

U.S. Department of Labor Bureau of Labor Statistics November 1980

DEPOSITORY

2.6:

3/11



gitized for FRASER ps://fraser.stlouisfed.org deral Reserve Bank of St. Louis



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF LABOR Ray Marshall, *Secretary*

BUREAU OF LABOR STATISTICS Janet L. Norwood, *Commissioner*

The Monthly Labor Review is published by the Bureau of Labor Statistics of the U.S. Department of Labor. Communications on editorial matters should be addressed to the Editor-in-Chief, Monthly Labor Review, Bureau of Labor Statistics, Washington, D.C. 20212. Phone: (202) 523 – 1327.

Subscription price per year — \$18 domestic; \$22.50 foreign. Single copy \$2:50. Subscription prices and distribution policies for the Monthly Labor Review (ISSN 0098-0818) and other Government publications are set by the Government Printing Office, an agency of the U.S. Congress. Send correspondence on circulation and subscription matters (including address changes) to: Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402

Make checks payable to Superintendent of Documents.

The Secretary of Labor has determined that the publication of this periodical is necessary in the transaction of the public business required by law of this Department. Use of funds for printing this periodical has been approved by the Director of the Office of Management and Budget through October 31, 1982. Second-class postage paid at Riverdale, MD., and at additional mailing offices.

Library of Congress Catalog Card Number 15-26485



November cover:

The Fourth Estate Based on a 1901 oil painting by Giuseppe Pellizza da Volpedo, courtesy National Gallery of Art, Washington, D.C.

Regional Commissioners for Bureau of Labor Statistics

Region 1 — Boston: Wendell D. Macdonald 1603 JFK Federal Building, Government Center, Boston, Mass. 02203 Phone: (617) 223 – 6761 Connecticut Maine Massachusetts New Hampshire Rhode Island Vermont

Region II — New York: Samuel M. Ehrenhalt 1515 Broadway, Suite 3400, New York, N.Y. 10036 Phone: (212) 944–3121 New Jersey New York Puerto Rico Virgin Islands

Region III — Philadelphia: Alvin I. Margulis 3535 Market Street P.O. Box 13309, Philadelphia, Pa. 19101 Phone: (215) 596 – 1154 Delaware District of Columbia Maryland Pennsylvania Virginia West Virginia

Region IV — Atlanta: Donald M. Cruse 1371 Peachtree Street, N.E., Atlanta, Ga. 30309 Phone: (404) 881 – 4418 Alabama Florida Georgia Kentucky Mississippi North Carolina South Carolina South Carolina Tennessee

Region V — Chicago: William E. Rice 9th Floor, Federal Office Building, 230 S. Dearborn Street, Chicago, III. 60604 Phone: (312) 353–1880 Illinois Indiana Michigan Minnesota Ohio Wisconsin

Region VI — Dallas: Bryan Richey Second Floor, 555 Griffin Square Building, Dallas, Tex. 75202 Phone: (214) 767–6971 Arkansas Louisiana New Mexico Oklahoma Texas

Regions VII and VIII — Kansas City: Elliott A. Browar 911 Walnut Street, Kansas City, Mo. 64106 Phone: (816) 374-2481 VII

lowa Kansas Missouri Nebraska VIII Colorado Montana North Dakota South Dakota Utah Wyoming

Regions IX and X — San Francisco: D. Bruce Hanchett 450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36017, San Francisco, Calif. 94102 Phone: (415) 556–4678

IX American Samoa Arizona California Guam Hawaii Nevada Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands X Alaska

Idaho Oregon Washington



MONTHLY LABOR REVIEW

NOVEMBER 1980 VOLUME 103, NUMBER 11

Henry Lowenstern, Editor-in-Chief Robert W. Fisher, Executive Editor Reference Dept.

DEC 12 1980

Kalamazoo Public Library

T. Scott Fain	3	Self-employed Americans: their number has increased During 1972–79, the self-employed took on characteristics of wage and salary workers— their workweek was shortened and they were younger, but their earnings continued to lag
M. S. Cohen, A. R. Schwartz	9	U.S. labor turnover: analysis of a new measure New comprehensive survey shows much more hiring activity than appears in BLS series, which is derived mainly from manufacturing, but separation rates are not as far apart
Philip L. Rones	14	The retirement decision: a question of opportunity? Anti-bias laws now protect workers through age 70, but most choose to retire sooner; lack of acceptable job options keeps many who desire to work out of the labor force
Brian L. Friedman	18	Productivity gains in the drugstore industry, 1958–79 Spurred by expanding chains and independents benefiting from economies of scale, growth in output per hour was above average until 1973, but has lagged since
Sheila B. Kamerman	23	Child care and family benefits in six industrialized countries Mothers with very young children are continuing to enter the labor force, resulting in the emergence of a new family lifestyle in the United States and in Europe
		REPORTS
R. A. Winett, M. S. Neal	29	Results of experimental study of flexitime and family life
Felice Porter	33	Record white-collar pay increase closes decade but trails inflation
Peter Accolla	39	ILO meeting supported older workers, improved standards supervision
Tanya Kucherov	43	Exploitation of children widespread, ILO reports
		DEPARTMENTS
	2	Labor month in review
	29	Research summaries
	39	Foreign labor developments
	46	Significant decisions in labor cases
	49	Major agreements expiring next month
	51	Developments in industrial relations
	53	Book reviews

61 Current labor statistics

Labor Month In Review



STATISTICS IN BUREAUCRACIES. In her presidential address to the 141st meeting of the American Statistical Association, in Houston earlier this year, Margaret E. Martin focused on the role of government statistical bureaus whose principal function is to produce and summarize data for use by others. Highlights:

Concepts. A major activity of statistics bureaus is the definition of concepts, an activity that is both difficult and extremely important in much of Federal multipurpose data collection. A wellknown example is the case of employment and unemployment Should one measure the number of persons at work, or jobs held, or hours spent at work? There are uses for each of these measures. It took economists and statisticians working together nearly a decade during the 1930's to, first, agree on basic concepts, and second, develop reasonably satisfactory and mutually compatible definitions of employment and unemployment-definitions that were workable in household surveys and that, with only minor changes, are still being used today, although not without continued questioning.

Another, and as yet unsettled, example is the concept of ethnicity and the problem of its definition in censuses and surveys. Social theory suggests no easy answer, usually assuming selfidentification. A variety of different definitions have been used in practice: place of parents' birth, mother tongue, language spoken in the home, origin, or descent. It is not sufficient for the statistician to say that such concepts and definitions are not his or her business, that these questions belong exclusively to the subject-matter specialist.

Differences. Because data produced by Federal statistics bureaus frequently are national in scope, and therefore refer to the same universe, differences in series that ostensibly measure the same or similar phenomena are glaringly obvious.

The existence of two ostensibly similar series can be a boon as well a bane to statistical enterprise. Differences in level and change can call attention to problems, especially to nonsampling errors, and, if taken seriously, can lead to improvements in one or both series. Efforts to reconcile the two employment series, for example, led to many improvements, extensions, and additional analyses—improvements that have provided a wealth of additional information on the labor force.

Pressures for more. Another characteristic circumstance of much Federal data collection is the insistent pressure for more. Once statistical information is produced, it is generally used. And once used, pressures start building for more—more detail, greater frequency, more prompt availability. If a series is issued quarterly, it is wanted monthly, if it is national in scope, it is wanted for regions, or States, or all standard metropolitan statistical areas, or even every county (3,000) or every political entity (39,000). . . .

The quality of such efforts is limited not only by resources—budgets, statistical talent, and other inputs to the statistical operation—but also by the burden imposed on respondents. Although much of the government's paperwork burden is not for statistical purposes—tax collection, regulatory activities, provision of benefits for which applications must be filed—large-scale statistical surveys must consider the burden imposed on suppliers of data and the mounting resistance to paperwork.

Analysis. Because statistics bureaus collect data primarily for the use of others, some believe it is unreasonable to expect them to engage in substantive analysis. They may fear that such analysis might distort data collecting priorities or delay public dissemination of the data. . . .

I believe that more analysis by

statistics bureaus, whether by their own staffs exclusively or in cooperation with others, would lead to improvements in the base data, to a better understanding of priorities, to better documentation of the data for use by others, and possibly to new knowledge as the result of the analysis. The close tie between analysis and data improvement is not generally understood and, indeed, is not clearly documented. Budget authorities and congressional appropriations committees are unaware of the importance of analysis in leading to improved data and also may fear that analysis would not be politically neutral. The research community is, I believe, more interested in obtaining the data for its own independent use than concerned about building up analytic strength in Federal statistics bureaus.

Dissemination. Statistics bureaus spend much time on methods of distributing their products effectively. The theoretical statistician writes a report for a research journal and typically leaves it up to others to seek out and use the results of his research. The statistical consultant has direct contact with the users of his advice. But the statistics bureau, at a greater distance from users and operating on public funds, should feel a responsibility for making data available in convenient forms and for explaining how the data are compiled, their weaknesses and strengths.

If statisticians as a profession seek wider application of statistical methods in data collection activities, in other scientific disciplines, and in management and administration, whether public or private, we may need to devote more effort to communicating with nonstatisticians in ways that are of interest and of benefit to them.

The text of Martin's address is scheduled for publication in March in the *Journal* of the American Statistical Association. \Box

Self-employed Americans: their number has increased

The self-employed began to more closely resemble wage and salary workers during 1972–79; their workweek was shortened, they tended to be younger, and were more likely to be women than in the past, but they continued to earn less than other workers

T. SCOTT FAIN

Between 1972 and 1979, the number of self-employed Americans rose by more than 1.1 million, reversing decades of steady decreases.¹ The most dramatic surge occurred in the post-recession years of 1976–79, when the percentage increase in the number of self-employed workers surpassed the comparable increase for wage and salary workers (12.4 versus 10.8 percent).

When agricultural self-employment is separated from nonagricultural, a more dramatic picture appears. While the number of agricultural self-employed workers continued to decline—dropping 210,000 between 1972 and 1979—nonagricultural self-employment increased by 1.3 million. The growth trend was especially strong in the last 4 years when nonagricultural self-employment rose by 17 percent, while wage and salary employment rose 11 percent. (See table 1.) In addition to the self-employed as officially enumerated by the Census Bureau, there are three other groups closely related to this worker category. First, there are those who identify themselves as self-employed but whose businesses are incorporated. Their numbers are included with the wage and salary group. Therefore, the increase in these workers from around 850,000 in 1967 (when they were first reclassified out of the selfemployed category) to more than 2 million in 1979 is not reflected in the official figures for the self-employed. A more detailed discussion of these persons comes at the end of this article after an examination of recent trends among proprietors and partners, who comprise the unincorporated self-employed. Another type of self-employement that is not reflected

Another type of self-employment that is not reflected in the data in table 1 involves the more than 1.5 million workers who are self-employed at their second jobs. Because these moonlighters are classified by the nature of their primary employment, they are officially wage and salary workers. Those persons who supplemented their earnings with self-employment accounted for more than

T. Scott Fain is an economist formerly with the Office of Current Employment Analysis, Bureau of Labor Statistics. Bernard Altschuler, a statistician in the same office, developed some of the tabulations used in this analysis.

one-third of all dual or multiple jobholders in 1979, and their number rose more than 28 percent since 1972.

On the other hand, the number of unpaid family workers, another group similar to the self-employed, continued its overall downturn. In 1979, there were 760,000 unpaid family workers, a drop of 225,000 since 1972, with the agricultural sector leading the decline. This group continues to be predominantly female, as they constituted 9 of 10 unpaid family workers in 1979.

The overall upward trend in the number of selfemployed workers was interrupted during the 1974–75 recession; there were 50,000 fewer self-employed workers in 1976 than in 1974. But self-employment responded strongly to the post-recession economic surge. In this respect, self-employed workers appear to be reacting like their wage and salary counterparts, rather than continuing their past counter-cyclical employment trends.²

Demographic features

Age. While the demographic characteristics of the selfemployed differ from other workers, these differences have grown less sharp in recent years. For instance, the median age of the self-employed has typically been greater than that of wage and salary workers. Young workers are less likely to have acquired the capital and managerial skills needed to start a business; and many older workers who have withdrawn from wage and salary employment may wish to continue working via selfemployment. But in recent years, the average age of the self-employed has dropped. The proportion of selfemployed who are 16 to 44 years old rose by 10 percentage points, while those over age 45 decreased by the same amount. In part, this change is because of the nature of the population; with entry of the baby-boom generation, the median age of the labor force has fallen. But as can be seen in the following tabulation, the downward shift in age distribution (in percent) has been more dramatic for the self-employed:

	Se	lf-empl	oyed	Wage and salary		
Age	1972	1979	Change	1972	1979	Change
6-24	5.4	6.6	+1.2	23.1	24.1	+1.0
25-44 45 and	35.7	44.3	+8.6	41.8	45.9	+4.1
over	58.8	49.0	-9.8	35.1	30.0	- 5.1

From 1972 to 1979, a comparatively large number of workers 25 to 44 years old entered self-employment.

Total Agricultural Nonagricultural Total Agricultural Nonagricultural Total Agricultural Nonagricultural 448 10,773 4,664 6,109 44,221 1,645 45,966 1,703 1,318 33 449 10,776 4,609 6,167 43,444 1,728 45,172 1,701 1,321 33 450 10,358 4,340 6,018 45,354 1,630 46,984 1,573 1,190 36 451 9,845 3,815 5,513 47,719 1,437 48,954 1,464 1,83 36 455 9,655 3,815 5,740 48,770 1,375 50,145 1,477 1,068 44 165 9,657 3,726 5,851 49,359 1,601 50,960 1,634 1,123 55 657 9,917 3,726 5,851 49,359 1,583 5,2658 1,700 1,442 56 658 9			Self-employed			Wage and salary			Unpaid family workers		
948 10.773 4.664 6.109 44.21 1.645 45,866 1.703 1.318 38 949 10.776 4.609 6.167 43,444 1.728 45,172 1.701 1.318 38 950 10.358 4.340 6.018 45,354 1.800 46,984 1.573 1.190 38 951 9.819 4014 5.805 47,047 1.847 48,594 1.546 1.153 38 952 9.546 3.833 5.613 47,719 1.337 49.156 1.546 1.123 44 955 3.816 5.839 47,633 1.343 48.976 1.474 1.043 44 956 9.549 3.601 6.016 50.957 1.580 52.688 1.700 1.142 55 956 9.412 3.501 6.011 51.599 1.803 52.642 1.867 1.065 66 949 9.222 5.020 52.295 <th>Year</th> <th>Total</th> <th>Agricultural</th> <th>Nonagricultural</th> <th>Total</th> <th>Agricultural</th> <th>Nonagricultural</th> <th>Total</th> <th>Agricultural</th> <th>Nonagricultural</th>	Year	Total	Agricultural	Nonagricultural	Total	Agricultural	Nonagricultural	Total	Agricultural	Nonagricultural	
949 10.776 4.609 6.167 43.444 1.728 45.172 1.701 1.321 38 950 10.358 4.340 6.018 45.354 1.830 46.984 1.573 1.190 38 951 9.819 4.014 5.805 47.047 1.547 48.594 1.546 1.183 38 955 3.815 5.740 48.770 1.375 50.145 1.477 1.068 44 955 3.816 5.893 47,633 1.847 49.957 1.474 1.043 48 956 9.577 3.726 5.895 1.607 1.580 52.642 1.667 1.066 9.459 3.663 5.896 51.057 1.580 52.642 1.667 1.065 66 9.942 3.020 6.222 52.265 1.529 1.499 901 55 9.944 2.736 6.303 53.417 1.762 51.79 1.499 901 55 <td>48</td> <td>10,773</td> <td>4,664</td> <td>6,109</td> <td>44,221</td> <td>1,645</td> <td>45,866</td> <td>1,703</td> <td>1,318</td> <td>385</td>	48	10,773	4,664	6,109	44,221	1,645	45,866	1,703	1,318	385	
	49	10,776	4,609	6,167	43,444	1,728	45,172	1,701	1,321	380	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	50	10,358	4,340	6.018	45.354	1.630	46,984	1.573	1,190	383	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1	9819	4 0 1 4	5 805	47 047	1 547	48 594	1 546	1 163	383	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	2	9 546	3 933	5.613	47 719	1 437	49 156	1 546	1 1 2 9	417	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3	9 555	3,815	5 740	49,770	1 375	50 145	1 477	1,069	400	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	54	9,655	3,816	5,830	40,770	1 2/2	49.076	1,477	1,000	409	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		3,000	5,010	0,000	47,033	1,040	40,970	1,474	1,043	431	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	55	9,577	3,726	5,851	49,359	1,601	50,960	1,634	1,123	511	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	6	9,459	3,563	5,896	51,057	1,580	52,658	1,700	1,142	558	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	57	9,312	3,301	6.011	51,509	1.583	52.642	1.667	1.065	602	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		9,183	3.081	6.102	50,761	1 564	52 325	1 529	941	588	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	59	9 242	3,020	6,222	52,265	1,582	53,847	1,542	963	579	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		0.000	0.705	0.000	50.447	1 700	55.170				
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	0	9,098	2,795	6,303	53,417	1,762	55,1/9	1,499	901	598	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1	9,046	2,/38	6,308	53,600	1,629	55,229	1,4/1	832	639	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2	8,802	2,609	6,193	54,963	1,561	56,524	1,376	773	603	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	53	8,541	2,427	6,114	56,388	1,564	56,527	1,269	696	573	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	54	8,538	2,358	6,180	58,027	1,469	59,496	1,272	696	576	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	35	8.394	2.297	6.097	60.031	1.387	61 418	1 278	678	600	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	6	8.126	2.136	5 990	62 361	1 266	63 627	1 142	578	564	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	37	7 170	1 996	5 174	64 848	1 301	66 149	1.053	547	506	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	8	7 087	1 985	5 102	66 517	1 281	67 708	1,035	550	485	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	9	7,148	1,896	5,253	68,527	1,179	69,706	1,048	531	517	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	70	7.007	1.010	5.047	00.440	1.150	70.500				
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0	7,027	1,810	5,217	69,446	1,153	70,599	1,001	499	502	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1	7,057	1,748	5,309	69,902	1,161	71,063	1,000	479	521	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	/2	7,121	1,789	5,332	72,381	1,216	73,597	984	467	517	
74 7,386 1,752 5,634 76,325 1,349 77,674 876 391 48 75 7,341 1,715 5,626 75,298 1,280 76,578 864 386 47 76 7,326 1,637 5,689 78,041 1,318 79,359 800 342 46 77 7,575 1,570 6,005 80,804 1,330 82,134 835 343 46 78 7,912 1,607 6,305 84,253 1,418 85,671 788 316 47	73	7,202	1,776	5,426	74,995	1,254	76,249	959	423	536	
75 7,341 1,715 5,626 75,298 1,280 76,578 864 386 47 76 7,326 1,637 5,689 78,041 1,318 79,359 800 342 46 77 7,575 1,570 6,005 80,804 1,330 82,134 835 343 49 78 7,912 1,607 6,305 84,253 1,418 85,671 788 316 47	74	7,386	1,752	5,634	76,325	1,349	77,674	876	391	485	
76 7,326 1,637 5,689 78,041 1,318 79,359 800 342 45 77 7,575 1,570 6,005 80,804 1,330 82,134 835 343 46 78 7,912 1,607 6,305 84,253 1,418 85,671 788 316 47	75	7.341	1.715	5.626	75.298	1.280	76.578	864	386	478	
77 7,575 1,570 6,005 80,804 1,330 82,134 835 343 42 78 7,912 1,607 6,305 84,253 1,418 85,671 788 316 47	76	7.326	1.637	5.689	78.041	1.318	79.359	800	342	458	
8 7,912 1,607 6,305 84,253 1,418 85,671 788 316 47	7	7 575	1.570	6.005	80 804	1,330	82 134	835	343	492	
1,410 00,011 /00 310 4/	8	7 912	1 607	6 305	84 253	1 418	85 671	788	316	432	
A R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R	70	8 222	1,580	6,652	96 540	1 412	97.052	750	204	4/2	

While many factors may have contributed to this development, apparently during the last decade younger workers placed a higher premium on being able to work for themselves than did prior generations.

Sex. Besides being disproportionately older, the selfemployed have also been overrepresented by men. In 1979, 75 percent of self-employed workers were men, compared with less than 60 percent of wage and salary workers. Nevertheless, the number of self-employed women has been growing at a faster pace than either self-employed men or wage and salary women. Since 1972, female self-employment has increased by 43 percent or five times faster than male self-employment, and considerably faster than the 31 percent increase in the number of women employed as wage and salary workers. Thus, the female share of self-employment grew from about one-fifth in 1972 to one-quarter in 1979.

Race. Minorities have also been less likely to be selfemployed, but, unlike younger persons and women, the proportion of self-employed who are members of minorities has not increased. Although the number of black self-employed has grown since 1972 by nearly 60,000 to 450,000, their proportion of all self-employed workers has remained constant at about 5.5 percent.³ The number of self-employed blacks grew by only 14 percent from 1972 to 1979, just half the rate of increase incurred among black wage and salary workers.

Not only have blacks continued to be underrepresented among the self-employed, but those who have managed to start their own enterprises are most likely to be in service and blue-collar occupations, while white self-employed workers are more likely to be in the relatively high paying white-collar occupations. The following tabulation shows the occupational distribution (in percent) for self-employed workers in 1979, by race:

	Whites	Blacks
White-collar	48.7	40.7
Blue-collar	24.9	29.6
Service	8.7	21.2
Farm	17.6	8.4

Nonagricultural industry trends

From 1949 to 1972, the percent of workers in nonagricultural industries who were self-employed fell from 12.3 to 6.8. But since 1972, the trend reversed and in 1979 the proportion was 7.1 percent. During the entire 30-year period, however, the self-employed sector of agricultural employment has never stopped falling; it had been more than 60 percent in 1948 and was less than half in 1979. Table 2. Self-employed and wage and salary workers, by occupation and industry, 1972–79, Annual averages [In percent]

Characteristics	Self-en	nployed	Wage and sala workers		
	1972	1979	1972	1979	
Total employed	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
Occupation					
White-collar	46.8	48.3	48.1	51.2	
Professional and technical workers Managers and administrators,	13.6	14.3	14.2	15.8	
except farm	23.8	21.3	8.6	9.9	
Clerical workers	7.8	9.9	6.4	6.0	
Sales workers	1.6	2.8	18.9	19.5	
Blue-collar	20.7	25.2	36.7	34.0	
Graft and kindred workers	13.2	16.4	13.4	13.8	
Operatives, except transport	2.6	3.0	13.8	12.1	
I ransport equipment operatives	2.6	3.1	4.1	3.8	
Sonico workers	2.3	2.7	120	127	
Farm workers	23.4	17.2	1.3	1.2	
Industry					
Goods-producing	18.7	22.3	34.1	32.0	
Mining	0.2	0.3	0.8	1.0	
Construction	13.9	17.0	6.2	5.9	
Manufacturing	4.5	5.0	27.1	25.2	
Service-producing	81.3	77.7	65.9	68.0	
Transportation and public utilities	3.8	4.1	7.3	7.1	
Trade	31.5	27.3	20.0	20.4	
Wholesale	4.0	4.1	3.9	4.0	
Hetall	27.5	23.2	16.1	16.4	
Finance, insurance, and real estate	4.9	0.6	5.6	6.1	
Public administration	41.2	39.8	29.0	28.5	
Public administration	0	0	6.1	5.8	

Traditionally, the self-employed in the nonagricultural sector have been concentrated in the serviceproducing industries. The creation of a business in goods-producing industries-mining, construction, or manufacturing-usually requires large amounts of capital. But between 1972 and 1979, the percentage of the self-employed in this sector grew faster, from 18.7 to 22.3. (See table 2.) The construction industry led the increase, as self-employment accounted for more than one-third of the total employment gain in this industry between 1972 and 1979. In manufacturing, although the numbers grew substantially, self-employment continued to be relatively uncommon; only 1 of 20 self-employed workers was in manufacturing, compared with 1 of 4 wage and salary workers. The service-producing industries also witnessed substantial increases in the numbers of self-employed, as finance, insurance, and real estate, and miscellaneous services registered the strongest gains. But the growth rate in the service-producing sector was less than half that of the goods-producing sector mainly because of the slow growth in the number of retailers.

The relatively slow growth of self-employment in the service sector is in sharp contrast to the situation in the economy as a whole, because among wage and salary workers the service-producing sector has grown twice as fast as the goods-producing sector. But, interestingly, these differential growth patterns have combined to bring the industry employment patterns of self-employed workers closer to the patterns of all employed workers.

Occupational trends

Clearly some occupations lend themselves more easily to self-employment than others. For a small number of jobs, self-employment accounted (in 1979) for more than half of all workers. These categories included chiropractors, dentists, optometrists, podiatrists, authors, auctioneers, hucksters and peddlers, paperhangers, piano and organ tuners, shoe repairers, fishers, farmers, midwives, barbers, boarding and lodging housekeepers, and bootblacks.

During the period studied, the major development in occupational employment of the self-employed was the decrease in farm occupations. In 1972, 1 of 4 selfemployed persons worked at a farm-related job; in 1979, 1 of 5. Partly as a result, the proportions of selfemployed in white-collar, blue-collar, and service jobs all increased. Related to the more dramatic increase in the goods-producing industries was the sizable increase in blue-collar self-employment. The increase in the actual numbers of self-employed in the white-collar sector was of comparable size, but the percentage increase was less than half that of the blue-collar sector. The growth in the service occupations was even less marked. In the blue-collar occupations, craftworkers represented twothirds of the increase. In particular, carpenters and other construction workers posted self-employment gains of about 120,000 and 130,000, respectively. The sales occupations led the increases in white-collar jobs, with a large jump in the number of self-employed persons in real estate sales and hucksters and peddlers. The growth in real estate and construction reflected the continued strength of the residential housing market, as the baby-boom generation added to the demand for homes. Self-employment has traditionally played an important role in residential construction.4

In general, these shifts in industry and occupational employment have caused self-employed workers to more closely parallel the patterns of their wage and salary counterparts. This tendency was especially true for selfemployed men, as their employment shifts were much more dramatic than those of self-employed women in both industry and occupational categories. Though their numbers increased sharply, self-employed women continued to be concentrated in the trade and service industries and white-collar and service occupations.

Hours and earnings

Hours. Another distinguishing characteristic of selfemployed workers was the many hours which they typically put into a workweek, but this dropped dramatically in recent years. In 1965, the average workweek for a self-employed worker in a nonagricultural industry was 46.8 hours; by 1979, that figure had fallen 4.9 hours to 41.9. Over the same period, the workweek of a nonagricultural wage and salary employee fell by only 1.1 hours to 38.4. This average weekly hours gap narrowed faster since 1972, as the self-employed workweek fell 5.2 percent, while the wage and salary workweek decreased by only 0.8 percent. Following are hours worked per week by self-employed and wage and salary nonagricultural workers:

	1965	1972	1979
Self-employed	46.8	44.2	41.9
Wage and salary	39.5	38.7	38.4

In part, this reduced workweek for the self-employed may reflect the influx of women and younger workers, both working relatively fewer hours as a general rule.

Earnings. But as their workweek has drawn nearer to that of wage and salary employees, the earnings of the self-employed continued to lose ground in comparison with the earnings of other workers. For example, between 1972 and 1978, the median annual earnings of both male self-employed workers and private wage and salary workers rose by about 50 percent to \$10,240 and \$12,016, respectively. Self-employed women on the other hand, actually lost ground as their earnings grew at a rate less than half that of other women employed in the private sector; in 1978, they earned \$1,878 compared with \$5,047 for their wage and salary counterparts. Meanwhile, mean earnings for self-employed men stayed well above that for wage and salary men, indicating that self-employed earnings are substantially skewed at the upper end of the earnings distribution. Apparently a number of self-employed really "strike it rich," while the majority continue to earn less than the average wage and salary worker.

Reflecting the differential impact of the recession, the earnings gap between self-employed and wage and salary workers grew during 1974–75. The self-employed do not experience the high unemployment rates of wage and salary workers, but their earnings are tied more closely to the success of their business. Rather than give up their businesses and join the ranks of the unemployed, the self-employed are more likely to continue working during a recession but take home less income.⁵

In general, self-employed men and women have more income flexibility than wage and salary workers. By adjusting their inventories, for example, they can use their business as a sort of personal savings account, by selling off or building up stock. Also, earnings of the selfemployed tend to be understated because they exclude: the income implicit to the farmer who feeds his family with his own crops, the store owner who consumes his own stock, the businessman who saves money and time by working at home or using the business car for personal travel, and other nonmonetary income.

Incorporated businesses

Because of a change in the Current Population Survey in 1967, it became possible to identify those workers who had reported themselves as self-employed but had incorporated their businesses. These individuals were reclassified as wage and salary workers because a corporation pays all of its employees a salary, including the owner. The resultant number of self-employed persons was down by 850,000 in January 1967. Since then, the "incorporated self-employed" have been officially tallied with the wage and salary workers; for the purpose of this article, however, separate counts for March 1976 and 1979 were made and analyzed. (Another group which cannot be separated and studied are those incorporated self-employed who report themselves initially as wage and salary employees. There is no way to determine how large this group might be or to know whether it has grown larger or smaller over time.)

From March 1976 to 1979, the number of persons who classified themselves as self-employed but who were incorporated rose from 1.5 million to 2.1 million, an increase of around 40 percent. This jump was about four times the comparable increase for the remaining wage and salary workers or the officially self-employed workers.

The move toward incorporation is a function of many complex factors. A worker will usually incorporate his business for traditional benefits of the corporate structure, including limited liability, tax considerations, and the increased opportunity to raise capital through the sale of stocks and bonds.⁶ But regardless of the underlying reasons, the overall increase in self-employment has been even greater than indicated by the basic statistics. And, for the purposes of labor force analysis, these workers are akin to the self-employed.

Demography. Even more so than most self-employed workers, the owners of incorporated businesses are likely to be older than the average worker. As the following tabulation shows, in March 1979, more than half of the incorporated self-employed were 45 years or older, compared with less than a third of all workers:

	16-24	25-44	45 years
	years	years	or older
Incorporated self-			
employed	2.0	44.9	53.2
All workers	21.9	45.8	32.2

These individuals are also more likely to be men: whereas 75 percent of the officially self-employed were men in March 1979, 84 percent of the incorporated self-employed were men. This figure is down from 90 percent in March 1976 but, nevertheless, indicates the degree to which men dominate the ranks of the incorporated self-employed. Therefore, in the areas of age and sex, the incorporated self-employed exhibit tendencies which differ from overall worker norms in the same way that other self-employed workers do—only more so.

Industrial and occupational employment. With respect to type of industry, these workers fall somewhere between their unincorporated counterparts and private wage and salary workers. Their employment distribution for March 1979 shows that they are more likely to be in the goods-producing industries than other self-employed workers. A low percentage in the miscellaneous services sector more than offsets a high percentage in the wholesale trade sector, and reduces the proportion in service-producing industries. Therefore, their employment distribution comes much closer to mirroring wage and salary patterns than does the distribution of all other self-employed workers.

But with regard to occupational employment, these incorporated entrepreneurs resemble no other class of worker. In March 1979, more than 80 percent were white-collar workers, compared with 50 percent of the other self-employed and 45 percent of private wage and salary employees. More than 50 percent were managers and administrators by occupation, which accounted for the sizable differentials between them and other workers. Given the nature of the corporation, this high percentage of managers and administrators is expected. By the time a single business is large enough to benefit from incorporation, the proprietors are usually devoting a great deal of their attention to managing it. For instance, these individuals might be spending most of their time running a construction firm rather than doing carpentry work, or managing a drugstore rather than performing pharmaceutical tasks. Although they account for only 2.3 percent of all workers, they represent almost 12 percent of all private managers and administrators; that proportion rises to 30 percent when the unincorporated self-employed managers and administrators are included.

Earnings. With regard to earnings, the incorporated self-employed are unique. Their median earnings of \$20,187 for March 1979 was more than double that for either private wage and salary or other self-employed workers. This is linked to the corporate tax shelter—it is advantageous only for self-employed persons in the highest income brackets to incorporate and thus their total income—salary and dividends—was much higher.⁷ These earnings figures also help to explain the occupational mix of this group of workers, which is skewed towards the typically high earning, white-collar occupations such as doctors, lawyers, and managers. In turn, the earnings and occupational characteristics help explain the age and sex profile of these jobholders.

As noted, incorporation is a largely technical distinction; instead of working for themselves, these people work for their corporation. Therefore, their numbers, and especially their recent growth, must be considered when discussing the status of the self-employed. Their high earnings moderate the earnings gap between wage and salary earners and self-employed workers. This more inclusive view of self-employment might help to explain its recent strength.

Conclusion

Overall, the self-employed exhibited strong labor market growth during 1972-79. Not only have their numbers experienced sustained growth for the first time since World War II, but they are developing cyclical, industrial, and occupational patterns, as well as sex, age, and workweek characteristics that are much more similar to the rest of the work force. Only their racial and earnings distribution continue to diverge from those of wage and salary workers. But it is interesting to note that the two major developments in self-employment have apparently occurred simultaneously; as the appeal of self-employment has intensified, the differences between self-employed workers and the remainder of jobholders have waned.

-FOOTNOTES -

¹ The data in this article were derived from the Current Population Survey. This is a monthly survey of 65,000 households conducted by the Bureau of the Census for the Bureau of Labor Statistics.

² The tendency for the self-employed sector to react countercyclically was discussed by John E. Bregger, "Self-Employment in the United States, 1948–62," *Monthly Labor Review*, January 1963, pp. 37–43, and his observation was updated by Robert Ray, "A report on self-employed Americans in 1973," *Monthly Labor Review*, January 1975. Data from the most recent recession support the theory that self-employment is now reacting cyclically. From a high of 8,550,000 in February 1980, their number fell to 8,260,000 by May.

'The term "black" refers to all persons in the survey other than white. In addition to blacks, the group includes American Indians, Alaskan Natives, Asians, and Pacific Islanders.

⁴ Joseph D. Phillips, The Self-employed in the United States (Urbana, Illinois, University of Illinois, 1962), p. 29.

³ The unemployment rate for wage and salary workers was 8.5 percent in 1975 compared to only 2.0 percent for self-employed workers. For a discussion of this type of "concealed unemployment" see Phillips, *Self-employed*, p. 53-6, or Srully Blotnick "Maintaining Appearances," *Forbes*, May 26, 1980, pp. 152-53.

⁶ Another advantage of the corporation is the increased allowance for pension fund tax shelters. Steven S. Anreder felt that "of the many desirable features of incorporation, the pension advantage is the most outstanding." For a general discussion of this topic, see Anreder, "Retirement Dollars for the Self-employed," (New York, The Dun & Bradstreet Business Library, 1972). The Keogh plan, which provides retirement deductions for the self-employed, was revised in 1974 to allow a yearly write-off of \$7,500 or 15 percent of earned yearly income, whichever is less. However, the corporation can establish a more comprehensive pension program.

⁷ For a comprehensive study of the often complicated tax status of self-employed workers, see *Tax Treatment of Employees and Self-employed persons by the Internal Revenue Service*—*Problems and Solutions: Report to the Joint Committee on Taxation, Congress of the United States by the Comptroller General of the United States* (Washington, U.S. General Accounting Office, 1977).

U.S. labor turnover: analysis of a new measure

New method of determining labor turnover, which includes fiirms from all sectors, shows much more hiring activity than is shown by the Bureau of Labor Statistics survey, which is derived mainly from manufacturing; however, the two series' separation rates are not as far apart

MALCOLM S. COHEN AND ARTHUR R. SCHWARTZ

Labor market analysts, employment counselors, and employment security administrators have long been handicapped by the lack of broad-based labor turnover data. Until recently, the only labor turnover data available have been those generated by the Bureau of Labor Statistics' Labor Turnover Survey, which provides monthly estimates of labor turnover for manufacturing and a few selected nonmanufacturing industries. Although useful as an economic indicator, the data show turnover primarily in only one sector of the economy manufacturing.

Now, a new methodology obtains data from manufacturing and nonmanufacturing firms of all sizes without placing additional reporting requirements upon them.¹ This method generates, for the first time, information about the volume of accessions, new hires, and separations in both the manufacturing and nonmanufacturing sectors of the economy.

This article presents quarterly new-hire and separation rates derived from the new methodology. Surprisingly, these data suggest that the new-hire rate for nonagricultural firms in the second quarter of 1974 was 25 percent. On an annual basis, this would mean that the average firm hired almost as many workers as its average employment that year. The estimates then are contrasted with BLS and other turnover data, and no major unexplained discrepancies were found. However, it was not possible to obtain accurate estimates of nonsampling errors. For this reason, the estimates contained in this article should be viewed as preliminary.

How data are obtained

This study uses employee earnings information submitted by employers to the Social Security Administration. Until 1978, employers were required to submit quarterly earnings information for each individual employee to the Social Security Administration.² To derive turnover data, a special tabulation of a 1-percent sample of these records was prepared in cooperation with the research and data processing divisions of the New York State Employment Service. At the time of preparation, the most current data available were for 1974. From this tabulation, new-hire rates were derived for all 50 States and the District of Columbia for the second quarter of 1974. Separation rates were computed for the first quarter of 1974.

Because there is an income ceiling (\$13,200 in 1974) for social security reporting, some employees may "dis-

Malcolm S. Cohen is Acting Codirector of Research and Arthur R. Schwartz is an Assistant Research Scientist, Institute of Labor and Industrial Relations, The University of Michigan. The research for this paper was funded by the Employment and Training Administration, U.S. Department of Labor. The authors solely are responsible for the contents.

appear" from the payroll when in fact they are still working. Increasingly, in the latter quarters of the year, these workers may be inadvertently counted as separations and may be identified mistakenly as accessions in the following first quarter. Therefore, accession data were calculated for the second quarter and separation data, for the first quarter.

Turnover measures were computed by comparing the social security numbers of employees working for a given en employer in a given quarter with the social security numbers reported by the same employer in prior quarters. Because these new measures cannot assign turnover to a particular month or distinguish as many types of turnover transactions as the BLS survey, their definitions differ from those used by BLS.³ Following are terms used in deriving turnover rates for this article, along with those used by the BLS:⁴

Social Security data

- Accession The employee worked for an employer in a given quarter but did not work for that employer in the previous quarter.
- Separation The employee worked for an employer in a given quarter but did not work for that employer in the previous quarter.
- New hires The employee worked for an employer in a given quarter but did not work for that employer in any of the previous five quarters.

BLS data

- Accession The total number of additions to the employment roll in a particular month.
- Separation Terminations of employees in the calender month.
- New hires An addition to the employment roll resulting from (1) a person who has never worked in the establishment, or (2) a former employee who was not recalled by the employer.

An arbitrary cutoff of five quarters is used to separate a new hire from a recall. The longer the period used to define the new hire, the more costly the process of computing the series and the shorter the time series available. For example, if unemployment insurance wage data are available for 5 years and if a new hire is defined as "not working for that employer in any of the 20 previous quarters," no new hires could be derived until data from the 21st quarter became available. Then, only one quarter of new-hire data could be obtained. Using too few quarters will result in misclassification as new hires, persons who had worked for the same employer previously. Going back 5 quarters offers the advantage of eliminating as new hires seasonal employees who work for the same employer one season a year and

10

gitized for FRASER ps://fraser.stlouisfed.org deral Reserve Bank of St. Louis persons who worked at all in the previous year.5

The employer account numbers in the data sources permit association of the turnover measures with employer characteristics such as geographic location, industry, and size-of-firm class. New-hire data may also be analyzed by number of quarters of resulting employment and quarterly earnings levels.

Uses of the data. Some of the uses contemplated for these data are: (1) new hire data may be a potential factor in allocation of Federal funds to the U.S. Employment Service; (2) the data can be used by job developers to determine the firms with the greatest potential for applicant hires. Seasonal hiring patterns and trends projected from these data can assist Employment Service and Comprehensive Employment and Training Act prime sponsor planning efforts; (3) the data may assist in evaluation of social programs. The Employment Service, for example, has been criticized for placing most of its applicants in jobs lasting less than 180 days. However, preliminary data from the Employment Service suggest that 60 to 70 percent of all new hires' job tenure is of relatively short duration, that is, less than 9 months;⁶ (4) the data can provide information for the internal management of U.S. Department of Labor employment programs. It may assist in determining the location of Employment Service local offices, setting placement goals, measuring performance, and validating placement information; and (5) a number of hypotheses pertaining to theories such as segmentation of labor markets, the relationship between low wage levels and turnover, and turnover patterns over the business cycle can be tested with these data.

Turnover rates, by region and industry

Table 1 shows new-hire rates for the second quarter of 1974 by region for all major industry divisions, except agriculture.⁷ The quarterly new-hire rate for the entire nonagricultural private sector (25.0 percent) is much higher than that for manufacturing (16.2 percent). Across the Nation, the highest nonagricultural quarterly new-hire rates were in construction, a highly volatile sector subject to large seasonal and cyclical changes in employment and, therefore, to excessive labor turnover. Retail trade and services also had high turnover rates, which may be attributed to the preponderance of small firms and to the relative large numbers of easy-entry, low-skill jobs in these industries.⁸ The transportation and public utilities sector consistently showed the lowest new-hire rates.

The South, the Southwest, the Mountain States, and the Northwest (regions IV, VI, VIII and X) had the highest new-hire rates in the second quarter of 1974. The Midwest and New England (regions V and I), hardest hit by the Arab oil embargo and recession, had

Region ¹	Total nonagricultural	Mining	Construction	Manufacturing	Transportation, public utilities	Wholesale trade	Retail trade	Finance	Services
TOTAL	25.0	22.8	50.5	16.2	15.3	18.8	33.8	18.5	27.7
Region I Region II Region II Region IV Region VI Region VII Region VII Region VII Region VII Region XII	20.4 22.4 21.3 28.7 20.6 33.5 25.7 34.1 27.7 30.4	(2) (2) 13.1 24.4 14.6 30.8 (2) (2) (2) 18.3 (2)	36.4 42.5 44.8 55.2 45.0 64.5 52.7 63.0 47.2 63.0	14.9 14.5 13.1 19.3 12.8 23.7 17.6 24.0 19.9 21.7	10.5 12.4 14.5 15.2 23.6 16.6 18.3 15.1 20.7	15.2 15.3 16.6 21.9 16.2 25.5 20.1 25.2 20.5 18.1	29.8 28.3 28.9 38.1 31.5 39.6 34.0 39.4 36.8 40.6	12.8 22.5 18.9 20.1 15.3 20.7 16.1 21.7 17.7 18.5	23.5 24.4 22.7 31.5 25.0 34.3 27.5 35.7 32.3 32.6
Hegion VIII Region IX Region X ¹ The regions a Island, Vermont; F bia, Maryland, Pe Kentucky, Mississ	34.1 27.7 30.4 are: Region I — Conne Region II — New Jerse ennsylvania, Virginia, s ippi, North Carolina, S	(*) 18.3 (²) ecticut, Maine, M ey, New York; Re West Virginia; R South Carolina, T	47.2 63.0 assachusetts, New H gjon III – Delaware, I egjon IV – Alabama, ennessee; Region V	ampshire, Rhode District of Colum- Florida, Georgia, — Illinois, Indiana,	North Dakota, So Region X — Alask ² Employment r	20.5 18.1 uth Dakota, Utah, W a, Idaho, Oregon, Wa	36.8 40.6 yoming: Region IX ashington.	17.7 18.5 — Arizona, Californi	a, Hawaii,

Table 1. New-hire rates in selected industries by regions, second quarter 1974 [Rates per 100 employees]

the lowest rates. The rapid growth of the population in the "sun belt" States (which include region VIII and IX) may also have contributed to the differences between their new-hire rates and those of the Midwest and East. Even manufacturing's new-hire rates were higher in the Western States because of the lighter nature of their industry and the development of Western energy reserves, which led to an expansion of the industry and, hence, more new hires.

Table 2 presents analogous first-quarter 1974 separation rates. Manufacturing rates were again below those for the entire nonagricultural private sector, but by a small margin. Construction, retail trade, and services continued to have the highest rates; transportation and public utilities, the lowest. Regional differences were less pronounced than for new hires. The South, Southwest and West (regions IV, VI and IX) had higher rates than the Midwest and New England (regions V and I).

Tables 3 and 4 present the industries with the highest

and lowest new-hire and separation rates nationally. Many of the industries with very high new-hire rates also have high separation rates. The same pattern is repeated among industries with particularly low new-hire rates. Electric, gas, and sanitary services, for example, had the lowest separation rate. The low turnover industries tend to be in the manufacturing, transportation, and public utility sectors, while the high turnover industies are in services, retail trade, and construction.

Standard errors low

The reliability of these results can be assessed in two ways, sampling errors and nonsampling errors. Sampling errors are illustrated by computation of standard errors. Nonsampling errors are assessed by comparison of the results with other data.

The standard errors of the data in tables 1 and 2 are quite small. Among the regional totals, region VIII has the highest standard error, 0.39, which gives a coeffi-

Region ¹	Total nonagricultural	Mining	Construction	Manufacturing	Transportation, public utilities	Wholesale trade	Retail trade	Finance	Services
TOTAL	22.8	18.1	42.4	16.2	15.3	18.1	31.3	16.2	25.4
Region L	18.8	(2)	29.7	14.5	11.9	15.0	27.5	12.4	21.0
Region II	21.1	(2)	35.1	16.5	15.3	18.2	28.3	16.6	23.2
Region III	18.6	9.5	33.7	12.5	14.3	15.6	26.2	13.1	20.8
Region IV	27.3	18.2	53.2	20.0	16.4	22.9	36.0	19.7	28.7
Region V	18.9	9.7	33.4	13.4	12.2	14.8	28.4	14.3	22.8
Region VI	29.6	26.4	56.4	21.8	20.5	22.5	37.6	18.2	29.6
Region VII	21.3	(2)	32.6	16.0	15.5	15.1	28.8	15.2	23.8
Region VIII	26.1	(2)	37.7	18.8	14.5	20.4	32.8	19.8	26.5
Region IX	27.0	13.2	48.3	18.7	13.6	19.5	35.9	17.5	32.6
Region X	22.4	(2)	37.7	16.4	15.8	15.3	30.7	16.1	25.0

¹ The regions are: Region I — Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, Rhode Island, Vermont; Region II — New Jersey, New York; Region III — Delaware, District of Columbia, Maryland, Pennsylvania, Virginia; West Virginia; Region IV — Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Mississippi, North Carolina, South Carolina, Tennessee; Region V — Illinois, Indiana, Michigan, Minnesota, Ohio, Wisconsin; Region VI — Arkansas, Louisiana, New Mexico, Oklahoma, Texas; Region VII — Iowa, Kansas, Missouri, Nebraska; Region VIII — Colorado, Montana, North Dakota, South Dakota, Utah, Wyoming; Region IX — Arizona, California, Hawaii, Nevada; Region X — Alaska, Idaho, Oregon, Washington.

² Employment represented less than 50,000.

 $\mathsf{Source:}$ Institute of Labor and Industrial Relations, University of Michigan, from 1-percent social security tabulation.

cient of variation (standard error adjusted for a rate per 100 employees divided by the turnover rate) of 1.1 percent. No major division in any region has a standard error greater than 5.0 and most are below 1.0. The largest coefficients of variation are in mining (the only regional cells with employment below 100,000), with only region V mining having a coefficient greater than 10 percent. (The standard errors for the State totals are also relatively low.)

The standard errors for the data in tables 3 and 4 are again generally low. For eating and drinking places, the standard error is 0.3, so one can be 95 percent certain the actual new-hire rate falls between 58.0 and 59.2. The coefficient of variation is 0.5 percent.

Three comparisons are made to assess nonsampling errors: BLS turnover data, wage records data from specific States, and job tenure data from the Current Population Survey. Because the BLS turnover data is the most widely used, a comparison of BLS and social security new-hire rates helped in the analysis of the new series. This study's new-hire rates for manufacturing are approximately 40 percent higher than BLS' published estimates for the second quarter of 1974. A number of factors contribute to this differential.

The first factor is the difference in the denominators of the turnover rates. This study's employment measure, a beginning-of-quarter count of social security wage items minus accessions, is a stock. The BLS survey denominator, payroll period employment, is a stock plus partial flow. Thus, the nature of this study's denominator leads to a small overstatement of rates relative to those of the BLS survey.

The second source of difference is the tendency of this new methodology to register errors in input, such as keypunch errors, transposition or reporting errors, and recording of employers switching firm identification numbers as new hires.

The greatest source of the variance between the two series is the nature of the BLS survey sample. A voluntary sample directed at large establishments, it fails to provide an adequate reflection of small firms, whose turnover rates are considerably higher. BLS estimates that its sample contains only 1.8 percent of total employment in firms of nine employees or fewer, 7.5 percent of total employment in firms with fewer than 19 employees, but 72.5 percent of the total employment of firms with more than 1,000 employees.

Firm size is important as a determinant of the turnover rate. For example, for all firms in manufacturing, the social security new-hire rate was 5.4 percent a month (or 16.2 percent a quarter) in the second quarter of 1974. The rate for firms with more than 500 employees was 3.7 percent; for firms with more than 1,000 employees, 2.9 percent. Therefore, the BLS turnover rate of 3.8 percent appears to best reflect the employment

12

changes of firms with more than 500 employees.

Because there is less variability in separation rates by size of firm, the social security and BLS separation rates for 1974 were much closer together, 5.4 percent and 4.4 percent, respectively. Thus, the structure of the BLS sample results in less downward bias in its separation data.

Estimates of new-hire rates were made from unemployment insurance wage records for three States in the second quarter of 1974. Following are these rates, along with those estimated from social security records:

	Unemployment insurance	Social security
California	31.0	27.6
Idaho	38.5	33.3
Pennsylvania	20.8	17.4

The rates estimated from unemployment insurance are slightly higher because they are based on four quarters of data rather than five. (In California, there were only 1 percent more new hires recorded when four quarters of data were used.⁹) The major reason for differences can be attributed to coverage: the social security administrative records include only persons earning

Industry	New-hire rate (per 100 employees)	Employment (in thousands
Low turnover		
Communication	6.2	1,171
Electric, gas, and sanitary services	7.0	725
Transportation by air	8.0	313
Transportation equipment	10.0	1.883
Banking	10.0	1,176
Security and commodity brokers	10.2	151
Insurance	10.6	1.060
Primary metal industries	10.7	1,270
Chemicals and allied products	10.8	1 022
Petroleum refining and related industries	12.3	171
Educational services	12.3	877
Paper and allied products	12.6	639
Electrical machinery and equipment	131	1 873
Private households	13.4	503
Measuring instruments	13.5	595
Insurance agents brokers and service	13.5	207
Rituminous and coal and lignite mining	14.5	162
Credit agencies other than banks	14.5	103
Machinery, except electrical	14.5	2,156
High turnover		
Oil and gas extraction	33.0	241
Automotive dealers and service stations	33.2	1,477
Automotive repair, services, and garages	34.0	346
Water transportation	35.4	232
Real estate	37.8	743
Construction — special trade contractors	45.3	1,956
Business services	45.6	1,864
Notion pictures	45.8	184
Construction, not building construction	55.7	764
Hotels and other lodging places	55.9	726
Amusement and recreation services	56.5	488
Building construction — general contractors	57.1	996
Eating and drinking places	58.6	2,538

Industry	Separation rate (per 100 employees)	Employment (in thousands
Low turnover		
Electric das and sanitary services	5.1	725
Communication	6.1	1.171
Fransportation by air	7.8	313
Petroleum refining and related industries	7.9	171
Bituminous coal and lignite mining	8.7	163
Ranking	9.0	1,176
Primary metals industry	9.8	1,270
nsurance	10.3	1,060
Chemicals and allied products	11.1	1,022
Paper and allied products	11.3	639
ransportation equipment	11.6	1,883
leasuring instruments	12.1	525
Educational services	12.7	877
Aachinery except electrical	13.1	2,156
Electrical machinery and equipment	13.4	1,873
Credit agencies other than banks	14.4	401
High turnover		
General merchandise stores	30.3	1,986
Apparel and accessory stores	30.3	786
Real estate	32.1	743
Automotive repairs, services, and garages	36.0	346
musement and recreation services	37.9	488
Vater transportation	38.0	232
Construction, not building construction	39.9	764
Construction — special trade contractors	40.1	1,956
Hotels and other lodging places	40.5	726
Eating and drinking places	44.7	2,538
Business services	46.1	1,864
Building construction	48.5	996
Motion pictures	54.8	184

Table 4 Separation rates in selected industries with high

at least \$50 in a given quarter; the unemployment insur-

¹ This methodology has been developed under the auspices of the Employment Service Potential project of the United States Employment Service and cooperating State Employment Security Agencies.

² Beginning in 1978, employers have submitted annual reports.

³ The BLS survey can register in-State, interestablishment transfers for multi-establishment firms, rehire and layoff of workers whose layoffs are of very short duration (less than one quarter), and the involuntary and voluntary components of separations—all turnover transactions which these new measures cannot distinguish.

⁴ For a complete listing of the measures which may be generated with this new methodology, see Malcolm S. Cohen, *ESP: A New Source of Labor Market Information* (Ann Arbor, Institute of Labor and Industrial Relations, University of Michigan, Wayne State University, 1978); and Glenn Siebert, *Employment Service Potential: Indicators of Labor Market Activity* (Sacramento, Calif., Employment Development Department, 1977).

'The California Employment Development tests of its data indicated that the percentage of accessions classified as recalls increases only ance wage records include all wage earners.

These comparisons are for transaction-based turnover systems. Another way to view turnover is to examine the median job tenure of the working population, or a person-based system. For example, in January 1978, the median job tenure was 3.6 years;¹⁰ 28.2 percent of the working population had been at their current job 1 year or less. However, it is possible for an individual to account for many new hires. For example, if a person has several new jobs in a year, that person would be counted once as having his or her current job less than a year, in a person-based system, but each new job would be counted as a new hire in a transaction-based system.

Both systems can be useful in analyzing turnover. The transaction-based system measures the number of jobs that are new hires, while the person-based system measures an individual's time on a given job as well as the number of persons hired in a period.

THESE NEW MEASURES of labor turnover show more hiring activity than do BLS' manufacturing estimates. They also indicate that there is dramatic variation in new-hire rates across industries. Industries such as communications and public ultilities have new-hire rates of less than 8 percent per quarter, while industries such as building construction and eating and drinking places have rates exceeding 57 percent per quarter. As more data are obtained from wage records and time series estimates can be made, additional analysis of labor turnover patterns will be possible.

marginally if seven quarters are used to define a recall rather than four. See Siebert, *Employment Service*, pp. 48-49.

⁶ See Cohen, ESP, p. 20, and Philip Hardiman and Marged Sugarman, Employment Service Potential: The Dimensions of Labor Turnover (Sacramento, Calif., Employment Development Department, 1979), p. 5.

⁷ Agriculture is omitted because of problems in social security coverage of this sector.

⁸ Firm size, along with industry and time period, has been suggested as a determinant of labor turnover. California's Employment Development tests demonstrated an inverse correlation between firm size and turnover rates, with small firms having higher rates than larger firms when industry is held constant. See Siebert, *Employment Service*, pp. 56–57.

Siebert, Employment Service, pp. 48-49.

FOOTNOTES -

¹⁰ "Average Job Tenure Declines," U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics, News, Apr. 23, 1979.

The retirement decision: a question of opportunity?

Age discrimination laws now protect workers through age 70, but most choose to retire sooner; lack of acceptable job options keeps many out of the labor force who desire work

PHILIP L. RONES

The "graying of America" could have a more profound impact on our lives than any demographic phenomenon since the end of the great waves of immigration. Its influence will be felt in areas as diverse as politics and consumerism, health care and education, family structure and taxation. Researchers, policymakers, and planners interested in these and other fields have begun to study the potential impact of the aging population, to avoid a 21st century crisis when the "baby boom" generation reaches retirement age. The controversies concerning two key subjects, the future solvency of social security and pension funds, and the recent changes in Federal laws affecting mandatory retirement, have pushed labor force issues on aging into the forefront of debate.

Retirement trends are not easily identified as either positive or negative. Among some observers there is concern that older people in the job market frustrate career aspirations of younger workers or are too costly for employers. At the same time, however, there is concern about the long-term effects of too few older workers—that the number of retirees will drain the economy and place unfair burdens on the young. Because of the critical importance of the decision to retire, many organizations, both private and public, have begun to monitor closely the labor force characteristics of persons near, at, or beyond normal retirement age.

Three issues

This report attempts to clarify several retirement developments, focusing on three issues:

- The extent to which labor force participation rates, such as those available from the Current Population Survey (CPS), can be used to assess the impact of changes affecting the decision to retire;
- The anticipated impact on employment among the elderly, of the 1978 Amendments to the Age Discrimination in Employment Act (ADEA), which raised the allowable age for mandatory retirement, in most cases, from 65 to 70;
- The potential labor supply among current retirees, or to what extent does this group want to work?

From 1950 through the mid-1970's, labor force activity among older persons in the United States declined markedly. (See table 1.) Two recent events have led many observers to anticipate a reversal of this longterm trend: the passage of the 1978 ADEA Amendments, prohibiting forced retirement prior to age 70,¹ and the unusually high rate of inflation with its negative effect on the anticipated value of retirement income.

However, it is difficult to isolate the impact of these or other factors, because changes in labor force participation rates are the end result of complex interaction by various forces. Sometimes a single force dominates, leading to a clear trend. For example, the rapid growth of retirement income from private and public pensions and from disability benefits contributed to dramatic de-

14

Philip L. Rones is an economist in the Office of Current Employment Analysis, Bureau of Labor Statistics.

clines in the labor force activity of older men (age 65 and older) during the past 30 years.

For older women, during the same period, labor force participation rates moved little, remaining at about the same levels in the late 1970's as 30 years earlier. But it would be inaccurate to conclude that there were no powerful influences on the retirement decision of older women during this period. Rather, two strong forces were opposing each other: on one side, improving retirement income, by itself, would have lowered participation rates of older women; on the other, the rapid rise in participation among women in general, the dominant labor force trend since World War II, served to counter this income effect.

The labor force participation rate for older men has not changed markedly during 1976-79. Again, the lack of a clear trend belies the complexity of the forces influencing the retirement decision. Social security benefits have, by law, been tied to the Consumer Price Index and private pension coverage has provided income supplements to a growing number of retirees.2 This, of course, allows more workers to retire. However, countering these income improvements have been the recent high rates of inflation and threats of recession (and, subsequently, its realization) which, undoubtedly led some older workers to postpone retirement. Although this description is an oversimplification, it does demonstrate that the impact of a single factor on the retirement decision can easily be hidden by countering factors.

ADEA—limited impact

Despite the intense debate for and against its passage, it is likely that the 1978 Amendments to the Age Discrimination in Employment Act will have little shortterm impact on the retirement decision. This is because, even prior to its enactment, proportionately few workers were actually forced out of their jobs by mandatory retirement provisions. Many people retire before reaching mandatory retirement age, which typically has been age 65. The youngest retirees, those who leave the labor force before age 62, frequently do so for health reasons.³ After age 62, the earliest age of social security retirement eligibility, the decision becomes more economic; the marked improvements in public and private retirement eligibility and benefits has permitted many workers to retire prior to age 65.

Even among persons who leave the work force at age 65, mandatory retirement is not always evidence of forced retirement. Because of the strong correlation between mandatory retirement provisions and private pension coverage, most employees reaching age 65 under mandatory retirement policies can draw full pensions and full social security as well. Thus, many workers would have retired willingly at age 65, regardless of

	М	en	Women		
Years	60 to 64 years	65 years and over	60 to 64 years	65 years and over	
1950		45.8		9.7	
1955	82.5	39.6	29.0	10.6	
1960	81.1	33.1	31.4	10.8	
1965	78.0	27.9	34.0	10.0	
1970	75.0	26.8	36.1	9.7	
1975	65.7	21.7	33.3	8.3	
1976	63.7	20.3	33.1	8.2	
1977	62.9	20.1	32.9	8.1	
1978	62.0	20.5	33.1	8.4	
1979	61.8	20.0	33.9	8.3	

company requirements.

Studies using several data sources indicate that prior to the ADEA Amendments, only 5 to 10 percent of retired workers were forced to retire.4 The sources are the 1968 and 1969 Survey of Newly Entitled Beneficiaries (SNEB, from the Social Security Administration), The Retirement History Study, the National Longitudinal Survey, and the 1974 Louis Harris Survey (conducted for the National Council on the Aging). One technique they used was to reduce the population age 65 and over, step-by-step. For example, using percentages of the total for men from the 1968 SNEB: start with those covered by mandatory retirement provisions, 54 percent; reduce to those who actually worked until mandatory retirement age, leaving 24 percent; reduce that to those who were unwilling to retire, leaving 14 percent; and reduce that to those who were able to work, leaving 10 percent; finally, reduce to those who did not find other jobs, leaving 7 percent.5 The final figure is that of workers who were actually forced out of the labor force by mandatory retirement. This and similar estimates from the other surveys may overstate the recent effects of mandatory retirement; participation rates declined significantly since the time of the surveys. Thus, the true impact of the ADEA amendments would probably be felt by even fewer workers.

For some employees, the impact of the ADEA could actually be earlier labor force withdrawal. If a business thinks its interests are served by early retirement of its employees, how does it react to the law? One option would be to stiffen productivity requirements, leading to more firings. Before, if an employee's productivity had fallen at age 60, for example, because of declining physical skills or outdated job-related skills, the employer would only be faced with a few years of additional employment. The business might have been willing to retain the employee, and make adjustments to accomodate him. However, faced with a much longer period of potential employment, the firm might find the option of terminating the employee more attractive. Thus, ADEA might actually shorten the job tenure of some workers whose value to employers might be declining. Another option, one that might be used more frequently, would be to improve the economic incentives of retirement to induce voluntary labor force withdrawal. This process might include retirement bonuses or early retirement options, for example. It is possible that the ADEA amendments will expand the practice among some employers of inducing voluntary retirement with generous retirement packages.

In summary, the recent legislation, in the absence of other mitigating factors, would be expected to affect the retirement behavior of only a small proportion of older workers and retirees. However, with a continuation of high rates of inflation, the ADEA protection may be used increasingly by employees who are faced with deteriorating retirement income prospects.

Desire to work

Among the most baffling issues related to retirement is that of the potential labor supply among the elderly who are outside the labor force. To what extent do people who are beyond "normal" retirement age want to work? There are two radically divergent views:

First view. The decision to retire, at least for those in good health, is largely economic—a person who can afford to retire generally will. In this argument, for the current generation of retirees, work is seen primarily as bad rather than good. Justification for this assumption comes from an analysis of the education and work histories of present retirees. Workers age 65 and over who are out of the labor force have a median educational attainment of only 9 years, and only 15 percent have attended college.6 As would be the case for any group with low levels of education, they have tended to work in jobs with comparatively little satisfaction and hence, little non-financial hold on them.7 As the baby boom generation, which is better educated and in better jobs, reaches retirement age, individuals may be more likely to postpone retirement than today's older workers to whom continued employment at the same job, beyond the age of full pension, probably has little allure.

Evidence of this preference for retirement is available from the CPS.⁸ Persons classified as not in the labor force (neither working nor seeking work) were asked whether they now want regular jobs either part or full time. If there were many involuntary retirees, a large "yes" response would be anticipated. However, only about 1 in 35 men (and an even smaller proportion of women) age 60 and over said they want work, only a third of whom cited job market factors as the reason for not seeking it.⁹

Second view. Many older retired persons want employment but are prevented from working by forced retirement, age discrimination, or inhibiting job structure (few part-time, part-year, or job modification opportunities). Those who want to work do so not only for economic reasons, but also for feelings of usefulness and satisfaction.

Supporters of this view cite several data sources, the most impressive being a 1979 survey conducted by Louis Harris and Associates for the consulting firm of Johnson and Higgins.¹⁰ Nearly half of the retirees questioned said they would prefer to be working, and more than half would have preferred to keep working rather than retire.

Another possibility. A middle-ground explanation may evolve from these two vastly divergent views. Why do the 1979 Harris Survey results show that so many of the retired want to work? The best explanation may come from a question that was not asked. In the 1974 Louis Harris Survey, conducted for the National Council on Aging,¹¹ retirees age 65 and over were asked whether they would like to work; 31 percent said they would. But they were also asked "What's keeping you from working?" The responses showed that only 15 percent of the 31 percent, fewer than 5 percent of the retirees, cited the job market as their reason for not working. Most cited poor health, old age, or other interests. Thus, a far greater proportion of retirees indicated they wanted to work than could actually be considered able or available.

The 1979 Harris Survey did not ask the same "reason for not working" question. The nearly 20 percent difference in response to the "want to work" question between 1974 and 1979 has at least three possible explanations. First, the group of retirees was far younger in the later survey, having a median age of only 62 years. Although no median age is provided in the documentation to the 1974 study, the group of retirees was restricted to persons age 65 and older. One might expect the younger group, with a more recent labor force attachment, to be more likely to consider favorably the employment option. This may be particularly so if many of those in poor health indicated they want employment, as was clearly the case in the 1974 survey. The second explanation is that if the 1974 sample and survey were replicated exactly in 1979, inflation would probably have caused a higher degree of job interest in 1979. And third, as evidenced by the enactment of the ADEA and the 1975 Age Discrimination Act. The rights of older persons to equal access to jobs and to equal treatment in all Federal programs and activities, have been confirmed. Today's older workers may be less willing to "step aside" to provide younger persons a place in the labor force than were their predecessors.

Undoubtedly many more than 1 in 30 or 40 retirees (from the CPS results) would want to work under bet-

16

ter job market conditions. Some people responding to the CPS that they do not want a job now may mean they are not interested in the types of jobs that are available to them. In the Harris survey, on the other hand, the "want a job" questions were asked in the context of a list of hypothetical work options probably not available to most respondents. When older workers no longer wish, or are unable to work full time, few options short of total retirement are available. Part-time "phased retirement" is rarely offered, and those jobs that are open to retirees tend to be of the low-skill, lowpay variety. As Harold Sheppard and Sarah Rix point out,12 older people may choose retirement not because they literally want to retire completely, but because they do not wish to remain in the same dissatisfying jobs. The difficulties that they have in obtaining more satisfying kinds of employment may mean that total retirement is their best option.

It may be true that few workers have actually been

¹ For a detailed description of the 1978 Amendments to the Age Discrimination in Employment Act, see Julia E. Stone, "Age Discrimination in Employment Act: a review of recent changes," *Monthly Labor Review*, March 1980, pp. 32–36.

² The recent high rates of inflation have brought into question the private pension systems' ability to remain a viable and significant source of retirement income. See "Inflation is Wrecking the Private Pension System," *Business Week*, May 12, 1980, pp. 92–99. Even without these high rates of inflation, it is argued that the importance of private pensions has been declining and that this trend will probably continue. See Alicia H. Munnell, "Are Private Pensions Doomed?," *New England Economic Review*, March-April 1978, pp. 5–20.

³ Eric R. Kingson, "Men Who Leave Work Before Age 62: A Study of Advantaged and Disadvantaged Very Early Labor Force Withdrawal" (Brandeis University, Heller School for Advanced Studies in Social Welfare, Ph.D. Dissertation, 1979).

⁴ SNEB data in Virginia Reno, "Incidence of Compulsory Retirement Policies," *Reaching Retirement Age* (Social Security Administration, 1976) and James H. Schultz, "The economics of mandatory retirement," *Industrial Gerontology* (now *Aging and Work*), Winter 1974. For analysis of the Retirement History Study see Robert L. Clark, David L. Barker, R. Steven Cantrell, *Outlawing Age Discrimination: Economic and Institutional Responses to the Elimination of Mandatory Retirement* (unpublished report for Administration on Aging, contract #90-A-1738, 1979). The 1974 Harris Survey results are published in Louis Harris and Associates, *The Myth and Reality of Aging in America* (National Council on the Aging, Inc., Washington, D.C., July 1976). NLS results are in *The Pre-Retirement Years: A Longitudinal Study of the Labor Market Experience of Men* (U.S. Deforced to retire, particularly by mandatory retirement policies. However, institutional forces, including age discrimination and a lack of job opportunities which match the needs of the elderly, have probably contributed to the exit from the job market of many who otherwise would wish to remain. The many methods used to obtain labor market data from older people tend not to reveal the true causes of nonparticipation.

The U.S. Department of Labor, mandated by Congress to report the effects of the 1978 ADEA Amendments, has contracted to obtain additional longitudinal data from the Retirement History Study on the effects of mandatory retirement on older workers. The Department has also contracted a national survey of employees and employers in order to study the response of both groups to changes in the minimum mandatory retirement age. These and other research efforts, should dispel some of the confusion surrounding the retirement decision.

-FOOTNOTES -----

partment of Labor, Manpower Administration, Manpower Research Monograph no. 15) pp. 153-94.

⁵ James H. Schultz, "The economics of mandatory retirement," *Industrial Gerontology* (now *Aging and Work*), Winter 1974. While the labor force effect of mandatory retirement has been small, economic dislocations primarily due to decreased hours and wages, are also experienced by those who are forced out of their jobs and subsequently find other employment (and, thus avoided "not in labor force" status). This group represented about 3 percent of the sample in the survey results shown.

⁶ Scott Campbell Brown, *Educational attainment of workers—some trends from 1973 to 1978*, Special Labor Force Report 225, (Bureau of Labor Statistics).

⁷ For a discussion of job satisfaction and its relation to retirement preference see Harold L. Sheppard and Neal Q. Herrick, *Where Have All the Robots Gone? Worker Dissatisfaction in the 70's* (New York, Free Press, 1972).

⁸ Philip L. Rones, "Older men-the choice between work and retirement," *Monthly Labor Review*, November 1978, pp. 3-10.

[°] Employment and Earnings, (Bureau of Labor Statistics, January 1980) p. 188. Data are 1979 annual averages.

¹⁰ Louis Harris and Associates, 1979 Study of American Attitudes Toward Pensions and Retirement (Johnson and Higgins, New York) Summary Report, p. IX.

¹¹ Louis Harris and Associates, *The Myth and Reality of Aging in America* (National Council on the Aging, Inc., Washington, D.C., July 1976).

¹² Harold Sheppard and Sarah Rix, "*The Graying of Working Ameri*ca," (The Free Press, New York, 1977) p. 6.

Productivity gains in the drugstore industry, 1958–79

Spurred by the expansion of chains and large independents benefiting from economies of scale, the rate of growth in output per hour exceeded that of the private sector until 1973, but has lagged during the last 7 years

BRIAN L. FRIEDMAN

Output per hour of all persons in the drug and proprietary store industry¹ increased at an average annual rate of 4.6 percent from 1958 through 1979,² as compared with an average of 2.0 percent for the nonfarm business sector of the economy during the same period. This gain in productivity over the 22-year period reflects an average annual increase of 4.7 percent in output with virtually no average change in hours of all persons in the industry. For the most recent 7-year period, 1973– 79, productivity increased at a slower annual rate—averaging 1.0 percent. (See table 1.)

The strong growth in output per hour of all persons has been influenced by a trend toward fewer and larger stores. Large chainstore organizations³ and groups of stores known as cooperatives and voluntaries⁴ have grown to dominate industry sales, while the number of unaffiliated, independently owned, smaller drugstores have declined significantly. Chains have increased the number of stores in high-volume shopping centers and have expanded the number and type of products ofIn 1958, there were 56,232 drug and proprietary stores with employment of more than 405,000 persons. In 1979, this number fell to an estimated 46,000 stores employing more than 524,000 persons: sales in terms of

employing more than 524,000 persons; sales in terms of constant dollars increased more than 140 percent from 1958-79. As the population grew, the average number of people served per store rose from 3,097 in 1958 to 4,785 in 1979.

fered. In contrast to independents, chains, cooperatives,

and voluntaries could more easily take advantage of

technological advances and use better management and

Productivity rises

marketing techniques.

Output per hour of all persons engaged in the operation of drugstores grew more than 125 percent during 1958-79. While sales per store increased dramatically, employee-hours per store grew at a much lower rate, leading to the large productivity gains.

Although the average number of paid employees per store rose from 6.2 in 1958 to 10.7 in 1979, an increase of 73 percent, employee-hours per store rose only 44 percent because of increased use of part-time workers. These increases in employees and hours per store, how-

Brian L. Friedman is an economist in the Division of Industry Productivity Studies, Bureau of Labor Statistics. John Ferris, an economist in the same division, assisted in the development of the measure.

ever, are far outweighed by the rise in real sales per store, which nearly doubled.

Productivity growth can be divided into three distinct subperiods, 1958–65, 1965–73, and 1973–79. In the first period, productivity grew at a rate of 3.8 percent per year. This period coincides with the growth in the number of large, more efficient drugstores, as well as a decline in the number of small, marginal stores. Output grew 4.6 percent per year from 1958–65. Hours of all persons, however, only increased at the rate of 0.9 percent annually during the same period, resulting in moderate productivity gains.

Productivity increased more sharply in the second period, 1965–73, gaining at an average annual rate of 6.2 percent per year. The growth in number of large stores and decline of small, marginal stores accelerated during this time. This period was also marked by the widespread use of technological innovations which aid operations in pharmacy departments, streamline inventory procedures, and increase efficiency in warehousing operations. Output grew at a rate of 5.5 percent per year, while hours of all persons declined at a rate of 0.6 percent, resulting in large productivity gains.

... then slows

In the third period, 1973-79, productivity slowed to an average annual rate of only 1.0 percent mostly because of a general slackening in the economy, which was marked by a decline in the growth of spending (in

Year	Output per hour of all persons	Output per person	Output	Hours of all persons	All persons
1958	68.0	73.7	63.1	92.8	85.6
1959	70.8	76.9	67.5	95.4	87.8
960	73.1	78.7	71.1	97.2	90.3
961	75.7	81.3	73.3	96.8	90.2
962	77.3	84.7	77.5	100.2	91.5
963	81.0	87.3	79.9	98.7	91.5
1964	84.0	89.0	83.0	98.8	93.3
965	89.3	92.9	88.6	99.2	95.4
966	93.0	95.3	94.2	101.3	98.8
967	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
1968	110.2	106.3	107.7	97.7	101.3
1969	114.4	108.8	112.1	98.0	103.0
1970	124.4	116.5	120.3	96.7	103.3
1971	126.9	119.1	121.8	96.0	102.3
1972	131.7	122.6	127.6	96.9	104.1
1973	146.2	133.2	139.5	95.4	104.7
1974	149.4	136.7	143.9	96.3	105.3
1975	144.8	136.3	143.8	99.3	105.5
1976	150.6	138.4	147.7	98.1	106.7
1977	156.7	139.0	150.1	95.8	108.0
1978	152.4	136.8	149.8	98.3	109.5
1979	153.6	137.9	152.7	99.4	110.7
		Average annua	al rates of cha	ange (in percent)	
1958-79	4.6	3.4	4.7	0.0	1.2
1973-79	1.0	0.4	1.4	0.5	1.0

terms of real dollars) in many retail industries. During this period, output growth slowed to a rate of 1.4 percent per year. In the recession year of 1975, both output and productivity declined. Output fell 0.1 percent, while hours of all persons had a relatively large increase of 3.1 percent, resulting in a 1975 productivity drop of 3.1 percent. The industry had productivity increases in 1976 and 1977, but productivity declined 2.7 percent in 1978. A increase of 0.8 percent was registered in 1979.

Developments in the prescription department may have added to the negative pressures on output and productivity during 1973–79. Restrictions on refills for controlled substances such as prescription pain relievers and tranquilizers became more stringent. Third-party payments for prescriptions grew strongly during this period; and medicaid and many private insurance plans required that long-term prescriptions be filled in larger orders. These influences led to a decline in the number of refill prescriptions.⁵ The paperwork involved in thirdparty payments and recordkeeping for controlled substances also added to unit labor requirements in the prescription department.

Drugstore industry output was further slowed in the 1973–79 period by increased competition from other retail industries. Food stores and variety merchandise stores increased the number of prescription drug, overthe-counter-drug, and health and beauty aid departments in their stores, which took sales away from the drugstore industry.⁶

During 1973–79, the number of drugstores declined slightly. However, chains continued to build new, larger stores, while many small chainstores and small independents went out of business. These new stores accounted for above average growth in hours of all persons during this period which, coupled with a sluggish output growth, brought productivity levels down. Hours of all persons increased 2.6 percent in 1978 and 1.1 percent in 1979, reaching its highest level since 1967.

Employment increases moderately

The industry's employment grew at an average annual rate of 1.2 percent during 1958–79. All-person-hours increased at an average annual rate of 0.9 percent during 1958–66, but actually declined slightly during 1966– 79. This occurred because of a generally shorter workweek, which stemmed from the use of more part-time workers. Overall, all-person-hours showed no growth in 1958–79. There was a sharp drop in the average weekly hours of nonsupervisory workers, declining from 37.7 in 1958 to 30.9 in 1979.

Nonsupervisory workers make up more than 80 percent of all persons working in the industry. They include cashiers, floor assistants, fountain workers, and stock workers. There has been a substantial increase in the number of part-time workers, usually unskilled workers of school age—who work during the evenings and weekends.

Proprietors and partners accounted for 4.9 percent of all persons working in drugstores in 1979. Their proportion of employment declined from more than 13 percent in 1958 because the majority of stores going out of business were privately owned, smaller stores. Most self-employed workers are pharmacists who average more than 50 hours of work per week in fulfilling both their professional and business duties.

As corporate stores grew in importance, managers accounted for a higher percentage of employment. Some proprietors and partners also hired managers, especially those owning more than one store. The number of supervisory workers almost tripled during 1958–79.

Industry structure changes

The 1958–79 period showed marked change in industry structure. The number of chainstores as a proportion of all drugstores remained fairly constant from 1930 to 1960.⁷ In 1958, independents accounted for 78.5 percent of industry sales, and chainstores accounted for only 21.5 percent. During 1958–66, chains were opening from 700 to 1,000 new stores per year, primarily in shopping centers, and even more opened in the late 1960's and early 1970's.⁸ By 1967, the independents' share of industry sales had declined to 66.9 percent. By 1977, the growing domination of chains was quite clear as they rang up more than 53 percent of all drug and proprietary store sales, while accounting for only 23 percent of all stores.

There is evidence that the increase in the number of larger stores, including large independents, has spurred productivity growth. In 1967, drugstores having sales of more than \$500,000 sold \$32,600 worth of goods and services per paid employee, compared with only \$24,500 for stores doing less than \$500,000.

Small independents frequently cannot compete on price and variety of merchandise because their low sales and small space limit volume discounts. Some independents are able to overcome price problems by joining or forming a wholesale group (voluntary or cooperative) which can obtain volume discounts for its members. Drugstores joining such organizations have access to marketing conditions and technology similar to chains.⁹ In 1973, the unaffiliated independent drugstore had \$175,000 in sales on the average, whereas, an affiliated store had \$250,000. During the early 1970's, cooperatives and voluntaries grew rapidly. In 1972, there were 7,000 drugstores affiliated with this type of organization, nearly 14,000 by 1979.¹⁰

Technology and store operations improve

A number of technological innovations have been introduced into drugstore operations and became widespread by the late 1960's. Tape recorders, calculators, and code-dating machines are being used to improve store inventory procedures.¹¹ There has also been increased use of electronic price-marking machines and electronic prescription-filling and logging machines.¹² Electronic cash registers now tabulate and keep accurate sales and inventory records.¹³

Computers have played a growing role in nearly all of the industry's operations. Their impact upon productivity has been uncertain since their use became extensive in the late 1970's, a period of slow productivity growth. Computers are used for bookkeeping operations, data processing, and inventory control. In addition, they are being used to forecast seasonal needs in both stores and warehouses.¹⁴ Computers have also been installed in prescription departments to handle the increased paperwork involved in third-party payment plans.¹⁵

Central purchasing and warehousing went hand in hand with the growth of chains, cooperatives, and voluntaries in the industry. Warehouses serving large numbers of retail outlets can afford advanced technological aids. Improved conveyor systems, elevators, mechanized waste disposal, and high capacity incinerators have been installed, and have cut labor requirements in warehousing operations.¹⁶ Labor requirements have also been reduced in warehouse operations by the introduction of computers, used for documentation of warehouse to store transfers and inventory control.¹⁷

Drugstores, especially chains and affiliated stores, emphasize marketing techniques designed to increase shopper traffic. Advertising is one of the more important marketing devices, particularly in newspapers. Displays in the stores are regularly revised and improved to attract more impulse buying. Increased advertising, along with trends toward expanding product mix, have probably increased sales per customer stop.

There has been a trend toward the use of clerical employees in the pharmacy department.¹⁸ This allows pharmacists to concentrate on their professional duties and may lead to output per hour gains. In 1961, the average chainstore filled 40 prescriptions a day, and by 1978, 135.¹⁹

Future trends

In the short run, future changes in drugstore industry productivity are uncertain and depend greatly upon changes in consumer purchasing power. The current productivity slowdown may continue because of the general falloff in economic activity in early 1980. However, in the long run, efforts to increase productivity will continue, with stores belonging to chains, cooperatives, and voluntaries continuing to grow to the detriment of small independent stores. Large independents will also probably continue to grow.²⁰ Efforts will be made to develop the optimum-size store, in terms of physical volume and product mix for the location.

Chainstores are expanding the types of products offered for sale and are placing more emphasis on productivity.²¹ New product lines, such as in optical centers, are being added. There also appears to be a trend toward adding prescription departments to some proprietary stores.²²

The use of computers and other electronic equipment within the industry is expected to continue to grow.

Such equipment has proven to be highly useful in the pharmacy department and for inventory purposes. Electronic cash registers, which keep inventory records, have already made strong inroads into the industry and will continue to grow in use. However, computerized automatic checkout units, which have recently been introduced in the food store industry, are not expected to be used widely in drugstores in the near future. Drugstores do not have enough inventory turnover to justify the capital costs of this equipment.

-FOOTNOTES -

¹ The drugstore and proprietary store industry consists of establishments which are included on the basis of their usual trade designation rather than the more strict interpretation of commodities handled or services offered. It is designated industry 5912 in the 1972 Standard Industrial Classification Manual. Proprietary stores are like small drugstores without a prescription department and sometimes are called health and beauty aid stores.

² All average rates of change are based on the linear least squares trends of the logarithms of the index numbers.

³ A chain comprises four retail stores or more and an independent, three stores or fewer. Most chains are owned by corporations and most independents by partnerships or proprietorships.

⁴ Cooperatives are groups of independent retailers combined to gain wholesale buying power similar to chains. Voluntaries are groups of independent retailers organized by a wholesaler, who not only offers bulk-rate buying but also helps organize advertising and promotional needs.

⁵ Based on statistics from American Druggist.

⁶ Conversation with Pat Donohue, National Association of Chain Drug Stores.

⁷Glenn Sonnedecker, Ph.D. *History of Pharmacy*, Rev. ed. (Philadelphia, Pa., J.B. Lippincott Co., 1963), ch. 16.

⁸ "Introduction to Annual Report," *Chain Store Age—Drug Edition*, April 1967, p. 84. "1,125 opened, 890 more remodeled," *Chain Store Age—Drug Edition*, April 1970, p. 116. "Chains rev up growth pace; unveil 2,000 new units," *Chain Store Age—Drug Edition*, April 1978, p. 90.

[°] "Affiliated Retailers' Ranks Swell," Chain Store Age-Drug Edition, May 1977, p. 70. ¹⁰ "Coops/Voluntaries: A Viable Market Factor," *Chain Store Age* — *Drug Edition*, May 1976, p. 111. "Affiliated Stores Push On," *Drug Store News*, Apr. 28, 1980, p. 47.

¹¹ "Chains Automate Inventory Control," Chain Store Age—Drug Edition, April 1965, p. 88.

 12 "Cut R_x Keeping Chore by 67 Percent," American Druggist, July 31, 1967.

¹³ "Inventory Control at the Store Level," Chain Store Age—Drug Edition, December 1966, p. 42.

¹⁴ "How Computers Control R_x Department Productivity," Chain Store Age—Drug Edition, October 1968, p. 52.

¹⁵ "Saving Druggists in a Paper Storm," Business Week, June 2, 1980, p. 84.

¹⁶ "Peoples' Mechanized Warehouse Speeds Flow of Merchandise," Chain Store Age — Drug Edition, October 1958, p. 54.

¹⁷ "A Small Chain Makes Computers Pay," Chain Store Age—Drug Edition, November 1965, p. 50.

¹⁸ "How Chains Step-Up R_x Department Productivity," *Chain Store* Age—Drug Edition, October 1963, p. 93 and "Labor Costs or Sales Costs," September 1973, p. 182.

¹⁹ "Chains Soar 21.9 Percent in R_x Sales," Chain Store Age—Drug Edition, April 1967, p. 95. Drug Store News, May 28, 1979.

²⁰ "Who's the competition," Chain Store Age-Drug Edition, May 1978, p. 102.

²¹ "1977: Drug Chains Emerge as Big Business," Chain Store Age— Drug Edition, April 1978, p. 82.

²² "Chains expand, revamp to widen merchandise mix," Chain Store Age—Drug Edition, April 1978, p. 97.

APPENDIX: Measurement techniques and limitations

Indexes of output per hour of all persons measure the change in the relationship between the output of an industry and the hours expended on that output. An index of output per hour is derived by dividing an index of output by an index of industry hours.

The preferred output index for retail trade industries would be obtained from data on quantities of the various goods sold by the industry, each weighted (that is, multiplied) by the employee-hours required to sell one unit of each good in some specified base period. This concept also embodies the services associated with moving the goods from the retail establishment to the consumer. Thus, those goods which require more retail labor are given more importance in the output index.

Data on the quantities of goods sold usually are not available for trade industries, including drugstores.

Therefore, real output was estimated by removing the effects of changing price levels from the current dollar value of sales. Because an adjustment for changing price levels usually lowers the dollar value, such a series is usually referred to as a deflated value measure. Output measures based on deflated value have two major characteristics. First, shifts in sales can occur among products of different value which have the same unit labor requirements. (For example, if customers begin to purchase more store brands instead of "nationally advertised" brands, dollar sales will decrease if the store brand is priced lower.) Such a phenomenon can occur in times of economic recession, and the reverse may be true in times of economic prosperity. Thus, a change can occur in the output per hour index even if the labor required to sell the merchandise does not change.

Second, the sales level, both in current and constant dollars, reflects differences in unit values for identical products sold in different types of establishments. For example, the unit values associated with a product sold in a self-service "discount" store may be lower than the unit value associated with the same product sold in a store that provides many sales clerks and delivery service. The output measure, therefore, reflects changes in the level of service provided to customers, insofar as differences in unit values reflect the difference in service among the various types of establishments.

In addition to the deflated value technique, weights relating to labor importance (that is, employee-hours) were used to combine segments of the output index into a total output measure. These procedures result in a final output index that is closer, conceptually, to the preferred output measure.

The index of hours for the drugstore industry is for all persons—that is, hours for paid employees, partners and proprietors, and unpaid family workers. As in all of the output per hour measures published by Bureau of Labor Statistics, hours and employment in drugstores are each considered homogeneous and additive. Adequate information does not exist to weight the various types of labor separately.

The indexes of output per hour relate total output to one input—labor time. The indexes do not measure the specific contribution of labor, capital, or any other single factor. Rather, they reflect the joint effect of many interrelated influences such as changes in technology, capital investment, capacity utilization, store design and layout, skill and effort of the work force, managerial ability, and labor-management relations.

No explicit adjustments were made to the measure for drugstores to take into account increases or decreases in some services provided to the consumer. With the growth of large stores, there has been a continuation of the trend of self-service operations. This has shifted some of the hours in retailing from employee to consumer. However, data are not available to measure the impact of this change. Adjustments for changes in product quality are made to the extent that changes in quality have been accounted for in the price indexes used to deflate the current dollar value of sales.

The basic sources for the output series for this measure consist of the total sales data and sales by merchandise line data reported by the Department of Commerce. The deflators were developed using various Consumer Price Indexes published by BLS. The employee-hour weights were developed from data reported by BLS and the Department of Commerce.

The basic sources for the all-persons-hour series consist of data on employment and hours published by BLS, supplemented by data reported by the Internal Revenue Service and special tabulations compiled for BLS by the Bureau of the Census.

The profits regulation confers

Such economists as George Stigler have offered a theory to explain why, as a rule, "regulation is acquired by the industry and is designed and operated primarily for its benefit." All firms seek to maximize profits, and profits can be increased if competition is reduced or governmental subsidies are obtained. Though firms will not refuse subsidies if they are offered, subsidies have the disadvantage of increasing profitability without necessarily restricting entry into the industry. The prospect of these benefits will encourage new companies to form, increase competition, and thus reduce each firm's share of subsidies.

Far better are government regulations that restrict entry by requiring a firm or a member of an occupation to be licensed. By creating such political barriers to entry, the per-firm or per-person profits of truck operators, airline companies, dry cleaners, beauticians, doctors, lawyers, broadcasters, and other protected enterprises are increased . . .

> — JAMES Q. WILSON, ED. *The Politics of Regulation* (New York, Basic Books, Inc., 1980), p. 358.

gitized for FRASER ps://fraser.stlouisfed.org deral Reserve Bank of St. Louis

Child care and family benefits: policies of six industrialized countries

Increasing numbers of mothers with very young children continue to enter the labor force resulting in the emergence of a new family lifestyle in the U.S. and five European countries; expanded child-care services, cash benefits, and extended leave are some of the options now available to working parents

SHEILA B. KAMERMAN

The pattern of segregated roles of men and women in work and family life has changed dramatically over the past two decades throughout the industrialized world as many more women, especially married mothers, have entered the labor market.¹ At present, more than half the children under 18 years of age in the United States have mothers in the labor force. Our country's most prevalent family type is now the two-parent, two-wageearner family. If we add to this group the many singleparent families in which the sole parent (overwhelmingly likely to be a woman) works, then the "typical" American family in the 1980's is one with working parents.

"Working families" have previously established themselves as the norm in many European countries and are becoming prevalent in others. (See table 1.) Governments and employers are now beginning to react to this change by initiating activities in response. This article discusses the nature of the resultant lifestyle and analyzes the different types of benefits that the United States and several European countries have provided to help working parents to cope.

Clearly, work and family life can no longer be viewed as separate domains. This is especially true because of the high U.S. labor force participation rates of young women of childbearing age, 25 to 34 years. In 1978, the highest rate ever for this age group were in the labor force—62 percent—which was nearly the highest rate for women of any age. Included among these were close to 40 percent of those mothers with children under age 3 (41 percent in 1979). (See table 2.) Therefore, women are now working during the peak of their childbearing years, whereas in the past, it was common to stay at home once one married or had children.

Society places demands on the individual

As these changes occur, two issues emerge of central importance:

- How are adults, regardless of gender, likely to manage increasingly demanding daily routines involving home as well as job responsibilities?
- How will society respond to individual family lifestyles in which most adults are likely to be in the labor force during the same years that they are at the peak of their childbearing and child rearing responsibilities?

Given an earlier history of growth in female labor force participation rates, we studied several European countries to explore alternative public policy responses to the growing proportion of working families. We chose five countries with similar levels of industrializa-

Sheila B. Kamerman is an associate professor of Social Policy and Social Planning and co-director of Cross-National Studies of Social Services and Family Policy, Columbia University School of Social Work.

tion and the following characteristics: (1) about half of the adult women or more are in the labor force, (2) where women with school-age children are expected to be in the work force and a similar pattern is emerging for those with preschool-age children, and (3) where recent government attention has focused on the problems of working parents with children under age 3—when the demands of child-care responsibilities are the heaviest and the tension between work and family life is most severe, and most visible.² The countries were selected initially because each suggested a distinctive policy stance:

- supporting mothers at home (Hungary)
- supporting mothers in the labor force (German Democratic Republic)
- supporting parental choice in selecting how to allocate work and family roles (France)
- supporting the opportunity for all adults to manage work and family roles simultaneously (Sweden)
- assuming that adults make personal and private arrangements in adapting to this lifestyle (Federal Republic of Germany)

Over time, there has been some tendency towards convergence among these countries although the particular emphasis still varies.

Countries have similar problems

As we explore what is occuring in other countries, there is the emergence of a common list of problems needing attention. Although not all these needs arise simultaneously in every country, gradually the lists become very similar.

The concerns tend to be in 1 of 4 areas:

The need for some financial assistance to help with the costs of childrearing.

The need to care for children while parents are away from home at work.

The need to make possible a more equitable sharing between men and women of home and family tasks and responsibilities.

Country	0-3 years	3-6 years	School age
France	43	44	48
Federal Republic of Germany	32	34	41
German Democratic Republic	80	85	85
Sweden	58	15	/5
United States	35	48	56

deral Reserve Bank of St. Louis

Age of youngest child	Total women [In thousands]	In labor force [In thousands]	Participation rate [In percent]
Less than 1 year	2,460	713	29.0
1 year	2,034	686	33.7
2 years	1,711	701	41.0
3 years	1,358	603	44.4

Table 2. Employment status, by parity and age of

The need to facilitate a better balance between work and home so that adults may fulfill their roles as parents without either gender suffering penalties in the labor market.

Emergence of family benefits

The European countries have a long history of acknowledging that children are a major societal resource and that the whole society should share in the costs of rearing them. The cash benefits provided families with children are increasingly being referred to as a "family benefit system", part of a country's social security system but distinguishable from traditional social insurance and assistance.

This principle was reflected first in family or child allowances, in the form of cash benefits provided monthly (or weekly) for every child (or second or subsequent children), usually regardless of family income and the labor force attachment of the parents. Family allowances began first in France in the 1930's; in Sweden, Finland, and several other countries by the 1940's. By now, 67 countries, including all the developed countries except the United States, provide such a supplement to the income of adults who are rearing children. The benefits, which are usually tax free, range in the western European countries from \$300 to \$600 or more per year. In both eastern and western Europe, these benefits represent a significant percentage of median wages, usually between 5 and 10 percent (where there is one child) and substantially higher for single mothers (whose wages are likely to be low), and families with several children.

Regardless of the specifics, these benefits provide a significant supplementary contribution to family income, particularly for low and median wage earners, for whom the cost of rearing even two children can be a financial burden. They reflect a recognition of the lack of correspondence between wages and family responsibilities—and of the societies' stakes in child rearing.

An alternative approach to providing income supplementation to families with children is the provision of a similar child benefit through the tax system. In contrast to the \$1,000 tax exemption for dependents in the United States (many countries have such exemptions), of value only to those who pay taxes and of more value to those with higher incomes, the child benefit tax credit is provided at a fixed amount and paid to families at all income levels. Furthermore, it is refundable to those whose incomes are so low as to preclude any tax obligations.

France provides an additional, income-tested special supplement to low- and middle-income families with children under age 3 or with three children or more. The assumption here is that very young or many children make it increasingly unlikely that a woman can be in the labor force and, therefore, such families may suffer an extra financial hardship in trying to manage, even briefly, on the wages of one adult.

If income supplementation for families with children is a longstanding policy in many European countries, defining childbirth as a social risk which may result in temporary unemployment, and providing a cash benefit as an earnings replacement under such circumstances, is a much more recent policy. Accordingly, there are two parallel policies in most European countries to protect family income at the time of childbirth in those families where mothers are employed.

First, there is a guarantee of a right, around the time of childbirth, to leave work for a minimum of 3 months (Denmark and several other countries), a maximum of 3 years (Hungary), and an average of 6 months to 1 year, with the assurance of full job protection, seniority, and pension entitlement. In Sweden, this right can be shared equally by both parents. Some countries have supplementary rights to extended leaves, but with more limited protection.

Social security covers maternity leave

The second, parallel policy is the provision (in connection with leave right before childbirth and after it) of a cash benefit through the social security system, replacing the full wage covered under social security (or a significant portion of it). These benefits are available to almost all employed women of childbearing age and under certain circumstances—or in certain countries, such as Sweden—to their husbands, too. The benefits may be tax free or considered as taxable income.

In effect, these two parallel policies comprise what is usually described as the statutory provision of maternity or parental benefits and leaves. The key portion of the policy is the leave from work which is covered by a cash benefit replacing earnings forgone at the time of childbirth. In France, this covers 16 weeks, including 6 weeks before childbirth, and is equal to full wage replacement. In West Germany, 7½ months are covered, the first 14 weeks with a statutory flat rate benefit equal to the wage of about 70 percent of the working women, but supplemented to full wage by the employer for those women earning more, and the remainder of the time at the statutory benefit level only. The German Democratic Republic provides full wage replacement for 26 weeks (and for an additional 26 weeks at the birth of second and subsequent children). Sweden is unique in providing a benefit covering 9 months, available to either parent, and capable of being prorated so that parents can use the benefits to cover full-time work, half-time work, or three-quarter time, while the children are young. This enables parents to share all child-care responsibilities between them for the child's first year to year and a half of life.

The Hungarians provide an unusual benefit from the end of maternity leave until the child is 3 years old. Here, the mother is entitled to a cash allowance equal to about 40 percent of an average female wage, as long as she remains at home to care for her child. During this time, she continues to be defined as a member of the labor force and therefore maintains her seniority and pension entitlements, while assured of job protection.

These benefits are all contingent on prior labor force attachment and represent some attempt by the larger society to replace all or a significant portion of earnings at the time of childbirth and for some limited period of child-caring time thereafter. Although not yet widely implemented, one growing trend in Europe seems to be to extend these rights to both parents and to parents of adopted children.

The benefits thus far discussed *supplement* incomes of parents rearing children or *replace* income in connection with the period right after childbirth. Very few countries provide a *substitute* for earned income beyond the time a child is age 3, and the scale and scope of Aid to Families with Dependent Children in the United States is rare, even though Britain, West Germany, Canada, Israel, and Sweden do give some cash benefits to single mothers with low incomes. Canada and Britain have the closest equivalent of the U.S. system.

Meeting child-care needs

The paid leaves from work following childbirth range from 3 months to 3 years. In most countries, 6 months is typical, with growing discussions about extending the leave to 9 months or a maximum of 1 year. All European countries permit additional unpaid but job-protected leaves of somewhere between 6 months and 2 years, although few women avail themselves of this benefit. This means that most working families in Europe need some form of out-of-home child-care service beginning when a child is about 6 months old, except where one adult works part time. Compulsory school usually begins at age 6, as it does in the United States; but in Britain 5 years is the age of entry, and in Sweden, Poland, and several other countries it is age 7, although all 6 yearolds in these countries already attend a preschool.

For the typical working family in Europe, all day, out-of-home child-care services are needed for children who are about 6 months to 6 years old. What is provided for these children now?

Most children age 3 to 6 years are already attending a free public preschool program, based in the educational system, covering the normal school day and attend it on a voluntary basis regardless of whether or not they have "working mothers."

France has the most extensive such provision in any European country—serving 95 percent of the 3 to 6 year-old age group. Moreover, 32 percent of the 2 yearolds (largely those age $2\frac{1}{2}$ are now attending and the programs are expected to serve close to half this age group within the next 2 years. (Hungary and West Germany also recently have opened kindergarten to the 2 year-olds as space has become available.)

Belgium has a similar program serving about 95 percent of its 3 to 6 year-olds. West Germany serves about 75 percent of this age group in such a program, although it is still largely for half a day (8:00–1:00), as in all primary schools in Germany. (But a "long school day" is becoming more prevalent, especially in schools located in working class neighborhoods.) Italy has place for about 70 percent of the age group, but most of the eastern European countries serve between 75 and 90 percent of this group in full-day preschool programs, with the highest coverage in East Germany. Sweden has only a little more than half this age group in their child-care programs which, in contrast to the others, are part of an independent, free-standing program, not part of the public education system.

Preschools viewed as healthy

Most of Europe assumes that children from age 3 (and increasingly from age $2\frac{1}{2}$ or 2) will attend a preschool program, because these programs are viewed as being good for children, whether or not mothers work. Incidentally, as does primary school, preschools fulfill important child-care needs of working parents. Thus, for most working families in Europe, child care is available from the age of 2, $2\frac{1}{2}$, or 3 on, at least to cover the normal school day.

Only the United States, Britain, Canada, and Israel continue to maintain an artificial distinction between child care under social welfare auspices and that under education auspices; and only these countries continue to support two parallel systems for all children under compulsory school age. In Israel about 90 percent of the 3 to 5 year-olds already attend a preschool in any case, although these are largely under private auspices as they are in the United States, where more than half of this age group now attend such schools.

Except for Sweden and Finland, which have a sepa-

rate but integrated special child-care program for children up to age 7, day care is viewed largely as the care of children under age 3 in Europe, and in most countries is administrated under health ministry or department auspices. Infant and toddler care for children of working families is not nearly as extensive as care for children from about the age of 2 or 3 and older.

East Germany has by far the most extensive group provision for infants and toddlers-with 60 percent between the ages of 6 months and 3 years in care (48 percent of children from birth to age 3), and plans to expand provision to include space for 70 percent. France leads among the western countries, with about one-third of the under 3's in some kind of out-of-home care. The public preschool program serves a significant number of 2 year-olds and another small percentage are served in publicly-subsidized day-care centers, subject to income-related fees. The largest group is cared for by licensed family day-care mothers; France has the most extensive provision of this type of care. Hungary has only a limited amount of group care, because its primary policy focus for the under 3's is to subsidize the mothers' own care. In contrast, Sweden has an official policy of expanding such coverage to meet most existing needs. However, only about 14 percent of the children under age 3 can be served in publicly subsidized care today, while an equivalent amount are still cared for in private, informal, family day-care arrangements. (See table 3 for more details.)

Family day care versus group care

Thus, most countries still have a long way to go before there are enough out-of-home places to care for children aged 6 months to 3 years, while their mothers are at work, but the policy is clear for the 2 year-olds already and emerging, too, for younger children. (See table 3.) While family day care dominates currently, es-

Table 3. Type of care provided for young children in six

	Und				
Country	Total	Type o [in pe	of care rcent]	Ages 3 to 6	
	[In thousands]	Center care	Family day care	school 1	
Sweden	323,463	7	16	28	
France	2,400,000	11	20	95+	
German Democratic Republic	532,048	46	0	85	
Hungary	519,000	12	0	78	
Federal Republic of Germany	1,800,000	42	5²	75+	
United States	9,700,000	3-4	7	64	

care, much of it part-day. ² Children of working mothers only.

SOURCE: Sheila B. Kamerman and Alfred J. Kahn, *Child-Care, Family Benefits and Work-ing Parents* (New York: Columbia University Press, forthcoming).

pecially given the unlicensed, unregulated provision, many experts now assume group care will ultimately predominate as more and more women are working and the potential labor force for family day care disappears and the costs increase (as standards are raised). If and when this occurs, family day care may be available, but is likely to be viewed as a high-priced therapeutic program intended for children with special needs.

Before- and after-school care, for the pre- and primary school age children of working parents when school hours and days do not coincide with work schedules, is recognized as a universal need. No country provides adequate coverage or even has systematic data indicating where children of this age are cared for now. Several of the eastern European countries do provide extensive after-school programs or a long school day. French schools, especially those in large metropolitan areas and in working class districts, often provide children with supervised care before and after school hours and on holidays. The Swedes are encouraging the establishment of separate after-school programs called leisure-time centers, often located adjacent to the preschool programs where children of different ages have an opportunity for interchange in "sibling groups." Similar provision is expected to grow in Germany. Such programs are important and their scarcity represents a significant weakness of child-care services.

None of the research reported here covered intra-familial adaptations or workplace response to the new realities of work and family life.³ We would note, however, that for some years policies in many countries have supported, or been predicated on, traditional role assignments within the family. Modifications will be necessary if intrafamilial equity is to increase.

Most adaptation in the home will reflect the values and behavioral changes of the adults living there, but there is evidence (particularly among the younger cohorts) that as women have entered the labor market, men do participate more actively in home and family responsibilities. We assume that such changes also would affect children: they may begin to get more attention from their fathers than they have previously.

Benefits and services	Hungary	France	German Demo- cratic Republic	Sweden	Federal Republic of Germany	United States
BENEFITS						
Cash: Income replacement	Maternity	Maternity	Maternity	Parental	Maternity	
			Supplementary maternity			
	To care for an ill child at home		To care for an ill child at home	To care for an ill child at home	To care for an ill child at home	
Income substitution	Child-care allowance					Aid to Families with Dependent Children
Income supplemen- tation	Family allowance Housing allowance Child health services	Family allowance Housing allowance Child health services Complementary family allowance Sincle parent allow-	Family allowance Housing allowance Child health services	Child allowance Housing allowance Child health services	Child allowance Housing allowance Child health services	
		ance Family-based tax system		Tax allowance for dependents	Child-care tax credit	Tax allowances for dependents Earned income tax credit Child-care tax credit
mployment: Right to leave work and job security	Maternity (20 weeks) Child care up to child's 3rd birthday	Maternity (16 weeks) Parental education (unpaid) 2 years	Maternity (26 weeks) Supplementary (26 weeks)	Parental (9 months) Unpaid 18 months; 6-hour day up to child's 8th birthday	Maternity (71/2 months)	
SERVICES (1975) Percentage of cohort 0 – 3 in out-of-home-care	12 percent (Mainly 1/2 to 3 year – olds)	31 percent	50 percent (80% of 1 to 2 year – olds)	23 percent	3 percent	10-11 percent
Major care mode	Center care (almost completely)	Co-equal in policy but family day care predominates	Center care (completely)	Policy favors center care but present reality is family day	Family day care primarily in policy but co-equal in provision	Family day care predominates

Employers provide important rights

The workplace itself remains an essential arena for change; here we refer both to marketplace and statutory benefits and to the organization of work. Special attention is currently being directed in a number of countries to the social security status of women (for example, in the work force, homemakers, and widows). We already have described Swedish parent insurance as a major innovation. Among countries making provisions for supplementary but unpaid post-childbirth leaves, France offers a 2-year leave for either parent under certain circumstances. Norway provides a parental leave of up to 1 year. Sweden permits an unpaid leave after the conclusion of the parent insurance benefit until a child is 18 months old, and guarantees parents the right to work part time (a 6-hour day) until their child is age 8. Assuring workers a right to take a specified number of days off from work to care for an ill child at home, or to visit a child in school, while receiving the same wages they would receive if they were ill themselves, is receiving attention in Europe, too.

There are also efforts by industry to modify employment practices or to provide selected benefits through labor contracts or as part of private fringe benefit systems. Similarly, there is growing experience with flexitime and other alternative work schedules such as parttime and shared work. IF ADULTS ARE to manage work and family life simultaneously, attention will have to be paid to all four arenas we have discussed.

Government policies have been the major focus of what we have studied in Europe; and one major finding, apart from the specifics mentioned above, is the trend towards the development of family or child policy "packages" that go far beyond any single policy strategy. The European experience clearly suggests the need for a policy strategy that includes income transfers, child-care services, and employment policies as central elements even if the specifics may vary as they are modified to fit the ideology, demography, and needs of each country. (See table 4 for the components of these policy "packages" in six countries.)

This discussion is predicated on the recognition that employment and labor market policies are a cornerstone of social policy in industrialized countries. Work remains the primary role for all adults and a central ethic. It seems likely that unless it becomes possible for adults to manage work and family life without undue strain for themselves and their children, society will suffer a significant productivity loss in the labor market and economy, and perhaps an even more important loss in the quantity and quality of future generations. The developments now occurring in other countries can provide the basis for discussion in the United States.

-FOOTNOTES -----

¹ For U.S. data, see Janet L. Norwood and Elizabeth Waldman, Women in the Labor Force: Some New Data Series, Report 575 (Bureau of Labor Statistics, 1979); Elizabeth Waldman and others, "Working mothers in the 1970's: a look at the statistics," Monthly Labor Review, October 1979, pp. 39-49; and Beverly L. Johnson, "Marital and family characteristics of the labor force, March 1979," Monthly Labor Review, April 1980, pp. 48-52.

For comparative data, see *Equal Opportunities for Women* (Paris, Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development, 1979).

² A full report of this study will be forthcoming by Columbia University Press, as Sheila B. Kamerman and Alfred J. Kahn, *Child Care*,

Family Benefits and Working Parents. We acknowledge the support of this research by the U.S. German Marshall Fund.

Additional data are included here as relevant from an eight country study now in process of "Income Maintenance Policies From a Family Policy Perspective," and a report by Sheila B. Kamerman, *Maternity and Parental Benefits and Leaves: An International Review* (New York: Columbia University Center for the Social Sciences, 1980).

³ The report of a U.S. study of how a sample of suburban working families manage this family lifestyle is: Sheila B. Kamerman, *Parenting in an Unresponsive Society: Managing Work and Family Life* (New York: The Free Press, 1980).

Research Summaries

Results of experimental study on flexitime and family life

RICHARD A. WINETT AND MICHAEL S. NEALE

Federal workers with young children, when given the perogative of changing their work schedule, choose to arrive at and depart from work earlier, according to two small experimental studies of flexible workhours at two Government agencies. The change in work schedules allowed the workers to increase the time spent with their families in the evening and led to less perceived difficulty in engaging in familial, recreational, and educational activities and household chores.¹

Time spent with the family in the morning was reduced for workers who changed their schedule, but this time was never extensive (about 35 minutes) and rarely was it rated as an "enjoyable" time. When scored on a 5-point scale (where "dislike a great deal" equals 1 point and "enjoy a great deal" equals 5), morning-time activities had a mean of 2.9, while evening activities were rated 3.8.

Overall, the flexitime program received positive ratings (about 4.5 out of a possible 5.0) and few managerial problems were reported. Workers who remained on regular hours were either limited to these hours by commuter arrangements, spouse hours, or simply preferred the regular hours. The altered schedules did not change workers' weekend activities or the division of labor at home (childcare and home chores).

Two experimental, longitudinal studies in two large Federal agencies addressed the issue of the effects of flexitime on families with young children. This report briefly describes several methodological aspects of the studies and the main results.

Advantages of experimental methods

Experimental research methods generally follow several strategies including carefully defining, recording,



and monitoring behavior over a relatively long period of time; collecting reasonable baseline data for comparison purposes; and using a true experimental or quasi-experimental design (suitable comparison group) to evaluate effects. For true experimental flexitime studies, workers or departments are randomly assigned to flexitime or usual work schedules. Completely random assignment often is not feasible, necessitating that the test become a quasi-experiment, with results that still are interpretable.² Experimental strategies often involve a series of relatively small-scale studies designed to replicate and expand upon previous findings.³

What are the advantages of the experimental approach, compared with other methods (for example, surveying thousands of people at one time)? Basically, the advantage is that cause and effect relationships are the product of the research. Cross-sectional surveys (for example, surveying those on flexitime and those not on flexitime) obviously serve important functions by describing events and attitudes, but such research cannot be used to infer causality. This is true of any correlational research.

The best experimental evaluations are often designed so that the same individuals are observed under several conditions. For example, the same people may be studied as they systematically are shifted to different kinds of flexible work schedules. With this design, it is possible to identify critical elements of a program that are beneficial or detrimental to employees, management, and the overall agency. When properly conducted, the experimental approach also yields important feedback on a program's effects, providing a mechanism to change a program for maximum benefits.

Although our studies were exploratory, two hypotheses were tested. We predicted that change in the work schedule would result in (a) more time spent with the worker's family, and (b) less difficulty in performing home chores and other activities. In other words, we believed that even in limited flexitime programs, altering the work schedule would not simply lead to a change in time when activities were performed, but would result in the reproportioning of time, thus, facilitating some family functions. Organizational and political constraints did not permit us to conduct true-experiments; a quasi-experimental, nonequivalent control group design was used—an evaluation strategy that is becoming more popular among social scientists.⁴

Richard A. Winett is associate professor, Psychology Department, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University and Michael S. Neale is a graduate student at Yale University. Data for this article were collected while the authors were at the Institute for Behavioral Research, Inc., Silver Spring, Md. The research was funded by the Center for the Study of Metropolitan Problems of the National Institute of Mental Health.

The experiments and its participants

The experiments included secretarial and administrative personnel at the Washington, D.C., headquarters of the two Federal agencies. Thirty-two of Agency A's 600 employees participated and 65 of Agency B's 2,200 employees participated. Participants had at least one child under 13 years. The participants represented a crosssection of job levels, had an average annual salary in 1978 of approximately \$20,000 and had been in their jobs an average of 5.7 years. They were allowed to complete the research forms during work hours and received \$75 for home interviews which generally focused on the division of childcare and household chores.

At Agency A, the original work schedule set arrival time at 8:45 a.m., a half-hour lunch between 11:30 a.m. and 12:30 p.m., and departure at 5:15 p.m. Under the flexitime system, workers could arrive any time between 7:30 and 9:30 in the morning and leave (depending on arrival time) between 4 and 6 in the evening. The 30-minute lunch could be taken between 11:30 a.m. and 2 p.m., and "core" times were 9:30-11:30 a.m. and 2-4 p.m. An 8-hour day was required with no carryover of hours between days or weeks. Potentially, on flexitime, a worker could adopt a schedule that was 75 minutes earlier or 45 minutes later than the regular working hours. Workers were required to stay with a new schedule for at least one pay period. A sign-in, sign-out system was used, rather than a time clock or other equipment. Data were collected for 5 weeks before the flexitime program started and were collected for 14 weeks during the program.

A similar flexitime system was adopted at Agency B. However, the regular work hours at this agency were 8:15 a.m. to 4:45 p.m.; therefore, the maximum potential change under flexitime was 45 minutes earlier or later. Data were collected for 7 weeks prior to the start of flexitime and for 28 weeks during the program.

In each agency, alteration of work schedule followed three distinct patterns: (1) employees altered their schedule by a designated criterion and remained on this fixed flexible schedule for the duration of the study, (2) employees retained their original schedule, and (3) employees varied their schedule—sometimes arriving and departing work early, sometimes late, and sometimes working regular work hours—true flexitime. Because the true flexitime group only varied their schedule minimally and showed no significant changes on time or rating measures at Agency A and showed only minimal changes at Agency B, only data for those working fixed flexible hours and those keeping the original work schedules are presented in this report.

The main measures of the two studies were time-activity logs and a 15-item questionnaire. The time-activity log was completed about twice per week and required participants to indicate on a standard form for every activity the time it began and ended; the setting in which the activity took place; the people who were present during the activity, and secondary activities (for example, watching television while eating dinner). Each activity was rated on a 5-point scale from 1 ("dislike a great deal") to 5 ("enjoy a great deal").

Participants at Agency A received only minimal training on the use of the log, and no reliability checks were made on the data. At Agency B, participants received training and more than 2,000 checks of the reliability of the data were made using four techniques: random phone calls to participants; correspondence of log data to a known event; log reports of spouse collected at a later date; and correspondence of office archival data to log data. Reliability of log information was 80 percent.

At Agency A, log data were reduced to eight standard categories (morning or evening time with spouse, children, spouse and children, or alone). At Agency B, "time at work" was added to these eight categories and log data were reduced to nine main categories, plus 37 subcategories (for example, dinner, exercise, watching television).

Once a week at Agency A, or semimonthly at Agency B, participants completed a questionnaire on which they rated (on a 7-point scale) the ease or difficulty involved in coordinating aspects of work and family life, particularly with respect to hours of work (for example, "The hours I work make spending time in the evening with my child(ren) _____"). Additional questions were asked to ascertain any change in status at work or conditions at home that could interact with work schedule and home-life relationships. The purpose of the scale was to pinpoint situations affected by the flexitime program, as perceived by the participant. The scale could also be used to confirm the importance of time allocation changes derived from the logs.

Several additional research methods were used. Sample participants and their spouses (or single parents alone) were interviewed together (once at Agency A and three times at Agency B), following a standard interview schedule that focused on the division of childcare and household chores. The purposes of the interviews were to ascertain if specific divisions of childcare and household chores were predictive of adopting flexitime and, if at Agency B, changes occurred as a result of adopting flexitime.

A checklist was used to examine changes in weekend activities alone and with family members and another measure focused on particular problems experienced by two-earner, single-parent, or one-earner families. Finally, surveys were conducted with a random sample of employees not included in the sample to gain an impression of the effects of flexitime at the work site. The results of these additional measures are not presented formally here, but are discussed as they pertain to the log or interview data.

Experience at Agency A

Workers who opted for fixed flexible hours at Agency A were younger, with fewer and younger children, and fewer years on the job than those who kept the regular hours (see table 1). Sample participants were at a mean mid-level for their jobs and about one-third were men.

Log data. Log data was categorized by coders as engaged in with spouse, with children, with spouse and children, or alone. An average time per activity was then calculated (see table 2). The group on fixed flexible hours arose about 38 minutes earlier and arrived at work about 56 minutes earlier. However, bedtime for them was only 13 minutes earlier. There was almost no change for those who remained on regular hours.

Evening time with spouse and children and with spouse alone differed significantly between the two groups. Such differences may have resulted because of the difference in age of the groups' children, with the presence of older children reducing the necessity of both parents spending time with children. However, the analysis indicates that time with children increased for the workers on fixed flexible hours, and decreased for those who remained on regular hours. Both work groups

Characteristic	Flexitime	Regular time
Agency A:		
Men	3.0	4.0
Women	7.0	7.0
Age	28.1	32.4
Age of spouse	29.0	33.2
Number of children	1.3	1.8
Age of children	4.1	6.8
Years on job	3.7	5.2
Families of male participants:		
Two earner	2.0	2.0
Single earner (male)	1.0	1.0
Part-time working wife	.0	1.0
Families of female participants:		
Two earner	6.0	4.0
Single parent	1.0	3.0
Agency B:		
Men	13.0	14.0
Women	11.0	12.0
Age	33.4	34.4
Age of spouse	31.1	32.6
Number of children	1.8	1.8
Age of children	5.7	5.9
Years on job	5.3	7.4
Families of male participants:		
Two earner	4.0	2.0
Single earner (male)	7.0	10.0
Part-time working wife	2.0	2.0
Families of female participants:		
Two earner	5.0	5.0
Single parent	6.0	7.0

Table 2. Time data for participants of flexitime experiment at Agency A

	Fixed flexible	e hours group	Regular ho	ours group	
Item	Before flexitime	During flexitime	Before flexitime	During flexitime	
Time arise	6.48	1 5.83	6.51	6.57	
Time to sleep	10.87	10.65	11.01	11.00	
Start work	8.68	17.75	8.58	8.57	
Time spent (in minutes):					
With children	58	182	88	68	
With spouse	31	237	84	71	
With spouse and					
children	88	130	40	64	
With family, overall	177	1249	212	203	

showed an increase in time spent with spouse and children, and time with spouse increased for those on fixed flexible hours and decreased for those on regular hours. Overall, family time increased by more than an hour for workers on fixed flexible hours and remained about the same for those on regular hours. Seven of ten workers on fixed flexible hours increased the time they spent with their families by more than 35 percent, compared with only 2 of 11 workers who remained on regular hours. There was no significant difference between the two groups in change in time for the evening alone category and morning categories.

Questionnaire data. A mean score for each item in the questionnaire was obtained for each participant before and during flexitime periods. The pre-flexitime scores of the two groups did not differ significantly. However, during the flexitime period, significant differences were found for eight items for workers on fixed flexible hours. They perceived that it was easier to spend afternoon time with their child(ren), and spend time with their spouse during the week; see friends during the week; and in the evening spend time with their child(ren), pursue additional education, engage in recreation and hobbies, complete shopping and chores, or just relax.

Experience at Agency B

Those who chose flexible hours at Agency B and those who remained on regular hours had similar family characteristics (see table 1). However, those who worked regular hours had more years on the job. Also, this group included five supervisory personnel, whereas the fixed flexitime group had no supervisors. Participants were at a mean mid-job level and more than half of them were men.

Log data. Mean time for each participant was calculated before flexitime and for three periods during flexitime: spring, summer, and fall. The phases allowed for

		Fixed flexible	e hours group			Regular h	ours group	
Item	Before		During flexitime Before	Before		During flexitime		
	flexitime	Spring	Summer	Fall	flexitime	Spring	Summer	Fall
ime arise ime to sleep tart work ind work	5.92 10.97 8.23 4.75	¹ 5.74 10.74 ¹ 7.62 ² 4.16	¹ 5.74 10.90 ¹ 7.63 ¹ 4.16	15.67 10.85 17.68 14.21	6.09 10.86 8.13 4.85	6.08 10.92 8.01 4.84	6.18 10.96 8.05 4.81	6.16 11.05 8.09 4.84
me spent (in minutes): With children With spouse With spouse and children With family, overall Commuting home	76 72 103 251 63	³ 89 64 ³ 134 ² 287 ³ 60	² 91 58 147 ³ 296 ³ 57	90 62 ² 130 282 ² 55	79 66 96 241 54	77 71 90 238 55	67 182 110 259 55	90 75 75 240 57

examination of seasonal effects and corresponded to the school attendance of children.

During flexitime the 24 participants on fixed flexible hours altered their mean time of starting work from 8:15 a.m. to 7:37 a.m. and departed from work at 4:11 p.m. instead of 4:45. The mean arising time became earlier for the workers on fixed flexible hours (by about 12 minutes), while those keeping regular hours arose about 5 minutes later. Workers on fixed flexible hours went to bed 8 minutes earlier; the other group went to bed 7 minutes later (see table 3).

Time with spouse increased during the summer for those on regular hours. Time spent with children increased significantly for workers on fixed flexible hours during the spring and summer. The time they spent with their spouse and children increased during the spring, summer, and fall.

Overall time spent with family increased about 37 minutes for those on fixed flexible hours, compared with an increase of only about 5 minutes for those on regular hours. Both groups tended to increase family-related time during the summer. Generally, differences between groups somewhat dissipated by the fall. The increase in time spent with spouse during the summer for workers on regular hours may be attributed to the presence of supervisors in this group. During the summer, supervisors were involved in labor negotiations and tended to arrive home later than usual. Their later arrival at home also may have contributed to the large reduction in time with children during the summer for the group who kept regular hours. When supervisors were removed from the sample, there was no difference in time with spouse during the summer.

Workers on fixed flexitime showed a greater mean percentage of participants increasing their time spent with children and spouse and with the family in the evening, than workers on regular hours. Time spent with family differed by type of family; for example, men

32

pitized for FRASER ps://fraser.stlouisfed.org deral Reserve Bank of St. Louis from single-earner families spent less evening time with their children than women from two-earner families. There were no differences between the two groups on other items involving work time. Generally, the group on regular hours worked about 13 minutes longer each day.

Although the workers on fixed flexible hours took longer to commute to work before flexitime, the difference was not significant and can be attributed to the slightly longer distance traveled to and from work. During flexitime, time spent commuting decreased for this group—commuting home time decreased by about 5 minutes, while for those on regular hours, commuting home time increased by about 2 minutes.

An examination of the modes of commuting (for example, car, carpool, bus) indicated that those on regular hours decreased their use of carpools by about 10 percent and increased their use of other methods. However, carpool use did not decrease for those on fixed flexible hours, suggesting that their decrease in time commuting home was not accomplished by changing transportation mode (for example, increased use of private cars).

Questionnaire data. Workers on fixed flexible hours at Agency B rated the same items on the questionnaire as "easier" during flexitime as at Agency A. Ratings of commuting time showed minimal change, possibly indicating that the "real" time saved in commuting was not perceived as meaningful, or was not noticeable.

¹ Similarly, Federal workers on flexible schedules in 1977 reported that such schedules allowed them to spend more time with their families. See "Concept wins converts at Federal agency," *Monthly Labor Review*, February 1977, pp. 71–74.

³ See M. Herson and D. Barlow, *Single Case Experimental Designs: Strategies for Studying Behavior Change* (New York, Pergamon Press, 1976).

⁴ Campbell, "Reforms as Experiments."

² See D. T. Campbell, "Reforms as Experiments," American Psychologist, 1969, pp. 409-29.

Record white-collar pay increase closes decade but trails inflation

FELICE PORTER

White-collar salaries, as reported by the Bureau of Labor Statistics' survey of professional, administrative, technical, and clerical pay rose a record 9.1 percent during the year ended March 1980.¹ This was the second time that increases hit 9 percent in a decade that saw white-collar salaries double, while prices slightly exceeded that rate—up 106 percent. (See table 1.) These movements contrasted to those of the preceding decade when white-collar salaries went up roughly 46 percent and prices, approximately 30 percent.

Not all white-collar salaries increased at the same rate. During the first half of the 1970's, for example, clerical salaries outpaced those of professional, administrative, and technical personnel; in the last half the reverse was true. The key salary increase periods for clerical workers outpacing professional, administrative, and technical workers were 1971–72 and 1974–75. For the latter group, the key periods were 1976–77, 1977– 78, and 1979–80, and in this last year their salaries rose an unprecedented 9.3 percent.

From March 1979 to March 1980, 7 of the 13 survey occupations comprising the professional, administrative, and technical support group (see below) had the largest annual increases for their respective occupations since the survey began in 1960. These occupations were auditors, chief accountants, attorneys, directors of personnel, engineers, engineering technicians, and drafters. During the same period, only two clerical jobs—accounting clerks and secretaries (not surveyed in 1975) registered the highest salary gains in two decades. For the clerical group as a whole, average salaries rose 8.8 percent in the 1979–80 period. Increases for occupations within both groups are listed in the following tabulation:

Professi	ional, administrative,
and	technical support
	occupations

Clerical occupations

Accountants	9.2	Accounting clerks	8.9
Public accountants	4.2	File clerks	9.3
Auditors	8.8	Key entry operators .	9.1
Chief accountants	11.3	Messengers	5.5
Attorneys	9.3	Personnel clerks	8.6
Buyers	8.1	Secretaries	9.6
Job analysts	8.1	Stenographers	10.1
Directors of personnel	11.2	Typists	8.9
Chemists	9.8		

Felice Porter is an economist in the Office of Wages and Industrial Relations, Bureau of Labor Statistics.

Survey periods ¹	All survey occupations	Professional, administrative, and technical occupations	Clerical occupations	Consumer Price Index ³
1970-1980	100.1	99.0	100.1	106.24
1970-1971	6.6	6.7	6.5	4.5
1971 - 1972 ²	5.8	5.5	6.1	2.9
1972 - 1973	5.4	5.4	5.4	4.7
1973-1974	6.4	6.3	6.4	10.2
1974 - 1975	9.0	8.3	9.6	10.3
1975 - 1976	7.0	6.7	7.3	6.1
1976-1977	6.9	7.1	6.6	6.4
1977 - 1978	7.9	8.3	7.4	6.5
1978-1979	7.8	7.7	7.8	10.2
1979 - 1980	9.1	9.3	8.8	14.7
1970 - 1975	37.9	36.6	38.9	35.7
1975-1980	45.2	45.7	44.1	52.0

¹ A March payroll period has been used since the 1972 survey. The 1970 and 1971 surveys had a June reference period for all occupations.

²The wage survey data did not represent a 12-month period due to a change in survey timing. Data have been prorated to represent a 12-month interval.

³ Čhanges in the Consumer Price Index represent a June to June period for 1970-71 and 1971-72 and a March to March period for all subsequent years shown. ⁴ This period is June 1970 to March 1980.

Note: Mandatory wage and price controls were in effect for most industries from August 31, 1971 to April 30, 1974.

Engineers	9.8
Computer operators	8.3
Engineering technicians	11.0
Drafters	11.8

The 91 occupational work levels covered by the March 1980 survey represented a wide range of duties and responsibilities. Salaries for professional and administrative occupations averaged from \$1,238 per month for buyers I and auditors I (the lowest levels of these two job series) to \$5,053 per month for attorneys VI (the top of the attorney series). (See table 2.) At the other end of the salary spectrum, clericals averaged from \$657 per month for file clerks performing routine filing, to \$1,653 for level V personnel clerks. The latter, generally found in large manufacturing establishments, function more as staff assistants or technicians than as clerical workers.

Despite wide differences in the occupational pay levels reported by the survey, the findings show that salary averages for jobs of equivalent levels of work fall within relatively narrow bands. For example, monthly averages for the following work-level equivalents barely spanned \$300 in March 1980, with the exception of public accountant III.³

Accountant IV	\$2,180
Auditor IV	2,232
Attorney II	2,129
Buyer IV	2,315
Chemist IV	2,307
Chief accountant I	2,362
Director of personnel I	2,060
Engineer IV	2,374
Job analyst IV	2,193
Public accountant III	1,650

Occupation and level		Mean	Monthly salaries ²		es ²	Occupation and level			Monthly salaries ²		
	Number of employees ¹			Middle range ³			Number	Mean		Middle range ³	
			Median	1st quartile	3rd quartile		employees 1		Median	1st quartile	3rd quartile
ACCOUNTANTS AND AUDITORS						Chemists VI	4,532	\$3,178	\$3,066	\$2,850 3,418	\$3,440
Accountants I	12,142	\$1,262	\$1,245	\$1,130	\$1,374		.,	0,021	-,		.,
Accountants II	19,560	1,536	1,475	1,333	1,699	Engineers I	20,813	1,618	1,624	1,500	1,739
Accountants III	32,903	1,775	1,733	1,558	1,962	Engineers II	41,742	1,774	1,750	1,624	1,910
Accountants IV	20,312	2,180	2,144	1,958	2,388	Engineers III	95,382	2,013	1,985	1,820	2,188
	7,452	2,661	2,624	2,405	2,899		123,829	2,3/4	2,350	2,142	2,593
Accountants VI	1,100	3,358	3,290	3,043	3,665		92,315	2,762	2,/3/	2,503	3,000
Auditors	1 770	1 220	1 255	1.000	1 222	Engineers VI	42,719	3,100	3,100	2,909	3,441
Auditors II	2,521	1,230	1,200	1,090	1,555	Engineers VIII	2 027	1 172	1 082	2,825	1 451
Auditors II	4 437	1,300	1,400	1,235	2 028		5,027	4,175	4,002	3,025	4,451
Auditors IV	3,076	2,232	2,229	2,000	2,415	TECHNICAL SUPPORT					
Public accountants I	7,960	1,247	1,250	1,200	1,299	Engineering technicians I	4,782	1,019	984	878	1,112
Public accountants II	7,649	1,391	1,374	1,308	1,458	Engineering technicians II	17,441	1,184	1,156	1,050	1,280
Public accountants III	6,799	1,650	1,616	1,500	1,766	Engineering technicians III	29,527	1,396	1,364	1,226	1,534
Public accountants IV	2,972	1,992	1,942	1,774	2,149	Engineering technicians IV	34,128	1,629	1,614	1,460	1,773
Chief accountents I	550	0.060	0.400	0.005	2 500	Engineering technicians v	18,054	1,860	1,840	1,686	2,022
Chief accountants I	901	2,302	2,430	2,235	2,500	Draftors	2 5 9 1	951	821	750	020
Chief accountants II	656	3 121	3 3 3 3 3	3 120	3 677	Drafters II	11 764	074	0/6	850	1 072
Chief accountants IV	100	A 173	4 057	3,750	4 628	Drafters III	22 813	1 102	1 150	1 040	1 304
	100	4,170	4,007	0,700	4,020	Drafters IV	26.622	1.435	1,400	1,251	1,580
ATTORNEYS						Drafters V	20,485	1,807	1,751	1,556	1,999
Attorneys I	1,629	1,743	1,700	1,460	2,000	Computer operators I	6,837	847	819	725	946
Attorneys II	2,776	2,129	2,124	1,900	2,356	Computer operators II	6,285	1,001	956	851	1,158
Attorneys III	3,174	2,753	2,717	2,450	3,035	Computer operators III	29,710	1,080	1,050	916	1,186
Attorneys IV	2,753	3,405	3,332	3,000	3,770	Computer operators IV	16,430	1,337	1,294	1,142	1,4/9
Attorneys V	622	4,155 5,053	4,115	3,583 4,417	4,623 5,750	Computer operators V	734	1,538	1,609	1,535	1,747
BUYERS						CLERICAL					
Buyers I	6,520	1,238	1,207	1,080	1,350	Accounting clerks I	31,935	734	702	626	802
Buyers II	18,432	1,539	1,515	1,360	1,675	Accounting clerks II	88,878	865	820	728	947
Buyers III	16,479	1,909	1,874	1,674	2,086	Accounting clerks III	62,378	1,027	983	869	1,150
Buyers IV	5,187	2,315	2,249	2,025	2,583	Accounting clerks IV	21,803	1,280	1,250	1,060	1,469
						File clerks I	27,870	706	607	509	700
PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT						File clerks II	14,721	010	007	756	1 000
lob analysts I	130	1 338	1 294	1 100	1 510	Key entry operators I	66 771	832	782	695	905
Job analysts II	436	1,400	1,325	1,205	1,562	Key entry operators II	44,532	977	937	808	1.085
Job analysts III	648	1,790	1,711	1,575	1,949	Messengers	18,360	713	663	600	760
Job analysts IV	546	2,193	2,165	1,916	2,457	Personnel clerks I	2,273	799	760	700	850
						Personnel clerks II	5,343	961	904	805	1,047
Directors of personnel I	1,200	2,060	1,999	1,816	2,282	Personnel clerks III	3,930	1,075	1,043	915	1,187
Directors of personnel II	1,459	2,653	2,582	2,312	2,966	Personnel clerks IV	1,942	1,311	1,243	1,108	1,480
Directors of personnel III	921	3,151	3,100	2,750	3,511	Personnel clerks V	584	1,653	1,530	1,380	1,965
Directors of personnel IV	326	4,144	4,068	3,685	4,632	Secretaries I	42,766	941	920	823	1,040
CHEMISTS AND ENGINEEDS						Secretaries II	83,137	1,051	1,019	894	1,183
CHEMISTS AND ENGINEERS						Secretaries IV	50,005	1,100	1,133	1 066	1,308
Chemists I	2 824	1 350	1 332	1 208	1 454	Secretaries V	16 200	1 428	1 398	1 177	1 642
Chemists II	5 299	1 631	1,585	1 438	1 785	Stenographers general	20,980	992	925	791	1 1 1 4 7
Chemists III	10,192	1.948	1 929	1,716	2141	Stenographers senior	19.333	1.156	1.152	963	1,313
Chemists IV	10,519	2.307	2.285	2.074	2.526	Typists	43,586	763	720	650	817
				0.500				0.10			1

¹ Occupational employment estimates relate to the total of all establishments within the survey and not to the number actually surveyed.
² Salaries reported relate to the standard salaries that were paid for standard work sched-

² Salaries reported relate to the standard salaries that were paid for standard work schedules, that is, the straight-time salary corresponding to the employee's normal work schedule excluding overtime hours. Nonproduction bonuses are excluded, but cost-of-living bonuses and incentive payments are included.

³ The middle range (interquartile) is the central part of the array excluding the upper and lower fourths of the employee distribution.

Noτe: The following occupational levels were surveyed but data did not meet publication criteria: Chief accountant V, director of personnel V, and chemist VIII.

Other job groupings by work level showed similar results. Such salary structures produced by the survey do not necessarily correspond to those found within individual firms. They do not take into account the effect of industry mix on the averages or the disproportionate contribution of employment to any of the job categories by high-paying or low-paying firms. What the survey structures do show is that companies, on the average, pay in relation to the level of duties and responsibilities and recognize the equivalencies that exist among a wide range of occupations within broad categories, such as professional and administrative workers.

A more detailed analysis of white-collar salaries and complete survey results are contained in a bulletin, National Survey of Professional, Administrative, Technical, and Clerical Pay, March 1980.
-FOOTNOTES -

¹ The survey is conducted annually with a March reference period in metropolitan areas and nonmetropolitan counties in the United States, except Alaska and Hawaii. It currently covers establishments employing a minimum of either 50, 100, or 250 employees, depending upon the private-sector industry.

² For a complete grouping of equivalent job levels covered by the survey, see *National Survey of Professional, Administrative, Technical, and Clerical Pay, March 1980*, (BLS Bulletin 2081), table D-1.

³ The survey's public accountant job is unique on several counts. The job is found in only one industry classification, that of accounting, auditing, and bookkeeping services. The public accountant job as defined by the survey is often used as a career path for workers gaining experience for a public accounting certification or those working towards partnerships in public accounting firms. The salaries reported by the survey do not reflect nonproduction bonuses which are commonly provided to public accountants. (It should be noted that in the survey coding structure, the level designations among various accounting jobs are not synonymous, for example, public accountants I-IV equate to accountants II-V.)

The sounds of silence: little aid awarded for job-related hearing loss

The Environmental Protection Agency has examined a health problem that, until now, has not received much attention or financial compensation—permanent hearing loss resulting from continual exposure to noise at the workplace. The report, which looks at the compensation practices of the States and the Federal Government, claims the lack of compensation can be attributed to unrealistic medical standards for determining hearing loss, and gross inequities in the present system which leaves questions of compensation to the discretion of the States, many of which do not award compensation for hearing loss or set up prohibitive restrictions on worker eligibility and award only small sums.

According to the report, occupational hearing loss affects a surprisingly large number of workers. Results of two studies¹ show 30 percent of the workers interviewed were exposed to what they considered excessive noise on the job, and that hearing loss made up 28 percent of the probable occupational disease cases of the workers sampled. Ten percent of the workers participating in the studies had suffered some hearing loss. Thus, the report concluded it is not just a few, but a rather substantial number of workers who are potentially affected by arbitrary medical and legal criteria for compensation.

The inadequacies of current programs are partially a result of the nature of the disease itself. Noise-induced hearing loss (caused by the swelling and distortion of the nerves in the inner ear) takes place gradually over a working life; consequently, medical data are more difficult to obtain and once obtained, more difficult to analyze. An equally thorny problem is that of relating the findings of audiometric tests to everyday problems of communication. What does so esoteric a problem as impaired pure tone hearing mean for a worker's social activities, family life, and career opportunities? The elusiveness of hearing impairment has severely hampered efforts to set up a more equitable compensation system.

The medical thresholds used to measure hearing loss have been controversial since the end of the Second World War, when workers in war-related industries began to file hearing loss claims. In 1959, the American Academy of Ophthalmology and Otolaryngology established a widely accepted hearing loss formula which stated "hearing impairment should be evaluated in terms of ability to hear everyday speech under everyday conditions," everyday conditions being "the ability to hear sentences and repeat them correctly in a quiet environment." This definition made uncompensable a number of noise-induced hearing disorders such as high frequency hearing loss (which damages the ability to hear speech above background noise) and tinnitus (ringing in the ears). Most importantly, it did not consider thresholds for measuring impairment to the functions of discriminating and understanding speech. The academy formula only measured pure tone and hearing speech; and such measurements drastically understate the difficulties encountered by the hearing impaired in their daily lives, where background noise, accents, and other distractions create obstacles not found in laboratory tests. Despite these shortcomings, the 1959 formula became the medical standard of the compensation laws of a majority of the States.

As audiometrics became more sophisticated, the 1959 formula came under increasing attack, and in 1978, the academy revised the old formula to include impairments to high frequency hearing. Nevertheless, the 1959 version remains law in 18 States, and its restrictive criteria severely constrain worker eligibility for compensation benefits. Yet, according to the study even the new standard has serious weaknesses, including inadequate research of several key hearing problems (for instance, the formula makes no attempt to establish a realistic assessment of the rate of growth of hearing impairment). Environmental Protection Agency researchers recommend that both the 1959 and the 1978 academy formulas be replaced by that of the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health which has lower thresholds, thus assessing hearing loss more realistically, and furthermore, that the upper threshold used to mark total hearing loss be lowered to reflect the point where practical hearing ability is irrevocably damaged.

Compensation barriers under State programs

Medical ambiguities are not the only obstacles a worker encounters when trying to claim hearing loss compensation. Laws and application procedures in many States have kept the number of eligible claimants low. Data on claims paid showed only nine States (with New Jersey and California heading the list) award a fairly substantial number of claims. At the other end of the spectrum, nine States with a third of all industrial workers (among them Pennsylvania, Ohio, Michigan, and Indiana) have legal restrictions that for all practical purposes make hearing loss uncompensable. The other 32 States fall somewhere in the middle with their statutory restrictions, but in reality, they compensate only a few cases. According to this breakdown, 70 percent of all the Nation's industrial workers live in States that pay few or no claims.

The most prohibitive barrier to compensation is the waiting period instituted by most States to allow for recovery of any temporary hearing loss. While otologists and compensation authorities agree that a waiting period is necessary for an accurate appraisal of hearing impairment, none recommend a period of more than 2 weeks. Most States have waiting periods of 2 to 6 months after removal from the noisy employment. Many employees die, move away, or change companies before qualifying for a claim. Also, because most hearing loss occurs during the first ten years of noise exposure, a waiting period that postpones compensation maximizes the damage done to a worker's ears.

Several States also place an excessive burden of proof on the claimant. While most compensation laws do require a claimant to demonstrate exposure to hazardous conditions, too strong a burden could defeat an otherwise justified claim. Utah, which requires a "professional" noise test showing noise levels exceeding 95 dBA, is cited as an example of a State with an oppressively strong burden of proof. Problems arise when changes in the workplace have brought about reductions in the noise level and made it impossible for the worker to show previous exposure to higher, harmful levels. To resolve these problems, the study suggests a claim be considered in light of a reasonable presumption based on a worker's career history and the testimony of the claimant's doctor, rather than compelling the worker to prove prolonged exposure to a set threshold ceiling.

The filing time limits, which give the worker a specific period in which to file his claim after his last exposure, are still another obstacle to compensation. States with more liberal compensation policies have "discovery" rules which mean statutory filing time limits do not begin until the worker has become aware of his impairment. In other States, filing limits extend from 6 months to 2 years after the date of injury (in the case of hearing impairment, some States define date of injury as the last day of employment with a particular employer). These filining limits can disqualify an otherwise eligible claimant if he is unaware of his compensation rights or the work-relatedness of his hearing loss. To assure maximum worker eligibility, the study urges that the statute

36

gitized for FRASER ps://fraser.stlouisfed.org deral Reserve Bank of St. Louis of limitations for hearing loss (as well as all occupational diseases) be removed.

Federal workers fare better

The author takes a much kinder view of Federal hearing loss compensation programs. The Federal program covers all employees and blue-collar workers in Federal installations. Compensation is paid for physical impairment without consideration of loss of earnings. The Bureau of Federal Employees Compensation in the Department of Labor examines each claim and decides whether or not the claim is justified. The employing agency plays no part in the proceedings, it can only present related facts to the Bureau. In awarding compensation, the Bureau looks to see if work exposure to noise exceeds 85 dBA, and if a claimant's average hearing level falls outside normal hearing thresholds. Funding comes out of general revenue. The lack of outside scrutiny and the rising number of hearing loss claims by Federal employees have created a number of administrative problems for the Bureau, and changes in current procedures are being contemplated.

This study finds that workers are being denied adequate compensation for hearing loss as a result of unrealistic and severe medical formulas and State compensation laws, while Federal workers fare better, thanks to more realistic hearing thresholds and a nonadversary approach to compensation. Its final recommendations are made in the hope that by liberalizing compensation practices so that the true extent of occupational hearing loss is realized, steps will be taken to prevent as well as compensate it.

Occupational Hearing Loss: Workers Compensation Under State and Federal Programs prepared by Richard E. Ginnold for the Environmental Protection Agency, is available from the agency's Office of Noise Abatement and Control, Washington, D.C., 20460.

-FOOTNOTE-

¹ David P. Discher and others, National Occupational Hazard Survey: Pilot Study for Development of an Occupational Disease Surveillance Method, (Cincinnati, Ohio, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, 1975); and, Robert P. Quinn and Graham L. Staines, The 1977 Quality of Employment Survey, (Ann Arbor, Mich., University of Michigan, Survey Research Center, 1978).

Occupational wage trends in the printing industry

Wage rates for members of printing trades unions, in cities with populations of 100,000 or more, increased by an average of 7.0 percent between July 1, 1977 and September 1, 1978, or 6.0 percent if prorated to cover a 12-month period, according to a Bureau of Labor Sta-

tistics survey. The prorated increase was the smallest in 9 years and follows 2 years of moderate gains. (See table 1.) Increases for union members averaged 4.8 percent in newspaper plants, 6.2 percent in book and job shops, and 7.3 percent in lithography shops.

Variations in average wage increases for the three printing trades studied separately reflect, in part, differences in the proportion of workers receiving increases between July 1, 1977 and September 1, 1978, 92 percent in book and job, 72 percent in newspapers, and 99 percent in lithography. For workers receiving rate increases, however, gains fell within a similar range, regardless of printing branch. Excluding the upper and lower fourths of the wage rate arrays, increases for the middle half of the workers ranged from 6.1 to 10.1 percent in book and job shops, 5.4 to 10.3 percent in newspaper plants, and 7.4 to 9.8 percent in lithography shops.

Among regions, the Pacific reported the largest average wage rate increase, 9.6 percent. The smallest regional increases were reported for New England, 5.5 percent, and the Middle Atlantic, 5.2 percent, largely reflecting the relatively small gains in Boston and New York City, the predominant cities in the two regions. For the Great Lakes, the region with the largest printing trades membership outside the Middle Atlantic states, the increase was 7.9 percent.

On September 1, 1978, union printing trades workers averaged \$9.07 an hour. Day-shift rates in lithography shops averaged \$10.03 an hour compared with \$8.97 for those in newspaper plants (\$9.23 including night-shift rates) and \$8.51 for those in book and job shops.

Occupational rates in book and job shops ranged from an average of \$5.90 for bindery workers to \$10.51 for photoengravers. The range of average daywork rates in newspaper plants was from \$8.55 for mailers to \$9.84 for photoengravers. In commercial lithography shops, the average for press assistants and feeders was lowest, \$8.96, while that for scanner operators was the highest, \$12.13; the latter also was the highest day-shift average for the survey as a whole.

Average pay levels in the Pacific region were \$9.62, in the Middle Atlantic, \$9.51, and in the Great Lakes, \$9.11. In contrast, they were \$7.29 in the Southwest and \$7.13 in the Southeast. Although less important than location, city population size also seemed to be related to wage levels. For example, on September 1, 1978, wage rates in cities of at least 1 million inhabitants averaged \$9.86, about 10 percent more than the \$8.97 rate in cities of 500,000 to 1 million; 14 percent more than the \$8.67 rate in cities of 250,000 to 500,000; and 22 percent more than the \$8.06 rate in cities of 100,000 to 250,000.

On request, the Bureau or any of its regional offices will provide listings of union wage rates and employer payments for selected employee benefit funds in each of

Year	Total	Book and job	Newspaper	Lithography
1968–69	6.6	6.3	6.6	7.6
1969 - 70	8.3	8.2	7.9	9.7
1970-71	10.2	10.5	10.2	9.5
1971-72	8.0	8.0	8.3	6.9
1972-73	6.3	7.4	5.6	5.5
1973-74	8.1	7.1	8.6	9.1
1974 - 75	8.5	8.8	8.2	8.4
1975-76	6.9	6.9	7.1	6.5
1976-77	6.6	7.0	6.2	6.6
1977 - 781	6.0	6.2	4.8	7.3

the 66 cities studied. A more detailed bulletin, providing national, regional, and city averages, and wage trends dating back to 1907, is for sale by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402. \Box

Communications industry record slow wage gains

During 1978, wage levels for principal telephone carriers rose 6.2 percent; for international telegraph carriers, 5.2 percent; and for the Western Union Telegraph Co., 7.0 percent, according to an annual wage survey by the Bureau of Labor Statistics. The late 1977–78 increases for telephone carriers and for Western Union were their smallest annual gains of the 1970's. Yearly increases between 1970 and 1977 averaged 10.7 percent for telephone carriers and 9.5 percent for each type of telegraph carrier.

The 1978 survey of the communications industry covered 870,100 workers of major telephone carriers and nearly 16,000 telegraph workers. Combined, they accounted for approximately nine-tenths of the almost 1 million workers in telephone and wire-telegraph communications.

In December 1978, straight-time hourly earnings of workers employed by the principal telephone carriers averaged \$8.43. Employees of Bell System carriers, slightly over nine-tenths of the surveyed telephone workers, averaged 22 percent more than those of other carriers—\$8.55 compared with \$7.02. Individual earnings of just over four-fifths of the workers fell within a range of \$3.50 to \$11.50 an hour; about one-eighth earned over \$11.50. Hourly earnings for the middle 50 percent of the work force ranged between \$6.47 and \$9.44 an hour. Some factors contributing to the wide dispersion of earnings were the numerous types of skills required by the telephone industry, differences in pay by carrier and locality, and varying lengths of employee service.

Average hourly earnings among the major occupational categories in telephone communications ranged from \$12.97 for professional and semiprofessional employees to \$6.26 for telephone operators at the time of the survey. Construction, installation, and maintenance employees were the largest employment group, with just over 316,000 workers; hourly earnings for these workers averaged \$8.79. Some other numerically important job classifications and their hourly averages were: business office and sales employees (\$8.27); building, supplies, and motor vehicle employees (\$7.79), and clerical employees (\$7.10).

Wage rates for the nonmessenger work force of five international telegraph carriers averaged \$9.70 an hour compared with \$7.84 for similiar employees of the Western Union Telegraph Company in October 1978. Messengers averaged \$5.02 an hour at Western Union and \$3.59 for the international carriers. At the time of the survey, hourly pay levels for construction, installation, and maintenance employees—a heavily populated group—were \$8.72 at Western Union and \$9.76 for the international carriers.

Annual BLS studies of communications, which cover the full spectrum of activities performed by employees in the telephone and telegraph industries, are based on data submitted to the Federal Communications Commission. The data are provided by telephone carriers subject to the full jurisdiction of the Commission and with annual operating revenues exceeding \$1 million, the Western Union Telegraph Co., and five international telegraph carriers with annual revenues of more than \$50,000.

A comprehensive report, Industry Wage Survey: Communications, October-December 1978 (BLS Bulletin 2071) is available.

The age of specialization (B.C.)

I am reminded that we are not all alike; there are diversities of natures among us which are adapted to different occupations.

Very true.

And will you have a work better done when the workman has many occupations, or when he has only one?

When he has only one.

—PLATO, The Republic, Book II

Foreign Labor Developments



ILO meeting supported older workers, improved standards supervision

PETER ACCOLLA

The 1980 Conference of the International Labor Organization, the first major ILO gathering since the United States formally rejoined the tripartite organization,¹ dealt constructively with a number of crucial labor issues. The 66th Session, held in Geneva during June 4– 25, addressed, among other topics, the needs of older workers, workplace safety and health, and the application of all ILO standards. Although discussion of political issues consumed much of its 3-week session, the conference nevertheless completed its technical work in good order and produced a comprehensive report on the observance of ILO human rights standards.

Government, employer, and worker delegates from 138 countries participated in this year's conference, including those representing the new member states of Saint Lucia, Grenada, Vietnam, Zimbabwe and Lesotho. Gerhard Weissenberg, Austria's minister for social affairs, was elected conference president.

Conference actions

A new standard on the employment of older workers was adopted unanimously. It promotes antidiscrimination laws and better working conditions for millions of older workers throughout the world. The conference also endorsed a number of proposals which, after further committee review in 1981, may lead to the adoption of new standards on collective bargaining, equality of treatment for workers with family responsibilities, and safety and health in the workplace.

The conference adopted the report submitted by the committee that supervises the implementation of ILO standards. Serious violations of human rights in Czechoslovakia were highlighted, the first time in 4 years that an Eastern bloc country has been cited by the conference as a whole for noncompliance with ILO standards. The report also criticized the human rights policies of Argentina, Guatemala, Zaire, and a number of other countries. In addition, the Committee on the Application of Conventions and Recommendations reported the adoption of new measures to improve the presentation of conclusions to the conference. Countries that achieve greater compliance will be cited, and more detailed explanation of compliance problems will be provided when available. The adoption of this new approach followed extensive debate on a Soviet bloc proposal to eliminate the so-called "special list" and "special paragraphs" used to elicit compliance with standards. Rejection of the proposal allows the ILO to continue to highlight flagrant violations of workers' human and social rights.

The conference endorsed specific recommendations for action by governments, employers, and workers and by the ILO against apartheid labor practices in the Republic of South Africa. The recommendations, proposed by the Committee on Apartheid, included a request to update the 1964 Declaration Concerning the Policy of Apartheid and to organize within 1 year an international tripartite meeting in one of the "front-line" African states to plan a joint international program of action.

A resolution criticizing Israeli settlements in Palestine and other occupied Arab territories was adopted in the first use of secret-ballot voting. Under the ILO's unique rules, abstentions are not counted towards a quorum. The resolution achieved the necessary quorum (257) for adoption in plenary when 15 delegates voted against the resolution, 249 in favor, and 156 abstained. Many states charged that the resolution violated existing due process machinery of the ILO and that it diverted the attention of the resolutions committee from consideration of issues more germane to the work of the ILO.

A resolution concerning newly independent Zimbabwe was unanimously adopted by the conference. The resolution requires the ILO to develop a program designed to address critical issues of resettlement, training, and worker education. A third resolution, also

Peter Accolla is an economist in the Office of International Organizations and Technical Assistance, Bureau of International Labor Affairs.

adopted unanimously, outlines the need for a rural development program, including agrarian reform to eradicate poverty and provide adequate nutrition, full employment, and useful education under conditions of freedom of association and equal treatment.

Finally, the conference responded favorably to a number of U.S. initiatives committing the ILO to study the need for minimum international labor standards and to examine the relationship between energy and employment.

Supervising ILO standards

This year, the Committee on the Application of Conventions and Recommendations examined closely its working methods and its manner of informing the conference of its conclusions in individual cases. As before, its 1980 report highlighted the shortcomings on the part of governments in ensuring the implementation of ratified conventions or otherwise complying with their constitutional obligations. Cases of continued failure over several years to eliminate serious deficiencies were, as usual, given great attention. For the first time, however, emphasis was also given to cases of government progress in ensuring the application of conventions and recommendations. A further innovation was that the committee will now report on the nature of the difficulties which governments-particularly in developing countries-may face in the discharge of their obligations. Such explanations were provided by nine governments, while the committee noted the absence of reports or requested information in 30 cases concerning 17 countries. Direct contacts between representatives of the ILO and governments, technical cooperation, seminars, study courses, and fellowships were cited by the committee as means of assisting governments in overcoming reported difficulties.

Satisfactory progress toward standards compliance, resulting from changes made in law and practice, was noted for four countries (Gabon, Honduras, Kuwait, and the Philippines). Seven countries were reported in "special cases" paragraphs (notices of major violations); Argentina, Czechoslovakia, Guatemala, Tanzania, and Zaire were cited for severe violations of important ILO human rights conventions.

After examining member states' compliance with standards, the Committee of Experts on the Application of Standards provides an initial report outlining deficiencies. This analysis serves as the basis for discussion by the Committee on the Application of Conventions and Recommendations. Explanations given by government representatives and comments of employer and worker members in committee sessions permit a frank and constructive dialogue. These discussions are the final and most crucial phase of ILO supervision of ratified conventions. It is also the only forum in international organizations where national workers' and employers' organizations have the opportunity to question their own or another government's labor policies as they relate to specific issues or cases under discussion. This year, the committee discussed in depth a number of cases dealing with the key human rights conventions on forced labor, discrimination, and freedom of association.

Noting the constructive spirit that prevailed in the work of the Committee on the Application of Conventions and Recommendations, the conference unanimously adopted the committee's report. In addition, the conference noted changes made by countries during the past year (more than 70 cases) to comply with their international obligations.

Progress also was noted in the implementation of standards concerning migrant workers, and the conference expressed hope that a general survey made by the committee of experts would help overcome obstacles to their ratification and difficulties in their application.

Far-reaching resolutions finally emerge

This year, the Committee on Resolutions focused almost exclusively on the merits and objectives of a resolution presented by the government delegation of Jordan "deploring" Israeli settlements in Palestine and other occupied Arab territories. The resolution was one of five resolutions selected for priority consideration by the committee from a group of 17 resolutions submitted to the conference earlier.

Many committee members considered the resolution to be an extraneous political issue; nevertheless, it occupied the complete attention of the committee delegates for 2 weeks. As a result, other labor-related resolutions concerning rural aid, job training, migrant workers, and programs to eliminate child labor failed to receive adequate consideration. Representatives of governments, employers, and workers argued that the Arab charges of possible harmful impacts on employment and conditions of work in Israeli-occupied areas should be investigated through existing ILO due process procedures before a preemptory judgment was reached.

After committee approval, the resolution on Israeli settlements was further discussed in the plenary of the conference, where it was ultimately adopted by a secretballot vote.

A proposed resolution concerning technical and other forms of assistance for the newly created State of Zimbabwe emerged with a degree of unanimity which was in sharp contrast to the divisive atmosphere provoked by the debate on the resolution concerning Israeli settlements. Similarly, a proposed resolution providing for ILO program activities emphasizing rural development also won the endorsement of the full committee. Two other proposed resolutions selected for priority consideration dealt with the ILO contribution to the training and retraining of managers, with special reference to developing countries. Neither was considered by the committee, but both may be reintroduced in following meetings.

The fifth proposed resolution accorded priority status—but also not considered—applied to the overall issue of training, a theme treated extensively by Francis Blanchard, the Director General, in his annual report to the conference.

Technical committees

Older workers. The extensive debate in the Committee on Older Workers reflected the general desire for actions both at the national and international levels to ensure the most equitable treatment and all necessary protection for these workers. The committee recommendation, which was adopted by the conference, encourages governments to solve the unique problems of older workers through full-employment strategies and social policies that consider all population groups and ensure that employment problems are not shifted from one group to another.

The major protective labor standards emphasized in the recommendation include the following: special laws and regulations to prevent employment-related discrimination against older workers; measures which enable continuation of employment despite age; and policies that enhance preparation for and access to retirement, ensuring a gradual transition between work and voluntary retirement with a pension. Specific measures suggested to achieve these objectives include a review of mandatory retirement provisions; special benefit compensation for reduced hours of work; special benefits in cases of extended unemployment or early cessation of work in arduous or unhealthy occupations; flexible eligibility age for old-age benefits; and retirement preparation programs.

Governments are particularly urged to formulate measures in cooperation with employers and workers to prevent discrimination against older women in areas such as choice of employment, job security, pay and benefits, working conditions, and vocational training. The instrument also recommends special efforts to provide retraining for displaced older workers and assistance in securing new employment. These measures might also apply to older persons returning to the labor force following a commitment to family responsibilities.

Work organization and working time could be modified to reduce stress or excessive work pace, and the job and its content could be adopted to the older worker. These suggestions might involve part-time employment, flexible working hours, and transitional retirement programs.

The recommendation may be implemented through

laws or regulations, collective agreements, or as appropriate and consistent with national practice taking into account national economic and social conditions. The instrument adds that older workers, employers, and unions should be kept informed as to rights, opportunities, and measures designed to assist older workers.

The United States fully supported the adoption of the recommendation after successfully introducing provisions that encouraged access for older workers, trade union organizations, and employers to agencies responsible for investigating complaints regarding discrimination and that suggested changes in national measures specifying a mandatory retirement age to conform with the principle of equal employment opportunity. Progressive U.S. laws in this area could be used as examples for other nations.²

Safety and health. Issues relating to workplace safety and health were among the most important discussed by a technical committee at the 66th Session. The ILO has considerable experience in this area with some 50 instruments adopted to date.

This year, the Committee on Safety and Health was concerned with the prevention of occupational hazards, improving the working environment, as well as ways of promoting the progressive application of new and farreaching safety and health measures at the national level. Numerous committee delegates, while pointing to achievements made in many countries, also stressed the importance of a comprehensive instrument, a "framework of principles" to guide efforts to protect workers.

The committee adopted a draft convention together with a recommendation setting overall standards applicable to all branches of economic activity, including the public sector. The two draft instruments will be the subject of a second discussion at the 1981 conference.

The draft convention outlines broad principles for a national policy on workplace safety and health, including the responsibilities of public authorities, employers, workers, and others. The draft recommendation covers the technical areas of such a policy.

Among the responsibilities outlined for the state are the formulation, implementation, and periodic review of a coherent national policy designed to prevent accidents and injuries related to employment. Employers are expected to ensure that workplaces, machinery, equipment, and processes under their control are safe and without risks to health. Additionally, employers shall provide for measures to deal appropriately with emergencies and accidents.

Workers are expected to cooperate in the fulfillment of the obligations and responsibilities by their employers and should have the right to cease work if, in their view, there is an immediate and serious threat of injury or death. Such work stoppage must be reported immediately to the employer or the workers' safety delegate. The right to cease work on the basis of serious threat of injury or death will be discussed further next year when workers and employers will seek to define the issue more clearly, including delineation of responsibilities and conditions of work.

The draft convention also proposes the inclusion of safety, health, and working environment questions at all levels of education and training, including those of higher technical, medical, and professional institutes.

In accepting the report of the committee, the conference also decided to amend the list of occupational diseases appended to Convention No. 121 dealing with employment injury benefits. The newly revised list covers 29 diseases, 14 more than in 1964 when the convention was originally adopted.

Added to the list are hearing impairment caused by noise, disease caused by vibration and work with compressed air devices, certain skin diseases, lung cancer caused by asbestos, bronco-pulmonary diseases caused by cotton dust or flax, by hemp or dust, and by hardmetal dust, occupational asthma, diseases caused by various substances or their components (cadmium, fluorine, nitroglycerin, carbon monoxide, hydrogen cyanide, hydrogen sulfide, alcohols, cycols, and ketones), and extrinsic allergic alveolitis caused by the inhalation of organic dusts. Medical care and income maintenance are some of the benefits that ratifying countries (17 in January 1980) must provide for all listed illnesses and injuries.

Collective bargaining. A new standard to promote collective bargaining was examined in committee in the first stage of a 2-year review. The Committee on Collective Bargaining endorsed the shared decisionmaking process obtained through collective bargaining. It noted that in addition to improving the lot of workers, free bargaining also has increased productivity and permitted important economic and social changes in numerous countries. However, a number of government delegates as well as employer and worker delegates on the committee noted that the weak character of employers' and workers' organizations in some areas represented a "serious obstacle" to effective bargaining, particularly in many developing countries.

The recommendation proposed by the committee, if adopted by the 1981 conference, will emphasize not only the need for negotiation between workers and employers but will also suggest ways of making collective bargaining more effective in all levels of economic activity.

Existing ILO instruments promoting collective bargaining³ would be reaffirmed under the proposed recommendation. Complementing the earlier standards, the proposed instrument would encourage collective bargaining in all negotiations for the purpose of determining working conditions and terms of employment and regulating labor-management relations. As suggested, bargaining should be possible at all levels, including that of the establishment, the undertaking, the branch of activity, or the regional and national levels.

The proposed recommendation cites the need for independent and representative employers' and workers' organizations, with access to appropriate training and the ability to select their own bargaining representatives. Access to information is required for meaningful negotiations, and the proposal envisions that employers would make available, within limits, information on the economic and social situation of the negotiating unit. Public authorities, likewise, should provide information regarding the economic and social situation of the country and industry concerned, without jeopardizing the national interest.

The report of the committee was adopted by the conference without objection on June 25. Prior to its adoption, however, both worker and employer representatives expressed certain reservations with respect to its content. The workers emphasized that the scope of the instrument "cannot be left to governments to determine" and indicated they would seek instead to strengthen the effect of such standards through a proposed convention in 1981. The employers, on the other hand, expressed concern that a large part of the committee's work was consumed in considering " . . . novel and far-reaching concepts for collective bargaining that are not already accepted in most developed countries " In their view, it would be impossible to impose uniform collective bargaining regulations throughout the world.

Workers with family responsibilities. The Committee on Workers with Family Responsibilities examined measures which would ensure equal opportunities and equal treatment for workers—both men and women—with family responsibilities. Conditions of employment, childcare services and facilities, and social security programs were identified as areas of special concern for such workers.

Proposals for both a convention and a recommendation, to be considered for possible adoption during the 1981 conference, provide for training measures to facilitate the integration of workers into the labor force for the first time and after an absence from employment for family responsibilities. The instruments specifically provide for safeguards against job loss because of family or marital commitments.

The proposed recommendation addresses hours of work, protection of part-time and temporary workers and homeworkers, and parental leave.

Previous instruments adopted by the ILO⁴ contributed

to the employment of women in certain countries, but many difficulties prevent the attainment of full equality of opportunity and treatment. Obstacles cited by the committee were social attitudes, preconceived ideas as to the capabilities and aptitudes of women, their lesser access to educational and job training programs, and limited employment experience.

Noting the progress achieved by the committee with regard to employment rights and privileges of women with family responsibilities, the U.S. Government and Labor delegates supported committee efforts to balance family responsibilities with demands of the workplace.

1980 achievements reflect potential

An objective review of the 1980 conference proceedings confirms that progress was achieved in a number of significant areas. The machinery for overseeing the implementation of ILO conventions in member states was strengthened. As a consequence, efforts to promote compliance with ILO standards should be more effective, particularly in such areas as freedom of association, forced labor, and discrimination in employment.

Moreover, in supporting a sound recommendation on older workers as well as proposals of new standards in such areas as workplace safety and health, collective bargaining, and workers with family responsibilities, the conference reaffirmed the ability of the ILO to tackle serious technical issues for which it has a unique competence.

These achievements, along with the adoption of resolutions providing for training and technical assistance, demonstrate the ability of the ILO to deal with labor issues concerning member states. In the future, the attention given this work should far exceed that devoted to extraneous political issues. Although political questions are inevitably related to issues discussed by the labor conference, member states should exercise restraint so as not to lose sight of the principles and purposes of the tripartite organization.

¹ In November 1977, the United States withdrew from active membership in the ILO.

For a discussion of the decision to withdraw and the subsequent decision to rejoin, see Tadd Linsenmayer, "U.S. rejoins ILO: agenda for 1980's stresses human rights," *Monthly Labor Review*, May 1980, pp. 50-51.

² The United States is one of the few countries in the world with substantial legal protection against age discrimination in employment through the Age Discrimination in Employment Act which protects workers age 40 to 70.

³ Current ILO standards covering the right to collective bargaining include Convention No. 98 (1949), ratified by 109 member states, and Recommendation No. 91 (1951).

⁴Notably, the Employment (Women with Family Responsibilities) Recommendation No. 123, 1965.

Exploitation of children widespread, ILO reports

TANYA KUCHEROV

Nearly 55 million children not yet 15 years old are working in violation of the minimum age set by a 1973 International Labor Organization convention. Realistically, the number of children involved is probably even higher because they usually work illegally and along with their employers are reluctant to provide data.

This information is found in the ILO study "Children at Work," which investigates the use of child labor in 10 ILO members of Asia, Latin America, Africa, and southern Europe—none is among the 20 countries that have ratified the ILO agreement. Almost 53 million of these children are working in underdeveloped regions and 1.5 million in industrialized regions. Furthermore, the ILO suggests that in some areas the number of employed children may even be rising, but this increase could be counterbalanced by more children attending school.

Child labor in practice

Agricultural work is by far the most important sector for child labor. Argentine children, for example, are employed intensively in harvesting cotton, tobacco, mate, tea, and sugar cane, as well as growing paprika. In one province the ILO reports that 88 percent of the 10- to 13 year-olds are working on cotton plantations, and in another region 75 percent of this age group were already working on tobacco plantations. In both areas, virtually all children over age 14 were at work. Similarly, Mexican children usually begin working in agriculture at the age of 6 by sowing and harvesting crops such as cotton, tomatoes, and sugar cane. In Peru, Greece, and India, well over half the child labor force is involved in some form of agricultural work. One particular crop, the coffee bean is harvested mainly by women and their children in almost all regions of the world.

Another common form of child labor according to this study is the tradition of handing children over to families or future employers who will "adopt" or hire them as a subordinate member of the household. Young girls are usually "adopted" as domestic servants while boys are laborers or shepherd boys in return for a small loan or payment to their parents. These children then become dependent on their adopted parents who usually exercise total control over their working conditions, leaving the child vulnerable to abuse.

Thirty-seven percent of all female domestic servants

Tanya Kucherov is an international relations officer at the Bureau of International Affairs, U.S. Department of Labor.

in Peru are 14 to 19 years old. In addition, 88 percent of the domestic servants have immigrated from rural areas to the big cities such as Lima. Few of these women are members of trade unions, leaving the majority unprotected by, or ignorant of the possibility of, legal defense against abuses. Italian girls in the domestic service, however, have been replaced to a large extent by other nationalities. In Nigeria, the number of girls performing this service is also declining.

Other children may continue living at home while serving as so-called apprentices to employers or artisans for no pay. Usually these children are performing menial tasks rather than learning a job or trade that will be useful in the future. However, in some cases, when the child is working directly for an adult worker he may actually serve an apprenticeship and receive a share of the adult's earnings. This practice is common in Greece, where in certain trades such as shoemaking and tailoring a worker will hire an assistant. The Pakistani shoe industry reveals many children working alongside their parents for informal on-the-job training; this kind of apprenticeship may last from 2 to 4 years.

Commonly witnessed is the child who is forced to survive by taking to the streets, where he will peddle goods, run errands, beg, or collect junk. In some countries parents have even been known to deliberately maim their children, leaving them to beg on the streets to supplement the family income. Other outgrowths of child labor on the streets are drug-running and prostitution. City children in Peru enter street life as soon as they can leave home (around 7 years old) and can be seen working "in the markets, cinema entrances, bus and railway terminals, [parking lots], and the main squares."

In the manufacturing sector children usually work in smaller enterprises or cottage industries. Some of the most commonly performed tasks are packing, gluing, and labeling, as well as actual production-line jobs in the textile industries, tailoring and leather industries, leather and woodwork shops, pottery shops, cigarette factories, and the construction industries. In India, for example, the children are still commonly employed in the manufacturing of watches and carpet, and the processing of cashew nuts, with hours of work as long as those of adults. The Anti-Slavery Society of London has reported that over 20,000 children are employed in match factories for 16 hours a day beginning at 3 a.m. Indonesian girls, under an ostensibly voluntary arrangement, usually work in the cigarette industry from 5 a.m. until 5 p.m. with one hour for lunch.

Many children in Pakistan still work in the handwoven carpet industry, a traditional family occupation in which children with manual dexterity excel. Exploitation of child labor in Pakistan is most severe in the building industry and quarrying. According to the ILO, children are "in effect abducted from their homes and confined in camps, where they are closely watched and severely punished and humiliated if they try to escape."

In Thailand, child labor is prevalent among glass industries, cold storage services and canned food industries. Working 6 days a week, 8 to 9 hours a day, a child in the glass factory is exposed for long periods to high temperatures and inevitably suffers from the heat. In cold storage factories, with similar hours, children often have to stand on floors flooded with water used for cleaning the seafood.

Safety and health at stake

Illegal and subject to exploitation, the child labor force has little or no influence over the working environment even with its numerous safety and health hazards. A child's body is extremely vulnerable to such hazards, more so than an adult because of soft bone tissue, shorter attention span, lower resistance to disease, and less endurance under strenuous and long working hours.

According to the ILO, street children generally feel freer than children who work in other sectors, but are still exposed to harmful weather conditions, often until late at night, to traffic hazards, street diseases, and detention by the police for vagrancy. Peddlers of petrol have been known to receive entire body burns during their work; while rubbish collectors, bent from the weight of the load, often permanently damage their spinal cord growth.

Perhaps the most harmful child labor sector is agriculture, which also includes the largest number of children. Dangerous machinery and pesticides, as well as prolonged exposure to heat, sun, dust, wind, and insects (disease carriers), all contribute to a particularly unsafe and unhealthy environment.

Employment in the manufacturing industry may be equally dangerous. Not only are the hours long, but children are more prone to accidents involving machinery because of their shorter attention span. Also, they are more susceptible to the harmful effects of toxic substances such as glues which can produce paralysis, or the absorption of wool dust into the lungs which causes tuberculosis and possibly cancer. Anemia and chronic bronchitis are common among children in the cigarette industry in India.

An unbalanced diet or malnutrition only compounds the child's predicament. Lack of proteins combined with fatigue from work can seriously damage the central nervous system. In Nigeria the working child's problem is finding the time during the workday, and supply, for food. This improper diet too often results in malnutrition and contraction of the disease kwashiorkor (which causes edema, anemia, potbelly, and loss of hair). Similarly, in India 25 percent of the children

44

working on plantations were in an advanced stage of vitamin A deficiency.

Violations of labor standards

Twenty members have ratified ILO Convention No. 138 on minimum age, but child labor is a long way from being eliminated. Convention No. 138 generally sets the minimum age for employment at 15 years and specifies that no person under age 18 should be exposed to hazardous working conditions. The corresponding Recommendation No. 146 states that young workers should enjoy equal pay for equal work, should be allowed 12 hours off at night for rest, customary weekly rest days, annual paid holidays, social security, medical care and benefit schemes, and satisfactory standards of safety and health.

However, most working children are now between 13 and 15 years old and many begin even younger. Domestic servants, for example, usually start between ages 9 and 10, whereas apprentices may begin as early as the age of 6 or 7. Depending on the sector and regional traditions, children may begin agricultural labor at very early ages; the rural child in Peru and in Mexico begins at about 6 years; children in India usually set out at the age of 8 or 9; and in Argentina at 6 or 7 years old.

Equal remuneration is clearly an anomaly, when applied to working children, who are either unpaid or receive negligible wages—about half the normal adult salary for the same work. Similarly, children's medical care and social security are rare. Also, children often conceal injuries from employers for fear of losing their job, and employers will let injuries go untreated for fear of drawing the attention of authorities to their illicit employment practices.

A good example of the lack of job security for children is given by the Anti-Slavery Society of London. In the Moroccan carpet industry, female apprentices under age 13 may work for no pay because of the skills they are learning. Once 13 years old, however, they are usually fired because Moroccan law stipulates that any worker 13 or over must be salaried as an adult.

Social and economic roots

The overriding cause of child labor, reports the ILO, is poverty. Parents who do not have the means to support the child or themselves, may have no choice but to send the child to work illegally or to have the child help them in their own work. A second contributing factor is the conflict between education and work, in terms of both availability and parental attitudes.

Economic need was seen in Nigeria as the major cause for urban child labor. Urbanization and modernization have tempted rural families with prospects for better jobs, causing a great influx of rural dwellers to the cities. When faced with the problem of paying for food and shelter, however, these families are forced to send their children to work.

In addition to economic need, the traditional attitudes of parents toward work, as opposed to education, may encourage the child to seek work rather than pursue an education. In some cases there may not even be a choice between work and school because of the severe shortage of educational facilities in rural areas. But the ILO suggests that usually where such a choice exists, the parents' traditional objections to education may cause the child to drop out at an early age or to not attend school at all.

A survey among children in Bangkok, for example, showed that the main reasons for working were: poverty; need to assist parents in household economic activity; parents want them to work; need to earn their own living; and better than doing nothing. In Italy where access to education is much better but the number of children working is high, the reasons given were: little desire to study; prefer to work; slow progress at school; illness; difficult family situation; and poor relationship with teachers.

Striving for improvement

The ILO's program for future action on child labor sets forth both short- and long-term goals. In the short term, efforts must be made to improve the working conditions of children, while the long-term goal is to eliminate child labor. To meet these goals the ILO recommends the following:

- launch a major information campaign on the physical and mental needs of children and the detrimental affects of arduous work
- better enforcement of existing legislation
- extension of compulsory schooling to all children
- encouraging trade unions to fight for the eradication of child labor, and thus for increased employment opportunities and higher wages for adults

It is interesting that the latter recommendation has been successfully tried in one country. According to the ILO some Pakistan trade unions proposed in 1969 that children be paid the same rate as adults for the same work in textile industries; since then the number of children employed in the textile industry in Karachi has dropped significantly.

Whatever measures are taken against child labor, ending it will involve modifying the economic and social organization and traditional attitudes of the societies concerned—which will require worldwide efforts and cooperation.

Significant Decisions In Labor Cases



Innovative work preservation

Technological innovation increases worker productivity, thus usually reducing the number of workers required for specific jobs. At the docks, for example, the introduction of large containers offered great economic advantage to the shipping industry but threatened the jobs of longshoremen by dramatically increasing their productivity. To mitigate the full impact of this innovation on longshore employment, the International Longshoremen's Association negotiated an agreement with the shipping industry to pack and unpack only certain types of containers crossing the piers in New York, Baltimore, and Hampton Roads. According to the agreement, longshoremen would pack and unpack goods from containers that would otherwise be handled by non-ILA workers within 50 miles of the pier. As a result, 80 percent of the containers typically pass through the piers intact, while the remaining 20 percent are packed or unpacked despite any duplication of work done by non-ILA members away from the pier. Violations of the agreement called for fines imposed on the shipping companies.

Under the National Labor Relations Act, unions may enter into labor contracts that preserve work traditionally done by their members. But such agreements must be carefully constructed: not only must the work be traditionally part of the union members' work, but the contracting employer must have the power to give the employees such work.1 When the ILA's container-handling agreements were challenged by non-ILA cargo handlers and transporters, the National Labor Relations Board ruled that the agreements did not attempt to preserve traditional longshoring work but illegally sought to obtain work traditionally performed by others. The Board reasoned that the packing and unpacking of containers away from the pier had never been performed by longshoremen. Rather, such work had always been done by employees of transportation or consolidation companies.

The Federal appeals court for the District of Columbia refused to enforce the Board's decision because it felt that the Board had incorrectly examined the nature of the work involved.² The Supreme Court agreed,³ resolving a conflict among the Circuit courts.⁴

Writing for a 5-4 majority, Justice Thurgood Marshall found that container technology replaced the entire method of handling goods between ocean and motor transportation and was therefore unlike technologies at issue in earlier work preservation cases. In the past, unions completely rejected innovations that would have altered their work procedures, so that courts applied the law to circumstances where the "traditional work" could be easily and narrowly defined. But Marshall reasoned that Congress intended the work preservation doctrine to be more flexible: the law also must protect union actions that "attempt to accommodate change while preserving as much of their traditional work patterns as possible." After clarifying the scope of permissible work preservation, Marshall examined the basis for the Board's application of the law to the container-handling rules:

The Board's approach reflects a fundamental misconception of the work preservation doctrine as it has been applied in our previous cases. Identification of the work at issue in a complex case of technological displacement requires a careful analysis of the traditional work patterns that the parties are allegedly seeking to preserve, and of how the agreement seeks to accomplish that result under the changed circumstances created by the technological advance.

. . . [T]o determine whether an agreement seeks no more than to preserve the work of bargaining unit members, the Board must focus on the work of the bargaining unit employees, not on the work of other employees who may be doing the same or similar work, and examine the relationship between the work as it existed before the innovation and as the agreement proposes to preserve it.

The Board, by contrast, focused on the work done by the employees of . . . the truckers and consolidators after the introduction of containerized shipping. It found that work similar to work those employees had done before the innovation and concluded that ILA was trying to acquire the traditional work of those employees

By focusing on the work as performed after the innovation took place, by the employees who allegedly have displaced the longshoremen's work, the Board foreclosed by definition—any possibility that the longshoremen could negotiate an agreement to permit them to continue to play any part in the loading or unloading of containerized cargo. For the very reason the rules were negotiated was that longshoremen do not perform that work away from the pier, and never have. Thus, it is apparent that under the Board's approach, in the words of the Court of Appeals, the 'work preservation doctrine is sapped of all life.'

46

[&]quot;Significant Decisions in Labor Cases" is written by Gregory J. Mounts of the Monthly Labor Review staff.

The Board must now reconsider whether the ILA contract preserves traditional longshoring work-or its functional equivalent; if so, it must determine whether the shipping companies have the right to control the packing and unpacking of containers. Despite the Court's claim that both questions remain unresolved, the Board's decision on the agreement's work preservation objective could be constrained by the Court's characterization of the rules as "a thoroughly bargained and apparently reasonable accommodation to technological change." The Court also required the Board to consider the congressional preference for collectively bargained solutions to such disputes. The "right to control" test, however, presents far more difficult questions involving possible government regulatory constraints and the ability of shipping companies to enforce the contract rules after containers have been released to motor carriers. The Court suggested that the shippers' right to control work on containers they own or lease and in their "possession and control" might be the most appropriate focus for the Board's analysis of this issue.

Chief Justice Warren Burger wrote in dissent that the Board had correctly found that the work at issue has been traditionally performed by inland workers. Joined by Justices Potter Stewart, William Rehnquist, and John Paul Stevens, Burger charged that the ILA container-handling rules represented classic "featherbedding" and that the Court's action excessively widened the work preservation doctrine.

Secondary picketing of products limited

On the same day it clarified the scope of the work preservation doctrine, the Supreme Court also resolved a conflict between Circuit courts on the dimensions of permissible secondary boycotts under the National Labor Relations Act. The standard established by the Court's 1964 *Tree Fruits* ruling⁵ permitted secondary picketing against a struck product (apples in a retail food store). In its recent decision in *NLRB v. Retail Store Employees*,⁶ however, a 6–3 Court majority agreed with the Board that unions cannot follow a struck product to a secondary location and picket a neutral employer if the product accounts for substantially all of that employer's business.

In this case, the primary employer, Safeco Title Insurance Co., underwrites real estate title insurance in Washington State. Five local title companies, partially owned by Safeco, sell Safeco insurance; revenues from these sales make up more than 90 percent of the companies' gross income. At an impasse in contract talks with Safeco, the retail employees union picketed the five title companies and asked consumers to cancel existing policies. On charges filed by Safeco and one of the companies, the National Labor Relations Board ruled that the union had violated the prohibition against secondary boycotts. Even though the union had directed its efforts against Safeco insurance policies, the Board found that because the product represented nearly all of the firms' business, the union's action was "reasonably calculated to induce customers not to patronize the neutral parties at all." The appeals court for the District of Columbia refused to enforce a Board order against the union, reasoning that *Tree Fruits* permitted such picketing despite the relationship of the product to the secondary employer's revenues.

Writing for the Supreme Court, Justice Lewis Powell found that the economic impact of a union's primaryproduct picketing on a neutral employer is the critical factor in deciding whether such activity is lawful.

... Product picketing that reasonably can be expected to threaten neutral parties with ruin or substantial loss simply does not square with the language or the purpose of [the NLRA's limitation on secondary boycotts]. Since successful secondary picketing would put the title companies to a choice between their survival and the severance of their ties with Safeco, the picketing plainly violates the statutory ban on the coercion of neutrals with the object of 'forcing or requiring them to cease ... dealing in the primary product ... or to cease doing business with' the primary employer.

Only four Justices could agree on the First Amendment implications of the decision. The Chief Justice and Justices Potter Stewart and William Rehnquist joined Powell in reasoning that Congress may prohibit, within the bounds of the First Amendment, specified secondary picketing that "spreads labor discord by coercing a neutral party to join the fray." Justices Blackmun and Stevens refused to take such a simple view of the constitutional issue; both wrote separate opinions troubled by the Powell's content-based ban on picketing. However, both agreed that barring the union's secondary picketing in this case was constitutionally permissible.

Justice William Brennan, joined by Justices Byron White and Thurgood Marshall, argued in dissent that the NLRA's prohibition against the coercion of secondary employers to join union interests should not be based on that employer's potential economic loss. Rather, the legality of secondary picketing, as established in *Tree Fruits*, should be based only on the union's focus on the primary product.

... Tree Fruits expressly rejected the notion that the coerciveness of picketing should depend on the extent of loss suffered by the secondary firm through diminished purchases of the primary product. Nevertheless, the Court has now apparently abandoned the *Tree Fruits* approach, choosing instead to identify coerciveness with the percentage of the secondary firm's business made up by the primary product.

Brennan also charged that the Court's new standard would create great uncertainty among unions and the

lower courts as to when secondary picketing is permissible.

By shifting its focus from the nature of the product boycotted to the composition of the secondary firm's business, today's decision substitutes a confusing and unsteady standard for Tree Fruits' clear approach to secondary site picketing. Labor unions will no longer be able to assure that their secondary site picketing is lawful by restricting advocacy of a boycott to the primary product, as ordained by Tree Fruits. Instead, picketers will be compelled to guess whether the primary product makes up a sufficient proportion of the retailer's business to trigger the displeasure of the courts or the Labor Relations Board. Indeed, the Court's general disapproval of '[p]roduct picketing that reasonably can be expected to threaten neutral parties with ruin or substantial loss. . . .' leaves one wondering whether unions will also have to inspect balance sheets to determine whether the primary product they wish to picket is too profitable for the secondary firm.

Veterans' service added to SUB formula

Veterans whose employment is interrupted by their military service are entitled to seniority benefits calculated as if they had been continuously employed. The Supreme Court has ruled that such seniority benefits include severance pay⁷ and pension benefits⁸ but not vacation benefits.⁹ Recently, a unanimous Court ruled that union-negotiated supplemental unemployment benefits also are perquisites of seniority and military service must be included in the determination of SUB payments. (*Coffey v. Republic Steel Corp.*¹⁰)

In its 1977 Alabama Power ruling,¹¹ the Court included pension benefits as seniority perquisites and established a two-pronged test for determining the benefits of seniority that Congress sought to preserve for returning veterans under the Vietnam Era Veterans' Readjustment Assistance Act of 1974. First, there must be a reasonable certainty that the benefit would have accrued if the employee had not gone into the military service. Second, the nature of the benefit must be "a reward for length of service," rather than a form of "short-term compensation for services rendered."

Writing for the Court, Justice Thurgood Marshall an-

¹See NLRB v. Enterprise Assn. of Pipefitters, 429 U.S. 507 (1977), establishing the so called "right to control" test for work preservation agreements; see Monthly Labor Review, June 1977, pp. 57-58.

² International Longshoremen's Assn. v. NLRB, 613 F. 2d 890 (D.C. Cir., 1979).

³ NLRB v. International Longshoremen's Assn., 48 U.S.L.W. 4765 (U.S., June 20, 1980).

⁴ Results contrary to that reached by the D.C. Circuit were in International Longshoremen's Assn. Local 1575 v. NLRB, 560 F. 2d 439 (1st Cir. 1977), and in International Longshoremen's Assn. v. NLRB, 537 F. 2d 706 (2d Cir. 1976).

³NLRB v. Fruit Packers (Tree Fruits), 377 U.S. 58 (1964); see

alyzed the steel industry's SUB plan under the Alabama Power criteria. A steel industry employee accrues a onehalf SUB credit for each week in which he worked any hours, or was paid for any hours not worked, or lost any hours because he was disabled or was performing certain union duties. To receive any SUB payments, an employee must have completed 2 years continuous service. Marshall concluded that Thomas Coffey, a Republic Steel employee laid off after a period of nearly 3 years (including 2 years of military service), would have accumulated the maximum 52 SUB credits if he had been continuously employed by Republic. Turning to the nature of supplemental unemployment benefits, Marshall concluded that they are not "a form of deferred short-term compensation, but are a reward for length of service closely analogous to traditional forms of seniority."

Marshall traced the origin of the SUB plan concept as an alternative to the unmet demand by organized labor for a guaranteed annual wage.

... From the beginning ... the purpose of SUB plans was to provide employment security regardless of the hours worked rather than to afford additional compensation for work actually performed. From the employer's standpoint, SUBS, like pension benefits, help to assure a stable work force through periods of short-term layoffs and, like severance payments, may increase management flexibility in implementing technological advances.

The essential function of SUB plans is to provide economic security for regular employees in the event that they are laid off. Protection against layoff is, of course, one of the traditional attributes of seniority. SUB payments provide a second-level protection against layoff. If an employee does not have sufficient seniority to avoid being laid off, he may still have achieved the minimum level of seniority necessary to receive SUB payments during his layoff.

Although steel industry SUB payments are partly based on hours worked, Marshall emphasized that the nature of the benefits rather than the formula used to calculate them is the "crucial factor." The 2-year work requirement for benefit eligibility is a "significant period of service" for which laid-off employees are rewarded, and the fact that benefits do not continue to increase as seniority increases is unimportant, he concluded.

— FOOTNOTES —

Monthly Labor Review, June 1964, pp. 687-88.

⁶ NLRB v. Retail Store Employees Union, Local 1001, 48 U.S.L.W. 4796 (U.S., June 20, 1980).

⁷ Accardi v. Pennsylvania R. Co., 383 U.S. 225 (1966), see Monthly Labor Review, April 1966, pp. 417-18.

⁸ Alabama Power Co. v. Davis, 431 U.S. 581 (1977), see Monthly Labor Review, October 1977, p. 71.

⁹ Foster v. Dravo Corp., 420 U.S. 92 (1975), see Monthly Labor Review, May 1975, p. 65.

¹⁰ Coffy v. Republic Steel Corp., 48 U.S.L.W. 4683 (U.S., June 10, 1980).

¹¹ 431 U.S. 581 (1977).

48



Major Agreements Expiring Next Month

This list of collective bargaining agreements expiring in December is based on contracts on file in the Bureau's Office of Wages and Industrial Relations. The list includes agreements covering 1,000 workers or more.

Employer and location	Industry	Union ¹	Number of workers
Associated Press (Interstate)	Services	Newspaper Guild	1,200
Boeing Co. (Interstate)	Transportation equipment	Seattle Professional Engineering	10,300
Boeing Co., and Boeing Computer Services, Inc. (Washington)	Transportation equipment	Employees Association (Ind.) Seattle Professional Engineering Employees Association (Ind.)	6,500
Braniff Airways, Flight Attendants (Interstate) ²	Air transportation	Airline Pilots	1,400
Construction Association of Western Pennsylvania (Pennsylvania)	Construction	Operating Engineers	7,800
Construction Association of Western Pennsylvania (Pennsylvania)	Construction	Teamsters (Ind.)	2,000
Federals, Inc. (Detroit, Mich.)	Retail trade	Clothing and Textile Workers	1,000
Frontier Airlines, Inc., Agents (Interstate) ²	Air transportation	Airline Pilots	1,800
Heavy Engineering, Railroad Contracting, Highway and Utilities Construction Agreement (Pennsylvania) ³	Construction	Laborers	2,000
International Nickel Co., Inc., Huntington Alloys, Inc. (West Virginia)	Primary metals	Steelworkers	1,550
Lykes Pasco Packing Co. (Dade County, Fla.)	Food products	Retail, Wholesale, and Department	1,100
Marriott Corp., Bob's Big Boy Restaurants (California)	Restaurants	Bob's Employees' Association (Ind.)	4,700
Plastic Soft Materials Manufacturers Association, Inc. (New York, N.Y.) .	Apparel	Ladies' Garment Workers	3,500
Realty Advisory Board on Labor Relations, Inc. (New York, N.Y.)	Real estate	Service Employees	15,000
Tanners Association of Fulton County, Inc. (New York, N.Y.)	Leather	Clothing and Textile Workers	1,000
Tenneco, Inc., Monroe Auto Equipment Division (Hartwell, Ga.)	Transportation equipment	Auto Workers (Ind.)	1,000
	Government activity	Employee organization ¹	
Maryland: Baltimore Mass Transit Administration	Transportation	American Federation of State, County and Municipal Employees	1,850
Massachusetts: Massachusetts Bay Transportation Authority	Transportation	Amalgamated Transit Union	4,000
New Jersey: Morris County Board of Chosen Freeholders	Multidepartments	Civil Service Employees	1,500
Trenton Municipal Employees	Multidepartments	American Federation of State, County	1,050
New York: Chautauqua County Municipal Employees	Multidepartments	American Federation of State, County	1,300
Erie County Blue Collar Employees	Multidepartments	American Federation of State, County	2,400
Orange County Municipal Employees	Multidepartments	American Federation of State, County	1,800
Saratoga County Municipal Employees	Multidepartments	American Federation of State, County	2,600
Suffolk County Blue Collar Unit	Multidepartments	American Federation of State, County	1,700
Suffolk County Police Department	Law enforcement	Suffolk County Patrolmen's	2,100
Westchester County Municipal Employees	Multidepartments	American Federation of State, County and Municipal Employees	6,000

See footnotes at end of table.

Continued-Major Agreements Expiring Next Month

	Employer and location	Industry	Union ¹	Number of workers	
Ohio: Clev	veland Municipal Employees	Multidepartments	American Federation of State, County and Municipal Employees	2,300	
Wisconsin:	Milwaukee Municipal Employees	Multidepartments	American Federation of State, County and Municipal Employees	7,300	
	Milwaukee Municipal Employees	Multidepartments	American Federation of State, County and Municipal Employees	3,000	
	Milwaukee Police Department	Law enforcement	Milwaukee Police Association (Ind.)	1,750	

¹Affiliated with AFL-CIO except where noted as independent (Ind.). ²Information is from newspaper reports.

³Industry area (group of companies signing same contract).

ERRATA

The work reported on by Paul F. Gerhart and John E. Drotning in their September 1980 communication, "Do uncertain cost/benefit estimates prolong public-sector disputes?" was sponsored by the Labor Management Services Administration, U.S. Department of Labor.

Table 1.Arbitrator, mediator, and factfinder appointmentsin public-sector cases in New Jersey, November 1, 1977 toJune 30, 1978

Prior settlement steps	Arbitrator appointed	Arbitrator not appointed
Total	180	79
Mediator appointed	26	42
Factfinder appointed	0	3
Both mediator and factfinder appointed	3	6
Neither mediator nor factfinder appointed	151	28

Source: New Jersey Public Employment Relations Commission.

Table 2. Arbitrator appointments in public-sector cases by type of union and employer, under New Jersey law, November 1, 1977 to June 30, 1978

Bargaining parties	Arbitrator appointments
Employer type	
Total	180
Municipality	159
County	19
State	2
Union type	
Total	180
Patrolmen's Benevolent Association	128
Fraternal Order of Police	4
Independent police	13
Firemen's Mutual Benevolent Association	18
International Association of Fire Fighters	7
Independent fire	7
Independent — other law	3

SOURCE: New Jersey Public Employment Relations Commission.

Tables 1, 2, and 3, appearing below, were inadvertently omitted from David E. Bloom's September 1980 communication, "Customized 'final offer': New Jersey's arbitration law."

Table 3.	Final	public-	sector	case	ac	tions	un	der	the	New	
Jersey	arbitrati	on law,	Nove	mber	1,	1977	to .	June	30,	1978	5

_	Stage of settlement	Number of cases	Percent of cases ¹
	Total	259	100.0
1.	Voluntary settlement with no appointments	22	9.4
2.	Voluntary settlement with appointment of mediator	43	18.4
3.	Voluntary settlement after issuance of factfinder's report	0	.0
4.	Voluntary settlement after appointment of arbitrator but before commencement of arbitration proceedings	24	10.3
5.	Voluntary settlement with arbitrator acting as mediator or issuing a 'consent award'	50	21.3
6.	Settlement with issuance of final-offer or conventional arbitration award	95	40.6
	Unknown	25	

¹ The percentages were calculated excluding the cases with unknown stage of settlement from the denominator.

Source: New Jersey Public Employment Relations Commission.

Developments in Industrial Relations



Pattern contract in copper industry

The first break in a 2-month strike against 10 major copper companies in 9 States occurred when the Steelworkers and 12 other unions settled with Kennecott Corp. Overall, the bargaining involved 40,000 workers and 23 unions. The strike began when contracts expired on June 30 and July 31.

A Steelworkers' official called the wage and benefit improvements of the copper agreement "somewhere between the package won by the union in steel and what was achieved in negotiations with aluminum, the costlier of the two agreements." (See *Monthly Labor Review*, August 1980, pp. 49–50 and June 1980, pp. 55–56.)

The major variation in the Steelworkers settlements in the three industries appeared to be in the wage escalator provisions. At Kennecott, the workers received the quarterly adjustment that was due under the prior contract, and the new contract provided for continuation of the quarterly escalator formula at the rate of 1 cent an hour for each 0.3-point movement in the Consumer Price Index for Urban Wage Earners and Clerical Workers (1967=100). This settlement also provided for an immediate lump-sum payment of \$25 to each worker, a result of a "calculation change." In the steel settlement, the 1-cent-for-0.3-point formula was retained, but the last adjustment under the prior contract was used to help meet the cost of pension improvements. In aluminum, the employees received the last cost-of-living increase under the prior contract, and the formula in the new agreement was liberalized, providing for 1-cent adjustments for each 0.26-point movement in the index, beginning in the third year.

The "set" wage rises at Kennecott included 25-centan-hour general increases, plus a half-cent increase in the increment between each of the job grades, effective immediately; a 20-cent increase plus a half-cent increment increase on the first anniversary; and a 15-cent increase plus a 1-cent increment increase on the second anniversary. The afternoon, evening, and night shift premiums were increased to 30, 37.5, and 45 cents an hour, from 20, 25, and 30 cents. Pensions for current retirees were increased by \$33 to \$70 a month, in steps, over the term of the agreement. For future retirees, the benefit rates were increased, in steps, up to a total of \$4 a month for each year of credited service. This will bring the pension rate to a maximum of \$20 for employees in the top pay grades.

Sickness and accident benefits were increased by a total of \$25, bringing the average benefit to \$194 a week in 1983. There also were improvements in hospital, medical, surgical, and dental insurance, and a vision care plan was established.

Teamsters unwilling to reopen contract

After brief, informal discussions, the Teamsters turned down the trucking industry's request for a reopening of their labor contract. Trucking Management, Inc., the companies' major bargaining arm, contended that a reopening of negotiations was necessary to obtain labor cost concessions from union members to permit the carriers to compete with nonunion firms. The firms were particularly interested in eliminating or delaying automatic cost-of-living increases scheduled for October of 1980 and 1981, lowering some wage scales, and changing work rules that would result in the loss of jobs. The companies contended that much of their problems stemmed from the Motor Carrier Act of 1980, which deregulated the industry, making it difficult to pass along labor cost increases through shipping prices.

The union rejected the request for negotiations because of "insufficient proof that there has been injury to the companies caused by conditions of the contract." However, the union indicated that its study of the impact of deregulation and current recession on the industry could lead to further discussions on reopening the agreement. According to an official, one eventual result could be that regional units would be advised to negotiate wage and work rules concessions for locals in depressed areas. Reportedly, about 60,000 of the 300,000 Teamsters in the industry are on layoff.

Some concessions were already being granted in a few areas. For example, locals in Omaha, Neb., and Louisville, Ky., adopted a 7-day workweek. This means that normal schedules include weekend work at straight-time pay rates. Management officials said this move would also save money for shippers because freight could be moved faster and storage costs reduced.

[&]quot;Developments in Industrial Relations" is prepared by George Ruben and other members of the staff of the Division of Trends in Employee Compensation, Bureau of Labor Statistics, and is largely based on information from secondary sources.

Molders and Allied Workers resume merger talks

At the Molders convention, President Carl W. Studenrolt announced that merger talks with the Allied Industrial Workers will probably resume in several months. Allied Industrial Workers President Dominic D'Ambrosio, a guest speaker, also called for a resumption of the talks.

In other business, the union's executive board was reduced to 13 members by merging the elected editor's position into the president's position and by combining the secretary's and treasurer's positions. Also, monthly dues were raised to \$12 (from \$10.50) in January 1981 and to the equivalent of 2 hours of work (average of \$13-\$15) in 1982.

Firefighters elect new president in close vote

The biennial convention of the Fire Fighters union elected John A. Gannon, age 56, as its president. He succeeds William H. McClennan, who retired after six 2-year terms. Gannon, who had been vice president of the union for 6 years, defeated incumbent secretarytreasurer Frank Palumbo by a vote of 73,668 to 73,448, with each of the 1,400 delegates given a vote proportional to the number of members in their local union.

McClennan, who had served as a vice president from New England for 20 years prior to ascending to the leadership of the 180,000-member union, was unanimously named president emeritus.

Pregnancy discrimination suits settled

A recent settlement ended a 1974 suit which alleged that Westinghouse Electric Corp. violated the Civil Rights Act of 1964 by discriminating against pregnant employees. The suit was filed by the International Union of Electrical Workers, two of its locals, and 22 IUE members. It claimed that Westinghouse did not credit seniority to employees when they were on maternity leave; denied certain health benefits to pregnant employees; and forced them to take unpaid maternity leave after a certain time, regardless of the employee's desire and physical capacity to work.

The Pregnancy Discrimination Act of 1978 prohibits pregnancy-related discrimination; this settlement corrected certain previous practices found to be discriminatory. Provisions included a total of \$305,000 in payments to women who suffered pay losses from 1971 to 1978; seniority credit for absences for reasons related to pregnancy since the July 1, 1965, effective date of the equal employment opportunity provisions of the Civil Rights Act; and specific assurances of equal treatment for pregnant women. Also involved in the suit was the White-Westinghouse Corp., which purchased five Westinghouse appliance plants in 1975 and the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, which joined the union side in 1978 after passage of the Pregnancy Discrimination Act.

In another suit, the Fourth U.S. Circuit Court of Appeals in Richmond, Va., agreed with a U.S. District Judge that Eastern Airlines could not ground flight attendants during the first 13 weeks of pregnancy. However, three of the nine judges dissented, contending that even during the first 13 weeks of pregnancy, attendants are subject to physical problems that could hinder their ability in emergencies. In another aspect of the ruling, five of the judges held that only the carrier should determine if attendants could work during the 13th through 20th weeks of pregnancy. This overturned the decision of U.S. District Judge Robert R. Merhige, Jr., who had ruled that pregnant attendants could work during these weeks, with their doctor's permission. The appeals court unanimously concurred with Merhige that Eastern could ground attendants after the 20th week of pregnancy and that the company could not strip attendants of their seniority after they are transferred to ground duty because of pregnancy.

Eastern indicated that it was satisfied with the decision. There was no immediate comment from the Transport Workers Union, which represents the attendants and which had initiated the suit.

Work-sharing urged by job bias panel

In a move to minimize the effect of the current recession on women and minorities, the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission asked employers to consider "work sharing" and other alternatives to layoffs. The Commission said this was necessary to protect women and members of minority groups from layoff under the prevailing "last-hired, first-fired" system, which is "beginning to eradicate" the recent gains in employment they have achieved. The Commission also suggested that layoffs be based on plantwide seniority, rather than departmental seniority, saying this may reduce the adverse impact on minorities and women.

In another development involving equal employment opportunity, United States Steel Corp. agreed to hire women for 25 percent of job openings over a 2-year period at its coal mine No. 20 near Thacker, W. Va. The company's settlement with the West Virginia Human Rights Commission resolved a complaint by three women that U.S. Steel had refused to hire them because of their sex. The settlement provided that each of the three women will receive \$20,000. However, the hiring of women will not begin until all employees currently on layoff at the mine are recalled.

Book Reviews



Job creation program for researchers

- CETA: Assessment of Public Service Employment Programs. By William Mirengoff, Lester Rindler, Harry Greenspan, Scott Seablom. Washington, National Academy of Sciences, National Research Council, Committee on Evaluation of Employment and Training Programs, 1980. 197 pp.
- Involving Private Employers in CETA Programs. By Leonard A. Lecht and Marc A. Matland. New York, The Conference Board, 1979. 18 pp. (Information Bulletin 63.)
- Areawide Planning in CETA. By Randall B. Ripley, Donald Baumer, and Carl Van Horn. Washington, U.S. Department of Labor, Employment and Training Administration, 1979, 128 pp. (R&D Monograph, 74.) Stock No. 029-000-00388-4. \$4.50, Superintendent of Documents, Washington 20402.

Each year, the Employment and Training Administration provides hundreds of thousands of dollars for research on manpower programs. To persons in consulting firms and universities involved in the research, there is a real sense of professionalism in the work. The researchers usually visit a number of program sites, talk with program officials and local businessmen, collect data, and write reports, including recommendations for program improvement.

However, to persons outside the research world, the reports often are of little value. The site visits, interviews, and data collection usually only capture the program surfaces, and further, only those surfaces that the program operators want to be seen. The recommendations usually are statements of the obvious, or excessive praise for the programs, or highly impractical (or misdirected) schemes.

In the following review, I'd like to discuss three recent reports which illustrate these criticisms.

CETA: Assessment of Public Service Employment Programs is the most recent in a series of works on CETA by the National Research Council. Its authors, William Mirengoff, Lester Rindler, Harry Greenspan, and Scott Seablom, focus on the public service employment component of CETA.

Established in 1973 as an appendage of the main training programs, public service employment was increased sharply as a measure to combat unemployment during the recession of the 1970's, and had up to 725,000 workers by 1978. In 1978, it was cut, but still had over 400,000 workers by the end of 1979.

Public service employment had many reasons to be successful: it promised work rather than welfare, it promised to move participants steadily into permanent jobs, and it promised to accomplish socially-valuable work. But it has not come off as was hoped and has encountered criticism from many quarters. Unions have complained that public service jobs have been used to substitute for regularly funded jobs. Community groups have complained that jobs have not gone to the lowerskilled and less-directed among the unemployed. Newspapers have complained about the make-work nature of the jobs. Nearly everyone has complained that participants have not moved into unsubsidized jobs.

Mirengoff and his coauthors considered these complaints. They collected information (through field associates) from 28 programs throughout the country. There is much of value in the report. For example, the authors point out some of the reasons why even with the greater targeting brought by the amendments of 1976, the "structurally unemployed" have not been hired in proportion to their numbers among the eligible unemployed. They also point out that the 1976 amendments have not fully succeeded in preventing the use of public service employment jobs in the place of regularly funded jobs.

However, the report also suffers in many sections from its reliance on field associates and interviews and data collection, and distance from the subtleties and complexities of local operations. For example, commenting on the work done by participants, the authors state firmly that "as the law required, projects did provide public services that were useful," that were not make-work; and further that "project enrollees were found to perform their duties as well as regular employees in similar positions."

But there is little focus on local programs to convince readers that this is so, or give readers a feel for the actual accomplishments of public service employment. What we do get is a survey of local officials showing that 95 percent support public service employment projects as "very useful," not surprising given that at least 95 percent of local officials prefer Federal to local funding of services; and the view of most field associates that make-work was "negligible." It would have been more useful to have a more detailed picture of a few programs, a connecting of the statistics with the tasks performed and the faces behind the statistics. Further, we get no feel for the personnel problems connected with public service employment. In the programs I've observed, public service employment has performed many valuable tasks, but also has been limited in effectiveness by the resentment and hostility of some regular employees.

On the transition of these workers into unsubsidized employment, the authors urge that public service employment projects "combine training with public service jobs that furnish marketable skills and experience" and that "greater stress should be placed on transition of public service employment enrollees to unsubsidized jobs."

But there is little discussion of the practical tradeoffs connected with this recommendation: tradeoffs between training and other goals of hiring the structurally unemployed and of performing useful work. To illustrate: jobs such as child-care worker, librarian, or dance instructor may have considerable social value, but have little demand in the private sector. By contrast, clerical jobs which are in demand in the private sector, may contribute less to public service.

In Involving Private Employers in CETA Programs, Leonard A. Lecht and Marc A. Matland examine strategies for increasing participation of private sector employers in local CETA programs. Again, the subject is interesting and important. Training by private employers consistently has been the most successful. Yet, only a small proportion of employers in any city gets involved.

In order to find out reasons for the low participation (and what might be done to increase participation), the authors survey nine programs across the Nation. They spend time at each site interviewing CETA staff, planning council members, and employers—in all an impressive total of 275 interviews.

They begin the report by identifying four factors as influencing business participation: "community socioeconomic environment," "CETA enrollee characteristics," "prime sponsor-employer linkages," and "prime sponsor's organization and program orientation." Of these, they describe the latter two as most important and go on to note recommendations for greater business involvement. These include representation of business on planning councils, ties with the National Alliance of Businessmen and the local chamber of commerce, understanding by program staff of the needs of local business, less paperwork, and greater use of tax credits rather than subsidies.

How valuable are these recommendations?

Most are well-known to local officials. A personal recollection: my first job in the manpower field was with the CETA program in Alameda County, Calif. Soon after I began working, I approached the program director and suggested that our program look into ways that additional businesses could be brought into the program. The director took out paper and wrote a list of the reasons for limited business involvement. They included nearly all of the findings of this report.

The director also spoke of the general strategies for business involvement. What might have been of value to him and other local officials were specific studies of programs that did and did not succeed with businesses. But such studies require more than merely conducting interviews with local officials or even with employers.

Further, the limited detail which the authors bring to their recommendations indicates little recognition of the practicalities of local operations. One example is the authors' suggestion that program officials be required to include in their annual plans detailed information about business involvement. The authors state that the plan should indicate "placements in unsubsidized employment by class of employer; placements in private for profit firms for individual programs such as on-the-job training; makeup of the council membership; and linkages with the local business community."

This sounds good in theory, but from a local perspective, annual plans take up considerable time, do not appear to me to be read seriously by Department of Labor officials, and rarely spark reflection among local planning staffs who generally put together the required information as a "going through the motions."

Another of the authors' suggestions is that additional funds be spent for public relations. Again this sounds good in theory, as there is limited understanding of CETA by businesses and the general public. However, in practice the money spent by local programs on public relations has not, in my opinion, been well spent. It has been spent on films and pamphlets (such as CETA Works by the National Association of Counties) that have been uncritical whitewashes which convince no one.

The third and poorest of the reports is Areawide Planning in CETA prepared by Randall B. Ripley, Donald Baumer, and Carl Van Horn. Again, we have a study based on site visits. The authors visited 12 sites around the country, selected "because they were reputed to take planning seriously."

The authors then test the models against a number of performance indicators (positive terminations, cost per placement) to come to the wholly predictable conclu-

54

sion that the "future-oriented" programs perform best, followed by the "operations management," and then the "crises management" programs. The authors conclude that programs work well that have key staff of the highest quality who are encouraged to remain with the program, a monitoring system that yields accurate and complete information, control of service deliverer participation in key decisions which affect who the program services, involvement of the business community in more than token activities, and political officials who support decisions made by staff. More of the obvious.

The authors begin by setting three models of planning: a *crises management* model characterized by "unstable relations among actors," "unmanaged conflict," "lack of routing," and "malfunctioning feedback system"; an *operations management* model characterized by "stable relations among actors," "well-managed conflict," "many routine procedures," and "feedback mechanism in place and utilized"; and the *future-oriented* model, characterized by the four characteristics of the "operations management" model as well as by "deliberate attention to long-range decisions."

Of the 12 programs surveyed, only one is categorized as "crises management." Eight are "operations management" and three are "future-oriented."

But do these models have practical value?

The authors first test them against a number of local labor market and economic growth characteristics, and against characteristics of the size and structure of programs. They come to the predictable conclusion that none of the factors determines the type of planning operation, that a good planning operation can exist in a program of any size or structure or local economy.

Other conclusions are embarrassingly uncritical. The authors praise a number of programs, which would be better served by a more balanced, harder look. For example, the authors praise the evaluation system of a large program in the East, which traces participants for 6 months or more. In fact, the system is of little value. Its reports tell little more than that a participant is working or not working. These reports do not go beyond the statistics, do not probe into why the training might have been sufficient or insufficient, or why some participants decided to return to the netherworld of welfare and hustle.

Uncriticalness, generality, distance from programs, especially from participants, characterize not only the three reports considered here, but also most research reports today. The persons who put together these reports usually are bright, with fine educational backgrounds. However, their evaluation of site visits, interviews, and reliance on statistical data usually yields little of value to national or local officials.

What is needed is for these researchers to get out of their offices; to spend months, not days, studying local programs; and most of all to be far more questioning of the claims of program officials.

-MICHAEL S. BERNICK Attorney, Mexican-American Legal Defense and Education Fund (San Francisco)

Big is beautiful

World Economic Development: 1979 and Beyond. By Herman Kahn. Boulder, Colo., Westview Press, 1979, 510 pp.

In distinct contrast to what is rapidly appearing to be the mainstream of the literature on development, Herman Kahn argues that policies promoting high economic growth in a basically market-economy are physically practicable, socially desirable, and perhaps even morally necessary. To make this argument, Kahn faces off against ideas that are currently enjoying great power in the imaginations of the scholarly, opinionmaking, and policymaking elites of the advanced, market-oriented countries. Among these ideas are the (physical) limits-to-growth school, the small-is-beautiful movement, the concern for protection of an ecology at almost any economic cost, the approach of something close to a "health and safety authoritarianism," and increasing favor for social control and overall planning of the economy.

All of these ideas, and more, are parts of, or extensions of, what Kahn has termed the "Fourteen New Emphases" in the socioeconomic and political cultures of the advanced industrial nations in general, and their upper-middle and intellectual classes in particular. His development and elaboration of these emphases in the third chapter is the keynote of his section on "Framework, Concepts, Perspectives." This section is the more provocative in the book, the second bogging itself down in case study and admitted progrowth polemic and proselytism. The whole spirit, however, is one of vigorous and open opposition to what Kahn feels is an unfair and unwise overpublicization of the antigrowth position.

World Economic Development is an exercise in macrohistory and futurology that can (perhaps should) be taken with a grain of salt, or what its author would call the "agnostic use of information and concepts." I did, however, find it refreshing to read an optimistic scenario of the long-run economic future.

> -RICHARD M. DEVENS, Jr. Office of Current Employment Analysis Bureau of Labor Statistics

The service sector in perspective

Understanding the Service Economy: Employment, Productivity, Location. By Thomas M. Stanback, Jr. Baltimore, The Johns Hopkins University Press, 1979. 122 pp. \$9.50.

This concise and enlightening book analyzes several aspects of the service sector of our economy: the demand for services, productivity in services, and the nature and location of service employment. Thomas M. Stanback defines "services" to include wholesale and retail trade, government, finance, insurance, and real estate, and professional, personal, business and repair services; he excludes the capital-intensive transportation, communication, and public utility industries.

Stanback begins by refuting the common belief that consumption in the United States is rapidly becoming more service-oriented. He shows that consumption of services did increase faster than disposable income during the 1950's, but that from 1960 to 1977 the portion of consumption expenditures (adjusted for price changes) devoted to services increased only from 42 to 45 percent. As a brake on the growth of service consumption relative to that of goods, Stanback cites the high degree of "complementarity" between many goods and services. For example, the increased use of the automobile generates an increased demand for maintenance services, and the increased demand for recreation services raises the demand for goods such as skis and tennis rackets.

Stanback notes that productivity gains in services have not matched those in nonservices. However, he cites several factors—such as the application of new managerial approaches to service firms, and the use of computerized checkout systems in retailing—that may herald significant productivity advances in services.

In his analysis of service employment, Stanback shows that compared to nonservices, service work is characterized by lower earnings, higher proportions of women, minority, and part-time workers, and fewer institutional arrangements to enhance job security. Probably the most useful part of the book is the analysis of the location of service employment. The author shows that while the location of jobs in consumer services is related to that of population, jobs in business services are more concentrated geographically. Stanback, the coauthor of two previous books on urban economics, presents many interesting findings, such as: metropolitan areas that were centers of business services in 1960 experienced the greatest growth in business services during the following decade, while areas that were manufacturing centers in 1960 made little progress during the following decade in attracting business service employment.

Stanback concludes with a discussion of the spatial labor market adjustments required for future service employment growth. Under conditions of rapid growth in aggregate demand, "Employment levels remain relatively high in areas least favored, while in areas where shortages develop demand is met by upgrading, by migration, and by utilizing personnel who might otherwise have left or never entered the labor market." However, if aggregate demand grows slowly, labor market maladjustments will result that "are likely to take their toll disproportionately on those who are least skilled, least experienced, and least able to make difficult adjustments through migration. . . ." Citing the slow growth of the economy in recent years, Stanback promises little immediate relief for these sufferers of migration headaches.

By combining skillful data analysis and penetrating observations on the nature of the service sector, Stanback has produced a readable and incisive book that will be of great value to economists and noneconomists alike.

> -EDWARD STEINBERG Bureau of Economic Analysis U.S. Department of Commerce

Publications received

Agriculture and natural resources

- Jones, Lamar B. and G. Randolph Rice, "Agricultural Labor in the Southwest: The Post Bracero Years," *Social Science Quarterly*, June 1980, pp. 86–94.
- Regens, James L., "State Policy Responses to the Energy Issue: An Analysis of Innovation," Social Science Quarterly, June 1980, pp. 44-57.
- Reilly, Ann M., "A Second Coming for Old King Coal?" Dun's Review, September 1980, beginning on p. 46.
- Sigelman, Lee and Roland E. Smith, "Consumer Legislation in the American States: An Attempt at Explanation," Social Science Quarterly, June 1980, pp. 58-70.

Economic growth and development

- Cates, William C., Where is the Free Lunch? The Voter's Guide to Government Economic Policy. New York, Thomond Press, 1980, 135 pp. \$12.50.
- Moore, Geoffrey H., "The Recession—How Severe, How Long?" Across the Board, September 1980, pp. 35-39.

Education

- Cohn, Elchan, The Economics of Education. Rev. ed. Cambridge, Mass., Ballinger Publishing Co., 1979, 453 pp. \$15.
- Leekley, Robert M., "Multiple Outputs and Community Choice in Public Education," *Economic Inquiry*, July 1980, pp. 333-52.

56

- Lowe, George D. and Thomas K. Pinhey, "Do Rural People Place a Lower Value on Formal Education?: New Evidence from National Surveys," *Rural Sociology*, Summer 1980, pp. 325-31.
- U.S. Department of Agriculture, *The Education of Nonmetro Blacks.* By Frank A. Fratoe. Washington, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Economics, Statistics, and Cooperative Service, 1980, 19 pp. (Rural Development Research Report, 21.)
- Willis, Robert J. and Sherwin Rosen, Education and Self-Selection. Cambridge, Mass., National Bureau of Economic Research, 1979. (NBER Reprint, 43.) \$1. (Reprinted from the Journal of Political Economy, October 1979, pp. S7-S36.)

Health and safety

- Crapnell, Stephen G., "Awards and Incentives Add Zest to Safety Performance," *Occupational Hazards*, August 1980, pp. 33-36.
- Goldsmith, Jeff C., "The Health Care Market: Can Hospitals Survive?" *Harvard Business Review*, September-October 1980, pp. 100-12.
- Larson, K. Per, "Taking Action to Contain Health Care Costs, Part II," Personnel Journal, September 1980, pp. 735-39.
- Palisano, Peg, "For Added Dimension in Accident Prevention, Analyze the Near-Miss," Occupational Hazards, August 1980, pp. 51-53.
- Perham, John "Putting a Lid on Corporate Health Costs," Dun's Review, September 1980, pp. 96-99.
- Whelan, Elizabeth M., "Well, What Should We Eat? . . . Less!" Across the Board, August 1980, pp. 5-11.

Industrial relations

- Anton, Frank R., Worker Participation: Prescription for Industrial Change. Calgary, Alberta, Canada, Detselig Enterprises Limited, 1980, 135 pp., bibliography. \$5.95, paper.
- Bacow, Lawrence S., *Bargaining for Job Safety and Health*. Cambridge, Mass., The MIT Press, 1980, 159 pp., bibliography. \$15.95.
- Bourdon, Clinton C. and Raymond E. Levitt, Union and Open-Shop Construction: Compensation, Work Practices, and Labor Markets. Lexington, Mass., D.C. Heath and Co., Lexington Books, 1980, 161 pp., bibliography.
- Bulmer, Charles and John L. Carmichael, Jr., eds., Employment and Labor Relations Policy. Lexington, Mass., D.C. Heath and Co., Lexington Books, 1980, 276 pp.
- Cohn, Richard M., "Quantitative Evidence of Age Discrimination: Some Theoretical Issues and Their Consequences," Aging and Work," Summer 1980, pp. 149-61.
- Fischer, Harry C., Accounting and Office Manual for Labor Unions. 3d ed. Berkeley, University of California, Center for Labor Research, 1979, 147 pp.
- Freeman, Richard B., The Effect of Unionism on Worker Attachment to Firms. Cambridge, Mass., National Bureau of Economic Research, Inc., 1979. (NBER Reprint, 73.) \$1. (Reprinted from the Journal of Labor Research, Spring 1980, pp. 29-61.)

- Haughton, Ronald W., "The Influence of Labor-Management Relations on the Settlement of Agricultural Disputes," *The Arbitration Journal*, June 1980, pp. 3–7.
- Medoff, Marshall H., "The Equal Rights Amendment: An Empirical Analysis of Sexual Discrimination," *Economic Inquiry*, July 1980, pp. 367-79.
- Nurse, Lawrence A., Custom and Practice in Labour Relations in the United States: A Review of the Evidence. Amherst, University of Massachusetts, Labor Relations and Research Center, 1979. (Reprint Series, 58.) (Reprinted from the Labor Law Journal, January 1979, pp. 38-48.)
- Perry, L. J., "Inter-War Movements and Trade Union Militancy in Australia," Australian Economic Papers, December 1979, pp. 229-42.
- United Electrical, Radio and Machine Workers of America, Proceedings of the 44th International Convention, Held in New York, Sept. 10-14, 1979: Report of General Officers. New York, 1979, 267 pp.

Industry and government organization

- Blair, Roger D. and Stephen Rubin, eds., Regulating the Professions: A Public Policy Symposium. Lexington, Mass., D.C. Heath and Co., Lexington Books, 1980, 327 pp.
- Bradshaw, Thornton and David Vogel, eds., Corporations and Their Critics: Issues and Answers to the Problems of Corporate Social Responsibility. New York, McGraw-Hill Book Co., 1981, 320 pp. \$14.95.
- Hall, William K., "Survival Strategies in a Hostile Environment," Harvard Business Review, September-October 1980, pp. 75-85.
- Hand, John H. and William P. Lloyd, "Determining the Value of a Small Business," *MSU Business Topics*, Summer 1980, pp. 5-10.
- Kiers, Luc, The American Steel Industry: Problems, Challenges, Perspectives. Boulder, Colo., Westview Press, 1980, 176 pp. \$27.50.
- U.S. Department of Commerce, *The Development of Microeconomic Policies: A Seminar on Industrial Issues.* By Barry Bosworth. Washington, U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Industrial Economics, 1980, 22 pp.

International economics

- Beveridge, W. A. and Margaret R. Kelly, "Fiscal Content of Financial Programs Supported by Stand-By Arrangements in the Upper Credit Tranches, 1969–78," *International Monetary Fund Staff Papers*, June 1980, pp. 205– 49.
- Caves, Richard E. and Lawrence B. Krause, Britain's Economic Performance. Washington, The Brookings Institution, 1980, 388 pp. \$18.95, cloth; \$7.95, paper.
- Cooper, Charles, "International Transactions in Innovative Machinery," Journal of Development Studies, April 1980, pp. 332-51.
- Feder, Gershon, "Economic Growth, Foreign Loans and Debt Servicing Capacity of Developing Countries," Journal of Development Studies, April 1980, pp. 352-68.

- International Labor Organization, Employment Outlook and Insights: A Collection of Essays on Industrialized Market-Economy Countries. Edited by David H. Freedman. Geneva, 1979, 148 pp. Distributed in the United States by Washington Branch of ILO.
- Khan, Mohsin S., "Monetary Shocks and the Dynamics of Inflation," *International Monetary Fund Staff Papers*, June 1980, pp. 250-84.
- Levy, Walter J., "Oil and the Decline of the West," Across the Board, September 1980, pp. 5-14.
- Luqmani, Mushtaq, Zahir A. Quraeshi, Linda Delene, "Marketing in Islamic Countries: A Viewpoint," MSU Business Topics, Summer 1980, pp. 17-25.
- Miller, Norman C., "Offset and Growth Coefficients for Five Industrial Countries: 1960–1970," *The Review of Economics and Statistics*, August 1980, pp. 329–38.
- "OECD's Economic Strategy," *The OECD Observer*, Special Supplement, June 1980, pp. 2-5.
- Spitäller, Erich, "Short-Run Effects of Exchange Rate Changes on Terms of Trade and Trade Balance," *International Monetary Fund Staff Papers*, June 1980, pp. 320-48.
- Whelan, Richard, "The World Outlook: No American Business is an Island," Across the Board, July 1980, pp. 40– 51.

Labor force

- Bartel, Ann P., The Migration Decision: What Role Does Job Mobility Play? Cambridge, Mass., National Bureau of Economic Research, 1979. (NBER Reprint, 51.) \$1. (Reprinted from the American Economic Review, December 1979, pp. 775-86.)
- Eckermann, A-K., "Employment Patterns Among Aboriginal People in Rural Towns, South-West Queensland," *Australian Economic Papers*, December 1979, pp. 362–83.
- Higginson, Margaret V. and Thomas L. Quick, *The Ambitious Woman's Guide to a Successful Career*. Rev. ed. New York, AMACOM, A division of American Management Associations, 1980, 276 pp. \$12.95.
- Josefowitz, Natasha, Paths to Power: A Woman's Guide from First Job to Top Executive. Reading, Mass., Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., 1980, 290 pp., bibliography. \$11.95, cloth; \$6.95, paper.
- Lancaster, Tony and Stephen Nickell, "The Analysis of Re-Employment Probabilities for the Unemployed," *Journal* of the Royal Statistical Society, Vol. 143, Pt. 2, 1980, pp. 141-65.
- Macke, Anne Statham, Paula M. Hudis, Don Larrick, Sex-Role Attitudes and Employment Among Women: A Dynamic Model of Change and Continuity. Columbus, The Ohio State University, College of Administrative Sciehce, Center for Human Resource Research, 1979, 24 pp. 80 cents.
- Moore, Sylvia F., The Short-Term Effects of Marital Disruption on the Labor Supply Behavior of Young Women. Columbus, The Ohio State University, College of Administrative Science, Center for Human Resource Research, 1979, 133 pp. 80 cents.
- Reeves, William Joseph, Librarians as Professionals: The Occupation's Impact on Library Work Arrangements. Lexington, Mass., D.C. Heath and Co., Lexington Books,

1980, 167 pp., bibliography.

- Sandell, Steven H., Job Search by Unemployed Women: Determinants of the Asking Wage. Columbus, The Ohio State University, College of Administrative Science, Center for Human Resource Research, 1979, 28 pp. 80 cents.
- Schoen, Robert and Karen Woodrow, "Labor Force Status Life Tables for the United States, 1972," *Demography*, August 1980, pp. 297-322.
- Shaw, Lois B., Changes in the Work Attachment of Married Women, 1966–1976. Columbus, The Ohio State University, College of Administrative Science, Center for Human Resource Research, 1979, 33 pp. 80 cents.
- U.S. Department of Labor, *The Nature of the Youth Employment Problem: A Review Paper.* By Robert I. Lerman. Washington, U.S. Department of Labor, Office of the Assistant Secretary for Policy, Evaluation and Research, 1980, 109 pp. (Technical Analysis Paper, 69.) For sale by the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, Va. 22161.
- Viscusi, W. Kip, "Sex Differences in Worker Quitting," The Review of Economics and Statistics, August 1980, pp. 388 -98.

Management and organization theory

- Alton, William B. and Richard D. Babcock, "Matching Behavioral With Management Science Techniques Improves Productivity," S.A.M. Advanced Management Journal, Summer 1980, pp. 26–31.
- Benson, Herbert and Robert L. Allen, "How Much Stress is too Much?" Harvard Business Review, September-October 1980, pp. 86-92.
- Brakeley, George A., Jr., Tested Ways to Successful Fund Raising. New York, AMACOM, A division of American Management Associations, 1980, 171 pp. \$16.95.
- Burke, Ronald J. and Eugene Deszca, "Increasing Their Effectiveness by Better Management of Day-to-Day Job Performance," *Canadian Personnel and Industrial Relations Journal*, May 1980, beginning on p. 18.
- Decker, Philip J., "Homosexuality and Employment: A Case Law Review," *Personnel Journal*, September 1980, pp. 756-60.
- "Don't Blame the System, Blame the Managers," Dun's Review, September 1980, beginning on p. 82.
- Douglass, Merrill E. and Phillip H. Goodwin, Successful Time Management for Hospital Administrators. New York, AMACOM, A division of American Management Associations, 1980, 143 pp. \$14.95.
- Fuller, Stephen H., "Corporate Approaches to the Quality of Work Life," *Personnel Journal*, August 1980, beginning on p. 632.
- Gullet, C. Ray and Wayne H. Goff, "The Arbitral Decision-Making Process: A Computerized Simulation," *Personnel Journal*, August 1980, pp. 663-67.
- Hall, Guin, "Retirement Planning: Suggestions for Management," Aging and Work, Summer 1980, pp. 203-09.
- Hartz, Patti J., "Managers' Perceptions of Personnel: A Case Study," Personnel Administrator, September 1980, pp. 71 -75.
- Hennigar, Ross A., "A C.E.O.'s View of People Management

in the 1980's," Canadian Personnel and Industrial Relations Journal, May 1980, pp. 32-42.

- Jacobson, Beverly, Young Programs for Older Workers: Case Studies in Progressive Personnel Policies. New York, Litton Educational Publishing, Inc., 1980, 123 pp. \$16.95, Van Nostrand Reinhold Co., New York.
- Louis, Meryl Reis, "Surprise and Sense Making: What Newcomers Experience in Entering Unfamiliar Organizational Settings," *Administrative Science Quarterly*, June 1980, pp. 226-51.
- McGuire, Peter J., "Why Performance Appraisals Fail," Personnel Journal, September 1980, beginning on p. 744.
- Marrett, Cora Bagley, "Influences on the Rise of New Organizations: The Formation of Women's Medical Societies," Administrative Science Quarterly, June 1980, pp. 185-99.
- Miller, J. Thomas III, "Communication . . . Or Getting Ideas Across," S.A.M. Advanced Management Journal, Summer 1980, pp. 32-38.
- "Relocation," Personnel Administrator, September 1980, pp. 25-68.
- Renick, James C., "Sexual Harrassment at Work: Why It Happens, What to Do About It," *Personnel Journal*, August 1980, pp. 658-62.
- Robbins, Paula I., "How to Change Careers," S.A.M. Advanced Management Journal, Summer 1980, pp. 46-56.
- Ruth, Stephen R., "Personnel and Electronic Data Processing in the 1980s," *MSU Business Topics*, Summer 1980, pp. 51-54.
- Salaman, Graeme and Kenneth Thompson, eds., Control and Ideology in Organizations. Cambridge, Mass., The MIT Press, 1980, 330 pp. \$12.50.
- Samaras, John T., "Two-Way Communication Practices for Managers," Personnel Journal, August 1980, pp. 645-48.
- Schmitz, Loretta M. and Herbert G. Henneman III, "Do Positive Reinforcement Programs Reduce Employee Absenteeism?" *Personnel Administrator*, September 1980, pp. 87 -93.
- Shain, Martin and Judith Groeneveld, Employee-Assistance Programs: Philosophy, Theory, and Practice. Lexington, Mass., D.C. Heath and Co., Lexington Books, 1980, 236 pp.
- Sinetar, Marsha, "Management in the New Age: An Exploration of Changing Work Values," *Personnel Journal*, September 1980, pp. 749-55.
- Snow, Charles C. and Lawrence G. Hrebiniak, "Strategy, Distinctive Competence, and Organizational Performance," Administrative Science Quarterly, June 1980, pp. 317-36.
- Stone, Thomas H., "Absence Control: Is Your Company a Candidate?" Personnel Administrator, September 1980, pp. 77-84.
- Swinyard, Alfred W. and Floyd A. Bond, "Who Gets Promoted?" Harvard Business Review, September-October 1980, beginning on p. 6.
- Witkin, Arthur A., "A Search for the Derogatory," S.A.M. Advanced Management Journal, Summer 1980, pp. 39-45.
- Zierden, William E., "Needed: Top Management Attention to

the Role of the First-Line Supervisor," S.A.M. Advanced Management Journal, Summer 1980, pp. 18-25.

Monetary and fiscal policy

- Auerbach, Alan J. and Dale W. Jorgenson, "Inflation-Proof Depreciation of Assets," *Harvard Business Review*, September-October 1980, pp. 113-18.
- Baker, H. Kent and William Seippel, "A New Look at Dividend Reinvestment Plans," MSU Business Topics, Summer 1980, pp. 39-42.
- Berkman, Neil G., "Some Comments on the New Monetary Aggregates," *New England Economic Review*, Federal Reserve Bank of Boston, March-April 1980, pp. 45-63.
- Halpern, Janice H. and Alicia H. Munnell, "The Inflationary Impact of Increases in the Social Security Payroll Tax," *New England Economic Review*, Federal Reserve Bank of Boston, March-April 1980, pp. 23-31.
- Kimball, Ralph C., "Wire Transfer and the Demand for Money," New England Economic Review, Federal Reserve Bank of Boston, March-April 1980, pp. 5-22.
- Malin, Steven R., "Money Watching," Across the Board, September 1980, pp. 57-64.
- Reilly, Ann M., "Can the Budget Process Survive?" Dun's Review, August 1980, pp. 39-42.
- Stephens, J. Kirker, "On the Efficacy of Certain Fiscal Policies—A Reformulation," *Economic Inquiry*, July 1980, pp. 445-60.

Prices and living conditions

- Dietrich, J. Kimball and Donald G. Heckerman, "Uncertain Inflation and the Demand for Capital," *Economic Inquiry*, July 1980, pp. 461-71.
- Fiedler, Edgar R., "Will the Real Inflation Rate Please Sit Down?" Across the Board, July 1980, pp. 32-35.
- Rosen, Gerald R., "How Much Will the Recession Cut Prices?" Dun's Review, August 1980, pp. 32-37.
- U.S. Office of Consumer Affairs, *People Power: What Communities are Doing to Counter Inflation*. Washington, U.S. Office of Consumer Affairs, Consumer Information Division, 1980, 411 pp.

Productivity and technological change

- Gordon, Robert J., The "End-of-Expansion" Phenomenon in Short-Run Productivity Behavior. Cambridge, Mass., National Bureau of Economic Research, Inc., 1979. (NBER Reprint 57.) \$1. (Reprinted from Brookings Papers on Economic Activity, No. 2, 1979, pp. 447-61.)
- Hekman, John S., "Can New England Hold onto Its High Technology Industry?" New England Economic Review, Federal Reserve Bank of Boston, March-April 1980, pp. 35-44.
- Mensch, Gerhard, Stalemate in Technology: Innovations Overcome the Depression. Cambridge, Mass., Ballinger Publishing Co., 1979. 240 pp. \$18.50.
- Rogers, Theresa F. and Nathalie S. Friedman, Printers Face Automation: The Impact of Technology on Work and Retirement Among Skilled Craftsmen. Lexington, Mass., D.C. Heath and Co., Lexington Books, 1980, 184 pp., bibliography.

Social institutions and social change

- Bokemeier, Janet L. and John L. Tait, "Women as Power Actors: A Comparative Study of Rural Communities," Rural Sociology, Summer 1980, pp. 238-55.
- Catalyst staff, What to Do with the Rest of Your Life. New York, Simon and Schuster, 1980, 626 pp. \$16.95.
- Chiba, Atsuko, "The Aged in Japan," Across the Board, July 1980, pp. 30-31.
- Greiff, Barrie S. and Preston K. Munter, "Can a Two-Career Family Live Happily Ever After?" Across the Board, September 1980, pp. 40-47.
- Michael, Robert T., Victor F. Fuchs, Sharon R. Scott, Changes in the Propensity to Live Alone: 1950-1976. Cambridge, Mass., National Bureau of Economic Research, 1980. (NBER Reprint, 64.) \$1. (Reprinted from Demography, February 1980, pp. 39-56.

Wages and compensation

- Bartel, Ann P., Earnings Growth on the Job and Between Jobs. Cambridge, Mass., National Bureau of Economic Research, 1979. (NBER Reprint 50.) \$1. (Reprinted from Economic Inquiry, January 1980, pp. 123-37.)
- Beller, Andrea H., "The Effect of Economic Conditions on the Success of Equal Employment Opportunity Laws: An Application to the Sex Differential in Earnings," The Review of Economics and Statistics, August 1980, pp. 379-87.
- Chapman, Bruce J. and Hong W. Tan, "Specific Training and Inter-Industry Wage Differentials in U.S. Manufacturing," The Review of Economics and Statistics, August 1980, pp. 371-78.
- Daymont, Thomas N., Pay Premiums for Economic Sector and Race: A Decomposition. Columbus, The Ohio State University, College of Administrative Science, Center for Human Resource Research, 1979, 46 pp. 80 cents.
- Harrop, David, America's Paychecks: Who Makes What. New York, Facts on File Publications, 1980, 254 pp. \$15.
- Johnson, William R., "Vintage Effects in the Earnings of White American Men," The Review of Economics and Statistics, August 1980, pp. 399-407.
- Medley, Carol, Shift Workers: A Descriptive Analysis of Worker Characteristics. Columbus, The Ohio State University, College of Administrative Science, Center for Human Resource Research, 1979, 20 pp. 80 cents.
- Murray, Thomas J., "Work-Sharing Is Working in California," Dun's Review, August 1980, pp. 62-65.
- Rutgers-The State University of New Jersey, Workers' Compensation-The New Amendments: Proceedings of an Institute of Management and Labor Relations Conference, Apr. 2, 1980. New Brunswick, N.J., Rutgers-The State University of New Jersey, The Institute of Management and Labor Relations, 1980, 31 pp. \$2.

Welfare programs and social insurance

Aaron, Henry, "A Debate on Social Security II," Across the Board, July 1980, pp. 24-29.

- Daatland, Svein Olav, "Flexible Retirement in Industrial Companies," Aging and Work, Summer 1980, pp. 175-82.
- Hamermesh, Daniel S., Unemployment Insurance and the Older American. Kalamazoo, Mich., The W. E. Upjohn Institute for Employment Research, 1980, 117 pp. \$4, paper.
- "Mandatory Social Security for Government and Nonprofit Employees Studied as Answer to Tax and Benefit Inequities," Hay-Huggins Bulletin, July 1980, 5 pp.
- Perham, John, "The Battle Over Mandatory Pensions," Dun's Review, August 1980, beginning on p. 44.
- Powers, E. A., P. M. Keith, W. J. Goudy, "A Panel Study of Nonmetropolitan Older Workers," Aging and Work, Summer 1980, pp. 163-74.
- Rosenberg, Gail S. and Maureen E. McCarthy, "Flexible Retirement Programs in Two U.S. Companies," Aging and Work, Summer 1980, pp. 210-14.

U.S. Postal Service STATEMENT OF OWNERSHIP, MANAGEMENT AND CIRCULATION (Required by 39 U.S.C. 3685)

- Title of Publication; Monthly Labor Review Date of Filing: October 6, 1980
- Frequency of Issue: Monthly 3.
- Annual Subscription Price: \$18 4
- Location of Known Office of Publication: 441 G Street, NW., Washington, D.C. 20212 6. Location of the Headquarters or General Business Offices of the Publishers: 441 G Street, NW., Washington, D.C. 20212
- Names and Complete Addresses of Publisher, Editor, and Managing Editor: Publisher: U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, 441 G Street, NW., Washington, D.C. 20212; Editor: Henry Lowenstern, Bureau of Labor Statistics, 441 G St., NW., Washington, D.C. 20212; Executive Editor: Robert W. Fisher, Bureau of Labor Statistics, 441 G St., NW., Washington, D.C. 20212
- 8. Owner: U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, 441 G Street, NW., Washington, D.C. 20212

9. Known Bondholders, Mortgagees, and Other Security Holders Owning or Holding 1 Percent or More of Total Amount of Bonds, Mortgages or Other Securities: None 10. Extent and Nature of Circulation.

		Average No. Copies Each Issue During Preceding 12 Months	Actual No. of Copies of Single Issue Published Nearest To Filing Date
Α.	Total no. copies printed (net press run)	17,205	17,251
В.	Paid circulation: 1. Sales through dealers and carriers,		
	street vendors, and counter sales	1,423	1,465
	2. Mail Subscriptions	13,800	13,800
C.	Total paid circulation	15,223	15,265
D.	Free distribution by mail, carrier, or other means (samples, complimentary, and other		
	free copies)	1,802	1,810
E.	Total distribution (sum of C and D)	17,025	17,075
F.	Copies not distributed: 1. Office use, leftover, unaccounted,		
	spoiled after printing	180	176
G.	2. Returns from news agents Total (sum of E, F1 and 2 — should equal net	NA	NA
	press run shown in A)	17,205	17,251

I certify that the statements made by me above are correct and complete.

(Signed) Henry Lowenstern, Editor-in-Chief

Current Labor Statistics



Notes on Current Labor Statistics	62
Schedule of release dates for major BLS statistical series	62
Employment data from household survey. Definitions and notes	63
1. Employment status of noninstitutional nonulation selected years 1950–79	63
2. Employment status of noninstruction population, succeed yans 1550 75 1111	64
2. Employment status by six, ag, and race, seasonally adjusted	65
4. Selected unployment indicators seasonally adjusted	66
5. Unemployment rates houses and age seasonally adjusted	67
6. Unemployment rates, by sex and age, seasonanty adjusted	67
7. Duration of unemployment, seasonally adjusted	67
Employment, hours, and earnings data from establishment surveys. Definitions and notes	68
8 Employment by industry, 1950–79	69
9 Employment by State	69
10 Employment by industry division and major manufacturing group	70
1. Employment by industry division and major manufacturing group, seasonally adjusted	71
1. Labor turnover rates in manifacturing, 1977 to date	72
12. Labor turnover rates in manifesturing, by major industry group	72
13. Lator full force rates in manufacture many, by major material group	73
15. Weakly house by industry division and maior manifecturing group	74
1. Weekly hours, by industry division and major manufacturing group seasonally adjusted	75
10. Weekly nouls, by industry division and major manufacturing group, seasonary adjusted	76
17. Hourity carmings, by industry division and major manufacturing group	76
10. Hourity Earnings Index, by industry division, seasonary adjusted	77
20. Gross and spendable weekly earnings in current and 1967 dollars 1960 to date	78
20. Gross and spendable weekly earlings, in current and 1907 donars, 1900 to date	10
Unemployment insurance data. Definitions and notes	79
21. Unemployment insurance and employment service operations	79
Price data. Definitions and notes	80
22. Consumer Price Index. 1967–79	81
23. Consumer Price Index, U.S. city average, general summary and selected items	81
24. Consumer Price Index, cross classification of region and population size class	87
25. Consumer Price Index, selected areas	88
26. Producer Price Indexes, by stage of processing	89
27. Producer Price Indexes, by commodity groupings	90
28 Producer Price Indexes, for special commodity groupings	92
29 Producer Price Indexes, by durability of product	92
30. Producer Price Indexes for the output of selected SIC industries	92
Productivity data. Definitions and notes	95
31. Annual indexes of productivity, hourly compensation, unit costs, and prices, 1950–79	95
32. Annual changes in productivity, hourly compensation, unit costs, and prices, 1969–79	96
33. Quarterly indexes of productivity, hourly compensation, unit costs, and prices, seasonally adjusted	96
34. Percent change from preceding quarter and year in productivity, hourly compensation, unit costs, and prices	97
Labor-management data. Definitions and notes	98
35. Wage and benefit settlements in major collective bargaining units, 1975 to date	98
36. Effective wage rate adjustments going into effect in major collective bargaining units, 1975 to date	99
37. Work stoppages, 1947 to date	99

NOTES ON CURRENT LABOR STATISTICS

This section of the *Review* presents the principal statistical series collected and calculated by the Bureau of Labor Statistics. A brief introduction to each group of tables provides definitions, notes on the data, sources, and other material usually found in footnotes.

Readers who need additional information are invited to consult the BLS regional offices listed on the inside front cover of this issue of the *Review*. Some general notes applicable to several series are given below.

Seasonal adjustment. Certain monthly and quarterly data are adjusted to eliminate the effect of such factors as climatic conditions, industry production schedules, opening and closing of schools, holiday buying periods, and vacation practices, which might otherwise mask shortterm movements of the statistical series. Tables containing these data are identified as "seasonally adjusted." Seasonal effects are estimated on the basis of past experience. When new seasonal factors are computed each year, revisions may affect seasonally adjusted data for several preceding years. For a technical discussion of the method used to make seasonal adjustments, see X-11 Variant of the Census Method II Seasonal Adjustment Program, Technical Paper No. 15 (Bureau of the Census, 1967).

Seasonally adjusted labor force data in tables 2-7 were last revised in the February 1980 issue of the *Review* to reflect the preceding year's experience. Beginning in January 1980, the BLS introduced two major modifications in the seasonal adjustment methodology for labor force data. First, the data are being seasonally adjusted with a new procedure called X-11/ARIMA, which was developed at Statistics Canada as an extension of the standard X-11 method. A detailed description of the procedure appears in *The X-11 ARIMA Seasonal Adjustment Method* by Estela Bee Dagum (Statistics Canada Catalogue No. 12-564E, September 1979). The second change is that seasonal factors are now being calculated for use during the first 6 months of the year, rather than for the entire year, and then are calculated at mid-year for the July-December period. Revisions of historical data continue to be made only at the end of each calendar year.

Annual revision of the seasonally adjusted payroll data in tables 11, 13, 16, and 18 begins with the August 1980 issue using the X-11 ARIMA seasonal adjustment methodology. New seasonal factors for productivity data in tables 33 and 34 are usually introduced in the September issue. Seasonally adjusted indexes and percent changes from month to month and from quarter to quarter are published for numerous Consumer and Producer Price Index series. However, seasonally adjusted indexes are not published for the U.S. average All Items CPI. Only seasonally adjusted percent changes are available for this series.

Adjustments for price changes. Some data are adjusted to eliminate the effect of changes in price. These adjustments are made by dividing current dollar values by the Consumer Price Index or the appropriate component of the index, then multiplying by 100. For example, given a current hourly wage rate of \$3 and a current price index number of 150, where 1967 = 100, the hourly rate expressed in 1967 dollars is \$2 ($3/150 \times 100 =$ \$2). The resulting values are described as "real," "constant," or "1967" dollars.

Availability of information. Data that supplement the tables in this section are published by the Bureau of Labor Statistics in a variety of sources. Press releases provide the latest statistical information published by the Bureau; the major recurring releases are published according to the schedule given below. The Handbook of Labor Statistics 1978, Bulletin 2000, provides more detailed data and greater historical coverage for most of the statistical series presented in the Monthly Labor Review. More information from the household and establishment surveys is provided in Employment and Earnings, a monthly publication of the Bureau, and in two comprehensive data books issued annually - Employment and Earnings, United States and Employment and Earnings, States and Areas. More detailed information on wages and other aspects of collective bargaining appears in the monthly periodical, Current Wage Developments. More detailed price information is published each month in the periodicals, the CPI Detailed Report and Producer Prices and Price Indexes.

Symbols

- p = preliminary. To improve the timeliness of some series, preliminary figures are issued based on representative but incomplete returns.
- r = revised. Generally this revision reflects the availability of later data but may also reflect other adjustments.
- n.e.c. = not elsewhere classified.

Title and frequency (monthly except where indicated)	Release date	Period covered	Release date	Period covered	MLR table number
Employment situation	November 7	October	December 5	November	1-11
roducer Price Index	November 7	October	December 5	November	26-30
onsumer Price Index	November 25	October	December 23	November	22 - 25
roductivity and costs (quarterly):	November 25	October	December 23	November	14 - 20
Nonfinancial corporations	November 26	3d quarter			31 - 34
/ork stoppages	November 28	October	December 30	November	37
abor turnover in manufacturing	November 28	October	December 31	November	12-13

Schedule of release dates for major BLS statistical series

EMPLOYMENT DATA FROM THE HOUSEHOLD SURVEY

EMPLOYMENT DATA in this section are obtained from the Current Population Survey, a program of personal interviews conducted monthly by the Bureau of the Census for the Bureau of Labor Statistics. The sample consists of about 65,000 households beginning in January 1980, selected to represent the U.S. population 16 years of age and older. Households are interviewed on a rotating basis, so that three-fourths of the sample is the same for any 2 consecutive months.

Definitions

Employed persons are (1) those who worked for pay any time during the week which includes the 12th day of the month or who worked unpaid for 15 hours or more in a family-operated enterprise and (2) those who were temporarily absent from their regular jobs because of illness, vacation, industrial dispute, or similar reasons. A person working at more than one job is counted only in the job at which he or she worked the greatest number of hours.

Unemployed persons are those who did not work during the survey week, but were available for work except for temporary illness and had looked for jobs within the preceding 4 weeks. Persons who did not look for work because they were on layoff or waiting to start new jobs within the next 30 days are also counted among the unemployed. The **unemployment rate** represents the number unemployed as a percent of the civilian labor force.

The civilian labor force consists of all employed or unemployed persons in the civilian noninstitutional population; the total labor force includes military personnel. Persons not in the labor force are those not classified as employed or unemployed; this group includes persons retired, those engaged in their own housework, those not working while attending school, those unable to work because of longterm illness, those discouraged from seeking work because of personal or job market factors, and those who are voluntarily idle. The **noninstitutional population** comprises all persons 16 years of age and older who are not inmates of penal or mental institutions, sanitariums, or homes for the aged, infirm, or needy.

Full-time workers are those employed at least 35 hours a week; part-time workers are those who work fewer hours. Workers on parttime schedules for economic reasons (such as slack work, terminating or starting a job during the week, material shortages, or inability to find full-time work) are among those counted as being on full-time status, under the assumption that they would be working full time if conditions permitted. The survey classifies unemployed persons in full-time or part-time status by their reported preferences for full-time or part-time work.

Notes on the data

From time to time, and especially after a decennial census, adjustments are made in the Current Population Survey figures to correct for estimating errors during the preceding years. These adjustments affect the comparability of historical data presented in table 1. A description of these adjustments and their effect on the various data series appear in the Explanatory Notes of *Employment and Earnings*.

Data in tables 2-7 are seasonally adjusted, based on the seasonal experience through December 1979.

			Total la	bor force			Civilian la	bor force			
		Total non-					Employed		Unem	ployed	Not in
Year	institutional population	Number	Percent of population	Total	Total	Agriculture	Nonagri- cultural industries	Number	Percent of labor force	labor force	
950		106,645	63,858	59.9	62,208	58,918	7,160	51,758	3,288	5.3	42,787
955		112,732	68,072	60.4	65,023	62,170	6,450	55,722	2,852	4.4	44,660
960		119,759	72,142	60.2	69,628	65,778	5,458	60,318	3,852	5.5	47,617
964		127,224	75,830	59.6	73,091	69,305	4,523	64,782	3,786	5.2	51,394
965		129,236	77,178	59.7	74,455	71,088	4,361	66,726	3,366	4.5	52,058
966		131,180	78,893	60.1	75,770	72,895	3,979	68,915	2,875	3.8	52,288
967		133,319	80,793	60.6	77,347	74,372	3,844	70,527	2,975	3.8	52,527
968		135,562	82,272	60.7	78,737	75,920	3,817	72,103	2,817	3.6	53,291
969		137,841	84,240	61.1	80,734	77,902	3,606	74,296	2,832	3.5	53,602
970		140,182	85,903	61.3	82,715	78,627	3,462	75,165	4,088	4.9	54,280
971		142,596	86,929	61.0	84,113	79,120	3.387	75.732	4,993	5.9	55,666
972		145.775	88,991	61.0	86,542	81,702	3,472	78,230	4,840	5.6	56,785
973		148,263	91.040	61.4	88,714	84,409	3,452	80,957	4.304	4.9	57,222
974		150.827	93,240	61.8	91.011	83,935	3,492	82,443	5.076	5.6	57,587
975		153,449	94,793	61.8	92,613	84,783	3,380	81,403	7,830	8.5	58,655
976		156.048	96,917	62.1	94,773	87.485	3.297	84,188	7.288	7.7	59,130
977		158,559	99.534	62.8	97.401	90,546	3.244	87.302	6.855	7.0	59,025
978		161 058	102 537	63.7	100 420	94 373	3 342	91.031	6.047	6.0	58,521
070		163 620	104 996	64.2	102 908	96 945	3 297	93 648	5 963	5.8	58 623

2. Employment status by sex, age, and race, seasonally adjusted

Employment status	Annual	average		1	9/9						1980				
Employment status	1978	1979	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May	June	July	Aug.	Sep
TOTAL															
Total noninstitutional population ¹	161,058	163,620	164,106	164,468	164,682	164,898	165,101	165,298	165,506	165,693	165,886	166,105	166,391	166,578	166,7
I otal labor force	102,537	104,996	105,586	105,688	105,744	106,088	106,310	106,346	106,184	106,511	107,230	106,634	107,302	107,139	107,1
Civilian labor force	100,420	101,532	102,013	102,375	102,009	102,809	103,020	103,211	103,410	103,601	105,799	104,013	164,293	164,464	164,6
Employed	94 373	96 945	97 504	97 474	97 608	97 912	97 804	07 053	07 656	07 154	06 088	06 527	06,006	07.006	105,0
Agriculture	3.342	3 297	3,364	3 294	3 385	3 359	3 270	3,326	3,358	3 242	3 379	3 1 91	3 257	3 180	31,2
Nonagricultural industries	91.031	93.648	94,140	94,180	94.223	94,553	94,534	94.626	94,298	93,912	93 609	93 346	93 739	93.826	937
Unemployed	6,047	5,963	5,990	6,121	6,044	6,087	6,425	6,307	6,438	7,265	8,154	8.006	8.207	8.019	7.8
Unemployment rate	6.0	5.8	5.8	5.9	5.8	5.9	6.2	6.0	6.2	7.0	7.8	7.7	7.8	7.6	
Not in labor force	58,521	58,623	58,519	58,780	58,937	58,810	58,791	58,951	59,322	59,182	58,657	59,471	59,091	59,439	59,6
Men, 20 years and over															
vilian noninstitutional population ¹	67,006	68,293	68,522	68,697	68,804	68,940	69,047	69,140	69,238	69,329	69,428	69,532	69,664	69,756	69,8
Civilian labor force	53,464	54,486	54,735	54,760	54,709	54,781	54,855	55,038	54,996	55,114	55,467	55,220	55,398	55,474	55,5
Employed	51,212	52,264	52,453	52,443	52,374	52,478	52,279	52,531	52,300	51,868	51,796	51,510	51,668	51,792	51,8
Agriculture	2,361	2,350	2,377	2,3/1	2,438	2,427	2,387	2,435	2,394	2,320	2,384	2,270	2,292	2,286	2,3
Nonagricultural industries	48,852	49,913	50,076	50,072	49,936	50,051	49,892	50,096	49,906	49,548	49,412	49,240	49,376	49,506	49,4
Linemployeed trate	4.2	2,220 A 1	4.2	2,017	2,000	2,303	2,3//	2,507	2,090	3,240	3,0/1	3,/10	3,730	3,682	3,1
Not in labor force	13,541	13,807	13,787	13,937	14,095	14,159	14,192	14,102	14,242	14,215	13,961	14,312	14,266	14,282	14,3
Women, 20 years and over															
vilian noninstitutional population ¹	75,489	76,860	77,124	77,308	77,426	77,542	77,656	77.766	77.876	77,981	78.090	78,211	78.360	78.473	78 5
Civilian labor force	37,416	38,910	39,239	39,362	39,445	39,659	39,878	39.857	39.751	40.137	40.246	40.125	40.471	40.589	40
Employed	35,180	36,698	37,075	37,112	37,248	37,402	37,574	37,604	37,496	37,602	37,576	37,530	37,769	37,961	37.8
Agriculture	586	591	628	572	612	582	540	567	582	552	616	541	565	548	(
Nonagricultural industries	34,593	36,107	36,447	36,540	36,636	36,820	37,034	37,037	36,914	37,051	36,960	36,989	37,204	37,413	37,2
Unemployed	2,236	2,213	2,164	2,250	2,197	2,257	2,304	2,254	2,255	2,534	2,670	2,596	2,702	2,628	2,4
Not in labor force	6.0 38,073	5.7 37,949	5.5	5.7 37,946	5.6	5.7	5.8 37,778	5.7 37.909	5.7	6.3 37.844	6.6	6.5 38.086	6.7 37.889	6.5 37.884	38.3
Both sexes, 16 - 19 years															
vilian noninstitutional nonulation ¹	16 447	16 370	16 367	16 370	16 260	16 226	16 217	16 205	16 202	16 201	16 001	16 071	16 060	10.005	10
Civilian labor force	9 540	9.512	9,520	9 473	9 498	9 559	9 497	9 365	9 346	9 168	0,201	0 107	0.334	8 962	10,
Employed	7.981	7.984	7.976	7,919	7,986	8.032	7 952	7 818	7 859	7 683	7 616	7 497	7 560	7 253	7
Agriculture	395	356	359	351	335	350	344	325	381	370	379	380	401	346	
Nonagricultural industries	7,586	7,628	7,617	7,568	7,651	7,682	7,608	7,493	7,478	7,313	7,237	7,117	7,159	6,907	7.
Unemployed	1,559	1,528	1,544	1,554	1,512	1,527	1,545	1,547	1,487	1,485	1,813	1,700	1,774	1,709	1.6
Unemployment rate	16.3	16.1	16.2	16.4	15.9	16.0	16.3	16.5	15.9	16.2	19.2	18.5	19.0	19.1	1
Not in labor force	6,907	6,867	6,847	6,897	6,862	6,767	6,820	6,940	6,956	7,123	6,852	7,074	6,934	7,273	7,0
White															
vilian noninstitutional population ¹	139,580	141,614	141,981	142,296	142,461	142,645	142,806	142,951	143,115	143,254	143,403	143,565	143,770	143,900	144,0
Civilian labor force	88,456	90,602	91,082	91,147	91,242	91,579	91,852	91,977	91,821	92,083	92,535	92,096	92,456	92,294	92,3
Employed	83,836	86,025	86,425	86,454	86,571	86,894	86,895	87,081	86,822	86,385	86,148	85,792	86,063	85,981	86,3
Unemployed	4,620	4,5//	4,657	4,693	4,671	4,685	4,957	4,896	4,999	5,698	6,386	6,303	6,392	6,313	6,0
Not in labor force	51,124	51,011	50,900	51,149	51,219	51,066	50,954	5.3	51,294	51,171	50,868	51,469	6.9 51,314	6.8 51,606	51,7
Black and other															
vilian noninstitutional population1	19.361	19.918	20.032	20.079	20 128	20 163	20 214	20.261	20 301	20 346	20 395	20.449	20.522	20 564	20.4
Civilian labor force	11.964	12,306	12,404	12.512	12,391	12,432	12 453	12,362	12,266	12,319	12,559	12 446	12,739	12 650	126
Employed	10,537	10,920	11.063	11.076	11.044	11.024	10.979	10.937	10.823	10.771	10.813	10,751	10,932	10,930	10.8
Unemployed	1,427	1,386	1,341	1,436	1,347	1,408	1,474	1,424	1,443	1,549	1,746	1,695	1,807	1,719	1.7
Unemployment rate	11.9	11.3	10.8	11.5	10.9	11.3	11.8	11.5	11.8	12.6	13.9	13.6	14.2	13.6	14
Not in labor force	7 397	7.612	7.264	7 567	7 737	7 721	7 761	7 800	9.025	9.027	7 836	8 002	7 794	7 014	70

NOTE: The monthly data in this table have been revised to reflect seasonal experience through 1979.

64 gitized for FRASER ps://fraser.stlouisfed.org deral Reserve Bank of St. Louis

3. Selected employment indicators, seasonally adjusted

[In thousands]

Selected categories	Annuai	average		19	979	_					1980				
Science caregories	1978	1979	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May	June	July	Aug.	Sep
CHARACTERISTIC															
Total employed, 16 years and over	94,373	96,945	97,504	97,474	97,608	97,912	97,804	97,953	97,656	97,154	96,988	96,537	96,996	97,006	97.20
Men	55,491	56,499	56,714	56,629	56,580	56,734	56,486	56,732	56,601	55,998	55,823	55,457	55,629	55,551	55.73
Women	38,882	40,446	40,790	40,845	41.028	41,178	41.318	41.221	41.051	41.156	41,165	41.079	41.367	41,455	41.4
Married men, spouse present	38.688	39,090	39,198	39.124	38.845	38,924	38,749	38,955	38 745	38 342	38 147	38 193	37 999	37,910	37.9
Married women, spouse present	21,881	22,724	22,937	22,919	22,940	23,027	23,111	23,178	23,202	23,080	23,155	23,144	23,097	23,162	23,0
OCCUPATION															
White-collar workers	47,205	49,342	49,816	49,738	49,912	49,911	50,313	50,448	50,302	50,405	50,606	50,861	51,114	51,413	51,14
Professional and technical	14,245	15,050	15,141	15,057	15,131	15,272	15,337	15,444	15,397	15,542	15,551	15,712	15,741	15,761	15,5
farm	10 105	10.516	10.659	10.630	10.617	10 535	10 608	10.071	10 755	10 745	10.882	10.011	11 046	11 152	110
Salaeworkore	5 051	6 162	6 101	6 261	6 262	6 346	6 452	6 105	6 112	5 000	6.000	E 001	6 100	6 104	11,0
Clarical workers	16,004	17 612	17 925	17 701	17 902	17 750	17.015	17 040	10,113	10 100	10 152	10.050	10,120	10.075	10,0
Plue coller workers	10,904	17,013	17,035	17,701	17,002	17,750	17,915	17,040	10,037	10,129	10,152	18,200	18,199	18,375	18,2
Oroft and kindred workers	31,001	32,000	32,209	32,205	32,110	32,302	31,882	31,754	31,070	31,127	30,681	30,243	30,149	29,983	30,4
Crait and kindred workers	12,380	12,880	12,993	13,001	12,925	13,041	12,814	12,728	12,767	12,773	12,523	12,301	12,382	12,233	12,5
Operatives, except transport	10,875	10,909	10,964	10,967	10,963	11,042	10,678	10,661	10,579	10,408	10,336	10,131	10,134	10,066	10,1
I ransport equipment operatives	3,541	3,612	3,617	3,593	3,628	3,635	3,616	3,571	3,558	3,483	3,421	3,395	3,335	3,474	3,4
Nonfarm laborers	4,729	4,665	4,635	4,644	4,594	4,584	4,774	4,795	4,767	4,463	4,402	4,416	4,299	4,209	4,2
Service workers	12,839	12,834	12,859	12,937	12,899	12,970	12,979	13,080	12,981	13,034	13,932	12,930	13,045	12,917	12,9
Farmworkers	2,798	2,703	2,722	2,695	2,718	2,694	2,660	2,764	2,733	2,658	2,745	2,606	2,689	2,601	2,77
MAJOR INDUSTRY AND CLASS OF WORKER															
Agriculture:															
Wage and salary workers	1 4 1 9	1 413	1 399	1.381	1 475	1 451	1 428	1 417	1 449	1 370	1 405	1 365	1 352	1 263	1 41
Self-employed workers	1 607	1 580	1 642	1 602	1 622	1 596	1 554	1 648	1 600	1 501	1,400	1,500	1 631	1 648	1.7
Linnaid family workers	316	304	325	313	310	310	203	283	300	281	280	260	202	272	2
Nonagricultural industries	010	004	ULU	.010	010	010	200	200	500	201	203	200	202	210	0
Wage and calany workers	84 252	86 540	86.012	96 092	87.020	07 204	07 570	97 410	07 001	06 741	06 601	06 257	00 407	00 500	00.00
Government	15 200	15 260	15 407	15 402	15 250	15 207	15 414	15 540	15 600	15 660	15 700	15 001	15 700	45 405	15.5
Drivato industrian	60.066	71 171	71 505	71 550	71 660	71 007	70.460	71 970	71 500	71.070	15,799	70.005	15,700	15,495	10,0
Drivate Industries	1 262	1 040	1 2 1 2	1 001	1 011	1,907	12,103	/1,0/9	11,599	/1,0/2	10,832	70,305	10,047	/1,014	10,1
Other industries	1,303	1,240	1,313	1,201	1,211	1,228	1,132	1,1/8	1,115	1,123	1,206	1,219	1,245	1,209	1,1
Other industries	07,003	09,931	70,192	10,298	/0,451	10,759	/1,031	10,702	70,484	69,949	69,625	69,147	69,402	69,805	69,6
Unpaid family workers	6,305	455	449	430	6,781	409	6,752 379	6,899	6,825	6,813	6,648	6,666	6,765	6,879 399	4
PERSONS AT WORK 1															
Nonagricultural industries	85 603	88 133	88 723	88 638	88 617	80 190	80 454	99 095	00 505	97 660	97 690	97.010	97 454	00 270	00.2
Full time schedules	70 540	70 647	70 150	70,030	70,007	70,100	70,000	70,110	70,740	71,000	71,000	71,910	70,404	00,270	88,24
Port time for companie receiper	10,543	2,04/	/3,159	13,204	12,997	13,13/	13,223	/3,110	12,149	/1,80/	/1,224	/1,206	70,649	/1,4/8	/1,90
Part time for economic reasons	3,210	3,281	3,16/	3,315	3,392	3,519	3,513	3,406	3,418	3,816	4,349	3,999	4,113	4,148	4,20
Usually work full time	1,249	1,325	1,2/3	1,354	1,413	1,491	1,549	1,380	1,463	1,709	2,064	1,781	1,847	1,692	1,69
Usually work part time	1,967	1,956	1,894	1,961	1,979	2,028	1,964	2,026	1,955	2,107	2,285	2,217	2,266	2,456	2,50
Part time for noneconomic reasons	11,934	12,205	12,397	12,119	12,228	12,524	12,718	12,469	12,418	12,037	12,106	12,706	12,692	12,644	12,06

4. Selected unemployment indicators, seasonally adjusted

Colocted estagories	Annual	average		1	979						1980				
Selected categories	1978	1979	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May	June	July	Aug.	Sep
CHARACTERISTIC															
Total, 16 years and over	6.0	5.8	5.8	5.9	5.8	5.9	6.2	6.0	6.2	7.0	7.8	7.7	7.8	7.6	7.5
Men, 20 years and over	4.2	4.1	4.2	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.7	4.6	4.9	5.9	6.6	6.7	6.7	6.6	6.7
Women, 20 years and over	6.0	5.7	5.5	5.7	5.6	5.7	5.8	5.7	5.7	6.3	6.6	6.5	6.7	6.5	6.1
Both sexes, 16 - 19 years	16.3	16.1	16.2	16.4	15.9	16.0	16.3	16.5	15.9	16.2	19.2	18.5	19.0	19.1	17.5
White, total	5.2	5.1	5.1	5.1	5.1	5.1	5.4	5.3	5.4	6.2	6.9	6.8	6.9	6.8	6.5
Men, 20 years and over	3.7	3.6	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7	4.1	4.0	4.4	5.3	5.9	6.0	6.0	5.9	5.9
Women, 20 years and over	5.2	5.0	4.8	5.0	4.9	5.0	5.1	5.2	4.9	5.5	5.8	5.8	5.9	5.8	5.5
Both sexes, 16 - 19 years	13.9	13.9	14.3	14.1	13.9	13.9	14.0	13.8	13.8	14.6	17.4	16.4	16.7	17.0	14.8
Black and other, total	11.9	11.3	10.8	11.5	10.9	11.3	11.8	11.5	11.8	12.6	13.9	13.6	14.2	13.6	142
Men. 20 years and over	8.6	84	80	8.6	84	86	9.6	92	93	10.9	12.0	12.6	127	127	135
Women, 20 years and over	10.6	10.1	9.8	10.2	95	10.0	10.0	9.0	10.5	11.4	11.9	10.9	115	10.6	10/
Both sexes, 16-19 years	36.3	33.5	32.3	35.1	32.8	34.3	34.6	37.9	33.0	29.8	35.2	34.4	36.6	37.4	38.2
Married men, shouse present	28	27	29	29	29	28	3.4	31	34	41	47	40	51	10	1
Married women shouse present	5.5	5.1	1.8	5.0	1.9	5.0	5.9	5.1	5.9	5.7	4.7	4.5	6.0	4.5	4.0
Women who head families	9.5	0.1	7.7	0.4	9.0	0.0	0.2	0.4	0.7	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.0
Full time workers	0.0	5.0	5.0	0.4 E.A	0.4 5.4	0.4 E.4	9.2	0.0	0.7	9.3	0.3	0.4	0.9	0.9	0.0
Part time workers	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.5	7.4	1.0	1.4	1.0
Lipopploud 15 works and over	9.0	0./	0.4	0.9	0.3	0.0	0.7	8.9	8.3	8.9	9.3	8.8	8.7	8.6	8.6
Labor force time lost ¹	6.5	6.3	6.2	6.4	6.4	6.4	6.7	6.6	1.3 6.8	1.6	1.6	1.7	1.8	8.3	8.2
OCCUPATION															
White coller workers	25	2.2	2.2	24	2.0	2.2	24	2.4		0.7		0.7	0.7	0.7	
Drefeesingel and technical	3.5	3.3	3.3	0.7	3.2	3.3	3.4	3.4	3.3	3./	3.9	3.7	3.1	3.7	3.1
Managers and administrators, except	2.0	2.4	2.4	2.1	2.4	2.0	2.2	2.3	2.0	2.4	2.1	2.0	2.4	2.3	2.4
farm	2.1	2.1	2.2	2.2	1.9	2.0	1.9	2.2	2.4	2.6	2.7	2.4	2.5	2.4	2.4
Salesworkers	4.1	3.9	3.8	3.8	3.7	3.8	4.4	4.5	4.0	4.7	4.5	4.4	4.2	4.1	4.2
Clerical workers	4.9	4.6	4.5	4.7	4.4	4.6	4.8	4.7	4.5	5.1	5.4	5.3	5.4	5.4	5.4
Blue-collar workers	6.9	6.9	7.1	7.2	7.5	7.2	8.0	7.7	8.0	9.7	11.3	11.5	11.5	11.4	10.9
Craft and kindred workers	4.6	4.5	4.3	4.6	4.9	4.4	4.9	4.8	5.4	6.7	8.1	8.0	7.4	8.1	7.7
Operatives, except transport	8.1	8.4	9.0	9.1	9.0	9.0	9.9	9.2	9.3	11.6	14.0	13.8	14.6	13.6	13.0
Transport equipment operatives	5.2	5.4	6.1	5.6	5.2	5.0	6.9	6.7	6.6	8.9	9.0	10.5	10.5	10.0	10.6
Nonfarm laborers	10.7	10.8	11.0	10.7	12.2	12.2	12.3	12.0	13.0	14.1	15.4	16.2	16.1	16.5	15.1
Service workers	7.4	7.1	6.7	6.8	6.6	6.6	6.9	6.9	7.1	8.0	8.5	8.1	8.4	8.6	8.1
Farmworkers	3.8	3.8	4.1	4.3	4.5	4.3	4.4	3.9	4.0	5.0	4.8	4.2	4.8	5.6	4.3
INDUSTRY															
Nonagricultural private wage and salary workers ²	5.9	5.7	5.8	5.9	5.8	5.8	6.2	6.0	6.2	7.1	8.2	8.3	8.2	8.0	7.8
Construction	10.6	10.2	9.6	9.9	10.2	10.3	10.8	10.5	13.0	15.1	17.5	16.5	16.1	18.3	16.5
Manufacturing	5.5	5.5	6.0	6.0	5.9	5.9	6.7	6.4	6.5	7.9	9.9	9.9	10.3	93	91
Durable goods	4.9	5.0	5.3	5.5	5.6	5.5	6.7	6.3	6.4	8.3	10.5	11.2	11.2	10.2	10 1
Nondurable goods	6.3	6.4	7.1	6.8	6.3	6.4	6.8	6.7	6.7	7.4	8.8	8.0	8.8	7.9	77
Transportation and public utilities	3.7	3.7	4.0	3.8	4.2	41	4.4	4.4	3.8	46	51	52	5.8	57	5.4
Wholesale and retail trade	6.9	6.5	6.4	6.4	6.5	64	6.6	64	6.3	7.0	76	8.0	7.5	76	7.6
Finance and service industries	51	49	47	49	46	47	4.6	4.6	49	51	57	57	57	5.6	53
Government workers	3.9	37	33	40	3.6	36	3.8	4.0	4.2	4.4	42	35	41	4.0	0.0
Agricultural wage and salary workers	8.8	91	10.0	9.9	10.1	9.4	10.3	9.0	10.2	11.9	117	9.7	10.8	13.8	10.0
ignound mayo and balany mornoro	0.0	0.1	10.0	0.0	10.1	0.4	10.0	9.6	10.2	11.0	11.7	5.1	10.0	10.0	10.8

Aggregate nours lost by the unemployed a percent of potentially available labor force hours. ² Includes mining, not shown separately.

1979.

Say and age	Annual	average		19	79						1980				
Jex ain aye	1978	1979	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May	June	July	Aug.	Sept
otal, 16 years and over	6.0	5.8	5.8	5.9	5.8	5.9	6.2	6.0	6.2	7.0	7.8	7.7	7.8	7.6	7.5
16 to 19 years	16.3	16.1	16.2	16.4	15.9	16.0	16.3	16.5	15.9	16.2	19.2	18.5	19.0	19.1	17.5
16 to 17 years	19.3	18.1	16.9	18.4	17.3	18.0	19.0	18.7	17.4	18.7	21.7	19.8	20.9	22.8	19.9
18 to 19 years	14.2	14.6	15.6	15.0	14.7	14.5	14.0	15.1	14.7	14.4	17.7	18.0	17.7	16.6	15.8
20 to 24 years	9.5	9.0	9.2	9.6	8.8	9.8	10.1	9.5	9.7	11.4	12.7	12.4	12.3	11.9	11.9
25 years and over	4.0	3.9	3.9	4.0	4.0	3.8	4.2	4.1	4.4	5.0	5.5	5.5	5.7	5.5	5.4
25 to 54 years	4.2	4.1	4.1	4.2	4.3	4.1	4.4	4.5	4.7	5.4	5.9	6.0	6.1	5.9	6.0
55 years and over	3.2	3.0	2.9	3.0	2.7	2.7	3.5	2.8	2.8	3.4	3.6	3.4	3.5	3.6	3.4
Men, 16 years and over	5.2	5.1	5.2	5.2	5.2	5.2	5.7	5.5	5.7	6.7	7.7	7.8	7.8	7.7	7.7
16 to 19 years	15.7	15.8	16.1	15.7	15.8	15.6	16.2	15.6	14.8	16.1	19.7	19.5	19.7	20.2	18.6
16 to 17 years	19.2	17.9	16.7	17.1	17.8	17.9	19.0	18.0	15.9	18.3	22.0	21.8	20.8	24.6	21.3
18 to 19 years	13.2	14.2	15.3	14.4	14.0	13.6	13.9	14.1	14.0	14.2	17.9	19.3	18.7	17.0	16.6
20 to 24 years	9.1	8.6	8.8	9.5	8.4	9.4	10.4	9.9	10.4	12.3	13.7	13.8	13.4	13.9	13.5
25 years and over	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.4	3.5	3.2	3.7	3.6	3.9	4.7	5.3	5.5	5.6	5.4	5.6
25 to 54 years	3.4	3.4	3.6	3.5	3.8	3.4	3.8	3.8	4.2	5.0	5.7	5.8	6.1	5.7	6.2
55 years and over	3.1	2.9	2.8	2.8	2.6	2.6	3.5	2.6	2.7	3.4	3.5	3.8	3.9	4.0	3.5
Women, 16 years and over	7.2	6.8	6.6	6.9	6.6	6.8	6.8	6.8	6.8	7.3	7.8	7.5	7.8	7.6	7.1
16 to 19 years	17.0	16.4	16.4	17.2	16.1	16.4	16.3	17.6	17.3	16.3	18.7	17.3	18.2	17.8	16.3
16 to 17 years	19.5	18.3	17.2	19.8	16.7	18.0	19.1	19.5	19.2	19.1	21.4	17.6	20.9	20.7	18.3
18 to 19 years	15.3	15.0	15.9	15.6	15.5	15.5	14.2	16.2	15.6	14.6	17.5	16.6	16.6	16.1	15.0
20 to 24 years	10.1	9.6	9.6	9.7	9.3	10.2	9.8	9.1	9.0	10.2	11.6	10.8	11.1	9.7	10.1
25 years and over	5.1	4.8	4.6	4.9	4.7	4.7	4.9	4.9	5.0	5.5	5.7	5.6	5.7	5.7	5.3
25 to 54 years	5.4	5.2	5.0	5.2	5.0	5.1	5.2	5.4	5.5	6.0	6.1	6.1	6.2	6.2	5.0
55 years and over	3.3	3.2	2.9	3.4	2.9	2.9	3.4	3.0	2.9	3.4	3.6	2.8	3.0	3.0	3.2

6. Unemployed persons, by reason for unemployment, seasonally adjusted [Numbers in thousands]

Resson for unemployment		19	79						1980				
	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May	June	July	Aug.	Sept.
NUMBER OF UNEMPLOYED													
Lost last job	2,632	2,731	2,729	2,728	2,988	2,907	3,047	3,611	4,301	4,625	4,558	4,360	4,473
On layoff	855	929	987	944	1,019	1,031	1,129	1,424	1,944	2,117	1,975	1,692	1,809
Other job losers	1,777	1,802	1,742	1,784	1,969	1,876	1,918	2,188	2,357	2,508	2,583	2,668	2,664
Left last job	825	835	845	800	779	813	788	926	992	898	857	897	842
Reentered labor force	1,760	1,762	1,698	1,771	1,797	1,784	1,803	1,967	2,015	1,822	1,868	1,895	1,817
Seeking first job	801	804	736	858	811	827	805	743	884	863	930	867	858
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION													
Total unemployed	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Job losers	43.7	44.5	45.4	44.3	46.9	45.9	47.3	49.8	52.5	56.3	55.5	54.4	56.0
On layoff	14.2	15.2	16.4	15.3	16.0	16.3	17.5	19.6	23.7	25.8	24.0	21.1	22.6
Other job losers	29.5	29.4	29.0	29.0	30.9	29.6	29.8	30.2	28.8	30.6	31.5	33.3	33.3
Job leavers	13.7	13.6	14.1	13.0	12.2	12.8	12.2	12.8	12.1	10.9	10.4	11.2	10.5
Reentrants	29.2	28.7	28.3	28.8	28.2	28.2	28.0	27.1	24.6	22.2	22.7	23.6	22.7
New entrants	13.3	13.1	12.3	13.9	12.7	13.1	12.5	10.3	10.8	10.5	11.3	10.8	10.7
UNEMPLOYED AS A PERCENT OF													
THE CIVILIAN LABOR FORCE													
Job losers	2.5	2.6	2.6	2.6	2.9	2.8	2.9	3.5	4.1	4.4	4.3	4.2	4.3
Job leavers	.8	.8	.8	.8	.7	.8	.8	.9	.9	.9	.8	.9	.8
Reentrants	1.7	1.7	1.6	1.7	1.7	1.7	1.7	1.9	1.9	1.7	1.8	1.8	1.7
New entrants	.8	.8	.7	.8	.8	.8	.8	.7	.8	.8	.9	.8	.8

	Annual average 1979						1980									
Weeks of unemployment	1978	1979	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May	June	July	Aug.	Sept.	
Less than 5 weeks	2,793	2,869	2,778	2,955	2,919	2,916	3,184	2,995	2,995	3,309	3,872	3,333	3,363	3,268	2,957	
15 weeks and over	1,875	1,892	2,035	1,963	1,869	1,966	1,907	2,081	2,169	2,391	2,697	2,922	2,700	2,490 2,184	2,613	
27 weeks and over	633 11.9	518 10.8	508 10.7	517 10.5	531	519 10.5	539 10.5	496 10.7	587	953 676	709	739	1,057 858 11.6	925 12.6	930	

NOTE: The monthly data in these tables have been revised to reflect seasonal experience through 1979.

EMPLOYMENT, HOURS, AND EARNINGS DATA in this section are compiled from payroll records reported monthly on a voluntary basis to the Bureau of Labor Statistics and its cooperating State agencies by 166,000 establishments representing all industries except agriculture. In most industries, the sampling probabilities are based on the size of the establishment; most large establishments are therefore in the sample. (An establishment is not necessarily a firm; it may be a branch plant, for example, or warehouse.) Self-employed persons and others not on a regular civilian payroll are outside the scope of the survey because they are excluded from establishment records. This largely accounts for the difference in employment figures between the household and establishment surveys.

LABOR TURNOVER DATA in this section are compiled from personnel records reported monthly on a voluntary basis to the Bureau of Labor Statistics and its cooperating State agencies. A sample of 40,000 establishments represents all industries in the manufacturing and mining sectors of the economy.

Definitions

Employed persons are all persons who received pay (including holiday and sick pay) for any part of the payroll period including the 12th of the month. Persons holding more than one job (about 5 percent of all persons in the labor force) are counted in each establishment which reports them.

Production workers in manufacturing include blue-collar worker supervisors and all ionsupervisory workers closely associated with production operations. Those workers mentioned in tables 14-20 include production workers in manufacturing and mining; construction workers in construction; and nonsupervisory workers in transportation and public utilities, in wholesale and retail trade, in finance, insurance, and real estate, and in services industries. These groups account for about four-fifths of the total employment on private nonagricultural payrolls.

Earnings are the payments production or nonsupervisory workers receive during the survey period, including premium pay for overtime or late-shift work but excluding irregular bonuses and other special payments. Real earnings are earnings adjusted to eliminate the effects of price change. The Hourly Earnings Index is calculated from average hourly earnings data adjusted to exclude the effects of two types of changes that are unrelated to underlying wage-rate developments: fluctuations in overtime premiums in manufacturing (the only sector for which overtime data are available) and the effects of changes and seasonal factors in the proportion of workers in high-wage and lowwage industries. Spendable earnings are earnings from which estimated social security and Federal income taxes have been deducted. The Bureau of Labor Statistics computes spendable earnings from gross weekly earnings for only two illustrative cases: (1) a worker with no dependents and (2) a married worker with three dependents.

Hours represent the average weekly hours of production or nonsupervisory workers for which pay was received and are different from standard or scheduled hours. **Overtime hours** represent the portion of gross average weekly hours which were in excess of regular hours and for which overtime premiums were paid.

Labor turnover is the movement of all wage and salary workers from one employment status to another. Accession rates indicate the average number of persons added to a payroll in a given period per 100 employees; separation rates indicate the average number dropped from a payroll per 100 employees. Although month-to-month changes in employment can be calculated from the labor turnover data, the results are not comparable with employment data from the employment and payroll survey. The labor turnover survey measures changes during the calendar month while the employment and payroll survey measures changes from midmonth to midmonth.

Notes on the data

Establishment data collected by the Bureau of Labor Statistics are periodically adjusted to comprehensive counts of employment (called "benchmarks"). The latest complete adjustment was made with the release of June 1980 data, published in the August 1980 issue of the *Review*. Consequently, data published in the *Review* prior to that issue are not necessarily comparable to current data. Complete comparable historical unadjusted and seasonally adjusted data are published in a Supplement to Employment and Earnings (unadjusted data from April 1977 through March 1980 and seasonally adjusted data from January 1974 through March 1980) and in *Employment and Earnings, United States, 1909–78,* BLS Bulletin 1312–11 (for prior periods).

Data on recalls were shown for the first time in tables 12 and 13 in the January 1978 issue of the *Review*. For a detailed discussion of the recalls series, along with historical data, see "New Series on Recalls from the Labor Turnover Survey," *Employment and Earnings*, December 1977, pp. 10–19.

A comprehensive discussion of the differences between household and establishment data on employment appears in Gloria P. Green, "Comparing employment estimates from household and payroll surveys," *Monthly Labor Review*, December 1969, pp. 9–20. See also *BLS Handbook of Methods for Surveys and Studies*, Bulletin 1910 (Bureau of Labor Statistics, 1976).

The formulas used to construct the spendable average weekly earnings series reflect the latest provisions of the Federal income tax and social security tax laws. For the spendable average weekly earnings formulas for the years 1978-80, see *Employment and Earnings*, March 1980, pp. 10–11. Real earnings data are adjusted using the Consumer Price Index for Urban Wage Earners and Clerical Workers (CPI-W).

8. Employment by industry, 1950-79

[Nonagricultural payroll data, in thousands]

					Trans-	Whole-			Finance,		Government			
Year	Total	Mining	Construc- tion	Manufac- turing	portation and public utilities	sale and retail trade	Wholesale trade	Retail trade	ance, and real estate	Services	Total	Federal	State and local	
1950	45,197	901	2,364	15,241	4,034	9,386	2,635	6,751	1,888	5,357	6,026	1,928	4,098	
951	47,819	929	2,637	16,393	4,226	9,742	2,727	7,015	1,956	5,547	6,389	2,302	4,087	
952	48,793	898	2,668	16,632	4,248	10,004	2,812	7,192	2.035	5.699	6,609	2,420	4,188	
953	50,202	866	2.659	17.549	4,290	10.247	2.854	7.393	2.111	5.835	6.645	2,305	4.340	
954	48,990	791	2.646	16.314	4.084	10.235	2.867	7.368	2,200	5,969	6.751	2.188	4.563	
955	50,641	792	2,839	16,882	4,141	10,535	2,926	7,610	2,298	6,240	6,914	2,187	4,727	
956	52,369	822	3,039	17,243	4,244	10,858	3,018	7,840	2,389	6,497	7,278	2,209	5,069	
957	52,853	828	2,962	17,174	4,241	10,886	3,028	7,858	2,438	6,708	7,616	2,217	5,399	
958	51,324	751	2,817	15,945	3,976	10,750	2,980	7,770	2,481	6,765	7,839	2,191	5,648	
9591	53,268	732	3,004	16,675	4,011	11,127	3,082	8,045	2,549	7.087	8,083	2.233	5,850	
960	54,189	712	2,926	16,796	4,004	11,391	3,143	8,248	2,629	7,378	8,353	2,270	6,083	
961	53,999	672	2,859	16,326	3,903	11,337	3,133	8,204	2,688	7,620	8,594	2,279	6,315	
962	55,549	650	2,948	16,853	3,906	11,566	3,198	8,368	2,754	7,982	8,890	2,340	6,550	
963	56,653	635	3,010	16,995	3,903	11,778	3,248	8,530	2,830	8,277	9,225	2,358	6,868	
964	58,283	634	3,097	17,274	3,951	12,160	3,337	8,823	2,911	8,660	9,596	2,348	7,248	
965	60,765	632	3,232	18,062	4,036	12,716	3,466	9,250	2,977	9,036	10,074	2,378	7,696	
966	63,901	627	3,317	19,214	4,158	13,245	3,597	9,648	3,058	9,498	10,784	2,564	8,220	
967	65,803	613	3,248	19,447	4,268	13,606	3,689	9,917	3,185	10,045	11,391	2,719	8,672	
968	67,897	606	3,350	19,781	4,318	14,099	3,779	10,320	3,337	10,567	11,839	2,737	9,102	
969	70,384	619	3,575	20,167	4,442	14,705	3,907	10,798	3,512	11,169	12,195	2,758	9,437	
970	70,880	623	3,588	19,367	4,515	15,040	3,993	11,047	3,645	11,548	12,554	2,731	9,823	
971	71,214	609	3,704	18,623	4,476	15,352	4,001	11,351	3,772	11,797	12,881	2,696	10,185	
972	73,675	628	3,889	19,151	4,541	15,949	4,113	11,836	3,908	12,276	13,334	2,684	10,649	
973	76,790	642	4,097	20,154	4,656	16,607	4,277	12,329	4,046	12,857	13,732	2,663	11,068	
974	78,265	697	4,020	20,077	4,725	16,987	4,433	12,554	4,148	13,441	14,170	2,724	11,446	
975	76,945	752	3,525	18,323	4,542	17,060	4,415	12,645	4,165	13,892	14,686	2,748	11,937	
976	79,382	779	3,576	18,997	4,582	17,755	4,546	13,209	4,271	14,551	14,871	2,733	12,138	
977	82,471	813	3,851	19,682	4,713	18,516	4,708	13,808	4,467	15,303	15,127	2,727	12,399	
978	86,697	851	4,229	20,505	4,923	19,542	4,969	14,573	4,724	16,252	15,672	2,753	12,919	
979	89,886	960	4,483	21,062	5,141	20,269	5,204	15,066	4,974	17,078	15,920	2,773	13,147	

¹Data include Alaska and Hawaii beginning in 1959.

9. Employment by State

[Nonagricultural payroll data, in thousands]

State	Aug. 1979	July 1980	Aug. 1980 P	State	Aug. 1979	July 1980	Aug. 1980 P
Alabama	1.363.8	1.326.7	1.329.1	Montana	293.8	282.2	285.9
Alaska	181.4	182.7	184.6	Nebraska	632.5	624.8	625.6
Arizona	950.4	964.8	958.0	Nevada	391.2	400.8	402.9
Arkansas	750.2	742.1	748.0	New Hampshire	388.4	385.6	388.2
California	9,637.0	9,673.0	9,684.8	New Jersey	3,082.4	3,077.2	3,081.5
Colorado	1,226.0	1,250.3	1,253.9	New Mexico	465.8	474.3	477.0
Connecticut	1,398.9	1,395.8	1,386.5	New York	7,235.1	7,183.8	7,197.6
Delaware	257.1	257.3	256.9	North Carolina	2,370.3	2,364.4	2,385.5
District of Columbia	634.2	636.4	633.2	North Dakota	249.9	248.0	248.6
Florida	3,342.7	3,473.6	3,471.8	Ohio	4,485.0	4,365.2	4,362.3
Georgia	2,111.8	2,121.3	2,127.7	Oklahoma	1,099.9	1,129.6	1,133.9
Hawaii	403.1	415.9	413.2	Oregon	1,063.0	1,018.6	1,024.0
Idaho	341.0	327.0	327.7	Pennsylvania	4,845.7	4,741.5	4,738.8
Illinois	4,935.8	4,821.6	4,832.3	Rhode Island	405.1	386.5	391.9
Indiana	2,274.7	2,195.7	2,199.5	South Carolina	1,171.8	1,165.3	1,173.1
lowa	1,119.5	1,089.7	1,074.2	South Dakota	245.5	240.0	239.9
Kansas	941.4	937.8	933.9	Tennessee	1,794.7	1,742.6	1,750.7
Kentucky	1,242.8	1,189.5	1,192.3	Texas	5,627.1	5,797.8	5,783.7
Louisiana	1,495.3	1,540.3	1,543.2	Utah	555.5	554.7	557.1
Maine	433.7	414.3	427.5	Vermont	198.9	196.4	198.2
Maryland	1,610.1	1,640.7	1,625.9	Virginia	2,115.1	2,113.4	2,122.6
Massachusetts	2,622.2	2,669.3	2,687.8	Washington	1,593.8	1,598.9	1,604.5
Michigan	3,547.9	3,355.5	3,381.1	West Virginia	650.2	636.2	625.7
Minnesota	1,793.1	1,785.2	1,789.8	Wisconsin	1,988.0	1,972.5	1,983.3
Mississippi	832.6	812.4	811.9	Wyoming	210.3	222.2	223.1
Missouri	2,010.2	1,967.7	1,970.8				
				Virgin Islands	35.9	36.3	36.5

10. Employment by industry division and major manufacturing group

[Nonagricultural payroll data, in thousands]

	Annual	average			1979						19	980			
Industry division and group	1978	1979	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May	June	July	Aug. P	Sept. P
TOTAL	86,697	89,886	90,629	91,062	91,288	91,394	89,630	89,781	90,316	90,761	90,849	91,049	89,820	90,046	90,664
MINING	851	960	983	984	986	985	982	987	996	1,006	1,024	1,049	1,030	1,030	1,027
CONSTRUCTION	4,229	4,483	4,801	4,792	4,698	4,536	4,194	4,109	4,150	4,311	4,471	4,611	4,633	4,707	4,685
MANUFACTURING	20,505 14,734	21,062 15,085	21,295 15,265	21,193 15,170	21,055 15,034	20,987 14,964	20,777 14,738	20,730 14,678	20,793 14,727	20,533 14,466	20,250 14,172	20,201 14,093	19,754 13,657	20,057 13,950	20,250 14,191
Durable goods	12,274 8,805	12,772 9,120	12,891 9,190	12,824 9,131	12,744 9,054	12,733 9,040	12,600 8,885	12,599 8,869	12,647 8,909	12,414 8,672	12,150 8,409	12,065 8,307	11,774 8,025	11,832 8,070	12,011 8,284
Lumber and wood products Furniture and fixtures Stone, clay, and glass products Primary metal industries Fabricated metal products Machinery, except electrical Electric and electronic equipment Instruments and related products Miscellaneous manufacturing Nondurable goods Production workers Food and kindred products Tobacco manufactures Textile mill products Apparel and other textile products Printing and publishing Chemicals and allied products Printing and publishing Chemicals and allied products	754.7 494.1 698.2 1.214.9 1.672.6 2.325.5 2,006.1 2,002.8 653.1 451.5 8,231 5,929 1,724.1 70.6 899.1 1,332.3 698.7 1,192.0 1.095.5	766.1 499.3 709.7 1,250.2 1,723.7 2,481.6 2,124.3 2,082.8 688.9 445.6 8,290 5,965 1,728.1 69.9 888.5 1,312.5 706.7 1,239.5 1,312.5	785.0 499.6 721.6 1,250.6 1,731.4 2,513.8 2,152.8 2,087.4 691.6 457.1 8,404 6,075 1,834.5 77.5 885.0 1,308.8 710.5 1,243.0	780.0 502.5 718.6 1,231.4 1,733.8 2,465.1 2,162.0 2,076.5 694.6 459.7 8,369 6,039 1,781.8 77.4 886.1 1,317.3 709.3 1,251.4 1,317.3	757.2 503.1 710.3 1,222.6 1,733.3 2,458.7 2,164.0 2,044.2 694.9 455.5 8,311 5,980 1,736.3 68.6 890.4 1,305.8 707.8 1,262.0 1,113.9	737.4 501.8 697.4 1,209.9 1,725.2 2,471.6 2,171.9 2,079.3 698.8 439.4 8,254 5,924 1,706.2 70.8 889.7 1,287.1 705.9 1,288.5 1,287.1 1,705.9	717.4 498.0 678.2 1,207.2 1,696.8 2,538.5 2,162.9 1,975.8 697.7 427.7 8,177 5,853 1,659.9 69.1 884.0 1,282.0 703.5 1,266.3 1,113.1	718.9 494.6 674.7 1,205.1 1,699.4 2,536.5 2,157.7 1,983.1 1,983.1 1,983.1 1,983.1 1,983.1 1,983.1 1,984.8 8,131 5,809 1,644.1 67.1 884.6 1,305.8 701.9 1,270.4 1,112.1	716.9 494.1 679.0 1,203.7 1,703.8 2,539.9 2,167.7 2,005.6 703.6 432.9 8,146 5,818 1,641.1 64.4 886.9 1,318.4 701.8 1,272.1 1,318.4	678.4 488.7 675.5 1,193.8 1,671.4 2,523.5 2,156.2 1,891.1 702.2 433.0 8,119 5,794 1,626.2 62.9 882.1 1,304.2 698.8 1,270.4 1,20.6	654.8 469.1 668.1 1,149.8 2,509.3 2,120.2 1,835.1 699.4 424.6 8,100 5,763 1,638.5 62.7 870.6 1,299.0 692.4 1,297.8 1,119.5	668.0 460.8 666.2 1,112.9 1,598.6 2,486.1 2,102.2 1,847.0 702.9 420.1 8,136 5,786 1,676.8 64.6 853.2 1,310.5 695.0 1,271.3 1,122.2	666.8 438.1 656.0 1,055.5 1,538.4 2,40.2 2,066.5 1,810.2 688.3 404.0 7,980 5,632 1,709.5 63.9 820.6 1,236.9 682.3 1,264.5 1,212.0	683.2 447.0 661.3 1,060.1 1,568.5 2,420.9 2,082.6 1,790.4 698.5 419.8 8,225 5,880 1,798.9 71.0 8,225 5,880 1,798.9 71.0 8,31.6 1,302.8 699.2 1,204.7 1,108.6	685.5 465.4 663.8 1,081.2 1,591.5 2,427.2 2,101.3 1,800.8 700.4 423.6 8,239 5,907 1,782.4 74.1 85.45.1 1,688.9 1,265.2 1,107.1
Petroleum and coal products Rubber and miscellaneous plastics products Leather and leather products	207.7 754.5 256.8	210.0 775.6 248.0	213.7 770.2 247.9	213.5 770.8 247.9	212.6 765.9 247.6	210.6 755.6 245.2	208.6 750.3 240.3	155.9 746.3 242.6	153.1 746.5 243.4	173.6 737.2 243.3	203.4 702.4 243.2	209.1 688.5 244.7	212.0 659.3 218.9	212.4 680.3 245.0	209.4 696.2 246.4
TRANSPORTATION AND PUBLIC UTILITIES	4,923	5,141	5,229	5,233	5,243	5,240	5,136	5,130	5,143	5,147	5,167	5,185	5,145	5,139	5,163
WHOLESALE AND RETAIL TRADE	19,542	20,269	20,425	20,474	20,756	21,114	20,325	20,155	20,226	20,373	20,497	20,562	20,506	20,561	20,695
WHOLESALE TRADE	4,969	5,204	5,239	5,266	5,282	5,264	5,241	5,250	5,269	5,265	5,263	5,287	5,278	5,288	5,286
RETAIL TRADE	14,573	15,066	15,186	15,208	15,474	15,850	15,084	14,905	14,957	15,108	15,234	15,275	15,228	15,273	15,409
FINANCE, INSURANCE, AND REAL ESTATE	4,724	4,974	5,015	5,025	5,039	5,047	5,052	5,061	5,085	5,104	5,137	5,201	5,229	5,231	5,173
SERVICES	16,252	17,078	17,238	17,297	17,284	17,271	17,135	17,317	17,478	17,636	17,747	17,846	17,973	17,945	17,899
GOVERNMENT Federal State and local	15,672 2,753 12,919	15,920 2,773 13,147	15,643 2,751 12,892	16,064 2,756 13,308	16,227 2,760 13,467	16,214 2,770 13,444	16,029 2,763 13,266	16,292 2,803 13,489	16,445 2,869 13,576	16,651 3,103 13,548	16,556 2,963 13,593	16,394 2,995 13,399	15,550 2,949 12,601	15,376 2,872 12,504	15,772 2,780 12,992

70 gitized for FRASER ps://fraser.stlouisfed.org deral Reserve Bank of St. Louis
11. Employment by industry division and major manufacturing group, seasonally adjusted

[Nonagricultural payroll data, in thousands]

		19	979						1980				
industry division and group	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May	June	July P	Aug. P	Sept. P
TOTAL	90,283	90,441	90,552	90,678	91,031	91,186	91,144	90,951	90,468	90,047	89,867	90,109	90,296
MINING	976	982	985	992	999	1,007	1,009	1,012	1,023	1,029	1,013	1,014	1,020
CONSTRUCTION	4,507	4,529	4,553	4,615	4,745	4,659	4,529	4,467	4,436	4,379	4,322	4,354	4,399
MANUFACTURING	01.074	01.040	00.000	00.000	00.074	00.057	00.000	00.040	00.000		10.000		00.000
Production workers	15,058	15,025	14,948	20,983	20,971	20,957	20,938	20,642	20,286	13,931	19,828	19,946	13,958
Durable goods	12,822	12,764	12,693	12,706	12,681	12,715	12,707	12,442	12,140	11,947	11,819	11,860	11,914
Production workers	9,129	9,069	9,001	9,009	8,953	8,967	8,961	8,686	8,386	8,205	8,084	8,114	8,192
Lumber and wood products	767	768	757	746	743	745	737	689	654	648	650	662	670
Furniture and fixtures	497	498	498	497	497	495	494	491	472	461	449	448	453
Stone, clay, and glass products	708	709	704	704	705	705	700	680	663	647	641	646	651
Primary metal industries	1,242	1,236	1,230	1,219	1,215	1,214	1,209	1,193	1,144	1,096	1,049	1,059	1,074
Papricated metal products	1,723	1,723	1,/22	1,/18	1,707	1,/11	1,/11	1,6/8	1,620	1,584	1,551	1,570	1,584
Fleatrie and electronic equipment	2,518	2,4/8	2,460	2,459	2,532	2,529	2,530	2,518	2,517	2,476	2,448	2,440	2,430
Electric and electronic equipment	2,140	2,149	2,150	2,103	2,109	2,168	2,1/0	2,167	2,12/	2,094	2,079	2,085	2,089
Indispondition equipment	2,090	2,003	2,033	2,057	1,970	2,000	2,000	1,885	1,819	1,831	1,839	1,840	1,850
Miscellaneous manufacturing	444	444	444	445	444	440	439	438	424	414	415	412	411
Nondurable goods	8,249	8,279	8,273	8,277	8,290	8,242	8,231	8,200	8,146	8.067	8.009	8.086	8.089
Production workers	5,929	5,956	5,947	5,947	5,958	5,904	5,889	5,864	5,800	5,726	5,675	5,758	5,766
Food and kindred products	1,712	1,723	1,725	1,724	1,716	1,713	1,704	1,690	1,691	1,677	1,683	1,694	1,664
Tobacco manufactures	70	70	64	66	67	68	68	69	70	71	69	67	67
Textile mill products	881	885	887	889	888	888	888	884	869	843	833	848	851
Apparel and other textile products	1,298	1,302	1,294	1,296	1,305	1,313	1,316	1,302	1,291	1,287	1,276	1,299	1,305
Paper and allied products	708	709	708	708	710	709	708	702	692	685	680	682	686
Printing and publishing	1,245	1,251	1,259	1,261	1,269	1,273	1,274	1,272	1,268	1,269	1,266	1,266	1,266
Chemicals and allied products	1,110	1,114	1,116	1,118	1,121	1,121	1,123	1,123	1,120	1,112	1,103	1,100	1,105
Petroleum and coal products	211	212	212	213	214	161	157	175	203	205	207	208	207
Rubber and miscellaneous plastics products	767	766	762	756	755	751	749	740	703	681	663	680	693
Leather and leather products	247	247	246	246	245	245	244	243	239	237	229	242	245
TRANSPORTATION AND PUBLIC UTILITIES	5,185	5,203	5,216	5,212	5,202	5,198	5,202	5,178	5,167	5,134	5,114	5,124	5,117
WHOLESALE AND RETAIL TRADE	20,352	20,414	20,479	20,448	20,529	20,637	20,610	20,531	20,487	20,459	20,506	20,571	20,623
WHOLESALE TRADE	5,228	5,246	5,269	5,251	5,278	5,302	5,301	5,286	5,268	5,245	5,247	5,267	5,275
RETAIL TRADE	15,124	15,168	15,210	15,197	15,251	15,335	15,309	15,245	15,219	15,214	15,259	15,304	15,348
FINANCE, INSURANCE, AND REAL ESTATE	5,017	5,033	5,049	5,064	5,091	5,101	5,115	5,119	5,137	5,150	5,167	5,179	5,173
SERVICES	17,192	17,264	17,308	17,362	17,462	17,540	17,580	17,618	17,659	17,652	17,760	17,767	17,845
GOVERNMENT	15,983	15,973	15,996	16,002	16,032	16,087	16,161	16,384	16,273	16,230	16,157	16,154	16,116
Federal	2,762	2,769	2,773	2,773	2,791	2,826	2,886	3,115	2,960	2,951	2,893	2,838	2,791
State and local	13,221	13,204	13,223	13,229	13,241	13,261	13,275	13,269	13,313	13,279	13,264	13,316	13,325

MONTHLY LABOR REVIEW November 1980 • Current Labor Statistics: Establishment Data

Year	Annual average	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May	June	July	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.
						Т	otal accessio	ins					
77	4.0	3.7	3.7	4.0	3.8	4.6	4.9	4.3	5.3	4.6	3.9	3.1	2.4
78	4.1	3.8	3.2	3.8	4.0	4.7	4.9	4.4	5.4	4.9	4.3	3.3	2.4
79	4.0	4.0	3.4	3.8	3.9	4.7	4.8	4.3	5.0	4.5	4.1	3.0	2.2
80		3.8	3.3	3.5	3.1	3.4	3.9	3.8	P 4.6				
							New hires						
77	28	22	21	26	27	35	37	3.0	40	35	3.0	22	16
78	3.1	25	22	27	29	3.6	3.9	3.3	42	3.9	3.5	26	17
79	2.9	2.8	2.5	2.8	2.9	3.6	3.8	3.1	3.7	3.4	3.1	22	1.5
30		2.4	2.2	2.3	2.1	2.1	2.4	2.1	P 2.5				
							Recalls						
77	9	12	13	1.1	9	8	8	9	10	8	6	6	6
8	.7	1.0	.7	.8	.8	.0	.0	8	9	7	6	5	5
9	.7	.9	.7	.7	.7	.8	.7	.9	.9	.8	.7	.5	5
30		1.1	.9	.9	.8	1.0	1.2	1.4	P1.7				
						T	otal separatio	ons					
77	38	3.9	34	34	34	35	35	43	51	49	3.8	34	34
78	3.9	3.6	3.1	3.5	3.6	3.7	3.8	4.1	5.3	4.9	41	35	34
79	4.0	3.8	3.2	3.6	3.7	3.8	3.9	4.3	5.7	4.7	4.2	3.8	3.5
0		4.1	3.5	3.7	4.7	4.8	4.4	4.2	P 4.9				
							Quits						
77	1.8	1.4	1.3	1.6	1.7	1.9	1.9	1.9	31	28	19	15	12
78	2.1	1.5	1.4	1.8	2.0	2.1	2.2	2.1	3.5	3.1	2.3	1.7	1.3
9	2.0	1.8	1.6	1.9	2.0	2.1	2.1	2.0	3.3	2.7	2.1	1.6	1.1
0		1.6	1.5	1.6	1.5	1.5	1.4	1.4	P 2.2				
							Layoffs						
7	11	17	14	10	9	8	8	15	10	11	11	11	15
8	.9	1.2	.9	.9	.8	.7	.7	1.1	.8	.8	.9	1.0	1.4
9	1.1	1.1	.8	.8	.9	.7	.9	1.4	1.3	1.1	1.2	1.5	1.7
80		1.6	12	1.3	23	25	22	20	P18				

				Acc	ession r	ates							Sep	aration r	ates			
Major industry group		Total		1	New hire	8		Recalls		-	Total			Quits			Layoffs	
	Aug. 1979	July 1980	Aug. 1980 ^p	Aug. 1979	July 1980	Aug. 1980 ^p	Aug. 1979	July 1980	Aug. 1980 P	Aug. 1979	July 1980	Aug. 1980 ^p	Aug. 1979	July 1980	Aug. 1980 P	Aug. 1979	July 1980	Aug. 1980
MANUFACTURING	5.0 3.9	3.8 3.4	4.6 3.7	3.7 2.8	2.1 1.9	2.5 1.9	0.9	1.4	1.7	5.7 4.3	4.2 3.8	4.9 4.0	3.3 2.0	1.4 1.3	2.2 1.3	1.3 1.4	2.0 1.7	1.8 2.0
Durable goods	4.2 6.7	3.2 5.9	4.1 6.8	3.1 5.8	1.6 3.2	1.9 4.3	.8	1.3 2.5	1.8 2.3	5.2 7.8	4.0 5.2	4.7 6.3	2.8 5.5	1.1 2.2	1.7 3.3	1.3 .8	2.1 2.1	2.0
Stone, clay, and glass products Primary metal industries	4.5	4.0	4.7 4.4	3.7 1.7	2.0 .7	2.5 .9	.6 .6	1.8	2.0	5.8 4.4	4.0 5.3	5.5 5.1 4.8	4.9 3.5 1.9	1.0 1.2 .6	2.9 2.1 .9	1.1 1.4	1.9 3.8	2.0
Fabricated metal products Machinery, except electrical	4.8 3.1	4.0	4.7 2.7	3.8 2.4	1.9 1.3	2.2	.7 .3	1.9	2.2 .9	5.9 3.8	4.4 3.2	4.6 3.7	3.3 2.2	1.3	1.9 1.5	1.6 .6	2.3 1.6	1.8 1.4
Transportation equipment	3.8 4.6 3.0	2.5 3.0 2.3	2.7	2.8 2.2 2.4	1.4 1.4 1.8	1.5	.0 1.8 .3	.8 1.2 .4	1.1	4.7 6.0 3.7	3.4 4.1 2.4	3.7	2.8 1.7 2.5	1.0 .8 1.1	1.0	.8 3.2 .5	1.5 2.6	1.1
Miscellaneous manufacturing	6.9	5.1	5.4	5.7	2.8	3.8 3.4	1.0	2.1	1.4	7.2	5.6	5.8	4.8	1.8	3.4	1.0	2.7	1.4
Food and kindred products Tobacco manufacturers	10.5 11.3	4.7 8.3 5.1	9.0	4.0 7.9 4.8	5.2 1.2	5.9	2.2	2.9 2.1	2.8	8.3 3.3	4.0 5.8 2.2	5.3 7.2	4.1 5.6 1.8	2.4	4.1	1.2	2.5	1.4
Textile mill products Apparel and other products	5.8 7.0	4.1 5.8	3.9 6.5	4.6 4.9	2.5 3.4	2.8 3.9	.8 1.8	1.3 2.2	.8 2.1	6.5 8.0	5.1 6.6	4.8 6.7	4.4 4.8	2.1 2.7	2.8 3.8	.9 2.1	2.0 2.9	1.0
Paper and allied products Printing and publishing	3.3 4.0	2.9 2.9	2.9 3.3	2.6 3.4	1.4 2.3	1.7 2.7	.4 .4	1.4 .5	1.0 .5	4.6 4.9	3.1 3.1	3.9 4.3	2.8 3.5	.9 1.7	1.8 2.8	.8 .6	1.5 .8	1.2 .8
Petroleum and coal products Rubber and miscellaneous	1.9 2.0	1.5 2.5	1.6 2.4	1.6 1.8	1.1 2.0	1.0 1.9	.1	.3 .4	.5	2.9 3.1	1.9 1.9	2.7 3.3	1.9 1.7	.6 .7	1.4 1.5	.4 .5	.8 .5	.7
plastics products	5.4 10.8	4.7 7.7	5.7 8.6	4.5 6.6	2.1 4.4	3.0 5.2	.7 3.7	2.2 3.0	2.4 3.2	7.5 10.7	5.5 8.8	5.5 7.3	4.5 6.8	1.7 3.3	2.7 4.5	1.6 2.5	2.7 4.5	1.8

Year	Average weekly earnings	Average weekly hours	Average hourly earnings	Average weekly earnings	Average weekly hours	Average hourly earnings	Average weekly earnings	Average weekly hours	Average hourly earnings	Average weekly earnings	Average weekly hours	Averag hourly earning
		Total private			Mining			Construction			Manufacturing	
140	\$50.24	20.4	\$1 275	\$62.33	36.3	\$1 717	\$67.56	37.7	\$1 792	\$53.88	39.1	\$1.37
950	53.13	39.8	1.335	67.16	37.9	1.772	69.68	37.4	1.863	58.32	40.5	1.44
	57.00	20.0	1.45	74.11	20.4	1.02	76.06	29.1	2.02	63.34	40.6	15
51	57.86	39.9	1.45	74.11	38.6	2.01	82.86	38.9	2.02	66.75	40.7	1.6
53	63.76	39.6	1.61	83.03	38.8	2.14	86.41	37.9	2.28	70.47	40.5	1.7
54	64.52	39.1	1.65	82.60	38.6	2.14	88.91	37.2	2.39	70.49	39.6	1.7
955	67.72	39.6	1.71	89.54	40.7	2.20	90.90	37.1	2.45	75.30	40.7	1.8
956	70.74	39.3	1.80	95.06	40.8	2.33	96.38	37.5	2.57	78.78	40.4	1.9
57	73.33	38.8	1.89	98.25	40.1	2.45	100.27	37.0	2.71	81.19	39.8	2.0
958	75.08	38.5	1.95	96.08	38.9	2.47	103.78	36.8	2.82	82.32	39.2	2.1
959 ¹	78.78	39.0	2.02	103.68	40.5	2.56	108.41	37.0	2.93	88.26	40.3	2.1
60	80.67	38.6	2.09	105.04	40.4	2.00	112.07	30.7	3.07	09.72	39.7	2.4
961	82.60	38.6	2.14	106.92	40.5	2.64	118.08	36.9	3.20	92.34	39.8	2.3
962	85.91	38.7	2.22	110.70	41.0	2.70	122.47	37.0	3.31	96.56	40.4	2.3
963	88.46	38.8	2.28	114.40	41.6	2.75	127.19	37.3	3.41	99.23	40.5	2.4
964	91.33	38.7	2.36	117.74	41.9	2.81	132.00	37.2	3.00	102.97	40.7	2.0
	95.45	30.0	2.40	120.02	42.0	2.92	130.30	57.4	5.70	107.55	41.2	£.,
966	98.82	38.6	2.56	130.24	42.7	3.05	146.26	37.6	3.89	112.19	41.4	2.7
967	101.84	38.0	2.68	135.89	42.6	3.19	154.95	37.7	4.11	114.49	40.6	2.8
968	107.73	37.8	2.85	142.71	42.6	3.35	164.49	37.3	4.41	122.51	40.7	3.0
69	114.61	37.7	3.04	154.80	43.0	3.60	181.54	37.9	4.79	129.51	40.6	3.
970	119.63	37.1	3.23	104.40	42.1	3.05	135.45	57.5	5.24	100.00	55.5	0.0
971	127.31	36.9	3.45	172.14	42.4	4.06	211.67	37.2	5.69	142.44	39.9	3.5
972	136.90	37.0	3.70	189.14	42.6	4.44	221.19	36.5	6.06	154.71	40.5	3.8
973	145.39	36.9	3.94	201.40	42.4	4.75	235.89	36.8	6.41	166.46	40.7	4.0
974	154.76	36.5	4.24	219.14	41.9	5.23	249.25	36.6	6.81	176.80	40.0	4,4
975	163.53	36.1	4.53	249.31	41.9	5.95	200.00	30.4	7.31	190.79	39.5	4.0
976	175.45	36.1	4.86	273.90	42.4	6.46	283.73	36.8	7.71	209.32	40.1	5.2
977	189.00	36.0	5.25	301.20	43.4	6.94	295.65	36.5	8.10	228.90	40.3	5.6
978	203.70	35.8	5.69	332.88	43.4	7.67	318.69	36.8	8.66	249.27	40.4	6.1
979	219.30	35.6	6.16	365.50	43.0	8.50	342.99	37.0	9.27	200.94	40.2	0.0
	Trans	portation and p utilities	oublic	Whole	esale and retail	trade	Fina	nce, insurance, real estate	, and		Services	
												-
949				\$42.93	40.5	\$1.060	\$47.63	37.8	\$1.260	******		
350				44.55	40.5	1.100	50.52	51.1	1.040			
951				47.79	40.5	1.18	54.67	37.7	1.45			
952				49.20	40.0	1.23	57.08	37.8	1.51			
953		******		51.35	39.5	1.30	59.57	37.7	1.58			
954	*******			53.33	39.5	1.35	63.92	37.6	1.05			
				55.10	00.4	1.40	00.02	07.0	1.10			
956				57.48	39.1	1.47	65.68	36.9	1.78			
957		******		59.60	38.7	1.54	67.53	36.7	1.84			
100				61.76	38.6	1.60	70.12	37.1	1.89			
501				66.01	38.6	1.71	75.14	37.2	2.02			
959 ¹				00.01	00.0							
959 ¹				67.41	38.3	1.76	77.12	36.9	2.09			
958 959 ¹ 960							80.04	37.3	2.17			
960				69.91	38.2	1.83	00.04	07.5	0.05			\$10
959 ¹				69.91 72.01	38.2 38.1	1.83 1.89	84.38	37.5	2.25	\$70.03	36.1	ψ1.0
956 959 ¹	\$118.78 125.14	41.1 41.3	\$2.89 3.03	69.91 72.01 74.66 76.91	38.2 38.1 37.9 37.7	1.83 1.89 1.97 2.04	84.38 85.79 88.91	37.5 37.3 37.2	2.25 2.30 2.39	\$70.03 73.60	36.1 35.9	2.0
956 959 ¹	\$118.78 125.14	41.1 41.3	\$2.89 3.03	69.91 72.01 74.66 76.91	38.2 38.1 37.9 37.7	1.83 1.89 1.97 2.04	84.38 85.79 88.91	37.5 37.3 37.2	2.25 2.30 2.39	\$70.03 73.60	36.1 35.9	2.
956 1 960	\$118.78 125.14 128.13	41.1 41.3 41.2	\$2.89 3.03 3.11	69.91 72.01 74.66 76.91 79.39	38.2 38.1 37.9 37.7 37.1	1.83 1.89 1.97 2.04 2.14	84.38 85.79 88.91 92.13	37.5 37.3 37.2 37.3	2.25 2.30 2.39 2.47	\$70.03 73.60 77.04	36.1 35.9 35.5	2.0
959 1 960	\$118.78 125.14 128.13 130.82 139.95	41.1 41.3 41.2 40.5 40.6	\$2.89 3.03 3.11 3.23 3.42	69.91 72.01 74.66 76.91 79.39 82.35 87.00	38.2 38.1 37.9 37.7 37.1 36.6 36.1	1.83 1.89 1.97 2.04 2.14 2.25 2.41	84.38 85.79 88.91 92.13 95.72	37.5 37.3 37.2 37.3 37.1 37.0	2.25 2.30 2.39 2.47 2.58 2.75	\$70.03 73.60 77.04 80.38 83.97	36.1 35.9 35.5 35.1 34.7	2.0
959 1 960 961 962 963 964 965 966 966 966 968 969	\$118.78 125.14 128.13 130.82 138.85 147.74	41.1 41.3 41.2 40.5 40.6 40.7	\$2.89 3.03 3.11 3.23 3.42 3.63	69.91 72.01 74.66 76.91 79.39 82.35 87.00 91.39	38.2 38.1 37.9 37.7 37.1 36.6 36.1 35.7	1.83 1.89 1.97 2.04 2.14 2.25 2.41 2.56	92.13 95.72 101.75 108.70	37.5 37.3 37.2 37.3 37.1 37.0 37.1	2.25 2.30 2.39 2.47 2.58 2.75 2.93	\$70.03 73.60 77.04 80.38 83.97 90.57	36.1 35.9 35.5 35.1 34.7 34.7	2.0 2.1 2.1 2.1 2.1
959 1 960	\$118.78 125.14 128.13 130.82 138.85 147.74 155.93	41.1 41.3 41.2 40.5 40.6 40.7 40.5	\$2.89 3.03 3.11 3.23 3.42 3.63 3.85	69.91 72.01 74.66 76.91 79.39 82.35 87.00 91.39 96.02	38.2 38.1 37.9 37.7 37.1 36.6 36.1 35.7 35.3	1.83 1.89 1.97 2.04 2.14 2.25 2.41 2.56 2.72	92.13 95.79 92.13 95.72 101.75 108.70 112.67	37.5 37.3 37.2 37.3 37.1 37.0 37.1 36.7	2.25 2.30 2.39 2.47 2.58 2.75 2.93 3.07	\$70.03 73.60 77.04 80.38 83.97 90.57 96.66	36.1 35.9 35.5 35.1 34.7 34.7 34.4	2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2.
959 1 960	\$118.78 125.14 128.13 130.82 138.85 147.74 155.93	41.1 41.3 41.2 40.5 40.6 40.7 40.5	\$2.89 3.03 3.11 3.23 3.42 3.63 3.85	69.91 72.01 74.66 76.91 79.39 82.35 87.00 91.39 96.02	38.2 38.1 37.9 37.7 36.6 36.1 35.7 35.3	1.83 1.89 1.97 2.04 2.14 2.25 2.41 2.56 2.72	84.38 85.79 88.91 92.13 95.72 101.75 108.70 112.67	37.5 37.3 37.2 37.3 37.1 37.0 37.1 36.7	2.25 2.30 2.39 2.47 2.58 2.75 2.93 3.07	\$70.03 73.60 77.04 80.38 83.97 90.57 96.66	36.1 35.9 35.5 35.1 34.7 34.7 34.4	2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2.
959 1 960	\$118.78 125.14 128.13 130.82 138.85 147.74 155.93 168.82	41.1 41.3 41.2 40.5 40.6 40.7 40.5 40.5	\$2.89 3.03 3.11 3.23 3.42 3.63 3.85 4.21	69.91 72.01 74.66 76.91 79.39 82.35 87.00 91.39 96.02	38.2 38.1 37.9 37.7 37.1 36.6 36.1 35.7 35.3 35.1	1.83 1.89 1.97 2.04 2.14 2.25 2.41 2.56 2.72 2.88 2.05	84.38 85.79 88.91 92.13 95.72 101.75 108.70 112.67	37.5 37.3 37.2 37.3 37.1 37.0 37.1 36.7 36.6 26.6	2.25 2.30 2.39 2.47 2.56 2.75 2.93 3.07 3.22 2.26	\$70.03 73.60 77.04 80.38 83.97 90.57 96.66 103.06	36.1 35.9 35.5 34.7 34.7 34.4 33.9 33.9	2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2
959 1 960	\$118.78 125.14 128.13 130.82 138.85 147.74 155.93 168.82 187.86 203.91	41.1 41.3 41.2 40.5 40.6 40.7 40.5 40.1 40.5	\$2.89 3.03 3.11 3.23 3.42 3.63 3.85 4.21 4.65 5.62	69.91 72.01 74.66 76.91 79.39 82.35 87.00 91.39 96.02 101.09 106.45 111776	38.2 38.1 37.9 37.7 36.6 36.1 35.7 35.3 35.1 34.9 34.6	1.83 1.89 1.97 2.04 2.14 2.25 2.41 2.56 2.72 2.88 3.05 3.23	84.38 85.79 88.91 92.13 95.72 101.75 108.70 112.67 117.85 122.98 129.20	37.5 37.3 37.2 37.3 37.1 37.0 37.1 36.7 36.6 36.6 36.6 36.6	2.25 2.30 2.39 2.47 2.58 2.75 2.93 3.07 3.22 3.36 3.53	\$70.03 73.60 77.04 80.38 83.97 90.57 96.66 103.06 110.85 117.29	36.1 35.9 35.5 35.1 34.7 34.7 34.4 33.9 33.9 33.8	2: 2: 2: 2: 2: 2: 2: 3: 3: 3: 3:
959 1 960	\$118.78 125.14 128.13 130.82 138.85 147.74 155.93 168.82 187.86 203.31 217.48	41.1 41.3 41.2 40.5 40.6 40.7 40.5 40.1 40.4 40.5 40.2	\$2.89 3.03 3.11 3.23 3.42 3.63 3.85 4.21 4.65 5.02 5.41	69.91 72.01 74.66 76.91 79.39 82.35 87.00 91.39 96.02 101.09 106.45 111.76 119.02	38.2 38.1 37.9 37.7 36.6 36.1 35.7 35.3 35.1 34.9 34.6 34.2	1.83 1.89 1.97 2.04 2.14 2.25 2.41 2.56 2.72 2.88 3.05 3.23 3.48	84.38 85.79 88.91 92.13 95.72 101.75 108.70 112.67 117.85 122.98 129.20 137.61	37.5 37.3 37.2 37.3 37.1 37.0 37.1 36.6 36.6 36.6 36.6 36.5	2.25 2.30 2.39 2.47 2.58 2.75 2.93 3.07 3.22 3.36 3.53 3.77	\$70.03 73.60 77.04 80.38 83.97 90.57 96.66 103.06 110.85 117.29 126.00	36.1 35.9 35.5 35.1 34.7 34.7 34.4 33.9 33.9 33.8 33.6	2: 2: 2: 2: 2: 2: 2: 3: 3: 3: 3: 3: 3:
959 1 960	\$118.78 125.14 128.13 130.82 138.85 147.74 155.93 168.82 187.86 203.31 217.48 233.44	41.1 41.3 41.2 40.5 40.6 40.7 40.5 40.1 40.4 40.5 40.2 39.7	\$2.89 3.03 3.11 3.23 3.42 3.63 3.85 4.21 4.65 5.02 5.41 5.88	69.91 72.01 74.66 76.91 79.39 82.35 87.00 91.39 96.02 101.09 106.45 111.76 119.02 126.45	38.2 38.1 37.9 37.7 36.6 36.1 35.7 35.3 35.1 34.9 34.6 34.2 33.9	1.83 1.89 1.97 2.04 2.14 2.25 2.41 2.56 2.72 2.88 3.05 3.23 3.48 3.73	84.38 85.79 88.91 92.13 95.72 101.75 108.70 112.67 117.85 122.98 129.20 137.61 148.19	37.5 37.3 37.2 37.3 37.1 37.0 37.1 36.7 36.6 36.6 36.6 36.5 36.5	2.25 2.30 2.39 2.47 2.58 2.75 2.93 3.07 3.22 3.36 3.53 3.77 4.06	\$70.03 73.60 77.04 80.38 83.97 90.57 96.66 103.06 110.85 117.29 126.00 134.67	36.1 35.9 35.5 35.1 34.7 34.7 34.7 34.7 33.9 33.9 33.9 33.8 33.6 33.5	2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 4.
959 1 960	\$118.78 125.14 128.13 130.82 138.85 147.74 155.93 168.82 187.86 203.31 217.48 233.44	41.1 41.3 41.2 40.5 40.6 40.7 40.5 40.1 40.4 40.5 40.2 39.7	\$2.89 3.03 3.11 3.23 3.42 3.63 3.85 4.21 4.65 5.02 5.41 5.88	69.91 72.01 74.66 76.91 79.39 82.35 87.00 91.39 96.02 101.09 106.45 111.76 119.02 126.45	38.2 38.1 37.9 37.7 36.6 36.1 35.7 35.3 35.1 34.9 34.6 34.2 33.9	1.83 1.89 1.97 2.04 2.14 2.25 2.41 2.56 2.72 2.88 3.05 3.23 3.48 3.73	84.38 85.79 88.91 92.13 95.72 101.75 108.70 112.67 117.85 122.98 129.20 137.61 148.19	37.5 37.3 37.2 37.1 37.1 36.7 36.6 36.6 36.6 36.6 36.5 36.5	2.25 2.30 2.39 2.47 2.58 2.75 2.93 3.07 3.22 3.36 3.53 3.77 4.06	\$70.03 73.60 77.04 80.38 83.97 90.57 96.66 103.06 110.85 117.29 126.00 134.67	36.1 35.9 35.5 36.1 34.7 34.7 34.4 33.9 33.9 33.8 33.6 33.5	2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 3. 3. 3. 3. 4.
959 1 960	\$118.78 125.14 128.13 130.82 138.85 147.74 155.93 168.82 187.86 203.31 217.48 233.44 256.71	41.1 41.3 41.2 40.5 40.6 40.7 40.5 40.1 40.4 40.5 40.2 39.7 39.8	\$2.89 3.03 3.11 3.23 3.42 3.63 3.85 4.21 4.65 5.02 5.41 5.88 6.45 6.45	69.91 72.01 74.66 76.91 79.39 82.35 87.00 91.39 96.02 101.09 106.45 111.76 119.02 126.45	38.2 38.1 37.9 37.7 36.6 36.1 35.7 35.3 35.1 34.9 34.6 34.2 33.9 33.7 20	1.83 1.89 1.97 2.04 2.14 2.25 2.41 2.56 2.72 2.88 3.05 3.23 3.48 3.73 3.73 3.97	84.38 85.79 88.91 92.13 95.72 101.75 108.70 112.67 117.85 122.98 129.20 137.61 148.19	37.5 37.3 37.2 37.3 37.1 37.0 37.1 36.6 36.6 36.6 36.6 36.6 36.5 36.5 36.5	2.25 2.30 2.39 2.47 2.58 2.75 2.93 3.07 3.22 3.36 3.53 3.77 4.06 4.27 4.24	\$70.03 73.60 77.04 80.38 83.97 90.57 96.66 110.85 117.29 126.00 134.67 143.52	36.1 35.9 35.5 35.1 34.7 34.7 34.4 33.9 33.8 33.6 33.5 33.5 33.3 32.0	2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 3. 3. 3. 3. 4. 4.
959 1 960	\$118.78 125.14 128.13 130.82 138.85 147.74 155.93 168.82 187.86 203.31 217.48 233.44 256.71 276.90 302.90	41.1 41.3 41.2 40.5 40.6 40.7 40.5 40.1 40.4 40.5 40.2 39.7 39.8 39.9 40.0	\$2.89 3.03 3.11 3.23 3.42 3.63 3.85 4.21 4.65 5.02 5.41 5.88 6.45 6.99 7.57	69.91 72.01 74.66 76.91 79.39 82.35 87.00 91.39 96.02 101.09 106.45 111.76 119.02 126.45 133.79 142.52	38.2 38.1 37.9 37.7 36.6 36.1 35.7 35.3 35.1 34.9 34.6 34.2 33.9 33.7 33.3 32.9	1.83 1.89 1.97 2.04 2.14 2.25 2.41 2.56 2.72 2.88 3.05 3.23 3.48 3.73 3.97 4.28 4.67	84.38 85.79 88.91 92.13 95.72 101.75 108.70 112.67 117.85 129.20 137.61 148.19 155.43 165.26 178.00	37.5 37.3 37.2 37.3 37.1 37.0 37.1 36.6 36.6 36.6 36.6 36.5 36.5 36.5 36.5	2.25 2.30 2.39 2.47 2.58 2.75 2.93 3.07 3.22 3.36 3.53 3.77 4.06 4.27 4.54 4.89	\$70.03 73.60 77.04 80.38 83.97 90.57 96.66 103.06 110.85 117.29 126.00 134.67 143.52 153.45 163.67	36.1 35.9 35.5 35.1 34.7 34.7 34.4 33.9 33.8 33.6 33.5 33.3 33.0 32.8	2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 4. 4. 4. 4.

Industry division and group	Annual	average		1	979						1980				
manan y arritori ana group	1978	1979	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May	June	July	Aug. P	Sept. P
TOTAL PRIVATE	35.8	35.6	35.8	35.7	35.6	35.9	35.1	35.1	35.2	35.0	35.0	35.3	35.3	35.5	35.3
MINING	43.4	43.0	43.4	43.7	43.6	43.9	43.4	43.2	43.4	42.8	42.7	43.2	41.9	42.9	43.1
CONSTRUCTION	36.8	37.0	38.0	37.7	36.6	37.2	35.3	35.7	36.2	36.7	36.9	37.9	37.7	37.3	37.9
MANUFACTURING	40.4	40.2	40.3	40.2	40.3	40.9	39.8	39.8	39.8	39.4	39.3	39.4	38.8	20.2	20.7
Overtime hours	3.6	3.3	3.6	3.4	3.4	3.4	3.0	2.9	3.0	2.7	2.5	2.5	2.4	2.7	39.7
Durable goods	41.1	40.8	40.8	40.8	40.8	41.6	40.3	40.3	40.3	30.0	30.7	20.8	20.1	20.6	101
Overtime hours	3.8	3.5	3.6	3.5	3.4	3.5	3.1	3.0	3.1	2.7	2.5	2.4	2.3	2.6	2.9
Lumber and wood products	39.8	39.4	40.1	39.8	38.8	39.2	38.1	38.5	38.3	37.1	37.6	38.4	38.2	39.1	39.3
Furniture and fixtures	39.3	38.7	39.0	39.3	39.3	39.9	38.4	38.4	38.5	37.9	37.3	37.3	36.2	37.7	38.5
Stone, clay, and glass products	41.6	41.5	41.7	41.7	41.7	41.8	40.1	40.1	40.7	40.4	40.6	41.0	40.3	40.7	41.1
Primary metal industries	41.8	41.4	41.3	40.9	40.7	40.9	40.7	40.7	40.7	40.6	39.3	39.1	38.6	38.9	39.6
Fabricated metal products	41.0	40.7	40.8	40.9	41.0	41.9	40.6	40.4	40.6	40.2	39.9	40.1	39.2	39.9	40.3
Machinery except electrical	42.1	41.8	41.8	41.5	41.8	42.7	41.5	41.5	41.5	41.1	40.8	40.8	40.0	40.3	40.9
Electric and electronic equipment	40.3	40.3	40.5	40.3	40.8	41.3	40.2	40.2	40.0	39.6	39.3	39.4	38.5	39.1	39.6
Transportation equipment	42.2	41.1	40.7	41.3	40.8	42.7	40.0	40.4	40.4	39.8	39.9	39.9	39.5	40.0	40.5
Instruments and related products	40.9	40.8	40.7	40.8	41.4	41.7	41.0	40.8	40.6	40.4	40.3	40.5	39.6	40.1	40.2
Miscellaneous manufacturing	38.8	38.8	39.2	39.1	39.4	39.5	38.8	38.6	38.8	38.4	38.2	38.3	37.8	38.3	38.7
Nondurable goods	39.4	39.3	39.6	39.4	39.6	39.9	390	38.9	38.0	29.7	29.7	20.0	00.5	000	004
Overtime hours	3.2	3.1	3.5	3.2	3.3	3.2	2.9	2.8	2.9	2.7	2.5	2.5	2.6	2.9	39.1
Food and kindred products	39.7	39.9	40.6	40.0	40.2	40.4	39.5	30.1	30.0	20.0	20.7	20.6	20.0		
Tobacco manufactures	38.1	38.0	39.2	38.9	38.8	39.4	37.3	36.9	35.0	30.9	39.7	39.0	39.9	40.4	40.2
Textile mill products	40.4	40.4	40.8	40.8	41.3	41.5	40.9	40.8	40.9	39.9	30.7	30.5	30.5	37.0	37.9
Apparel and other textile products	35.6	35.3	35.3	35.5	35.6	35.9	35.2	35.4	35.4	35.3	35.3	35.6	35.3	35.0	35.0
Paper and allied products	42.9	42.6	42.7	42.7	42.9	43.5	42.7	42.4	42.4	42.2	41.6	41.7	41.4	41.8	41.8
Printing and publishing	37.6	37.5	37.9	37.5	37.9	38.1	372	37.0	37.2	36.8	36.0	26.7	26.9	27.0	07.0
Chemicals and allied products	41.9	41.9	41.8	41.7	42.2	42.2	417	416	417	41.6	41.3	30.7	30.0	37.2	37.3
Petroleum and coal products	43.6	43.8	44.7	44.1	44.8	43.5	36.2	39.7	39.4	41.1	42.3	41.2	40.7	40.9	41.0
Rubber and miscellaneous plastics products	40.9	40.5	40.5	40.5	40.3	40.7	40:3	39.9	40.0	39.7	39.0	39.3	38.6	42.0	43.2
Leather and leather products	37.1	36.5	36.8	36.5	36.8	37.3	36.7	36.8	36.4	36.7	37.0	37.4	36.4	36.9	36.3
TRANSPORTATION AND PUBLIC UTILITIES	40.0	39.9	39.9	40.0	40.2	40.0	39.5	39.4	39.5	39.5	39.3	39.6	39.9	40.1	39.9
WHOLESALE AND RETAIL TRADE	32.9	32.6	32.6	32.4	32.4	32.9	31.9	31.9	32.0	31.8	31.9	32.3	32.5	32.7	32.0
WHOLESALE TRADE	38.8	38.8	38.8	38.9	38.9	39.1	38.5	38.4	38.4	38.4	38.5	38.2	38.2	38.3	38.3
RETAIL TRADE	31.0	30.6	30.6	30.4	30.4	31.0	29.8	29.8	29.9	29.7	29.9	30.4	30.7	31.0	30.1
FINANCE, INSURANCE, AND REAL											60.0	00	00	51.5	50.1
ESTATE	36.4	36.2	36.1	36.2	36.3	36.4	36.2	36.3	36.3	36.2	36.1	36.4	36.2	36.3	36.2
SERVICES	32.8	32.7	32.7	32.6	32.6	32.8	32.5	32.5	325	324	323	22.8	22.1	22.0	00.5

16. Weekly hours, by industry division and major manufacturing group, seasonally adjusted

[Gross averages, production or nonsupervisory workers on private nonagricultural payrolls]

		19	79						1980				
Industry division and group	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May	June	July	Aug. P	Sept. P
TOTAL PRIVATE	35.6	35.6	35.6	35.7	35.6	35.5	35.4	35.3	35.1	35.0	34.9	35.1	35.2
MINING	43.4	43.7	43.6	43.9	43.4	43.2	43.4	42.8	42.7	43.2	41.9	42.9	43.1
CONSTRUCTION	37.5	36.8	37.0	37.2	37.3	37.1	36.6	36.7	36.8	37.1	36.8	36.5	37.4
MANUFACTURING	40.1	40.1	40.1	40.2	40.3	40.1	39.8 3.1	39.8 3.0	39.3 2.6	39.1 2.4	39.0 2.5	39.4 2.7	39.5 2.7
Overaline hours	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.0							
Durable goods Overtime hours	40.7 3.3	40.7 3.3	40.6 3.3	40.7 3.2	40.8 3.3	40.6 3.1	40.3 3.2	40.3 3.0	39.7 2.5	39.5 2.4	39.4 2.4	39.8 2.6	39.9 2.7
Lumber and wood products	39.6	39.2	38.9	39.0	39.4	39.1	38.7	37.3	37.5	37.6	38.1	38.8	38.8
Furniture and fixtures	38.7	38.8	38.9	38.9	39.2	39.0	38.5	38.5	37.6	37.0	36.6	37.5	38.2
Stone, clay, and glass products	41.5	41.3	41.4	41.5	41.4	41.2	40.9	40.6	40.3	40.4	40.2	40.3	40.9
Primary metal industries	41.1	41.1	40.8	40.7	40.8	40.8	40.7	40.6	39.2	38.8	38.6	39.1	39.4
Fabricated metal products	40.7	40.8	40.7	40.9	40.9	40.8	40.7	40.8	39.9	39.7	39.6	40.0	40.2
Machinery, except electrical	41.7	41.5	41.5	41.5	41.6	41.5	41.3	41.5	41.0	40.7	40.6	40.7	40.8
Electric and electronic equipment	40.3	40.3	40.4	40.5	40.5	40.3	40.0	39.9	39.5	39.2	39.0	39.3	39.4
Transportation equipment	40.6	41.0	40.5	40.9	40.9	40.8	40.4	40.5	39.7	39.5	39.6	40.9	40.4
Instruments and related products	40.7	40.7	41.0	41.0	41.4	40.9	40.4	40.7	40.3	40.4	40.1	40.3	40.2
Miscellaneous manufacturing	39.0	38.9	38.9	39.0	39.2	39.1	38.6	38.5	38.3	38.2	38.3	38.4	38.5
Nondurable goods	39.3	39.3	39.4	39.4	39.5	39.4	39.0	39.1	38.9	38.6	38.5	38.7	38.8
Overtime hours	3.1	3.1	3.2	3.1	3.1	2.9	3.0	3.0	2.6	2.5	2.6	2.8	2.7
Food and kindred products	,40.0	39.9	39.9	39.9	39.8	39.7	39.3	39.6	39.9	39.6	39.7	39.9	39.6
Tobacco manufactures	38.4	38.3	37.8	38.5	38.5	37.9	37.7	38.2	38.2	37.3	38.5	37.5	37.2
Textile mill products	40.7	40.8	41.0	41.0	41.5	41.1	40.8	40.3	39.7	39.1	38.8	39.0	39.7
Apparel and other textile products	35.2	35.4	35.3	35.6	36.0	35.9	35.3	35.8	35.3	35.2	35.1	35.0	35.1
Paper and allied products	42.5	42.6	42.7	42.8	43.0	42.9	42.6	42.5	41.7	41.4	41.4	41.8	41.6
Printing and publishing	37.5	37.4	37.5	37.4	37.8	37.4	37.2	37.2	37.1	36.8	36.9	37.1	36.9
Chamicals and allied products	41.8	417	42.0	41.8	42.0	41.9	41.8	41.5	41.3	41.1	40.8	41.0	41.6
Detroloum and coal products	44.0	43.5	44.4	43.4	36.9	40.7	39.7	41.1	42.5	42.3	42.2	42.0	42.5
Rubber and miscellaneous plastics products	40.3	40.2	40.0	40.0	40.7	40.0	39.9	40.1	39.3	39.2	39.0	40.3	40.1
Leather and leather products	36.8	36.5	36.6	37.0	37.2	37.2	36.9	37.3	36.7	36.7	36.1	36.8	36.3
TRANSPORTATION AND PUBLIC UTILITIES	39.9	40.0	40.2	40.0	39.5	39.4	39.5	39.5	39.3	39.6	39.9	40.1	39.9
WHOLESALE AND RETAIL TRADE	32.6	32.6	32.6	32.6	32.6	32.4	32.3	32.0	32.1	31.9	31.8	32.1	32.1
WHOLESALE TRADE	38.8	38.8	38.9	38.9	38.9	38.8	38.5	38.5	38.6	38.0	38.0	38.1	38.3
RETAIL TRADE	30.6	30.6	30.6	30.6	30.6	30.4	30.3	30.0	30.1	30.0	29.8	30.2	30.1
FINANCE, INSURANCE, AND REAL	00.4	20.0	00.0	26.4	26.0	26.2	26.2	26.2	26.1	36.4	36.2	36.3	36.2
ESTATE	36.1	36.2	30.3	30.4	30.2	30.3	30.3	30.2	30.1	30.4	30.2	00.0	00.2
SERVICES	32.7	32.6	32.7	32.8	32.7	32.7	32.7	32.6	32.5	32.6	32.6	32.5	32.6

Industry district and another	Annual	average		19	979						1980				
industry division and group	1978	1979	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May	June	July	Aug. P	Sept.
TOTAL PRIVATE	\$5.69	\$6.16	\$6.30	\$6.31	\$6.34	\$6.38	\$6.42	\$6.46	\$6.51	\$6.53	\$6.57	\$6.61	\$6.64	\$6.67	\$6.77
MINING	7.67	8.50	8.59	8.59	8.73	8.75	8.88	8.90	8.95	9.10	9.08	9.16	9.08	9.19	9.26
CONSTRUCTION	8.66	9.27	9.52	9.50	9.52	9.58	9.49	9.61	9.68	9.69	9.77	9.81	9.91	10.04	10.17
MANUFACTURING	6.17	6.69	6.80	6.82	6.87	6.97	6.96	7.00	7.06	7.09	7.13	7.20	7.29	7.30	7.41
Durable goods	6.58	713	7.24	7.95	7 20	7 42	7.20	7 40	754	7.50	7.00	7.00			
Lumber and wood products	5.60	6.08	6 20	6.22	6.00	6.04	6.01	7.40	7.04	7.50	7.60	7.69	1.11	1.78	7.91
Furniture and fixtures	4.69	5.06	0.00 E 10	5.10	5.01	0.24	0.21	0.33	0.35	6.28	6.40	6.56	6.72	6.73	6.71
Stone clay and place producte	6.00	5.00	0.10	5.19	5.21	5.20	5.27	5.32	5.37	5.39	5.42	5.49	5.52	5.56	5.58
Primany metal industrias	0.33	0.00	0.99	7.01	7.08	7.11	7.06	7.14	7.27	7.34	7.45	7.53	7.60	7.62	7.68
Eabricated motal products	0.20	0.97	9.10	9.11	9.26	9.28	9.30	9.44	9.45	9.53	9.61	9.65	9.82	9.88	9.98
	0.35	6.84	6.95	6.98	7.01	7.14	7.09	7.14	7.24	7.27	7.32	7.42	7.42	7.48	7.61
Machinery, except electrical	6.78	7.32	7.48	7.44	7.50	7.63	7.66	7.69	7.76	7.81	7.91	7 97	8.05	8.05	8 15
Electric and electronic equipment	5.82	6.32	6.47	6.49	6.52	6.64	6.67	671	678	6.79	6.78	6.87	6.06	7.02	7 10
Transportation equipment	7.91	8.54	8.59	8.70	8.72	8.93	8.81	8.86	9.04	9.04	9.06	9.24	0.34	0.34	0.52
Instruments and related products	5.71	6.17	6.21	6.32	6.39	6.50	6.57	6.59	6.63	6.63	6.72	6.80	6.86	6.96	6.01
Miscellaneous manufacturing	4.69	5.03	5.06	5.10	5.13	5.20	5.28	5.30	5.34	5.37	5.40	5.42	5.46	5.46	5.53
Nondurable goods	5.53	6.00	611	614	6.21	6.26	6.29	6.07	6.00	0.00	C 40	0.40			
Food and kindred products	5.80	6.07	6.22	6.25	6.50	0.20	0.20	0.27	0.30	0.30	6.42	6.48	6.60	6.62	6.70
Tobacco manufactures	613	6.65	6.42	6.33	6.07	6.00	7.00	0.04	0.08	0.75	6.82	6.84	6.89	6.90	6.97
Textile mill products	4.30	4.66	4.90	4.00	0.97	0.90	1.00	1.30	1.57	1.79	7.64	7.97	8.06	7.72	7.46
Annarel and other textile products	2.04	4.00	4.02	4.03	4.00	4.07	4.90	4.90	4.92	4.91	4.90	4.93	5.06	5.18	5.24
Paper and allied products	6.52	7.13	7.33	7.36	7.43	7.50	7.49	4.45	4.49 7.55	4.46	4.45	4.51	4.50	4.60	4.69
Drinting and publishing	0.54	0.05	7.00	7.10											0.02
Chemicale and ollied products	0.51	6.95	7.08	7.10	7.13	7.21	7.24	7.29	7.34	7.34	7.44	7.46	7.53	7.63	7.74
Chemicals and alled products	7.02	7.60	7.74	7.83	7.88	7.92	7.97	8.01	8.05	8.12	8.17	8.24	8.35	8.38	8.48
Petroleum and coal products	8.63	9.36	9.50	9.48	9.56	9.48	9.46	9.37	9.29	9.83	10.07	10.22	10.25	10.17	10.25
Hubber and miscellaneous plastics products	5.52	5.96	6.03	6.12	6.14	6.21	6.25	6.25	6.27	6.30	6.34	6.39	6.48	6.57	6.65
Leather and leather products	3.89	4.22	4.29	4.31	4.33	4.35	4.45	4.47	4.51	4.52	4.53	4.54	4.54	4.61	4.63
RANSPORTATION AND PUBLIC UTILITIES	7.57	8.17	8.44	8.43	8.51	8.54	8.55	8.58	8.62	8.71	8.72	8.75	8.90	8.93	8.96
WHOLESALE AND RETAIL TRADE	4.67	5.06	5.13	5.15	5.18	5.18	5.34	5.36	5.40	5.40	5.42	5.43	5.48	5.47	5.53
WHOLESALE TRADE	5.88	6.39	6.52	6.52	6.58	6.69	6.72	6.77	6.83	6.87	6.89	6.95	6.99	7.00	7.06
RETAIL TRADE	4.20	4.53	4.57	4.59	4.62	4.61	4.78	4.78	4.81	4.80	4.82	4.83	4.88	4.88	4.92
INANCE, INSURANCE, AND REAL															
ESTATE	4.89	5.27	5.37	5.35	5.41	5.48	5.53	5.60	5.68	5.68	5.70	5.77	5.77	5.81	5.84
ERVICES	4.00	E 26	F 45	5 40											

		19	79			_			1980						
Industry	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May	June	July	Aug. P	Sept. P	to Sept. 1980	Sept. 1979 to Sept. 1980
TOTAL PRIVATE (in current dollars)	234.3	235.0	237.3	239.4	240.3	242.4	245.2	246.2	248.3	250.9	252.1	253.6	254.6	0.4	8.7
Mining	265.6	267.7	272.0	274.6	277.0	278.5	280.9	283.7	284.2	286.3	285.3	289.0	288.6	-1	87
Construction	224.5	224.7	226.5	228.1	225.8	229.8	232.2	233.0	234.2	235.3	236.7	238.8	238.7	(1)	6.3
Manufacturing	238.6	239.9	241.9	244.1	245.2	247.8	250.2	252.4	255.0	258.3	260.6	262.3	264.0	.6	10.6
Transportation and public utilities	255.1	255.8	258.7	260.1	260.8	262.4	265.9	267.2	268.7	270.6	272.8	272.2	271.9	1	6.6
Wholesale and retail trade	227.2	227.6	229.7	231.4	234.2	235.2	237.8	238.0	239.8	241.8	243.5	244.8	245.3	.2	8.0
Finance, insurance, and real estate	214.0	212.9	215.7	217.9	218.4	221.1	225.7	224.9	226.3	230.2	229.0	232.0	232.2	.1	8.5
Services	231.6	232.3	234.9	237.8	237.7	239.7	242.7	243.0	245.7	248.4	247.6	249.5	251.2	.7	8.5
OTAL PRIVATE (in constant dollars)	104.9	104.1	104.1	103.8	102.7	102.2	102.0	101.4	101.4	101.5	102.0	101.9	(2)	(2)	(2)

19. Weekly earnings, by industry division and major manufacturing group

[Gross averages, production or nonsupervisory workers on private nonagricultural payrolls]

	Annual	average		1	979					19	80				
Industry division and group	1978	1979	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May	June	July	Aug. P	Sept. ^p
TOTAL PRIVATE	\$203.70	\$219.30	\$225.54	\$225.27	\$225.70	\$229.04	\$225.34	\$226.75	\$229.15	\$228.55	\$229.95	\$233.33	\$234.39	\$236.79	\$238.98
MINING	332.88	365.50	372.81	375.38	380.63	384.13	385.39	384.48	388.43	389.48	387.72	395.71	380.45	394.25	399.11
CONSTRUCTION	318.69	342.99	361.76	358.15	348.43	356.38	335.00	343.08	350.42	355.62	360.51	371.80	373.61	374.49	385.44
MANUFACTURING	249.27	268.94	274.04	274.16	276.86	285.07	277.01	278.60	280.99	279.35	280.21	283.68	282.85	286.89	294.18
Durable goods Lumber and wood products Furniture and fixtures Stone, clay, and glass products Primary metal industries Fabricated metal products Machinery except electrical Electric and electronic equipment Transportation equipment Instruments and related products Miscellaneous manufacturing Food and kindred products	270.44 222.88 183.92 263.33 342.76 260.35 285.44 234.55 333.80 233.54 181.97 217.88 230.26	290.90 239.55 195.82 284.28 371.36 278.39 305.98 254.70 350.99 251.74 195.16 235.80 250.17	295.39 252.63 202.02 291.48 378.31 283.56 312.66 262.04 349.61 252.75 198.35 241.96 256.59	295.80 247.95 203.97 292.32 372.60 285.48 308.76 261.55 359.31 257.86 199.41 241.92 254.00	297.43 241.34 204.75 295.24 376.88 287.41 313.50 266.02 355.78 264.55 202.12 245.92 261.30	308.67 244.61 209.87 297.20 379.55 299.17 325.80 274.23 381.31 271.05 205.40 249.77 264.62	297.82 236.60 202.37 283.11 378.51 287.85 317.89 268.13 352.40 269.37 204.86 244.92 261.10	300.64 243.71 204.29 286.31 384.21 288.46 319.14 269.74 357.94 268.87 204.58 243.90 259.62	303.86 243.21 206.75 295.89 384.62 293.94 322.04 271.20 365.22 269.18 207.19 245.07 260.52	301.64 232.99 204.28 296.54 386.92 292.25 320.21 268.88 359.79 267.85 206.21 246.13 262.58	301.72 240.64 202.17 302.47 377.67 292.07 322.73 266.45 361.49 270.82 206.28 248.45 270.75	306.06 251.90 204.78 308.73 377.32 297.54 325.18 270.68 368.68 275.40 207.59 251.42 270.86	303.81 256.70 199.82 306.28 379.05 290.86 322.00 267.96 368.93 271.66 206.39 254.10 254.10	308.09 263.14 209.61 310.13 384.33 298.45 324.42 274.48 373.60 275.09 209.12 257.52 278.76	317.19 263.70 214.83 315.65 395.21 306.68 333.34 284.33 385.97 277.78 214.01 261.97 280.19
Tobacco manufactures	233.55 173.72 140.26 279.71 244.78 294.14	252.70 188.26 149.32 303.74 260.63 318.44	252.06 196.66 150.73 312.99 268.33 323.53	246.24 197.06 153.01 314.27 266.25 326.51	270.44 200.72 153.79 318.75 270.23 332.54	275.01 202.11 157.24 326.25 274.70 334.22	264.08 200.41 156.29 319.82 269.33 332.35	271.58 199.92 157.53 318.85 269.73 333.22	285.39 201.23 158.95 320.12 273.05 335.69	297.58 195.91 157.44 321.99 270.11 337.79	295.67 195.02 157.09 318.24 274.54 337.42	305.25 195.23 160.56 324.84 273.78 339.49	294.19 194.81 158.85 329.96 277.10 339.85	285.64 202.02 162.38 333.98 283.84 342.74	282.73 208.55 165.09 335.24 288.70 352.77
Petroleum and coal products	376.27 225.77 144.32	409.97 241.38 154.03	424.65 244.22 157.87	418.07 247.86 157.32	428.29 247.44 159.34	412.38 252.75 162.26	342.45 251.88 163.32	371.99 249.38 164.50	366.03 250.80 164.16	404.01 250.11 165.88	425.96 247.26 167.61	432.31 251.13 169.80	437.68 250.13 165.26	427.14 263.46 170.11	442.80 268.00 168.07
TRANSPORTATION AND PUBLIC UTILITIES	302.80	325.98	336.76	337.20	342.10	341.60	337.73	338.05	340.49	344.05	342.70	346.50	355.11	358.09	357.50
WHOLESALE AND RETAIL TRADE	153.64	164.96	167.24	166.86	167.83	170.42	170.35	170.98	172.80	171.72	172.90	175.39	178.10	178.87	176.96
WHOLESALE TRADE	228.14	247.93	252.98	253.63	255.96	261.58	258.72	259.97	262.27	263.81	265.27	265.49	267.02	268.10	270.40
RETAIL TRADE	130.20	138.62	139.84	139.54	140.45	142.91	142.44	142.44	143.82	142.56	144.12	146.83	149.82	151.28	148.09
FINANCE, INSURANCE, AND REAL ESTATE	178.00	190.77	193.86	193.67	196.38	199.47	200.19	203.28	206.18	205.62	205.77	210.03	208.87	210.90	211.41
SERVICES	163.67	175.27	178.22	178.65	180.93	184.01	183.63	185.25	186.88	186.30	187.02	190.57	191.65	191.40	192.99

			Priv	ate nonagricul	tural workers					Manufacturin	g workers		
		Gross	average	Spen	dable average	e weekly earn	ings	Gross	average	Spe	ndable averag	ge weekly ear	nings
	Year and month	weekly	earnings	Worker depend	with no dents	Married wa 3 deper	orker with idents	weekly	earnings	Worker depe	with no ndents	Married v 3 dep	vorker with endents
_		Current dollars	1967 dollars	Current dollars	1967 dollars	Current dollars	1967 dollars	Current dollars	1967 dollars	Current dollars	1967 dollars	Current dollars	1967 dollars
960		\$80.67	\$90.95	\$65.59	\$73.95	\$72.96	\$82.25	\$89.72	\$101.15	\$72.57	\$81.82	\$80.11	\$90.32
961		82.60	92.19	67.08	74.87	74 48	83.13	92 34	103.06	74.60	90.06	00.10	01.70
962		85.91	94.82	69.56	76.78	76.99	84.98	96.56	106.59	74.00	83.20	82.18	91.72
963		88.46	96.47	71.05	77.48	78.56	85.67	99.23	108.21	70.51	96.71	85.53	94.40
964		91.33	98.31	75.04	80.78	82.57	88.88	102.07	110.21	94.40	00.71	87.25	95.15
965	******	95.45	101.01	79.32	83.94	86.63	91.67	107.53	113.79	89.08	94.26	96.78	102.41
966		98.82	101.67	81.29	83.63	88.66	91.21	112 19	115.42	91.45	94.05	00.22	102.10
967		101.84	101.84	83.38	83.38	90.86	90.86	114 49	114 49	92.97	02.07	100.02	102.19
968		107.73	103.39	86.71	83.21	95.28	91.44	122.51	117.57	97.70	02.76	100.33	100.93
969		114.61	104.38	90.96	82.84	99.99	91.07	129.51	117.95	101.90	92.81	111 44	102.45
970		119.83	103.04	96.21	82.73	104.90	90.20	133.33	114.64	106.32	91.42	115.58	99.38
971		127.31	104.95	103.80	85.57	112.43	92.69	142.44	117 43	114.97	94 78	124.24	102 42
972		136.90	109.26	112.19	89.54	121.68	97.11	154.71	123.47	125.34	100.03	135 57	102.42
973		145.39	109.23	117.51	88.29	127.38	95.70	166.46	125.06	132 57	99.60	142.50	107.20
974	**********************	154.76	104.78	124.37	84.20	134.61	91.14	176.80	119 70	140.19	99.00	143.50	107.01
975	*******	163.53	101.45	132.49	82.19	145.65	90.35	190.79	118.36	151.61	94.05	166.29	102.01
76		175.45	102.90	143.30	84.05	155.87	91.42	209.32	122,77	167.83	98.43	181.32	106.35
977	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	189.00	104.13	155.19	85.50	169.93	93.63	228.90	126.12	183.80	101.27	200.06	110.23
978		203.70	104.30	165.39	84.69	180.71	92.53	249.27	127.63	197.40	101.08	214.87	110.02
979	*****	219.30	100.73	177.55	81.56	194.35	89.27	268.94	123.54	212.43	97.58	232.07	106.60
979:	September	225.54	100.82	182.10	81.40	199.15	89.03	274.04	122.50	215.89	96.51	235.94	105.47
	October	225.27	99.85	181.90	80.63	198.94	88 18	274 16	121 52	215.07	05 72	226.04	104.00
	November	225.70	99.17	182.22	80.06	199.27	87.55	276.86	121.52	213.97	95.73	236.04	104.63
	December	229.04	9.58	184.59	80.26	201.80	87.74	285.07	123.94	223.38	97.12	244.31	104.60
980:	January	225.34	96.59	181.96	77 99	199.00	85 20	277.01	110 74	017.01	00.40	000.00	
	February	226.75	95.88	182.98	77 37	200.07	84.60	277.01	117.00	217.91	93.40	238.20	102.10
	March	229.15	95.52	184.67	76.98	201.89	84.16	280.99	117.13	218.99	92.60 91.96	239.40 241.22	101.23
	April	228.55	94.21	184.25	75.95	201 43	83.03	279 35	115 15	210.40	00.47	000.07	00.00
	May	229.95	93.82	185.23	75.57	202 49	82.62	280.21	114.32	219.49	90.47	239.97	98.92
	June	233.33	94.16	187.59	75.70	205.06	82.75	283.68	114.48	222.43	89.79	240.63 243.26	98.18 98.17
	July	234.39	94.51	188.33	75.94	205.86	83.01	282.85	114.05	221.87	89.46	242.63	97.82
	August ^p	236.79	94.87	190.01	76.13	207.68	83.21	286.89	114.94	224 61	89.99	245.69	08.40
	September ^p	238.98	(1)	191.54	(1)	209.34	(1)	294 18	(1)	229.56	(1)	251 22	(1)

¹Not available.

NOTE: The earnings expressed in 1967 dollars have been adjusted for changes in price level as measured by the Bureau's Consumer Price Index for Urban Wage Earners and Clerical Workers. These series are described in "The Spendable Earnings Series: A Technical Note on its Calculation," Employment and Earnings and Monthly Report on the Labor Force, February 1969, pp. 6-13. See also "Spendable Earnings Formulas, 1978-80," Employment and Earnings, March 1980, pp. 10-11.

UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE DATA

UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE DATA are compiled monthly by the Employment and Training Administration of the U.S. Department of Labor from records of State and Federal unemployment insurance claims filed and benefits paid. Railroad unemployment insurance data are prepared by the U.S. Railroad Retirement Board.

Definitions

Data for all programs represent an unduplicated count of insured unemployment under State programs, Unemployment Compensation for Ex-Servicemen, and Unemployment Compensation for Federal Employees, and the Railroad Insurance Act.

Under both State and Federal unemployment insurance programs for civilian employees, insured workers must report the completion of at least 1 week of unemployment before they are defined as unemployed. Persons not covered by unemployment insurance (about onethird of the labor force) and those who have exhausted or not yet earned benefit rights are excluded from the scope of the survey. **Initial claims** are notices filed by persons in unemployment insurance programs to indicate they are out of work and wish to begin receiving compensation. A claimant who continued to be unemployed a full week is then counted in the insured unemployment figure. The **rate of insured unemployment** expresses the number of insured unemployed as a percent of the average insured employment in a 12-month period.

An **application** for benefits is filed by a railroad worker at the beginning of his first period of unemployment in a benefit year; no application is required for subsequent periods in the same year. **Number of payments** are payments made in 14-day registration periods. The **average amount of benefit payment** is an average for all compensable periods, not adjusted for recovery of overpayments or settlement of underpayments. However, **total benefits** paid have been adjusted.

			1979						19	080			
Item	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May	June	July	Aug.
Il programe:													
Insured unemployment	2,377	2,164	2,236	2,559	3,047	3,740	3,730	3,652	3,629	3,680	3,790	4,140	3,90
State unemployment insurance program:1													
Initial claims ²	1,545	1,219	1,641	1,827	2,263	2,837	1,818	1,705	2,190	P 2,248	2,319		
weekly volume)	2.245	2,024	2,057	2,384	2,864	3,537	3,518	3,356	3,278	3,343	3,455	3,692	3,40
Rate of insured unemployment	2.7	2.4	2.4	2.8	3.4	4.1	4.1	3.9	3.8	3.9	4.0	4.3	3.
compensated	8,830	6,993	7,638	8,107	9,171	13,792	12,801	13,170	12,689	P12,302	12,441		
for total unemployment	\$88.56	\$89.07	\$90.59	\$92.39	\$94.54	\$96.41	\$98.39	\$99.15	\$99.52	P\$99.55	\$99.88		
Total benefits paid	\$767,025	\$606,095	\$673,965	\$728,370	\$843,869	\$1,283,946	\$1,229,877	\$1,218,231	\$1,232,173	\$1,190,030	\$1,213,385		
Inemployment compensation for ex- servicemen: ³													
Initial claims ¹	28	23	26	24	24	25	21	21	21	P 20	23		
weekly volume)	52	52	52	54	56	60	58	63	52	50	45	58	
compensated	234	211	236	232	233	299	255	249	246	P 220	122		
Total benefits paid	\$23,861	\$19,634	\$23,325	\$23,093	\$23,093	\$29,635	\$25,308	\$24,928	\$24,518	\$22,025	\$11,761		
Inemployment compensation for Federal civilian employees: ⁴													
Initial claims	13	13	18	15	15	19	11	12	11	P12	14		
weekly volume)	25	25	28	29	31	34	32	30	25	22	20	26	
compensated	107	91	109	118	118	150	129	123	108	P 88	50		
Total benefits paid	\$9,829	\$8,453	\$10,093	\$11,063	\$11,047	\$14,118	\$12,226	\$11,901	\$10,323	\$8,280	\$4,665		
Railroad unemployment insurance:		12	11	10	11	22	7	5	4	6	24		
Insured unemployment (average	0	13		10									
weekly volume)	12	21	18	20	19	40	39	30	27	23	2/		
Number of payments Average amount of benefit	26	32	51	36	41	80	/1	68	62	04	00 00		
payment	\$195.61 \$3,767	\$189.08 \$5,747	\$189.61 \$8,003	\$183.38 \$6,462	\$197.22 \$8,085	\$199.01 \$14,967	\$208.73 \$14,573	\$210.79 \$13,884	\$201.87	\$193.44 \$9,953	\$199.06		
mployment service: *	14.470	15 505	1 955	3 182	4 379	5 990	7 285	8.708	10.021	11,446	12,864		
New applications and renewals	14,4/9	15,525	1,000	3,163	4,370	1 214	1 561	1 853	2 143	2 413	2,730		

Initial claims and State insured unemployment include data under the program for Puerto Picar sugarcane workers.

grams.

² Includes interstate claims for the Virgin Islands. Excludes transition claims under State programs.
³ Excludes data on claims and payments made jointly with other programs.

⁵ Cumulative total for fiscal year (October 1 – September 30).

NOTE: Date for Puerto Rico included. Dashes indicate data not available

PRICE DATA are gathered by the Bureau of Labor Statistics from retail and primary markets in the United States. Price indexes are given in relation to a base period (1967 = 100, unless otherwise noted).

Definitions

The Consumer Price Index is a monthly statistical measure of the average change in prices in a fixed market basket of goods and services. Effective with the January 1978 index, the Bureau of Labor Statistics began publishing CPI's for two groups of the population. One index, a new CPI for All Urban Consumers, covers 80 percent of the total noninstitutional population; and the other index, a revised CPI for Urban Wage Earners and Clerical Workers, covers about half the new index population. The All Urban Consumers index includes, in addition to wage earners and clerical workers, professional, managerial, and technical workers, the self-employed, short-term workers, the unemployed, retirees, and others not in the labor force.

The CPI is based on prices of food, clothing. shelter, fuel, drugs, transportation fares, doctor's and dentist's fees, and other goods and services that people buy for day-to-day living. The quantity and quality of these items is kept essentially unchanged between major revisions so that only price changes will be measured. Prices are collected from over 18,000 tenants, 24,000 retail establishments, and 18,000 housing units for property taxes in 85 urban areas across the country. All taxes directly associated with the purchase and use of items are included in the index. Because the CPI's are based on the expenditures of two population groups in 1972–73, they may not accurately reflect the experience of individual families and single persons with different buying habits.

Though the CPI is often called the "Cost-of-Living Index," it measures only price change, which is just one of several important factors affecting living costs. Area indexes do not measure differences in the level of prices among cities. They only measure the average change in prices for each area since the base period.

Producer Price Indexes measure average changes in prices received in primary markets of the United States by producers of commodities in all stages of processing. The sample used for calculating these indexes contains about 2,800 commodities and about 10,000 quotations per month selected to represent the movement of prices of all commodities produced in the manufacturing, agriculture, forestry, fishing, mining, gas and electricity, and public utilities sectors. The universe includes all commodities produced or imported for sale in commercial transactions in primary markets in the United States.

Producer Price Indexes can be organized by stage of processing or by commodity. The stage of processing structure organizes products by degree of fabrication (that is, finished goods, intermediate or semifinished goods, and crude materials). The commodity structure organizes products by similarity of end-use or material composition.

To the extent possible, prices used in calculating Producer Price Indexes apply to the first significant commercial transaction in the United States, from the production or central marketing point. Price data are generally collected monthly, primarily by mail questionnaire. Most prices are obtained directly from producing companies on a voluntary and confidential basis. Prices generally are reported for the Tuesday of the week containing the 13th day of the month.

In calculating Producer Price Indexes, price changes for the various commodities are averaged together with implicit quantity weights representing their importance in the total net selling value of all commodities as of 1972. The detailed data are aggregated to obtain indexes for stage of processing groupings, commodity groupings, durability of product groupings, and a number of special composite groupings.

Price indexes for the output of selected SIC industries measure average price changes in commodities produced by particular industries, as defined in the *Standard Industrial Classification Manual 1972* (Washington, U.S. Office of Management and Budget, 1972). These indexes are derived from several price series, combined to match the economic activity of the specified industry and weighted by the value of shipments in the industry. They use data from comprehensive industrial censuses conducted by the U.S. Bureau of the Census and the U.S. Department of Agriculture.

Notes on the data

Beginning with the May 1978 issue of the *Review*, regional CPI's cross classified by population size, were introduced. These indexes will enable users in local areas for which an index is not published to get a better approximation of the CPI for their area by using the appropriate population size class measure for their region. The cross-classified indexes will be published bimonthly. (See table 24.)

For further details about the new and the revised indexes and a comparison of various aspects of these indexes with the old unrevised CPI, see *Facts About the Revised Consumer Price Index*, a pamphlet in the Consumer Price Index Revision 1978 series. See also *The Consumer Price Index: Concepts and Content Over the Years.* Report 517, revised edition (Bureau of Labor Statistics, May 1978).

For interarea comparisons of living costs at three hypothetical standards of living, see the family budget data published in the *Handbook* of Labor Statistics, 1977, Bulletin 1966 (Bureau of Labor Statistics, 1977), tables 122–133. Additional data and analysis on price changes are provided in the CPI Detailed Report and Producer Prices and Price Indexes, both monthly publications of the Bureau.

As of January 1976, the Wholesale Price Index (as it was then called) incorporated a revised weighting structure reflecting 1972 values of shipments. From January 1967 through December 1975, 1963 values of shipments were used as weights.

For a discussion of the general method of computing consumer, producer, and industry price indexes, see BLS Handbook of Methods for Surveys and Studies, Bulletin 1910 (Bureau of Labor Statistics, 1976), chapters 13-15. See also John F. Early, "Improving the measurement of producer price change," Monthly Labor Review, April 1978, pp. 7-15. For industry prices, see also Bennett R. Moss, "Industry and Sector Price Indexes," Monthly Labor Review, August 1965, pp. 974-82.

22. Consumer Price Index for Urban Wage Earners and Clerical Workers, annual averages and changes, 1967–79 [1967=100]

	All i	items	Foo	d and erages	Ho	using	Appa upl	rel and keep	Transp	ortation	Medic	al care	Entert	ainment	Other and se	goods ervices
Year	Index	Percent change	Index	Percent change	Index	Percent change	Index	Percent change	Index	Percent change	Index	Percent change	Index	Percent change	Index	Percent change
1967	100.0		100.0		100.0		100.0		100.0		100.0		100.0		100.0	
1968	104.2	4.2	103.6	3.6	104.0	4.0	105.4	5.4	103.2	3.2	106.1	6.1	105.7	5.7	105.2	5.2
1969	109.8	5.4	108.8	5.0	110.4	6.2	111.5	5.8	107.2	3.9	113.4	6.9	111.0	5.0	110.4	4.9
1970	116.3	5.9	114.7	5.4	118.2	7.1	116.1	4.1	112.7	5.1	120.6	6.3	116.7	5.1	116.8	5.8
1071	121.2	43	118.3	31	123.4	44	119.8	3.2	118.6	5.2	128.4	6.5	122.9	5.3	122.4	4.8
1070	125.3	3.3	123.2	41	128.1	3.8	122.3	2.1	119.9	1.1	132.5	3.2	126.5	2.9	127.5	4.2
1972	122.1	62	139.5	13.2	133.7	44	126.8	3.7	123.8	3.3	137.7	3.9	130.0	2.8	132.5	3.9
1973	147.7	11.0	158.7	13.8	148.8	11.3	136.2	7.4	137.7	11.2	150.5	9.3	139.8	7.5	142.0	7.2
1975	161.2	9.1	172.1	8.4	164.5	10.6	142.3	4.5	150.6	9.4	168.6	12.0	152.2	8.9	153.9	8.4
1076	170.5	5.8	177.4	31	174.6	61	147.6	3.7	165.5	9,9	184.7	9.5	159.8	5.0	162.7	5.7
1077	191.5	65	188.0	6.0	186.5	68	154.2	4.5	177.2	7.1	202.4	9.6	167.7	4.9	172.2	5.8
1070	101.5	7.6	206.2	9.7	202.6	8.6	159.5	3.4	185.8	4.9	219.4	8.4	176.2	5.1	183.2	6.4
1979	217.7	11.5	228.7	10.9	227.5	12.3	166.4	4.3	212.8	14.5	240.1	9.4	187.6	6.5	196.3	7.2

23. Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers and revised CPI for Urban Wage Earners and Clerical Workers, U.S. city average — general summary and groups, subgroups, and selected items

[1967=100 unless otherwise specified]

			All Ur	ban Consi	umers			U	ban Wage	e Earners	and Cleric	cal Worke	rs (revise	ed)
General summary	1979			19	80			1979			19	80		
	Aug.	Mar.	Apr.	May	June	July	Aug.	Aug.	Mar.	Apr.	May	June	July	Aug.
All items	221.1	239.8	242.5	244.9	247.6	247.8	249.4	221.5	239.9	242.6	245.1	247.8	248.0	249.6
Food and haverages	230.2	241.0	242.8	244.1	245.7	248.3	252.0	230.4	241.2	243.2	244.7	246.4	249.1	252.5
Jourgan Develages	231.5	254.5	257.9	261.7	266.7	265.1	265.8	231.5	254.4	257.8	261.7	266.9	265.1	265.8
Apparal and unkaan	166.3	176.0	177.3	177.5	177.2	176.2	178.6	166.2	175.1	176.1	176.8	176.0	175.4	177.9
	219.6	2437	246.8	249.0	2497	251.0	252.7	220.7	244.3	247.7	249.9	250.6	251.9	253.5
fadical coro	241.8	260.2	262.0	263.4	264.7	266.6	268.4	242.6	260.9	263.1	264.9	265.9	267.8	270.0
	100.2	200.6	202.5	204.0	205.3	206.6	208.0	188.9	199.5	201.3	202.4	204.0	204.4	205.6
Dther goods and services	197.0	208.9	209.8	211.2	212.5	213.5	214.5	197.2	208.3	209.2	210.6	212.1	212.9	214.0
Commodities	2122	228.0	229.9	231.4	232.8	234.1	236.7	212.6	228.1	230.1	231.7	233.0	234.4	236.9
Commodities loss food and heverages	200.9	218.4	220.4	222.0	223.2	224.0	226.0	201.3	218.7	220.6	222.3	223.4	224.2	226.2
Nandurablas lass food and beverages	208.8	237.5	239.5	240.3	241.1	241.4	242.6	210.5	239.8	241.7	242.6	243.2	243.5	244.8
Durables	193.6	203.0	204.9	207.1	208.6	209.8	212.4	192.9	201.2	203.3	205.4	206.8	208.0	210.5
	237.6	261.3	265.3	269.2	274.2	272.4	272.5	237.9	261.7	265.8	269.9	275.1	273.1	273.3
Post residential	177.5	186.6	187.0	188.9	191.1	192.1	193.2	177.3	186.4	186.9	188.7	190.8	191.8	193.0
Henry residential	272.8	307.3	313.4	319.6	328.8	323.3	321.5	274.1	309.6	315.8	322.2	331.9	325.9	324.2
Transportation convices	214.9	233.4	238 1	241.5	242.6	243.8	246.4	215.3	232.7	238.0	241.5	242.7	243.9	246.3
Madical care convices	260.6	281 5	283.4	284 7	285.9	288.0	289.8	261.2	282.2	284.5	286.3	287.3	289.3	291.
Other services	200.0	212.9	214.5	215.9	216.9	218.1	219.2	201.2	213.5	214.6	216.5	217.9	218.6	219.5
Special indexes:														
All items less food	216.9	237.1	239.9	242.6	245.5	245.1	246.3	217.3	237.3	240.2	242.9	245.7	245.3	246.6
All items less mortgage interest costs	214.7	229.8	231.8	233.7	235.4	236.8	239.0	215.3	230.2	232.4	234.2	235.7	°237.4	239.6
Commodities less food	199.5	216.7	218.6	220.2	221.4	222.2	224.2	199.9	216.9	218.9	220.5	221.6	222.4	224.4
Nondurables less food	205.4	232.6	234.6	235.5	236.3	236.6	237.8	207.0	234.8	236.7	237.7	238.3	238.7	239.9
Nondurables less food and apparel	228.3	264.1	266.5	267.9	269.3	270.3	270.9	229.7	266.3	268.7	270.0	271.4	272.2	272.9
Vondurables	220.4	240.3	242.2	243.2	244.5	245.9	248.3	221.3	241.4	243.3	244.6	245.7	247.2	249.6
Services less rent	248.8	275.4	280.0	284.4	290.0	287.6	287.4	249.2	275.9	280.8	285.4	291.2	288.6	288.6
Services less medical care	233.6	257.4	261.5	265.7	271.0	268.9	268.7	233.9	257.7	261.9	266.3	271.8	269.4	269.4
Domeetically produced farm foods	223.5	231.2	232.7	233.6	234.8	238.5	243.5	223.4	231.0	232.4	233.4	234.7	238.4	242.9
Selected heat cuts	253.0	270.2	268.0	265.6	264.8	269.2	274.5	255.5	272.3	269.5	267.5	267.1	271.2	275.9
Fooray	296.3	355.0	358.8	363.2	367.8	370.4	370.7	298.8	359.6	363.3	367.3	371.8	373.9	374.2
All items loss energy	215.4	230.8	233.4	235.7	238.3	238.3	240.0	215.3	230.0	232.7	235.1	237.6	237.6	239.4
All itome loss food and energy	209.4	225.7	228.5	231.0	233.7	233.1	234.3	209.0	224.6	227.5	230.0	232.7	232.1	233.4
Commodities less food and energy	186.8	196.5	198.2	199.9	201.2	202.0	204.3	186.4	195.1	196.9	198.6	199.8	200.6	202.9
Energy commodities	314.5	398.5	402.3	403.0	404.1	404.8	404.2	315.8	400.3	404.0	404.7	405.6	406.1	405.5
Services less energy	235.4	259.6	263.5	267.0	271.5	269.1	269.0	235.7	260.0	264.2	267.8	272.5	269.8	269.9
Purchasing power of the consumer dollar, 1967 = \$1	\$0.452	\$0.417	\$0.412	\$0.408	\$0.404	\$0.404	\$0.401	\$0.451	\$0.417	\$0.412	\$0.408	\$0.404	\$0.403	\$0.40

23. Continued—Consumer Price Index—U.S. city average

[1967=100 unless otherwise specified]

			All U	Irban Con	sumers			U	Jrban Wag	ge Earner	s and Cle	erical Wor	kers (revi	sed)
General summary	1979			1	980			1979				1980		
	Aug.	Mar.	Apr.	May	June	July	Aug.	Aug.	Mar.	Apr.	May	June	July	Aug.
						-	-							ring.
FOOD AND BEVERAGES	230.2	241.0	242.8	244.1	245.7	248.3	252.0	230.4	241.2	243.2	244.7	246.4	249.1	252.5
Food	236.3	247.3	249.1	250.4	252.0	254.8	258.7	236.5	247.5	249.5	251.0	252.7	255.5	259.2
Food at home	233.0	2436	245.2	246.5	249.0	054.5	050.0	0005						LOUL
Cereals and bakery products	223.7	238.6	243.5	240.5	246.0	201.0	200.3	233.5	243.1	245.0	246.1	247.7	251.1	255.6
Cereals and cereal products (12/77 = 100)	118.5	126.6	129.4	1315	133.1	125.0	126.2	110.0	239.3	242.2	244.4	245.7	248.0	249.6
Flour and prepared flour mixes (12/77 = 100)	122.5	126.6	127.8	129.0	131.1	132.9	133.6	123.3	127.7	130.1	132.4	133.9	135.5	136.8
Cereal (12/77 = 100)	118.0	126.0	129.4	131.5	133.0	135.5	137.6	118.5	126.6	120.9	129.9	131.4	132.8	133.9
Rice, pasta, and commeal (12/77 = 100)	115.7	127.6	130.8	133.8	135.2	136.2	136.8	115.8	129.4	131.9	135.2	137.0	137.9	138.4
Bakery products (12/77 = 100)	118.3	126.1	127.6	128.7	129.1	129.8	130.4	118.5	126.2	127.5	128.3	128.8	129.8	130.5
White bread	198.4	212.0	215.1	216.7	216.9	218.4	217.9	198.0	212.1	215.1	216.0	215.4	217.5	217.2
Other breads (12/77 = 100)	118.6	125.6	127.0	128.3	128.1	129.4	129.7	120.8	129.3	129.3	130.6	130.8	132.3	133.3
Fresh biscuits, rolls, and mumins $(12/77 = 100)$,	118.1	127.0	126.9	127.8	129.5	129.2	130.0	117.7	124.9	125.3	126.4	127.9	128.1	128.9
Cookies $(12/77 - 100)$	116.6	124.4	126.5	127.4	127.6	127.9	129.8	116.3	123.2	125.4	126.5	126.9	127.3	129.4
Crackers and bread and cracker products (12/77 - 100)	115.0	124.4	125.3	126.1	126.3	127.1	128.7	117.2	125.6	126.3	126.8	126.9	128.3	130.1
Fresh sweetrolls, coffeecake, and donuts $(12/77 = 100)$	1175	120.2	122.0	122.2	123.0	125.5	124.6	114.9	121.8	122.2	123.0	124.5	125.7	124.7
Frozen and refrigerated bakery products	117.5	120.0	120.0	120.4	129.1	129.5	131.4	119.3	126.2	128.0	129.2	130.0	130.0	131.6
and fresh pies, tarts, and turnovers (12/77 = 100)	120.8	127.9	129.7	131.0	131.2	131.5	131.4	117.1	124.0	125.3	126.0	127.2	129.6	129.2
Meats poultry fish and ease	0000	007.0											120.0	120.2
Meats, poultry, and fish	230.2	243.8	235.1	231.5	231.2	236.7	245.4	229.6	237.1	234.3	230.7	230.4	236.1	244.3
Meats	237.8	245.0	242.6	230.2	239.1	243.4	251.0	235.3	243.0	240.2	237.2	237.1	242.8	249.8
Beef and veal	251.9	269.1	267.0	264.8	263.8	243.3	273 1	25/.0	245.0	241.3	238.1	237.5	242.8	250.0
Ground beef other than canned	260.3	275.3	272.9	269.4	266.9	266.6	272.9	261.0	270.0	200.2	200.3	205.0	269.6	2/4.1
Chuck roast	257.5	286.2	277.9	273.0	268.6	277.7	279.8	264.0	293.4	286 1	280.0	275.0	285.3	2/5.0
Round roast	222.2	244.2	242.7	243.4	240.9	243.2	248.8	225.9	244.5	242.1	245.5	243.8	246.2	248.2
Round steak	238.1	254.2	253.5	250.6	247.4	253.2	258.0	235.4	251.1	249.6	250.2	247.3	253.6	256.4
Sirioin steak	247.5	254.3	256.1	256.2	264.8	270.2	274.1	247.3	256.0	257.8	257.5	268.3	274.2	278.8
Other beef and veal (12/// = 100)	145.0	153.8	153.3	152.4	152.5	155.9	159.0	146.0	153.7	153.1	152.2	152.4	155.2	157.6
Bacon	207.4	202.6	197.1	191.8	190.4	200.3	212.0	207.6	203.0	196.7	191.8	190.5	200.7	212.0
Pork chops	192.5	187.6	182.1	177.4	173.1	186.3	201.5	195.0	189.4	183.9	177.7	175.6	189.1	205.6
Ham other than canned $(12/77 - 100)$	195.3	190.7	187.0	182.4	182.7	193.1	199.9	196.2	190.5	184.7	180.9	180.6	193.3	198.5
Sausage	263.8	257.6	255 1	87.4	246.0	92.1	98.4	94.9	94.7	88.7	85.4	86.1	90.5	96.3
Canned ham	221.1	219.3	213.5	210.0	240.2	249.2	202.5	263.2	259.8	258.0	253.9	249.6	252.0	263.6
Other pork (12/77 = 100)	118.3	113.6	110.7	107 1	106.3	115.1	123 1	118 4	1127	110.0	1065	210.1	207.6	219.1
Other meats	243.5	245.8	243.9	240.2	239.4	239.1	247.8	239.9	241.5	239.0	235.6	235.9	226.5	122.7
Frankfurters	241.9	244.6	240.6	234.8	230.9	229.1	245.8	242.6	242.8	239.3	234.0	231.0	230.5	244.1
Bologna, liverwurst, and salami (12/77 = 100)	134.3	135.5	134.9	133.5	133.4	135.1	138.5	129.7	132.2	131.1	129.5	130.7	131.4	134.5
Other lunchmeats (12/77 = 100)	122.7	121.8	121.9	121.4	121.0	120.6	123.7	120.8	118.8	118.4	117.6	118.1	118.8	121.5
Lamb and organ meats (12/77 = 100)	137.6	142.3	140.1	136.3	137.6	137.2	140.4	137.9	144.3	141.3	138.4	139.3	138.2	140.8
Froch whole objekce	177.1	180.7	177.2	176.5	177.9	187.9	197.5	174.3	177.4	176.0	173.8	175.7	186.0	195.1
Fresh and frozen shicken parts (12/77 100)	1/1.3	179.5	174.7	172.9	176.3	193.6	205.3	166.7	172.5	170.6	168.0	170.7	189.1	199.9
Other poultry $(12/77 - 100)$	112.1	110.8	114.5	114.4	115.7	120.9	127.8	111.1	116.3	114.7	112.7	115.6	120.8	128.1
Fish and seafood	306.5	322.6	325.3	224.5	220.1	117.0	120.3	122.1	117.7	118.1	117.7	116.1	116.6	119.1
Canned fish and seafood (12/77 = 100)	112.7	120.4	122.9	125.4	127.3	120.2	121 2	301.4	320.2	325.1	323.0	324.9	326.4	327.3
Fresh and frozen fish and seafood (12/77 = 100)	119.2	124.3	124.5	122.5	124.2	123.2	123.6	116.0	123.5	121.8	124.0	125./	127.3	129.3
Eggs	161.8	164.5	161.2	148.4	147.9	154.2	178.3	160.5	164.3	161.5	148.9	147.2	153.5	121.0
Dairy products	200 6	220.2	202.4	0000	007.0	000.0								
Fresh milk and cream $(12/77 = 100)$	1177	124 1	124.7	127.0	127.1	228.6	229.7	208.9	221.1	223.1	226.9	227.8	229.2	229.9
Fresh whole milk	192.8	204.0	204.9	208.5	208.6	209.4	209.8	193.0	203.8	204.9	208.4	127.4	128.0	128.0
Other fresh milk and cream (12/77 = 100)	117.4	122.7	123.5	125.9	126.0	126.9	127.1	117.7	123.1	124.0	126.8	127.2	107.5	107.6
Processed dairy products (12/77 = 100)	118.2	125.1	127.0	129.1	130.4	131.4	132.5	118.4	126.2	128.0	129.9	130.7	131.0	127.0
Butter	203.0	218.3	219.9	222.2	225.0	226.9	231.2	205.7	220.9	222.7	225.3	227.2	229.7	233.7
Cheese (12/77 = 100)	118.4	124.9	126.2	127.8	128.8	130.0	130.4	118.4	125.5	126.8	128.5	129.0	130.1	130.9
Other dainy products $(12/77 = 100)$	117.8	125.1	128.6	131.9	133.7	134.6	137.0	118.1	127.2	130.4	132.9	133.8	135.5	136.1
Outer daily products (12/17 = 100)	115.4	121.6	124.0	126.1	127.3	127.5	128.3	115.4	121.9	123.6	125.7	127.4	127.7	128.8
Fruits and vegetables	237.8	232.4	240.9	246.6	250 1	253.9	258.4	237.0	230.1	220.9	245 E	250.0	050.0	050.0
Fresh fruits and vegetables	247.5	229.9	245.2	255.1	260.0	265.8	273.0	247.9	200.1	235.0	245.5	250.2	253.0	250.0
Fresh fruits	286.9	245.4	257.0	264.7	273.9	282.7	302.3	288.9	245.4	255.6	263.8	274.9	2823	300 1
Apples	275.2	250.2	265.5	276.3	293.3	316.6	340.8	275.9	249.0	264.4	277.3	297.4	318.7	342.2
Bananas	202.3	243.9	242.8	249.7	242.6	232.6	234.0	202.5	240.8	243.5	244.5	237.7	228.7	228.0
Oranges	316.2	238.1	240.6	243.9	264.4	273.9	297.1	298.6	240.9	234.3	237.6	251.0	261.5	285.5
Other tresh truts $(12/77 = 100)$	157.5	127.4	136.5	140.8	143.7	147.5	158.5	163.5	126.9	135.7	140.9	146.5	148.7	157.9
Potatoes	210.7	215.5	234.2	246.2	247.0	250.1	245.6	211.0	211.3	235.2	246.0	249.4	249.8	244.4
Lettuce	211.4	203.3	201.7	210.1	246.3	310.5	327.1	212.1	200.3	198.2	205.6	244.4	309.4	325.4
Tomatoes	187.0	208.3	2/1.9	279.9	238.8	205.9	213.1	240.3	203.8	281.9	288.6	241.7	200.6	209.3
Other fresh vegetables (12/77 = 100)	113.8	125.4	134.6	140.1	140.2	137.1	126.2	185.6	197.2	197.7	228.4	228.6	210.8	199.6
Processed fruits and uppetables	0000	007	000						120.0	100.0	100.1	143.4	100.0	127.0
Processed fruite (12/77 = 100)	229.2	237.2	238.4	239.4	241.4	243.0	244.5	226.9	235.0	236.2	237.6	239.7	241.5	242.9
Frozen fruit and fruit injoe $(12/77 - 100)$	115.7	123.9	125.0	125.4	126.4	126.6	126.9	119.0	123.9	124.9	125.7	126.7	126.8	127.2
Fruit juices and other than frozen $(12/77 - 100)$	117.0	127.2	129.0	120.0	120.1	118.5	119.2	114.4	116.5	118.4	117.5	118.9	117.8	118.1
Canned and dried fruits (12/77 = 100)	125.0	125.5	126.3	127.5	129.0	120.0	130.1	122.0	127.4	128.4	129.8	130.4	130.9	130.7
Processed vegetables (12/77 = 100)	1107	114.6	114.5	115.2	116.2	117.6	118.8	109.5	113.0	1120.4	1120	115.0	129.5	130.7
	1 110.7		1.11		and the second states of the s			and the second second		1107	11.1.2		and the second sec	

23. Continued—Consumer Price Index—U.S. city average

[1967=100 unless otherwise specified]

			All Ur	ban Cons	umers		-	Url	ban Wage	Earners	and Cleri	cal Worke	ers (revis	ed)
General summary	1979			19	80			1979			19	80		
	Aug.	Mar.	Apr.	May	June	July	Aug.	Aug.	Mar.	Apr.	May	June	July	Aug.
FOOD AND BEVERAGES - Continued														
Food — Continued														
Food at home — Continued														
Envite and vegetables - Continued														
Cut corn and canned beans except lima (12/77=100)	113.9	116.0	115.6	116.0	116.6	118.1	119.4	112.0	115.4	114.3	114.2	115.2	117.0	118.1
Other canned and dried vegetables (12/77=100)	109.7	114.8	114.7	115.1	115.9	117.0	118.0	108.1	112.3	112.7	113.3	301.4	115.6 303.7	307.4
Other foods at home	2/2.8	292.0	295.1	326.8	301.8	353 1	355.1	279.9	314.1	320.8	328.0	342.9	354.6	356.6
Candy and chewing our (12/77=100)	119.4	123.8	126.3	128.9	130.5	131.6	132.6	119.0	123.9	126.5	129.0	130.8	132.0	133.2
Sugar and artificial sweeteners (12/77=100)	115.6	153.0	156.9	161.4	180.3	194.2	194.6	115.5	153.8	158.6	163.3	180.7	194.5	195.
Other sweets (12/77=100)	114.6	120.4	121.3	123.6	125.8	127.2	128.3	113.6	119.3	120.0	122.2	124.6	126.5	242
Fats and oils (12/77=100)	228.9	236.8	238.3	239.5	240.0	239.3	242.0	239.8	248.3	248.3	240.1	249.4	248.6	251.
Margarine	114.0	117.9	119.8	121.4	123.1	123.6	124.7	114.0	118.5	120.0	121.6	123.5	124.0	124.
Other fats, oils, and salad dressings (12/77=100)	119.7	123.7	124.8	125.8	124.9	124.6	126.2	119.6	123.4	124.4	125.5	124.9	125.0	125.7
Nonalcoholic beverages	361.8	387.1	390.3	393.0	395.9	397.4	402.8	360.0	384.4	389.2	392.3	395.1	396.2	403.
Cola drinks, excluding diet cola	239.2	259.3	261.7	265.4	267.8	268.4	2/5.2	236.9	255.4	122.0.1	1203.2	125.2	200.0	128
Carbonated drinks, including diet cola (12/77=100)	116.2	123.5	125.6	433.5	432.4	435.3	433.9	406.1	432.3	430.4	430.0	429.2	432.3	430.
Freeze dried and instant coffee	349.5	381.7	380.2	381.9	380.2	381.0	380.3	349.4	380.3	379.2	380.4	378.7	379.2	379.
Other noncarbonated drinks (12/77=100)	114.2	118.6	120.7	120.7	121.8	122.1	123.1	113.0	118.1	119.6	120.0	120.8	121.1	122.
Other prepared foods	210.5	224.1	226.6	229.1	230.9	232.3	234.9	210.4	224.0	226.6	229.6	230.8	232.1	234.
Canned and packaged soup (12/77=100)	113.2	118.0	120.5	122.0	122.9	123.3	123.7	113.3	117.0	120.0	122.5	123.7	123.5	131
Frozen prepared foods (12/7/=100)	120.7	120.2	124.8	126.1	127.2	128.3	129.3	116.4	125.3	126.0	127.3	127.9	128.5	129.
Seasonings olives pickles and relish (12/77=100)	115.9	124.9	125.2	125.4	127.5	128.0	129.4	115.4	124.0	124.5	125.5	127.3	127.3	127.
Other condiments (12/77=100)	115.2	126.0	127.1	127.9	128.8	130.2	131.8	116.2	126.6	128.1	129.2	129.9	131.6	133.
Miscellaneous prepared foods (12/77=100)	116.3	122.2	124.4	127.6	128.6	129.3	130.9	116.3	122.2	123.7	127.0	128.3	128.9	130.
Other canned and packaged prepared foods (12/77=100)	116.8	122.2	123.1	124.6	125.2	126.0	127.5	116.7	122.0	123.3	124.3	124.1	125.4	120.
Food away from home	246.5	260.9	263.0	264.6	266.6	267.8	269.5	248.3	262.7	265.3	267.6	269.9	271.2	272.
Lunch (12/77=100)	120.3	127.0	127.9	128.5	129.3	130.0	131.2	121.3	127.6	128.9	129.9	130.7	131.1	131.
Dinner (12/77=100)	119.8	127.0	127.9	128.7	129.5	130.1	130.7	120.5	128.1	129.1	130.5	131.0	132.0	132.
Other meals and snacks (12/77=100)	117.8	124.9	126.4	127.4	129.0	129.3	130.0	119.1	126.2	127.7	128.6	131.1	131.0	132.
Alcoholic beverages	173.3	181.7	183.9	185.4	186.4	187.2	188.7	173.6	182.8	185.0	186.9	188.0	189.2	190.
Aleshalis bouerages at home (12/77 - 100)	1127	118.2	119.9	120.9	1214	122.1	123.1	113.4	119.3	120.8	122.0	122.7	123.6	124.
Beer and ale	170.6	182.0	185.9	187.7	188.2	189.2	190.1	170.3	181.7	185.1	187.5	188.8	189.7	191.
Whiskey	128.4	132.8	133.4	133.9	134.7	135.2	136.9	129.9	134.4	134.6	135.1	135.4	136.6	137.
Wine	196.0	204.1	206.6	208.5	211.5	212.6	213.9	199.4	208.4	209.8	212.0	213.7	217.4	218.
Other alcoholic beverages (12/77 = 100)	105.4	107.4	108.2	109.0	108.7	109.0	123.5	112.8	1191	120.5	121.7	122.5	122.9	123.
Alconolic beverages away from home (12/77 = 100)	114.0	120.0	120.0	121.5	122.0	122.0	120.0	112.0	1	120.0				
HOUSING	231.5	254.5	257.9	261.7	266.7	265.1	265.8	231.5	254.4	257.8	261.7	266.9	265.1	265.
Shelter	243.9	271.6	276.0	280.2	286.3	282.9	283.3	244.5	272.7	277.2	281.6	288.0	284.3	284.1
Rent, residential	177.5	186.6	187.0	188.9	191.1	192.1	193.2	177.3	186.4	186.9	188.7	190.8	191.8	193.
Other rental costs	238.2	258.6	260.7	261.9	264.2	265.7	267.5	237.6	258.6	260.5	261.7	263.9	265.5	267.
Lodging while out of town	251.2	276.8	279.3	279.9	282.1	283.8	286.4	249.5	275.7	278.0	278.6	280.8	282.3	285.
Tenants' insurance (12/77=100)	112.0	118.6	119.9	121.2	122.6	123.1	122.2	112.6	119.3	120.1	121.4	122.7	123.3	122.
Homoowporship	267.6	302.0	307.7	312.9	320.4	315.4	315.4	268.9	304.0	310.0	315.4	323.4	317.9	318.
Home purchase	226.9	244.0	246.5	249.7	252.6	253.9	258.1	227.0	243.8	246.5	249.8	253.0	254.3	258.
Financing, taxes, and insurance	316.4	379.9	390.6	399.7	416.1	399.6	393.6	318.7	384.1	395.3	404.9	422.0	405.0	398.
Property insurance	314.6	335.7	338.9	344.9	351.8	355.5	355.9	314.2	337.4	340.4	346.4	352.7	357.2	357.
Property taxes	183.1	188.2	188.4	187.0	538.0	5122	501.8	387.4	484.1	500.9	515.6	541.5	514.6	504.
Contracted mortgage interest cost	167.7	194.4	199.4	202.4	210.3	199.0	192.0	167.8	194.8	199.8	202.8	210.8	199.6	192.
Maintenance and repairs	259.7	278.8	282.9	284.9	285.9	287.6	288.5	260.8	278.2	281.7	283.4	283.8	285.1	287.
Maintenance and repair services	281.8	303.2	307.9	310.1	310.6	312.1	312.4	284.2	303.5	307.7	309.1	308.5	309.0	312.
Maintenance and repair commodities	208.1	221.4	224.3	225.8	228.0	230.3	232.7	209.0	222.3	224.3	226.5	228.8	231.3	233.
Paint and wallpaper, supplies, tools, and	114.2	125.0	126.6	128.7	131.3	133.4	134.4	115.0	123.6	126.0	128.7	130.9	132.2	133.
lumber awnings plass and masonry (12/77=100)	113.7	117.6	118.8	118.0	118.9	119.1	120.1	114.8	119.9	119.7	118.4	118.5	119.3	120.
Plumbing, electrical, heating, and cooling														
supplies (12/77=100)	110.8	116.4	119.1	119.3	119.9	121.1	122.7	111.5	119.3	120.0	122.0	123.8	125.9 122.5	126.
	247.2	268.0	270.5	275.9	282.2	285.5	286.8	247.7	268.7	271.0	276.4	283.0	286.1	287
Fuel and Outer Concession	200 7	322.0	327.0	346.4	355.8	360.8	362.5	299.8	333.9	337.6	346.0	355.8	360.3	362
Fuels	438.6	553.4	556.4	556.0	558.7	560.4	561.5	439.0	554.1	557.1	557.1	559.8	561.9	562
Fuel oil	458.2	577.9	580.7	580.4	583.2	585.1	586.1	458.5	577.9	580.7	580.5	583.3	585.6	586
Other fuels (6/78 = 100)	109.3	138.3	139.6	139.4	140.1	140.4	140.8	109.4	139.5	140.8	141.3	141.9	142.1	142
Gas (piped) and electricity	266.5	284.0	288.0	298.2	308.8	314.3	316.1	266.5	283.9	287.6	297.5	308.5	313.5	269
Electricity	229.2	237.9	241.5	248.1	201.9	371.8	375.2	308.5	342 6	346.4	362.3	364.9	368.6	372
Utility (piped) gas	1 309.7	1 343.8	1 347.9	1 004.0	1 000.7	1 0/1.0	1 010.2	1 000.5	1 046.0	1 010.4	001.0	1		

23. Continued—Consumer Price Index—U.S. city average [1967 = 100 unless otherwise specified]

	-	1	All U	rban Con	sumers			U	rban Wag	e Earners	s and Cle	rical Wor	kers (revi	ised)
General summary	1979			1	980			1979				1980		
	Aug.	Mar.	Apr.	May	June	July	Aug.	Aug.	Mar.	Apr.	May	June	July	Aug.
HOUSING - Continued														
Fuel and other utilities - Continued														
Other utilities and public services	159.8	161.9	162.3	163.1	164.9	165.9	166.5	159.8	161.9	162.3	163.1	164.0	165.0	166
Telephone services	132.5	133.2	133.4	134.0	135.5	136.3	136.5	132.5	133.1	133.2	133.9	135.4	136.1	136.4
Local charges $(12/77 = 100)$	100.5	103.3	103.5	104.3	105.3	105.4	105.4	100.6	103.2	103.3	104.0	105.1	105.2	105.2
Interstate toll calls $(12/77 = 100)$	98.5	97.4	97.3	97.3	99.5	101.6	101.9	98.5	97.5	97.4	97.4	99.5	101.6	101.9
Water and sewerage maintenance	244.6	253.9	255.2	256.5	259.3	261.3	99.9 263.5	244.6	98.6	98.9	99.3	99.5	99.3	99.7
Household furnishings and operations	191.2	201.3	203.0	204.2	205.5	206.2	207.2	189.8	199.2	200.7	201.9	202.9	203.5	204.
Housefurnishings	100.0	174.5	1707							200.1	201.0	202.0	200.0	204.
Textile housefurnishings	172.8	1/1.5	1/2./	1/3.4	1/4.6	174.7	175.2	163.0	170.4	171.5	172.2	172.9	172.9	173.
Household linens (12/77 = 100)	103.6	113.9	114.8	114.4	116.0	114.6	114 1	103.7	113.2	113.8	113.4	189.6	188.7	189.
Curtains, drapes, slipcovers, and sewing materials $(12/77 = 100)$.	112.0	119.7	119.9	119.3	120.1	120.2	121.9	112.7	118.2	118.9	119.0	120.5	121.0	122
Furniture and bedding	177.1	189.2	190.9	191.9	193.6	192.8	192.6	177.3	187.9	189.4	190.1	190.8	189.7	189.
Sofas (12/77 - 100)	114.0	122.5	124.3	125.0	126.2	125.4	125.8	112.7	119.2	120.9	121.7	123.1	122.6	123.0
Living room chairs and tables $(12/77 = 100)$	100.3	110.9	111.6	111.4	113.0	112.2	111.3	108.2	112.7	111.8	112.0	112.7	111.7	110.4
Other furniture (12/77 = 100)	112.7	122.6	124.0	125.6	127.1	126.6	125.7	1125	121.3	123 1	112.0	1111./	111.3	112.
Appliances including TV and sound equipment	135.8	138.8	139.3	139.9	140.2	140.5	141.4	135.5	139.0	139.7	140.2	140 1	140 1	140
Television and sound equipment (12/77 = 100)	104.3	105.7	105.7	105.7	105.6	105.8	106.6	104.0	105.5	105.4	105.4	105.2	105.0	105.
lelevision	102.8	104.0	104.0	104.1	104.2	104.4	105.0	101.9	102.9	102.8	102.8	103.1	102.7	103.
Household appliances	100.8	108.3	108.3	108.3	107.9	108.2	109.1	106.7	108.7	108.6	108.7	108.0	108.0	107.9
Refrigerators and home freezer	154.6	157.9	160.6	162.0	163.2	163.6	164.0	157.0	161.4	162.3	163.4	163.6	163.8	164.5
Laundry equipment (12/77 = 100)	110.7	116.8	117.5	118.2	119.1	119.6	120.2	110.2	116.6	117.8	118.5	118.9	100.4	108.0
Other household appliances (12/77 = 100)	108.6	111.2	111.5	112.1	112.7	112.6	113.3	107.1	110.7	111.6	111.8	111.7	112.1	112.0
Stoves, dishwashers, vacuums, and sewing machines (12/77 = 100)	108.5	110.9	110.0	110.3	111.2	111.6	111.8	107.7	111.1	111.6	111.9	111.4	112.8	111.4
Office machines, small electric appliances,	100.0												112.0	
Other household equipment $(12/77 - 100)$	108.8	111.6	113.1	114.2	114.4	113.8	115.1	106.4	110.2	111.6	111.7	112.0	111.3	112.6
Floor and window coverings, infants' laundry	110.7	117.3	110.4	119.0	120.2	121.3	121.7	110.6	116.0	117.0	117.8	118.5	119.7	120.5
cleaning and outdoor equipment (12/77 = 100)	109.5	116.4	118.2	117.6	120.2	120.8	1217	105.9	110.8	1121	112.2	114.2	1147	115 0
Clocks, lamps, and decor items (12/77 = 100)	107.1	114.9	115.6	117.6	118.8	119.0	119.8	106.7	112.3	112.6	114.4	115.9	116.6	117.1
Tableware, serving pieces, and nonelectric											1		110.0	
kitchenware $(12/77 = 100)$	115.1	122.6	123.4	124.1	125.4	126.4	125.8	113.9	120.8	121.4	121.7	122.2	124.0	125.1
Lawr equipment, power tools, and other hardware $(12/77 = 100)$.	108.5	112.2	113.5	114.0	113.7	115.9	117.1	111.5	115.0	115.9	117.4	117.6	118.7	119.6
Housekeeping supplies	223.4	238.0	240.7	243.6	245.4	247.3	249.9	221.6	235.5	238 1	241.2	243.0	245.2	247.0
Soaps and detergents	212.5	232.1	233.2	235.0	234.9	237.2	240.1	210.9	230.0	231.1	232.1	232.3	234.4	236.8
Other laundry and cleaning products (12/77 = 100)	112.0	117.0	117.6	119.8	121.1	122.3	124.4	111.9	116.9	118.1	119.5	120.8	122.3	123.9
Cleansing and tollet tissue, paper towels and napkins $(12/77 = 100)$	116.2	123.9	126.2	128.6	129.4	130.2	132.2	116.3	125.8	128.1	130.8	131.5	132.7	135.1
Miscellaneous household products $(12/77 = 100)$	112.0	113.8	115.6	116.3	116.9	117.6	117.4	108.5	113.6	114.9	116.0	116.5	117.9	117.4
Lawn and garden supplies (12/77 = 100)	113.8	121.4	123.8	125.2	124.4	125.4	127.7	111.3	118.3	119.2	120.9	122.1	123.5	125.5
Housekeeping services	251.6	263.6	266.0	267.6	260.1	070 4	074.0	050.4	7 000					
Postage	257.3	257.3	257.3	257.3	257.3	257.3	257.3	250.4	262.7	264.3	265.6	267.0	268.1	269.0
Moving, storage, freight, household laundry, and						20110	201.0	LOTIL	LOTIE	201.0	201.0	201.0	201.0	200.1
drycleaning services (12/77 = 100)	117.3	125.4	128.3	129.4	130.5	131.0	131.3	117.7	126.1	127.8	128.5	129.2	129.7	129.7
Appliance and furniture repair $(12/77 = 100)$	110.7	115.8	116.5	117.2	117.7	118.7	119.4	110.3	116.0	116.2	116.7	117.4	117.8	118.3
APPAREL AND UPKEEP	166.3	176.0	177.3	177.5	177.2	176.2	178.6	166.2	175.1	176.1	176.8	176.0	175.4	177.9
Apparel commodities	160.6	169.2	170.2	170.1	169.7	168.5	171.0	160.7	168.7	169.5	169.8	168.8	168.0	170.7
Apparel commodities less footwear	157.7	166.2	167.2	166.9	166.4	165.0	167.8	157.9	165.7	166.3	166.4	165.3	164.4	167.3
Men's and boys'	159.6	165.6	166.9	168.0	166.8	165.9	167.9	161.1	166.0	167.3	168.9	168.1	167.2	168.4
Suits short costs and jackets $(12/77 - 100)$	100.6	104.3	105.0	105.7	104.8	103.9	105.6	101.9	104.4	105.2	106.3	105.5	104.7	106.1
Coats and jackets $(12/77 = 100)$	97.1	99.9	101.1	101.2	99.7	97.1	99.2	96.2	96.4	97.3	97.1	95.4	93.2	95.2
Furnishings and special clothing (12/77 = 100)	109.3	115.0	116.6	97.3	90.3	90.0	90.7	99.2	96.9	97.0	97.2	97.1	97.1	98.0
Shirts (12/77 = 100)	103.2	111.9	111.5	112.2	110.8	110.7	114.9	104.9	112.0	114.2	113.7	112.4	115./	115.1
Dungarees, jeans, and trousers (12/77 = 100)	98.1	98.7	99.4	100.2	99.5	99.2	99.5	101.9	102.7	104.2	105.2	105.0	104.8	105.0
Boys' $(12/77 = 100)$	103.3	107.5	108.9	109.7	109.5	110.0	109.5	102.7	107.5	108.7	109.6	109.8	110.0	108.6
Furnishings $(12/77 - 100)$	101.1	102.5	104.4	105.2	104.6	104.4	106.0	100.3	105.0	107.2	107.7	107.8	107.4	107.1
Suits, trousers, sport coats, and jackets (12/77 = 100)	103.1	109.8	110.7	114.3	114.6	114.7	114.6	107.0	100.0	111.6	112.7	113.3	113.3	112.9
Women's and girls'	151.3	155.5	155.9	154.1	153.0	150.6	153.7	150.5	154.9	154.7	154.1	151.2	149.0	108.2
Women's (12/77 = 100)	100.7	103.8	103.9	102.4	101.7	99.8	101.7	100.4	103.7	103.3	103.0	100.8	99.6	102.5
Coats and jackets	170.4	167.6	168.3	162.0	158.1	158.8	164.0	173.1	167.0	167.8	162.4	155.2	157.5	170.2
Dresses	162.8	169.3	167.8	163.9	163.3	153.9	158.3	152.8	157.5	154.1	154.5	152.5	146.2	151.1
Underwear nightwear and basian (12/77 = 100)	96.3	99.8	101.1	100.3	99.5	96.8	98.5	97.7	101.0	101.6	101.2	99.2	97.1	99.7
Suits (12/77 = 100)	80.0	01.6	00.4	98.0	112.1	113.2	114.2	107.0	111.5	111.7	112.2	112.3	112.8	114.3
Girls (12/77 = 100)	100.5	101.8	102.6	1027	102 1	102.0	104.5	91.0	100.2	98.2	98.2	91.7	90.1	91.3
Coats, jackets, dresses, and suits (12/77 = 100)	100.8	98.9	99.8	99.4	98.1	98.9	103.4	95.9	95.7	96.8	95.3	93.8	95.6	99.5
Separates and sportswear (12/77 = 100)	98.3	100.8	101.4	101.8	100.7	99.7	102.0	99.7	99.8	100.5	99.9	98.5	98.2	100.7
oncerwear, nightwear, nosiery, and	1044	100.4	100 5	110.0										
	104.1	100.4	109.5	110.0	111.4	111.4	111.2	101.8	107.8	108.9	110.0	110.9	110.4	109.6

84 gitized for FRASER ps://fraser.stlouisfed.org deral Reserve Bank of St. Louis

23. Continued-Consumer Price Index-U.S. city average

[1967 = 100 unless otherwise specified]

	-		All Urb	an consi	uners			Und	an maye	Luinei 3	and orong	al troide		
General summary	1979			19	80			1979			19	30		
	Aug.	Mar.	Apr.	May	June	July	Aug.	Aug.	Mar.	Apr.	May	June	July	Aug
APPAREL AND UPKEEP Continued														
Apparel commodities — Continued														
Apparel commodities less footwear - Continued							1.1.1							050
Infants' and toddlers'	221.2	231.4	234.3	237.4	240.9	243.0	243.9	224.2	237.3	241.1	242.8	246.8	249.2	252.0
Other apparel commodities	169.8	199.9	201.9	202.7	205.3	205.5	110.2	96.8	107.2	106.9	108.6	110.9	108.8	110.
Sewing materials and notions (12/7/ = 100)	113.0	138.6	140.1	140.4	142.2	142.8	146.5	116.1	137.3	138.1	136.3	138.6	139.4	142.
Feetuner	177.5	187.0	188.3	189.3	189.0	189.5	190.3	176.9	186.3	188.1	189.3	188.9	189.3	190.
Men's (12/77 = 100)	114.5	119.0	119.7	120.0	121.3	121.1	121.3	115.2	120.9	122.4	122.7	123.6	123.2	123.
Boys' and girls' (12/77 = 100)	112.0	119.5	119.5	121.3	121.0	123.5	122.8	111.4	119.5	119.5	121.5	121.3	123.1	123
Womens' (12/77 = 100)	108.1	114.2	115.6	115.8	114.6	113.8	115.4	100.5	110.9	112.0	112.0			
Apparel services	207.7	225.9	230.0	232.2	233.6	234.4	235.4	206.7	223.5	226.0	230.8	231.8	232.6	138
Laundry and drycleaning other than coin operated $(12/77 = 100)$	122.1	132.5	135.5	136.9	137.5	126.3	136.3	111.5	119.6	120.4	125.0	123.9	124.7	125
Other apparel services $(12/77 = 100)$	111.0	122.1	040.0	040.0	040.7	051.0	050.7	220.7	244.3	2477	249.9	250.6	251.9	253
TRANSPORTATION	219.6	243.7	246.8	249.0	249.7	251.0	202.7	220.7	244.0	241.1	240.0	200.0	054.5	050
Private	220.4	244.0	247.0	249.2	249.7	250.5	251.6	221.2	244.6	248.0	250.1	250.8	251.5	202.
New cars	166.6	175.0	177.0	178.9	178.5	179.2	181.1	166.3	175.4	177.7	179.6	179.4	180.0	181.
Used cars	207.0	195.2	196.7	199.3	200.7	376.7	375.9	207.0	372.7	376.3	377.1	377.6	377.8	377
Gasoline	245.7	260.9	264.1	266.1	267.3	269.0	271.1	246.0	261.7	264.3	266.1	268.0	269.7	272
Body work (12/77 = 100)	118.6	127.3	129.1	130.6	131.4	131.8	133.0	118.6	127.2	128.4	129.7	130.8	131.3	132
Automobile drive train, brake, and miscellaneous		1044	1001	106.6	107.5	128.1	120.0	118.2	126.1	127.4	127.8	128.8	129.9	131
mechanical repair (12/77 = 100)	117.4	124.1	120.1	125.9	126.1	127.3	128.4	116.0	122.8	124.2	125.4	126.2	127.2	128
Power plant repair $(12/77 = 100)$	116.0	123.5	124.4	125.1	125.9	126.4	127.3	116.3	124.0	124.6	125.4	126.2	126.6	127
Other private transportation	200.5	216.5	221.3	224.5	225.0	224.5	224.7	201.0	217.1	223.1	226.7	227.3	226.7	220
Other private transportation commodities	175.1	192.7	194.1	195.3	195.5	197.7	198.3	1/6.1	193.2	129.1	131.5	133.6	135.5	130
Motor oil, coolant, and other products (12/77 = 100)	112.2	120.4	129.0	125.4	125.3	126.6	127.0	114.1	124.7	126.2	126.5	126.3	128.4	12
Tires	154.7	170.1	171.2	172.6	172.3	174.9	175.9	156.1	172.5	174.9	175.6	174.9	178.9	179
Other parts and equipment (12/77 = 100)	116.7	127.2	127.1	126.5	126.8	126.6	126.2	116.8	124.4	125.1	125.0	125.4	125.7	125
Other private transportation services	209.1	225.0	230.6	234.5	235.0	233.8	233.9	209.6	225.7	232.0	230.0	248.2	248.7	249
Automobile insurance	232.3	137.4	148.6	155.0	153.7	149.7	148.2	116.4	135.2	147.8	153.8	153.5	149.1	14
Automobile infance charges $(12/77 = 100)$	107.5	110.8	111.5	112.1	112.9	113.3	114.0	108.1	111.6	112.2	113.1	114.0	114.7	11
State registration	144.0	145.3	146.4	146.4	146.4	146.4	146.5	143.9	145.5	146.5	146.5	146.5	146.5	14
Drivers' license (12/77 = 100)	104.5	104.7	104.7	104.7	104.7	104.9	104.9	104.3	120.2	120.3	121.0	122.1	123.3	12
Vehicle inspection $(12/77 = 100)$	114.0	122.0	122.7	124.0	126.1	126.8	128.3	119.3	127.0	127.8	130.0	132.7	134.6	136
Public	200.8	232 1	235.9	239.5	242.2	250.5	261.5	200.6	226.1	229.7	232.9	234.9	245.8	256
Public	200.0	050.0	064.0	070.0	075.5	276.0	280.8	205.2	259.3	263.9	270.0	275.4	275.5	287
Airline fare	205.2	259.9	204.3	293.6	293.8	294.2	297.9	263.0	290.2	291.0	293.4	293.6	293.9	298
Intercity bus fare	190.5	200.8	203.0	204.6	204.4	222.6	234.1	190.2	198.6	200.8	202.0	201.9	221.8	233
Taxi fare	224.7	245.6	256.4	259.9	262.0	263.3	266.2	230.3	251.2	261.6	265.7	267.6	269.2	27
Intercity train fare	220.6	237.2	237.3	250.0	255.2	255.3	255.4	220.8	237.1	231.2	201.1	200.0	200.4	20.
MEDICAL CARE	241.8	260.2	262.0	263.4	264.7	266.6	268.4	242.6	260.9	263.1	264.9	265.9	267.8	270
Medical care commodities	155.0	163.5	164.9	166.4	167.9	169.1	170.2	156.2	164.4	166.0	167.2	168.5	169.7	170
Proportion drugs	142.8	150.9	152.2	153.5	154.8	155.6	156.4	143.7	152.0	153.5	154.6	155.8	156.6	157
Anti-infective drugs (12/77 = 100)	112.5	117.9	118.5	118.7	120.5	121.2	120.5	113.2	120.1	120.4	120.7	122.0	122.3	12
Tranquillizers and sedatives (12/77 = 100)	114.6	122.2	122.9	124.1	124.9	125.5	126.1	114.8	122.2	122.7	123.5	124.2	124.7	11
Circulatories and diuretics (12/77 = 100)	109.3	113.3	114.2	114.6	115.1	115.4	110.0	109.7	114.7	115.5	110.0	111.0		1
prescription and supplies (12/77 = 100)	120.3	130.0	131.3	133.2	134.3	135.5	138.2	120.4	129.6	131.3	132.4	133.7	134.8	13
Pain and symptom control drugs (12/77 = 100)	113.7	120.5	121.4	122.9	124.2	124.5	125.2	115.2	121.3	122.6	124.2	125.5	126.1	12
Supplements, cough and cold preparations, and receive to a constraint $(12/77 - 100)$	110.3	115.5	117.1	118.2	118.6	119.3	119.9	111.7	116.5	118.5	119.5	120.2	120.9	12
respiratory agents (12/17 = 100)				1105	100.0	1017	1226	1125	118.0	119.2	120.1	121.0	122'0	12
Nonprescription drugs and medical supplies (12/77 = 100)	111.4	117.3	118.4	119.5	118.2	118.7	119.9	108.9	114.5	115.3	116.3	117.3	117.8	11
Eyeglasses (12/77 = 100)	172.2	182.2	184.4	186.0	187.3	189.1	190.4	174.3	183.0	185.4	186.9	188.4	190.1	19
Nonprescription medical equipment and supplies (12/77 = 100)	110.4	115.1	115.3	116.5	117.5	119.1	119.9	111.3	116.1	116.3	117.1	117.5	119.0	11
Medical care services	260.6	281.5	283.4	284.7	285.9	288.0	289.8	261.2	282.2	284.5	286.3	287.3	289.3	29
Professional services	228.9	245.3	248.2	250.3	251.8	253.5	254.7	231.1	247.8	251.2	253.5	255.1	256.1	25
Physicians' services	246.6	262.3	264.8	267.5	269.2	270.9	272.2	248.7	266.2	269.7	272.3	273.9	275.4	27
Dental services	216.0	234.1	237.2	238.8	240.3	241.1	242.2	219.0	235.7	238.9	121.6	122.2	123.6	12
Other professional services (12/77 = 100)	111.9	119.5	121.7	122.2	122.9	125.0	120.0	111.5	113.3	121.1	121.0			
Other medical care services	299.0	325.3	325.8	326.3	327.2	329.7	332.3	298.1	324.4	325.3	326.5	326.5	329.8	33
Hospital and other medical services (12/77 = 100)	118.6	128.8	129.7	410.1	412.6	418.2	424.0	371.7	401.2	403.6	406.7	408.5	414.9	42
Hospital room	014.2	107.0	128.8	120.5	130.6	132.8	135 1	1167	126.9	128.0	129.1	129.7	132.3	1:

23. Continued—Consumer Price Index—U.S. city average [1967=100 unless otherwise specified]

			All U	rban Cor	sumers			1	Jrban Wa	ge Earner	s and Cle	rical Wor	kers (rev	ised)
General summary	1979			1	980			1979				1980		
	Aug.	Mar.	Apr.	May	June	July	Aug.	Aug.	Mar.	Apr.	May	June	July	Aug.
ENTERTAINMENT	190.2	200.6	202.5	204.0	205.3	206.6	208.0	188.0	100.5	201.2	202.4	004.0		
Entertainment commodities	191.0	203.4	205.7	207.0	208.3	209.3	210.8	188.4	200.2	201.3	202.4	204.0	204.4	205.6
Reading materials (12/77 = 100)	1111	119.4	120.1	121.5	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.4	200.0	202.0	200.4	204.5	204.0	200.4
Newspapers	2140	232.4	234.8	237.2	220.0	240.0	123.2	110.7	119.1	119.7	121.1	121.8	122.5	122.7
Magazines, periodicals, and books (12/77 = 100)	113.7	120.8	120.8	122.4	123.1	124.1	1240.7	113.5	120.7	120.6	236.4	238.2	239.3	239.9
Sporting goods and equipment (12/77 = 100)	110.4	117.2	118.7	118.5	118.6	119.5	120.9	105.4	1124	114.1	1140	1140		115.0
Sport vehicles (12/77 = 100)	111.3	118.7	120.6	119.9	119.8	1207	122.2	103.4	110.8	112.0	1105	114.2	114.2	115.3
Indoor and warm weather sport equipment (12/77 = 100)	105.9	109.5	111.3	112.0	1111	1124	1135	104.7	100.0	110.5	112.5	112.0	112.5	113.5
Bicycles	163.8	177.2	178.6	179.7	180.6	181.6	183.6	162.0	177.9	170.0	190.0	110.2	110.6	1111.7
Other sporting goods and equipment (12/77 = 100)	108.6	112.9	113.1	113.7	114.6	115.0	116.5	102.9	113.4	114.0	114.6	181.4	181.4	183.2
Toys, hobbies, and other entertainment (12/77 = 100)	110.2	116.9	118.4	119,4	120.6	121.0	121.8	110.2	116.4	118.0	110.1	110.0	110.1	100.0
Toys, hobbies, and music equipment (12/77 = 100)	110.0	115.7	117.3	118.5	119.6	119.0	120.4	109.8	114.9	116.5	115.0	117.0	119.1	120.3
Photographic supplies and equipment (12/77 = 100)	108.2	118.2	120.1	120.8	121.8	122.8	122.5	107.6	116.9	118.0	120.5	121 1	100.4	117.8
Pet supplies and expense (12/77 = 100)	111.8	118.2	119.2	120.1	121.7	123.2	123.9	112.6	119.0	120.0	120.9	121.4	122.4	121.7
Entertainment services	189.4	197.0	198.5	200.1	201.4	203.1	204.3	190.7	199.1	199.9	201.8	204.3	204.8	205.2
Fees for participant sports $(12/77 = 100)$	1100	1175		1000										
Admissions $(12/77 = 100)$	112.3	117.5	119.0	120.2	120.9	122.1	123.2	112.3	118.8	119.3	120.5	121.5	121.9	121.8
Other entertainment services (12/77 = 100)	109.7	113.2	118.7	118.8	120.4	121.3	122.1	115.9	120.0	120.1	121.0	123.2	123.2	124.2
OTHER GOODS AND SERVICES	197.0	208.9	209.8	211.2	212.5	213.5	214.5	10.9	208.2	200.2	116.5	118.2	118.8	119.1
Tobacco products	190.0	100.4	100.0	000.4	000.4		214.0	107.2	200.5	209.2	210.0	212.1	212.9	214.0
Circument	109.9	198.4	198.8	200.4	203.4	203.8	204.5	190.1	198.6	198.9	200.5	203.6	204.0	204.4
Cigarettes	192.6	201.2	201.4	202.9	206.0	206.4	207.0	193.1	201.6	201.6	203.2	206.4	206.8	207.0
Other tobacco products and smoking accessories $(12/77 = 100)$	111.1	116.3	117.6	119.0	120.2	120.7	122.0	110.0	115.7	117.2	118.5	119.5	120.3	121.7
Personal care	197.5	208.1	209.7	211.6	212.4	214.4	215.4	197.6	207.7	209.5	210.9	211.8	213.1	214.7
Toilet goods and personal care appliances	1897	200.2	201.8	204.1	205 1	207.0	000.0							
Products for the hair, hairpieces and wigs (12/77 = 100)	1111	116.6	117.0	120.0	1203.1	207.9	209.0	190.2	199.6	201.8	203.9	204.5	206.6	208.8
Dental and shaving products (12/77 = 100)	113.6	119.2	120.5	121.0	120.7	121.4	121.7	110.5	114.9	117.9	120.0	119.7	120.5	122.5
Cosmetics, bath and nail preparations, manicure			120.0	121.0	122.0	124.0	125.2	112.1	118.4	119.3	118.8	120.4	122.0	123.6
and eye makeup implements $(12/77 = 100)$	108.9	115.1	115.7	116.5	116.7	119.1	119.6	110.0	114.8	115.2	116.2	116.6	117.9	118.5
Other toriet goods and small personal care appliances (12/77 = 100)	107.6	114.7	115.4	117.4	117.6	119.4	119.9	109.7	116.6	117.2	119.0	119.1	120.4	121.5
Personal care services	205.0	215.7	217.2	218.8	219.6	220.9	2217	205.0	215.8	217.2	210.1	210.1	010.0	000 7
Beauty parlor services for women	206.1	217.9	218.6	220.4	220.6	222 1	222 5	206.7	213.0	217.2	210.1	219.1	219.8	220.7
Haircuts and other barber shop services for men $(12/77 = 100)$	115.1	119.7	121.7	122.2	123.4	123.9	124.8	114.2	120.1	121.5	122.0	122.8	123.0	123.4
Personal and educational expenses	210.8	228.3	228.7	229.2	229.5	229.9	231.4	211.2	228.2	228.7	229.4	229.8	230.3	231.8
School books and supplies	192.6	206.9	207.1	207.1	207.1	207.2	007.7	105.0						20110
Personal and educational services	215.4	233.6	234.0	2347	225.0	207.2	207.7	195.2	210.7	210.9	210.9	210.9	210.9	211.5
Tuition and other school fees	109.4	118.6	118.6	118.6	118.6	110 7	110.4	215.5	232.9	233.4	234.2	234.8	235.4	237.1
College tuition (12/77 = 100)	109.7	117.9	117.9	117.9	117.0	119.0	119.4	109.4	118.7	118./	118.7	118.7	118.8	119.5
Elementary and high school tuition (12/77 = 100)	108.3	120.9	120.9	120.0	120.0	120.0	10.7	109.7	117.9	117.9	117.9	117.9	118.0	118.7
Personal expenses (12/77 = 100)	114.8	125.0	126.1	127.8	128.7	129.5	130.7	114.4	120.7	120.7	120.7	120.7	120.7	121.8
Special indexes:														120.0
Gasoline motor oil coolant and other products	000.0	005.5												
Insurance and finance	288.2	365.5	369.3	370.1	370.9	371.5	370.7	289.5	367.2	370.8	371.6	372.2	372.5	371.8
Utilities and public transportation	210.1	320.3	335.2	342.6	353.8	342.3	338.3	278.3	325.6	335.2	342.8	354.0	342.6	338.7
Housekeeping and home maintenance services	274.4	230.9	233.4	238.9	244.8	249.1	251.9	217.4	230.2	232.6	237.9	244.0	248.4	251.2
	2/4.4 1	292.0 1	295.7 1	297.6 1	298.6	300.1 I	300.8	275.3	292.0	295.1	296.5	296.7	297.5	299.7

24. Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers: Cross classification of region and population size class by expenditure category and commodity and service group

[December 1977 = 100]

	S (1.25 i	ize class /	A nore)	S (385,00	lize class 1 00 - 1.250 m	B nillion)	S (75,	ize class (000 - 385,0	; 00)	S (75	ize class I ,000 or les) is)
Category and group		1980			1980			1980			1980	
	Apr.	June	Aug.	Apr.	June	Aug.	Apr.	June	Aug.	Apr.	June	Aug.
						North	neast					
EXPENDITURE CATEGORY												
All items	125.0	127.1	129.1	129.0	131.0	134.8	132.7	135.6	138.3	127.4	131.0	134.1
Food and beverages	124.5	126.2	129.5	127.1	128.6	131.0	128.8	130.5	133.4	125.2	127.0	130.4
Housing	126.1	129.6	131.2	130.0	133.1	139.7	1127	113.2	113.9	113.0	115.0	115.0
Apparel and upkeep	133.8	135.3	138.0	140.8	141.7	143.5	136.2	138.2	140.3	138.1	140.2	141.4
Medical care	122.4	123.0	125.1	122.4	123.2	124.4	122.5	123.5	125.0	122.7	124.4	125.2
Entertainment	116.7	117.7	118.3	117.9	120.2	121.1	115.7	116.5	118.9	121.5	123.8	124.4
Other goods and services	114.7	116.1	117.2	117.5	119.0	120.0	119.6	121.9	123.3	116.0	110.8	110.3
COMMODITY AND SERVICE GROUP										100.0	1015	105 1
Commodities	126.5	128.4	130.4	130.8	132.1	136.1	131.6	133.8	136.9	128.0	131.5	135.1
Commodities less food and beverages	127.8	129.7	131.0	132.5	133.0	130.5	134.5	138.5	140.4	126.5	130.2	132.5
Services	122.0	120.4	127.4	120.0	120.2	North (Control	100.0				
			_	-		North	Central				-	
EXPENDITURE CATEGORY	133.2	136.7	136.8	130.9	134.4	134.7	128.9	131.9	132.9	128.7	131.9	131.7
All items	126.8	128.1	131.5	124.9	126.7	129.8	127.0	128.7	131.8	128.9	129.6	133.9
Housing	141.1	147.5	145.4	135.8	141.2	139.4	130.4	135.6	135.3	129.1	134.5	131.5
Apparel and upkeep	109.2	108.5	109.0	111.2	111.0	112.9	110.7	111.0	112.0	113.6	114.6	113.6
Transportation	138.1	140.1	141.0	137.6	140.7	141.3	139.3	140.4	141.0	137.4	139.8	133.7
Medical care	125.3	126.1	127.8	125.0	117.1	118.6	118.7	121.3	123.1	116.1	117.3	116.9
Other goods and services	116.2	117.9	118.6	121.5	123.2	124.4	116.7	117.5	118.8	119.8	121.6	122.9
COMMODITY AND SERVICE GROOP	130.9	132.9	134.5	127.9	129.9	132.4	128.1	129.7	131.9	126.0	128.0	129.8
Commodities less food and beverages	132.8	135.2	135.9	129.2	131.2	133.4	128.5	130.1	131.9	124.8	127.3	128.0
Services	136.6	142.3	140.3	135.6	141.7	138.4	130.3	135.5	134.5	132.9	138.1	134.0
						So	uth					
EXPENDITURE CATEGORY	1007	1005	104.0	1017	1247	125.4	121.2	133.1	133.7	128.3	131.4	131.9
All items	130.7	133.5	134.0	127.0	127.9	131.3	127.8	129.1	132.8	126.2	128.1	132.4
Housing	133.9	138.5	138.2	136.7	141.4	140.5	136.6	138.9	137.1	129.7	134.0	132.4
Apparel and upkeep	116.4	116.4	116.7	112.9	112.6	114.1	108.2	107.3	109.4	104.7	107.2	105.6
Transportation	139.7	140.9	143.5	138.4	140.6	142.0	137.2	139.7	141.1	136.5	138.7	140.4
Medical care	121.9	124.1	125.4	123.3	125.8	127.5	126.4	127.5	128.8	131.2	128.0	130.5
Entertainment	115.7	116.3	119.5	119.0	119.5	124.0	118.8	120.3	121.6	121.9	123.9	125.1
Other goods and services	110.0	120.0	122.0									
COMMODITY AND SERVICE GROUP	100.0	1000	100.1	100.0	120.6	1227	1287	120.7	131.9	127.2	129.0	131.3
Commodities	129.3	130.9	133.1	129.0	131.7	133.3	129.1	130.0	131.5	127.7	129.3	130.9
Services	132.6	137.2	137.1	135.8	140.9	139.5	135.3	138.4	136.4	129.8	135.1	132.7
						W	est					
EXPENDITURE CATEGORY												
All items	132.8	136.1	135.5	134.1	136.0	136.8	131.4	133.6	134.2	130.4	134.3	135.4
Food and beverages	126.5	127.7	130.5	128.8	130.2	133.1	125.7	127.6	129.5	128.0	129.0	132.9
Housing	136.3	142.5	139.2	115.8	118.4	119.5	107.7	107.4	108.5	121.8	123.6	126.3
Transportation	141.2	141.1	142.8	139.2	140.7	142.4	141.2	142.1	143.6	139.6	141.7	143.5
Medical care	128.8	129.5	130.6	126.9	127.9	129.0	126.7	129.4	132.2	128.9	132.5	134.1
Entertainment	117.8	119.5	120.8	123.1	123.9	125.9	121.0	122.4	125.2	127.5	130.3	131.5
Other goods and services	121.2	121.7	122.8	121.5	124.3	120.7	111.1	110.0	120.2	122.0	164.4	121.0
COMMODITY AND SERVICE GROUP		100.1	1000	1015	1005	194.6	100.0	120.1	122.2	120.8	1317	134.1
Commodities	129.5	130.4	132.3	131.5	132.5	135.2	130.4	131.1	133.3	130.6	132.6	134.6
Services	137.2	143.6	139.7	137.7	140.8	140.0	134.8	138.5	1 137.1	1 131.2	138.2	1 137.3

25. Consumer Price Index-U.S. city average, and selected areas

[1967=100 unless otherwise specified]

			All L	Irban Cons	sumers				Urban Wag	ge Earners	and Cleri	ical Worke	rs (revised	d)
Area ¹	1979			1	980			1979			1	980		
	Aug.	Mar.	Apr.	May	June	July	Aug.	Aug.	Mar.	Apr.	May	June	July	Aug
U.S. city average ²	221.1	239.8	242.5	244.9	247.6	247.8	249.4	221.5	239.9	242.6	245.1	247.8	248.0	249.6
Anchorage, Alaska (10/67=100)		223.5		226.5		000 4			000.0					
Atlanta, Ga	216.9	220.0	235.3	220.0	242.2	220.4	040 E	0100	220.2		223.1		224.8	
Baltimore, Md.	210.0	245.0	200.0	240 1	242.2	050 4	240.5	219.0		239.3		244.7		249.
Boston, Mass.		234.2		245.1		202.4			243.9		247.8		250.8	
Buffalo, N.Y.	214.6	204.2	233.7	230.9	235.4	240.9	226.8	215.2	234.2		236.8		240.9	
			200.1		200.4		200.0	210.0		233.3		234.6		235.
Chicago, IllNorthwestern Ind.	218.6	235.5	240.1	243.1	248.2	246.8	245.2	218.2	225.2	220.0	2420	0400	047.0	045
Cincinnati, Ohio-KyInd.		247.8		251.6		256.7	- 10.2	LIUL	249 7	200.0	243.0	240.0	247.0	240.4
Cleveland, Ohio	221.4		247.3		250.1		253.9	222.6	240.1	248.4	232.9	250.5	259.1	054
Dallas-Ft. Worth, Tex	222.9		251.4		256.4		258 5	223.0		240.4		250.5		254.4
Denver-Boulder, Colo.		255.2		258.0		261.6	200.0	220.0	259.4	243.0	262.4	204.0	065.0	257.4
									200.4		202.4		205.0	
Detroit, Mich.	222.2	242.9	248.2	248.4	256.7	253.7	255 1	222.6	242.4	248.0	249.0	255.0	050.4	050 0
Honolulu, Hawaii	207.2		227.4		227.5		230 1	207.2	- 1L.1	228 4	240.0	200.0	202.1	200.0
Houston, Tex.	240.6		260.8		266.5		268.6	230 0		257.2		220.0		229.5
Kansas City, MoKansas	224.6		243.8		247.8		250.8	202.0		207.0		202.8		265.6
os Angeles-Long Beach, Anaheim, Calif.	217.5	241.3	244.6	249.1	250.1	248.7	247.3	219.6	243.9	242.2	252.6	246.3	251.5	249.3
									210.0	241.0	LUL.U	200.4	201.0	250.1
Miami, Fla. (11/77=100)		127.7		129.7		133.6			128.8		130.9		1347	
Milwaukee, Wis.		242.7		250.3		251.6			247.8		255.2		255.0	
Ainneapolis-St. Paul, MinnWis.	227.0		244.3		246.4		250.1	228.5		245.7	LUU.L	249.4	200.0	250.6
Vew York, N.YNortheastern N.J.	215.4	231.2	233.1	234.5	237.2	238.9	240.8	215.3	230.8	222.1	004.4	240.4	000 4	250.0
Vortheast, Pa. (Scranton)		229.0		232.5		239.8	240.0	210.0	231.3	202.4	234.1	230.7	238.4	240.7
									201.0		200.0		240.2	
Philadelphia, PaN.J.	217.7	234.6	237.4	239.4	242.5	244.1	246.0	218.1	235.1	237.9	230 0	242.8	245.2	247.2
Pittsburgh, Pa	219.1		240.9		246.1		250.7	220.0	200.1	242.2	200.0	240.0	240.0	247.3
Portland, OregWash.		253.6		257.3		2527		220.0	2517	646.E	255.0	240.0	050.0	201.2
at. Louis, MoIII.		238.1		241.8		245.0			239.5		200.9		252.2	
San Diego, Calif.		258.3		269.7		269.9			255.6		242.0		245.9	
er Frennen Onlinet Only													200.7	
ban Francisco-Oakland, Calif.	218.3		243.5		248.0		251.0	218.6		242.8		247.7		251.4
eattle-Everett, Wash.		243.8		249.6		255.1			241.3		246.8		251.6	201.4
washington, D.CMdVa.		238.8		241.2		247.2			239.2		242.0		248 7	

¹The areas listed include not only the central city but the entire portion of the Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area, as defined for the 1970 Census of Population, except that the Standard Consolidated Area is used for New York and Chicago.

gitized for FRASER ps://fraser.stlouisfed.org deral Reserve Bank of St. Louis

26. Producer Price Indexes, by stage of processing [1967=100]

Commodity annuning	Annual		19	79		-				1980				
Commonly grouping	1979	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb	Mar.	Apr. ¹	May ¹	June	July	Aug.	Sept
FINISHED GOODS														
inished goods	216.1	220.7	224.2	226.3	228.1	232.4	235.7	238.5	r 240.5	r 241.6	242.6	246.6	249.0	248.
Finished consumer goods	215.7	221.7	224.7	227.1	229.1	233.5	237.6	240.8	1242.1	1243.4	244.5	249.1	251.8	251.
Finished consumer foods	226.3	228.1	226.7	230.5	232.1	231.4	231.6	233.1	228.9	230.0	231.0	239.5	249.9	240.
Crude	231.4	214.0	215.5	228.1	227.9	226.0	220.1	230.9	1222.3	220.1	223.4	230.7	240.7	200.
Processed	223.8	227.0	225.5	228.6	230.3	229.7	230.4	231.1	1276.0	1 270.6	280.3	282.8	284.3	284
Nondurable goods less foods	225.9	239.0	243.3	245.5	247.9	204.7	202.7	200.3	1201.9	12/9.0	200.3	205.3	206.3	204
Durable goods	181.9	182.9	189.0	170.0	170.1	199.1	195.1	187.0	180 3	1190.6	191.3	193.4	194.6	195
Consumer nondurable goods less food and energy	2167	217.8	222.8	223.9	225.3	229.3	230.5	232.2	1236.2	1236.6	237.5	240.2	241.9	241
	210.7	211.0	ELL.U	220.0										
	040.0	251.0	255.0	256.2	259.7	265.0	271.6	273.7	12751	1276.4	277 7	280.3	282.6	284
termediate materials, supplies, and components	242.8	251.0	200.0	200.0	200.7	205.5	211.0	210.1	210.1	10.4		0047	007.0	000
Materials and components for manufacturing	234.1	240.7	244.3	245.5	247.8	255.5	259.8	259.5	260.3	262.2	263.9	204./	207.2	208
Materials for food manufacturing	223.6	228.9	225.5	227.8	230.4	226.0	245.6	240.1	238.7	255.5	200.2	202.0	2//.5	2/5
Materials for nondurable manufacturing	220.1	227.6	231.4	233.4	235.3	241.1	244.0	24/.4	203.0	1205.5	200.0	200.9	200.0	201
Materials for durable manufacturing	271.3	278.8	284.7	284.6	287.8	303.7	306.5	301.4	1290.0	1228.5	290.3	297.9	290.1	236
Components for manufacturing	206.8	211.3	213.2	214.8	210.3	219.2	223.2	220.0	221.1	220.0	220.0	000.0	074.4	071
Materials and components for construction	246.9	252.5	254.7	254.0	253.7	257.7	262.1	265.5	265.6	1265.7	267.3	269.2	2/1.1	2/1
Processed fuels and lubricants	360.9	399.4	410.6	416.5	424.6	444.0	464.0	481.0	r 486.9	' 488.8	489.6	504.9	508.1	510
Manufacturing industries	298.9	317.2	322.5	325.2	332.2	340.5	351.4	356.6	358.3	364.3	368.2	378.4	381.3	385
Nonmanufacturing industries	422.9	483.0	500.6	510.0	519.1	550.3	579.9	609.5	1620.0	1617.2	614.7	030.3	030.9	030
Containers	235.3	237.9	242.6	243.8	247.1	250.9	251.6	253.8	r 262.6	1263.8	265.3	267.1	266.5	266
Supplies	217.6	221.2	224.9	226.4	229.2	232.5	239.0	240.8	1241.7	1241.8	242.3	246.2	248.2	251
Manufacturing industries	204.4	209.4	212.2	213.7	216.3	220.9	222.5	223.7	1 227.1	1228.5	230.2	232.3	232.2	233
Nonmanufacturing industries	224.7	227.5	231.7	233.3	236.1	238.7	247.8	249.8	1249.5	1248.9	248.8	253.6	256.7	261
Feeds	224.1	224.0	228.9	226.9	230.4	224.4	223.3	218.9	1 206.6	1210.5	208.1	223.0	235.4	251
Other supplies	221.5	224.9	228.9	231.2	233.9	238.3	249.6	252.9	1255.2	1 253.7	254.1	256.6	257.6	259
CRUDE MATERIALS														
crude materials for further processing	282.2	288.3	289.5	290.8	296.2	296.8	308.4	303.5	r 297.0	300.7	299.5	316.3	327.7	331
Foodstuffs and feedstuffs	247.2	248.7	247.5	246.4	249.7	243.0	252.6	245.9	235.5	1242.9	242.5	263.3	276.6	276
Nonfood materials	(2)	363.1	368.9	374.9	384.2	398.9	414.3	412.7	r 413.9	r 410.5	407.9	416.8	424.3	436
			0004	0040	044.0	000 4	0417	220.0	1 227 0	1 200 2	224.4	221 2	340.5	345
Nonfood materials except fuel	284.5	293.3	298.1	304.6	311.0	330.1	341.7	339.0	1240 1	1240.2	324.4	3423	352.6	360
Manufacturing industries	293.3	209.9	212.6	214.8	216.6	226.0	228.7	229.9	232.4	1232.8	234.2	235.3	235.8	239
Construction	201.0	200.0	212.0											
Crude fuel	568.2	604.0	612.9	617.4	634.5	636.3	664.8	664.1	r 678.9	r 690.3	695.5	711.0	713.2	740
Manufacturing industries	607.6	651.8	662.5	667.8	688.3	690.3	725.7	724.5	742.2	1756.1	762.6	781.9	784.5	818
Nonmanufacturing industries	548.3	577.8	585.5	589.3	603.9	605.7	628.8	628.8	'641.3	r 650.8	655.1	667.8	669.8	692
SPECIAL GROUPINGS														
inished anode excluding foods	(2)	216.2	221.3	222.8	224.6	230.5	234.6	237.8	1241.7	1242.8	243.8	246.4	247.9	24
Finished consumer goods evolution foods	208.2	216.3	220.6	223.1	225.3	232.3	238.3	242.3	246.2	1247.6	248.8	251.4	252.7	252
Finished consumer goods less energy	(2)	200.9	202.8	204.7	206.1	209.4	211.2	211.9	211.5	1212.4	213.5	218.0	220.7	220
at any distance in the lange fan de and fan de	2440	250.5	256.0	259.1	260.5	269.4	272.7	276.2	1278.0	1278.6	279.9	282.3	283.9	28
Intermediate materials less roods and reeds	(2)	252.5	250.0	247.1	249.1	255.3	259.8	260.5	261.4	1262.6	264.0	265.5	267.8	269
Intermediate foods and feeds	223.2	226.6	226.0	226.9	229.8	224.8	237.5	232.4	1 227.3	239.7	242.1	248.7	262.7	267
Crude materials less agricultural products	390.5	408.6	417.0	424.1	435.0	452.9	469.3	469.0	r 469.9	r 464.7	463.7	470.5	479.3	49
Crude materials less energy	(2)	244.3	243.7	243.8	246.9	244.0	254.8	248.4	1238.7	241.5	238.9	237.0	268.7	270

27. Producer Price Indexes, by commodity groupings

Code	Commodity group and subgroup	Annual		1	979						1980				
		1979	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr. ¹	May ¹	June	July	Aug.	Sep
											-		-	-	-
	All commodities	235.6	242.0	245.6	247.2	249.7	254.9	260.2	261.9	1262.8	1 264.2	265.2	269.8	273.1	274
	An commodities (1957 - 59 = 100)	250.0	256.7	260.6	262.3	267.3	270.2	275.6	277.4	1278.8	1280.3	282.5	286.3	289.8	290
	Farm products and processed foods and feeds	229.8	231.8	230.6	222.2	2246	0010	007.0	0040	1000.0					
	Industrial commodities	236.5	244.2	249.0	250.6	253.1	260.6	237.0	234.9	1229.3	1233.8	234.2	246.1	254.8	256
				210.0	200.0	200.1	200.0	200.5	200.0	2/1.0	2/1.9	273.0	2/5.0	211.3	2/8
	FARM PRODUCTS AND PROCESSED FOODS														
)1	AND FEEDS														
1-1	Fresh and dried fruits and venetables	241.4	241.0	239.6	240.2	242.5	236.4	242.3	239.3	228.9	1233.5	233.4	253.9	· 263.6	266
1-2	Grains	229.0	208.3	218.0	216.5	210.7	219.0	220.6	218.5	223.2	244.0	233.4	247.5	253.8	266
1-3	Livestock	260.3	256.4	2517	220.0	227.9	214.0	223.3	217.9	210.8	219.0	215.3	244.8	256.5	260
1-4	Live poultry	194.3	173.5	162.0	195.5	194.7	195.2	184.6	201.0	230.5	233.3	240.0	260.5	275.7	266
1-5	Plant and animal fibers	209.9	211.3	212.9	215.4	222.0	239.0	269.5	254.9	266.9	2727	247.0	227.2	224.5	241
1-6	Fluid milk	250.1	258.5	260.8	262.5	264.0	262.3	263.8	263.1	265.4	265.4	265.5	265.8	274.0	293
1-7	Eggs	176.5	175.4	155.9	178.7	198.4	165.6	150.4	184.2	153.3	140.5	146.8	159.3	176.9	18
1-8	Hay, hayseeds, and oilseeds	244.3	240.9	235.6	229.8	230.3	218.1	224.7	215.9	205.1	1206.9	207.4	251.4	261.5	280
1-9	Other farm products	289.0	315.9	313.6	318.3	319.4	301.1	304.7	311.5	304.8	311.0	309.4	292.4	282.7	283
2	Processed foods and feeds	222.5	225.0	224.0	007.4	000.0	000 5								
2-1	Cereal and bakery products	210.3	218.7	210.8	222.1	229.3	228.5	233.1	231.6	228.6	233.1	233.8	241.1	249.1	249
2-2	Meats, poultry, and fish	242.0	239.9	234.2	239.3	242.8	239.6	239.9	231.8	232.4	1234.7	233.1	234.6	235.5	238
-3	Dairy products	211.2	218.3	218.1	219.3	219.9	221.0	220.8	2230	1 220.0	1229.5	220.0	248.5	259.9	25
2-4	Processed fruits and vegetables	221.9	225.1	223.4	222.4	222.6	222.9	223.3	223.7	1224.6	1 220.0	229.9	230.5	233.0	234
-5	Sugar and confectionery	214.7	217.2	218.9	222.9	234.4	235.0	287.5	264.1	1275.0	1327.8	3247	313.7	347 1	34
2-6	Beverages and beverage materials	210.7	217.9	218.9	221.2	221.6	224.0	224.8	225.9	227.9	231.2	233.6	234.4	237.3	236
-/	Hats and oils	243.3	253.3	246.0	241.9	235.6	225.1	226.4	222.6	1214.5	r 212.0	213.0	221.7	236.8	23
-9	Manufactured animal foods	216.5	219.0	220.8	222.2	223.1	225.4	223.5	224.7	225.1	r 223.7	223.0	223.6	224.0	226
		219.4	219.2	224.0	222.4	224.9	219.7	219.8	216.6	1205.0	1207.2	205.4	220.6	230.1	243
	INDUSTRIAL COMMODITIES														
	Textile products and apparel	160 7	171.0	170.0	170.0	170.4	175.0								1
-1	Synthetic fibers $(12/75 = 100)$	1100.7	122.6	172.0	172.8	1/3.1	1/5.2	1/6.5	179.3	181.2	182.0	182.4	184.3	185.2	18
-2	Processed varies and threads $(12/75 = 100)$	100.2	1117	124.7	124.2	124.7	127.0	127.2	129.1	130.4	133.2	134.8	136.3	137.8	13
-3	Gray fabrics (12/75 = 100)	127.1	128.7	129.7	130.7	1323	114.0	118.0	119.3	122.1	124.2	122.4	121.9	122.6	123
-4	Finished fabrics (12/75 = 100)	107.4	109.1	108.9	109.7	109.9	110.5	1111	130.0	114.5	130.5	133.7	134.8	136.6	139
-81	Apparel	160.4	161.6	162.2	163.1	162.6	165.5	166.8	168.0	114.5	115.3	115.5	174.1	110.7	110
-82	Textile housefurnishings	190.4	193.9	196.3	196.5	197.1	199.0	199.7	201.3	201.6	202.6	202.7	210.7	211.0	217
	Hides, skins, leather, and related products	252.4	251.1	253.0	248.0	240.2	255.7	250.0	046.0	10405	040.7				
-1	Hides and skins	535.4	465.3	478.8	447.6	443.0	468.8	404.8	240.0	243.5	240.7	241.0	244.9	251.1	247
-2	Leather	356.7	330.0	343.6	319.8	324.8	347.6	340.3	311.0	297.6	209.7	284.4	300.0	398.4	350
-3	Footwear	218.0	226.9	227.5	227.9	227.9	229.1	228.0	231.8	231.9	231.9	232.1	232.9	233.9	235
-4	Other leather and related products	205.0	210.1	209.7	208.4	208.0	213.1	214.8	217.8	1216.2	217.4	216.0	216.3	217.4	217
	Fuels and related products and power	408 1	454.8	468 5	476.0	497.0	509.0	520.7	550 F	I FOR C	1570 4				
-1	Coal	450.9	452.5	454.6	455.1	458.6	459.3	459.6	461 7	1465.2	1466.5	166.0	202.4	589.5	593
-2	Coke	429.2	430.6	431.2	431.2	431.2	430.6	430.6	430.6	430.6	430.6	430.6	407.0	409.0	4/2
-3	Gas fuels 1	544.1	603.4	619.9	637.0	662.4	677.5	716.6	716.6	730.1	745.1	750 1	763.3	762.3	785
-4	Electric power	270.2	280.5	283.5	281.9	287.0	290.5	299.3	305.5	r310.1	316.5	320.5	331.4	333.8	338
-01	Crude petroleum 2	376.5	422.1	436.7	450.4	470.8	513.6	515.1	522.8	533.9	540.1	549.0	550.9	566.3	570
-	Petroleum products, reined.	444.8	513.7	533.7	545.4	555.2	583.3	620.4	659.0	r 678.0	r 680.9	681.1	693.3	697.5	695
	Chemicals and allied products	222.3	230.8	224.2	226.0	220.2	246.0	040 7	050.0	1050.0					
-1	Industrial chemicals ⁴	264.0	280.0	285 7	230.0	238.2	240.0	248.7	252.8	259.8	262.5	261.7	262.7	264.3	263
-21	Prepared paint	204.4	206.0	206.7	209.4	292.3	223.3	307.9	313.3	322.1	328.5	327.3	327.8	329.0	326
-22	Paint materials	241.2	252.0	253.6	256.6	256.8	259.9	263.4	267.5	12721	230.0	230.8	230.8	239.1	239
-3	Drugs and pharmaceuticals	159.4	161.0	162.8	163.0	164.4	166.5	167.6	168.9	1726	1728	173.0	175 4	175.7	176
-4	Fats and oils, inedible	376.7	379.9	366.9	344.3	327.1	325.6	302.2	299.9	298.2	2947	255.8	260.0	307.6	304
-5	Agricultural chemicals and chemical products	214.4	219.4	224.3	229.5	232.9	241.9	248.0	256.1	258.5	258.5	257.7	258.2	259.6	260
-0	Plastic resins and materials	235.9	252.0	260.0	261.4	262.5	270.4	272.1	274.5	287.6	288.4	287.9	286.2	282.0	277
1	Other chemicals and allied products	191.8	195.8	197.0	198.8	201.4	209.4	211.3	215.0	r 223.1	1224.8	226.3	228.0	229.9	229
F	Rubber and plastic products	194.3	200.7	203.0	204.9	205.9	207.8	210.7	212.7	214.1	215.0	217 1	218.3	219.9	221
1	Hubber and rubber products	209.2	217.1	220.3	223.7	224.3	226.1	231.5	231.5	233.4	234.7	237.6	239.4	240.7	242
10	Tico and these	221.4	232.2	236.5	237.2	240.2	252.7	263.9	255.8	1264.7	1263.9	263.2	262.5	263.4	266
12	Miscellanoous rubber producte	205.9	215.0	218.3	223.1	223.1	225.1	231.6	231.6	231.8	233.2	234.6	237.0	237.0	239
2	Plastic products (6/78 = 100)	206.4	211.9	214.7	217.1	217.7	215.9	217.8	220.6	1222.1	224.0	229.7	231.8	234.6	234
		110.0	113.0	114.0	114.3	115.2	116.3	116.7	119.0	119.7	119.9	120.8	121.1	122.4	122
L	umber and wood products	300.4	309.7	308.8	298.9	290.1	290.0	294.7	294.9	275.6	2721	279.8	288.9	295.3	201
1	Lumber	354.3	373.9	370.3	355.6	339.5	336.3	341.4	340.6	310.1	301 4	313.0	327.3	333.5	326
2	Millwork	254.3	250.9	255.6	252.3	250.3	254.1	258.0	262.2	257.5	251.8	253.0	255.9	260.3	264
3	Plywood	250.5	257.9	254.0	242.2	237.9	238.2	243.4	240.0	219.8	230.6	241.6	251.1	262.3	253
4	Other wood products	235.4	238.0	2277	230 0	2405	2422	242 4	040 4	044 7	0407	000 7		000.0	000

27. Continued -- Producer Price Indexes, by commodity groupings

[1067 100 uplace athenwise specified]

- odo	Commodity group and subgroup	Annual		19	79		-				1980				_
ode	Commonly group and subgroup	average 1979	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr. ¹	May ¹	June	July	Aug.	Se
	INDUSTRIAL COMMODITIES - Continued														
	Pulp, paper, and allied products	219.0	223.0	227.5	229.5	231.7	237.4	239.2	242.6	1 247.8	1249.2	251.3	252.4	252.2	252
-1	Pulp, paper, and products, excluding building paper and board	220.7	224.3	229.0	231.1	233.4	239.2	240.8	244.1	r 249.4	1250.6	252.7	253.7	253.6	254
.11	Woodpulp	314.3	320.6	337.5	338.0	338.0	356.6	356.4	356.8	1 385.6	' 385.6	388.0	388.6	388.6	390
12	Wastenaner	206.6	206.7	206.7	220.0	221.2	222.9	223.4	224.9	1242.5	226.1	206.6	194.0	193.8	19
12	Danor	229.6	230.3	238.7	241.8	242.7	245.5	247.2	250.3	1253.5	1 256.1	258.3	258.5	258.8	25
14	Paperbaard	2021	209.6	211.3	212.8	215.4	221.8	223.7	227.4	1232.1	1235.5	242.7	237.5	238.1	23
14	Converted exper and experienced products	200.0	214.6	217.3	219.0	221.9	227.7	229.5	233.0	236.7	1237.6	239.3	242.4	242.0	24
2	Building paper and board	182.4	182.6	183.5	183.6	184.6	186.2	191.7	198.7	201.3	206.8	208.9	211.8	209.2	20
	Metals and metal products	259.3	263.7	269.6	271.1	273.6	284.6	288.9	286.8	r 284.4	^r 281.8	282.4	281.5	282.7	28
1	Iron and steel	283.5	285.5	289.2	292.0	292.8	297.4	300.3	301.8	1 307.2	r 304.8	303.1	300.4	302.3	30
13	Steel mill products	280.4	284.8	288.3	288.8	289.3	293.6	294.2	295.5	304.1	305.5	305.8	301.0	301.0	30
2	Nonferrous metals	261.7	269.3	283.1	284.1	291.9	326.3	337.7	321.4	1298.3	r 289.7	290.6	289.0	288.9	2
3	Metal containers	269.2	268.7	279.9	280.9	280.9	283.3	284.4	288.5	304.1	302.7	302.7	303.0	303.2	3
4	Hardware	218.7	221.5	224.0	225.5	226.2	228.2	230.4	231.5	1237.3	1238.4	239.7	241.9	242.6	2
5	Plumbing fixtures and brass fittings	217.1	223.0	223.5	225.4	226.5	232.8	236.7	242.4	1243.8	1247.5	248.5	249.6	250.4	2
	Heating equinment	187.1	191.3	192.2	193.1	195.6	199.5	202.6	202.6	204.2	204.0	205.1	206.1	208.0	2
7	Eabricated structural metal products	248.9	253.7	256.3	256.7	257.7	258.9	259.7	265.1	r 269.1	r 269.9	270.0	271.9	272.6	2
3	Miscellaneous metal products	231.4	236.7	238.5	238.6	239.1	240.6	241.6	244.2	r 246.1	* 246.7	251.4	251.8	254.1	2
	Machinery and equipment	213.9	217.7	220.0	221.3	223.4	227.6	230.2	232.5	1236.4	1237.6	238.8	241.3	242.2	2
1	Agricultural machinery and equipment	232.1	237.4	240.0	243.4	244.2	248.4	249.9	252.0	254.4	256.4	255.7	257.3	258.9	2
2	Construction machinery and equipment	256.2	258.9	263.9	265.4	268.8	276.0	278.3	279.5	284.2	285.9	286.8	290.9	292.8	2
3	Metalworking machinery and equipment	241.3	246.4	249.6	252.2	254.6	258.9	261.8	264.1	270.2	272.9	275.4	278.0	278.9	2
	General purpose machinery and equipment	236.4	240.2	242.8	244.2	247.6	251.0	253.3	256.7	261.1	262.8	264.3	265.8	266.6	2
5	Special industry machinery and equipment	247.0	251.2	253.8	254.9	256.1	260.6	263.2	265.5	271.9	1 273.0	274.5	277.2	277.3	2
	Electrical machinery and equipment	178.9	182.5	184.3	184.9	186.6	190.6	194.3	196.5	198.9	199.9	201.2	203.5	204.7	2
i.	Miscellaneous machinery	208.9	212.0	213.6	214.9	216.3	220.3	221.1	223.2	1 227.2	r 227.3	227.8	230.7	231.5	2
	Furniture and household durables	171.3	172.7	175.1	176.4	177.9	183.4	185.6	185.7	184.4	185.4	185.3	186.7	187.3	1
1	Household furniture	186.3	188.5	190.1	193.0	194.8	197.4	198.5	198.9	200.3	203.0	202.0	204.3	200.3	2
2	Commercial furniture	221.8	222.1	223.3	223.3	225.1	220.9	231.4	232.0	233.0	233.9	160.0	162.0	162 5	4
3	Floor coverings	147.9	150.4	152.1	152.8	152.9	159.0	158.5	160.8	102.2	101.9	102.2	174.0	175.0	
4	Household appliances	160.9	162.7	163.2	164.5	165.3	166.5	168.9	169.9	1/1.1	173.2	1/4./	1/4.0	175.0	1
5	Home electronic equipment	91.3	90.3	90.3	90.3	90.5	91.0	91.2	91.3	191.4	192.0	89.3	89.3	070.0	
5	Other household durable goods	228.2	231.0	245.6	248.2	254.4	287.4	295.3	288.3	267.3	265.6	266.1	2/1.1	273.0	1
	Nonmetallic mineral products	248.6	254.6	256.2	257.4	259.6	268.4	274.0	276.5	1283.7	1284.0 195.3	283.2	284.0	284.8	2
11	Flat glass	244.0	246.7	2/9.2	249.6	251.0	265.0	266.6	267.5	12717	1 272 4	271.9	272.5	272.7	2
-	Concrete ingredients	244.0	240.7	240.0	240.0	253.0	265.4	266.7	260 1	12729	1275.2	275.9	275.9	275.9	12
3	Concrete products	244.1	240.7	200:1	200.0	200.2	200.4	221.0	200.1	1235.0	1230.0	230.2	230.2	229.8	2
4	Structural clay products excluding refractories	217.9	220.1	221.1	247.4	249.0	249.5	251.1	253.0	12617	1 264 4	266.7	269.6	271.4	12
5	Hetractories	230.5	242.4	244.0	247.4	240.0	240.0	2725	200.0	1108.0	1 401 1	400.7	4120	409.4	1
6	Asphalt rooting	325.3	333.0	337.5	347.4	340.3	350.0	262.0	267.6	264.0	256.5	257.1	253 1	251.8	12
7	Gypsum products	252.3	254.9	200.3	200.2	255.0	233.4	202.2	207.0	1204.0	1 200.0	2016	204.6	201.0	2
9	Glass containers Other nonmetallic minerals	313.7	336.0	341.2	342.2	342.2	351.8	381.7	387.0	1399.6	1400.7	394.5	396.1	397.1	4
	Transportation equipment (12/68 = 100)	188.1	186.6	194.2	194.8	195.6	198.7	198.2	198.8	203.2	r 202.5	202.2	204.9	208.6	2
1	Motor vehicles and equipment	190.5	188.6	197.1	197.4	198.2	200.7	200.1	200.7	1205.4	204.5	204.4	207.1	211.4	2
4	Railroad equipment	277.3	281.6	286.3	288.2	289.0	297.5	299.3	302.1	r 309.9	' 310.5	306.2	316.4	316.4	3
	Miscellaneous products	208.7	213.1	218.9	221.4	227.4	242.9	262.9	256.1	252.8	251.7	257.4	261.3	259.9	2
1	Toys, sporting goods, small arms, ammunition	176.2	179.8	181.1	181.2	183.0	190.9	193.5	194.5	195.4	196.0	197.2	200.3	201.0	1
2	Tobacco products	217.8	221.9	222.1	222.2	226.6	236.6	237.2	237.3	238.1	247.7	245.1	247.6	247.6	14
3	Notions	191.8	191.9	195.7	195.8	196.8	203.1	203.2	207.2	216.8	217.0	217.0	221.7	223.8	12
4	Photographic equipment and supplies	153.7	154.3	157.4	161.2	164.3	165.9	218.6	219.1	212.3	199.6	203.4	202.0	202.3	14
51	Mobile homes (12/74 = 100)	138.1	140.7	142.9	144.0	144.1	144.7	146.8	147.1	149.4	150.4	150.6	151.2	151.4	11
0	Other missellaneous products	263.7	272.5	288.3	293.3	308.8	351.6	378.3	351.3	340.9	340.2	358.8	369.4	363.3	13

¹ Data for April and May 1960 have been revised to revise a valuability of rate reports and corrections by respondents. All data are subject to revision 4 months after original publication. ² Prices for natural gas are lagged 1 month.

⁴ Most prices for refined petroleum products are lagged 1 month. ⁵ Some prices for industrial chemicals are lagged 1 month.

28. Producer Price Indexes, for special commodity groupings [1967 = 100 unless otherwise specified]

Commodity grouping	Annual		1	979						1980				
y groupnig	1979	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr. ¹	May ¹	June	July	Aug.	Sept.
All commodities — less farm products	234.4	241.4	245.3	247.0	249.5	255.7	260.9	262.0	1264.8	1265.0	267.0	270.2	070.0	070.0
All foods	226.4	228.5	226.9	230.0	232.2	231.2	235.8	224.8	10010	1007.0	207.0	270.3	2/3.0	2/3.8
Processed foods	227.2	230.8	228.9	231.8	234.2	233.3	239.6	234.0	1004.1	237.3	237.7	245.4	253.9	254.2
ndustrial commodities less fuels	218.3	222.0	225.9	226.9	228.5	234.7	238.0	230.9	1240 5	239.0	239.9	247.1	255.5	254.8
Selected textile mill products (Dec. 1975 = 100)	113.9	115.8	116.4	117.0	117.2	118.0	110.2	101.0	1400.0	240.0	241.0	243.3	244.8	245.4
losiery	1126	1127	1133	114.6	115.2	110.0	110.4	121.3	122.2	122.9	123.5	125.4	125.8	126.9
Underwear and nightwear	168.9	170.8	171.2	171.6	172.0	175.2	177.4	120.3	121.1	121.5	122.2	123.1	125.5	126.1
Chemicals and allied products, including synthetic rubber	100.0	170.0	171.2	171.0	172.9	175.3	177.4	182.1	182.4	182.8	187.4	188.5	189.4	189.7
and manmade fibers and yarns	212.4	220.9	224.3	226.3	228.7	236.3	239.2	243.2	r 250.0	1252.8	252.8	253.8	254.7	253.8
Lumber and wood products, excluding millwork and	152.0	153.6	155.6	155.4	156.9	159.2	160.3	161.7	r 165.6	r 165.9	166.1	167.8	168.2	168.8
other wood products	325.0	341.0	337.3	323.3	310.8	308.6	313.9	312.2	12847	1282.0	293.5	306.4	314.3	306.7
Special metals and metal products	234.6	236.4	243.4	244.5	246.3	253.7	256.0	255.1	1255.8	1254.0	254.2	254.0	257.5	257 0
abricated metal products	236.8	241.1	244.0	244.6	245.3	247.2	248.4	252.0	1255.9	1256.8	258.0	260.0	261.3	262 7
Copper and copper products	299.3	200.5	212.2	213.8	217.1	227.7	260.7	240.9	12220	1212.2	208.7	2117	209.0	214 1
Aachinery and motive products	207.0	208.5	213.4	214.3	215.9	219.7	220.9	222.5	1226.7	1 227.1	200.7	230.2	232.5	231.7
Achinery and equipment, except electrical	234.2	238.2	240.8	242.5	244.8	249.1	251.1	253.5	258.2	r 259.6	260.8	263.2	264 1	266.7
gricultural machinery, including tractors	237.4	243.6	246.3	250.8	251.5	256.1	257.2	260.0	1261.9	1263.9	262.5	264 1	266.4	270.8
Aetalworking machinery	259.1	265.6	269.5	272.7	276.0	281.9	284.4	287.5	1293.6	296.8	299.9	303.6	304 7	306.5
lumerically controlled machine tools (Dec. 1971 = 100)	199.8	206.5	208.5	208.8	211.2	213.1	215.4	216.7	1223.8	1226.9	228.7	228.7	229.3	230.0
otal tractors	251.6	256.0	261.2	262.5	266.2	273.0	275.1	276.6	1280.8	1282.9	281.8	286.1	280.3	294.0
gricultural machinery and equipment less parts	232.7	238.4	241.0	244.9	245.8	250.0	251.5	254 1	1256.2	r 258.0	256.8	258.0	260.9	264.6
arm and garden tractors less parts	236.1	244.1	247.6	250.5	251.1	256.0	257.5	261.5	12637	1264.7	262.7	264.9	260.0	276.3
gricultural machinery excluding tractors less parts	238.7	243.5	245.4	251.3	252.0	256.4	257.3	258.9	1260.7	263.6	262.6	263.7	264.3	266.6
ndustrial valves	256.0	260.1	261.8	263.1	266.1	271.0	273.5	280.0	287.8	288.4	288.6	289.5	289.6	290.1
ndustrial fittings	261.7	264.3	272.6	276.8	276.8	276.8	280.4	282.8	1289.9	291.5	295.9	205.0	205.0	295.9
brasive grinding wheels	226.2	224.6	239.0	239.0	239.0	239.0	244.0	244.0	1261.4	261.3	261 3	261.3	261.2	261.3
Construction materials	251.4	256.6	258.5	256.7	255.4	259.3	262.6	265 1	1262.3	261.8	264.1	266.5	201.3	268.8

¹ Data for April and May 1980 have been revised to reflect the availability of late reports and corrections by respondents. All data are subject to revision 4 months after original publication.

Commodity arouning	Annual		19	979						1980				
Commonly grouping	average 1979	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr. ¹	May ¹	June	July	Aug.	Sept.
Total durable goods	226.9	230.1	234.6	235.3	237.0	243.8	247 1	247.0	12477	19471	249.2	250.2	252.1	250 0
Total nondurable goods	241.7	251.1	253.7	256.2	259.3	263.2	270.2	273.4	274.4	277.6	278.4	285.3	289.9	291.1
Total manufactures	228.8	235.2	239.0	240.6	242.6	248.4	253.2	255.2	1257.0	1258.3	259.4	262.5	265.0	265
Durable	226.1	229.4	234.0	234.6	236.2	242.9	245.7	245.6	12467	12467	248.2	2501	203.0	200.4
Nondurable	231.1	241.0	244.0	246.6	249.0	253.9	260.8	265.2	267.9	1270.7	271.3	275.6	279.3	279.4
Total raw or slightly processed goods	270.4	276.9	278.7	281.0	285.9	287.6	295.9	295.4	1200.4	202.7	202.0	207.5	214.0	2105
Durable	262.1	255.7	259.2	265.8	267.8	282.8	305.3	303.4	1286.0	262.7	240.0	252.0	314.0	319.0
Nondurable	270.1	277.5	279.2	281.2	286.3	286.9	294.2	293.8	1289.8	294.0	295.3	310.4	317.6	321 0

corrections by respondents. All data are subject to revision 4 months after original publication.

1972 SIC	Industry description	Annual		1	979						1980				
code		1979	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr. ¹	May 1	June	July	Aug.	Sept
	MINING														
1011	Iron ores (12/75 = 100)	134.8	138.1	140.2	140.2	142.0	1420	147.3	152.6	152.6	152.6	152.6	155.0	155.0	455.0
1092	Mercury ores (12/75 = 100)	234.4	252.1	275.0	252.1	300.0	308.3	335.4	330.0	337.5	337.5	332.9	331.2	329.1	335
1211	Bituminous coal and lignite	451.3	452.9	455.1	455.5	458.9	459.2	459.6	461.7	r 464.6	466.0	463.3	467.2	468.2	471
1311	Crude petroleum and natural gas	459.8	508.4	522.1	533.9	551.3	582.7	598.0	600.6	r 612.5	r 619.6	631.3	637.8	650.0	666.4
1442	Construction sand and gravel	217.6	221.0	224.0	224.7	225.6	238.8	243.2	243.9	1248.6	1249.3	250.1	249.6	250.6	251.9
1455	Kaolin and ball clay (6/76 = 100)	125.8	125.5	126.7	124.2	129.3	136.6	136.6	136.6	136.6	136.6	136.6	136.6	136.6	136.6
	MANUFACTURING														
2011	Meat packing plants	247.4	247.2	238.9	2415	243.9	240.8	240 1	228.0	225.6	1 227 2	220.0	040.4	005.0	057
2013	Sausages and other prepared meats	219.6	211.7	211.9	213.4	220.0	211.9	207.8	209.4	1970	1103 3	100.6	249.1	205.2	257.1
2016	Poultry dressing plants	187.1	171.2	163.1	188.3	188.5	186.1	178.2	173.5	164.5	164.7	164.2	214.2	2121	239.3
2021	Creamery butter	228.8	240.6	240.1	241.7	243.1	241.8	242.8	243.4	12527	2537	255.7	256 3	268.6	220.0

30. Continued—Producer Price Indexes for the output of selected SIC industries

[1967 = 100 unless otherwise specified]

1972		Annual		19	79						1980				
SIC code	Industry description	average 1979	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr. ¹	May ¹	June	July	Aug.	Sept.
	MANUFACTURING Continued													1	
2022	Cheese natural and processed (12/72 = 100)	189.2	200.8	196.8	193.6	193.9	195.4	192.9	195.7	1201.9	r 201.9	204.2	205.1	208.6	209.8
2024	Ice cream and frozen desserts $(12/72 = 100)$	172.5	176.1	177.5	179.9	180.1	180.9	181.5	185.0	r 191.3	192.1	195.2	195.2	195.5	196.1
2033	Canned fruits and vegetables	208.6	212.0	212.9	212.2	212.2	213.4	213.6	214.7	216.3	1217.3	220.1	222.6	223.5	225.4
2034	Dehydrated food products (12/73 = 100)	174.2	170.0	158.2	156.2	157.3	157.6	159.0	156.4	157.5	156.4	156.3	157.7	159.6	159.9
2041	Flour mills (12/71 = 100)	173.1	183.5	184.2	184.4	184.1	181.7	183.6	181.6	175.0	182.3	181.8	189.6	193.1	196.1
2044	Rice milling	204.0	223.5	1026	231.8	218.1	122.0	122.6	121.5	1165	116.9	116.6	122.6	127.0	130.0
2048	Prepared foods, n.e.c. (12/75 = 100)	210.3	216.7	224.3	223.3	248.4	260.5	374.9	276.0	320.2	456.1	402.4	381.8	484.0	458.9
2061	Reet sugar	202.6	200.0	204.7	210.6	223.2	224.6	293.2	305.7	296.6	1339.9	343.9	343.5	366.3	384.7
2003	Chewing gum	245.8	242.9	242.9	262.3	262.3	262.3	262.3	281.9	r 282.0	282.0	282.0	282.4	282.4	302.4
2074	Cottonseed oil mills	207.4	217.9	214.9	204.7	205.6	182.4	184.4	170.4	r 154.7	r 150.4	155.1	190.1	213.5	232.9
2075	Soybean oil mills	245.0	248.6	244.7	242.4	241.9	235.1	230.4	222.3	1211.9	1212.9	209.1	224.6	242.9	274.9
2077	Animal and marine fats and oils	338.4	333.8	333.7	315.2	300.7	298.1	292.6	297.4	274.0	262.9	238.3	2/4.4	297.1	307.0
2083	Malt	203.7	214.9	214.9	228.2	228.2	244.1	244.1	244.1	244.1	118.0	118.0	118.0	127 7	127 7
2085	Distilled liquor, except brandy (12/75 = 100)	113.7	117.1	117.1	118.1	110.1	160.9	164.0	165.7	170.2	173.1	175.3	175.9	177.5	178.6
2091	Canned and cured sealoods (12/73 = 100)	381.6	389.2	400 1	391.4	388.4	389.7	385.5	391.6	370.5	1360.0	362.8	365.2	365.7	355.5
2092	Roasted coffee $(12/72 = 100)$	254.5	279.2	280.0	287.5	287.5	281.3	273.9	274.0	273.9	273.9	283.1	274.5	274.7	263.9
2098	Macaroni and spachetti	199.7	210.4	210.4	221.5	227.7	227.7	227.7	227.7	230.5	230.5	230.5	230.5	230.5	239.3
2111	Cigarettes	225.0	229.1	229.2	229.2	234.3	245.8	245.9	246.0	1246.3	1257.3	254.3	257.2	257.2	257.2
2121	Cigars	147.3	150.1	149.8	150.4	150.4	151.2	154.2	154.4	155.3	' 155.3	157.1	157.2	157.2	157.2
2131	Chewing and smoking tobacco	248.4	255.8	260.4	260.8	260.8	260.9	265.1	267.3	2/9.2	2/8.6	214.7	2/4./	214.9	274.9
2211	Weaving mills, cotton $(12/72 = 100)$	195.3	198.7	201.1	201.6	201.9	204.4	206.9	100.7	1211.3	1122.9	120.4	1223	124.2	126.1
2221	Weaving mills, synthetic $(12/77 = 100)$	07.5	07.5	08.2	100.3	100.2	103.3	103.3	104.3	125.0	105.4	105.4	105.4	108.8	108.8
2251	Koit underwear mills	173.3	174.0	174.3	174.6	178.3	182.5	184.1	186.5	186.8	187.1	190.5	192.5	192.8	194.0
2257	Circular knit fabric mills $(6/76 = 100)$	95.2	96.2	96.9	98.4	98.6	99.3	100.4	103.4	r 104.0	104.4	104.7	105.1	105.4	105.5
2261	Finishing plants, cotton $(6/76 = 100)$	121.8	124.0	126.1	126.3	126.6	128.7	129.6	131.9	r 132.4	r 134.5	133.7	137.2	137.2	136.8
2262	Finishing plants, synthetics, silk (6/76 = 100)	107.2	108.3	109.3	109.7	109.8	110.3	109.4	110.4	r 110.7	1111.8	111.5	173.7	114.1	115.1
2272	Tufted carpets and rugs	128.0	129.0	129.8	130.1	130.1	134.7	134.5	137.0	' 137.3	r 137.1	137.5	137.6	137.9	138.3
2281	Yarn mills, except wool (12/71 = 100)	176.7	179.4	181.2	183.0	183.7	188.0	197.8	199.5	r 203.7	204.5	202.9	203.0	204.3	205.7
2282	Throwing and winding mills (6/76 = 100)	107.4	111.2	110.4	109.6	109.2	110.1	110.6	112.0	114.8	118.1	114.8	113.4	114.2	115.3
2284	Thread mills (6/76 = 100)	123.7	128.1	128.4	128.4	128.6	128.7	129.2	130.0	134.6	123.8	142.1	143.0	143.1	125.0
2298	Cordage and twine $(12/7 = 100)$	107.0	206.5	206.6	206.8	206.7	209.0	208.1	208.3	120.0	120.0	207.4	214.9	214.9	214.9
2311	Men's and boys' shirts and nightwear	194.0	196.0	196.1	196.6	196.3	197.7	196.2	199.3	1204.0	1203.7	204.9	205.4	205.7	206.7
2322	Men's and boys' underwear	188.9	190.0	190.0	190.0	194.0	199.8	202.0	204.0	204.2	204.3	208.5	211.1	211.1	212.8
2323	Men's and boys' neckwear (12/75 = 100)	106.5	110.9	110.9	110.9	110.9	112.4	112.4	112.4	112.4	112.4	106.3	106.3	112.4	112.4
2327	Men's and boys' separate trousers	161.5	162.7	162.9	163.4	163.5	164.2	174.2	174.3	174.9	' 174.9	175.1	175.3	175.3	175.3
2328	Men's and boys' work clothing	208.6	210.9	213.4	219.1	219.6	225.1	233.6	235.4	1241.2	1241.8	242.5	244.8	244.1	243.8
2331	Women's and misses' blouses and waists ($6/78 = 100$) .	102.0	102.8	103.0	105.9	106.8	107.1	106.6	106.7	107.6	107.6	107.8	111.4	112.6	112.6
2335	Women's and misses' dresses (12/77 = 100)	107.0	108.3	108.7	108.8	108.8	112.9	113.8	113.8	113.9	113.9	114.0	114.0	156.8	115.4
2341	Women's and children's underwear (12/72 = 100)	144.3	145.3	140.7	147.4	118.8	119.4	122.9	124.9	125.4	125.4	127.0	128.2	129.4	129.4
2361	Children's dresses and blouses $(12/77 = 100)$	104.8	103.7	105.7	105.7	105.6	105.3	105.3	105.5	106.3	r 105.6	106.7	112.4	112.4	111.9
2381	Fabric dress and work gloves	241.4	245.4	245.4	246.9	246.9	257.7	261.7	265.0	267.5	271.1	271.1	271.1	271.1	271.1
2394	Canvas and related products (12/77 = 100)	109.3	111.4	112.3	112.1	120.1	122.1	122.8	123.4	123.4	123.4	123.4	123.4	123.4	124.5
2396	Automotive and apparel trimmings (12/77 = 100)	111.3	114.3	114.3	114.3	114.3	114.3	114.3	122.3	122.3	122.3	122.3	122.3	122.3	122.3
2421	Sawmills and planing mills (12/71 = 100)	251.0	265.6	262.2	250.2	237.9	234.8	239.5	239.1	215.6	209.4	210.1	220.0	233.9	220.0
2436	Softwood veneer and plywood (12/75 = 100)	152.3	156.0	153.1	142.9	138.9	138.5	143.7	139.8	121.9	130.3	140.5	148.7	157.2	150.3
2439	Structural wood members, n.e.c. $(12/75 = 100)$	151.2	150.8	158.2	158.2	158.2	158.2	158.2	158.3	158.2	152.1	152.1	152.1	156.0	154.9
2448	Wood pallets and skids $(12/75 = 100)$	100.5	107.9	107.9	1/1.0	1/0.5	144.8	146.9	147.2	149.5	150.5	150.6	151.2	151.4	151.1
2451	Particleboard $(12/75 = 100)$	139.1	138.5	139.5	136.8	134.5	136.9	150.7	158.9	161.9	167.3	171.7	168.7	167.4	162.5
2511	Wood household furniture $(12/71 = 100)$	165.5	168.0	169.3	172.3	174.5	177.5	178.2	178.9	r 180.0	182.2	182.4	183.8	185.7	186.0
2512	Upholstered household furniture (12/71 = 100)	150.0	151.6	151.8	153.8	155.7	155.9	158.7	158.7	160.9	r 161.1	160.3	163.3	163.4	163.4
2515	Mattresses and bedsprings	165.7	165.8	168.9	172.3	172.3	169.9	170.5	170.5	172.8	176.0	174.8	180.7	186.3	186.3
2521	Wood office furniture	215.3	216.8	217.6	217.6	221.9	226.2	233.8	233.8	233.9	233.9	233.9 246.0	236.1	236.1	236.2
00004		120.0	121.4	125.1	126.5	136.9	120.0	130.8	142.5	145.0	145.8	146.6	146.7	146.9	146.9
2621	Paper mills, except building $(12/74 = 100)$	119.8	123.4	125.4	126.3	127.6	131.3	132.3	134.6	137.9	139.5	143.1	140.4	140.9	141.6
2647	Sanitary paper products	277.7	285.4	286.3	288.4	290.9	295.8	303.9	311.7	'316.7	'319.3	321.1	328.4	332.0	332.1
2654	Sanitary food containers	188.7	191.8	195.8	198.2	199.9	202.6	204.8	208.9	212.9	215.5	218.3	219.4	221.5	223.4
2655	Fiber cans, drums, and similar products (12/75 = 100)	134.8	136.6	138.5	138.5	142.3	143.2	143.2	143.3	r 146.6	148.7	150.6	155.2	155.2	155.2
2812	Alkalies and chlorine (12/73 = 100)	208.8	213.1	214.1	216.7	217.3	220.4	226.5	233.7	241.2	246.5	245.3	250.4	261.9	261.8
2821	Plastics materials and resins (6/76 = 100)	121.2	128.9	132.9	133.8	134.1	138.5	139.7	140.8	146.4	147.3	14/.1	259.0	250 4	250 1
2822	Synthetic rubber	117.6	122.5	123.6	123.2	122.6	124 1	1244.2	126.9	128.5	1317	133.0	133.6	135.1	136.7
2824 2873	Nitrogenous fertilizers (12/75 = 100)	103.4	123.5	108.0	111.7	113.5	114.3	119.8	122.1	123.6	124.5	123.4	122.6	123.7	123.7
2874	Phosphatic fertilizers	193.8	204.3	213.2	221.6	223.4	229.2	233.2	235.0	1237.2	1236.3	236.8	234.9	240.2	240.5
2875	Fertilizers, mixing only	203.8	211.1	218.3	227.0	227.1	233.2	239.8	242.5	1 245.2	248.5	248.9	248.3	247.5	249.7
2892	Explosives	239.4	250.3	250.8	251.7	252.5	253.6	255.2	260.2	1271.4	1272.8	273.6	273.6	273.3	273.2
2911	Petroleum refining (6/76 = 100)	163.6	188.9	196.4	201.0	204.8	213.9	228.4	242.3	250.5	253.0	253.2	255.8	257.0	256.3
2951	Paving mixtures and blocks (12/75 = 100)	134.3	141.6	145.6	145.6	145.7	150.0	161.5	167.9	172.7	172.7	1/1.6	1/3./	175.0	175.9
2952	Asphalt felts and coatings (12/75) = 100)	102.5	145.8	147.0	101.2	101.4	102.0	102.7	109.9	110.2	12001	201.4	203.3	203.3	205.7
3011	Thres and inner tubes $(12773 = 100)$	1 1/0.4	1 104.2	1 100.9	1 191.2	1 101.4	103.0	, 100.1	, 100.0	. 100.1	200.1	. 201.4			

Aug.

182.1

183.7 123.1

147.9

152.5

159.5

214.3 140.9

162.6

294.5

310.3

277.6

117.6

281.1

205.4

240.4

318.2 294.3 152.6

259.5

282.6

159.9 252.3

215.7 164.9

308.4

282.3

292.6

280.6

255.8

310.7 224.1 157.6

168.3 147.6

295.9

185.2

251.4

140.1

152.1

230.6

232.0

317.2 276.3

142.5

262.0 343.8

243.8

285.9

134.4

222.1

216.4 217.0

158.9

189.5

212.3 134.1

121.7

161.5

151.9

129.4

268.0

222.8

140.9

140.8

255.2

91.3

164.5

136.1

149.2

176.7

138.1 126.7 204.5

136.4

132.2

146.6

Sept.

182.1 183.9

123.6

141.0

152.5

161.6

215.2 140.9

162.8

294.5

309.4

278.5

117.6

281.3

205.2

241.1 318.7 296.1

153.2

260.4

283.5 158.8

252.2 217.2

164.9

308.5

282.3

292.6

280.7

260.9 313.7 220.2

157.6

168.1 147.6

296.1

185.6

251.3

140.4

150.1

231.7

232.3

319.9 281.8

143.5

263.4 344.7

246.4

286.2

134.7

222.2

216.5 217.0

159.9

190.9 211.4

134.6

121.9

165.5

152.1

129.4

267.8

223.0

141.9

143.3

255.7

91.7

174.0

136.9

149.7

176.8 131.1 126.7

204.5

136.4

132.9

146.6

30. Continued—Producer Price Indexes for the output of selected SIC industries

1070		1					-						
SIC	Industry description	Annual average		1	979						1980		
code		1979	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr. ¹	May 1	June	July
3021	Rubber and plastic footwear (12/71 = 100)	171.1	173.4	173.5	173.5	173.5	173.5	173.6	173.6	1737	1737	173.9	181.9
3031	Reclaimed rubber (12/73 = 100)	170.0	177.7	178.8	179.2	179.5	179.7	180.0	184.9	185.9	186.5	184.3	184.4
3079	Miscellaneous plastic products (6/78 = 100)	109.9	113.1	114.3	114.6	115.6	116.6	117.0	1191	120.3	120.5	121.6	121.0
3111	Leather tanning and finishing (12/77 = 100)	167.5	155.2	161.9	150.8	153.5	164.3	160.8	1467	140.8	137.9	134.6	137.7
3142	House slippers (12/75 = 100)	135.8	135.0	135.8	135.9	135.9	1435	145.4	145.4	140.0	107.0	146.9	152.5
3143	Men's footwear, except athletic $(12/75 = 100)$	1527	160 1	160.4	160.3	160.3	160.3	157.0	158.5	1159 5	140.4	159.6	152.5
3144	Women's footwear, except athletic	194.5	201.6	202.3	204.0	204.0	205.6	206.3	2125	212.0	212.0	100.0	150.0
3171	Women's handbags and purses $(12/75 = 100)$	128.9	131.8	131.8	131.8	121.9	121.0	121.0	1201	120.1	213.0	213.8	214.3
3211	Flat class $(12/71 = 100)$	151.7	152.3	152.6	152.2	152.0	157.6	157.6	152.1	132.1	140.0	140.9	140.9
3221	Glass containers	261 1	265.2	265.2	265.2	274.2	274 3	274.3	274.2	0.001	204.2	158.9	159.5
		201.1	200.2	200.2	200.2	214.2	214.0	214.0	214.3	294.2	294.2	294.5	294.5
3241	Cement, hydraulic	283.1	285.4	285.4	285.5	286.2	305.7	305.9	306.3	1312.6	1313.8	310.8	310.5
3251	Brick and structural clay tile	258.6	265.9	261.3	261.3	262.7	268.3	270.4	271.9	276.4	278.5	278.5	278.5
3253	Geramic wall and floor tile $(12/75 = 100)$	117.2	120.2	120.2	120.2	130.3	130.4	130.4	130.4	130.4	117.6	117.6	117.6
3255	Clay refractories	242.1	247.1	251.0	252.9	254.0	255.1	259.4	263.7	r 273.9	1275.6	277.5	280.7
3259	Structural clay products, n.e.c.	189.2	192.1	192.8	192.3	196.5	196.3	198.1	196.4	1203.1	1204.1	204.9	205.1
3261	Vitreous plumbing fixtures	207.4	213.1	214.5	215.7	217.3	219.2	224.6	226.7	227.6	236.1	235.8	237.2
3262	Vitreous china food utensils	295.2	298.0	298.0	305.4	308.2	308.2	308.2	308.2	313.4	313.4	318.6	318.2
3263	Fine earthenware food utensils	244.9	246.0	246.0	248.4	294.3	294.3	294.3	294.3	1295.1	1293.9	294.4	294.3
3269	Pottery products, n.e.c. (12/75 = 100)	132.5	133.3	133.3	135.5	150.1	150.1	150.1	150.1	151.4	r 151.5	152.6	152.6
3271	Concrete block and brick	233.0	237.8	240.0	240.0	240.2	249.5	250.6	252.3	259.3	259.4	259.4	259.4
3273	Beady-mixed concrete	249.2	252.4	254.0	DEAG	057.0	070.0	070.0	075.5	1070.0	10045	000 5	
3274	Lime $(12/75 = 100)$	141.0	144.2	144.6	144.2	1446	140.5	1525	2/5.5	2/8.8	281.5	282.5	282.5
3275	Gynsum products	252.0	255 A	255.0	050 0	144.0	149.5	153.5	155.0	157.1	157.3	157.4	159.6
3291	Abrasive products $(12/71 - 100)$	107.0	100.4	105.1	200.0	200.0	200.9	202.8	268.1	264.6	257.0	257.5	253.5
3297	Nonclay refractories $(12/74 - 100)$	145.6	140.7	195.1	195.3	190.0	199.4	203.3	203.9	212.0	211.8	213.5	215.2
3312	Blast furnaces and steel mills	200.0	202.2	206.4	152.3	152.3	152.0	153.3	154.2	157.4	159.7	161.2	162.8
3313	Electrometallurgical products (12/75 - 100)	1110	116.0	116.0	297.1	297.7	302.4	302.9	304.1	312.0	313.3	313.4	308.5
3316	Cold finishing of steel shapes	265.5	270.0	271 7	0724	272.0	074.1	077.1	077.0	118.7	118.6	118.7	117.0
3317	Steel nines and tubes	200.0	270.9	271.7	273.4	273.9	2/4.1	2/1.1	211.2	285.9	288.1	288.2	282.2
3321	Grav iron foundries $(12/68 = 100)$	255.8	254.8	267.1	269.6	2/3.2	280.5	281.0	283.2	1286.8	286.9	290.5	292.5
		200.0	204.0	207.1	203.0	209.7	213.1	270.9	211.2	2/9.0	200.5	2/9.9	280.4
3333	Primary zinc	265.7	264.2	265.2	257.8	265.7	266.1	272.4	279.6	1274.3	268.2	268.6	255.8
3334	Primary aluminum	243.1	248.2	256.0	263.2	266.6	267.0	267.0	267.8	276.0	287.0	288.6	293.3
3351	Copper rolling and drawing	213.2	216.7	226.3	222.6	225.0	231.0	253.1	238.6	1227.4	1222.8	220.4	223.3
3353	Aluminum sheet plate and foil (12/75 = 100)	148.9	150.0	150.7	151.3	151.7	153.2	153.5	155.5	r 157.8	157.6	157.7	158.2
3354	Aluminum extruded products (12/75 = 100)	149.3	151.9	155.2	157.4	158.0	158.8	158.9	160.9	r 167.7	167.7	167.7	168.3
3355	Aluminum rolling, drawing, n.e.c. (12/75 = 100)	132.4	133.5	136.9	139.9	140.5	140.7	141.0	141.1	143.8	145.2	146.5	147.2
3411	Metal cans	264.1	263.5	273.8	274.6	274.7	276.6	277.3	279.9	295.1	295.2	294.9	295.6
3425	Hand saws and saw blades (12/72 = 100)	163.3	166.4	167.1	169.5	169.8	173.1	174.6	176.4	r 178.0	181.5	181.7	183.3
3431	Metal sanitary ware	224.8	229.2	230.1	231.7	232.9	237.8	242.1	243.1	245.5	249.7	249.9	250.9
3465	Automotive stampings (12/75 = 100)	128.5	131.6	132.4	132.4	132.4	132.4	132.4	132.7	133.5	133.8	138.1	138.1
3482	Small arms ammunition $(12/75 = 100)$	132.2	134.0	133.2	133.6	143.2	143.2	143.2	1426	11417	11414	150.2	140.0
3493	Steel springs, except wire	219.8	222.8	223.7	224 1	225.6	226 1	226.6	228.6	1 220 2	1 220 2	230.1	230.1
3494	Valves and pipe fittings (12/71 = 100)	204.8	207.5	210.4	212.5	214.3	216.9	219.6	223 1	1 220 4	1 220.0	221.2	221.8
3498	Fabricated pipe and fittings	289.2	294.9	297.3	297.4	297.4	301 7	301.8	303 5	12120	12121	212.0	217.0
3519	Internal combustion engines, n.e.c.	243.3	251.8	254.2	254.9	254.9	260.5	261.8	266.1	1270.6	12716	270.3	275.1
3531	Construction machinery (12/76 = 100)	125.1	126.5	128.9	129.4	130.9	134.6	135.7	136.3	1138.6	1120.5	140.0	1415
3532	Mining machinery (12/72 = 100)	229.4	232.7	233.1	235.4	236.4	245.8	247 1	247.8	1256.0	1257 3	257.1	250 4
3533	Oilfield machinery and equipment	291.6	296.8	300.5	302.8	309.1	314.2	316.2	318.9	1329.8	13331	337 4	3426
3534	Elevators and moving stairways	215.9	219.1	219.4	220.6	220.9	225.6	226.1	229 1	232 6	234 1	242 5	244.2
3542	Machine tools, metal forming types (12/71 = 100)	242.8	247.9	249.8	253.7	256.7	266.1	268.1	269.4	1274.3	275.1	279.8	284.9
0540													201.0
3546	Power driven hand tools $(12/76 = 100)$.	119.3	120.4	122.0	122.8	124.4	126.3	126.6	127.4	r 129.0	131.2	130.6	133.5
0002	Weather machinery (12/09 = 100)	194.7	198.2	199.3	200.6	200.6	202.6	205.2	207.0	1213.4	213.6	217.0	222.1
3003	woodworking machinery (12/72 = 100)	185.4	190.0	192.6	192.7	192.9	201.2	201.6	205.1	1212.3	1212.1	214.0	216.3
35/6	Scales and balances, excluding laboratory	194.2	195.4	195.7	199.5	201.0	204.2	205.8	206.6	r 207.5	208.2	208.6	208.8
3592	Carburetors, pistons, rings, valves (6/76 = 100)	139.6	140.7	142.8	145.1	145.3	147.5	147.8	148.6	152.6	' 153.0	153.2	158.3
3612	Transformers	168.1	168.4	171.2	170.4	171.6	172.9	176.6	177.5	' 180.5	' 181.5	183.2	186.2
3623	Welding apparatus, electric (12/72 = 100)	192.2	195.1	196.9	198.6	200.3	201.3	203.3	206.0	r 207.0	r 209.2	211.0	212.3
3631	Household cooking equipment (12/75 = 100)	122.2	124.3	124.4	125.9	126.3	128.7	129.3	129.4	r 129.7	133.1	133.4	134.7
3032	Household leurade an interest (10 (70 - 100)	113.6	115.1	115.1	115.7	116.3	117.0	118.5	118.6	r 119.3	r 119.4	121.5	121.7
3033	Household laundry equipment (12/73 = 100)	148.8	150.6	150.9	152.3	153.5	154.0	156.6	158.3	r 160.3	161.7	162.8	160.1
3635	Household vacuum cleaners	1417	141 9	144.5	144.7	145.9	146.1	140.7	151.2	1149 6	1140.0	140.0	151.0
3636	Sewing machines (12/75 = 100)	1214	122.2	122.6	122.6	122.6	122.6	120.2	120.2	140.0	149.3	120.0	101.9
3641	Electric lamps	235.2	2427	244.8	238 7	240.9	249.5	252.4	251.0	129.2	129.2	260.0	129.4
3644	Noncurrent-carrying wiring devices $(12/72 = 100)$	204.6	209 1	210.5	211.0	215.0	212.0	215.9	215.0	10174	201.3	200.0	200.4
3646	Commercial lighting fixtures (12/75 = 100)	126.5	130.5	131.4	131.6	131.0	133.4	134.2	136.0	1129.0	1120 5	120.0	120.0
3648	Lighting equipment, n.e.c. (12/75 = 100)	126.0	128.5	129.6	129.8	130.5	133.0	132.0	134.6	130.0	130.5	140.4	140 5
3671	Electron tubes receiving type	220.3	227.2	227.2	227 4	227.7	220 1	220.4	220 7	139.4	140.2	254.9	140.5
3674	Semiconductors and related devices	84.8	84.7	85.1	85.6	86.4	86.9	88 5	80.2	100.4	101.0	01.0	200.1
3675	Electronic capacitors (12/75 = 100)	125.2	134 1	133.9	135.8	138.0	147.7	140.1	151.2	1157.0	1160.7	156.0	164.0
3676	Electronic resistors (12/75 = 100)	124.4	125.2	126.6	126.7	127.3	127.4	128.8	131.8	131.0	133.0	135.0	135.1
								120.0	101.0	101.0	103.0	155.0	133.1
3678	Electronic connectors (12/75 = 100)	131.7	137.6	138.9	140.7	142.1	145.1	146.4	146.7	r 146.5	146.8	148.8	149.0
3692	Primary batteries, dry and wet	170.1	172.8	173.1	173.1	174.1	174.2	176.5	176.6	176.8	176.4	176.4	176.4
5/11	Motor vehicles and car bodies (12/75 = 100)	125.1	122.5	130.2	130.1	130.4	132.7	131.6	131.8	r 135.5	r 134.5	134.1	136.8
5942	Dolls $(12/75 = 100)$	110.8	112.6	112.9	112.9	113.0	122.7	125.4	125.6	127.7	128.4	126.7	126.7
5944	Games, toys, and children's vehicles	182.7	185.1	186.2	186.3	186.6	198.7	203.8	204.0	1205.0	1205.3	204.0	204.4
5955	Carbon paper and inked ribbons (12/75 = 100)	118.6	118.7	123.1	125.2	125.2	126.2	128.2	128.3	131.5	133.3	136.4	136.4

122.5

126.3

124.8

128.3

123.1

131.0

124.8

134.1

124.8

134.1

128.3

138.6

128.3

138.7

128.3

138.7

128.4

143.2

130.3

143.3 143.3

132.2

132.2

146.1

¹ Data for April and May 1980 have been revised to reflect the availability of late reports and corrections by respondents. All data are subject to revision 4 months after original publication.

Hard surface floor coverings (12/75 = 100)

3995

3996

Burial caskets (6/76 = 100)

PRODUCTIVITY DATA

PRODUCTIVITY DATA are compiled by the Bureau of Labor Statistics from establishment data and from estimates of compensation and output supplied by the U.S. Department of Commerce and the Federal Reserve Board.

Definitions

Output is the constant dollar gross domestic product produced in a given period. Indexes of output per hour of labor input, or labor productivity, measure the value of goods and services produced per hour of labor. Compensation per hour includes wages and salaries of employees plus employers' contributions for social insurance and private benefit plans. The data also include an estimate of wages, salaries, and supplementary payments for the self-employed, except for nonfinancial corporations, in which there are no self-employed. Real compensation per hour is compensation per hour adjusted by the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers.

Unit labor cost measures the labor compensation cost required to produce one unit of output and is derived by dividing compensation by output. Unit nonlabor payments include profits, depreciation, interest, and indirect taxes per unit of output. They are computed by subtracting compensation of all persons from the current dollar gross domestic product and dividing by output. In these tables, Unit nonlabor costs contain all the components of unit nonlabor payments except unit profits. Unit profits include corporate profits and inventory valuation adjustments per unit of output.

The **implicit price deflator** is derived by dividing the current dollar estimate of gross product by the constant dollar estimate, making the deflator, in effect, a price index for gross product of the sector reported.

The use of the term "man-hours" to identify the labor component of productivity and costs, in tables 31 through 34, has been discontinued. Hours of all persons is now used to describe the labor input of payroll workers, self-employed persons, and unpaid family workers. Output per all-employee hour is now used to describe labor productivity in nonfinancial corporations where there are no self-employed.

Notes on the data

In the private business sector and the nonfarm business sector, the basis for the output measure employed in the computation of output per hour is Gross Domestic Product rather than Gross National Product. Computation of hours includes estimates of nonfarm and farm proprietor hours.

Output data are supplied by the Bureau of Economic Analysis, U.S. Department of Commerce, and the Federal Reserve Board. Quarterly manufacturing output indexes are adjusted by the Bureau of Labor Statistics to annual estimates of output (gross product originating) from the Bureau of Economic Analysis. Compensation and hours data are from the Bureau of Economic Analysis and the Bureau of Labor Statistics.

Beginning with the September 1976 issue of the *Review*, tables 31– 34 were revised to reflect changeover to the new series—private business sector and nonfarm business sector—which differ from the previously published total private economy and nonfarm sector in that output imputed for owner-occupied dwellings and the household and institutions sectors, as well as the statistical discrepancy, are omitted. For a detailed explanation, see J. R. Norsworthy and L. J. Fulco, "New sector definitions for productivity series," *Monthly Labor Review*, October 1976, pages 40–42.

Item	1950	1955	1960	1965	1970	1972	1973	1974	1975	1976	1977	1978	1979
Private business sector:													
Output per hour of all persons	61.2	70.6	79.0	95.1	104.4	111.5	113.6	110.2	112.6	116.6	118.7	119.3	118.
Compensation per hour	42.6	56.1	72.2	88.7	123.3	139.8	151.3	165.2	181.7	197.6	213.3	231.4	253
Real compensation per hour	59.2	69.9	81.4	93.9	106.0	111.6	113.6	111.8	112.7	115.9	117.5	118.4	116
Linit labor cost	69.6	79.4	91.4	93.3	118.2	125.4	133.2	149.8	161.3	169.5	179.7	194.0	214
Unit nonlabor payments	73.1	80.4	85.4	95.9	105.8	118.9	124.9	130.3	150.3	157.9	165.5	174.3	184
Implicit price deflator	70.8	79.8	89.3	94.2	113.9	123.2	130.3	143.1	157.5	165.5	174.8	187.2	203
onfarm business sector:													
Output per hour of all persons	67.2	74.6	81.2	96.0	103.2	110.1	112.0	108.6	110.7	114.6	116.4	116.9	115
Compensation per hour	45.6	59.0	74.5	89.4	121.9	138.4	149.2	163.0	179.3	194.2	209.6	227.5	247
Real compensation per hour	63.3	73.6	84.1	94.6	104.8	110.5	112.1	110.4	111.2	113.9	115.5	116.4	114
Unit labor cost	68.0	79.1	91.7	93.2	118.1	125.7	133.2	150.1	161.9	169.5	180.1	194.6	214
Unit nonlabor navments	71.4	80.1	84.4	95.8	106.0	117.4	117.8	124.7	145.9	156.0	163.8	169.9	178
Implicit price deflator	69.1	79.4	89.2	94.1	114.0	122.9	127.9	141.4	156.4	164.8	174.5	186.1	202
onfinancial corporations:													
Output per bour of all employees	(1)	(1)	80.6	96.9	103.7	110.6	112.9	108.7	112.2	115.8	117.0	118.0	117
Compensation per hour	(1)	(1)	76.0	90.1	121.8	136.7	147.6	161.7	177.9	192.7	208.0	225.0	244
Beal compensation per hour	(1)	(1)	85.7	95.3	104.7	109.1	110.9	109.5	110.4	113.0	114.6	115.2	112
Unit labor cost	(1)	(1)	94.3	93.0	117.4	123.7	130.7	148.8	158.6	166.4	177.7	190.6	208
Unit nonlabor payments	(1)	(1)	90.8	100.1	103.5	114.8	116.8	124.8	148.1	156.8	164.4	170.6	179
Implicit price deflator	(1)	(1)	93.1	95.5	112.5	120.5	125.8	140.2	154.9	163.0	173.0	183.5	198
lanufacturing:	()												
Output per hour of all persons	65.8	75.0	79.8	98.4	105.0	115.7	118.9	113.0	118.8	124.0	127.7	128.2	129
Compensation per hour	45.6	61.2	78.0	91.1	122.3	136.6	146.5	161.7	181.1	196.1	212.7	229.9	250
Real compensation per hour	63.3	76.3	88.0	96.4	105.1	109.0	110.1	109.5	112.3	115.0	117.2	117.6	115
I Init labor cost	69.4	81.6	97.7	92.6	116.5	118.1	123.2	143.1	152.4	158.2	166.6	179.4	194
Linit nonlabor navments	82.3	88.6	92.3	103.3	96.2	107.4	106.4	105.6	128.4	139.6	147.4	152.4	154
Implicit price deflator	73.3	83.8	96.1	95.9	110.3	114.8	118.0	131.6	145.1	152.5	160.7	171.1	18

Item						Year						Annua of ch	al rate hange
	1969	1970	1971	1972	1973	1974	1975	1976	1977	1978	1979	1950-79	1960-79
Private husiness sector													
Output per hour of all persons	0.2	0.7	22	24	10	20	2.1	25	1.0	0.5	0.0	0.5	
Compensation per hour	6.0	7.2	5.5	6.0	1.9	-3.0	2.1	3.5	1.0	0.5	-0.8	2.5	2.1
Real compensation per hour	1.4	1.2	0.7	0.2	0.2	9.2	10.0	0.0	8.0	8.5	9.4	5.9	6.9
Linit labor cost	6.6	1.2 C A	2.0	2.0	1.9	-1.0	.0	2.0	1.4	0.8	-1./	2.5	2.0
Unit contabor comparts	0.0	0.4	3.3	2.0	0.2	12.5	1.1	5.0	6.0	8.0	10.3	3.3	4./
Implicit price deflator	1.0	1.2	0.0	5.3	5.0	4.4	15.3	5.1	4.8	5.3	5.8	3.0	4.2
Nonform business sector	4.7	4.7	4.4	3.0	5.8	9.8	10.1	5.0	5.6	7.1	8.9	3.2	4.5
Output per bour of all percept	0		0.0		4.7								
Companyation per hour of all persons	.2	.2	3.0	3.6	1.7	-3.1	2.0	3.5	1.5	.5	-1.1	2.1	1.9
Compensation per hour	6.4	6.8	6.7	6.4	7.8	9.2	10.0	8.3	7.9	8.6	9.0	5.6	6.7
Hear compensation per nour	1.0	.8	2.3	3.0	1.5	-1.6	.8	2.4	1.4	.8	-2.1	2.2	1.7
Unit labor cost	6.7	6.5	3.5	2.7	6.0	12.7	7.9	4.7	6.3	8.0	10.2	3.4	4.7
Unit noniabor payments	.4	1.6	6.7	3.8	.3	5.9	17.0	6.9	5.0	3.7	5.1	2.9	4.0
Implicit price deflator	4.5	4.9	4.5	3.1	4.1	10.5	10.6	5.4	5.9	6.6	8.6	3.3	4.5
Nontinancial corporations:													
Output per hour of all employees	.4	.0	3.3	3.1	2.1	-3.7	3.2	3.2	1.1	.9	4	(1)	1.9
Compensation per hour	6.8	6.8	6.2	5.7	7.9	9.6	10.0	8.3	7.9	8.2	8.9	(1)	6.5
Real compensation per hour	1.3	.8	1.8	2.4	1.6	-1.3	.8	2.4	1.4	.5	-2.2	(1)	1.6
Unit labor cost	6.3	6.8	2.7	2.5	5.7	13.8	6.6	4.9	6.8	7.3	9.3	(1)	4.5
Unit nonlabor payments	0	.5	7.3	3.3	1.8	6.8	18.7	5.8	4.9	3.8	5.2	(1)	3.6
Implicit price deflator	4.1	4.6	4.2	2.8	4.4	11.5	10.5	5.2	6.1	6.1	7.9	(1)	4.2
Manufacturing:													
Output per hour of all persons	1.3	1	5.2	4.8	2.8	-5.0	5.1	4.4	3.0	.4	0.8	2.5	2.5
Compensation per hour	6.6	7.1	6.2	5.2	7.2	10.4	12.0	8.3	8.4	8.1	9.1	5.5	r 6.5
Real compensation per hour	1.2	1.1	1.9	1.8	.9	5	2.6	2.4	1.9	.4	-2.0	2.1	1.5
Unit labor cost	5.2	7.2	.9	.4	4.3	16.1	6.6	3.8	5.3	7.7	8.2	2.9	3.9
Unit nonlabor payments	-4.4	-3.2	9.2	2.3	-1.0	7	21.6	8.8	5.5	3.4	1.3	1.9	2.5
Implicit price deflator	2.3	4.2	3.1	1.0	2.8	11.5	10.2	5.1	5.4	6.5	6.3	2.6	3.5

33.	Quarterly	indexes o	f productivity,	hourly	compensation,	unit costs	s, and	prices,	seasonally	adjusted
[1967=	= 100]									

Item average 1978 1977 Private business sector: 1978 Output per hour of all persons 119.3 Compensation per hour 231.4 231.4 253. Real compensation per hour 118.4 Unit labor cost 194.0 Unit nonlabor payments 174.3 Implicit price deflator 187.2 Output per hour of all persons 116.9 Compensation per hour 227.5 Quity per hour of all persons 116.4 Implicit price deflator 186.1 Compensation per hour 227.5 Pade 194.6 Uhit habor cost 196.9 Unit nonlabor payments 169.9 Implicit price deflator 186.1 Compensation per hour 226.2 Vonfinancial corporations: 118.0 Output per hour of all employees 118.0 Output per hour of all employees 193.3 Output per hour of all employees 193.3 Output per hour of all employees 193.3	1977 IV 119.0 218.8 117.9 183.9 168.5 178.6	I 118.5 224.6 118.8	19 II 119.1	110 7	IV	1	19 	79 III	IV	15	180
1978 1978 1978 Private business sector: Output per hour of all persons 119.3 118. Compensation per hour 231.4 253. Real compensation per hour 231.4 253. Real compensation per hour 118.4 116. 114.4 116. Unit labor cost. 119.4 116. 115. 200. Nonfarm business sector. 0utput per hour of all persons 116.9 115. 207.5 247. Real compensation per hour 227.5 247. 184.6 114. 114.6 114. 114.6 114. 114.6 114. 115. 200. 115.2 247. 247.5 247.5 247.5 247.5 247.5 247.5 247.5 247.5 247.5 247.5 244. 116.0 117. 200. 118.0 117.7 200.7 241.5 112.2 112.2 112.2 112.2 112.2 112.2 112.2 112.2 112.2 112.2 112.2 112.2 115.2 112.2 112.2 </th <th>IV 119.0 218.8 117.9 183.9 168.5 178.6</th> <th>1 118.5 224.6 118.8</th> <th>II 119.1</th> <th>110.7</th> <th>IV</th> <th>I</th> <th></th> <th>III</th> <th>IV</th> <th>I</th> <th>Ш</th>	IV 119.0 218.8 117.9 183.9 168.5 178.6	1 118.5 224.6 118.8	II 119.1	110.7	IV	I		III	IV	I	Ш
Private business sector: 119.3 118. Compensation per hour 231.4 253. Real compensation per hour 231.4 253. Real compensation per hour 118.4 116. Unit labor cost 119.3 118.4 Unit inonlabor payments 174.3 184. Implicit price deflator 187.2 203. Norfarm business sector: 0. 116.9 115. Compensation per hour 227.5 247. Real compensation per hour 116.4 114. Unit labor cost 194.6 214. Unit labor cost 194.6 214. Unit labor cost 196.9 178. Implicit price deflator 186.1 202. Nonfinancial corporations: 116.9 117. Output per hour of all employees 118.0 117. Compensation per hour 225.0 244. Real compensation per hour 115.2 112. Total unit costs 193.3 210. Unit labor cost	119.0 218.8 117.9 183.9 168.5 178.6	118.5 224.6 118.8	119.1	110.7							"
Output per hour of all persons 119.3 118. Compensation per hour 231.4 263. Real compensation per hour 118.4 116. Unit labor cost 194.0 214. Unit nonlabor payments 174.3 184. Implicit price deflator 187.2 203. Nonfarm business sector: 0 227.5 Output per hour of all persons 116.9 115. Compensation per hour 227.5 247. Real compensation per hour 227.5 247. Real compensation per hour 116.4 114. Unit labor cost 194.6 214. Unit labor cost 194.6 244. Unit labor cost 196.1 202. Nonfinancial corporations: 116.0 117. Compensation per hour 225.0 244. Real compensation per hour 225.0 244. Real compensation per hour 115.2 112. Total unit costs 193.3 210. Unit labor cost 190.6	119.0 218.8 117.9 183.9 168.5 178.6	118.5 224.6 118.8	119.1	1107							
Compensation per hour 231.4 253. Real compensation per hour 118.4 116. Unit labor cost 1194.0 214. Unit inonlabor payments 174.3 184. Implicit price deflator 187.2 203. Norfarm business sector: 0 116.9 115. Compensation per hour 227.5 247. Real compensation per hour 116.4 114. Unit labor cost 194.6 214. Unit nonlabor payments 169.9 178. Implicit price deflator 186.1 203. Norfinancial corporations: 0 116.0 117. Compensation per hour 225.0 244. 118.0 117. Compensation per hour 225.0 244. 115.2 112. 112. Notifinancial corporations: 115.2 112. 115.2 112. 115.2 112. Output per hour of all employees 119.3 210. 116.4 115.2 112. Total unit costs 19	218.8 117.9 183.9 168.5 178.6	224.6	0000	119.7	119.8	118.9	118.3	117.8	117.7	117.7	117.1
Real compensation per hour 118.4 116. Unit labor cost 194.0 214. Implicit price deflator 187.2 203. Nonfarm business sector: 187.2 203. Output per hour of all persons 116.9 115. Compensation per hour 227.5 247. Real compensation per hour 116.4 114. Unit labor cost 194.6 214. Unit nonlabor payments 169.9 178. Implicit price deflator 186.1 202. Nonfiancial corporations: 194.6 214. Output per hour of all employees 118.0 117. Compensation per hour 225.0 244. Real compensation per hour 225.0 244. Real compensation per hour 115.2 112. Total unit costs 193.3 210. Unit itabor costs 201.8 216. Unit profits 127.2 127. Implicit price deflator 183.2 196.8	117.9 183.9 168.5 178.6	118.8	228.8	233.7	238.4	244.8	250.4	255.7	260.3	267.6	275.3
Unit labor cost 194.0 214. Unit nonlabor payments 174.3 184. Implicit price deflator 187.2 183.2 Nonfarm business sector: 116.9 115. Compensation per hour 227.5 247. Real compensation per hour 227.5 247. Real compensation per hour 116.4 114. Unit labor cost 194.6 214. Implicit price deflator 186.1 202. Nonfiancial corporations: 186.1 202. Nontinancial corporations: 118.0 117. Compensation per hour 225.0 244. Real compensation per hour 225.0 244. Real compensation per hour 115.2 112. Total unit costs 190.3 201.0 208. Unit it labor cost 190.6 208. 201.8 216. Unit it cofts 127.2 127.2 127.2 127.2 127.2	183.9 168.5 178.6	110.0	118.3	118.2	117.9	117.9	117.0	115.8	114.2	112.9	112.4
Unit nonlabor payments 174.3 184. Implicit price deflator 187.2 203. Nonfarm business sector: 116.9 115. Compensation per hour 227.5 247. Real compensation per hour 116.4 114. Unit nonlabor payments 169.9 178. Implicit price deflator 186.1 202. Nonfinancial corporations: 116.0 117. Output per hour of all employees 118.0 117. Compensation per hour 225.5 244. Unit nonlabor payments 169.9 178. Implicit price deflator 225.0 244. Compensation per hour 225.5 244. Real compensation per hour 115.2 112. Total unit costs 193.3 210. Unit it abor cost 190.6 208. Unit it profits 127.2 127.2 Implicit price deflator 183.5 196.5	168.5 178.6	189.4	192.1	195.2	199.0	205.9	211.7	217.0	221.1	227.5	235 1
Implicit price deflator 187.2 203. Norfarm business sector: 0 116.9 115. Output per hour of all persons 116.9 115. 115. Compensation per hour 227.5 247. Real compensation per hour 116.4 114. Unit labor cost 194.6 214. 194.6 214. Unit nonlabor payments 169.9 178. 186.1 202. Nonfiancial corporations: Output per hour of all employees 118.0 117. Compensation per hour 225.0 244. Real compensation per hour 225.0 244. Real compensation per hour 115.2 112. Total unit costs 193.3 210. Unit labor cost 190.6 208. Unit nonlabor costs 201.8 216. 211.8 216. 217.2 127.2 Unit profits 127.2 127.2 127.2 127.2 127.2 127.2	178.6	164.8	173.9	177.0	181.3	180.8	183.7	185.6	188.3	190.0	193 1
Nonfarm business sector: 116.9 115. Output per hour of all persons 116.9 115. Compensation per hour 227.5 247. Real compensation per hour 116.4 114. Unit labor cost 194.6 214. Implicit price deflator 186.1 202. Nonfinancial corporations: 118.0 117. Output per hour of all employees 118.0 117. Compensation per hour 225.0 244. Real compensation per hour 115.2 112. Total unit costs 190.3 201.0 Unit it labor cost 190.6 208. Unit inonlabor costs 201.8 216. Unit inorialator 127.2 127. Implicit per deflator 183.3 100.		180.9	185.8	188.9	192.9	197.2	202.0	206.1	209.7	214.5	220.6
Output per hour of all persons 116.9 115. Compensation per hour 227.5 247. Real compensation per hour 116.4 114. Unit labor cost 116.4 114. Unit nonlabor payments 169.9 178. Implicit price deflator 186.1 270. Nonfinancial corporations: 117. 225.0 Output per hour of all employees 118.0 117. Compensation per hour 225.0 244. Real compensation per hour 115.2 112. Total unit costs 193.3 210. Unit it labor cost 190.6 208. Unit nonlabor costs 201.8 216. Unit incrice deflator 182.5 193.3											220.0
Compensation per hour 227.5 247. Real compensation per hour 116.4 114. Unit labor cost 1194.6 214. Unit nonlabor payments 169.9 178. Implicit price deflator 186.1 202. Nonfinancial corporations: 0utput per hour of all employees 118.0 117. Compensation per hour 225.0 244. Real compensation per hour 115.2 112. Total unit costs 193.3 210. Unit honlabor costs 190.6 208. Unit nonlabor costs 201.8 216. 127.2 127. Unit profits 127.2 127.2 127. 127.2	116.4	116.2	116.7	117.4	117.6	116.6	115.4	115.0	115.2	114.9	114.1
Real compensation per hour 116.4 114. Unit labor cost 194.6 214. Unit nonlabor payments 169.9 178. Implicit price deflator 186.1 202. Nonfinancial corporations: 186.1 202. Output per hour of all employees 118.0 117. Compensation per hour 225.0 244. Real compensation per hour 115.2 112. Total unit costs 190.3 201.6 Unit nonlabor costs 201.8 216. Unit nonlabor costs 202. 127.2 Immicit ince deflator 183.2 126.	1215.1	221.0	224.9	229.5	234.4	240.2	244.9	249.9	255.6	262.2	269.0
Unit labor cost 194.6 214. Unit nonlabor payments 169.9 178. Implicit price deflator 186.1 278. Nonfinancial corporations: 186.1 177. Output per hour of all employees 118.0 117. Compensation per hour 225.0 244. Real compensation per hour 115.2 112. Total unit costs 193.3 210. Unit it labor cost 190.6 208. Unit profits 127.2 127. Implicit per delator 183.5 196.6	115.9	116.9	116.3	116.1	115.9	115.7	114.4	113.2	1121	110.6	109.9
Unit nonlabor payments 169.9 178. Implicit price deflator 186.1 202. Nonfinancial corporations: 180.0 117. Compensation per hour 225.0 244. Real compensation per hour 115.2 112. Total unit costs 193.3 210. Unit labor costs 201.8 216. Unit profits 127.2 127. Immleic ince deflator 183.5 198.5	r 184.8	190.2	192.8	195.6	199.3	206.0	1212.1	217.3	221.8	228.2	235.8
Implicit price deflator 186.1 202. Nonfinancial corporations: 0utput per hour of all employees 118.0 117. Compensation per hour 225.0 244. Real compensation per hour 115.2 112. Total unit costs 193.3 210. Unit labor costs 201.8 216. Unit profits 127.2 127. Immlicit noise deflator 183.5 198.6	r 165.9	161.1	169.1	173.0	176.1	174.3	177.6	180.5	182.5	185.9	191.1
Nonfinancial corporations: 118.0 117. Output per hour of all employees 118.0 117. Compensation per hour 225.0 244. Real compensation per hour 115.2 112. Total unit costs 193.3 210. Unit labor cost 190.6 208. Unit nonlabor costs 201.8 216. Unit profits 127.2 127. Immlicit nois deflator 183.5 198.6	178.3	180.2	184.7	187.8	191.4	195.1	200.3	204.7	208.4	213.7	220.5
Output per hour of all employees 118.0 117. Compensation per hour 225.0 244. Real compensation per hour 115.2 112. Total unit costs 193.3 210. Unit labor costs 190.6 208. Unit nonlabor costs 201.8 216. Unit profits 127.2 127. Immicing deflator 183.5 198.3										210.1	220.0
Compensation per hour 225.0 244. Real compensation per hour 115.2 112. Total unit costs 193.3 210. Unit labor cost 190.6 208. Unit nonlabor costs 201.8 216. Unit profits 127.2 127. Immicine deflator 183.5 198.5	116.9	116.9	118.0	118.5	118.8	118.1	117.3	1172	1171	1171	1167
Real compensation per hour 115.2 112. Total unit costs 193.3 210. Unit labor cost 190.6 208. Unit nonlabor costs 201.8 216. Unit profits 127.2 127. Immicing deflator 183.5 198.6	213.2	219.0	222.6	226.9	231.3	237.3	2421	247 1	252 1	258.8	265.7
Total unit costs 193.3 210. Unit labor cost 190.6 208. Unit nonlabor costs 201.8 216. Unit profits 127.2 127. Immlicit price 183.5 198.5	114.9	115.8	115.1	114.8	114.4	114.3	113.1	111.9	110.6	109.2	108.5
Unit labor cost 190.6 208. Unit nonlabor costs 201.8 216. Unit profits 127.2 127. Implicit price deflator 183.5 198.8	186.3	190.8	191.6	194.0	196.8	202.3	208.0	213.2	218.0	224 3	233.2
Unit nonlabor costs 201.8 216. Unit profits 127.2 127.2 Implicit price deflator 183.5 198.8	182.3	187.3	188.7	191.5	194.8	201.0	206.4	210.8	215.3	221.1	227.6
Unit profits	198.7	201.5	200.8	201.6	203.1	206.5	213.2	220.5	226.1	234.4	250.7
Implicit price deflator 183.5 198	122.2	107.1	129.2	132.7	138.7	130.3	129.2	127.5	124.0	120.5	110.9
100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0	176.8	178.3	182.3	184.9	188.2	191.6	196.3	200.4	204.0	208.9	215.0
Manufacturing:								200.1	201.0	200.0	210.0
Output per hour for all persons 128.2 129.	128.3	126.3	127.7	129.3	129.5	128.3	128.8	129.6	129 1	128.4	127.0
Compensation per hour	218.3	223.9	227.1	231.7	236.6	242.3	248.0	2527	258.0	264.6	274 1
Real compensation per hour	117.6	118.4	117.5	117.2	117.0	1167	115.9	114.4	113.2	1116	1120
Unit labor cost	170.1	177.2	177.9	179.1	1827	189.0	192.6	195.0	199.8	206.0	215.0

34. Percent change from preceding quarter and year in productivity, hourly compensation, unit costs, and prices, seasonally adjusted at annual rate

[1967=100]

		Quarter	rly percent c	hange at ann	ual rate			Percent cl	hange from s	ame quarter	a year ago	
Item	IV 1978 to I 1979	l 1979 to ll 1979	II 1979 to III 1979	III 1979 to IV 1979	IV 1979 to I 1980	l 1980 to II 1980	l 1978 to l 1979	ll 1978 to ll 1979	III 1978 to III 1979	IV 1978 to IV 1979	l 1979 to l 1980	II 1979 to II 1980
trivate business sector:												
Output por hour of all pareone	21	-20	-14	_03	-03	-19	0.3	-07	-16	-17	-1.0	-1.0
Componentian per bour	11.0	0.5	97	75	11.7	11.0	9.0	94	94	92	93	C99
Compensation per nour	11.0	3.5	4.1	5.4	4.5	16	0.8	-11	-21	_32	-42	-39
Heal compensation per nour	2	-2.9	10.2	-0.4	121	14.1	9.7	10.2	11.2	11.1	10.5	11.0
Unit labor cost	14.0	11.0	10.3	7.0	12.1	66	0.7	57	11.2	20	5.1	51
Unit noniabor payments	-1.0	0.0	4.2	5.9	3.0	0.0	9.7	9.7	4.0	0.7	9.9	0.1
Implicit price deflator	9.3	10.1	8.3	1.2	9.4	11.0	9.0	0.7	9.1	0.7	0.0	0.2
ontarm business sector:						0.0			20	20	14	10
Output per hour of all persons	-3.3	-3.9	-1.5	0.8	-1.1	-2.9	.4	-1.1	-2.0	-2.0	-1.4	-1.2
Compensation per hour	10.2	8.1	8.5	9.5	10.7	10.7	8.7	8.9	8.9	9.1	9.2	9.0
Real compensation per hour	9	-4.2	-4.4	-3.6	-5.3	-2.6	-1.0	-1.6	-2.5	-3.3	-4.4	-4.0
Unit labor cost	14.0	12.5	10.1	8.6	12.0	14.1	8.3	10.1	11.1	11.3	10.8	11.2
Unit nonlabor payments	-3.9	7.7	6.6	4.6	7.5	11.7	8.2	5.0	4.3	3.7	6.6	7.6
Implicit price deflator	8.1	11.0	9.0	7.4	10.6	13.3	8.3	8.5	9.0	8.9	9.5	10.1
onfinancial corporations:												
Output per hour of all employees	-2.3	-2.7	-0.3	-0.4	-0.1	-1.1	1.0	6	-1.1	-1.4	-0.9	-0.5
Compensation per hour	10.8	8.3	8.5	8.4	11.0	11.1	8.4	8.7	8.9	9.0	9.0	9.7
Real compensation per hour	4	-4.1	-4.3	-4.5	-5.1	-2.3	-1.3	-1.8	-2.6	-3.3	-4.5	-4.1
Total unit costs	11.7	11.8	10.2	9.3	12.2	16.8	6.1	8.6	9.9	10.8	10.9	12.1
Unit labor costs	13.4	11.2	8.8	8.9	11.1	12.3	7.3	9.4	10.1	10.6	10.0	10.3
Unit nonlabor costs	6.8	13.5	14.6	10.6	15.4	31.0	2.5	6.2	9.4	11.3	13.5	17.6
Unit profits	-22.1	-3.4	-5.3	-10.4	-10.9	-28.2	21.7	0	-3.9	-10.6	-7.6	14.2
Implicit price deflator	7.6	10.2	8.6	7.3	9.9	12.3	7.5	7.7	8.4	8.4	9.0	9.5
anufacturino:												
Output per hour of all persons	-38	17	25	-1.4	-2.2	-4.5	1.5	.9	0.2	-0.3	0.1	-1.4
Compensation per hour	10.1	9.6	7.8	8.8	10.5	15.2	8.2	9.2	9,1	9.1	9.2	10.5
Real compensation per hour	- 9	-28	-4.9	-42	-5.5	1.3	-1.5	-1.3	-2.4	-3.3	-4.4	-3.4
Linit labor cost	14.5	79	52	10.3	13.0	20.7	6.6	8.2	8.9	9.4	9.0	12.1

LABOR-MANAGEMENT DATA

MAJOR COLLECTIVE BARGAINING DATA are obtained from contracts on file at the Bureau of Labor Statistics, direct contact with the parties, and from secondary sources. Additional detail is published in *Current Wage Developments*, a monthly periodical of the Bureau. Data on work stoppages are based on confidential responses to questionnaires mailed by the Bureau of Labor Statistics to parties involved in work stoppages. Stoppages initially come to the attention of the Bureau from reports of Federal and State mediation agencies, newspapers, and union and industry publications.

Definitions

Data on wage changes apply to private nonfarm industry agreements covering 1,000 workers or more. Data on wage and benefit changes *combined* apply only to those agreements covering 5,000 workers or more. **First-year wage settlements** refer to pay changes going into effect within the first 12 months after the effective date of the agreement. Changes over the life of the agreement refer to total agreed upon settlements (exclusive of potential cost-of-living escalator adjustments) expressed at an average annual rate. Wage-rate changes are expressed as a percent of straight-time hourly earnings, while wage and benefit changes are expressed as a percent of total compensation.

Effective wage-rate adjustments going into effect in major bargaining units measure changes actually placed into effect during the reference period, whether the result of a newly negotiated increase, a deferred increase negotiated in an earlier year, or as a result of a costof-living escalator adjustment. Average adjustments are affected by workers receiving no adjustment, as well as by those receiving increases or decreases.

Work stoppages include all known strikes or lockouts involving six workers or more and lasting a full shift or longer. Data cover all workers idle one shift or more in establishments directly involved in a stoppage. They do not measure the indirect or secondary effect on other establishments whose employees are idle owing to material or service shortages.

		_	Annual	average					Quarte	rly average			
Sector and measure	1075	1076	1077	1079	1070	1	978		1	979		19	80 P
	1975	1570	15//	1970	19/9	Ш	IV	I	П	ш	IV	I	
Wage and benefit settlements, all industries:													
First-year settlements	11.4	8.5	9.6	83	90	72	61	28	10.5	0.0	0 5	0.0	101
Annual rate over life of contract	8.1	6.6	6.2	6.3	6.6	5.9	5.2	5.3	7.8	6.1	6.0	6.4	6.8
Wage rate settlements, all industries:													
First-vear settlements	10.2	84	7.8	76	7.4	7.5	74	57	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.0	
Annual rate over life of contract	7.8	6.4	5.8	6.4	6.0	6.4	5.9	6.6	7.2	6.8 5.1	5.3	6.3	8.7
										0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
Manufacturing:													
First-year settlements	9.8	8.9	8.4	8.3	6.9	8.4	9.5	8.7	9.7	6.3	5.6	7.0	6.6
Annual rate over life of contract	8.0	6.0	5.5	6.6	5.4	7.2	7.4	7.7	8.1	4.7	4.2	5.6	4.9
Nonmanufacturing (excluding construction):													
First-year settlements	11.9	86	80	80	76	74	64	2.2	9.5	0.4	7.0	0.4	
Annual rate over life of contract	8.0	7.2	5.9	6.5	62	59	5.1	5.6	5.9	5.4	7.8	9.1	10.4
				0.0	v	0.0	0.1	5.0	0.0	0.0	1.4	1.1	8.6
Construction:													
First-year settlements	8.0	6.1	6.3	6.5	8.8	7.0	8.4	97	87	97	75	9.6	127
Annual rate over life of contract	7.5	6.2	6.3	6.2	8.3	7.2	7.1	82	83	85	7.6	03	10.3

36. Effective wage adjustments going into effect in major collective bargaining units, 1975 to date [In percent]

		Averag	e annual c	hanges					Avera	ge quarter	rly change	S		
Sector and measure	1075	1076	1977	1978	1979		1978			19	979		19	80 P
	1975	1370	13/1	1370	10/0	II	Ш	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	11
Total effective wage rate adjustment, all industries Change resulting from —	8.7	8.1	8.0	8.2	9.1	2.6	2.7	1.4	1.4	2.6	3.3	1.6	1.4	2.6
Current settlement	2.8	3.2	3.0	2.0	3.0	.6	.5	.4	.2	1.1	1.0	.5	.4	.7
Prior settlement	3.7	3.2	3.2	3.7	3.0	1.4	1.2	.5	.6	1.0	1.0	.4	.5	1.2
Escalator provision	2.2	1.6	1.7	2.4	3.1	.6	1.0	.5	.6	.5	1.2	.7	.6	.6
Manufacturing	8.5	8.5	8.4	8.6	9.6	2.2	2.9	1.9	1.5	2.3	3.2	2.4	1.7	2.9
Nonmanufacturing	8.9	7.7	7.6	7.9	8.8	2.9	2.5	1.1	1.4	2.8	3.4	1.0	1.2	2.2

		Number o	f stoppages	Workers	involved	Days	s idle
	Month and year	Beginning in month or year	In effect during month	Beginning in month or year (thousands)	In effect during month (thousands)	Number (thousands)	Percent of estimated working time
947		3,693		2,170		34,600	.30
48		3,419		1,960		34,100	.28
49		3,606		3,030		50,500	.44
50		4,843		2,410		38,800	.33
51		4737		2.220		22.900	.18
52		5 117		3 540		59.100	.48
52		5 001		2,400		28 300	22
53		3,031		1 530		22 600	18
55		4,320		2,650		28,200	.22
				1 000		00.100	24
56		3,825		1,900		33,100	.24
57		3,673		1,390		16,500	.12
58		3,694		2,060		23,900	.18
59		3,708		1,880		69,000	.50
60		3,333		1,320		19,100	.14
51		3.367		1,450		16,300	.11
82		3 614		1.230		18.600	.13
20		3 362		941		16 100	. 11
S A		3,655		1 640		22 900	15
5		3,963		1,550		23,300	.15
		4.405		1.050		25 400	15
56		4,405		1,900		25,400	.15
67		4,595		2,870		42,100	.20
68		5,045		2,649		49,018	.28
59		5,700		2,481		42,869	.24
70		5,716		3,305		66,414	.37
71		5,138		3,280		47,589	.26
72		5,010		1,714		27,066	.15
73		5.353		2,251		27,948	.14
74		6.074		2,778		47,991	.24
75		5,031		1,746		31,237	.16
76		5 648		2 4 2 0		37 859	19
77		5,506		2 040		35 822	17
78		4,230		1,623		36,922	.17
		100		110		0.150	15
79:	August	463		135		2,319	.13
	October	443		230		2,968	.15
	November	257		91		2,720	.15
	December	134		42		1,976	.11
0:	January P	352	441	207	292	3,142	.16
	February P	354	590	114	332	3,025	.17
	MarchP	396	631	123	310	2,705	.14
	April	425	663	116	231	2,786	.14
	May	505	752	139	214	2.464	.13
	lune	435	714	164	201	2.553	.13
	huku	491	768	270	394	4.030	.21
	August	400	768	64	238	3 363	17

How to order BLS publications

PERIODICALS

Order from (and make checks payable to) Superintendent of Documents, Washington, D.C. 20402. For foreign subscriptions, add 25 percent.

Monthly Labor Review. The oldest and most authoritative government research journal in economics and the social sciences. Current statistics, analysis, developments in industrial relations, court decisions, book reviews. \$18 a year, single copy, \$2.50.

Employment and Earnings. A comprehensive monthly report on employment, hours, earnings, and labor turnover by industry, area, occupation, et cetera, \$22 a year, single copy \$2.75.

Occupational Outlook Quarterly. A popular periodical designed to help high school students and guidance counselors assess career opportunities. \$6 for four issues, single copy \$1.75.

Current Wage Developments. A monthly report about collective bargaining settlements and unilateral management decisions about wages and benefits; statistical summaries. \$12 a year, single copy \$1.35.

Producer Prices and Price Indexes. A comprehensive monthly report on price movements of both farm and industrial commodities, by industry and stage of processing. \$17 a year, single copy \$2.25.

CPI Detailed Report. A monthly periodical featuring detailed data and charts on the Consumer Price Index. \$15 a year, single copy \$2.25.

PRESS RELEASES

The Bureau's statistical series are made available to news media through press releases issued in Washington. Many of the releases also are available to the public upon request. Write: Bureau of Labor Statistics, Washington, D.C. 20212.

Regional. Each of the Bureau's eight regional offices publishes reports and press releases dealing with regional data. Single copies available free from the issuing regional office.

BULLETINS AND HANDBOOKS

About 140 bulletins and handbooks published each year are for sale by regional offices of the Bureau of Labor Statistics (see inside front cover) and by the Superintendent of Documents, Washington, D.C. 20402. Make checks payable to the Superintendent of Documents. Among the bulletins and handbooks currently in print are these:

Occupational Outlook Handbook, 1980–81 Edition. Bulletin 2075. A useful resource supplying valuable assistance to all persons seeking satisfying and productive employment. \$8, paperback; \$11 cloth cover.

BLS Handbook of Labor Statistics 1978. Bulletin 2000. A 604-page volume of historical data on the major BLS statistical series. \$9.50.

Handbook of Methods. Bulletin 1910. Brief technical account of each major statistical program of the Bureau of Labor Statistics. \$3.50.

BLS Measures of Compensation. Bulletin 1941. An introduction to the various measures of employee compensation; describes each series, the manner in which it is developed, its uses and limitations. \$2.75.

Occupational Projections and Training Data. Bulletin 2020. Presents both general and detailed information on the relationship between occupational requirements and training needs. (Updates Bulletin 1918 published in 1976.) \$3.25.

Technological Change and its Labor Impact in Five Energy Industries. Bulletin 2005. A 64-page study appraising major technological change and discussing the impact of these changes on productivity and occupations over the next 5 to 10 years. \$2.40.

BLS Publications, 1972–77. Bulletin 1990. A numerical listing and subject index of bulletins and reports issued by the Bureau from 1972 through 1977, supplementing Bulletin 1749, covering 1886–1971. \$1.80.

International Comparisons of Unemployment. Bulletin 1979. Brings together all of the Bureau's work on international unemployment comparisons. Describes the methods of adjusting foreign unemployment rates in 8 countries to U.S. concepts. \$3.50.

Productivity Indexes for Selected Industries, 1979 Edition. Bulletin 2054. A 190-page report of indexes of output, employment, and employee hours in selected industries from 1954 to 1978. This edition contains measures for three industries previously not covered, as well as components of previously published measures in 10 industries. \$5.50.

Profiles of Occupational Pay: A Chartbook. Bulletin 2037. A graphic illustration of some of the factors that affect workers' earnings. This threepart presentation looks at wage variations among and within occupations and portrays characteristics of high- and low-paying urban areas and manufacturing industries. \$3.50.

REPORTS AND PAMPHLETS

Single copies available free from the BLS regional offices or from the Bureau of Labor Statistics, U.S. Department of Labor, Washington, D.C. 20212.

How the Government Measures Unemployment. Report 505. A concise report providing a background for appraising developments in the area of unemployment.

Directory of BLS Studies in Industrial Relations 1960–78. Report 550. A listing of studies prepared by the Division of Industrial Relations as part of the Bureau's regular program of data collection and analysis in the field of industrial relations.



S. Department of Labor ureau of Labor Statistics

: . is the oldest, most authoritative overnment journal in its field







- Articles and reports on employment, prices, wages, productivity, job safety, and economic growth
- 40 pages of current labor statistics
- Developments in industrial relations
- Significant decisions in labor cases

MA

- Book reviews and notes
- Foreign labor developments





itized for FRASER os://fraser.stlouisfed.org deral Reserve Bank of St. Louis



- TO: Superintendent of Documents U.S. Government Printing Office Washington, D.C. 20402
 - Please enter my subscription to the *Monthly Labor Review* for 1 year at \$18.00. (Foreign subscribers add \$4.50.)

MIA

□ Remittance is enclosed. (Make checks payable to Superintendent of Documents.)

Charge to GPO Deposit Account No.

Name			
Address			
City, State, and Zip Code		1. 50	

U.S. Department of Labor Bureau of Labor Statistics Washington D.C. 20212

Official Business Penalty for private use, \$300 RETURN POSTAGE GÜARANTEED Postage and Fees Paid U.S. Department of Labor Lab-441

SECOND CLASS MAIL



gitized for FRASER ps://fraser.stlouisfed.org deral Reserve Bank of St. Louis

-