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## REVIEW OF JUNE-COMMERCIAL AND FINANCIAL EVENTS.

Important events bulked large during this, the closing month of the half-year. Among those of an international character the foremost unquestionably was the floating in this market and abroad of the German Government International loan, long awaited, for a total of about $\$ 345,000,000$, together with the complementary step, completed at the end of the month, namely, the entire evacuation of the Rhineland, on June 30, by the French Occupation troops, and which, under the Versailles Treaty of Peace, might have been extended five years longer, or until 1935.

Among the domestic events of chief importance and significance may be mentioned: (1) the signing on June 17 by President Hoover of the Smoot-Hawley Tariff bill, which Congress had had under consideration for a period of almost 15 months, and which provides for higher customs duties on quite a considerable number of articles and commodities; (2) the renewed collapse of the grain markets, and especially the further big break in the price of wheat, in which a new decline of 21c. a bushel occurred during the month in the July option, to the lowest figure reached by this option since 1914-1915, with a concurrent fall in other grains, particularly rye, which dropped to the lowest point reached since 1893; (3) further drastic breaks in other commodities such as sugar and silk, which reached all-time new low levels, and rubber, hides and a long line of other commodities; (4) another big tumble in the market price of cotton, this, like the depreciation in the case of wheat, occurring notwithstanding, and perhaps because of, the measures of relief extended during the last eight or nine months by the Federal Farm Board, in which period this Government agency, through the farm co-operatives, purchased enormous amounts of both wheat and cotton, and engaged in holding these accumulated supplies off the market with the effect that they became a menace overhanging the market; (5) the growing intensity of trade depression in this country, with apparently no prospect of early relief, the sad predicament of the farming classes by reason of the low prices for agricultural products serving to intensify the industrial prostration and militating against an early revival of trade activity; (6) the renewed violent collapse in the stock market, security values vanishing like thin air, even bond prices sharing to some extent in the decline, probably because bonds were thrown over in large volume in order to protect stock holdings, the margins on which were fast being wiped out, necessitating the putting up of additional margins; (7) unprecedented ease in the money market, with a glut of available funds which has seldom, if ever, been equaled in the past, money literally going begging for employment at almost any figure, call loans on the Stock Ex-
change the latter part of the month dropping to $11 / 2 \%$ per annum, the lowest figure touched since August 1917, and open market rates for bankers' acceptances dropping to only $2 \%$ bid and $17 / 8 \%$ asked for bills of 30 -, 60 - and 90 -day maturities, the plethora of loanable funds being the threefold result of trade prostration, which naturally reduced the commercial demand for banking accommodation to a minimum, the loss of confidence in the stability of things which made the business man disinclined to embark upon new adventures or engage in ordinary enterprise until the outlook should become clearer, and finally the shrinkage in brokers' loans as a result of the huge liquidation in the stock market, the New York Stock Exchange's own figures showing a reduction in borrowing by Stock Exchange members during June of over a billion dollars ( $\$ 1,020,120,623$ ), following $\$ 315,299,447$ decrease in May, making a contraction for the two months combined of $\$ 1,335,420,070$; (8) a further drop in the price of silver.
To all this might be added (9) another important event in the action of the Federal Reserve Bank of New York in quite unexpectedly on June 19 announcing a reduction in its rediscount rate after the close of business from 3\% to $2 \frac{1}{2} \%$, the lowest figure ever charged since the establishment of the Federal Reserve System, with a concurrent reduction in the Reserve Bank's buying rate for acceptances to a discount basis of only $2 \%$ (and even $17 / 8 \%$ ), all this being done with a view to aiding business recovery by supplying unlimited means of bank credit at cheap rates and coming at a time when, as an anomaly, banking credit and banking funds were in superabundant supply and rates for nearly all classes of loans were, as already indicated, at unprecedentedly low levels.

As to the German Government International Loan, for a total of about $\$ 345,000,000$, the offering in New York and London came on Thursday morning, June 12, while in Paris, Rome, Berlin, Stockholm and Zurich it made its appearance on Friday, June 13, but in Amsterdam it was not offered until the following Tuesday, June 17. Except that the portion allotted to Holland was only partially subscribed for, the loan was everywhere a complete success. The amount offered in this market was $\$ 98,250,000$, and was made by a syndicate headed by J. P. Morgan \& Co., Kuhn, Loeb \& Co., First National Bank, the National City Co., the Guaranty Co. of New York, Bankers' 'Co. of New York, Chase Securities Corp., and a long and impressive list of other banking and investment houses. The amount offered in Great Britain was $£ 12,000,000$. The sum apportioned to France was $2,515,000,000$ francs $(\$ 98,588,000)$; to Holland, $73,000,000$ guilders $(\$ 29,346,000)$; to Sweden, $110,000,000$ kronor $(\$ 29,513,000)$; to Switzerland, $92,000,000$ Swiss francs ( $\$ 17,811,000$ ) ; to Germany, $36,000,000$ reichsmarks ( $\$ 8,586,000$ ) ; to Italy, $110,000,000$ lire $(\$ 5,764,000)$, and to Belgium $35,000,000$ belgas ( $\$ 4,882,000)$. By means of the entire loan it is meant to provide, according to the prospectus, "an aggregate effective amount equivalent to approximately $\$ 300,000,000$." As to the disposition of the proceeds of the loan the prospectus said: "Two-thirds of this loan represents the capitalization of a portion of the unconditional annuities payable by Germany according to the new Young plan, and the proceeds thereof will be paid to the Bank for International Settlements for the account of the creditor Powers concerned." The remaining one-third, or $\$ 100,000,000$, it was stated, "will be utilized by the German Government to provide for requirements of the German Railway Co. and the German Post Office and telegraphs."

The bonds carried a coupon rate of $51 / 2 \%$, and they become due June 11965 , but are subject to redemption at 100 through the operation of the sinking fund, and the offering price in this country was at 90 and accrued interest, making the yield to maturity $6.20 \%$ per annum. The offering proved an unqualified success, not only in this country, where subscription books were closed promptly at noon on the day of the offering (Thursday), but also in the foreign markets where subscriptions for the loan were invited-Holland alone excepted. The price of issue, it should be stated, was fixed at 90 in all countries except France, where, as the bonds were tax exempt, the price to the public was 98 . On June 12, the day of the offering, the bonds sold on the New York Stock Exchange at $911 / 4$, but closed June 30 at 90 . On the dissolution of the syndicate the next month (July 3), they sold down to $881 / 8$.

Objections to the loan had been raised by Congressman McFadden, the Chairman of the Banking and Currency Committee of the House of Representatives, but these objections had been met by Thomas W. Lamont, of J, P. Morgan \& Co. Mr. Lamont's remarks were made before the Academy of Political Science at the Hotel Astor on June 2, in introducing the guest of honor at the Academy's luncheon, Sir Josiah Stamp. So far as concerns the possibility of Germany failing to carry out the terms of the Young Reparations settlement, under which this international loan was floated, Mr. Lamont took pains to point out that "the greatest strength of the Reparations settlement reached at Paris lies in Germany's voluntary acceptance of the obligations arranged under that settlement." To this Mr. Lamont added the following further pregnant remarks: "One could almost say," declared Mr. Lamont, "that not until 1929, 10 years after the Paris Peace Conference which wrote the Versailles Treaty, did all the statesmen of the creditor governments fully realize that one could collect war damages only with the consent of the debtor nation; and Germany, in turn, realized that she had incurred a just debt which with all internal supervision removed and her full liberty of action restored, she was desirous of voluntarily assuming and discharging." Mr. Lamont also laid stress upon another important point when he said: "But what I would wish most to emphasize in the work of the Young Committee is that it was designed to bring final settlement to the whole problem of German reparations which for so many years following the war kept all Europe in a state of unrest, and had unhappy economic repercussions even as far as America. Now at last the statesmen of Europe are justified in saying that strife, be it in the Ruhr or elsewhere, is ended; that the world may now look forward to an orderly and tranquil carrying out of the last of the great post-war operations for reconstruction." The same point made here with so much force by Mr. Lamont was also made by one of the Paris correspondents of the New York "Times," in a cable dispatch to that newspaper, which appeared under date of June 11, announcing that the public offering of the German loan would be made, and which said: "This Young plan loan marks for Europe the end of more than 10 years of disputes and puts an end to reparations as a political problem, placing both reparations and inter-allied debts on a business basis. From now on the handling of these vast sums, which have weighed so much on the imaginations of the various nations, will be almost automatically accomplished, and it is believed this will eliminate the feeling of irritation which has attended past payments."
As already noted, Chairman McFadden of the House Banking and Currency Committee opposed the floating of the loan in this country, and on June 12 introduced in the House a resolution designed to prohibit the purchase of German reparation bonds by National banks, Federal Reserve Banks and member banks of the Federal Reserve System. Mr. McFadden, in an explanatory statement, averred that he was endeavoring to keep the United States and its Federal Reserve banking system out of involvement in reparations payments. He argued that the United States has never participated officially in the reparations conferences and contended that both the Treasury and State Departments had consistently adhered to the principle that this Government would not permit the mixing of German reparations payments with the debts owed to this Government by the Allied Governments. The Young plan provides for the commercialization of $\$ 3,250,000,000$ worth of German reparation payments during the next 10 years, of which
something over $\$ 300,000,000$ are to be sold annually, Mr . McFadden declared, and he went on to say: "Of this year's allotment $\$ 100,000,000$ worth are about to be sold in the United States, which means that if these bonds are pur chased by American citizens or American financial institutions these citizens or institutions of the United States who purchase these bonds are transferring to American citizens or institutions just that much of the reparation payments due to Allied governments from Germany, and thus involving reparation payments and war debts. It should be plain to anyone that, if by this method a portion of reparations payments are thus transferred to the United States, just to this extent our reparation payments are being mixed with war debts."
In the United States Senate opposition also appeared. On June 16 the Senate adopted a resolution offered by Senator Carter Glass in which reference was made to reports of the informal approval by the State Department of the flotation of a portion of the German reparation bonds in this country and calling upon the Department to advise the Senate under "What authorization of law does the State Department base its right to disapprove or approve investment securities offered for sale in the money markets of the United States by foreign governments, corporations or individuals?" With reference to his resolution, Mr. Glass made the following observation: "For the last two years it has been exceedingly difficult for States, for subdivisions of States, for anybody to float loans on the American market. The stock market has been stupefied for that length of time, and yet here the State Department assumes the right to approve of a foreign loan which goes into the money market with the approval of the United States Government and must compete with domestic loans that have not that approval."

In a statement made before the House Committee on Banking and Currency on June 25, Secretary of the Treasury Mellon presented his views on the resolution of Representative McFadden designed to prohibit the purchase of German reparation bonds by National banks, Federal Reserve Banks and member banks of the Federal Reserve System, Secretary Mellon pointed out that, as Federal Reserve Banks under existing law "have no authority to purchase bonds of this character," the resolution is meaningless in so far as they are concerned. He likewise stated that National banks "are limited to buying marketable obligations in the form of bonds, notes and (or) debentures" and that "the securities which may be purchased by State member banks are, of course, governed by State law." Mr. Mellon declared that "there is, in my opinion, no more reason for Congress to say that a National bank should not purchase a reparation bond than to say that it should not purchase a specific railroad or industrial bond. To do so would place our Government directly in the banks' management. It is unsound and unnecessary." He added that for the reasons he cited he is "very definitely of the opinion that that is no occasion for the adoption of this resolution and that it would be against public policy to do so." Secretary Mellon took occasion to state that "the United States Government is not a party to the Young plan. Neither in the past has it been, nor will it in the future, be responsible for the collection or distribution of reparation payments." In conclusion, he said: "I may add for the sake of accuracy that I know of no provision providing for the annual sale of German reparation bonds in the United States."

In a communication to the Senate on June 20, Secretary of State Henry L. Stimson responded to the resolution offered by Senator Carter Glass and adopted by the Senate on June 16 questioning the right of the State Department to approve the German Government International Loan and asking for its authority in passing upon foreign loans generally. Secretary Stimson was asked to cite the authorization, constitutional or statutory, expressed or implied, upon which the Department based its right to approve or disapprove offerings. A report was promptly prepared by Mr . Stimson and it was transmitted to the Senate June 20 through President Hoover. While defending the practice, Mr. Stimson admitted that "save in a small number of countries where we have special obligations arising out of treaties, there is no authorization of law, constitutional or statutory, so far as the Department is aware, which gives the State Department the right to approve or disapprove investment securities offered for sale in the United States
by foreign governments, corporations or individuals." The power thus exercised, he continued, "will be found in Article 2 of the Constitution vesting the executive power in the President and in the statutory provision that the Secretary of State shall perform such duties as shall from time to time be enjoined on or entrusted to him by the President relative to matters respecting foreign affairs." Secretary Stimson stated further that the practice has enabled the State Department to maintain a position of watchfulness in the country's interest in its foreign relations. At no time, however, has objection been interposed to foreign loans in our market, save in a very small number of cases, he added "In this practice the State Department has usually been the spokesman for considerations advanced by other executive departments as well as its own," the Secretary said. "Thus, through the State Department, the Government has in the past carried out the policy recommended by the World War Foreign Debts Commission of objections to loans to nations which had not funded their national indebtedness to the United States. At other times loans to foreign monopolies or cartels have been discouraged." Mr. Stimson denied that the State Department had "claimed or exercised" the "right to approve or disapprove" foreign offerings as implied in the Senate resolution. "The Department approaches no closer to any expression of approval of loans than to say that it interposes no objection to the loan or is not interested in it," the Secretary said. In the particular case of the flotation of the German annuities bonds, Mr. Stimson said that the usual practice was followed.

On June 25 Senator Carter Glass introduced in the Senate a resolution expressing it as the sense of the Senate that the State Department "having no legal sanction for the action mentioned in Senate resolution 203 with respect to investment securities offered in the money markets of the United States by foreign governments, corporations or individuals, should desist from the dangerous practice of involving the United States Government in any responsibility of whatever nature, either by approval or disapproval, for foreign investment loans floated in this country; and should refrain from assuming authority over the Federal Reserve Board and banks or officials thereof with respect to matters which, by express authority of law, are confided to them and not to the Department of State."

As to the evacuation of the Rhineland, this was concluded on Monday, June 30, when the last French soldiers departed from the occupied German territory after a progressive evacuation that had extended over the several previous months. Four companies of French infantry comprised the last remnant of the occupation forces which rumbled out of the Mainz railway station in a train of 15 coaches. The French Tricolor was lowered at noon from the Grand Ducal Palace in Mainz which since 1918 had been the French military headquarters. General Guillaumat, commander-in chief of the former Allied Rhineland Armies, received the flag and after turning over the military headquarters to the German authorities, he also departed. At Wiesbaden, just across the Rhine, the British, French and Belgian colors were lowered from the roof of the headquarters of the Interallied Rhineland Commission. Crowds of Germans watched the disappearance of these last emblems of the occupation, but they confined themselves to a few approving shouts and the singing of patriotic songs. The liberated cities were immediately bedecked in the colors of the German Republic, however, and later in the day uniformed police arrived to take over the work of policing the towns. At night bells and whistles and booming guns marked the start of a more general celebration, while all along the historic river bonfires flared. An untoward incident happened the next day, when mobs in the town of Kaiserslautern engaged in reprisals against German leaders of the Rhineland Separatist move ment fostered by the French several years ago. Three Separatists were injured and their homes or places of business destroyed.

As stated above, the new tariff bill reached its final stages during the month, and after both Houses had passed the conference report on the measure, which was a result of almost innumerable compromises, received the President's approval on June 17. This ended a very protracted period of tariff legislation. Congress was convened in special session by President Hoover on April 15 of the previous year for the express purpose of enacting a new tariff law, but hearings for the purpose of paving the way
for the legislation really began much earlier, namely, on Jan. 7 1929, this being in accordance with a resolution adopted by the Ways and Means Committee of the House of Representatives on Dec. 4 1928, providing that the Committee begin hearings on Monday, Jan. 7 1929, "for the purpose of obtaining information necessary for the effective readjustment of the duties on imports, wherever it shall be found necessary that such duties should be readjusted." These hearings before the Committee on Ways and Means continued from Jan. 7 to Feb. 27 1929. On Mar. 41929 members of the Committee on Ways and Means, or a majority of them who were members-elect to the new Congress, were authorized to hold hearings, sit as Committee, \&c., until meeting of the new Congress. As already stated, the new Congress was convened in extra session on April 151929. Rapid progress with the measure was made in the House, and the bill passed that body on May 28 1929, but it was not until nearly 10 months later, namely, on Mar. 241930 , that it passed the Senate with 1,253 amendments, following which it went to conference. The proceedings at conference were prolonged on account of the differences between the two Houses on a great variety of matters; numerous disagreements were referred back to the two Houses from time to time until June 131930 the final conference report on the bill was adopted by the Senate by the narrow vote of 44 to 42. The House accepted the report on Saturday, June 14, by a vote of 222 to 140 .
President Hoover, who had sedulously refrained from taking any part in shaping the measure while under consideration by the two Houses of Congress, used his influence when the measure was in the final stages of conference, and then insisted on having certain provisions of the bill-more particularly the flexible provision relating to the determinations of the United States Tariff Commission-made to conform to his views. There had been rumors that the President might veto the bill, but having obtained his objective with reference to the portions of the measure re garding which he felt most immediate concern, he gave prompt notice of his intention to sign the bill in order to remove all doubt on the point. This announcement came late on Sunday night, June 15, being broadcast over the radio in the Sunday night talks given by David Lawrence of the "United States Daily." The President did not formally approve the bill until June 17, and it became operative at midnight on that day, the Act stipulating that "except as otherwise provided, this Act shall take effect on the day following the date of its enactment." But in his statement issued Sunday night, June 15, the President indicated his reasons for accepting the bill, even though it might be far from perfect, and might even contain rates of duties unwarrantedly high. These reasons were mainly his desire to avoid further delay and uncertainty, and the fact that in the President's view the changes made are mainly in the interest of the farmer, which the Republican party in its platform had pledged itself to take in its special care, that "platform promises must not be empty gestures," and that the new basis of the flexible provision offered a ready means for removing inequalities and correcting evils and defects. Some of the salient things said by him regarding the remedial agency of the flexible provision appear in the following excerpts:
The new flexible provision established the responsibility for revisions upon a reorganized Tariff Commission, composed of members equally of both parties, as a definite rate-making body acting through semi-judicial methods of open hearings and investigation, by which items can be taken up one by one upon direction or upon application of aggrieved parties.
Recommendations are to be made to the President, he being give authority to promulgate or veto the conclusions of the Commission. Such revision can be accomplished without disturbance to business, as they concern but one item at a time, and the principles laid down assure a protective basis.
The principle of the protective tariff for the benefit of labor, industry and the farmer is established in the bill by the requirement that the commission shall adjust the rates so as to cover the differences in cost of production at home and abroad-and it is authorized to increase or decreas the duties by $50 \%$ to effect this end. The means and methods of asce taining such differences by the Commission are provided in such fashion as should expedite prompt and effective action if grievances develop.
When the flexible principle was first written into law in 1922, by tradition and force of habit the old conception of legislative revision was so firmly fixed that the innovation was bound to be used with caution and in a restricted field, even had it not been largely inoperative for other reasons. Now, however, and particularly after the record of the last 15 monthe, there is a growing and widespread realization that in this highly complicated and intricately organized and rapidly shifting economic world the time has come when a more scientific and business-like method of tarif revision must be devised. Toward this the new flexible provision takes a long step.

The complaints from some foreign countries that these duties have been placed unduly high can be remedied if justified by proper application to the Tariff Commission

I do not assume the rate structure in this or any other tariff bill is perfect, but I am convinced that the disposal of the whole question is urgent. I believe that the flexible provisions can within reasonable time remedy inequalities; that this provision is a progressive advance and gives great hope of taking the tariff away from politics, lobbying and log-rolling; that the bill gives protection to agriculture for the market of its products, and to several industries in need of such protection for the walge of their labor; that with returning normal conditions our foreign trade will continue to expand.

The new Act increases rates of duties on a whole variety of articles and commodities, and, as a consequence, encountered vehement protests from nearly every leading country in the world, even before it found its place definitely upon the statute book. Reprisals and retaliatory measures are threatened by many countries, and Italy almost immediately increased the duty on automobiles 110 to $120 \%$, the average duty on Ford cars being raised from 7,000 lire ( $\$ 350$ ) to 16,000 ( $\$ 800$ ). Canada had prepared for action in advance, knowing what was coming. Higher tariffs on a dozen commodities imported into the Dominion from the United States went into effect automatically on June 18 under countervailing duties adopted with the Canadian budget on May 1. Potatoes, flour, grain, cattle, meats, eggs and butter are among the commodities the Canadian duties on which have been raised or are for the first time being imposed, the principle adopted being that the Canadian tariff shall be as high on an article coming from another country as the rate that that country levies against the same commodity entering from Canada. One bit of news which came almost immediately following the enactment of the new tariff law in this country was tather suggestive of future possibilities. On Tuesday, June 24, announcement came that the price of sole leather in this city had been raised 2c. a pound. On the same day the New York Hide Exchange, in its daily bulletin, reported that "hide futures continued their decline, again registering new lows for the year, closing from 10 to 20 off from the previous close in the active options." In the remaining days of the month hides moved still lower. The difference in the character of the fluctuations as between hides and leather will not escape notice.

The great break in the grain prices was, of course, highly disturbing and was easily the most disquieting event of the whole month, since it so seriously jeonardized the welfare of the farmer. Wheat prices touched new low levels in practically every week of the month. The final result was that the July option for wheat in Chicago as against $\$ 1.08 \%$ June 2, touched $873 / 4$ c. June 25, a decline for the month of June alone of over 21c., and to the lowest figure reached for this option since 1914-15. There was only slight recovery from this extremely low figure, and the close June 30 was at 89 c . The decline in wheat naturally pulled down all the other grains, and rye sank to 44 c ., being the lowest point reached by rye since 1896. It was pointed out in Chicago papers that sawdust was priced aboye rye, or, at all events. the report said that sawdust was selling at 70 c . a bag of 40 pounds at Chicago compared with rye at 44 c . for a bag of 56 pounds. The July option for rye in Chicago closed June 30 at $455 / 8 \mathrm{c}$. At the opening of the month July rye sold at $633 / 4 \mathrm{c}$. Sentember wheat in Chicago as against $\$ 1.117 / 8$ on June 2 sold down to $911 / 4 \mathrm{c}$. June 25 with the close June 30 at 93 c. July corn in Chicago after advancing from $801 / 8 \mathrm{c}$. June 2 to $825 / 8 \mathrm{c}$. June 5 touched $731 / 4$ c. June 25 with the close June 30 at $73 \%$ c. September corn in Chicago sold down from $831 / 4$ c. June 2 to 72 c. June 23, with the close June 30 at $725 / 8$. Oats fared no less badly, the July option in Chicago dropping from $407 / 8 \mathrm{c}$. June 2 to $343 / 4 \mathrm{c}$. June 30 with the close on that day at 35c. September oats in Chicago fell from $40 \%$ c. June 2 to 36 c . June 30. Sugar which has also been the subject of efforts to maintain an artificial level of values went through the same experience in recording new low levels and the New York Coffee \& Sugar Exchange on Tuesday June 24 reported that "raw sugar futures again went into new low ground for all time when the July position sold at 1.25 c . per lb." On June 30 this sugar option sold at 1.25 c . From 1860 to 1930 it is stated the lowest recorded price for raw sugar was 1.56 c . Cuban raw sugar for spot delivery which on May 29 sold at $18 / 8$ c. during June fluctuated between $13 / 8 \mathrm{c}$. and $137 / 64$ with the final sale of the month at $13 / 8 \mathrm{c}$. The wholesale price of refined sugar at New York which at the close of May was quoted at 4.70 c. per lb. by all the leading refineries was marked down on June 4 to 4.50 c . by the different refineries, being the lowest price for refined sugar in this market since Aug. 5, 1914. But on June 17 there was an advance again to 4.60 c , with the American Sugar Refining Co. quoting 4.70c., and this figure was later adonted by all, effective July 1. Nor was there any improvement in the price of coffee and the spot price of No. 7 Rio which at one time was quoted at $91 / 4 \mathrm{c}$. bid and $91 / 2 \mathrm{c}$. asked later dropped to $83 / 4 \mathrm{c}$. Santos dropped from 101/4@103/4c. to 10@101/2c.

There were no special developments to account for the renewed break in the market value of wheat and the further depreciation appeared to result entirely from the fact that the large wheat holdings of the Federal Farm Board and its subsidiaries were hanging over the market and that the new winter wheat crop in this country was now about to come to market in considerable quantities. The June report of the Department of Agriculture at Washington issued on the 10th of the month showed a further deterioration in the condition of the winter wheat crop during the month of May, the condition June 1 being put at $71.7 \%$ of normal against $76.7 \%$ on May 1 and comparing with the 10 -year average on June 1 of $77.2 \%$. The indications pointed to a crop of only $532,469,000$ bushels in 1930 as against the actual harvest of $578,336,000$ bushels of winter wheat in 1929. The condition of the spring wheat crop in the United States on June 1 of the present year was put at $85.7 \%$ of normal, as against $84.8 \%$ on June 1, 1929, but comparing with a 10 -year average of $86.8 \%$.
The Federal Farm Board tried to quiet apprehensions arising out of its wheat holdings and cotton holdings. George S. Milnor the President of the Grain Stabilization Corporation in a statement made public by the Federal Farm Board on June 26 said that the Corporation had ceased to sell wheat and would not sell in competition with the new crop unless prices rose to the level at which purchases had been made. The Corporation had bought wheat at various prices ranging from $\$ 1.25$ a bushel downward, it was stated for the Board. It was figured that the visible supply of wheat in this country on June 21 in the present year was 113,000,000 bushels as against $91,000,000$ bushels a year ago, showing an increase of $22,000,000$ bushels, and Mr. Milnor stated that "the amount of 1929 wheat withdrawn from the market by the Grain Stabilization Corporation is approximately 3 times the amount of that increase, leaving the amount of wheat on the market substantially below last year's figures." On that basis, it was figured, that the Farm Board was holding about $66,000,000$ bushels of wheat, though no exact information on that point was forthcoming and some of the estimates on its unsold stocks ran considerably higher. At all events Mr. Milnor stated that "the grain trade need have no apprehension of competition from the wheat held by the Grain Stabilization Corporation during the coming months when farmers will be moving the 1930 crop to market unless in the meantime prices rise to the level at which purchases were made. In no event will this 1929 stabilization wheat be thrown on the market in a way to depress prices."
On June 24 it was stated orally for the Farm Board, according to the "United States Daily," that it was the opinion of Alexander Legge, Chairman of the Farm Board that the low prices for wheat were part of a general decline in commodity prices and must be considered as such and not as a reduction in a single commodity. The Grain Stablization Corporation was holding the wheat which it bought from last year's crop, Mr. Legge said, but no plans had been considered for a stabilization operation with respect to the new crop which was moving to market with increasing rapidity. The Farm Board on June 25 received a telegram from the Governor of Kansas, Clyde M. Reed, asking the Board to authorize the Grain Stabilization Corporation to buy 25,000,000 bushels of wheat to support the falling price, but nothing transpired as to the intentions of the Board with reference to the matter. At the same time Canadian press advices from Winnipeg, Manitoba, June 24 stated that initial payments by the Canadian pool of wheat, barley and rye were to be cut down the next day, the pool central sellIng agency had announced on that day. The first payments on wheat for the remainder of the 1929 crop, not yet delivered by pool members, would be decreased to 85c. from $\$ 1.00$; on barley to 30 c . from 40 c . and on rye to 40 c . from 45 c . The cut in payments came, it was stated, with the grain market depressed to a position unrecorded in many years. On that day all three wheat futures had closed under $\$ 1$ "an event with few precedents on the Winnipeg Grain Exchange."
There were fears that the Farm Board would be forced to ask Congress for further appropriations from the authorized $\$ 500,000,000$ Revolving Fund, but Mr. Legge was quoted in newspaper dispatches on June 23 as saying that the Board had no intention of asking Congress for an additional appropriation before its adjournment. Instead of financing the Grain Corporation with Farm Board funds he indicated that it might draw most of its money from the private banks and through the Intermediate Credit Banks. Emphasizing that co-operative sales agencies can obtain loans through private sources, Mr, Legge was quoted as saying he did not know how long it would be before another appropriation would be required.

Cotton suffered only less severely than wheat, but here more definite news was available as to the size of the Farm Board holdings. It was made plain that the Farm Board through its subsidiaries had acquired at least $1,000,000$ bales of.eotton. Associated Press advices from New Orleans, June 24, stated that about $1,000,000$ bales of cotton, all now in the possession of state co-operative associations would be taken over by the Cotton Stabilization Corporation, according to E. F. Creekmore President of the Corporation.

The announcement had been made, it was stated, upon his arrival in New Orleans for the establishment of the American Cotton co-operative headquarters. Mr. Creekmore was quoted as saying that the Cotton Stabilization Corp. which operates under the direction of the Federal Farm Board would take over the cotton now held by the various State group marketing bodies for the purpose of clearing the way for the new crop. He declared that with the new crop beginning to come on the market in Texas, the Government cooperatives were ready to provide such financing as might be required by the Stabilization Corporation. Notwithstanding all this, the market price of cotton continued to move downward, though there was some slight recovery the latter part of the month. Middling upland spot cotton in this market on June 24 was down to 13.25 c . as against.16.30c. at the end of May with the closing price June 30 at 13.55 c . Accounts regarding cotton goods and the dry goods market generally were rather discouraging, the setback in trade, with the new afflictions of the agricultural classes, being of course responsible for this. Rigid curtailment of production of goods was practiced, without, however, doing much to improve the situation. The Census figures, issued June 14 showed that only 473,917 bales of lint cotton and 68,779 bales of linters had been consumed in the United States during May, 1930, as against 668,650 bales of lint and 79,911 bales of linters in May, 1929. The statistics, made public on June 10 by the Association of Cotton Textile Merchants in New York, covering a period of five weeks, showed that the production for these five weeks of May had amounted to $275,801,000$ yards, that while the shipments had been 270 ,056,000 yards, or $97.9 \%$ of production, the sales had been only $184,473,000$ yards, or $66.9 \%$ of production, that the unfilled orders May 31 were only $271,745,000$ yards against $357,328,000$ yards May 1, and that stocks on hand May 31 stood at $450,481,000$ yards, against $444,736,000$ yards on May 1. It was pointed out that since January, 1928, these reports had been received from substantially the same groups of mills and are comparable. The peak production during this period was at the rate of $75,081,000$ yards per week, and the average was $67,765,000$ yards per week. The rate of production during May, 1930, was the lowest recorded during the 29 months preceding, being $26.5 \%$ less than the peak and $18.6 \%$ less than the average. From New Bedford came advices June 16 stating that the most radical curtailment movement definitely undertaken in recent years in the cotton manufacturing industry was now under way and mills throughout New England and all over the South had already announced either complete shut-downs for definite periods or a very much reduced scale of operations. There was every indication, it was stated, that the months of June, July and August would see less cotton goods turned out by American mills than any similar period in more than 10 years. Definitely aimed to accomplish a curtailment of at least $33 \%$ from the normal during the period from June 1 to Dec. 31, 1930, the movement was likely to bring about much more radical shortening of production during the summer months-the between-seasons period. Print cloths at Fall River for 64x60 28 in. were marked down June 18 from $41 / 2 \mathrm{c}$. to $4 \% \mathrm{c}$. and further reduced June 19 to $41 / 4 \mathrm{c}$. There were reports that owing to the continued weakness in the market for raw cotton, together with the acute lapse in buying interest, gray goods $381 / 2$ in, $64 \times 60$ constructions had changed hands in small lots at the low price of 5 c . per yard. It was figured that the actual cost of production of the cloth calculated on the basis of the price of raw cotton on the same day as the sale was $12 \%$ above the selling price.
The woolen goods division of the textile trades was in better shape than any other branch of the industry, owing to the advancing prices of raw wool at the London auction sales, but even here Associated Press advices from DoverFoxcraft (Me.) June 27 said that officials of the Brown Mill of the American Woolen Company had announced on that day that the mill would close July 10 for an indefinite period and that this would be the first shut down in more than 40 years. It was stated that the mill employed between 275 and 300 persons. The raw silk market became utterly demoralized and new low records of prices were established week after week. As illustrating the extent of the drop in silk futures on the National Raw Silk Exchange, July delivery sold down to $\$ 2.92$ from a peak of $\$ 4.56$ in the life of the contract, while August futures which sold at $\$ 4.49$ earlier in the season, sold June 30 at $\$ 2.86$ with September at $\$ 2.87$, as compared with the peak of $\$ 4.45$, and October at $\$ 2.87$ as contrasted with a high of $\$ 4.27$ earlier in the year. It will be noticed that all these prices in their descent broke through $\$ 3$. In the spot market Japanese double extra 13-15 deniers were quoted June 30 at only $\$ 3.10 @ \$ 3.15$ against $\$ 3.99 @ \$ 3.95$ May 31; $\$ 4.10 @ \$ 4.15$ April 30; $\$ 4.50 @$ \$4.55 March 31; \$4.35@\$4.40 Feb. 28; \$4.70@\$4.75 Jan. 31 and $\$ 4.60 @ \$ 4.65$ Dec 31, 1929. In the 20-22 deniers Japanese crack double extra were quoted June 30 at only $\$ 2.85 @$ $\$ 2.90$ against $\$ 3.75 @ \$ 3.80$ May 31; \$4.10@\$4.15 April 30; $\$ 4.40 @ \$ 4.45$ March 31; \$4.30@\$4.35 Feb. 28; \$4.60@\$4.65 Jan. 31 and $\$ 4.60 @ \$ 4.65$ on Dec. 3. Crude rubber also continued its downward course. Ribbed smoked sheets for spot delivery June 30 were quoted at only $121 / 4 \mathrm{c}$. bid and $123 / \mathrm{c}$ c. asked, against $133 / 4 \mathrm{c}$. bid and 14 c . asked May 31 ;

14@141/4 April 30; 151/2@153/4 March 31 and 15 $3 / 4 @ 157 / 8 \mathrm{c}$. Feb. 28.
The steel trade displayed the strongest evidence of the setback in business and industry. The Iron Age in its issue of July 3 reported that steel mill operations were at the lowest rate of the year and that steel ingot production had further declined and for the country at large was down to $60 \%$, with indications owing to the July holidays that it might dip to $50 \%$, at aganst $73 \%$ at the end of May. The U. S. dip to $50 \%$, at aganst $73 \%$ at the end of May. The U. S. capacity, as against $80 \%$ at the end of May. The "Age" mentioned as favorable features continued heavy pipeline bookings and heavy requirements for structural steel, but said that companies catering to the automobile trade were curtailing. The "Iron Trade Review" of Cleveland under its new name of "Steel," said that automotive demand for steel was at the vanishing point, with Ford closing from July 11 to July 28, Chevrolet down to a three-day week and most other makers curtailing. Railroad business on mill books was nil. While structural awards were moderately good, and pipe output excellent, these divisions of the industry merely continued June levels. The "Iron Age" made the composite price of finished steel July 1, 1930, 2.185c. a 1b. as against 2.214 c . a month before and the composite price of pig iron $\$ 17.42$ a gross ton as compared with $\$ 17.50$ a month earlier.
The non-ferrous metals also displayed a continued downward trend. The price of copper in particular showed renewed weakness. After the big drop of 4 c . a lb. to 14 c . in April and a further drop to $121 / 2 \mathrm{c}$. in May it was supposed that the depth of the decline in that metal had been reached. Not so, however. A further reduction to $111 / 2 \mathrm{c}$. occurred in June, and there were unconfirmed rumors of sales at further fractional concessions. Later, however, after the offerings at $111 / 2 \mathrm{c}$. had been absorbed, there was a return to 12 c ., these prices relating to copper delivered in Connecticut. At New York Lake copper was reduced from $131 / 8 \mathrm{c}$. to $125 / 8 \mathrm{c}$. on June 12 and further reduced to $121 / \mathrm{sc}$. on June 13, which remained the price the rest of the month. Electrolytic copper at New York was marked down on June 11 from $123 / 4 \mathrm{c}$. to $12 \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{c}$. was further reduced to $121 / 4 \mathrm{c}$. June 12 and cut to $11 \% / 4 \mathrm{c}$. June 13, dropped to $111 / 2$ c. June 17 and lowered to $111 / 4 \mathrm{c}$ June 18, but moved back to $113 / 4 \mathrm{c}$. June 19, and remained unchanged at that figure the rest of the month. Lead at New York was marked down on June 17 from 5.50c. to 5.40 c and was further reduced to 5.25 c . June 23 . Tin fluctuated in the usual erratic fashion, with the tendency of prices in the main, lower, however, the price June 13 being down to 29.50 c ., but with the close June 30 at $301 / \mathrm{sc}$. World-wide restriction of tin output, including a two months cessation of production in all important fields, appeared imminent as the Billiton Co., leading Dutch colonial producer, announced its acceptance on June 25 of a two months production holiday and a program of $20 \%$ restriction. The announcement was made in an official statement of the Tin Producers Association in London, following an international conference in Paris, at which all important producers interests were rep resented. Effective June 26, the Aluminum Co. of America re duced the price of aluminum ingots from 24.3c. per 1 b . to 23.3 c This was said to be the first price change in aluminum since December, 1927. In the case of petroleum and its products the continued heavy production of Pennsylvania crude oil led to further reductions in the posted prices of Pennsylvania grades of oil. The change in gasoline prices were not important. The production of crude oil for the entire country varied somewhat from week to week, but not very appreci ably, the average daily production in the week ending May 31 having been $2,609,450$ barrels a day; in the week ending June 7, 2,588,050 barrels per day; in the week ending June 14, 2,571,500 barrels per day; in the week ending June 21, $2,598,850$ barrels per day and in the week ending June 28 $2,610,950$ barrels per day. The different price changes during the month are shown in the following.
June 9.-Standard Oil Co. of New Jersey announces reduction of $11 / 2 \mathrm{c}$ per galion in $41-43$ water white kerosene, tank cars at refinery, making new price $71 / 4$ c. gallon, effective as of Saturday, June 7.
June 17,-South Penn Oil Co. posts new prices for Pennsylvania grades showing reductions of from 5 c . to 19 c . per barrel, in oil in the lines of the National Transit Co., Southwest Penne
June 17.-Bradford Transit Co. reduces Bradford, Pa. crude 5c. per barrel to $\$ 2.25$ per barrel.
June 26.-Ohio Oil Co. reduced Lima and Indiana crudes 15 cents pe barrel and Wooster crude 10 cents per barrel

## THE JUNE FINANCING OF THE UNITED STATES TREASURY

The June financing of the United States Treasury con sisted of the offering on June 7 of $\$ 400,000,000$, "or there abouts," of Treasury certificates of indebtedness running for a year (they being dated June 161930 and maturing June 15 1931) and bearing interest at only $27 / 8 \%$, the lowest coupon rate in any certificate offering since 1924. The offering proved a tremendous success, as it was bound to be with the money market in a state of profound ease and clutted with available funds for which it was difficult to find employment. The offering contained the customary statement that the Treasury would accept in payment for the new certificates Treasury certificates of indebtedness maturing June 16 1930, and that in making allotments such

## MONTHLY REVIEW

tenders would receive preference over the others, but this time a limit was fixed as to the aggregate amount of old certificates which the Treasury Department would accept. The limitation was not contained in the Treasury circular announcing the offering of new certificates, but was expressed in the statement made by Secretary Mellon in giving out the circular, this statement saying that "sub scriptions for which payment is to be tendered in cer tificates of indebtedness maturing June 161930 will be given preferred allotment up to $\$ 150,000,000$." It was stated at the same time that about $\$ 550,000,000$ of Treasury certificates of indebtedness and about $\$ 95,000,000$ in interest payments on the public debt would become due and payable on June 161930. The coupon rate in the offering of nine months certificates the previous March was $31 / 4 \%$ and the coupon rate in the certificates maturing June 161930 was $47 / 8 \%$.
Subscription books for the new certificates which, as already stated, were offered on Saturday, June 7, were closed at the close of business on Monday, June 9. Total subscriptions aggregated $\$ 2,398,792,000$. Of these subscriptions, about $\$ 265,000,000$ represented subscriptions for which $47 / 8 \%$ Treasury certificates of indebtedness maturing June 161930 were tendered in payment, of which, however, only $\$ 148,938,000$ were accepted. The cash subscriptions were scaled down on a graded basis. Secretary Mellon followed the plan pursued at the time of the March offering and accepted subscriptions considerably in excess of the nominal amount of $\$ 400,000,000$ offered, the allotments altogether ggregating $\$ 429,373,000$. In March he offered $\$ 450,000,000$ and allotted $\$ 483,841,000$

NEW SECURITY ISSUES AND DIVIDENDS IN JUNE
Foreign Government and foreign corporate financing in the domestic market during June showed a slight increase over the month of May. This was largely due to the $\$ 98$,250,000 offering of German Government International $51 / 2 \%$ Loan $1930,35-\mathrm{yr} .51 / 2 \%$ bonds at 90 and accrued int., to yield $6.20 \%$ to maturity. The foreign offerings consisted of the following:

FOREIGN FINANCING.
$\$ 98,250,000$ "German Government International $51 / 2 \%$ Loan 1930."-
$35-\mathrm{yr} .51 / 2 \%$ bonds at 90 and accrued int., to yield $6.20 \%$ to German Government anternatren int., to yleld $6.20 \%$ to
matr. $51 / 2 \%$ bonds at 90 and acrued ity due June 11965 . This was the American portion of a $\$ 345,000,000$ issue (to yield approximately $\$ 300,000,000$ )
the remainder being floated in Europe. Offered by J. P the remainder
Morgan \& Coing Kuhn, Loed \& Co.; First National Bank of
New York; the National City Co.; Guaranty Co. of New York; New York; the National City Co.; Guaranty Co. of New York:
Bankers' Co. of New York; Chase Securities Corp., and a host Bankers' Co. of New York; Chase Securitie
of other banking and investment houses.
50,000,000
Canadian National Railway $25-\mathrm{yr}$. $48 / 4 \%$ guar. gold honds
at 99 and int., and due June 15 1955. Offered by a syndicat headed by Chase Securities Corp.; Bancamerica a syndicate The First National Old Colony Corp.; \&c., \&c.
$15,750,000$ Canadian National Railways $41 / 2 \%$ equip. trust gold ctfs.
series $L-1930$, at prices to yield from $4.15 \%$ to $4.85 \% \mathrm{ac}$ series 1930 , at prices to yield from $4.15 \%$ to $4.85 \%$ ac-
cording to maturity Due June 11945 . Issued under the
Philadel Philadelphia plan). By a syndicate headed by Halsey, SStuart
Plation Inc., Hallgarten \& Co.; International Manhattan Co. Inc., \&c. Ync., Hallgarten \& Co.; International Manhattan Co.
7,500,000 series Colephone Co. of Canada 1st mtge. $5 \%$ gold bonds 11960 . By Lee, Higginson \& Co., the Bank of Montreal and Harris, Forbes \& Co.
5,360,000 Kingdom of Norway Municipalities Bank $5 \%$ s.f. g. bonds
of 1930 , priced at 97 and int., to yield about $5.17 \%$ and due of 1930 , priced at 97 and int., to yield about $5.17 \%$ and due
June 1970 . The foregoing represents the American half of the $\$ 10,720,000$ loan, Offered by the International Man-
hattan Co., Inc.; Lehman Brothers, and W. A. Harriman \& hattan Co.
Co., Inc.
5,000,000
City of Brisbane, State of Queensland, (Australia) 20-yr.
s. f. $6 \%$ bonds at $961 / 2$ and int., to yield over $6.30 \%$, due s. $6 \%$ bonds at $961 / 2$ and int., to yield over $6.30 \%$, due
June 1950 . By Lee, Higginson \& Co. and the National
City Company. City Company.
4,000,000
Central German Power Co. of Magdeburg (Mitteldeutsches Kraftwerk Magdeburg Aktiengesellschaft) 4 -yr. $6 \%$ gold
note, due June 11934 at 9814 and int., yielding about $6.50 \%$. By A. G. Becker \& Co.; International Manhattan Co., Inc. portion of this offering was withdrawn for sale in Holland, in Switzerland and in Germany.
Offerings of domestic securities in June were many and consisted in the main of utility issues. The $\$ 60,000,000$ offering of Union Gulf Corp. $5 \%$ coll. tr. s. f. g. bonds at 99 and int., to yield $5.08 \%$ was the feature of the month. Two other offerings of size were the Middle West Utilities Co. $\$ 50,000,000$ serial convertible gold notes priced to yield from $4.50 \%$ to $5.62 \%$ according to maturity and the unsold portion of the $\$ 118,115,600$ issue of Cities Service Co. $5 \%$ convertible gold debs. at 100 and int. The month's financing, classified as to the nature of business and size of issue, is shown below, the table including only issues and above:

## PUBLIO UTILITY FINANCING

$\$ 118,115,600$ Cities Service Co. $5 \%$ convertible gold debs. at 100 and int., and due June 11950 . It is understood that over \$45,recent offering of rights, and that of the balance between
$\$ 25,000,000$ and $\$ 30,000,000$ has been withdrawn from the $\$ 25,000,000$ and $\$ 30,000,000$ has been withdrawn from the
public offering by members of the original underwriting public offering by members of the original underwriting
syndicate, which includes a large number of banks and dealers
On syndicate, which includes a large number of banks and dealers
in various part of the country. Offered by Harris, Forbes
\& Co.; Hallsy, Stuart \& Co.. Inc.; Bonbright \& Co. Inc
E. H. Rollins \& Sons \& A. B. Leach \& Co. Inc. CentraiE. H. Rollins \& Sons; A. B. Leach \& Co., Inc.; Centrai-
Ilinois Co., Inc.; Pearsons-Taft Co. and Henry L. Doherty

50,000,000 Middle West Utilities Co. serial convertible gold notes; the

| Amount. | Coupon | Maturit | Price. | Yield. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$10,000,000 | 41/2\% | June 11931 | 100.00 | 4.50 |
| 10,000,000 |  | June 11932 | 100.00 | 5.00 |
| 10,000,000 |  | June 1193 | 98.97 | 5.37 |
| 0,000 |  | June 119 | 98.23 | 5.62 |

By a syndicate headed by Halsey Stuart \& Co., Inc.; A. B.
Leach \& Co., Inc.; E. H. Rollins \& Sons; Continental-illinois

250,000 shs. American \& Foreign Power Co., Inc. Additional issue o about $6.09 \%$ pref. stock (no par value) at $\$ 98.50$ and div.,to vield the National City Co.; White, Weld \& Co.; Guaranty Co. of Bankers Co. of, NeW York; the Fo.irst Nase Securities Corp.
Corp., and W. C. Langley \& Co Corp., and W. C. Langley \& Co.
100,000 shs. Electric Power \& Light Co. cumul. $\$ 6$ pref. stock (no par value) at $\$ 100.50$ per sh. (and div. fro
over $5.95 \%$. By Bonbright $\&$ Co., Inc.
9,950,000 Philate Co 100,000 Philadelphia Co. 100,000 shs. $\$ 6$ cumu. preferred stock
(no par value) at $\$ 91 /$ and div. per share. By W. C. Langley
\& Co.: Ladenburg. Thalmann \& Co. A
 Co., Inc.; Harris, Forbes \& Co.i. Lee, Higginson \& Co.,
Hayden, $\mathrm{Stone} \&$ Co. and J. Henry Corp.
7,500,000 Central Arizona Light \& Power Co. 1st mtge. gold bonds curity-First National Co. of Los Angeles; Harris, Forbes \& Co.
$5,500,000$ Central Power \& Light Co. additional issue of 1 st mtge .
$5 \%$ gold bonds, 1956 series at 95 and int., to yield $5.35 \%$ $5 \%$ gold bonds, 1956 series at 95 and int., to yield $5.35 \%$ Stuart \& Co.i. Inc.; A. B. Leach \& Co., Inc.; Tucker, Anthony
\& Co., and Hill. Joiner \& Co., Inc.

60,000 shs. New England Public Service Co. $\$ 6$ div. series (no par value) prior lien pref. stock at $911 / 2$ and div. to yield about
6.55\%. By The First National Old Colony Corp.; Tucker, Anthong \& Co.; \&tility Securities Oo.; Bonbright \& Co.,
Inc.; Hill
Sponcer Trask \& Co., Inc.; Edward B. Smith \& Co., and Spencer Trask \& Co.
5,000,000 Tri-Utilities Corp. 1-yr. $5 \%$ gold notes at $991 / 2$ and int.,
to yield over $51 / 2 \%$ and due June 151931 . By G. L. Ohrstrom \& Co.

RAILROAD FINANCING.
24,000,000 Cleveland Cincinnati Chicago \& St. Louis Ry. additional impt. mtge. $41 / 3 \%$ gold bonds, series E a 98 and int., to yield $4.60 \%$ and due July 11977. By J. P. Co., and Guaranty Co. of New York.
20,000,000 Colorado \& Southern Ry. $41 / 2 \%$ gen. mtge. gold bonds series A at $951 /$ and int. to yield $4.75 \%$. Due May 11980 , Morgan \& Co., First National Bank and
Offered by J. the National City Co.
14,040,000 Chicago Rock Island \& Pacific Ry. $41 / 2 \%$ equip. trust according to maturity. (Issued und yiom $31 / 2$ to $4.60^{\circ}$ according to maturity. (1ssued under the Philadelphia plan.)
Due June 1 1945. Offered by the First National Bank and
Salomon Bros. \& Hutzler, New York.
5,700,000 Boston \& Albany RR. 414\% impt. bonds of 1928 at 93 Aug. 1 1978. By J. P , Morgan \& Co., First National Bank
and the National City Co.

INDUSTRIAL AND MISCELLANEOUS FINANCING.
$\$ 60,000,000$ Union Gulf Corp.-5\% coll. trust s. f. g. bonds at 99 and int., to yield $5.08 \%$ and due July 1.1950 . By The Union
Trust Co, of Pittsburgh, Guaranty Co of New York, Bankers Co. of New York, the National City Co. and the Mellon
00,000 h Elactric Bond Shur
. and div. to yield over $5.45 \%$. By Bonbright \& Co., Inc. $\$ 5,500,000$ London Terrace Apartments (23-24 Corp.), N. Y. City. 1st \& gen. mtge. fee $6 \%$ s. f. g. bonds at 98.16 and int., to
yield $61 / 2$ and due May 11940 . Offered by S. W. Straus
\& Co., Inc. \& Co., Inc.
$\$ 5,000,000$ Saxet Co.-1st lien coll. $6 \%$ convertible bonds, series "A,' at 99 and int., to yield 6.
G. E. Barrett \& Co., Inc.

## MUNICIPAL FINANCING.

$\$ 20,000,000$ New Jersey (State of) $4 \%$ road bonds, due July 1 1950, awarded to various banking institutions throughout the State at prices ranging from 100.10 to 102.26 A block of
$\$ 2,000,000$ bonds was publicly offered by the National City $\$ 2,000,000$ bonds was publicly orfer
Co. at 99.75 , yielding about $4.02 \%$.
18,970,000 Chicago, Ill., $4 \%$ bonds, due annually from 1932 to 1950 incl. purchased at 94.528 , a basis of about $4.61 \%$, by a syndicate
headed by Halsey, Stuart \& Co. of New York, and offered for headed by Halsey, Stuart \& Co. of New York, and offered for
public subscription priced to yield 3.75 to $4.40 \%$ accordins public subscr
8,285,000 Baltimore, Md., $4 \%$ bonds, comprised five issues, due from Baltimore, Md., $4 \%$ bonds, comprised five issues, due from 99.50 to $1003 / 8$ by a greup managed by the Guaranty Co. of
New York, which paid 98.729 , a basis of about $4.12 \%$ for the New York
securities.
6,871,000 Camden, N. J., $41 / 4 \%$ various improvement bonds, due annually from 1932 to 1960 incl., purchased by a syndicate of $4.24 \%$ and offered for public investment priced to yield from
3.85 to $4.15 \%$ according to maturity.
6,000,000 Chicago Sanitary Dist., Ill., $41 / 2 \%$ bonds, comprised two issues, due in equal annual amounts from 1931 to 1950 incl., York, at 98.25 , a basis of about $4.73 \%$ and offered for public investment at prices to yield from 3.50 to $4.50 \%$ according
to maturity. to maturity.
Changes in dividend declarations for June were again numerous and included many of an unfavorable nature. The following table, divided into two sections, namely, "Favorable Changes" and "Unfavorable Changes," gives the more important of the changes:

## UNFAVORABLE DIVIDENDS

Alpha Portland Cement Co.-Quar. div. on capital stock reduced from
75 c a share to 50 c a a share.
American Commercial Alcohol Corp.-Quar, divs, on the common stock at the annual rate of $\$ 1.60$ a share in cash and $2 \%$ in stock were
omitted. omi
American Encaustic Tiling Co., Ltd.-Quar. div. on common stock
reduced from 50c. a share to 25 c . a share. reducod irom 50c. a share to 25c. a share
American Seating Co.-Quar. div. of 50c. a share on the common stock
omitted.
Anaconda Copper Mining Co.-Quar. div. on common stock reduced
from $\$ 1.75$ a share to $871 / 2 \mathrm{c}$, a share. .
Anaconda Wire \& Cable Co.-Quar. div. on capital stock reduced from
75 c . a share to $371 / 2 \mathrm{c}$. a share. Andes Copper Mining Co.-Qua
75 c . a share to $371 / \mathrm{c}$. a share.
Artloom Corp.-Quar. divs. on common stock reduced from 50c. a share
to 25 c . share. Astor Financial Corp.-Quar. div. of $871 / 2 \mathrm{c}$. a share on the class "A"
stock omitted. stock omitted.
Atlantic Gas \& Electric Corp.-Quar. div. on class "A" stock reduced
from $871 / 2 \mathrm{c}$. a share to $43 \% / \mathrm{c}$. a share.

Bansicilia Corp.-Quar. divs. on the class "A" and "B" stocks reduced from 25 c . a share to 15c. a share.
Berkey div. of $2 \%$ on the $8 \%$ cum. pref. Bolsa Chica Bolsa Cumical stock omitted.
"Arandon Corp., Granville, S. C.-Semi-ann. div. of $\$ 3.50$ per share Brandon Corp., Granville, S. C.-Semi-ann. div. of $\$ 3.50$ per share
on the $7 \%$ pref. stock omitted. Business Buildings, Inc.-Quar. div, on preforred reduced from $\$ 1.50$
a share to $\$ 1$ a share. California
California Art Tile Co.-Quar. div. of $433 / \mathrm{c}$. a share on the class " A "
stock and 20c. a share on the class " B " stock amitted Canadian Converters Co., Ltd.-Quar. divs. on Capital stock reduced
from $\$ 1.75$ a vhare to $\$ 1.25$ a share. from $\$ 1.75$ a share to $\$ 1.25$ a share.
Cannon Mills Co.-Quar. div. on capital stock reduced from 70 c a share Capital Traction Co.-Quar. div. on common stock reduced from $\$ 1.75$ Celotex Co.-Quar. div. of 75c. a share on the no par common stock Celotex omitted.
Central Coal \& Coke Co.-Quar. div. of $\$ 1.25$ a share on the pref. stock
omitted. omitted.
Chickasha Cotton Oil Co.-Quar. div. of 75c. a share on the common Congress Cigar Co., Inc.-Quar. div. on capital stock reduced from $\$ 1.25$ Consolidated Cigar Corp.-Quar. div. on no par common stock reduced Consolidated Cigar Corp.-Quar. dir
from $\$ 1.75$ a share to $\$ 1.25$ a share.
Continontal-Diamond Fibre Co.-Quar. div. on the (no par) common stock reduced from 75 c . a share to 50 c . a share.
Continental Investment Co.-Quar. div. on capital stock reduced from Coty, Inc.-Quar. div. of 50
Crosley Radio Corp.-Quar, div share on the common stock omitted. omitted.
Denver Tramway Corp.-Quar. div. on the $5 \%$ cumul. pref. stock reduced from 75 c . a share to $37 / 3 \mathrm{c}$. a share
Detroit Gray Iron Foundry Co.-Quar. div. of 25 c . a share on the no
par common stock omitted. Detroit Steel Produc
Detroit Steel Products Co.-Qua
from 50 c . a share to 25 c . a share.
Devoe \& Raynolds, Inc.-Quar. divs. on the class 'A" and 'B' common stocks reduced from 60 c . a share to 30 c . a share
shat
Durham Duplex Razor Co. Quar div. of $\$ 1$ a share on the (no par)
$\$ 4$ cumul. prior (C.K.) Eagle \& Co., Inc.-Quar. div. of $\$ 1.75$ a share on the preferred Eagle-Picher Leod Co.-Quar. div. of 20c. a share on the common stock omitted.
Eastern Massachusetts Street Ry.-Quar. div, of $\$ 1.25$ on the adjustment stock omitted
Evans Auto Loading Có.-Quar. div. of $62 \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{c}$. per share on the common
Ex-Cell-O Aircraft \& Tool Corp.-Quar. div. on the common stock Ex-Cell-o Aircraft \& Tool Corp.-Quar.
reduced from 30 c a a share to 20 c a share.
General Cable Corp.-Quar. div. of $\$ 1$ a share on the class " $A$ " stock omitted.
General Outdoor Advertising Co., Inc.-Quar. div. of 50c. a share General Paint Corp-Ouar divs of 50
General Paint Corp.-Quar. divys. of ${ }^{571 / \mathrm{c} \text {. a share. a share on the class " } \mathrm{A} \text { " and }}$ Goldman-Sarhs Trading Corp.-Quar. div. of $11 / 2 \%$ on the capital
stock omitted stock omitted.
Granby Consolidated Mining, Smelting \& Power Co.-Quar. div. on capital stock reduced from $\$ 2$ a share to 75 c . a share.

| Greene Cananen Copper Co.-Quar. div. on capital stock reduced from |
| :--- |
| $\$ 2$ a share to |
| $15 \mathrm{c} . \mathrm{a}$ share. |

Griess \& Pfleger Tanning Co.-Quar. div. of $\$ 1.50$ a share on the pre-
ferred stock omitted. Ground Gripper Shoe Co., Inc.-Quar. div, of 75c. a share on the preferred stock omitted.
Houdaille-Hershey Corp.-Quar. div, on the class "B" stock reduced
from 50 c. a share to 30 . a share. Humphreys Mfg. Co.-Quar. div. of 25c. a share on the common stock
as against 50 c . a share paid previously. Irving Air Chute Co., Inc.- Quar. div. on common stock reduced from
$37 / 2 \mathrm{c}$ a a share to 25 c . a share. Jonas \& Naumburg Corp.-Quar. div. of 75c. per share on the $\$ 3$ cum. conv. pref. stock omitted.
 Kennecott Copper Corp.-Quar. div. on capital stock reduced from $\$ 1.25$
a share to 75 c a
a share. Liberty Baking Corp.-Quar. div. of $\$ 1.75$ a share on the preferred stock omitted
MacMillan Petroleum Corp.-Quar. divs. of 50 c . in cash and $2 \%$ in Magma, Copper Co.-Quar. div. on the no par capital stock reduced from Magma ${ }^{2}$ a share to $\$ 1$ a share.
Margay Oil Corp.-Quar. div. on common stock reduced from 50 c , to
Merit Hosery Co.-Quar. div. on common stock of 755. a share omitted. Monolith Portland Cement Co.-Semi-ann. div. of 40 c . a share on the
common and preferred stocks omitted. Mother Lode Coalition Mines Co.-Semi-ann. div. on the capital stock reduced from 20c. a share to 10 c . a share.
Nachman-Springfield Corp.-Quar, div. on the capital stock of 50 c .
a share omitted. a share omitted.
National Enameling \& Stamping Co.-Quar. div. of 50 c , a share on the comm
National Grocer Co.-Semi-ann. div. of $\$ 3$ a share on the preferred
stock omitted. Nevada Consolidated Copper Co.-Quar. div. on capital stock reduced
from 75 c . a share to 371 a from 75c. a share to $37 / \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{c}$ a share.
Newton Steel Co.-Quar. div, on the common stock reduced from 75 c . a share to 50 c . a share.
Noranda Mines, Ltd.-Quar. div. on the capital (no par) stock reduced
from 75 c a a share to Packard Electric Co., Warren, Ohio.-Quar. div. on common stock
retuced from 65c. a Paduced from 65c. a, share to 40c. a share.
Pilgrim Mills, Fall River.-Quar div of
Pilgrim Mills, Fall River-Quar. div, of $\$ 1$ a share as against $\$ 2$ a share pald previously on the common stock.
Piliat Radio \& Tube Corp.-Quar. div. of 30c, a share on the no par
class Quinte \& Trente Valley Power Co., Ltd.-Quar. div. of $\$ 1.75$ a share
on the preferred stock omitted. (W. R.) Roach \& Co., Grand Rapids, Mich.-Quar. div. of $\$ 1.75$ on the $7 \%$ cumul. pref., stock omitted.
Seabord Dairy Credit Corp.-Quar. div. of 25c. a share as against 50 c .
a share paid previously on the common stock. Sharon Steel Hoop Co.-Quar. div, on common reduced from 50c. a
 from 20 c . a share to $121 / 2 \mathrm{c}$. a share, also stock div. of $1 \%$ on common
shares Smallwood Stone Co. (Ohio).-Quar. div. on the class "A" (no par) stock omitted.
Southern Ice \&
\&ilities Co.-Quar. div. of $\$ 1.75$ a share on the pref.

Standard Textile Products Co-Quar. divs of of $\$ 1.75$ a share on the $7 \%$
" A " pref. stock and $\$ 1.25$ a share on the $7 \%$ " B " par . pref. stock omitted. (H. O.) Stone \& Co., Chicago.-Quar. divs. of $43 \mathrm{3} / \mathrm{c}$. a share on the (H. O.) Stone \& Co., Chicago.-Quar. divs. of $43 \% \mathrm{c}$

Storkline Furniture Corp.-Quar. div. of 25c. a share on the common
Studebaker Mail Order Corp.-Quar. div. of 50 c . a share on the class
" stock omitted. (John R.) Thompson Co. - Quar. div. of 75c. a share on the common
as against monthly divs. of 30c. a share. Tubize "Chattilon Corp.-Divs, on the series " A " preferred and the
class B " common stock omitted. United States Finishing Co.-Quar. div. of 50c. a share on the common stock omitted.
United States Smelting Refining \& Mining Co.-Quar. div. on the
common stock reduced from $871 / \mathrm{c}$. a share to
25c. a share. United Verde Extension Mining Co.-Quar. div. of 50c. a share as United Verrinst $\$ 1$ a share paid previously.
Utah Copper Co.-Quar. div. on capital stock reduced from $\$ 4$ a share to $\$ 2$ a share.
Van Camp Milk Co.-Quar. div. of $13 / 4 \%$ on the $7 \%$ cumul. pref. stock
Van Sicklen Corp., Elgin, Ill.-Quar. div, on the partic. class "A"
stock reduced from 50 ". a share to 25 c . a share. Vichek Tool Co.-Quar. div. on common stock reduced from 40c. a share to 25 c. a a share.
Webster Eiserl
Webster Eisenlohr, Inc.-Quar. div. of $\$ 1.75$ a share on the preferred
stock omitted. west stock omitted.
West Boylston Mfg. Co.-Quar. div. on the cumul. pref. stock omitted. Wheeler Osgood Co.-Quar. div. of $\$ 1.50$ a share on the pref. stock
Wood Chemical Products Co.-Quar. div. of 25c. a share on the class Woo" stock omitted.
Woodside Cotton Mills. Semi-ann. divs. of $\$ 4$ a share on the common
and $\$ 3.50$ a share on the preferred stock omitted.

## FAVORABLE DIVIDENDS.

American Electric Securities Corp.-Extra div. of 50 c. a share on the partic. pref. stock.
American Ship Building Co.-Initial quar. div. of $\$ 1.25$ a share on the
Ann Arbor RR.-Initial annual divs. of $6 \%$ ( $\$ 6$ a share) on the common
stock and $\$ 5$ a share on the $5 \%$ non cum. pref. stock. Blue Ribbon Corp, Ltd., Toronto, Canada. - Initial quar. div. of 50c. a share on the capital stock.
Blue Ridge Corp.-Initial cash div. of 20c. a share on the common stock. Canadian Industries, Ltd.-Extra div. of 75c. a share on the common
Cincinnati Union Stock Yards Co.-Extra div. of $\$ 2$ a share on the
commonstock. Corn Products
Corn Products Refining Co.-Extra div. of 50 c . a share on the common
stock. Courier Post Co., Philadelphia, Pa.-Extra div. of $\$ 1$ a share on the com mon stock.
Darby Petroleum Corp.-Initial quar: div. of 50 c . a share on the new
capital stock. De Long Hook
De Long Hook \& Eye Co.-Div. of 25c. a share on the common stock.
being the first since Nov. 1917 . Eagle Warehouse \& Storage Co.-Extra div. of $\$ 1$ a share on the common
stock. El Paso Electric Co. (Del.).-Quar. div. on capital stock increased from
Exeter \& Hampton Electric Co--Quar. div. on capital stock increased
from $\$ 2$ share to $\$ 2.50$ a share. First American Corp.-Initial quar. div. of 5 c . a share on the common (no par) stock.
First Industrial Bankers, Inc.-Quar. div. on the $\$ 2$ partic. preference
stock increased from 50 c. a share to 56 cc a share. stock increased from 50 c . a share to 56 c . a share.
General Electric Co., Ltd., Great Britain.-Extra bonus div. of 4\% General Stockards
General Stockyards Corp.-Extra div. of 25 c . a share on the common Globe Underwriters Exchange, Inc.-Initial div. of 15c. a share on the capital stock.
Hamilton Watch Co--Initial monthly div. of 15c. a share on the new no par common stock.
Hamilton Woolen Co.-Resumes divs. by payment of $\$ 1$ a share on the Hartford (Conn
Hartford (Conn.) Fire Insurance Co.-Extra div. of 40c. a share on
the capital stock. Hartford (Conn.)
Hartorford
stock.
Hathaw. class " B " stock.
Household Finance Corp-
 mon stocks increased rom declared on both classes of common stock.
Houston Oil Co. $-10 \%$ stock dividend on common stock on the common. Kaybee Stores, Inc.-Initial quar. div. of 15 c . a share on the common stock.
(The) Lehman Corp.-Initial quar, div. of 75 c . a share on the common
stock. Lincoln
Lincoln Printing Co.-Quar. div, on common stock increased from 45 c Maryland Casualty Co., Baltimore.-Extra div. of 25c. a share on the Maryland stock.
Mexican Eagle Oil Co., Ltd. - Resumed divs. by payment of $8 \%$ on the
pref. shares and $6 \%$ on the ordinary shares. Municipal. Telephone \& Utilities Co.-Extra div. of 25 c . a share on the National Grocers Co., Ltd.-Resume quar. divs. on the 2 d pref. stock Nat payment of $\$ 1.75$ a share.
Novadel-Agene Corp.-Extra div. of 25c. a share on the common stock. Niagara Wire Weaving Co., Ltd. Quar. div. of $371 / 2 \mathrm{c}$. a share on the common stock as aganst Pennroad Corp.- (The) Pittston Co.- Initial div of 183 c , a share on the capital stock. Premier Shars, Co. Initial quar. div. of 25 c . a share on the no par class
Remin Remingt
stock.
Republic Steel Corp.-Initial div. of $\$ 1$ a share on the common stock.
Securities Corporation General.-Initial quar. div. of 10c. a share on the new common stock.
Shareholders Corp.-Initia div. of 10c. a share on the common stock. Southern Union Gas Co.-Initial div. of $2 \%$ in stock on the common shares.
Tennessee Products Corp.-Initial quar. cash div. of 25 c . a share and
an annual stock div. of $5 \%$ on the common stock. Travelers Insurance Co., Hartford.-Extra div. of $4 \%$ on the capital stock.
Ulen \& Co.-Initial quar. div. of 40 c . a share on the common stock.
Wells-Newton National Corp.-Initial quar. div. of 50 c . a share on the

## Western Assurance Co.- Semi-a $\$ 1.20$ a share to $\$ 1.60$ a share. <br> Western New York Securities Corp.-Initial div. of 25 c . a share on the

 common stock.
## THE COURSE OF THE STOCK MARKET DURING JUNE 1930.

The stock market in June suffered a renewed violent collapse during which market values were wiped out in perfectly appaling fashion, the downward plunges from day to day being of stupendoas proportions and the market on more than one occasion displaying the characteristics of a panic, when men lose all sense and reason. Day after day and week after week cumulative declines of startling dimensions occurred with only feverish intermittent rallies from time to time. The market was overwhelmed by an avalanche of selling, with supporting orders entirely lacking, and with ordinary buying of such meagre character as to indicate an utter lack of confidence in the stability and future of values on the part of men of means. Doubtless also the absence of buying was due to impaired ability to indulge in extensive buying because of the very depreciation in values which was occurring. Day after day new losses of vast size kept p.ling up on top of previous losses already of alarming character, such as could be viewed only with the utmost consternation and deepest anxietv. From a broad point of view the new break was simply a continuation of the crash which the market experienced in April, after the unrestrained speculative rise in March and preceding months, and which collapse continued its course during the early part of May, but then was arrested and appeared to have reached its end the latter part of May. But the June break was itself of such proportions that it far outran that of April, prodigious though that was, and has as its only parallel the utter destruction of values which occurred the previous October and November.

The occasion for the new collanse was simply the lack of any definite indications of recovery from the depression in trade which the country has been suffering since the stock market crash of the previous autumn, and which in most lines of business indeed has been growing worse rather than better. As it happened, too, the Agricultural situation became steadily more precarious owing to further great depreciation in the market values of wheat and other grains (the price of wheat declining over 20 c . more a bushel) and of cotton and a long list of other products and commodities. The tariff legislation in Congress proved a further disturbing influence, both because of the wrangling between the two Houses of Congress and the interminable delay in securing the passage of the Bill and likewise because customs duties have been so generally raised, thereby putting further impediment in the way of foreign trade. In addition the railroads in their monthly returns of earnings were showing perfectly enormous losses in gross and net revenues alike, as compared with the corresponding periods of the preceeding year. In all these respects, moreover, there appeared to be scant chance of any early change for the better. And of course disappointment was all the keener inasmuch as hopes had been so confidently entertained of trade revival by the middle of the year. The fact that the money market was in a state of great ease and interest rates were ruling inordinately low and that the Federal Reserve authorities were doing all in their power to accentuate the ease (the Federal Reserve Bank of New York having on June 19 given notice of a reduction the next day in its rediscount rate from $3 \%$ per annum to $21 / 2 \%$, the lowest figure recorded since the establishment of the Federal Reserve system, and the Reserve Bank having also in the absence of borrowing by member banks added to the amount of Reserve credit afloat) counted for nothing since the market was not in need of any stimulant of that kind and was too far gone to respond to the same.
The market opened on Monday, June 2, after a three-day holiday (Friday, May 30, having been Memorial Day) and a holiday and the Stock Exchange having also remained closed on Saturday, May 31st) with price changes rather irregular and with views mixed as to the immediate course of values. This uncertainty was reflected in quiet trading and in a generally dull state of things with a small volume of dealings. Beginning with Thursday, June 5 , weakness began to develop and by Saturday, June 7, the market was plunging downward in a most discouraging way, and thereafter the collapse became steadily more pronounced. Dealings at this half-day session on Saturday reached $2,246,270$ shares, which was in excess of any full day session in that week with only one exception. From now on the market week with only one exception. From now on the market the sales on Monday, June 9 , reaching $4,646,270$ shares; on Tuesday, June $10,4,773,480$ shares and Wednesday, June 11, $4,477,390$ shares. Prices now tumbled from day to day in very startling fashion, with only fitful rallies which served merely to steady the market for the time being. There was an upward reaction on Tuesday, June 10, after an almost continuous downward movement since the middle of the previous week. But the recovery was not of lasting character. On June 11 the downward plunge was resumed and it reached such proportions as to furnish occasion for the gravest anxiety. After a further bad break at the opening on Thursday, June 12, the decline was arrested and partial recovery established. On Friday, June 13, after
some additional dips in the morning, the improvement was carried somewhat further, though the market fluctuated volently from hour to hour. The market's upturn on the two days mentioned derived its incentive from the success attending the floating in this country and abroad of the German International loan for an aggregate of approximately $\$ 345,000,000$ and the near approach of the passage of the Tariff Bill in Congress, the Senate giving its approval to the Conference report of the two Houses Fr day afternoon, and the House accepting the report the next day, Saturday, June 14.

But all this served only as a momentary gause. The Saturday referred to proved a very quiet day with aggregate transactions for the half-day of only $1,255,710$ shares. The following Monday, however, the panic broke out with renewed fury. The sales on that day reached $5,657,320$ shares and Tuesday June 17 aggregated $5,018,600$ shares and on Wednesday, June 18, mounted to $6,425,639$ shares. Selling proceeded at a furious pace and the declines in prices were of startling magnitude, finding their only counterpart in the major collapse of the previous autumn. The losses were especially heavy on Monday, June 16, and Wednesday, June 18, the market then having a very panicky appearance. President Hoover, regarding whose intentions with respect to the Tariff Bill there had been some expressons of doubt, took pains to let it be known on Sunday night, June $1 \overline{5}$, that he would sign the Bill. Instead of this proving an aid to the market, however, it acted in the reverse manner, the financial community looking upon the bill with disfavor because it raised the tariff barriers still higher. The Stock Exchange now became actually swamped with selling orders, the declines in prices ranging all the way from 3 to 22 points, being the widest extremes since the breakdown the previous November. The commodity markets were equally depressed and cotton, grain and a whole host of other articles reached new low figures for the year and in not a few instances the lowest in all time. No less than 315 stocks on that day touched new low figures for the year, some of them dropping lower even than in the previous autumn. At the close of the day (June 16) the ticker was 48 minutes behind in reporting transactions on the floor of the Exchange. On Tuesday, June 17, the market, after a further break, enjoyed a substantial recovery, though part of the gains were again lost in the closing hour. On Wednesday, June 18, however, the market suffered another violent break of huge proportions, the volume of selling again completely overwhelming the Exchange and with more than 400 stocks touching new low figures for the year. As one illustration Allied Chemical \& Dye showed a further net loss for the day of $211 / 2$ points. The ticker was one hour and 34 minutes in arrears at the end of the day. On Thursday, June 19, a shar'p and general rally that extended all through the list occurred, but the day's transactions reached only $3,762,500$ shares. The reception given Rear Admiral Byrd on his return from the South Pole diverted attention to some extent from business on the Stock Exchange, the procession passing along Broadway to the City Hall. On Friday, June 20, the market had another bad spell, notwithstanding the reduction of $\$ 211,000,000$ in brokers' loans shown in the Reserve statement the previous night. Sales on that day fell to $3,656,470$ shares. On Saturday, June 21, the market behaved very badly and over 100 stocks touched new low levels for the year, though ag. gregate dealings for the half-day reached only $1,966,610$ shares. On Monday, June 23, selling was still more furious and no less than 200 stocks dropped to new low levels for the year. The market, however, sharply rallied and most of the early declines were recovered by the close of the day. On Tuesday, June 24, the railroads were under special pressure and suffered severe losses owing to the unfavorable nature of the returns of earnings for the month of May which were then coming in. Atchison showed a net decline for that day of $81 / 4$, New York Central of $63 / 8$ and Southern Railway of $6 \frac{1}{2}$. Both the grain and the cotton markets were again weak. On Wednesday June 25 a new drive was made at the railroad stocks, but a rally occurred in the afternoon in which most of the early losses were recovered. The rest of the month the market gradually steadied itself under the influence of buying by those who had been selling the market short, and good sized rallies were established all through the list. This occurred in face of quite a number of new announcements of dividends reductions by the copper companies. The market was not uniformly higher; certain stocks continued to display weakness and did not share in any substantial degree in the recovery, but the trend was definitely and generally upward.
The aggregate sales for the month on the New York Stock Exchange reached $76,593,250$ shares, or the largest on record for any month of June, though of course not approaching the extraordinary record of the previous October when the sales reached $141,668,410$ shares, or the largest for any month of any year in Stock Exchange history. In June, 1929 the sales were $69,546,040$ shares and in June, 1928, $63,886,100$ shares. On the New York Curb Exchange the sales were $28,128,750$ shares, as against $44,837,500$ shares in June, 1929. The high-priced stocks all through the month were under the severest pressure and the tumble in these reached gigantic proportions. As affording a sort of gen-

Western Assurance Co.-Semi-ann. div. on capital stock increased from
$\$ 1.20$ a share to $\$ 1.60$ a share.
Western New York Securities Corp.-Initial div. of 25 c . a share on the
Western New Yor
common stock.
THE COURSE OF THE STOCK MARKET DURING JUNE 1930.
The stock market in June suffered a renewed violent collapse during which market values were wiped out in perfectly appaling fashion, the downward plunges from day to day being of stupendous proportions and the market on more than one occasion displaying the characteristics of a panic, when men lose all sense and reason. Day after day and week after week cumulative declines of startling dimensions occurred with only feverish intermittent rallies from time to time. The market was overwhelmed by an avalanche of selling, with supporting orders entirely lacking, and with ordinary buying of such meagre character as to indicate an utter lack of confidence in the stability and future of values on the part of men of means. Doubtless also the absence of buying was due to impaired ability to indulge in extensive buying because of the very depreciation in values which was occurring. Day after day new losses of vast size kept pling up on top of previous losses already of alarming character, such as could be viewed only with the utmost consternation and deepest anxiety. From a broad point of view the new break was simply a continuation of the crash which the market experienced in April, after the unrestrained speculative rise in March and preceding months, and which collapse continued its course during the early part of May, but then was arrested and appeared to have reached its end the latter part of May. But the June break was itself of such proportions that it far outran that of April, prodigious though that was, and has as its only parallel the utter destruction of values which occurred the previous October and November.
The occasion for the new collapse was simply the lack of any definite indications of recovery from the depression in trade which the country has been suffering since the stock market crash of the previous autumn, and which in most lines of business indeed has been growing worse rather than better. As it happened, too, the Agricultural situation became steadily more precarious owing to further great depreciation in the market values of wheat and other grains (the price of wheat declining over 20 c . more a bushel) and of cotton and a long list of other products and commodities. The tariff legislation in Congress proved a further disturbing influence, both because of the wrangling between the two Houses of Congress and the interminable delay in securing the passage of the Bill and likewise because customs duties have been so generally raised, thereby putting further impediment in the way of foreign trade. In addition the railroads in their monthly returns of earnings were showing perfectly enormous losses in gross and net revenues alike, as compared with the corresponding periods of the preceeding year. In all these respects, moreover, there appeared to be scant chance of any early change for the better. And of course disappointment was all the keener inasmuch as hopes had been so confidently entertained of trade revival by the middle of the year. The fact that the money market was in a state of great ease and interest rates were ruling inordinately low and that the Federal Reserve authorities were doing all in their power to accentuate the ease (the Federal Reserve Bank of New York having on June 19 given notice of a reduction the next day in its rediscount rate from $3 \%$ per annum to $21 / 2 \%$, the lowest figure recorded since the establishment of the Federal Reserve system, and the Reserve Bank having also in the absence of borrowing by member banks added to the amount of Reserve credit afloat) counted for nothing since the market was not in need of any stimulant of that kind and was too far gone to respond to the same.
The market opened on Monday, June 2, after a three-day holiday (Friday, May 30, having been Memorial Day) and a holiday and the Stock Exchange having also remained closed on Saturday, May 31st) with price changes rather irregular and with views mixed as to the immediate course of values. This uncertainty was reflected in quiet trading and in a generally dull state of things with a small volume of dealings. Beginning with Thursday, June 5, weakness began to develop and by Saturday, June 7, the market was plunging downward in a most discouraging way, and thereafter the collapse became steadily more pronounced. Dealings at this half-day session on Saturday reached $2,246,270$ shares, which was in excess of any full day session in that week with only one exception. From now on the market experienced a succession of bad days with large dealings, the sales on Monday, June 9, reaching 4,646,270 shares; on Tuesday, June 10, 4,773,480 shares and Wednesday, June 11, 4,477,390 shares. Prices now tumbled from day to day in very startling fashion, with only fitful rallies which served merely to steady the market for the time being. There was an upwaid reaction on Tuesday, June 10, after an almost continuous downward movement since the middle of the previous week. But the recovery was not of lasting character. On June 11 the downward plunge was resumed and it reached such proportions as to furnish occasion for the gravest anxiety. After a further bad break at the opening on Thursday, June 12, the decline was arrested and partial recovery established. On Friday, June 13, after
some additional dips in the morning, the improvement was carried somewhat further, though the market fluctuated violently from hour to hour. The market's upturn on the two days mentioned derived its incentive from the success attending the floating in this country and abroad of the German International loan for an aggregate of approximately $\$ 345,000,000$ and the near approach of the passage of the Tariff Bill in Congress, the Senate giving its approval to the Conference report of the two Houses Fr day afternoon; and the House accepting the report the next day, Saturday, June 14.

But all this served only as a momentary nause. The Saturday referred to proved a very quiet day with aggregate transactions for the half-day of only $1,255,710$ shares. The following Monday, however, the panic broke out with renewed fury. The sales on that day reached $5,657,320$ shares and Tuesday June 17 aggregated $5,018,600$ shares and on Wednesday, June 18, mounted to $6,425,639$ shates. Selling proceeded at a furious pace and the declines in prices were of startling magnitude, finding their only counterpart in the major collapse of the previous autumn. The losses were especially heavy on Monday, June 16, and Wednesday, June 18, the market then having a very panicky appearance. President Hoover, regarding whose intentions with respect to the Tariff Bill there had been some express.ons of doubt, took pains to let it be known on Sunday night, June 15, that he would sign the Bill. Instead of this proving an aid to the market, however, it acted in the reverse manner, the financial community looking upon the bill with disfavor because it raised the tariff barriers still higher. The Stock Exchange now became actually swamped with selling orders, the declines in prices ranging all the way from 3 to 22 points, being the widest extremes since the breakdown the previous November. The commodity markets were equally depressed and cotton, grain and a whole host of other articles reached new low figures for the year and in not a few instances the lowest in all time. No less than 315 stocks on that day touched new low figures for the year, some of them dropping lower even than in the previous autumn. At the close of the day (June 16) the ticker was 48 minutes behind in reporting transactions on the floor of the Exchange. On Tuesday, June 17, the market, after a further break, enjoyed a substantial recovery, though part of the gains were again lost in the closing hour. On Wednesday, June 18, however, the market suffered another violent break of huge proportions, the volume of selling again completely overwhelming the Exchange and with more than 400 stocks touching new low figures for the year. As one illustration Allied Chemical \& Dye showed a further net loss for the day of $211 / 2$ points. The ticker was one hour and 34 minutes in arrears at the end of the day. On Thursday, June 19, a sharp and general rally that extended all through the list occurred, but the day's transactions reached only $3,762,500$ shares. The reception given Rear Admiral Byrd on his return from the South Pole diverted attention to some extent from business on the Stock Exchange, the procession passing along Broadway to the City Hall. On Friday, June 20, the market had another bad spell, notwithstanding the reduction of $\$ 211,000,000$ in brokers' loans shown in the Reserve statement the previous night. Sales on that day fell to $3,656,470$ shares. On Saturday, June 21, the market behaved very badly and over 100 stocks touched new low levels for the year, though ag. gregate dealings for the half-day reached only $1,966,610$ shares. On Monday, June 23, selling was still more furious and no less than 200 stocks dropped to new low levels for the year. The market, however, sharply rallied and most of the early declines were recovered by the close of the day. On Tuesday, June 24, the railroads were under special pressure and suffered severe losses owing to the unfavorable nature of the returns of earnings for the month of May which were then coming in. Atchison showed a net decline for that day of $81 / 4$, New York Central of $63 / 8$ and Southern Railway of $61 / 2$. Both the grain and the cotton markets were again weak. On Wednesday June 25 a new drive was made at the railroad stocks, but a rally occurred in the afternoon in which most of the early losses were recovered. The rest of the month the market gradually steadied itself under the influence of buying by those who had been selling the market short, and good sized rallies were established all through the list. This occurred in face of quite a number of new announcements of dividends reductions by the copper companies. The market was not uniformly higher; certain stocks continued to display weakness and did not share in any substantial degree in the recovery, but the trend was definitely and generally upward. The aggregate sales for the month on the New York Stock Exchange reached $76,593,250$ shares, or the largest on record for any month of June, though of course not approaching the extraordinary record of the previous October when the sales reached $141,668,410$ shares, or the largest for any month of any year in Stock Exchange history. In June, 1929 the sales were $69,546,040$ shares and in June, 1928, 63,886,100 shares. On the New York Curb Exchange the sales were 28,128,750 shares, as against $44,837,500$ shares in June, 1929. The high-priced stocks all through the month reached reached gigantic proportions. As affording a sort of gen-
eral idea of the extent of the depreciation which occurred in April, May and June, the New York Times' averages for 50 selected stocks ( 25 railroads and 25 industrial companies) serve well for the purpose. The averages for the 25 railroads show a decline from 136 March 29 to 105.21 June 25, with the close June 30 at 109.33 . This low of 105.21 for the railroads was lower even than the low for the year 1929 reached on Nov. 13 which was 107.92. In the case of the industrial stocks the average for the 25 companies shows a drop from 358.16 April 10 to 253.04 June 25, with the close June 30 at 276.68 . In the case of the combined averages for the whole 50 stocks the figures show a drop from 245.60 April 10 to 179.12 June 25, with the close June 30 at 193. However, for many individual stocks much more violent declines appear than in these general averages for selected stocks. Taking as a few instances of extreme fluctuation we may note that the Case Threshing Machine stock dropped from $3623 / 4$ April 23 to $1561 / 2$ June 25 , with the close June 30 at 179. The low point for the stock the previous November was 130 . Allied Chemical \& Dye dropped from 343 April 17 to 232 June 25, with the close June 30 at 271. U. S. Steel fell from $1983 / 4$ April 7 to $1515 / 8$ June 25, with the close June 30 at 1595 . Last November the low point for this stock was 150. Eastman Kodak fell from $255 \frac{1}{4}$ April 25 to 178 June 25, with the close June 30 at $2013 / 4$. The previous November this stock sold at 150 . American Tel. \& Tel. sold down from $2741 / 4$ April 14 to $2001 / 8$ June 23, with the close June 30 at $2101 \frac{1}{2}$. But that is with the rights off, which fell from $225 / 8$ April 17 to 16 June 18, with the close June 30 at 17\%/8. In the break last autumn American Tel. \& Tel. fell to 1971/4 Nov, 13 before the offering of rights. American Water Works \& Electric dropped from $1247 / 8$ April 23 to $781 / 8$ June 23 , with the close June 30 at $87 \%$. Anaconda Copper dropped to $441 / 2$ June 18 from 81 $1 / 2$ April 2, with the close June 30 at $50 \frac{1}{4}$. American \& Foreign Power tumbled from $1013 / 4$ April 16 to $561 / 4$ June 18, with the close June 30 at 66. American Machine \& Foundry plunged from $2843 / 4$ April 30 to 180 June 23, with the close June 30 at 203 . Westinghouse Elec. \& Mfg, fell from $2011 / 2$ April 15 to $1241 / 4$ June 23, with the close June 30 at 139 . Among the railroads Atchison dropped from $2421 / 2$ March 29 to 194 June 25, with the close June 30 at 206. New. York Central fell from $1923 / 4$ Feb. 14 to $1503 / 4$ June 25, with the close June 30 at $1561 / 4$. Baltimore \& Ohio declined from $1223 / 8$ March 31 to $981 / 4$ June 24, with the close June 30 at 102 and St. Louis-San Francisco fell from 1187// March 27 to $861 / 4$ June 30 with the close June 30 at $883 / 4$. These illustrations might be continued almost indefinitely.
The bond market was also reactionary. The convertible issues of course followed the course downward of the stocks into which they are convertible, but the gilt-edged highgrade bonds also suffered moderate declines, this being supposedly due to the fact that many holders of stocks who were carrying their loads on margin found it necessary to dispose of some of their bond issues in order to protect their stock holdings.
volume of business on the stock exchange.

| Month of June- | 1930. | 1929. | 1928. | 1927. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ck sales-No. of | .593,250 | 69,546,040 | 3,886,110 | 4,778,544 |
| Bond sales (par value) |  |  | ${ }_{165}{ }^{\text {s }}$, 20.200 |  |
| Raliroad \& miscell--- | 153,092,000 | $173,605,000$ 49 | 165,820,200 | 164,668,000 |
| State, munice $\begin{aligned} & \text { d forelgn } \\ & \text { United States } \\ & \text { Govt...- }\end{aligned}$ | 60,494,000 <br> 12,372,900 | $49,730,000$ $10,321,750$ | -67,06,500 | $57,015,300$ $34,253,250$ |
| Total bond sales...- | 225,958,900 | 233,656,750 | 256,974,700 | 255,936,550 |
| eck sales- N |  | 537 |  |  |
| Bond sal |  |  |  |  |
| Railiroad \& miscell- |  | ${ }^{927,120,000}$ | 1.127 | 1050 |
| aited States Govt. | 59,942,900 | 64,251,800 | 101,042,750 | 175,405,700 |

Total bond sales .... $\overline{1,461,909,900} \overline{1,300,251,950} \overline{1,669,040,525} \overline{1,790,245,950}$ THE MONEY MARKET DURING JUNE 1930.
The ease in the money market became still further accentuated. The market, indeed, became glutted with loanable funds to a degree and an extent which has seldom if ever been witnessed in the past. Rates for all classes of loans dropped lower and still lower, in a number of cases establishing new low records in all time, or, where that was not the case, touching the lowest figures reached in a long series of years. Idle funds may be said to have been virtually begging for employment. The complete breakdown of security values on the Stock Exchange, with the liquidation of speculative accounts resulting therefrom, released enormous amounts previously tied up in that way. For the four weeks ending July 2 brokers' loans with the New York City banks rendering weekly returns to the Federal Reserve Bank of New York showed a contraction of $\$ 882,000,000$, and the New York Stock Exchange's own statement, which always deals with much larger figures, being much more comprehensive in scope, was even more illuminating on that point. This statement, covering the full month of June, registered a reduction in the sum of $\$ 1,020,120,623$, following a decrease of $\$ 315,299,447$ in May, thus showing a contraction in borrowing by members of the Stock Exchange of $\$ 1,335,420,070$ for the two months combined. With the country's industries prostrated as perhaps never before, the mercantile demand for accommodation was necessarily down to a minimum, and the unprecedentedly low figures reached by the rates for bankers acceptances as well as the low rates charged for commercial
paper reflected that fact. As tending still further to produce utter demoralization in the money market the Federal Reserve Bank of New York, after the close of business on Thursday, June 19, to the complete surprise of everyone, further reduced its rediscount rate from $3 \%$ to $2 \frac{1}{2} \%$, the lowest figure in the entire history of the Federal Reserve System. The action was wholly unexpected. There had been suggestions some weeks before that the New York Reserve Bank might further mark down its rate below $\mathbf{3} \%$, but when the step was actually taken virtually no one was looking for anything of the kind. In some quarters the action caused consternation. With the money market congested, as perhaps never before, with available funds arising out of the ordinary bank credit in superabundant supply, it was difficult to see any need for the Reserve Banks to swell still further the volume of loanable funds by their own operations or by adding to the inducements of the member banks to borrow by cheapening still further the cost of borrowing. Though the action failed to persuade the member banks to indulge in new borrowing, the Reserve authorities undertook to attain the same end through their own market operations in the purchase of United States Government securities and bankers' acceptances.

These open market operations proved further disturbing in the fact that in the endeavor to secure a supply of acceptances (as the bills already held matured and were paid off) the Reserve Banks became a competitor for the acceptances in the open market. In the process of competition the Reserve Bank again and again lowered its buying rate for acceptances, until finally the rate was reduced to $2 \%$ for 90 -day bills and only $17 / 8 \%$ for short bills. Nor did the move prove effective in obtaining for the Reserve Banks any considerable supplies of acceptances, as appears from the fact that the acceptance holdings of the 12 Reserve Banks steadily declined during the month, and on June 25 totaled only $\$ 102,313,000$, and July 2 were $\$ 157,485,000$, as against $\$ 175,560,000$ on May 28. After each lowering of the buying rate by the New York Reserve Bank, the open market rate for acceptances followed by going to the same or still lower figures. This was the case when the buying rate was finally lowered to $2 \%$. That happened on Friday, June 20, the day when the reduction in the rediscount rate of the Federal Reserve Bank of New York from $3 \%$ to $21 / 2 \%$ became effective. On the following Tuesday (June 24) open market rates for acceptances were marked down another $1 / 8$ of $1 \%$ on all maturities, with the effect of making the bid rate $2 \%$ for 30 -, 60 - and 90 -day bills, and the asked rate $1 \% \% \%$. On July 1, the Federal Reserve buying rate was reduced to $17 / 8 \%$ for bills of all dates, and the Reserve now secured a supply of bills, but except in the last instance the repeated lowering of the buying rate for acceptances accomplished nothing beyond demoralizing the acceptance market and driving rates for acceptances down to the lowest figures prevailing since the establishment of the Federal Reserve System.
The June financing of the United States Treasury did not have the disturbing effect on the money market that the March financing did, though rates for all classes of loans in June dropped even lower than the temporary low rates reached in March, but owing to other causes as enumerated above. One reason why the Treasury operations were a less important factor on the present occasion was that the dess important factor on the present occasion was that the in March. In June the Secretary of the Treasury offered $\$ 400,000,000$, "or thereabouts," of certificates and took $\$ 429,373,000$. In March he offered $\$ 450,000,000$ and allotted $\$ 483,841,000$. In other words, he sold $\$ 54,468,000$ less on the latest occasion. It is the practice of the Treasury Department to leave the proceeds of these certificate sales as Government deposits for the time being with the banks for whom or through whom the subscriptions are made. Consequently, the result of any such sale is to give the banks a sudden huge accession of Government deposits against which no cash reserves need be kept and which, accordingly, can at once be loaned out. If the proceeds of the sales represent private deposits already held'by the banks, the change to Government deposits is no less sudden and striking, for against the private deposits it was necessary to maintain the customary cash reserves whereas as against Government deposits no cash reserves whatever are required, as already stated. The effect, hence, is to reduce correspondingly the reserve required to be maintained in the Federal Reserve Banks of the respective Reserve districts. With certificate offerings during the month of June $\$ 54,468,000$ less than the previous March, the advantage to be derived in that way from the sudden increase of Government deposits was correspondingly lessened. Last March Government deposits held by the reporting member banks in New York City increased $\$ 99,000,000$ in the week of the certificate sale, that being the amount of Government deposits shown in the statement for Mar. 19, and this comparing with no Government deposits whatever held by these reporting member banks in New York City on Mar. 12. On the other hand, during the week of the certificate sale in June the accession of new Government deposits was only $\$ 64,000,000$, the amount of the deposits for June 18 being given as $\$ 71,000,000$ against $\$ 7,000,000$ on June 11. This $\$ 7,000,000$, by the way, was the remnant of Government de-
posits left over from the March certificate sale, the last call for the Government deposits derived from that sale not having come until after the June sale, namely, on June 27. The figures given relate, as stated, to the reporting member banks in this city, where the influence on the money market would be most immediate and direct. If we take all the member banks in all the cities throughout the country from which the Reserve authorities get weekly reports of condition, the increase last March between Mar. 12 and Mar. 19 was $\$ 280,000,000$, whereas during the current month of June the increase between June 11 and June 18 in the aggregate of the deposits of the reporting member banks in the entire country was $\$ 193,000,000$, the total of these Government deposits June 18 being $\$ 213,000,000$ against only $\$ 20,000,000$ on June 11. Thus the part played by these Government deposits in the money market in June was by no means small, though it did not reach the extremes experienced in March. Temporary borrowing by the United States Government on certificates of indebtedness was apparently also very much smaller in June than in March, and that also was claimed as having contributed very greatly to the demoralization of the money market in March. On that point, however, the weekly Federal Reserve figures during June have offered no information whatever. That the United States Government was in much easier condition as regards ready cash at the time of the certificate sale in June than at the time of the March sale appears from the fact that on Mar. 12 the reporting member banks throughout the country showed no Government deposits whatever (the increase to $\$ 280,000,000$ on Mar. 19 , to which reference has been made, representing entirely fresh Government deposits), whereas on June 11, just before the June sale of certificates, $\$ 20,000,000$ of Govvernment deposits were still standing to the credit of the Government with the reporting member banks throughout the country.
One effect of the decline in money rates was seen in the action of the New York Clearing House Association in reducing the rates of interest which the banks pay on their deposits. Action was taken June 23, and new rates became effective Thursday, June 26. On demand deposits the rate was cut from $2 \%$ to $11 / 2 \%$, while on time deposits a reduction of a full $1 \%$ was made, the rate being lowered from $3 \%$ per annum to $2 \%$. This reduction followed another reduction made by the New York Clearing House Association only about three months before-that is, in March, when rates on demand deposits were cut from $21 / 2 \%$ to $2 \%$, and rates on time deposits from $31 / 2 \%$ to $3 \%$.
Dealing in detail with the course of call loans on the Stock Exchange, rates remained unchanged at $3 \%$ on each and every day in the month until June 12, when, after renewals had again been effected at $3 \%$, the rate for new loans fell to $2 \frac{1}{2} \%$. On Friday, June 13, and again on Monday, June 16, all loans were at $21 / 2 \%$. On Tuesday, June 17 , after renewals had again been effected at $21 / 2 \%$, there was an advance to $3 \%$ in the rate for new loans. The next day, however, all loans were again at $21 / 2 \%$, and this rate continued without change for all demand loans on the Stock Exchange until June 24, when, after renewals had again been negotiated at $21 / 2 \%$, there was a drop in the rate for new loans to $2 \%$. On June 25 all loans, including renewals, were at $2 \%$; on Thursday, June 26, after the renewal rate had continued at $2 \%$, some new loans were placed at $11 / 2 \%$, the lowest figure reached since Aug. 1 1917. On Friday, June 27, there was a return to the $2 \%$ figure, all loans on that day being at that rate, including renewals. On the following Monday, June 30, after renewals had been effected at $2 \%$, there was an advance to $21 / 2 \%$, and then to $3 \%$ in the rate charged for new loans. This was due to the calling of loans to an aggregate of $\$ 100,000,000$ or over in preparation for the very heavy July 1 payments. Rates for time loans also moved steadily towards low figures. The time loan market, however, at no time displayed any great activity. As against $3 \%$ at the end of May, the rate for 30-day lqans in June was $23 / 4 @ 3 \%$ until the 18th, after which there were no quotations for loans for such a short period. For 60-day loans the quotations dropped from $3 @ 31 / 4 \%$ to $21 / 2 @ 23 \%$. Money for 90 days, as against $31 / 4 @ 31 / 2$ at the end of May, dropped to $21 / 2 @ 23 / 4 \%$ at the end of June. Loans running four months were down to $23 \% \%$ at the end of June against $31 / 431 / 2 \%$ at the end of May; for five months also loans were down to $23 / 4 \%$ against $31 / 2 @ 33 \%$ at the end of May, while for loans running six months the rate was $3 \%$ at the end of June against $31 / 2 @$ $33 \%$ at the end of May. Commercial paper continued in good demand all through the month, activity being restricted only by the difficulty of obtaining a sufficient supply of paper to meet the requirements. As against $31 / 2 \%$ the quotation for extra choice names of four to six months maturities at the beginning of June and $31 / 2 @ 4 \%$ for names less well known and for the shorter choice names, rates at the end of June were $31 / 4 @ 31 / 2 \%$ for choice names, with maturities of four to six months, and $31 / 2 @ 33 / 4 \%$ for names less well known and the shorter choice names. The "Monthly Review", of the Federal Reserve Bank of New York, dated July 1, makes the following comment upon the course of the paper market and the supply of commercial
paper:
"Reflecting the inability of dealers to secure new paper, due partly to a seasonal tendency toward reduced borrowing at this time of the year, the amount of commercial paper outstanding at the end of May was smaller by $\$ 12,000,000$, or $2 \%$, than at the end of April. The total of $\$ 541,000,000$ reported by 21 firms remained $78 \%$ above the figure for the comparable month a year ago, however, and, excepting April, was larger than at any time since April 1928."
daily record of time loan rates.

| June. | Rates on Mixed Collateral. |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 30 Days. | 60 Days. | 90 Days. | 4 Months. | 5 Months. | 6 Months. |
|  |  |  | Sun |  |  |  |
|  | $23 / 103$ | $3{ }_{3}$ @314 | 3 @ $31 /$ | 314@31/2 | 314@31/2 | 31/2333/ |
|  | 231@3 | 3 @31/4 | $3{ }_{3}{ }^{\text {@ }}$ | 314@31/6 | 314@31/2. | 31/2@33/ |
|  | 23403 | 3 @ $31 / 4$ | 3 @334 | 31/@31/2 | 314@31/2 | 31/2@3\% |
|  | 24@3 | 3 @ $31 / 4$ | 3 @314 | 31/631/2 | 31/431/2 | 31/2331/4 |
|  |  |  | Satur | day |  |  |
|  | 23@3 | 3 @ $31 /$ | 3 @31/ | 31/4@31/2 | 314031/2 | 31/1033/4 |
|  | 23 @3 | 3 (1)34 | 3 3 @314 | 314@31/2 | 314031/2 | 31/2@33/4 |
|  |  | 3 @31/4 | 3 @ ${ }^{31}$ | 314@33/3 | 31@31/2 | 31/33\% |
|  | 2\%@3 | 3 | ${ }_{3}^{3}$ | 3 @ ${ }_{3}$ | $31 / @ 31 / 2$ $31 / 431 / 2$ | ${ }^{\text {31/6 }}$ |
| 14 |  |  | Satur | day ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | $31 / 2$ |
| 1 |  |  |  | day |  |  |
| 16. | 23@3 | 3 | 3 | 3 @334 | 314331/2 | $31 / 2$ |
|  | 23\% ${ }^{23}$ @3 | 3 | ${ }_{3}^{3}$ | 3 3 @31/ | 314@31/2 | $31 / 2$ |
|  |  | 21/2@23/4 | 21/2@23/4 | ${ }^{23 / 4}$ | 3 ${ }^{131} \times 1 / 2$ |  |
|  |  | 21/2@23/ | 21902\% | $23 /$ | $2 \%$ | 2\% |
|  |  |  | Satur |  |  |  |
| 23 |  | 23/2023/4 | 21/2@24/4 |  |  |  |
| 24 |  | $21 / 1023$ | 21/2@23/4 | $23 /$ | $23 / 4$ |  |
|  |  | $21 / 1023$ | 21/2@23/4 | 23 | 23 | 3 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 26 \\ & 27 \end{aligned}$ |  | $21 / 1023$ | $21 / 2021 / 4$ | $23 /$ | 23 | 3 |
|  |  | 21/2@23/4 | 23, 314 | 2\% | 2\% | 3 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 28 . \\ & 29 . \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Satur | day |  |  |
|  | - | 21/2@23/4 | 21/2@23/4 | 23/4 | 23/4 | 3 |

Another indication of the growing ease in money is furnished by the steady decline in the rates charged by the banks to customers on their commercial loans. The New York Federal Reserve Bank, as previously explained, undertakes to show the average rate charged by leading banks to their customers at the middle of each month. For June this works out $4.21 \%$, which compares with $4.39 \%$ for May, 4.59\% for April, $4.93 \%$ for March, $5.09 \%$ for February, $5.41 \%$ for January, and $6.07 \%$ back last September and October.

RATES FOR MONEY AT NEW YORK.
Weet Ended-
Week Ended-a
Call Loan on Stock Fxchango- June 7. June 14. June 21. June 28.
 Thme Loans (Mixed \& Indus. Collateral)Thirty days.
Sixty days.
Sxixty days...
Ninety days.
Four months.
Five months.
Six months.
Commercial Paper-
Double and single names:

The course of rates for bankers' acceptances constituted the most striking and most significant feature of the entire money market. Here the decline in rates was precipitate and in the general downward movement which marked all branches of the money market, the bill market may be said to have led the way and to have fixed the pace. Starting with quotations already low, four successive reductions of $1 / 8 \%$ each were made, a total of $1 / 2$ of $1 \%$, to the lowest figures reached since the establishment of the Federal Reserve system. Reference has already been made, in the general discussion of the money market further above, to the part played by the Federal Reserve Banks in their competition for bills, how the Reserve Banks kept reducing their buying rate for acceptances in the effort to acquire new lines of acceptances, to replace the bills maturing from day to day, and how ineffective apparently these moves proved, rates in the open market falling almost immediately to the new level of the buying rate and even below that rate. It remains here, therefore, merely to place on record the different changes in rates and their dates. Open market rates for acceptances were reduced $1 / 8$ of $1 \%$ on all maturities on Wednesday, June 4, after the Federal Reserve Bank had reduced its buying rate for acceptances $1 / 8 \%$, and reduced another $1 / 8 \%$ on Thursday, June 5, after the Reserve Bank had made another cut of $1 / 8 \%$ in its buying rate. On Thursday, June 19, open market rates slipped back another $1 / 8 \%$ on all maturities. The Federal Reserve Bank had been competing for bills with dealers and the acceptance houses, and on Tuesday, June 17, had reduced its buying rate for acceptances to $21 / 8 \%$ for bills running one to 45 days, to $21 / 4 \%$ for bills running 46 to 120 days, and to $23 \% \%$ for maturities of four to six months. Previous rates had been $21 / 4 \%$ for bills of one to 90 days, $2 \% \%$ for maturities of 91 to 120 days, and $23 / 4 \%$ for bills running 121 to 180 days. The reduction of $1 / 8$ of $1 \%$ in open market rates for acceptances followed these changes in the buying rate of the Federal Reserve Banks. On Friday, June 20, after the Federal Reserve Bank of New York had cut its rediscount rate from $3 \%$ to $21 / 2 \%$, buying rates for acceptances were further lowered to $2 \%$ for one to 90 days, $21 / 8 \%$ for 91 to June days, and $23 / 8 \%$ for 121 to 180 days. On Tuesday,
down another $1 / 8 \%$ for all maturities, bringing them down to $2 \%$ bid and $17 / \%$ asked for 30,60 and 90 days, or lower than ever before since the establishment of the Federal Reserve System, except (so says the "Monthly Review" of the Federal Reserve Bank of New York) "for a quotation of $17 / 8 \%$ on 30 -day bills intermittently prevailing during the period from July to September 1924"; to $21 / 8 \%$ bid and $2 \%$ asked for 120 days, and $21 / 4 \%$ bid and $21 / 8 \%$ asked for 150 days and 180 days. There appeared to be an excellent demand for bills all through the month, activity being diminished at times merely by reason of the shortage of offerings. The acceptance holdings of the 12 Reserve Banks, after increasing from $\$ 175,560,000$ May 28 to $\$ 189,240,000$ June 4, heavily diminished thereafter and were down to $\$ 102,313,000$ June 25, with the amount July $1 \$ 157,485,000$. The total of the bills held by the Reserve Banks for their foreign correspondents moved irregularly up and down, but July 2 was $\$ 481,269,000$ against $\$ 461,853,000$ May 28. The American Accoptones Council, in its statement issued June 16, reported only a relatively small further reduction in the volume of acceptances outstanding during the month of May, the amount May 31 being given as $\$ 1,382,206,855$ as compared with $\$ 1,413,717,278$ on April 30 . This further reduction during May still left the total $\$ 275,038,003$ higher than on the corresponding date the previous year.

PRIME BANKERS' ACCEPTANCES.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 1930 . \end{aligned}$ | Call Loans Secured oy Accept'ces. | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \text { Days } \\ & B t d \& \\ & A\end{aligned}$ | 60 Days Bid \& Ask | 90 Days <br> Bid \& As | 120 Days <br> Bid \& Ask | 150 Days Bid \& Ask | 180 Days |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 213021/4 | ${ }^{23} 32$ | ${ }_{23}{ }_{2} 23$ | $2{ }^{1}$ |  |  |
|  |  | 21/2/6 | 21/4-21/6 | - ${ }_{\text {21/4, }}$ | ${ }_{2}^{23 / 6}-21 / 4$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Saturday |  |  |  |
|  |  | 21120/6 | 213 | $23 / 2$ | $23 /$ | $21 / 2336$ | 21/2-23/6 |
| 11 |  | 2, | 2v-2\% | ${ }_{21}^{2121 / 21}$ | 23, ${ }^{2}$ | 215-2\%\% | 2\%-2\% |
| ${ }_{13}^{12}$ |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{23 \%}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Saturday |  |  |  |
| 16 |  | 2 | 21 | $2{ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |
| 17 |  | 21/21/ | 21/20 $21 / 6$ | 21/23/8 |  | ${ }_{2}^{21 / 2}$ |  |
| ${ }_{20}^{19}$ |  |  | $\substack{21 / 2 \\ 2 / 62}$ |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{21}$ |  | 2/8-2 | 21/6-2 | $\xrightarrow{23 / 2}$ | 24/21/6 | 21/6-21/4 | 24 |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {Sunday }}$ |  |  |  |
| 24 |  | ${ }_{2}^{2}-17 /$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \\ 2 & -11 / 8 \\ 2 & -11\end{array}$ | - ${ }_{2}^{2}$ | ${ }_{2} 21$ | 23, 21 | 21,219 |
|  |  | 2. | 2-1\% | ${ }_{2}^{2}-178$ |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2 - | $2-1 / 4$ | ${ }^{2}-11 / 8$ | 21/2-2 | 21/21/6 | 21/23/3 |
|  |  | $2-1 / 3$ | -1/6 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { sunday } \\ & \text { Sund } \end{aligned}$ | 21/6-2 | 21/4-2 | 1 |

As to the changes in the rediscount rates of the Federal Reserve Banks, the action of the New York Federal Reserve Bank on June 19 in reducing its rate from $3 \%$ to $2 \frac{1}{2} \%$, effective June 20, has already been referred to. There were several other reductions during the month by other Reserve institutions, but none to the rate fixed by the New York Reserve institution. On June 6 the Federal Reserve Bank of Cleveland lowered its rediscount rate from $4 \%$ to $31 / 2 \%$, effective June 7. On June 20 the Chicago Federal Reserve Bank reduced from $4 \%$ to $31 / 2 \%$, effective June 21 . The Boston Reserve Bank had the previous month reduced to $31 / 2 \%$, making three Reserve institutions quoting the $31 / 2 \%$ rate, the New York Reserve Bank charging $21 \frac{1}{2} \%$, and the remaining eight Reserve Banks still quoting the $4 \%$ rate.

## the returns of the federal reserve and member

 BANKS-BROKERS LOANS.Brokers' loans were heavily reduced during June as a result of the tremendous liquidation on the Stock Exchange. There had been some reduction during May, the reporting member banks in New York City showing the amount of such loans $\$ 4,022,000,000$ May 28 , against $\$ 4,274,000,000$ April 30; on June 4 there was an increase again from $\$ 4,022,000,000$ to $\$ 4,104,000,000$, but after that there were successive heavy reductions, to $\$ 3,998,000,000$ June 11, to $\$ 3,787,000,000$ June 18 to $\$ 3,416,000,000$ June 25 , and finally to only $\$ 3,219,000,000$ July 2 . This brought the total lower even than at the beginning of the year, the amount Jan. 8 having been $\$ 3,352,000,000$. The shrinkage was entirely in the loans by outside lenders. Comparing June 2 with May 28, the loans made for own account by the reporting member banks in New York City were $\$ 1,710$,000,000 at the later date given against $\$ 1,777,000,000$ at the earlier date, while the loans for the out-of-town banks "fre only $\$ 654,000,000$, against $\$ 988,000,000$, and the loans "for account of others" $\$ 856,000,000$ against $\$ 1,257,000,000$. The monthly statement issued by the New York Stock Exchange tells the story of diminished borrowing on brokerage account, even more strongly. This Stock Exchange statement, which is for the even month and deals with larger totals because wider in its scope, shows $\$ 1,020,120,623$ reduction during June, as already indicated in the earlier portion of this article, in addition to a reduction of $\$ 315$,299,447 in May. making the contraction for the two months combined $\$ 1,335,420070$.
In the returns for the Federal Reserve Banks themselves the main features were the diminution in the holdings of
bankers' acceptances, notwithstanding the unremitting endeavor of the "Reserve authorities to acquire new supplies of bills by repeatedly lowering their buying rate for acceptances, as discussed further above, in our general comment, and the increase in the holdings of United States Government securities. The acceptance holdings of the 12 Reserve institutions, after increasing from $\$ 175,560,000$ May 28 to $\$ 189,240,000$ June 4, steadily fell off thereafter, and were down to $\$ 102,313,000$ June 25 , but with the amount July 2 $\$ 157,485,000$. Member bank borrowing, as indicated by the discount holdings, varied more or less from week to week, without, however, showing any great change for the month as a whole, the amount July 2 at $\$ 260,413,000$ comparing with $\$ 247,046,000$ May 28 . The holdings of United States Government securities increased from $\$ 529,770,000$ May 28 to $\$ 597,648,000$ June 18, and then fell to $\$ 576,970,000$ June 25 , with the amount July $2 \$ 595,953,000$. The principal change in the different classes of these Government securities was the increase in the holdings of certificates and bills. These increased from $\$ 244,868,000$ May 28 to $\$ 301,623,000$ June 25 , with the amount July $1 \$ 311,903,000$. Presumably this means that the Reserve Banks took over a goodly amount of the new certificates of indebtedness. The net result of the changes under these different headings was that total bill and security holdings as against $\$ 958,776,000$ May 28, stood at $\$ 916,038,000$ June 25, but with the amount July $2 \$ 1,021,152,000$. The total Federal Reserve notes in circulation was $\$ 1,432,252,000$ July 2, against $\$ 1,465,-$ 867,000 May 28 , while gold reserves stood at $\$ 2,993,409,000$ July 2 against $\$ 3,057,310,000$ May 28.

COURSE OF STERLING EXCHANGE DURING JUNE.
The most important event bearing on the fluctuations in foreign exchange during June was doubtless the action of the Federal Reserve Bank of New York in announcing on June 19 a reduction from $3 \%$ to $21 / 2 \%$ in its rediscount rate, effective June 20 . This left a difference of $1 / 2$ of $1 \%$ in favor of London, the Bank of England continuing its rate unaltered at $3 \%$. The difference in favor of London was additionally increased when the New York Reserve institution at the same time still further lowered its buying rate for acceptances to $2 \%$. At all events the course of sterling exchange on London, which up to that time had been irregular and often inclined to weakness, developed growing strength thereafter. Cable transfers on London, which June 1 sold at a range of 4.85 23/32@4.85 13/16, were 4.853/4@4.8515/16 June 19, but after that rates steadily advanced, and June 28 the range was $4.863 / 32 @ 4.865 / 32$, and the range June $304.86 @ 4.86$ 1/16. There were some further lowering of discount rates by central banks in Europe, but the only major change was that of the Bank of Germany, which on June 20 announced a reduction in its discount rate from $41 / 2 \%$ to $4 \%$, the change becoming effective on June 21. The $41 / 2 \%$ rate had prevailed since May 19. Somewhat earlier in the month, that is, on June 13, the Bank of Poland, which since Mar. 14 had been maintaining a discount rate of $7 \%$, reduced to $6 \frac{1}{2} \%$. On June 2 the Bank of Portugal, which since July 271926 had been charg-

ing $8 \%$, reduced to $71 / 2 \%$. On June 24 the Bank of Danzig reduced from $5 \%$ to $41 / 2 \%$, and on June 25 the National Bank of Czechoslovakia reduced from $41 / 2 \%$ to $4 \%$. Otherwise there were no changes during the month in the rediscount rates of any of the central banks of Europe. On June 3 cable transfers had sold down to $\$ 4.8521 / 32$, the lowest level since the latter part of the previous September. The Bank of England in its statement for the week ended June 4 reported a loss of no less than $£ 1,237,614$ in gold, but in succeeding weeks showed small amounts of gain, the gain for the week ending June 11 being $£ 301,322$, for the week ending June 18 £ 309,120 , and for the week ending June $25 £ 283,763$, with $£ 545,282$ decrease in the week ending July 2. As in preceding months, sterling was especially weak, with respect to French francs and German marks. On June 11 the Bank of England gave notice that it would cease to offer gold bars of .995 fineness and would sell only bars of .91667 fineness, which is the standard of the sovereign. The Bank of France, since French stabilization, has been buying metal exclusively above .990 fine. The effect of this change was to compel French importers to pay the expense of refining up to the French standard, and this, it was estimated, would lower the Paris-London gold point to probably around 123.65 francs to the pound. Paris cablegrams stated that the purchase of sovereigns for French account in London on June 11 was undertaken for that purpose with the refining done in London. The weekly circular of Samuel Montagu \& Co. of London, written under date of June 11, had the following to say on this matter:
"An interesting position has arisen in the London gold market owing to the fact that the Bank of England is now giving out bars of standard fineness (about 9166-10000) instead of fine bars (ranging from 9950-10000 tions which buyers had been accustomed to receive from that instituto sell Uars of Gold Standard Act of 1925 the Bank of England is entitled ereigns bars of any fineness provided not lower than the standard of sovbars has been heavily depleted by withdrawals for the Oontinent, whilst the bulk of its receipts has been in the form of sovereigns. It is therefore only natural that the Bank should decide to exercise its legal right of selling bar gold of standard fineness only."

The New York Federal Reserve Bank, in its "Monthly Review," said that withdrawals of gold from the Bank of England included $£ 800,000$ for export to Germany, and £300,000 for France, but these losses were partially offset by the receipt of $£ 500,000$ in sovereigns from South Africa.

Of the $£ 2,000,000$ of South African gold offered in the London market in June, France obtained $£ 1,300,000$ and Germany $£ 500,000$, the balance going to India and the trades.

As to the gold movement at New York, the New York Federal Reserve Bank said: "The release of $\$ 2,000,000$ of gold ear-marked for foreign account was the only sizable gold transaction at New York during June, as exports and imports were negligible. At San Francisco, however, arrivals of gold from the Far East continued, $\$ 7,000,000$ coming from Japan and $\$ 450,000$ from China. The total received from Japan in the movement which began last January now amounts to $\$ 114,000,000$. A preliminary estimate of $\$ 10$,000,000 as the net gain to the country's gold stock in June indicates a considerable decline from the gains of recent months. Based on this preliminary figure for June, the first half of 1930 shows a net gain of $\$ 237,000,000$ in the gold stock as compared with $\$ 174,000,000$ in the first six months of 1929."

Canadian exchange developed firmness, and from having sold at a discount for a long time previously, rose to par, and finally advanced to a premium, though at the close of the month it fell back to a discount again. The primary cause of the improvement in Canadian exchange appeared to be that with the opening of navigation the export movement of Canadian wheat again got under way on a considerable scale, and also that owing to the great ease in money at this center the New York market is no longer attractive to funds from across the border.

## THE CONTINENTAL AND OTHER FOREIGN EXCHANGES.

In exchange on the Continental centers of Europe the feature was the floating of German Government international loan which met with apparently unqualified success everywhere except at Amsterdam. The fluctuations in French exchange were unimportant except that they indicated a steady upward trend, sales of cable transfers on Paris being made on June 30 at $3.923 / 4 @ 3.92$ 27/32 against 3.92@3.92 1/16 on Monday, June 2. The continued taking of gold at London for French account has already been referred to in our discussions regarding sterling exchange. These new imports of gold into France were reflected in further additions to the gold holdings of the Bank of France. The French Bank showed $8,693,224$ francs increase in gold in the week ending June 7; 82,425,873 francs in-

RATES OF EXCHANGE ON CONTINENTAL CENTRES.

crease in the week ending June $14 ; 104,904,806$ francs increase in the week ending June 21, and $47,000,000$ francs in the week ending June 28. German marks were heavy throughout, and cable transfers on Berlin June 30 were $23.831 / 2$ against $23.86 @ 23.861 / 4$ June 2. These rates were the lowest reached since the previous September. The ease in marks was ascribed to the reduction in the discount rate of the Bank of Germany and the probability of a rates in
reduction in the early future. Open money market rates reduction in the early future. Open money market rates in
Berlin were at the lowest level since before the war, and rediscounting at the Bank of Germany dropped below the smallest post-war total. The position of the Bank of Germany was regarded as unusually strong, and the Bank was able further to enlarge its gold holdings, the increase the first week of the month having been $27,646,000$ marks; the second week, 6,000 marks; the third week, 134,000 marks, with 47,000 marks decrease the final week of the month. Note circulation of the bank showed a reduction of 239,725,000 marks the first week; $293,936,000$ marks the second week, and $204,826,000$ marks the third week, with $647,454,000$ marks increase in the final week. Italian exchange was without special feature and with fluctuations confined to a narrow range The lire on cable transfers was quoted at 5.237/8 June 30, against 5.237/8@5.23 15/16 June 2.

Exchange on the countries of the European continent which remained neutral during the war seemed to follow closely the upward trend of exchange on London, with the exception that the Dutch guilder displayed weakness on occasions. Cable transfers on Amsterdam the early part of the month frequently commanded $40.211 / 2$, but on June 21 sold at 40.18 , with a recovery to $40.193 / 8 @ 40.193 / 4$ on June 30 . The transfer of funds from the Amsterdam market, where money is exceptionally plentiful and cheap, to loans and securities in other markets was given as the main reason for the occasional weakness of guilder exchange. Exchange on Switzerland continued to manifest special strength as a result of transfers for the account of the Bank for International Settlements. Cable transfers on Switzerland were 19.38@19.381/4 June 30 against 19.341/2@19.34 $3 / 4$ June 2. The Scandinavian exchanges also all showed a rising tendency, and the Danish crown on cable transfers was $26.76 @$ 26.761/2 June 30 against 26.741 1 @26.75 June 2; the Swedish crown $26.86 @ 26.861 / 2$ June 30 against 26.82@26.83 June 2. and the Norwegian crown for cable transfers $26.771 / 2 @ 26.78$ June 30 against $26.751 / 2$ June 2. The Spanish peseta continued its downward plunge, and cable transfers on Madrid
were 10.86@11.20 June 30 against 12.09112@12.16 June 2. Political uncertainties were largely responsible for this, the same as in the immediate past, but the decline was also due to the accumulation of payments for imports which have increased while exports have been reduced.
Exchange on South America was marked by further sharp declines in the Argentina paper pesos and the Brazilian milreis, both of which slid down to new low levels. The peso on cable transfers was quoted at only $35.50 @ 35.76$ June 30 against 37.96@381/3 June 2. The Brazilian milreis, as against 11.81 at the beginning of the month, sold down to 11.20 June 20, with the range June $3011.35 @ 11.38$. The trade position of Argentina has of course been weakened by the diminution in wheat exports and the low prices ruling for the same in the markets of the world. The inability or refusal of the Argentine authorities to make further exports of gold is also a weakening factor. The Brazilian milreis has been depressed owing to uncertainty regarding the future of coffee under the new regime to be inaugunated after July 1, when there will be a new dispensation under the terms of the coffee realization loan for $\$ 35$,000,000 floated in the United States, for the State of San Paulo at the close of April. The Uruguayan peso also suffered a sharp break, the rate for checks dropping from 92.00 early in the month to $861 / 4$ @86.47 June 30 . A Montevideo cablegram, June 24, stated that part of the public works loan recently floated in New York would probably be used temporarily to support exchange.
Exchange on the Far East, except in the case of the Japanese yen, which remained firm at virtually unchanged figures, was weak and demoralized, mainly as a result of the further depreciation in the value of silver, but also because of the renewed internal warfare in China and the political troubles in India. Silver in London touched $15 \pi / 16 d$. per ounce on June 24, a new low level from which there was a recovery to $15 \%$ on June 30 . With the upward reaction in silver there was also some slight improvement in exchange on the silver using countries. The dollar on Hong Kong for checks, after falling from 32 15/16 June 2 to $307 / 8$ June 21, was quoted at 311/4@31 7/16 June 30. The tael on Shanghai, after falling from $40 \%$ June 2 to $361 / 16$ June 24 , was quoted at $371 / 16 @ 371 / 8$ June 30 . The rupee on Bombay was unaffected, either by the depreciation of silver or the internal troubles in India, as is evident from the fact that the quotation June 30 for checks on Bombay was 361/s@361/4, against 36.05@363/16 June 2.

RATES OF EXCHANGE ON OTHER CENTRES.


## New York Stock Exchange

## RANGE OF BOND PRICES DURING JUNE 1930.



The tables on pages 13 to 35 furnish a complete record of the transactions on the New York Stock Exchange during June and the range since Jan. 1. They need no extended introduction, as they are self-explanatory. The tables embrace every security dealt in on the Exchange. We give bonds first, using the classification adopted in the official list. The black-faced letters in the column headed "Interest Period" indicate in each case the month when the bonds mature.

In accordance with the rule adopted by the New York Stook Exchange in 1909, all quotations for interest-paying bonds are at a price to which acorued interest must be added. The exceptions to the rule are income bonds and bonds on whioh [nterest is in default. A few other bonds, like the Holland-American Line 6s, for special reasons, are also quoted "flat," but where this is the case the quotation "flat" will be found against the name of the issue. This method of quoting bonds became effective Jan. 21909.

For footnotes to tables see last page of bonds and last page of stocks.
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N. Y. STOCK EXCHANGE-BONDS



|  | BONDS <br> N．Y．BTOCK EXCEANGE． | $\begin{gathered} \text { Price } \\ \text { Jan. } 2 \\ 1930 . \end{gathered}$ | ICES IN JUNE． |  |  |  | ANGE SINCE JAN． 1. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 30. |  |  | $-\frac{\text { Lowest. }}{\text { Sale Prices. }}$ |  |
| $\begin{array}{r} 23,000 \\ 1,000 \end{array}$ | Mont Tram 1st \＆ref A 5s．．．．．．．．．．． 1941 | $\begin{array}{\|cc\|} \hline \text { Bid. } & \text { Ask. } \\ 951 / 2 & \text { sale } \\ 92 & 93 \end{array}$ |  | 99 Sale <br> 94 Sale <br> $933 / 4$ $961 / 4$ <br> 86 8712 <br> $933 / 4$ $951 / 2$ <br>  801 | Sale Prices． <br> 98 <br> $93 \%$ June | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sale Prices } \\ & 99 \\ & \hline 94 \end{aligned}$ | 95 Jan． 23 <br> $911 / 4 \mathrm{Feb} .20$ <br> $917 / 8$ Jan． 14 <br> $841 / 2$ Feb． 15 <br> $931 / 2$ June |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 96 \\ & 91 / \mathrm{Mar} . \\ & 980 \\ & 881 / 2 \mathrm{Jar} .14 \\ & 938 \text { May } 19 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 86 \\ & 933 /{ }^{31 / 2} \\ & 8013 \\ & 801 / 2 \\ & \text { sale } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 731 / \text { June } 18 \\ & 975 \\ & 97 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} 843 / 2 & \text { Feb. } \\ 9315 \\ 90 & \text { June } \\ 80 & \text { June } 20 \end{array}$ |  |
|  |  |  | $\begin{array}{ll} 7375 \\ 975 / 8 & 981 / 4 \\ 04 \end{array}$ |  |  | 731／June 18 |  | $\underline{0}$ |
|  |  |  | $1{ }^{94} 10358$ |  | $\left\|\begin{array}{r} 97 \\ 91 \\ 103 / 8 \text { June } \\ 103 \\ \hline 5 / 8 \text { June } \\ 4 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ccc} 981 / 2 & \text { June } & 19 \\ 108 \\ 1041 / 8 & \text { June } & 18 \end{array}\right.$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 985\％Jan．${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
|  |  |  | $\left\|\begin{array}{ll} 100 & \text {-able } \\ 525 / 8 \\ 1021 / 2 & 1031 / 2 \end{array}\right\|$ | 50 50 <br> 00  <br> -1023  | $\left\|\begin{array}{rr} 0 & \text { June } \\ 102 & \text { June } 10 \\ 95 & \text { June } 18 \end{array}\right\|$ | 53，June ${ }^{\text {102／2 }}$ |  |  |  |
| 83，000 |  |  | le |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | iō－June 2 200 June |  | 102 Mar． 141104 June 2 98 多 Jan． 2 1011／2 June 24 |  |
|  |  |  | ${ }^{106}$ S ${ }^{\text {S }}$ Sale |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{88} 81$ June 26 |  | 98 Jan Jan． $10{ }^{2} 1011 / 2$ June 24 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $801 / \mathrm{Fba}$ ． 17 | cism Apr． 16 |
|  |  |  |  | 114，Sale | 113 June ${ }^{\text {Jan }}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 1133倠 Sale |  |  |  |  | $1141 / 2 \mathrm{Mar} .26$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\left\|\begin{array}{rlr} 1028 & \text { Feb. } & 13 \\ 10484 \\ 9234 & \text { Fan. } & 6 \\ \text { Feb. } & 3 \end{array}\right\|$ | 105 May 8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | －9－ 1102 | 101 1013184 | $1001 / 8{ }^{1} 10-7$ |  |  | 99 Feb． 6 <br> 981／8 June 13 <br> 9984 Apr .23 <br> $431 / 8$ Mar． 28 | $\begin{array}{\|ll} 101 & \text { Mar. } 14 \\ 981 / & \text { June } 13 \\ 10014 & \text { Feb. } 5 \\ \mathbf{4 3} & \text { Mar. } 28 \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | －－．－10 | －－－－51／2 | －－－－53／8 |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{r} 0,000 \\ 10,000 \\ 4800 \end{array}$ |  | $531 /$ Sale <br> 65  <br> $04 / 4$ Sale <br> 20 Sale | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{cc} 41 / 8 & 6 \\ 1053 & 65 \\ 101 / 4 & 12 \end{array}\right.$ | 4立 Saje | $\begin{array}{cc} -4 & \text { June } \\ 621 \\ 105 \\ 105 & \text { June } \\ 27 & 4 \end{array}$ | 53 June  <br> 63   <br> 1053   <br> 13 June 13 <br> 13 June 3 | －－．．．－－－－－－－－－ |  |
| 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 Jan．14 <br> 104 June 27 <br> 6 June 18 <br> 17 Jan． 2 <br> 14 May 27 <br> 13 June |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | N |  | $1071 / 4$ Sale |  | $106 \%$ June 16 | $1071 / 2$ June 9 | $\left\|\begin{array}{lll} 1051 / & \text { Jan. } & 6 \\ 98 \\ 11018 & \text { Feb. } & 7 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{cases}108 & \text { Mar. } 26 \\ 101 & \text { June } 17 \\ 112 & \text { Apr. } 8 \\ 1081 / 2 \mathrm{Feb} . & 3 \\ 1018 / 8 \mathrm{Jun} & 9 \\ 103 & \text { Mar. } 18 \\ 1031 / \mathrm{Mar} & 12 \\ 104 / 8 \mathrm{May} & 9\end{cases}$ |
|  |  | N ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  | 101 June 17 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $227,000$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|cc\|} 871 / 2 & 881 / 2 \\ 52 & \text { Sale } \\ 991 / & \text { Sale } \\ 1018 / 4 a l e \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 92 Sale <br> $621 / 3$ Sale <br> $1031 / 1035$  <br> $1033 / 8$ Sale <br> $975 / 8$ Sale <br> 100 $1021 / 2$ <br> $1013 /$ Sale <br> $1055 / 106$  <br> $981 / 2100$  <br> 91 Sale | $923 / 8$ Sale <br> 60 63 <br> $1011 / 2$ Sale <br> $1025 / 8$ Sale  <br> $973 /$ Sale <br> $1033 /$ Sale <br> 10113 Sale <br> 10518 106 <br> 100 Sale <br> 92 Sale |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $95 \%$ Ma |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Jan |  |
|  | Ohio Pub Service 1st \＆ref 71／3＿＿1946 A O <br> 1st \＆ref 7 s series B <br> 1947 F A |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1117 / 8 \text { Sale } \\ & 1111112 \\ & 1051 / 6 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 112 ${ }_{112}$ June ${ }^{\text {June }}$ | 110 | $\begin{aligned} & 1121 / 2 \\ & 113 \\ & \mathrm{Feb} . \\ & 10 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $\left\|\begin{array}{cc} 1051 / 2 & -7 a l- \\ 102 & 1021 / 2 \end{array}\right\|$ |  |  | June 25 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 102 June |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll} 9914 & \text { Feb. } 21 \\ 98 & \text { Mar. } 3 \end{array}\right.$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | O1，Jun |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\left\|\begin{array}{cc} 9118 \\ 918 \text { June } 13 \\ 10178 \end{array}\right\|$ |  |  |  |
|  | Otis Steel sec \＆ 68 series A |  | 103 Sale | 1023／4 103 |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{\|c\|} 93 \\ 103 \\ 5 \end{array}\right.$ |  |  |
|  |  | $\mid 1007 / 6$ Sale <br> 10018 Sale <br> $1015 / 1041 / 4$  <br> $1031 / 4$ Sale <br> 103 Sale <br> $1041 / 2$ Sale <br> 901 Sale <br> 101 102 <br> 98 Sale <br> 72 85 <br> 76 80 <br> $1001 / 2$ 801 <br> 36 Sale | $\begin{aligned} & 1021 / 4 \text { Sale } \\ & 100 \\ & 1021 / \text { Sale } \\ & 1043 \\ & 1043 \\ & 10051 / 21 / 205 \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll} 1021 / 2 & \text { Sale } \\ 100 & 10014 \\ 103 & 1031 / 2 \end{array}\right.$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 47，000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 202 |  |  | 108／3 sale |  | ${ }_{95}{ }^{\text {83 }}$ | Jun | $831 / 4 \mathrm{Ju}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 45,0 |  | 103． 106 |  |  | 106 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 941／2 | ， |  |  |
| 2 2，000 | Port 1 |  |  |  |  | Jun |  |  |
|  | Portla |  | 100 |  | ${ }^{98} 7 / 8$ Jun | Jund |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 013 Jun |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 99 | Jun |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 166.0 |  |  |  |  |  | 871／2 June |  |  |
|  |  |  | 104 | aie－ |  |  | Jan |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Jan． |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | June |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 9814 Ju |  | 8.1 Mar． 18 |  |
| 270，00 |  |  | ${ }_{94}^{981 / 2}$ Sale |  | Jun | June June | $971 / 4$ June 23 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{96}^{96}$ Jun |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{r}353,0 \\ 38 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ， |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 111 |  | 10 |  |  |  | ${ }^{6} 14{ }^{\text {Jun }}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $\begin{array}{ll} 89 & \text { Sale } \\ 91 & \text { Sale } \end{array}$ |  |  | Jur | Ja |  |
|  | Without warrants $\qquad$ | $082^{824}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Rima Steel 1st 78．．．－．－－－－－－－－－．－1955 F A |  | 96 Sale | 94 ${ }^{\text {94 }}$ | Jun | ${ }_{96}{ }^{\text {a }}$ June 2 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



# New York Stock Exchange 

MONTHLY AND YEARLY RECORD

RANGE OF STOCK PRICES DURING JUNE 1930.
 lots. except in the case of atocks which sell only in a emall way. Optlon aale are disregarded.


[^1]
N. Y. STOCK EXCHANGE-BTOCKS





|  |  |  |  | PRICES IN JUNE． |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ${ }_{\text {San．} 1 .}^{\text {Since }}$ |  |  |  |  | Oowest． | tronest． |  | Hiohest． |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{138}$ Jan． 161423 Mapis |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{\text {a }}$ 42鹤 June |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | cin ${ }^{\text {92 }}$ ，Jun | 遒 Jan． |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Loft Incorporated． <br> Long Bell Lumber A |  |  |  | \％3 | June ${ }^{4}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | 4 Sale |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | －${ }^{\text {ab\％}}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | $80 \quad 943 / 4$ |  |  |  |  | 38，June60$573 / \mathrm{May}$ Jaye | ${ }^{\text {99，}}$ 99／3 Mar． 18 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{38}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{90} 101 / 2$ June 13 30 3 效 June 2 |  |  |  |
|  |  | Mcatrawt Porcup |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{18}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15\％／June 3 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{96}^{297 \%}$ June 20 |  |  |
|  |  | Mack Truck Inc．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．． 100 | 71 Sale |  | 52．Sale |  | ${ }^{72} 50$ June ${ }^{8}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | ， |  |  |  |  | ，June ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |
|  |  | 7\％prorerred－－．－－－－．－－100 |  |  |  | ${ }^{4} 15 / 4$ June ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 13 June <br> $11 / 85$  <br> $1 / 3$  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $3$ | 115 |  |
|  |  | Preferred ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  | －June io |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 ${ }^{1 / 8}$ May ${ }^{\text {ar．}} 19$ |
| 57，10 |  |  | ${ }_{122}^{39} /$ sale |  |  | 323／3 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 76. | Ju | 6 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 17，000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{33} 78 / 8 \mathrm{Apr}$ Fe．${ }^{6}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ， |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | ¢ | \％ | ， | ． |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Ju |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 硡 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | J | J | ${ }^{3} 1$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 513 |  | 51，${ }^{\text {ckik }}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | J |  | J |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 June 1 | 16\％2 June |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 3015 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \％ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{7}^{60}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 455 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 454．4 | Ju | 4535 Jua |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Jas |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 积 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 硡 |  | ${ }_{2}^{4} \%$ Aprr．${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{ll}\text { 64 } \\ 50 & \text { Sala } \\ \text { Sale }\end{array}$ |  | 28 June |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


N. Y. STOCK EXCHANGE-STOOKS

mx-dividend Distributed one additional share for each share held.

| SALES TO JULY 1. |  | STOCKS <br> Y．STOCX EXCHANGE | $\begin{gathered} \text { Price. } \\ \text { Jan. } \\ 1930 . \end{gathered}$ | PRICES IN JUNE． |  |  |  | RANGE SINCE JAN． 1. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| June． | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Since } \\ & \text { Jan. } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | une 2. | June 30. | owest | Hiohest． | Lovest． | Highest． |
| $\begin{gathered} 24,900 \\ 3,2,200 \\ 18,380 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Shares } \\ 160,000 \\ 28,600 \\ 217,000 \\ 2,5150 \\ 24,400 \\ 32,400 \end{array}$ | United Electric Coal＿Par．as， | $\begin{array}{cc} 10 & \text { Sale } \\ 1021 / 4 \text { Sale } \\ 3 & \text { Sale } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 101 / 4 \text { sale } \\ & 92 \% \text { Sale } \\ & 61 / 2 \text { Sale } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} 9 & \text { Sale } \\ 86 / 8 & \text { Sale } \\ 4 & \text { Sale } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 6107，700 |  | United Gas Preferred |  | ${ }^{-15}$ sale <br> 101 1013／ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Șulale } \\ & \text { Snale } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $8$ | ${ }^{101}{ }_{71 / 4}^{10131 / 4}$ | ${ }^{10}$ | 5 June 11 |  | $\left\{\begin{array}{ccc} 70 & \text { Apr. } \\ 318 & \text { June } 18 \\ 97 & \text { Jan. } 13 \\ 5 & \text { June } \end{array}\right.$ |  |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} 92,100 \\ 209.400 \\ 209.100 \\ 69,860 \\ 21,200 \\ 8,87 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | United Piece Dye Works．－－i－ <br> Preferred | ${ }_{93}^{253}$ Sale | 1291030 | $\begin{array}{ll}23 & 24 \\ 983\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 78.500 \\ & 22,800 \\ & 200 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | ${ }^{153 / 3}$ Sale | $101 / 8$ Sale | 113／3 Sale |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Unt |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll} 10018 \\ 71 & 11472 \\ 71 \end{array}\right.$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Preferre |  |  | 103 68 |  | 72 J June 3 | $1033 /{ }^{\text {Jan．}}$ | ${ }_{3}^{2}{ }_{7}^{115}$ Mar．${ }_{7}{ }^{\text {May }}$ |
| 102，400 |  | U $\mathrm{S}^{\text {Cast }}$ |  | 341／2 Sale | ${ }^{27} 18$ Sale |  |  | $181 / 2$ Jan． | ${ }_{7}^{2}{ }_{21}^{381 / 4} \mathbf{A p r}$ May 27 |
|  |  |  | 181／2 185 |  | 211／8 $213 / 8$ | ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ，June 11 | $211 / 4$ | 18， 15 Jan． |  |
|  |  | Dis | $\begin{array}{ll}15 & 17 \\ 80 & 90\end{array}$ | 70,11 | $161 / 2$ 11 <br> 23  | $707 / 8$ Jun | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & 70 \\ & \hline 0 \end{aligned}$ | 793／May 2 |  |
|  |  | U S S Erer | 22 Sale | ${ }^{2} 4^{3 / 4}$ S ${ }^{3}$ | ${ }^{2} 75 / 8$ sale | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 21/8 June } 25 \\ & 16 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3 \\ 24 \end{array}$ |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 24, \\ & 2, \end{aligned}$ |  | Prefe | 853／2 88 | 951／277 | 5036 sale |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 26, \\ & 10, \\ & 10, \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 193\％Sale |  | 533／4 Sale | 401／June 16 | 81 | 4013 June 16 |  |
|  |  | S In |  | 883．Sale |  | 62 June 25 | ${ }^{900}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | 15 \％sale |  |  |  | 20\％Jun |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | ale | ${ }_{22} 5$ | 481／4 June 25 | 663 June 2 |  |  |
|  |  | S |  |  |  |  | $55^{\circ}$ June | Ju |  |
|  |  |  | ${ }_{53}^{35} / 4.84$ |  |  |  | ${ }_{49}^{28}$ J／3une | ${ }_{47}^{17 / 4}$ June ${ }^{\text {June }} 2$ |  |
| ［883，600 | 11，7 |  | ${ }_{172} 53 / 4$. | 173 3 Sale | 1595 Sale | 1515 | 173 | 47 45 June 23 |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll} 1451 / 8 & \text { Sale } \\ 6478 & \text { Sale } \\ 122 & 135 \end{array}\right.$ |  | ${ }_{591 / 2}^{145}$ |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{6} \mathbf{2} \mathbf{2}$ |  |  |  |  | ［125 $\begin{aligned} & 135 \\ & 150\end{aligned}$ | 125 June 20126 June 25 |  | ${ }_{190}^{122 / 4}$ |  |
|  | 99750 | 0 | $205 \quad 250$ |  | － 32 \％ 2 sale | 30 June $2 \overline{3}$ 41 $1 / 2 \mathrm{June}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | 153，600 |  | $41 / 2$ Bale |  |  | 27／8 June 19 |  |  |  |  |
| 12，900 |  |  | ${ }_{517 / 8}^{57}$ 8ale | （12355 ${ }^{59}$ | ${ }^{45}$ 803／sale | Jun |  |  |  |
|  |  | Van Ra Van Ra | $181 / 29$ 50 59 | $161 / 2$ 49 | $88 / 4$ $451 / 45$ | Jun | 161／2 June 17 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 391 / \text { Sale } \\ & 58 \% \text { Sale } \\ & \text { Sale } \\ & 29 \\ & 10951 / 8110 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{ccc} 393 / 3 & \text { June } & 18 \\ 4 & \text { June } & 25 \\ 75 & \text { June } & 19 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 47 / \text { June } \\ & 60 \\ & 80 / \mathrm{June} \\ & 80 \\ & \text { Onune } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1,780 10,800 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{231515}$ Sale | $1113 / 4$ June ${ }^{2} 11151 / 4$ June 30 |  |  |  |
| 380 | 1，01 |  |  | $\begin{array}{rl} 1041 / 8 & \text { Sale } \\ 1318 \\ 38 & 42 \end{array}$ | $102 / 8$ 13 35 | 131／June $2413 / 8$ June 2 |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{array}{r}49,360 \\ -2,465 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |  | 65$855 / 29$ | ［1061／8 Sale |  | －60 95 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | 7，460 |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{80}$ 90 Jun | 98 Jun |  |  |  |
| 10 | 6，000 | Wald |  |  |  | ${ }^{24} 38$ | 1 | $241 / 4 \mathrm{Ja}$ |  |
|  |  | Wal | 8ale | ${ }_{33} 101 / 2021 / 2$ | Sas | ${ }_{21 / 2}^{1 / 2}$ Juu | 1010 | ${ }_{27}{ }^{1} / 2 \mathrm{~J}$ Ju |  |
|  |  | War | ${ }^{231}$ |  | 21／2 | ${ }^{23}$ Jun June | 待 June | 213 Jan． | 24 |
|  |  | － | Sale | $65^{1 / 8}$ Sale | 6032 Sale | 58 June | 65\％June | $58{ }^{4 / 8}$ Jan． |  |
|  | 0 | ${ }_{\text {War }}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { Sale } \\ \text { Sale }}}{ }$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 411 / 8 \text { Sale } \\ & 46 \end{aligned}$ | 381／2 June 25 | ${ }_{56}^{66}$ \％June | 381／2 Jun | $\begin{aligned} & 28 \\ & 28 \end{aligned}$ |
| 00 | 674 | Prafe |  | ${ }^{5}$ |  | 45\％June 23 | 56 4 June | 36 |  |
| 0，60̄0 |  |  |  | 585］／8 Sale | $44 \overline{4}{ }^{\text {a }}$ Sale | 40̄1／8 Jūne | 58\％June 5 | 132 |  |
|  |  | Pref |  | $193 / 3031 / 2$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27,800 7,600 | 218,700 57,200 | Warren Fdr |  | ${ }^{4193}{ }^{\text {7 }}$ Sale |  | ${ }^{\text {25 }}$ 5／8 Jun | 4154 June |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{r} 7,600 \\ 260 \end{array}$ |  |  | $513 / 85$ | 70  <br> 70  <br> 18 90 |  | 55 Jun | 70 June | 5.15 | $7{ }^{91 / 2}$ May ${ }^{\text {a }} 16$ |
| 15，400 | 1,100 98,700 |  |  |  |  | 2 | 281 June | $221 / 2$ |  |
|  | 27，200 |  |  | Sale |  | $54 / 3$ June | June | 50 约 Jan． 15 | 7 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | W | 位 |  | $1173 / 101$ | Ju | Ju | Ja | 0 |
|  |  |  | 1053 Sale | 109 468 48 | $1109 / 4$ Sale | ${ }^{1088}$ Jun | 1093 June | Ja |  |
|  |  | Western |  | ${ }_{20}{ }^{463 / 4} 4$ | $1391 / 4$ sale | ${ }^{38} 11 /{ }^{\text {d Jun }}$ | ${ }_{20}^{48 \%}$ Juu | 13 | 1 |
|  |  | Western |  |  |  | J | $1851 /$ June | 1503 Ju |  |
|  | 55， | ${ }_{\text {Westing }}^{\text {Westin }}$ | $1453 / 2$ sale | 180／4 Sale | 1394／Sale | ${ }^{32438}$ | － $183 / 4$ June | 1241／4 | 7 |
| 1，000 | 11，350 | $7 \%$ | 13 | 174 |  | 126 June | 74 June | 6 Jun | Apr． 15 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 37 Sale | 45 Sale |  | June 23 | 34 June <br> 45  <br> 45  <br> June  | $\begin{aligned} & 3.4 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned} \mathrm{Jun}^{\mathrm{Jun}}$ |  |
|  |  | Westr |  | 107／8 Sale |  | Jun | 123／2 June | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  |  | White | 311／28818 | 36 $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sale } \\ & 49 \\ & \text { Sale }\end{aligned}$ | 32．Sale | Jun | June | $271 /{ }^{\text {Jun }}$ |  |
|  |  | White | 73／6 85／8 | 迷 |  | 5 June | June | 5 Jun | 4 |
|  |  | Prefe | 283\％ 1 | ${ }^{278} 8$ | ${ }_{1658}^{5}$ Sale | 23）Jun | ${ }_{\text {\％}}{ }_{\text {J June }}$ June 16 | 2314 June | Apr．${ }^{3}$ |
|  | 20，200 | Wilicos－Rich |  | ale |  | Jun | 29 Jun | Jan | 14 Jan． 29 |
|  |  | Claass B－－－erti |  |  |  | ${ }_{22}$ 21／8 Jun | Jun | Ma |  |
|  | 737 | Villys－Overiand．．．．－．－－－－－${ }^{5}$ |  |  |  | $53 / 4$ June 26 |  | June 26 | 9 |
|  |  |  | 67 年 Sale | 75\％\％Sale | 63／1／Sale | 62 June 18 | 75 谷 June | June 18 |  |
|  |  | ${ }^{\text {Whils }}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 31／3 June 25 |  |
|  |  | Pref |  | $\begin{array}{lll}10 & 111 / 2 \\ 51 & \text { Sale }\end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jun } \\ & \text { Jun } \end{aligned}$ | June | ${ }_{42} 71 / J^{\text {Jan．}}$ Jan． 13 |  |
| 93，60 | ， 032 |  |  | $631 /$ Sale |  | Jun | Jun |  |  |
| 283 |  |  |  |  |  | Jun | Jun | $67 / 3 \mathrm{~J}$ Jan． |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{84}{ }^{2} 13$ Jun | Jun | 號． |  |
|  |  | Wright Aeronautic．－．－．－．－－－－＊＊＊＊＊＊＊＊＊＊ |  |  |  | 42 Jun | 42 June | ${ }_{35}{ }^{3}$ \％J Jan． | May ${ }^{\text {Mar．}} 5$ |
|  |  |  |  | 721／3 Sale | Sal | 6934 Jun | 51／4 June | $67 / 1 /$ Apr． | J |
| 682 ，5 | 2，743， |  | 137／8 sale | 28 告 sale | 25\％\％ | 19. | Jun | 41 |  |
|  | 176，90 | Young |  |  | $\begin{array}{ll}91 & 94 \\ 37 & \text { Sal }\end{array}$ | ${ }_{321}{ }^{1 / 4}$ Jun | Ju | 72 |  |
|  | 94， |  | Sale | 118125 | 13 | $115{ }^{15}$ |  |  |  |
| 78，500 | 412.100 156,500 |  | 83／23 Sale | 14ī\％Sale | $87 / 8$ Sale | 130 J June ${ }^{\text {June }} 17$ | ane |  | 17 |
|  | 156，500 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

# New York Curb Exchange 

## MONTHLY AND YEARLY RECORD

RANGE OF PRICES ON NEW YORK CURB EXCHANGE

| Stock Sales. | 1930. |
| :---: | :---: |
| June_-------- Shares $28,128,750$ |  |
|  |  |

1930. 

72,154,000 428,114,000
1929. \$32,799,000 292,349,400


[^2]

Fer footnotes ree page 55.

| ARE | sales. |  |  | RICES IN JUNE. |  |  |  | RANGE | CE JAN. 1. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\frac{I_{1}}{\text { June. }}$ | Since. | CURBE |  | June 2. | June 30. | est. | Hiohes | Lovest. | lohest. |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Sale Prices. |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 231\% June |  |
|  |  |  | 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | June 12 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 104 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 177\% June 30 |  | 144 |  |
|  | $243,500$ |  |  |  | 3/5 Sale | 3 3 June 10 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Consol |  |  |  |  | 15 濨 ${ }^{\text {J }}$ | , |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 17\% June 16 | $3 . \mathrm{Ju}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | i9 |  |  | $\begin{array}{ll} 19 \\ 46 \\ 40 \\ \text { June } \\ \hline 13 \end{array}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 70 | 72 June 25 | 70 June | 72 June 25 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{43}^{44}$ | 49 June | 28 38 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{array}{r}67,200 \\ 11,1,300 \\ 8.100 \\ 6,50 \\ 6,50 \\ \\ \hline\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 42\%/4pr. 24 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22,000 | 318,700 |  | 21\% ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Sale |  |  | 15- June ${ }^{\text {a }}$ - | ${ }^{25} 5$ \% ${ }^{\text {\% Jun }}$ |  |  |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} 518,100 \\ 4,050 \end{array} 0^{\circ}$ |  |  |  |  | 35 June | 39 Jun |  |  |
|  | $4,05$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | ${ }_{\text {Cuban }}^{\text {Cuban }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 38, ${ }_{4}$ |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{90}^{363 / 3}$ June ${ }^{28}$ |  |  |  |
|  | 11.100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $D_{\mathrm{Da}}^{\mathrm{Da}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18.6 |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{-\cdots}$ | 23/ June 11 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 7501 |  | June 10 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | i $\overline{3}$ |  |  |
| 57, |  |  |  |  |  |  | -5\% June |  | ${ }^{\text {Jan. }}$ A ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 16 | 22 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Dist Corp Seagrams Ltd---** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 16 |  | Un | 0 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} 1000 \\ 193,300 \\ 10,50 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  | Jun | ${ }^{\text {in }}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{71}^{65}$ June | ${ }_{96}^{65}$ June ${ }^{6}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Ju |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 78,0,009 \\ & 126,504 \\ & 12600 \end{aligned}$ | Class B - Driver Harris Co common- -10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 57,0 | 355,5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{1}^{11}$ | 1.20 <br> -12 |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 20 Mar. } \\ \text { Man. } \\ 53 \% \text { June } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |
|  | 61,100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Eastern Ulll Invest cl A .-.-: |  | 12\% Salo | ${ }^{92 / 2}$ | $81 / 2$ June 17 | 12\% June ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
|  |  | Educational Picturea Inc $8 \%$praf with com pur wart. 100Eisler Rlectric com_-........ | ${ }^{10} 10 / 20$ | 25y/ Sale |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | (16\% sale |  | cole |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{30} 30$ Jan. 1 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | -1-0 Arrcratt a rooi.- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Fabrica Finishing com Fageol Motors Co comFairchild Aviation class A Fairey Avlation Am sharesFajardo Susar............ Fandango Corp com Fanny Farmer Candy Shops: Fedders Mfo Inc cl A. Federal Bake Shops coFederal Mogul Corp.. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

For footnotes see page 55.


| AGGREGAT | SALES. |  |  |  |  |  |  | RANGE SIN | SAN .1. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Since } \\ & \text { Jan. } \end{aligned}$ | CURB EXCHANGE |  | June 2. | June 30. | Lorvest. | Highest. | Lowest. | hest. |
| $\begin{aligned} & 3,800 \\ & 8,705 \\ & 7,700 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Bid. Ask. <br> 17 Sale <br> 59 Sale <br> 82 I/ Sale <br> -2  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|c\|} \hline \text { Bid. } & \text { Askle. } \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{ll} 25 & \text { Sale } \\ 68 \% & \text { Sale } \end{array}$ | $\frac{18}{3 i d .}$ $A_{s k}$ <br> 173 18 <br> $55^{-}$ Saile <br> 89 Sale | Sale Prices. 173/2 June 25 63 535 June 25 86\% June 23 19 |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{r} 4,700 \\ 13,000 \\ 13,1000 \end{array}$ |  | Prior pref ineur Co of interance | -71- Sale | $\begin{array}{cc} 79 & 793 \\ 195 & 8 \text { sale } \\ 191 / 2180 \\ 110 & 115 \end{array}$ |  |  | ${ }_{19}^{195}$ June ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | ${ }_{8} \overline{6}^{-1} \overline{1}^{1} 0^{-7}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3,90 |  | (internat |  |  |  | 2\% Jun 17 | 5\%8 June | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \\ & 7 \\ & 7 \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} 91 \\ 9 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\stackrel{5}{5,90} 0 \overline{0}$ |  |  | 71 Sale | $63 / 2 \mathrm{sale}$ | $41 / 8$ | 2\%\%\% June | 671/2 "June | ${ }_{67^{21 / 6} \frac{\text { June }}{\text { Jun. }} 17}^{\text {Feb. }}$ | $\begin{aligned} \mathbf{r} .14 \\ \mathbf{r} \\ \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{array}{r} 63,500 \\ 18,800 \\ 68800 \\ 18600 \\ 1,600 \end{array}$ | $\ln$ | Internat Safet Internat Salt Interstate Equ Conv preferi Interstate Hos | $10 \% / 411 / 2$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $112$ |  | 121 |  | -131/2 Sale |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{r} 21 \% \\ 7 \% \text { June } \\ 7 \end{array}\right.$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 27 \\ & 914 \cdot \mathrm{Apr} \\ & \hline 8 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | ${ }_{\text {cos }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $50{ }^{-1-51}$ |  | 50 June 12 | 50 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 161/8 sale |  |  | June 10 | $15^{\prime 2}$ June 10 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 44 |  |  |  |  | 30 Mar. 10 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Ke |  |  |  | 3̄81/2-June- 5 | 39-June 5 |  |  |
|  |  | $\underset{\text { Kirsel }}{\substack{\text { Kin }}}$ |  |  | 10 |  | 18 June 24 | 13 May 17 |  |
|  |  | ${ }^{\text {Klien }}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 9 |  |
|  |  | K Kien | 27 |  |  | 26 | ${ }_{26} 15 /{ }^{\text {Jun }}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 28 - ${ }^{5}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | 61,500 |  | 1 Sale | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{cc} 1 & \text { Sale } \\ 101 \% / 8 & \text { Sale } \end{array}\right.$ | 991/2 1011/2 |  |  |  | Apr. 12 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 100 | June 13 | $\begin{array}{cc} 97 & \text { Mar. } \\ 9 & \text { May } \end{array}$ | ${ }_{9}^{\text {n1021/May }}{ }^{\text {May }} 12$ |
| $00$ | $\begin{array}{r} 50 \\ 37,900 \\ 175 \end{array}$ |  |  | 83 $41 / 2 \mathrm{Sale}$ Sale. | $\mathrm{ail}^{-}$ | $\begin{array}{lll} 83 & \text { June } \\ 401 / 2 \\ \text { June } & 26 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 83 \text { June } 2 \\ & 418 / 4 \mathrm{Jne} 5 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 83 \\ & \begin{array}{c} 831 / 8 \\ 43 \mathrm{Apr} \\ 44 \\ \mathrm{Apr} \\ \mathrm{Apr} \\ \hline 21 \end{array}{ }_{21}{ }^{2} \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 651 / 2 \text { June } 10 \\ 9 \\ 37 / 8 \text { June } \\ \hline 13 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{ccc} 67 \\ 91 / & \text { June } & 11 \\ \mathbf{9}^{1 / 4} & \text { June } & 19 \end{array}$ |  |  |
|  |  | Lake | 81/8/ Sale |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | $4^{-1}$ | 1 4 June ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 6 \text { June } \\ 70 \text { Apr. } \\ 70 \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  | Land | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{25}^{13 / 2}$ June 23 | $\begin{gathered} 158 \\ 293 / 4 \\ 290 n e \\ \text { June } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11 \text { Sune } 23 \\ & 215 \text { Juar. } 10 \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Lis Sille Extension Univ-..10 |  |  |  |  | -15-June $2 \overline{4}$ | $15{ }^{2 / 2} \mathrm{Fab} .15$ |  |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 949 \\ & 137 \\ & 27 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 931 / 2 \text { Sale } \\ & 33^{1 / 2} \text { Sale } \\ & 40 \\ & 433 / 4 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 138 / \text { June }_{25} \\ & 27 \\ & \text { 30 Jun } \\ & \text { June } 25 \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 251 / 2 \text { Mar. } 28 \\ & 3788 \\ & 50 \% \text { Jan. } 31 \\ & 50 \mathrm{Mar} .31 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 18,700 |  |  |  | ${ }^{-79}{ }^{-1}$ |  | ${ }_{40}^{54}$ |  | $\begin{array}{ll} 40 & \text { Feb. } \\ 56 \\ 451 / 2 & \text { Mar. } 26 \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  | 173/ |  |  |  | $\left.\begin{array}{\|c} 40 \\ 10 \\ 17 / 6 \\ \text { June } \\ 6 \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ |  |  |
|  |  | Liberty |  |  |  |  | 3   <br>  June 5 <br> 23 June 3 |  | ${ }_{5}{ }^{4} 18$ Aar. ${ }^{6} 8$ |
|  |  | Libert |  |  |  |  |  |  | 32 Apr. 9 |
| 27,800 |  | Loew' | 33/8 Sale | 18\% Sale |  | 193/4 June 21 | 28\%\% June ${ }^{\text {2 }}$ | $\begin{array}{ll}\text { 33/8 } & \text { Jan. } \\ \text { 95 }\end{array}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 269,200 |  | 3年 |  | $2 \frac{3}{4}$ Sale |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | MacMar | 22 Sale | 193/ | $16 \quad 18$ | 16 June 18 | 201/2 June 4 |  |  |
|  |  | Maloney |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 9,800 |  |  | -12-- Sale <br> $62 \times 70$ | $\begin{array}{rl} 12 & 12 \\ 602 / 2 & 66 \end{array}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{lllll} 12 & \text { June } & 2 & 127 / 8 \\ 62 & \text { June } & 11 \\ \text { June } \end{array}\right\|$ |  | ${ }^{12}$ (2) June 2 | $151 / 3$ Feb. 26 77, Feb. 14 |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{ll}\text { 17 } \\ 12 & \text { Sale } \\ 12 & \text { Sale }\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 537,600 | Marine Midland Corp $\qquad$ <br> Investors 10 <br> Marine Union investors.... <br> Marion Steam Shovel com. <br> Maryland Casualty. <br> ---~---- 25 | 33 Sale |  | 3014 Sale | $291 / 8$ June 23June 17 | 189 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 383/6 Sale | \% |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\cdots$ |  |  |  |  |  | $6^{-}$June 26 | 9 ${ }^{2} / 2$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1,236, ${ }^{1,200}$ | Maseey-Harrie Co |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{r} 7,300 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | Mavis Bottl | 47 | 69 |  | $\begin{array}{cc} 57^{1 / 2} & \text { June } 23 \\ 27 & \text { June } \end{array}$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{ccc} 69 & \text { June } & 2 \\ 27 & \text { June } & 3 \end{array}\right.$ |  |  |  |
|  |  | May Hosiery Mills, Inc-.....* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 300 |  | McCord Radiator ${ }^{\text {a M }}$ M |  | 10-7-1930 |  | 5 June 16 | 13 June 17 |  |  |
|  |  | mea |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 24,600 2 2,200 | Me |  |  |  | 46 Jun | 50 Jun | ${ }^{551 / 8} \mathrm{Fe}$ |  |
|  | 2, |  |  | $\begin{array}{ll}46 & 53 \\ 34 & 361\end{array}$ |  | 31 June | 32 $1 / 2$ June | 151 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 17 | 161/8 Jun | ${ }_{88} 20$ June | 83\% M |  |
|  | 30. | Mees |  | $11 / 3$ Sale | 25.27 | $1{ }^{1} 5$ | ${ }_{28}{ }^{2}$ June | $15 \%$ Ju |  |
|  |  | Mesta Mach |  | $\begin{array}{ll}27 & 29 \\ 14 & \text { Sale }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll}25 & 27 \\ 13 & \text { Sale }\end{array}$ | 125 | $281 / 2$ June | 8\%\% Ja | ${ }^{33} 6$ |
|  |  | Metal |  |  |  | $77^{1 / 2}$ Ju | ${ }^{\text {38 }}$ | ${ }_{\text {A }}$ |  |
| 5,000 100 | 38. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | - | -- | 22. | ${ }_{29}{ }_{2}{ }^{\text {Man. }}$ Jar. ${ }^{5}$ |
| 300 |  |  | 161/8 Sale | \% | 19 Sale |  | 237/8 June |  |  |
|  |  | 2 d |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{75}$ |  |
|  |  | 2nd | 23] |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2,700 |  |  |  |  | 54 | 53 \%/2 Jun | 53 \% Jun | 50\% Feb. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| AGGREGATE SALES． |  | CUREWEXORK | $\begin{gathered} \text { Price } \\ \begin{array}{c} \text { Jance } \\ 1930 . \end{array} \end{gathered}$ | PRICES IN JUNE． |  |  |  | RANGE SINOE JAN．1．－－ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} I n \\ \text { June. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Since } \\ & \text { Jan. } 1 . \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 2. | June 30． | Lowoest． | Highest． | Lowest． | Hiohest． |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { arces. } \\ & \mathbf{3 , 1 0 0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r}\text { Shares．} \\ 25,100 \\ 26,35 \\ 96,00 \\ 9200 \\ 100 \\ 5,100 \\ 51,80 \\ 5,720 \\ 320 \\ 31,500 \\ 2,800 \\ 2,300 \\ \\ \hline\end{array}$ | INDUS．a MISC．（Con．）Par． <br>  | Bid．  <br> 32 Ask． | Bid．Ask． | Ald．Ask． |  | ${ }_{33}^{\text {Sale }}$ Prices．${ }^{\text {June }} 2$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{21}^{197 / 8}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2，100 |  | Miss River Vonhinger．－ <br> Modine Mfg Co． | －${ }^{-1}$ |  |  | ${ }_{21} 17{ }^{7}$ June ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 200－June ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 21．．．－ |  |  |  |
| ，100 |  | Monroe Chemical Co com．．．．．．．．． |  |  | 91／2 | 8\％${ }^{\text {a }}$ June | 10 ${ }^{-\cdots}$ |  |  |
| 5，200 |  | Monroe Chemical Co com．．．． <br> Montecatini Min <br> Moody＇s Invest Service pref－ | －$\overline{0} 0 \overline{1} 0$ | $4^{\frac{11}{3} / 8} \text { sale }^{13 / 6}$ | $37^{3 / 6} 39^{3 / 4}$ | $38^{3 / 4}$ June 26 | 1443 June 4 | 374 June 26 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 45 <br> 37 <br> 37 <br> June <br> 33 <br> 548 <br> June <br> 18 | 436－1 June－ <br> $431 / 2$ <br> June <br> 53 <br> 59 <br> June |  |  |
| 100 |  | Morison Elec Supply com－－－ Mtge Bank of Colom Am th |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{ll} 45 & \text { June } 18 \\ 37 & \text { June } 18 \end{array}$ |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 100 \\ 400 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | －．．．．．．． | －－：－：－ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 243 / \text { June } 18 \\ 501 \\ 50 \\ \hline 18 \end{gathered}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $100^{501 / 8} \text { Man. } 21$ |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 100 \\ & 37,700 \end{aligned}$ | 6,800 134,600 | Nachman Spring－Filied Corp＊ <br> National American Co <br> National Aviation Corp． |  |   <br> 18 Sale <br> $4183 / 8$  <br> 50 60 <br> 10 15 <br> $443 / 2$ Sale <br> 24 25 | －${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 37,700 \\ & 15,7,700 \end{aligned}$ | 134600 166 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | National Aviation Corp |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{r}300 \\ 4,600 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |  | Na | 33－40 |  | 15 Sale <br> 16 Sale |  |  | 10 |  |
|  |  | Nat |  |  | 36 Sale |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 1,200 \\ & 1,200 \end{aligned}$ | 30 National | － |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} 1,000 \\ 13,200 \\ 5 \end{array}$ |  | $1821$ | 15－－7－1 | －．．．－－－－－ | ī09 June ī | i1 10 ＂－June |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 141／8 June 21 | 19 |
|  |  | 0 Nat1 Familly Stores common－＊ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1738 \\ & 23 \\ & \text { sale } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{ccc} 12 & \text { June } & 5 \\ 18 & \text { June } \\ 18 \\ 2 & \text { June } \\ 10 \\ 10 & \text { June } 11 \\ 31 / 2 & \text { June } & 10 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{ll} 13 & \text { Sale } \\ 18 & 20 \\ 1 / k & 43 / 2 \end{array}$ |  | June |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{cc} 23 \\ 10^{1 / 4} & \text { sale } \\ 12^{1 / 8} \end{array}$ | ${ }^{17 / 8} 12^{43 / 4}$ |  | $1{ }^{2 / 4}$ June | June 11 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 31／2 June 16 |  |
| $600$ |  | National Investors com．．．．．．． $51 / 2 \%$ preferred．．．．．．．．． |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{79} 11.5$ June 18 | $\begin{array}{lll}30 & \mathrm{Feb} . & 18 \\ 85 & \mathrm{Mar} .28\end{array}$ |
|  |  |  | －1衙 | ${ }_{\text {203／2 Sale }}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13 / 8 \\ y_{10} \\ \text { siale } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 84 \\ & 15 / 5 \text { June } \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} 56,300 \\ 173,900 \end{array}$ | National Screen Service | －18 ${ }^{\text {18\％}}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{cc} 1711 & 1120 \\ 32 & \text { sale } \end{array}\right\|$ |  |  | 113 173 June June 5 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 32 16 多 June ${ }^{\text {a }}{ }^{4} 9$ | $15 \%$ Jan．${ }^{7}$ | 273／Apr． 15 |
| I3， |  | Nat Short Term Sec A．－．．－－＊＊＊＊＊＊ | －51－－Sale－ | 25. Sale | 14i／8 Sale | （1313 June 10 |  | 16 June 19 |  |
| 6，900 | $\begin{array}{r} 151,000 \\ 3 \\ 3 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{50}$ Jar ${ }^{\text {Jar }}$ |  |
|  | 36,500 200 | National Sugar Reff $\qquad$ Nat Theatre Supply com | $\left\|\begin{array}{cc} 31_{1} & -3 \\ 15 & 25 \end{array}\right\|$ | $331 / 234$ 30 | 30 | 29\％Jun | 3312．June 4 | 29 Jan |  |
|  | 70 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 25 Jan |  |
| 400 | 7.800 | Nat Trade |  | $5 \cdot 19$ |  | $25 \%$ June 12 | 3 June ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | ${ }^{16} 1 / 2 \mathrm{Ma}$ | 8 |
| 9.700 | 68,117 | National Unic |  |  |  | 4 June 23 | 7 7 I／2 June ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 6 ${ }^{6} 1 / 2 / \mathrm{Mar} .14$ | ${ }^{8} 10 \mathrm{Fbob} \mathrm{F}^{13}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | an． 10 |  |
|  |  |  |  | 3\％129 |  |  |  | Fe |  |
| 2，200 |  | －et |  |  |  | $181 / 8$ June 24 | ${ }_{24}^{20}$ Jun | Jun | 4 |
| $\cdots \overline{2} \overline{5}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 24 June | Jan |  |
| 200 |  | Nelso |  |  |  |  | 25 | 2012 |  |
| 200 |  | Neptu |  |  |  | 20\％\％June | $217 / 8$ June | ${ }_{17}{ }^{20 / 2} \mathrm{Fe}$ |  |
|  |  | Neve Drus | 13\％－2\％ | 193030 |  |  |  | Ja |  |
|  |  | Newherry（J J） Preferred． |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c} 303 \\ 971 / 8 \text { June } \end{array}$ | 397／2June 17 | S Jan. |  |
| 3，400 | $\begin{aligned} & 5,2 \end{aligned}$ | Now He | －1897\％ | －19＊－197／8 | $11 \% 18$ |  |  | Juan | －Feb． 19 |
| 1，3 |  | Now ${ }^{\text {Now }}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 12 | 5 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 22 Sale | 22 Jun | $3{ }^{3}$ | $22{ }^{2}$ June 27 | Mar． 24 |
|  |  |  |  | Sa |  | 73 Jun | 7 Jun | 634 May 16 |  |
| 30，900 |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{ll}38 & 19 \\ 15 & 19 \\ \text { Sale }\end{array}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{cc} 27 & 19 \\ 9 & 19 \\ 9 & \text { sale } \end{array}\right\|$ | 17 June | ler June | 151／8 Jan | 7 |
| 13， 1300 |  | Niagar |  |  |  |  |  | 12／2 |  |
| 11，700 |  |  | －28\％7／Sale | 34\％8 Sale | 26.28 | 25\％8 June | 34浮 June | 12 Ja |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 57 Mar． 14 | 57 Mar． 14 |
|  |  |  | \％sale |  | 97／8 10 | 97／8 June 28 | 13 June 3 | $97 / 8$ June | 3 |
|  |  |  |  | 3 3 S Sale | 2 ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~m}$ Sale | Jun | 3 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Jun | ${ }_{2}{ }^{\text {M Mar．}}$ |  |
|  | 2 2， | Northam Warre | 2936 | －377－Sale | ${ }^{3} \overline{0}-\cdots$ | $37^{43 / 2 J u n}$ | ${ }^{57}$ June | ${ }_{32}{ }^{2}$ Jan． | 8 |
| 3，000 | 11 ， | North ${ }^{\text {Northw }}$ | 22 | ${ }_{27}^{22}$ Sale | ${ }_{21}^{163 / 4}$ Sale | ${ }_{21}^{14}$ Jun | 235／8 June | 14.5 June |  |
|  |  | Norwich Pha |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{81}{ }^{1 / 8} \mathrm{Jan}$ Apr． |  |
|  | 25， 500 | ovadel Agene com．．．．．．．．．． $7 \%$ | 221／2 | 291／2 Sal | 31 Sale |  | $337 / 8$ June 102 10 | $2{ }^{2} 56$ Jan． |  |
| $\begin{array}{r} 125,000 \\ 20,000 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 651/2 June } \\ 1053 \text { June } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ne } \\ & \text { ne } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 65 \frac{1 / 2}{2 / 2 u n e} 19 \\ & 03 \\ & \text { Mar. } 3111 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { eb. } 13 \\ & \text { une } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 4,400 3,500 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Class A witt } \\ & \text { Clase } B \text { wit } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $8{ }^{81 / 4} 1011^{81 / 2}$ | ${ }^{73}$ 3 June | 10 June |  |  |
|  |  | Orange Cru | 30 sale |  | $30^{81 / 4} 118$ | 35\％June | 117\％June | ${ }_{29}^{81 / 23 ~} \mathrm{Jan}$ ． |  |
| 9,000 3,100 | 72,60 27,300 | Out | 10 | $15^{91 / 4} \mathrm{Sa}$ | $13{ }^{6}$ Sa | 53，Jun | 9 9\％June | $37 /$ Jan． | ${ }_{\text {Aprar．}}{ }^{\text {Ma }}$ |
| 400 |  | Overseas Securities Co O－．．．－－：－ |  |  |  | ${ }^{12}$ 12，Jun | 15 June |  |  |
| 400 | 600 | Ovington Bros partic prof－：－ |  |  | 法 ${ }^{-7}$ | 4144 June 1 | $151 / 2$ June | 101／3 June 19 | $59 \mathrm{Apr} .{ }^{9}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Preferred． | $47^{-19}$ |  |  | 90 Jun | ${ }_{90}{ }^{\text {a }}$ | ${ }_{49}^{26}$ Jan．${ }^{\text {Jan．}}{ }^{3}$ | ${ }^{53} 1074$ May 29 |
| 20 |  | Pacific Finance Corp． |  |  |  |  | $12.3{ }^{1}$ | 1114 June | 14 Jan． 25 |
| 200 | 10 | Pacific Investing co Packard Electric |  |  |  | 15 June 19 | 15\％／8 June 19 | Jun | 19 |
|  | 10 | Packard Electric Co |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{21}{ }^{\text {d }}$ Jan． | 9 |
| 1,800 | ，400 | Pag |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
|  |  | Parke，Austin \＆Lip |  |  |  |  | 61／4 June 2 | $4 . J$ June 26 | Jan． 9 |
| 100 2,200 | 10.4 | Conv partic pref． |  | $\begin{array}{lll}16 & & 22 \\ 34 & \text { Sale }\end{array}$ |  | 16．June 21 | ${ }^{16}$ 31／2 June ${ }^{\text {June }}$ 21 |  |  |
|  |  | Parker Pen Co com－ |  |  |  |  | 351／2 June | 31 June 30 |  |
|  |  | ariker Rust－Proof，com．．．－＊ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 263／4 June | 263／June | $221 / 2$ Jan． 16 | 29\％8 Apr． 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0／4 |  |
|  |  | dass |  |  | 20 |  |  |  |  |
| 200 | 648，90 | Pennroad | 13／8／8 sale | 127／8 Sal | 111／8 Sale | $101 / 3$ Jun | 13 June 12 | 11.1 Ju | 8 |
|  | 150 | Penn Industries 1nc com．－－${ }^{\text {Pr }}$ |  | 9396 |  | 14\％ | 15 June | 14 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 920 \\ & 100 \end{aligned}$ | 3,040 1,400 |  | －－sale |  | 851／4 Sale | －85－＂Jun |  | $8{ }^{93 / 2}$ |  |
|  | 21，970 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 21，90 | Pet milk 7\％pref $\qquad$ |  | 75\％Sale | $1 / 2413$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3, ~ \\ & 100 \text { 顶 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $963 / \frac{3}{3}$ Jan． 61 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 3／8 Sale |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 515 Jan． 17 | 2 ${ }^{\text {Apr Apr．}} 111$ |
| 2，400 |  |  |  |  | 17\％sale | ${ }_{16}^{167 / 2}$ June | 19 |  |  |
|  |  | Pick（Albert）Barth ac Co Common rtc |  |  |  |  |  | $13 / 2$ Jan． 20 |  |
|  |  | － | Sale |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^3]

| AGGREGATE SALES |  | CURBEXCHANGE | $\begin{gathered} \text { Price } \\ \text { Jance } \\ 1930 . \end{gathered}$ | PRICES IN JUNE． |  |  |  | RANGE SINCE JAN． 1. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| June． | $\begin{gathered} \text { Since } \\ \text { Jan. } 1 . \end{gathered}$ |  |  | ne 2. | 30. | Lowest． | Highest． | Lowest． | Hiohest． |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { ares. } \\ 6,500 \end{gathered}$ | Shares．33,30069,5003,9007001,6001,20013,3002,5001,1003,6002,250179,6001,900125300 | INDUS．\＆MISC．（Con．）Par Southwest Dairy Prod com＿＊ | $\begin{array}{cc} \text { Bid. } \\ 12 j / 2 & \text { sale } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|cc}  & A s i d . \\ 61 / 2 & 8 \end{array}$ | ${ }_{4 i / 2} \quad \text { Ask }$ |  | $\begin{array}{cc} \text { Sale Prices. } \\ 7 & \text { June } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Sale Prices． $31 / 8$ June 18 | Sale Prices：． 13 Mar． 20 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 15,500 \\ 200 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  | $1 \overline{3} / \mathrm{Sale}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 7/8 June } 19 \\ \text { 134 June } 12 \\ \text { 54\%/4 June } 27 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11 / \text { June } \\ 11 / 2 \text { June } \\ 65 \text { June } \end{array}$ |  | ${ }_{7}{ }^{25} / 8 \mathrm{Apr}$ Jan． 21 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 200 |  | 硡 | 25－${ }^{-1}$ | 10.26 | 15 ${ }^{-1}$ |  | $2 \overline{2}^{-\cdots}{ }^{\text {June }} 10$ |  |  |
| 300 |  |  |  | －－－．－－－： |  | з $\overline{3}$－June 16 | 3414 | ${ }^{61}$ Feb |  |
|  |  |  |  | －－．．－－ |  |  |  | ${ }^{20} 73 / 8 \mathrm{Jan}$ Jan． |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 | 75 |  |  |
| 17，500 |  | Standard Mot Constr |  | 1\％sale | $13 / 2$ | 741／2 June | ${ }^{75}$ 1／8 June | \％$\%$ |  |
| $42 \overline{5}$ |  |  |  | i $110{ }^{-7} 14{ }^{-1}$ | $1 \mathrm{I}^{-7}{ }^{-1} 10^{--}$ |  | 1 35 June |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{r} 11,700 \\ 5,200 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 78,700 \\ & 43,700 \end{aligned}$ $\begin{array}{r} 4,600 \\ 4, \end{array}$ | Starrett（The）Corp com．．－－ $6 \%$ $6 \%$ cum pref Steln（A）\＆Co com | $\begin{array}{ll} 20 & 213 / 4 \\ 351 / 2 & \text { saale } \\ 18 & 191 / 8 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{ll} 181 / 29 & 19 / 1 \\ 32 & 34 \\ 15 & 18 \\ 86 & 89 \end{array}$ | $7 / 8$ | 267／June 2 |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 400 \\ & 40 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4,60 \\ & 1,800 \\ & 925 \end{aligned}$ | in（A）\＆ $\qquad$ <br> Stern Bros class A． 100 $\square$ | 18 |  |  | ${ }^{85}{ }^{\text {8 }}$ |  |  |  |
|  | $925$ | Stern Bros class A． | 431／2 Sale |  |  |  | 8 June 19 |  | 6 21 Mar． 21 <br> 4 87 June 27 |
| 90.000 200 | 353,100 8,300 |  |  |  | $7 \frac{1}{4}$ Sale | 4315 |  | $41 / 4$ June 19 |  |
| 400 | 5，000 | Sterch1 Bros Stores．．．．．．．．．．．．．Stetson（John B）Co com．．．．． | 23 | $11^{1 / 8}$ Sale | iō | 10 J | $11^{2 / 4}$ June | 10. |  |
| 400 | 5，400 |  | $61 / 2$ | $7{ }^{-\cdots}$ | 63／2 | $\square_{7}$ June $\overline{17}$ | － 7 |  |  |
|  |  | Stinnes（Hugo）Corpom．．．．－ Stover Mfg \＆Engine com．．． 25 |  |  |  |  | －－．－－－－－－－ | － $\begin{gathered}71 / 4 \\ 9\end{gathered}$ | 734 May 23 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1,100 \\ & 8.80 \\ & 500 \\ & 500 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12,800 \\ 24,5,50 \\ 4 \\ 5,600 \\ 5,902 \end{array}$ |  | －115／2 ${ }^{13}$ | $\begin{array}{ll}10 & 111 / 2 \\ 18 & \text { Sale }\end{array}$ |  | $\left(\begin{array}{ll} 9 & \text { June } 18 \\ 12 & \text { June } 18 \\ 28 & \text { June } 18 \\ 17 & \text { June } 18 \end{array}\right.$ | 101／2 June  <br> 18  <br> 30 June <br> 20  |  |  |
|  |  |  | ${ }^{-25}{ }^{-1}$ | $\square^{15}$ | 151／4 20 |  | 20 June 16 | 17. |  |
| 300 | $193,700 \mid$ | Stutz Motor Car Sullivan Machine Co |  | － 2 落 | 2－Sale | 12．June 11 <br> 35 June 26 | $\begin{aligned} & 16 \frac{1}{2} \text { June } \\ & 2 \% \\ & 50 \text { June } 4 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
|  | 900 7.600 | Sun Investing C | （1） |  | $\begin{array}{ll} 12 \\ 391 / 2 & 14 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 1，500 | 14，900 |  |  |  |  | is Jüne | ${ }_{46}^{16}$ June e ${ }^{\text {Jun }}$ |  |  |
| 100 3.200 | $\begin{array}{r} 500 \\ 40,300 \\ 1,300 \\ 1,30 \end{array}$ |  |  | －451／2．Sale ${ }^{-1}$ |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll}42 & \text { June } 7 \\ 40\end{array}\right.$ | 42 <br> $451 / 2$ <br> June <br>  | $\begin{array}{lll} 42 & \text { June } \\ 38 & \text { Fubl } \\ 49 & \text { Fab. } & 73 \\ 49 & \text { Mar. } 13 \end{array}$ | ${ }_{3}{ }^{53} 3{ }^{\text {a }}$ Apr．${ }^{\text {Mar．} 20}$ |
|  |  |  | 135－－Sale |  | －－．．．－ | －2̄ ${ }^{--\quad \text { June }} 1$ | －－．－．－．．．．．－ |  |  |
|  | 40,300 80 10300 |  |  |  |  |  | 37\％June ${ }^{\text {37 }}$ | 28 June 27 |  |
|  | 13,90 |  |  |  |  | 5 | － |  |  |
| 2，800 |  | Taggart Corp com $\square$ Taylor Milling Corp com． Technicolor Inc common Tonn Products Corp com | 19 Sale | 27\％／8 Sale | $\begin{array}{ll} 18 & 21 \\ 25 \\ 351 / 4 \\ 32 \\ \text { Sale } \end{array}$ | 181／2 June 23 | $27 \%$ June 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 181 / 2 \text { June } 23 \\ & 21 \\ & 251 / \text { Jan. } 67 \\ & 14 \\ & 14 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 62 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 593 June 2 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16\％June 4 |  |  |
| 13，500 |  | Thatcher Securities Corp－．－ 1 Thermold Co com 7\％cum conv pref ．．．．．．． 100 Am dep rets A bearer shs． Timken Detroit Axle pref． 100 | 20－ $2-203{ }^{-1}$ | 4 Sale | 31／4 Sale | $\begin{array}{r} 23 / \mathrm{June} 25 \\ \hdashline 6 \overline{5}-\text { June }-\bar{z} \overline{7} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 41 / 3 \text { June } 4 \\ -80 \overline{3} / 2 \text { June }-5 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{lll} 581 / & \text { Apr. } & 24 \\ 28 & \text { Mar. } \\ 87 & \text { Apr. } & 3 \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{4} \overline{5}^{-7}$ Sale ${ }^{-1}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{r}  \\ 45 \\ 338 \\ 188 \\ 18 \\ \text { June } \\ \text { June } \\ 21 \end{array}\right\|$ |  |  |  |
|  |  | Tishman Realty \＆Constr－－＊Tobacco \＆Allied Stocks Tobacco Prod Exports． Tobacco Securities Trus |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0 |  |  |  | 1否 ${ }^{-1} 15$ |  | $1{ }^{1 / 5 \%}$ June | \％／8 Jan． | Apr． 10 |
|  |  | ${ }_{\text {Am }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Tran | 421／2 sale |  |  | ${ }^{46}$ | $41 \%$ June | 44\％Jan． 30 |  |
|  |  |  |  | 93／4 Sale |  | 4\％188 Jun | 918 June | 28 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15，000 | 235，300 |  |  |  | 71／ | 73.4 June 25 | 11 June 2 | $41 / 4$ | 133／8 Apr． 24 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 25,100 \\ 5,000 \\ 500 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 56,400 \\ 65,500 \\ 10,400 \end{array}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Tri-Co } \\ \text { Tri-Ut } \\ \text { Pref } \end{gathered}\right.$ | 4 Sale | ${ }^{693 / 4}$ Sale | 41／Sale | $341 / 8 \text { June } 26$ |  | $\begin{array}{lll} 46 & \text { Jan. } & \\ 36 \\ 36 & \text { June } & 19 \\ 45 & \text { Mar. } & 5 \end{array}$ |  |
|  |  | Triplex Safety Glas |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 24\％Sale | 24 | $21{ }^{1}$ | 21\％／3 June 1 | $24 \%$ \％June |  | ${ }_{26} 11 . \quad$ May 27 |
|  | 6，310 | Truscon Steel 7\％pref－－－100 | 1 $133^{-\cdots}$ Sale ${ }^{\text {Sal }}$ |  | 21 22 | $21 / 2$ June 23 | 24／2 June |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 27，900 | ${ }_{\text {Tung }}^{\substack{\text { Con } \\ \text { S3 }}}$ |  | ${ }_{21}^{13 / 8 / 8}$ Sale | 15－16 Sale | 14\％Jun | 133／6 Jun | ${ }_{14}^{6 \%}$ June ${ }^{\text {June }} 23$ |  |
|  |  | ${ }^{83} \mathbf{c u m}$ |  |  |  | ${ }^{28}{ }^{281}$ June | 34\％June | 178 Jan． |  |
|  | 68，300 | Ungerre |  |  |  | ${ }_{21}^{20}$ 213 June | ${ }_{29}^{25}$／June |  | 27. May 29 |
| 3 ， | 17，7200 | Union Ame |  | $343 \% 3513$ | 238 | 2313 June | 34\％8 June |  |  |
| 23，70̄0 | 266，000 | Union T |  | －${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | －5／8une | June | 441／4 May． 28 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | June 2 |  | $31^{88}$ Mar． 3 | 40 Jan． 21 |
|  | 1，300 | United Amer Utilities com－＊＊ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1，400 | 53 ， | Unite Carbon prefe．．．．－100 |  | 10 | 63／4 | ${ }^{063 / 2}$ June 1 | 106 93．3 June | $01 \text { Jan Jun }$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \text { May } 29.9 \\ & 161 / \mathrm{Jan}^{2} . \end{aligned}$ |
| 800 |  | United |  |  |  |  |  | 191／8 |  |
|  | 20 | United Corp warrans． |  |  | 1731 sale | $121 /{ }^{\text {June }}$ | 27 \％June | $121 /$ June | 30 |
| 78,100 418,500 | － $1,23,403$ ，400 | United Dry Docks com－ | 71／8 Sale |  | 183\％Sale | ${ }^{4} 41 /{ }^{1 / 8}$ June | 51／3 June | 47\％June 19 | ${ }^{8} 4 \%$ JJan．${ }^{\text {a }}$ M ${ }^{10}$ |
| 100 75 | 4，900 | United | 40 ${ }^{3} 1780$ |  | $2{ }^{2}$ 21／2 | 17 | $24^{1 / 2}$ June 20 | \％ |  |
|  |  | United Molas |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 400 | 10,300 1,200 | Unit | 263／8 $273 /$ |  |  | 131／4 June 19 | $141 / 4$ June 20 | 1314 June 19 | 291／2 Ja |
|  | 1,000 7,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3514 May 168 |  |
|  | 900 | Preferred．－．．．．．．．．．．－－10 United Reproducers Corp | ${ }^{2}$ |  | 18 |  | $\begin{array}{lll} 11 / 4 \\ 6 & \text { June } & 9 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $4^{1 / 4}$ Jan． 13 |  |
| 800 | $1,1,600$ | Class A Class B |  |  |  | June | 1／4 June 28 | $1 /$ June 28 |  |
| 1,000 200 |  | ${ }_{\text {Uni }}^{\text {C }}$ |  |  | 7\％${ }^{12} 12$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & 5-16 \text { June } 21 \\ & 25 \end{aligned}$ | 913／3u | $7{ }^{7} 15$ Jan．${ }^{15}$ | ${ }^{13 / 2}$ May ${ }^{\text {Heb．}} 3$ |
| 100 |  | ${ }_{\text {Class }}^{\text {Clited }}$ |  |  |  |  |  | Jan． 10 |  |
|  |  | Preferred－－7．．．．．－－－－25 |  |  |  |  | June | Ma |  |
| 2，500 |  | United | 10\％ 15 | 14 | 10 | ${ }_{9}^{4}$－June ${ }^{\text {June }} 28$ |  |  |  |
|  |  | U |  |  |  |  |  | Feb． 14 |  |
|  | 69，00 |  |  | $\begin{array}{cc}68 \\ 24 & 70 \\ & \text { sale }\end{array}$ |  | 65 June <br> 17 26 <br> 10  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $27,0$ | U S Finishing common．－．．．－：－ | ${ }^{-7}$ | $2 \overline{1}$ | ${ }^{10} 9$ \％sale ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | ${ }^{10}{ }^{6} /{ }^{\text {Ap }}$ | 8 |
| 22 | 110,300 21,00 | United States |  | 25年 | 17 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ S Sale | 1783 Jun | 25 June | Jan |  |
|  |  |  |  | 12 |  | 361／3 June | 463／8 June |  |  |
|  |  | U S \＆Inter |  |  |  |  |  | d |  |
|  | 2 |  |  |  |  | $5_{5}^{3}$ Ju Ju | 67 | $5^{258}$ Ja |  |
| 15 | 215，00 |  | 4 47／8 Sale | －16\％\％Sale | 13 B 方 Sale | 11 Jun | 16\％\％June | 11．June 18 | 75\％Mar． 18 |
| 16 |  |  |  | 211\％ | 14i\％Sal |  |  | ${ }_{\text {Fe }}$ | 8 |
|  | 19，300 | U |  |  |  | 818 | 8234 June 5 | 82／2．May 16 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 41 June 27 | 5 Apr． 10 |
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| AGGREGATE SALES |  | CURBEXYORK ${ }^{\text {NEWE }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Price } \\ \text { Jan. } \\ \text { 1930. } \end{gathered}$ | PRICES IN JUNE． |  |  |  | RANGE SINCE JAN． 1. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & I n \\ & \text { June. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Since } \\ & \text { San. } 1 . \end{aligned}$ |  |  | June 2. | June 30. | Low | Highest． | Lowest． | Highest． |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { Shares. } \\ 66,400 \\ 1,500 \\ 2,400 \\ 6,400 \\ 1,300 \\ 7850 \\ \hline 6.300 \\ 4.200 \\ 3,700 \end{array}$ | Shares．239,7002,00014,90024,504,203,250133,40010,50024,120 | FORMER STANDARD OIL <br> SUBSID <br> （Concluded）．Par | Bid．Ask． |  | Bid．Ask． |  |  | Sale Prices： | Sate Prices． |
|  |  |  |  | Bid．Ask． |  | Sale Prices． 181／8 June | Sale Prices． <br> $253 / 8$ June |  |  |
|  |  | dian | 40 | ${ }_{\text {Sale }}^{251 / 4}$ | 1914 $301 / 2$ | 19. | ${ }_{37}^{25}$ J June | Jun |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | $17{ }^{1788}$ sale | 15 | － | － |  |
|  |  | New York Trans |  | sale |  | Jun |  | 101\％June 18 |  |
|  |  |  | 71 | sale | 碞 | Jun | $763 / 5$ June 5 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 23 Sale |  |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ccc} 105 & \text { June } \\ 25 & \text { June } & 3 \end{array}\right.$ |  | $\begin{array}{ll} 32 & \text { Apr. } 11 \\ 33 & \text { Jan. } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{array}{r} 700 \\ 200 \end{array}$ |  | Solar Refining | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & 13 / 24 \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ | $18 \quad 21$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{ll} 1872 & 20 \\ 13 & 151 / 2 \end{array}\right\|$ | 15 June 27 | 18 161／June ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{ll} 17 & \text { June 25 } \\ 15 & \text { June 27 } \end{array}\right\|$ |  |
| 10，700 |  | Solar Refining Southern Pipe Line <br> South Penn Oil： <br> So West Pa Pine Lines |  |  |  |  | 452，June 23 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 33 \\ & 207 / \mathrm{Jan} \mathrm{May} \\ & 45 \\ & 45 / 8 \mathrm{Mar} .25 \end{aligned}$ |
| 330.30 |  |  |  | a  <br> $421 / 2$ sale <br> 50  <br> 54 55 | $\begin{array}{ll} 37 & 377 / 8 \\ 45 & 52 \\ 45 \end{array}$ | .4544 June 23 |  |  | 464／8 Jan． 30 |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} 1,053,500 \\ 281,800 \\ \mathbf{2}, 100 \\ \mathbf{S} \\ \mathbf{S} \end{array}$ |  |  | ${ }_{36}^{54}$ ，Sale | 497／sale | 47\％June 25 | ${ }_{37}^{54 / 8}$ June ${ }^{\text {J }}$ |  | 697／Apr．${ }^{\text {ch }}$ |
|  | 19，950 | Standard Oll（Nebr |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ， 350 |  | sta | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{cc} 88 \% & \text { Rale } \\ 120 & \text { Sale } \\ 6 & 10 \end{array}\right.$ |  | $\begin{array}{rl} 78 & 83 \\ 118 & \text { Sale } \\ 8 & 10 \end{array}$ | 1151／4 June 17 | $118 \%$ June 2 |  |  |
|  |  | 7\％ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 74，400 | 284.480 | Vacuum O11 ．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．． 25 <br> OTHER OIL STOCKS． | 9047／8 Sale－ | $9 \overline{4} 1 / 4$ Sale ${ }^{-1}$ | $82 \overline{3} \%$ | －76年 June 18 | 94 㐫 Junem |  | 97 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 73,200 \\ & 73,500 \end{aligned}$ | ${ }^{446} \mathbf{6}, \mathbf{0 0 0}$ A | Amorican Contr Oll Fields_-. |  | $\begin{aligned} & 3-16 \text { Sale } \\ & 35 / 8 \\ & \text { Sale }\end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} 1 / 3 / 8 \text { Sale } \\ \text { Sale } \end{aligned}$ | $2 \text { 2/5 June } 25$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 3-16 \text { June } & 2 \\ 33 / 4 \\ \text { June } \end{array}$ |  |  |
| $\overline{3} \overline{3}, \overline{8} 0 \overline{0}$ |  |  | 1／8 ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | $9 \%$ sale |  |  | 83 Jan． 23 | 168 Apr．${ }^{1}$ |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} 25,900 \\ 15,7200 \\ 4.200 \end{array}$ | Preferred．－－－7i－－－－－－10 | ${ }^{9} 988$ | 8 8， |  |  |  | 818 |  |
|  | 4.0 |  | $1^{3 / 8} \quad 11 / 2$ | $1^{3 / 4} \quad 1 \begin{array}{ll}1 / 8 \\ \end{array}$ |  |  |  | $13 / 3$ Jan． 30 | 13 Apr． 12 |
|  |  |  |  |  | $17 \quad 20$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 28 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1\％May 16 |
| $7 ; 600$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { une } \\ \text { une } & 2 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |
| 48 | 193，800 |  |  | 125／8 | 81／2 Sale |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 17.41 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | 357／8 sale |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Preferred－－－．－．．．－－－ioio |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 94,600 \\ & 5.100 \\ & 72.300 \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ | Darby Potrol Corp．．．．．．．．－－＊＊ | 83／Sale | 93／4 Sale |  | $12^{3 / 4}$ June 10 | 93／4 June 2 <br> 8 June 13 <br> 50 June 23  |  | 127／6 Apr． 21 |
|  |  |  | ［ 50 | $\mathrm{B}^{--}$Sale |  | 12 ${ }^{55 / 8}$ June 18 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 8 Sale | $37{ }^{6 / 3} 44$ | $40{ }^{6 / 8}$ June 25 |  |  |  |
|  |  | General Petroleum new w 1 ． Gulf oll of $\mathbf{P a}$ |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{cc} 36 & 361 / 2 \\ 1503 / & \text { Sale } \\ 28 \% & 4 \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & -32 y \text { saie- } \\ & 121 / 6 \text { Sale } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | 12 May 17 |
|  | 3072，200 |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{117} 1 / 3$ June ${ }^{24}$ |  |
|  | 6，825 |  | $2 \% 31 / 8$ |  |  |  |  | $2{ }^{1171 / 3}$ June $231166 \%$ Apr． 28 |  |
| 239，20 |  |  | 9 | ${ }^{15} 516$ |  |  | －1－${ }^{-6}$ | \％／4 |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 64,802 \\ & 714,500 \\ & 174,2000 \\ & 172,200 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | 20\％／Sale |  |  |  |  | Ja |  |
| 24,300 20800 |  |  |  |  |  | － | 2 | 硅 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 211／4 Sale | $211 / 3 / 8$ |  | $17^{3}$ 3 ${ }^{6}$ Jun Jun | $21314{ }^{13}$ |  |  |
|  | 258 | Kirby |  | 2／8 | S Sale | ${ }^{1} 15$ Jun June | ${ }_{2}^{2} / 2$ June ${ }^{\text {June }} 11$ | ${ }^{183} 4{ }^{\text {June }}$ Feb． 16 |  |
| $\begin{array}{r}\text { 35，700 } \\ \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 256 | Lone |  | 25.27 | 23 | ${ }^{2031}$ | 26／4 June ${ }^{\text {51／}}$ | $185 \%$ JJan |  |
|  |  | McColl |  |  |  |  | un | 22 |  |
| 13.9000 |  | Macmill |  |  |  | June－ 12 |  |  | ${ }^{31} 1 / 6 \mathrm{Apr}$ Apr ${ }^{14}$ |
|  |  | Margay |  | 10\％\％ 19 | $10^{1 / 4}$ | － | \％June 1 |  |  |
| 200 |  | Maria |  |  |  | unn | ne 17 | $\begin{array}{cc} 2_{2}^{-16} & \text { Jan. } \\ \text { Feb. } & 19 \end{array}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Class B Mo－Kanse | 181／8．Sale | 45\％Sale | 23 S／Sale | $15^{2 / 3}$ Jun | 6 |  | June |
|  | 100.800 28,300 | ${ }^{\text {Class }}$ | 18，8 sale | 2 2\％Sale | $23 \%$ sale | $1{ }^{1}$ ，Jun |  | 150 | June |
|  |  | Mountai |  | $10.3 / 3$ Sale |  | $8{ }^{3 / 8}$ Jun | 10：3／3 Ju | Jan． 17 |  |
|  | 154， |  |  |  |  | 3013 | 10： | ${ }_{251 / 8}{ }^{\text {Jan．}}$ Jan．${ }^{8}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{r} 4,7,7 \\ 719 \end{array}$ |  |  |  | ${ }_{11}^{21 / 8} 811$ | $\begin{array}{lll}23 / 4 & 3 \\ 11^{3 / 2}\end{array}$ | ${ }_{10}{ }^{2} \frac{3}{8}$ Jun Jun | ${ }^{27}{ }^{7 / 8}$ Jun | ${ }^{2} 018 / 8$ June 19 | 1 |
|  | 16,30 72,60 | North |  |  |  | ${ }^{6}$ 68 Jun | $8{ }^{8} / 1 /$ Jun ${ }^{\text {Jun }}$ |  |  |
|  | 74,60 6520 | Pacific ${ }^{\text {P }}$ |  |  |  | $13^{2 / 8}$ Jun | ${ }^{6} 514$ | ， |  |
| 9, | 103，400 | Pa |  |  |  | Junn | ${ }^{1} 1$ \％June | 5 | $2{ }^{3}$ Mar． 20 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 926，6 | Petro |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |
|  | 961,500 61 |  |  | 51／2 Sale |  | $2{ }^{2} 3$ | $17 /$ | ${ }^{23} 5$ |  |
|  |  | Pure Oil |  | ${ }^{21} 1 / 2^{221 / 2}$ |  | ${ }^{22} 51 / 3$ Jun | ${ }_{99}^{27}$ Jun | ${ }_{96}^{203 / 3}$ Ma |  |
|  | 3,500 76.400 | Red Bank |  | Sale |  | $8{ }^{2}$ Jun | 10 June 4 | Jun | 10314 May 12 |
|  |  | Relter－Fos | ${ }_{23}^{23 / 2}$ Sale |  | 37／8 Sa | Jun | 20 | 27 Ma |  |
|  |  | Roo |  |  |  | ${ }_{20}{ }^{\text {Jun }}$ | Jun | 171／2 June 24 | Jan．${ }^{\text {June }}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $14 .{ }^{\text {May }}{ }^{2} 6$ | 25. May 28 |
| 3，100 | 9，800 |  |  | 138 |  |  |  | ${ }^{3} 5$ | $85 / \mathrm{May} 12$ 215 Mar .15 |
| 11，60 |  |  | 11 | 121／4 Sale |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | un | June | 8／2 Mar． 15 |  |
|  | 181，7 | Southlend | 15\％Sale |  |  |  |  | Ap | Ap |
|  |  | Sunray | 6\％2 |  | $5 / 2$ | June | June | ， | Apr |
| 8.0 | 59 |  | iō－＂Bale | 141／2 Sale | 13哘－14 | 12 2 䂞 Jun | 15 5 年 Juñ |  |  |
|  | 16 | Trans | － |  |  |  | 15\％4 | $16^{8 / 8}{ }^{8 \mathrm{Feb}} \text { Feb. }$ | K/3/2 Jane. |
|  |  | Am |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Un |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{40}^{18 / 8} \mathrm{Ap}$ | 硅 |
|  | ，000 | Ve |  |  |  |  |  | Jan |  |
|  |  | ${ }^{\text {ve}}$ |  | 27\％Sale | $2 \bar{y}_{4}$ | ${ }_{2}$ Jun | 5 Jun |  |  |
| 6.100 3,800 | 27，500 |  |  | 11／4 Sale |  | 234 Jun |  | 214 Feb． 24 | 3 |
|  |  | MINING STOCKS |  |  |  |  |  | 1／2 Jan． 2 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $1200$ | 3，900 | Bunker Hill ${ }^{\text {c S Sulilvan }}$－．．．io | S Sale－ |  |  |  |  |  | Jan． |
|  |  | Bwana M＇kubwa Cop Min－－－ |  |  |  |  | 88 June 1 | 65 Mar． 27 | 1／2 Jan． |
|  |  |  | 51 | ${ }_{2}^{41 / 8}$ Sale | 31／8 |  |  | $3{ }_{5}{ }_{5}$ June |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{5}$ | 1.8 | Jun |  |
| 25 |  |  | ${ }^{3}$ 3／3／3 Sale | ${ }^{5}$ \％S\％Sale | 4 | $3{ }^{3}$ 誃 June |  |  |  |
| 3，900 |  | Consol Min \＆Smett Litd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Consol Nevada Utah Cor |  |  |  | 1－16 June 11 | 1）1－16 | 1－16 Apr． | ${ }^{8}{ }^{240} 1 / 8 \mathrm{May} 9$ |




NEW IORK CURB EXCHANGE


For footnotes see page 55.


| AGGREGATE SALES． |  | CUREW YORK | $\begin{gathered} \text { Price } \\ \text { Jan.2 } \\ 1930 . \end{gathered}$ | PRICES IN JUNE． |  |  |  | RANGE SINCE JAN． 1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| June． | Since |  |  | une 2. | June 30. | Lowest． | Hiohest． | Lowest | Hiohest． |
| ${ }^{8}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 74,000 \\ 365,000 \\ 36,000 \\ 139,000 \\ 124,000 \\ 70,000 \\ 63,000 \end{array}$ |  | $\widehat{\text { Bid．Ask：}}$ | Bid．Ask． | Bid．As | Sale Prices． |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $\mid-\overline{9} 7^{-\cdots} \text { sale }$ | 100\％${ }^{\text {a }}$ sale ${ }^{-1}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{cc} -97 \\ \hline 7 \end{array}\right.$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | －：－－－－－－－：－ |  |  |  | 100 Apr． 29 |
|  |  |  | －－：－：－－：－－－－ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8,0 4,0 |  |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{cc} -94 & \text { Sale } \\ 94 & \text { sale } \end{array}\right.$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 101，0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $983 /{ }^{\text {9 May }}$ M 11 |
|  |  |  |  |  | －－－－－ | $\begin{array}{ll} 90 / 4 \text { June } 27 & 95 \\ 94 & \text { June } \\ 90 & 9 \\ 93 & \text { June } 26 \end{array} 95$ |  |  |  |
|  | 78，000 | S |  | －991／8 sale | 99 Sale |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 900 / \mathrm{Apr} \mathrm{Ar} \\ & 102 \\ & 951 / 2 \mathrm{May} \end{aligned}$ |
| ．000 | 799，000 U | Utah Power \＆\＆Lïhtr 5s．－1944 | －－－－－－－－－－－ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 130，000 |  |  |  |  | 95 Sale <br> 101 Sale | $\left\|\begin{array}{cccc} 93 & \text { / } & \text { June } & 26 \\ 101 & \text { June } & 100 & \text { June } \\ 1023 \end{array}\right\|$ |  |  | 100 Apr ． 17 |
|  |  | Van Camp Packing－${ }^{\text {an }}$－ 1948 |  |  |  | $\left.\begin{array}{\|lll} 75 & \text { June } & 2 \\ 80 & \text { June } 13 \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ |  | $75 \text { Apr. } 10$ | 81 Feb． 21 |
|  |  |  | ${ }_{9} \overline{7} \overline{1 / 4}$ Sale ${ }^{-1}$ | ${ }^{9731 / 2}$ Sale | 97 Sale | $5961 /$ June 10 $973 /$ <br> 101 June 23 <br>  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 53,50,000 \\ & 198,000 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Waldorf Astoria Corp－－190 | 103 Sale |  | iōō ${ }^{\text {cole }}$ |  |  | 86 Jan． 29 $1031 / 3$ Jan． 9 <br> 99 June 18 102 Mar． 20 $991 / 2 \mathrm{Jane} .28103$ 復 May 29 |  |
| 419,000 146,000 |  | Wabash Ry 58 series D．．．1980 Wash Water Power 5s．．．1960 |  |  | 1027／1／8 sale |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1460000 100 | － 52 |  | －：－ |  |  | $971 / 8$ June $22931 / 4$ June 17 |  | $85 / 4 /$ Jan． 697 Apr． 15 |  |
|  | 345，000 |  |  | 87 3 年 | －－－－－－－ | $\begin{array}{ll} 85 & \text { June } 17 \\ 88 & \text { June } \\ 25 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 8734 \\ 92 \\ 92 \\ \text { June } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 85 June 17 111 Jan． | $921 /{ }^{\text {Mar．}} 22$ |
| 硅 | 1，703 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 342,000 19,000 | －1，1466000 | West Texas Util 58. |  |  |  |  |  | $101{ }^{1 / 4}$ Feb． $41033^{2}$ June 7 <br> 90 Apr． 1090 Apr． 10 |  |
|  |  |  |  | 913／8 Sale | 913／4 Sale |  |  |  |  |
| 9，00 |  |  |  | －－： |  | －$-1 .-$ June 30 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | －－－： |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | MME |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 76,00 6,000 | $\begin{aligned} & , 000 \\ & 8,000 \end{aligned}$ | agricul Mtge Bk Rep of Col－ 20 －year 78．．．．．JJan 151946 20－year $78 \ldots-$ Jan 151947 | 84 Sale | 871／2 Sale | 92. Sale | $\begin{array}{ll} 871 / 2 & \text { June } \\ 85 \\ 83 & \text { Junne } \\ 93 & \text { June } \\ 50 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|ll\|} 92 & \text { June } \end{array} \left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & 871 / 2 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{array}{lll} 82 & \text { Mar. } & 6 \\ 721 & \text { Jan. } \\ 91 & \text { Jan. } & 21 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |
| 75，000 | 19 | Baden（Germany）7i．a．－． 1951 |  |  | ${ }^{9} \overline{5}^{-7}$ Sale |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | －Bank ${ }_{\text {ers }}$ | 98 Sale |  |  | 93 June 5 |  | 98 Jan． 2100 Mar． 15 |  |  |  |
| 137.0 122,0 |  |  | ${ }_{97}{ }^{-7}$－Sale |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{lll}  & \\ 102 & \text { Apr. } & 12 \\ 1001 / 2 p r y ~ & \text { Apry } \\ 96 & \text { May } \end{array}$ |  |  |
| 122，000 |  | Buenos Arros（Prov）738－1940 ${ }^{\text {7 }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | －92 $\overline{1} \frac{1}{4}$ Saie－ | 861／2 Sale | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|l\|} \hline 861 / 2 & \text { June } & 30 \end{array} \mathbf{9 2 1 / 4} \text { June } 2\|\mid$ |  | 68 Feb． $15 \quad 89$ Apr． 9 |  |  |  |
| 35，000 |  |  | 764 Sale |  | 821／2 Sale |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 69 |  |  |  | －－－－－－．．． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3，898，0 | Cuba（R－p oif $51 / 2$ |  | 1001／4 Sale |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 5nioh Cons Munic 53／8－1955 | ${ }^{9} 0{ }^{\text {\％}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24，000 |  | Danz |  | 821／2 Sale |  |  |  | 90 告 Jan． 2 | $\text { 857/ Mar. } 21$ |  |  |
|  |  | （ ${ }^{\text {Prankfort（City）}}$ |  | 96 Sale <br> 891 Sale <br> $961 / 2$ Sale |  |  |  |  | 857／6 Mar． 21 98\％／Mar． 18 98／8 Mar． |  |  |
|  |  |  | ${ }^{79} 97$ S Sale |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23,000 178,000 | 28 |  | 97 7／8 Sale |  |  | 901／4 June 26 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Lima（City），Peru， $61 / 88 .-1958$ |  | －－－－－：－ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11,000 29,00 | 214，000 | 0 Maranhao（State）78－7－－1958 | 1 － | $\begin{array}{ll} -899^{-} & \text {sale } \\ 93 & \text { sale } \\ 821 / 2 & \text { sale } \end{array}$ | 751／8 sale |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Mendoza（Prov）Argontina |  |  | －－．－．－．－．－－ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 943 / 2 \mathrm{Mar} .27 \\ & 83 \mathrm{Mary} 23 \\ & 821 / 2 \mathrm{Apr} . \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
|  |  | Mtee ${ }^{\text {7，}}$ | －－－－－－．－－－－－ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1，376 | Mtee Bank of Chile 68．－． 1933 | i ${ }^{1}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -997 / \text { Sale }^{-9} \\ & 98 / 2 \text { Sale } \\ & 1031 / 2 \text { Sale } \\ & 100 \\ & \text { Sale } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $100{ }^{\text {1 }}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  | Mitge Bank of Denmarl 581972 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 103 M／Mar． 15106 Jan ． 178 |  |  |
|  | 18 | Notheriands 68. | －－：－－：－－：－ |  | －－：－－：－－－－－ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Norway（Kingdom） 5 s ＿－1970 |  |  |  | ${ }^{00}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Parana（State on，Braz 78．${ }^{\text {Pr }}$ | ${ }^{65}$ 893 Sale |  |  | ${ }^{\text {s73 }}$ 93／2 Jun | ${ }_{95}{ }^{\text {a }}$ J June | 863 Jan． 3 |  |  |  |
|  | ${ }_{2}^{1,794}$ |  | $817 / 8$ Sale |  |  | 8514／Jun | Jun | $817 /{ }^{\text {Jan．}}$ | ${ }^{\text {92 }} 3$ \％Mar． 25 |  |  |
|  |  | R10 do Janeiro $61 / 8.8$－－．－ 1959 | 67 Sale |  | ${ }_{44}{ }_{4}$ Sale | 73 Jun | Jun | ${ }^{\text {Jun }}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{3} 3$ | $43 / 3$ Jun | Ju |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 43／8 | 3\％\％June 1 | $8{ }^{4}$ 4\％Jun | June | 53／4 Jan． 27 |  |  |
|  |  | arr Basin Con Countioes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{6}^{13}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 149,000 \\ & 15,000 \end{aligned}$ | $0{ }^{7}$ |  |  |  | 109 June 1 | $\begin{array}{lll} 19 & 100 & \text { June } \\ 101 & \text { June } \end{array}$ | 93 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 97 |  |  |
| 118，000 |  |  |  |  | e |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 89 ．Sale | 86 Sale | 86 Ju | 30 891／2 June | 86 June | 90\％Mar． |  |  |

[^4]
## Chicago Stock Exchange

## MONTHLY AND YEARLY RECORD

RANGE OF PRICES ON CHICAGO STOCK EXCHANGE
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## Boston Stock Exchange

## MONTHLY AND YEARLY RECORD

RANGE OF PRICES ON BOSTON STOCK EXCHANGE


[^7]


- No par value.


## Pittsburgh Stock Exchange

## MONTHLY AND YEARLY RECORD

RANGE OF PRICES ON PITTSBURGH STOCK EXCHANGE


[^8]

## Cleveland Stock Exchange <br> \section*{MONTHLY AND YEARLY RECORD}

RANGE OF PRICES ON CLEVELAND STOCK EXCHANGE



# Baltimore Stock Exchange 

 MONTHLY AND YEARLY RECORD
## RANGE OF PRICES ON BALTIMORE STOCK EXCHANGE



BALTIMORE STOCK EXCHANGE


* No par value.


# Philadelphia St＇k Exchange <br> \section*{MONTHLY AND YEARLY RECORD} 

RANGE OF PRICES ON PHILADELPHIA STOCK EXCHANGE

| AGGREGATE SALES． |  | PHILADELPHIASTOCE EXCHANGE BONDS | PriceJance1930． | PRICES IN JUNE． |  |  |  | RANGE SINCE JAN． 1. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| June． | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Since } \\ & \text { Jan. } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | une 2. | June 30. | Loroest． | Hiohest． | owest． |  |  |
|  |  |  | Bid．Ask | Bid | Bid．As | Sale Prices． | Sale Prices |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1983 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 32,100 \\ & 6,000 \end{aligned}$ |  | lec \＆Peoples tr ctss 4 S－ 1945 |  | $42^{-7}$ Sale ${ }^{-1}$ | $\overline{40}^{---9}$ |  | ${ }^{\text {40，3\％June }} 15$ |  |  |  |
|  |  | \％Williamsp |  |  |  | 41 June 25 | 4218 June 5 |  |  |  |
|  |  | Harrisburg Gas 5s W 1－1977 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13，00 |  | Interstate Rys coll tr 4s－1943 |  | － 27 | $\overline{27}^{-\cdots}-\frac{10}{0}$ |  | 9 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 84 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Lehiligh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 108 | $108{ }^{-10} 8{ }^{-3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1，000 |  | Pennsylvania RR $43 / 8$／will 1970 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | $50 \quad 55$ | $501 / 2$ June 25 | $501 / 2 \mathrm{June} 25$ |  |  |  |
| 3,00 |  | Philadelphia Co 5s ．－．．－－1967 ${ }^{196}$ |  | 100䂞 Sale |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1st $41 / 3$ |  | 100发 sale |  | － |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1stss． |  |  |  |  | 06 多 June 19 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1st Hen |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Red |  | 82 |  | 10 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Sea |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Unt |  | ${ }_{45}^{98}$ |  | une ${ }^{\text {une }} 10$ | $78$ |  |  |  |
| 11.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| lares. $\begin{aligned} & \text { sares. } \\ & 15,0.01 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{rr} \text { STOCKS } & \text { Par } \\ \text { Almar Stores } \end{array}\right.$ | 31／6 Sa | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 37/ Sale } \\ & 87 / \text { Sole }^{\text {Sale }} \\ & \text { Sale } \end{aligned}$ | 3． $31 / 6$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{r\|r\|} 23 \% & \text { June } \\ 17 \\ 583 \\ 42 \% & \text { June } \\ 433 \\ \text { June } 27 \end{array}\right\|$ |  |  | $47 /{ }^{\text {Peb．}} 18$ |  |
| 14，700 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | American Steres |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 1171／8 Sale | $1{ }^{40} 14$ | ${ }^{40} 144$ Jun | 43 June ${ }^{17 / 8}$ |  |  |  |
| 8.5 |  | Bornot（ Inc ） |  |  |  | ［ $71 / 2$ Junue 17 |  |  | 1 |  |
|  |  | Preferred |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{7} 71 / 2$ June ${ }^{\text {a }} 178$ | 70 |  |
|  |  | Duad Preferred． |  |  |  | ${ }^{99}{ }^{81 / 2}$ Jun | 1014／2 June |  |  |  |
| $11,900$ | 68.200 |  | 22 B ${ }^{\text {Bale }}$ |  | ${ }_{22}{ }^{-70}{ }^{\text {j／}}$ | － | 25／June ${ }^{\text {25 }}$ | $9{ }^{556}$ Jan． 2 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ${ }_{13,950} 88$ | Contr |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{5} 513 / 3$ June 14.14 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 20 22\％ | $2^{43 / 2}{ }^{51 / 2}$ | $\begin{array}{ll}24 & 24 \\ 50 & \text { Sale }\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Electric Storage Battery ．．． 100 Empire Corp． | 8a | 71 $711 / 2$ <br> 10 Sale <br> 16 Sale | $\left\|\begin{array}{cc} 648 & \text { Sale } \\ 8818 \\ 151 / 4 & \text { sale } \\ 155 / 8 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} \text { 61\% June } 25 \\ \text { 74 June } 21 \\ 143 \text { June } 16 \end{array}\right\|$ |  |  |  |  |
| $13,000$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 61\％June 25 |  |  |
|  |  | ${ }_{\text {\％}}{ }^{50 \%}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $1{ }^{14} 40$ June 1 |  |  |
| 12，40 |  | Firarsssoc |  | －381／Sa－ie－ | －35 |  |  |  | ${ }^{16} 16$ Apr．${ }^{5}$ |  |
|  |  | Wishman \＆Sons A．－．－－－－50－50 |  | 174－－Sale－ | $\begin{array}{cc} 29 \\ i 55 \\ 351 / 2701 / 27 \end{array}$ | $25-\quad$ June $2 \overline{3}$ <br> $367 / 8$ June 19 | －25－June $\overline{2} \overline{3}$ | （35 May 27 | ${ }^{40} 83$ Jan． 24 |  |
|  | 13，40 | Horn \＆Hardart（Phila）com．${ }^{\text {He｜}}$ | $-\overline{4} \overline{4} \overline{4}$ Sale |  |  |  |  | $367 / \mathrm{June}_{19} 1961 / 2 \mathrm{Fpr}$ ． 15 |  |  |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} 0,300 \\ 108 \\ \mathbf{K} \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Insurance Co of NA－－．．．． 10 |  | 79 Sale |  | $\begin{aligned} & 631 / 4 \text { June } 25 \\ & 566 \\ & 56 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 183.100 \\ & 29.100 \\ & 111 ; 150 \end{aligned}$ | Lehigh Coal \＆Navigation． 50 New when issued Valley Lit Bros． | －－－：－－－ |  | $\left\|\begin{array}{cc} 66 & \text { Sale } \\ 56 & \text { Sale } \\ 31 & 331 / 2 \\ 33 & 3 a 1 / 2 \\ 371 / 2 & 581 / 2 \\ 442 & 10 \\ 44 \end{array}\right\|$ |  |  | ${ }^{51} 81 / 2$ Juna ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $153 / \mathrm{Feb}$ ． 13 |  |
| 24，750 |  |  |  |  |  | －30\％\％June ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 43 \％\％June ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 101 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 42 行 June 12 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll} 73 \% & 741 / 4 \\ \hdashline 40 & \end{array}\right.$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ． 00 |  | Manufacturers Cas Ins． Manufactured Rubber Co Midland Valley pref inc．－－－． 50 Minehill \＆Schuylkili Hav． 50 | $34 \quad 35$ | 35 Sale | ${ }^{30} 14{ }^{32} 11 / 2$ | 30 June 21 | 35．June 2 | ${ }^{30}$ June 21 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{3}{ }^{3 / 6}$ Mar． 18 |  |  |
|  |  |  | ${ }^{\text {in }}{ }^{-1}$ | －5ij | －55j ${ }^{-56}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7，72 | 16，00 | Mreferred．－－${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | 20 ${ }^{15 / 4}$ ， 23 | 15\％June 17 | $\begin{aligned} & 17 \\ & 17 \% \\ & 173 n e \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 54 | 453／3 May 24 |  |
|  | 1，500 |  | 17 Sale <br> 27 29 <br> -  | $24^{17 / 4}$ |  |  |  | 24，May 1 | ${ }^{27}$ 25 J Jan．Jan． 18 |  |
|  |  | Nort | －－－－－－－－－－－－ | $87^{-7-87}{ }^{-1}$ |  | －－－－．．－－ | －－－：－－－：－－－－－ |  |  |  |
|  |  | Nor |  |  |  |  |  |  | 85\％Jan． 2788Mas．Apr． 24 |  |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} 1,358,690 \\ 2,100 \\ 620,600 \\ 4,900 \\ 600 \end{array}$ | Pe |  |  | 86$763 / 77^{-1}$$11 \%$ Sale | 76 <br> 10 <br> 10 <br> 15 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Pennroad Corp－．i－－－－－－－－－－ |  |  |  |  |  | 10 10，June 18 |  |  |
|  |  | Pennsylvania RR <br> Pennsyivania Salt Mfg．．．．．．． 5 |  |  |  | $\left.\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{c} 6993 \\ 90 \\ 90 \end{array}\right.\right]$ |  | 6993／Junne |  | 3／6 Apr．${ }^{1}$ |



No par value 3 Ex-dividend.

## Detroit Stock Exchange

## RANGE OF PRICES ON DETROIT STOCK EXCHANGE

| Stock Sales. | 1930. | 1929. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| June.- | 463,530 | 567,507 |
| Jan. 1 to June 3 | 3,363,361 | 6,530,494 |




[^9]
## Los Angeles Stock Exch.

RANGE OF PRICES ON THE LOS ANGELES STOCK EXCHANGE


LOS ANGELES STOCK EXCHANGE


## San Francisco Stock Exch. MONTHLY AND YEARLY RECORD

RANGE OF PRICES ON THE SAN FRANCISCO STOCK EXCHANGE


| AGGREGATE SALES. |  | sAN PRANCISCO sTock EXCHANGE STOCKS |  |  | PRICES | IN JUNE. |  | RANGE SINCE JAN. 1. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| June. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Since } \\ \text { San. } 1 . \end{gathered}$ |  |  | 2. | 30. | eest. | Highest. | Lowest. | Hiohest. |
| $5,785$ |  | Fireman's Fund Ins Co_Par First Secur Corp of Ogden 100 Food Mach'y Corp com... 100 Foster \& Kjelser Galland Merc'1 Laundry Cö.* | $\left.\begin{array}{\|cc} \hline \text { Bid. } & \text { Ask. } \\ 1021 / 2 & \text { sale } \\ -02 & 133 \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Bid } \\ 993 / 4 \\ \text { Aask. Sale } \end{array}$ |  |  |  | Prices. Apr. 21 Mar. 27 |
|  |  |  |  | $130 \quad 137$ |  |  |  | J |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{6} \mathbf{6}$. Ju | $70^{7 / 8}$ June | ${ }_{28}{ }^{\text {June }}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 30. June 9 |  | 17 May |  |
|  |  | Galland Merc'l Laundiry Cö.* General Paint Cord A. |  |  |  | 18 |  | 18 Jun |  |
|  |  |  Gt West Power 6\% pf A.-100 |  |  |  | 101 J June |  | ${ }_{99}{ }^{3}$ JJan |  |
|  |  | Gt West Power 6\% Df A. 100$7 \%$ preferred. Haiku Pineapple Litd com. 20 Haiku P'n'apple Led. $7 \%$ v pf25 |  |  |  | 103 3/8 June | ${ }^{10} 7$ June ${ }^{\text {June }} 5$ | $5{ }^{3} / 8 / \mathrm{Jun}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $19 . \mathrm{Ja}$ |  |
|  |  | Haiku P'n'apple Led, $7 \%$ > pt25 Hale Broa Stores Inc |  | 11 | 9 | $103 / 1 / 3$ | 111/8 Jun | 101/4 Juw |  |
|  |  | awailan C |  |  | 51 |  |  | Ju |  |
|  |  | H |  | 393/4 | ${ }^{3} \overline{4}$ | ${ }_{33} 37$ Jun | 39\%/4 June | , |  |
|  |  | Honol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Hunt B |  | ${ }_{12}^{22} 822$ | 12 | ${ }_{12}{ }^{2}$ Jun | 12 June | 12. |  |
|  |  | Hutchinson ${ }^{\text {Hex }}$ |  |  |  | ${ }^{21}$ Jun Jun | 26 June | 1983 Jan. |  |
|  |  | Investors ${ }^{\text {andili- }}$ |  |  |  | 47 June | 5034 June | ${ }_{40}{ }^{\text {Jan }}$ |  |
| 3,492 |  | Kolster Radio Corp |  |  |  | $27 / 8$ June 18 | 41/2 June | 10.3 | 23/2 Aprr. 12 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 2,163 \\ & 1,286 \end{aligned}$ | 12,888 <br> 465 | Langendort Un Bak Inc A.-* |  |  | $\begin{array}{cc}22 & 24 \\ 17 & 1714\end{array}$ | $22.171 / 3$ June 19 |  | 1712 | $251 / 2$ |
|  |  | Leig |  |  |  | 1 $17 / 8$ June ${ }^{\text {a }}$ - | 18\% June 25 | 14/3 June 25 | ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| 1,700 | 9,692 | Lesilic-Calif Salt ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | 187/8191/4 | (16) | $1051 / 4$ June $271051 / 4$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | -1i4 113 |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 91 / 3 \text { June } 288 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | -... 6 | - $-\frac{1}{2}$ - June ${ }^{2} 5$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $211 / 4$ |  | ${ }^{163}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 21 / 2 \text { Jan. } \\ & 20 \\ & 961 / 4 \text { May } 29 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 324 | 33,37 |  | -20\%\% Bale |  | $\left\|\begin{array}{cc} -15 & 167 / 8 \\ 17 / 3 / 3 & 19 \end{array}\right\|$ |  | 19 June 5 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $6 \%$ prior preferred...-1100 erc Amer RIty Co $6 \%$ pf 100 |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 1731 \\ 17 \\ -\quad 99 \end{array}$ |  |  |  | $21 / 3$ Mar 12 |
|  |  |  | -23立 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2651/4073/4 |  | ${ }^{26}$ 94 June ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | ${ }^{91}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 91 \\ & 993 / \text { Sale }^{-1} \\ & 165 / 4 \end{aligned}\right.$ |  | 983/2 June 21 |  | $\begin{array}{ll} 90 & \text { Mar. } 31 \\ 98 & \text { Jan. } 14 \\ 14 & \text { Feb. } 19 \end{array}$ | $921 / \mathrm{Apr}$. 80100$197 / \mathrm{Mar}^{8} .10$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{cc} 90 & \text { Sale } \\ 97 & 99 \\ 16 & 171 / 2 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $\left\|\begin{array}{cc} 24 & 251 / 6 \\ 26 & \text { sale } \\ 25 & \text { sale } \\ 73 / 6 & 81 / 2 \end{array}\right\|$ |  | $\begin{array}{ll}23 & \text { Sale } \\ 24 & \text { Sale }\end{array}$ | ${ }_{23}^{21}$ June, 18 | 25\% June 19 | June 18 | 261/2 Apr. ${ }_{31} 17$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 24.2 |  |  |
|  |  | 5 Paci |  | 241/4 21 | $1{ }^{67 / 8} 118$ | 66\% June 30 | $67 / 3$ June 30 2681 | $6{ }^{6}$ \% June 30 |  |
|  | 7.265 |  |  |  |  |  | 69\%4 June 2$288 \%$9814 June 64$1031 / 2$ June 12 |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 65,89 \\ & 19,29 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 31,696 \\ & 92,746 \\ & 9.710 \end{aligned}$ | $6 \%$ fst pfd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $\begin{array}{cc}268 \\ 78 \\ 100 & 74 \\ 100 & \text { sale }\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1,830 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 69,3 | 683,56 | Pacific Public Service Co---. Pacific T \& T Co com.... 10 $6 \%$ preferred |  |  | $\begin{array}{cc} 27 & \text { sale } \\ 130 & 133 \\ 1265 \% & \text { Sale } \end{array}$ |  |  | 12083 |  |
|  | 14,4 |  | 140 150 | (125 |  |  | $1{ }^{145} 12 \%$ June ${ }^{\text {June }}$ |  |  |  |
| 17,403 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 741/2 June ${ }^{\text {l }}$ | 134 |  |
|  | 4,350 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} 8,706 \\ 349,814 \end{array}$ | Rainier Pulp \& Pa A com -- ${ }^{*}$ Richfield Oil Co common-.. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 24\% ${ }^{24}{ }^{2}$ Sale |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | ${ }^{\text {oos }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2,788 | ${ }^{\text {Prelerred }}$ Le Pow $7 \%$ pr plat- 100 | $111 / 2$ sale |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 180 | ${ }_{4} 4$ | \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  Shell Union Oil Corp:-äiol Sherman a Clay 7\% pr pft 100Sierra Pac Elec Co $6 \%$ pf.. |  |  | $\begin{array}{ll}46 & 50 \\ 19 & \text { Sale }\end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 47 / 2 \text { June } 28 \\ & \text { 47 June } 28 \\ & 181 / 2 \text { June } 18 \end{aligned}$ | une |  | 101/ Jan. 970$253 /$ Janr.99595Apri.Anr.94 Mar. 12 |
| 22,371 | $\begin{gathered} 2,195 \\ 81,927 \end{gathered}$ |  | 627/6 Sale | ${ }_{203}^{50} /{ }^{\text {Salale }}$ |  |  | June |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 1000 |  | ${ }^{99}$ June | June |  |  |
|  |  |  | - | ${ }_{91}^{40}$ | 91 | ${ }_{91}^{40}$ June | 45 |  |  |
|  |  |  | 13 178 | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll} 120 & 1211 / 3 \\ 17 & \text { sale } \\ 151 / 8 & 16 \\ 13 & 15 \end{array}\right.$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll} 111 & 133 / 2 \\ 15 & 16 \\ 13 & 15 / 8 \\ 10 & 13 \end{array}\right.$ | 15$131 / 2 u n e ~ J u n e ~$181212June27 | 1717$131 / 4 \mathrm{June}$June |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{13}^{15}$ Junn 17 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{12}{ }^{2}$ J Jun. 27 |  |
| , | 535, |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{571 / 4}$ Jun |  | 51/8 |  |
|  |  | tand |  | 36\% ${ }^{371}$ | 31. | ${ }^{31}$ Juun | 15, June 20 | June 30 |  |
|  |  | Thoma | \% | 173 3 Sa |  |  | $175 \%$ | 4 Feb. 17 |  |
|  |  | Tranamer Cor- |  |  | 833, sale | 833/8 June 16 | ${ }^{881 / 2}$ June | 1/8 June 18 |  |
| 6,049 | 66,22 | Transamer Corp t Traung Label \& L |  | 40\%8 | 17/2 $211 / 2$ |  |  | \%/8 Jan. | 7 |
|  |  |  |  | $443 / 8 \mathrm{sale}$ |  | $35 . J$ June | 443 Jun | Jum |  |
|  | 6.18 | Union Oil of Cai | 5 | 45/4.451 |  | ${ }^{36} / 4$ June | 405\% June | ${ }^{361 / 3}$ June | 505 Apr. ${ }^{\text {a }} 9$ |
|  | 6 | $7{ }^{\text {Jnion pretarred }}$ | $20 \% 25$ | $2031 / 25$ |  | $2113 /{ }^{2}$ June | ${ }^{22}$ J Jun | $21 / 3$ | 223/ Jan. 27 |
|  | 40 | Wells |  |  |  | Ju | ${ }_{3}$ Juun |  |  |
|  |  | West |  |  |  |  | 17 Jun | 151/2 Appr. 30 |  |
|  |  | Western Pip |  |  |  | Ju | Ju | ${ }^{\text {Jup }}$ | 29 |
| 100 |  | Yellow \% Checker Cab Co A50 |  |  |  | Uur |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 129.323 18,509 |  |  |  |  |  | 10c | June | 10 c |  |
| 27,129 |  | Occldental I |  |  |  | 5 c June 3 | 3 Sc June |  |  |
|  | 218 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | June |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

- No par value.


# General Quotations <br> OF BONDS AND STOCKS 

In the earlier part of this publication complete records of the dealings on the leading stock exchanges of the country, for the latest month and for the calendar year to date, are furnished. In this department of Ceneral Quotations we combine in one comprehensive whole, securities of all classes and descriptions, not only those dealt in on the exchanges but also leading unlisted and inactive securities. The following is an index to the different classes of securities quoted:

|  | Public Utility Bonds Page <br> 85  | Ingurane Store |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| Joint Stock Land Bank Bonds..............-. 84 |  | Real Estate Trust and Land Stocke_--...-97 |
| Joint Stock Land Bank Stocks............... 84 | Industrial \& Miscellaneous Stocks.....-.... 97 | United States and Municipal Bonds |
| Federal Land Bank Bonds................... 101 |  | Canadian Municipal Bonds.-.-.- |
|  |  | Canadian Municipal Bonds |
| Investment Trust Stooke and Bonds....... 83 |  | Fo |

Quotations from all Stock Exchanges are as near as possible for the closing day of the month preceding the date of issue. As the New York stock mark to indicate the fact except where there is a deviation from thiserest," we have adopted the same method and no longer employ a deaignailing to the price, he must pay accrued interest in addition to the price. This. The reader will understand, therefore, that unless the letter "fr" is prefixed the price includes the interest, should there be any.

The letter " $f$ " prefixed to bond prices denotes
therefore the purchaser does not have to provide for it separately in making payment. As already the accrued interest forms part of the price, and default, the price is always "flat," and no designating mark is employed to indicate th. As already stated in the case of income bonds and bonde in Qu. the price is aiways "flat, and no designating mark is employed to indicate the fact.
thus (d) are per share. All othere are per cent except bank stock pricen, which are quoted per be paid in addition to the price. Stock pricea marked It should be borne in mind in the use of these tsank stock prices, which are quoted per share unless otherwise stated.
cases the figures are obtained from sources which are considered relisble. . inactive and ualisted securitles are merely nominal, but in all The following abbreviations are often used, viz.; "M" for mortgage "
conv" for convertible, "s $f$ " for slnking fund, " g " for land grant, "op" for ortional "gu" for guaranteed, "end" for endormed, "cons" for consolidated The black-faced

| Bonds. | Bid. | Ask. | Bonds | Bid. | Ask. | Bonds | Bid. | Ask. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RAILROAD BONDS |  |  |  |  |  | Boston \& |  |  |
|  |  |  | Eq 61/38 Feb 1936 (year) F\&A | b 4.70 | 4.30\% | $4 \mathrm{May} 11935 \mathrm{gu} \mathrm{N} \mathrm{Y} \mathrm{O.m} \mathrm{\& N}$ | $961 / 2$ |  |
| Ak Oant \& Youngst |  |  |  | b 54.50 | $4.75 \%$ $4.20 \%$ |  | $831 / 2$ |  |
| Gen \& ref 6s 1945 aer A. A\&O Gen \& ref $51 / \mathrm{s} 1945 \mathrm{ser} \mathrm{B}$ A\&O | 100116 |  | Atlantic Coast Line of S O - - ${ }^{\text {ce }}$ | b 4.50 | 4.20\% |  | ${ }^{82} 9{ }^{3 / 2}$ |  |
| Alabama Great Southern- |  | 99 | Gen 1st $g$ 4s July 1948 _-. J\&J <br> Atl \& Danville 1st 4s 1948--J\&J | $\begin{aligned} & 92 \\ & 62 \end{aligned}$ | 67-- | Boston \& Maine (new) 5s Sept '41 | 104 |  |
| lat cong g 581943 ser A.--J\&D | 10 |  | 2d g 4s 1948.-. | 53 | 64 57 | 48-5s April $1947 . .$. | 102 |  |
|  |  |  | Atl \& Yad 1st gu g 4s 1949-A\&O |  | 88\%/4 | 6o-5s June | 104 |  |
| "A"" deb 5s g '40 red '10-M\&N | lf 93 | 98 | Aus \& NW 1st $58 \mathrm{~g} 1941 .-\mathrm{J}$ ¢ J | $1013 / 4$ | $10 \overline{2} \overline{6}$ | 78-58 Jan | 104 |  |
|  |  | 96 97 | Augusta Union Stat 4s 1953-J\&J | 80 | 84 | ${ }_{5 s} \mathrm{Mar} 1942$ | 104 |  |
| abama Tennesses \& Norther |  | 97 | - Bait Ohes\&Atl 1st g 5s 1934 M\&S |  |  | 5 San 1943 | 104 |  |
| Prior lien 6s 1948-...-.J\&J | 74 | 77 | Ex 1st M 6s July 1931 $\ldots$.-.J\&J |  |  |  | 104 | 000\% |
| Alabama \& Vicksburg Ry------ |  | 45 | Balt \& Harris g 5s 1936--7-M\&N |  |  |  | 9812 | $99 \%$ |
| 1 letMg 5 ss 1974 serles A-M\&N | 102 |  | Baltimore \& Ohio-s 1038 Man |  |  |  | $1001 / 2$ |  |
| Albany \& Susq 3ys gold 1946 |  |  | 1st M 4s g July 11948 .._A\&O |  |  | Bost \& Maine (old | ${ }_{93}{ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 4.50\% |
|  | 867/8 | $871 / 4$ | 1st M 5s July 1948 190-A\&O | 1045 | 1043 | 48 Feb 11937 | $921 / 2$ |  |
| Alleghany Corp- |  |  | Oonv 4 42 s 1933 red 1923 -M\&S | 10018 | 10014 |  | $87{ }^{81 / 2}$ |  |
| Coll tr conv 5a 1944......F\&A | 971/4 | 97 | Cef \& gen 5 s 1995 zeries ${ }^{\text {A }}$ | 10318 | 1093 |  | 70 |  |
| Ooll \& conv 5s 1949......J\&D | 9714 | 97 | Ref \& gen 681995 series O.J\&D | $1001 / 8$ | 109\% | 6s Jan ${ }^{\text {E }}$ | 10218 | 102\% |
| Allegheny Val gen 4s 1942-M\& |  | 92 多 | Ref \& gen 5s 2000 ser D.-M\&S | 1035 | 104 |  | 5.25 | $4.75 \%$ |
| Amer Dock \& Impt-See Ind \& M | iscell |  |  | 4.6 | 4.30\% | Bos \& N Y A L 1st 4s \% 1955.F\&A | 843/4 | 8 |
|  | 821/2 | $837 / 8$ | Eq tr $531931-37$ (yr)----F\&A | 4.65 | $4.30 \%$ |  |  | 100 |
| Ark \& Mem Ry Brge \& Term- ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | 5\% | Eq tr 58 1931-38 (yr) --- F\&\&A | 4.65 | $4.30 \%$ | Gen m 6s 1933....-J\&J 15 | 1011/4 |  |
| 1st M 5\% 1964-- \% M\&S | 1001/8 | 1011/4 |  | b 5\% | 4.70\% | Brook \& Mon 2d.58 1938-.-J\&D |  |  |
| Aroostook Nor 58 g 1947 .--A\&O | 96 | 100 | Refund gold 4s 1941--M\&N |  |  | Brun \& West 18 talo 48 1938 | 943/8 |  |
| Asheville \& Spartanburg-S U \& |  |  | S W Div ist 5s 1950---J\&J | 1031 | 103 | 1st ref 5s 1961........-Jt Jt | 100 | $1021 \%$ |
| Atchlson Topeka \& Santa Fe- |  |  | Toledo \& Cin Div 48 1959.-J\&J | 8612 | 86\% | Bluff Point Land \& Impt-Seo In |  |  |
| Gen mtge gold 4s 1995.-A\&O | 95 | 96 | 1 lt M $5 \mathrm{~s} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Jan} 11943 \ldots . . . J^{\text {J J J }}$ |  |  | Buffalo Rochester \& Pittaburgh- |  |  |
| Adjustment 4a July 11955 Nov | 9118 | 92 | Oons refund g 4 4 195i-.-J\&J | 102129 | 1031 |  |  | $1023 /$ |
|  | $911 / 2$ | 91314 | Medford Ext 581937--M\& | 99 |  | Eq 6s ser K 1930-33 (g-a)_F\&A | 4.75 | 4.40\% |
| Oonvg 48 of 09 due 55 op Jt | 91 | 9315 | Piscat Divg 5s Jan 1943 -A\&O | 99 |  | Bulf \& S W 1st $6 \mathrm{~s} 1928 . \mathrm{a}$ - J J J J |  |  |
| Oonv g 4 s of 1960....-J\&D | 9 | 92 | St Johns Riv Ext gu 5s '39-F\&A | 99 |  | 2 d g 5 s \% 08 ext to 19280--J\& |  |  |
| Conv deb 41/88 1948----J\&D | 120 |  | Washburn Ext 18t 5a 39 - F\&A | 99 99 |  | Buff \& Susquehanna RR Corp- |  |  |
| Trans S L 1st g 4s 1958--J\&J | 9218 |  | Bat Oreek \& S 1st gu g 3s 89 J\&D | 62314 |  | 18t 4s Dec 301963 Nurl | 84 | 85 |
| Coi-Ariz lst \& If 41/2s 62 op M\&S | If 99 | 101 | Bay C \& B O 1st M g 3s 1989-J\&D | 62 |  | Con lst \& coll tr 5 s g '34.-A\&O |  |  |
| Rocky ME Miv Athens |  | 100 | Bedford Belt 1st 5 s 1938 . $-\cdots-\mathrm{J} \& J$ | 95 | 98 | Butte Anaconda \& Pac 5s'44 F\&A | 97 | 99 |
| Athens Terminal Ry- |  |  | 2d guar gold $581936 \ldots . . . J \& J$ | $96 \%$ |  | Oam \& Clear-18t 5s a 1941 J\& Jit |  | 102 |
|  | 70 | 85 | Beech Crk Ext 31/3 1951 -A\&O | 81 |  |  | 86 | $9{ }^{-1}$ |
| 1at M $41 / 8 \mathrm{~s} 1944$ ser A. |  |  | Bellingham Bay \& British Col- |  |  | Eq tr 51/3s 1931-38 (year) M\&N |  | 65\% |
| 1st M 581944 ser B - | $1031 / 2$ | 100. | 18t g 5s Dec 11932 Indolis) | 961/2 |  | Oanada Ati 1st 4s 1955 ....J\&J | 79 |  |
| Atl Knox \& Nor 1st 5 s 1946-J\&D | 103\% |  | 1st ref gold 481939 | 01 |  | Canada South 5 s 1962 ser A A A O | 1043 | 105 |
| Atlansol \% Sold 4n 2002 - |  |  | Belt Ry of Ohatt 5s 1945 .-J\&J | 01 |  | Can Nat Rys 41/2s 1954_M\&S 15 | 94.10 | 96 |
| Atlanta \& St Andrews Bay- |  |  | Belvidere Del lst $31 / 2 \mathrm{~s} 1943-\mathrm{J} \& J$ | 85 |  |  | 95 |  |
| Atlanta Terminal 6 - 1939--F\&A | 106 |  | Blg Sandy Ry lst g 481944 -J\&D | 9214 |  |  | 998 | 993 |
| Atlantic Oity gu 4s 1951 | 873/4 | $93 \overline{3}$ | Bluff Polnt Land \& Imp-See In |  |  | $40-\mathrm{yr} 5 \mathrm{~s}$ guar 1970.-2-.- P\&A | 102\% | $103 \%$ |
| 1st M $51 / 581929$ extd as $5 \%$ |  |  | Bolivia Ry 1st 5s 1927. |  |  | 5s | 1023 | 1021/8 |
| Atlantic Ooast Line Oo- of Oonn | 101 |  | Boonville RR Bridge $4 s^{\prime} 41$ M\&N |  |  |  | 102\% |  |
| Oerts Indebt 5s irredeem.J\&D |  |  | Boonville St L \& Sou 5s '51-F\&A | 80 | 85 | Eq tr 41/28 1931-45 (ann) .-J\&D b | 4.15 t | $\begin{array}{r} 4.75 \% \\ 04.85 \% \end{array}$ |
| $t \mathrm{cone}$ as July |  |  | 5 June 1942 . .-...--JJ\&D | 1021 |  |  |  |  |
| 1at cons 4s July 11952 |  |  | 58 July 11938 --..........J\& J | $101 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| Oonv deb 4s 1939 op 1916M\&N | 9198 |  | $41 / 28$ July 1937-.-----...J\&J | 98.8 |  |  |  |  |
| Gon unif ser A 41/6 1964-J\&D | 99 | 99 | 43/2s mpt $1978 . \ldots-\mathrm{F}$ |  | $941 / 2$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $4 \mathrm{May} 11934 \mathrm{gu} \mathrm{N} \mathrm{Y} \mathrm{OM} \mathrm{\& N}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 971 / 18 \\ & 97 / 4 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |

$b$ Basis. $f$ This price includes accrued interest. $k$ Last sale. $l$ London. $n$ Nominal $s$ Sal price

RAILROAD BONDS
wotios.-All bond prices are "and interest" except where marked " $f$ " and income and defaulted bonds


$b$ Basis. $f$ This price includes accrued interest. $h$ Dollars per 1,000 franc bond. $k$ Last sale. $l$ In London $n$ Nominal. $s$ Sale price.

RAILROAD BONDS
NOTICE.All bond pricen are "and interest" except where marked "ff" and income and defaulted bonds.


RAITROAD BONDS AND STOCKS
NOTIOR.-All bond prices are "and interest" exoept where marked "q" andilincome and defaulted bonds.



## Investment Trust Stocks and Bonds



[^10]
## Joint Stock Land Bank Bonds and Stocks



## Public Utilities

(Includes street and electric rallway, gas, electric, power, water and telegraph and telephone companies.)

Hotion.-All bond pricen aro "and interest" ezoopt whore marked "f" and inoome and dofaulted bonde.

$b$ Baals. $\boldsymbol{f}$ This price includen accrued interest. $k$ Last eale. $n$ Nominal. $r$ Oanadian price. $\boldsymbol{s}$ Sale price.


PUBLIC UTILITY BONDS
worick．－All bond pricos are＂and interest＂oxcopt whore marked＂Y＂and inoome and dofaulted bonde．

| Bonds． | Bid． | Ask． | Bonds． | Bid． | Ask． | Bonds． | Bid． | Ask． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Idaho Power 1st 5s 1947－．．．－J\＆J <br> III Bell Telep 1st 5 s 1956．．．J\＆D | $\begin{aligned} & 1013 / 1 \\ & 105 \end{aligned}$ | 1053 |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2 y \\ & R y \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
|  | －921／2 | $931 / 2$ | Jacksonv（Fla）Gas 8 f $5 s^{\circ} 42$－J\＆D |  | $85$ | Angeles st \＆ref 8 | 81 | 83 |
|  | 95 | 1／2 | Jacksonv |  |  | ， | 93 | 94 |
|  |  |  | Jamalca Water supply | 1003／3 | 102 | 1st mt | 97 | 971／2 |
|  | 1001／4 | 101 |  |  |  | Uils |  |  |
| Hilnots Pewer \＆Lilight |  |  | 1sts sink fund 6 |  | 75 |  | 1 | 1041／2 |
|  | 101 | 1013 | Janestilie Elec Co－ |  |  |  | 101 | 103 |
| list \＆ref 5 s 1956 ner |  |  |  | 993／2 |  | Lou | 98＊ | ${ }^{99}{ }^{-7}$ |
| Hinois Power 1 st 5 s | 98 | 100 | 1 lst | ${ }_{99}^{1021 / 2}$ | ${ }_{99}^{103}$ |  |  | 70 50 |
| 1sti 7s 1936．．．－ | 105 99 | 107 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inlinois Valley Ry | 97 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3／4 |
| Hilinois Water Service | 89 | 91 |  | 25 | $40^{-7}$ | ${ }_{\text {lit }}^{\text {neburg }}$ | 7912／2 | 82 |
| Indiana Central Treep | 89 | 91 |  |  | ${ }_{2}^{40}$ | zerne Oo |  |  |
| Indiana ${ }^{\text {stage }} 5$ | 85 | $13 / 2$ | Joplin\＆PittsRy ist 5 Joplin W W 1st 5 s 1 | $\overline{8} 5$ | 87 |  |  | ／2 |
|  | 2 | 35 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 20 50 | 25 | Kan | 921／2 | 9312 | ${ }_{\text {Ljacon }}$ | ${ }^{1} 96$ | 98 |
| dia |  |  |  |  | 101 | Madis |  |  |
|  | 1033／2 | $1001$ | Kansas City Power 1st M 5s 1952 seri | 1048 | 105 | Madison $G$ \＆Eiis | 100 |  |
| ， | 103 |  | － |  | 58 | Gen \＆rer | 100 30 | 40 |
| diana Gas Utilities 5 s | 95 | 96 | Kansas City Pub Sor 681951 J\＆ | ， |  | Madison Rys 18tg 5 Sis | 1004 |  |
| lst mtge 5 s 1958 |  |  |  |  | 96 | Manche |  |  |
| Indiana Lgt 481958 |  |  | İm Ms | 1061／4 |  | 78 |  |  |
| lat ref 581955 － $1957 \ldots$－M M A | 102 | $10 \overline{2} 3 \overline{3}$ |  | ${ }^{106}$ | ${ }_{93}$ | Manhattan El | 43188 | 50 50 |
| liana |  | 112 | Kansas Pow ist 581947 \％．．．．M\＆ |  | 96 |  |  |  |
| Indiana Ry | 9 | 98 |  | 931／2 | 94 |  | 90 | 03 |
| Indiana service ${ }_{\text {lst }}$ ref M 5 SJan | $87 / 2$ | 89132 | T |  |  | nila |  |  |
| didiam Union |  |  | 1st 61／8 1942 with warr－J\＆D | 78 | 80 | 18t | 100 | 88 |
| Indiana | 3 |  |  |  |  | Manitoba Power Oo Ltd－ |  |  |
| ndianap Colidmbus |  |  | K |  |  |  |  | 99 |
| Indianapolis Gas 581952 －－AA\＆ | 100 | 1011 |  |  |  | 硅 |  |  |
| Indianap \＆Martinsv Rap Tran－ |  |  | st \＆ref gu 5s＇51 opt＇ 14 －F\＆A exington Ry ist 5s 1949－J\＆D | 102 | 83 | 15－year s f 7s 1941 $\qquad$ | ${ }_{98}^{93}$ |  |
| Newcastle | 20 |  |  |  |  | Market St El Pass Ry－See P R T |  |  |
| Indianap Nor |  |  |  |  | 99 | ${ }_{\text {st }}$ | 析 |  |
| $118 t$ | 18 | 20 | em |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1st M 51.1957 ser A． | 100 | 10013 | Ref | ， |  | 1st \＆ref 61／28 1962 ser B－－J\＆J |  |  |
| Indianapolis \＆South |  |  | 1 st mtge $51 / 8 \mathrm{~s}$ July |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ind |  |  | Key Syste | 85 | 90 | Batt | 45 |  |
|  |  |  | st | 81 | 85 |  |  | 96 |
|  | 81 | $83$ | 1st18 | 81 | 85 | 1st M $53 / 81950$ ser A．－ | 95 | 96 |
|  |  |  | 边 |  | 65 | Deb |  |  |
| Indian |  |  |  |  | 75 |  | － |  |
| Secured 5 s 1958．－－－－－．．－J JJ | 90 | 92 |  | ${ }_{12618} 12{ }^{1}$ |  | Sink |  |  |
|  |  |  | Purc | $96 \%$ |  | ss | 931／2 | 95 |
| 1st | 102 |  | ng |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1st lien \＆ | 98 |  |  | 1045 |  | 1 lm \＆ | 1003／2 | 1011／2 |
| Coll tr af |  |  | 1stins l （ $61 / 2 \mathrm{~s} 1954$ | 1151／2 |  | 1st \＆ r | 02 |  |
| Ooll tr f | 89 | $9{ }^{9}$ | Kingston Gas \＆Elec | 100 |  | Men |  | 70－－ |
|  |  |  | Knoxv Gas 1 |  |  | Memph |  | 70 |
| Debs ${ }^{\text {r }}$ |  |  |  |  |  | dian |  |  |
| land |  |  | Ref \＆ext | $98^{9 / 4}$ |  | 1st ${ }^{\text {M }} 781957$ | 993／ | 101 |
| Conv deb 6s 1934 | 1023／2 | $103 \frac{1}{2}$ | Lackawanna \＆Wyom |  |  | Met |  |  |
| erborough－Metro |  |  |  | 35 | 40 | 18t \％rer 58.1953 | $\begin{aligned} & 104 \\ & 98 \% \end{aligned}$ | ${ }^{99}{ }^{--}$ |
| ${ }_{\text {unar }}^{\text {tres }}$ |  | 8 |  | 101 |  | 1st Street Ry － |  |  |
| terboroug |  |  |  |  |  | 硅 |  |  |
| 1et |  | $62$ | 18 stc coldr |  | $\begin{aligned} & 103 \\ & 102 \end{aligned}$ |  | 78 |  |
| $6 \%$ |  |  | Lake \％oland Elev－See |  |  | ca |  |  |
| Oonv | 95 | 97 | 碓 |  | 80 | Mex L |  |  |
| Intercontine |  |  | Gen gold | 59 |  | Mich Eliec Ry 5 S | 5 | 15 |
| International Hydro |  |  | Sa | 50 | 55 | Michlg |  |  |
| Oonv deb 681944 | 983／4 |  | Tol |  |  | 1st \＆ref 6s 1943 ．．．．．．．．M\＆s | 100 | 02 |
| ternation |  |  | E |  |  |  |  |  |
| 619\％ | 101 | 1021／4 | Lake st El |  | Ry | 1stM 681946 ser | 97 | 1001 |
|  |  |  | Laurentide |  |  | Michigan No | 100 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Se } 781936 \\ & \operatorname{Sec} 7 \mathrm{~B} 1957 \end{aligned}$ | 97 | $1 \overline{0}^{-7}$ |  |  |  | Michigan |  |  |
| Sec 7s 195 | 96 |  | 1st M 4 ／／s 1940 ser |  |  | 1stg g 5 s 1947 mer A A－－－－－－A\＆O |  | 90 |
| ${ }^{\text {nternat }}$ |  |  | 号1gh | 1041／8 |  |  |  |  |
| Buff |  |  |  |  |  | $5 \%$ conv notes 1932 |  |  |
| Crosp |  | 78 | 1st M g | 77 | 80 | $5 \%$ conv notes 1933－．．．－J．J． | 987／8 |  |
| Buff |  |  | 1 st Mg 4 s Dec 1935 odt ．－M 8 S | 1 |  | $5 \%$ conv notes 19 |  |  |
| Burfalo \＆ |  |  |  | 56 |  | $5 \%$ conv notes 193 | $973$ | 97\％ |
| Internat Ry | 45 | 83 50 |  | 10136 |  | M Cony deb 6s |  | 退 |
| ternational |  |  | Lex Ave \＆Pav Fy－ |  |  | MUV Etna \＆ 8 harpa |  |  |
|  | 893／4 |  | Lex（Ky） |  |  | Mumauk |  |  |
|  | 106\％ | ${ }_{963}^{108}$ |  | ${ }_{98} 913$ | 1093 | Milt Maukee Elec Ry \＆Light | 102 |  |
| Interstate Natural Goa ${ }^{\text {ba }} 1936$ |  |  | Lexin |  |  |  | 1001／8 | 1001／2 |
| With |  | s 102 | Lexing | ／ | $90 \overline{90} \overline{4}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1023 \% \\ & 1003 / 8 \end{aligned}$ | 10007 |
| Interstate Power 1st 5 s ＇57．－J 885 JJj | 88 | 88 | Lincol |  |  | M11\％ |  |  |
| Interat |  |  | Lincoln Tel | 1003／2 |  | 18 t | $983 / 4$ | $991 / 6$ |
|  |  |  | Linde |  |  | Mulwaukee Nor |  |  |
|  | $891 / 2$ | $\begin{array}{r} 981 / 2 \\ 89 \% \end{array}$ | Litti | 93 <br> 98 | 95 99 | ${ }_{\text {Min }}^{\text {lin }}$ |  |  |
| ters |  |  | Ref \＆ | 101 |  | Minn Gon E1 1st ${ }^{\text {Sa }}$ | 101 | $10 \overline{2}$ |
| 1 tat |  |  | Lockhart |  |  | Minn | 100 | 1003／2 |
| Wa | $903 / 2$ | 92 | 1st extl 7 s 1952 with warr＿J\＆D | 3／4 |  | Minn st Rys－See Twin ${ }^{\text {Ory }}$ R |  | 100\％2 |
|  |  | $961 / 4$ | Without warrants－－． |  |  | Minnesot |  |  |
|  |  | 疗 | Lone | 107 | 973 | Minnesotas Power |  | 1001／4 |
| wa Ry \＆${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  | 107 | 1077站 |  |  |  |
|  | 100\％ | 101 | 1st \＆ref 5 s 1955 ${ }^{\text {ser }}$ | 10336 |  | 1st \＆ r | － | $93$ |
|  | 1003／2 | $101{ }^{-}$ | Soc | 1024 |  |  |  | 54／2 |
| 1at \＆rer 5hs Oct $1945-$ M\＆N |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 51／2 |
| 1st \＆ref 6 s 1943 |  |  | Lorai |  | 93 | 1st M 5s 1957. | 9414 |  |
| leb | $\begin{aligned} & 98 \\ & 97 \end{aligned}$ | $983 /$ | Los Angeles Gas \％Eliec Corp－－ |  |  | ${ }_{18 \mathrm{~s}}$ |  | 2 |
| Ironwood 8 Bessemer Py ，${ }^{\text {dight }}$ |  |  | Gen | 108 | $10 \overline{8} \overline{3}$ | W |  | 4 |
| a | 96 | 98 | Gen \＆ |  |  | Misslas |  |  |
|  | $883 / 2$ | $11 / 2$ | Gen ${ }_{\text {G }}$ |  |  | Misso |  |  |
| 1 M M B781952－－－－－－－Man | $883 / 2$ | $913 / 2$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 70 | 75 | Los Ang G \＆E $581934 \ldots J \& J$ |  |  |  |  | 89 |
| Deb 6： 63 （without warr）＿－J\＆J |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 88681953 | 85 | 90 |

[^11]

[^12]PUBLIC UTILITY BONDS
кotic̣e.-all bond prices ars "and intereat" except whore maried "f" and inoome and defaulted bonda.


[^13]PUBLIC UTILITY BONDS AND STOCKS


| Stocks. Par |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (1053in |
|  |  |  |  |  | 8 cum cony pref 6 conv pror ser $B$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{100^{10 / 2}}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | B\% Prearred $\overline{\text { rean }}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | ${ }^{\text {100, }}$ (iziz |  |  | ${ }_{28}^{28}$ |
|  | - |  |  | ${ }^{\text {102a }}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 94 | Ond |  | 1016 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{i}^{13}$ |  |  | 15 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 157 |
| Telophone (Caiasas) - |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1923/2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Son preterad diiliùs |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }^{11^{12} \times 2}$ |  |  |  |
|  | $6{ }^{6} 5$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bripmatere sueries |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 120. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ${ }^{\text {a }}$ 299, |  |  | 25 |  |  |  |
|  | 999] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | (118 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Sumerot |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ${ }_{28}^{28}$ |  |  |  | Eotuce |  |  |
| II |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {zer }}$ |  |  |
| al Mate Po |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 俍 |  | sioct |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{\text {Ondas }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sole |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |




## Industrial and Miscellaneous Securities

Under the heading "Industrial and Miscellaneous Securities" we include all issues which do not appeaz under the previous two headings, namely "Railroads (Steam)" and "Public Utilities." In the case of stocks, how. ever, we put "Textile Manufacturing," "Insurance," "Mining," "Real Estate and Land," "Title Guarantee and Safe Deposit Companies" under separate heads, then follow with the rest of the "Industrial and Miscellaneous." wotics.-All bond pricor are "and interest" except where marked "f" and sncome and defaulted bonds.


[^14]| Sonds | Btd． | Ask | Bonds． | B4a | Ask． | Bonds． | Bid． | Ast． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ham－Am Line 6 3 ／s eq＇30－40 J\＆D Hanna（M A）Oo deb 6s＇34＿F\＆A | ${ }_{99}^{99}$ | 101 100 | Marion Steam Shovel－ |  |  | Pittsbursh Coal Oo－ |  |  |
| Hansa steamship Lines |  |  |  | 71 | 75 | Sinking fund deb 6s 1949．P\＆A Pitsburgh screw \＆Bolt Oorp－a | 993／4 | 100 |
| Harpen Mluning 6s 1949 | 86 | 87 |  | $1 / 4$ | 94 |  | 99 | 993／6 |
| ${ }_{\text {With }}$ pur warr for Am |  |  | Deb 6s 1943 | 41 |  | － | 3／2 | 103 |
| Hartford Times 6s 19 | 99 | 100 | MeOrory storear Kı6 |  | 971／6 | ， | 86 | 89 |
|  | 99 76 |  | $20-\mathrm{yr}$ conv deb $51 / 2 \mathrm{~s} 1950$ | 96 |  | 1st ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |
| Holland－Amer Line 68. | 76 | 801／4 | Mead（The）Oorp－ <br> 1st M 6s 1945 with warr | 97 |  | Poor \＆ |  | 953／4 |
|  | 57 | 62 | Metropolltan | 97 | 97／4 |  |  |  |
| Hood rubber 78 1936 | $80^{-7}$ | 84 | Ist conv． | 80 | 90 |  |  |  |
| Houston Oil ${ }^{\text {cos of Tex }}$ |  |  | WTth tik purch warrants．． |  |  |  | $21 / 8$ | 105 |
| He－yr sec sf $51 / 5 \mathrm{~s} 1940$ | 97 | $971 / 2$ | Wichithout warran |  | 8513 | Porto Rtcan Amer Tobacco－－ |  |  |
|  | 101 | 108 | Michigan Steel deb 6s 1938－M <br> Midvale Steel \＆Oranance－ | 97 |  | Oonv ${ }^{\text {bs }}$ | 86\％ | 4 |
|  | 1013 | 1017／8 | Oonvo f 581936 | 10 |  | Pressed Stee |  |  |
| Blaklan fund $71 / 581963$－A\＆ 0 | 84 | 89 | Miner gold notes 1 |  | 1028 | Price Bros \＆ |  |  |
| Hygrade Food Products Oorp． | 58 |  | Minnesota \＆Ont Pa |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 60 | 65 | Monon Coal－See |  | 80 |  | 101 29 | $\overline{3}{ }^{-}$ |
| Oonv deb | 97 | 99 | Montecatini（Socleta Ge |  |  | Pure gold notes 1930．．．．．．A\＆0 |  |  |
| Hilmois Steel deb 43 | 993／4 | 100\％ | With warrants | 103 | 1031 |  |  |  |
| Mtge | $8 \overline{7}$ | 873／4 | Mor |  |  | s | 97 97／4 | 1973 |
| Independent Bre Independent Oll |  |  | 7 | 100 | 1003 | Railway Express Agency Inc－J |  | 93\％ |
| Oonv |  | 101 | ${ }_{58}$ | 975 | 99 |  | 1001／2 | 1021／2 |
| $51 / 2 \%$ notes 1932 |  |  | Munson Steamship |  |  | － |  |  |
| esto |  |  | Seb | 88 | $\begin{aligned} & 90 \\ & 90 \end{aligned}$ | Relliance Mgt Oorp 5 | 70 |  |
| plration | 10 | 10195 | Murray | 85 | 98 | dinghon arms ba |  |  |
| ernat Agricult |  |  | Nat Acme Oo lit 6 n 1942．．．J\＆D |  | 1023／6 | D |  |  |
| Stpd ext to 194 | $961 / 2$ | $\begin{aligned} & 97 \\ & 78 \end{aligned}$ |  | 957\％ | 951／2 | Republic Brass Cor | 96 | 963／8 |
| International Cem |  |  | Deb ${ }^{\text {P } 515}$ | 91 | 93 | Repubilic | 仡 |  |
| International Mat | 99 | 991 | Nat Food Prod |  |  | Reper | 3\％ | $10 \overline{0} 7{ }^{\text {\％}}$ |
| Deb \％${ }^{\text {P }} 51947$ | 991／4 | 991／2 |  |  | 24 |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{\text {Inter }}$ Int | 100 |  | at Starch de | 99 |  |  |  |  |
| International Paper |  |  | 5\％notes 1935 |  | 99 | lbe | 1031／4 | 104 |
|  | 88 | 888 | National Trade |  |  | the stock pu |  |  |
| ternat Power 8 |  |  | Nat Union Mtge 6819 | 2541／2 | ${ }_{96}^{30}$ |  | 1 |  |
| Internat Balt | $8{ }^{-1}$ | 86 | ${ }^{\text {atomas }} \mathrm{O}$ |  |  | Richrield Oill of Califor |  |  |
| Insernatlonal Secu |  |  | New Kng Naves | ． |  | Rimal tr 6s 1944 ser A | 87 94 | 88 |
| Interstate Iron |  | 80 | New |  | 80 |  |  |  |
|  | 98 | 100 | New |  |  | Roch \＆ P |  | $\overline{0}^{--}$ |
| Debg5s 1947 ． |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \overline{8} \overline{3} \overline{12} \\ & 73 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $9{ }^{-1}$ |
| Wlthout wa |  | 7836 | N |  |  | Rogert－Br | 83 | 40 |
| Without | 80 | 81 | N | $92$ | 86 94 | Gen \＆Ref 78 |  |  |
| Vefferson \＆ |  |  |  |  |  | Roo | 95 | 97 |
| Sonee \＆ | 103 |  |  |  |  | Royal Dutch Co－ |  |  |
| Kapstone | 97 | 99 |  | 1001／2 |  | Deb 4s | $8881 / 4$ | 881／6 |
| Karstadt（Rudolph） |  |  | Fxtended at | 100 | 2 | Sink fund deb 5 s 1943 |  |  |
| Kaufman Dept Sts 5 | －6084／ |  | N Y shipbldg 1sti 5s No | 89 |  | St La Wrence Pulp Lamb |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 993 | 1003／ |  |  |  |
| Kelth | 78 | $8 \overline{6}$ | Niagara Shares Corp． |  | 1015 | 10 H M $681930-1833$ |  |  |
| Kell |  |  | North Amer |  |  | St Louria Gas \＆Ooke |  |  |
| Kendall ${ }^{0} 51 / 5$ | 81 | 8 | ${ }_{\text {Equip }}^{\text {Eqs }}$ 1930－1 | $\begin{aligned} & 5.60 \\ & 5.60 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.10 \% \\ & 5.10 \% \end{aligned}$ |  | 59 | 61 |
| Kereton | 107 |  | North |  |  | Cs 194 | 89 | $921 / 2$ |
| Kimberly |  |  | North German Lloy warr ${ }^{\text {c }} 0$－M ${ }^{\text {des }}$ | 60 | 63 | St Maurice |  | 923／2 |
| ${ }_{\text {lnney }}^{186}$ | ${ }^{98}$ |  | 20－yr $\mathrm{P}^{681947}$ | 913 | 92\％ | St |  |  |
| Kirby | 103 | 1036 | R |  |  | St | $0^{\circ} 0^{-1}$ |  |
| Koppers |  |  | Nov |  | 72 | San |  | 101 |
| $8{ }^{\text {d }}$ | ${ }_{1023}^{98}$ | 1023 | OGare |  | 54 |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{\text {Kresge }}^{\text {Kresye }}$ | 103 | 104 |  |  |  | 1 1st 1 | 99 | 10 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 196 extl $\mathrm{P} 7 \mathrm{7a}$（Germany）－ |  |  |
| Krueg |  |  | Oid Ben Ooal 1st bs 1944－－EbSĖ |  | 63 |  |  |  |
| Lackew sink fund |  | 103 | St 6 | 1011／2 | 10 | Schum |  |  |
| Laie |  |  | ${ }_{1 s t}$ |  |  | pp | 2 |  |
| Lane Bryant Inc－${ }^{\text {a }}$ 1944－－JteD | 63 | 68 | Oregon－Am Lum | 91 | ${ }^{-1}$ |  |  |  |
| S Pdeb 6s 1940 |  |  |  |  |  | curity Mtg |  | 100 |
| Cataro Nitrate 1 |  |  |  | $893 / 8$ | $3 / 4$ | Servel |  |  |
| Lawrence Portland |  |  | － | $7_{7}{ }^{-}$ | 81 | 1st |  |  |
|  | 841 | 881／2 |  | 102\％ | 103 | Shawsh Mill ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | ${ }^{96} \mathbf{0} 5$ | 977／3／8 |
|  | 97／2／ | ${ }^{9} 9{ }^{--}$ |  | 55 | 60 | Sherf | 100 | 1010 |
| Lohigh |  |  |  |  |  | Deb |  |  |
| 18 l | 1001 |  | Pacifl |  | 80 | Debs ${ }^{\text {D }} 51949$ with warr－A\＆ | $\begin{aligned} & 9638 \\ & 93 / 5 \end{aligned}$ | 94 |
| $14 \%$ |  |  | Sf deb 6\％ss 1943 with warM\＆ | 92 | 931／2 | Debs $\mathrm{I}^{5} 519$ | 5\％ | 96 |
| 18t | 81 |  |  | 98 | 100 | Steridan－WY yoming Co－ |  | 96 |
| ${ }_{18 \mathrm{tat}}^{18 \mathrm{ta}}$ |  | ${ }^{69} 78$ |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{2}^{2}$ | 75 |
|  |  | $70^{18}$ | $\mathrm{Pan}^{181}$ | 943 | 971／2 | Siemans\＆Halske 0 －yr $7 \mathrm{~s}^{\prime} 35$－－JJJ | 1021／6 | 021／3 |
| Libby |  |  | ${ }_{\text {Pan }}$ |  | 88 | Siemens \＆Hal－Siem－Schuck |  |  |
| 1 1st M |  |  |  |  |  | Sinesian－Am | 1021／2 |  |
| ${ }_{\text {Liggott }}$ | ${ }^{121} 1$ | 1213／4 | － M | 102\％${ }^{9}$ | 1002行 | 5 |  |  |
| Lttie（A | 104 | 60 | Para |  |  | Stmm | 100 | 1／2 |
| Loew＇s Inc | 111 | 60 |  | 1003／4 | 101 | Sinclair |  |  |
| Withont |  | 988行 | Parmelee Transportation O－ | 86 | 90 | 1st coll 7 s ser ${ }^{\text {A }} 19$ |  | 1031／2 |
| Lorilard（P）Co 781934 －${ }^{\text {del }}$ | ${ }^{109} 93$ | 110 | $\underset{\text { Path }}{\text { g }}$ |  | 68 64 | Istil | 1003 | 1003／8 |
| Ludium ${ }^{\text {Deb }}$ | 90 | 911／8 | Paabod |  |  | Sincla |  |  |
|  | $10 \overline{3}^{-}$ | $10 \overline{3} \overline{3}$ | Pent | 77 | 80 | Sinclait Piro Linesf 5 |  |  |
| Magnoilia Pecro |  |  | Penna | ${ }^{8} 9{ }^{-1}$ | $92^{-7}$ | Smith（A O）${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 102\％ | ${ }^{96}$ |
|  | 95 | 100 | Penn |  |  | Smith（Howard）Paper |  | 1021／2 |
|  | 95 | 100 | Penngylvania－Dixie | 21／2 | $813 / 2$ |  |  |  |
|  | ${ }_{95}^{95}$ | 100 100 | Penn Docke \％Wareho | 95／2 | $81 / 2$ | 6\％conv gold notes | 2 |  |
|  | ${ }_{99} 9312$ | 100 | Pennsyl | 95 | 961／2 | So |  |  |
|  | 40 | 42 | ${ }^{18 t} \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{f}_{68} 1952{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 102 |  |  | 97／4 | $71 / 2$ |
| 7 l 1941（with warr |  |  | Phla \＆Resa |  | 83 | \％Paviate |  | 00 |
| Wanufacturers marranta． |  | $86^{1 / 2}$ | Colls fg 4 s ext 32 gu ． |  |  | South Porto Ric | 10 | 104 |
| Ool tr $6 \%$ notes 1935. Collat trust 6s 193 |  |  | Oonv deb 6s 1949－－－ | 971／4 | 98 | 18t sf 6s 1947 － | 70 | 72 |
| Mry |  | 993／4 | sin |  | 1／2 | Southern Daries 6 6s |  |  |
| Namm \＆8on 6s 1943．－．Jd | 0 | 43 | Pick（Alb <br> Pierce Ol | 10614 |  | 6s 1947 with warr |  |  |
| Marland Oll Oo－ Ber 5\％notes June 15.21 J\＆D1s |  |  | Pillsbury |  | 10 | ${ }^{6 / 3}$ |  |  |
| 8er 5\％notes June 15：31 J\＆D15 Sor $5 \%$ notee June $15^{\circ} 32$ Janis | $\begin{aligned} & 1001 / 2 \\ & 1001 / 4 \end{aligned}$ | $100 \overline{1} / 2$ |  | ${ }^{102} 815$ | 104 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | itteb Brawing os reb 4－48．．J\＆J | 81\％ |  | Staley（A E）Mig 1st $6 \mathrm{~s}{ }^{\text {c }} 42$－M\＆S | 983／2 | 99 |


 members have been elected making present mamberahip 1,343. The purchase of four rights entities holder to one ful wimembership, $\$ 110,000$. The Sale at $\$ 625.000$ before increase it membership in Jan 1929 , highest on record. $u$ For new membership $\%$ membersh1p dividiend being declared, each


## Real Estate Bonds

| Bonds. | Bid. | A | Bonds. | Bi | As | Bonds. | Bid. | Ask. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Allerton Oleve Blag 61/6 1945.- |  | ${ }^{55}$ | Graybar Bldg (East Offices Inc)- |  |  | Pittsburgh P O Serv Station$51 / 2 \mathrm{~s}$ Dec $11938 \ldots$ J\&D |  |  |
| Allerton 55th St Oorp (NX)51/8 47 <br> Allerton 55 th storp $51 / 8$ Y 47 - J \& J | 53 | 76 | 1st $m$ leaseh 5s 1946_...-J J \&D Ser leaseh $51 / \mathrm{s}^{\prime} 30-34(\mathrm{~s}-\mathrm{a}) \mathrm{J} \& \mathrm{D}$ | 87\% | 51/2\% | Plaza Office Bldg 1st $61 /$ / $^{\circ} 46 \mathrm{M} \& \mathrm{~N}$ | $97^{-}$ | 99 |
| Alierton N Y Corp $51 / 6 \mathrm{~s}$ 47-J8J | 25 | 28-- | Harriman Bldg 681951 |  |  | Postum Bldg ${ }^{\text {Quincy }}$ Station ${ }^{\text {P }}$ O (Ohicago) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |
|  | $93^{--}$ | 974 | Herald Square Bldg 6s 1948 - ${ }^{\text {He--- }}$ |  |  |  | $9{ }^{6-}$ | 95 100 |
| Belmont Bldg (NY) $61 / 8 \mathrm{~s}$, $44 . \mathrm{FsA}$ | 83- | 94/4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 83 | 90 | Hotel Lexington 6s 1948 - | 92 | 100 |  |  | 100 |
| Boston Parcol Post Station- $51 / 5 \mathrm{~B}$ Oct 1 1 |  |  | Jewelers Bldg (Ohic) 78.40 . Jdi |  |  | Stt Oharies Hotel (Atl Oy) 61/ 45 |  |  |
| Boston Post Ofrice Serv Station- |  |  | Lake Sh Ath Olub (Chic) |  |  | 1st m 53/s s 1932-1 | 78 | 85 |
| B1/2s July 11938 Hotals 78 - 1834 Bowman-Bitmore | $100 \overline{5} / 8$ | $10 \overline{2}^{--}$ |  | 97 |  |  | 4 $41 / 2$ | 96 |
| owman-B11tmotes 1931 -year $6 \%$ nots ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | 96 | Lincoln Bldg 51/2s 1953 |  |  |  | 88 | 91 |
| B'way Barclay Office Bldg 6s 1941 |  | 96 |  | 91 | 93 | Schulte $\mathbf{R}$ E Eistate |  | 82 80 |
|  | 9 | 9818 |  | 93 | 96 |  | 97 | 80 99 |
| Bway M 38 Blh St Bldg 7s 1945--- | 973 |  | Without warrants.. Loew's New Brd Prop | 88 | 93 |  | $911 / 2$ | 93 90 |
| Bway \& 41 1st St Bldg $61 / \mathrm{s} 1944$ | 78 |  |  | 91 | 82 | Sherry-Netherland Hotel 514 s 48 | 45 |  |
| Bryant Pr Bldg Bush Term Bld 1st Ss 1960.A\&O | 1015/8 | $10 \overline{2}^{-7}$ | Lond Gu \& Acc Bidg (Ohic) $68{ }^{\text {c }}$ '62 | 79 92 | 82 | Shubort Theat deb 51581950 _.A\& ${ }^{\text {S }}$ | $9451 / 2$ | $951 / 2$ |
| Ohanin Bldg 61/4 $1945 \ldots \ldots$ | 9888/4 | 9793/4 | Lorus Cille P O5, 5 S Jan 11943 J\&J |  |  | Gen metge 7s 1945--A-A - | 85 96 | 89 |
|  |  |  | Mack Trucks R E S Soc 6s 1930-40. |  |  | Standard Blas Est $51 / 8 \mathrm{~s}$-45-J\&D | 100 | 10 |
| Ohic Beach Hotel 681941 .-J J D |  |  | Madison Clark Bldg, Ohic |  |  | Straus Bldg 6s 1929 |  |  |
| Chrysler Bldg 6s 1948-7-..-- |  |  | Manhattan Co Bldg- |  |  | State \& Wash Bldgs (Onicago | 91 | 3 |
|  | 85 | 8613 93 3 | 1st m \& leaseh s f 6s ${ }^{\prime} 58$-M M \% N | 75 | 96 85 |  | 91 79 | 93 80 |
| Cleve Term Bldg 6s $1941-1-{ }^{\text {coul }}$ |  |  | Master Printers Bldg ${ }^{\text {Mercantio Arcade Bldg (Los Ang) }}$ |  |  | Stevens Hotel (Ohic) 691945 -J\&J |  |  |
| Court \& Remsen Sts (Brooklyn) 6 s 1940 | $941 / 2$ | 1003/8 |  |  |  |  |  | O- |
| Dalla P O 68 Sept 151935 M\&S |  |  | Minneapolis Parcel P O-_J\&J |  |  | Transp'n Bldg(Ohlc) $61 / 99^{\prime} 41$ | 70 | 80 |
| ept of Labor Bldg, Wash, DO$51 / \mathrm{E}^{2}$ June 11932 …...J\&D |  |  |  | 99 | 01 | Trinity Bldg $51 / 8 \mathrm{~s} 1939 \mathrm{Ma-}$ |  |  |
| Detroit Hotel 61/28 1931-4i.M\&8 |  | 85 | Nat Hotel of Ouba- | 80 | 90 | 208 South $51 / 2 \mathrm{~s} 1958 . \ldots . . . . . M^{2} \&$ | 88 | 1 |
| Edgew Bch Apart $6 \mathrm{~s} \cdot 31-44$. A\&O | 96 | 100 |  |  |  | Tyler Bldg bs 1953 |  | 0 |
| 11 West 42d st 61/981945 |  | 9 | Nat Press Bldg ${ }^{\text {Neisner Bros Realty }}$ |  |  |  | 0 | 93 90 |
| Ehppley Hotels $61 / 2 \mathrm{~s} 1941$ Equit Office Bldg (N Y) 5818 | $90^{--}$ | $9{ }^{9} 1$ | Conv of deb 6s 1948 _J\&D15 | 9473 | $\begin{gathered} 89 \\ 100 \end{gathered}$ | Un Post |  |  |
| Ferry Sta P O (San Fr) 68193 |  | 901 | N Y Athletic Club 6s 1946--M̄- |  |  |  | + 97 | 97石 |
|  | $1011 / 2$ | 103 | North Station Indus Bldg (Bost) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fox Det Theatre 6e 1942 |  | $8 \overline{8}^{--}$ |  | 93 | 98 | Waldorf Astoria |  |  |
| Fox New Eng Thea $61 / 8 s^{\text {d }}$ 43_F\&A |  |  | 111 John St Bldg 6s 1948 |  |  | 1st lien 7s 1954---------M\& |  | $3 / 2$ |
| Fox Theatre \& Office Blds M\&S | 94 | 100 | 165 Broadway Bldg 545 s 61 F\&A | $1 / 2$ |  |  |  |  |
| fox St Louls Theatre Bldy- <br> 1st 6 f $61 / 281942$ | 70 | 75 |  | $100-$ | 10073 | 1st \& ref $61 / 2 \mathrm{ss} 19$ |  | 95 90 |
| 1st 8 f $61 / 281942$ <br> Fuller Bldg (G A F Realty Oorp) | 70 | 75 | Param Bway Oorp ${ }^{\text {P }} 13 \mathrm{~s}^{\prime} 51-\mathrm{J}$ - J J | 1023/4 | 103 | 1st \& rep 6159.19 |  |  |
|  | 97 |  | Paramount Theatres 6s 1945_J\&J Park Ave Bldg 6s 1941 |  |  | Wardman Realty \& Construction |  |  |
|  | 97 | 100 | Park Lane Oorp $61 / 2 \mathrm{~s} 1943$ |  | 83 | Wabhington Blde 6s 1940-J.J |  |  |
| Gen'l Motors Bldg 6s 1948 |  |  | Park Lexington Oo ${ }^{1 / 59}$ | 75 | 80 | Westinghouse Bldg |  |  |
| eorge Washington Hotel 6s 1944 |  |  | Park Row Bidg 6s 1943-7\%-M8t | 92 | 95 | White Motor Realty 6s Woodbrider Rlde 5 /is | 8 | $0^{--}$ |
|  | 64 |  |  |  | 71 |  |  |  |

## Industrial and Miscellaneous Stocks



[^15]INDUSTRIAL \& MISCELLANEOUS STOCKS


[^16]INDUSTRIAL \& MISCELLANEOUS STOCKS


[^17]INDUSTRIAL \& MISCELLANEOUS STOCKS


[^18]

[^19]
## United States and Municipal Bonds

In reporting below prices for state and municipal securities, we give bld and asked quotations Wherever they can be obtained. The custom prices we have inserted in the column headed To Net" certain figures to fndicate the interest rate at which business in the bonds is being done. Thus $4.35 \%$ means tha \& the particular security can be bought or sold at a price that will yeld the purchaser or gentr $4.35 \%$ per annum to the me tharity
 happened in not a few instances that the shorter maturities have been yieldor
return, and where that happens to be the case, the range has been reversed to read, say $4.35 \%$ to $4.25 \%$ return, and where that happens to be the case, the range has been rever
In state and municipal bonds the custom has always been to quote them on. There are a very few which form exceptions to the rule that fis. where the prices given are flat prices, the sccrued interest having been taken lito


[^20]

[^21]STATE AND MUNICIPAL BONDE



[^22]STATE AND MUNTCIPAL BONDS



[^23]

[^24]STATE AND MUNICIPAL BONDS

| Bonds： | Bid． |  |  | Bonds． | ${ }^{\text {Bad．}}$ |  |  | on |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| aner 4 4， |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | coill |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {U }}^{4}$ |  |  |  | ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{368.600}$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{P}^{4} \mathrm{tad}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sumberivisisgr |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Soumo ${ }^{\text {ata }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }^{4} 888880$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Combler |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Onialmo |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {\％}}^{50}$ |
|  |  |  |  | 相 |  |  |  | 边 |  |  |  |
| Oocke ounty 11.47 －Js |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 4.70 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {W }} 1$ |  |  |  | ${ }^{4}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gilfe Oillty |  |  |  | ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  | atiole |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tactorimer |  |  |  | $58 \mathrm{Nov} 11834{ }^{\text {－m }}$ | ． 50 | ${ }^{4.3}$ |  | ${ }^{4}$ ded |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | dism |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  | 34\％${ }^{\text {and }}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Till |  |  |  | 边 |  |  |  |
|  | ［44．50 |  |  |  |  |  |  | （10351993．－2 |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{4}{ }^{4}$ | 4.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3ix 1293 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Hatcoid |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{4}{ }_{4}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Hutin tian |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | ateme |  |  |  | Hielt |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }^{\text {ajobimos }}$ |  |  |  | Appleon． 4.450 |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{\text {and }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Sen |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Hex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Sele |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Mat woter ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{4}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | antude |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | R181242 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{\text {and }}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{\text {23，}}$ |  |  |  |
| Serser |  |  |  | 隹 |  |  |  | Jx |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | sow tarib |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nata |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{4}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Hor |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{\substack{\text { set }}}^{\text {crit }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {co }}$ |  |  |  |
| 込 |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {a }}^{4}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

# Banks \& Trust Companies 

STATE AND NATIONAL BANK STATEMENTS

Quotations in this department are given per share, not per cent, except for stocks of Canadian Institutions, and ar $\mathbf{a}_{s}$ near as possible for the closing day of the month preceding date of issue, though often are nominal. An asterisk (*) denotes ${ }^{8}$ ales.

Figures of deposits, capital and profits for the national banks are from the Comptroller's last call; for all other Institations they are the latest obtainable, direct returns being procured by us where no periodic statements are required. For the Clearing House banks of New York, Philadelphia and Boston, deposits are taken from the latest-weekly statement.


[^25]| $\begin{gathered} \text { National Ban } \\ \text { Mar. } 27 . \end{gathered}$ | s COLORADO |  | State InstitutionsMarch 4. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Capital． | Surplus \＆ Profits． | Deposits. | Par． | Bid． | Ask． |
| Denver |  | 5 | 7.538 |  | Per | share， |
| Oentral Sav Bk ${ }^{\text {Amank }}$ | 500．000 | ${ }_{120} 12.733$ | 3，433，041 | 100 |  |  |
| Oolorado Nat Bank－ | 1，000．000 | － 1.798 .820 | 36，873，994 | 100 |  |  |
| First National Bank－ | 1．500．000 | 2，413，982 | 41，196，213 | 100 |  |  |
| 隹 | 200.000 25000 | 43,729 106 | 1，794， <br> 1993 | 100 |  |  |
| O S National Bank－－ | 550，000 | 1，777，497 | 21，226，771 | 100 |  |  |
| Guardian ${ }^{\text {runst } 0^{--}}$ | 240，000 | 1，805，210 | 1480，981 | 100 |  |  |
| International $\mathrm{Tr} \mathrm{Co}_{-}$ |  | 1，805，210 | 14，183，620 | 100 | －－－－－ |  |
| Leadville－ Oarbonate Amer N B | 100，000 | n28，648 | 1，204，145 | 100 | Pr | shar |
| Pueblo－ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frrst National Bank－ | 500.000 30 | 1，185，868 | 15，121，772 | 100 |  |  |
| Western Nat Bank－－ | 100.000 | n153，397 | $\xrightarrow{2,030,350}$ | 100 |  |  |
| Pueblo Sav \＆Tr Coi－ | 100．000 | n260，017 | 5，382，344 | 100 |  |  |


| National Banks <br> Mar． 27. | CONNECTICUT |
| :---: | :---: | | StateInstitutions <br> Mar．27． |
| :---: | Brid

Frrat N
Black
Bridge
Amer
Oomm
Fairfi
Guar
Newri
North
West
E Oapitol Nat ${ }^{\text {Hil }} \mathrm{BE} \&$ Oity Bank \＆Tr Oo－－
Conn River Bkg Oo－ Conn River Bkg Oo－ Glast National Bank
Hart ${ }^{\text {Han }} \mathrm{Bk}$ \＆ Tr Grastonbury Bk\＆Tr
Hart Nat＇l B\＆\％TOo
Industrial Bank Industrial Bank－
Merchants Bk \＆
Mutual Bk \＆Tr Merchants Bk \＆Tr－
Mutual Bk \＆Tr Oon
Phonix State Bank
\＆Tr Oo
Bate Ban

 Park Street Trust Oo
R1verside Trust Oo
Simsbury Bk \＆Tr 00 Riverside Trust OO
Bimbury Bk \＆
South End Trust Co Travelers Bk
West Tr Oo
Warsord
Tr Wind Hortisord Tr Oe New Haven－．．－ Amer Bank \＆Tr Oo－
 Mechanics＇Bank Co
Merchants Nat Bank New Haven Bk NBEA
Nat Tradesm BK\＆Tr
Second Nat Bank Un\＆N Haven
Norwich－
Thames Bk \＆ Thames Bk \＆ $\mathrm{Tr}^{--3 k}$
Uncae－Merch Nat
Waterbury Oitis \＆MPry Nat Bk
Waterbury Nat Bank
Oolonial Trust Oon＿－ Merchants Trust Oo－－
Materbury Trust Oo．
Wo

| $\begin{gathered} \left.\begin{array}{c} \text { National Banks } \\ \text { Mar. } 27 . \end{array} \quad \mathrm{D} \right\rvert\, \end{gathered}$ |  | AWAR | State Institutions Mar． 27. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wilmington－ Oentral Nat Bank | 310，000 | 350，634 | 1，692，047 | 100 | ${ }_{138}{ }^{\text {Per }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { shars. } \\ & 145 \end{aligned}$ |
| Farmers Bank | 500，000 | 2，641，172 | 25，041，520 | 100 | 340 |  |
| Industrial Trust Oe－ | 1．250．000 | －499，978 | 25，041，922 |  | 75 | －80－ |
| Union National Bank | 1，000，000 | 914，316 | － $7,521,613$ | 100 | 118 200 | 1350 |
| 掝guitable Trust Oo－ | 1，500，000 | c3，278，633 | 9，481，558 | 25 | 160 | 175 |
| Security Trust Oo－ | 1，121，000 | 2，012，959 | 10，522，513 | 100 | 400 | 425 |
| Wilmington Trust Oo | 4，000，000 | 10，925，296 | 21，819，611 |  | 275 | 325 |

## National Banks DIST．OF COLUMBIA Mar．27．




| Atlanta－ <br> American Sav Bank | 200.000 |  |  |  | ${ }_{135}{ }^{\text {Per }}$ | share． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Oitis．a So．Nat＇l Bk－ | 5，000．000 | 5，250，017 | 70，819，021 | 1 |  | －41 |
| F4rst Nat Bant．－－－ | 5，400．000 | 6．664，352 | 92，072，623 |  | 80 | 82 |
| Fulton Nat Bank | 1，000，000 | 575，748 | 13，028，967 | 100 | 190 | 195 |
| Ga Sav Bk \＆Tr Oo－－ | 500．000 | ${ }^{610,826}$ | 4，271，238 |  | 320 |  |
| Trust Oo of Georgia－ | 2.000 .000 | 2，946，724 | 2，516，198 | 100 |  |  |
| Augustm－ |  |  |  |  | Por | shars． |
| Georgla RR Bank ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1，000，000 | 585.534 | 8，439，146 | 100 |  |  |
| Nat Exchange Bank | $1,000,000$ 400,00 | 5，213，442 | $70,819,021$ $2,704,096$ | 100 | $1371 /$ | 41 |
| Union Savinge Bank－ | 100，000 | 64，870 | 1，084，988 | 100 |  | $145^{-0}$ |
| Columbus－ Nolumbus Bk\＆Tr Oo | 850，000 | n894，931 | 6 |  | ${ }_{150}^{\text {Per }}$ | share． |
| Fourth Nat Bants－－－ | 300.000 | 122，339 | 1，599，152 | 100 | 135 | 140 |
| Home Savinga Bank－ | 100，000 | 88，105 | 1，277，614 | 100 | 145 | 150 |
| Merch \＆Mech Bank | 200，000 | 1337，036 | 2，353，194 | 100 | 200 | 210 |
| First Nat Bk of Col－ | 200，000 | 240，054 | 1，467，522 | 100 | 130 | 135 |
| 龍acon－ Oitig．\＆80 Nat＇Bk |  |  |  |  | Per | share． |
| Macon Nat Bank－－ | 6200，000 | ${ }^{5}, 271,175$ | 3，382，300 | 100 | 170 | 175 |
| Macon Savings Bk－－ | 50，000 | 116，658 | 671，000 | 100 | 260 | 285 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Luther WiMams Bk } \\ \text { \& Trust } \\ \text { Continental Trust Cö } \end{gathered}$ | 100.000 350,000 | 105,000 230,075 | $\begin{aligned} & 1,900,000 \\ & 4,166,990 \end{aligned}$ | 100 | 115 140 | $\begin{aligned} & 120 \\ & 150 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 5，000，000 | 5，250，017 | 70，819，021 | 10 | ${ }_{38}{ }^{\text {er }}$ | shars． <br> 41 |
| Liberty Nat＇l B\＆tOo | a600，000 | 182，685 | 4，664，092 | 100 | 165 | 175 |
| Savannsh Bk \＆TrOo | 700.000 | 248,040 | 2，516．443 | 100 |  | 100 |
|  | 300，000 | 95，995 | 1，169．445 | 100 |  | 100 |


|  |
| :---: |



[^26]


|  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mar. 27. |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

[^27]

[^28]

| Nationali Banks <br> Mar.27. | MONTANA | State <br> Mnstitutions <br> Mar. 27. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |









## 

|  | Capital. |  | Deposits. | Par. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| , |  |  |  |  |  | Per |
| Socausat Trust |  |  | ${ }^{\text {c, }, 000,180}$ | 100 |  |  |
| ane Trust Oo-at |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 隹 |  |  |  | 50 | 25 |  |





|  | NEW YORK |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |



[^29]

[^30]BANKS AND TBUST COMPANIES


* Sale price. $a$ Consolidated with the Bankers Trust Oo. o Became affiliated with the People's Pittsburgh Trust Co. on March 31 1930. c March 27 1930. ${ }^{d}$ A mutual savings bank. e Merger of the Kensington Trust Co. and the National Security Bank \& Trust Co. under the title of the Kensington
Security Bank \& Trust Oo. became effective June 30 1930. f June 30 1930. $g$ Ex-rights. $k$ Dec. 311929 . $l$ Last sale. o New stock. $x$ Ex-dividend. Security Bank
y Closely held.

National Ranter RHODE ISLAND


## Newport-

$\xrightarrow{\text { Nouldnport }}$ Nat Exch Nowport Nat Bank-
Newport Truat Oo
ProvidencoBlackstone Oan Na
Oolumbus Exch Bs Oolumbus Exch Bk High Street Bank
Mechanics Mechanics Nat Bk
Nat Bank of Oomma Phenlx Nat Bank-
Providence Nat Bk Providence Nat Bk
Industrial Trust Co Lincoln Trust Oo
Ehode I Hos Tr Oo-
Fractions.
Woonsocket-

National Banks
Mar. 27. SOUTH CAROLINA ${ }^{\text {Slate Institution }}$ Mar. 27 .


| National Banks Mar. 27. |  | State InstitutionsMay 19. |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chattanooga- |  |  |  |  | Per | re. |
| Amer Tr \& Bkg Oon- | 2,500,000 | 21,075,842 | 24,074, ${ }^{6} \mathbf{4} \times 29$ | 100 |  |  |
| Hamilton Nat Bauk. | 2,000,000 | 1,599,177 | 22,339,412 | 100 |  |  |
| Enoxrille- |  |  |  |  |  | sha |
| Oity National Bank- | 1,000.000 | 559,892 | 12,724,084 | 100 | 170 |  |
| Oomm' ${ }^{\text {a }}$ \% TrOo | 325,000 | 16,943 | 458,263 |  | 0 |  |
| Elast Tenn Nat Bank | $1,000.000$ 200.000 | 512,514 328,809 | 8,945,9 |  | 300 |  |
| Holston-Union ${ }^{\text {N }}$ Bk | 750.000 | i 844,362 | 13,002,881 | 100 | c290- | $300{ }^{-*}$ |
| Momphis- |  |  |  |  | Fer | - |
| Bank of Com \& TrOo | 8.000,000 | 2,891,924 | 31,374,861 | 100 | 370 |  |
| girst National Bank- | 1.000 .000 | i1,179,551 | 20,608,252 | 100 | 380 | 39 |
| State Savings Bank ${ }^{\text {S }}$ | 50,000 | i 79,843 | 1,367.565 |  | 305 |  |
| Bank of Trast ${ }^{\text {an }}$ | 3.500.000 | 5,339,142 | 30,436,650 | 10 | 29 | 30 |
| Manhat S Bk \& Tr Co | 700.000 | 1,820,679 | 8,171,113 |  |  |  |
| Nashoillo |  |  |  |  | Per |  |
| American Nat Bank. | 2,000,000 | 2,198,075 | 24,560,921 |  | 80 | 83 |
| American Trust | 1,000,000 | 528,342 | -4,731,747 |  |  |  |
| Broadway Nat Bank | 300.000 800 | r1,645,583 | $\begin{array}{r}15,489,206 \\ 9,833 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 25 | 90 |  |
| Fourth \& First N B. | 2.625,000 | 3,406,366 | 30,820,447 | 20 | 130 | 135 |
| Nawhville Trust Co.- | 800,000 | 1,074,860 | 11,399,069 |  | (b) |  |
| Tennessee Hermitage |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tuitd National Bank | 600,000 | 242,780 | 5,492,687 | 100 | 180 | 18 |
| Luberty Bk \& Tr Co. | 100,000 | -33,132 | 1,022,417 | 100 | 110 | 115 |


| National Bank Mar. 27. |  | TEXAS | State Institutions Mar. 27. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\underset{\text { American Nat Bank_ }}{\text { Austin }}$ | 300,000 |  | 6,218,663 | 100 | No re 275 | inal share 300 |
| Austla Nat Bank-- | 809,000 | 814,832 | 8,178,007 |  |  |  |
| Repablic Bk \& Tr ${ }^{\text {Oö }}$ | 200,000 | 931, 633 | 1,871,929 | 100 | 110 | 120 |
| Becurity Trust Co-- | 400,000 | 486,347 | 6,195,802 | 100 | 270 | 275 |
| Texas Bank \& Trust. <br> Boaumont- | 200.000 | 59,784 | 1,819,504 |  | 135 | inal 3nart |
| Amorican Nat Bank | 250,000 250,000 | 605,389 225,360 | $5,753.424$ $3,287,007$ | 100 | 325 200 | $225^{*}$ |
| First National Banis. | 400,000 | i 759,659 | 9,493,711 |  | 300 |  |
| Secur St Bk \& Trust- | 100,000 | 35,401 | 1,194,068 | 100 | 135 |  |
| Fezas National Bank | 250.000 | 203,472 | 4,469,459 |  |  | 200 |


|  | Capital. | Surplus \& Profits. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Gross } \\ \text { Deposits. } \end{gathered}$ | Par. | Bid. | Ask. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dallss- <br> First Nat Bank | $8,000,000$ | $i 6,987,625$ | $81,091,723$ | 20 | Por | $\begin{aligned} & \text { share } \\ & 63 \end{aligned}$ |
| Liberty State Bank- | f2, 15000000 | $\begin{array}{r}\text { 2 } \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 14,7285,132 |  |  | $\overline{2} \overline{7}^{-}$ |
| Dallas Nat Bank, | 500,000 | 161.672 | 4,617,367 | 20 | 27 | 29 |
| epublic <br> $\mathrm{Tr} \mathrm{O}_{0}$ | 4,000,000 | 2,544,530 | $51,216,321$ | 20 | 70 | 72 |
| Nat Bk of Oomm'ce_ Dallas Bk \& Tr Co. | 1,000,000 | 476,366 434,593 | $4,201,166$ <br> $6,250,073$ |  | 270 30 | $3 \overline{1}^{-\cdots}$ |
| Oak Cliff Bk \& Tr Co | 100,000 | 27,520 | 1,131,045 | 10 | 135 | 150 |
| State Trust \& Sav Bk | 100,000 | 25,136 | 888,517 | 100 |  | 125 |
| Enl Paso Nat Bank | 300,000 | 157,474 | 3,014,247 | 100 |  |  |
| First National Bank. | 1,000,000 | i 302,147 | 13,313,440 | 100 | 135 | 150 |
| State Nat Bank.---- | 300,000 | i 965,637 | 12,194,042 | 100 | 450 |  |
|  | 100,000 | 37,938 | 1,469,047 |  | ${ }_{110}{ }^{\text {er }}$ | shate |
| Oontinental Nat Bk- | 750,000 | 362,441 | 10,476,609 | 100 | 155 | $17 \overline{5}^{-}$ |
| First National Bank- | 1.000,000 | 1,160,000 | 22,647,706 | 100 | 300 | 325 |
| Ft Worth Nat Bank- | 2.500 .000 | 2,571.803 | 36,651,621 | 20 | $621 / 2$ | 70 |
| Stockyards Nat Bk--- | 200,000 100,000 | - 149,964 | 2,778,098 | 100 | - |  |
|  |  |  | 9,305,737 | 100 | ${ }_{250}{ }^{\text {Per }}$ | shars |
| First National Bank- | 200,000 | - $\begin{array}{r}365,7 \\ 317,701\end{array}$ | 3,507,018 | 100 | 250 | 300 |
| Hutchings-Sealy Nat Bank <br> O S National Bank. | -1,000,000 | $\bar{i}-\overline{3} \overline{3}, 6 \overline{2} \overline{9}$ | 9,882,394 | 100 | 135 | - |
| Houston- | 100,000 |  |  | No | 88 | $\begin{gathered} p_{i r i c e s} \\ 91 \end{gathered}$ |
| Frrst National Bank- | $2.500,000$ | 1,093,048 | 36,769,277 | 100 | 350 |  |
| Oity Bank \& Tr Oo- | 500,000 | 102,978 | 3,443,474 | 20 | 26 | 273 |
| Houston Nat Bank-- | 1.000,000 | - 883,313 | 14,169,458 | 100 | 185 | 195 |
| Second Nat Bank--- | 1,000,000 | 2, $2,265,942$ | 13,471,933 | 100 | 285 | 295 |
| Public NatBk \& TrCo | , 8000000 | $\begin{array}{r}2,410,679 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 8,275,227 | 10 | 20 | 22 |
| State Nat Bank.-.--- | 600,000 | - 240,247 | 13,885,719 | 100 | 240 |  |
| Unlon Nat Bank.-.-7 South Texas Commil | 1,000,000 | 1,250,881 | 13,826,785 | 100 | 250 | - |
| Nat Bank | 1.500.000 | 1.255,135 | 24,822,065 | 100 | 340 |  |
| Eederal Trust Oo--- | 400.000 | 213,002 | 2,303,218 | 100 | 155 |  |
| Guelity Trust ${ }^{\text {Guandian }}$ | 600,000 | 1,097,609 | 5,374,207 | 100 | - 730 | $440{ }^{-0}$ |
| Houston Land \& Tr- | 1.000,000 | -652,549 | 4,894,947 | 100 | 170 |  |
| San Jacinto Trust. | 500,000 | 512,030 | 4,588,314 | 100 | 185 | 205 |
| Port ArthurFirst National Bank |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Merchants Nat'l Bk- | 100.000 | 321,326 | 3.110,499 | 100 | 475 | $500^{-*}$ |
| Seaboard Bk \& Tr Co | 100,000 |  | 233,000 | 100 | ----- |  |
| San AntonioAlamo Nat Bank. |  | 1,198,400 | 11,738,466 | 100 | Per | os |
| Clty Cent'l Bk\&TrÖÖ | 1,300,000 | -556,099 | 14,255,816 | 100 |  | 200 |
| Oommercial Nat Bk | 1300,000 | - 73,424 | 5,339,886 | 100 |  | 145 |
| Frost National Bank | 1.200 .000 | $\begin{array}{r}1,502,109 \\ 392 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 17,913,835 | 100 |  | 300 |
| Nroos Bkat Bank---- | 800,000 | 536,192 | 7,739,134 | 100 |  | 250 |
| Sam Houston State Bank \& Trust |  |  | 444.624 | 100 |  | 115 |
| San Antonlo Natisu- | 800,000 | 156,053 | 3,465.959 | 100 |  | 100 |
| Oom'wealth B \& TOo | 300,000 | 157.704 | 3,233,369 | 100 |  | 100 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Waco } \\ & \text { Otizena' Nat Bank. } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 6,402,997 | 100 | ${ }_{240}{ }^{\text {Por }}$ | share 255 |
| First National Bank | 1,000,000 | 322,300 | 10,221,519 | 100 | 195 | 205 |
| First Trust \& Sav Bk | 100,000 | 917,621 | 1,157,740 | 100 | 100 | 110 |
| National Oity Bank- | 100.000 | 63,613 | 2,469,678 | 100 | 90 | 100 |



| National Banks Mar. 27. | VERMONT |  | State Institutions Dec. 31. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Per | share |
| Granite S B \& Tr Co People's Nat Bank | 78,000 200.000 | ${ }_{273,421}$ | $3,805.372$ <br> $5,766,575$ |  |  |  |
| Quarry \$ Bk \& Tr Oó | 100,000 | 80,344 | 2,283,572 |  |  |  |
| Burlington- |  |  |  |  |  | share. |
| Ohittenden Oo Trust | 200.000 | 357,030 | 4.970 .395 4.209 .088 |  |  |  |
| Merchants Nat Bank | 150.000 | 230,310 | -521,731 |  | 65 |  |
| Burlington Trust Co- | 250.000 | 835,708 | 7.343.412 |  | 150 |  |
| Montpeli |  |  |  |  |  | har |
| Oapital SB \& $\mathrm{Tr} \mathrm{OO}_{-}$ | 100.000 | 258,325 | 3,050,348 | 100 | 170 | 180 |
| First National Bank- | 150,000 | 136,320 | 2,991,598 |  | 150 |  |
| Montpeller Nat Bank | 150.000 | 123.669 | 2,266,365 |  | 150 350 |  |
| Cutland- |  |  |  |  |  | share |
| Olement Nat Bank-- | 100.000 | 1322,988 | 2,920,760 | 100 |  |  |
| Killington Nat Bank | 100,000 | g194,441 | , 374.704 | 100 |  |  |
| Rutand Co Nat Bx. | 100,000 | g195,271 | 2,008.851 | 100 |  |  |
| kutland Trust Co.. | 50.000 | 360,253 | 1,972,870 | 100 |  |  |

[^31]

## Canadian Bank Statements <br> \section*{Returns are all of April 30.1930. Prices ars per cont. not pet share.}

| NOVA SCOTIA |  |  |  |  |  |  | QUEBEC |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ${ }_{\text {Capital }}^{\text {Cal }}$ | Reserve | Deposits. | Par. | Bta. | $\begin{array}{\|l} \frac{\text { Ask. }}{\text { cent. }} \\ ---2 \end{array}$ |  | Capital. | Reserve Fund. | Deposits. ${ }_{\text {Oross }}$ |  | Brd. | Ask. |
| ${ }_{\text {Bants of Nova Scotia }}^{\text {Balifax- }}$ | 11,510,955 | $\underset{2,266,433}{\text { ¢ }}$ | 201.742,797 | 100 | per |  | MontrealBank of Montreal. Banque Canadienne Barclaysbk (CanadaiProvincial Bk of Cai Royal Bk of Oanada | $\begin{gathered} 36.000 .000 \\ 7,000000 \\ 500.000 \\ 45,000,000 \\ 35,000,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 38.000,000 \\ & 7,000,000 \\ & 7,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 649,155,390 \\ 117,32,980 \\ 18,884,013 \\ 48.846,018 \\ 678.42,325 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100 \\ 100 \\ -100 \\ 100 \end{array}$ |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \left.\begin{array}{l} \text { cent } \\ 293 \\ 173 \\ \hline 291 \\ 29 \end{array} \right\rvert\, \end{aligned}\right.$ |
| ONTARIO |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15,500,000 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\|$cent <br> $2 \overline{2} 1_{1}$ <br> $-\cdots$ | SASKATCHEWAN |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Weyburn Secur Bank | 524.560 |  | 250,000 | 2,997,402 | 100 |  |  |  |  |

[^32]
## Insurance Stocks



[^33]
[^0]:    Acts as Trustee of Corporation Mortgages, Fiscal Agent for Corporations and Individuals, Transfer Agent and Registrar, Depositary under plans of reorganization.
    Acts as Executor, Administrator, Guardian, Trustee, Receiver, Attorney and Agent, being especially organized for careful management and settlement of estates of every character.
    SECURITIES HELD ON DEPOSIT FOR OUT-OF-TOWN CORPORATIONS AND PERSONS.

    ## DIREOTORS

    H. WALTERS, Chairman of Board

    OHN J. NELLIGAN President
    JOHN W. MARSHALLL. VICe-Pree SAMUEL M. SHOEMAKE
    BLANCHARD RANDALL ELISHA H. PERKIN
    WALDO NEWCOMER
    GEORGE O. JENEINS
    ROBERT GARRET
    MORRIS WHITRIDGE
    ANDREW P. SPAMER, 2d V.-Pres, O. R, TUCKER, AAst. Treasure H. H. M. LEE, 3d Vice Prealdent JOHN. W. BOSLEY, Ast. Treas.
    

[^1]:    c $60 \%$ stock dividend paid.

[^2]:    For footnotes see page 55.

[^3]:    For footnotes see page 55.

[^4]:    ＊No par value．$n$ Sold under the rule．o Sold for cash．$s$ Option sales．$x$ Ex－dividends．y Ex－rights．
    ＂Under the rule＂sales were made as follows in 1930：Jan．2，Gerrard（S．A．）Co．105at 24, Jan． 22 ，Amer．Commonwealth 6s，1949，\＄3，000 at 106－107；
    
    
    
    

[^5]:    - No par valua. a Ex-dividend

[^6]:    * No par value. xEx-dividend

[^7]:    No par value a Assessment paid. $x$ Ex-dividend

[^8]:    No Dar value $t$ new stock $x$ Ex-dividend. $y$ Er-rights. $z$ Now listed. $t$ Includes also record for period when in Unlisted Dept

[^9]:    No par value. x Eix-dividends. y Ex-rights.

[^10]:    $\dagger$ No par value. d Price per share, not per cent. \& Ex-difldend.

[^11]:    bBasle．fThis price includea accrued interest．kLast nale．$n$ Nominal，POanadian price．skale price．

[^12]:    $\boldsymbol{b}$ Basis. $f$ This price includes accrued interest. $k$ Last sale, $l$ In London. $n$ Nominal. $r$ Canadian price.

[^13]:    ${ }_{b}$ B Basis. $f$ Thls price Includes acerued Interest. $k$ Last Eale. I In London. $n$ Nominal. $r$ Canadian price. $s$ Sale price

[^14]:    $b$ Basis. $f$ This price includes accrued interes $k$ Last sale. $l$ In London. $n$ Nominal.

[^15]:    e. $l$ Par valve $\$ 100 . ~$
    $m$

[^16]:    

[^17]:    $u$ Ex-cash and stock dividend. $v$ Ex-stock dividend. $\quad x$ Ex-dividend. $y$ Ex-rights. $\dagger$ Without par value

[^18]:    $u$ Ex-cash and stock dividend.

[^19]:    

[^20]:    

[^21]:    

[^22]:    

[^23]:    

[^24]:    Basis. $f$ Flat price. $n$ Nominal

[^25]:    * Sale price. $a$ Oct. ${ }^{4}$ 1929. ${ }^{b}$ June ${ }^{29}$ 1929. ${ }^{c}$ M Merger of the First National Bank and the American-Trader
    diretors of both banks.

[^26]:    . Jum

[^27]:    
    
    

[^28]:    * Sale price. a June 30 1930. $b$ First National Group ${ }^{c}$ c Includes savings deposits. $d$ First mortgage certificates. $e$ Consolidation of the Union
    National Bank and the Old Lowell National Bank under title of the Union Old Lowell National Bank became effective May 31 1930. f Member of the Detroit Bankers Co. $g$ Includes trust deposits. $h$ See Marquette National Bank. $i$ M Member of Northwest Bancorporation. $k$ Controlled by the
     Inc., Detroit. $t$ New stock. $v$ Ex-rights. $x$ Ex-dividends. $z$ Ex-warrants. $\dagger$ No par value.

[^29]:    * Sale price. a Merger of the Pacific Trust Co. into the Manufacturers Trust Co, approved June 13 1930. $b$ Capital increase from $\$ 12,100,000$ to
    $15.000,000$ effective July 31930 . $\quad$ June 301930 . e March 271930 . $f$ Central Bank of New York formerly the Central National, merged on June 12 $\$ 15,000,000$ effective July 31930 . C June 301930 . e March 271930 or Central Bank of New York formerly the Central National, merged on June 12
    1930 into the Bank of Manhattan Trust Co. $g$ New stock. $h$ Opened for business on April 31930 i Controlled by the Marine Miland Corp. $k$ Capital
    $l$
     $o$ Utica National Bank \& Trust Co. absorbed by the ditizens Tru
    as of June 16 1930. $x$ Ex-dividend. $y$ Ex-rights. $z$ With rights.

[^30]:     Stock Corp. $f$ Closed on June 101930 . $g$ New stock. $h$ Trust funds. $i$ Member Northwest Bancorporation. $k$ Oct. 4 I 1929 . $i$ Last sale. $n$ Affiliated with
    

[^31]:    * Sale price. a June 29 1929. $b$ Membor of First Security Corp. $c$ This price includes one-third of a share of the Holston Trust Co. stock. d Commercial Security Bank of Ogden and the National Bank of Commerce merged under the State charter of the former as of June 16 1 1930 . $e$ Sold with First
    National Bank. $f$ Acquired the People's Finance Co. of Dallas as of June 21930. $g$ Dec. 31 1929. $i$ June 301930 . $k$ New stock. $l$ Last sale. $n$ Nominal $r$ Trust funds. $x$ Ex-dividends. $y$ EX-rights.

[^32]:    * Sale price. † No par value. a Dec. 31 1929. $b$ Marine Central Bank and the Marine State Bank changed title to the Central National Bank of Commerce and the Washington National Bank of Commerce respectively on June 2 1930. c Mank consin Bankshares Corp. e Member of
    title of the Marine National Exchange Bank of Milwaukee. $o$ New stack. Mari Member First National Corp. $l$ Last sale. $n$ Nominal. $r$ Member People's
    Corp. $s$ June 30 1930. $u$ Sold in units of 1 share of American Exchange Bank and 1 share of American Bank Bldg. stock. $v$ Traded in as Old National Corp. s June 30 1930. $u$ Sold in unit
    Corp.
    $x$ Ex-dividends. $y$ Ex-rights.

[^33]:    a Holding company.
    $k$ Last ale. (b) 320,000 no par shares. fer All stock owned by Nationa
    t Ex-stock dividend. y Ex-rights. $\dagger$ No par value.

