N. W. HARRIS & CO.,
BANKERS,
PINE STREET COR. WILLIAM,
CHICAGO.     NEW YORK.     BOSTON.

Deal Exclusively in Municipal, Railroad and other Bonds adapted for trust funds and savings.

ISSUE TRAVELERS' LETTERS OF CREDIT AVAILABLE IN ALL PARTS OF THE WORLD.

QUOTATIONS FURNISHED FOR PURCHASE, SALE OR EXCHANGE.

If you wish to BUY or SELL TRACTION COMPANY BONDS OR STOCKS,
GAS COMPANY BONDS OR STOCKS, FERRY COMPANY BONDS OR STOCKS,
INDUSTRIALS,
WRITE TELEGRAPH, TELEPHONE,
OR CALL ON
GUSTAVUS MAAS,
30 BROAD STREET, - NEW YORK.
ESTABLISHED 1868.

KING, HodENPYLY & Co.,
BANKERS & BROKERS,

7 Wall Street, 217 La Salle Street,
NEW YORK.     CHICAGO.

STREET RAILWAY, GAS AND ELECTRIC LIGHT SECURITIES,

WHITAKER & COMPANY,
BOND AND STOCK BROKERS,
300 North Fourth Street, - St. Louis, Mo

INVESTMENT SECURITIES AND MUNICIPAL BONDS. WE BUY TOTAL ISSUES OF CITIES, COUNTIES, SCHOOL AND STREET RAILWAY COMPANY BONDS.

MONTHLY CIRCULAR QUOTING LOCAL SECURITIES MAILED ON APPLICATION
The American Trust and Savings Bank
Chicago
Established 1889

STATEMENT OF CONDITION, SEPTEMBER 7, 1904

RESOURCES.

Loans and Discounts  $11,376,345.03
Bonds  2,815,002.65
Cash and Exchange  7,970,435.03
$22,161,782.71

LIABILITIES.

Capital Stock Paid in  $2,000,000.00
Surplus and Profits  1,296,799.01
Deposits  18,864,983.70
$22,161,782.71

OFFICERS.

EDWIN A. POTTER, President.
JOY MORTON, Vice-President.
JAMES R. CHAPMAN, 2d Vice-President.
JOHN J. ABBOTT, Cashier.

OLIVER C. DECKER, Assistant Cashier.
FRANK H. JONES, Secretary.
WILLIAM P. KOFF, Assistant Secretary.
GEORGE B. CALDWELL, Mgr. Bond Dept.

WILSON W. LAMPERT, Auditor.

DIRECTORS

A. MONTGOMERY WADE, Montgomery Ward & Co.
W. H. MORGAN, Pres., C. J. & L. (Chester) R. I.
CHARLES T. THOMAS, Board of Trade.
EDWIN A. POTTER, President.
JOHN J. ABBOTT, Cashier.
E. W. PECK, Capitalist.
G. D. JEFF, Former President G. B. Shaw Lumber Co.
WILLIAM KENT, Kent & Burke, Catalyst.

E. F. BIPOLI, President Airline, T. & Santa Fe Ry.
JOY MORTON, Joy Marine & Co., Bond Co.
CHARLES H. THOMAS, Montgomery Ward & Co.
JAMES R. CHAPMAN, Second Vice-President.
CHARLES H. THOMSON, Montgomery Ward & Co.
R. THOMAS, President Chicago & Western Ind. R. R.
CHARLES H. DEERLE, Deerle & Co., Plow, Moline, III.
JOHN F. HARRIS, Harris, Scott & Co.

General Banking, Trust, Bond and Savings Departments.
Vermilye & Co.
Bankers,

Nassau and Pine Streets, 13 Congress Street,
NEW YORK. BOSTON.

Maryland Telephone Building,
BALTIMORE.

Dealers in U. S. Government Bonds and other
Investment Securities. List of current Offerings furnished upon application.
Deposits received and interest allowed on
Balances, subject to draft at sight.
Commission Orders executed in all the principal markets.
Members of the New York and Boston Stock Exchanges.

Kean, Van Cortlandt & Co.,

30 Pine Street, New York.

Bankers

Transact a General Foreign and Domestic Banking Business.

Dealers in Investment Securities.
BROWN BROTHERS & CO.,
New York, 59 Wall Street.

AND

ALEX. BROWN & SONS,
Baltimore and Calvert Streets, Baltimore. ALL CONNECTED BY PRIVATE WIRE.

Membership of the New York, Philadelphia, Boston and Baltimore Stock Exchanges.

Execute Orders on Commission for Purchase and Sale of Stocks, Bonds, and all Investment Securities.

BILLS OF EXCHANGE BOUGHT AND SOLD.

Arrangements made with Banks and Bankers in the United States enabling them to Issue their own Drafts on Foreign Countries.

Commercial Letters of Credit and Travelers' Letters of Credit issued, available in all parts of the world. Also International Cheques.

Collections made on all points; Telegraphic Transfers of Money made between this Country and Europe.

Deposit Accounts of American Banks, Bankers, Firms and Individuals received upon favorable terms.

Certificates of Deposit issued payable on demand or at a stated period.

BROWN, SHIPLEY & CO.,
Founder's Court, Lothbury, E. C., AND FOR THE CONVENIENCE OF TRAVELERS, 123 PALL MALL, S. W., LONDON.
LEE, HIGGINSON & CO.,
Boston, Mass.

INVESTMENT SECURITIES.

FOREIGN EXCHANGE

DRAWN ON
Messrs. N. M. ROTHSCHILD & SONS,
AND
Messrs. COUTTS & COMPANY,
LONDON;
Messrs. MORGAN, HARJES & COMPANY,
PARIS;
Messrs. M. M. WARBURG & COMPANY,
HAMBURG.

Travelers' Letters of Credit
AVAILABLE IN ALL PARTS OF THE WORLD.

Members of New York, Boston and Chicago Stock Exchanges.
HARVEY FISK & SONS

NEW YORK
62 Cedar St.

BOSTON
10 Post Office Square

PHILADELPHIA
JAMES H. CHAPMAN.
421 Chestnut St.

HARTFORD, CONN.
H. L. WILKINSON.
Conn. Mutual Life Bldg.

CHICAGO
D. K. DRAKE.
Continental Nat'l Bk. Bldg.

MEMBERS OF THE NEW YORK AND BOSTON STOCK EXCHANGES

UNITED STATES BONDS,
RAILROAD, MUNICIPAL
AND OTHER
INVESTMENT SECURITIES

OUR LIST OF INVESTMENT SECURITIES SENT ON APPLICATION
New York Security and Trust Company

26 BROAD STREET

CAPITAL . . . . . . . $3,000,000
SURPLUS and PROFITS . . 9,250,000

Allows interest on deposits subject to cheque.
Manages estates. Acts as executor, trustee, etc.
BANKING DEPARTMENT

DEPOSIT ACCOUNTS of Corporations, Firms, and Individuals received subject to sight draft. Certificates of deposit issued payable on demand or at a stated date. Interest allowed on daily balances and on money deposited pending investment. Loans made on approved security.

FISCAL AGENCY

ACCOUNTS for the payment of bonds, coupons, dividends, etc., and for the transfer and registration of securities received from municipal, railroad, and other corporations.

INVESTMENT DEPARTMENT

UNITED STATES BONDS, Guaranteed Stocks and other investment securities bought and sold. List of current offerings suitable for Savings Banks or Trust Funds sent on application. Orders on New York Stock Exchange and in sound and marketable unlisted securities executed on commission for cash.
Van Norden Trust Company
Fifth Avenue and 60th Street
NEW YORK
Capital and Surplus, $2,000,000

OFFICERS
WARNER M. VAN NORDEN, . . . . President
THOMAS P. FOWLER, . . . . Vice-President
ARTHUR KING WOOD, . . . . Sec'y and Treas.
WILLIAM W. ROBINSON, . . . . Ass't Secretary
AMES HIGGINS, . . . . Ass't Secretary
JAMES B. HAIG, JR. . . . . Ass't Treasurer
WILLIAM F. HAVEMEYER, . . . . Chairman Executive Committee
TRUST DEPARTMENT
EDWARD S. AVERY, . . . . Trust Officer

The permanent home of the Van Norden Trust Company, here shown, is on the southeast corner of Fifth Avenue and 60th Street. Every modern facility and luxury is provided for the convenience and comfort of customers. The banking-room on the ground floor, one of the most spacious in the City, 26 feet in height, without columns or obstructions of any kind, is finished in Italian marble and mahogany, and covers a total floor area of 7,591 square feet. Under the main banking floor are the safe deposit, silver and trunk vaults of the Van Norden Safe Deposit Company.

The location is ideal for the quiet transaction of business, being removed from the turmoil of busy streets and yet most convenient of access. Here Fifth Avenue broadens into the Plaza, and directly opposite the Company's door is the main entrance to Central Park and the beautiful new Sherman Statue. Surrounding the Plaza are famous hotels and clubs, this being the center of the wealthiest and most fashionable residential section of America.
The Hanover National Bank

of the City of New York

Cor. Nassau and Pine Streets

Established 1851

Capital and Surplus, $9,000,000

JAS. T. WOODWARD, President
JAS. M. DONALD, Vice-President
WM. HALLS, Jr., Vice-President
WILLIAM WOODWARD, Vice-President

ELMER E. WHITTAKER, Cashier
WM. I. LIGHTHIPE, Ass't Cashier
HENRY R. CARSE, Ass't Cashier
ALEXANDER D. CAMBELL, Ass't Cashier

The Hanover Safe Deposit Co.

Hanover Bank Building, New York

Safes of great variety ranging from $5 to $700 per annum.
Vaults on the level of sidewalk; open from 9 A.M. to 5 P.M.,
and every facility provided for the examination of securities.

VERNON H. BROWN, President
HENRY R. CARSE, Treasurer

WILLIAM WOODWARD, Vice-President
ALEXANDER KING, Sec'y and General Manager
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Grants annuities. Accepts Trusts created by Will or otherwise. Manages property as Agent for the owners. Allows interest on deposits payable after ten days' notice. Legal Depositary for Executors, Trustees and Money in Suit.

Accepts only Private Trusts and declines all Corporation or other Public Trusts.

CHARTERED IN 1830
52 WALL STREET, NEW YORK
Guaranty Trust Company
OF NEW YORK
MUTUAL LIFE BUILDING, 28 NASSAU STREET
LONDON OFFICE, 33 LOMBARD ST., E. C.
DEPOSITARY OF THE GOVERNMENT OF THE PHILIPPINE ISLANDS.
CAPITAL, $2,000,000
SURPLUS, $5,000,000
DEPOSITS, $43,000,000
Interest paid on cheque accounts and on Certificates of Deposit.
Travellers' Letters of Credit available in all parts of the world and
Commercial Letters of Credit issued.
List of carefully selected securities for investment issued monthly
and mailed upon application.

OFFICERS.
JOHN W. CASTLES, President.
GEORGE R. TURNBULL, Vice-President.
JOHN GAULT, Manager Foreign Dept.
E. C. HEBRARD, Secretary.
R. C. NEWTON, Trust Officer.

DIRECTORS.
WALTER G. OAKMAN, Chairman.
George F. Baker.
George S. Bowdoin.
Frederic Cromwell.
John W. Castles.
Walter R. Gillette.

1. E. H. Harriman.
2. G. G. Haven.
3. Edwin Hawley.
4. E. Sumner Hayes.

Adrian Iselin, Jr.
James N. Jarvis.
Augustus B. Jaquilard.
Richard A. McQuary.
Levi P. Morton.

Norman B. Roos.
Henry H. Rogers.
H. McG. Twombly.
Frederick W. Vanderbilt.
Harry Payne Whitney.

The
LIBERTY
NATIONAL BANK
of New York.

139 Broadway
Blake Brothers & Co.

50 Exchange Place, NEW YORK.

84 State Street, BOSTON.

Dealers in all issues of
NEW YORK CITY BONDS
and other
MUNICIPAL BONDS.


Members New York and Boston Stock Exchanges.

INCORPORATED 1853.

United States Trust Company of New York
45 and 47 WALL STREET.

CAPITAL, $2,000,000.00
SURPLUS AND UNDIVIDED PROFITS, $12,250,114.79

LYMAN J. GAGE, President.
D. WILLIS JAMES, Vice-President.
HENRY L. THORNELL, Secretary.

JAMES S. CLARK, Second Vice-President.
LOUIS G. HAMPTON, Assistant Secretary.

JOHN A. STEWART, Chairman of the Board of Trustees.

ESTABLISHED 1829

Merchants' Exchange National Bank
Of the City of New York

PHINEAS C. LOUNSBURY, President.
ALLEN S. APGAR, Vice-President and Cashier.

EDWARD V. GAMBIER, Assistant Cashier.
EDWARD K. CHERRILL, Assistant Cashier.

Accounts of Banks, Bankers, Merchants and Manufacturers Solicited.
Our Statistical Department is well equipped with official reports and original data, and is prepared to furnish all obtainable information concerning Railroad and Industrial Corporations. We give particular attention to individual investors, and will furnish upon application a list of desirable investments. Dividends and interest collected and remitted.

Members New York Stock Exchange. Correspondence Invited.

GUARDIAN TRUST COMPANY
OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK
170 BROADWAY
CAPITAL AND SURPLUS - $1,000,000
DEPOSITORY FOR STATE, CITY AND COURT FUNDS
THIS COMPANY IS AUTHORIZED TO ACT AS ADMINISTRATOR, ASSIGNEE, COMMITTEE, EXECUTOR, FISCAL AGENT, TRANSFER AGENT, GUARDIAN, REGISTRAR, TRUSTEE, RECEIVER.

Frank W. Woolworth, President
George W. Fairchild, Vice-President
Herbert H. Swasey, Vice-President
R. Ross Appleton, Vice-President
Lathrop C. Baynes, Secretary
J. Frank Chandler, Asst. Secretary

Real Estate Trust Company of New York
30 Nassau Street, New York City.
Established 1890.
Capital, Surplus and Profits, $1,100,000
Designated Depository by the State of New York for Court Funds, Canal Funds, Reserve Fund of State Banks, General Funds. By Supreme Court for Supreme Court Funds. By the City of New York for City Funds. Receives Deposits (Allowing Interest) Accepts Trusts.

Henry C. Swords, President
H. H. Cammann, Vice-President
H. W. Reighley, 2d Vice-President and Sec'y
Charles M. Van Kleeck, Asst. Sec'y

TRUSTEES

James M. Varnum
Charles C. Burke
Lispenard Stewart
Henry Lewis Morris
Edwin A. Cruikshank
Henry C. Swords
H. H. Cammann
H. W. Reighley
Charles A. Peabody
Charles A. Schermerhorn
James I. Raymond
Joel F. Freeman
Douglas Robinson
Charles S. Brown
George G. DeWitt
Henry K. Pomroy
Percy Chubb
Franklin H. Lord

J. Roosevelt Roosevelt
Harrison E. Gawtry
Frank S. Witherbee
Robert Goddet
Frederic de Puyter Foster

KING, HODENPYL & CO.
BANKERS
7 Wall Street, New York
Street Railway, Electric Light and Gas Securities
Members New York and Chicago Stock Exchanges
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LATHAM, ALEXANDER & CO.
Bankers
AND
COTTON COMMISSION MERCHANTS.
NOS. 16 AND 18 WALL STREET,
NEW YORK.

Conduct a General Banking Business.
Members of the New York Stock and Cotton Exchanges.

Accounts of Banks, Bankers, Merchants and Individuals received on favorable terms, and Interest allowed on Daily Balances, subject to check at sight.

CONTRACTS FOR COTTON FOR FUTURE DELIVERY BOUGHT AND SOLD ON COMMISSION.

United States Mortgage and Trust Company,

MAIN OFFICE
55 Cedar Street.
NEW YORK
WEST END OFFICE
73d St. and Broadway.

CAPITAL, $2,000,000.
SURPLUS, $3,000,000.

TRANSACTS A GENERAL BANKING BUSINESS.


TRANSACTS A GENERAL TRUST BUSINESS.

OFFICERS

GEORGE W. YOUNG, President
GEORGE M. CUMMING, Vice-President.
LUTHER KOUNTZE, Vice-President.
JAMES TIMPSON, Vice-President.
EBEN B. THOMAS, Vice-President.
CLARK WILLIAMS, Vice-President.

CALVERT BREWER, Secretary.
CARL G. RASMUS, Treasurer.
WILLIAM C. IVISON, Asst. Treasurer.
GEORGE A. HURT, Asst. Secretary.
ALEX. PHILLIPS, Mgr. Foreign Dept.
CHAS. B. COLLINS, Mgr. West End Office.

DIRECTORS

C. LEDYARD BLAIR.
WILLIAM B. BOULTON.
DUMONT CLARKE.
C. A. COFFIN.
GEORGE M. CUMMING.
C. C. CUYLER.
CHARLES D. DICKY.
WILLIAM P. DIXON.
ROBERT A. GRANNISS.
G. G. HAVEN, JR.
CHAS. R. HENDERSON.
GUSTAV E. KISSEL.
LUTHER KOUNTZE.
WILLIAM B. LEEDS.
RICHARD A. McCURDY.
CLARENCE H. MACKAY.
ROBERT OLYPHANT.
MORTIMER L. SCHIFF.

VALENTINE P. SNYDER.
LOUIS A. THEBAUD.
JAMES TIMPSON.
EBEN B. THOMAS.
ARThUR TURNBULL.
CORNELIUS VANDERBILT.
GEORGE W. YOUNG.
THE WASHINGTON TRUST CO.
OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK
Stewart Building, 280 Broadway

Capital, Surplus and Undivided Profits, $1,500,000

DAVID M. MORRISON, President
CHARLES F. CLARK, Vice-President
GEORGE AUSTIN MORRISON, Vice-President
FRANCIS H. PAGE, 2d Vice-President
M. S. LOTT, Secretary
H. F. PRICE, Asst. Secretary

TRUSTEES
Charles F. Clark
Charles H. Russell
George H. Prentiss
Phineas C. Lounsbury
David M. Morrison
William Whiting
David B. Powell
H. J. S. Hall
J. Fred'k Chamberlin
Clarence W. Seams
William A. Putnam
Seth E. Thomas
Lucius K. Wilmerding
Geo. Austin Morrison
Joseph C. Baldwin
John F. Anderson, Jr.

INTEREST ALLOWED ON DEPOSITS

This Company is especially designated by the Supreme Court of the State of New York a legal depository for Court and Trust Funds, and is authorized to do any and all other business usually done by Trust Companies of responsibility and standing.

T. W. STEPHENS & CO.
BANKERS
2 Wall Street, New York

Corporation and Municipal Bonds
For Investment

HENRY P. HATCH.
ARTHUR M. HATCH.

W. T. HATCH & SONS
Bankers and Brokers
96 BROADWAY and 6 WALL STREET
NEW YORK

MEMBERS OF NEW YORK STOCK EXCHANGE

DEALERS IN INVESTMENT STOCKS AND BONDS
Personal attention given at the New York Stock Exchange for the purchase and sale on commission of Stocks and Bonds for cash or on margin.
COLONIAL TRUST COMPANY
222 BROADWAY, NEW YORK

CAPITAL, SURPLUS and UNDIVIDED PROFITS, $2,400,000

Transacts a General Trust Business

Takes entire charge of Real Estate. Acts as Trustee for Railroad and other Mortgages. Transacts a General Banking Business and allows interest on daily balances.

OFFICERS

RICHARD DELAFIELD, President
CORD MEYER, Vice-Presidents
JAMES W. TAPPIN

TRUSTEES

HENRY O. HAVEMEYER
ANSON R. FLOWER
WM. T. WARDWELL
LOWELL M. PALMER
JOHN E. BORNE
PERCY J. KUHNE
CORD MEYER

PERRY BELMONT
DANIEL O'DAY
SETH M. MILLIKEN
FRANK CURTIS
C. DESSAR
HENRY N. WHITNEY
VERNON H. BROWN

The Trust Company of America
149 BROADWAY, NEW YORK

Capital, Surplus and Undivided Profits
$4,144,565.96

William H. Leupp, President
Henry S. Manning, Vice-President
Raymond J. Chatry, Secretary
Albert L. Banister, Treasurer

TRANSACTS A GENERAL TRUST COMPANY BUSINESS

HIGH GRADE RAILROAD BONDS
Suitable for Banks and Bankers

GUARANTEED R. R. STOCKS
(EXEMPT FROM TAX)
Suitable for Executors, Trustees, &c.

Descriptive List of Offerings on Application

A. M. KIDDER & CO., 18 WALL ST., NEW YORK
MEMBERS OF THE NEW YORK STOCK EXCHANGE

1073
The Lincoln National Bank

OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK

STATEMENT JULY 15th, 1904.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RESOURCES</th>
<th>LIABILITIES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Loans and Discounts:</td>
<td>Capital:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$ 6,602,176.86</td>
<td>$300,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U. S. Bonds:</td>
<td>Surplus and Undivided Profits:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$ 500,000.00</td>
<td>$ 1,329,366.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bonds and Investments:</td>
<td>U. S. Bond Account:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$ 5,708,614.49</td>
<td>$ 450,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash Exchanges and due from Banks</td>
<td>Circulation:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10,544,678.03</td>
<td>$ 292,800.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Deposits:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$ 20,333,299.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$542,705,466.38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OFFICERS

President, Thomas L. James
Vice-Presidents, E. V. W. Rossiter, J. B. Layng, William A. Simonson
Cashier, Charles Elliot Warren
Assistant Cashiers, Talcott C. Van Nautaer, David C. Grant

DIRECTORS

Thomas L. James, Matthew C. Borden, E. V. W. Rossiter, William Rockefeller, Eben E. Olcott
Charles C. Clarke, J. B. Layng, James Stillman, William K. Vanderbilt, Jr., Joseph F. Grace

Accounts of Banks, Bankers, Merchants and Individuals invited.
Foreign and Domestic Exchange bought and sold.
Telegraphic transfers of money on all points.
Letters of Credit issued available in all parts of the world.
Correspondence solicited.
Burglar proof vaults.
Fire proof storage.

Knickbocker Trust Co.

66 Broadway, 100 W. 125th St.
358 Fifth Avenue, 3d Av. & 148th St.

National Bank of North America

IN NEW YORK

Capital, $2,000,000
Surplus, $1,000,000
Undivided Profits, $1,000,000

UNITED STATES, STATE AND CITY DEPOSITORY

OFFICERS

Richard L. Edwards, President.
Henry Chapin, Jr., Vice-President.
J. Frederick Sweasy, Asst. Cashier.

DIRECTORS

Henry H. Cook.
Warren Van Norden.
David H. Houghtaling.
John H. Fugler.

Buy and Sells Foreign Drafts. Issues Travelers' Letters of Credit.
The **IRVING National Bank**,
Chambers and Hudson Streets,
NEW YORK.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Capital, Surplus and Profits,</th>
<th>$2,000,000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MERCANTILE ACCOUNTS WANTED.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

THE **EQUITABLE TRUST COMPANY**
OF NEW YORK
15 NASSAU STREET

TRUSTEES:
James H. Hyde,
H. C. Deming,
E. H. Harriman,
H. C. Frick,
C. B. Alexander,
William H. Melanby,
T. H. Hubbard,
Gage E. Tarbell
John F. Dryden
T. De Witt Cuyler,
D. H. Moffat,
Lawrence L. Gillespie,
Clarence H. Mackey,
William H. Baldwin, Jr.,
Sir William C. Van Horne,
Chauncey M. Depew,
W. B. Rankine,
Alvin W. Kreech,
James H. Hyde,
L. L. Gillespie,
F. W. Fulle,
Lyman Rhoades, Jr.
H. M. Walker.

Solicits Deposits of Individuals and Corporations
Interest on Daily Balances
CAPITAL, $3,000,000 SURPLUS, $9,000,000

THE **SEABOARD NATIONAL BANK**
NEW YORK, N. Y.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Capital, Surplus (earned)</th>
<th>$500,000 $1,400,000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

S. G. BAYNE, President.
S. G. NELSON, Vice-President.
W. K. CLEVERLEY, Assistant Cashier.

C. C. THOMPSON, Cashier.
J. H. DAVIES, Assistant Cashier.

WE MAKE ESPECIAL EFFORTS TO PLEASE OUT OF TOWN CORRESPONDENTS
ACCOUNTS SOLICITED.
N. W. HALSEY & CO.
BANKERS
Transact a General Banking Business. Allow interest on deposit accounts subject to cheque. Act as fiscal agent for individuals and institutions. And deal in carefully selected
BONDS FOR INVESTMENT OF INSTITUTIONAL AND PRIVATE FUNDS
CORRESPONDENCE INVITED
NEW YORK, 49 Wall St. The Rookery, CHICAGO
Boston Correspondent: MERRILL, OLDHAM & CO.

W. EUGENE KIMBALL
ESTABLISHED 1865.
LEEDS JOHNSON
R. J. KIMBALL & CO.
Stocks-Bonds
Investment Securities
Members New York Stock Exchange.

E. D. Sheppard & Co.
BANKERS
Government, Municipal and Railway Bonds
The National Bank of Commerce Building
31 NASSAU STREET
NEW YORK

Bankers Committees
We have served Bankers Committees as Controllers of Corporations whose affairs have necessitated the creation of a Bankers Committee and our experience as production engineers in conjunction with our accountancy experience has enabled us to render valuable services to such properties.
We can refer to our clients.

Gunn, Richards & Co.
Production Engineers
Public Accountants
43 Wall Street 43 Exchange Place
NEW YORK CITY
Safe Deposit and Trust Company
OF BALTIMORE
NOS. 9, 11, 13 SOUTH STREET.

Organized in 1867. Capital and Surplus, $1,800,000

Acts as Trustee of Corporation Mortgages, Fiscal Agent for Corporations and Individuals, Transfer Agent and Registrar. Depository under plans of reorganization.

Acts as Executor, Administrator, Guardian, Trustee, Receiver, Attorney and Agent, being especially organized for careful management and settlement of estates of every character.

Fireproof Building with latest and best equipment for safety of contents.

Safes for rent in its large fire and burglar proof vaults, with spacious and well lighted coupon rooms for use of patrons.

Silver and other valuables taken on storage.

DIRECTORS
MICHAEL JENKINS, Pres't. H. WALTERS, Vice-Pres't. FRANCIS WHITE WALDO NEWCOMER
NORMAN JAMES BLANCHARD RANDALL JNO. B. RAMSAY JOHN W. MARSHALL

FARMERS AND MERCHANTS NATIONAL BANK
BALTIMORE, MD.
TEMPORARY LOCATION, N. E. COR. CHARLES AND SARATOGA STS.
CAPITAL, $650,000 SURPLUS and PROFITS, $353,000

OFFICERS
CHAS. T. CRANE, WM. P. HARVEY, CARTER G. OSBURN, J. E. MARSHALL,

CORRESPONDENCE INVITED.

ORGANIZED JANUARY 17, 1807.

THE
Farmers' and Mechanics' National Bank
PHILADELPHIA

CAPITAL - - - - - - $2,000,000
SURPLUS AND PROFITS - - 1,100,000

OFFICERS
HOWARD W. LEWIS, President JOHN MASON Transfer Officer
HENRY B. BARTOW, Cashier EUGENE H. AUSTIN Ass't Cashier
America's Best Product

WHITING PAPERS

For Fine Correspondence or for Business

Use them once, and you will use them always

WHITING PAPER CO.

NEW YORK  CHICAGO  PHILADELPHIA  HOLYOKE

FIRM OF

FARQUHAR J. MAC RAE
CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS
68 WILLIAM STREET
NEW YORK

TELEPHONE 1904 JOHN,  CABLE ADDRESS "SEAFORE"
BOOKS OF FIRMS AND CORPORATIONS AUDITED SPECIALLY OR PERIODICALLY.
THE EQUITABLE INTEREST OF PARTNERS OR STOCKHOLDERS DETERMINED UNDER EVERY VARIETY OF COMPLICATION.

LAWRENCE E. BROWN & CO.,
CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS,
1422-1423 REAL ESTATE TRUST BUILDING.

PHILADELPHIA.

DERANGED AND DISPUTED ACCOUNTS INVESTIGATED AND ADJUSTED.
ACCOUNTS OF EXECUTORS, ADMINISTRATORS, AND TRUSTEES PREPARED FOR THE ORPHANS' COURT.
LEGAL INVESTIGATIONS AIDED.
INVESTIGATIONS MADE FOR PROSPECTIVE PURCHASERS OR VENDORS.

GEORGE S. CHASE
HARVEY S. CHASE

AUDITORS
AND
EXPERT EXAMINERS OF ACCOUNTS

Fellows of the "INCORPORATED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS" of Mass.

ESPECIAL EXPERIENCE IN AUDITS OF MANUFACTURING AND MILL ACCOUNTS; OF MUNICIPAL ACCOUNTS, AND IN EXAMINATIONS OF INSOLVENT CORPORATIONS FOR CREDITORS OR ASSIGNEES.

27 STATE ST., BOSTON, MASS.
Telephone 3660 Main.
Brazer Building.

J. E. STERRETT
CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANT
(PENNSYLVANIA)

PHILADELPHIA
705 PENN MUTUAL BLDG.

NEW YORK
110 WALL STREET

LYBRAND, ROSS BROS. & MONTGOMERY
CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

WALL STREET EXCHANGE BUILDING
NEW YORK

STEPHEN GIRARD BUILDING
PHILADELPHIA
THE amount of business that a bank does is quite accurately reflected in the volume of the exchanges sent through the Clearing House.

In Rochester there are fourteen banks that make exchanges through the Clearing House, and during the past year Nineteen Per Cent. of all the business was sent in by the

German-American Bank of Rochester

This was over Three Per Cent. more than was sent in by any other Rochester bank. The German-American Bank of Rochester has the largest capital and surplus of any bank in the city, its capital being $500,000 and its surplus and profits $800,000.
National Commercial Bank
OF ALBANY, N. Y.

OFFICERS

Robert C. Pruyn
President

Grange Sard
Vice-President

Charles H. Sabin
Vice-President

Edward J. Hussey
Cashier

Hugh N. Kirkland
Ass't Cashier

Walter W. Batchelder
Auditor

Capital
$500,000

Surplus
$1,000,000

Deposits
$14,000,000

DESIGNATED DEPOSITORY OF THE UNITED STATES, STATE OF NEW YORK AND CITY OF ALBANY

NATIONAL EXCHANGE BANK
OF ALBANY, N. Y.

CAPITAL, $300,000

SURPLUS and PROFITS, $140,000

OFFICERS

JOHN D. PARSONS, Jr., President

JOHN J. GALLOGLY, Cashier

CHAUNCEY E. ARGERSINGER,
Vice-President

CHARLES C. BULLOCK, Jr.,
Assistant Cashier

COLLECTIONS RECEIVE PROMPT AND CAREFUL ATTENTION

THE UNION TRUST COMPANY
OF ALBANY, N. Y.

Capital, $250,000

Surplus and Profits, $305,000

Transacts a General Trust and Banking Business

Interest Allowed on Deposits

GRANGE SARD, President

W. B. VAN RENSSELAER, Vice-President

T. I. VAN ANTWERP, 2d Vice-Prest. and Treas.

FREDERICK B. ADAMS, Secretary

MAC NAUGHTON MILLER, Assistant Treas.

ARTHUR L. ANDREWS, General Counsel

The Equipment in Every Department is Thorough, Modern and Efficient.
AMERICAN NATIONAL BANK
PROVIDENCE, R. I.

F. W. CARPENTER,
President
EDWIN MILNER,
Vice President
HORATIO A. HUNT,
Cashier
WALTER G. BROWN,
Assistant Cashier

Capital $1,000,000.

COLLECTIONS AND CORRESPONDENCE RECEIVE CAREFUL AND PROMPT ATTENTION.

Providence Banking Co.
141 Westminster Street, Providence, R. I.

Capital and Surplus, $1,000,000

DEALER IN HIGH GRADE INVESTMENTS AND MUNICIPAL BONDS.
FOREIGN EXCHANGE.
PRIVATE TELEGRAPH SERVICE TO NEW YORK AND BOSTON.

Rhode Island Hospital Trust Co.
PROVIDENCE, R. I.

HERBERT J. WELLS, President
SAMUEL R. DORRANCE, Vice-President
EDWARD S. CLARK, Secretary

WILLIAM A. GAMWELL, Assistant Secretary
PRESTON H. GARDNER, Assistant Secretary
CYRUS E. LAPHAM, Assistant Secretary

DIRECTORS
ROYAL C. TAFT
ROBERT I. GODDARD
GEORGE W. R. MATTISON
WILLIAM D. ELY
ROBERT I. GAMWELL

WILLIAM HINKEY
WILLIAM B. WEBB
EDWARD D. FRENCH
ROBERT KNIGHT
JOHN W. DANIELLON
HERBERT J. WELLS

CAPITAL, $1,000,000
SURPLUS EARNINGS, OVER 1,450,000

ESTABLISHED 1818.

THE MERCHANTS NATIONAL BANK
Providence, R. I.

ROYAL C. TAFT, President.
SAMUEL R. DORRANCE, Vice-President.
MOSES J. BARBER, Cashier.
FRANK A. GREENE, Assistant Cashier.

UNITED STATES DEPOSITARY.

Capital, $1,000,000
Surplus Earnings, over 560,000

SEND YOUR PROVIDENCE COLLECTIONS TO THIS BANK.
THEY WILL RECEIVE CAREFUL ATTENTION AND BE REMITTED FOR PROMPTLY AT A LOW RATE.
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# Old Colony Trust Company

**AMES BUILDING**

**BOSTON**

Branch Office, 52 Temple Place

---

## STATEMENT, APRIL 30, 1904

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RESOURCES</th>
<th></th>
<th>LIABILITIES</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Loans</td>
<td>$16,109,221.80</td>
<td>Capital Stock</td>
<td>$1,500,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Massachusetts Bonds at par</td>
<td>1,000,000.00</td>
<td>Surplus Fund</td>
<td>5,000,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>British Consols</td>
<td>742,000.00</td>
<td>Earnings Undivided</td>
<td>357,465.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Railroad and Other Securities</td>
<td>3,707,828.17</td>
<td>Deposits</td>
<td>24,092,864.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Real Estate</td>
<td>1,175,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In Office</td>
<td>$1,403,121.58</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In Banks</td>
<td>6,815,158.96</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8,216,280.54</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30,950,330.51</td>
<td></td>
<td>30,950,330.51</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

## OFFICERS

**GORDON ABBOTT**, President.

**FRANCIS R. HART**, Vice-President.

**JAMES A. PARKER**, Vice-President.

**PIERRE JAY**, Vice-President.

**BANKING DEPARTMENT**

**F. G. POUSLAND**, Acting Treasurer.

**E. A. PHIPPEN**, Assistant Treasurer.

**F. M. LAMSON**, Assistant Treasurer.

In charge of Temple Place Office.

**BOND AND REORGANIZATION DEPARTMENT**

**E. ELMER FOYE**, Secretary.

**TRUST AND TRANSFER DEPARTMENT**

**JULIUS R. WAKEFIELD**, Trust Officer.

**SAFE DEPOSIT VAULTS**

**JOSEPH G. STEARNS**, Assistant Secretary.

---

## BOARD OF DIRECTORS

**T. JEFFERSON COOLIDGE**, Jr., Chairman.

**GORDON ABBOTT**

**OLIVER AMES**

**C. W. AMORY**

**SAMUEL CARR**

**B. P. CHENEY**

**T. JEFFERSON COOLIDGE**

**CHARLES E. COTTING**

**PHILIP DEXTER**

**EBEN S. DRAPER**

**GEORGE F. FABYAN**

**FREDERICK P. FISH**

**REGINALD FOSTER**

**GEORGE P. GARDNER**

**HENRY S. HOWE**

**WALTER HUNNEWELL**

**GEORGE V. L. MEYER**

**LAURENCE MINOT**

**RICHARD OLNEY**

**HENRY R. REED**

**NATHANIEL THAYER**

**LUCIUS TUTTLE**

**STEPHEN M. WELD**

---
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Webster & Atlas National Bank
Of Boston, Mass.

Capital, $1,000,000
Surplus and Profits, 685,000

JOHN P. LYMAN, President.
JOSEPH L. FOSTER, Cashier.

WALTER HUNNEWELL,
B. RODMAN WELD,
JOSEPH S. BIGELOW,
WILLIAM L. ALLEN,
JOHN P. LYMAN.

JOSEPH S. BIGELOW, Vice-President
ROBERT E. HILL, Assistant Cashier.

DIRECTORS.
WILLIAM J. LADD,
THOMAS MOTLEY,
ALFRED BOWDITCH,
CHARLES B. BARNES, Jr.,
JOHN W. FARWELL,
JOHN REED,
FRANCIS A. PETERS,
ANDREW G. WEBSTER,
GEORGE E. BULLARD.

Tucker, Anthony & Co.
BANKERS AND BROKERS
53 State Street, Boston, Mass.

MEMBERS BOSTON AND NEW YORK STOCK EXCHANGES.

E. H. ROLLINS & SONS.
21 Milk St., Boston, Mass.

CHICAGO DENVER SAN FRANCISCO
Municipal and Corporation Bonds.

E. W. Clark & Co.
BANKERS
139 South Fourth Street, Philadelphia
ESTABLISHED 1837.

Street Railway Bonds and Stocks a Specialty
Members of the Philadelphia and New York Stock Exchanges.

New York Correspondents: CLARK, DODGE & CO., FIRST NATIONAL BANK.

INTEREST ALLOWED ON DEPOSITS.
American Loan and Trust Company

Boston, Mass.

Capital
$1,000,000

Directors
C. F. Adams, 2d
F. Lothrop Ames
Hobart Ames
Edwin F. Atkins
Charles S. Bird
George W. Brown
Samuel Carr
Gordon Dexter
Eugene N. Foss
Elmer P. Howe

Officers
N. W. Jordan, President
E. A. Coffin, Treasurer
C. H. Bowen, Secretary
G. W. Auryansen, Asst. Sec.

Acts as Trustee, Registrar and Transfer Agent.

Surplus Earnings
$1,500,000

Directors
David P. Kimball
N. W. Jordan
John Lawrence
S. E. Peabody
Francis Peabody, Jr.
Albert A. Pope
N. W. Rice
Royal Robbins
Philip L. Saltonstall
Charles W. Whittier

Transacts a General Banking and Trust Company Business.

E. H. Gay & Co.

Bankers

Municipal, Railroad, Street Railway, Water Power, Gas and Electric Light Bonds Bought and Sold.

We solicit offerings of Bonds on Railroads which are guaranteed by well-known lines.

Investment Securities

H. W. Poor & Co.

52 Devonshire St., Boston

Lists Upon Application
The Fourth Street National Bank of Philadelphia

CAPITAL, - - - $3,000,000
SURPLUS AND PROFITS, $4,800,000

ACCOUNTS OF BANKS AND BANKERS SOLICITED.

FOREIGN EXCHANGE BOUGHT AND SOLD.

EXCEPTIONAL COLLECTION FACILITIES.

R. H. RUSHTON, President.
FRANCIS L. POTTS, Vice-President.
E. F. SHANBACKER, Cashier.
FRANK G. ROGERS, Mgr. Foreign Exchange.

The Central National Bank
PHILADELPHIA, PA.
ORGANIZED 1864.
Capital, - - - - - $ 750,000
Surplus and Undivided Profits - 2,450,000
THEO. KITCHEN, President
T. L. DeBOW, Cashier
WILLIAM POST, Asst. Cashier

Accounts of Banks, Bankers, Individuals, Firms and Corporations Invited on the most Liberal terms consistent with safe banking.

EDWARD D. TOLAND
ROBERT TOLAND
ROBERT C. DRAYTON

TOLAND BROTHERS & CO.
BANKERS
104 South Fifth Street, Philadelphia

INVESTMENT SECURITIES
Members of Philadelphia and New York Stock Exchanges

BRANCH OFFICE
318 Real Estate Trust Building
**THE PHILADELPHIA NATIONAL BANK**

**PHILADELPHIA, PA.**

ORGANIZED, 1803. NATIONAL BANK, 1864.

CONDITION AT THE CLOSE OF BUSINESS SEPTEMBER 6, 1904.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>RESOURCES.</strong></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Loans and Discounts,</td>
<td>$24,064,045 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Due from Banks,</td>
<td>5,072,716 46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exchanges for Clearing House,</td>
<td>$2,732,242 81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash and Reserve,</td>
<td>13,410,036 31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Resources</strong></td>
<td>16,142,279 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LIABILITIES.</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Stock,</td>
<td>$1,500,000 00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surplus and Net Profits,</td>
<td>2,440,717 54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circulation,</td>
<td>1,041,800 00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deposits (Individual, $24,189,763 81</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Bank, 16,106,759 41</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Liabilities</strong></td>
<td>40,296,523 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Assets</strong></td>
<td>45,279,040 76</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**OFFICERS.**

N. PARKER SHORTRIDGE, President.  
LINCOLN GODFREY, Vice-President.  
HARRY J. KESER, Cashier.

**DIRECTORS.**

N. Parker Shortridge  
J. Livingston Erringer  
Richard Ashhurst  
Lincoln Godfrey  
John H. Converse  
George Wood  
Lawrence Johnson  
Alfred C. Harrison  
Levi L. Rue  
George H. Frazier  
A. J. Cassatt  
Percival Roberts, Jr.

Accounts of Banks and Bankers Respectfully Invited.

SAMUEL WELSH, T. HENRY DIXON, ALFRED R. THOMAS, J. ANDREWS HARRIS, JR.

**ERVIN & CO.**

**BANKERS**

**INVESTMENT SECURITIES**

Drexel Building  
PHILADELPHIA

Members of the New York and Philadelphia Stock Exchanges

**EDWARD B. SMITH & CO.**

**BANKERS**

**INVESTMENT SECURITIES**

511 Chestnut St.  
PHILADELPHIA

7 Wall Street,  
NEW YORK

Members New York and Phila. Stock Exchanges
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The Merchants & Planters-Farley National Bank  
MONTGOMERY, ALA.  
CAPITAL $500,000.00  
SURPLUS $100,000.00  
UNDIVIDED PROFITS $125,000.00

Adequate Resources, Conservative Management by Experienced Officers, a Modern Equipment in all Departments, and Direct Banking Connections, enable us to guarantee QUICK AND SAFE RETURNS to all banks sending us Alabama and other Southern Collections at the very LOWEST RATES.

This Bank has a LARGER CAPITAL, SURPLUS, UNDIVIDED PROFITS AND DEPOSITS than ALL OTHER BANKS in this city COMBINED.

'DROP US A LINE.'
See our Card advertisement in ANY Bankers' Directory.

Organized 1871
Capital $225,000
Surplus and Profits $100,000

The First National Bank  
of Montgomery, Ala.

A. M. BALDWIN, President.
A. S. WOOLFOLK, Cashier.
J. C. STRATFORD, Ass't Cashier.

COMPARISON
September 9, 1903  September 6, 1904
Deposits, $922,569.05 $1,342,548.83
Total Resources, 1,445,773.93 2,052,462 24

J.W. KEYES  E.C. GILLILAND  FELIX T. POPE
President  Vice-Pres.  Cashier

Home Finance  
& Trust Co.

Memphis,  -  Tenn.

Have especial facilities for handling collections in the States of Tennessee, Mississippi and Arkansas.

SEND US YOUR BUSINESS

Georgia Railroad Bank  
AUGUSTA, GEORGIA

Capital $200,000.00  
Undivided Profits $250,000.00

The largest bank in this particular section, we have the very best facilities for handling collection items.

YOU ARE INVITED TO TRY US.
BIRMINGHAM TRUST & SAVINGS CO.
BIRMINGHAM, ALA.

Capital, : : $500,000.00
Surplus, : : 150,000.00

STEADY ADHERENCE TO CONSERVATIVE BANKING IS COUPLED WITH PROMPT ATTENTION TO ALL BUSINESS.

COLLECTION ACCOUNTS SOLICITED.

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK
OF BIRMINGHAM, ALA.

CONDITION, SEPTEMBER 6TH, 1904.

RESOURCES.

Loans and Discounts 83,035,891.94
Overdrafts 475.60
U. S. Bonds and Premiums 362,000.00
Other Stocks and Bonds 41,500.00
Real Estate—Old Bank Building 50,000.00
CASH
In Vault 644,339.96
With Banks 2,193,377.39
With U. S. Treasurer 15,000.00

6,948,524.95

LIABILITIES.

Capital Stock 500,000.00
Surplus and Profits 245,270.92
Circulation 300,000.00

DEPOSITORS.

Individual 85,350,022.13
Bank 503,231.90
United States 50,000.00

5,903,254.03

6,056,524.95

OFFICERS.

J. H. WOODWARD, Vice-President.
W. W. CRAWFORD, Cashier.

W. P. G. HARDING, President.
J. H. BARR, Vice-President.
A. R. FORSYTH, Asst. Cashier.

WE MAKE A SPECIALTY OF COLLECTIONS.

THE ALABAMA NATIONAL BANK
OF BIRMINGHAM, ALA.

UNITED STATES DEPOSITORY

Capital, - - - - $200,000.00
Surplus and Net Profits, - - 50,000.00

J. B. COBBS, President.
W. A. PORTER, Cashier.

H. H. MAYBERRY, Vice-President.
C. M. WILLIAMSON, Assistant Cashier.

SEND US YOUR BIRMINGHAM ITEMS.

We will make Satisfactory Terms for handling Alabama Collections.
Correspondence Solicited.
Lowry National Bank
Atlanta, Ga.

Established 1861.

Designated Depository of the United States.

Capital, $500,000

Surplus and Profits, $425,000

Robert J. Lowry, President
Jos. T. Orme, Cashier.

Thos. D. Meador, Vice-President

Directors

Thos. Egleson
Mell R. Wilkinson

Accounts solicited. Foreign exchange and Letters of Credit available in all parts of the world.

Chattanooga National Bank
Chattanooga, Tenn.

Capital and Surplus
One-Quarter Million Dollars

Texas Bank and Trust Co.
Galveston, Texas.

Capital, - - $200,000
Surplus, - - $200,000

Send your Texas Collections to us in bulk as we cover the State with our Branches and Correspondents.

We invite correspondence from out-of-town banks because we believe we can be of service to them.

We Buy and Sell Foreign Exchange and are large buyers of Cotton and Grain Bills.
We reach 83 per cent. of the banking population of the State direct through our reciprocal bank correspondents and therefore offer the safest and quickest way for handling your collections. Your business is respectfully solicited.

Statement of Condition (Condensed) Sept. 6th, 1904.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resources</th>
<th>Liabilities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Loans and Discounts</td>
<td>Capital Stock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States Bonds</td>
<td>$500,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States Bonds</td>
<td>Surplus and Undivided Profits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Bonds and Stocks</td>
<td>377,494.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Real Estate</td>
<td>Circulation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safety Deposit Vault, Furniture</td>
<td>200,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and Fixtures</td>
<td>Deposits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Five per cent. Redemption Fund</td>
<td>4,402,922.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash on hand</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Due from Banks</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$457,574.81</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$5,475,206.43</td>
<td>$5,475,206.43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Accounts of banks, merchants, corporations and individuals solicited. Every accommodation given which responsibility and balances warrant. Letters of Credit issued. Bills of Exchange drawn on all parts of the world. Travellers' Checks sold.

This Bank furnishes the greatest security to depositors of any Bank in Tennessee. All accounts solicited.

W. W. BERRY, President. A. H. ROBINSON, Vice-President. N. P. LESEUER, Cashier.

G. M. NEELY, LESLIE CHEEK, BYRD DOUGLAS, OVERTON LEA
ROBT. J. LYLES, HORATIO BERRY, JNO. B. RANSOM, NORMAN KIRKMAN
A. H. ROBINSON, THOS. L. HERBERT, R. W. TURNER, W. W. BERRY
N. P. LESEUER
Respectfully invites the business of Banks, Merchants, and Individuals, promising to all such the best possible attention to their several interests, and such as would be expected of a conservative, modern banking institution.

Nathaniel Beaman, President.
Tazewell Taylor, Vice-President.
H. M. Kerr, Cashier.
M. C. Ferree, Asst. Cashier.

Respectfully invites the business of Banks, Merchants, and Individuals, promising to all such the best possible attention to their several interests, and such as would be expected of a conservative, modern banking institution.

Caldwell Hardy, President.
C. W. Grandy, Vice-President.
A. B. Schwarzkopp, Cashier.
W. A. Godwin, Ass't Cashier.

With Well Established Connections, this Bank has Unsurpassed Facilities in Every Branch of Legitimate Banking.

Accounts of Banks and Bankers Received on Most Favorable Terms.

Collections Made and Money Transferred to All Parts of the World.

The National Bank
OF COMMERCE
of Norfolk.

United States, United States Court
and City Depository.

Capital Paid in, - - - $500,000
Surplus and Undivided Profits, - 300,000
Total Deposits, - - - 2,900,000

THE NORTHLAND BANK
NORFOLK, VA.

United States Depositary.

Capital, - - - - $400,000
Surplus and Profits, - - 450,000

Caldwell Hardy, President.
C. W. Grandy, Vice-President.
A. B. Schwarzkopp, Cashier.
W. A. Godwin, Ass't Cashier.

The Citizens' Bank
OF NORFOLK, VA.

Capital, $300,000.

Surplus, $250,000.

Officers

W. W. Moss, President.
Tench F. Tilghman, Cashier.
J. W. Perry, Vice-President.
George J. Twohy, Trust Officer.
McD. L. Wrenn, Vice-President.
Norman Bell, Jr., Asst. Cashier.

Accounts of Banks, Corporations, Firms and Individuals Solicited.

Rudolph Kleybolte & Co.
NEW YORK. CINCINNATI. CHICAGO.

Dealers in High Grade Municipal Railroad Public Service Corporation Bonds

Netting Investors 3½ to 5 per cent.

Lists Mailed Upon Application
**The Central National Bank,**

**CLEVELAND, O.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resources</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Capital</td>
<td>$800,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surplus and Undivided Profits</td>
<td>400,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deposits</td>
<td>4,500,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loans</td>
<td>4,500,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**OFFICERS**

J. J. SULLIVAN, President.
E. W. OGLEBAY, Vice-President.
C. A. PAINE, Cashier.
L. J. CAMERON, Asst. Cashier.

---

**The Citizens Savings and Trust Company**

---

**CLEVELAND.**

---

**Statement, August 1st, 1904.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resources</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cash on hand and in banks</td>
<td>$6,214,650.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loans on real estate and approved collateral</td>
<td>17,514,920.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bonds and stocks</td>
<td>10,939,732.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citizens building and other real estate</td>
<td>1,588,107.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$36,257,410.53</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Liabilities</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Capital stock</td>
<td>$4,000,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surplus and profits</td>
<td>2,149,076.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deposits</td>
<td>30,108,332.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$36,257,410.53</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**THE CITIZENS SAVINGS AND TRUST COMPANY, CLEVELAND, IS THE OLDEST AND THE LARGEST TRUST COMPANY IN OHIO.**

4 PER CENT. INTEREST IS PAID ON SAVINGS ACCOUNTS AND 2 PER CENT. ON ACCOUNTS SUBJECT TO CHECK.
Mississippi Valley Trust Company

N. W. COR. FOURTH AND PINE STREETS, ST. LOUIS

Capital, Surplus and Profits, $8,300,000

The Business of this Company is conducted in Five Departments, as follows:

1. **FINANCIAL OR MONEY DEPOSIT**—Receives deposits on time, savings and checking accounts and pays interest thereon; loans money on St. Louis city real estate and listed high-grade securities; buys and sells domestic and foreign exchange; issues its own Letters of Credit available everywhere.

2. **TRUST OR FIDUCIARY**—Executes all manner of Trusts; acts, under authority of the law, as Executor, Administrator, Trustee, Guardian, Curator, Register and Transfer Agent of Bonds and Stocks, Receiver and Financial Agent for non-residents and others; becomes sole surety on bonds required by law to be given.

3. **BOND OR INVESTMENT**—Buys and sells selected high-grade Investment Securities. List of Bonds for sale on application. Commission orders, at usual rates, executed with promptness.

4. **REAL ESTATE**—Manages, Sells, Rents and Appraises St. Louis city real estate. Pays Taxes, places Insurance.

5. **SAFE DEPOSIT OR STORAGE VAULTS**—Rents Safe Deposit boxes in Fire, Burglar and Mob Proof Vault at $5 and upward per annum; stores, at special rates, trunks and boxes containing silverware and other bulky valuables.

**All Business Strictly Confidential. Correspondence Invited.**

**OFFICERS**

**JULIUS S. WALMSLE, President**

BISBEE-BRIDGE-JONES, Vice-President and Trustee

JOHN D. DAVIS, Vice-President

SANDERS, E. HOFFMAN, Vice-President

JAMES E. BROOM, Secretary

HEINZ H. LAMBE, Assistant Secretary

BENNETT B. IBBOTSON, Assistant Secretary

JAMES E. BROWN, Secretary

MURRAY CARTER, Trustee

CHRIS CLARK

JOHN H. DAVIS, Vice-President

HARRISON E. BROWN, President

BEAL INVESTMENT CO.

ERICH A. KETNER

DAVID D. ALLEN, President

ADAM G. LEE, Trustee

ANSELM DREIER, President

GEO. H. GODDARD

**DIRECTORS**

J. H. HOFFMAN, Vice-President

JOHN C. HARRISON, President

EBBEN J. AMES, Trustee

W. J. B. chambers, Trustee

WILLIAM F. SCOTT, Trustee

H. CLAY FIELD, Trustee

J. C. CURTIS, Trustee

A. M. BEGHAN, Trustee

F. H. ROWLAND, Trustee

B. H. STECKLE, Trustee

ROBERT H. STICKNEY, Trustee

W. J. WALMSLEY, Trustee

JULIUS S. WALMSLEY, President

BOLLA WEIR, Mayor of City of St. Louis
Mercantile
Trust
Company
Saint Louis

Capital and Surplus
$9,500,000

DEPARTMENTS
Financial  Real Estate  Safe Deposit
Foreign Exchange  Trust  Savings  Bond
THE
National Bank of North America
CHICAGO
ISAAC N. PERRY, President.
BERNARD A. ECKHART, Vice-President.
CHARLES O. AUSTIN, Vice-President.
JULIUS S. POMEROY, Cashier.
FRANCIS V. PUTNAM, Ass't Cashier.

CAPITAL, - - - $2,000,000
SURPLUS @ PROFITS, - 775,000
DEPOSITS, - - - 14,000,000

Accounts of Banks and Bankers received on Favorable Terms.

Domestic and Foreign Letters of Credit issued, funds transmitted by Bank Post Remittance, Draft or Cable, to Europe or other Foreign Countries.

CORRESPONDENCE AND PERSONAL INTERVIEWS CORDIALLY INVITED.
The Merchants' Loan and Trust Company
OF CHICAGO
Capital and Surplus, $6,000,000
Established 1857

General Banking.  Foreign Exchange.
Safe Deposit Vaults.

OFFICERS.
ORSON SMITH, President.
E. D. HULBERT, Vice-President.
J. G. ORCHARD, Cashier.
P. N. WILDER, Assistant Cashier.
F. G. NELSON, Assistant Cashier.
P. C. PETERSON, Assistant Cashier,
Mgr. Foreign Exchange Dept.
LEON L. LOEHR, Sec'y Trust Dept.
JOHN E. BLUNT, Jr., Manager Bond Department.

DIRECTORS.
MARSHALL FIELD.  CYRUS H. MCCORMICK.
ALBERT KERP.  LAMBERT TREE.
ERSKINE M. PHELPS.  MOSES J. WENTWORTH.
ENOS M. BARTON.  THIRS J. LEPENS.
CLARKE A. BURLEY.  K. H. GARY.
E. D. HULBERT.  CHAUNCEY KEEP.
ORSON SMITH.

REPORT OF CONDITION
At the Commencement of Business, September 7, 1904.

RESOURCES  LIABILITIES

Loans and Discounts,  $22,332,756.51  Capital Stock  $3,000,000.00
Bonds and Stocks,  6,389,736.27  Surplus Fund,  3,000,000.00
Due from Banks and Bankers, $13,826,297.49  Undivided Profits,  455,622.34
Cash and Checks for Clearing  7,640,005.68  Reserved for Accrued Interest,  11,943.06
House  21,506,402.87  Deposits,  43,690,830.25

Total $50,158,395.65  $50,158,395.65

WE INVITE THE CORRESPONDENCE AND PERSONAL
INTERVIEWS OF BANKERS, TRUSTEES, MANAGERS
OF ESTATES AND INDIVIDUALS WHO WISH TO INVEST
THEIR FUNDS SAFELY AND DERIVE A DEFINITE INCOME THEREFROM, FOR EITHER A LONG
OR SHORT PERIOD OF TIME.

WE DEAL IN GOVERNMENT, RAILROAD AND OTHER INVESTMENT BONDS OF THE HIGHEST CHARACTER AND ARE ALWAYS PREPARED TO GIVE THE MOST COMPLETE INFORMATION IN REGARD TO THE SECURITIES WE OFFER.

CHICAGO BANK AND TRUST COMPANY STOCKS BOUGHT AND SOLD.

EVERSZ & COMPANY
BANKERS
220 LA SALLE STREET, CHICAGO.
Maintenance of individuality is a law of principle. Organized, developed and conducted on the principle of conservative banking along progressive lines, The National Bank of the Republic of Chicago has preserved the integrity of its individuality intact during a period in which the tendency to consolidation has been general, and on this basis has gained a little each day in strength, in scope, and in the confidence of the public.

Governed by the same principle, and entertaining a reciprocal confidence in a public which recognizes the right relationship between service and recompense, this Bank expects to continue to grow both in its capacity and its opportunity to serve, and to that end invites the patronage to which it believes it has proved itself entitled.

JOHN A. LYNCH, President.
R. L. CRAMPTON, Assistant Cashier.

W. T. FENTON, Vice-President.
O. H. SWAN, Assistant Cashier.

R. M. McKinney, Cashier.
THOS. JANSEN, Assistant Cashier.

Capital $2,000,000  Surplus $700,000  Deposits $15,000,000
THE CONTINENTAL NATIONAL BANK OF CHICAGO.

CAPITAL, - $3,000,000.00
SURPLUS and UNDIVIDED PROFITS, 1,350,000.00
DEPOSITS, - 42,000,000.00

OFFICERS:

JOHN C. BLACK, President.
GEORGE M. REYNOLDS, Vice-President.
N. E. BARKER, Vice-President.
IRA P. BOWEN, Asst. Cashier.

DIRECTORS:

JOHN C. BLACK, - President.
ALBERT J. EARLING, President Chicago, Milwaukee and St. Paul R. R.
J. OGDEN ARMOU R, President Armour & Company.
ALEXIS W. THOMPSON, President Republic Iron and Steel Co.
F. WEVERHAEUSER, Lumberman and Pine Land Owner, St. Paul, Minnesota; Director of Edward Hines Lumber Company of Chicago.
WM. G. HIBBARD, of Hibbard, Spencer, Bartlett & Co., Wholesale Hardware.
P. A. VALENTINE, Vice-President Armour & Company.

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CHICAGO

CAPITAL, - $8,000,000
SURPLUS, - $5,000,000

FIRST TRUST AND SAVINGS BANK

CHICAGO

CAPITAL, - $1,000,000
DEPOSITS, - $11,000,000

(Organized December 24, 1903.)

Every facility for business of a fiduciary nature.

FIRST NATIONAL BANK BUILDING
Mason, Lewis & Co.

Bankers

CHICAGO
Monadnock Bldg.

PHILADELPHIA
505 Chestnut St.

BOSTON
60 Devonshire St.

Investment Securities

Choice Issues of Railroad, Street Railway, Gas, Electric Light and Power Company Bonds.

List on Application.

A. G. BECKER & CO.

(INCORPORATED.)

COMMERCIAL
PAPER :: :: ::

SOUTHWEST CORNER LA SALLE
AND MONROE STREETS,
CHICAGO, ILL.

American National Bank Record
No. 1 for organizing Bank ab initio,
No. 2 for Conversion of State to National Bank.

Inter-State Corporation Record
For Organising State Bank.

Both books contain proper organization proceedings, including Subscription List, By-Laws, Minutes First Meetings Stock Holders and Directors, Bank Minutes, Stock Register, Transfers, Dividends, Stock Holders' Ledger.

STOCK CERTIFICATES
BONDS
U. S. LOCK SEAL
BANK REGISTERS
ACCOUNT BOOKS

J. W. MIDDLETON & CO.
Publishers and Stationers,
167 Dearborn St., - Chicago.
The Prairie National Bank
OF CHICAGO
No. 159-161 La Salle Street

Capital, - - - - $250,000
Surplus, - - - - 50,000

OFFICERS
President
GEORGE VAN ZANDT
Vice-President
GEORGE WOODLAND
Cashier
WM. B. CONKLIN

DIRECTORS
CHARLES B. SCOVILLE
JOSEPH E. OTIS
GEORGE WOODLAND
J. W. STEVENS
GEORGE VAN ZANDT

Chicago Savings Bank

CAPITAL
$500,000.00

This bank confines the investment of its capital and other funds entrusted to its care, to the purchase of high-grade bonds or loans with collateral security.

It offers its services to other Savings Banks in caring for their reserve accounts or will advise them regarding any question of investment.

DIRECTORS
George H. Webster
Daniel B. Scally
Chas. H. ReQua
Walter H. Wilson
Wm. E. O'Neill
Joseph E. Otis

Henry J. Evans
Rudolph Matz
Wm. G. Hibbard, Jr.
John E. Jenkins
Ralph C. Otis
Lucius Teter

Western Trust & Savings Bank

CHICAGO

Capital One Million Dollars

OFFICERS
JOSEPH E. OTIS . . . . President
WALTER H. WILSON . . . Vice-President
LAWRENCE NELSON . . . Vice-President
WILLIAM C. COOK . . . Cashier
H. WOLLENBERGER . . . Assistant to the President
W. G. WALLING . . . . Secretary

DIRECTORS
JAMES W. STEVENS . . . Pres't Illinois Life Insurance Co.
ROBERT MATHER . . . . Vice-Pres't C., R. I. & P. Ry. Co.
W. A. WIEBOLDT . . . W. A. Wiegoldt & Co., Dept. Store
WALTER H. WILSON . . . Walter H. Wilson & Co., Real Estate
CLARENCE E. MOORE . . . Chas. A. Stevens & Bros., Silks
C. H. HANSON . . . . Royal Danish Consul
A. E. ZIEHME . . . . A. E. Ziehme & Co., Wholesale Jewelers
W. C. BODDER . . . . Matz, Fisher & Bodder, Att'y
JOHN R. MITCHEL . . Vice-Pres't Winona Deposit Bank, Winona, Minn.
W. O. JOHNSON . . . . Gen'l Counsel and a Director Chicago & Erie R. R.
RAYMOND W. STEVENS . . Vice-Pres't III. Life Ins. Co.
RALPH C. OTIS . . . . Vice-Pres't Chicago Savings Bank
JOSEPH E. OTIS . . . . President

The National Live Stock Bank
OF CHICAGO, ILL.
J. B. RUSSELL & CO.
BANKERS
The Rookery, :: :: CHICAGO

FISCAL AGENTS FOR
THE ILLINOIS TUNNEL CO.
AUTOMATIC ELECTRIC CO.

DEALERS IN
HIGH GRADE INVESTMENT SECURITIES

Binghamton, N.Y.    Dayton, O.    Scranton, Pa.
Carbondale, Pa.

FEDERAL
TRUST & SAVINGS
BANK
CHICAGO :: ILLINOIS

Capital $2,000,000
Surplus & Profits $800,000

A general banking, savings and trust company business transacted.
Correspondence Invited.

OFFICERS.
THOMAS P. PHILLIPS,             President
NATHAN G. MOORE,           Vice-President and Counsel
CHARLES S. CASTLE,            Cashier
F. J. SCHEIDENHELM,           Asst. Cashier
EDWIN L. WAGNER,             Asst. Cashier
IRVING J. SHUARD,             Secretary

BOARD OF DIRECTORS.
E. H. GARE, Chairman
Charles Deering
A. E. Nusbaum
T. P. Phillips
C. B. Shedd
W. J. Chalmers
E. J. Paulson
Marban O. Moore
Alfred H. Mulliken
Norman B. Rosam
D. W. Burrows
W. E. Phillips
J. N. Vance

NEW BOOK ON FINANCE
First Edition Exhausted in Three Weeks
SECOND EDITION NOW READY

International Exchange
Its Terms, Parts, Operations and Scope: A Practical
Work on the Foreign Banking Department and
Its Administration by American Bankers.

By ANTHONY W. MARGRAFF
Formerly Manager of the Foreign Department of the

The contents of "International Exchange" will appeal to
every wide-awake American and European Banker,
Capitalist, Exporter, Importer, Merchant and Attorney.

TESTIMONIALS
A very valuable addition has just been made to the currency literature of
the world in "International Exchange," by Anthony W. Margraff of
Chicago. A work of rare merit, with a foreign note, American capital
has admirably supplied him for his task. The treatment is thoroughly
practical and gives a clear idea of the financial problems involved.

A practical work on the foreign department of banking and its manage-
ment for American bankers has clearly been needed, and it is now supplied
by Mr. Anthony W. Margraff, formerly of the National Bank of the
Republic of Chicago, in his "International Exchange," which has been
judged by one of our leading London journals as "a model work which
has admirably supplied him for his task. The treatment is thoroughly
practical and gives a clear idea of the financial problems involved."—The

A highly valuable addition to American literature. This work may be
termed as the most practically useful book on this subject ever
published. It will be of the greatest advantage to all American bankers
and American capitalists. It is admirably put into the English
language and there is to know on this subject.—The Economist, Chicago, Dec. 19, 1903.

Delivered to any address on receipt of Five Dollars.
International Exchange, National Life Building, Chicago, Ill.
THE
FIRST NATIONAL BANK
DENVER, COLO.
The Oldest National Bank in Colorado

Deposits, $19,669,296
Capital, 1,000,000
Surplus, 1,000,000

A general banking business transacted
Accounts of banks and bankers invited

Special attention given to Western Collections at favorable rates.

Officers
D. H. Moffat, President.
F. G. Moffat, Cashier.
W. S. Cheesman, Vice-President.
Thos. Keely, Vice-President.
G. S. Haughwout, Asst. Cashier.

Directors
D. H. Moffat
L. H. Eicholtz
W. S. Cheesman
J. A. McClurg
GEO. F. Baker, New York City

Thomas Keely
F. G. Moffat
W. C. Thomas
C. S. Haughwout
James H. Hyde, New York City


The International Trust Company

Solicits the business of banks and trust companies throughout the country.

Officers
D. H. Moffat, President
M. D. Thatcher
F. B. Gibson, Vice-President
W. S. Cheesman
C. E. Dickinson, Secretary
F. B. Gibson
Alva Adams
J. A. Havre
J. S. Macbeth
David H. Moffat
F. G. Moffat

Directors
David H. Moffat
W. S. Cheesman
M. D. Thatcher
W. S. Cheesman
Alva Adams
F. B. Gibson
J. A. Havre
J. S. Macbeth
Thomas Keely
F. G. Moffat

The Western Bank,
DENVER, COLORADO,
Solicits your business for the entire Middle West.

Prompt and careful attention to all matters entrusted to us.

Over 300 direct correspondents in Colorado, Utah, Wyoming, New Mexico and Montana.
The Merchants National Bank
Of Saint Paul, Minnesota.

United States Depositary.

Capital, - - - $1,000,000
Surplus, - - - 300,000

OFFICERS.
CRAWFORD LIVINGSTON, Vice-President.
JOSEPH T. TALBERT, Cashier.

THE
Commercial National Bank
of CHICAGO
ESTABLISHED 1864

Statement of Condition at the Close of Business Tuesday, September 6, 1904.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RESOURCES</th>
<th>Liabilities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Loans and Discounts</td>
<td>Capital Stock paid in</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overdrafts</td>
<td>Surplus Fund</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Real Estate</td>
<td>Capital Stock paid in</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U. S. Bonds at par</td>
<td>Surplus Fund</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Bonds and Stocks</td>
<td>500,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Due from U. S. Treasurer</td>
<td>National Bank Notes Outstanding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash and Due from Other Banks</td>
<td>25,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Deposits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>31,558,203.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>35,787,587.24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OFFICERS.
JOSEPH T. TALBERT, President.
N. R. LOCH, Assistant Cashier.
G. B. SMITH, Assistant Cashier.
JAMES H. ECKELS, Second Vice-President.
H. C. VERNON, Assistant Cashier.
H. E. SMITH, Auditor.

FOREIGN DEPARTMENT: M. KRELL, Manager.

This Bank restricts its operations to legitimate COMMERCIAL BANKING and especially desires and invites the accounts of banks, corporations, firms and individuals.
First National Bank
MINNEAPOLIS
UNITED STATES DEPOSITORY.

Capital, Surplus and Profits, - $3,400,000.00
Deposits, - $9,500,000.00

Our large list of correspondents in Minnesota and adjoining States gives us unexcelled facilities for handling new business from banks and bankers.

OFFICERS:

J. B. GILFILLAN, President.  F. M. PRINCE, Vice-President.
ERNEST C. BROWN, Asst. Cashier

The Northwestern National Bank
MINNEAPOLIS, MINN.

Capital, - - $1,000,000
Surplus and Profits, - - 700,000
Deposits, - - 8,500,000

WM. H. DUNWOODY,
President
M. B. KOON,
Vice-President
EDWARD W. DECKER,
Vice-President
JOSEPH CHAPMAN, Jr.,
Cashier
FRANK E. HOLTON,
Asst. Cashier
CHAS. W. FARWELL,
Asst. Cashier

An Average of over 8% Annual Dividends paid to stockholders since organization in 1872. Dividends paid since organization, $2,250,000.

If you contemplate making a change in your banking connections, or think of opening a new account, we would be pleased to confer with you in person or by letter.
The
First National Bank
OF MILWAUKEE
UNITED STATES DEPOSITORY.

Capital - - $1,500,000
Surplus and Profits 1,000,000

FARMERS' AND MILLERS' BANK, 1853.
FIRST NATIONAL BANK, 1863.
FIRST NATIONAL BANK, Reorganized, 1882.

F. G. BIGELOW, President. FRANK J. KIPP, Cashier.
WM. BIGELOW, Vice-President. THOMAS E. CAMP, Asst. Cashier.

DIRECTORS.
E. MARINER. F. G. BIGELOW. C. F. PFISTER.
H. C. PAYNE. GEO. P. MILLER. FRED. T. GOLL.
WM. BIGELOW. F. VOGEL, Jr. J. H. VAN DYKE, JR.
The Wisconsin National Bank
OF MILWAUKEE

Capital - - - - - $1,500,000
Surplus - - - - - 950,000
Deposits exceed - - - - 10,000,000

OFFICERS
L. J. PETIT, President
FREDERICK KASTEN, Vice-President
CHAS. E. ARNOLD, Cashier
HERMAN F. WOLF, Asst. Cashier

DIRECTORS
L. J. Petit, President
A. A. L. Smith, of Winkler, Flanders, Smith, Bottum & Fawsett, Attorneys
David Adler, of D. Adler & Sons Clothing Co.
Patrick Cudahy, President Cudahy Bros. Co.
Wm. W. Allis, Capitalist
Frederick Kasten, Vice-President
Chas. Schriber, Vice-Pres. Old National Bank of Oshkosh
E. P. Matthews, of Matthews Bros.
R. W. Houghton, President Wilbur Lumber Co.
G. G. Pabst, President Pabst Brewing Co.
Oliver C. Fuller, President Wisconsin Trust & Security Co.

All collections on Milwaukee and the Northwest will receive prompt and careful attention

Wis. Trust & Security Co.
MILWAUKEE

Capital - - - - - $500,000

Transacts a General Trust Company Business
Deals in Municipal, Railroad, and Corporation Bonds

OFFICERS
OLIVER C. FULLER, President
FREDERICK KASTEN, Vice-Pres. and Treas.
GARDNER P. STICKNEY, Secretary

DIRECTORS
L. J. Petit, President Wisconsin Natl. Bank
A. A. L. Smith, of Winkler, Flanders, Smith, Bottum & Fawsett, Attorneys
David Adler, of D. Adler & Sons Clothing Co.
Patrick Cudahy, President Cudahy Bros. Co.
Wm. W. Allis, Capitalist
Frederick Kasten, Vice-President Wis. Natl. Bank
Chas. Schriber, Vice-Pres. Old National Bank of Oshkosh
E. P. Matthews, of Matthews Bros.
R. W. Houghton, President Wilbur Lumber Co.
Fred Pabst, Vice-President Pabst Brewing Co.
Oliver C. Fuller, President

New and modern burglar and fireproof safety deposit and storage vaults
The Bank of Montreal has Branches in the principal Cities and Towns of Canada, from the Atlantic to the Pacific; in St. John's and Birchy Cove, Newfoundland; in New York, Chicago and Spokane, and in London, England.

IT ISSUES COMMERCIAL AND 'TRAVELLERS' CREDITS AVAILABLE WITH ITS CORRESPONDENTS IN ALL PARTS OF THE WORLD, MAKES COLLECTIONS AT ALL POINTS, AND BUYS AND SELLS STERLING AND CONTINENTAL EXCHANGE AND CABLE TRANSFERS.
### The Canadian Bank of Commerce

**Head Office, TORONTO**

| Paid-up Capital, | - | $8,700,000 |
| Reserve Fund, | - | $3,000,000 |

**HON. GEO. A. COX, President.**  
**B. E. WALKER, General Manager.**  
**ALEX. LAIRD, Asst. General Manager.**

### NEW YORK AGENCY — 16 EXCHANGE PLACE.

**WM. GRAY & H. B. WALKER, Agents.**

**LONDON OFFICE: 60 LOMBARD ST., E. C.**

**S. CAMERON ALEXANDER, Manager.**

### BRANCHES OF THE BANK IN CANADA:

#### BRITISH COLUMBIA:
- Attila, Cremona, Fernie
- Greenwood, Kamloops, Larysmith, Nelson, New Westminster, Vancouver

#### MANITOBA AND NORTHWEST TERRITORIES:
- Calgary, Carmi, Dauphin, Dawson, Edmonton, Tokyo, Victoria
- Elkhorn, Gilbert Plains, Grandview, Ionefail, Lloydsminster, Medicine Hat, Moosejaw, Mocassin, Nipawin, Portage in Prairie, Prince Albert

#### ONTARIO AND QUEBEC:

#### MARITIME PROVINCES:
- Annapolis, Antigonish, Barrington, Bridgewater, Canning, Chignecto, Lunenburg, Middleton

### IN THE UNITED STATES

#### NEW YORK:
- The Bank of New York
- The Bank of Nova Scotia
- The Bank of Nova Scotia, Limited

#### PORTLAND, ORE.:
- The Bank of Nova Scotia, Limited

#### SAN FRANCISCO:
- The Bank of Nova Scotia, Limited

### BANKERS AND CHIEF CORRESPONDENTS

**GREAT BRITAIN—The Bank of England**
- The Union of London and South America Bank, Limited
- Parr's Bank Limited

**FRANCE—Credito Agricola, Paris**
- Messrs. Lazari Freres & Co., Paris

**GERMANY—Deutsche Bank**
- Holland—Disconto Machtshipp, Rotterdam

**BELGIUM—Messrs. J. Mathieu & Fils, Brussels**
- Banque d'Anvers, Antwerp

**SWITZERLAND—Banque Fide-vale, Zurich**
- South Africa—The Standard Bank of South Africa, Limited

**AUSTRALIA and NEW ZEALAND—**
- The Bank of Australia, Limited
- The Bank of Australia, Limited

**NEW YORK—The American Exchange Bank**
- The Fourth National Bank
- The National Shawmut Bank

**BOSTON—The Bank of Nova Scotia**
- The Northern Trust Company
- NEW ORLEANS—The Commercial National Bank
- MEXICO—Banco de Londres y Mexico

**WEST INDIES—Bank of Nova Scotia, Kingston, Jamaica**
- Colonial Bank and Branches

**BERMUDA—Bank of Bermuda, Limited, Hamilton**
- National Bank of Cuba and Branches

**SOUTH AMERICA—The British Bank of South America, Limited**
- Indin, China, Japan and the PHILIPPINE ISLANDS—Chartered Bank of India, Australia, and China

**HONOLULU—First National Bank of Hawaii**
- Bishop & Co.

---

This Bank transacts every description of Banking Business, including the issue of Commercial Letters of Credit and Drafts on Foreign Countries, and will negotiate or collect Bills on any place where there is a bank or banker.

Travelers' Letters of Credit issued, available in all parts of the World.

The New York Agency has special facilities for the purchase and sale of Sterling and other Foreign Exchange, and for the transaction of any Banking Business with Canada.
The Sovereign Bank of Canada

ACCOUNTS
of Individuals, Firms, Corporations and Banks solicited.

CERTIFICATES
of deposit issued at liberal interest rates.

COLLECTIONS
on all banks in Canada promptly made.

COMMERCIAL
and Circular Letters of Credit issued.

CORRESPONDENTS:

NEW YORK
National Bank of Commerce.
Morgan, J. P. Morgan & Co.
Standard Trust Company.

BOSTON
Atlantic National Bank.
First National Bank.

PHILADELPHIA
Farmers and Mechanics' National Bank.

CHICAGO
Commercial National Bank.

LONDON
Mayer, J. S. Morgan & Co.
Union Discount Company of London, Limited.
Dreadnought.

Main Office in Montreal:
202 St. James Street,
(Corner St. Peter St.).

W. GRAHAM BROafone, Manager.

The Bank of British North America

Established in 1836.
Incorporated by Royal Charter in 1840.

Paid-up Capital, £1,000,000 Sterling. Reserve Fund, £400,000 Sterling

HEAD OFFICE, 5 Gracechurch St., London, E. C.

JOHN H. BRODIE, Esq.
J. J. CATER, Esq.
HENRY R. FARRE, Esq.
A. G. WALLIS, Secretary.

COURT OF DIRECTORS.

M. G. C. GLYN, Esq.
RICHARD H. GLYN, Esq.
HOW. ARTHUR ROARE, Esq.

HENRY J. R. KENDALL, Esq.
FREDERICK LURBROCK, Esq.
GEO. DUNBAR WHATMAN, Esq.
W. S. GOLDBY, Manager.

BANKERS, THE BANK OF ENGLAND; MESSRS. GLYN, MILLS, CURRIE & CO.

Gen. Mgr., H. STIKEMAN. Supt. of Branches, JAMES ELMSLY.
Inspector, H. B. MACKENZIE.

AGENCIES IN THE UNITED STATES.

New York.
W. LAWSON and J. C. WELSH, Agents.

San Francisco.

BRANCHES IN CANADA.

Province of Quebec.

Montreal.

Longueuil (Sub. Br.)
St. Catherine St.

Quebec.
Levis (Sub. Br.)

Province of Nova Scotia.
Halifax.

Province of New Brunswick.
St. John.
Fredericton.

Yorkton District.

Dawson.

BRANCHES IN CANADA.

Province of Ontario.

London.

London Market (Sub. Br.)

Brantford.

Hamilton.

Hamilton, Barton St. (Sub. Br.)

Toronto.

Toronto Junction.
Weston (Sub. Br.)

Kirkland.
Boulevard.

Kitchener.

BRANCHES IN CANADA.

Province of British Columbia.

Vancouver.

Windsor.

Brandon.

Reston.

Northwest Territories.

Battleford.

Estevan.

Caledwy.

Northam.

Jock Lake.

Yokem.

VICTORIA.

COURT OF DIRECTORS.

FREDERICK LURBROCK, Esq.

M. G. C. GLYN, Esq.

GEO. DUNBAR WHATMAN, Esq.

H. S. HOLT, President.
D. M. STEWART, General Manager.

HEAD OFFICE, TORONTO.

DRAFTS
and Telegraphic Transfers on all the leading cities in Canada,
Great Britain and the Continent sold at the best rates.

3% INTEREST
allowed from date of deposit and credited twice a year in our

SAVINGS
Department at all offices.

CORRESPONDENCE
Invited.

Drafts on South Africa may be obtained at the Bank's Branches.

Commercial Credits Issued for use in Europe, China, Japan, East and West Indies; Brazil, River Plate, Australia, etc.

Circular Notes issued in pounds Sterling, available in all parts of the world.

Agents in Canada for the Colonial Bank, London and the West Indies.

Authorized Capital . . . . $2,000,000
Paid up Capital and Surplus . $1,700,000
Total Assets . . . . 10,000,000
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THE BANK OF TORONTO
HEAD OFFICE, TORONTO, CANADA.

PAID-UP CAPITAL, - $3,000,000.00
RESERVE FUND, - $3,200,000.00

Branches in Ontario

- Quebec
- British Columbia

Agents and Correspondents in every Banking Town in Canada.

Bankers in Great Britain and the East...The London City and Midland Bank, Limited.
The Chartered Bank of India, Australia and China.

The Collection of Commercial Paper and Securities is given careful attention and promptly remitted for.


THE DOMINION BANK
HEAD OFFICE, - - TORONTO, CANADA.

CAPITAL AUTHORIZED, $4,000,000.
CAPITAL PAID UP, $3,000,000.
RESERVE FUND and UNDIVIDED PROFITS, $3,565,000.

EDMUND B. OSLER, M.P., President.
T. G. BROUGH, General Manager.

WILMOT D. MATTHEWS, Vice-President.
H. J. BETHUNE, Inspector.

Branches and Agencies throughout Canada and United States.

Drafts and Letters of Credit issued upon all parts of the World.

A GENERAL BANKING BUSINESS CONDUCTED.

THE BANK OF OTTAWA
CAPITAL, (Authorized) - $3,000,000
CAPITAL, (Paid up) - $2,500,000

HEAD OFFICE, - OTTAWA, ONTARIO.

GEORGE BURN, General Manager.

BRANCHES

Alexandria, Ont.
Amherst,
Armsmore,
Beaveridge,
Buckingham, Que.
Carp, Ont.
Carleton "Place," Ont.
Cobden
Dawson,
Fort Coulonge, Que.
Emerson, Man.
Granby, Que.
Hawkesbury, Ont.
Hall, Ont.
Jasper, Ont.
Kemptville, Ont.
Ladyside, Que.
Lanagan, Ont.
Mattawa,
Montreal, Que.
Morrisburg, Ont.

Mayville, Ont.
Havelock, Ont.
North Bay, Ont.
Ottawa,
Bank Street,
Rideau,
Somerset
Perry Sound,
Pembroke,
Portage La Prairie,
Prince Albert, Sask.

D. M. FINNIE, Assistant General Manager

Rat Portage, Ont.
Reignac, Aus.
Reinfrow, Ont.
Riverview,
Shawinigan Falls, Que.
Saunier Falls, Ont.
Torrance,
United States,
Vinden, Man.
Winchester, Ont.
Winnipeg, Man.

Agents in Canada, Bank of Montreal.

KNAUTH, NACHOD & KÜHNE,
15 WILLIAM STREET. - NEW YORK.

BANKERS,


BRITISH GOVERNMENT BONDS; GERMAN GOVERNMENT AND MUNICIPAL BONDS.

LETTERS OF CREDIT AND INTERNATIONAL TRAVELERS’ CHECKS AVAILABLE EVERYWHERE.

FOREIGN EXCHANGE. CORRESPONDENTS THROUGHOUT THE WORLD.
The Union Discount Company
OF LONDON, LIMITED.
Cable Address, "TURDISCO, LONDON."

Capital Subscribed, $7,500,000, in 150,000 Shares of $50 Each, on which $25 have been paid.

PAID UP, $3,750,000, RESERVE FUND, $2,050,000, NUMBER OF SHAREHOLDERS, 2,647.

DIRECTORS,
ROBERT RALFOUR, WILLIAM THOMAS BRAND, SIR EDWARD BLOUNT, K.C.B., SIR WILLIAM DUNN, BART., M.P., MANAGER—CHRISTOPHER R. HUGENT.
ASSISTANTS TO MANAGER—E. C. STEVENSON AND F. NEVILL, JACKSON.
SECRETARY—E. MARCH.

DR. Balance Sheet, 30th June, 1904. CR.

To Capital Account, 1,500,000 Shares of $50... $7,500,000.00

Amount paid, $25

per share ...... $2,250,000.00

Reserve Fund ..... 2,000,000.00

Provider Reserve Fund ..... 170,256.63

" Loans and Deposits, including provision for contingencies ..... 64,568,948.48

" Bills Re-discounted ..... 116,322,886.90

56,790,914.38

" Rebate on Bills Dis-

counted ..... 502,600.83

Balance at Credit of Profit and Loss for Appropriation ..... 493,354.44

99,015.29

887,367,186.30

By Cash at Bankers................. $3,084,065.96

Government and other Securities ..... 13,043,529.31

" Bills on Sundry Securities at call and short dates ..... 10,599,688.21

" Bills Discounted ..... 60,517,330.05

" Sundry Debts平衡 ..... 31,833.46

" Freehold and Leasehold Premises, Fittings and Furniture ..... 543,638.71

DR. Profit and Loss Account for the Six Months ending 30th June, 1904. CR.

To Current Expenses, including Salaries, Rent and Taxes, Directors' Fees, and all other charges

" Rebate of interest on Bills discounted not due, carried forward to New Account ..... 502,600.83

" Reserve Fund ..... 206,250.00

Dividend for the half-year at the rate of 11 per cent. per annum, free of Income Tax......

" Balance carried forward to next Account ....... 493,354.44

$1,089,684.19

By Balance brought forward from 31st December, 1903 ..... $2,424,499.79

" Gross Profits for the half-year, after making provision for bad and doubtful debts ..... $471,184.40

E. MARCH, WILLIAM DUNN, W. T. BRAND, Directors.
Secretary. Balance brought down... $2,737,104.44

In accordance with the provisions of the Company's Act, 1862, we certify that all our requirements as Auditors, have been complied with.

We have examined the Securities representing Investments of the Company, those held against Loans made by the Company at call, short and fixed dates, and all bills discounted in hand. We have also verified the Cash Balances, and touched the profits and losses given as initial.

The foregoing is a true and correct view of the affairs and condition of the Union Discount Company at the date hereof, and we certify that we have examined the books and vouchers, and that the balance Sheet is properly drawn up so as to exhibit a true and correct view of the state of the Company's affairs as shown by the books of the Company, except that, whilst it shows the amount of the Bills and other Securities given out against Loans shown on the debit side of the Balance Sheet.

LONDON, E.C., 8th July, 1904.

The Company discounts approved bank and mercantile acceptances, receives money on deposit at rates advertised from time to time, and grants loans on approved negotiable securities.

$5 = £1.
The National Discount Company, LIMITED.
35 Cornhill, London, England
Cable Address, "NATDIS, LONDON."

Subscribed Capital, $21,166,625

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Paid-up Capital</td>
<td>$4,233,325</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve Fund</td>
<td>$2,000,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In 169,333 Shares of $125 each, of which $25 have been paid up. Number of Proprietors, 3,494.

DIRECTORS
EDMUND THEODORE DORAT, Chairman.
LAWRENCE EDLIMANN CHALMERS, FREDERICH C. A. FLEISCHMANN, WILLIAM FOWLER, FREDERICK WILLIAM GREEN, CHARLES DAVID SELIGMAN.
W. MURRAY GUTHRIE, M. P., SIGISMUND FERDINAND MENDL, JOHN FRANCIS OGLIVY, MANAGER: PHILIP HAROLD WADE, AUDITORS: CHARLES WOOLLEY.

BANK OF ENGLAND. THE UNION OF LONDON AND SMITHS BANK, LIMITED.

BALANCE SHEET, 30th JUNE, 1904.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dr.</td>
<td>£1 Sterling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To subscribed capital</td>
<td>$21,166,625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>viz., 169,333 shares of $125 each</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital paid up, viz., $25 per share</td>
<td>$4,233,325</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve fund</td>
<td>$2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deposits and loans</td>
<td>$45,927,987</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bills re-discounted</td>
<td>17,011,959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sundry balances, rebate and interest due on deposits</td>
<td>601,382</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount at credit of profit and loss account</td>
<td>265,840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$6,140,493</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dr.</th>
<th>£1 Sterling</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Profit and Loss Account for the Half-Year Ending 30th June, 1904.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>By Cash</td>
<td>$710,426</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot; Securities — British and Indian Govt's own and other Trustee Securities, including City of London Corporation Bonds</td>
<td>$8,282,349</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot; Other Securities</td>
<td>1,165,305</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot; Loans at call, short and fixed dates</td>
<td>9,429,757</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot; Bills discounted</td>
<td>39,488,18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot; Sundry balances and interest due on investments and loans</td>
<td>116,137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot; Freehold &amp; leasehold</td>
<td>533,276</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$6,140,493</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In accordance with the provisions of the Companies Act, 1900, we certify that all our requirements as Auditors have been complied with.

We have examined the Securities representing investments of the Company, those held against Loans at call, short and fixed dates, and all Bills discounted in hand. We have also proved the Cash Balances, and verified the Securities and Bills in the hands of depositors. The foregoing Accounts agree with the books, and we are of opinion that the balance sheet is properly drawn up so as to exhibit a true and correct view of the state of the Company's affairs as shown by the books of the Company, except that it does not state the amount of Investments and Bills placed as security against Loans and Deposits.

JOSEPH GURNEY FOWLER, F. C. A. (Price, Waterhouse & Co.)
FRANCIS W. PIXLEY, F. C. A. (Jackson, Pixley, Browning, Husey & Co.)

Approved Bank and Mercantile Bills Discounted. Loans granted upon approved Negotiable Securities. Money received on Deposit, at rates advertised from time to time, and for fixed periods upon specially agreed terms.
REDMOND & CO.

BANKERS

41 WALL STREET, - NEW YORK
507 Chestnut Street, Philadelphia

DEALERS IN
High-Grade Investment Securities
MEMBERS NEW YORK STOCK EXCHANGE.
Transact a General Foreign and Domestic Banking Business.

ISSUE
LETTERS OF CREDIT
In Sterling, Francs, Marks, or Dollars, payable in all parts of the world.

Franklin Trust Company
ESTABLISHED 1888

OFFICES:
161-166 Montague St., Borough of Brooklyn, New York City.
140 Broadway, Borough of Manhattan

Capital
$1,000,000

Surplus
and
Undivided Profits
over
$1,500,000

OFFICERS
GEO. H. SOUTHAIRD, President
WM. H. WALLACE, Vice-President.
GATES D. FAINSTOCK, 3d Vice-President.
CROWELL HADDEN, Jr., Secretary
CLINTON W. LUDLUM, Assistant Secretary.
GEO. H. SOUTHAIRD, Jr., Assistant Secretary.
WILLIAM J. FRITHINGHAM, Trust Officer

Deposits Received, Checks Honored. Business Transacted
at Either Office.
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OFFICERS

AUGUST BELMONT, Acting President.
WILLIAM A. NASH, Edward T. Perine, Gen'l Manager and Treasurer.
GEORGE W. YOUNG, F. C. Richardson, Asst. Treasurer.
JOHN J. MITCHELL, A. L. Tinsley, Philadelphia Manager.
A. W. DUNNING, Western Manager.

Examinations of Banks and Trust Companies made at intervals by independent accountants are an assurance alike to Stockholders, Directors, Officers and Depositors.

The Audit Company of New York maintains a department of bank examining and acts for examining committee of directors.

SERVICES CONFIDENTIAL

OFFICES

N. Y. Life Buildings
Chicago

43 Cedar Street
New York

Market and Fulton National Bank
Of New York

CAPITAL, - - $1,000,000
SURPLUS, - - 1,000,000
UNDIVIDED PROFITS, 315,000

ALEXANDER GILBERT, - President
ROBERT BAYLES, - Vice-President

THOMAS J. STEVENS, - Cashier
JOHN H. CARR, - Ass't Cashier

CHARLES D. BARNEY. J. HORACE HARDING. JAY COOKE, 3rd. CHAS. S. PHILLIPS

Chas. D. Barney & Co.
Bankers and Brokers
Nos. 122 and 124 South Fourth St., - - Philadelphia branch office, North American Building.

No. 25 Broad Street, New York

Dealers in Investment Securities. Stocks and Bonds Bought and Sold on Commission and Carried on favorable Terms.

Members New York and Philadelphia Stock Exchanges
Fidelity Trust Company
NEWARK, N. J.

Capital, Surplus and Undivided Profits, over $9,000,000

ALLOWS INTEREST on daily balances of $1,000 or over, subject to check at sight, and transacts a general banking business.

AUTHORIZED BY LAW to act as Executor, Trustee, Administrator, Guardian, Assignee, Receiver, and in all fiduciary capacities, both under court and personal appointment.

TAKES ENTIRE CHARGE of Real and Personal Estates.

RENTS SAFES and stores valuables in well-guarded Burglar and Fireproof Vaults.

GUARANTEES TITLES of Real Estate throughout New Jersey.

ACTS AS TRUSTEE under mortgage, Registrar and Transfer Agent of Stocks and Bonds of Corporations.

UZAL H. McCARTER, President
JOHN F. DRYDEN, Vice-President
JEROME TAYLOR, Trust Officer

OFFICERS.
FREDERICK W. EGNER, Secretary and Treasurer
JAMES H. SHACKLETON, Asst Secretary and Asst Treasurer
SAMUEL W. BELDON, General Counsel

DIRECTORS.
William N. Color, Jr.
William H. McIntyre
William H. Stake
Forrest F. Dryden
Henry S. Redmond
Bernard Strauss
Robert H. McCarter
Henry Rogers Winthrop
Anthony R. Kuer
Mark T. Cox
Henry M. Doremus
Otto H. Kahn
Jacob E. Ward

Maitland, Coppell & Co.
52 William Street, New York.

ORDERS EXECUTED FOR ALL INVESTMENT SECURITIES. ACT AS AGENTS OF CORPORATIONS AND NEGOTIATE AND ISSUE LOANS.

Bills of Exchange, Telegraphic Transfers, Letters of Credit

ON

Union of London & Smiths Bank, Limited, London,
Messrs. Mallet Freres & Cie., Paris,
Banco Nacional de Mexico,
AND ITS BRANCHES.

Agents for the Bank of Australasia, the British Guiana Bank, Demerara, etc., etc.

TRAVELERS’ LETTERS OF CREDIT
AVAILABLE THROUGHOUT THE UNITED STATES.
BANKERS TRUST COMPANY
7 WALL STREET, NEW YORK.

Capital $1,000,000 Surplus $500,000

Opened for Business March 30, 1903.

Undivided Profits June 30, 1904. $ 126,219.
Deposits September 13, 1904, - 15,600,000.

DIRECTORS.


EDMUND C. CONVERSE, President.


FRANCIS H. SKELDING, Cashier First Nat. Bank, Pittsburgh.


JOHN F. THOMPSON, Vice-President.


ROBERT WINSOR, Kidder, Peabody & Co., Bankers, Boston.


Acts as Executor, Administrator and Guardian; Assignee and Receiver; Registrar, Transfer and Fiscal Agent; and as Trustee for individuals and corporations.

ALLOWS INTEREST UPON DEPOSITS.

E. C. CONVERSE, J. F. THOMPSON, T. W. LAMONT,
President. Vice-President. 2nd Vice-President.

D. E. POMEROY, B. STRONG, JR.,
Treasurer. Secretary.

Chartered 1866.

Brooklyn Trust Company,
Main Office: 177-179 Montague St.
Branch: Bedford Avenue and Fulton St.

Capital, - $1,000,000.00
Undivided Profits, 1,939,370.92

Francis L. Hine,
Chairman Ex. Com.

Chartered 1866.

Brooklyn Trust Company,
Main Office: 177-179 Montague St.
Branch: Bedford Avenue and Fulton St.

Capital, - $1,000,000.00
Undivided Profits, 1,939,370.92

Francis L. Hine,
Chairman Ex. Com.

Theo. F. Miller, President
Samuel W. Boocock, Vice-President
F. C. Colton, Vice-President
S. W. Husted, Secretary
F. J. W. Diller, Asst. Secretary

The Peoples Trust Company
172 Montague Street, Brooklyn, New York.

Capital, $1,000,000. Surplus, $1,000,000. Undivided Profits, $600,000.00.

ALLOWS INTEREST ON DAILY BALANCES.

TRANSACTS A GENERAL TRUST AND BANKING BUSINESS.

OFFICERS.

EDWARD JOHNSON, President.

HORACE J. MORSE, Vice-President.

CHARLES L. SCHENCK, Assistant Secretary.

J. G. DETTMER, First Vice President.

CHARLES A. BOODY, Secretary.

HENRY M. HEATH, Assistant Secretary.

TRUSTEES.

Eugene G. Blackford Wm. C. Courtney
Wm. M. Boss Wm. H. Good
David A. Boody Wm. H. Hilly
Abdus S. Carhart Edward Johnson
Wm. M. Cole Solomon W. Johnson

BEDFORD BRANCH, Bedford Avenue and Halsey Street.
The Farmers' Loan & Trust Co.

CHARTERED 1822.

NOS. 16, 18, 20 AND 22 WILLIAM STREET, NEW YORK

CAPITAL, $1,000,000.00
UNDIVIDED PROFITS, 7,195,984.75

The Company is a legal depositary for moneys paid into Court, and is authorized to act as Executor, Administrator, Trustee, Guardian, Receiver, and in all other Fiduciary capacities.

Acts as Trustee under Mortgages made by Railroad and other Corporations, and as Transfer Agent and Registrar of Stocks and Bonds.

Receives deposits upon Certificates of Deposit, or subject to check, and allows interest on daily balances.

Manages Real Estate and lends money on bond and mortgage.

Acts as Agent for the transaction of any approved financial business.

EDWIN S. MARSTON, President.

THOMAS J. BARNETT, 2d Vice-President.

SAMUEL SLOAN, Jr., Secretary.

AUGUSTUS V. HEELY, Asst. Secy.

WILLIAM B. CARDOZO, Asst. Secy.

CORNELIUS R. AGNEW, Asst. Secy.

BOARD OF DIRECTORS:

SAMUEL SLOAN,
WILLIAM WALDORF ASTOR,
D. O. MILLS,
ROBERT F. BALLANTINE,
FRANKLIN D. LOCKE,
GEORGE F. BAKER,
A. G. AGNEW,
CHARLES A. PEABODY,
HUGH D. AUCHINCLOSS.

JAMES STILLMAN,
HENRY A. C. TAYLOR,
D. H. KING, Jr.,
ROBERT C. BOYD,
E. R. HOLDEN,
WILLIAM ROWLAND,
EDWARD R. BACON,
HENRY H. ROGERS,
EDWIN S. MARSTON,

MOSES TAYLOR PYNE,
S. S. PALMER,
CLEVELAND H. DODGE,
JOHN L. RIKER,
DANIEL S. LAMONT,
HENRY HENTZ,
H. VAN RENSSELAER KENNEDY
ARCHIBALD D. RUSSELL,
P. A. VALENTINE

STATEMENT

Showing its actual condition on the morning of the first day of July, 1904, as reported to the Superintendent of the Banking Department.

RESOURCES.

United States Bonds, at market value, $3,954,760.00
Bonds of the City of New York, at market value, 1,269,395.00
Railroad Bonds and other Bonds and Stocks, at market value, 14,418,822.00
Real Estate, 455,668.77
Bonds and Mortgages, 1,494,500.00
Loans, 26,533,923.90
Cash on hand, 3,005,581.74
Cash in Banks, 19,402,431.25
Accrued interest, Rents, etc., 496,217.71

$72,031,300.37

LIABILITIES.

Capital Stock, $1,000,000.00
Undivided Profits, 7,195,984.75
Deposits in Trust, 63,436,517.75
Interest accrued on Deposits, Taxes, and Unclaimed Dividends, 398,797.87

$72,031,300.37
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The Chronicle.

The Commercial and Financial Chronicle is a weekly newspaper of 72 to 80 pages, published in time for the earliest mail every Saturday morning, with the latest news by telegraph and cable from its own correspondents relating to the various matters within its scope.

The Chronicle comprises a number of added Sections, issued periodically, and which form exceedingly valuable adjuncts of the weekly issues.

The Railway and Industrial Section, issued quarterly, is furnished without extra charge to every subscriber of the Chronicle.

The State and City Section, issued semi-annually, is also furnished without extra charge to every subscriber of the Chronicle.

The Bank and Quotation Section, issued monthly, is likewise furnished without extra charge to every subscriber of the Chronicle.

The Street Railway Section, issued three times a year, is also furnished without extra charge to every annual subscriber of the Commercial and Financial Chronicle.

Besides these Sections, others are published from time to time like the present Bankers' and Trust Section.

Terms for the Chronicle, including all the Sections, are Ten Dollars within the United States and Thirteen Dollars (which includes postage) in Europe.

WILLIAM B. DANA COMPANY, PUBLISHERS, PINE ST., CORNER PEARL ST., NEW YORK

INDEX TO ADVERTISEMENTS.

A complete index to the advertisements appearing in the present issue of the Bankers' and Trust Section will be found on page 1126.

"EMERGENCY CURRENCY" EXPEDIENTS.

The proceedings of the Bankers' Convention this year were somewhat remarkable from the fact that no steps were taken in the line of distinctly recommending or providing for the legislative consideration of measures to reform the currency. At previous conventions, discussion of assets currency in its various phases has taken a prominent place in the deliberations of the Convention. This year it is somewhat noticeable that the speakers avoided bringing up the main question for controversy. This may perhaps be because the opinions of the bankers have developed considerable difference regarding this and similar measures. It may be because the bankers have been convinced of the hopelessness of inducing Congress to act on the question at the present time, though we doubt whether this fact entered largely into consideration. Or, finally, it may be that the approaching issue of a new large Government loan, in connection with the Panama Canal construction, was assumed as promising to give a lease of life to the present bond secured note circulation.

Our own opinions on this question have been frequently expressed; our belief in short being, first, that no matter how often the government-bond security plan for bank notes may be perpetuated, through fresh issues of bonds or otherwise, it is, nevertheless, an unstable reliance because of our Government's principle and practice of redeeming its debt in heavy quantities and at times with great rapidity. Furthermore, we have always believed, and still believe, that the proper purposes of a note circulation are not served when banks are repeatedly urged to buy bonds as collateral for fresh note issues, at a time when the mere fact of such demands makes the price abnormally high, and that later on, when trade is dull and circulation not required, they are compelled either to keep outstanding useless note issues, or else to sell at a loss the bonds on which such circulation had been based. For naturally the price of the bonds will then have fallen. We presume that the general question will come up again before future bank conventions, and that a good deal of careful consideration will be given to it in the interim.

As it happened, this Convention's discussions converged very largely on what one speaker called "panic panaceas"—meaning by this the measures which banks should take, either under existing laws or through new provisions of law, for meeting the emergency of a money market crisis. It is quite natural that the thoughts of practical bankers should have been directed toward this problem during the past twelve months, when a really severe though short-lived crisis arose among credit institutions in two or three of our largest cities, and when all the country's financial institutions have had to keep eagerly on the watch for the possible development of wider
disturbance. The twelve months which started so unauspiciously last autumn have fortunately passed without the disasters which many prudent people feared. The wealth of the community has been attested as it has rarely been on previous occasions. Nevertheless, it is not unreasonable that the Convention of bankers, meeting after a period of that sort, should direct their thoughts to the problem how a real emergency should be met.

A somewhat interesting diversity of opinion was expressed on this important question. Mr. Hepburn raised the question of an emergency circulation similar to that allowed by German law to the Imperial Bank—the practice being to permit the bank to issue notes in excess of the limit specified by law, with a tax upon such notes so heavy as to give every inducement for the banks to redeem the excess circulation as soon as the money market eased. This practice has undoubtedly been of service during German crises such as that of 1900 and the ensuing year, when German bank note circulation repeatedly went many millions beyond the legal limit. These issues were put out in a stringent money market, much disordered by the crash in industrial enterprises and the scandals of banking institutions. Whether the expedient would be of equal value in this country is a different question. Mr. Hepburn thinks it would be so adopted, and he states his reasons thus:

The weakest point in our currency system is shown during those periodical crises commonly called panics. A panic means business paralysis. Some climax to a series of adverse influences operating upon the public mind temporarily destroys credit, and in a country like ours, where ninety per cent. of business transactions are consummated by means of credit, it means a practical stoppage of the wheels of industry. The only possible way to liquidate a panic is to keep business moving. Credit or currency must be provided to carry the products of farm and factory to the marts of the world in order that the return prices may meet and extinguish local demands and restore normal conditions. I think the Government in times of panic, being amply protected against loss, should loan its credit in the form of bank note currency to the banks, receiving therefor a high rate of interest, say, six per cent. If the rate of interest should fail to retire such circulation within proper time the Secretary and the Comptroller could require its retirement.

It will probably be rejoined by many readers that the credit system of this country, to which Mr. Hepburn especially refers, and particularly that of the larger cities, is not conducted on the basis of note circulation. To what extent it would be so conducted with an asset currency is a different matter; but Mr. Hepburn himself assumes that the general basis of the currency law is not likely soon to be changed. It is plain enough, looking at crises of the past, that an immediate issue of fresh bank notes would have relieved such a situation as that created in July, 1893, through actual money hoarding. But two things are to be remembered in this connection: first, that instantaneous issue of such note circulation would not be altogether easy, and, second, that the money hoarding of the panic year was a result and not a cause; its own cause being a crash in credit which could scarcely have been relieved even by a very large addition to outstanding bank note issues. It was legal reserve money, required by the banking law, which was needed.

Mr. Bigelow, president of the Bankers' Association, in his speech on the same day, took issue with Mr. Hepburn's views, stating flat disbelief in the emergency circulation theory. His opinions on this point are terse and well worth quoting:

"With any sort of a proper system of currency I do not believe in an emergency circulation. An ounce of prevention is worth a pound of cure. I believe in a system sound and strong and reasonable enough to meet every emergency we ought to have to meet; and when exuberant human nature, in finance, in the field of labor, or anywhere else so exceeds natural bounds as to bring distress, I think the public is only worse off for any elaborate, prearranged plans to let it out of a bad hole by an easy way. We need the right men for emergencies more than any laws. You had them pre-eminently here in New York in 1893 when you had to bear the brunt of a great financial crisis."

As a rule, the consensus of feeling among the bankers seemed to be against an emergency provision, but agreement was very general that there were certain elements in existing law and practice which might rightly be improved. President Frame, of the Waukesha National Bank, laid stress on compulsory requirement of reserve against demand liabilities, and plainly intimated that this provision ought to be extended, though with a smaller percentage requirement, to institutions such as trust companies, a large part of whose deposits are subject to time notice. This same phase of the banking situation came up for interesting controversy in the meeting of the Trust Company Section. Here, however, the usual divergence of opinion was encountered as to whether the Company should keep more than a trifling reserve on hand in actual cash when it had an ample sum deposited on demand in bank. Into this particular controversy we need not go at present, beyond expressing our opinion that the problem will be settled only by some arrangement privately made or through public enactment fixing the precise relations of the banks and trust companies in this regard. We deal with the matter more at length in a succeeding article.

A more general agreement was expressed on another "panacea," which could hardly be said to be reserved for an emergency, but which would have its uses at all times of recurring money stringency. We refer to the plan for depositing the public surplus with the banks on approved security, not necessarily limited to United States bonds. On this point practically all the speakers seemed to agree, though mention did not seem
to be made of the provision requiring interest payment to the Government. It is possible that this phase of the discussion will be heard from later. It will be recalled that the Chamber of Commerce, late in 1902, formally approved such recommendations, and that they were later incorporated in the Aldrich Bill, which, however, failed largely through opposition from the West.

As this matter very probably will come up for renewed consideration, we reprint the essential clauses of the Bill then proposed:

The Secretary of the Treasury may accept as security for the safe-keeping of public money deposited with national banking associations, as herein authorized, the deposit of bonds of the United States, bonds or other interest-bearing obligations of any State of the United States, or any legally authorized bonds issued for municipal purposes by any city in the United States which has been in existence as a city for a period of twenty-five years, and which for a period of ten years previous to such deposit has not defaulted in the payment of any part of either principal or interest of any debt authorized to be contracted by it, and which has at such date more than 50,000 inhabitants as established by the last national, State, or city census, and whose net indebtedness does not exceed 10 per cent. of the valuation of the taxable property therein, to be ascertained by the last preceding valuation of property for the assessment of taxes; or the first-mortgage bonds of any railroad company, not including street-railway bonds, which has paid dividends of not less than 4 per cent. per annum regularly and continuously on its entire capital stock for a period of not less than ten years previous to the deposit of the bonds. The Secretary of the Treasury may accept the securities herein enumerated in such proportions as he may from time to time determine, and he may at any time require the deposit of additional securities, or require any depositary to change the character of the securities already on deposit. National banking associations having on deposit public moneys shall pay to the United States for the use thereof interest at the rate of not less than 1½ per cent. per annum, such rate to be fixed from time to time by the Secretary of the Treasury; and all public moneys in any depositary shall be payable on demand upon the draft of the Treasurer of the United States.

It will be recalled that this measure, as it stands, evoked wide opposition among a part of the banking community itself, criticism converging, partly on the requirement of interest, partly on the extension of the list of acceptable collateral to railway securities. It is reasonable to suppose that this matter will be again considered in the future, both by the Chamber of Commerce and by Congress. It is true that the problem of finding an outlet, through deposit with the banks, for an overflowing public surplus, is hardly a pressing issue now, when the Treasury's income is running behind its outgo, when the Panama payment has used up a good part of the accrued surplus, and when the talk of Wall Street is rather of the drawing on public deposits for public expenditure than of the adding to them. But the question is liable to arise in another form at any time, and it is quite as well that it has been revived in the Convention's debates, with an eye to possible credit emergencies of the future. Such discussion will at all events make possible a revision of the plan of 1902 and 1903, in such shape as to meet more satisfactorily the wishes of all sections of the banking community.

THE CONVENTION.

In its serious aspects the Bankers' Convention at New York will probably remain noteworthy for the many thoughtful and suggestive things that were uttered. Nearly every speaker contributed something to the fund of useful knowledge. What was said, too, was said in good spirit —in such a way as to command the respect even of those who might differ from the speakers. The opening addresses were all above the ordinary. This is as true of the remarks of Mayor McClellan and of President Bigelow as of the peculiarly felicitous address of President Stillman, of the National City Bank, who welcomed the assembled bankers to New York as President of the New York Clearing House Association.

The suggestion thrown out by Mr. Stillman was decidedly opportune. With considerable tact, in dignified and well chosen words, he sketched briefly and yet effectively the prevailing tendency of the times—that one development transcending all other developments in commerce and industry. To him the occasion afforded something deeper and more significant than the interchange of mere courtesies. It was the spirit of co-operation that appealed to him—a principle of modern life which, in his estimation, has not yet found full recognition in the financial field. Here are his words:

The deeper significance of this great national meeting of bankers is to be found in the spirit of co-operation which is being nurtured. The growth of the spirit of co-operation is undoubtedly the most significant phase of the economic development of the present day. Almost beyond calculation are the economic results already attained in the fields of industry and transportation through the elimination of unintelligent competition—that sort of competition which has meant in the end direct and definite loss to every one concerned, loss to the workingmen, loss to owners of property and ultimately loss even to the consumers.

In the management of the country's great industrial undertakings notable progress has been made in recent years in the direction of harmonizing divergent interests, in the wiser comprehension of the value of mutual good understanding, in the juster appreciation of the right of competitors. Such progress in the direction of true co-operation has resulted in vast additions to the wealth of the country, as real as would have resulted from the discovery of new mines, the making productive of desert fields, or the acquisition of new sources for the supply of raw material.

In the field of industry the beneficial economic results which followed this awakening to the value of co-operation have become too obvious to need any word of emphasis. In the field of finance, however, there is not yet such general recognition of the value of co-operation. I believe there is no more important thing left for bankers to learn. When we come fully to appreciate the great economic value of co-operation, when we see more clearly the waste which follows unintelligent competition, and understand that such waste means as complete a loss of wealth as comes with a disastrous fire or a blight of crops, we shall have brought into the business of banking something of the modern spirit which
has so marked the present-day development of industrial affairs.

The foregoing will be sure to attract attention. Mr. Stillman has succeeded in conveying a very truthful picture of modern conditions and requirements. "Unintelligent competition," how aptly that describes unbridled and ruinous rivalry in business. Reprehensible practices engendered by the reckless spirit rife on such occasions means, as Mr. Stillman well says. loss to the workingmen, loss to owners of property and ultimately even loss to the consumers. Nothing is to be gained from the prevalence or continuance of such conditions, and Mr. Stillman has rendered an important service in so prominently calling attention to the fact. We fancy, too, it required no small amount of courage to take this stand; for the American Bankers' Association comprises within its membership many small banks from the remoter parts of the country to whom "the elimination of unintelligent competition" no doubt suggests visions of large combinations of which many small bankers seem to be hardly less in dread than the unthinking portion of the population generally. That, however, did not deter Mr. Stillman from stating the truth and stating it in an effective and conclusive way.

Mayor McClellan was likewise happy and outspoken in his treatment of the currency question. His declaration that legislators had handled the currency question gingerly out of fear that their political opponents might make party capital out of it describes exactly the situation which has prevailed for many years past. He is also correct in his assertion that the interests represented in the American Bankers' Association have it within their power to compel action on the part of Congress. His utterances in that regard are contained in the following:

Congress is always upon the eve of an election, no matter which party is in power; it is afraid to bring up the currency question for fear of political capital being made of it by its opponents. The consideration of the matter has been deferred so often that it seems almost as impossible of solution as the squaring of the circle or the riddle of the Sphinx. You have it in your power to prove to Congress that the question of the currency is in no sense political. You have it in your power to so arouse public opinion that Congress, regardless of section or faction or party, will place upon the statute books a currency law suited to the twentieth century, suited to the economic and business needs of the day in which we live.

Certain distinct phases of the currency question were brought up in the papers read before the Convention and in the discussions on the floor, but we need not allude to these here, as they have already been treated in a previous article. It is perhaps proper to say, however, that the paper of Mr. A. B. Hepburn, of the Chase National Bank, though dealing more particularly with the Money Situation and the currency problem (his suggestions in regard to which we have taken up in the article already referred to), also contained some general remarks and advice of wide application. Really the keynote of his whole argument was the desirability of natural conditions; in other words, that trade as nearly as may be should be left to natural laws. Price manipulations, corners in cotton or grain, and all similar movements and phenomena are all to be condemned, because detrimental to business interests. Fluctuations in rates for transportation, or cutting of rates, may enable shippers to move goods more cheaply, but tend, nevertheless, to demoralize business and are of real advantage to no one. Even a protective tariff is objectionable in this sense, since it imposes an artificial restraint on trade. Admitting the claims of its advocates, that tariff laws enhance the price of labor and of commodities alike, it necessarily follows that the cost of manufactured articles made at home, and which we would like to sell abroad, is thereby raised to an unnatural level.

The Convention refused to endorse the proposition of the Committee on Fidelity Insurance for the creation of a guarantee fund (to be under the management of trustees) with the view to writing fidelity bonds for the members of the Association. Reference should also be made to one very important departure in the proceedings of the Convention. The Call of States has been abandoned, and in place thereof there was this time a Call of Sections—meaning geographical divisions of the country. This is precisely the suggestion we have repeatedly made in the past. We have often urged that the Call of States be dispensed with, contending that no one would object to the omission of this Call. It always consumed a great deal of time, one State after another being called upon until the list was exhausted, and rarely brought forth anything of real value. Some few of the speakers, in anticipation of the Call, would come prepared with statistics concerning their State, but the great majority responded simply because the name of the State having been called they did not want it to appear that she was without loyal and aggressive sons to sing her praises. The change made therefore is to be commended. Under the new plan some one rises to speak for this or that geographical group, the number of speakers for each group not necessarily being limited, and this, judging from the present experience, answers the same purpose as a Call of States, while taking up very much less time.

THE TRUST COMPANY PROCEEDINGS AND CASH RESERVES.

The Trust Company Section, like every other department of the American Bankers' Association, is prospering. The attendance at the meeting this year was the largest ever recorded. Colonel Branch, the Secretary of the Section, reports that during the year ending September 1 the membership was increased by 113, bringing the number up to 566.
The discussions and papers were of a highly interesting and decidedly practical and enlightening character—more so, we think, than at any preceding session since the Trust Company Section was started. It is noteworthy that they nearly all dealt with that question of vital concern which for the last twelve or eighteen months has been agitating the whole financial community—namely, the question of cash reserves. The paper of Mr. Perine, of the Audit Company of New York, was specifically devoted to the matter. Perhaps it would be more accurate to use the designation employed by Mr. Perine himself, and call it his "Statement," for it was mainly statistical in form. But it likewise contained some pertinent observations and suggestions, which cannot fail to be helpful in a study of the subject.

The really sensational feature at this Trust Company meeting was the frankness with which President Festus J. Wade, of the Mercantile Trust Company, of St. Louis, in the discussions on the floor, emphasized the need of large cash reserves. He based his argument on the experience last October of the trust companies of St. Louis, and in relating how narrowly these institutions on that occasion escaped disaster, he unburdened himself in a manner that fairly made his auditors gasp with surprise. Here is what he said:

I was one of those who had the fallacious notion that ten or twenty per cent. cash in bank was just as good as it was in your vaults. I had practical experience, and in one hour—yea, in half an hour—was disillusioned of that notion. In half an hour there were 500 people at the doors of the institution that I have the honor to represent, demanding their money, and in another hour there were 5,000. And that reserve which we have all been shooting at, which was a thousand and more away from a mighty poor satisfaction to the fellow who had his money in our institution. Fortunately we—and by "we" I mean the city of St. Louis' Trust Companies—were able to meet the situation; but if that run had kept up three days, gentlemen, it would have brought ruin and devastation to hundreds of banks in the United States. There is not a national banker, there is not a thinking Trust Company official, that does not remember the 27th of October, 1903, and who did not then immediately start to look at his fences as to where his cash reserve was. Of course, if you are doing nothing but a fiduciary business, if you have no deposits in your savings accounts, if you have no deposits in your banking department, if you are simply acting as a trustee and as an executor, then that principle does not follow; but if you are doing a banking business, plain, pure and simple, whether that banking business be secured by collateral or on commercial paper, then I tell you, gentlemen, that every one of you that carries in your vaults less than ten or fifteen per cent. of your reserve in real, genuine cash is walking on thin ice, and if you go through the experience that was had in St. Louis and Baltimore and Pittsburgh you will be convinced of it in a very short time.

Col. F. H. Fries, President of the Wachovia Loan & Trust Company, of Winston-Salem, N. C., in his excellent address entitled "Conse-
monwealth usually deals with financial affairs in an enlightened fashion, and hence its treatment of this vexed problem is worthy of careful consideration. The provision referred to is contained in the law passed last May providing for the general incorporation of trust companies. Previously authority to organize a trust company in Massachusetts could only be obtained by special application in each instance to the Legislature. In the new law a section was embodied defining the attitude of the Commonwealth as concerns cash holdings. The section went into immediate effect as regards new companies, but did not become operative as to old companies until the 1st of the present month. The title of the new law is "An Act Relative to the Incorporation and to the Reserve Funds of Trust Companies," and we subjoin herewith the section bearing on cash reserves:

SECTION 7. Every trust company doing business within the Commonwealth shall at all times have on hand as a reserve an amount equal to at least fifteen per cent. of the aggregate amount of its deposits which are subject to withdrawal upon demand or within ten days. Not less than one-third of such reserve shall consist of lawful money of the United States and not less than one-half of the remainder of such reserve may consist of balances, payable on demand, due from any national banking association doing business in this Commonwealth or in the City of New York, and the balance of said remainder may consist of bonds of the United States or of this Commonwealth, computed at their par value, which are the absolute property of such corporation.

We are not prepared to say, without further consideration, that this Massachusetts law would meet the requirements in other States. We have no hesitation in stating, however, that it contains some good points, and that it furnishes an excellent basis to work on. One of its merits is that it discriminates sharply between deposits subject to call and those not liable to call at all. By its terms the provision as to reserves applies, it will be seen, only to "deposits which are subject to withdrawal upon demand or within ten days"—in other words, time deposits are exempt from the requirement. This is a distinction based on reason, and one which must sooner or later be recognized everywhere. Some of the oldest and largest trust companies in this city have no deposits whatever subject to check. They therefore stand on a footing much like that of savings banks. To endeavor to put these on the same basis with trust companies having active accounts is not only manifestly unjust, but also manifestly absurd. Furthermore, even the trust companies which compete for bank accounts have some deposits which are not liable to call, and the difference between the two classes must always be kept in mind. The recent Clearing House action was faulty, because it failed to observe this distinction, and sought by a sweeping general requirement to bring all companies and all classes of deposits under a common rule.

From the subject of cash reserves the discussions at the Trust Company meeting drifted to other but closely allied matters, and while no specific action was taken on the reserve question, a resolution was adopted declaring it the sense of the gathering that the trust companies of the country should be under the supervision of the State banking departments—such institutions not being under such supervision in a number of States at the present time. A further proposal that there should be uniform laws in all the States concerning trust companies gave rise to considerable debate and met with opposition. It was contended, and with much force, that local conditions vary considerably in the different States, and hence that a uniform law is impracticable. The proposal was finally referred to the Executive Committee, with the suggestion that they take it up and see what can be done.

THE SAVINGS BANK SECTION.

As our readers know, the Savings Bank Section is the newest branch or department of the work of the American Bankers' Association, this being only the second annual convention of that body; but in aggressiveness and in development it does not lag one whit behind the other departments—which is saying a good deal. Its membership now comprises 616, being sixty per cent. of the savings banks of the country, according to the statement made at the meeting.

The success achieved in the work of this new body and the large membership attained within so short a space of time are in no small measure due to the tireless efforts of the Secretary of the Association, Mr. William Hanhart. From the first he has devoted himself to the interests of the Section with a degree of fidelity and unselfishness which is decidedly rare in these days. As Secretary he enjoys no salary, and, in fact, gets no compensation whatever for his services, which at times are arduous. But he evidently feels that the Savings Bank Section has a useful function to perform and he has, therefore, thrown all his energies into the task of building up the new Section and in demonstrating what a field is open to it. The financial aid asked of the parent body, the American Bankers' Association, has been very small, the total expenses of the Section met by special appropriations from the Executive Council having been for the year just passed only $924. We are glad to see that the new Chairman of the Section, Mr. Charles E. Sprague, President of the Union Dime Savings Institution of this city, in his remarks paid a deserved tribute to Mr. Hanhart, saying: "Much of our success during the past two or three years is due to the hard work, the talent for organization and the genius for detail administration possessed by our able Secretary, Mr. William Hanhart."

In the papers and addresses perhaps the most noteworthy feature was the strong antagonism manifested toward the idea of postal savings banks and the telling arguments adduced against the same. The retiring Chairman, Mr. A. C. Tuttle, Treasurer of the Naugatuck Savings Bank, Naugatuck, Conn., took occasion to refer to the matter in his address. His words were:
Government savings banks are hardly more than a dream—though an unpleasant one. It seems impossible that any sane legislator would introduce a bill into Congress authorizing them. Certainly, if any one had the hardihood to do it, the bill would meet with an opposition that would make the opposition to the United States Bank in Jackson's time sink into insignificance. Aside from the fact that such a bill would jeopardize one of the props of the Government, there is a widespread and most salutary feeling averse to the Government engaging in any business enterprise.

Besides this, Mr. Willis S. Paine, ex-Superintendent of Banking of the State of New York, and now President of the Consolidated National Bank of this city, had a special paper devoted to the subject—that is, his theme was "Postal Savings Banks." Mr. Paine set out at length the numerous and varied objections to the scheme, and his paper in full will be found in our report of the work of the Savings Bank Section at the end of this publication. We like particularly the closing two or three paragraphs of the paper, and, therefore, reproduce them here:

I may refer now to the constitutional view of the subject, and by that I mean the view which has regard to the fundamental principle underlying our Government, and which makes that Government subject to the people, and not dominant over them. That principle, often violated in the past, cannot be frequently disregarded but that serious results will follow. In the earlier history of the country the tendency was against the Government assuming any form of paternalism; and the principle is the same whether you create Postal Savings Banks, run Government railroads, as in Continental Europe, or establish Government gas and electric plants. It is the basic principle that determines the character of a measure, nor ought we for a moment allow ourselves to be diverted from this position because a proposed enterprise claims to embody a beneficence which is more seeming than real. The American and his Government ideals are separate and distinct types from those supplied by any other country. The American asserts his right to individuality of action; he wants his Government to do just as little for him as possible, and to be his agent, not his master. He ever reserves the right of self-development and realizes his ability to eradicate any evil that may imperil his political, social or industrial system. In fine, he rigidly adheres to the fundamental principle that the Government stands for progress in the individual, and that the evolution, resultant from the Titanic struggles of his pioneer ancestors and the statesmanship of his legislators, is not a system that dictates or restricts free action, but a Government "of the people, by the people and for the people."

It is this spirit that needs to be fostered in every department of public service. We need, not less, but more, self-reliance. We need, not less, but more, trust of the people by the people. We need, not less, but more, development of the individual. He needs opportunity to work out his own salvation, and not to be taught to look for it to others, least of all to the bureaus or officials, the servants whom we miscall the Government. We need, not more, but less, paternalism. Adopting this principle as our guide of action we shall leave people, as now, familiar with banking to conduct a banking business, amenable, of course, to proper safeguards. . . . When States and cities and towns need railways the people build them. When water is required for public utility the people speak, and the fountains flow. When Savings Banks are needed in the geographical limits not now having them the people will provide them, and, let us hope, without going to postmasters or to other Government officials for them.
The Money Situation.

By A. B. Hepburn, President Chase National Bank, New York.

The money question is a kaleidoscope, each turn in affairs presenting new combinations with varying forms and colors, difficult to describe, still more difficult to forecast; and yet all these changes are subject to natural laws, save where statute laws or the concurrent action of many men, or many interests, consenting for a common purpose, serve to produce artificial conditions.

It is indispensable that bankers be familiar with the basic principles of finance, the laws of supply and demand, the varying production in the different fields of industry ranged alongside of the varying demand of the consumer, the commodity movement necessary to effect a distribution satisfactory to the public need, and the motive power—volume of money—necessary to effect such movement. And still, the proper understanding of these matters does not fully equip the modern banker. In these days of cut and thrust, corner and thrust, the complex affairs of modern commerce are intensified and amplified by the power of concentrated wealth, inspired by the popular mania for amassing large fortunes. Prices are manipulated, normal conditions upset, natural laws reversed—witness the retrograde movement of cotton during last season, from warehouse and mill in New York and New England back to New Orleans, to meet delivery on speculative contracts, at prices far in excess of its value for commercial purposes. The spinner sees the prices of raw cotton lifted beyond the point of profitable manufacture, by people who use this great staple as a basis for speculative contracts—contracts whose only intended relation to the actual commodity has sole reference to the price as determined by quality and quantity.

The great cereals of the country are the popular football of speculation, and are subject to similar treatment, with analogous results. If the artificial prices thus created were realized by the producer, it would be a compensatory advantage, but the “corner” and the “squeeze” are only possible when the crop has “come in sight,” its volume determined, and has passed into the hands of the middle-man. Whether a bank’s funds should be loaned at all to aid in unduly advancing the price of commodities, and to what extent such advances may be made with safety, are added questions entirely apart from the ordinary principles upon which the credit is based. Unduly enhancing the cost of any commodity, or the cost of money—interest rates—adversely affects general business, the immediate, and perhaps the most baneful, effects of which are experienced by banks. Commercial banking, in order to experience the largest measure of success, requires stable conditions; with speculative banking it may be different. It is well known that fluctuations in rates of transportation, or cutting of rates, although they enable the movement of goods more cheaply, and hence seemingly increase the dealer’s profits, tend, nevertheless, to denaturalize business, and are of real advantage to no one.

Desirability of Natural Conditions.

By means of protective tariff laws, the general level of prices is raised, and hence by a change in governmental policy is likely to be remanded to a lower level. Some degree of artificiality in prices, dependent upon protection, seems likely to remain, however. There has grown up among the nations generally, with the exception of Great Britain, a system of tariff reprisal, or extremely high duties, which, by means of reciprocity agreements are tempered into a system of rewards and punishments, designed to secure and insure the greatest benefit to the respective nations. It is through commercial relations that nations nowadays are the most easily reached and influenced. To place an embargo on another nation’s merchandise is an extreme procedure, and fraught with possible danger to peaceful relations. Our ability to compete with other nations in the sale abroad of our manufactured goods, depends upon our ability to manufacture as cheaply and transport to the place of consumption at as little cost. The fact that our goods are delivered almost exclusively in foreign bottoms, is perhaps no competitive disadvantage. I am not aware that our goods are in any respect discriminated against. Our merchant marine will not grow and develop so long as our people can employ their capital more advantageously in ventures upon land, and protection and quiescence will not avail to change this condition.
It is claimed by the advocates of protection that our present tariff laws enhance the price of labor as well as commodity. It necessarily follows that the cost of manufactured articles, which we would like to sell abroad, is thereby advanced to an unnatural level. I am not discussing the merits or demerits of protection, but we all know the temporary effect upon business produced by the prevalence of false views of the financial conditions. I note the fact that it makes for permanency of conditions, and hence for uniform prosperity, to have trade, as nearly as may be, left to natural laws, unexed by legislation.

The extreme fluctuations in the money rate, frequently ranging as high as twenty per cent., as it did in 1901-04, indicate the existence, legislative or extralegal, of unnatural and unwholesome conditions. The strong demand for money at full rates that have generally prevailed for the past three or four years, followed by an accumulation of unloanable funds at the present, is a natural result and sequence of the industrial debanch through which we have passed, but from which we have not yet recovered. The individual who closed out his securities at top prices, and kept out, was fortunate. The manufacturer or merchant who sold out at the height of the boom, realized more than his property was worth, and was proportionately fortunate. The average successful man who was in business eight years ago, and has remained in to the present time, would better off to-day had an average degree of prosperity characterized this period instead of the wide fluctuations in values and extremely high prices which prevailed. The manufacturer who can sell more than his output at almost any price he chooses to demand, usually increases his capacity, notwithstanding the fact that the cost of labor and material are at the maximum, and thus permanently overcapitalizes his plant, and impairs his economical production in the future. I seek by these illustrations to emphasize the fact, with which we are all familiar, that the public prosperity must with average conditions and stable influences, and with the natural laws of trade in force unexed by artificial influences.

Banks are the barometer of trade; bankers are dealers in credit. Their business consists in swapping a well known for a less known credit. To succeed they must study and be familiar with all branches of industry, and the changing conditions of the business world as well as the changing conditions of the individuals and corporations with which they deal. It follows that bankers, of all people, desire freedom from boom and depression, and it seems to me that our labor, as individuals and as an association, should be directed toward vindication of natural laws in trade and finance.

As to currency, there is little likelihood of change in our laws for some time to come. It would be the part of wisdom to perfect our currency upon well grounded principles, in the light of experience and along scientific lines, at a time when the public is free from currency agitation. But large legislative bodies seldom proceed in such manner. Their action is taken at some crucial period and in response to some public demand, often nothing to suggest such demand in the near future. Our currency is good beyond question, but rigid as the laws of the Medes and Persians. It is quite similar to the currency of England. We have $3,400,000,000 in United States notes, issued directly by the government, made legal tender, and which are, in effect, a forced loan. Corresponding with these greenbacks, so called, the Bank of England is authorized in round numbers, £14,000,000 of uncovered notes as against a loan or credit extended by the bank to the British Government. All other Bank of England notes, like our gold certificates, represent gold held against their issue. Banks in this Kingdom, other than the Bank of England, have the right of issuing, to a limited extent, which notes correspond in the general scheme of finance to our bank notes, although differing widely as to issue and redemption. In England silver is the small change of the people and does the drudgery of trade. With us, Congress has sought, and perhaps with success, to chain silver to the wheels of industry, to keep it so employed in the daily business turn-over as to prevent its becoming an indirect drain upon the gold in the Treasury.

Canada has $30,000,000 of Dominion notes corresponding in issue and use with our greenbacks, although the Canadian bank currency differs widely from ours. Comparing the population, resources and business activity of the three countries, the greenbacks in use with us are not disproportionate in amount to the uncovered notes of the Bank of England, and were brought into existence by the same governmental necessity. The Dominion notes issued, were more deliberately issued, but the amount per capita was not larger than that per capita of the greenbacks in use with us. The greenbacks are redeemable in gold so long as the credit of the government is such that its bonds can be sold for gold. They are good beyond question, are practically gold notes, and I think they have come to stay. It is fair to assume currency conditions in England have exercised an influence in shaping ours, since there is a general similitude, and it is all the more likely that public sentiment will crystallize around present conditions. I am not arguing against any proper scheme for perfecting our currency, but as practical men, we should recognize facts and probabilities. The volume of currency in the aggregate and per capita exceeds any previous period of our national existence, and is certainly adequate to the public needs. The perennial output of our mines will satisfy any increased demand which may accompany increase of population and expanding business.

Our sub-treasury system, which withdrawing from circulation the daily custom receipts of the government and locks them in the Treasury, from which they can only be withdrawn by an appropriation of Congress, is an arbitrary and artificial interference with currency conditions, enacted at a time when the government professedly was afraid to create or increase funds in the banks. Whenever the government’s income exceeds its expenditures, the daily absorption of money by the Treasury becomes an important consideration that must be taken into account by every banker and business man in determining his course of action. The natural course of business is unaltered or modified by the government’s strange adhesions to this absurd provision of law. Its absurdity may well be illustrated by noting the consequences which would ensue in case municipalities and individuals should adopt the same cowardly conservatism. Suppose each State, each city, county, town and village should hold all their receipts for taxes in their treasury or strong boxes, until the same be paid out and spent in meeting their direct obligations. The effect of such a course upon the money in circulation, and the violent fluctuations in volume necessarily produced, can easily be foreseen. Go a step further, and suppose each individual and corporation should adopt the same course, and it is easy to see that the whole superstructure of credit would fall to the ground. Congress has given some signs of a disposition to repeal this law, albeit the same was accompanied with a provision that the banks pay not less than two per cent. interest to the government for the privilege of keeping these funds in circulation.

PANICS.

The weakest point in our currency system is shown during those periodical crises commonly called panics. A panic means business paralysis. Some eruption on a series of adverse influences operating upon the public mind temporarily destroys credit, and in a country like ours, where ninety per cent. of business transactions are consummated by means of credit, it means a practical stoppage of the wheels of industry. The only possible means of a real crisis to the public is to keep business moving. Credit, or currency, must be provided to carry the products of farm and factory to the marts of the world, in order that the return prices may meet and extinguish local demands and restore normal conditions. History
shows that the crux of a panic is passed, sometimes in a few days, always in a few weeks, but it is during this emergency period that the deposits are withdrawn hurriedly, and not to meet and master conditions. In order to tax State bank circulation out of existence, the statute, bristling with ample penalties, confronts all banks with a ten per cent. tax upon any and all instruments designed, however temporarily, to perform a money function, except they be National bank notes, secured by gold or silver, and, forgoing more to purchase than the par of the currency which may be issued upon them as a basis. Individuals may utilize their credit in any way to protect their business or promote their interests, but banks, constrained by laws enacted by the government, may not utilize their own credit in any way to protect their business or to evade the necessity to aid commercial interests. I like the German system in this respect, and believe there should be legislative provision for a temporary currency, to be issued by banks in periods of extreme necessity. Such currency should be subject to a tax so severe in amount as to insure its retirement immediately upon the passing of the condition which called it into existence; or, to put it differently, since our government is in the banking business, and seems destined to remain, I think the govern-
ment in times of panic, being amply protected against loss, should loan its credit in the form of bank notes current in the world as a remedy and a relief, and anyone who thinks otherwise is about as American people. I am strongly of the opinion that there should be some modification of existing laws so as to permit banks to protect the business interests of the country in times of greatest need. I think so all the more from the fact that the time has gone by when clear-
ning house certificates may be successfully used to miti-
gate the effects of a panic. What banks as corporations could not do, they have heretofore, with a large measure of success, accomplished through an unincorporated asso-
ciation known as a Clearing House Association, by means of instruments known as clearing house certificates. Such certificates, though an undoubted measure of relief in times of panic, were based upon a premise that while they furnished the means of extending credit, they her-
bled a practical suspension of currency payment. The tendency of banks throughout the country in panic is to strengthen their reserves; the tendency of individuals is to withhold their money from deposit. The maximum amount of clearing house certificates issued by New York banks at any one time was $41,400,000. The average daily exchanges of New York banks are over $200,000,000. The issuance of clearing house certificates, raising a doubt as to the ability of depositors, both banks and individuals, to obtain currency if desired, would induce the withhold-
ing of deposits and the division of exchange that would otherwise and naturally come to the banks making use of such certificates. The amount of funds thus withheld and diverted from banks making use of such certificates would easily exceed the maximum amount of certificates which could be utilized. The issuance of $11,400,000 of certificates, if the minimum amount of $100,000,000 of funds, would aggravate rather than help conditions. I think I am safe in saying that it is the general opinion among bankers that clearing house certificates will no longer prove a measure of relief unless it may be under most exceptional circumstances. In time of trouble, banks need reserves, not for money to protect their credit; why not banks? We have become a recog-
nized power in the world of finance, as well as in consi-
eration of political questions affecting the sisterhood of nations, and instrumentalties successfully used in the past which, if national unity may prevail, we must pay their depositors in whatever funds they may require.

According to the initial thought of this paper—the desirability of stable business conditions and uniform cost of transportation, and reasonably uniform rates for currency—this proposal, entirely apart from legislation or coercive measures, can exercise great influence in bringing about such conditions. Much of the hostilities to banks, much of the animosity existing between different sections of the country, are traceable to the unequal distribution of banking power, credit facilities. A bor-
rower in New York pays a higher rate of interest than a borrower in five cities, though he pays lower than at very low rates of interest prevailing in the money centers, compares the same with the full rate he is compelled to pay, and concludes that his immediate creditor is reaping an undue advantage, is charging him undue or exor-
bitant rates. Resolving something like this furnished the backbone of the silver propaganda, whose disturbing influence has cost the country so sorely during the past twenty-five years. People in the newer sections of the country, aware of the rich, natural resources of their localities, which only awaited development, to be trans-
formed into wealth, clamored for an increased volume of currency to facilitate the development. Any such im-
formation wealth into tangible wealth: what they thought they needed was more currency, and this convic-
tion served to swell the ranks of the advocates of cheap money.

The Credit Foncier of France is a great mortgage bank. It takes mortgage obligations from its customers and gives in exchange its own debentures or obligations. Such obligations are listed, and have a daily quoted value upon the Paris Bourse, and the Credit Foncier is not per-
mitt ed to charge its customer in excess of five-eighths of one per cent. above the day's quotations for its securities. This system of security is the key to this French practice. As a matter of fact, the Credit Foncier gives to its borrowers money or such funds as they desire. The above law serves to limit the exchange or service charge to five-
eighths of one per cent., and secures to all borrowers, of the same date, the same rate of interest. As you are all aware, the Bank of France charges the same rate of discount each day at all its branches throughout France. Of course, what may be successfully carried out in dense-
l y populated France, may not be at all practicable in the United States, but with increasing wealth and growing population something approximating the Credit Foncier may become practicable, and would seem to be desirable. There is the conception of the term 'financing' which are regarded as the best of collateral by banks generally: why not cotton in New Orleans, Galveston, Mobile, At-
t lanta, Savannah, Charleston and other important points? Some attempts have been made by warehousing com-
panies to make the staple products of the country, ware-
house in the locality of their production, available as col-
lateral with banks generally. Insufficient capital and insuf-
icient business experience have thus far deprived the project of a fair trial. With sufficient funds, under the management of experienced men of well-known character and ability, it seems to me the project ought to succeed and prove an advantage alike to borrower and lender. With these few instances to illustrate the conviction I have that the best way to do away with sectional pre-
judice in our country, with the general prejudice which exists against banks and against capital—the best way to purify our politics and prevent business interests and property values being used against each other, is a well-considered political campaign, is to bring about, so far as lies in our power, reasonably uniform conditions through-
out the country, with the cost of commodities and rates for money fluctuating within reasonable limits. We tend to accomplish such results by bringing the resources under our control, which is the motive power underlying and controlling our industrial fabric, closely in contact with the material product—the perpetual output—of the
Panic Panaceas.

By Andrew Jay Frame, President Waukesha National Bank, Waukesha, Wis.

Our battle for the world’s standard of value has been practically won. Now the paramount economic question before the American people is, how can we minimize conditions which produce panics and also ameliorate their after-paralyzing effects? Panics undoubtedly cannot be prevented. Notwithstanding this, I am a firm believer in ameliorating panic conditions, both as to their frequency and severity. But how? My answer is:

1st. By studying history and profiting by the experiences of the past.

2nd. By passing conservative and sound banking laws, and then enforcing them.

3rd. By providing cash on sound lines to meet extraordinary demands and immediately retiring it as soon as the pressure for funds is over, to prevent inflation, and also to be ready for the next emergency.

The Standard Dictionary epitomizes conditions leading to panics as follows: “An undue expansion of loans (by banks); an unsound standard of value; over-extension of mercantile credits and widespread speculation are forerunners of panics.”

Let us never forget that confidence upholds and distrust paralyzes. The blighting effect of distrust in our standard of value which was the main underlying cause of the panics of 1873 and ’93 has given to our people convincing object lessons more potent than pages of logic, that a 100-cent dollar is indispensable to stability and prosperity. Populists alone dissent. They attempt to live by their wits, and generally fail for lack of capital. I-O-U’s are not capital. With these calamitous conditions vividly before us, the result has been a campaign of education and legislation which has practically eliminated from further discussion the “unsound standard of value.” To absolutely eliminate all distrust, the language of our statutes should be so unequivocal as to the redemption of our vast volume of silver coins in gold on demand that no man would dare disobey its mandates.

Conservative and Sound Banking Laws.

In the limelights of historic facts, in proof of the value of good banking laws, let us consider only the brief period of the past forty years. Permit me to refer to the report of the Comptroller of the Currency for 1896, on pages 33, 34 and 54, as to the failures of banks. These pages do not cover yearly nor local history, but United States history as to National and State banks for the long period from 1823 to 1896. In that period 330 national and 1251 State banks failed. During this same period the claims filed, dividends and percentage paid to depositors and amounts still unpaid were as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>Still due to</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Claims filed</td>
<td>dividends paid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State banks</td>
<td>120,511,262</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please note that further dividends have since been paid, as the later failures were not entirely closed.

Permit a further reference to a most excellent address along the same lines, which all seeking light should read, delivered by Comptroller Ridgely last April before the Society of Political and Social Science at Philadelphia, entitled “Government Control of Banks and Trust Companies.” The Comptroller says in referring to this same report as “the last date to which complete figures are available, it will be seen that while only 6.5 per cent. of the number of National banks in existence failed during this time, 17.6 per cent. of the other banks in existence failed. And while the National banks which had failed up to 1896 (and were entirely closed) paid to their creditors 75 per cent. in dividends, the State and other banks paid only 45 per cent.” The Comptroller further says: “The total loss to depositors in forty-one years has been less than thirty million dollars.” This estimate doubtless is intended to cover the net losses when all the affairs of failed National banks to this date are entirely closed.

By way of digression, when this result is compared with the deficiency of thirty-five millions of dollars resulting from the failure of the City of Glasgow Bank, with its 131 branches, in 1875, our National banking system looms up so grandly that every American citizen has reason to be proud.

On the other hand, something must have been radically wrong in at least some of our State banking systems when we compare the number of failures, amount of losses and percentage paid to depositors, as shown in the above table.

Does any one doubt that these widely divergent results were brought about by an enforced conservatism under the National Bank Act, as against good banking laws in a few States, lax laws in some States, and no laws in many others? It is cause for congratulation that the States are awakening, although too slowly, to the necessity for better conditions. A few years ago the Wisconsin Legislature passed a good State banking law and the people voted it. She later redeemed herself. May the good people of Ohio redeem themselves from a like folly committed last fall. With ten thousand millions of dollars of deposits in the banks and trust companies of the United States due to not less than fifteen millions of depositors,
in order that conditions leading to panics and their paralyzing effects may be minimized, is it not the clear duty of our statesmen to perfect, as far as possible, conservative laws along sound lines? I will suggest but a few of the salient features.

1st. No bank of any kind should be allowed to open without ample paid in capital as a guarantee fund before the right to issue notes in trust to that capital. History teems with trouble resulting from wild-cat banking. Possibly mutual savings banks which ought to accumulate a surplus might be excepted.

2d. A limitation on loans to a certain percentage of capital, to which I will add, surplus—which is not included in the National Currency Act—to any one individual, firm or corporation.

3d. Requirements of reserves against demand liabilities.

The cash reserves can consistently be lowest with the savings banks and trust companies, because their deposits are largely subject to time notice before payment. That time limit in times of trouble should be rigidly enforced, as it was lately in St. Louis, where a foolish panic was undoubtedly prevented by prompt action in this respect. The limitation for commercial banks, as laid down in the National Currency Act, is eminently sound and inspires confidence. Although the rule is often broken in times of crisis, I think no bank that was solvent has ever been closed by the Comptroller for that cause. Reason reigns at such times and not the rigid letter of the law. Some fifteen or twenty years ago I said to Lyman J. Gage, then president of the First National Bank of Chicago, "You keep a general reserve of cash on hand." His answer came quickly, "I would not sleep nights with less than 40 per cent." This luscious child seems to keep on growing while others have fallen by the wayside. Let history speak as to who survives longest, the conservative or the plunger.

4th. The rate of interest paid to depositors must be left to the individual bank and cannot be regulated by law.

It is just cause of some concern now that fierce competition in several quarters in this respect is likely to produce unpleasant results. Thrice within my forty-two years of banking experience, banks in a certain city mailed broadcast offers to pay interest on account in excess of the current legitimate rate paid by other banks. Depositors that took the bait, within a year thereafter, got bitten by failure of one of those three banks.

Moral: Avoid banks paying more than normal rates of interest, as the probabilities are "There is something rotten in the state of Denmark." This moral will apply to individual depositors as well as to banks with banks.

5th. The question of value of supervision of banks is simply beyond controversy as to beneficial results, and I will therefore pass it.

If experience proves there is such a marked contrast in conduct of depositors in National banks, which are under careful laws and supervision, as against all other banks, which are only partially so, is it not a reasonable and sound conclusion that the meat of the whole matter lies in making good banking laws, then enforcing them by strict examinations, closing up the insolvent and not allowing them to dissipate good assets for years after insolvency, as has been the case all over our country? Limit failures, and panic conditions will be ameliorated. Good banks ought to court investigation, and the people should insist on rigid investigation of all banks to weed out insolvents. Let us give to the fifteen million depositors of the nation and to the ten thousand million dollars of their hard earnings to our care all that protective, wholesome laws can give, thus broadening confidence, and failures will be less calamitous.

The savings banks and trust companies each have their legitimate sphere, and should not be required to conform to the rigid lines, according to their method of doing business. Less reserves are required, as they have less demand liabilities, and much of their loans are of a different character than are those of a strictly commercial bank. All banks doing a commercial business and to the extent of their demand liabilities should be governed somewhat on the lines of the National Currency Act, the safest bank act ever devised by man. Comptroller Higley asserts that the National system has "an unequaled record of soundness and safety." A careful examination of the world's history conclusively proves his statement. We must not forget that Old World banking is subject to practically no supervision or regulation compared to the National Currency Act.

A WARNING.

Nevertheless, in view of the fact that National banks are not increasing in the same proportion as other banks, due, doubtless, as stated by the Comptroller, to the desire "for greater freedom from control, weaker reserves and less careful management," there is no doubt that many National bankers are chaufing under some of the rigid rules of the Act, especially limiting to 10 per cent. of capital, loans on public market cash collaterals, and in country towns, where commercial paper is scarce, to a limited amount of loans on mortgage. Perfection is impossible in any attempted system. Regulation must be strong and if the lines of regulation are drawn more closely, I fear the downfall of the system will take place. In the interest of the great public benefits at stake, may not over-rigid regulations destroy this most beneficent act?

THE PARAMOUNT PANNACE.

When panic threatens, the profoundest problem is, how can it be prevented or ameliorated so that banks can promptly respond to the insane demands of the frightened depositors for cash, and how can the banks be put in condition to discount freely to all solvent parties in order to prevent the paralyzing effects of the collapse of trade and industry? In a panic, which is inevitable when forced liquidation takes place and which is so destructive to labor and capital alike, in substituting soup houses and tramps where the hunn of buyers and sellers ought to beuppermost.

Political economists in all ages have wrestled with this knotty problem with different results.

GREAT BRITAIN.

Prof. Sumner in his condensation of the celebrated "Bullion Report of 1810" to the House of Commons, says, "In the presence of a panic the duty of the bank is to discount freely to all solvent parties." And although Sumner does say, "His doctrines are the alphabet of modern finance. They are no longer disputable,"—yet no provision by law was then nor since made to provide cash to pay frightened depositors and to discount freely to all solvent parties.

The banks of Great Britain in 1844 were restricted on issues of bank notes to the amount then outstanding by the banks then existing. Seventy per cent. of the right of issue of those banks which have closed since 1844 has reverted to the Bank of England, thus reducing the total uncovered issues allowed to banks in general, all of which are subject to the Unlimited Liability Act as to note issues, to the small sum of approximately £8,000,000, and has increased the issues of the Bank of England since 1844 from £14,000,000 to about £18,500,000 based on securities. All other issues of the bank are covered with gold coin or bullion, thus making the notes practically gold certificates and giving the Bank of England the sole right of issue of the note. The panic troubles require extraordinary remedies, in order to alleviate some of the calamitous panic conditions which have overtaken Britain. History says, the Bank of England in 1847, 1857 and 1866, after the panics had paralyzed her progress, on the assurance of the government officials that no prosecution would follow, ordered the Bank Act as to issuing notes only on the deposit of a like amount of gold or bullion, and it issued notes to the banking department on
deposit by it with the issue department of ample securities. This was an unlawful act, giving elasticity to the currency, but it placed the banking department in an easy condition to "discount freely to all solvent parties. About in 1836, the bank borrowed £2,500,000 from the Bank of France during panic conditions, and in 1839, during the Baring troubles, she borrowed £3,000,000 more; also £2,000,000 from other sources, and the panics were stayed. The apparent necessity for these extraordinary acts was that the country had reached a commercial crisis where the commercial securities could not be sold for cash. Suspension and consequent ruin were staring sound commercial houses and banks in the face.

In each case the action of the bank afforded instant relief, and doubtless saved hundreds of millions of dollars to tottering houses unable to meet payments except for such relief. As soon as the pressure was over the illegal issues were retired.

As history shows conclusively that financial revulsions in Great Britain in the past sixty years have been more calamitous than those of our own country, thus disproving the claims of the advocates of Branch Banking and Asset Currency that they are a panacea for panics; as the commercial banks of Britain carry about one-half as much reserves against general liabilities as compared with ours, are we not justified in concluding that an insufficient reserve is at least one of the underlying causes of their troubles? If so, we can consistently join in the just criticisms of the London Economist in asserting that Great Britain is in danger of a financial panic because the £25,000,000 of liabilities to the public of her banks is clearly the cause of the sensitiveness of her money market. The Economist advocates a reform of Peel's Act of 1844, advises larger reserves to preserve confidence, which has so often been rudely shaken and which is necessary to all progress and stability, and says that probably nothing short of a cataclysm will demonstrate the necessity for such change. Britain's proverbial lethargy in this respect is paralleled with her tenacity in holding to her antiquated railway cars and her abominable pounds, shillings and pence. Should Britain provide for larger reserves, which are about half of ours, and legally empower the Bank of England to relieve extraordinary pressure on the same lines, as in 1817, 1857 and 1865, before paralysis takes place, she doubtless would minimize serious panic conditions as far as it is possible to do so.

FRANCE.

The Bank of France has had the sole right of issue in France since 1815, and to-day has the right to issue one thousand million dollars of notes, and is not restricted to the holding of coin or government securities for every dollar of notes issued, but voluntarily in respect to reserves against note issues follows nearly the same line as does the Bank of England. Her coin reserves have approximated 5 per cent. of about $800,000,000 average note issues for some years past. Under very conservative management the right of issue has been so carefully guarded that France, although subject to financial revulsions, has doubtless escaped serious trouble at times. We must not forget that the Bank of France is more a bank of trade and deposit, as her deposits are exceeded to-day by both the National City Bank and National Bank of Commerce of this city, while the First National Bank is a close third.

GERMANY.

With the exception of only five banks, which are allowed to issue eighteen millions of dollars of uncovered notes, the Imperial Bank of Germany monopolizes that right. The bank is allowed to issue now about $100,000,000 uncovered circulation under certain restrictions. Any excess over the sum must pay 5 per cent. interest per annum to the government for the loan of excess. Thus the only true solution to obtain relief under panic conditions, as the interest rate will certainly retire the redundant currency as soon as the pressure for funds is over, thus preventing inflation, which must be guarded against as one would guard against an insidious disease.

If we add to the foregoing Austria, Belgium, Netherlands, Norway, Denmark and Russia, that have only one bank of issue each, and all are under such careful restrictions, as the combined uncovered circulation amounts but little over $900,000,000, as against nearly $900,000,000 uncovered circulation in the United States alone, we should be impressed that if we are to be guided by the experience of older nations we must discard the thought, as they have done, of the coin reserve uncovered notes, except through the great centralized institutions, and then only as temporary relief measures and not for profit. If we except Britain, all the countries named have provided through their great centralized banks for currency issues under careful restrictions, which generally gives the relief sought by us. A circulation issued by banks with material profit in it means expansion. If the big and little banks of this country were to throw out a life line at will to the over-buoyant in the shape of asset currency, I fear the plunders would be swimming beyond their depth continually; therefore, some relief measure, under careful restrictions, for the greatest amount, should be found for use under panic conditions. If the banks of Europe and three-fourths of those of the United States survive and prosper without circulation, why cannot the other quarter survive on the same diet? The National banks profited in their early years on circulation because bonds drew high rates of interest then, and thus they were fully compensated for their patriotism, but now the profit is so slight few banks care a continental whether they issue currency or not.

QUANTITY VS. QUALITY.

As the quality of our money is fixed, and under natural economic laws the quantity is subject to all legitimate requirements; as the elbo and flow of gold from nation to nation, which no barrier can stop, is governed also by those same natural laws; as the fluctuations in the rate of interest, coupled with these natural laws, are the proper barometric signals that ought to inspire conservatism and check undue expansion of credit when rates of interest are above normal, why should we be eternally tinkering with the quantity of money in the country? Many good men believe interest rates can be equalized by currency issues. All history disproves the theory.

It is a maxim of political economy that when the quality is fixed in rich countries, the needs of commerce will settle the quantity. Prof. Jevons assesses, under such circumstances, the last thing a statesman should do is to attempt to regulate the quantity. Therefore, if ordinary occasions are provided for, the paramount question is, how can we provide cash to pay depositors and to loan to all solvent parties when panic threatens, and have that cash return to its reservoir as soon as the pressure is over, to prevent inflation?

Shall we adopt the European method and establish a great central bank with conservative powers to accomplish the object sought or, shall we provide through several thousand banks for the issue of credit currency as such relief measure? The most cogent of opinion seems to answer, No! Personally, I do not see any serious objections to a great central bank as fiscal agent for the United States, and as a bank of the banks, somewhat on the order of the Bank of England, but with full power to legally issue currency under a five per cent. per annum interest rate on deposit of securities, as was done by that bank in 1847, 1857 and 1860, as an elastic or emergency measure to throttle panics in their incipience, but I suppose the banks holding government deposits would object.

The nearest sound solution of the second question comes from our able Secretary of the Treasury, and also from the committee's conservative report of last year before this convention. Both advocated the issue of relief.
National bank notes in excess of present issues, under a 5 per cent. to 6 per cent. interest penalty to insure its removal, has raised the ordinary reserve pressure for funds over. This tax, which is the essence of soundness, in compelling the return of the extra issues back into the reservoir to be ready to quench the next fire and also to prevent inflation, has been, to my mind at least, altogether too freely criticized. I fear that some critics have allowed selfishness as to profits to outweigh the necessity for relief. Many good men have declared they would issue no currency and pay 5 per cent. on it. Under ordinary conditions, of course, we would not, but under extraordinary conditions, when frightened depositors are demanding cash; when country bankers are necessarily withdrawing reserve balances, and solvent merchants and manufacturers are calling for loans to pay bills and keep the wheels of commerce from being stilled, where is the banker that will not temporarily provide cash, if possible, at a 5 per cent. interest rate, to meet these demands, instead of slaughtering sound securities in markets, when at such times even government bonds cannot be sold for cash except at ruinous prices? Let the old experienced banker who has been through this mill answer. It seems to me that we all ought to subscribe to the committee’s report if we could but confine such issues to the great centralized banks.

Banker’s certificates are not born in country towns. Panics are bred in great cities, where colossal promotions flourish; where most, not all, banks fail to reduce interest paying rates when money is easy; where the cashier is discharged (according to Secretary Shaw’s vitriolic) when the Board of Directors find him with $50,000 surplus reserve; where the reserves are loaned to the stock jobbers that ought to be held to meet the call of the country banks for their own deposits to move the crops. Then, when the stock jobber is called upon to liquidate, he must attempt to rob Peter to pay Paul, but because of the lack of a proper cash reserve generally, stocks decline on forced sales to obtain cash, and general liquidation takes place.

This Fall doubtless will be an exception. Last year in my debate with Congressman Fowler on the Asset Currency question before the Wisconsin State Bankers’ Association, I challenged any man to prove that since 1863 there has been more than two Fall seasons when the money market has not moved normal and reasonable level, and then speculation, and not crop failures, was the primary cause of trouble. The Wall Street Journal in an able editorial said my case was won if I could prove that assertion. I reiterate it. The best condensed proof is in the Annual American Encyclopedias since 1858, under the caption of “Financial Review,” to which reference is respectfully made.

Conservative people in all pursuits do not allow a little surplus cash to burn their pockets when they know extraordinary payments will soon require its use, and bankers ought to be the leaders in conservative. If the central cities are the occasional sinners, why should they not provide the occasional remedy? Again, they are far better equipped with the right kind of cash collateral on which to base these temporary issues. In the consideration of this all important question, permit four suggestions:

1st. Why not empower the government to issue but one kind of note, viz: a legal tender note payable on demand in gold coin, and substitute it for all other government issues of legal tender notes, as well as gold and silver certificates, thus simplifying and absolutely settling the quality question of all government issues?

2nd. To prevent locking up money in the treasury, provide for depositing all surplus funds over current requirements in the banks.

3rd. Raise the limit of reduction of National bank notes from $3,000,000 to $5,000,000 per month.

On 4th. At the session of this convention in San Francisco last year, in discussing the report of the Currency Committee, which allowed all National banks to issue emergency currency, secured by a deposit of securities with the Currency Committee, under careful limitations and a 6 per cent. per annum tax, I voted for that report because I differed with its underlying premises and only differed in detail. May I briefly restate the reasons therefor, as we need all possible light in settling this all important question with the largest possible safeguards against abuse?

The committee’s report confines relief to National banks alone. I do not see why the Manhattan Company or Bank of America in New York City, the Illinois Trust & Savings Bank of Chicago, or Wells Fargo & Co. of San Francisco, and kindred organizations, should not be entitled to the same assistance. I would therefore grant such relief to the great centers through their clearing houses by legalizing “Clearing House National Bank Notes” on practically the same form as present issues. Any member of the clearing house desiring such advances must deposit with the clearing house ample cash collateral approved by its committee. The clearing house certificates should be forwarded to the Comptroller of the Currency and exchanged for such notes—5 per cent. interest being charged from the date of such issue to the day the borrowing bank deposits funds with the United States Treasury to redeem the outstanding notes. The certificates will then be returned, exchanged for the deposited securities, and cancelled. Such advances should be held as strictly private.

This method will provide extra cash, not only to National, but to State and savings banks, trust companies, or any other clearing house bank in times of financial stress. Country banks, in calling for their own deposits, will not as heretofore be met with the reply, “No currency is going out of the city.” The burden upon the reserve cities will not be great, because $35,000,000 in clearing house certificates in the 1873 and $65,000,000 in the 1893 panics sufficed to restore confidence.

With this method no political tinkering will break down the barriers, but the conservatism of the clearing house committee will prevent unnecessary issues, the rate of interest will prevent inflation, and redemption will automatically take place as long as pressure for funds is over. This method is far better than the clearing house certificates of 1873, ’81, ’90 and ’93, because it provides cash to pay depositors and to loan to all solvent parties, and also to meet country demands, whereas, certificates could not. It is equal in effect to the 1847, ’57 and ’60 Bank of England issues which allayed panic conditions at once. It will check the bears in their Black Friday outslaughs. The Secretary of the Treasury could then heave a sigh of relief from pressing importunities. When one is seriously ill, dopping with sweetened quack medicine rarely relieves, but unpalatable medicine may be necessary to recover. Knowing peripatetic distress will come, why not provide a remedy on lines unquestionably sound, which we know will relieve, if not absolutely cure? In the matter under discussion the trend of all progressive countries is toward the concentration of the power to issue emergency currency. May the statesmen of this country, which is advancing by leaps and bounds far ahead of the rest of the world in general progress, not fail to provide such relief in some form, the benefits of which will be incalculable. I care not what that plan may be, provided it be on such sound lines that our standard of value may never be tarnished, that distrust may be dispelled, and confidence, that bulwark of all progress, may be ours to the fullest possible extent.
Strength and Weakness of American Finance.

By Ellis H. Roberts, Treasurer of the United States.

The American Bankers' Association has been so gracious in its courtesies to me that its invitation for this convention came as from a royal source with the force of a command. If to hear the same voice a third time in five years seems too much to any critic, he has the full right to ask that we shall follow the rule of the game, "three times and out."

You are gathered here in the chief city of the country, the rival in finance of Berlin, Paris, and London, to become the muster center of the world's finance. In the midst of the dazzling signs of amazing wealth, of the proofs of the nation's resources, and of power which knows no superior, broad and deep topics rise before you to arouse your thought and to invite your decision.

Our government not borrowing.

Fortunately, the United States is not asking for new loans. The government is not increasing its debt by long bonds or by exchequer bills for temporary needs. If in any month outlay exceeds income, the deficit is covered by previous surplus laid away. Individuals and corporations reach out for vast sums in loans, but the nation is not a borrower in any market. Its interest-bearing debt at the beginning of the fiscal year 1898 was $847,345,190, and the annual interest was $34,387,510. A loan of $200,000,000 was made by popular subscription for war purposes. Yet at the start of the current fiscal year that debt was only $805,157,440, and the annual interest was $24,170,745. In the interval the government has paid the cost of the Spanish War, $20,000,000 under the treaty of Paris, and $50,000,000 on account of the Panama Canal. Now the nation stands on a granite basis of credit, and over the door of the Treasury may be inscribed: "We are not borrowing here."

This fact reduces the financial problem to simple terms. The government leaves the loan market alone. Enough factors remain, however, to make it worth while to study the strength and the weakness of American finance.

The revenues.

For a full discussion of our theme, we might perhaps be required to treat of the receipts and disbursements of the government. We may, however, in these partisan days, leave this branch to the orators and the press of the political parties, who will be quite ready to thresh out the straw to the uttermost.

Six classes of currency.

In an ideal currency system, one would not expect to find besides subsidiary and minor coin, and the disappearing Treasury notes, six classes of money—gold coin, uncovered notes, certificates issued for gold, certificates issued for silver, bank notes and legal tender silver dollars. Or only four classes might be named, to-wit: gold and its certificates, constituting 44.1 per cent.; silver and its certificates, 21.2 per cent.; uncovered notes, 13.2 per cent.; and bank notes, 17.2 per cent. The financial architect would seek to be rid of uncovered notes and legal tender dollars, and might look askance at the large bank circulation.

United States notes.

The United States notes, at first and still in theory a forced loan, began without reserve behind them. The resumption act which aimed to redeem them in gold, gave them a power for mischief as weapons for assault on the official treasure. Danger arose when the revenue was inadequate, and the Treasury became bankrupt. Peril ceased when a surplus was created, and the yellow metal flowed into the national coffers. Yet in itself the United States note is weak; it gains strength as gold is put behind it. The practical banker may join with the theorist in the wish that it may pass gradually into the gold certificate. That change is going on without jar or friction on two paths; first, by the increase in the gold in the Treasury, and second, by the use of notes of $10 instead of those of larger denomination. In five years the $10 notes have run up from just less than $100,000,000 on July 1, 1900, to $155,420,521 in 1902, to $245,440,011 on the same date in 1904. (See Table A at the end of the address.)

The Treasury gross gold in the same period from $425,577,571 rose to $681,538,821, and is now over $700,000,000. (See Table B at the end of the address.)

Thus these greenbacks have turned from large notes in chief part to be 70.7 per cent. in $10 bills, for which the demand is always, with rare exceptions, exceeds the supply. In the same five years additions of 60.9 per cent. to the gross gold in the official vaults have been made. (See Table B at end of address.)

The share of the uncovered notes to the total currency is steadily growing less. From 33.6 per cent. in 1880, and 23.4 per cent. in 1900, it has fallen to 33 per cent. The danger from them has diminished in certainly as marked a ratio. They are to decrease, while the general volume is to increase. (See Table C.)

Change into gold certificates.

Congress could without friction use at once $30,000,000 of the gold reserve for certificates of $10 and $5, as a substitute for United States notes offered for redemption, and in each succeeding year apply a like sum from the inflow to continue such change. The redemonitions of United States notes last year were $122,080,000, and the average for five years $101,231,260. It would be easy to transform half of this sum into gold certificates.

By this process the United States notes would grow less weak, and before very long become in fact gold certificates, as they are now in essence, in the ratio which the reserve holds to them, or 42.2 per cent. (See Table D.)

Silver dollars.

The silver dollars have of late been severely assailed in and out of Congress. They are denounced as excessive in volume and as a menace to the integrity of the currency. Demand has been loud for their redemption in gold, and for the reduction of their number by coinage into fractions. Predictions have been put forth that some official may, at his option, pay them for interest or some other high obligations. Assault on a fortress does not prove that it is vulnerable, but it does challenge vigilance and defense.

While additions to the silver dollars were constant, their issue for evil or for good grew space. The repeal of the act for the purchase of silver, set a barrier to the current and checked it. The recent stoppage of the coinage of dollars fixes a limit to their volume, and permits a calm survey of their use and their abuse.

Silver dollars in circulation and not covered by certificates, October 1, 1900, were $55,435,836, and 3.2 per cent. of the total currency. The volume increased for three years, but the ratio fell to 3 per cent. of the total circulation. In the last fiscal year, including the coinage for Treasury notes, the volume became $71,503,684, or 2.8 per cent. of the total circulation. (See Table E.)

The silver dollars in the Treasury reach the maximum from October to December annually, and the minimum in July or June. In 1900 the difference between summer and early winter was $8,203,467; in 1901 it was $10,422,985; in 1902 it was $6,651,358; in 1903 it was $9,794,447; and in 1904 it was $10,011,539. This is a margin
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of practical elasticity in these metallic dollars, and marks the current of their use in the varying seasons. This elasticity is in so far an offset to the weakness of such coinage.

The critic has a right to say that his objection rests not only against the seventy or eighty million dollars in circulation as such, but also against the 400 or 470 million covered by certificates.

The demand for dollars and certificates makes sturdy answer. In the late autumn, the Treasury finds the drain on these kinds of currency exhaustive. Its ten offices in September, 1900, held only $55,906 silver dollars and $3,668,159 in silver certificates. Since then the minimum holdings have not fallen so low. Dollars were $1,955,631 in December, 1902, and $988,276 last September, while silver certificates in the autumn months of 1903 and 1904 were $221,562 and $6,192,782. (See Tables F. and G.)

These conditions are created by the movements of the crops, which call for dollars and small bills. The Treasury prepares by husbanding such resources, and on August 22 last, before the autumn shipments began, had in its several vaults in United States notes, nearly all in, $10, $15,716,020; in silver dollars, $22,621,992; and in silver certificates, all in $1, $2 and $5, $7,100,458. This is a total of over $45,000,000, available for putting on the market corn and wheat and other grains, provisions, cotton and sugar. Great as this sum is, if it shall fully meet all the requirements of the season, those who have in other years been accustomed to secure small currency will rejoice. As far as it goes, it will illustrate the measure of elasticity possible with forethought and vigilance under our system. To that extent the weakness of rigidity is mitigated.

Bank circulation.

Bank notes on July 1, 1900, issued by 3,732 banks were $300,115,112 and 14.6 per cent. of the total circulation, and became at the outset of this fiscal year $435,955,588, issued by 5,586 banks, and 17.2 per cent. of such circulation. (See Table E at the end of the address.) They have thus increased faster than the currency as a whole. Students of finance regard them with very different views. To very many our banking system seems the best in the world. By others bond security for circulation is denounced as unduly expensive, viciously rigid and unresponsive to trade movements. The limit of the monthly reduction to $5,000,000 is especially offensive to them. Not all such critics, but many, seek to substitute a currency based on general assets. Some thoughtful financiers look with alarm on the rapid and continuous increase in bank notes, and object to any device for adding to them.

The suggestion is urged for the gradual substitution of government certificates covered by gold and silver. Bankers are questioning the profit of putting out circulation, and some great institutions restrict their deposits of bonds for that purpose to the lowest amount permitted by law.

While less than one-sixth of the entire circulating medium, bank notes give rise to by far the greater share of discussion in the field of the currency. Is such currency a deformed and nervous sister in the family, requiring most of the expert care of the doctors? Or is it Cordelia among Lear's daughters, constant, faithful and true, dispensing comfort and blessing? Absolutely safe as they are, everywhere current for purchase and payment, these notes are the storm center of financial controversy.

Clean money.

Of late another weakness in our currency is vigorously exposed. The paper money is not clean. Banks are not willing to pay the charges for transportation to secure new bills; if they were, the face of the notes could be kept much more nearly fresh as the holder received it.

No general agreement on such a policy is likely. Can Congress be induced to spend half a million, or a million, dollars a year for the increased redemption, the larger num-

ber of new bills, and the cost of shipment in and out? The answer can hardly be given here and now.

Frequent appeals for change.

Instability is not a virtue in finance. In this country no topic is too sacred for discussion, and statesmen and professors, editors and orators have not had the field of the currency to themselves. Every one who can sharpen a pen or scribble a criticism, or get a few dollars in circulation, finds nothing good in existing conditions, and the tuft hunters who prefer foreign methods to anything American, never fail of occupation.

Many projects, many devices, many cooks, and if the broth is not always perfect, it serves fairly well and might be worse. At the last session of Congress, which was not very prolific, no less than twenty-one bills aiming to change our currency were introduced. If not one was passed, every project sought to unsettle in some way existing conditions. (See Table H.)

This threat of instability is one of the penalties of the great blessings of free speech and unshirked right of petition. The day must have its shadow as well as its sunshine.

Parity.

The confession that weak links can be found in our financial chain shall not drive us into pessimism. We know the growth and the reserve of strength. Under the Act of March 3, 1900, every dollar of specie, every other dollar, and all are interchangeable. Because they are most in use among all the people everywhere, the small notes are in greatest demand. If conditions point at all to a premium, the ones, twos and fives will command it first. But the level is well maintained. Whatever winds blow or storms beat, our currency has a surface as clear and even as a mirror. The surface is not of mercury, shifting and undulating; it is formed of the minted gold.

Gold and its production.

The stronghold of our financial system is its actual gold, as well as our statutes. The world has about $5,500,000,000 of this metal, of which the United States has in its stock $1,342,425,740. In the last reported year, the world produced less than $900,000,000, of which our mines gave $80,000,000. Our Treasury holds $700,000,000 in gold, and our banks, National and other, have $300,000,000, approximately. So over one-fifth of all the world's gold is in the United States, and the bulk of it in the banks and the Treasury. The increase in gold in both forms in our currency in five years has been just less than $300,000,000 ($256,852,457), and in the past year, from August 1 to August 1, $187,727,020. The charge is put forth often in spirit, and sometimes in words, that we are extravagant and wasteful in the possession of so much of the precious metal. Are we?

A leading financial journal of this city quotes the president of one of the largest banks in San Francisco as alleging that he invested $20 to get a dollar of gold out of the ground. Was the metal all that the picks of the miners and their self-sacrifice took out of the earth? Did not the arguments of 1849 and their successors create the California of to-day? The ranches, the orchards, the wheat and the fruit, the factories and shipyards, the cities, the churches, the industries, the civilization of this precious commonwealth, are a part of the harvest planted by that $20 of the miners.

A writer in the "Nineteenth Century" alleges that in Australia the balance in gold mining has been adverse, and in the same review we read that on the whole gold discoveries have not been hopeful. For all fields response may be given on the same lines as for California. Is not California now, is not Australia, worth all they cost? But we are not studying whether gold prospecting or gold
mining as an industry is profitable or the reverse. Loss may befall the miners in direct results, and yet by extending population, opening up new districts, creating new centers of production, they may add largely to the welfare of mankind.

Is the gold supply in excess?

Quite another question is whether the supply of yellow metal in this country and in the world is in excess. That problem is important and far-reaching. We are to note that gold here is in the ratio of 44.9 to the total currency, while in Great Britain it is 70:1; in France, 62:12; in Germany, 60:10; in Russia, 57:71, and in Austria-Hungary, 63.9:0. In all these countries, combined gold is 29.6 to the total circulation. If the world’s experience is to be accepted, our gold is not in excess, although our whole volume of money may be too great.

Gold, whether in coin or certificates, becomes elastic as currency just to the extent that it comes to the Treasury and goes out from the vaults. This counter-dow has no limit save the operations of trade. No payments or deposits in this form will be rejected, and the treasure will be held intact until the public use draws it out. Coin and certificates are interchangeable, and elasticity may assert itself to any degree.

Our total circulation per capita at $31.65, exceeds that of every other nation save France, where it is $39.22. But our industry and enterprise and local traffic also lead in the comparison. The question is grave whether our currency is not in excess of our needs.

INTERNATIONAL OPERATIONS.

American finance connects itself with world movements. While we cannot follow the debate relative to the profit of gold mining, we must recognize the fact that among the great commercial nations the yellow metal is the only instrument for the final adjustment of trade differences. The experience of mankind has chosen it for that purpose, and there is no other instrument available. A few countries still cling to silver, but they all show signs of adopting the richer metal. Thus gold becomes more masterful. Those who put themselves in hostile array, denounce it as costly, and doubt the value of discovery and production, are bound to provide, at least, in theory, some other tool for settling the world’s commerce. The era of rude barter has passed away. The stress of trade insists upon the best machinery.

In the past fifteen years we exported in gold $890,231,325, and imported $484,452,705. From 1890 to 1896, inclusive, every year showed an excess of exports over imports of $273,967,117. In only two years since then, 1900 and 1903, were the exports greater than the imports, $5,802,143 in all, while in the other years of the period the imports were $234,894,966 in excess. In the last fiscal year, in spite of exceptional foreign payments, the imports surpassed the exports by $17,950,382. So the exports for the whole period were the greater by $44,775,564, and this is equal only to our own production of the metal for seven months. More significant still is it only 5 per cent. of the outward movement for the period. (See Table I.)

CLEANING HOUSE FOR THE NATIONS.

Yet the full sums of imports and exports were carried across the ocean, at great risk, heavy cost for freight, and not a little loss by abrasion. Why should this treasure be carried back and forth between nations, as the banks of the Rhine and the Seine, or the muds and mires used to deliver money to each other? Is it not possible to frame a system by which only the differences may be paid in metal at proper intervals? Surely it would be cheaper to pay the balances than the gross sums, as the cleaning houses daily testify.

Why cannot an international cleaning house be organized? Perhaps jealousies will forbid the selection of a single city for the purpose, and the Greek city-states were rivals for the deposit of the offers to Apollo. The International organization may well have its vaults in London, Paris and Berlin, as well as in New York, and the treasure can be divided in the ratio of the gold of the several countries. The certificates of the four vaults can be interchangeable. The barberries of shipping kegs of metal East and West over the Atlantic may go with the method of the mummies and the cave-dwellers.

REAL AND ENDURING STRENGTH.

American finance does not stand alone. A Tenderiffe in mid-ocean is more than a Shasta or Mont Blanc, rising in solitary majesty among their ranges. It is the vital current of the activity of the people. Its strength is not in theory or in petty technicalities. It is strong with the brain and brawn of 82,000,000 citizens; with the varied resources of mine and soil and forest and running waters; with the sheep and horses on many ranches and the cattle on a thousand hills; with coal, iron and all their products; with wheat and corn and sugar and cotton; with the inventive minds and skillful fingers of efficient artisans; with forge and factory and dynamo and motor, and not least, with school and college, with university and church. Financial strength is in wealth of every kind, but not least in the purest morality and the worthiest character.

APPENDIX.

Table A.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Notes in circulation</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>$973,382,228</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1900</td>
<td>1,233,254</td>
<td>2,521,151,527</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1901</td>
<td>1,249,672</td>
<td>2,546,589,503</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1902</td>
<td>1,286,121</td>
<td>2,980,838,218</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1903</td>
<td>1,343,270</td>
<td>3,160,354,757</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1904</td>
<td>1,429,251</td>
<td>3,425,790,715</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table B.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Reserves in Treasury</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>July 1, 1890</td>
<td>$100,000,000</td>
<td>$250,733,019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July 1, 1891</td>
<td>$100,000,000</td>
<td>$247,076,220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July 1, 1892</td>
<td>$100,000,000</td>
<td>$235,167,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July 1, 1893</td>
<td>$100,000,000</td>
<td>$224,851,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July 1, 1894</td>
<td>$100,000,000</td>
<td>$210,411,596</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table C.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>U.S. Notes</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Standard</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1903</td>
<td>$693,382,228</td>
<td>193.8 2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1896</td>
<td>$1,429,251,270</td>
<td>234.3 3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1893</td>
<td>$1,286,121,500</td>
<td>197.7 3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1892</td>
<td>$1,343,270,250</td>
<td>193.9 3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1891</td>
<td>$1,429,251,527</td>
<td>193.8 2.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table D.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>U.S. Notes outstanding</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Reserve Fund</th>
<th>Reserve Fund per cent. of U. S. notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>July 1, 1900</td>
<td>$124,577,071</td>
<td>$253,260,049</td>
<td>40.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July 1, 1901</td>
<td>$253,260,049</td>
<td>$206,320,000</td>
<td>80.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July 1, 1902</td>
<td>$206,320,000</td>
<td>$253,260,049</td>
<td>60.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BANKERS’ CONVENTION.

United States Notes outstanding | $343,081,016 | Reserve Fund | $350,000,000 | Reserve Fund per cent. of U. S. notes | 41.2
Table E.

STANDARD SILVER DOLLARS AND NATIONAL BANK NOTES IN CIRCULATION AND PER CENT, EACH TO THE TOTAL CIRCULATION ON JULY 1 FOR PAST FIVE YEARS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Silver Dollars</th>
<th>National Bank Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1900</td>
<td>$65,889,346</td>
<td>$300,115,112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1901</td>
<td>$60,921,325</td>
<td>345,190,116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1902</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>15.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1903</td>
<td>68,747,349</td>
<td>342,756,256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1904</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>18.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table F.

MAXIMUM AND MINIMUM CIRCULATION OF STANDARD SILVER DOLLARS IN EACH FISCAL YEAR FOR THE PAST FIVE YEARS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1900</td>
<td>October</td>
<td>$11,301,740</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>July</td>
<td>$60,108,275</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1901</td>
<td>December</td>
<td>76,142,326</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>July</td>
<td>65,756,341</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1902</td>
<td>December</td>
<td>78,239,986</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>July</td>
<td>66,588,628</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1903</td>
<td>November</td>
<td>78,700,912</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>July</td>
<td>68,966,465</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1904</td>
<td>December</td>
<td>81,673,223</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>January</td>
<td>71,961,844</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table G.

MINIMUM AMOUNT OF SILVER CERTIFICATES AND STANDARD SILVER DOLLARS IN THE TREASURY IN EACH FISCAL YEAR FOR THE PAST FIVE YEARS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Silver Certificates</th>
<th>Standard Silver Dollars</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1900</td>
<td>August</td>
<td>$3,546,159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>September</td>
<td>$5,066</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1901</td>
<td>October</td>
<td>6,740,259</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>December</td>
<td>1,982,182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1902</td>
<td>August</td>
<td>5,331,158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>December</td>
<td>1,490,631</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1903</td>
<td>October</td>
<td>4,271,562</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>November</td>
<td>602,119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1904</td>
<td>September</td>
<td>6,102,784</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>November</td>
<td>692,275</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table H.

FINANCIAL BILLS INTRODUCED IN 58TH CONGRESS.

BILL to provide for redemption of mutilated currency (see bill H. R. 4879).
BILL to improve currency conditions (see bill H. R. 4831).
BILL to provide for redemption of small denominations (see bill H. R. 4842).
BILL to further provide for redemption of mutilated currency (see bill H. R. 5689).
BILL to issue Treasury notes for purchase of State, county and city bonds (see bill H. R. 4813).
BILL to authorize issue of national bank currency (see bill H. R. 4854).
BILL to issue currency notes in exchange for United States bonds (see bill H. R. 5670).
BILL to further provide for redemption of mutilated currency (see bill H. R. 5671).
BILL to further provide for redemption of mutilated paper currency (see bill H. R. 10,972).
BILL to further provide for redemption of mutilated currency (see bill H. R. 13,387).
Resolution of Inquiry in House relative to retirement of national bank notes (H. Res. 311) referred 1732.
BILL to improve currency conditions (see bill H. R. 4831).
Resolution of Inquiry in House relative to retirement of national bank notes, passed, 3687.
Report of Secretary of the Treasury relative to retirement of national bank notes (H. R. Doc. 697) 5440.

Table I.

GOLD EXPORTS AND IMPORTS FROM 1890 TO 1904.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Exports</th>
<th>Imports</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1890—1893</td>
<td>$17,274,491</td>
<td>$12,545,342</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1894—1897</td>
<td>86,362,654</td>
<td>18,212,567</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1898—1901</td>
<td>56,195,327</td>
<td>49,890,454</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1892—1905</td>
<td>106,680,844</td>
<td>21,174,281</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1901—1904</td>
<td>76,078,061</td>
<td>73,460,110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1905—1908</td>
<td>3,408,481</td>
<td>3,204,709</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1909—1912</td>
<td>112,469,947</td>
<td>33,556,058</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total</th>
<th>$518,958,860</th>
<th>$244,609,688</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Markets</td>
<td>$223,659,177</td>
<td>$9,982,013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gold</td>
<td>$44,653,200</td>
<td>$45,015,789</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silver</td>
<td>104,985,283</td>
<td>104,985,283</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Certificate Bonds</td>
<td>51,322,613</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bills</td>
<td>21,490,151</td>
<td>21,490,151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bullion</td>
<td>48,261,750</td>
<td>48,261,750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gold</td>
<td>51,180,171</td>
<td>51,180,171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silver</td>
<td>60,601,187</td>
<td>60,601,187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Certificate Bonds</td>
<td>3,452,938</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bills</td>
<td>47,090,593</td>
<td>47,090,593</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bullion</td>
<td>51,450,069</td>
<td>51,450,069</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gold</td>
<td>200,635,268</td>
<td>200,635,268</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total</th>
<th>$711,861,024</th>
<th>$691,454,077</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Markets</td>
<td>$231,964,009</td>
<td>$231,964,009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gold</td>
<td>$231,964,009</td>
<td>$231,964,009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silver</td>
<td>228,182,553</td>
<td>228,182,553</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Certificate Bonds</td>
<td>44,756,564</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bills</td>
<td>231,964,009</td>
<td>231,964,009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bullion</td>
<td>231,964,009</td>
<td>231,964,009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gold</td>
<td>231,964,009</td>
<td>231,964,009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silver</td>
<td>231,964,009</td>
<td>231,964,009</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note.—The total export of gold excluding bullion in Treasury, $11,778,564, is 5.62 per cent. of the total exports.
BANKERS' CONVENTION.

The Western Banker.

By W. C. Robinson, President First National Bank of Winfield, Kansas.

"Where, oh where, is the land of the west?  Who can define its uncertain domain?  With ambition that never permits it to rest  It pushes its borders 'cross valley and plain."

"What, oh what, is the land of the west?  Who can tell of its changeful mood?  That quickly shifts from the worst to the best, intolerably bad, exceedingly good.

"Oh, land of the west, broad land of the west.  Fret not at the slurs that prouder folk sling;  With virtues and charms exceeding the best.

"Thou needst not any thy praises to sing.

"Thy mountains look down on the east country's hills;  Thy rivers laugh loud at her babbling brooks;  By thy harvests and herbs her hunger she stills;  To thee, even for kings, she regrettably looks.

"Oh, land of the west, with sunburn and tan.  With freckles and blotches spread over thy face,  I love thee as love I the face of a man.

"Not living nor dying shall scorn thy embrace."

The Western Banker is practically the producer of the last decade.  Until that time the West and the Western product were not considered of much importance; indeed, I well remember when the man from the West, and especially the Western Banker, was looked upon, not only with suspicion, but with absolute distrust.  The West was considered for many years the birthplace of the wildest vagaries, prominent among which was Populism.

Free Silver—Sixteen to One—if it had found its origin in the West, found there its strongest and most brilliant advocate, the Hon. William Jennings Bryan, a born master of men.  While Populism and Free Silver are considered among the Western inconsistencies, they are not without good results.  They both serve as educators or renovators.  They were as pebbles thrown in the business ocean, starting the waves of agitation.  From this agitation the public mind was awakened, the pitfalls were cleaned up, Niagara was seen ahead in time to avert a calamity, and to-day the West stands as Gibralter.

Until after 1863 the Western Banker was, in a sense, a missionary.  Business ideas and methods were in a chaotic state, and confusion seemed to have full sway.  The West, in a commercial sense, was as much unsettled and at variance with prudent ideas as any real missionary field.  The Western Banker was, therefore, an educator.  He was compelled to shape and mould commercial ideas and methods.  For many years it was difficult to divide the land from the water, or to determine whether the sun would rise in the east and set in the west, or rice versa.  The years from 1873 to 1893 were fraught with many disturbances.  We would no more than have cleaned up the débris of one conviction than another was upon us.  The Western country was storm swept; beginning with the volcano, as it were, in 1873, followed by the slighter disturbances of 1884 and 1890, until the country was overwhelmed by the cyclone of 1893, known as the Panic of Paniac.  During these years the Western Banker was the chief sufferer.  He was in every way handicapped in his plan of developing the commercial ideas of the West.  During the year of the panic the Western Bankers were not always able to command even their Eastern balances in currency, and could not utilize among themselves the Clearing House certificate title—it took nerve and actual cash for the Western Banker—heretofore and association amounted to nothing.  He remained bravely in charge, acting as both master and servant.  He was willing to do anything to promote the great and glorious work in land, and much of the time, as it appeared, "without the hope of fee or reward."

The panic of 1893 was really a "blessing in disguise;", it was the cleaning up storm; it was the culmination of the storm period, and it so purified methods that a better atmosphere has been breathed since.  The Western Banker during these years was the target.  His methods were criticised and his motives were often impugned.  Had he not been a man with more than ordinary faith in his undertakings he would have despaired.  He had, however, faith in his ideas, in himself, in his possibilities and in the country he was developing.  He worked on with indomitable courage until his hopes ended in fruition.

In one respect I think the Western Banker is entitled to the more credit.  He was not only compelled to stay by his own convictions, but he took a varied population and brought it to his way of thinking.  He had not only to train and build up himself, but he had to train and build up the country and its people.

You will all agree with me when I say that Kansas is a typical Western State—if not more so—and the Kansas Banker is, therefore, a typical Western Banker.  The history of Kansas is much like the history of the bad boy at school—condemned for every bad deed, but never complimented nor credited.  It is quite so of the entire West.  The past has proven, too, that the outcome of the West is much like that of the bad boy.  When the training period is passed, the bad boy outstrips in achievements.  In tracing the history of this country we must be convinced that the West is the storehouse, full of the products of the earth, both from its surface and from its bosom—therefore, rich in grain, in stock and in minerals.  It is not behind either in mental and physical activity.  The greatest minds that this country has ever produced, if not born in the West, have been brought up there.  First among them is the great Lincoln—purely a Western product.  His few, but surely inspired, words on the battlefield of Gettysburg will live as long as life and language endure.  We could mention Grant, Logan, Douglas and scores of others, who from the West have made for themselves names that will live longer than time; who have made it their just and lasting ends to overtake the endless future with the gods and in Elysian fields.

The orderlies through which the Western Banker had to pass schooled him for adversity, and developed a fuller capacity for the enjoyment of the fruits of prosperity, which he is now having in a large measure.  We have passed through the period of infancy, and the more drastic and enduring time of youth, and have now arrived at strong and capable manhood.  We have spent our forty days in the Wilderness, in fasting and prayer, and are now entitled to the fruits of our labor.  During the most trying period of his existence the Western Banker was aided in his work by the wise counsel and prudent management of one of the ablest men who had, until that time, occupied the office of Comptroller of the Currency, the Hon. James H. Eckels.  He was helpful in every possible way and saved the bank to the people and the people to the bank.  James H. Eckels seemed to have been peculiarly fitted for that place and for that especial time.  He was a Moses saved for that purpose.  He was one of the great Grover Cleveland's wise and prudent selections for a Western post—a Western product, and to-day a successful Western Banker.  He has been succeeded by the Hon. William B. Hildy, a sagacious and safe leader, who had been, with his father before him, among the most prominent Western Bankers.  The West feels complimented in having
among the President's Cabinet such men as Lyman J. Gage, Leslie M. Shaw, J. Sterling Morton and his distinguished son, Paul Morton. The first two were prominent Western Bankers. What a quartette of strong, capable, sturdy men! Of all these the West is eminently proud.

The Western Banker has always been right on economic questions. He did his full part in the great battles of 1896 and 1900, when our money standard was at stake. The Western Banker has always been a firm believer in the full value of the dollar, and that the dollar of any stamp should be worth one hundred cents. When the great Free Silver champion was looking for a prominent banker for his service and co-operation he passed the Western Banker and found his man in this great city. For the one hundred cent dollar the Western Banker has always talked and worked and voted, and to-day he stands right on the questions of branch banks and asset currency. He believes that every bank should stand on its own foundation—he does not believe that the Western town should be satisfied with a bank a branch of some great city bank. He believes, too, that our currency to-day is sufficient to handle the great business of our country, and that good crops and confidential relations between the banks and the people are the proper and full solution of the currency question. He is also a firm believer in the sufficiency and perfect adaptation of our present National banking system to the wants of our country and our times.

The convening of the bankers of the United States in the greatest city of the world is an event of no small import. Out of it ought to come results commensurate with the individuals composing the gathering and the city that is the magnificent host. It represents the choicest business minds of this great commercial country. The good achieved by this convention ought to be immeasurably great. The bankers of our country ought to be and are the cream of the business world. For integrity and uprightness of character no class of men outranks them. It must be so, or the business of this country would not be so successfully handled. The banker to the community is like the priest to his parishioners. He is the arbiter of their differences; he is the altar upon which confessions are made; he is the weighmaster, with his supposed fairness, his comprehensive information and his undoubted integrity; the scales upon which transactions are weighed. Such, then, is this assembly, and without egotism we ought to and must accept this situation. And this great and marvelous and continuous-ly growing city that is entertaining us—what shall we say of her? England has her London; France has her Paris; Scotland has her Edinburgh; Germany has her Berlin; Russia has her St. Petersburg; Italy has her Rome; but New York City belongs to the world. By her prominence, her prestige, her situation and her ability she rules the world. She is great in every way, and every day becoming greater. Every part of the world justly pays her tribute.
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National Banks and the Trust Company Problem.

By Eugene E. Prussing.

The purpose of this paper is to briefly consider the situation which has arisen in the last fifteen years, though it has only recently become acute in its manifestations, and which has not been compounded, though not quite accurately, called the "trust company problem." It is considered safe to assume in this audience not only the existence, but also the importance, of the problem. The first consideration is to define its character, the second is to learn its cause and history, and the third is to state its practical issues, and to analyze them, and to define its probable solution.

Broadly speaking it may be defined to be the anomalous condition of affairs which permits the existence in the same community, side by side, of two classes of banking institutions competing for practically the same business, namely, deposits, one of which is quite strictly limited, the other unlimited. Broadly speaking, banks are restrained by definite requirements in respect to their cash reserve, while the other is practically free from both these wholesome restraints. These two competing classes are the national banks, organized under the National Banking Act, on the one hand, and the State banks and trust companies, organized under State laws, on the other.

The situation is peculiar to this country; it does not, nor did it ever, exist in European countries; its essential characteristic is legal.

The reason for its existence is to be found in our dual form of government, our separation of State and national affairs, and the questions of policy arising therefrom. The existence of the problem has little or nothing to do with the nature of the banking business. Technically speaking, from the standpoint of banking science, it is an accident.

Its immediate cause lies in the failure of the National Banking Act to give national banks powers as broad as those given to the state banks and trust companies by the banking laws of the various States enacted in recent years, and commensurate with the modern requirements of the business. The National Banking Act provides for only commercial banks, which were the chief need of the public at the time of its enactment. Since its enactment new and great public needs have arisen, which the National banks have not been able to meet.

The main point in the situation is this: State banks and trust companies generally, are authorized to do a general banking business, that is to say, to receive deposits from, and make loans to, commercial, savings, trust, and other customers, while the national banks are confined to a limited banking business; they are authorized to make only commercial loans, and thus practically, though not legally, to receive only commercial deposits. All other deposits naturally tend to the state banks and trust companies.

Broadly speaking, state and trust companies are not regulated by law in their investments and loans, and there is practically no requirement as to their cash reserve, while the National banks are closely guarded by law in both respects.

If the National banks as a class are to maintain their position against the leaders in the business, something radical must be done with the legal situation thus created.

The question is: how should this be done? The facts necessary to a consideration of the situation are these: Our National banks are, legally speaking, the result of an exercise of the powers of the Federal Government with respect to war and the currency, for the purpose of aiding the government in the great operations involved in borrowing money for, and paying the expenses of, the War of the Rebellion. When they were created, their existence was regarded by many as temporary. Their continuance for forty years after the close of the war, in times of peace, is evidence of their value as instruments of commerce and their helpfulness in furthering the prosperity of the nation. The means they were to employ in aiding the government were to issue currency based upon the deposit of government bonds purchased by the banks and to facilitate the sale of government bonds to the public. As an incident to this business they were authorized to receive deposits of money, but were authorized to loan money only upon, or in discount of, commercial paper and bills of exchange.

These limited powers have placed them at a disadvantage in modern days, when the demands of the public for savings banks, trust companies and other financial agencies have largely increased the field of banking operations, so that to-day instead of being far and away the leaders in financial affairs, they are struggling for place, and are obliged to ally themselves with institutions of the Trust company class to maintain a fair position with the leaders in the financial world.

The reason for this situation is not far to seek, and the remedy is an obvious one, but the difficulty in bringing the two together and thus relieving what may become a public misfortune may not be easy. Reform of a financial character involving national legislation, though in merely administrative or other subordinate affairs, are very slow of enactment. They require a long campaign of education to arrive at an understanding on the part of even our legislators, for the subject is to most of them terra incognita, and the public has an unspoken fear of anything the National banker wants.

That an expansion of the powers of National banks has become a necessity to the legitimate exercise of their functions as parts of the machinery of the national government, should require no longer argument. A statement of the present powers of National banks, coupled with a short historical review of the development of the general banking business, which has resulted from the needs of our government and people since National banks were first established, will easily demonstrate the fact, and should arouse a demand that the undisputed powers of the national government should be exercised to give its sanction to the grant of further authority to these institutions, commensurate with modern requirements.

The refunding operations after the war justified the continuation of the National banking system when the first charters began to expire in 1884, and a renewal of charters was granted. Since then these institutions have become so thoroughly a part of the government machinery and a necessity to the public that their abandonment would be regarded as a long step backward.

The science of banking at the time of the establishment of National banks was at a very low ebb. It had not progressed very far in this country at any time, though the subject of much political contention and legislative action. The panic of 1857 and the breaking up of commercial relations with the South at the beginning of the war, with the consequent great losses to all engaged in commercial pursuits, especially banking, had reduced the number of banks and their operations to an almost irreducible minimum.

The financial needs of the country were being served by a comparatively few survivors of the commercial State banks, the New England and New York Savings banks and their imitators in some of the other States, private bankers of various kinds, and a very few, perhaps six, trust companies.

The needs of the country during, and immediately following, the war, the profitable privileges conferred upon the National banks, the patriotic sentiments which both inspired, the successful management of these banks and
their careful supervision by the National Government, in spite of a number of disastrous failures among the banks, created public confidence, and naturally resulted in the growth of the National banking system, until it became the chief financial element of the country, and until 1879 it was without a serious competitor in its leadership in financial affairs.

In the early eighties, the United States had, financially speaking, recovered from the disastrous effects of the war, and the panic of 1873; we were rapidly paying off the national debt and the people were accumulating a surplus.

The need of investment for this surplus, which no longer remained in government securities, created a demand for proper agencies, especially in the East and Middle West, and the limitations then, as now, existing upon the powers of National banks, turned the thoughts of enterprising persons into other channels. State banks had been almost wholly abandoned because their profitable feature of issuing bank notes had been taxed out of existence by the National Banking Act. A few exceptions in some of the States, notably Illinois, maintained their existence chiefly as Savings banks, or, if engaged in commercial business, were sustained by reason of the extraordinary character of their stockholders or officers, or both.

In New England and the Middle Eastern States Savings banks of an especial type had grown up, and besides these and the National banks, perhaps a dozen of institutions known as trust companies had been established under State charters, and in nearly every instance had flourished.

These trust companies were really banking institutions. The name "trust company" did not truly describe the chief part of their business; they received deposits which they mixed with their own funds and for which they became bankers and not trustees.

These deposits, however, were of a then peculiar character. It was almost a rule, viz.: they were not payable on demand and they bore interest. They were usually taken upon certificates of deposit, payable generally upon short notice, or at a time stated not exceeding one year, and bore a rate of interest varying from 2 to 6 per cent., usually less than 4. Such deposits, while not unknown in the National banks, were exceptional in them, and were not encouraged, as they involved payment of interest, and the payment of interest to ordinary customers was then considered bad banking.

The trust companies, however, solicited these deposits from that increasing class of the community of recent growth known as the bond and national securities, whose business to begin with was limited, looked also to other business for support and profit. In lieu of the patriotic element with which the National banks were invested, the trust company had received another, but also very worthy, characteristic.

The father of the trust company selected the agency legally known as the fiduciary trust as one of the chief elements of his creature's compositions, and impressed its sacred nature upon his child. a most happy and successful thought.

The administration of trusts by trust companies is in fact not essentially different from, and no more important than, the execution of ordinary financial agencies by other banks throughout the financial world, but the character given to this particular class of agencies by its legal derivation, the sanctity with which it has been enveloped by judicial and legislative action, as well as the popular imagination, makes it a highly valuable trade mark. Nevertheless, it must be noted that the agency involved in making an ordinary investment for an inexperienced man or woman is no less serious and should be regarded as no less sacred.

The public appreciation of this trade mark, however, in the case of the dozen trust companies referred to was sufficient to favor them with very considerable patronage and to make several of them, particularly in New York and Philadelphia, leaders in deposit lines, so that bankers generally began to consider them desirable adjuncts to the financial scheme.

The questions in question were all acting under special State charters, much alike in their chief features, but differing in details. They were not all incorporated exclusively for trust of banking purposes, but included fidelity and other insurance and like business, not of the banking type, in some instances.

In 1884, except in the States of Minnesota and Pennsylvinia, no general law existed in any of the United States under which the incorporation of trust companies was possible, and there was as yet but little demand for them.

A suggestion made in October, 1884, by the writer, to the president of a Chicago bank, engaged in savings and commercial business, under a State charter, and having the power to accept and execute trusts, which it did not use, resulted in a conference on the subject, in which it appeared that the chief difficulty in the administration of trusts lay in the necessity of giving a separate bond, with sureties, in court, in each estate. This requirement was a great burden, tedious in its delays and multiplicity, and impractical in the length of time the bond lasted, especially in guardianship cases.

The Illinois constitution forbids special laws, so it was suggested that an Act of the Legislature, general in its character, be applied to all companies which there and or might thereafter have the power to accept and execute trusts, could remedy this difficulty by substituting in lieu of the objectionable special bonds a sufficient deposit of securities with the State for the benefit of all trusts accepted by the depositing trust company, and further providing for regulation, examination and visitation, of the trust companies by the State and the courts, as well as requiring reports by the trust companies.

The result was the preparation of a bill for "An Act to Provide for and Regulate the Administration of Trusts by Trust Companies," which was submitted to the Legislature in January, 1885, but failed of enactment in the long deadlock of that year in the Senatorial contest between General John A. Logan and Mr. William R. Morrison. The bill and its purposes were very thoroughly advertised, however, and attracted widespread attention throughout the country. In January, 1887, the bill was again presented to the Legislature, and in June, 1887, an Act was passed accordingly, from which, however, part of the powers desired were omitted, but in which the principle involved was fully established and all details of the Illinois legislation in 1885.

In 1889 Illinois completed its law by amendment granting the powers omitted in 1887. The Illinois Act has been in force ever since, and, except for slight amendments intended to extend its benefits. Repeated attacks upon the Act by designing persons in the Legislature and the courts have only served to make many of those who first were honorably opposed to it in principle or in policy, its warmest defenders. The courts are its chief supporters.

The Illinois Banking Act of 1880, passed in connection with the second branch of the Trust Companies Act, enabled all State banks to accept and execute trusts. This greatly stimulated the business. From these beginnings, the wave has spread across the country until now only ten States in the Union are without laws to create and regulate trust companies, and the United States Government has accepted the principle involved by enacting a similar statute which the Secretary of the Treasury and the Director of the National Bank Act.

The establishment of numerous trust companies which promptly followed the enactment of these laws, the leadership of Mr. Stewart, of the United States Trust Company, in the refunding and other financial operations of the government during Mr. Cleveland's term and the usefulness of the trust companies and sundry private banking houses employing trust company methods in these and other great financial operations, after the panic
of 1893, soon evidenced the value and profitable character of these institutions and rapidly increased the public appreciation.

The multiplication of trust companies and their competition with private and National banks, which necessarily resulted, induced the early abandonment of the certificate of deposit plan as the sole method of receiving funds in trust companies. Deposits were soon received by nearly all trust companies subject to check, while interest was paid by them on daily balances, in the European fashion, so that many National and other commercial banks have been forced to follow in their example in that respect.

The public demand for interest on deposits and for the larger financial agency under State sanction, has caused the establishment of so many and such powerful institutions of the new type, until to-day the State banks with trust company powers have become at least equally important factors compared with National banks.

In that connection I may be pardoned if I step aside a moment to illustrate by an anecdote the main point in the so-called trust company problem. When I came to New York in 1884 to examine the subject of trust companies in the preparation of the bill which afterward became the Illinois law, Mr. Stewart, the president of the United States Trust Company, gave me the most kind and useful assistance. In the course of my investigation I questioned him rather closely respecting fees and charges for services in the execution of trusts. After giving me many details, he summed up the subject by saying: "Don't bother too much about fees and charges—never let them control—get the business. What you want is fees, but deposits.

And so the situation is this: The trust companies have changed from depositories of funds for or awaiting investment, into general banks of deposit and discount, paying interest on balances and doing all kinds of financial business. They are the keen and successful competitors, not only of the Savings and National banks on the one hand, whose powers are limited by law, and who are subject to certain requirements which the law properly imposes on them, but not on trust companies, but also, on the other hand, of the private bankers, against whom they are aided by that legal characteristic "incorporation," which the trust companies possess, and which is denied the latter.

It certainly behooves the National banker, therefore, to look about him to consider this situation and map out a future course.

The banking business of the United States has grown in the last twenty-five years not so that it disputes with the broader commercial classes under State sanction in the world's finance, as our newspapers would have us believe at times, but so that it is in the front line and has need of all modern machinery in its institutions, and the time has come for the expansion of the powers of National banks unless they are to fall behind in the world's march of progress.

The patriotic and commercial values of these institutions forbid such a thought, and, therefore, let us examine their needs and try to supply them.

National banks now have power to receive all kinds of deposits—commercial, savings, trust and public. Their powers to use them are limited, they can only buy and sell securities, loan upon commercial paper or discount bills of exchange; short time or demand loans upon securities are permitted by judicial construction; but long loans, no matter how well secured, loans upon real estate and the acceptance and execution of trusts are denied them. In this respect, the law in regard to their reserves are intended only for commercial deposits, are unsuited to savings, time and other more or less permanent deposits, and necessarily prevent their profitable use.

The result has been that such deposits go elsewhere, and the National banks are forced, in seeking the benefit of such deposits, to purchase stock in trust companies, participate in Savings banks, or, by the creation of special trust companies and Savings banks openly advertised as filial or allied institutions, to supply themselves with these adjuncts to their business, while investment and bond departments have furnished the means for some of the operations formerly performed by private bankers only.

These devices are not only in many instances inconvenient, and even undignified, but they have sometimes proved disastrous. They are unnecessarily expensive, as well. The extra expense which results from this condition of affairs in the practical duplication of officers, clerks and offices, and other items, is so large and so obvious that the result is, the danger of consolidation of business and centralization of management should be a strong factor in favor of any change proposed. I am aware that in some instances a solution of this part of the problem has been very practically attempted by making the directors and officers of the National banks also direct and officers of its allied trust and Savings bank. These and kindred devices tend to a disregard of the law of the land, which cannot be without detriment to us all.

The situation calls for prompt and radical treatment if grave results are to be averted. The dangerous condition experienced in this and other large cities in the past two or a half years in banking circles were very largely attributable to this condition of affairs. It is possible that proper regulation of the investments and reserves of State banks and trust companies under State laws can be achieved, but in the conflicting interests involved there is little hope of harmony of ideas or successful effort to protect the public at present.

The National banks now at a disadvantage are carefully regulated and inspected competitors, must, I think, seek some other legal remedy, or must continue to bear a large share of the burden and dangers of the situation.

It is with great hesitancy I suggest at least a partial remedy in the expansion of the National Banking Act, so as to cover modern conditions.

If it were so amended as to permit not only the acceptance of all kinds of deposits and banking business, including the administration of trusts, with corresponding power to make not only commercial, but also time and real estate loans, and long investments of savings deposits, all under proper regulations and in due proportion to the deposits received; with such further regulations as to cash reserves and reserve agencies as the different classes of deposits suggest and thereby require, much work will be accomplished in the right direction, by placing the National banks on an equal footing with the State banks and trust companies as to the power to do business, and giving the National banks the great advantage of proper legal restrictions and regulations. The amendments regulating investments and reserves would be comparatively simple matters, for we have many precedents in experience and in existing laws of various kinds.

The power to accept and execute trusts may require much careful hedging. It might be conditioned upon a compliance with the laws on the subject in force in the State in which the banks are located, or upon such special requirements as to amount of capital and the deposit of security with the Comptroller as are now contained in the Act of Congress authorizing trust companies in the District of Columbia. These and other details may be left to future consideration.

The questions of policy and conflicts of interest which these conditions suggest are necessarily involved in what is apparent to us all, and must be met and solved sooner or later. It is not the purpose of this paper to do more than call attention to them. This organization should be the leader in meeting this situation and in organizing a patient, careful and scientific investigation of the subject, which will go far to produce a proper remedy.
Credit Insurance: Its Value to the Banker

By W. E. SCHWEPPE, Manager Bankers’ Department of The American Credit-Indemnity Company.

Ever since the organization of the first bank in Venice in 1771 the principle of demanding collateral and security has never changed, and with all the evolution in the methods and machinery of banking, the underlying principle has been safety. Yet, wherever there is a volume of trade there is also a volume of loss, although the ratio between the two is ever marked by an odd variance caused by that which none of us can determine in advance. If we could there would be no loss, and although the system of credit seems well organized, yet it is far from being under such control that you can determine with any degree of certainty the precise relation between volume of trade and volume of loss, and hold them at any even ratio.

Therefore, as Credit Indemnity determines for the commercial world a fixed ratio of loss upon a given amount of business, it is of vast and far-reaching importance to the bankers.

Credit Insurance is a new economic in credits which has been tried and found of substantial benefit to the mercantile world, a world so dependent upon, and its interests so closely allied with, that of the banker that every cog in the wheel of commerce must find its mate in the wheel of finance. As a conservator of the stability of trade and commerce Credit Insurance should have the earnest consideration and support of the bankers.

In placing before you the plan it will not be my endeavor to go into all the details, but in a concise way to “sketch out” the general outlines, in order that you may have a clear understanding of a Credit Insurance bond.

Credit Insurance limits the annual loss of a business due to insolvency of customers to a normal amount, and reimburses the insured in case of excess of that amount, provided the losses and the sales on which they were sustained were in accordance with the terms and limitations of the bond of indemnity. The mercantile agencies furnish information as to the financial strength and reliability of customers; Credit Insurance protect from loss the firm which transacts its business and extends credit in accordance with that information. The bonds are issued only to manufacturers and wholesale merchants.

The bond is clear, concise and positive. It is adjustable to any line of trade, and to the requirements of any individual business. Under the bond the insured bears the risk of a normal loss on his year’s business. This normal loss consists of a certain specified percentage of his annual sales, and the amount is determined by a calculation based upon the personal experience of the applicant in his particular line of business, the length of time given on credit sales, the territory goods are sold in, and the reputation which the applicant bears of conducting his business on conservative lines. The integrity and moral hazard of the applicant take precedence over all these determining factors, for if the risk is not of the highest grade morally it will not be underwritten at all.

When these conditions are satisfactory to the actuarial department, the company agrees to insure, under conservative and proper safeguards and limitations, every mercantile account of the indemnitee. When the aggregate of covered claims during the year is in excess of this natural, or normal, risk which the indemnitee is to bear, then the excess is the amount payable to the Indemnity.

In a word, the bond applies to every account, covers every possible form of insolvency, involves an adjustment simple, clear and equitable, and eliminates the risk of excessive loss from a credit business.

The banker is so closely associated financially with the mercantile interests, which often comprise his largest patrons, that any system which will protect them from hurtful losses, or afford them additional strength and security, or adds to the banker’s confidence in their conservatism and stability, which is the keynote of commercial success, must merit his earnest consideration and receive his approval and encouragement.

Every banker appreciates the value of collateral for loans. The cashier of a bank and the credit man of the mercantile world perform the same service. The banker passes on loans of actual money. The credit man passes on loans in the form of merchandise. But in each case the debtor owes money. The bank requires collateral. The credit man should have it. A Credit Indemnity bond furnishes the only collateral obtainable on all merchandise accounts. You, as bankers, therefore, can appreciate its value to a credit business.

Bankers require their borrowers to carry fire insurance to protect from loss their merchandise, which is considered the basis of credit. It is much more important to insure such merchandise when it has been sold, and is beyond their control, but is not yet paid for, and is represented by book accounts, especially as these accounts largely exceed in value the merchandise carried in stock, and comprise not only the original investment in the stock, but all of the added charges of every kind, including profits.

If, therefore, you deem it of importance for your borrower to carry fire insurance, surely it is of as great importance to have the borrower carry Credit Insurance on the notes of his various customers presented to you for disbursement. Thus you practically get two name paper instead of one name paper.

Statistics of the mercantile agencies show that in the past eleven years the losses through insolvency have exceeded those through fire by the enormous sum of $650,000,000. The figures are almost incredible, and few firms doing a wholesale or manufacturing business realize the incalculable risk which at all times surrounds their credit business.

A Credit Indemnity bond contains a table of coverage which authorizes a certain amount of credit to each customer, proportionate to his capital and credit rating as furnished by the mercantile agency selected by the assured. All classes of customers are covered. Accounts against those having a capital rating with either first or second grade credit rating are covered in full, while accounts against those not having such ratings are covered for an agreed and specified portion of the amount. In other words, on this latter class of accounts the bond-holder is required to bear a portion of the loss. It is, therefore, a valuable guide in granting credit.

To-day credit is the cheapest commodity on the market, in the fierce competition for business conservatism is often lost sight of. Quantity, not quality, obtains sway. The holder of the bond has a place named for him where conservative judgment says, “Stop.” To go beyond means speculation, and while the bond does not in any way interfere with its holder’s independent action, this point limits its liability and displays the danger signal.

The holding of a bond by your customer and your knowledge of his conforming to its terms should add to your confidence in him. It places a definite value upon his outstanding accounts and increases their value, just as substantial endorsement enhances the worth of commercial paper. It guarantees to you that he will pursue conservative methods. Upon these principles Credit Insurance is constructed and stands. It encourages such
care and conservatism as is consistent with legitimate business activity and healthy commercial progress. It determines a fixed ratio of loss upon a given amount of business, which, under proper conditions, cannot be exceeded, and can be calculated in the expenses with the same reliability as rent, or any other fixed charge. All of these will insure to him a system in his business which will guarantee stability.

A few words as to the economic value of Credit Insurance and its effect upon financial panics.

Financial disturbances have a habit of weakening confidence at stated periods in this great country of ours.

Panics are nothing more than lack of, or loss of, confidence in credits, and the world is eager for a preventive, or a cure. When the banker feels that conditions are such as to make credit unstable he commences to draw in his loans. The manufacturer and the wholesaler then feel the necessity for reducing their lines of credit, and knowing their competitors are doing likewise, they become nervous, insist upon immediate settlement from valued and ordinarily solvent customers, thus precipitating failures which would not have occurred had the initial confidence been sustained. Result: a panic has begun, and a few hundred of such failures force the Jobber, and then the manufacturer, to suspend, and may even jeopardize the bankers, as you are ultimately expected to bear the burdens of all. If the financial world knew that there was behind these accounts the collateral furnished by Credit Insurance, and that creditors would be reimbursed for injurious losses which might occur, the panic-creating effect naturally would receive a decided check. Confidence would be maintained, and a commercial panic be a thing of the past.

A well-known financial writer some time ago wrote of credit insurance:

"Its application to ordinary insolencies and to the extraordinary conditions resulting from extraordinary failures can not but be of interest as well to the professor of political economy as to the financier and practical business man."

I commend Credit Indemnity to your consideration, feeling it will add to your surety, and enhance your security. The banking interests of the country are approaching the time when policies of Credit Indemnity will be universally carried, for they are just as necessary as a protection for the credit of the modern progressive, aggressive business organization as a fire insurance policy is against another and more generally dreaded form of loss.

It is decidedly to your interest to have your borrowers carry Collateral on Accounts as furnished through Credit Indemnity.
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**Detailed Report of Proceedings.**

**THIRTIETH ANNUAL CONVENTION, HELD IN NEW YORK CITY, SEPTEMBER 14th, 15th and 16th.**

**FIRST DAY'S PROCEEDINGS.**

*Wednesday, September 14, 1904.*

Waldorf Astoria.

The Convention was called to order at 10 o'clock a.m. by the President, Mr. F. G. Bigelow.

Prayer was said by the Rev. Dr. George F. Nelson.

The President: The next thing in the order of business is the roll-call, to be dispensed with unless there is objection.

There was no objection and it was so ordered.

The Chairman: I know it will be a pleasure to the Association to listen to an address by the Hon. George B. McClellan, Mayor of the city, whom I have the pleasure and honor to introduce.

**Address of Welcome, by the Hon. George B. McClellan, Mayor.**

Mr. Chairman, Ladies and Gentlemen: The time may come when government in its infinite wisdom may be able to create capital of the nation and will be willing to lend it in indefinite amounts without exacting interest or security, but until the millennium arrives most of us will have to borrow money from the banks, and nearly all of us will continue to lend to the banks, because in a prosperous country like ours nearly all of us are bank depositors. It is sometimes both easy and pleasant to borrow, but it is sometimes neither so easy nor so pleasant to pay back again. (Applause.) When our note has been discounted we leave the bank convinced that the banker is one of the chief factors in the commercial and industrial development of our country, but when our note falls due we are apt to turn our thoughts to a serious consideration of the remissness of Congress in having done nothing to check the greed of the money power. (Applause and Laughter.)

As the lean years succeed the years of plenty it becomes more and more evident that it is one of the inalienable rights of the American citizen to abuse the American banker. (Laughter and Applause.) The measure of the nation's prosperity is the inverse ratio of the total amount of abuse set apart by the public for the exclusive benefit of the banker. (Laughter.) When thieves are prosperous there is a disposition to credit all good things to the banker, the most recent case being the establishment of the present standard of values. It is true that there were some who saw in the establishment of the present standard the direct interference of a benign Providence in the settlement of a purely economic question. Others there were who with the faith—a simple, beautiful faith, worthy of earlier and better days—believed that our whole financial system was reconstructed by a patriotic Congress upon the foundation of masterly inactivity and unlimited leave to print in the Congressional Record. (Laughter and Applause.)

Many, on the other hand, are convinced that the standard of value was established beyond all question or cavil because of the will of a majority of the people in consequence of the economic development of our country, and that the sentiment that took the question from the realm of party politics, where it ought never to have been, and placed it in the domain of pure economics, where it belonged, was created and fostered and crystallized by the bankers of the United States. (Applause.)

Which one of these three theories is correct I cannot undertake to say. Where there is such difference of opinion who shall decide? But whether or not the bankers of this country had anything to do with the establishment of the standard, the very establishment of that standard imposed upon them a duty that they cannot refuse to undertake.

For more than a hundred years there was on the statute books a militia law that required every adult male citizen to keep in his house in defense of the fatherland a musket and sufficient ball and powder and a hangman's rope. Whatever that may have been—and that law was only repeated during the last Congress, because no one had thought it worth while to awaken Congress to the absurdity. The statute on currency is in much the same condition as the old militia law. I had the honor to have five times to the House of Representatives, and during four Congresses I was on the Committee on Ways and Means. In accordance with the traditions of the House, membership on that committee confers a species of omnipotence and omniscience, and yet theoretically omnipotent and omniscient as I was, I do not remember that any one of my constituents ever consulted me on the question of architecture or medicine. If I want to build a house or cure a cold in my head, much as I revere my own representative in Congress, I am inclined to think that I would call in the services of an architect or a physician. The currency question is just as technical and just as scientific as our architecture or medicine. (Applause.) The question will never, never be satisfactorily settled until the settlement has been suggested by those who know what they are talking about. (Applause.)

Congress is always upon the eve of an election, no matter which party is in power; it is afraid to bring up the currency question for fear of political capital being made of it by its opponents. The consideration of the matter has been deferred so often that it seems almost as impossible of solution as the squaring of the circle or the riddle of the Sphinx. You have it in your power to prove to Congress that the question of the currency is in no sense political. You have it in your power to so arouse public opinion that Congress, regardless of section or faction or party, will place upon the statute books a currency law suited to the twentieth century, suited to the economic and business needs of the day in which we live. (Applause.)

I have been asked to come here to-day for the purpose of making a speech of welcome and I have preached. I am sorry. I am here not only in my capacity as Mayor of New York City, but also as Mayor of New York bankers. I am told that there exists a disposition in some quarters to look a little askance at New York bankers, a disposition to divide all American bankers into two classes, the sheep and the goats, the ordinary, every-day good and worthy bankers and New York bankers. (Laughter.) Let me reassure you at the outset of the convention that you will find New York bankers, when you get to know them, very much like all other bankers in this country. They are just as willing to oblige you by taking your account, if you come properly introduced; just as willing to discount your note if it is satisfactorily endorsed; just as willing to make a legitimate profit and just as willing to succeed by every legitimate means. They are very much like other prosperous citizens of New York City; they have the same hard-headed intelligence, the same ability to get on, the same rugged business and personal integrity, the same great pride in our city. It makes them know that New York is not only in fact as well as in name the Empire City of the eastern continent, but that she is destined before long to become the mightiest city the world has ever seen. (Applause.) They have the same intense love of country that has made them in the past, as they will in the future, stand ready to pro-
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tect her credit not only in time of war, but what is even more difficult, in times of peace.

So I bid you welcome to New York. May your stay here with us be most pleasant. May your convention be the most successful in the history of your association, and may you accomplish all the objects for which you have met together. (Loud applause.)

The President: The Convention will be pleased to listen to an address of welcome by Mr. James Stillman, President of the National City Bank of New York, whom I introduce to you. (Applause.)

Address of Mr. James Stillman, President of the New York Clearing House and of the National City Bank of New York.

Mr. President and Members of the American Bankers' Association:

On behalf of the Bankers of the City of New York, I have the honor of welcoming you here. It has been with genuine pleasure that your fellow bankers in this city have prepared for your coming, and their greeting, which I now bring you, is sincere and cordial.

This great convention is a most representative gathering of men whose work lies in the field of finance. The meeting, it seems to me, is of deeper significance than appears merely in the occasion offered here for the interchange of cordial sentiments, or even in the opportunity which is presented for listening to the admirable papers and the enlightening discussions which have always furnished reason for these annual gatherings.

The deeper significance of this great national meeting of bankers is to be found in the spirit of co-operation which is being nourished. The growth of the spirit of co-operation is undoubtedly the most significant phase of the economic development of the present day. Almost beyond calculation are the economic results already attained in the fields of industry and transportation through the elimination of unintelligent competition— that sort of competition which has meant in the end direct and definite loss to every one concerned, loss to the workingmen, loss to owners of property and ultimately loss even to the consumers.

In the management of the country's great industrial undertakings notable progress has been made in recent years in the direction of harmonizing divergent interests, in the wiser comprehension of the value of mutual good understanding, in the juster appreciation of the right of competitors. Such progress in the direction of true cooperation has resulted in vast additions to the wealth of the country, as real as would have resulted from the discovery of new mines, the making productive of desert fields, or the acquisition of new sources for the supply of raw material.

In the field of industry the beneficent economic results which followed this awakening to the value of cooperation have become too obvious to need any word of emphasis. In the field of finance, however, there is no more important thing left for bankers to learn. When we come fully to appreciate the great economic value of cooperation, when we see more clearly the waste which follows unintelligent competition and understand that such waste means as complete a loss of wealth as comes with a disastrous fire or a blight of crops, we shall have brought into the business of banking something of the modern spirit which has so marked the present-day development of industrial affairs.

Here in this great gathering of bankers is the evidence that we are beginning to appreciate that fact. Here is a fertile ground in which broad ideas may grow; here is a field more easily lost than our provincialism—the provincialism of the great city quite as much as the provincialism of the country; and here in the good fellowship and better acquaintance which such a gathering gives opportunity for may well be the beginning of a co-operation of financial matters which will in the end be of vast and most beneficial consequence. And they will be beneficial not to bankers alone, but to every person whose interest is in any way concerned with banking affairs—and there could be no broader category.

With such a view of the full significance of this convention, I am profoundly appreciative of the honor which I have in offering you, in the name of the Associated Bankers of New York, a hearty welcome.

Reply of President Bigelow to Addresses of Welcome.

The President: To you, Mayor McCallum, I can only say I wish I had the facility to express in appropriate language the feelings of my heart. I wish an eloquent tongue could respond to your gracious and kindly welcome.

It is with unfeigned pleasure, however, that I acknowledge, in behalf of the association over which I have the honor to preside, your cordial greeting. I assure you that the bankers of the United States, well represented here, appreciate your words of welcome and that they will feel at home in your well governed city.

Mr. Stillman, standing for the bankers of New York, I return to you the cordial thanks of the Association for the welcome you extend us.

We are workers together in the same fields of endeavor. Banking, like all other business, focuses in New York, and I hope this meeting here presages the greater and greater interest on the part of New York bankers in the work of this Association. While we have, as it were, the centers of business more important than in the past, yet in modern business methods we are linked more and more closely to you. All of our worth and all of our resources may not be listed on your exchange, but we acknowledge (the fellowship with New York of all important business, initiative and enterprise.

I thank you again and again, gentlemen, for your most cordial welcome. (Applause.)

Annual Address of F. C. Bigelow, President American Bankers' Association.

To the members of the American Bankers' Association, friends of many years, always courteous and kind to me, my fellow "father confessors of the modern world," I extend a cordial, thankful greeting. I congratulate you on this fine attendance and the spirit it betokens.

However much prejudice there may appear to be at times against bankers, our business is of the utmost usefulness and importance, and the right pursuit of it in its broader and better aspects requires all the courage and all the conservatism we can command.

I meet in the thirtieth convention of the American Bankers' Association. This covers a period of grotesque and whimsical financial legislation, in which the American banker was infected with fragments of the silver bacillus and engaged for years in frantic attempts to restore the breaking fortunes of silver; some had too much sense to espouse free coinage, but they sought refuge in the shifting sands of bimetallism. This is all forgiven and forgotten now. History, experience and logic have killed bimetallism and it will never vex the world again.

Elected to this office by your generous favor in San Francisco, where we all so thoroughly enjoyed the geant, hearty, whole-souled hospitality of those who live in sight of the Golden Gate, it is peculiarly agreeable to preside over your deliberations in Greater New York, destined some day to be the greatest city of the modern world. I beg all your indulgence in the administration of my office in its last stage, and I shall need it.

The work of this Association is done by its active officials, its competent committees and its administrative officers. It is a personal pleasure to me, and I deem it an appropriate official privilege, to say that I think the Secretary of this Association is entitled to your thanks and mine for his able administration of his office, for the growth of the Association which he has done so much to promote and foster, for his ability to top off much that has been from time to time unwisely proposed, for his quick initiative in any sound, new endeavor to increase the Association's influence and usefulness. The success
of the American Bankers' Association seem to be the very breath of its nostrils.

In 1876 we had 1000 members and our dues amounted annually to $1000. In 1895 we had 1200 members and our annual dues were $10,500. In 1895 we had 1750 members and our dues were about $15,000. Early in this year we had about 7000 members and our dues were $75,000. From this date looking forward to the coming year, we have now enrolled 7500 members, and our increase will be of considerable importance.

This Association was formed for mutual protection from enemies without and within. An enlightened self-interest is the best spring of all human action, and the most manifestly valuable work of this Association has been that of its Protective Committee, dealing with attacks upon its membership and plans for its exploitation. This Committee has been so successful that we will not go over the ground or the argument; you will get the report of the committee and you are familiar with the great benefits our members have derived in that field.

We have until now collected $5 a year dues from small banks, and we have spent at the rate of over $5 a year for each bank in the admirable work of the Protective Committee. This has grown with the years, and the intelligence of it has appealed to us all.

The educational work has taken considerable money and its promise is very great; its accomplishment is already considerable.

I particularly commend the Institute of Bank Clerks; much good may come of it. If we were to give a word of warning, I would say, do not expect to be able to manage a large bank by reason of any acquired, superficial knowledge of routine. You must put your heads and hearts into the work and devote good broad abilities to it. Your best education will be from actual contact in practical affairs with men on the other side of the counter.

It is not strange that the time came when such meager dues as we have had needed to be raised. We have about doubled them. We must expect to lose members; yet when we consider the larger and larger scale of business banks of our communion think it over, we shall appreciate the benefits of membership in this association, and we shall increase in numbers and in influence.

The most efficient committee work done this year is, in my opinion, that of the Money Order Committee. It had a normal work to do, but it did it with unusual care, and I think its practical results will reflect great credit on the committee and bring increased usefulness to the Association. I cannot too strongly commend it, and I urge the banks who can, to avail themselves of its benefits. It was, as I say, a normal work—that is, it was strictly within the province of the bank, and the way of its accomplishment did not, in the matter of financial responsibility, embarrass the Association in any way. It went outside for its guarantees, and thus the Association has not sought competition with well established concerns not invading our natural field. I consider this of the first importance, and I hope the scope of the Association's usefulness will be kept well within natural and normal lines.

The work of the Committee on Uniform Laws was always carefully attended to under the active guidance of the late Frank W. Tracy, and it is a mournful pleasure to commit this work to his son. There is much left to do, many States not yet coming in line and enacting uniform laws. In general terms I commend the zeal and intelligent work of all other committees.

I have tried thus to recite in brief what I consider to be the organization's technical and active field of usefulness and endeavor.

A true history of the Association and the work of many of its members, however, would probably show that it had a considerable influence in keeping this country on a sound money basis, without which no State can long prosper; and I believe all able and thoughtful men of to-day will realize the utility, in the light of all public discussion, and all considerable public judgment, of our present standard (the standard of the enlight.

ened commercial world, shall be deemed to be settled and settled for good. It is fortunate for us, and for all the people, that in late years the hands of all honest and serious bankers have been firmly united in the support of the strength and integrity of the Government. We have greater wealth and more resources than ever before, and in the way we have weathered what has come lately in this unusual period of readjustment has strengthened my conviction that the panics of 1873 and 1893 can hardly be repeated. The financial system of the United States is strong combinations in the industrial and commercial world, some of which have overstepped the mark, but which on the whole have contributed more, I think, than anything else to this favorable result.

One mission given by the last Convention to this administration was to prepare a report of the currency legislation along simple and well defined lines, following the action of the Currency Committee, which was reported to the last Convention, and is familiar to you all. In this or any other Presidential year, however, it was rather to try to interest Congress in any needed amendments to the National Bank Act. It was not an opportune year. I have not mourned as much as some, believing more in good practice and a sound public opinion than in any law. It was, however, as I say,Keith against the pricks to try to get any legislation this year. You may remember who tried it were fan-lighted, lacking courage and persistency, but I hope with me will be by our good fortune, of which we are in mind that to get it from the House of Representatives was literally walking up to the Cannon's mouth.

Of course, with $246,000,000 of demand notes of the government outstanding, and an enormous stock of silver whose circulation has been enabled by the device of silver certificates, and only a bank currency secured by bonds, we can hardly be said to have a currency system. We sometimes feel as if we should like to be able to say:

Our little systems have their day.

They have their day and cease to be.

At the same time we are not suffering acutely from the want of a scientific system, like that on the gold standard—level with the whole enlightened commercial world; and as crises do not generally strike all nations at once, this, with our first rate credit, would put us in better position than we have been in in the two recent great crises.

You will listen to able papers on the Money Question and an Emergency Circulation during this session of the Convention, and I am not prepared to say that some plan of emergency circulation may not be of advantage under present conditions, but with any sort of a proper system of currency I do not believe in an emergency circulation. You are in the midst of a period of pruning, and I hope a pound of cure. I never sympathize with, though I was amused at, a suggestion once made to me that children should be taught to use a crust at school, so that in case they sprained their ankles they would be prepared to carry it gracefully. I believe in a system sound and strong and reasonable enough to meet every emergency we ought to have to meet; and when exuberant human nature, in finance, in the field of labor, or anywhere else, so exceeds natural bounds as to bring distress, I think the public is only worse off for any elaborate, prearranged plans to let it out of a bad hole by an easy way. "Speak to us smooth things, prophesy deceit," is a dangerous warning in finance. We need the right men for emergencies more than any laws. You had them pre-euntently here in New York in 1863, when you had to bear the brunt of a great financial crisis.

I will not dwell the words "asset currency" in here for a body of convention, but I should be untrue to my own views to believe in a credit currency under sharp compulsory redemption with the same reserves in coin as against deposits, though I think many barriers of ignorance and prejudice must be broken down before we can have it and before the people who are now a hand's breadth a pen with some day to respond to the demands of trade. It is a wasteful sys-
tem in tugging up capital, and it lacks elasticity. It expands and contracts only with the price of bonds. On the other hand, circulating medium, to be ready, needs to be invoked promptly, without displacement of capital, to do its instant work, and as promptly to be retired. This is its active principle, and these are its essential attributes. I repeat there is no reason why the reserve against circulation should be less than against deposits, and the redemption of currency should be as certain and prompt as the payment of checks, and it would be if provision were properly made, and made so the issuer of the currency paid the cost of redemption. This could easily be arranged by contracts with express companies, and the Chicago plan has worked this out admirably. I by no means advocate or believe in a credit currency for the purpose of expansion. We have abundant circulation; I believe it is redundant to-day. I believe large reserves, with a proper tax, to accumulate a safety fund for prompt redemption of notes of failed banks and sharp redemption, would work in all periods of rest and readjustment like the present in healthful contraction of issues. I know such a currency can only come gradually and as instructed and watchful and intelligent public opinion can understand and digest it. I know that it is not popular to-day. That it will some day come, by the logic of events and in the very nature of things, I have no doubt.

I would like to think as to amendments and changes in currency laws that in the not distant future the Government will begin, at least, to retie the greenbacks, if not more than two millions a month. No tonic could be better than this; it would show that it is the intention of the Government to pay off its demanded indebtedness.

I would like to see part of the silver stock converted into subsidiary coins, and part of it sold outright; it is a strained and abnormal situation that we have in silver. Everything has been done by practical rules and regulations to minimise the danger of these silver issues, but still it is a very large wrong.

I would repeal the limitation on retirement of national bank notes. If not at all once, I would certainly increase the limit to six millions, and afterward to twelve millions a month, and finally take it all off.

I would allow the Secretary of the Treasury under warrant of law to deposit all internal revenue, and all customs receipts, in national banks, on approved security.

While I think the limitations of the National Bank act as to strictly commercial banks, in the matter of real estate, are wise and prudent, I think, at the same time, small banks in county districts can well be allowed to carry a certain percentage of paper on real estate security, and I think times of trial have shown in this country that such paper so secured has been entirely safe and as convertible as ordinary investment bonds.

I wish to call your particular attention to a paper that will be read at this Convention on "Banks and Trust Companies," and I think the recommendations made therein are of very great importance and may well be enacted into law. It will bring under one roof activities connected with our business that now have to be performed by a bank and an annex, and it will require differentiation of reserves, according to the quality and sort of deposit.

Over and above all else, the benefit of this Association is in its social and human side. We are not enemies, but friends; it is good for us to mix together as we do, to compare notes, picking out the best ones, of course, for purposes of comparison, and keeping back some we now and then get that are beyond compare.

As I draw these reflections to a close I remind you that it has been well said that our greatest debt to Franklin, one of the very greatest Americans, has been in the lessons of honesty, thrift, temperance, industry and economy which he inculcated, and which have so enormously influenced our social, material and intellectual life.

The banker should learn these lessons of self-restraint, of unbroken good humor and cheerfulness under difficulties.

He has many opportunities to properly promote good enterprises and to decline to aid doubtful ones. He has a right to build up his own business, and that of the community wherein his lot is cast; and if he does it efficiently he will have many experiences in times of stress and trial to encourage him and show him that the masses of men are inclined to be reasonable and considerate and just. A banker may make friends and keep them in time of need. I close with a short quotation from Robert Louis Stevenson as a sort of benediction:

To be honest, to be kind, to earn a little, and to spend a little less; to renounce that which shall be necessary and not be embittered; to keep a few friends, but these without capitation; and above all, be strong in the same grim necessities, to keep friends with himself—here is a task for all that a man hath of fortitude and delicacy.

I think the Association for its attention.

The President: We will listen to the annual report of the Secretary.

The Secretary, Mr. James R. Branch, submitted his report, as follows:

Report of the Secretary, Mr. James R. Branch.

September 1, 1904.

To the American Bankers' Association:

Gentlemen: The Protective Committee in January transferred its records and work to the Secretary's office, without increasing the clerical force, thereby reducing its annual expenses by $3,000. In the past year our detective work has been captured, in different sections of the country, seventy-five professional bank criminals, and convicted fifty-four, the sum total of whose sentences amounts to 181 years. This does not include sixteen indeterminate terms, and nine criminals are now awaiting trial. George Collins, who, with William Rudolph, robbed the Bank of Union, Union, Mo., and later on killed his companion, Charles Schussner, was hanged March 11, 1904, at Union, Mo. His partner in crime, Rudolph, is now in jail under sentence of death. This protective work is costly, but of great benefit to our members, and has been one of the strongest factors toward increasing the membership.

Fidelity Committee.

The active work of the Fidelity Committee has largely reduced the cost of fidelity bonds. The best available statistics indicate that the annual saving in the cost of fidelity bonds to our members amounts to considerably over $150,000.

Education.

The Committee on Education informs me that it has established twenty-eight chapters of the Institute of Bank Clerks in different parts of the country. The importance of this work cannot be overestimated, whose increased knowledge of the students naturally redounds to the benefit of the banks who employ them.

Uniform Laws.

The Committee on Uniform Laws has been unflagging in its efforts to have the Negotiable Instruments Law adopted in every State in the Union. Twenty-five States now have this law on their statutes; two States having adopted it this year.

Bank Money Orders.

The Committee on Bank Money Orders has completed its arrangements with the American Surety Company who guarantees the payment of all money orders drawn on the adopted form, and issued only by members of the American Bankers' Association. A sample of this money order has been sent from the Secretary's office to every bank and banker in the United States, with the recommendation that a charge of five cents be made on orders for an amount not exceeding $10, and ten cents for amounts from $10 to $25. From our correspondence it appears that banks throughout the country are taking active interest in the plan.

The Section.

The Trust Company Section is prosperous, its membership having increased from 453 to 566 in the past year.
The growth of the Savings Bank Section for the same period has been from 500 to 618.

**Routine Work.**

During the fiscal year ending August 31, 1904, 7,500 special letters and 46,500 circular letters have been issued from the Secretary's office.

**Association's Increase.**

The membership and resources of the Association have increased as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Membership</th>
<th>Annual Dues</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>September 1, 1875</td>
<td>1,050</td>
<td>$11,608</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September 1, 1894</td>
<td>1,977.85</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September 1, 1904</td>
<td>3,185</td>
<td>$400.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Interest on $10,000 Government bonds of 1925 was $469.40.

Making the total income $123,329.

In the past year 500 members have been lost through failure, liquidation, consolidation and withdrawal from the Association, reducing the membership September 1, 1905, to 6,413. One thousand one hundred and twenty members have joined since that date, making a net gain over last year's total membership of 620. The aggregate capital, surplus and deposits in members of the Association amount to $11,290,G8G,G37.

The President: The Treasurer's report will be received. Its proper disposition, I think, is to refer it to an auditing committee, composed of Mr. Willian George, Mr. C. B. Mills and Mr. J. R. McAllister.

It was so ordered.

The Chairman: The next in order is the report of the Executive Council by its chairman, Mr. J. L. Hamilton. (Applause.)

**Report of Executive Council by J. L. Hamilton.**

Mr. President and Members of the American Bankers' Association:

It is the custom, and custom makes it the duty, of the Chairman of the Executive Council to make a report to the Association. However, by the time that he has been heard the work has been usually well covered and there is nothing much for him to report except a repetition of what has been said.

This year's work has been unusually successful for the interests of the Association and its members. Every committee has done all that has been in its power to push forward the work assigned to it, as the results will show by the reports.

The Committee on Uniform Laws has been pushing its work in the different States where there has been an opportunity for work to be done, and it has been meeting with encouragement. The results of the work of this committee must necessarily be slow, as it has to deal with the legislatures of the different States, and these bodies are not in a position, as any one knows who has had anything to do with legislation, that this committee preserves great credit for its perseverance and its policy that under no circumstances will it use questionable means for the accomplishment of its purpose.

The Committee on Currency Legislation has worked for the interests of the members of the Association, and to it is due great credit for the fact that no vicious legislation has been enacted during the past year.

The Fidelity Insurance Committee during the past year has gathered statistics as heretofore and has been unusually busy in answering inquiries, both from members and non-members, asking for copies of the Association form of bond. As will be shown by its report, this is an important work in the estimation of the members of the Association.

During the past year I have been thrown in closer contact with the Educational Committee and I find that it is doing its work, not only for the Association and its members, but for the financial interests of this nation, and is educating financiers who will soon be the peers of the financiers of the world. The different Chapters, or Institutes, of bank clerks organized by this committee are the greatest practical, financial, educational organization in the world, and their publication, the "Bulletin," should be read by every bank employee as well as every employer in the Association, as no one can read it without being benefited. The last meeting of the Institute was held in St. Louis and it was a decided success.

The Committee on Bankers' Money Orders, appointed by a resolution adopted at the San Francisco Convention, carefully considered the question and prepared a report that was submitted to the Executive Council at its April meeting, and in pursuance with the recommendations of the committee a new committee was appointed to prepare a form of order and to enter into a contract with a surety company to guarantee these orders. This was done, as will be shown by its report, and those orders can now be had on application and issued only by members of the Association.

The Protective Committee I have reserved for the last, and I regret that I am not permitted to give the names of the three gentlemen who are its members. This committee, I believe, has the most trying work of any committee of the association, and it has referred the most trying questions for its consideration. Upon its judgment depends the success of this movement, and, being conscientious men, they have devoted much
of their valuable time to the interests of the work. They have, by their reorganization, been able to save thousands of dollars in the management of this department, at the same time maintaining the effectiveness of the work.

I do not believe that the members generally appreciate the work done by the various committees of the Association, and I would suggest that when the reports of the convention are published you read carefully the report of each committee, the speeches and other proceedings, and I believe you will be surprised to learn that there is no better financial history written than the proceedings of the Committee of the Association.

We have been pushing forward the work of making a closer organization of the members of the Association, and in this work we have been assisted by the Vice-Presidents of the different States, who have appointed representatives in each of the Congressional districts, and they, in turn, have appointed live men in every county who are working to further the interests of the Association. I only regret that I have not had the time to prepare and report a list of the names of the bankers who are now actively engaged in furthering the interests of this Association, but I expect to be able to make a complete report in the business houses in the near future.

The expenses of the Association are necessarily large, as you will note by the reports of the Secretary and Treasurer, and while we have a large income from dues of the members of the Association, yet we should be careful in the amount of our appropriations, as there is a tendency toward extravagance in expenditures that should be stopped, and I think there should be a standing Auditing Committee to whom should be referred all expenditures.

The Association was never in better working condition than at the present time. There is not an officer, a committee or any one to whom has been assigned special work who is not putting forth his best efforts for the Association.

At the regular annual meeting of the Executive Council of the American Bankers' Association held in New York Mr. Clark Williams, of the United States Mortgage & Trust Company, New York City, was elected to fill the vacancy caused by the unexpected term of Mr. William G. Mathe, Mr. Griswold presented a resolution for an act to amend the Negotiable Instrument Law relative to the payment of forged checks after one year has elapsed.

Appropriate resolutions were offered regarding the death of Mr. Robert R. McCord, former member of the Committee of Uniform Laws, Mr. McMichael, a former President of the Association, and Mr. Frank W. Tracy, Chairman of the Committee on Uniform Laws.

In pursuance of the recommendations of the Bankers' Money Order Committee, the chairman of the Executive Council appointed Messrs. A. B. Hepburn, Joseph Chapman, Jr., P. H. Fries, William H. Porter, and James R. Forgan as a Committee on Bank Money Orders, who were given the necessary authority to employ competent legal assistance and put this feature of the Association into practical operation.

FORM OF INTERNATIONAL TRAVELERS' CHECK

Mr. Hamilton: The Council recommends that this be referred to a committee with power to act, and I move that this committee be appointed in pursuance of the recommendation of the Committee on Uniform Laws.

Mr. Hamilton: The motion is that the Chair shall appoint a committee of three with power to act. The motion was seconded.

Mr. Lowry, of Barre, Vt.: Mr. President, I would ask the Executive Committee if that committee would consider the question of foreign exchange?

Mr. Hamilton: Yes. The question was taken, and the motion was agreed to.

Mr. Hamilton: The appointment of the committee will be made later.

BETTER CONSULAR SERVICE.

Mr. Lowry: The following resolution was offered by Mr. Robert J. Lowry, of Atlanta, Ga.

The Secretary read, as follows:

The National Business League have for years been endeavoring to better Consular Service of the United States, and to that end the commercial organizations and the manufacturing and commercial interests generally of the country have used their best efforts towards the enactment of the Lodge Bill, so called, originally designated as Senate Bill 1628 and House Bill 7057, for the reorganization of the United States Consular Service, of which the following is a brief, contains the following provisions:

1. Substitution of salaries for fees.
2. Improved classification, or grading, and transfer of consular officers.
3. Adoption of the "merit system" in the selection of consuls.
4. Consuls must be familiar with either the French, German or Spanish languages and possess a knowledge of the commercial resources of the United States, with reference to the possibilities of increasing and extending the trade of the United States with foreign countries to which they are accredited.
5. Consuls shall be eligible for promotion.
6. Tenure of office to continue only during efficiency and conduct of the highest grade.

Therefore, be it Resolved, That the American Bankers' Association is deeply interested in everything that tends to better the commerce and manufacturing interests of our country; and the bettering of our consular service is of vital importance and it is absolutely necessary that a better class of men—men who are conversant with all the products and the language of the country to which they are accredited—be appointed, so that they will be enabled to make such reports to our Government as will allow Representatives and others to take advantage of the wants of the countries to which they are appointed; then to become thoroughly conversant with the products of these countries, and to enable ourselves to take advantage of the wants of the countries to which they are appointed; then to become thoroughly conversant with the products of these countries, and to enable ourselves to take advantage of the wants of the countries to which they are appointed; then to become thoroughly conversant with the products of these countries, and to enable ourselves to take advantage of the wants of the countries to which they are appointed.

Mr. Hamilton: The Council recommends the adoption of the resolution, and I move that it be adopted.

The motion was seconded.

The question was taken, and the motion was agreed to. Mr. Hamilton read as follows:

PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO ARTICLE IV, SECTION 1, CONSTITUTION OF THE AMERICAN BANKERS' ASSOCIATION

So that the same shall read as follows:

The Executive Council shall appoint a Standing Protective Committee of three persons, whose names shall not be made public. This said committee shall control all actions looking to the detection, prosecution and punishment of persons attempting to cause, or causing, loss to any member of the Association, either by false or untrue statements or any other form of crime.

This proposed amendment is submitted under instructions from the New York State Bankers' Association by the delegates.
Mr. Hamilton: In pursuance of the wish of the Council, I move that this resolution be laid upon the table.

The question was taken, and the motion was agreed to.

Mr. Bissell, of Buffalo: Mr. President—

The President: The motion has already passed.

Mr. Bissell: I think I was on my feet.

The President: May I ask whether you wish to approve this motion?

Mr. Bissell: In reference to this motion, yes sir.

The President: I will ask that you be as brief as you may be.

Mr. Bissell: I will be. I represent the New York State Bankers Association and they favor the passage of such legislation as is not recommended by the Executive Council.

The President: I think the Convention understands that the object is well intended.

Mr. Bissell: I just wanted to say that word.

CLEAN CURRENCY.

Mr. Hamilton read as follows:

Whereas, At the last session of Congress there was introduced by Congressman Gaines of Tennessee a bill to secure clean money, which provided that expressmen both ways on mutilated notes for redemption should be paid by the Government, and which failed of adoption; therefore in order that a source of contagion, long a menace to the public health, be eliminated, be it

Resolved, That this Association record its hearty approval of the above bill, and instruct the Executive Council to have the measure reintroduced at the next Congress and endeavor to secure its adoption.

The above resolution is introduced under instructions from the New York State Bankers Association by the delegates of that Association to the Convention of the American Bankers Association.


In accordance with the action of the Executive Council I move that it be referred to a committee of five, to be appointed by the Chairman, and when in proper shape that it be endorsed by the Association.

The President: The theory being that all proper steps should be taken to secure clean money.

The question was taken and the motion was agreed to.

CIPHER TELEGRAPHIC CODE.

Mr. Hamilton: The next is a communication which I will ask the secretary to read.

The Secretary read as follows:

James E. Branch, Esq., Secretary American Bankers’ Association, New York City.

Dear Sir: In accordance with Article VII, Section 1, of the Constitution of the American Bankers Association I hereby submit the following resolution to the Executive Council for consideration:

"Resolved, That the President of this Association appoint a committee composed of not less than three nor more than five delegates in attendance upon the convention, the duty of such committee shall be to devise and prepare a cipher telegraphic code for the exclusive use of the members of the American Bankers Association, adequate in scope for practical banking needs, so designed as to permit its free use by said members of said Association, without subjecting them under the danger of loss through fraud, and that upon the completion of said code it be delivered to the Secretary of the Association, who shall immediately have same printed in convenient form, and shall thereupon further furnish such a numbered copy of the code as thus prepared to each member of this Association, with full instructions as to its proper use and care, requiring from each bank thus receiving a copy of the code its receipt for same upon delivery, together with its agreement to keep same in a safe and private place when not in use and to return by registered mail said copy of code immediately upon its ceasing to be a member of this Association."

It is my desire to have this resolution presented to the convention of September 14-18, 1904, for action.

Very respectfully yours,
F. F. Blossom.

Mr. Hamilton: The Council recommends that a committee of three be appointed with power to act. I move the adoption of the recommendation of the Council. The motion was seconded and agreed to.

The President: The next business is the report of the Protective Committee.

The Secretary read as follows:

Report of Protective Committee.

Detailed Financial Statement of Standing Protective Committee for 1903-04.

October 25, 1905, by appropriation of Executive Council at San Francisco, Cal. $18,000.68
April 28, 1904, by appropriation of Executive Committee at New York City. $25,000.96
$43,004.04.

EXPERIENCES.

September 1, 1903, debit balance. $4,053.39
Pinkerton National Detective Agency, expenses incurred prior to September 1, 1903. $1,529.62
Pinkerton National Detective Agency, expenses from September 1, 1903, to August 31, 1904. $32,074.47
Salaries to January 31, 1904. $1,683.10
Petty cash. $20.00
J. E. English & Son, 5,000 confidential books. $74.60
1,600 reports of committee and express charges to San Francisco. $116.20
T. Harran & Co., 1,500 aluminum signs and chains. $190.20
Yarmum & Rice Mfg. Co, white cards, red-liner tray and cabinet. $49.92
K. V. Brekaw & Bros., minute book. $5.25
S. W. Pennington, cash account forgery matter Alba State Bank, Albia, Iowa. $75.00
Carriage. $6.60
August 31, 1904, balance. $3,761.64.

Credit balance, August 31, 1904. $3,761.64
Cost per member, September 1, 1903, 6,543 members, $5.50.
Cost per member, September 1, 1904, 7,563 members, $4.53.
1,574 reports received and died since September 1, 1903, to August 31, 1904. Respectfully submitted,

Jas. E. Branch, Secretary.

The President: The report of the Protective Committee will be received and placed on file if there is no objection.

The Convention will listen to the report of the Executive Committee on Fidelity Insurance, of which Mr. Hardy is chairman.

Mr. Hardy: Mr. President, I am requested by Mr. Hamilton, chairman of this committee, to present the committee’s report to the American Bankers Association.

Report of the Committee on Fidelity Insurance.

To the American Bankers Association:

Your Committee on Fidelity Insurance desires to report that, having been continued by a resolution offered by Mr. Cook of Kansas City, which was referred to, and favorably reported by, the Executive Council and unanimously adopted by the convention at San Francisco, we have in our work endeavored to follow closely the latent spirit of the original resolution adopted in Denver in August, 1888, as follows:

"Resolved, That a committee of this Association be appointed to inquire into the rates of surety bonds and to recommend a standard form of policy, and to consider any plan or plans that may be submitted."

RATES.

Under this instruction the committee has from its inception reported to the Association the rates that
were being paid. These rates we found varied greatly to banks under substantially similar conditions. This year we have continued to make inquiries, and find that the rates have become more uniform on bank risks, and that more material change during the past year, the average rate now paid being $504 per thousand, or $5 cents per thousand less than at the time of the appointment of this Committee. Thus Reduction in the Rates for Fidelity Insurance is More than the Entire Annual Dues Paid by Members for the Support of This Association. This committee has never assumed to recommend a rate, but has reported the rates as they existed from year to year. The members of the Association are carrying $145,190,528.00 of Fidelity Bonds. The amount of premiums paid annually is $434,475.12 and the number of employees covered by such corporate suretyship is 15,598. Of this number 5,578 are bonded under the American Bankers' Association copyrighted form of bond, a gain of 25 per cent, over the previous year, showing a growing appreciation of this bond.

**Standard Form of Policy.**

In pursuance of the original resolution we had a form of bond prepared that is most equitable for the insurer and the insured. This bond is so drafted to be in accordance with the insurance laws of the different States and to give protection to those using it.

This form is copyrighted for the exclusive use of the members of the American Bankers' Association. It has been formally adopted by the Association, and for years has been steadily growing in favor, and so far as we know has never been the subject of litigation. We are again obliged to report that in some cases companies are writing this form of bond only after persistent attempts to furnish their own forms, and some are insisting on the insured making warranties in the application blank as a basis of the contract. This makes a conflict in the terms of the policy and is liable to involve the bank accepting such insurance in litigation and possible failure to recover in case of loss. Our members cannot too carefully guard against any attempt to substitute an application blank containing warranties for a cash premium as a basis for the insurance.

**Plans.**

Numerous plans have been submitted to this committee, all of which have received careful attention and consideration. It has been suggested that we recommend some one fidelity insurance company to which the business of the American Bankers’ Association be given, the company giving a portion of the premiums received to the Association as a commission for securing the business. The committee has, from the first, thought that this was not a safe course for the Association to pursue, as practically all fidelity insurance companies are writing risks more or less hazardous, in addition to the bonds of bank employees, and are in no way accountable to the Association either in the conduct of their business or character of their investments. The wisdom of this position is proved by the fact that some of the companies had to be practically rescued from this mistake by the insistence of the members of the Association and the committee, which has adopted this or a similar plan is now enabled to avoid the contesting of claims, and the members are making the plea that the Association, having received a portion of the premiums, should take an active interest to enable them to recover the amount of their losses.

We have had suggested for consideration a plan of organizing a stock company, the stock to be taken by members of the Association, but the same objection referred to above—the recommendation of any one company—would apply to a new company. No company owned in whole or in part by bankers should be organized with the purpose of making a profit on the fidelity insurance of bank clerks.

The plan of mutual assessment insurance we have never considered safe, and the uncertainty of collecting the assessments, should the losses become frequent, would make the bonds practically worthless as security for banks.

**Recommendation.**

Your committee has again carefully considered the question of fidelity insurance in all its various forms and is firmly convinced, as before, that the best and most feasible plan, which covers the ground and combines the element of safety, is the one recommended at the New Orleans and San Francisco conventions—namely, the creation of a guarantee fund for the benefit of the members contributing to the same, under the management of trustees. The idea contemplated in this plan is the original collection of premiums at a reasonable but sufficient rate to cover the risk and to create an ample surplus in the guarantee fund, involving no liability to any one other than the premium paid.

It is not an untried experiment, for it has been in successful operation in England for thirty-nine years under the Bankers' Guarantee and Trust Fund, and for thirty-eight years under the Colonial and Foreign Banks Guarantee Corporation.

The number of employees bonded in the English Bankers' Guarantee and Trust Fund is 15,806. The number bonded in the Colonial and Foreign Banks Guarantee Corporation is 6,795. While the number of employees bonded under the Bankers' Guarantee and Trust Fund is somewhat smaller than the number of employes of members of the American Bankers' Association covered by corporate bonds, the average amount of the bonds is almost identical, and the average loss has been practically the same. The success of these foreign companies can best be shown by the following figures taken from the published official reports of the Bankers’ Guarantee and Trust Fund:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1900</td>
<td>15,473</td>
<td>$49,505</td>
<td>$23,984</td>
<td>$570,994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1901</td>
<td>15,521</td>
<td>47,652</td>
<td>46,748</td>
<td>590,870</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1902</td>
<td>15,827</td>
<td>46,618</td>
<td>33,539</td>
<td>552,308</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1903</td>
<td>15,888</td>
<td>46,325</td>
<td>21,864</td>
<td>568,929</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1904</td>
<td>15,686</td>
<td>37,058</td>
<td>9,522</td>
<td>583,969</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total 

$221,061 $137,657

It will be noted by these figures that there has been an increase in the reserve of this British company of $125,063 during the past five years, after meeting all losses and paying all expense of management; that the amount returned in losses is $137,657. In other words, the amount returned in losses and the amount of increase of the fund held for the bank employees is $246,011 greater than the amount that they contributed during these five years, while in America we are contributing at the rate of over $344,375.12 a year, or in five years upward of $1,724,375.00, and the only return to allow for it is the amount recovered when there are losses, and these we have shown are comparatively few.

The Colonial and Foreign Banks Guarantee Corporation from its beginning has issued $17,252 policies. It has in force at the present time 6,755 policies, and during the last year related to the policy holders $59,503, in addition to setting aside $10,529 for depreciation of securities, and yet increased its reserve fund to $942,600. This company requires a payment of only $11 per thousand for insurance, divided into four annual payments, and no further premiums are required.

These English companies bond the employes of hundreds of banks in England and in the British possessions.

In addition to the above funds there are private funds created by the Bank of England and other leading banks for the bonding of their employees. These departments have been in successful operation, some of them since 1811, and in no instance have more than five annual
premiums been required, this amount being sufficient to meet all losses that have occurred. On this side of the Atlantic the Canadian banks have for years maintained fidelity funds with equally satisfactory results.

The plan of creating a trust fund in the hands of trustees has therefore proved a success, and our attention has been directed to a specific case where such a fund has not been successful. As before stated, the various companies have been in successful operation in England and her colonies for nearly half a century. We might add that in this country the employees of several of the leading express companies are successfully bonded under the same plan; that some of the railroad companies are maintaining pension departments, in which subscriptions are received and credited to the fund in this manner, and that the Jew-lers' Association has a protective organization similarly controlled.

After careful consideration of all the plans the committee has no hesitancy in recommending this as a proper one for the Association to adopt. Should the convention adopt the recommendation of this committee, we have, as a part of the plan reported, prepared rules and regulations for the government of such a fund, similar to those that are now being used by the guarantee funds in England, changing them sufficiently to meet the conditions in this country.

During the year the committee sent inquiries to all the banks in the United States, asking for an expression as to whether or not they approved the recommendation of the committee made at the San Francisco convention. The result of our inquiries is as follows: From the non-members of the Association we received 4,033 replies. Of this number 3,571 favored the plan, while 62 were opposed. From the members of the Association we received 4,641 replies. Of this number 3,139 were favorable to the recommendation of the committee and 330 were opposed, while 513 were indifferent. Two thousand three hundred and thirteen did not answer our inquiries. Most of these, however, are brokers and small bankers who are not interested in this movement. There were 736 bankers who favored the recommendation of the committee in 1903 and 15 opposed who have not answered this year.

It is the belief of this committee that the adoption of this plan and the amendment of the constitution of the American Bankers' Association is an important step, and one that should be taken for the strengthening of the Association and the protection of its members.

Many bankers seem to labor under the impression that they are bound to accept this form of protection if adopted by the American Bankers' Association, or they would in some way become individually liable. This is not true. In no way can liability attach to any member of the Association, whether accepting this form of indemnity bond or not, and the acceptance of such indemnity from an employee would carry with it no more risk than would accompany an individual or corporate bond.

Again, some bankers think that the Association is by this amendment launched into the insurance business. This would not be the case. The Association for and on behalf of such members as choose to join in the fund engages simply to name the trustees and audit the accounts of the fund.

Assuming it to be the wish of the Association that the matter be again considered, your committee has filed with the Secretary the amendment to the constitution presented at the San Francisco convention for such disposition as the Association may deem best.

Respectfully submitted,

John L. Hamilton,
Caldwell Hardy,
D. H. Fries,
W. P. Manley,
A. C. Anderson,
Committee on Fidelity Insurance.

FIDELITY INSURANCE BOND—PROPOSED GUARANTY FUND.

Mr. Hamilton: The following amendment to the Constitution was presented to the Executive Council by the Executive Council:

An amendment to the constitution of the American Bankers' Association, presented by the Fidelity Insurance Committee. An amendment to Article 5 of the constitution of the American Bankers' Association by the addition of Section 2:

Sec. 2. The Executive Council shall appoint a board of fire trustees for the purpose of administering and maintaining a fund to be known as "American Bankers' Guaranty Fund." Said fund shall be established for the purpose of enabling such of the members of this Association and their employees as may elect to become subscribers and contributors thereto and to avail themselves of the advantages thereof to provide, by means of a general or special guaranty, for the prompt and equal reimbursement of losses arising from the dishonest acts of their employees. Said board of trustees shall establish rules and regulations for the government and management of said fund, and the rules and regulations formulated and adopted by the trustees shall be binding upon all the contributors to and beneficiaries of said fund. Vacancies in the board of trustees shall be filled by the Executive Council, and it shall be the duty of the Council to annually appoint an Auditing Committee to audit the books and accounts of said trustees; but nothing herein contained shall be construed as creating any financial liability by the Association on account of said fund.

Mr. Hamilton: The fact at its meeting last evening voted to recommend that it do not pass.

A Member: I move that the recommendation of the Executive Council be concurred in.

Another Member: I would rise to move as a substitute that the recommendation be not concurred in, that we do not agree to the recommendation of the Executive Council.

Mr. Randall, of Maryland: Mr. President, I think that the usual course when an unfavorable report is brought in is to substitute the original proposition for an unfavorable report. That is the proper parliamentary way to bring the matter before the Convention. Now, inasmuch as this proposed amendment comes in with an unfavorable report, the proper way to bring the original proposition before this body would be to move to substitute the amendment to the Constitution for the unfavorable report. That would bring the matter squarely before the house.

A Member: That is my intention. I will accept the gentleman's suggestion as the true interpretation of my motion.

The President: Gentlemen of the Convention, the Chair declares that the motion before the house is that the amendment to the Constitution be carried. You are aware that it will require a two-thirds vote.

Mr. Hamilton: Mr. President, I want to say a few words as to the action of the Executive Council. I think this is a most important matter.

I imagine that the matter has been before the Convention frequently enough for most of the delegates to be more or less familiar with its importance and the purport of our recommendation.

The committee feels confident in the position which it has taken and the recommendations which it has made. All such matters, however, have to go through a period of probation, and the campaign of education carried on before people become absolutely settled in their convictions and the views of this regard to it.

So far as we can judge, members of this Association have been deeply in favor of the carrying out of the recommendations of the committee; but, on the other hand, there has been a strong counter influence against the working of the committee, and I have no hesitation in saying that to a considerable extent, at least, that feeling has been inspired by the banking companies. That feeling has been strengthened by the natural conservatism of our members against taking any radical steps—the feeling that we should be absolutely certain we were right before going ahead.

You are familiar with the usual proceedings in your board meetings. You bring up a matter of importance
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for consideration, and while a majority of your members may be in favor of some one proposition, if there is a strong undercurrent of dissent, even on the part of a few members, you must not overlook the safe side and pass that matter by. In other words, in taking important steps you want your committee to be a unit, and the committee, at least, feels that in acting on this matter we will evade the wish of the American Bankers' Association, if it should see fit to adopt it, should be as nearly a unit on the subject as possible.

There has never been a committee in my observation of the affairs of the American Bankers' Association that has been more fiercely attacked than this committee has, and the committee has the gratification of having it come to the conclusion that at least one member of the bonding company said that it was the most disgraceful matter they ever ran up against, because it was indifferent whether they carried out their project or not. In other words it has had no axe to grind, seeking to serve the interests of the Association, and it has been satisfied to abide by the action of the Association, whatever that action might be.

We have actually had our pulse felt as to whether there was any graft in the situation or not. They found out that there was not. (Applause.)

Now, I have a letter here which the Comptroller of the Currency wrote to the Chairman of our committee recommending the ordinary standard of the American national bank. It has experienced much difficulty in collecting from surety companies the amount guaranteed by them to secure the failing of the American national bank.

The bonds have been usually written upon forms prepared by attorneys for the surety companies, in which the interests of the company have been every way protected. Many of them have been conditioned upon the accuracy of a printed statement, to be signed by officers of the bank, counsel in such language as to mislead the other as to its legal import. Some have contained provisions in the nature of warranty as to the examination of accounts to be made and supervision to be exercised over the conduct of the guaranteed officials, practically defeating the whole purpose of the bond.

With a view of endeavoring to encourage National banks to require their officers to furnish bonds of uniform character and so drawn as to furnish indemnity against fraud and breach of trust, I desire to join in recommending for their use the standard form of bond approved by the American Bankers' Association. This bond seems to clearly protect the bank against the dishonors of its employees, and contains all reasonable provisions for guarding the surety company against imposition by the bank. I believe its success is indicated by the banks which have been satisfied for, and which under many bonds now in use is not satisfied.

In this connection it may be stated that it is the policy of this office, in cases where it requires fidelity bonds to be furnished, to patronize only such companies as have manifested a disposition to discharge the obligations of their bonds when no legal defense exists. A few companies, as appears from the experience of this office and in cases contained in the local reports, have shown a tendency to evade their liabilities, apparently indifferent to any effect upon their reputations from such policy. As the selection of a fidelity company is usually left to the employee who has been interested by the company for the reputation of the company to be selected, but cares only for the premium to be paid, it is obvious that the insured does not have that motive which a desire to establish or maintain a reputation for fair dealing which belongs to one insured in an ordinary fire or life insurance company. Bankers are consequently interested in observing and property agencies. It is suggested that a careful discrimination in the selection of the Fidelity Committee as to its credit for prompt payment, based upon its record, is the only remedy therefor, and would result in the prevention of much injustice in the future.

Respectfully,

Wm. B. Holley, Comptroller.

The Executive Council has seen fit to recommend that the recommendation of the committee be not adopted. The members of the committee appreciate probably more fully than you do the responsibilities which they would assume in prosecuting this work. In view of the fact that the Pennsylvania proposal was only a few months old, you may reasonably conclude that 99 out of 100 who have studied the question would do well to stay with the proposition and that that recommendation would relieve the committee of further care and responsibility, it is perfectly acceptable to the committee to accept that verdict, and I wish to second the recommendation of the Executive Council and to ask that the committee be discharged from further consideration of the matter.

Mr. Edmunds, of Baltimore: I wish to call attention to two sentences in that letter. The statement is made that the selection of a bonding company is left to the employee. It is not so in Maryland. Is it so in other localities—that the selection of the bonding company is left to the employee? I never heard of it before that.

The other statement is this: He recommends the form adopted by the American Bankers' Association, and says that now bonding companies do not furnish that form. We have that form—the form that the Comptroller recommends.

The President: It seems to me that we are about to take a vote upon the substitute motion for bringing this amendment upon the Convention. That would require a two-thirds vote. You have heard the report and the remarks made.

Mr. Supplee, of Maryland: Mr. President, I will yield to no man in admiration of the work that this Association has done for thirty years. We of the younger generation have read its reports as religiously as we have Holy Writ. It would be to add a "perfume to the violet," to paint the lily," to gild refined gold," to say a word, and I only wish to say that for conservatism this Association has no equal on the continent. Now we are at the parting of the ways. A Convention that for thirty years has been fighting for an ideal has decided to launch out upon a field the most hazardous in the entire domain of insurance. Is there a gentleman here who will doubt it? I will tell him that last year was the most disastrous year for surety insurance, while the most successful for the general business of the United States. One company disappeared in the hands of a receiver, although well conducted; two companies had their assets cut in half in order to show a surplus.

Mr. Hardy arose.

Mr. Supplee: Do you wish to know who I am?

Mr. Hardy: No. I wish to ask a question. Were those losses incurred by bonding the general contractors or were they incurred by bonding bank clerks?

Mr. Supplee: They were largely by bonding bank clerks. The percentage of bonding of bank clerks this year has been the largest for the past fourteen years.

Hear me for my cause. I am here to state that the company I have the honor to be connected with paid for more losses incurred by bonding bank clerks than any company has reported for the entire nation. You reported at San Francisco $45,000 of losses. I am here to say that we signed checks for more than that for bank clerks.

We have accepted, as the gentleman has well stated, your form of bond, and are ready to write it anywhere. I stand here representing $30,000,000 capital engaged in this which will soon be a disappearing industry unless there is a halt called and there shall be found such method that fidelity shall be controlled, that the interest of the individual shall be raised, and we appeal to this conservative organization not to embark in a field which runs you on a par with insurance lawyers, that are now being turned down throughout the nation by insurance commissioners.

A Member: I rise to a point of order. I would ask if the gentleman represents a bank or a bonding company?

Mr. Supplee: I represent both.

The Member: What bank?

Mr. Supplee: The United States Bonding and Guarantee Company of Baltimore.

The Member: What is the bank?

Mr. Supplee: The Farmers and Planter's. I wish to say in this connection that you cannot do the business.
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without running counter to the laws of the State. Will this great Association run counter to the laws of the respective States? Will you do it by mail and your agent not be known?

We appeal that you shall stand by your Executive Council, for it has been known as the guiding force, the indispensable force, responsible for the possible years. They have reported a deficit. We beg that you will stand by their report, and not attempt something new and unheard.

You may say that it is voluntary. The name of the Association will be snatched if it is a failure; you will have to audit its account every year. You are morally responsible whether financially responsible for the deficit. One hundred thousand dollars of the fund will disappear in two years, wiped out by the losses. The notes you will give will be thrown out by the bank examiners because they are not good. And you will be glad to go back to the ancient time.

I am surprised that the Comptroller of the Currency shall lend his name and stationery of the Department—and the Secretary of the Treasury has already been written on the subject by conservative banks in Philadelphia—and I am surprised at this juncture that we shall have to meet this question. It was supposed at San Francisco that the matter was killed, but we stand here today as bankers and we should be conservative.

Mr. Durant, of Illinois: The honesty of the gentleman is to be commended, in that he admits very frankly that he is a part of the small but very acute opposition to this bill. This committee has investigated this proposition in its home land across the ocean. They have seen where it has been successfully working for years. They present to us this morning a statement that the amount of money we are actually and absolutely throwing away in this matter is twenty times larger than it should be if we were to adopt this system. (Applause.) The gentleman suggests that he thought this matter was killed. It is not killable, gentlemen. (Applause.) It is like Banquo's ghost, to disturb many a feast of the bonding companies. (Applause.)

It seems to me it should be unnecessary to advance any arguments in behalf of this move. Like a jug handle, it is all on one side, excepting for the pecuniary interest of men who are now writing this business.

Let us be sane and sensible; let us take this matter up. It is an individual matter with each of us. The committee has recommended a complete and thorough and impregnable bond. Let us adopt it; let us use it. (Applause.)

Mr. Sullivan: As a member of the Executive Council I want to say a word as to why this should not be adopted. I am unable to remain a member of the Council and permit this large and intelligent assembly of bankers to be stampeded by the slye oratory of the gentleman from Illinois.

This question is not a new one. It has been frequently before you in the past, and you have invariably set your foot upon it, you have invariably voted it down. Now, then, last evening this proposition was considered by the Executive Council, considered religiously and faithfully and earnestly. Two of these gentlemen of the Fidelity Committee are members of the Executive Council, and one is an ex-President. With all of the influence, personal and otherwise, of the gentlemen, this proposition was unable to receive at the last night's Council meeting more than two or three or four affirmative votes. Now, then, I cannot understand the persistency of this Fidelity Committee in persisting year after year to thrust this proposition on you for your consideration. We do not impugn the motives of the committee: they are intelligent gentlemen, and I believe they are honest; but I do believe that they are certainly misguided. This proposition is certainly not one to be considered by the American Bankers' Association, and in saying this I want to anticipate a question from my friend over here, that I am not identified with any bonding company. I am a banker pure and simple. (Applause.) In what I say I am not actuated in any manner of means by selfish motives. I think, gentlemen, that if you amend your constitution as provided by this resolution you will go beyond the purposes which actuated the gentlemen in organizing this Association 30 years ago. I think you will get yourselves into trouble and you will be badly talked about. We have the arguments, that they are not in good form. It says: "The Executive Committee shall appoint a committee of five trustees." For what time? For life? You would not say that, certainly not. If it should be adopted at all it should say a Board of Trustees to be appointed for three years, or five years, some definite period. Now, then, you all remember, you who were in San Francisco last year, that this proposition brought forth a lot of discussion, and the consensus of opinion was that the recommendation of the committee should not be adopted. You remember that, and you remember how the members of the Fidelity Committee asked that they be continued for another year.

Now, it is true that the members of this committee have labored intelligently and faithfully, and they have used a great deal of your money in the consideration of this proposition, a great deal, and I consider the money wasted as a matter of fact.

A Member: Who voted it?

Mr. Sullivan: Who voted it? The Executive Council, why certainly. The Council is prompted by the same motive that you are prompted by, and that others are prompted by; they are prompted by a desire to bring about the best results for the Association, and the character of the gentlemen constituting the Eidelity Committee was demonstrated last night. The Council voted the money in the hope that they could by investigation produce something worthy of consideration and adoption. Now, after seven years' investigation they have produced this which you have before you this morning.

Now, gentlemen, I certainly think you ought not to be stampeded in the manner in which it is sought to stampede you this morning. Do not make a false step: do not do that which will throw discredit upon our Association. I move that the substitute as well as the original question be laid upon the table.

A Member: I second the motion.

Mr. Ridgely: Before this motion is put I would like to ask the gentleman's consent to say one word.

The President: While a motion to lay on the table is not debatable, I am constrained to give the Comptroller of the Currency time to say a word.

Remarks of Comptroller Ridgely.

Mr. Ridgely: Mr. Chairman and gentlemen of the Convention, I have no desire to enter into this discussion. I simply want to say in reply to the gentleman from Baltimore who endeavored to make the insinuation, if not the statement, that the Comptroller of the Currency had taken part in the controversy, that if you will read that letter you will see it does not refer in the slightest degree to this proposition. To this question you have had under consideration here this morning. That letter was written simply as a part of an effort to get the National Banks to adopt the form of a bond which will give them proper protection. Its only object is to give them that protection, to call their attention to the differences in the kinds of bonds which are being written, and the circular to the banks and the bank examiners was only sent, and this letter was only written, after we had had a very unfortunate experience with a few bonds. In those cases we were dependent on statements made by the officers, and they were so defective in form that one company in particular said: "We won't pay that policy," and gave us no reason why they would not.

The President: Gentlemen of the Convention, of course I think you will uphold the Chair in the desire to give the Comptroller the opportunity to say what he has. (Applause.) I cannot consider what he has said a debate on the question to lay this whole proposition on the table, which is now before you and which is not subject
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party has ever been turned down and sent to another company by reason of their refusal to write the bond we have prescribed.

(Cries of "No! No!")

Mr. Powers (continuing): I say, furthermore, that you cannot drag into the question that is now before you, as was attempted to be done in a speech that was made here by Mr. Sullivan, from Cleveland. I am surprised that that gentleman, a member of the Council, would come before you with the statement that this committee has endeavored to perpetuate this before this Association. The records of the San Francisco Convention do not bear him out in his assertion. The Executive Council met at San Francisco and recommended that this amendment to the Constitution do not pass. This question was discussed before that Convention and this amendment failed by 29 votes. The action of that Convention on the first day practically put this committee out of existence. The following day a gentleman from Kansas, a Mr. Cook, with whom not a single member of the committee has an acquaintance, offered a resolution asking that this committee be continued. The Chairman required a two-thirds vote to report that resolution to the Executive Council for their immediate action. The Executive Council met in pursuance of the request of the gentleman from Kansas City and without the President of the Association, who is not a member of the committee. Colonel Fries, a member of the committee, and myself being present, unanimously adopted the resolution. (Applause.)

If there was any inconsistency anywhere it has been on the part of the Executive Council. At that meeting I had previously recommended that this amendment be not adopted, recommending a resolution that the committee be continued.

In answer to the gentleman from Baltimore, who got up and criticised the figures of the committee, I wish to say that he has spoken from a broad field, including the 18,000 banks of the United States. There has not been a single fidelity insurance company able to refute a single figure made by this committee—(applause)—as reported to this Association. (Applause.)

Our figures apply only to the membership of this Association when we make our report.

I will say in justice to that gentleman that the losses this year have been heavier than heretofore, but notwithstanding that they have been heavier they do not represent one-quarter of the premiums paid by the membership of this Association. (Applause.)

I will say, further, in justice to those gentlemen, that never since this committee has been appointed have they been as prompt in payment of their losses as they have been this year. (Applause.)

I want to say in justice to the Comptroller of the Currency that he was forced to this position from the position taken by the fidelity companies. His experience is the same as that of the different State banking departments. They pay when it is policy to pay, and they turn it down if they see fit, and under no form of bond written by the surety companies, with the exception of the form prepared by this committee, are they bound to pay these obligations. (Applause.)

Mr. Powers, of Louisville: Mr. President, being a member of the Executive Council, knowing something of the operation and work of this committee, I feel, without trespassing seriously upon your time, that I may make some suggestions that will possibly relieve the situation. The gentleman from Illinois, who spoke in advocacy of the bond that this committee reported four years ago, and which was adopted by this Convention, certainly was without the pale when he undertook to make the question as to whether or not we should vote for this amendment.

Now this bond has been established by us as our bond; it has been copyrighted; it is used everywhere. Every solitary guarantee company in the United States is ready to write upon that, and none has refused to write.

(Cries of "No! No!")

Mr. Powers (continuing): I say distinctly that no
by this Association of a similar plan, but the successful operation of it would involve possibly an incorporation of some kind.

Who says that? This committee, these officers. Then officers and a suitable staff, experienced in such business, would have to be employed to manage it and a supervision exercised over the bureau, which would require more time than any member of this committee, or any member of the Association, could probably afford to give it. One State bankers' association is already established under an agreement with an insurance company by which all its members get their fidelity insurance written at a moderate and uniform rate.

Now, they did say that? In 1900. They thought the machinery was too cumbersome: they thought it was too expensive; they thought it meant something if you undertook to do this. And I think so now. And I will tell you where the difficulty lies. You are undertaking to put on its feet a concern without surety, to maintain it without money, to leave it without any responsible head, making this great American bankers' association the godfather of an institution whose maternity will be denied from start to finish. (Laughter and applause.)

I will tell you, gentlemen, that when you undertake this question you have the right to take time enough to think and see what it means. In 1901 what further did they say? (Reading):

In order to ascertain what was an equitable and adequate rate, it became necessary to secure tables of the experience of our own members, which has satisfied that the highest rates were not warranted by the conditions, and that while the low rates might be adequate in especially favorable years or possible for some companies enjoying a particularly favorable loss ratio for some years, this method does not afford assurance of sufficient income to meet losses in full over a period of years, and this not because losses consume so much of the premium income as because expenses take the major part of the receipts.

Now, how are you going to run this institution? How are you going to organize so as to take over all these banks without expense? How are you going to undertake to take care of these people under the committee that is to be appointed every year? This is what the amendment says. Because of the absence of any provision you cannot make it extend over one year. (Reading):

We are satisfied that the lowest rates then granted were made in fierce competition for business instead of as the result of deliberate judgment based on carefully prepared statistics. It is to be noted that while no lower rates are to be obtained than the lowest three years ago, the high rates, then so common, have practically disappeared.

The publication of the information furnished this committee has, therefore, tended to establish rates on a more uniform and equitable basis.

Now, what more do you want? Do you insist that you can go into market and buy stuff and sell it to the farmer because you are a farmer, cheaper than you can get it from a merchant who is a merchant? Who is to run this; who is to operate it? They say you may sue the trustees. They do not trust the trustees. They change every year. There is one set in this year and another set in another year, and so on.

Now, gentlemen, you are supposed to be sensible, cautious, careful, prudent men. With such conditions surrounding the paper of your country, and a doubt thrown upon your duty not to think it will pass the Discount Board very easily.

Now the report: and the thing that you have been advised was to happen by reason of this amendment is that you ought to have your insurance of your employees for one-third. That is it—that is the statement—one-third! Now, gentlemen, I want to ask just a plain, old-fashioned question: Is there a man here, is there a banker here, who wants the insurance of the guarantee of what would be a uniform and equitable basis? Now, do you? That is what the committee has said to you. If it means that, it means every bond that is written under this amendment. If one is written formula, and another, in a straw bond, with not straw enough in it to drink up a good old-fashioned Kentucky mint julep.

A member: Does the report say that the rate is now equitable, or that it is more equitable than before the committee went to work?

Mr. Powers: I will read it again:

(Reading):

The publication of the information furnished this committee has, therefore, tended to establish rates on a more uniform and equitable basis.

Now, listen to this committee. I am taking the evidence of the other side.

This is from the proceedings of 1902, pages 96 to 97:

(Reading):

Having now, as a committee, performed the duties imposed upon us by this amendment, the rates now paid by every one of our members for their insurance: having provided a standard form of bond and secured nine surety companies from whom it may be obtained by members; having carefully considered all matters submitted pertaining to this subject; having in the discharge of our duty not only hung out danger signals upon some of the shoals that had been proved by the experience of our members from future bitter experiences, but having mapped out courses of safety, we respectfully ask that this report be received and we be discharged.

That is not so very long ago, and that is what they thought then. That is what Mr. Hamilton and Mr. Anderson and Mr. Fried long ago. They did not think it was so important for the safety and happiness and good looks of this Convention that they should have a guarantee company then. They were not extending a patronal regard for you at that time, in this report. That is what they say.

In 1903:

(Reading):

The conclusions are that there are two ways for the American bankers' association to bond their employees within themselves, and in England and in America and other countries with entire success. This seems to be an important point. Is it a fact, or not? I for one would like to have information on that subject, because, apart from what the committee has said in the past, and apart from what the Executive Council may feel in regard to a particular report, what we had before us is a complete illustration, we think, of the carrying out successfully of this very plan. For one, or one would like to know if those facts they have given us are true. If they are not true, I would like to know in what respect they are unsound, so we can have before us a concrete illustration, and not theory. They give facts. Let us see whether they are so or not, and then we can come down from the platform of theory to that of practice. (Applause.)

Mr. Powers: The gentleman's suggestions are not only pertinent, but absolutely along an intelligent line. So far as I can answer them I will do so cheerfully. I want to say that the facts I have been stating have been taken from the committee's report. As to whether this plan they have got has already been in operation for a series of years, and has been successful—you want to know as to that?

Mr. Sharrock: Yes.

Mr. Powers: Gentlemen, the plan as outlined by this committee and the information given us as far as we have been permitted to see behind the curtain says that in Scotland and in England large and great and wonderful corporations that have existed for one, two, maybe three hundred years, or some of them, bond their own clerks, take care of their own people. They cite to us the American Express Company, the Adams Express Company, as bonding their own people, and therefore we should bond our own people. Now, is there any man here that thinks there is any analogy there at all?

A member: That is not the point. The point is in reference to the cases referred to; are they successful or not?
Mr. Powers: I will answer if I am given time. This running conversation takes a great deal of time, but rest in patience and I will try to answer you.

Mr. Adams: The Adams Express Company and the United States Express Company have thought a great deal in their employ; they control those men. Why is it they bonded them? Because, if a bond were purchased for them it would cost a vast deal of money. In this organization, if this company for whom this is intended, or any institution that had five thousand members or one thousand members—one thousand people to be bonded, they would certainly make a very serious mistake if they did not bond their own people. How would they do it? They would do it by an assessment to pay it. How many banks in the United States do you suppose the employees to pay the bonds on? I undertake to say that no well regulated bank, no bank that is entitled to that high respect which all institutions, no desire of any institution that puts forward its interests to the public and asks their confidence, undertakes to allow their employees to pay for their bonds.

Mr. Fisher, of Flatbush: I rise to a point of order. I read from the Constitution that discussion is limited to thirty minutes for each topic. (Applause and cries of "Question! Question!")

The President: The Chair is of the opinion that that has no application to the question we are considering. That question only has to do with practical questions of banking that come after the question before us. Our conclusions are now.

Mr. Powers: Now, I am aware that some of you want me to quit, and I am not going to take very much longer.

The banks of England that have been referred to are operated in such a way by their governors that they are absolutely in touch with all their employees—and they have got hundreds of these. Now, you take this assertion and compare it with any reputable bank in England, and see what it would amount to. How many different heads have you got? There you have one head and you have 1200 or 700 or 800 people in it. Now, how many banks here have twenty-five men in their employ to be bonded? And yet you undertake to liken this to a bank in England that has run for three hundred years, that has employees in its institution and the various branches that it has numbering in the hundreds. Now, that is absurd. The very position that these gentlemen take on this subject is absolutely absurd.

Take the Adams Express Company, and you see the absurdity of this proposition in a minute. They can control conditions. But you cannot control conditions. I do not cast in the truth of what these gentlemen tell you, and I do not think any one of them would attempt to mislead us, but I do attempt to say that you gentlemen could not come to conditions in England and Canada and undertake to fix conditions for the American Bankers' Association.

What is good in England under this rule is not applicable to this institution. If the Hamilton National Bank, or any other great bank of this city, should adopt a plan to bond its own people, that would be proper and right and within the scope of its authority; but would you say that a thing that was judicial for them to do was judicial for seven thousand banks to be collective? If you do, then your judgment is at variance with what I believe to be the true measurement of good sanity.

This committee has all the plans, rules, regulations and rates of all the different banks of England governing such fund. Should this Association establish a feature of this kind, we have the information at hand, so that it could easily be put into practical operation. We believe we have all the information that is possible to be obtained and recommend that the information be put to a practical use. Has the information been put to a practical use? Up to ten years ago the information they got with reference to the banks with reference to rates, was put to practical use, showing these guarantee companies that we did not intend to pay any price that they might fix, but that the competition should be sharp, and that if did not suit us we would undertake to get up something of our own. But not one of these. If you did you would have a peripatetic president of a homeless cor-

Mr. Fries: Mr. President, I do not come to argue this question. The committee has not sought to do that at any time, and I do not wish to do it now. If I can do so I will pull aside the curtain that Colonel Powers seems to think hides something, and in behalf of the committee I would like to read the resolution under which they were originally appointed and for which they stand to-day:

That a committee of this Association be appointed to inquire into the rates of surety bonds, to recommend a standard form of bond, and to draw any plans or plans that may be submitted.

We have done that, and have done nothing else. And I want to stand upon behalf of this committee, that the labor has been done in the due manner. Resolutions have been reached again our original convictions. We have sent one of our men to England to investigate the companies there, and I have in hand the published statements of these companies there which this report covers, showing that if they paid eleven dollars, divided into four different annual premiums, they carry an indemnity policy for life. That is, therefore, just one-fourth of eleven dollars for five years. Eleven dollars pays for an annual premium for life. We pay three dollars a year for the same amount, and pay it for life.

Mr. Fries: You are the President of the North Carolina Bankers' Association, are you not?

Mr. Fries: Yes, sir.

Mr. Evans (a member): I am Secretary.

Mr. Fries: I recognize you.

Mr. Evans: I mailed an inquiry to 206 banks to know the rate they were paying for fidelity insurance. Is that true?

Mr. Fries: I think so.

Mr. Evans: The answers were $17.50 a thousand for fidelity bonds. And yet the gentlemen are claiming that the rates are equalized.

Mr. Fries: I would say in reference to that, that the rates have not been lowered, and if the plans are carried out you cannot expect to have less than a three dollar rate; because we cannot fasten upon the American bankers the conditions that obtain, perhaps, in England or in Canada, or elsewhere.

I am forced to the conclusion, after service on this committee, that this form of insurance is practical. It does not make any difference, and I know it, whether you adopt the plan of the committee or not, but our duty compels us to look into their plan and see it as it is, and if it is working successfully elsewhere we could not do other than report it favorably here. (Applause.)

Mr. Cooper, of North Carolina: Mr. President, the different banks have different equipment. Some of them in our State, North Carolina, are doing business in log huts. Some of them are doing business in finely equipped bank buildings.

Mr. Fries: This does not apply to burglary insurance, it is only to fire and floods. Now, I have nothing more to say. I simply rose in behalf of the committee to make a short explanation. If you want to examine these reports, if you want to draw aside the curtain from them, if there is anything the committee can say to you or for you to enlighten you on the subject, they would be very glad to do it; but we do feel like resurrecting any imputa-

Every-
thing has been done for the benefit and for the advance-
ment of the Association.

Several members arose and addressed the Chair.

The President: I do not wish to assume to try to cut
off debate, but I will ask you to be sharp and bright
and quick in debate, because there is a great deal to
discuss and your minds will not be changed, and the
soon we can come to a vote on this question the
sooner we will be able to finish up the other business
that is before the Convention.

Mr. Anderson, of St. Paul: I rise to a question of
personal privilege. Reference has been made to the ex-
penses of this committee. The reports show that there
has been saved $15,000 per annum, or more than all the
dues of this Association. How much has it cost?

Mr. Brown, of Texas: I wish to say that I do not
think this Association can do more than give one heed
to the operations of the Executive Committee. We
have selected that committee without regard to self-inter-
est of the individual; we have selected it only to con-
sider the welfare of the entire Association. They have
given the matter more attention than we could possibly
give it, no matter how many circulars we have received
or how many replies we have given out in reference to
this matter. At a matter of fact, we have given those
replies out probably without very much thought; we
have done it in a hurry, and we have sent them out and
probably forgotten all about it. Now, this committee
has given this careful consideration. This Committee
cannot, therefore, be as compared (in that consideration
as to what the committee recommends.)

Mr. Anderson: I would like an answer to my ques-
tion as to the expense. This has saved $150,000 a
year.

The President: That has been given in all the re-
ports; acknowledgment has been given.

Mr. Anderson: Two or three thousand dollars, is it?

The President: I do not remember; you have called
the attention of the Convention to it. They have those
figures.

Mr. Chandler, of Kansas: The Chair rules we can
have all the time we want. I want to say a few words,
even although everybody leaves the house. It seems to
me we have drifted from the subject. It is not a ques-
tion of abuse of this Fidelity Committee or defense of
them; the question before us is, Shall the guarantee com-
panies ran the American Bankers' Association, or shall we,
as bankers, have the saving of $150,000 a year for our
pockets? The gentleman from Cincinnati says that this
question has been voted down time and time again. I
beg his pardon. The American Bankers' Association has
had one whack at it; and what did we do? We only
lacked twenty-nine votes of having a two-thirds majority.
And I appeal to the gentlemen here for the saving of
this money or not? The gentleman from Louisville has a
great bugaboo about the way it shall be managed. I have
faith in this committee, that this committee will manage
it all right. I have faith that the bankers are just as
smart and just as astute as the hardwaremen and the
lumbermen. At San Francisco last year when we dis-
cussed this subject I raised a point about it, and when I
returned home I found one of our hardware stores had
burned down. The proprietor of the store had $4000 in
the Hardware Association. It was paid promptly. I met
the man who managed that side of it, and asked him how
he did it. He said: "We charge the regular rates that
the insurance companies do, and then at the end of the
year we have paid our losses and we rebate." The gentle-
man was in my office the other day, and I said: "How
about your insurance?" He said: "I paid $1.25, and had
the one-half of it rebated at the end of the year." Now,
shall we let the Trust Companies by silver-tongued or-
atory tell us our business? (Applause.)

Mr. Harding, of Alabama: Mr. President, I wish to
say one word in support of the Executive Council. I
think they have given this matter careful consideration,
and I think we should recognize the fact that there is
such a thing as a division of labor. Banking is one busi-
ness and insurance, in all its branches, is another busi-
ness. The most successful banks are those that are able
to stick closely to the banking business. Some-
times they have to go into other business as a matter of
self protection, but not from choice.

Mr. President, I think you have given us the best
decision that can be made, and I will support it.

Mr. Brown: I rise to a question of the proposition
that the Committee be discharged. I think it is a
hazardous business, and one totally separate from ours.
I stand here to-day as the representative of a bank that
pays a premium upon the bonds of nearly fifty
employees. We are not interested in the remotest degree
in any bonding company. And, therefore, I feel I can speak
in an impartial manner. I hope the Convention will
support the action of the Executive Council in this matter.

The President: The question is not upon the report of
the Executive Council, but upon the amendment itself.
Therefore a vote of "Aye" would be to amend the Con-
stitution, providing for this new thing. The vote "No"
would be to vote down that proposition.

Cries of "Question, Question!"

Mr. Brewster, of Rochester: Mr. President, it appears
to me that there is only one fair way to get a vote on
this question before this body, and that is to have the
Secretary call the roll of the membership, as recorded
on the books of the Committee, and have each member,
every bank represented by its officer, shall respond yes
or no, as he wishes. I call for the roll-call.

The President: Will the gentleman first call for a
rising vote and see if a roll call is necessary?

Mr. Brewster: Yes.

The question was taken and the motion was rejected.

The President: The Chair finds it unnecessary to
count the vote, it being so clear that the motion is
lost.

Mr. Hill: If it is in order, I would like to move that
the committee be discharged.

Mr. Laughlin: I second the motion.

Mr. Durkan: Mr. President, it has been moved that
the committee be discharged. It seems to me that in
view of the fact of the painstaking labor that the com-
mittee has given this subject, in view of the fact of
the aspiration which I claim has been cast on this commi-
site, this morning, and in view of all they have done and all
the labor they have bestowed on this, that we should
extend them a vote of thanks, and I move such a vote.

Mr. Hill: I accept the motion of the gentleman from
Illinois.

Mr. Powers: Mr. President, I desire on behalf of some
of the gentlemen who are associated with me, but more
particularly on account of the personal association I have
had with the delightful gentleman who composed the
Fidelity Committee, to second the motion, and once more
thank them for the magnificent work they have done
with reference to bonds. As to their character, it needs
no eulogy at my hands. These gentlemen stand for
themselves, elegant, magnificent, splendid men, but with
warped judgment.

Mr. Sullivan: Just one word. Mr. Chairman. (Cries
of "Question, Question!"

Mr. Sullivan: I have one word, and that is this: The
gentleman on the left said that I cast an aspersion on
the members of the committee. I inadvertently referred
to the expense incurred by the committee. I certainly
did not intend to cast any reflection whatever on the
members of the committee, and if any gentleman here
inferred from my remarks that that was my intention, I
want to say now that it was far from my thoughts.

Cries of "Question, Question!"

The President: The Chair understands that the mo-
tion of Mr. Hill, of Missouri, is that the committee be
discharged with the thanks of this Convention.

The question was taken, and the motion was agreed
to.
Mr. Hamilton: On behalf of the deceased, I wish to thank the gentlemen for their handsome floral tribute.

(Laughter and Applause.)

LETTER FROM GOVERNOR HERRICK.

The President: I have here a letter from Governor Herrick, as follows:

STATE OF OHIO, EXECUTIVE CHAMBER.

COLUMBUS, September 12, 1894.

Mr. P. J. Bigelow, President, American Bankers' Association.

My Dear Mr. President: I had hoped until to-day to be present at the opening of the thirty-second annual convention of the American Bankers' Association, but found that a recent decree that official duties prevent me from leaving the State at this time. The position of the Association in matters of national finance has been such as to commend it to the good opinion and confidence of the people. In the light of recent history we are, I am sure, proud of the emphatic and unequivocal declaration in favor of the gold standard made at the opening of the Association in 1886. This one unanimous act of the Association, regardless of party affiliations, evidences that the bankers are sound not alone on questions of financial policy and good judgment, but that they will never be found wanting with regard to national principles in demanded.

Please express our regret that official duties prevent me from attending the convention, and say to them that I hope I will not again be prevented from attending a convention.

Very truly yours,

Milton T. Herrick.

GREETINGS.

The President: This has been duly acknowledged.

Mr. James R. Brice, a Delegate from Denver, Colo., September 12, 1894, 1894.

Dear Sir: The convention of the chapters of your American Institute of Bank Clerks, held in St. Louis, August 25, 26, 27, 28, and 29, delegates present from all parts of the country, instructed me to voice to you their full appreciation of the far-seeing wisdom that has led your body to father and promote the much-needed educational work in banking among bank clerks. They place you loyal and hearty support in the development of this movement, and ask for the cooperation, personal services and influence of each member of the American Bankers' Association.

Very truly yours,

J. R. Brice.

Report of Committee on Currency Legislation.

The Secretary read the report of the Committee on Currency Legislation, as follows:

Your committee, appointed by the President of the Association, makes the report that its work has been limited to getting a general idea as to the disposition at Washington in regard to any bill that would have the support of the banking interests of the country, so that no formal bill was drawn up to present to either house of Congress.

A tentative bill was prepared, with the kind assistance of the Honorable Comptroller of the Currency, which will probably serve as a basis on which to commence. It\nIt was found that the feeling in Washington displayed practically by every one was that this vexed question would have very much greater attention at the hands of the legislators if a concrete bill designed to aid the commercial interests of the country were presented by the proper committee representing the organization. You will bear in mind that legislators have had an infinite variety of bills presented to them in the past, either directly in the House of Representatives or before the Banking and Currency Committee of the House, where such legislation must originate. The President and Secretary working in harmony with the committee, visited Washington by special request, and the whole matter, so far as the Association was concerned, was carefully presented to people in authority, and every indication showed their great desire to aid any legislation which had the sound and solid support of the banks of this country. Unfortunately, the sentiment of a great many of the leaders of both parties of this country tended toward as little legislation as possible at the last session of Congress, and by numerous friends the committee was advised, and came to the conclusion, that it would be much better to defer the matter until the coming year, when all sides of the question could be thoroughly developed. The Committee on Banking and Currency of the House could in advance be consulted and a bill that would meet their past experience could be drawn up and the same considered to some extent before the regular session commenced.

We, therefore, respectfully report the above, all of which is duly submitted.

The Secretary: This is signed by Mr. Donald and Mr. Pugsley of the committee.

Mr. F. E. Tracy, from the Committee on Uniform Laws, submitted the following report:

Report of the Committee on Uniform Laws.

The Committee on Uniform Laws begs to report to the American Bankers' Association that, considering the small amount of action during the past winter, very good progress was made in Kentucky and Pennsylvania passed the Negotiable Instrument Law, and it is now on their statute books. In the former State some changes were made in order to get the bill through, but the committee is advised that the changes do not materially alter the law.

The President of the Bankers' Association in this State was admirable, and each member has been furnished with a copy of the law, and by their united efforts each member of the Legislature was familiar with the law before the beginning of the session, and was prepared to give it intelligent consideration.

In Louisiana, the united efforts of the Bar Association and the Bankers' Association put the bill through, and it is placed among the laws of the State.

In Mississippi a strong effort was made to pass the bill, and the State Bankers' Association succeeded in getting it through the Judiciary Committee, but political questions intervened, and the law failed of passage.

In Vermont an effort was made to organize for the passage of the law by the Legislature, but without success.

The Committee has assurances from each of these States that the organization will be thorough for the next session, so we may hope they will join the list of States on the roll.

At a meeting of the Executive Council of the Association, held last May, a copy of the following amendment to the Negotiable Instrument Law passed by the Legislature of the State of New York was referred to this committee in order that the opinion of the bankers throughout the country could be had upon it.

CHAP. 257.

An Act to Amend the Negotiable Instrument Law Relative to the Payment of Forged Checks, Became a law April 12, 1894, with the approval of the Governor, Passed, three-fifths being present.

The People of the State of New York, represented in Senate and Assembly, do ordain as follows:

Section 1. Article seventeen of chapter six hundred and twelve of the laws of eighteen hundred and ninety-nine, entitled, "An act in relation to negotiable instruments constituting chapter fifty of the general laws," is hereby amended by the addition of the following new section to be known as section three hundred and sixty-six, and to read as follows:

Section 366. Recovery of forged check. — No bank shall be liable to a depositor for the payment by it of a forged or raised check, unless within one year after the return to the depositor of such payment, such depositor shall notify the bank that the check so paid was forged or raised.

Section 366. This act shall take effect September first, eighteen hundred and four.

Several copies of the amendment were sent to each State Association and Clearing House throughout the country, at the request of a thorough consideration be given to it. Replies were received from a majority of these institutions, and without exception they were favorable to the suggestion that the amendment be made a part of the Negotiable Instrument Law, the only question being regarding the limit of time; many suggestions were received that three or six months should be the proper
limit. In connection with the opinions regarding the amendment the committee was much pleased by the large number of voluntary expressions from States that have not yet passed the Negotiable Instrument Law regarding its value and the determination that it shall be taken up this Winter.

We wish to impress upon the members of the Association that only by thorough organization of the State Associations can this be brought about, and if each Association of the States named below will take the matter up in earnest a large number of them will be on the Roll of Honor at our convention in 1905.

In conclusion the chairman personally wishes to thank the members of this Association for the courtesy of their Executive Council, which enabled him to take up the work of this committee where it was laid down by the late chairman, Mr. Frank W. Tracy.

The Legislatures of the following States will meet during the Winter of 1904 and 1905:

Arkansas—California.
Missouri—Illinois.
Montana—Delaware.
Washington—New Mexico.
Oklahoma Territory—Colorado.

The following is a list of the States now operating under the law:

Connecticut—April 5, 1897.
Maryland—June 1, 1898.
Virginia—July 1, 1898.
North Carolina—March 8, 1898.
District of Columbia—April 1, 1899.
Wisconsin—May 15, 1899.
Oregon—May 15, 1899.
Washington—June 1, 1900.
Utah—July 1, 1898.
Rhode Island—July 1, 1899.
North Dakota—July 1, 1899.

Frank E. Tracy, Chairman.
Homer A. Miller,
J. D. Power,
Committee.

The President: The next is the report from the Committee on Education by Colonel Lowry.

Mr. Lowry: I wish to say to you, gentlemen, that Mr. Finley, the chairman of the committee, is in Europe. I confidently expected him to be present to present the report, and I know that you are the losers by his not being present, and I feel that I should not afflict you this afternoon with all of this. I believe you will read it when you have it at home—although I doubt my belief a little. (Laughter.)

I will just read the closing part of this report, because it is getting late, and I know you will want lunch or something else to refresh you, and I am just going to read a few lines.

The report submitted by Colonel Lowry is, in full, as follows:

Report of the Committee on Education.

To the American Bankers’ Association:

Your Committee on Education respectfully reports that its efforts in extending and systematizing the work of the American Institute of Bank Clerks during the past year have been exceptionally gratifying. As a culmination of the work already in successful operation, a system of official examination has been established, intended to centralize the standard and practical training which the students at the various schools in the country are attending is now to secure a recognized standard of bank education. The examinations give definiteness of purpose to every branch of Institute work and are adapted to the circumstances of students in country banks as well as to students organized in chapters in the larger cities. Subjects in which proficiency is required are Practical Banking, Commercial Law, and Political Economy. The students who meet requirements certificates of proficiency are issued by the American Bankers’ Association jointly with the Institute, the plan having been submitted to the Executive Council and been duly approved. Certificates are signed by officers of both organizations. Examinations for certificates are conducted annually under the supervision of local moderators in accordance with prescribed restrictions, and the qualifications of students examined are determined by educators of experience and reputation. The examiners are as follows:

Practical Banking.—William B. Ridgley, Controller of the Currency, and Joseph French Johnson, Dean of New York University School of Commerce, Accounts and Finance.

Commercial Law.—Eugene Wambangh, Professor of Law in Harvard University Law School.

Political Economy.—J. C. Schwab, Professor of Political Economy in Yale University.

To qualify students for examinations upon which the issuance of certificates depends, the examiners have prepared courses of study in Practical Banking, Commercial Law and Political Economy, and prescribed preliminary exercises and examinations based upon the required text-books, which include several standard works published since the organization of the Institute, four years ago. The preliminary examinations are conducted by correspondence without restrictions in answering questions, and in connection with the required text-books make a complete system of education sufficiently advanced to command recognition. Students are privileged to obtain preliminary papers before beginning the text-books, as they can thereby see for themselves exactly what is required. Those who find themselves able on receipt of the questions to return correct answers without special study are at liberty to do so. Those who realize the need of preparation will find in the preliminary exercises and examination questions systematic guidance in the study of the text-books. Students are thereby enabled to submit answers to preliminary examination questions whenever they feel competent to meet requirements. Credit is given severally for examinations successfully undergone, but certificates are issued only to those who have passed both preliminary and final examinations in all subjects. The more advanced students in the correspondence school, which has heretofore been of comparatively elementary character, have been graduated into the standard examination courses, and others are in the direct line of promotion. In view of the circumstance that some, if not all, of the required text-books in Practical Banking, Commercial Law and Political Economy may be found in the libraries of progressive banks and chapters, it is impossible to know the exact number of students who are pursuing these studies with the definite purpose of preparing for the standard examinations, but some idea of the extent of the movement may be realized from the fact that about two hundred applications for preliminary examination papers have already been received. It is probable that sufficient progress will be made by students during the coming year to justify the Institute in holding the first final examination for certificates.

In the larger cities chapter organization and work have been satisfactory. Efforts have been directed toward educational rather than numerical results; and as a consequence members of chapters are recognized as a s
prior class of young men. In cities where chapters exist a large percentage of promotions have been made from bank clerks prominently identified with chapter work. The Institute appreciates the cordial support of bank officers generally who have taken the time and trouble to make addresses before these organizations.

The list has already outgrown the limits of enumeration. Among others who have been of service in our educational work are former Secretary Gates, Controller Ridgley, Assistant Secretary Armstrong, former Assistant Secretaries Vanderlip and Ailes, former Controller Bickels, Treasurer Roberts, Congressmen Fowler, Pugsley and Prince, Judge Spencer, of St. Louis; President Wakefield, of the New Jersey Senate; ex-Controller Coler, of New York; Superintendent Kilburn, of the New York Banking Department; several members of the National Treasury Department, and numerous National and State bank examiners. Among prominent educators who have addressed chapters or otherwise aided the work of the Institute are President Wilson, of Princeton; Professor Wabansie, of Harvard; Professor Schwab, of Yale; Professors Johnson, Tompkins, Cleveland and Aymer, of New York; Professors Reinach and Scott of Wisconsin; Professors Pattee and Paige of Minnesota; Professor Plehn, of California; Professor Balles, of Haverford; Professor Mach, of Chicago, and Professor Hicks, of Cincinnati.

The late Charles W. Haskins, Dean of New York University, of the School of Commerce, Accounts and Finance, the father of higher accounting in America, was particularly interested in the Institute and its work.


Collateral with the work of the Institute, substantial progress has been made within the past few years in the general field of financial and commercial education. Among leading universities and colleges which now maintain special courses of study in banking and business are New York, Pennsylvania, Louisiana, California, Vermont, Wisconsin, Ohio, Michigan, Indiana and Chicago.

Economic subjects are also taught to a greater or less extent at Harvard, Yale, Columbia, Cornell, Johns Hopkins, Brown, Western Reserve, Barnard, Minnesota, Radcliffe, Vanderbilt, Stanford, Princeton, Iowa and California. The officers of the Institute have communicated personally or by correspondence with representatives of nearly all of the institutions named, thereby obtaining information and suggestions of the highest value, and prominent educators have given assurance that the benefits derived from such exchanges of views have been in a measure reciprocal. Your committee appreciates the cordial relationship which has been established between the Institute and the leading schools of the country, believing that the results in banking and kindred subjects will thereby be improved and advanced.

With due appreciation of the responsibilities of trusteeship, your committee has conducted the Institute with the sole purpose of rendering the most valuable service to the young bankers of America and reflecting the highest honor upon the American Bankers' Association.

Expense has consistently been subordinated to efficiency, but notwithstanding the broadened character of the work the financial deficiency during the coming year will be reduced. Up to the present time the details of operation have been handled by contract, but in view of the more comprehensive plans now established, the trustees have deemed it expedient to assume direct management. This decision has been reached by the Secretary of the Board and the members of every department except the publication of The Bulletin which will be conducted by the Account-Auditing Company hereafter.

The Bulletin now has about seven thousand subscribers, and with improvements recently introduced and others in contemplation an extension of its circulation and usefulness is assured. The introduction of the card-membership feature has necessitated some unusual expenses, which have been paid out of the last year's appropriation, leaving an unexpended balance of about $1,500. This unexpended balance and an additional appropriation of $6,000 will enable your committee to conduct the Institute another year in a manner creditable in every way to the American Bankers' Association, and it is, therefore, recommended that such appropriation be made.

Respectfully submitted,

J. P. FINLEY, Chairman.

The President: The report of the committee will be received.

The next is the report of the committee on Bank Money Orders.

Report of Committee on Bank Money Orders.

Mr. Hepburn: Mr. Chairman, the American Bankers' Association at its meeting in San Francisco continued a Committee on Bank Money Orders, of which Mr. Joseph Chapman was chairman, and they were directed to report at the meeting of the Council in this city, which they did. A new committee was then created, and they were discharged from their duties. They reported to the Executive Council. I did not understand that the committee was to make any report to this Convention. Both the Chapman report and the report of my committee have been made and mailed to every member of the Association.

Therefore I do not think there can be any occasion.

Mr. Armstrong.

The President: Any further reference to the subject here?

The President: The report, as made, will be received.

Mr. Hill: Mr. President, as President of the American Bankers' Association, I was opposed to this, and appointed Mr. Orde and Mr. Finley on that committee to kill it. But after working on the committee I found it was a good thing, and after serving you a year without salary they gave me on the committee, and I am now in favor of it, because I want a New York bank clerk to know that Santa Fe, New Mexico, is not in the vicinity of St. Louis, and I want the bank clerk of Chicago to know that the Burlington road does not run into Pennsylvania, but it does run where it is mortgaged.

Twenty-five years ago when I used to come to this city a New York bank officer would meet me at the gate, and lean on it, and ask me when I came to town, and
when I was going to leave, in a very nice tone of voice, but in a few moments more a man would step up behind him, and hand him two or three books, and he would say: "Excuse me, sir, I am very busy." Yesterday I went into a board room in this city where millionaires sit around the table every day, and that board room was given up to a free lunch to the haysed bankers of the West.

ST. LOUIS EXPOSITION

I want to say a word about a little educational institution at the end of the bridge with about 700,000 people between the end of the bridge and the Convention gates. The gates of the greatest exposition the world has ever seen. I do not have to say that to the Middle West men, because they are coming there in droves, and those that cannot pay their way are walking; but I want to say to the Eastern and the Western people that we have $45,000,000 of somebody's money in that plant. We have every Government in the known world represented there but two. We have every State and Territory in this great country represented by a State leading by one or two. I do not see anything here in the representative papers. I cannot understand why papers as great as the Eastern papers are not to be permitted to publish one of the grandest speeches ever made by man. That great editor of some London paper, who had the hyphenated name, I have long forgotten, but he was once knighted by Queen Victoria because of his work to uplift mankind, and I want to educate the newspaper men so that their journals will turn from red and yellow to white. (Applause.)

If any banker in this part of the country cannot get into that fair, has not the money, if he will come to St. Louis I am sure I will say that any financial institution there will loan him enough to get in fifty-cent seats—if he will leave us watch there.

But I am going on. It is short by saying we want every man, woman and child who is competent of taking in the greatest exhibit the world has ever seen, and some of the greatest men the world has produced, who are there to show the exhibits. If they are not satisfied I will raise a fund to pay their way back from St. Louis.

Mr. Hackett: May I make a few remarks?

The President: I will recognize you a little later if that will do.

Mr. Hackett: Very well.

The President: The Chair now presents Hon. A. B. Hepburn to speak to you upon the money question.

Mr. Hepburn: I never offer apologies for any intellectual matter I have to present, but I feel, like indulgence this morning on account of the condition of my voice. This Convention has had a most interesting morning session. You have been in session now for nearly four hours, and it is long past the usual time in which gentlemen indulge in a mid-day meal. I think, therefore, that with your permission I will hand this manuscript to the Secretary and let you gentlemen find it in the report of the convention which will be more to your convenience, and quite as much to mine, in view of the present condition of my voice. (Applause.)

The President: Gentlemen of the Convention, I wish to express my own regret that it is come about by reason of the condition of Mr. Hepburn's voice that we have not the pleasure of listening to his address. I know, without knowing its contents, that it will be worthy of hearing.

Mr. Hepburn's address is as follows:

The Money Situation, by Hon. A. B. Hepburn, President Chase National Bank, New York City.

[Mr. Hepburn's address in full will be found on pages 1127 to 1128.]

The President: I think this winds up the regular order of business, and it now gives me pleasure to introduce Mr. Hackett of Wisconsin.

BANKING SECTION

Remarks on the Money Order Report.

R. H. Hackett, National Union Bank, Osksbosh, Wis.: I am making my comments I shall distinguish between two committees: the first, the Bank Money Order Committee appointed by the Convention; the other, the committee of gentlemen, consisting of Messrs. Willits, Hillyer, Kubine and Butler, and which also included for a time Mr. Pien, and which I shall call the San Francisco committee, consisting of members from San Francisco, Chapman, Porter and Fowler, which I shall call the New York committee.

To the first committee was assigned the task of formulating a money order bill, the second, the performance of carrying out the recommendations already determined upon by the San Francisco committee and the Executive Council.

I do not doubt that the report rendered by the San Francisco committee and the arrangements for its inauguration made the San Francisco committee the choice of the members of both committees. That the report and the arrangements are entirely inadequate to meet the conditions they are supposed to ameliorate, and that the system established therein is entirely impracticable, so far as the achievement of any distinct step in the solution of the money order problem is concerned, I thoroughly believe. The gentlemen who proposed the New York Committee are not to blame, since they found their task already prescribed for them when they took hold of the subject. I do, however, want to show the motives that led to the establishment of the hybrid, crutchy, "patched" order which is presented to us for serious consideration and adoption. If this were not so my position, because one would readily adopt the report and the report and its conclusions in the light of a humporous production. It is, indeed, not without cause or reason that the eminent gentlemen who formed our two Oklahoma committees, the San Francisco committee, takes pains to call attention once in about every six lines of its text to the fact that the plan they are putting into execution is one that has been fully determined upon. They iterate and reiterate that their function is to do just three things—that is (1) to draw an agreement between the American Bankers' Association and some surety company; (2) to determine the agreement between the said surety company and the companies, and (3) to determine a proper form of money order under the resolution already adopted; and having done those three separate and distinct things, they ask to be discharged.

We are thrown back then to the work of the San Francisco committee.

And what, pray, has the San Francisco committee done? In full seriousness and with due gravity and sedateness they have determined that the banks and bankers of this country are to be members of the American Bankers' Association, a chapter of capitalization and repute and stand to vouch for and preserve the good names of our co-members.

Glorious verdict this, is it not?

To add to the agony one of the members of that committee appears before the Convention of the North Dakota Bankers' Association last summer, and, among other things, blandly assures us that what we, the banks and bankers of this country, are not to be trusted; that our bank drafts are really not respectable or fit to be received in decent society; that they are little better, if not somewhat worse, than the wild-cat currency of our forefathers; that what we use in a guardian or censor-in-chief, and that if we are real good boys and behave ourselves, and continue to do or start in to do business in a manner satisfactory to this general guardian, that the said preserver of our financial morals will give us a good character, and will treat us with nice blue paper or paper money, such as $25,000 American money.

But if we are not good boys, or refuse to carry on our business in the manner and for the reasons and mode or less exacting motives of the guardian so proposed for us, or if our ideas of banking methods are not in accord with those of our proposed guardian, then we shall be labeled and marked with extreme ostracism and labeled as not being good enough to receive the aforesaid certificates of good character in the form of money orders: potential or otherwise, more or less.

Magnificent prospect this, is it not? My institutions, as members in good standing of the American Bankers' Association, are now called upon to satisfy the doxographer conservaton for us under the plan of the San Francisco committee that they are "real nice" institutions, and if for some reasons the said doxographer refuses to be convinced, we are to be marked before the banking community as being unworthy of public confidence. So much for the plan.

Let me mention an incident in connexion with the method adopted by the executive council of this plan. We are favored with an ingenious looking application blank, which, on cursory read-
BANKERS' CONVENTION.

SECOND DAY'S PROCEEDING.
Thursday, September 15, 1904.

The Convention was called to order promptly at ten o'clock.

Prayer was said by Monsignor M. J. Lavelle, St. Patrick's Cathedral, Vocur-General.

The President: Gentlemen of the Convention: You will have the pleasure of listening now to a paper not in the order; it is put here in the list, on "Emergence Circulation," but, as it is called by Mr. Frame, "Panle Panicen." I will ask Mr. Frame to introduce Mr. A. J. Frame, of Waukesha, Wis.

Mr. Frame: This beautiful sunshine suggests the remark of an Englishman, "I cannot understand why the atmosphere in New York is so much clearer than in London," to which the New Yorker replies: "Just see how many sky scrappers we have here."


Mr. Frame's address in full will be found on pages 1130 to 1133 of this publication.

The President: Gentlemen of the Convention: You will now listen to a paper on "The Western Banker," by Mr. W. C. Robinson, President of the First National Bank, in Winfield, Kansas.


Mr. Robinson's paper in full will be found on pages 1138 and 1139 of this publication.

The President: Gentlemen of the Convention: The next paper was to have been read by the author, Mr. Eugene E. Prussing, of Chicago. I regret very much to inform you that he was delayed by business from us to-day, he could not read it in person, but his partner, Mr. W. H. McCulloch, has consented to do so, and Mr. Prussing's paper, which I think is a paper of great interest, and I have the pleasure of introducing Mr. McCulloch.


Mr. Prussing's paper in full will be found on pages 1140 to 1142 of this publication.

The President: It has been the custom and convenience at this stage of the proceedings to have a roll-call of the membership, to have thought that the membership was so large, so many, that it would be impossible to be represented, that we would modify that custom and have a call of Sections, so that as the Secretary calls the Sections, North, South, East and West, we shall be happy to hear from some one representing these sections.

The Chairman feels very sorry, indeed, if the effect of this modification was to close the mouths of all the orators that have been expected to respond for their different States, and I would not consider it by any means being forward for any orator from New York or New Jersey, or Connecticut, or Massachusetts to rise first.

Don't wait for each other. Let us have some one from the North. If we cannot begin with the North, I know we can begin with the South, and I will be glad to please you by calling on Mr. Joseph G. Brown, the President of the Citizens' National Bank, of Raleigh, N. C.


That is a very beautiful custom, originating in New England, but soon spreading for and wide, that at Thanksgiving Day the scattered members of the family should gather together around the old hearthstone, and while enjoying the roast turkey and the old-fashioned pumpkin pie, tell each other of their achievements, their aims and their hopes.

In such a spirit, I take it, we are come to-day, not in pride and boastfulness, to proclaim aloud our deeds, but as members of one great family, to reconcile with one another over our common prosperity.

The time is not far in the past when I stood before you and held up to view my own State as the one garden spot, and worthy is she of all that I said; but a trip
across this continent from sea to sea, and, later, from the Great Lakes to the Gulf, has revealed to me the fact that not North Carolina, nor the South alone, but this great country, has been highly favored of heaven. In the big countries of Texas in its fruitfulness, the Middle and Northwestern States in the richness of their soil and the wealth of their products, the East, with the busy hum of its marvelous industrial development, the Far West, with its luxuriance of fruits and flowers and foodstuffs, wrested from barren wastes, and my own loved Southland, coming forth like a bridegroom from his chamber, all go to make up as fair a land, perhaps, as that which greeted the eye of Moses when from the mountain top he looked out upon a land flowing with milk and honey.

But I am I that upon such an occasion I can bring you so glad a message from your brothers South of the Potomac. It would be of little use to occupy your time with the oft-told tale of the desolation that stared us in the face in '65, before we discovered knew the beauty of the Stars and Stripes, and awoke again to the fact that its stars were our stars, and its glory our glory.

Let us look rather upon what has been accomplished since that time, ever keeping before us the fact, however, that all that the South may be able to show to the world to-day dates from that period of absolute dearth.

I shall not weary you with multitudinous figures, but will rather crown our purposes with the record of the year a few striking statistics, so admirably collected by the accomplished editor of the *Manufacturers' Record*, of Baltimore:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>age of</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Pig iron made, tons...</th>
<th>397,600</th>
<th>1860.</th>
<th>1900.</th>
<th>Increase 1860-1900.</th>
<th>303,000</th>
<th>731</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coal mined, 6,000,000</td>
<td>62,000,000</td>
<td>933</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value lumber products, 29,000,000</td>
<td>200,000,000</td>
<td>692</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value capital in cotton, 21,900,000</td>
<td>200,000,000</td>
<td>692</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. spindles in mills, 697,000</td>
<td>8,250,000</td>
<td>1,105</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cotton consumed in Southern mills, 235,000</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
<td>788</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital in manufacturing</td>
<td>257,000,000</td>
<td>1,200,000,000</td>
<td>467</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value of manufacturing products</td>
<td>457,000,000</td>
<td>1,000,000,000</td>
<td>251</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign exports through Southern ports</td>
<td>201,000,000</td>
<td>508,000,000</td>
<td>90</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Railroads, miles in operation</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>60,000</td>
<td>191</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investments in railroad building from 1830 to 1901</td>
<td>1,500,000,000</td>
<td>1,500,000,000</td>
<td>150</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value of cotton crop</td>
<td>313,000,000</td>
<td>520,000,000</td>
<td>99</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value of agricultural products</td>
<td>669,000,000</td>
<td>1,700,000,000</td>
<td>154</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These figures will exhibit most faithfully the result of these years of toil.

The development of our railroads, the output of our coal and iron, the value of our minerals, the wonderful yield of our cotton fields, the products of our mills and factories, the increasing wealth of our banks, the ever diminishing percentage of illiteracy among us, the growth of our schools and colleges, the broader, saner views of our people and their general thrift, and the bravery of our soldiers in defense of the flag—all these things give us the right to stand here to-day, not as the profligate returned, but as the loving, loyal son, proud of his heritage, and proud of the opportunity he has had and is having to aid in making his land the glory of the world.

But, you ask, are these things true—or is this a creation of the imagination only? Let us see. Look for a moment at the Seaboard, the Coast Line, and the Southern Railways; these three great systems are going with each other in the development of our section. Take with me, if you will, the fast mail of the Southern and speed away through the very heart of the South, covering the fourteen hundred miles between New York and New Orleans in about thirty-one hours. Where can you find machinery of finer engines, finer trains, better service, greater speed or stronger evidence of railroad development?

Or, better still, take your place in the observation car of one of the frequent southbound vestibules that go with some less celerity, so that in passing you may get a bird's-eye view of the country. Of course, ours is far from being an agricultural section—prosperity smiles upon the field the glow is reflected in every direction.

What is the picture that greets the eye as you hurry southward? Broad acres that but a little while ago were bare and desolate are now rich in cultivation, producing abundantly the golden leaffed sugar cane and golden wheat, while the silvery sheen of the opening cotton boils reveals a product for which the world stands ready to pour out its wealth, and which during the season just ended brought into our section not less than $700,000,000.

The little town of Chadbourn in North Carolina, with a population of only 500, is to-day the largest strawberry shipping point in the world. In a single day she shipped 111 carloads.

From that immediate section during the last season the shipments of the track and the net cash returns were

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Net Cash Returns</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>545,760 crates strawberries</td>
<td>$1,097,418</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80,000 packages lettuce</td>
<td>120,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>114,000 barrels Irish potatoes</td>
<td>110,570</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>126,570 crates strawberries</td>
<td>22,886</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102,000 barrels Irish potatoes</td>
<td>130,370</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

And all this from lands that but a few years ago were considered almost worthless. The shipment of strawberries alone from this section would require a train of loaded cars eight miles in length.

Orchards of peaches and fields of melons, the prolific trucking districts, luxuriant gardens, great fields of corn and wheat, the grand reservoirs and the cattle and woodland hills—witness the fact that, in addition to her money crop, the South is making her own bread and meat, with some to spare for her neighbors. And what more? Above the noise of the car wheels hear the musical hum of the mills and factories as day and night, with but little interruption, they are adding to the value that brain and skill can give to the raw product of the fields before shipping it to the markets.

Note, too, the long trains of freight cars on every side track, loaded with our surplus products, on their way to help feed and clothe the world. See the villages growing into towns, and the towns into cities, all bustling with life and trade.

See the rude shanties of yesterday giving place to modern and well equipped farmhouses, with their groves and beautiful lawns. See the improved cattle, the fine stock, the handsome vehicles—observe the happy, contented air of the people, their improvement in dress, in manners and language. These things tell you far better than any language of mine can portray of the material development of that section of our country which we call the South.

As you witness all these things you will no longer marvel that our railroad mileage has grown to 60,000 miles, that in a single decade the business of these roads has about doubled itself, that whereas ten years ago the gross earnings of all of our roads were but $120,000,000, last year $132,000,000 was earned by five of them, representing about one-half of the total mileage, while the other roads increased in like proportion. To-day we are told that, notwithstanding their present splendid equipment, thousands of new cars are being bought in anticipation of the maturing roads, which bid fair to be the best ever made. A single line has recently ordered three thousand cars. Can there be any better index to the prosperity of a country than the prosperity of its railroads? These three great systems are exhibiting development that since 1850, although the population of the South has increased but 60 per cent, the value of her agricultural and manufactured products has been trebled. The value of the cotton crop alone since that time has grown from $300,000,000 to $700,000,000, while the cotton interest in manufacturing has increased by $1,060,000,000.

Her pig iron, her coal, her lumber interests have all
kept pace with the general growth. Her banks have not failed to reap the benefit of growing trade. Their capital, their earnings, and their resources have been largely augmented, and to them and their guiding hands is due much of the prosperity that blesses our people.

Figures might be multiplied indefinitely showing the material growth of our section, but time will not permit. The future is radiant with hope. The construction of the great canal, a short' route a great gateway to the teeming millions of the Orient, can but accelerate the already rapidly increasing commerce of our Southern ports and stimulate trade in every line.

Little wonder that our old men dream dreams and our young men see visions. And the handwriting on the wall is not the "Mene, mene, tekel, upharsin" that so smote with terror the Babylonian King, but on the great scroll, unraveled like a beautiful bow of promise across the heavens, methinks I see, in living letters, "Thou hast been faithful over a few things, I will make thee ruler over many."

It is said that all things come to those who wait. To the South the period of waiting has been a long and weary one, but in the glad fruition of their hopes, which a beneficent Providence is now holding up before her, she will cease to look mournfully into the past, and will use the means placed in her hands for the upbuilding of her country.

I have called attention to several of her products: shall I fail to mention that which is of most value—her men? She is giving to the world a world of men who in other sections, many in this great metropolis, are guiding the great business enterprises that are so manifestly making this the industrial age of the world. She has already sent out many such, but, like the widow's cruse of oil, her supply is unfailling. The more she gives away the more she has left.

Finally, her wonderful prosperity has not deteriorated the character of her people. They are brave and loyal and true. There is a growing spirit of patriotism in the South. She teaches her sons, first, the utmost loyalty and fidelity to their own homes, to the towns and cities in which they live, and the institutions which they serve. But she does not stop here. She begets within them a pride of State, and inspires them with love for their own commonwealth. And more than this. She takes them up on the mountain top, where the air is pure and the vision unobstructed, and points them to the world lying at their feet, and tells them it is theirs. From this eminence city and county lines are obliterated, state lines are lost to view, and the eye looks down upon one vast, united country, and that a nation of people not content to live within and for themselves alone, but who, looking out, over the wafers that lave their shores, upon the isles of the sea and in other lands, see people less enlightened than themselves, and the spirit of broad philanthropy undertake to carry to them the light and liberty of American freedom, which under heaven has brought such blessings upon their own heads, and

"The God of Nations is with them."

The President: It has stirred our hearts to hear Mr. Brown, and I think we will stay in the same section a little longer. I will call upon Mr. Lane, of Texas, Mr. A. V. Lane, Vice-President and Comptroller of the National Exchange Bank of Dallas, Texas, spoke as follows:

Remarks of A. V. Lane.

Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen, Members of the American Bankers' Association.

Upon the shore of Destiny there sits alone a maiden since most fair to look upon; but now, in garments torn, her eyes suffused with unshed tears, her head bowed low with anguish all unspoken, she wrings her soft white hands and seems a veritable goddess of despair. A moment, and she quickly rises to her feet, her proud head lifted high in the eye of the light of a new-born resolution, as with clinched hands and ringing breast she utters those two words that, in themselves, attest a kinship to omnipotence, "I will."

And she sets about her work. Those hands, unused to work, are torn and bleeding, but they falter not, nor murmurs she at her hard task. New homes from smoking ruins quick arise, the devastated fields are made to "blossom as the rose," and in the busy marts of trade the wheels of commerce once again are heard. The fires are lighted and from many a toasting chimney strangely now the curling smoke ascends on high and marks the advent of industrial prosperity. Such to-day is the happy domain of this peerless Queen of the South—and her ambassador am I, sent with a message of sincere good will with a pride that has been bestowed upon her which she has charged upon my willing but unequal tongue. If, then, in aught I shall fall short, I trust you will accept the purpose evident for the accomplished fact.

She bids me say that all is well with her; her barns are full to overflowing and her fields of green are changing 'neath the ardent sun into a snow white fleece that later shall clothe the nations of the earth and levy tribute on their hoarded gold. The season past this plant alone brought in three-quarters of a billion dollars, and not to do as well again bids fair, despite its enemies, the weevil worm and "Wall Street bear". Of all the exports from this realm last year, two-fifths originated in the South. Her lumber and her mineral outputs larger grow each with each succeeding year, and church and school-house still keep pace with agriculture, mines and commerce, in the strenuous race.

And so today, upon the threshold of this grand new century, so full of promise and of opportunity; happy in the present, proud of her past, and, therefore, hopeful of the future. That she has had and that she has momentous problems to determine, none have known and none know better than herself. But she will solve those of to-day as she has solved the problems of the past—in her own good time, in her own best way. And the solution will be true and fair—none other will she entertain. In letters, science and in art, in all things good and worthy, she has done her part. Her name is written high upon the scroll of Fame—and yet she loiters not, but presses onward, upward. "A heart with any fate, still achieving, still pursuing," she has learned "to labor and to wait."

R. F. Maddox.

Vice-President of the Maddox-Tracker Banking Company of Atlanta, Ga., spoke as follows:

The last time Carroll fired the first gun for the South the result was a little disastrous, and I hope we will be able to reinforce her a little better this time; having been raised by the Georgia Bankers' Association to bring to the Empire State of the South the message of the Empire State of the South our most cordial greetings and to the other forty-two States represented our sincerest good wishes, we are glad to meet with such a representative body of bankers in this metropolis under the banner of "Fraternity," upon the folds of which we can read from afar the propitious words of Peace and Prosperity. About a hundred and seventy-five years ago an English poet, writing on the new province of Georgia, said:

"Surely God hath left this spot of earth unviewed To show how all things were created firm"

It is not surprising, then, that with such a soil and such a climate there has been developed between the Chattahoochee and the Savannah River a State of which any country might well feel proud. I know it has been said that among the early settlers of Georgia there were many brought from the drovers' prisons of England. If this were true, I am sure that the bankers of our State can testify that all must have had barren benefits or bachelors, for there is no trace of such hovels—inhumans in the commercial life of our customers to-day. Almost anything produced in the United States will grow and do well in Georgia. The percentage reports show that, owing to the relative value of our farm lands, the products exported afford, in the case of the value of the farm lands, and there never was a season in our history when
Almighty God has so sent the seasons that our every crop has resulted so abundantly and brought such good prices. Our plentiful peach crop with its luscious flavor has brought our State the banner of first in the peach-growing States of our country. Our corn and hay crop has left the barns of our farmers full to overflowing. Our rice is now shipped all over the world, and our marble, in its various whites, has been used in the construction of the United States Capitol, and has won our country lasting fame. The banks of Georgia are in excellent condition. The deposits now equal nearly seventy-five million dollars, and their prospects were never brighter than now. The State, through expenditures of about two and a quarter million dollars for education annually. In a hundred and twenty-three counties there is no liquor sold, it being decided by local option. Liquor is usually sold in the larger cities under high license and strict regulations.

I have been in the city of Atlanta if one is not satisfied by ten o'clock in the evening he must go thirsty to bed. There is not a single gambling house in the city of Atlanta. The splendid city government is one of the reasons why our city is so rapidly growing in population and increasing in commercial importance. Georgia is as loyal to the flag as New York. (Applause.) As an evidence of this, she sent more soldiers and more sailors than any other State in the Union, in proportion to her population. Our people are as much opposed to mob violence as are the good people of Illinois or Massachusetts.

As far as the negro question is concerned, we believe there should be a middle-ground between social equality and lynching. The one is contrary to a law of nature; the other assumes the execution of the law of our land; the erroneous notion of both being to elevate the negro above his sphere and place him beyond the protection of his friends. We have the greatest interest in the coming Presidential campaign, if for no other reason than because the mother of the Republican candidate was born in Georgia, and Judge Parker's boom was launched on the banks of the Tabula at the meeting of the Georgia Bar Association last year, and the wonderful Watson, that idol of the populace, is a resident of our State. Therefore, if for no other reason, no matter which of the three gentlemen is elected Georgia may have some reason to feel proud.

In conclusion, I wish to express the thanks of the Georgia Bankers' Association for the cordial welcome we have received, and to express the hope that our deliberations here may bring much pleasure to all present, promote good fellowship, and result in much profit to the large interests which it represents.

J. Wirt Randall,
President of the Farmer's National Bank, Annapolis, Md., spoke as follows:

Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen, I feel a good deal of hesitation amid the entirely impromptu addresses, in prose and poetry, with which we have been treated, to say anything in the way of a set speech, but as I have been asked to do this, to greet you on behalf of Maryland, and as there seems to be a practical disposition to admit all we have heard heretofore, we may say that in this campaign and previous political campaigns something to the effect that there is no North, nor South, nor East, nor West, that is just as well for a State which occupies a middle position to have the floor for a few minutes.

Before saying anything, gentlemen, in regard to conditions in Maryland, I feel that I would be delective to my duty as a representative of the Maryland Bankers' Convention if I did not say that there is one thing that the Maryland Bankers' Association has earnestly at heart, which has been proved by the fact that they have in three successive conventions appointed committees to urge the legislation upon Congress, and that one thing that they have earnestly at heart is the importance of having currency legislation enacted by Congress. I hope sincerely that this Convention will not pass or adjourn without its taking formal action and recommendation upon this subject, appointing committees to urge that matter upon Congress; because, gentlemen, important as it may be to consider these matters of bonding, and dollars and cents, and other particulars, the very existence of national banks depends upon settlement of this sort of thing done in the near future. There is a very interesting book which many of you, no doubt, have read, "Reminiscences of the Civil War," by the late General Gordon, he tells the story of a friend of him who was a preacher, and who had himself a slave who was a preacher. Old Allen, the slave preacher, was in the habit of asking his master every now and then what he was going to take his text from the following Sunday, and Allen seemed to think sometimes it was a very good lead to follow. He asked him on one occasion what his text was going to be, and his master said, "My text is going to be 'And He healed them of divers diseases.'" "Yes," said Allen, "that is a very good text, and I think I will preach from that text myself next Sunday." So some of the young men of the family went down to hear him, and he announced his text by saying "He healed them of all sorts of diseases, and particularly that disease called divers," and after referring to all of the various kinds of diseases that he could think of and his relative importance, he said, "My disease is called divers, and if you get that disease you certainly is a gone nigger, except the Lord helps you." Now, Mr. President, I think we will all agree that however many diseases and troubles national banks may have, there is one thing that is going to be the divers to them unless it is done pretty quick, and that is some currency legislation. So I feel that I, knowing how strongly our Association in Maryland feels on this subject, as it has indicated by passing resolutions earnestly advocating a system of circulation based upon assets, which was known as the Baltimore plan, that your Convention adopted when the Association met in Baltimore—I feel that that or some legislation of that sort should be considered by the next set—I feel that I should say that we sincerely trust that this Convention will follow the lead that Maryland has taken in that direction and appoint a committee to urge this legislation upon Congress; for, although it is true that on the eve of a Congressional campaign, as we had last year and as we have had this year, it is perhaps hopeless to try to get parties to commit themselves on the matter until after this campaign is over and Congress assembles this winter; but now is the time to strike the iron while it is hot and try to get something accomplished.

Now, in regard especially to Maryland, we have had what are naturally called two calamities during the past year in Maryland; one was the failure of the trust companies which was a very serious matter in Maryland, and for a while made things look to be in a very dangerous condition. The other was that terrible fire with which our metropolitan city was afflicted, and which in a few hours swept out of existence all the great wholesale district of the city of Baltimore, and a very large part of its retail business.

As concerns the matter of trust companies, I think Maryland can say to you that it has taught us lessons, and they are lessons which to a great extent are appreciated all through the banking world, and have been dwelt upon by gentlemen here from the large national banks. One is an excerpt from the editorial of the Baltimore Sun by Mr. Fries, in his address, the gross impropriety of a trust company becoming a promoter or entering into the field of speculation. That is exactly what wrecked those two trust companies in Baltimore.

And another matter, Mr. President, which is equally important, is the one thing I think, perhaps of more vital importance, generally speaking, and that is, that in its banking business these same reserves should be insisted upon and required of trust companies and State banks as they are required of National banks. That is another matter that this Convention ought to speak upon. It strikes me, and speak upon plainly and clearly, and I trust resolutions
or action will be taken looking to that effect. It is a les-
son that has been firmly impressed upon us by our own ex-
perience, and, gentlemen, you certainly can learn from that.

Now, then, one word in regard to that great disaster
which afflicted Baltimore—the fire. We have learned a great many lessons from that. One lesson that we have learned, Mr. President, is the widespread brotherly feel-
ing with which all communities have been moved, and the
news flashed out of this terrible disaster to our metro-
politan city than the wires were laden down with offers to
help, peculiarly and otherwise, from all parts of the
United States. The fire had only progressed so far as to
make it manifest that it was going to be a difficult matter to
control when, about six o'clock in the evening, directors, from
Washington, from Annapolis, from Harrisburg, from
Philadelphia, from New York, came on the wheels of steam
the engines to help subdue the perilous flames, and
they succeeded; and without the help of these American
cities, I believe, the whole city of Baltimore would have
been laid in ashes.

That is one lesson firmly impressed upon us by this
fire. Another lesson, of perhaps more material char-
acter, is the fact that we all observed that in the recent
construction of our banking institutions there are very
few skyscrapers going up. We have begun to believe that it
is safe to make this city as well close to its solid founda-
tions, and not have too much expansion, and the result is
that almost all of our new banking institutions are go-
ing to be one to two and three story buildings; no more
fifteen and sixteen story buildings. That is a thing that
impresses the eye, too, Mr. President. Greater than all the
lessons which we have learned from that fire is the
realization of the fact that in that city and in that old
State is embodied that magnificent spirit of energy, push
and courage which distinguishes American cities which
have ever been visited by a similar affliction. Up from
the ashes a new Baltimore, more beautiful than the old,
is rapidly rising, and it only will be a year or two when the
streets will have been straightened and broadened, and
when new and handsome palatial residences and houses of business, banks
and financial institutions will have risen in every direc-
tion, and we will look back upon that day of seeming
terror, dismay and destiny as one of the greatest bless-
ings that could have been visited upon our community,
for with it we have realized, like our sister cities, Boston
and Chicago, and Portland, and others that might be
named, that we, too, are Americans that are imbued with
the indomitable spirit of America.

St. Louis Exposition.

The President: I would at this time, as we are all
interested in the St. Louis Exposition, like to call upon
Mr. Festus J. Wade, of St. Louis, to say a word to us.

Mr. Wade: I am really glad to have an opportunity of
discussing just for a few moments the Louisilana Pur-
chase Exposition. It is your Exposition, not a St. Louis
Exposition, and I want to make a statement in regard to
its finances which will doubtless surprise you. The Fair
still has two and a half months to run. Every dollar ex-
pended in that magnificent aggregation of the results of
the progress of the world has been paid back one hundred-
fold to those who entered into the enterprise. The United
States of America, who invested five million dollars in
the stock of that Exposition, have exhibited to ten million
people up to this hour the greatest show of agricultural
implements, of mechanical appliances, of the arts and
the sciences of the world, of the varied industries, of
the horses and the cattle and the sheep and the swine of
the world. Yesterday there was a display of the finest
people of the world upon the progress of this great country.

The City of St. Louis as a corporation has been paid
back its five millions of dollars by having a new and a
rejuvenated St. Louis produced in a period of three years'
time. The citizens of St. Louis, who have contributed
five million dollars more, have gotten back every cent by
bringing together the best minds and hearts and energies
for the development of the Mississippi Valley. It has
been exploited, particularly in the Eastern country, that
there was an immediate danger of the Exposition going
into the hands of a receiver. A greater slander on a
great enterprise was never given utterance; the Louisi-
an Purchase Exposition at no time owed a dollar to
any one except to the people of the United States, and
the ninety-three men constituting the board of directors
of that organization have been aided by the bankers and
and trust companies of St. Louis, have guaranteed the
repayment of that Government loan, and up to this time
have repaid three million dollars of it back. Not only
will it meet its obligations, but it will pay a dividend
to its stockholders. That I know, that we have seen the Exposition need not
be told about it. Those of you that miss it are going
to lose an education that no money could buy in the next
twenty-five years. The magnitude of the Exposition, its
completeness, its beauty, is beyond the comprehension of
the man who has not visited it, and equally as far beyond
the comprehension of he or she who devotes two months'
time to its study. In its various departments there is
not a line of human endeavor that is not submitted.
They are on exhibition for one-half a dollar to those who
visit it.

The President: I know it will be gratifying to the
Conventions as it is a pleasure to me, to ask our former
President, Mr. Hendrix. If he will say a few words to us.

Remarks of Joseph C. Hendrix.

Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen: There seems to
be an impression on the part of the President who is
in office that an ex-President can do about anything that
he is called upon to do, which I assume is a state of mind
which exists in preparation for the future to come. Now,
to be suddenly called upon to speak for any section would
be embarrassing, to attempt to localize and speak for any
particular section of the country would be more particu-
larly embarrassing to me at this time, because the part
of the country that I was born in, which is the West, has
a President which is to succeed, and he can speak of his own talking.
The part of the country that I live in, New
York, is represented by a great big Committee of Ar-
rangements that was visible last night, but not visible
this morning. I am very much like a little story that I
heard. There was a surgeon coming down the steps of
one of our hospitals, running down very fast, as all these
New York doctors do, and he met another surgeon com-
ing in, and he says: "Hello, anything new, what is going
on upstairs?" And the other surgeon says: "We have
got the funniest case upstairs you ever saw; we have
got a woman up there who is so cross-eyed that when
she weeps the tears run down her nose, and if you could
not see anything with her, could you?" asked the
surgeon, and he replied: "Why, certainly, we turned
right around and treated her for bactereia." I think that
when this dignified Committee of Arrangements wanted
to treat you last night in one direction you turned
them around and treated them in another. In fact, some of
them appear to me very much like an English officer
who was being court martialed. He was one of the best offi-
cers in the regiment, and the court martial wanted to
save him, but the evidence was dead against him; he's
friends were on the bench, but the evidence was cumula-
tive, until there came along a dense, stupid orderly who
seemed to be ready to give evidence that would exculpate
the officer, and so the Judge says: "Did you see the
Colonel when he came into his quarters last night?" He
said, "Yes." "Well, did you notice anything unusual in
his munnner?" "Nothing at all." "Did he say anything
to you?" "Yes." "What did he say?" He gave me
the orders for the morning." "Did you tell the
Judge, who was very anxious to save the Colonel, leaned
over his head, and he said: "Did you say the Colonel
came you the orders for the morning?" "Yes, sir." "And what did he say to you?" "Well, he told me to
wait and call him early." "Did he say why he wanted
him to be called early?" "Yes, he said he was to be Queen
of the May."
I am not only a retired President of the American Bankers' Association, but I have retired from the banking business in this new world, and as I informed the Executive Council the other night at the little entertainment, I am now the President of the First National Merchant's Bank of Arcadia. That is situated on the road to Paradise. You get off at the Elysian Fields, and you go down—through the green pastures and by the still waters, and then you come to my place, and we have open house for all country bankers. We allow no interest on deposits. We have too much sense for that. We are not guilty of the mistake that are made in the material world in the office of the banking business, and when you do it all in your own mind and in your own way, and just think it out all by yourself, it all works out nice, and balances very nicely, and I invite you gentlemen at an early stage in your careers to seek this brain contracting, spirit paralyzing banking business; nothing like being a retired banker and all through with the cares of discounts. It is a sort of a preliminary preparation for what is to come. Now, when you become a disenchanted financial spirit you know how it feels; and you may be getting ready, because it is very much like the alchemist who was observed in an Episcopal Church up town in New York, always sitting in the pew where the name of Satan was mentioned through the service—she would always duck her head. The rector went to her one day and he said, "Excuse me, madam, but I notice that when the name of Satan is mentioned in the service you bow your head; you know that is not customary, and I would like to know what is your reason." Why, she says, "you know it does not cost anything to be polite, and you can never tell what may happen."

I have observed, in looking over the various banking association gatherings, that the old types by which one could pick out men in an audience and associate them with certain portions of the country are disappearing. New York, as you know, is the most cosmopolitan city in the world, and America is very rapidly becoming the cosmopolitan country of the world. You do not observe the distinction in individual types that many of you can remember in the early days. It is very hard to tell when a Western banker walks down Broadway whether he is running a bank in Fourteenth Street in New York or out in Oshkosh. There is a rapid assimilation going on between the types of individuality in various parts of the country; our fashions are alike, our habits are alike, and I was never more surprised than when at a convention out in Denver I had a gentleman say to me, "If you will come up to my place in the Rockies on Saturday night for dinner we are going to have boiled live lobster." That shows what a great country this is getting to be.

The speeches which have been made here, Mr. President, indicate a common line of thought, and it is the greatest pleasure to hear these silvery tongued orators, the natural troubadours of our country from the South tell their stories and give us their outlook. Now, the outlook of the North and of the East should be spoken for, and it is not possible for some one who is properly equipped for the task and properly authorized to speak for the people of the West and the Southern States. I am informed that the North has very greatly changed in its character in the last ten years, in respect not only to the South, but in respect to the North? The fact of it is that the rudeness of prosperity which has so highly accentuated within the last few years has come in its great influence from the East and from the South, and New York simply is the regenerating and modifying of the business of the United States, and shows it, feels it, and evidences it in the tangles you see about you. Whence these magnificent hotels in New York? Whence this lavish expenditure of the city? It simply means the tremendous appearance which has come upon the whole United States of America.

Mr. Bryce, the great English statesman who wrote the "American Commonwealth," said that when he first came to this country he could not discover evidences of European civilization further West than the Alleghany Mountains, but when he came to this country the second time he discovered them as far as the Mississippi River, and that upon his later visit to the United States he found that European influences pervaded the entire country. Well, perhaps, in a measure, the United States has developed the great cities, and they are merely the accent of the enormous productive power which these gentlemen represent to you in the splendid statistics that they bring to your attention.

We are very happy and we are very fortunate, gentlemen, to be living in our day and generation in the United States of America, and the period through which we are going is marked by the great period of which most of you, has been a phenomenal period, and when in the future some careful student of economy goes over it he will wonder, stand in amazement, at the story it tells. For the first time in the history of the whole world was the question of the standard of value submitted practically to a popular vote. Fancy the law of gravitation being submitted to a popular vote for its determination. Fancy some of the most abstruse scientific propositions having to rest upon the determination of a popular vote. But is it not a tribute to a democracy, is not a great tribute to a free government, the free enlightenment of the people, the education of the little red schoolhouse on the hilltop, the influence of the church, the bank, the warehouse, the commercial emporium, all of these, that so abstruse, evasive and illusive a question as the question of the standard of value, which in all the kingdoms of the world has been reserved for the finest intellects to settle, could here have been thrown on masse to a great congregation of people, an aggregate of population, and that the prevailing judgment of that mass of people should be right, and should be established as the right thing for the country. I believe that when some future time shall come that it will be realized that we have written the dramatic history of the world, and that it will be found that that was one of the most intense moments for all modern history when a great republic has to pass at the polls upon the question of the standard of value. Now that is all passed away, floating down the stream like the thistledown of last summer. We are face to face with new propositions, with a great, magnificent history, with an amplification and development of a great credit system, such as has never been paralleled in the history of civilization, with immense numbers of loans which you, gentlemen, have to carry in your institutions, with a great aggregation of currency, with a wonderful growth in the general wealth of the United States; and the problem is there just coming over the horizon; we can see far enough in the future to detect it. We always know that out of a clear sky, if we wait long enough, a cloud comes and then a storm. You, gentlemen, have to be upon the watch-tower all of the time. Your vision is strained. Your nervous system is intensified with a view of seeing what is the matter. Are we passing through a glorious period of our history? Is this the golden age of the Republic? Are we at the apex of our prosperity? Have we just to look down a long and winding street, and every foot step there is an encroachment upon the rights of others, where there may be storms, where there may be difficulties before the pathway can go up over the opposing mountain range?

As we stand to-day upon our own feet, in the light of our own knowledge, it seems to us that we have a giant's strength commercially, financially; a giant's strength in position; a giant's strength in enterprise; a giant's strength in the feeling of all men of your type, all conservative men, that having a giant's strength in all of these departments, that we should use it like a giant, with modesty, with reserve, with conservatism, and with caution.

We observe that on the other side of the water Mr. Chamberlain, of the British Ministry, is seeking to introduce reforms that must have great importance to us if carried into effect. These things bankers will think of.
I shall attempt to arrive at no conclusion, simply passing them in a suggestive way to your minds as they occur to me; but whatever be the result, I think that the past experience, the traditions of this Association, and of our business, both justify the conclusion that if we are to continue to do strictly commercial banking to supply the needs of our particular customers as they may be developed, and to keep in the old-fashioned middle of the road, there is a line of safety for us to pursue, and we are as free from danger as it is possible to be and be engaged in any business. The traditions of this Association are all in favor of that old conservative policy, and I have no doubt that as a younger generation comes on it will carry forward the same feeling, and that the American banker, whose record up to date, in spite of all the disorders of the currency and all of the difficulties of the law, has been one of the bravest; all in favor of that great prosperity; and for one and all of you gentlemen I wish the greatest possible prosperity.

The President: Gentlemen, and members of the Convention, I do not care which part of the country is called for, we are all mixed together in a common field. I will call now for Mr. Wilson, of San Francisco, who some of you had the pleasure to know when we were out there last year.

Remarks of James K. Wilson, President of the San Francisco National Bank.

Mr. President and Gentlemen of the Convention: While listening to the remarks of the gentlemen on the great divisions of this land of ours, the uppermost thought in my mind has been this: I am proud of my country; of its achievements; of its opportunities; of its possibilities; proud that I am an American citizen, and therefore entitled to a share in the glories of the past, to take part in the activities of the present and to gather inspiration from the anticipated greater and more beneficial results that the future will most surely unfold.

But, Mr. Chairman, though these points of the compass have been covered by the gentlemen who have preceded me, the half has not been told, and to undertake to tell the remainder in a five-minute speech is to assume profound ignorance of the subject or profound wisdom in the selection of a few of its leading features. To briefly sum up what has gone before, and epitomize what is to come, I may say:

While the East has its feast,
And the South a full mouth,
And the North may bring forth,
Yet the West is the best.

Mr. President, I need not tell you nor the members of this Convention that the West is going to be the big end of this country. Many of you have seen it, and are therefore more or less familiar with its size and resources.

It is within the memory of men in active business today when all the region now known as the West, and much more, was then known as simply so much public land. Not a single State or Territorial boundary had been run in any direction.

The West has been a movable line for a century or more, and has reached its utmost limit lands, and over two thousand miles beyond, to take in the Hawaiian Islands—the latest territorial addition.

Excluding for a moment the Territory of Hawaii and the Territory of Alaska, the West, as recognized in the last census report, consists of nine States and two Territories.

These States and Territories lie in three tiers, from south to north, all facing to the west, or to the Pacific Ocean. The first consists of California, Oregon and Washington; all daily kissed by the gentle waters of the largest and finest ocean in the world. Nevada is also in this division, though without an ocean frontage.

The last tier reaches into Utah and Idaho, and adjoining these the west is the third, comprising New Mexico, Colorado, Wyoming and Montana. The oldest one of these States is California, and she is the only one that came into the Union without getting permission for her territorial existence; and California is only fifty-four years old.

The other States in this division are from ten to forty-five years old, including five that are from ten to fifteen years old. Those eight States become Territories thirty-six to fifty-six years ago. Arizona has existed as a Territory forty-one years, and New Mexico fifty-six years; both are entitled to Statehood.

While this section comprises only 20 per cent. of the number of States and less than one-third of the Territories, they have been organized on such a grand scale that they include about 40 per cent. of the whole land area of the United States.

The natural slope of this area is to the Pacific Ocean, and its foreign commerce is transmitted through the magnificent ports of California, Oregon and Washington.

There is no finer opening for settlers in the country than is to be found in these States and Territories. In the first place it is a roomy section. The Census returns of 1860 show one-quarter of a square mile of land, or 160 acres, for every inhabitant. To do the best work a person must have room; this they have in abundance in the West. In the rest of the country the Censuses gave to each inhabitant an average of only one-fourth part of a square mile, or sixteen acres.

The West abounds in everything except people. This deficit is causing no anxiety. The population is increasing more rapidly than in the remainder of the country. There was a gain of nearly 31 per cent. in the nine States and Territories known as the West between 1860 and 1890, against a gain of a little over 20 per cent. in the remainder of the country. There are no available figures of gain since 1890, but there are good reasons for believing that the increase for the past four years has been proportionately greater than it was in the previous ten.

The overflow of the more populous States must naturally come our way; and there are evidences that this overflow is increasing in volume from year to year as the people become acquainted with the advantages and resources of the region.

It would be difficult to select an equal area of opportunity in any other part of our prosperous country. The three principal resources of wealth—agriculture, mining and manufactures—are in a fine state of development, but capable of much future expansion.

This part embraces all grades of climate, and there is hardly a product of the soil in any zone but what finds hospitably within our borders. We are the producers of all kinds of fruit, grain and minerals. We can supply the whole country with all the raisins and wine it can consume. If we include Hawaii, we have cane sugar plantations under the most intelligent cultivation of any in the world, and capable of furnishing 400,000 tons per annum.

We inaugurated the manufacture of best sugar, which has now become a prominent industry with us, as it also is in some other parts of the country. To other products it is not impossible that we shall add coffee, rice, tea, cotton, silk and tobacco in some parts of our domain.

The one feature that differentiates the West from the remainder of the country is the product of the precious metals. It is appalling to think where this country would have been classed to-day in the nations of the world if the rivers and mountain fastnesses of the West had not been unlocked by the enterprise of man, and treasures of gold and silver reached to gladsome and beauty, not only this country, but, indirectly, all other countries.

It is our boast that we have upwards of $2,000,000,000 in gold and silver coin and bullion. From whence did we get this money? Practically every dollar of it came from the Western States and Territories, and very much more—the consolidated value of the silver and gold products of this country for the past few years has averaged in round numbers $100,000,000 per annum, and the total value of
this product, to the close of the current year, is estimated at $4,582,220,000.

Practically all the gold and silver has been produced since the discovery of gold in California in 1847, or within a period of fifty-seven years. The total domestic product prior to 1847 was less than $25,000,000.

Reckoning silver at its coinage value, the Mint Director gives the total product of gold and silver to the close of 1902 at $4,417,220,000. At least 90 per cent. of all the gold and nearly 98 per cent. of all the silver produced in this country since 1849 have come from the nine States and three Territories banked against the Pacific Coast and classed as the West.

But these are not the only metals for which this country is distinguished. The production of copper, lead and a score of other minerals has been notably large. The chief smelters deposits in the United States also lie within this area, and the value of the quicksilver has added materially to the wealth of the country. The borax deposits in California and Nevada have contributed most liberally in the same direction.

All these mining industries throughout the whole territory are still in flourishing condition and likely to last for many years. In the last five years liberal supplies of earth oil have been discovered and worked.

The fisheries are another valuable industry, in which large amounts of capital are invested, with good returns. The Coast boasts of the finest salmon streams in the world, and the boa is not an idle one, as can be attested in all parts of this country and in foreign lands.

The raising of cattle and sheep and high bred horses is a prominent feature of these States and Territories. It is literally true that these sheep and cattle roam on a thousand hills.

In the line of manufactures great progress has been made. A shipyard that can turn out such notable vessels as the "Olympia," "the Oregon," and the "Chitose" in the Japan service, which recently overhauled and destroyed the "Navil," the pride of the Russian Navy, is one single illustration of what has been and what can be done in manufactures. The discovery of fuel oil in abundance has not only been of great help to manufac
tories, but also to the transportation interests centered in the West.

To facilitate activity in all these and various other industries there are numerous and well established mercantile and banking institutions of all classes, private and corporate.

Ampire means are at hand for fostering and distributing all the products of the soil, whether subsisting in or above ground, animate and inanimate; all that pertains to the fisheries, large or small; all of the thirty or more different products of the mines, and all of the scores of manufactured articles, from the minutest and least valuable to the mammoth steamer whose value goes into the millions.

Much might be said of the lumber industry. The timber is of the finest and tallest description, and there is plenty of it. It is being used in the erection of buildings of all sizes and for all kinds of purposes along the West Coast. Chicago in the West.

The present conditions of the West are causing no uneasiness. Some of the crops in some parts are not as large as usual, but better prices are being realized, which, as a rule, fully offset any deficiency in volume. It is probable that a lessened growth of grain will be sent on the long voyage to Europe, which mitigates to some ex
tent against the interest of ship owners, but that mis
fortune falls on non-residents.

Trade with Pacific ports is steadily increasing, es
cially with the Far East. The introduction of a num
ber of large steamers, with more to come, is good ev
dence of the present and prospective increase in the freight trade of the Coast. In a word, the condition of general trade appears to be sound and fairly prosperous.

Mr. W. H. Byrerts, of Secorco, N. M., spoke as follows:

"Remarks of Mr. Byerls.

While listening to the beautiful recitals from members of the different States giving a short sketch of their great development and resources, one gentleman functions himself in the fairylands in company with Col. Mulbery Sellers. Now comes the should-be State of New Mexico, with a boast of which she is proud, or her resources, which are just as necessary and as essential to the growth and prosperity of this great nation as the growing of corn, wheats and cotton. The raising of horses, mules, cattle and Angora goats is so healthful and profitable in New Mexico that the ranchman can go to his bank and borrow the necessary money to stock his ranch, paying the banker the handsome profit of 12 per cent. per annum, and with proper care said ranchman is due of decent four years and in a healthy, prosperous condition. This industry, which is only one of the many, is so profitable that it brings handsome returns to both borrower and lender. One of the great blessings of New Mexico I would love to mention, and that is her pure mountain-made air, which has blessed humanity and sent thousands home well and happy, and they are to-day singing praises to the pure mountain-made air of New Mexico, and of this she has millions. On tiptoe and with outstretched hands she invites you to come and partake.

Remarks of Mr. William George. President of the Old National Bank of Aurora, Ill.

On behalf of the Illinois Bankers' Association, I wish to invite you before there is an adjournment to attend that Convention in St. Louis on the 19th and 19th of October. It seems to the Illinois Convention that it would be a good time for those of you who contemplate attending the great fair at St. Louis to come on those two days. Any of you who desire to reserve rooms, I know, can secure them by writing to Mr. Frank P. Judson, Chi
cago, Secretary of the Illinois Bankers' Association, for he has reserved 500 rooms for the Illinois Convention.

I hope that, inasmuch as so few of the delegates are here at present at this speaking, that those of you who are here will extend the invitation of the Illinois Bankers to all of your friends.

The meeting thereupon adjourned until Friday, Sep

tember 16, 1904, at 10 A. M.

THIRD DAY'S PROCEEDINGS.

Friday, September 16, 1904.

The Convention was called to order at 10.15 a.m. Prayer was offered by the Rev. J. M. Farrar, First Reformed Church of Brooklyn.

The President: The next order is unfinished busi
ness. Authorization was given yesterday to fill in the names of the Vice-Presidents from States, and if any of the delegations desire to make any changes or to hand any names in that have not been done, they may be sent to the table here. Unfinished business is the next order.

Nothing appearing, it is my pleasure now to intro
duce to the Convention Mr. W. E. Schoewe, Manager of the Bankers' Department of the Credit Indemnity Company, of St. Louis, Mo., who will address you on "Credit Insurance; Its Value to the Banker;" "Credit Insurance: Its Value to the Banker;" by W. E. Schoewe.

[Mr. Schoewe's address in full will be found on pages 1143 and 1144 of this publication.]

The President: You will next have the pleasure of listening to the Hon. Ellis H. Roberts, Treasurer of the United States, on "The Strength and Weakness of American Finance;" "The Strength and Weakness of American Finan
c,e," by Hon. Ellis H. Roberts, Treasurer of the United States.

[Mr. Roberts' address in full will be found on pages 1154 to 1157 of this publication.]

BANKING SECTION.
Mr. Chairman, were it not for remarks made here to-day I should not come and appeal to you or speak to this Convention. For the first time, I think, in the history of the American Bankers' Association, although having been a member for many years, I appear upon its rolls. I came yesterday after I consulted the white business men of the South, and it was upon their advice that I occupy a seat in these halls. I wish to say, Mr. Chairman, that I am a product of the Southern soil, educated there; I served six years on the Board of Aldermen under Democratic control, and while associated there with white men I have yet for the first time to recognize any discrimination on account of my race, color, or religion. I wish, Mr. Chairman, to emphasize the fact that there is no disagreement between the better class of colored people of the South and the better class of white people of the South. You told us to eschew politics and go to business. We have followed your advice. We knocked at the door of religion; when it opened we saw that the pathway led straight to Heaven and we were not ready to go there. We tried the doors of politics and we have come out of that door upon your suggestion, and upon the suggestion of the business element, the white element of the South, we are knocking at the door of finance, and this Convention is sitting in the Southern capital. We have knocked on, and will lead us on until we have reached a certain limit, I presume, and until that time will serve as our guide. I am here, then, Mr. Chairman, that we are come in search of information. God knows the people that I represent have had a hard time of it. We have nine million people in this country. Four million, according to the census reports, are bread winners, and it is on behalf of the bread winner that I am here to-day. We recognize a colored man in the South as is respectable in the community as he is respectable to himself and becomes valuable to the community and pays his taxes. We have found the true way to reach the pinnacle of success is through the avenues of finance, and so we have the savings bank in Richmond, and it is authorized to do business, and nowhere in the administration of that have we found a white man who will refuse to help us when we ask him for our support to give encouragement with the influence in his power.

It was true, Mr. Chairman, that I thought that there would be no objection to my presence here to-day. Certainly at the South they would not object. I presume the East and the North would afford me free permission and permit me to sit here and enjoy the flow of oratory which has encouraged my soul, and when I awoke from the spell I wondered if at last I had not reached Heaven. We intend to rise to higher things. The only thing that is handicapping us in the South is the bad class of negroes, and if we could throw that out we would be that much better off. I wish you to understand that the conflict between the better class of white men and the better class of colored men, that there is no conflict, and after listening to that magnificent oratory as it flowed like a river from his lips, I cannot help but feel it more.

I wish to call your attention to the fact that we have ten colored banks in the United States to-day, and there are only ten in the Southern States. I wish you to understand that not one of those colored banks can exist without the money of the Southern whites who they are incorporated by them, and that they give them the authority to do business. I wish you to understand that they have an accrued capital of $235,000, with deposits aggregating $390,000. I tell you the white man of the North has never been able to understand the difference between a bank and this money. In the South it is that the white men get up here and talk about the negro they mean the Northern negro; they do not mean our kind. (Applause.) We are just as much opposed to that kind as they are.

As I told you a minute ago, if you will examine the Census Report No. 8, just sent out, you will find that there are nine million negroes in this country, and that the nine million there are four million bread winners. Now, what are the bread winners doing? Do you know that we produce $172,128,000 worth of crops a year? Do you know that of the Southern produce of $756,321,989 of the tobacco crop? Do you know that we negroes produce $4,148,039 worth of wheat at 70 cents a bushel and that the market has gone to $1.20 a bushel? Do you know that we produce $40,956 worth of oats? Do you know that we produce $215,926 worth of rye? Do you know that we produce $70,580 worth of potatoes, and that we produce $2,691,829 worth of sweet potatoes, and do you know that we produce $46,687,493 worth of corn, making a total production of the negroes of the South of $232,457,515 per year? I have not included the production of rice, for in 1900 we produced 20,574,750 bushels of rice, and we produced 200,287 lots of hay, and we produced 20,055 bushels of buckwheat in 1902, demonstrating that these are the breadwinners of the South, and that there is no law between this class of people and the other class of white people to which you can refer.

Now, our distinguished friend from Georgia—oh, how I like to hear a white man from the South, how I like to hear him talk! There is something musical in his voice even though he abuses it. I will tell you to-day that we have some of the best material that you ever saw from the South. Take your distinguished Secretary there, Colonel James Branch, you cannot find a finer specimen of manhood anywhere.

If I have said anything that will give you a better opinion of the poor blacks in the South, if I have created a better impression, that is something. I want you to understand that we are separate from the hoodlums of both races. I want you to understand that there is no trouble between the better class of whites and blacks. I want you to understand absolutely the negro is working out his own salvation; he must do it if he hopes to rise to higher things. Pardon this allusion to Richmond; they own there $1,100,000 worth of property. In the State of Virginia they own $18,400,000 worth of property, and pay taxes thereon. These are official reports I am citing from. I am not dreaming in any fantastic figures; I have enough authority for all I say. I also wish you to understand that the colored people own $409,400,000 worth of farm lands and products; that they own over $700,000,000 worth of property in the United States to-day.

Therefore, I ask you to think of the negro as a white man will. The only thing is, they are a little slower about the things that concern the people of a lower strata. But a man of the John W. Daniel type never begrudges a humble character like myself.

(Mr. Mitchell made some other remarks at this time which were not intelligible from the platform, as he dropped into dialect and talked very fast.)

In the coming years remember that we are all Christians. I propose to come to those who would say unkind things of our class, meaning the other class of negroes, we would say, in the words of the Saviour, when the last day shall come, when the evening sun is setting for the last time, when my last day shall come I shall say in His words: "Father, forgive them, for they know not what they do." (Applause.)

A Member: It gives me a great deal of pleasure to hear from our colored brother of Virginia. He has stated it right. There is no trouble between the best classes, between my race and the other race. In Georgia. All working men, white or black, are given the right hand of fellowship, and the same chance to get up as the other. It is the lower class man that I think makes trouble that makes it hard. I am very glad to hear this sentiment from Richmond. I am very glad, indeed, to hear him speak, and as a Southern man born and bred in the South, that I love one part of this country just as much as I do the other. He has stated the facts, and I am glad
be is here, and I am glad to have him here. He said it in a way that speaks well for himself.

The President: I am sure it is not necessary for the Chair to say that the gentleman must see himself, Mr. Mitchell, of Richmond, Va., he must be aware himself that no one has been accorded during this Convention a better reception than he has at the present time. It was perfectly evident that he threw open his proceedings, and in the matter of oratory it was very clear that there is no color in the South.

SUGGESTION FOR AN INTERNATIONAL COTTON SPINNERS' CONVENTION.

Colonel Lowry: Gentlemen, you will pardon me, but I have a communication that I wish to ask the Secretary to read. It pertains especially to the cotton raising and the cotton manufacturing in that section of our great country, and I ask the permission of the President and you gentlemen to have it read.

Colomn Branch thereupon read the letter referred to, as follows:

DEAR COL. LOWRY:

In view of the world-wide importance of the South's cotton crop and the dependence of Europe upon this country for its supply of this staple as the foundation of its largest manufacturing industry, I recently suggested that an international cotton spinners' convention be held in the South in order to enable the spinners of Europe to study in person the cotton growing and cotton manufacturing possibilities of the South. This suggestion met with a quick response from the leading cotton manufacturers of New England and the South, as well as from the Government of the Southern States, appreciating the importance of having a visit to the South from the cotton spinners of Great Britain, also wrote me urging the matter be pushed by Mr. Edward Atkinson, of Boston, immediately became so much interested in the matter that he wrote to a number of friends in England calling their attention to the suggestion and urging that a large delegation of spinners come over this fall and make a personal study of the subject. Among those to whom he wrote was Mr. Scott, editor of the Manchester Guardian, and likewise a member of Parliament. At Mr. Atkinson's suggestion I wrote Mr. Scott and suggested that if the cotton spinners of England favorably received the invitation to visit South of America and if an invitation be extended to them from the cotton people and other leading business organizations of the South. Other friends took up the matter with their English correspondents, and yesterday I received a cable from the Manchester Guardian stating that the English cotton manufacturers would probably accept an official invitation if extended from the American cotton trade. In view of these facts Mr. S. F. B. Morse, President of the Southern Cotton Corporation, and a few other friends interested in the up-building of this country have invited me to join in the invitation to the Southern bankers in attendance upon this convention to an informal dinner at Sherry's, Friday evening at 7.30. In order that while spending a few weeks in foreign parts they may in the name of the banks here representing all parts of the South may in such a gathering express through an invitation to the cotton spinners of Europe the hopes of the South for speedy and lasting trade.

I am, very truly yours,

RICHARD H. EDMUNDS,
Editor Manufacturing Record.

RESOLUTIONS OF THANKS.

Col. Powers: Mr. President and gentlemen of the Convention, our stay here in New York has been so thoroughly pleasant that I think we are under some duty to give expression to what we may feel on the occasion, and while I confess I have not put myself in the attitude where I might express my real feelings as I would like to, I have written the following, which is subject to your amendment at will:

In recognition of the ability, skill and absolute fairness of our most worthy president, Mr. Higelow, we desire to put on record our most decided appreciation of the efforts of the various local committees and members of the Association in Great New York for the charming manner in which they have dispensed the most lavish and generous hospitality, in which there was nothing overdone and nothing left undone, for our comfort and pleasure, giving assurance of a really true welcome. We are not unmindful of how much the press, the telegraph and telephone companies have contributed to make this occasion so thoroughly successful, and to them we make our acknowledgments with thanks.

I move the adoption of these resolutions. Motion seconded and unanimously carried.

NOMINATIONS AND ELECTIONS.

The President: Unless there is some further discussion on practical banking, I call for the report of the Committee on Nominations:

The report is as follows:

NEW YORK, September 16th, 1904.

Gentlemen of the Convention:

The Committee on Nominations desires to report the result of its deliberations, and for President of the American Bankers' Association tender you the name of E. F. Sweitzer, President of the First National Bank of Kansas City, Missouri.

FOR FIRST VICE-PRESIDENT.


FOR MEMBERS OF THE EXECUTIVE COUNCIL FOR A TERM OF THREE YEARS.

Clark Williams, Vice-President U. S. Mortgage & Trust Co., New York.

G. B. Whiston, Vice-President National City Bank, New York.

John Perrin, President American National Bank, Indianapolis, Ind.

Grier Hersh, President Young National Bank, York, Pa.

John T. Dismukes, President First National Bank, St. Augustine, Fla.

VICE-PRESIDENTS.

Alabama—James H. Flitt, President City National Bank, Tuscaloosa.

Alaska—H. M. Behrens, Banker, Juneau.

Arizona—S. F. Sullenger, First National Bank, Bisbee.

Arkansas—W. H. Langford, President Citizens' Bank, Pine Bluff.

California—E. McQuillan, President San José Safe Deposit Bank, San José.

Colorado—M. D. Thatcher, President First National Bank, Pueblo.

Connecticut—E. G. Sanford, President City National Bank, Bridgeport.

Delaware—Oliver Nowland, President Equitable Guarantee & Trust Co., Wilmington.


Florida—Edward W. Lane, President Atlantic National Bank, Jacksonville.

Georgia—J oseph T. Maddox, Vice-President Maddox-Rucker Banking Co., Atlanta.

Idaho—George D. Ellis, President Capital State Bank, Boise.


Indiana—Marvin L. Scott, Cashier Stowen County Bank, Angola.

Indian Territory—Frank S. Gema, President First National Bank, McAlester.

Iowa—L. F. Potter, President First National Bank, Harlan.

Kansas—C. G. Chancellor, President Citizens' State Bank, Medicine Lodge.


Massachusetts—W. F. Hills, Vice-President Traders' National Bank, Lowell.

Michigan—Morris L. Williams, President Commercial National Bank, Muskegon.

Minnesota—C. D. Griffith, President First National Bank, Sleepy Eye.

Mississippi—C. W. Troy, Cashier Bank of Tupelo, Tupelo.

Missouri—W. Darrin Pittman, Bank Officer Mississippi Valley Trust Co., St. Louis.

Montana—Peter B. Moss, President First National Bank, Billings.

Nebraska—A. L. Clarke, President First National Bank, Hastings.


New Hampshire—W. I. Mason, Cashier Keene National Bank, Keene.
New Jersey—Uial H. McCarter, President Fidelity Trust Company, Newark.
New Mexico—Charles R. Edgy, Vice-President First National Bank, Alamogordo.
Ohio—George Buckenberger, President Atlas National Bank, Cincinnati. Oklahoma—Wm. E. Hodges, Cashier First National Bank, Stillwater.
Rhode Island—Horatio A. Hunt, Cashier American National Bank, Providence.
South Carolina—J. A. Law, President Central National Bank, Spartanburg.
South Dakota—H. W. Loomis, Vice-President Merchants' Bank, Faulkton.
Tennessee—C. A. Lyerly, President Chatannoga National Bank, Chattanooga.
Virginia—F. E. Jones, President Dominion National Bank, Bristol.
West Virginia—J. J. Bayha, Cashier German Bank, Wheeling. Wisconsin—George N. Frits, Cashier First National Bank, Racine.
Wyoming—John D. Freeborn, Cashier Stock Growers' National Bank, Cheyenne.
Hawaii—Cecil Brown, President First National Bank, Honolulu.
Mr. J. J. Sullivan: Mr. Chairman and gentlemen of the Convention, the Nominating Committee, composed of the delegates from the State Associations throughout the country, desires to present the names of the following gentlemen for membership in the Executive Council of the American Bankers' Association:

T. J. Fletcher, Cashier First National Bank, Marshalltown, Iowa.
H. R. Pancher, Cashier Union National Bank, Cleveland, Ohio.
William George, President the Old Second National Bank, An- nora, Ill.
L. B. Parley, Cashier Merchants' & Planters' Parley National Bank, Montgomery, Ala.
P. R. Marshall, Vice-President National Bank of Commerce, St. Louis, Mo.
A motion was made that the Secretary cast a ballot for all present for the officers and members nominated. The motion was seconded, and unanimously carried. The Secretary: I take pleasure in casting the ballot for the names mentioned. The President: The Secretary having cast the ballot, and so reported, the Chair declares these gentlemen named elected:
For President, E. F. Swinney: for First Vice-President, John L. Hamilton.

BETTERMENT OF CONSULAR SERVICE.

Colonel Lowry: I will read a little resolution that I would like to have passed upon:

Be it Resolved, by the American Bankers' Association, That we direct the Secretary to send copies to each of the Senators and Congressmen of the United States of the resolution made at this session relative to the Lodge bill looking to better our consular service, and a copy of this resolution urging its passage.

The President: Gentlemen of the Convention, you have heard the resolution. What is your pleasure?

On motion, duly seconded, the resolution was adopted.

The President: It is my pleasure, if you will allow me one moment, to announce that there is present with us to-day, although I think he has gone out of the hall now—I simply want to make a reference to the matter before you—that Mr. William G. Deshler, of Columbus, Ohio, who was one, and I think, perhaps, the only living charter member of this Association, is with us.
lie. I want to again thank you for this high honor that you have conferred upon me.

Ex-President Bigelow: Mr. President, to make one little thing clear in my record, I announced a few minutes ago that in my opinion Deshler was the only living charter member of the Association. I have been mistaken, and find it to my great surprise, because I must present to you now a Kentuckian, I believe, to am went must big did. Mr. Logan C. Murray, who is a living charter member.

(Before Mr. Murray spoke Mr. Walker Hill spoke.)

Mr. Walker Hill: Mr. President, and gentleman of the Convention, we have a gentleman here from Milwau-kee, and I would like to see him. Stand up.

(Mr. Bigelow stood up.)

Here he is. Homily and gentlemen of the jury, I have a prisoner at the bar and I want to make my charge, and after I have made it I will leave it to you whether or not he is guilty or not guilty. On arriving here Monday night I went to him and said: "Mr. Bigelow, I want ten minutes of the American Bankers' Association's time. He says: "Will you, if I may be so bold, ask me what is the subject?" I said: "On education, and I want to be recognized after the Committee on Education has made its report." He says: "All right; I will see that you get that ten minutes." I did not get that ten minutes. I was told by him that there was a New York banker here who had been waiting for three hours for his turn to speak to, and he refused to recognize me, but with true Missouri politeness I demand recognition and got on this platform to tell you about the backbone of this country, Missouri, and the heart of the world, St. Louis, and was interrupted three times by this Tom Reed, Jr., and by that Secretary of yours, Colonel James Branch. He says: "I cut it short, cut it short, cut is short." You did not hear that, but I did. It knocked every idea out of my head, and I gentleman of the jury, am going to give you just about two minutes of that speech which would have been only ten minutes, because this prisoner at the bar is not the presiding officer.

We have before you a presiding officer who has got a breadth of beard and baldness of head which shows that he is a broad man. A Missourian, born in Virginia and transplanted to Missouri—I tell you when he get that combination, as you see in the present speaker, they are hard to beat.

He makes you wonder that at the World's Fair there is a building of about six acres of ground, the Building of Education, and in that building, the only building, the only exhibit in the world's history ever had separate and distinct for education, and of that group of juries I happened to serve on one, the one on banking and insurance. The United States only had four exhibits in that group. France had 213, Germany about 40, Belgium about 40, Italy about 40, and instead of sending men like your speaker there to judge of that they have sent in Leopold Marcella, one of the greatest artists that I ever came in contact with, a man who for twenty years has used the best of his heart and his brain to teach the workman or the wage worker that he must provide in his youth for what might happen in his old age, preventing that man, he says, from being a tax on his country, and preventing that man from being what we would term a Socialist. I stayed there for one week's time and with this great mind all stored with that which would build up manhood and make them better citizens, and also the great man from Germany who also has given twenty years of his life; those men both have written books on social economies, banking and insurance particularly, which I understand have a half million circulation. We also had the gifted Dr. Careto, of Italy, and Dr. Marcella, of Belgium, and I will offer to say that if this Convention could get those gentlemen to come and hear their talk along the line that they have been doing during their lives they would pronounce our prisoner at the bar a culprit, and you would pronounce the prisoner at the bar guilty for not permitting me to get up here and sound their praises. But I am delaying you. I have no doubt that you have free lunches, free examinations and free everything here.

The object of my being here this minute is to present a bowl. I believe I was the first ex-President who ever received one of these, but whether or not, the secret I can not tell you. You must not think that we are spending your money every year in getting a new bowl, because I have not looked in my wife's jewelry to see whether mine is there or not, but I believe this is the same bowl. The prisoner at the bar had me the day before yesterday where the hair is short. I have got him to-day where the hair is long, but seriously, gentlemen, I am delaying the prisoner. He tells me that I am going to pronounce him guilty. I will say that I have served with your esteemed colleague three years on the Executive Council. There has never been a question that has come up there that if he expressed an opinion it did not have weight, for it seemed that he was a good thinker. As your presiding officer he has acted with dignity and with care. As your presiding Tom Reed he has acted with precision and judgment and without fear. I wish to present him this bowl in the name of the American Bankers' Association. I wish to say to him that 7300 bankers present it with all that feeling that comes from those who know him; and those who do not, I will say to them that he is a fine fellow, and I welcome him into that mystic circle of the bowl.

Ex-President Bigelow: My old friend Mr. Hill—I am not referring to age, but to acquaintance—talk about Southern oratory, talk about an endeavor to get about two minutes' time to present the claims of the great Ex- position at St. Louis, I tell you that while oratory is born and bred, and grace and flourishes are in Southern men, it is only when you get one born in Virginia and trans- lated to Missouri that you get the real thing. It is a good deal easier sometimes to be bold than it is to be brave, but to-day, returning as I do 7300 thanks, and that is the thanks I wish to give to every member of the American Bankers' Association. I would almost rather try to be brave to-day than to be bold. I hope that many times this will serve as a sort of a solace and a hope in that large family circle that needs sometimes to be cheered, and as I hope it will often be filled, and I see creeping around the ages in mental visions the figures of Mr. Gage, Mr. Motley, Mr. Murray, and that young charter member, Mr. Murray, and George Russell, and wanted that members, ex-presidents now, it will be a great delight and pleasure to me if in contemplating them and getting acquainted with the contents within the bowl, my eyes grow dim and I fail to recognize them, yet it will be, as Holmes so beautifully said, that "We must take the fault of a stupid skull, not of my golden bowl," and I will ever praise it and the sentiment it betokens and carries with it as long as I shall live. I thank you again and again.

Colonel Branch: Before you go I want to put on your breast a little pin that shows you have joined the army of Ex's, and this is the sign.

A member: It has always been the custom of this society to honor those to whom honor belongs, and we have now only two surviving members who constituted the Association in 1875, and I have the pleasure of introducing Mr. Deshler, of Columbus, Ohio, and Mr. Logan C. Murray.

OLD CHARTER MEMBERS.

Mr. Deshler: Gentlemen of the convention, my friend Murray and myself, I believe, are the only two living members of this original company, who organized the American Bankers' Association thirty-six years ago. In passing through New York I desired to come up and look on the faces—there are very few old faces: all young men, all modern men—and they demonstrate the growth of the banking business, as against what it was thirty years ago. That was the earning capacity of the banks you gentlemen possess in these many years. Just think of it! It is a big thing. I am not a speaker. My friend Murray is a Kentuckian, and he
was one of the "kids." We called him our kid when we organized, and if he walks up here he will tell you more about it.

Mr. Murray: I thank you very much indeed for the opportunity I have of having you look at so old a man as I am, and I want to say that I feel extremely comfortable in the company of such men as William G. Deshler. There were men of those days—all have gone, fallen wearily down, wearily down; but one man whom we delight to honor, Mr. William G. Deshler, of Columbus, Ohio, is here. I thank you very much for the privilege the President has given me of looking into the face again after so many years. I thank you.

The Secretary: I suppose the Executive Council know it, but to make sure I will state that there will be a meeting of the Executive Council in what is known as the banquet hall, a room in the Thirty-third Street Hotel, immediately after the adjournment of this Convention.

President Swinney: Is there anything else before the convention? If not we will stand adjourned.

(Thereupon, at 1 o'clock p.m., Friday, September 16, 1904, the convention adjourned sine die.)
TRUST COMPANY SECTION
AMERICAN BANKERS' ASSOCIATION,

Eighth Annual Meeting, Held in the City of New York, September 13, 1904

INDEX TO TRUST COMPANY PROCEEDINGS.

Conservatism  . . . . . . . Pages 1177 to 1179
Protection of Transfer Agents, Etc. Pages 1182 to 1185
Condition of Trust Company Reserves Pages 1186 to 1187
Trust Company Failures  . . . . . Pages 1187 to 1188
Liability in Offering Securities  . . . . Pages 1189 to 1190
Classification of Legal Decisions  . . . Pages 1190 to 1194

Detailed Proceedings Pages 1195 to 1208
Address of Welcome Pages 1195 to 1196
Reply to Address of Welcome Page 1196
Report of Secretary  . . . . . Pages 1196 to 1197
Report of Executive Committee  . . . Pages 1199 to 1201
Supervision of Trust Companies

Conservatism.

By F. H. Feins, President of the Wachovia Loan and Trust Company, Winston-Salem, N. C.

Mr. Chairman and Members of the Trust Company Section of the American Bankers' Association:

Your Executive Committee recently requested the members of the Trust Company Section to give their views as to the subjects that should be considered at this meeting, and the replies received revealed quite a desire on the part of many that there should be an earnest consideration of the proper rights for the Trust Company and a consideration of the abuses that have been made of their extraordinary privileges. In obedience to this wish of the members the Executive Committee has requested me to present some thoughts on the subject of "Conservatism," and I have undertaken the task the more cheerfully because I find myself in thorough sympathy with the committee and believe it to be a subject that can well command the serious attention of this assembly.

I deem it unnecessary in this presence to discuss the question what a Trust Company is, or the fact that the Trust Company has become an integral and an abiding part of our body politic, but for special reasons I desire to refer to its origin and the ideas upon which it was formed.

The rapid and unprecedented accumulation of wealth, the great enlargement of business operations by the substitution of the corporation for the individual, and the demand for trustees and agents of responsibility equal to the handling of stupendous affairs led to the formation of the modern Trust Company. It is in contemplation of law a person, "an artificial being, invisible, intangible and existing only in contemplation of law," and yet is clothed with ample powers and all the authority necessary to do anything an individual can do in the positions of executor, administrator, guardian, trustee, assignee, committee or agent. In its conception the Trust Company was designed to stand in these relations and nothing more, and in point of fact it departs from its proper and fundamental sphere whenever it fails to perform or exceed these Trust relations. It is the creature of legislative enactment and its powers are confined to the express privileges granted in the charter. It can do only what the charter specifies, and for that reason Trust Company charters are made very broad and very liberal, and it is right that they should be so, for the company must be prepared to do any and all things necessary for the conduct or winding up of any business or estate that may come to it for management or for settlement.

These corporate agents or trustees have filled their appointed places so well and so satisfactorily that they are to-day recognized as necessities, and their marvelous growth in number and size is an unmistakable evidence of their usefulness and importance.

The underlying ideas upon which they rest, the sentiment that gives to them their marvelous growth, is the absolute integrity and the great financial responsibility with which they manage and protect the interests committed to them, and anything that in any way detracts from the one or subtracts from the other weakens their foundation and saps their strength.

It is an interesting study to see how the older and worthier institutions have won their honored positions in their respective communities on these lines, and to apply their standards to the many that are springing up all over the country. The revelation is not in all respects reassuring to the Trust Company as such, and exhibiys the fact that not a few have wandered or fallen from the standard set up.

I have carefully studied the charter and the business as it is conducted of not a few and find that there are companies operating under the name that are not Trust Companies at all. From the character of the business they do they might with equal propriety be called Land Companies, Life or Fire Insurance Companies, Bonding Companies, Guarantee Companies, Building and Loan Associations, or, perhaps, in some cases, simply Promoters.

I have sought for the cause of this apparent change of business under the Trust Company name and find that in some cases it has been occasioned by circumstances, while in other cases it is the result of a deliberate purpose.

The growth of the Trust Company business is slow and sometimes very discouraging, and there comes to not a few the necessity for some means of support. This fact, and the temptations to obtain profits, cause the management to take up whatever presents the surest and quickest returns consistent with the charter. It may be, and it most frequently is, banking in its various forms, again the buying and selling of real estate, the
dealing in stocks and bonds, or the promotion of some enterprise, and thus the Trust Company becomes in reality a Bank of Discount, a Real Estate Company, a Broker's Office, or something else. The name of the company and the chartered privileges in some cases indicate that the company was designed for other lines of business and should never have been named a Trust Company at all. It is called a Real Estate and Trust Company and does a Land Company business, or an Insurance and Trust Company and does an insurance business, or a Banking and Trust Company and does a banking business, or a Fidelity and Trust Company and does a bonding business. Besides this we find that not a few State Banks have been chartered with Trust Company privileges, and that some are seeking to do a business peculiar to the Trust Company.

It is not necessary to argue to this body that this condition of affairs is not as it should be, that it will, sooner or later, not only bring confusion, but may bring discredit upon the name of Trust Company and the business it represents.

I do not want to be understood as saying that it is not perfectly right for any one to engage in either or all of the different forms of business enterprise that have been in different ways combined with those of the Trust Company, but simply that the company, although it may be properly and properly conducted by the Trust Company in the capacity of agent, but I do desire to clearly present the anomalous position the Trust Company as such is getting into when other institutions are assuming to do its legitimate business and all kinds of business enterprises are being conducted under the Trust Company name, and this to such an extent that it has been derisively called the department store of finance.

The fact that the charters granted to Trust Companies must be very liberal and their terms expressed in language broad enough to cover all cases that may arise under the various positions they may be called upon to fill, the fact, also, that the Trust Company has grown rapidly in size and influence, put many varying and diverse conditions, and the further fact that the management in very many cases has been inexperienced, is no doubt an explanation why the business has become so general in its character and in some cases so foreign to its original purpose.

Without attempting to analyze any special case, or even considering the various conditions that may influence any particular business, allow me to present the Trust Company as an abstract proposition, created for a specific and special work and then see what it can and cannot with propriety undertake to do.

The Trust Company was conceived and organized to take the place of individuals in those fiduciary relations commonly administered, soliciting, directing, trustee, assignee, committee or agent; it will be observed that each and every one of these are positions of trust that are given or created either by an individual, a corporation or a court of equity; that the duties incident to these positions compels the Trust Company to labor for and on behalf of persons or corporation, and that the character of the position is such that the utmost good faith is required, and nothing inconsistent with the duties assumed or adverse to the interests involved would appear permissible. Broadly speaking, the Trust Company acts for others and not for itself. It serves the interests it represents, and gets its compensation for the services rendered. To engage, therefore, in a business incompatible with these relations would seem to be foreign to the purposes for which it was intended.

Allow me to illustrate this briefly by referring to the Insurance business that is sometimes found to be associated or combined with that of the Trust Company. The obligations assumed in their contracts by Life, Fire and Fidelity Insurance Companies, are so numerous and make repeated times to the certain payment of uncertain risks and losses that may, or may not, be compensated for by the premiums charged and the careful administration of their affairs. This hazard exists in each line of Insurance. It carries the newer companies to destruction by scores, and the statement is being constantly made that the new companies suffer still more from the reason of their immense resources that help to carry them along. Be this as it may, the risk is there, the hazard must be met, and this is incompatible with the idea of a business that should, if possible, be free from all risk and all hazard. I met this question in a practical way when I became associated with the Wachovia Loan and Trust Company of Wilmington-Salem, North Carolina, of which I am president. At that time the charter contained a clause authorizing it to do all forms of Fidelity business; at the next session of the general assembly a special act was passed, at our instance, repealing this particular clause, and for the reason that it was thought to be improbable that anyone, upon serious reflection, would select as executor of his estate or guardian of his children a Trust Company that was known to be selling its endorsement to all classes of persons in official or clerical positions. The Trust Company can, however, with perfect propriety and profit, act as the agent of Insurance Companies, and as such write insurance for them; the difference being that in this case it maintains its position as an agent, for which it cannot be compensated, and not assume the risks of a business foreign to its own.

This illustration has been purposely chosen to emphasize the gross impropriety of a Trust Company becoming a promotor, or entering the field of speculation in any way; for if it cannot properly enter the foreign field of a legitimate business like that of insurance, it certainly should not attempt to enter that of speculation in any form.

It is more difficult to specifically outline just how far the company can go on those lines that are manifestly within its sphere, in all of which it may go to extremes; and still more difficult to follow it along lines in which it can act as agent. As an illustration allow me to refer to the Banking Department, which is most intimately and correctly incorporated as a part of every Trust; Company and in which the capital of the company, as well as the funds of its estates and customers, are managed and correctly accounted for; it is thoroughly equipped in its machinery and knowledge of business affairs to admirably conduct this business, and it seems perfectly proper for this department to receive and solicit deposits, upon which a small rate of interest is paid, if in turn this money can be safely and profitably invested; but there is grave doubt in the minds of some as to whether the Trust Company, through its Banking Department, should go still farther and seek to enter the field of the regular Bank of Discount and compete for commercial business, thus putting itself in the position of a borrower, with the right of the customer to demand his money at any time and command a line of discounts at his convenience, without much regard to the interest or convenience of the institution. If the Trust Company can get along without commercial banking, it would be best for it to do so, and if it does this business, the same reserves that are required of National or State banks should be kept by them and the same system of supervision should be submitted to.

Or allow me to refer to the Loan and Bond Departments, which are important and necessary for the successful conduct of a large Trust Company business; the knowledge secured and carefully preserved by them is of the greatest value to the institution, to the estates it represents and to its customers, and yet an extension of these departments into the promiscuous buying and selling of other than safe and conservative investment securities would place the company upon dangerous ground that they cannot afford to occupy.
Or again to the Real Estate Department, which occupies a prominent place in some Trust Companies that have much of this character of business to attend to. To buy lands for the account of the company, to improve them and sell them again on easy terms of payment is certainly not wrong, yet when imprudently pushed it has frequently brought discredit and disaster, especially when these mortgage notes are sold with the absolute guarantee of the Trust Company. It was a similar business to this that broke every Trust Company in Southwest Virginia in the years from 1802 to 1806.

If time permitted, the analysis of the other departments more or less common to Trust Companies would show the excellent work accomplished by each, and also show that in each of these departments the company may go to extremes and thus bring upon the business more or less just criticism; especially this is true in the various cases where the Trust Company is called upon to act as agent, and in which, even where it is acting with perfect propriety, it may suffer reproach on account of the character or the transactions of the principals.

A great deal of profit has of late years come to certain Trust Companies through the reorganization of railroads or of large business enterprises, or the consolidation of kindred industries, and the underwriting of some of the resulting securities. This kind of business can be done with perfect propriety and much profit; the Trust Company can act as a depository for the exchange of bonds, as well as the subscription payments on the stock as it is called in; it can attend to the issue of all stocks, for which it becomes the appointed registrar, and it can take for itself, or its customer, a certain part of the resulting securities. It can even join a syndicate and agree beforehand to take a fixed amount of such securities as may not be disposed of at a satisfactory price, and participate in the profits of such a syndicate transaction, provided such securities are backed by actual values and are of such a character as to rank as investment bonds.

Opportunities have been and will be thus offered where perfectly safe and desirable bonds can be secured at prices not otherwise obtainable; but gravest dangers lurk in this class of business, and without the greatest caution the institution may find itself associated in exploiting an enterprise of doubtful merit and questionable success. It would not be wise to eliminate this class of business entirely from the sphere of the Trust Company's activities because so many of the ventures presented to them are unworthy and some of those that have been handled by them have proved to be failures. A Trust Company should consider this class of business with the greatest care and some degree of suspicion. The utmost caution should be exercised, not only in ascertaining the true merit and sound policy of the plans proposed, but also in ascertaining the character of the men presenting the project and the associates that will underwrite it. If any one of these three points is not entirely satisfactory and first class, the Trust Company should not give it consideration. It causes without risk into the danger to its best interests, because associated in any way with an unsuccessful undertaking, much less loan its fair name to anything that is not absolutely above reproach.

These illustrations do not cover the entire field of the Trust Company, but they illustrate how the expansion or the abuse of its extraordinary privileges may affect the business. Greater conservatism is certainly necessary to preserve the high character of the Trust Company, and some steps might be wisely taken by this Trust Company Section to restrict the business within certain lines and certain limitations. It might, through concerted effort on the part of its members, so influence legislation that no new Trust Company would be chartered with less than an adequate capitalization, commensurate with the size and character of the community in which it will operate, and that no outside or inconsistent powers or privileges are granted in these charters, and it can with propriety insist that greater care and conservatism be exercised by those now operating throughout the country.

The best way, perhaps, to accomplish this latter object would be to instill into the officers of its members, a disposition of the high standard of rectitude that the nature of the business requires. They should realize that their institution cannot undertake to do what an individual, acting as a trustee, cannot do, and that any act or practice that would lessen the financial standing, or lower the reputation of the individual, will also and in like manner affect the Trust Company, no matter what its capital or earning capacity may be. They should realize that the business in which they are engaged is a noble and exalted one; that there is none more so, for what business relation can be conceived as higher or holier than that of controller and conservator of the funds that support the widow and the orphan, and the financial adviser of the most innocent and confiding as well as the most needy and dependent members of society? They should realize that the word "Trust," in its original and unperverted sense, means that confidential reliance which one person bestows upon another's integrity, veracity, justice and fair dealing, and that this beautiful word stands for the business in which they are engaged. It is inscribed, as it were, in golden letters upon the banner under which the members of this section of the American Bankers' Association are advancing.

It embrazes to the world the sentiments for which the business stands. It beckons its followers onward to worthier efforts and loftier aims. It leads a way from the marshes that would entangle the business of the Trust Company with others of incompatible or of doubtful character, as well as away from the dangerous and rocky slopes of speculation and exploitation, toward the heights of unselfish and devoted service, upon which it will ever shine in its pristine simplicity and splendor.
The Protection of Trust Companies Acting as Transfer Agents and Registrars.

By Jordan J. Rollins, of Rollins & Rollins, Attorneys, New York.

The functions of transfer agent and registrar of corporate securities are not discharged to any great extent by corporations in the United States outside of the larger cities. Nevertheless, the subject of the obligations and liabilities incurred by corporations in the assumption of those duties is probably of interest to all representatives of Trust Companies wherever organized, and has, no doubt, been the subject of earnest thought on the part of each of those representatives. Therefore, it is to be hoped that a consideration of how the Trust Company may best be protected in the discharge of the important duties of those two offices will prove of common interest to you all, even though that consideration in large part dwells upon conditions as they obtain in the State where you have now met. What is true of the law of New York, or the lack of it, as regards authoritative adjudications, upon the subject which is proper to discuss, is likewise applicable to other parts of the country, subject only to slight changes or modifications; for, as will be seen, the necessity for the corporate transfer agent or registrar, and the special fitness of the Trust Company to act in those capacities, are natural consequences of general business methods, and not of mere local custom.

The New York Stock Exchange lays down the rule that "Corporations whose shares are admitted to dealings upon the Exchange will be required to maintain a Transfer Agency and a Registry office in the City of New York, Borough of Manhattan."

Both the Stock Exchange and the Legislature seem to have taken it for granted that the duties and liabilities of a transfer agent and of a registrar were so perfectly understood as to need no definition or regulation.

But a careful investigation of the subject leads to the conviction that those duties and liabilities have never been clearly fixed or determined, either by authority or custom.

While each particular instance of transfer agency is, like any other agency, created by the contract between principal and agent, that contract is generally in substance a mere resolution by the Board of Directors of the party consulted that some other company act in that capacity, and the assumption of the work by the designated company, in pursuance of such resolution. The appointment of the registrar is effected in much the same way.

The contracts thus created are, therefore, peculiarly open to variation in judicial interpretation by the inclusion of implied provisions.

The result is that the Trust Companies find themselves to-day engaged in a large and growing branch of business, the conditions of which are not plainly defined and are to be determined only by a careful consideration of the elements which have combined to create independent transfer agency and registry and make them corporate functions.

While you all know and understand the obligations of a company to its stockholders regarding the transfer of the certificates of stock, it may not be amiss, in approaching the question before us—the due protection of a Trust Company acting as transfer agent and registrar of another corporation—to direct your attention to a few cases in which the disastrous consequences that have befallen corporations through the improper transfer of their certificates are clearly set forth. These cases have been chosen with the purpose of interest to all representatives can be equally through honest mistake, negligence or fraud. In each instance, it is gratifying to note, they have had their origin not in the employment of a corporate transfer agent, but in the acts of officers of the issuing company.

A wrongful transfer may occur, for example, through a mistake of fact where the title to stock is affected by some law peculiar to a foreign State or country, or by some complicated contractual relation.

A case passed in review by the Court of Appeals of New York, in 1901, where title to stock was subject to questions arising both from peculiar foreign law and contractual conditions, affords a striking illustration of the uncertainty which may attend the task of transferring stock of a foreign corporation.

The West India Improvement Company, which was a New York corporation, mortgaged all property then owned or hereafter acquired by it to the Central Trust Company, for the benefit of bondholders. Subsequently, the Improvement Company became the owner of the stock of the Jamaica Railway Company, a corporation organized under the laws of Jamaica, but instead of delivering the certificates so acquired to the Central Trust Company, pursuant to the terms of the mortgage, pledged them with the Manhattan Trust Company as security for loans evidenced by notes.

"Subsequently, as found by the Referee," the legal title to the shares of stock was transferred to the defendant, the Manhattan Trust Company." (By this the Referee probably meant that the record of transfer was made on the railway company's books at Jamaica, or wherever they were kept.)

The Central Trust Company afterwards learned of the pledge of the stock to the Manhattan Trust Company, and immediately brought an action seeking to have the stock declared subject to the mortgage as a prior and superior lien, the certificates delivered to it, and the West India Improvement Company and the Manhattan Trust Company enjoined from making any sale or disposition of them. The Court said, in the course of its opinion:

"At the time the Improvement company made the assignment to the Manhattan Trust Company it delivered to that company the certificates of stock (which stood in its own name) with transfers and powers of attorney indorsed on the certificates and executed by the assignor. If the railway company had been a domestic corporation and the transfer of its stock subject to the law which prevails in this State, it is clear that by the delivery of such certificates and transfers the Manhattan Trust Company would have acquired the legal title to the stock, as against every one except the railway company, and being a purchaser in good faith for value to the amount of the notes which were discounted for cash, would have held it free from any lien or claim of the plaintiff."

The Court then proceeded to discuss the finding by the Referee that by the law of Jamaica the legal title to the capital stock of the railway company, as between the improvement company and the Manhattan Trust Company, could pass only by deed of transfer and did not pass by the delivery of certificates with transfers in blank duly indorsed thereon, and proceeded as follows:

"Certificates of stock are neither choses in action nor negotiable instruments; but both in England and in this country it has been sought to render dealings in stocks practicable and to secure the rights of purchasers by giving the certificates attributes of negotiability to a certain limited extent. So the rule is settled in Eng-

* Constitution of the New York Stock Exchange, Article XXXIII, Sec. 1.
* Central Trust Co. vs. West India Improvement Co. 169 N. Y., 314.
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that a purchaser in good faith, without notice, who succeeds in obtaining his transfer to be first registered had he not been there, may be subject to the same legal consequences that the original owner is liable for the acts of his agents.

If the instruments which the Manhattan Trust Company obtained from the improvement company before the advance of the money on the notes, were sufficient to enable the Trust company by its own act, or at its own volition, to acquire a legal title to the stock, then, upon such transfer to the books of the railway company, the title of the Trust Company became indefeasible.

But the Court did not attempt to decide whether in fact the title was indefeasible, as a new trial was necessary in any event.

In a case in the United States Circuit Court it appeared that the stock of an Arkansas corporation had been pledged in New York. Under the New York law the pledge would have been good, but under the Arkansas law its validity was doubtful.

The Court stated, quoting the United States Court of Appeals:

"Whatever the general principles of international law in relation to assignments of personal claims may be, the validity of a transfer of stock is governed by the law of the place where the corporation is created."

Although the liability of the issuing corporation was not questioned, the Court of the circuit court, it clearly followed the rule laid down in each of those cases, and that no agent of the corporation was acting outside the terms of his actual authority, nor the fact that the stock so issued was void, nor that the company itself had no power to issue that amount of stock, was any defense to the claims of the holders, because the company had put its agent in a position to perpetrate the fraud while seeming to act within the scope of his authority.

The responsibility of the issuing company for the due registration and transfer of its own stock, as illustrated by the cases I have quoted, is briefly stated in the words of the United States Supreme Court, in another case, as follows:

"The officers of the company are the custodians of its stock books, and it is their duty to see that all transfers of shares are properly made, either by the stockholders themselves or persons having authority from them. If, upon the presentation of a certificate for transfer, they are at all doubtful of the identity of the party offering it to them, or if not satisfied of the genuineness of a power of attorney produced, they can require the identity of the party in the one case, and the genuineness of the document in the other, to be satisfactorily established before allowing the transfer to be made. In either case they have the right to require the certificate of title to be presented, and in many instances they may be misled without any fault of their own, just as the most careful person may sometimes be induced to purchase property from one who has no title, and who may perhaps have acquired its possession by force or larceny. Neither the absence of blame on the part of the officers of the company in allowing unauthorized transfer of stock, nor the good faith of the purchaser of stolen property, will avail as an answer to the demand of the true owner." The limit of the liability thus described by the United States Supreme Court is nicely determined in a decision of the Supreme Court of Massachusetts. In that case an executor pledged stock of the estate which he represented, as collateral for a loan to another. The Old Colony Railroad, the corporation which issued the stock, after ascertaining that the executor had absolute power of sale, recorded the transfer and issued a new certificate in the name of the bank making the loan. On default in the payment of the note the bank sold the stock at auction.

In an action subsequently brought to compel the railroad company to issue a new certificate for the benefit of the estate it was held that, although the act of the executor in transferring the stock as he did was fraudulent, and the certificate was not bound to look beyond the power of transfer in order to find out the purpose for which the transfer was made.

The Court said, in part:

"When a transfer of stock is presented to a corporation it is bound at its peril to see that it is a genuine transfer by one who has power of disposition over the stock."

And citing several Massachusetts cases on that point, continued:

"If it issues a certificate upon a forged or unauthorized transfer, the real owner retains his property in the hands of the corporation."


† New York & New Haven R. Co. vs. Schuyler et al., 17 N. Y., at p. 592.
no authority to issue a certificate of stock except upon the surrender and cancellation of a previously existing valid certificate and the signature of the president and treasurer first obtained to the certificate to be issued; and that these words were correctly within the knowledge of the secretary, and the issue of the certificate in due form was a misrepresentation by the secretary and transfer agent that these conditions had been complied with, and that the facts existed upon which his right to act depended. It was a certificate apparently made in the course of his employment as the agent of the corporation, and within the scope of the general authority conferred upon him, and the defendant is under an implied obligation to make indemnity for the loss sustained by the negligent or wrongful exercise of his officers of the general powers conferred upon him."

The Court further said:

"The in testamontium clause asserted that the defendant had caused that particular certificate to be signed by its president and countersigned by its treasurer and transfer agent and sealed with its corporate seal February 6, 1885. It is very clear that under the regulations adopted by the defendant, and pursuing the mode of procedure which it prescribed, the final act in the issue of a certificate of stock was performed by its secretary and transfer agent, and that when he countersigned it and affixed the corporate seal and delivered it with the intent that it might be so held, it must be regarded, so long as it remained outstanding, as a continuing affirmation by the defendant that it had been lawfully issued, and that all the conditions precedent upon which the right to issue it depended had been duly observed. Such is the effect necessarily implied in the act of countersigning. This word has a well defined meaning both in law and the lexicon. To countersign an instrument is to sign what has already been signed by a superior to authenticate by an additional signature, and usually has reference to the signature of a subordinate in addition to that of his superior, by way of authentication of the execution of the writing to which it is affixed, and it denotes the complete execution of the papers." (Citing Worcester's Dictionary.) "When, therefore, the defendant's secretary and transfer agent countersigned and sealed this certificate and put it in circulation, he declared in the most formal manner that it had been properly executed by the defendant and that every essential requirement of law and of the by-laws had been performed to make it the binding act of the company." In this case, it is true, the action was brought against the corporate principal, and the question before the Court was not that of the liability of the officer in countersigning.

While, therefore, the language of the decision cannot be taken as authority on the latter subject, it conveys a warning that in countersigning a certificate a registrar and its transfer agent does rather more than certify the due formality of execution, and at least suggests that the registrar, in addition to the issueing company, would be liable for any damage that might be occasioned thereby.

Let us now proceed to consider the liability of a Trust Company acting as transfer agent.

In the first place, the position of the issuing corporation to the subsequent holders differs essentially from that of the agent to the principal.

The corporation, by its contract with its stockholders, grants them certain absolute rights which cannot be affected by the fraud, negligence or mistake of its agent. The agent, on the other hand, promises the principal that it will use due diligence and skill and that general law of agency qualifies by confining it within the limits of ordinary human prudence and ability, unless express provisions to the contrary are contained in the contract itself.

The transfer agent must, of course, follow the instructions of the principals in good faith, and with reasonable care and diligence.

Loyalty and good faith need no definition, while the care and diligence required by law from an agent in the

...
discharge of his agency has been stated by the courts to be.

"The same degree of care that men of ordinary prudence exercise is regard to their own affairs," and if, as in the case just decided, in the highest court of this State, with regard to directors of

moneved corporations in performing corporate business.

Under these general rules of agency, the responsibility from which the Trust Company, acting as registrar and transfer agent, relieves the issuing corporation is ap-

point.

The Trust Company assumes the task of providing and supervising men of integrity and ability to perform the duties of registration and transfer, and the liability for loss resulting from the actual negligence or dis-

honesty of its employees.

If Schuyler, for example, had been the officer of a Trust Company, acting as transfer agent, that Trust Company would in all probability have been held liable to the New York and New Haven Railroad for all the losses occasioned by his acts, and the railroad company would have lost nothing; had the assets of the Trust Com-

pany been sufficient to meet the liability.

Moreover, the chance is small that in such an event the loss would have occurred.

Transfer agency is a part of the regular business of the Trust Company—a specialty in which it has con-

stant practice, and over the details of which, and the manner of carrying on it, it must exercise active and intel-

ligent supervision.

In short, the Trust Company has skill, practice and system; its temptation to do right is greater than its temptation to do wrong; it is financially responsible and cannot mislead the public.

The law, too, has hedged it with safeguards in its own interest and in the interest of its clients.

It would seem that these conditions sufficiently meet the needs for which corporate transfer agents were re-

quired, but apparently a doubt has somehow arisen that their liability is bounded by the law of agency, and it has been suggested that they are saddled with the whole responsibility of the issuing company to its stockholders, involving the duty of absolute infallibility, and liability for the consequences of any mistake, however unavoidable, and in spite of the exercise of any degree of care and diligence.

On two occasions there have been rend before this Section of the American Bankers' Association papers up-

on the duties and responsibilities of a Trust Company acting as registrar and transfer agent. The earlier of them argued that the liability involved in the discharge of the functions of the transfer agent was measured by the ordinary judgment of a skillful and experienced registrar, the liability not being so limited. The later paper, avowedly prompted by the earlier article, urged that the measure of liability was the same in each of the two representa-

tive positions, and that the liability extended beyond that of the ordinary agent; in other words, that the contract between the Trust Company and the issuing company is, in effect, that the Trust Company will save the issuing company harmless from all improper issues and trans-

fers, whatever the exercise of the utmost care would have prevented the mistake or not. Whether this guarantee was confined to the company whose stock or bonds were the subject of transfer, or extended to the lawful owners of the securities, was not definitely stated by the author of the article, but it was evidently his opinion that should the courts be called upon to deal with the question, they might well hold a transfer agent liable to persons inter-

ested in the stock or bonds for any injury sustained through improper transfer or registration. This opinion, which was fully formed, had in the past been held, in the opinion of the old law, that which a purchaser and transferee held in the case of land, the portable evidence being supplied by the stock certificates as by the deed, and the record by the stock books, as by the books of the Register of Deeds.

Whatever these cases were the need of the formal trans-

fer, the surrender to the corporation of the old certificate, the issue by the corporation of the new certificate, and the entry of the registration upon the corporate books.

Originally these details of transfer, as engaged in as mere incidents to the business of the corporation whose stock was to be transferred, and, as such, were performed by one or other of its officers or employees dis-

charging other duties as well.

Now in many instances this portion of a company's business is entrusted to another corporation, though this is not in any case necessary, provided there by an inde-

pendent registrar.

The old by-law of the Stock Exchange providing:

"The Stock Exchange will not call or deal in any ac-


tive speculative stock of any company a registry of whose stock is not kept in some responsible bank, Trust Company, or other satisfactory agency."

While it suggests banks and Trust Companies as proper registrars, does not necessarily prohibit a corporation from keeping its own transfer agency, nor do the articles of the Constitution of the Stock Exchange by which the old proviso is superseded, namely:

"Corporations whose shares are admitted to dealings upon the Exchange will be required to maintain a trans-

fer agency and a registry office in the City of New York, Borough of Manhattan;" and that

"Both the transfer agency and the registrar must be acceptable to the Committee on Stock List, and the regis-

trar must file with the secretary of the Exchange an agreement to comply with the requirements of the Ex-

change in regard to registration."

It therefore seems fair to assume that the reason for the general adoption of independent corporate transfer agents is the purely natural one before suggested—name-

ly, the additional security to the issuing corporation and its stockholders which comes from the services of a re-

sponsible agent making this most important function a recognized part of the regular business, over that afforded by the old system with its burden of detail and risk.

Trust Companies are by the law of New York, and of other States as well, specifically authorized to act as transfer agents.

From this brief review of the situation of issuing com-

panies as regards the transfer of their stock, the probable

origin of the office of independent corporate transfer agency and registry, and the diverse theories of the obli-

gations and liabilities assumed by Trust Companies in acting in each capacity, we are naturally led to consider the protection of the Trust Company from the viewpoint of those believing in the greatest measure of liability.

Assuming, then, for the purpose of our discussion, the

* Hanna vs. Lyon, 179 N. Y., 107.
twofold nature of the obligation imposed upon Trust Companies acting as transfer agents—namely, their liability as agents for negligence and fraud, and the great liability amounting to insurance—to appreciate more clearly what it involves, let us consider a specific instance of each.

Take the case of the United States Steel Corporation, with its enormous capital stock of $1,200,000,000, of which $508,302,500 common stock and $300,140,000 of preferred stock are outstanding.

The Hudson Trust Company was specifically organized to act as the transfer agent of all the stock, the New York Security & Trust Company was designated the registrar of its preferred stock, and the Guaranty Trust Company of its common stock. Now, if the real obligation assumed by these three Trust Companies is what we have assumed for the purpose of argument, there would be a contingent liability thereby created for no less an amount than $968,442,500, the sum total of the outstanding stock, the validity of which the Hudson Trust Company, as transfer agent, and the New York Security & Trust Company and the Guaranty Trust Company, as registrars, have insured, subject, of course, to abatement through fluctuation in the market value of the securities, and, in the last analysis, contingent liability not even limited by the sum of the instantaneous sum represented by those securities. There is no Trust Company that we know of which could legally assume such an enormous liability on a single risk. As is well known, depositors and beneficiaries whose funds are entrusted to Trust Companies are jealously protected by statutory safeguards, and approved investments are authorized, and the legal reserve must be faithfully maintained. To incur, therefore, in a single transaction such an insurance liability is obviously a clear violation of every principle of business and legal prudence which we have consistently aimed at—the largest security for the public, consisting with sound business methods, the law of New York there is only one class of corporations authorized to assume an insurance risk—namely, insurance companies.

Casually Insurance Companies may guarantee "the performance of contracts other than insurance policies," and may guarantee "the validity and legality of bonds issued by any private or public corporation." * * *

Moreover, along with the authority so conferred the insurance law imposes stringent limitations quite distinct from those to which Trust Companies are subjected. For the protection of the insurers the New York general public, if it appears that a single risk shall be taken by an insurance company in an amount exceeding one-tenth of its capital stock and surplus, except where it is secured by collateral, which, of course, by so much lessens the actual risk."

It would seem, therefore, that, reverting to our illustration and proceeding on our assumption of the theory of insurance or guarantee, the Hudson Trust Company, the New York Security & Trust Company, and the Guaranty Trust Company, in undertaking to act as transfer agent and registrars, respectively, of the United States Steel Corporation, violated the letter of the spirit of the insurance law, for it is the capital and surplus of the Hudson Trust Company (a corporation organized under the laws of New Jersey, where there is a similar statute limiting the amount of authorized insurance) is only $1,440,078.71; of the New York Security & Trust Company, only $1,253,045.70, and of the Guaranty Trust Company, only $7,125,844.99; making in the aggregate $20,885,877.40, or about one forty-second of the amount of the par value of the securities of the Steel Corporation. If to the ordinary liability as agents proper, for negligence and misconduct, Trust Companies so acting have assumed the extraordinary liability of insurance or guaranty, the possible beneficiaries thereof are substantially the issuing corporations or the general investing public, as represented by the Stock Exchange. Now, it cannot be conceived that

* * General Laws of New York. Insurance, sec. 70.  
* either the investigating public, the Stock Exchange, or the issuing corporations can be well protected, if at all, by the assumption by Trust Companies of this extraordinary liability of guaranty, which, in the case of every large issuing corporation, not only violates the insurance law, but, by subjecting the entire capital of the company to a single risk, runs counter to all principles of ordinary business prudence as well.

The interest of the issuing company remains to be considered. It is, of course, liable, in any event, to those interested in its stock who may be injured by its own acts or those of its corporate transfer agent or registrar.

The Trust Company is appointed by, and receives its compensation from, the issuing corporation. It would be appropriate, therefore, in a proper case, for the issuing corporation to insure itself in a responsible company in a manner provided by law, against claims to be made against it on the ground of the invalidity of the stock, bonds or other securities it assumes to issue. Whether we consider the proper functions of a Trust Company, which is not that of insurance, or the measure of the compensation received for acting as transfer agent or registrar, which bears no relation to the insurance risk, it results that an issuing corporation should seek such security not from the Trust Company, but from those who are equipped to write insurance and properly paid therefor.

Having considered the possible results of an insurance obligation on the part of Trust Companies occupying such relations, and demonstrating, it is believed, the undesirability of such a status, the question that confronts us is whether the situation admits of remedy. An obvious but doubtful solution would be the separation of the responsibility, giving to Trust Companies ordinary liability for their own negligence or fraud, and to insurance companies the extraordinary hazard incident upon insurance. It is believed, however, that the Trust Companies have answered the purpose of registrars and transfer agents so well that there would be no discrimination in the part of the issuing corporations to incur additional expense in procuring insurance from insurance companies. A solution based upon such a separation of functions would, therefore, probably prove impracticable.

When the ingenuity of business is unequal to the task of avoiding an oppressive liability imposed by law it is usual to find the solution where logically it belongs—by the operation of the laws of the unexpected loss. Insurance liability attaches to the contract entered into between Trust Companies and the issuing corporations, for the benefit of the issuing corporations, or the public at large, and, in fact, is a responsibility which the Trust Companies are neither authorized by law to assume nor justified by the business capacity in which they act, the Trust Company is not compensated, and, further, if this extraordinary liability of the Trust Company results in only illusory security to the issuing corporations and the public at large, it must surely follow that every interest involved should seek relief in changing the law, which is seemingly to the present advantage of nobody, and which is, therefore, unreasonable. It would seem that the necessary legislation could be readily obtained. Its sole purpose would be to clarify the legal relation of Trust Companies when acting as transfer agents or registrars, a relation that at present is confessedly and dangerously confused. Instead of a single issue it could possibly be injured thereby. The liability of the issuing company to all interested in its stock would remain as at present, while the Trust Company, in the capacity of transfer agent or registrar, would be relieved from any liability save for the negligence or wilfully wrongful acts of its officers, without connection with the stock house certificates of indebtedness of the principal corporation, or in the selection or continued employment of incompetent clerks. Such a law would not impose upon the issuing corporation any other or different liabilities or obligations from those to which it would be subject should it act as its own transfer agent or have as its registrars
The owners or holders of such certificates of stock, stock, bonds or other evidences of indebtedness of corporations, with liability to such corporations and to the owners or holders of such certificates of stock, stock, bonds or other evidences of indebtedness solely for the negligence or willful misconduct of its officers in reference to such certificates of stock, stock, bonds or other evidences of indebtedness, or in the appointment or employment of its agents, clerks or employees dealing therewith.

I would propose as an amended statute, which I believe would achieve the desired result, a law of the following character:

"Section 156. Powers of Corporations. Upon the filing of any such certificate of authorization of a Trust Company the persons named therein and their successors shall thereupon and thereby become a corporation which, in addition to the powers conferred by the General and Stock Corporation Laws, shall have power,

1. To transfer, register and countersign certificates of stock, bonds and other evidences of indebtedness of corporations, with liability to such corporations and to the owners or holders of such certificates of stock, stock, bonds or other evidences of indebtedness of corporations, with liability to such corporations and to the owners or holders of such certificates of stock, stock, bonds or other evidences of indebtedness of corporations, with liability to such corporations and to the owners or holders of such certificates of stock, stock, bonds or other evidences of indebtedness of corporations, with liability to such corporations and to the owners or holders of such certificates of stock, stock, bonds or other evidences of indebtedness of corporations, with liability to such corporations and to the owners or holders of such certificates of stock, stock, bonds or other evidences of indebtedness of corporations, with liability to such corporations and to the owners or holders of such certificates of stock, stock, bonds or other evidences of}
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Statement of the Condition of Trust Companies of the United States as to Cash Reserves.

By Edward T. Perkins, General Manager of the Audit Company of New York.

Mr. Chairman and Gentleman of the Trust Company Section of the American Bankers' Association:

The figures I have the honor of presenting to your notice this morning are based upon a tabulation of statements of condition received from 893 Trust Companies, under date of June 30, this year. This tabulation shows reserves of cash on hand, of bank money at the amounting to 622 millions of dollars, against combined deposits and balances due banks and bankers amounting to the sum of 2255 millions of dollars. This amount of cash on hand and in bank is equal to 27½ per cent. of the deposits.

Five cities reported deposits aggregating 1455 millions, which sum is practically two-thirds of the country's 2½ billions of Trust Company deposits. These cities in the order of volume of deposits in each, were:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cities</th>
<th>Millions.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>New York</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chicago</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philadelphia</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boston</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pittsburgh</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In these cities the percentages of "on hand," "in bank," and of aggregate "on hand and in bank," hereinafter referred to as "Total Reserve," were found to be:

- Borough of Manhattan, 3.2 per cent. on hand, 25.2 per cent. in bank, a total reserve of 28.4 per cent.
- Borough of Kings, 8.8 per cent. on hand, 15.5 per cent. in bank, a total reserve of 24.3 per cent.
- Average for Greater New York, 5.7 per cent. on hand, 24.3 per cent. in bank, a total reserve of 29 per cent.
- Chicago, 14.2 per cent. on hand, 25.3 per cent. in bank, a total reserve of 39.5 per cent.
- Philadelphia, 3.9 per cent. on hand, 18.5 per cent. in bank, a total reserve of 22.4 per cent.

In Boston, where under a recent Clearing House regulation reserves are required of 5 per cent. on hand and 10 per cent. in bank, the holdings on June 30 were approximately:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cities</th>
<th>Per cent.</th>
<th>Per cent.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>New York</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>Washington</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cincinnati</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>San Francisco</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Providence</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>St. Louis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleveland</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>New Orleans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jersey City</td>
<td>25.3</td>
<td>Baltimore</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The equivalent in dollars of certain of these percentages, particularly in the largest cities, comprise some important aggregates. For six cities in New York City had 245 millions of Total Reserve; 18 companies in Chicago had 86 millions; 42 companies in Philadelphia had 37 millions; 18 companies in Boston had 32 millions.

In classifying the stronger companies throughout the country, according to the amounts of holdings of Total Reserve in each company, it appears that 147 companies hold between $100,000 and $200,000 of total reserve.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Companies</th>
<th>Millions.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>81 companies hold between $200,000 and $300,000.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59 companies hold between $300,000 and $500,000.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63 companies hold between $500,000 and 1 million.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 companies hold between 1 million and 1½ millions.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 companies hold between 1½ millions and 2 millions.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 companies hold between 2 and 3 millions.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 companies hold between 3 and 4 millions.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 companies hold between 4 and 6 millions.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9 companies hold between 6 and 8 millions.
3 companies hold between 8 and 10 millions.
5 companies hold between 10 and 15 millions.
2 companies hold between 15 and 20 millions.
There being 3 companies holding 22, 28 and 33 millions of dollars, respectively.

Next, referring to a tabulation made as of June, a year ago, it appears that 912 companies had 435 millions of Total Reserve as compared with 893 companies this year having 622 millions, an increase of 187 millions of dollars. The increase in the principal cities, over the Total Reserves of a year ago, are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cities</th>
<th>Millions.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>New York</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philadelphia</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chicago</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boston</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pittsburgh, Cleveland and Providence four millions each, while in no important city has there been a falling off from the amount of Total Reserve carried a year ago.

The average of last year's Total Reserve for all the companies was 20 per cent. on 2175 millions of deposits, or 7½ per cent. less than this year's 27½ per cent. on 2255 millions of deposits. In compiling the statement received both last year and this, the prevailing proportions of cash on hand and cash in bank in the various cities have been used as a basis for separating combined balances of cash on hand and in bank, with the result of estimating fairly that in both years the average of cash on hand was fully 5 per cent. throughout the country, and in connection with this figure it would be interesting and fair to consider a calculation of the so-called "double reserve." If of, say, 22 per cent. of reserve deposited by the Trust Companies with the banks, one-fifth be taken into account as reserved by the banks themselves, it appears that the banks are holding, in behalf of the Trust Companies, upward of 4 per cent. of cash which is reserved indirectly on account of the indebtedness of the Trust Companies to their depositors. The holdings of cash by the Trust Companies and the holdings of their depository banks may thus be calculated together at a figure which is upward of 9 per cent. of total Trust Company deposits.

Without detaining you with any discussion of theories as to the relative reserve necessary for Trust Companies, as compared with commercial banks, I may nevertheless submit certain points of consideration. These are that 1,000 Trust Companies in the United States are carrying total deposits with other banks and Trust Companies amounting to practically half a billion dollars, and accordingly, in their measure of support to the commercial banks of the country, are exceeded by no other class of depositors; that there should be consideration of the fact that, as a rule, there are restrictions governing Trust Company investments; that these investments and a comparatively large volume of demand loans and other quick assets make the holdings of the Trust Companies more readily convertible than is realized by these taking a radical position in regard to Trust Company reserves; that some exemption from reserve should be granted on account of the large proportion of deposits held in trust or under other conditions of inactivity, it being a fact that many Reserve deposits held by Trust Companies are possible of withdrawal only at the will of the Trust Companies themselves; that clearings among the Trust Companies are inconsiderable, as compared with bank clearings, it being a fact in New York City that Trust Company exchanges, in comparison with the exchanges of the banks, are in amount up to the end of June 25. Without considering facts of this character in greater
detail, it would seem fair to claim that these percentages of reserve, as averaged by cities and for the entire United States, reflect credit upon the management of the various Trust Companies and correspondingly answer a question much discussed of late—namely, whether the Trust Companies of the United States are maintaining among themselves a substantial measure of reserve on deposits—answering that question clearly and affirmatively.

Trust Company Failures and Their Causes.

By A. A. Jackson, Vice-President Girard Trust Company, Philadelphia, Penn.

The fact that there is no central bureau for the filing of statistics relating to Trust Companies, such as exists for National banks that are under Federal control and subject to the duty of making periodical reports to the Government at Washington, renders it a matter of some difficulty to obtain reliable data as to Trust Companies throughout the United States. This is perhaps the more apparent in connection with any search for data relating to failures among these institutions, as while due diligence will collect a mass of figures relating to live corporations, those of Companies that have suffered insolvency and passed their present activity are to be gained in many instances only from a study of the Company, a study that we need not go into here, as the writer is not in a position to examine periodically the condition of Trust Companies to ascertain that they were being managed in accordance with the existing statutes. Even now some States have no such provisions, and although for the purposes of preparing some figures for your consideration, I have approached the Executives of all the States in the Union, this fact has somewhat handicapped me and rendered it necessary that for the purposes of making the data as complete as possible I should in some instances have recourse to unofficial figures for my calculations. Indeed, I think that I have trespassed somewhat upon the good nature of my correspondents in this matter, but their uniform courtesy has possessed me of details of a scope beyond which I could not well go without becoming a nuisance to those to whom I have necessarily applied for information.

Trust Companies, of course, had their birth in the older States, New York granting the first charter to the Farmers Loan and Trust Company, then known as the Farmers Fire Insurance Company, in the year 1822, and in 1830 to the New York Life Insurance and Trust Company; while Pennsylvania in February of 1836 granted to the Pennsylvania Company for Insurances on Lives and Granting Annuities, the powers to transact a trust business, it having formerly from its charter in 1812 transacted solely the business indicated by its title. In the next month of the same year—that is, in March of 1836—the present Girard Trust Company was chartered under its then name of the Girard Life Insurance, Annuity and Trust Company of Philadelphia. These two States of New York and Pennsylvania practically monopolized the creation of Trust Companies until after the Civil War.

It may be proper before giving general figures to consider what failures have occurred in these States just mentioned which have over 60 per cent. of the aggregate resources of the Trust Companies of the country, New York with $1,200,000,000, and Pennsylvania with $1,095,000,000.

The Banking Department of the State of New York was established in the year 1851, and in 1874 Trust Companies were placed generally under the supervision of the Superintendent of Banks. Mr. Kilburn, the present incumbent of this office, has very kindly provided me with figures showing that from the date of this supervision in 1874, to December 31, 1899, seventy-five Trust Companies have been incorporated, of which only two have failed—one in 1877, as a result of fraudulent management, and one in 1891, because of imprudent conduct by its officers. In each case the capital involved was one million dollars. The figures with which I am provided do not include those of companies that may have been temporarily in trouble, nor the unfortunate necessary reorganization under a new name of a company in the city, whose short life was apparently devoted by its officers to the one end of the flotation of securities in a manner that betrayed a lamentable lack of conservatism.

Reviewing those in the last fifteen years no company in the State of New York has actually failed to the extent of not being able to resume business, and, although the company to whose failure I have referred as occurring in 1877 was a debtor to the total of seven per cent. of the aggregate assets of Trust Companies within the State of New York, we must remember that at that time, so far as was known, the depression of the panic of 1873, New York was possessed of very few Trust Companies, and a failure of the size of the corporation which then became insolvent, would naturally show a large percentage of the total assets then employed within the State. On the other hand, by the year 1891, when the second failure took place, the total assets of Trust Companies in the State had increased five and one-half times over the figure at which they were in 1877, and, although the total liabilities of the insolvent company of the later year were $8,347,000, they form only one and four-tenths per cent. of the total assets of Trust Companies in the State. Since that time, too, that is in the last fifteen years, the aggregate assets of Trust Companies have increased above four and one-quarter times over the large totals of that day.

In Pennsylvania the Banking Department of the State was by law given supervision over Trust Companies in 1892. The records of this department show that there were reserved the laudatory phrases contained in the first report of the Superintendent of Banking upon the excellent condition in which he found the companies of the State. In 1892 a small concern that bore the word "Trust" in its title, but was in reality transacting none of the business for which a Trust Company is properly organized, failed for a comparatively small sum. In 1895 another company with total liabilities of $105,000, made an assignment, the failure being 2-100 of 1 per cent. of the total assets in the Banking and Trust Department of the Pennsylvania companies in that year. In 1896 a company that dealt almost exclusively in mortgage loans and mortgages, assigned, with total liabilities of $1,420,000, or about 2-10 of 1 per cent. of the aggregate assets of the companies of the State.

In 1897 a receiver was appointed for another Trust Company which transacted materially the same kind of business, and found that its investments had so suffered by the hard times that its total assets in the West as to make it impossible for it to continue business. The failure of this company was also to an extent of only 2-10 of 1 per cent. of the aggregate resources of the companies in the State. In 1898 a Trust Company which was closely affiliated with a National bank for which a receiver had just been appointed, and to the president of which bank it had imprudently loaned money, found it necessary to make an assignment. This failure was a startling evi-
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dence of the evils of using one borrower as an outlet for money, and of the domination of one man, or set of men, in the directorate, while the other members of the board were expected to take a back seat in the conduct of the business, voting to its affairs the scrutiny that they should be bound to give. It is, however, a gratification to know, that although this company failed for over $2,900,000, it finally paid its creditors and stockholders in full. In 1901 a company that had not been formerly under the examination of the State Department was placed in the hands of a receiver. Its total liabilities I do not know, but they were not of great aggregate.

There are no other failures of which I have knowledge within the State of Pennsylvania in the years during which the Banking Department has been in existence, save that a small company relapsing in an illustrious and imposing name, and which developed liabilities of $13,000, and another company, Pennsylvanian in incorporation, but transacting no business, other than that of having its annual meetings within the borders of the State, its dealings being entirely in Western mortgages. From the foregoing it is gratifying to note that, taking as an example the two States which, by their customs are more definitely perhaps engaged in what is the established practice of a Trust Company business, the ratio of failures to general assets has in no case amounted in New York within the last twenty-five years to more than 1-4 per cent, and in Pennsylvania in the last fifteen years to 2-10 of 1 per cent.

I understand that in every instance the moneys and securities held by these corporations in fiduciary capacities, or in other words Trust Funds, in the more literal acceptance of the term, were unimpaired by the difficulties experienced by the companies themselves. I may say that under Pennsylvania statute, and the laws of many of the Eastern States, it is provided that Trust Funds shall be kept separate and apart from other assets of the company holding them.

Taking up now the broader field of Trust Companies throughout the country, I have arrived at the following results of my investigations.

In the New England States, the figures being official for all save Maine and Vermont, one company failed in 1891, three companies in 1895, one in 1896, one in 1897, and one in 1904. Those of 1890 and 1904 paid in full and resumed, and the others have paid their creditors from 38 per cent. to 55 per cent. The aggregate of all these is less than one-half of 1 per cent. of the present assets of the companies in these States. As I am not provided with the assets of the companies in the several years in which occurred the failures, I cannot give the smaller percentage applicable to those years.

In the Eastern States I have already read figures concerning New York and Pennsylvania. In New Jersey a company failed in 1890 with liabilities bearing a ratio of 1-110 per cent. to the total assets of the companies in the State in that year, and a company failed in 1893 whose nominal liabilities amount to 9-10 per cent. of the total assets of that year, but this was a corporation that had a life of but a few months before it was convicted of practices at variance with reputable Trust Company methods, and its charter was surrendered. In Delaware, likewise, a failure occurred in 1901 of a company holding a charter under the laws of that State, but which was virtually a Mexican corporation, with branches in different parts of the United States, and engaged in business which was foreign to a Trust Company in our acceptance of the term. We remember very well the failures of last year in Baltimore of two companies, and a third which was a branch of the Delaware corporation of which I have just spoken, and if we examine the liabilities of these companies against the total assets in the State of Maryland we will find that they amount to 20 per cent. of them. Investments of large sums in one asset were the primary cause of these failures, but one of the companies came out of the hands of its receiver and resumed operations a little over two months after its suspension, with capital unimpaired, and the other, a larger corporation, has disposed of the interest which had carried it to the wall, and I understand there is every likelihood of a settlement in full with its depositors and creditors.

Even aggregating all failures in the Eastern States within the lives of the several Banking Departments, the total liabilities form but 1 per cent. of the present assets within these States.

Of the Southern States, Virginia, West Virginia, Mississippi and Louisiana are the only ones furnishing me with official figures; in fact, Georgia, Mississippi and Tennessee have no Banking Departments with supervision over Trust Companies. From none of these States, however, am I advised that there have been any failures, and the companies within their borders have between them assets of about $82,000,000.

From the State Departments of the Middle States I have received official figures from all but Ohio, Wisconsin and Iowa. No failures are shown except one of this year in Indiana, where the company has paid its creditors in full, and one in Minnesota in 1895, with liabilities of $4,121,000 forming less than 1-10 of 1 per cent. of the assets of the Middle States.

The Western States, because of the absence of laws governing Trust Companies, or the recent enactment of them, have been rather barren as to figures in connection with my investigations. North Dakota, Kansas, Wyoming and New Mexico report no failures, and I am not advised of any within those States. The Pacific States also, save California, either by reason of lack of records in their governments, or for other causes, have not furnished information as to any failures, and I am happy to say that I know of none.

The data at my command does not include the total assets of Trust Companies in the United States for the several years prior to 1895, but basing a calculation upon the figures of 1895, and averaging the growth of companies during the succeeding ten years, it would seem that the average ratio of the liabilities of failed companies throughout the country to the total average assets of all the companies has been approximately 9-100 of 1 per cent.

It is rather interesting to note that while one thousand Trust Companies in the United States have aggregate resources of $16,000,000,000, and the above result is obtained as to failures, the average ratio of liabilities of failed National Banks to the total assets of National Banks in the country during the same period of the last ten years has been 28-100 of 1 per cent. From the last of the reports of the Comptroller of the Currency, which I have compiled this result, I find that there are five thousand and forty-two National Banks, with total resources of $63,300,000,000, or more than five times the number of Trust Companies, with less than double the assets.

Failures among us, therefore, seem to be reduced to a minimum. They would seem to have been brought about by imprudent management, depreciation of securities and excessive loans to clients; while in only one instance has there been assigned as a cause of insolvency the defalcation of an officer. The thing therefore that would be most hard to guard against is palpably absent from the list of misfortunes, and it is a tribute to the class of men that guide our companies. That the people realize their solidity is shown by the enormous bulk of their deposits, and that they are profitably managed for their stockholders is evidenced by the fact that from a compilation I have made of the dividends paid by six hundred and two companies that have been in existence for over two years, it would seem that their stockholders receive an average rate of 4-30 per cent., upon par of their shares. This exceeds the rate of 7-10 per cent. which is given in the Comptroller of the Currency's report, as the return to stockholders of National Banks in the country.
The Liability Incurred by Trust Companies by Reason of Representations in the Offering of Securities to the Public.

By Edward W. Harris, of Pryor & Harris, Attorneys, New York.

It may be observed at the outset that the false representations referred to in the title of this paper may have been made by prospectuses, corporate reports or personal statements.

In legal aspect there is little difference between misstatements affecting sales of securities and misstatements affecting subscriptions thereto. Just what does amount to a fraudulent misrepresentation, which will give rise to an action for deceit to the purchaser, is difficult to state. Each case standing upon its own facts.

In a leading English case Lord Herschell in a very learned opinion thus states the rules which should govern cases of this character:

"First, in order to sustain an action of deceit there must be present a misrepresentation and a fraud. Secondly, fraud is proved when it is shown that a false representation has been made (1) knowingly, or (2) without the belief in its truth, or (3) recklessly, careless whether it be true or false.

"The test which I propose employing is to inquire whether the defendants knowingly made a false statement in their prospectus. In the present case, for whether on the contrary, they honestly believed what they stated to be a true and fair representation of the facts."

When fraudulent representations are made it does not matter whether they are directed to the public or to the purchaser, the latter may have his action at law for damages against the wrongdoer.

The doctrine of the liability of corporate directors for fraudulent representations in the sales of the company, not made to a purchaser of stock personally, but to the public generally, was thus stated in Cross v. Sackett, 2 Bosworth, 617:

"But when an instrument is made to deceive the public generally, and is adapted, as well as intended, to deceive some portion of the public, and as well one person as another, and is used as it was designed it should be, and fraudulently produces one to act to his prejudice, by acting in the mode it was intended to influence them to act who might be deceived by it, and by the instrument, as well as the instrument itself, and therefore caused to be so fraudulently used is liable to the person who is deceived thereby."

In Morgan v. Skiddy, 62 N. Y. 319, the Court of Appeals said:

"If the plaintiff purchased the stock, relying upon the truth of the prospectus, he has a right of action for deceit against the persons who, with knowledge of the fraud and with intent to deceive, put it in circulation. The representation was made to each person comprehended within the class of persons who were designed to be influenced by the prospectus, and when a prospectus of this character has been issued, no other relation or privity between parties needs to be shown, except that created by the wrongful and fraudulent act of the defendants in issuing or circulating the prospectus and the representations in the same, and not to the plaintiff.

On the question of fraudulent intent the same court has held that where the failure to disclose a claim against a company in a statement purporting to contain its entire assets and liabilities was attributable to an honest belief upon reasonable grounds, the fraudulent intent was lacking and the charge of deceit failed.

It was formerly laid down that an action for deceit would not lie against the corporation itself, because the gist of the action is fraudulent intent, and a fraudulent intent is not imputable to an artificial body. The present doctrine, however, is otherwise, and in a recent case in this State against a Trust Company it was held that a corporation as well as an individual is liable for false representations in a prospectus issued by it to sell stock of another company.

We now reach the interesting inquiry whether a director is liable for fraudulent representations of his codirectors. The doctrine of the liability being based upon intent follows that an innocent director is not liable for the fraudulent representations of his codirectors. But a director who stands by and allows a co-director to make the false representation of the part of the chargeable.

The Court of Appeals in this State has declared that the mere fact of being a director or stockholder is not necessary sufficient to hold a party liable for the frauds or misrepresentations of the corporation. Some knowledge and participation in the act claimed to be fraudulent must be brought home to the person sought to be charged.

In its relation to commercial enterprises there are three principal dangers to be avoided by a Trust Company:

(a) Connection with the prospectus.

(b) Responsibility to subscribers for satisfactory underwriting.

(c) Responsibility for statements concerning the value of the securities.

The necessity of refraining from any connection with or responsibility for the prospectus is so obvious as to need no further discussion. The decisions of the courts are to the effect that responsibility for the statements therein contained does not require an actual signing of the prospectus, but such facts and circumstances as would lead a reasonable person to believe the responsibility for the statements contained therein was assumed by the Trust Company are sufficient to hold it to a legal liability thereon.

In the case of a corporation organized a few years since none of these dangers were sufficiently guarded against. The trust companies issuing securities and being responsible for the money loaned on notes secured by underwriting of the bonds, allowed its name to be placed upon the prospectus and assumed the obligation of declaring the underwriting to be effective when subscribed in a certain amount by underwriters satisfactory to the Trust Company.

In this particular case the corporation had parted with all its securities to the promoters on the promoters' agreement to cause certain properties to be transferred to it, and to erect factories and to furnish a certain amount of working capital in cash and returned securities of the company. The corporation, having divested itself of all its securities, was without recourse, except to the financial responsibility of the promoters, which, in this case, was insufficient to enable the corporation to meet the demands upon the financial operators. A large percentage of the underwriters failed to respond to the calls and those who completed their payments under the contract claimed damages against the Trust Company for false representations of fact, first, in the prospectus, and, second, in its acceptance of the underwriters, and, third, for concealment of the failure of the underwriters to respond to the several calls for payment, further claiming that the connection of the Trust Company with the promoters of the enterprise was so close as to make it the agent of the promoters and responsible for the failure of the financial plan.

In an underwriting agreement the sole attitude of a Trust Company should be that of a lender of money, and it is desirable in all cases that the Trust Company avoid the responsibility of declaring the underwriting effective as between the underwriters themselves, based upon the amounts subscribed and the responsibility of the underwriters. The only safe method for the lender of money is to regard its own protection and contract to loan the money when the subscriptions, in amount and responsibility, are satisfactory to it as the security for its loan only. Any further extension of obligation on the part of the Trust Company raises the question of fact as to the due diligence and effort on the part of the lender in respect of the examination into the authenticity of the signatures and the responsibility of the several subscribers. While due diligence may have been used to ensure protection to the lender, the lender, nevertheless, the company becomes open to an attack upon the question of fact, with the result that no certain opinion can be expressed as to the ultimate liability of the Trust Company, owing to the uncertainty of forecasting the verdict of juries on disputed questions of facts, and the impossibility of finding precedents on all fours with the case in hand.
Report of Special Committee on the Classification of Legal Decisions Relating to Safe Deposit Companies.

To the Executive Committee, Trust Company Section, American Bankers' Association:

Your committee appointed at a meeting held April 26, 1904, charged with the duty of preparing a report on the "Classification of Legal Decisions Relating to Safe Deposit Companies; Duty and Liability to Box Holders and a Compilation of the Rules and Forms of Typical Companies," begs leave to report as follows:

Knowing it to be the purpose of the Executive Committee to render a practical service to the Section, it has been our endeavor, in the preparation of the following report, to give to the Trust Companies having safe deposit departments not only the advantages of the experience of others engaged in this business, but the benefit of the most thorough research, covering the enacted laws and legal decisions bearing on the subject of the report.

Your committee desires to express to Messrs. Rounds, Hatch, Dillingham and Deboevois, of New York, its appreciation of and thanks for their services generously rendered the Section in the able and comprehensive discussion of the legal side of the question.

At the request of your committee, rules, forms and other data have been received from Trust companies in different parts of the country doing a safe deposit business. Many of these were naturally duplications of method of operation, and it seemed wise to your committee to render a composite view of the systems in use, enabling the members of the Section to choose such rules and forms as would seem to them most in accord with present systems, and enabling them to elminate such features as might seem unnecessary or impractical because of local conditions.

In the execution of the work your committee has found that new laws and legal decisions are constantly appearing, affecting to a greater or less degree the Trust companies conducting the safe deposit business throughout the country. We have also found that constant improvements and changes in method of operation and advertising are being introduced, which justifies the recommendation to the Executive Committee that the question should be submitted to the Section of the appointment of a standing committee whose duty it shall be to render an annual report continuing the line of work undertaken by this committee.

We take this opportunity to express our thanks to the many officers of Trust and Safe Deposit companies who have generously aided us in our work.

Respectfully submitted,

A. J. Enzboit,
E. F. Halsey,
E. Shidbrooke,
Clark Williams.

September 13th 1904.

The Legal Rights and Duties of Safe Deposit Companies.

To Members Trust Company Section American Bankers' Association:

One of the features, in fact, the most impressive feature, of an investigation of the law relating to the business of receiving and safeguarding valuable property, is the scarcity of both statutory and legal decisions directly on the subject. The fact which is first suggested by an explanation of this lack of legal authority is that the development of the business itself is comparatively recent, but the true conclusion is that the nature of the relationship between box-holder and company requires of the latter such great care and such thorough precautions against loss that the necessity for legislation has never existed, nor have the innumerable complications of which such relationship is capable ever had an opportunity of presenting themselves before the courts of the country.

We have thought it wiser not to consider here the incorporation of safe deposit companies, as local counsel must be consulted in every instance, and as also in many of the States there are special laws under which they may be organized, while in others the statutes relating to general business corporations are broad enough to cover them, or Trust companies and Savings banks are permitted to use their vaults for a safe deposit business.

The object of this article then will be to touch on such law as has been actually settled by legislation or judicial decision, and to consider more fully the legal questions which are daily presented themselves to the officers of safe deposit companies, and for which practical solutions have been found.

The law relating to bailments for hire is the backbone of the legal relationship between the box-holder, or bailor, and the company, or bailee, and this law has, in its general principles, been well settled. It is the questions which arise from the peculiar nature of this particular class of bailments for hire that have not been passed upon by the courts. We will consider these questions under the following titles, mentioning several points covered by statute in the proper places:

1. Duty of company to box-holders.
2. Liability of company to box-holders.
3. Rights of strangers to the company who claim property deposited with the company by box-holders.
4. Rights of the legal representatives of deceased box-holders.
5. Inheritance taxes.
7. Unclaimed boxes and contents.
1. DUTY OF COMPANY TO BOX-HOLDERS.

The company does not, on renting one of its boxes, become an insurer of the property placed in it by the box-holder. The very nature of the property which it has agreed to safeguard requires, however, that every possible precaution for its safety shall be taken. For instance, the same legal situation arises when a traveler leaves his grip in the package office of a railroad station, or when one sends his furniture to a storage warehouse, as when a million dollars of bonds are placed in a safe deposit box. But the company or individual which controls the package office takes no precaution against fire, nor is each parcel of property deposited necessarily placed by itself. It may be tagged and thrown in a corner, or occasionally it is placed in an open compartment, which may be left with much similar property in other compartments until called for. It is, of course, necessary to adopt some safeguards against its loss through theft, burglary or confusion, but the supposed small value of the property left in such keeping makes it unnecessary to take the same physical precautions as in the case of deposits with a warehouse, where, in turn, the nature of the property does not require the same care as a safe deposit company must use. And yet, the rule of law which determines whether there has been negligence in guarding the property deposited—the subject of the bailment—is the same in each case: Has there been ordinary care used? Has the depositary or bailor used the precautions which an ordinarily intelligent man would use in the circumstances of the case, and given such the same as well as reasonable? As the best possible advertisement for any safe deposit company is its plant and its precautionary methods, it perhaps serves no useful purpose to do more here than add that the protection of the contents of the vaults of a safe deposit company cannot be too complete. Just what it is legally bound to do has not been judicially decided, but any decision on this point will undoubtedly be extreme in its requirements of the company.

Practical measures have been adopted in most, if not by any means all, companies that insure against the access to a box of anyone except a box-holder. It is plainly one of the first duties of the company not to allow admission to any stranger. It is for this reason that the company’s knowledge of distinctive physical characteristics, of family names and other personal facts is so invaluable. A cross mark on the books of a company, made by an illiterate man (a new depositor) is no protection against loss occasioned by the admission to his box of a thief who has stolen the key, and yet cannot identify himself without a means of identification than such a cross mark and the possibility of an officer, who remembers the face of the box-holder, being on hand when admission to his box is later demanded. An interesting legal situation would present itself if the opportunity of a thief who entered a box came through the contributory negligence of the box-holder in leaving his keys, with perhaps a tag attached showing the agreed countersign, the location of the box, etc., where they might easily be stolen. These questions will probably never arise in court, for no company could afford to advertise the fact that such a mistake as the entry of a stranger had occurred, and most companies take great precautions against such contingencies.

It might also be well to mention under this head the necessity, if dealing with a corporation box-holder, of having documentary proof of some formal character, such as a certified copy of a resolution, showing the right of the accredited officer of such box-holder to have access to the box. So, too, when a box is let to a firm there should be some writing on the files of the company showing to which number or names access is to be granted. And if a box-holder gives his deputy the right to enter his box, the power of attorney under which such deputy acts should not be limited, but in its terms should be absolute, as it is too much to ask that the company watch such deputy and see that he does not take from the box anything not called for by the power.

2. LIABILITY OF COMPANY TO BOX-HOLDERS.

Unavoidable accident and irresistible force have been said to relieve a company from liability for the loss of property left in its care. Fire is given as an example of the first, but the fire must not result from the negligence of the company or its employees. War and riot are instances of irresistible force. Such questions, however, cannot arise often and must be dealt with separately. But what is a company to do when a depositor rushes from the vaults to the office, vowing that he placed ten bonds or ten dollars—it matters not what—in his box last week, and has returned to-day to find it empty. The first statement of the company is: “We do not know what is or has been in your box, but we do know that no one has opened it except you.” Proof of this assertion by the testimony of all of the company’s employees, who have access to the vault in which the box is kept, raises an issue as to whether the lost property, if ever in the box, was actually taken out of it by anyone except the box-holder, and this the jury must decide. Now, granting that the jury find against the company on this point, it does not follow that the property which was actually placed in the box by the box-holder and never after taken away by him, the very important question arises: Must the box-holder besides proving loss prove that negligence on the part of the company occasioned such loss, or must the company, when the loss has once been proved, take the burden of showing that it is free from negligence and even be compelled to go so far as to explain the loss? Satisfactory decisions on these precise points are not to be found, and except in a few States the situation has evidently never been presented in court. But the analogies of the law seem to establish that the burden of proof is first on the box-holder (the plaintiff) to prove his loss, then it shifts to the company (the defendant) which, on showing itself free from negligence, must be relieved of liability, unless such evidence is met by positive proof on the box-holder’s part of negligence. In other words, we think the box-holder should not have to prove negligence and the company should not have to explain the loss, though, of course, it may be able to do so, and even in such a case as to escape responsibility, as in case of riot or war, etc. However the law may develop, the importance of taking every practical means of protecting a company from such claims is very clear, and yet, how often we find attendants taking out and replacing boxes, handling both keys, the box-holder at times being not even within sight. This extreme courtesy on the part of the company is a great business on the part of the box-holders, should not be encouraged. As one precaution some companies have been in the habit, which seems an excellent one, of keeping a list of visitors of box-holders and their deputies to their boxes. This is impracticable in some cases—for instance, in New York City during a Wall street panic, when hundreds of visitors to boxes are made or it may be said to be made the very business of the company to guard that the testimony of the company’s attendants and officers will be invaluable in case of loss.

3. RIGHTS OF STRANGERS TO THE COMPANY WHO CLAIM PROPERTY DEPOSITED WITH THE COMPANY BY BOX-HOLDERS.

This question may arise either in the lifetime or after the death of a box-holder. It frequently happens that demand for access to a box is made by a sheriff, under a writ of replevin or attachment or in garnishment proceedings, by receivers, or assignees in bankruptcy, by creditors, and other officers appointed by courts throughout the country to take possession of property for the purpose of administration or to hold it pending decision in respect thereto. At times also the police authorities
break into a box under the protection of a search warrant. In no case should an officer of the court, more than any other claimant, be permitted to take property which the company knows does not belong to the person against whom a writ of attachment or other legal process has been issued, and in the case of a search warrant, no property except that called for by the warrant may be allowed to leave the box. While it is quite true that when a box is held by only one person, the company generally does not know what contents do belong, and that this lack of knowledge or want of notice would be a complete protection if the process under which the contents of a box were taken valid, an entirely different situation arises where a box is held by two or more people. As an example, let us suppose that A is the box-holder and that an attachment against B is served on the company. The company owes a duty to A to keep and protect the property left by him in the box, and we consider that this duty exists independently of the duty to B. A sheriff, therefore, acting under a writ against B has no rights as against A, and it would seem, therefore, dangerous to allow him access to the box held by A and B jointly, unless, under peculiar circumstances, it became in some way possible to keep him from taking property which belonged to A. Such facts, as far as we know, have never been presented in court, but we suggest the following practical solution: Notify A at once and make, under the advice of counsel, an arrangement between the parties to the box to be opened in the presence of all concerned, including the sheriff, A, and B; if possible, have A identify his property, and if the sheriff still insists on taking it as the property of B, he, of course, becomes a trespasser as against A. If A voluntarily joins in opening the box for the purpose in question, we do not see how he can later call on the safe deposit company to keep the sheriff from taking the property away. A sheriff does not levy, as a rule, on property which is being protected by others unless he is amply protected by bond or other security, and we believe, under the circumstances outlined above, A would often be allowed to take such property as he claimed. Collusion between A and B would in this way make it possible to embarrass the litigant who has put the process in the sheriff’s hands, by having A remove all of the property from the safe deposit box, and then claim that if any process is invalid the officer acting under it is a trespasser, and while the company may have its claim against him, the box-holder may, on the other hand, have a good claim against the company for the loss of his property.

Another troublesome situation arises on the demand of the company, A, a stranger, that B, a box-holder, be not allowed to take property from another person’s box, because of the fact that it belongs to A. This demand may be followed by an injunction restraining the company from allowing B to have access to the box, but when it is not so followed, the company find itself in the position of holding or controlling through the master key, or through the fact that it can shut its doors outside or inside, property which is claimed by two people. If the claim of the stranger seems to be made in good faith, it is wise not to let either claimant take the property in dispute, but to take legal action—by filing a bill of interpleader for example—as will bring both claimants before the court, where they may be left to fight the question by themselves. The company must be careful to maintain always a neutral position, that is, to be a “mere stakeholder,” and must act quickly, not allowing claimant against it to arise through the fact that it withholds the property from the true owner whether he be bailor or stranger.

4. RIGHTS OF THE LEGAL REPRESENTATIVES OF DECEASED BOX-HOLDERS.

An executor or administrator of a deceased box-holder, after duly qualifying as such, has a right—it is, in fact, a duty—to take possession forthwith of the contents of the box. (See in this connection Article 5 post.)

When the will of a box-holder is in the box, it is generally necessary to procure authority from the Probate Court to gain admission to the box simply for the purpose of obtaining the will for filing. We think it unnecessary to go into the questions suggested by the above remarks, as the course of legal procedure is quite different in the various States, and such questions as arise should be promptly submitted to local counsel. We wish, however, to mention one or two situations which often confront a company’s officers.

It is not an unusual thing for one member of a family to act as general custodian of valuables for the others, and for this and other reasons it happens that box-holders often keep in their boxes property which does not actually belong to them. In case of the death of such a box-holder, particularly when, by his will, he has appointed executors who are not favorably regarded by those for whom he has been acting as custodian, the safe deposit company is surprised at the demands made upon it by the various seemingly adverse interests. As in other cases the parties are under Title 5, the company must not allow the property involved to go to any except the owner. If a fight must come, let the company take the initiative and bring the rival claimants into court at once, stepping aside to allow them full opportunity for their dispute.

There was an interesting case some years ago in New York State, where the facts were substantially as follows: A and B were co-tenants of a box. They both died, and the executors of one and the administrators of the other each claimed the contents of the box. The company started a suit of interpleader, and on the evidence produced at the trial the property was awarded to the estate of one.

In case of the death of one co-tenant, the situation is not quite so complicated, but the same principles are almost invariably involved when the contents of a box are owned by more than one person, whether he is acting for himself, or in a representative capacity.

5. INHERITANCE TAXES.

In New York State it is provided by statute that no safe deposit company shall allow the property of a deceased box-holder to be taken away by an executor, administrator or trustee, unless notice of the time and place of the intended delivery thereof be served upon the State Comptroller at least ten days prior thereto, and in the case of a non-resident decedent it is necessary for the safe deposit company to retain a portion or amount of such property sufficient to pay the tax which may be assessed on the transfer thereof, unless the State Comptroller consents to the delivery of all of it. Failure to comply with these provisions makes the safe deposit company liable under the statute to the payment of three times the amount of the tax and penalty due, or thereafter to become due, on the property so delivered. This statute is considered by some of the courts to be unconstitutional, and it is said that their officers do not proceed to comply with it. Similar legislation has been enacted elsewhere than in New York, but such statutes are not general.

6. LIEN OF COMPANY FOR ITS COMPENSATION ON CONTENTS OF BOXES.

We have been able to find very little authority on the question covered by this title. In New York State there is no such lien, and property contained in a box
must be delivered to the owner, no matter how far in default of payment of rental he may be. Where there is no lien, it is not safe to rely on demand for delivery until the charges have been satisfied, for large damages might result from keeping property back when it is urgently needed. The urgency, however, is usually worth the amount of the arrears, but one must be prepared in such a case for demands based on an urgency which never really exists until it is pictured to a jury. In one Connecticut case the courts have allowed the lien, but we consider this another matter for local counsel and we only wish to say that we believe the safe de-
posal companies will ultimately be permitted by statute to collect from the contents of a box the amount due for its rental.

7. UNCLAIMED BOXES AND CONTENTS.

In New York and Massachusetts statutes have been enacted, providing that after the lapse of a specified period of time without payment for the use of a box, it may be opened and its contents, with certain form-
alties, placed in the general vaults of the company to await claimants. In Michigan and Wisconsin statutes provide for the same result by compulsory condemnation.

In leaving a subject of such broad interest, we feel that the few suggestions given above cannot be thoroughly satisfactory to a practical safe deposit man, but the law itself at present is not sufficiently developed for more positive statements. The growth of the business should ultimately bring with it decisions and statutes of the courts which will render the matters unsettled, and a careful watch should, for this reason, be kept on both courts and legislatures, for the final solution of some of the points now in doubt may affect materially the methods of conducting a safe deposit company's business.

Dated, New York, September, 1904.

T. M. Deeverton,
Of Rounds, Hatch, Dillingham & Debevlois,
62 Cedar Street, New York.

IDENTIFICATION.

The identification of a person desiring to rent a box in a Safe Deposit vault should be as thorough as circumstances will permit: should a person decline to give a reference their custom should be refused. Reasonable and desirable persons will always furnish refer-
ences when asked, as they will understand that what applies to them applies to their character as a safe deposit box holder; should their friends be shrinking persons from the vicinity whose valueableness are stored; again, a person may be thoroughly honest, and to all appearances a desirable customer, yet may fail to provide the personal or financial references that would render it possible for the persons attempt to rent a box under an assumed name. In the event of anything happening to the renter in such a case the Company might lose $8,000 a year, and the rent and expense in establish-
ning his or her identity should heirs appear under the rightful name of the tenant.

It is also well to secure certain fixed data in regard to a Renter, such as height, color of eyes and hair, weight and general appearance; this, how-
ever, is not an essential, as it is to be presumed that the renter will remain a customer for a number of years, during which time physical conditions may change, in which event instead of being an aid, the record would merely tend to confuse. The conditions men-
tioned would appear to be sufficiently certain to render therefore as relia-
ted twenty years hence as to-day.

IDENTIFICATION AFTER RENTAL.

While it is well for the Renter to have a pass-word, it should never be relied upon by vault attendants as a sure means of identi-
fication—pass-words may be repeated. When in doubt as to the identity of a Renter, always compare his signature with that on the pass-word. Records should always be made of those unsuccessful efforts to open accounts and to those who choose elaborate ones invariably forget them. In most cases, however, there should be no need to use either signature or pass-word, vault attendants should become familiar enough with the renter's face to enable them to dispense with both, and all red tape consistent with safety should be abolished.

RENTAL RATES OF SAFE DEPOSIT BOXES.

The charges for annual rental of the safe deposit boxes will vary with local conditions: in small towns the charge is sometimes as low as one dollar. The usual yearly charge in well established companies is, in cities, five dollars and upward. The five-dollar boxes are about 21 in. x 11 in. x 4½ in. (inside dimensions). But

as stated before, the price will depend largely on local conditions, such as competition, location, condition, etc. (See forms 4, 5 and 6 for Receipt and Billheads.)

In large companies, when the bookkeeping is in separate de-

partment, and when the methods of bookkeeping are new, the safe rentals and Form No. 8 when Renter changes from one safe to another.

SAFES RENTED BY TWO PERSONS JOINTLY.

In case of two persons renting a safe jointly, they become what is called "joint tenants," and enjoy equal rights and privileges—
either has the right to dispose of the contents; the other should be present when the safe is rented, but in case of the in-
\nability of one of them to be there Form No. 9 may be used. In case of death of one of them, the survivor may use Form No. 10, while in the case of a single tenant, or when both tenants surrender at the same time, Form No. 11.

OTHER BUSINESS OF LARGE COMPANIES WHICH BOOKKEEP-
\nING IS DONE IN SEPARATE DEPARTMENT.

Forms Nos. 12 to 16 will take care of all cases not previously mentioned, and they are simple enough to be self-explanatory.

First in order is what is called the "Rental, Renewal and Surrender Book" (Form No. 17), which is practically a condensed his-
tory of each safe as rented, and will be found very useful in comparing the growth of the company from time to time. Entries should be made in this book imme-
diately after those are made on the Original Entry Card, after which a memorandum of renewal should be entered.

Do not even the expiration of time, the renewal should be noted. It may be noted that the safe will be vacated by 30, 60, or 90 days, and the renewal made accordingly; or a simple matter to keep track of when safe rentals expire and when bills are due for their renewal. As soon as a renewal bill is sent to the customer the safe will be vacated, and the renewal will be in the books for the number of months which the safe has been rented for previously, otherwise it will be a very easy matter to lose track of renewals. Should the customer decide not to renew, the entry can be cancelled.

Regarding rentals, some companies before their expiration send out a rent-renewal notice, but as a general rule this is not done, the renewal bill (Form No. 5) being considered sufficient.

SAFE REGISTER.

The Safe Register completes the list of books necessary in the Safe Deposit business, excepting, of course, those connected with the ordinary bookkeeping, but which it is not the purpose of this report to mention. It is an alphabetical list of all safe boxes; as No. 10, is very simple, and needs little explanation. To the uns-tinted it might seem as though the three books we have shown had a tendency to repeat certain entries unnecessarily; this, however, is done with a purpose, as it lessens the chance of mistakes in records, and in case of one record being destroyed there will be found enough clues in the others to supply the deficiency. Some companies use forms such as Nos. 17, 18 and 19, but instead of books, have a card system; there is much greater chance in that case, however, of records being lost, and therefore the book system is preferable, except in the case of the Original Entry Cards, where convenience in handling is desired.

DEPUTSHIP.

A deputy has access to a safe only at the pleasure of the renter, who may revoke this privilege at any time. The powers of a deputy, however, may be extended by the renter, and the renter and deputy can appear together before an officer of the Company, such as the renter, or the renter and deputy, or the renter is an individual, Joint tenant, firm or corporation. In case of incapacity of renter and deputy to appear in person, use Form No. 20, which will give the power of attorney, or of the notary or such other public officer as the laws of the State wherein it is to operate may require. In revoking a deputyship, when unable to appear before an officer of the company, use Form No. 21.

WHEN RENTED BY A CORPORATION.

Forms Nos. 22 and 23 should be used in addition to those already mentioned as an official list of the officers of the corporation at the commencement of the rental, and as often as the resolution of Board of Directors giving right of access should be kept on file.

LEGAL REQUIREMENTS.

In case of death, care should be taken to safeguard the interests of the Company in the event of the heirs of the original renter. The legal requirements will vary in different States, both in this case and in others, such as bookkeeping, etc. The renter is therefore advised to read this report to take up this part of the subject in detail, but will surely meet the objections of a company to keep themselves well posted in regard to the powers pertaining to the Renter's tenant, and refer to a legal.

RETURN OF LOST KEYS.

To facilitate the return of lost keys use a metal key-tag (Form No. 30). As each person who takes one will be expected to assume responsibility for the loss, the key tag should be left with the renter, who upon losing it should not be left to the renter, because if keys are lost and not returned, he is already liable for loss of changing lock (See Rules, Form 24).

THE STORAGE DEPARTMENT.

All trunks, packages, etc., if called for, by the renter, should be sealed by its representative with the company's seal in presence of the owner or of his representative; or, if delivered at company's office, as soon as received. This is done to prevent the owner from placing his own seal on a package, and even if he do so, still use the company
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The Secretary or Manager must be immediately notified of change of address. Deputies may be appointed only in person or by power of attorney properly acknowledged. Safes must not be left unlocked at any time. Should a key be lost, the Company must be notified without delay, and the remaining key returned to the Company, that the lock may be changed, the expense of which will be borne by the renter. Payments for the use of safes, or for storage, are due and payable in advance. The Company exercises the right to consider that the safe is not surrendered until the keys are returned, or the Company is notified in writing. When a safe is rented to joint tenants, either person has the right to surrender the safe. Boxes in the Security Vault will be rented for $—— and upward per annum. Trusts, boxes, packages of silver or other valuables will be stored at —— cents and upward per month, according to size and value. Articles for storage will be called for if desired. HINTS, SOME OF WHICH MAY BE FOUND USEFUL TO EVERYBODY IN THE RULES AND REGULATIONS.

Never retain a key to a Safe Deposit Box after rental. Do not allow more than two persons in addition to attendants in the vault at the same time. Vault keys should never be left without an attendant. Vault boxes should be opened and closed in presence of two persons, and where time-locks are used the hour should be confined by one other besides the person who attends to the winding. Safe Deposit Boxes should always be replaced in the presence of the renter. Renters should not examine their boxes or papers in the vault, but in the rooms provided for that purpose. In keeping records, different colored forms will be found helpful. [The Committee's report contains copies of the various forms mentioned in the text above, and also "inserts" showing sample pages of the books to be used.]

THE NATIONAL BANK OF COMMERCE
MINNEAPOLIS, MINN.,

With its Capital and Surplus of $1,250,000.00 and fully equipped in every department to give our customers the most acceptable service, SOLICITS YOUR BUSINESS in the Northwestern States.

Eighth Annual Meeting TRUST COMPANY SECTION, Held at New York, Sept. 13, 1904.

Grand Ball Room, Waldorf-Astoria.

In the absence of the Chairman (Mr. Breckinridge Jones) and the Vice-Chairman (Mr. E. A. Potter) the meeting was called to order by Mr. Clark Williams, member of the Executive Committee, at 10.30 o'clock a.m.

Prayer was said by the Rev. Dr. Tiffany.

The Chairman: It is a great regret to me to be compelled to announce to the members of the Section that our Chairman, Mr. Breckinridge Jones, is unable to attend this meeting because of the recent and death of Mrs. Jones. Later in our proceedings we will doubtless take the opportunity to express the affectionate sympathy we all feel for him whom we regard as the founder of this Section.

In the absence of Mr. Jones, Mr. Potter, our Vice-Chairman, was prepared to preside at this meeting until an unfortunate accident occurring to Mrs. Potter detained him in Chicago.

The duty, therefore, falls upon the Chairman of the Executive Committee, and with a full appreciation of the disappointment it is to us all that neither Mr. Jones nor Mr. Potter is able to present, I ask your patient indulgence in undertaking to preside over this meeting.

Address of Welcome by Mr. George W. Young, President of the Trust Companies Association of the State of New York.

Mr. Chairman and Gentlemen:

You are indeed welcome on this, your first, assembling in the City of New York as members of the Trust Company Section of the American Bankers' Association. And although there are, in gatherings like this, none of the outward manifestations which accompany those where men are brought together to celebrate some great feat of arms or accomplishment in statesmanship, there is, nevertheless, to my mind, a peculiar and relatively quite as important a significance in your presence here to-day.

For I may, in justice and without exaggeration, say that this is a visit of distinguished guests, whose coming to our city, under the conditions which bring you here, is an event to be emphasized and chronicled as a recognition by us all of the benefits which accrue from the frank and full interchange of views among those respectively charged in their several spheres of usefulness with duties having close relation to the security of the property of our citizens, and hence the prosperity of the nation and the high regard to which our financial institutions are justly entitled, not only at home, but abroad.

To a discussion of this character, not alone those of this metropolis, but of all communities represented here can make equally valuable contribution.

New York may be said to be the counter over which money is exchanged; but the wealth of this city is not derived alone from its own environment. It relies for its prosperity upon the communities represented by you quite as much as it does upon the vested wealth of its own citizens.

Although some transactions here are on a larger scale than elsewhere, they are in all essentials dependent upon conditions quite similar to those which characterize successful banking in the communities from which you come. They are all dependent upon confidence and credit, justified by methods which insure intelligent and faithful action of the trustee toward the beneficiaries.

When Henry W. Grady, of Atlanta, made his first visit to New York he was approached by a reporter and asked his opinion of this city. The reporter, instead of seeing any exhibition of humility on the part of Mr. Grady, was met with the reply—that he thought New York was the "Atlanta of the North"; and so each of you, with equal fairness, I think, may subscribe for Atlanta the name of the city which he represents. For the greatness of New York, its stability and security rest upon the same foundation upon which your several communities have built, be they great or small—namely, the general prosperity of the country, which is to be attributed not alone to the products of the soil, to its mines, its manufactures and its commercial activities, but to the character of the financial institutions in which as depositaries the moneys and earnings of the rich and poor alike have been safeguarded.

In extending to you a hearty welcome to New York City I wish to congratulate the Trust Company Section upon the fact that it enjoys the honor of being a part of the American Bankers' Association. The functions of National and State banks and Trust companies are co-operative more than competitive. It is a pleasure to recognize that this convention represents another step in the progress of the mutual relations of friendship existing between these great institutions.

The growth of Trust companies in numbers and influence during the past few years has been rapid. Twenty years ago there were less than one hundred Trust companies in America. To-day there are more than a thousand, with resources amounting to over three billion dollars. You remember that Dr. Holmes once said: "Put not your trust in money, but your money in trust." His advice, meant merely for a breakfast table epigram, has been followed to a marvelous extent. In New York State alone the Trust companies have deposits amounting to nearly one billion dollars; and this is but one instance of the sure and solid growth of the Trust Companies of America.

Trust Companies are peculiarly American institutions, organized to meet the demands of existing business conditions. They are a natural growth due to the progressive spirit of our times. The watchword for the banks and Trust Companies should be "Co-operation." While their functions are different, their interests are identical.

Naturally the rapid growth of the Trust Companies during the past few years has brought up many questions for consideration and discussion. These questions should be considered without antagonism and without hostility. It should be remembered that a large majority of the directors in National and State banks are also directors in Trust Companies, and that the capital employed comes from the same sources. The competition between the Banks and Trust Companies is competition between men in the same business working for the same ends and using the capital of the same employer.

The National and State banks are well organized. We must see that the State organizations of Trust Companies are completed and continued. The "Association of Trust Companies of the State of New York," which has made me its President—an honor I fully appreciate and of which I am justly proud—is now well under way. The perfection of the organization of Trust Companies in the various States is the best preventive of any differences between the Banks and Trust Companies that may affect the interests and convenience of the public. The natural outcome of such organizations will be co-operation between them and the adoption of general rules for the regulation of business, in the framing of which both institutions will have a voice. Strong Trust Company Associations in every State will result in these two classes
of financial institutions working more closely together than they have in the past, and will be of material assistance in promoting harmony of action and co-operation. There should be no opposition nor afloat but friendly rivalry between the two classes of financial institutions. They should work on lines which are parallel and not on lines which cross one another. There is no inherent antagonism between the two; and any assertion to the contrary is ill-founded, and will, I feel sure, disappear as time demonstrates that the functions of the two are strictly complementary.

Gentlemen, I thank you for your courteous attention. For your latchstring is on the outside of the door of every financial institution in this city. I again bid you a most hearty and cordial welcome.

The Chairman: In the absence of our Chairman, Mr. Jones, Mr. Festus J. Wade, President of the Mercantile Trust Company of St. Louis, will make reply to Mr. Young's cordial welcome. (Applause.)

Reply to Address of Welcome, by Mr. Festus J. Wade.

Mr. Chairman: I rather agree with Henry W. Grady. After several visits to New York I am somewhat of the impression that New York compares very favorably with St. Louis. In responding to the welcome so cordially extended to us by the gentleman who has just spoken, we are not a bit surprised. It is the welcome that we have been accustomed to whenever we have visited New York, the reason being that we come here as children to a mother. New York City and its Trust Companies are the mother of Trust Companies. Here precepts are defined, plans and principles laid out for the safe conduct of our financial institutions; here we come in times of distress, and here we come in times of tranquility; here we come in times of adversity, and here we come in times of success. At all times when we strike the border of this great city with a reasonable, just, equitable, business proposition, that open hearted candor is always extended to us; and why should we be surprised at this cordial reception?

I fully concur in everything that the distinguished gentleman has said looking forward to the organization of State sections of the Trust Company Section of the American Bankers' Association, and in that is the strength of the future Trust Company. The Trust Company to-day is an institution second only in importance to the financial system of the National banks of the United States of America. The deliberations of this convention can make that system stronger, and in a very short time build it to the equal of the National Banking system.

As we act wisely, so will our future be governed, and if we show the same spirit of good will and friendship in all parts of the United States as is extended to us by the Bankers and Trust Companies of New York City, then our institution is an established fact in every part of this great land. Gentlemen, I thank you. (Applause.)

The Chairman: The report of the Secretary of the Section will now be listened to.

Report of the Secretary.

New York, September 1, 1904.

To the Members of the Trust Company Section:

September 1, 1903, balance $2,519.92

Received from sale of two copies of Trust Company Forms

Total $2,519.92

Expenses of San Francisco convention $356.50

Expenses of committee on subject of auditing, 504.80

Proceedings, 1903 $169.52

Salary, assistant to secretary $87.50

Expenses of Executive Committee meeting, New York, April 26, 1904 $247.50

Stationery, printing, etc. $142.05

Balance August 31, 1904 $1,737.87

Respectfully submitted,

J. R. BRANCH,
Secretary.

The Chairman: Gentlemen, you have heard the report. Upon motion the report was adopted and placed on file.

The Chairman: As the Chairman of your Executive Committee, I will read the following report:

Report of Executive Committee by the Chairman, Mr. Clark Williams.

The Executive Committee of the Trust Company Section of the American Bankers' Association respectfully submits the following report:

The increase in the number of Trust Companies and their growth in strength has been so rapid during the past year that the Committee realizes the relative importance of this class of institution in the financial fabric of our country. Twenty years ago there were less than one hundred Trust Companies in the United States. To-day there are more than a thousand of these companies, with resources exceeding $5,000,000,000.

The constant introduction of new ideas and methods of operation in different localities in answer to the public demands renders the friendly co-operation among these companies almost essential. This co-operation is made possible through the development of the ideas and purposes of the founders of this Section of the American Bankers' Association. In 1896 this organization was effected with fifteen members. To-day the Section has a membership of 200, with aggregate resources in the neighborhood of $2,500,000,000.

With the original purpose of the founders of the Section in view your committee has endeavored to offer advantages of practical value to the members of its body. It has sought to secure from every Trust Company in the country suggestions as to the work of the Section and as to how the annual meeting might be made more interesting. The results of this inquiry were carefully considered at a meeting of your committee held in New York on the 26th of April, and the character of the programme of our proceedings to-day is largely the result.

At this meeting of the committee it was determined to publish in one volume the proceedings of the Section from the time of its organization. This volume is published in the interests of the Trust Companies of the United States, in the hope that the valuable addresses, papers and discussions contained therein may be permanently preserved for the use of the members of the Section, and that through a clearer knowledge of the character of the work of the organization those not members may better appreciate the advantages of such association.

This book is now being sold to cover the cost to the Section of its publication, and the demand for it thus far has justified the opinion of your committee as to its value.

The members of the Section have previously been advised of an arrangement made by your committee with the Audit Company of New York by which that company offers to advise with members of the Section as to any detail of forms and systems of accounting, without charge. The constant growth of the business of Trust Companies along different lines would seem to render services of this character of special advantage, and it is hoped that these facilities will be generally availed of.

The Executive Committee has requested a number of typical Trust Companies in different parts of the country, members of the Section, to furnish blank forms of all characters used in their different departments. These blanks have been issued in convenient form and are on exhibition in the registration room during the Convention, after which they will be lodged in the Secretary's office, at No. 11 Pine Street, for inspection of the officers or members of the Section.

Advertising matter, pamphlets, etc., issued by many members of the Section are similarly exhibited, and will be lodged with the Secretary. These exhibits are interesting, and it is believed will be of practical value.

In demand of many of the members, a committee has been appointed to render a report on the "Classification of Legal Decision Relating to Safe Deposit Companies," "Duty and Liability to Box-Holders," and a "Compilation of the Rules and Forms of Typical Trust Companies." This report is submitted in printed form. The Executive Committee takes this opportunity to express its thanks to the firm of Messrs. Rounds, Hatch, Dillingham & Debevoise, of New York, for their valuable contribution to
The Protection of Trust Companies Acting as Transfer Agents and Registrars.

[Mr. Rollins' address in full will be found on pages 1180 to 1185 of this publication.]

Mr. Broderick of Rochester, N. Y.: Mr. Chairman, if it is in order, I would like to move that a copy of the paper we have just heard be sent to every Trust Company in the United States. It appears to me it is a very important paper. The subject is one of which I do not doubt, and I believe it would prove very valuable. It were placed in the hands of the officers of the Trust Companies throughout the United States.

Several members: I second the motion.

The question was taken and the motion was agreed to.

Mr. Shorrock: Mr. Chairman, I would for myself suggest that the Executive Committee has instructed to take this matter into serious consideration, to see if anything can be provided along the lines recommended by Mr. Rollins.

The motion was seconded, and agreed to.

Mr. Powers, of Louisville, Ky.: Mr. Chairman, I would like to make a suggestion, with the permission of the Section, and I will undertake to present it without having the Chairman intervene, if there is no objection.

Gentlemen, we know, some of us, what the arduous labors of the Chairman of the Executive Committee have been, not only with reference to this particular meeting, but throughout the year. The Executive Business of this Section has recommended that Mr. Clark Williams, who is Chairman of the Executive Committee, shall be present to the American Bankers' Association for membership on the Executive Council of the Association. I move that we emphasize that suggestion, and that we indorse as a body the gentleman who has been our executive officer during the past year, for the position as our member of the Executive Council, and I desire to make that motion and present it myself, and ask you if you are in favor of it to please manifest it by a rising vote.

The motion of Mr. Powers was unanimously agreed to.

The Chairman: Mr. Clark Williams: Gentlemen, this is a surprise to me, but I assure you it is exceedingly gratifying. It has been a great pleasure to serve as the Chairman of the Executive Committee of this Section. It has been pleasant to be associated with the gentlemen who have been my colleagues in the work of the Section during the year; but I cannot express to you how deeply I appreciate the recommendation which you see fit to make of paying considerable consideration to the position of member of the Executive Council of the American Bankers' Association. It is a great honor, gentlemen, and I thank you. (Applause.)

The question of Trust Company reserves at this time is of special interest to many sections of the country, and arguments upon the subject must necessarily be of local coloring, it will be of great interest, however, to note the general condition of Trust Companies throughout the country in this respect. Mr. Edward T. Perine, General Manager of the Audit Company, of New York, has kindly consented to make a statement of the general condition of Trust Companies of the United States as to cash reserve.

General Condition of the Trust Companies of the United States as to Cash Reserves.

[Mr. Perine's paper in full will be found on pages 1186 and 1187 of this publication.]

The Chairman: In determining the character of this programme, your Executive Committee deemed it wise to provide for the discussion of a number of important practical interests, and we welcome all part of our programme and this opportunity to thank those who have specially prepared themselves to discuss these questions.

We trust the members will speak as briefly and as much to the point as possible, in order that we may complete our programme within the time allowed.

It is particularly requested that those addressing the meeting should state their names, title, and the institu-
The Chairman: Mr. Edward W. Harris, of the firm of Pryor & Harris, Attorneys, New York, has kindly consented to say a few words on the subject of "The Liability Incurred by Trust Companies by Reason of Representations in Offering Securities to the Public."

The Liability Incurred by Trust Companies by Reason of Representations in Offering Securities to the Public.

[Mr. Harris' address in full will be found on pages 1189 and 1190 of this publication.]

Mr. Hart of Wheeling, W. Va.: Mr. Chairman. I move that the paper last read be printed for distribution.

I regard it as a paper of great merit and great value.

Mr. Me: N. I, as Chairman, as I understand it, all these papers will be printed in the proceedings of the convention, and personally I question very much the wisdom of printing them and sending them broadcast to Trust Companies that are not members of this Association.

They can acquire their information as to the transactions of this Association through the public press, and I do not believe that those who regard this Association as useless, and who do not become members of it, should receive the benefits of the Association at our expense.

We all know that in times past the financial papers have published the addresses and papers that are read here, and they can be purchased at from ten to twenty-five cents, and I do not believe we should go to the expense suggested, except for the benefit of our own members.

Mr. Hart: The criticism is obviously so well founded that I beg to withdraw my motion.

Mr. Sorrock: Mr. Chairman, I would like to ask the reader of the paper if he can state briefly what is the difference between the responsibility of a Trust Company, whose name may appear on the prospectus, and the responsibility of the directors. I presume there is a well-marked difference, but we are more concerned with the position of the Trust Company whose name may appear as the intermediary in the sale of stocks or bonds, than we are in the position of the directors. Is a Trust Company, for instance, obliged to ascertain for itself whether the statements in the prospectus are correct?

Mr. Harris: I assume the law is this: That where the Trust Company is so clearly identified with the enterprise as to make itself responsible for these statements, then the company may be held liable for the statements therein contained. I might say, gentlemen—that suit is now pending involving that very question, growing out of the United States Ship Building Company, and it is perhaps improper to anticipate the decisions of the courts in those particular cases. But that is the exact point involved in these. The Trust Company appears in the prospectus, and the question is whether it thereby becomes responsible for the truth of the statements contained in the prospectus.

Mr. McNair, of Buffalo: Mr. Chairman, it is quite usual in issuing prospectuses to put in something like the following:

The statements herein made are based on official reports, which we believe reliable, but for which we do not assume any responsibility.

If clauses of that kind were put in the prospectus I would like to ask the gentleman if the company making them would not be relieved of liability?

The Chairman: Can you give us your legal opinion on that, Mr. Harris?

Mr. Harris: I think it would be, sir.

The Chairman: We will now proceed to the subject of "Trust Company Failures and Their Causes." Mr. Jackson, Vice-President of the Girard Trust Company, of Philadelphia, has made an investigation of this interesting subject, and we shall be glad to hear from him.

Trust Company Failures and Their Causes.

[Mr. Jackson's address in full will be found on pages 1187 and 1188 of this publication.]
tent of its maximum loans, why the same restrictions should not apply to the commercial business of a Trust Company, or of any other bank, no matter under what name it may be run.

The case of Mr. Williams to say a few words in regard to the inspection and examination of banks. I do not want to trespass too much on your time, but in regard to that I feel that the forty years of experience that has been had in the examination of the National banks has accumulated the largest amount of data on that subject that probably ever has been gathered together. There is no other country anywhere in the world that has as carefully and thoroughly examined the banks, and while some of our State departments have very good examinations of certain classes of banks, particularly the Savings banks, of course it is not so widespread or general as the examination of the National banks. Consequently the National examination is far from perfect; but, as a rule, it is pretty well done, very well done. The National Bank Examiners are hired on the wrong principle, I think. They are given fees instead of salar- aries, and the natural tendency is that in some of the smaller banks they do not give the time and attention required. But considering the circumstances, I think the men are proof as far as possible against this temptation, and that as a rule we get pretty good reports.

When a National bank fails there is of course—especially in the country communities—a great deal of bitter- ness against them. I have once blamed Mr. Baker several times or his fault that the money of the depositors has been lost. In rare cases, perhaps, it is true, but you must re- member that a bank examiner cannot in one or two days every six months check everything that has been done in 365 days in the year, and a smart scoundrel in a bank who wants to deceive the bank examiner is very apt to be able to do so; things are very much in his favor. In many instances, however, it is quite surprising to see the slight clues on which a bank examiner will discover a fraud, a fraud which has perhaps been concealed for a long time. Of course one of the most important things that is brought about by the examination of the bank is the calling to the attention of the Comptroller's Bureau the condition of a bank which is still solvent, perhaps, but in a dangerous condition. Few realize how many banks which are found in that condition are straightened up by us and saved.

These things are naturally concealed. Secrecy is a necessary element to success, and nothing is said about it if it can be avoided. But there is hardly a day in which we do not get in our office the case of a bank which is in pretty bad shape, and several times every month we find a bank in such a condition that we have to bring pressure on the officials, or the officers, or the officers. If the bank have large lines out, to bring in some more security and straighten up the bank and put it in condition to go on. And in this the personal element of the bank examiner is of very great importance. He has to take a great deal of responsibility. The information that comes to the department is entirely through him, and very often it is his good judgment and courage and sense that save the situation. We recently had a case where a bank of that kind was in trouble, and the bank examiner was in telegraphic communication with our office. He made such a report one night that he was ordered to close the bank. After that was done, some additional money was raised and the situation changed, perhaps very ma- terially, as the bank examiner on his own responsibility started it up the next morning. And that bank is going to-day, and is in very good shape.

Mr. Jackson makes some comparisons in regard to the failure of banks. No failure of any banks by the Trust Com- panies which show that comparing the number of Trust Companies to the number of National banks, the failure in proportion is very much more. I think, perhaps, that is generally true, and it ought to be true. The Trust Company ought to be the most careful and conservati ve institution in the way it is conducted and managed, the most so of all banking corporations, and instead of hav-

ing any feeling of rivalry or resentment against that, knowing that the record in the National banks is so very good, and that the percentage of failures and the per- centage of amounts of deposits lost is so small, I con-gratulate and admire you. You have adhered to the Trust Company Section, on the excellent work you have done in that direction. (Applause.)

The Chairman. I am very sure we are all indebted to the Comptroller for his words.

Proper Supervision of Trust Companies.

The next subject which is on our programme—

Mr. Breidenthal, of Kansas City, Kan.: Mr. Chair- man, before you proceed, it occurs to me before we pro- ceed, if it is not too late, to bring to your attention one or two things of this character which I think is the record of this character we should express ourselves on some of these important subjects. The question of supervision of the Trust Company by the several States, it occurs to me, is a very important matter. The Banking and Trust Company business is based largely on confidence, and just in proportion as financial institutions enjoy the con- fidence of the people will their business grow and prosper, if they are entitled to that confidence. I believe it can be truly said the success of the National banking system has been due to the, at least, supposed strict supervision of these institutions, and it can also be said that in every State where the supervision is stringent States have a very gratifying record of supervision of banks that the business of the State banks has grown and prospered as a result of that supervision.

In my own State it caused the wiping out of a hundred banks, but those remaining were strengthened, and two or three hundred banks have been recently organized, and they have prospered and are doing a business of the people to a remarkable degree, as evidenced by the fact that the State banks have a larger aggregate deposit than the National banks.

So I move you, Mr. Chairman, that it is the sense of this meeting that the Trust Companies in the different States should be under the supervision of the Banking De- partment of the several States.

Mr. Wade: Mr. Chairman, I second the motion; but I would suggest that it read “Proper Supervision of Trust Companies by Officials,” and I would include the trust company officials.

I would include, secondly, the national officials of this Government, and, thirdly, the State officials.

It is true that Mr. Jackson has presented to us the fact that the percentage of failures in the Trust Companies has been exceedingly small, but it is also true that the Trust Companies have their own special institution of this country, and particularly in its swaddling clothes to-day, and unless supervision, first by the officials of the institutions themselves, be properly conducted, and, secondly, by the examination of the officials of the Government, we should have a very gratifying record of failures, if Trust Companies continue to do in the future as they have done in the past, will be far more numerous in the next few years.

Very many important questions have been debated on this floor to-day, or, rather, presented on this floor. Two of them are fundamental principles, which are of vital in- terest. No Trust Company can run and do an active busi- ness as a Trust Company unless they increase their cash reserve. I was one of those who had the fallacious notion that ten or twenty per cent. cash in bank was just as good as it was in your vaults. I had practical experience in one house—a very large house—which was dissolved of that notion. In half an hour there were 500 people at the doors of the institution that I have the honor to represent demanding their money, and in another hour there were 5000. And that reserve which we have all been hearing about, which was a thousand miles away, was mighty poor satis- faction to the fellow who had his money in our institution. Fortunately we—and by “we” I mean the city of St. Louis' Trust Companies, and my own bank—had an hour—was dissolved of that notion. But that reserve which we have all been hearing about, the 27th of October, 1905, and who did not then immediately start to look at his fences as to where his cash reserve was, Of course, if you are doing nothing but a fiduciary business, if you have no deposits in your savings accounts, if you
have no deposits in your banking department, if you are simply acting as a trustee and as an executor, then that principle does not follow; but if you are acting in a banking business, plain, pure and simple, whether that banking business be conducted by collateral or on commercial paper, then there it is. I would give you, gentlemen, that every one of you that carries in your vaults less than ten or fifteen per cent, and that very natural reserve in real, genuine cash is walking on thin ice, and if you go through the experience that was had in St. Louis and Baltimore and a dozen places, you will be convinced of it in a very short time. Just pause for a moment. There was the National Banking system has behind it the power, the influence, and the weight of the greatest Government on God’s green earth. In forty years we have gathered about $1,500,000,000 behind the National Banking system, over capital and surplus. In deposits it has in round figures more than $5,000,000,000. With the weight of the Government, with the best capital and the best brain in the face of the earth, that has been accomplished in forty years’ time. The Trust Company, as we understand it to-day, is practically ten years old. Of course, we all understand that the Trust Company is two or three hundred years old, but the Trust Company as we understand it to-day is an institution that has come into life and activity in the last ten or fifteen years. What have they invested? In capital and surplus, practically $1,500,000,000, and in deposits over $5,000,000,000. They are in second importance to the National Banking system. The progress of this country, if you would eliminate the Trust Company as a financial institution, would be retarded unless substituted by something better. Therefore those of us who are devoting our time to the building up of this institution ought to go back from this meeting and first look at our own books; then not only ask but beg the State Exam-iners: What is it that and if by the blessing of God we could get the National Com-panies to take over the supervision of the Trust Companies and give a super- vision the equals of that of the National Banking system, there would be in the course of the next two or three decades an institution, be a system of financing, in this country as great as the National Bank system.

The Chairman: Do I understand that your first remarks were an amendment to the resolution which was presented, or a second to that resolution?

Mr. Wade: Secunde the motion, but let it go.

The Chairman: The motion before us is that which expresses the sense of this meeting to be that the Trust Companies in the different States shall be under the supervision of the banking departments of those States. Are there any further remarks?

Arthur Heurtley, Secretary Northern Trust Company, Chicago:

Mr. Chairman, if it is in order in connection with this I would move that a committee be appointed to draft a uniform law in the several States. I scarcely think that the particular matter of the examination of Trust Companies.

The Chairman: Do you offer that as an amendment?

Mr. Phillips: Mr. Heurtley, have you?

The Chairman: Has the amendment a second?

Mr. Phillips, of Delaware: I would second the motion.

A Member: What is the motion?

The Chairman: The amendment is that a committee be appointed to take this matter in charge and to draft a law which shall be used in carrying out the terms of this motion, the law to be submitted to the several States. It might be advisable to state that in a number of States Trust Companies are not subject to examination or amenable to the State Banking Department, and I presumed it was Mr. Heurtley’s desire to cover that situation. Is the amendment accepted by the proposer of the motion?

A Member: What is the proposition?

The Chairman: To appoint a committee to draft a law and that committee I presume is to endeavor to secure the passage of such a law where it is necessary, putting the Trust Companies under State supervision.

Mr. Bridenthal: Mr. Chairman, I think this is a pretty wide country and different conditions exist on the Pacific Coast from the conditions on the Atlantic Coast, and different conditions exist in Kansas. We have a strict supervision in Kansas. I scarcely think it would be advisable in this time to suggest a uniform law. After we have once secured supervision in every State, even although the laws seem de-fective, then it seems to me it would be a better time to secure uniformity than to do it at this time. State supervi-sion, leaving it to the wise judgment of each partic-ular State as the local conditions may suggest to form that law. It occurs to me that would be a better proposition. Mr. Jaster, State Savings and Trust Company of Cleve-

land: Mr. Chairman, do I understand that the original mo-tion was that it is the sense of the meeting here that we favor some form of Trust Companies?

The Chairman: By the State banking departments of the several States, yes sir.

Mr. Jaster: Why would it not be better, then, to pass upon the recommendation of the committee before you, that you have a separate motion to the effect that a committee be appointed to do what it can to bring about a uniform law.

The Chairman: The Chair thinks that course of pro-cedure would be the proper one.

Mr. Wade: The question is on the original motion, Mr. Chairman.

The Chairman: Are you ready for the question? Crude.

The Chairman: It has been moved and seconded that it is the sense of this meeting that the Trust Companies of the country should be under the supervision of the State banking departments of those States.

Mr. Heurtley: I move now that a committee be appointed to draft a suitable law to be presented to the different legis-latures where such a law is necessary. In Illinois we al-ready have a uniform law. The Trust Companies of the State are under very strict supervision.

Mr. Phillips, of Delaware: I second the motion.

The question was taken, and the motion was agreed to.

Mr. Chairman: The question is do we think it advisable to have uniform laws in all the States alike. The laws that would be appropriate to New York State would be very different from those applicable to Southern or Western States, and the same may be said of the laws governing savings banks, the laws here to be uniform in New England and New York from those in the West and South; so I do not think we can hope at this time, owing to the different conditions in different parts of the country, to have a uniform law governing the operation of Trust Companies. It seems to me it would be well to call the attention of the banking departments of the different States to the resolution passed here, that we deem it im-portant that the Trust Companies should be under the super-vision of the banking departments of the States, and then the banking departments will take such methods and meas-ures as may seem best to each particular case. (Applause.) Mr. McCulloch, Merchants’ Loan and Trust Company, Chicago: I think I would move an amendment to the resolution referred to the Legislatures of the various States the question of making necessary variations in the control of Trust Companies, but this organization may very well establish a uniform model for the variation to the various States. It is, of course, a great amount of ignorance on the part of legis-lators and other of proper requirements for examination and control of Trust Companies, and if we adopt a model it will be a model of education for the various legislators, and they may be left to make the necessary variations to meet the local conditions. (Applause.)

Mr. Phillips: As the second of the motion, I would like to say, Mr. Chairman, that I think it very important that we should make some effort to secure uniformity throughout the different States, and if we do not make an effort in that direction obstacles will be thrown in the way of development of the Trust Companies.

Mr. Wade: The seconded by that gentleman has just said, and I move as a substitute motion that we refer it to the Executive Committee, with the suggestion that they take it up and do something in the meantime.

The Chairman: Do you withdraw the original motion withdrawn?

Mr. Heurtley: Yes.

The second was also withdrawn.

The Chairman: Then we will consider Mr. Wade’s mo-tion, to which he referred, to the Executive Committee with the recommendation of this meeting that they shall take the matter under advisement and act upon it.

The motion was agreed to.

Mr. Wade: I was not intended to take the time of the Sec-tion, but I think in justice to the Trust Companies Association of New York there should be some notice taken of the statement that there were two failures in the Association within the last thirty years—I think it.
should be stated in this connection that there is no record wherever in the Department of Banking that in either instance referred to there was the slightest loss to a depositor in either of those institutions. In the case of the American Loan Bank, that is an absolute fact, and as far as the other Trust Company is concerned, the one that failed in 1891, that we all know paid its depositors in full, and that has now been reorganized and stands as one of the strongest companies of this kind, and is, in my opinion, the most valuable, a capital of $2,500,000 and a surplus of $2,500,000.

Mr. E. D. Fisher, Secretary of the Flatbush Trust Company: Mr. Chairman, it strikes me, that in view of the different character of the different sections of the country on this question of supervision, the meeting is drifting into an error. I think there should be uniformity so far as examination is concerned, but possibly not uniformity so far as the laws governing their powers are concerned. Am I correct?

The Chairman: I do not understand it so. I think it has been generally understood that the motion recommended, suggested as the sense of this meeting.

Mr. Fisher: An examination?

The Chairman: No; that the Trust Companies of the different States be under the direct supervision of the Banking Department of the State; and also laws relative to the operations of the company. If I misunderstood the scope of that motion I suggest that I should be corrected.

Mr. Fisher: I understood two points had been passed. One was that all Trust Companies should be under the Banking Department of the respective States. That was the first resolution. The second resolution referred to the Executive Committee the question of recommending to the Legislatures of the different States the careful examination of laws to govern such companies, leaving it to the Executive Committee to take such action in the matter as the Executive Committee might think best. That is the way I understood the motions, and as I voted upon them.

The Chairman: I think Mr. Williams' explanation clears it.

Mr. Heurtley: The idea I had in mind myself was to cover principally the examination of Trust Companies by the banking department of the respective States in the various States.

The Chairman: That point has been covered in the motion which has been passed by the meeting.

STATE TRUST COMPANIES ASSOCIATIONS.

Unless there is some further discussion of this question we will pass to the next subject on our programme, "Trust Companies' Associations in different States; their possible relation to the Section." In view of the possible action of this Section in the election of vice-presidents from different States, this subject is most interesting. Unfortunately one who is not present here to-day is present before the purpose of the resolution, the election of these vice-presidents, to form a connecting link between the Section and existing State associations, or will lead to the formation of such State associations. I am informed that there were two State Associations, the one in Wisconsin and the other in New York. In Pennsylvania that association is a section of the local bankers' association. If any one has any remarks to make on the subject I hope we will hear from such gentlemen, but as I am here and present, as we will not be able to finish our programme before we shall have to take a recess for luncheon. As you know, luncheon has been provided by the New York Trust Companies.

FUNCTIONS OF THE TRUST COMPANY.

(After a pause) If there is no discussion of this question we will pass to the next subject, "How can the public best be taught the functions of the Trust Company?"

Mr. O. C. Fuller, President of the Wisconsin Trust and Security Company of Milwaukee, will give us a few suggestions.

Mr. Chairman and Members of the Trust Company Section: Having been honored with an invitation to say a few words on the subject, "How can the public best be taught the functions of the Trust Company?" I wish to say that I could not in quite as simple language explain to the public my own understanding of the work of these companies. The public is no more interested in the work before him, determined to succeed and to let nothing turn his purpose, or stop his progress, until his work is done, be considered a complete and perfect organization, and, Mr. Chairman, in that boy and hammer I thought I saw the most important factor. I want to say in making that suggestion that I have absolutely no interest in that magazine. I support it because I think it is one of the best things that has come into the way of a publication spreading the light on the Trust Company situation.

Mr. L. L. Gillespie, Vice-President of the Equitable Trust Company of New York, announced to the Section that cards to the Lawyers' Club would be given to all members.
He also announced that the Trust Companies of New York had arranged to furnish luncheon to those present, which was promptly done.

Upon motion of Mr. Heurty a vote of thanks was extended to the Trust Companies of New York.

Thereupon at 1:45 the Section took a recess for one-half hour to enable the bankers and subscribers provided through the courtesy of the New York Trust Companies, at the expiration of the recess the Section reassembled.

THE RELATION OF THE LEGAL PROFESSION TO THE TRUST COMPANY.

The Chairman: The next subject for discussion, gentlemen, is: "The Relation of the Legal Profession to the Trust Company: How Best to Secure Co-operation." We shall be glad to hear from any of the members on this subject.

Mr. L. M. Dinkins, Vice-President Interstate Trust Company, New Orleans: Mr. Chairman, we have been trying in a number of ways to interest lawyers in Trust Companies, but we find that when they have occasion to call upon some one in the administration of estates and so on that they are disposed to bestow such favors on their business associates or personal friends. However, we have done something in the way of educating them by distributing pamphlets and by advertising in the public press that when a lawyer comes in contact with or is consulted as to the administration of the estates of deceased persons, he is entitled to the same fee for his services that any other lawyer is. We have something so prepared that lawyers can send it to their clients as a testimonial of their practice.

We have thought some progress has been made even in Louisiana, where Trust Companies are comparatively new, and where their relations to the public are not so well understood as the Northern ones.

I would be very glad to hear from any representative of this Section as to the success he has met with or expects to meet with along similar lines. (Applause.)

The Chairman: Are there any other remarks on this subject?

Mr. Shorrock: I would suggest that Mr. Heurty, of Chicago, represents the Northern Trust Company, and might tell something on the subject.

Mr. Heurty: I am not a member of the legal profession, my self, but I think there is a good deal of misunderstanding, of course, on the part of lawyers on the one hand and the Trust Companies on the other on the feeling that the Trust Companies are encroaching upon the domain of the legal fraternity. We have practically been, and I think it is followed by every Trust Company in Chicago, that we use the attorney who brings the business to the corporation. It is true that we have our own legal advisers, but we use the attorney who brings us business where the estate itself has not had an attorney connected with it, and we have found that plan to work very well, indeed. The average lawyer's office is not equipped as he thinks he should be in order to handle, or to divide, what sometimes is a complicated business, and I think that the lawyers are finding out that their best interests lie in joining with the Trust Companies rather than in antagonizing them.

Mr. McCarthy: Mr. Chairman, I would like to ask the gentleman if he ever has any difficulty arising from the fact that there are a number of counsel, and if he requires supervision of the affairs of the different estates by his own counsel?

Mr. Heurty: We have had that situation very rarely; occasionally we have had it on two occasions we have had arbitrarily to set aside the attorney for the estate and employ our own counsel. The reason for this was that we would not be countenanced by any self-respecting company.

Mr. Gibson, of Denver: I would like to ask if it is the practice also to employ counsel of bondholders in the case of a foreclosure, or does the Trust Company at such a time have the right to employ its own counsel? That question has come up with us in several cases.

Mr. Heurty: The Trust Company has a right, reserves the right, to have its own counsel in foreclosure proceedings. If there are outside attorneys it is usually of such importance that we deem it wise for our own protection to have our own counsel associated in the case.

The Chairman: The next subject for discussion is discount and commercial banking. We were fortunate this morning to hear the views of the Comptroller of the Currency on this question, and they were quite satisfying in their scope. However, if any one cares to discuss the matter further, it might be well to do so now.

The next subject is practicability of Trust Companies in small towns. We trust Mr. Mord Carter, President of the Danville Trust Company of Danville, Ind., will give us a few words on the subject.

(Mr. Carter was not present.)

Are there any others who can speak on the subject?

(After a pause) You might infer that you are all from large cities.

A Member: What is a small town?

The Chairman: Mr. Carter operates a Trust Company in a town of about 2000 inhabitants, I think. I think that will bring him to his feet if I have not stated it correctly.

If there were no discussion on the suspension of the rules we will proceed to the next: "Institutions and Practices Within the Trust Companies in the Interests of Employees."

I regret that Mr. Tillotson, Vice-President of the Cleveland Trust Company, is not here to answer the "Eagle Eye." You are probably familiar with that publication. I should be glad to hear from any one else who has something to speak of along those lines. Perhaps Mr. Wade can enlighten us.

EMPLOYEES' ASSOCIATIONS.

Mr. Wade: We have such an organization in our company. We try to keep the organization to eliminate the pension feature or the charity feature, and put as it we thought all business institutions should be run, purely a business basis. We organized an employees' association, the Employees' Benefit and Security Corporation, on which was the name of the corporation. No employee can take less than five per cent. of his monthly salary in stock, nor can be take more than five per cent. The officers are barred from entering into the employees' association. The theory of the association is based on five years' duration. At the end of five years they can divide the fund just as they choose. If for any reason during the five years an employee, whether it be for bettering his condition, or doing some dishonorably act, or through disqualification through ill health or mental condition, such employee leaves, he can within sixty days thereafter withdraw his money. The fund that is created is invested in securities which the employees select, but which they are not permitted to buy or sell without the approval of the board of directors. That is the way it happen to be at that particular time. Our company, as you can doubtless tell from my remarks, is new, and we have only been running that employees' association about four years. They have added to their fund a profit of about thirty per cent. Semi-annually we contribute as a gift—I say "we," I mean the Trust Company—a sum of money to that fund which is practically equivalent to five per cent. of the salaries of the employees. There is no question that it is entirely optional. I think they have found it to be so profitable that I am proud to say that all our employees are stockholders in the association.

Mr. Stickley, of Providence: How many employees have you in your corporation?

Mr. Wade: One hundred and forty-five.

Mr. Wade (answering a question which could not be heard from the platform): The employees buy stock just as you or I would if we bought it on a five per cent., margin. They pay five dollars per share. If their salary would only justify them in buying half a share they would pay two dollars and a half, and so on. The amount contributed by the Trust Company is voluntary, and whether be an inducement held out that that contribution will be kept on. It is usual at the end of every six months for the officers to recommend to the Executive Committee that out of the profits of the past six months so much shall be contributed as a gift to the Employees' Investment Association.

A Member: There is no other gratuity, then?

Mr. Wade: No, sir, no pension: they are under no obligation to us, and we are not under any to them.

Mr. McNair, of Buffalo: We have in Buffalo an institution of bank clerks. It has been in existence about five years. During the fall and winter they have monthly meetings; and the clerks of all the banks of the city, savings banks, national banks, Trust Companies and all, are eligible to membership. The members of this association prepare very able papers. They commence at the bottom of the bank and present papers in series so as to cover the entire workings of the bank. The clerks of the Trust Company and the saving banks. They show a surprising amount of interest in this subject, and it is constantly growing. I do not think...
that there is any feature connected with it along the line that Mr. Wade has suggested.

The Chairman: A praiseworthy feature of the work of the Bondman Society is the establishment of those associations—I think they are associations. There are twenty-eight chapters, the Secretary advises me, throughout the country. The results, as you will hear to-morrow from the record of the chairman of that committee, are most encouraging. If Mr. Babcock wishes to have the members think about the subject, I would like to hear what such men as Mr. Heartley or Mr. Wade have to say on the subject.

The Chairman: Mr. Babcock would like to return to the subject of commercial and discount banking. If that is your pleasure we would be very glad to do so. Does any one offer any suggestion on the subject? If not, we will pass it. The next subject is fees charged for different services. We would like to hear brief statements of the fees customarily charged for the registration of and transfer of stock, the trusteeship of bonds, and so forth. We would like to hear from Mr. Pierre Jay, Vice-President of the Old Colony Trust Company of Boston.

FEES FOR TRUST COMPANY WORK.

Mr. Jay, Old Colony Trust Company, Boston: Mr. Chairman, in regard to transferring the stock and registering, there is no scale of charges. In every case it is a question of making the best bargain with the company whose stock we transfer. It is a rule we have laid down the city satisfactory to make bargains in advance, and we have tried to persuade the companies to allow us to transfer their stock or register it for a year, and at the expiration of the year, after we have had some experience, then to decide on a fee. In regard to charging for fiduciary work, acting as trustee especially, the charge in Boston is five per cent. of the income. Trust Companies in Boston have not made much progress in getting fiduciary work too. It is mostly in the hands of individuals. Where more than one trustee is appointed in the case of an estate then five per cent. is divided between them.

Mr. H. C. Harvey, Huntington, W. Va.: Mr. Chairman, I would like to ask the question as to what is customary in charging for acting as trustees in a bond issue.

The Chairman: I would be glad to hear from Mr. Babcock, the Trust Officer of the Colonial Trust Company of New York, to get such experience in such matters.

Mr. Babcock: What is the question?

The Chairman: The question is what fees are usually charged for acting as trustee for the issues of corporate bonds.

Mr. Babcock: The charge is usually fifty cents a bond.

Mr. McCarthy: I would like to ask whether there is a counsel fee usually charged in addition to the fifty cents.

Mr. Babcock: Sometimes, yes; but as a rule there is no extra charge. I might say in addition that they charge fifty cents a bond simply for the certification of the local bonds, that if you expect to pay the coupons the money should be deposited at least ten days ahead. If it is not deposited until the day the coupons are payable the Trust Companies usually make a charge of one-eighth or one-quarter of a per cent. It is usual also to charge $50 a year for registering. But, generally speaking, the charge, if you get $100, covers everything.

Mr. Babcock: Sometimes that about the charge on sinking funds?

Mr. Babcock: If you have a sinking fund established you have the use of the money, and that is considered sufficient compensation. Of course there might be circumstances arise where there would be considerable detail about the sinking fund, and where it would be proper to make a charge, but I do not know of any fixed rate. It would depend a great deal on circumstances. It would depend, for one thing, on how long you had the money.

Mr. Chairman: Mr. Chairman, I do not know whether to consider myself a country member or a city member. I come from Wilmington, and Wilmington is a city of nearly 100,000 population, and is in a very flourishing condition, with three most wonderful companies. At Convention time the city is pretty bad, but here I am rather inclined to think that New York is only a greater city now before the Convention is a very interesting one to me, and I feel inclined in behalf of Wilmington to make a complaint against our brethren who have overestimated the liability of Trust Companies, in view of Mr. Rollin's able paper this morning. The Trust Company that assumes the duties of registrar and transfer agent takes the risk of having its entire capital wiped out by some blunder on the part of its officers, or some mistake in the transaction of its duties in that respect. I have been very much embarrassed with the effort to adjust the relations between my corporation and other companies in the matter of fees for acting in that capacity, and just now I have under negotiation the question of the proper charge for acting as trustee under a mortgage for a bond issue of $1,500,000. I have come round with the statement, somewhat similar to the one made a moment ago, that it is only a nominal charge after all that a Trust Company should make for assuming the great responsibility and acting as trustee under a mortgage.

I would suggest that the New York members especially get themselves straightened out on this question in the light of Mr. Rollin's suggestion, and set an example and be of decided assistance to Trust Companies in the smaller cities. Certainly the responsibility assumed is very, very great. The corporation issuing the bonds or stocks gets the advantage of the reputation and the name of the Trust Company that acts in its behalf. For that, first of all, there should be a very handsome fee, and for that fee, after the actual work of transfer. The mere clerical part is very small, but it requires a great deal of skill and care. And so it seems to me that the fee ought to be a very handsome one, and that a hundred dollars for simple acts of a way of handling the responsibility resting upon the Trust Companies.

In a bond issue of $1,500,000 I am bold enough to suggest to my New York friends that the preliminary fee ought to be less than $1,500, that there should be an annual fee in accord with the preliminary fee, and that might be made to cover the clerical and any other duties that might incidentally fall upon the Trust Company, the trustees and the payment of the coupons. I would certainly make the basis $150 for a bond issue of $1,500,000.

Mr. Borne, of the Colonial Trust Company, New York: Mr. Chairman, I have listened to the remarks of the gentleman from Wilmington, and I would spoken with peculiar interest, and it would give me much pleasure to profit by them if possible. Unfortunately, there is competition. If we could be certain that the competition would be eliminated I should not only charge $150 but $15,000. The gentleman has rather confounded two functions. He speaks indiscriminately of a bond issue and of transfers. The transfer of stock is one function of a Trust Company; the acting as trustee for an issue of bonds is an entirely different function, in that the former involves running very little risk, whereas the mortgage is properly drawn the risk of a Trust Company is nil. Its functions are purely clerical, we may say, in such cases. It simply acts as a registrar, if you so like to call it, of a certain number of bonds. There is a great deal of responsibility. The company does not place itself behind a particular issue for which it certifies; but it acts quite as much for those who take the bond as it does in the interest of the issuing party.

We are very glad to receive our 50 cents a bond; we are very glad where there is an issue of, say, $1,500,000 to take our 50 cents a bond, and ask nothing for counsel fee. We are glad to have the money deposited with us ten days prior to the time of paying the coupons, and glad to pay them without any fee for it. We are glad to have the sinking fund deposited with us ten days before it is necessary to use it, and we figure the use of the money for ten days will indemnify us for the trouble we undertake, and if we do it for our neighbor around the corner would be glad to do it.

Now, if the gentleman from Wilmington will find for us a way under which we, and every one of us, can eliminate the competition of the neighbors we would be delighted to raise our rates, but he would like us to charge.

A Member: From what the gentleman says I do not think he has quite the same idea of what Mr. Rollin's paper means as I have. I may be mistaken, but I was under the impression of good name of your officers for the bond issues.

Mr. Borne: It does nothing of the kind, sir.

A Member: I respectfully submit that if you certify the bonds on the same basis we are paid for them, and that while the mortgage relieves you of the responsibility, still your reputation is to a certain extent a guarantee for the bond. Many persons may come to you and say, "I took the bonds because I saw your company's name on them."
The legal and technical objection would remain all right, you can get out of that all right; but if I am wrong as to Mr. Rollin's position on the question, I trust that he or some gentleman here will correct me, for I do not want to make a home with an erroneous impression as to the responsibility of every company that takes the responsibility of a mortgage.

One of the point of rivalry, which seems to be as acute in New York as elsewhere, I will relate a simple, commonplace incident that will meet the point of the gentleman and convey a lesson. Some time ago the company I have the honor to represent was asked to retain a bond and transfer agent of a company about to issue $25,000 of bonds. I went over the ground very carefully and I concluded that a proper preliminary fee would be $120, and that a proper final fee would be $85. I was told that the annual fee should cover all the incidental services, including the payment of the coupons. The president of the company was a personal friend of mine and a patron of our company, and I was extremely anxious to give us the business. Now, here is the point. He can take and send a Trust Company in Pennsylvania was willing to do the preliminary work for $5 and charge nothing for its annual services. I said, "Do not go to them, because probably a third company may offer you something for the privilege of doing the work." So I was in New York I would fix the compensation fairly and stand there.

Mr. Borne: Mr. Chairman, it would be simply a question of the price. If the gentleman does not understand the truth the price we now charge is one that has been fixed honestly and fairly; it is the result of competition, but it is a fair price and we are all satisfied with it. I am perfectly satisfied with 50 cents if the principal is suitably regarded for any particular issue of bonds. I have no doubt we have refused hundreds of bonds where we could have gotten our fee. We could have certified to the correctness of the bond issue; we could not have been liable; but we have not been satisfied with the correct price. Sometimes I can say that the gentleman speaks of the registration and transfer of bonds. There is no such thing as the registration and transfer of bonds. Mr. Rollin's paper treated of companies acting as transfer agents for stock. In other words, a company transferred certain amounts of property. That is a different question from that of certifying bonds. (Applause.)

Mr. Luckey, of the Mississippi Valley Trust Company of St. Louis: Mr. Chairman, this question of fees for services is an extremely interesting one. Very frequently we have had the question brought home to us in a way that we did not like. We fix our fees upon a basis that we think right after a careful and just investigation, that we think Trust Company should give before acting as a trustee under a corporate mortgage, or as the transfer agent or registrar of stock. Of course the Trust Companies recognize that there is a moral responsibility resting upon them in any connection they may have with that, and the Trust Company allows its name to be used in the furtherance of a corporate enterprise, whether its name be used as registrar and transfer agent of the stock of the corporation or as trustee under the mortgage securing its bond issue, there is a responsibility, and the failure of the enterprise will smite that Trust Company's fair name. So that it has always occurred to us that in order to pay for the incidental and clerical services and for the expenses of some arrangement or discretion in considering the advisability of entering into such a relationship, there should be a good fee charged for those services.

I agree with the gentleman from Wilmington that the speculation in bonds is above question a debasing influence on the community. We cannot for 50 cents a bond, or 25 cents a bond, afford to do the things that are necessary to do. At least in the West, in acting as trustee under a bond issue, we cannot afford it.

Looking at it simply from the moral side of the question, I think that is true. But if the gentleman will read the case of Farmers' Loan and Trust Company in the United States reports I forget the volume, but we find that the moral responsibility is not the only responsibility instead of buying bonds. In fact, in that case the language of the Court is so broad that one cannot fail to be impressed that his legal responsibility is as broad as his moral responsibility. So we, before we take a trustee under a bond issue, are very careful to investigate those bonds to the extent of knowing that there is not only actual value there, but that there is a value somewhat commensurate with the authorized issue. (Applause.)

As I say, the language of that case is very broad, and it is held through that the trustee's duties are not simply to say that the company has been properly incorporated, and that the bonds have been properly issued, but that the trust company does by its certificate convey to the investing public the idea that this enterprise has been investigated and that there is value back of the securities which are issued in connection with its name.

We in St. Louis have tried very hard to charge a fee of not less than $1 a thousand for certifying bonds. Frequent we have lost trusteeship through our friends in New York. Of course, where they set million-dollar bond issues they can afford to charge a little less per cent. Sometimes we have found that in competition locally, have lost an issue through our local friends. It has suggested itself to us frequently that there should be among Trust Companies, not only in localities, but Trust Companies generally that a certain amount of unity, some sort of an understanding, which would bring about the adjustment of fees for services upon a basis fair and commensurate with the value of those services, just the same as trying to get a fair and proper representative price in some of the of having their bonds certified, of the value of having the Trust Company name as trustee agent and registrar of its stocks. When we get to the question of fees and they find out, after examining upon them the importance of their taking that safeguard, something about it, and when they tell us what the fee is, at times they will say: "Your fee is not commensurate with your expression of the importance of that undertaking; if it is of less importance, you charge us too little for it?" And I think we lose some business by the cheapness of our fee.

But I should like very much to see some movement on the part of this Association to bring about a getting together upon the question of fees for various Trust services. (Applause.)

Mr. Powers: On the subject of fees, we are all interested, of course, because that is chiefly what we are working on. I believe that you are inferences from Wilmington are right in trying to convince this convention that neither be nor Wilmington people belong to the cheap skates party, and I think they ought to get all the fees they can. But it seems to me that on this question of the importance or condition as to certifying bonds. What do you certify? Nothing with reference to the value of the property; nothing with reference to the repudiation of the people who issue the bonds: you simply certify that this is an issue of $100,000 or a million dollars and that this bond is one of that series, and no more.

Now, then, the charge of 50 cents or $1 on the thousand —of course, we know what we have, and we are willing to say to a man I will charge him a dollar for an issue of a hundred thousand dollars. I do not allow him to talk to me about going to New York. New York is too far away. The truth of the matter is, gentlemen, that we ought to have some arrangement for fees independent of that fact, where we handle these bonds. You cannot undertake to handle bonds in small issues and make an investment unless you get paid for it. In regard to receiving the interest on the money for ten days and that compensation, that may be a long time with the average New Yorker, but we fellows who live in Kentucky think that ten days is not worth a scratch. It is true we can only half a dozen men in ten days, but that doesn't count.

The truth of the matter is, we are working too little. The competition is right and sharp, but we must meet the competition. If you have in Louisville fourteen
Trust Companies you must reduce them to five, which you have just done in a very systematic sort of way. In Wilmington if you have more than you can take care of, let these New York fellows know it, and they will take them in out of the wet, as they take in everything else out of the wet. (Laughter.)

Mr. Lackey: I will just add that I was so startled by the principles enunciated in the case that I have referred to that I have taken considerable pains to investigate that subject. I find that cannot be decisive of real law on the subject or whether it was simply a passing fancy. I will say that while I have not been able to find any decisions that go as far as in the statement of the principles you have stated, and that the issue is a regular, that there is something behind that issue. A wild cat bond issue, for instance. That is the New York Trust Company, and they are to render the trustee, under that decision, personally liable. That case is a very interesting one. If you will take the trouble that I took in writing for the briefs of the defendant and the plaintiff in the case—I have all the briefs that were filed in the Supreme Court—you will find it is an extremely interesting compilation of the law, and it will leave nobody any reason to doubt what he will be up against if he goes to court to out a cat bond issue.

Mr. Borne: Mr. Chairman, I entirely agree with the gentleman. I have said that I speak for the New York Trust Companies, and that I know none of them will certify the wild cat bond issue—we certainly will not; but given that there is no issue but the issuer and the people are honest, and that the entire corporation is reputable, then we are justified in certifying, and we have no further responsibility, as my friend from Kentucky indicates.

Mr. Williams: I agree, Mr. Chairman, of the New York Trust Company, made the remark, I think, that there is no such thing as registration of bonds. Of course, he forgot for the moment that a large portion of bonds issued are registered, and registering them takes the same responsibility that the trustee takes in registering stock. The furnishing of aid in the same manner and distinct; that of acting as trustee for the bond itself and acting as transfer agent for registered bonds.

The Honorable Trust Company of Indianapolis: Mr. Chairman, I want to say that I am quite appalled at the statement of the gentleman from New York as to their charges in the matter of services rendered by the Trust Companies. We have looked to New York for an example, and I will say as far as my company is concerned I would not think of the charges New York companies are making, and if their charges are seriously going into effect I am afraid it will ruin our business throughout the United States. We find in making our charges that the charges of the New York Trust Companies are referred to. To my mind, it appears that New York is making a small issue of a trust mortgage to make a minimum charge of $350. If the mortgage runs from $100,000 to $200,000 we charge a dollar a bond. If the bonds are issued in smaller denominations then we change six to five cents additional for each bond. For the larger mortgages we charge ten to fifty cents a bond. We charge one cent per on disbursing interest, and we do not forego that charge. We feel that that is enough. We do not feel that the use of money for ten days is a compensation for our service.

In the disbursement of sinking funds we charge one-fourth of one cent per, and we find very little trouble in collecting that charge. We feel that our certificate is worth something to our court and to your court, we can write with you, you can possibly afford to do that much, that much and five cents; but you cannot afford to put your signature to a bond and make it cheap. It is worth something to the community. It is worth something to the party issuing the mortgage, and we have said that is what we demand and the New York Trust Company of Indianapolis goes on a bond it is worth something, and we want to be paid for it.

When it comes to the registration of stock, we have a charge for the registration of each certificate of stock. That is a special arrangement. But we do feel that this matter of charges is a very serious one and a very important one, and we do feel that the New York Trust Companies, and the gentleman from the United States to set us a good example. (Applause.)

Mr. Borne: Mr. Chairman, may I again call your attention for a moment? The gentleman who has just spoken speaks of the cutting of prices on the part of New York Trust Companies, and then mentions his own schedule of prices. I may state that there has been no cutting of prices on the part of the New York Trust Companies to my certain knowledge for the past seven years. When I entered the business the price for small issues was one dollar a bond. That is what the company that the gentleman represents charges to-day. We still charge that. The price for large issues is fifty cents; that is the charge to-day, it was then, and is now. There has been no cutting of prices. The Indianapolis company which the gentleman represents charges that.

Why should New York companies be referred to as cutting prices and doing business for nothing when we are charged something else as much or higher than those mentioned by the gentleman in many cases. I mention this with no particle of feeling whatever.

Mr. Thompson, Bankers' Trust Company, New York: Mr. Borne is referring to the New York Trust Companies, and in emphasis of what Mr. Borne has just said, the question of fees is purely a matter of discussion. The established rate is one dollar a bond, and all the Trust Companies in New York attempt to maintain that rate, and to maintain it on small issues. In the case of large issues, involving large amounts of money, of course the rates are necessarily reduced.

So in the matter of counsel fees. In a very large issue and a long time period, a matter of several years, the counsel fee may be waived. In case of a small issue I think it is universally charged.

Another thing that New York Trust Companies are careful about is the question of their moral responsibility. They realize that the extent and nature of the business in that very phase of the question. I think that every New York Trust Company realizes fully that its name attached to a bond as trustee adds a certain weight to that bond, and in no case will it consent to come to any arrangement in a way that might sugest the character of the people issuing that bond, and unless it is entirely satisfied as to the good repute of the proposition. It realizes that its name may be used by people who are not worthy of having its name used to issue bonds. And for that reason the utmost care is used that its name may not be improperly used, and that its name may be associated with issues of the highest character.

I do not think there is any disposition on the part of the New York Trust Companies to cut rates or to undertake risks, or to minimize to themselves their responsibility. (Applause.)

Mr. McCulloch: What is the charge for the reinvestment of trust funds?

A Member: Two and a half per cent.

Mr. Hobson, Norristown Trust Company, Pennsylvania: Mr. Chairman, while it is very interesting to hear the gentlemen from New York, I want to say that the Trust Companies in the different sections of the country, it would appear to me to be impossible to fix a set of fees which are applicable to all localities.

Now, we do not get the same price for the money we loan that you do in New York. We would starve, we in the country districts, if we had to loan money at the low rate it is loaned at in New York; and, perhaps, we would starve if we had to receive the same amount per piece for bonds that we certify—for the reason that we do a different class of business. A comparatively small company like my own, in a comparatively small town, necessarily gets comparatively small mortgages and bonds to certify, and we can get a larger fee than we could if we were certifying and acting in competition with the larger companies of the larger cities. They charge more for other things. We are glad to get a modest fee for the certificate that we give, but the companies in New York would not care to bother with. Now, the compensation for bonds for them and for us cannot be the same. It is very much like attorneys' fees. When it comes to attorneys' fees, when we hear what they receive in New York, we in Pennsylvania feel half starved. We get $100 quicker than a man who comes from above Norristown (Laughter.) And they pay it more willingly, too, far more willingly. And we receive it from below Norristown $25. And so in the matter of Trust Companies, while we feel that there is a minimum fee that should
be charged which we cannot go below, yet there is a wide field in the other direction, and I do not think it is possible, nor advisable, that we should all charge the same. At least, I am trying my very best to get the York Bank to agree with me in Norristown, Pa. And, on the other hand, I have no doubt that New York would not bother with the little things which we in Norristown think are very rich and juicy, (Laughter and applause.)

So I feel, Mr. Chairman, that while this is edifying, and while it is instructive to get these statements of the different fees that are charged, that it is impossible to fix on a definite idea of that is to be charged universally for the same kind of service.

FORM OF REGISTRY CERTIFICATE.

Mr. Heartley, Northern Trust Company, Chicago: Mr. Chairman, with the permission of the Section I would like to read a letter which I have asked our counsel to write me, stating the substance of a conversation I had with him about the regulation of stock Trust Companies.

Arthur Hearley, Esq., Secretary, The Northern Trust Company, City:

Dear Sir:

Referring to our conversations about the registration of stock by Trust Companies, and answering your request that I make a brief written statement of my position, I send you the following:

1. The Trust Companies, yours Included, are in the habit of placing upon certificates of stock the word "registered" with the Trust Company's name thereunder, followed by the words "Agent to register transfers."

2. What does such registration mean? And under what moral or legal liability does the Trust Company labor with respect to the same?

(a) In my mind, the answer to the first question is that such registrations are, at any rate, more than simply the word "registered" as agent for the Company the stock of which is registered, has written on the face of the certificate. I was of the opinion and still am that the Trust Company simply acted as the agent for the other Company, from the briefness of the act and the general attitude of the stock, it seems to have been assumed, at least, that the Trust Company expresses to the public regarding the stock which is registered certificates; but the company whose stock is registered has, if its affairs have been administered, no further concern with the Trust Company or the registration. Obviously the chief purpose is to give the purchaser of the stock to be registered a third party for the registration after the stock has been issued. I do not doubt that the courts of some of the States, at least, will recognize such an agreement.

(b) As soon as it is admitted that the registration is procured for the benefit of the purchaser, and not for some other third party, it is very necessary that their rights be considered, and where the Trust Company, as the later, did undertake holding the registrar to financial responsibility.

(c) But as far as I can determine, it is certain that the certificate in itself, as such, contains no such relation to the purchaser of the registered certificates as to make it in every case so strong that in the event of default, the Trust Company should turn out to be a broker, or otherwise unlawful, still so well arranged Trust Company would wish to stand under even the moral obligation to this in respect.

(c) If you call to mind the difference between the situations in the case of certification of a well-arranged bond issue and the registration of stock, you will probably see what is in my mind more clearly.

(a) In case of the bond issue the bond itself provides that it shall not be payable to bearer, and the ownership is authenticated by the signature of the Trust Company to the trustee's certificates on its back, and every well drawn Trust deed of trust, or any similar instrument, is the entire property. The bond is itself the certificate of the thing it gives, and the bond not bearing the trustee's certificate shall be entitled to any rights under the bond, and it is in the bond itself that the holder of an uncertified bond can have claim against the maker of the instrument. The same is true with respect to bonds registered by the Trust deed. In other words, the record itself in the possession of the Trust Company is complete against everybody and before the bond is issued.

(b) In case of the registration of stock the Trust Company ordinarily holds the certificates in possession, and in the possession of the certificate, there is an act upon which it acts, and if it did have the records there would still be question of the fact that they have their registration, and the certificate in the possession of the Trust Company; thus, ordinarily, you have not before you the charter of the company naming the original amount of the stock, or, if the stock has been increased by statutory proceedings, you, ordinarily, have not before you all authenticated records of the steps relating and leading up to such increase, and further, if you had such records in your possession there might still be questions concerning the validity of the steps made to create the corporation, or the terms steps taken to increase its capital stock.

4. This subject always occurs to me every time I see a short form of certificate of registration. What the Trust Companies ought to have is, by general agreement, a uniform set of words which would express that the stock is to be registered. In the purpose of the Section I believe that the certificate registered, together with all other certificates bearing the registration of the Trust Company, did not cover a greater number of certificates than were necessary to cover the certificate of increase of stock of the corporation. Those words could be so drawn as to oblige the Trust Company from any responsibility relating to the validity of the charter or as to the change in the proceedings to increase.

I have been told that there has lately been some discussion about this matter in New York, and also some litigation of interest upon the subject. I have not yet been able to ascertain what the facts were. You probably have been as quickly as I can.

Yours truly,

NOBLE B. JUDAH.

It seems to me, Mr. Chairman, that if the members of the Committee could view the whole subject upon the importance of that our counsel does it might be well to have this question referred to the Executive Committee and have a uniform form of certificate to be placed on stock certificates, also to be used on trustee certificates on bonds, that would obviate a great deal of trouble in the future.

Mr. Gibson: I would like to recommend that this letter be made a part of the proceedings of the meeting and that the Executive Committee be directed to take such action as is suggested.

The Chairman: Do you make that as a motion?

Mr. Gibson: Yes.

The question was taken and the motion was agreed to.

Mr. Dinkins: I would like to inquire from the representative of the Old Colony Trust Company what action his company takes to protect itself in the case of a lost stock certificate.

Mr. Jay: Simply the filing of a bond of indemnity, Mr. Dinkins: Do you mark the new certificate a duplicate of the old one?

Mr. Jay: I could not tell you that.

Mr. Dinkins: I wish Mr. Heartley would state the custom of his company.

Mr. Heartley: In the first place, we require a bond of indemnity. In the second place, across the face of the certificate issued in lieu of the one lost we have marked in red ink the fact that it has been issued in lieu of that certificate and that the original is void, and that the new certificate is void if the original is lost. In case that certificate is lost we follow that with another certificate in the same way.

Mr. Wade: Mr. Chairman, I think this question would be better handled if we referred it to the Executive Committee collectively. I have heard New York charged with a great many sins, but I have never heard them charged with cutting anything except watermelons. In different sections we have different prices. But what I am getting at is that it seems to me we had better refer this to the Executive Committee, after all of this very delightful discussion, for them to formulate some plan to take up at the next convention, and I would suggest that Mr. Hart, of West Virginia: If you will be kind enough to indulge me a few minutes, Mr. Chairman and gentlemen, I would simply say that it is my understanding that we all go in to get what we can: in other words, we try to make the punishment fit the crime, and I know what New York understands by large and small. What we in Wheeling, West Virginia might consider large would be small here. How large an issue does a fifty cent fee for bonds include?

A Member: A million dollars.

Mr. Hart: We charge one cent, up to $50,000. After $500,000 it is made subject to a special contract. But in no case do we charge more than $100.

A Member: I second Mr. Wade's motion.

The Chairman: It has been moved and seconded that this matter be referred to the Executive Committee for report at our next annual meeting.

The question being taken, the motion was agreed to.

AMENDMENT PROVIDING FOR ELECTION OF VICE-PRESIDENTS.

The Chairman: The next business to come before us is the consideration of the recommendations of the Executive Committee.

The Secretary read as follows: "The Executive Committee recommends the adoption of the following amendment to the by-laws of the Section:"

Amend first and second paragraphs of Section 1 of the by-laws of the Trust Company Section so that the same shall read as follows:

Section 1: The administration of the affairs of the Section shall be vested in a President and a First Vice-President of the Section, and an Executive Committee consisting of ten members from each State from which there shall be at the time of an annual meeting, ten Trust Companies which are members of the American Bankers' Association. There shall be elected a Vice-President of the Section. The Vice-Presidents of the Section shall be invited to attend the meetings of the Executive Committee, and shall generally sit in an advisory capacity to the Executive Committee, but shall not be entitled to vote. The Executive Committee shall consist of fifteen members in addition to the President and First Vice-President, who shall be ex officio members of such Executive Committee.

The President, Vice-Presidents and members of the Executive Committee shall not receive any reasonable expenses or retainers rather than but shall not be eligible for re-election for the period of one year after the expiration of their respective terms of office.

The Chairman: What is your pleasure regarding the amendment?

Mr. Horton: I would like to ask a question as to the number of States that will be entitled to a Vice-President.

The Chairman: The amendment provides for election of a
Vice-President from each State where there shall be at the time of an annual meeting ten or more Trust Companies members of the American Bankers' Association.

Mr. Horton: We have nine members in Alabama now. I think we ought to have a Vice-President there, and if we had I think we could increase the membership in the American Bankers' Association.

The Chairman: After consideration of the subject it seemed wise to the Executive Committee to place the number at ten. A letter was circulated some time ago asking about it, and the result has been that there has been a great deal of work done in the different States to qualify and be able to have a Vice-President. Now, Alabama will undoubtedly be the next. Our list comprises twelve or fifteen States at present, and it seemed wise to put the limit at ten.

Mr. Harvey, of West Virginia: I would just like to make a suggestion to my friend from Alabama. I come from the little State of South Carolina. The Little State of South Carolina says that Alabama has only nine members, and I would suggest that he had better get to work with his other eight members and get him more members. The little State of West Virginia has a dozen members.

A Member: Mr. Chairman, this seems to resolve itself into a question of representation largely, and while I do not for a moment intend to suggest anything that will interfere with the purposes of the Executive Committee, yet we will suggest that we amend the by-laws of our fifteen Vice-Presidents. You will have one from the great State of New York; you will have one from West Virginia; you will have one from Virginia, the mother of Presidents, and none from Alabama, heading the list of States.

The Chairman: May I ask the speaker to pardon me? The list from which the speaker was reading was made up three weeks ago, and since then the activity which has been referred to has occurred and many of the States have qualified and elected their Vice-Presidents. (Cries of "Question! Question!")

A Member: I would like to ask if North Carolina has qualified?

The Chairman: Yes, it has.

Upon motion, duly seconded, the amendment as read was adopted.

STANDING COMMITTEE ON CLASSIFICATION OF LEGAL DECISIONS AFFECTING SAFE DEPOSIT COMPANIES.

The Secretary read as follows:

"The Executive Committee calls the attention of the Section to the following recommendations of the Committee on Classification of Legal Decisions Relating to Safe Deposit Companies, etc.:"

The classification of the work your committee has found that new laws and legal decisions are constantly appearing affecting to a greater or less degree the Trust Companies conducting the safe deposit business throughout the country. We have also found that constant improvement and changes of methods of operation and of advertising are being introduced which justify the recommendation to the Executive Committee that the question should be submitted to the Section of the appointment of a standing committee whose duty it shall be to render an annual report containing the line of work undertaken by this committee." The Executive Committee reports favorably on this recommendation.

Mr. Powers: I move that the recommendation be concurred in.

Mr. Jay: And that the standing committee be composed of five members.

Mr. Powers: Yes.

The question was taken and the motion was agreed to.

Mr. McNair: In accordance with the recommendation of the Executive Committee I move that a committee of three be appointed by the Chair whose duty it shall be to endeavor to secure the enactment of laws in the several States prohibiting the use of the word "trust" in the corporate title of any corporation not a moneyed corporation and to the Trust Company business.

Several Members: I second the motion.

The question was taken and the motion was agreed to.

SYMPATHY WITH MR. BRECKINRIDGE JONES.

The Chairman: Gentlemen, I have a letter which I desire to read to you from your Chairman, Mr. Breckinridge Jones:

Mr. DEAR MR. WILLIAMS:

As much as I regret it, I will have to tell you that I cannot attend the approaching meeting of the Trust Company Section. You know the deep interest I have in the success of the Section and my original desire to attend this meeting and participate in the splendid work that the Section has in hand. Yet I am sure that you and my good friends will find the meeting highly impressive. The program I was not now so situated that I would be an acceptable companion on an occasion that has so many festive incidents.

I regret the immediate duties to my children and the fact that the meeting is to be held just about the time when I will be arranging for the beginning of their school year; render it necessary that I should be with them.

I beg that you will express to the members of the Section who may be present at the meeting my disappointment at not being with them. With assurances of my cordial consideration, believe me, your dear Mr. Williams, your friend,

BRECKINRIDGE JONES.

ST. LOUIS, MO., September 2, 1904.

It was decided by the Executive Committee to present at this time to Mr. Breckinridge Jones, the founder and retiring Chairman of the Section, a loving cup, which is inscribed as follows:

To Breckinridge Jones, father of the Trust Company Section of the American Bankers' Association, in affectionate recognition of his devotion to the interests of the Section. September 22, 1896. September 23, 1904.

His cup of sorrow is filled to overflowing, but we shall send this cup to him filled with the love and affection of his friends. Mr. Breckinridge Jones has shown, that its contents may mingle with that of the other to lessen its bitterness, and to give it some slight degree.

Mr. Wade: Mr. Chairman and gentlemen, as you all know, Mr. Jones has met with a misfortune that seldom comes. It has happened, in the sad death of his beloved wife at a time in the heyday of life. I think it would be very fitting that proper resolutions of sympathy should be adopted by this Convention, through a committee to be appointed for that purpose, properly engaged and so disposed to that purpose I move a committee of three be appointed, with the acting President of the Convention as the chairman of such committee.

The motion was seconded by Mr. Powers, of Kentucky, and a number of other members.

The question was taken and the motion was unanimously adopted.

The Chairman: I wish to ask Mr. Wade and Mr. John Sholton Williams to join me in this expression of your sympathy.

NOMINATIONS AND ELECTIONS.

The nominations and elections of officers are now in order.

Mr. Wade: Mr. Chairman, in accordance with the custom that has been observed in the past, it is my desire to nominate a man who unfortunately, through an accident to his wife, was prevented from attending this Convention. I refer to Mr. Potter, of the American Trust and Savings Bank of Chicago. I wish to nominate him for President.

Mr. Young: Mr. Chairman, I move that the Secretary be instructed to cast the ballot of the Section for Mr. Potter as the President of the Section for the coming year.

It was so ordered, and the Secretary cast the ballot accordingly.

The Chairman: Mr. Potter is elected President.

Nominations for First Vice-President are now in order.

Mr. Enright: Mr. Chairman, it has been customary to move up our officers one step. We have been served as Chairman of the Executive Committee by a gentleman of ability, who has been a tireless worker and most intelligent in his efforts. I wish to nominate that gentleman for First Vice-President—Mr. Clark Williams. (Applause.)

A Member: I move the Secretary cast the ballot.

The motion was numerously seconded.

The question was taken and the motion was unanimously agreed to.

The Secretary: Mr. Clark Williams is elected Vice-President.

The Chairman (Mr. Clark Williams): I much appreciate this honor, gentlemen. It has been a great pleasure to have associated in the work of the Section with the members of the Executive Committee: it is a great regret to me that they are now retiring. I feel, too, that no one should accept office in this Section of the American Bankers' Association unless he feel a keen desire for the success of the Section and is willing to contribute as much as is necessary of his effort
and time to accomplish the end for which we are all working. Gentlemen, I thank you. (Applause.)

I will read the names of States which, under the amendment to our articles of association or our by-laws, are entitled to the election of a Vice-President. I will also give the number of members that each State has.

California; 14; Connecticut; 11; Illinois; 20; Indiana; 15; Kentucky; 19; Maine; 16; Maryland; 15; Massachusetts; 41; New Jersey; 22; New York; 71; North Carolina; 10; Ohio; 20; Pennsylvania; 109; Rhode Island; 11; Tennessee; 12; Virginia; 10; West Virginia; 11.

In order that we may save time I suggest that Vice-Presidents be nominated. I hope the gentlemen from the different States have canvassed on this subject, as was suggested the other day, and that the nomination be made from different States and acted upon at one time. Of course, if more than one nomination is made from a State it will be necessary to resort to the ballot.

An informal recess of five minutes was taken at this point to enable the States to get together on the nomination of Vice-Presidents.

At the conclusion of the recess, the Section reassembled.

The Chairman: We will hear nominations from the State of California.

The following gentlemen were elected:


A Member: Mr. Chairman, I move that the Executive Committee when elected have authority to fill the position of Vice-President from those States from which no nominations have been received, upon recommendation from the States, if they can obtain that recommendation.

The motion was seconded and adopted.

The Chairman: The next is the election of two members of the Executive Committee.

Mr. Buroc: I move a committee of three or five be appointed by you to bring in the names of gentlemen who are placed in nomination.

The motion was seconded and adopted.

The Chairman: I would appreciate it very much if you, Mr. Southard, would act on the committee, and you, Mr. Williams, and Mr. Gobleman; Mr. Vance and Mr. Adams, of the Union Trust Company, of Albany. Now, if you gentlemen will kindly retire. Gentlemen, while this committee is out if there are any more remarks to be made on the questions of our discussion if we can limit those remarks to the time of the return of the committee we shall be very glad to use the intervening time.

THANKS TO NEW YORK BANKERS FOR THEIR HOSPITALITY.

Mr. Studley: I move that the many thanks of the Trust Company Section of the American Bankers' Association be and hereby are extended to the New York bankers of this Section for their very generous hospitality to the Section up to date.

The motion was seconded, and unanimously adopted.

The Chairman: We are now ready to receive the report of the committee.

Mr. Williams, of Virginia: I have been asked to make the following nominations:


Upon motion the Secretary was directed to cast the ballot of the Section for the gentlemen named.

RESOLUTION REGARDING VOUCHER CHECKS.

Mr. Enright; Mr. Chairman, I will submit a paper here which is very short:

Whenever there appears to be an increasing tendency toward the use of what are known as "Voucher Checks." These checks are complicated in form, irregular in size, inconvenient to handle, and contain a mass of details that should not be merged into a bank check. A bank check should be simple in form, definite in its instruction of payment, and absolutely unconditional. Delay in handling, costing time, increased chance for errors and possible loss are objections alone sufficient to cause bankers to protest against the use of this new form of check.

To the depositor, however, they present some attractive features, therefore it is not surprising that the imitators, expert accountants and those who incline to detail take up this combined check, receipt and voucher, each one adding some detail to cut his faceted used and independent ideas until the check of the future may resemble a combined warehouse receipt, bill of lading, bill for merchandise and counter-signed order on the U.S. Treasury.

There are so many features contained in these vouchers that the tellers and clerks handling them must sufficient time to property determine their negotiability or non-negotiability or other necessary points to be passed upon, in safely handling the items that pass through the handling house.

Therefore, Mr. Chairman, I move that a committee of five be appointed by the chair to prepare a standard form of bank check and to define the limitations as to the matter that may appear on the face of the check. Also the limit of its size to insure convenience in handling, and when this has been done the entire subject to be submitted to the members of this Association for its consideration and action.

Mr. Wade: I move to refer this to the Executive Committee with power to take this into consideration and take such action as they may deem best.

A Member: I second the motion.

The question was taken and the motion was agreed to.

Thereupon, at 4:50 p.m., the Trust Company Section adjourned.
Postal Savings Banks.

By Hon. Willis S. Payne, Ex-Superintendent of Banking of the State of New York.

That a proposal for establishing Postal Savings Banks for the people should find favor in many quarters is no occasion for surprise. It would be remarkable if it were otherwise. As in the minds of some the remedy for all the troubles that perplex mankind is a statute—as if all conditions could be met by legislative enactment—so in the view of many whenever anything savoring of the character of a public benefit, or supposed to promote the public convenience is proposed, at once the proposition is hailed with favor; interest is aroused, and the cry goes forth “By all means let us have it and let the government establish it.” This, too, is seen in a Republican form of government, and strikingly so when it is proposed to establish Postal Savings Banks. And yet the proposition is nothing but paternalism pure and simple.

Paternalism is the road that leads to socialism. And this paternalism is the product of absolutism, not of a Democracy or a Republic. It is what autocracy not only offers, but upon which it insist[s]. Russian, German, Austrian—these are autocratic, paternal governments—these are the governments which, if they could, would take charge of the general affairs of the people, constituting them its wards, just as this country has taken charge of the Indian, and with what results the unhappy history of the Redmen in this country forcibly illustrates.

It is less than a century ago that one of the greatest men who ever sat in the President’s chair in Washington declared that government to be the best which governed the least, a statement which, outside the discredited Federalists, received the approbation of the country.

To-day, however, there are not wanting evidences that the old Federal spirit still exists, although repudiated by the people, when Jefferson and Madison and Monroe were potent forces in American life, and declared themselves against governmental supremacy. In various ways what we denominate “The Government” is troubling itself concerning matters which should be handled by the people, and in their own way. A proposal to establish Postal Savings Banks is part and parcel of the theory that a Federal Government should regulate the affairs of the people, reducing the powers of the State and limiting the expression and activities of the individual to the smallest possible compass. It is true, we have banks that are called “National”; but these were established to meet the conditions resulting from the stress of war. These banks are managed as they should be, by their officers, and not by bureau officials in Washington. It is proposed, nevertheless, to differentiate Savings Banks from all others, and put their management in the hands of untried government officials. Yet when we look at the matter in Bacon’s “White Light” we fail to see that any necessity or proper demand exists for such peculiar institutions. Certain it is, that where Savings Banks are needed, they can be readily supplied; demand always creates supply, and to this rule, when normal conditions prevail, there is no exception. Savings Banks are needed in this Commonwealth of New York, and we have a result. It is not easy to see that New York requires the establishment of rival Postal Savings Banks controlled by an innumerable array of office holders appointed from Washington. The people familiar with the business of banking can best establish and manage their own banks as they do now—National, State, Savings—those who are friends of the paternal system are fond of pointing to the postoffices of the country as so many illustrations of successful governmental control. They ignore the fact that our postal service is far from being ideally conducted. Apart from the unsatisfactory postal rates prevailing at the present time, the suggestion is offered that if government officials were good postal managers perhaps we should not see the postal business of a Commonwealth—well populated and its people concentrated—conducted every year at a loss, as it is.

But passing this phase of the subject, let us notice some matters more directly bearing upon the question of Postal Savings Banks. And first it is alleged, as a reason for their creation, that the government would be providing, through the various postoffices of the country, at a small expense, places easily accessible for the safe accumulation of the surplus earnings of the thrifty. At one time a theory was advanced in this connection that the government would thereby be put in possession of funds at a low rate of interest; but this view is not now the dominant one. In point of fact, the plan proposed would make the average postmaster a financial agent of the government. It would involve considerable expense
such as other banks are subject to; and we know that these expenses are not light. If savings institutions have not been created in many localities, it is because the money is needed in various local enterprises, such as trolley lines, mills, commercial and building enterprises, as well as large sums are invested, bringing seven and eight per cent, and sometimes even more. Local banks, carrying the local spirit, are a far greater benefit to a locality than Postal Savings Banks appealing to the people outside of all local interests.

The plea that because Postal Savings Banks have met with success in England they should therefore be introduced here, is scarcely entitled to serious consideration. England is a "garden spot" of 50,000 square miles, whereas the United States contains an area of over 3,000,000 square miles, and is territorially more than sixty times larger. The two cases are not parallel.

In the House of Commons Burke once declared that the only way of judging the future was by the past; if we should apply this rule to the establishment of Postal Savings Banks in this country the inference would not be wholly satisfactory.

The "Freedman's Savings and Trust Company" was created by Federal law, March 3, 1863, with authority to establish branches. The following May the head-quarters were established in New York, and ten auxiliary offices, most of which were presided over by commissioned and uniformed officers, were instituted, more especially throughout the Southern States. The passbooks of this Freedman's Savings and Trust Company contained the following statement: "The Government of the United States has made this book perfectly safe."

The liabilities of the concern at the time of its failure were $3,037,500, payable to sixty-two thousand two hundred and forty-two claimants. The Government, by purchasing the building owned by the bank at a high price, and in other ways, has aided in increasing the assets. The last and final dividend declared makes the total payment to its creditors only sixty-two per cent.

Undoubtedly Congress was deficient in not exercising proper supervision over its affairs during its existence of nine years. The report of the Commissioners appointed by Congress to make an investigation contains, among other statements, the following: "A more perverted arrangement could scarcely have been devised by human ingenuity if the design had been specially directed to obscure the transactions of the institutions."

The Comptrollers of the Currency have repeatedly recommended that Congress should make provision for the payment of the thirty-eight per cent. still due depositors, but in fortunam opus, whose agents may not fail the establishment of Postal Savings Banks.

The effect, however, of putting deposits in the keeping of a body of officeholders would be hazardous at best, and would not promote the efficiency of public service.

It has been said that the people must be taught to save. It has yet to be shown that they must or can acquire this habit by the establishment of a peculiarly governmental institution; any more than they can acquire their religious in this way. The people are to be taught to save, not by establishing official depositories, but by cultivating those habits, the practice of which conduces to thrift. It was not in Postal Savings, but in their stockings, that the French people had their savings deposited when the Franco-German war occurred; needles to say, if the habit of frugality had not already existed and was not ingrained in the very fibre of the French people their savings would not have been available at the critical time, as they were.

In this country, to a greater extent than elsewhere, the laborer builds his own house, acquires land that he may write "landowner" after his name, and is ready to invest small sums as well as large enterprises. Indeed, it is this opening up and development of our country, still new, which is going on all the time, causing it to bud and blossom as the rose, that employs the money of men throughout the land. These men do not put their money into Savings Banks, but into remunerative local projects and into houses, which are made more beautiful every year.

Perhaps such a use of money is as stimulating and beneficent as investing it in deposits in Postal Savings Banks, returning the investor two cents on the dollar paid in. As you will, an illustration from extremes: Between the man owning nothing in these and other enterprises, and the more hoarder, most people will prefer the former. In this relation one plea put forth in behalf of the establishment of Postal Savings Banks may be noticed. An advocate of their creation recently declared the people of the States and Territories of the West to be more self-reliant and productive than those of New England. The country was newer, its soil richer, its mineral resources infinitely greater; why, then, are there so few Savings Banks in those localities? His reply is, that "it has been too much a matter of easy come and easy go." If there is such a thing as "begging the question" we have an example of it here. It is true that no people are busier, more self-reliant than the people of the newly settled West. It is these very activities that keep money trolleying along with labor, with opportunity, and prevents it from being placed in Savings Banks. Nevertheless, the Savings Bank deposits are increasing at the rate of $200,000,000 per annum, and now aggregate over thousand million dollars. When the conditions of the West and South parallel those of the East and North savings institutions will come as a necessary consequence; it is conditions that create a demand for them. They cannot be successfully established from the outside when not required. When they are needed they will be supplied, as now, by individual enterprise and without the help of the Government.

A strong objection to the establishment of Postal Savings Banks is that it invades the banking field with the argument that you cannot trust the people to care for their own. "Trust the people," said Jefferson; "Trust the people," said Lincoln. We cannot trust the people, say these advocates of a government Savings Bank; and they say this notwithstanding the fact that the people manage their more than five thousand National Banks with entire success. It was on this very principle of trusting the people that the National Banking Law, the outgrowth of years of trial of different systems of banking, was perfected; and the fact that 314,067 of our people own stock in National Banks, the average holding being 27 shares, approximately, testifies the confidence they have in the existing system. In addition to National Banks and the existing Savings Banks, there are State banks, private banks, trust companies, omitting the insurance companies, which gives one of these institutions to every 4,410 people, the per capita of capital being $16.30, and of deposits $250.00, the latter figure including about $97.50 in the Savings Banks.

It is perhaps not irrelevant in this connection to state that should the proportionate rate of increase in the banking power of our country continue during the next ten years at the same rate as it has during the past ten years, the result will be that in the year 1914 the banking power of this country will exceed by over one thousand million dollars that of all the other nations of the world combined.

One of the arguments used by the advocates of the Postal Savings Banks is that in time of panic the small savings depositor, from his very ignorance, is the one first to take alarm and withdraw his funds from a banking institution which a better educated man knows to be safe. Therefore, we must have Postal Savings Banks where the ignorant depositor feels that his money is secure.Possibly by this reasoning, whether a sound argument can be based upon the exceptional and unusual, the question arises whether it is desirable to establish Postal Savings Banks to the disadvantaging of
Publicity for Savings Banks.


Of the four classes of savings institutions, which are the Trust Company, the National or State Bank with a savings department, the Stock Savings Bank and the Mutual or Trustee Savings Bank—the latter comprises a large proportion of the whole number, and has more than 75 per cent. of the aggregate deposits. It is the original and only true Savings Bank, and occupies a unique position in the banking world. It is of a quasi-benevolent nature, and only in late years has it begun to receive the credit which is its due. McKinley, in his famous Buffalo speech, said, "We are furnishing profitable employment to the millions of workingmen throughout the United States, bringing comfort and happiness to their homes, and making it possible to lay by the savings for old age and disability. That all the people are participating in this great prosperity is seen in every American community and shown by the enormous and unprecedented deposits in our Savings Banks. Our duty in the care and security of these deposits and their safe Investment demands the highest integrity and the best business capacity of those in the charge of the people's earnings." The operations of the Mutual Bank are practically confined to New England, New York, Pennsylvania, Delaware, Maryland and New Jersey, though there are occasional examples scattered through the middle West and a number in California. Probably less than 10 per cent. of these institutions spend any money whatever upon advertising or publicity in any form. Up to late years the Trustee Bank, which is a more correct title than Mutual Bank, has been content to take such moneys as have come its way—making no great effort to stimulate deposits—though showing a moderate growth from year to year. Within a comparatively short time competition has sprung up and Savings Bank officers have had the
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other financial institutions which the well educated man knows to be safe? What is such a position but an argument addressed to ignorance? The same argument, carried to its logical conclusion, would substitute a great Federal Bank at Washington with branches all over the country, and as a consequence the retirement of the existing National and State Banks. The people will be and are likely to regard the one position as the other.

It is remarked by a distinguished gentleman, who is an officer of a large Fiduciary Institution, that the "saving habit" is, of necessity, rapidly increasing the working capital of the country, giving impetus to business enterprise, and making a fair and profitable return to the laboring classes for their small "investments." This is all true enough, but what the Government needs is an argument for the Postal Savings Banks, is a bridge connecting the "saving habit" with Postal Savings Banks and with these only. No such bridge has yet been constructed. It is emphatically a "pons asinorum" which may return to plague its inventor. Intended as an argument for the Postal Savings Banks, it is really a powerful plea for banks as they are, where savings are held—some of them National Banks, some State, some Trust Companies, and some Savings Banks. Assuredly it is a far cry from the "saving habit" to Postal Savings Banks—so far, indeed, that it falls upon an almost echoless shore.

And now, in consideration, consider a phase of the question which has been only lightly touched upon here, and which we think deserves more consideration than it has received from the public at large—I refer to the constitutional view of the subject, and by that I mean the view which has regard to the fundamental principle underlying our Government, and which makes that Government subject to the people, and not dominant over them. That principle, often violated in the past, cannot be freely disregarded. Government that seems to act results will follow. In the earlier history of the country the tendency was against the Government assuming any form of paternalism; and the principle is the same whether you create Postal Savings Banks, run Government railroads, as in Continental Europe, or establish Government gas and electric plants. It is the basic principle that determines the character of a measure, nor ought we for a moment to allow ourselves to be diverted from this position because a proposed enterprise claims to embody a beneficence which is more seeming than real. The American and his government ideals are separate and distinct types from those supplied by any other country. The American asserts his right to individuality of action; he wants the Government to do just as little for him as possible; and to be his agent, not his master. He ever reserves the right of self-development, and realizes his ability to eradicate any evil that may imperil his political, social or industrial system. In fine, he rigidly adheres to the fundamental principle that the Government stands for progress in the individual, and that the evolution, resulting from the Titanic struggles of his pioneer ancestors and the statesmanship of his legislators, is not a system that can tolerate or restricts free action, but a Government "of the people, by the people and for the people." It has been well said that if a government owes anything to its citizens it is the fostering of self-reliance. If there is any one quality that characterizes the American people any more than any other people it is this characteristic argument which has given us a "humble rail splitter" for President.

It is this spirit that needs to be fostered in every department of public service. We need not less but more self-reliance. We need not less but more trust of the people by the people. We need not less but more development of the individual. He needs opportunity to work and to live, and not to be taught to look for it to others, least of all to the bureaus of officials, the servants whom we miscall the Government. We need not more but less paternalism. Adopting this principle as our guide of action we shall leave people, as now, familiar with banking, to conduct a banking business, amenable, of course, to proper safeguards. If the people need Savings Banks we will not go to governments of Europe for our exemplars, but we will go to the people who have settled many problems in their national life of one hundred and twenty-eight years, and who have shown the autocratic paternal governments of Europe how much better they can govern themselves than put themselves under the domination of men no better than others of our bosom, and who do possess a monopoly of wisdom for governing the world. When States and cities and towns need railways the people build them. When water is required for public utility the people speak, and the fountains flow. When Savings Banks are needed in the geographical limits not now having them the people will provide them, and, let us hope, without going to postmasters or to other Government officials for them.

In a word: the American people are not yet prepared to confess their inability to manage their financial enterprises, nor will they, as I believe, allow their chosen representatives to impose upon the country a series of Postal Savings Banks for the alleged better public safety. Such action, if it may not be termed a reflection upon our integrity, would be a confession of their own inability.
fact forced upon their attention that Savings Banks are losing their hereditary rights through the ambition and modern methods of other banking institutions. They have had opportunity to observe the trust company and the National Bank rolling up in an incredibly short space of time savings deposits of surprising amounts, and, strange to say, during this period of rapid growth on the part of the competitor their own deposits have continued to increase. The logical conclusion of thought upon this subject is that as nothing has been taken away from the Savings Bank the competitor has found a way, mysterious or magic though it be, to create deposits. Lawson, in “Freeded Finance,” discriminates between “real” and “made” dollars. Here, however, is an example of “made” dollars which are “real.” In short, the competitor has assumed the duties of the Savings Bank—not from a philanthropic motive, but for the purpose of earning dividends for stockholders.

The Mutual or Trustee Bank is chartered by the State as a practical philanthropic enterprise, and as a rule its business is carried on by a body of the best men of the community, who receive no pecuniary reward for their services, and need not necessarily be depositors in the bank. The mission of the bank from its beginning in 1816 has been to promote thrift and frugality among the working classes, by educating the workman to save a part of his earnings and deposit it in a safe place, where it will be increased by reasonable dividends. The duty of the bank is to educate, and while it is at all times an excellent object lesson to those who know of it, its beneficial purpose, conservative conduct, and practically absolute safety are unknown to thousands. Further, it has done comparatively little to stimulate systematic saving, which is the basis of many a fortune, and which, aside from the money accumulated, develops habits of indescribable value. We read of the thousands of foreign laborers who come to our shores and decry the fact that they do not rapidly assimilate our customs and become good citizens. A Savings Bank book has been the means of making a more conservative and desirable citizen of many such. Our excellent public school system lacks one fundamental: a thorough training in the management of resources. My friend, Mr. J. H. Thiry, is doing an excellent work along this line through his system of school savings funds. The competitors of the Savings Bank have been quick to seize upon this neglected duty and opportunity, and their growth has been the result of excellent work along the line of publicity.

One reason why the Mutual Banks have done so little is that there is a feeding on the part of a large proportion of officers that they have no right to spend money for any but strictly operating expenses. I maintain, however, that a reasonable expenditure for purposes of publicity is a necessary expense if the bank intends to do what is expected of it.

We hear occasionally of a little group of men who are advocating Postal Savings Banks on the ground that not all parts of the country are enjoying proper banking facilities. The only Postal Savings Bank which should ever be allowed to exist in these United States is the Savings Bank which makes use of our excellent postal facilities for a “banking by mail” business. None other is needed. Let the Savings Banks do their duty and there will be no argument for adding to the government’s trouble. The Bowery of this city is setting a good example, and its position in the matter is ably defended by its president.

How is the Savings Bank to regain its partially lost prestige? By abandoning the threadbare argument, “we cannot advertise,” which does not mean that it is to abandon conservatism and prudence, but rather the possession of these fundamentals to place itself before the citizen in its true light; something designed for him and for his sole benefit.

The method of conducting an educational campaign, if we may so term it, must of course be fitted to local conditions. It should not only impress upon one the value of saving money, but it should emphasize the value of doing it regularly, systematically. After the value of saving has been well inoculated, the safety of savings accumulations should be taken up. The danger from the “old stock” method and unsafe investments should be brought out. When this has been well done let the advertising bring out the salutary points of the Savings Bank system in general, and an emphasis be given by applying the particular point brought into relief to the institution in question. Publish the stability of the bank in such ways as alluding to its growth in a given period; by showing how many dollars of surplus there are to each depositor; by a reference to the amount of real estate loans in the community, and the strength of local investment; by pointing out the safe securities held; by rigid State laws. Let the names of the directors or trustees be presented at intervals, but with some leading comment, and not as a mere list of names. Specify interest dates just before they are at hand. Do it in such a way that it cannot fail to attract, and do nothing else at the time except to refer to the interest rate. If the rate is about to be reduced by necessity adopt a series of advertisements to point out the causes in the tersest manner possible. Let each advertisement take up a step in the reason, and at the same time be somewhat complete in itself. Put it in such a manner that a gain rather than a loss will be had in the face of such a reduction. Advertise the necessity of safety in savings. Show up the relation of safety to high interest rates. Explain that the security of the principal is more to be regarded than a high return.

Many bank men imagine that if an institution departs from the old way of advertising it loses dignity. This is not so where advertising is done properly. Of course, “smart” advertising is to be strictly avoided. An advertisement can be original and still be clean and terse. A Savings Bank advertisement should be a thorough gentleman in the advertising population; but it should be remembered that the thorough gentleman makes friends as well with the man of lowly rank as the one of higher station. The tone of publicity should always appeal to him for whom the Savings Bank was founded. Education is a more permanent basis for thrift. Let us do what we can to elevate the standard of saving and safety—and in just such measure as we succeed do we render harmless the “get rich quick” schemes which are held out on all sides to the uninformed.
Trust accounts from the banker's standpoint was made the subject of an able paper by your worthy secretary, Mr. Hanhart, at the Convention at San Francisco a year ago, which led to some discussion. This year I have been honored with an invitation to address you upon the law governing these accounts.

The opening of two-name accounts in the various trusts and joint forms, with which savings banks officers are familiar, has been a fruitful source of litigation over the ownership of the deposit, where the depositor has died and the money is claimed by the representatives of the deceased on the one hand and by the named beneficiary or survivor on the other. The question to be determined in the great number of disputed cases is the ultimate right to the money as between the two rival sets of claimants. The banks, as a rule, are not involved in these lawsuits except in so far as they may hold the deposit for payment to the party entitled to it. Ordinarily the banks cannot know anything more than is to be implied from the form of the account. They are debtors for the deposit, and generally pay according to the form of the account, on presentation of the book, in the absence of notice, or knowledge of circumstances rendering it unsafe for them to do so, and leave the question of the ultimate right to the money to be litigated between the adverse claimants.

The decided case law on the subject of the ownership of these accounts is assuming large proportions. The Middle and New England States where, in the past, these accounts have been most numerous, have furnished the greater number of cases, and as their portion of the Nation's wealth, represented by savings deposits, has gradually extended westward, the courts of the Western States have been more recently called upon to wrestle with the same questions that at an earlier period confronted their Eastern brethren.

Knowledge of the rules of the law which the courts are laying down for the government and disposition of these deposits is essential for the banker, not only for his own safety in their payment, but also because he is the one to whom the average Savings Bank depositor, himself ignorant of the law, looks for advice as to the best thing to do to carry out his intentions with respect to money deposited.

When the banker, with his practical knowledge of human affairs and the needs and desires of his depositors, adds to this practical experience a knowledge of what the courts of his own and other States have held with respect to these deposits, and sees what it is concerning a particular account that has caused the litigation, which perhaps has resulted in frustrating the intention of the original owner of the money, he is in a better position to invent or simplify forms of accounts, with a view of lessening the occasion for future legal disputes. He is in a better position, also, to give good sound advice to his depositors, for many are the cases where, from misconception of the law, these earnings of a lifetime have gone astray into hands for whom they were not intended, often to the disappointment and misfortune of needy and worthy intended beneficiaries.

Why is money put in two names in a Savings Bank? The motives are various.

First.—A parent may decide to deposit money from time to time for a child, or a depositor may intend to put his money in trust for a relative or dear friend, the intention of the depositor being to immediately part with his individual ownership and control and to make a gift or create a trust for the named beneficiary.

Second.—More numerous are the cases, perhaps, where the depositor desires to make his bank account serve the purpose of a will. It is only human nature to desire to keep the money as long as he lives; but then when he dies that it shall go to the beneficiary or survivor named in the account. Numerous have been the decided cases in the past wherein a deposit under such circumstances has been adjusted to belong to the legal representative of the deceased depositor, and not to the beneficiary intended.

Third.—In a third class of cases, not present or future parting with ownership by the depositor is intended; he simply uses a trust or joint form of account, instead of an account in his individual name, to serve his own purposes—to evade payment of taxes, to conceal his true financial condition. He obtains a greater rate of interest than if the money were in his individual name where he is receiving interest at the maximum rate on an individual account, or to obtain interest where another account in his individual name is up to the limit. These accounts, also, often lead to litigation, after the death of the depositor, as the money is frequently claimed by the other party whose name has been used in the account. Banks, as I understand, as a rule, do not knowingly open accounts to serve these purposes.

There are certain broad principles of law underlying gifts and trusts of personal property which enter into the decision of nearly all the cases involving the ownership of Savings Bank deposits in trust and joint forms. A gift is an act of delivery; a trust by declaration. To constitute a completed gift, there must be delivery of the thing given. If there is a mere intention to give in the future, there is no gift. To constitute a trust in personal property there need be no actual delivery of the property, but something which corresponds with delivery, namely, a declaration of a trust with the intention that the legal title at once pass from the individual to the trustee, to be held according to the terms of the trust. Underlying nearly all the litigated cases of gift is the inquiry, Has there been a delivery, actual or constructive? If so, coupled with the intention to give, there has been a gift; and in cases of trust, the inquiry, has there been a declaration of trust? If so, then the individual ownership has passed from the depositor, and vested in him as trustee for the beneficiary, according to the forms of the trust.

Sometimes the question of ultimate ownership is determined from the form of the account alone, but in the greater number of cases additional legal evidence is produced which, on the one hand, shows that a gift or trust to the beneficiary was intended and executed, or, on the other hand, proves either that the depositor never intended to make a gift or create a trust, or, if that was his intention, that the intention was not legally consummated, and the ownership of the money is determined accordingly.

With the general principles I have stated in mind it will be of interest to consider, briefly, what the courts have decided with reference to particular forms of account.

DEPOSITS IN TRUST FOR ANOTHER.

Probably the most common form of Savings Bank trust account is that where a depositor puts his own money on deposit in his own name in trust for another. In Massachusetts the Supreme Court has held that this form of account, standing alone, is not sufficient evidence of a prima facie or presumptive trust. As a consequence, where the depositor dies and no other evidence appears to establish a trust in favor of the beneficiary, the representative of the depositor, and not the benet-
BANKERS' CONVENTION.

The legal action of Massachusetts ever since Betsy Ab-
bett deposited a sum of money in the Boston Five Cents
Savings Bank as trustee for Ann Clark, her half sister,
over a third of a century ago. Betsy always retained the
pass book and Ann Clark did not know of the deposit
until after Betsy died. The Supreme Court of Massachu-
setts held (Clark v. Clark, 168 Mass.) that nothing had
been done to create even a prima facie trust, and that
the administrator of Betsy, and not Ann Clark, was en-
titled to the money.

This same proposition has been held several times
since in the State of Massachusetts. Of course, if the
beneficiary can prove facts, in addition to the form of
the account, which show that there was an intent to
transfer and executed in his favor—either a delivery of the
pass book or a declaration to be beneficiary or to some one
else that the money was his—the case will be different
and he can entitle himself to the deposit as against the
estate of the depositor. A case which will illustrate
this is where George V. Trumbull deposited $1,000 in
the North End Savings Bank as trustee for Achsia J. Wood,
who was his housekeeper. Trumbull always retained the
bank book, and it was found by his administrator among
his papers after his death, but the evidence showed that
Trumbull had said to Mrs. Wood: “I put $1,000 in the
North End Savings Bank; that money is yours. The
Supreme Court of Massachusetts held that B. Bailey had
title to the money as against the administrator of Trum-
bull (Alder v. North End Savings Bank, 146 Mass.).

What has just been said relates to the ultimate right
to the money. So far as the bank is concerned, it is
protected in paying to the beneficiary named in the
account, upon the depositor’s death, in the absence of notice
of an adverse claim. The Massachusetts statute pro-
vided that where a deposit is made by one person in trust
for another, if no other notice of the existence and terms
of a trust has been given in writing to the corporation,
the deposit, with the interest thereon, may, in case of
the death of the trustee, be paid to the person for whom
such deposit was made, or his legal representative.

I have made mention of the law of Massachusetts
first, because the courts of that State have stood almost
alone in their construction of the legal effect of a deposit
by one in his own name, in trust for another, nothing
else appearing. While Massachusetts has held that the
form of deposit “in trust for” is not sufficient to consti-
tute a prima facie trust in the absence of evidence, the
courts of other States have held the contrary—that the
deposit by one in trust for another, standing alone, is
a prima facie or presumptive trust for the beneficiary,
and unless the presumption is disproved or negatived by
evidence showing that the depositor had no intention of
parting with his ownership, the beneficiary, on his death,
will be entitled to the money as against his estate.

For instance, the Supreme Court of Maine, in one of
the cases in that State (Bath Sav. Inst. v. Hathorn, 88 Me.),
said: “The entry “in trust for” is of clear and un-
mistakable import and sufficient to create a prima facie
trust,” and the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania in a
recent case (Merigan v. McCinnig, 20 L. L. J., 449),
where a man named in the book, in trust for his niece,
held that the form of account prima facie entitled the
niece to the fund on the death of the depositor, and
that her claim to the fund would not be defeated because
the evidence failed to show that the niece had knowledge
of the creation of the trust before the death of the
depositor. The Court said it was aware that the Massa-
chetts decisions were in conflict with the rule recog-
nized in Pennsylvania as to the effect of retention of the
pass book and failure to give notice of the trust to the
beneficiary in cases of this character, but that it is
sustained by the New York cases and the great weight
of authority elsewhere in the country.

There have been a long line of cases in the State of
New York involving this form of deposit. It was origi-
nally held in the case of Martin v. Funck (56 N. Y.)
that the form of deposit “in trust for” alone, where its im-
port was not alleged by either party, was a suffi-
cient declaration of trust and transferred the title from
the depositor individually to the depositor as trustee.
Retention of the pass book was not inconsistent with this
effect, notice to the beneficiary was not necessary,
nor did the ignorance of the latter of the trust until
after the death of the depositor affect the validity.

This case was followed by a case (Willis v. Smyth, 31 N. Y.), in which the presumptive trust arising from
the form of account “in trust for” was not upset because the
depositor withdrew the interest, offered to lend the
fund, and deposited money in the same account after the
beneficiary’s title had been changed by marriage, and the
beneficiary was not entitled to the deposit as against the
administrator of the depositor.

Then came a case (Mable v. Bailey, 85 N. Y.), where
a man named Bailey opened an account in 1854, “B.
Bailey in trust for Idia Mable.” Afterward he showed
Ida’s mother the pass book and informed her that the
deposit was large enough to amount to soutenanc for Ida
when she grew up. In 1857 Bailey drew out the money.
In 1859 he died. The Court of Appeals held that Bailey
had established an irrevocable trust, the presumption
imputed from the mere fact of deposit in this form hav-
ing been confirmed by his independent statements, and
the beneficiary must pay the full amount withdrawn
and interest to the beneficiary.

But the increasing use of the “in trust for” form of
deposit and the multiplication of cases where depositors
put money in that form, without ever intending to create
a trust or part with ownership, and the danger that de-
positors would be held guilty of breaches of trust with
respect to their own money led the Court of Appeals,
after a time, to adopt a more flexible doctrine with refer-
ce to the irrevocable establishment of a trust.

In the year 1881 John Cunningham, who had down to
that time carried an account in bank in his own name,
transferred the money to a new account, “John Cunnin-
gham, in trust for Patrick Cunningham, his brother.”
Patrick Cunningham died in 1890, and three days later
John transferred the account to his own name. The con-
troversy was between Patrick’s administrator and John,
involving the question whether John had created a trust
for Patrick which he could not revoke. John’s uncontra-
dicted testimony was to the effect that he always re-
tained the pass book, never informed Patrick of the de-
posit and not needed to give such a trust to create a
trust for Patrick. On this testimony the Court of Ap-
peals held no trust had been created for Patrick. It
summed up the doctrine of previous cases thus: “The act
of a depositor in opening an account in a Savings Bank
in trust for a third party, the depositor retaining possess-
ion of the bank book and failing to notify the benefi-
ciary, creates a trust if the depositor dies before the
beneficiary, leaving the trust account open and unex-
plained. If the intent can be strengthened by acts and
declarations of the depositor in his lifetime amounting
to publication of his intent, a mere satisfactory case is
made out, but it is not absolutely essential, in the ab-
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that the administrator of the depositor, and not the alleged beneficiary, was entitled to the money. There was no evidence of the depositor's intention to create a trust, and the court proceeded to consider the question whether an irrevocable trust was established by the mere deposit in this form.

In the course of its opinion the court said: “When a deposit is made in trust and the depositor dies intestate, leaving it undisturbed, in the absence of other evidence, the presumption seems to arise that a trust was intended in order to avoid the trouble of making a will.”

But in the case before it the case was disturbed; the money had all been drawn out. The court stated that it was necessary to settle the conflict between the opinions of the learned justices in the different appellate divisions upon the question, by laying down such a rule as will best promote the interests of all the people of the State; it had reflected much upon the subject, and finally, guided by the principles established by its former decisions, concluded to announce the following rule:

“A deposit by one person of his own money, in his own name as trustee for another, standing alone, does not establish an irrevocable trust during the lifetime of the depositor. It is a tentative trust merely, revocable at the will of either, and his will or that of another, and only after the latter dies or completes the act in his lifetime by some unequivocal act or declaration, such as delivery of the pass book or notice to the beneficiary.

“In case the depositor dies before the beneficiary without revocation or some decisive act or declaration of disaffirmance, the presumption arises that an absolute trust is created as to the balance on hand at the death of the depositor.”

The law, as now developed in New York upon the “in trust for” form of account, amounts to this: Where a depositor puts his money in bank in his own name in trust for another, keeps the pass book in his own possession and makes no statement to anyone other than implied from the form of account, the beneficiary put in for the beneficiary, but keeps his intention locked up in his own breast, he may safely dispose of it during his life, or leave the whole, or whatever balance may remain to the beneficiary when he dies, as he may choose. He can virtually, therefore, make his bank account in this form serve the purpose of a will, retaining ownership and control during his own life, and when he dies, whatever balance remains will go to the beneficiary and not to his estate. The bank, of course, is always safe in paying the money to the beneficiary when the depositor dies in the absence of notice of an adverse claim, for the New York statute, which is similar to that of Massachusetts, permits this to be done; but concerning the bank, it need only be noted that in which the majority of depositors who put money in this form desire—to have it for themselves as long as they live and when they die that it shall go to the beneficiary—is virtually accomplished, without the trouble and expense of making wills and of the resultant probate proceedings.

This latest New York decision is virtually legislation and can hardly be reconciled with the principle that to constitute a valid trust for another it must be consumed at the time it is made.

As I have already said, there are many decided cases where the depositor's intention has been shown to keep the money during his life, and upon his death it should go to the beneficiary, wherein he has held that the attempted disposition was not in accordance with law, for the depositor owning the money as long as he lived, he could not transfer it to another upon his death except in compliance with the statute of wills, and wherein the money has been held to belong to his estate. But now, under the judicial law of New York, where he puts money in this form, but makes no statement of his intention, it can be a trust or not, as he afterwards may choose; it is revocable at will; he is guilty of no breach of trust if he draws it all out, and if he finally decides to leave the balance to the named beneficiary when he dies, the form of account will work the transfer on the theory of a presumptive absolute trust, no contrary facts appearing. This ruling seems to have been made on the score of expediency, best serving the ends of the great number of savings bank depositors, who find this form of bank account a convenient method of carrying their savings in their own hand during their lifetime, and making dispositions to a selected beneficiary when they die.

**JOINT AND OTHER FORMS.**

It would unduly extend the length of this address to go into any detail of the decisions upon other two-name forms of Savings Banks accounts. The cases are so numerous and the facts so varied that nothing of the kind will be attempted. Frequently an account will be opened upon what may be styled an alternative joint form. John Smith will deposit his money in the joint names of “John Smith or Peter Jones” or “Join Smith or Peter Jones or the survivor,” sometimes with the addition “payable to the order of either.” John Smith thinks and intends that when he dies, the money shall go to the survivor. But unless he delivers the book, or makes some surrender of possession or other act, his death, the general rule is that upon his death the money belongs to his estate. Such a form of account creates no presumption of a gift or a trust to the alternate party named, except in the case of husband and wife. A deposit put in by John Smith in the names of “John Smith and Mary, his wife,” will upon his death, belong to his wife, as survivor. But no such presumption of survivorship exists even in the case of parent and child.

An interesting decision upon this point has recently been rendered by Judge Herrick, of the New York Supreme Court, at Albany. Kate V. Beers deposited a sum of money in the Home Savings Bank in the name of “Kate V. Beers or Sarah E. Kelly, her daughter, or the survivor of the two,” Mrs. Beers always retained possession of the book. After her death, Mrs. Kelly, her daughter, demanded payment from the bank. She could not produce the book, as it was in the possession of Mrs. Beers' executors. The bank refused payment until the right to the deposit as between Mrs. Kelly and the executors of Mrs. Beers was judicially tested. The decision of Judge Herrick was in favor of the executors. He held there was neither a valid gift by Mrs. Beers to Mrs. Kelly nor the creation of a joint ownership in the deposit with right to survivorship.

The Court of Chancery of New Jersey has also very recently passed upon the following case: Richard B. Coriell deposited in the Provident Institution for Savings, of Jersey City, New Jersey, an amount of money to be opened in the name of “Richard B. and Mary E. Coriell,” who was his daughter. It remained in this form until his death. He had made deposits and withdrawals from the account, always retaining the pass book, which came to the possession of his administrators. The court held the money belonged to his estate and not to the daughter. The evidence was held insufficient to prove a gift by father to daughter during his life; it showed that the gift was limited to take effect after the father's death, and hence was void as not in compliance with the statute of wills.

It may be that where, in addition to this form of account, the depositor signs a contract in the bank's books, agreeing with the second party named, upon mutual consideration, that the money shall belong to both of them and the balance go to the survivor, that upon the death of the original owner of the money the survivor will be entitled to it.

A case of this kind was decided by the Court of Chan- cery of New Jersey in 1901 (Hoboken Bank of Savings v. Schwoon, 19 B. L. J., 108). A Mrs. Roche had a de- posit in her own name in the Hoboken Bank for Savings. She took her grand-nephew with her to the bank and had the account changed to "Helena Roche or Henry
Savings Banks for Texas.

By R. H. Wester, President Wester Savings and Trust Company, San Antonio, Texas.

A singular anomaly confronts us in the fact that for the three decades which mark our unparalleled advance in everything that tends to national greatness Texas should have in effect prohibited the establishment of Savings Banks. The election of 1874 deposed the Carpetbag régime and returned the Democratic party to power. One of the first acts of the new administration was to call a constitutional convention, in which was framed our present constitution, adopted in 1876. This document contained the following remarkable clause: "No corporate body shall hereafter be created, renewed or extended with banking or discounting privileges," and it remains therein unchanged until this good day.

The constitution preceding this one specially recognized Savings Banks, and a few concerns incorporated thereunder are still in existence, and have been quite successful; therefore, for this unreasonable inhibition is not known and can only be accounted for upon the ground of a general hostility to corporate organization, which existed for many years. This antipathy to corporations also accounts for the fact that until recent years it has been impossible for those favoring corporate organization for such institutions to urge the amending of this clause with any hope of success.

THE PENDING CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT.

A change in sentiment toward corporations, added to the necessity for increased banking facilities, and for giving to our trust companies the same discounting privileges held by their outside competitors, finally resulted in the passage of the following Joint Resolution by the Legislature of 1903:

Section 1. Be it resolved by the Legislature of the State of Texas:

That Section 16 of Article 16 of the Constitution of the State of Texas be, and the same is, hereby so amended that the same shall hereafter read as follows:

Section 16. The Legislature shall, by general laws, authorize the incorporation of corporate bodies with banking and discounting privileges, and shall provide for a system of State supervision, regulation and control of such bodies which will adequately protect and secure the depositors and creditors thereof. Each shareholder of such corporate body incorporated in this State, so long as he owns shares therein, and for twelve months after the date of any bona fide transfer thereof, shall be personally liable for all debts of such corporate body existing at the date of such transfers, to an amount additional to the par value of such shares so owned or transferred, equal to the par value of such shares so owned or transferred. No such corporate body shall be chartered until all of the authorized capital stock has been subscribed and paid for in full in cash. Such body corporate shall not be authorized to engage in business at more than one place, which shall be designated in its charter.

No foreign corporation, other than the National Banks of the United States, shall be permitted to exercise banking or discounting privileges in this State.

This amendment has the endorsement of the Texas Bankers' Association, and is a platform demand of the dominant political party, which practically assures its passage. After adoption and the passage of an equitable banking law by the next Legislature, we can start a work in which you have had near a century of progress. The amendment is not what it should be, as thereunder we can never have the opportunity of building any great Mutual Savings Banks such as you have, but it at least provides for a corporate organization and State supervision, both of which we need in order to enable us to make any progress in the direction of this long needed and economic work.

SAVING WITHOUT A SYSTEM.

Our experience in this direction has been varied, and the money wasted in building and loan propositions, bond investment contracts and fake insurance schemes if it could have been systematically placed in Savings Banks, would to-day allow favorable comparison, and make a most creditable showing.

In our cities and larger towns we have usually had a good building and loan association confining loans to local properties. These have been successful, and have been of vast benefit in lifting our young people to save and in aiding others to become possessed of a home. In some places private banks have paid interest on small accounts, and in more recent years trust companies have been contracting for such funds under the fiduciary and depository clauses in their charters. Others have purchased real estate upon the installment plan, taking its profits from the natural increase due to growing communities. All these methods have their good points, and have tended to stimulate the saving habit, but the yeold not the take the place of the Savings Banks, and the lack of any form of State supervision naturally precluded any system in saving.

SAVINGS BANK STATISTICS.

In 1900 Texas, standing sixth in population, with 3,018,710 people, had no Savings Bank, while Massa-
The entire banking capital and deposits of Texas make a per capita average of about $50, while the per capita average in deposits alone for the United States is $127, all of which but goes to show how the Lone Star State has been wasting her opportunities for thirty years while the Savings Bank System have been adding day by day to the credit balances which enable them to control the security markets of the world.

Texas, with an area of 263,780 square miles, possesses a territory more than 15,000 square miles greater than that of the Savings Banks State of Maine, New Hamp- shire, Vermont, Massachusetts, Connecticut, Rhode Island, New York, New Jersey, Delaware, Maryland, Distric- t of Columbia, Ohio, West Virginia and Pennsylvania. The last census gave these fourteen States a population of 27,814,527, or near nine times that of Texas. One-fourth of this population keep savings accounts which average $400 each, or $100 per capita, and hold in this way a wealth twice as great as that of all the banking capital and deposits of the State of Texas. Savings Banks hold deposits of $2,512,408,458, which exceeds the paper and coin circulation of the United States by $144,776,000, and in excess of one-fourth of all the deposits in all the banking institutions in the United States. Of this vast army of Savings Bank depositors, 42 per cent. are women and children, and nearly all are from the laboring classes, which is of itself a striking testimonial of the economic value of Savings Banks.

THE LOST OPPORTUNITY. 

Inexusable as appears our position for thirty years barring the door to our own advance in the direction of what has been for one hundred years recognized as the greatest force and factor in economic progress, there should now be no further procrastination. We are prone to boast of our wonderful achievements in all lines of industry, and it is true that these have brought us greater population, with a consequent increase in values, in wealth, and in the opportunities for wealth getting; yet, if notwithstanding this the individual Savings Bank de- positors of the fourteen Savings Bank States hold of themselves money sufficient to give to their twenty-seven millions of people a per capita wealth twice as great as that of our three millions, then we have been wasting our opportunities. It is now time that we awake to a full realization of our needs and work earnestly and energetically for a greater Texas. The adoption of the bank- ing amendment and the passage of equitable banking legis- lation mark the way.

RESOURCES FOR SAVING.

It is not here intended to convey the idea that we have nothing to save. The fact that we pay taxes upon more than a billion of dollars of property value and have total resources estimated at three billions easily dis- proves such an assertion. Producing more than one- fourth of the United States' cotton crop and nearly one- fourth of that of the world, our 2,471,081 five-hundred- pound bales last year brought in $132,283,702, with $16,370,915 for the seed. Our grain and hay crops were worth $100,000,000, dairy products and fruits $55,000,000, while rice, sugar and molasses add $15,000,000 more. This was produced upon 15,236,576 acres of land, or less than one-tenth of our area.

Our live stock industry will be better understood when it is known that upon an enclosed pasture acreage equal in extent to one-eighth of the area of the United States will be found more than one-seventh of the cattle, one- sixths of the sheep and one-third of the goats in all of the States, together with 1,500,000 horses, and enough sheep and hogs to rank us seventh in that branch of the industry.

From these statistical figures it will be seen that we have abundant resources from which to build great Sav- ings Banks. Iowa, a strictly agricultural State, has a splendid system of Savings Banks, in which funds have accumulated sufficient not only to handle her own bonds and mortgages, but to be invested in outside securities. Texas has more than agriculture. In 1903 we cut 750,990,000 feet of yellow pine lumber, for which labor was paid $3,000,000. Our record for oil production in 1902 carried Texas to second place among the States and enabled the United States to rank first in the world.

Our coal and lignite fields furnish splendid returns— one mine alone employing 5000 men. Quicksilver, silver and salt mines add their quota, while iron, clay and building materials exist in inexhaustible quantities. Manufacturing now employs three per cent. of our population, and our cotton, cotton seed oil, rice, sugar and flouring mills, brick, cement, tile and pottery works, foundry and machine shops, oil refineries, breweries, packeries, printing and publishing houses, and saddlery and harness establishments pay millions of dollars annually to those classes of labor who would most patron- ize Savings Banks, and who would greatly profit by their establishment.

A PUBLIC NÉCESSITÉ.

If the general government could by the authorization of our present great National banking system provide a scheme for the utilization of an immense war debt, which would in a single generation reduce the interest rate thereon from 7 per cent. to less than 2 per cent., then surely Texas must now take the only practical step that will provide a home market for her country and city bonds. Savings Banks will not only make a market for these securities, but will reduce Interest rates and then keep the interest earnings at home. This item of saving alone will mean much to Texas, as last year districts sold bonds to the amount of $2,765,110;49; cities, $1,618,- 250, and school districts, $151,300. The State permanent school funds now hold $11,532,102.05 of such securities and can only take a small portion of the offerings each year, which of itself creates a public necessity for Savings Banks.

THE COMING EMPIRE.

Texans are not slow, and in the development of this vast Southwestern territory they are constantly adding to the brain, brawn and wealth producing factors of their great State by the addition of the thrifty home seeker from sections not as blessed in climatic conditions. These are thinking people, who have saved and who do things, and with their aid and assistance we will not only make rapid progress in the elimination of past economic errors, but shall eventually build upon the breeze blown shores of the Gulf of Mexico a State without a peer in industrial excellences—an empire that shall for all times mark the way to successful endeavor in all affairs that go to pro- mote a higher civilization.
Savings Banks Real Estate Loans.

By John Worthington, Manager Real Estate Loan Department, Chicago Savings Bank, Chicago, Ill.

It certainly is not necessary, before this audience, to enter into a description of real estate loans, nor to define the most essential uses of their usual investment purposes, since there are few, if any, of us who have not long ago become investors to a greater or less extent in this class of securities. In fact, this is evidently necessary. With civilization growing more complex, the distance seems to widen between the man with dollars to invest and the other who wishes to borrow that he may build a house, go on a trip, start a business. At any rate, it may judge from the accumulation of deposits in our banks, they are both very busy men and are leaving the details of financial affairs to us. We will then give mutual consideration to one or two questions that surround the making and handling of real estate loans.

Broadly speaking, real estate has always been a popular form of security, and justly so, because, resolving the question to its last analysis, the money in the buildings which men erect thereon, for homes and for the transaction of legitimate business pursuits, are necessities and as such must represent security of the highest order. By this statement do not understand me to mean that all land is desirable for security, or that at all times any particular piece of land is a desirable security. In fact, there is no doubt that one of the chief mistakes which our American bankers have made in their dealings in real estate securities is that we have lost sight of the fact that real estate is ever changing. Some properties are offered to us at too early a date of development: others in a period of transition, when proper appreciation is most difficult; still others when the van of civilization has moved at least two streets leaving the property tumbled down and decaying, to find its way back to its primal condition, occupied only by the miserable and unsuccessful of mankind.

While admitting the general desirability of real estate loans, we cannot lose sight of the fact that there are some decided objections to such securities when viewed in the light of experience. We shall consider two of the objections:

First.—The possibility of loss.

Second.—The fact that such loans are not readily convertible, therefore do not furnish as liquid an asset as we desire.

Is it not possible by the adoption of a higher standard and regulation to plug the making of real estate loans for our banks to make a long stride toward solving these difficulties? Let us consider briefly three features of the subject upon which I think we can unite for better things:

First.—The consideration of the security.

Second.—The written evidences of such security.

Third.—The subsequent history of the loan.

Taking up the first point, the consideration of the security, I am firmly convinced that no Savings Bank should make real estate loans outside of its immediate neighborhood, unless it is impossible to keep funds invested. Should you be compelled to go abroad for such loans, it seems to me the best plan would be to secure them only from responsible banks located where the loans are made. No one but a thoroughly trained man, familiar with local conditions, can make such loans successfully, and it is fair to presume that the local banker of experience is the best man available.

To return to your local loaning, have the best man in your bank to do the appraising; loan only in good neighborhood; beware of the very new, upon the declining or uncertain ones. While I believe in always dealing with responsible borrowers, I would suggest that you beware of the temptation to loan more than is right on a piece of land simply because the borrower is good "without security." He may die or become a bankrupt long before your loan is due. You would not think of making a loan on an ordinary promissory note for five years; then why in making a real estate loan should you depend upon the credit future at all? It is probable that we will all agree that it is a very good rule never to loan more than fifty per cent. of the fair cash value on good improved real estate. It is advisable to limit loans on vacant property to a nominal amount. Furthermore, I would recommend that you confine your loans as nearly as possible to residences and standard business properties, avoiding buildings erected for special purposes, such as places of amusement, large hotels, particularly at summer resorts; factories, warehouses, &c.

Passing from the consideration of the security itself, we will take up the written evidences of such security.

First of all, you should have an abstract of title, approved by the bank's counsel and properly written to date, carefully numbered and filed in your bank's vault. You should have, where possible, a responsible title guarantee company's guarantee policy issued in the amount of the loan filed with the loan papers. I would recommend that you adopt a standard set of loan papers, trust deeds, and notes, which are printed on your bank's order and have been passed upon by your bank's counsel and printed with the bank's name and those of the trustee, thus securing uniformity in the issuance of the papers. Do not allow your loan forms to be used in outside transactions, but let your forms, whenever seen, always mean a good loan upon which you have passed. Make all principal and interest payments payable to you or your order, and let the borrower and have them endorsed by him, thus making them payable to the legal holder. Your papers should be made so that they will present an attractive and responsible appearance when completed. If trust deed runs to an individual, two successors in trust should be named, one of whom should be a responsible trust company. Provision should be made on trust deeds and principal notes for proper registration by the trustee and a careful record, by number, of each loan kept in the bank. Papers prepared in this way, accompanied by suitable fire insurance policies where the security is improved property, and backed by the bank's reputation for careful loaning, should create a collateral more liquid than many people can imagine.

I will say but a word more, and that in reference to the subsequent history of the loan:

See to it that all terms of the trust deed are respected; that the insurance is kept in force; that all taxes are promptly paid and that the property is kept in good condition. Above all, require prompt payment of interest coupons.

The final test in connection with each loan comes, as you know, when it matures. It is also a good time to review the entire situation, learning thereby whether your bank is following a conservative course or not.

I would suggest that you do not allow yourself to be tempted to renew a loan simply because your experience with it has been successful. You will doubtless find, in most cases, that the conditions are not quite so good as when the loan was originally made. Then a lesser amount should be loaned or a renewal refused.

It is probable that many of the ideas expressed in this paper are not new to you. My object has been to summarize a few of the principles which wise bankers should follow in making real estate loans; for if such principles are followed I believe that a standard will be set which will have a direct and favorable influence upon the popularity of this form of investment.
The Card Ledger in Savings Banks.

By J. A. Langstroth, Accountant of the San Francisco Savings Union.

In a Savings Bank the deposit accounts monopolize a large part of the clerical work, hence any system which materially reduces the labor expended on them must be of service to the Bank manager.

The writer, in his opinion, the outgrowth of thirty-five years' practical experience, the principal requirements of an ideal savings deposit ledger are:

First.—Instantaneous accessibility of every entry or figure of any transaction, which, when once removed, unconditioned by the lifting or moving of books, the opening or unlocking of a cover, the pulling of a drawer, or the sliding of a rod or a bolt.

Second.—Perfect divisibility, so that a convenient section or corner of the ledger card can be quickly separated from the rest, to be worked upon at the bookkeeper's desk without affecting the working status of the remainder.

Third.—An account page of such length that the cost of transferring the active and the permanent accounts plus the original cost of the ledger outfit, other things equal, will create a minimum charge to expense account.

In this connection it may be of interest to note that an adissolute bookkeeper, putting in hardly more than twelve hours a week, and making his working record at a salary as low as $75, has a "minute" value of six-tenths of one cent, and hence the cost of a transfer of an account from page to page, occupying a minute third of the half hour, of the minutes, costs considerably more than a page or card, and several times as much as the difference between a small and a medium sized one. So that, the proportion of active and permanent accounts being known, it could be easily shown that the cost of needless transfers caused solely by the page being too much below the normal in size may alone, in no very long course of years, amount to more than the total cost of ledgers of a larger size.

Fourth.—Automatic maintenance of perfect numerical order, and of the card entries. The card indexing of the same as, while guiding to any account with the greatest dispatch, will be the least taxing to the eyeight upon prolonged use.

Fifth.—Maximum ease of manipulation and general convenience.

Sixth.—Minimum requisition of floor space in the banking room.

These requirements appear to have been met by a card system introduced into the San Francisco Savings Union by the writer in 1889, and subsequently patented under the name "Mechanical Ledger," of which the following is a description.

The cards are 9¾ inches wide by 11 inches high, being ruled for debit, credit and balance of dollars and for debit and credit of interest figures (which, with the interest, when and only when the account is in arrears, will be computed for days, instead of months, and entered with the deposit or draft at time of posting under some methods of entry, a card 7 inches wide might answer the purpose.

Both sides of the card may be used. The cards are contained in boxes, about one thousand to the box, in which they stand perpendicular, being supported in that position by partitions, the lower half of which is of wood, ¾ inch thick, rigidly fixed, and the upper half of thin pasteboard, which permits the cards in the sections to stand apart at the top for inspection. These partitions being placed at intervals of two inches, separate the cards into independent sections, or parcels, of 120 to 190 cards each, one or more of which parcels may be taken out of the box without affecting the position of the remainder.

To prevent the side edges of the cards from chafing against the sides of the box, they are centered by quarter-inch strips running along each side of the box close to the bottom, which strip is a monthly beveled strip, with the corners of the cards, which as projecting index tabs would prove objectionable in handling parcels of cards of such a size outside of the box, the account cards have a central segmental cut on the top one inch wide by three-eighths deep, which, when the cards are in position in the box, forms a channel, or groove, in which are exposed to view the index characters on the guide cards, like index cards, which, thus, the tops of the guide being flush with the account cards, the parcels can be more conveniently handled and the index is less liable to disfigurement.

To mark the place of a removed card, a small wooden blade, for the purpose described as "a bank note," as the top of the cards is used. Of these markers, or "indicators," one is journalized on a small metal shoe travelling in grooves on the right hand lip of each box, and is used exclusively when posting, while others have a flat spring clip fitted to clasped the left band lip of the box. The latter are used when cards are removed for any purpose other than posting, and they are prominently lettered or numbered for purposes of identification, each bookkeeper carrying one. The presence of any number of these lettered indicators on the left side does not interfere with the operation of the travelling indicator on the right; so that posting can go on without interruption.

These indicators practically obviate all danger of misplacement or loss.

The boxes are placed at a convenient height from the floor side by side, in groups of five or more, on stands or cases provided with bearings, front and rear, on which a shallow desk slant, twenty inches wide, carrying ink wells, is fitted to roll laterally close above the tops of the cards, upon the moving desk being designated principally in posting, and is at other times usually removed.

A stand containing ten boxes, in two rows of five each, back to back, having an aggregate capacity of ten thousand accounts, and capable of absorbing first six inches by five feet two inches, within which area each one of the ten thousand accounts is equally accessible and at the same time stowed.

The story of the results obtained by the use of this parison between the year 1888 (preceding its introduction) and the year 1897, is bordered on the one hand in the following details, and on the other hand in the following statistical comparative increase in the bank's yearly aggregate of—

(1) Deposit transactions
(2) Open deposit accounts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Deposit Transactions</th>
<th>Open Deposit Accounts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1888</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1897</td>
<td>120,400</td>
<td>25,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It is hardly necessary to explain that the number of posting for the year corresponds with the number of transactions, and that the bulk of the remaining work increases in direct ratio with the number of open accounts.

From the above table we find that the postings increased during the fifteen years 33 per cent, while all the other work increased 117 per cent, a mean increase of 100 per cent. In the entire work, while the clerical force only increased 30 per cent., leaving 70 per cent. of proportionate increase, or a gain of seven men to be accounted for. A portion of this enormous gain should, however, be credited to other sources.

It is well understood that every well regulated office force, of some size, possesses a considerable reserve capacity for a considerable portion of the increasing demands for work. If some of its members are young and still in process of development. Allowing for the use of this reserve and for sundry other minor economies a liberal 30 per cent., there remains a conservative estimate of 40 per cent. to be credited to the Mechanical Ledger. This represents a saving of at least 2,000 bookkeepers, bookmen, book keepers, in terms of dollars and cents, means a saving to this bank of at least $5,000 per year.

On account of the installation in 1889 the effect was immediate and marked, as from the then force of ten men three could be at once detailed upon other and special work, or in the preparation of the Monthly Bookkeepers' Card Index of seventy-five thousand names, a voluminous Correspondence Index, the transfer of our loans to the card system, and to other uses used up by the account clerks, etc., and it was actually not until 1900, after twelve years, that the next requisition for an additional clerk was made.
Proceedings

1903

The Chair was taken at 1:15 o'clock p.m. by Mr. A. C. Tuttle, Treasurer of the Naugatuck Savings Bank, Naugatuck, Conn., who, after having formally opened the proceedings, delivered the following address:

Address by Chairman A. C. Tuttle:

Gentlemen, Delegates to the Meeting of the Savings Bank Section of the American Bankers' Association:

It is a source of great pleasure to see so many delegates present to-day, and I extend to you my most hearty greetings. It is a long journey that many of you have to make, and that makes your attendance all the more of a pleasure to me.

Probably the uppermost in our minds at this moment is one of self-gratulation at the wonderful growth, high standards, and the beauty of banking. Byron Mills, the other gentleman here, has spoken of Ohio. But Ohio, it had no infancy, but was created in the fullness of power. During the first year the membership of the Section was nearly five hundred. In the course of the past year it has considerably increased and now numbers over 60 per cent. of the Savings Banks of the country.

Moreover, our meeting should be of interest to the nation, since the people have confided to our care about three billions of their savings. The effect of our decisions can be felt in nearly every household in the land. It is certainly fitting that we should meet in this city whose banks have 30 per cent. of the country's savings.

It is probable that bankers always think more of the dangers, real or imaginary, than of the opportunities of their position. The spectre of dishonesty and inefficiency beset our path at every turn. Our dreams are perhaps of possible Government Savings Banks.

Dishonesty and inefficiency, however, do not concern us as an organization. For while we may rightly take measures to make dishonesty more difficult and integrity more easy, and to increase the efficiency of banks in transacting business with one another, these matters concern the individual bankers. To be sure, dishonesty is dishonesty everywhere, but as regards efficiency, the conditions of banking vary with the locality.

Government Savings Banks are hardly more than a dream, though an unpleasant one. It seems impossible that any sane legislator would introduce a bill into Congress authorizing them. Certainly, if any one had the hardihood to do it, they would be met with an Opposition which would make the opposition to the United States Bank in Jackson's time sink into insignificance. Aside from the fact that such a bill would jeopardize one of the props of the Government, there is a widespread and most salutary feeling averse to the Government encroaching in any business enterprise.

If we fear or feel a loss of business, perhaps the best thing to do is to follow the lead of other forms of business and advertise with intelligence and as widely as circumstances will allow and wisdom dictate.

One matter deserving of careful thought by us is the change in the character of our investments. Government bonds have been one time an important item in our reports, now scarcely appear. Such change has been necessary in order to maintain our rates of interest, but is it an open question if the new investments have always gone to depositors that security that they should have.

We can discuss to good advantage the laws relating to Savings Banks. There has been for some years a need for a law that shall be in force in every State. Such a law cannot be framed by the Federal Government, and the task of framing a law that could pass each legislature would be suitable for the peculiar conditions prevailing in each State is appalling. Yet we must realize that the Savings Bank laws should not be too strict. As the purpose of Savings Banks is to care for the money of people whose savings are so small that they cannot be invested profitably or who do not have the ability to invest them wisely, our books should be open for investigation and our reports cannot be too clear or full.

But in spite of the dangers that may be about, we must derive from the past history of Savings Banks most happy auguries for the future. When we remember that from 1868 to 1903 the deposits in Savings Banks in the country increased nearly nine hundred million dollars, we shall feel little cause for alarm.

Several gentlemen have kindly prepared to present papers on interesting matters connected with our business which are to be read.

In conclusion, I would thank all of you who have assisted me during the year and express the hope that this meeting may tend to make each Savings Bank in the land what it should be—a solid rock in the bulwark of the Republic.

Chairman: We will now hear the report of the Executive Committee by its Chairman, Mr. G. Byron Latimer, the Secretary of the Illinois Savings Institution, New York.

Report of Chairman of Executive Committee, G. Byron Latimer:

The Executive Committee respectfully reports that since the last meeting of the Section, held at San Francisco, we have received from the Executive Council of the Association an approval of the expenditures of the Section, and a detailed report of which will be given to the Secretary of the Section.

During the year we have enrolled 68 members, making our total about 1016. At the last meeting a resolution was passed recommending our members to urge the formation of State Savings Bank Associations in each of the several States, and, following out this suggestion, the Iowa Bankers' Association in convention at Des Moines, June 15th and 16th, referred the matter to their Executive Council with request to report at the next meeting. I am glad to notice this feeling, and hope the matter will be taken up in each State before the end of the year.

Our Savings Bank Association in New York State has done much to help favorable legislation to Savings Banks and defeat unfavorable legislation. I would urge in forming such Associations the appointment of a committee to look after the legislative branch of the work. It matters little whether we are mutual or capitalistic Savings Banks; we are all the custodians of the savings of thrifty people and should have but one ideal—that is, to guard with jealous care their deposits, and be ever mindful of the trusts reposed in us, being always ready to return principal with such interest as may seem to be in the best management will warrant.

During the year death has visited our Executive Committee and it becomes my sad duty to announce the death of Mr. Fred Helms, late President of the Farmers' and Mechanics' Savings Bank of Davenport, Iowa. I would ask you that the Secretary be instructed to write to the family of our late associate extending our sympathy in their bereavement.

G. B. LATIMER,
Chairman Executive Committee.

Mr. Chas. B. Mills, of Clinton, Ia.: As a member of the American Bankers' Association and a delegate from Iowa, I had prepared a resolution on the same effect as that embodied in Mr. Latimer's motion, and I have the same in my pocket. It is now my privilege to second that motion.

Chairman: It has been proposed and seconded that the Secretary be instructed to write a letter of sympathy to the family of the late Mr. Fred. Helms expressing our sympathy in their bereavement. Those in favor of our doing so will please say aye.

(The motion was adopted unanimously.)

Chairman: I will now ask the Secretary of this Section, Mr. William Harburt, to favor us with his report.

Report of Secretary.

Mr. Chairman and Gentlemen of the Savings Bank Section: I beg to report that the membership of this Section is now 616, showing an addition of 68 members during the year. While this is gratifying as showing an increased interest
in this Section by the Savings Banks generally, yet we should certainly have more members; there are now over 1000 Savings Banks in the United States, and it is desirable that every one of them should belong to the American Bankers' Association and be enrolled in our Section.

To forward this object I would propose that the Chairman appoint one delegate from each State, who would be particularly entrusted with the task of securing new members in his territory; I would also urge upon you the desirability of every member here present endeavoring to get one or more additional members; should any of you gentleman know of a Savings Bank in your vicinity not a member of the Association, see and write to these friends and neighbors, urging them to become members of the American Bankers' Association and join our Section; constant individual efforts will probably produce best results. The officers of our Association are doing their utmost in this direction, but they must have your individual help to be successful.

The expenses of the Section, which were met by special appropriations by the Executive Council, have been as follows:

Proceedings of San Francisco Convention .......................... $193.70
Printing, stationery, typewriting, stamps and other expenses ......................................................... 730.50
Making a total of ...................................................................................................................... $924.20
for which bills and vouchers were examined and audited by the Chairman of the Executive Committee.

Respectfully submitted,

WILLIAM HANHART,
Secretary.

Chairman: If there is no dissentient voice, I propose that the reports of both Mr. Lattimer and Mr. Hanhart be accepted and placed on file.

(Agreed to.)

Mr. David Hoyt, of Rochester: I offer the following resolution:

Resolved, That the chairman appoint one delegate from each State who will be particularly entrusted with the task of getting new members in his territory.

(The resolution, having been duly seconded, was put to the meeting and carried unanimously.)

Next point on our order of business is the report of the Committee on Uniform Laws, but owing to the unfortunate demise of Mr. Fred. Heinz we are unable to present such a report, and we will have to defer the receipt of said report until the next meeting of the Convention.

Mr. Lucius Teter, of Chicago: I wish to offer the following resolution:

Resolved, That the chairman appoint one delegate from each State who will be particularly entrusted with the task of getting new members in his territory.

The motion was agreed to.

Chairman: We now come to the reading of the papers which have been prepared for the consideration of this Section. The first one is on "Postal Savings Banks," by the Hon. Willis S. Palme, ex-Superintendent of Banks of the State of New York, which I will ask the author to read.

"Postal Savings Banks," by Hon. Willis S. Palme.

[Mr. Palme's paper in full will be found on pages 1209 to 1211 of this publication.]

Chairman: Next follows the paper by Mr. P. Le Roy Harwood, Treasurer of the Mariners' Savings Bank, New London, Conn., entitled "Publicity for Savings Banks," by Mr. P. Le Roy Harwood.

[This paper will be found on pages 1211 and 1212.]


[See pages 1212 to 1216 for this paper.]

Chairman: The next paper to be read by Mr. R. H. Wester, President of the Western Savings and Trust Companies, San Antonio, Texas, on the subject of "Savings Banks for Texas."

"Savings Banks for Texas."

[This paper will be found on pages 1216 and 1217.]

Chairman: The next paper is written by Mr. John Worthington, of the Chicago Savings Bank, Chicago, Ill., and is entitled "Savings Banks' Real Estate Loans," but as Mr. Worthington is not present, the paper will be read by Mr. Lucius Teter, of Chicago.

Mr. Lucius Teter, of Chicago: Gentlemen and delegates, I regret very much the inability of Mr. Worthington, the author of this paper, to be present, but in his absence I will do my best to take care of his paper.

At the outset, I wish to explain that the paper is not intended by me to convey an exhaustive treatise on the subject of real estate loans, nor would you expect us to come down to New York in order to tell you in this people anything new about real estate ownership. The paper is rather meant to approach the subject in a kind of a broad way, and take up one or two more important points that are connected with the subject.


[Mr. Worthington's paper is given in full on page 1218.]

At the end of this paper Mr. Teter added personally:

Referring to the question of making loans on property outside of the bank's immediate neighborhood, I may say that we have seen a great deal of money invested from Eastern investors which had been sent by them to irresponsible estate people, and the point which Mr. Worthington wants to bring out is that the best plan would be—if it is necessary, to have the bank in the West to place your money—that you should do so through people you can depend upon as financially sound, morally reliable and practically competent.

Chairman: I will now announce the next paper, which is also one of our able delegates with "The Card Ledger in Savings Banks," and is contributed by Mr. J. A. Langstroth, Accountant of the San Francisco Savings Union, San Francisco, Cal. In the absence of the author this paper will be read by the Secretary, Mr. Hanhart.

"The Card Ledger in Savings Banks."

[This paper appears on page 1219.]

Chairman: In order that we have all listened with much interest and attention to the able papers which have been presented to us, and they are now open for discussion. If anybody wishes to ask any questions or make any remarks on the subject of the paper, we will be very glad to hear them. The discussion will be published, together with the papers, in the Proceedings of the Convention.

LAW OF TRUST ACCOUNTS.

Mr. J. H. Johnson, of Detroit: I would like to take up the subject of the law of trust accounts. In my experience nothing is of greater importance to us than the question of joint trust accounts and the necessity of having explicit and uniform legislation upon this vexation point. A special committee, hereafter to be appointed, will be appointed to framing some uniform law and taking the necessary steps for the purpose of having it enacted. We are obliged in the Savings Bank business to look after the interests of the poor depositor and if a man has only one or three hundred dollars, and leaves it to a son or daughter under certain conditions, we want to know if such a thing is legal and whether we can pay the money over without the annoyance of court interference. In fact, the possibility of court interference scares intending depositors away, and the most preposterous cases are known to have happened in this connection. In view of the importance of the subject I therefore move that a special committee be appointed for the purpose of framing such a uniform law on the handling of joint trust accounts and to take proper steps to have it enacted. 

Mr. Lucius Teter: I wish to mention the fact that there is a Committee on Uniform Laws, into whose province this subject will probably fall.

Chairman: There is such a committee, but the death of Mr. Heinz prevented our receiving a report from that committee to-day. We are supposed to receive a report at the next Convention, and until then we shall have to defer any suggestions from that committee.

Mr. Johnson: I am under the impression that that committee concerns itself more with the conduct of ordinary business and not with the question of trust law.

Mr. Thomas D. Paton: The only uniform legislation affecting the subject of joint accounts in the various States has been the uniform negotiation of instruments, a law that was advocated by the American Bar Association and the American Bankers' Association. The law for negotiating bills, notes and checks which has been enacted affects the banking busi-
ness, because bankers deal in those papers. My suggestion was that there should be a uniform law prescribing some form of Savings Bank account which would enable a man to put money into the bank, have it during his lifetime, and let him transfer the purpose of a will when he dies. That thing has been partly accomplished by a judicial decision in the State of New York, according to which the form of account in a trust was that a man could take the money again himself, but that it would go to the beneficiary after his death. But, if there were a court of some such legislation in each State. If a depositor has to make a will in each case, where he wishes the money to go to any other person than the law would decide, there will have to be witnesses who have to be present in these all important and serious matters for a small depositor in a Savings Bank, and there is no reason why these people should not be able to make a will in the form of a Savings Bank account. That is frequently done, and in many instances there is no inconvenience in either way. Yet these people believe that the money has been deposited on the understanding that it will be paid to a certain person after the depositor's death, but it is not, and their intentions are frustrate, which would cause much suffering and prejudice to those who really want the money and ought to have it.

It strikes me that the appointment of a committee is the best plan for the purpose of framing a uniform bill in which bankers, lawyers, and others would combine and which would prescribe that the deposits be of a nature that a depositor could be made to serve the purposes of a will; have that law uniform and urge it before the legislatures of the different States. I presume there would be no objection to that, except the uniformity of the law, which I would urge, that it would make the law so simple as to take away all their chances of making any money out of these cases.

Mr. Chas. E. Sprague, of New York: I would like to ask Mr. Paine reference to the question of Postal Savings Banks what he has to say in regard to the difficulties which the Government of the United States has experienced in connection with the establishment of official Savings Banks.

Hon. Willis S. Paine: I would like to call the attention of this meeting to an authorization which was created by statute in this country in 1865 and which existed for a con siderable period of time, but caused the most embarrassing and end. The following portion of my paper refers to the subject:

"The "Freedman's Savings and Trust Company" was created by Federal law on March 3, 1865, with authority to establish branches. The following May headquarter was located in New York, and numerous auxiliaries, most of which were presided over by commission and directors, and more, for instance, in the Southern States. The state books of this Freedman's Savings and Trust Company contained the following statement: "The Government of the United States has organized the bank for the purpose of facilitating the concern at the time of its failure were $3,077,560, payable to $2,624,293. The Government, by purchasing the building owned by the bank, and the other branches, helps to the amount of $1,424,945. The last and final dividend declared makes the total payment to its creditors only 62 per cent. Unfortunately Congress was in a session in which its adjournment was necessary in the last. The report of the Commissaries appointed by Congress to make an investigation of the same, among other statements, the follow ing: "A more perfected arrangement could scarcely have been devised by human ingenuity if the design had been specially directed to the transaction of the institution." Competitors of the Currency have repeatedly recommended that Congress should make provision for the payment of the 38 per cent, still due depositors, but no payment is yet forthcoming. Such results may not follow the establishment of the Postal Savings Banks. The effect, however, of putting deposits into the keeping of a body of officials would be handed at least and would not promote the efficiency of public service.

That, I think, answers the question.

Chairman: If there are no further remarks in connection with the papers so far discussed we can proceed to the discussion of the second paper, which deals with the question of postal savings banks.

(At this point it was moved, seconded and agreed to that it be put on record that this meeting was in total agreement with the proposal made by Mr. Maton.)

PUBLICITY FOR SAVINGS BANKS.

Mr. W. W. Cloud, of Baltimore, Md.: The bank with which I am connected (Maryland Savings Bank) about two years ago made a proposal for publicity. We used three lines for one month in a paper each day, giving the name of the bank, the location, and the statement that the bank owned accounts on one dollar. That was productive of good results, and we followed the plan up from time to time on the same lines. Our personal experience has been that it not only brought new accounts, but also stimulated inactive accounts, and we propose to continue the practice. It is a subject that I am very glad to see discussed here to-day. I am also glad to emphasize the fact (and although it is not quite apropos, yet I take the opportunity of stating it) that in consequence of this procedure we are rapidly recovering from previous losses, the deposits increasing, and I think that along about the middle of the year we shall be able to move into a new building. I am sorry Mr. Watson is not present, or else he could have gone more fully into details.

Chairman: By asking questions we get at the way how other people conduct their business, and in this manner increase our knowledge. If there are other gentlemen present who could give us their experience as to what they do and what they think ought to be done, we should be very glad to hear from them.

JOINT ACCOUNTS.

Mr. Chas. E. Sprague: Our bank (Union Dime Savings Institution, New York) has been rather independent in the matter of trust accounts, because we have acquired a great deal of experience in that line, while Mr. Paton has probably confined himself to a statement of the exact law on the subject—a practical subject for us, as we all have to conform to the law, and at the same time attain the greatest safety and for ourselves and carry out the wishes of our depositors. One case in which our bank was defendant would, I think, not be decided in the same way it was if there were proper legislation. It was a case under our act to pay money in trust for his wife. In the case in question the depositor was a man named Dubois, who deposited the money in favor of his wife. This wife died, and the man thought he should have the money now in trust for his wife. A Mrs. Morgan. We did not like him to draw the money out, and gave him a transfer in favor of his sister. This is simply a book entry in our bank, and carries with it the right to draw interest on this and the old account. So we transferred it to the old man, and his sister, who have been before—and some account has been held, and the others have done before—some years consigned himself and took it new woman. Then he thought it the proper thing to have the money in the name of the second Mrs. Dubois, and he asked us to have the money at once. So, instead of having him take the money out and redeposit it, we made a transfer from "Dubois Trust" to "Dubois Trust"—i.e., from the name of Mrs. Morgan to that of the second Mrs. Dubois. After some time Mr. Dubois himself died, and the day after the funeral his sister, Mrs. Morgan, promptly brought suit against us, but fortunately she came to see me about it in time before I could pay the money away, and we were able to file a suit in court, which prevented our losing $4500. The Judge said that, by making the second transfer, we were privy to a perversion of the trust. There was some slight evidence (as Mr. Paton will remember) to the effect that Mrs. Morgan had some interest in the Dubois. This was not admitted that the first transfer was right, but maintained that the second was wrong. The Judge went so far as to enter into a practice of banking, and tell us if we had allowed this money to be drawn out by the brother, and afterwards to be redeposited in favor of somebody else, say the second wife, we would have been blameless. The result is that ever since we have refused transfers from one name to another. We tell applicant to draw the money out, then not to tell us or anybody else what he is going to do with it, and then to put it in again for another name.

As to joint accounts, we always require a simultaneous declaration of rights, in order to avoid the complaints that they desire to open an account with the institution subject to our by-laws, and that the money is to be payable to either of them or the survivor. We have been assured that that, although not very formal, was a sufficient declaration of joint account to make us satisfy all the requirements of an order, unless an order is given to the contrary. Mr. Paton, from the ideas expressed by him, would recommend a little more formal way of proceeding.

Once I had trouble with an attorney account, and found that a savings bank at least ought never to pay money on the signature of an attorney. Why? Because the common law, not the civil law, is against them. If the constituent of the signature of the attorney, who is not a real person, we, unsuspectingly, pay money under the power of the attorney, we are acting at our own peril. We must not do it. That case is entirely decided, and, as a result, we will pay nothing on a power of attorney. We notify the people in whose...
name the account stands, and they must adopt other means. This is one of the many reasons why the money should go to Mr. J. W. Johnson, Assistant Treasurer to the Allony Savings Bank, has been considering some such statement, which would provide that any person may designate his successor to the possession of the money by a declaration on the books of the Bank to whom the declaration is made. The balance shall be payable, leaving power of revoking any trust that may be assumed.

I suppose that the first depositor who conceived the idea of giving money to somebody else asked the bank how it should be done; the bank referred the matter to their attorney, who had regard to the decision of the courts. The courts, however, thought that these transactions were trusts in the legal sense, and they have been interpreted on that assumption ever since. Mr. Johnson's idea will provide a plain statement designating who shall receive the money, while the depositor during his lifetime will retain the disposition of it himself, and I hope that Mr. Johnson will be put on as a member of the Committee to handle the money. Mr. Thomas B. Paton: May I ask of Mr. Sprague what the Union Dime Savings Bank does, if only one party is present, in order to obtain a simultaneous declaration?

Mr. Sprague: We give that party who is present a blank and the party is to fill it up. Nothing will or can be done until it is brought back. That is what we call simultaneous declaration.

Mr. George E. Lawson, of Detroit, Mich.: People in Detroit have for quite a number of years been planning the plan which the gentleman from New York advocates. In very many banks—I think in nearly all of them—there is a declaration stamped in the signature book which must be signed by both people interested. It is to this effect: Payable to both, or either, or the survivor, each granting to the other survivor power of attorney. That is also stamped in the signature book. In the case that one of the parties cannot be present, we act exactly as Mr. Sprague suggests; we send him a blank statement to sign. Although we have never had any claims in the courts, it seems to us that the arrangement answers the purposes of a uniform law.

Mr. John Mitchell, Jr., of Richmond, Va.: We have in our State a law that when a person gives a check and dies before the check is presented that the check is not payable by the bank. Mr. Sprague states that if the person who has granted power of attorney should die in the interval before the check is presented and the bank make payment it would do so at its own risk. I should like to know what the liability of a bank is who should grant a check at one o'clock in the morning, and die at twelve o'clock, and the bank pay the check without knowing that the person was dead. Would the bank be liable?

Mr. Sprague: The law in this State is quite different as to checks and powers of attorney in Savings Bank accounts, and that is just what we look upon as an outrage. One kind of payment is made in the name of a check and, therefore, perfectly valid until we have notice of decease, while in the case of a Savings Bank account it is not. That is exactly what I complain of and what Mr. Paton complains of.

Mr. Paton: This law with regard to power of attorney and decease implies the veto of authority of the one that draws. In the case of checks, where the bank without notice of death has made payment, it is protected, although its authority has been revoked; in the case of powers of attorney in Savings Banks accounts it is held to the contrary, but I do not know of any case where the bank under the same principle should not apply to both cases alike.

Mr. H. V. C. Hart, of Adrian, Mich.: I believe this question of legislation for joint account transactions to be of sufficient importance for the appointment of a special committee, instead of referring it to the Committee on Uniform Laws. It seems that this discussion has been of great importance to us in this Section, and a special committee to handle the question should therefore be appointed.

Mr. J. H. Johnson, of Detroit: I feel sure that this is the view of the majority of all those present, and I therefore move to create a special committee.

Resolved, That the chairman appoint a committee of three to consider the question of a form of uniform law regulating the accounts of depositors opened in two names, and report at the next meeting.

Mr. Paton: I second the motion, with the proviso that Mr. Paton be made a member of that committee.

Mr. Johnson: I accept the addition.

Mr. Sprague: And also with the proviso that the committee be charged with the preparing of the necessary legislation.

Mr. Johnson: I see, that is understood, but I believe that the wording of the resolution as I propose it will cover that point.

(The resolution was put to the meeting and carried unanimously.)

**NOMINATIONS AND ELECTIONS**

Chairman: As there seems to be no other discussion on any of the papers, we will pass on to the nomination of the Executive Committee for the next year. Are there any proposed nominations?

Mr. Paine: I desire to propose the following nominations:

Chairman, Chas. E. Sprague, President of the Union Dime Savings Institution, New York.

Vice-Chairman, Edward E. Duff, Vice-President of the People's Savings Bank, Pittsburgh, Pa.

There are other members of the Executive Committee to serve these years: Lucius Teter, Cashier of Chicago Savings Bank, Chicago; Rob. J. Hugent, Trustee Emigrant Industrial Savings Bank, New York; David Hart, Secretary and Treasurer of Hononee County Savings Bank, Rochester, N. Y.

One member of Executive Committee to serve two years (at place of Fred. Main): Chas. E. Mills, Cashier People's Trust and Savings Bank, Clinton, Iowa.

In submitting these nominations I hardly think it necessary to accompany them with any eulogistic remarks, as the gentlemen are too well known to require special commendation. But I should like to make a few remarks with reference to Col. Sprague. I do not think that there is a difference of opinion among those who live in this city, or in this State for that matter, who are not familiar with his activity and ability, and who are not convinced of his eminent fitness for the position of our chairman. (Applause.)

Mr. B. Steiner: I have much pleasure in seconding the proposed nominations.

Chairman: If there are no other propositions forthcoming I will put the names of the proposed gentlemen to the vote en bloc. Those who are in favor of these gentlemen being appointed will please signify their assent by sayingaye.

(Carried by acclamation.)

Chairman: Then it is my pleasure to introduce to you Col. Sprague, chairman of this Section for the coming year. (Applause.)

**REMARKS OF MR. SPRAGUE**

Mr. Sprague: Gentlemen and delegate members of the Savings Bank Section; I hope you will not expect any very extensive remarks from an incoming chairman to be made for practical purposes. Nor will mere talking affect us very particularly; but I do not mind saying a few words of thanks to you for having placed your confidence in me, a confidence which I shall endeavor to the best of my ability to deserve and justify. I also acknowledge, with thanks, the reference to my activity in the city of my adoption and residence, which has led to the election of one of its humble representatives to the position of chairman of this body, which is destined to play a very important part in the financial interests of this country. I next congratulate you upon the growth of this, comparatively speaking, infant Section, with which I have sympathized from the start. We have made very rapid strides during the past year under the presidency of Mr. A. G. Tuttle, my able predecessor. Much of our success during the past three years is also due to the hard work, the talent for organization and the genius for detail administration possessed by our able Secretary, Mr. William Hanhart.

The Savings Bank system itself has developed through evolution, and one of its stages of evolution, as in many other cases, has become that of association. We have seen it in various professions. In our own line of action the whole history covers less than a hundred years. The first Savings Banks were chartered institutions and designed, I think, in
very closely prescriptive laws, stating what their functions should be and what they should not be. They were left, however, to the good sense of the gentlemen placed at the head. Then came another era, when it became necessary to charter other institutions, the legislature in each case endeavoring to conform the new provisions to the wants of the community. The banks were to be subjected to various regulations as to the conduct of their business. These regulations varied much for different institutions. It then became evident that the stage of experimentation had been passed as to interior regulation, but there was a necessity to some degree for a reasonable uniformity of charter. Then in our own State and in neighboring States a general Savings Bank law became the subject of discussion. The law in our own State was found very satisfactory, but in other States other forms of legislation were resolved upon. Instead of placing all powers in the hands of trustees, there was a body of stockholders who were assumed to stand behind the depositors, till the soil for them and assist in the great object which Savings Banks have at heart. There were other proposals, such as Government and postal institutions. And here we meet the representatives of all kinds and manners of legitimate Savings Banks, and it has been doubted by some members of the Savings Bank Associations of some States whether they could unite without clashing. I am a member, and something of a working member, of the Savings Bank Association of the State of New York. At the same time I am working hard in favor of this Section, and I do not feel it to transgress upon the ground which our associations cover. We are here to compare differences from all points of commerce. Men from San Francisco meet men from New Jersey to discuss the differences of their ways, all of which are very instructive and interesting, the more so the more they diverge. It is the same thing as with men who wish to increase their knowledge of traveling. Here again it is best to go to a country which is as different as possible from your own, instead of going to your immediate neighbors. It is best to compare extremes. For that same reason I am sorry we did not have anybody present with us to present opposite views to those we have heard in regard to Postal Savings Banks, because in that case we could have compared notes on the subject. We have reached in the laws of the various States points approaching the highest degree of development, security, supervision, and so forth, but some wish that we should produce uniformity of law in all these respects. On the other hand, it may be that, owing to local conditions, we do not want such uniformity; but we want to have the points discussed without prejudice, and I believe that this is the tendency of this Section.

Our versatile Secretary has provided us with a beautiful badge which distinguishes itself by colors that are national, and it charmingly indicates that our aspirations are those of a national, not a local, organization. He has symbolized in other ways the tendency of the Savings Banks movement which is said to be other than philanthropic. He has not lost sight of the emblem of the bees by ornamenting the design by three "bees," which are the esoteric meaning of "beneficent, but businesslike." (Applause.)

Chairman: It is now my privilege to vacate the chair in favor of Colonel Sprague.

Mr. Sprague (dekening the honor of taking the chair): No, sir, you are still in the chair, and you, being the more experienced man, I will feel obliged to you if you will retain the chair until the proceedings come to a close.

Chairman: There being no further business before us, I declare this meeting adjourned.
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<table>
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<tr>
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<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Time Loans on Security</td>
<td>$4,009,023.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demand Loans on Security</td>
<td>4,383,438.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stocks</td>
<td>6,584,175.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Real Estate (corner La Salle and Monroe Streets, for new bank building)</td>
<td>850,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Due from Banks</td>
<td>6,384,748.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Checks for Clearings</td>
<td>1,148,015.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash on Hand</td>
<td>4,208,750.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>$11,742,114.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RESOURCES.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Capital Stock</td>
<td>$1,000,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surplus Fund</td>
<td>1,000,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undivided Profits</td>
<td>632,792.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cashier's Checks</td>
<td>$341,362.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Certified Checks</td>
<td>$2,059.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demand Deposits</td>
<td>13,814,082.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time Deposits</td>
<td>11,312,315.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>$28,182,511.39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TOTAL: $28,182,511.39

OFFICERS.

BYRON L. SMITH, President.

F. L. HANKEY, Vice-President.

ARTHUR HEURTLEY, Secretary.

GRAND G. McOWEN, Asst. Secretary.

EDWARD C. JARVIS, Auditor.

THE CHICAGO NATIONAL BANK,
No. 152 Monroe Street, CHICAGO.

CAPITAL $1,000,000.00.

SURPLUS $1,000,000.00.

This bank is fully equipped to care for the accounts of banks, individuals, firms and corporations. It respectfully invites correspondence or a personal interview with those who contemplate making changes or opening new accounts.

DIRECTORS: WILLIAM BEST, MAURICE ROSENFELD, F. M. BLOUNT, FRED. G. MCNALLY, J. R. WALSH, C. K. G. BILLINGS, JOHN M. SMYTH.

OFFICERS: J. R. WALSH, President; F. M. BLOUNT, Vice-Pres't; T. M. JACKSON, Cashier; F. W. McLEAN, Ass't Cashier; J. E. SHEA, Ass't Cashier.

Correspondence Invited on Banking and Trust Matters.
Domestic and Foreign Bankers,
Wall St., cor. of Broad St.,
NEW YORK.

DREXEL & CO.,
Cor. 5th and Chestnut Streets,
PHILADELPHIA.

MORGAN, HARJES & CO.,
31 Boulevard Haussmann,
PARIS.

Securities Bought and Sold on Commission.
Interest Allowed on Deposits.
Foreign Exchange. Commercial Credits.

CIRCULAR LETTERS FOR TRAVELERS, AVAILABLE IN ALL PARTS OF THE WORLD.

ATTORNEYS AND AGENTS OF
Messrs. J. S. Morgan & Co.,
No. 22 Old Broad Street, London.