RESEARCH LIBRARY Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis MONTHLY LABOR REVIEW

U.S. Department of Labor Burgou of 3.a1985 Statistics October 1986 *n this issue:* The decline in inflation 1

VRR



1

#### BUREAU OF LABOR STATISTICS

Janet L. Norwood, Commissioner

The Monthly Labor Review is published by the Bureau of Labor Statistics of the U.S. Department of Labor. Communications on editorial matters should be addressed to the Editor-in-Chief, Monthly Labor Review, Bureau of Labor Statistics, Washington, DC 20212. Phone: (202) 523–1327.

Subscription price per year—\$16 domestic; \$20 foreign. Single copy \$4.75 domestic; \$5.94 foreign. Subscription prices and distribution policies for the Monthly Labor Review (ISSN 0098–1818) and other Government publications are set by the Government Printing Office, an agency of the U.S. Congress. Send correspondence on circulation and subscription matters (including address changes) to: Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 20402

Make checks payable to Superintendent of Documents.

The Secretary of Labor has determined that the publication of this periodical is necessary in the transaction of the public business required by law of this Department. Second-class postage paid at Washington, DC, and at additional mailing addresses.



#### October cover:

Detail from "Achelous and Hercules," a 1947 egg tempera painting on canvas by Thomas Hart Benton; Courtesy of the National Museum of American Art, Washington, D.C.

Cover design by Melvin B. Moxley

#### Regional Commissioners for Bureau of Labor Statistics

5

Region I—Boston: Anthony J. Ferrara Kennedy Federal Building, Suite 1603, Boston, MA 02203 Phone: (617) 565-2327 Connecticut Maine Massachusetts New Hampshire Rhode Island Vermont

Region II—New York: Samuel M. Ehrenhalt 1515 Broadway, Suite 3400, New York, NY 10036 Phone: (212) 944–3121 New Jersey New York Puerto Rico Virgin Islands

Region III—Philadelphia: Alvin I. Margulis 3535 Market Street P.O. Box 13309, Philadelphia, PA 19101 Phone: (215) 596–1154 Delaware District of Columbia Maryland Pennsylvania Virginia West Virginia

Region IV—Atlanta: Donald M. Cruse 1371 Peachtree Street, N.E., Atlanta, GA 30367 Phone: (404) 347-4418 Alabama Florida Georgia Kentucky Mississippi North Carolina South Carolina Tennessee

Region V—Chicago: Lois L. Orr 9th Floor, Federal Office Building, 230 S. Dearborn Street, Chicago, IL 60604 Phone: (312) 353–1880 Illinois Indiana Michigan Minnesota Ohio Wisconsin

Region VI—Dallas: Bryan Richey Federal Building, Room 221 525 Griffin Street, Dallas, TX 75202 Phone: (214) 767–6971 Arkansas Louisiana New Mexico Oklahoma Texas

Regions VII and VIII—Kansas City: Gunnar Engen 911 Walnut Street, Kansas City, MO 64106 Phone: (816) 374–2481 VII Iowa Kansas Missouri Nebraska VIII Colorado Montana North Dakota South Dakota Utah Wyoming

 Regions IX and X—San Francisco:
 Sam M. Hirabayashi

 450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36017,
 San Francisco, :CA 94102

 Phone: (415) 556–4678
 Phone: (415) 556–4678

 IX
 American Samoa

 Arizona
 California

 Guam
 Hawaii

 Nevada
 Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands

 X
 Alaska

 Idaho
 Oregon

 Washington
 San Francisco, is an angle of the second sec



#### **MONTHLY LABOR REVIEW**

OCTOBER 1986 VOLUME 109, NUMBER 10

Henry Lowenstern, Editor-in-Chief Robert W. Fisher, Executive Editor

John F. Early and others	3	A half-year decline in inflation: its antecedents and structure The Consumer Price Index was almost unchanged in the first half, indicating 1986 will show the lowest inflation rate in 20 years; plunging energy prices offset increases for services
Beth Harrison	15	<b>Spending patterns of older persons revealed in expenditure survey</b> New estimates point up differences in characteristics, incomes, and expenditures of younger and older populations within the larger group of persons 65 and older
W. Schweke, D.R. Jones	18	European job creation in the wake of plant closings and layoffs Confronted with massive layoffs in recent years, European firms, and often governments, have taken a variety of initiatives to stimulate business growth and job creation REPORTS

Henry Guzda	23	Constitutional convention marks golden anniversary of the UAW
John D. Morton	26	BLS white-collar pay survey now covers small firms
Sharon R. Cohany	28	What happened to the high school class of 1985?
Tadd Linsenmayer	31	ILO adopts asbestos standard, focuses on employment issues

#### DEPARTMENTS

- 2 Labor month in review
- 23 Conventions
- 26 Research summaries
- 31 Foreign labor developments
- 33 Major agreements expiring next month
- 34 Developments in industrial relations
- 38 Book reviews
- 41 Current labor statistics

## Labor Month In Review



**TRANSITION.** The Institute for Contemporary Studies published an evaluation of the role of unions in the 100th year after the founding of the American Federation of Labor. The book, *Unions in Transition* (ICS Press, 506 pages, \$29.95), edited by Seymour Martin Lipset of the Hoover Institution, Stanford University, includes contributions from more than a dozen academic observers and labor practitioners. Some excerpts:

Walter Galenson, Cornell University: American unions have survived and prospered because they . . . were flexible enough to alter their strategies to meet the demands of the time. The present soul-searching that is going on within the labor movement suggests that some new approaches may emerge, particularly if unions are to move beyond the bounds of their present sectoral confines.

Leo Troy, Rutgers University: As the American labor movement begins its second century, it apparently has entered a new stage in its history, a stage of permanent decline. Organized labor has lost millions of members since its peak in 1975, while its grip on the labor market has dropped to the levels of the Great Depression. The market seems to have rejected unions in the large sectors of the private economy and in growth areas of the country.

**Ray Marshall**, University of Texas: Most economic and technological trends are against unions as traditionally organized.

The unions will therefore need to develop new procedures to deal with the internationalized information world. Because of the importance of collective bargaining and free labor movements for the Nation's economic, political, and social health, it is in the public interest to encourage these adjustments and strengthen the workers' right to decide for themselves whether or not they want to be represented by unions.

**Richard B. Freeman**, Harvard University: In the world of perfect full employment and competitive markets, unions would be unnecessary. In the real world in which we live unions can and generally do perform valuable economic functions. An economy is likely to operate efficiently when there is a sufficient number of union and of nonunion firms to offer alternative work environments to workers, innovation in workplace rules and conditions, and competition in the market.

Seymour Lipset, Stanford University: Most Americans who are normally sympathetic to the needs of the weak and the underprivileged do not classify labor organizations among the oppressed. Rather, like big business, they are seen as powerful and essentially self-serving. But corporations have an advantage in that the public also thinks they inherently contribute to the community in the form of jobs, goods, and services. Unions are perceived as worse than business in two respects; first, they are viewed as giving low priority to the public interest and as working against the good of the whole society, and second, their leaders are believed to be exceptionally corrupt and unethical. In short, the principal virtue of unions is that they serve the interest of their members. Their principal defect is that, by doing exactly that, unions seem to do little to benefit the public interest.

Lane Kirkland, AFL-CIO: Any analysis of the state of American unions, especially one pointing to or predicting their decline, should include the following disclaimer in the interest of accuracy: It has all been said before.

Labor's obituary has been written at least once in every one of the 105 years of our existence, and nearly that many causes of death have been diagnosed. Some of our most prominent labor journalists have earned their keep by writing of our demise each Labor Day, just as some of our better-known labor economists and academics have earned tenure by publishing predictions that unions would perish. It seems we must be forever perishing so that others may be forever publishing.

Alexander Trowbridge, National Association of Manufacturers: Labor and management are at the crossroads in collective bargaining. Certainly, the growth of participative management mechanisms, gainsharing, employment security, and employee identification with productivity and profits of a company justify some optimism that both labor and management have seen the realities of global competition and its impact, as well as the responses that are necessary to successfully face this competition.

# A half-year pause in inflation: its antecedents and structure

The Consumer Price Index was almost unchanged during the first half, suggesting that 1986 will show the lowest inflation rate in 20 years; plunging energy prices offset strong increases for some services

#### JOHN F. EARLY, WALTER LANE, and PHILIP STURM

Inflation as measured by the Consumer Price Index for all Urban Consumers (CPI-U) declined at a seasonally adjusted annual rate of 0.2 percent during the first 6 months of 1986. While a continuation of this pattern is unlikely throughout the remainder of the year, the resulting change for 1986 will probably be the smallest annual increase since the first half of the 1960's.<sup>1</sup>

The first-half decline reflected the sharp drop in crude oil prices, as the index for energy commodities—fuel oil, coal, bottled gas, and motor fuels fell at an annual rate of 40.2 percent in the first half of 1986. Prices for used cars and grocery store foods also declined in the first half. On the other hand, shelter costs continued to advance at an annual rate of about 5 percent. The index for all items excluding food, shelter, energy, and used cars increased at an annual rate of 4 percent during the first 6 months. Within this group, however, price movements for commodities and for services continued to diverge. Price increases in the goods sector moderated further, but prices for services, in particular medical care, accelerated. (See table 1.)

#### Background 1960 to 1981

The early 1960's were characterized by rapid economic expansion, with prices increasing at an annual rate of 1.3 percent for the 5-year period which ended in December

John F. Early is Assistant Commissioner for Consumer Prices and Price Indexes, Bureau of Labor Statistics. Walter Lane and Philip Sturm are economists in that office. 1965. (See chart 1.) Price pressures developed in the late 1960's, however, as expenditures for the Vietnam War stimulated an economy already at nearly full employment. The rate of inflation in consumer prices rose from less than 2 percent in 1965 to more than 6 percent in 1969.

From chart 2, one can identify the general composition of price change in each of these periods. The top line displays the average annual rate of change for the all-items CPI-U during the periods identified on the horizontal axis. This seasonally adjusted overall inflation rate can be constructed as the sum of the individual contributions of four major classes of consumer expenditures—energy, food, shelter, and all other—as follows:

		Effect on all items						
Period	All items	Energy	Food	Shelter	Other			
Dec. 1960-								
Dec. 1965	1.3	.023	.406	.245	.626			
Dec. 1965-								
Dec. 1969	4.3	.133	.937	1.115	2.115			
Dec. 1969-								
Aug. 1971	4.8	.251	.707	1.274	2.568			
Aug. 1971-								
July 1973 .	4.4	.280	1.975	.879	1.266			
July 1973-								
Dec. 1974	12.0	1.517	3.694	2.470	4.319			
Dec. 1974-								
Dec. 1976	5.9	.642	.869	1.237	3.152			
Dec. 1976-								
Dec. 1978	7.9	.581	1.885	2.215	3.219			
Dec. 1978-								
Sept. 1981	12.2	1.990	1.633	4.664	3.913			
Sept. 1981-								
June 1986.	3.4	195	.502	1.027	2.065			

From the chart, one can see that the acceleration in prices from the first half to the second half of the 1960's was widespread, except that energy prices had very little impact.

The recession which began late in 1969 caused the rate of price increase to subside only partially, and much of that reduction was due to the effect of declining mortgage interest rates on the shelter component of the CPI. In reaction to the failure of inflation to abate swiftly and fully, President Nixon announced a wage and price freeze on August 15, 1971. The initial price freeze and subsequent Phase II economic controls were accompanied by a lower rate of inflation during the final months of 1971 and throughout 1972. Prices, however, started to rise more quickly in 1973, and further inflation followed with the relaxation of controls in August and the oil embargo in October. Although the direct effects of the oil embargo on inflation were substantial, they were far from unique. Sharply higher mortgage interest rates and house prices drove up shelter costs; food prices, influenced in part by worldwide commodity inflation, rose rapidly; and prices for most other goods and services began to accelerate.

Inflation climbed to double-digit rates during 1974 and registered what was then the largest calendar year change in the history of the CPI, except for the inflationary periods directly associated with the two World Wars. With the steep

ltem		6 months ended June			
	1982	1983	1984	1985	19861
All-items	3.9	3.8	4.0	3.8	- 0.2
Food	3.1	2.6	3.8	2.7	1.0
Food at home	2.2	1.9	3.6	2.1	5
Food away from home	5.0	4.1	4.2	3.8	4.1
Energy	1.3	5	.2	1.8	- 24.1
Energy commodities	- 5.0	- 3.2	- 1.9	3.4	- 40.2
Energy services	14.1	4.1	3.4	- 0.5	3.5
Shelter	2.4	4.7	5.2	6.0	5.1
Used cars	10.9	14.4	7.0	- 1.9	- 7.1
All other items	5.6	4.1	4.1	4.2	4.0
Other commodities	4.1	3.3	2.3	3.0	1.6
Other services	7.3	4.9	6.0	5.4	6.3

recession of 1973–75, inflation moderated substantially so that, by the end of 1976, consumer prices were rising at an annual rate of less than 5 percent. With the economic expansion in 1977, prices began to accelerate. Then sharp increases in energy prices were fueled by events associated with the Iranian crisis, and rapid price rises for food and shelter costs followed, pushing consumer price rises to unprecedented peacetime rates during 1979, 1980, and, indeed, for most of 1981.



gitized for**4** RASER ps://fraser.stlouisfed.org deral Reserve Bank of St. Louis



### Chart 2. CPI average annual rate of change for selected periods by the effects of major components, December 1960 to June 1986

#### **Deceleration since 1981**

Two back-to-back recessions (1980 and 1981–82), a tight monetary policy, and lessened control by OPEC of world petroleum supplies all contributed to a rapid reduction in the rate of inflation beginning in the fourth quarter of 1981. This moderation in prices brought an end to the "ratchet phenomenon" that had characterized inflation since 1960. Before 1981, each succeeding low point in inflation was higher than the preceding low, and each high point was also higher than the preceding high. (See chart 1.) The 3.9-percent price increase for 1982 was the smallest annual increase in 10 years.

The price deceleration that occurred in the 57 months through June 1986 was particularly apparent in the energy, shelter, and food components of the CPI. (See charts 2 and 3.) Advances in these items had, of course, been responsible for much of the increase in the CPI in the past decade. Unlike earlier episodes, however, the index excluding the energy, shelter, and food components also moderated substantially, rising at a 4.8-percent seasonally adjusted annual rate during the 57 months through June 1986, compared with a 9.6-percent increase for the 12 months ended in September 1981. Chart 3 provides more detail than chart 2 on the post-1981 period. The data are as follows:

		Effect on all items						
All Period item	s Energy	Food	Shelter	Other commodities	Other services			
Sept. 1981– Dec. 1982 4.0	.372	.488	.724	1.057	1.359			
Dec. 1982– Dec. 1984 3.9	015	.606	1.071	1.059	1.179			
Dec. 1984– Sept. 1985 3.2	.143	.296	1.284	.464	1.013			
Sept. 1985– Dec. 19855.3	.402	1.022	1.405	1.103	1.368			
Dec. 1985– June 1986 –.2	-2.580	.169	.971	.019	1.221			

The slowdown in food prices preceded the deceleration in the overall CPI. For the 12-month period ended in September 1981, grocery store food prices had increased 5.5 percent, a rate half that of the overall index. Increases in each of the following 4 years were also less than those for the overall index. For the September 1981 to June 1986 period, grocery store food prices advanced at an average annual rate of 2.1 percent and the overall food component at a 2.8-percent rate.

The shelter component registered steep advances throughout most of the period from 1978 through September 1981. The rate of increase fell off sharply beginning in the fourth quarter of 1981, principally due to the behavior of house prices and mortgage interest rates, and advanced at an annual rate of 2.2 percent from September 1981 through December 1982. Until January 1983, the CPI used an asset approach to measure shelter costs of homeowners. The asset treatment covered house prices, mortgage interest rates, property insurance, property taxes, and maintenance and repair costs. In January 1983, BLS introduced an improved measure of shelter costs for homeowners in the CPI-U, using a rental equivalence approach. During the first 3<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> years of the new measure, shelter costs rose at an annual rate of 5.3 percent.

Energy costs declined at an annual rate of 2.1 percent for the 57-month period through June 1986, compared with an increase at a 22.9-percent rate in the 33-month period from December 1978 to September 1981. Although charges for gas and electricity continued to increase at double-digit rates through 1982, they slowed considerably beginning in 1983, rising at an annual rate of 2.6 percent in the 42 months ended in June 1986. Prices for petroleum products peaked in early 1981 and then generally declined, with the exception of temporary spurts associated with short-term shortages and a 5-cent-a-gallon gasoline tax increase in April 1983. The sharpest drop occurred during the first 6 months of 1986. As of June 1986, fuel oil prices were 35.2 percent lower and gasoline prices 31.2 percent below their 1981 peak levels.

The index for items other than food, shelter, and energy slowed more gradually than the excluded components. The price moderation in this group has been steady since 1981, when these prices rose, on average, by 9.4 percent. They increased less each year than in the preceding one, and by the end of 1985 the annual change was 3.7 percent. Within the group, however, price movements for commodities and services have diverged. Initially, both groups slowed from their peak rates; further deceleration has occurred primarily in the goods sector, however, with service prices continuing to advance at a rate of more than 5 percent.

#### Status at midyear

Over the first 6 months of 1986, plummeting oil prices have dominated the movement of the CPI. Such dramatic influence by a single component seems less likely in the coming months, but aggregate indicators of both material and labor costs portend continued consumer price moderation. Producer prices for nonenergy commodities at the crude, intermediate, and finished stages of processing have either declined or increased very moderately over the past 12 months. Measures of labor costs also indicate a lack of current pressure on prices. The Employment Cost Index has decelerated steadily since peaking at near double-digit rates in 1980, with total compensation for private industry workers advancing by only 3.8 percent in the 12 months ended in June 1986. In addition, sluggish growth in output in the first half of 1986, with less than full utilization of resources-at midyear, capacity utilization rates were less



gitized for FRASER ps://fraser.stlouisfed.org deral Reserve Bank of St. Louis than 79 percent and unemployment stood just under 7 percent—would appear to preclude any immediate cost pressures.

However, policymakers have taken measures designed to stimulate growth by depreciating the dollar and lowering interest rates. These policies could contribute to an increase in the CPI inflation rate in the longer term. To date, however, there has been little evidence that the devaluation of the dollar has had much impact on consumer prices and the declines in interest rates have not yet sparked an acceleration in output.

The following discussion is a detailed sector-by-sector assessment of the status of consumer price change in mid-1986.

#### The plunge in energy prices

The decline in the overall CPI during the first 6 months of 1986 was attributable to the sharp drop in energy pricesdown 24.1 percent at an annual rate. (See chart 3.) The CPI excluding energy, during this period, advanced at a 3.1percent annual rate. Prices for commodities and services within energy as well as within the overall CPI, continued to diverge. Principally because of OPEC's decision in late 1985 to abandon formally production quotas, prices for energy commodities plunged downward as contracted crude oil prices fell from \$28 a barrel to less than half that at the end of June. Reflecting this drop, retail gasoline prices fell 22.3 percent and fuel oil prices, 27.6 percent, from December 1985 to June. From 1967 to the spring of 1981, prices for energy commodities rose about 80 percent faster than prices for nonenergy consumer items. As a result, the prices of energy commodities relative to the prices (on average) of nonenergy items were nearly 80 percent greater in early 1981 than in 1967, the base year for the CPI. By June of 1986, however, energy CPI commodity prices had fallen from their peaks and the prices for energy commodities stood in the same relationship to prices for nonenergy items as they had in 1967. (See chart 4.)

The index for energy services—natural gas and electricity—registered a moderate increase during the first 6 months of 1986. Charges for electricity rose at an annual rate of 4.8 percent and those for natural gas increased at a 1.6-percent annual rate. From 1967, the prices for energy services relative to those for nonenergy items rose somewhat more than half as fast as relative prices for energy commodities, peaking in mid-1983. Since then, charges for energy services have eased slightly, and by June 1986 they were in the same proportion to nonenergy prices as they had been in the spring of 1982.

#### Continuing rise in shelter costs

The movements in the CPI for shelter during the last 5 or 6 years are, on the surface, somewhat puzzling. As already noted, shelter costs were a major cause for the double-digit inflation during 1979, 1980, and first part of 1981. After

### Table 2. Annual changes in consumer prices for shelter, 1980–86

Item	12 months ended December-						6 months ended June-	
	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	19861	
Official CPI for shelter Shelter index on rental	15.1	9.9	2.4	4.7	5.2	6.0	5.1	
equivalence basis Shelter index on rental equivalence basis, with estimated adjustment for	9.0	8.8	6.2	4.7	5.2	6.0	5.1	
vacant units	10.0	9.5	6.5	5.3	6.0	6.0	5.1	

rising 15.1 percent during 1980, the CPI for shelter rose a mere 2.4 percent in 1982. During this period, the shelter index was a major factor in the slowdown of the overall CPI. But since 1982 the shelter index has seemingly moved contrary to other prices—more than doubling its rate of increase, while other portions of the CPI continued to slow. (See table 2.)

One can understand this unusual performance only through a careful review of two technical changes that have been made to the CPI shelter index. The first was the shift to an owners' equivalent rent measure for homeowner shelter costs effective with the CPI-U for January 1983.<sup>2</sup> The second was an improved method for treating vacant housing units, beginning with data for January 1985.<sup>3</sup>

The introduction of these two improvements affected both the shelter component and the All Items CPI. Consequently, it is advantageous for purposes of analyzing the trends in shelter costs to estimate these effects and adjust for them. The first line of data in table 2 contains annual inflation rates for the official CPI for shelter. It exhibits the unusual behavior noted above.

BLS has previously constructed and published enhanced experimental indexes which provide estimates of what the CPI would have been in years prior to 1983 if the owners' equivalent rent measure had been used.<sup>4</sup> The shelter component based on these rental equivalence estimates is contained in the second line of table 2. The owners' equivalent rent method produces indexes which reflect the change in shelter costs for homeowners. The previous method had included investment costs associated with purchasing a housing asset—costs that were inappropriate for the CPI. The differences between these two measures illustrate the problem. (See table 2.) The skyrocketing mortgage interest rates in early 1980 caused the official shelter index to rise 15.1 percent, two-thirds again as much as the actual shelter service cost rise measured using the more appropriate owners' equivalent rent measure. Similarly, when mortgage interest rates dropped sharply in 1982, the official shelter index rose less than half as much as the measure based on owners' equivalent rent.

The effects of the improved methods for treating vacant housing units in the CPI are a good deal less dramatic than those from the introduction of owners' equivalent rent. The final line of table 2 provides an analytical reconstruction of the shelter index as it would have looked had both owners' equivalent rent and the enhanced vacant unit procedure been used.

By adjusting the shelter index for the two methodological changes, it becomes easier to identify and explain the trends of shelter costs in the last few years. Like the CPI as a whole, shelter cost increases (on the reconstructed basis) began slowing in 1981. They continued to slow through 1983, then moved up slightly to a 6.0-percent annual rate in both 1984 and 1985. The first half of 1986 gives some indication of a further slowdown. Although the rise in shelter costs has clearly slowed, both the degree and speed of that adjustment have been considerably less than in the overall CPI. There are a number of institutional factors that contribute to the relatively slower adjustment of shelter costs-the almost universal use of leases that hold rent constant for extended periods, rent control in some local areas, and existing stable tenant-landlord relationships that make both reluctant to change terms too rapidly. In addition, the available stock of housing cannot adjust as quickly to changing demand conditions as can the supplies of most other consumer items.<sup>5</sup>

These institutional expectations are borne out historically. A long historical series is not available for the shelter CPI based on owners' equivalent rent, but the use of the residen-

Dates and timing of peak and trough rate for Table 3. 12-month percent changes of consumer prices, 1947-80 Months by which rent lags All items **Residential rent** (leads) all items1 Peak Trough Peak Trough Peak Trough June 1948 January 1951 17 March 1947 August 1949 15 October 1954 October 1953 September 1955 30 11 February 1951 April 1957 April 1959 December 1956 September 1964 - 4 65 April 1971 February 1970 June 1972 June 1972 14 0 December 1974 December 1976 (2) (2) 4 March 1980 July 1980 <sup>1</sup> Positive numbers are lags—number of months after all items change. Negative numbers are leads-numbers of months before all items <sup>2</sup> Skipped cycle. Rate did not slow for any significant period of time.

tial rent CPI provides a reasonable proxy for the timing of changes in shelter costs in earlier years.<sup>6</sup> If we compare the points in time at which the residential rent CPI has reached its highest (peak) values and lowest (trough) values with the corresponding times for the All Items CPI, it is clear that the rate of rent increase almost always slows or accelerates well after the corresponding change occurs in the All Items CPI. (See table 3.) If the current episode of slowing inflation is consistent with earlier ones, one should not be too surprised if there were some additional modest slowing in shelter costs.<sup>7</sup>



#### The trend in food prices

For the 6 months ended in June, the food index advanced at an annual rate of 1 percent with an increase in the cost of food away from home-up 4.1 percent at an annual ratemore than offsetting a decline in grocery store food pricesdown 0.5 percent at an annual rate. Decreases in prices for beef and fruits and vegetables were responsible for the drop in the food-at-home component. Beef prices fell at an annual rate of 12.1 percent in the first 6 months. The drop in herd size, which has shrunk to its lowest levels in two decades, has not yet placed significant upward pressure on prices. Potential supplies were augmented when the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) announced a large dairy cow buy-out program in late March. Nevertheless, in June, beef prices registered their first increase this year. Pork prices have already started upwards, as hog producers have begun to withhold breeding stock to rebuild their herds. As of June, the USDA estimated that pork cold storage supplies were 36 percent below their year-earlier levels. Poultry prices also advanced in the second quarter, partly due to increased demand and partly due to the impact on supplies of the adverse weather in the southeastern United States.

The decline in fruit and vegetable prices in the first half of 1986, however, is likely to continue. These prices, which rose sharply in response to adverse weather at the end of 1985, retreated and growing conditions generally were good in the first half of 1986. The severe drought in the Southeast should have only a limited effect on these prices because production is concentrated in other areas, which were not adversely affected by the weather conditions.

Other grocery store foods registered generally moderate increases during the first 6 months of the year. An exception was the index for nonalcoholic beverages, which advanced at double-digit rates, as coffee prices soared in the first quarter of 1986, before turning downward in the second quarter. This increase reflected the impact of the Brazilian drought in late 1985, which damaged the coffee bean crop.

#### Services except shelter and energy

As noted, price increases for services other than energy and shelter have, on average, slowed down significantly since 1981, but they remain substantially greater than the increases for nonenergy commodities.8 This section will explore the structure and possible causes of the more limited deceleration response of service prices. In an effort to systematize this investigation, all consumer services besides shelter and energy have been classified into one of four groups: (1) those which have contributed to the overall slowing of prices and generally continue to demonstrate only modest price rises; (2) those for which prices have clearly slowed, but continue to rise a good deal faster than other prices; (3) those which provide continuing or growing upward pressure on inflation; and (4) those that defy classification even by these rather general categories. The results of this classification are displayed in table 4.

Price changes for a large number of services have slowed substantially since 1981. Perhaps most dramatic has been the sharp drop in finance charges for automobiles as interest rates generally have declined and automobile manufacturers have made extensive use of "below market rate" financing to stimulate car sales. Increases in airline fares have also fallen off markedly as declining fuel costs and fierce competition from both existing and newly formed airlines have driven fares down to very low levels on many of the more competitive routes. Another transportation service, namely taxis, has also had much slower fare growth, in part due to lower fuel costs and in part due to increased competition from improved availability of mass transit in some areas.

The AT&T divestiture, combined with competition from new long distance telephone carriers, has led to a number of substantial reductions in interstate long distance tolls. Although postage rates rose 10.2 percent in 1985, that was the only increase in more than 3 years, with the result that postage also contributed to the overall price slowdown.

The remaining services which have shown clear reductions in their inflation rates to levels of about 4 percent or less include appliance and furniture repair, apparel services, automobile maintenance and repair, personal care services (beauty and barber shops), and moving, storage, freight, household laundry, and drycleaning. (The 1985 increase in, moving costs may be related in part to the strengthening of the housing market and the associated demand for moving services.) These service establishments have benefited from moderation or declines in heating, transportation fuel, and supply costs. They also have a high proportion of relatively small establishments and fair amounts of local competition. Auto repair services may also be facing reduced demand because of the longer intervals between required maintenances on newer models.

#### Medical charges continue substantial rises

Other services have also experienced slowing price rises, but they continue to post substantial or even reaccelerating price increases. Medical care services are perhaps the most notable in that respect. Costs of professional medical services (physicians, dentists, optometrists, and so on) rose at double-digit rates during most of the 1979-81 period and slowed during 1982 and 1983 to annual rates of increase of between 7 and 8 percent. Beginning with 1984, charges for professional medical services have risen at a fairly constant rate of a bit over 6 percent. In addition to general reductions in inflation pressures on cost, factors which may have contributed to the slowing of professional medical service charges include (1) a voluntary 1-year freeze on physician fees urged by the American Medical Association (AMA) in February 1984; (2) declines in prices for precious metals used in dental fillings; (3) increased competition from advertising among dentists; and (4) modest increases in wages and benefits for employees in health industries-up 4.1 percent for the 12 months ended June 1986, about the same as for all civilian workers.9

#### MONTHLY LABOR REVIEW October 1986 • A Half-Year Decline in Inflation

Despite these factors, however, charges for services by medical professionals have stubbornly grown at annual rates in excess of 6 percent. One factor which may have contributed to this continued inflation is the widely publicized substantial increases in malpractice insurance premiums. These increases have tapered off, but continue to be quite high. After jumping more than 40 percent in 1982, average premium increases by 1984 were down to a smaller but still substantial 18.3 percent.<sup>10</sup> It is also possible that the measured CPI increases reflect more than pure price change. New diagnostic and treatment methods, procedures, and equipment may increase the costs of professional services. At the same time, however, they may improve the efficiency and efficacy of a diagnosis or treatment. To the extent that the higher charges are the result of better medical care, the increases are not pure price increases and should, in principle, not be included in the CPI. We do not know whether such quality increases are in fact contributing to the continued substantial rises in the medical care CPI, but it is a possibility that must be kept in mind.

Charges for hospital rooms and for other hospital and medical care services both rose at very high double-digit rates through 1982. Like many large service organizations, hospitals tend to be slow to adjust their fee schedules to reflect changes in their costs. As a result, slower price increases for hospitals came later than for many other segments of the economy—including those by medical professionals. Nevertheless, from 1983 through 1985, hospital fees slowed down quite significantly. Like the professional services component of the CPI, hospital costs have benefited from modest rises in compensation costs for employees. In addition, hospitals have come under increasing regulatory scrutiny by Federal, State and local government units in an effort to contain cost increases. In October 1983, the Federal Government imposed a Diagnostic Related Groups (DRG) fee structure on hospitals being reimbursed for Medicare-financed treatment. Under this process, a set fee is established for a specific class of treatment irrespective of the duration or specific procedures followed in a particular case. The objective is to encourage providers to identify and use the most cost-effective treatment. Some insurance carriers and health maintenance organizations (HMO's) are also establishing similar payment regimens.

Some of the slowing in hospital charges may reflect market adjustments to oversupply of hospital capacity. Between 1978 and 1984, occupancy rates in hospitals declined from 75.5 percent to 72.5,<sup>11</sup> at least partly as the result of shorter hospital stays. The average length of a hospital stay declined from 7.4 days in 1978 to 6.6 days in 1984.<sup>12</sup>

Following their substantial slowdown through 1985, hospital fees began to accelerate again during the first half of 1986. This rather abrupt turnaround is difficult to explain. The possible effects of liability insurance and higher quality care are, of course, factors for hospitals as well as professional services, but there is no obvious reason these effects should have become more pronounced in the first 6 months of 1986.

	Seasonally adjusted annual rate of change (percent)								
Consumer service	Dec. 1978 to Sept. 1981	Sept. 1981 to Dec. 1982	Dec. 1982 to Dec. 1983	Dec. 1983 to Dec. 1984	Dec. 1984 to Dec. 1985	Dec. 1985 to June 1986			
Services excluding shelter and energy	10.1	7.8	4.9	6.0	5.4	6.3			
Contributing to overall slowdown:									
Telephone-interstate toll calls	55	33	14	-13	- 38	- 11.0			
Moving, storage, freight, household laundry, and drycleaning	10.9	76	62	4.0	7.2	31			
Appliance and furniture repair	75	69	4.9	5.6	31	3.1			
Postane	68	76	4.5	0.0	10.2	3.1			
Annaral canvicas	11.0	6.0	50	10	10.2	0			
Automobile maintenance and renair	10.0	0.3	5.0	4.9	4.9	4.1			
Automobile finance and repair	10.0	0.5	3.8	3.2	3.3	2.6			
Aiding fores	19.4	- 1.9	- 7.9	6.8	- 8.3	- 13.1			
Airline lares	28.0	1.1	4.8	6.5	6.3	1.6			
laxi tares	12.7	3.4	2.3	1.2	4.3	2.2			
Personal care services	8.4	5.4	3.6	4.9	3.6	3.5			
ontributing to slowdown, but remaining high:									
Water and sewage maintenance	8.8	8.3	8.5	5.5	5.5	7.2			
Intercity train fares	18.2	12.0	5.5	4.7	4.8	7.5			
Intracity mass transit	18.9	5.1	2.1	6.8	3.4	12.5			
Professional medical services	10.5	73	7.6	63	65	61			
Hospital rooms	13.5	14.6	93	7.4	4.8	8.2			
Other hospital medical care services	13.1	12.0	11.2	7.4	5.2	0.3			
Entertainment services	72	7.0	54	5.7	0.2	6.0			
Tuition and other school fees	11.2	12.0	0.4	10.1	4.4	0.2			
Personal evolution school locs	125	12.0	9.4	10.1	8.4	9.1			
r orounai expenses (Dank Charges, autorney rees, and IUnerals)	12.0	13.3	12.2	0.0	0.1	8.4			
ontributing upward pressure:									
Telephone local charges	6.4	11.3	3.2	17.1	8.9	16.7			
Refuse collection	_	-	-	6.1	6.4	7.8			
Automobile insurance	6.2	8.1	9.1	79	12.0	13.6			
Intercity bus fares	14.3	5.9	7.4	12.3	6.9	14.9			
the meaningful trend:									
Telephone_intractate toll calle	0.0	5.0	74	27	5	-			
Cable television	0.9	5.8	1.4	3.7	.5	.5			
Cable television	-		-	6.1	6.0	5.4			
Automobile rental, registration, and other fees	5.3	12.6	5.9	7.4	3.0	6.2			

Many cost containment efforts have been focused on reducing the length of hospital stays and shifting more treatment to an outpatient basis (up from 6.9 percent of hospital revenue in 1978 to 8.8 percent in 1985).<sup>13</sup> While these measures may have the effect of reducing the expenditure for a full treatment, they may actually place an upward pressure on the prices of separate services. On the one hand, higher vacant bed rates do increase the supply of hospital beds and, thereby, may exert some downward pressure on prices. On the other hand, the rather substantial fixed costs for a hospital must now be spread over fewer occupied beds. The final equilibrium price will depend, among other things, on the proportion that fixed costs are of total costs and on the consumer's price elasticity of demand.

The establishment of DRG pricing is intended to lower the total cost for those whose bills are paid by the third-party setting the DRG fee schedule. It is possible, therefore, that over time hospitals may restructure their prices in such a way that larger portions of the fixed costs are borne by those who are not covered by a DRG arrangement. Prices used in the CPI are not generally subject to such arrangements.

It may have taken several months for hospitals to sort out these consequences. As a result, hospital price indexes in the first half of 1986 may be reflecting restructuring of fee schedules to shift costs away from more highly regulated or competitive areas to those with less impact from regulation or competition. While plausible, this explanation is highly conjectural and is offered here primarily due to the lack of an obvious alternative explanation.

#### **Tuition also continues high**

Tuition and other school fees have also been slow to respond to the overall decline in inflation. Much of this is an institutional phenomenon. Tuition is usually set well in advance—often as much as 2 years. As a result, both accelerations and decelerations in tuition lag well behind many other price changes. Contributing to the substantial increases in the 1981–1984 period were steps by a number of State legislatures either to reduce funding for State higher education and/or to set higher levels of required student payment.

Intercity train fares have also been boosted as the result of reduced government funding. The Federal subsidy for Amtrak was cut by 4 percent in 85 and by 14 percent in 1986. Nevertheless, reduced fuel costs and competition from the airlines kept fare increases well below their 1979–82 rates.

Water and sewerage maintenance and intracity mass transit have both slowed down from their peak levels. Nevertheless, both continue to have relatively high rates of inflation, despite mass transit charges having benefited from lower energy costs. Because transit and water and sewer services are frequently owned and operated by local government units, the higher-than-average inflation for these services may reflect, in part, the higher-than-average compensation increases for State and local government employees—up 5.8 percent for the year ended June 1986, versus 3.8 percent for private industry workers in the same period.<sup>14</sup> Continued large price increases for refuse collection may reflect not only compensation increases, but also rising "tipping charges" being levied by local government units for use of dump sites and other disposal locations.

Continuing inflationary effects also arise from the charges for local telephone services. The first effects were the direct result of restructuring of AT&T in 1984. Then additional "access charges" were added to local bills in June 1985 and June 1986 as part of the procedure for equalizing both the cost and ease of access among competing long distance carriers and for allocating costs for different elements of the telephone system to the users of those elements. Coincident with the added access charges were partially offsetting declines in interstate long distance charges. Intrastate telephone service is a mixture of (1) toll service supplied by the local operating companies and (2) service provided by long distance carriers. As a result, the index for intrastate toll calls is a mixture of the two factors with no distinct trend of its own.

#### Auto insurance up sharply

Automobile insurance rates rose at an annual rate of 13.6 percent during the first half of 1986. Not only is this one of the highest inflation rates for items in the CPI, it is more than double the rate which existed in the 1979-81 period. The largest increases have been for the liability portions of auto insurance. A number of reasons have been offered for this. First, accidents are becoming more frequent-up nearly 5 percent between 1984 and 1985, possibly as the result of reduced adherence to the 55-mile-per-hour speed limit.<sup>15</sup> Second, the proportion of accident survivors who are severely injured has been increasing-ironically partly due to increased survival rates resulting from greater use of seatbelts, now mandatory in some States.<sup>16</sup> Third, as in medical care, there seems to be a significant increase in the frequency and magnitude of liability suits.<sup>17</sup> This fact not only increases direct insurance benefit outlays, it also increases uncertainty and carrier reserve levels. Fourth, declining interest rates have reduced insurance carriers' returns on their investments. While reduced interest income requires that additional income must be generated from premiums, the effect for automobile insurance is probably less than for some other types of insurance.

But automobile insurers are also benefiting from some significant reductions in cost pressures. The prices for automobile repair have slowed substantially. And, even though medical costs continue to rise more than many items, they are rising much more slowly than 6 years ago and much more slowly than the automobile insurance costs.

Intercity bus fares also rose at double-digit rates during the first half of 1986—up 14.9 percent. This large increase occurred despite the much more modest rises for the chief competing services—airlines and trains. This apparent anomaly can be understood, at least in part, by realizing that the competition is only partial. First, buses go many places that airlines and trains do not. Bus fares on these, usually shorter-haul, trips are not as constrained by competition. Second, airfares have not moved homogeneously. They have risen most slowly (or even fallen) on the routes with the heaviest competition and traffic. Other routes have had larger fare increases and given buses less competition.

#### **Other commodities**

Even excluding the sharp drop in energy prices and lower used car prices, prices for commodities have risen at a much slower rate than those for nonenergy services. This divergence in commodity and service prices suggests that commodity prices in this country may have been affected by lower priced imports resulting from the high value of the dollar relative to the currencies of other countries.

When the dollar was appreciating from 1981 to March 1985, foreign suppliers of imports could receive the same income in their own currency by selling the same quantity of imports at lower dollar prices, as each dollar received by them commanded a greater amount of their own currency. However, it may have taken some time for the rising value of the dollar to have translated into relatively lower costs of imports; a recent Federal Reserve Bulletin article estimated that such an impact may take up to 2 years to appear.<sup>18</sup> The impact of the changing value of the dollar on import prices can be delayed or reduced substantially as a result of changing profit margins of suppliers, the necessity to revise dollar denominated contracts, and specific trade restrictions such as import quotas. Also, changes in the rates of exchange between the dollar and the currencies of the Nation's various trading partners have not been uniform. While the dollar has depreciated significantly against the yen and a number of major European currencies, there has been little change against the currencies of many less developed countries which are significant trading partners. Another point to consider is that the relative price level of imports may be strongly affected by the growth rate of the domestic economy. Although U.S. economic growth has been quite modest, it still exceeds that of many of the country's principal trading partners. Thus, a large number of factors may have intervened to minimize the price-reducing effect of the 1981–85 dollar appreciation, and these same factors may vitiate or delay any inflationary impact of the post-March 1985 devaluation.

From June 1982 through March 1985, as the dollar was appreciating, prices paid by importers for consumer commodities (other than energy, food, and used cars) rose at an annual rate of only 0.7 percent, while prices paid by consumers for the same set of commodities (as measured by the CPI) rose at an annual rate of 3.0 percent.

In the 15 months following the March 1985 peak value of the dollar, these import prices accelerated sharply, rising at an annual rate of 7.9 percent, while the corresponding consumer prices actually slowed more and rose only 2.2 percent. At least for the first 15 months of the dollar's decline, therefore, there was no obvious aggregate effect on consumer prices. Prices paid by importers did not show any obvious impact of the dollar devaluation until the third quarter after it began. After two more quarters, prices paid by consumers still showed no major effects.

Comprehensive analysis relating changes in import prices to changes in consumer prices is difficult. However, some data on price changes have been compiled for nonfood, nonenergy components of the CPI which are judged to have an above average representation of imports in market sales. For each of the 16 commodity groups presented in table 5, import sales constituted more than 10 percent of 1984 total sales.

Table 5 presents annualized rates of change in the commodity price indexes for five periods; price changes shown for the first and last periods were periods of depreciation of the dollar in foreign exchange markets and the others, peri-

item	Dec. 1978 to Sept. 1981	Sept. 1981 to Dec. 1982	Dec. 1982 to Dec. 1983	Dec. 1983 to Mar. 1985	Mar. 1985 to June 1986
Commodities less food and energy	8.7	5.1	5.0	3.5	0.7
Wine at home	8.4	20	-15	7	26
Whiskey at home	5.9	2.6	1.5	1.3	7.8
Alcoholic spirits excluding whiskey	4.6	1.8	1.0	2.0	9.7
TV and sound equipment	1.9	- 1.1	- 2.2	- 4.1	- 5.1
Clocks, lamps, and decor items	8.3	1.7	2.4	1.0	1.6
Tableware, serving pieces, and nonelectric kitchenware	9.8	2.7	1.6	.5	2.2
Lawn equipment, power tools, other hardware	6.3	6.1	2.3	1.9	- 1.9
Men's and boys' apparel	4.7	2.9	2.3	2.3	1.3
Women's and girls' apparel	2.0	.1	3.3	2.5	- 2.3
Infants and toddlers' apparel	7.3	2.0	3.5	5.5	4.6
Jewelry and luggage	11.9	- 2.2	3.4	.3	- 1.1
Footwear	6.7	1.4	1.0	2.0	- 1.4
New vehicles	7.2	2.6	3.3	3.0	4.1
Sporting goods and equipment	7.6	2.7	2.6	2.5	.4
Toys, hobbies, and other entertainment commodities	8.2	4.0	1.5	1.3	2.5
Other toilet goods and small personal care appliances	9.3	5.3	5.2	3.6	2.9

Table 5. Seasonally adjusted annual rates of change for Consumer Price Indexes for selected commodities with higher than average import proportions. December 1978 to tupe 1996

ods of dollar appreciation. On the one hand, as the dollar strengthened from 1981 to early 1985, one would expect, all other things being equal, that commodities with substantial proportions of imports would exhibit a reduction in prices relative to those of other commodities. That is, while inflation for nonfood, nonenergy commodities on average dropped from 5.1 percent in the early part of the period to 3.5 percent in the latter, one might expect that the price change for commodities with significant import concentrations would slow even more. In fact, only four of these commodity indexes (TV and sound equipment; tableware, serving pieces, and nonelectric kitchenware; lawn equipment, power tools, and other hardware; and toys, hobbies, and other entertainment commodities) showed such a greater reduction.

On the other hand, as the dollar weakened, one would expect that prices for import-affected items would accelerate relative to other prices. Prices for some items have accelerated as one might expect, although quite modestly—for example, tableware, serving pieces, and nonelectric kitchen-ware; toys, hobbies, and other entertainment commodities; wine at home; and new vehicles. But whiskey and other distilled spirit prices accelerated strictly as the result of the imposition of an additional Federal excise tax on distilled spirits in October 1985 and prices for many other items actually slowed or declined more.

The case of new vehicles is an interesting one and illustrates the fact that not only the foreign producer, but also the domestic distributor, may expand profit rates during periods of rising dollar value. During the past few years, it became increasingly common for imported car dealers to add sometimes substantial surcharges because of the limited supply available to them. As the import prices of new cars have begun to rise sharply, there is evidence that dealers are cutting back on their surcharges so that the final prices to the consumer are rising more slowly. While the price paid by the importer rose 14.7 percent in the 9 months ended June 1986, the prices paid by consumers for all cars rose only 3.8 percent. Most of this CPI increase has, however, occurred in the last 3 months.

There is some evidence that a strong dollar may have helped lower inflation and that subsequent dollar weakness may be causing some upward price pressure. Nevertheless, the analysis summarized in table 5 indicates that general exchange rate movements may not serve as a reliable guide in predicting changes in consumer costs for specific products having high import representation, as other factors influencing price change in particular markets may predominate.

#### Conclusion

The absence of any overall inflation for consumers during the first half of 1986 derived primarily from the sharp decline in petroleum prices. Nevertheless, price moderation was very widespread. On average, cost pressures from materials and labor remained very subdued, and consumer prices for most commodites and many services rose only slightly or declined. The rates of price increase for shelter costs were greater than for overall consumption, but they have been slowing and, based on experience, one would expect that continued moderation in overall inflation will slowly continue to bring them down. The remaining pockets of persistent inflation seem to derive from particular structural factors that may not be very responsive to additional market forces. Among these factors are: reductions in Government subsidies and services, possible cost shifting from regulated activities of an establishment to the unregulated, putative increases in liability litigation, above average increases in compensation for State and local government employees, the court-ordered restructuring of AT&T, and higher rates of nonfatal personal injury in automobile accidents.

\_\_\_\_FOOTNOTES\_\_\_\_

<sup>1</sup> See, for example, *Blue Chip Economic Indicators*, Aug. 10, 1986, a consensus of 52 economists, who, on average, estimate an increase of 1.9 percent in the CPI for 1986.

<sup>2</sup> See Robert Gillingham and Walter Lane, "Changing the treatment of shelter costs for homeowners in the CPI," *Monthly Labor Review*, June 1982, pp. 9–14. See also "Changing the Homeownership Component of the Consumer Price Index to Rental Equivalence," *The CPI Detailed Report*, January 1983, pp. 7–13.

<sup>3</sup> Joseph D. Rivers and John P. Sommers, "Vacancy Imputation Methodology for Rents in the CPI," *Proceedings of the Business and Economics Section of the American Statistical Association*, 1983, pp. 201–05.

<sup>4</sup> "The effect of rental equivalence on the consumer price index, 1967– 82," *Monthly Labor Review*, February 1985, pp. 53–55.

<sup>5</sup> There is also limited anecdotal evidence that in some rental markets landlords may be offering special incentives other than lower rents to attract new tenants. Such incentives as reduced security deposits and free merchandise would not be reflected in the CPI rent index.

<sup>6</sup> Residential rent and owners' equivalent rent constitute nearly 92 percent of the shelter CPI. (Other components are maintenance and repair, tenants' and household insurance, lodging while out of town, and college housing.) In addition, while residential rent has usually risen somewhat faster than owners' equivalent rent since 1983, the two series have been quite close.

<sup>7</sup> As in any such analysis, unpredictable external factors can occur. One such potential factor in the coming months is the impending new income tax legislation which some analysts believe may cause upward pressure on residential rent if tax treatment of real estate investment is changed.

<sup>8</sup> The acceleration in these service prices from 1983 to 1984 might suggest the hypothesis that at that point in time there were the early stirrings of renewed economywide inflation. However, it was a very narrow phenomenon; almost the entire acceleration between those 2 years can be attributed to a temporary rise in automobile finance charges and to sharply higher local telephone charges arising from the divestiture of AT&T.

 $^{9}\,\mathrm{Employment}$  Cost Index (ECI) for compensation, Bureau of Labor Statistics.

<sup>10</sup> American Medical Association, Socioeconomic Characteristics of Medical Practice, 1985.

<sup>11</sup> American Hospital Association, Hospital Statistics, 1984.

<sup>12</sup> Vital and Health Care Statistics (National Center for Health Statistics, various years).

<sup>13</sup> Social Security Bulletin, Health Care Financing Administration, various years.

14 Employment Cost Index.

<sup>17</sup> Ibid.

<sup>18</sup> Catherine L. Mann, "Prices, Profit Margins, and Exchange Rates," Federal Reserve Bulletin, July 1986.

#### A rope of sand

My job as the president of the A. F. of L. was coveted by no one in the early days. There was much work, little pay, and very little honor. Though the Federation had been created by agreement, it had to be given reality by making it a force in industrial affairs. The necessary first step was to win for the Federation the good will of the wage-earners. The Federation was the unified activity of the trade union men. It was dependent upon good will and understanding of economic power. So I became a seeker of men. I wanted to win them for a labor movement which was sound philosophically, competent economically, and inspiring spirtually. At times I was well-nigh consumed with zeal, so that I gave little thought to anything else. My work was my life. So in recording the events of my life the labor movement is the controlling purpose.

I watched our local unions and gave them suggestions and advice. I fostered the organization of city centrals and State federations. I sent reminders to national officers urging them to pay per capita dues. In the case of national bodies which the Federation had fostered, local unions and members expected me to be a sort of fatherly supervisor of the organization. I wrote letters and talked to officials, diplomatically urging them to performance of duties and constructive policies. I got trade unions to put on their letterheads "affiliated to the American Federation of Labor" and thus helped advertise the name. All this work had to be done in such a way as to win men to the cause. The Federation had no compulsory authority—it was absolutely dependent upon voluntary cooperation.

—Samuel Gompers, Seventy Years of Life and Labor: An Autobiography, Nick Salvatore, ed. (Ithaca, NY, ILR Press, 1984), p. 103.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> Insurance Information Institute.

<sup>16</sup> Ibid.

# Spending patterns of older persons revealed in expenditure survey

New estimates point up differences in characteristics, incomes, and expenditures of younger and older populations within the larger group of persons age 65 and over

#### **BETH HARRISON**

Interest in the characteristics of older persons is flourishing due to the increasing size of the population age 65 and over. According to projections by the U.S. Bureau of the Census, presented in table 1, every fifth American will be over 65 by the year 2040. This reflects the aging of the postwar baby boom and declining birth rates during the later decades of this century. Clearly, older persons will constitute a rapidly growing political, social, and economic force for many years to come.

Within this environment, new estimates from the Bureau of Labor Statistics Consumer Expenditure Survey (CE) program are likely to be an important tool for trend assessment and policy formation. To date, "65 and older" has been the oldest age class for which expenditure data have been pub-

Beth Harrison is an economist in the Division of Consumer Expenditure Surveys, Bureau of Labor Statistics. lished. (For study purposes, consumer units are assigned to the age category of the householder, or "reference person," as reported on the survey questionnaire.<sup>1</sup>) In recently released estimates, however, that class has been divided into two groups, ages 65–74 and 75 and over. The results reveal that, although persons 65 and over are often viewed as a homogeneous group, the characteristics, incomes, and needs of the younger and older populations within the larger group are actually quite different.

*Expenditure differences.* Tables 2 and 3 summarize the differences in the 1984 characteristics and spending patterns of the two major subgroups of older Americans.<sup>2</sup> Consumer units in the 65–74 age group spent almost 22 percent more on housing than those in the 75-and-over group. However, housing accounted for a higher *share* of the older group's total expenditures. The expenditure share for fuels, utilities,

	Total, 6	5 and over	65-74		75 a	nd over
Year	Number	Percent of total population	Number	Percent of total population	Number	Percent of total population
1970	20,087	9.9	12,487	6.2	7,600	3.7
1980	25,708	11.3	15,647	6.9	10,061	4.4
2000	51 386	17.3	17,093	0.0	17,343	0.5
2040	66.642	21.6	20,228	9.4	46.414	122

and public services was also higher for the 75-and-over group, even though the amount spent was less than that for those age 65-74. The average expenditure on owned dwellings was more than one-third higher for those 65-74 than for the older class. This can be attributed to higher levels of homeownership and a higher percentage of consumer units still paying on their mortgages in the younger group. About 76 percent of those age 65-74 owned their homes compared to 67 percent of the older group. On the other hand, only 8 percent of the homeowners in the older group still owed on mortgages compared to 33 percent of their younger counterparts. There were also large differences in property taxes, for which the expenditures of those 65-74 were 33 percent more than those of the 75-and-over group. This reflects the higher rate of homeownership for the younger group and also suggests they owned more expensive houses or lived in areas with higher property taxes, or both.

Expenditures for transportation accounted for 19 percent of the total expenditures of those age 65–74, compared with 13 percent of the total for those 75 and over. The average expenditures of the former age group were more than twice as high as those of the latter. Much of the difference can be attributed to the higher level of vehicle ownership, 81 percent by those aged 65–74, compared to 59 percent by older persons. It is also likely that vehicles are used less frequently by the older group, further reducing their transportation expenditures.

The data for health care confirms the expectation that both mean expenditures for health care and the health care share of total expenditures are higher for the 75-and-over age group than for their younger counterparts. Health care accounted for 13 percent of the total expenditures of those 75 and over compared with 8 percent of the total for those 65–74.

*Income differences.* Because income is one of the important determinants of spending, differences in income may help to explain the differences in expenditure patterns. The following tabulation compares selected income components for the age groups.

Sources of income	Age 65–74	75 and over
Income before taxes	\$16,815	\$12,442
Sources of income (percent)	100.0	100.0
Wage and salaries	29.3	9.3
Self-employment income	4.6	6.5
Social Security, railroad, and		
government retirement	52.7	62.9
Interest and dividends	9.6	17.6
Other income	3.8	3.7

The mean income before taxes of the 65–74 age group was more than one-third higher than for persons 75 and over. The largest components of income for both groups were retirement income (consisting of Social Security, private, and government retirement), which accounted for 53 percent of the income of the 65–74 group, compared with 63 percent for the 75-and-over group. Wages and salaries made up about 30 percent of the income of the younger group, which indicates that the reference person or other household member was still working, while it dropped to 10 percent of income for those 75 and over. This is consistent with the fact that the average number of earners is three times higher for the younger group.

The age groups in table 3 differ from those published in regular CE releases. The working age groups, those 25-54, are combined. Older age groups are subdivided into those approaching retirement, those 55-59 and 60-64, and into the two groups that are the focus of this article, those 65-74 and 75 and over.

Since 1980, the Consumer Expenditure Survey has been conducted on a continuing basis. As a result, trends for age and other demographic groups can be more effectively monitored over time. This article is but one of a series highlight-

Ham	Age group			
Nem	65-74	75 and over		
Total expenditures	\$15,873	\$11,196		
Consumer unit characteristics:				
Average number of earners	.6	.2		
Average number of vehicles	1.4	.8		
With mortgage	20	5		
Without mortgage	56	62		
Percent renters	24	33		
Shares of total expenditures (percent):				
Total	100.0	100.0		
Food at home	13.0	13.6		
Food away from home	4.8	3.5		
Housing	30.5	35.5		
Transportation	19.2	13.0		
Health care	8.4	13.3		
Entertainment and reading	4.6	3.4		
Personal insurance and pensions	4.9	2.1		
Apparel	4.5	3.1		
Other	1 10.1	12.5		

Table 3.         Selected characteristics and annual expenditures of urban consumer units classified by age of reference person, Interview Survey, 1984										
Item	All consumer	Under 25	25-54	55-59	60-64	65–74	75 and over			

units	Under 25	20-04	30-33	00-04	00 14	over
74,884	7,266	42,688	5,418	5,592	8,312	5,608
23,043	2,456	13,005	1,631	1,666	2,551	1,734
			000.070	000 477	CIC DIE	610 440
\$24,578	\$12,579	\$29,114	\$30,670	\$23,477	\$10,815	\$12,442
2.6	1.8	3.1	2.7	2.3	1.9	1.5
46.2	21.5	38.0	56.9	62.0	69.3	80.6
1.4	1.3	1.7	1.8	1.2	.6	.2
1.9	1.1	2.1	2.3	2.0	1.4	.8
.7	.3	1.1	.4	.2	.1	0.
.3	.0	.0	.1	.1	1.4	1.4
60	10	60	79	79	76	67
\$21,788	\$13,178	\$25,484	\$25,369	\$20,705	\$15,873	\$11,196
3,391	2,030	3,834	4,092	3,413	2,831	1,912
299	364	347	320	225	179	90
6,626	3,740	7,857	7,044	5,877	4,848	3,972
3,747	2,386	4,559	3,751	3,066	2,386	2,014
2,188	465	2,791	2,442	1,961	1,378	1,009
1,171	1,724	1,340	751	662	632	884
388	197	428	558	443	377	122
1,679	722	1,827	2,058	1,837	1,644	1,311
333	118	398	288	238	269	356
868	513	1,073	947	737	549	291
1,192	787	1,457	1,459	995	715	346
4,385	3,303	5,193	4,758	4,204	3,041	1,450
1,873	1,678	2,263	1,804	1,750	1,175	385
1.047	759	1,225	1,246	993	764	354
1,176	732	1,401	1,389	1,096	800	473
288	133	304	319	366	302	238
899	305	796	986	1,132	1,340	1,487
1,040	678	1,288	1,209	851	604	291
205	105	214	272	244	211	148
140	66	158	163	135	130	93
312	601	343	416	139	88	101
225	151	260	299	221	173	65
311	129	381	421	291	172	135
740	106	803	913	746	762	878
2.023	814	2.554	3,017	2,233	778	229
302	57	337	640	360	220	86
1 721	757	2,216	2.377	1.873	558	142
	units           74,884           23,043           \$24,578           2.6           46.2           1.4           1.9           .7           .3           60           \$21,788           3.391           299           6,626           3.747           2,188           1,171           333           868           1,192           4,385           1,679           333           868           1,192           4,385           1,673           1,047           1,176           288           899           1,040           205           140           312           225           311           740           2,023           302           1,721	Units         Under 25           74,884         7,266           23,043         2,456           \$24,578         \$12,579           2.6         1.8           46.2         21.5           1.4         1.3           1.9         1.1           .7         .3           .3         .0           60         10           \$21,788         \$13,178           3,391         2,030           299         .364           6,626         3,740           3,747         2,386           2,188         465           1,171         1,724           333         118           868         513           1,192         787           4,385         3,303           1,873         1,678           1,047         759           1,176         732           288         133           899         305           1,040         678           205         105           140         66           312         601           225         151           311	Cristianies         Crister 23         25-64           74,884         7,266         42,688           23,043         2,456         13,005           \$24,578         \$12,579         \$29,114           2.6         1.8         3.1           46.2         21.5         38.0           1.4         1.3         1.7           1.9         1.1         2.1           .7         .3         1.1           .3         .0         .0           60         10         60           10         60         3,834           299         364         347           6,626         3,740         7,857           3,747         2,386         4,559           2,188         465         2,791           1,171         1,724         1,340           333         118         398           868         513         1,073           1,192         787         1,457           4,385         3,303         5,193           1,673         1,678         2,263           1,047         759         1,225      1,176         732         1,401	Units         Oncer 23         25-54         60-60           units         74,884         7,266         42,688         5,418           23,043         2,456         13,005         1,631           \$24,578         \$12,579         \$29,114         \$30,670           2.6         1.8         3.1         2.7           46.2         21.5         38.0         56.9           1.4         1.3         1.7         1.8           1.9         1.1         2.1         2.3           .7         3         1.1         .4           .3         .0         .0         .1           60         10         60         79           \$21,788         \$13,178         \$25,484         \$25,369           3,391         2,030         3,834         4,092           299         364         347         320           6,626         3,740         7,857         7,044           3,747         2,386         4,559         3,751           2,188         465         2,791         2,442           1,171         1,722         1,827         2,058           333         118         398	UnitsOrder 23 $27.67$ $36.67$ $46.67$ 74,8847,26642,6885,4185,59223,0432,45613,0051,6311,666\$24,578\$12,579\$29,114\$30,670\$23,4772.61.83.12.72.346.221.538.056.962.01.41.31.71.81.21.91.12.12.32.0.7.31.1.42.3.0.0.1.16010607979\$21,788\$13,178\$25,484\$25,369\$20,7053,3912,0303,8344,0923,4132993643473202256,6263,7407,8577,0445,8773,7472,3864,5593,7513,0662,1864652,7912,4421,9611,1771,7241,3407516623,3331183962882388685131,0739477371,1927871,4571,4599954,3853,3035,1934,7584,2041,6731,6782,2631,8041,7501,0477591,2251,2469331,1767731,4571,4599954,3853,3033043193668993057969861,1321	Units         District         District         District         District         District         District           74,884         7,266         42,688         5,418         5,592         8,312           23,043         2,456         13,005         1,631         1,666         2,551           \$24,578         \$12,579         \$29,114         \$30,670         \$23,477         \$16,815           2.6         1.8         3.1         2.7         2.3         1.9           46.2         21.5         38.0         56.9         62.0         69.3           1.4         1.3         1.7         1.8         1.2         6           1.9         1.1         2.1         2.3         2.0         1.4           7         3         1.1         4         2         .1           3.0         0         0         1.1         .1         1.4           60         10         60         79         79         76           \$21,788         \$13,178         \$25,484         \$25,369         \$20,705         \$15,873         3,966           2,188         465         2,717         2,486         2,386         2,377         1,477

ing interesting results from the expenditure survey. As the survey continues, additional issues will be covered.

#### \_\_\_\_FOOTNOTES\_\_\_\_\_

<sup>1</sup> The terms "household" and "consumer unit" are used interchangeably throughout this text. However, the consumer unit definition is the accurate

one for this survey. The Consumer Expenditure Survey is described in detail in *BLS Handbook of Methods*, 1982, ch. 6. Survey results are presented in annual reports and bulletins, the most recent of which is *Consumer Expenditure Survey Results from 1984*, USDL 86–258 (Bureau of Labor Statistics, June 22, 1986).

<sup>2</sup> The Consumer Expenditure Survey is composed of two independent surveys: An Interview Survey and a Diary Survey. The results in this article are from the Interview Survey.

# European job creation in the wake of plant closing and layoffs

Confronted with massive layoffs in recent years, firms, and often governments, have taken a variety of initiatives to stimulate business growth and job creation

#### WILLIAM SCHWEKE AND DAVID R. JONES

Over the past decade, millions of American workers lost their jobs through plant closings and work force reductions. According to the U.S. Department of Labor, between January 1979 and January 1984, 11.5 million adult workers were laid off because of plant shutdowns or relocations, abolition of shifts or positions, or slack work. Nearly 5.1 million of these people were considered displaced, having held their former jobs for 3 years or more.<sup>1</sup>

By January 1984, 1.3 million of these workers were still unemployed, and of those, 500,000 had been out of work for 27 weeks or more.<sup>2</sup> Hundreds of thousands more had taken pay cuts and part-time jobs simply to regain employment.

Europe, too, has undergone a massive economic shakeout over the past few years, generating little or no employment growth (in contrast to the increase in jobs in the United States). At the end of 1984, unemployment rates were at double-digit levels in the Netherlands (15 percent), Great Britain (13 percent), and France (10 percent).<sup>3</sup> This economic turmoil has drastically affected certain communities as well. Particularly in the United Kingdom, areas characterized by "mono-industrialism" suffered unemployment levels far above 20 percent.

By comparison, the United States outperformed Europe in creating jobs for its people from 1970 to 1984. From 1974 to 1984, the U.S. economy generated more than 26 million jobs. Of the four largest European nations—with a combined labor market slightly larger than the United States in 1970—West Germany lost close to 1.5 million jobs and Great Britain, 820,000, while France and Italy did better, creating around 380,000 and 1.3 million jobs respectively.

While layoffs and shutdowns proceed on both sides of the Atlantic, the response of government and corporations to the problems created has been significantly more vigorous in Europe than in the United States. American corporations tend to provide, at best, severance pay, relocation assistance, retraining, or job counseling, or a combination of these benefits, for the workers they dislocate. And while the Federal Government will authorize \$195 million in 1986 to aid displaced workers through Title III of the Job Training Partnership Act, the program falls far short of helping all those in need, and fails to ensure that enough jobs are created or sustained to employ those trained.

In contrast, European corporations—often working in concert with local, regional, and central governments—in recent years have gone beyond traditional types of assistance to workers and communities.<sup>4</sup> While the programs vary considerably as to methods and scope, they share a common goal of stimulating job creation and new business growth in the wake of economic downturn. By examining both the achievements and limitations of these innovative efforts, American corporations can expand their repertoire of strategies for alleviating the problems of economic dislocation.<sup>5</sup>

William Schweke is vice president of programs at the Corporation for Enterprise Development, Washington, DC. David R. Jones is a freelance writer and editor specializing in public policy.

#### **Effects of streamlining**

Across Europe, industrial sectors are experiencing thorough restructuring. In France and Great Britain, for example, nationalized firms have cut their labor forces and increased their productivity through eliminating less profitable facilities and investing in modern machinery. Corporate leaders see most of these changes as positive: many industries are leaner and more competitive, and new types of labor-management cooperation, such as quality circles, are emerging.

Yet, this industrial streamlining has proven devastating to thousands of workers and communities dependent upon corporations for employment. Continued stagnation in employment growth has provoked widespread alarm over the future of job creation. By the end of the decade, more than half of the unemployed in European countries will be long-term unemployed youth.<sup>6</sup>

However, Europeans in business, government, and labor increasingly are seeing entrepreneurship as the key to solving these labor market problems. Public and private corporations are fostering a wide range of business development options, in concert with such adjustment assistance measures as training, early retirement, severance benefits, job placement and development, and financial and employment counseling.

Great Britain, France, and Italy offer the most promising examples of corporate job creation to address economic dislocation. Because the roles of government and unions differ considerably from country to country—and even from plant closing to plant closing—the initiatives discussed in this report will be examined in the context of their national economic circumstances. Having assessed the successes and failures in each country, we then will note the patterns that cut across national boundaries and discuss the implications for U.S. public policy.

#### **Great Britain**

Great Britain has endured continued high levels of unemployment since 1980. While the government of Prime Minister Margaret Thatcher has pursued some direct employment measures, it is corporations that have spurred the most successful initiatives. In the process, these companies have learned the value of forming partnerships with local and regional governments and voluntary organizations to achieve their goals.

*BSC Industry, Ltd.* This program, begun nearly a decade ago by the country's nationalized giant, British Steel Corporation, serves as Europe's showcase example of job creation and new business formation. BSC Industry was established as a wholly owned subsidiary of the company to create jobs in communities and regions hit by steel mill closures (British Steel slashed its work force from 225,000 workers in 1975 to 71,000 employees in 1985).

BSC Industry initially focused on recruiting other large firms to distressed areas. This approach has gradually given way to a broader range of efforts targeted to smaller firms and would-be entrepreneurs. The subsidiary, which became independent of British Steel in 1984, now operates in 18 steel-mill closure areas. Its activities include marketing new images for depressed steel communities ("opportunity areas") to provide a supportive climate for indigenous business development and to attract outside employers; providing comprehensive business assistance, including seed financing and loans, to new and existing firms; converting outdated facilities into incubators (or "workshops") for entrepreneurs; and encouraging the formation of independent and public-private partnerships-known as Local Enterprise Agencies-to bring together community resources in a united effort to regenerate distressed steel communities. BSC Industry has merged its technical assistance operations with those of the Local Enterprise Agencies.

From 1975 to 1984, British Steel committed about £40 million to BSC Industry's activities. The results have been impressive: 2,000 companies have been assisted in creating 30,000 new jobs, with another 20,000 jobs to be filled in the near future. Eight entrepreneurial workshops house hundreds of businesses. The organization's loan programs provide crucial gap financing that the private sector has been unable to provide to more than 200 firms, with £8 million to £9 million out at any one time; 90 percent of the loans are equal to or less than £25,000 (approximately \$17,361).<sup>7</sup>

Scottish Development Agency. This is another organization taking a broad approach to the problem. The Scottish Development Agency was formed in 1975 by the merger of the Scottish Industrial Company with the National Investment Bank. It focuses on local small business development through factory building, management and marketing assistance, gap financing, and youth entrepreneurship development. One project, the Clydebank Enterprise Fund, has loaned nearly £700,000 (around \$486,108) to 50 local companies, most of them new business start-ups; over £2 million have been leveraged.

*Private corporate activity*. United Biscuits, British American Tobacco, and the glassmaker, Pilkington Brothers, have followed BSC Industries' approach and now offer financing, comprehensive business assistance, and unused land and buildings to small firms in communities hit by shutdowns. In plant closure situations, United Biscuits has financed local economic and community development feasibility studies, as well as provided "challenge grants" to match local job creation resources. It supports project Fullemploy, which provides intensive training, mainly for ethnic minorities, for retail and sales work and boasts a 70-percent placement rate.

#### France

In France, larger firms must receive government approval before closing plants. Companies must offset the resulting job loss with job creation efforts. Thus, in contrast to Great Britain, the central government and unions in France serve as the main catalysts for responses to economic downturn. Nevertheless, a number of French firms like the Thomson Group, the BSN Group, St. Gobain, Rhone Poulenc, Elf Acquitaince, and others, have gone far beyond mere adjustment assistance.

*SOFIREM*. The country's nationalized coal company, Charbonnages de France, has pioneered efforts to rebuild distressed industrial communities through its job creation subsidiary, SOFIREM (Societe Financiere pour Favoriser l'Industrialisation des Regions Minieres). The central government empowered SOFIREM to offer a financial package of equity, loans, and subsidies to entice large firms to distressed coal communities. In addition, SOFIREM helped create 30 businesses in 1984 and another 30 in 1985, and plans on fostering another 70 over the next 2 years. Its Industrial Fund uses 300 million francs (approximately \$43 million) annually for constructing buildings, promoting exports, providing training, and conducting feasibility studies.<sup>8</sup>

*SOPRAN*. Rhone Poulenc, a large chemical company, created SOPRAN (Societe pour la Promotion d'Activites Nouvelles) as a separate company in the late 1970's to create employment for workers it laid off. Along with providing adjustment assistance—early retirement for former employees 55 years and older and transfer rights to displaced employees—SOPRAN is currently helping about 40 people start their own firms. More than 1,200 new jobs have been created through SOPRAN's efforts—about half of them going to former employees, a much higher ratio than BSC Industry has achieved. Each job has cost Rhone Poulenc roughly 65,000 francs (\$9,312), a small sum compared with the cost of similar programs in other countries.<sup>9</sup>

*St. Gobain.* This company, which produces products for automobiles and construction, provides transfer assistance to displaced employees and technical and financial assistance to developing, small- to medium-sized firms. Working through five decentralized regional delegations, the St. Gobain Development Service has helped 230 businesses, creating 5,050 jobs, over the past 3 years. Of these firms, about 150 were established, growing companies, while 50 were start-ups, and 30 were new businesses attracted.<sup>10</sup>

Loans are typically extended for 10 years at the modest interest rate of 6 percent. The size of the loan is geared to the number of jobs involved, with the company aiming to spend 10,000 to 50,000 francs (\$1,432 to \$7,163) per job. If a former employee of St. Gobain is hired, the loan is changed into a direct grant.

#### Italy

Montedison. In Italy, as in France, the central government and unions provide most of the impetus for corporate job creation. A major exception is Montedison, a large diversified firm, that, on its own, has undertaken broad efforts to aid small- and medium-sized firms. Montedison helps small businesses in economically depressed southern Italy to qualify as its suppliers. It also assists new and established businesses throughout Italy, regardless of whether they are in industries related to Montedison's products or needs. Unlike many other European business assistance programs, Montedison shies away from financing in favor of providing such services as regulatory assistance, infrastructure design, and foreign marketing.

*ENI*. The giant petrochemical conglomerate ENI (Ente Nazionale Idrocarburi) has worked since 1978 on promoting industrial diversification and providing alternative employment for laid-off employees. Its activities center on aiding small and new businesses. Working in eight "crisis areas," ENI has helped create 1,000 jobs and has provided vocational training for another 3,400 workers.<sup>11</sup>

#### Implications and possibilities

Perhaps most importantly, these new European initiatives show that corporations and governments can considerably expand their efforts to launch enterprise and job creation programs in response to industrial restructuring. In America, best corporate practices focus only on picking up the pieces after closures, whereas the European efforts cited the way to moving from mere reaction to proaction.

Second, the most successful of these efforts indicate ways for corporations to integrate social responsibility into mainstream business operations. By synthesizing their longerterm business strategy with their employment and adjustment concerns, corporations can find ways to serve their goals of launching new products and services, developing new business ideas, improving employee morale, encouraging entrepreneurial initiative, and maintaining good public relations. The success of SOFIREM in France and Montedison in Italy demonstrates how closely these concerns can be integrated.

Third, as the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development has noted, companies have found that their natural partners in employment initiatives are local government and voluntary organizations.<sup>12</sup> Prior involvement in community affairs and knowledge of the local political situation and its actors are crucial. From the partnerships between schools and industry that United Biscuits has forged, to the Local Enterprise Agencies that BSC Industry created, Great Britain has taken the lead in this area.

Fourth, the European actions suggest possibilities for union and community negotiations over closings. The initiatives serve as precedents for crafting either formal closure

gitized for FRASER ps://fraser.stlouisfed.org deral Reserve Bank of St. Louis agreements or flexible responses to the impending problems that closures will generate.

Finally, a closely related point is the importance of prior notice of layoffs and shutdowns. This not only gives companies time to build partnerships with unions and communities; it is essential for giving firms enough lead time to develop and launch new businesses. Being a day late may mean being a dollar short. SOPRAN reports that is takes at least 18 months to get a new plant into operation.<sup>13</sup> Graham Ledger of United Biscuits in Great Britain notes that his company gave a 3-year closure notice for its Liverpool facility, and, "We think we did it right."<sup>14</sup>

#### Limitations

Like its achievements, Europe's problems with job creation are instructive. The chief barrier which European corporations have confronted is the nature of entrepreneurialism; it cannot solve all problems, nor should it be expected to do so. In particular, most initiatives have failed to integrate large numbers of blue-collar workers and their concerns into operation. Even BSC Industry has had very limited success in creating new jobs for displaced steelworkers.<sup>15</sup> Indeed, the program's leaders see its role as creating jobs in closure-affected communities, not as finding employment for displaced steelworkers.

This means that small and new business development must be complemented with more traditional strategies. For example, the Clwyd County Council and British Steel Corporation Industry in Great Britain and SOFIREM and St. Gobain in France have succeeded in attracting major new firms to their areas to supplement their work in indigenous business development.

Management problems pose a second major limitation. Many initiatives rely on inadequate assessments of local assets and liabilities. Then, too, the responses to problems tend to become formulaic; over and over, the same techniques—seed capital, workshops, and technical assistance—are used. New, riskier programs—such as the Clywd County Council's Project Live Wire for training young people as entrepreneurs—offer the promise of breaking the mold.

A third limitation arises from the very depth of the economic dislocation problem. In many communities, the amount of job creation is dwarfed by the numbers of jobs lost. The Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) argues that it is impossible to estimate the number of jobs created by these initiatives. For instance, it is difficult to even define the terms "durable" job and "new" job. However, the Organization states that "it is hard to imagine that corporate job creation schemes have to date yielded much more than 100,000 new jobs in the whole of Western Europe."<sup>16</sup> Furthermore, it is virtually impossible to measure the number of second- and third-wave jobs created when new businesses start up.<sup>17</sup> In any case, these new initatives can only deal in limited ways with the underlying The growth of the Initiatives for Local Employment (ILE) program has been spearheaded by the failure of traditional solutions developed by central governments which attempt to produce satisfactory responses, let alone solutions, to the unemployment problems. The ILE program is run by a small team within the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) secretariat. Its function is to encourage cooperation, exchange, and analysis between the different participants in the program who meet regularly as the ILE's directing committee. The program's secretariat proposes and undertakes research and action; encourages and organizes the exchange of ideas, experience, and people; and assists countries in realizing the objectives of the program.

Taking part in the program are: Australia, Austria, Belgium, Canada, Denmark, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Ireland, Italy, the Netherlands, Norway, Portugal, Spain, Sweden, Turkey, the United Kingdom, and the United States, and the Commission of the European Communities. Japan is an Observer Member.

The sharing and exchange of information and experience is a central and continuing part of the ILE program's work. This activity will increasingly provide a network of interested innovators with information drawn from seminars, case studies, and special studies. This information will be diffused through a liason letter as well as through a series of publications—ILE Notebooks. In the long term, it is planned to establish an advanced communications system linking internationally a wide network of groups and individuals involved in local employment initiatives.

The ILE program welcomes any initiatives, ideas, proposals, or information. Also, any requests for documentation or arranging contracts should be addressed to:

> OECD ILE Programme 2, rue du Conseiller-Collignon, 75116 Paris France

causes of closures and dislocation. (See box.)

Problems in corporate dealings with unions and local communities present a fourth limitation. Understandably, both labor and management often feel compelled to debate the plant closure decision itself, preventing the timely development of contingency plans for creating new jobs. Similarly, many projects fail to involve other community actors and neglect the creation of new, community-based institutions to carry forward a longer-term renewal strategy.

Finally, disseminating these models to the United States requires considerable translation. European corporate leaders see themselves as responsible to a broader set of stakeholders than do their American counterparts, who tend to weigh shareholder concerns more heavily.

#### New corporate roles

While altruism plays a role in the European initatives discussed, time and again, corporate leaders in the three

countries cited corporate self-interest as a motivating factor. Targeted business investment can help diminish pressing social problems that, if neglected, eventually can undermine business profits and long-term investment opportunities.

Such investments may prove especially important in layoff situations where firms plan to maintain operations. This not only generates goodwill among labor and the community, but also helps prevent the local business climate from unraveling to the point where the costs of doing business increase and retaining quality management and employees becomes prohibitive. As an executive of the British firm Pilkington Brothers noted, "You can't operate behind barbed wire. You can't operate in an area with 30 percent unemployment. You have to deal with the problem."<sup>18</sup>

Most of the job-creating tools, including incubators, technical and financial assistance, and entrepreneurial training, are already in place in the United States; in fact, options such as venture capital are far more abundant here than overseas. What is needed by American leaders is an understanding of how specifically to apply these tools in ameliorating the devastating effects of layoffs and shutdowns. This report provides an introduction to these development tools. The detailed work on their application should be written by corporate and government leaders themselves.

-FOOTNOTES-

ACKNOWLEDGMENT: Work on this article is based upon grants from two organizations: the German Marshall Fund of the United States which financed a 1985 research trip to France, Great Britain, the Netherlands, Italy, and West Germany and cofunded with the U.S. Economic Development Administration the development of a handbook on *Job Creation In the Wake of Plant Closings*. This manual will be published in the fall of 1986.

<sup>1</sup>Techology and Structural Unemployment: Re-employing Displaced Adults, OTA-ITE-250 (U.S. Congress, Office of Technology Assessment, 1986), p. 1.

<sup>2</sup> Ibid, p. 7.

<sup>3</sup> Corporation for Enterprise Development, *Job Creation In The Wake of Plant Closings* (Washington, 1985), p. 1.

<sup>4</sup> Obviously, U.S. companies have been very active in the corporate responsibility and enterprise development fields for a number of years and have, in fact, been in the vanguard. American corporate philanthropic practice dwarfs that of Europe. (One of the principal reasons is that Europe's much more extensive safety net has not encouraged the development of this sector.) Yet, despite U.S. pathbreaking actions in urban development, antipoverty initiatives, and so on, these efforts have not focused on the issues of economic dislocation. Instead, initiatives from corporations like the Control Data Corporation to create small business incubators have been responses to the broader problems of new technology development and small business assistance. The sole U.S. exceptions are the Brown and Williamson Corporation which paid for a reuse plan for a closed facility in Petersburg, VA, and Levi Strauss and Company which hired The Corporation for Enterprise Development to develop an economic development feasibility study in two communities in rural Tennessee where they closed branch plants.

<sup>5</sup> Readers interested in more on this subject should know that the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development in Paris publishes a wealth of books, articles, monographs, and memoranda on employment policy, labor market demograhics, and enterprise development. Its Initiatives for Local Employment Creation (ILE) program collects and disseminates information on local employment information, including publishing a newsletter. (See box; and for more information, contact: Chris Brooks, OECD, Local Employment Initiatives Programme, Monaco Annex, Rue du Conseiller, Collignon, 75775 Paris, France.)

<sup>6</sup> Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD), High Level International Conference on the Role of Large Firms In Job Creation and Entrepreneurship, SME/ILE/85-13 (Paris, November 1985), p. 1.

<sup>7</sup> Interview with Brian Margarett, Wales Director, British Steel Corporation Industries, 1985.

<sup>8</sup> Interview with Bernard July, SOFIREM, 1985.

<sup>9</sup> Jocelyn Gutchess, *Employment Security In Action: Strategies That Work* (New York, Pergamon Press, 1985), p. 147.

<sup>10</sup> Interview with Monsieur Guiu, General Director, St. Gobain Developpement, 1985.

<sup>11</sup> Interview with Gustavo DeSantis, Research Director, Ente Nazionale Idrocarburi (ISVET, ENI), 1985.

<sup>12</sup> OECD, International Conference, p. 2.

<sup>13</sup> Gutchess, Employment Security, p. 153.

<sup>14</sup> Interview with Peter Shepherdson, General Manager, New Opportunities, Pilkington Brothers, 1985.

<sup>15</sup> OECD, International Conference, p. 8; and Gutchess, Employment Security, p. 153.

<sup>16</sup> OECD, International Conference, p. 3.

17 Ibid.

18 Shepherdson interview.

# Conventions

# Constitutional convention marks golden anniversary of the UAW

#### HENRY GUZDA

The United Automobile, Aerospace and Agricultural Implement Workers of America (UAW) ended a 2-year celebration with the close of their 28th constitutional convention (UAW conventions are triennial), held June 1-6, in Anaheim, CA. It marked the culmination of the UAW's golden anniversary (1935-85), 50 years of social and economic progress. The celebratory theme, "We make our own history," was replete with allegories and accounts of the union's existence, highlighted by an episodic pictorial exhibit of that struggle: photos of the 1937 sit-down strike against General Motors; pictures on the beatings delivered to union organizers Walter Reuther and Richard Frankensteen in the infamous "Battle of the Overpass"; scenes of production lines manned by UAW-CIO members who helped to make the U.S. Army "go and grow" during World War II; and photos spanning a decade of activism by the UAW in the civil rights movement. Every guest speaker and union official invoked the name and memory of past heroes and events.

The union's stormy past reflected poignantly on an equally turbulent present. Thousands of auto industry jobs have shifted to foreign shores, and many American automakers have asked the union for further sacrifices in the form of collective bargaining concessions to remain competitive in a global economy. The new industrial relations system (a term to describe the current mode of cooperative labor-management relations vis-a-vis an adversarial one) has confused and upset some rank-and-file UAW members, provoking emotional debate among the 2,500 delegates over the union's survival strategy. Complicating these internal problems was the decision by all but one of the UAW Canadian locals to secede and form their own union, the Canadian Auto Workers (CAW-Canada).

The convention climate, however, was upbeat and confident. Elected for a second consecutive 3-year term, UAW President Owen Bieber vowed to "go to war" to protect the union cause. While acknowledging the existence of internal union dissension caused by the tenor of troubled times, Bieber exclaimed that the "U" in UAW really does stand for



"United." Industrial cooperation in today's economic world, he added, is imperative but also a two-way street.

#### Fair trade, a key issue

High on the UAW list of convention priorities was the American trade imbalance and the erosion of our industrial base. The auto industry has lost 400,000 jobs to foreign competition since 1980, and the delegates place much of the blame on trade policies. The delegates supported several resolutions calling for a national industrial policy to increase our competitiveness with low wage standard nations and encourage productivity in the United States, including the general "Resolution on International Trade and Related Matters." This resolution supports domestic auto content legislation, recognition of unfair trade practices, trade adjustment assistance for U.S. workers displaced by foreign competition, affirmative Federal action to protect U.S. jobs, and penalties against nations which disregard worker rights.

The trade resolution also called on the Federal Government to protect the American industrial base. The shift in emphasis to service and information sector jobs—which organized labor views as low paying jobs—is detrimental to the U.S. economy, stated several delegates from the floor. The delegation also voiced support for H.R. 4800, a trade bill passed by the U.S. House of Representatives and awaiting action by the U.S. Senate, that they believe will remedy some of the problems addressed at the convention.

Other convention speakers also focused on this theme. Senator Howard Metzenbaum, from a "rust belt" State with serious employment and trade problems, told the gathering that worker solidarity on a national industrial policy was imperative because nations with even lower wage rate structures than our current chief competitors are planning to export autos to the United States. Murray Finley, president of the Amalgamated Clothing Workers, reminded the delegates that all of U.S. industry faces this problem, and Shiro Umemura, acting president of the Japanese Auto Workers, underscored the need for global worker solidarity. Bieber had previously educated the audience about current and future plans by Brazil, Yugoslavia, The People's Republic of China, and South Korea, all with auto worker wage rates under \$1 an hour, to export cars to the United States. Herman Rebhan, General Secretary of the International Metal Workers Federation-a body of auto worker and related trades organizations in the noncommunist world-discussed the lack of worker rights in these nations. The trade resolution calls for denial of favored-nation status to polities like Korea because of worker rights violations.

Henry Guzda is an industrial relations specialist with the Bureau of Labor Management Relations and Cooperative Programs, U.S. Department of Labor.

To complement the message delivered from the speakers' podium, the delegates held a spirited floor demonstration. Carrying placards calling for Federal support of plant closing legislation, protection against pension plan failures, and support of trade bill H.R. 4800, the delegates paraded around the convention floor while a speaker read out the names and locations of the participating locals: a litany of rust belt cities and towns. The demonstration was organized by the UAW's Industrial Parts Supplier Department, whose members have been deeply affected by the use of foreign parts in auto manufacturing. The resolution was vociferously adopted by the delegates.

#### **Internal affairs**

The UAW's reputation for honesty and internal democracy has been tarnished by recent events, but the convention quickly reapplied the lustre. Most embarrassing for the union was the conviction of Frank Runnels, director of region 1-E, for taking kickbacks from workers' compensation lawyers. The UAW executive board removed him from office following the conviction and confirmed their decision at the convention by consolidating region 1-E with region 1-A under director Earnest Lofton. The convention condemned Runnels' betraval of his stewardship.

The union conducted additional housecleaning. Several officials from Chicago were reprimanded by the UAW Public Review Board, an oversight body of distinguished academics, for improper administration of pension funds. No other major union has such an internal oversight body.

More damaging in substance than reputation was the secession of the Canadian locals from the UAW. In 1984, Canadian auto worker locals, under the direction of UAW Vice President for Canada Robert White, requested broad autonomy in the administration of their affairs. The UAW executive board ruled the request excessive and demanding of more powers for White than were endowed to international President Bieber. Consequently, the Canadians established de facto independence in 1985, and made it de jure through the formal procedures of the convention. Amended constitution article 53 transferred property and more than \$30 million to the new CAW-Canada. The UAW lost about \$15 million per year in dues, but retained its international status through the Wallaceburg, Ontario, Local 251, with 1,800 members, which voted to remain in the Detroit-based union.

President Bieber and the delegates prologued the amicable separation, extending a friendly hand to the new union and promising to keep the lamp of hope lit for a future reconciliation. The departure of 120,000 Canadian members drops UAW membership to about 1.1 million, the lowest total since 1961.

The issue of Canadian autonomy reflected upon a proposed resolution for direct elections of union officials. Twenty locals submitted 31 resolutions on the adoption of a referendum system to replace the convention/delegate sys-

However, the constitutional committee of the convention encouraged retention of the existing system. Referendum voting, they argued, could engender constant factionalism and infighting which might open the UAW to monitoring by government agencies or other bodies. They believed incumbents would have unfair advantages which might lead challengers to seek assistance from sources not having the best interests of the union as a priority. According to the committee report, only two major unions, the Steelworkers and Mineworkers, still utilize the referendum system; the Electrical Workers union (IUE) switched to the convention format in 1978 to alleviate administrative problems. Considerable debate followed the committee report, and the convention eventually agreed to retain the current system.

Appropriately, the elections procedure debate fueled the controversy in a particularly intense contest for director of UAW region 5, comprising south central States. Incumbent Ken Worley defeated insurgent candidate, and onetime assistant, Jerry Tucker by a single vote, 325-324. Tucker's "New Directions" movement contested the eligibility of several delegates and convinced the entire convention to postpone Worley's installation as regional director until the credentials committee could rule on the matter. After deliberation, the committee upheld Worley's election by an official tally of 324.577 to 324.416 (fragmented voting is common under the delegate system). All other regional directorships were decided by acclamation, except for one noncontroversial race.

At the international level, Raymond Majerus was reelected secretary-treasurer, with Odessa Komer, Marc Stepp, Stephen Yokich, and Donald Ephlin retaining their respective vice presidencies. An attempt to remove Ephlin from office because of opposition to the Saturn agreement (discussed below) which he engineered failed when the dissidents' nominee refused to challenge. The delegates voted to increase the salaries of the president (from \$74,893.97 per year to \$82,268.76); the secretary-treasurer (from \$67,252.05 to \$74,359.37); international executive board members (from \$56,634.97 to \$63,360.34); and international representatives (from \$42,227.51 to \$48,151.12).

#### **Cosmic controversy**

Paradoxically, the issue stimulating the most controversy and debate was one that procedurally belonged in the realm of a collective bargaining convention, not a constitutional one. Saturn, the name of both an innovative collective bargaining agreement and the new subsidiary corporation of General Motors, proposes to operate an auto factory in Spring Hill, TN, utilizing a cooperative labor-management

relations process. Workers will receive a salary in lieu of hourly wages, estimated at 80 percent of the industry average wage, but will be eligible for performance bonuses. Under the participatory management provisions of the agreement, workers will have positive roles in company decisionmaking. Another key provision of the contract is protection against layoffs. Also, management can utilize workers in a variety of job categories because the myriad of job classifications in the traditional auto factory have been greatly reduced.

Some dissidents charged that this departure from the traditional collective bargaining process places the worker on "the sacrificial altar of industry domination." It is concessionary, they charge and, citing the many violent struggles the union has fought to get current wage and benefit levels, insist that it endangers the future existence of the trade union movement. Victor Reuther, a UAW cofounder, has served as the symbolic leader of this group.

Under article 19 of the union's constitution, the dissidents succeeded in getting the floor to debate Saturn. Delegates Peter Kelley of Local 160 and Al Gardner of Local 600 argued that the article's section 3 prohibited union officials from negotiating contracts without prior approval and ratification by workers in the collective bargaining unit. Given that the workers for Saturn have not been hired, they claimed the agreement was invalid. In addition, they challenged the agreement for violating section 6 of the same article which states that the executive board shall not encourage rivalry among locals and shall protect superior agreements. Gardner charged that Saturn allowed "whipsawing" of one local against another because its concessionary provisions would cause employers to demand similar contract concessions from other locals. The protests noted that officials of Chrysler Corp. and General Motors have hailed the future "Saturnization" of the auto industry.

The Saturn debate lasted 2 hours and extended the convention well past the scheduled recess time, with the delegates eventually voting to table the issue for the proper forum of the 1987 collective bargaining convention. But the catalyst for convention action was Bieber. He pleaded with the delegates not to tie the hands of the executive board by abrogating the agreement and thereby create another unorganized auto plant. The Saturn experiment was a conduit through which the UAW would get its foot in the door of a right-to-work area and then branch out to organize the nearby Nissan factory in Smyrna, TN, and the proposed Toyota plant in Georgetown, KY. Citing the joint GM-Toyota venture in Freemont, CA (New United Motor Manufacturing, Inc.), Bieber reminded the convention that the UAW members working there would have lost their jobs to plant closure if not for the implementation of a cooperative concept. He also reminded the dissidents that Chrysler Corp. failed to get Saturn-like agreements in collective bargaining contracts negotiated in 1985, and that once hired, the work force at Saturn could vote to change conditions. The delegates supported Bieber. (As the UAW debated the issue, the National Labor Relations Board upheld the UAW-Saturn pact as legal and not in conflict with Tennessee right-to-work or Federal laws as charged by the National Right-to-Work Committee.)

A milder controversy arose over the question of dues payments. A resolution called for supplemental funding for the UAW Walter and May Reuther Educational Center in Black Lake, MI, appropriated from dues payments. Dues are collected on 2 hours pay per month for each individual and surpluses over the \$500 million strike fund are rebated to locals. Some delegates, not opposing aid for the educational center, used the opportunity of debate to criticize procedures approved at the 1983 convention for taking extra dues from members based on bonuses and profit-sharing amounts. The anti-Saturn delegates charged that this system aided the cause of employers who desired bonuses and profit-sharing pay increases over the annual improvement wage plan. A third protest contingent argued that bonuses and profitsharing sums were not wages and should not be considered in dues payments. Protests notwithstanding, the delegates voted to accept the resolution designed by the constitutional committee for additional funding of the educational center and continuance of the dues system adopted at the 1983 convention.

Other resolutions were recommended and adopted with little controversy. The UAW reaffirmed its commitment to social and economic progress by supporting women's rights, the concerns of the needy, civil rights, protection of the environment, and similar concerns.

#### Solidarity forever

Historically, the UAW has sought to build bridges of cooperation with others in the labor movement. This convention reinforced that effort. The UAW cancelled reservations at the Disneyland Hotel to honor picket lines of the Hotel Employees and Restaurant Employees Union, depriving the struck hotel of an estimated \$600,000 in revenue. The convention promised more assistance for distressed unions as when the UAW sent supplies to the flood ravaged coal miners of West Virginia in 1985.

Just as important was the international flavor of the convention's call for worker solidarity. The UAW invited trade unionists from 16 countries to attend, including Yugoslavia, Malaysia, India, and South Africa. Resolutions were adopted condemning supression of workers' rights in Poland, South Africa, and Chile.

Bieber's keynote address contained a fitting summation for this convention and the end of the first 50 years of the union. "Brothers and sisters," he said, "there have been dark moments along our 50 years of struggle. By ourselves, we could not have survived, let alone prospered. We did—because we stood together." He then closed by paraphrasing labor's anthem, Solidarity Forever, stating, "together there is no power greater anywhere beneath the sun, for the UAW makes us strong."

## Research Summaries



#### BLS white-collar pay survey now covers small firms

#### JOHN D. MORTON

Clerical workers and recent hires in professional and administrative positions typically are paid 10 to 20 percent more in large firms employing 2,500 workers or more than in small firms employing 50 to 999 workers. In contrast, the pay advantage for fully experienced professionals in these large firms is usually under 5 percent. (See table 1.)

The national survey of professional administrative, technical, and clerical pay (PATC survey) in 1986 increased its coverage of firms with as few as 50 workers. As a result of the expansion, 156,000 establishments employing 33.5 million workers were covered in 1986 (previously, the survey covered 47,000 establishments employing 23.3 million workers). Establishments in Alaska and Hawaii are excluded. The survey is conducted by the Bureau of Labor Statistics, but survey occupations and coverage, such as establishment size and the private industries to be included, are determined by the President's Pay Agent (the Secretary of Labor and the Directors of the Office of Management and Budget and the Office of Personnel Management).<sup>1</sup>

In addition to the size of a firm's work force, skill and experience also influence white-collar pay, as can be readily seen from the survey results. (See table 2.) Engineers, the survey's most numerous occupational group, illustrate the effect of rising skill levels on pay: recent engineering graduates (level I) averaged \$27,866 annually in March 1986, while engineers responsible for highly complex engineering programs (level VIII) averaged \$79,021.<sup>2</sup>

In contrast, skill levels can act as a source of pay uniformity for the same level of work among different occupations. The following tabulation shows a relatively narrow (9 percent) spread separated the highest paid and lowest paid of six equivalent work levels in the survey:

Work levels	Annual salary level
Attorney IV	\$63,933
Director of personnel III	63,855
Chief accountant III	62,880
Accountant VI	61,546
Chemist VI	60,796
Engineer VI	58,883

John D. Morton is an economist in the Division of Occupational Pay and Employee Benefit Levels, Bureau of Labor Statistics.

(

F

Table 1. Relative pay levels by size of establishment, selected white-collar occupations in the national survey of professional, administrative, technical, and clerical pay, March 1986

lab alasaifi salas	Mean salaries for establishment size groups as a percent of surveywide averages						
Job classification	All establishments	50 to 999 workers	1,000 to 2,499 workers	2,500 workers or more			
Accountants I	100	96	104	107			
Accountants III	100	98	101	107			
Accountants IV	100	99	101	102			
Engineers I	100	93	102	104			
Engineers III	100	97	104	101			
Engineers IV	100	98	103	100			
Computer programmers I	100	94	102	110			
Computer programmers III	100	97	98	104			
Computer programmers IV	100	98	98	102			

Unequal market demands, however, can nullify this cluster effect. For example, average pay for beginning engineers in the survey was well above that of their accountant and chemist counterparts.

Although the PATC survey focuses on salary levels at a given time, its history permits a look at salary trends. Whitecollar salaries increased moderately between March 1985 and March 1986 in medium and large firms. Average salaries for most occupations surveyed rose between 3.0 and 5.5 percent—in line with gains reported a year earlier. In contrast, occupational salary increases averaged about 7 percent a year during the 1970's and more than 9 percent in 1981 and 1982. However, the rate of increase has been declining since 1982.<sup>3</sup>

A detailed analysis of white-collar salaries and complete results of this year's survey are included in the *National Survey of Professional*, *Administrative*, *Technical*, *and Clerical Pay*, *March 1986*, Bulletin 2271 (Bureau of Labor Statistics, 1986). The bulletin contains, for example, separate salary data by size of community and size of establishment.

#### —FOOTNOTES—

<sup>1</sup> The Pay Agent has designated the industrial coverage as follows: mining; construction; manufacturing; transportation, communications, and public utilities; wholesale and retail trade; finance, insurance, and real estate; and selected services. The pay-setting role of the PATC survey is described in George L. Stelluto's "Federal pay comparability: facts to temper the debate," *Monthly Labor Review*, June 1979, pp. 18–28. Table 2. Average salaries for selected occupations, national survey of professional, administrative, technical, and clerical pay, March 1986

Occupation and class	Number of employees <sup>1</sup>	Average annual salaries <sup>2</sup>	Occupation and class	Number of employees <sup>1</sup>	Average annual salaries <sup>2</sup>
Accountants and auditors			Chemists and engineers—continued		
Accountants I	13,846 29,311 46,228 23,733 8,227 1,397	\$21,024 25,554 31,143 39,293 49,231 61,546	Engineers III	145,165 157,033 111,913 52,105 13,395 3,097	\$35,715 42,677 50,769 58,883 68,602 79,021
Auditors I	1,756 2,928 4,709 2,022 11,606	21,545 26,108 32,121 39,705 20,468	Technical support Engineering technicians I Engineering technicians II Engineering technicians III Engineering technicians IV	5,797 17,342 32,193 35,397	16,882 20,312 23,896 28,412
Public accountants II . Public accountants III . Public accountants IV . Chief accountants II . Chief accountants II .	11,595 8,897 4,275 1,454	22,714 26,633 32,116 47,963 62,880	Engineering technicians V Drafters I Drafters II Drafters II Drafters II Drafters II Drafters II Drafters IV	19,399 2,982 12,102 25,970 24,371	32,718 13,054 15,854 20,201 24,652
Chief accountants IV	230	80,409	Drafters V	14,362	31,004
Attorneys           Attorneys I           Attorneys II           Attorneys III           Attorneys IV           Attorneys V	1,377 3,199 4,347 3,500 1,847	31,014 39,635 50,119 63,933 78,396	Computer operators I	10,704 37,530 25,698 8,059 1,260 401	13,727 17,219 21,524 24,550 28,986 16,636
Attorneys VI	587	101,169	Photographers II Photographers III Photographers IV	873 791 331	22,896 27,009 31,584
Buyers I	6,914 22,990 18,323 4,798	21,242 26,369 33,580 41,304	Photographers VClerical Accounting clerks I	104 36.023	35,094
Programmers and systems analysts			Accounting clerks II	133,183 79,215	14,687 17,954
Computer programmers I Computer programmers II Computer programmers III Computer programmers IV Computer programmers V	15,974 38,540 46,996 21,524 9,492	20,832 24,558 29,324 34,919 42,934	Accounting clerks IV	22,354 20,916 10,110 2,100	21,872 10,335 12,156 15,625
Systems analysts I Systems analysts II Systems analysts III	21,402 47,518 38,943	29,141 34,881 41,997	Key entry operators I	68,827 30,770 9,842	13,146 16,901 12,276
Systems analysts IV Systems analysts V Systems analysts VI Personnel management	15,506 2,666 233	49,515 58,404 71,770	Personnel clerks/assistants I Personnel clerks/assistants II Personnel clerks/assistants III Personnel clerks/assistants IV	2,521 4,414 3,255 1,298	14,193 16,903 19,696 23,702
Job analysts I	103 350 624 520	22,240 25,288 30,605 38,206	Purchasing clerks/assistants I Purchasing clerks/assistants II Purchasing clerks/assistants III Purchasing clerks/assistants IV	4,014 5,585 4,197 1,037	13,994 17,282 22,381 29,384
Directors of personnel I Directors of personnel II Directors of personnel III Directors of personnel IV	1,849 2,082 1,179 395	39,817 46,328 63,855 75,170	Secretaries I Secretaries II Secretaries II Secretaries IV Secretaries V	59,859 69,450 110,604 49,403 16,038	16,326 18,306 21,152 23,839 28,051
Chemists and engineers           Chemists I           Chemists II           Chemists III           Chemists V           Chemists V           Chemists VI           Chemists VI	3,580 6,673 10,244 9,257 7,266 3,632 848	22,539 27,205 34,141 41,548 50,678 60,796 74,607	Stenographers I Stenographers II Typists I Typists II General clerks I General clerks II General clerks II	6,811 5,648 25,125 12,842 11,811 59,359 85,101	18,374 21,739 12,584 16,854 10,478 12,730
Engineers I	40,469 71,336	27,866 31,194	General clerks IV	33,472	19,322

<sup>1</sup> Occupational employment estimates relate to the total in all establishments within scope of the survey and not to the number actually surveyed. end bonuses, and other nonproduction bonuses. Pay increases—but not bonuses—under cost-of-living clauses and incentive payments, however, are included.

<sup>2</sup> Excludes premium pay for overtime and for work on weekends, holidays, and late shifts. Also excluded are performance bonuses and lump-sum payments of the type negotiated in the auto and aerospace industries, as well as profit-sharing payments, attendance bonuses, Christmas or year-

<sup>2</sup> In the survey coding structure, the level designations among various occupations are not synonymous: for example, the first level of attorneys equates to the third levels of engineers, accountants, and most other professional and administrative occupations. Classification of employees in the occupations and work levels surveyed is based on factors detailed in definitions which are available upon request.

 $^3$  For a broader-based picture of wage and compensation trends in the United States, see the *Employment Cost Index*, a BLs quarterly news release.

# What happened to the high school class of 1985?

#### SHARON R. COHANY

Almost 3.3 million youths either graduated from high school or dropped out between October 1984 and 1985.<sup>1</sup> The proportion of graduates who enrolled in college set a record.<sup>2</sup> Graduates who did not attend college were typically in the labor force, and their unemployment rate was 11 percentage points lower than the 36-percent rate recorded for those who dropped out of high school and entered the labor force. The differing labor market experiences for these three groups highlight the fact that youth with educational deficiencies typically encounter work-related problems which may last for the rest of their lives.

#### Going on to college

Reflecting the declining school-age population of the "baby-bust" generation, the high school graduating class of 1985 was smaller than those in recent years. A total of 2.7 million young people graduated from high school, down half a million from the peak reached in the mid-1970's. (See table 1.) Despite the smaller number, the proportion of seniors going on to college has been rising gradually over the past few years. It reached a record 58 percent in 1985, after hovering between 50 and 55 percent for most of the 1970's and early 1980's. (See table 2.)

Sex. In recent years, college enrollment rates for men and women just out of high school have drawn closer together, eliminating the wide differences that existed in the early 1970's. By 1985, enrollment rates for men and women were 59 and 57 percent, respectively. The rate for men had returned to the high levels recorded during the early 1970's the tail end of the Vietnam-era's military draft—while that for women was at its highest level ever.

Once enrolled in college, men and women have roughly the same labor force participation rates—around 44 percent. Despite substantial increases in tuition and other college expenses, this overall participation rate has changed little since the late 1970's. Grants, loans, family contributions, and summer earnings have continued to enable a majority of full-time students to stay out of the labor force during the school year.<sup>3</sup> (See table 3.)

*Race.* A large gap still exists in the proportion of black and white high school graduates who go on to college. In October 1985, the proportion of enrolled black seniors was 42 percent, compared with 59 percent for whites. Despite some improvement over the last few years, the black proportion was still well below their 46- to 48-percent range during the 1970's.

Large differences by race also persist with regard to labor force participation. Only 31 percent of the black college enrollees were in the labor force, compared with almost 47 percent of the whites. One reason for this difference was that a higher proportion of black students were enrolled in 2-year institutions, which are, on average, less expensive than 4-year colleges and universities.<sup>4</sup>

#### Not going on to college

About 1.1 million members of the class of 1985 did not enroll in college. Their overall rate of labor force participation was 82 percent, somewhat lower than that prevailing during the past decade. Participation rates for men in this category were higher than those for women, and rates for whites were higher than those for blacks and Hispanics.

The incidence of unemployment for these high school graduates in the labor force has drifted upward during the 1980's. In 1985, about 1 of 4 were looking for work, compared with around 1 of 6 during the 1970's. Thus, despite a shrinking youth population and less competition for entry-level positions, young people who end their formal education with a high school diploma still have a hard time finding jobs. In part, this may result from the increasing demands of employers for better educated workers, given the higher educational level of the work force and a surplus of college graduates in some fields.<sup>5</sup>

#### **High school dropouts**

A total of 612,000 youths dropped out of high school between October 1984 and 1985. This was about the same number as in the previous 2 years, but lower than in the 1970's, reflecting mainly the declining teenage population.

Male dropouts were much more likely to be labor force participants than the women, a fourth of whom had family responsibilities. One study showed that many of the young women who dropped out of high school as sophomores in 1980 gave such family-related reasons as marriage or plans to marry (31 percent), pregnancy (23 percent), and the need to support a family (8 percent).<sup>6</sup>

Leaving school before graduation particularly affects the labor force participation of black youth. While 72 percent of the white dropouts were in the labor force, only 52 percent

Sharon R. Cohany is an economist in the Division of Employment and Unemployment Analysis, Office of Employment and Unemployment Statistics, Bureau of Labor Statistics.

Table 1. School enrollment and labor force status of 1985 high school graduates and 1984–85 school dropouts 16 to 24 years old by sex, race, and Hispanic origin, October 1985 [Numbers in thousands]

		Civilian labor force					
Characteristic	Civilian noninstitutional population				Unemployed		
		Number	Participation rate	Employed	Number	Percent o labor force	
Total, 1985 high school graduates	2,666	1,610	60.4	1,292	318	19.8	
Men Women White Black	1,286 1,380 2,241 333	785 825 1,383 191	61.0 59.8 61.7 57.4	626 666 1,177 95	159 159 206 96	20.3 19.3 14.9 50.3	
Hispanic origin	141	86	61.0	61	25	29.1	
Enrolled in college	1,539 754 785 1,418 122 1,332 141 72	683 327 356 577 106 620 44 37	44.4 43.4 45.4 40.7 86.9 46.5 31.2 (2)	593 280 313 494 99 552 29 32	90 47 43 83 7 68 15 5	13.2 14.4 12.1 14.4 6.6 11.0 (2) (2)	
Men	1,127 532 595 909 192 69	927 458 469 763 147 49	82.3 86.1 78.8 83.9 76.6 (2)	699 346 353 625 66 29	228 112 116 138 81 20	24.6 24.5 24.7 18.1 55.1 (2)	
Total, 1984-85 high school dropouts <sup>1</sup>	612	413	67.5	266	147	35.6	
Men Women Single Other marital status White Black Hispanic origin	321 291 220 72 458 132 106	261 152 117 36 330 69 73	81.3 52.2 53.2 (2) 72.1 52.3 68.9	163 103 78 26 214 39 40	98 49 39 10 116 30 33	37.5 32.2 33.3 (2) 35.2 (2) (2)	

<sup>2</sup> Data refer to persons with and 15 years old dropped out of school between October 1964 and October 1965. If addition, 80,000 persons 14 and 15 years old dropped out of school during this period. <sup>2</sup> Data not shown where base is less than 75,000. NOTE: Detail for the above race and Hispanic-origin groups will not sum to totals because data for the "other races" group are not presented and Hispanics are included in both the white and black population groups. Because of rounding, sums of individual items may not equal totals.

of the black dropouts were. This was a much wider spread than for the graduates not enrolled in college.

Reflecting their relatively low skill and experience levels, recent dropouts have extremely high unemployment rates, nearly 36 percent in 1985. This jobseeking rate has averaged 35 percent thus far in the 1980's, compared with a 27-percent average in the 1970's. In this respect, the jobless picture for dropouts has paralleled the upturn in the unemployment rate for workers in general, although at much

Table 2. Percent of recent high school graduates 16 to 24 years old enrolled in college, by year of graduation, sex, and race, selected years, October 1970–85 [Numbers in thousands] Percent enrolled in college Total Year graduates Total Men Women White Black<sup>1</sup> 1970 2.757 52 55 49 52 48 51 49 53 49 51 1975 3,186 46 47 55 52 53 1980 3 089 50 55 43 43 3,053 54 51 53 1981 52 53 54 1982 3,100 49 52 52 55 37 1983 2.964 39 1984 3,012 55 56 58 40 1985 2.669 58 59 57 59 42 <sup>1</sup> Data prior to 1977 refer to black and other workers.

higher levels.

Not surprisingly, dropouts also tend to come from families with lower income levels than high school graduates. For example, just 16 percent of dropouts are members of families with annual incomes of more than \$30,000, compared with 31 percent of high school graduates not enrolled in college, and 56 percent of high school graduates enrolled in college.

Looking down the road at adulthood, the earnings situation can be bleak for those who do not complete high school. As shown below, median earnings for high school dropouts who work all year at full-time jobs lag behind their bettereducated peers throughout their working lives.

	Age 16 to 24	Age 25 to 64
Total, year-round, full-time		
median earnings, 1984	\$11,537	\$20,752
Less than 4 years of high		
school	9,551	14,776
4 years of high school	11,331	18,350
1 to 3 years of college	11,897	21,079
4 years or more of college	16,470	27,777

The exceptionally high unemployment rates and poor earnings prognosis for dropouts suggest that a lack of liter-

			High schoo	I graduates				High school		
Year		Enrolled in college	e		Not enrolled in colle	ege	dropouts			
Tour	Civilian labor force	Labor force participation rate <sup>1</sup>	Unemployment rate <sup>2</sup>	Civilian labor force	Labor force participation rate <sup>1</sup>	Unemployment rate <sup>2</sup>	Civilian labor force	Labor force participation rate <sup>1</sup>	Unemployment rate <sup>2</sup>	
970	509	35.7	16.1	1,027	77.2	18.1	427	60.0	25.5	
975	641	39.7	11.7	1,281	81.2	19.9	462	62.6	34.0	
980	662	43.4	12.5	1,339	85.0	19.0	485	63.8	31.5	
985	683	44.4	13.2	927	82.3	24.6	413	67.5	35.6	

acy is a serious problem for unemployed youth. The study by the National Center for Education Statistics shows that about one-third of the the sophomores who had dropped out of high school reported their reason as poor grades, or that "school was not for me."<sup>7</sup>

#### \_\_\_\_FOOTNOTES\_\_\_\_\_

<sup>1</sup> Data in this report are derived primarily from information collected in the October 1985 Current Population Survey (CPS), conducted and tabulated for the Bureau of Labor Statistics by the Bureau of the Census. Most data relate to persons 16 to 24 years of age in the civilian noninstitutional population in the week ending Oct. 12, 1985. Because it is a sample survey, estimates derived from the CPS may differ from the actual counts that could be obtained from a complete census. Therefore, small estimates or small differences between estimates should be interpreted with caution. For further information on sampling reliability, see *Students, Graduates, and Dropouts, October 1980–82*, Bulletin 2192 (Bureau of Labor Statistics, 1983).

For the most recent report on this topic, see Anne McDougall Young, "Fewer students in work force as school age population declines," *Monthly Labor Review*, July 1984, pp. 34–37. <sup>2</sup> Figures for recent high school graduates 16 to 24 years old and enrolled in college include only those in schools that grant academic degrees. However, there are sizable numbers of students in "special schools," offering business, health, trades, technology, and cosmetology programs, among others. The U.S. Department of Education estimates that here were 1.8 million 16- to 24-year-olds in these schools in 1982. For further information, see *Participants in Postsecondary Education: October 1982*, Bulletin 84–309 (National Center for Education Statistics, November 1984).

<sup>3</sup> See Packaging of Grants, Loans, and Earnings for Financing Postsecondary Education, Bulletin 83–220b (National Center for Education Statistics, February 1984), pp. 1–6.

<sup>4</sup> For additional information on school enrollment by race and data on tuition and other costs, see *Digest of Education Statistics*, *1985–86* (Center for Statistics, U.S. Department of Education, 1986), pp. 109 and 158.

<sup>5</sup> Jon Sargent, "An Improving Job Market for College Graduates: The 1986 Update of Projections to 1995," *Occupational Outlook Quarterly*, Summer 1986, pp. 3–7.

<sup>6</sup> Samuel S. Peng, *High School Dropouts: Descriptive Information from High School and Beyond*, Bulletin 83–221b (National Center for Education Statistics, November 1983), pp. 1–9.

<sup>7</sup> Peng, High School Dropouts, pp. 4-6.

## Foreign Labor Developments



#### ILO adopts asbestos standard; focuses on employment issues

#### TADD LINSENMAYER

The 1986 International Labor Organization (ILO) Conference agreed to new standards to protect workers from asbestos hazards and urged governments to adopt policies to reduce youth unemployment, create jobs through small enterprise development, and counteract the negative employment consequences of international debt. These standards were among the highlights of the 3-week Conference, held in Geneva, Switzerland, June 4 to 25, and attended by 1,800 delegates from 140 countries.

U.S. Secretary of Labor William E. Brock cited the nuclear disaster in Chernobyl as an example of the serious safety and health hazards which can be associated with some new technologies and called on the ILO and its members to intensify efforts to share information on such perils. Brock pledged to make greater use of the ILO's occupational health hazard alert system in developing safety and health standards for Americans.

The heart of the ILO's annual Conference is its standardsetting activities—the development of conventions, which are legally binding treaties when ratified by governments, and recommendations. In recent years, many ILO members have advocated slowing the pace in the development of new standards to allow governments time to act on those already on the books. (The ILO has adopted 162 conventions since its creation in 1919.)

The 1986 Conference adopted only two new standards: a convention and a recommendation on asbestos. The convention requires that ratifying governments develop national programs to prevent worker exposure to asbestos health hazards, including worker exposure limits and effective inspection programs. The convention prohibits the use of crocidolite—a type of asbestos considered particularly dangerous—as well as the spraying of asbestos. The question of banning other types of asbestos was left to the discretion of national governments. Special provisions deal with demolition of buildings containing asbestos, the handling and cleaning of work clothing, and environmental and medical surveillance. The asbestos recommendation covers

many of these same questions in greater detail.

The Conference also considered youth unemployment and small enterprise development. Unlike asbestos, these did not lead to new standards, but rather resulted in nonbinding conclusions.

According to the U.S. Government representative, the work of the committee on youth was complicated by the efforts of some delegates to raise extraneous political issues. For example, the Soviet Union and certain Eastern European countries introduced a resolution which attempted to link youth unemployment to the arms race. While this resolution was never seriously considered, the youth committee did adopt a paragraph sponsored by its worker and employer members urging that "socially unproductive activities which pose a threat to peace should be redirected towards socially and economically productive activities . . ."

The Conference's conclusions on youth recognized that the modern sector of the economy in many countries has only a limited capacity to absorb the estimated 1 billion youth who will be in the world labor force by the year 2000—84 percent of them in developing countries. It advocated, therefore, that more resources should be focused on the creation of employment in the private sector, selfemployment, and jobs for youth in the rural agricultural and nonagricultural sectors of the economy. The Conference also urged better-designed national training and educational programs that would provide "employable skills" to young jobseekers.

The Conference's conclusions on small enterprise development also dealt primarily with employment creation. The U.S. Government representative noted, for example, that two-thirds of the 43 million new jobs created in the United States since 1960 were in small businesses. The Conference called on governments to adopt policies to promote small enterprise development, including "simplifying and streamlining" government regulations which hinder the establishment of small enterprises. This latter point was qualified by an explicit recognition of the need for "fully protecting workers' rights."

To assist entrepreneurs in establishing new enterprises, the ILO's conclusions call for national small enterprise development policies which include effective management training programs, the creation of technology centers, and financial assistance programs (including credit guarantees). The Conference also encouraged the ILO to expand its own small enterprise development efforts.

Tadd Linsenmayer is director of the Office of International Organizations, Bureau of International Labor Affairs, U.S. Department of Labor.

#### MONTHLY LABOR REVIEW October 1986 • ILO Adopts Asbestos Standard; Focuses on Employment Issues

Perhaps the most controversial issue during the Conference was a resolution on the employment implications of international debt. The worker delegates at the Conference, joined by a majority of governments, particularly from Latin America, supported adoption of a resolution calling on the ILO to become more involved in finding solutions to the debt problem. The resolution urged creditor governments to extend debt repayment schedules, reduce interest rates, and stabilize foreign exchange rates and commodity prices. It also called for a special "high-level" ILO meeting involving key government labor and finance officials, the heads of international financial organizations such as the International Monetary Fund, and worker and employer representatives. The purpose of this meeting would be to examine "the impact of international trade and financial and monetary policies on employment and poverty."

The sponsors of this resolution argued that the austerity programs required to cope with the debt crisis were leading to higher unemployment in many countries. They insisted that the ILO should work with the international financial organizations and with debtor and creditor governments to find more equitable solutions. Although the United States and most other Western governments opposed the resolution on the grounds that the ILO lacked both the responsibility and expertise to deal with international financial questions, it was adopted by a wide margin.

Almost equally controversial was the adoption, after more than 20 years of debate, of proposals to change the ILO's structure. Most of these proposals concern the ILO Governing Body, the organ responsible for budget and administrative decisionmaking. Specifically, the proposals would expand the Governing Body from 56 to 112 members and make all seats elective (presently, 10 of the 28 government seats are nonelective and reserved for the "states of chief industrial importance": United States, the Soviet Union, United Kingdom, France, Federal Republic of Germany, Italy, Japan, India, China, and Brazil. Other proposals relate to the election of the ILO's director general, the quorum needed for valid Conference votes, and procedures for considering resolutions which condemn ILO members.

The major point of controversy, according to U.S. Government Delegate Ambassador Robert W. Searby, stemmed from Soviet demands for guaranteed seats in the employer benches of the Governing Body. The employers have steadfastly refused to elect any Communist "employers" to the Governing Body, arguing that they are, in reality, agents of the government and not free employers.

According to Searby, the Soviets found themselves virtually without support for their proposal for guaranteed seats. (The majority of ILO members supported the ILO's principle of tripartism, including the autonomy of the worker and employer groups.) Unable to block final agreement on the other structural proposals, the Soviets manifested their displeasure through a series of procedural maneuvers during the opening days of the Conference which thwarted, for 5 days, the start of the campaign which began with the committees on resolutions and the application of ILO standards. The Soviet opposition to these committees stemmed from their alleged underrepresentation of Communist employers (virtually the same complaint they have with the employer benches in the Governing Body). When the structure proposals were presented for a preliminary decision near the end of the Conference, the Soviets continued their protest campaign by insisting on time-consuming votes.

The Conference overwhelmingly approved the structure proposals as amendments to the ILO constitution and standing orders. The constitutional amendments must now be submitted to governments for ratification. None of the amendments will go into effect until they are ratified by two-thirds of the member governments, including 5 of the 10 states of chief industrial importance.

The Conference committee which deals with the application of standards-normally controversial because of its focus on significant human rights violations-was relatively quiet this year. Because of delays due to Soviet opposition to its composition, the committee considered far fewer cases than in previous years, and, according to U.S. members of the committee, considered no Soviet bloc cases. Of the three Soviet bloc cases identified for discussion by the committee, one (Poland's violation of trade union rights) could not be discussed because that government did not send a delegation to the Conference, in view of its announced withdrawal from the ILO. Two other cases (involving Nicaragua and Romania) could not be considered because those governments refused to attend the committee to discuss any of the allegations. The Soviet policy of boycotting the ILO's supervisory machinery provoked a strong reaction from the worker and employer members of the committee and from many governments, and promises to be a major issue at the 1987 Conference.

The Conference committee cited Iran for "continued failure" to eliminate discrimination against the Baha'i religious sect, and adopted "special paragraphs" expressing concern over the nonapplication of ratified conventions in Pakistan (forced labor and fee-charging employment agencies) and Bangladesh (treatment of tribal populations).

In other actions, the Conference adopted proposals to help the ILO offset budget shortfalls arising from the declining value of the dollar. (The ILO receives its income in dollars, but pays most of its bills in Swiss francs.) It also strongly urged, over U.S. Government objections, economic sanctions against South Africa as a weapon to eliminate apartheid.

## Major Agreements Expiring Next Month



This list of selected collective bargaining agreements expiring in November is based on information collected by the Bureau's Office of Wages and Industrial Relations. The list includes agreements covering 1,000 workers or more. Private industry is arranged in order of Standard Industrial Classification.

Industry or activity	Employer and location	Labor organization <sup>1</sup>	Number of workers
Private			
Food products	General Foods Corp. (Battle Creek, MI)	Retail, Wholesale and Department Store	1,350
	Tropicana Products, Inc. (Bradenton, FL)	Teamsters (Ind.)	1,350
Fabricated metal products	Olin Corp. (East Alton, IL)	Machinists	2,300
Electrical products	Gibson Products Corp. (Greenville, MI)	Auto Workers	3,500
Transportation equipment	Dana Corp., Parish Division (Reading, PA)	Steelworkers	2,200
Instruments	Leeds and Northrup Co. (North Wales, PA)	Auto Workers	1,000
Miscellaneous manufacturing	Armstrong World Industries (Lancaster, PA)	Rubber Workers	1,600
Air transportation	American Airlines (Interstate)	Association of Professional Flight Attendants (Ind.)	6,200
Utilities	Columbia Gas Transmission Corp. (Interstate)	Oil, Chemical and Atomic Workers .	1,000
Retail trade	Kroger Co. (Interstate)	Food and Commercial Workers	5,700
	Century Food Stores (Milwaukee, WI)	Food and Commercial Workers	2,000
Insurance	Blue Cross-Blue Shield of Wisconsin (Milwaukee, WI)	Office and Professional Employees .	1,450
Real estate	Chicago walk-up apartments (Chicago, IL)	Service Employees	4,900
	Chicago fireproof buildings (Chicago, IL)	Service Employees	2,600
Services	Textile Maintenance Institute of Chicagoland, dry cleaning contract (Chicago, IL)	Textile Processors (Ind.)	1,700
	Textile Maintenance Institute of Chicagoland, laundry, linen and industrial contract (Chicago, IL)	Textile Processors (Ind.)	1,000
	Associated Press (Interstate)	Wire Service Guild, Newspaper Guild	1,400
	RCA Corp., RCA Service Co. Division (Interstate)	Electrical Workers (IBEW)	2,500
Amusements	Phonograph Record Labor Agreement (Interstate)	Musicians	6,000
Hospitals	Johns Hopkins Hospital (Baltimore, MD)	Hospital and Health Care	1,400
	Major Honolulu hospitals (Hawaii)	Nurse's Association (Ind.)	1,500
Public			
Utilities	Arizona: Phoenix Salt River Project	Electrical Workers (IBEW)	3 500
General government	Michigan: Wayne County multiunit	State, County and Municipal Employees	3,000
Transit	Illinois: Chicago Transit Authority multidepartments	Transit Union	11,000
	Pennsylvania: Allegheny County Port Authority	Transit Union	2,550

<sup>1</sup> Affiliated with AFL-CIO except where noted as independent (Ind.).

## Developments in Industrial Relations



#### New retirement system for Federal employees

Pension eligibility requirements, financing, and benefits were significantly revised under provisions of the Federal Employees' Retirement System Act of 1986. The new system (FERS), effective January 1, 1987, will cover all Federal civilian employees hired on or after that date and virtually all earlier hires with fewer than 5 years of nonmilitary service on December 31, 1986. Since January 1, 1984, these employees have been subject to interim retirement rules under which they are covered by both the existing Civil Service Retirement System (CSRS) and the Social Security system. However, they make reduced payments to the CSRS (1.3 percent of earnings instead of the usual 7 percent) and contribute the full employee share to Social Security.

Employees currently covered by the interim retirement rules with more than 5 years of service on December 31, 1986, will continue under the dual benefit coverage unless they opt to transfer to the FERS between July 1 and December 31, 1987.

Employees covered only by CSRS will remain under that system unless they opt to shift to the FERS. Eligibility for unreduced benefits under CSRS is age 62 after 5 years of service; age 60 after 20 years of service; or age 55 after 30 years (beginning in 2003, this age requirement will be increased, in stages, to age 57 in 2025). Retirement benefits continue to be based on the employee's average annual earnings during the three highest consecutive years. The formula is 1.5 percent of the average annual earnings figure for each of the first 5 years of service, plus 1.75 percent of the earnings figure for each of the next 5 years of service, plus 2 percent of the earnings figure for each year of service in excess of 10. For 30 years of service, the result is a benefit equal to 56.25 percent of the average annual earnings figure.

The FERS consists of three benefits—Social Security, CSRS, and a thrift savings plan.

Under Social Security, employees will pay a reduced Old Age, Survivors, and Disability Insurance (OASDI) tax of 5.7 percent (6.2 percent in 1990), in addition to the medicare tax which all Federal employees pay. The OASDI and medicare taxes are applied on earnings up to a specified amount (currently \$42,000).

The second benefit is the CSRS, to which employees will contribute 1.3 percent of their annual earnings, dropping to 0.94 percent in 1988 and to 0.8 percent in 1990. Age and length of service requirements are as described above for employees staying in the CSRS. The annual benefit will be calculated at 1.0 percent of a retiring employee's average annual earnings for the three highest consecutive years, multiplied by the number of years of service. If the employee is age 62 or older at retirement, the calculation factor will be 1.1 percent. If younger, the employee will receive a supplement equal to the estimated Social Security benefit that would be payable based on current Federal service under Social Security, calculated as if the employee was age 62. The supplement, which is subject to reduction if outside earnings exceed limits, will cease at age 62, when the retiree begins drawing actual Social Security benefits.

The third benefit is a thrift savings plan. It offers three types of tax deferred investments: special Government securities, fixed income securities, and a stock index fund. The Government will contribute an amount equal to 1 percent of each employee's pay to the plan, even if the employee does not participate. In addition, the Government will contribute a dollar for each employee dollar contributed up to 3 percent of pay, and 50 cents for each employee dollar up to the next 2 percent of pay. The maximum employee contribution is 10 percent of pay.

Employees who opt for coverage by CSRS only may invest up to 5 percent of their pay in the special Government securities, but the Government will not contribute matching funds.

The Federal Employees' Retirement System Act limits automatic cost-of-living adjustments payable from the CSRS fund. Annual adjustments will be the actual 12-month rise in the BLS Consumer Price Index if it is less than 2 percent, 2 percent if the rise is 2 to 3 percent, and the rise minus 1 percentage point if the rise is 3 percent or more. The adjustments apply to all retirees, beginning at age 62. Previously, the formula provided for unreduced adjustments for all retirees, regardless of age.

The new retirement system is expected to save the Government \$42 million in 1987, rising to \$2.67 billion a year in 1991. Major changes also were made in the retirement system for military personnel. (See *Monthly Labor Review*, September 1986, pp. 39–40.)

<sup>&</sup>quot;Developments in Industrial Relations" is prepared by George Ruben of the Division of Developments in Labor-Management Relations, Bureau of Labor Statistics, and is largely based on information from secondary sources.
## Entertainers settle, avert strike

A strike in the entertainment industry was averted when the Alliance of Motion Picture and Television Producers settled with two actors' unions in a 14-hour bargaining session. The last time members of the Screen Actors Guild and the American Federation of Television and Radio Artists struck was in 1980, when they were out for 10 weeks. This cost the industry a reported \$40 million and delayed the start of the 1980 prime time television season by 2 months.

Under the 3-year contracts, the \$361 minimum daily pay rate for actors was raised by 5 percent effective immediately, and by another 5 percent on January 1, 1988. In another compensation change, the actors will receive 4.5 percent of the producers' first \$1 million of annual gross receipts from the sale of videocassettes, plus 5.4 percent of sales in excess of \$1 million. They will also receive a \$3 million lump-sum payment. Previously, they received 3.6 percent of gross receipts.

There also were improvements in pension and insurance benefits and changes in affirmative action hiring, safety procedures for stunt people, and working conditions for child actors. The contracts cover 92,000 members of the unions, of whom only a small percentage are working at a given time.

## Lengthy talks between Delta, Air Line Pilots end

Thirteen months of negotiations between Delta Air Lines and the Air Line Pilots ended when the parties agreed on a 30-month contract that included a temporary two-tier pay system. A union official said Delta insisted on the two-tier system "for the perception" among its other employees, who are nonunion and are already covered by such plans, as well as for the resulting labor cost saving.

Under the system, new pilots are paid at 66 percent of the rates applicable to those hired earlier. After 5 years of service, the employees in the lower tier will move into the upper tier.

In another provision, the pilots agreed to fly 80 hours a month, a 5-hour increase. To some extent, the resulting savings will be offset by a requirement that the carrier increase the number of captains and first officers. Reportedly, Delta now has 4,200 pilots and plans to hire 50 a month for an indefinite period.

The contract also provides for cuts in paid vacation and leave time and changes in training, staffing, and hours of service which the union said will enable Delta to "compete effectively in the deregulated marketplace." In return for these changes, Delta agreed that there will be no job eliminations or downgradings stemming from the productivity improvements.

The contract, which is amendable on March 1, 1989, also provided for a 1-percent wage increase on January 1, 1988, and a 2-percent increase on January 1, 1989.

## Engineers at RCA get new contract

About 2,000 professional engineers at RCA Corp. facilities in Bloomfield, Camden, and Moorestown, NJ, were covered by a 3-year contract that provided for general salary increases of 3, 3, and 2.5 percent in the respective years, and minimum merit increases of 3 percent in each year for eligible employees. Engineers who are promoted will also receive lump-sum payments of \$400, \$600, or \$900.

The system of determining who will stay on the payroll in the event of operation cuts was modified to give engineers 9 "retention points" for completing 50 percent of the course work for a master's degree and 10 points for completing 75 percent of the requirements. Employees continue to receive 8 points for a bachelor's degree, 12 points for a master's degree, and 14 points for a Ph.D.

The union, the Association of Scientists and Professional Engineering Personnel, and RCA also adopted provisions to contain the rise in health care costs by more closely monitoring hospital stays and surgery.

## **Delaney to head Locomotive Engineers**

Discord within the Brotherhood of Locomotive Engineers was reflected in convention delegates' selection of Robert Delaney to head the union, replacing incumbent John F. Sytsma. Delaney, who will serve for a 5-year term, prevailed by a vote of 371 to 301. The discord resulted, in part, from Delaney's assertion that Sytsma had misused union funds and had rigged the outcome of a 1985 referendum in which he narrowly averted a recall that would have ended his second 5-year term of office. The resulting legal case is now before a Federal appeals court for a decision on whether the Department of Labor or a Federal district court should rule on the validity of the referendum results.

Convention delegates also criticized the union's December 1985 settlement with the Nation's railroads, contending that Sytsma erred in accepting terms—including cuts in some types of pay and revisions in work rules—similar to those negotiated by the rival United Transportation Union. (See *Monthly Labor Review*, January 1986, pp. 7–8.)

In other actions, the delegates cut the president's salary to \$100,000 a year, from \$145,000. Membership in the union stands at 31,000 today, including 5,000 retirees, compared with a peak of about 100,000 in the early 1940's.

## Clerks, meatcutters get lump-sum payments

In Eastern Pennsylvania, lump-sum payments were a feature of a settlement between Acme Markets and the United Food and Commercial Workers. About 2,400 clerks and meatcutters at 55 stores were covered by the 3-year accord. Each of the six semiannual payments will be calculated at 25 cents for each hour worked and each hour of paid leave during the preceding 6 months. Employees below the top scale are not eligible for the payments but they will continue to receive progression step increases, which were set at 25 cents an hour (previously, the increases varied). Prior to the settlement, pay rates ranged from \$5.15 an hour for part-time clerks hired after June 22, 1980, to \$10.51 for meat department heads.

The parties also agreed to raise the top scale for part-time employees to \$6 an hour (from \$5.15), narrowing the difference with part-timers hired earlier, who are paid \$6.97. Acme also agreed to:

- Change 100 part-time workers to full time status.
- Restore to full-time status 50 employees who had been reduced to part-time status without fault.
- Raise the night work premium to 50 cents an hour from 25 cents.
- Make payments into the health and welfare fund for all hours worked. Previously, payments were made only for hours worked in excess of 90 a month.
- Raise the pension rate to \$20 a month for each year of credited service, from \$19, beginning January 1, 1987.

## Drug store chains negotiate pattern contract

In Southern California, the United Food and Commercial Workers and three drug store chains negotiated a contract that was expected to set a pattern for independent drug stores and pharmacies operated by grocery chains in the region. The three drug store chains that settled were Thrifty Drugs, Clark Drugs, and Sav-on Drug Stores, which for the first time coordinated their bargaining with the union. The 12,000 workers covered by the settlement consisted of 10,000 clerks at the three companies and pharmacists at Thrifty and Clark. (Sav-On pharmacists are represented by the Guild for Professional Pharmacists.)

The accord for the pharmacists provided for wage increases of 85 cents an hour on July 1, 1986, and 50 cents on July 1 of 1987 and 1988 and on January 1, 1989, bringing their pay rate to \$22.25 an hour. In July 1987 and January 1988, they will receive lump-sum payments based on hours worked during the preceding 6 months. The payments will be calculated at 25 cents and 50 cents an hour, respectively. Finally, the 3.5-cent bonus paid for each prescription filled in excess of 80 per shift was increased, in stages, to 10 cents.

A longtime, two-tier pay structure was eliminated by giving an immediate 21-cent-an-hour pay increase to topscale clerks hired in 1969 or later, compared with 11 cents for those hired earlier. The result was a uniform \$8.35 rate, which will be increased by 10 cents in the third year. All top-scale clerks will receive four lump-sum payments over the term, each calculated at 20 cents for each hour worked during the preceding 6 months.

Clerks below the top rate will receive only progression increases during the term and the progression schedule was stretched to 5 years for those hired after July 1, 1986, compared with the existing 20 months for those hired earlier.

Other provisions included:

- A 30-cent reduction in the employers' \$1.70 an hour payment into the health and welfare fund and the return of a \$6 million fund excess to the employers. The requirement that the stores provide whatever financing is needed to maintain benefits was eliminated. Instead, the stores will be subject to a possible obligation increase of up to 15 cents an hour in July of 1987 and 1988.
- A 5-cent reduction in the employers' 52.5-cent-an-hour pension fund obligation, also attributed to the healthy condition of the fund.
- Permission for vendors to stock and price merchandise as long as it does not result in the layoff of employees in the bargaining unit.
- An increase to four (formerly three) in the number of "management" employees in the store permitted to perform bargaining unit work.
- Elimination of the \$1 an hour premium for Sunday work for inexperienced employees hired after July 1, 1986.

In addition, jury duty makeup pay will now be paid only to full-time employees, new hires who had worked in the industry prior to 1969 will no longer be automatically entitled to start work at top scale, and new hires will now receive pay progression credit only for work performed in the industry during the last 5 years.

## Nurses' contract provides pay increases

The Washington Hospital Center and the District of Columbia Nurses Association negotiated a 27-month contract that provided for 3-percent salary increases in June and December of 1986, and 2-percent increases in June and December of 1987. In addition, each nurse will receive a regular 3.5-percent progression increase on his or her anniversary date in the second contract year. Such increases, which were made annually under the prior contract, were frozen during the first year of the new contract. The initial 3-percent wage increase brought hourly pay rates to \$11.36 for newly hired registered nurses, \$14.35 for those with 5 years' service, and \$18.33 for those at the top of the salary scale.

Nurses who work any of five paid holidays will now receive a \$50 a day bonus. The previous provision, which had applied to all nine paid holidays, continues to apply to the remaining four. Under that provision, nurses who work on a paid holiday receive another day off, instead of additional pay.

The accord continued a provision giving employees the choice of working Monday through Friday or on weekends. For weekend work, employees on the day shift receive 30 hours' pay for 24 hours' work and those on night shift receive 34 hours' pay for 24 hours' work. About one-third

of the 1,200 employees in the bargaining unit work exclusively on weekends.

Other terms include extension of unpaid maternity leave to 1 year, from 6 months, with an assurance that returning nurses will regain their job or one in the same specialty; and a requirement that the hospital give the union 30 days' notice of impending layoffs and negotiate which employees will be laid off.

## City workers in Detroit end strike

The city of Detroit and 7,000 members of the American Federation of State, County and Municipal Employees (AFSCME) ended a 19-day work stoppage by agreeing on a 3-year contract. The picket lines were observed by 5,000 other city employees, leaving the 1.2 million residents of the Nation's sixth largest city without bus, trash collection, and other services.

Following membership rejection of an earlier tentative settlement, the city asked a judge to order a return to work. The judge declined the request but did order the parties to resume bargaining, leading to the settlement.

The contract provides for a 5-percent wage increase and a \$500 lump-sum payment in the first year, a 3-percent wage increase and \$200 lump-sump payment in the second year, and a \$400 lump-sum payment in the third year, which could be raised to as much as \$800, depending on the city's financial condition.

A two-tier longevity pay system was eliminated by giving larger pay increases to workers in the lower tier. The city also agreed to finance a prototype day care facility and to give the union information on female employment for use in a pay equity study.

## County employees subject to drug, alcohol testing

In Florida, about 10,700 employees were covered by a settlement that gives Metro-Dade County the right to test them for alcohol or drugs as part of a regular physical examination or when the county has a "reasonable suspicion" of abuse. If a test result is positive, actions would range from counseling to dismissal, depending on the circumstances and the degree of cooperation by the employee.

The 3-year contract, negotiated by the State, County and Municipal Employees, also provided for 4-percent pay increases in the second and third years.

## School system adopts merit pay for teachers

Adoption of a merit pay plan for 8,300 teachers resulted from discussions between the Fairfax County, vA, school system and the Fairfax Education Association. School superintendent Robert R. Spillane said the proposal would make Fairfax County "the first school system in the nation to really step out and do some things" recommended in recent reports by national panels on education reform.

Under the first stage of the plan, to begin with the 1987– 88 school year, teachers would be denied longevity pay increases if they are rated unsatisfactory by their principals.

Beginning with the 1989–90 school year, the rating system would be expanded to five levels. Teachers in the lowest level would be dismissed and those in the next or "marginal" level would receive no salary increase or only a small increase. Teachers rated in the mid or competent level would receive a full salary increase. Those in the top two levels—"skillful" and "exemplary"—with 6 years' service would be eligible to move to a "career level two" status and receive a 10-percent salary increase after a further year of evaluation. According to the union, top-rated teachers with 26 years of service could earn as much as \$64,000 a year, compared with a current maximum of about \$44,000.

The rating of teachers would be decided by principals after consulting with two other classroom observers: a recognized outstanding teacher and a curriculum specialist. New teachers would be observed each year for the first 3 years, then once every 3 years, then every 4 years. Teachers could appeal their rating to a review board dominated by teachers.

According to Spillane, the proposal calls for substantial salary increases to ensure the cooperation of teachers in the new system. The increases average 12.1 percent effective at the beginning of the 1987–88 school year and 8.8 percent at the beginning of the 2 following years. All three amounts include usual longevity step pay increases. Implementation of the proposal was contingent on allocation of the required money by the school board in its February 1987 budget decision, and on approval by county supervisors.

Members of the union, which is an affiliate of the National Education Association, favored the proposal in a September vote and ended a work-to-rule slowdown they had begun in December 1985 to protest the size of the salary increase (4 percent) they received in July 1985.

The revamping of the teacher rating system was opposed by another union, the 900-member Fairfax County Federation of Teachers, an affiliate of the American Federation of Teachers. Rick Nelson, president of the local union, said that the proposal was unacceptable because it would give principals too much power in deciding salary raises.

Under Virginia law, the unions could only meet and confer with Spillane rather than engage in legally binding collective bargaining. Fairfax County has the Nation's 10th largest school system.

# **Book Reviews**



## The art of conflict resolution

Techniques of Mediation. By Walter A. Maggiolo. New York, Oceana Publications, Inc., 1985. 458 pp. \$45.

Walter A. Maggiolo is an acknowledged authority on conflict resolution and his book is the distillation of the experiences and techniques he used in cases spanning more than 33 years as a full-time professional mediator.

Arthur J. Goldberg, former Supreme Court Justice and Secretary of Labor, remarks in the foreword, "His book is an important text for an age which has come to recognize that conflict resolution by mediation is preferable to imposed solutions. It is must reading."

The author, building on his earlier book, *Techniques in Labor Disputes*, demonstrates the value of mediation in many areas. The major emphasis in *Techniques of Mediation* is on the practical rather than theoretical side. The book is a very successful attempt to assist mediators by describing and discussing techniques used in reaching constructive compromises.

All 32 chapters contained in this volume are written in a direct, succinct style with the practitioner always in mind. For example, chapter II is an excellent discussion of the differences between mediation and arbitration and conciliation and factfinding, as well as a description of how arbitration works, including the selection of arbitrators.

Chapter VII offers an insider's view on the history, activities, and recent changes in the Federal Mediation and Conciliation Service.

In the chapter, "Anatomy of a Mediator," the author lists 16 qualities required of a mediator. Two of these qualities struck a responsive chord—the patience of Job and the hide of a rhinoceros.

A major contribution that this book offers to the rapidly growing field of mediation is its specific treatment of topics, for example, intervention, creating doubt in the parties' minds, proposing alternate solutions, confidentiality, caucus, and aids used in reaching an agreement.

Jerome T. Barrett authored chapters on preventive mediation and ethical considerations in mediation. Barrett provides an insider's view of the Federal Mediation and Conciliation Service and an excellent review of dispute resolution in the public sector, a subject which has become critically important because of the dramatic growth of unionization among government employees.

One of the most informative chapters is the nonlabor

relations use of mediation, ranging from the homeowners' warranty program to age discrimination in employment.

The appendix contains a wealth of material, including all of the major statutes, arbitration rules and regulations, and codes of professional ethics of the major mediation organizations in the United States.

The book is a treasure house of information and practical techniques on the uses of mediation and is written in a highly readable style.

Goldberg is right. This is must reading for anyone interested in mediation.

> —JOHN J. MARTIN Arbitrator Martin Associates, Inc. Falls Church, VA

# **Publications received**

#### Agriculture and natural resources

- Economic Council of Canada, *Managing the Legacy: Proceedings* of a Colloquium on the Environment, December 1985. Ottawa, Economic Council of Canada, 1986, 114 pp. \$9.95, Canada; \$11.95, other countries.
- Kornbluh, Hy, James Crowfoot, Edward Cohen-Rosenthal, "Worker Participation in Energy and Natural Resources Conservation," *International Labour Review*, November-December 1985, pp. 737–54.
- Schluter, Gerald and William Edmondson, "How To Tell How Important Agriculture Is to Your State," *Rural Development Perspective*, June 1986, pp. 32–34.
- Smale, Melinda, William E. Saupe, Priscilla Salant, "Farm Family Characteristics and the Viability of Farm Households in Wisconsin, Mississippi, and Tennessee," Agricultural Economics Research, Spring 1986, pp. 11–27.

#### **Economic and social statistics**

- Ballenger, Nicole S. and Roger D. Norton, "Optimization of Policy Goals in the Context of a Sector Model," Agricultural Economics Research, Spring 1986, pp. 28–36.
- D'Aleo, Richard J., FEDfind—Your Key to Finding Federal Government Information: A Directory of Information Sources, Products, and Services. Springfield, VA, ICUC Press (P.O. Box 1447), 1986, 480 pp. \$17.95, cloth; \$9.95, paper.
- Dickens, William T. and Kevin Lang, Labor Market Segmentation and the Union Wage Premium. Cambridge, MA, National Bu-

reau of Economic Research, Inc., 1986, 25 pp. (NBER Working Paper Series, 1883.) \$2, paper.

- Duncan, Acheson J., *Quality Control and Industrial Statistics*. 5th ed. Homewood, IL, Richard D. Irwin, Inc., 1986, 1,123 pp. \$39.95.
- Ford, Barry L., Jack Nealon, Robert D. Tortora, "Area Frame Estimators in Agricultural Surveys: Sampling Versus Nonsampling Errors," *Agricultural Economics Research*, Spring 1986, pp. 1–10.
- Great Britian, Department of Employment, "Labour Market Statistics—100 Years On," by A. R. Thatcher, *Employment Gazette*, June 1986, pp. 219–25.
- Solon, Gary, *Bias in Longitudinal Estimation of Wage Gaps*. Cambridge, MA, National Bureau of Economic Research, Inc., 1986, 13 pp. (NBER Technical Paper Series, 58.) \$2, paper.
- Tracy, Joseph S., An Empirical Test of An Asymmetric Information Model of Strikes. Cambridge, MA, National Bureau of Economic Research, Inc., 1986, 36 pp. (NBER Working Paper Series, 1870.) \$2, paper.

## Education

- Biles, George E. and Howard P. Tuckman, Part-time Faculty Personnel Management Policies. New York, American Council on Education/Macmillan Publishing Co., 1986, 175 pp., bibliography. \$24.95.
- Daly, Anne, "Education and Productivity: A Comparison of Great Britain and the United States," *British Journal of Industrial Relations*, July 1986, pp. 251–66.

## Health and safety

- Curington, William P. "Safety Regulation and Workplace Injuries," Southern Economic Journal, July 1986, pp. 51–72.
- U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, *Estimates of and Projections of Black and Hispanic Physicians, Dentists, and Pharmacists to 2010.* Rockville, MD, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, Health Resources and Services Administration, Bureau of Health Professions, Division of Disadvantaged Assistance, 1986, 63 pp. (DHHS Publication No. HRS-P-DV 86-1.)

## **Industrial relations**

- A Symposium on the Role and Influence of Trade Unions in a Recession: "Introduction," by George Bain; "The Changing Role of Trade Unions in the Management of Labour," by William Brown; "How Do We Know If Shop Stewards Are Getting Weaker?" by Michael Terry; "Wage Determination and Recession: A Report on Recent Work," by Andrew J. Oswald; "What Effect Do Unions Have on Relative Wages in Great Britain?" by David Blanchflower, British Journal of Industrial Relations, July 1986, pp. 157–204.
- Bloom, David E. and Christopher L. Cavanagh, An Analysis of the Selection of Arbitrators. Cambridge, MA, National Bureau of Economic Research, Inc., 1986, 34 pp. (NBER Working Paper Series, 1938.) \$2, paper.
- Dickens, William T. and Jonathan S. Leonard, *Structural Changes in Unionization: 1973–1981*. Cambridge, MA, National Bureau of Economic Research, Inc., 1986, 26 pp. (NBER Working Paper Series, 1882.) \$2, paper.
- Dolson, William F., ed., Second Annual Labor and Employment Law Institute: New Directions in the Labor and Employment

Law Field. Littleton, co, Fred B. Rothman & Co., 1986, 420 pp. \$57.50.

- Dowd, Nancy E., "Maternity Leave: Taking Sex Differences into Account," Fordham Law Review, April 1986, pp. 699-765.
- Goldin, Claudia, Maximum Hours Legislation and Female Employment in the 1920's: A Reassessment. Cambridge, MA, National Bureau of Economic Research, Inc., 1986, 27 pp. (NBER Working Paper Series, 1949.) \$2, paper.
- Gould, William B. IV, *A Primer on American Labor Law*. 2d ed. Cambridge, MA, The Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 1986, 261 pp. \$25, cloth; \$10.95, paper, MIT Press, Cambridge, MA.
- Industrial Relations Research Association, Proceedings of the Thirty-Eighth Annual Meeting, Held December 28-30, 1985 in New York. Edited by Barbara D. Dennis, Madison, University of Wisconsin, Industrial Relations Research Association, 1986, 536 pp.
- Japan Institute of Labour, *Labor Unions and Labor-Management Relations*. Tokyo, The Japan Institute of Labour, 1986, 48 pp. (Japan Industrial Relations Series, 2.)
- "Judicial Decisions in the Field of Labour Law," International Labour Review, January-February 1986, pp. 53-69.
- Maggiolo, Walter A., *Techniques of Mediation*. New York, Oceana Publications, Inc., 1985, 458 pp. \$45.
- "Recent Trends in Collective Bargaining in Sweden: An Employer's View," by Lars-Gunnar Albåge; "A Trade Unionist's Reply," by Harry Fjällström, *International Labour Review*, January-February 1986, pp. 107–22.
- Staudohar, Paul, *The Sports Industry and Collective Bargaining*. Ithaca, NY, Cornell University, New York State School of Industrial and Labor Relations, 1986, 208 pp. \$22.50, cloth; \$9.95, paper, ILR Press, Ithaca, NY.

## **International economics**

- Briggs, Vernon, Jr., ed., *The Internationalization of the U.S. Economy: Its Labor Market Policy Implications*. Washington, The National Council on Employment Policy, 1986, 98 pp.
- Fuss, Melvyn and Leonard Waverman, The Canada-U.S. Auto Pact of 1965: An Experiment in Selective Trade Liberalization. Cambridge, MA, National Bureau of Economic Research, Inc., 1986, 53 pp. (NBER Working Paper Series, 1953.) \$2, paper.
- Kenneally, Martin and Tai Van Nhan, "The Strength and Stability of the Relationships between Monetary Variables and Exchange Market Pressure Reconsidered," *Southern Economic Journal*, July 1986, pp. 95–109.
- Lawrence, Robert Z. and Robert E. Litan, *Saving Free Trade: A Pragmatic Approach*. Washington, The Brookings Institution, 1986, 132 pp. \$22.95, cloth; \$8.95, paper.
- Pomfret, Richard, "The Effects of Trade Preferences for Developing Countries," *Southern Economic Journal*, July 1986, pp. 18– 26.
- Symposium on Exchange Rates, Trade, and Capital Flows: "Target Zones and the Management of the Dollar," by John Williamson; "The Limits of Monetary Coordination As Exchange Rate Policy," by William H. Branson; "Dealing with the Trade Deficit in a Floating Rate System," by Richard N. Cooper; "Flexible Exchange Rates and Excess Capital Mobility," by Rudiger Dornbusch, Brookings Papers on Economic Activity, 1, 1986, pp. 165–235.

## Labor force

- Adams, Margaret O. and Yee Ling Mui, "The Labor Force, Unemployment, and Change in the Kentucky Economy," *Kentucky Economy Review and Perspective*, Spring 1986, beginning on p. 3.
- Altonji, Joseph G. and Christina H. Paxson, Job Characteristics and Hours of Work. Cambridge, MA, National Bureau of Economic Research, Inc., 1986, 61 pp. (NBER Working Paper Series, 1895.) \$2, paper.
- Blank, Rebecca and Emma Rothschild, "The Effect of United States Defense Spending on Employment and Output," *International Labour Review*, November-December 1985, pp. 677–97.
- Borjas, George J., The Self-Employment Experience of Immigrants. Cambridge, MA, National Bureau of Economic Research, Inc., 1986, 31 pp. (NBER Working Paper Series, 1942.) \$2, paper.
- Carnoy, Martin, "High Technology and International Labour Markets," *International Labour Review*, November-December 1985, pp. 643–59.
- Ebel, Karl-H., "The Impact of Industrial Robots on the World of Work," *International Labour Review*, January-February 1986, pp. 39-51.
- Great Britain, Department of Employment, "Self-Employment in Britain: Results from the Labour Force Surveys, 1981–1984," by Stephen Creigh and others, *Employment Gazette*, June 1986, pp. 183–94.
- Macarov, David, "Planning for a Probability: The Almost-Workless World," *International Labour Review*, November-December 1985, pp. 629–42.
- Reskin, Barbara F. and Heidi I. Hartmann, eds., Women's Work, Men's Work: Sex Segregation on the Job. Washington, National Academy Press, 1986, 173 pp. \$15.50, U.S., Canada, and Mexico; \$18.75, export.
- van Ginneken, Wouter, "Full Employment in OECD Countries: Why Not?" International Labour Review, January-February 1986, pp. 19–37.

## Productivity and technological change

- Deery, Stephen, "New Technology, Union Rights and Management Prerogatives: The Australian Experience," *Labor and Society*, January 1986, pp. 67–81.
- Gonod, Pierre F., "From Appropriate Technologies to Those that Serve Mankind," *Labour and Society*, January 1986, pp. 39-65.
- "High Tech to the Rescue," Business Week, June 16, 1986, pp. 100-08.
- Organization for Economic Co-Operation and Development, Productivity in Industry: Prospects and Policies. Paris, 1986, 108 pp. Available from OECD Publications and Information Center, Washington, DC 20006.

## Wages and compensation

de Lange, Willem, "Control of Working Time: Attuning Working Time to Organisational or Individual Needs," *Labour and Society*, January 1986, pp. 97–105. "Executive Pay: How the Boss Did in '85," Business Week, May 5, 1986, beginning on p. 48.

- Ferber, Marianne A., Carol A. Green, Joe L. Spaeth, "Work Power and Earnings of Women and Men," *The American Economic Review*, May 1986, pp. 53–56.
- Kingston, Jerry L., Paul L. Burgess, Robert D. St. Louis, "Unemployment Insurance Overpayments: Evidence and Implications," *Industrial and Labor Relations Review*, April 1986, pp. 323-36.
- Lindbeck, Assar and Dennis J. Snower, "Wage Setting, Unemployment, and Insider-Outsider Relations," *The American Economic Review*, May 1986, pp. 235–39.
- Neubauer, Robert J., "The Outlook for 1986: Issues That Affect Compensation Planning," *Compensation and Benefits Review*, March-April 1986, pp. 49–54.
- Patten, Thomas H., Jr., "How to Reform the Military Retirement System," *Compensation and Benefits Review*, March-April 1986, pp. 29–40.
- U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics, Area Wage Surveys: Trenton, New Jersey, Metropolitan Area, November 1985 (Bulletin 3030-61, 29 pp., \$1.25); Los Angeles-Long Beach, California, Metropolitan Area, October 1985 (Bulletin 3030-62, 42 pp., \$1.75); Portland, Maine, Metropolitan Area, December 1985 (Bulletin 3030-63, 29 pp., \$1.25); Philadelphia, Pennsylvania-New Jersey, Metropolitan Area, November 1985 (Bulletin 3030-64, 58 pp., \$2.25); Memphis, Tennessee-Arkansas-Mississippi, Metropolitan Area, November 1985 (Bulletin 3030-65, 43 pp., \$1.75); Dallas-Fort Worth, Texas, Metropolitan Area, December 1985 (Bulletin 3030-66, 42 pp., \$1.75); Denver-Boulder, Colorado, Metropolitan Area, December 1985 (Bulletin 3030-67, 40 pp., \$1.75); San Diego, California, Metropolitan Area, December 1985 (Bulletin 3030-68, 29 pp., \$1.25); Sacramento, California, Metropolitan Area, December 1985 (Bulletin 3030-69, 26 pp., \$1.25). Available from the Superintendent of Documents, Washington 20402 or BLS Publication Sales Center, Chicago, IL 60690.
  - Industry Wage Survey: Petroleum Refining, June 1985. Prepared by Harry B. Williams. Washington, 1986, 34 pp. (Bulletin 2255.) Stock No. 029-001-02892. \$2.25, Superintendent of Documents, Washington 20402.
- Vroman, Wayne, The Funding Crisis in State Unemployment Insurance. Kalamazoo, MI, W. E. Upjohn Institute for Employment Research, 1986, 199 pp.

## Worker training and development

- Austin, William M., "The Job Outlook in Brief," Occupational Outlook Quarterly, Spring 1986, pp. 2-30.
- Burke, Michael J. and Russell R. Day, "A Cumulative Study of the Effectiveness of Managerial Training," *Journal of Applied Psychology*, May 1986, pp. 232–45.
- Great Britain, Department of Employment, "How the Youth Training Scheme Helps Employers," by Mari Sako and Ronald Dore, *Employment Gazette*, June 1986, pp. 195–204.
- National Occupational Information Coordinating Committee, Using Labor Market Information in Career Exploration and Decision Making: A Resource Guide. Garrett Park, MD, Garrett Park Press, 1986, 282 pp.



# Current Labor Statistics

Schedule of release dates for major BLS statistical series	42
Notes on Current Labor Statistics	. 43
Comparative indicators	
1 abor market indicators	52
2 Annual and quarterly percent changes in compensation prices and productivity	53
2. Alternative research of the second s	53
5. Alternative measures of wage and compensation changes	33
Labor force data	
4. Employment status of the total population, data seasonally adjusted	54
5 Employment status of the civilian population, data seasonally adjusted	55
6. Selected employment indicators data seasonally adjusted	56
7. Selected emphoyment indicators, data seasonary aquised	57
7. Selected unemployment indicators, data seasonary aufusted	57
8. Unemployment rates by sex and age, data seasonally adjusted	58
9. Unemployed persons by reason for unemployment, data seasonally adjusted	58
10. Duration of unemployment, data seasonally adjusted	58
11. Unemployment rates of civilian workers, by State	59
12. Employment of workers by State	59
13. Employment of workers by industry, data seasonally adjusted	60
14. Average weekly hours by industry, data seasonally adjusted	61
15. Average hourly earnings by industry	62
16. Average weekly earnings by industry	63
17. Hourly Earnings Index by industry	63
18. Indexes of diffusion: proportion of industries in which employment increased seasonally adjusted	64
19 Annual data: Employment status of the noninstitutional nonulation	64
20 Annual data: Employment status of the normistrational population	64
20. Amutal data. Employment revels by modely	65
21. Annual data: Average nours and earnings levels by industry	03
Labor compensation and collective bargaining data	
22. Employment Cost Index, compensation, by occupation and industry group	66
23. Employment Cost Index, wages and salaries, by occupation and industry group	67
24. Employment Cost Index, private nonfarm workers, by bargaining status, region, and area size	68
25. Specified compensation and wave adjustments from contract settlements and effective wave adjustments	00
22. Spectree compensations and made adjustments from contract solutionents, and check to wage adjustments,	60
26 Average specified comparison and wage adjustments bergaining situations covering 1,000 workers or more	60
20. Average spectrum on person and wage adjustments, barganing stuations covering 1,000 workers of more	70
27. Average effective wage adjustments, barganing stuations covering 1,000 workers of more	/0
26. Spectred compensation and wage adjustments, state and local government bargaining	70
situations covering 1,000 workers or more	70
29. Work stoppages involving 1,000 workers or more	70
Price data	
30. Consumer Price Index: U.S. City average, by expenditure category and commodity and service groups	71
31. Consumer Price Index: U.S. City average and local data, all items	74
32. Annual data: Consumer Price Index, all items and major groups	75
33. Producer Price Indexes by stage of processing	76
34. Producer Price Indexes, by durability of product	77
35 Annual data: Producer Price Indexes by stage of processing	77
36 II S export price indexes by Standard International Trade Classification	78
37 U.S. import price indexes by Standard International Trade Classification	70
38. Il S export price indexes by enduce category	80
30. U.S. import price indexes by end-use category	80
40. It S arrout price indexes by Standard Industrial Classification	90
41 U.S. impart price indexes by Standard Industrial Classification	101
The obstantion price indexes by standard industrial classification	01

# **Contents**—Continued

Productivity data	
42. Indexes of productivity, hourly compensation, and unit costs, data seasonally adjusted	81
43. Annual indexes of multifactor productivity	82
44. Annual indexes of productivity, hourly compensation, unit costs, and prices	82
International comparisons	
45. Unemployment rates in nine countries, data seasonally adjusted	83
46. Annual data: Employment status of civilian working-age population, ten countries	84
47. Annual indexes of productivity and related measures, twelve countries	85
Injury and illness data	
48. Annual data: Occupational injury and illness incidence rates	86

Series	Release date	Period covered	Release date	Period covered	Release date	Period covered	MLR table number
mployment situation	October 3	September	November 7	October	December 5	November	1; 4–21
Producer Price Index	October 10	September	November 14	October	December 12	November	2; 33–35
consumer Price Index	October 23	September	November 25	October	December 19	November	2; 30–32
eal earnings	October 23	September	November 25	October	December 19	November	14-17
ajor collective bargaining settlements	October 27	1st 9 months					3; 25–28
mployment Cost Index	October 28	3rd quarter					1-3; 22-24
roductivity and costs: Nonfarm business and manufacturing	October 29	3rd quarter					2; 42–44
Nonfinancial corporations					December 2	3rd quarter	2; 42-44
S. Import and Export Price Indexes	October 30	3rd quarter					36-41
ccupational injuries and illnesses			November 13	1985			48

## NOTES ON CURRENT LABOR STATISTICS

This section of the *Review* presents the principal statistical series collected and calculated by the Bureau of Labor Statistics: series on labor force, employment, unemployment, collective bargaining settlements, consumer, producer, and international prices, productivity, international comparisons, and injury and illness statistics. In the notes that follow, the data in each group of tables are briefly described, key definitions are given, notes on the data are set forth, and sources of additional information are cited.

#### **General notes**

The following notes apply to several tables in this section:

Seasonal adjustment. Certain monthly and quarterly data are adjusted to eliminate the effect on the data of such factors as climatic conditions, industry production schedules, opening and closing of schools, holiday buying periods, and vacation practices, which might prevent short-term evaluation of the statistical series. Tables containing data that have been adjusted are identified as "seasonally adjusted." (All other data are not seasonally adjusted.) Seasonal effects are estimated on the basis of past experience. When new seasonal factors are computed each year, revisions may affect seasonally adjusted data for several preceding years. (Seasonally adjusted data appear in tables 1-3, 4-10, 13, 14, 17, and 18.) Beginning in January 1980, the BLS introduced two major modifications in the seasonal adjustment methodology for labor force data. First, the data are seasonally adjusted with a procedure called X-11 ARIMA, which was developed at Statistics Canada as an extension of the standard x-11 method previously used by BLS. A detailed description of the procedure appears in The X-11 ARIMA Seasonal Adjustment Method by Estela Bee Dagum (Statistics Canada, Catalogue No. 12-564E, February 1980). The second change is that seasonal factors are calculated for use during the first 6 months of the year, rather than for the entire year, and then are calculated at midyear for the July-December period. However, revisions of historical data continue to be made only at the end of each calendar year.

Seasonally adjusted labor force data in tables 1 and 4-10 were revised in the February 1986 issue of the *Review*, to reflect experience through 1985.

Annual revisions of the seasonally adjusted payroll data shown in tables 13, 14, and 18 were made in the July 1986 *Review* using the  $X_{-11}$  ARIMA seasonal adjustment methodology. New seasonal factors for productivity data in table 42 are usually introduced in the September issue. Seasonally adjusted indexes and percent changes from month to month and from quarter to quarter are published for numerous Consumer and Producer Price Index series. However, seasonally adjusted indexes are not published for the U.S. average All Items CPI. Only seasonally adjusted percent changes are available for this series.

Adjustments for price changes. Some data—such as the Hourly Earnings Index in table 17—are adjusted to eliminate the effect of changes in price. These adjustments are made by dividing current dollar values by the Consumer Price Index or the appropriate component of the index, then multiplying by 100. For example, given a current hourly wage rate of \$3 and a current price index number of 150, where 1967 = 100, the hourly rate expressed in 1967 dollars is \$2 (\$3/150 × 100 = \$2). The \$2 (or any other resulting values) are described as "real," "constant," or "1967" dollars.

#### **Additional information**

Data that supplement the tables in this section are published by the Bureau in a variety of sources. News releases provide the latest statistical information published by the Bureau; the major recurring releases are published according to the schedule preceding these general notes. More information about labor force, employment, and unemployment data and the household and establishment surveys underlying the data are available in Employment and Earnings, a monthly publication of the Bureau. More data from the household survey are published in the two-volume data book-Labor Force Statistics Derived From the Current Population Survey, Bulletin 2096. More data from the establishment survey appear in two data books-Employment, Hours, and Earnings, United States, and Employment, Hours, and Earnings, States and Areas, and the annual supplements to these data books. More detailed information on employee compensation and collective bargaining settlements is published in the monthly periodical, Current Wage Developments. More detailed data on consumer and producer prices are published in the monthly periodicals, The CPI Detailed Report, and Producer Prices and Price Indexes. Detailed data on all of the series in this section are provided in the Handbook of Labor Statistics, which is published biennally by the Bureau. BLS bulletins are issued covering productivity, injury and illness, and other data in this section. Finally, the Monthly Labor Review carries analytical articles on annual and longer term developments in labor force, employment, and unemployment; employee compensation and collective bargaining; prices; productivity; international comparisons; and injury and illness data.

#### Symbols

- p = preliminary. To increase the timeliness of some series, preliminary figures are issued based on representative but incomplete returns.
- r = revised. Generally, this revision reflects the availability of later data but may also reflect other adjustments.
- n.e.c. = not elsewhere classified.
- n.e.s. = not elsewhere specified.

#### COMPARATIVE INDICATORS (Tables 1-3)

Comparative indicators tables provide an overview and comparison of major BLS statistical series. Consequently, although many of the included series are available monthly, all measures in these comparative tables are presented quarterly and annually.

Labor market indicators include employment measures from two major surveys and information on rates of change in compensation provided by the Employment Cost Index (ECI) program. The labor force participation rate, the employment-to-population ratio, and unemployment rates for major demographic groups based on the Current Population ("household") Survey are presented, while measures of employment and average weekly hours by major industry sector are given using nonagricultural payroll data. The Employment Cost Index (compensation), by major sector and by bargaining status, is chosen from a variety of BLS compensation and wage measures because it provides a comprehensive measure of employer costs for hiring labor, not just outlays for wages, and it is not affected by employment shifts among occupations and industries.

Data on **changes in compensation**, **prices**, **and productivity** are presented in table 2. Measures of rates of change of compensation and wages from the Employment Cost Index program are provided for all civilian nonfarm workers (excluding Federal and household workers) and for all private nonfarm workers. Measures of changes in: consumer prices for all urban consumers; producer prices by stage of processing; and the overall export and import price indexes are given. Measures of productivity (output per hour of all persons) are provided for major sectors. Alternative measures of wage and compensation rates of change, which reflect the overall trend in labor costs, are summarized in table 3. Differences in concepts and scope, related to the specific purposes of the series, contribute to the variation in changes among the individual measures.

## Notes on the data

Definitions of each series and notes on the data are contained in later

sections of these notes describing each set of data. For detailed descriptions of each data series, see *BLS Handbook of Methods*, Volumes I and II, Bulletins 2134–1 and 2134–2 (Bureau of Labor Statistics, 1982 and 1984, respectively), as well as the additional bulletins, articles, and other publications noted in the separate sections of the *Review*'s "Current Labor Statistics Notes." Historical data for many series are provided in the *Handbook of Labor Statistics*, Bulletin 2217 (Bureau of Labor Statistics, 1985). Users may also wish to consult *Major Programs*, *Bureau of Labor Statistics*, 1985).

## EMPLOYMENT DATA (Tables 1; 4-21)

#### Household survey data

#### **Description of the series**

EMPLOYMENT DATA in this section are obtained from the Current Population Survey, a program of personal interviews conducted monthly by the Bureau of the Census for the Bureau of Labor Statistics. The sample consists of about 59,500 households selected to represent the U.S. population 16 years of age and older. Households are interviewed on a rotating basis, so that three-fourths of the sample is the same for any 2 consecutive months.

#### Definitions

**Employed persons** include (1) all civilians who worked for pay any time during the week which includes the 12th day of the month or who worked unpaid for 15 hours or more in a family-operated enterprise and (2) those who were temporarily absent from their regular jobs because of illness, vacation, industrial dispute, or similar reasons. Members of the Armed Forces stationed in the United States are also included in the employed total. A person working at more than one job is counted only in the job at which he or she worked the greatest number of hours.

Unemployed persons are those who did not work during the survey week, but were available for work except for temporary illness and had looked for jobs within the preceding 4 weeks. Persons who did not look for work because they were on layoff or waiting to start new jobs within the next 30 days are also counted among the unemployed. The **overall unemployment rate** represents the number unemployed as a percent of the labor force, including the resident Armed Forces. The **civilian unemployment rate** represents the number unemployed as a percent of the civilian labor force.

The labor force consists of all employed or unemployed civilians plus members of the Armed Forces stationed in the United States. Persons **not** in the labor force are those not classified as employed or unemployed; this group includes persons who are retired, those engaged in their own housework, those not working while attending school, those unable to work because of long-term illness, those discouraged from seeking work because of personal or job market factors, and those who are voluntarily idle. The **noninstitutional population** comprises all persons 16 years of age and older who are not inmates of penal or mental institutions, sanitariums, or homes for the aged, infirm, or needy, and members of the Armed Forces stationed in the United States. The labor force participation rate is the proportion of the noninstitutional population that is in the labor force. The **employment-population ratio** is total employment (including the resident Armed Forces) as a percent of the noninstitutional population.

#### Notes on the data

From time to time, and especially after a decennial census, adjustments are made in the Current Population Survey figures to correct for estimating errors during the preceding years. These adjustments affect the comparability of historical data. A description of these adjustments and their effect on the various data series appear in the Explanatory Notes of *Employment and Earnings*.

Data in tables 4-10 are seasonally adjusted, based on the seasonal experience through December 1985.

#### Additional sources of information

For detailed explanations of the data, see *BLS Handbook of Methods*, Bulletin 2134–1 (Bureau of Labor Statistics, 1982), chapter 1, and for additional data, *Handbook of Labor Statistics*, Bulletin 2217 (Bureau of Labor Statistics, 1985). A detailed description of the Current Population Survey as well as additional data are available in the monthly Bureau of Labor Statistics periodical, *Employment and Earnings*. Historical data from 1948 to 1981 are available in *Labor Force Statistics Derived from the Current Population Survey: A Databook*, Vols. I and II, Bulletin 2096 (Bureau of Labor Statistics, 1982).

A comprehensive discussion of the differences between household and establishment data on employment appears in Gloria P. Green, "Comparing employment estimates from household and payroll surveys," *Monthly Labor Review*, December 1969, pp. 9–20.

#### Establishment survey data

#### **Description of the series**

EMPLOYMENT, HOURS, AND EARNINGS DATA in this section are compiled from payroll records reported monthly on a voluntary basis to the Bureau of Labor Statistics and its cooperating State agencies by more than 250,000 establishments representing all industries except agriculture. In most industries, the sampling probabilities are based on the size of the establishment; most large establishments are therefore in the sample. (An establishment is not necessarily a firm; it may be a branch plant, for example, or warehouse.) Self-employed persons and others not on a regular civilian payroll are outside the scope of the survey because they are excluded from establishment records. This largely accounts for the difference in employment figures between the household and establishment surveys.

#### Definitions

An establishment is an economic unit which produces goods or services (such as a factory or store) at a single location and is engaged in one type of economic activity.

**Employed persons** are all persons who received pay (including holiday and sick pay) for any part of the payroll period including the 12th of the month. Persons holding more than one job (about 5 percent of all persons in the labor force) are counted in each establishment which reports them.

**Production workers** in manufacturing include working supervisors and all nonsupervisory workers closely associated with production operations. Those workers mentioned in tables 12–17 include production workers in manufacturing and mining; construction workers in construction; and non-supervisory workers in the following industries: transportation and public utilities; wholesale and retail trade; finance, insurance, and real estate; and

services. These groups account for about four-fifths of the total employment on private nonagricutural payrolls.

**Earnings** are the payments production or nonsupervisory workers receive during the survey period, including premium pay for overtime or late-shift work but excluding irregular bonuses and other special payments. **Real earnings** are earnings adjusted to reflect the effects of changes in consumer prices. The deflator for this series is derived from the Consumer Price Index for Urban Wage Earners and Clerical Workers (CPI-W). The **Hourly Earnings Index** is calculated from average hourly earnings data adjusted to exclude the effects of two types of changes that are unrelated to underlying wage-rate developments: fluctuations in overtime premiums in manufacturing (the only sector for which overtime data are available) and the effects of changes and seasonal factors in the proportion of workers in high-wage and low-wage industries.

Hours represent the average weekly hours of production or nonsupervisory workers for which pay was received and are different from standard or scheduled hours. **Overtime hours** represent the portion of gross average weekly hours which were in excess of regular hours and for which overtime premiums were paid.

The Diffusion Index, introduced in the May 1983 Review, represents the percent of 185 nonagricultural industries in which employment was rising over the indicated period. One-half of the industries with unchanged employment are counted as rising. In line with Bureau practice, data for the 1-, 3-, and 6-month spans are seasonally adjusted, while those for the 12-month span are unadjusted. The diffusion index is useful for measuring the dispersion of economic gains or losses and is also an economic indicator.

#### Notes on the data

Establishment data collected by the Bureau of Labor Statistics are periodically adjusted to comprehensive counts of employment (called "benchmarks"). The latest complete adjustment was made with the release of May 1986 data, published in the July 1986 issue of the *Review*. Consequently, data published in the *Review* prior to that issue are not necessarily comparable to current data. Unadjusted data have been revised back to April 1984; seasonally adjusted data have been revised back to January 1981. These revisions were published in the *Supplement to Employment and Earnings* (Bureau of Labor Statistics, 1986). Unadjusted data from April 1985 forward, and seasonally adjusted data from January 1982 forward are subject to revision in future benchmarks.

In the establishment survey, estimates for the 2 most recent months are based on incomplete returns and are published as preliminary in the tables (13 to 16 in the *Review*). When all returns have been received, the estimates are revised and published as final in the third month of their appearance. Thus, August data are published as preliminary in October and November and as final in December. For the same reason, quarterly establishment data (table 1) are preliminary for the first 2 months of publication and final in the third month. Thus, second-quarter data are published as preliminary in August and September and as final in October.

## Additional sources of information

Detailed data from the establishment survey are published monthly in the BLS periodical, *Employment and Earnings*. Earlier comparable unadjusted and seasonally adjusted data are published in *Employment*, *Hours*, and *Earnings*, *United States*, 1909–84, Bulletin 1312–12 (Bureau of Labor Statistics, 1985) and its annual supplement. For a detailed discussion of the methodology of the survey, see *BLS Handbook of Methods*, Bulletin 2134–1 (Bureau of Labor Statistics, 1982), chapter 2. For additional data, see *Handbook of Labor Statistics*, Bulletin 2217 (Bureau of Labor Statistics, 1985).

A comprehensive discussion of the differences between household and establishment data on employment appears in Gloria P. Green, "Comparing employment estimates from household and payroll surveys," *Monthly Labor Review*, December 1969, pp. 9–20.

#### Unemployment data by State

#### **Description of the series**

Data presented in this section are obtained from two major sources—the Current Population Survey (CPS) and the Local Area Unemployment Statistics (LAUS) program, which is conducted in cooperation with State employment security agencies.

Monthly estimates of the labor force, employment, and unemployment for States and sub-State areas are a key indicator of local economic conditions and form the basis for determining the eligibility of an area for benefits under Federal economic assistance programs such as the Job Training Partnership Act and the Public Works and Economic Development Act. Insofar as possible, the concepts and definitions underlying these data are those used in the national estimates obtained from the CPS.

#### Notes on the data

Data refer to State of residence. Monthly data for 11 States—California, Florida, Illinois, Massachusetts, Michigan, New York, New Jersey, North Carolina, Ohio, Pennsylvania, and Texas—are obtained directly from the CPS, because the size of the sample is large enough to meet BLS standards of reliability. Data for the remaining 39 States and the District of Columbia are derived using standardized procedures established by BLS. Once a year, estimates for the 11 States are revised to new population controls. For the remaining States and the District of Columbia, data are benchmarked to annual average CPS levels.

#### **Additional sources of information**

Information on the concepts, definitions, and technical procedures used to develop labor force data for States and sub-State areas as well as additional data on sub-States are provided in the monthly Bureau of Labor Statistics periodical, *Employment and Earnings*, and the annual report, *Geographic Profile of Employment and Unemployment* (Bureau of Labor Statistics). See also *BLS Handbook of Methods*, Bulletin 2134–1 (Bureau of Labor Statistics, 1982), chapter 4.

## **COMPENSATION AND WAGE DATA**

(Tables 1-3; 22-29)

COMPENSATION AND WAGE DATA are gathered by the Bureau from business establishments, State and local governments, labor unions, collective bargaining agreements on file with the Bureau, and secondary sources.

#### **Employment Cost Index**

#### **Description of the series**

The **Employment Cost Index** (ECI) is a quarterly measure of the rate of change in compensation per hour worked and includes wages, salaries, and employer costs of employee benefits. It uses a fixed market basket of

labor—similar in concept to the Consumer Price Index's fixed market basket of goods and services—to measure change over time in employer costs of employing labor. The index is not seasonally adjusted.

Statistical series on total compensation costs and on wages and salaries are available for private nonfarm workers excluding proprietors, the selfemployed, and household workers. Both series are also available for State and local government workers and for the civilian nonfarm economy, which consists of private industry and State and local government workers combined. Federal workers are excluded.

The Employment Cost Index probability sample consists of about 2,200 private nonfarm establishments providing about 12,000 occupational observations and 700 State and local government establishments providing

3,500 occupational observations selected to represent total employment in each sector. On average, each reporting unit provides wage and compensation information on five well-specified occupations. Data are collected each quarter for the pay period including the 12th day of March, June, September, and December.

Fixed employment weights from the 1980 Census of Population are used each quarter to calculate the indexes for civilian, private, and State and local governments. These fixed weights, also used to derive all of the industry and occupation series indexes, ensure that changes in these indexes reflect only changes in compensation, not employment shifts among industries or occupations with different levels of wages and compensation. For the bargaining status, region, and metropolitan/nonmetropolitan area series, however, employment data by industry and occupation are not available from the census. Instead, the 1980 employment weights are reallocated within these series each quarter based on the current sample. Therefore, these indexes are not strictly comparable to those for the aggregate, industry, and occupation series.

## Definitions

Total compensation costs include wages, salaries, and the employer's costs for employee benefits.

Wages and salaries consist of earnings before payroll deductions, including production bonuses, incentive earnings, commissions, and cost-ofliving adjustments.

**Benefits** include the cost to employers for paid leave, supplemental pay (including nonproduction bonuses), insurance, retirement and savings plans, and legally required benefits (such as Social Security, workers' compensation, and unemployment insurance).

Excluded from wages and salaries and employee benefits are such items as payment-in-kind, free room and board, and tips.

## Notes on the data

The Employment Cost Index data series began in the fourth quarter of 1975, with the quarterly percent change in wages and salaries in the private nonfarm sector. Data on employer costs for employee benefits were included in 1980 to produce, when combined with the wages and salaries series, a measure of the percent change in employer costs for employee total compensation. State and local government units were added to the ECI coverage in 1981, providing a measure of total compensation change in the *civilian* nonfarm economy (excluding Federal employees). Historical indexes (June 1981=100) of the quarterly rates of change are presented in the May issue of the BLS monthly periodical, *Current Wage Developments*.

## Additional sources of information

For a more detailed discussion of the Employment Cost Index, see the *Handbook of Methods*, Bulletin 2134–1 (Bureau of Labor Statistics, 1982), chapter 11, and the following *Monthly Labor Review* articles: "Employment Cost Index: a measure of change in the 'price of labor'," July 1975; "How benefits will be incorporated into the Employment Cost Index," January 1978; "Estimation procedures for the Employment Cost Index," May 1982; and "Introducing new weights for the Employment Cost Index," June 1985.

Data on the ECI are also available in BLS quarterly press releases issued in the month following the reference months of March, June, September, and December; and from the *Handbook of Labor Statistics*, Bulletin 2217 (Bureau of Labor Statistics, 1985).

## **Collective bargaining settlements**

## **Description of the series**

Collective bargaining settlements data provide statistical measures of negotiated adjustments (increases, decreases, and freezes) in compensation

Settlement data are measured in terms of future specified adjustments: those that will occur within 12 months after contract ratification—firstyear—and all adjustments that will occur over the life of the contract expressed as an average annual rate. Adjustments are worker weighted. Both first-year and over-the-life measures exclude wage changes that may occur under cost-of-living clauses that are triggered by future movements in the Consumer Price Index.

**Effective wage adjustments** measure all adjustments occurring in the reference period, regardless of the settlement date. Included are changes from settlements reached during the period, changes deferred from contracts negotiated in earlier periods, and changes under cost-of-living adjustment clauses. Each wage change is worker weighted. The changes are prorated over all workers under agreements during the reference period yielding the average adjustment.

## Definitions

Wage rate changes are calculated by dividing newly negotiated wages by the average hourly earnings, excluding overtime, at the time the agreement is reached. Compensation changes are calculated by dividing the change in the value of the newly negotiated wage and benefit package by existing average hourly compensation, which includes the cost of previously negotiated benefits, legally required social insurance programs, and average hourly earnings.

**Compensation changes** are calculated by placing a value on the benefit portion of the settlements at the time they are reached. The cost estimates are based on the assumption that conditions existing at the time of settlement (for example, methods of financing pensions or composition of labor force) will remain constant. The data, therefore, are measures of negotiated changes and not of total changes in employer cost.

**Contract duration** runs from the effective date of the agreement to the expiration date or first wage reopening date, if applicable. Average annual percent changes over the contract term take account of the compounding of successive changes.

## Notes on the data

Care should be exercised in comparing the size and nature of the settlements in State and local government with those in the private sector because of differences in bargaining practices and settlement characteristics. A principal difference is the incidence of cost-of-living adjustment (COLA) clauses which cover only about 2 percent of workers under a few local government settlements, but cover 50 percent of workers under private sector settlements. Agreements without COLA's tend to provide larger specified wage increases than those with COLA's. Another difference is that State and local government bargaining frequently excludes pension benefits which are often prescribed by law. In the private sector, in contrast, pensions are typically a bargaining issue.

## Additional sources of information

For a more detailed discussion on the series, see the *BLS Handbook of Methods*, Bulletin 2134–1 (Bureau of Labor Statistics, 1982), chapter 10. Comprehensive data are published in press releases issued quarterly (in January, April, July, and October) for private industry, and semi-

annually (in February and August) for State and local government. Historical data and additional detailed tabulations for the prior calendar year appear in the April issue of the BLS monthly periodical, *Current Wage Developments*.

#### Work stoppages

### **Description of the series**

Data on **work stoppages** measure the number and duration of major strikes or lockouts (involving 1,000 workers or more) occurring during the month (or year), the number of workers involved, and the amount of time lost because of stoppage.

Data are largely from newspaper accounts and cover only establishments directly involved in a stoppage. They do not measure the indirect or secondary effect of stoppages on other establishments whose employees are idle owing to material shortages or lack of service.

#### Definitions

**Number of stoppages:** The number of strikes and lockouts involving 1,000 workers or more and lasting a full shift or longer.

Workers involved: The number of workers directly involved in the stoppage.

Number of days idle: The aggregate number of workdays lost by workers involved in the stoppages.

**Days of idleness as a percent of estimated working time:** Aggregate workdays lost as a percent of the aggregate number of standard workdays in the period multiplied by total employment in the period.

#### Notes on the data

This series is not comparable with the one terminated in 1981 that covered strikes involving six workers or more.

#### Additional sources of information

Data for each calendar year are reported in a BLS press release issued in the first quarter of the following year. Monthly data appear in the BLS monthly periodical, Current Wage Developments. Historical data appear in the BLS Handbook of Labor Statistics.

## Other compensation data

Other BLS data on pay and benefits, not included in the Current Labor Statistics section of the *Monthly Labor Review*, appear in and consist of the following:

Industry Wage Surveys provide data for specific occupations selected to represent an industry's wage structure and the types of activities performed by its workers. The Bureau collects information on weekly work schedules, shift operations and pay differentials, paid holiday and vacation practices, and information on incidence of health, insurance, and retirement plans. Reports are issued throughout the year as the surveys are completed. Summaries of the data and special analyses also appear in the Monthly Labor Review.

Area Wage Surveys annually provide data for selected office, clerical, professional, technical, maintenance, toolroom, powerplant, material movement, and custodial occupations common to a wide variety of industries in the areas (labor markets) surveyed. Reports are issued throughout the year as the surveys are completed. Summaries of the data and special analyses also appear in the *Review*.

The National Survey of Professional, Administrative, Technical, and Clerical Pay provides detailed information annually on salary levels and distributions for the types of jobs mentioned in the survey's title in private employment. Although the definitions of the jobs surveyed reflect the duties and responsibilities in private industry, they are designed to match specific pay grades of Federal white-collar employees under the General Schedule pay system. Accordingly, this survey provides the legally required information for comparing the pay of salaried employees in the Federal civil service with pay in private industry. (See Federal Pay Comparability Act of 1970, 5 U.S.C. 5305.) Data are published in a BLS news release issued in the summer and in a bulletin each fall; summaries and analytical articles also appear in the *Review*.

*Employee Benefits Survey* provides nationwide information on the incidence and characteristics of employee benefit plans in medium and large establishments in the United States, excluding Alaska and Hawaii. Data are published in an annual BLS news release and bulletin, as well as in special articles appearing in the *Review*.

#### PRICE DATA (Tables 2; 30-41)

PRICE DATA are gathered by the Bureau of Labor Statistics from retail and primary markets in the United States. Price indexes are given in relation to a base period (1967 = 100, unless otherwise noted).

#### **Consumer Price Indexes**

#### **Description of the series**

The **Consumer Price Index** (CPI) is a measure of the average change in the prices paid by urban consumers for a fixed market basket of goods and services. The CPI is calculated monthly for two population groups, one consisting only of urban households whose primary source of income is derived from the employment of wage earners and clerical workers, and the other consisting of all urban households. The wage earner index (CPI–W) is a continuation of the historic index that was introduced well over a halfcentury ago for use in wage negotiations. As new uses were developed for the CPI in recent years, the need for a broader and more representative index became apparent. The all urban consumer index (CPI–U) introduced in 1978 is representative of the 1972–73 buying habits of about 80 percent of the noninstitutional population of the United States at that time, compared with 40 percent represented in the CPI–W. In addition to wage earners and clerical workers, the CPI-U covers professional, managerial, and technical workers, the self-employed, short-term workers, the unemployed, retirees, and others not in the labor force.

The CPI is based on prices of food, clothing, shelter, fuel, drugs, transportation fares, doctors' and dentists' fees, and other goods and services that people buy for day-to-day living. The quantity and quality of these items are kept essentially unchanged between major revisions so that only price changes will be measured. All taxes directly associated with the purchase and use of items are included in the index.

Data collected from more than 24,000 retail establishments and 24,000 tenants in 85 urban areas across the country are used to develop the "U.S. city average." Separate estimates for 28 major urban centers are presented in table 31. The areas listed are as indicated in footnote 1 to the table. The area indexes measure only the average change in prices for each area since the base period, and do not indicate differences in the level of prices among cities.

#### Notes on the data

In January 1983, the Bureau changed the way in which homeownership costs are measured for the CPI-U. A rental equivalence method replaced the

asset-price approach to homeownership costs for that series. In January 1985, the same change was made in the CPI-w. The central purpose of the change was to separate shelter costs from the investment component of homeownership so that the index would reflect only the cost of shelter services provided by owner-occupied homes.

## Additional sources of information

For a discussion of the general method for computing the CPI, see BLS Handbook of Methods, Volume II, The Consumer Price Index, Bulletin 2134–2 (Bureau of Labor Statistics, 1984). The recent change in the measurement of homeownership costs is discussed in Robert Gillingham and Walter Lane, "Changing the treatment of shelter costs for homeowners in the CPI," Monthly Labor Review, June 1982, pp. 9–14.

Additional detailed CPI data and regular analyses of consumer price changes are provided in the *CPI Detailed Report*, a monthly publication of the Bureau. Historical data for the overall CPI and for selected groupings may be found in the *Handbook of Labor Statistics*, Bulletin 2217 (Bureau of Labor Statistics, 1985).

## **Producer Price Indexes**

## **Description of the series**

**Producer Price Indexes** (PPI) measure average changes in prices received in primary markets of the United States by producers of commodities in all stages of processing. The sample used for calculating these indexes currently contains about 3,200 commodities and about 60,000 quotations per month selected to represent the movement of prices of all commodities produced in the manufacturing, agriculture, forestry, fishing, mining, gas and electricity, and public utilities sectors. The stage of processing structure of Producer Price Indexes organizes products by class of buyer and degree of fabrication (that is, finished goods, intermediate goods, and crude materials). The traditional commodity structure of PPI organizes products by similarity of end use or material composition.

To the extent possible, prices used in calculating Producer Price Indexes apply to the first significant commercial transaction in the United States from the production or central marketing point. Price data are generally collected monthly, primarily by mail questionnaire. Most prices are obtained directly from producing companies on a voluntary and confidential basis. Prices generally are reported for the Tuesday of the week containing the 13th day of the month.

Since January 1976, price changes for the various commodities have been averaged together with implicit quantity weights representing their importance in the total net selling value of all commodities as of 1972. The detailed data are aggregated to obtain indexes for stage-of-processing groupings, commodity groupings, durability-of-product groupings, and a number of special composite groups. All Producer Price Index data are subject to revision 4 months after original publication.

#### Notes on the data

Beginning with the January 1986 issue, the *Review* is no longer presenting tables of Producer Price Indexes for commodity groupings, special composite groups, or SIC industries. However, these data will continue to be presented in the Bureau's monthly publication *Producer Price Indexes*.

The Bureau has completed the first major stage of its comprehensive overhaul of the theory, methods, and procedures used to construct the Producer Price Indexes. Changes include the replacement of judgment sampling with probability sampling techniques; expansion to systematic coverage of the net output of virtually all industries in the mining and manufacturing sectors; a shift from a commodity to an industry orientation;

#### **Additional sources of information**

For a discussion of the methodology for computing Producer Price Indexes, see *BLS Handbook of Methods*, Bulletin 2134–1 (Bureau of Labor Statistics, 1982), chapter 7.

Additional detailed data and analyses of price changes are provided monthly in *Producer Price Indexes*. Selected historical data may be found in the *Handbook of Labor Statistics*, Bulletin 2217 (Bureau of Labor Statistics, 1985).

## **International price indexes**

## **Description of the series**

The BLS **International Price Program** produces quarterly export and import price indexes for nonmilitary goods traded between the United States and the rest of the world. The export price index provides a measure of price change for all products sold by U.S. residents to foreign buyers. ("Residents" is defined as in the national income accounts: it includes corporations, businesses, and individuals but does not require the organizations to be U.S. owned nor the individuals to have U.S. citizenship.) The import price index provides a measure of price change for goods purchased from other countries by U.S. residents. With publication of an all-import index in February 1983 and an all-export index in February 1984, all U.S. merchandise imports and exports now are represented in these indexes. The reference period for the indexes is 1977 = 100, unless otherwise indicated.

The product universe for both the import and export indexes includes raw materials, agricultural products, semifinished manufactures, and finished manufactures, including both capital and consumer goods. Price data for these items are collected quarterly by mail questionnaire. In nearly all cases, the data are collected directly from the exporter or importer, al-though in a few cases, prices are obtained from other sources.

To the extent possible, the data gathered refer to prices at the U.S. border for exports and at either the foreign border or the U.S. border for imports. For nearly all products, the prices refer to transactions completed during the first 2 weeks of the third month of each calendar quarter—March, June, September, and December. Survey respondents are asked to indicate all discounts, allowances, and rebates applicable to the reported prices, so that the price used in the calculation of the indexes is the actual price for which the product was bought or sold.

In addition to general indexes of prices for U.S. exports and imports, indexes are also published for detailed product categories of exports and imports. These categories are defined by the 4- and 5-digit level of detail of the Standard Industrial Trade Classification System (SITC). The calculation of indexes by SITC category facilitates the comparison of U.S. price trends and sector production with similar data for other countries. Detailed indexes are also computed and published on a Standard Industrial Classification (SIC-based) basis, as well as by end-use class.

## Notes on the data

The export and import price indexes are weighted indexes of the Laspeyres type. Price relatives are assigned equal importance within each weight category and are then aggregated to the STTC level. The values assigned to each weight category are based on trade value figures compiled

by the Bureau of the Census. The trade weights currently used to compute both indexes relate to 1980.

Because a price index depends on the same items being priced from period to period, it is necessary to recognize when a product's specifications or terms of transaction have been modified. For this reason, the Bureau's quarterly questionnaire requests detailed descriptions of the physical and functional characteristics of the products being priced, as well as information on the number of units bought or sold, discounts, credit terms, packaging, class of buyer or seller, and so forth. When there are changes in either the specifications or terms of transaction of a product, the dollar value of each change is deleted from the total price change to obtain the "pure" change. Once this value is determined, a linking procedure is employed which allows for the continued repricing of the item.

For the export price indexes, the preferred pricing basis is f.a.s. (free alongside ship) U.S. port of exportation. When firms report export prices f.o.b. (free on board), production point information is collected which enables the Bureau to calculate a shipment cost to the port of exportation.

An attempt is made to collect two prices for imports. The first is the import price f.o.b. at the foreign port of exportation, which is consistent with the basis for valuation of imports in the national accounts. The second is the import price c.i.f. (cost, insurance, and freight) at the U.S. port of importation, which also includes the other costs associated with bringing the product to the U.S. border. It does not, however, include duty charges.

## Additional sources of information

For a discussion of the general method of computing International Price Indexes, see *BLS Handbook of Methods*, Bulletin 2134–1 (Bureau of Labor Statistics, 1982), chapter 8.

Additional detailed data and analyses of international price developments are presented in the Bureau's quarterly publication U.S. Import and Export Price Indexes and in occasional Monthly Labor Review articles prepared by BLS analysts. Selected historical data may be found in the Handbook of Labor Statistics, Bulletin 2217 (Bureau of Labor Statistics, 1985).

## PRODUCTIVITY DATA (Tables 2; 42–47)

## U. S. productivity and related data

#### **Description of the series**

The productivity measures relate real physical output to real input. As such, they encompass a family of measures which include single factor input measures, such as output per unit of labor input (output per hour) or output per unit of capital input, as well as measures of multifactor productivity (output per unit of labor and capital inputs combined). The Bureau indexes show the change in output relative to changes in the various inputs. The measures cover the business, nonfarm business, manufacturing, and nonfinancial corporate sectors.

Corresponding indexes of hourly compensation, unit labor costs, unit nonlabor payments, and prices are also provided.

#### Definitions

Output per hour of all persons (labor productivity) is the value of goods and services in constant prices produced per hour of labor input. Output per unit of capital services (capital productivity) is the value of goods and services in constant dollars produced per unit of capital services input.

Multifactor productivity is the ratio output per unit of labor and capital inputs combined. Changes in this measure reflect changes in a number of factors which affect the production process such as changes in technology, shifts in the composition of the labor force, changes in capacity utilization, research and development, skill and efforts of the work force, management, and so forth. Changes in the output per hour measures reflect the impact of these factors as well as the substitution of capital for labor.

**Compensation per hour** is the wages and salaries of employees plus employers' contributions for social insurance and private benefit plans, and the wages, salaries, and supplementary payments for the self-employed (except for nonfinancial corporations in which there are no selfemployed)—the sum divided by hours paid for. **Real compensation per hour** is compensation per hour deflated by the change in the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers.

Unit labor costs are the labor compensation costs expended in the production of a unit of output and are derived by dividing compensation by output. Unit nonlabor payments include profits, depreciation, interest, and indirect taxes per unit of output. They are computed by subtracting compensation of all persons from current dollar value of output and dividing by output. Unit nonlabor costs contain all the components of unit nonlabor payments except unit profits.

Unit profits include corporate profits and the value of inventory adjustments per unit of output.

Hours of all persons are the total hours paid of payroll workers, selfemployed persons, and unpaid family workers.

**Capital services** is the flow of services from the capital stock used in production. It is developed from measures of the net stock of physical assets—equipment, structures, land, and inventories—weighted by rental prices for each type of asset.

Labor and capital inputs combined are derived by combining changes in labor and capital inputs with weights which represent each component's share of total output. The indexes for capital services and combined units of labor and capital are based on changing weights which are averages of the shares in the current and preceding year (the Tornquist index-number formula).

#### Notes on the data

Output measures for the business sector and the nonfarm businesss sector exclude the constant dollar value of owner-occupied housing, rest of world, households and institutions, and general government output from the constant dollar value of gross national product. The measures are derived from data supplied by the Bureau of Economic Analysis, U.S. Department of Commerce, and the Federal Reserve Board. Quarterly manufacturing output indexes are adjusted by the Bureau of Labor Statistics to annual estimates of output (gross product originating) from the Bureau of Economic Analysis. Compensation and hours data are developed from data of the Bureau of Labor Statistics and the Bureau of Economic Analysis.

The productivity and associated cost measures in tables 42–44 describe the relationship between output in real terms and the labor time and capital services involved in its production. They show the changes from period to period in the amount of goods and services produced per unit of input. Although these measures relate output to hours and capital services, they do not measure the contributions of labor, capital, or any other specific factor of production. Rather, they reflect the joint effect of many influences, including changes in technology; capital investment; level of output; utilization of capacity, energy, and materials; the organization of production; managerial skill; and the characteristics and efforts of the work force.

#### Additional sources of information

Descriptions of methodology underlying the measurement of output per hour and multifactor productivity are found in the *BLS Handbook of Methods*, Bulletin 2134–1 (Bureau of Labor Statistics, 1982), chapter 13. Historical data for selected industries are provided in the Bureau's *Handbook of Labor Statistics*, 1985, Bulletin 2217.

## INTERNATIONAL COMPARISONS (Tables 45-47)

#### Labor force and unemployment

## **Description of the series**

Tables 45 and 46 present comparative measures of the labor force, employment, and unemployment—approximating U.S. concepts—for the United States, Canada, Australia, Japan, and six European countries. The unemployment statistics (and, to a lesser extent, employment statistics) published by other industrial countries are not, in most cases, comparable to U.S. unemployment statistics. Therefore, the Bureau adjusts the figures for selected countries, where necessary, for all known major definitional differences. Although precise comparability may not be achieved, these adjusted figures provide a better basis for international comparisons than the figures regularly published by each country.

## Definitions

For the principal U.S. definitions of the **labor force**, **employment**, and **unemployment**, see the Notes section on EMPLOYMENT DATA: Household Survey Data.

#### Notes on the data

The adjusted statistics have been adapted to the age at which compulsory schooling ends in each country, rather than to the U.S. standard of 16 years of age and over. Therefore, the adjusted statistics relate to the population age 16 and over in France, Sweden, and from 1973 onward, Great Britain; 15 and over in Canada, Australia, Japan, Germany, the Netherlands, and prior to 1973, Great Britain; and 14 and over in Italy. The institutional population is included in the denominator of the labor force participation rates and employment-population ratios for Japan and Germany; it is excluded for the United States and the other countries.

In the U.S. labor force survey, persons on layoff who are awaiting recall to their job are classified as unemployed. European and Japanese layoff practices are quite different in nature from those in the United States; therefore, strict application of the U.S. definition has not been made on this point. For further information, see *Monthly Labor Review*, December 1981, pp. 8–11.

The figures for one or more recent years for France, Germany, Great Britain, Italy, and the Netherlands are calculated using adjustment factors based on labor force surveys for earlier years and are considered preliminary. The recent-year measures for these countries are, therefore, subject to revision whenever data from more current labor force surveys become available.

#### Additional sources of information

For further information, see International Comparisons of Unemployment, Bulletin 1979 (Bureau of Labor Statistics, 1978), Appendix B and unpublished Supplements to Appendix B available on request. The statistics are also analyzed periodically in the Monthly Labor Review. Additional historical data, generally beginning with 1959, are published in the Handbook of Labor Statistics and are available in unpublished statistical supplements to Bulletin 1979.

## Manufacturing productivity and labor costs

#### **Description of the series**

Table 47 presents comparative measures of manufacturing labor productivity, hourly compensation costs, and unit labor costs for the United States, Canada, Japan, and nine European countries. These measures are limited to trend comparisons—that is, intercountry series of changes over time—rather than level comparisons because reliable international comparisons of the levels of manufacturing output are unavailable.

#### Definitions

**Output** is constant value output (value added), generally taken from the national accounts of each country. While the national accounting methods for measuring real output differ considerably among the 12 countries, the use of different procedures does not, in itself, connote lack of comparability—rather, it reflects differences among countries in the availability and reliability of underlying data series.

Hours refer to all employed persons including the self-employed in the United States and Canada; to all wage and salary employees in the other countries. The U.S. hours measure is hours paid; the hours measures for the other countries are hours worked.

**Compensation (labor cost)** includes all payments in cash or kind made directly to employees plus employer expenditures for legally required insurance programs and contractual and private benefit plans. In addition, for some countries, compensation is adjusted for other significant taxes on payrolls or employment (or reduced to reflect subsidies), even if they are not for the direct benefit of workers, because such taxes are regarded as labor costs. However, compensation does not include all items of labor cost. The costs of recruitment, employee training, and plant facilities and services—such as cafeterias and medical clinics—are not covered because data are not available for most countries. Self-employed workers are included in the U.S. and Canadian compensation figures by assuming that their hourly compensation is equal to the average for wage and salary employees.

#### Notes on the data

For most of the countries, the measures refer to total manufacturing as defined by the International Standard Industrial Classification. However, the measures for France (beginning 1959), Italy (beginning 1970), and the United Kingdom (beginning 1976), refer to manufacturing and mining less energy-related products and the figures for the Netherlands exclude petroleum refining from 1969 to 1976. For all countries, manufacturing includes the activities of government enterprises.

The figures for one or more recent years are generally based on current indicators of manufacturing output, employment, hours, and hourly compensation and are considered preliminary until the national accounts and other statistics used for the long-term measures become available.

#### Additional sources of information

For additional information, see the *BLS Handbook of Methods*, Bulletin 2134, Vol. 1, Chapter 16 (Bureau of Labor Statistics, 1982) and periodic *Monthly Labor Review* articles. Historical data are provided in the Bureau's *Handbook of Labor Statistics*, Bulletin 2217, 1985. The statistics are issued twice per year—in a news release (generally in May) and in a *Monthly Labor Review* article (generally in December).

(Table 48)

#### **Description of the series**

The Annual Survey of Occupational Injuries and Illnesses is designed to collect data on injuries and illnesses based on records which employers in the following industries maintain under the Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970: agriculture, forestry, and fishing; oil and gas extraction; construction; manufacturing; transportation and public utilities; wholesale and retail trade; finance, insurance, and real estate; and services. Excluded from the survey are self-employed individuals, farmers with fewer than 11 employees, employers regulated by other Federal safety and health laws, and Federal, State, and local government agencies.

Because the survey is a Federal–State cooperative program and the data must meet the needs of participating State agencies, an independent sample is selected for each State. The sample is selected to represent all private industries in the States and territories. The sample size for the survey is dependent upon (1) the characteristics for which estimates are needed; (2) the industries for which estimates are desired; (3) the characteristics of the population being sampled; (4) the target reliability of the estimates; and (5) the survey design employed.

While there are many characteristics upon which the sample design could be based, the total recorded case incidence rate is used because it is one of the most important characteristics and the least variable; therefore, it requires the smallest sample size.

The survey is based on stratified random sampling with a Neyman allocation and a ratio estimator. The characteristics used to stratify the establishments are the Standard Industrial Classification (SIC) code and size of employment.

#### Definitions

**Recordable occupational injuries and illnesses** are: (1) occupational deaths, regardless of the time between injury and death, or the length of the illness; or (2) nonfatal occupational illnesse; or (3) nonfatal occupational injuries which involve one or more of the following: loss of consciousness, restriction of work or motion, transfer to another job, or medical treatment (other than first aid).

**Occupational injury** is any injury such as a cut, fracture, sprain, amputation, and so forth, which results from a work accident or from exposure involving a single incident in the work environment.

Occupational illness is an abnormal condition or disorder, other than one resulting from an occupational injury, caused by exposure to environmental factors associated with employment. It includes acute and chronic illnesses or disease which may be caused by inhalation, absorption, ingestion, or direct contact.

Lost workday cases are cases which involve days away from work, or days of restricted work activity, or both.

Lost workday cases involving restricted work activity are those cases which result in restricted work activity only.

Lost workdays away from work are the number of workdays (consecutive or not) on which the employee would have worked but could not because of occupational injury or illness.

Lost workdays—restricted work activity are the number of workdays (consecutive or not) on which, because of injury or illness: (1) the employee was assigned to another job on a temporary basis; or (2) the employee worked at a permanent job less than full time; or (3) the employee worked at a permanently assigned job but could not perform all duties normally connected with it.

The number of days away from work or days of restricted work activity does not include the day of injury or onset of illness or any days on which the employee would not have worked even though able to work.

**Incidence rates** represent the number of injuries and/or illnesses or lost workdays per 100 full-time workers.

#### Notes on the data

Estimates are made for industries and employment-size classes and for severity classification: fatalities, lost workday cases, and nonfatal cases without lost workdays. Lost workday cases are separated into those where the employee would have worked but could not and those in which work activity was restricted. Estimates of the number of cases and the number of days lost are made for both categories.

Most of the estimates are in the form of incidence rates, defined as the number of injuries and illnesses, or lost workdays, per 100 full-time employees. For this purpose, 200,000 employee hours represent 100 employee years (2,000 hours per employee). Only a few of the available measures are included in the *Handbook of Labor Statistics*. Full detail is presented in the annual bulletin, *Occupational Injuries and Illnesses in the United States, by Industry*.

Comparable data for individual States are available from the BLS Office of Occupational Safety and Health Statistics.

Mining and railroad data are furnished to BLS by the Mine Safety and Health Administration and the Federal Railroad Administration, respectively. Data from these organizations are included in BLS and State publications. Federal employee experience is compiled and published by the Occupational Safety and Health Administration. Data on State and local government employees are collected by about half of the States and territories; these data are not compiled nationally.

#### Additional sources of information

The Supplementary Data System provides detailed information describing various factors associated with work-related injuries and illnesses. These data are obtained from information reported by *employers* to State workers' compensation agencies. The Work Injury Report program examines selected types of accidents through an employee survey which focuses on the circumstances surrounding the injury. These data are not included in the *Handbook of Labor Statistics* but are available from the BLS Office of Occupational Safety and Health Statistics.

The definitions of occupational injuries and illnesses and lost workdays are from *Recordkeeping Requirements under the Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970*. For additional data, see *Occupational Injuries and Illnesses in the United States, by Industry*, annual Bureau of Labor Statistics bulletin; BLS *Handbook of Methods*, Bulletin 2134–1 (Bureau of Labor Statistics, 1982), chapter 17; *Handbook of Labor Statistics*, Bulletin 2217 (Bureau of Labor Statistics, 1985), pp. 411–14; annual reports in the *Monthly Labor Review*; and annual U.S. Department of Labor press releases.

#### 1. Labor market indicators

			198	4		198	5		198	6
Selected indicators	1984	1985 -		IV	I	Ш	III	IV	1	11
Employment data										
Employment status of the civilian noninstitutionalized population										
(household survey) <sup>1</sup>							017	04.0	05.4	CE O
Labor force participation rate	64.4	64.8	64.4	64.5	64.8	64.7	64.7	64.9	00.1	00.3
Employment-population ratio	59.5	60.1	59.7	59.8	60.1	60.0	60.1	60.4	60.5	60.6
Unemployment rate	7.5	7.2	7.4	7.2	7.3	7.3	7.2	7.0	7.1	1.2
Men	7.4	7.0	7.3	7.1	7.1	7.1	7.0	6.9	6.8	1.1
16 to 24 years	14.4	14.1	14.5	13.8	14.1	14.2	14.0	14.0	13.3	14.5
25 years and over	5.7	5.3	5.5	5.4	5.4	5.4	5.3	5.2	5.3	5.4
Women	7.6	7.4	7.6	7.5	7.6	7.5	7.4	7.2	7.3	7.3
16 to 24 years	13.3	13.0	13.1	12.9	13.1	13.0	12.7	13.1	13.2	13.2
25 years and over	6.0	5.9	6.0	5.9	6.0	6.0	5.9	5.5	5.7	5.7
Unemployment rate, 15 weeks and over	2.4	2.0	2.3	2.1	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.9	1.9	1.9
Employment, nonagricultural (payroll data):1										
Total	94,496	97,614	94,977	95,907	96,581	97,295	97,897	98,668	99,403	99,848
Private sector	78,472	81,199	78,914	79,736	80,341	80,958	81,414	82,069	82,731	83,144
Goods-producing	24,727	24,930	24,891	24,943	24,970	24,947	24,866	24,937	25,028	24,952
Manufacturing	19,378	19,314	19,489	19,486	19,439	19,323	19,241	19,261	19,284	19,194
Service-producing	69,769	72,684	70,086	70,964	71,611	72,347	73,031	73,731	74,375	74,896
Average hours										
Private sector	35.2	34.9	35.1	35.1	35.0	34.9	34.9	34.9	34.9	34.8
Manufacturing	40.7	40.5	40.6	40.5	40.4	40.4	40.6	40.8	40.7	40.7
Overtime	3.4	3.3	3.3	3.4	3.3	3.2	3.3	3.5	3.4	3.4
Employment Cost Index <sup>2</sup>										
Percent change in the ECI, compensation: 3										
All workers (excluding farm, household, and Federal workers)	-	-	1.3	1.2	1.3	.7	1.6	.6	1.1	./
Private industry workers	-	-	.8	1.3	1.2	.8	1.3	.6	1.1	8.
Goods-producing <sup>4</sup>	-	-	.9	1.1	1.5	.7	.6	.6	1.1	.9
Servicing-producing <sup>4</sup>	-	-	.7	1.4	1.0	1.0	1.8	.5	1.1	.6
State and local government workers	-	-	3.5	1.0	1.2	.2	3.4	.7	1.0	.6
Workers by bargaining status (private industry)							1			
Union	-	-	.7	1.1	.7	.6	.8	.5	1.0	.2
Nonunion	-	-	.9	1.3	1.6	1.0	1.4	.6	1.2	.9

Quarterly data seasonally adjusted.
 <sup>2</sup> Beginning June 1986, ECI measures are based on fixed employment counts from the 1980 Census of Population , rather than from the 1970 census.

<sup>3</sup> Quarterly changes calculated using the last month of each month.
 <sup>4</sup> Goods-producing industries include mining, construction, and manufacturing. Service-producing industries include all other private sector industries.

## 2. Annual and quarterly percent changes in compensation, prices, and productivity

Selected measures	1004	1005	198	34		198	15		198	36
Selected measures	1984	1985	Ш	IV	1	11	111	IV	1	Ш
Compensation data: 1, 2										
Employment Cost IndexCompensation (wages, salaries, benefits)										
Civilian nonfarm	-	-	1.3	1.2	1.3	0.7	1.6	0.6	1.1	0.7
Private nonfarm	-	-	.8	1.3	1.2	.8	1.3	.6	1.1	.8
Employment Cost IndexWages and Salaries										
Civilian nonfarm	-	-	1.3	1.2	1.2	.9	1.7	.6	1.0	.8
Private nonfarm	-	-	.8	1.2	1.2	1.1	1.3	.6	1.0	.9
Price data <sup>1</sup>										
Consumer Price Index (All urban consumers): All items	4.0	3.8	1.2	.3	1.0	1.1	.7	.9	4	.6
Producer Price Index	-									
Finished goods	17	18	- 5	9	0	7	-14	2.5	2.4	0
Finished consumer goods	1.6	1.5	- 5	8	-3	7	-1.4	2.5	-3.1	.5
Capital equipment	1.8	27	-5	11	13		-1.4	2.5	-4.1	.2
Intermediate materials, supplies, components	13	-3	- 4	-1	- 4	.4	-1.4	2.5	.2	.5
Crude materials	-1.6	-5.6	-2.0	-1.2	-3.1	-2.1	-4.5	4.3	-7.6	-2.2
Productivity data <sup>1</sup>										
Output per hour of all persons:		1								
Business sector	2.3	1.0	- 3	- 1	9	0.7	34	-32	33	- 3
Nonfarm business sector	-1.8	5	- 7	- 4	3	1.0	22	-3.5	4.3	- 5
Nonfinancial corporations <sup>3</sup>	2.0	12	-16	11	.8	1.0	49	-2.8	- 5	-2.3

<sup>1</sup> Annual changes are December-to-December change. Quarterly changes are calculated using the last month of each quarter. Compensation and Price data are not seasonally adjusted and the price data are not compounded. Productivity data are seasonally adjusted.

<sup>2</sup> Excludes Federal and private household workers.
 <sup>3</sup> Output per hour of all employees.

- Data not available.

#### 3. Alternative measures of wage and compensation changes

		C	uarterly	average	2			Fou	ir quarte	ers ended		
Components		198	35		198	6		198	35		198	6
	1	11	III	IV	1	11	T	П	Ш	IV	T	11
Average hourly compensation:1												
All persons, business sector	4.2	5.1	4.4	3.8	2.5	2.7	3.9	4.5	4.4	4.4	3.9	3.3
All employees, nonfarm business sector	3.9	4.6	3.2	3.7	3.1	2.2	3.9	4.2	4.0	3.9	3.6	3.1
Employment Cost Indexcompensation:												
Civilian nonfarm <sup>2</sup>	1.3	.7	1.6	.6	1.1	.7	4.8	4.6	4.9	4.3	4.1	4.0
Private nonfarm	1.2	.8	1.3	.6	1.1	.8	4.4	4.2	4.7	3.9	3.8	3.8
Union	.7	.6	.8	.5	1.0	.2	3.5	3.1	3.2	2.6	2.9	2.5
Nonunion	1.6	1.0	1.4	.6	1.2	.9	4.9	4.9	5.4	4.6	4.2	4.2
State and local governments	1.2	.2	3.4	.7	1.0	.6	6.3	6.1	6.0	5.7	5.5	5.8
Employment Cost Indexwages and salaries:		-										
Civilian nonfarm <sup>2</sup>	1.2	.9	1.7	.6	1.0	.8	4.4	4.5	5.0	4.4	4.2	4.1
Private nonfarm	1.2	1.1	1.3	.6	1.0	.9	4.1	4.3	4.8	4.1	3.9	3.7
Union	.7	1.1	.9	.5	.7	.4	3.0	3.4	3.6	3.1	3.2	2.5
Nonunion	1.4	1.1	1.5	.6	1.1	.9	4.6	4.8	5.4	4.6	4.3	4.1
State and local governments	1.0	.2	3.5	.8	1.0	.4	5.6	5.5	5.6	5.6	5.5	5.7
Total effective wage adjustments <sup>3</sup>	.7	.8	1.2	.5	.6	.7	3.6	3.5	3.5	3.3	3.1	2.9
From current settlements	.1	.2	.2	.1	.0	.2	.7	.9	.9	.7	.6	.5
From prior settlements	.6	.5	.5	.2	.4	.6	2.2	1.9	1.8	1.8	1.7	1.8
From cost-of-living provision	.1	.1	.4	.1	.2	.0	.7	.7	.8	.7	.8	.7
Negotiated wage adjustments from settlements <sup>3</sup>												
First-vear adjustments	3.3	2.5	2.0	2.1	1.0	1.3	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.3	2.0	1.7
Annual rate over life of contract	3.2	2.8	3.1	1.9	1.6	2.0	2.3	2.4	2.5	2.7	2.5	2.3
Negotiated wage and benefit adjustments from settlements:4												
First-vear adjustment	3.6	3.5	2.0	2.0	.4	.7	3.4	3.4	3.1	2.6	2.3	1.5
Annual rate over life of contract	2.7	3.4	3.0	1.4	1.3	1.6	2.6	2.7	2.7	2.7	2.6	2.0

Seasonally adjusted.
 Excludes Federal and household workers. Beginning June 1986, ECI measures are based on fixed employment counts from the 1980 Census of Population, rather than from the 1970 census.

<sup>3</sup> Limited to major collective bargaining units of 1,000 workers or more. The most recent data are preliminary.
 <sup>4</sup> Limited to major collective bargaining units of 5,000 workers or more. The most recent data are preliminary.

# MONTHLY LABOR REVIEW October 1986 • Current Labor Statistics: Employment Data

4. Employment status of the total population, by sex, monthly data seasonally adjusted

(Numbers in thousands)

	Annual a	average			1985						198	50			
Employment status	1984	1985	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May	June	July	Aug.
TOTAL															
Noninstitutional population 1, 2	178,080	179,912	180,131	180,304	180,470	180,642	180,810	181,361	181,512	181,678	181,843	181,998	182,183	182,354	182,525
Labor force <sup>2</sup>	115,241	117,167	117,069	117,522	117,814	117,832	117,927	118,477	118,779	118,900	118,929	119,351	119,796	119,744	119,879
Participation rate <sup>3</sup>	64.7	65.1	65.0	65.2	65.3	65.2	65.2	65.3	65.4	65.4	65.4	65.6	65.8	65.7	65.7
Total employed <sup>2</sup>	106,702	108,856	108,936	109,251	109,513	109,671	109,904	110,646	110,252	110,481	110,587	110,797	111,353	111,554	111,852
Employment-population															
ratio 4	59.9	60.5	60.5	60.6	60.7	60.7	60.8	61.0	60.7	60.8	60.8	60.9	61.1	61.2	61.3
Resident Armed Forces 1	1,697	1,706	1,726	1,732	1,700	1,702	1,698	1,691	1,691	1,693	1,695	1,687	1,680	1,672	1,697
Civilian employed	105,005	107,150	107,210	107,519	107,813	107,969	108,206	108,955	108,561	108,788	108,892	109,110	109,673	109,882	110,155
Agriculture	3,321	3,179	3,095	3,017	3,058	3,070	3,151	3,299	3,096	3,285	3,222	3,160	3,165	3,112	3,048
Nonagricultural industries	101,685	103,971	104,115	104,502	104,755	104,899	105,055	105,655	105,465	105,503	105,670	105,950	106,508	106,769	107,107
Unemployed	8,539	8,312	8,133	8,271	8,301	8,161	8,023	7,831	8,527	8,419	8,342	8,554	8,443	8,190	8,027
Unemployment rate 5	7.4	7.1	6.9	7.0	7.0	6.9	6.8	6.6	7.2	7.1	7.0	7.2	7.0	6.8	6./
Not in labor force	62,839	62,744	63,062	62,782	62,656	62,810	62,883	62,885	62,733	62,778	62,914	62,647	62,387	62,610	62,646
Men, 16 years and over															
Noninstitutional population 1 2	85 156	86.025	86 132	86 217	86 293	86.374	86,459	86.882	86.954	87.035	87,120	87,195	87,288	87,373	87,460
Labor force2	65 386	65 967	65 945	66.074	66 227	66.176	66.139	66.679	66.838	66.864	66,757	66.943	66,964	66,936	66,944
Participation rate 3	76.8	76.7	76.6	76.6	76.7	76.6	76.5	76.7	76.9	76.8	76.6	76.8	76.7	76.6	76.5
Total omployed 2	60 642	61 447	61 510	61 629	61,656	61,731	61,793	62,458	62.243	62.288	62,254	62,190	62,322	62,365	62,515
Employeed	00,042	01,447	01,010	01,020	0.,000										
ratio 4	712	714	71.4	71.5	71.4	71.5	71.5	71.9	71.6	71.6	71.5	71.3	71.4	71.4	71.5
Resident Armed Forces 1	1 551	1.556	1.574	1.580	1.551	1.552	1,549	1,539	1,539	1,540	1,541	1,533	1,525	1,518	1,541
Civilian employed	59 091	59.891	59.936	60.049	60,105	60,179	60,244	60,919	60,704	60,748	60,713	60,657	60,797	60,847	60,974
Unemployed	4.744	4.521	4,435	4,445	4.571	4,445	4,346	4,221	4,595	4,577	4,503	4,754	4,642	4,571	4,429
Unemployment rate 5	7.3	6.9	6.7	6.7	6.9	6.7	6.6	6.3	6.9	6.8	6.7	7.1	6.9	6.8	6.6
Women, 16 years and over															
Negligativities al population 1 2	02 024	93 886	93 999	94 087	94 177	94,266	94.351	94,479	94,558	94,643	94,723	94,803	94,895	94,981	95,065
Labor force2	10 855	51 200	51 124	51 448	51 587	51 655	51,788	51,797	51.941	52.036	52,172	52,408	52,832	52,808	52,935
Derticipation rate 3	43,000	54.5	54.4	54.7	54.8	54.8	54.9	54.8	54.9	55.0	55.1	55.3	55.7	55.6	55.1
Total amplavod <sup>2</sup>	46.061	17 109	47 426	47 622	47 857	47,939	48.111	48.187	48.009	48,194	48,333	48,608	49,031	49,189	49,33
Employment-population	40,001	41,403	47,420	THOLE	11,001										
ratio 4	49.6	50.5	50.5	50.6	50.8	50.9	51.0	51.0	50.8	50.9	51.0	51.3	51.7	51.8	51.9
Resident Armed Forces 1	146	150	152	152	149	149	149	152	152	153	154	154	155	154	150
Civilian employed	45 915	47 259	47 274	47.470	47.708	47.790	47.962	48.035	47,857	48,041	48,179	48,454	48,876	49,035	49,18
Lipemployed	3 794	3,791	3,698	3.826	3,730	3,716	3.677	3,610	3,932	3,842	3,839	3,800	3,801	3,619	3,59
Lloomployment rate 5	7.6	74	72	7.4	7.2	7.2	7.1	7.0	7.6	7.4	7.4	7.3	7.2	6.9	6.

The population and Armed Forces figures are not adjusted for seasonal variation.
 Includes members of the Armed Forces stationed in the United States.
 Labor force as a percent of the noninstitutional population.

 <sup>4</sup> Total employed as a percent of the noninstitutional population.
 <sup>5</sup> Unemployment as a percent of the labor force (including the resident Armed Forces).

# 5. Employment status of the civilian population, by sex, age, race and Hispanic origin, monthly data seasonally adjusted

(Numbers in thousands)

Employment status	Annual	average			1985						19	986			
Employment status	1984	1985	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May	June	July	Aug.
TOTAL															
Civilian noninstitutional															
population <sup>1</sup>	176.383	178,206	178,405	178 572	178 770	178 940	179 112	179 670	170 821	170 095	100 140	100 211	100 500	100 000	100.000
Civilian labor force	113,544	115,461	115,343	115,790	116.114	116,130	116,229	116 786	117 088	117 207	117 234	117 664	118 116	118 072	110,828
Participation rate	64.4	64.8	64.7	64.8	65.0	64.9	64.9	65.0	65.1	65.1	65.1	65.3	65.4	65.3	65.4
Employed	105,005	107,150	107,210	107,519	107,813	107,969	108,206	108,955	108,561	108,788	108,892	109,110	109.673	109.882	110.155
Employment-population															
ratio <sup>2</sup>	59.5	60.1	60.1	60.2	60.3	60.3	60.4	60.6	60.4	60.4	60.4	60.5	60.8	60.8	60.9
Unemployeet rate	0,539	0,312	8,133	8,2/1	8,301	8,161	8,023	7,831	8,527	8,419	8,342	8,554	8,443	8,190	8,027
Not in labor force	62,839	62,744	63,062	62,782	62,656	62,810	62,883	62,885	62,733	62,778	62,914	62,647	7.1 62,387	6.9 62,610	6.8 62,646
Men, 20 years and over															
Civilian noninstitutional															
population <sup>1</sup>	76,219	77,195	77,306	77,389	77,498	77,566	77,651	78,101	78,171	78,236	78,309	78.387	78.484	78 586	78 634
Civilian labor force	59,701	60,277	60,269	60,407	60,526	60,553	60,548	61,212	61,183	61,268	61,053	61,208	61,387	61.323	61.235
Participation rate	78.3	78.1	78.0	78.1	78.1	78.1	78.0	78.4	78.3	78.3	78.0	78.1	78.2	78.0	77.9
Employment population	55,769	56,562	56,636	56,751	56,849	56,897	56,982	57,706	57,384	57,459	57,391	57,312	57,560	57,499	57,607
ratio <sup>2</sup>	73.2	73.3	73.3	73.3	72.4	72 4	70 4	72.0	70.4	70.4	70.0				
Agriculture	2.418	2.278	2,231	2 171	2 188	2 210	2 278	2340	2 258	2 411	73.3	/3.1	/3.3	73.2	73.3
Nonagricultural industries	53,351	54,284	54,405	54,580	54.661	54.687	54.704	55 356	55 127	55.048	55 043	55 034	55 241	2,200	2,173
Unemployed	3,932	3,715	3,633	3,656	3,677	3,656	3,566	3,507	3.799	3,809	3 663	3 897	3 827	3 824	3 628
Unemployment rate	6.6	6.2	6.0	6.1	6.1	6.0	5.9	5.7	6.2	6.2	6.0	6.4	6.2	6.2	5.9
Women, 20 years ond over															
Civilian noninstitutional															
population <sup>1</sup>	85,429	86,506	86,652	86.727	86.810	86,901	86,988	87 112	87 185	87 263	87 355	87 444	87 547	97 620	07 600
Civilian labor force	45,900	47,283	47,340	47,558	47,663	47,713	47,870	47.895	47.921	47,952	48,107	48 409	48 805	48 916	18 989
Participation rate	53.7	54.7	54.6	54.8	54.9	54.9	55.0	55.0	55.0	55.0	55.1	55.4	55.7	55.8	55.9
Employed	42,793	44,154	44,197	44,363	44,609	44,656	44,882	44,980	44,710	44,797	45,009	45,284	45,701	45,918	45,999
Employment-population	50.4	54.0	54.0												
Agriculture	50.1	51.0	51.0	51.2	51.4	51.4	51.6	51.6	51.3	51.3	51.5	51.8	52.2	52.4	52.5
Nonagricultural industries	42.198	43 558	43 616	43 806	44 000	14 065	597	696	593	598	576	609	565	608	627
Unemployed	3.107	3,129	3.143	3,195	3.054	3 057	2 988	2 915	3 211	3 155	44,433	44,0/5	45,136	45,309	45,372
Unemployment rate	6.8	6.6	6.6	6.7	6.4	6.4	6.2	6.1	6.7	6.6	6.4	6.5	6.4	6.1	2,990
Both sexes, 16 to 19 years															
Civilian noninstitutional															
population <sup>1</sup>	14,735	14,506	14,448	14.456	14.463	14 472	14 474	14 458	14 465	14 485	14 484	14 480	14 470	14 467	14 505
Civilian labor force	7,943	7,901	7,734	7.825	7.925	7.864	7.811	7.678	7 984	7 987	8 074	8 047	7 923	7 822	7 059
Participation rate	53.9	54.5	53.5	54.1	54.8	54.3	54.0	53.1	55.2	55.1	55.7	55.6	54.7	54.1	54.9
Employed	6,444	6,434	6,377	6,405	6,355	6,416	6,342	6,269	6,467	6,532	6,492	6,515	6,411	6,465	6,549
Employment-population	10.7														
Agriculture	43.7	44.4	44.1	44.3	43.9	44.3	43.8	43.4	44.7	45.1	44.8	45.0	44.3	44.7	45.2
Nonagricultural industries	6 135	6 129	6 094	6 116	6 004	6 147	2/6	254	246	276	298	274	280	238	249
Unemployed	1,499	1.468	1.357	1,420	1 570	1 448	1 469	1 409	1,517	1 455	1,592	6,241	6,131	6,227	6,300
Unemployment rate	18.9	18.6	17.5	18.1	19.8	18.4	18.8	18.4	19.0	18.2	19.6	19.0	19.1	17.5	17.7
White															
Civilian noninstitutional															
population <sup>1</sup>	152,347	153,679	153,819	153 938	154 082	154 202	154 327	154 794	154 990	155 005	155 100	155 000	155 070	155 500	
Civilian labor force	98,492	99,926	99.817	100,179	100.533	100,478	100,533	100 961	101 232	101 248	101 240	101 515	101 075	101,022	155,604
Participation rate	64.6	65.0	64.9	65.1	65.2	65.2	65.1	65.2	65.4	65.3	65.3	65.4	65.6	65.5	65.7
Employed	92,120	93,736	93,684	94,055	94,369	94,507	94,585	95,165	94,803	94,958	95,081	95,180	95.731	95.760	96.271
Employment-population															
ratio <sup>2</sup>	60.5	61.0	60.9	61.1	61.2	61.3	61.3	61.5	61.2	61.3	61.3	61.3	61.6	61.6	61.9
Unemployment rate	6.5	6,191	6,133	6,124	6,164	5,971 5.9	5,948 5.9	5,796 5.7	6,429 6.4	6,290 6.2	6,168 6.1	6,335 6.2	6,244 6.1	6,162 6.0	5,918 5.8
Black															
Civilian noninstitutional	10.010	10.001	10	10											
Civilian labor force	19,348	19,664	19,700	19,728	19,761	19,790	19,819	19,837	19,863	19,889	19,916	19,943	19,974	20,002	20,028
Participation rate	62.2	62.9	62 4	627	62.8	62.0	62.2	62.0	12,545	12,656	12,740	12,781	12,754	12,601	12,473
Employed	10,119	10,501	10,560	10.500	10.566	10.518	10 657	10 737	10 690	10 701	10.956	10,990	10 905	63.0	62.3
Employment-population						10,010	10,001	10,707	10,050	10,731	10,000	10,009	10,825	10,836	10,654
ratio <sup>2</sup>	52.3	53.4	53.6	53.2	53.5	53.1	53.8	54.1	53.8	54.3	54.5	54.6	54.2	54.2	53.2
Unemployed	1,914	1,864	1,729	1,878	1,846	1,939	1,865	1,810	1,855	1,865	1,884	1,892	1,929	1,766	1.819
Unemployment rate	15.9	15.1	14.1	15.2	14.9	15.6	14.9	14.4	14.8	14.7	14.8	14.8	15.1	14.0	14.6
															0.000

See footnotes at end of table.

5. Continued— Employment status of the civilian population, by sex, age, race and Hispanic origin, monthly data seasonally adjusted

(Numbers in thousands)

	Annual a	average			1985			1986								
Employment status	1984	1985	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May	June	July	Aug.	
Hispanic origin Civilian noninstitutional												10.000	10.000	10.000	10.007	
population <sup>1</sup>	11,478	11,915	11,969	12,004	12,040	12,075	12,111 7,772	12,148	12,184	7,920	7,975	8,002	8,110	8,123	8,102	
Participation rate	64.9	64.6	65.0	65.3	65.2	64.4	64.2	64.1	65.2	64.8	65.1	65.1	65.8	65.7	65.4	
Employed	6,651	6,888	6,973	7,026	6,982	6,953	6,962	6,998	6,969	7,105	7,144	7,123	7,251	1,214	7,213	
ratio <sup>2</sup>	57.9	57.8	58.3	58.5	58.0	57.6	57.5	57.6	57.2	58.2	58.3	58.0	58.8	58.8	58.2	
Unemployed Unemployment rate	800 10.7	811 10.5	808 10.4	818 10.4	872 11.1	829 10.7	810 10.4	789 10.1	974 12.3	815 10.3	832 10.4	878 11.0	858 10.6	849 10.5	11.0	

The population figures are not seasonally adjusted.
 <sup>2</sup> Civilian employment as a percent of the civilian noninstitutional population.
 NOTE: Detail for the above race and Hispanic-origin groups will not sum to totals

because data for the "other races" groups are not presented and Hispanics are included in both the white and black population groups.

## 6. Selected employment indicators, monthly data seasonally adjusted

(In thousands)

	Annual	average			1985						19	86			
Selected categories	1984	1985	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May	June	July	Aug.
CHARACTERISTIC															
Civilian employed, 16 years and															
over	105,005	107,150	107,210	107,519	107,813	107,969	108,206	108,955	108,561	108,788	108,892	109,110	109,673	109,882	110,155
Men	59,091	59,891	59,936	60,049	60,105	60,179	60,244	60,919	60,704	60,748	60,713	60,657	60,797	60,847	60,974
Women	45,915	47,259	47,274	47,470	47,708	47,790	47,962	48,035	47,857	48,041	48,179	48,454	48,876	49,035	49,181
Married men, spouse present	39,056	39,248	39,142	39,103	39,272	39,314	39,278	39,615	39,382	39,365	39,555	39,614	39,626	39,611	39,716
Married women, spouse															
present	25,636	26,336	26,392	26,531	26,702	26,721	26,804	26,958	26,593	26,656	26,802	26,920	27,427	27,523	27,438
Women who maintain families .	5,465	5,597	5,627	5,556	5,514	5,605	5,693	5,702	5,733	5,771	5,812	5,718	5,668	5,829	5,826
MAJOR INDUSTRY AND CLASS OF WORKER															
Agriculture:				_											
Wage and salary workers	1,555	1,535	1,456	1,438	1,465	1,537	1,572	1,673	1,519	1,689	1,587	1,480	1,498	1,486	1,469
Self-employed workers	1,553	1,458	1,444	1,414	1,436	1,361	1,409	1,492	1,444	1,453	1,475	1,486	1,504	1,427	1,379
Unpaid family workers	213	185	176	179	172	158	164	163	156	172	180	186	154	171	178
Nonagricultural industries:															
Wage and salary workers	93,565	95,871	95,791	96,546	96,530	96,676	96,921	97,911	97,516	97,698	97,831	97,994	98,372	98,206	98,667
Government	15,770	16,031	16,075	16,145	16,213	16,157	16,194	16,418	16,104	16,095	16,187	16,325	16,387	16,647	16,479
Private industries	77,794	79,841	79,716	80,401	80,317	80,519	80,727	81,494	81,412	81,604	81,643	81,669	81,984	81,559	82,188
Private households	1,238	1,249	1,295	1,266	1,271	1,197	1,131	1,256	1,197	1,213	1,321	1,275	1,279	1,243	1,261
Other	76,556	78,592	78,421	79,135	79,046	79,322	79,596	80,238	80,216	80,390	80,322	80,394	80,705	80,317	80,927
Self-employed workers	7,785	7,811	7,874	7,846	7,991	8,013	7,903	7,655	7,669	7,644	7,571	7,757	7,807	8,081	7,982
Unpaid family workers	335	289	303	266	248	249	250	273	270	240	253	229	235	254	282
PERSONS AT WORK PART TIME <sup>1</sup>															
All industries															
All industries.	5 744	5 500	5 680	5 554	5 475	5 4 9 8	5 4 9 4	5.543	5.377	5.538	5.923	5.980	5.537	5,399	5,443
Slock work	2 430	2 430	2 480	2 433	2 251	2,306	2,303	2.364	2,369	2.330	2.603	2,659	2,434	2,484	2,41
Could only find part-time work	2 948	2 819	2 835	2,815	2,897	2.883	2.864	2.883	2,703	2.953	2,974	2,893	2,810	2,624	2,71
Voluntary part time	13 160	13 489	13 622	13,496	13,713	13.645	13,556	13,958	13.817	13,754	13,933	13,638	14,268	13,991	14,023
Nonagricultural industries:	10,103	10,403	10,022	10,100	10,110	10,010									
Part time for economic research	5 512	5 334	5 4 13	5 299	5.241	5,295	5,294	5.275	5,158	5,301	5,621	5,673	5,320	5,191	5,25
Clack work	2 201	2 273	2319	2 292	2 115	2,196	2,195	2.208	2.224	2,159	2,430	2,523	2,308	2,323	2,28
Could only find part-time work	2,201	2 730	2 740	2 730	2 801	2.784	2,760	2.776	2.636	2,861	2,849	2,790	2,724	2,579	2,660
Voluntary part time	12 704	13.038	13,179	13.053	13.277	13,194	13,122	13,441	13,369	13,285	13,599	13,191	13,779	13,656	13,683
voluntary part time	12,104	10,000	10,110	.0,000											

<sup>1</sup> Excludes persons "with a job but not at work" during the survey period for such

reasons as vacation, illness, or industrial disputes.

# 7. Selected unemployment indicators, monthly data seasonally adjusted

(Unemployment rates)

Selected extension	Annual	average			1985						1	986			
Selected categories	1984	1985	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May	June	July	Aug.
CHARACTERISTIC															
Total all civilian workers	7.5	7.0													
Both covers 16 to 10 years	1.5	7.2	7.1	7.1	7.1	7.0	6.9	6.7	7.3	7.2	7.1	7.3	7.1	6.9	6.8
bour sexes, to to 19 years	18.9	18.6	17.5	18.1	19.8	18.4	18.8	18.4	19.0	18.2	19.6	19.0	19.1	17.5	17.7
Men, 20 years and over	6.6	6.2	6.0	6.1	6.1	6.0	5.9	5.7	6.2	6.2	6.0	6.4	6.2	6.2	5.9
women, 20 years and over	6.8	6.6	6.6	6.7	6.4	6.4	6.2	6.1	6.7	6.6	6.4	6.5	6.4	6.1	6.1
White, total	6.5	6.2	6.1	61	61	5.9	5.9	57	64	6.2	61	60	6.4		50
Both sexes, 16 to 19 years	16.0	15.7	15.2	15.3	17.0	15.5	15.0	14.0	16.2	145	16.4	10.2	1.0	0.0	5.8
Men, 16 to 19 years	16.8	16.5	17.2	16.2	18.5	15.8	16.2	14.5	16.5	14.0	10.4	10.0	10.2	15.0	15.2
Women, 16 to 19 years	15.2	14.8	13.0	14.4	15.2	15.0	10.2	14.1	10.5	10.3	17.2	17.3	17.8	15.3	16.7
Men. 20 years and over	57	5.4	5.2	5.0	5.0	5.0	15.5	15.1	15.8	13.7	15.6	14.7	14.4	14.7	13.5
Women, 20 years and over	5.8	5.7	5.7	5.7	5.5	5.4	5.4	5.0	5.4 5.9	5.5 5.8	5.2 5.5	5.5 5.5	5.4 5.4	5.5 5.3	5.0 5.2
Black, total	15.9	15.1	14.1	15.0	14.0	15.0	140								
Both seves 16 to 19 years	10.0	40.2	05.0	10.2	14.9	15.0	14.9	14.4	14.8	14.7	14.8	14.8	15.1	14.0	14.6
Men 16 to 10 years	42.1	40.2	35.3	30.0	39.7	40.8	41.6	41.9	39.1	43.7	42.6	40.8	40.2	38.6	39.5
Women 16 to 19 years	42.1	41.0	34.9	41.1	41.0	45.2	41.0	41.3	38.7	44.1	41.4	40.8	38.5	41.6	37.4
Men 20 years and over	42.0	39.2	35.9	36.1	38.2	36.0	42.3	42.4	39.5	43.4	43.7	40.8	41.9	35.1	41.8
Wence 00 years and ever	14.3	13.2	11.9	13.3	13.7	13.7	13.1	12.7	13.3	12.6	12.6	12.7	13.3	12.7	13.2
women, 20 years and over	13.5	13.1	13.1	13.5	12.1	13.6	12.6	12.0	12.5	12.2	12.5	12.8	12.8	11.9	12.5
Hispanic origin, total	10.7	10.5	10.4	10.4	11.1	10.7	10.4	10.1	12.3	10.3	10.4	11.0	10.6	10.5	11.0
Married men, spouse present	4.6	4.3	4.1	43	42	43	13	13	4.5	4.5	10	4.5	4.5		
Married women, spouse present	57	5.6	5.4	5.6	5.3	5.5	4.0	4.0	4.5	4.5	4.2	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.1
Women who maintain families	10.3	10.4	10.8	11.3	10.4	10.0	0.0	0.0	5.5	5.0	5.3	5.4	5.2	5.3	5.1
Full-time workers	7.2	6.9	6.0	6.0	6.0	10.0	9.4	9.9	9.9	10.1	9.4	10.2	10.1	9.2	10.3
Part-time workers	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.0	6.4	6.9	6.9	6.7	7.0	6.7	6.6	6.4
Linemployed 15 works and over	9.0	9.5	9.0	9.3	9.0	8.8	9.0	8.4	9.4	9.1	9.6	9.2	9.1	9.0	9.3
Labor force time lost <sup>1</sup>	8.6	8.1	8.1	8.1	7.9	1.9 7.9	1.9 7.8	1.8 7.6	2.0 8.1	1.9 8.1	1.8 8.1	1.9 8.3	2.0 8.1	1.9 7.7	1.9 7.7
INDUSTRY															
Nonagricultural private wage and salary workers	74	72	71	72	71	7.0	6.0	67	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.4		
Mining	10.0	95	86	80	77	7.0	10.0	10.0	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.3	7.1	1.2	6.9
Construction	14.3	12.1	10.0	10.9	10.5	1.0	10.3	10.9	9.2	10.4	12.8	13.7	17.6	17.0	16.7
Manufacturing	7.5	77	13.1	13.0	13.5	13.4	12.6	12.9	13.2	13.0	12.0	13.3	12.1	13.2	12.2
Durable goods	7.5	7.7	7.8	1.1	1.5	1.1	7.3	7.0	7.2	7.2	6.8	7.5	7.3	6.9	6.8
Nendurable goods	1.2	7.6	7.9	7.7	7.3	7.6	7.3	7.0	7.4	6.8	6.8	7.3	7.1	6.7	6.9
Transporter goods	7.8	7.8	7.6	7.8	7.8	7.8	7.3	7.1	7.0	7.7	6.8	7.7	7.5	7.2	6.7
What and and public utilities	5.5	5.1	4.5	5.3	5.1	5.1	5.0	4.3	5.3	6.1	5.6	5.3	5.5	6.1	4.6
wholesale and retail trade	8.0	7.6	7.7	7.8	7.7	7.5	7.6	7.2	7.8	7.6	8.1	8.1	7.7	7.8	7.4
Finance and service industries	5.9	5.6	5.5	5.5	5.4	5.4	5.3	5.2	5.9	5.7	5.9	5.5	5.4	5.7	5.7
Government workers	4.5	3.9	3.9	3.8	3.9	3.6	3.8	3.4	3.8	4.0	3.5	3.7	3.6	32	32
Agricultural wage and salary workers	13.5	13.2	14.0	13.3	12.9	12.5	10.6	10.9	143	119	134	15.8	13.2	11.6	13.8

the unemployed and persons on part time for economic reasons as a percent of potentially available labor force hours.

# MONTHLY LABOR REVIEW October 1986 • Current Labor Statistics: Employment Data

8. Unemployment rates by sex and age, monthly data seasonally adjusted

(Civilian workers)

Sex and age	Annaver	age			1985						19	86			
	1984	1985	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May	June	July	Aug.
Total, 16 years and over	7.5	7.2	7.1	7.1	7.1	7.0	6.9	6.7	7.3	7.2	7.1	7.3	7.1	6.9	6.8
16 to 24 years	13.9	13.6	13.0	13.3	13.9	13.5	13.3	13.0	13.6	13.2	13.9	14.2	13.5	13.0	12.8
16 to 19 years	18.9	18.6	17.5	18.1	19.8	18.4	18.8	18.4	19.0	18.2	19.6	19.0	19.1	17.5	17.7
16 to 17 years	21.2	21.0	19.1	20.3	22.7	21.4	21.1	20.9	21.8	19.4	20.9	21.1	20.6	19.4	19.6
18 to 19 years	17.4	17.0	16.8	16.7	17.8	16.9	17.5	16.4	17.2	17.1	18.9	17.5	17.9	15.7	16.6
20 to 24 years	11.5	11.1	10.8	10.9	10.9	11.0	10.6	10.4	10.8	10.6	10.9	11.7	10.7	10.8	10.2
25 years and over	5.8	5.6	5.5	5.6	5.4	5.4	5.3	5.1	5.7	5.7	5.4	5.5	5.6	5.4	5.3
25 to 54 years	6.1	5.8	5.8	5.8	5.7	5.6	5.5	5.4	5.9	5.9	5.8	5.9	5.9	5.8	5.6
55 years and over	4.5	4.1	4.1	4.1	3.9	3.8	3.9	3.9	4.4	4.3	3.9	3.6	3.7	3.8	3.7
Men. 16 years and over	7.4	7.0	6.9	6.9	7.1	6.9	6.7	6.5	7.0	7.0	6.9	7.3	7.1	7.0	6.8
16 to 24 years	14.4	14.1	13.8	13.8	14.6	13.9	13.5	12.8	13.6	13.6	14.5	15.0	14.0	13.5	13.3
16 to 19 years	19.6	19.5	19.6	19.3	21.5	19.4	19.3	18.2	19.3	18.9	20.2	20.4	20.1	18.2	19.2
16 to 17 years	21.9	21.9	21.9	20.7	24.0	20.9	21.6	20.9	23.2	20.0	21.2	21.6	19.4	20.0	21.0
18 to 19 years	18.3	17.9	18.1	18.3	19.9	18.7	18.0	16.2	16.6	17.8	19.7	19.6	20.4	16.1	18.1
20 to 24 years	11.9	11.4	10.9	11.0	11.1	11.2	10.6	10.3	10.7	11.0	11.6	12.2	11.0	11.2	10.3
25 years and over	5.7	5.3	5.3	5.3	5.3	5.2	5.1	5.0	5.5	5.5	5.2	5.4	5.5	5.5	5.3
25 to 54 years	5.9	5.6	5.6	5.5	5.5	5.4	5.4	5.3	5.7	5.7	5.5	5.8	5.8	5.8	5.5
55 years and over	4.6	4.1	3.8	4.0	4.1	4.0	3.9	3.9	4.4	4.3	3.9	3.8	4.1	3.9	4.1
Women, 16 years and over	7.6	7.4	7.3	7.5	7.3	7.2	7.1	7.0	7.6	7.4	7.4	7.3	7.2	6.9	6.8
16 to 24 years	13.3	13.0	12.2	12.9	13.1	13.1	13.2	13.2	13.6	12.7	13.2	13.3	13.0	12.5	12.1
16 to 19 years	18.0	17.6	15.3	16.9	17.9	17.4	18.3	18.5	18.6	17.5	19.0	17.6	18.0	16.6	16.0
16 to 17 years	20.4	20.0	15.8	19.8	21.2	22.0	20.6	20.8	20.2	18.7	20.5	20.5	21.9	18.7	18.1
18 to 19 years	16.6	16.0	15.3	14.9	15.5	15.1	16.9	16.5	17.7	16.3	18.1	15.3	15.1	15.3	15.0
20 to 24 years	10.9	10.7	10.7	10.9	10.7	10.8	10.6	10.5	11.0	10.1	10.0	11.1	10.4	10.4	10.1
25 years and over	6.0	5.9	5.8	6.0	5.6	5.6	5.4	5.3	5.9	5.9	5.8	5.7	5.7	5.4	5.4
25 to 54 years	6.3	6.2	6.1	6.2	5.9	5.9	5.7	5.6	6.2	6.3	6.2	6.1	6.1	5.7	5.8
55 years and over	4.2	4.1	4.5	4.2	3.7	3.6	3.9	3.8	4.4	4.4	3.8	3.4	3.1	3.6	3.1

## 9. Unemployed persons by reason for unemployment, monthly data seasonally adjusted

(Numbers in thousands)

	Annual	average			1985						198	36			
Reason for unemployment	1984	1985	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May	June	July	Aug.
Job losers	4,421	4,139	4,144	4,142	4,040	4,081	3,933	3,776	4,162	4,246	4,034	4,311	4,335	3,937	3,831
On lavoff	1,171	1,157	1,112	1,167	1,161	1,175	1,132	1,163	1,152	1,164	1,028	1,133	1,066	1,079	990
Other job losers	3,250	2,982	3,032	2,975	2,879	2,906	2,801	2,613	3,010	3,082	3,006	3,178	3,269	2,858	2,841
Job leavers	823	877	875	852	911	808	876	996	1,001	1,002	1,110	975	1,013	1,034	978
Reentrants	2,184	2,256	2,191	2,335	2,237	2,226	2,225	2,066	2,292	2,197	2,191	2,217	2,064	2,223	2,232
New entrants	1,110	1,039	941	918	1,045	1,055	1,033	1,025	1,097	1,000	1,059	1,062	1,059	965	1,000
PERCENT OF UNEMPLOYED															
Job losers	51.8	49.8	50.8	50.2	49.1	50.0	48.8	48.0	48.7	50.3	48.1	50.3	51.2	48.3	47.6
On layoff	13.7	13.9	13.6	14.2	14.1	14.4	14.0	14.8	13.5	13.8	12.2	13.2	12.6	13.2	12.3
Other job losers	38.1	35.9	37.2	36.1	35.0	35.6	34.7	33.2	35.2	36.5	35.8	37.1	38.6	35.0	35.3
Job leavers	9.6	10.6	10.7	10.3	11.1	9.9	10.9	12.7	11.7	11.9	13.2	11.4	12.0	12.7	12.2
Reentrants	25.6	27.1	26.9	28.3	27.2	27.2	27.6	26.3	26.8	26.0	26.1	25.9	24.4	27.2	27.8
New entrants	13.0	12.5	11.5	11.1	12.7	12.9	12.8	13.0	12.8	11.8	12.6	12.4	12.5	11.8	12.4
PERCENT OF															
CIVILIAN LABOR FORCE															
Job losers	3.9	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.5	3.5	3.4	3.2	3.6	3.6	3.4	3.7	3.7	3.3	3.2
Job leavers	.7	.8	.8	.7	.8	.7	.8	.9	.9	.9	.9	.8	.9	.9	.8
Reentrants	1.9	2.0	1.9	2.0	1.9	1.9	1.9	1.8	2.0	1.9	1.9	1.9	1.7	1.9	1.9
New entrants	1.0	.9	.8	.8	.9	.9	.9	.9	.9	.9	.9	.9	.9	.8	.8

## 10. Duration of unemployment, monthly data seasonally adjusted

(Numbers in thousands)

	Annual	average			1985						19	986			
Weeks of unemployment	1984	1985	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May	June	July	Aug.
Less than 5 weeks	3,350	3,498	3,422	3,484	3,430	3,465	3,374	3,311	3,562	3,589	3,628	3,705	3,384	3,394	3,427
	2,451	2,509	2,508	2,505	2,536	2,448	2,460	2,441	2,622	2,640	2,685	2,737	2,708	2,486	2,379
	2,737	2,305	2,274	2,307	2,277	2,205	2,188	2,056	2,340	2,258	2,135	2,209	2,320	2,256	2,295
	1,104	1,025	1,047	1,035	1,057	894	973	969	1,149	1,099	1,001	1,072	1,036	1,066	1,086
	1,634	1,280	1,227	1,272	1,220	1,311	1,215	1,087	1,191	1,159	1,134	1,137	1,284	1,190	1,209
Mean duration in weeks	18.2	15.6	15.5	15.5	15.4	15.7	15.4	14.9	15.3	14.4	14.3	14.4	15.2	15.0	15.8
Median duration in weeks	7.9	6.8	7.2	6.9	7.0	6.9	6.9	6.8	6.9	6.8	6.5	6.6	7.3	7.1	7.2

## 11. Unemployment rates of civilian workers by State, data not seasonally adjusted

State	July 1985	July 1986	State	July 1985	July 1986
Alabama	9.0	10.5	Montana	6.8	73
Alaska	8.5	10.6	Nebraska	5.3	4.4
Arizona	7.6	7.4	Nevada	7.7	5.6
Arkansas	8.4	8.9	New Hampshire	51	37
California	7.7	7.5		0.1	0.7
			New Jersey	6.0	57
Colorado	5.6	6.8	New Mexico	9.2	9.5
Connecticut	5.3	4.1	New York	6.1	63
Delaware	5.2	5.8	North Carolina	5.6	5.1
District of Columbia	8.4	82	North Dakota	5.3	6.1
Florida	7.0	6.9		0.0	0.1
			Ohio	9.3	7.8
Georgia	7.0	6.5	Oklahoma	7.2	8.8
Hawaii	5.9	49	Oregon	8.6	8.9
daho	8.1	77	Pennsylvania	7.8	6.7
Illinois	8.9	7.8	Rhode Island	5.7	4.0
ndiana	7.5	6.6			
		0.0	South Carolina	7.3	67
owa	7.7	6.8	South Dakota	5.1	4 1
Kansas	4.8	53	Tennessee	8.4	85
Kentucky	9.3	0.3	Texas	77	0.0
Louisiana	12.0	12.9	Utah	5.5	5.5
Maine	6.0	5.6		0.0	5.0
		0.0	Vermont	4.5	3.9
Maryland	4.4	4.2	Virginia	6.0	4.7
Massachusetts	4.3	3.8	Washington	7.7	81
Michigan	10.8	9.2	West Virginia	12.5	11.9
Minnesota	5.6	4.9	Wisconsin	6.7	6.5
Mississippi	11.0	13.0			0.0
Missouri	6.1	6.2	Wyoming	6.5	9.0

- Data not available. NOTE: Some data in this table may differ from data

published elsewhere because of the continual updating of the database.

## 12. Employment of workers on nonagricultural payrolls by State, data not seasonally adjusted

## (In thousands)

State	July 1985	June 1986	July 1986 <sup>p</sup>	State	July 1985	June 1986	July 1986 <sup>p</sup>
Alabama	1,431.9	1,443.9	1,448.5	Nebraska	649.2	660.8	653.9
Alaska	249.3	234.0	244.8	Nevada	448.6	465.4	467.7
Arizona	1.261.3	1.333.7	1.333.2	New Hampshire	470.0	400.4	401.7
Arkansas	800.0	819.8	816.7	non namponio initiati initiati	470.5	404.1	431.7
California	10,950,7	11 234 3	11 160 2	New Jersey	2 467 0	2 566 2	2 560 2
	,	11,201.0	11,100.2	New Mexico	5,407.0	5,500.2	3,509.3
Colorado	1 415 4	1 457 2	1 441 5	New York	7 704 4	7 050 0	520.2
Connecticut	1 564 0	1,407.2	1,441.5	North Carolina	7,784.4	7,959.3	7,925.6
Delaware	298.9	300.8	208.6	North Dakota	2,604.2	2,733.2	2,681.7
District of Columbia	646 3	640.0	660.0	North Dakota	253.1	252.2	249.3
Florida	4 369 7	4 547 0	4 504 0	Ohio	1000 5	1540.4	
	4,505.7	4,047.0	4,504.0	Oklahama	4,383.5	4,549.1	4,515.1
Georgia	25736	2640.2	2624.2	Oragon	1,176.8	1,163.4	1,143.7
Hawaii	122.075.0	2,040.2	2,034.3	Departuration	1,023.8	1,067.1	1,043.8
Idaho	229.0	430.4	431.3	Pennsylvania	4,748.2	4,847.7	4,813.0
Illinois	4 792 1	4 709 5	4 000 0	Rhode Island	423.7	431.4	424.7
Indiana	4,702.1	4,798.5	4,808.3	0			
indiana	2,170.2	2,255.7	2,254.0	South Carolina	1,296.2	1,350.4	1,334.9
lowa	1 070 4	1 000 0		South Dakota	249.4	257.1	251.3
Kapaga	1,070.4	1,080.9	1,062.7	Tennessee	1,862.0	1,930.8	1,924.9
Kantusku	970.9	994.8	981.9	Texas	6,684.5	6,674.2	6,666.6
Kentucky	1,239.8	1,280.5	1,263.1	Utah	621.8	636.4	634.0
Louisiana	1,589.6	1,524.1	1,506.1				
Maine	469.5	481.8	477.9	Vermont	220.8	227.5	223.4
				Virginia	2,443.1	2,559.3	2,534.9
Maryland	1,913.4	1,950.9	1,951.8	Washington	1,710.6	1,775.1	1,760.6
Massachusetts	2,916.5	2,999.6	2,964.6	West Virginia	605.2	600.7	603.7
Michigan	3,494.7	3,597.1	3,557.2	Wisconsin	1,982.3	2,040.9	2.023.7
Minnesota	1,869.6	1,919.1	1,899.9				
Mississippi	834.6	848.0	840.5	Wyoming	213.1	205.5	203.0
Missouri	2,100.0	2,157.9	2,154.6	Puerto Rico	674.0	704.9	700.9
Montana	280.3	284.0	274.0	Virgin Islands	37.3	36.4	37.3

 $^{\rm p}~=$  preliminary NOTE: Some data in this table may differ from data published elsewhere

because of the continual updating of the database.

13. Employment of workers on nonagricultural payrolls by industry, monthly data seasonally adjusted

(In thousands)

	Annual a	average			1985						198	86			
Industry	1984	1985	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May	June	Julyp	Aug. <sup>p</sup>
TOTAL	94,496 78,472	97,614 81,199	97,890 81,428	98,128 81,592	98,428 81,853	98,666 82,073	98,910 82,281	99,296 82,659	99,429 82,748	99,484 82,785	99,783 83,072	99,918 83,198	99,843 83,161	100,122 83,502	100,324 83,676
GOODS PRODUCING	24,727	24,930	24,880	24,843	24,903	24,931	24,977	25,101	25,038	24,945	25,038	24,965	24,854	24,861	24,923
Mining Oil and gas extraction	966 607	930 585	922 581	917 577	913 571	907 565	901 560	897 556	880 541	852 518	821 488	790 461	446	438	429
Construction	4,383 1,161	4,687 1,251	4,702 1,257	4,728 1,267	4,754 1,276	4,765 1,283	4,787 1,287	4,901 1,330	4,864 1,320	4,838 1,298	4,972 1,315	4,974 1,314	4,947 1,299	4,981 1,299	5,036 1,306
Manufacturing Production workers	19,378 13,285	19,314 13,130	19,256 13,078	19,198 13,029	19,236 13,059	19,259 13,074	19,289 13,100	19,303 13,111	19,294 13,097	19,255 13,061	19,245 13,060	19,201 13,025	19,135 12,979	19,116 12,963	19,135 12,984
Durable goods Production workers	11,505 7,739	11,516 7,660	11,473 7,619	11,421 7,572	11,447 7,594	11,453 7,594	11,461 7,595	11,466 7,595	11,455 7,579	11,418 7,545	11,415 7,547	11,378 7,519	11,307 7,462	11,294 7,447	11,295 7,451
Lumber and wood products	704	700	700	702	705	708	710	716	716	715	719	719	721	720	725
Furniture and fixtures	487	493	495	491	493	493	494	494	494	493	494	496	496	498	497
Stone, clay, and glass products	593 857	591	591 798	590 795	591 797	591 801	593 803	798	795	787	785	780	761	756	733
Blast furnaces and basic steel	007	010	100	,											
products Fabricated metal products	334 1,463	305 1,468	302 1,463	304 1,459	304 1,460	302 1,459	303 1,456	300 1,455	299 1,452	293 1,450	291 1,451	288 1,447	286 1,440	283 1,429	261 1,432
Machinery, except electrical	2,198	2,182	2,164	2,147	2,146	2,139	2,133	2,137	2,127	2,118	2,111	2,100	2,089	2,081	2,079
equipment	2,208	2,207	2,195	2,179	2,181	2,179	2,182	2,182	2,181	2,177	2,177	2,175	2,143	2,169	2,165
Transportation equipment	1,901	1,971	1,977	871	873	870	872	867	864	858	854	839	839	830	832
Instruments and related products	714	723	724	723	722	723	725	724	725	726	723	721	717	711	717
Miscellaneous manufacturing industries	382	369	366	365	365	367	367	368	370	369	369	369	369	363	368
Nondurable goods	7,873	7,798	7,783	7,777	7,789	7,806	7,828	7,837	7,839	7,837	7,830	7,823	7,828	7,822	7,840
Production workers	5,546	5,470	5,459	5,457	5,465	5,480	5,505	5,516	5,518	5,516	5,513	5,506	5,517	5,516	5,533
Food and kindred products	1,612	1,608	1,608	1,607	1,610	1,612	1,623	1,623	1,631	1,632	1,633	1,640	1,648	1,646	1,649
Tobacco manufactures	64	65	64	65	64	65	64	64	63	63	63	62	62	62	713
Textile mill products	746	704	698	697	699	701	702	702	705	101	703	705	107	/10	/13
Paper and allied products	1,185 681	1,125 683	1,117 682	1,121 682	1,121 683	1,122 687	1,130 686	1,133 687	1,122 687	1,117 688	1,119 689	1,113 689	1,106 690	1,106 687	1,106 687
Printing and publishing	1.376	1.435	1.442	1,442	1,447	1,454	1,457	1,461	1,467	1,469	1,472	1,474	1,477	1,481	1,478
Chemicals and allied products	1,049	1,046	1,043	1,042	1,040	1,037	1,035	1,034	1,032	1,031	1,028	1,024	1,026	1,026	1,034
Petroleum and coal products	189	178	177	171	171	170	169	168	167	166	166	166	164	162	163
Rubber and misc. plastics	780	790	787	785	790	794	798	802	803	804	800	796	797	791	796
Leather and leather products	189	166	165	165	164	164	164	163	162	160	157	154	151	151	155
SERVICE-PRODUCING	69,769	72,684	73,010	73,285	73,525	73,735	73,933	74,195	74,391	74,539	74,745	74,953	74,989	75,261	75,401
utilities Transportation	5,159 2,917	5,242 3,006	5,219 2,983	5,257 3,023	5,260 3,026	5,272 3,040	5,277 3,046	5,286 3,056	5,277 3,048	5,280 3,053	5,266 3,040	5,265	3,035	3,057	3,055
Communication and public utilities	2,242	2,236	2,236	2,234	2,234	2,232	2,231	2,230	2,229	2,227	2,226	2,228	2,132	2,219	2,181
Wholesole trade	5 555	5 740	5 762	5 777	5 796	5 796	5 809	5.830	5.843	5.841	5.864	5.872	5,829	5,851	5,866
Durable goods	3,276	3,409	3,424	3,432	3,442	3,451	3,460	3,470	3,482	3,480	3,485	3,488	3,454	3,484	3,493
Nondurable goods	2,279	2,331	2,338	2,345	2,354	2,345	2,349	2,360	2,361	2,361	2,379	2,384	2,375	2,307	2,373
Retail trade	16,545	17,360	17,464	17,489	17,543	17,589	17,622	17,734	17,795	17,828	17,851	17,911	17,944	17,997	18,027
General merchandise stores	2,267	2,320	2,328	2,326	2,329	2,326	2,317	2,328	2,333	2,333	2,342	2,344	2,350	2,356	2,358
Food stores	2,637	2,779	2,805	2,013	2,020	2,045	2,070	2,000	2,031	2,301	2,010	2,017	2,002	2,000	2,047
stations	1,799	1,892 5,715	1,904 5,749	1,910 5,761	1,916 5,772	1,918 5,783	1,922 5,801	1,929 5,831	1,938 5,854	1,939 5,868	1,940 5,859	1,944 5,889	1,945 5,918	1,950 5,932	1,957 5,941
Early and annualy places	1														
Finance, insurance, and real	5 689	5 953	5 988	6.014	6.038	6.070	6.095	6.123	6.157	6,184	6.228	6,261	6,295	6,335	6,376
Finance	2,854	2,979	2,998	3,011	3,024	3,039	3,053	3,066	3,082	3,095	3,120	3,137	3,159	3,178	3,201
Insurance	. 1,757	1,830	1,839	1,846	1,852	1,862	1,868	1,878	1,889	1,900	1,910	1,918	1,927	1,945	1,955
Heal estate	1,078	1,144	1,151	1,157	1,102	1,109	1,174	1,175	1,100	1,105	1,100	1,200	1,200	1,212	1,220
Services	. 20,797	21,974	22,115	22,212	22,313	22,415	22,501	22,585	22,638	22,707	22,825	22,924	23,072	23,182	23,248
Business services	4,057	4,452	4,504	4,542	4,567	4,604	4,631	4,660	4,687	4,698	4,750	4,755	4,792	4,837	4,84
Health services	6,122	6,310	6,333	6,350	0,375	0,401	0,424	0,447	0,471	0,497	0,011	0,040	0,071	0,000	0,000
Government	. 16,024	16,415	16,462	16,536	16,575	16,593	16,629	16,637	16,681	16,699	16,711	16,720	16,682	16,620	16,64
Federal	. 2,807	2,875	2,886	2,899	2,895	2,904	2,913	2,918	2,918	2,923	2,914	2,899	2,875	2,868	2,87
State	9,734	3,848	9,855	9,759	9,785	9,788	9.812	9.803	9,839	9,849	9,859	9,885	9,880	9,820	9,82
LOCAI	0,402	5,002	5,121	5,100	0,,00	5,.50	3,5.2	1,000							

revision.

 $^{\rm p}$  = preliminary NOTE: See notes on the data for a description of the most recent benchmark

14. Average weekly hours of production or nonsupervisory workers on private nonagricultural payrolls by industry, monthly data seasonally adjusted

Industry	Anr	nual age			1985						19	86			
	1984	1985	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May	June	Julyp	Aug. <sup>p</sup>
PRIVATE SECTOR	35.2	34.9	34.9	34.9	34.9	34.8	34.9	35.0	34.9	34.9	34.8	34.8	34.7	34.7	34.8
CONSTRUCTION	37.8	37.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MANUFACTURING	40.7	40.5	40.6	40.7	40.7	40.7	10.0	10.0	10.7	10.7					
Overtime hours	3.4	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.4	3.4	3.6	3.5	40.7	40.7	40.7	40.7	40.6	40.6	40.8
Durable goods	41.4	41.2	41.2	41.2	41.0	44.0								0.0	0.0
Overtime hours	36	2.5	41.0	41.5	41.3	41.3	41.6	41.5	41.4	41.4	41.3	41.2	41.2	41.2	41.4
Lumber and wood products	20.0	0.0	3.4	3.5	3.5	3.6	3.7	3.6	3.5	3.6	3.6	3.4	3.5	3.5	3.6
Furniture and fixtures	39.9	39.9	40.1	40.1	40.2	39.9	40.2	40.4	40.0	40.2	40.3	40.3	39.9	40.0	40.0
Stone clay and class products	39.7	39.4	39.3	39.4	39.5	39.4	39.9	40.0	39.7	39.4	39.1	39.4	39.4	39.4	39.7
Primary metal industrias	42.0	41.9	42.0	42.0	42.1	41.8	41.8	42.7	41.9	41.9	42.4	42.3	42.2	42.2	42.5
Blact furnacion and basis start and the	41./	41.5	41.7	41.5	41.8	41.9	42.1	41.9	42.1	41.9	41.3	41.7	41.6	41.3	421
Endiated metal and basic steel products	40.7	41.1	41.5	41.1	41.6	41.9	41.9	41.7	41.8	41.7	40.5	41.5	41.1	41.3	42 1
Pablicated metal products	41.4	41.3	41.4	41.5	41.5	41.5	41.6	41.5	41.5	41.4	41.2	41.1	41.1	41.0	41.2
Machinery except electrical	410	415	416	41.0	44.5										
Electrical and electronic equipment	41.0	41.5	41.0	41.0	41.5	41.6	41./	41.6	41.6	41.6	41.8	41.8	41.7	41.5	41.0
Transportation equipment	42.7	40.0	40.7	40.5	40.6	40.9	41.1	41.0	40.9	41.0	41.1	41.0	41.0	41.3	41.4
Motor vehicles and equipment	42.7	42.0	42.9	42.9	42.8	42.7	43.0	42.8	42.7	42.7	42.1	41.9	42.2	42.1	43.1
Instruments and related products	40.0	43.5	43.7	43.6	43.7	43.6	44.0	43.6	43.4	43.3	41.9	41.8	42.4	42.3	43.6
Miscellaneous manufacturing	39.4	39.4	40.9	40.9	40.9	41.0	41.6	41.1	41.2	41.3	41.3	40.9	41.0	40.7	40.8
Nondurable goods	20.7	20.0									-	-	-	-	-
Overtime hours	39.7	39.6	39.6	39.8	39.8	39.8	40.0	39.9	39.7	39.8	39.9	39.9	39.8	39.8	40.0
Food and kindred products	3.1	3.1	3.1	3.1	3.2	3.2	3.4	3.3	3.2	3.2	3.3	3.4	3.2	3.4	3.4
Tobacco manufactures	39.8	40.0	40.0	40.1	40.2	40.0	40.1	40.1	39.8	39.9	40.2	40.2	40.0	40.1	40.5
Toutile mill and ust	38.9	37.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Accessed and other to the	39.9	39.7	40.0	40.5	40.7	40.8	41.0	40.8	40.6	40.7	41.3	41 1	40.8	40.9	41 4
Apparel and other textile products	36.4	36.4	36.4	36.6	36.6	36.8	36.8	36.7	36.3	36.5	36.9	36.5	36.5	36.7	26.4
Paper and allied products	43.1	43.1	43.1	43.1	43.2	43.3	43.5	43.6	43.5	43.5	43.0	43.2	43.1	43.3	43.7
Printing and publishing	37.9	37.8	27.0	27.0	27.0	07.0	00.4								
Chemicals and allied products	41.0	41.0	41.0	37.9	37.9	37.9	38.1	38.0	38.0	38.0	38.0	38.0	37.8	37.8	37.8
Petroleum and coal products	42.7	41.5	41.9	41.7	41.8	41.9	42.0	41.9	41.8	41.9	41.9	42.0	41.9	41.9	42.0
Leather and leather products	36.8	37.2	43.3	43.3	44.2	43.2	43.6	43.5	43.7	43.8	43.6	43.4	44.0	43.3	43.5
TRANSPORTATION AND PUBLIC UTILITIES	39.4	39.5	39.5	39.5	39.5	39.4	39.5	39.4	39.5	39.6	39.2	39.2	30.1	20.2	-
WHOLESALE TRADE	38.5	38.4	38.4	38.4	38.4	38.4	38.4	38.5	38.4	38.5	38.5	38.4	38.3	38.3	39.3
RETAIL TRADE	29.8	29.4	29.4	29.4	29.3	29.3	29.2	29.3	29.3	29.3	29.2	29.2	29.1	29.2	29.2
SERVICES	32.6	32.5	32.5	32.4	32.5	32.4	32.5	32.6	32.6	32.5	32.5	32.5	32.4	32.4	32.5

Data not avail
 p = preliminary

NOTE: See "Notes on the data" for a description of the most recent benchmark adjustment.

15. Average hourly earnings of production or nonsupervisory workers on private nonagricultural payrolls by industry

Industry	Anı ave	nual rage			1985						19	86			
muuny	1984	1985	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	Мау	June	July <sup>p</sup>	Aug. <sup>p</sup>
PRIVATE SECTOR	\$8.32	\$8.57	\$8.52 8.59	\$8.67 8.62	\$8.64 8.63	\$8.66 8.65	\$8.71 8.70	\$8.72 8.68	\$8.74 8.71	\$8.73 8.73	\$8.72 8.72	\$8.72 8.73	\$8.71 8.74	\$8.69 8.72	\$8.69 8.76
MINING	11.63	11.98	11.99	12.05	12.00	12.07	12.27	12.24	12.32	12.35	12.43	12.44	12.50	12.46	12.47
CONSTRUCTION	12.13	12.31	12.28	12.46	12.42	12.28	12.47	12.34	12.35	12.22	12.29	12.33	12.31	12.31	12.42
MANUFACTURING	9.19	9.53	9.49	9.57	9.56	9.63	9.74	9.70	9.70	9.72	9.70	9.71	9.70	9.73	9.68
Durable goods	9.74	10.10	10.06	10.15	10.15	10.22	10.34	10.27	10.29	10.30	10.28	10.28	10.26	10.27	10.22
Lumber and wood products	8.03	8.22	8.27	8.33	8.30	8.29	8.35	8.30	8.36	8.33	8.32	8.37	8.43	8.35	8.40
Furniture and fixtures	6.84	7.17	7.20	7.27	7.29	7.32	7.38	7.36	7.31	7.35	7.36	7.39	7.46	7.44	7.47
Stone clay and class products	9.57	9.84	9.87	9.91	9.87	9.91	9.95	9.96	9.94	9.93	10.00	10.04	10.04	10.06	10.07
Primary metal industries	11.47	11.68	11.63	11.69	11.61	11.77	11.84	11.81	11.96	11.99	12.00	12.02	11.94	12.07	11.81
Plact furnacion and basic steel products	12 98	13 34	13 36	13.43	13.32	13.43	13.44	13.48	13.81	13.80	13.82	13.86	13.88	14.10	13.85
Fabricated metal products	9.40	9.70	9.64	9.74	9.71	9.76	9.91	9.85	9.85	9.88	9.84	9.85	9.88	9.85	9.82
Machinen, except electrical	9.96	10.29	10.26	10.38	10.41	10.48	10.55	10.50	10.53	10.58	10.55	10.55	10.55	10.56	10.54
Fleetricel and electropic equipment	9.04	9.47	9.50	9.54	9.55	9.61	9.68	9.60	9.60	9.62	9.62	9.64	9.61	9.68	9.66
Electrical and electronic equipment	12 20	12 72	12.65	12 78	12 78	12.85	13.06	12.91	12.87	12.90	12.83	12.79	12.27	12.74	12.74
Transportation equipment	10.70	12.12	12.00	13 48	13 14	13.52	13.81	13.66	13 59	13.66	13.54	13.47	13.41	13.36	13.35
Motor venicles and equipment	0.04	0.16	0.10	0.25	0.24	0.02	0.30	9.32	9.39	941	9.41	9.40	9.41	9.48	9.46
Instruments and related products Miscellaneous manufacturing	7.05	7.30	7.28	7.33	7.32	7.37	7.48	7.48	7.50	7.51	7.50	7.54	7.54	7.58	7.52
Nondurable goods	8.38	8.71	8.70	8.73	8.72	8.79	8.87	8.86	8.86	8.88	8.88	8.90	8.91	8.99	8.94
Food and kindred products	8.39	8.57	8.50	8.53	8.51	8.61	8.71	8.72	8.71	8.74	8.75	8.78	8.74	8.75	8.66
Tobacco manufactures	11.22	11.94	12.34	11.34	11.31	11.97	11.78	11.89	12.38	12.76	12.84	13.38	13.68	13.49	13.38
Textile mill products	6.46	6.71	6.72	6.75	6.76	6.79	6.83	6.85	6.83	6.86	6.87	6.88	6.87	6.89	6.96
Apparel and other textile products	5.55	5.73	5.69	5.75	5.74	5.75	5.80	5.82	5.79	5.80	5.81	5.78	5.79	5.75	5.81
Paper and allied products	10.41	10.82	10.86	10.91	10.91	10.97	11.07	11.02	10.99	11.03	11.05	11.12	11.15	11.29	11.21
Printing and publishing	9.41	9.71	9.76	9.81	9.78	9.83	9.92	9.85	9.86	9.90	9.87	9.91	9.88	9.97	10.03
Chemicals and allied products	11.07	11.56	11.60	11.65	11.70	11.80	11.85	11.86	11.81	11.78	11.82	11.89	11.94	12.05	11.99
Petroleum and coal products	13.44	14.06	14.02	14.09	13.99	14.07	14.24	14.26	14.21	14.22	14.16	14.02	14.14	14.15	14.19
Rubber and miscellaneous plastics products	8.29	8.54	8.52	8.56	8.54	8.63	8.73	8.69	8.69	8.72	8.68	8.75	8.75	8.80	8.82
Leather and leather products	5.71	5.82	5.81	5.83	5.77	5.83	5.83	5.86	5.83	5.86	5.89	5.88	5.88	5.88	5.88
TRANSPORTATION AND PUBLIC UTILITIES	11.12	11.40	11.42	11.54	11.48	11.59	11.61	11.59	11.64	11.62	11.55	11.54	11.57	11.60	11.56
WHOLESALE TRADE	8.89	9.16	9.12	9.22	9.16	9.23	9.33	9.28	9.36	9.33	9.29	9.29	9.32	9.30	9.31
RETAIL TRADE	5.85	5.94	5.88	5.98	5.95	5.97	5.99	6.03	6.04	6.03	6.01	6.00	5.99	5.97	5.95
FINANCE, INSURANCE, AND REAL ESTATE	7.63	7.94	7.91	8.04	8.01	8.06	8.15	8.14	8.28	8.30	8.29	8.31	8.37	8.31	8.32
SERVICES	7.59	7.89	7.82	7.99	7.99	8.05	8.12	8.12	8.17	8.18	8.12	8.10	8.10	8.03	8.04

Data not available.
 <sup>p</sup> = preliminary

NOTE: See "Notes on the data" for a description of the most recent benchmark revision.

## 16. Average weekly earnings of production or nonsupervisory workers on private nonagricultural payrolls by industry

	Annual average 1985									19	86				
Industry	1984	1985	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May	June	Julyp	Aug. <sup>p</sup>
PRIVATE SECTOR															
Current dollars	\$292.86	\$299.09	\$299.90	\$303.45	\$301.54	\$301.37	\$306.59	\$302.58	\$300.66	\$302.93	\$301.71	\$302.58	\$303.98	\$304.15	\$305.02
Seasonally adjusted	-	-	299.79	300.84	301.19	301.02	303.63	303.80	303.98	304.68	303.46	303.80	303.28	302.58	304.85
Constant (1977) dollars	172.78	170.42	170.30	171.83	170.36	169.59	172.05	169.32	168.82	171.05	170.94	170.85	170.78	170.97	-
MINING	503.58	519.93	519.17	526.59	518.40	521.42	537.43	543.46	522.37	522.41	522.06	519.99	525.00	517.09	520.00
CONSTRUCTION	458.51	464.09	471.55	479.71	475.69	450.68	460.14	459.05	434.72	444.81	462.10	467.31	465.32	470.24	475.69
MANUFACTURING															
Current dollars	374.03	385.97	384.35	390.46	390.05	393.87	406.16	394.79	390.91	395.60	392.85	394.23	395.76	391.15	393.98
Constant (1977) dollars	220.67	219.93	218.26	221.10	220.37	221.65	227.92	220.92	219.49	223.38	222.58	222.60	222.34	219.87	-
Durable goods	403.24	416.12	412.46	420.21	419.20	424.13	439.45	425.18	421.89	426.42	423.54	423.54	424.76	417.99	420.04
Lumber and wood products	320.40	327.98	334.94	338.20	335.32	327.46	335.67	329.51	328.55	333.20	334.46	338.99	342.26	333.17	339.36
Furniture and fixtures	271.55	282.50	283.68	289.35	291.60	291.34	303.32	289.98	284.36	288.12	286.30	288.21	294.67	287.93	297.31
Stone, clay, and glass products	401.94	412.30	418.49	421.18	419.48	414.24	414.92	414.34	403.56	412.10	425.00	428.71	429.71	427.55	432.00
Primary metal industries	478.30	484.72	480.32	486.30	480.65	491.99	504.38	493.66	503.52	504.78	499.20	501.23	499.09	496.08	492.48
Blast furnaces and basic steel products	528.29	548.27	550.43	553.32	544.79	557.35	564.48	556.72	578.64	576.84	569.38	576.58	577.41	585.15	578.93
Fabricated metal products	389.16	400.61	397.17	405.18	403.94	406.02	422.17	407.79	403.85	409.03	403.44	404.84	408.04	397.94	402.62
Machinery, except electrical	417.32	427.04	422.71	431.81	430.97	438.06	452.60	437.85	437.00	442.24	437.83	437.83	439.94	431.90	427.92
Electrical and electronic equipment	370.64	384.48	383.80	387.32	387.73	396.89	408.50	394.56	389.76	395.38	392.50	393.31	394.01	393.01	397.03
Transportation equipment	520.94	541.87	530.04	544.43	545.71	551.27	577.25	555.13	545.69	552.12	542.71	537.18	540.17	528.71	537.63
Motor vehicles and equipment	557.57	583.77	565.68	585.03	585.98	588.12	625.59	595.58	583.01	592.84	574.10	567.09	572.61	557.11	566.04
Instruments and related products	365.09	375.56	373.11	380.18	376.07	382.85	400.01	383.05	384.99	389.57	385.81	382.58	385.81	382.04	383.13
Miscellaneous manufacturing	277.77	287.62	284.65	293.20	295.00	296.27	304.44	297.70	294.75	299.65	297.75	297.08	298.58	294.10	296.29
Nondurable goods	332.69	344.92	345.39	349.20	347.93	351.60	359.24	352.63	347.31	352.54	351.65	354.22	355.51	356.00	358.49
Food and kindred products	333.92	342.80	342.55	348.02	343.80	346.12	354.50	347.93	339.69	344.36	346.50	352.08	350.47	350.88	354.19
Tobacco manufactures	436.46	444.17	457.81	434.32	444.48	435.71	448.82	448.25	453.11	478.50	469.94	504.43	523.94	500.48	489.71
Textile mill products	257.75	266.39	270.14	275.40	276.48	279.75	283.45	278.80	274.57	278.52	278.92	282.08	283.04	277.67	289.54
Apparel and other textile products	202.02	208.57	208.25	210.45	211.23	212.75	215.18	213.01	207.28	211.70	211.48	210.97	213.65	209.30	212.07
Paper and allied products	448.67	466.34	465.89	473.49	472.40	477.20	490.40	479.37	472.57	477.60	474.05	479.27	480.57	486.60	487.64
Printing and publishing	356.64	367.04	370.88	374.74	371.64	375.51	384.90	371.35	370.74	377.19	374.07	374.60	370.50	373.88	380.14
Chemicals and allied products	463.83	484.36	482.56	486.97	486.72	495.60	503.63	495.75	492.48	494.76	495.26	499.38	502.67	502.49	499.98
Petroleum and coal products Rubber and miscellaneous	587.33	604.58	607.07	621.37	619.76	610.64	622.29	616.03	612.45	621.41	615.96	605.66	622.16	615.53	617.27
plastics products	345.69	350.99	346.76	351.82	350.99	356.42	366.66	359.77	356.29	360.14	356.75	360.50	361.38	356.40	365.15
Leather and leather products	210.13	216.50	216.71	219.21	216.95	219.21	220.96	217.41	209.88	212.72	213.81	215.80	221.68	217.56	217.56
TRANSPORTATION AND PUBLIC															
UTILITIES	438.13	450.30	454.52	458.14	453.46	457.81	460.92	452.01	456.29	457.83	450.45	450.06	455.86	457.04	457.78
WHOLESALE TRADE	342.27	351.74	351.12	354.97	351.74	355.36	360.14	355.42	355.68	357.34	355.81	356.74	358.82	358.05	358.44
RETAIL TRADE	174.33	174.64	176.99	175.81	173.74	173.73	178.50	173.06	172.74	174.27	173.69	174.60	176.71	178.50	177.91
FINANCE, INSURANCE, AND REAL ESTATE	278.50	289.02	287.13	293.46	290.76	291.77	299.11	296.30	304.70	304.61	301.76	301.65	306.34	302.48	303.68
SERVICES	247.43	256.43	256.50	258.88	259.68	260.02	263.90	263.09	264.71	265.03	263.09	262.44	264.06	263.38	264.52
- Data not available.						NOTE	- See "	Notes on	the data	a" for a	descriptio	n of the	most rec	ent benc	hmark

Data not available.
 <sup>p</sup> = preliminary

revision.

17. The Hourly	Earnings Index fo	r production o	r nonsupervisory	workers of	n private	nonagricultural	payrolls	by
industry								-,

		Not season	ally adjusted				Seasonally	y adjusted		
Industry	Aug. 1985	June 1986	July 1986 <sup>p</sup>	Aug. 1986 <sup>p</sup>	Aug. 1985	Apr. 1986	May 1986	June 1986	July 1986 <sup>p</sup>	Aug. 1986 <sup>p</sup>
PRIVATE SECTOR (in current dollars)	164.7	168.8	168.5	168.4	165.5	168.4	168.7	169.2	168.8	169.2
Mining <sup>1</sup>	178.8	181.4	181.6	181.6	_		-	-	-	_
Construction	150.5	150.5	150.4	151.7	150.3	150.6	151.0	151.4	150.9	1517
Manufacturing	168.6	172.3	172.7	172.0	169.4	172.0	172.5	172.4	172.6	172.8
Transportation and public utilities	166.1	169.5	169.2	169.1	166.6	169.3	170.1	170.7	170.3	169.6
Wholesale trade1	168.3	172.0	171.4	171.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
Retail trade	154.8	157.9	157.4	157.1	155.7	157.3	157.2	157.8	157.7	158.2
Finance, insurance, and real estate <sup>1</sup>	171.4	180.5	179.2	179.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
Services	167.2	173.3	172.2	172.4	168.9	173.1	173.4	174.3	173.2	174.2
PRIVATE SECTOR (in constant dollars)	93.5	94.8	94.7	-	94.1	95.4	95.4	95.2	95.1	-

<sup>1</sup> This series is not seasonally adjusted because the seasonal component is small relative to the trend-cycle, irregular components, or both, and consequently cannot be separated with sufficient precision.
 - Data not available.

 $^{\rm p}~=$  preliminary. NOTE: See "Notes on the data" for a description of the most recent benchmark revision.

## MONTHLY LABOR REVIEW October 1986 • Current Labor Statistics: Employment Data

## 18. Indexes of diffusion: industries in which employment increased, data seasonally adjusted

(In percent)

Time span and year	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May	June	July	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.
Over 1-month span												
1984	67.8	72.7	67.6	67.6	62.4	65.4	62.2	55.9	50.5	63.0	53.5	57.0
1985	52.4	47.8	53.8	49.2	51.6	47.0	56.2	56.8	50.8	61.9	57.6	59.5
1986	59.7	53.5	45.1	54.1	49.2	46.2	52.7	58.4	-	-	-	-
Over 3-month span												
1984	76.5	75.1	75.9	71.4	71.6	68.1	63.2	58.1	56.8	53.5	58.1	53.0
1985	51.1	49.7	46.2	46.2	45.1	51.4	49.7	51.1	55.1	55.9	61.4	60.5
1986	58.1	54.3	51.1	49.7	48.4	43.8	49.5	-	-	-	-	-
Over 6-month span												
1984	78.1	76.5	77.0	75.1	69.2	65.1	63.2	59.2	58.6	53.2	49.7	54.9
1985	49.2	47.8	43.0	45.9	44.3	44.3	48.9	50.8	54.1	57.0	57.0	55.9
1986	53.8	53.8	47.6	44.9	47.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Over 12-month span												
1984	81.1	78.1	72.2	72.2	68.9	67.8	65.7	62.7	59.7	54.6	51.4	48.6
1985	46.2	45.7	46.8	43.8	44.9	47.3	47.6	48.9	47.3	49.5	48.9	48.6
1986	50.3	51.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Data not available.
 NOTE: Figures are the percent of industries with employment rising. (Half of the unchanged components are counted as rising.) Data are centered within the

spans. Data for the most 2 recent months shown in each span are preliminary. See the "Definitions" in this section. See "Notes on the data" for a description of the most recent benchmark revision.

## 19. Annual data: Employment status of the noninstitutional population

(Numbers in thousands)

Employment status	1977	1978	1979	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985
Noninstitutional population	160,689	163,541	166,460	169,349	171,775	173,939	175,891	178,080	179,912
Labor force									
Total (number)	100,665	103,882	106,559	108,544	110,315	111,872	113,226	115,241	117,167
Percent of population	62.6	63.5	64.0	64.1	64.2	64.3	64.4	64.7	65.1
Employed									
Total (number)	93,673	97,679	100,421	100,907	102,042	101,194	102,510	106,702	108,856
Percent of population	58.3	59.7	60.3	59.6	59.4	58.2	58.3	59.9	60.5
Resident Armed Forces	1.656	1.631	1,597	1,604	1,645	1,668	1,676	1,697	1,706
Civilian									
Total	92,017	96,048	98,824	99,303	100,397	99,526	100,834	105,005	107,150
Agriculture	3,283	3.387	3,347	3,364	3,368	3,401	3,383	3,321	3,179
Nonagricultural industries	88,734	92,661	95,477	95,938	97,030	96,125	97,450	101,685	103,971
Unemployed									
Total (number)	6,991	6,202	6,137	7,637	8,273	10,678	10,717	8,539	8,312
Percent of labor force	6.9	6.0	5.8	7.0	7.5	9.5	9.5	7.4	7.1
Not in labor force (number)	60,025	59,659	59,900	60,806	61,460	62,067	62,665	62,839	62,744

## 20. Annual data: Employment levels by industry

(Numbers in thousands)

Industry	1977	1978	1979	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985
Total employment	82.471	86.697	89.823	90,406	91,156	89,566	90,200	94,496	97,614
Private sector	67.344	71,026	73,876	74,166	75,126	73,729	74,330	78,472	81,199
Goods-producing	24.346	25.585	26,461	25,658	25,497	23,813	23,334	24,727	24,930
Mining	813	851	958	1.027	1,139	1,128	952	966	930
Construction	3.851	4.229	4,463	4,346	4,188	3,905	3,948	4,383	4,687
Manufacturing	19,682	20,505	21,040	20,285	20,170	18,781	18,434	19,378	19,314
Service-producing	58,125	61.113	63,363	64,748	65,659	65,753	66,866	69,769	72,684
Transportation and public utilities	4.713	4,923	5,136	5,146	5,165	5,082	4,954	5,159	5,242
Wholesale trade	4,708	4.969	5.204	5.275	5,358	5,278	5,268	5,555	5,740
Retail trade	13.808	14,573	14,989	15,035	15,189	15,179	15,613	16,545	17,360
Finance, insurance, and real estate	4,467	4.724	4.975	5,160	5,298	5,341	5,468	5,689	5,953
Services	15,303	16,252	17,112	17,890	18,619	19,036	19,694	20,797	21,974
Government	15,127	15.672	15.947	16,241	16,031	15,837	15,869	16,024	16,415
Federal	2.727	2,753	2,773	2,866	2,772	2,739	2,774	2,807	2,875
State	3.377	3.474	3.541	3.610	3,640	3,640	3,662	3,734	3,848
Local	9,023	9,446	9,633	9,765	9,619	9,458	9,434	9,482	9,692

NOTE: See "Notes on the data" for a description of the most

recent benchmark revision.

21. Annual data: Average hours and earnings of production or nonsupervisory workers on nonagricultural payrolls, by industry

Industry	1977	1978	1979	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985
Private sector									
Average weekly hours	36.0	35.8	35.7	35.3	35.2	34.8	35.0	35.2	34.9
Average hourly earnings (in dollars)	5.25	5.69	6 16	6.66	7 25	7.68	8.02	8.32	8.57
Average weekly earnings (in dollars)	189.00	203.70	219.91	235.10	255.20	267.26	280.70	292.86	299.09
Mining									
Average weekly hours	43.4	43.4	43.0	43.3	43.7	42.7	42.5	43.3	43.4
Average hourly earnings (in dollars)	6.94	7.67	8.49	9.17	10.04	10.77	11.28	11.63	11.98
Average weekly earnings (in dollars)	301.20	332.88	365.07	397.06	438.75	459.88	479.40	503.58	519.93
Construction									
Average weekly hours	36.5	36.8	37.0	37.0	36.9	36.7	37.1	37.8	37.7
Average hourly earnings (in dollars)	8.10	8.66	9.27	9.94	10.82	11.63	11.94	12.13	12.31
Average weekly earnings (in dollars)	295.65	318.69	342.99	367.78	399.26	426.82	442.97	458.51	464.09
Manufacturing									
Average weekly hours	40.3	40.4	40.2	39.7	39.8	38.9	40.1	40.7	40.5
Average hourly earnings (in dollars)	5.68	6.17	6.70	7.27	7.99	8.49	8.83	9.19	9.53
Average weekly earnings (in dollars)	228.90	249.27	269.34	288.62	318.00	330.26	354.08	374.03	385.97
Transportation and public utilities									
Average weekly hours	39.9	40.0	39.9	39.6	39.4	39.0	39.0	39.4	39.5
Average hourly earnings (in dollars)	6.99	7.57	8.16	8.87	9.70	10.32	10,79	11.12	11.40
Average weekly earnings (in dollars)	278.90	302.80	325.58	351.25	382.18	402.48	420.81	438.13	450.30
Wholesale trade									
Average weekly hours	38.8	38.8	38.8	38.5	38.5	38.3	38.5	38.5	38.4
Average hourly earnings (in dollars)	5.39	5.88	6.39	6.96	7.56	8.09	8.55	8.89	9.16
Average weekly earnings (in dollars)	209.13	228.14	247.93	267.96	291.06	309.85	329.18	342.27	351.74
Retail trade									
Average weekly hours	31.6	31.0	30.6	30.2	30.1	29.9	29.8	29.8	29.4
Average hourly earnings (in dollars)	3.85	4.20	4.53	4.88	5.25	5.48	5.74	5.85	5.94
Average weekly earnings (in dollars)	121.66	130.20	138.62	147.38	158.03	163.85	171.05	174.33	174.64
Finance, insurance, and real estate									
Average weekly hours	36.4	36.4	36.2	36.2	36.3	36.2	36.2	36.5	36.4
Average hourly earnings (in dollars)	4.54	4.89	5.27	5.79	6.31	6.78	7.29	7.63	7.94
Average weekly earnings (in dollars)	165.26	178.00	190.77	209.60	229.05	245.44	263.90	278.50	289.02
Services									
Average weekly hours	33.0	32.8	32.7	32.6	32.6	32.6	32.7	32.6	32.5
Average hourly earnings (in dollars)	4.65	4.99	5.36	5.85	6.41	6.92	7.31	7.59	7.89
Average weekly earnings (in dollars)	153.45	163.67	175.27	190.71	208.97	225.59	239.04	247.43	256.43
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·									

E

22. Employment Cost Index, compensation,' by occupation and industry group

(June 1981 = 100)

		1984			19	85		19	86	Percent change	
Series	June	Sept.	Dec.	Mar.	June	Sept.	Dec.	Mar.	June	3 months ended	12 months ended
										June	1986
Civilian workers <sup>2</sup>	120.8	122.4	123.9	125.5	126.4	128.4	129.2	130.6	131.5	0.7	4.0
Workers, by occupational group:											
White-collar workers	122.1	124.0	125.5	127.3	128.3	130.7	131.6	133.1	134.2	.8	4.6
Blue-collar workers	118.6	119.6	120.9	122.2	123.1	124.4	124.9	126.2	126.8	.5	3.0
Service occupations	122.1	124.6	126.8	127.8	128.0	130.9	131.8	133.1	133 7	5	4.5
Workers, by industry division:		12.1.0	120.0		120.0	100.0	101.0	100.1	100.7		4.0
Manufacturing	119 1	120.4	122.0	123.9	124.6	125.5	126.0	1277	128 7	8	33
Nonmanufacturing	121.6	123.3	124.8	126.2	127.2	129.7	130.6	131.9	132.8	.0	4.4
Services	125.5	128.8	130.9	131 0	1326	136 4	137 1	138.8	130 /		51
Public administration <sup>3</sup>	123.7	126.9	128.6	130.1	130.3	134.2	134.8	136.8	138.0	.9	5.9
Private industry workers	120.1	121.1	122.7	124.2	125.2	126.8	127.5	128.9	129.9	.8	3.8
White-collar workers	1214	1224	123.9	125.8	127 1	128.8	129.8	1313	132.5	9	42
Blue-collar workers	118.4	1193	120.6	121.9	122.8	124.0	124.4	125.7	126.3	5	20
Service occupations	121 2	123.2	125.7	126.3	126.5	128.8	129.5	130.9	131 1	2	36
Workers by industry division			120.1	120.0	120.0	120.0	120.0	100.0	101.1		0.0
Manufacturing	110 1	120.4	122.0	123.0	124.6	125.5	126.0	1277	128 7	8	33
Nonmanufacturing	120.7	121.6	123.1	124.4	125.6	127.6	128.4	129.7	130.6	.7	4.0
State and local government workers	124.4	128.8	130.1	131.7	132.0	136.5	137.5	138.9	139.7	.6	5.8
Workers, by occupational group:											
White-collar workers	125.0	129.7	131.1	132.5	132.9	137.6	138.6	140.0	140.5	.4	5.7
Blue-collar workers	122.3	125.0	125.9	128.1	128.5	131.9	132.7	134.7	136.3	1.2	6.1
Workers, by industry division:											
Services	125.0	129.9	131.3	132.8	133.2	137.9	139.1	140.4	140.8	.3	5.7
Schools	124.7	130.6	132.0	133.4	133.7	139.1	140.3	141.5	141.7	.1	6.0
Elementary and secondary	125.7	132.1	133.5	134.4	134.6	140.9	142.0	143.0	143.2	.1	6.4
Hospitals and other services <sup>4</sup>	125.7	127.9	129.2	131.1	131.5	134.1	135.2	136.8	137.9	.8	4.9
Public administration <sup>3</sup>	123.7	126.9	128.6	130.1	130.3	134.2	134.8	136.8	138.0	.9	5.9
			1.000								

<sup>1</sup> Cost (cents-per-hour worked) measured in the Employment Cost Index consists of wages, salaries, and employer cost of employee benefits.
 <sup>2</sup> Consist of private industry workers (excluding farm and household workers) and State and local government (excluding Federal Government) workers.
 <sup>3</sup> Consists of legislative, judicial, administrative, and regulatory activities.
 <sup>4</sup> Includes, for example, library, social, and health services.

NOTE: Beginning June 1986, ECI jobs are classified according to definitions used in the 1980 census. Prior to June 1986, they were classified according to the 1970 census. Differences between the two classification systems are slight, as indicated in "Introducing new weights for the Employment Cost Index," *Monthly Labor Review*, June 1985.

## 23. Employment Cost Index, wages and salaries, by occupation and industry group

(June 1981 = 100)

		1984			19	85		19	86	Percent	change
Series	June	Sept.	Dec.	Mar.	June	Sept.	Dec.	Mar.	June	3 months ended	12 months ended
	_				_					June	1986
Civilian workers 1	118.8	120.3	121 7	123.1	124.2	106.0	127.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	
Workers, by occupational group:	110.0	120.0	121.7	120.1	124.2	120.3	127.0	120.3	129.3	0.0	4.1
White-collar workers	120.4	122.2	123.5	125.2	126.4	128.8	129.8	131.2	132 /	0	47
Blue-collar workers	116.1	117.0	118.2	119.3	120.5	122.0	122.3	122 4	102.4		4.7
Service occupations	119.8	122.3	124.3	124.8	125.3	128.0	128.6	129.8	130.0	.0	3.8
Workers, by industry division											
Manufacturing	116.8	118.0	119.5	121.0	122.3	123.2	123.8	125.3	126.5	10	34
Nonmanufacturing	119.7	121.3	122.6	123.9	125.0	127.6	128.4	129.6	130.4	6	43
Services	123.8	127.2	128.9	1297	130.5	134.2	134.8	136 4	197.0	.0	5.0
Public administration <sup>2</sup>	121.3	124.4	125.7	127.0	127.2	131.4	132.0	133.8	134.6	.6	5.8
Private industry workers	118.2	119.2	120.6	122.0	123.3	124.9	125.6	126.8	127.0	0	27
Workers, by occupational group:					120.0	124.0	120.0	120.0	121.0	.0	5.7
White-collar workers	119.9	120.9	122.3	124.0	125.5	127 3	128 3	120 6	121 1	10	4.5
Professional specialty and technical occupations	123.8	125.2	127.3	127.7	128.7	131 2	121.5	123.0	124.0	1.2	4.5
Executive, administrative, and managerial	110.0	101.0	100.0	100.0	120.7	101.2	101.0	152.7	134.0	1.0	4.1
Sales occupations	111.0	110.5	111 6	110.0	120.0	127.7	128.4	130.5	132.1	1.2	4.4
Administrative support occupations, including	111.5	110.5	111.0	110.3	117.4	119.3	122.5	122.4	124.3	1.6	5.9
clerical	120.7	122.0	122.9	124.7	125.6	127.1	127.9	129.6	130.8	.9	4.1
Blue-collar workers	115.9	116.7	118.0	1191	120.3	1217	122.0	122.1	100 7	5	0.0
Precision production, craft, and repair	117.0	110.0	110.4	100.0	100.0	121.7	122.0	120.1	120.7	.5	2.0
Machina aparators accomplars and inspectors	117.3	110.0	119.4	120.8	122.0	123.7	123.8	125.3	125.7	.3	3.0
Transportation and material maying accurations	115.8	116.6	117.9	118.9	120.1	121.1	121.6	122.6	123.6	.8	2.9
Handlers, equipment cleaners, helpers, and	112.7	113.4	114.0	114.5	115.7	117.7	117.8	118.0	118.9	.8	2.8
laborers	114.1	114.7	115.9	116.7	118.5	118.6	119.8	120.0	120.3	.3	1.5
Service occupations	119.3	121.2	123.7	123.8	124.4	126.3	126.6	128.0	128.0	.0	2.9
Workers, by industry division:											
Manufacturing	116.8	118.0	119.5	121.0	122.3	123.2	123.8	125.3	126.5	1.0	3.4
Durables	116.6	117.7	119.1	120.6	122.0	122.7	123.4	124.8	125.8	.8	3.1
Nondurables	117.1	118.6	120.2	121.6	122.6	124.0	124.6	126.1	127.9	1.4	4.3
Nonmanufacturing	119.0	119.9	121.2	122.6	123.9	125.9	126.6	127.7	128 7	8	20
Construction	114.0	114.3	114.4	115.5	116.6	1173	117.9	118.3	110.8	1.2	0.0
Transportation and public utilities	119.3	119.9	120.7	1217	122.8	124.8	125.2	126.3	126.6	1.0	21
Wholesale and retail trade	116.0	116.5	118 1	118.8	121 1	122.7	123.7	124.5	125.8	10	2.0
Wholesale trade	120.0	120.7	122.9	123.7	126.8	127.7	128.2	120.7	121.0	1.0	3.9
Retail trade	114.4	114.9	116.2	116.9	118.9	120.8	120.0	129.7	101.2	1.2	3.5
Finance, insurance, and real estate	116.9	115.3	115.8	122.0	121 7	124.1	126.5	126.6	120.7	1.0	4.0
Services	124.7	127.1	129.5	129.9	131.0	133.9	134.1	136.2	136.9	.5	4.5
and the second second second											
State and local government workers	122.0	126.1	127.1	128.4	128.7	133.2	134.2	135.5	136.0	.4	5.7
White-collar workers	122.5	127.1	129.0	120.0	100.0	104.0	105.0	100.0	107.0		
Blue-collar workers	110.6	121.1	120.0	129.3	129.0	134.3	135.3	136.6	137.0	.3	5.7
Workers, by industry division	119.0	121.9	122.5	124.2	124.5	127.9	128.4	130.4	131.9	1.2	5.9
Services	122.5	127.2	128.1	129.4	129.7	134.5	135.6	136.8	137.1	.2	5.7
Schools	122.3	127.8	128.7	129.9	130.2	135.8	137.0	138.0	138.2	.1	6.1
Elementary and secondary	123.0	129.3	130.2	130.8	131.1	137.5	138.5	139.4	139.4	.0	6.3
Hospitals and other services 3	123.1	125.1	125.9	127.7	128.0	130.2	130.9	132.4	133.3	.7	4.1
Public administration 2	121.3	124.4	125.7	127.0	127.2	131.4	132.0	133.8	134.6	.6	5.8
				and the second sec							

<sup>1</sup> Consists of private industry workers (excluding farm and household workers) and State and local government (excluding Federal Government) workers.
 <sup>2</sup> Consists of legislative, judicial, administrative, and regulatory activities.
 <sup>3</sup> Includes, for example, library, social and health services.
 NOTE: Beginning June 1986, ECI jobs are classified according to definitions

used in the 1980 census. Prior to June 1986, they were classified according to the 1970 census. Differences between the two classification systems are slight, as indicated in "Introducing new weights for the Employment Cost Index," *Monthly Labor Review*, June 1986.

## 24. Employment Cost Index, private nonfarm workers, by bargaining status, region, and area size

(June 1981 = 100)

		1984			19	85		1986		Percent change	
Series	June	Sept.	Dec.	Mar.	June	Sept.	Dec.	Mar.	June	3 months ended	12 months ended
										June	1986
COMPENSATION	_										
Workers, by bargaining status <sup>1</sup>											
Union	121.7	122.6	123.9	124.8	125.5	126.5	127.1	128.4	128.7	0.2	2.5
Manufacturing	. 120.5	121.6	123.2	124.2	124.2	125.0	125.5	127.0	126.9	1	2.2
Nonmanufacturing	122.8	123.6	124.5	125.3	126.6	127.8	128.6	129.7	130.4	.5	3.0
Nonunion	. 119.2	120.3	121.9	123.8	125.0	126.8	127.5	129.0	130.2	.9	4.2
Manufacturing	117.9	119.3	120.8	123.6	124.8	125.7	126.3	128.1	129.7	1.2	3.9
Nonmanufacturing	. 119.8	120.7	122.4	123.9	125.1	127.3	128.1	129.5	130.4	.7	4.2
Workers, by region 1											
Northeast	. 120.7	122.4	123.8	125.1	126.4	128.8	129.9	131.6	133.3	1.3	5.5
South	. 120.7	120.7	122.2	124.2	125.2	126.5	127.2	128.7	129.6	.7	3.5
Midwest (formerly North Central)	. 117.9	119.7	120.8	122.0	122.7	124.2	124.6	125.9	126.2	.2	2.9
West	. 122.2	122.5	124.9	126.8	127.9	129.1	129.8	130.8	131.6	.6	2.9
Workers, by area size 1											
Other areas	120.6	121.5	123.2 119.8	124.7 121.4	125.7 122.5	127.3 123.9	128.1 123.9	129.5 125.5	130.5 126.4	.8 .7	3.8 3.2
WAGES AND SALARIES											
Workers, by bargaining status <sup>1</sup>											
Union	. 119.0	119.8	120.9	121.7	123.0	124.1	124.7	125.6	126.1	.4	2.5
Manufacturing	. 117.1	118.1	119.5	120.4	121.7	122.8	123.3	124.2	124.6	.3	2.4
Nonmanufacturing	120.7	121.3	122.1	122.8	124.1	125.3	125.9	126.9	127.4	.4	2.7
Nonunion	. 117.8	118.8	120.4	122.1	123.4	125.2	125.9	127.3	128.5	.9	4.1
Manufacturing	. 116.5	117.9	119.5	121.5	122.8	123.7	124.4	126.1	127.7	1.3	4.0
Nonmanufacturing	118.3	119.2	120.7	122.3	123.6	125.9	126.6	127.8	128.9	.9	4.3
Workers, by region <sup>1</sup>											
Northeast	. 118.9	120.5	121.9	123.0	124.6	126.8	128.1	129.2	131.3	1.6	5.4
South	. 119.0	119.0	120.2	122.3	123.4	124.8	125.4	126.8	127.8	.8	3.6
Midwest (formerly North Central)	. 116.0	117.8	118.7	119.6	121.1	122.5	122.9	124.2	124.4	.2	2.7
West	. 119.6	120.0	122.5	124.0	125.1	126.6	127.1	128.1	128.9	.6	3.0
Workers, by area size											
Metropolitan areas	. 118.6	119.5	121.0	122.4	123.8	125.5	126.3	127.4	128.5	.9	3.8
Other areas	116.0	117.5	118.3	119.6	120.6	121.9	122.0	123.6	124.5	./	3.2

<sup>1</sup> The indexes are calculated differently from those for the occupation and industry groups. For a detailed description of the index calculation, see the Monthly Labor Review Technical Note, "Estimation procedures for the

25. Specified compensation and wage adjustments from contract settlements, and effective wage adjustments, private industry collective bargaining situations covering 1,000 workers or more (in percent)

	Annual	average	Quarterly average										
Measure	1084	1095	19	84		19	85		1986				
	1,904	1965	Ш	IV	1	Ш	Ш	IV	T	Ш			
Specified adjustments: Total compensation <sup>1</sup> adjustments, <sup>2</sup> settlements covering 5,000 workers or more:													
First year of contract Annual rate over life of contract	3.6 2.8	2.6 2.7	2.7 3.1	3.7 2.0	3.6 2.7	3.5 3.4	2.0 3.0	2.0 1.4	0.4 1.3	0.7 1.6			
Wage adjustments, settlements covering 1,000 workers or more:													
First year of contract	2.4	2.3	2.1	2.3	3.3	25	20	21	10	12			
Annual rate over life of contract	2.4	2.7	2.6	1.5	3.2	2.8	3.1	1.9	1.6	2.0			
Effective adjustments:						-							
Total effective wage adjustment <sup>3</sup>	3.7	3.3	1.2	.7	.7	.8	1.2	5	6	7			
From settlements reached in period Deferred from settlements reached in earlier	.8	.7	.2	.3	.1	.2	.2	.1	.0	.2			
periods	2.0	1.8	.7	.2	.6	.5	.5	.2	.4	.6			
From cost-of-living-adjustments clauses	.9	.7	.3	.2	.1	.1	.4	.1	.2	.0			

<sup>1</sup> Compensation includes wages, salaries, and employers' cost of employee <sup>2</sup> Adjustments are the net result of increases, decreases, and no changes in

compensation or wages. <sup>3</sup> Because of rounding total may not equal sum of parts. р = preliminary.

# 26. Average specified compensation and wage adjustments, major collective bargaining settlements in private industry situations covering 1,000 workers or more during 4-quarter periods (in percent)

			Averag	ge for four q	uarters endi	ng		
Measure	1984	4		198	5		198	6
	III	IV	1	11	Ш	IV	1	11
Specified total compensation adjustments, settlements covering 5,000 workers or more, all industries:								
First year of contract	10	0.0						
Annual rate over life of contract	3.2	2.8	2.6	2.7	3.1 2.7	2.6 2.7	2.3 2.6	1.5 2.0
Specified wage adjustments, settlements covering 1,000 workers or more:								
All industries								
First year of contract								
Contracts with COLA clauses	3.2	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.3	2.0	1.7
Contracts without COLA clauses	4.5	2.9	2.5	2.3	1.9	1.6	1.6	1.6
Annual rate over life of contract	2.3	2.1	2.4	2.4	2.7	2.7	2.2	1.7
Contracts with COLA plauson	2.8	2.4	2.3	2.4	2.5	2.7	2.5	2.3
Contracts without COLA clauses	2.8	1.8	1.3	1.5	1.8	2.5	2.5	2.5
Manufacturing	2.8	2.7	2.8	2.8	3.0	2.8	2.5	2.2
First year of contract								
Contracts with COLA clauses	2.6	2.3	2.1	2.0	1.5	.8	.9	.1
Contracts without COLA clauses	1.5	2.1	2.0	1.9	1.5	.8	.8	.7
Appual rate over life of contract	3.7	2.9	2.5	2.2	1.5	.9	.9	4
Contracts with COLA elevers	2.8	1.5	1.4	1.5	1.6	1.8	1.8	1.4
Contracts with COLA clauses	1.8	1.0	.9	1.0	1.4	2.1	2.1	2.0
Nonmanufacturing	3.8	3.3	3.2	3.0	2.4	1.6	1.5	.9
First year of contract								
Contracts with COLA slaves	3.3	2.5	2.6	2.7	3.2	3.3	2.8	2.7
Contracts with COLA clauses	5.4	5.5	5.1	4.3	4.0	3.6	3.5	3.2
Appual rate avera life of anotherst	2.1	2.0	2.4	2.5	3.0	3.3	2.7	2.6
Annual rate over life of contract	2.8	2.9	2.8	2.9	3.3	3.3	3.0	2.9
Contracts with COLA clauses	3.1	4.8	4.0	3.8	3.9	3.6	3.6	3.3
Contracts without COLA clauses	2.6	2.6	2.7	2.8	3.2	3.3	2.9	2.8
Construction								
First year of contract	.9	.5	.9	1.1	1.0	1.5	1.7	2.4
Contracts with COLA clauses	4.0	4.0	4.6	9.2	(1)	(1)	(1)	.7
Contracts without COLA clauses	.9	.4	.8	1.0	(1)	(1)	(1)	2.5
Annual rate over life of contract	1.4	1.0	1.4	1.7	1.7	2.1	2.2	2.6
Contracts with COLA clauses	1.4	1.4	1.7	4.6	(1)	(1)	(1)	1.1
Contracts without COLA clauses	1.4	1.0	1.4	1.7	(1)	(1)	(1)	2.6

<sup>1</sup> Data do not meet publication standards.

<sup>p</sup> = preliminary.

27. Average effective wage adjustments, private industry collective bargaining situations covering 1,000 workers or more during 4-quarter periods (in percent)

	Average for four quarters ending										
Effective wage adjustment	1984		19		1986						
	IV	I	Н	Ш	IV	T	llb				
For all workers:1											
Total	3.7	3.6	3.5	3.5	3.3	3.1	2.9				
From settlements reached in period	.8	.7	.9	.9	.7	.6	.5				
Deferred from settlements reached in earlier period	2.0	2.2	1.9	1.8	1.8	1.7	1.8				
From cost-of-living-adjustments clauses	.9	.7	.7	.8	.7	.8	.7				
For workers receiving changes:											
Total	4.4	4.5	4.2	4.3	4.1	4.0	3.8				
From settlements reached in period	3.0	2.9	2.9	2.8	3.4	2.9	2.5				
Deferred from settlements reached in earlier period	4.0	4.2	3.9	3.7	3.7	3.5	3.4				
From cost-of-living-adjustments clauses	2.7	2.3	2.3	2.8	2.2	2.5	2.1				

<sup>1</sup> Because of rounding total may not equal sum of parts.

<sup>p</sup> = preliminary.

# 28. Specified compensation and wage adjustments from contract settlements, and effective wage adjustments, State and local government collective bargaining situations covering 1,000 workers or more (in percent)

Measure	Annual	average	First 6 months	
	1984	1985	1986*	
Specified adjustments:				
Total compensation <sup>1</sup> adjustments, <sup>2</sup> settlements covering 5,000 workers or more:				
First year of contract	52	42	67	
Annual rate over life of contract	5.4	5.1	6.4	
Wage adjustments, settlements covering 1,000 workers or more:				
First year of contract	4.8	4.6	6.1	
Annual rate over life of contract	5.1	5.4	6.0	
Effective adjustments:				
Total effective wage adjustment <sup>3</sup>	5.0	5.7	1.8	
From settlements reached in period	1.9	4.1	0.6	
Deferred from settlements reached in earlier periods	3.1	1.6	1.2	
From cost-of-living-adjustment clauses	(4)	(4)	(4)	

<sup>1</sup> Compensation includes wages, salaries, and employers' cost of employee benefits when contract is negotiated.
<sup>2</sup> Adjustments are the net result of increases, decreases, and no changes in compensation or wages. <sup>3</sup> Because of rounding total may not equal sum of parts.

<sup>4</sup> Less than 0.05 percent. <sup>p</sup> = preliminary.

#### 29. Work stoppages involving 1,000 workers or more

Massura	totals	1985						1986								
weasure	1984	1985	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan. P	Feb. <sup>p</sup>	Mar. <sup>p</sup>	Apr. <sup>p</sup>	May <sup>p</sup>	June <sup>p</sup>	Julyp	Aug. <sup>p</sup>	
Number of stoppages: Beginning in period In effect during period	62 68	54 61	6 18	11 20	6 20	3 13	2 9	47	3 7	28	3 8	5 10	10 15	10 20	8 19	
Workers involved: Beginning in period (in thousands)	376.0	323.9	15.3	69.5	76.6	26.2	8.2	7.6	24.0	11.2	6.1	28.6	198.0	40.9	110.7	
thousands)	391.0	584.1	66.8	93.9	119.3	47.0	38.0	12.0	28.4	38.6	17.6	41.2	205.9	57.1	131.1	
Days idle: Number (in thousands) Percent of estimated working time <sup>1</sup>	8,499.0 .04	7,079.0	810.8 .04	863.8 .04	1,428.8 .06	688.2 .04	661.9 .03	170.0 .01	309.5 .02	367.5 .02	297.3 .02	303.6 .02	3,684.3 .07	831.6 .04	1,514.3	

<sup>1</sup> Agricultural and government employees are included in the total employed and total working time: private household, forestry, and fishery employees are excluded. An explanation of the measurement of idleness as a percentage of the total time worked is

found in "'Total economy' measure of strike idleness," Monthly Labor Review, October 1968, pp. 54-56.

<sup>p</sup> = preliminary
30. Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers: U.S. city average, by expenditure category and commodity or service group; and CPI for Urban Wage Earners and Clerical Workers, all items

(1967=100, unless otherwise indicated)

	Ann	nual			1985						19	86		
Series	1984	1985	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May	June	July
CONSUMER PRICE INDEX FOR ALL URBAN CONSUMERS:														
All items	311.1 361.9	322.2 374.7	323.5 376.2	324.5 377.4	325.5 378.5	326.6 379.9	327.4 380.8	328.4 381.9	327.5 380.8	326.0 379.1	325.3 378.3	326.3 379.5	327.9 381.4	328.0 381.4
Food and beverages	295.1	302.0	301.8	302.1	302.5	303.6	305.6	307.9	307.7	307.8	308.5	309.4	309.5	3122
Food	302.9	309.8	309.7	309.9	309.8	311.0	313.2	315.6	315.3	315.4	316.1	317.0	317.1	320.1
Food at home	292.6	296.8	295.9	295.6	295.3	296.6	299.3	302.5	301.5	301.2	301.5	302.1	301.6	305.5
Cereals and bakery products	305.3	317.0	318.5	319.2	318.9	319.9	321.9	322.0	322.5	322.7	322.5	323.8	326.1	326.3
Dairy products	253.2	258.0	259.7	260.6	261.1	266.1	269.9	2/1.5	268.4	267.7	264.2	263.4	265.1	274.9
Fruits and vegetables	317.4	325.7	326.3	319.9	317.1	314.3	323.9	334.4	320.7	319.2	329.5	336.5	327.8	330.3
Other foods at home	352.2	361.1	361.7	362.6	363.0	362.2	361.3	365.7	375.1	375.7	376.1	374.6	374.1	373.7
Sugar and sweets	389.1	398.8	401.8	401.1	402.6	401.4	402.2	405.1	408.6	408.4	411.4	411.2	411.5	412.4
Fats and oils	288.0	294.4	297.1	294.8	291.2	292.1	290.3	292.1	291.4	290.2	288.5	287.2	287.0	287.3
Other prepared foods	284.9	294.2	295.8	296.3	296.8	296.8	297.3	459.7	485.3	488.0	487.4	481.9	480.0	4/8.3
Food away from home	333.4	346.6	348.4	349.9	350.3	351.3	352.1	353.1	354.2	355.5	357.0	358.8	360.2	360.8
Alcoholic beverages	222.1	229.5	228.9	229.3	236.4	236.2	236.2	237.5	238.3	238.8	239.5	239.4	240.1	240.4
Housing	336.5	349.9	352.9	353.8	354.4	355.0	355.8	356.8	356.5	357.0	358.0	358.5	361.2	361.5
Renters' costs (12/82=100)	108.6	115.4	116.6	117.0	117.9	118.4	118.3	118.8	119.0	119.6	120.9	121.1	121.6	122.5
Rent, residential	249.3	264.6	266.6	267.7	269.9	271.7	272.4	273.4	273.7	275.0	277.9	278.4	279.4	281.2
Other renters' costs	373.4	398.4	409.9	410.7	412.5	408.7	398.1	401.1	404.1	405.5	410.8	411.3	415.2	420.1
Homeowners' costs $(12/82 = 100)$	107.3	113.1	114.3	114.6	115.1	115.8	116.3	116.7	117.0	117.9	118.7	118.9	119.0	119.4
Household insurance $(12/82=100)$	107.5	112.4	113.0	113.7	114.6	115.9	115.0	115.7	117.0	117.9	118.7	118.9	119.0	119.4
Maintenance and repairs	359.2	368.9	370.6	368.7	368.5	372.7	373.7	379.1	379.6	367.5	367.6	367.1	366.6	369.2
Maintenance and repair services	409.7	421.1	425.1	421.9	422.2	426.4	426.2	432.6	432.8	422.4	424.6	425.5	427.4	430.1
Maintenance and repair commodities	262.7	269.6	269.2	268,6	268.0	271.5	273.3	277.1	277.8	266.1	264.5	262.9	260.7	262.7
Fuels	387.3	393.6 488.1	398.9	400.5	395.6	392.1	393.3	394.6	390.0	385.5	381.8	382.5	393.8	389.4
Fuel oil, coal, and bottled gas	641.8	619.5	594.6	601.7	615.3	641.6	657.3	650.3	591.2	549.9	518.3	496.8	486.6	459.4
Gas (piped) and electricity	445.2	452.7	465.1	466.5	453.9	440.5	439.9	442.6	444.5	442.3	439.2	444.6	466.0	462.3
Other utilities and public services	230.2	240.7	244.2	244.6	244.7	245.9	245.8	247.3	247.9	249.0	251.3	251.5	255.2	255.6
Housefurnishings and operations	242.5	247.2	199 1	247.1	248.4	248.9	248.8	248.8	249.0	249.8	249.6	249.9	250.2	250.5
Housekeeping supplies	303.2	313.6	313.5	313.9	315.7	316.4	317.7	318.3	318.6	317.9	318.5	318.3	319.6	319.5
Housekeeping services	327.5	338.9	340.7	341.5	342.2	342.7	343.2	343.9	344.5	345.1	345.4	345.8	346.1	346.6
Apparel and upkeep	200.2	206.0	205.3	209.6	211.1	211.2	209.0	205.0	204.1	206.3	207.3	206.4	204.5	203.2
Apparel commodities	187.0	191.6	190.6	195.3	196.7	196.8	194.2	189.5	188.5	190.8	191.7	190.7	188.4	187.0
Men's and boys' apparel	192.4	197.9	197.2	201.5	203.2	203.6	202.0	198.6	196.8	198.3	199.7	200.2	198.1	195.8
Infants' and toddlers' apparel	287.0	299.7	300.6	302.0	302.1	307.0	304.1	313.9	311.6	313.1	316.6	318.5	319.7	307.5
Footwear	209.5	212.1	210.3	210.9	212.3	215.5	213.1	209.1	207.9	210.1	211.4	211.5	210.0	209.1
Other apparel commodities	216.4	215.5	217.5	215.2	214.9	214.9	214.6	215.5	216.1	214.6	215.3	215.4	215.8	218.1
Apparei services	305.0	320.9	322.9	324.1	325.7	326.3	326.9	329.8	330.7	331.5	332.9	333.6	334.3	334.6
Transportation	311.7	319.9	320.7	319.7	320.9	323.2	324.0	323.9	319.2	309.6	303.3	305.7	308.6	304.7
Private transportation	306.6	314.2	314.9	313.6	314.7	317.0	317.8	317.3	312.2	302.1	295.3	297.8	300.8	296.5
New cars	208.0	214.9	214.2	214.2	215.9	218.2	219.2	219.7	220.2	220.1	221.0	222.8	224.0	224.5
Used cars	375.7	379.7	374.0	374.3	375.3	376.4	375.6	374.1	370.7	367.2	364.8	363.6	362.5	360.3
Motor fuel	370.7	373.8	381.9	377.7	374.6	376.7	377.5	373.3	351.5	308.5	279.5	289.3	299.4	280.2
Gasoline	370.2	373.3	381.8	377.4	374.2	376.1	376.8	372.5	350.8	307.7	278.6	288.7	299.1	279.8
Other private transportation	273.3	287.6	287.7	285.8	289.6	293.9	295.2	297 7	299.2	359.3	360.6	361.3	362.1	363.4
Other private transportation commodities	201.5	202.6	202.8	203.4	202.8	201.6	202.1	203.4	202.9	203.6	202.2	202.4	201.5	201.6
Other private transportation services Public transportation	295.0 385.2	312.8 402.8	313.0 403.7	310.4 408.0	315.4	321.2 412.8	322.7 412.9	325.5 419.6	327.6	330.3 421.2	330.9	330.4	332.8	334.6
Medical care	270.5	402.1	106.6	108.2	410 5	412.0	414.7	410.0	400.0	405.0	409.0	400.7	400.0	404.0
Medical care commodities	239.7	256.7	259.3	260.2	261.3	262.7	262.9	264.5	267.4	269.4	271.3	272.3	273.3	275.4
Medical care services	410.3	435.1	438.6	440.5	443.0	445.8	448.0	451.9	456.2	460.1	462.3	464.2	466.8	469.8
Professional services	346.1	367.3	370.0	371.7	373.2	375.5	377.1	378.9	381.6	385.0	386.9	388.3	390.3	391.7
Other medical care services	400.0	517.0	521.0	525.9	527.4	550.0	555.0	540.5	540.4	550.6	553.5	555.9	559.2	564.2
Entertainment	255.1	265.0	265.7	266.8	268.4	269.0	268.3	270.8	272.0	271.9	272.3	272.9	273.9	274.4
Entertainment commodities Entertainment services	253.3 258.3	260.6	260.5 273.6	262.5 273.3	264.0 275.2	264.0 276.6	262.5	264.7 279.9	265.2 282.1	265.0 282.2	264.8 283.5	265.3 284.2	266.1 285.5	265.8 287.0
Other goods and services	307.7	326.6	326.0	333.3	334.9	335.3	336.5	339.1	340.3	341.1	341.8	342.1	342.6	344.9
Tobacco products	310.0	328.5	331.5	332.8	334.4	334.7	337.4	342.7	344.7	345.6	346.5	346.5	347.1	354.3
Personal care	271.4	281.9	283.3	284.1	285.0	285.4	286.3	288.1	289.1	290.3	290.5	290.9	291.0	291.1
Personal care services	209.6	278.5	279.4	280.6	281.4	201.1	282.5	285.3	286.0	287.3	287.7	287.9	287.0	287.1
Personal and educational expenses	365.7	397.1	390.7	412.5	414.7	415.4	415.5	416.8	417.7	417.9	418.9	419.5	420.4	421.2
School books and supplies	322.8	350.8	346.1	362.1	364.5	364.7	364.7	371.0	373.8	374.3	374.4	374.5	375.7	375.9
Personal and educational services	375.6	407.7	401.1	423.9	426.2	426.9	427.0	427.6	428.1	428.3	429.5	430.2	431.0	431.9

See footnotes at end of table.

30. Continued— Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers: U.S. city average, by expenditure category and commodity or service group; and CPI for Urban Wage Earners and Clerical Workers, all items

(1967=100, unless otherwise indicated)

	Ann	iual			1985						19	86		
Series	1984	1985	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May	June	July
All items	311.1	322.2	323.5	324.5	325.5	326.6	327.4	328.4	327.5	326.0	325.3	326.3	327.9	328.0
Commodities	280.7	286.7	286.5	287.1	287.9	289.2	289.9	290.1	287.4	283.7	281.2	282.1	282.8	281.9
Food and beverages	295.1	302.0	301.8	302.1	302.5	303.6	305.6	307.9	307.7	307.8	308.5	309.4	309.5	312.2
Commodities less food and beverages	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Nondurables less food and beverages	275.7	282.1	283.1	284.6	285.3	286.8	286.8	284.9	278.6	268.9	262.0	263.3	264.7	259.8
Apparel commodities	187.0	191.6	190.6	195.3	196.7	196.8	194.2	189.5	188.5	190.8	191.7	190.7	188.4	187.0
Nondurables less food, beverages, and apparel Durables	325.8 266.5	333.3 270.7	335.4 268.6	335.3 268.7	335.6 270.2	337.8 271.5	339.1 271.4	338.7 271.4	329.5 270.5	313.6 269.7	302.6 269.2	305.2 269.6	308.4 269.9	301.7 269.6
Continen	262.0	2015	294.0	296 5	2977	200 7	290 5	201 7	202.2	201.0	206.8	307.0	401.0	4023
Bent of choltor	107.7	112.0	115 1	115 4	116 1	116.7	117.0	117 4	117 7	118.5	110 4	110 7	110.0	120.5
Heusehold contiene loss rent of shelter	109.1	111.0	112.2	112.5	112.1	110.7	110.8	111 4	111.8	111.6	1116	1123	115.2	114 9
Transportation convices	221 1	227.0	227 4	227 1	341 1	344 7	346 1	340.0	351.0	352 4	353.2	353 4	355 3	357 1
Medical care contiaco	410.3	135 1	138.6	440.5	443.0	145.8	448.0	451 0	456.2	460 1	462.3	464.2	466.8	469.8
Other services	296.0	314.1	313.8	319.7	321.4	322.5	322.9	324.8	326.1	326.6	327.6	328.2	329.2	330.1
Special indexes:														
All items less food	311.3	323.3	325.0	326.2	327.4	328.5	328.9	329.5	328.5	326.6	325.7	326.7	328.6	328.0
All items less shelter	295.1	303.9	304.6	305.7	306.3	307.2	307.9	308.8	307.4	305.2	303.6	304.7	306.5	306.1
All items less homeowners' costs	106.3	109.7	110.1	110.4	110.7	111.1	111.3	111.6	111.2	110.5	110.1	110.4	111.1	111.0
All items less medical care	307.3	317.7	318.9	319.9	320.8	321.9	322.6	323.4	322.2	320.5	319.7	320.6	322.2	322.1
Commodities less food	267.0	272.5	272.3	273.1	274.4	275.7	275.7	274.7	270.9	265.2	261.2	262.1	263.0	260.2
Nondurables less food	270.8	277.2	278.1	279.6	280.7	282.0	282.0	280.4	274.5	265.6	259.2	260.5	261.8	257.3
Nondurables less food and apparel	311.9	319.2	321.1	321.0	322.0	324.0	325.1	324.9	316.8	302.7	292.9	295.2	298.1	292.2
Nondurables	286.6	293.2	293.7	294.6	295.1	296.4	297.4	297.7	294.3	289.5	286.3	287.4	288.2	287.1
Services less rent of shelter	108.5	113.5	114.5	115.0	115.1	115.2	115.4	116.2	116.8	117.1	117.4	117.8	119.2	119.5
Services less medical care	355.6	373.3	376.7	378.3	379.3	380.1	380.8	382.7	384.0	385.4	387.2	388.3	391.3	392.5
Energy	423.6	426.5	433.8	432.6	427.1	425.1	426.5	424.7	408.9	381.3	361.8	367.6	380.6	366.5
All items less energy	302.9	314.8	315.6	316.8	318.4	319.8	320.5	321.8	322.3	323.3	324.4	325.0	325.5	326.9
All items less food and energy	301.2	314.4	315.3	316.9	318.9	320.4	320.7	321.6	322.3	323.6	324.8	325.3	325.9	326.9
Commodities less food and energy	253.1	259.7	258.8	260.2	262.0	262.7	262.2	261.8	261.6	262.0	262.1	262.2	262.0	262.0
Energy commodities	409.8	409.9 375.9	414.0 378.6	411.2 380.2	410.1 382.5	415.2 384.8	417.9 385.8	413.2 387.9	386.5 389.4	343.0 391.5	313.3 393.8	319.3 394.5	327.1 395.9	306.6 397.7
Dursheeing power of the consumer dellar:														
1967—\$1.00	321	31.0	30.9	30.8	30.7	30.6	30.5	30.5	30.5	30.7	30.7	30.6	30.5	30.5
1057.59 - \$1.00	27.6	26.7	26.6	26.5	26.4	26.3	26.3	26.2	26.3	26.4	26.4	26.4	26.2	26.2
AND CLERICAL WORKERS: All items All items (1957-59=100)	307.6 357.7	318.5 370.4	319.6 371.8	320.5 372.7	321.3 373.7	322.6 375.1	323.4 376.1	324.3 377.1	323.2 375.8	321.4 373.7	320.4 372.6	321.4 373.7	323.0 375.6	322.9 375.5
								0077	007.5	007.0	000.0	000 0	200.0	0100
Food and beverages	295.2	301.8	301.6	301.8	302.2	303.4	305.4	307.7	307.5	307.0	300.3	309.0	309.3	312.0
Food	302.7	309.3	309.1	309.3	309.3	310.0	312.8	315.1	314.9	315.0	315.0	310.4	310.0	202.0
Food at home	291.2	295.3	294.3	294.0	293.7	295.2	297.9	300.9	300.1	299.7	299.9	300.4	300.0	303.9
Cereals and bakery products	303.7	315.4	310.0	317.0	317.3	310.2	320.4	270.7	267.7	267.2	263.5	262.1	264.2	274.0
Deine producto	200.0	202.7	259.0	259.9	255.0	205.4	209.2	256.0	256.0	255.5	255.5	255.8	255.0	257.0
Dairy products	202.2	200.9	200.3	2126	200.9	200.4	200.7	200.0	316.0	314.6	325.0	331.6	323.5	325.6
Other foods at home	352.5	361.5	362.2	362.9	363.4	362.5	361.6	366.1	375.2	375.6	376.0	374.3	373.9	373.4
Sugar and eweets	388.6	308.3	401 4	400.8	402.2	400.9	401.8	404 7	408 1	407.8	410.9	410.6	410.9	411.9
Fate and oile	287.5	293.9	296.5	294 1	290.6	291.8	289.6	291.6	290.8	289.7	287.8	286.6	286.4	286.6
Nonalcoholic beverages	444 4	453.2	451.2	454 1	455.6	453.1	450.4	461.0	485.5	487.4	487.0	481.2	479.5	477.6
Other prepared foods	286.4	295.7	297.3	297.7	298.3	298.3	298.7	299.4	300.9	300.7	301.6	302.7	303.0	303.1
Food away from home	336.7	349.7	351.5	353.0	353.4	354.4	355.2	356.2	357.3	358.6	360.2	362.0	363.5	364.2
Alcoholic beverages	225.3	232.6	232.2	232.6	239.1	238.8	239.1	240.1	240.9	241.4	242.3	242.2	242.9	243.4
Housing	329.2	343.3	346.2	347.2	347.5	348.3	349.1	350.1	349.7	350.1	351.1	351.6	354.3	354.5
Shelter	350.0	370.4	374.0	375.0	377.1	379.3	380.4	381.8	382.9	385.0	388.1	388.8	389.4	391.5
Benters' costs (12/84=100)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Rent residential	248.6	263.7	265.7	266.8	268.9	270.7	271.5	272.5	272.8	274.1	277.0	277.5	278.5	280.3
Other renters' costs	372.4	397.9	409.6	409.8	411.6	408.0	397.5	400.8	403.5	405.4	411.6	411.3	415.5	420.4
Homeowners' costs (12/84-100)	-	103.1	104.1	104.3	104.8	105.5	105.9	106.3	106.6	107.4	108.1	108.3	108.4	108.8
Owners' equivalent rent (12/84-100)	-	103.0	104.1	104.3	104.8	105.5	105.9	106.3	106.6	107.3	108.1	108.3	108.4	108.8
Household insurance (12/84-100)	-	103.2	103.7	104.3	105.2	105.2	105.7	106.3	107.8	108.2	108.5	109.0	109.1	110.1
Maintenance and renairs	356.3	364.1	365.6	364.4	364.6	367.7	368.5	373.2	374.0	364.7	364.6	363.8	363.2	366.7
Maintenance and renair services	403.5	415.0	419.6	416.8	417.4	420.9	420.1	426.2	426.5	416.6	419.2	420.0	422.6	425.2
Maintenance and repair commodities	257.2	261.1	260.6	260.5	260.5	262.7	264.2	267.2	268.1	261.1	259.4	258.0	255.7	259.0
Fuel and other utilities	388.6	394.7	400.1	401.9	396.3	393.2	394.3	395.6	390.9	386.3	382.6	383.0	394.9	390.3
Fuels	485.0	487.5	494.0	496.7	487.2	481.0	483.1	484.1	475.7	467.1	459.1	459.7	477.3	469.
Fuel oil coal and bottled gas	644.3	622.0	596.9	604.3	618.1	644.3	659.9	652.7	593.6	552.8	521.5	499,9	489.9	462.9
Gas (piped) and electricity	444.1	451.6	464.2	465.9	452.0	439.5	438.8	441.4	443.2	441.2	438.0	443.0	465.7	461.4
Other utilities and public services	231.2	241.6	245.1	245.6	245.7	246.8	246.7	248.3	248.8	249.9	252.1	252,2	255.8	256.
Household furnishings and operations	239 1	243.4	243.1	243.2	244.5	245.1	245.2	245.1	245.3	246.0	246.0	246.1	246.2	246
Housefurnishings and operations	197.0	197.6	196.6	196.5	197.7	198.3	197.8	197.3	197.2	198.5	198.1	198.4	198.2	198
Housekeeping supplies	300.2	310.7	310.4	311.0	312.7	313.5	315.0	315.8	316.4	315.5	316.3	315.7	316.8	317.
Housekeeping services	. 328.0	340.2	342.2	342.9	343.9	344.5	345.0	345.6	346.3	346.6	347.1	347.4	347.8	348.4
Apparel and upkeep	. 199.1	205.0	204.3	208.7	210.2	210.2	208.1	204.1	203.1	205.2	206.1	205.1	203.0	201.8
										-				

See footnotes at end of table.

gitized for RASER

ps://fraser.stlouisfed.org deral Reserve Bank of St. Louis

30. Continued— Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers: U.S. city average, by expenditure category and commodity or service group; and CPI for Urban Wage Earners and Clerical Workers, all items

(1967=100, unless otherwise indicated)

	Ann	ual			1985						198	36		
Series	1984	1985	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May	June	July
Annarel commodities	186.6	101 3	190.4	195.1	196.6	196.5	194.1	189.4	188.2	190.4	191.2	190.1	187.7	186.3
Men's and boys' apparel	192.9	198.2	197.3	201.8	203.5	203.7	202.2	198.8	196.8	198.0	199.3	200.0	198.0	195.4
Women's and girls' apparel	165.0	171.3	169.9	178.2	180.0	178.3	174.5	166.1	165.2	169.0	169.3	165.9	162.0	160.8
Infants' and toddlers' apparel	297.6	311.7	311.2	314.9	314.8	320.7	317.3	332.7	328.6	329.6	331.3	334.3	335.6	323.7
Footwear	210.0	212.5	210.5	211.0	212.6	215.9	213.6	209.9	208.4	210.7	212.1	212.0	210.6	209.6
Other apparel commodities	204.5	203.1	205.2	202.5	202.4	202.5	202.4	203.5	204.2	203.5	204.1	203.8	204.5	206.5
Apparel services	302.9	318.5	320.5	321.6	323.2	323.6	324.4	327.2	328.1	329.0	330.2	330.9	331.9	332.2
Transportation	313.9	321.6	322.3	321.1	322.2	324.6	325.3	325.1	320.1	310.3	303.5	305.9	308.7	304.6
Private transportation	310.1	317.4	318.0	316.6	317.6	320.1	320.8	320.2	314.8	304.5	297.4	299.9	302.8	298.3
New vehicles	207.3	214.2	213.5	213.5	215.3	217.5	218.6	219.0	219.4	219.4	220.2	222.0	223.2	223.7
New cars	207.9	214.5	213.9	213.8	215.5	217.8	218.8	219.2	219.7	219.5	220.4	222.3	223.4	223.9
Used cars	375.7	379.7	374.0	374.3	375.3	376.4	375.6	374.1	370.7	367.2	364.8	363.6	362.5	360.3
Motor fuel	372.2	375.4	383.8	379.5	376.3	378.7	379.6	375.3	353.0	309.6	280.1	290.3	300.6	280.9
Gasoline	371.8	375.0	383.7	379.2	375.8	378.1	378.9	374.6	352.3	308.8	279.1	289.6	300.3	280.5
Maintenance and repair	342.2	352.6	352.9	354.5	356.9	357.2	359.0	359.4	360.4	360.9	362.2	362.8	363.6	365.0
Other private transportation	274.2	287.7	287.6	285.2	289.2	293.7	294.7	296.9	298.4	300.6	300.4	299.8	301.2	302.4
Other private transportation commodities	203.9	204.7	204.9	205.6	205.0	203.7	204.3	205.6	205.4	206.0	204.6	204.9	203.9	203.8
Other private transportation services	295.4	312.3	312.1	308.9	314.1	320.2	321.3	323.7	325.7	328.3	328.5	327.7	329.6	331.2
Public transportation	376.8	391.7	393.5	396.8	399.3	400.1	400.2	408.6	412.0	412.0	413.0	413.0	415.1	410.0
Medical care	377.7	401.2	404.5	406.3	408.5	410.9	412.6	416.0	420.0	423.5	425.7	427.3	429.6	432.4
Medical care commodities	239.7	256.3	259.0	259.8	260.9	262.2	262.3	264.1	267.0	268.8	270.7	271.7	272.5	274.6
Medical care services	407.9	432.7	436.1	438.1	440.6	443.2	445.4	449.2	453.5	457.3	459.5	461.3	464.0	466.9
Professional services	346.5	367.7	370.4	372.1	373.7	375.8	377.6	379.3	382.2	385.6	387.4	388.8	390.8	392.3
Other medical care services	484.7	513.9	518.4	520.7	524.4	527.5	530.4	536.9	543.0	547.3	550.0	552.3	555.8	560.7
Entertainment	251.2	260.1	260.8	261.6	263.0	263.7	263.0	265.4	266.5	266.5	266.9	267.3	268.4	269.0
Entertainment commodities	247.7	254.2	254.3	256.0	257.1	257.2	255.7	257.8	258.3	258.3	258.4	258.7	259.8	259.6
Entertainment services	258.5	271.6	273.3	272.6	274.6	276.3	276.8	280.0	282.0	282.1	283.0	283.6	284.8	286.5
Other goods and services	304.9	322.7	322.9	328.7	330.1	330.5	331.9	334.9	336.1	337.0	337.6	338.0	338.4	341.2
Tobacco products	309.7	328.1	331.1	332.4	334.0	334.3	337.1	342.4	344.4	345.2	346.0	346.0	346.7	354.0
Personal care	269.4	279.6	280.9	281.8	282.7	283.1	284.0	285.9	280.8	288.0	288.2	288.0	288.0	288.8
Toilet goods and personal care appliances	270.3	279.0	280.0	281.1	282.0	281.9	283.3	285.9	280.7	288.1	288.4	288.0	287.0	207.8
Personal care services	268.8	280.5	202.2	202.0	203.7	204.0	200.2	200.4	207.4	420.4	401.0	400.0	422.0	122 0
Personal and educational expenses	308.2	399.3	393.2	414.5	410.5	417.3	417.4	410.9	279.4	420.1	921.2	970 1	200.2	420.0
Personal and educational services	378.2	410.1	403.6	426.1	428.1	428.9	429.1	429.7	430.3	430.5	431.8	432.8	433.6	434.6
				000 5	001.0	000.0	000 4	004.0	000.0	001 4	200.4	001.4	202.0	202.0
All items	307.6	318.5	319.6	320.5	321.3	322.0	323.4	324.3	323.2	321.4	320.4	321.4	282.0	281 1
Commodities	200.4	200.0	200.3	200.0	207.0	200.9	205.7	203.0	207.0	200.1	308 3	300.0	300 3	312.0
Commodition loss food and boyorages	295.2	301.0	301.0	301.0	302.2	303.4	305.4	307.7	507.5	507.0				512.0
Nondurables less food and beverages	277 5	283.8	285 1	286.5	287.0	288.5	288.7	286.9	280.1	269.6	262.0	263.6	265.2	260.1
Apparel commodities	186.6	191.3	190.4	195.1	196.6	196.5	194.1	189.4	188.2	190.4	191.2	190.1	187.7	186.3
Nondurables less food, beverages, and apparel	327.0	334.2	336.6	336.4	336.5	338.8	340.1	339.6	330.1	313.2	301.6	304.5	308.0	301.0
Durables	261.1	265.2	263.1	263.1	264.5	265.7	265.7	265.6	264.6	263.7	263.3	263.5	263.6	263.2
Services	358.0	377.3	380.7	382.0	383.0	384.2	385.1	387.2	388.8	390.5	392.2	393.2	396.4	397.7
Rent of shelter (12/84=100)	-	103.2	104.3	104.5	105.1	105.8	106.1	106.4	106.7	107.4	108.3	108.5	108.7	109.2
Household services less rent of shelter (12/84=100)	-	102.6	104.6	104.8	103.3	102.1	102.0	102.6	103.0	102.8	102.7	103.4	106.4	106.0
Transportation services	317.2	332.2	332.4	331.4	335.5	339.3	340.5	343.3	345.4	347.0	347.5	347.3	348.9	350.6
Medical care services Other services	407.9 292.9	432.7	436.1 310.1	438.1 315.0	440.6 316.7	443.2 317.8	445.4 318.3	449.2 320.4	453.5	457.3	459.5	461.3 323.6	464.0 324.6	325.6
Special indexee														
All items less food	307.5	319.4	320.9	321.9	322.9	324.2	324.6	325.1	323.8	321.5	320.2	321.2	323.2	322.3
All items less shelter	295.1	303.4	304.0	304.8	305.4	306.4	307.2	307.9	306.4	303.8	302.1	303.0	304.8	304.3
All items less homeowners' costs (12/84=100)	-	101.8	102.1	102.4	102.6	103.0	103.2	103.5	103.0	102.3	101.8	102.1	102.7	102.6
All items less medical care	304.0	314.3	315.3	316.1	316.9	318.1	318.9	319.6	318.3	316.2	315.2	316.1	317.7	317.4
Commodities less food	267.1	272.8	272.7	273.4	274.5	275.9	275.9	275.0	270.9	264.9	260.7	261.6	262.6	259.6
Nondurables less food	272.6	279.0	280.2	281.5	282.4	283.8	283.9	282.3	276.1	266.4	259.4	260.9	262.4	257.7
Nondurables less food and apparel	313.2	320.3	322.4	322.3	323.1	325.0	326.3	325.9	317.5	302.6	292.2	294.9	298.0	291.8
Nondurables	287.4	293.9	294.5	295.2	295.7	297.1	298.2	298.4	295.0	289.8	286.3	287.5	288.4	287.2
Services less rent of shelter (12/84=100)	-	102.6	103.5	103.8	103.9	103.9	104.2	104.9	105.5	105.7	105.9	106.2	107.6	107.8
Services less medical care	350.5	369.0	372.5	373.6	374.5	375.5	376.2	378.2	379.5	381.0	382.7	383.6	386.8	387.9
Energy	423.3	426.3	433.9	432.5	426.6	425.4	426.8	424.7	408.1	379.0	358.4	364.6	378.1	363.1
All items less energy	298.3	309.9	310.4	311.5	313.0	314.5	315.3	316.5	316.9	317.8	318.8	319.2	319.7	321.1
All items less food and energy	295.8	308.7	309.4	310.7	312.7	314.2	314.6	315.4	316.1	317.2	318.3	318.6	319.1	320.1
Commodities less food and energy	250.5	256.8	255.8	257.2	258.8	259.5	259.2	258.8	258.5	258.7	258.8	258.8	258.5	258.5
Energy commodities	410.5	410.9	415.7 373.7	412.6	411.2 377.3	416.3 379.8	418.9 380.8	414.1 382.9	387.3 384.5	343.3 386.5	312.9 388.8	319.8 389.4	328.1 390.8	307.2 392.6
Durchasing service of the consumer dellar:														
Purchasing power of the consumer dollar: 1967=\$1.00	32.5	31.4	31.3	31.2	31.1	31.0	30.9	30.8	30.9	31.1	31.2	31.1	31.0	31.0
1957-59=\$1.00	28.0	27.0	26.9	26.8	26.8	26.7	26.6	26.5	26.6	26.8	26.8	26.8	26.6	26.6

## 31. Consumer Price Index: U.S. city average and available local area data: all items

(1967=100, unless otherwise indicated)

		-			All Urb	an Cons	sumers					Urban	Wage E	arners		
Area <sup>1</sup>	Pricing sche-	Other index	19	85			1986			19	85			1986		
	duie-	base	July	Aug.	Mar.	Apr.	May	June	July	July	Aug.	Mar.	Apr.	May	June	July
U.S. city average		-	322.8	323.5	326.0	325.3	326.3	327.9	328.0	319.1	319.6	321.4	320.4	321.4	323.0	322.9
Chicago, IIINorthwestern																
Ind	M	-	324.4	325.9	323.9	323.7	324.2	330.4	331.1	311.1	312.1	309.7	309.1	309.6	315.6	316.0
Detroit, Mich.	M	-	318.0	318.0	320.0	318.8	321.7	321.0	318.4	308.3	308.3	309.3	308.1	311.0	310.2	307.5
Los Angeles-Long Beach,																
Anaheim, Calif	M	-	321.3	323.9	328.2	326.8	329.4	331.3	330.9	315.8	318.0	321.6	320.2	322.7	324.5	323.8
New York, N.YNortheastern											1					
N.J.	M	-	313.5	315.7	322.4	321.4	320.6	322.8	325.1	306.5	308.5	314.5	313.2	312.3	314.4	316.5
	M	-	315.5	315.8	319.1	317.8	318.9	321.7	323.0	318.6	318.5	321.4	319.7	320.8	323.5	324.6
Anchorage, Alaska																
(10/67 = 100)	1	10/67	283.1	-	291.2	-	288.9	-	284.1	276.0	-	284.4	-	281.8	-	276.9
Baltimore, Md.	1	-	324.0	-	331.1	-	329.1	-	330.2	323.4	-	329.5	-	326.8	-	327.9
Boston, Mass.	1	-	317.7	-	324.9	-	322.6	-	323.6	315.7	-	322.3	-	319.3	-	320.8
Cincinnati, Ohio-KyInd	1	-	330.0	-	329.4	-	332.0	-	332.4	323.2	-	321.8	-	324.8	-	324.9
Denver-Boulder, Colo.	1	-	360.3	-	355.7	-	356.3	-	358.4	355.9	-	350.1	-	350.3	-	352.4
Miami, Fla. $(11/77 = 100)$	1	11/77	171.4	-	174.5	-	173.0	-	171.2	172.7	-	175.1	-	173.4	-	171.6
Milwaukee, Wis.	1	-	331.1	-	329.1	-	332.0	-	331.3	350.4	-	347.2	-	350.6	-	350.1
Northeast, Pa.	1	-	306.6	-	309.3	-	309.2	-	309.0	305.7	-	308.3	-	308.1	-	307.8
Portland, Oregwash.	1	-	312.9	-	315.0	-	314.6	-	314.7	303.2	-	304.3	-	303.2	-	303.4
St. Louis, MoIII.	1	-	319.9	-	319.2	-	318.6	-	325.6	316.6	-	315.0	-	314.2	-	320.6
San Diego, Calif.	1	-	372.8	-	379.2	-	382.8	-	383.1	336.9	-	341.9	-	345.2	-	345.0
Seattle-Everett, wash.		-	322.0	-	325.0	-	323.5	-	323.7	309.1	-	311.4	-	309.4	-	310.1
wasnington, D.CMdVa	1	-	323.3	-	329.1	-	329.6	-	329.3	325.9	-	330.5	-	330.2	-	330.2
Alanta, Ga	2	-	-	331.4	-	334.9	-	338.5	-	-	329.3	-	331.7	-	335.5	-
Buffalo, N.Y.	2	-	-	306.5	-	308.0	-	308.9	-	-	292.9	-	292.7	-	294.0	-
Cleveland, Ohio	2	-	-	348.1	-	346.9	-	350.6	-	-	327.0	-	324.4	-	328.2	-
Dallas-Ft. Worth, Tex	2	-	-	343.4	-	341.4	-	344.7	-	-	337.0	-	334.1	-	337.4	-
Honolulu, Hawaii	2	-		294.2	-	299.0	-	299.2	-	-	301.3	-	306.0	-	306.5	-
Houston, Tex.	2	-	-	338.2	-	330.0	-	333.3	-	-	335.3	-	327.7	-	330.9	-
Kansas City, MoKansas	2	-	-	321.1	-	320.7	-	322.9	-	-	311.2	-	308.9	-	311.4	-
Minneapolis-St. Paul,																
Dittohurgh De	2	-	-	338.8	-	338.4	-	342.1	-	-	334.4	-	332.3	-	336.2	-
San Francisco-Oakland, Calif.	2	-	-	325.9	-	328.1	-	328.6	-	-	308.0	-	307.8	-	308.3	-
Dest 2				000.0		000.0		044.0			000.0		000.2		000.1	
Region																
North Control	2	12/77	-	171.0	-	173.7	-	174.2	-	-	169.0	-	171.1	-	171.6	-
South	2	12/77	-	174.3	-	173.9	-	176.1	-	-	171.0	-	170.0	-	172.2	-
West	2	12/11	-	174.5	-	175.1	-	176.3	-	-	174.3	-	1/4.1	-	175.2	-
	2	12/11	-	175.9	-	1/0.0	-	1/8./	-	-	173.9	-	1/4.5	-	1/0.3	-
Population size class <sup>3</sup>		40/77														
A-1	2	12/77	-	172.3	-	173.9	-	175.7	-	-	168.3	-	169.3	-	171.0	-
A-2	2	12/11	-	1/6.6	-	177.4	-	178.9	-	-	173.7	-	173.8	-	175.2	-
C	2	12/11	-	174.9	-	175.6	-	177.0	-	-	1/2.4	-	172.7	-	1/4.1	-
D	2	12/77	-	172.3	-	173.4	-	173.4	-	-	173.0	-	173.4	-	174.0	-
Region/population size class cross classification <sup>3</sup>																
Class A:																
Northeast	2	12/77	-	168.5	-	171.0	-	171.8	-	-	165.0	-	166.9	-	167.7	-
North Central	2	12/77	-	178.3	-	177.8	-	180.3	-	-	173.3	-	172.1	-	174.7	-
South	2	12/77	-	174.8	-	175.5	-	176.8	-	-	174.9	-	174.9	-	176.1	-
West	2	11/77	-	178.0	-	179.6	-	181.8	-	-	173.8	-	174.9	-	177.1	-
Class B:																
Northeast	2	12/77	-	173.3	-	174.7	-	175.2	-	-	170.4	-	171.7	-	172.2	-
North Central	2	12/77	-	171.5	-	172.1	-	174.1	-	-	168.0	-	167.7	-	169.7	-
South	2	12/77	-	176.0	-	177.0	-	178.5	-	-	172.7	-	173.2	-	174.6	-
West	2	12/77	-	176.9	-	176.7	-	178.3	-	-	177.5	-	177.1	-	178.7	-

See footnotes at end of table.

#### 31. Continued— Consumer Price Index: U.S. city average and available local area data: all items

(1967=100, unless otherwise indicated)

		~			All Urb	an Cons	umers					Urban	Wage E	arners		
Area <sup>1</sup>	sche-	index	19	85			1986			19	85			1986		
	dule	base	July	Aug.	Mar.	Apr.	May	June	July	July	Aug.	Mar.	Apr.	May	June	July
Class C:																
Northeast	2	12/77	-	178.9	-	183.0	-	183.4	-	-	183.8	-	187.4	-	187.8	-
North Central	2	12/77	-	169.1	-	168.5	-	170.7	-	-	166.0	-	165.1	-	167.2	-
South	2	12/77	-	173.5	-	173.6	-	174.5	-	-	175.1	-	174.3	-	175.2	-
West	2	12/77	-	168.9	-	170.5	-	171.6	-	-	167.7	-	168.9	-	169.9	-
Class D:																
Northeast	2	12/77	-	173.7	-	177.9	-	176.1	-	-	173.6	-	177.2	-	175.5	-
North Central	2	12/77	-	170.7	-	170.0	-	171.3	-	-	172.7	-	171.4	-	172.6	-
South	2	12/77	-	172.8	-	173.2	-	173.9	-	-	174.5	-	174.0	-	174.6	-
West	2	12/77	-	173.3	-	172.6	-	174.1	-	-	174.8	-	173.9	-	175.4	-
												_		_		

<sup>1</sup> Area is generally the Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area (SMSA), exclusive of farms. L.A.-Long Beach, Anaheim, Calif. is a combination of two SMSA's, and N.Y., N.Y.-Northeastern N.J. and Chicago, Ill.-Northwestern Ind. are the more extensive Standard Consolidated Areas.

Area definitions are those established by the Office of Management and Budget in 1973, except for Denver-Boulder, Colo. which does not include Douglas County. Definitions do not include revisions made since 1973.

<sup>2</sup> Foods, fuels, and several other items priced every month in all areas; most other goods and services priced as indicated:. M - Every month.

January, March, May, July, September, and November.
February, April, June, August, October, and December.
<sup>3</sup> Regions are defined as the four Census regions.

The population size classes are aggregations of areas which have urban population as defined:

A-1 - More than 4,000,000.

A-2 - 1,250,000 to 4,000,000.

B - 385,000 to 1,250,000 C - 75,000 to 385,000. D - Less than 75,000.

Population size class A is the aggregation of population size classes A-1 and A-2.

- Data not available

 Data not available.
NOTE: Local area CPI indexes are byproducts of the national CPI program. Because each local index is a small subset of the national index, it has a smaller sample size and is, therefore, subject to substantially more sampling and other measurement error than the national index. As a result, sampling and other measurement error than the national index. As a result, local area indexes show greater volatility than the national index, although their long-term trends are quite similar. Therefore, the Bureau of Labor Statistics strongly urges users to consider adopting the national average CPI for use in escalator clauses.

### 32. Annual data: Consumer Price Index all items and major groups

Series	1977	1978	1979	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985
Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers:									
All items:									
Index	181.5	195.4	217.4	246.8	272.4	289.1	298.4	311.1	322.2
Percent change	6.5	7.7	11.3	13.5	10.4	6.1	3.2	4.3	3.6
Food and beverages:									
Index	188.0	206.3	228.5	248.0	267.3	278.2	284.4	295.1	302.0
Percent change	6.0	9.7	10.8	8.5	7.8	4.1	2.2	3.8	2.3
Housing									
Index	186.5	202.8	227.6	263.3	293.5	314.7	323.1	336.5	349.9
Percent change	6.8	8.7	12.2	15.7	11.5	7.2	2.7	4.1	4.0
Apparel and upkeep:									
Index	154.2	159.6	166.6	178.4	186.9	191.8	196.5	200.2	206.0
Percent change	4.5	3.5	4.4	7.1	4.8	2.6	2.5	1.9	2.9
Transportation:									
Index	177.2	185.5	212.0	249.7	280.0	291.5	298.4	311.7	319.9
Percent change	7.1	4.7	14.3	17.8	12.1	4.1	2.4	4.5	2.6
Medical care:									
Index	202.4	219.4	239.7	265.9	294.5	328.7	357.3	379.5	403.1
Percent change	9.6	8.4	9.3	10.9	10.8	11.6	8.7	6.2	6.2
Entertainment:									
Index	167.7	176.6	188.5	205.3	221.4	235.8	246.0	255.1	265.0
Percent change	4.9	5.3	6.7	8.9	7.8	6.5	4.3	3.7	3.9
Other goods and services:									
Index	172.2	183.3	196.7	214.5	235.7	259.9	288.3	307.7	326.6
Percent change	5.8	6.4	7.3	9.0	9.9	10.3	10.9	6.7	6.1
Consumer Price Index for Urban Wage Earners and									
Clerical Workers									
All items:									
Index	181.5	195.3	217.7	247.0	272.3	288.6	297.4	307.6	318.5
Percent change	6.5	7.6	11.5	13.5	10.2	6.0	3.0	3.4	3.5

## 33. Producer Price Indexes, by stage of processing

(1967 = 100)

Crowning	Annual	average		19	85					19	86			
Grouping	1984	1985	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May	June	July	Aug.
Finished goods	291.1	293.7	290.0	294.7	296.4	297.2	296.0	291.9	288.0	287.2	289.0	288.9	288.0	288.3
Finished consumer goods	290.3	291.8	288.2	292.3	294.4	295.4	293.8	288.4	283.4	281.9	284.2	284.1	282.7	283.1
Finished consumer foods	273.3	271.2	265.7	268.2	271.8	275.0	275.0	272.0	271.6	271.9	274.9	275.1	280.7	283.6
Finished consumer goods excluding														
foods	294.1	297.3	294.7	299.4	300.7	300.7	298.3	291.8	284.6	282.2	284 1	283.8	278.8	278.0
Nondurable goods less food	337.3	339.3	340.3	340.3	342.6	343.2	339.6	328.0	315.4	309.8	312.9	312.6	303.4	302.0
Durable goods	236.8	241.5	234.5	244.9	245.0	244.3	243.5	243.9	243 7	245 7	245.8	245.8	246 3	246.2
Capital equipment	294.0	300.5	296.3	303.5	303.8	303.7	303.9	304.3	304.3	305.6	305.8	305.8	306.4	306.3
Intermediate materials, supplies, and														
components	320.0	318.7	317.7	317.6	318.1	318.9	317.4	313.5	309.5	307 1	306.8	307 1	305.0	304 5
Materials and components for								0.010	000.0		000.0		000.0	001.0
manufacturing	301.8	299.5	298.4	298.0	297.7	297.9	297.1	296.5	296.4	295.5	295.3	295.3	295.8	296.0
Materials for food manufacturing	271.1	258.8	249.9	252.3	254.0	254.3	252.8	249.2	246.7	244 8	248.6	247.8	251.6	255.7
Materials for nondurable manufacturing	290.5	285.9	285 1	283.3	282.8	283.1	283.8	282.4	282 5	270 3	278.0	278.0	278.2	277.2
Materials for durable manufacturing	325 1	320.2	319.2	318.6	317.5	317.6	313.4	313 1	313.6	3137	313.2	212.2	212.2	212 4
Components for manufacturing	287.5	291 5	292.1	292.3	292.3	292.4	293.1	203.6	203 7	204 1	204 1	204.2	204.6	201.0
Materials and components for	201.0	201.0	232.1	202.0	202.0	202.4	200.1	200.0	255.7	234.1	254.1	294.2	294.0	294.9
construction	310.3	315.2	315.6	315.5	315.0	315.7	316.2	316.5	317.0	318.3	318.3	317.7	318.0	317.6
Processed fuels and lubricants	566.2	548.9	542.4	542.6	550.5	557.2	540.8	500.8	453.4	428.5	425.7	429.3	401.6	395.2
Containers	302.3	311.2	309.9	310.4	309.8	310.6	311.2	310.9	312.3	312.8	313.9	313.6	314.2	316.4
Supplies	283.4	284.2	284.5	285.1	285.6	285.7	286.6	286.4	286.8	287.2	287.2	287.3	287.4	287.1
Crude materials for further processing	330.8	306.1	291.8	297.8	304.7	304.3	301.0	289.0	281.1	273.7	278.9	274.9	278.0	275.5
Foodstuffs and feedstuffs	259.5	235.0	215.4	224.6	236.6	236.8	231.7	227.2	224.4	220.3	228.9	226.1	233.6	236.3
Nonfood materials <sup>1</sup>	380.5	355.3	352.2	352.8	352.0	351.6	352.4	321.8	290.5	278.8	278.8	279.4	272.4	259.4
Special groupings														
Finished goods, excluding foods	294.8	299.0	295.9	301.3	302.4	302.4	300.7	296.3	291.2	289.9	291.3	291.1	287.8	287.2
Finished energy goods	750.3	720.9	718.2	716.5	729.5	733.8	700.9	629.3	554.1	517.2	532.7	531.5	467.8	459.1
Finished goods less energy	265.1	269.2	265.5	270.5	271.6	272.2	272.7	272.2	272.1	273.1	274.2	274.2	276.4	277.2
Finished consumer goods less energy	257.8	261.3	257.7	262.1	263.4	264.3	264.8	264.0	263.9	264.9	266.2	266.2	269.0	270.0
Finished goods less food and energy Finished consumer goods less food and	262.3	268.7	265.7	271.6	271.8	271.4	272.1	272.5	272.5	273.9	274.2	274.1	275.0	275.0
energy	245.9	252.1	249.6	254.9	255.0	254.6	255.5	256.0	256.0	257.3	257.7	257.6	258.6	258.6
Consumer nondurable goods less food and														
energy	239.0	246.2	247.9	248.3	248.5	248.3	250.5	251.1	251.2	252.0	252.5	252.3	253.8	253.8
Intermediate materials less foods and														
feeds	325.0	325.0	324.4	324.1	324.5	325.3	323.6	319.7	315.5	313.0	312.5	312.8	310.5	309.9
Intermediate foods and feeds	253.1	232.8	225.4	228.6	231.4	232.7	232.6	228.9	227.8	227.0	229.4	229.0	230.3	232.4
Intermediate energy goods	545.0	528.3	522.3	522.2	529.3	536.2	520.0	482.0	437.0	413.3	410.5	413.9	387.1	380.8
Intermediate goods less energy	303.8	304.0	303.4	303.4	303.2	303.5	303.4	303.0	303.3	303.1	303.0	302.9	303.4	303.5
Intermediate materials less foods and												002.0	000.1	000.0
energy	303.6	305.2	305.0	304.6	304.2	304.5	304.3	304.2	304.5	304.3	304.0	303.9	304.2	304.2
Crude energy materials	785.2	748.1	743.2	743.1	737.1	735.6	732.8	662.9	614.5	577.0	571.6	554.2	538.7	524.5
Crude materials less energy	255.5	233.2	217.9	224.7	233.2	233.0	229.8	226.5	224.7	221.9	228.5	226.5	232.0	231.1
Crude nonfood materials less energy	266.1	249.7	246.7	246.5	244.6	242.9	245.8	246.5	247.9	249.1	249.3	250.0	249.2	236.1

<sup>1</sup> Crude nonfood materials except fuel.

## 34. Producer Price indexes, by durability of product

(1967 = 100)

Grouping	Annual	average		19	85					19	86			
Grouping	1984	1985	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May	June	July	Aug.
Total durable goods	293.6	297.3	295.2	298.8	298.5	298.5	298.1	298.4	298.6	299.7	299.7	299.6	300.0	300.1
Total nondurable goods	323.3	317.2	313.0	314.3	317.6	318.8	316.8	308.4	300.7	296.0	297.9	297.4	294.9	294.0
Total manufactures	302.9	304.3	302.2	304.4	305.4	306.0	304.8	301.1	297.3	296.1	296.9	297.0	295.4	295.6
Durable	293.9	298.1	296.0	299.7	299.5	299.5	299.0	299.3	299.4	300.5	300.5	300.5	300.9	300.9
Nondurable	312.3	310.5	308.4	309.2	311.4	312.5	310.6	302.9	294.9	291.2	292.8	293.1	289.2	289.7
Total raw or slightly processed goods	346.6	327.9	317.6	320.6	326.2	327.6	326.0	316.3	310.3	303.0	305.6	302.6	304.3	299.7
Durable	266.7	252.2	249.7	248.1	245.2	244.3	248.2	251.2	252.4	253.1	252.0	250.9	248.9	252.4
Nondurable	351.4	332.4	321.6	324.9	331.2	332.7	330.6	320.2	313.6	305.8	308.7	305.5	307.4	302.3

## 35. Annual data: Producer Price Indexes, by stage of processing

(1967 = 100)

Index	1977	1978	1979	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985
Finished goods:									
Total	181.7	195.9	2177	247.0	269.8	280.7	285.2	201 1	202 7
Consumer goods	180.7	194.9	217.9	248.9	271 3	291.0	200.2	200.2	293.7
Capital equipment	184.6	199.2	216.5	239.8	264.3	279.4	287.2	294.0	300.5
Intermediate materials, supplies, and									
components:									
Total	201.5	215.6	243.2	280.3	306.0	310.4	3123	320.0	218 7
Materials and components for				200.0	000.0	010.4	012.0	520.0	310.7
manufacturing	195.4	208.7	234.4	265.7	286.1	289.8	293.4	301.8	200 5
Materials and components for construction	203.4	224.7	247.4	268.3	287.6	293.7	301.8	310.3	315.2
Processed fuels and lubricants	282.5	295.3	364.8	503.0	595.4	591.7	564.8	566.2	548.9
Containers	188.3	202.8	226.8	254.5	276.1	285.6	286.6	302.3	311.2
Supplies	188.7	198.5	218.2	244.5	263.8	272.1	277.1	283.4	284.2
Crude materials for further processing:									
Total	209.2	234.4	274.3	304.6	329.0	310.5	202.6	220.0	206 1
Foodstuffs and feedstuffs	192.1	216.2	247.9	259.2	257.4	247.8	252.0	250.5	306.1
Nonfood materials except fuel	212.2	233.1	284.5	346.1	413.7	376.8	372.2	380.5	235.0
Fuel	372.1	426.8	507.6	615.0	751.2	886.1	931.5	931.3	909.6

#### 36. U.S. export price indexes by Standard International Trade Classification

(June 1977=100, unless otherwise indicated)

	1974	1983		19	84			19	85		19	86
Category	SITC	Dec.	Mar.	June	Sept.	Dec.	Mar.	June	Sept.	Dec.	Mar.	June
ALL COMMODITIES (9/83=100)		99.5	100.2	101.5	99.3	98.1	97.5	97.5	96.5	96.7	97.0	96.7
Food (3/83-100)	0	108.8	106.2	109.6	103.5	96.5	95.8	94.0	90.2	93.6	90.5	89.5
Meat (3/83 - 100)	01	101.2	108.9	108.7	105.6	104.4	103.9	104.7	106.1	112.2	111.5	114.7
Fish $(3/83 - 100)$	03	100.4	99.8	98.7	98.0	98.7	101.0	103.6	102.6	101.8	102.2	106.2
Grain and grain preparations $(3/80 = 100)$	04	105.6	102.7	107.4	101.2	92.9	92.4	90.3	82.6	87.1	82.1	79.1
Vegetables and fruit $(3/83 = 100)$	05	116.1	116.2	126.8	125.5	114.6	119.4	120.1	126.8	118.8	115.2	125.7
Feedstuffs for animals $(3/83 = 100)$	08	117.4	106.9	98.8	83.5	82.4	72.8	68.6	75.7	83.4	88.5	85.5
Misc. food products (3/83=100)	09	101.7	104.9	110.6	109.5	108.4	110.6	109.2	108.1	107.7	106.0	104.7
Beverages and tobacco (6/83=100)	1	101.5	101.6	101.9	102.8	101.3	99.9	100.1	99.7	98.6	95.6	96.5
Beverages (9/83=100)	11	103.3	102.3	102.9	103.3	103.7	104.0	105.3	101.8	100.9	101.9	103.0
Tobacco and tobacco products (6/83=100)	12	101.4	101.6	101.8	102.7	101.1	99.5	99.6	99.5	98.4	95.1	95.9
Crude materials (6/83=100)	2	112.2	112.5	118.3	105.2	101.4	97.5	96.8	93.3	92.5	95.8	95.6
Raw hides and skins (6/80=100)	21	135.2	145.6	154.7	153.7	133.6	121.0	126.2	129.0	139.9	138.9	148.9
Oilseeds and oleaginous fruit (9/77=100)	22	96.8	93.9	104.3	79.9	74.8	71.0	71.2	64.2	63.9	66.9	65.8
Crude rubber (including synthetic and reclaimed) (9/83=100)	23	102.2	103.3	106.0	104.1	104.0	106.4	106.3	107.1	106.0	106.0	106.1
Wood	24	129.8	131.1	129.4	123.8	125.4	128.7	125.7	124.5	128.1	128.7	128.7
Pulp and waste paper (6/83=100)	25	106.0	112.5	122.1	120.8	114.2	100.5	96.1	93.8	92.7	98.8	109.7
Textile fibers	26	123.1	120.5	125.6	109.4	106.7	102.4	105.8	103.6	97.7	101.6	98.6
Crude fertilizers and minerals	27	144.8	146.6	147.7	163.0	163.2	165.6	167.9	169.4	165.5	168.0	166.1
Metalliferous ores and metal scrap	28	96.7	100.2	98.5	93.2	92.4	89.2	82.0	80.1	78.7	83.4	80.5
Mineral fuels	3	99.2	99.1	99.7	99.7	99.7	100.1	99.2	97.6	96.6	91.9	86.2
Animal and venetables oils fats and waxes	4	122.0	129.8	164.5	145.7	147.9	142.0	144.5	114.5	101.4	90.8	84.4
Fixed vegetable oils and fats (6/83=100)	42	129.3	133.2	176.4	159.0	156.7	152.9	164.8	128.8	108.7	95.4	95.3
Chemicals (3/83 = 100)	5	98.6	101.4	99.7	98.3	97.7	97.0	96.8	97.1	96.6	96.5	95.4
Organic chemicals (12/83=100)	51	100.0	100.2	101.0	97.4	94.7	93.8	96.5	97.1	95.4	93.5	89.3
Fertilizers, manufactured (3/83=100)	56	96.8	108.3	96.9	97.4	94.8	92.5	87.9	89.8	90.0	88.6	84.0
Intermediate manufactured products (9/81=100)	-	100.0	101.0	101.3	102.0	100.4	99.4	99.2	99.2	99.1	100.3	101.2
Leather and furskins (9/79=100)	6	75.8	83.5	81.2	80.8	79.0	82.5	79.2	75.9	78.5	77.8	82.5
Rubber manufactures	61	145.0	146.7	147.5	148.9	148.5	150.2	149.0	148.3	148.7	151.0	150.0
Paper and paperboard products (6/78=100)	62	145.5	150.2	154.7	160.0	159.5	155.0	151.6	149.6	148.2	152.2	158.7
Iron and steel $(3/82 = 100)$	64	96.3	95.9	96.1	96.8	96.5	95.5	95.3	95.9	98.2	98.4	99.4
Nonferrous metals (9/81 = 100)	-	93.8	94.2	92.9	90.4	82.5	79.7	79.6	79.8	78.2	80.2	79.1
Metal manufactures, n.e.s. (3/82=100)	-	102.1	103.1	104.5	105.1	105.0	105.4	105.2	105.4	104.4	105.3	105.5
Machinery and transport equipment evoluting military												
and commercial aircraft (12/78 – 100)	67	137.0	138 5	139.4	140.1	141.5	142.3	142.9	143.1	143.3	144.0	144.1
Power generating machinery and equipment (12/78-100)	68	154.4	158.4	156.9	160.6	167.5	165.3	167.4	167.1	167.5	169.1	169.2
Machinery specialized for narticular industries (9/78 = 100)	69	151.1	152.3	152.8	153.7	153.4	155.0	155.7	156.0	156.2	155.5	154.7
Metalworking machinery (6/78 = 100)	7	148.7	150.8	151.2	151.7	151.9	153.4	155.1	156.3	158.4	159.0	158.9
General industrial machines and parts n.e.s. 9/78-100)	71	145.9	148.6	149.0	149.3	150.2	152.4	152.0	152.4	152.2	152.3	153.3
Office machines and automatic data processing equipment	72	102.5	101.4	101.5	99.8	101.4	100.9	100.0	99.9	99.4	99.9	99.2
Telecommunications sound recording and reproducing equipment	73	132.1	133.0	132.3	134.4	134.3	133.3	133.3	134.1	134.5	136.5	137.0
Electrical machinery and equinment	74	109.8	110.2	112.6	113.8	114.6	114.9	116.1	115.3	113.8	115.1	114.1
Boad vehicles and parts $(3/80 = 100)$	75	128.8	130.2	131.2	131.0	131.8	133.1	133.9	133.8	135.0	135.5	136.4
Other transport equipment, excl. military and commercial aviation	76	179.3	183.1	187.7	189.6	191.7	195.5	196.6	199.3	200.7	203.3	205.6
Other manufactured articles	77	100.2	100.6	100.4	100 7	99.3	99.5	100.4	100.3	100.3	102.6	103 4
Apparel (9/82-100)	78	100.2	101.0	102 1	103.9	103.4	104 7	104.7	105.0	105.3	-	-
Professional ecientific and controlling instruments and apparatus	70	1715	171.8	1720	175.8	1717	175.5	178.3	178.7	178.8	182.2	183.8
Photographic apparatus and supplies ontical goods watches and	13	111.5										
clocks (12/77=100)	8	132.0	132.0	131.3	132.7	130.3	128.0	129.1	127.5	128.5	131.6	132.9
Miscellaneous manufactured articles, n.e.s.	84	98.2	98.5	97.9	95.2	94.1	92.4	93.1	93.1	92.4	95.6	95.6
Gold, non-monetary (6/83 = 100)	971	96.2	95.8	93.5	81.7	79.5	69.1	75.4	77.4	77.5	81.8	82.2

## 37. U.S. import price indexes by Standard International Trade Classification

(June 1977=100, unless otherwise indicated)

	1974		1984			19	85		19	86
Category	SITC	June	Sept.	Dec.	Mar.	June	Sept.	Dec.	Mar.	June
ALL COMMODITIES (9/82=100)		98.3	96.7	95.7	93.5	93.0	92.9	94.2	88.5	83.2
Food (9/77=100)	0	103.5	102.0	98.1	98.5	96.8	94.9	102.8	113.4	104.7
Meat	01	133.8	135.4	132.3	130.4	118.2	120.6	131.2	1227	118.5
Dairy products and eggs (6/81=100)	02	99.8	98.9	98.4	98.3	97.9	99.1	100.5	106.7	107.1
Fish	03	134.2	134.2	133.9	132.9	129.4	129.7	132.7	139.3	144.8
Bakery goods, pasta products, grain and grain preparations (9/77=100)	04	134.8	132.9	132.8	131.8	132.3	136.3	141.9	146.9	149.3
Fruits and vegetables	05	135.8	135.4	117.2	127.1	129.4	120.2	131.3	119.4	119.4
Sugar, sugar preparations, and honey (3/82=100) Coffee, tea, cocoa	06 07	120.3 62.4	119.0 60.3	118.5 58.4	118.4 57.0	122.6 56.0	123.1 54.4	111.9 64.6	124.6 85.9	121.6 69.2
Beverages and tobacco	1	156.3	157.1	156.5	156.2	157.1	158.0	162.1	163.2	165.5
Beverages	11	153.6	153.5	152.8	154.2	154.3	156.0	159.1	161.8	163.9
Crude materials	2	102.6	100.6	98.9	94.0	93.6	91.5	91.2	94.2	95.3
Crude rubber (inc. synthetic & reclaimed) (3/84-100)	23	93.7	90.7	83.8	77.6	76.4	68.9	73.2	78.8	75.5
Wood $(9/81 = 100)$	24	103.2	99.6	104.0	100.7	106.9	101.6	99.4	104.3	106.3
Pulp and waste paper $(12/81 = 100)$	25	96.1	96.3	93.2	84.0	80.4	76.8	75.8	74.9	79.9
Crude fertilizers and crude minerals $(12/83 = 100)$	27	96.2	98.0	98.6	100.3	101 7	1027	1021	101.5	100.0
Metalliferous ores and metal scrap $(3/84 = 100)$	28	102.8	100.1	95.6	90.4	87.6	89.5	90.1	94.5	95.6
Crude vegetable and animal materials, n.e.s.	29	100.8	101.1	106.4	104.3	104.9	102.5	102.5	103.6	104.4
Fuels and related products (6/82=100)	3	88.0	86.9	85.2	82.9	80.9	79.8	79.1	55.3	37.4
Petroleum and petroleum products (6/82=100)	33	88.1	87.0	85.2	83.8	81.6	80.3	80.1	54.7	36.1
Fats and oils (9/83=100)	4	141.8	124.4	114.9	89.9	76.7	57.6	50.6	41.4	39.3
Vegetable oils (9/83=100)	42	143.1	125.3	115.3	89.5	75.9	56.2	48.9	39.3	37.4
Chemicals (9/82=100)	5	100.6	98.8	97.1	95.7	94.9	94.5	94.2	94.6	93.3
Medicinal and pharmaceutical products (3/84=100)	54	98.5	96.4	94.6	91.6	95.1	95.3	96.7	102.9	104.9
Manufactured fertilizers (3/84 = 100)	56	101.7	98.5	92.9	94.2	82.0	80.8	78.5	79.2	79.7
Chemical materials and products, n.e.s. (9/84=100)	59	-	100.0	97.5	96.1	95.6	96.9	97.8	99.9	100.2
Intermediate manufactured products (12/77=100)	6	139.6	137.2	136.8	133.1	132.4	133.6	133.4	134.0	135.6
Leather and furskins	61	145.3	144.0	140.4	135.3	133.3	137.0	141.3	141.6	143.0
Rubber manufactures, n.e.s.	62	140.8	139.6	140.5	139.5	138.6	137.3	138.1	136.5	137.7
Cork and wood manufactures	63	131.0	126.4	126.1	121.3	121.2	123.4	124.0	130.8	134.3
Paper and paperboard products	64	150.4	156.1	157.5	157.6	157.2	157.8	156.5	157.1	157.1
Textiles	65	130.1	131.6	132.9	130.4	127.5	126.5	128.1	131.2	132.9
Nonmetallic mineral manufactures, n.e.s.	66	166.6	156.6	159.4	154.3	151.8	157.6	162.3	164.2	169.6
Iron and steel (9/78=100)	67	123.8	124.7	123.7	121.0	120.1	119.1	118.3	117.3	118.1
Nonferrous metals (12/81=100)	68	96.3	90.2	87.3	81.9	82.3	83.7	80.4	79.4	78.9
Metal manufactures, n.e.s.	69	120.5	119.3	119.3	117.4	117.8	119.5	121.6	124.4	127.8
Machinery and transport equipment (6/81=100)	7	104.1	102.6	102.9	101.6	102.6	103.5	107.2	111.5	115.3
Machinery specialized for particular industries (9/78=100)	72	100.0	98.8	98.0	96.2	97.0	101.4	104.9	112.1	115.4
Metalworking machinery (3/80=100)	73	93.8	92.1	89.9	86.3	90.5	94.2	98.1	105.0	107.7
General industrial machinery and parts, n.e.s. (6/81=100) Office machines and automatic data processing equipment	74	94.4	92.4	91.3	89.2	91.1	94.3	98.0	103.8	109.0
(3/80=100)	75	96.7	94.1	92.2	89.6	89.4	90.3	93.7	96.9	100.8
(2/80-100)	76	04.9	02.6	01.2	00.0	00 0	00 0	00.0	00.4	01.0
(3/80 = 100)	/0	94.8	93.0	91.3	90.0	88.8	88.3	88.0	89.4	91.6
Road vehicles and parts (6/81=100)	78	110.4	109.8	111.3	111.5	112.1	112.7	117.8	123.4	127.1
Misc manufactured articles (3/80-100)	9	101.5	00.7	100.0	97.0	090	00.6	100.9	102.2	104.9
Plumbing besting and lighting futures $(6/80, 100)$	0	1100	99.7	1116	97.0	90.0	99.0	115.0	100.5	104.0
Furbiture and parts ( $6/80 - 100$ )	01	140.0	120.4	142.5	107.4	114.1	117.0	115.0	147.0	123.5
Clothing $(9/77 - 100)$	02	120.5	105.4	120 5	106.7	120.0	1945	1945	147.0	142.2
	04	140.0	130.4	140 5	130./	135.9	140.1	140.7	147.0	140.0
Professional, scientific, and controlling instruments and	00	140.0	130.4	142.0	137.4	130.7	142.1	142.7	147.0	142.2
apparatus (12/79=100) Photographic apparatus and supplies, optical goods, watches, and	87	97.8	95.6	92.9	89.2	92.3	98,8	102.4	106.4	112.5
clocks (3/80=100)	88	92.8	91.2	91.3	88.9	89.5	91.1	94.5	99.3	103.7
Misc. manufactured articles, n.e.s. (6/82=100)	89	104.0	98.3	96.3	91.2	95.2	96.4	97.9	102.1	103.4
Gold, non-monetary (6/82=100)	971	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

#### 38. U.S. export price indexes by end-use category

(September 1983 = 100 unless otherwise indicated)

	Per-		1984			198	5		198	6
Category	of 1980 trade value	June	Sept.	Dec.	Mar.	June	Sept.	Dec.	Mar.	June
	16 204	09.5	88.8	83.0	81.5	80.9	76.2	77.5	75.5	74.7
Foods, feeds, and beverages	20.606	102.5	100.5	99.1	97.6	97.2	96.5	95.9	96.0	94.8
Haw materials	30.090	102.5	100.0	101.4	00.6	99.5	98.7	97.9	97.5	96.0
Raw materials, nondurable	21.327	104.4	102.0	00.0	00.6	01.6	01 1	91.0	92.5	91.9
Raw materials, durable	9.368	97.7	95.0	93.3	92.0	100.0	106.6	106.6	107.4	107 4
Capital goods (12/82=100)	30.186	103.9	104.6	105.6	106.2	106.6	100.0	100.0	100.5	110
Automotive vehicles, parts and engines (12/82=100)	7.483	105.3	105.3	105.7	106.7	108.0	108.1	109.2	109.5	110.4
Consumer goods	7.467	100.9	101.3	100.8	100.9	101.1	101.9	101.4	103.7	104.:
Durables	3 965	99.6	99.4	99.3	99.1	99.2	100.4	99.5	101.8	101.8
Nondurables	3.501	102.1	103.0	102.3	102.7	103.0	103.3	103.3	105.5	107.2

#### 39. U.S. import price indexes by end-use category

(December 1982 = 100)

	Per-		1984			198	5		198	6
Category	of 1980 trade value	June	Sept.	Dec.	Mar.	June	Sept.	Dec.	Mar.	June
Foods foods and heverages	7.477	107.2	105.6	101.8	102.1	100.4	99.0	106.0	115.8	108.2
Potroloum and potroloum products evol natural das	31.108	88.5	87.5	85.7	84.4	82.1	80.9	80.5	55.4	36.7
Peu materiale, excluding petroleum	19 205	104.3	102.5	101.1	96.3	95.8	95.4	93.9	94.5	94.0
Raw materials, excluding perioreum	9.391	102.1	101.7	100.7	95.0	93.9	93.5	91.8	91.1	89.7
Raw materials, nondulable	9814	106.7	103.3	101.6	97.7	97.8	97.4	96.2	98.1	98.7
Casital casedo	13 164	99.8	98.0	97.8	94.8	96.3	97.6	100.0	102.8	106.6
Automative uphieles, parts and opgings	11,750	104.9	104.0	105.2	105.4	105.9	106.4	111.4	115.6	119.0
Automotive venicies, parts and engines	14 250	101.9	100.6	101.1	99.5	99.4	101.0	102.4	104.5	106.6
Consumer goods	5 507	101.4	98.8	98.5	97.0	97.0	98.9	100.7	103.4	106.6
Nondurable	8.743	102.5	103.0	104.6	103.0	102.5	103.9	104.7	106.0	106.6

## 40. U.S. export price indexes by Standard Industrial Classification 1

	1984				198		1986		
Industry group	June	Sept.	Dec.	Mar.	June	Sept.	Dec.	Mar.	June
Manufacturing:								07.0	05.0
Food and kindred products (6/83=100)	112.7	105.6	103.3	99.5	99.5	96.7	98.1	97.0	95.0
Lumber and wood products, except furniture							101.0	101 5	101.0
(6/83=100)	100.1	97.0	97.9	99.9	99.5	98.3	101.2	101.5	101.2
Furniture and fixtures (9/83=100)	103.1	103.5	104.9	105.2	106.5	107.1	108.4	109.2	109.7
Paper and allied products (3/81 = 100)	104.3	106.2	103.6	97.1	94.7	93.2	92.1	95.7	101.6
Chemicals and allied products (12/84=100)	102.3	101.3	100.7	100.3	99.6	99.7	99.2	98.9	98.3
Potroloum and coal products (12/83-100)	102.1	100.7	100.4	101.3	102.7	102.0	99.1	93.5	83.1
Primary motal products (2/82 – 100)	104.0	100.0	95.8	91.2	92.7	93.6	93.6	96.4	96.6
Marking averat electrical (0/79 – 100)	137.0	138.0	139.9	140.4	140.5	140.6	140.5	140.6	140.3
Machinery, except electrical (9/78=100)	100.5	110.7	111 1	111.3	1124	111.9	111.2	112.6	112.2
Electrical machinery (12/80=100)	103.0	157.0	159.0	160.5	161.0	162.8	164.3	165.2	166.9
Transportation equipment (12/78=100)	157.2	157.0	150.9	100.5	101.5	102.0	101.0	10012	
Scientific instruments; optical goods; clocks			150.0	1510	450.0	150.0	156 7	150 7	161 2
(6/77=100)	153.2	156.0	153.0	154.9	156.6	150.2	100.7	159.7	101.2

<sup>1</sup> SIC - based classification.

## 41. U.S. import price indexes by Standard Industrial Classification 1

Industry group		1984			198		1986		
mustry group	June	Sept.	Dec.	Mar.	June	Sept.	Dec.	Mar.	June
Manufacturing:									
Food and kindred products (6/77=100)	126.6	124.1	122.6	118.8	115.0	114.2	115 1	1177	115.6
Textile mill products (9/82=100)	103.8	104.3	104.7	102.8	101.0	100.4	101.8	104.7	106.4
Apparel and related products (6/77=100)	129.6	133.9	138.2	135.6	133.0	133.9	134.4	133.4	135.1
Lumber and wood products, except furniture					100.0	100.0	104.4	100.4	100.1
(6/77=100)	121.1	117.3	120.0	116.3	120.6	117.5	115.8	122 1	124.8
Furniture and fixtures (6/80=100)	96.9	96.2	95.6	93.9	96.1	97.7	98.2	101.2	102.5
Paper and allied products (6/77=100)	141.9	146.0	145.5	141.5	139.8	138.7	137.4	137.6	130 /
Chemicals and allied products (9/82=100)	101.8	99.8	98.2	95.3	03.0	03.3	05.8	09.6	102.1
Rubber and miscellaneous plastic products		00.0	00.2	00.0	00.0	00.0	33.0	30.0	102.1
(12/80=100)	98.5	97.8	98.0	06.0	96.7	96.6	07.5	100.0	100 6
Leather and leather products	143.7	141.6	144.2	139 1	138.9	142.3	144.0	145.9	144.6
Primary metal products (6/81 = 100)	91.9	88.3	86.6	82.2	83.0	92.4	91.0	145.0	144.0
Fabricated metal products (12/84=100)	01.0		100.0	00.0	00.1	101.0	100.6	104.0	100 5
Machinery, except electrical (3/80=100)	97 1	95.5	94.1	01.8	02.4	06.6	102.0	104.9	108.5
Electrical machinery (9/84=100)		100.0	08.6	05.1	05.9	90.0	100.0	105.5	108.9
Transportation equipment (6/81 – 100)	1116	110.7	112.0	112.1	95.0	94.5	95.8	97.0	100.2
Scientific instruments: ontical goods: clocks	111.0	110.7	112.9	113.1	114.2	114.8	119.6	123.9	128.0
(12/79-100)	05.5	04.4	02.0	00.7	04.7				
Miscellaneous manufactured commodities	95.5	94.4	33.2	90.7	91.7	94.6	98.8	103.9	109.5
(9/82 - 100)	00.1	05.0	00.4	05.4	05.4				
	99.1	95.8	96.4	95.1	95.1	96.6	98.7	99.9	101.7

<sup>1</sup> SIC - based classification.

- Data not available.

## 42. Indexes of productivity, hourly compensation, and unit costs, quarterly data seasonally adjusted

(1977 = 100)

	Annual average					Quar	terly Inde	xes				
Item	1094	1983		198	14			198	35		19	86
	1904	IV	1	н	111	IV	I	П	Ш	IV	1	11
Business:												
Output per hour of all persons	105.3	103.8	104.9	105.6	105.5	105.5	105.7	106.4	107.3	106.4	107.3	107.2
Compensation per hour	168.1	163.6	165.9	167.1	169.0	170.6	172.3	174.5	176.4	178.0	179.1	180.4
Real compensation per hour	98.1	98.0	98.1	97.9	98.1	98.2	98.4	98.7	99.1	99.0	99.2	100.3
Unit labor costs	159.7	157.7	158.2	158.3	160.2	161.7	163.1	164.0	164.4	167.3	167.0	168.2
Unit nonlabor payments	156.3	150.6	154.1	156.7	157.0	157.7	158.3	160.0	161.4	159.6	162.2	161.9
Implicit price deflator	158.5	155.2	156.7	157.7	159.0	160.3	161.4	162.6	163.4	164.6	165.3	166.0
Nonfarm business:												
Output per hour of all persons	104.3	102.2	102.0	104.6	104.4	104.2	1044	1040	105 4	1015	105.0	
Compensation per hour	167.0	162.4	165.6	166.0	104.4	170.4	170.4	104.9	105.4	104.5	105.6	105.5
Real compensation per hour	98.0	07.0	07.0	07.9	00.7	00.4	00.0	174.0	1/5.4	177.0	178.3	179.3
Unit labor costs	161.0	158.2	150 4	150.5	161.5	162.0	164.0	105.0	90.0	98.4	98.8	99.7
Unit nonlabor payments	156 1	152.2	152.2	156.4	157.0	163.3	104.0	100.9	100.3	169.3	168.8	170.0
Implicit price deflator	159.3	156.2	157.2	158 4	160.0	161.4	100.9	100.0	103.0	100.3	103.9	163.5
	100.0	100.2	107.2	150.4	100.0	101.4	102.7	104.1	105.2	100.2	107.1	167.7
Nonfinancial corporations:												
Output per hour of all employees	105.6	104.5	105.3	105.9	105.5	105.8	106.0	106.5	107.8	107.0	106.9	106.3
Compensation per hour	165.9	161.7	163.6	164.8	166.6	168.3	169.9	171.6	173.1	174.5	175.4	176.0
Real compensation per hour	96.8	96.8	96.8	96.6	96.7	96.8	97.0	97.0	97.2	97.0	97.1	97.9
Total unit costs	161.5	159.0	159.4	160.1	162.6	163.8	164.9	165.8	165.0	167.2	168.3	169.4
Unit labor costs	157.0	154.8	155.4	155.7	157.9	159.1	160.3	161.1	160.5	163.0	164.0	165.6
Unit nonlabor costs	174.6	171.4	171.1	173.1	176.4	177.5	178.5	179.8	178.3	179.8	181.1	180.9
Unit profits	133.4	128.6	134.4	138.5	130.3	130.5	129.3	130.2	141.7	131.2	131.7	128.4
Unit nonlabor payments	160.1	156.4	158.3	161.0	160.3	161.0	161.3	162.5	165.5	162.8	163.8	162.5
Implicit price deflator	158.1	155.3	156.4	157.5	158.7	159.8	160.6	161.6	162.2	162.9	164.0	164.5
Manufacturing:												
Output per hour of all persons	116.6	113.3	1147	1157	117.8	118.2	110.2	1017	122.0	100.0	100 4	1010
Compensation per hour	168.2	163.6	165 4	166.8	160 1	171.5	172.0	175 6	170 1	170.0	123.4	124.0
Real compensation per hour	98.1	97.0	07.8	07.8	09.1	09.7	00.0	00.2	1/0.1	1/9.3	180.2	181.4
Unit labor costs	144.2	144.3	144.1	144.2	143.5	145.1	145.7	144.3	144.8	145.8	146.1	146.2

43. Annual indexes of multifactor productivity and related measures, selected years

11	077	- 1	001
	311		001

Item	1960	1970	1973	1974	1976	1978	1979	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984
Private business												
Productivity:												
Output per hour of all persons	64.8	86.1	94.8	92.5	97.6	100.5	99.3	98.7	100.6	100.8	103.7	107.1
Output per unit of capital services	98.4	98.5	103.0	96.5	96.1	101.8	100.3	95.6	94.1	89.5	92.3	97.4
Multifactor productivity	75.4	90.2	97.5	93.8	97.1	101.0	99.7	97.6	98.3	96.8	99.6	103.7
Output	53.3	78.3	91.8	89.9	93.7	105.5	107.9	106.4	109.2	106.3	111.1	121.0
Inputs:	00.0		•									
Hours of all persons	82.2	90.8	96.8	97.2	95.9	105.0	108.6	107.8	108.5	105.4	107.2	113.0
Canital services	54.1	79.4	89.1	93.1	97.5	103.6	107.5	111.4	116.0	118.8	120.4	124.3
Combined units of labor and capital input	70.7	86.7	94.1	95.8	96.5	104.5	108.2	109.0	111.0	109.9	111.6	116.8
Capital per hour of all persons	65.9	87.4	92.0	95.9	101.6	98.7	98.9	103.3	106.9	112.7	112.3	109.9
Private nonfarm business												
Productivity												
Output per hour of all persons	68.0	86.8	95.3	92.9	97.8	100.6	99.0	98.2	99.6	99.9	103.5	106.3
Output per hour of capital services	98.4	98.6	103.2	96.5	96.1	101.9	100.1	95.2	93.2	88.7	91.9	96.6
Multifactor productivity	77.6	90.7	97.9	94.1	97.2	101.0	99.4	97.2	97.4	95.9	99.4	102.9
Output	523	77.8	917	89.7	93.6	105.7	108.0	106.4	108.7	105.9	111.3	121.0
Japuta	02.0	11.0	• • • •									
Hours of all porsons	77.0	89.7	96.2	96.5	95.7	105.1	109.1	108.4	109.1	106.0	107.6	113.8
Hours of all persons	53.2	78.9	88.8	93.0	97.4	103.7	107.9	111.7	116.6	119.4	121.1	125.2
Capital services	67.4	85.9	93.6	95.3	96.3	104.6	108.7	109.5	111.6	110.4	112.0	117.5
Combined units of labor and capital input	60.1	88.0	02.4	96.3	101.8	98.7	98.9	103.1	106.8	112.6	112.6	110.1
Capital per hour of all persons	09.1	00.0	52.4	30.5	101.0	00.7	00.0	100.1				
Manufacturing												
Productivity:												445.0
Output per hour of all persons	60.0	79.2	93.0	90.8	97.6	100.9	101.6	101.7	104.9	107.1	111.6	115.6
Output per unit of capital services	87.9	91.8	108.2	99.6	96.1	101.5	99.5	90.7	89.9	82.9	87.6	96.0
Multifactor productivity	67.0	82.3	96.8	93.1	97.1	101.1	101.0	98.8	100.8	100.3	104.9	110.4
Output	50.7	77.0	95.9	91.9	93.6	105.3	108.2	103.5	106.1	99.3	104.4	115.3
Inputs:	84.4	073	103 1	101 2	95.9	104.4	106.5	101.7	101.1	92.7	93.5	99.8
Hours of all persons	57 6	83.0	88.6	92.2	97.4	103.8	108.8	114.1	118.0	119.8	119.2	120.2
Capital services	75.6	03.5	00.0	08.7	96.3	104.2	107 1	104.8	105.2	99.0	99.5	104.5
Combined units of labor and capital inputs	69.0	93.5	85.0	91.1	101.6	99.4	102 1	1122	116.7	129.2	127.5	120.4
Capital per hour of all persons	00.3	00.2	00.9	01.1	101.0	00.4	102.1					

## 44. Annual indexes of productivity, hourly compensation, unit costs, and prices, selected years

(1977 = 100)

Item	1960	1970	1973	1974	1976	1978	1979	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985
Business:													
Output per hour of all persons	67.6	88.4	95.9	93.9	98.3	100.8	99.6	99.3	100.7	100.3	103.0	105.3	106.4
Compensation per hour	33.6	57.8	70.9	77.6	92.8	108.5	119.1	131.5	143.7	154.9	161.5	168.1	175.3
Real compensation per hour	68.9	90.2	96.7	95.4	98.7	100.8	99.4	96.7	95.7	97.3	98.2	98.1	98.8
Unit labor costs	49.7	65.4	73.9	82.7	94.3	107.6	119.5	132.5	142.7	154.5	156.8	159.7	164.8
Unit nonlabor payments	46.4	59.4	72.5	76.4	93.3	106.7	112.5	118.7	134.6	136.6	146.3	156.3	159.7
Implicit price deflator	48.5	63.2	73.4	80.5	94.0	107.3	117.0	127.6	139.8	148.1	153.0	158.5	163.0
Nonfarm business:													
Output per hour of all persons	71.0	89.3	96.4	94.3	98.5	100.8	99.3	98.8	99.8	99.2	102.4	104.3	104.8
Compensation per hour	35.3	58.2	71.2	78.0	92.8	108.6	118.9	131.3	143.6	154.8	161.5	167.9	174.6
Real compensation per hour	72.3	90.8	97.1	95.9	98.8	100.9	99.2	96.6	95.7	97.2	98.2	98.0	98.4
Unit labor costs	49.7	65.2	73.9	82.7	94.3	107.7	119.7	132.9	144.0	156.0	157.7	161.0	166.7
Unit nonlabor navments	46.3	60.0	69.3	74.0	93.0	105.6	110.5	118.5	133.5	136.5	148.1	156.1	160.6
Implicit price deflator	48.5	63.4	72.3	79.7	93.8	107.0	116.5	127.8	140.3	149.2	154.3	159.3	164.6
Nonfinancial corporations:													
Output per hour of all employees	73.4	91.1	97.5	94.6	98.4	100.6	99.8	99.1	99.6	100.4	103.5	105.6	106.8
Compensation per hour	36.9	59.2	71.6	78.2	92.9	108.4	118.7	131.1	143.3	154.3	159.9	165.9	172.3
Real compensation per hour	75.5	92.4	97.6	96.1	98.9	100.7	99.1	96.4	95.5	96.9	97.3	96.8	97.0
Unit labor costs	50.2	65.0	73.4	82.6	94.3	107.8	119.0	132.3	143.8	153.8	154.5	157.0	161.2
Unit nonlabor payments	51.5	60.1	68.9	73.1	93.8	104.4	108.4	118.6	137.8	142.1	152.1	160.1	163.0
Implicit price deflator	50.7	63.3	71.9	79.4	94.2	106.6	115.4	127.6	141.7	149.8	153.7	158.1	161.8
Manufacturing													
Output per hour of all persons	62.2	80.8	93.4	90.6	97.1	101.5	101.4	101.4	103.6	105.9	112.0	116.6	121.7
Compensation per hour	36.5	57.4	68.8	76.2	92.1	108.2	118.6	132.4	145.2	157.5	162.4	168.2	176.7
Beal compensation per hour	74.8	89.5	93.8	93.6	98.1	100.5	.99.1	97.4	96.7	98.9	98.8	98.1	99.5
Linit labor costs	58.7	71.0	73.7	84.1	94.9	106.6	117.0	130.6	140.1	148.7	145.0	144.2	145.1
Unit nonlabor payments	60.0	64.1	70.7	67.7	93.5	101.9	98.9	97.8	111.8	114.0	128.5	136.9	134.4
Implicit price deflator	59.1	69.0	72.8	79.3	94.5	105.2	111.7	121.0	131.8	138.6	140.2	142.1	142.0

45. 1	Unemploymen	t rates in	n nine	countries,	quarterly	data	seasonally	ad	justed
-------	-------------	------------	--------	------------	-----------	------	------------	----	--------

Country	Annual a	verage	1984		198		1986		
Country	1984	1985	IV	1	11	III	IV	I.	11
Total labor force basis									
United States	7.4	7.1	7.1	7.2	7.2	7.1	6.9	7.0	7.1
Canada	11.2	10.4	11.1	11.0	10.5	10.2	10.1	9.7	9.5
Australia	8.9	8.2	8.6	8.5	8.4	8.1	7.8	7.9	-
Japan	2.7	2.6	2.7	2.6	2.5	2.6	2.9	2.6	2.8
France	9.7	10.1	10.0	10.2	10.1	10.2	9.9	10.0	10.3
Germany	7.6	7.7	7.7	7.7	7.8	77	77	7.6	7.5
Great Britain	12.8	13.0	12.8	12.9	13.0	13.2	12.8	13.0	13.1
Italy 1, 2	5.8	5.9	5.7	5.8	5.7	5.9	62	6.2	6.3
Sweden	3.1	2.8	3.0	3.0	2.9	2.7	2.7	2.8	2.6
Civilian labor force basis									
United States	7.5	7.2	72	73	73	72	7.0	71	72
Canada	11.3	10.5	11.1	11.1	10.6	10.2	10.1	9.7	9.6
Australia	9.0	83	8.6	86	8.5	82	79	8.0	0.0
Japan	2.8	2.6	2.7	2.6	2.6	2.7	2.9	2.7	2.8
France	9.9	10.4	10.3	10.5	10.4	10.4	10.1	10.2	10.5
Germany	7.8	7.9	7.8	7.9	7.9	7.0	7.9	7.9	76
Great Britain	12.0	13.1	12.0	12.1	12.0	12.4	12.0	10.1	10.0
Italy	5.9	6.0	5.8	5.0	5.8	6.0	6.2	6.2	13.3
Sweden	3.1	2.8	3.0	3.0	2.9	2.8	2.7	2.8	2.6

<sup>1</sup> Quarterly rates are for the first month of the quarter. <sup>2</sup> Major changes in the Italian labor force survey, introduced in 1977, resulted in a large increase in persons enumerated as unemployed. However, many persons reported that they had not actively sought work in the past 30 days, and they have been provisionally excluded for comparability with U.S. concepts. Inclusion of such persons would more than double the Italian unemployment rate

shown. - Data not available. NOTE: Quarterly figures for France, Germany, and Great Britain are calculated by applying annual adjustment factors to current published data and therefore should be viewed as less precise indicators of unemployment under U.S. concepts than the annual figures.

46. Annual data: Employment status of the civilian working-age population, ten countries

(Numbers in thousands)

Employment status and country	1976	1977	1978	1979	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985
Labor force										
United States	96,158	99,009	102,251	104,962	106,940	108,670	110,204	111,550	113,544	115,461
Canada	10,203	10,500	10,895	11,231	11,573	11,904	11,958	12,183	12,399	12,639
Australia	6,244	6,358	6,443	6,519	6,693	6,810	6,910	6,997	7,133	7,272
Japan	53,100	53,820	54,610	55,210	55,740	56,320	56,980	58,110	58,480	58,820
France	22,000	22,300	22,470	22,670	22,790	22,930	23,150	23,130	23,290	23,330
Germany	25,900	25.870	26.000	26,250	26,520	26,650	26,710	26,740	26,880	27,090
Great Britain	25,290	25,430	25.620	25.710	25,870	25,870	25,880	26,010	26,530	26,960
Italy	20,300	20,530	20,630	20,910	21,210	21,410	21,450	21,610	21,680	21,800
Nethorloada	4 890	4 950	5.010	5,100	5.290	5,500	5,560	5,720	5,740	5,690
Sweden	4,149	4,168	4,203	4,262	4,312	4,326	4,350	4,369	4,385	4,418
Participation rate	010	00.0	000	60.7	62.0	62.0	64.0	64.0	64.4	64.8
United States	61.0	02.3	60.7	62.4	64.1	64.8	64.1	64.4	64.8	65.2
Canada	61.1	01.0	02.7	03.4	62.2	62.0	61.8	61.5	61.5	61.8
Australia	62.7	62.7	62.0	01.7	02.2	02.0	60.7	62.1	62.7	62.3
Japan	62.4	62.5	62.8	62.7	02.0	02.0	57.1	56.6	EE E	56 4
France	57.3	57.6	57.5	57.5	57.2	57.1	57.1	50.0	50.0	52.2
Germany	53.8	53.4	53.3	53.3	53.2	52.9	52.7	52.5	52.0	53.2
Great Britain	63.2	63.2	63.3	63.2	63.2	62.2	61.9	61.9	02.7	03.0
Italy	47.8	48.0	47.7	47.8	48.0	48.0	47.4	47.2	47.3	47.2
Netherlands	49.1	49.0	48.8	49.0	50.0	51.3	51.2	52.1	52.0	51.2
Sweden	66.0	65.9	66.1	66.6	67.0	66.8	66.8	66.7	66.8	67.2
Employed										
Linited States	88 752	92 017	96.048	98.824	99.303	100.397	99,526	100,834	105,005	107,150
Onited States	9 477	9 651	9.987	10.395	10,708	11.006	10,644	10,734	11,000	11,311
Canada	5.046	6,000	6.038	6 1 1 1	6 284	6.416	6.415	6.300	6.490	6.670
Australia	52 020	52 720	53 370	54 040	54,600	55.060	55.620	56,550	56,870	57,260
Japan	21 010	21 180	21 260	21 300	21,320	21,200	21,230	21,170	20,980	20,910
France	25,010	24 070	25 130	25 470	25 750	25.560	25,130	24,750	24,790	24,960
Germany	23,010	22 840	24 040	24 360	24 100	23 190	22.820	22,680	23,100	23,420
Great Britain	10,600	10,000	10,970	20,100	20 380	20 480	20,430	20,470	20,390	20,490
Italy	19,000	4 700	4 750	4 830	4 960	4 990	4 930	4,890	4,880	4,890
Netherlands	4,030	4,700	4,109	4,174	4,226	4,218	4,213	4,218	4,249	4,293
Sweden										
Employment-population ratio	56.8	57.9	59.3	59.9	59.2	59.0	57.8	57.9	59.5	60.1
United States	56.7	56.6	57.5	58 7	59.3	59.9	57.0	56.7	57.4	58.4
Canada	. 50.7	50.0	58.1	57.9	58.4	58.4	57.3	55.4	56.0	56.6
Australia	. 59.7	09.2	61.2	61.0	61 3	61.2	61.2	61.4	61.0	60.6
Japan	. 01.1	547	54.4	54.0	52.5	52.8	52.3	51.8	51.0	50.5
France	. 54.8	54.7	54.4	54.0	51.7	50.8	49.6	48 6	48.5	49.0
Germany	. 52.0	51.6	51.5	51.7	51.7	50.0	54.6	54.0	54.6	55.2
Great Britain	. 59.5	59.3	59.4	59.8	58.8	35.0	45.0	44.7	44.5	44.4
Italy	. 46.1	46.3	45.9	45.9	40.1	45.9	45.2	44.7	44.0	44.0
Netherlands	. 46.5	46.5	46.3	46.4	46.9	46.5	45.4	44.0	647	65.2
Sweden	64.9	64.8	64.6	65.3	65.6	65.1	64.7	64.4	04./	05.3
linemployed										
United States	. 7.406	6,991	6,202	6,137	7,637	8,273	10,678	10,717	8,539	8,312
Canada	726	849	908	836	865	898	1,314	1,448	1,399	1,328
Australia	298	358	405	408	409	394	495	697	642	602
lanan	1.080	1.100	1.240	1.170	1,140	1,260	1,360	1,560	1,610	1,560
Sapan	990	1 120	1,210	1.370	1.470	1,730	1,920	1,960	2,310	2,420
Prance	890	900	870	780	770	1.090	1,580	1,990	2,090	2,130
Germany	1 480	1 590	1 580	1.350	1.770	2.680	3.060	3,330	3,430	3,540
Great Britain		740	760	810	830	920	1.020	1.140	1,280	1,310
Italy		250	260	270	330	510	630	830	860	800
Netherlands		75	94	88	8 86	5 108	137	15	136	125
Unemployment rate	77	71	6.1	5.8	7.	7.6	9.1	9.	5 7.5	5 7.2
Conside States	71	81	8.3	7.4	7.	5 7.5	5 11.0	11.	9 11.3	3 10.5
Canada	40	5.6	6	6	6	1 5/	3 7.	2 10.	9.0	8.3
Australia	4.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	2	2	2	4 2	7 28	2.6
Japan	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.	2.	4 71	8	3 8	5 90	10.4
France	4.5	5.0	0.4	0.0	0.		5	9 7	4 78	7 9
Germany	3.4	3.5	3.4	3.0	2.	4.	1 11	8 12	8 120	131
Germany	E 0	6.3	6.2	5.3	5 6.	0 10.4	+ 11.4	12.	12.3	10.1
Great Britain	5.9	0.0				0 44	2 41	8 5	3 50	60
Great Britain	3.4	3.6	3.3	3.9	3.	9 4.	4.1	B 5.	3 5.9	9 6.0
Great Britain	5.9 3.4 5.3	3.6	3.3 5.2	3.9	3 6.	9 4.3	3 4.1 3 11.2	B 5. 3 14.	3 5.9 5 15.0	6.0 0 14.1

## 47. Annual indexes of manufacturing productivity and related measures, twelve countries

(1977 = 100)

Item and country	1960	1970	1973	1974	1976	1977	1979	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985
Output per hour													
United States	62.2	80.8	93.4	90.6	97.1	100.0	101.4	101.4	103.6	105.9	1120	118.5	121.9
Canada	50.3	76.8	91.3	93.4	96.2	100.0	104.2	101.4	104.0	101.0	107.6	111.5	115 1
Japan	23.2	64.8	83.1	86.5	94.3	100.0	114.8	1227	127.2	135.0	1423	152.2	150.0
Belgium	32.8	60.0	78.7	83.2	95.3	100.0	111.8	119.3	127.2	132.8	1410	145.5	100.0
Denmark	37.2	65.5	83.2	86.0	98.2	100.0	106.5	112.3	114.2	114.6	117.3	118.3	118.4
France	36.4	69.6	82.2	85.2	95.0	100.0	110.3	112.0	116.4	123.5	129.3	135.0	140.2
Germany	40.3	71.2	84.0	87.4	96.5	100.0	108.2	108.6	111.0	112.6	119.0	124.7	131.9
Italy	36.5	72.7	90.9	95.3	98.9	100.0	110.5	116.9	121.0	123.4	126.6	135.0	139.1
Netherlands	32.4	64.3	81.5	88.1	95.8	100.0	112.3	113.9	116.9	119.4	126.1	139.3	-
Norway	54.6	81.7	94.6	97.7	99.7	100.0	107.1	109.3	109.7	112.6	119.2	122.3	125.0
Sweden	42.3	80.7	94.8	98.8	101.7	100.0	110.9	112.7	113.2	116.5	125.5	132.6	135.2
United Kingdom	53.8	77.6	92.9	95.2	99.1	100.0	102.2	101.2	107.9	112.7	121.2	126.2	129.7
Output													
United States	52.5	78.6	06.3	017	02.1	100.0	100 1	102.0	1010	00.4	105.0	1170	
Canada	41.5	75.1	94.6	98.0	98.1	100.0	1100.1	107.7	104.0	90.4	105.0	117.9	121.0
Japan	19.2	69.9	91.9	91 7	94.8	100.0	113.0	124.1	120.0	197.9	149.2	165.0	110.2
Belgium	41.7	78.1	95.8	99.6	99.5	100.0	104.2	107.2	105.0	100 1	140.2	1100.2	1/5.0
Denmark	49.2	82.0	95.9	97.4	99.6	100.0	105.4	1101	106.6	109.1	112.2	112.0	100.0
France	35.4	73.3	88.6	91.8	96.1	100.0	106.1	106.6	105.0	106.0	107 4	100.4	122.3
Germany	50.0	86.6	96.1	95.4	98.0	100.0	106.6	106.6	104.9	102.4	107.4	107.4	112.0
Italy	37.4	78.0	90.5	96.3	97.9	100.0	108.6	115.4	114.3	1116	109.0	113.2	115.0
Netherlands	44.8	84.4	95.8	100.0	99.0	100.0	106.1	106.6	106.7	105.0	105.2	110.2	110.0
Norway	55.1	87.0	99.5	104.0	101.4	100.0	100.3	101.3	100.1	99.8	98.8	101.3	1037
Sweden	52.6	92.5	100.3	105.7	106.1	100.0	103.6	104.0	100.6	100 1	105.2	1124	114.6
United Kingdom	71.0	94.7	104.7	103.5	98.2	100.0	100.5	91.7	86.2	86.4	88.9	92.4	95.0
Total hours													
United States	84.4	97.3	103.1	101.2	05.0	100.0	106 5	1017	1011	000	00.5	00.5	00.0
Canada	82.6	97.7	103.6	105.0	102.0	100.0	106.5	101.7	101.1	92.9	93.5	99.5	99.3
Japan	82.7	107.9	110.7	106.1	100.6	100.0	99.3	101.2	104.0	101 7	104.0	90.7	1100.1
Belgium	127.0	130.1	121.8	1197	104.4	100.0	03.0	80.0	02.0	00.1	70 5	108.5	110.0
Denmark	132.4	125.1	115.2	113.2	101.4	100.0	99.0	98.1	93.4	94.5	05.7	100.2	102.2
France	97.2	105.3	107.8	107.8	101.2	100.0	96.2	95.2	91.0	85.0	93.7	100.2	77.0
Germany	123.8	121.7	114.4	109.2	101.6	100.0	98.5	98.1	94.6	01.0	87.0	96.2	05.7
Italy	102.3	107.4	99.6	101.0	99.0	100.0	98.2	98.7	94.5	90.4	86.2	83.0	82.0
Netherlands	138.4	131.2	117.6	113.5	103.3	100.0	94.4	93.6	91.2	88.0	83.5	79.5	02.0
Norway	101.0	106.4	105.1	106.5	101.7	100.0	93.6	92.6	91.3	88.6	82.9	82.8	83.0
Sweden	124.4	114.6	105.7	107.0	104.3	100.0	93.4	92.3	88.9	85.9	83.9	84.8	84.8
United Kingdom	131.9	122.1	112.7	108.7	99.0	100.0	98.3	90.7	79.9	76.7	73.3	73.2	73.3
Compensation per bour													
United States	26.5	57.0	0.00	70.0	00.4	100.0	1100	100.1					
Canada	27.1	57.5 AG E	50.0	/0.2 60 E	92.1	100.0	118.6	132.4	145.2	157.5	163.2	169.1	176.6
Japan	80	40.0	59.2 EE 1	70.0	89.9	100.0	118.3	130.6	151.5	167.1	1/9.3	182.1	191.4
Belgium	12.9	24.0	50.1	12.3 65.0	90.7	100.0	113.4	120.7	129.8	136.6	140.7	144.8	148.3
Denmark	12.6	34.9	55.5	67.0	00.4	100.0	117.0	130.4	144.6	152.0	163.7	176.6	-
France	15.1	36.6	52.3	62.0	90.4	100.0	120.1	147 5	149.0	102.9	1/4.3	183.9	195.5
Germany	18.8	48.0	67.5	76.9	91.3	100.0	116 1	125.6	124.5	141.0	149 4	240.0	202.7
Italy	8.3	26.1	43.7	54.5	84.2	100.0	134.7	160.2	107.1	227.2	276 4	202.0	104.7
Netherlands	12.5	39.0	60.5	71.9	91.9	100.0	117.0	123.6	129.1	137.5	144.7	152.8	334.0
Norway	15.8	37.9	54.5	63.6	88.8	100.0	116.0	128.0	142.8	156.0	173.5	198.2	205.2
Sweden	14.7	38.5	54.2	63.8	91.5	100.0	120.1	133.6	148 1	158.9	173.3	100.3	205.2
United Kingdom	14.8	30.8	44.8	56.9	88.4	100.0	137.7	165.8	188.9	206.4	222.4	237.2	257.0
								10010	100.0	200.4	GGL.T	LUTIL	201.0
Unit labor costs: National currency basis:	507	70.0	70.7										
Canada	58.7	70.9	/3./	84.1	94.9	100.0	117.0	130.6	140.1	148.7	144.5	142.8	145.0
Japan	29.4	52.0	66.4	13.3	93.5	100.0	113.5	128.1	145.7	165.4	166.7	163.2	166.3
Belaium	42.0	58 1	69.0	79.2	90.2	100.0	105.0	98.4	102.0	101.2	98.9	95.1	92.7
Denmark	33.8	55 4	67.4	70.0	93.9	100.0	115.2	109.3	101.1	114.4	116.1	121.4	-
France	41.6	52.6	63.6	72.8	02.6	100.0	117.2	121.0	146.0	142.2	148.0	155.5	165.1
Germany	46.6	67.4	80.3	88.0	94.6	100.0	107.3	115.7	101.0	102.0	175.0	182.5	187.4
Italy	22.8	36.0	48.1	57.2	85.1	100.0	121.0	137.0	162.0	102.4	219.2	124.0	124.9
Netherlands	38.5	60.7	74.3	81.6	96.0	100.0	104.1	108.5	1102.5	115.2	1147	100.7	240.1
Norway	29.0	46.4	57.6	65.2	89.1	100.0	108.2	117.0	130.2	138.6	1/5.5	154.0	164.0
Sweden	34.8	47.7	57.2	64.6	90.0	100.0	108.3	118.6	130.9	136.3	138 1	143.8	152.2
United Kingdom	27.6	39.7	48.2	59.7	89.2	100.0	134.7	163.8	175.1	183.1	183.5	187.9	198.1
Unit labor coste: U.S. dollar basis													
United States	58.7	70.9	73.7	84.1	94.9	100.0	117.0	120.6	140.1	140 7	1445	140.0	1150
Canada	59.0	61.7	68.8	79.7	100.7	100.0	102.0	116.4	140.1	140.7	144.5	142.8	145.0
Japan	28.5	39.1	65.6	76.9	86.0	100.0	121.2	116.4	129.1	142.3	143.7	133.9	129.4
Belgium	30.2	42.0	62.8	72.1	87.2	100.0	129.5	124.1	100.0	90.5	01.0	75.0	104.2
Denmark	29.5	44.4	67.2	77.9	91.5	100.0	132.0	129.0	110.9	102.0	07.5	15.3	00 5
France	417	46.8	70.4	74.5	96.3	100.0	135.5	153.0	132.2	102.3	112.0	100.7	93.5
Germany	25.9	42.9	70.4	79.1	87.3	100.0	135.9	147.0	124.0	110.7	112.9	101.6	00.0
Italy	32.5	50.6	73.1	77.6	90.5	100.0	129.5	141.4	126.3	125 4	126.9	112.0	111 1
Netherlands	25.1	41.2	65.6	74.6	89.1	100.0	127.4	134.2	108.0	105.9	08.6	82.0	111.1
Norway	21.7	34.5	53.4	62.8	86.9	100.0	113.8	126.2	120.6	114.2	106 1	100.4	101 7
Sweden	30.1	41.1	58.7	65.1	92.3	100.0	112.9	125.3	115.4	96.9	80.4	77.7	70.1
United Kingdom	44.4	54.4	67.7	80.1	92.3	100.0	163.9	218.3	203.1	183.5	159.4	143.0	147.2
													141.0

48. Occupational injury and illness incidence rates by industry, United States

Industry and type of case <sup>1</sup>			Inciden	ice rates p	er 100 ful	I-time work	kers <sup>2</sup>		
Industry and type of case <sup>1</sup>	1976	1977	1978	1979	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984
PRIVATE SECTOR <sup>3</sup>									
Tatal asses	0.2	0.2	0.4	9.5	87	83	77	76	8.0
l ost workday cases	3.5	3.8	4.1	4.3	4.0	3.8	3.5	3.4	3.7
Lost workdays	60.5	61.6	63.5	67.7	65.2	61.7	58.7	58.5	63.4
Agriculture, forestry, and fishing <sup>3</sup>						10.0			10.0
Total cases	11.0	11.5	11.6	11.7	11.9	12.3	5.9	6.1	6.1
Lost workday cases	83.3	81.1	80.7	83.7	82.7	82.8	86.0	90.8	90.7
Mining	11.0	10.9	11.5	11.4	11.2	11.6	10.5	8.4	9.7
l ost workdav cases	5.8	6.0	6.4	6.8	6.5	6.2	5.4	4.5	5.3
Lost workdays	114.4	128.8	143.2	150.5	163.6	146.4	137.3	125.1	160.2
Total cases	15.3	15.5	16.0	16.2	15.7	15.1	14.6	14.8	15.5
Lost workday cases	5.5	5.9	6.4	6.8	6.5	6.3	6.0	118.2	128 1
Lost workdays	105.0	111.5	109.4	120.4	117.0	113.1	115.7	110.2	120.1
Total cases	14.5	15.0	15.9	16.3	15.5	15.1	14.1	14.4	15.4
Lost workday cases	5.2	5.7	6.3	6.8	6.5	6.1	5.9	6.2	6.9
Lost workdays	100.0	100.2	105.3	111.2	113.0	107.1	112.0	113.0	121.3
Heavy construction contractors:	16.3	16.0	16.6	16.6	16.3	14.9	15.1	15.4	14.9
l otal cases	5.5	5.7	6.2	6.7	6.3	6.0	5.8	6.2	6.4
Lost workdays	109.2	116.7	110.9	123.1	117.6	106.0	113.1	122.4	131.7
Special trade contractors:						15.0			45.0
Total cases	15.3	15.6	15.8	16.0	15.5	15.2	14.7	14.8	15.0
Lost workday cases	105.8	115.5	111.0	124.3	118.9	119.3	118.6	119.0	130.1
Manufacturing	10.0	10.1	12.2	12.2	12.2	11.5	10.2	10.0	10.6
Total cases	4.8	51	5.6	5.9	5.4	5.1	4.4	4.3	4.7
Lost workdays	79.5	82.3	84.9	90.2	86.7	82.0	75.0	73.5	77.9
Durable goods									
Total cases	22.1	22.3	22.6	20.7	18.6	17.6	16.9	18.3	19.6
Lost workday cases	9.7	10.4	11.1	10.8	9.5	9.0	8.3	9.2	9.9
Lost workdays	167.3	178.0	178.8	175.9	171.8	158.4	153.3	163.5	1/2.0
Furniture and fixtures:	16.9	17.2	17.5	17.6	16.0	15.1	13.9	14.1	15.3
Lost workday cases	6.0	6.0	6.9	7.1	6.6	6.2	5.5	5.7	6.4
Lost workdays	94.5	92.0	95.9	99.6	97.6	91.9	85.6	83.0	101.
Stone, clay, and glass products:	10.1	10.0	10.0	16.0	15.0	14.1	12.0	13.1	131
Total cases	6.4	6.9	7.8	8.0	7.1	6.9	6.1	6.0	6.0
Lost workdays	114.1	120.4	126.3	133.7	128.1	122.2	112.2	112.0	120.
Primary metal industries:									
Total cases	16.6	16.2	17.0	17.3	15.2	14.4	12.4	12.4	13.
Lost workday cases	114.8	119.4	123.6	134.7	128.3	121.3	101.6	103.4	115.
Fabricated metal products:									-
Total cases	18.9	19.1	19.3	19.9	18.5	17.5	15.3	15.1	16.
Lost workday cases	6.8	7.2	8.0	8.7	8.0	7.5	6.4	6.1	6.
Lost workdays	109.8	109.0	112.4	124.2	110.4	109.9	102.5	90.5	104.
Total cases	14.2	14.0	14.4	14.7	13.7	12.9	10.7	9.8	10.
Lost workday cases	4.6	4.7	5.4	5.9	5.5	5.1	4.2	3.6	4.
Lost workdays	. 70.6	69.9	75.1	83.6	81.3	74.9	66.0	58.1	65.
Electric and electronic equipment:	85	86	87	86	80	74	6.5	6.3	6
l ost workday cases	2.8	3.0	3.3	3.4	3.3	3.1	2.7	2.6	2.
Lost workdays	. 44.9	46.7	50.3	51.9	51.8	48.4	42.2	41.4	45.
Transportation equipment:									
Total cases	12.4	11.8	11.5	11.6	10.6	9.8	9.2	3.6	9.
Lost workdays	73.8	79.3	78.0	85.9	82.4	78.1	72.2	64.5	68.
Instruments and related products:	10.0	10.0							
Total cases	. 7.2	7.0	6.9	7.2	6.8	6.5	5.6	5.2	5.
Lost workday cases	2.4	2.4	2.6	2.8	2.7	2.7	2.3	2.1	2
Lost workdays	. 36.7	37.4	37.0	40.0	41.8	39.2	37.0	35.6	37.
Total cases	. 11.7	11.5	11.8	11.7	10.9	10.7	9.9	9.9	10
Lost workday cases	. 4.0	4.0	4.5	4.7	4.4	4.4	4.1	4.0	4
Lost workdays	. 59.4	58.7	66.4	67.7	67.9	68.3	69.9	66.3	70.

See footnotes at end of table.

48. Continued— Occupation	nal injury and illness	incidence rates b	y industry	, United States
---------------------------	------------------------	-------------------	------------	-----------------

Industry and type of case <sup>1</sup>		Incidence rates per 100 full-time workers <sup>2</sup>								
		1977	1978	1979	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	
Needersteinen										
Food and kindred products:										
Total cases	19.3	19.5	19.4	19.9	18.7	17.9	16.7	10.5	107	
Lost workday cases	8.0	8.5	8.9	9.5	9.0	8.6	8.0	7.9	8.1	
Lost workdays	123.8	130.1	132.2	141.8	136.8	130.7	129.3	131.2	131.6	
Total cases										
l ost workday cases	10.0	9.1	8.7	9.3	8.1	8.2	7.2	6.5	7.7	
Lost workdays	4.1	3.8	4.0	4.2	3.8	3.9	3.2	3.0	3.2	
Textile mill products:	02.5	00.7	38.6	64.8	45.8	56.8	44.6	42.8	51.7	
Total cases	10.5	10.2	10.2	97	91	8.8	76	74		
Lost workday cases	2.7	2.9	3.4	3.4	3.3	3.2	28	28	3.0	
Lost workdays	55.5	57.4	61.5	61.3	62.8	59.2	53.8	51.4	54.0	
Apparel and other textile products:									0 1.0	
lost workday cases	6.7	6.7	6.5	6.5	6.4	6.3	6.0	6.4	6.7	
Lost workdays	1.9	2.0	2.2	2.2	2.2	2.2	2.1	2.4	2.5	
Paper and allied products:	31.0	31.7	32.4	34.1	34.9	35.0	36.4	40.6	40.9	
Total cases	13.7	13.6	12.5	125	10.7	110	10.0	10.0		
Lost workday cases	4.7	5.0	5.7	6.0	5.9	11.6	10.6	10.0	10.4	
Lost workdays	94.8	101.6	103.3	108.4	112.3	103.6	90 1	4.5	4./	
Printing and publishing:					112.0	100.0	00.1	50.5	93.0	
Total cases	6.8	6.8	7.0	7.1	6.9	6.7	6.6	6.6	6.5	
Lost workday cases	2.6	2.7	2.9	3.1	3.1	3.0	2.8	2.9	2.9	
Chemicals and allied products:	40.3	41.7	43.8	45.1	46.5	47.4	45.7	44.6	46.0	
Total cases	0.0									
Lost workday cases	3.1	3.1	7.8	1.1	6.8	6.6	5.7	5.5	5.3	
Lost workdays	50.6	51.4	50.0	54.0	50.2	3.0	2.5	2.5	2.4	
Petroleum and coal products:	00.0	01.4	50.5	54.5	50.5	40.1	39.4	42.3	40.8	
Total cases	7.9	8.1	7.9	7.7	72	67	53	5.5	51	
Lost workday cases	3.2	3.3	3.4	3.6	3.5	2.9	2.5	24	24	
Lost workdays	62.5	59.2	58.3	62.0	59.1	51.2	46.4	46.8	53.5	
Total cases										
l ost workday cases	16.8	16.8	17.1	17.1	15.5	14.6	12.7	13.0	13.6	
Lost workdays	7.1	7.6	8.1	8.2	7.4	7.2	6.0	6.2	6.4	
Leather and leather products:	113.3	118.1	125.5	127.1	118.6	117.4	100.9	101.4	104.3	
Total cases	11.6	11.5	117	11.5	117	11 5	0.0	10.0	10.5	
Lost workday cases	4.1	4.4	47	4.9	5.0	5.1	9.9	10.0	10.5	
Lost workdays	69.0	68.9	72.5	76.2	82.7	82.6	86.5	87.3	94.4	
Transportation and public utilities										
Total cases	9.8	9.7	10.1	10.0	94	9.0	8.5	8.2	0.0	
Lost workday cases	5.0	5.3	5.7	5.9	5.5	5.3	4.9	47	5.2	
Lost workdays	94.0	95.9	102.3	107.0	104.5	100.6	96.7	94.9	105.1	
Wholesale and retail trade										
Total cases	7.5	7.7	7.9	8.0	7.4	7.3	7.2	72	74	
Lost workday cases	2.8	2.9	3.2	3.4	3.2	3.1	3.1	3.1	3.3	
Lost workdays	43.2	44.0	44.9	49.0	48.7	45.3	45.5	47.8	50.5	
Total cases										
Lost workday cases	8.1	8.5	8.9	8.8	8.2	7.7	7.1	7.0	7.2	
Lost workdays	51.8	52.5	3.9	4.1	3.9	3.6	3.4	3.2	3.5	
Retail trade:	51.0	JE.J	57.5	59.1	56.2	54./	52.1	50.6	55.5	
Total cases	7.2	7.4	7.5	77	71	71	7.2	7.2	75	
Lost workday cases	2.6	2.7	2.8	3.1	2.9	2.9	29	3.0	32	
Lost workdays	39.7	40.5	39.7	44.7	44.5	41.1	42.6	46.7	48.4	
Finance, insurance, and real estate										
Total cases	2.0	2.0	2.1	2.1	2.0	1.9	2.0	2.0	1.9	
LOST WORKDAY CASES	.7	.8	.8	.9	.8	.8	.9	.9	.9	
Losi workuays	11.6	10.4	12.5	13.3	12.2	11.6	13.2	12.8	13.6	
Services										
I otal cases	5.3	5.5	5.5	5.5	5.2	5.0	4.9	5.1	5.2	
Lost workdave	2.0	2.2	2.4	2.5	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.4	2.5	
Los nondajo	38.4	35.4	36.2	38.1	35.8	35.9	35.8	37.0	41.1	

 $^1$  Total cases include fatalities.  $^2$  The incidence rates represent the number of injuries and illnesses or lost workdays per 100 full-time workers and were calculated as: (N/EH) X 200,000, where: N = number of injuries and illnesses or lost workdays.

 $\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{EH} = \mbox{total hours worked by all employees during calendar year.} \\ 200,000 = \mbox{base for 100 full-time equivalent workers (working 40 hours per week, 50 weeks per year.)} \\ ^3 \mbox{ Excludes farms with fewer than 11 employees since 1976.} \end{array}$ 

## **NEW FROM BLS**

#### SALES PUBLICATIONS

#### **BLS Bulletins**

Employee Benefits in Medium and Large Firms, 1985. Bulletin 2262, 91 pp. (GPO Stock No. 029-001-02903-9.) \$4.75.

#### Area Wage Surveys

- These bulletins cover office, professional, technical, maintenance, custodial, and material movement jobs in major metropolitan areas. The annual series is available by subscription for \$103 per year. Individual area bulletins are also available separately.
- New York, New York—New Jersey, Metropolitan Area, May 1986. Bulletin 3035-22, 41 pp. (GPO Stock No. 829-001-00094-2.) \$2.25.
- Norfolk-Virginia Beach-Portsmouth, Virginia-North Carolina, Metropolitan Area, May 1986. Bulletin 3035-18, 29 pp. (GPO Stock No. 829-001-00090-0.) \$1.25.
- Toledo, Ohio-Michigan, Metropolitan Area, June 1986. Bulletin 3035-21, 30 pp. (GPO Stock No. 829-001-00093-4.) \$1.75.

#### Periodicals

- CPI Detailed Report. Each issue provides a comprehensive report on price movements for the month, plus statistical tables, charts, and technical notes. \$4 (\$25 per year).
- Current Wage Developments. Each issue includes selected wage and benefit changes, work stoppages, and statistics on compensation changes. \$2 (\$21 per year).
- Employment and Earnings. Each issue covers employment and unemployment developments in the month, plus statistical tables on national, State, and area employment, hours, and earnings. \$4.50 (\$31 per year).
- Supplement to Employment and Earnings, 1986. 200 pp. (Stock No. 729-004-00020-1.) \$8. Included with subscription to Employment and Earnings.
- Occupational Outlook Quarterly. Each issue helps people planning careers, guidance counselors, and others keep informed of changing career opportunities. \$3 (\$11 per year).
- Producer Price Indexes. Each issue includes a comprehensive report on price movements for the month, plus regular tables

and technical notes. \$4.25 (\$29 per year).

Supplement to Producer Price Indexes Data for 1985. (GPO Stock No. 729-009-00016-4.) \$4.75.

#### **OTHER PUBLICATIONS**

(Single copies available upon request while supplies last.)

#### Area Wage Summaries

Alaska, July 1986. 3 pp. Albany, GA, July 1986. 7 pp. Alpena-Standish-Tawas City, MI, July 1986. 7 pp. Baton Rouge, LA, June 1986. 7 pp. Charleston-North Charleston-Waterboro, SC, July 1986. 3 pp. Cheyenne, WY, June 1986. 7 pp. Duluth-Superior, MN-WI, June 1986. 7 pp. Fort Wayne, IN, June 1986. 3 pp. Gadsden and Anniston, AL, July 1986. 3 pp. Goldsboro, NC, July 1986. 3 pp. Little Rock-North Little Rock, AR, July 1986. 3 pp. Lorain-Elyria, OH, July 1986. 3 pp. Phoenix, AZ, June 1986. 3 pp. Portsmouth-Chillicothe-Gallipolis, OH, June 1986. 7 pp. Selma, AL, July 1986. 3 pp. Santa Barbara-Santa Maria-Lompoc, CA, June 1986. 7 pp. Tulsa, OK, June 1986. 3 pp. Waco and Killeen-Temple, TX, July 1986. 3 pp.

#### **BLS Reports**

Employment in Perspective: Women in the Labor Force, Second Quarter 1986. Report 730. 3 pp.

#### To Order:

Sales Publications: Order bulletins by title, bulletin number, and GPO stock number from the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 20402, or from the Bureau of Labor Statistics, Publications Sales Center, P.O. Box 2145, Chicago, IL 60690. Subscriptions, including microfiche subscriptions, are available only from the Superintendent of Documents. All checks—including those that go to the Chicago Regional Office—should be made payable to the Superintendent of Documents.

*Other Publications:* Request from the Bureau of Labor Statistics, U.S. Department of Labor, Room 2421, 441 G Street. NW, Washington, DC 20212, or from the Bureau of Labor Statistics, Chicago Regional Office, P.O. Box 2145, Chicago, IL 60690.

\* U. S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE : 1986 491-537/40009 536

## **BLS Periodical Prices Reduced**

BLS periodicals provide timely information on employment, occupations, wages, and prices. Subscriptions now are available at greatly reduced prices. In addition, newly available 2-year subscriptions guarantee the low prices for the next 2 years.



than 200 areas. Included are household and establishment data seasonally and not seasonally adjusted. Includes annual supplement. \$22 \$31 a year



processing. Includes annual supplement. \$21

\$29 a year

Superintendent of	1	Documents :	Su	bscr	ipt	ions (	Orc	ler I	Form
-------------------	---	-------------	----	------	-----	--------	-----	-------	------

## Order processing code: \*6174

□ YES, please send me the following indicated subscriptions:

Monthly Labor Review	□ 1 vear	\$16	or	2 vears	\$32
Occupational Outlook Quarterly	□ 1 year	\$ 5	0.	$\square$ 2 years	\$10
Employment and Earnings	🗆 1 year	\$22		2 years	\$44
Current Wage Developments	🗆 1 year	\$12		□ 2 years	\$24
CPI Detailed Report	🗆 1 year	\$16		□ 2 years	\$32
Producer Price Indexes	🗆 1 year	\$21		□ 2 years	\$42

1. The total cost of my order is \$\_ . All prices include regular domestic postage and handling and are subject to change. International customers please add 25%

Please Type or Print	
2. (Company or personal name) (Additional address/attention line)	3. Please choose method of payment: Check payable to the Superintendent of Documents GPO Deposit Account
(Street address)	VISA CHOICE MasterCard Account
(City, State, Zip Code) ()	(Credit card expiration date)
(Daytime phone including area code)	(Signature) 9/86
for RASER Superintendent of Documents, Gover	rnment Printing Office Washington D.C. 20402-9371

itized s·//fr U.S. Department of Labor Bureau of Labor Statistics Washington D.C. 20212

Official Business Penalty for private use, \$300 RETURN POSTAGE GUARANTEED Second Class Mail Postage and Fees Paid U.S. Department of Labor ISSN 0098-1818

MLR LIBRA442L ISSDUE006R 1 LIBRARY FED RESERVE BANK OF ST LOUIS PO BOX 442 SAINT LOUIS MO 63166



# BLS Periodical prices have been reduced.

See inside back cover for details.

itized for FRASER bs://fraser.stlouisfed.org deral Reserve Bank of St. Louis