city corporation differs from that taxed by the State, county, or other civil divisions. This is due to statutory provisions affecting the types of local property to be taxed, to differing assessments of the same property by two units of assessment, or to geographical factors-some independent divisions containing less than the total city area, for example.

The extent to which personal property is taxed varies among cities. Cities in the State of New York, for example, are not taxed at all on personalty but only on real property. The classification of property belonging to rallroads, telephone and telegraph companies, and similar corporations varies. In some States such property, including the value of franchises, is classified by the State as real and in some as personal. In other States part of the assessed valuation of such property is classified as real and part as personal. In still other States this class of property is assessed as a whole and given a separate classification. In such cases the valuation is apportioned among the local taxing units and is reported here in the column "All other."

BASIS OF ASSESSMENT. -The basis of assessment as provided by law in many of the States is at "cash," "full," "true," or "market" value; in some States, assessment is at a stated percentage of such value; and in other States, no basis is prescribed. Provision relating to the basis for assessment of personal property may vary also from that applied to real property. In Alabama, for example, the legal basis is 60 percent, and, in Washington, 50 percent, of cash value; in Minnesota, real property is classified and assessed at values from 20 percent to 40 percent, and personal property is divided into five classes with assessment at 25 percent to 100 percent. As a result, the reported valuation of cities in States not prescribing 100 percent of cash value is not comparable with that of cities in States having a legal basis of 100 percent unless such variations are recognized and adjustments made in the analysis. The Bureau, however, does not report the legal basis of assessment in the cities.

In addition to the absence of data as to the legal basis of assessment in these cities, the Bureau has discontinued its presentation of data on the actual basis of assessment in practice. This basis in several cities and independent governmental units is only a percentage of the full cash value. In some cases either real or personal property is assessed at a percentage of cash value and the other at full value. As examples: In California, while the legal basis is 100 percent, assessed valuations are in practice approximately 50 percent of cash value; in Chicago, the assessed valuation of both real and personal property is 37 percent of the cash value, as recognized by court decision; in New orleans, the basis of assessment for city and school purposes is authorized to be 85 percent of the valuation for State and levee district purposes; in Florida, assessments used as a basis for the imposition of city or other local taxes are reported as varying for all types of property from approximately 20 percent to 50 percent of cash value; and in the cities of Texas, the reported basis of assessment of both real and personal property ranges from 40 percent to 75 percent for the levies for city and other units of government. In order to obtain for any number of cities comparability of assessed valuation and tax burden data, these variations in basis of assessing in actual practice must be considered.

TAX LeVIES AND RATES.-The total and per capita levy, and the rate per $\$ 1,000$ of assessed valuation, are shown in table 27 for each city, its independent subdivisions, if any, and for the State, county, and other civil divisions. It will be observed that for the cities in California, Delaware, Illinois, Michigan, Ohio, Oklahoma, and Rhode Island there is no entry on the table for "Government of State." In these 7 States there was no levy of the general property tax for State purposes. For the cities in Pennsylvania and Virginia, which also levy no general property tax for State purposes, the item "Government of State" reflects a State tax in small amounts on selected property. ${ }^{1}$ Total levies upon the assessed valuations for all State and local governmental units reported in this study were $\$ 1,821,257,638$, of which amount $\$ 1,703,094,855$, or 93 percent,

[^12]was for city corporation purposes. The remaining 7 percent of the total of all levies was for county, State, and other civil division purposes. The trend of tax levies for city purposes was discussed previously.

The tax rate of property tax levy per $\$ 1,000$ of assessed valuation for each unit of government levying a tax within the city is given in table 27 . The rates vary for each separate levy, usually being the largest for the city, or the city corporation and school district combined, followed by that for the county, and then that for the State, and finally, that for other civil divisions.

Because of the variation in most cities of the assessed valuations against which the taxes at the stated rates are levied, the "total tax rate per $\$ 1,000$ " for each city is not reported. The total rate for each city corporation, however, is reported either as a definite amount or an average rate. Because of the variations in assessed valuations and tax rates, it is impracticable to report the average tax rate per $\$ 1,000$ for all cities.

More or less importance is placed upon the tax rate by those interested in analyzing statistics relating to the tax burden of cities. The tax rate, however, is merely a quotient of the tax levy divided by the assessed valuation, expressed in terms of the rate per $\$ 100$ or $\$ 1,000$ of the valuation. Whether the rate will be relatively high or low, therefore, depends upon the quantitative value of the factors entering into the computation-the rate will vary inversely with either the levy or the valuation. It is for this reason that the rate is commonly found to be unusually high in those cities assessing property at a low ratio of full value.
bank stock tax levies. - Taxes on bank stock are reported in table $27-\mathrm{A}$, with the valuation, rate, and levy for 1937. In the cities of New Jersey, property in the form of bank stock is taxed, for city and county purposes, at a special rate based on the valuation of the stock. Similarly, in Baltimore, the city levied taxes at special rates on property in the form of corporation shares of banks, and of trust, surety, guarantee and fidelity, and fire and marine insurance companies, and in the form of savings banks deposits. ${ }^{2}$

TABLE 27-A.-BANK STOCK TAX LEVIES: 1937

| \% | CITY, AND DIVISION OF GOVARN IANT OR CLASSESOF PROPERTY | Valuation | Tax rateper$\$ 1,000$ ofvaluation | ievy |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per } \\ & \text { capita } \end{aligned}$ |
| 8 | Total | -------------- | ------- | \$1,435,866 | \$0.02 |
|  | Baltimore, Md.: <br> City corporation | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 294,803,833 \\ 81,494,500 \\ 213,309,333 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1 / \$ 4.12 \\ 10.00 \\ 1.88 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,214,900 \\ 814,945 \\ 399,9955 \end{array}$ | 1.491.00.49 |
|  | Banks, trust companies, and other corporation sharesSavings bank deposits |  |  |  |  |
| 69 | Camden; N. J.: <br> City corporation-bank stock---County-bank stock | $\begin{aligned} & 1,333,867 \\ & 1,333,867 \end{aligned}$ | 3.75 3.75 | 5,002 5,002 | .04 |
| 71 | Elizabeth, N. J.: <br> City corporation-bank stock- <br> County-bank stock | $\begin{aligned} & 367,733 \\ & 367,733 \end{aligned}$ | 3.75 3.75 | 2.379 | . 01 |
| 23 | Jersey City, N. J.: <br> City corporation-bank stock-- <br> County-bank stock | $\begin{aligned} & 4,954,400 \\ & 4,954,400 \end{aligned}$ | 3.75 3.75 | 18,579 18,579 | . 06 |
| 18 | Newark, N. J.: <br> City corporation-bank stock <br> County-bank stock $\qquad$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17,144,266 \\ & 17,144,266 \end{aligned}$ | 3.75 3.75 | $\begin{aligned} & 64,291 \\ & 64,24 \\ & \text { 64 } \end{aligned}$ | . 14 |
| 61 | Paterson, N. J.: <br> City corporation-bank stock County-bank stock | $\begin{aligned} & 4,131,733 \\ & 4,131,733 \end{aligned}$ | 3.75 3.75 | 15,494 15,494 | . 11 |
| 65 | Trenton, N. J.: <br> City corporation-bank stock County-bank stock | $\begin{aligned} & 1,530,110 \\ & 1,530,110 \end{aligned}$ | 3.75 3.75 | 5,738 5,738 | . 05 |

## 1/ Average rate.

2/ (f). Section $A$ on this point, p. 50, supre.

POLL TAX LEVIES.-Taxes upon polls, or capitation taxes, are reported in table 27-B with the rate and levy for 1937. As indicated in the discussion of poll taxes in Section A, Revenues, ${ }^{3}$ the receipts from poll taxes are insignificant compared with the total revenues of the cities, but are an important source of income to some cities. It should be repeated, also, that poll taxes shown for Connecticut cities are imposed by the State and locally collected, the Bureau classifying the portion of the yield retained as a local revenue, while the portion remitted to the State is reported in the State report as a grant from minor civil divisions. ${ }^{4}$

In reports before 1925, data concerning poll taxes were included in the table of assessed valuations and tax levies, but for 1925 and subsequent years the material was separately reported in a text table. In 1937, only 40 cities located in 13 States reported using this tax, compared with 48 cities in 1932, and the total levy declined 18 percent, from $\$ 5,023,453$ to $\$ 4,118,578$.

TABIE 27-B.-POLL TAX LEVIES: 1937

taRle 27.-ASSESSED valuation and tax levies, total and per capita: 1937

|  | CITY AND DIVISION OF GOVERIMENT | ASSESSED VALUATION OF PROPARTY |  |  |  |  | LEVY |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Real | Personal | Other | $\underset{\text { Pepita }}{\text { Per }}$ | Total | $\underset{\text { Per }}{\text { Pepita }}$ | Rate per <br> $\$ 1,000$ of assessed valuation |
|  | Grand total | --------------- | -------------- | ------------- | ------------ | ------ | \$1,821,257,638 | \$48.34 | ------- |
|  | Government of city Government of State $\qquad$ <br> Government of county $\qquad$ <br> Government of other civil division | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 56,716,868,383 \\ 38,241,955,698 \\ 11,211,721,614 \\ 3,087,156,368 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 47,645,779,149 \\ 32,082,056,443 \\ 8,950,601,870 \\ 2,449,905,984 \end{array}$ | $\$ 8,453,659,209$ $5,673,162,559$ $2,092,32,781$ $636,374,424$ | \$617,430,025 486,736,696 168,746,963 875,960 | $\$ 1,505$ 1,015 298 ---- | $\begin{array}{r} 1,703,094,855 \\ 46,284,338 \\ 63,851,501 \\ 8,026,944 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 45.21 \\ 1.23 \\ 1.69 \\ -\quad- \end{array}$ | ------------------- |
|  | GROUP I-------------- | 37,482,661,064 | --------------- | ---------------7 |  | ---.--7 | $1,168,274,966$ <br> $1,153,365$ | ${ }_{52.11} 52$ | ------- |
|  | Government of city-- Government of | $37,482,661,064$ $24,175,077$ 1,643 | $32,500,425,606$ $21,737,682,768$ | 4,736,882,846 $2,297,938,164$ | $245,352,612$ $139,456,711$ | 1,694 | $1,153,365,661$ $10,189,681$ | 52.11 .46 | - |
|  | Government of other civil divisions | 1,304,570,003 | 1,093,310,069 | 211,259,934 |  |  | 4,719,624 | ------ |  |
|  | $\underset{\text { Govermment of cily }}{\text { GROUP }}$ |  | 4,7-712,168,-373 |  | 201,-154,--770 | ----7-7 | $228,119,176$ $210,825,589$ | 49.46 45.71 | ---------- |
|  | Goverrment of State-- | 5,710, 392,754 | 3,983,683,771 | 1,525, 554,173 | 201,154,870 | 1,238 | 16,433,673 | $\begin{array}{r}\text { 3.56 } \\ \hline\end{array}$ | --- |
|  | Goverment of other civil divisio | 505,861,680 | 385,627,700 | 120,233,980 |  |  | 859,914 |  |  |
|  | $\underset{\text { Groverument of cily }}{\text { Groul }}$ | 13,--10-10,--171, 887 | 10,433,---------185, | -----1--1-------1 | --7---.----- | $\cdots$ | 424,863,496 $338,903,605$ | 38.87 31.01 | ---------- |
|  | Goverment of Stat | 8,356,485,301 | 6,360,689,964 | 1,849,670,222 | 146,125,115 | ${ }^{1} 765$ | 19,660,984 | 1.80 | ------- |
|  | Govermment of county $\qquad$ Government of other civil division | $11,211,721,614$ $1,276,724,685$ | $8,950,601,870$ $970,968,215$ | $2,092,372,781$ $304,880,510$ | $168,746,963$ 875,960 | 1,026 | $63,851,501$ $2,447,406$ | 5.84 | ------- |
|  | alabama |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 34 | BIRmingham---------- |  |  |  | ------------ | --...-. | 5,760,000 | 21.08 |  |
|  | Govermment of city- | 160,000,000 | 138,749,427 | $21,250,573$ $21,250,573$ | -- | 585 585 | 2,880,000 | $\underset{\substack{10.54 \\ 3.81}}{1.80}$ | $\$ 18.00$ 6.50 |
|  | Goverment of State-----.--- Govermment | $160,000,000$ $160,000,000$ | $138,749,427$ $138,749,427$ | $21,250,573$ $21,250,573$ | - | 585 585 | $1,040,000$ $1,840,000$ | 3.81 6.73 | 6.50 11.50 |
|  | CALIFORNIA $1 /$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | LOS ANGEIES-.-.-.-.-...... <br> Government of city-- | ----------------- | --------- | ------------------- | --------------. | -..---- | $65,694,091$ $60,974,467$ | ${ }_{4}^{48.51}$ | -------- |
|  | City corporation | 1,702,717,478 | 1,093,310,069 | $609,407,409$ <br> 609,407 | --------------- | 1,20\% | 21,92i,433 | 16.19 | $\frac{2}{2} / 12.87$ |
|  | Sounty--------1 | $1,702,717,478$ $1,702,717,478$ | $1,093,310,069$ $1,093,310,069$ | $609,407,409$ $609,407,409$ |  | 1,257 | $21,011,802$ $18,041,226$ | 15.52 13.32 | $\frac{2}{2} / 12.34$ |
|  | Government of Metropolitan water distri | 1,304,570,003 | 1,093,310,069 | 211,259,934 |  | 963 | 4,719,624 | 3.49 | 2/3.62 |
| 11 | SAN FRANCISCO--------Goverment of city | --------------1 | ---------------- | ---------------18 | ---------------- | $\cdots$ | $30,989,745$ $30,989,745$ | 47.23 47.23 | 2/31.61 |




table 27.-asSessed valuation and tax levies, total and per capita: 1937-continued



See footnotes on page 256.


| 309,223,294 <br> 309,223,294 <br> 363,792,111 <br> 363,792,111 |
| :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1,070,085,864 \\ & 1,031,649,809 \end{aligned}$ |
| $1,470,405,100$ $1,470,405,100$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 253,996,500 \\ & 253,996,500 \\ & 253,996,500 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 252,823,290 \\ & 252,823,290 \\ & 252,823,290 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 82,972,950 \\ & 82,972,950 \\ & 82,972,950 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 161,831,000 \\ & 161,831,000 \\ & 161,831,000 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 92,025,550 \\ & 92,025,550 \\ & 92,025,550 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 107,851,800 \\ & 107,851,800 \end{aligned}$ |


$17,056,220$
$14,211,455$
$9,041,404$
$2,943,713$
$2,226,338$
$2,844,765$

$34,873,968$
$31,852,145$
$3,021,823$

$62,454,656$
$59,346,586$
$3,108,070$
$10,480,077$
$9,389,122$
563,500
527,455
$9,164,831$
$8,205,662$
525,009
434,160
$3,815,217$
$3,438,096$
214,742
162,379
$6,994,313$
$6,374,302$
347,120
282,891
$4,402,282$
$3,997,840$
230,300
174,142
$5,174,529$
$4,765,188$
222,583
186,778

TABLE 27.-ASSESSED VALUATION AND TAX LEVIES, TOTAL AND PER CAPITA: 1937-Continued

|  | CITY AND division of govirmment | ASSESSED VALUATION OF PRoperty |  |  |  |  | Levy |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { o. } \\ & \text { 目 } \\ & \text { } \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  | Total | Real | Personal | Other | Per capita | Total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per } \\ & \text { copita } \end{aligned}$ | Rate per <br> $\$ 1,000$ <br> of <br> assessed valuation |
|  | MASSACHUSETTS-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 90 | LYNT--.-.-.-.--------- | \$137, $391,-725$ | \$121,570,625 | \$15,822,100 | - | \$1,--735 | $\$ 4,507,480$ $4,046,073$ | 343.80 39.32 | 2/\$29.45 |
|  | Government of State | 137,391,725 | 121,570,625 | 15,821,100 | ------------ | +1,335 | 258,597 | 2.51 | 1.88 |
|  | Goverment of county- | 137,391,725 | 121,570,625 | 15,821,100 | ------------- | 1,335 | 202,810 | 1.97 | 1.48 |
| 94 | LOWEII-- |  | ----------- | --.----- | ------------ | ------ |  |  |  |
|  | Goverment of cityGoverment of State | $\begin{aligned} & 102,645,290 \\ & 102,645,290 \end{aligned}$ |  | $11,975,790$ $11,975,790$ | ----------------- | 1,024 | $3,962,528$ 200,655 | 39.53 2.00 | $2 / 38.60$ 1.95 |
|  | Government of county | $\begin{aligned} & 102,64,2,20 \\ & 102,65,290 \end{aligned}$ | 90,669,500 | 11,975,790 | -- | 1,024 | 20,655 | 2.00 1.68 | 1.95 1.64 |
|  | midicigan 1/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | City corporatio | 2,291,719,930 | 1,787,253,570 | 504,466,360 | ----------- | 1,376 | $54,827,108$ | 32.91 | 23.92 |
|  | County--------- | 2,291,719,930 | 1,787,253,570 | 504,466,360 | ------------- | 1,376 | 11,405,890 | 6.85 | 4.98 |
| 46 | GRAD RAPIDS-- | ------------ | --------------- | -------------- | ------------ | ------ | 4,017,749 | 23.25 | ------- |
|  | Government of city- | 185,434,823 | 152,-708,860 | 33,025,963 | ------------ | -1,073 | $3,645,001$ $1,943,458$ | 21.09 11.25 | 10.48 |
|  | School district- | 185,434,823 | 152,408,860 | $33,025,963$ | ------------ | 1,073 | 1,701,543 | 9.85 | 9.18 |
|  | Government of county | 185,434,823 | 152,408,860 | 33,025,963 |  | 1,073 | 372,748 | 2.16 | 2.00 |
| 49 | FLINT-------.---.-...- | -- | --------- | -- | ------------ | ------- | 4,824,687 | ${ }^{28.86}$ | -------- |
|  | Government of city-- |  | -----.-.-.----- |  |  |  | $4,229,786$ $2,261,356$ | 25.30 | ---.-- |
|  | City corporation- School district | $\begin{aligned} & 175,063,150 \\ & 175,063,150 \end{aligned}$ | $147,178,210$ $147,178,210$ | $27,884,940$ $27,884,940$ |  | 1,047 | $2,261,356$ $1,968,430$ | 13.52 11.77 | 12.97 11.24 |
|  | Govornment of county | 175,063,150 | 147,178,210 | 27,884,940 |  | 1,047 | $1,989,430$ 594,901 | 11.77 3.56 | 1.24 3.40 |
|  | minesota |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | MINREAPOLIS--- | -------- | ----------- | ---------.-- | ------------- | ------ | 23,579,937 | 49.36 | -- |
|  | Government or city- | 550,-214, 144 | 201,836,197 | 348, $377,-\cdots 47$ |  | ---7.152 | $20,449,148$ $17.737,453$ | ${ }_{37.13}^{42.81}$ | $\underline{2 / 32.24}$ |
|  | County--....-.- | 550, 214, 144 | 201,836,197 | 348,377,947 | ------------ | 1,152 | 2,711,695 | 5.68 | \% 4.93 |
|  | Government of Stats | 550,214,144 | 201,836,197 | 348,377,947 |  | 1,152 | 3,130,789 | 6.55 | 2/ 5.69 |
| 33 | ST. PAUL------------- |  | - | --- | --.---------- |  | 13,074,353 | 47.05 |  |
|  | Government of city-- Government of State- | $\begin{aligned} & 273,643,954 \\ & 273,643,954 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 110,347,460 \\ & 110,347,460 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 163,296,494 \\ & 163,296,494 \end{aligned}$ | -- | 985 985 | 7,907,420 $1,714,955$ | 28.45 6.17 | $\frac{2}{2 / 28.90} 6$ |
|  | Govermment of county | 273,643, 954 | 110,347,460 | 163,296,494 | ------------ | 985 | 3,451,978 | 12.42 | 2/12.61 |



| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 虫 } \\ & \text { 者 } \\ & \text { 院 } \end{aligned}$ | City and division of goverruent | assessed valuation of property |  |  |  |  | IEVY |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Real | Personal | Other | $\begin{gathered} \text { Per } \\ \text { capita } \end{gathered}$ | Total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per } \\ & \text { capita } \end{aligned}$ | Rate per $\$ 1,000$ <br> or assessed valuation |
|  | NEW JERSEY－Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 65 | TRENTON $\qquad$ Government of city－ Government of State Goverment of county $\square$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 161,434,023 \\ 158,283,523 \\ 158,283,523 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 140,692,700 \\ 133,401,700 \\ 133,401,700 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} -1,982,298 \\ 1,982,298 \\ 1,982,298 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1,301 \\ 1,275 \\ 1,275 \\ 1,201 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \begin{array}{c} \$ 6,393,123 \\ 4,724,303 \\ 487,152 \\ 1,181,668 \end{array} \end{array}$ | $\$ 51.52$ 38.07 3.93 9.52 9.5 | －1－．－－ \＄29．26 3.08 7.46 |
| 69 |  <br> Government of State－－－ | $\begin{aligned} & 136,578,173 \\ & 146,975,099 \\ & 146,975,099 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 115,651,610 \\ & 113,309,371 \\ & 113,309,371 \end{aligned}$ | 1－－－1，－1－－－－ <br> $29,423,250$ <br> $29,422,415$ | －－．－．．．．．．．－ <br> $, 243,313$ <br> $4,243,313$ <br> $4,243,313$ | $\cdots-1 .-1$ <br> 1,147 <br> 1,234 <br> 1,234 | $5,864,668$ $4,251,982$ 435,943 $1,176,743$ | 49.24 35.70 3.66 9.88 | －－－－－－－ <br> 31.13 <br> 2.97 <br> 8.01 |
| 71 | ELIZABETH <br> Goverment of city－ $\qquad$ <br> Government of State－ <br> Government of county $\qquad$ $\qquad$ <br> NEW YORK | $\begin{aligned} & 139,675,008 \\ & 143,762,736 \\ & 143,762,736 \end{aligned}$ | $123,670,-\cdots$ 121,789 $121,859,143$ | $12,-\cdots \cdots 7,-7$ $18,285,070$ $18,285,815$ |  | $1-2,-185$ <br> 1,219 <br> 1,219 | $5,220,948$ $4,028,096$ 362,696 830,156 | 44.28 34.17 3.08 7.04 | －－－－－－－ -28.84 2.52 5.77 |
| 1 | NEW YORK $\qquad$ <br> Government of city－－ <br> Government of State | $\begin{gathered} 16,599,695,194 \\ 16,599,695,194 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16,599,69,194 \\ & 6,599,695,194 \end{aligned}$ | －－－ | －－．－－－－－ | $--\ldots-1$ <br> 2,320 <br> 2,320 | $459,319,513$ $458,995,547$ 393,966 | 64.20 64.16 .05 |  |
| 14 | Government of city－ <br> City corporation <br> County <br> Goverment of State－ |  |  | －－－．－．－．－．－．－．－－－－－－－ |  | $\cdots-\cdots-$ <br> $1,-6-8$ <br> 1,648 <br> 1,648 | $\begin{array}{r} 32,720,696 \\ 32,525,239 \\ 25,743,480 \\ 6,781,759 \\ 195,457 \end{array}$ | 55.99 55.66 44.05 11.60 .33 | －－－．－．－－ --26.72 7.04 .20 |
| 22 | ROCHESTER－－－－－－－．－－－－－－－－ <br> City corporation <br> County <br> Government of State－ | $\begin{array}{r} -\cdots-\cdots-\cdots-\cdots-20 \\ 625,20,142 \\ 625,23,142 \\ 625 ; 203,142 \end{array}$ |  | －－－－－－－－－－－－ |  | $1,-\cdots-$ <br> $\cdots-875$ <br> 1,885 <br> 1,875 <br> 1, | $\begin{array}{r} 23,084,451 \\ 22,961,909 \\ 17,810,316 \\ 5,151,593 \\ 122,542 \end{array}$ | 69.22 68.85 53.40 1.45 .37 | $\begin{array}{r}\text {－－－－－－－－} \\ \begin{array}{r}2 / 28.49 \\ 8.24 \\ .20\end{array} \\ \hline\end{array}$ |
| 40 | SYRACUSE <br> Goverment of city $\qquad$ City corporation－ $\qquad$ <br> County supervisors＇fund－ <br> Government of State－ $\qquad$ | $375,397, \quad 867$ $375,397,867$ $375,397,867$ $375,397,867$ |  | －－ |  | －－－－－－－ <br> --750 <br> 1,750 <br> 1,500 <br> 1,550 <br> 1,750 | $\begin{array}{r} 12,531,120 \\ 10,557,016 \\ 10,274,640 \\ 282,376 \\ 76,352 \\ 1,897,752 \end{array}$ | 58.42 49.22 47.90 1.32 .36 8.85 |  |



table 27.-ASSESSED valuation and tax levies, total and per capita: 1937-Continued

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 呙 } \\ & \text { 学 } \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \end{aligned}$ | CITY AND DIVISION OF GOVERNWENT | assessid valuation of proprrty |  |  |  |  | LeVY |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Real | Personal | Other | Par capita | Total | $\underset{\text { capite }}{\text { Per }}$ | Rate per <br> $\$ 1,000$ <br> of <br> assessed valuation <br> valuation |
| 41 | OHIO-Continued <br> DAYTON $\qquad$ <br> Govermment of eity- $\qquad$ City corporation <br> School district- <br> Goverment of county $\qquad$ <br>  | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 278,910,970 \\ 278,910,970 \\ 278,910,970 \end{array}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -\cdots-\cdots \\ \hline \$ 1,350 \\ 1,350 \\ 1,350 \\ 1,30 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 5,578,218 \\ 4,437,473 \\ 2,769,585 \\ 1,667,888 \\ 1,140,745 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 27.00 \\ 21.48 \\ 13.41 \\ 8.07 \\ 5.52 \\ 5 . \end{array}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 45 | Youncstown-- | ------- | ---- | ---- | ------------ | ------ | 4,744,553 | 27.24 | ----- |
|  | Governmont or city corporation | 263,586,314 | 206,537,-100 | 5/ $57 .-\cdots-19,-114$ | ------------ | 1,513 | $4,112,474$ $2,026,725$ | 23.61 11.63 | 7.69 |
|  | Sohool district- | 263,586,314 | 206,537, 200 | 5/5/57,049,114 |  | 1,513 | 2,061,245 | 11.83 | 7.82 |
|  | Park district-- | 263,586,314 $263,586,314$ | 206,537,200 $206,537,200$ | 5/ 57, $57,049,114$ |  | 1,513 | 24,514 626,017 | .14 3.59 | .09 2.38 |
|  | Government of county-Govermment of townhip | $263,586,314$ $263,586,314$ | 206,537,200 $206,537,200$ | 5/ $57,049,114$ |  | 1,513 | 626,017 6,062 | 3.59 .03 |  |
| 84 | Canton----- | -------- | --------- | --------------- | ------------- | ------ | 2,237,235 | 20.81 | ------- |
|  | Goverumont of city-- City corporation | 130, $740.7-742$ | 103,--157, 780 |  | ---------------- | $\cdots$ | $1,853,679$ 652,704 | 17.24 6.07 | ------ |
|  | School district | 130,540,742 | 103,557,780 | $\frac{5}{5 / 26,982,962}$ | ------------ | 1,214 | 1,200,975 | 11.17 | 9.20 |
|  | Govermment of county | 130,540,742 | 103,557,780 | 5/ 5 26,982,962 |  | 1,214 | 1,352,460 | 3.28 | 2.70 |
|  | Goverment of townehip | 130,540,742 | 103,557,780 | 5/ $26,982,962$ |  | 1,214 | 31,096 | . 29 | 2/.24 |
|  | aкLaномa $1 /$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 42 | CKLABOMA CITY------- |  | ------------ | -------------- | --.---.---.-- | ------ | 5,032,636 | 24.99 | ---...- |
|  | Govorment of oity- |  |  |  | \$12,089,632 |  | 3,893,989 $1,297,368$ | ${ }^{24.33}$ |  |
|  | Sity corporation | 110,838,811 | 81,672,379 | 17,076,800 | 12,089,632 | 550 | 2,596,621 | 12.89 | 23.43 |
|  | Goverment of county-- | 110,838,811 | 81,672,379 | 17,076,800 | 12,089,632 | 550 | 1,138,647 | 5.65 | 10.27 |
| 55 | TVLSA-------- | ------m-------- | --- | --- | -----.-- | --- | 5,085,689 | 34.36 | --.-- |
|  | Govermment or oity- City corporatio | 100,523,182 | 77,679,526 | 14,139,675 | 8,703, 981 | ---7-79 | 2,308,636 | 15.6 | 22.97 |
|  | School distriot | 100,523,182 | 77,679,526 | 14,139,675 | 8,703,981 | 679 | 2,096,913 | 14.17 | 20.86 |
|  | Goverment of county | 100,523,182 | 77,679,526 | 14,139,675 | 8,703,981 | 679 | 680,140 | 4.60 | 6.77 |



See footnotes on page 256.


| 14,609,133 | 47.26 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14,279,075 | 46.20 |  |
| 6,168,301 | 19.96 | 22.80 |
| 4,323,221 | 13.99 | 15.98 |
| 3,408,798 | 11.03 | 12.60 |
| 378,755 | 1.23 | 1.40 |
| 330,058 | 1.07 | 1.22 |
| 73,385,354 | 37.20 |  |
| 72,398,115 | 36.70 |  |
| 47,775,003 | 24.22 | 2/13.18 |
| 24,393,926 | 12.37 | 9.25 |
| $\begin{gathered} 222,186 \\ 987,239 \end{gathered}$ | (4/) | ${ }^{2 / 1} \begin{array}{r}\text {. } \\ 1.00\end{array}$ |
| 40,859,710 | 60.22 | ------- |
| 40,395,228 | 59.54 |  |
| 17,067,058 | 25.15 | $\frac{2}{2} / 15.51$ |
| $10,948,176$ $12,379,994$ | 16.14 18.25 | 2/ $\begin{array}{r}7.06 \\ 11.25\end{array}$ |
| $12,379,994$ 464,482 | 18.25 .68 | 11.25 1.00 |
| 4,727,317 | 32.74 | ------- |
| $3,757,934$ $1,721,268$ | 26.02 11.92 | 2/16.06 |
| 2,036,666 | 14.10 | 19.00 |
| 75,964 | . 53 | 1.00 |
| 893,419 | 6.19 | 2/ 4.88 |
| 3,882,022 | 32.82 | ------- |
| 3,093,773 | 26.15 |  |
| 1,389,041 | 11.74 | 11.00 |
| 1,704,732 | 14.41 | 13.50 |
| 33,349 754,900 | .28 6.38 | 2/ $\begin{array}{r}1.00 \\ 6.50\end{array}$ |
| 4,689,978 | 41.95 | -------- |
| 3,714,952 | 33.23 |  |
| 1,602,528 | 14.33 | 11.00 |
| 2,112,424 | 18.89 | 14.50 |
| 36,580 938,446 | .33 8.39 | 2/ $\begin{aligned} & 1.00 \\ & 6.27\end{aligned}$ |
| $\xrightarrow{12,609,461}$ | 49.33 49.33 | 2/19.79 |


see footnotes on page 256.






TABLE 27.-ASSESSED valuartion and tax levies, total and per capita: 1937-Continued


[^13]TABLE 28
In 55 cities, constituting a majority of the cities included in this report, an average tax rate is reported for one or more divisions of government-"division" meaning the city corporation, school district, State, county, or other independent civil division. This procedure is followed, because the specific divisions for which an average is reported have two or more tax rates. The taxlevying units having plural rates are indicated by footnote 2 in table 27 . The assessed values and tax levies for these governmental divisions are reported in detail in table 28.

CITIES IN WHICH DIVISIONS OF GOVERNMENT HAVE TWO OR HORE TAX RATES. -The 55 cities referred to above are located in 22 States and the District of Columbia, each city having one or more divisions of government which in 1937 had more than a single rate of taxation on property within the city. The city corporation was the predominant division having such multiple levies, but in some cases it was the school district, State, county, or other minor civil divisions, or several of these divisions.

REASONS FOR MUIIPIL TAX RATES. -There is nothing unsound in the fact that a municipality or other unit has more than one tax rate on property within its borders, although the existence of this condition adds to the problem of fiscal administration, accounting, and public reporting. The complexity in reporting is evidenced in presenting an over-all view of the assessed valuations and tax rates of cities. Of the 55 cities, Los Angeles affords the most interesting example of multiple rates of taxation, as to numerous governmental units fixing such rates, geographical areas within the city taxed at a rate varying from that paid by general property as a whole, and variety in types of property taxed at these rates.

There are many reasons that lead a tax-levying authority to fix varying tax rates upon property within its borders. The predominant one is the adoption of classification of property for tax purposes. It has been recognized by many taxing agencies-but by no means universally-that, because of the great expansion of wealth in intangible personal property of various types, the long-established provision that all property shall be assessed and be taxed at a uniform rate could not be enforced. Experience has showm that such a tax in many cases would be confiscatory and would lead to nondeclaration or other evasion. Consequently, the laws relating to taxation of such intangibles have been revised to provide that when such property is subject to a property tax, the rate should be relatively low. Similarly, it has been found desirable by some units of government to favor tangible personal property with a lower rate than real property.

Among the examples of classification of property for taxation in 1937, with different rates for each type of property, were the assessed valuations and respective tax rates against them of the cities in California, Iowa, Kansas, and Minnesota. In Washington, D. C., intangible personalty, comprising 30 percent of the total assessed valuation, was taxed at a rate of $\$ 5$ per $\$ 1000$, while property in general was taxed at three times that rate. Wilmington levied a relatively high rate of tax on the valuation of corporations. Omana reported two classes of intangibles, with a different rate on each, and a third rate on building and loan valuations. The Commonwealth of Virginia established four classes of intangible property in its cities.

A variation of the principle of classification of property is that of the "graded tax law," applied in Pittsburgh and Scranton. In these cities, although the assessment of improvements to land is at the same legal basis as on land, the rate of tax for city purposes is one-half that on land.

Another condition leading to varying tax rates within a city is that of geographical areas. When two or more underlying units are found within a city, it is not unusual that each such unit has its own tax rate. Examples of this condition are the cities in Connecticut, Indiana, and Washington. Further, conditions governing the annexation of outlying territory have sometimes involved the establishment of varying tax rates for the old and new areas, as exemplified in Philadelphia and Baltimore.

The extent of public services undertaken in certain areas within a city or other unit of government is occasionally a basis for variation in tax rates. Numerous examples are found in the cities shown in table 28, such as the local improvement districts for various functions in Los Angeles and other cities in Califormia, Jacksonville's fire district, the garbage and light districts in Des Moines, the park district in Kansas C1ty (Mo.), and the poor districts in Philadelphia. A variation from this practice is the range in tax raties for the five boroughs of New York.

Exemption of property from taxation, either wholly or partially, also gives rise to variation in rates of levy. Among the purposes for which exemption may be granted are those of homesteads, industries, and property of pensjoners. In order to make the exemption effective, the property is relieved in some measure from the tax burden borne by property generally. In Florida cities, for example, homestead property is exempt from taxation except for specified debt service. Louisville presents an interesting example of industrial exemption in its provision that manufacturing plants and umanufactured agriculturell products are taxed at lower rates than that on general property. St. Louis has special rates on merchants' and manufacturers' stocks, as well as on steamboats. In Rochester and Utica, the property of pensioners is subject to school and highway taxes only.

The table, being limited to a report of property retained upon the assessment rolls at some rate of tax, does not reflect the scope and extent of property within the 94 cities that is wholly exempt from taxes.

TABLE 28.-ASSESSED VALUATION AND TAX LEVIES IN CITIES HAVING DIVISIONS OF GOVERNMENT WITH TWO OR MORE TAX RATES: 1937

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { H } \\ & \text { o } \\ & \text { 䂞 } \\ & \stackrel{~}{0} \end{aligned}$ | CITY, AND PARTS OF CITY OR CLASSES OF PROPERTY | Assessed valuation | J.EVY |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Total | Rate per $\$ 1,000$ of assessed valuation |
| 52 | LONG BEACH, CALIF.: |  |  |  |
|  | City corporation- | \$208,123,930 | \$2,848,120 | 1/\$13.68 |
|  | Original city | 66,566,300 | 998,494 | 15.00 |
|  | Annexations Nos. 1, 2, 3, and | 42,745,335 | 636,905 | 14.90 |
|  | Annexations Nos. 5, 7, and 8- | 44,255,715 | 654,985 | 14.80 |
|  | Annexation No. 9- | 1,781,955 | 25,482 | 14.30 |
|  | Annexations Nos. 10, 11, and | 35,854,195 | 487,617 | 13.60 |
|  | Annexation No. $13-$ | 2,060,955 | 24,938 | 12.10 |
|  | Annexations Nos. 14 to 20 | 1,405,125 | 14,473 | 10.30 |
|  | Stocks, bonds, etc | 2,224,845 | 1,483 | . 67 |
|  | Solvent credits- | 11,229,505 | 3,143 | . 33 |
|  | School districts | 158,630,655 | 2,313,529 | $1 / 14.60$ |
|  | Property in gener | 145,176,305 | 2,308,303 | 15.90 |
|  | Stocks, bonds, etc | 2,224,845 | 1,483 | . 67 |
|  | Solvent credits- | 11,229,505 | 3,743 | . 33 |
|  | County----- | 158,630,655 | 2,139,318 | $1 / 13.49$ |
|  | Property in general | 145,176,305 | 2,134,092 | 14.70 |
|  | Stocks, bonads, etc | 2,224,845 | 1,483 | . 67 |
|  | Solvent credits- | 11,229,505 | 3,143 | . 33 |
| 5 | LOS ANGELES, CALIF.: |  |  |  |
|  | City corporation |  |  |  |
|  | Taxation districts Nos. 1, 2, and 6- | 626,090,160 | 10,017,443 | 16.00 |
|  | Taxation districts Nos. 3, 4, and 5- | 581,789,540 | 9,250,453 | 15.90 |
|  | Taxation district No. 7 - | 7,591,240 | 126,'174 | 16.70 |
|  | Taxation district No. 8- | 13,218,765 | 231,328 | 17.50 |
|  | Taxation district No. | 3,516,190 | 55,556 | 15.80 |
|  | Taxation district No. 10 | 7,457,315 | 114,097 | 15.30 |
|  | Taxation district No. 11 | 1,580,195 | 26,547 | 16.80 |
|  | Unsecured personal | 63,326,598 | 1,028,656 | 1/ 16.24 |
|  | Stocks, bonds, etc. | 107,704,005 | 71,803 | . 67 |
|  | Solvent credits--- | 290,443,470 | 96,814 | . 33 |
|  | Municipal improvement districts: |  |  |  |
|  | No. 2-- | 4,203,225 | 19,335 | 4.60 .70 |
|  | No. 3-- | 6,672,220 | 4,671 | . 70 |
|  | No. 9-1 | 1,314,510 | 9,1070 | 6.90 |
|  | No. 11 | 46,157,775 | 41,542 | . 90 |
|  | No. 17 | 19,277,485 | 5,י183 | . 30 |
|  | No. 18- | 4,286,440 | 6,430 | 1.50 |

1/Average rate.

TABLE 28.-ASSESSED VALUATION AND TAX LEVIES IN CITIES HAVING DIVISIONS OF GOVERNMMNT WITH TWO OR MORE TAX RATES: 1937-Continued

|  | CITY, AND PARTS OF CITY OR CLASSES OF PROPERTY | Assessed valuation | LEVY |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Total | Pate per $\$ 1,000$ of assessed valuation |
| 5 | LOS ANGELRS, GALIF. -Continued <br> City corporation-Continued <br> Municipal improvement districts.-Continued |  |  |  |
|  |  | \$4,450,960 | \$16,914 | \$3.80 |
|  | No. 20 | 1,561,705 | 3,592 | 2.30 |
|  | No. 22 | 23,280,180 | 51,216 | 2.20 |
|  | No. 23 | 4,589,370 | 16,063 | 3.50 |
|  | No. 27 | 10,072,145 | 49,353 | 4.90 |
|  | No. 35 | 500,355 | 18,613 | 37.20 |
|  | No. 36 | 10,304,585 | 91,711 | 8.90 |
|  | No. 37 | 779,785 | 54,507 | 69.90 |
|  | No. 42 | 1,204,960 | 5,061 | 4.20 |
|  | No. 45 | 8,413,080 | 21,874 | 2.60 |
|  | No. 47 | 23,116,670 | 18,493 | . 80 |
|  | No. $52-$ | 717,385 | 15,424 | 21.50 |
|  | No. 53 | 284,005 | 8,123 | 28.60 |
|  | No. 54 | 124,540 | 8,220 | 66.00 |
|  | No. 57 | 948,010 | 7,963 | 8.40 |
|  | No. 60 | 6,178,935 | 15,447 | 2.50 |
|  | No. 61- | 1,412,370 | 41,947 | 29.70 |
|  | No. 62- | 635,795 | 13,797 | 21.70 |
|  | No. 63 | 181,835 | 42,258 | 232.40 |
|  | No. 64 | 363,055 | 15,067 | 41.50 |
|  | No. 67 | 430,590 | 12,487 | 29.00 |
|  | No. 68 | 1,515,195 | 9,849 | 6.50 |
|  | No. 69 | 936,745 | 4,028 | 4.30 |
|  | No. 70 | 4,387,500 | 4,347 | 1.00 |
|  | No. 73 | 1,089,325 | 13,617 | 12.50 |
|  | No. 75 | 771,570 | 22,067 | 28.60 |
|  | County waterworks district No. | 38,202,285 | 141,348 | 3.70 |
|  | Unsecured personal Acquisition and improvement districts Nos. 7, 29, | 14,603,667 | 15,866 | 1/ 1.09 |
|  | 38, and Tryinge No. 1 | 685,645 | 75,885 | 1/ 110.68 |
|  | County- | 1,702,717,478 | 21,011,802 | $1 / 12.34$ |
|  | Property in general | 1,304,570,003 | 19,177,179 | 14.70 |
|  | Stocks, bonds, etc | 107,704,005 | 71,803 | . 67 |
|  | Solvent credits | 290,443,470 | 96,814 | . 33 |
|  | Drainage improvament district | 39,603,965 | 460,198 | 1/ 11.62 |
|  | Fire protection district | 77,254,624 | 285,842 | $1 / 3.70$ |
|  | Free library district--- | 261,293,770 | 130,647 | . 50 |
|  | Garbage disposal districts | 28,001,650 | 44,523 | $1 / 1.59$ |
|  | Lighting districts-.----- | 59,898,429 | 150,345 | 1/2.51 |
|  | Lighting maintenance distr | 2,512,787 | 23,897 | $1 / 9.51$ |
|  | Park district-------- | 1,430,893 | 3,005 | 2.10 |
|  | Road improvement districts | 1,922,437 | 38,756 | 1/20.16 |
|  | Sewer maintenance districts | 40,111,335 | 18,852 | $1 / 4.47$ |
|  | County waterworks districts---------10 | 2,935,733 | 21,959 | 177 17.48 39.39 |
|  | Acquisition and improvement districts | 12,388,466 | 487,982 | 1739.39 |
|  | School districts | 1,702,717,478 | 18,041,226 | 1/ 10.60 |
|  | Proparty in genera | 1,304,570,003 | 17,872,609 | 13.70 |
|  | Stocks, bonds, etc | 107,704,005 | 71,803 | . 67 |
|  | Solvent oredits | 290,443,470 | 96,814 | . 33 |
|  | Motropolitan water district- | 1,304,570,003 | 4,719,624 | $1 / 3.62$ |
|  | Real and secured persona | 1,241,243,405 | 4,592,971 | - 3.70 |
|  | Unsecured personal---..- | 63,326,598 | 126,653 | 2.00 |
| 29 | OAKLAND, CALIF.: |  |  |  |
|  | City corporation- | 257,262,703 |  | 1/ 19.20 |
|  | Original city- | 182,122,560 | 3,606,027 | - 19.80 |
|  |  | 65,688,375 | 1,234,942 | 18.80 |
|  | Unsecured personal | 3,790,779 | 75,057 | 19.80 |
|  | Do--------- | 1,146,875 | 21,446 | 18.70 |
|  | Solvent credits | 4,514,114 | 1,505 | . 33 |
|  | School district- | 257,262,703 | 3,779,293 | 1/ 14.69 |
|  | Property in general | 188,558,935 | 1,140,781 | 6.05 |
|  | Do-------- | 59,252,000 | 346,624 | 5.85 |
|  |  | 3,817,854 | 20,616 | 5.40 |
|  |  | 1,119,800 | 5,823 | 5.20 |
|  | Property in general | 247,810,935 | 2,222,864 | 8.97 |
|  | Unsecured personal | 4,937,654 | 41,081 | 8.32 |
|  | Solvent credits-- | 4,514,114 | 1,504 | . 33 |
|  |  | 252,748,589 | 658,627 | 1/2.61 |
|  |  | 247,810,935 | 644,308 | 12.60 |
|  |  | 4,937,654 | 14,319 | 2.90 |
| 1/ Average rate. |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 28.-ASSESSED VALUATION AND TAX LEVIES IN CITIES HAVING DIVISIONS OF GOVERNMENT WITH TWO OR MORE TAX RATES: 1937-Continued

|  | CITY, AND PARTS OF CITY OR CLASSES OF PROPERTY | Assessed valuation | LIVY |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Total | Rate per \$1,000 of assessed valuation |
| 29 | OAKIAND, CALIF. -Continued |  |  | 8 |
|  | Property in g | 247,810,935 | 2,973,731 | 12 |
|  | Insecured personal | 4,937,654 | 54,314 | 11.00 |
|  | Solvent credits- | 4,514,114 | 1,505 | . 33 |
| 51 | SAN DIEGO, CALIF.: |  |  |  |
|  | City corporation | 149,065,915 | 2,813,670 | $1 / 18.88$ |
|  | Property in genera | 133,723,190 | 2,808,187 | 21.00 |
|  | Stocks, bonds, etc | 1,107,130 | 738 | . 67 |
|  | Solvent credits-- | 14,235,595 | 4,745 | . 33 |
|  | School district- | 149,065,915 | 1,779,590 | 1/ 11.94 |
|  | Property in gener | 133,723,190 | 1,774,5:07 | 13.27 |
|  | Stocks, bonds, otc. | 1,107,130 | 738 | . 67 |
|  | Solvent credits--- | 14,235,595 | 4,745 | . 33 |
|  | County--...--- | 149,065,915 | 2,162,438 | 1/ 14.51 |
|  | Property in general | 133,723,190 | 2,156,955 | 16.13 |
|  | Stocks, bonds, etc | 1,107,130 | ! 138 | . 67 |
|  | Solvent credits- | 14,235,595 | 4,"45 | . 33 |
| 11 | SAN FRANCISCO, CALIF.: |  |  |  |
|  | City corporation---- | 980,422,121 | 30,989,'145 | 1/31.61 |
|  | Property in general | 754, 658, 835 | 28,556,290 | 37.84 |
|  | Unsecured personal | 61,429,515 | 2,261,335 | 36.82 |
|  | Stocks, bonds, etc | 2,803,423 | 5,607 | 2.00 |
|  | Solvent credits | 161,530,348 | 161,530 | 1.00 |
|  | Reassessments- | 157,909 | 4,483 | 1/ 28.39 |
| 56 | BRIDGEPORT, CONN.: |  |  |  |
|  | City corporation- | 232,613,300 | 6,710,873 | 1/28.85 |
|  | First distric | 232,613,300 | 4,617,374 | 19.85 |
|  | Second district | 227,554,215 | 2,093,499 | 9.20 |
| 48 | HARTPORD, CONN.: |  |  |  |
|  | City corporation-- | 352,324,793 | 8,851,648 | 1/25.12 |
|  | Urben property-- | 351,978,463 | 8,846,498 | 25.13 |
|  | Suburban property | 346,330 | 5,150 | 15.00 |
| 50 | NEW HAVEN, CONN.: |  |  |  |
|  | City corporation- | 308,411,018 | 8,015,813 | 1/ 25.99 |
|  | General city- | 298, 918,323 | 4,603, 917 | 15.40 |
|  | Town--.----- | $9,492,695$ $308,411,018$ | 50,216 $3,361,680$ | 5.29 10.90 |
|  |  | , | 3,361,680 |  |
|  | Improvement districts-... | 13,275,086 | 25,225 | 1/1.90 |
|  | Fairmont Association | 12,250,283 | 22,663 | 1.85 |
|  | Fairhaven East Association | 1,024,803 | 2,562 | 2.50 |
| 85 | WILMINGTON, DEL.: |  |  |  |
|  | City corporation-------- <br> Property in general: | 152,145,650 | 2,464,018 | 1/ 16.20 |
|  | Taxed at full rate | 146,530,700 | 2,344,491 | 16.00 |
|  | Taxed at half-rate | 3,283,475 | 26,268 | 8.00 |
|  | Corporations------- | 2,331,475 | 93,259 | 40.00 |
| 12 | WASHINGTON, D. C. : |  |  |  |
|  | City corporation- | 1,780,268,924 | 21,453,384 | 1/ 12.05 |
|  | Property in general | 1,255,291,054 | 18,828,495 | 15.00 5.00 |
|  | Personal intengible | 524,977,870 | 2,624,889 | 5.00 |
| 62 |  |  |  |  |
|  | City corporation-.......... Property in general: | 71,950,520 | 1,214,416 | 1/ 16.88 |
|  | Inside fire limits- | 70,493,353 | 1,198,387 | 17.00 |
|  | Outside fire limits | 1,457,167 | 16,029 | 11.00 |
|  | School district---------- <br> Property in general: | 56,920,149 | 1,03:,035 | $1 / 18.13$ |
|  | Subject to all taxes------- | $46,400,000$ | $969,018$ | $20.88$ |
|  | Subject only to debt service- | 10,520,149 | $63,017$ | $5.99$ |
|  | State---- | 85,339,877 | $163,623$ | 1/ 1.98 |
|  | Froperty in general <br> Intangible property- | 46,400,000 | 116,000 | 2.50 |
|  | Class A-------- | 17,320,730 | 34,643 | 2.00 |
|  | Class B- | 8,325,324 | 16,651 | 2.00 |
|  | Class C--...--- | 13,293,823 | 1,329 | . 10 |

1/ Average tate.

TABLE 28. -ASSESSED VALUATION AND TAX LEVIES IN CITIES HAVING DIVISIONS OF GOVERNMENT WITH TWO OR MORE TAX RATES: 1937-Continued

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { H } \\ & \text { D } \\ & \text { 品 } \\ & \text { な } \\ & \text { N } \end{aligned}$ | CITY, AND PARTS Of CITY OR CLASSES OF PROPERTY | Assessed valuation | LEVY |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Total | Rate per $\$ 1,000$ of assessed valuation |
| 82 | MTAMI, FLA. : |  |  |  |
|  | City corporation $\qquad$ <br> Property in general: | \$138,609,410 | *3,394,906 | 1/24.49 |
|  | Original city-------- | 83,474,860 | 2,354,826 | 28.21 |
|  | Coconut Grove | 4,185,362 | 108,777 | 25.99 |
|  | Buena Viste | 1,981,803 | 49,585 | 25.02 |
|  | Silver Bluff | 5,254,609 | 127,844 | 24.33 |
|  | Annexed territory <br> Homesteads for debt service only: | 22,107,456 | 501,397 | 22.68 |
|  | Original city-....-........-. | 9,659,650 | 139,195 | 14.41 |
|  | Coconut Grove | 802,950 | 9,788 | 12.19 |
|  | Buena Vista- | 580,850 | 6,517 | 11.22 |
|  | Silver Bluff | 1,932,050 | 20,344 | 10.53 |
|  | Annexed territory | 8,629,820 | 76,633 | 8.88 |
|  | School district--- | 38,244,160 | 983,042 | 1/25.70 |
|  | Property in general- | 32,267,780 | 653,423 | - 20.25 |
|  | Homesteads for debt service only- | 5,976,380 | 17,929 | 3.00 |
|  | Special districts for debt service only--- | 38,244,160 | 311,690 | $1 / 8.15$ |
|  | State- | 77,495,130 | 156,513 | 1/ 2.02 |
|  | Property in genera | 32,267,780 | 80,669 | 2.50 |
|  | Intangible property | 45,227,350 | 75,844 | $1 / 1.68$ |
|  | County---. | 38,244,160 | 786,379 | 1/20.56 |
|  | Property in general | 32,267,780 | 774,426 | 24.00 |
|  | Homesteads for debt service only | 5,976,380 | 11,953 | 2.00 |
| 86 | TAMPA, FLA.: |  |  |  |
|  | City corporation-------. <br> Property in general: | 88,707,487 | 2,426,527 | 1/27.35 |
|  | Territory A- | 45,104,530 | 1,353,136 | 30.00 |
|  | Territory B- | 18,370,070 | 532,732 | 29.00 |
|  | Territory C- | 10,192,762 | 270,108 | 26.50 |
|  | Territory D---------- | 3,647,140 | 136,710 | 37.50 |
|  | Homesteads for debt service only: Territory A | 3,129,110 | 40,678 |  |
|  | Territory B- | 4,044,000 | 48,528 | 12.00 |
|  | Territory C | 3,806,565 | 36,162 | 9.50 |
|  | Territory D | 413,310 | 8,473 | 20.50 |
|  | School district | 33,692,747 | 1,116,575 | $1 / 33.14$ |
|  | Property in general- | 28,792,477 | 1,037,681 | 36.04 |
|  | Homesteads for debt service only | 4,900,270 | 78,894 | 1/ 16.10 |
|  | State----- | 36,863,167 | 82,652 | $1 / 2.24$ |
|  | Property in general-- | 28,792,477 | 71,981 | 2.50 |
|  | Intangible property- |  |  |  |
|  | Class A--- | 3,811,164 | 7,623 | 2.00 |
|  | Class B | 1,380,135 | 2,760 | 2.00 |
|  | Class C | 2,879,391 | 288 | . 10 |
|  | Coumty----- | 3:5,692,747 | 961,438 | 1/ 28.54 |
|  | Property in general---.----- | 28,792,477 | 915,601 | 31.80 |
|  | Homesteads for debt service only-- | 4,900,270 | 45,837 | 9.15 |
| 31 | ATLANTA, GA.: |  |  |  |
|  | City corporation------- | 370,791,329 | 6,627,960 | 1/ 17.88 |
|  | Property in general | 349,157,529 | 5,935,678 | -17.00 |
|  | Repossessed property | 21,633,800 | 692,282 | 32.00 |
|  | County--.-- | 258,496,900 | 2,985,089 | 1/ 11.55 |
|  | Fulton- | 250,246,900 | 2,877,839 | 11.50 |
|  | De Kalb- | 8,250,000 | 107,250 | 13.00 |
| 89 | EVANSVILLE, IND.: |  |  |  |
|  | Township-- | 115,510,780 | 439,794 | $1 / 3.81$ |
|  | Pigeon- | 102,797,440 | 370,071 | 3.60 |
|  | Perry-.-- | 2,565,310 | 18,983 | 7.40 |
|  | Knight--- | 10,148,030 | 50,740 | 5.00 |
| 21 | INDIANAPOLIS, IND.: |  |  |  |
|  | Township----- | 505,861,680 | 859,914 | 1/1.70 |
|  | Center--- | 420,477,510 | 756,859 | 1.80 |
|  | Perry---- | 816,420 | 3,021 | 3.70 |
|  | Warren-- | 14,064,550 | 9,845 | . 70 |
|  | Washington | 55,006,340 | 22,003 | . 40 |
|  | Wayne--- | 15,496,860 | 68,186 | 4.40 |

1/ Average rate.

TABLE 28. -ASSESSED VALUATION AND TAX LEVIES IN CITIES HAVING DIVISIONS OF GOVERNMENT WITH TWO OR MORE TAX RATES: 1937-Continued

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 另 } \\ & \text { 唇 } \\ & \stackrel{8}{0} \end{aligned}$ | CITY, AND PARTS OF CITY OR CLASSES OF PROPERTIY | Assessed valuation | LETY |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Total | Rate per $\$ 1,000$ of assessed valuation |
| 80 | SOUTH BEND, IND.: |  |  |  |
|  | Township- | \$128,171,820 | \$198,641 | 1/\$1.55 |
|  | Portage | 128,069,380 | 198,508 | 1.55 |
|  | German- | 102,440 | 133 | 1.30 |
| 57 | DES MOINES, IOTAA: |  |  |  |
|  | City corporation------Property in general: | 163,629,450 | 2,818,925 | 1/ 17.23 |
|  | With garbage collection | 130,818,501 | 2,541,471 | 19.43 |
|  | Without garbage collection | 3,801,160 | 71,944 | 18.93 |
|  | Corporate land------ | 1,167,496 | 3,286 | 2.82 |
|  | Money and credit | 27,574,038 | 50,598 | 1.83 |
|  | Building and loan | 268,255 | 204 | . 76 |
|  | Light district-- | 133,949,681 | 134,485 | 1.00 |
|  | Metropolitan light district--- | 42,130,826 | 16,937 | . 40 |
|  | School district- | 163,629,450 | 2,925,760 | 1/17.88 |
|  | Property in genere | 135,787,157 | 2,871,763 | - 21.15 |
|  | Money and credits- | 27,574,038 | 53,769 | 1.95 |
|  | Building and loan-a | 268,255 | 228 | . 85 |
|  | State-- | 163,361,195 | 503,775 | 1/3.08 |
|  | Property in gener | 135,787,157 | 467,515 | - 3.44 |
|  | Yoney and credits | 27,574,038 | 36,260 | 1.32 |
|  | County- | 163,629,450 | 1,354,2781 | 1/8.28 |
|  | Property in general | 135,787,157 | 1,329,356 | 9.79 |
|  | Money and credits | 27,574,038 | 24,817 | . 90 |
|  | Building and loan- | 268,255 | 105 | . 39 |
| 67 | KANSAS CITY, KANS.: <br> City corporation | 93,707,494 | 1,600,05 3 | 1/ 17.08 |
|  | Real and personal tangi | 88,454,899 | 1,591,304 | 17.99 |
|  | Personal intangible | 5,252,595 | 8,754 | 1.67 |
|  | School district- | 93,707,494 | 1,441,723 | 1/ 15.39 |
|  | Real and personal tangibl | 88,454,899 | 1,432,969 | 16.20 |
|  | Personal intangible | 5,252,595 | 8,754 | 1.67 |
|  | Drainage district | 33,899,391 | 184,817 | $1 / 5.45$ |
|  | Kaw Valley- | 33,305,530 | 181,843 | 5.46 |
|  | Fairfax- | 593,861 | 2,963 | 5.00 |
|  | State---- | 93,707,494 | 222,831 | $1 / 2.38$ |
|  | Real and personal tang | 88,454,899 | 218,454 | 2.47 |
|  | Personal intangible | 5,252,595 | 4,377 | . 83 |
|  | County------ | 93,707,494 | 1,148,983 | $1 / 12.26$ |
|  | Real and personal tang | 88,454,899 | 1,144,606 | 12.94 |
|  | Personal intengible | 5,252,595 | 4,377 | . 83 |
| 72 | WICHITA, KANS.: |  |  |  |
|  | City corporation--------- | 135,159,517 | 1,277,162 | 1/ 9.45 |
|  | Real and personal tangib | 114,419,724 | 1,242,596 | 10.86 |
|  | Personal intangible | 20,739,793 | 34,566 | 1.67 |
|  | School district- | 135,159,517 | 1,762,3C4 | 1/ 13.04 |
|  | Real and personal tangibl | 114,419,724 | 1,727,738 | 15.10 |
|  | Porsonal intangible- | 20,739,793 | 34,566 | 1.67 |
|  | State----- | 135,159,517 | 248,411 | $1 / 1.84$ |
|  | Real and personal tangible | 114,419,724 | 231,128 | 2.02 |
|  | Personal intangible- | 20,739,793 | 17,283 | . 83 |
|  | Coumty------------ | 135,159,517 | 814,788 | $1 / 6.03$ |
|  | Real and personal tengible | 114,419,724 | 797,505 | 6.97 |
|  | Personal intangible- | 20,739,793 | 17,283 | . 83 |
| 25 | LOUSSVILLE, KY.: |  |  |  |
|  | City corporation---------- <br> Property in general: | 418,903,321 | 8,968,785 | 1/21.41 |
|  | Subject to all taxes- | 393,286,017 | 8,848,933 | 22.50 |
|  | Manufacturing plants- | 1,817,862 | 10,470 | 6.20 |
|  | Unmanufactured agricultural prod | 7,405,947 | 11,022 | 1.50 |
|  | Benk stock------- | 16,393,495 | 98,350 | 6.00 |
|  | County-------- | 429,742,835 | 1,761,788 | 1/4.10 |
|  | Property in general | 411,371,144 | 1,727,759 | 4.20 |
|  | Unmanufactured agriculturel produc | 5,429,755 | 8,145 | 1.50 |
|  | Bank shares------ | 12,941,936 | 25,884 | 2.00 |

1/Average rate.

|  | CITY, AND PARTS OF CITY OR CLASSES OF PROPERTY | Assessed valuation | LEVY |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Total | Rate per \$1,000 of assessed valuation |
| 25 |  | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 651,453,501 \\ 306,633,958 \\ 289,970,517 \\ 54,849,026 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1,658,019 \\ 153,317 \\ 1,449,853 \\ 54,849 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1 / \$ 2.55 \\ .50 \\ 5.00 \\ 1.00 \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | BALTIMORE, MD.: <br> City corporation <br> Property in general: $\qquad$ <br> Suburben rate $\qquad$ <br> Rural rate $\qquad$ <br> Annexation $\qquad$ <br> Securities $\qquad$ | 1,621,821,239 | 31,852,145 | 1/ 19.64 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $793,390,747$ $73,224,580$ | 20,548,820 | 25.9024.35 |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 73,224,580 \\ 22,978,475 \end{array}$ | 1,782,726 |  |
|  |  |  | 523,725 | 24.35 22.79 |
|  |  | 311,022,267 | 7,733,258 | 24.86 |
|  |  | 421,205,170 | 1,263,616 | 3.00 |
|  | State----..- |  | 3,021,823 | $1 / 2.05$2.22 |
|  | Property in general |  | $\begin{array}{r} 2,484,193 \\ 537,630 \end{array}$ |  |
|  | Securities | $\begin{array}{r} 1,116,491,099 \\ 354,419,790 \end{array}$ |  | 1.50 |
| 9 | BOSTON, MASS.: |  |  |  |
|  | City corporation- |  | $59,346,586$$58,446,010$ | 1/ 36.52 |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 36.75 \\ 1 / 25.99 \end{array}$ |
|  | Motor vehicle | $\begin{array}{r} 1,590,544,700 \\ 34,649,710 \end{array}$ | $58,446,010$ 900,576 |  |
| 75 | CAMBRIDGE, MASS. : |  |  |  |
|  | City corporation----- | $\begin{aligned} & 177,929,200 \\ & 172,710,300 \end{aligned}$ | 6,374,302 | 1/ $\begin{array}{r}35.82 \\ 36.01\end{array}$ |
|  | Property in genere Motor vehicle |  | 6,219,317 | 1/ 29.70 |
| 74 | FALL RIVER, MASS.: |  |  |  |
|  | City corporation- | $\begin{aligned} & 96,876,290 \\ & 92,263,750 \end{aligned}$ | 3,438,096 | 1/35.49 |
|  | Property in genera |  | $\begin{array}{r} 3,304,203 \\ 133,893 \end{array}$ |  |
|  | Motor vehicle | 4,612,540 |  | 1/29.03 |
| 94 | LOWELL, MASS.: |  |  |  |
|  | City corporation- | $102,645,290$ $98,278,400$ | 3,962,528 | $1 / 35.60$ 39.05 |
|  | Property in genera Motor vehicle | 4,366,890 | $\begin{array}{r} 3,837,284 \\ 125,244 \end{array}$ | $1 / 39.05$ $1 / 28.68$ |
| 90 | LYNN, MASS.: |  |  |  |
|  | City corporation- |  | 4,046,073$3,893,490$ | 1/ 29.45 |
|  | Property in gene |  |  |  |
|  | Motor vehicle | $\begin{array}{r} 131,966,570 \\ 5,425,155 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,893,490 \\ 152,583 \end{array}$ | $1 / 28.13$ |
| 76 | NEW BEDFORD, MASS.: |  |  |  |
|  | City corporation---- | $\begin{aligned} & 109,293,640 \\ & 104,634,100 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3,997,840 \\ & 3,864,629 \end{aligned}$ | 1/ 36.5836.941/ 28.59 |
|  | Property in gene |  |  |  |
|  | Motor vehicle | 4,659,540 | $\begin{array}{r} 3,864,629 \\ 133,211 \end{array}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | SOMERVILLE, MASS.: <br> City corporation <br> Property in general <br> Motor vehicle- | $\begin{aligned} & 118,804,830 \\ & 113,453,300 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4,765,168 \\ & 4,616,620 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 / 40.11 \\ & 1 / 20.69 \\ & 1 / 27.76 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 87 |  | 5,351,530 | $\begin{array}{r} 4,616,620 \\ 148,548 \end{array}$ |  |
| 54 | SPRINGFIELD, MASS.: |  |  |  |
|  | City corporation- | 284,593,030 272,492,880 12,100,150 | $\begin{array}{r} 8,205,662 \\ 7,869,600 \\ 336,062 \end{array}$ | $1 / 28.83$1/28.881 27 |
|  | Property in gen |  |  |  |
|  | Motor vehicle |  |  |  |
| 43 | WORCESTER, MASS.: |  |  |  |
|  | City corporation---- | $\begin{aligned} & 285,058,310 \\ & 272,053,950 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9,389,122 \\ 9,029,452 \\ 359,670 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1 / 32.94 \\ 33.19 \\ 1 / 27.66 \end{array}$ |
|  | Motor vehicle- | $13,004,360$ |  |  |
| 92 | DULUTH, MINN.: |  |  |  |
|  | Money and credits | $\begin{aligned} & 53,587,440 \\ & 72,182,210 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,114,025 \\ 72,182 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 39.40 \\ 1.00 \end{array}$ |
|  | School district- | $\begin{array}{r} 125,769,650 \\ 53,587,440 \\ 72,182,210 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,005,617 \\ 1,933,435 \\ 72,182 \end{array}$ | $1 / 15.95$36.08 |
|  | Property in general |  |  |  |
|  | Money and credits- |  |  | 1.00 |
|  | State--------- | $\begin{array}{r} 125,769,650 \\ 53,587,440 \\ 72,182,210 \\ 125,769,650 \\ 53,587,440 \\ 72,182,210 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 690,929 \\ 654,838 \\ 36,091 \\ 1,051,573 \\ 1,015,482 \\ 36,091 \end{array}$ | $1 / 5.49$12.22.50 |
|  | Property in genera |  |  |  |
|  | Money and credits |  |  |  |
|  | County-- |  |  | 1/8.36 |
|  | Property in genera |  |  | 18.95 |
|  | Money and credits- |  |  | . 50 |

1/ Average rate.

TABLE 28.-ASSESSED VALUATION AND TAX LEVIES IN CITIES HAVING DIVISIONS OF GOVERNMENT WITH TWO OR MORE TAX RATES: 1937-Continued

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { \& } \\ & \text { 員 } \\ & \text { 1 } \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \end{aligned}$ | CITY, AND PARTS OF CITTY OR CLASSES OF PROPERTY | Assessed valuation | LEVY |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Total | Rate per $\$ 1,000$ of assessed valuation |
| 15 | MINNEAPOLIS, MINN.: |  |  |  |
|  | City corporation-- | \$550,214,144 | \$17,737,453 | 1/\$32.24 |
|  | Property in general | 243,658,821 | 17,124,342 | 70.28 |
|  | Money and credits-- | 306,555,323 | 613,111 | 2.00 |
|  | County | 550,214,144 | 2,711,695 | 1/4.93 |
|  | Property in gene | 243,658,821 | 2,558,417 | 10.50 |
|  | Money and credits | 306,555,323 | 153,278 | . 50 |
|  | State-- | 550,214,144 | 3,130,789 | 1/ 5.69 |
|  | Property in gener | 243,658,821 | 2,977,511 | 12.22 |
|  | Money and credita | 306,555,323 | 153,278 | . 50 |
| 33 | ST. PAUL, MINN.: |  |  |  |
|  | City corporation- | 273,643,954 | 7,907,420 | $1 / 28.90$ |
|  | Property in gene | 134,652,994 | 7,629,438 | 56.66 |
|  | Money and credit | 138,990,960 | 277,982 | 2.00 |
|  | State- | 273,643, 954 | 1,714,955 | $1 / 6.27$ |
|  | Property in gener | 134,652,994 | 1,645,460 | 12.22 |
|  | Money and credits | 138,990,960 | 69,495 | . 50 |
|  | County-- | 273,643,954 | 3,351,9\%8 | $1 / 12.61$ |
|  | Property in gene | 134,652,994 | 3,382,4833 | 25.12 |
|  | Money and credits | 138,990,960 | 69,495 | . 50 |
| 19 | KANSAS CITY, MO.: |  |  |  |
|  | City corporation----...-. <br> Property in general: | 527,457,605 | 8,254,541 | 1/ 15.65 |
|  | Subject to all taxes | 527,457,605 | 7,911,864 | 15.00 |
|  | Subject to special park levy | 137,070,780 | 342,6"7 | 2.50 |
|  | School district--.- | 524,254,115 | 6,542,936 | 1/ 12.48 |
|  | Property in gene | 486,930,450 | 6,330,096 | 13.00 |
|  | Do--..-...- | 2,135,770 | 2,990 | 1.40 |
|  | Rallroad property assessed by State | 35,187,895 | 209,8150 | 5.96 |
| 7 | ST. Louls, MO.: |  |  |  |
|  | City corporation-... | $1,125,977,244$ | 18,728,655 | 1/ 16.63 |
|  | Property in gene | $1,035,772,314$ | 18,124,915 | 17.50 |
|  | Merchants' and manufacturers | 90,093,900 | 603,629 | 6.70 |
|  | Steamboats- | 111,030 | 111 | 1.00 |
| 39 | OMAHA, NEBR.: |  |  |  |
|  | City corporation-- | 271,955,194 | 3,910,078 | 1/ 14.38 |
|  | Property in general- | 239,510,005 | 3,844,136 | 16.05 |
|  | Personal intangible (class A) | 10,604,227 | 8,838 | . 83 |
|  | Personal intangible (class B) | 20,526,722 | 54,738 | 2.67 |
|  | Building and loan------------- | 1,314,240 | 2,366 | 1.80 |
|  | School district- | 252,723,519 | 2,929,111 | 1/ 11.59 |
|  | Property in general | 220,278,330 | 2,863,618 | 13.00 |
|  | Personal intangible (class A) | 10,604,227 | 8,838 | . 83 |
|  | Personal intangible (class B) | 20,526,722 | 54,738 | 2.67 |
|  | Building and loan | 1,314,240 | 1, ¢17 | 1.46 |
|  | State | 252,723,519 |  | 1/ 1.66 |
|  | Property in general--....-.- | 220,278,330 | 387,6,90 | 11.76 |
|  | Personal intangible (class A) | 10,604,227 | 4,4.18 | . 42 |
|  | Personal intangible (class B) | 20,526,722 | 27,369 | 1.33 |
|  | Building and loan---........- | 1,314,240 | 2:63 | . 20 |
|  | County------------ | 252,723,519 | 1,098,6,44 | 1/ 4.35 |
|  | Property in general | 220,278,330 | 1,066, 1.47 | 4.84 |
|  | Personal intangible (class A) | 10,604,227 | 4,418 | . 42 |
|  | Personal intangible (class B) | 20,526,722 | 27,369 | 1.33 |
|  | Building and loan---.------. | 1,314,240 | "10 | . 54 |
| 1 | NEW YORK, N. Y.: |  |  |  |
|  | City corporation | $16,599,695,194$ |  | 1/ 27.65 |
|  | Manhattan Borough-real | $8,252,020,105$ | $227,272,511$ | 27.54 |
|  | Bronx Borough-real- | 1,923,709,614 | 52,576,917 | 27.38 |
|  | Brooklyn Borough -raal- | 3,939,292,859 | 109,122,934 | 27.70 |
|  | Queens Borough-real-- | $2,186,323,584$ $298,349,032$ | $61,874,487$ $8,148,598$ | 28.30 27.31 |

1/ Average rate.

TABIE 28．－ASSESSED VALUATION AND TAX LEVIES IN CITIES HAVING DIVISIONS OF GOVERNMENT WITH TWO OR MORE TAX RATES： 1937 －Continued

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 岕 } \\ & \stackrel{1}{⿱ ⿴ 囗 ⿱ 一 一 八 口 口 ~} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathbf{~}} \end{aligned}$ | CITY，AND PARTS OF CITY OR CLASSES OF PROPERTY | Assessed valuation | LEVY |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Total | Rate per $\$ 1,000$ of assessed valuation |
| 22 | ROCHESTER，N．Y．： |  |  |  |
|  | Property in general | 623，068，540 | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 17,810,316 \\ & 17,784,018 \end{aligned}$ | 1／ $\begin{array}{r}\text { \＄28．49 } \\ 26.54\end{array}$ |
|  | Real property of pensioners－ | 2，134，602 | －26，298 | 12.32 |
| 91 | UTICA，N．Y．： |  |  |  |
|  | City corporation | 130，987，806 | 3，365，403 | $1 / 25.69$ |
|  | Property in gener | 130，101，174 | 3，355，175 | － 25.79 |
|  | Real property of pensioners－ | 886，632 | 10，228 | 11.54 |
| 84 | CANTON，OHIO： |  |  |  |
|  | Township－ | 130，540，742 | 31，096 | 1／．24 |
|  | Canto | 118，067，826 | 23，613 | ． 20 |
| 70 | ERIE，PA．： |  |  |  |
|  | County | 116，216，065 | 754，900 | $1 / 6.50$ |
|  | Property in gener | 82，867，395 | 621，505 | － 7.50 |
|  | Money at interest， | 33，348，670 | 133，395 | 4.00 |
| 3 | PHILADELPHIA，PA．： |  |  |  |
|  | City corporation－ Urban： | 3，624，961，137 | 47，775，003 | 1／ 13.18 |
|  | Outside poor distric | 498，066，169 | 8，301，103 | 16.67 |
|  | Inside poor distric | 1，998，360，864 | 33，972，135 | 17.00 |
|  | Suburban： |  |  |  |
|  | Outside poor district－ | 87，161，880 | 964，591 | 11.07 |
|  | Inside poor district－ Farm： | 45，626，440 | 517，100 | 11.33 |
|  | Outside poor district | 7，122，950 | 59，358 | 8.33 |
|  | Inside poor district－ | 1，383，450 | 11，759 | 8.50 |
|  | Money at interest，etc． | 987，239，384 | 3，948，957 | 4.00 |
|  | Poor district | 592，350，999 | 224，186 | 1／． 38 |
|  | Urban－－ | 498，066，169 | 199，226 | ． 40 |
|  | Suburben | 87，161，880 | 23，535 | ． 27 |
|  | Farm－ | 7，122，950 | 1，425 | ． 20 |
| 10 | PITISBURGH，PA．： |  |  |  |
|  | City corporation | 1，100，443，930 | 17，067，058 | 1／ 15.51 |
|  | Land－－－－－－－ | 556，552，050 | 11，464，972 | － 20.60 |
|  | Builaings－－－ | 543，891，880 | 5，602，086 | 10.30 |
|  | County－－－－－－－－ | 1，549，884，859 | 10，948，176 | $1 / 7.06$ |
|  | Property in general | 1，085，402，674 | 9，090，247 | 8.38 |
|  | Money at interest，etc． | 464，482，185 | 1，857，929 | 4.00 |
| 77 | READIVG，PA．： |  |  |  |
|  | County－－－－－ | 149，740，869 |  |  |
|  | Property in general－ | 113，160，868 | 792，126 | 7.00 |
|  | Money at interest，etc． | 36，580，001 | 146，320 | 4.00 |
| 58 | SCRANTON，PA．： |  |  |  |
|  | City corporation－ | 107，192，963 | 1，721，268 |  |
|  | Land－－－－－－ | 47，736，653 | 1，060，708 | － 22.22 |
|  | Improvements－ | 59，456，310 | 660，560 | 11.11 |
|  | County－－－－－－－－ | 183，157，433 | 893，419 | $1 / 4.88$ |
|  | Property in general－ | 107，192，963 | 589，561 | 5.50 |
|  | Money at interest，e | 75，964，470 | 303，858 | 4.00 |
| 37 | PROVIDENCE，R．I．： |  |  |  |
|  | City corporation－－－－－－－ | 637，112，120 | 12，609，461 | 1／ 19.79 |
|  | Property in general | 490，781，120 | 12，024，137 | 24.50 |
|  | Personal intangible | 146，331，000 | 585，324 | 4.00 |
| 32 | DALLAS，TEX： |  |  |  |
|  | City corporation－－－．．．．．．． <br> Property in general： | 281，941，000 | 6，758，727 | 1／23．97 |
|  |  | 270，533，550 | 6，655，125 | 24.60 |
|  |  | 11，407，450 | 103，602 | 9.08 |
|  | County－－－－－－－ | 236，591，579 | 1，771，838 | $1 / 7.49$ |
|  | Property in general | 235，011，859 | 1，762，589 | 7.50 |
|  | Rolling stock and intangible | 1，579，720 | 9，249 | 5.86 |

1／Average rate．
264932 0－10－18

TABLE 28. - ASSESSED VALUATION AND TAX LEVIES IN CITIES HAVING DIVISIONS OF GOVERNMENT WITH TWO OR MORE TAX RATES: 1937-Continued

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 岗 } \\ & \text { 曾 } \\ & \ddagger \\ & \stackrel{~}{0} \end{aligned}$ | CITY, AND PARTS OF CITT OR CLASSES OF PROPERTY | Assessed valuation | LEVY |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Total | Rate per $\$ 1,000$ of assessed valuation |
| 38 | SAN ANTONIO, TEX: <br> City corporation <br> General city $\qquad$ <br> Improvement districts: <br> Nos. 2 and $8-$ <br> No. 4 $\qquad$ <br> No. 7 <br> No. 12 $\qquad$ <br> No. 14 | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 208,726,870 \\ 208,726,870 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 3,040,8313 \\ 3,026,541 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1 / 24.57 \\ 14.50 \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 13,058,870 | 3,917 | . 30 |
|  |  | 5,984,170 | 7,181 | 1.20 |
|  |  | 4,261,890 | 1,705 | . 40 |
|  |  | 1,059,020 | 212 | . 20 |
|  |  | 1,826,140 | 1,278 | . 70 |
| 64 | NORPOLK, VA.: |  |  |  |
|  | City corporatioReal estate | $\begin{aligned} & 150,816,972 \\ & 149,520,512 \end{aligned}$ | 3,750,978 | 1/24.87 |
|  |  |  | 3,738,013 | 25.0010.00 |
|  | Machinery | $1,296,460$ |  |  |
|  | State---------- | 30,761,577 | 180,92.4 | $1 / 5.88$ |
|  | Personal intangible: |  |  |  |
|  | Bonds, notes, | 12,929,762 | 64,64:9 $\quad 5.00$ |  |
|  | Capital | 8,416,544 | 63,18:4 7.50 |  |
|  | Bank stock | $4,290,136$$5,125,135$ | 42,90110,250 | 10.002.00 |
|  | Money - |  |  |  |
| 44 | RICHMOND, VA.: |  |  |  |
|  | City corporation | 265,912,574 | 5,685,244 | $1 / 21.38$22.00 |
|  | Real estate and personal | $255,610,534$$10,302,040$ | 5,623, 4332 |  |
|  | Xachinery- |  | 61,8.12 | 22.00 6.00 |
|  | State--------------- | 118,241,215 | 739,248 | 1/ 6.25 |
|  | Personal intangible: <br> Bonds, notes, etc |  |  |  |
|  | Capital----- | $62,740,694$$9,513,395$ | 470,555 | 5.00 7.50 |
|  | Bank stock and moneyed capi |  |  | 7.50 10.00 |
|  | Money and stock of banks in 11quida | $\begin{array}{r} 9,513,395 \\ 18,792,399 \end{array}$ | $37,585$ | 2.00 |
| 20 | SEATILE, WASH.: <br>  <br> Old limits, new limits, Revenna, Southeast <br>  <br> Ballard, Columbia, Dunlap, Georgetown, South Park, <br> West Seattle and Yesler- |  | 5,125,491 | 1/ 20.47 |
|  |  | 250,449,590 |  |  |
|  |  | 211,946,557 | 4,349, 582 | 20.57 |
|  |  | 38,503,033 | 775,509 | 20.18 |
| 73 | SPOKANE, WASH.: |  |  |  |
|  | City corporation- | $70,941,977$ $70,863,814$ | 1,275,549 | $1 / 18.00$ 18.00 |
|  | Parkwater- | $70,863,814$ 78,163 | 1,1.72 | $15.00$ |
|  | School district- | $\begin{aligned} & 70,941,977 \\ & 69,026,544 \end{aligned}$ | 750,.205 | 1/ 10.57 |
|  | Former No. 81 |  | 724,779 | 10.5013.40 |
|  | Former No. 122 | $69,026,544$ $1,823,208$ | 24,431 |  |
|  | Former No. 143 | 92,225 | $B 95$ | 9.70 |
| 81 | TACOMA, WASH.: |  |  |  |
|  | City ${ }^{\text {districts Nos. } 1 \text { and } 2}$ | 45,989,076 | 1,091,321 | 1/23.53 |
|  | Districts Nos. 3, 4, and | $\begin{aligned} & 1,719,297 \\ & 1,812,389 \end{aligned}$ | 37,446 | 21.78 |
|  | District No. 6 |  | 36,683 | 20.24 |
|  | School district- | $\begin{array}{r} 49,520,762 \\ 49,107,774 \\ 210,400 \\ 202,588 \end{array}$ | 643,331 | $1 / 12.99$ |
|  | Property in general |  | 638,401 | 13.0013.80 |
|  | Subject to bond rate |  | 2,904 |  |
|  | Subject to no bond ra |  | 2,026 | 10.00 |
| 13 | MILWAUKEE, WLS.: |  |  |  |
|  | City corporation--- | 940,266,341 | 20,936,329 | 1/ 22.27 |
|  | Property in gener | 847,236,685 | 19,575,708 | $\begin{aligned} & 23.11 \\ & 14.47 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Public utilities | 91,139,$1,894,289$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,318,460 \\ 42,161 \end{array}$ |  |
|  | Do |  |  | 22.25 |
|  | County------------ | $\begin{array}{r} 938,372,052 \\ 847,236,685 \\ 91,135,367 \end{array}$ | 6,606,521 | $1 / 7.04$ |
|  | Property in genere |  | 6,200,841. | 7.32 |
|  | Public utilities |  | 405,680 | 4.45 |
|  | State- | $\begin{array}{r} 938,372,052 \\ 847,236,685 \\ 91,135,367 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 399,845 \\ 95,585 \\ 304,260 \end{array}$ | 1/ 43 |
|  | Property in gene |  |  |  |
|  | Public utilities |  |  | 3.34 |

1/ Average rate.

## PART III. PUBLIC-SERVICE ENTERPRISES
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## PART III. PUBLICSERVICE ENTERPRISES

For the first time in this series of reports, financial statistics of pub-lic-service enterprises are presented separately from those of general government. The Bureau's classification of such enterprises includes water systems, electric light and power systems, street railways and other transit systems, gas systems, ports, docks, harbors, wharves, ferries, airports, and associated facilities.

During 1937, the cities included in this volume reported a total income of $\$ 403,623,152$ from such enterprises, which was $\$ 55,793,201$ less than the total payments made by them. Only two of the 94 cities reported no such enterprises within the Bureau's classification; these were Bridgeport and Gary.

REASONS FOR SEPARATE REPORTING. - The primary objective in reporting publicservice enterprises separately is to improve the comparability of the data on the financial operations of general government. Formerly, the statistics of revenues and payments and debt of public-service enterprises were included with those for the rest of the city. Because of the variations in number and size of these enterprises in each city and the different and often complex relations which existed between these enterprises and general government, it was impossible to avoid a distorted picture of general government. The effect was partially to vitiate the intercity comparability of the statistics of general government. Finally, separation of the financial data pertaining to the two types of municipal services takes recognition of the fact that city government, when assuming the ownership or operation of public-service enterprises, is engaging in a proprietary, as distinguished from a general governmental, function. This in itself justifies separate reporting.

Looking to the future, the Bureau hopes that the separate reporting of pub-lic-service enterprises will be a step forward in promoting the comparability of operation between the publicly-owned and the privately-owned utilities. Also, since one of the principal characteristics of a public-service enterprise is its wholly or partially self-supporting nature, the segregation of accounts and the separate reporting of the financial statistics should be constantly refined to the point where they may provide a reasonably useful measure of the degree to which the publicly maintained enterprise is actually self-supporting. Admittedly, the present study does not afford a rellable basis for such measurement. This objective will involve a searching inquiry into the accounting methods and operating policies pursued by the individual cities with respect to their public-service enterprises, whether the properties are adequately maintained, whether proper reserves for major replacements are provided, whether services rendered to general government are charged on a cost basis, and whether services performed for these enterprises by general government are charged at cost.

CONIRIBUTIONS TO OR FROM GENERAL GOVERNMENT.-Since all transactions of the pub-lic-service enterprises have been eliminated from general government and are classified separately, the fiscal relations between the two are reflected in four items, namely: "Contributions from public-service enterprises," shown in general government revenues, "Contributions to public-service enterprises," shown in general government costs, "Contributions from general funds," shown in public-service enterprise income, and "Contributions to general revenues," shown in public-service enterprise costs. Accordingly, contributions from pub-lic-service enterprises to the general funds of the city are included in the expenditures of the enterprises and in the revenues of general government; conversely, contributions to public-service enterprises from the general revenues of the city, or deficits incurred by the public-service enterprises and financed by the city, are included in the income of the enterprises and in the expenditures for general government.

The Bureau, in reporing the flow of funds between public-service enterprises and general government under the heading "contributions," has made every possible effort to eliminate from such figures all payments for services rendered. For example, payments for water, light, etc., and any other payment by the city to the encerprise for services rendered, have been charged as an operation and maintenance cost of general government and are included as an operating revenue of the enterprise. Similarly, payments by the enterprise to the city treasury for rent in the city hall, for collection of bills, and other services rendered or space or supplies furnished, are included as an operating expense of the enterprise and as a revenue of general government. It is not possible, of course, for the Bureau to determine whether such paymentis for services rendered are reported on a true-cost basis.

During 1937 contributions in the amount of $\$ 61,385,253$ were made to publicservice enterprises from the general funds of 77 cities, and 47 cities reported contributions of $\$ 31,636,434$ from public-service enterprises to general funds. This would suggest that general government was required to support its publicservice enterprises to the net amount of $\$ 29,746,819$, but it does not necessarily measure the extent to which such enterprises were or were not sel.f-supporting.

In view of the foregoing factors, there are obvious limits to which the data are susceptible of analysis, and discretion should be exercised in drawing inferences from the statistics. The Bureau is handicapped by the absence of clarifying records maintained by the individual cities as to the financial operations of their public-service enterprises, although, as prevjously mentioned, refinements will be introduced in the data whenever possible.

ENIERPRISES INCLUDED IN THE SCOPE OF THIS REPORT.-Although the ownership and operation of water systems has become virtually a corollary of the operation of municipal government, in recent years 92 of the 94 cities have broadened their activities to include a variety of proprietary functions normally associated with commercial enterprise. The increasing number of municipal airports is noteworthy. The following comprises the list of activities engaged in by 92 of the 94 cities which the Bureau classifies as public-service enterprises:
 Radio

It is evident that the range of public-service enterprises engaged in by the 92 cities is extensive and in some cases far from the fleld of normal municipal activity. Some of the functions are associated with essential services for the preservation of life or property, but in others it is apparent that the enterprises are of a commercial character, engaged in by the cities either because the services were lacking and demanded by the public, or largely prompted by the income factor. This report does not attempt to explore the factors which prompted these cities to engage in operation of such public-service enterprises.

An analysis of each of the tables of financial statistics of public-service enterprises appears below.

TABLE 29
Income of public-service enterprises of the 92 cities having such activities in 1937 is shown in table 29 by type of enterprise. Further details as to the sources of such income are presented in table 29-A.

INCOME.-Public-service enterprises owned by the 92 cities reported total income of $\$ 403,623,152$ in 1937 . Of this amount, approximately 51 percent was reported from water systems; 23 percent from transit systems; 10.5 percent from
electric light and power systems; 6.5 percent from ports, harbors, docks, and wharves; 4 percent from gas systems; and 2.7 percent from airports. The balance of income was derived from such miscellaneous public-service enterprises as ferries, terminals, radio stations, conduits, an ice plant, a plantation, a grain elevator, and a railroad serving city docks.

SOURCES OF INCOME. -The source from which public-service enterprises derived their income is shown in table 29-A. Per capita figures are presented for total income and also for operating income.

TABLE 29-A.-INCOME, BY TYPE OF ENTERPRISE AND BY SOURCE: 1937

|  | Total income | Operating income | Interest | Rents |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | \$403,623,152 | \$305,622,825 | \$8,658,190 | \$14,461,528 |
| Per capita-- | 10.71 | 8.11 | ----------- | ------------- |
| Water-supply systems- | 206,234,652 | 187,244,318 | 4,632,988 | -------------- |
| Electric light and power systems | 42,316,799 | 41,707,260 | 148,441 | ------------- |
| Transit systems--- | 92,743,232 | 45,278,903 | 3,414,180 | 9,104,831 |
| Gas-supply systems- | 16,614,213 | 12,265,331 | 133,882 | 4,215,000 |
| Ports, harbors, docks, and wharve | 26,296,783 | 13,763,797 | 276,410 | 510,056 |
| Airports | 10,882,156 | 1,089,039 | 345 | ------- |
|  | 8,535,317 | 4,274,177 | 51,944 | 631,641 |
|  | Grants | Contributions from general funds | Pension assessments | All other |
|  | \$12,907,934 | \$61,383,253 | \$227,075 | \$362,347 |
| Total------ Per cap |  | ----------------- | - | ------------- |
| Water-supply systems <br> Electric light and power systems | 7,824,449 | 6,144,422 | 30,987 | 357,488 |
|  | 457,111 | 2,278 | 1,709 | ------------ |
| Transit systems <br> Gas-supply systems | 1,302,355 | 33,449,411 | 188,693 | 4,859 |
|  |  | ----------- | ------- | ------------ |
| Ports, harbors, docks, and wharves--.-.---- | 1,197,258 | 10,543,576 | 5,686 | - |
|  | 2,100,135 | 7,692,637 | ----------- | ------------- |
|  | 26,626 | 3,550,929 | ------------ | ------------ |

Of the total income reported by these enterprises, 75.7 percent was derived from operating revenue, and 15.2 percent was reported as contributions from general government funds. Most of the remainder of the income was from rents, grants, and interest. It will be noted that the ratio of operating income to total income varies widely among the different types of public-service enterprises, being 98.5 percent of the electric light and power systems and of gas systems, as against 10 percent in the case of airports. Viater systems also derived a high total of income from operating revenue-approximately 90 percent. Many of the alrports, for the time being at least, are contemplated more in the nature of civic improvements essential to progressive local government than as proprietary enterprises of the character expected to be self-supporting at the outset, which accounts for the comparatively substantial support given them from general government funds. In contrast, no general government runds were contributed to gas systems, which are proprietary enterprises undertaken essentially as a business proposition.

Income of the individual types of enterprises is discussed in greater detail in connection with the presentation of tables concerning them.

TABLE 29.-INCOME, BY TYPE OF ENTERPRISE: 1937


| 1 | New York, N. | \$107,530,778 | \$40,803,477 | - | \$51,878,486 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Chicago, 111 | 15,224,914 | 14,751,057 |  |  |
| 3 | Philadelphia, F | 18,238,217 | 7,019,674 |  | 5,397,038 |
| 4 | Detroit, | 27,528,377 | 7,234,598 |  | 19,907,778 |
| 5 | Los Angeles, Cal | 35,897,463 | 12,807,576 | \$19,442,816 | 13,620 |
| 6 | Cleveland, ohi | 9,157,994 | 5,498,115 | 3,482,478 |  |
| 7 | St. Louis, Mo | 4,733,294 | 4,447,324 |  |  |
| 8 | Baltimore, ma. | 9,162,536 | 5,370,782 | ------------- |  |
| ${ }_{10}^{9}$ | Boston, Mass. | 8,550,382 | 4,746,937 | ------------- | 3,153,333 |
| 10 | ${ }^{\text {P1 titsburgh, }} \mathrm{Pa} .-$ | 4,034,140 | 3,484,710 |  |  |
| 11 | San Franclaco, Calif | 16,562,788 | $8,229,406$ $1,739,606$ | 2,346,990 | 3,166,983 |
| 12 13 |  | 1,739,606 | 1, $1,735,761$ |  |  |
| 14 | Buffalo, N . Y. | 3,077,709 | 2,957,840 |  |  |

group il.-CITtis having a population of 300,000 TO 500,000

| 15 | Minnoapolis, Man | \$1,667,127 | \$1,500,393 | ------------- |  | ------------- | \$93,890 | \$72,844 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 | New Orleens, Le | 4,112,463 | 2,129,320 |  | 81,297,463 |  |  | 146,551 | \$539,129 |
| 17 | Cincinnati, ob | $6,261,887$ $4,222,449$ | $4,017,724$ $2,394,903$ |  | 2,105,790 |  | $\begin{array}{r}14,696 \\ 836,356 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 123,677 |  |
| 188 | Newark, N. J. | $4,222,449$ $2,493,774$ | $2,394,903$ $2,326,851$ 1,762 |  | 707,957 |  | 836,356 27,977 | 283,233 <br> 138,946 |  |
| 19 20 |  | 2,493,774 $13,782,924$ | 2,326,851 $1,764,570$ | 26,380, 785 | 4,564,159 |  |  | 133,874 | 30,380 |
| 21 | Indianapolis, Ind.- | ¢,222,359 |  |  |  | 86,218,742 |  | 63,617 |  |
| 22 | Rochestor, N. Y, | 2,192,675 | $1,496,382$ $2,128,066$ |  | 437,744 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} 16,516 . \\ 50,676 \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | 241,933 | ------------ |
| 23 24 | Jersey C, | $2,178,742$ $3,158,288$ | 2,128,066 $1,500,730$ |  |  | 193,980 | 1,409,100 | 54,478 |  |
| 25 | Louisville, | 1,979,527 | 1,893,737 |  |  |  | 36,591 | 49,199 |  |
| 26 | Portland, | 3,992,036 | 2,023,875 |  |  |  | 1,949,319 | 18,842 |  |

group iil.-Cities faving a fopulation of 100,000 to 300,000

| 27 | Columbus, Oh10 | \$2,259,417 | \$1,414,859 | \$766,431 | ------------- | ---------- |  | \$78,127 | ------------ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 28 | Toledo, Ohio- | 1,676,832 | 1,584,969 | ----------- |  |  | \$10,000 | 81,863 | ------------- |
| 29 | Oaklend, Calif | 5,534,718 | 3,545,054 | 221,267 |  |  | 1,703,691 | 64,706 |  |
| 30 31 | Denver, Colo. Atlanta, Ga.- | $4,310,139$ $2,365,771$ | $\xrightarrow{4,206,614} \mathbf{2 , 3 4 5 , 3 4 9}$ |  |  |  |  | 103,525 20,422 |  |
| 32 | Dellas, Tex. | 1,939,262 | 1,889,082 | ------------- | ------------- | -------------- | ------------- | 16,677 | \$33,503 |
| 33 | St. Paul, Minn | $1,167,088$ <br> 25,546 <br> 10 | 1,102,686 | ------------- |  |  |  | 64,402 |  |
| 35 | Akron, Ohio-- | 1,621,686 | 1,319,570 | ---------------- | ---------------- | -------------- |  | 302,116 |  |
| 36 | Memphis, Tenn. | 1,599,620 | 1,351,932 | 805 |  |  | 61,340 | 64,723 | 120,820 |
| 37 | Providence, R. I | 2,275,646 | 2,157,285 |  |  |  | 118,361 | ------ | ------------ |
| 38 39 | San Antonio, Tex | 1,001,513 | ,978,946 $1,391,903$ | --.-------------- |  | \$2,206,729 | ------------------ | 22,567 82,059 | 107,386 |
| 40 | Syracuse, N . | 3,983,960 | 1,922,350 | ------------- |  |  |  | 11,610 | 107,386 |
| 41 | Dayton, Ohio- | 1,156,474 | 1,137,793 |  |  |  |  | 18,681 |  |
| 42 | Oklahoma City, 0 | 1,185,353 | 1,120,593 |  |  |  |  | 64,760 | ------------ |
| 43 44 | Worcester, Mass. | 690,376 $2,230,250$ | 690,376 711,297 |  |  | 1,290,422 | 206,731 | 21,800 |  |
| 45 | Youngstown, Ohio | 1,376,474 | 1,376,474 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 46 | Grand Repids, Mich. | 960,231 | 960,231 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 47 | Fort Worth, Tex. | 999,773 | 881,143 |  | ------ | -------------- | 919 | 118,630 | -- |
| 48 49 | Hartford, Conn. | $1,271,354$ 842,416 | $1,199,004$ 824,275 | -------- |  |  | 919 | 71,431 |  |
| 50 | New Haven, conn | 63,808 |  | --------------- |  |  | 11,360 | 52,448 |  |
| 51 | San Diego, Calif | 2,271,200 | 1,886,046 |  |  |  | 289,359 | 95,795 |  |
| 52 | Long Beach, Calif | 3,646,694 | 1,263,816 | -------------- |  | 1,697,495 | 611,134 | 74,249 | -------.----- |
| 53 <br> 54 | Nashville, Tenn.- Springfield, Mass | 791,894 $1,058,939$ | 745,186 $1,058,939$ | ------------------ |  |  | 27,791 | 18,917 |  |
| 55 56 | Tulse, okla, ---- | 1,102,987 | 1,007,317 | --------------- |  | ------------- | ------------- | 95,670 | ---------------- |
| 56 | Bricgeport, Conn. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 57 | Des Moines, Iowa- | 897,351 | 862,978 |  |  |  | ------------- | 34,373 | -- |
| 58 59 | Screnton, Pa.-......- | 2,622 933,278 |  | - |  |  |  | 2,622 155,292 | ----------------- |
| 60 | Yonkers, N. Y. | 1,030,556 | 1,012,789 |  |  |  | 17,767 |  |  |
| 61 | Paterson, N. J. | 1,245,336 | 1,245,336 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 62 | Jacksonville, Fle | 4,667,567 | 515,985 | 3,431,375 |  |  | 515,679 | 63,370 | 141,158 |
| 63 | Albany, N. | 1,683,059 | 974,989 |  | ------------- | ------------- | 658,798 | 49,272 | -------- |
| 64 |  | $1,463,043$ 864,390 | 993,134 824,043 | ------------- |  |  | 469,909 40,347 | ------------- |  |
| 66 | Chattanooga, Tenn | 43,757 |  |  |  |  | 4,500 | 39,257 |  |
| 67 | Kensas City, Kans. | 3,338,296 | 976,654 | 2,361,642 |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 29.-INCOME, BY TYPE OF ENTERPRISE: 1937-Continued


2/ Not included in group or grand totals.

## TABLE 30

The payments made by these enterprises during 1937 are presented in table 30 by type of enterprise and in table 30-A by character of payment. It should be stated that, as in the case of reporting cost payments of general government, the Bureau includes as a payment the cost of outlays at the time they are made and not the payments made to retire debt incurred in financing the outlay. Otherwise, a duplication of cost would result. ${ }^{1}$

PAYMENS.-During 1937 the public-service enterprises of the cities considered in this study reported total payments of $\$ 459,416,353$. Of this amount, 43.6 percent was for water systems; 22.3 percent for transit systems; 20.9 percent for electric light and power systems; 6.1 percent for ports, harbors, docks, and wharves; 3.4 percent for gas systems; and approximately 2.1 percent for airports. The types of "All other" public-service enterprises have been discussed in connection with table 29, and further details regarding them are contained in tables 33 and 34 .

CHARACTER OF PAYMENTS. - The character of payments by public-service enterprises is show in table 30-A.

TABLE 30-A.-PAYMENTS, BY TYPE OF ENTERPRISE AND BY CHARACTER: 1937

|  | Total | Operating <br> expenses | Administrative expenses of leased enterprises | Interest | Outlays | Contributions to general funds | Pensions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | \$459,416,353 | \$151,220,895 | \$109,265 | \$113,481,216 | \$162,090,969 | \$31,636,434 | \$877,574 |
| Per capita |  | 4.01 | -------- | --.--------- | ----------- | --------- | --.- |
| Water-supply systems- <br> Tistric lit and | 200,361,560 | 72,572,192 | -------- | 49,706,472 | 55,911,212 | 21,862,552 | 309,132 |
| power systems--- | 96,303,993 | 19,675,095 |  | 6,860,373 | 66,519,466 | 3,135,304 | 113,755 |
| Transit systems--.---- | 102,277,075 | 37,127,535 | 108,313 | 41,259,502 | 22,326,712 | 1,018,736 | 436,277 |
| Gas-supply systems--- | 15,555,940 | 9,165,941 |  | 795,733 | 786,555 | 4,807,711 |  |
| Ports, harbors, docks, and wharves | 27,841,649 | 5,841,452 | 952 | 12,673,407 | 8,967,312 | 345,792 | 12,734 |
| Airports-------------- | 9,475,280 | 2,227,213 |  | 999,184 | 6,234,855 | 14,028 |  |
| All other----------- | 7,600,856 | 4,611,467 | -------- | 1,186,545 | 1,344,857 | 452,311 | 5,676 |

Of the total payments reported for public-service enterprises, \$151,220,895, or 32.9 percent, constituted expenses for operation and maintenance of the enterprises. It may be interesting to compare these figures with the $\$ 305,622,825$, or 75.7 percent, of total income derived from operating revenue. Payments of $\$ 162,090,969$ for outlays were greater than the operating expenses of these enterprises and represented approximately one-third of all payments. Payments for interest, $\$ 113,481,216$, accounted for 24.7 percent of the total, and contributions to the general funds of the cities amounted to $\$ 31,636,434$, or 6.9 percent of total payments, but were almost 8 percent of their total income.

Per capita payments of public-service enterprises for operating expenses are included in the statistics presented in table $30-\mathrm{A}$, while per capita income is presented in table 29-A. It is recognized that such statistics are of limited value in connection with public-service enterprises but are included for the use of those who might be interested in such comparisons. The varying number of public-service enterprises among the 92 cities, and the differing magnitude of the scope of their service and of their financial transactions, render intercity comparisons somewhat nebulous. Furthermore, the per capita figures for the aggregate of public-service enterprises are not comparable with previous years, since the Bureau's classification of public-service enterprises for 1937 excludes certain types of activities previously included, while new types of activities have been added. If accurate data were available, it would be enlightening to present statistics of a comparative nature based on the number of consumers or connections served, especially so far as water, electric, and gas services are concerned, but this report is restricted to financial data. ${ }^{2}$

Cost payments of the individual types of enterprise are discussed further in connection with the presentation of tables concerning them.
$1 /$ For an explanation, see the discussion in connection with table 20 , supra.
2/ The Bureau in 1915 compiled certain non-financial data on minicipally-owned water supply systems. See General Statistics of Cities: 1915.

TABLE 30.-PAYMENTS, BY TYPE OF ENTERPRISE: 1937


GROUP I. -CITLES HAVING A POPULATION OF 500,000 AND OVER

| 1 | New York, N. Y | \$124,791,891 | \$43,465,814 | ------------- | \$62,835,447 | ------------- | \$12,919,680 | (1/) | \$5,570,950 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | Chicago, Ill Philadelphia, | $15,449,302$ $17,379,717$ | $15,002,494$ $4,567,174$ |  | 6,892,038 | \$4,215,000 | 284,703 $1,183,723$ | $\$ 162,105$ 521,782 |  |
| 4 | Detroit, Mich. | 25,475,009 | 6,283,646 |  | 18,908,473 |  |  | 282,890 |  |
| 5 | Los Angoles, Calif | 90,260,284 | 15,648,850 | \$71,293,546 | 13,620 |  | 3,108,583 | 195,685 | ------------ |
| 6 | Cleveland, Ohio- | 7,766,873 | 4,422,777 | 3,246,237 |  |  |  | 97,859 |  |
| 7 | St. Louis, Mo. | 3,633,422 | 3,424,755 |  |  |  | 103,963 | 104,714 |  |
| 8 | Baltimore, ma. | 9,684,004 | 6,609,164 |  |  |  | 518,794 | 1,861,653 | 694,393 |
| 9 | Boston, Mass. | 6,478,055 | 3,637,047 |  | 2,262,613 |  |  | 250,102 | 328,293 |
| 10 | Pittsburgh, Pa. | 3,278,145 | 2,906,699 |  |  |  | 93,754 | 277,692 |  |
| 12 | San Francisco, Washington, d. | $17,478,537$ $2,166,197$ | $10,137,359$ $2,166.197$ | 2,584,605 | 3,021,434 |  |  | 1,735,139 |  |
| 13 | Mil weukee, 'Wis. | 3,740,097 | 3,372,862 |  |  |  | 247,120 | 120,115 |  |
| 14 | Buffalo, N. | 2,493,314 | 2,404,663 |  |  |  |  | 38,651 |  |

grove II.-CIttes having a population of 300,000 to 500,000


GROUP III.-CITLES haVING A POPULATION of 100,000 TO 300,000

| 27 | Columbus, | \$1,716,059 | \$1,010,501 | \$666,431 | -------------- | --------- |  | \$39,127 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 28 | Toledo, Ohio- | 1,438,491 | 1,351,628 | ------- |  |  | \$5,000 | 81,863 | ------------ |
| 29 30 | Oakl and, Call | $4,675,338$ $6,384,344$ 2,18 | 3,207,676 | 15,741 |  |  | 1,387,215 | 64,706 | ------------ |
| 31 | Atianta, Ga | $\stackrel{\text { 2, }}{2,133,974}$ | 2,213,577 |  |  |  |  | 20,397 | -------------- |
| 32 | Dallas, Tex. | 1,454,041 | 1,376,642 | ------------- |  |  | ------------- | 41,343 | \$36,056 |
| 33 | St. Paul, Minn. | - 970,962 | 945,017 $2,128,658$ |  |  |  |  | 25,945 |  |
| ${ }_{35}^{34}$ | Birmingham, Ala Akron, ohio--- | $2,153,413$ $1,416,169$ | $2,128,658$ $1,207,807$ |  |  |  |  | 24,755 208,362 | -- |
| 36 | Memphis, Tenn. | 1,786,910 | 1,086,374 | 538,480 |  |  | 19,458 | 57,663 | 84,935 |
| 37 | Providence, R. | 1,737,062 | 1,668,139 |  |  |  | 68,923 |  |  |
| 38 39 | San Antonio, Omaha, Nebr. | 752,273 $3,221,763$ | 729,968 $1,045,185$ |  | -------------------- | \$2,011,940 | -- | 22,305 | 100, 945 |
| 40 | Syracise, N. | 772,125 | 705,725 |  |  |  |  | 66,400 |  |
| 41 | Dayton, Ohio | 719,283 | 682,603 |  |  |  |  | 36,680 |  |
| 42 | Oxlahoma City, Okla. | 861,153 | 815,393 |  |  |  |  | 45,760 | ------------ |
| 43 | Yorcester, Mass. | 537,662 | 537,662 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |
| 45 |  | 1,299,297 | 1,299,297 | ---------------- |  | 1,340,422 | 206,231 |  |  |
| 46 | Grand Raplds, Mich. | 752,773 | 752,773 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 47 | Fort Worth, Tex | 869,285 | 704,337 |  |  |  |  | 164,948 | ------------ |
| 48 | Hartford, Conn. | 2,118,990 | 2,058,390 |  |  |  | 919 | 59,681 |  |
| 49 50 | F11nt, M1ch.--- New Haven, Conn | 593,074 33,808 | 574,933 |  |  |  |  | 18,141 27,448 | ----------- |
| 51 | San Diego, Calif | 2,069,615 | 1,814,154 | --------- |  |  | 173,942 | 81,519 |  |
| 52 | Long Beach, Callf | 2,900,666 | 1,075,629 |  |  | 1,392,695 | 357,993 | 74,249 |  |
| 53 | Nashville, Tenn. | 633,274 | 593,311 | ------------- |  |  | 19,394 | 20,569 |  |
| 54 55 | Spring fiold, Mas Tulsa, okla. | 790,065 639,276 | 790,065 |  |  |  |  | 73,209 |  |
| 56 | Bridgeport, Conn. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Des Moines, Iowa | 705,924 | 683,403 |  |  |  |  | 22,521 |  |
| 58 | Scranton, Pa.-- | 3,360 | 662 |  |  |  |  | 3,360 145,292 | ------------ |
| 59 60 | Salt Lake City, Utah Yonkers, N. Y.--- |  | 662,612 $1,150,687$ | -.-.-.-......-- |  |  | 10,067 | 145,292 |  |
| 61 | Paterson, N. J. | 918,235 | 918,235 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 62 | Jacksonville, FI | 4,830,673 | 462,109 | 3,687,994 |  | ------------- | 517,660 | 56,778 | 106,132 |
| 63 | Albany, N. Y | 1,824,321 | $1,229,472$ 752,733 |  |  |  | 554,432 306,224 | 40,417 | ------------ |
| 64 | Norfolk, Va.-- | $1,058,957$ 309,366 | 752,733 <br> 773,463 |  |  |  | 306,224 <br> 35,903 |  |  |
| 66 | Chattanooga, Te | 63,410 |  | 53 |  |  | 4,500 | 39,257 |  |
| 67 | Kansas City, | 3,398,768 | 501,015 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 30.—PAYMENTS, BY TYPE OF ENTERPRISE: 1937—Continued
(Includes data for enterprises owned but not operated by city)

|  | CITY | Total | Water-supply systems <br> (Table 32) | Electric light and power systems <br> (Table 34) |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Gas-supply } \\ \text { systems } \end{gathered}$ <br> (Table 38) | Ports, harbors, docks, and wharves (Table 40) | A1rports <br> (Table 42 | All other <br> (Table 44) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

group iti.-CITIES having a porulation of 100,000 to 300,000 -Contimed


2/ Not included in group or grand totals.

## Water Systems

In contrast with most other types of public-service enterprises, the majority of water systems are municipally owned in communities of the size considered in this report. Only 9 of the 94 cities do not have a municipal water system: Indianapolis, New Haven, Bridgeport, Scranton, Chattanooga, Wichita, Peoria, Gary, and Utica. ${ }^{3}$ Birmingham is included, although its activities in this field of service are limited to an industrial water supply in the process of construction with the aid of both W.P.A. and P.W.A. funds. The first municipal water system to be constructed by any of the 94 cities was that built by Albany in 1799, most of the others having been constructed between 1850 and $1900 .{ }^{4}$

INCOME OF WATER SYSTEMS.-The 85 water systems included in this study reported total income of $\$ 206,234,652$, which was approximately 51 percent of the total income reported by all public-service enterprises. The operating revenue of the water systems, that is, the earning power originating from the facilities themselves, was approximately 90 percent of the total income received by them. Grants for improvements and extensions to these facilities amounted to 3.8 percent of total income, and contributions from general city funds amounted to 3 percent of the total. This latter figure contrasts with the 15.2 percent of total income derived by all public-service enterprises from general city funds. It is to be noted that 34 of the water systems reported such contributions.

PAMENTS OF WATER SYSTEMS.-Payments of the water systems totaled $\$ 200,361,560$, which amount was 43.6 percent of total payments reported by all public-service enterprises. As compared with approximately 90 percent of total income received from operating revenue, however, only 36.2 percent of total payments by water systems were for operating expenses. Other large types of payment were, in percentage of total payments, outlays, 27.9 percent; interest, 24.8 percent; and contributions to general city funds, 10.9 percent. Water systems accounted for 69 percent of the total contributions to general city funds made by public-service enterprises, but accounted for only 10 percent of total funds contributed by the cities to the public-service enterprises.

Based on this summary of income and payments, it is evident that the water systems had a balance of $\$ 5,873,092$ available for amortization of debt or for reserve requirements even if all capital outlays had been financed from current income. In this connection, table 37 indicates that these water systems had a bonded indebtedness of $\$ 1,159,474,572$ outstanding at the close of 1937.

TABLES 33 and 34

## Electric Light and Power Systems

As contrasted with water systems, the growth of municipal electric light and power systems has been more conspicuous in the smaller communities than in the cities of the population size considered in this report, although one of the cities included in this report, Tacoma, was a pioneer in this field of municipal enterprise. Only 13 of the 94 cities reported such municipal facilities in 1937. This may be due to the substantial investment required to provide large municipalities with this type of service or to such other factors as franchises.

INCOME OF ELECTRIC LIGHT AND POIVER SYSTEMS.-Of the total income, operating income accounted for 98.6 percent as compared with the average of 75.7 percent derived by all enterprises from this source.

PAYMENTS OF ELECTRIC LIGHT AND POWER SYSTEMS.-Total payments of the electric light and power systems aggregated $\$ 96,303,993$. Of this amount 20.4 percent was operating expense-an interesting comparison with the 98.6 percent of total income received from operating revenue, which indicates an operating surplus of over $\$ 22,000,000$ for the 13 systems during the year. The largest payment item

[^14]was for outlays, which amounted to almost 70 percent of the total. Interest payments accounted for 7.1 percent of the total, an amount substantielly below the average of almost 25 percent for all public-service enterprises. Contributions to general city funds were made by 7 of the 13 enterprises.

A striking situation in connection with the subject of contributions is the fact that the amount contributed to support of general government by the Jacksonville plant, $\$ 1,725,564$, was greater than the cost of operating the plant during the year. It might be added that this contribution represented approximately a third of all Jacksonville's revenues, as discussed in part I...

Based on this summary of income and payments of municipal electric light and power systems, it is evident that income falled to cover payments by almost $\$ 54,000,000$, chiefly because of extensive improvements and additions to plant equipment.

## TABLES 35 and 36

Transit Systems
Municipally-owned transit systems were reported by 13 of the 94 cities, although only 6 of these systems were municipally-operated. The remaining were leased to others for operation, and the income therefrom is indicated under "rents or leases."

INCOME OF TRANSIT SYSTEMS. -Total income of $\$ 92,743,232$ was derived from these transit systems in 1937 , of which amount $\$ 54,383,734$, or 58.6 percent, represented either operating revenue or income from lease of the properties. Contributions from general city funds accounted for 36.1 percent of total income, a figure well above the average of 15.2 percent derived by all public-service enterprises from this source. Most of this amount was represented by the heavy support given by New York to its transit system, although 7 other cities also contributed from general funds to their municipally-owned transiti systems. Grants which constituted a small proportion of total income were received by the systems in New York and Detroit.

PAYMENS OF TRANSIT SYSTEMS. - Total payments of $\$ 102,277,075$ were reported by the 13 municipally-owned transit systems in 1937, of which amount 36.3 percent represented operating expense. As is typical of most transit systems, the debt load is heavy, and interest payments thereon were larger than operating expense, accounting for 40.3 percent of total payments. Expenditures for outlays constituted 21.8 percent of total payments, considerably below the 32.7 percent average for all public-service enterprises. Contributions to general government were negligible. They were reported in only four cases, the largest amount being derived by Cincinnati from its stock ownership and control of the Cincinnati Southern Railway.

Based on the foregoing summary it is evident that, while transit systems realized operating revenues $\$ 8,153,053$ in excess of operating expense, total payments were $\$ 9,533,843$ greater than total income received. Transit system bonded indebtedness outstanding at the close of 1937 totaled $\$ 1,021,101,048$.

TABLE 31.-INCOME OF WATER-SUPPLY SYSTEMS: 1937

| \% \% ¢ ¢ | CITY | Totel | Operating income | Interest | Federal grants | $\begin{gathered} \text { Contribu- } \\ \text { tions } \\ \text { from } \\ \text { general } \\ \text { funds } \end{gathered}$ | Pension assessments | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { other } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 206, 234,652 | \$187,244,318 | 34,632,988 | 1/\$7,824,449 | *6,144,422 | 330,987 | \$357,488 |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 122,226,863 \\ 23,196,551 \\ 60,811,238 \end{array}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} 112,915,231 \\ 20,168,691 \\ 54,160,396 \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,075,362 \\ 694,936 \\ 862,690 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1 / 4,938,804 \\ 385,476 \\ 2,500,169 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,244,585 \\ & 1,947,001 \\ & 2,952,836 \end{aligned}$ | 9,572 | 43,309 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ( ${ }^{447}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 21,415 | 313,732 |

GROUP I.-CITIES HAVING A POPULATION OF 500,000 AND OVER

| 1 | New York, N. | \$40,803,477 | \$38,228, 025 | 42,318,568 | \$256,884 | --------- | -...-- |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Chicago, Il | 14,751,057 | 14,747,446 | 3,611 |  | ---------- | ------ | -------- |
| 3 | Philadelphia, | 7,019,674 | 7,019,674 | ------- | ----------- | ---------- | ------- |  |
| 4 | Detroit, Mich | 7,234,598 | 6,939,821 | 268,153 | 24,989 | \$1,635 | ------ |  |
|  | Los Angeles, | 12,807,576 | 10,852,983 | 174,269 | 1,219,645 | 518,031 | ------ | \$42,648 |
| 6 | Cleveland, Ohi | 5,498,115 | 5,459,767 | ---------- | 38,348 | ----------- |  |  |
| 7 | St. Louis, Mo. | 4,447,324 | 3,757,153 | 6,581 | 486,274 | 197,301 |  | 15 |
| 8 | Baltimore, | 5,370,782 | 4,416,920 | 140,255 | 813,607 | ---------- |  |  |
| 9 | Boston, Mass | 4,746,937 | 4,672,359 | ---------- |  | 74,578 |  |  |
| 10 | Pittsburgh, Pa | 3,484,710 | 3,484,190 | 520 | ----------- |  |  |  |
| 11 | San Francisco, Ca | 8,229,406 | 6,488,348 | 234 | 1,627,231 | 112,947 |  | 646 |
| 12 | Washington, D. C. | 1,739,606 | 1,728,556 | ---------- | ---------- | 1,478 | \%9,572 |  |
| 13 | Milwaukee, W1s. | 3,135,761 | 2,666,755 | 890 | 468,116 |  |  |  |
| 14 | Buffalo, N. Y. | 2,957,840 | 2,453,234 | 162,281 | 2/3,710 | 338,615 |  |  |

GROUP II.-CITIES HAVING A POPULATION OF 300,000 TO 500,000


GROUP III.-CITIES having a population of 100,000 TO 300,000

| 27 | Columbus, ohi | \$1,414,859 | \$1,349,785 | \$92 | ----------- | \$64,982 | -- |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 28 | Toledo, Ohi | 1,584,969 | 1,434,545 | --------- | \%150,424 | , | ------- |  |
| 29 | Oakland, Calif. | 3,545,054 | 2,803,698 | 60,485 | -------- | 648,563 | ---.--- | \$32,308 |
| 30 | Denver, Colo | 4,206,614 | 2,848,847 | 17,398 | 1,340,369 |  |  |  |
| 31 | Atlanta, Ge | 2,345,349 | 2,343,324 | 2,025 |  |  |  |  |
| 32 | Dellas, Tex | 1,889,082 | 1,876,584 | 12,498 | ----------- |  |  |  |
| 33 | St. Paul, Minn. | 1,102,686 | 1,015,564 | 46,449 | 40,673 | -- |  |  |
| 34 | Birmingham, Al | 367 | --------- |  |  | 367 | --.--- |  |
| 35 | Akron, Ohio | 1,319,570 | 1,317,704 | 1,866 | ------------ | ---------- |  |  |
| 36 | Memphis, Ten | 1,351,932 | 1,334,959 | 13,365 |  |  | \$3,608 |  |
| 37 | Providence, R. | 2,157,285 | 1,721,231 | 199,999 |  | 236,055 | , |  |
| 38 | Sen Antonio, Tex | 978,946 | 907,437 | 71,509 |  |  | ------ |  |
| 39 | Omaha, Nebr. | 1,391,903 | 1,308,401 | 76,706 | 6,796 |  |  |  |
| 40 | Syracuse, N. | 922,350 | 886,088 | 1,332 |  | 34,930 | ------ |  |
| 41 | Dayton, Ohi | 1,137,793 | 790,144 | 1,276 |  | 346,373 | ------ | -------- |
| 42 | Oklahoma City, | 1,120,593 | 1,118,193 | --..-.-.-- |  | 2,400 | ------ |  |
| 43 | Worcester, Mass. | 690,376 | 676,685 | --------- | ------------ | 13,691 |  |  |
| 44 | Richmond, Va. | 711,297 | 711,297 | ----.----- | ------------ | ---------- |  |  |
| 45 | Youngstown, Ohio | 1,376,474 | 810,920 | ---------- |  | 565,554 |  |  |
| 46 | Grand Rapids, Mic | 960,231 | 899,353 | 36,878 | 24,000 | --.--.-.- |  |  |
| 47 | Fort Worth, Tex | 881,143 | 881,022 | 121 |  |  |  |  |
| 48 | Hartford, Conn. | 1,199,004 | 1,182,457 | 16,547 |  | ---------- | ------- | ------- |
| 49 | Fint, Mich. | 824,275 | 781,954 | 42,321 | ------------ | ---------- | ------- |  |
| 51 | San Diego, Ca | 1,886,046 | 1,556,828 |  | 152,205 | 177,013 |  |  |
| 52 | Long Beach, Ca | 1,263,816 | 900,186 | 3,626 | 78,900 |  |  | 281,104 |
| 53 | Nashville, 'Tenn.- | 745,186 | 725,324 |  | 19,862 | ---------- |  |  |
|  | Springfield, Mass. | 1,058,939 | 1,058,939 | ---- |  |  |  |  |

See footnotes at end of table.
$2649320-40-19$

TABLE 31.-INCOME OF WATER-SUPPLY SYSTEMS: 1937-Continued

|  | CITY | Totel | Operating <br> income | Interest | Federal grants | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Contribu- } \\ & \text { tions } \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { general } \\ & \text { funds } \end{aligned}$ | Penision assessments | $\begin{aligned} & \text { All } \\ & \text { other } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

GROUP III.-CITIES HAVING A POPULATION OF 100,000 TO 300,000 -Continued

| 55 | Tulsa, Okle | \$1,007,317 | \$891,938 | -- | ----------- | \$115,379 | ------- |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 57 | Des Moines, Iowa | 862,978 | 842,111 | \$20,867 | ---- |  | --..--- | ------- |
| 59 | Selt Lake City, Utah-- | 777,986 | 666,417 |  | \$991 | 110,578 | --..--- |  |
| 60 | Yonkers, N. Y.------- | 1,012,789 | 806,218 | ---------- | 45,672 | 145,059 | *15, 840 | -------- |
| 61 | Paterson, N. J. | 1,245,336 | 1,245,336 | ---------- | ----------- | --------- | --..--- |  |
| 62 | Jacksonville, F | 515,985 | 515,985 |  |  | --------- | --..--- |  |
| 63 | Albany, N. | 974,989 | 848,731 | 17 | ----------- | 126,241 | --..--- |  |
| 64 | Norfolk, Va. | 993,134 | 915,617 | 77,517 | ----------- |  | --..---- |  |
| 65 | Trenton, N. J.------- | 824,043 | 696,665 | 19,783 | 107,595 | ---------- | --..--- |  |
| 67 | Kansas City, Kans | 976,654 | 896,675 | 79,979 | ----------- | ---------- |  |  |
| 68 | Fort Wayne, Ind | 571,067 | 571,036 | 31 | ----------- | --------- |  |  |
| 69 | Camden N. J.--- | 667,731 | 661,501 | 6,230 | ------------ | ---------- | ------ | -------- |
| 70 | Erie, Pa. | 803,638 | 666,807 | 661 | ------------ | 136,170 | ---.-- | ------- |
| 71 | Elizabeth, N. | 816,314 | 783,554 | 32,760 | ----------- |  |  |  |
| 73 | Spokane, Wash. | 835,125 | 637,448 | 3,210 | 194,467 | - | ------ |  |
| 74 | Fall River, Mass. | 378,977 | 378,977 | --------- |  | ---------- | ------ | -------- |
| 75 | Cambridge, Mass.- | 507,961 | 501,884 | 4,110 | ----------- | ---------- | 1,967 | ------- |
| 76 | New Bedford, Mass | 367,926 | 367,926 | --- |  | ---------- |  |  |
| 77 | Reading, Pa,- | 611,271 | 600,660 | 1,207 |  | 9,084 |  | \$320 |
| 78 | Knoxville, Tenn | 784,030 | 784,030 | --------- |  | --------- | ------ |  |
| 80 | South Bend, Ind. | 421,889 | 421,889 | ---------- |  | --- | ------ |  |
| 81 | Tacoma, Was | 1,016,770 | 842,528 | --------- | 125,736 | 48,506 | ------ | ------- |
| 82 | Mami, Fla | 628,340 | 436,222 | -- | 192,118 | --------- | ------ | -------- |
| 84 | Canton, Ohio | 430,816 | 430,779 | 37 |  | ---------- | ------ | ------- |
| 85 | Wilmington, Del | 605,470 | 552,499 | --- | 13,979 | 38,992 | ------ | ------- |
| 86 | Tampa, Fla.-- | 521,224 | 520,379 | 845 |  | --------- |  |  |
| 87 | Somerville, Mass | 462,554 | 462,554 | -- | ----------- |  |  |  |
| 88 | El Paso, Tex. | 514,712 | 486,223 | 10,943 | ------------ | 17,546 | ------- |  |
| 89 | Evansville, Ind | 573,771 | 560,281 | --.------ |  | 13,490 | ------- |  |
| 90 | Lynn, Mass. | 465,241 | 465,241 | --------- |  | -------- | -----. | ------- |
| 92 | Duluth, Minn | 492,192 | 492,192 | ---------- | ----------- | ---------- | -----.. | ------- |
| 93 | Waterbury, Co | 650,079 | 634,734 | ---------- |  | 15,345 | -..---.- | ------- |
| 94 | Lowell, Mass. | 426,790 | 333,890 | ---------- | 6,382 | 86,518 |  |  |
|  | Honolulu, Hawail 4/---- | 1,292,406 | 1,212,109 | 27,314 | 52,983 | ---------- | -..----- |  |

[^15]TABLE 32.-PAYMENTS OF WATER-SUPPLY SYSTEMS: 1937
(See text discussion, p. 279)


| 15 | Minneapolis, Minn. | \$1,741,049 | \$1,115,006 | \$93,437 | ----------- | --- | \$532,606 | ------------- | ---------- |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 | Nex Orleans, La. - | 1,493,447 | 668,237 | 284,919 |  | ------------ | 540,291 | ------------- |  |
| 17 | Cincinnati, Ohio | 3,719,045 | 1,515,662 | 376,839 |  |  | 1,778,083 |  | \$48,461 |
| 18 | Nowark, N. J. | 2,245,353 | 1,272,522 | 429,750 | ----- |  | 20,945 | \$519,536 | 2,600 |
| 19 | Kansas City, Mo | 2,394,727 | 1,559,410 | 604,475 |  |  | 330,842 |  |  |
| 20 | Seattle, Wash. | 1,204,727 | 632,732 |  |  | \$261,109 | 290,780 |  | 20,106 |
| 22 | Rochester, N. Y. | 900,166 | 544,216 | 241,082 |  | ------------ | 102,600 |  | 12,268 |
| 23 | Jorsey City, N. J | 1,573,479 | 692,220 | 698,643 | ---------- | ------------ | 32,616 | 150,000 |  |
| 24 | Houston, Tex. | 1,098,498 | 697,910 | 92,000 |  | 70,500 | 238,088 |  | ------ |
| 25 26 | Louisville, Ky Portland, Oreg | $1,779,350$ $1,470,309$ | 984,894 622,922 | 39,420 742,040 |  |  | 224,293 96,950 | 530,743 | 8,397 |

TABLE 32.-PAYMENTS OF WATER-SUPPLY SYSTEMS: 1937-Continued
(See taxt discussion, p. 279)

|  | CITY | Total | Interest |  |  |  | Out1ays | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Contributions } \\ & \text { to goneral } \\ & \text { funds } \end{aligned}$ | Pensions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Operating ехрепве | $\begin{aligned} & \text { General obliga- } \\ & \text { tion bonds } \end{aligned}$ | Short-term loans | Revenue bonds |  |  |  |
| group iti. -Citise having a pupulation of 100,000 to 300,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27 | Colurbus, Ohio- | 个1,010,501 | \$721,140 | \$253,945 | ------- | ---------- | \$15,147 | ------------- | \$20,269 |
| 28 | Toledo, Ohio.-.-- | 1,351,628 | 781,015 | 25,915 | ----------- | ------------ | 544,698 | - | マ20,269 |
| 29 30 | Oakland, Calif. $1 /$ | $3,207,676$ $6,291,271$ | $1,455,603$ 671,526 | $1,744,020$ $1,029,288$ | ------------ | --------------- | 8,053 $4,500,457$ | --.-.-.---------- |  |
| 31 | Atlanta, Ga. | 2,113,577 | 424,833 | 117,550 | ---------- | ------------ | 76,521 | \$1,494,673 | -....-.-- |
| 32 | Dalles, Tex. | 1,376,642 | 550,737 | 396,548 | ---------- |  | 304,753 | 124,604 | ----------- |
| 33 | St. Paul, winn. | 945,017 | 633,385 | 284,854 | ---------- |  | 26,778 | ------ | ---------- |
| 34 | Birmingham, Als | 2,128,658 |  |  |  | \$31,222 | 2,097,436 | ------------- |  |
| 35 | Akron, ohio- | 1,207,807 | 349,290 | 468,923 | ---------- | ------.---... | 386,128 | 3,466 | ---- |
| 36 37 | Memphis, Tenn. Providence, R . | $1,086,374$ $1,668,139$ | 424,129 885,434 | 244,532 <br> 731,445 | \$1,158 | -------.--------- | 309,811 | 103,091 | 4,811 |
| 38 |  | $1,688,119$ 729,968 | 887,524 | 731,445 343,805 | \$1,158 | ---------.---- | 50,102 98,639 | ---------------- |  |
| 39 | Omaha, Nebr. | 1,045,285 | 705,215 | 211,680 | ---------- | ------.-.--- | 128,290 | - | ----------- |
| 40 | Syracuse, N , | 705,725 | 386,397 | 239,041 | ---------- | --------.--- | 80,287 | -----.----- | ----------- |
| $4{ }_{42}$ | Dayton, Ohio-...-... Oklahoma City, Okla. | 682,603 815,393 | 248,948 301,158 | 268,182 235,576 |  |  | 165,473 278,659 | ----------------- |  |
| 43 | Worcester, Mass. | 537,662 | 294, 781 | 101,559 | ---------- | ------------- | 122,806 | 25 | 18,516 |
| 44 | Richmond, Va. | 761,297 | 267,818 421,489 | 150,750 50,650 | ---------- | -------- | 64,004 827,158 | 278,725 |  |
| 45 46 | Youngstown, ohio-- | $1,299,297$ 752,773 | 421,489 356,713 | 50,650 129,437 |  |  | 827,158 129,312 | 137,312 |  |
| 47 | Fort Worth, Tex. | 704,337 | 235,983 | 203,594 | ----.------ | 22,325 | 94,016 | 148,419 |  |
| 48 | Hartiord, Conn. | $2,058,390$ 574,933 | 432,953 | 219,815 |  |  | 1,384,254 | 114 | 22,368 |
| 49 51 | Mint, mioh..--7 | ¢, 574,933 | 340,437 629,226 | 94,081 556,043 |  | ----------------- | 25,945 688,885 | 114,470 |  |
|  | Long beach, Calif. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 53 | Nesinvile, Tean.- | 593,311 | 374,807 | 151,3200 | ------7--- |  | 28,959 | 38,166 | - |
| 54 | Springriolia, inas | 790,065 | 413,605 | 256,309 | ---..... | ---------..-- | 112,324 | 3,16 | 7,827 |
| 55 | Tulsa, Okla. --- | 566,067 | 275,654 | 231,174 | --.-.-.-.-. |  | 59,239 | ------------- |  |
| 57 | Des Moines, Ioma- | 683,403 | 301,005 | 240,868 | -------...- | ------------ | 141,530 | --..---.-.---- | ---------- |
| 59 60 | Salt Lake City, Utah- | r $\begin{array}{r}662,612 \\ 1,150,687\end{array}$ | 475,654 383,137 | 186,958 220,927 |  |  | 530,783 |  | 15,840 |
| 6.1 | Paterson, N. J. | 918,235 | 273,474 | 633,789 | -..-.-.---- | -...---------- | 10,972 | -..----------- |  |


$\frac{1}{2}$ Includes data of electric light and power system, not separately reported.
$\frac{1}{\text { Not included in group or grand totals. }}$

TABLE 33. - INCOME OF ELECTRIC LIGHT AND POWER SYSTEMS: 1937
(See text discussion, p. 279)

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { cuty } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | CITY | Total | Operating revenue | Interest | Federel grants | All other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Grand total | \$42,316,799 | \$41,707,260 | \$148,441 | \$457, 1.11 | 1/ \$3,987 |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 25,272,284 \\ 6,380,785 \\ 10,663,730 \end{array}$ | $25,218,485$ $5,917,148$ $10,571,627$ | $\begin{aligned} & 53,799 \\ & 36,654 \\ & 57,988 \end{aligned}$ | $-724, \cdots-105$ 42,406 | 2/2,278 <br> 3/1,709 |
| GROUP I.-CITIES HAVING A POPULATION OF 500,000 AND OVER |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | Los Angeles, Calif. | \$19,442,816 | \$19,419,974 | \$22,842 | --------- | --------- |
| 6 | Cleveland, Ohio | 3,482,478 | 3,482,478 | ------- | --------- |  |
| 11 | San Francisco, Calif. | 2,346,990 | 2,316,033 | 30,957 | ---------- | ---------- |
| GROUP II. -CITIES HAVING A POPULATION OF 300,000 TO 500,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | Seattle, Wesh. | \$6,380,785 | \$5,917,148 | \$36,654 | \$424,705 | 2/\$2,278 |
| GROUP III.-CITIES HAVING A POPULATION OF 100,000 TO 300,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27 | Columbus, Ohio- | \$766,431 | \$766,431 | ---------- | ---------- | --------- |
| 29 | Oakland, Calif. 4/ | 221,267 | 221,267 | --- | ---------- | --------- |
| 36 | Memphis, Tenn. | 805 |  | \$805 | --------- | --------- |
| 62 | Jacksonville, Fla | 3,431,375 | 3,416,857 | - | \$14,518 | ---------- |
| 67 | Kansas City, Kans. | 2,361,642 | 2,308,002 | 53,640 | --------- | --------- |
| 68 | Fort Wayne, Ind. | 1,201,566 | 1,178,426 | 3,543 | 17,888 | 3/ \$1,709 |
| 81 | Tacama, Wash.--------------------------1-- | 2,680,644 | 2,680,644 | --------- |  |  |

$\frac{1}{2}$ Includes $\$ 2,278$, contributions from general funds, and $\$ 1,709$, pension assessments.
2/ Contributions from general funds.
3/ Pension assessments includes assessments of other utilities, not separately reported.

TABLE 34. -PAYMENTS OF ELECTRIC LIGHT AND POWER SYSTEMS: 1937
(See text discussion, p. 279)

| $\begin{aligned} & \dot{0} \\ & \stackrel{\$}{0} \\ & \stackrel{y}{0} \end{aligned}$ | CITY | Total | Operating expense | INTEREST |  | Outlays | Cont:-ibutions to general funds | Pensions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | General <br> obligation bonds | Revenue bonds |  |  |  |
|  | Grand total | 6,303,993 | \$19,675,095 | 1/ $\$ 3,998,851$ | \$2,861,522 | \$66,519,466 | \$3,135,304 | \$113,755 |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 77,124,388 \\ 8,238,229 \\ 10,941,376 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11,952,716 \\ 2,437,074 \\ 5,285,305 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,778,984 \\ \hline 1 / 219,867 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 847,988 \\ 1,719,930 \\ 293,604 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 59,310,518 \\ 3,971,267 \\ 3,237,681 \end{array}$ | $\frac{1,234,182}{1,901,122}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 109,958 \\ 3,797 \end{array}$ |
| GROUP I.-CITIES HAVING A POPULATION OF 500,000 AND OVER |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{r} 5 \\ 6 \\ 11 \end{array}$ | Los Angeles, Calif. Cleveland, Ohio-ali San Francisco, Calif. | $\$ 71,293,546$ $3,246,237$ $2,584,605$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 9,290,445 \\ 2,428,838 \\ 233,433 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1,481,251 \\ 180,879 \\ 2,116,854 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 847,988 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 58,661,935$ 582,583 66,000 | $\begin{array}{r} 1,011,927 \\ 53,937 \\ 168,318 \end{array}$ | - |
| GROUP II. -CITIES HAVING A POPULATION OF 300,000 TO 500,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | Seattle, Wash | \$8,238,229 | \$2,437,074 | -- | \$1,719,930 | \$3,971,267 | ---------- | \$109,958 |
| GROUP III. -CITIES HAVING A POPULATION OF 100,000 TO 300,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27 | Columbus, Ohio-- | \$666,431 | \$402,416 | \$39,902 | ---------- | \$73,825 | \$150,288 | --------- |
| 29 | Oakland, Callf. 2/-- | 15,741 | 15,741 |  | --------- |  | ---------- | --------- |
| 36 | Memph1s, Tenn.------ | 538,480 |  | 3,455 | --------- | 535,025 | --------.-- | --------- |
| 62 | Jacksonville, Fla.--- | 3,687,994 | 1,344,211 | 1/ 71,701 | \$7,696 | 538,822 | 1,725,564 | --------- |
| 66 | Chattanooga, Tenn.--- | 19,653 |  | - | ---------- | 19,653 | ---.---...- | -------- |
| 67 | Kansas City, Kans.-- | 2,897,753 | 1,743,118 | 104,809 | ---------- | 1,046,826 | 3,000 | 797 |
| 68 | Fort Wayne, Ind. 3/-- | 1,183,620 | 553,971 | ---------- | -------.--- | 625,852 | ---..---.- | \$3,797 |
| 78 | Knoxville, Tenn.---- | 25,665 |  | ----------- | --------- | 25,665 | ---.------ | ------- |
| 81 | Tacoma, Wash.----.--- | 1,906,039 | 1,225,848 | ------ | 285,908 | 372,013 | :22,270 | - |

$\frac{1}{2}$ Includes $\$ 2,666$ interest on short-term loans.
$\frac{2}{3}$ / Other payments, not reported separately, ere included with water-supply system.
$\frac{2}{3} /$ Includes pension payments of other utilities, not separately reported.

TABLE 35.-INCOME OF TRANSIT SYSTEMS: 1937
(Includes data for enterprises owned but not operated by city. See text discussion, p. 280)


TABLE 36．—PAYMENTS OF TRANSIT SYSTEMS： 1937
（Includes data for enterprises omed but not operated by the city．See text discussion，p．280）

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 亗 } \\ & \text { 䂞 } \\ & \stackrel{\$}{0} \end{aligned}$ | CITY | Total | Operating expense | Administra－ tion of leased onterprises | INTEREST |  | Outlays | Contribution <br> to general <br> funds | Pensions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | General obliga－ tion bonds | Revemue bonds |  |  |  |
|  | Grand | \＄102，277，075 | \＄37，127，535 | \＄108，313 | \＄41，004，977 | 1／\＄254，525 | \＄22，326，712 | \＄1，018，736 | \＄436，277 |
|  | Group I－－ Group II－ Group III | $\begin{array}{r} 93,933,625 \\ 8,240,153 \\ 103,297 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 31,761,213 \\ 5,282,969 \\ 83,353 \end{array}$ | 69,861 <br> 38,452 <br> --- | $\begin{array}{r} 39,937,413 \\ 1,064,313 \\ 3,251 \end{array}$ | － | $\begin{array}{r} 21,724,749 \\ 585,926 \\ 16,037 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 122,627 \\ & 895,453 \\ & 656 \end{aligned}$ | 317,762 118,515 |
| group I．－Cities having a populition of 500，000 and over |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | New York，N．Y． $2 /{ }^{-}$ | \＄62，835，447 | \＄13，082，412 | 497 | \＄29，485，220 | －－－－－ | \＄20，267，815 | － | －－－－ |
| 4 | Detroit，Mich．－－－－－ | 18，908，473 | 15，964，888 | －－－－－－－－1 | 1，888，826 | －－－－－－－－－－－－－ | 736，997 | $\cdots$ | \＄317，762 |
| 5 9 | Los Angeles，Calif．${ }^{\text {Boston，Mass．}}$ 3／－－ | 13,620 $2,262,613$ |  | －－－17－－7－3 | $2,215,249$ |  |  | \＄13，620 | －－－－－－－－－ |
| 11 | San Francisco，Calif． | 3，021，434 | 2，713，913 |  | 82，395 | －－－－－－－－－－－－ | 116，119 | 109，007 | －－－－－－－－－ |
| grour ii．－Cities having a population of 300,000 T0 500，000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | New Orloans，La． | \＄1，429，144 | \＄1，190，042 |  | \＄145，023 | －－－－－－－－－－－－－ | \＄94，079 |  | －－－－－－－－－ |
| 17 |  | $1,588,328$ 500,957 | －－－－－－－－－－－－－－－ | \＄10，681 | 682,194 201,886 | － | 299，071 | \＄895，453 | －－－－ |
| 20 | Newartile，${ }^{\text {Nash }}$ W，－－－－ | 4，675，368 | 4，－992，927 | －－－ | 21，868 16,625 | 1／\＄254，525 | 192，776 |  | \＄118，515 |
| 22 |  | 40ิ，356 |  | 27，771 | 18，585 |  |  |  |  |


$\frac{1}{2}$ Includes $\$ 32,052$ interest on short－tern loans．
$\frac{1}{2}$ Includes independent subwey and rapid transit systam owned，but not oparated by city．
$\frac{2}{3}$ Includes but not operated by city．
4／Cincinnati Southern Rellwway owned，but not operated by city．

Only 7 of the 94 cities reported municipal ownership of gas systems in 1937 , all but that of Philadelphia being municipally operated. Development in this field of municipal activity has not been extensive, although it may be of interest to note that only Philadelphia, Richmond, and Duluth reported municipallyowned gas plants when the Bureau listed such enterprises in its Statistics of Cities Having a Population of Over 25,000: 1902 and 1903. Philadelphia was the pioneer in this field, having acquired its system in 1836 when its private owners disposed of it to the city.

INCOME OF GAS SYSTEMS. - Total income reported by the municipally-owned gas plants was $\$ 16,614,213$, virtually all of which, except for a negligible item of interest on investments, was derived from operating revenue or in Philadelphia from leases. No Federal grants were received and no contributions were received from general city funds.
pAYMENS OF GAS SYSTEMS. -Total payments of gas systems were $\$ 15,555,940$, of which amount approximately 60 percent was for operating expenses. Approximately 31 percent was in the nature of contributions to general city funds on the part of 5 of the 7 systems; in the case of Philadelphia the entire amount of income from the lease of the enterprise was turned over to general city funds. In sharp contrast to the situation with respect to other public-service enterprises, a very small proportion of total payments comprised outlays or interest on indebtedness.

Based on the above analysis, it is evident that total income of the 7 gas systems exceeded total payments by $\$ 1,058,273$. Considering the sources of income and the nature of payments, it is clear that these enterprises were selfsupporting. It is of further interest to note that the total bonded indebtedness of municipal gas systems, $\$ 17,710,050$, as shown in table 37 , was not much greater than the revenues derived from operation of the enterprises during $193 \%$.

TABLES 39 and 40

## Ports, Harbors, Docks, and Wharves

During 1937 there were 42 cities which owned port, harbor, dock, or whare facilities. All these were municipally operated except four that were leased to others and three that were operated in part and leased in part.

INCOME OF PORTS AND ASSOCIATED FACILITTIES.-Total income of $\$ 26,296,783$ was reported from municipal ports, harbors, docks, and wharves in 1937, of which amount 52.3 percent was derived from operating revenue. The next largest source of income was from general fund contributions, which amounted to approximately 40 percent of the total, and this source of revenue was reported by all but 9 of the 42 enterprises. The only other item of significance was $\$ 1,197,258$ received as grants for improvements and extensions, and this item appeared in the accounts of only 7 of the enterprises.

PAYMENTS OF PORTS AND ASSOCLATED FACILITIES.-Cost payments for these publicservice enterprises totaled $\$ 27,841,649$, and it is significant that only about 21 percent constituted operating expense. Interest payments on outstanding indebtedness comprised 45.5 percent of total payments, the highest ratio for all types of enterprises. Expenditures for capital outlays constituted 32.2 percent of all payments, which item was somewhat below the average for all publicservice enterprises. As might be expected, contributions to general city funds were of negligible size, and were scattered among 10 of the 42 enterprises considered.

Based on this summary, it is evident that the operating income of these enterprises was almost $\$ 8,000,000$ in excess of operating expenses, but that total payments exceeded total income by $\$ 1,544,866$. It is evident also that this excess of payments over income was caused by a heavy burden of interest on bonded indebtedness, which was outstanding in the amount of $\$ 323,599,542$ at the close of 1937.

TABLE 37.-INCOME OF GAS-SUPPLY SYSTEMS: 1937

| City <br> No. | CITY | Total | Operating income | Interest | Rents from leases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Grand total | \$16,614,213 | \$12,265,331 | \$133,882 | \$4,215,000 |
|  | Group I--------------- | $\begin{aligned} & 4,215,000 \\ & 6,412,722 \\ & 5,986,491 \end{aligned}$ | $--\cdots-\cdots--\cdots$$6,359,946$$5,905,385$ | ---------- | 4,215,000 |
|  | Group II- |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 52,776 \\ & 81,106 \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | Group III |  |  |  |  |

GROUP I.-CITIES HAVING A POPULATION OF 500,000 AND OVER

grour II. -CITIES having a population of 300,000 to 500,000

| 21 | Indianapolis, Ind. | \$6,218,742 | \$6,165,966 | \$52,776 | ------------ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 24 |  | 193,980 | 193,980 |  | ----------- |

GROUP III.-CITIES having a population of 100,000 TO 300,000

| 39 | Omaha, | \$2,206,729 | \$2,125,961 | \$80,768 | ----------- |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 44 | Richmond, Va. | 1,290,422 | 1,290,422 |  | ----...-...- |
| 52 | Long Beach, Cal | 1,697,495 | 1,697,157 | 338 | ----------- |
| 92 | Duluth, Minn | 791,845 | 791,845 |  |  |

1/ Owned but not operated by city.

TABLE 38.-PAYMENTS OF GAS-SUPPLY SYSTEMS: 1937


TABLE 39.-INCOME OF PORTS, HARBORS, DOCKS, AND WHARVES: 1937

|  | CITY | Total | Operating <br> income | Interest | Fents from leases | Federal grants | Contributions fram general funds | Pension es-sessments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Group I-------------- | \$26,296,783 | \$13,763,797 | \$276,410 | \$510,056 | 1/\$1,197,258 | \$10,543,576 | \$5,686 |
|  |  | 15,153,345 | 9,161,622 | 40,817 | 20,000 | 644,745 | 5,286,161 | ------ |
|  | Group II | 5,394,377 | 2,271,113 | 144,033 | 59,078 | 1/232,529 | 2,687,624 | $\cdots$ |
|  | Group III | 5,749,061 | 2,331,062 | 91,560 | 430;978 | 319,984 | 2,569,791 | 5,686 |

GROUP I.-CITIES HAVING A POPULATION OF 500,000 AND OVER

| 1 | New York, N. Y. 2/--- | \$8,862,045 | \$6,007,506 | -------- | -------- | \$282,212 | \$2,572,327 | ------ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Chicago, Ill. ------- | 284,703 | 93,200 | -------- | -------- |  | 191,503 | - |
| 3 | Philadelphia, Pa . | 1,183,723 | 232,426 | -------- | -------- | ------------ | 951,297 | - |
| 5 | Los Angeles, Calif. | 3,437,741 | 2,310,469 | -------- | -------- | 362,533 | 764,739 | --.-.- |
| 7 | St. Louis, Mo. | 103,953 | 103,953 | --- | --- | ------------ | ----------- | ------- |
| 8 | Baltimore, Md. 3/ | 698,606 | 207,117 | \$40,817 | \$20,000 | ----------- | 430,672 | ------ |
| 10 | Pittsburgh, Pa. | 93,754 | 93,754 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | Milwaukee, Wis. | 488,820 | 113,197 |  |  | ----------- | 375,623 | -- |

GROUP II. --CITIES HAVING A POPULATION OF 300,000 TO 500,000


GROUP III. -CITIES HAVING A POPULATION OF 100,000 TO 300,000

| 28 | Toledo, Ohio 6/ | \$10,000 | ------------ | -------- | \$4,848 | ----------- | \$5,152 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 29 | Oakland, Callf. | 1,703,691 | \$644,858 |  |  | \$67,249 | 991,584 |  |
| 36 | Merphis, Tenn. | 61,340 | 14,081 | \$39 | -------- |  | 47,220 |  |
| 37 | Providence, R. | 118,361 | 86,629 | 22,138 | -------- | ------------ | 9,594 |  |
| 44 | Richmond, Va. | 206,731 | 18,332 | -------- | -------- | 6,320 | 182,079 |  |
| 48 | Hartford, Conn. 6/ | 919 |  | -------- | 919 |  |  |  |
| 50 | New Haven, Conn | 11,360 | 2,772 | -------- | --------- | ----------- | 8,588 |  |
| 51 | San Diego, Calif | 289,359 | 109,370 |  | --------- | ----------- | 179,989 |  |
| 52 | Long Beach, Callf. | 611,134 | 105,562 | --------- | --------- |  | 505,572 |  |
| 53 | Nashville, Tenn. 6/ | 27,791 |  | 5 | 5,224 | ----------- | 22,562 |  |
| 60 | Yonkers, N. Y. | 17,767 | 1,000 | -------- |  |  | 16,767 |  |
| 62 | Jacksonville, Fla. | 515,679 | 410,454 | -------- |  |  | 105,225 |  |
| 63 | Albany, N. Y. 7 | 658,798 | 377,095 | 208 | 14,402 | ----------- | 261,407 | \$5,68 |
| 64 | Norfolk, Va. 6/ | 469,909 | ------- | 64,324 | 405,585 | ------------ |  |  |
| 65 | Trenton, N. J. | 40,347 | 26,348 | 2,750 | -------- | ----------- | 11,249 |  |
| 66 | Chattanooga, Te | 4,500 | 960 | -------- | -----.--- |  | 3,540 |  |
| 70 | Erie, Pa, | 2,232 | 2,232 | -------- | --------- | ------------ | --------- |  |
| 71 | Elizabeth, | 4,971 | 1,168 | -------- | -------- | ----------- | 3,803 |  |
| 76 | New Bedford, Mass | 37,374 | 5,900 | --------- | --------- |  | 31,474 |  |
| 79 | Peoria, Ill | 45,232 | 12,032 | 600 | -------- |  | 32,600 |  |
| 81 | Tacoma, Wesh | 16,832 | 9,432 | -------- | -------- |  | 7,400 |  |
| 82 | M1ami, Fla. | 600,873 | 354,458 |  |  | 246,415 |  |  |
| 55 | Wilmington, Del | 255,314 | 122,483 | 1,496 | -------- |  | 131,335 |  |
| 86 | Tampa, Fla. | 38,547 | 25,896 |  | --------- |  | 12,651 |  |

[^16]TABLE 40.-PAYNENTS OF PORTS, HARBORS, DOCKS, AND WHARVES: 1937

|  | CITY | Total | Operating expense | INTEREST |  | Outlays | Contributionis to gene:-al funis | Pensions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\stackrel{\$}{\text { ¢ }}$ |  |  |  | General obligation bonds | Reveme bonds |  |  |  |
|  | Grand total-...-- | \$27,841,649 | \$5,842,404 | 1/\$12,343,991 | 2/\$329,416 | \$8,967,312 | \$345,792 | \$12,734 |
|  |  | 18,460,310 | 2,534,859 | 3/ 9,459,002 | --------- | 6,294,121 | 172,328 | -------- |
|  | Group II | $\begin{aligned} & 4,070,355 \\ & 5,310,984 \end{aligned}$ | 1,830,564 | 1,386,659 | ------- | 813,928 | 38,586 | r 618 |
|  | Group III- |  | 1,476,981 | 4/ $1,498,330$ | 2/329,416 | 1,859,263 | 134,878 | 12,116 |

GROUP I. -CITIES HAVING A POPULATION OF 500,000 AND OVER

| 1 | New York, N. Y. 5/--- | \$12,919,680 | \$1,105,938 | 3/\$7,057,123 | --------- | \$4,756,619 | ----.. | ------- |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Chicago, Ill.-------- | 284,703 | 131,877 | - | ---------- | 152,826 | . | -------- |
| 3 | Philadelphia, Pa..--- | 1,183,723 | 121,922 | 1,061,801 | --------- |  | . | -------- |
| 5 | Los Angeles, Calif.-- | 3,108,583 | 848,202 | 921,414 | --------- | 1,338,967 | --...--... | -------- |
| 7 | St. Louis, Mo.------- | 103,953 | 16,146 | ------------- | ---------- | --...---.- | \$87, 807 | -------- |
| 8 | Baltimore, Md. .-...-- | 518,794 | 213,923 | 304,871 | --------- | - | --..--- | -----.- |
| 10 | Pittsburgh, Pa,.....-- | 93,754 | 9,187 |  |  | 46 | 84, 52 , | ------ |
| 13 | Milweukee, Wis.-...-- | 247,120 | 87,664 | 113,793 |  | 45,663 | ---..-.-... | -------- |

GROUP II.-CITIES HAVING A POPULATION OF 300,000 TO 500,000

| 15 | Minneapolis, Minn. - | \$63,634 | \$22,246 | \$38,173 | ---------- | \$3,215 | ---.-.---- |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17 | Cincinnati, Ohio | 14,696 | --------- | 12,275 | --------- |  | \$2,421 | ------- |
| 18 | Newark, N. J.-- | 548,356 | 115,114 | 304,827 | -------.- | 128,415 | ------ |  |
| 19 | Kansas City, Mo. | 19,977 | 3,327 | 16,650 |  | ------->--- | --------- |  |
| 20 | Seattle, Wash. | 877,183 | 608,557 | 252,737 | ---------- | 15,889 | --------- | -------- |
| 22 | Rochester, N. Y.- | 16,616 | 13,361 | -------- |  | 3,255 | --.--- |  |
| 23 | Jersey City, N. J | 50,676 | 35,005 |  |  |  | 15,671 | ------- |
| 24 | Houston, Texas 6/ | 918,640 | 446,206 | 370,382 |  | 102,052 | ---.----- | ------- |
| 25 | Louisville, Ky . | 35,413 | 14,919 |  |  |  | 20,494 |  |
| 26 | Portland, Oreg.- | 1,525,164 | 571,829 | 391,615 |  | 561,102 |  | \$618 |

GROUP III. -CITLES HAVING A POPULATION OF 100,000 TO 300,000

| 28 | Toledo, Ohio 7/ | \$5,000 | --------- | \$5,000 | --------- | ----------- | --------- |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 29 | Oakland, Calif. | 1,387,215 | \$452,199 | 335,983 | --------- | \$599,033 | -------.- | -------- |
| 36 | Memphis, Tenn. | 19,458 | 16,568 | 4. 2,750 | --------- | 140 |  |  |
| 37 | Providence, R. | 58,923 | 15,128 | 4) 48,013 | --------- | 5,782 |  |  |
| 44 | Richmond, Va. | 206,731 | 4,526 | 8,000 |  | 194,205 |  | -------- |
| 48 | Hartford, Conn. | 919 |  |  |  |  | \$919 |  |
| 50 | New Haven, Conn. | 6,360 | 1,435 | 3,010 |  | 1,915 |  |  |
| 51 | San Diego, Calif | 173,942 | 60,278 | 67,994 |  | 45,670 | -...-.-...- |  |
| 52 | Long Beach, Calif | 357,993 | 72,012 | 267,322 | 8/ \$3,172 | 15,48? | --..------ |  |
| 53 | Nashville, Tenn. | 19,394 |  | 9,000 |  | 10,394 | --..----- |  |
| 60 | Yonkers, N. Y. | 10,067 | 8,267 | 1,800 |  | ----- |  |  |
| 62 | Jacksonville, Fla | 517,660 | 397,369 | 105,225 |  | 15,066 | --.------- |  |
| 63 | Albany, N. Y. 9 | 554,432 | 207,058 |  | 10/304,055 | 16,801 | 14,402 | \$12,116 |
| 64 | Norfolk, Va. 7 - | 306,224 |  | 282,623 | --------- | ---------- | 23,601 | ---.-- |
| 65 | Trenton, N. J.- | 35,903 | 31,403 | 4,500 | ---------- | ----------- | -------- | -..----- |
| 66 | Chattanooga, Tenn | 4,500 | ------ | 4,500 | -.-.------ | -----.----- | -------- |  |
| 70 | Erio, Pa. | 2,232 | 1,175 | -..-------.--- | --------- | ----------- | 1,057 |  |
| 71 | Elizabeth, N. J | 4,971 | 4,971 | ----- | --------- | ----------- |  |  |
| 76 | New Bedford, | 31,792 | 972 | 3,345 |  | 27,475 | --------- |  |
| 79 | Peoria, Ill. | 27,447 | 8,460 | 12,600 |  | 6,387 | --.-2---- |  |
| 81 | Tacoma, Wa | 13,267 | 8,867 | 4,400 |  | ----------- | -------- |  |
| 82 | Miami, Fla. | 1,274,811 | 48,800 | 188,015 | 22,189 | 920,908 | 94,899 |  |
| 85 | Wilmington, | 243,696 | 123,246 | 120,450 |  |  |  |  |
| 86 | Tampa, Fla, | 38,047 | 14,247 | 23,800 |  |  |  |  |

$1 /$ Includes $\$ 145,913$ interest on short-term loans. $2 /$ Includes $\$ 7,884$ interest on shart-term loans. 3/ Includes $\$ 145,500$ interest on short-term loans. 4 Includes $\$ 413$ interest on short-term losns. 5. Includes cost of alrports, not separately reported. $\frac{6}{}$ Includes port owned and orerated by inde-
pendent navigation district, and wharves owned by city and operated by navigation district. $7 /$ owned pendent nevigation district, and wharves owned by city and operated by navigation district. $7 /$ owned
but not operated by city. 8/ Interest on short-term loans. $9 /$ port of Albany paymeints except that but not operated by city. find Interest on short-term loans. $9 /$ port of Albany payments except that
the contribution to general fund is mede by docks and warves, owned but not operated by aity. In/ Includes $\$ 4,712$ interest on short-term loans.

## Airports

Municipal airports were reported by 66 of the 94 cities, all but one also muinicipally operated. The one exception was the airport of Portland, Oregon, which reported that its airport was operated by the Port Commission of Portland. These facilities are, of course, of comparatively recent origin, most of them having been constructed in response to a public demand for services to accommodate this type of transportation. The demand grew, not from the thought that such enterprises could immediately develop substantial earning power, or even be self-supporting within the life of the original investment, but simply from the conclusion that such facilities were an essential of progressive local government.

INCOME OF ATRPORTS.-Total income of $\$ 10,882,156$ was reported by these airports in 1937, only 10 percent of which was derived from operating revenue. In contrast, 70.7 percent was derived from general fund contributions, all except the airports at Portland, Syracuse, Scranton, and South Bend reporting income from this source.

In this connection it should be noted that statistics relative to the municipal airport at New York are included with those for ports, harbors, docks and wharves, because the statistics for the airport were not separable. It also should be mentioned that contributions by general city government to the San Francis - airport were in the form of funds derived from a lease to the Exposition Company of the new municipal airport site at Yerba Buena Island for the duration of the Exposition.

Grants, mostly Federal, amounted to 19.3 percent of total income, but were distributed among only 8 of these enterprises, with Baltimore and San Francisco receiving the bulk of the funds.

PAYMENTS OF AIRPORTS. - Total payments of $\$ 9,475,280$ were reported by mumicipal airports in 1937, of which amount only 11.5 percent was derived as operating revenue-by far the lowest ratio of all public-service enterprises. Expenditures for outlays constituted 65.8 percent of total payments. Interest payments on outstanding indebtedness accounted for 10.5 percent of total payments. Contributions to general city funds were negligiole and were made in only two instances.

Based on the foregoing data, it is evident that the operating revenues of municipal alrports were approximately $\$ 1,138,000$ less than operating expenditures, but that total income of these enterprises was $\$ 1,406,876$ in excess of total payments-largely because of substantial financial support by the city treasuries. This support was sufficient to meet annual principal payments on bonded indebtedness in the amount of $\$ 25,958,877$ reported outstanding for municipal airport purposes at the close of 1937, details regarding which are presented in table 37.

TABLES 43 and 44

## Miscellaneous Public-Service Enterprises

The financial operations of certain miscellaneous enterprises are presented in tables 43 and 44 . They comprise 4 radio stations, 3 ferries, 2 terminals, 2 conduits, one grain elevator, one ice plant, one plantation, and one rallway serving a municipal dock. Of this number, 5 enterprises were leased and operated by others. Owing to the fact that some of these activities are somewhat unusual in scope and serve purposes obscure so far as the supporting tables are concerned, an explanation of their functions may be of interest.

Municipal radio stations, four in number, are the most numerous of the miscellaneous public-service enterprises. These are commercial stations only and do not include the nonrevenue-producing stations maintained by the several cities and reported under "Recreation." Baltimore and Erie own electric wire conduits. The municipally-owned terminals in Baltimore and Memphis are facilities
for the handling of freight produce and are leased. New Orleans has a munici-pally-owned sugar plantation which is operated as an auxiliary of the city trade school. Miami's "railroad" is merely a spur running to the municipal docks, which is leased to a private railroad.

INCOSE OF MISCELLANEOUS ENTERPRISES. -The miscellaneous public-service enterprises reported total income of $\$ 8,535,317$ in 1937 , of which amount 57.5 percent was derived from operating revenue or income derived from the lease of the properties. Contributions from general city funds accounted for 41.6 percent of the balance, most of which funds were provided by New York and Boston in support of ferry facilities. As may be noted from the table, only the three ferry enterprises and Seattle's radio station received contributions from general funds.

PAYIENTS OF MISCELLANEOUS ENTERPRISES.-Total payments of these miscellaneous enterprises were $\$ 7,600,856$, of which amount 60.7 percent represented operating expenses. Expenditures for outlays amounted to 17.7 percent of total payments, interest payments to 15.6 percent of the total. Of the 15 enterprises included under this classification, 9 made contributions to general government, the largest of which came from the sugar plantation operated by New Orleans.

Based on the foregoing data, it is evident that the operating expense was $\$ 337,290$ less than operating revenue but that total income was $\$ 934,461$ in excess of total payments. Assuming that outlays were financed by new borrowing, this balance appears to have been sufficient to meet normal principal payments on the bonded indebtedness of $\$ 45,525,975$ outstanding against these enterprises at the close of 1937.

TABLE 45
The methods employed by public-service enterprises in financing capital outlays is shown in table 45. The data on Iinancing capital outlays are as accurate as compilation from the individual reports permitted. It was not possible in all cases to identify the character of funds used for outlays, since the funds may have been accumulated over a period of time from a variety of sources. In other cases it is possible that portions of proceeds from bond issues for purposes designated by the Bureau as public-service enterprises may have been used for general municipal purposes. On the other hand, issues called "street improvement bonds" may have been used in part to extend water mains.

CAPITAL OUTLAYS FINANCED BY BOND ISSUES.--JI the $\$ 162,090,799$ expendec. for capital outlays by public-service enterprises in 1937, \$77,878,472, or 48.4 percent, was financed by bond issues. Revenue bond issues predominated, accounting for 72.1 percent of bonded indebtedness incurred for this purpose. It is to be noted, however, that such issues were bulked among five cities and that most of this type of financing was consummated by Los Angeles in connection with its electric light and power plant. Seattle also floated a sizable revenue bond in connection with the municipal light and power system. The remaining revenue bond issue of any consequence was a P.W.A. loan to Birmingham for an industrial water supply.

CAPITAL OUTLAYS FINANCED FROM CURRENT INCOIE.-Current income of public-service enterprises was the most important source of funds for the financing; of their capital outlays, the $\$ 84,212,327$ drawn from this source constituting 52 percent of total outlays. Most of these funds came from general income (55.7' percent) and from grants and donations ( 43.3 percent), the negligible amount remaining being derived from special assessments. The use of special assessments is not conspicuous in connection with the financing of public-service enterprises.

TABLE 41.—TNCOME OF AIRPORTS: 1937

|  | CITY | Total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Operating } \\ & \text { income } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { In- } \\ & \text { ter- } \\ & \text { est } \end{aligned}$ | GRaNTS |  | Contributions from general funds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Federal | Other |  |
|  | and | \$10,882,156 | 41,089,039 | \$345 | \$2,075,510 | \$24,625 | \$7,692,637 |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 7,292,931 \\ & 1,257,194 \\ & 2,332,031 \end{aligned}$ | 348,298 | 77 | 2,062,773 | - | 4,881,783 |
|  | Group II Group III |  | 315,354 | -- |  | ------- | 941,840 |
|  |  |  | 425,387 | 268 | 12,737 | 24,625 | 1,869,014 |

group i.-CIties having a pofulation of 500,000 and over


GROUP II.-CITIES having a POFULATION of 300,000 тo 500,000


GRour ili.-CIties having a population of 100,000 To 300,000

| 27 | Columbus, Ohio | \$78,127 | \$9,162 |  |  | -------- | \$68,965 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 28 | Toledo, Ohio- | 81,863 | 12,426 |  |  |  | 69,437 |
| 29 | Oakland, Calif | 64,706 | 49,977 | ---- |  |  | 14,729 |
| 30 | Denver, Colo.-- | 103,525 | 31,631 |  |  |  | 71,894 |
| 31 | Atlanta, Ga.--- | 20,422 | 10,466 | ---- |  |  | 9,956 |
| 32 | Dallas, Tex. | 16,677 | 8,776 | \$184 |  | --.---- | 7,717 |
| 33 | St. Paul, Minn | 64,402 | 9,606 |  |  |  | 54,796 |
| 34 | Birmingham, Ala | 25,179 | 5,571 | ---- |  |  | 19,608 |
| 35 | Akron, Ohio- | 302,116 | 9,763 | --- |  |  | 292,353 |
| 36 | Memphis, Tenn. | 64,723 | 9,353 | ---- |  | \$7,925 | 47,445 |
| 38 | San Antonio, Tex. | 22,567 | 1,710 | ---- |  |  | 20,857 |
| 39 | Omaha, Nebr. | 82,059 | 6,697 | ---- |  | -----.-- | 75,362 |
| 40 | Syracuse, N. | 11,610 | 11,610 |  |  |  |  |
| 41 | Dayton, Ohio | 18,681 |  | 84 | ----------- |  | 18,597 |
| 42 | Oklahoma City, Ok | 64,760 | 16,668 |  |  |  | 48,092 |
| 44 | Richmond, Va | 21,800 | 3,374 | ---- |  | 2,100 | 16,326 |
| 47 | Fort Worth, Tex | 118,630 | 23,675 | ---- | \$12,737 | -------- | 82,218 |
| 48 | Hartford, Conn.- | 71,431 | 782 |  |  |  | 70,649 |
| 49 50 | F1int, Mich.-.-. | 18,141 52,448 | 5,039 | ---- |  |  | 13,102 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & 51 \end{aligned}$ | ivew Haven, conn. | 52,448 | 1,162 |  |  |  | 51,286 |
| 52 | Long Beach, Calif. | 95,795 <br> 74,249 | 12,451 80 |  |  |  | 83,344 74,169 |
| 53 | Nashville, Tenn. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 55 | Tulsa, Okla. | 95,670 | 44,653 |  |  |  | 9,670 51,017 |
| 57 | Des Moines, Iowe | 34,373 | 7,314 | ---- |  |  | 27,059 |
| ${ }_{5}^{58}$ | Scranton, Pa. | 2,622 | 122 |  |  | 2,500 |  |
| 59 62 | Salt Lake City, Utab | 155,292 | 17,369 | --.. |  | 12,100 | 125,823 |
| 62 | Jacksonville, Fla. | 63,370 | 11,458 |  |  |  | 51,912 |

[^17]TABLE 41.-INCOME OF AIRPORTS: 1937-Continued

| $\begin{aligned} & \dot{0} \\ & \stackrel{y}{z} \\ & \underset{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ | CITY | Total | Operating <br> income | $\begin{aligned} & \text { In- } \\ & \text { ter- } \\ & \text { est } \end{aligned}$ | GRanTS |  | Contributions from general funds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Federal | Other |  |

GROUP III. - CITIES HAVING A POPULATION OF 100,000 TO 300,000 -Continued

| 63 | Albany, N. Y. | §49,272 | \$5,180 | ---- | -..-------- | ------- | \$44,092 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 66 | Chattanooga, Tenn | 39,257 | 5,342 | --- | ----------- | -------- | 33,915 |
| 68 | Fort Wayne, Ind. | 67,744 | 12,961 | ---- | ----------- | ------- | 54,783 |
| 70 | Erie, Pa . | 8,262 | ------ | ---- | ---------- | ------- | 8,262 |
| 72 | Wichita, Kans. | 78,434 | 40,480 | ---- | ---------- | ------- | 37,954 |
| 73 | Spokane, Wash.- | 13,813 | 4,761 | ---- | ----------- | -------- | 9,052 |
| 77 | Reading, Pa.- | 5,650 | 352 | ---- | ----------- | ------- | 5,298 |
| 80 | South Bend, Ind | 206 | 206 | ---- | ---------- | -------. |  |
| 82 | Miami, Fla. | 91,599 | 13,392 | ---- |  |  | 78,207 |
| 86 | Tampa, Fla. | 33,069 | 4,539 | ---- | ---------- | -------." | 28,530 |
| 88 | El Paso, Tex. | 4,559 | ----------- | ---- | ----------- | -------.. | 4,559 |
| 89 | Evansville, Ind | 26,707 | 4,857 | ---- | ----------- | -------. | 21,850 |
| 91 | Utica, N. Y. | 33,961 | 1,695 | --- |  |  | 32,266 |
| 92 | Duluth, Minn. | 35,343 | 1,480 | ---- |  |  | 33,863 |

$\frac{1}{2 /}$ Not separately reported. Included with ports, harbors, docks and wharves. See p. 293 , supra. $\frac{2}{2}$ Includes $\$ 2,002,973$ from Exposition company for lease of Yerba Buena Island for airport site.

TABLE 42.-PAYMENTS OF AIRPORTS: 1937

|  | CITY | Total | Operating expense | Interest on general obligation bonds | Outlays | Contributions to general funds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Grand tota | \$9,475,280 | \$2,227,213 | 1/ $\$ 999,184$ | \$6,234,8:55 | \$14,028 |
|  |  | 5,698,387 | 839,048 | 1/510,621 | 4,348,7之8 | --------- |
|  | Group | $1,659,979$$2,116,914$ | 588,775 | 171,245 | 899,959 | -------- |
|  |  |  | 799,390 | 317,318 | 986,178 | 14,028 |

GROUP I.-CITIES HAVING A POPULATION OF 500,000 AND OVER


GROUP II.-CITIES HAVING A POPULATION OF 300,000 TO 500,000

| 15 | Minneapolis, Minn. | \$40,468 | \$23,031 | \$15,885 | \$1,552 | --------- |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 | New Orleans, La, | 146,403 | 146,403 | ----------- | --------- | --------- |
| 17 | Cincinnati, Ohio | 74,677 | 22,039 | 30,530 | 22,108 | --.------ |
| 18 | Newark, N. J. | 483,233 | 192,797 | 10,008 | 280,428 |  |
| 19 | Kansas City, Mo | 98,106 | 40,708 | 53,080 | 4,318 | --.------ |
| 20 | Seattle, Wash. | 53,331 | 35,409 | 17,922 |  |  |
| 21 | Indianapolis, Ind | 57,113 | 19,893 | 36,320 | 900 |  |
| 22 | Rochester, N. Y. | 229,933 | 40,900 |  | 189,033 |  |
| 24 | Houston, Tex. | 378,695 | 21,929 | 7,500 | 349,266 |  |
| 25 | Louisville, Ky | 38,891 | 14,267 |  | 24,624 |  |
| 26 | Portlend, Oreg. 4/- | 59,129 | 31,399 | ------------ | 27,730 | --------- |

See footnotes at end of table

TABLE 42.-PAYMENTS OF AIRPORTS: 1937-Continued

| $$ | CITY | Total | Operating expense | Interest on general obligation bonds | Outlays | ```Contri- butions to general funds``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GROUP III.-CITIES HAVING A POPULATION OF 100,000 T0 300,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27 | Columbus, Ohio | \$39,127 | \$12,271 | -26,842 | \$14 | --------- |
| 28 | Toledo, Ohio- | 81,863 | 16,655 | 4,500 | 60,708 | - |
| 29 | Oakland, Calif. | 64,706 | 64,706 | ---- | ------ | -------- |
| 30 | Denver, Colo.- | 93,073 | 45,423 | ------------ | 47,650 | --------- |
| 31 | Atlenta, Ga.-- | 20,397 | 20,397 | ------------ |  | --------- |
| 32 | Dallas, Tex.- | 41,343 | 13,027 | 24,850 | 3,466 | --------- |
| 33 | St. Paul, Minn | 25,945 | 23,447 | ----------- | 2,498 | --------- |
| 34 | Birmingham, Ala | 24,755 | 20,795 | ------------ | 3,960 | -------- |
| 35 | Akron, Ohio-- | 208,362 | 18,207 | 55,612 | 134,543 | --------- |
| 36 | Memphis, Tenn. | 57,663 | 20,191 | 3,267 | 33,605 | -------- |
| 38 | San Antonio, Tex. | 22,305 | 9,673 | 229 | 12,403 | --------- |
| 39 | Omaha, Nebr. | 63,693 | 14,649 | 18,132 | 30,912 | --------- |
| 40 | Syracuse, N. Y | 66,400 | 9,328 | 4,188 | 39,812 | \$13,072 |
| 41 | Dayton, Ohio- | 36,680 | 18,397 | - | 18,283 | - |
| 42 | Oklahoma City, Okla. | 45,760 | 25,374 | 16,655 | 3,731 | -------- |
| 44 | Richmond, Va. | 21,800 | 3,699 | ----------- | 18,101 | -------- |
| 47 | Fort Worth, Tex | 164,948 | 32,797 | 25,960 | 106,191 | -------- |
| 48 | Hartford, Conn | 59,681 | 47,655 | 12,026 |  | --------- |
| 49 | Flint, Mich.- | 18,141 | 8,452 |  | 9,689 | --------- |
| 50 | New Heven, Conn. | 27,448 | 12,596 | 14,852 |  | --------- |
| 51 | San Diego, Calif. | 81,519 | 5,470 | 22,164 | 53,885 | --------- |
| 52 | Long Beach, Calif | 74,249 | 11,551 | ----------- | 62,698 | -------- |
| 53 | Nashville, Tenn | 20,569 | 15,830 | 3,960 | 779 | -------- |
| 55 | Tulsa, Okla. | 73,209 | 46,114 | 24,017 | 3,078 | -------- |
| 57 | Des Moines, Iowe- | 22,521 | 14,899 | 6,730 | 892 | -------- |
| 58 | Scranton, Pa.-- | 3,360 | 3,360 | ------------ | ------------ |  |
| 59 | Salt Lake City, Utah | 145,292 | 43,542 | 525 | 101,225 | -------- |
| 62 | Jacksonville, Fla | 56,778 | 27,174 |  | 29,604 | -------- |
| 63 | Albany, N. Y.-- | 40,417 | 28,715 | 11,702 | ------------ | -------- |
| 66 | Chattanooga, Tenn. | 39,257 | 11,055 | 11,250 | 16,952 | --------- |
| 68 | Fort Wayne, Ind. | 30,972 | 25,796 | 3,800 | 1,376 | --------- |
| 70 | Erie, Pa.---- | 8,262 | ----------- |  | 8,262 | -------- |
| 72 | Wichita, Kans. | 55,976 | 43,546 | 9,445 | 2,985 | -------- |
| 73 | Spokene, Wash. | 13,813 | 11,396 | ----------- | 2,417 | --------- |
| 77 | Reading, Pa . | 3,050 | -------.--- | ----------- | 3,050 | ------- |
| 80 | South Bend, Ind. | 956 | ----- | ----------- | ---- | 956 |
| 82 | Miami, Fla. | 186,416 | 39,954 | ---- | 146,462 | --------- |
| 86 | Tempa, Fla. | 33,069 | 7,631 | ----- | 25,438 | -------- |
| 88 | El Paso, Tex. | 2,338 | ------ | 1,074 | 1,264 | --------- |
| 89 | Evansville, Ind | 14,096 | 11,246 | 2,850 |  | ----.--- |
| 91 | Utica, N. Y. | 18,211 | 9,767 | 8,225 | 219 | --------- |
| 92 |  | 8,494 | 4,605 | 3,863 | 26 | -------- |

$\frac{1}{2}$ Includes $\$ 233$ interest on short-term loans.
Not separately reported. Included with "Ports, harbors, docks, and wharves." See p. 293, supra. Interest on short-term loans.
Airport operated by Port of Fortland.
$2649320-40-20$

TABLE 43. - INCOME OF "ALI OTHER" ENTERPRISES: 1937
(Includes data for enterprises owned but not operated by city. See text discussion, p. 293)

|  | CTTY | Total | Operating income | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Inter- } \\ & \text { est } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rents } \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { leases } \end{aligned}$ | Federal grants | Contributions from general funds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | \$8,535,317 | \$4,274,177 | \$51,944 | \$631,641 | \$26,626 | \$3,550,929 |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 7,479,558 \\ 569,509 \\ 486,250 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,421,620 \\ 551,725 \\ 300,832 \end{array}$ | 43,162 | 481,631 | -------- | $\begin{array}{r} 3,533,145 \\ 17,784 \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  | 8,782 | 150,010 | 26,626 |  |

group I.-Citite having a fopulation of 500,000 and over

group il.-CItties having a population of 300,000 to 500,000

group iti.-Citites having a population of 100,000 to 300,000

| 32 | Dallas, Tex.: | c33,503 | \$33,487 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 36 | Memphis, Tenn.: | §Ј, | ¢3,4 | \$16 |  |  |  |
|  | Terminal $1 /-$ | 72,100 |  | ------- | \$72,100 |  |  |
| 39 | Grain elevator 1/ Omaha, Nebr.: | 48,720 |  |  | 22,094 | \$26,626 |  |
|  | Ice plant- | 107,386 | 98,620 | 8,766 | -------- | ------- |  |
| 62 | Jacksonville, Fla.: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 69 | Canden, N. J.: | 141,158 | 141,158 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Radio $1 /-$ | 1,721 | ---------- |  | 1,721 | - |  |
| 70 | Erie, Pa.: Conduits-- | 27,567 | 27,567 |  |  |  |  |
| 32 | Miami, Fla.: <br> Railway to docks $1 /$ | 54,095 |  |  | 54,095 |  |  |

1/ owned but not operated by city.

TABLE 44.-PAYMENTS OF "ALJ OTHER" ENTERPRISES: 1937
(Includes data for enterprises owned but not operated by city. See text discussion, p. 293)

| $\dot{8}$ i + + ¢ | CITY | Total | Operating expenses | ```Interest on general obligations``` | Outlays | Contribu- <br> tions to general funds | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pen- } \\ & \text { sions } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Grand total | \$7,600,856 | \$4,611,467 | \$1,186,545 | \$1,344,857 | \$452,311 | \$5,676 |
|  |  | 6,593,636 | 3,988,640 | 1,140,549 | 1,305,162 | 153,609 | 5,676 |
|  | Group II-Group | 595,769 | 435,088 | 5,151 | 193 | 155,337 | --------- |
|  |  | 411,451 | 187,739 | 40,845 | 39,502 | 143,365 |  |

GROUP I. -CITIES HAVING A POPULATION OF 500,000 AND OVER

| 1 | New York, N. Y.: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Ferries--- | \$5,570,950 | \$3,648,158 | \$631,965 | \$1,290,827 | --------- | ------ |
| 8 | Baltimore, Md.: Conduits--- | 397,193 | 31,915 | 198,874 | 12,795 | \$153,609 |  |
|  | Terminal $1 /$ | 297,200 |  | 297,200 |  | \$153,603 |  |
| 9 | Boston, Mass.: Ferries | 328,293 | 308,567 | 12,510 | 1,540 | --...---- | \$5,676 |

GROUP II. -CITIES HAVING A POPULATION OF 300,000 TO 500,000


GROUP III. -CITIES HAVING A POPULATION OF 100,000 TO 300,000


1/ Owned but not operated by city.

TABLE 45. - METHOD OF FINANGING CAPITAL OUTLLAYS: 1937
(Includes data for enterprises owned but not operated by city. See text discussion, p. 294)

| $\begin{aligned} & \dot{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{3}{3} \\ & \stackrel{~}{0} \end{aligned}$ | CITY | Total | BONDS |  | CURRENT REVENUE |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | General | Revenue | General | Special assessment | Grants and donationsl |
|  | Grand tota | \$162,090,799 | \$21,726,498 | \$56,151,974 | \$46,897,634 | \$823,132 | \$36,491,561 |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 128,031,875 \\ 10,709,711 \\ 23,349,213 \end{array}$ | 14,684,253 | 49,592,673 | 31,841,063 | 629,107 | 31,284,779 |
|  |  | 1,435,335 | 3,268,928 | 4,939,329 | ----- | 1,066,119 |
|  |  | 5,606,910 | 3,290,373 | 10,117,242 | 194,025 | 4,140,663 |

GROUP I. - CITIES HAVING A POPULATION OF 500,000 AND OVER

group il. -Cities having a population of 300,000 To 500,000

| 15 | Minneapolis, Minn | \$537,373 | \$500,000 | ----------- | \$37,373 | -------- |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 | New Orleans, La | 634,370 |  |  | 634,370 | -------- |  |
| 17 | Cincinnati, Ohic | 1,800,191 |  |  | 1,800,191 | -...----- |  |
| 18 | Newark, N. J. | 728,859 | 305,000 | ------------ | 42,039 | ------- | \$381,820 |
| 19 | Kansas City, Mo | 235,160 | 88,040 | ----------- | 147,120 | --------- |  |
| 20 | Seattle, Wash. | 4,470,905 |  | \$3,268, 928 | 742,287 | -------- | 459,690 |
| 21 | Indianapolis, Ind | 351,244 | ----------- | ----------- | 350,344 | --------- | 900 |
| 22 | Rochester, N. | 294,888 | 189,033 | ----------- | 99,390 | -------- | 6,465 |
| 23 | Jersey City, N. | 32,616 | ------------ | ----------- | 32,616 | --------- | ----------- |
| 24 | Houston, Tex. | 689,406 | 349,266 |  | 340,140 | -------- | ----------- |
| 25 | Louisville, Ky | 248,917 |  |  | 248,917 |  |  |
| 26 | Portland, Oreg. | 685,782 | 3,996 | ----------- | 464,542 | -------- | 217,244 |



1/ Includes contributions from general government funds.

TABLE 45.-METHOD OF FINANCING CAPITAL OUTLAYS: 1937-Continued
(Inc]udes data for enterprises owned but not operated by city. See text discussion, p. 294)

|  | CITY | Total | BONDS |  | CURRIENT REVENUE |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - |  |  | General | Revenue | General | Special assessment | Grants end donationsl/ |

GROUP III. -CITIES HAVING A POPULATION OF 100,000 TO 300,000 -Continued

| 51 | San Diego, Calif. | \$728,440 | ------------ | ------------ | \$312,902 | -- | \$415,538 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 52 | Long Beach, Calif | 593,372 | ------------ | ------------ | 514,472 | -------- | 78,900 |
| 53 | Nashville, Tenn. | 40,131 | ------------ | ---.---.---- | 20,269 | -------- | 19,862 |
| 54 | Springfield, Mass. | 112,324 | ----------- | ------------ | 112,324 | ----.---- |  |
| 55 | Tulsa, okla.--..- | 62,317 |  | ---------.--- | 62,317 | --------- | ----------- |
| 56 | Bridgeport, Conn. | -------- | ------------ | ------------ | --- | ---.-.-.-- | ------------ |
| 57 | Des Moines, Iow | 142,422 | ------------ | ------------ | 142,4.22 | --------- | ------------ |
| 58 | Scranton, Pa . |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 59 | Salt Lake City, | 101,225 |  |  | 101,225 | -------- |  |
| 60 | Yonkers, N. Y.----- | 530,783 | \$330,000 |  | 155,111 | -------- | 45,672 |
| 61 | Paterson, N. J.- | 10,972 |  |  | 10,972 | --------- |  |
| 62 | Jacksonville, Fl | 610,830 |  | \$524,304 | 42,104 |  | 44,122 |
| 63 | Albany, N. Y. | 297,419 | 271,125 |  | 9,493 |  | 16,801 |
| 64 | Norfolk, Va. | 55,096 |  |  | 55,096 |  |  |
| 65 | Trenton, N. J | 216,811 | 216,811 | ------------- |  | -------- |  |
| 66 | Chattanooga, Tenn. | 36,435 | 30,747 | ------------ | 5,688 | --------- | ------------ |
| 67 | Kansas City, Kans. | 1,067,066 |  |  | 1,067,066 | -------- | ------------ |
| 68 | Fort Wayne, Ind. | 738,130 | 15,000 | ----------- | 703,866 | -------- | 19,264 |
| 69 | Camden, N. J. | 10,648 |  |  | 10,648 | -------- |  |
| 70 | Erie, Pa.- | 226,480 | ------------ |  | 218,218 | -------- | 8,262 |
| 71 | Elizabeth, N. J. | 8,828 | ------------ | ------------ | 8,828 | --------- | ------------ |
| 72 | Wichita, Kans. | 2,985 |  |  | 2,985 |  |  |
| 73 | Spokane, Wash. | 504,799 |  |  | 307,915 |  | 196,884 |
| 74 | Fall River, Mass | 15,801 |  |  | 15,801 |  |  |
| 75 | Cambridge, Mass. | 20,986 |  |  | 20,986 | ---ミ--- |  |
| 76 | New Bedford, Mass | 41,186 |  |  | 13,711 |  | 27,475 |
| 77 | Reading, Pa, | 84,803 | 45,593 |  | 39,210 | --------- |  |
| 78 | Knoxville, Temn | 31,001 | 25,665 | ------------- | 5,336 | --------- |  |
| 79 | Peoria, Ill. | 6,387 |  |  |  | --------- | 6,387 |
| 80 | South Bend, Ind. | 9,307 |  |  | 9,307 | ----.---- |  |
| 81 | Tacoma, Wash | 602,167 | ------------ | -- | 476,431 | -------- | 125,736 |
| 82 | Miami, Fla. | 1,460,252 | 90,000 | 669,000 | 275,493 | -------- | 425,759 |
| 83 | Gery, Ind.- |  |  |  | ---------- |  |  |
| 84 | Canton, Ohio | 89,109 | ----------- |  | 89,109 | --------- | ------------ |
| 85 | Wilmington, Del | 94,532 | ------------ |  | 41,561 | --------- | 52,971 |
| 86 | Tampa, Fla.- | 44,376 | ------------ |  | 16,725 | \$2,213 | 25,438 |
| 87 | Somerville, Mass | 66,285 | 55,016 |  | 11,269 | ------ | --- |
| 88 | El Paso, Tex. | 105,104 |  |  | 103,840 | -------- | 1,264 |
| 89 | Evansville, Ind. | 80,223 | ------------ |  | 80,223 | --------- |  |
| 90 | Lymn, Mass. | 40,256 |  |  | 33,203 | 7,053 | ------------ |
| 91 | Utica, N. Y. | 219 |  | ------------ | ------------- | --------- | 219 |
| 92 | Duluth, Minn. | 94,439 |  |  | 94,439 | -------- |  |
| 93 | Waterbury, Conn | 260,194 | 250,000 |  | - | -------- | 10,194 |
| 94 | Lowell, Mass. | 54,168 |  |  |  |  | 54,168 |
|  | Honolulu, Hawail 2/--- | 207,470 | ------------ |  | 207,470 | -------- | ------------ |

$\frac{1}{2} /$ Includes contributions from general government funds.
$\underline{2}$ included in group or grand totals.

## TABLE 46

The gross and the net indebtedness of public-service enterprises are shown in table 46. The caption "Governmental unit issuing" means the agency which issued the obligations and not necessarily the governmental agency upon which liabllity for the indebtedness was placed. The table also presents data as to the character of the indebtedness created. The Bureau classifies as a revenue bond an obligation which is not a general liability of the issuing municipality and which is payable exclusively from the revenues of an income-producing property or system without any recourse to taxation.

Gross indebtedness for public-service enterprise purposes was outstanding in the amount of $\$ 2,797,270,099,97.5$ percent of which was issued by c..ty corporations.

CHARACTER OF INDEBTEDNESS AND PURPOSE OF ISSUE.-General obligation bonds constituted 92.7 percent of the gross indebtedness of public-service enterprises, of which amount $\$ 1,777,152,196$, or 68.5 percent, was accounted for by four cit1es: New York, Philadelphia, Los Angeles, and San Francisco. Spokane 1ssued only revenue bonds. Revenue bond issues for all cities totaled \$187,010,797, or only 6.7 percent of indebtedness. Owing to the increasing use of this type of financing, especially in connection with public-service enterprises, it is likely that such obligations will represent an increasing proportion of funded indebtedness in the future. The larger part of outstanding obligations of this character shown in table 46 were issued by municipalities on the Pacific coast, but their appearance in recent years has had a wider geographic spread.

Short-term loans outstanding at the close of 1937 comprised only one-half of one percent of total gross indebtedness.

There were three cities reporting no indebtedness for public-service enterprise purposes at the close of 1937-Washington, Bridgeport, and Gary, the latter two having no enterprises.

NET DEBT FOR PUBLIC-SERVICE ENTERPRISES. - Net debt for public-service enterprises, which in the Bureau classification is bonded debt less sinking-fund assets, totaled $\$ 2,499,039,946$, or $\$ 66.33$ per capita.

The net debt for public-service enterprise purposes is somewhat overstated in the foregoing ligures. In several cases it was impossible to segregate sinking-fund assets for public-service enterprise debt from those for general government debt. In such cases, the entire amount of sinking-fund assetis was taken as an offset against general government debt, and net debt for publicservice enterprises is overstated to that extent. The effect upon the total, however, is probably negligible.

TABLE 47
The bonded indebtedness outstanding at the close of 1937 for the various types of public-service enterprise purposes is shown in table 47.

BONDED INDEBTEDNESS BY TYPE OF ENTERPRISE. - Of the bonded indebtedness of $\$ 2,781,-$ 314,407 for public-service enterprise purposes, 41.7 percent was for water systems; 6.8 percent for electric light and power systems; 36.7 percent fon transit systems; six-tenths of one percent for gas systems; 11.6 percent for ports, harbors, docks, and wharves; approximately one percent for airports; and 1.6 percent for the miscellaneous enterprises discussed in connection with tables 43 and 44.

PREDOMINNANCE OF DEEPT OF WATER AND TRANSIT SYSTEMS. - AS indicated in the paragraph above, 78.4 percent of bonded indebtedness outstanding for public-service enterprise purposes was composed of indebtedness for water and transit systems. The heavy indebtedness for water facilities is largely a reflection of the greater number of such enterprises compared with other types, while the heavy dejt load of the 11 transit systems is mostly a consequence of unusually high investment costs involved in the creation of such facilities, plus the fact that progress in liquidating the investment is generally slower than in the case of other types of enterprises. The indebtedness for purposes of fort, harbor, dock, and wharf facilities also reflects the comparatively high costs involved in creating such enterprises, a large part of which is preliminary to the actual construction of physical properties.

TABLE 46. -GROSS DEBT, BY UNIT OF GOVERNMENT AND BY CHARACTER, AND NET DEBT: 1937
(Includes data for enterprises owned but not operated by city)

|  | CITY | gross debt |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | NET DEBT 1/ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | $\underset{\text { Per }}{\text { Pepita }}$ | Governmental unit 1ssuing |  |  |  | assified by ch | aracter |  |  | Total | Per capita |
|  |  |  |  | City corpora- | All other | General obligation bonds |  | Revenue bonds |  | Short-term loans |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Outlays | Refunding | Out1ays | Refunding | General | Revenue |  |  |
|  | Grand total------ <br> Group I------------- <br> Group II <br> Group III- | \$2,797,270,099 | \$74.25 | \$2,726,083,266 | \$71,186,833 | 2/\$2,529,647,731 | \$64,655,879 | \$164,211,797 | \$22,799,000 | \$14,309,380 | \$1,646,312 | \$2,499,039,946 | \$66.33 |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 2,178,365,905 \\ 248,090,163 \\ 370,814,031 \end{array}$ | 53.79 <br> 33.93 | $\begin{array}{r} 2,175,447,317 \\ 234,407,462 \\ 316,228,487 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,918,588 \\ 13,682,701 \\ 54,585,544 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,047,977,865 \\ \text { 4 } 162,816,694 \\ \text { 4 } 318,853,172 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17,659,884 \\ & 18,605,000 \\ & 28,390,995 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 77,637,000 \\ & 63,609,428 \\ & 22,965,369 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 22,799,000 | $\begin{array}{r} 12,292,156 \\ 1,600,695 \\ 416,529 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,458,346 \\ 187,966 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,943,446,434 \\ 210,172,648 \\ 340,420,864 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 87.81 \\ & 46.65 \\ & 31.15 \end{aligned}$ |
| group i.-Cities having a population of 500,000 or over |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | New York, N. Y. | \$1,349,310,312 | \%188.60 | \$1,349,310,312 | ----------- | 5/\$1,337,410,312 | - |  | ----------- | \$11,900,000 | --------- | \$1,167,736,193 | \%163.22 |
| 2 | Chicago, Ill.-- | 18,316,482 | 5.25 | 18,316,482 | ----------- | 1,350,000 | ------------ | \$17,660,000 |  | -306,482 | --------- | 17,842,000 | 5.11 |
| 3 | Philadelphia, Pa. | 200,696,081 | 101.74 | 200,696,081 |  | 200,696,081 |  |  | ---------- |  | --------- | 200,696,081 | 101.74 |
| 5 | Detroit, $\mathrm{Mich} .-$ | 109,011,180 | 65.43 | 108,063,591 | \$947,589 | 6/ 94,580,180 | \$14,431,000 |  |  |  |  | 92,450,544 | 55.49 |
| 5 | Los Angeles, Calif.-- | 198,825,203 | 146.83 | 198,584,843 | 240,360 | 7) 125,101,203 | 925,000 | 50,000,000 | \$22,799,000 | ---------- | ---------- | 189,591,029 | 140.01 |
| ${ }_{7}^{6}$ | Clevelend, Ohio------- | $27,035,000$ $8,253,000$ | $\begin{array}{r}29.44 \\ 9.94 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $27,035,000$ $8,253,000$ | --------------- | $\begin{array}{r} 27,035,000 \\ 1,300,000 \end{array}$ | --------------- | 6,953,000 |  | ------------ |  | $27,035,000$ $7,678,111$ | 29.44 9.25 |
| 8 | Baltimore, Md.------- | 64,093,995 | 78.44 | 64,093,995 | ----------- | 64,093,995 | ----------- |  | ---------- | ---------- | --------- | 58,094,697 | 71.10 |
| 9 | Boston, Mass. | 58,058,700 | 73.78 | 58,058,700 |  | 5/ $58,058,700$ |  |  |  |  |  | 45,639,892 | 58.00 |
| 10 | Pittsburgh, Pa.- | 7,757,965 | 11.43 | 6,053,000 | 1,704,965 | 7,75\%,965 |  |  |  |  |  | 7,713,577 | 11.37 |
| 11 | San Francisco, Calif. | 113,944,600 | 173.64 | 113,944,600 |  | 113,944,600 |  |  |  |  |  | 111,934,000 | 170.58 |
| 143 |  | $5,104,174$ $17,959,213$ | 8.52 30.73 | $5,078,500$ $17,959,213$ | 25,674 | 2,054,500 8/ $15,595,329$ | 2,303,884 | 3,024,000 |  | 25,674 60,000 |  | $4,559,304$ $12,476,006$ | 7.61 21.35 |
| GROUP II.-Cities having a population of 300,000 to 500,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | Minneapolis, Mmm. | \$4,291,557 | \$8.98 | \$4,291,557 | ----------- | \$4,291,000 | ----------- | ------------ | ---------- | \$557 | --------- | \$3,956,730 | *8.28 |
| 16 | New Orieans, La. | 12,983,438 | 27.57 | 12,983,438 | ----------- | 12,943,300 |  | ------------ | ---------- | 40,138 | --------- | 11,938,939 | 25.35 |
| 17 | Cincinnati, Ohio-..-- | 32,536,139 | 70.72 | 32,536,139 |  | 15,098,139 | \$15,938,000 | ----- | ------..-- | 1,500,000 | ---------- | 16,405,086 | 35.66 |
| 18 | Newark, N. J...------ | 45,751,000 $15,106,000$ | 102.35 | 45,751,000 |  | 45,696,000 |  |  |  |  |  | 45,696,000 | 102.23 |
| 20 | Seattle, Wash.- | 61,776,836 | 36.61 165.13 | $15,106,000$ $56,065,774$ | 85,711,062 | $15,106,000$ <br> $6,061,062$ | ------------ | \$54,257,428 |  |  | \$1,458,346 | 59,936,305 | 36.61 160.21 |
| 21 | Indianapolis, Ind.- | 8,780,000 | 23.60 | 8,780,000 |  | 749,000 | ------------ | 8,031,000 | ----------- |  |  | 8,617,346 | 23.16 |
| 22 | Rochester, N. Y.--- | 13,580,000 | 40.72 | 13,580,000 |  | 13,580,000 |  |  |  |  |  | 12,130,401 | 36.37 |
| 23 | Jersey City, N. J.--- | 14,499,254 | 45.32 | 14,499,254 |  | 14,282,254 | 217,000 |  | ---------- |  | --- | 11,000,694 | 34.39 |
| 24 25 | Houston, Texas------- | 11,399,600 | 35.86 5.59 | 5,427,500 | 5,972,100 | 10,078,600 |  | 1,321,000 | ---------- |  |  | 9,907,546 | 31.17 3.06 |
| 25 | Lou1sville, Ky.-------- | $1,774,000$ $25,612,339$ | $\begin{array}{r}5.59 \\ 82.86 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $1,774,000$ $23,612,800$ | 1,999,539 | $1,079,000$ $23,852,339$ | $\begin{array}{r} 690,000 \\ 1,760,000 \end{array}$ |  |  | 5,000 |  | 972,104 $19,507,662$ | 3.06 63.11 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 46.-GROSS DEBT, BY UNIT OF GOVERNMENT AND BY CHARACTER, AND NET DEBT: 1937-Continued

|  | CITY | gross debt |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | NET DEBT 1/ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Per <br> capita | Governmental unit 1ssuing |  | Classified by character |  |  |  |  |  | Total | Per capita |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { City corpora- } \\ & \text { tion } \end{aligned}$ | All other | General obligation bonds |  | Revenue bonds |  | Short-term loans |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Outlays | Refunding | Outlays | Refunding | General | Revenue |  |  |


| 27 | Columbus, ohio | \$6,793,500 | \$22.67 | \$6,793,500 |  | \$6,793,500 |  |  |  |  |  | \$5,305,702 | 117.70 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 28 | Toledo, oh10-- | 799,000 | 2.67 | 799,000 |  | 364,000 | \$435,000 |  |  |  |  | 697,665 | 2.33 |
| 29 | Oak1and, Calif | 41,861,358 | 141.61 | 7,462,390 | \$34,398,968 | 41,861,358 |  |  |  |  |  | 41,159,148 | 139.24 |
| 30 | Denver, Col | $25,416,600$ 2,360 | 86.69 8.42 | $25,416,600$ 2,360 |  | 10,349, 600 | 15,067,000 |  |  |  |  | $24,566,897$ 2 2, 159 | 83.79 7.70 |
| 32 | Atianta, Dallas, | 8,444,500 | ${ }_{30.38}$ | 8,444,500 |  | 8,419,500 | 58,000 25,00 |  |  |  |  | 8,006,089 | 28.80 |
| 33 | St. Paul, Minn. | 7,452,000 | 26.82 | 7,452,000 |  | 7,452,000 |  |  |  |  |  | 6,094,902 | 21.93 |
| 34 | Birmingham, Ala | 3,015,000 | 11.03 | 3,015,000 |  | 15,000 |  | \$3,000,000 |  |  |  | 3,006,556 | 11.00 |
| 35 | Akron, Ohio | 10,466,392 | 39.48 | 10,466,392 |  | 9,918,000 | 548,392 |  |  |  |  | 10,439,410 | 39.38 |
| ${ }_{37}^{36}$ | Memphis, Tenn | 9,367,774 | 35.82 | 9,367,774 |  | 9,367,774 |  |  |  | \$51,029 |  | 9,02 | 34.52 |
| 38 | San Antonio, T | 19,166,000 | 75.28 25.32 | $19,241,029$ $6,166,000$ |  | $19,190,000$ $6,166,000$ |  |  |  | \$1,02 |  | - | 51.55 19.54 |
| 39 | Omaha, Nebr.-- | 6,570,600 | 30.17 | 414,600 | 6,156,000 | 6,570,600 |  |  |  |  |  | 2,420,825 | 11.11 |
| 40 | Syracuse, N. Y | 5,579,030 | 26.01 | 5,579,030 |  | 3,584,483 | 1,994,547 |  |  |  |  | 5,579,030 | 26.01 |
| 41 | Dayton, Ohio | 4,880,647 | 23.62 | 4,880,647 |  | 4,418,647 | 462,000 |  |  |  |  | 4,880,647 | 23.62 |
| 42 | Okiahome City, | 5,047,600 | 25.06 | 5,047,600 |  | 5,047,600 |  |  |  |  |  | 5,047,600 | 25.06 |
| 43 | Worcester, Mass | 2,759,800 | 13.94 | 2,759,800 |  | 2,759,800 |  |  |  |  |  | 2,759,800 | 13.94 |
| 44 | Richmond, Va.-- | 7,578,363 | 40.99 | 7,578,363 |  | 9/7,478,363 |  |  |  | 100,000 |  | 7,478,363 | 40.45 |
| 45 | Youngstown, ohio | 805,000 | 4.62 | 805,000 |  | 690,000 | 115,000 |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{805,000}$ | 4.62 |
| 46 | Grand Rapids, M1 | 2,855,000 | ${ }_{32}^{16.52}$ | 2,855,000 |  | 10) $\begin{array}{r}2,855,000 \\ 3,561,140\end{array}$ | 1,422,000 | 460,000 |  |  |  | $1,623,075$ $5,443,140$ | ${ }_{32} 9.39$ |
| 48 | Hartford, Conn. | 7,714,802 | 45.79 | ,282,000 | 7,432,802 | 17,714,802 |  |  |  |  |  | 7,285,024 | 43.23 |
| 49 | Flint, Mich.--- | 2,062,500 | 12.34 | 2,062,500 |  | 11/ 2,062,500 |  |  |  |  |  | 1,164,036 | 6.96 |
| 50 | New Haven, Conn. | 405,000 | 2.49 | 405,000 |  | 405,000 |  |  |  |  |  | 405,000 | 2.49 |
| 51 | Sen Diego, Calif | 13,078,109 | 81.69 | 13,078,109 |  | 13,078,109 |  |  |  |  |  | 12,756,091 | 79.68 |
| 52 | Long Beach, Cal | 11,534,851 | 73.47 | 11,534,851 |  | 11,534,785 |  |  |  |  | \$66 | 11,451,726 | 72.94 |
| 53 | Nashvilie, Temu. | 3,572,000 | 22.37 | 3,572,000 |  | 3,458,000 | 114,000 |  |  |  |  | 3,408,002 | 21.82 |
| 54 | Springfield, Mass | 6,384,000 | ${ }^{41.62}$ | 6,384,000 | ------------ | 6,384,000 |  |  |  |  | --------- | 6,384,000 | ${ }_{31.62}$ |
| 55 57 | Tuisa, Okia.---- | $4,597,000$ $4,855,202$ | 31.06 33.42 | 4,537,000 |  | $4,597,000$ $4,855,202$ |  |  |  |  |  | 4,597,000 $4,104,199$ | 31.06 28.25 |
| 58 | Scranton, Pa.-- | 4,500 |  | 4,500 |  |  |  |  |  | 4,500 |  |  |  |
| 59 | Salt Lake City, Ut | 4,451,500 | 30.87 | 4,451,500 | ------------ | 3,737,500 | 714,000 |  |  |  | --------- | 4,451,500 | 30.87 |
| 60 | Yonkers, N. Y.--- | 5,181,800 | 36.88 | 5,181,800 |  | 5,179,800 | 2,000 |  |  |  |  | 5,181,800 | 36.88 |


| 61 | Paterson, N. J.------ | 13,934,000 | 100.24 | 13,934,000 | ----------- | 13,934,000 |  | ------- | ---------- | ----- | -------- | 13,934,000 | 100.24 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 62 | Jacksonville, Fl | 5,657,500 | 40.73 | 5,657,500 |  | 2,337,500 | 2,070,000 | 1,150,000 |  |  | 100,000 | 5,484,875 | 39.49 |
| 63 | Albany, N. Y.- | 19,993,851 | 154.04 | 13,396,077 | 6,597,774 | 12,042,500 | 1,353,577 | 6,509,874 |  |  | 87,900 | 19,888,785 | 153.23 |
| 64 | Norfolk, Va.-- | 15,354,491 | 118.38 | 15,354,491 |  | 15,231,491 | 123,000 |  |  |  |  | 11,704,892 | 90.24 |
| 65 | Trenton, N. J. | 1,445,000 | 11.64 | 1,445,000 |  | 1,367,000 |  |  |  | 78,000 |  | 816,338 | 6.58 |
| 66 | Chattanooga, Tenn | 450,000 | 3.64 | 450,000 |  | 450,000 |  |  |  |  |  | 450,000 | 3.64 |
| 67 | Kansas City, Kans | 5,703,000 | 46.22 | 5,703,000 |  | 5,703,000 |  |  |  |  |  | 2,446,818 | 19.83 |
| 68 | Fort Wayne, Ind.- | 1,951,000 | . 24 | 1,951,000 |  | 1,922,000 | 14,000 |  |  | 15,000 |  | 1,935,421 | 16.12 |
| 69 | Camden, N. J. | 1,514,500 | 12.72 | 1,514,500 |  | 1,055,500 | 291,000 | ------ |  | 168,000 | ---------- | 1,157,773 | 9.72 |
| 70 | Erie, Pa.----- | 1,355,623 | 11.46 | 1,355,623 |  | 1,355,623 |  |  |  |  |  | 1,355,623 | 11.46 |
| 71 | Elizabeth, N. J | 4,635,500 | 39.32 | 4,635,500 |  | 4,635,500 |  |  |  |  |  | 4,540,500 | 38.51 |
| 72 | Wichita, Kans.--- | 205,000 | 1.74 | 205,000 |  | 205,000 | ----------- | 0 |  |  | --------- | 205,000 | 1.74 |
| 74 | Spokane, Wash.- | 609,000 160,000 | 5.22 1.39 | 609,000 160,000 |  | 160,000 |  | ,00 |  |  |  | 381,922 160,000 | 1.28 1.39 |
| 75 | Cambridge, Mass. | 806,000 | 7.05 | 806,000 |  | 806,000 | ----...--- |  |  |  | ---------- | 645,580 | 5.65 |
| 76 | New Bedford, Mass. | 1,252,000 | 11.12 | 1,252,000 |  | 1,252,000 |  |  |  |  |  | 1,252,000 | 11.12 |
| 77 | Reading, Pa.-- | 2,661,400 | 23.81 | 2,661,400 |  | 2,661,400 |  |  |  |  | ---------- | 2,618,337 | 23.42 |
| 78 | Knoxville, Tenn | 4,600,036 | 41.59 | 4,600,036 | ------------ | 4,157,000 | 443,036 |  |  |  |  | 4,600,036 | 41.59 |
| 79 | Peoria, Ill.- | 260,000 | 2.37 | 260,000 | --.-.-.-. | 260,000 |  |  |  |  |  | 260,000 | 2.37 |
| 88 | South Bend, Tacoma, \% $\begin{aligned} & \text { wash. }\end{aligned}$ | 675,000 $9,787,495$ | 6.16 90.46 | 675,000 $9,787,495$ |  | 675,000 107,000 | 56,000 | 9,624,495 |  |  |  | 9,644,017 | 4. 89 89 |
| 82 | Msami, Fla.---- | 7,965,417 | 73.62 | 7,965,417 | ----------- | 6,059,345 | 294,072 | 1,612,000 |  |  | --.-.-. | 7,965,417 | 73.62 |
| 84 | Canton, Ohio | 757,000 | 7.04 | 757,000 |  | 757,000 |  |  |  |  |  | 757,000 | 7.04 |
| 85 | *ilmington, | 6,975,000 | 65.43 | 6,975,000 |  | 6,975,000 |  |  |  |  |  | 6,940,098 | 65.11 |
| 86 | Tampa, Fla. | 2,965,000 | 27.84 | 2,965,000 |  | 2,845,000 | 120,000 |  |  |  |  | 2,901,994 | 27.25 |
| 87 | Somerville, Mass. | 108,000 | 1.02 | 108,000 |  | 108,000 |  |  |  |  |  | 108,000 | 1.02 |
| 88 | El Paso, Tex. | 1,644,000 | 15.54 | 1,644,000 |  | 1,289,000 | 355,000 |  |  |  |  | 1,554,939 | 14.70 |
| 89 | Evansville, Ind. | 956,000 | 9.10 | 956,000 |  | 756,000 | 200,000 |  |  |  |  | 913,020 | 8.69 |
| 90 | Lynn, Mass.--- | 415,000 | 4.03 | 415,000 |  | 415,000 |  |  |  |  |  | 415,000 | 4.03 |
| 91 | Utica, N. Y.- | 262,121 | 2.55 | 262,121 |  | 249,750 | 12,371 |  |  |  |  | 262,121 | 2.55 |
| 92 | Duiuth, Minn.- | 1,995,000 | 19.58 | 1,995,000 |  | 418,000 | 1,577,000 |  |  |  |  | 1,995,000 | 19.58 |
|  | Waterbury, conn | 6,642,000 | ${ }^{65.57}$ | 6,642,000 |  | 6,642,000 |  |  |  |  |  | 6,642,000 | 65.57 |
| 94 | Lowell, Mass | 375,500 | 3.75 | 375,500 |  | 375,500 |  |  |  |  |  | 375,500 | 3.75 |
|  | Honolulu, Hawait 12/- | 8,590,000 | 42.33 | 8,590,000 | ---------- | ---------- |  | 8,590,000 |  |  |  | 7,646,492 | 37.68 |

1/ Net debt is bonded debt less sinking-fund assets.
$2 /$ Includes $\$ 1,404,357,391$ funding bond-anticipation
$\frac{2}{3}$ Includes $\$ 1,404,357,39$ funding bond-anticipation notes.
Includes $\$ 531,700$ funding bond-anticipation notes.
5. Funding bond-anticipation notes.

Includes $\$ 4,528,000$ funding bond-anticipation notes.
Includes $\$ 3,714,350$ funding bond-anticipation notes.
Includes $\$ 3,1,329$ funding bond-anticipation notes.
$9 /$ Includas $\$ 114,329$ funding bond-anticipation notes.
Includes $\$ 220,000$ funding bond-anticipation notes.
Inctudes $\$ 29,000$ special assessment debt
Not included in group or grand totals.

TABLE 47. - BONDED DEBT AT CLOSE OF YEAR, BY TYPE OF ENTERPRISE: 1937
(Includes data for anterprises owned but not operated by city)

| 号 ¢ d | CITY | Total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Water-supply } \\ & \text { systems } \end{aligned}$ | Hlectric light and power systams | Transit systams | $\begin{gathered} \text { Gas-supply } \\ \text { systems } \end{gathered}$ | Ports, harbors, docks, and wharves | Airports | All other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Grand to | \$2,781,314,407 | \$1,159,474,572 | \$187, 944,343 | \$1,021,101,048 | \$17,710,050 | \$323,599,542 | \$25, 958,877 | \$45,525,975 |
|  | Group I-Group II Group II | $\begin{array}{r} 2,166,073,749 \\ 245,031,122 \\ 370,209,536 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 757,101,211 \\ & 105,713,954 \\ & 296,659,407 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 135,535,843 \\ 38,346,000 \\ 14,062,500 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 990,240,620 \\ 29,427,428 \\ 1,433,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8,359,000 \\ & 9,351,050 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 247,497,772 \\ 36,374,296 \\ 39,727,474 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13,364,448 \\ 4,754,342 \\ 7,840,087 \end{array}$ | 22,333,855 22,056,102 1,136,018 |

group I.-CItits having a population of 500,000 or over

group il. -Cities having a population of 300,000 to 500,000

| 15 | Minneapolis, | \$4,291,000 | \$3,402,000 | ------------- |  | ------------ | \$546,000 | \$343,000 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 | Now Orleans, La | 12,943,300 | 9,413,300 |  | \$3,500,000 |  |  |  | \$30,000 |
| 17 18 | Cincinnati, Ohio | $31,036,139$ $45,696,000$ | $8,219,400$ $21,330,000$ | ----------------- | 9,-703, 000 |  | $\begin{array}{r} 245,500 \\ .1 \end{array}$ | 653,000 700,000 | 1,918,239 |
| 19 | Kansas City, m | 15,106,000 | 13,534,000 |  |  |  | 360,000 | ,212,000 |  |
| 20 | Seattle, Wash. | 60,318,490 | 7,095,000 | \$38,346,000 | 9,166,428 |  | 5,179,857 | 423,342 | 107,863 |
| 21 | Indanapolis, Ind | 8,780,000 |  |  |  | \$8,031,000 | ----------.-- | 749,000 | ------------ |
| $\begin{array}{r}22 \\ 23 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | Rochester, N. Y. | 13,580,000 | $6,123,000$ $14,246,254$ | --- | 7,258,000 |  |  | 199,000 | ------------ |
| 24 | Houston, Tex. | 11,399,600 | 3,187,000 | ------------- | -------------- | 328,000 | 7,409,600 | 475,000 | ------------ |
| 25 26 | $\xrightarrow{\text { Louisville, }}$ Portland, Ore8 | $1,769,000$ $25,612,339$ | $1,769,000$ $17,393,000$ |  |  |  | 8,219,339 |  |  |

group ili.-CITIES having a population of 100,000 to 300,000

| 27 | Columbus, | \$6,793,500 | \$5,437,500 | \$779,000 |  |  |  | \$577,000 | ------------ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 28 | Toledo, Ohio | 799,000 | 529,000 | ,10,00 |  | , | \$120,000 | 150,000 | ------------ |
| 29 30 | a akland, ${ }^{\text {Denter, }}$ Colo. | $41,861,358$ $25,416,600$ | $34,398,968$ $25,416,600$ |  |  |  | 7,462,390 |  |  |
| 31 | Atlanta, Ca.- | 2,360,000 | 2,360,000 |  |  |  |  | ------------- | -------------- |
| 32 3 | Dallas, Tex.- | 8,444,500 | 7,894,500 |  |  |  | ------------- | 550,000 | ------.----- |
| 33 | St. Paul, M1nn | 7,452,000 | 6,905,000 $3,015,000$ |  |  |  | --- | 547,000 | ------------ |
| 35 | Birmingham, Akron, Ohio-- | 10,466,392 | 9,343,392 |  |  |  |  | 1,123,000 |  |
| 36 | Memphis, Tenn. | 9,367,774 | 5,133,000 | 3,000,000 |  |  | 271,250 | 117,000 | \$846,524 |
| 37 <br> 88 | Providence, R. | 19,190,000 | 18,000,000 | ------------- |  |  | 1,190,000 | 7000 | - |
| 38 <br> 39 | San Antonio, Tex Omaha, Nebr. | 6,166,000 | 6,159,000 |  |  | 000 |  | 7,000 |  |
| 40 | Syracuse, N. Y | 5,579,030 | 5,412,500 | -----.-.-.-.--- |  | 1,182,00 | ----.-.-.-.--- | 166,530 | -------------- |
| 41 | Dayton, Oh10-- | 4,880,647 | 4,880,647 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 42 | Oklahoma City, Okla | 5,047,600 | 4,717,600 | -------.----- |  | ------------ | ------------ | 330,000 | - |
| 43 | Worcester, Mas Richmond, Va.- | $2,759,800$ $7,478,363$ | $2,759,800$ $3,690,700$ | --------------- |  | 3,469,550 | 300,000 | 18,-113 |  |
| 45 | Youngstown, ohi | 805,000 | 805,000 | --------.---- | ---.-.-...-.-- |  |  |  |  |
| 46 | Grand Rapids, Mi | 2,855,000 | 2,855,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 47 | Fort Worth, Tex. | 5,443,140 | 4,858,140 | ----------------- |  | ---------------- | ---------------- | 585,000 | - |
| 48 49 | Hartford, Conn. Flint, Mich. | $7,714,802$ $2,062,500$ | $7,432,802$ $2,062,500$ |  |  |  |  | 282,000 |  |
| 50 | New Haven, Comn | 405,000 |  | -------------- |  |  | 70,000 | 335,000 | ------------ |
| 51 | San Diego, calif | 13,078,109 | 11,235,609 |  |  |  | 1,338,750 | 503,750 |  |
| 52 | Long Beach, Calt | 11,534,785 | 2,480,000 |  |  | 3,231,000 | 5,823,785 |  |  |
| 53 | Nashville, Tenn | 3,572,000 | 3,299,000 | ------------- |  |  | - | 98,000 | 175,000 |
| 55 | Tulsa, okla. --- | 6,389,000 $4,597,000$ | 6,384,000 $4,121,000$ | -------- |  |  |  | 476,000 |  |
| 56 | Bridgeport, Conn |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 57 | Des Moines, Iowa | 4,855,202 | 4,716,252 |  |  |  |  | 138,950 |  |
| 58 | Scranton, Pa. |  |  |  |  |  | ------- |  |  |
| 59 | Salt Lake City, | 4,451,500 | 4,421,500 | ------------ |  | ----------- | O | 30,000 | -- |
| 60 61 | Yonkers, N. Y. | $5,181,800$ $13,934,000$ | $5,151,000$ $13,934,000$ | ------------ |  |  | 30,800 |  | ---------------- |
| 62 | Jacksonville, F | 5,557,500 | 925,000 | 2,372,500 |  |  | 2,205,000 | 55,000 | -- |
| 63 | Albany, N. Y. | 19,905,951 | 13,059,000 |  |  |  | 6,509,874 | 337,077 |  |
| 64 65 | Norfolk, Va.- | 15,354,491 | 8,943,866 |  |  | ------------ | 6,410,625 | ------------ | -- |
| 65 66 | Trenton, N. J.-- | $\begin{array}{r} 1,367,000 \\ 450,000 \end{array}$ | 1,267,000 |  |  |  | 100,000 100,000 | 250,000 |  |
| 67 | Kansas City, Kans. | 5,703,000 | 3,489,000 | 2,214,000 | --------------- | ------------ | ------ |  | -------------- |
| 68 69 | Fort Wayne, Ind.- Camden, N. J.--- | $1,936,000$ $1,346,500$ | 1,886,000 |  |  |  | ---- | 50,000 | ----- |

TABLE 47.-BONDED DEBT AT CLOSE OF YEAR, BY TYPE OF ENTERPRISE: 1937-Continued

|  | CITY | Total | Water-supply systems | $\underset{\substack{\text { Hectric } \\ \text { and power } \\ \text { systems }}}{\substack{\text { pownt } \\ \hline}}$ | Transit systams | $\underset{\text { systems }}{\substack{\text { Gas-supply }}}$ | Ports, harbors, dooks, and wharves | Alrports | All other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

GROUP III.-CITIES having a population of 100,000 to 300,000-Continue

| 70 | Eric, Pa. | \$1,355,623 | \$1,320,000 | ------------ | ------------- | ----------- |  | ----------- | \$35,623 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 71 | Elizabeth, N. | 4,635,500 | 4,575,000 |  |  |  | \$60,500 | ----------- |  |
| 72 | Wichita, Kans.-- Spokane, Wash. | 205,000 609,000 | ------100 | --- | - | -- |  | \$205,000 |  |
| 74 | Fall River, Mass | 160,000 | 160,000 |  |  |  | ------------- | ----------- | ------------ |
| 75 | Combridge, | 806,000 | 806,000 |  |  |  |  | ------- | -- |
| 77 | Now Bedrord, Mes Reading, Pa. | 1,252,000 $2,661,400$ | ${ }_{2,611,500}^{1,13600}$ |  |  |  | 116,000 | 49,900 | ---------- |
| 78 | Knoxville, Ton Peorie It | 4,600,036 | 4,310,036 | \$290,000 |  | ---------.-- |  | --.-- | ----------- |
|  | Peoria, Il | 260,000 |  |  |  |  | 260,000 |  |  |
| ${ }_{81}^{80}$ | South Bond, In | 675,000 $, 787,495$ | 675,000 $4,030,495$ |  |  | --------------- |  | ---- | ---------------- |
| 82 | M1ama, Fla.- | 9,965,417 | 4, 4, 4 260,4000 | 5,307,000 | 1,9390,000 |  | 4,171,500 | 136,917 |  |
| 83 | Gary, Ind.- |  |  | ------------ |  | ----------- |  |  | ----------- |
| 84 | Canton, oh1o | 757,000 | 757,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 85 | Wiumington, Del | 6,975,000 | 4,365,000 | ------------ | -------------- | ------------ | 2,610,000 | ----------- | ------------ |
| ${ }_{87}^{86}$ | Tampa, Fla.----- | 2,965,000 | 2,495,000 | ---------------- | -------------- | -------------- | 470,000 |  |  |
| 88 | E1 Paso, Tex. | 1,644,000 | 1,623,000 | ------------- | --->--.-------- |  | ------------- | 21,000 |  |
| 89 | Evansville, Ind. | 956,000 | 918,000 |  |  |  |  | 38,000 |  |
| 90 | Lym, Mass.- | 415,000 | 415,000 | ------------ | -------------- | ----------- | ------------ | ---- | -------.--- |
| ${ }_{92}^{91}$ | Utios, N. Y. - Duluth, winn. | 262,121 $1,995,000$ | 1,-061,-000 |  |  | \$888,500 | - | 183,250 $\$ 65,000$ | 78,871 |
| 93 | Waterbury, cond | 6,642,000 | 6,642,000 | ------------ | --------------- |  | ------------ |  | ------------ |
| 94 | Lowell, Mas | 375,500 | 375,500 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Honolulu, Hawali 1/- | 8,590,000 | 8,590,000 |  |  |  |  |  | ----------- |

1/ Not inciuded in group or grand totals.

The bonded indebtedness for public-service enterprise purposes is shown by rate of interest in table 48 . Of the 17 specified rates, 5 rates predominated, being paid in the aggregate on 76.6 percent of all bonded interest-bearing debt. As expressed in percentage of total, these rates are, in order of importance: $4 \frac{1}{4}$ percent, 20.5 percent of total; $4 \frac{1}{2}$ percent, 20.5 percent of total; 4 percent, 17 percent of total; 3 $3 \frac{1}{2}$ percent, 11.4 percent of total; 5 percent, 7.2 percent of total.
average rates and nominal and effective rates of interest. - The Bureau's method of computing average rates of interest for each city in the issuance of bonded indebtedness for public-service enterprise purposes is the same as that explained in the discussion of debt and specified assets of general government. ${ }^{5}$ Reference should also be made to the explanation contained in that section of nominal and effective rates of interest, which is applicable to the material presented in table 48.6

COMPARISON WITH INIEREST RATES ON DEBT ISSUED FOR GENERAL GOVERNIENT. -The preceding figures present interesting contrasts with the interest rates carried on indebtedness for general government purposes. For the latter, a 4 percent interest rate was the predominant rate, accounting for 23 percent of total funded debt outstanding, followed by $4 \frac{1}{2}$ percent rate, which accounted for 17.9 percent of the total. A relatively large proportion of public-service enterprise debt was outstanding at a $3 \frac{1}{2}$ percent interest rate than in the case of general government debt, however, the figures being 11.4 percent and 6.3 percent, respectively, of the total interest-bearing bonded debt. A striking similarity in the level of interest rates is suggested by the fact that 40.6 percent of bonded indebtedness for general government purposes was issued at interest rates ranging from 2 to 4 percent, inclusive, which is virtually the identical propor-tion-39.6 percent-of total bonded indebtedness issued for public-service enterprises within this interest range. The average rate for all issues, 4.1 percent, was the same in each case.

Since the greater part ( 92.7 percent) of bonded indebtedness issued for purposes of public-service enterprises is in the nature of general obligation bonds of the city corporations, there is, of course, no reason why the general level of interest rates in each case should not be approximately the same. Revenue bonds, which are somewhat "unseasoned" marketwise, will naturally introduce some fluctuations from the general level.

## TABLE 49

Data relating to the issue and retirement of debt for public-service enterprise purposes are presented in table 49.

DEBT ISSUES AND RETIREAENS. -A total of 44 cities reported issues of new indebtedness for this purpose, and 83 reported retirements. General obligation bonds issued were $\$ 63,746,146$ in excess of similar bonds retired, largely because of a substantial new issue by New York for the funding of certain shortterm loans. New revenue bond issues exceeded the amount of such obligations retired by $\$ 53,457,404$, mostly accounted for by the new $\$ 50,000,000$ issue brought out by Los Angeles in connection with its electric light and power system. New York City alone effected a reduction of $\$ 52,001,306$ in short-term debt. Cincinnati incurred $\$ 1,500,000$ short-term debt, with no retirement. The transactions relating to the issue and retirement of debt, as reported in table 49 , are based upon the par value of the obligations. They do not reflect, therefore, either premiums or discounts.

NET CHANGE IN DEbT DURING THE YEAR.-These financing operations resulted in a net increase of $\$ 1117,203,550$ in bonded indebtedness, but there was, on the other hand, a net decrease of $\$ 50,476,719$ in short-term indebtedness.

5/ See section C of part II, p. 204, supra.
E/ P. 218 , supra.

TABLE 48.-BONDED DEBT AT CLOSE OF YEAR, BY RATE OF INTEREST: 193
Includes data for enterprises owned but not operated by city)

group i. -Cities having a population or 500,000 or over

| 1 | New York, N. Y | \$1,337,410,312 | ---------- | \$5,850,000 | \$335,000 | ---------- | \$138,980,396 | \$68,817,306 | \$225,801,964 | \$16,832,076 | \$210,081,130 | \$441,963,730 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Chicago, Ill.- | 18,010,000 |  |  |  |  | 1,900,000 |  |  |  | 1,350,000 |  |
|  | Philadelphia, Detroit, Mich. | 200,696,081 |  |  |  | \$819,-000 | 950,000 | 6,944,000 | 4,051,000 | 2,237,000 | 26,424,000 | 20,396,200 |
| 5 | Los Angeles, Calif | 198,825,203 | ---------- |  |  | 1,605,000 | 1,650,000 | 6,04,000 | 52,290,000 | 5,176,250 | 33,226,900 | 9,177,350 |
| 6 | Cleveland, ohio- | 27,035,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,506,000 | 2,531,000 |
| 7 | St. Louls, Mo | 8,253,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3,284,000 |
| 8 | Baltimore, Ma. | 64,093,995 | ----------- |  |  |  | 772,000 |  | 9,137,470 |  | 38,595,000 |  |
| 9 | Boston, Mass.- | ${ }_{5}^{58,058,700}$ |  | 18,000 | 274,000 | 115,000 340,600 | 852,000 | 561,000 | $\begin{aligned} & 7,67,850 \\ & 758,700 \end{aligned}$ | 4,533,000 | $31,807,850$ 832,225 | $9,703,000$ $5,469,840$ |
| 11 | San Francisco, Calif | 113,944,600 |  |  |  | 324,000 | 194,000 |  |  |  | 12,114,000 |  |
| 12 | Washington, D. C. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | M11 waukee, Wis. Buffalo, | $5,078,500$ $17,899,213$ |  |  |  |  | ---------------- | 722,000 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 3,024,000 \\ & 8,541,729 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 350,000 \\ 3,550,700 \end{array}$ |

group II.-CItide having a population of 300,000 to 500,000

| 15 | Minneapolis, | \$4,291,000 | \$45,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$9,000 | \$2,401,000 | \$400,000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 | New Orleans, La | 12,943,300 |  |  | \$30,000 |  |  | \$420,000 | \$80,000 |  | 6,848,000 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 17 \\ & 18 \end{aligned}$ | Cincinnati, Onio | $31,036,139$ $45,696,000$ |  | \$13,731,000 | 179,239 | \$10,000 | \$1,975,000 |  | $5,139,000$ $2,440,000$ |  | $3,708,100$ $6,783,000$ | 638,000 ,321,000 |
| 19 | Kansas City, | 15,106,000 |  |  | 100,000 |  |  |  |  |  | 1,676,000 |  |
| 20 | Seattle, Was | 60,318,490 | 2,326,000 |  |  |  |  |  | 5,511,000 | 2,489,000 | 7,981,691 | 1,956,712 |
| 21 | Indianapolis, Ind | 8,780,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ----------- |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 22 \\ & 23 \end{aligned}$ | Rochester, N. N. Y. Jersey City, | $13,580,000$ $14,499,254$ | 80,000 | 931,000 | 625,000 |  |  |  |  |  | 2,856,000 | $6,164,000$ $2,413,000$ |
| 24 | Houston, Tex.- | 11,399,600 |  |  |  |  | 475,000 |  | --.--------- |  |  |  |
| 25 | Louistille, | 1,769,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,079,000 |  |
| 26 | Portland, or | 25,612,339 |  |  |  | 250,29 |  |  |  |  | 15,633,000 | 331,866 |

Group iti.-ctitirs having a population of 100,000 to 300,000

| 27 | Columbus, ohic | \$6,793,500 |  |  | ---------- | ---------- |  |  | \$49,000 |  | \$2,046,000 | \$435,000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 28 | Toledo, Ohio- | 799,000 |  |  |  |  | \$150,000 |  |  |  | 22,039,000 | -.-.-.-- |
| 29 30 | Oakland, Calif Denver, Colo. | $41,861,358$ $25,416,600$ |  |  | \$316,000 | \$200,000 | 2,700,000 | \$2,700,000 |  |  |  | 12,367,000 |
| 31 | Atlanta, Ga. | 2,360,000 |  |  |  | 583,000 |  |  |  |  | 1,000 |  |
| 32 | Dallas, Tex.-- | $8,444,500$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 290,000 | 1,860,000 |
| 33 <br> 34 | St. Paul, Minn. Birmingham, Ala | $7,452,000$ $3,015,000$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,148,000 $3,015,000$ | 2,964,000 |
| 35 | Akron, Ohio- | 10,466,392 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3,049,000 | 788,172 |
| 36 | Memphis, Te | 9,367,774 |  |  |  | 224,000 |  | 117,000 |  | \$3,000,000 | 919,000 |  |
| 38 | Providen | 19,190,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16,190,000 | 2,000,000 |
| 39 | Omaha, Nebr. | 6,167,000 |  |  |  |  | 7,000 |  |  |  | 100,000 | 50,000 |
| 40 | Syracuse, N. | 5,579,030 | \$7,079 |  |  |  | 680,000 |  | 589,000 | 390,874 | 1,501,927 | 292,093 |
|  | Dayton, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 42 43 | Oklahoma City, okla | 5,047,600 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 352,000 |  |
| 44 | Richmond, Va. ${ }^{\text {We}}$ | 7,478,363 |  |  | 113 | ------------- | 85,000 300,00 | --------------- | 160,000 | -------------- | 1,652,250 | 2,058,000 |
| 45 | Youngstown, Ohi | 805,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1, 35,000 | 80,000 |
| 46 | Grand Raplds, Mich | 2,855,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 125,000 | 1,375,000 |
| 47 | Fort Worth, Tex | 5,443,140 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 334,000 | 90,000 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 48 \\ & 49 \end{aligned}$ | Hartford, Conn. | $7,714,802$ $2,062,500$ |  | \$1,439,440 |  | 1,894,000 |  |  | 852,300 |  | $2,862,580$ 225,000 | 528,220 |
| 50 | New Haven, Conn. | 405,000 |  |  | ----------- | ----------- | ------------- |  |  |  |  | 182,000 |
| 51 | San Diego, Calif | 13,078,109 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 184,000 | 503,750 |
| 52 | Long Beach, Calif | 11,534,785 | ---.------ |  |  | ----------- | ---- |  |  |  | 2,165,000 | 1,919,000 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 53 \\ & 54 \end{aligned}$ | Nashville, Tenn. | 3,572,000 $6,384,000$ |  |  |  | ------------ | 94,000 |  | $\begin{array}{r} 260,000 \\ 68,000 \end{array}$ | 1,-761,000 | 98,000 $3,369,000$ | 1,241,000 |
| 55 | Tulsa, 0kla. | 4,597,000 |  | -------- | ----------- |  |  | ------------ |  |  |  |  |
| 56 | Bridgeport, Conn |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ------- |
| 57 | Des \%oin | 4,855,202 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 276,250 | 200,000 |
| 58 | Scranton, Pa. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | -- |
| 59 | Salt Lake City, U | 4,451,500 |  |  |  | -----.----- |  |  |  |  | 2,865,000 | 525,000 |
| $60$ | Yonkers, N. Y.--- | 5,181,800 |  |  |  |  | 180,000 | 540,000 | 180,000 |  | 350,000 | 1,010,500 |
| 62 | Paterson, N. J. | $13,934,000$ $5,557,500$ |  |  |  | 185,000 | 210,000 | 90,000 |  |  | 345,000 | ,-22, 000 |
| 63 | Albany, N. | 19,905,951 | 757,384 | 262,038 | 833,500 | 238,000 |  |  | 255,000 | 837,885 | 2,524,770 | 5,388,808 |
|  | Norfolk, Va. | 15,354,191 |  |  | ---------- |  |  |  |  | ------------ |  | ------------ |
| ${ }_{6}^{65}$ | Trenton, N. J. | 1,367,000 |  | ------------ |  |  |  |  | 340,000 |  | 333,000 30,000 | ------------ |
| 67 | Kansas City, Kans | 5,703,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 195,000 |
| 68 | Fort Wayne, In | 1,936,000 |  |  |  |  | ------------- |  | ------------ |  | 10,000 | 1,872,000 |
| $69$ | Camden, N. J. | 1,346,500 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 217,500 | 348,000 |

TABLE 48.-BONDED DEBT AT CLOSE OF YEAR, BY RATE OF INTEREST: 1937—Continued

| caty | CITY | Total | $\stackrel{2}{\text { percent }}$ | $\underset{\substack{2 \frac{1}{4} \\ \text { percent }}}{ }$ |  | $\underset{\text { percent }}{\stackrel{24}{2}}$ | $\stackrel{3}{\text { percent }}$ | $\underset{\text { percent }}{\substack{\frac{1}{1} \\ \text { per }}}$ | $\underset{\text { percent }}{\substack{3 \frac{1}{2} \\ \hline}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \frac{33}{3} \\ \text { percent } \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{4}{\text { percent }}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \frac{1}{4} \\ \text { percent } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

group ili.-CIties having a population of 100,000 то 300,000 -Continued

| 70 | Erie, Pa | \$1,355,623 | - | ----------- | ----------- |  |  | ----------- | ------------- | ----------- |  | \$471,130 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 71 | Elizabeth, N. J. | 4,635,500 |  |  |  |  | \$4,500 |  |  |  | \$56,000 |  |
| 72 | W1chita, Kans.-- Spokane, Wash.- | 205,000 609,000 | \$---716,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 2,000 \\ 193,000 \end{array}$ |
| 74 | Fall River, Mass | 160,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 134,000 |  |
| 75 | Cambridge, Mass.-- | 806,000 252,000 |  |  |  |  | -- | \$98,000 | $\$ 472,500$ 28,000 |  |  |  |
| 76 77 | New Bedford, Mass. Reading, Pa...-- | 1,252,000 2,661,400 | 49,000 |  | \$59,000 |  |  | --- | 28,000 | --------------- | r 735,000 | 85,000 177,000 |
| 78 | Knoxvilie, Tenn. | 4,600,036 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 290,000 |  |
| 79 | Pooria, Ill.- | 260,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ---------- |  |
| 81 | South Bend, | 9,787,495 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,624,000 | 460,000 |
| 82 | Miami, Fla. | 7,965,417 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,622,000 |  |
| 83 | Gary, Ind. |  | ----------- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | --..---- | ------------- |
| 84 85 | Canton, Ohio-- | 757,000 6,975,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | --------- | 800,000 |
| 86 | Tampa, Fla.- | 2.965,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 87 | Samerville, Wiass | 108,000 |  | \$82,000 | -..-------- |  | ------------ | ------------ | 26,000 | ----------- | --------- | -------------- |
| 88 |  | $\begin{array}{r}\text { 1,644,000 } \\ \hline 956,000\end{array}$ |  |  | 200,000 |  |  | --.-.-.------- |  | -------------- | 664,000 | -- |
| 90 | Lynn, Mass. | 415,000 | 21,000 |  | 25,000 |  |  | 14,000 | 13,000 | \$15,000 | 189,000 | 36,000 |
| 91 | Utica, N. Y.-- | 262,121 | ---------- |  |  |  |  |  | 10,500 |  | 22,750 | 66,500 |
| 92 93 | Duluth, Minn.-.- | 1,995,000 |  |  |  | \$532,000 130,000 | 50,000 |  |  |  | 1,055,000 935,000 | 3,-167,000 |
| 94 | Lowell, Mass. | 375,500 |  |  | 67,000 |  |  |  |  | 91,000 | 211,500 | 6,000 |
|  | Honolulu, Hawail 1/ | 8,590,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 350,000 | - |

1/ Not included in group or grand totals.

TABLE 48.-BONDED DEBT AT CLOSE OF YEAR, BY RATE OF INTEREST: 1937-Continued

| $=\begin{aligned} & \text { city } \\ & \mathrm{No} \text { on } \end{aligned}$ | CITY | $\stackrel{4 \frac{1}{2}}{\text { percent }}$ | $\underset{\substack{\frac{3}{4} \\ \text { percent }}}{ }$ | $\stackrel{5}{\text { percent }}$ | ${\underset{y}{\frac{2}{4}}}_{\text {percent }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{5 \frac{1}{2}}{\text { percent }} \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\text { percent }}{5_{4}^{\frac{3}{4}}}$ | $\stackrel{6}{\text { percent }}$ | Other reported rates | Rates not reported | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Noninterest } \\ \text { bearing } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { Average } \\ \text { rate } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Grand | \$569,639,151 | \$53,555,188 | \$200,028,272 | \$10,136,000 | \$34,669,940 | \$5,472,000 | \$21,213,444 | \$208, 988,064 | \$941,317 | \$3,134,554 | 4.1 |
|  | Group I-- | 411,587,627 | 29,284,689 | 65,886,845 | 4,056,000 | 16,243,000 | 5,413,000 | 4,128,293 | 202,391,432 | 251,317 | 2,791,280 | 4.1 |
|  | Group II-- | $72,623,169$ $85,428,355$ | $5,126,000$ $19,144,499$ | $41,788,193$ $92,353,234$ |  | $7,308,000$ $11,118,940$ | 59,000 | 5,899,000 $11,186,151$ | $1,166,862$ $5,429,770$ | 690,000 | 343,274 | 4.3 4.5 |

group i.-CITtes having a population of 500,000 or over

| 15 | Linneapolis, minn. | (454,000 | §452,000 | \$30, 000 | ------------ | ------------ | ----------- | ------------ | \$500,000 | ------ | ----------- | 3.9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 | New Orleans, La.- | 3,565,300 | ------- | 2,000,000 |  |  |  |  | (100,00 |  |  | 4.3 |
| 17 | Cincinnati, Ohio | 1,303,700 | 75,000 | 3,600,100 |  |  |  | \$400,000 | 282,000 |  |  | 3.2 |
| 18 19 |  | $18,196,000$ $12,705,000$ | 215,000 | 135,000 625,000 | §940,000 | \$2,988,000 |  | 2,250,000 |  |  |  | 4.4 |
| 20 | Seattle, Wasi. |  | 2,850,000 | 27,229,139 |  | 1,013,000 |  | 2,31.,500 | 377, 362 |  |  | 4.5 |
| 21 | Indianapolis, Ind | 8,749,000 |  | 31,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4.5 |
| 22 23 | Rochester, N, Y. ${ }_{\text {Jersey }}$ | 2,486,000 | 1.321,000 | 438,000 | ------------ |  | ------------ | ---------------- | -------------- |  |  | 4.0 4.7 |
| 23 24 | Jersey City, N. J. Houston, Tex.--- | $7,368,254$ $3,285,200$ | $1,321,000$ 213,000 | 90,000 $6,450,400$ |  | 3,307,000 |  | 937,500 | 7,500 |  |  | 4.7 |
| 25 | Louisville, Ky. |  |  |  |  | ------------ |  |  |  | \$690,000 | -------- | 4.0 |
| 26 | Portland, Oreg. | 3,237,629 |  | 1,159,554 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4.2 |

[^18]| \$14,-792,-000 | ----- | --- | -- |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1,484,000 | \$3,300,000 | \$9,707,000 |  |
| $19,466,500$ $3,133,000$ | 756,000 | $2,217,000$ $4,319,000$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{r} 14,588,245 \\ 221,000 \end{array}$ |  | ---------------- |  |
| 10,834,600 |  |  |  |
| 742,500 |  |  |  |
| 825,000 |  |  |  |


| ----------- |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| \$20,000 | \$1,090,000 |
| 1,068,000 | 2,941,293 |
|  |  |
| ----------- |  |
| 4,325,000 |  |
|  | 75,000 |
|  |  |


|  |  |  | 3.9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \$168,000 |  | 4.1 |
| 1/2200,696,081 |  | \$2,704,000 | 4.2 4.3 |
| 5,067 |  | -86,000 | 4.2 |
|  |  |  | 4.7 4.4 |
|  |  | 1,280 | 4.2 |
|  |  | ----------- | 3.9 |
|  | --------- |  | 4.5 |
|  |  |  |  |
| 1,690,284 |  |  | 4.1 |


| city No. | CITY | $\underset{\substack{4 \frac{1}{2} \\ \text { percent }}}{ }$ | $\underset{\text { percent }}{\substack{\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}}}$ | $\stackrel{5}{\text { percent }}$ | $\underset{\substack{5 \frac{1}{4} \\ \text { percent }}}{ }$ | $\underset{\text { percent }}{\stackrel{5 \frac{3}{2}}{2}}$ | $\underset{\text { percent }}{\frac{53}{4}}$ | $\stackrel{6}{\text { percent }}$ | Other reported rates | Rates not reported | $\underset{\substack{\text { Noninterest } \\ \text { bearing }}}{ }$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Average } \\ \text { rate } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

group ifi.-cities having a population of 100,000 to 300,000

| 27 | Columbus, Ohio | \$2,293,500 | \$19,000 | 81,613,000 | \$22,000 | \$281,000 |  |  |  |  | \$35,000 | 4.5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 28 | Toledo, ohio- | 315,000 |  | 95,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4.1 |
| 29 | Oakland, Calif | 5,246,390 | 1,592,000 | 34,406,968 |  | --------------- |  |  | \%100,000 |  | --------------- | 4.9 |
| 31 | Denver, Colo. Atlanta, Ga. | 7,649,600 |  | 1,338,000 |  | 19,000 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.1 |
| 32 | Dallas, Tex. | 5,567,000 |  | 550,500 |  |  | \$59,000 | \$118,000 |  |  |  | 4.5 |
| 33 <br> 34 | St. Paul, Minn | 2,408,000 | 82,000 |  |  | 350,000 |  |  | 500,000 |  |  | 4.2 4.0 |
| 35 | Akron, Ohio | 2,349,000 | 654,000 | 1,800,100 | 1,773,000 |  |  | 53,120 |  |  |  | 4.5 |
| 36 | Memphis, Tenn. | 1,256,000 | 1,578,000 | 1,404,000 | 368,000 | 67,000 |  | 134,000 |  |  | 300,774 | 4.3 |
| 37 | Providence, R. | 1,000,000 | ------------ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4.1 |
| 38 39 | San Antonio, Te | 4,638,600 |  | 1,782,000 |  | 6,159,000 |  |  |  |  |  | 5.5 |
| 40 | Syracuse, N . |  | 19,198 |  | 1,955,000 |  |  |  | 143,859 |  |  | 4.2 |
| 41 | Dayton, Oh1o | 1,922,000 |  | 506,000 |  | 2/ 1,154,647 |  | 366,000 |  |  |  | 4.7 |
| 42 | oklahoma City, Okla | 1,909,600 |  | 2,786,000 |  | ----- |  |  |  |  |  | 4.7 |
| 43 <br> 44 | Worcester, Mas Richmond, Va. | 3,108,000 | --------------- | 330,000 |  |  |  | 30,-000 | 473,000 |  | 5,000 | 3.4 4.3 |
| 45 | Youngstown, ohi |  |  | 30,000 |  |  |  | 660,000 |  |  |  | 5.7 |
| 46 | Grand Rapids, Hic | 650,000 |  | 555,000 |  |  |  | 150,000 |  |  |  | 4.5 |
| 47 | Fort Wortr, Tex | 1,462,000 | 693,000 | 220,000 | ------------ | ------------ |  | ------------ | 2,574,140 | ---------- |  | 4.6 |
| 50 | New Haven, Conn | 223,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4.4 |
| 51 | San Diego, Cali | 4,482,784 | 587,500 | 7,320,075 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4.8 |
| 52 | Long Beach, Celif | 1,947,125 | 1,095,000 | 4,406,660 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2,000 |  |
| 53 <br> 54 | Nashville, Tenn. | 369,000 | 905,000 | 699,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4.5 |
| 55 |  | 208,000 | 389,500 | 2,503,500 |  | 1,345,000 |  | 61,000 |  |  |  | 5.1 |
| 56 | Bridereport, Conn. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 57 | Des Moines, Iow | 859,000 | 100,000 | 3,084,952 |  |  |  | 335,000 | -------------- |  |  | 4.9 |
| 58 | Scranton, Pa.--- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 59 60 | Yonkers, N. Y. - - - - - - | 1,217,500 | 35,00 | $1,155,000$ |  | 78,800 |  | 840,000 | 230,000 |  |  | 4.3 |
| 61 | terson, N. J. | 3,465,000 |  |  |  |  |  | 1,908,000 |  |  |  | 4.5 |


$\frac{2}{3} /$ The rate reported for $\$ 754,647$ of this amount was an estimated average.
/ Not included in group or grand totals.

TABLE 49.-ISSUE AND RETIREMENT OF DEBT: 1937
(Includes data for enterprises owned but not operated by city. See text discussion, p. 309)

| $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | CITY | ISSUED |  |  |  | RETIRED |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\stackrel{4}{3}$ |  | Total | General bonds | Revenue bonds | Short-term loan 8 | Total | General bonds | Revenue bonds | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Short-term } \\ & \text { loans } \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | -216,055,174 | \$114,208,009 | \$58,987,928 | \$42,859,237 | \$149,328,343 | \$50,461,863 | \$5,530,524 | \$93,335,956 |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 191,943,113 \\ 9,289,085 \\ 14,822,976 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 102,381,575 \\ 2,070,000 \\ 9,756,434 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 51,900,000 \\ 3,268,928 \\ 3,819,000 \end{array}$ | 37,661,538 | 119,339,519 | 27,657,476 | 2,094,000 | 89,588,043 |
|  |  |  |  |  | $3,950,157$ $1,247,542$ | $13,527,479$ $16,461,345$ | $9,079,033$ $13,725,354$ | 2,265,400 | 2,183,046 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1,24, 542 | 16,461,345 | 13,725,354 | 1,17,124 | 1,564,867 |

group I.-CIties having a population of 500,000 and over

| 1 | New York, N. | 8127,071,306 | \$89,536,306 | -0 | \$37,535,000 | -93,979,816 | \$4,443,510 | ----------- | \$89,536,306 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | Chi cago, I11, | 1,908,064 |  | \$1,900,000 | 8,064 | 1,361,937 | 20,000 | 81,323,000 | 18,937 |
| 3 | Ph12adelphia, F Detroit, Mich. | $1,841,500$ $4,176,275$ | $1,841,500$ $4,176,275$ |  |  | 2,700,000 $5,932,246$ | $2,700,000$ $5,932,246$ |  |  |
| 5 | Los Angeles, Cal | 52,221,494 | 2,221,494 | 50,000,000 | ------------ | 5,273,725 | 5,273,725 | ------------- |  |
| ${ }_{7}^{6}$ | Cleveland, Oh10- St. Louls, Mo.- |  |  |  |  | $1,599,600$ 678,000 | $1,599,600$ 100,000 | 578,-000 | ------------ |
|  | Baltimore, Ma | 2,215,000 | 2,215,000 | ------------ | ------------ | 1,4/6,674 | 1,476,674 | ----------- | ------------ |
| - | Boston, Mass. | 715,000 | 715,000 |  |  | 1,495,000 | 1,495,000 | ----------- |  |
| 10 | Pittsburgh, Pa.- San Franclisco, | 1,676,000 | 1,676,000 |  |  | 746,565 $3,145,900$ | 746,565 $3,145,900$ |  |  |
| 12 | Washington, D. C. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | M11weukee, W1 | 58,474 | ------------- |  | 58,474 | 467,500 |  | 193,000 | 32,800 |
| 14 | Burfalo, N. | 60,000 | ------- |  | 60,000 | 482,556 | 482,556 |  |  |

group il.-CIties faving a population of 300,000 To 500,000

| 15 | Minneapolis, Minn | \$500,557 | \$500,000 | ------------ | \$557 | \$210,000 | 1210,000 | ---.-.- | ------------ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 | New Orleans, La.- | 540,138 | 500,000 |  | 40,138 | ${ }^{769,361}$ | 745,800 |  | \$23,561 |
| 17 | Cincinnati, Ohi | 1,526,000 | 26,000 |  | 1,500,090 | 3,232,000 | 3,232,000 | --.-------- |  |
| 18 | Newark, N. J. | 544,000 | 489,000 |  | 55,000 | 1,090,000 | 1,090,000 | ------------ |  |
| 19 20 | Kansas City, Seattle, Wash | 5,618,390 |  | \$3,268,928 | 2,-349, 462 | 76,000 $4,536,497$ | 76,000 183,012 | \$2, 202, 500 | 2,150, 485 |
| 21 | Indienapolis, I |  |  | ------------ | ------------ | 13,000 | 4,000 | ----------- | 3,000 |
| 22 | Rochester, N. Y. | 80,000 | 80,000 |  | -..--------- | 735,000 | 735,000 | ----------- | ,--- |
| 23 24 | Jersey City, N. J Houston, Tex.-. |  | 475,000 |  | , | 544,000 568,900 | 544,000 506,000 |  |  |
| 25 | Louistilie, Ky | 5,000 |  |  | 5,000 | --.--- | 506, | 62,900 | --------------- |
| 26 | Fortlend, Oreg |  |  |  |  | 1,752,721 | 1,752,721 |  |  |

GROUP III.-CITIES HAVING A POPULATITIN OF 100,000 to 300,000

| 27 | Columbus, Ohio- |  | ----------- | ------------ | ------------ | \$552,000 | \$552,000 | ----------- | ------- |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 28 | Toledo, Ohio-- | -------------- | ------------ | ------------- | --------------- | 84,000 | 84,000 | --.-.-.--- | --.-.-.-...- |
| 29 30 | Oakland, Calif Denver, Colo. |  |  |  |  | 856,072 203,000 | 856,072 203,000 | --------------- |  |
| 31 | Atlenta, G | \$583,000 | \$583,000 |  |  | 711,000 | 711,000 | -------------- | --------------- |
| 32 | Dallas, Tex. |  |  |  | ------------ | 631,000 | 629,000 | ----------- | \$2,000 |
| 33 <br> 34 | St. Paul, ${ }^{\text {minn. }}$. Birmingham, Ale. | 500,000 $2,000,000$ | 500,000 | 2,000,000 |  | 219,000 | 219,000 | -------------- |  |
| 35 | Akron, Ohio--- | 2,185,000 | 185,000 |  | - | 672,140 | 672,140 | - | -------- |
| 36 | Memphis, Tenn, | 3,500,000 | 3,000,000 |  | \$500,000 | 1,145,000 | 345,000 |  | 800,000 |
| 37 | Providence, R. I | 75 | ------------- | ------------ | 75 | ------- | ------- | -------.--- | ------------- |
| $\begin{array}{r}38 \\ 39 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | San Antonio, Omaha, Nebr.-- |  | ---------------- |  | -------.---.-- | 123,500 422,000 | 123,500 422,000 |  |  |
| 40 | Syracuse, N. | 64,033 | 64,033 |  |  | 225,868 | 225,868 |  |  |
| 41 | Deyton, Ohio | 300,000 | 300,000 |  |  | 657,631 | 657,631 |  |  |
| 42 | Oklahome City, Ok |  |  |  | ------------ | 324,200 | 324,200 |  | ------------ |
| 43 44 | Worcester, Mass. Richmond, Va. | 175,000 411,700 | 175,000 311,700 | -- | 100,000 | 333,700 311,700 | 333,700 | -------------- | 311,-700 |
| 45 | Youngstown, Ohi |  |  |  |  | 85,000 | 85,000 |  |  |
| 46 | Grend Rapldes, Mich. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 47 48 | Fort \%orth, Tex Hartford, Conn. | 1,894,000 | 1,894,000 | ---- | - | 170,900 296,797 | 150,900 296,797 | \$20,000 | ---.------------ |
| 49 | Flint, Mich. |  |  |  | - | 144,000 | 144,000 | - | ------------- |
| 50 | New Haven, Conn. |  |  |  | ------------ | 30,000 | 30,000 | ----------- |  |
| 51 | San Diego, Calle |  |  |  |  | 587,325 | 587,325 |  |  |
| 52 53 53 | Long Beach, Calif | 267,312 | ------------- | ------------ | 267,312 | 713,536 | 446,290 | --------------- | 267,246 |
| 53 <br> 54 | Nashville, Tenn. Springfield, Mass |  | ------------ |  | ---------------- | 137,000 282,000 | 137,000 282,000 | -- | -- |
| 55 | Tulsa, 0x1e. | -------------- | ------------- | --------.---- | ------------ | 469,000 | 469,000 | ----------- | ------------- |
| 56 57 | Bridgeport, Conn Des Moines, Iowa |  |  |  |  | 192,751 | 192,751 | -------.-------- |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 58 | Scranton, Pa. | 2,500 |  |  | 2,500 |  | ------------ |  | ------------ |
| 59 | Salt Lake City, Ut |  |  | ------------ |  | 125,500 | 125,500 | ----------- | ------------ |
| 60 | Yonkers, N. Y.-- Paterson, N. | 330,000 | 330, 000 | ------.------ | ---------------- | 272,950 344,000 | 272,950 | ----------- | ------------ |
| 62 | Jacksonville, Fl | 1,650,000 | 400,000 | 1,150,000 | 100,000 | 445,000 | 445,000 |  |  |
| 63 | Albeny, N. Y. | 1,013,800 | 838,000 |  | 175,800 | 895,279 | 582,355 | 137,124 | 175,800 |
| 64 | Norfolk, Va.-- | 2,064 | 2,064 | ------.----- |  | ------ | ------- | -----------* | ------------ |
| 65 66 | Trenton, N. J.-.- Chattanooga, | 78,000 100,000 | 100,000 | ---- | 78,000 | 41,500 | 41,500 | --------------- | - |
| 67 | Kanses City, Kans |  |  |  |  | 78,000 | 78,000 |  |  |
| 68 | Fort Wayne, Ind. | 15,000 | -------------- | ------------- | 15,000 | 131,000 | 131,000 | ------------ | - |
| 69 | Canden, |  |  |  |  | 46,475 | 46,475 | ---------- |  |

TABLE 49.-ISSUE AND RETIREMENT OF DEBT: 1937—Continued
(Includes data for enterprises owned but not operated by city. See text discussion, p. 309)

| $\stackrel{\circ}{8}$ | CITY | ISSUED |  |  |  | Retired |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| + |  | Total | General bonds | Revenue bonds | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Short-term } \\ & \text { loans } \end{aligned}$ | Total | General bonds | Revenue bonds | Short-term loans |

Group ili.-CITIES having a population of 100,000 to 300,000-Continued


1/ Not included in group or grand totals.

Assets in sinking funds, public trust funds, investment funds, and general funds of public-service enterprises are shown in table 50. Such assets totaled $\$ 1,066,762,973$ at the close of 1937.

ASSETS IN SINKING FUNDS.-Assets in sinking funds of public-service enterprises totaled $\$ 282,246,018$, of which amount approximately 87 percent was in the form of investments in city securities, 9.2 percent in cash, and 3.8 percent in other investments, mostly securities. As previously mentioned, the reported amount of sinking-fund assets for public-service enterprises should be quallfied by the statement that in several cases it was not possible to segregate assets of general government from those of public-service enterprises, in which case the assets were included under sinking funds of general government.

ASSETS IN PUBLIC TRUST FUNDS.-AS might be expected, assets in public trust funds are negligible, since such funds are normally associated with general government and are usually created for purposes which fall within the scope of general government functions. In only two cases, Detroit and Fort Wayne, were public trust funds created in connection with public-service enterprises. In the case of Detroit it is a retirement fund for the platform employees of the Department of Street Railways; in the case of Fort Wayne it is a retirement fund for the employees of the water and electric light and power systems. The fact that this particular trust fund in Detroit is kept entirely in cash is an unusual circumstance and is especially rare in connection with retirement funds.

ASSETS IN INVESTMENT FUNDS AND MISCELLANEDUS INVESTMENTS.-This group of assets, which total $\$ 707,231,417$, comprised approximately two-thirds of all specified assets held by public-service enterprises. Investments in real property amount to 98 percent of total investment funds held in this group, and comprise for the most part the investments in municipal public-service enterprises which are leased to others. It will be noted that investments in the New York transit system and in the Philadelphia gas works constitute more than 80 percent of investments in real property. Investments of this character are shown at their book value. The other items of investment under this group are of negligible relative importance and are self-explanatory.

ASSETS IN GENERAL FUNDS. - The last two columns in table 50 show the amount of cash held in general treasury and administrative funds at the close of 1937, and they require no special comment.

TABLE 50. - MOUNT OF SPECIFIED hSSETS AT CLOSE OF YEAR: 1937
(Includes data for enterprises owned but not operated by city)


GROUP I. -CITIfs having a fopulation of 500,000 and over

| 1 | New York, N. Y. | \$569,755,091 | ¢169,674,119 | \$3,377,132 | \$166,296,987 |  | \$391,025,906 | \$2,438,591 |  | \$387,734,171 | \$853,144 | \$9,055,066 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Chicago, Ill.-- | 3,872,639 | 168,000 | 168,000 |  |  | $\qquad$ |  |  |  |  | 3,704,639 | ---------- |
| 3 | Philadiphia, Pe | 4/19,124,914 | 16,560,636 | 160,665 | 16,399,971 |  | 173,462,321 67 |  |  | 173,462,321 | 67,093 | 2,335,747 | \$3,-768 |
| 5 | Los Angeles, Cal | 22,784,258 | 9,234,174 | 9,234,174 |  |  | 3,545,773 |  | \$1,703,843 | 90,480 | 1,751,450 | 9,203,139 | 801,172 |
| 6 | Cleveland, Ohio- | 3,157,401 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2,999,693 | 157,708 |
| 7 | St. Lou1s, Mo | 3,945,559 | 574,889 | 124,889 |  | \$450,000 |  |  |  |  |  | 3,370,670 |  |
| 8 | Baltimore, Md. | 14,557,355 | 5,999,298 | 14,295 | 5,985,003 |  | $8,558,057$ $59,769,138$ | 31,601 $1,091,756$ |  | 8,526,456 |  |  |  |
| 10 ${ }^{9}$ | Boston, Mass.-7. Pittsburgh, Pa, | $72,430,120$ 95,892 | $12,418,808$ 44,388 | 568,271 28,388 | $\begin{array}{r} 9,527,450 \\ 16,000 \end{array}$ | 2,323,087 | 59,769,138 | 1,091,756 |  | 58,573,633 | 103,749 | $\begin{array}{r} 242,174 \\ 51,504 \end{array}$ |  |
| 11 | San Franclisco, Calif. | 12,008,456 | 2,010,600 | 2,010,600 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9,997,856 |  |
| 12 | Wash1ngton, D. C. | 996,884 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 996,884 |  |
| 13 | M11 weukee, Wis. | 1,458,643 | 519,196 | 519,196 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 937,350 | 2,097 |
| 14 | Buffalo, N. Y. | 5,423,207 | 5,423,207 | 135,122 | 5,28 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

group in.-citiss having a population of 300,000 to 500,000

| 15 | Wunneapolis | \$797.278 | \$334,827 |  | \$288,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  | ¢462,451 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 | New Orieans, La. | 1,625,511 | 1,004,361 | , 0 646,361 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 621,150 617 |  |
| 17 | Cincinnat1, Ohio Newark, N. J. | $53,248,561$ 206,905 | 14,631,053 | 646,091 | 12,819,091 | \$1,165,871 | \$38,000,000 |  |  | \$38,000,000 |  | 617,508 206,905 |  |
| 19 | Kansas City, mo | 400,335 | 2,165 | 2,165 |  |  | 30,000 |  | 380,000 | ------..--- |  | 318,170 |  |
| 20 | Seattle, wash. | 3,023,255 | 382,185 | 223,673 | 158,512 |  | 1,766 | \$1,766 |  |  |  | 2,639,304 |  |
| 21 | Indianapolis, In | 1,669,863 | 162,654 | 162,654 875,599 |  |  | 324,447 | 156,447 25,522 |  |  | \$168,000 | 946,968 471,688 | \$235,794 |
| 22 | Rochester, N. Y. | 13,036,664 | 1,449,599 | 875,599 | 317,00 | 257,00 | 11,115,377 | 25,522 |  |  |  | 471,6 |  |


| 24 <br> 25 <br> 26 | Jersey City, N. J.-- <br> Houston, Tex. <br> Louisville, Ky. <br> Portland, Oreg. | $\begin{aligned} & 4,163,637 \\ & 5,314,233 \\ & 1,295,184 \\ & 8,126,541 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3,498,560 \\ & 1,492,504 \\ & 796,896 \\ & 6,164,677 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} 142,603 \\ 773,514 \\ 130,553 \\ 309,540 \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,355,957 \\ 694,400 \\ 93,000 \\ 5,581,899 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 24,140 \\ 573,343 \\ 213,238 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3,404,116 \\ \hdashline-291,921 \\ \hline, 291, \end{gathered}$ | 24,141 | 1,192,152 | 3,404,116 | ----------- | $\begin{aligned} & 665,077 \\ & 418,063 \\ & 498,288 \\ & 729,943 \end{aligned}$ | \|----------------- |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GRoup ili.-Cities having a population of 100,000 T0 300,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27 | Columbus, ohi | \$1,490,206 | ,487,798 | ,487,798 | ------------ | ---------- |  | ------- | --------- |  | ---------- | \$2,408 | --------- |
| 28 | Toledo, Ohio- | 2,283,208 | 101,335 | 101,335 |  |  | \$185,000 |  |  | \$185,000 |  | 1,996,873 |  |
| 29 30 | Oakland, Calif | 3,168,577 $1,257,281$ | 702,210 849,703 | 251,808 205,703 | $\$ 450,402$ 631,000 | \$13,000 | 559,024 | \$210,004 | \$349,020 |  | \$344 | $1,286,188$ 407,234 | \$621,155 |
| 31 | Atlanta, Ga | 394,612 | 200,394 | 155,394 | 45,000 |  |  | ---------- |  | ------------ |  | 193,193 | 1,025 |
| 32 | Dallas, Tex. | 623,411 | 438,411 | 227,911 | 210,500 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 185,000 |  |
| 34 | St. Paul, M1nn Birmingham, Al | $1,647,641$ 677,319 | $\begin{array}{r}\text { 1,357,098 } \\ 8,444 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}91,498 \\ 8,444 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 540,100 | 725,500 |  |  |  |  |  | 290,543 668,875 |  |
| 35 | Akron, Ohio | 388,490 | 26,982 | 26,982 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 361,508 |  |
| 36 | Memphis, Tenn | 2,902,413 | 341,460 | 154,460 | 187,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2,560,953 |  |
| 37 | Providence, | 6,766,520 | 6,013,229 | 51,822 | 5,961,407 |  | 727,496 | 153,661 | 573,835 |  |  | 25,795 |  |
| 38 | San Antonio, | 1,796,111 | 1,407,306 | 199,806 | 1,207,500 | ------------ | 275,294 | 81,294 | 194,000 | ----------- |  | 74,104 | 39,407 |
| 39 40 | Omaha, Nebr. | 4,861,174 | 4,149,775 |  | 2,033,000 | 2,116,775 | 509,875 |  | 76,500 |  | 433,375 | 201,524 |  |
| 41 | Dayton, Ohio- | 178,362 | --- | ----------- | ------------ | ----------- | ------------ | --------- | ---------- | ----------- | --------- | 175,670 | 2,692 |
| 42 | Oxlahoma city, |  | ---------------- | ------ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 44 | Richnond, Va.- |  |  |  |  |  |  | ---------- | --------- | ------------ |  |  | ------------ |
| 45 46 | Youngstown, $\mathrm{Ch} 10-\mathrm{l}$ Grand Rapidis, Mich | 106,596 $1,541,911$ | 1,231,925 | 229,317 | 964,000 | 38,608 | 102,421 |  |  |  | 102,421 | $\begin{aligned} & 106,596 \\ & 207,565 \end{aligned}$ | -- |
|  | Fort Wo |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 48 | Hartford, Conn. | 2,790,059 | 429,778 | 178,823 | 134,474 | 116,481 | 321,894 | --------- |  | 320,000 | 1,894 | 2,029,835 | 8,552 |
| 49 | Flint, kich. | 1,394,837 | 869,464 | 185,242 | 657,000 | 27,222 | 344,955 |  | 124,900 |  | 220,055 | 179,308 | 1,110 |
| 50 51 | New Haven, Conn. San Diego, Calif | 1,284,985 | 322,018 | 322,018 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 962,967 |  |
| 52 | Long Beach, Callf | 4,774,193 | 83,059 | 83,059 |  |  | 3,743,546 |  | 31,000 | 3,697,200 | 15,346 | 813,057 | 134,531 |
| 53 | Nashville, Tenn. | 181,110 | 163,998 | 163,998 | ----------- | ----------- | 614 | 614 |  |  |  | 16,498 |  |
| 54 55 | Springrield, Mass. Tulsa, okla. | 168,621 3,179 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 168,621 3,179 |  |
| 56 | Bridgeport, Conn. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 57 | Des Moines, Iowa | 751,003 | 751,003 | 284,494 | 466,509 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 58 | Scranton, Pa.--- | 1,767 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,767 |  |
| 59 | Salt Lake City, U | 57,480 |  |  |  |  | 57,480 |  |  |  | 57,480 |  |  |
| 60 | Yonkers, N. Y. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 62 | Jacksonville, Fle | 1,369,791 | 72,625 | 72,625 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,297,166 | , 67 |
| 63 | Albany, N . Y. | 774,250 | 17,166 | 17,166 |  |  | 665,000 |  |  |  |  | 91,996 | 88 |
| 64 | Norfolk, Va. | 9,674,941 | 3,649,599 | 78,204 | 3,130,395 | 441,000 | 6,025,342 |  |  | 6,025,342 |  |  |  |
| 65 | Trenton, N. J. | ,005,893 | 550,662 |  | 550,662 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 202,220 60,361 | 253,011 |
| 67 | Kansas C1ty, Kans. | 7,708,383 | 3,256,182 | 72,959 | 951,223 | 2,232,000 | 200,273 | 40,193 | 160,080 |  |  | 4,079,567 | 172,361 |

See footnotes at end of table.

TABLE 50.-AMOUNT OF SPECIFIED ASSETS AT CLOSE OF YEAR: 1937-Continued
(Includes data for enterprises owned but not operated by city)

|  | CITY | Aggregate | ASSETS IN SINKING FUNDS |  |  |  | ASSETS IN INVESTMENT FUNDS, AND MISCELLANEOUS INVESTMENTS |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CASH IN GENERAL TREA- } \\ & \text { SURY AND GENERAL AD- } \\ & \text { MINISTRATIVE FUNDS } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Total | Cash | $\begin{gathered} \text { City securi- } \\ \text { ties (par } \\ \text { value) } \end{gathered}$ | Other investments | Total | Cash | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { City secu- } \\ \text { rities } \\ \text { (par } \\ \text { value) } \end{gathered}\right.$ | Real prop- erty | Other in- vestments | Exclusive of amounts held in private trust accounts | Amounts held in private trust accounts |
| group Iil.-CIties having a population of 100,000 To 300,000-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 68 | Fort Wayne, Ind. | 3/\$331,357 | \$579 |  | \$579 | ----------- | \$260,521 | \$130,788 | \$129,733 |  | --------- | \$1,768 | \$59,648 |
| 69 | Camden, N. J.--- | 226,727 | 188,727 | \$127,227 | 61,500 | ----------- | 38,000 |  |  | \$38,000 | --------- |  | --------- |
| 70 | Erie, Pa,------------ | 436,671 763,921 | 95,-000 | 95,000 | --------------- |  | 34,376 577,768 | ----------- | 452,000 |  | $\$ 34,376$ <br> 125,768 | 402,295 91,153 | ------------ |
| 72 | Wichita, Kans.---- | 2,535 763 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ------ | 2,535 | -- |
| 73 74 | Spokane, Wash,------- Fall River, Mass.-- | 763,493 | 227,078 | 131,578 | 40,000 | \$55,500 | --------- |  |  | - | ---------- | 535,966 | 449 |
| 75 | Cambridge, Mass. | 169,296 | 160,420 | 15,131 | 23,500 | 121,789 | -.-.---- |  |  |  | - | 8,599 | 277 |
| 76 | New Bedford, Mess.--- | 57,475 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 57,475 |  |
| 77 | Reading, Pa,-------- | 374,642 371242 | 43,063 | 663 | ----------------- | 42,400 | -------- | - | ------------ | -------------- | ------------- | 331,579 371,242 | ------------ |
| 78 | Knoxville, Tenn.--- Peoria, Ill | 371,242 62,437 |  |  | --------------- |  | 20,-975 | -- | 20,975 | -------------- | ---------- | 371,242 41,462 | ----------- |
| 80 | South Bend, Ind.----- | 327,180 | 189,754 | 189,754 | ------------ | ----------- |  | - |  | ----------- | --------- | 5/ 159,791 | 297,217 |
| 81 82 | Tacoma, Wash.----- Miami, | $1,581,314$ $1,142,441$ | 143,478 | 143,478 |  | --------------- | 350,103 $1,016,201$ | 7,103 | 343,000 | 1,016,201 | ------------ | $1,087,733$ 126,240 | ----------- |
| 83 | Gary, Ind.----- |  | ------------ | - | - | ----------- |  | ---------- | --------- | 1,016,201 | ----------- |  | ----------- |
| 84 85 | Canton, Ohio-------- W11mington, | 57,314 45,343 | 34,902 | -----60 | 30,300 | 3,000 |  | --------- | --------- |  |  | 57,314 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Tampe, Fle.---- | 153,598 | 63,006 | 63,006 | ------------ | ----------- | 17,000 | --------- | 17,000 | ----------- |  | 48,408 | 25,184 |
| 88 | E1 Paso, Tex.--- | 578,818 | 89,061 | 14,061 | 75,000 | ----------- | 191,000 | --------- | 106,000 |  | 85,000 | - $\begin{array}{r}27,596 \\ 270,025\end{array}$ | 28,732 |
| 89 | Evansville, Ind. | 498,923 | 42,980 | 42,980 | -------- | ----------- | -- | --------- | --- | ----------- |  | 370,772 | 85,171 |
| 90 | Lynn, Mass..---- | 21,341 |  |  |  |  | 361,000 |  | --------- |  |  | 21,341 |  |
| 92 | Utice, N. Y. Duluth, Minn.----- | 361,000 276,062 |  |  |  |  | 361,000 |  |  | 361,000 |  | 235,668 | 40,394 |
| 93 | Waterbury, Conn.--- | 5/ 1,452 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5/ 1,452 |  |
| 94 | Lowel1, Moss,------ | - 32,809 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - 32,809 |  |
|  | Honolulu, Heweil $6 /-$ | 943,508 | 943,508 | 55,908 | 887,600 | ----------- | ------------ | --------- | --------- | ----------- | --------- | ------- | --------- |

# DEFINITIONS OF TERMS 

REVENUES
(See also figure 1, pages 18-21)

ACCOUNP. An account is a statement of debit and credit entries within a fund and relates to specified sources of receipts and purposes of expenditures.
BUSINESS TAX. A business tax is a tax on business activity exacted in proportion to the volume of business and computed through a levy which measures activity by earnings, premiums, etc., such as a tax on insurance premiums, on income and earnings of banks and other corporations, and a tax on public utilities based on their gross or net income, or on miles of track operated, or on passenger car or truck miles, number of miles of wire or miles of water mains, and also a license fee per car or bus.
CHARGES FOR CURRENT SERVICES. These charges are those made by general government departments in the form of fees, minor sales, and other charges. The fees and charges here included are those which represent the actual compensation for services performed, and are to be distinguished from receipts which secure, for the local governments, individuals, or corporations making the payments, the privilege or right of doing something.
COMMERCIAL FORFEIT. A commercial forfeit is an amount on deposit or an amount specified in a bond forfeited to the city by reason of some breach of condition, such as a failure to complete a contract.
CONIRIBUTIONS FROM PUBLIC-SERVICE ENTERPRISES. Contributions from public-service enterprises are of two kinds: An actual amount paid over from the revenue of one fund for the use and benefit of the other; and the excess revenues of a public-service enterprise remaining in the general fund.
DONATIONS AND CONIRIBUTIONS. Donations and contributions are bequests and gifts from private persons and corporations of cash, realty, securities, or other property, the principal or income of which may be expended for city uses, regardless of whether such gifts or bequests were paid directly to the city treasury or to the officials in charge of funds.
FINES. A fine is a pecuniary punishment imposed for the commission of an offense or for the neglect of official duty
FUND. A fund is a sum of money or other resources (gross or net) set aside for the purpose of carrying on specific activities or attaining certain objectives in accordance with special regulations, restrictions, or limitations. A fund is a distinct fiscal entity.
GENERAL PROPERTY TAX. The general property tax is a direct ad valorem tax upon real property, real and personal property, or real and tangible personal property.
GRANIS. A grant is on amount, other than a receipt from a shared tax (q.v.l, received by the city from another civil division-as the Federal Government, the State, or a county-to aid in the support of a specified function or for purposes in general.
INIEREST. Interest is the money received by the city for the loan of credit capital.
LICENSES AND PERMITS. Under this heading are classified fees exacted by the city for the purpose of regulation, although they may be imposed primarily for revenue purposes with regulation only an incidental aspect.
NONREVENUES. The term nonrevenues, in this report, is applied to all city receipts other than revenues ( $\underline{a} \cdot \underline{v}$. ).
PENAIITY. A penalty is the amount recovered by the municipaliu: for a violation of the statute law of the State or a municipal ordinance, which violatiun does not constitute a crime.
PENSION ASSESSMENT. A pension assessment is an amount contributed by employees of the city for the maintenance of a pension or other retirement fund.
PERMITT. See Licenses snd permits.
POLL TAX. A poll tax is a capitation tax, uniform or graded, whether such tax is levied as a specific amount asainst the person subject thereto or as an ad valorem tax based upon an arbitrary valuation of polls or occupations.
PROPERTY TAXES ON OTHER THAN ASSESSED VALUATION. These are direct taxes which are assessed, levied, and collected by methods that are not generally applied in the case of privately-owned real property. They include taxes upon the property of corporations levied upon the basis of the amount of corporate stock, corporate indebtedness, or of both corporate stock and indebtedness, or on any basis other then an assessed valuation applied to all property of the corporation; taxes upon savings banks and kindred corporations, which are levied in proportion to a certain specified portion of deposits, as their excess above the value of specified investments; and taxes upon life insurance corporations assessed upon the basis of the valuations of their policies; and all specific taxes upon property, as taxes upon land at a specified amount per acre, taxes upon horses, mules, and other aninals at a specified amount per head, taxes on vessels at a specified amount per registered ton, and taxes upon grain at a specified amount per bushel.

PROPORTIONATELY-SHARED STATE TAXES. See Shared taxes
RENIS. Rents are revenues received as compensation for the use of miscellaneous real estate, investment properties, and properties held by public trust funds and investment funds.
REVENUES. City revenues are the moneys and other wealth received by or placed to the credit of cities that increase their assets without increasing their liabilities or that decrease their liabilities without decreasing their assets.
ROYAIIIES. Royalties are amounts received for the privilege or rights created by a lease, such as the privilege of drilling for oil, gas, and other minerals, as well as the share of the product or of the profit.
SALES AND SERVICE TAXES. A sales tax is a locally imposed tax upon the sale, use, storage, or consumption of selected articles, such as cigarettes, tobacco, and gasoline, or upon general sales, consumption, use, storage, and, in some cases, services. A tax of this nature is levied in proportion to the sales volume or amount consumed, used, or stored. If the statute provides specifically that the tax is to be transferred to the consumer, this is added evidence that the tax was intended to be a consumption and not a business tax.
SHARED TAX. A shared tax is a tax levied by the State and shared with minor civil divisions of the State in proportion, or substantially in proportion, to the amount of such tax produced by the local unit. As used in this report the term refers only to the share of the tax received by the city. The shared tax should be distinguished from the grant (q.V.).
SPECLAL ASSESSMENSS $\overrightarrow{F O R}$ CAPITAL OUTLAYS. A special assessment is a compulsory contribution levied under the taxing or police power to defray the cost of a specific public improvement undertaken primarily in the interest of the public. It differs from general property taxes in that it is apportioned according to the assumed benefits to the property affected by the improvements. Included also in the definitior of a special assessment is the special charge, which is a charge against the beneficiaries of a specific public improvement based on the actual cost of the improvement.
TAX. A tax, as defined by Bastable, is a "compulsory contribution from the wealth of a person or body of persons for the service of the public powers."
UNCLAIMED MONEYS. Unclaimed moneys are amounts received by the municipality from moneys held in trust for private persons and unclaimed by the o:mers, such as funds to pay debt obligations that have been cancelled ofter having been long overdue without presentation of the claim, revenue from private trust funds or accounts avaiting the discovery of heirs or owners, money from the sale of unclaimed property, unclaimed salaries, and cancelled warrants of prior years.

## COST PAYMENTS

## (See also figure 2, pages 102-107)

CHARITIES. Charities consists of municipal institutional care of independent and neglected children and of adult dependents; other institutional and noninstitutional care, including public assistance and other welfare services, as regulation of foster or boarding homes, legal aid, and employment agencies; and all other charities, such as contributions by the city to some political division on account of floods or other disasters.
CONSERVATION OF HEAITH. Conservation of health consists of vital statistics, regulation and inspection, control of commicable diseases, child health services, adult health services, laboratories, health centers and clinics, and miscellaneous activities, such as general public health nursing not assignable to specific classifications and expenditures for public health information.
CONIRIBUNIONS TO PUBLIC-SERVICE ENIERPRISES. This is a new class of general gevernmental expenses, arising from reporting separately the transactions of general government and of public-service enterprises. Such a contribution may be either an actual amount paid over from the revenues of one fund for the use and benefit of the other, or the portion of costs of the public-service enterprise met from general revenues.
CORRECTION. Correction consists of municipal correctional institutions, delinquents in other institutions, probation and parole, and the net expenses of industrial activities of institutions.
COST PAYMENTS. Cost payments are all amounts recorded in the books of the cities as having been paid on account of operation and maintenance, interest, and capital outlay (q.v.). They include all costs of city governments, consisting of the costs of services employed; properties constructed, purchased, or rented; public improvements constructed or otherwise acquired; materials utilized; and interest on borrowed money. They are classified into twelve functional groups-such as highways, conservation of health, and charities ( $\underline{q} \cdot \underline{\nabla}$.).
EXPENDITURE. As used in this report, expenditure is synonymous with cost payment (q.v.). GENFRAL ADITNISTRATIVE, LEGISLATIVE, AND JUDICLAL. General administrative, legislative, and judicial consists of the legislative and judicial branches of local government, and, in addition, such auxiliary administrative agencies as those established to deal with finance, law, elections, end general administrative buildings. The longer expression supersedes and is synonymous with the term "general government" used in the Bureau's reports previously.
HIGHWAYS. Highways includes not only the activities relating to streets and other roadways but, in addition, structures and improvements necessary for the use of highways,
such as bridges, tunnels, viaducts, and grade separations. Waterways are also reported under this function, as well as services that are appurtenant to streets, such as street lighting and snow and ice removal, but the cleaning of streets is classified as sanitation.
HOSPITALS. Hospitals consists of general and special municipal hospitals; where public patients are cared for in hospitals not municipally-owned, the costs are also included in this function.
INTEREST. Interest is the designation for the interest costs incurred by cities for the use of credit capital.
LIBRARIES. Libraries consists of municipal libraries. Libraries connected with public schools for the use of teachers and pupils only are included under schools (q. v. .).
MISCELLANEOUS. Miscellaneous consists of judgments and losses, not allocable; pensions and gratuities on account of service; compensation for employee injury, not allocable; municipal service enterprises, not allocable; markets and warehouses; cemeteries and crematories; contributions to public-service enterprises; and certain unclassifiable items.
NONCOST PAYMENSS. The term noncost payments is applied in this report to all city payments other than cost payments (q. $\underline{v}$.).
OPERATION AND MAINIENANCE. Payments for operation and maintenance are the costs and losses of cities for which no permanent or subsequently convertible value is received or receivable. These costs are incurred on account of services employed, property rented, and materials utilized ir connection with the maintenance and operation of Eovernruent or the management of trusts; they are exclusive of costs arising from the construction or acquisition of permanent properties or improvements.
OUTLAYS. Outlays are the costs of land and other properties and public improvenents more or less permanent in character which are owned and used by cities in the exercise of their city functions.
PUBLIC SAFETY. Public safety consists of police, fire, protective regulation and inspection, flood control, and such miscellaneous activities as travelers' aid, game and fish wardens, and bounties on animals.
RECREATION. Recrection consists of cultural-scientific activities, as museums and art galleries; organized recreation; municipal parks; and special facilities for recreation, as auditoriums, stadiums, auto cemps, piers, and yacht harbors.
SANITATION AND WASTE REMOVAL. Sanitation and waste removal consists of the removal and disposal of street deposits, sewage, and waste; comfort stations; smoke regulations; and such miscelleneous services as the control of public nuisances, expenditures for public laundries, washhouses and baths (except those operated in parks incident to recreation), and the removal of dead animals.
SCHOOLS. Schools consists of all educational activities except public libraries.

## DEBT

(See figure 3, p. 203 .)
CONIINGENT GENERAL OBLIGATIONS. A contingent general obligation is an internal improvement bond payable from special assessments, but for which the full faith and credit of the city is pledged. While these bonds are presumably payable from special assessments, in case of insufficient collections of special assessments to meet bond and interest payments, the city can levy a general property tax to retire bonds and pay interest.
FLOATING DEBT. See Short-term loans.
GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS. $\frac{\text { A general }}{}$ obligation bond represents a debt for which the faith and credit of the city is pledged; it is evidenced by a formal instrument, has a fixed date of maturity, and is payable from any revenues of the city not obligated. These bonds are either long-term bonds or serial bonds (q.v.).
GROSS DEAF. Gross debt is the aggregate of all outstanding debt obligations.
LONG-TERM BONDS. See Term bonds.
NET DEBT. Net debt is the total of general obligation bonds and revenue bonds less sink-ing-fund assets accumulated for their amortization.
REFUNDED DEBT. Debt issued to retire debt already outstanding is called refunded debt.
REVENUE BONDS. A revenue bond is defined as an obligation payable exclusively from the revenues of a specified income-producing property or system for the acquisition, construction, or improvement of which the obligation was issued. Such bonds are titled "revenue bonds," and usually they are so recorded on the books of the city and on the face or in the text of the bond. It is expressly understood that the obligation is not a general debt of the municipality and that there is no recourse to any taxing power for payment.
SERLAL BONDS. Serial bonds are bonds which come due from year to year and are payable from current revenues.
SHORT-TERM LOANS OR OBLIGATIONS. Short-term loans or obliarations consist of bond anticipation notes, tax revenue anticipation notes, and other current temporary loans having only a short time to run, usually less than a year. Final judgments rendered against the city during the year but not paid are reported here, but short-term special assessment obligations are reported under special assessment obligetions ( $\mathrm{a} \cdot \mathrm{v}$.).
SPECLAL ASSESSMENT OBLIGATIONS. Special assessment debt is debt which is payable from assessments levied or assessed against the benefited property in proportion to the value of the benefit. These special assessment obligations are classified either as
long-term loans or as short-term loans (q.V.). They are classified under each of these subdivisions as contingent general obligations and those "payabla only from special assessments" (q.V.).
SPECLAL ASSESSMENT OBLIGATIONS PAYABLE ONLY FROM ASSESSMENTS. Special assessment obligations payable only from assessments are internal bonds payable only from assessments. In case of insufficient collections to pay bonds and interest the holder of the bonds cannot hold the city corporation liable, nor can the city corporation levy general property taxes to pay bonds or interest.
TERM BONDS. Term bonds, or long-term bonds, are bonds which ordinarily come due at one time and are retired from sinking funds (q.…).

## SPECIFIED ASSETS

GENERAL ADMINISTRATIVE FUNDS. General administrative funds are those funds, other than investment, trust, and sinking funds, that are independently administered by boards or commissions not under the authority of the city auditor or comptroller.
GENERAL TREASURY FUNDS. General treasury funds are the aggregate of the principal city funds, other than investment, trust, and sinking funds, over which the city auditor or comptroller exercises authority.
INVESTMENT FUNDS, AND MISCELLANEOUS INVESTMENTS. Under this heading are included funds, other than sinking and trust funds, and all interest-bearing securities and investments, other than those of the funds mentioned, including real property used for purposes other than those of the city. Although the term "investment fund" is seldom employed by State officials, it seems to be an appropriate designation for funds of the class here described. The value of real property incidentally acquired and yielding little or no income is included as a miscellaneous investment. In some instances, the assets in investment funds consist of bonds or stocks acquired by the city in consideration of financial aid or grants to railroads or other public-service corporations; in a few instances they consist of real property temporarily held for securing rents or for the profits that may result from an increase in value; in other cases they consist of bonds or mortgages received in exchenge for real property and held as investments awaiting maturity or a favorable market. In most cities reporting investment funds or investments, the invested assets are comparatively small, and in some instances they are held only temporarily while awaiting a favorable opportunity for the city to oispose of them, when the proceeds are to be turned over to the general treasury. Funds provided for the purchase, construction, or equipment of buildings or the permenent properties of the city, which are invested during the period of accumulation, are also treated as investment funds.
PUBLIC TRUST FUNDS. Public trust funds are established by cities for the purpose of conserving and administering moneys and other forms of wealth that come to them by donation, bequest or otherwise, ander such conditions that the recipient becones a trustee charged with the administration of the fund and with disbursements from it, or from income derived from its principal, for designated public uses. The usual purposes for which these funds are created are the support of educational activities in schools and libraries, health and hospital services, care of defectives, and pensions for employees of the city government.
SINKING FUNDS. The sinking funds maintained by cities are of two distinct classes, those with and those without investments, the distinction depending largely upen the method of distributing the loads to be carried by these funds. The sinking funds with investments are established and maintained primarily for the redemption of long-term bonds at maturity, the purpose of converting a part of the cash accumulation into securities being to increase the earning power of the funds. The sinking funds without securities are maintained primarily for the amortization of debt obligations by purchase before their maturity, or for the retirement of serial bonds, the purpose of the funds usually being accomplished without the accumulation of assets in large amounts.

ASSESSED VALUATIONS AND TAX LEVIES
ASSESSED VALUATION. Assessed valuation is the valuation set upon real estate or other property by assessors of a governmental unit as a basis for levying taxes.
TAX LEVY. A tax levy is the amount of a particular type of tax imposed by the State, such as a general property tax levy.
TAX RATES ON PROPERTY. A tax rate on property is the amount of tax per unit of assessed valuation. The unit of assessed valuation is either $\$ 1, \$ 100$, or $\$ 1,000$, the lastnamed being used by the Sureau. The product of the tax rate and the assessed valuation is the property tax levy.

PUBLIC-SERVICE ENTERPRISES
INCOME. Income is the term used to designate public-service enterprise revenues. This latter term is defined the same as revenues of the city (g.v.).
OPERATING EXPENSE. Operating expense of a public-service enterprise is tha; part of the payments (q.V.) which are expended in the actual operation of the enterp:cise.
OPERATING REVENUE. Operating revenue of a public-service enterprise is that part of the income (q.‥) which arises from the operation of the enterprise itself.


[^0]:    1/ The two methods outlined were used also to complle the annual Financial Statistics of States, 1937 . For the 1938 reports, both for States and for cities, a third method is also being employed, using "Bureauinstructed agents." "Bureau-instructed agents" are local persons selected by a regular Bureau field man and instructed on the job in the methods and technique of compling the report,

    2/In addition to the preliminary reports for individual cities and the final consolidated report, $\frac{2}{}$ In addition to the preliminary reports for ind Bulletin containing advance information for all the 94 cities.

[^1]:    3/ The personnel records in State governments are also incomplete.
    ards are presented and described in Public Administration Circular No. 1, W. P. A. Technical Series (August 28, 1937).

[^2]:    See footnotes at ond of table

[^3]:    1/ Estimate as at middle of fiscal year, January 1, 1936.

    1. Estimate as at middle of fiscal year, Janua
    2/ Population April 1, 1930 ; no estimate made.
    $\frac{2}{3}$ Included because the estimated population at the middle of its fiscal year 1930 was over 100,000 . 3/ Not included in group or grand totals.
    5 Not reported.
[^4]:    1/ The 1936 revenue receipts classification has been rearranged somewhat so that the main headings 1 .
    2/ Revenues are derived, not only from taxation, but also from certain other sources of municipal income, including Federal and State grants; but revenues do not include borrowings. See also definition, p. 324 , infra.

[^5]:    3/ State property taxes accounted for legs than one-tenth of the State revenues in 1937. See Financial Statistics of States: 1937 .

[^6]:    5/ Cf. the companion volume Financial Statistics of States: 1937.

[^7]:    
    
     $10 /$ Motor Vehicle fuel taxes.
    15 Nonbusiness 1 icense taxes.

[^8]:    3/This explains the desirability of abandoning the old term "general departments," bacause the cost payment classification is not based on departments or organization units. See p. 17 , sup;a.

[^9]:    $2649320-40 — 13$

[^10]:    5/ For debt of public-service enterprises, see part III.

[^11]:    ents the oxcess of cash in private trust account over that in general treasury. $5 /$ Not included in group or grand totale obligation and revenue bonds.

[^12]:    1/ Cf. Financial Statistics of States: 1937.

[^13]:    $\frac{1}{2}$ Genoral property not taxed for State purposes.
    $\frac{2}{3}$ Average rate. Valuation of personal property included with that of real property.
    $\frac{3}{4}$ Valuation of personal property included with the
    5/ Includes pubiic utilitios and tangible personal property only.
    $\frac{6}{7}$ Includes public utilities.
    $\frac{7}{8}$ Only money at intereat and negotiable instruments taxed for State purposes.
    9. Real and personal tangible property taxed oniy for city purposes and personai intangibie property taxed only for State purposss.

    Street railways and connected utilities taxed for general. State purposes. Real and personal property taxed for forestry only.
    12. Not incluaded.

[^14]:    3/ In tables 31 to 44, inclusive, only those cities are listed that have the type of enterprise specifled. 4/ For information as to the years in which most of these water systems were constructed see Bureau of the Census, Statistics of Cities Having a Population of over 25,000; 1902 and 1903.

[^15]:    1/ Includes $\$ 3,710$ State grant.
    State grent.
    3/ Includes those data for light and power system, not separately reported.
    4/ Not included in group or grand totals.

[^16]:    1/ Includes $\$ 15,285$ State grant.
    2/ Includes revenues of airports, not separately reported.
    3/ Includes $\$ 20,000$ rent of pier, owned but not operated by city.
    4/ State grant.
    5/ Includes port owned and operated by independent navigation district and wharves owned by city and operated by navigation district.
    $\frac{6 /}{7}$ Owned but not operated by city.
    ? Port of Albany revenues eycept that rents were from docks and wharves owned but not operated by

[^17]:    See footnotes at end of table.

[^18]:    1/ istimated rates.

