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## The Labor Month in Review

Unemployment matters-legislative, statistical, and demonstrative-attracted most attention to labor news from mid-March to mid-April.

On April 8, the AFL-CIO held a Washington conference on unemployment which several thousand delegates representing 15 areas of the country attended. A day earlier, March unemployment figures had revealed a greater than seasonal decline of nearly 400,000 to a level of $4,362,000$, a rate of 5.8 percent on a seasonally adjusted basis. The number unemployed 15 weeks or more increased by 80,000 to $1,543,000$. However, persons normally on a full workweek but employed less than 35 hours a week for economic reasons were less than half the number a year earlier.

Major actions of the meeting, which heard addresses by Secretary of Labor James P. Mitchell, Congressional leaders, and trade union officials, were resolutions endorsing the AFL-CIO legislative program on the economy. They included calls for Federal standards for unemployment insurance, an increase in the minimum wage to $\$ 1.25$ an hour and extension of coverage of the WageHour Law, a shorter workweek, an expanded building program of housing and public works, and aid to distressed areas.

At the end of March, President Eisenhower signed into law a measure extending the temporary unemployment insurance program from April 1 to July 1. An estimated 400,000 unemployed, whose payments would have expired, benefit from the 3 -month extension if their States take the necessary action.

AFL-CIO President George Meany in midMarch disputed a conclusion, drawn by the Secretary of Labor from the annual report to Congress of the Wage and Hour and Public Contracts Divisions on the effects of raising the minimum wage in 1956 from 75 cents to $\$ 1$ an hour, that further increase at this time might be harmful to employment in some industries. Mr. Meany contended that the report did not support such a conclusion.

Mr. Mitchell had simultaneously recommended extension of the coverage of the minimum wage law to some $3,200,000$ persons.

Forthcoming steel negotiations on a new contract, scheduled for May 18, drew comment by President Eisenhower on March 25. He emphasized that while collective bargaining should be conducted without direct interference by the Government, both the steel industry and the United Steelworkers should exercise statesmanlike restraint in arriving at a settlement which would result in "no advancement in the price of the commodity." The country as a whole would be affected and it would be "out of character" for him to ignore the prospect of a price rise resulting from the settlement.

Representatives of railroads early in April responded to demands for a 12 -percent wage increase presented by three operating unions. They proposed a wage cut of 15 cents an hour effective when present agreements expire on October 31. The unions represent conductors, engineers, and switchmen.

Negotiations between the U.S. Rubber Co. and the United Rubber Workers, in progress since March 3 on pensions, insurance, and other items, were halted on April 9 by a strike of 22,500 employees in 13 cities.

> In this department of the March issue of the Review, the item on railroad bargaining stated that rail management charged that featherbedding cost the roads a half million dollars a year. The amount should have been a half billion.

Other labor-management relations news of early spring was characterized by some unusual situations. For the first time in 8 years, the United Mine Workers of America sanctioned a major strike in the coal fields. About 7,000 walked out in eastern Kentucky, and ensuing violence between pickets and nonunion mind employees and pickets and police called to mine organizational efforts in the same area earlier in the century. The union is seeking to extend the $\$ 2$-a-day increase it has secured elsewhere.

Toll collectors of the New Jersey Turnpike, organized by the State, County, and Municipal Employees, struck for 5 days beginning March 21. The issues were mainly nonwage in nature, and
the pro tem settlement included provision for arbitration of matters unresolved after further negotiations.

The same union in cooperation with the Uniformed Firemen's Association conducted demonstrations in New York City (without work stoppages in a formal sense) protesting the inadequacies of proposed wage increases for city employees. Participating employees forfeited pay for unauthorized time away from the job. Teachers voted April 10 on a 1-day stoppage dedicated to the same purpose.

Teachers really did strike-for 2 days-in a suburb of Gary, Ind., closing seven schools. Hours of work, transfer policy, and sick leave, as well as pay, brought on the action. Results of the stoppage were inconclusive as a majority of the school board resigned and teachers resumed classes with no assurance that conditions would be changed.

Loss of a representation election need not prevent a union from picketing the employer if the object is not union recognition, a 3 -member panel of the National Labor Relations Board ruled on April 3. The Board had reserved judgment on this point in ruling against minority picketing for recognition in the Curtis case in 1957. Involved were the International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers and TV station WKRG of Mobile, Ala. The union, defending an unfair labor practices charge, said its action was to protect its standards at other firms in the area.

On March 30, the NLRB, ruling on a complaint against an employer by two discharged workers, found that the Honolulu Star-Bulletin had entered into an illegal hiring arrangement with the International Typographical Union. It ordered the paper to refund all dues and assessments collected from composing room employees over a period of more than 2 years, to stop enforcing the contract in a discriminatory manner, to discontinue its illegal clauses, and to stop requiring foremen (who control hiring) to be union members. The case will be appealed.

Refusal by a union to send workers to a job because materials used were not produced by the union is a secondary boycott under the TaftHartley Act, even if a contract with the employer permits the refusal, the NLRB has ruled. The case involved the Plumbers union and a Detroit

Edison Co. construction contractor. The Plumbers will appeal and may be joined by other building unions which have followed similar practices.

Concurrent receipt of supplemental unemployment insurance benefits and State unemployment compensation becomes legal in Ohio on June 19 as a result of new legislation. A bill in the Vermont legislature to place a "right-to-work" measure on the 1960 ballot was defeated March 31.
Stuart Rothman, Solicitor of the Department of Labor, on March 26 was nominated General Counsel for the National Labor Relations Board. He succeeds Jerome D. Fenton, who resigned.

Another change in important labor posts came on March 17. Daniel B. Maher of Washington replaced L. N. D. Wells, Jr. on the 3-member board of monitors appointed by a Federal court judge for the Teamsters union. Meanwhile, a Federal Court of Appeals stayed an order by the appointing judge giving the monitors broad powers over the union's affairs.

Teamster vice president John T. O'Brien, once regarded hopefully as a cleanup candidate to oppose James R. Hoffa for the presidency of the union, on March 19 was accused before the McClellan Senate Rackets Committee of improperly taking thousands of dollars in union funds. He pleaded self-incrimination when questioned on the matter.

George Stuart, former vice president of the Bakery and Confectionery Workers (Ind.), on April 1 received a 1 to 2 years' sentence for stealing union funds.

On the West Coast, just prior to the convention of the International Longshoremen's union April 6 , plans were disclosed for a joint committee of that organization and the Teamsters to cooperate on common waterfront problems. Although both unions have been expelled from major labor federations, for communism and unethical conduct, respectively, they have not previously entered into friendly or formal cooperation. The common problems include changing methods of cargo handling and resultant jurisdictional questions. Bargaining with employers is not a matter of joint concern, it was emphasized.
(On April 9, leaders of 14 unions concerned with seafaring and waterfront work, including Teamster President James R. Hoffa, met in New York to plan action against "flag of convenience" ships.)

## AMA Midwinter Personnel Conference

Editor's Note.-The two articles which follow were excerpted from papers presented at the 30th Annual Midwinter Personnel Conference of the American Management Association, Chicago, February 16-18, 1959. Minor word changes were made and, in the interest of easier reading, symbols to indicate elided material were not used.

# Is the Arbitrator Management's Friend in Discipline Cases? 

Lawrence Stessin*

In discipline cases, the arbitrator is not confined to a tightly negotiated clause, like seniority, promotions, and layoffs where standards and criteria are carefully cataloged with a lawyer's relish for the minutia. Here he has an implied mandate to roam freely, to indulge in the luxury of personal opinion and observation, and to take plenty of "think time." Yet by the very nature of this freedom, it would seem that a study of arbitration decisions in discipline cases would reveal little more than a potpourri or a compilation of diffuse isolated opinions. Indeed, this lack of an objective approach to discipline standards has disturbed many arbitrators. And in their awards, they have frequently bemoaned the absence of specifics. One arbitrator, groping for an objective approach in the face of the failure of union and management negotiators to work out specific patterns, has said:
About all that an impartial arbitrator can do is to decide the justice or injustice of the discharge in light of commonsense, common knowledge of generally prevailing industry standards for employee deportment, and common understanding.

Another noted that an arbitrator in discipline cases brings to a hearing - "The ethical teachings of his parents, religious advisers, the stereotypes of his friends." Still another adds:

[^0]"just cause" and therefore the best that he can do is to decide what a reasonable man would do under similar circumstances and in that light decide whether the conduct of the disciplined employee was defensible and the disciplinary penalty just.

If all this is to be taken at face value, then do not the employer and the union look in vain to the arbitrator for any applicable standards? I think not.

Despite the personalized nature of the award in discipline cases, the arbitrator has painted a canvas of standards, guideposts, criteria, and very specific patterns. Arbitrators, in moments of vigorous breast beating, maintain that there could never be a hierarchy of policy standards on discipline, but a study of their awards reveals that quite the opposite is taking place.
Consider insubordination. Management contends that despite all the erosions of the power and authority of management, the boss is still the boss. With this arbitrators agree. In none of the cases analyzed in this study has an arbitrator been "soft" on an employee who, when told to do a task, has preferred to balk or argue or question the employer's right to give an order.
Harry Shulman, the pioneer arbitrator, said: "An industrial plant is not a debating society. Its object is production. When a controversy arises, production cannot wait." Even where an employee refuses to obey an order because he feels that his safety or health might be endangered -and arbitrators have supported the employees in such situations-the decision is based not on the worker's right to refuse an order, but on the grounds that management is not behaving as management should behave. Concern for the health

[^1]and safety of an employee is a management responsibility. And the manager who subjects his work force to hazardous or unhealthful conditions cannot expect immunity on the basis of the standard of the "obey first, grieve later" concept. The union view that an employee may have a right to disobey an order because the boss was violating some contractual right has received short shrift from arbitrators.

The power and authority to direct its working force go hand in hand with status. The worker fired for giving his foreman "a gentle shove," as the union described it, stayed fired after the issue went to arbitration as surely as if he had landed a haymaker. Managerial dignity and status must not be tampered with, say the arbitrators. And abusive language against a supervisor has been placed in the same category of severe penalties by arbitrators. In 1941, Whitley McCoy laid down the lasting principle that "no business can operate efficiently if the supervisory force is abused."

In the area of absenteeism, a similar management orientation by arbitrators is evident. In the union's eyes, a worker forced to be away because of illness or family burdens does not warrant a penalty. Yet arbitrators have consistently recognized the needs of the business as overriding.

Management is reversed in absentee cases when it fails to practice what it preaches. In schools of business, we preach that when management makes a decision it should not be based on whim, fancy, or exasperation. Thus, when an employer suddenly decides to invoke a rule against absenteeism in face of long neglect or in a fit of anger and starts a wave of indiscriminate disciplining, such hasty actions are viewed by arbitrators as unbecoming of proper professional and managerial behavior. Management cannot enjoy the prerogatives of authority and control without making use of the tools of due process. Employers who have devised specific rules and have provided for a gradation of penalties accompanied by warnings have had no problems at arbitration of absenteeism cases.

The right of management to deal severely with an employee who is not carrying his weight in production, is incompetent, or sloppy, is not questioned by arbitrators. What arbitrators do insist on is that management come to an incompetency arbitration with clean hands. When
a worker is disciplined for not meeting standards, the company must be certain that its action is not a defense against its own inadequacy as manager. Arbitrators have laid down very specific standards on this issue.

1. The employer must show that he had standards of performance. And to sustain such a charge against the worker, the company must have adequate records-not vague opinions. And these records must be producible.
2. Before discharging for incompetency, the employee must have been told of his shortcomings and given an opportunity to improve.
3. Management has a right to tighten production standards, but the employee must be given a reasonable opportunity to meet these standards.
4. Discipline for incompetence must have some pattern of past practice. Sudden crackdowns without warnings are frowned upon by arbitrators as inconsistent with good management practices.
5. If a person is careless or negligent, you cannot demote him and win at arbitration. You can discipline him in varying degrees of severitybut demotion is considered a too long-range penalty.

But arbitrators really show their managerial leanings when they render decisions involving strikes and walkouts. Here arbitrators have sanctioned every tool and weapon to punish those who walk out in violation of an agreement or those who slow down in substitute for the grievance machinery. Unions usually argue that employees have walked out because management goaded them into it. To this argument, arbitrators to a man have turned a deaf ear. The protective device for the employee is the grievance machinery. And whatever punishment management chooses to impose upon those who walk out or upon their leaders has received extremely widespread support in arbitration. The union leader-the shop steward or other official-has been particularly hard hit in the evolving standards in discipline arbitration. Arbitrators insist that these men have more-much more-than a passive responsibility in crisis situations. They must take active measures to keep their men from walking out. They must talk, threaten, cajole, and practically push the aggressive wildcatters back to their workbenches if they want any sympathy from the arbitrator called in to decide whether the punishment has been too severe.

In awards dealing with fights and altercations, the arbitrator has carved out a set of criteria of considerable importance and magnitude. What they have done is to extend the arm of management's control to areas outside the workplace. The old and sacred ethic that a man can do as he pleases outside the plant without fear of employer censure is no longer true.

Arbitrators have been equally aware of the fact that business today lives in a glass house. The worker is no 8 a.m. to 4 p.m. automaton. He is a member of the community. And a loose and lavish tongue used indiscriminately at a bar or other gathering place in a community can be punished by management if there is some evidence that such exercise of free speech might have harmed the company's reputation. These are important extensions of management prerogatives which show up with a high degree of consistency in arbitration awards.

If there is one area where arbitrators have contributed to the erosion of managerial authority it is in the area of quits and resignations. The power of management to refuse employment to a worker who may have made a hasty decision to quit his job has been considerably watered down in arbitration awards. But arbitrators do not look upon this inconvenience to the company as paramount to the employee's right to reconsider an action he may have taken in the height of emotional stress.

The problem of discipline for stealing presents the arbitrator with stresses and strains in decisionmaking. Arbitrators are very sensitive to the job
problems of the aggrieved. An attempt to discover criteria in this area is beset by special difficulties in that management itself may often try to discharge employees for varying rules violations without openly accusing them of theft. Arbitrators succumb to the same rationales. Thus an employee with a record of missing funds may be fired for carelessness rather than for some harsher accusation. Also, there are too few arbitration awards on the subject because few of these situations get to the appeals stage.

Gambling runs into the same stumbling blocks. Sure, where the employee has been caught gambling and where he is part of a professional ring, there is little to ponder over. But payday poker and dice playing do not strike arbitrators as serious breaches, and a minor disciplinary layoff for the first offense is about all that management is permitted.

And so we come back to our original question. Is the arbitrator management's friend? He is, provided management behaves in the image of good management.

Management's basic functions are to plan, organize, direct, and control, and with these concepts arbitrators fully agree and have not contributed to any erosion of them in their awards in discipline cases.

Arbitrators have carved out a whole body of criteria, standards, and guideposts for employers in the field of discipline. So much so that it is now possible for the management man with a bent for research to closely predict the outcome of his disciplinary actions when arbitrated.

# A Positive Approach to Industrial Relations 

John Post*

In the course of this discussion, I hope to offer some suggestions as to management's philosophy in connection with three basic wants of American citizens which find expression in managementemployee relations: Economic security, personal freedom, and a rising standard of living.

## Unemployment Insurance

By way of background, let me remind you that unemployment insurance was a response to the severe unemployment of the early thirties, although discussions of it go back to World War I days. Estimates of the unemployed in the thirties run as high as 25 percent of the labor force. While unemployment in recent years has been nowhere as high, the specter of 1932 still hangs over many workers. The Employment Act of 1946 testifies that a high level of employment is one of the standards used to determine whether our economy is working properly. No government could exist today with a large portion of its population out of work. No administration in this country can survive an election in a period of high unemployment; probably the unemployment of last winter played a large part in the 1958 election.

But what do workers conceive to be the attitude of business toward unemployment and the relief of hardship from unemployment? Do they believe that business spokesmen are sincerely concerned? They remember that busłness spokesmen opposed enactment of a Federal unemployment insurance law, and they hear some business spokesmen still oppose it in principle or damn it with faint praise by calling it an experiment.

Since the inception of the present Federal-State system, organized labor has striven to have the system completely federalized. Labor's complaint has been that benefits have lagged behind wage levels and that only by federalizing the system
could the States be compelled to adopt adequate benefits. Of course the fact that it is easier to concentrate on one legislature-Congress-than on the legislatures of 49 States and the Territories is only coincidental. Labor has also objected to experience rating which rewards the employer who provides steady employment.

In the face of this persistent agitation by labor spokesmen, what has been the position of employers at the State level? I have to admit that at the State level business spokesmen have, until recently, thrown their weight against even studying whether benefits are adequate.

In recent years, most States have done a better job of bringing their benefits in line with current conditions. But this has been accomplished so slowly and over such dogged opposition by business that all the goodwill we might have gained was dissipated. In other words, we eventually go along with improving benefits, but we do it in such a manner that workers are reminded of the thirties.

Certainly we should raise the question of when does economic security begin to debilitate individual initiative and incentive to work. But before we can get a real hearing on that issue, we have to convince employees that we are concerned about what happens to them when they become unemployed. This means that we should come out boldly for adequate benefits for those who are genuinely attached to the labor force and who become unemployed for reasons beyond their control.

## Personal Freedom

Personal freedom is another basic tenet of the American creed. No organization can hope to get and deserve public support unless it talks and acts on behalf of personal freedom. If there is any principle where one would think that business philosophy coincides with the American creed, this is it. And yet our employees and the general public apparently do not believe it. We are charged with being concerned only with freedom for ourselves but not for others, and with equating freedom with economic license and ignoring other civil rights.

[^2]Take, for example, the recent campaigns over "right-to-work" laws. As I see it, the union shop is unnecessary as well as unwise. Certainly the growth of unions has not been slowed down by the absence of the union shop. Witness the growth of unions in Texas, where a right-to-work law has not prevented the development of strong, militant, large unions. And during the years when the union shop was forbidden in the railroad industry, railroad unions were strong and militant.

Apparently, the majority of voters in some States and almost all union members disagree with me. I suspect that all our professions on behalf of personal liberty through right-to-work laws are tainted with memories of bitter business opposition to labor union growth and with suspicion that our current agitation for these laws is merely another phase of that opposition. To put it bluntly, in the minds of the union members our ardent pleas for the rights of the employee against his union are brushed aside as crocodile tears.

We can continue to work for these laws; but I must confess some misgivings when so manage-ment-minded a man as Walter Gordon Merritt, who won his spurs in the famous Danbury Hatters' case, says:

Taft-Hartley . . . was a great stride forward in the protection of individual rights and individual independence in an era of collectivism . . . The union shop of today takes no right or liberty from union men except their right to enjoy the benefits of collective bargaining without contributing to its cost.

On the other hand, the recent agitation for right-to-work laws, coupled with the spotlight of the McClellan committee on autocracy in labor unions, has helped to focus attention on the whole problem of democracy in labor unions. The shocking revelations of coercion by union leaders, rigging of union elections, and the use of trusteeships to deny union members a voice in their unions have given rise to widespread demands among union members themselves for legislation to assure democratic practices in labor unions.

Let it be said to the credit of the responsible leaders of organized labor that they are wholeheartedly in favor of such legislation. For my part, if we could be reasonably sure that a union operates without coercion, is trying to follow democratic practices, and scrupulously accounts for its members' funds, then we should be willing
to reexamine our opposition to the union shop. Under those conditions we should direct our attention to helping employees build responsible and honest labor unions.

Perhaps the labor reform legislation now pending in Congress is of concern only to the unions, since it relates almost entirely to their internal affairs. But experience has taught us that the internal affairs of unions affect the way the union acts at the bargaining table. While we must scrupulously avoid any action which might be considered, rightly or wrongly, interference in union internal affairs, we cannot ignore the fact that many unions will be confused for some time to come by whatever legislation is enacted.

More important, the effort to impose democracy by legislation is not necessarily assured of success. The forms of democracy do not guarantee democracy in practice. To realize that we need only to look at countries which, with high-sounding constitutions and all the trappings of democracy, nevertheless live under dictators. Hence, in some unions, stable administration on a democratic basis will be some time in coming. The democratic process is not always smooth. Changes of administration may upset the even tenor of a long-established relationship. This is where business may gain some credits, by helping unions weather some of the trials which the new legislation will undoubtedly bring.

Basically, we should retain our concern for what happens to the individual but encourage him to be an active member of his community-in a unionized shop, that means participation in his union's activities. This may turn out to be the best education in democracy he could possibly get.

## Wage Policy

My third example is drawn from another typical American aspiration, namely, a constantly improving standard of living. What can American business do to persuade its employees that it is genuinely interested in helping them improve their standards of living? From an employee's viewpoint this finds expression in higher pay.

Up to now, I have tried to suggest what management should be for. But when I come to this issue, I find that I am not at all sure that a management policy is readily available. Wage
policy and wage determination are probably too complex to lend themselves to any firm and definite rule. Certainly management can no longer be accused of following the 19th century theory that wages should be kept down to the level of subsistence. We are still in the midst of a great debate as to whether excessive wage increases have caused inflation. I do not intend to get into that debate here except to aver that wage increases certainly have had something to do with inflation. We are also seeing danger signals that our wage costs are pricing us out of world markets and even out of domestic markets. But let's not try to deal with those problems here, urgent as they are.

Millions of workers in this country are now guaranteed wage increases geared to increases in the cost of living. I understand that for every change of one point in the Consumer Price Index, $\$ 200$ million are added to or subtracted from the Nation's wage bill. And this does not take into account the indirect effect of such increases or decreases. Cost-of-living wage increases generate further wage increases and remove the incentive among wage earners to favor a stable price level. To my mind they are definitely inflationary.

Another experiment in the wage determination area is the use of a so-called annual improvement factor. General Motors introduced this in 1948. While the GM people won't say it in so many words, this annual increase is closely related to the productivity concept. But productivity measurements are so inexact that they do not justify any tight little formula. The use of output per man-hour as the only measure of productivity is questionable, since so many other factors are
involved in the picture. And there is a real question as to whether short-term movements in wages should be geared by contract to a measure which, if useful at all, has validity only in terms of the long run.

Then we run into the question of whether "ability to pay" should be used in wage negotiations. All of us know that when profits are up, the union points to ability to pay while the management says that factor has no place in determining what a job is worth. But when profits go down, then management talks about whether it can afford a wage increase, while the union talks about wage increases in other companies or industries, living standards, and the host of other arguments all of us are familiar with. I suppose that, in the last analysis, wage increases will be determined by ability to pay. All we have to do to confirm this is to compare wage movements in various industries.

The petroleum industry just went almost 2 years without a general wage increase. During this period, most companies went directly to their employees with the facts of life about the petroleum industry. In these discussions, we emphasized the steady work and the relatively high weekly earnings of petroleum workers. This we believe helped relieve the pressure. We showed them we are in favor of steady income but not excessive wage-rate increases, and we think it had some effect.

This is only one approach. There probably are better ones, more appropriate for other industries. In any event, the job before us is to develop an affirmative wage policy which will convince employees of our willingness to share with them the fruits of our joint endeavor.

# Premium Pay for Weekend Work in Major Contracts 

Rose Theodore*

The payment of premium rates for work performed on Saturday and Sunday, or on the sixth and seventh days of the workweek, has become a common feature of collective bargaining agreements. Over 90 percent of 1,736 major collective bargaining agreements studied in 1958 by the U.S. Department of Labor's Bureau of Labor Statistics provided time and one-half, or double time, or a variable premium, for work on one or both days outside of the normal workweek.

Seventy-five percent of the agreements specified Sunday as a premium day, and 57 percent specified Saturday. Premium rates were specified for work on the sixth day in 35 percent of the contracts, and for work on the seventh day in a like proportion. A substantial number of contracts identified both Saturday and the sixth day, and Sunday and the seventh day, as premium days. Nearly 15 percent of the agreements provided premium pay to workers for whom Sunday was a regularly scheduled workday, and a few extended this practice to regularly scheduled Saturdays.

The payment of premium rates for weekend work serves as a reward to employees for work on days normally considered rest days and as a deterrent to employers in scheduling work on these days. Weekend premium pay provisions of agreements tend to liberalize legal overtime requirements in several ways. The Fair Labor Standards Act requires the payment to covered workers of time and one-half for hours in excess of 40 a week, without reference to the day on which overtine hours are worked, but premium rates for Saturday and Sunday work are commonly
required under agreements regardless of the number of hours previously worked during the week. When minimum work requirements are specified, as is frequently the case where the sixth and seventh days are named as premium days, holidays and certain excused absences are often counted as time worked for premium pay eligibility. Rates in excess of time and one-half prevail for Sunday and seventh day work, and are sometimes specified for Saturday work. Pyramiding of premium rates for weekend work on top of weekly overtime premiums is generally prohibited.

Major changes in weekend premium pay practices since 1952, the date of the Bureau's previous study, ${ }^{1}$ include provision for premium pay for work on Saturday as such (occurring outside of the regular workweek) in all of the major automobile agreements, and for Saturday and Sunday as such in the major coal mining agreements. Premium pay for regularly scheduled Sunday work (part of the regular workweek) was incorporated into basic steel agreements negotiated in 1956; the rates specified progressed from time and one-tenth during the first year to time and onefourth for the third year (1958). Since then, a number of agreements negotiated in related industries have included provisions for premium pay for regularly scheduled Sunday work.

In general, the 1958 study reveals a small increase since 1952 in the proportion of major contracts with weekend premium pay provisions, and a somewhat greater increase in worker coverage under agreements specifying Saturday premium pay. This has been accompanied by a slight decrease in the proportion of agreements which made Saturday premium pay dependent upon the employee working a specified amount of time during the week, and a more marked decrease in agreements containing minimum work requirements for sixth and seventh day premium pay.

## Scope of Study

This study was based on 1,736 collective bargaining agreements, each covering 1,000 or more workers, or virtually all agreements of this size in the United States, exclusive of those relating to

[^3]railroads and airlines. ${ }^{2}$ The total of 7.8 million workers covered represented almost half of all the workers estimated to be under agreements in the United States, exclusive of railroad and airline agreements. Of these, 5 million workers, covered by 1,122 agreements, were in manufacturing, and 614 agreements applied to 2.8 million workers in nonmanufacturing establishments.

All but $71^{3}$ of the 1,736 agreements were in effect during 1958. Approximately 50 percent of the agreements were scheduled to expire in 1958. Termination in 1959 was stipulated in about 35 percent. Of the remaining 209 long-term agreements, 12 did not list a specific termination date.

Contracts which provided overtime pay for work in excess of the regular daily or weekly hours, without specifying Saturday, Sunday, sixth, or seventh days, or the employee's regular day(s) off, were not counted as providing weekend premium pay for purposes of this study. Although overtime pay would normally cover weekend work if the employee had worked the full basic workweek or fulfilled other specified minimum work

Table 1. Premium pay for weekend work not part of regular workweek, in major collective bargaining agreements, 1958

| Premium days | Agreements |  | Workers |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\underset{\text { Ner }}{\text { Num- }}$ | Percent |  | Percent |
| Total studied. | 1,736 | 100.0 | 7,752.5 | 100.0 |
| Number with premium pay for weekend work_ Provisions for premium pay for work on- | 1,589 | 91.5 | 7, 025.8 | 90.6 |
| Saturday and Sunday-..............-- | 642 | 37.0 | 2, 267. 6 | 29.3 |
| Saturday, Sunday, 6th and 7th days.- 6th and | 215 | 14.6 | 1, 666.3 | 21.5 |
| Sunday only | 216 | 12.4 | 1, 072.5 | 13.8 11.4 |
| Saturday, Sunday, and 7th day | 45 | 2.6 | 881.4 <br> 347.9 | 11.4 4.5 |
| Saturday only. | 28 | 1.6 | 240.0 | 3.1 |
| Sunday, 6th and 7th days | 59 | 3.4 | 205.7 | 2.7 |
| Sunday and 6th day | 47 | 2.7 | 125.0 | 1.6 |
| 6 6th day only. | 15 | . 9 | 68.9 | . 9 |
| 7th day only Sunday and 7th day. | 29 18 | 1.7 | 58.8 | . 8 |
| Saturday, Sunday, and 6th | 18 | 1.0 .7 | 44.1 30.2 | . 6 |
| Other combinations ${ }^{1}$..... | 9 | . 7 | 30.2 17.7 | 4 |
| No provision for premium pay ${ }^{2}$ | 147 | 8.5 | 726.7 | 9.4 |
| Premium days specified: ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Saturday - | 987 | 56.9 | 4, 564.8 | 58.9 |
| Sunday- | 1,300 | 74.9 | 5,584. 1 | 72.0 |
| 6 th day | 608 | 35.0 | 3, 186.6 | 41.1 |
| 7th day. | 622 | 35.8 | 3,405. 7 | 43.9 |

${ }^{1}$ Includes agreements providing premium pay for work on Saturday, 6th and/or 7th day; and Saturday afternoon and/or Sunday for some workers and Sunday only for others. Also includes several beet sugar manufacturing and other food processing agreements which grant premium pay only during certain seasons for work on Saturday and/or Sunday.
${ }^{2}$ Includes agreements which specifically prohibited Saturday and/or Sunday work.
${ }^{2}$ Nonadditive. These days may be specified singly, or in combination, in one agreement.
NOTE: Because of rounding, sums of individual items may not equal totals.
requirements, such provisions do not grant special recognition to weekend days as such. ${ }^{4}$ However, clauses providing premium pay for all work "outside the regular workweek" were interpreted as granting extra compensation for weekend work as such and were included in the study.

Nine out of ten major agreements granted extra compensation for work on one or more weekend days. Provisions specifying Saturday and Sunday (not part of the regular workweek) as premium days, without reference to the sixth or seventh day, were most prevalent, occurring in over one-third of the contracts analyzed (table 1). Other significant provisions specified premium pay on (a) Saturday and Sunday for employees on regular schedules and on the sixth and seventh days for those on off schedules; (b) sixth and seventh days without identifying Saturday and Sunday; and (c) Sunday only.

## Saturday and Sunday Not Regularly Scheduled

Extra compensation for work on Saturday, as such, was provided for in 987 (over one-half) of the agreements analyzed, and on Sunday in 1,300 agreements (three-fourths). A fourth of these clauses, however, exempted employees in contin-uous-process operations or in certain occupational groups, such as watchmen, guards, maintenance men, and engineers, for whom Saturday or Sunday work was regularly scheduled. Instead, premium pay for the sixth and seventh workdays (or for their regularly scheduled days off) was provided, as in the following example:

Employees, excepting employees in the powerhouse, shall be paid at the rate of one and one-half ( $1 \frac{1}{2}$ ) times their respective regular straight-time rates for all time worked by them during the calendar day on a Saturday and at the rate of twice their respective regular straighttime rates for all time worked by them during the calendar day on a Sunday.

Powerhouse employees only shall be paid at the rate of one and one-half ( $1 \frac{1}{2}$ ) times their regular straight-time rate for all time worked by them on their first regularly scheduled day off in the workweek and at a rate of twice their regular straight-time rate for all time worked by them on their second regularly scheduled day off in the workweek.

[^4]Table 2. Premium pay for weekend work not part of regular workweek, in major collective bargaining agreements, by industry, 1958

| Industry | Number studied |  | Premium pay for work on ${ }^{\text {- }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | No provision for premium pay |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Saturday |  | Sunday |  | Sixth day |  | Seventh day |  |  |  |
|  | Agreements | Workers (thousands) | Agreements | Workers (thousands) | Agreements | Workers (thousands) | Agreements | Workers (thousands) | Agreements | Workers (thousands) | Agreements | Workers (thousands) |
| All industries | 1,736 | 7,752. 5 | 987 | 4, 564.8 | 1,300 | 5,584.1 | 608 | 3,186. 6 | 622 | 3, 405.7 | ${ }^{2} 147$ | 726.7 |
| Manufacturing | 1,122 | 4,916.4 | 723 | 3,154. 7 | 859 | 3,404.0 | 426 | 2,374.4 | 466 | 2,597.9 | 74 | 386.4 |
| Ordnance | 10 | 24.0 | 5 | 10.7 | 7 | 18.6 | 7 | 12.8 | 8 | 16.2 |  |  |
| Food and kindred products | 109 | 363.9 | 63 9 | 276.0 | 81 | 314.9 | 44 | 163.5 | 49 | 1230.5 | 12 | 23.2 |
| Textile mill products.. | 12 | 33.2 116.7 | 9 9 | 25.5 68.1 | 11 26 | 31.0 70.4 | 2 23 | 2.8 616 | 2 | 2.8 | 1 | 2.2 |
| Apparel and other finished textile products | 47 | 473.7 | 25 | 252. 0 | 16 9 | 70.4 42.9 |  | 61.6 | 24 2 | 63.5 17.0 | 8 22 | 20. 22 |
| Lumber and wood products (except furniture) | 14 | $\begin{array}{r}39.2 \\ \hline 1\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}25 \\ 7 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 20.6 | 12 | 36.1 | 7 | 16.3 | 2 5 | 17.0 12.3 | 22 1 | 221.7 2.1 |
| Furniture and fixtures | 17 | 29.0 | 17 | 29.0 | 16 | 27.3 | 6 | 11.3 | 6 | 11.3 |  | 2.1 |
| Printing, publishing, and allied industries | 55 36 | 124.9 71.7 | 17 27 | 33.8 | 53 | 122.2 | 7 9 | 10.7 | 8 | 12.6 |  |  |
| Chemicals and allied products. | 58 | 112.7 | 22 | 40.4 | 30 | 67.8 57.8 | 32 | 13.4 | 6 4 4 | 81.9 | 2 | 3. 1 |
| Products of petroleum and coal | 24 | 70.7 | 5 | 6. 0 | 8 | 16.5 | 18 | 45.5 | 18 | 46.4 | 2 | 2.7 12.8 |
| Rubber products. | 25 | 131.9 | 10 | 16.6 | 23 | 129.7 | 12 | 19.0 | 18 7 | 11.2 | 2 | 12.8 |
| Leather and leather products | 22 | 76.9 | 14 | 47.7 | 11 | 37.7 | 6 | 10.7 | 6 | 12.0 | 5 | 23.2 |
| Stone, clay, and glass produc | 34 | 92.1 | 8 | 32.1 | 28 | 80.9 | 7 | 17.9 | 13 | 38.4 | 1 | 1.0 |
| Primary metal industries- | 123 | 723.1 | 40 | 84.9 | 62 | 146.1 | 72 | 610.3 | 72 | 611.1 | 9 | 22.2 |
| Machinery (except electrical) | 143 | 175.6 402.9 | 55 130 | 136.4 334.3 | $\begin{array}{r}57 \\ 136 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | ${ }_{345} 13.1$ | 20 | 64.8 | 21 | 66.3 |  |  |
| Electrical machinery......- | 106 | 460.5 | 190 | 334.3 402.9 | 136 96 | 345.4 431.3 | 55 45 | 221.9 238.4 | 63 | 251. 9 |  | 12.5 |
| Transportation equipment | 144 | 1,314.3 | 124 | 1,209.2 | 129 | 1,216.1 | 48 | 238.4 772.0 | 45 56 | 248.9 827.4 | 3 4 | 7.5 31.9 |
| Instruments and related products | 23 | 55.4 | 21 | 50.2 | 22 | - 53.6 | 6 | 12.4 | 11 | 18.6 | 4 |  |
| Miscellaneous manufacturing. | 11 | 24.5 | 10 | 23.3 | 9 | 19.8 |  |  |  | 18.6 |  |  |
| Nonmanufacturing | 614 | 2,836. 1 | 264 | 1,410.1 | 441 | 2,180.1 | 182 | 812.2 | 156 | 807.8 | 73 | 340.3 |
| Mining, crude-petroleum and natural-gas production. | 16 | 261.1 |  | 233.5 | 6 | 239.6 | 8 | 246.0 | 8 | 246.0 | 4 | 5.6 |
| Transportation ${ }^{3}$ - | 109 | 553. 6 | 55 | 347.2 | 66 | 379.2 | 38 | 86.0 | 38 | 192.1 | 16 | 109.8 |
| Communications. <br> Utilities: Electric and gas | 76 | 592.7 | 6 | 74.0 | 72 | 546. 4 | 16 | 99.3 | 12 | 75.3 | 4 | 46.3 |
| Wholesale trade....---... | 80 14 | 203.7 | $\begin{array}{r}37 \\ 8 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 89.2 | 54 | 128.7 | 51 | 116. 5 | 56 | 131.6 | 5 | 33.2 |
| Retail trade. | 85 | 219.2 | 8 | 14.6 | 11 | 22.5 | 3 | 5.7 | 2 | 4.1 |  |  |
| Hotels and restaurants. | 29 | 146.0 | 8 <br> 3 | 14.9 5.5 | 60 3 | 148.2 5.5 | 39 | 121.8 | 18 | 60.2 | 10 | 17.3 |
| Services | 54 | 181.0 | 15 | 43.9 | 29 | 92.4 | 14 | 93.2 | 12 | 61.0 | 9 | 36. 7 |
| Construction | 148 | 645.5 | 128 | 587. 5 | 139 | 615.3 | 1 | 12.0 | 1 | 12.0 | 1489 | ${ }_{30}^{58.6}$ |
| Miscellaneous nonmanufacturing. | 3 | 5.2 |  |  | 1 | 2.5 |  |  |  | 12.0 | 2 | 30.3 2.7 |

${ }^{1}$ See footnote 3, table 1.
${ }^{2}$ See footnote 2, table 1 .
${ }^{2}$ Excludes railroad and airline industries.
Note: Because of rounding, sums of individual items may not equal totals.

Saturday Premium Pay. Saturday premium pay provisions were more prevalent in manufacturing (64 percent) than in nonmanufacturing industries (43 percent) where 6- or 7 -day operations are more frequently required (table 2). Eighty-five percent or more of the agreements in six manufacturing industries granted extra compensation for Saturday work: furniture and fixtures, fabricated metal products, machinery (except electrical), electrical machinery, transportation equipment, and instruments and related products. In nonmanufacturing, Saturday premium pay provisions were common in construction contracts and for mining workers. In construction, 85 percent of the contracts contained such provisions; in mining, while only a fourth of the major contracts were involved, 90 percent of the workers, principally under the anthracite and bituminous coal agreements, were represented.

Under all but 11 percent (113 agreements) of the Saturday premium pay provisions, premium rates were paid regardless of the amount of time worked during the week (table 3). Nine out of every ten restrictive clauses required the employee to work a full weekly schedule to qualify for premium pay (table 4). However, over twothirds of the agreements modified these restrictions by stipulating that time lost during the week for specific reasons would be counted as time worked in determining eligibility for Saturday premium pay.

Excused absences included time lost because of lack of work, illness, injury on the job, official union business, voting, and, in most instances, holidays. For example, one agreement stipulated:

Time and one-half will be paid for all work performed on Saturday if the employee has worked his scheduled shifts

Table 3. Minimum work requirements for premium pay for weekend work not part of regular workweek, in major collective bargaining agreements, by industry, 1958

${ }^{1}$ Excludes railroad and airline industries.
Nots: Because of rounding, sums of individual items may not equal totals.
during the workweek except for the following excusable absences:

Union activities when authorized by the local union and/or its officers.

Sickness-When employee's sickness is certified by the attending physician and/or the first aid department of the company.

Where scheduling, production, or mechanical difficulties prevent him from working his regular scheduled workday.

Due to death in the immediate family (father, mother, wife or husband, son, daughter, brother or sister, mother-in-law or father-in-law).

Due to subpena from a court of record.
Jury duty.
Authorized vacation.
A number of agreements did not list the reasons, but merely stated that "excused absences" or "justifiable absences" would be counted as time worked:

Overtime shall be paid for Saturday work to employees who have worked the previous Monday through Friday, and to employees who have been excusably absent from
work during the previous Monday through Friday, but no overtime shall be paid for Saturday work to employees who the company and the union committee agree were inexcusably absent during the previous Monday through Friday.

Time and one-half continued to be the prevailing rate for Saturday work, specified in four-fifths of the Saturday premium pay provisions (table 5). More than a tenth of the agreements, largely concentrated in the construction industry, granted double time.
Many of the remaining Saturday provisions provided a combination of double time and time and one-half. These included provisions for double time for Saturday afternoon, or if Saturday was the seventh workday, and time and one-half in all other instances; or double time for all employees except specified groups, such as guards, maintenance men, and engineers, who were paid time and one-half.

In several maritime agreements, the rate of premium pay, usually a fixed sum, varied according to the employee's wage range or occupation, or whether Saturday work was required at sea or in port. Under the Pacific Maritime Association agreement with the Seafarers' International union, extra compensation for Saturday and Sunday work at sea was incorporated in the base wages; for such work in port, the applicable overtime rate was to be paid. A few agreements in other industries provided different rates, varying according to occupation or wage range.

Other arrangements included premium pay in some instances and straight time in others-time and one-half, double time, or a fixed amount for workers on regular schedules or for Saturday afternoon only, and straight time for continuousprocess or other off-schedule workers, or for Saturday morning.

Several food-processing agreements granted premium pay of time and one-half during the nonprocessing season only, and straight time during processing periods.

Sunday Premium Pay. The significance of Sunday as a holiday, as compared with Saturday, is reflected in the larger number of contracts providing premium pay for work on Sunday and the higher premium rates specified-most frequently double time. The prevalence of premium pay provisions for work on Sunday (not part of the regular workweek) was almost as high in nonmanufacturing ( 71 percent) as in manufacturing industries ( 77 percent). (See table 2.)

Only 7 percent of the agreements with Sunday provisions stipulated minimum work requirements (table 4). Of the 87 agreements with such restrictions, Sunday premium pay was dependent on the employee's having worked a full 6-day schedule in 58 agreements, and a full 5 -day schedule in 13 . Variations in some of the remaining 16 contracts were similar to those for Saturday pay. Other variations included provisions requiring 7 days' work for double time on Sunday and no minimum work requirements for time and one-half; 7 days' work for triple time and 6 days' work for double time; work on more than two Sundays in four; and

Table 4. Minimum work requirements for premium pay for weekend work not part of regular workweek, in major collective bargaining agreements, by type of provision, 1958

| Provision | Minimum work requirements for premium pay for work on- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Saturday |  |  |  |  |  | Sixth day |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total |  | Absences not counted as time worked |  | Excused absences counted as time worked |  | Total |  | Absences not counted as time worked |  | Excused absences counted as time worked |  |
|  | Agreements | Workers (thousands) | Agreements | Workers (thousands) | Agreements | Workers (thousands) | Agreements | Workers (thousands) | Agreements | Workers (thousands) | Agreements | Workers (thousands) |
| Number with premium pay provisions. | 987 | 4,564.8 |  |  |  |  | 608 | 3,186.6 |  |  |  |  |
| Number with minimum work requirements. Employee must have worked- | 113 | 517.7 | 34 | 229.1 | 79 | 288.6 | 235 | 1,372.6 | 66 | 645.8 | 169 | 726.9 |
| Full weekly schedule --.......................-- | 106 | 494.9 | 32 | 226.0 | 74 | 268.9 | 226 | 1,331.5 | 65 | 644.7 | 161 | 686.9 |
| Some time on each of previously scheduled workdays | 2 | 12.0 |  |  | 2 | 12.0 | 4 | 26.3 |  |  | 4 | 26.3 |
| Specified minimum number of hours during week (less than full schedule) <br> Other specified time | 2 13 | 3.1 7.7 | 2 | 3.1 | 3 | 7.7 | 3 12 | 5.3 5.9 9.0 | 1 | 1.1 | 1 2 2 | 26.3 4.8 9.0 |
|  | Sunday |  |  |  |  |  | Seventh day |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number with premium pay provisions. | 1,300 | 5,584.1 |  |  |  |  | 622 | 3,405. 7 |  |  |  |  |
| Number with minimum work requirements Employee must have worked- | 87 | 269.4 | 24 | 59.2 | 63 | 210.3 | 278 | 1,997.7 | 90 | 1,215.9 | 188 | 781.9 |
|  | 58 | 189.9 | 14 | 30.4 | 44 | 159.5 | 223 | 1,564.1 | 74 | 1,107.5 | 149 | 456.6 |
|  | 13 | 42.3 | 6 | 16.4 | 7 | 25.9 | 40 | 388.9 | 11 | 97.6 | 29 | 291.4 |
| Some time on each of the 6 scheduled workdays. Specified minimum number of hours during week (less than full schedule). | 2 | 6.5 |  |  | 2 | 6.5 | 7 3 | 25.1 5.6 | 2 3 | 5.2 5.6 | 5 | 19.9 |
| Other specified time -...-- -- | ${ }^{1} 14$ | 30.8 | 4 | 12.5 | 10 | 18.4 | ${ }^{1} 5$ | 14.1 |  |  | 5 | 14.1 |

${ }^{1}$ Includes agreements which provided minimum work requirements for certain groups of workers and none for others or which varied the minimum work requirements for different groups.

Table 5. Premium rates for work on Saturday and Sunday not part of regular workweek, in major collective bargaining agreements, by industry, 1958


[^5]secutive day. Also includes agreements which provided $11 / 4,11 / 2,13 / 4$, double time, or a flat sum for some groups or plants and compensatory time off or straight time for others; $11 / 2$ or double time during certain seasons only (mainly in food processing); and a few agreements which granted either triple time, $21 / 2$, or $13 / 4$ time.
${ }^{3}$ Excludes railroad and airline industries.
Note: Because of rounding, sums of individual items may not equal totals.

Table 6. Premium rates for work on sixth and seventh day not part of regular workweek, in major collective bargaining agreements, by industry, 1958

${ }^{1}$ Includes agreements which provided time and one-half for the 6th day, or double time for the 7th day, for certain occupations only or during certain seasons only (food processing); and double time for the 7th consecutive day or if the 7th day fell on Sunday, and time and one-half otherwise. Also includes a few agreements which provided time and one-half for the 7th day for certain occupations only.
a requirement that the employee had not refused to work on any of five regularly scheduled days. In a few agreements, the minimum work requirements were not clear, or reference was made to local supplements.

Certain excused absences were counted as time worked in 63 of the 87 agreements with minimum work requirements for Sunday premium pay.

Payment of double time for Sunday work was specified in almost three-fourths (950) of the contracts with Sunday premium pay provisions; time and one-half was provided in nearly a fifth (250). (See table 5.) Of the remaining 100 agreements, 42 provided combinations of time and one-half and double time. These included telephone

Table 7. Premium pay for work on Saturday and Sunday as part of regular workweek, in major collective bargaining agreements, by industry, 1958


[^6]Note: Because of rounding, sums of individual items may not equal totals.
agreements which granted time and one-half for the first two Sundays worked and double time for subsequent Sundays; agreements in other industries which specified double time for split shifts and for Sunday if it was the seventh day, and time and one-half in all other instances; and agreements providing double time for production workers, with time and one-half for employees on maintenance or emergency work, as in the following example:

All . . . work performed on Sundays and herein listed holidays shall be paid for at the rate of double time, except that such work as may be necessary in order to facilitate the emergency arrival of material may be done on Sundays at time and one-half the hourly rate of pay for the first eight (8) hours of such work performed. This rate shall not apply to any work other than that above mentioned.
A few others specified time and one-half except for maintenance men, who received double time.

Another group of 28 agreements specified fixed sums or premium rates for Sunday work which varied according to wage range, occupation, or for other reasons; or premium rates for some occupations and a fixed sum for others. For example:

Double time. Effective April 1, 1956, double the straight-time hourly rate shall be paid to all employees except box boys for all work performed on Sunday.

Box boys. Effective April 1, 1956, the Sunday rate for box boys shall be $\$ 1.75$ per hour for all work performed and shall be frozen at that figure for the duration of this agreement.

## Sixth and Seventh Day Not Regularly Scheduled

Provisions for premium pay for the sixth day of the workweek were found in over a third of the agreements analyzed, covering two-fifths of the workers. The seventh workday was a premium day in almost the same proportions of agreements and workers (table 1).

Almost two-thirds of these contracts also provided premium pay for Saturday and/or Sunday. The sixth and seventh day clauses in such instances applied to employees on off-standard work schedules, in which Saturday or Sunday might be regular workdays. Under the remaining one-third or more agreements which specified only sixth and/or seventh day premium pay, workers on a regular Monday through Friday

Table 8. Premium rates for Saturday and Sunday work as part of regular workweek, in major collective bargaining agreements, 1958

| Premium rate | For regularly scheduled work on- |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Saturday |  | Sunday |  |
|  | Agreements | W orkers (thou(sands) | Agreements | W orkers (thou(sands) |
| Number with provision for premium pay- | 42 | 194.8 | 249 | 1,530.6 |
| 130 times regular rate | 1 | 9.0 | 1 | 1.7 |
| 13 200 times regular rate | 15 | 91.7 |  |  |
| 11/5 times regular rate | 1 | 1.8 | 174 | 6.5 626.3 |
| 1310 times regular rate |  |  | 215 | 91.7 |
| $11 / 2$ times regular rate. | 11 | 34.9 | 92 | 538.8 |
| 2 times regular rate.. |  |  | 10 | 28.5 |
| $11 / 2$ times for first or first 2 Sundays worked; double time for second or third and subsequent consecutive Sundays Cents-per-hour addition..... |  |  | 10 | 89.2 |
|  | 310 | 26.9 | 421 | 49.9 |
| Premium or flat sum, varying by wage range, occupation, etc <br> Other. | $\stackrel{2}{2}$ | 27.4 | ${ }_{5} 510$ | 41.6 |
|  |  | 3.3 | ${ }^{6} 12$ | 56.8 |

159 of these agreements, covering 590,350 workers, provided premium pay of $11 / 10$ for the first year of the contract, $11 / 6$ the second year, and $11 / 4$ the third year (1958).
${ }_{2}$ All agreements provided premium pay of $11 / 10$ for the first year of the contract, $11 / 5$ the second year, and $13 / 10$ the third year (1958).
${ }_{3}$ Premium pay ranged from 10 to 50 cents per hour.
4 Premium pay ranged from 5 to 70 cents per hour.

- Includes agreements which provided double time for some groups and 11/2 or a flat sum for others; $11 / 4$ for some groups and $13 / 4$ for others; and specified amounts varying according to wage range.
${ }^{6}$ Includes agreements which provided premium pay of $11 / 4,11 / 2$, or a flat sum for some occupational groups only; 11/2 for some occupations and compensatory time for others; 112 for some occupations and double time for second and subsequent Sundays worked for others; and a few agreements which paid a premium but did not clearly indicate the amount.
Note: Because of rounding, sums of individual items may not equal totals.
workweek would, in actual practice, receive premium pay for Saturday or Sunday work.

As in the case of Saturday and Sunday, provisions for sixth and seventh day pay were more prevalent in manufacturing than in nonmanufacturing agreements (table 2).

Requirements that an employee work a specified number of days or hours during the workweek in order to qualify for premium pay were more frequently established for the sixth and seventh day than for Saturday and Sunday. Such restrictions were found in approximately twofifths of the agreements with sixth and seventh day provisions (table 3).

Nearly all ( 96 percent) of the agreements containing eligibility rules required the employee to work a full weekly schedule to qualify for sixth day premium pay; 80 percent required work for a full 6-day schedule for seventh day pay (table 4).

[^7]Under these requirements, employees would be eligible for premium pay only for the sixth or seventh consecutive days worked, rather than for the sixth or seventh day of the workweek. Other minimum work requirements included work for a full 5 -day schedule for seventh day premium rate (15 percent), and work for a specified number of hours or for some portion of each previously scheduled day for sixth or seventh day premium pay. However, over two-thirds of the agreements with sixth and seventh day minimum work requirements modified these restrictions by permitting certain absences to be counted as time worked, for premium pay eligibility.

Time and one-half was specified as the premium rate in 95 percent of the agreements with sixth day provisions (table 6). For those agreements with seventh day provisions, double time was specified in 68 percent, and time and one-half in 28 percent. Double time for the seventh day was more prevalent in manufacturing industries, accounting for nearly four-fifths of the manufacturing agreements, in contrast to one-third of nonmanufacturing.

## Saturday and Sunday Regularly Scheduled

Provisions for premium pay for regularly scheduled work on Sunday were found in 14 percent (249) of the 1,736 contracts analyzed, covering 20 percent of the workers (table 7). Saturday premium pay provisions, in contrast, were included in only 42 agreements. ${ }^{5}$

The majority of these contracts were in industries noted for continuous-process or 7-day operations; these agreements also included provision for sixth and seventh day premium pay. In other industries, the clauses involved only certain occupational groups, such as maintenance men, guards, and stationary engineers, for whom Saturday or Sunday were regular workdays:

Maintenance employees will be paid a bonus of fifteen (15) cents per hour on Saturday and Sunday when these days are part of their regularly scheduled forty (40) hour workweek.

Of the 249 contracts with Sunday provisions, 92 provided time and one-half (table 8). Thirtyfour of these, involving 60 percent of the workers in this group, were in the telephone industry. An additional 10 agreements in this industry
specified time and one-half for the first, or first two Sundays worked, and double time for subsequent Sundays. Double time was also specified in 10 other agreements, principally in the paper industry. Another group of 21 agreements provided for payment of additional cents per hour, ranging from 10 to 50 cents.

Time and one-fourth was specified in 74 contracts, of which 47 were in the basic steel industry (accounting for almost 90 percent of the workers receiving time and one-fourth). The basic steel formula was also used in a number of other agree-
ments, principally in the fabricated metal products, clay refractory, utilities, and iron mining industries.

Fifteen meatpacking agreements provided Sunday premium pay of one and one-tenth during the first year (1956) of the contract, one and one-fifth the second year, and one and three-tenths the third year-1958. These 15 agreements also granted premium pay for work on regularly scheduled Saturdays, for which the progression was one and one-twentieth, one and one-tenth, and for the third year, one and three-twentieths.

# Wage Developments in Major Contracts in 1958 

Donald L. Helm and Richard G. Seefer*

Although the first part of 1958 was marked by a business recession, general wage increases were negotiated or put into effect during the year for about 7.2 million workers covered by major collective bargaining situations-about 9 out of 10 workers covered by all such key contracts. ${ }^{1}$ To a considerable degree, the wage picture was influenced less by the recession than by the rise in consumer prices that continued from the end of 1957 through mid-1958 and by wage contract commitments made in prior years.

While the volume of bargaining during the year was substantial, its scope was limited by the existence of long-term contracts negotiated in earlier years, which specified wage increases to go into effect during 1958 for sizable numbers of workers. In addition, cost-of-living escalator increases were important during the year.

About 3.4 million workers-or almost half of those receiving increases covered by this sum-mary-obtained deferred wage increases provided under long-term agreements concluded prior to 1958. While the recession delayed some settlements and reduced the total "package" increase of others, another 3.8 million workers were affected by major settlements concluded during the year that provided for wage-rate increases. Cost-ofliving increases went to a total of about 4 million workers, almost all of whom were included in the groups receiving deferred increases or affected by current negotiations. ${ }^{2}$
The most common increase in 1958 in terms of workers affected-including negotiated, deferred, and cost-of-living raises-amounted to 11 but less
than 13 cents ${ }^{3}$ an hour (table 1). Almost one out of four workers received increases of this magnitude. In 1957, the most common advance was 15 but less than 17 cents, and in 1956, it was 9 but less than 11 cents. In both 1957 and 1958, about half the workers affected received increases averaging 12 cents an hour or more; in 1956, the corresponding figure was about 10 cents.

Wage-rate changes in both 1957 and 1958 were less uniform than in 1956 when about three out of five workers whose wages were subject to change received wage increases averaging 9 but less than 13 cents an hour. The greater variety in the size of increases in the past 2 years was apparently due to the greater role of cost-of-living escalator adjustments, which typically resulted in larger increases in situations with such provisions than in those without escalator provisions. Cost-of-living escalators did not become effective in such industries as basic steel, aluminum, meatpacking, and railroads until 1957. For most of the workers covered by such clauses-in automobiles and farm equipment as well as the other industries men-tioned-the cost-of-living wage increases ranged from 6 to 8 cents an hour in 1957, and from 5 to 9 cents in 1958.

## Negotiations in 1958

Size of Negotiated Increases. Major wage negotiations concluded during 1958 affected about 4.1 million workers. Of these, about 3.8 million were covered by settlements that provided for general wage-rate increases. The negotiated increases for about 57 percent of the workers amounted to 8 cents or more. The most commonly negotiated increases (affecting 3 out of 10 workers and a fifth of the settlements) amounted to 7 but less than 9 cents an hour (table 2).

[^8]Table 1. Percent distribution of wage increases negotiated or effective in 1956-58 ${ }^{1}$

| Amount of hourlyincrease | Settlements |  |  | W orkers |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1956 | 1957 | 19582 | 1956 | 1957 | $1958{ }^{2}$ |
| Total: Number... | 1,485 | 1,468 | 1,363 | 7,507, 000 | 7,640, 000 | 7,200, 000 |
| Under 5 cents_ | 2 | 3 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 4 |
| 5 and under 7 cents..-- | 13 | 13 | 20 | 7 | 8 | 11 |
| 7 and under 9 cents.-.- | 17 | 19 | 18 | 12 | 13 | 12 |
| 9 and under 11 cents..- | 27 | 17 | 16 | 35 | 12 | 9 |
| 11 and under 13 cents.- | 20 | 17 | 14 | 27 | 18 | 23 |
| 13 and under 15 cents. | 7 | 9 | 7 | 4 | 9 | 16 |
| 15 and under 17 cents. | 6 | 13 | 5 | 4 | 29 | 6 |
| 17 and under 19 cents.- | 3 | 2 | 7 | 3 | 1 | 12 |
| 19 cents and over...-- | 2 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 6 |
| Not specified or not computed ${ }^{3}$ $\qquad$ | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 |

[^9]Many of the workers affected by increases negotiated during the year also received cost-ofliving escalator adjustments. When the cost-ofliving increases received by these workers are added to the negotiated increases, the most common increases became 13 but less than 15 cents. These covered one out of every four workers affected by the 1958 negotiations (table 3). One worker in six received increases of at least 15 cents an hour whether or not cost-of-living adjustments are included since very few workers affected by settlements of this magnitude received any escalator adjustments.

Almost twice as many manufacturing as nonmanufacturing workers were affected by 1958 negotiations since relatively few long-term agreements in nonmanufacturing were subject to renegotiation during the year. Moreover, of the workers affected by the year's negotiations, a much higher proportion of the manufacturing workers were covered by settlements that increased pay by less than 9 cents an hour: two-thirds of manufacturing workers as against one-fourth of nonmanufacturing workers.

More than 6 out of 10 nonmanufacturing workers were affected by negotiations that increased rates of pay by at least 10 cents an hour, including 10 -cent raises for about 230,000 employees in major trucking situations. Of the more than 250,000 nonmanufacturing employees accounted for by negotiated increases of at least

19 cents an hour, the vast majority were bituminous coal miners. ${ }^{4}$

The effects of escalation upon increases negotiated during 1958 were more pronounced in manufacturing than in nonmanufacturing industries, chiefly because of new automobile contracts and some farm-equipment agreements. In manufacturing, more than two out of five workers were affected by negotiated wage-rate changes averaging 7 but less than 9 cents, but the addition of the escalation increases shifted the average change to 13 but less than 15 cents, covering almost one out of three workers.

Skilled Workers. As in 1956 and 1957, about three out of eight agreements negotiated in 1958 provided for additional wage increases for skilled workers. The problem of wage differentials between skilled and other workers was dealt with in several ways. The proportion of settlements that most frequently maintained percentage wage differentials did so by providing uniform percent adjustments, as the following tabulation indicates:

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\text { Approximate } \\
\text { number of } \\
\text { Percent workers cov- } \\
\text { of agree- } & \text { ered by }
\end{array}
$$

Type of increase
Across-the-board cents-per-hour increases, plus widening of cents increments among labor grades ${ }^{1} \ldots . .$.

12643,000
Across-the-board cents-per-hour increases, plus extra increases for

Across-the-board percent increases ${ }^{1}$-$4 \quad 131,000$

Across-the-board percent increases with minimum cents-per-hour increase specified ${ }^{3}$

16
503, 000

Includes a few agreoments that alo provided ada skilled workers.
${ }^{2}$ Includes a few agreements in which wage increases were nonuniform but which also provided additional increases for skilled workers.

- The majority of these agreements also provided additional increases for skilled workers.

Other agreements providing percent increases (with a specified minimum cents-per-hour increase) included extra cents-per-hour raises for skilled workers (as in the case of automobiles and farm equipment). Many contracts, including

[^10]Table 2. Changes in wages, excluding cost-of-livingad justments, and in supplementary practices provided by selected collective bargaining settlements negotiated in $1958{ }^{1}$

${ }_{1}$ This tabulation relates to settlements involving 1,000 or more workers concluded during the 12 -month period. It includes all wage changes negotiated during the January-December period that are scheduled to go into effect during the contract vear: i.e., the 12 -month period following the effective date of the agreement. In summarizing percentage increases, it has been necessary to estimate their value in terms of cents on the basis of available necessary to estimate their value in terms
information on wage levels in the industry.
This tabulation excludes settlements involving fewer than 1,000 workers; settlements in construction, the service trades, finance, and government; instances in which contract reopening privileges were not exercised; and wage increases and changes in supplementary practices that went into effect during the period that were negotiated earlier (for example, deferred wage increases, cost-of-living adjustments, or annual improvement factor increases)
${ }_{2}^{2}$ Because of rounding, sums of individual items may not equal totals.
${ }^{3}$ This total is smaller than the sum of the individual items since some settlements affected more than 1 item.
${ }^{4}$ Includes settlements in which agreement provided for increased contributions to maintain existing benefits. However, settlements providing for increased benefits without increased employer contributions are omitted.
${ }^{5}$ The most commonly reported were severance pay in 25 manufacturing and 10 nonmanufacturing settlements; supplemental jury-duty pay in 30 manufacturing and 2 nonmanufacturing settlements; paid funeral leave in 25 manufacturing and 4 nonmanufacturing settlements; paid sick leave in manufacturing and 15 nonmanufacturing settlements; and callin or reporting pay in 12 manufacturing and 3 nonmanufacturing settlements.
some represented in the preceding tabulation, provided special job classification adjustments or eliminated or narrowed differences in pay among geographic areas or plants. Uniform cents-perhour increases were provided in a third of the settlements affecting about a fourth of the workers.

Long-Term Agreements. Long-term agreements providing for wage-rate advances in future contract years continued to play an important part in 1958 bargaining. This type of agreement was more important in 1958 settlements than in 1957, but was less important than in 1956. However, the year-to-year fluctuations in the number of long-term negotiations depends primarily on the timing of negotiations in those industries where long-term agreements have been adopted, and do not necessarily indicate changes in the trend toward adoption of such long-term agreements. Of the major contracts negotiated during 1958, about 35 percent, accounting for 42 percent of the workers ( 1.7 million), provided for deferred wage increases due in 1959 and in some cases in subsequent years. ${ }^{5}$ In 1957, 3 out of 10 contracts covering 28 percent of the workers $(830,000)$ contained such provisions, and in 1956, two out of five agreements covering about 50 percent of the workers ( 2.9 million) were affected.

Escalator Clauses. Cost-of-living escalator clauses were established or renewed in 173 situations accounting for about 1.4 million workers. For

Table 3. Total wage changes ${ }^{1}$ in situations in which wage-rate negotiations occurred in 1958

| Type of wage action | Settlements |  | Workers : |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Num- } \\ & \text { ber } \end{aligned}$ | Percent ${ }^{2}$ | Number | Percent |
| All actions | 873 | 100 | 4, 109, 000 | 100 |
|  | 59 | 7 | 283, 000 |  |
|  |  | 93 | 3, 822, 000 | 93 |
| Under 5 cents. | 42 | 5 | 183,000 |  |
| 5 and under 7 cents. | 145 <br> 155 | 17 18 | 440,000 582,000 | 11 |
| 9 and under 11 cents. | 145 | 17 | 431,000 | 10 |
| 11 and under 13 cents. | 114 | 13 | 304, 000 |  |
| 13 and under 15 cents | 68 | 8 | 1, 015,000 | 25 |
| 15 and under 17 cents | 39 | 2 | 254,000 |  |
| 19 and under 19 cents | 16 <br> 50 | 2 | 94,000 388,000 |  |
| Not specified or not computed ${ }^{\text {- }}$ | 37 | 4 | 3882,000 |  |
| Decreases in wages | 3 | ( ${ }^{\text {( })}$ | 4,000 | (4) |

[^11]Table 4. Percent distribution of wage changes provided by selected collective bargaining settlements negotiated in 1956-58 ${ }^{1}$


[^12][^13]most of the workers affected, these clauses represented renewals of provisions in prior contracts, most notable of which were in the automobile and related parts, trucking, aircraft, and farm-equipment industries.

Supplementary Benefits. Slightly fewer than three out of four settlements concluded during 1958, affecting about 3.2 million workers, liberalized or established one or more supplementary benefits (table 2). Health and welfare benefits were most frequently affected; they were changed in about three out of eight agreements, accounting for about 1.8 million workers. Vacation provisions were liberalized in 26 percent of the contracts and 22 percent revised provision for paid holidays, most frequently adding a seventh or eighth paid holiday.

Pensions were established or increased in 21 percent of the situations and accounted for 1.4 million workers. In most cases, benefits paid

[^14]upon retirement were liberalized, and in other cases, provision was made for increasing disability benefits.
The recession exercised an influence on bargaining as it related to supplemental unemployment and separation pay benefits. Most notably, the settlements in automobiles, farm equipment, and related industries liberalized both the amount and duration of supplemental unemployment benefits (inaugurated under the 1955 contracts) and established separation pay provisions within the framework of the SUB plans. ${ }^{6}$ In general, these settlements provided for termination pay from existing SUB funds; since the employers' contributions into these funds remained unchanged, these revisions are not included in the tabulation of increased supplementary benefits shown in table 2.
Nonmanufacturing settlements not only provided higher wage-rate increases but changed supplementary benefits somewhat more frequently than did those in manufacturing. About 8 out of 10 nonmanufacturing settlements made changes in these practices compared with about 7 out of 10 in manufacturing. The most striking disparities

Table 5. Changes in supplementary practices provided by selected collective bargaining settlements negotiated in 1956-58 ${ }^{1}$

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow{3}{*}{Type of practice} \& \multicolumn{9}{|c|}{Percent of settlements} \\
\hline \& \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{All industries studied \({ }^{2}\)} \& \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Manufacturing \({ }^{3}\)} \& \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Sclected nonmanufacturing industries} \\
\hline \& 1956 \& 1957 \& 1958 \& 1956 \& 1957 \& 1958 \& 1956 \& 1957 \& 1958 \\
\hline All settlements.. \& 100 \& 100 \& 100 \& 100 \& 100 \& 100 \& 100 \& 100 \& 100 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{14}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Total establishing or liberalizing one or more supplementary practices \({ }^{5}\) \\
Health and welfare plans \({ }^{6}\) \\
Vacations \\
Holidays. \\
Pensions \({ }^{6}\) \\
Shift differentials \\
Premium rates \\
Paid funeral leave \\
Severance pay - \\
Jury-duty pay \\
Paid sick leave \\
Supplemental unemployment benefits 6 \\
Other practices \\
Settlements not changing supplementary practices \\
Settlements reducing supplementary practices \\
Number of settlements.
\end{tabular}} \& \multirow{13}{*}{78
45
39
32
25
21
14
4
3
10
4
8
11
11
22} \& \multirow{13}{*}{75
40
34
32
18
13
12
7
3
5
3
1
13
25} \& \multirow{13}{*}{\[
\begin{array}{r}
72 \\
36 \\
26 \\
22 \\
21 \\
8 \\
7 \\
7 \\
3 \\
4 \\
4 \\
3 \\
1 \\
16 \\
28
\end{array}
\]} \& \multirow[t]{13}{*}{\[
\begin{array}{r}
78 \\
46 \\
40 \\
35 \\
28 \\
24 \\
12 \\
5 \\
3 \\
3 \\
12 \\
3 \\
10 \\
10 \\
22
\end{array}
\]} \& \multirow{13}{*}{77
43
35
36
20
16
9
8
3
6
1
1
13
23} \& \multirow[t]{13}{*}{69
35
22
25
18
7
8
4
4
5
2
1
12
30} \& \multirow[t]{13}{*}{\(\begin{array}{r}78 \\ 41 \\ 33 \\ 22 \\ 17 \\ 12 \\ 21 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 7 \\ \hline 16 \\ 22 \\ \hline\end{array}\)} \& \multirow[t]{13}{*}{72
34
31
21
14
8
20
5
2
4
6

13
28} \& \multirow[t]{13}{*}{81
41
39
16
28
10
6
2
4
1
7
-26
19} <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline \& 1,191 \& 828 \& 873 \& 915 \& 564 \& 646 \& 276 \& 264 \& 227 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

[^15][^16]Table 6. Changes in union wage scales in 7 construction trades in major cities, ${ }^{1}$ 1956-58

| Cents-per-hour increases | Percent of scales in- |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1956 | 1957 | 1958 |
| All scales | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| All increases | 87 | 89 | 87 |
| Under 5.0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 5.0 and under 10.0 | 12 | 7 | 8 |
| 5.0 | 5 | 2 | 2 |
| 10.0 and under 15.0 | 5 30 | 2 | 3 3 |
| 10.0-...--- | 17 | 17 | 33 19 |
| 12.5 | 9 | 10 | 9 |
| 15.0 and under 20.0 | 24 | 26 | 24 |
| $15.0$ | 19 | 18 | 20 |
| 20.0 and under 25.0 | 9 | 12 | 11 |
| $20.0$ | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| 25.0 and over. | 11 | 13 | 12 |
| 25.0 | 8 | 10 | 7 |
| No change. | 13 | 12 | 13 |

1 The 7 trades studied were bricklayers, carpenters, electricians, painters, plasterers, plumbers, and building laborers in 100 cities.
Note: Because of rounding, sums of individual items may not equal totals
were in vacation changes (involved in 39 percent of the settlements in nonmanufacturing and 22 percent in manufacturing) and in pensions (28 and 18 percent, respectively). On the other hand, 25 percent of the manufacturing settlements changed holiday provisions, compared with 16 percent in nonmanufacturing.

## Comparisons with 1957 and 1956

More workers were affected by major wage negotiations during 1958 than in 1957, when such negotiations affected almost 3 million workers, but the total number affected was below the 5.7 million covered by major wage negotiations in 1956 (table 4). The increase in bargaining activity above 1957 levels was due very largely to the fact that long-term contracts in the automobile, farm equipment, and related industries were up for negotiation in 1958. Some of the major agreements negotiated in 1956, however, were still in effect in 1957 and 1958.

The proportion of workers receiving increases as a result of wage negotiations was identical (93 percent) in 1957 and 1958, but in 1956, all but 1 percent of the workers affected by negotiations in which wages were an issue were covered by contracts that provided for wage-rate increases. ${ }^{7}$
The negotiated increases tended to be somewhat smaller in 1958 than in either 1957 or 1956, although in evaluating these differences, the year-
to-year differences in the industries in which negotiations occur should be borne in mind. The most commonly negotiated increases amounted to 7 but less than 9 cents an hour in 1958, compared with 9 but less than 11 cents in 1956 and 1957.

As indicated earlier, a comparison of negotiated wage changes during 1958, 1957, and 1956 must be qualified by the fact that with long-term agreements in many industries, there are significant variations in the industries represented by settlements in any given year. Thus, in 1956 long-term agreements were reached in basic steel, meatpacking, and metal mining and for some railroad workers. These agreements provided deferred increases in 1957 and 1958 and were not the subject of negotiations during either of these years. Bargaining in 1957 included additional railroad settlements and a long-term agreement in East Coast longshoring, but relatively few other key long-term agreements. Most of the workers covered by long-term contract negotiations concluded during 1958 were in the automobile and related parts industries and in some agricultural equipment firms where the 3 -year agreements negotiated in 1955 were replaced.

Among the industry groups that bargained over wages in each of the 3 years-1956, 1957, and 1958-were rubber, cement, and chemicals. There were also some situations in communications, paper, and service trades in which agreements were concluded in each of the 3 years. In some of these situations, the wage-rate increases agreed to during 1958 were significantly smaller than those agreed to in 1957 and, in some cases, than those in 1956 as well. In the rubber industry, wage rates were increased by 8 cents an hour compared with 14 to 15 cents in 1957 and 6.2 cents in 1956. Increases negotiated in the cement industry averaged about 10 and 13 cents in 1958 and 1957, respectively, but amounted to 18 cents in 1956. Although the petroleum refining industry normally negotiates annually, no general settlement was reached in this industry until early 1959; there were a few scattered catchup increases during 1958.

[^17]Although there was a small decline in the proportion of settlements that provided for changes in one or more supplementary practices from 1956 to 1958 -from 78 percent in 1956 to 72 percent in 1958-a more noticeable drop occurred in the number of fringe items affected by a single settlement. (See table 5.) There was a decline in the proportion of changes made in almost every one of the fringe benefits shown separately in this summary. For example, shift differentials were revised in 2 out of 10 settlements in 1956 compared with less than 1 out of 10 in 1958; vacation

[^18]changes declined from 39 percent in 1956 to 26 percent in 1958; and revisions in holiday provisions accounted for one out of three settlements liberalizing these practices in both 1957 and 1956 compared with slightly more than one out of five in 1958.

## Union Scales in the Construction Trades

During 1958, union construction scales ${ }^{8}$ rose an average of about 14.5 cents an hour compared with 15 and 13.6 cents in 1957 and 1956, respectively. The single most common increase amounted to 15 cents an hour and affected roughly 20 percent of the scales in each of the 3 years. In each year also, raises of 10 cents an hour were only slightly less frequent than 15 -cent changes. (See table 6.)

## Summaries of Studies and Reports

## The Farm Worker

 in AmericaEditor's Note.-The following article was excerpted from an address by Secretary of Labor James P. Mitchell before the National Conference of Farm Labor Services, Los Angeles, February 23, 1959. For ease of reading, symbols to denote elided material have not been indicated.

First, the conditions under which far too many of our farm workers live and work today is an affront to the conscience of the American people.
Second, the farm grower, with a year's income and investment often hanging in the balance, needs reasonable assurance that he can get the workers he needs when he needs them.

Third, this Nation as a whole has a large surplus of underemployed domestic farm workers. These people are marginal farmers, sharecroppers, and farm wage workers. Consider that in 1957, the last full year for which complete figures are available, there were over 2 million persons who did a significant amount of farm work and got paid for it. Yet the average number of days they worked was only 144. That is a tremendous amount of manpower going to waste, even if you consider that many people like housewives, some older children, and some teachers only want part-time work in the fields. Rural underemployment is not a temporary problem. There is very little evidence that the underemployed and unemployed farm worker is passing out of society. The number of migratory farm workers in the United States has not decreased during the last 10 years; nor has the total number of persons who engage at least part time in farm work. Yet their economic status has been getting progressively worse. The wages [of farm workers] in 1957 averaged $\$ 892$. This was lower than any reported year since 1951 .

Fourth, we must remember that these workers not only do not have the protection of most of the social legislation which places a floor under the economic well-being of most Americans, but that they also are deprived even of the "automatic" action of a free labor market, in which a labor shortage tends to bring its own correction. As you know, increased competition for workers normally brings improvement in wages and other inducements to attract them.

Such "automatic" correction is foreclosed, however, where foreign labor is provided in sufficient supply at whatever wage level already prevails, thus discouraging wages from rising. The argument for providing foreign labor in this way is that there is a shortage of domestic labor at the time and place needed and at the wage and conditions of employment that prevail there. Clearly, such local shortages do exist. The domestic labor surpluses I have described are often distant from the point of need; many of them will not wish to leave their home areas no matter what the inducement. Some may be undependable. Clearly, there are emergency situations, perhaps frequently, and in many places, where supplemental foreign labor is required to meet short-term needs left after every reasonable effort at domestic recruitment.
But it is equally true, unfortunately, that foreign labor programs in themselves often permit employers to evade the necessity to pay the wages and to do the many other things needed to attract and retain domestic farm workers. Where this happens, it clearly affects adversely the working conditions, pay standards, and the job opportunities of our own workers.

This is no secret. An increasing number of newspaper stories and magazine articles and radio and television programs are pointing it out, and they are also showing a lot of evidence that too many migrant farm laborers are living as no American should live.

## A Suggested Program

From the foregoing considerations, I draw certain conclusions and raise certain questions.

First of all, there will be change; the American public should and will demand it; and it will be imposed if it does not evolve voluntarily. It is in the interest of all of us that this change take place in the national interest and not just in the parochial interest of any one group.

The national interest requires that this change be in the direction of materially better employment opportunities, standards of living, and economic security for farm workers. These improved opportunities and higher earnings for workers will, of course, enable agriculture to attract a more stable and reliable work force. Humane evolution in these directions is the central objective of American society in the field of farm labor. A major part of the problem of peaceful change is in the field of wages. None of our farm labor problems can be solved if wages and earnings are kept at a low level while other wages and income on and off the farm continue to increase. In this country, we do not choose to keep down our bills, including our food bills, at the cost of overworking and underpaying human beings. We choose instead to pay the price necessary to support an adequate wage. This is one of the incentives and one of the products of an expanding economy.

I am convinced that agricultural workers must be given the protection of minimum wage and maximum hours legislation. I have initiated studies within the Department of Labor which will help to arrive at the type of legislation best adapted to the particular needs of American agriculture and farm workers. This study will be completed this year.

We know that some, perhaps many, farmers would willingly improve the pay standards of the farm workers in their employ if they could be sure that other farmers-competitors in the market place-were doing likewise. A minimum wage law is the means of protecting those willing to pay decent wages from the unfair competition of those who are not. The assistance of these farmers and their representatives can help to develop-in fact is necessary to develop-the type of legislation which would accomplish the wage adjustments needed without putting too great or sudden a load
on agricultural employers. I urge responsible farm representatives to give serious thought to how they may best participate. I earnestly invite their constructive suggestions and proposals.

Second, I suggest the urgent need for reappraisal of our foreign labor programs. These programs will be in serious and growing jeopardy as long as many people consider them a roadblock in the way of progress for all American farm workers who are underemployed and underpaid.

As I indicated earlier, reasonable men can now point to many situations in which foreign worker programs actually endanger the legitimate aspirations of American workers. Such a conclusion is difficult to avoid where the use of foreign workers has become the normal, not the exceptional, practice. For instance, where needs are predictable and the jobs last long enough to be attractive to underemployed American workers, there is no sound justification for long continued use of foreign workers. In such situations, the so-called "shortage" of domestic workers often appears to result from an unwillingness to offer the wages, the assurances, and the housing and transportation arrangements needed to attract and retain underemployed domestic farm workers.

The continued use of foreign labor in such a manner supports the charges of those who would abolish the use of any foreign labor, even for legitimate emergency or peak needs. Here again, the responsible farm employer recognizes that his interest in an assured supply of foreign labor for emergency use is jeopardized by widespread misuse of these programs. But the individual farm employer cannot long act in accordance with this belief if he does not have reasonable assurance that his neighbor will do likewise. Such assurance must be provided.

The Department is now engaged in the development of additional standards governing employment conditions and recruitment efforts. These standards would have to be met before foreign workers could be imported. It is important that such standards be regarded as the means of support or enforcement of the interests of the farmers willing to act scrupulously in promoting the economic advancement of domestic underemployed farm workers. Such standards are the best way to control the misuse of foreign worker programs; to get real preference to under-
employed domestic workers; and thus to prevent a minority of growers from jeopardizing the longrange interests of all farm operators.

Such standards must, of course, be applicable in all States. The States with a sound and farsighted view of the employment of foreign workers must not be penalized by competition of agricultural interests in States less scrupulous, less concerned with protecting domestic worker standards.

Third, the Department of Labor has also undertaken a careful reexamination of Public Law 78 [which provides for the bringing into this country of Mexican nationals under contract to work in agriculture] and of the problems that have developed in its administration. I am hopeful that out of this process will come constructive revisions of the program when it is next considered by the Congress-in 1960.

Here I would like to say a word concerning the State Employment Security officers and personnel who represent the operating arm of Government in dealing with farm manpower. Their judgments are crucial. The Federal Government can establish policies and standards-based largely upon information and advice that comes from them. I am fully aware that such policies and standards have no meaning, however, aside from their day-to-day application at the local and State office level. I am gratified by what I have learned of the vigor and deep concern with which most employment service people deal with this complex array of problems at the State and local level.

The problem of farm labor in America is one of the two or three most important and most difficult manpower problems with which the Nation is confronted. It demands the persistent application of the best minds that we can bring to bear on it. We have reason to be pleased with the progress that we have achieved during the last year. We are going to move ahead.

The fact remains that some workers on some American farms are living under conditions which America will not long tolerate. I am gratified by the indications that I have received that the agricultural community is second to no segment of America in its wish to make the farm worker in America a greater participant in our advancing economy.

## Union Wage Scales in Building Trades, 1958

Union wage scales continued their upward movement between July 1, 1957, and July 1, 1958, to a new high for building-trades workers in cities of 100,000 or more population. Construction activity was at a relatively high level during the year. Hourly wage rates rose an average of 15 cents, or 4.5 percent, in the 1 -year period, according to the 52d annual survey of union scales in the building trades by the U.S. Department of Labor's Bureau of Labor Statistics. ${ }^{1}$ Nearly three-fourths of the 33 trades surveyed showed average scale increases of 12 to 19 cents during the year ending July 1, 1958.

Wage-rate adjustments resulting from labormanagement negotiations increased the pay scale for nine-tenths of the union workers in the building trades covered by the study. The advances generally ranged from 10 to 25 cents an hour; for 1 of every 12 workers, however, the increase amounted to 25 cents or more.

These widespread wage revisions raised the average hourly wage scales to $\$ 3.34$ for all buildingtrades workers- $\$ 3.54$ for journeymen and $\$ 2.55$ for helpers and laborers. ${ }^{2}$ On July 1, 1958, about

[^19]54 percent of the journeymen had negotiated scales ranging from $\$ 3.30$ to $\$ 3.80$ an hour, while a similar proportion of helpers and laborers had scales ranging from $\$ 2.30$ to $\$ 2.80$ an hour.

Straight-time workweeks averaged 39.3 hours for all building-trades workers. The most common schedule, 40 hours, prevailed for 88 percent of the workers.

Health and insurance programs developed through collective bargaining were reported for slightly more than two-thirds of the workers. Pension plan provisions were contained in labormanagement agreements applicable to a third of the building tradesmen.

## Wage Scale Changes, 1957-58

The 4.5-percent rise in union wage scales for building-trades workers between July 1, 1957, and July 1, 1958, advanced the Bureau's index of union hourly rates $(1947-49=100)$ to 162.4 (table 1). ${ }^{3}$ The rate of advance, which was slightly below that registered in the preceding 12 -month period, closely approximated the gain recorded in the year ending July 1, 1956. The increase reflected advances of 4.5 percent for journeymen and of 4.9 percent for helpers and laborers.

For the 24 journeymen trades studied, the percentage increase varied from 2.9 for tile layers to 6.5 for machinists. Increases of 4 to 5 percent were recorded by nine of the trades, of 5 percent or more by seven crafts, and of 3 to 4 percent by seven others. Among the nine helper and laborer groups, the scale advances ranged from 4.2 percent for tile layers' helpers and terrazzo workers' helpers to 5.4 percent for plumbers' laborers. Building laborers' scales registered a rise of 5 percent.

Many of the union contracts in effect on July 1, 1958, were negotiated for 2 years-a few were for a longer period. Contracts of more than a year's duration often contain provisions for periodic increases. Even though individual contracts provided for increases at various stated dates, only those rates that actually became effective between July 1, 1957, and July 1, 1958, were included in the current study. Some of these scale revisions were provided for in con-

[^20]Table 1. Indexes of union scales of hourly wages and weekly hours in the building trades, selected years, 1907-58
$[1947-49=100]$

| Date |  | Hourly wage rates |  |  | Weekly hours |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\underset{\text { trades }}{\text { All }}$ | Jour-neymen | Helpers and <br> laborers | All <br> trades | Jour-neymen | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Helpers } \\ & \text { and } \\ & \text { laborers } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1907: | May 15 | 18.2 | 19.0 | 14.5 | 124. 1 | 122.6 | 129.6 |
| 1913: | May 15 | 22.5 | 23.5 | 16.9 | 118.0 | 116.8 | 121.5 |
| 1918: | May 15 | 28.2 | 29.3 | 22.7 | 116. 1 | 115.0 | 119.5 |
| 1919: | May 15 | 32.3 | 33.4 | 26.2 | 115.5 | 114.6 | 118.4 |
| 1920: | May 15 | 43.6 | 44.7 | 38.1 | 115.0 | 114.1 | 117.6 |
| 1921: | May 15 | 44.4 | 45.6 | 38.4 | 114.9 | 114.0 | 117.6 |
| 1922: | May 15 | 41.7 | 42.9 | 35.0 | 114.9 | 114.1 | 117.3 |
| 1926: | May 15 | 55.0 | 56.6 | 45.2 | 114.8 | 114.0 | 117.0 |
| 1931: | May 15 | 60.6 | 62.4 | 49.4 | 108. 4 | 107.4 | 111.1 |
| 1933: | May 15 | 50.3 | 51.9 | 40.3 | 106. 1 | 105. 1 | 108.1 |
| 1939: | June 1 | 62.3 | 63.8 | 53.2 | 99.9 | 99.0 | 102.7 |
| 1940: | June 1 | 63.3 | 64.7 | 54.3 | 99.8 | 99.0 | 102.1 |
| 1941: | June 1 | 65.6 | 67.0 | 56.9 | 100.2 | 99.5 | 102. 4 |
| 1945: | July 1 | 72.2 | 73.0 | 67.0 | 101.1 | 101.2 | 100.8 |
| 1946: | July 1 | 80.5 | 80.9 | 77.9 | 100.1 | 100.1 | 100.1 |
| 1947: | July 1 | 92.1 | 92.3 | 91.1 | 100.0 | 99.9 | 100.1 |
| 1948: | July 1 | 101.8 | 101. 7 | 102.6 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 1949: | July 1 | 106.1 | 106. 0 | 106. 4 | 100.1 | 100.1 | 100.0 |
| 1950: | July 1 | 110.7 | 110. 5 | 112.2 | 100.2 | 100.2 | 100.0 |
| 1951: | July 1 | 117.8 | 117.4 | 119.9 | 100.1 | 100.1 | 99.9 |
| 1952: | July 1 | 125.1 | 124.6 | 127.7 | 100.1 | 100.1 | 100.0 |
| 1953: | July 1 | 131.6 | 130.7 | 136. 5 | 100.1 | 100.1 | 100.1 |
| 1954: | July 1 | 136.4 | 135. 4 | 142, 4 | 100.1 | 100.1 | 100.1 |
| 1955: | July 1 | 141. 2 | 140.0 | 148. 5 | 100.1 | 100.1 | 100.1 |
| 1956: | July 1 | 147.7 | 146. 2 | 157.4 | 100.1 | 100.1 | 100.1 |
| 1957: | July 1 | 155.3 | 153.6 | 166.6 | 100.1 | 100.1 | 100.1 |
| 1958: | July 1. | 162.4 | 160.5 | 174.7 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.1 |

tracts which became effective prior to July 1, 1957. A number of agreements negotiated during the year contain provisions for rate increases after July 1, 1958. Such deferred advances have been excluded from the survey. Thus, the scale changes presented herein do not reflect the total wage scale changes negotiated in individual contracts during the 12 months of the survey.

In the year ending July 1, 1958, union workers in the building trades in cities of 100,000 or more population increased their average scale 15 cents an hour. The rise was 1 cent less than that recorded in the previous 12 -month period; however, it equaled or exceeded the advance registered in any of the other yearly survey periods since 1948. The average scale for journeymen advanced 15 cents while helpers' and laborers' scales showed an upward adjustment of 12 cents.

On a regional basis, average scale advances for journeymen ranged from $101 / 2$ to 17 cents in all regions except the Middle West and Pacific where the increases were 18 and $181 / 2$ cents, respectively. In percentage terms, the increases varied from 3.6 in the Southeast to 5.6 in the Pacific region. Helpers and laborers recorded their greatest gain ( 15.4 cents or 6.5 percent) in the Middle West. The advance varied from 9 to 14.8 cents an hour in all other regions except the Southeast and

Table 2. Average union hourly wage scales in the building trades, by region, ${ }^{1}$ July 1, 1958

| Region | All trades | Journeymen | Helpersand laborers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| United States. | \$3.34 | \$3. 54 | \$2. 55 |
| New England | 3.16 | 3.40 | 2. 50 |
| Middle Atlantic | 3.68 | 3.89 | 2.89 |
| Border States. | 3.13 | 3.42 | 2.14 |
| Southeast. | 2. 80 | 3.08 | 1. 69 |
| Great Lakes. | 3.42 | 3. 59 | 2. 74 |
| Middle West. | 3.30 | 3. 48 | 2.51 |
| Southwest. | 2.85 | 3.19 | 1. 70 |
| Mountain. | 3.06 | 3.31 | 2. 28 |
| Pacific.- | 3.34 | 3.48 | 2. 73 |

${ }_{1}$ The regions referred to in this study include: New England-Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, Rhode Island, and Vermont; Middle Atlantic-New Jersey, New York, and Pennsylvania; Border StatesDelaware, District of Columbia, Kentucky, Maryland, Virginia, and West Virginia; Southeast-Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Mississippi, North Carolina, South Carolina, and Tennessee; Great Lakes-Illinois, Indiana, Michigan, Minnesota, Ohio, and Wisconsin; Middle West-Iowa, Kansas, Missouri, Nebraska, North Dakota, and South Dakota; Southwest-Arkansas, Louisiana, Texas, and Oklahoma; Mountain-Arizona, Colorado, Idaho, Montana, New Mexico, Utah, and Wyoming; Pacific-California, Nevada, Oregon, and Washington.

Mountain regions. In these regions, the increases were 5.7 and 7.5 cents, respectively, or about 3.5 percent for both.

Among the 24 journeymen trades surveyed, advances in the average scale ranged from 10 cents for tile layers to 22 cents for machinists. Scales increased an average of 21 cents for asbestos workers and 19 cents for boilermakers, electricians, and sheet-metal workers. Of the remaining 18 journeymen trades, 9 showed gains of either 14 or 15 cents. Average scale increases among the 9 helper and laborer classifications ranged from 10 to 13 cents an hour. This constituted the narrowest range of average scale increases since 1947.

Pay scales were adjusted upward during the year ending July 1, 1958, for 91 percent of all union workers in building trades- $92 \frac{1}{2}$ percent of the journeymen and $84 \frac{1}{2}$ percent of the helpers and laborers. At least seven of every eight workers in 21 of the 33 trades studied were affected by scale increases. Increases ranged from 10 to 25 cents an hour for approximately threefourths of the building-trades workers. The most frequent advances were 15 and 20 cents an hour. Each of these amounts affected approximately a fifth of all building tradesmen, including slightly more than a fifth of the journeymen and about a tenth of the helpers and laborers. Scale increases of 10 cents an hour were applicable to one of every seven workers in the building-trades industry (an eighth of the journeymen and a fifth of the helpers and laborers).

Although increases in terms of cents per hour were larger for journeymen than for helpers and laborers, the reverse was generally true when the increases were expressed in percentage terms. Scale increases of 6 percent or more were recorded for 48 of every 100 workers in the nine helper and laborer classifications, as compared with 31 of every 100 journeymen. Gains of 4 to 6 percent were noted for four-tenths of the journeymen and for almost three-tenths of the helpers and laborers.

## Current Hourly Wage Scales

Negotiated pay scales in effect on July 1, 1958, varied widely for building-trades journeymen. They ranged from $\$ 1.90$ an hour for glaziers in Richmond, Va., to $\$ 4.80$ for crane operators on steel erection work in Newark, N.J. Spray painters in Boston, boilermakers in Newark, stonemasons in New York City, and engineers on some types of power equipment in Newark and New York City had negotiated scales of at least $\$ 4.60$ an hour. Slightly more than half of the journeymen had scales of $\$ 3.30$ to $\$ 3.80$ an hour. An eighth had hourly scales of $\$ 3.80$ to $\$ 4$ and a similar proportion had scales of $\$ 4$ or more. Scales of $\$ 4$ or more were stipulated for 3 of every 10 lathers and plasterers, and for some workers (generally 10 to 18 percent) in 19 other crafts. Hourly rates of less than $\$ 2.60$ were in effect for $71 / 2$ percent or less of the workers in seven trades.

Journeymen as a group averaged $\$ 3.54$ an hour on July 1, 1958. Among the individual trades studied, bricklayers, with an average scale of $\$ 3.87$ an hour, were highest, followed by stonemasons (\$3.77) and plasterers (\$3.75). Boilermakers, lathers, pipefitters, and plumbers had hourly scales averaging $\$ 3.70$ or more. The lowest average recorded was for glaziers- $\$ 3.22$-but paperhangers, composition roofers, and painters also had average scales of less than $\$ 3.30$ an hour.

Wage scales for helpers and laborers also showed a wide variation-ranging from $\$ 1.20$ for building laborers in Jacksonville, Fla., to $\$ 3.85$ for some of the plasterers' laborers in New York City. Hourly scales of $\$ 2.30$ to $\$ 2.80$ were in effect for 11 of every 20 helpers and laborers and of $\$ 2.80$ to $\$ 3$ for 1 of every 10 workers. Negotiated rates of $\$ 3$ or more affected about one of every seven workers as did rates of less than $\$ 2$ an hour.

Union scales on July 1, 1958, averaged $\$ 2.55$ an hour for all helpers and laborers combined and by trade classification, from $\$ 2.19$ for composition roofers' helpers to $\$ 2.89$ for terrazzo workers' helpers. Building laborers, the largest group numerically, had rates averaging $\$ 2.47$ an hour.

## City and Regional Variations

Labor-management negotiations in the building industry are generally conducted on a locality basis. Pay scales for building-trades workers are affected by such factors as variations in type and amount of local building activity, the demand for skilled construction workers, the extent of unionization, and the general level of wages in individual localities. These factors are reflected in the relatively wide variations in negotiated scales for individual crafts within a locality as well as in the differences in rates among cities and regions. For example, scales for bricklayers ranged from $\$ 3.10$ an hour in Charlotte, N.C., to $\$ 4.35$ in New York City. The range of rates among the 24 journeymen crafts in 6 typical cities are shown in the following tabulation:

| City | Range of hourly wage scales among crafts | Differences in- |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dollars } \\ & \text { per hour } \end{aligned}$ | Percent |
| Atlanta | \$2. 40-\$3. 75 | \$1. 35 | 56 |
| Boston. | 3. $00-4.65$ | 1. 65 | 55 |
| Chicago | 3. $50-4.075$ | . 575 | 16 |
| Dallas | 2. $50-3.875$ | 1. 375 | 55 |
| New York | 3. $25-4.60$ | 1. 35 | 42 |
| San Francisco |  |  |  |
| land. | 3. $24-4.236$ | . 996 | 31 |

For the helper and laborer classifications, the difference between the lowest and highest scales was smaller than for journeymen in each of the above cities-ranging from 47 cents in Boston to 85 cents in New York.

The city and regional averages presented are designed to show current levels of rates. They do not measure differences in union scales of the various crafts among areas. As previously indicated, scales for individual trades differ from one city to another. The city and regional averages are influenced not only by differences in rates among cities and regions, but also by differences in the proportion of organized workers in the various crafts. For example, a particular craft or classification may not be organized in some areas
or may be organized less intensively in some areas than in others. In addition, certain types of work are found in some areas but not in others or are found to a greater extent in some areas than in others. These differences are reflected in the weighting of individual rates by the number of union members at the rate. Therefore, even though rates for all individual crafts in two areas are identical, the average for all crafts combined in each of the areas may differ.

Average hourly scales varied widely for both journeymen and for helpers and laborers among the 52 cities surveyed. Average scales for journeymen varied from $\$ 2.83$ in Charlotte, N.C., to $\$ 4.16$ in Newark, N.J. In all other cities except New York City (\$4.04), journeymen scales averaged from $\$ 3.02$ to $\$ 3.82$ an hour. They ranged from $\$ 3$ to $\$ 3.25$ in 12 cities, from $\$ 3.25$ to $\$ 3.50$ in 20 cities, and from $\$ 3.50$ to $\$ 3.75$ in 14 cities.

For helpers and laborers, average scales were highest ( $\$ 3.30$ ) in New York City and lowest (\$1.35) in Charlotte, N.C., and Jacksonville, Fla. Averages of less than $\$ 2$ an hour also prevailed in 10 other cities and in excess of $\$ 3$ an hour in 2 others. Scales averaged from $\$ 2.25$ to $\$ 2.50$ in 14 cities, from $\$ 2.50$ to $\$ 2.75$ an hour in 15 , and from $\$ 2.75$ to $\$ 3$ in 6 cities.

When the cities are grouped by population size, average hourly scales varied by the size of the city. The group of cities with a million or more population had scales averaging $\$ 3.75$ for journeymen and $\$ 2.89$ for helpers and laborers - 46 and 62 cents higher, respectively, than those with 100,000 to 250,000 population, the smallest size studied.

Within each population size grouping, average hourly scales showed considerable variation for both classifications of building-trades workers. The range of average scales for helpers and laborers was greater than for journeymen in each of the city-size groupings. The difference between the highest and lowest city averages was greatest in the group of cities of 250,000 to 500,000 popula-tion- $\$ 1.05$ for journeymen and $\$ 1.80$ for helpers and laborers. In the other size groupings, the spread for helpers and laborers was nearly double that for journeymen. For both classifications of workers, there was an overlapping of average scales among cities in different size groups. The average scale for helpers and laborers in Peoria, Ill., (100,000-250,000 population group) was higher
than the average for all but one city in each of the other size groups.

Regionally, average hourly scales for union building-trades workers in cities of 100,000 or more population ranged from $\$ 3.68$ in the Middle Atlantic States to $\$ 2.80$ in the Southeast. The Great Lakes and Pacific regions, with averages of $\$ 3.42$ and $\$ 3.34$, respectively, were the only other regions to equal or exceed the national average. In other regions, levels varied from $\$ 2.85$ to $\$ 3.30$ (table 2).

Journeymen scales averaged highest in the Middle Atlantic States (\$3.89), and lowest (\$3.08) in the Southeast. In the Middle Atlantic region, seven trades registered average hourly scales of $\$ 4$ or more and only two crafts had scales averaging less than $\$ 3.50$ an hour. Conversely, in the Southeast, scales averaged in excess of $\$ 3.50$ for two trades and less than $\$ 3$ for seven trades. Except for the Middle Atlantic region, the highest trade average in any region was $\$ 3.90$ an hour. However, scales averaged $\$ 3$ or more for all trades in four regions, for all but two trades in two others and for at least two of every three trades in the remaining two regions.

For the nine helper and laborer classifications, hourly scales varied from $\$ 1.69$ in the Southeast to $\$ 2.89$ in the Middle Atlantic States. Average scales exceeded $\$ 2$ an hour for all of the trades in seven regions. Four of the trade groups in the Middle Atlantic region and two of those in the Pacific region averaged $\$ 3$ or more an hour.

## Standard Workweek

Relatively few union building-trades workers were affected by changes in the negotiated standard workweek between July 1, 1957, and July 1, 1958, even though there was a decline of one-tenth of an hour in the average straight-time workweek. On July 1, 1958, weekly standard hours averaged 39.3 for all building trades combined, 39.3 for journeymen, and 39.6 for helpers and laborers.

[^21]The predominant standard workweek consisted of 40 hours, and was in effect for 88 percent of all building-trades workers studied. Weekly work schedules of 35 hours were specified in labormanagement contracts applicable to a tenth of the workers; such schedules affected about a fourth of the painters and approximately a fifth of the bricklayers, bricklayers' tenders, and mosaic and terrazzo workers and their helpers. Straight-time schedules of 30 hours a week were in effect for 1 of every 9 electricians, 1 of every 5 plasterers, and 1 of every 11 plasterers' laborers.

## Insurance and Pension Plans

Negotiated health, insurance, and pension programs covering workers in the construction industry have increased in recent years, although the development of such plans has perhaps been less rapid than in industries where problems of seasonal operations and casual employment are not as widespread. Also, most of the constructiontrades unions have operated their own programs providing members with one or more types of benefits such as death, old-age, sickness, or disability. The development of negotiated insurance and pension programs undoubtedly has been affected by these factors. On July 1, 1958, about seven-tenths of the building-trades workers were covered by negotiated labor-management contracts providing for health or insurance plans, and a third were covered by pension provisions showing a slight increase over the previous year in both types of programs. ${ }^{4}$

Of the workers provided health and insurance protection, more than 95 percent were covered by plans financed entirely by employer contributions. Such plans were incorporated in labor-management contracts applicable to a majority of the workers in many trades. Included among these crafts were asbestos workers, boilermakers, lathers, painters, pipefitters, plumbers, rodmen, sheet-metal workers, and structural-iron workers.

Noncontributory pension plans affected ninetenths of the union workers covered by pension provisions. These provisions occurred more frequently in labor-management contracts covering electricians, than in those for any other trade.
-Thomas C. Mobley
Division of Wages and Industrial Relations

## Preliminary Estimates of Work Injuries in 1958

Disabling job injuries among American workers declined to $1,810,000$ during 1958, according to preliminary estimates shown in the accompanying table. ${ }^{1}$ This total, which was 4 percent below the revised estimate of $1,890,000$ for 1957 , was the lowest figure since 1939. From a high of $2,414,000$ in 1943, the volume of work injuries has decreased 25 percent, despite a general upward trend in the employed labor force.

Although the volume of injuries in 1958 reflected a somewhat lower level of employment and a shorter workweek than in 1957, the decrease in injuries was proportionately greater than that in employment, thus resulting in a somewhat lower injury rate. Except for a few minor fluctuations, the injury rate has declined gradually from 45.7 per 1,000 workers in 1943 to 29.4 in 1958-the lowest on record.

Deaths due to work injuries dropped to 13,300 in 1958, the lowest total since such estimates were first compiled in $1928 .{ }^{2}$ The death rate in 1958 was 22 per 100,000 workers-a decline of almost 50 percent since 1937.

In addition to the deaths resulting from work injuries in 1958, approximately 75,700 other injuries resulted in some permanent physical impairment, ranging from the amputation or partial loss of use of a finger or toe to complete inability of the injured worker to engage in any future gainful employment. In the majority of the injuries $(1,721,000)$ the worker was disabled for 1 full day or more after the day of injury, but received no permanent ill effects. These temporary disability cases disabled the injured workers for an average of 18 days.

Approximately 38 million man-days of disability resulted from these work injuries during 1958. This estimate includes the full days of disability for temporary cases, but an estimate of only the current losses resulting from the deaths and permanent impairments. When the future effects of these deaths and permanent impairments are evaluated ${ }^{3}$ and added to the immediate loss, the total ultimately attributable to the 1958 injuries will amount to approximately 160 million man-
days ${ }^{4}$-equivalent to a year's full-time employment of about 515,000 workers.

The greatest decrease in the volume of injuries occurred in manufacturing-from 392,000 in 1957 to 343,000 in 1958, or about 13 percent. Although this decline was due, in large part, to lower employment and a shorter workweek, there was also a decrease in the frequency of injuries. Preliminary compilations indicate that the average injury-frequency rate for manufacturing in 1958 fell below the 1957 rate, which was the previous all-time low.

Mining recorded the largest percentage decrease ( 14 percent) in injuries over the year, but this was due almost entirely to lower employment and fewer hours worked. Preliminary reports to the Bureau of Mines indicated a record low volume of deaths in coal mines, despite three disastrous explosions during 1958.

Injuries to workers in the transportation industries decreased 5 percent-somewhat less than did employment. In contract construction and trade, however, the volume of injuries declined more than employment, indicating a slight improvement in the injury rate.

The only increase in the volume of injuries between 1957 and 1958 occurred in the miscellaneous group of industries (including finance,

[^22]Estimated number of disabling work injuries, by industry division, 1954-58

| Industry division and result of injury | All workers ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  | Employees only |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $1958{ }^{2}$ | $1957{ }^{3}$ | 1956 | 1955 | 1954 | $1958{ }^{2}$ | $1957{ }^{3}$ | 1956 | 1955 | 1954 |
| Total disabling injuries...------------------ | 1,810,000 | 1,890,000 | 1,950,000 | 1,930,000 | 1,850,000 | 1,370,000 | 1,450,000 | 1,510,000 | 1,480, 000 | 1,400,000 |
| Agriculture 4 | 300, 000 | 300, 000 | 300, 000 | 310,000 | 310,000 | 60, 000 | 58,000 | 58,000 | 58,000 | 58, 000 |
| Mining ${ }^{5}$ | 45, 000 | 52, 000 | 55, 000 | 56, 000 | 50, 000 | 42, 000 | 49,000 | 52, 000 | 53, 000 | 47, 000 |
| Contract construc | 187, 000 | 200, 000 | 218, 000 | 220, 000 | 200, 000 | 142,000 | 155, 000 | 173, 000 | 175, 000 | 155, 000 |
| Manufacturing? | 343, 000 | 392, 000 | 420, 000 | 418, 000 | 390, 000 | 333, 000 | 382, 000 | 410, 000 | 408, 000 | 380, 000 |
| Public utilities ${ }^{6}$ | 166,000 | 175,000 | 175.000 | 166, 000 | 162, 000 | 146, 000 | 155, 000 | 155, 000 | 146,000 | 142,000 |
| Trade 6...-- | 14,000 330,000 | 14,000 340,000 | 16,000 355,000 | 16,000 350,000 | 18,000 340,000 | 14,000 250,000 | 14,000 260,000 | 16,000 275,000 | 16,000 270,000 | 18,000 260,000 |
| Finance, service, government, and miscellaneous industries ${ }^{8}$ | 425, 000 | 417, 000 | 411, 000 | 394, 000 | 380, 000 | 383, 000 | 377, 000 | 371, 000 | 354, 000 | 340, 000 |
| Deaths ${ }^{\text {a }}$ - | 13, 300 | 14, 200 | 14, 300 | 14, 200 | 14,000 | 9, 700 | 10,400 | 10,400 | 10,200 | 9,900 |
| AgricultureMining | 3,300 | 3,500 | 3,600 | 3,700 | 3,800 | 1,000 | 1, 000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1, 000 |
|  | 700 | 900 | 800 | 800 | 800 | 600 | 800 | 700 | 700 | 700 |
| Contract construction 6 | 2,400 | 2, 500 | 2,600 | 2, 500 | 2,400 | 1,900 | 2,000 | 2, 100 | 2,000 | 1,900 |
| Manufacturing ${ }^{\text {T }}$ Transportation ${ }^{\text {8 }}$ | 1,800 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 1,700 | 1,900 | 1,900 | 1,900 | 1,900 |
|  | 1,200 | 1,300 | 1,300 | 1,300 | 1,200 | 1,100 | 1,200 | 1,200 | 1,200 | 1,100 |
| Public utilities | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 1,200 | 200 | , 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 |
|  | 1,200 | 1,300 | 1,400 | 1,400 | 1,300 | 900 | 1,000 | 1,100 | 1,100 | 1,000 |
| Finance, service, government, and miscellaneous industries ${ }^{8}$ | 2,500 | 2, 500 | 2,400 | 2,300 | 2,300 | 2,300 | 2,300 | 2, 200 | 2,100 | 2,100 |
|  | 75, 700 | 80, 800 | 84, 700 | 81,800 | 75, 000 | 59,300 | 64,600 | 68,600 | 64, 800 | 58,100 |
|  | 5,200 | 5, 600 | 6,100 | 6,200 | 5,800 | 3,700 | 4, 100 | 4,600 | 4,700 | 4,100 |
| Manufacturing | 20,900 | 22,800 | 24,500 | 23,300 | 20,400 | 20,400 | 22,300 | 24, 000 | 22,800 | 19,900 |
| Trade ${ }^{6}$ | 7,300 | 7,800 | 7,800 | 7,200 | 6,800 | 5,500 | 6,000 | 6,000 | 5,400 | 5,000 |
| Temporary-total disabilities ${ }^{11}$ | 1,721,000 | 1,795, 000 | 1,851,000 | 1,834,000 | 1,761,000 | 1,301, 000 | 1,375,000 | 1, 431,000 | 1, 405,000 | 1,332,000 |
| Contract construction | 179, 400 | 191, 900 | 209, 300 | 211, 300 | 191,800 | 136, 400 | 148,900 | 166, 300 | 168,300 | 149,000 |
|  | 320,300 | 367, 200 | 393,500 | 392, 700 | 367, 600 | 310,900 | 357, 800 | 384, 100 | 383, 300 | 358, 200 |
| Tanufacturing ${ }^{7}$ | 321, 500 | 330, 900 | 345, 800 | 341, 400 | 331,900 | 243, 600 | 253, 000 | 267, 900 | 263, 500 | 254, 000 |

${ }^{1}$ Includes proprietors, self-employed, and unpaid family workers as well as employees, but excludes domestic service workers.
${ }_{2}$ Preliminary and subject to later revisions.
${ }_{3}$ Revised.
4 The total number of work injuries in agriculture is based on cross-section surveys by the U.S. Department of Agriculture in 1947 and 1948, with adjustments for changes in employment. These are considered to be minijustments for changes in employment. These are considered to be minimum figures; injuries experienced in perforn
${ }_{51}^{5}$ Based largely on data compiled by the U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Mines.
${ }^{6}$ Based on a small sample survey by the Bureau of Labor Statistics.
${ }^{7}$ Based on a comprehensive survey by the Bureau of Labor Statistics.
${ }^{8}$ Based on small sample surveys by the Bureau of Labor Statistics for certain segments and on data compiled from other sources for other segments. 9 Based on sample surveys, as indicated by footnotes 4 to 8 , and on vital statistics reports.
10 Includes approximately 1,300 to 1,500 permanent-total impairments each year.
${ }^{11}$ Includes data for industries not shown separately.
service, and government). An increase of 5 percent in employment in State and local government was chiefly responsible for the rise. Employment in finance and service was also slightly higher, but the volume of injuries in these groups increased less than did employment, resulting in a slightly lower injury rate. Both employment and the volume of injuries in the Federal service decreased slightly.

The estimates of disabling work injuries in agriculture were the same for 1958 and 1957 -

300,000 -but the number for employed workers increased by 2,000 . These figures reflect a slight increase in employment of hired workers on farms, but a decrease in the number of farm operators and unpaid family workers, as reported by the U.S. Department of Agriculture. Vital statistics data, however, indicated a decrease of over 5 percent in farm work deaths.

## -Robert S. Barker and Frances M. Smith <br> Division of Industrial Hazards

## Federal Loan Insurance and Housing Needs

The proportion of family expenditure required for housing expense tends, it has often been observed, to increase as income declines. ${ }^{1}$ But the Government housing programs have helped to ease the cost of adequate housing for the many families who have been able to purchase relatively low-cost houses with the aid of FHA mortgage insurance and VA home loan guaranties. Generally, however, the Nation's large group of lowincome families (under $\$ 3,000$ a year) cannot finance housing meeting a reasonable standard.

The expanded programs for governmental assistance in housing production have been confined largely to public housing for low-income families and aid to privately financed housing through the Federal Housing Administration loaninsurance program and the veterans' loan-guaranty program. In 1956, approximately 17 percent of all new privately financed nonfarm housing units started were covered by FHA-insured mortgages and 25 percent by VA-guaranteed loans. These figures include both single-family houses and apartments.

How effective is the present Federal loaninsurance program in supporting production of housing meeting the needs of the population at reasonable prices? In attempting to answer this question, consideration must be given to the selling prices of new houses; the extent to which FHA-insured ${ }^{2}$ or VA-guaranteed ${ }^{3}$ loans are involved; and current family incomes.

## Price Range, FHA and VA Buyers

About 980,000 privately financed one-family, nonfarm houses were started in 1956. About 19 percent of these units were started under FHA home mortgage programs, chiefly section 203. It appears that the FHA program was most influential in the price ranges from $\$ 7,000$ to $\$ 20,000$ (table 1). This is to be expected, because of the limited need for financing assistance for the highpriced units, and the marginal character of many properties valued at under $\$ 7,000$ which disquali-
fies them for assistance. The data suggest that somewhat over one-fourth of the new units in the $\$ 10,000$ to $\$ 15,000$ range were covered by FHAinsured loans.

Approximately 27 percent of the 980,000 new privately financed 1 -family dwellings started in 1956 were covered by VA-guaranteed loans. Twothirds of these loans were made on properties valued at from $\$ 10,000$ to $\$ 15,000$. It can be be seen from these figures that about two-thirds of all one-family houses in this middle range were financed with insured or guaranteed loans. Properties in the price range from $\$ 7,000$ to $\$ 10,000$ accounted for only 10 percent of total production, but over half of them were covered by insured or guaranteed loans. It appears, therefore, that the program was being applied effectively to relatively low-cost housing to the extent that the builders were offering properties in those price ranges.

Thus, 23 percent of the total units built were in the $\$ 7,000$ to $\$ 12,000$ range, whereas that group represented 36 percent of the FHA and 34 percent of the VA loans.

[^23]
## Housing Expense and Family Incomes

Very few families with incomes under $\$ 3,000$ bought homes under the FHA and VA programs (table 2). Some, no doubt, were able to buy housing under conventional (nongovernmental) financing arrangements. In addition, about 0.5 percent of the FHA loans on existing houses were made to buyers with under $\$ 3,000$ income. Similarly, a small percentage of VA guaranteed loans were probably made to low-income buyers of existing homes, but the published reports do not provide an exact figure.

The FHA and VA estimates of the ratio of housing expense to income are of interest because they show the remarkably consistent pattern of declining ratios of housing costs as income rises. The VA ratios are based on income after taxes, and are therefore somewhat higher than the FHA data. For comparison, the BLS estimates of housing expenditures as percentage of total expenditures derived from the 1950 consumption study are also shown.

## Older Householders

The age and occupational status of the lowincome families obviously have an important bearing on their housing problems. According to the sample surveys of consumer finances conducted by the Federal Reserve Board, in about one-half of the urban families with incomes under

Table 1. Percentage distribution of selling prices of new 1 -family houses, all units started and FHA-insured and VA-guaranteed units, 1956

| Price range | Total units built ${ }^{1}$ | FHA-insured units ? | VA-guaranteed units ${ }^{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less than \$7,000. | 4 | (4) | (1) |
| \$7,000 to \$9,999 | 10 | 15 | 10 |
| \$10,000 to \$11,999. | 13 | 21 | 24 |
| \$12,000 to \$14,999. | 27 | 34 | 42 |
| \$15,000 to \$19,999. | 26 | 26 | 21 |
| \$20,000 and over. | 18 | 4 | - 3 |
| Unknown...-.-- | 2 | 0 | - 0 |

[^24]Table 2. Financial characteristics of house purchase transactions, 1956

| Income class | Percentage distribution of urban families by income ${ }^{1}$ | Percentage distribution of houses by income of purchaser ${ }^{2}$ |  | Estimated annual housing expense ${ }^{4}$ as percentage of annual income |  | Housing costs ${ }^{6}$ as percentage of annual income after taxes 1950 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | FHA buyer | $\begin{gathered} \text { VA } \\ \text { buyer } \end{gathered}$ | FHA buyer | $\underset{\text { buyer }}{\substack{\text { VA }}}$ |  |
| Less than \$2,000_ | 10.4 | () |  |  |  | 41.1 |
| \$2,000 to \$2,999... | 8.7 | 0.3 |  | ${ }^{7} 32.8$ |  | 34.1 |
| \$3,000 to \$3,999.-- | 11.8 | 6.1 | 85.8 | 28.5 | ${ }^{8} 31.6$ | 27.9 |
| \$4,000 to \$4,999 | 15.9 | 20.0 | 31.8 | 25.5 | 27.6 | 24.3 |
| \$5,000 to \$5,999 .-- | 14.5 | 21.4 | 28.0 | 22.6 | 24.0 | 24.4 |
| \$6,000 to \$6,999 | 10.6 | 19.0 | 17.1 | 20.0 | 20.9 | 21.5 |
| \$7,000 to \$9,999 .-- | 18.5 | 25.7 | 13.5 | 17.1 | 17.7 | 17.0 |
| \$10,000 and over- | $\begin{array}{r}9.6 \\ \hline 5.221\end{array}$ | 7.5 | 3.8 | 13.7 | 13.8 | 15.8 |
| Median income.- | \$5, 221 |  |  |  |  |  |

[^25]$\$ 2,000$ in 1954 , the family head was 55 years of age or older. About one-fourth of all family heads in this income group were retired. ${ }^{4}$ Surveys by the Bureau of Labor Statistics have revealed a very high incidence of homeownership among these older, low-income families. The percent of families of specified income levels reporting expenditures on owned homes, by age of head, was as follows:

| - | Age of head |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 55 to 65 years | $65 \text { to } 75$ years | 75 years and over |
| Under \$1,000 | 44 | 46 | 47 |
| \$1,000 and under \$2,000 | 47 | 52 | 58 |
| \$2,000 and under \$3,000 | 51 | 66 | 73 |

Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics, Study of Consumer Expendit res, Incomes, and Savings (Philadelphia, University of Pennsylvania, 1956-57) Vol. XVIII, table 3-4, p. 29.

[^26]The explanation appears to lie in the fact that these families have acquired homes at an earlier stage in family life, when the family head, and possibly other members of the family unit, were earning relatively high incomes. In later years, income has dropped but the family has been able to retain its home, probably in many cases by recourse to savings and other assets, the use of which is not included in current income accounting.

## Other Considerations

Aside from the special group of older families in owned homes, however, there exists a sizable number of low-income families whose housing requirements are probably not being adequately met and who have no hope of acquiring adequate shelter through normal channels. The size of this problem is indicated by a Franklin D. Roosevelt Foundation unpublished study which estimated that "the economic resources of over 6 million urban consumer units were too limited to provide an adequate level of living in 1950." 5

[^27]Some of the leading facts or conclusions, which emerge from a review of present housing data and programs in relation to family income and housing needs, ${ }^{6}$ may be summarized briefly as follows: (1) The proportion of family income spent for housing tends to decrease as income rises. (2) Conversely, the relative burden of housing costs increases when the family's income declines. (3) American families have a strong urge toward house ownership. While the costs of rental and owner-occupied quarters of equivalent size and quality tend to be about equal, the homeowners in any urban group tend to spend more because they pay for better housing. (4) The cost of housing varies significantly from place to place. It is the major factor in the differences in the cost of living among cities. (5) The costs of meeting acceptable housing standards tend to be lower in the smaller than in the larger cities. (6) Although the bulk of the housing need can be met in the future, as in the past, by private builders, operating for a profit, there remains a segment of the population with substandard incomes who will require special Government assistance if they are to occupy housing meeting reasonable standards.
-H. E. Riley
Division of Prices and Cost of Living

## Report of Federal Mediation and Conciliation Service, 1958


#### Abstract

Editor's Note.-This article was excerpted from the Eleventh Annual Report of the Federal Mediation and Conciliation Service for the Fiscal Year 1958, published in 1959. For easier reading, paraphrasing and paragraph order as well as suspension marks to denote unused portions of the report have not been indicated.


Collective rargaining during fiscal 1958 sharply reflected the impact of a shifting national economy. The salient economic fact of the year was recession coupled with a fairly steady climb in the cost of living, and these economic factors had wide implications for collective bargaining. In the first part of the fiscal year, ambitious collective bargaining programs were adopted by many of the major unions, a number of which announced that they were prepared to resort to economic pressure if their objectives could not be achieved through normal processes of collective bargaining. A stormy year was predicted in the field of labormanagement relations.

## Factors Affecting Collective Bargaining

Bargaining in the first part of the year was vigorous and frequently resulted in substantial economic gains for the unions involved, as management resistance to union demands was often tempered by anticipated continued prosperity.

With the advent of the recession, however, a reappraisal of positions by both management and labor became imperative. The prospect of narrower profit margins, increasing consumer resistance to further price rises, and a consequent highly competitive market led many employers to resist further increases in both direct and indirect costs. These mounting economic pressures compelled increasing attention on the part of management to achieving greater flexibility of work force and work assignments.

In many instances, the feasibility of subcontracting the manufacture of component parts became a major managerial consideration. Many multiplant companies established programs of decentralization, transfer of obsolescent plants to
new areas, and removal and consolidation of processes and operations. These considerations and programs were translated into meaningful collective bargaining proposals and counterproposals. Aggressive resistance to any increase in costs and to labor's attempts to curtail freedom of selectivity in layoffs, transfers, and work assignments, even at the risk of a stoppage, was characteristic of management's attitude during this period.

On the labor side, greater value was attached to problems of job security. While an increase in wages to keep pace with the rising cost of living was still an objective, greater emphasis was placed on maximum protection for employees who might be affected by the threatened and, in some cases, actual economic and technological dislocation. Problems of seniority, subcontracting, broader supplementary unemployment benefits, and severance-pay plans, with all their complexities, were brought sharply into focus at the bargaining table.
Fiscal year 1958 witnessed a continuation in the trend toward long-term contracts, with and without reopening clauses. Management often preferred contracts in excess of 1 year. Unions sometimes accepted long-term contracts in order to salvage other issues of importance to them.

The restlessness of skilled tradesmen who are presently members of large industrial-type unions was again apparent during the year. This took the form of special attention given to the demands of skilled workmen in contract negotiations and the many requests by skilled industrial union members for establishment of new bargaining units composed of skilled members only. The skilled craftsmen have for some time resented what they deem to be a great emphasis placed by some industrial unions on such issues as supplemental unemployment benefits and other fringe objectives. Many skilled workers feel that these gains benefit them either slightly or not at all, and believe they are obtained at the expense of a loss in wages. Should there continue to be pressure exerted by skilled workers for higher wages, the long-term trend of diminishing occupational wage differentials may be reversed.

Strike activity, as measured in numbers of workers involved and total man-days of idleness, reached a postwar low in fiscal year 1958, and stoppages were of shorter duration, on the average,
than those occurring in most years since the war. The cloudy economic outlook during the year was probably the basic factor in reducing strikes. The President had no occasion in fiscal 1958 to utilize the emergency provisions of the Labor Management Relations Act; nor did the Service, during the same period, recommend than any disputes be submitted to a Presidential factfinding board as a means of resolving differences.

## Analysis of Mediation Activity

In fiscal year 1958, the total Service case intake increased by about 25,000 over the previous year (table). The total number processed, including those pending at the close of the previous fiscal year, was 105,896 cases. Of these, 81,871 were closed at the first administrative step through consolidation with other cases or by rejection for jurisdictional reasons. Another 3,746 cases involving questions of jurisdiction and need for mediation were closed after initial inquiries by mediators.

A total of 14,688 cases were closed after assignment for mediation during the year, and of these 6,031 (approximately the same as in fiscal year 1957) were closed after formal mediation activity. ${ }^{1}$ In fiscal year 1958, the fall months' intake was much greater than for the same period of the previous year and there is some evidence that contract negotiations are beginning to spread through the year, rather than to be confined largely to the spring months as in the past.

At the end of the fiscal year, 5,591 cases were pending, a figure 2,148 cases higher than the "pending" figure for fiscal year 1957. This heavy backlog may reflect, in part, reluctance by many of the disputants to complete negotiations before the settlements in the automobile industry and uncertainties on both sides owing to the business recession.

The issues which appeared in cases serviced by the agency's mediators have, over the years, shown wide variation, although "wages" has invariably headed the list. (See chart.) In the fiscal 1958 cases, the issues involved were very

[^28]500108-59-4

Frequency of Issues Appearing in Cases Closed After Formal Mediation, Fiscal Year 1958

similar to those in the previous fiscal year, even to the order of their frequency of occurrence. Dealing with approximately the same number of cases closed after formal mediation in both years, Service mediators helped the parties resolve a total of 19,162 issues in fiscal year 1957, and 19,144 in 1958. For 6,031 formally closed cases, this comes to over three issues per case.

## Preventive Mediation

Significantly, the number of formal preventive mediation cases is decreasing. During the year, 59 cases of this type of preventive mediation were reported. These were cases in which continuing or intermittent meetings or programs were developed by mediators to bring better understanding and harmony between the parties.

The Service anticipates that, in the next year, the "one-shot" or one-meeting type of preventive mediation will increase. Mediators handled 36 situations on a one-shot basis last year. It was found that one-meeting arrangements were more adaptable to the needs of the parties as a flexible, readily available means to work out some labor

Disposition of cases processed by the Service during fiscal years 1957 and 1958

| Item | Number of cases |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1957 | 1958 |
| Total cases processed...-. | 81, 624 | 105, 896 |
| Received during fiscal year | 77, 973 | 102, 453 |
| Pending at close of previous fiscal year | 3, 651 | 3,443 |
| Total cases reviewed and closed without assignment to mediator | 57, 920 | 81.871 |
|  | 10.053 | 10,329 |
| Consolidated and other reasons. | 47, 867 | 71, 542 |
| Total cases closed after initial inquiry by mediator | 6, 950 | 3,746 |
| Lack of jurisdiction. | 1,262 | 1,363 |
| No need for mediation--.-.-.-.-. | 5, 201 | 1,783 |
| Consolidated and other reasons. | 487 | 600 |
| Total cases closed after mediation assignment. | 13,311 | 14,688 |
|  | 6, 069 | 6, 031 |
| Informal mediation. | 7, 148 | 8,545 |
| Other reasons. | 94 | 112 |
| Total cases pending end of fiscal year | 3,443 | 5, 591 |

difficulties. Of increasing importance will be the type of activity involving the mediator's informal, day-to-day work with labor and management representatives, and the providing of advice and counsel to one side or both.

The Service is aware of the tendency toward the growth of more unified and potent labor councils and trade associations, particularly in metropolitan centers and closely knit geographical areas, and plans to give increasing attention to such organizations in order to keep pace with new problems resulting from their development, and in order to continue to be ready to assist them in forestalling disruptive issues.

Another method of assisting the parties to recognize and solve their problems before they become acute is relatively new. This is the "trade contact" approach, or the procedure of making regular and systematic contacts among the various labor and management components of a particular industry. The Service believes that this activity, of which three cases were completed during the year, is particularly meritorious as it provides it with a current overall impression of the entire labor relations scene in a particular industry. This further affords the Service the opportunity of making contact with key labor and management negotiators, and the chance to spot and isolate potential trouble spots within an industry.

## Arbitration

Although arbitrators are being more frequently selected directly by employers and union representatives without resort to nominating agencies, such as the Federal Mediation and Conciliation Service, the number of requests to the Service for panels of arbitrators continued to increase in the fiscal year 1958. The 2,326 requests received during the year constituted a 38.6 -percent increase over the preceding record year. The total of 1,755 arbitrator appointments made during the year exceeds those of fiscal 1957 by nearly 500.

These increases in requests were, in part, at least, due to the business recession. Seemingly, union and management representatives give greater consideration to arbitration in preference to a strike when economic and competitive conditions have made operational or administrative changes necessary.

An increase was also noted in the number of requests for more than one panel of arbitrators. This may indicate that an agreement on an arbitrator is becoming more difficult to obtain, although requests for repeated panels could be caused by reluctance to arbitrate a specific issue or a failure to investigate or make sufficient inquiry concerning the qualifications of the arbitrators on the initial panel. Inadequate or ambiguous arbitration clauses may also be responsible for requests for additional panels, as well as for delay in the selection of an arbitrator.

In the 1,089 awards reported, a total of 1,302 issues were adjudicated. The 10 most frequently adjudicated issues were:

Frequency of occurrence
Disciplinary ..... 361
Job classification and work assignment ..... 222
Management rights ..... 121
Overtime and hours ..... 113
Seniority and demotion ..... 101
Seniority in promotion ..... 79
Incentive rates-standards ..... 59
Pay for time not worked ..... 54
Vacation and holidays. ..... 47
Health and welfare ..... 31

# Wage Chronology No. 21: Pacific Coast Shipbuilding 

Supplement No. 3-1954-58 ${ }^{1}$

Mid-1954 negotiations between the Pacific Coast shipbuilders and the Metal Trades Councils (MTC), the United Brotherhood of Carpenters and Joiners of America (CJA), and the International Association of Machinists (IAM), resulted in 1 -year master contracts providing 6 cents an hour general wage increases. The contracts were made effective through June 30, 1955.

The 1955 and 1956 agreements were also for 1 year. They provided general wage increases of 7 and 18 cents an hour, respectively, effective July 1, 1955, and July 1, 1956. In addition, the 1955 agreements liberalized vacation arrangements, and the 1956 agreements increased vacation pay for employees with 15 or more years of service.

In 1957, extended negotiations were concluded in early August when the employers' offer of a wage increase of 15 cents an hour plus the establishment of a fund to be used for paid holidays was accepted by the three worker bargaining groups. Previously, workers had received overtime rates
for work on specified holidays but were not paid for holidays on which they did not work. The new arrangement provided that the employers would credit each employee with 5 cents for each hour worked (roughly the equivalent of pay for five holidays during the year). The money accumulated in the employee funds was to be used for holiday pay, with any balance remaining at the end of the year to be paid to the employees.

Agreements reached during the summer of 1958 resulted in 1-year contracts that called for an 11-cent-an-hour pay increase effective July 1, 1958. In addition, the settlements also increased the employers' payments into paid holiday funds to 7 cents an hour worked, and in the case of the Machinists and Carpenters, also provided two additional paid holidays, thus equaling the number of days specified for workers represented by the MTC. Beginning July 1, 1959, the employers' contributions to the health and welfare funds are to be increased by $2 \frac{1}{2}$ cents, to a total of 10 cents an hour.

The following tables bring the Pacific Coast Shipbuilding chronology up to date through June 30, 1959, when the 1958 contracts expire.

[^29]
## A-General Wage Changes

| Effective date |  | Provision |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

[^30][^31]B-Basic Wage Rates for Selected Occupations at Pacific Coast New Construction and Repair Yards ${ }^{1}$

| Occupation | Effective date |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { July 1, } \\ 1953 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { July 1, } \\ 1954 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { July 1, } \\ & 1955 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { July 1, } \\ & 1956 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { July } 1, \\ 1957 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { July 1, } \\ & 1958 \end{aligned}$ |
| Acetylene burners | \$2. 16 | \$2. 22 | \$2. 29 | \$2. 47 | \$2. 62 | \$2. 73 |
| Blacksmiths, heavy forgers | 2. 49 | 2. 55 | 2. 62 | 2. 80 | 2. 95 | 3. 06 |
| Chippers and caulkers, steel | 2. 16 | 2. 22 | 2. 29 | 2. 47 | 2. 62 | 2. 73 |
| Drillers and reamers- | 2. 16 | 2. 22 | 2. 29 | 2. 47 | 2. 62 | 2. 73 |
| Machinists (all classifications) | 2. 16 | 2. 22 | 2. 29 | 2. 47 | 2. 62 | 2. 73 |
| Operating engineers: <br> Equipment 20 tons and ove |  | 2. 37 | 2. 44 | 2. 62 | 2. 77 | 2. 88 |
| Equipment under 20 tons.- | 2. 16 | 2. 22 | 2. 29 | 2. 47 | 2. 62 | 2. 73 |
| Painters_-----.------------ | 2. 16 | 2. 22 | 2. 29 | 2. 47 | 2. 62 | 2. 73 |
| Riggers, loft; plate hangers; ho slingers | 2. 16 | 2. 22 | 2. 29 | 2. 47 | 2. 62 | 2. 73 |
|  | 2. 16 | 2. 22 | 2. 29 | 2. 47 | 2. 62 | 2. 73 |
| Shipwrights, journeymen | 2. 16 | 2. 22 | 2. 29 | 2. 47 | 2. 62 | 2. 73 |
| Tool and die makers.-- | 2. 53 | 2. 59 | 2. 66 | 2. 84 | 2. 99 | 3. 10 |
| Welders, acetylene and electric | 2. 16 | 2. 22 | 2. 29 | 2. 47 | 2. 62 | 2. 73 |
| Helpers, general | 1. 86 | 1. 92 | 1. 1.99 | 2. 2.17 | 2. 32 | 2. 43 |
| Laborers, production. | 1. 86 | 1. 92 | 1. 99 | 2. 17 | 2. 32 | 2. 43 |

1 For work on wooden vessels in the Puget Sound area by workers repre-
June 30,1957 ; from July 1, 1957, through June 30, 1958, rates were 14 cents sented by the MTC, rates were 9 cents higher from July 1, 1954, through higher; beginning on July 1, 1958, the differential again became 9 cents.

## C-Related Wage Practices

| Effective date | Provision | Applications, exceptions, and other <br> related matters |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

## Holiday Pay

July 1, 1957 (agreements dated Aug. 7, 1957MTC and IAM; and June 30, 1957-CJA).

July 1, 1958 (agreements of same date-MTC and IA M; and June 30, 1958CJA).

Added: Employers to credit each employee with 5 cents an hour for each hour worked (including overtime hours) toward pay for certain recognized holidays.

Credit for paid holidays increased to 7 cents.

Added: 2 paid holidays (total 7)-IAM and CJA.

Applicable to holidays falling or observed during regular workweek or during vacation period. Employee to be paid the sum accruing to his credit but not to exceed 8 times his straight-time hourly base for each holiday. Employee to be paid any balance remaining on December 31 of any year or any balance when he leaves the area, is discharged, quits, or union makes written request. Applicable to all employees except production, repair, and maintenance employees represented by MTC and working on wooden vessels in Puget Sound area.
Holidays to which this pay could apply were Memorial Day, Labor Day, Veterans Day, Thanksgiving, and Christmas, and, in the case of the MTC, New Year's Day and Independence Day as well. No change in number of days for which premium rates applied to time worked.
Provision for crediting payment for holidays extended to production, repair, and maintenance employees represented by MTC and working on wooden vessels in Puget Sound area.
Holidays were Washington's BirthdayIAM and CJA, Fourth of July-CJA, and day after Thanksgiving-IAM.
Day after Thanksgiving substituted for Veterans Day-MTC and CJA; Washington's Birthday substituted for New Year's Day-MTC.

C-Related Wage Practices-Continued

| Effective date | Provision | Applications, exceptions, and other <br> related matters |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |

## Travel Pay

July 1, 1957 -MTC (agreement dated Aug. 7, 1957).

Added: San Francisco Bay area only-Employees required to report directly to job, site out of yard, to receive 45 minutes' pay for each crossing of bay.

Employees required to use own car to be paid a mileage rate of 10 cents per mile from employer's place of business to job site and return, plus bridge tolls.

Tools and Equipment

July 1, 1954-CJA (agreement dated June 30,1954 ).

Changed: San Francisco Bay area onlyPayment in lieu of employer furnishing hand tools designated as 7 cents an hour.

Practice existing since 1949 incorporated into agreement.

## Premium Pay for Dirty and Other Work

July 1, 1955-CJA (agreement dated June 30, 1955).

July 1, 1956-CJA (agreement dated June 30, 1956).

Nov. 1, 1956-CJA (by above agreement).

Added: Hourly base of $\$ 2.75$ for employees required to do carpentry work on creosoted lumber.
Added: Hourly base of $\$ 3$ in San Francisco Bay area and $\$ 2.80$ in Portland and Seattle for work involving installation and removal of Fiberglas, rockwool, and similar insulating material.
Changed: Rate for work on Fiberglas, rockwool, and similar insulating material, to $\$ 2.93$ in all areas.

Rate incorporated into wage-rate schedule.
Not applicable to jobs lasting no longer than 8 hours.

Rate incorporated into wage-rate schedule.

## Health and Welfare Plan

Oct. 1, 1953 (MTC, IAM, and CJA).

## C-Related Wage Practices-Continued

| Effective date | Provision | Applications, exceptions, and other <br> related matters |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

## Health and Welfare Plan-Continued

Oct. 1, 1953 (MTC, IAM, and CJA)-Continued

Jan. 1, 1954 (MTC).
Jan. 15, 1954 (CJA)

Jan. 1, 1955 (MTC) $\qquad$

Feb. 1, 1955 (CJA)
July 1, 1955 (IAM) $\qquad$
Added: Dependents' benefits as follows:
Hosprtalization-Up to $\$ 14$ a day for maximum of 31 days.
In-hospital medical expense benefits-Maximum of $\$ 3$ a day for doctors' visits, up to 31 days.
Ambulance fees, special hospital, surgical, and poliomyelitis benefits-Identical to employees'.
Added: Life insurance- $\$ 750$.

Added: Dependents' benefits, as follows:
Hospitalization-Up to $\$ 12$ a day for maximum of 31 days.
Special hospital expense-For charges other than room and board, up to $\$ 240$ plus 75 percent of next $\$ 2,000$.
Surgical benefits-Up to $\$ 200$.
Poliomyelitis-Maximum of $\$ 5,000$ for actual expense incurred.

Not applicable to maternity cases.

Not applicable to visits for such purposes as surgical or maternity care and administration of vaccines, etc., for immunization against disease.
In lieu of all benefits that would otherwise be payable under Group Medical Expense Insurance policy.

Number of hours required to become insured reduced to 250 in preceding quarter.
Employees working 200 but less than 300 hours in calendar quarter could continue coverage by paying $\$ 8.52$.

Number of hours required to become insured reduced to 250 in preceding quarter.

C-Related Wage Practices-Continued

| Effective date | Provision | Applications, exceptions, and other <br> related matters |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

Health and Welfare Plan-Continued

s Employees in California received State Unemployment Compensation Disability benefits only.

## Union Wage Scales in Local City Trucking, 1958

Average hourly wage scales ${ }^{1}$ of unionized local motortruck drivers and helpers in cities of 100,000 or more population advanced 5.2 percent, or 12 cents, between July 1, 1957, and July 1, 1958. Pay raises were reported for 85 percent of the workers included in the 23 d annual survey of union scales in local trucking conducted by the U.S. Department of Labor's Bureau of Labor Statistics.

Wage increases varied from 10 to 15 cents an hour ${ }^{2}$ for three-eighths of the drivers and helpers, and from 15 to $17 \frac{1}{2}$ cents for an eighth. Advances of $171 / 2$ cents or more affected about a sixth of the workers as did increases of less than 10 cents an hour.

Union hourly rates on July 1, 1958, averaged $\$ 2.41$ for drivers and helpers engaged in local city trucking. ${ }^{3}$ For about two-fifths of the workers, collective bargaining agreements stipulated pay scales of $\$ 2.25$ to $\$ 2.50$. Hourly rates ranging from $\$ 2.50$ to $\$ 2.75$ were in effect for approximately a fourth of the workers, and of $\$ 2.75$ or more for a tenth.

Straight-time weekly work schedules continued their trend towards a shorter workweek; they averaged 40.3 hours on July 1, 1958. The predominant schedule, 40 hours, was in effect for nearly nine-tenths of the truckdrivers and their helpers. One or more health and insurance benefits were provided in labor-management agreements covering nine-tenths of the workers. Pension plan provisions were applicable to twothirds of the drivers and helpers.

## Scale Changes, 1957-58

Pay scales of union motortruck drivers and helpers rose an average of 5.2 percent during the year ending July 1, 1958. This increase approximated the 5.4 and 4.9 percent gains recorded in the two previous 12 -month periods, and advanced the Bureau's index of union hourly rates for these workers to 72.4 percent above the 1947-49 level (table 1).

Scales rose 5.2 percent for drivers and 5.5 percent for helpers during the survey year. However, on a cents-per-hour basis, the drivers advanced their average scale 12 cents and the helpers, 11 cents.

Wage scale changes for local trucking workers were achieved through negotiations on contract expirations or reopenings. In recent years, there has been a tendency to negotiate labor-management contracts of more than a year's duration. Of the contracts in effect July 1, 1958, many were for 2 or 3 years, some for longer periods. Multiyear contracts usually provide for wage reopenings or for interim deferred increases. Only those scale changes which actually became effective between July 1, 1957, and July 1, 1958, were included in the survey. Thus, the scale changes presented in this report do not reflect the total wage adjustments negotiated in individual contracts during the survey year.

[^32]Wage adjustments during the year ending July 1, 1958, resulted in scale advances for 85 percent of the organized local motortruck drivers and for a similar proportion of the helpers. For both of these classifications, pay raises ranged from 10 to $17 \frac{1}{2}$ cents an hour for half of the workers; advances of $17 \frac{1}{2}$ cents or more and those of less than 10 cents were each applicable to about a sixth of the workers. The increase most often reported was 10 cents; approximately 15 percent of the drivers and 13 percent of the helpers had their scales adjusted upward by this amount. Percentage increases ranged from 4 to 8 percent for slightly more than half of the motortruck drivers and their helpers. Advances of 8 to 10 percent affected a twelfth of the drivers and a seventh of the helpers, and those of 10 or more percent, a tenth of the workers in both classifications.

On a regional basis, average scale advances for local city truckdrivers varied from 8 to 14 cents in all regions except the Southeast and Southwest. In these regions, the respective increases were 23 and 18 cents. The rate of gain was 10.9 and 9.2 percent, respectively, in the two southern regions, and from 3.5 to 6.1 percent in the other regions. Among drivers' helpers, average hourly scales increased 17 cents in the Middle West and Southwest regions, 13 cents in the Southeast, and from 5 to 13 cents in the others. The rate of advance ranged from 2.9 percent in the Mountain States to 9 percent in the Southeast. On a cents-per-hour basis, the increase was greater for drivers than for helpers in all regions except the Middle Atlantic and Middle West; in percentage terms, however, the gain registered by helpers exceeded that of drivers in five of nine regions.

In each of the 52 cities studied, all or some of the truckdrivers were affected by wage advances. The increase in average scales varied widely among individual cities, ranging from 33 cents in Jacksonville, Fla., to 4 cents in Newark, N.J., and Salt Lake City, Utah. Gains of 11 to 15 cents were registered in a majority of the cities, and of 15 to 20 cents in 8 cities. Average scale advances exceeded 22 cents in 6 others. Helpers had their average rates increased by 11 to 15 cents in 19 of the 49 cities studied for which data were available

Table 1. Indexes of union hourly wage rates and weekly hours for motortruck drivers and helpers, 1936-58
[1947-49=100]

| Date | Drivers and helpers |  | Drivers |  | Helpers |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Wage rates | Hours | Wage rates | Hours | Wage rates | Hours |
| 1936: May 15 | 50.6 | 109.0 | (1) | (1) | (1) | (1) |
| 1937: May 15 | 53.9 | 108. 1 | 54.3 | 108.4 | 51.3 | 106.8 |
| 1938: June 1 | 55.9 | 108. 1 | 56.3 | 108.4 | 53.1 | 106. 8 |
| 1939: June 1 | 57.1 | 107.1 | 57.5 | 107.5 | 54.5 | 105. 5 |
| 1940: June 1 | 18.3 | 106. 1 | 58.7 | 106.6 | 55.6 | 104. 2 |
| 1941: June 1 | 60.6 | 105.5 | 60.9 | 105.9 | 58.3 | 103. 5 |
| 1942: July 1 | 64.9 | 105. 8 | 65.0 | 106.0 | 63.4 | 105. 5 |
| 1943: July 1 | 68.4 | 105.6 | 68.5 | 105.8 | 67.0 | 105.3 |
| 1944: July 1 | 70.0 | 105.5 | 70.1 | 105.7 | 69.1 | 105.3 |
| 1945: July 1 | 71.5 | 105.3 | 71.6 | 105.4 | 70.7 | 105.2 |
| 1946: July 1 | 79.6 | 103.1 | 79.6 | 103.3 | 79.3 | 102.9 |
| 1947: July 1 | 91.9 | 100.7 | 91.9 | 100.6 | 90.9 | 101.1 |
| 1948: July 1 | 100.0 | 99.8 | 100.0 | 99.9 | 100.7 | 99.7 |
| 1949: July 1 | 108. 1 | 99.5 | 108.1 | 99.5 | 108.4 | 99.2 |
| 1950: July 1 | 111.9 | 98.8 | 111.7 | 98.9 | 113.2 | 98.5 |
| 1951: July 1 | 118. 2 | 98.7 | 117.9 | 98.8 | 119.6 | 98.2 |
| 1952: July 1 | 124.7 | 98.3 | 124.1 | 98.4 | 127.7 | 97.7 |
| 1953: July 1 | 134.5 | 96.4 | 133.8 | 96.5 | 137.9 | 95.6 |
| 1954: July 1 | 140.2 | 95.6 | 139.3 | 95.8 | 145.0 | 94.2 |
| 1955: July 1 | 148.2 | 95.1 | 147.2 | 95.3 | 153.4 | 93.6 |
| 1956: July 1 | 155.5 | 94.3 | 154.4 | 94.5 | 161.8 | 92.8 |
| 1957: July 1 | 163.9 | 93.9 | 162.6 | 94.2 | 171.2 | 92.4 |
| 1958: July 1. | 172.4 | 93.5 | 171.0 | 93.8 | 180.6 | 91.9 |

${ }^{1}$ Information not computed separately in 1936.
for this occupational group. Advances of 15 to 20 cents were recorded in six cities, and of 20 cents or more in four others. Helpers' wage scales remained at the July 1957 level in three citiesAtlanta, Knoxville, and Salt Lake City.

On a percentage basis, the advance varied from 4 to 7 percent for drivers in three of every five cities and for helpers in one of every two cities.

The increases in some of the cities were partly attributable to provisions of contracts negotiated on a broad regional basis for numerically important groups of trucking workers. These contracts provide for increases in rates and reductions in weekly hours at stated intervals over a period of several years, until previously determined rates and work schedules are attained.

As a result of the widespread scale changes during the year, union rates in effect on July 1, 1958, averaged $\$ 2.41$ an hour for motortruck drivers and helpers combined, $\$ 2.44$ for drivers, and $\$ 2.18$ for helpers. (See table 2.) Labormanagement contracts stipulated rates of $\$ 2.25$ to $\$ 2.50$ for slightly more than two-fifths of the drivers, and of $\$ 2.50$ to $\$ 2.75$ for almost threetenths. Hourly scales of at least $\$ 2.75$ affected slightly more than a tenth of the motortruck operators, as did rates of $\$ 2$ to $\$ 2.25$ an hour.

Table 2. Average union hourly wage rates of motortruck drivers and helpers, by region, ${ }^{1}$ July 1, 1958

| Region | Average rate per hour |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Drivers and helpers | Drivers | Helpers |
| United States | \$2.41 | \$2. 44 | \$2.18 |
| New England. | 2.27 | 2.31 | 2.11 |
| Middle Atlantic | 2.40 | 2. 45 | 2.15 |
| Border States. | 2.18 | 2.22 | 2. 02 |
| Southeast.-.- | 2. 29 | 2.31 | 1. 61 |
| Middle West. | 2. 40 | 2.41 | 2.29 |
| Southwest.-- | 2.16 | 2.18 | 2.05 |
| Mountain. | 2.12 | 2.16 | 1.85 |
| Pacific.- | 2.53 | 2. 55 | 2.35 |

${ }^{1}$ The regions used in this study include: New England-Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, Rhode Island, and Vermont; Middle Atlantic-New Jersey, New York, and Pennsylvania; Border StatesDelaware, District of Columbia, Kentucky, Maryland, Virginia, and West Virginja; Southeast-Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Mississippi, North Carolina, South Carolina, and Tennessee; Great Lakes-Illinois, Indiana, Michigan, Minnesota, Ohio, and Wisconsin; Middle West-Iowa, Kansas, Missouri, Nebraska, North Dakota, and South Dakota; Southroest-Arkansas, Louisiana, Oklahoma, and Texas; Mountain-Arizona, Colorado, Idaho, Montana, New Mexico, Utah, and Wyoming; Pacific-Californla, Nevada, Oregon, and Washington.

Negotiated scales for two-fifths of the helpers ranged from $\$ 2$ to $\$ 2.25$ an hour and for almost the same proportion, from $\$ 2.25$ to $\$ 2.50$. Pay rates of less than $\$ 2$ were in effect for almost a sixth of the helpers.

## City and Regional Scale Levels

Although broad regional agreements were negotiated for some types of local trucking, negotiations for most of the labor-management contracts were conducted on a locality basis. Wage scales, therefore, varied widely among the individual cities. Wage scales are also affected by size and type of truck and the kind of commodities hauled within individual cities. Because of varying classifications and terminology used in individual cities, it is impossible to present separate averages by type of commodity, industry, or type and size of truck. Hence, the city and regional averages shown in this report relate to all drivers and/or helpers combined.

Among the 52 cities studied, average hourly scales for truckdrivers ranged from $\$ 2.64$ in the San Francisco-Oakland area to $\$ 1.91$ in New Orleans. Rates averaged $\$ 2.50$ or more in 11 cities, from $\$ 2.25$ to $\$ 2.50$ in 30 , from $\$ 2$ to $\$ 2.25$ in 8 , and less than $\$ 2$ in 3 cities. Scales for helpers averaged highest in Pittsburgh ( $\$ 2.46$ ) and lowest in Knoxville (\$1.15). Average rates of $\$ 2.25$ or more prevailed in 19 of the 49 cities reporting information for helpers, and varied from $\$ 2$ to
$\$ 2.25$ in 18 , and from $\$ 1.75$ to $\$ 2$ in 7 others. In three of the remaining five cities, average rates were less than $\$ 1.20$ an hour.

When the cities were grouped according to population size, the average scales for drivers and helpers showed little variation. The average rate for drivers in the large cities-with a million or more population-was $\$ 2.51,6$ and 9 cents higher than the levels for cities with a population of 500,000 to $1,000,000$ and 250,000 to 500,000 , respectively. The smallest city size group ( 100,000 to 250,000 ) averaged $\$ 2.33$ an hour. For helpers, average hourly rates were $\$ 2.21$ in cities with 250,000 to 500,000 population, $\$ 2.16$ in the largest city size group, and $\$ 2.18$ in the two other size groups.

Within each population group, average hourly rates for the individual cities varied widely. The spread between the lowest and highest city averages for drivers ranged from 73 cents in cities with 500,000 to $1,000,000$ population to 20 cents in the largest city size group. For helpers, the differences in rates ranged from $\$ 1.29$ in the smallest size group, to 26 cents in the largest size group.

Overlapping of average scales existed among cities in the various population groups for both drivers and helpers. For example, the $\$ 2.55$ average scale for truckdrivers in Peoria, Ill., in the 100,000 to 250,000 population group, and Seattle, in the 250,000 to 500,000 group, was exceeded by only three cities with populations of 500,000 or more.

On a regional basis, scale averages for truckdrivers and helpers as a group varied from $\$ 2.53$ in the Pacific region ( 3 cents more than in the Great Lakes region) to $\$ 2.12$ in the Mountain region. The average for drivers ranged from $\$ 2.55$ an hour in the Pacific region to $\$ 2.16$ in the Mountain region. The Great Lakes and Middle Atlantic regions also had average scales in excess of the $\$ 2.44$ national level. For helpers, the highest (\$2.35) and the lowest (\$1.61) levels were in the Pacific and Southeast regions, respectively (table 2).

## Standard Workweek

Weekly work schedules at straight-time rates were in effect for virtually all of the intracity truckdrivers and helpers in cities of 100,000 or more population. On July 1, 1958, standard weekly
schedules averaged 40.3 hours compared with 40.5 hours for the preceding July. The Bureau's index of union weekly hours continued its downward trend and as of July 1, 1958, was 6.5 percent below the 1947-49 level.

Almost 9 of every 10 motortrucking workers were on a 40 -hour schedule. Longer workweeks were stipulated in labor-management agreements for about 1 of every 12 truckdrivers and their helpers.

- The prevalence of negotiated health, insurance, and pension programs for local motortruck drivers and helpers was first studied by the Bureau in July 1954. Information for these plans was restricted to those financed entirely by the employer or jointly by the workers and employers. Plans financed by workers through union dues or assessments were excluded from the study. No attempt was made to secure information on the kind and extent of benefits provided or on expenditures for such benefits.


## Pension Plans and Insurance

Provisions for one or more health and insurance benefits were incorporated in collective bargaining agreements covering 9 of every 10 drivers engaged in local city trucking; pension plans were in effect for 2 of every 3 drivers. ${ }^{4}$ Plans providing these benefits were financed entirely by employers for all but 5 percent of the workers covered by such programs. The coverage of health and insurance plans and pension programs increased by 3 and 12 percent, respectively, during the 12 -month period.

-Herbert Schaffer and John F. Laciskey<br>Division of Wages and Industrial Relations

> Professor Lawrence Stessin, whose paper before the 30th Annual AMA Midwinter Personnel Conference is presented on page 373 of this issue, asked his audience to consider the arbitrator's dilemma in the following discipline case:

A group of four employees were discharged-after two previous warningsfor engaging in dice games. When they came to arbitration each man was placed on the stand. The first one admitted being present but claimed that he had taken up a collection for coffee for the group and was just on his way out. The second maintained that he had just arrived-he was getting ready to change clothes for the second shift-he never gambled-didn't even know the game of dice. The third with a look of innocence that might move St. Peter himself, avowed that he never gambled, looked upon the practice as a dastardly vice. Finally the arbitrator came to the fourth man. He was the man who was caught with the dice in his hand.
"All these other men say they weren't gambling-but you, weren't you caught with a pair of dice in your hand?"
"Yes, sir; I certainly was," came the almost amiable reply.
The arbitrator followed up quickly, hoping now to get at the crux of this situation. "Then you were gambling, weren't you?"

The worker looked up startled. "Gambling," he said with indignation, "with whom?"

# Significant Decisions in Labor Cases* 

Labor Relations

Minority Union Contract. The National Labor Relations Board held ${ }^{1}$ that a union unlawfully restrained and coerced employees in violation of section $8(b)(1)(A)$ of the Labor Management Relations Act, and that the company unlawfully assisted the union, by executing and maintaining a collective bargaining agreement when the union did not represent a majority of the employees covered by the contract on the date of its execution, although the contract contained no unionsecurity clause. The Board held that whether the union and the company had a good-faith belief that the union represented a majority of the employees was irrelevant.

At a time when the union held authorization cards from less than a majority of the employees involved, it entered into a "memorandum of understanding" with the company whereby the company, which made no effort to check the authorization cards against its payroll record, recognized the union as the exclusive bargaining representative of its "production and shipping employees." The formal collective bargaining agreement subsequently entered into by the parties embodied the terms of the "memorandum of understanding."

The Board held that the employees' rights were infringed by the union in violation of section 8(b)(1)(A) which makes it an unfair labor practice for a union to restrain or coerce employees in the rights guaranteed by section 7. The Board reasoned that section 7, guaranteeing employees the right to form, join, or assist labor organizations of their own choosing as well as the right to refrain from such activity, read with section 9(a), which provides that the representative of a majority of the employees in a unit shall be the exclusive bargaining representative of all employees in that unit, means that employees have "not only the
right to be represented only by a majority representative but also the right to bargain independently and individually with their employer in the absence of a majority representative." Such right the Board deemed infringed by the contract.

The Board found that the company violated sections 8(a)(1) and (8)(a)(2) by recognizing and contracting with a minority union. The Board rejected as irrelevant the alleged good faith of the company as well as of the union.

One member of the Board concurred with the majority in holding that the company had violated sections 8(a) (1) and (2), but dissented insofar as the majority found that the union had committed an unfair labor practice. In regard to the company's activity, the concurring member stated that he was "satisfied that the principle of law which requires an employer to assure itself of a union's majority status either through a Board election or other competent evidence before extending recognition is a sound one in the field of labor-management relations."

In disagreeing with the majority as to the union's activity, that member declared the majority's conclusion to be an extension of a theory repudiated by a Federal court of appeals ${ }^{2}$ in reversing the Board's opinion in the Curtis case. ${ }^{3}$ The dissenter declared that in that case, the court, consistent with legislative history revealing that Congress intended the words "restrain or coerce" in section $8(\mathrm{~b})(1)(\mathrm{A})$ to be limited to actual threats, intimidation of employees, and violence, held that a strike for recognition by a minority union did not violate that section. He stated that in the Curtis case the union committed an overt act in picketing with the intent to influence employees not to work, whereas in this case the company committed the overt act in extending recognition to the union. Therefore, he indicated, to hold as the majority did-that the union
*Prepared in the U.S. Department of Labor, Office of the Solicitor. The cases covered in this article represent a selection of the significant decisions believed to be of special interest. No attempt has been made to reflect all recent judicial and administrative developments in the field of labor law or to indicate the effect of particular decisions in jurisdictions in which contrary results may be reached based upon local statutory provisions, the existence of local precedents, or a different approach by the courts to the issue presented.
${ }^{1}$ Bernhard-Altmann Texas Corp. and Lovell; International Ladies' Garment Workers' Union and Same; 122 NLRB No. 142 (Feb. 6, 1959).
${ }^{2}$ Local 689, International Brotherhood of Teamsters v. NLRB (D.C. Cir., Nov. 26, 1958). See Monthly Labor Review, February 1959, p. 174.
${ }^{3}$ Local 639, International Brotherhood of Teamsters and Curtis Brothers, Inc., 119 NLRB 232 (Oct. 30, 1957).
would have had to refuse the company's proffer of recognition to avoid liability-went far beyond the already repudiated board opinion in Curtis.

The dissenter declared also: "In the instant case, the employees were free at any time to petition the Board for immediate decertification of the union. The Board would then in its discretion decide whether or not the contract barred an election. A decision that the contract operated as an effective bar would, it is true, foreclose the holding of an immediate election, but this restraint upon the right of employees to quickly repudiate an unwanted representative would be imposed not by the statute, not by the union, but by the Board itself."

Discharged Employee's Damage Rights. The New York Court of Appeals held ${ }^{4}$ that a discharged employee was not entitled to recover damages from his former employer in an action for the breach of a "no discharge without cause" provision of a collective bargaining agreement which also provided for the submission of unsettled disputes to arbitration, since the employee was bound by the arbitration clause even though the union refused to take his grievance to arbitration.

The employee in this case was discharged "for cause." Contending that the discharge was not justified, the employee invoked the grievance procedure outlined in the bargaining agreement. Although representatives of the company and union discussed the discharge, the employee was not reinstated. The union refused to pursue the right to arbitration although it had been requested to take such action by the employee.

The employee then sued the employer to obtain damages for breach of the agreement. In defense, the employer asserted that the employee's hiring was at will (subject to termination at any time by either party without notice) and that the employee was not a party to the union contract and secured no right of action under it.

The employer's motion that judgment be entered in his favor was denied by Special Term of the New York Supreme Court. The Appellate Division of the New York Supreme Court reversed

[^33]that denial, reasoning that the employee failed to establish that his individual hiring was for a definite term and not a hiring at will. The Appellate Division concluded that, therefore, the employee was not entitled to recover for wrongful discharge. ${ }^{5}$

While affirming the Appellate Division, the court of appeals declared that it disagreed with that court as to the significance of the no discharge without cause provision. The highest New York court concluded that the employee was a direct beneficiary of such provision which modified his individual contract of employment. This provision, the court stated, was not inserted to insure the retention of union men by the company, since that function was performed by a union-shop provision.

However, the damages sought in this action were disallowed by the court of appeals which reasoned that the employee, as a member of the union, was bound by the agreement which provided that it would be binding on union members. Finding that the employee could not avail himself of the arbitration procedure since the agreement granted that right only to the union and the employer, the court concluded that the employee had entrusted his rights to his union representative and was without any remedy against his employer.

One of the concurring judges declared that in discharge cases, the "exclusive representative is in the best position, after investigating the truth and merits of the employee's complaint and after weighing the many factors involved, to determine whether uniformity in the administration of the agreement and protection of group interests of the majority of employees require it to press or abandon the case." Therefore, he concluded that where, as in this case, a collective bargaining agreement contains provision for the submission of unsettled disputes to arbitration "absent specific language giving the employee the right to act on his own behalf . . . the union alone has a right to control the prosecution of discharge cases." He noted that the employee would have a remedy against the union if it should unfairly discriminate against him.

The other concurring judge declared that he agreed with the Appellate Division that the clause providing "no discharge without cause" was solely for the benefit of the union. He stated that the presence of a union-shop provision strengthened
the view that the no discharge without cause provision was to implement the prevention of antiunion activity.

That last judge declared, moreover, that if, as the majority indicated, the employee had had an individual right to term employment, the employee would then have been entitled to intervene in any arbitration proceeding instituted by the union or to commence such a proceeding himself if the union had refused to do so or, if he could not do that, to sue the employer at law.

No Bias in Test Requirement for Skilled Jobs. A United States court of appeals held ${ }^{6}$ that a contract, negotiated by the employer and an integrated union did not discriminate unfairly against Negroes by requiring unskilled employees, all of whom, prior to the new contract, were Negroes, to take a reasonable qualifying test in order to enter the line of progression for skilled jobs that formerly had been closed to Negroes, and to enter that line at the lowest job level.

Five Negro employees, members of the union, sought damages from the union and company, attacking the validity of the union agreement. A Federal district court held the contract fair and free from discrimination. The appellate court affirmed the lower court's decision, reasoning that although a certified bargaining agent is under a duty to represent all employees fairly, "the provisions of the collective bargaining agreement must be relevant to the conditions of the particular industry and company to which they are to be applied" and that an agreement would be judicially condemned only where there were discriminations not based on such relevant differences.

The appellate court rejected the plaintiffs' contention that they were discriminated against because the incumbents in the line of skilled employment were not required to take the test to remain within the line or to be promoted. The court reasoned that excluding "incumbents from the tests was based not on race but on their having already successfully passed screening and probation at least equal to the test" and that the test requirement is the minimum assurance the company could have of efficient operation.

The court rejected also the objection made by the plaintiffs to the provision that one who bids
into a skilled job from an unskilled must start at the bottom skilled job, although this may entail a wage cut. The court stated: "Such a system was conceived out of business necessity, not out of racial discrimination. An employee without the proper training and with no proof of potential ability to rise higher cannot expect to start in the middle of the ladder, regardless of plant seniority. It would be unfair to the skilled, experienced, and deserving employee to give a top or middle job to an unqualified employee. It would also destroy the whole system of lines of progression to the detriment of efficient management and to the disadvantage of Negro as well as white employees having a stake in orderly promotion."

Picketing at Common Situs. A United States court of appeals held ${ }^{7}$ that a union did not violate the secondary boycott provisions of the LMRA by picketing at a shipyard with signs explaining that the union's dispute was not with the shipyard but with the lessee-operator of a vessel which was at the shipyard for overhaul and repairs, even though the shipyard employees refused to work on the vessel but otherwise continued their normal activities and notwithstanding evidence that the union "hoped" that shipyard employees would support the strike. The court held also that continued picketing after the struck employer removed all nonsupervisory employees from the vessel was not violative of the secondary boycott provisions.

In this case, a majority of the unlicensed personnel aboard the ship struck, left the ship and began to picket on the wharf immediately alongside. Upon protest by the shipyard against picketing on its property, the union moved the pickets to the outside and front of the shipyard gates. The picketing was at all times peaceful. The pickets carried signs which stated that the union had no dispute with the shipyard. Two days after the strike began, the employer of the strikers removed all employees except supervisors from the ship.

[^34]The NLRB held that the union, in picketing after all employees except supervisors left the ship, was in violations of sections $8(\mathrm{~b})(4)$ (A) and (B), secondary boycott provisions of the LMRA.

The court of appeals, in overruling the Board's decision, reasoned that the picket line when established was legal in that it met all the criteria set down in the Moore Dry Dock case ${ }^{8}$ for determining whether a union violates the LMRA when it pickets on or at the premises of a secondary employer, including the only disputed criterionwhether the primary employer was engaged in normal business at the situs of the picketing. The court deemed overhauling and repairing the ship such normal business.

The court then refused to conclude that the employer had the power to transform a picket line from a legal one to an illegal one merely by moving his nonsupervisory employees away.

Declaring that a factor in determining whether the secondary boycott provisions were violated is the objective of the strike, the court indicated that if the objective encompassed the primary employer only, it was legal, but if its objective was partly to influence the secondary employer or its employees, it was illegal. The court explained that the difference was in whether the effect on the secondary's employees was an objective of the strike or merely an incident of it. The court stated that all the concrete evidence negatived an objective on the part of the union to force or require the secondary employer to do anything and there was no evidence that the picketing union made contact with the union representing the employees of the secondary employer.

Noting that certain witnesses had testified that the union "hoped" or "bad a hope" that the employees of the secondary employer would

[^35]support it in the strike, the court declared that "hope and objective cannot be equated."

## Tax Status of Strike Benefits. A United States

 court of appeals held ${ }^{9}$ that strike benefits received by an employee from the union he joined after the strike began were tax-free gifts under section 102(a) of the Internal Revenue Code of $1954{ }^{10}$ and were not taxable as income under code section 61(a) ${ }^{11}$ when the amount of the benefits was based on the actual present need of the striker.On April 5, 1954, in concert with fellow employees, the plaintiff had gone out on strike. He was not then a member of the union and did not apply for membership until August 19, 1954. During the strike, he received no benefits in cash, but commencing May 4, 1954, he received from the union maintenance assistance in the form of food, clothing, and payments of rent on the house which he occupied with his wife and two children. The funds from which the strike benefits were distributed were derived from the local and the international union and from contributions of other unions, organizations, and individuals. The basic condition for receiving strike assistance was the actual present need of the individual worker. By questionnaire, it was determined whether he needed food, clothing, and shelter. His personal need, his marital status, and the number of his dependents entered into the determination.

After the plaintiff filed with the District Director of Internal Revenue an income tax return showing wages received in 1954, the Director, by audit, increased the adjusted gross income by adding the value of the maintenance assistance received by the plaintiff. The plaintiff paid the tax as thus computed by the Director, to the extent tbat it exceeded his wages withheld, and sued for a refund.

The jury in a Federal district court found that the strike benefits received by the plaintiff were gifts. Subsequently, the trial court set aside the verdict of the jury and entered a judgment for the Government dismissing the complaint. The trial court was of the view that the strike benefits were available to the plaintiff pursuant to a moral obligation of the international to its members and that it exacted continued participation in the
strike by the plaintiff in return for the benefits and that, therefore, such payments did not constitute a gift but represented taxable income. ${ }^{12}$

In reversing the trial court, the court of appeals declared that the union did not owe an obligation to the plaintiff who was not a member for $4 \frac{1}{2}$ months after the strike began and that there was testimony which the jury was entitled to believe that it was discretionary with the union whether any strike benefits were to be distributed.

In response to the second point of the district court-that the union exacted for the payments continued participation in the strike - the court of appeals noted that the plaintiff's strike benefits valued at about $\$ 17$ per week were completely unrelated to his former earnings which netted him $\$ 166$ a week and declared that if the plaintiff, while on strike, had found temporary employment elsewhere, his strike benefits would have ceased. The court of appeals stated that the same would have been true if members of his family had found employment, because the basic condition of receiving benefits was the present need of the plaintiff.

[^36]The appellate court stated that while it held that the strike benefits received by the plaintiff under the facts of this case were not taxable income, the "question as to whether such benefits received under other circumstances might constitute taxable income is, of course, not presented on this record."

The dissenting judge agreed that the plaintiff was given strike benefits only after he had shown need of food, clothing, and shelter, but stated: "However, his need was a secondary qualification to which consideration was given only after he had met the primary qualification, participation in the strike. . . . Had he ceased to meet that primary qualification, his benefits would have terminated notwithstanding the extent of his personal need or whether he was a member of the union or not. The fact that these benefits were paid to members and nonmembers alike emphasizes the real reason for payment, namely, either class must be in necessitous circumstances, but, above all, must be on strike." The dissenter concluded that the strike benefits constituted taxable income and not a gift.

## Chronology of Recent Labor Events

## February 2, 1959

The U.S. Senate voted to continue for another year the Senate Select Committee on Improper Activities in the Labor or Management Field, with an authorized expenditure of up to $\$ 750,000$.

## February 3

Acting under the Walsh-Healey Public Contracts Act, the Secretary of Labor announced the first prevailing minimum wage determination for the flour and related products industry. The rate of $\$ 1.30$ an hour will apply to all Government contracts in excess of $\$ 10,000$ concluded on or after March 5, 1959.

A Federal district court in Washington ordered acquittal of Clyde Crosby, a Teamster organizer, charged with false testimony before the Senate Select Committee on Improper Activities in the Labor or Management Field.

On February 17, in a similar case, a Federal district judge in Washington, D.C., ordered a jury to acquit James G. Cross, president of the Bakery and Confectionery Workers (Ind.), of a charge that he lied to the same committee (see Chron. item for Oct. 6, 1958, MLR, Dec. 1958). (See also p. 430 of this issue.)

## February 4

The Air Line Pilots Association reached an 18-month agreement with the Pan American World Airways, reportedly providing for top pay, retroactive to January 1 , of $\$ 33,000$ a year for jet pilots flying 85 hours a month and about $\$ 29,000$ for those putting in 80 hours a month.

## February 6

The NLRB ruled that an employer and a union which maintained a collective bargaining contract executed when the union did not represent a majority of employees violated the employees' statutory rights to choose their own bargaining representative or to bargain individually in the absence of a majority representative, even though the contract did not contain a union shop clause. (See also p. 420 of this issue.) The case was Bernhard-Altmann Texcs Corp. and Lovell; International Ladies' Garment Workers and Same.

## February 7

A 632 -day strike of 4,000 tugboat workers in New York harbor ended as Local 333 of the National Maritime Union ratified a wage settlement with the Marine Towing and Transportation Employers Association representing 93 employers. The agreement, reached under a reopening clause of the 4 -year contract, called for across-the-board hourly wage increases of 20 cents effective February 1 and another 10 cents a year later.

## February 9

Supplementing his memorandum opinion of last December (see Chron. item for Dec. 11, 1958, MLR, Feb. 1959), Federal Judge F. Dickinson Letts signed an order directing the union to obey the monitors' 'orders of recommendation," making the next Teamsters convention contingent on the monitors' recommendation with court approval, and ordering the union to take certain other actions consistent with original consent decree.
A few days earlier the Federal court of appeals in Washington, D.C., had dismissed a suit by two rank-and-file Teamsters to have the monitorship lifted.

The federal court of appeals in Chicago ruled that an employer did not violate the Taft-Hartley Act in suspending employees who, in defiance of a company rule and during working hours, displayed on various items of their personal property, signs bearing the date of a scheduled strike. Setting aside a NLRB order, the court said that such a display amounted to taunting the employer and was "unworthy of wholesome unionism." The case was NLRB v. Murphy Diesel Co.

## February 11

The United Glass and Ceramic Workers Union and the Pittsburgh Plate Glass Co. signed a memorandum of agreement ending a 4 -month strike of 13,000 workers. The terms included wage increases of 8 to 12 cents an hour (repeated after a year) and a 25 -percent increase in pension benefits ( 10 percent for those now retired). Unresolved issues such as work assignments and procedures for setting incentive pay, responsible for the delay in settlement, will be submitted to arbitration. (See also p. 428 of this issue.)

## February 13

The NLRB ruled that local unions unlawfully refused to bargain with an employers' association and unlawfully coerced it in the selection of its bargaining representative when they refused to deal with the association's representative who was a former agent of the union. The Board stated that the locals failed to prove that it would be detrimental to their interests to deal with the union's ex-official. The case was International Ladies' Garment Workers, Northeast Department and Slate Belt Apparel Contractors' Association, Inc.

## February 13

A wage increase for 20,000 nonunion employees of Cannon Mills Co. in Kannapolis, N.C., went into effect, beginning a series of wage boosts for southern textile workers-the first since the fall of 1956. (See also p. 428 of this issue.)

## February 19

A Federal court jury in Tacoma, Wash., found Dave Beck, former president of the Teamsters, guilty of evading payment of $\$ 240,000$ in income taxes for the years 1950 to 1953. (See Chron. item for Dec. 14, 1957, MLR, Feb. 1958.) He was subsequently sentenced to a prison term of 5 years and a fine of $\$ 60,000$. (See also p. 430 of this issue.)

## February 20

The Federal court of appeals in Denver, Colo., upheld an NLRB decision that a newly certified union which delayed signing a collective bargaining contract until about 80 percent of employees had joined the union and signed dues-checkoff authorizations violated the Taft-Hartley Act by coercively withholding contractual benefits from the employees. The case was $N L R B$ v. General Drivers, Chauffeurs and Helpers, Local 886, IBT.

## February 24

The AFL-CIO Executive Council ended its 9-day meeting in San Juan, P.R., during which its important actions included authorization to charter a federal labor union in Puerto Rico to organize truckdrivers and warehousemen and a call to AFL-CIO affiliates to expand their organizing efforts in Puerto Rico; a statement urging substantial wage increases in this year's bargaining; adoption of a
legislative program on unemployment (to be dramatized by a mass conference in Washington, scheduled for April); labor reform-emphasizing that it will withdraw its support of the Kennedy-Ervin bill if certain Taft-Hartley amendments are dropped, and amendment of the Fair Labor Standards Act to provide a 35-hour workweek, $\$ 1.25$ an bour minimum wage, and coverage of additional groups of workers; and a resolution calling for labor representation on the advisory council of the Federal Reserve Board, as well as in its regional subsidiaries and the Federal Open Market Committee. (See also p. 427 of this issue.)

Three former top officials of the Operating Engineers Local 3 in San Francisco, Patrick W. Clancy, Porter E. Vandewark, and Clarence Matthews, were convicted on charges of stealing close to $\$ 19,000$ of union funds. Their prosecution resulted from hearings of the Senate Select Committee on Improper Activities in the Labor or Management Field, in early 1958 (see MLR, Mar. 1958, p. 301).

## February 25

A representation election by the Pan American World Airways' stock clerks resulted in the Teamsters (Ind.) winning over the Machinists, by more than two to one. Over one-third of the clerks involved are employed by the company at the Air Force guided missile center at Cape Canaveral, Fla.

The NLRB condemned as "outrageous" the conduct of two rival Electrical Workers and Communications Workers locals which paid employees to attend preelection rallies. The Board held that, regardless of whether the payments were contingent upon voting for any particular union, both unions so lowered the standards of election conduct as to necessitate a new election. The case was Teletune Corp. and International Association of Machinists.

## Union Conventions, May 16 to June 15, 1959

| Date | Organization | Place |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| May 18 | American Flint Glass Workers' | New York, N.Y. |
| May 18 | Insurance Agents International Union | Miami Beach, Fla. |
| May 18 | International Plate Printers, Die Stampers and Engravers' Union of North America. | Washington, D.C. |
| May 20 | National Marine Engineers' Beneficial Association_- | Miami Beach, Fla. |
| May 24 | Seafarers' International Union of North America | Montreal, Canada. |
| May 25 | Aluminum Workers International Union | St. Louis, Mo. |
| June | United Hatters, Cap and Millinery Workers International Union. | New York, N.Y. |
| June 4 | Upholsterers' International Union of North America_ | San Francisco, Calif. |
| June 8 | Switchmen's Union of North A | Buffalo, N.Y. |
| June 15 | American Federation of Musicians | Seattle, Wash. |
| June 15 | International Glass Workers' Union of Ame | Milwaukee, Wis. |
| June 15 | Office Employes' International Union | Montreal, Canada |

# Developments in Industrial Relations* 

## Meetings and Hearings

AFL-CIO Executive Council. The AFL-CIO Executive Council met in San Juan, Puerto Rico, February 16 to 24 . One of the major items on its agenda was the question of appropriate economic policy to speed recovery and reduce the current level of unemployment. Towards this end, the Council put forth several suggestions.

In contrast to President Eisenhower's plea for wage restraint as one method of warding off inflation, the Executive Council called for higher wages to stimulate economic growth and reduce unemployment, decrying a "blind insistence on budget balancing" at the expense of full employment and full production. George Meany, president of the AFL-CIO, warned that unless the economy grows by at least 5 percent annually "we are definitely headed toward an economic collapse."

In addition, the federation's policymaking board also voted to seek legislation making a 35-hour workweek mandatory for an estimated 24 million workers covered by the Fair Labor Standards Act to help offset the effects of automation. The Executive Council also announced that it would seek representation for labor, consumers, and small business on the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve Board and in each of the 12 district Federal Reserve Banks. The purpose in seeking such representation, it said, was to convert the Federal Reserve into " $a$ public system representative of American life."

Earlier in the month, Walter P. Reuther, president of the United Automobile Workers as well as an Executive Council member, declared that one way to dramatize the unemployment problem would be to organize a march on Washington by jobless workers. Mr. Reuther's suggestion, however, was apparently not acceptable to the Council; instead, a compromise agreement was reached to hold a mass meeting in Washington in

April 1959 including delegations of unemployed workers. Mr. Reuther was named chairman of a four-man committee to set up the meeting. Mr. Meany added, however, that he would preside over the meeting himself and emphasized that "this is an unemployment conference and not a march on anyone."

A decision was put off in the case of Carpenters' President Maurice A. Hutcheson, who had previously been called by the Council to answer questions on his appearances before investigating committees of the U.S. Senate. A letter from Mr. Hutcheson reportedly assured the Council that he was not involved in any misuse of union funds and that the Carpenters would abide by the AFL-CIO Ethical Practices Code. Some members of the Executive Council, however, were apparently dissatisfied with this explanation. It should be noted that the Carpenters' convention last November ${ }^{1}$ authorized the union's executive board, at its discretion, to withdraw from the AFL-CIO over longstanding disputes concerning jurisdiction policies.

The problem of craft versus industrial jurisdic-tion-specifically, a charge before the Council by the Steelworkers and the Industrial Union Department accusing the Metal Trades Department with exceeding its jurisdiction by allegedly organizing plants in competition with the industrial unions-was also put aside. Mr. Meany said he would try to resolve differences in the separate reports filed by a two-man committee appointed to recommend a solution of this problem and report to the next Council meeting.

The Executive Council considered the petition of the International Longshoremen's Association for readmission to the AFL-CIO, ${ }^{2}$ and set up a four-man committee to study the extent of the union's efforts to rid itself of gangster influence. The ILA's letter of petition cited rises in the average wage of longshoremen, establishment of welfare and pension plans, a comprehensive seniority system to eliminate favoritism, and other items as evidence of cleanup action. Captain William V. Bradley, president of the ILA, expressed confidence that upon review of the union's

[^37]reentry bid, the committee would conclude "that our application for readmission to the AFL-CIO should be granted."

In what appeared to be a break in the Federation's policy of avoiding direct competition with the ousted Teamsters union, the council announced authorization of a direct charter for a local to organize truckdrivers, warehousemen, and other workers in Puerto Rico. However, Joseph M. Curran, president of the National Maritime Union, demurred from the Council's resolution, declaring that this action was liable to trigger off retaliatory Teamster raids on AFL-CIO affiliates tbroughout the United States.

Teamsters. The Teamsters executive board, holding a quarterly meeting in Miami Beach, Fla., at about the same time, almost immediately approved a motion to "spend all the money necessary to organize the workers of Puerto Rico, and to hire additional organizers, if necessary." Although Teamster President James R. Hoffa stated that his union would "accept the challenge," he said that he did not expect the contest to spread to the mainland.

In January, the Teamsters defeated the Brewery Workers in a representation election at a Tampa, Fla., brewery. On February 25, the Teamsters won an election of about 850 stock clerk employees of Pan American World Airways, defeating the AFL-CIO International Association of Machinists by more than two to one. In addition, President Hoffa reported that the Teamsters would move into the airfreight industry because lower airfreight charges have made that industry competitive with truck shipping. He said that some of the new cargo planes were reportedly able to carry freight at 3.5 cents a ton-mile, in which case, he declared, "We've got competition and if it competes with trucks we'll have to organize the industry."

Plans for extending the union's jurisdiction to the oil refining industry were also underway as Mr. Hoffa met in early March with representatives of two independent local unions representing about 8,600 workers at Louisiana and Texas plants of Standard Oil Co. of New Jersey.

In a special report by Teamster SecretaryTreasurer John F. English, it was announced that during 1958 the union had paid out almost $\$ 400,000$ in legal fees and expenses plus an addi-
tional approximate $\$ 100,000$ in fees and expenses for the union's board of monitors, set up by court order in January 1958. ${ }^{3}$ In total, the union reported an operating deficit of about $\$ 362,000$.

## Wage Developments and Collective Bargaining

Southern Textiles and Furniture. A wage increase for an estimated 300,000 southern textile workers, the first general advance since the fall of 1956, developed in February with the announcement by several firms of plans for increasing pay scales effective in mid-February. Firms reporting wage increases included the Cannon Mills Co., M. Lowenstein \& Sons, Inc., and J. P. Stevens \& Co. Inc. The precise amounts of the increases are not clear. Dan River Mills, Inc., the largest organized textile mill in the industry, also announced plans for pay adjustments. The Nation's largest textile company, Burlington Industries, Inc., said that it would pay wages in line with those of its competitors in the various fields in which it operates.

Two major carpet manufacturers also reported pay raises for their southern workers. Effective March 1, Bigelow-Sanford Carpet Co. said it was raising wage levels, and Mohasco Industries, Inc., had said earlier that it planned to participate in the general textile wage increases.

In late February, wage increases amounting to approximately 5 percent were announced for a substantial number of employees of furniture manufacturers in North Carolina and Virginia. Increases were first announced for about 4,000 unorganized employees of seven companies in the Lexington, N.C., area; other companies that subsequently announced rises included Basset Furniture Co. and American Furniture Co. Inc., with about 4,000 workers in their Virginia plants, and Thomasville Chair Co. with about 2,100 employees at its Thomasville, N.C., plant.

Flat Glass. On February 11, representatives of the Pittsburgh Plate Glass Co. and the United Glass and Ceramic Workers Union reached a tentative agreement, subsequently ratified by union members, to end a strike of 13,000 workers in effect since October 1958. Issues that held up settlement, mainly incentive work standards,

[^38]speeds of operation, and seniority, were to be submitted to a three-man board of arbitration. Wage and other economic benefits of the new contract were reportedly similar to the Libbey-Owens-Ford Glass Co. contract with the UGCW signed last fall, which included wage increases spread over 2 years and improved pension benefits. ${ }^{4}$

Communications. Two 15 -month contracts, generally following the pattern set late in January by Wisconsin Telephone Co., ${ }^{5}$ were negotiated in February by two Bell system affiliates. A tentative agreement between the Southern New England Telephone Co. and an independent union representing approximately 8,900 workers in all departments called for wage advances of from 4 to $121 / 2$ cents an hour, while the New Jersey Bell Telephone Co. and the Communications Workers of America negotiations resulted in pay advances ranging from $\$ 1.50$ to $\$ 3$ weekly for about 8,400 traffic department employees. Both settlements included a fourth week of vacation after 30 years' service. By the end of February, every Bell company with which CWA holds contracts had revised their pension plan in line with the improvements negotiated with Wisconsin Telephone Co.

Other Settlements. An agreement providing weekly pay increases ranging from $\$ 2$ to $\$ 5$ for full-time employees and a 10 -cent-an-hour increase for parttime workers was reached in early February between First National Stores, Inc., and the Amalgamated Meat Cutters and Butcher Workmen for about 9,000 meatcutters and clerks in Maine, New Hampshire, Massachusetts, Rhode Island, and Connecticut. The settlement was negotiated under a wage reopening clause of a 2 -year contract signed in 1958.

Ratification of an agreement on February 7 by New York harbor tugboat men, represented by the National Maritime Union and employed by members of the Marine Towing and Transportation Employers Association, ended a strike that began on February 1. The settlement, affecting about 4,000 workers, called for a 20 -cent-an-hour pay raise in 1959, and an additional 10 -cent wage increase next year. Negotiations were conducted under a wage reopening clause of a contract expiring January 31, 1961.

[^39]In late January, a 21 -cent-an-hour package settlement was agreed to by the International Alliance of Theatrical Stage Employes and major motion picture studios, television film producers, and film processors. The pact, reportedly affecting 15,000 craft employees, called for wage-rate increases of 15 cents. In addition, employer payments to the welfare fund were raised by 4 cents an hour to provide for coverage of retired employees and an increase in the death benefits from $\$ 1,000$ to $\$ 2,500$. Payments to the industry pension fund were also raised, with the employers paying an additional 2 cents an hour for all hours worked, and the employee paying 1 cent more. Formerly, payments were based on straight-time hours worked. The 2-year agreement was subject to union membership ratification.

A 2 -year wage agreement for about 8,000 heavy and highway construction laborers in western Pennsylvania was announced on February 10 by the Hod Carriers', Building and Common Laborers' Union and the Constructors Association of Western Pennsylvania. The new contract provides a $12 \frac{11 / 2}{2}$-cent-an-hour pay increase this year and the same amount in 1960.

## Other Union Developments

Several programs involving industry-labor cooperation in the promotion of industry's products were announced during February. David Dubinsky, president of the International Ladies' Garment Workers' Union, on February 4 issued an invitation to manufacturers of women's apparel to join in an industrywide promotion effort to supplement the advertising of individual manufacturers and retailers. Speaking before the annual meeting of the National Coat and Suit Industry Recovery Board, Mr. Dubinsky declared overall industry promotion was required because the typical small concern could not afford to spend much on advertising its product. Several management representatives expressed favorable views regarding an industry promotion fund. The idea for a promotion program was initially proposed several years ago but was abandoned when some trade groups voted it down.

A month later, on March 4, Mr. Dubinsky announced that the union had signed a $\$ 1$ million a year contract with an advertising firm to promote consumer demand for the union label in all
types of women's apparel. Mr. Dubinsky said the promotion campaign would attempt to establish the union label as "a moral trademark by which underworld elements could be driven out of the industry." He said he would ask delegates to the union convention this May to make permanent provision in the union's budget for allocating at least $\$ 1$ million a year for this purpose.

Cooperative efforts between the United Hatters, Cap and Millinery Workers Union and representatives of major cap manufacturers were further advanced with the establishment of a national institute to promote wider use of union-made caps and cloth sport hats. The new group-to be known as the National Cap and Cloth Hat Institute-is to be financed by employer contributions of 1 percent of payrolls, under terms of new contracts negotiated in the summer of 1958. ${ }^{6}$ Other functions of the institute will be to popularize the use of the union label, to lobby against low-priced Japanese caps made under alleged "sweat shop" conditions, and to channel military cap purchases to shops utilizing union labor.

A National Coal Policy Conference composed primarily of soft coal producers, coal-carrying railroads, and the United Mine Workers (Ind.) was also established in February to "advance and promote the interests of the [coar] industry on the broadest possible front." Action of the new group will be concentrated on seeking an increase in the coal depletion allowance for tax purposes and tighter restrictions on the importation of residual oil and obtaining government help in research into the production, marketing, and use of coal. The conference is patterned after an idea first suggested by UMW President John L. Lewis.

In a speech before the National Association of Shippers Advisory Boards on February 11, Daniel P. Loomis, president of the Association of American Railroads, called for immediate formation of a nonpartisan presidental committee to study problems of labor policy and working rules in the railroad industry. He asked the railroad brotherhoods to join in the appeal. Mr. Loomis charged that costly "make work" provisions in labor contracts had resulted in loss of 500,000 jobs in the past 12 years; unless labor and management can solve their problems, he warned, "more thousands of jobs will go down the drain."

[^40]In response to Mr. Loomis' proposals, the presidents of five railroad operating brotherhoods agreed to meet with rail management representatives but added that any investigation should include " a complete study of the financial structure of the industry" and of management practices that adversely affect the economic soundness of the industry. The union answer rejected the proposal that the discussions be "limited to the narrow issue of the impact of a few rules in labor agreements."

Later in the month, the first official round in negotiations over new contracts for the railroad brotherhoods started when the operating unions announced they would seek a 12 -percent increase over wages in contracts ending November 1, 1959. Guy L. Brown, grand chief engineer of the Brotherhood of Locomotive Engineers, said that demands would also include incorporation of cost-of-living allowances into base rates, and continuation of escalation.

On February 19, a Federal Court jury in Tacoma, Wash., found former Teamster President Dave Beck guilty on six counts of income tax evasion. He was sentenced to 5 years in prison and was ordered to pay a fine of $\$ 60,000$ and court costs of $\$ 10,961$. Lawyers for Mr. Beck said they would appeal the case.

In another trial involving former union officials, 3 officers of a San Francisco local of the International Union of Operating Engineers were found guilty of stealing from union funds. Their trial stemmed from testimony given before the Senate Select Committee on Improper Activities in the Labor or Management Field in early 1958. ${ }^{7}$ Those convicted were Patrick W. Clancy, Porter E. Vanderwork, and Clarence Matthews, former president, treasurer, and recording secretary, respectively.

A charge of perjury, also arising from testimony before the Senate Select Committee, was dismissed in the case against James G. Cross, president of the Bakery and Confectionery Workers International Union which was ousted from the AFLCIO in December 1957. Federal District Judge Richmond B. Keech directed the jury to acquit Mr. Cross on the grounds that the Government had failed to prove essential elements of perjury and that the question that produced the perjury indictment was not material to the committee's investigation.

## Book Reviews and Notes
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## Special Reviews

Wages and Economic Control in Norway, 1945-1957. By Mark W. Leiserson. Cambridge, Harvard University Press, 1959. 174 pp. \$4.50.
When the future economic histories are written, the decade following World War II will perhaps be categorized as the period in which the western societies moved decisively toward direct government responsibility for the economic health of the state. Economists have been studying the progress of the western political economies as they struggled with the potential contradictions of the goals of full employment, price stability, and capital development and have been recording the effects of the application of different measures under varying conditions in the several States. Dr. Leiserson has produced a remarkably lucid account of postwar Norway where reliance upon central control probably exceeds that of any of the western nations. The book is highly informative and readable.

In the author's words, "A principal assumption of this book is that an analysis of wage determination in the relatively highly controlled Norwegian economy will have value in considering the problems of any economy where the government makes a conscious effort to preserve full employment, price stability, and free but organized labor markets." He cautions, however, against unwarranted assumptions extrapolated from the Norwegian experience which is, in many ways, unique.
The Norwegian economy represents the efforts of its 3.5 million people to attain material growth within the context of extreme dependence on foreign trade, large capital requirements, and the
concentration on light manufactured products in generally small producing units. Industry has not been nationalized in Norway, despite a socialistoriented Parliament since 1945, but strong controls regulate the private use of the means of production.

Collective bargaining is conducted within the framework of highly organized labor markets. The Norwegian Federation of Labor (LO) and the national federation of employer associations (NAF) have grown in power and authority since the turn of the century. Both federations concentrate authority in the central body and wield predominant influence in the labor market. The control of wage changes was vested in the LO and NAF by the Norwegian government in London prior to the end of the war. This formalized "national" character of wage determination has facilitated the government's ability to work toward achieving its goals. The trade unions played a significant role in the struggle for wageprice stability, exercising "a remarkable degree of restraint in the use of their economic power." In return, they have retained autonomy in collective bargaining.

These, then, were the general characteristics of the institutional framework within which Norway's economy was charted. With Dr. Leiserson as the guide, the reader is deftly led through the "strategy and structure of postwar policy."

The control of wages was one of the cornerstones of postwar policy, although the government did not exercise the direct controls it maintained over prices, profits, and material. Wage increases had to be judged in terms of the export market and the required domestic savings for capital formation and, at the same time, be sufficiently acceptable to the workers to maintain industrial peace. Contractual agreements have generally conformed to these requirements. It is not surprising that under the conditions of full employment and union wage restraint there has been a considerable wage drift. It seems that "black market" wages had a minor role in the wage drift while individual adjustments, such as merit increases and upgradings within the contract provisions, played a major role. Perhaps the most significant factor has been the increasing use of incentive pay systems.

The Norwegian experience leads to speculation about the relative influence on wages of union
and market forces, the responsible use of union power, and the compatibility of free collective bargaining and price stability in a full employment economy. The last chapter of the book provocatively explores these areas of controversy. Leiserson rejects the incompatibility argument and portrays Norwegian trade union behavior in the controlled economy as adaptive to the requirements of its culture, thus preserving autonomous labor organizations and collective bargaining institutions.
-Norman J. Samuels
Division of Wages and Industrial Relations Bureau of Labor Statistics

And Mark an Era: The Story of the Harvard Business School. By Melvin T. Copeland. Boston, Little, Brown \& Co., 1958. 368 pp. $\$ 6$.
Institutions like banks, railroads, art galleries, and schools are too often regarded as having specific service functions to perform for human society but no real life of their own. If, on the other hand, we could look at our institutions as if they had personal lives, we might realize that they too change and develop, and in so doing reflect the social order in which we live.

It is this "biographical" approach that Professor Copeland has taken in telling the story of the Harvard Graduate School of Business, an institution that has had a very substantial impact on both the business and educational world. Established as an experiment 50 years ago, the school has developed almost literally from a "one-room schoolhouse" to a magnificently housed, selfcontained unit and a highly respected younger brother of the oldest institution of higher learning in the United States. The school's development has many true-to-life aspects-the course of trial and error, tentative ideas about new methods of instruction, changing standards for student admission, adjustments in curriculum to meet changing times; in sum, the fluid nature of the growth of an educational institution which, by its very subject-matter orientation, could not pattern itself on traditional methods or traditional content. In the process of plotting its own course, it has produced the techniques and experience and points of view from which other schools have been able to profit. At the same time, it has persuaded the Nation's business community of the usefulness
of academic training in the practical world of management and production.

Some portions of the success story are more interesting to the general reader than others. The minutiae of budgets, who taught what course in what semester, administrative problems of a day-to-day type, when individual courses were dropped and when others were added, are undoubtedly of interest to those who were closely connected with the school's development and are useful as part of the historical record. Scattered through the book as they are, in chronological sequence, they tend to irritate and obstruct the reader who is primarily intrigued by the important educational problems involved in starting a new kind of school, problems such as what to teach, whom to teach, and especially how to teach.

One appealing section of the book dealt with the effort to develop the "case method" of teaching and to get it accepted. Another area to which a quite original approach was made was research. Traditionally, scholars have carried on research projects along lines of individual interest. The Business School, however, needed information rooted in operations, not theory, for its instruction. Research was therefore regarded as an integral part of the staff's joint effort and obligation.

From the author's presentation (he was an original member of the staff), one has a feeling that, in spite of its 50 years, the school still has a pioneering outlook and is prepared to shift its curriculum and activities, as it did during two major wars, to the changing times. It would be highly useful if someone would undertake a similar job for the labor and industrial relations schools that have become so much a part of our university system in the past quarter of a century.

## -Margaret L. Plunkett

Division of Manpower and Employment Statistics Bureau of Labor Statistics

The Scanlon Plan-A Frontier in Labor-Management Cooperation. Edited by Frederick G. Lesieur. Cambridge, Mass., Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Industrial Relations Section,1958. 173 pp. \$4.50, John Wiley \& Sons, Inc., New York.
The Scanlon Plan, a device for the improvement of plant productivity based on labor-management cooperation, has received publicity both here and
abroad far beyond what might be expected when it is considered that the plen is in successful operation in only a few establishments. In spite of this worldwide interest, very little information has been available about the plan. The volume under discussion represents an attempt to remedy this lack of information and, at the same time, to provide a printed memorial for the plan's author, Joseph N. Scanlon, who died in January 1956.

The chief value of this book lies in the fact that it brings together what has been written and said about the plan and its application in industry. An article by Russell W. Davenport about the Lapointe Machine Tool Co. is reprinted from the January 1950 issue of Fortune. Another by George P. Shultz, Worker Participation on Production Problems, appeared in the November 1951 issue of Personnel. Other articles descriptive of the plan are by Frederick G. Lesieur, editor of the volume and Elbridge S. Puckett, research associate at M.I.T. Additional essays consist of papers presented at a 1957 conference on the plan, plus some specially written evaluations of its operation. An appendix presents a sample "memorandum of understanding" for a guide in introducing the plan, and some related papers on union-management cooperation.

The various authors make it clear that the plan is not an organized program or method of procedure which can be applied by following a definite blueprint or pattern of application. Rather, it is a "way of life" built around cooperation and fullscale worker participation in solving plant production problems. Increases in productivity are rewarded according to a formula which gives workers direct financial returns for anything they can save by reduction of the "normal" labor cost for the establishment. This formula must be calculated for each plant individually; if it is correctly determined, both labor and management should profit from the plan's application. It is further emphasized that both parties must cooperate voluntarily, without reservation, for successful operation of the plan. In those cases in which genuine collaboration has not been possible, the plan has either failed or the parties have been advised not to adopt it.

The book explains that the Scanlon Plan has functioned successfully in companies of several types. The product manufactured and the demand for it, the size, profitability, job conditions,

[^41]different unions or no union, all vary from company to company among those operating under the plan.

Each essay in the book was prepared for presentation as a separate discussion of the plan or of some phase of it. As a result, there is some repetition of ideas. More important, because of the general nature of the various essays, many of the details which would seem necessary for installation of the plan are treated briefly or not at all. The second section of the book, plus the memorandum of understanding in the appendix, represent the closest approach to a discussion of methodology. For a broad understanding of what the plan is about, this book should prove adequate for the general reader.

-Theodore W. Reedy

Division of Wages and Industrial Relations Bureau of Labor Statistics

## Diary of a Strike. By Bernard Karsh. Urbana, University of Illinois Press, 1958. xiii, 180 pp. $\$ 3.50$. <br> Bright Web in the Darkness. By Alexander Saxton. New York, St. Martin's Press, 1958. 308 pp. $\$ 3.95$.

To say that Diary of a Strike is another case study of a local union and a strike is both to describe it and, within limits, to appraise it.

There are two segments to the book. The first is a sort of running account of the organization campaign and contract demands which ultimately led to a garment factory strike in a small Wisconsin town. The events are clearly presented, the reader is made aware of the issues, and both the progress of the strike and its settlement are related in an understandable manner, but little is revealed concerning the union, its internal and external relationships, its bargaining, and its tactics that is really new to most students or practitioners in the field of union-management relations.

One reason may be that the study is based on an uncommon, almost anachronistic situation: a traditionally paternalistic family-owned company resenting the intrusion of unionism so fiercely as to endure an almost suicidal strike to avoid signing an agreement-utilizing all the orthodox devices of injunction, police protection of nonstrikers, back-to-work appeals, legal impediments to an NLRB election, and so on. Well, the set, the
actors, and the plot have been used with increasing frequency as one traces them in formal and fictional literature back into the 19 th century; all are well worn; only the authors change. The early novels of Upton Sinclair-which reached audiences in the millions and remain for new audiences-told the same story with dramatic clarity and disclosed all the implicit social motivations and lessons.

Professor Karsh's book does offer a snapshot of a tiny corner of the American industrial relations scene, a vestige of a freshly remembered but rarely encountered prototype of labor-management embattlement in an all but bygone era. The album, however, is already pretty full.

The second segment is an essay at fitting the behavior of the strike community to an appropriate pattern of sociological theory. The principal conclusions are that in a critical situation of conflict people on the same side tend to think and act alike, that as a conflict becomes intensified people become more inventive, inexperienced people learn quickly, and people with leadership qualities become leaders. Many, including this reviewer, will doubt that the author's exposition and the array of authorities on crowd and general social behavior which have been marshaled to prove these rather commonplace empirical facts will add to the knowledge of unionism and union members. But, it may be argued, this is a scientific social study and thus warrants the application of scientific theory and techniques.

Those who are punctilious concerning methodology in social surveys may quarrel with Professor Karsh on the ground that perhaps an element of bias has been allowed to creep into his work. He bases his case study on interviews with a sample of participants in the strike situation. But which participants? He draws random samples from alphabetically arranged names. To the drawn sample of dedicated unionists active in the strike he adds a few who were hurt at not being included. On the other hand, although a mark of the skilled interviewer's talent is ability to induce reluctant or recalcitrant people to talk, he abandons the sample of those who were nonunion or loyal to the company, because it was sensed that they were antagonistic and unwilling to cooperate.

Novels depicting the American trade union movement have been singularly unsuccessful, and

Alexander Saxton's book Bright Web in the Darkness does little to change the trend. The locale of the story is San Francisco during World War II. A disparate group of characters in Dos Passos fashion enter each other's lives and fashion a thin fabric of plot, a major emphasis of which is a trade union's discrimination against Negroes. However, the characters are somewhat unclearly delineated and unreal; and the attempt to weave trade union politics, administration, and philosophy into a dramatic interpretation is largely muffed.

It appears to be the fate of labor unions that much of the effort to interpret them to the general public has fallen to the hands of protagonists, whose opinions must be discounted; to sociologists, whose jargon often communicates little meaning except to other sociologists; or to novelists, whose ill luck it is never to combine understanding with craftsmanship. To find an exception to the last group, one must go back 25 years to Ernest Halper's The Foundry.
-L.R.K.

## The Family Life of Old People-An Inquiry in East London. By Peter Townsend. Glencoe, Ill., The Free Press, 1957. xvi, 284 pp. $\$ 5$.

Bethnal Green, one of the smallest boroughs of London, is an urban area where most people live close to their work. Most of the people in the area are members of closely knit families of three generations living together or in separate households on adjoining streets. The facts which Peter Townsend uncovered about the family life of old people may be an indication of the propensity of an unknown proportion of the population to find security in the ties of blood and to arrange their lives accordingly.

Of the sample of 261 individuals of pensionable age drawn from doctors' records, over 10 percent had moved out of the borough or could not be traced when the survey began. Since the proportion of old people in the borough's population was representative of London and of England as a whole, it appears likely that the movement out of the district was not limited to particular age groups. The 203 old people interviewed in the borough had about 2,700 relatives living within a mile of their residences. Nine out of ten married or widowed old people had one or more surviving
children, and of those with children, 85 percent had a child living with them or nearby. The presence of relatives very possibly tended to keep the young and old alike from leaving the borough for other residential districts in London or elsewhere.

The old people helped by social services, judged by the cases in a local hospital and in a welfare home, characteristically had fewer children and fewer daughters than those living in their own homes or with their children. The burden of social provisions for the aged would be greatly increased if unmarried children and married daughters had not assumed responsibility for the care of their parents. The author suggests that various measures might help prevent old people from becoming wards of the State. One suggests changes in public housing policy so that old people could live near their relatives. Another proposes various kinds of financial assistance for families financially unable to care for sick or infirm parents. While he stresses that the family life in Bethnal Green may not be characteristic of other urban districts, he concludes that, in general, the extended family of a particular composition will continue to care for its elderly members if housing arrangements and financial resources are favorable.

Nearly all of the men and of the husbands of women in the sample were manual workers, but some 8 percent of their married children had moved into the clerical and professional classes. Daughters married to men in the higher status occupations saw less of their parents than those who had remained in the manual worker class, a fact that the old people attributed primarily to geographic separation. Family arrangements for the care of the elderly, outside the expenditure of a daughter's time or procurement of social welfare services, involve contributions of money from sons and daughters not living in their parents' homes. Community studies of this type offer much information about the attitudes of the present generation of old people that must be taken into consideration in planning and experimenting with different types of arrangements for the housing and care of the coming generation of retired couples, widowers, and widows.
-Dorothy S. Brady
Wharton School of Finance and Commerce University of Pennsylvania
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## A.-Employment

Table A-1. Estimated total labor force classified by employment status, hours worked, and sex [In thousands]

| Employment status | Estimated number of persons 14 years of age and over ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1959 |  | 1958 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Annual average |  |
|  | Feb. | Jan. | Dec. | Nov. ${ }^{3}$ | Oct. | Sept. | Aug. | July | June | May | Apr. | Mar. | Feb. | 1958 | 19572 |
|  | Total, both sexes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total labor force. | 70, 062 | 70,027 | 70,701 | 71,112 | 71,743 | 71,375 | 72, 703 | 73, 104 | 73, 048 | 71, 603 | 70,681 | 70, 158 | 69,804 | 71, 284 | 70,746 |
| Oivilian labor forc | 67, 471 | 67,430 | 68, 081 | 68,485 | 69, 111 | 68,740 | 70, 067 | $\begin{array}{r}70,473 \\ 5 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 70,418 5 5 2 | 68,965 4,904 1 | 68,027 5,120 | 67,510 5,198 | 67,160 5,173 | 68,647 4,681 | 67,946 2,936 |
| Unemployment | 4,749 | 4,724 | 4,108 | 1, 1,633 | 3, 1,522 | 4, 1168 | 4,699 1,716 | 5,294 2,069 | 5,48 2,569 | 4,778 1,778 | 1, 725 | 1,753 | 1,946 | 1,833 | 1,485 |
| Unemployed 5-10 weeks. | 1,176 | 1,044 | 771 | 695 | 667 | 644 | 933 | 1,198 | 875 | 930 | 933 | 1,153 | 1,517 | 959 | 650 |
| Unemployed 11-14 weeks | 1, 509 | 444 | 328 | 272 | 225 | 436 | 399 | 357 | 372 | 444 | 577 | 845 | 562 | 438 | 240 |
| Unemployed 15-26 weeks | 727 | 557 818 | 520 | 499 | 581 811 | 573 888 | 678 972 | 798 872 | 931 689 | 1,146 | 1,301 58 | 1, 045 | 795 353 | 785 | 321 |
| Unemployed over 26 weeks | 737 | -818 | \% 782 | 6435 | -811 | 888 64,629 | 972 65,367 | 872 65,179 | 689 64,981 | 64,061 | 62,907 | 62, 311 | 61,988 | 63, 666 | 65, 011 |
| Employment.----- | 62,722 58,030 | 62,706 58,013 | 63,973 59,102 | 64,653 58,958 | 65, 306 | 64,629 58,438 | 65,367 58,746 | 58,461 | 64, 081 | 67, 789 | 57, 349 | 52, 239 | 57, 158 | 58, 122 | 58, 789 |
| W orked 35 hours or more | 44, 968 | 46, 044 | 47, 076 | 44, 114 | 46, 522 | 46, 719 | 44, 440 | 42, 289 | 45,352 | 45, 819 | 44, 166 | 44, 206 | 43, 213 | 44,873 | 46, 238 |
| W orked 15-34 hours.-.- | 7, 745 | 6,880 | 6, 960 | 9,915 | 7,221 | 6,381 | 6, 099 | 6,336 | 6,668 | 7,147 | 7, 840 | 7,789 | 8,218 | 7, 324 | 6,953 |
| Worked 1-14 hours | 3,424 | 3, 288 | 3, 313 | 3,146 | 3, 062 | 2, 751 | 2, 522 | 2,749 | 2,863 | 3, 224 | 3, 190 | 3, 346 | 3,252 | 3, 047 | 2, 777 |
| With a job but not at work - | 1,894 | 1,801 | 1,753 | 1,783 | 2, 094 | 2, 586 | 5, 684 | 7,087 | 3, 188 | 1,799 | 2,153 | 1, 899 | 2, 476 | 2, 876 | 2, 821 |
|  | 4, 692 | 4,693 | 4, 871 | 5,695 | 6,404 | 6, 191 | 6,621 | 6, 718 | 6, 900 | 6, 272 | 5, 558 | 5, 072 | 4,830 | 5, 844 | 6, 222 |
| W orked 35 hours or more | 2,677 | 2,772 | 2,845 | 3,750 | 4,690 | 4,263 | 4, 668 | 4, 442 | 4, 861 | 4, 452 | 3,561 | 2,945 | 2,551 | 3, 827 | 4,197 |
| Worked 15-34 hours | 1,217 | 1,132 | 1, 266 | 1,369 | 1,212 | 1, 348 | 1,339 | 1,564 | 1,533 399 | $\begin{array}{r}1,370 \\ 348 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 1, 390 | 1,373 503 | 1, 266 | +357 | 1,418 |
| With a job but not at work | 479 318 | 285 | 522 238 | 187 | 126 | 144 | 209 | 228 | 107 | 103 | 162 | 251 | 346 | 199 | 196 |
|  | Males |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total labor force_ | 48, 073 | 47, 981 | 48, 190 | 48,418 | 48, 756 | 48,759 | 50,017 | 50,359 | 50,005 | 48, 858 | 48,396 | 48, 126 | 47, 944 | 48,802 | 48,649 |
| Oivilian labor force | 45,514 | 45, 417 | 45, 601 | 45, 822 | 46, 155 | 46,155 | 47, 412 | 47,759 | 47, 406 | 46, 252 | 45, 774 | 45, 510 | 45, 332 | 46, 197 | 45,882 |
| Unemployment | 3,359 | 3,282 | 2, 902 | 2,504 | 2,454 | 2, 615 | 3,081 | 3,513 | 3, 521 | 3,266 | 3, 492 | 3, 743 | 3, 632 | 3,155 | 1,893 43,989 |
| Employment. | 42, 156 | 42, 135 | 42, 699 | 43, 318 | 43, 701 | 43, 539 | 44, 331 | 44, 247 | 43,884 | 42, 986 | 42, 282 | 41, 767 | 41, 700 | 43, 042 | 43,989 38,952 |
| Nonagricultural | 37, 991 | 37, 981 | 38,464 | 38, 614 | 38,693 | 38,623 32,714 | 39,040 | 38,901 30,078 | 38, 588 | 37, 362 | 37, 387 | 30, 552 | 29, 383 | 38,240 31,390 | 32, 546 |
| Worked 35 hours or | 31,433 3,882 | $\begin{array}{r}32,005 \\ 3,434 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 32,423 3,418 | 30,966 5,160 | 32,547 3,505 | 32, 3119 | 31,608 3,065 | 30,362 3,362 | 32,418 | - 3 3, 555 | 30,88 4,027 | 4, 4 , 087 | 4,326 | 31, 336 | 32, 461 |
| Worked 1-14 hours | 1, 456 | 1,399 | 1,414 | 1,294 | 1, 261 | 1,122 | 1,154 | 1,312 | 1. 246 | 1,395 | 1,395 | 1, 427 | 1, 494 | 1,329 | 1,197 |
| With a job but not at work ${ }^{\text {- }}$ | 1,220 | 1,143 | 1, 210 | 1,195 | 1,378 | 1,668 | 3, 214 | 4, 149 | 1, 782 | 1,151 | 1. 289 | 1,273 | 1,776 | 1,784 | 1,748 |
|  | 4, 165 | 4, 154 | 4, 235 | 4, 704 | 5, 008 | 4, 916 | 5, 291 | 5, 346 | 5, 296 | 5. 024 | 4, 704 | 4, 427 | 4, 271 | 4, 8182 | 5,037 3,716 |
| Worked 35 hours or more | 2, 509 | 2,582 | 2, 644 | 3, 362 | 3, 961 | 3, 691 | 4, 058 |  |  | 3, 930 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Worked 15-34 hours---------- | - 928 | 854 448 | 933 443 | 866 308 | 660 281 |  | 742 307 | 912 330 | 733 261 | 753 247 | 947 329 | 1,000 420 | 971 586 | 857 <br> 353 | 842 309 |
| urs $\qquad$ | 425 303 | 448 270 | 443 216 | 308 168 | 281 106 | 313 126 | 307 184 | 330 198 | 261 89 | 247 93 | 147 | 230 | 321 | 179 | 171 |
|  | Females |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total labor force | 21,989 | 22,046 | 22, 510 | 22,695 | 22,987 | 22,617 | 22, 686 | 22,745 | 23, 043 | 22, 745 | 22, 286 | 22, 032 | 21,861 | 22, 482 | 22, 097 |
| Oivilian labor force | 21,957 | 22,013 | 22,479 | 22,663 | 22,956 | 22,586 | 22,655 | 22,714 | 23,012 | 22, 713 | 22, 254 | 22, 000 | 21,829 | 22, 451 | 22, 064 |
| Unemployment | 1,391 | 1,442 | 1,206 | 1,329 | 1,351 | 1,496 | 1,619 | 1,781 | 1,915 | 1,638 | 1,629 | 1,456 | 1,541 | 1,526 | 1,043 |
| Employment. | 20, 566 | 20,571 | 21, 273 | 21, 334 | 21, 605 | 21, 090 | 21, 036 | 20, 933 | 21, 096 | 21, 075 | 20, 625 | 20,544 | 20,288 | 20, 924 | 21, 021 |
| Nonagricultural | 20, 039 | 20,032 | 20,638 | 20,343 | 20, 209 | 19,815 | 19, 706 | 19,560 | 19, 493 | 19, 826 | 19, 770 | 19, 899 | 19,729 | 19, 882 | 19,837 |
| W orked 35 hours or mo | 13, 534 | 14,039 | 14, 653 | 13,147 | 13, 975 | 14, 006 | 12, 833 | 12, 211 | 13, 210 | 13,757 | 13, 299 | 13, 654 | 13,380 | 13, 483 | 13, 692 |
| Worked 15-34 hours. | 3,863 | 3,446 | 3, 542 | 4,755 | 3,717 | 3,263 | 3, 035 | 2,974 | 3,250 | 3,592 | 3, 813 | 3,701 | 3, 892 | 3, 589 | 3,491 |
| Worked 1-14 hours. | 1,968 | 1,889 | 1,900 | 1,852 | 1,801 | 1,629 | 1,368 | 1,437 | 1,617 | 1,829 | 1, 795 | 1,919 | 1,759 | 1,718 | 1,580 |
| With a job but not at work | 1,673 | ${ }^{1} 658$ | 1,544 | 589 | 716 | 918 | 2,471 | 2,939 | 1,416 | 648 | 864 | 625 | 700 | 1,093 | 1, 073 |
|  | 527 | 539 | 635 | 991 | 1,396 | 1,275 | 1,330 | 1,373 | 1,603 | 1,249 | 855 | 645 | 559 | 1,042 | 1,184 |
| W orked 35 hours or more------ | 168 | 190 | 201 | 388 | 1, 729 | 572 | 610 | 536 | 647 | 522 | 280 | 169 | 159 | 414 | 482 |
| Worked 15-34 hours-..------- | 290 | 278 | 333 | 503 | 552 | 561 | 597 | 652 | 801 | 617 | 444 | 73 | 294 | 504 | 571 |
| W orked 1-14 hours. | 54 | 56 | 80 | 82 | 95 | 123 | 98 | 156 | 138 | 100 | 115 | 83 | 81 | 104 | 107 |
| With a job but not at work - | 15 | 15 | 21 | 19 | 21 | 18 | 25 | 29 | 18 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 25 | 20 | 25 |

${ }^{1}$ Estimates are based on information obtained from a sample of households and are subject to sampling variability. Data relate to the calendar week ending nearest the 15th day of the month. The employed total includes all ending nearest the 15th day of the month. The employed total workers, selfemployed persons, and unpaid workers in wage and salary workers, self-employed persons, and unpaid worke
Because of rounding, sums of individual items do not necessarily equal totals.
${ }^{2}$ Beginning with January 1957, two groups numbering bet ween 200,000 and 300,000 which were formerly classified as employed (under "with a job but not at work") were assigned to different classifications, mostly to the unemployed. For a full explanation, see Monthly Report on the Labor Force,

February 1957 (Current Population Reports, Labor Force, Series P-57, No. 176).

Survey week contained legal holiday.
Includes persons who had a job or business but who did not work during the survey week because of illness, bad weather, vacation, or labor dispute. Prior to January 1957, also included were persons on layoff with definite instructions to return to work within 30 days of layoff and persons who had new jobs to which they were scheduled to report within 30 days. Most of the persons in these groups have, since that time, been classified as unemployed.
Source: U.8. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census.
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TABLE A-3. Production or nonsupervisory workers in nonagricultural establishments, by industry ${ }^{1}$-Continued
[In thousands]

| Industry | 1959 |  | 1958 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Annual <br> average |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Feb. ${ }^{2}$ | Jan. ${ }^{2}$ | Dec. | Nov. | Oct. | Sept. | Aug. | July | June | May | Apr. | Mar. | Feb. | 1957 | 1956 |
| Manufacturing-Continned |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Durable goods-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Machinery (except electrical) | 1,070.9 | 1,052. 9 | 1, 038.2 | 1,020.1 | 1, 004.5 | 1,007.0 | 976.8 | 990.2 | 1.014.1 | 1,028.6 | 1,060.8 | 1,090. 2 | 1, 108.6 | 1,255. 7 | 1,278.7 |
| Engines and turbines...-- |  | 62.7 | 61.5 | 61.1 | 56.9 | 58. 6 | 56.8 | 56.5 | 58.1 | 60.8 | 62.3 | 64.2 | 65.7 | 68.3 | 61.2 |
| Agricultural machinery and tractors. |  | 90.0 | 84.0 | 83.1 | 96.9 | 95.3 | 91.8 | 94.0 | 94.5 | 95.2 | 101.0 | 101. 5 | 100.5 | 105. 7 | 108.4 |
| Construction and mining machinery |  | 84.6 | 81.9 | 76.2 | 77.3 | 78.4 | 79.5 | 79.8 | 79.8 | 80.1 | 84.3 | 87.6 | 90.7 | 109. 4 | 111.8 |
| Metalworking machinery |  | 160.0 | 157.8 | 155.0 | 149.1 | 150.5 | 145.6 | 151.7 | 157.6 | 164.0 | 168.7 | 175.9 | 180.5 | 218.2 | 218.7 |
| Spectal-industry machinery (except metalworking machinery) |  | 106.9 | 107.0 | 106.2 | 105.0 | 105.3 | 104.5 | 103.7 | 105.8 | 107.5 | 110.1 | 112.3 | 115.8 | 125.9 | 133.3 |
| General industrial machinery |  | 133.9 | 133.7 | 132.9 | 131.7 | 132.0 | 130.3 | 131.0 | 136.2 | 137.2 | 140.7 | 146.8 | 149.4 | 166.3 | 172.7 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Miscellaneous machinery parts.......... |  | 195.1 | 194.9 | 190.9 | 178.5 | 180.5 | 172.3 | 172.9 | 178.3 | 180.4 | 186.6 | 192.3 | 196.7 | 221.5 | 217.3 |
| Electrical machin | 791.2 | 791.2 | 788.9 | 788.2 | 746.0 | 762, 2 | 734.0 | 711.6 | 716.4 | 715.3 | 729.2 | 749.3 | 766.6 | 857.7 | 870.3 |
| Electrical generating, transmission, distribution, and industrial appa- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Electrical appliances |  | 26.3 | 26.8 | 27.9 | 26.3 | 25.5 | 24.1 | 23.0 | 22.8 | 24.4 | 25.6 | 25.5 | 26.1 | 31.2 | 39.6 |
| Insulated wire and ca |  | 21.9 | 21.7 | 21.3 | 20.9 | 20.2 | 18.6 | 17.3 | 18.5 | 17.7 | 18.3 | 18.8 | 19.1 | 20.9 | 209 |
| Electrical equipment for |  | 51.2 | 50.8 | 53.1 | 35.9 | 49.2 | 44.3 | 43.3 | 43. 5 | 43.1 | 45.6 | 48.7 | 51.0 | 59.3 | 59.0 |
| Electric lamps...- |  | 22.4 | 22.3 | 22. 1 | 21.8 | 21. 4 | 21.3 | 20.8 | 21.6 | 22.3 | 22.8 | 23.8 | 24.6 | 26.1 | 25.1 |
| Communication equipm |  | 373.2 | 375.1 | 375.7 | 372.0 | 368.4 | 354.9 | 340.6 | 339.7 | 336.1 | 338.7 | 346.3 | 353.1 | 395.8 | 392.0 |
| Miscellaneous electrical produ |  | 34.1 | 33.9 | 34.2 | 31.4 | 33.3 | 32.2 | 31.5 | 32.6 | 32.1 | 32.3 | 32.7 | 32.8 | 36.0 | 36.5 |
|  |  | 1,214.0 | 1, 207.6 | 1, 199.0 | 991.5 | 1,100. 1 | 1,033. 6 | 1, 062.9 | 1, 083.8 | 1, 081. 2 | 1, 103.0 | 1, 152.7 | 1,206. 9 | 1,383. 6 | 1,354. 1 |
|  |  | 580.9 | 566.8 | 554. 1 | 357.8 | 462, 9 | 402.2 | 432.7 | 443.5 | 446.3 | 453.5 | 495.7 | 546.0 | 630.1 | 648.5 |
| Aircraft and parts.-..-- |  | 473.0 | 482.9 | 483.7 | 480.8 | 480.4 | 474.1 | 471.3 | 476.2 | 467.7 | 479.3 | 482.6 | 483.8 | 563.6 | 537.4 |
| Alrcraft engines and parts. |  | 287.1 | 292.4 | 293.3 | 291.0 | 291.7 | 291.4 | 289.1 | 291.6 | 281.5 | 292.7 | 294.4 | 293.2 | 340.9 | 326. 8 |
|  |  | 88.4 | 90.6 | 90.5 | 90.3 | 90.9 | 87.7 | 87.9 | 88.7 | 89.2 | 89.5 | 89.6 | 90.9 | 111.3 | 105.3 |
| Aircrait propellers and parts <br> Other aircraft parts and equipment. - |  | 9.5 | 10.2 | 10.1 | 10.4 | 11.0 | 11.1 | 11.9 | 12.8 | 13.3 | 13.8 | 13.9 | 14.1 | 13.9 | 11.3 |
|  |  | 88.0 | 89.7 | 89.8 | 89.1 | 86.8 | 83.9 | 82.4 | 83.1 | 83.7 | 83.3 | 84.7 | 85.6 | 97.5 | 94.0 |
| Ship and boat btilding and repairing--- |  | 120.8 | 118.6 | 122.4 | 118.4 | 118.0 | 118.1 | 119.2 | 123.9 | 123. 6 | 121.8 | 123.0 | 124. 6 | 127.2 | 111.4 |
|  |  | 103.1 | 101. 6 | 106.4 | 103.7 | 104. 4 | 105.0 | 104. 5 | 107.5 | 105. 4 | 103.8 | 105.5 | 106.2 | 108.5 | 93.9 |
| Shipbuilding and repairing Boatbuilding and repairing |  | 17.7 | 17.0 | 16.0 | 14.7 | 13.6 | 13.1 | 14.7 | 16.4 | 18.2 | 18.0 | 17.5 | 18.4 | 18.7 | 17. 5 |
| Other transportation equipment.-.---------- |  | 32.4 | 32. 1 | 30.7 | 26.1 | 30.5 | 31.2 | 32.7 | 33.0 | 37.0 | 41.8 | 44.5 | 46.0 | 54.7 | 48.6 |
|  |  | 6.9 | 7.2 | 8.1 | 8.4 | 8.3 | 8.0 | 7.0 | 7.2 | 6.6 | 6.6 | 6.9 | 6.5 | 8.0 | 8.2 |
| Instruments and related products | 211.8 | 208.4 | 209.6 | 209.0 | 207.2 | 204. 9 | 199.2 | 195. 9 | 199.1 | 200.4 | 204.1 | 207.8 | 210.9 | 226.2 | 230.3 |
| Laboratory, scientific and engineering instruments. |  | 32.2 | 32.1 | 32.0 | 31.7 | 31.6 | 30.8 | 30.6 | 31.2 | 31.4 | 31.8 | 32.2 | 32.8 | 36.6 | 37.7 |
| Mechanical measuring and controlling instruments.-..------ |  | 57.2 | 57.2 | 57.5 | 56.8 | 56.0 | 53.4 |  | 54, 1 | 54.4 | 55. 6 |  |  |  | 61.1 |
| Optical instruments and lenses Surgical, medical, and dental instru- |  | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 9.6 | 9.5 | 9.1 | 8.9 | 9.2 | 9.1 | 9.1 | 9.1 | 9.4 | 10.3 | 10. 6 |
|  |  | 27.5 | 27.7 | 27.0 | 27.0 | 27.0 | 26.6 | 27.0 | 27.2 | 27.2 | 27.2 | 27.5 | 27.8 | 28.9 | 10. 5 |
| Ophthalmic goods .------------------ |  | 18.8 | 18.8 | 18.5 | 18.2 | 17.9 | 17.9 | 17.6 | 18.2 | 18.2 | 18.4 | 18.8 | 18.8 | 19.6 | 20.3 |
| Photographic appar |  | 38.7 | 39.6 | 39.8 | 39.6 | 39.2 | 38.9 | 38.5 | 38.3 | 38.8 | 39.8 | 40.4 | 41.4 | 43.7 | 44.1 |
| Watches and clocks |  | 24.0 | 24.2 | 24.2 | 24.3 | 23.7 | 22.5 | 19.9 | 20.9 | 21.3 | 22.2 | 23.2 | 23.7 | 25.0 | 28.0 |
| Miscellaneous manufacturing industries.- | 360.2 | 350.5 | 360.4 | 379.4 | 385.8 | 380.0 | 365.6 | 346.2 | 354.5 | 348.1 | 350.6 | 354.4 | 355.0 | 390.6 | 405.1 |
| Jewelry, silverware, and plated ware --- |  | 35. 3 | 35.9 | 36.3 | 36.2 | 35.6 | 33.5 | 32.8 | 33.4 | 32.8 | 33. 4 | 34.3 | 34.8 | 36.3 | 39.9 |
| Musical instruments and parts |  | 14.3 | 14.3 | 14.4 | 14.2 | 13.7 | 13.0 | 11.8 | 12.9 | 13.0 | 13.3 | 13.4 | 14.2 | 15.3 | 15.7 |
| Toys and sportiag goods. |  | 52.8 | 57.6 | 71.4 | 78.8 | 79.0 | 75.5 | 70.1 | 70.7 | 67.5 | 64. 7 | 61.2 | 59.1 | 75.6 | 79.6 |
| Pens, pencils, other office supplies |  | 21.1 | 21.6 | 22.1 | 22.2 | 21.6 | 21.6 | 20.6 | 22.8 | 23.1 | 23.3 | 23.1 | 22.6 | 24.0 | 23.8 |
| Costume jewelry, buttons, notions |  | 48.7 | 47.4 | 49.2 | 49.9 | 49.1 | 47.9 | 43.1 | 44.5 | 42.3 | 43.2 | 46.4 | 47.4 | 49.2 | 52.3 |
| Fabricated plasties products.-- |  | 67. 6 | 68, 7 | 68.4 | 68.3 | 66.7 | 64.0 | 61.6 | 61.0 | 59.9 | 61.8 | 64.5 | 65.5 | 71. 6 | 70.2 |
| Other manufacturing industries. |  | 110.7 | 114.9 | 117.6 | 116.2 | 114.3 | 110.1 | 106.2 | 109.2 | 109.5 | 110.9 | 111.5 | 111.4 | 118.6 | 123.6 |
| Nondurable goods |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Food and kindred products.--.-.--------- 934.8 |  | 951.1 | 1,001.0 | 1, 050.1 | 1,115. 21 | 1,178. 4 | 1,172.0 1 | 1, 080.6 | 1,038.7 | 977.5 | 948.5 | 941.7 | 951.0 | 1, 065.71 | 1,104. 0 |
| Meat products. |  | 242.5 | 250.2 | 250.9 | 250.5 | 249.0 | 246.0 | 243.8 | 243.1 | 238.6 | 230.8 | 233.4 | 238.5 | 259.2 | 268.8 |
|  |  | 60.4 | 62.2 | 62.2 | 64.4 | 67.9 | 71.5 | 73.0 | 73.0 | 69.8 | 65. 8 | 64.3 | 62.6 | 69.6 | 72.1 |
| Canning and preserving |  | 128.9 | 148.2 | 178.1 | 237.1 | 311.8 | 306.9 | 220.2 | 176.8 | 141.1 | 136.7 | 124.4 | 128.3 | 187.7 | 201.5 |
| Grain-mill product |  | 78.2 | 77.0 | 78.4 | 81.0 | 82.5 | 82.4 | 81.4 | 81.0 | 78.4 | 77.7 | 78.2 | 78.3 | 79.5 | 83.5 |
| Bakery products. |  | 159.5 | 162.0 | 164.0 | 166.1 | 165.8 | 166.3 | 167.1 | 167.5 | 164.2 | 162.8 | 163.2 | 164. 5 | 169.9 | 172.0 |
| Sugar |  | 24.9 | 35. 5 | 40.4 | 36.8 | 23.4 | 21.4 | 21.6 | 21.4 | 22.1 | 20.4 | 19.7 | 21.1 | 26.1 | 26.4 |
| Confectionery and related products..------ |  | 61.7 | 64.5 | 67.6 | 68.1 | 66.5 | 61.5 | 54.6 | 58.0 | 56.7 | 57.2 | 60.3 | 61.8 | 63.5 | 64.3 |
|  |  | 103.7 | 108.7 | 114.8 | 115.4 | 115. 2 | 117.7 | 120.9 | 119.5 | 111.8 | 105. 6 | 107.8 | 105.2 | 116.1 | 119.7 |
| Miscellaneous food products |  | 91.3 | 92.7 | 93.7 | 95.8 | 96, 3 | 98.3 | 98.0 | 98.4 | 94.8 | 91.5 | 90.4 | 90.7 | 94.1 | 95.7 |
|  | 74.5 | 78.9 | 83.0 | 85.0 | 93.6 | 96.1 | 85.5 | 69.5 | 70.2 | 69.8 | 70.1 | 74.2 | 79.2 | 84.4 | 89.5 |
| Cigarettes |  | 32.1 | 32.1 | 32.2 | 31.7 | 32.0 | 32.0 | 31.3 | 31.5 | 31.1 | 30.9 | 30.7 | 31.0 | 30.2 | 30.7 |
| Cigars <br> Tobacco and snuff <br> Tobacco stemming and redrying---.-.-. -- |  | 25.6 | 27.0 | 27.3 | 27.4 | 27.0 | 26.9 | 26.1 | 27.1 | 27.0 | 27.0 | 28.0 | 28.8 | 30.9 | 32.8 |
|  |  | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.5 | 5. 5 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5. 4 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5. 4 | 5.3 | 5.5 | 5.9 |
|  |  | 15.8 | 18. 5 | 20.1 | 29.0 | 31.6 | 21. 2 | 6.7 | 6.2 | 6.3 | 6.8 | 10.1 | 14.1 | 17.8 | 20.1 |

See footnotes at end of table.

## TABLE A-3. Production or nonsupervisory workers in nonagricultural establishments, by industry ${ }^{1}$-Continued

[In thousands]

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Industry} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{1959} \& \multicolumn{11}{|c|}{1958} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Annusl average} <br>
\hline \& Feb. ${ }^{2}$ \& Jan. ${ }^{2}$ \& Dec. \& Nov. \& Oct. \& Sept. \& Aug. \& July \& June \& May \& A pr. \& Mar. \& Feb. \& 1957 \& 1956 <br>
\hline \multicolumn{16}{|l|}{Manufavturing-Continued} <br>
\hline Nondurable goods-Continued \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline Textile-mill products \& 860.5 \& 855.3 \& 862.2 \& 867.0 \& 863.3 \& 859.9 \& 855. 2 \& 830.2 \& 839.7 \& 830.5 \& 837.2 \& 844.2 \& 854.7 \& 912.9 \& 965.9 <br>
\hline Scouring and combing plan \& \& 4.9 \& $\begin{array}{r}4.9 \\ \hline\end{array}$ \& 4.8 \& 4.8 \& 4.8 \& 85. 5.1 \& 5. 0 \& 83.7
4.9 \& 830.5
4.4
07 \& 4.
4 \& 84.2
4.4 \& 4. 5

100.8 \& 912.9
5.0 \& 865.9
6.1 <br>
\hline Yarn and thread mills. \& \& 100.3 \& 101.5 \& 101. 7 \& 100.8 \& 100.6 \& 99.9 \& 96.0 \& 98.5 \& 97.5 \& 98. 3 \& 99.1 \& 100.8 \& 107.2 \& 113.7 <br>
\hline Broad-woven fabric mill \& \& 370.2 \& 371. 8 \& 372.1 \& $\begin{array}{r}370.9 \\ 24 \\ \hline\end{array}$ \& 371. 1 \& 370.1
23.9 \& 365.3 \& 366.7 \& 365.5 \& 371.6 \& 376.9
23 \& 381.1 \& 401.5 \& 429.7 <br>
\hline Karrow fabrics \& \& 186. 0 \& 25.2
190.2 \& 24.8
195.3 \& 24.7 \& 24. 5 \& 23.9 \& 23.2 \& 23.3 \& 22,9 \& 23.2 \& 23.7 \& 23.8 \& 25.4 \& 26.2 <br>
\hline Dyeing and finishing texti \& \& 74.6 \& 74.7 \& 74.6 \& 73.8 \& 73.4 \& 73.8 \& 71.7 \& 72.4 \& 72.5 \& 73. 6 \& 73.4 \& 74.8 \& 194. 3 \& 201.2 <br>
\hline Carpets, rugs, other floor coverin \& \& 38. 9 \& 38.6 \& 38.2 \& 37.5 \& 36.7 \& 35.3 \& 33.8 \& 34.1 \& 34.1 \& 36.1 \& 37.6 \& 38.2 \& 47.1 \& 45.7 <br>
\hline Hats (except cloth and millinery) \& \& 8. 7 \& 8.7 \& 8.9 \& 8. 6 \& 8.6 \& 9.0 \& 9.0 \& 9.3 \& 9.2 \& 8.6 \& 9.1 \& 9.5 \& 9.4 \& 10.8 <br>
\hline Miscellaneous textile goods...... \& \& 46.6 \& 46.6 \& 46.6 \& 45.2 \& 44.2 \& 43.1 \& 42.0 \& 42.0 \& 41.4 \& 41.6 \& 42.8 \& 44.3 \& 50.5 \& 52.4 <br>

\hline | A pparel and other finished textile prod- |
| :--- |
| ucts. | \& 1,075.0 \& 1, 052,0 \& 1, 055. 6 \& 1, 053. 3 \& 1, 051.2 \& 1,055.3 \& 1, 044.3 \& 992.0 \& 993.6 \& 984. 7 \& 986.7 \& 1,017. 7 \& \& \& <br>

\hline Men's and boys' suits and coats \& 1,075.0 \& 1, 96.4 \& 1, 96.4 \& $1,053.3$
93.9 \& 1, 93.8 \& $1,05.3$

97.4 \& 1, 95.0 \& 90.8 \& 95.1 \& 984.3 \& 88.3 \& 1,017. 97.2 \& 1, 98.7 \& $1,064.5$
105.3 \& $1,079.8$
110.9 <br>
\hline Men's and boys' furnishings and work clothing \& \& 86.4
286.7 \& 28.4
28.1 \& 93.9
287.6 \& 93.8
289.1 \& 97.4
289.6 \& 95.0
287.0 \& 90.8
279.9 \& 95.1
283.2 \& 93.3
277.0 \& 89.3
275.6 \& 97.2
284.3 \& 98.7
285.7 \& 105.3
288.9 \& 110.9
291.5 <br>
\hline  \& \& 311.0 \& 311.1 \& 308.2 \& 303. 1 \& 306.7 \& 312.2 \& 291.4 \& 282.5 \& 292.1 \& 296.4 \& 295.7 \& 318. 7 \& 312.0 \& 314.0 <br>
\hline Women's, children's undergarments..-- \& \& 102.9 \& 104. 7 \& 106.9 \& 105.6 \& 103.3 \& 100.9 \& 94.5 \& 97.6 \& 97.7 \& 101.3 \& 103.3 \& 103.7 \& 106.8 \& 108.4 <br>
\hline  \& \& 18.2 \& 16.3 \& 14.5 \& 17.6 \& 18.7 \& 18.4 \& 14.7 \& 11.8 \& 10.1 \& 12.7 \& 18.0 \& 19.3 \& 16.3 \& 16.5 <br>
\hline Children's outerwe \& \& 67.6 \& 65.5 \& 65.0 \& 66.3 \& 66.3 \& 67.4 \& 66.5 \& 66.8 \& 62.0 \& 59.4 \& 63.3 \& 66.6 \& 65.7 \& 66.0 <br>
\hline Fur goods \& \& 7.0 \& 8. 1 \& 9.4 \& 9.3 \& 9.4 \& 8.2 \& 8.6 \& 8.5 \& 7.9 \& 6. 5 \& 7.2 \& 7.5 \& 7.8 \& 8.4 <br>
\hline Miscellaneous apparel and accessories.- \& \& 51.1 \& 52.5
112.9 \& 54.1
113.7 \& 54.6 \& 53.8 \& 52.7 \& 47.4 \& 49.3 \& 47.8 \& 48. 0 \& 49.9 \& 50.1 \& 53.2 \& 56.3 <br>
\hline Other fabricated textile products...---- \& \& 111. 1 \& 112.9 \& 113.7 \& 111.8 \& 110.1 \& 102.5 \& 98.2 \& 98.8 \& 96.8 \& 97.5 \& 98.8 \& 100.3 \& 108.5 \& 107.8 <br>
\hline Paper and allied products \& 440.1 \& 440.9 \& 442.7 \& 445.9 \& 446.5 \& 447.0 \& 441. 7 \& 429.0 \& 433.4 \& 431.7 \& 434.2 \& 435.7 \& 438.4 \& 458.8 \& 463.4 <br>
\hline Pulp, paper, and paperboard mills \& \& 221. 1 \& 220.8 \& 222.5 \& 222.2 \& 222.5 \& 222.7 \& 215. 4 \& 218.8 \& 218.5 \& 220.1 \& 220.0 \& 221.0 \& 229.1 \& 230.4 <br>
\hline Paperboard containers and boxes. \& \& 120.3 \& 122.5 \& 124.3 \& 124. 2 \& 124. 0 \& 120.0 \& 116.1 \& 117.1 \& 116.1 \& 115.6 \& 116.7 \& 117.7 \& 125. 2 \& 127.2 <br>
\hline Other paper and allied products. \& \& 99.5 \& 99.4 \& 99.1 \& 100.1 \& 100.5 \& 99.0 \& 97.5 \& 97.5 \& 97.1 \& 98.5 \& 99.0 \& 99.7 \& 104.5 \& 105.8 <br>
\hline \multicolumn{16}{|l|}{} <br>
\hline Newsprpers \& \& 156. 7 \& 159.4 \& 159.7 \& 159.4 \& 157.1 \& 156. 3 \& 155.7 \& 157.5 \& 157.4 \& 155.9 \& 156. 2 \& 155.9 \& 156.1 \& 155. 1 <br>
\hline Periodicals \& \& 25.7 \& 25.3 \& 25.7 \& 26.3 \& 26.1 \& 24.7 \& 24.1 \& 24.6 \& 25.6 \& 25. 8 \& 25.9 \& 25.8 \& 25.6 \& 27.8 <br>
\hline Books.- \& \& 33.9 \& 33.7 \& 33.2 \& 33.3 \& 33.8 \& 33.3 \& 32.9 \& 33.1 \& 33.3 \& 33.7 \& 34.3 \& 34.6 \& 35.2 \& 33.4 <br>
\hline Commercial pr \& \& 177.6 \& 178.9 \& 176.8 \& 178.6 \& 177.5 \& 175.1 \& 174.6 \& 176.0 \& 175.7 \& 178.1 \& 178.9 \& 178.5 \& 181.3 \& 179.6 <br>
\hline Lithographing \& \& 48.8 \& 50.5 \& 50.2 \& 50.1 \& 49.6 \& 49.4 \& 49.1 \& 49.3 \& 49.6 \& 49.6 \& 49.8 \& 49.5 \& 50.7 \& 48.5 <br>
\hline Greeting cards \& \& 13.8 34 \& 14.6 \& 15.7
34.9 \& 16.2
34.9 \& 15.8 \& 15.4 \& 14.7 \& 14.7 \& 13.2 \& 12. 8 \& 12.3 \& 12.4 \& 13.8 \& 14.1 <br>
\hline Bookbinding and related industries
Miscellaneous publishing and printing \& \& 34.7 \& 34.8 \& 34.9 \& 34.9 \& 35.9 \& 35.7 \& 34.7 \& 34.8 \& 34.2 \& 34.8 \& 35.2 \& 34.8 \& 37.0 \& 37.2 <br>
\hline services \& \& 51. 7 \& 52.5 \& 51.8 \& 51.8 \& 51.8 \& 51.8 \& 51.4 \& 51.0 \& 51.4 \& 54.0 \& 54.4 \& 54.3 \& 53.5 \& 53.9 <br>
\hline Chemicals and allied products \& 514.4 \& 513.2 \& 514.3 \& 514.0 \& 516.5 \& 510.9 \& 504.1 \& 495.5 \& 500.1 \& 510.0 \& 519.3 \& 519.0 \& 518.5 \& 545.1 \& 553.3 <br>
\hline Industrial inorganic chemica \& \& 66.5 \& 66. 2 \& 66.5 \& 66. 2 \& 66. 0 \& 66.0 \& 65.6 \& 66.9 \& 67.3 \& 68.5 \& 69.2 \& 69.5 \& 73.0 \& 75.0 <br>
\hline Industrial organic chemicals \& \& 194.9 \& 194. 7 \& 194.0 \& 193.1 \& 191.4 \& 190.0 \& 186.4 \& 186.8 \& 187.7 \& 190.1 \& 192.3 \& 195.7 \& 210.3 \& 217.0 <br>
\hline  \& \& 57.2 \& 57.2 \& 56.9 \& 56.7 \& 57.2 \& 57.5 \& 57.5 \& 57.4 \& 57.6 \& 58.1 \& 58.3 \& 58.0 \& 57.9 \& 57.2 <br>
\hline Soap, cleaning and polishing preparations \& \& 30.2 \& 30.3 \& 30.7 \& 31.3 \& 31.5 \& 30.4 \& 29.7 \& 29.5 \& 29.0 \& 29.1 \& 29.6 \& 29.7 \& 30.7 \& 57.2
30.3 <br>
\hline Paints, pigments, and fillers....-------- \& \& 44.0 \& 44.3 \& 44.2 \& 44.4 \& 44.6 \& 45.0 \& 44.0 \& 43.4 \& 42.4 \& 42.5 \& 43.0 \& 43.1 \& 30.7
45.9 \& 30.3
47.0 <br>
\hline Gum and wood chemicals \& \& 6.2 \& 6. 2 \& 6.2 \& 6. 4 \& 6.4 \& 6.4 \& 6.5 \& 6.3 \& 6.6 \& 6.5 \& 6.5 \& 6.5 \& 7.2 \& 4.1 <br>
\hline Fertilizers -------- \& \& 25.5 \& 23.6 \& 22.5 \& 24.6 \& 23.4 \& 21.4 \& 20.9 \& 24.1 \& 33.1 \& 36.7 \& 31.5 \& 26.1 \& 26.7 \& 27.3 <br>
\hline Vegetable and animal oils \& \& 27. 5 \& 28.6 \& 29.6 \& 30.1 \& 26.5 \& 23.9 \& 23.1 \& 23.4 \& 23.5 \& 24.6 \& 25.5 \& 26.4 \& 28.1 \& 28.6 <br>
\hline Miscellaneous chemicals. \& \& 61.2 \& 63.2 \& 63.4 \& 63.7 \& 63.9 \& 63.5 \& 61.8 \& 62.3 \& 62.8 \& 63.2 \& 63.1 \& 63.5 \& 65.3 \& 63.8 <br>
\hline Products of petroleum and coar \& 148.5 \& 154.0 \& 154.6 \& 155.9 \& 153.3 \& 157.5 \& 157.4 \& 157.4 \& 157.9 \& 157. 5 \& 156.7 \& 156. 4 \& 158.7 \& 168.0 \& 172.2 <br>
\hline  \& \& 118.3 \& 118.5 \& 119.5 \& 116.4 \& 120.4 \& 121.3 \& 121.5 \& 121.7 \& 122.3 \& 122.4 \& 122.7 \& 123.3 \& 128.1 \& 131.0 <br>
\hline Coke, other petroleum and coal products. $\qquad$ \& \& 35.7 \& 36.1 \& 36.4 \& 36.9 \& 37.1 \& 121.3
36.1 \& 35.9 \& 121.
36.2 \& 122.3
35.2 \& 122.4
34.3 \& 33.7 \& 123.3
35.4 \& 128.1
39.9 \& 131.0
41.2 <br>
\hline Rubber products. \& 198.8 \& 199.4 \& 198.2 \& 195.3 \& 194.5 \& 187.5 \& 181.2 \& 175.1 \& 175.8 \& 172.3 \& 176.0 \& 184.0 \& 191.3 \& 205.9 \& <br>
\hline Tires and inner tubes \& \& 77.1 \& 77.1 \& 76.2 \& 75.3 \& 74.1 \& 72.5 \& 71.0 \& 71.2 \& 70.4 \& 72.1 \& 76.0 \& 181.3
78.5 \& 83.3 \& 211. 8 <br>
\hline Rubber footwear \& \& 17.2 \& 17.1 \& 17.2 \& 17.1 \& 16.8 \& 16.4 \& 15.9 \& 16.3 \& 16.3 \& 16.5 \& 16.7 \& 17.0 \& 17.6 \& 85.2
19.8 <br>
\hline Other rubber products. \& \& 105. 1 \& 104.0 \& 101.9 \& 102.1 \& 96.6 \& 92.3 \& 88.2 \& 88.3 \& 85.6 \& 87.4 \& 91.3 \& 95.8 \& 105.0 \& 106. 1 <br>
\hline Leather and leather products .-.-.-.-.--- \& 334.0 \& 329.5 \& 328.7 \& 324.3 \& 315. 0 \& 321.0 \& 323.2 \& 316.7 \& 314.3 \& 301.5 \& 299.9 \& 320.0 \& 326. 2 \& 329.2 \& 339.0 <br>
\hline Leather: tanned, curried, and finished- \& \& 34.0 \& 34. 2 \& 34.0 \& 33.7 \& 33.6 \& 33.1 \& 32.2 \& 33. 6 \& 33.0 \& 33.0 \& 34.2 \& 34.8 \& 36.4 \& 38.4 <br>
\hline Industrial leather belting and packing- \& \& 3. 6 \& 3.5 \& 3.4 \& 3.3 \& 3. 2 \& 2.9 \& 2.7 \& 2.7 \& 2.7 \& 3.0 \& 3.2 \& 3.5 \& 3.5 \& 3.8
3.8 <br>
\hline Boot and shoe cut stock and findings.- \& \& 17.9 \& 17.6 \& 16.6 \& 15.9 \& 15.7 \& 16.5 \& 16. 2 \& 16.2 \& 15.4 \& 15.1 \& 15.8 \& 16.8 \& 16.8 \& 17.7 <br>
\hline Footwear (except rubber) -------------- \& \& 224. 2 \& 220.7 \& 214.2 \& 205.9 \& 212.9 \& 216.8 \& 215.4 \& 213.0 \& 205. 4 \& 202.4 \& 217.1 \& 221.3 \& 219.1 \& 221.5 <br>
\hline  \& \& 12. 1 \& 12.8 \& 13.6 \& 13. 6 \& 13.2 \& 13.1 \& 12. 2 \& 12.4 \& 12.0 \& 11.8 \& 11. 7 \& 11.8 \& 13.1 \& 22.5
13.9 <br>
\hline Handbags and small leather goods.---- \& \& 26.8 \& 28.1 \& 29.7
12.8 \& 29.4 \& 29. 0 \& 27.5 \& 24.8 \& 23.6 \& 20.8 \& 22.8 \& 26.6 \& 27.0 \& 26.1 \& 28.9 <br>
\hline Gloves and miscellaneous leather goods_ \& \& 10.9 \& 11.8 \& 12.8 \& 13.2 \& 13.4 \& 13.3 \& 13.2 \& 12.8 \& 12. 2 \& 11.8 \& 11. 4 \& 11.0 \& 14.2 \& 14.8 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

## Table A-3. Production or nonsupervisory workers in nonagricultural establishments, by industry ${ }^{1}$-Continued

[In thousands]

| Industry | 1959 |  | 1958 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Annual average |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Feb. ${ }^{2}$ | Jan. 2 | Dec. | Nov. | Oct. | Sept. | Aug. | July | June | May | Apr. | Mar. | Feb. | 1957 | 1956 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other public utilities |  | 528 | 530 | 532 | 533 | 540 | 547 | 548 | 541 | 534 | 534 | 534 | 534 |  |  |
| Electric light and power u |  | 219.2 | 219.7 | 220.5 | 221.0 | 223.9 | ${ }_{226.3}$ | 226.6 | 224.9 | 621.8 222.4 | ${ }_{222.5}$ | 513. <br> 222 | ${ }_{223.5}^{514.1}$ | 519.0 22.0 | 513.8 219.6 |
| Gas utilities. |  | 136.5 | 136.6 | 136. 4 | 137.1 | 139.0 | 141.1 | 141.4 | 138.9 | 136.3 | 136.0 | 135,7 | 135.7 | 136.4 | 133.4 |
| Electric light and gas utilities combined |  | 152.5 | 153.7 | 154.5 | 154.8 | 156.8 | 158.4 | 158.9 | 156.6 | 155.1 | 154.9 | 155.2 |  |  |  |
| Local utilities, not elsewhere classffied.- |  | 20.0 | 19.9 | 20.2 | 20.4 | 20.6 | 21.0 | 21.1 | 20.7 | 20.5 | 20.4 | 20.3 | 20.0 | 20.7 | 21.2 |
| Wholesale and retail trade: <br> 2,623 <br> 2, 666 <br> 2, 656 <br> 2, 646 <br> 2, 625 <br> 2, 601 <br> 2, 597 <br> 2, 593 <br> 2, 571 <br> 2, 592 <br> 2,617 <br> 2,633 <br> 2,695 2,661 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| function |  | 1,548.8 | 1, 582.4 | 1,574.0 | 1,560.3 | 1,546.3 | 1,526.3 | 1,520. 6 | 1, 514.7 | 1,499.1 | 1, 509.5 | 1,523.8 | 1,532.4 | 1, 572.2 | 1,562. 6 |
| Automotive |  | 111.8 | 112.3 | 112.2 | 111.3 | 111.3 | 111.0 | 110.7 | 109.6 | 107.5 | 107.9 | 108.0 | 109.1 | 108.4 | 104.3 |
| Groceries, food specialties, beer, wines, and liquors. |  | 276.3 | 281.0 | 280.4 | 276.3 | 275.5 | 268.2 | 269.8 | 267.1 | 263.3 | 267.2 | 272.2 | 272.4 | 273.4 | 275.1 |
| Electrical goods, machinery, hardware, and plumbing equipment. |  | 381.4 | 383.2 | 382.5 | 381.6 | 380.1 | 379.8 | 379.0 | 378.4 | 376.9 | 379.8 | 383.8 | 387.1 | 402.7 | 402.0 |
| Other full-service and limited-func- tion wholesalers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wholesale distributors, other----------------- |  | 779.3 1.073 .9 | 805.9 $1,083.4$ | 798.9 | 791.1 | 779.4 | 767.3 | 761.1 | 759.6 | 751. 4 | 754.6 | 759.8 | 763.8 | 787.7 | 781.2 088.1 |
| Retail trade: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Department stores and general mailorder houses. |  | 849.4 | 1,188.3 | 953.2 | 875.1 | 840.0 | 802.0 | 795.3 | 808.3 | 803.5 | 794. 5 | 787.5 | 785.7 | 875.9 | 876.4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Food and liquor stores-.---------1-- |  | 1,454.4 | 1,507.1 | 1,488.3 | 1,475.6 | 1,479.8 | 1,468.2 | 1,478.0 | 1,481.1 | 1,479.2 | 1,477.5 | 1,484. 0 | 1,490. 3 | 1,465.5 | $1,440.8$ |
| Grocery, meat, and vegetable markets. 1,$\square$ 1, 078.3 1, 108.9 $1,097.31,084.71,076.8 \mid 1,060.51,069.6$ $1,070.51,068.8\|1,067.5\| 1,078.7\|1,079.8\| 1,038.4 \mid 1,014.5$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dairy-product stores and dealers |  | 184.9 | 187.7 | 188.9 | 190.8 | 202.1 | 207.1 | 207.3 | 206.1 | 201.6 | 198. 7 | 196.8 | 197.2 | 206.7 | 205. 1 |
| Other food and liquor stores. |  | 191.2 | 210.5 | 202.1 | 200.1 | 200.9 | 200.6 | 201.1 | 204.5 | 208.8 | 211.3 | 208.5 | 213.3 | 220.4 | 221.3 |
| Automotive and accessories dealers |  | 677.8 | 693.5 | 676.3 | 667.5 | 667.2 | 670.1 | 668.6 | 668.9 | 669.5 | 670.0 | 680.4 | 690.3 | 719.3 | 727.1 |
| Apparel and accessories stores |  | 532.3 | 665.5 | 568.1 | 551.8 | 540.7 | 496.8 | 503.0 | 541.9 | 536.3 | 533.8 | 526.1 | 505.2 | 556.6 | 565.5 |
| Other retail trade (except eating and drinking places) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Furniture and appliance stores.-.------ |  | 355.2 | -373.8 | 360.6 | 355.5 | 352.0 | 349.3 | 349.1 | 350.5 | 350.4 | 349.9 | 351.7 | 354.5 | 361.2 | 363.8 |
| Drug stores |  | 335.7 | 374.0 | 340.7 | 338.0 | 337.0 | 334.5 | 334.2 | 332.5 | 330.4 | 328.9 | 327.3 | 327.2 | 337.7 | 327.5 |

${ }_{10}^{1}$ For comparability of data with those published in issues prior to August 1958 and coverage of the series, see footnote 1, table A-2.
Production and related workers include working foremen and all nonsupervisory workers (including leadmen and trainees) engaged in fabricating, processing, assembling, inspection, receiving, storage, handling, packing, warehousing, shipping, maintenance, repair, janitorial, watchman services,
product development, auxiliary production for plant's own use (e.g., powerplant), and recordkeeping and other services closely associated with the aforementioned production operations.
${ }^{2}$ Preliminary.
Source: U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics.

Table A-6. Insured unemployment under State programs and the program of unemployment compensation for Federal employees, ${ }^{1}$ by geographic division and State
[In thousands]

| Geographic division and State | 1859 | 1958 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Annual average |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Jan. | Dec. | Nov. | Oct. | Sept. | Aug. | July | June | May | A pr, | Mar. | Feb. | Jan. | 1958 | 1957 |
| Continental United States | 2, 517.9 | 2,110.8 | 1, 781. 2 | 1, 722.4 | 1,905. 8 | 2, 202, 7 | 2, 510.9 | 2,667.3 | 2,984.0 | 3,302. 3 | 3, 275.5 | 3, 163. 1 | 2,877.0 | 2, 537.4 | 1,465.8 |
| New England.--------- | 200.0 | 173.4 | 132.4 | 126.7 | 137.6 | 153,6 | 190.3 | 204.8 | 238.6 | 263.3 | 251.9 | 240.2 | 235. 7 | 195.5 | 121.9 |
| Maine .... | 19.4 | 17.6 | 13.4 | 11.1 | 13.4 | 14.1 | 16.4 | 18.7 | 25.1 | 30.0 | 24.7 | 21.8 | 22.2 | 19.0 | 11.0 |
| New Hampshir | 8. 3 | 7.5 | 5. 9 | 5.8 | 7.7 | 7.8 | 9. 2 | 10.1 | 12.5 | 15.3 | 12.5 | 10.5 | 10.6 | 9. 6 | 6.0 |
| Vermont.-.... | 4.7 | 4.1 | 2.9 | 2.6 | 2. 8 | 3.0 | 3. 3 | 3.7 | 4.6 | 5.9 | 6.8 | 6. 9 | 6. 5 | 4.4 | 2.8 |
| Massachusett | 96.6 | 87.6 | 64.2 | 59.3 | 62.4 | 66. 8 | 85.0 | 91.2 | 106. 6 | 121.7 | 119.7 | 1139 | 112.1 | 90.8 | 61.4 |
| Rhode Island | 19.8 | 16.1 | 11.4 | 11.0 | 12.0 | 14.5 | 19.2 | 20.0 | 23. 5 | 26.9 | 27. 2 | 27.0 | 27.0 57.2 | 19.6 | 16.5 |
| Connecticut. | 51.2 | 40.4 | 34.5 | 36.9 | 39.3 | 47.4 | 57.1 | 61.0 | 66.2 | 63.5 | 61.1 | 60.0 | 57.2 | 52.0 | 24.2 |
| Middle A tlant | 783.9 | 668.4 | 559.2 | 542.2 | 572.1 | 636.1 | 735. 2 | 780.2 | 831.6 | 885.1 | 865.8 | 831.8 | 794.3 | 724.6 | 427.6 |
| New York | 355.4 | 319.6 | 250.0 | 233.5 | 245.4 | 269. 7 | 334.4 | 358.2 | 374.6 | 391.4 | 381.2 | 364.5 | 348.2 | 322.4 | 189.3 |
| New Jersey | 126.8 | 100.9 | 85.1 | 83.6 | 87.1 | 95.8 | 110.2 | 118.9 | 136.3 | 150.3 | 149. 4 | 145. 5 | 141.8 | 116.9 | 80.5 |
| Pennsylvania | 301.7 | 248.0 | 224.1 | 225.1 | 239.6 | 270.5 | 290.6 | 303.1 | 320.7 | 343.5 | 335.2 | 321.8 | 304.3 | 285.2 | 157.9 |
| East North Cen | 451.6 | 403.5 | 350.9 | 369.2 | 444.7 | 570.8 | 638.3 | 692.5 | 771.0 | 838.3 | 800.7 | 742.4 | 631.6 | 603.0 | 283.8 |
| Ohio- | 117.1 | 106. 6 | 88.0 | 90.6 | 108.5 | 138. 0 | 166.1 | 186.5 | 211.3 | 223.1 | 212.3 | 202.0 | 166. 4 | 157.9 | 65.6 |
| Indiana | 52.2 | 43.7 | 33.7 | 33. 9 | 39.9 | 53.1 | 61. 4 | 68.5 | 80.7 | 89.8 | 88.3 | 87.9 | 76.4 | 62.9 | 33. 5 |
| Illinois | 130.7 | 109.2 | 93.8 | 95.5 | 109.1 | 133.3 | 148.2 | 156.9 | 169.8 | 176. 8 | 176.3 | 168.0 | 151. 7 | 140.5 | 68.2 |
| Michigan | 110.5 | 106.2 | 105.0 | 120.0 | 155.7 | 208. 7 | 223. 6 | 241. 7 | 265.5 | 296.4 | 267.2 | 231.3 | 188. 7 | 200.2 | 93.2 |
| Wisconsin | 41.0 | 37.9 | 30.4 | 29.3 | 31.6 | 37.7 | 38.9 | 38.9 | 43.7 | 52.1 | 56.5 | 53. 2 | 48.4 | 41.5 | 23.2 |
| West North Centra | 145.5 | 105. 2 | 77. 7 | 71.1 | 78.7 | 85.8 | 96.6 | 104.6 | 127.3 | 167.2 | 188.2 | 185.2 | 162.1 | 120.4 | 80.0 |
| Minnesota. | 45.7 | 33. 4 | 22.3 | 18.8 | 20.4 | 24.8 | 27.8 | 31.4 | 40.0 | 53.6 | 58.1 | 56.0 | 50.1 | 36.3 | 22.6 |
| Iowa | 14.6 | 9.3 | 6.1 | 5.1 | 5.6 | 7.3 | 8.8 | 9.4 | 11.7 | 15.9 | 20.9 | 22.8 | 18.8 | 11.8 | 8.9 |
| Missouri | 49.9 | 37.8 | 33.6 | 34.9 | 40.0 | 38.0 | 43.5 | 47.4 | 54.9 | 64.4 | 63.7 | 61.2 | 56. 2 | 47.9 | 30.3 |
| North Dakot | 6.7 | 5. 0 | 1. 9 | . 6 | . 5 | . 7 | 1.0 | 1. 2 | 1.9 | 4.6 | 7.5 | 7.9 | 6. 7 | 3.3 | 2.4 |
| South Dakot | 3. 8 | 2.4 | 1.0 | +. 5 | . .5 | . 6 | + 7 | . 8 | 1. 2 | 2. 6 | 4.3 | 4. 5 | 3.8 | 1.9 | 1.7 |
| Nebraska | 9.3 | 6.1 | 3.8 | 2. 8 | 3.0 | 3.6 | 4. 2 | 4.2 | 5. 3 | 85 | 12.4 | 12. 4 | 10.1 | 6.3 | 5. 4 |
| Kansas. | 15.5 | 11.2 | 8.9 | 8.4 | 8.6 | 10.8 | 10.5 | 10.1 | 12.3 | 17.6 | 21.2 | 20.3 | 16.6 | 13.0 | 8.6 |
| South Atlanti | 270.5 | 213.1 | 184.0 | 186.7 | 207.1 | 240.9 | 281.7 | 285.0 | 310.8 | 326.2 | 313.7 | 306.1 | 283.5 | 261. 3 | 154. 7 |
| Delaware | 6.5 | 5.1 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 5. 7 | 5.8 | 5.3 | 6.2 | 6.9 | 6. 5 | 6.4 | 5. 4 | 5.3 | 3.1 |
| Maryland | 47.0 | 37.3 | 30.1 | 28.7 | 30.9 | 35.0 | 38.6 | 39.7 | 42.9 | 46.5 | 47.3 | 47.2 | 41.9 | 38.8 | 17. 7 |
| District of C | 8.3 | 6.7 | 6. 0 | 5.8 | 6.0 | 6.8 | 7.2 | 7.2 | 7.8 | 8.9 | 10.0 | 10.3 | 8. 6 | 7.6 | 5. 3 |
| Virginia | 27.2 | 18.3 | 15.0 | 13.8 | 16.2 | 20.6 | 26.1 | 27.3 | 29.3 | 31.6 | 33.2 | 33.8 | 28. 1 | 24.4 | 13. 7 |
| West Virginia | 37.3 | 29.6 | 26.4 | 27.5 | 32.1 | 38.4 | 43.8 | 47.6 | 52.7 | 52.1 | 47.8 | 44.6 | 36.8 | 39.9 | 14.1 |
| North Carolina | 51.7 | 42.3 | 34. 4 | 32. 2 | 34.3 | 41.7 | 54.9 | 55.9 | 63.5 | 68.5 | 66.5 | 66.7 | 64.3 | 52.0 | 39.3 |
| South Carolina | 20.4 | 14.9 | 13.5 | 13.6 | 14.7 | 16.4 | 20.9 | 20.0 | 22.5 | 23.8 | 22.5 | 23.0 | 26.2 | 19.4 | 15.2 |
| Georgia | 40.1 | 31.4 | 27.5 | 28. 1 | 31.6 | 36.4 | 44.9 | 46.3 | 50.5 | 52.5 | 47.9 | 46.0 | 45.8 | 40.7 | 27.5 |
| Florida | 32.2 | 27.5 | 27.7 | 33.5 | 37.4 | 39.9 | 39.5 | 35.7 | 35. 2 | 35.4 | 32.1 | 27.9 | 26.4 | 33.2 | 18.7 |
| East South Cen | 137.6 | 112.8 | 100.6 | 99.1 | 111.0 | 131.7 | 155. 9 | 165.0 | 188. 1 | 200.5 | 196.3 | 200. 1 | 177.0 | 152.8 | 110.9 |
| Kentucky | 36.2 | 29.1 | 25.9 | 28.1 | 33.8 | 41.6 | 49.8 | 54.1 | 61.3 | 66.1 | 60.6 | 57.4 | 47. 5 | 46.2 | 33.1 |
| Tennessee | 48.6 | 38.6 | 34.6 | 32.4 | 35.9 | 42.2 | 50.5 | 52.7 | 59.6 | 64.0 | 65.1 | 68.8 | 65.5 | 50.7 | 40.2 |
| Alabama | 33.4 | 30.5 | 28.8 | 27.7 | 29.0 | 33.1 | 38.4 | 37.9 | 44.2 | 46. 1 | 45.9 | 47.3 | 40.9 | 37.4 | 22. 6 |
| Mississippi. | 19.5 | 14.7 | 11.4 | 10.8 | 12.2 | 14.8 | 17.2 | 203 | 23. 0 | 24.2 | 24.7 | 26.6 | 23.1 | 18.5 | 15.0 |
| West South Centra | 147.2 | 115.5 | 102.3 | 101.4 | 110.1 | 120.7 | 129.9 | 133.6 | 153.8 | 165.0 | 1588 | 147.1 | 126. 6 | 130.2 | 72.1 |
| Arkansas. | 23.6 | 18.0 | 14.3 | 12. 6 | 12.9 | 15. 5 | 17.8 | 18.8 | 24.2 | 27.5 | 26. 4 | 27.8 | 25. 5 | 20.1 | 14.8 |
| Louisiana | 36.0 | 26.8 | 23.7 | 24.4 | 25.9 | 26, 2 | 27.3 | 26.8 | 29.5 | 29.8 | 28.4 | 27.5 | 23.8 | 26.7 | 13.2 |
| Oklahoma | 23.0 | 18.2 | 15.7 | 14.1 | 15.2 | 17.4 | 19.0 | 20.0 | 23.9 | 27.6 | 28.2 | 25.8 | 21.0 | 20.5 | 12.7 |
| Texas. | 64.6 | 52.5 | 48.7 | 50.3 | 56.1 | 61.6 | 65.6 | 68.0 | 76.1 | 80.1 | 75.9 | 66.0 | 56.2 | 63.0 | 31.4 |
| Mountain | 66.7 | 51.0 | 39.1 | 30.2 | 32.3 | 36.0 | 38.7 | 41. 1 | 51.7 | 72.5 | 86.5 | 90.2 | 77.1 | 53.6 | 34.5 |
| Montan | 13.0 | 9.1 | 6.0 | 4.0 | 3.8 | 4.1 | 5. 0 | 5. 9 | 7.8 | 12.0 | 16.6 | 17.9 | 15. 0 | 8. 9 | 6.3 |
| Idaho. | 10.2 | 8.1 | 4.9 | 2. 7 | 2.8 | 3. 4 | 3.3 | 3. 0 | 4.1 | 6. 9 | 10.1 | 12.6 | 12.4 | 6. 2 | 5.2 |
| W yoming | 4.0 | 2. 6 | 1.6 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1. 4 | 1.6 | 2. 0 | 2. 6 | 3. 9 | 4.4 | 4.3 | 3.7 | 2. 5 | 1.7 |
| Colorado | 10.9 | 8. 4 | 7. 0 | 5. 4 | 6. 7 | 6. 1 | 5. 9 | 6.8 | 9.4 | 13.5 | 15.8 | 16.0 | 11.7 | 9.3 | 5.1 |
| New Mexico | 5.2 | 4.1 | 3,6 | 3. 4 | 3.4 | 4.3 | 4.6 | 4.8 | 5.7 | 7.3 | 7.6 | 7.3 | 6.1 | 5. 2 | 3.5 |
| Arizons | 9.0 | 7. 8 | 7.4 | 7.2 | 7.9 | 9.1 | 9.6 | 9.1 | 10.2 | 12.7 | 13. 4 | 12.4 | 10.5 | 9.7 | 5. 5 |
| Utah | 8. 9 | 6.2 | 4.5 | 3. 4 | 4.0 | 4.9 | 5. 6 | 6. 0 | 7.4 | 10.2 | 11.7 | 12. 4 | 10.9 | 7.2 | 4.5 |
| Nevada | 5.5 | 4.8 | 4.1 | 3.0 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 3.2 | 3. 6 | 4.5 | 6.0 | 6. 8 | 7.3 | 6.8 | 4.6 | 2.8 |
| Prefic. | 314.8 | 267.8 | 234.9 | 195.8 | 212.3 | 227.1 | 244.4 | 260.5 | 311.0 | 384.1 | 413.7 | 420.0 | 389.1 | 295. 9 | 180.3 |
| Washington | 60.7 | 55.9 | 46.6 | 35.9 | 35.9 | 37.9 | 32.4 | 25.3 | 35.1 | 47.6 | 59.2 | 68.1 | 72. 1 | 46.0 | 33.3 |
| Oregon | 36.2 | 30.8 | 24.2 | 16.7 | 16.9 | 17.8 | 16.8 | 15.3 | 20.7 | 31.1 | 39.8 | 45.2 | 48. 7 | 26.9 | 22.9 |
| Calfornia. | 217.9 | 181.0 | 164.1 | 142.3 | 159.5 | 171.3 | 195.1 | 220.0 | 255.2 | 305.4 | 314.6 | 306. 6 | 268.2 | 222.9 | 124.1 |

${ }^{1}$ A verage of weekly data adjusted for split weeks in the month. Figures may not add to totals because of rounding.

Table A-7. Unemployment insurance and employment service programs, selected operations ${ }^{1}$
[All items except average benefits amounts are in thousands]

| Item | 1959 | 1958 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1957Jan. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Jan. | Dec. | Nov. | Oct. | Sept. | Aug. | July | June | May | Apr. | Mar. | Feb. | Jan. |  |
| Employment service: <br> New applications for work <br> Nonfarm placements. | 896 398 | 737 406 | 740 413 | 775 514 | 776 545 | 725 489 | 812 459 | 979 456 | 866 439 | 954 404 | 951 332 | 999 312 | 1, 101 | $\begin{aligned} & 898 \\ & 433 \end{aligned}$ |
| State unemployment insurance programs: ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Initial claims ${ }^{\text {8 }}$. | 1,790 | 1,924 | 1,258 | 1,259 | 1,186 | 1,251 | 1,659 | 1,513 | 1,538 | 1,983 | 1,795 | 1,815 | 2, 285 | 1,565 |
| Insured anemployment 4 (average weekly volume) | 2, 518 | 2,111 | 1,781 | 1,722 | 1,906 | 2, 203 | 2,511 | 2,667 | 2,984 | 3, 302 | 3,276 | 3,163 | 2,877 | 1,737 |
| Rate of insured unemployment ${ }^{\text {- }}$ | 6.0 | 5.1 | 4.3 | 4.1 | 4.5 | 5. 2 | 6.0 | 6.3 | 7.1 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 7.6 | 6.9 | 4.4 |
| Weeks of unemployment compensated | 9,532 | 7,997 | 5,939 | 7,157 | 7,776 | 8,583 | 10,277 | 10,879 | 12,020 | 13,055 | 12,457 | 10,793 | 10,780 | 6,680 |
| A verage weekly benefit amount for total unemployment. Total benefits paid | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 30.50 \\ \$ 279,461 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|} \$ 30.41 \\ \$ 234,683 \end{array}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{r} \$ 30.46 \\ \$ 174,470 \end{array}\right\| \$$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 30.45 \\ \$ 210,300 \end{array}$ | $\$ 30.66$ \$231, 141 | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 30.50 \\ \$ 255,432 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 30.62 \\ \$ 305,638 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 30.80 \\ \$ 325,039 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 30.80 \\ \$ 363,550 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 30.88 \\ \$ 403,845 \end{array}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{r} \$ 30.53 \\ \$ 370,248 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 30.48 \\ \$ 320,181 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 30.09 \\ \$ 313,012 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 27.73 \\ \$ 177,598 \end{array}$ |
| Unemployment compensation for veterans: ${ }^{6}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 13 | 14 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 19 | 30 | 38 | 24 | 27 | 30 | 31 | 37 | 31 |
| Insured unemployment \& (average weekly volume) | 31 | 28 | 26 | 27 | 39 | 53 | 78 | 78 | 74 | 80 | 81 | 72 | 58 | 45 |
| Weeks of unemployment compensated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 345 |  |  | -206 |
|  | \$3, 486 | \$3,311 | 2,693 | \$3, 391 | \$5,047 | \$6, 553 | \$10, 151 | \$8,853 | \$8, 922 | \$9,833 | \$9,285 | \$7,546 | \$6, 924 | \$5, 572 |
| Rallroad unemployment insurance: <br> Applications ${ }^{8}$ | 17 | 22 | 20 | 17 | 20 | 21 | 117 | 80 | 17 | 20 | 24 | 27 | 43 | 19 |
| Insured unemployment (average weekly volume) | 122 | 125 | 121 | 113 | 118 | 119 | 128 | 101 | 128 | 146 | 149 | 140 | 135 | 68 |
| Number of payments ${ }^{\text {a }}$ - | 311 | 287 | 229 | 272 | 260 | 286 | 250 | 252 | 307 | 338 | 319 | 284 | 309 | 165 |
| A verage amount of benefit payment 9 | \$65. 68 | \$69.31 | \$70. 15 | \$69. 91 | \$70. 35 | \$69.60 | \$59. 44 | \$66. 85 | \$67. 27 | \$68. 59 | \$67.86 | $\$ 67.52$ | $\$ 65.07$ | $\$ 58.65$ |
| Total benefits paid ${ }^{10}$ | \$20, 345 | \$19,755 | \$16,030 | \$19,076 | \$18,144 | \$19,861 | \$14,735 | \$16,651 | \$20, 574 | \$23,153 | \$21, 626 | $\$ 19,093$ | $\$ 20,127$ | $\$ 9,772$ |
| All programs: ${ }^{11}$ Insured unemployment 4. | 2, 729 | 2,307 | 1,957 | 1,863 | 2, 062 | 2,374 | 2,717 | 2,847 | 3,186 | 3, 527 | 3,505 | 3, 375 | 3,065 | 1,850 |

${ }^{1}$ A verage weekly insured unemployment excludes territories; other items Include them.
${ }^{2}$ Data include activities under the program of Unemployment Compensation for Federal Employees (UCFE), which became effective on January 1,

## 1955.

s An initial claim is a notice filed by a worker at the beginning of a period of unemployment which establishes the starting date for any insured un employment which may result if he is unemployed for 1 week or longer.

- Number of workers reporting the completion of at least 1 week of unemployment.
${ }_{s}$ The rate of insured unemployment is the number of insured unemployed expressed as a percent of the average covered employment in a 12 -month period.
${ }^{6}$ Based on claims flled under the Veterans' Readjustment Assistance Act of 1952. Excludes claims filed by veterans to supplement State, UCFE, or railroad unemployment insurance benefits.
' Federal portion only of benefits paid jointly with other programs. Weekly benefit amount for total unemployment is set by law at $\$ 26$.
${ }^{8}$ An application for benefits is fled by a railroad worker at the beginning of his first period of unemployment in a benefit year; no application is required for subsequent periods in the same year
${ }^{9}$ Payments are for unemployment in 14-day registration periods; the average amount is an average for all compensable periods. Not adjusted for recovery of overpayments or settlement of underpayments.
${ }^{10}$ Adjusted for recovery of overpayments and settlement of underpayments.
${ }^{11}$ Represents an unduplicated count of insured unemployment under the State, UCFE, and Veterans' Programs, and that covered by the Railroad Unemployment Insurance Act. Beginning with November 1958, includes data for ex-servicemen under the program of Unemployment Compensation for Ex-servicemen, effective October 27, 1958.
Source: U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Employment Security for all items except rallroad unemployment insurance, which are prepared by the U.S. Railroad Retirement Board.

The ${ }^{17}$ labor turnover tables ( $\mathrm{B}-1$ and $\mathrm{B}-2$ ) have been dropped from the Review pending a general revision of the Current Labor Statistics section because, beginning with January 1959 data, the categories for which labor turnover rates are published differ from those previously published. Current data are available monthly in Employment and Earnings or may be obtained upon request.
C.-Earnings and Hours

Table C-1. Hours and gross earnings of production or nonsupervisory workers, by industry ${ }^{1}$

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Year and month \& Avg. wkly. earnings \& Avg. wkly. hours \& Avg. brly. earnings \& Avg. wkly. earnings \& A Vg . wkly. hours \& Avg. hrly. earnings \& Avg. wkly. earntngs \& Avg. wkly. hours \& Avg. brly. earnings \& Avg. wkly. earnings \& Avg. wkly. hours \& Avg. hrly. earnings \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Avg. \\
wkly. earnings
\end{tabular} \& Avg. wkly. hours \& Avg. hrly. ings \& Avg. wkly. earn ings \& Avg. wkly. hours \& Avg. hrly. earnings \\
\hline \& \multicolumn{18}{|c|}{Mining} \\
\hline \& \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{Total: Mining}} \& \& \& \& \& \& Me \& tal \& \& \& \& \& \& \& Coal \& \\
\hline \& \& \& \& \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Total: Metal} \& \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Iron} \& \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Copper} \& \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Lead and zinc} \& \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Anthracite \({ }^{1}\)} \\
\hline 1956: A verage.------ \& \$98.81 \& 41.0 \& \$2. 41 \& \$96.83 \& 42.1 \& \$2. 30 \& \$96. 71 \& 39.8 \& \$2. 43 \& \$100. 28 \& 43.6 \& \$2. 30 \& \$89. 24 \& 41.7 \& \$2. 14 \& \$78. 96 \& 32.9 \& \$2.40 \\
\hline 1957: A verage....-.-- \& 102. 21 \& 40.4 \& 2. 53 \& 98.74 \& 40.8 \& 2. 42 \& 103.49 \& 39.5 \& 2. 62 \& 97. 75 \& 40.9 \& 2. 39 \& 88.97 \& 41.0 \& 2.17 \& 81.79 \& 31. 1 \& 2.63 \\
\hline 1958: January \& 99.72 \& 38.8 \& 2. 57 \& 97. 27 \& 39.7 \& 2.45 \& 98. 19 \& 36. 5 \& 2. 69 \& 98.25 \& 40.6 \& 2. 42 \& 86. 24 \& 40.3 \& 2.14 \& 81. 74 \& 30.5 \& 2.68 \\
\hline March \& 98.81 \& 38.3
37 \& 2. 58 \& 96. 78 \& \({ }_{39} 39.5\) \& 2. 45 \& 99. 63 \& 36.9 \& 2.70 \& 95. 52 \& 39.8 \& 2. 40 \& 84.50 \& 39.3 \& 2.15 \& 73.70 \& 27.5 \& 2.68 \\
\hline April \& 97. 62 \& 37.9
37.4 \& 2. 2.53 \& 95.40
92.93 \& 39.1
38.4 \& 2.44 \& \({ }^{96.93}\) \& 35.9
34 \& 2. 270 \& 94. 96 \& 39.9 \& 2.38 \& 85.10 \& 39.4 \& 2. 16 \& 66. 25 \& 25.0 \& 2.65 \\
\hline May. \& 96.01 \& 38.1 \& 2. 52 \& 91.10 \& 37.8 \& 2. 41 \& 94.23 \& 34.8
34.9 \& 2. 70 \& 88. 22 \& 39.2
37 \& 2.38 \& 84.74 \& 39.6 \& 2. 14 \& 58. 65 \& 22.3 \& 2. 63 \\
\hline June. \& 101.89 \& 39.8 \& 2.56 \& 92.34 \& 38.0 \& 2. 43 \& 98.28 \& 36.4 \& 2.70 \& 85. 56 \& 36.1 \& 2. 37 \& 86.03 \& 40.2 \& 2.14 \& 80. 96 \& 25.8 \& 2. 62 \\
\hline July. \& 99.96 \& 39.2 \& 2. 55 \& 96.13 \& 38.3 \& 2. 51 \& 104. 43 \& 36.9 \& 2.83 \& 89.78 \& 37.1 \& 2. 42 \& 86.55 \& 39.7 \& 2. 18 \& 79.77 \& 30.8 \& 2. 62 \\
\hline August \& 101. 24 \& 39.7 \& 2.55 \& 95.63 \& 37.8 \& 2.53 \& 105. 28 \& 37.2 \& 2.83 \& 87.71 \& 35.8 \& 2. 45 \& 83.16 \& \({ }_{38.5}\) \& 2.16 \& 74.59 \& 30.8
28.8 \& 2.59
2.59 \\
\hline Septembe \& 102. 14 \& 39.9 \& 2.56 \& 98.04 \& 38.6 \& 2.54 \& 104.80 \& 36.9 \& 2.84 \& 94.67 \& 38.8 \& 2. 44 \& 83.16 \& 37. 8 \& 2. 20 \& 80.08 \& 28.8
30.8 \& 2. 60 \\
\hline October \& 102. 40 \& 40.0 \& 2.56 \& 98.30 \& 38.7 \& 2. 54 \& 101. 03 \& 35.7 \& 2.83 \& 99.79 \& 40.4 \& 2. 47 \& 87.42 \& 40.1 \& 2.18 \& 77. 52 \& 29.7 \& 2. 61 \\
\hline November \& 103. 60 \& 40.0 \& 2. 59 \& 100.84 \& 39.7 \& 2.54 \& 102.60 \& 36.0 \& 2.85 \& 105.75 \& 42.3 \& 2. 50 \& 89. 02 \& 40.1 \& 2.22 \& 78.04 \& 29.9 \& 2. 61 \\
\hline December \& 105. 56 \& 40.6 \& 2. 60 \& 101. 24 \& 39.7 \& 2. 55 \& 101. 82 \& 35.6 \& 2.86 \& 103. 42 \& 41.7 \& 2. 48 \& 92. 29 \& 41.2 \& 2. 24 \& 93.19 \& 35.3 \& 2. 64 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{1959: January .--------} \& 106. 13 \& 40.2 \& 2.64 \& 104. 60 \& 40.7 \& 2. 57 \& 108.77 \& 37.9 \& 2.87 \& 107. 50 \& 43.0 \& 2. 50 \& 91. 76 \& 40.6 \& 2. 26 \& 90.44 \& 34.0 \& 2.66 \\
\hline \& \multicolumn{9}{|c|}{Mining-Continued} \& \multicolumn{9}{|c|}{Contract construction} \\
\hline \& \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Coal-Continued} \& \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{Petroleum and nat-ural-gas production (except contract services)}} \& \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{Nonmetallic mining and quarrying}} \& \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{Total: Contract construction}} \& \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{Nonbuilding construction} \\
\hline \& \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Bituminous} \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Total: Nonbuilding construction} \& \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Highway and street construction} \\
\hline 1956: A verage \& \$106. 22 \& 37.8 \& \$2.81 \& \$101. 68 \& 41.0 \& \$2. 48 \& \$85. 63 \& 44.6 \& \$1. 92 \& \$101. 83 \& 37.3 \& \$2. 73 \& \$101. 59 \& 40.8 \& \$2. 49 \& \$97. 63 \& 41.9 \& \$2. 33 \\
\hline 1957: Average \& 110. 53 \& 36.6 \& 3.02 \& 106. 75 \& 40.9 \& 2.61 \& 87.80 \& 43.9 \& 2.00 \& 106. 64 \& 36.9 \& \& 105. 07 \& 39.8 \& 2. 64 \& 98.66 \& 40.6 \& 2. 43 \\
\hline 1958: January \& 103. 36 \& 34.0 \& 3.
3.

04 \& | 110.56 |
| :--- |
| 110 |
| 8 | \& 41.1 \& 2.69 \& 84.25 \& 41.5 \& 2.03 \& 107. 10 \& 35.7 \& 3. 00 \& 103. 79 \& 38.3 \& 2. 71 \& 92.96 \& 38.1 \& 2.44 <br>

\hline March \& 96.37 \& 31.7 \& 3.04 \& 110.97 \& 41.1 \& 2.70 \& 83.22 \& 41.2 \& 2.03 \& 100. 44 \& 33.4
35.6 \& 2. 291 \& 96.21
1019 \& ${ }_{37}^{35.5}$ \& 2.71 \& ${ }_{88}^{85.26}$ \& 34.8 \& 2. 45 <br>
\hline April \& 90.60 \& 30.0 \& 3.02 \& 108.81 \& 40.6 \& 2.68 \& 85.45 \& 42.3 \& 2.02 \& 107. 88 \& 36.2 \& 2.98 \& 103. 45 \& 38.6 \& 2.68 \& 94.57 \& 38.6 \& 2.45 <br>
\hline May \& 93.30 \& 31.1 \& 3.00 \& 107. 06 \& 40.4 \& 2. 65 \& 89.59 \& 43.7 \& 2.05 \& 111.08 \& 37.4 \& 2. 97 \& 110.56 \& 41.1 \& 2.69 \& 105. 84 \& 42.0 \& 2.52 <br>
\hline June \& 106. 30 \& 35.2 \& 3.02 \& 110.57 \& 40.8 \& 2.71 \& 91.49 \& 44.2 \& 2.07 \& 110. 11 \& 37.2 \& 2.96 \& 108.67 \& 40.7 \& 2.67 \& 103. 25 \& 41.3 \& 2.50 <br>
\hline July--- \& 97.85
105.90 \& 32.4
35.3 \& 3.02
3.00 \& 110.83 \& 41.2 \& 2.69
2
266 \& 91. 94 \& 44.2 \& 2. 08 \& 111.90 \& 37.3 \& 3. 00 \& 110. 57 \& 40.8 \& 2.71 \& 106. 50 \& 41.6 \& 2.56 <br>
\hline Septemb \& 106. 55 \& 35.4 \& 3.01 \& 110.02 \& 40.1 \& 2. 66 \& 93. 39 \& 44.9 \& 2.08 \& 113.70 \& 37.9 \& 3.00 \& 114. 66 \& 42.0 \& 2.73 \& 112. 31 \& 43.7 \& 2.57 <br>
\hline October. \& 107.76 \& 35.8 \& 3.01 \& 107. 60 \& 40.3 \& 2.67 \& ${ }_{95.37}$ \& 45.2 \& 2.10 \& 115.91 \& 37.8 \& 3. 04 \& 117.3 \& 42. \& 2.78 \& 114.23 \& 43.6 \& 2.62 <br>
\hline November \& 107. 31 \& 35.3 \& 3.04 \& 112.06 \& 41.2 \& 2. 72 \& 92.84 \& 44.0 \& 2.11 \& 110. 66 \& 36. 4 \& 3. 04 \& 108. 11 \& 42.7 \& 2.78 \& 117.04 \& 44.5 \& 2.63 <br>
\hline December \& 115. 82 \& 38.1 \& 3.04 \& 108. 54 \& 40.5 \& 2.68 \& 89.67 \& 42.1 \& 2.13 \& 109. 43 \& 36.1
35.3 \& 3. 10 \& 105. 36 \& 39.6
37.9 \& 2.78 \& 102.62

93.98 \& | 40.4 |
| :--- |
| 37 | \& 2. 54 <br>

\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{1959: January} \& 114. 71 \& 36.3 \& 3.16 \& 111.78 \& 41.4 \& 2. 70 \& 89.04 \& 42.0 \& 2.12 \& 110.98 \& 35.8 \& 3.10 \& 105.11 \& 38.5 \& 2. 73 \& ${ }_{93.21}$ \& \& <br>
\hline \& \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Nonbuilding construction-Con.} \& \multicolumn{15}{|c|}{Building construction} <br>
\hline \& \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{Other nonbuilding construction}} \& \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{Total: Building construction}} \& \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{\multirow[b]{2}{*}{General contractors}} \& \multicolumn{9}{|c|}{Special-trade contractors} <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Total: Specialtrade contractors} \& \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Plumbing and heating} \& \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Painting and decorating} <br>
\hline 1956: A verage. \& \$104. 94 \& 39.9 \& \$2. 63 \& \$101. 92 \& 36.4 \& \$2.80 \& \$95. 04 \& 36.0 \& \$2. 64 \& \$107. 16 \& 36.7 \& \$2. 92 \& \$112.31 \& 38.2 \& \$2. 94 \& \$99.81 \& 34.9 \& <br>
\hline 1957: Average \& 110.15 \& 39.2 \& 2.81 \& 106. 86 \& 36.1 \& 2. 96 \& 98.89 \& 35.7 \& 2.77 \& 112.17 \& 36.3 \& 3. 09 \& 118.87 \& 38.1 \& 3.12 \& 103.75 \& 34.7 \& 2. 99 <br>
\hline 1958: January \& 110. 59 \& 38.4 \& 2.88 \& 108. 06 \& 35. 2 \& 3. 07 \& 100.39 \& 35.1 \& 2.86 \& 112.29 \& 35. 2 \& 3.19 \& 122.36 \& 38.0 \& 3.22 \& 102.94 \& 33.1 \& 3.11 <br>
\hline Februar \& 102.96 \& 36.0
38.3 \& 2.86 \& 101. 64 \& 33.0 \& 3.08 \& 91. 58 \& 31.8 \& 2.88 \& 107. 18 \& 33.6 \& 3. 19 \& 117.85 \& 36.6 \& 3.22 \& 100.78 \& 32.3 \& 3.12 <br>
\hline March \& 110.30
110.01 \& 38.3
38.6 \& 2.88 2.85 \& ${ }^{107.71}$ \& 35.2
35.5 \& 3.06
3.06 \& 100.04
101.60 \&  \& 2.85
2.87 \& 112.29 \& 35.2 \& 3. 19 \& 120. 80 \& 37.4 \& 3. 23 \& 103. 80 \& 33.7 \& 3.08 <br>
\hline May. \& 115. 26 \& 40.3 \& 2.86 \& 111.08 \& 36.3 \& 3. 06 \& 105. 12 \& 35.4
36.5 \& 2.88 28 \& 115. 12 \& 35.6
36.2 \& 3.18 \& ${ }^{121.77}$ \& 37.7
37 \& 3. 23 \& 106.91 \& 34.6 \& 3.09 <br>
\hline June \& 114.57 \& 40.2 \& 2.85 \& 110.77 \& 36.2 \& 3.06 \& 103.46 \& 36.3 \& 2.85 \& 115.16 \& 36.1 \& 3.19 \& 122.47 \& ${ }_{37} 37$ \& 3. 21 \& 106.79 \& 34.9 \& 3.06 <br>
\hline July- \& 114.51 \& 39.9 \& 2.87 \& 112.17 \& 36.3 \& 3.09 \& 104. 54 \& 36.3 \& 2.88 \& 11689 \& 36.3 \& 3.22 \& 124.64 \& 37.8
38.0 \& 3. ${ }^{38} 8$ \& 108. 42 \& 35.2 \& 3.06
3.08 <br>
\hline August \& 116.87 \& 40.3 \& 2.90 \& 113. 40 \& 36.7 \& 3.09 \& 106. 48 \& 37.1 \& 2.87 \& 117.90 \& 36.5 \& 3.23 \& 124.97 \& 38.1 \& 3.28
3.28 \& 110. 76 \& 35.5 \& 3.08
3.12 <br>
\hline September \& 120. 07 \& 40.7 \& 2.95 \& 114. 25 \& 36.5 \& 3. 13 \& 105. 56 \& 36.4 \& 2.90 \& 118.99 \& 36.5 \& 3.26 \& 126. 39 \& 38.3 \& 3.30 \& 110. 25 \& 35.0 \& <br>
\hline October.- \& 120. 66 \& 40.9 \& 2.95 \& 115.18 \& 36.8 \& 3.13 \& 107. 01 \& 36.9 \& 2.90 \& 119.64 \& 36.7 \& 3.26 \& 126.39 \& 38.3 \& 3.30 \& 110. 92 \& 35.1 \& 3.16 <br>
\hline November \& 113. 59 \& 38.9 \& 2. 92 \& 111. 16 \& 35.4 \& 3. 14 \& 103. 37 \& 35.4 \& 2.92 \& 115.73 \& 35.5 \& 3.26 \& 121.77 \& 36.9 \& 3.30 \& 108. 73 \& 34.3 \& 3.17 <br>
\hline 1959. December--- \& 114. 55 \& 38.7 \& 2.96 \& 110. 37 \& 34.6 \& 3.19 \& 99.12 \& 33.6 \& 2. 95 \& 116. 51 \& 35. 2 \& 3.31 \& 127. 59 \& 38.2 \& 3.34 \& 109.10 \& 34.2 \& 3.19 <br>
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{1959: January ..-.-.--} \& 114.07 \& 38.8 \& 2. 94 \& 112. 29 \& 35.2 \& 3.19 \& 103.30 \& 34.9 \& 2. 96 \& 116.84 \& 35.3 \& 3.31 \& 127.97 \& 38.2 \& 3.35 \& 107.84 \& 33.7 \& 3. 20 <br>
\hline \& \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{Building construction-Continued} \& \multicolumn{12}{|c|}{Manufacturing} <br>
\hline \& \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Special-trade contractors-Continued} \& \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{\multirow[b]{2}{*}{Total: Manufacturing}} \& \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{\multirow[b]{2}{*}{Durable goods}} \& \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{\multirow[b]{2}{*}{Nondurable goods}} \& \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Durable goods} <br>
\hline \& \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Electrical work} \& \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Other specialtrade contractors} \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Total: Ordnance and accessories} <br>
\hline 1956: A verage \& \$125. 22 \& 39.5 \& \$3.17 \& \$102. 39 \& 35.8 \& \$2. 86 \& \$79. 99 \& 40.4 \& \$1. 98 \& \$86. 31 \& 41.1 \& \$2.10 \& \$71.10 \& 39.5 \& \$1.80 \& \$91. 54 \& 41.8 \& <br>
\hline 1957: Average \& 132. 10 \& 39. 2 \& 3. 37 \& 106. 30 \& 35. 2 \& 3. 02 \& 82.38 \& 39.8 \& 2.07 \& 88.66 \& 40.3 \& 2. 20 \& 73.51 \& 39.1 \& 1.88 \& 95.47 \& 40.8 \& 2.34 <br>
\hline 1958: January-.. \& 132. 35 \& 38.7 \& 3.42 \& 104.54 \& 33.4 \& 3.13 \& 81.66 \& 38.7 \& 2.11 \& 87.14 \& 38.9 \& 2.24 \& 73.54 \& 38.3 \& 1. 92 \& 100.77 \& 41.3 \& 2.44 <br>
\hline February \& 128. 25 \& 37.5 \& 3. 42 \& 97. 34 \& 31.3 \& 3. 11 \& 80.64 \& 38.4 \& 2. 10 \& 86.46 \& 38.6 \& 2.24 \& 73.15 \& 38.1 \& 1. 92 \& 99. 06 \& 40.6 \& 2.44 <br>
\hline March. \& 132.17
1332 \& 38.2
38.2 \& 3. 46 \& 105. 43 \& 33.9
34.4 \& 3.11
3.10 \& 81.45
80.81 \& 38.6
38.3 \& 2.11 \& 87.75
87 \& 39.0
38.8 \& 2.25 \& 73. 53 \& 38.1 \& 1.93 \& 99. 72 \& 40.7 \& 2.45 <br>
\hline May. \& 135. 52 \& 38.5 \& 3. 52 \& 110.09 \& 35.4
35.4 \& 3.11 \& 82.04 \& 38.7
38.7 \& 2.12 \& 87.30 \& 38.8
39.1 \& 2.25
2.26 \& 73. 14 \& 37.7
38.1 \& 1.94 \& 100.12
99 \& 40.7 \& 2. 46 <br>
\hline June. \& 136.68 \& 38.5 \& 3.55 \& 109.51 \& 35.1 \& 3.12 \& 83.10 \& 39.2 \& 2.12 \& 89.89 \& 39.6 \& 2.27 \& 75.08 \& 38.7 \& 1.94 \& 100.94 \& 40.7 \& 2. 46
2.48 <br>
\hline July. \& 137. 11 \& 38. 3 \& 3.58 \& 111. 51 \& 35. 4 \& 3.15 \& 83.50 \& 39.2 \& 2.13 \& 89.83 \& 39.4 \& 2.28 \& 75.66 \& 39.0 \& 1. 94 \& 100.94 \& 40.7 \& 2.48 <br>
\hline August \& 136. 76 \& 38.2 \& 3.58 \& 112.46 \& 35.7 \& 3.15 \& 84.35 \& 39.6 \& 2.13 \& 91. 14 \& 39.8 \& 2.29 \& 76.04 \& 39.4 \& 1.93 \& 100.69 \& 40.6 \& 2. 288
2.48 <br>
\hline September-.-- \& 140.09 \& 38.7 \& 3.62 \& 113. 53 \& 35. 7 \& 3. 18 \& 85.39 \& 39.9 \& 2.14 \& 92.46 \& 40.2 \& 2.30 \& 77.03 \& 39.5 \& 1.95 \& 103.00 \& 41.2 \& 2. 50 <br>
\hline \& 140. 12 \& 38.6 \& 36.3 \& 114.12 \& 36.0 \& 3. 17 \& 85. 17 \& 39, 8 \& 2.14 \& 91.83 \& 40.1 \& 2.29 \& 76.83 \& 39.4 \& 1.95 \& 103.00 \& 41.2 \& 2. 50 <br>
\hline November---- \& 134. 66 \& 37.2 \& 3. 62 \& 110. 66 \& 34.8 \& 3. 18 \& 86. 58 \& 39.9 \& 2. 17 \& 94.30 \& 40.3 \& 2. 34 \& 77.22 \& 39.4 \& 1. 96 \& 103.16 \& 41.1 \& 2.51 <br>
\hline 1959: January \& 139.01 \& 38.4 4 \& 3. ${ }^{\text {3. }} 631$ \& 107. 24 \& 33.2
33.7 \& 3.23 \& 88. 38 \& 40.2
39.9 \& 2.19 \& 96. 29 \& 40.8
40.4 \& 2.35 ${ }^{2}$ \& 78.01
77.81 \& 39.6
39.3 \& 1. 1.98 \& 106. 43 \& 41. 9 \& 2. 54 <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& 2. 35 \& 77.81 \& 39.3 \& 1.98 \& 105. 50 \& 41.7 \& 2. 53 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

See footnotes at end of table.

Table C-1. Hours and gross earnings of production or nonsupervisory workers, by industry ${ }^{1}-$ Con.


[^46]Table C-1. Hours and gross earnings of production or nonsupervisory workers, by industry ${ }^{1}$ - Con.

| Year and month | Avg. wkly. earnings | A $\overline{\mathrm{F}}$. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnlngs | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | A Vg . wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnfings | Avg. wkly earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | A vg. hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earnIngs | Avg. wkly hours | A vg. hrly. earnings |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Manufacturing-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Durable goods-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Stone, clay, and glass products-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Glass containers |  |  | Pressed or blown glass |  |  | Glass products made of purchased glass |  |  | Cement, hydaulic |  |  | Structural clay products ${ }^{2}$ |  |  | Brick and hollow tile |  |  |
| 1956: Average | \$80. 59 | 39.7 | \$2.03 | \$77.81 | 39.7 | \$1.96 | \$69. 12 | 40.9 | \$1.69 | \$83.84 | 41.3 | \$2.03 | \$73, 44 | 40.8 | \$1.80 | \$69.97 | 41.9 | \$1.67 |
| 1957: A verage...--.-- | 85. 01 | 40. 1 | 2.12 | 81.56 | 39.4 | 2.07 | 70.67 | 39.7 | 1.78 | 87.91 | 40.7 | 2.16 | 74.61 | 39.9 | 1.87 | 69.60 | 40.7 | 1. 71 |
| 1958: January | 85. 86 | 40.5 | 2.12 | 83. 42 | 38.8 | 2.15 | 68. 92 | 38.5 | 1. 79 | 89.60 | 40.0 | 2.24 | 71.06 | 37.6 | 1.89 | 66.35 | 38.8 | 1. 71 |
| February | 86. 69 | 40.7 | 2.13 | 81. 58 | 38.3 | 2.13 | 67.30 | 37.6 | 1. 79 | 87.47 | 39.4 | 2. 22 | 69.93 | 37.0 | 1.89 | 64.81 | 37.9 | 1. 71 |
| March | 87. 29 | 40.6 | 2.15 | 83. 67 | 39.1 | 2. 14 | 68. 20 | 38.1 | 1.79 | 87. 19 | 39.1 | 2.23 | 71. 25 | 37.9 | 1.88 | 67.37 | 39.4 | 1. 71 |
| April | 86.58 87.67 | 39.9 40.4 | 2.17 | 79.92 80.14 | 37.7 37.8 | 2. 12 | 67.88 68.99 | 37.5 37.7 | 1.81 1.83 | 89.82 90.94 | 40.1 | 2.24 | 72.38 <br> 74 <br> 18 | 38.5 <br> 39 | 1.88 | 69.95 | 40.2 | 1.74 |
| June | 88.75 | 40.9 | 2.17 | 81.79 | 38.4 | 2.13 | 68.99 69.72 | 38.1 | 1.83 | 90. 94 | 40.6 40.4 | 2. 28 | 74. 28 | 39 | 1.89 1.89 | 70.82 72.80 | 407 | 1.74 |
| July | 86. 37 | 39.8 | 2.17 | 80.77 | 38.1 | 2.12 | 70.25 | 38.6 | 1.82 | 95. 24 | 40.7 | 2.34 | 76.19 | 40.1 | 1.90 | 72.63 | 41.5 | 1. 75 |
| August | 88. 07 | 40.4 | 2.18 | 82. 04 | 38.7 | 2.12 | 72.68 | 39.5 | 1.84 | 95. 58 | 40.5 | 2.36 | 77. 95 | 40.6 | 1.92 | 73.85 | 42.2 | 1. 75 |
| September | 86. 58 | 39.9 | 2.17 | 85.14 | 39.6 | 2.15 | 75.70 | 40.7 | 1.86 | 97.82 | 41.1 | 2.38 | 79.35 | 40. 9 | 1.94 | 73.33 | 41.9 | 1. 75 |
| October- | 88.73 | 40.7 | 2.18 | 86.40 | 40.0 | 2.16 | 75.07 | 40.8 | 1.84 | 96.70 | 40.8 | 2.37 | 79.15 | 40.8 | 1.94 | 74.03 | 42.3 | 1. 75 |
| November | 87.23 | 40.2 | 2.17 | 87.25 | 39.3 | 2.22 | 76.45 | 41.1 | 1.86 | 97.41 | 41.1 | 2.37 | 78.18 | 40.3 | 1.94 | 73. 39 | 41.7 | 1. 76 |
| Da. December | 86.98 | 39.9 | 2.18 | 87.12 | 39.6 | 2.20 | 77. 64 | 41.3 | 1.88 | 95.18 | 40.5 | 2.35 | 75.85 | 39.1 | 1.94 | 68. 51 | 39.6 | 1. 73 |
| 1959: January------ | 88.26 | 40.3 | 2.19 | 85.50 | 39.4 | 2.17 | 72.71 | 39.3 | 1.85 | 93.22 | 39.5 | 2.36 | 75.85 | 39.1 | 1.94 | 68.06 | 39.8 | 1.71 |
|  | Floor and wall tile |  |  | Sewer pipe |  |  | Clay refractories |  |  | Pottery and related products |  |  | Concrete, gypsum, and plaster products ${ }^{2}$ |  |  | Concrete products |  |  |
| 1956: A verage | \$73. 57 | 40.2 | \$1.83 | \$72.76 | 40.2 | \$1.81 | \$80.36 | 39. 2 | \$2.05 | \$72. 20 | 37.8 | \$1.91 | \$81. 88 | 44.5 | \$1. 84 | \$78. 75 | 45.0 | \$1.75 |
| 1957: Average | 75.81 | 39.9 | 1.90 | 73. 26 | 39.6 | 1.85 | 83.81 | 38.8 | 2. 16 | 73.48 | 37.3 | 1.97 | 82.75 | 43.1 | 1. 92 | 80.04 | 43. 5 | 1.84 |
| 1958: January | 73. 92 | 38. 5 | 1.92 | 65. 29 | 35.1 | 1.86 | 80.91 | 35.8 | 2.26 | 71.86 | 35.4 | 2.03 | 81.54 | 41.6 | 1.96 | 78.81 | 41.7 | 1.89 |
| February | 73. 54 | 38.5 | 1.91 | 65. 45 | 35.0 | 1.87 | 78. 08 | 34.7 | 2. 25 | 73.08 | 36.0 | 2.03 | 78.80 | 39.8 | 1. 98 | 74.49 | 39.0 | 1. 91 |
| March | 74. 30 | 38.9 38 | 1.91 | 65. 66 | 35.3 | 1.86 | 77.95 | 34.8 | 2. 24 | 73.24 | 35.9 | 2. 04 | 80.16 | 40.9 | 1.96 | 78.69 | 41.2 | 1. 91 |
| April | 74. 11 | 38.6 <br> 39.4 | 1.92 | 67. 69 | 36.2 | 1.87 | 78. 40 | 35.0 | 2. 24 | 71.60 | 35.1 | 2.04 | 81. 76 | 41.5 | 1. 97 | 80.64 | 42.0 | 1.92 |
| May | 76.44 77.39 | 39.4 40.1 | 1.94 1.93 | 73.34 | 38 39 39 | 1.93 | 80.19 | 35.8 | 2. 24 | 70.85 | 34.9 | 2. 03 | 85.77 | 43.1 | 1. 99 | 84. 58 | 43. 6 | 1. 94 |
| June | 77.39 77.18 | 40.1 | 1.93 1.92 | 76.82 | 39.6 39.5 | 1.94 | 83.25 | 37.0 | 2. 25 | 71. 40 | 35.0 | 2. 04 | 88. 20 | 44.1 | 2.00 | 85.94 | 44.3 | 1.94 |
| August | 78.59 | 40.3 | 1.95 | 77.81 | 39.5 39.7 | 1.96 | 86.07 87.66 | 37.1 | 2. 32 | 70.38 71.71 | 34.5 | 2. 204 | 89.49 90.50 | 44.3 44.8 | 2.02 | 86.78 87.75 | 44.5 | 1.95 |
| Septemb | 79,37 | 40.7 | 1. 95 | 79.59 | 40.4 | 1.97 | 91.72 | 38.7 | 2. 37 | 74.30 | 36.6 | 2. 03 | 90.37 | 44.3 | 2.04 | 87.47 | 44.4 | 1. 97 |
| October- | 78.99 | 40.3 | 1. 96 | 79. 60 | 40.2 | 1.98 | 91.10 | 38.6 | 2.36 | 75. 52 | 37.2 | 2.03 | 91.80 | 45.0 | 2.04 | 88.40 | 45.1 | 1. 96 |
| Novembe | 78.00 | 40.0 | 1.95 | 76. 44 | 39.0 | 1.96 | 91.15 | 38.3 | 2. 38 | 77. 29 | 37.7 | 2. 05 | 88.91 | 43.8 | 2.03 | 84.39 | 43.5 | 1. 94 |
| December | 78. 60 | 40.1 | 1. 96 | 71.76 | 36. 8 | 1.95 | 89.35 | 37.71 | 2.37 | 76. 43 | 37.1 | 2.06 | 86.51 | 42.2 | 2.05 | 80.34 | 41.2 | 1. 95 |
| 1959: January-------- | 79.18 | 40.4 | 1.96 | 72. 76 | 37.7 | 1.93 | 90.58 | 37.9 | 2.39 | 76. 54 | 36.8 | 2.08 | 86.70 | 42.5 | 2.04 | 80.70 | 41.6 | 1.94 |
|  | Stone, clay, and glass products-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Primary metal industries |  |  |
|  | Cut-stone and stone products |  |  | Miscellaneous nonmetallic mineral products ${ }^{2}$ |  |  | Abrasive products |  |  | Ashestos products |  |  | Nonclay refractories |  |  | Total: Primary metal industries |  |  |
| 1056: Average | \$69.87 | 41.1 | \$1. 70 | \$83. 23 | 40.8 | \$2. 04 | \$88. 62 | 40.1 | \$2. 21 | \$84. 65 | 41.7 | \$2.03 | \$89.38 | 39.2 | \$2. 28 | \$96. 52 | 40.9 | \$2. 36 |
| 1957: Average | 70.98 | 40.1 | 1. 77 | 86. 67 | 40.5 | 2.14 | 90.74 | 39.8 | 2. 28 | 89.87 | 41.8 | 2.15 | 90. 20 | 37.9 | 2.38 | 98.75 | 39.5 | 2. 50 |
| 1958: January | 69.74 | 39.4 | 1.77 | 84.41 | 38.9 | 2.17 | 89.09 | 38.4 | 2.32 | 84. 53 | 39.5 | 2.14 | 78.57 | 32.6 | 2. 41 | 95. 23 | 37.2 | 2. 56 |
| February | 69.38 | 39.2 | 1. 77 | 83.81 | 38.8 | 2.16 | 87.17 | 37.9 | 2. 30 | 85.36 | 39.7 | 2.15 | 81.74 | 34.2 | 2. 39 | 94.21 | 36.8 | 2. 56 |
| March. | 71. 96 | 40.2 | 1. 79 | 85. 67 | 39.3 | 2.18 | 89.01 | 38.7 | 2. 30 | 84.50 | 39.3 | 2.15 | 83.63 | 34.7 | 2.41 | 95.35 | 37.1 | 2. 57 |
| April | 73.21 | 40.9 | 1.79 | 83. 98 | 38.7 | 2.17 | 87.09 | 37.7 | 2.31 | 84.07 | 39.1 | 2.15 | 82. 69 | 34.6 | 2.39 | 95. 20 | 36.9 | 2. 58 |
| May | 74. 98 | 41.2 | 1. 82 | 84. 58 | 38. 8 | 2. 18 | 86. 95 | 37.0 | 2.35 | 86.80 | 40.0 | 2.17 | 83.78 | 35.2 | 2.38 | 96. 23 | 37.3 | 2. 58 |
| June | 74. 26 | 40.8 | 1.82 | 87. 74 | 39.7 | 2.21 | 87.89 | 37.4 | 2.35 | 90.42 | 41.1 | 2. 20 | 87.97 | 36. 5 | 2.41 | 99.96 | 38.3 | 2.61 |
| July -- | 72. 94 | 40.3 | 1. 81 | 85, 75 | 38.8 | 2. 21 | 86. 86 | 37.6 | 2. 31 | 88.75 | 39. 8 | 2. 23 | 89.67 | 36. 9 | 2. 43 | 102. 91 | 38.4 | 2.68 |
| Septembe | 75.21 | 41. | 1.83 | 89. 42 | 40.1 | 2.23 | 87. 78 | 38. 0 | 2. 31 | 95.49 | 41. 7 | 2. 29 | 92.13 | 37.0 | 2. 49 | 103.95 | 38.5 | 2. 70 |
| October.- | 75.26 | 40.9 | 1.84 | 91. 62 | 40.6 40.9 | 2. 2.24 | 92.50 95.18 | 49.75 | 2.33 2.35 | 94.39 94.21 | 41. 41 | 2. 28 | 99.18 | 39.2 | 2. 53 | 106. 74 | 39.1 | 2.73 |
| Novembe | 72. 58 | 40.1 | 1.81 | 91.80 | 40.8 | 2.25 | 95. 58 | 40.5 | 2. 36 | 92.21 | 40.8 | 2.26 | 97.64 | 38.9 | 2. 51 | 100. 108 | 38.9 39.3 | 5 |
| Decembe | 72.07 | 39.6 | 1.82 | 93, 94 | 41.2 | 2.28 | 98.88 | 41.2 | 2. 40 | 94.66 | 41.7 | 2.27 | 107.01 | 41.0 | 2.61 | 109.45 | 39.8 | 2.75 |
| 1959: January | 71.13 | 39.3 | 1.81 | 94. 16 | 41.3 | 2.28 | 98.74 | 40.8 | 2.42 | 95.99 | 42.1 | 2.28 | 99.18 | 39.2 | 2. 53 | 111.08 | 40.1 | 2.77 |
|  | Blast work | urnaces, , and ro mills ? | steel <br> lling | Blast works mills. metal ucts | furnaces 8 , and , except llurgical | , steel rolling lectro-prod- | Electro p | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ometallur } \\ & \text { products } \end{aligned}$ | rgical | Iron an | d steel ries ${ }^{2}$ | ound- | Gray | fou | ries | Malleab | ble-iron ries | ound- |
| 1956: A verage | \$102. 06 | 40.5 | \$2. 52 | \$102. 47 | 40.5 | \$2. 53 | \$88. 22 | 40.1 | \$2. 20 | \$87.34 | 41.2 | \$2. 12 | \$83.84 | 40.7 | \$2.06 | \$83. 84 | 40.5 | \$2.07 |
| 1957: Average-- | 104. 79 | 39.1 | 2.68 | 105. 18 | 39.1 | 2. 69 | 93.26 | 40.2 | 2. 32 | 87. 64 | 39.3 | 2.23 | 84.15 | 38.6 | 2. 18 | 84.63 | 39.0 | 2.17 |
| 1958: January ------- | 100. 46 | 36.4 | 2. 76 | 100. 55 | 36.3 | 2. 77 | 98.81 | 41.0 | 2. 41 | 82.31 | 36.1 | 2.28 | 78.72 | 35.3 | 2. 23 | 81.09 | 36.2 | 2.24 |
| February. | 98. 18 | 35. 7 | 2. 75 | 98.26 | 35.6 | 2. 76 | 98.23 | 41.1 | 2.39 | 82.76 | 36.3 | 2.28 | 78.94 | 35.4 | 2.23 | 84.45 | 37.7 | 2.24 |
| March. | 100.46 | 36. 4 | 2. 76 | 100. 55 | 36. 3 | 2. 77 | 96. 00 | 40.0 | 2. 40 | 82. 54 | 36. 2 | 2.28 | 79.39 | 35.6 | 2.23 | 83.17 | 36.8 | 2.26 |
| April | 100.91 101.66 | 36.3 | 2.78 | 101.00 | 36.2 | 2.79 | 99.55 | 40.8 | 2. 44 | 81.52 | 35. 6 | 2.29 | 78. 62 | 35.1 | 2. 24 | 80.33 | 35.7 | 2.25 |
| May | 101. 66 | 36.7 | 2.77 | 101. 75 | 36.6 | 2.78 | 97. 91 | 39.8 | 2. 46 | 82. 67 | 36.1 | 2. 29 | 80.86 | 36.1 | 2. 24 | 81. 45 | 36.2 | 2.25 |
| June. | 106.60 | 37.8 | 2.82 | 106. 97 | 37.8 | 2.83 | 98. 60 | 39.6 | 2.49 | 85.10 | 37.0 | 2. 30 | 83.03 | 36.9 | 2. 25 | 86.41 | 37.9 | 2.28 |
| July | 111.72 | 38.0 37.9 | 2. 2.94 | 112.10 112.56 | 38.0 37.9 | 2.95 2.97 | 100.65 99 | 40.1 | 2. 51 | 86. 16 | 37.3 <br> 37 | 2. 31 | 84.22 | 37.1 | 2. 27 | 84.83 | 37.7 | 2.25 |
| Augus | 112.18 | 37.9 38.7 | 2. 2.96 | 112.56 116.10 | 37.9 38.7 | 2.97 3.00 | 99.65 101.45 | 39.7 | 2. 51 | 86. 25 | 37.5 | 2. 30 | 84. 15 | 37.4 | 2. 25 | 86.03 | 37.9 | 2. 27 |
| October | 114. 52 | 38.3 | 2.99 | 114.90 | 38.3 | 3.00 | 100.75 | 40.3 | 2.53 | 88.77 87.93 | 38.1 38 | 2. 33 | 87. 25 | 38.1 | 2. 2.29 | 88.94 | 38.5 | 2. 31 |
| November | 115. 50 | 38.5 | 3.00 | 115.89 | 38.5 | 3.01 | 103. 12 | 40.6 | 2.54 | 91.87 | 38.6 | 2. 38 | 85.88 90.48 | 38.5 | 2.35 | 85.33 91.03 | 37.1 38.9 | 2.30 2.34 |
| December | 116.40 | 38.8 | 3.00 | 116.79 | 38.8 | 3.01 | 102. 72 | 40.6 | 2. 53 | 94.17 | 39.4 | 2.39 | 92. 28 | 39.1 | 2.36 | 96.87 | 40.7 | 2.38 |
| 1959: January | 119.99 | 39.6 | 3.03 | 120. 38 | 39.6 | 3.04 | 103.57 | 41.1 | 2. 52 | 94.80 | 39.5 | 2.40 | 93.38 | 39.4 | 2.37 | 92.28 | 39.1 | 2.36 |

See footnotes at end of table.

TABLE C-1. Hours and gross earnings of production or nonsupervisory workers, by industry ${ }^{1}-$ Con.

| Year and month | Avg. wkly. earnings | A.vg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. <br> earnings | A Vg . wkly. earnings | A vg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. brly. <br> earnings | Avg wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. brly. earnings |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Manufacturing-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Durable goods-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Primary metal industries-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Steel foundries |  |  | Primary smelting and refining of nonferrous metals? |  |  | Primary smelting and refining of copper, lead, and zinc |  |  | Primary refining of aluminum |  |  | Secondary smelting and refining of nonferrous metals |  |  | Rolling, drawing, and alloying of nonferrous metals ${ }^{8}$ |  |  |
| 1956: A verage | \$95. 63 | 42.5 | \$2. 25 | \$91.46 | 41.2 | \$2. 22 | \$88. 81 | 41.5 | \$2.14 | \$95. 34 | 40. 4 | \$2. 36 | \$85. 04 | 42.1 | \$2. 02 | \$93. 38 | 41.5 | \$2. 25 |
| 1957: Average | 95. 65 | 40.7 | 2.35 | 95.82 | 40.6 | 2.36 | 89.91 | 40.5 | 2.22 | 103.68 | 40.5 | 2.56 | 87. 53 | 40.9 | 2. 14 | 95. 51 | 40.3 | 2. 37 |
| 1958: January | 91.20 | 38.0 | 2. 40 | 97. 04 | 40.1 | 2. 42 | 88.70 | 39.6 | 2.24 | 106. 52 | 40.5 | 2.63 | 86. 40 | 40.0 | 2. 16 | 93. 65 | 38.7 | 2. 42 |
| Februar | 90.38 | 37.5 | 2. 41 | 98. 09 | 40. 2 | 2. 44 | 89.15 | 39.8 | 2. 24 | 109. 35 | 40.5 | 2. 70 | 85. 24 | 39.1 | 2. 18 | 95. 80 | 39.1 | 2.45 |
| March. | 89.28 | 37.2 | 2. 40 | 97.69 | 40.2 | 2. 43 | 88.98 | 39.9 | 2.23 | 109. 89 | 40.7 | 2.70 | 85.24 | 39.1 | 2. 18 | 96. 68 | 39.3 | 2. 46 |
| A pril. | 88. 08 | 36.7 | 2. 40 | 97.04 | 40.1 | 2. 42 | 88.31 | 39.6 | 2.23 | 109. 62 | 40.6 | 2.70 | 87.60 | 40.0 | 2. 19 | 95. 80 | 39.1 | 2. 45 |
| May | 87.00 | 36.1 | 2.41 | 96. 96 | 39.9 | 2. 43 | 87. 42 | 39.2 | 2. 23 | 110.43 | 40. 6 | 2.72 | 85. 72 | 39.5 | 2. 17 | 96.43 | 39.2 | 2. 46 |
| June | 88.81 | 36.7 | 2. 42 | 96. 96 | 39.9 | 2. 43 | 89. 10 | 39.6 | 2. 25 | 108. 80 | 40. 0 | 2.72 | 86.37 | 39.8 | 2. 17 | 101. 09 | 40.6 | 2. 49 |
| July | 91.50 | 37.5 | 2. 44 | 98. 55 | 39.9 | 2. 47 | 90.46 | 39.5 | 2.29 | 108.78 | 39.7 | 2. 74 | 88. 44 | 40.2 | 2. 20 | 99.75 | 39.9 | 2. 50 |
| August | 91. 74 | 37.6 | 2. 44 | 99. 54 | 39.5 | 2. 52 | 89. 24 | 38.8 | 2.30 | 115. 20 | 40.0 | 2.88 | 89.73 | 40.6 | 2. 21 | 103. 02 | 40.4 | 2. 55 |
| Septemb | 92.61 | 37.8 | 2. 45 | 101. 05 | 40.1 | 2. 52 | 91.01 | 39.4 | 2. 31 | 117. 38 | 40.9 | 2. 87 | 90.72 | 40.5 | 2. 24 | 104. 60 | 40.7 | 2. 57 |
| October | 94. | 38.2 | 2.47 | 102.36 | 40.3 | 2. 54 | 91. 54 | 39.8 | 2. 30 | 118.90 | 41.0 | 2.90 | 15 | 41.4 | 2.25 | 106. 30 | 41.2 | 2. 58 |
| Decembe | 98. 60 | 39.6 | 2.49 | 105. 06 | 41.2 | 2. 2.55 | 96. 89 | 40.9 41.2 | 2.33 | 118.49 | 41.0 | 2.90 2.89 | 93.34 | 41.1 | 2. 2.27 | 108.52 108.94 | 41.9 41.9 | 2. 29 |
| 1959: January | 100.40 | 40.0 | 2.51 | 104.90 | 41.3 | 2. 54 | 96. 74 | 41.7 | 2.32 | 116. 76 | 40.4 | 2.89 | 93.11 | 41.2 | 2. 26 | 106. 71 | 41.2 | 2. 59 |
|  | Rolling, drawing, and alloying of copper |  |  | Rolling, drawing, and alloying of aluminum |  |  | Nonferrous foundries |  |  | Miscellaneous primary metal industries ${ }^{2}$ |  |  | Iron and steel forgings |  |  | Wire drawing |  |  |
| 1956: Average | \$85. 18 | 42.3 | \$2. 25 | \$90.90 | 40.4 | \$2.25 | \$88.94 | 40.8 | \$2. 18 | \$100. 14 | 41.9 | \$2.39 | \$105.42 | 42.0 | \$2.51 | \$96.83 | 42.1 | \$2.30 |
| 1957: Average | 94. 54 | 40.4 | 2.34 | 96. 00 | 40.0 | 2. 40 | 91.20 | 40.0 | 2.28 | 100. 85 | 40.5 | 2. 49 | 105.97 | 40.6 | 2.61 | 96. 63 | 40.6 | 2.38 |
| 1958: January | 90.34 | 37.8 | 2. 39 | 97.32 | 39.4 | 2. 47 | 90. 25 | 38. 9 | 2. 32 | 98. 30 | 38.7 | 2. 54 | 100. 47 | 38.2 | 2. 63 | 96. 04 | 39.2 | 2. 45 |
| February | 91.44 | 38.1 | 2. 40 | 100. 80 | 40.0 | 2. 52 | 89. 24 | 38.3 | 2. 33 | 96. 77 | 38.1 | 2. 54 | 98. 89 | 37.6 | 2. 63 | 94.82 | 38.7 | 2. 45 |
| March. | 92.16 | 38.4 | 2. 40 | 102. 62 | 40.4 | 2. 54 | 89.71 | 38.5 | 2.33 | 96. 90 | 38.0 | 2. 55 | 99.53 | 37.7 | 2. 64 | 93.84 | 38.3 | 2. 45 |
| April | 90.82 | 38.0 | 2.39 | 102. 47 | 40.5 | 2. 53 | 88.86 | 38.3 | 2.32 | 96. 14 | 37.7 | 2.55 | 97.94 | 37.1 | 2.64 | 91.26 | 37. 4 | 2. 44 |
| May | 91.54 | 38.3 | 2.38 | 103. 68 | 40.5 | 2.56 | 90.87 | 39.0 | 2.33 | 97.02 | 37.9 | 2.56 | 98.58 | 37.2 | 2.65 | 94.33 | 38.5 | 2.45 |
| June | 98.17 | 40.4 | 2.43 | 106.04 | 41.1 | 2. 58 | 93. 60 | 40.0 | 2. 34 | 101. 14 | 39.2 | 2. 58 | 101.46 | 38.0 | 2. 67 | 99.45 | 40. 1 | 2. 48 |
| July. | 99.88 | 40.6 | 2.46 | 101. 26 | 39. 4 | 2.57 | 91. 96 | 39. 3 | 2. 34 | 102.83 | 39.4 | 2. 61 | 103.60 | 38.8 | 2.67 | 99. 25 | 39.7 | 2. 50 |
| August | 101. 52 | 41.1 | 2. 47 | 107. 20 | 40. 0 | 2.68 | 93. 60 | 40.0 | 2.34 | 104. 15 | 39.6 | 2. 63 | 101. 57 | 37.9 | 2. 68 | 102. 72 | 40.6 | 2. 53 |
| Septemb | 102. 59 | 41.2 | 2.49 | 108.27 | 40.1 | 2. 70 | 95. 18 | 40. 5 | 2. 35 | 106. 13 | 39.9 | 2. 66 | 104. 34 | 38.5 | 2. 71 | 105. 88 | 41.2 | 2. 57 |
| October | 104. 42 | 41.6 | 2.51 | 110. 97 | 41.1 | 2. 70 | 94.87 | 40.2 | 2.36 | 106. 93 | 39.9 | 2.68 | 104. 83 | 38.4 | 2.73 | 105. 52 | 40.9 | 2.58 |
| Novemb | 107. 95 | 42. 5 | 2.54 | 112.19 | 41.4 | 2. 71 | 96.63 | 40.6 | 2. 38 | 109.48 | 40.4 | 2. 71 | 108. 42 | 39.0 | 2.78 | 107.90 | 41.5 | 2. 60 |
| December | 108.89 | 42.7 | 2. 55 | 110. 16 | 40.8 | 2. 70 | 98. 95 | 41.4 | 2. 39 | 111. 38 | 41.1 | 2. 71 | 113. 12 | 40.4 | 2. 80 | 110. 40 | 42.3 | 2. 61 |
| 1959: January--.---- | 106. 93 | 42.1 | 2. 54 | 108. 271 | 40.1 | 2.70 | 98. 40 | 41.0 | 2.40 | 111. 11 | 41.0 | 2. 71 | 112.56 | 40.2 | 2.80 | 107. 23 | 41.4 | 2. 59 |
|  | Primary metal in-dustries-Continued |  |  | Fabricated metal products (except ordnance, machinery, and transportation equipment) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Welded and heavyriveted pipe |  |  | Total: Fabricated metal products |  |  | Tin cans and other tinware |  |  | Outlery, handtools, and hardware ${ }^{2}$ |  |  | Cutlery and edge tools |  |  | Handtools |  |  |
| 1956: A verage | \$94.48 | 40.9 | \$2. 31 | \$85. 28 | 41.2 | \$2. 07 | \$92. 20 | 42.1 | \$2. 19 | \$81. 60 | 40.8 | \$2. 00 | \$72. 62 | 40.8 | \$1. 78 | \$82. 82 | 41.0 | \$2. 02 |
| 1957: A verage | 99.05 | 40.1 | 2. 47 | 88.94 | 40.8 | 2.18 | 96.88 | 41.4 | 2. 34 | 85.65 | 40.4 | 2.12 | 74.77 | 40.2 | 1.86 | 83.37 | 39. 7 | 2. 10 |
| 1958: January | 97.66 | 38. 6 | 2. 53 | 87.25 | 39.3 | 2. 22 | 96. 23 | 39.6 | 2. 43 | 82. 99 | 38. 6 | 2. 15 | 73.53 | 38.7 | 1.90 | 82.82 | 38.7 | 2.14 |
| February | 96. 90 | 38.0 | 2. 55 | 86. 36 | 38.9 | 2. 22 | 98. 42 | 40. 5 | 2. 43 | 82.56 | 38.4 | 2.15 | 72.58 | 38.0 | 1.91 | 82.51 | 38. 2 | 2.16 |
| March | 95.74 | 37.4 | 2. 56 | 87.42 | 39.2 | 2. 23 | 100.36 | 41.3 | 2.43 | 82.94 | 38.4 | 2. 16 | 74.11 | 38.6 | 1. 92 | 82.99 | 38.6 | 2.15 |
| April | ${ }^{99 .} 96$ | 39.2 | 2. 55 | 87.14 | 38.9 | 2. 24 | 98.74 | 40. 3 | 2. 45 | 81.53 | 38.1 | 2. 14 | 75.26 | 39.2 | 1. 92 | 82.94 | 38.4 | 2.16 |
| May | 97. 66 | 38.0 | 2.57 | 88.65 | 39.4 | 2.25 | 102.59 | 41.2 | 2. 49 | 83. 21 | 38.7 | 2. 15 | 75.85 | 39.1 | 1. 94 | 81. 38 | 37.5 | 2. 17 |
| June | 102.83 | 39.4 | 2. 61 | 90.80 | 40.0 | 2. 27 | 106. 68 | 42.5 | 2. 51 | 85.67 | 39.3 | 2. 18 | 75. 46 | 39.1 | 1.93 | 83.71 | 38.4 | 2. 18 |
| July. | 107. 74 | 40.2 | 2.68 | 91. 20 | 40.0 | 2.28 | 107.68 | 42. 9 | 2.51 | 84.46 | 39.1 | 2. 16 | 75. 83 | 39.7 | 1. 91 | 83. 76 | 38.6 | 2.17 |
| August | 112.34 | 41.3 | 2. 72 | ${ }^{92} .52$ | 40.4 | 2. 29 | 110. 16 | 43. 2 | 2. 55 | 86. 80 | 40.0 | 2. 17 | 75. 05 | 39.5 | 1. 90 | 84.70 | 38.5 | 2. 20 |
| Septemb | 105.18 | 39.1 | 2. 69 | 93.89 | 41. 0 | 2. 29 | 107. 78 | 42.6 | 2. 53 | 86. 18 | 39.9 | 2. 116 | 76. 78 | 40.2 | 1.91 | 87. 25 | 39.3 | 2. 22 |
| October | 110.00 | 40.0 | 2. 75 | 93.02 | 40.8 | 2. 28 | 106.55 | 41.3 | 2. 58 | 87.99 | 41.7 | 2. 11 | 78.78 | 40. 4 | 1. 95 | 88. 31 | 39.6 39 | 2. 23 |
| Novemb | 108.78 107.56 | 39.7 39.4 | 2.74 2.73 | 94.66 96.00 | 40.8 41.2 | 2.32 2.31 | 108. 52 | 41.9 41.1 | 2. 2.59 | 92.77 <br> 96.02 | 42.6 | 2. 23 | 79.77 78.98 | 40.7 40.5 | 1.96 1.95 | 89.38 89.20 | 39.9 40.0 | 2. 24 2. 23 |
| 1959: January | 110.00 | 40.0 | 2. 75 | 93.96 | 40.5 | 2.32 | 106. 08 | 40.8 | 2.60 | 91.84 | 41.0 | 2.24 | 77.41 | 39.9 | 1.94 | 90.45 | 40.2 | 2.25 |
|  | Hardware |  |  | Heating apparatus (except electric) and plumbers' supplies ${ }^{2}$ |  |  | Sanitary vare and plumbers' supplies |  |  | Oil burners, nonelectric heating and cooking apparatus, not elsewhere classified |  |  | Fabricated structural metal products ${ }^{3}$ |  |  | Structural steel and ornamental metalwork |  |  |
| 1956: A verage | \$83. 44 | 40.7 | \$2. 05 | \$79.99 | 39.6 | \$2. 02 | \$82. 68 | 39.0 | \$2.12 | \$79.00 | 39.9 | \$1.98 | \$87. 57 | 41.5 | \$2.11 | \$87. 57 | 41.5 | \$2. 11 |
| 1957: A verage | 89.13 | 40.7 | 2. 19 | 83.95 | 39.6 | 2.12 | 86.41 | 39.1 | 2.21 | 82.58 | 39.7 | 2.08 | 92.99 | 41.7 | 2. 23 | 94.73 | 42.1 | 2.25 |
| 1958: January | 85.31 | 38.6 | 2.21 | 86. 07 | 39.3 | 2.19 | 90.39 | 39. 3 | 2. 30 | 84.10 | 39. 3 | 2.14 | 91.71 | 40.4 | 2.27 | 92.11 | 40.4 | 2. 28 |
| February | 85.31 | 38.6 | 2.21 | 84.97 | 38.8 | 2.19 | 89. 24 | 38.8 | 2.30 | 82.64 | 38.8 | 2.13 | 89.83 | 39.4 | 2.28 | 89.38 | 39.2 | 2. 28 |
| March. | 85. 03 | 38.3 | 2.22 | 85. 41 | 39.0 | 2.19 | 87.94 | 38.4 | 2.29 | 84.10 | 39. 3 | 2. 14 | 91.08 | 39.6 | 2. 30 | 91.31 | 39.7 | 2. 30 |
| April | 82.56 | 37.7 | 2.19 | 85. 14 | 38.7 | 2.20 | 86. 94 | 37.8 | 2.30 | 84.07 | 39.1 | 2.15 | 90.46 | 39.5 | 2. 29 | 90.91 | 39.7 | 2. 29 |
| May | 85.80 | 39.0 | 2.20 | 84.75 | 38.7 | 2.19 | 86.79 | 37.9 | 2.29 | 83.85 | 39.0 | 2.15 | 91.54 | 39.8 | 2.30 | 93.09 | 40. 3 | 2.31 |
| June | 88.93 | 39.7 | 2.24 | 87.07 | 39.4 | 2.21 | 91.48 | 39.6 | 2.31 | 84.89 | 39.3 | 2. 16 | 93.56 | 40.5 | 2.31 | 94.02 | 40.7 | 2. 31 |
| July | 86.80 | 39.1 | 2.22 | 86.19 | 39.0 | 2.21 | 88.85 | 38.8 | 2. 29 | 84.85 | 39.1 | 2. 17 | 94.94 | 40. 4 | 2. 35 | 95. 88 | 40.8 | 2. 35 |
| August | 90.98 | 40.8 | 2. 23 | 88.58 | 39.9 | 2. 22 | 90.62 | 39.4 | 2. 30 | 87.42 | 40.1 | 2. 18 | 96. 52 | 40. 9 | 2. 35 | 97. 23 | 41.2 | 2.36 |
| September | 88.40 | 40.0 | 2.21 | 92.03 | 40.9 | 2.25 | 94. 24 | 40.1 | 2. 35 | 91.27 | 41. 3 | 2. 21 | 96. 46 | 40.7 | 2. 37 | 96. 05 | 40.7 | 2.36 |
| October- | 90.93 | 43. 3 | 2. 10 | 92. 70 | 41.2 | 2. 25 | 92. 97 | 39.9 | 2. 33 | 92.80 | 41.8 | 2. 22 | 95. 11 | 40.3 | 2. 36 | 94. 56 | 39.9 | 2. 37 |
| November | 97.98 | 42.6 | 2.30 | 90.50 | 40.4 | 2. 24 | 94.30 | 40.3 | 2. 34 | 88. 88 | 40.4 | 2. 20 | ${ }^{94.80}$ | 40.0 | 2. 37 | 93. 46 | 39.6 | 2.36 |
| 1959. December-..-- | 103.13 | 43.7 | 2. 36 | 90. 90 | 40.4 | 2.25 | 95. 94 | 41.0 40.2 | 2. 34 | 88.84 89.02 | 40.2 40.1 | 2. 2121 | 95.04 92.98 | 40.1 39.4 | 2.37 2.36 | 92.59 90.79 | 39.4 38.8 | 2. 35 2.34 |
| 1959: January------- | 96. 10 | 41.6 | 2.31 | 90.23 | 40.1 | 2. 25 | 93.67 | 40.2 | 2. 33 | 89.02 | 40.1 | 2. 22 | 92.98 | 39.4 | 2.36 | 90. 79 | 38.8 | 2.34 |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table C-1. Hours and gross earnings of production or nonsupervisory workers, by industry ${ }^{1}$ - Con.

| Year and month | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. brly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. brly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earnng | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Manufacturing-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Durable goods-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Fabricated metal products (except ordnance, machinery, and transportation equipment)-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Metal doors, sash, frames, molding and trim |  |  | Boiler-shop products |  |  | Sheet-metal work |  |  | Metal stamping, coating, and engraving ${ }^{2}$ |  |  | Vitreous-enameled products |  |  | Stamped and pressed metal products |  |  |
| 1956: Average | \$84. 85 | 40.6 | \$2. 09 | \$87. 98 | 41.5 | \$2. 12 | \$90. 52 | 42.3 | \$2. 14 | \$87. 76 | 41.2 | \$2.13 | \$66. 64 | 39.2 | \$1. 70 | \$91. 94 | 41.6 | \$2. 21 |
| 1957: Average | 89.79 | 41.0 | 2.19 | 92.77 | 41.6 | 2.23 | 93. 56 | 41.4 | 2.26 | 90.13 | 40.6 | 2.22 | 70.49 | 39.6 | 1.78 | 93. 84 | 40.8 | 2.30 |
| 1958: January | 87.38 | 39.9 | 2.19 | 93.43 | 40.8 | 2.29 | 93.96 | 40.5 | 2.32 | 87.08 | 38.7 | 2.25 | 66.60 | 36.0 | 1.85 | 89.71 | 38.5 | 2.33 |
| February | 86. 58 | 39.0 | 2.22 | 91.94 | 39.8 | 2.31 | 92.80 | 40.0 | 2.32 | 87.46 | 38.7 | 2.26 | 68.26 | 37.1 | 1.84 | 90.71 | 38.6 | 2.35 |
| March | 86.36 | 38.9 | 2.22 | 92.97 | 39.9 | 2.33 | 91. 64 | 39.5 | 2. 32 | 89.89 | 39.6 | 2.27 | 74.34 | 40.4 | 1.84 | 93.85 | 39.6 | 2.37 |
| April | 84.86 | 38.4 | 2.21 | 92.73 | 39.8 | 2.33 | 92.43 | 39.5 | 2. 34 | 90.68 | 39.6 | 2. 29 | 66. 60 | 36. 0 | 1.85 | 96.00 | 40.0 | 2.40 |
| May | 87.52 | 39.6 | 2.21 | 90.17 | 38.7 | 2.33 | 95.24 | 40.7 | 2.34 | 92.40 | 40.0 | 2.31 | 72. 00 | 38.5 | 1.87 | 97.69 | 40.2 | 2. 43 |
|  | 88.75 | 39.8 | 2. 23 | 94.71 | 40.3 | 2. 35 | 97.47 | 41.3 | 2. 36 | 93.03 | 40.1 | 2. 32 | 74. 66 | 39.5 | 1.89 | 97.93 | 40.3 | 2. 43 |
| July | 90.68 | 40.3 | 2. 25 | 94. 96 | 39.9 | 2. 38 | 96. 32 | 40.3 | 2. 39 | 93.26 | 40.2 | 2. 32 | 79.76 | 42.2 | 1.89 | 97.69 | 40. 2 | 2. 43 |
| August | 91.30 | 40.4 | 2.26 | 95.92 | 39.8 | 2.41 | 101.70 | 42.2 | 2.41 | 92.10 | 39.7 | 2. 32 | 73.49 | 39.3 | 1.87 | 96. 07 | 39.7 | 2. 42 |
| Septem | 91.71 | 40.4 | 2.27 | 97.04 | 40.1 | 2.42 | 101. 22 | 42.0 | 2.41 | 95. 40 | 41.3 | 2.31 | 81.06 | 42.0 | 1.93 | 99.60 | 41.5 | 2. 40 |
| October Novemb | 91.13 | 40.5 | 2.25 | 97.53 | 40.3 | 2. 42 | 99.12 | 41.3 | 2. 40 | 91. 25 | 40.2 | 2. 27 | 82. 03 | 42.5 | 1.93 | 94. 09 | 39.7 | 2.37 |
| Dece | 92.11 | 40.4 | 2. 28 | 98.58 | 40.4 | 2.44 | 99.87 | 41.1 | 2.43 | 100. 50 | 41.7 | 2.41 | 80.03 | 41.9 | 1.91 | 107. 10 | 42.0 | 2.55 |
| 1959: January | 86.02 | 38.4 | 2.24 | 97.69 | 40.2 | 2. 43 | 98.90 | 40.7 | 2. 43 | 97. 10 | 40.8 | 2.38 | 75.85 | 41.0 | 1.85 | 102. 25 | 40.9 | 2. 50 |
|  | Lighting fixtures |  |  | Fabricated wire products |  |  | Miscellaneous fabricated metal products ${ }^{2}$ |  |  | Metal shipping barrels, drums, kegs, and pails |  |  | Steel springs |  |  | Bolts, nuts, washers, and rivets |  |  |
| 1956: Average | \$76.40 | 40.0 | \$1. 91 | \$80. 75 | 41.2 | \$1.96 | \$86. 09 | 42.2 | \$2. 04 | \$97. 36 | 42.7 | \$2. 28 | \$90. 61 | 41.0 | \$2. 21 | \$88.41 | 42.3 | \$2. 09 |
| 1957: Average | 79.80 | 39.7 | 2.01 | 82.21 | 40.1 | 2.05 | 89.01 | 41.4 | 2.15 | 98.64 | 41.1 | 2. 40 | 95. 41 | 40.6 | 2.35 | 91.08 | 41.4 | 2.20 |
| 1958: January | 76. 94 | 37.9 | 2.03 | 81.33 | 39.1 | 2.08 | 85. 28 | 39.3 | 2.17 | 93.84 | 38.3 | 2.45 | 90.15 | 38.2 | 2.36 | 87.91 | 39.6 | 2.22 |
| February | 75. 75 | 37.5 | 2.02 | 79.90 | 38.6 | 2.07 | 84.41 | 38.9 | 2.17 | 98.06 | 39.7 | 2. 47 | 89.68 | 38.0 | 2.36 | 84. 64 | 38.3 | 2.21 |
| March_ | 74.77 | 37.2 | 2.01 | 80.29 | 38.6 | 2.08 | 83.71 | 38.4 | 2.18 | 95. 45 | 38.8 | 2. 46 | 87.93 | 37.1 | 2.37 | 83.25 | 37.5 | 2.22 |
| April | 75.75 | 37. 5 | 2.02 | 80.26 | 38.4 | 2.09 | 81.75 | 37.5 | 2.18 | 99.54 | 40.3 | 2.47 | 88.60 | 37.7 | 2.35 | 78.59 | 35.4 | 2.22 |
| May. | 78.13 | 38.3 | 2.04 | 81.30 | 38.9 | 2.09 | 83. 22 | 38.0 | 2.19 | 101. 59 | 40.8 | 2.49 | 86.72 | 36.9 | 2.35 | 81.54 | 36.4 | 2.24 |
| June | 80.57 | 39.3 | 2. 05 | 82. 92 | 39.3 | 2. 11 | 85.97 | 38.9 | 2. 21 | 104. 66 | 42. 2 | 2. 48 | 91.01 | 38.4 | 2. 37 | 84.98 | 37.6 | 2. 26 |
| July. | 81.97 | 39.6 | 2. 07 | 82.89 | 39.1 | 2.12 | 87.86 | 39.4 | 2.23 | 107. 61 | 42.2 | 2.55 | 91. 30 | 38.2 | 2.39 | 86.79 | 37.9 | 2.29 |
| August | 81.81 | 40.3 | 2. 03 | 82.92 | 39.3 | 2.11 | 90.68 | 40.3 | 2.25 | 110.25 | 42.9 | 2. 57 | 91.54 | 38.3 | 2. 39 | 91. 64 | 39.5 | 2.32 |
| Septemb | 83.84 | 40.7 | 2.06 | 87.10 | 40.7 | 2.14 | 93.98 | 41.4 | 2.27 | 115. 02 | 43.9 | 2.62 | 92.49 | 38.7 | 2. 39 | 97.76 | 41.6 | 2.35 |
| October | 81.40 | 40.7 | 2.00 | 86. 48 | 40.6 | 2.13 | 93.71 | 41.1 | 2.28 | 99.84 | 39.0 | 2.56 | 96.47 | 39.7 | 2. 43 | 97. 94 | 41.5 | 2.36 |
| Novembe | 85. 48 | 40.9 | 2. 09 | 86. 58 | 39.9 | 2.17 | 94.62 | 41.5 | 2.28 | 103.17 | 40.3 | 2. 56 | 97. 04 | 40.1 | 2. 42 | 99. 30 | 41.9 | 2. 37 |
| Decembe | 85. 48 | 40.9 | 2.09 | 90.25 | 41.4 | 2.18 | 95.30 | 41.8 | 2.28 | 101. 63 | 39.7 | 2. 56 | 100. 04 | 40.5 | 2.47 | 100.01 | 42.2 | 2.37 |
| 1959: January- | 84.61 ! | 40.1 | 2.11 | 89.16 | 40.9 | 2.18 | 95.04 41.5 2.29 |  |  | 103.57 40.3 2.57 |  |  | 98.95 | $39.9 \quad 2.48$ |  | 99.78 | 2.37 |  |
|  | Fabricated metal products (except ordnance, machinery \& transportation equipment) - Con. |  |  | Machinery (except electrical) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Screw-machine products |  |  | Total: Machinery (except electrical) |  |  | Engines and turbines ${ }^{2}$ |  |  | Steam engines, turbines, and water wheels |  |  | Diesel and other in-ternal-combustion engines, not elsewhere classified |  |  | Agricultural machinery and tractors ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| 1956: Average | \$85.63 42.6 \$2.01 |  |  |    <br> $\$ 93.26$ 42.2 $\$ 2.21$ |  |  | $\$ 95.45$ 41.5 $\$ 2.30$ |  |  |    <br> 101.33 41.7 $\$ 2.43$ |  |  | \$94.21 41.5 $\$ 2.27$ |  |  | \$86. 80 | 40.0 $\$ 2.17$ |  |
| 1957: Average--------- | 87.9982.68 | 41.7 | 2.11 | 94.30 | 41.0 | 2.30 | 99. 55 | 40.8 | 2. 44 | 113.05 | 42.5 | 2.66 | 95. 51 | 41.5 $\$ 2.27$ <br> 40.3 2.37 |  | +91.31 | 40.0 $\$ 2.17$ <br> 39.7 2.30 |  |
| 1958: January |  | 39.0 | 2.12 | 92. 90 | 39.7 | 2.34 | 100.50 | 40.2 | 2. 50 | 103.88 | 39.2 | 2.65 | 99. 23 | 40.5 | 2. 45 |  | 39.7 | 2. 38 |
| February | 81.24 | 38.5 | 2.11 | 92.12 | 39.2 | 2.35 | 100.50 | 40.2 | 2.50 | 104.68 | 39.5 | 2.65 | 98.98 | 40.4 | 2. 45 | 92.73 | 38.8 | 2.39 |
| March | $\begin{aligned} & 80.98 \\ & 79.76 \end{aligned}$ | 38.2 | 2.12 | 93.22 | 39.5 | 2.36 | 102.16 | 40.7 | 2.51 | 105. 06 | 39.2 | 2.68 | 101.11 | 41.1 | 2. 46 | 94. 95 | 39.4 | 2.41 |
| April |  | 37.8 | 2.11 | 92.75 | 39.3 | 2.36 | 100.00 | 40.0 | 2. 50 | 106. 27 | 39.8 | 2.67 | 98.00 | 40.0 | 2.45 | 95. 76 | 39.9 | 2.40 |
| May | $\begin{aligned} & 79.76 \\ & 79.76 \end{aligned}$ | 37.8 | 2.11 | 93.38 | 39.4 | 2. 37 | 99. 75 | 39.9 | 2.50 | 106. 93 | 39.9 | 2. 68 | 97.36 | 39.9 | 2.44 | 98.01 | 40.5 | 2.42 |
| June |  | 38. 5 | 2. 13 | 94. 25 | 39.6 | 2. 38 | 102. 26 | 40. 1 | 2. 55 | 109. 21 | 40.3 | 2. 71 | 99. 60 | 40.0 | 2. 49 | 97.28 | 40. 2 | 2. 42 |
| July.- |  | 39.3 | 2.14 | 93.77 | 39.4 | 2. 38 | 99.57 | 39.2 | 2.54 | 108. 13 | 39.9 | 2. 71 | 96. 72 | 39.0 | 2. 48 | 97.84 | 40.1 | 2. 44 |
| August | $\begin{aligned} & 84.10 \\ & 86.43 \end{aligned}$ | 40.2 | 2.15 | 93.77 | 39.4 | 2. 38 | 101. 12 | 39.5 | 2.56 | 111.93 | 40.7 | 2.75 | 97.36 | 39.1 | 2.49 | 95. 04 | 39. 6 | 2.40 |
| September | 88.3489.82 | 40.9 | 2.16 | 95. 60 | 40.0 | 2. 39 | 104. 49 | 40.5 | 2.58 | 114. 65 | 40.8 | 2.81 | 101. 40 | 40.4 | 2. 51 | 95. 74 | 39.4 | 2. 43 |
| October-. |  | 41.2 | 2.18 | 94.41 | 39.5 | 2. 39 | 105. 82 | 40.7 | 2. 60 | 116. 31 | 41.1 | 2. 83 | 102. 31 | 40.6 | 2. 52 | 96. 47 | 39.7 | 2. 43 |
| November | 90.03 | 41.3 | 2. 18 | 96. 96 | 39.9 | 2. 43 | 103. 36 | 39.6 | 2.61 | 113. 24 | 40.3 | 2. 81 | 100.47 | 39.4 | 2. 55 | 88.69 | 36.2 | 2.45 |
| 1959: January-------- | $\begin{aligned} & 91.56 \\ & 91.32 \\ & 96 \end{aligned}$ | 42.0 | 2.18 | 99.06 | 40.6 | 2. 44 | 105. 97 | 40. 6 | 2. 61 | 110.37 | 39.7 | 2. 78 | 104.70 | 40.9 | 2. 56 | ${ }^{97.27}$ | 39.7 | 2.45 |
|  |  | 41.7 | 2.19 | 99.06 | 40.6 | 2. 44 | 107. 79 | 41.3 | 2.61 | 110.25 | 39.8 | 2.77 | 107. 01 | 41.8 | 2. 56 | 97.96 | 39.5 | 2. 48 |
|  | Tractors |  |  | Agricultural machinery (except tractors) |  |  | Construction and mining machinery ${ }^{2}$ |  |  | Construction and mining machinery, except oilfield machinery |  |  | Oilfield machinery and tools |  |  | Metalworking machinery ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| 1956: Average... | \$90. 27 | 40.3 | \$2. 24 | \$82. 37 | 39.6 | \$2.08 | \$92. 23 | 42.5 | \$2. 17 | \$92. 01 | 42.4 | \$2. 17 | \$92.45 | 42.8 | \$2. 16 | \$108. 69 | 45. 1 | \$2. 41 |
| 1957: Average | 93.22 | 39.5 | 2.36 | 89. 20 | 40.0 | 2. 23 | 92.84 | 40.9 | 2. 27 | 92. 39 | 40.7 | 2.27 | 93.75 | 41.3 | 2. 27 | 106. 57 | 42.8 | 2. 49 |
| 1958: January | 96. 53 | 39.4 | 2.45 | 92.63 | 40.1 | 2. 31 | 90.94 | 39.2 | 2. 32 | 90.09 | 39.0 | 2. 31 | 92.90 | 39.7 | 2. 34 | 99.90 | 39.8 | 2.51 |
| February | 92.25 | 37.5 | 2. 46 | 93.03 | 40.1 | 2. 32 | 89. 47 | 38.4 | 2. 33 | 88.39 | 38.1 | 2.32 | 91.26 | 39.0 | 2.34 | 101. 09 | 39.8 | 2. 54 |
| March | 94.24 | 38.0 | 2.48 | 95. 47 | 40.8 | 2. 34 | 89.24 | 38.3 | 2. 33 | 89.01 | 38.2 | 2. 33 | 89.71 | 38.5 | 2. 33 | 103. 72 | 40.2 | 2. 58 |
| April.- | 98. 21 | 39.8 | 2. 48 | 93. 26 | 40.2 | 2. 32 | 89.24 | 38.3 | 2.33 | 89.32 | 38.5 | 2. 32 | 88.22 | 37.7 | 2.34 | 104. 00 | 40.0 | 2. 60 |
| May | 102.97 | 40.7 | 2. 53 | 93.50 | 40.3 | 2. 32 | 89.94 | 38. 6 | 2. 33 | ${ }^{90.40}$ | 38.8 | 2. 33 | 88.92 | 38.0 | 2. 34 | 103. 10 | 39.5 | 2. 61 |
|  | 100. 44 | 39.7 | 2.53 | 94. 60 | 40.6 | 2. 33 | 90.09 | 38.5 | 2. 34 | 90.79 | 38.8 | 2. 34 | 88.69 | 37.9 | 2. 34 | 102. 05 | 39.4 | 2. 59 |
| July .-. | 103. 53 | 40.6 | 2. 55 | 92. 27 | 39.6 | 2. 33 | 91.80 | 38. 9 | 2. 36 | 93. 14 | 39. 3 | 2. 37 | 89.30 | 38.0 | 2. 35 | 99. 58 | 38.9 | 2. 56 |
| August. | 98. 36 | 39.5 | 2. 49 | 91.87 | 39.6 | 2. 32 | 93. 22 | 39.5 | 2. 36 | 92. 98 | 39.4 | 2. 36 | 93.06 | 39.6 | 2. 35 | 97. 41 | 38.5 | 2. 53 |
| September | 96. 75 | 38.7 | 2. 50 | 94. 24 | 40.1 | 2. 35 | 94.25 | 39.6 | 2. 38 | 94. 41 | 39.5 | 2. 39 | 94. 40 | 40.0 | 2. 36 | 99. 31 | 39.1 | 2. 54 |
| November. | 98.89 90.21 | 39.4 35.1 | 2.51 2.57 | 93.83 87.79 | 40.1 37.2 | 2.34 2.36 | 94.09 96.00 | 39.7 40.0 | 2.37 2.40 | 92.90 94.88 | 39.2 39.7 | 2.37 | 96.70 98.33 | 40.8 40.8 | 2. 2.41 | 99.31 102.17 | 39.1 39.6 | 2.54 2.58 |
| December | 99.33 | 38.8 | 2.56 | 95.00 | 40.6 | 2. 34 | 97. 53 | 40.3 | 2.42 | 96.32 | 39.8 | 2.42 | 100.43 | 41.5 | 2. 42 | 105.15 | 40.6 | 2. 59 |
| 1959: January | 101. 14 | 39.2 | 2. 58 | 94.16 | 39.9 | 2.36 | 97.36 | 40.4 | 2.41 | 96.80 | 40.0 | 2. 42 | 98. 53 | 41.4 | 2.38 | 107. 16 | 40.9 | 2. 62 |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table C-1. Hours and gross earnings of production or nonsupervisory workers, by industry ${ }^{1}$ - Con.

| Year and month | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earn- ings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earnings | A vg . wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. brly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earnings | A $\nabla \mathrm{g}$. wkly. hours | A $\vee \mathrm{g}$. hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | A $\nabla \mathrm{g}$. hrly. earnings |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Manufacturing-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Durable goods-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Machinery (except electrical)-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Machine tools |  |  | Metalworking machinery (except machine tools) |  |  | Machine-tool accessories |  |  | Special-industry machinery (except metalworking machinery) ${ }^{2}$ |  |  | Food-products machinery |  |  | Textile machinery |  |  |
| 1956: Average | \$106. 02 | 45.7 | \$2. 32 | \$97. 41 | 43.1 | \$2. 26 | \$115. 12 | 45. 5 | \$2. 53 | \$89.88 | 42.8 | \$2. 10 | \$89. 67 | 41.9 | \$2. 14 | \$76. 59 | 41.4 | \$1.85 |
| 1957: Average | 100.86 | 42. 2 | 2. 39 | 99.42 | 41.6 | 2.39 | 112.67 | 43.5 | 2.59 | 90.06 | 41.5 | 2.17 | 91.02 | 41.0 | 2. 22 | 77. 55 | 40.6 | 1. 91 |
| 1958: January | ${ }^{93.06}$ | 39.1 | 2. 38 | 95. 69 | 38. 9 | 2. 46 | 105. 56 | 40.6 | 2. 60 | 88. 62 | 40.1 | 2. 21 | 91.03 | 40.1 | 2. 27 | 76. 61 | 39.9 | 1. 92 |
| February | 89.77 | 38. 2 | 2. 35 | 95. 20 | 38.7 | 2. 46 | 109. 06 | 41.0 | 2. 66 | 87.52 | 39.6 | 2. 21 | 91.03 | 40.1 | 2. 27 | 75. 26 | 39.2 38 | 1. 92 |
| March | 90.92 89.49 | 38.2 37.6 | 2.38 2.38 | 95.84 96.61 | 38.8 38.8 | 2. 2.47 | ${ }^{112.74}$ | 41.6 41.5 | 2. 217 | 87.69 87.25 | 39.5 39.3 | 2.22 | 91. 88 | 40.3 40.3 | 2. 28 | 73.92 | 38.5 38.0 | 1. 1.92 |
| Apri | 88. 67 | 37.6 37.1 | 2.38 2.39 | 96.61 | 38.8 37.9 | 2. 47 | 113.58 | 41.3 | 2.75 | 87.64 | 39.3 39.3 | 2. 23 | 91.25 | 40.2 | 2.27 | 72.94 | 37.6 | 1.94 |
| June | 89, 76 | 37.4 | 2.40 | 95. 23 | 38.4 | 2.48 | 110.70 | 40.7 | 2.72 | 88.26 | 39.4 | 2.24 | 93.38 | 40.6 | 2.30 | 74.28 | 37.9 | 1. 96 |
| July | 88.43 | 37.0 | 2. 39 | 97.52 | 38.7 | 2. 52 | 106. 00 | 40.0 | 2.65 | 88.65 | 39.4 | 2.25 | 94. 48 | 40. 9 | 2. 31 | 74.48 | 38.0 | 1. 96 |
| August | 88.77 | 37. 3 | 2.38 | 99.58 | 38.9 | 2.56 | 101. 40 | 39.0 | 2.60 | 89.72 | 39.7 | 2.26 | 96.00 | 41.2 | 2.33 | 76.83 | 39.0 | 1. 97 |
| Septemb | 91.06 | 38.1 | 2.39 | 98. 04 | 38.6 | 2.54 | 103.88 | 39.8 | 2.61 | 91.25 | 40.2 | 2.27 | 94.89 | 40.9 | 2. 32 | 78.80 | 40.0 | 1.97 |
| October | ${ }^{91 .} 82$ | 38.1 | 2.41 | 99.71 | 39.1 | 2.55 | 103. 22 | 39.7 | 2.60 | 91.25 | 40.2 | 2.27 | 95.06 | 40.8 | 2. 33 | 79. 00 | 40.1 | 1.97 |
| Novemb | 93.27 | 38.7 | 2.41 | 101.12 | 39.5 | 2. 56 | 106. 67 | 40.1 | 2.66 | 92. 75 | 40.5 | 2.29 | 94.13 | 40.4 | 2.33 | 79. 79 | 40.3 | 1.98 |
| December | 95.83 | 39.6 | 2. 42 | 102. 91 | 40.2 | 2. 56 | 110. 42 | 41.2 | 2. 68 | 94. 53 | 41.1 | 2. 30 | 94. 83 | 40. 7 | 2. 33 | 82. 61 | 41.1 | 2. 01 |
| 1959: January ------ | 95. 74 | 39.4 | 2. 43 | 102.80 | 40.0 | 2.57 | 113. 70 |  | 2. 72 | 94.76 | 41.2 | 2. 30 | 96. 35 | 41.0 | 2.35 | 82. 39 | 41.4 | 1.99 |
|  | Paper-industries machinery |  |  | Printing-trades machinery and equipment |  |  | General industrial machinery ${ }^{2}$ |  |  | Pumps, air and gas compressors |  |  | Conveyors and conveying equipment |  |  | Blowers, exhaust and ventilating fans |  |  |
| 1956: A verage | \$97. 65 | 46.5 | \$2. 10 | \$102. 70 | 43.7 | \$2. 35 | \$92. 65 | 42.5 | \$2. 18 | \$90. 31 | 42.4 | \$2.13 | \$97. 61 | 43.0 | \$2. 27 | \$86. 53 | 41.8 | \$2.07 |
| 1957: Average | 96.78 | 44.6 | 2.17 | 99. 90 | 41.8 | 2.39 | 92.89 | 41.1 | 2.26 | 90.20 | 41.0 | 2.20 | 98. 59 | 41.6 | 2.37 | 87.48 | 40.5 | 2. 16 |
| 1958: January | 90.03 | 41.3 | 2.18 | 98.90 | 40.7 | 2. 43 | 91.48 | 39.6 | 2.31 | 87.58 | 39.1 | 2.24 | 95.04 | 39.6 | 2. 40 | 86. 85 | 39.3 | 2. 21 |
| February | 87.20 | 40. 0 | 2.18 | 97.28 | 40.2 | 2. 42 | 89.86 | 38. 9 | 2. 31 | 86. 91 | 38.8 | 2.24 | 93.21 | 39.0 | 2. 39 | 85. 75 | 38.8 | 2. 21 |
| March. | 87.16 | 39.8 | 2. 19 | 99.95 | 41.3 | 2.42 | 90.32 | 39.1 | 2.31 | 87.36 | 39.0 | 2.24 | 92. 49 | 38.7 | 2. 39 | 86.24 | 39.2 | 2. 20 |
| April | 86. 24 | 39.2 | 2. 20 | 98.49 | 40.7 | 2.42 | 90.32 | 39.1 | 2.31 | 88.59 | 39.2 | 2.26 | 92. 49 | 38.7 | 2. 39 | 86.07 | 39.3 | 2.19 |
| May | 89.20 | 40.0 | 2.23 | 97.69 | 40.2 | 2.43 | 90.94 | 39.2 | 2. 32 | 88.65 | 39.4 | 2.25 | 93.12 | 38.8 | 2. 40 | 88.03 | 39.3 | 2. 24 |
| June. | 88.31 | 39.6 | 2.23 | 97.69 | 40.2 | 2.43 | 92.90 | 39.7 | 2. 34 | 91.20 | 40.0 | 2.28 | 94. 95 | 39.4 | 2.41 | 89.91 | 40.5 | 2. 22 |
| July- | 88. 88 | 39.5 | 2. 25 | 96.62 | 39.6 | 2. 44 | 91. 96 | 39.3 | 2. 34 | 89. 54 | 39.1 | 2.29 | 92. 69 | 38.3 | 2. 42 | 89.87 | 40.3 | 2. 23 |
| August | 89.10 | 39.6 | 2. 25 | 95.06 | 38.8 | 2.45 | 93. 22 | 39.5 | 2.36 | 90.23 | 39.4 | 2.29 | 93. 94 | 38.5 | 2. 44 | 90. 68 | 40.3 | 2. 25 |
| Septembe | 89.72 | 39.7 | 2. 26 | 99. 54 | 40.3 | 2.47 | 94.33 | 39.8 | 2.37 | 91. 31 | 39.7 | 2. 30 | 93. 94 | 38.5 | 2.44 | 92. 57 | 6 | 2. 28 |
| Octobe | 91. 14 | 39.8 | 2. 29 | 97.51 | 39.8 | 2. 45 | 95. 12 | 39.8 | 2. 39 | 91. 87 | 39.6 | 2.32 | 93. 21 | 38.2 | 2.44 | 92.97 | 40.6 | 2. 29 |
| Novem | 94. 91 |  | 2.30 | 102.92 | 40.7 | 2.48 2.48 | 97. 85 | 40.6 | 2. 2.41 | 94.54 | 39.8 40.4 | 2.34 2.3 | 95.69 | 38.6 38.9 | 2. 46 | 92.57 | 40.6 | 2. 28 |
| 1959: Janua | 95.17 | 41.2 | 2.31 | 105. 34 | 41.8 | 2. 52 | 96.56 | 40.4 | 2. 39 | 92.80 | 40.0 | 2.32 | 96. 92 | 39.4 | 2.46 | 91.30 | 40. 4 | 2. 26 |
|  | Industrial trucks, tractors, etc. |  |  | Mechanical powertransmission equipment |  |  | Mechanical stokers and industrial furnaces and ovens |  |  | Office and store machines and devices ${ }^{2}$ |  |  | Computing machines and cash registers |  |  | Typewriters ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| 1956: A verage | \$90. 49 | 41.7 | \$2.17 | \$95. 02 | 42.8 | \$2. 22 | \$90. 71 | 41.8 | \$2. 17 | \$90. 23 | 41.2 | \$2. 19 | \$96. 05 | 41.4 | \$2. 32 | \$82. 60 | 41.3 | \$2.00 |
| 1957: A verage | 89.78 | 39.9 | 2. 25 | 94.53 | 41.1 | 2.30 | 94.16 | 41.3 | 2.28 | 90. 23 | 40.1 | 2.25 | 98.01 | 40.5 | 2. 42 | 76. 64 | 39.3 | 1.95 |
| 1958: January | 89.77 | 39.2 | 2. 29 | 92.20 | 39.4 | 2.34 | 93. 20 | 40.0 | 2.33 | 89.78 | 38.7 | 2.32 | 99. 20 | 40.0 | 2.48 | 70.56 | 36.0 | 1. 96 |
| February | 88. 86 | 38.3 | 2. 32 | 90. 24 | 38.4 | 2.35 | 90.09 | 39.0 | 2.31 | 90.87 | 39.0 | 2. 33 | 101. 15 | 40.3 | 2.51 | 67.82 | 34.6 | 1. 96 |
| March_ | 89.32 | 38.5 | 2. 32 | 91.26 | 39.0 | 2. 34 | 90.55 | 39.2 | 2. 31 | 91.73 | 39. 2 | 2.34 | 102.31 | 40.6 | 2. 52 | 70.40 | 36. 1 | 1.95 |
| April | 90.48 | 39.0 | 2.32 | 89. 94 | 38.6 | 2.33 | 91. 41 | 39.4 | 2.32 | 91.80 | 39.4 | 2.33 | 100.90 | 40. 2 | 2.51 | 73.09 | 37.1 | 1.97 |
| May. | ${ }^{91.34}$ | 39.2 | 2. 33 | 90.17 | 38.7 | 2.33 | 88.47 | 38.3 | 2.31 | 91. 18 | 39.3 | 2.32 | 100.00 | 40.0 | 2.50 | 74.84 | 37.8 | 1.98 |
| June. | 91.57 | 39.3 | 2.33 | 91.18 | 38.8 | 2.35 | 91.03 | 38.9 | 2.34 | 93.37 | 39.9 | 2.34 | 102.21 | 40.4 | 2. 53 | 79.60 | 39.6 | 2.01 |
| July. | 93.62 | 39.5 | 2. 37 | 91.03 | 38.9 | 2. 34 | 91.87 | 39.6 | 2. 32 | 93.60 | 40.0 | 2. 34 | 104. 14 | 41.0 | 2. 54 | 77. 42 | 39.1 | 1.98 |
| August | 97.75 | 40.9 | 2. 39 | 91.80 | 38.9 | 2. 36 | 91.03 | 38. 9 | 2. 34 | 93. 46 | 39.6 | 2.36 | 103. 42 | 40. 4 | 2. 56 | 77.40 | 38.7 | 2.00 |
| Septemb | 100. 28 | 41.1 | 2.44 | 93.30 | 39.2 | 2.38 | 94.83 | 40.7 | 2.33 | 95. 34 | 40.4 | 2.36 | 104. 34 | 40.6 | 2. 57 | 81.41 | 40.5 | 2.01 |
| October. | 94.71 | 39.3 | 2.41 | 96.40 | 40.0 | 2.41 | 94.37 | 40.5 | 2.33 | 95.27 | 40.2 | 2.37 | 104.90 | 40.5 | 2. 59 | 82.01 | 40.2 | 2.04 |
| Novemb | 95.59 | 39.5 | 2. 42 | 99.31 | 40.7 | 2.44 | 93.03 | 40.1 | 2. 32 | 96.56 | 40.4 | 2.39 | 106. 63 | 40.7 | 2.62 | 83.63 | 40.4 | 2.07 |
| December | 97.36 | 39.9 | 2. 44 | 101.19 | 41.3 | 2. 45 | 98. 28 | 42.0 | 2. 34 | 96. 48 | 40.2 | 2. 40 | 107. 18 | 40.6 | 2. 64 | 81.39 | 39.7 | ${ }^{2.05}$ |
| 1959: January | 96.87 | 39.7 | 2. 44 | 99.14 | 40.8 | 2.43 | 93. 90 | 40.3 | 2.33 | 96.40 | 40.0 | 2.41 | 106. 39 | 40.3 | 2.64 | 80.96 | 39.3 | 2.06 |
|  | Service-industry and household machines ? |  |  | Domestic laundry equipment |  |  | Commercial laundry, dry-cleaning, and pressing machines |  |  | Sewing machines |  |  | Refrigerators and airconditioning units |  |  | Miscellaneous machinery parts ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| 1956: A verage.- | \$86. 24 | 40.3 | \$2. 14 | \$89.54 | 40.7 | \$2. 20 | \$81.34 | 41.5 | \$1.96 | \$88.97 | 41.0 | \$2. 17 | \$86. 22 | 40.1 | \$2. 15 | \$89.87 | 41.8 | \$2. 15 |
| 1957: Average | 87.30 | 39.5 | 2. 21 | 88.53 | 39.0 | 2.27 | 83.84 | 41.3 | 2.03 | 89. 20 | 40.0 | 2.23 | 87.64 | 39.3 | 2.23 | 91.62 | 40.9 | 2. 24 |
| 1958: January | 89. 50 | 39.6 | 2. 26 | 88. 78 | 38.6 | 2. 30 | 82.59 | 39.9 | 2.07 | 88.88 | 39.5 | 2.25 | 91.60 | 40.0 | 2.29 | 90.52 | 39.7 | 2. 28 |
| February | 86.78 | 38. 4 | 2. 26 | 89.62 | 38.3 | 2.34 | 79.07 | 38.2 | 2.07 | 89. 27 | 39.5 | 2.26 | 87.17 | 38.4 | 2.27 | 90.23 | 39.4 | 2. 29 |
| March. | 89.04 | 39.4 | 2. 26 | 89.31 | 39.0 | 2. 29 | 80.39 | 38.1 | 2.11 | 89. 72 | 39.7 | 2.26 | 90.52 | 39.7 | 2.28 | 90.85 | 39.5 | 2. 30 |
| April.- | 85. 88 | 38.0 | 2. 26 | 85.88 | 36.7 | 2.34 | 79.55 | 37.7 | 2.11 | 88.59 | 39.2 | 2.26 | 86. 26 | 38.0 | 2.27 | 90.62 | 39.4 | 2. 30 |
| May | 89. 21 | 39.3 | 2. 27 | 91.39 | 38.4 | 2.38 | 79.59 | 37.9 | 2.10 | 86.03 | 37.9 | 2. 27 | 90.74 | 39.8 | 2.28 | 91.01 | 39.4 | 2. 31 |
| June | 90. 74 | 39.8 | 2. 28 | 94.25 | 39.6 | 2.38 | 86.22 | 40.1 | 2. 15 | 87.24 | 38.6 | 2.26 | 91. 20 | 40.0 | 2.28 | 92.34 | 39.8 | 2. 32 |
| July. | 91. 31 | 39.7 | 2. 30 | 96. 16 | 39.9 | 2.41 | 81. 37 | 38.2 | 2. 31 | 87.01 | 38.5 | 2.26 | 91. 77 | 39,9 | 2. 30 | 91.64 | 39.5 | 2. 32 |
| August | 91.31 | 39.7 | 2.30 | 98.23 | 41.8 | 2.35 | 86.33 | 39.6 | 2.18 | 87.85 | 38.7 | 2.27 | 91.64 | 39.5 | 2.32 | 92.73 | 39.8 | 2. 33 |
| September | 94.89 | 40.9 | 2.32 | 111.60 | 45.0 | 2.48 | 84.89 | 39.3 | 2.16 | 87.14 | 38.9 | 2.24 | 93.32 | 40.4 | 2.31 | 94.47 | 40.2 | 2. 35 |
| October | 87.25 | 38.1 | 2.29 | 101.40 | 41.9 | 2.42 | 87.95 | 41.1 | 2.14 | 86.91 | 38.8 | 2.24 | 82.40 | 36.3 | 2.27 | 92.51 | 39.2 | 2. 36 |
| November | 95.34 | 40.4 | 2.36 | 97.93 | 40.3 | 2.43 | 90.52 | 42.3 | 2.14 | 89.67 | 39.5 | 2.27 | 96. 39 | 40.5 | 2.38 | 98. 16 | 40.9 | 2.40 |
| Decembe | 97.17 | 41.0 | 2.37 | 97. 69 | 40.2 | 2.43 | 92.66 | 42.7 | 2.17 | 92. 29 | 40.3 | 2.29 | 98.88 | 41.2 | 2. 40 | 98. 81 | 41.0 | 2.41 |
| 1959: January - | 95.58 | 40.5 | 2. 36 | 97.36 | 39.9 | 2. 44 | 89.88 | 42.0 | 2. 14 | 87.01 | 38.5 | 2. 26 | 96.87 | 40. 7 | 2.38 | 98.40 | 41.0 | 2.40 |

Table C-1. Hours and gross earnings of production or nonsupervisory workers, by industry ${ }^{1}$ - Con.

| Year and month | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. <br> hrly. <br> earn- <br> ings | Avg. wkly. earnlngs | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. <br> hrly. <br> earn- <br> ings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. <br> hrly. <br> earn- <br> ings | Avg. <br> wkly. <br> earn- <br> ings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | A vg. <br> hrly. <br> earn- <br> ings |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Manufacturing-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Durable goods-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Machinery (except electrical)-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Electrical machinery |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Fabricated pipe, fittings, and valves |  |  | Ball and roller bearings |  |  | Machine shops (job and repair) |  |  | Total: Electrical machinery |  |  | Electrical generating, transmission, distribution, and industrial apparatus ${ }^{2}$ |  |  | Wiring devices and supplies |  |  |
| 1956: A verage | \$88.99 | 41.2 | \$2. 16 | \$89. 01 | 41.4 | \$2.15 | \$90. 31 | 42.2 | \$2. 14 | \$80.78 | 40.8 | \$1.98 | \$87. 15 | 41.5 | \$2. 10 | \$76. 11 | 40.7 | \$1. 87 |
| 1957: Average | 91.13 | 40.5 | 2.25 | 89.15 | 39.8 | 2.24 | 92.96 | 41.5 | 2.24 | 83.01 | 40.1 | 2.07 | 88.70 | 40.5 | 2.19 | 76.82 | 39.6 | 1.94 |
| 1958: January | 92.57 | 39.9 | 2.32 | 87.62 | 38.6 | 2.27 | 91.03 | 40.1 | 2.27 | 82.89 | 39.1 | 2.12 | 88.09 | 39.5 | 2.23 | 77.22 | 39.0 | 1.98 |
| February | 90. 84 | 39.2 | 2. 32 | 87.78 | 38.5 | 2.28 | 90.74 | 39.8 | 2.28 | 83.07 | 39.0 | 2. 13 | 87.64 | 39.3 | 2.23 | 76. 03 | 38.4 | 1.98 |
| March | 90.55 | 39.2 | 2.31 | 88.17 | 38.5 | 2.29 | 91.60 | 40.0 | 2.29 | 83.67 | 39.1 | 2.14 | 88.65 | 39.4 | 2.25 | 77.80 | 38.9 | 2.00 |
| April | 90.48 | 39.0 | 2.32 | 87.48 | 38.2 | 2.29 | 92.23 | 40.1 | 2.30 | 83.46 | 39.0 | 2.14 | 87.58 | 39.1 | 2.24 | 77.41 | 38.9 | 1.99 |
| May | 89.63 | 38.8 | 2. 31 | 87.63 | 38.1 | 2. 30 | 92.86 | 40.2 | 2.31 | 83. 67 | 39.1 | 2.14 | 88.43 | 39.3 | 2.25 | 78. 00 | 39.0 | 2.00 |
| June | ${ }^{90.39}$ | 39.3 | 2. 30 | 89. 24 | 38.8 | 2.30 | 94. 54 | 40.4 | 2.34 | 85.14 | 39.6 | 2.15 | 89.27 | 39.5 | 2.26 | 78.17 | 38.7 | 2.02 |
| July. | 91.87 | 39,6 | 2. 32 | 86.33 | 37.7 | 2.29 | 93.03 | 40.1 | 2.32 | 84.50 | 39.3 | 2.15 | 89.04 | 39.4 | 2.26 | 78.36 | 38.6 | 2.03 |
| August | 92.04 | 39.5 | 2. 33 | 88.24 | 38.2 | 2.31 | 94. 54 | 40.4 | 2.34 | 84.96 | 39.7 | 2.14 | 89.33 | 39.7 | 2.25 | 79.18 | 39.2 | 2.02 |
| Septembe | 93. 30 | 39.7 | 2. 35 | 92.90 | 39.7 | 2.34 | 95. 65 | 40.7 | 2.35 | 87. 26 | 40.4 | 2.16 | 90.63 | 40.1 | 2.28 | 79.59 | 39.4 | 2.02 |
| October- | 94.33 | 39.8 | 2.37 | 86.63 | 37.5 | 2.31 | 93.38 | 39.4 | 2.37 | 85.79 | 39.9 | 2.15 | 90.80 | 40.0 | 2.27 | 81.99 | 39.8 | 2.06 |
| November | 95. 68 | 40. 2 | 2. 38 | 104. 66 | 42.2 | 2. 48 | 97.10 | 40.8 | 2. 38 | 88.91 | 40.6 | 2.19 | 92.52 | 40.4 | 2. 29 | 80.99 | 39.7 | 2.04 |
| December | 96.72 | 40.3 | 2. 40 | 102. 26 | 41.4 | 2.47 | 98.71 | 41.3 | 2.39 | 89.32 | 40.6 | 2.20 | 93.61 | 40.7 | 2.30 | 82. 42 | 40.4 | 2.04 |
| 1959: January - | 95.60 | 40.0 | 2.39 | 100. 28 | 41.1 | 2.44 | 99.19 | 41.5 | 2.39 | 89.06 | 40.3 | 2.21 | 92.29 | 40.3 | 2.29 | 81.59 | 39.8 | 2.05 |
|  | Carbon and graphite products (electrical) |  |  | Electrical indicating, measuring, and recording instruments |  |  | Motors, generators, and motor-generator sets |  |  | Power and distribution transformers |  |  | Switchoear, switchboard, and industrial controls |  |  | Electrical welding apparatus |  |  |
| 1956: A verage | \$84. 46 | 41.2 | \$2. 05 | \$80.16 | 40.9 | \$1.96 | \$90.86 | 41.3 | \$2. 20 | \$92.84 | 42.2 | \$2. 20 | \$90. 30 | 42.0 | \$2.15 | \$101. 68 | 44.4 | \$2. 29 |
| 1957: A verage | 84.80 | 40.0 | 2. 12 | 81.61 | 40.2 | 2.03 | 93. 79 | 40.6 | 2. 31 | 93.38 | 40.6 | 2.30 | 93. 11 | 41.2 | 2.26 | 96. 28 | 41.5 | 2.32 |
| 1958: January | 83.50 | 39.2 | 2. 13 | 80.96 | 39.3 | 2.06 | 93.06 | 39.6 | 2. 35 | 90.46 | 39.5 | 2.29 | 92.73 | 39.8 | 2. 33 | 91.71 | 39.7 | 2.31 |
| February | 82.60 | 38. 6 | 2. 14 | 81.12 | 39.0 | 2.08 | 94. 09 | 39.7 | 2.37 | 91.87 | 39.6 | 2. 32 | 91.94 | 39.8 | 2.31 | 88. 01 | 38.1 | 2.31 |
| March | 82.35 | 38.3 | 2. 15 | 82. 32 | 39.2 | 2. 10 | 93. 85 | 39.6 | 2. 37 | 92.97 | 39.9 | 2.33 | 92.50 | 39.7 | 2. 33 | 86. 48 | 37.6 | 2. 30 |
| April. | 82.60 | 38.6 | 2. 14 | 82.08 | 38.9 | 2.11 | 92. 04 | 39.0 | 2. 36 | 92. 50 | 39.7 | 2.33 | 91.41 | 39.4 | 2. 32 | 87.55 | 37.9 | 2. 31 |
| May | 84.20 | 38.8 | 2. 17 | 83.28 | 39.1 | 2.13 | 94. 01 | 39.5 | 2.38 | 92.73 | 39.8 | 2.33 | 91.41 | 39.4 | 2.32 | 88.39 | 38.1 | 2.32 |
| June | 85.63 | 39.1 | 2.19 | 85. 57 | 39.8 | 2.15 | 94. 88 | 39.7 | 2. 39 | 92.50 | 39.7 | 2.33 | 92.73 | 39.8 | 2.33 | 89. 47 | 38.4 | 2.33 |
| July- | 85. 41 | 39.0 | 2. 19 | 85.75 | 39.7 | 2.16 | 95.28 | 39.7 | 2. 40 | 91.94 | 39.8 | 2.31 | 92.27 | 39.6 | 2.33 | 88. 62 | 38.2 | 2.32 |
| August | 86. 29 | 39.4 | 2.19 | 83.13 | 39.4 | 2.11 | 96.00 | 40.0 | 2. 40 | 91. 64 | 39.5 | 2. 32 | 92.10 | 39.7 | 2.32 | 90.63 | 40.1 | 2.26 |
| Septemb | 86.11 | 39.5 | 2. 18 | 87. 08 | 40.5 | 2.15 | 97.77 | 40.4 | 2.42 | 94.71 | 40.3 | 2.35 | 93.20 | 40.0 | 2.33 | 92.11 | 40.4 | 2.28 |
| October | 88. 40 | 40.0 | 2.21 | 85. 57 | 39.8 | 2. 15 | 97.36 | 40.4 | 2.41 | 93.53 | 39.8 | 2. 35 | 94.40 | 40.0 | 2. 36 | 90.29 | 39.6 | 2.28 |
| Novembe | 89.06 90.72 | 40.3 | 2. 21 | 88.75 | 40.9 | 2.17 | 101. 02 | 40.9 | 2. 47 | 93. 93 | 39.8 | 2. 36 | 95. 11 | 40.3 | 2. 36 | 88.08 | 38.8 | 2. 27 |
| 1959: January | 90.72 | 40.5 | 2. 24 | 86. 46 | 40.4 | 2.14 | 99.72 | 40.7 | 2. 45 | 94.16 | 39.9 | 2.36 | 95.11 | 40.3 | 2.36 | 94.07 | 40.2 | 2.29 2.34 |
|  | Electrical appliances |  |  | Insulated wire and cable |  |  | Electrical equipment for vehicles |  |  | Electric lamps |  |  | Communication equipment ${ }^{2}$ |  |  | Radios, phonographs, television sets, and equipment |  |  |
| 1956: A verage | \$80. 60 | 39.9 | \$2. 02 | \$84. 71 | 43.0 | \$1. 97 | \$84. 42 | 40.2 | \$2.10 | \$75. 07 | 40.8 | \$1. 84 | \$75.95 | 40.4 | \$1.88 | \$72.98 | 40.1 | \$1.82 |
| 1957: Average | 83.10 | 39.2 | 2. 12 | 85.08 | 41.5 | 2. 05 | 85. 85 | 39.2 | 2.19 | 76. 62 | 39.7 | 1.93 | 78.41 | 39.8 | 1.97 | 75.83 | 39.7 | 1.91 |
| 1958: January | 83.60 | 38.0 | 2. 20 | 81.80 | 39.9 | 2.05 | 86. 02 | 38.4 | 2. 24 | 78.59 | 39.1 | 2.01 | 79.15 | 38.8 | 2.04 | 77.40 | 38.7 | 2.00 |
| February | 84.42 | 38.2 | 2. 21 | 81.60 | 40.0 | 2.04 | 85. 50 | 38.0 | 2. 25 | 77. 60 | 38.8 | 2.00 | 79.95 | 39.0 | 2. 05 | 78. 98 | 39.1 | 2.02 |
| March | 83.44 | 38.1 | 2. 19 | 82.42 | 40.4 | 2.04 | 86.18 | 37.8 | 2. 28 | 77. 59 | 38. 6 | 2.01 | 80.16 | 39.1 | 2.05 | 79.39 | 39.3 | 2.02 |
| A pril | 81.81 | 37.7 | 2.17 | 82.42 | 40.4 | 2.04 | 84.52 | 37.4 | 2. 26 | 78.39 | 39.0 | 2.01 | 80.94 | 39.1 | 2. 07 | 79. 78 | 39.3 | 2.03 |
| May | 82. 28 | 37.4 | 2.20 | 81.80 | 40.1 | 2.04 | 84.67 | 37.3 | 2.27 | 77.79 | 38.7 | 2.01 | 80.96 | 39.3 | 2. 06 | 79.98 | 39.4 | 2.03 |
| June | 82.40 | 37.8 | 2. 18 | 87.36 | 41.8 | 2.09 | 89.31 | 39.0 | 2.29 | 78.74 | 38.6 | 2.04 | 82. 39 | 39.8 | 2.07 | 81.60 | 40.0 | 2.04 |
| July | 83.00 | 37.9 | 2. 19 | 88.18 | 42.6 | 2.07 | 89, 17 | 38.6 | 2.31 | 79.34 | 38.7 | 2.05 | 80.75 | 39.2 | 2.06 | 80.39 | 39.6 | 2.03 |
| August | 84.37 | 38.7 | 2. 18 | 84. 24 | 40.5 | 2.08 | 88. 62 | 38.7 | 2. 29 | 80.16 | 39.1 | 2.05 | 82. 59 | 39.9 | 2.07 | 81.40 | 40.1 | 2.03 |
| Septemb | 87.12 88.22 | 39.6 | 2. 20 | 88.20 | 42. 0 | 2. 10 | 94. 19 | 40.6 | 2. 32 | 81.35 | 39. 3 | 2.07 | 84. 24 | 40.5 | 2. 08 | 83.64 | 40.8 | 2.05 |
| October- | 88.22 | 40.1 | 2. 20 | 88.62 | 42.2 | 2. 10 | 76.81 | 34. 6 | 2. 22 | 85.01 | 40.1 | 2.12 | 83.41 | 40.1 | 2.08 | 82. 01 | 40.2 | 2.04 |
| December | 82.06 | ${ }_{39} 41.7$ | 2. 24 | ${ }^{89.04}$ | 42.2 | 2.11 | 99.12 | 41.3 | 2.40 | 87.74 | 41.0 | 2.14 | 84.23 | 40.3 | 2. 09 | 83.03 | 40.5 | 2.05 |
| 1959: January -- | 89.55 | 39.8 | 2.25 | 88.83 | 42.5 | 2.09 | 100.62 | 42.8 42.1 | 2.40 2.39 | 87.95 86.48 | 41.1 40.6 | 2.14 213 | 84.59 <br> 84.77 | 39.9 39.8 | 2.12 2.13 | 83.39 <br> 84.61 | 39.9 40.1 | 2.09 2.11 |
|  | Radio tubes |  |  | Telephone, telegraph, and related equip. ment |  |  | Miscellaneous electrical products ${ }^{2}$ |  |  | Storage batteries |  |  | Primary batteries <br> (dry and wet) |  |  | $X$-ray and nonradio electronic tubes |  |  |
| 1956: Average | \$67.25 | 39.1 | \$1. 72 | \$95. 24 | 42.9 | \$2. 22 | \$78. 34 | 40.8 | \$1. 92 | \$87. 12 | 40.9 | \$2. 13 | \$64. 48 | 39.8 | \$1. 62 | \$87. 53 | 40.9 | \$2. 14 |
| 1957: Average | 70.23 | 38.8 | 1. 81 | 94. 39 | 41.4 | 2.28 | 81.61 | 40.4 | 2.02 | 90.09 | 40.4 | 2.23 | 68.00 | 40.0 | 1. 70 | 89.47 | 40.3 | 2.22 |
| 1958: January | 71.61 | 38.5 | 1. 86 | 92.27 | 39.6 | 2.33 | 82.59 | 39.9 | 2.07 | 88.53 | 39.0 | 2.27 | 69.03 | 39.9 | 1. 73 | 91.71 | 40.4 | 2.27 |
| February | 71.43 | 38. 2 | 1. 87 | 92.04 | 39.5 | 2.33 | 81.95 | 39.4 | 2.08 | 87.48 | 38.2 | 2. 29 | 69.83 | 39.9 | 1. 75 | 90.57 | 39.9 | 2.27 |
| March | 71.06 | 38.0 | 1.87 | 91.80 | 39.4 | 2.33 | 82.76 | 39.6 | 2. 09 | 89.86 | 38.9 | 2.31 | 69.48 | 39.7 | 1.75 | 91. 60 | 40.0 | 2.29 |
| A pril | 72.96 | 38. 4 | 1. 90 | 92.59 | 39.4 | 2.35 | 83.18 | 39.8 | 2. 09 | 89.32 | 38.5 | 2. 32 | 70.05 | 39.8 | 1.76 | 91. 66 | 40. 2 | 2.28 |
| May | 72.94 | 38.8 | 1.88 | 93.22 | 39.5 | 2.36 | 82.56 | 39.5 | 2. 09 | 90.09 | 39.0 | 2.31 | 70.67 | 39.7 | 1.78 | 92.40 | 40.0 | 2.31 |
|  | 74.86 | 39.4 | 1. 90 | 93.06 | 39.6 | 2. 35 | 83.20 | 40.0 | 2. 08 | 92. 40 | 40.0 | 2. 31 | 70.98 | 40.1 | 1.77 | 93.32 | 40.4 | 2.31 |
| July-.- | 72.77 | 38.1 | 1. 91 | 90. 79 | 38.8 | 2. 34 | 84.19 | 39.9 | 2. 11 | 92.17 | 39.9 | 2. 31 | 73. 16 | 40.2 | 1. 82 | 94. 47 | 40.2 | 2.35 |
| August.--- | 74.30 | 38.9 | 1. 91 | 94.87 | 40.2 | 2.36 | 83.18 | 39.8 | 2. 09 | 93.26 | 40.2 | 2. 32 | 70.22 | 39.9 | 1.76 | 93. 26 | 40.2 | 2.32 |
| September | 76. 81 | 39.8 | 1. 93 | 94.87 | 40.2 | 2. 36 | 85. 89 | 40.9 | 2. 10 | 97.76 | 41.6 | 2.35 | 72. 22 | 40.8 | 1. 77 | 94. 47 | 40.2 | 2.35 |
| October-- | 76.82 | 39.6 | 1. 94 | 95. 58 | 40.5 | 2. 36 | 84.86 | 40.8 | 2. 08 | 94.99 | 41.3 | 2. 30 | 73. 10 | 41.3 | 1.77 | 93. 93 | 39.3 | 2. 39 |
| November---- | 77.81 77.03 | 39.7 39.3 | 1.96 | 95.27 96.63 | 40.2 40.6 | 2.37 2.38 | 89.86 94.57 | 41.6 42.6 | 2. 16 | 104.98 <br> 118 | 43.2 46.4 | 2. 43 | 74. 57 | 41.2 | 1. 81 | 95. 51 | 40.3 | 2. 37 |
| 1959: January --- | 75.85 | 38.5 | 1.97 | 96.39 | 40.5 | 2.38 | 90.03 | 41.3 | 2.18 | 105.41 | 43.2 | 2.44 | 73.26 73.62 | 40.7 40.9 | 1.80 | 96.63 96.63 | 40.6 | 2.38 2.38 |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table C-1. Hours and gross earnings of production or nonsupervisory workers, by industry ${ }^{1}$-Con.

| Year and month | Avg. wkly. earn- ings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | A vg. brly. earn- ings | Avg. wkly. ings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earn- <br> ings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. <br> hrly. <br> earn- <br> ings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. <br> hrly. <br> earn- <br> ings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earn ings |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Manufacturing-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Durable goods-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Transportation equipment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total: Transportation equipment |  |  | Motor vehicles and equipment ${ }^{2}$ |  |  | Motor vehicles, bodies, parts, and accessories |  |  | Truck and bus bodies |  |  | Trailers (truck and automobile) |  |  | Aircraft and parts ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| 1956: Average | \$94. 48 | 40.9 | \$2. 31 | \$94. 71 | 40.3 | \$2. 35 | \$95. 91 | 40.3 | \$2. 38 | \$81.61 | 40.4 | \$2. 02 | \$82. 59 | 39.9 | \$2.07 | \$95. 99 | 42.1 | \$2. 28 |
| 1957: A verage. | 97.36 | 40.4 | 2. 41 | 98.40 | 40.0 | 2. 46 | 99.85 | 40.1 | 2. 49 | 84.56 | 39.7 | 2.13 | 81.35 | 39.3 | 2.07 | ${ }^{96.76}$ | 41.0 | 2.36 |
| 1958: January- | 95.45 94.96 | 38.8 38.6 | 2. 2.46 | ${ }^{92.50}$ | 37.3 37.3 | 2.48 2.48 | 93.37 93.37 | 37.2 37.2 | 2.51 | 86.80 85.02 | 40.0 39.0 | 2.17 2.18 | 78. 78 | 37.4 37.1 | 2.09 2.09 | 98.66 98.58 | 40.6 40.4 | 2. 2. 44 |
| March | 97.32 | 39.4 | 2. 47 | 95. 75 | 38.3 | 2. 50 | 97.28 | 38.3 | 2. 54 | 86.11 | 39.5 | 2.18 | 80.60 | 38.2 | 2.11 | 99.06 | 40.6 | 2. 44 |
| April | 97.07 | 39.3 | 2.47 | 96.00 | 38.4 | 2. 50 | 97.54 | 38.4 | 2.54 | 85.02 | 39.0 | 2.18 | 79.80 | 38.0 | 2. 10 | 98.33 | 40.3 | 2. 44 |
| May | 98.85 | 39.7 | 2. 49 | 97.64 | 38.9 | 2. 51 | 98. 94 | 38.8 | 2. 55 | 86. 94 | 39.7 | 2. 19 | 83.79 | 39.9 | 2.10 | 100. 44 | 40.5 | 2. 48 |
| June | 99.50 | 39.8 | 2.50 | 98.14 | 39.1 | 2.51 | 99. 20 | 38.9 | 2.55 | 87.20 | 40.0 | 2.18 | 87.13 | 41.1 | 2. 12 | 102. 16 | 40.7 | 2. 51 |
| July | 100.19 | 39.6 | 2. 53 | 97.39 | 38.8 | 2. 51 | 98.82 | 38.6 | 2. 56 | 87. 60 | 40.0 | 2.19 | 85. 47 | 40.7 | 2. 208 | 102.62 | 40.4 | 2. 54 |
| August | 102.00 | 40.0 | 2. 255 | 99.82 08.43 | 39.3 38.6 | 2.54 | 101.66 | 39.1 38 | 2.60 2.60 | 89.20 88.03 | 40.0 39.3 | 2.24 | 85.28 87.57 | 41.7 | 2.10 | 104. 04 | 40.8 | 2.55 |
| Septemb | 100.98 | 39.6 40.0 | 2. 2.55 | 98. 10.04 | 38.6 39.7 | 2.55 | 101.91 | 38.3 39.5 | 2. 2.58 | 84. 92 | 39.6 38.6 | 2. 20 | 88.83 | 41.9 | 2.12 | 104.09 | 40.5 | 2. 57 |
| Novembe | 106. 78 | 40.6 | 2.63 | 110.70 | 41.0 | 2.70 | 112.03 | 41.1 | 2.75 | 92.46 | 40.2 | 2.30 | 84.65 | 40.5 | 2.09 | 104. 19 | 40.7 | 2. 56 |
| December | 110.92 | 41.7 | 2.66 | 117.82 | 43.0 | 2.74 | 120.81 | 43.3 | 2. 79 | 93.73 | 40.4 | 2.32 | 86.92 | 41.0 | 2. 12 | 105. 52 | 40.9 | 2.58 |
| 1959: January- | 107. 16 | 40.9 | 2.62 | 109.45 | 41.3 | 2.65 | 111.78 | 41.4 | 2.70 | 90.91 | 39.7 | 2.29 | 86. 69 | 40.7 | 2.13 | 105. 52 | 40.9 | 2.58 |
|  | Aircraft |  |  | Aircraft engines and parts |  |  | Aircraft propellers and parts |  |  | Other aircraft parts and equipment |  |  | Ship and boat building and repairing ${ }^{3}$ |  |  | Shipbuilding and repairing |  |  |
| 1956: Average | \$94:89 | 41.8 | \$2.27 | \$96.90 | 42.5 | \$2. 28 | \$96. 93 | 42.7 | \$2. 27 | \$98. 01 | 42.8 | \$2. 29 | \$89. 33 | 39.7 | \$2. 25 | \$92.27 | 39.6 | \$2. 33 |
| 1957: A verage. | 95.65 | 40.7 | 2.35 | 98.23 | 41.1 | 2.39 | 97.76 | 41.6 | 2.35 | 99. 78 | 42.1 | 2.37 | 94.88 | 39.7 | 2. 39 | 97.81 | 39.6 | 2. 47 |
| 1958: January | 98.49 | 40.7 | 2.42 | 99.00 | 39.6 | 2. 50 | 97.58 | 41.0 | 2.38 | 100. 43 | 41.5 | 2. 42 | 94.14 | 38.9 | 2. 42 | 97. 00 | 38.8 | 2. 50 |
| 108. February | 97. 53 | 40.3 | 2.42 | 99.75 | 39.9 | 2.50 | 98.36 | 41.5 | 2.37 | 99.63 | 41.0 | 2. 43 | 91.85 | 37.8 | 2. 43 | 94. 75 | 37.6 | 2. 52 |
| March. | 98.42 | 40.5 | 2.43 | 100. 90 | 40.2 | 2. 51 | 94. 71 | 40.3 | 2.35 | 100.53 | 41.2 | 2. 44 | 96.78 | 39.5 | 2.45 | 99. 43 | 39.3 39.0 | 2. 53 |
| April | 97. 69 | 40.2 | 2. 43 | 100.40 | 40.0 | 2. 51 | 95.99 | 40.5 40.3 | 2.37 | 100.28 | 41.1 | 2. 244 | 97.51 | 39.8 | 2. 45 | 100.19 | 39.6 | 2. 53 |
| May | 101. 09 | 40.6 40.5 | 2. 2.52 | 100.55 103.38 | 39.9 40.7 | 2. 2.54 | 94.71 | 40.3 40.3 | 2.35 | 102.59 | 41.2 | 2. 49 | 96.78 | 39.5 | 2.45 | 99. 43 | 39.3 | 2.53 |
| June | 102.91 | 40.5 40.2 | 2.56 | 103.79 | 40.7 | 2.55 | 93.77 | 39.9 | 2.35 | 103.16 | 41.1 | 2.51 | 99.65 | 39.7 | 2.51 | 102. 68 | 39.8 | 2.58 |
| August | 104. 34 | 40.6 | 2.57 | 102.47 | 40.5 | 2.53 | 92.83 | 39.5 | 2.35 | 105. 84 | 42.0 | 2.52 | 100.98 | 39.6 | 2.55 | 104. 01 | 39.7 | 2.62 |
| September | 103. 57 | 40.3 | 2.57 | 105.83 | 41.5 | 2. 55 | 96.46 | 40.7 | 2.37 | 105. 75 | 41.8 | 2.53 | 100. 35 | 39.2 | 2. 56 | 102.83 | 39.1 | 2.63 |
| October | 104. 49 | 40.5 | 2.58 | 100.35 | 39.2 | 2. 56 | 95. 68 | 40.2 | 2.38 | 107.10 | 42.0 | 2.55 | 102.68 | 39.8 | 2. 58 | 106. 13 | 39.9 | 2. 66 |
| November | 103. 97 | 40.3 | 2.58 | 106. 04 | 41.1 | 2.58 | 98.57 | 40.9 | 2.41 | 104.83 | 41.6 | 2.52 | 99. 72 | 38.8 | 2.57 | 102. 94 | 38.7 | 2.66 |
| December | 104. 12 | 40.2 | 2.59 | 106. 86 | 41.1 | 2. 60 | 99.87 | 41.1 | 2.43 | 108.54 | 42.9 | 2.53 | 101. 53 | 39.2 | 2. 59 | 105. 45 | 39.2 | 2. 69 |
| 1959: January------ | 105.15 | 4 C .6 | 2.59 | 108. 47 | 41.4 | 2. 62 | 100.53 | 41.2 | 2. 44 | 105. 25 | 41.6 | 2. 53 | 102.05 | 39.4 | 2.59 | 106.11 | 39.3 | $\underline{2.70}$ |
|  | Transportation equipment-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Instruments and related products |  |  |
|  | Boatbuilding and repairing |  |  | Rallroad equipment ${ }^{2}$ |  |  | Locomotives and parts |  |  | Railroad and street cars |  |  | Other transportation equipment |  |  | Total: Instruments and related products |  |  |
| 1956: A verage | \$73. 57 | 40.2 | \$1.83 | \$94. 56 | 39.9 | \$2.37 | \$99.41 | 42.3 | \$2. 35 | \$92. 19 | 38.9 | \$2. 37 | \$77. 59 | 40.2 | \$1.93 | \$82.01 | 40. 8 | \$2. 01 |
| 1957: A verage | 77. 78 | 40.3 | 1.93 | 100.80 | 40.0 | 2.52 | 102. 41 | 40.8 | 2.51 | 99.79 | 39.6 | 2.52 | 79. 59 | 39.4 | 2.02 | 85. 03 | 40.3 | 2.11 |
| 1958: January | 76.83 | 39.2 | 1.96 | 101. 92 | 39.2 | 2. 60 | 100.10 | 39.1 | 2. 56 | 102.97 | 39.3 | 2.62 | 81.12 | 39.0 | 2. 08 | 85. 14 | 39.6 | 2.15 |
| Februar | 74.50 | 38.4 | 1.94 | 100.10 | 38.5 | 2. 60 | 98.81 | 38.3 | 2. 58 | 100. 75 | 38.6 | 2.61 | 82. 56 | 39.5 39 | 2.09 | 84. 50 | 39.3 394 4 | 2.15 |
| March | 79.39 | 40.3 | 1.97 | 102.96 | 39.0 | 2. 264 | 102. 96 | 39.6 39.4 | 2. 2.60 | 103.21 99.96 | 38.8 37.3 | 2. 2.68 | 82.56 | 39.5 | 2.09 | 85. 72 | 39.5 | 2.17 |
| April | 78.20 | 39.9 | 1.96 | 100. 81 | 37.9 37 |  |  | 39.4 38.9 |  | ${ }_{99.06}^{99.96}$ | 37.1 37.1 | 2. 67 | 81. 48 | 38.8 | 2.10 | 85, 46 | 39.2 | 2. 18 |
| May | 80.56 | 41.1 | 1.96 | 99.64 98.21 | 37.6 37.2 | 2.65 24 | 101. 104.41 | 38.9 39.7 | 2.61 2.63 | ${ }_{94.78}^{99.06}$ | 37.1 35.9 | 2.64 | 82.49 82. | 38.8 39.8 | 2.07 | 87.16 | 39.8 | 2.19 |
| June | 78. 98 76 76 | 40.5 38.6 | 1.95 1.98 | 98.21 98.05 | 37.2 37.0 | 2. 265 | 104.41 107.07 | 40.1 | 2.63 2.67 | 93.98 | 35.9 35.6 | 2.64 | 78.83 | 37.9 | 2.08 | 87.34 | 39.7 | 2. 20 |
| August | 77.79 | 38.7 | 2.01 | 97.94 | 37.1 | 2.64 | 102.97 | 39.3 | 2.62 | 95.40 | 36.0 | 2.65 | 83. 35 | 39.5 | 2.11 | 87.96 | 39.8 | 2. 21 |
| Septem | 79.60 | 39.8 | 2.00 | 97.99 | 36.7 | 2.67 | 104.28 | 39.5 | 2.64 | 94.69 | 35.2 | 2. 69 | 85.03 | 40. 3 | 2.11 | 89. 47 | 40.3 | 2. 22 |
| October | 79.20 | 39.6 | 2.00 | 96.75 | 35.7 | 2.71 | 102.27 | 37.6 | 2. 72 | 95.12 | 35.1 | 2. 71 | 85. 24 | 40.4 | 2.11 | 80.28 | 40.4 | 2. 21 |
| Novemb | 78.80 | 39.6 | 1.99 | 104.18 | 38.3 | 2. 72 | 107. 05 | 39.5 | 2. 71 | 102. 65 | 37.6 | 2.73 | 79.38 | 37.8 | 2.10 | 90.76 | 40.7 | 2. 23 |
| December | 78.41 | 39.6 | 1.98 | 106.74 | 39.1 | 2. 73 | 108. 53 | 39.9 | 2. 72 | 105. 65 | 38.7 | 2. 73 | 85. 32 | 39.5 | 2.16 | 91. 62 | 40.9 | 2. 24 |
| 1959: January --.--- | 79. 40 | 40.1 | 1.98 | 101.41 | 37.7 | 2.69 | 103.49 | 39.5 | 2.62 | 100. 74 | 36.9 | 2.73 | 86 | 40.0 | 2.17 | 91.80 | 40.8 | 2.25 |
|  | Laboratory, scientific, and engineering instruments |  |  | Mechanical measuring and controlling instruments |  |  | Optical instruments and lenses |  |  | Surgical, medical, and dental instruments |  |  | Ophthalmic goods ${ }^{4}$ |  |  | Photographic apparatus |  |  |
| 1956: A verage | \$94. 95 | 42.2 | \$2. 25 | \$83. 64 | 41.0 | \$2.04 | \$83.03 | 40.5 | \$2. 05 | \$71. 51 | 40.4 | \$1. 77 | \$64. 64 | 40.4 | \$1. 60 | \$91. 46 | 41.2 | \$2. 22 |
| 1957: Average | 97.17 | 41.0 | 2.37 | 86.27 | 40.5 | 2.13 | 85.22 | 40.2 | 2.12 | 74.37 | 40.2 | 1.85 | 67.26 | 39.8 | 1.69 | 94.60 | 40. 6 | 2. 33 |
| 1958: January | 100.45 | 41.0 | 2.45 | 84.93 | 39.5 | 2.15 | 82.86 | 38.9 | 2.13 | 75. 43 | 39.7 | 1.90 | 69. 16 | 38.0 | 1.82 | 96. 08 | 40.2 | 2. 39 |
| February | 96:56 | 39.9 | 2.42 | 84.50 | 39.3 | 2.15 | 82.82 | 38.7 | 2.14 | 74.28 | 39.3 | 1.89 | 69.91 | 38.2 | 1.83 | 96. 00 | 40.0 | 2. 40 |
| March | 99.05 | 40.1 | 2.47 | 84.89 | 39.3 | 2.16 | 84.32 | 39.4 | 2. 14 | 74.87 | 39.2 | 1.91 | 70.10 | 38.1 | 1.84 | 96. 40 | 40.0 | 2. 211 |
| April | 102. 18 | 41.2 | 2. 48 | 84.46 | 39.1 | 2. 16 | 85.36 | 39.7 38 | 2. 15 | 75. 25 | 39.4 | 1.91 | 69.55 70.47 | 37.8 38.3 | 1.84 1.84 | 96. 40 | 40.0 | 2. 41 |
| May. | 100.35 | 40.3 | 2. 49 | 84.80 | 38.9 | 2. 18 | 84.02 | 38.9 | 2.16 | 75.46 | 39.3 40 | 1.92 | 70.47 | 38.3 38.3 | 1.84 <br> 1.85 | 97. 36 | 40.4 | 2.41 |
| June | 103.48 | 40.9 40.4 | 2.53 2.51 | 86.51 86.24 | 39.5 39.2 | 2.19 | 81.43 | 41.0 | 2.23 | 78.78 78.00 | 40.0 | 1.95 | 70.68 | 38.0 | 1.86 | 98.17 | 40.4 | 2. 43 |
| July.... | 101.40 104.70 | 40.4 40.9 | 2.51 2.56 | 86.24 86.90 | 39.5 | 2.20 | 91.24 | 41.1 | 2.22 | 79.39 | 40.3 | 1.97 | 69.55 | 37.8 | 1.84 | 97. 20 | 40.0 | 2. 43 |
| August | 104.79 107.74 | 40.9 41.6 | 2.56 2.59 | 88.18 | 39.9 | 2.21 | 93.50 | 42.5 | 2. 20 | 80.99 | 40.7 | 1.99 | 73.30 | 39.2 | 1.87 | 97. 44 | 40.1 | 2. 43 |
| October. | 105. 73 | 41.3 | 2.56 | 87.96 | 39.8 | 2.21 | 93.95 | 42.9 | 2.19 | 81.20 | 40.6 | 2. 10 | 73.84 | 39.7 | 1. 86 | 98. 58 | 40.4 | 2. 44 |
| Novembe | 108.00 | 41.7 | 2. 59 | 89.87 | 40.3 | 2. 23 | 94.82 | 43.1 | 2. 20 | - 80.80 | 40.4 | 2.00 | 74.80 | 40.0 | 1. 87 | 99.80 | 40.9 | 2. 44 |
| December | 109.13 | 42.3 | 2. 58 | 91.80 | 40.8 | 2.25 | 92.64 | 42.3 | 2. 19 | 81.81 | 40.7 | 2.01 | 74. 24 | 39.7 | 1.87 | 100.37 | 40.8 41.0 | 2.46 2.46 |
| 1959: January - | 109. 201 | 42.0 | 2. 60 | 91. 98 | 40.7 | 2.26 | 89.32 | 40.6 | 2. 20 | 83.43 | 41.1 | 2.03 | 74.82 | 39.8 | 1.8 | 100.86 | 41.0 | 2.46 |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table C-1. Hours and gross earnings of production or nonsupervisory workers, by industry ${ }^{1}$ $\qquad$

| Year and month | Avg. wkly. ings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | A vg. <br> wkly. <br> eari- <br> ings$\|$ | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. brly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | A vg . hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. <br> hrly. <br> earn- <br> ings | A vg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | A vg. hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earn- ings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earn- |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Manufacturing-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Durable goods-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Instruments and related productsContinued |  |  | Miscellaneous manufacturing industries |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Watches and clocks |  |  | Total: Miscellaneous manufacturing industries |  |  | Jewelry, silverware, and plated ware ${ }^{2}$ |  |  | Jewelry and findings |  |  | Silverware and plated ware |  |  | Musical instruments and parts |  |  |
| 1956: A verage | \$70. 77 | 39.1 | \$1.81 | \$70. 53 | 40.3 | \$1.75 | \$73. 81 | 41.7 | \$1.77 | \$69.06 | 41.6 | \$1.66 | \$83. 38 | 41.9 | \$1. 99 | \$80. 54 | 41.3 | \$1.95 |
| 1957: A verage | 72.15 | 39.0 | 1.85 | 72. 22 | 39.9 | 1.81 | 74.07 | 40.7 | 1.82 | 70.07 | 40.5 | 1.73 | 84.05 | 41.2 | 2.04 | 83. 03 | 40.5 | 2. 05 |
| 1958: January | 70.87 | 38.1 | 1. 86 | 72. 52 | 39. 2 | 1.85 | 72.65 | 39.7 | 1.83 | 70.05 | 39.8 | 1.76 | 79. 59 | 39.4 | 2.02 | 80.13 | 38.9 | 2.06 |
| Februar | 72.00 72.76 | 38.5 38.7 | 1.87 1.88 | 71.76 72.13 | 39.0 39.2 | 1.84 1.84 | 73.05 72.86 | 39.7 <br> 39.6 | 1.84 | 70. 40 | 40.00 | 1.76 | ${ }^{79.76}$ | 39.1 | 2. 2.04 | 79. 95 | 39.0 | 2. 05 |
| April | 73.32 | 39.0 | 1.88 | 72.15 | 39.0 39.0 | 1.84 1.85 | 72. 88 | $\begin{array}{r}39.6 \\ 39.4 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 1.84 1.86 | 69.70 70.13 | 39.6 <br> 39.4 | 1.76 1.78 | 81.18 81.35 | 39.6 39.3 | 2.05 | 82. 40 | 40.0 | 2. 06 |
| May | 71.63 | 38.1 | 1.88 | 71. 94 | 39.1 | 1.84 | 74. 26 | 39.4 39.5 | 1.88 | 70.71 | 39.4 39.5 | 1.78 1.79 | 81.35 81.95 | 39.3 39.4 | 2.07 2.08 | 80.32 79.87 | 38.8 <br> 38 | 2. 07 |
| June | 71.82 | 38.2 | 1. 88 | 73. 08 | 39.5 | 1.85 | 74.74 | 40.4 | 1.85 | 72.22 | 40.8 | 1.77 | 81. 16 | 39.4 39.4 | 2.08 208 | 79.87 80.47 | 38.4 <br> 38 | 2.08 2.09 |
| July. | 74. 47 | 39.4 | 1. 89 | 72. 13 | 39.2 | 1.84 | 72. 83 | 39.8 | 1.83 | 70.00 | 40.0 | 1.75 | 80.57 | 39.4 39.3 | 2. 05 | 81. 48 | 38.5 38.8 | 2. 10 |
| August | 73. 52 | 38.9 | 1. 89 | 72.68 | 39.5 | 1.84 | 74. 34 | 40.4 | 1.84 | 71. 28 | 40.5 | 1.76 | 83.79 | 39.9 | 2.10 | 85. 65 | 40.4 | 2. 12 |
| Septemb | 75. 24 | 39.6 | 1. 90 | 74. 19 | 40.1 | 1.85 | 76. 67 | 41.0 | 1.87 | 72.04 | 40.7 | 1. 77 | 88. 82 | 41.7 | 2.13 | 87.33 | 41.0 | 2. 13 |
| Novem | 76. 781 | 40.2 39.9 | 1.90 | 74. 56 | 40.3 | 1.85 | 80. 33 | 42. 5 | 1.89 | 76. 08 | 42.5 | 1. 79 | 91.81 | 42.7 | 2.15 | 88.81 | 41.5 | 2.14 |
| December | 75.83 | 39.7 | 1.91 | 75.95 | 40.4 | 1.88 | 81.98 | 43.3 <br> 42.7 | 1.91 1.92 | 78. 01 | 43.1 42.9 | 1.81 1.83 | 95. 27 | 43.7 | 2.18 | 88. 58 | 41.2 | 2. 15 |
| 1959: January | 76.22 | 39.7 | 1.92 | 76.38 | 40.2 | 1.90 | 77.08 | 41.0 | 1.88 | 73.75 | 41.2 | 1.79 | 85.65 | 40.4 | 2.12 | 88.37 | 41.1 | 2.17 2.15 |
|  | Toys and sporting goods ${ }^{2} 8$ |  |  | Games, toys, dolls, and children's vehicles |  |  | Sporting and athletic goods ${ }^{3}$ |  |  | Pens, pencils, other office supplies |  |  | Costume Jewelry, buttons, notions |  |  | Fabricated plastics products |  |  |
| 1956: Average | \$62. 56 | 39.1 | \$1. 60 | \$61.85 | 38.9 | \$1. 59 | \$63.83 | 39.4 | \$1. 62 | \$66. 58 | 41.1 | \$1.62 | \$62. 33 | 39.2 | \$1. 59 | \$75. 35 | 41.4 | \$1.82 |
| 1957: A verage | 65. 69 | 39.1 | 1. 68 | 63.80 | 38.9 | 1.64 | 69.70 | 39.6 | 1.76 | 67.30 | 40.3 | 1.67 | 65. 07 | 39.2 | 1.66 | 78.31 | 41.0 | 1.91 |
| 1958: January | 66.47 66.68 | 38.2 38.1 | 1.74 1.75 | 64.81 65.02 | 37.9 37 | 1.71 | 68. 89 | 38.7 7 | 1. 78 | 67.43 | 39.9 | 1.69 | 63.74 | 38.4 | 1. 66 | 76.80 | 40.0 | 1.92 |
| March | 67.34 | 38.7 | 1.74 1 | 65.84 | 37.8 38.5 | 1. 1.71 | 69. 30 | 38.5 39.0 | 1.80 1.80 | 66. 25 | 39.2 39.8 | 1.69 1 1 | 63. 14 | 38.5 | 1. 64 | 75. 65 | 39.4 | 1.92 |
| April. | 66.09 | 38.2 | 1.73 | 64.05 | 37.9 | 1.69 | 69.48 | 38.6 | 1.80 | 69.03 | 39.9 | 1.73 | 64.73 | 38.3 | 1.69 | 76. 04 | 39.4 | 1.92 |
| May | 66.13 | 38.9 | 1.70 | 64.74 | 39.0 | 1.66 | 69.45 | 38.8 | 1. 79 | 69.65 | 39.8 | 1.75 | 64.51 | 38.4 | 1. 68 | 76.81 | 39.8 | 1.93 |
| June. | 66. 86 | 39.1 | 1. 71 | 64.74 | 39.0 | 1. 66 | 70.95 | 39.2 | 1.81 | 68.73 | 39.5 | 1.74 | 65. 35 | 38.9 | 1. 68 | 79.37 | 40.7 | 1.95 |
| July | 66.35 | 38.8 | 1. 71 | 64. 24 | 38.7 | 1. 66 | 71. 55 | 39.1 | 1. 83 | 64.39 | 38.1 | 1. 69 | 64.73 | 38.3 | 1. 69 | 78. 98 | 40.5 | 1.95 |
| August | 66.52 | 38.9 | 1.71 | 63.86 | 38.7 | 1. 65 | 72. 68 | 39.5 | 1.84 | 66. 42 | 39.3 | 1.69 | 65. 02 | 38.7 | 1. 68 | 79.77 | 40.7 | 1.96 |
| Septembe | 67.37 | 39.4 | 1.71 | 64.68 | 39. 2 | 1.65 | 73.60 | 40. 0 | 1. 84 | 67.43 | 39.9 | 1. 69 | 66.19 | 39.4 | 1. 68 | 82. 74 | 42.0 | 1.97 |
| October- | 68. 40 | 40.0 39.4 | 1.71 | 66. 97 | 40.1 | 1. 67 | 71. 86 | 39.7 | 1. 81 | 67.15 | 39.5 | 1.70 | 66. 25 | 39. 2 | 1. 69 | 81.76 | 41.5 | 1.97 |
| December | 67. 58 | 39.4 38.6 | 1.73 1.75 | 66. 61 | 39.7 38.1 | 1.67 | 71. 39 | 38.8 | 1. 84 | 68. 28 | 39.7 | 1.72 | 67. 99 | 39. 3 | 1.73 | 81. 54 | 41.6 | 1.96 |
| 1959: January .------ | 69.56 | 39.3 | 1.77 | 64.61 | 39.3 ${ }^{38}$ | 1.68 1.72 | 72.31 72.10 | 39.3 39.4 | 1.84 1.83 | 69.20 70.18 | 40.0 | 1.73 | 65. 40 | 39.4 | 1. 66 | 82.76 | 41.8 | 1.98 |
|  | Durable goods-Continued |  |  | Nondurable goods |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Miscellaneous manufacturing industriesContinued |  |  | Food and kindred products |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Other manufacturing industries |  |  | Total: Food and kindred products |  |  | Meat products ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | Meatpacking, wholesale |  |  | Sausages and casings |  |  | Dairy products ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| 1956: A verage | \$74.37 | 40.2 | \$1.85 | \$75. 03 | 41.0 | \$1.83 | \$84. 03 | 41.6 | \$2. 02 | \$92.00 | 42.2 | \$2. 18 | \$85. 08 | 41.5 | \$2. 05 | \$74. 65 | 42.9 | \$1.74 |
| 1957: Average | 74. 64 | 39.7 | 1.88 | 78.17 | 40.5 | 1.93 | 87.08 | 40.5 | 2.15 | 96.41 | 41.2 | 2.34 | 88.51 | 40.6 | 2.18 | 77.83 | 42.3 | 1.84 |
| 1958: January. | 76. 83 | 39.4 | 1. 95 | 80.60 | 40.1 | 2. 01 | 89.15 | 39. 8 | 2.24 | 99.39 | 40.9 | 2. 43 | 91.48 | 40.3 | 2.27 | 80.41 | 42.1 | 1.91 |
| Februar | 75. 85 | 39.1 | 1. 94 | 79.80 | 39.7 | 2.01 | 86.30 | 38.7 | 2. 23 | 95.83 | 39.6 | 2. 42 | 90.12 | 39.7 | 2.27 | 79.42 | 41.8 | 1.90 |
| March | 75.85 | 39.3 39.1 | 1.93 1.92 | 79.60 79.80 | 39.6 39.7 | 2. 2 2 01 | 86.75 87.25 | 38.9 | 2. 23 | 96. 80 | 40.0 | 2. 42 | 89.72 | 39.7 | 2. 26 | 78.47 | 41.3 | 1.90 |
| May | 75.27 | 39.0 | 1. 93 | 88.80 | 40.2 | 2.01 | 88.26 88.36 | 39.3 39.8 | 2.22 | ${ }_{97}^{95.83}$ | 39.6 40.3 | 2. 2.43 | 90.12 93.25 | 39.7 <br> 40 | 2. 278 | ${ }_{80}^{80.64}$ | 41.7 | 1.92 |
| June. | 75.85 | 39.3 | 1. 93 | 81.81 | 40.7 | 2.01 | 90.54 | 40.6 | 2.23 | 100.45 | 41.0 | 2. 45 | 94.58 | 41.3 | 2. 29 | 83. 03 | 42.8 | 1.92 1.94 |
| July. | 75. 46 | 39.1 | 1. 93 | 81.99 | 41.2 | 1. 99 | 91.58 | 40.7 | 2.25 | 101.68 | 41.0 | 2. 48 | 97.06 | 42.2 | 2. 30 | 84.71 | 43.0 | 1.97 |
| August | 75. 46 | 39.1 | 1.93 | 81.56 | 41.4 | 1. 97 | 89.87 | 40.3 | 2.23 | 100. 28 | 40.6 | 2. 47 | 94.81 | 41.4 | 2. 29 | 83.73 | 42.5 | 1.97 |
| September | 76. 24 | 39.5 | 1. 93 | 82.78 | 41.6 | 1. 99 | 93. 94 | 41.2 | 2. 28 | 106. 08 | 41.6 | 2.55 | 95.88 | 40.8 | 2. 35 | 84.18 | 42.3 | 1. 99 |
| November | 76. 42 | 39.8 | 1.92 | 81. 80 | 40.9 41.0 | 2. 204 | 93.25 97.44 | 40.9 42.0 | 2.28 | 105.32 | 41.3 | 2. 55 | 94. 64 | 40.1 | 2. 36 | 82. 76 | 41.8 | 1.98 |
| 1959: January -- | 77.41 | 39.9 | 1. 94 | 84.46 | 41.0 | 2.06 | 97.44 95.63 | 41.4 | 2.32 21 | 111.11 <br> 107.94 | 42.9 | 2. 2.59 | 97.70 98.18 | 41.4 | 2. 36 | 82. 59 | 41.5 | 1. 99 |
|  | 79.19 | 40. 2 | 1.97 | 84.65 | 40.5 | 2.09 | 95.24 | 40.7 | 2.34 | 108.88 | 42.2 | 2. 58 | 95.58 | 41.6 40.5 | 2.36 2.36 | 83.40 <br> 84.23 | 41.7 | 2. 200 2.02 |
|  | Condensed and evaporated milk |  |  | Ice cream and ices |  |  | Canning and preserving ${ }^{2}$ |  |  | Seafood, canned and cured |  |  | Canned fruits, vegetables, and soups |  |  | Grain-mill products ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| 1956: A verage | \$76. 12 | 44.0 | \$1.73 | \$77.65 | 42.2 | \$1.84 | \$62. 02 | 39.5 | \$1.57 | \$50.66 | 30.7 | \$1.65 |  | 41.6 | \$1. 59 | \$80. 97 | 43.3 |  |
| 1957: Average | 79.00 | 42.7 | 1.85 | 81.90 | 42.0 | 1. 95 | 63.57 | 39.0 | 1. 63 | 51.88 | 30.7 | 1. 69 | 66.83 | 40.5 | 1.65 | 85.50 | 43.4 | 1.87 1.97 |
| 1958: January- | 80.12 | 41.3 | 1.94 | 83.38 | 41.9 | 1. 99 | 64.98 | 38.0 | 1.71 | 54.48 | 30.1 | 1.81 | 68.89 68.29 | 48.5 38.8 | 1. 1.76 | 88.50 88.51 | 43. 6 | 1.87 2.03 |
| February. | 79. 52 | 41.2 | 1.93 | 83.60 | 41.8 | 2. 00 | 63.41 | 37.3 | 1. 70 | 50.45 | 28.5 | 1.77 | 66.33 | 37.9 | 1.75 | 88. 54 | 43.4 | 2.04 |
| March. | 80. 16 | 40.9 | 1. 96 | 83.00 | 41.5 | 2. 00 | 62.87 | 37.2 | 1. 69 | 52.87 | 29.7 | 1.78 | 64.70 | 37.4 | 1.73 | 87.70 | 43.2 | 2.03 |
| April | 80.77 | 41.0 | 1.97 | 84. 62 | 42.1 | 2.01 | 64.70 | 37.4 | 1.73 | 56. 92 | 31.8 | 1.79 | 69.12 | 38.4 | 1.80 | 87.49 | 43.1 | 2.03 |
| May- | 81. 76 | 41.5 | 1.97 | 84.84 | 42.0 | 2.02 | 65.62 | 38. 6 | 1. 70 | 55. 94 | 30.4 | 1.84 | 69.34 | 39.4 | 1.76 | 86.88 | 42.8 | 2.03 |
| June... | 84. 58 | 42.5 | 1. 99 | 86.48 | 42.6 | 2. 03 | 63.58 | 38.3 | 1. 66 | 51.10 | 29.2 | 1.75 | 66.22 | 38.5 | 1.72 | 89.73 | 44.2 | 2.03 |
| July-..-- | 85.02 | 42.3 | 2. 01 | 89.86 | 43. 2 | 2. 08 | 64.31 | 40.7 | 1. 58 | 58.27 | 35. 1 | 1. 66 | 67.20 | 42.8 | 1.57 | 90.98 | 44.6 | 2.04 |
| August | 83.00 84.45 | 41.5 | 2.00 | 89.03 89.89 | 42.6 42.4 | 2.09 2.12 | 69.47 71.06 | 42.1 | 1. 65 | 59. 47 | 33.6 | 1.77 | 72.67 | 43. 0 | 1.69 | 90.37 | 44.3 | 2. 04 |
| October-.- | 81.61 | 40.6 | 2.01 | 87. 99 | 41.9 | 2.10 | 66. 73 | 42.2 | 1. 1.68 | 55.17 58.33 | 29.5 31.7 | 1.87 | 75.82 69.64 | 44.6 41.7 | 1.70 | 92.53 | 44.7 | 2. 07 |
| November | 82.01 | 40.4 | 2. 03 | 87.97 | 41.3 | 2.13 | 62.16 | 37.9 | 1. 64 | 53.21 | 29.4 | 1. 81 | 64.06 | 39.3 | 1.63 | 91. 57 | 43.4 | 2. 2.11 |
| 1959: December | 82.62 | 40.7 | 2.03 | 88.40 | 41.5 | 2.13 | 64.98 | 38.0 | 1.71 | 60.48 | 32.0 | 1.89 | 67.08 | 39.0 | 1.72 | 92.63 | 43.9 | 2.11 2.11 |
| 1959: January | 83.84 | 41.1 | 2.04 | 88.17 | 41.2 | 2.14 | 66.68 | 38.1 | 1.75 | 61.10 | 32.5 | 1.88 | 69.45 | 38.8 | 1.79 | 93. 28 | 44.0 | 2.12 |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table C-1. Hours and gross earnings of production or nonsupervisory workers, by industry ${ }^{1}$-Con.


See footnotes at end of table.

Table C-1. Hours and gross earnings of production or nonsupervisory workers, by industry ${ }^{1}$ - Con.

| Year and month | Avg. <br> wkly. <br> earn- <br> ings w <br> in | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. <br> brly. <br> earn- <br> ings | Avg. wkly. earn- ings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earn- ings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earn- | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. <br> hrly. <br> earn- <br> ings |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Manufacturing-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Nondurable goods-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Textile-mill products-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Yarn and thread mills ${ }^{2}$ |  |  | Yarn mills |  |  | Thread mills |  |  | Broad-woven fabric mills ? |  |  | Cotton, silk, synthetic fiber |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | United States | North |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1956: Average | \$52. 39 | 39.1 | \$1. 34 |  |  |  | \$52. 53 | 39.2 | \$1. 34 | \$52.79 | 39.1 | \$1. 35 | \$56. 28 | 40.2 | \$1.40 | \$54. 66 | 39.9 | \$1. 37 | \$58.46 | 39.5 | \$1.48 |
| 1957: A verage | 52.72 | 38.2 | 1.38 | 53.10 | 38.2 | 1.39 |  |  |  | 55.13 | 39.1 | 1.41 | 56.70 | 39.1 | 1.45 | 55.63 | 38.9 | 1.43 | 58.52 | 38.5 | 1. 52 |
| 1958: January | 50.23 | 36.4 | 1.38 | 50.09 | 36.3 | 1.38 | 53.16 | 37.7 | 1.41 | 54.96 | 37.9 | 1.45 | 54.20 | 37.9 | 1.43 | 58.22 | 38.3 | 1.52 |
| February | 50.09 | 36.3 | 1.38 | 49.82 | 36.1 | 1.38 | 53.30 | 37.8 | 1. 41 | 55.10 | 38.0 | 1.45 | 54.20 | 37.9 | 1. 43 | 58.06 | 38.2 | 1.52 |
| March | 49. 62 | 35.7 | 1.39 | 49.35 | 35.5 | 1.39 | 52. 45 | 37.2 | 1.41 | 54.81 | 37.8 | 1.45 | 53. 25 | 37.5 | 1.42 | 56.85 | 37.4 | 1. 52 |
| April | 48. 51 | 34.9 | 1.39 | 47.96 | 34.5 | 1.39 | 53.72 | 38.1 | 1.41 | 52.85 | 36.7 | 1.44 | 51.18 | 36.3 | 1. 41 | 56.47 | 37.4 | 1.51 |
| May | 49.21 | 35. 4 | 1. 39 | 48.93 | 35. 2 | 1.39 | 49.21 | 34.9 | 1.41 | 53.86 | 37.4 | 1. 44 | 52. 40 | 36.9 | 1. 42 | 57.83 | 37.8 | 1. 53 |
|  | 51. 66 | 36. 9 | 1. 40 | 51.38 | 36.7 | 1.40 | 51.26 | 36.1 | 1.42 | 55. 68 | 38.4 | 1.45 | 54. 20 | 37.9 | 1. 43 | 58. 45 | 38.2 | 1. 53 |
| July | 51. 94 | 37.1 | 1. 40 | 51.66 | 36.9 | 1. 40 | 50.69 | 35.7 | 1.42 | 56. 41 | 38. 9 | 1.45 | 54.53 | 38.4 | 1. 42 | 59. 28 | 39.0 | 1. 52 |
| August | 53. 76 | 38.4 | 1. 40 | 54.00 | 38.3 | 1.41 | 52.97 | 37.3 | 1.42 | 57.38 | 39. 3 | 1.46 | 55. 77 | 39.0 | 1. 43 | 59.36 | 38.8 | 1.53 |
| Septemb | 54. 46 | 38.9 | 1. 40 | 54. 71 | 38.8 | 1.41 | 54.24 | 38.2 | 1.42 | 57.96 | 39.7 | 1. 46 | 56.74 | 39.4 | 1. 44 | 60.68 | 39.4 | 1.54 |
| Novem | 5.13 | 39.1 | 1.41 | 54.87 | ${ }_{39} 7$ | 1.42 | 54. ${ }^{5}$ | 39.0 | 1.44 | 59. 42 | 40.7 | 1.46 | 59.82 | 40.7 | 1.45 | 61.85 | -39.7 | 1.54 |
| Decem | 56. 26 | 39.9 | 1.41 | 56.37 | 39.7 | 1. 42 | 57.86 | 39.9 | 1.45 | 59.54 | 40.5 | 1.47 | 58.58 | 40.4 | 1.45 | 62.78 | 40.5 | 1.55 |
| 1959: January-------- | 55.55 | 39.4 | 1.41 | 55.41 | 39.3 | 1.41 | 57.31 | 39.8 | 1.44 | 58.80 | 40.0 | 1.47 | 57.17 | 39.7 | 1.44 | 61.75 | 40.1 | 1.54 |
|  | Cotton, silk, synthetic fiber-Continued |  |  | Woolen and worsted |  |  | Narrow fabrics and small wares |  |  | Knitting mills ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | Fudl-fashioned hosiery |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | South |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | United States | North |  |  |
| 1956: A verage | \$54.00 | 40.0 | \$1.35 | \$65. 31 | 41. 6 | \$1.57 | \$58. 51 | 39.8 | \$1. 47 |  |  |  | \$53.68 | 37.8 | \$1. 42 | \$58. 98 | 38.3 | \$1. 54 | \$58.82 | 38.7 | \$1. 52 |
| 1957: Average | 54.85 | 38.9 | 1.41 | 65.28 | 40.8 | 1. 60 | 60.80 | 40.0 | 1.52 | 54.09 | 37.3 | 1.45 | 57.51 | 37.1 | 1.55 | 59.68 | 38.5 | 1.55 |
| 1958: January | 53.30 | 37.8 | 1.41 | 60.90 | 38.3 | 1.59 | 59.67 | 39.0 | 1.53 | 51. 98 | 35.6 | 1.46 | 56.83 | 36.9 | 1.54 | 58.30 | 36.9 | 1. 58 |
| February | 53.30 | 37.8 | 1.41 | 62.65 | 39.4 | 1.59 | 58.22 | 38.3 | 1.52 | 52.85 | 36.2 | 1.46 | 57. 68 | 37.7 | 1.53 | 56.06 | 36.4 | 1. 54 |
| March | 52.88 | 37.5 | 1.41 | 63.44 | 39.9 | 1.59 | 58.37 | 38.4 | 1. 52 | 53.14 | 36.4 | 1. 46 | 58.60 | 38.3 | 1.53 | 55. 72 | 36.9 | 1.51 |
| April | 50.54 | 36.1 | 1. 40 | 62.65 | 39.4 | 1.59 | 57.68 | 38.2 | 1.51 | 51.74 | 35.2 | 1. 47 | 55. 94 | 36.8 | 1.52 | 55.48 | 36.5 | 1.52 |
| May. | 51.52 | 36.8 | 1. 40 | 64. 96 | 40.6 | 1.60 | 58. 91 | 38.5 | 1. 53 | 53. 29 | 36.5 | 1. 46 | 57.07 | 37.3 | 1. 53 | 59. 28 | 38.0 | 1.56 |
| June | 53.30 | 37.8 | 1.41 | 67.30 | 41.8 | 1.61 | 60.76 | 39.2 | 1. 55 | 54.75 | 37.5 | 1. 46 | 55. 94 | 36.8 | 1.52 | 59. 29 | 38.5 | 1. 54 |
| July. | 54. 00 | 38.3 | 1. 41 | 67.30 | 41.8 | 1.61 | 60.45 | 39.0 | 1.55 | 54.67 | 37.7 | 1.45 | 55.27 | 36.6 | 1. 51 | 58.83 | 38.2 | 1. 54 |
| August | 55.38 | 39.0 | 1. 42 | 66. 40 | 41.5 | 1. 60 | 60.45 | 39.0 | 1. 55 | 56.12 | 38.7 | 1.45 | 57.38 | 38.0 | 1. 51 | 60.37 | 39.2 | 1. 54 |
| Septem | 55.95 57.63 | 39.4 40.3 | 1.42 | 66. 56 | 41.6 | 1. 60 | 61. 69 | 39.8 | 1. 55 | 57.18 57.48 | 38.9 | 1.47 | 58. 45 | 38.2 | 1. 53 | 61. 39 | 39.1 | 1. 57 |
| Novem | 58.34 | 40.8 | 1.43 | 65. 60 | 41.0 | 1.60 | 62. 49 | 39.8 | 1.57 | 58.16 | 39.3 | 1. 48 | 60.74 | 39.7 | 1.53 | 62.17 | 3.8 | 1.58 |
| December | 57. 77 | 40.4 | 1. 43 | 65. 60 | 41.0 | 1.60 | 63.34 | 40.6 | 1. 56 | 56. 74 | 38.6 | 1.47 | 60.44 | 39.5 | 1.53 | 61.46 | 39.4 39.4 | 1. 56 |
| 1959: January ---.--- | 56.77 | 39.7 | 1.43 | 67.14 | 41.7 | 1.61 | 63.02 | 40.4 | 1.56 | 55.94 | 37.8 | 1.48 | 57. 53 | 37.6 | 1.53 | 58.19 | 37.3 | 1. 56 |
|  | Full-fashioned hosiery-Continued |  |  | Seamless hosiery |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Knit outerwear |  |  | Knit undervear |  |  |
|  | South |  |  | United States |  |  | North |  |  | South |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1956: A verage | \$59. 21 | 38.2 | \$1. 55 | \$46. 21 | 36.1 | \$1. 28 | \$49.40 | 38.0 | \$1. 30 | \$45. 82 | 35.8 | \$1. 28 | \$56. 15 | 38.2 | \$1.47 | \$49.78 | 38.0 | \$1. 31 |
| 1957: Average | 56.73 | 36.6 | 1.55 | 48.55 | 36.5 | 1.33 | 51.14 | 37.6 | 1.36 | 48.28 | 36.3 | 1.33 | 57.30 | 37.7 | 1.52 | 50.69 | 37.0 | 1.37 |
| 1958: January | 56.46 | 36.9 | 1.53 | 47.06 | 34.6 | 1.36 | 48.93 | 35.2 | 1.39 | 46. 92 | 34.5 | 1.36 | 52.74 | 34.7 | 1.52 | 49.82 | 36.1 | 1.38 |
| February | 58.45 | 38.2 | 1.53 | 47.46 | 34.9 | 1.36 | 52.59 | 37.3 | 1.41 | 46.71 | 34.6 | 1. 35 | 54. 26 | 35.7 | 1.52 | 49.54 | 35.9 | 1.38 |
| March | 59.36 | 38.8 | 1.53 | 47.54 | 34. 7 | 1.37 | 50.82 | 36.3 | 1.40 | 46.92 | 34.5 | 1.36 | 55.18 | 36. 3 | 1. 52 | 49.96 | 36. 2 | 1.38 |
| April. | 56.09 | 36.9 | 1. 52 | 45.02 | 33.1 | 1.36 | 51.52 | 36.8 | 1. 40 | 44.34 | 32.6 | 1.36 | 54.93 | 35.9 | 1. 53 | 47.33 | 34.3 | 1.38 |
| May | 55.87 | 37.0 | 1.51 | 46. 98 | 34.8 | 1.35 | 50.87 | 36.6 | 1.39 | 46. 23 | 34.5 | 1.34 | 57.38 | 37.5 | 1.53 | 48.99 | 35.5 | 1.38 |
|  | 54.51 | 36.1 | 151 | 48. 60 | 36. 0 | 1.35 | 51. 29 | 36.9 | 1.39 | 48.11 | 35.9 | 1.34 | 59.13 | 38.9 | 1. 52 | 50.78 | 36.8 | 1.38 |
| July. | 53.85 | 35.9 | 1. 50 | 50.63 | 37.5 | 1.35 | 52.22 | 37.3 | 1. 40 | 50.25 | 37.5 | 1.34 | 58. 22 | 38.3 | 1. 52 | 51.24 | 37.4 | 1.37 |
| August | 55.88 | 37.5 | 1.49 | 50.65 | 37.8 | 1.34 | 52.68 | 37.9 | 1.39 | 50.27 | 37.8 | 1.33 | 60.13 | 39.3 | 1.53 | 53.93 | 38.8 | 1.39 |
| Septembe | 57.08 | 37.8 | 1. 51 | 51.30 | 38.0 | 1.35 | 55.13 | 39.1 | 1.41 | 50.65 | 37.8 | 1.34 | 59.67 | 39.0 | 1. 53 | 56.12 | 39.8 | 1.41 |
| October- | 58. 89 | 39.0 | 1.51 | 52. 47 | 38.3 | 1.37 | 54.88 | 39.2 | 1. 40 | 51.95 | 38.2 | 1.36 | 59.91 | 38.9 | 1.54 | 55.98 | 39.7 | 1. 41 |
| November | 60.10 | 39.8 | 1.51 | 53.79 | 38.7 | 1.39 | 54.53 | 38.4 | 1. 42 | 53.41 | 38.7 | 1.38 | 60.06 | 39.0 | 1. 54 | 56.12 | 39.8 | 1.41 |
| December | 59.65 | 39.5 | 1.51 | 51.89 | 37.6 | 1.38 | 53.44 | 37.9 | 1.41 | 51.89 | 37.6 | 1.38 | 57.99 | 37.9 | 1.53 | 54.60 | 39.0 | 1.40 |
| 1959: January-.----- | 57.30 | 37.7 | 1. 52 | 51.71 | 37.2 | 1.39 | 52.20 | 36.5 | 1. 43 | 51. 47 | 37.3 | 1.38 | 56.83 | 36.9 | 1.54 | 56.06 | 39.2 | 1.43 |
|  | Dyeing and finishing textiles ? |  |  | Dyeing and finishing textiles (except wool) |  |  | Carpets, rugs, other floor coverings : |  |  | Wool carpets, rugs, and carpet yarn |  |  | Hats (except cloth and millinery) |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Miscellaneous textile } \\ \text { goods }^{2} \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| 1956: A verage | \$65. 92 | 41.2 | \$1.60 | \$65.51 | 41.2 | \$1. 59 | \$74.16 | 41.2 | \$1.80 | \$73.26 | 40.7 | \$1. 80 | \$57.38 | 35.2 | \$1. 63 | \$66. 83 | 40.5 | \$1.65 |
| 1957: Average | 66. 99 | 40.6 | 1.65 | 66.58 | 40.6 | 1.64 | 74.70 | 40.6 | 1.84 | 72.25 | 39.7 | 1.82 | 59.04 | 36.0 | 1.64 | 69.03 | 39.9 | 1.73 |
| 1958: January | 64.12 | 39.1 | 1.64 | 64.22 | 39.4 | 1.63 | 76.89 | 40.9 | 1.88 | 74.59 | 40. 1 | 1.86 | 60. 26 | 37.2 | 1.62 | 66.85 | 38.2 | 1.75 |
| February | 66.50 | 40.3 | 1.65 | 66.42 | 40.5 | 1.64 | 75.14 | 40.4 | 1.86 | 72.86 | 39.6 | 1.84 | 59.29 | 36.6 | 1. 62 | 66.78 | 38.6 | 1.73 |
| March-.. | 65.11 | 39.7 | 1.64 | 65.04 | 39.9 | 1.63 | 75.74 | 40.5 | 1.87 | 71.39 | 38.8 | 1.84 | 57.35 | 35.4 | 1.62 | 66.78 | 38.6 | 1.73 |
| April | 64. 12 | 39.1 39.9 | 1.64 | 63. 90 | 39.2 39.9 | 1.63 1.63 | 73. 70 | 39.2 39.3 | 1.88 1.88 | 68.63 69.16 | 37.5 38.0 | 1.83 1.82 | 54.42 57.19 | 33.8 35.3 | 1.61 | 65.53 66.43 | 38.1 <br> 38 <br> 1 | 1.72 |
| May | 65. 04 | 39.9 | 1. 63 | 65.04 | 39.9 | 1. 63 | 73. 88 | 39.3 | 1.88 | 69. 16 | 38.0 | 1.82 | 57.19 | 35.3 | 1. 62 | 66. 43 | 38.4 | 1.73 |
| June | 69.39 | 41.8 | 1. 66 | 68.81 | 41.7 | 1. 65 | 75. 24 | 39.6 | 1. 90 | 69.18 | 37.6 | 1.84 | 60.42 | 36.4 | 1. 66 | 69.65 | 39.8 | 1.75 |
| July.- | 65.60 | 40.0 | 1.64 | 64.87 | 39.8 40.7 | 1.63 | 77. 52 | 40.8 | 1.90 | 69.55 | 37.8 | 1.84 | 60. 39 | 36.6 | 1.65 | 68.60 | 39.2 39.4 | 1.75 |
| August | 66.58 | 40.6 | 1.64 | 66. 34 | 40.7 | 1.63 | 77.90 | 41.0 | 1.90 | 72. 86 | 31.6 | 1.84 | 59.67 | 35.1 | 1.70 | 68. 95 | 39.4 | 1.75 |
| October | 69.64 | 41.7 | 1.67 | 69.39 | 41.8 | 1.66 | 81.51 | 42.9 | 1.90 | 78.12 | 42.0 | 1.86 | 55. 28 | 34.9 33.3 | 1.66 | 71. 28 | 41.5 | 1.76 |
| November | 69.06 | 41.6 | 1.66 | 69.55 | 41.9 | 1. 66 | 81.37 | 42.6 | 1. 91 | 78.54 | 42.0 | 1.87 | 59.16 | 34.8 | 1. 70 | 71.56 | 40.2 | 1.78 |
| December | 69.39 | 41.8 | 1. 66 | 69.39 | 41.8 | 1. 66 | 81. 79 | 42.6 | 1.92 | 78.91 | 42.2 | 1.87 | 61.88 | 36.4 | 1. 70 | 73.03 | 40.8 | 1.79 |
| 1959: January | 67.98 | 41.2 | 1.65 | 68.15 | 41.3 | 1.65 | 82.45 | 42.5 | 1.94 | 80.14 | 42.4 | 1.89 | 63.78 | 37.3 | 1.71 | 71.20 | 40.0 | 1.78 |

[^47]Table C-1. Hours and gross earnings of production or nonsupervisory workers, by industry ${ }^{1}$-Con.


See footnotes at end of table.

Table C-1. Hours and gross earnings of production or nonsupervisory workers, by industry ${ }^{1}$-Con.

| Year and month | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. thgs | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earn ings | Avg. wkly. earn- ings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Manufacturing-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Nondurable goods-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Apparel and other finished textile productsContinued |  |  |  |  |  | Paper and allied products |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Textile bags |  |  | Canoas products |  |  | Total: Paper and allied products |  |  | Pulp, paper, and paperboard mills |  |  | Paperboard containers and boxes ${ }^{2}$ |  |  | Paperboard boxes |  |  |
| 1956: Average | \$57. 28 | 39.5 | \$1.45 | \$55. 66 | 39.2 | \$1. 42 | \$83. 03 | 42.8 | \$1. 94 | \$91. 05 | 44.2 | \$2. 06 | \$76. 13 | 41.6 | \$1.83 | \$75. 89 | 41.7 | \$1.82 |
| 1957: Average | 59.40 | 39.6 | 1. 50 | 57.33 | 39.0 | 1. 47 | 86. 29 | 42.3 | 2.04 | 94. 18 | 43.4 | 2.17 | 79.90 | 41.4 | 1.93 | 79. 27 | 41.5 | 1.91 |
| 1958: January | 60.37 | 39.2 | 1. 54 | 58.31 | 39.4 | 1. 48 | 86.11 | 41.4 | 2. 08 | 94.37 | 42.7 | 2.21 | 78.20 | 39.9 | 1. 96 | 77.60 | 40.0 | 1.94 |
| February | 59. 44 | 38.6 | 1. 54 | 58.80 | 39.2 | 1. 50 | 85. 49 | 41.1 | 2.08 | 93.26 | 42.2 | 2.21 | 78. 41 | 39.8 | 1.97 | 77.81 | 39.9 | 1.95 |
| March | 59. 75 | 38.8 | 1. 54 | 59.25 | 39.5 | 1. 50 | 86.11 | 41.4 | 2. 08 | 93. 48 | 42.3 | 2.21 | 79.79 | 40.3 | 1. 98 | 78. 79 | 40.2 | 1.96 |
| A pril | 58.75 | 37.9 | 1.55 | 60.15 | 40. 1 | 1. 50 | 85.69 | 41.0 | 2. 09 | 93. 04 | 42.1 | 2.21 | 78.80 | 39.6 | 1. 99 | 78. 21 | 39.7 | 1.97 |
| May. | 59.06 | 38.6 | 1.53 | 63.80 | 41.7 | 1. 53 | 86.10 | 41.0 | 2. 10 | 93.24 | 42.0 | 2. 22 | 80. 40 | 40.2 | 2.00 | 79. 79 | 40.3 | 1. 98 |
|  | 59.14 | 38.4 | 1. 54 | 63. 09 | 40.7 | 1. 55 | 88.20 | 41.8 | 2. 11 | 95.87 | 42.8 | 2. 24 | 83.02 | 41.1 | 2.02 | 82.60 | 41.3 | 2.00 |
| July. | 60.68 | 39.4 | 1. 54 | 62.40 | 41.6 | 1. 50 | 88.83 | 41.9 | 2. 12 | 96. 73 | 42.8 | 2. 26 | 83. 02 | 41.1 | 2.02 | 82.40 | 41.2 | 2.00 |
| August | 61.38 | 39.6 | 1.55 | 59.15 | 39.7 | 1. 49 | 90.53 | 42.5 | 2.13 | 98.31 | 43.5 | 2. 26 | 85.68 | 42.0 | 2.04 | 85. 04 | 42.1 | 2.02 |
| September | 63.55 | 41.0 | 1.55 | 63.11 | 40.2 | 1. 57 | 91.38 | 42.7 | 2.14 | 99. 20 | 43.7 | 2. 27 | 86. 09 | 42.2 | 2.04 | 85.65 | 42.4 | 2.02 |
| October | 60. 98 | 39.6 | 1. 54 | 60.05 | 40.3 | 1. 49 | ${ }^{91.38}$ | 42.7 | 2. 14 | 98. 75 | 43. 5 | 2. 27 | 86. 50 | 42. 4 | 2.04 | 85. 85 | 42.5 | 2.02 |
| Novem | 60.83 | 39.5 | 1.54 | 60.20 | 40.4 | 1.49 | 90.95 91.16 | 42.5 | 2. 14 | ${ }_{99}^{98} 89$ | 43.3 | ${ }_{2}^{2.28}$ | 86. 09 | 42. 2 | 2.04 | 84.6 | 42. | 2.01 |
| 1959: January .------ | 63.02 | 40.4 | 1.56 | 60.50 | 39.8 | 1. 52 | 91.58 | 42.4 | 2.16 | 100.07 | 43.7 | 2. 29 | 84.87 | 41.2 | 2.06 | 84. 46 | 41.4 | 2.04 |
|  | Paper and allied products-Continued |  |  |  |  |  | Printing, publishing, and allied industries |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Fiber cans, tubes, and drums |  |  | Other paper and allied products |  |  | Total: Printing, publishing, and allied industries |  |  | Newspapers |  |  | Periodicals |  |  | Books |  |  |
| 1956: Average | \$79.56 | 40.8 | \$1.95 | \$72.92 | 41.2 | \$1.77 | \$93.90 | $38.8{ }^{\prime}$ | \$2. 42 | \$99. 64 | 36.1 | \$2. 76 | \$96.16 | 39.9 | \$2. 41 | \$83.84 | 40.5 | \$2. 07 |
| 1957: Average | 83.01 | 40.1 | 2.07 | 76.07 | 40.9 | 1.86 | 96. 25 | 38.5 | 2. 50 | 102. 03 | 35.8 | 2.85 | 101.05 | 40.1 | 2.52 | 84.35 | 39.6 | 2. 13 |
| 1958: January | 83.10 | 39.2 | 2. 12 | 76. 97 | 40.3 | 1.91 | 95. 76 | 37.7 | 2. 54 | 100.10 | 35.0 | 2.86 | 100. 47 | 39.4 | 2. 55 | 85.06 | 39.2 | 2. 17 |
| February | ${ }_{8}^{81.27}$ | 38.7 | 2.10 | 76. 97 | 40.3 | 1.91 | 96. 14 | 37.7 | 2. 55 | 101. 44 | 35.1 | 2.89 | 99. 71 | 39.1 | 2. 55 | 84. 02 | 38.9 | 2. 16 |
| March | 87.95 | 41.1 | 2. 14 | 77.36 | 40.5 | 1.91 | 97.02 | 37.9 | 2. 56 | 101.09 | 35. 1 | 2.88 | 102. 31 | 39.5 | 2. 59 | 84.24 | 39.0 | 2. 16 |
| April | 82.60 | 38.6 | 2.14 | 76. 91 | 40.1 | 1.92 | 96.14 | 37.7 | 2. 55 | 102. 37 | 35.3 | 2.90 | 99.07 | 38.7 | 2. 56 | 85. 02 | 39.0 | 2.18 |
| Mane | 84.63 84.89 | 39.3 39.8 | 2.16 | 77.97 | 39.9 40.4 | 1.93 | 97.38 | ${ }_{37} 7.6$ | 2. 59 | 103. 72 | 35.4 | 2.93 | 100.23 | 39.0 | 2.57 | 85. 75 | 38.8 38 | 2. 20 |
| July | 88.29 | 40.5 | 2.18 | 78. 55 | 40.7 | 1. 93 | 97.38 | 37.6 | 2. 59 | 102. 55 | 35.0 | 2. 93 | 103.62 | 39.4 | 2.63 | 85.19 | 38.9 | 2.19 |
| August | 89.60 | 41.1 | 2.18 | 79.95 | 41.0 | 1.95 | 98.54 | 37.9 | 2.60 | 103.14 | 35.2 | 2.93 | 108.68 | 40.4 | 2.69 | 88. 26 | 39.4 | 2. 24 |
| September | 89. 98 | 40.9 | 2.20 | 80.75 | 41.2 | 1.96 | 99.56 | 38.0 | 2.62 | 104. 49 | 35.3 | 2.96 | 107. 86 | 39.8 | 2.71 | 88. 53 | 39.7 | 2.23 |
| October- | 92. 51 | 41.3 | 2.24 | 80.95 | 41.3 | 1.96 | 99.68 | 37.9 | 2.63 | 105. 19 | 35.3 | 2.98 | 105.73 | 39.6 | 2. 67 | 87.42 | 39.2 | 2.23 |
| Novembe | 97.16 | 42.8 | 2.27 | 80.75 | 41.2 | 1.96 | 99.30 | 37.9 | 2. 62 | 105. 44 | 35.5 | 2.97 | 102. 70 | 38.9 | 2.64 | 86. 46 | 38.6 | 2. 24 |
| December. | 88.62 | 40.1 | 2. 21 | 81.16 | 41.2 | 1.97 | 101. 76 | 38.4 | 2.65 | 109.56 | 36.4 | 3. 01 | 104. 15 | 39.3 | 2.65 | 87.58 | 39.1 | 2.24 |
| 1959: January------- | 87.81 | 39.2 | 2.24 | 81.38 | 41.1 | 1.98 | 99.41 | 37.8 | 2. 63 | 103. 06 | 34.7 | 2. 97 | 104. 15 | 39.3 | 2.65 | 88.88 | 39.5 | 2.25 |
|  | Printing, publishing, and allied industries-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Chemicals and allied products |  |  |
|  | Commercial printing |  |  | Lithographing |  |  | Greeting cards |  |  | Bookbinding and related industries |  |  | Miscellaneous publishing and printing services |  |  | Total: Chemicals and allied products |  |  |
| 1956: Average | \$93. 03 | 40.1 | \$2. 32 | \$94. 40 | 40.0 | \$2. 36 | \$61.44 | 38.4 | \$1.60 | \$72. 10 | 39.4 | \$1.83 | \$109.09 | 39.1 | \$2. 79 | \$87. 14 | 41.3 | \$2. 11 |
| 1957: Average. | 95. 76 | 39.9 | 2.40 | 96. 53 | 39.4 | 2.45 | 64. 18 | 38.2 | 1.68 | 73.71 | 39.0 | 1.89 | 110. 78 | 38.6 | 2.87 | 91. 46 | 41.2 | 2. 22 |
| 1958: January | 95.74 | 39.4 | 2.43 | 94.87 | 38.1 | 2. 49 | 67.61 | 38.2 | 1. 77 | 73. 14 | 37.7 | 1. 94 | 108. 77 | 37.9 | 2.87 | 92. 62 | 40.8 | 2.27 |
| February | 95. 40 | 39.1 | 2.44 | 96. 25 | 38.5 | 2. 50 | 68.71 | 38.6 | 1.78 | 72. 95 | 37.8 | 1. 93 | 109. 73 | 38.1 | 2.88 | 92. 57 | 40.6 | 2. 28 |
| March. | 96. 68 | 39.3 | 2.46 | 98.42 | 38.9 | 2. 53 | 70.38 | 39.1 | 1. 80 | 73.15 | 37.9 | 1.93 | 110. 21 | 38.4 | 2.87 | 92. 39 | 40.7 | 2. 27 |
| April | 94.92 | 38.9 | 2. 44 | 97. 52 | 38.7 | 2.52 | 69.09 | 38.6 | 1.79 | 72. 95 | 37.8 | 1.93 | 107. 73 | 37.8 | 2.85 | 92.39 | 40.7 | 2.27 |
| May | 94.82 | 38.7 | 2.45 | 97. 54 | 38.4 | 2. 54 | 68.53 | 38.5 | 1.78 | 73.53 | 37.9 | 1.94 | 110. 96 | 38.0 | 2.92 | 93.43 | 40.8 | 2. 29 |
| June. | 96. 22 | 38.8 | 2.48 | 98.81 | 38.9 | 2.54 | 66.39 | 38.6 | 1. 72 | 74. 07 | 37.6 | 1.97 | 111.22 | 37.7 | 2.95 | 94. 94 | 41.1 | 2.31 |
| July. | 97.11 | 39.0 | 2. 49 | 100. 23 | 39.0 | 2. 57 | 63.58 | 37.4 | 1. 70 | 72.91 | 37.2 | 1.96 | 111. 30 | 37.6 | 2. 96 | 95. 06 | 40.8 | 2. 33 |
| August | 97.75 | 39.1 | 2.50 | 100. 61 | 39.3 | 2.56 | 64.09 | 37.7 | 1.70 | 76. 43 | 38.6 | 1.98 | 112. 86 | 38.0 | 2.97 | 95.24 | 40.7 | 2.34 |
| September | 100. 19 | 39.6 39 | 2. 53 | 101. 39 | 39.3 | 2. 58 | 66. 09 | 38.2 | 1. 73 | 75. 42 | 37.9 | 1. 99 | 110. 70 | 37.4 | 2.96 | 95.94 | 41.0 | 2. 34 |
| October- | 99.04 | 39.3 | 2. 52 | 100. 10 | 39.1 | 2. 56 | 65.77 | 37.8 | 1. 74 | 76. 40 | 38.2 | 2.00 | 112. 42 | 37.6 | 2. 99 | 95. 94 | 41.0 | 2. 34 |
| November | 98.39 | 39.2 | 2.51 | 100. 61 | 39.3 | 2. 56 | 68.60 | 39.2 | 1. 75 | 77.93 | 38.2 | 2.04 | 113. 78 | 37.8 | 3.01 | 96.82 | 41.2 | 2. 35 |
| 1959: January | 100. 19 | 39.6 | 2.53 | 101. 26 | 39.4 | 2. 57 | 68.68 | 38.8 | 1. 77 | 78.95 | 38.7 | 2.04 | 113. 62 | 38.0 | 2. 99 | 97.70 | 41.4 | 2. 36 |
|  | 99.43 | 39.3 | 2.53 | 101. 40 | 39.0 | 2. 60 | 71.74 | 39.2 | 1.83 | 78.74 | 38.6 | 2.04 | 113.32 | 37.9 | 2.99 | 97.88 | 41.3 | 2.37 |
|  | Industrial inorganic chemicals ${ }^{2}$ |  |  | Alkalies and chlorine |  |  | Industrial organic chemicals ${ }^{2}$ |  |  | Plastics, except synthetic rubber |  |  | Synthetic rubber |  |  | Synthetic fibers |  |  |
| 1956: A verage | \$95. 35 | 41.1 | \$2. 32 | \$93.43 | 40.8 | \$2. 29 | \$92.89 | 41.1 | \$2. 26 | \$93. 66 | 42.0 | \$2. 22 | \$104. 67 | 41.7 | \$2. 51 | \$78. 00 | 40.0 | \$1. 95 |
| 1957: Average. | 100. 04 | 41.0 | 2. 44 | 97. 68 | 40.7 | 2. 40 | 96. 93 | 40.9 | 2.37 | 99. 90 | 41.8 | 2.39 | 107. 98 | 40.9 | 2.64 | 82.21 | 40.3 | 2.04 |
| 1958: January | 102. 50 | 41.0 | 2. 50 | 99.88 | 40.6 | 2.46 | 98.17 | 40.4 | 2. 43 | 99. 55 | 40.8 | 2. 44 | 109. 62 | 40.6 | 2. 70 | 82.37 | 39.6 | 2.08 |
| February- | 102.66 | 40.9 | 2. 51 | 99.38 | 40.4 | 2. 46 | 97.44 | 40.1 | 2. 43 | 99.80 | 40.9 | 2. 44 | 109. 21 | 40.6 | 2. 69 | 81.33 | 39.1 | 2.08 |
| March. | 102.82 | 40.8 | 2.52 | 99.38 | 40.4 | 2.46 | 97.84 | 40. 1 | 2. 44 | 100.45 | 41.0 | 2.44 | 110. 03 | 40.6 | 2.71 | 82.74 | 39.4 | 2.10 |
| April | 102. 56 | 40.7 | 2. 52 | 101. 18 | 40.8 | 2. 48 | 98.00 | 40.0 | 2. 45 | 99.47 | 40.6 | 2. 45 | 108. 14 | 40.2 | 2. 69 | 82.71 | 39.2 | 2. 11 |
| July. | 104. 60 | 40.7 | 2.57 | 103. 53 | 40.6 | 2. 55 | 100. 69 | 40.6 | 2. 48 | 102.31 | 40.6 | 2.52 | 111.52 | 40.7 | 2.74 | 86.07 | 40.6 | 2.12 |
| August | 105. 41 | 40.7 | 2.59 | 102.17 | 39.6 | 2. 58 | 100.85 | 40.5 | 2. 49 | 104. 08 | 41.3 | 2. 52 | 112. 75 | 41.0 | 2.75 | 87.08 | 40.5 | 2.15 |
| September. | 107. 42 | 41.0 | 2.62 | 105. 01 | 40.7 | 2.58 | 102.25 | 40.9 | 2. 50 | 105. 75 | 41.8 | 2. 53 | 113. 98 | 41.0 | 2. 78 | 86. 46 | 40.4 | 2.14 |
| October- | 105. 97 | 40.6 | 2.61 | 105. 30 | 40.5 | 2. 60 | 101. 91 | 40. 6 | 2. 51 | 105. 66 | 41.6 | 2. 54 | 114. 67 | 41.1 | 2.79 | 84.96 | 39.7 | 2. 14 |
| November | 107.01 | 41.0 | 2.61 | 106. 08 | 40.8 | 2. 60 | 103. 07 | 40.9 | 2. 52 | 107. 70 | 42.4 | 2.54 | 117.88 | 41.8 | 2.82 | 85.60 | 40.0 | 2.14 |
| December | 109.25 | 41.7 | 2. 62 | 106. 97 | 41.3 | 2. 59 | 103. 57 | 41.1 | 2. 52 | 106. 68 | 42.0 | 2.54 | 120. 56 | 42. 3 | 2.85 | 86.43 | 40.2 | 2.15 |
| 1959: January | 108.88 | 41.4 | 2. 63 | 107. 38 | 41.3 | 2. 60 | 104.24 | 41.2 | 2. 53 | 107.87 | 42.3 | 2. 55 | 122.55 | 42.7 | 2.87 | 85. 81 | 40.1 | 2.14 |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table C-1. Hours and gross earnings of production or nonsupervisory workers, by industry ${ }^{1}$ - Con.

| Year and month | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earn- ings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. brly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earn ings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. ings! |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Manufacturing-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Nondurable goods-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Chemicals and allied products-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Explosives |  |  | Drugs and medicines |  |  | Soap, cleaning and polishing preparations ${ }^{2}$ |  |  | Soap and glycerin |  |  | Paints, pigments, and fillers ? |  |  | Paints, varnishes, lacquers, and enamels |  |  |
| 1956: Avera | \$87. 29 | 40.6 | \$2.15 | \$78.55 | 40.7 | \$1.93 | \$90. 64 | 41.2 | \$2. 20 | \$98.16 | 40.9 | \$2. 40 | \$86. 11 | 41.6 | \$2.07 | \$84. 04 | 41.4 | \$2.03 |
| 1957: Average | 93.30 | 41.1 | 2.27 | 82.82 | 40.8 | 2.03 | 96.17 | 41.1 | 2.34 | 104. 65 | 41.2 | 2.54 | 89. 38 | 41.0 | 2.18 | 87.33 | 41.0 | 2.13 |
| 1958: January | 90.32 | 39.1 | 2.31 | 85.49 | 41.1 | 2.08 | 98.74 | 40.8 | 2. 42 | 108. 09 | 41.1 | 2. 63 | 89. 20 | 40.0 | 2.23 | 86.76 | 39.8 39 | 2.18 |
| February | 92.97 | 39.9 39.4 | 2.33 | 86.11 85.90 | 41.2 41.1 | 2.09 2.09 | 96.47 98.90 | 39.7 40.7 | 2.43 2.43 | 104.54 | 39.6 40.9 | 2.64 2.6 | 88.98 89.60 | 39.9 40.0 | 2.23 2.24 | 86.76 87.60 | 39.8 40.0 | 2.18 2.19 |
| April | 91.49 | 39.1 | 2. 34 | ${ }_{85.68}$ | 40.8 | 2.10 | 98.33 | 40.3 | 2.44 | 107.45 | 40.7 | 2.64 | 89.65 | 40.2 | 2.23 | 87.42 | 40.1 | 2.18 |
| May | 92.75 | 39.3 | 2.36 | 84.85 | 40.6 | 2.09 | 99.31 | 40.7 | 2.44 | 108.12 | 40.8 | 2.65 | 91. 58 | 40.7 | 2.25 | 89.76 | 40.8 | 2. 20 |
| June | 95.65 | 40.7 | 2.35 | 86. 11 | 41.2 | 2.09 | 100.21 | 40.9 | 2.45 | 109. 06 | 41.0 | 2.66 | 95.57 | 42. 1 | 2.27 | 93.91 | 42.3 | 2. 22 |
| July | 95. 36 | 39.9 | 2. 39 | 86. 71 | 40.9 | 2. 12 | 100. 21 | 40.9 | 2.45 | 109. 47 | 41.0 | 2.67 | 95. 91 | 41.7 | 2.30 | 93.63 | 41.8 | 2. 24 |
| August | 98.16 | 40.9 | 2.40 | 85. 41 | 40.1 | 2.13 | 104. 16 | 42.0 | 2.48 | 113. 21 | 42.4 | 2. 67 | 94.58 | 41.3 | 2.29 | 91.88 | 41.2 | 2.23 |
| Septemb | 99. 29 | 41.2 | 2. 41 | 85.63 | 40.2 | 2.13 | 105. 00 | 42.0 | 2.50 | 114. 90 | 42.4 | 2. 71 | 94. 76 | 41.2 | 2.30 | 92.29 | 41.2 | 2. 24 |
| October | 99.53 | 41.3 | 2.41 | 86.24 | 40.3 | 2.14 | 102. 18 | 41.2 | 2. 48 | 111. 10 | 41.3 | 2. 69 | 94. 02 | 40.7 | 2. 31 | 91.58 | 40.7 | 2. 25 |
| Novemb | 99.46 | 41.1 | 2. 42 | 87.29 | 40.6 | 2.15 | 102. 09 | 41.0 | 2. 49 | 110. 70 | 41.0 | 2. 70 | 95. 76 | 41.1 | 2. 33 | 92. 43 | 40.9 | 2. 26 |
| Decemb | 98.40 | 41.0 | 2.40 | 88.54 | 40.8 | 2.17 | 105. 67 | 42.1 | 2.51 | 115. 45 | 42.6 | 2. 71 | 97. 11 | 41.5 | 2. 34 | 94. 62 | 41.5 | 2. 28 |
| 1959: January -...--- | 98.42 ! | 40.5 | 2.43 | 88.97 | 41.0 | 2.17 | 102.16 | 40.7 | 2.51 | 110.57 | 40.8 | 2.71 | 96.35 | 41.0 | 2.35 | 93.66 | 40.9 | 2.29 |
|  | Gum and wood chemicals |  |  | Fertilizers |  |  | Vegetable and animal oils and fats? |  |  | Vegetable oils |  |  | Animal oils and fats |  |  | Miscellaneous ehem1cals ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| 1956: Averag | \$75.33 | 42.8 | \$1.76 | \$67. 68 | 42.3 | \$1.60 | \$74. 58 | 45. 2 | \$1.65 | \$67.95 | 45.0 | \$1.51 | \$85. 35 | 45.4 | \$1.88 | \$80. 38 | 40.8 | \$1.97 |
| 1957: Average | 78.20 | 42.5 | 1.84 | 71.83 | 42.5 | 1.69 | 78.67 | 44.7 | 1.76 | 71.52 | 44.7 | 1.60 | 88.75 | 44.6 | 1.99 | 84.03 | 40.4 | 2.08 |
| 1958: January | 79.90 | 42.5 | 1.88 | 73.25 | 42.1 | 1.74 | 80.19 | 44.8 | 1.79 | 74. 29 | 45.3 | 1.64 | 90.00 | 43.9 | 2.05 | ${ }_{86.22}^{85}$ | 40.0 | 2.14 |
| February | 78.50 | 41.4 | 1.81 | 72.58 | 43.2 | 1. 1.68 | 88 | 43.6 | 1.86 | 74.63 | 43.9 | 1.70 | 90.29 | 43.2 | 2.09 | 88.18 | 39.9 | 2.16 |
| April | 81.83 | 42.4 | 1.93 | 73. 52 | 43.5 | 1. 69 | 81.78 | 43.5 | 1.88 | 77.44 | 44.0 | 1.76 | 88.17 | 42.8 | 2.06 | 86.22 | 40.1 | 2.15 |
| May | 80.03 | 41.9 | 1.91 | 78.41 | 44.3 | 1.77 | 81.08 | 42.9 | 1.89 | 77.22 | 42.9 | 1. 80 | 86.43 | 43.0 | 2.01 | 86.40 | 40.0 | 2.16 |
| June | 79.93 | 41.2 | 1.94 | 72.51 | 41.2 | 1.76 | 84.29 | 43.9 | 1.92 | 80.29 | 43.4 | 1.85 | 89.24 | 44.4 | 2.01 | 87.45 | 40.3 | 2.17 |
| July. | 81.45 | 42.2 | 1.93 | 73. 44 | 40.8 | 1. 80 | 84.24 | 43.2 | 1.95 | 80.28 | 42.7 | 1.88 | 88.27 | 43.7 | 2.02 | 85.54 | 39.6 | 2.16 |
| August | 80.26 | 41.8 | 1.92 | 72.92 | 41.2 | 1. 77 | 83.18 | 43.1 | 1. 93 | 78. 57 | 42.7 | 1.84 | 88.71 | 43.7 | 2.03 | 86. 98 | 39.9 | 2.18 |
| Septemb | 80.64 | 42.0 | 1.92 | 75.54 | 42.2 | 1. 78 | 81.91 | 43.8 | 1.87 | 75. 52 | 43.4 | 1. 74 | 90.82 | 44.3 | 2.05 | 86. 98 | 39.9 | 2. 18 |
| October | 79.90 | 41.4 | 1.93 | 75. 23 | 42.5 | 1.77 | 83.44 | 46.1 | 1.81 | 79.51 | 47.9 | 1. 66 | 89.87 | 43.0 | 2. 09 | 87.64 | 40.2 | 2. 18 |
| Novem | 80.77 | 41.0 | 1.97 | 75. 29 | 42.3 | 1.78 | 83.08 | 45.9 | 1.81 | 77.08 | 47.0 | 1.64 | 93.93 | 44.1 | 2.13 | 89.10 | 40.5 | 2. 20 |
| December | 81.71 | 41.9 | 1.95 | 75.66 | 41.8 | 1.81 | 82.70 | 44.7 | 1. 85 | 76. 84 | 45. 2 | 1.70 | 91. 98 | 43.8 | 2. 10 | 89. 06 | 40.3 | 2.21 |
| 1959: January ....-- | 81.54 | 41.6 | 1.96 | 76.82 | 43.4 | 1.77 | 83.47 | 44.4 | 1.88 | 77.95 | 44.8 | 1.74 | 92.21 | 43.7 | 2.11 | 88.80 | 40.0 | 2.22 |
|  | Chemicals and allied products-Continued |  |  |  |  |  | Products of petroleum and coal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Rubber products |  |  |
|  | Essential oils,perfumes, cosmetics |  |  | Compressed and liquefied gases |  |  | Total: Products of petroleum and coal |  |  | Petroleum refining |  |  | Coke, other petroleum and coal products |  |  | Total: Rubber prod- |  |  |
| 1956: A verage | \$66. 30 | 39.0 | \$1.70 | \$90.09 | 42.1 | \$2. 14 | 104. 39 | 41.1 | \$2.54 | \$108. 39 | 40.9 | \$2. 65 | \$91. 32 | 41.7 | \$2. 19 | \$87. 23 | 40.2 | \$2.17 |
| 1957: Average | 68.85 | 38.9 | 1.77 | ${ }^{95.91}$ | 41.7 | 2.30 | 108.39 | 40.9 | 2.65 | 112.88 | 40.9 | 2.76 | 96.00 93.06 | 41.2 39.1 | 2.33 2.38 2 | 91.53 87.48 | 40.5 38.2 | 2.26 2.29 |
| 1958: February | 71.94 | 39.1 | 1.84 | 97.82 | 41.1 | 2.38 | 108. 53 | 39.9 | 2.72 | 113.24 | 40.3 | 2.81 | 92.02 | 38.5 | 2.39 | 85.04 | 37.3 | 2.28 |
| March. | 71.37 | 39.0 | 1.83 | 96.15 | 40.4 | 2.38 | 109.07 | 40.1 | 2. 72 | 114.09 | 40.6 | 2.81 | 91.25 | 38.5 | 2. 37 | 87.02 | 38.0 | 2. 29 |
| April. | 72.52 | 39.2 | 1.85 | 98. 23 | 41.1 | 2.39 | 110.97 | 40.5 | 2. 74 | 115. 59 | 40.7 | 2.84 | 94.96 | 39.9 | 3.38 | 85.88 | 37.5 | 2. 29 |
| May | 72.73 | 39.1 | 1.86 | 98.71 | 41.3 | 2. 39 | 110.16 | 40.5 | 2.72 | 113.65 | 40.3 | 2.82 | ${ }_{98}^{98.23}$ | 41.1 | 2. 39 2 29 | 87.86 | 38.2 39.1 | 2.30 |
| June | 72.15 | 39.0 | 1.85 | 100.74 | 41.8 40.9 | 2. 41 | 111.93 | 41.0 | 2.73 | 115.75 | 41.9 | 2.83 2.86 | 98.71 | 41.3 | 2. 2.42 | 91.10 91.89 | 39.1 39.1 | 2.35 |
| July | 71. 04 | 38.4 | 1.85 | 101. 09 | 40.9 41.6 | 2.413 | 113. 16 | 41.0 40.4 | 2.736 | 1113.08 | 40.1 | 2.86 2.82 | 100.85 | 41.5 | 2.43 | 96.80 | 40.5 | 2.39 |
| Augus | 71.81 | 38.4 39.1 | 1.87 | 100.60 | 41.6 <br> 41.4 | 2.43 | 112. 33 | 40.7 | 2.76 | 116.00 | 40.7 | 2.85 | 101.02 | 40.9 | 2. 47 | 97.51 | 40.8 | 2.39 |
| Septem | 75 | 39.9 | 1.88 | 100.86 | 41.0 | 2.46 | 110.15 | 40.2 | 2.74 | 113.48 | 40.1 | 2.83 | 98.98 | 40.4 | 2. 45 | 97.27 | 40.7 | 2.39 |
| November | 74.64 | 39.7 | 1.88 | 103. 91 | 41.9 | 2. 48 | 112.46 | 40.6 | 2. 77 | 116. 28 | 40.8 | 2.85 | 99. 60 | 40.0 | 2. 49 | 98. 09 | 40.7 | 2.41 |
| December- | 75.05 | 39.5 | 1. 90 | 102. 51 | 41.5 | 2.47 | 111.35 | 40.2 | 2. 77 | 114.86 | 40. 3 | 2.85 | 99.60 | 40.0 | 2. 49 | 102. 66 | 41.9 | 2.45 |
| 1959: January-.-.-- | 73.53 | 38.7 | 1.90 | 103.58 | 41.6 | 2.49 | 114.26 | 41.1 | 2.78 | 118.12 | 41.3 | 2.86 | 102.21 | 40.4 | 2.53 | 99.87: | 41.1 | 2.43 |
|  | Rubber products-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Leather and leather products |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Tires and inner tubes |  |  | Rubber footwear |  |  | Other rubber products |  |  | Total: Leather and leather products |  |  | Leather: tanned, curried, and finished |  |  | Industrial leather belting and packing |  |  |
| 1956: Average | \$100.95 | 39.9 | \$2.53 | \$71.89 | 39.5 | \$1.82 | \$78.96 | 40.7 | \$1. 94 | \$56.02 | 37.6 | \$1. 49 | \$74. 24 | 39.7 | \$1.87 | \$73. 71 | 40.5 | \$1.82 |
| 1957: Average | 106.52 | 40.5 | 2.63 | 73.47 | 39.5 | 1.86 | 82.62 | 40.7 | 2.03 | 57.60 | 37.4 37 | 1.54 | 76.64 77.42 | 39.3 39.1 | 1.95 | 77.27 | 41.1 39.7 | 1.88 |
| 1958: January | 98. 52. | 36.9 35.1 | 2. 2.65 | 74.87 74.68 | 39.2 39.1 | 1.91 1.91 | 80.94 80.32 | 39.1 38.8 | 2.07 | 57.41 | 37.3 36.8 | 1.56 | 77.02 | 39.1 38.9 | 1.98 | 71. 25 | 37.7 | 1.89 |
| March..- | 98.05 | 37.0 | 2.65 | 76.61 | 39.9 | 1.92 | 79.87 | 38.4 | 2.08 | 56.83 | 36.2 | 1.57 | 75.65 | 38.4 | 1.97 | 72.58 | 38.4 | 1.89 |
| April. | 95.67 | 36.1 | 2.65 | 75.46 | 39.3 | 1.92 | 79.87 | 38.4 | 2.08 | 53.54 | 34.1 | 1.57 | 74.65 | 37.7 | 1.98 | 69.19 | 37.0 | 1.87 |
| May. | 99,48 | 37.4 | 2. 66 | 75.85 | 39.3 | 1.93 | 80.29 | 38.6 | 2.08 | 55. 42 | 35.3 | 1. 57 | 75.82 | 38.1 | 1.99 | 70. 87 | 37.3 | 1. 90 |
| June. | 103.63 | 38.1 | 2.72 | 77.20 | 40.0 | 1.93 | 83.77 | 39.7 | 2.11 | 57.46 | 36.6 | 1.57 | 78.98 | 39.1 | 2.02 | 73. 73 | 38.2 | 1.93 |
| July. | 106. 59 | 38.9 | 2,74 | 75.25 | 39.4 | 1.91 | 82.92 | 39.3 | 2.11 | 57.97 | 37.4 | 1. 55 | 76.40 | 38.2 | 2.00 | 74.31 | 38.5 | 1.93 |
| August | 113.96 | 40.7 | 2.80 | 77.18 | 40.2 | 1.92 | 86.24 | 40.3 | 2.14 | 58.19 | 37.3 | 1.56 | 78. 19 | 38.9 | 2.01 | 76.82 | 39.6 | 1.94 |
| September | 113. 40 | 40.5 | 2.80 | 76.62 | 39.7 | 1.93 | 89. 21 | 41.3 | 2.16 | 57.99 | 36.7 | 1.58 | 79.79 | 39.5 | 2.02 | 78.21 | 39.5 | 1. 98 |
| Oetober. | 113. 24 | 40.3 | 2.81 | 77.01 | 39.9 | 1.93 | 88.78 | 41.1 | 2.16 | 58.46 | 37.0 | 1.58 | 79.58 | 39.2 | 2.03 | 80.54 | 41.3 | 1.95 |
| November | 115.75 | 40.9 | 2.83 | 77.22 | 39.6 | 1.95 | 88.54 | 40.8 | 2.17 | 59.63 | 37.5 | 1. 59 | 81.19 | 39.8 | 2.04 | 80.16 | 40.9 | 1.96 |
| December | 121.40 | 42.3 | 2.87 | 78. 01 | 39. 8 | 1.96 | 92. 60 | 41.9 | 2.21 | 61.22 | 38.5 | 1. 59 | 83.03 | 40.5 | 2.05 | 79.65 | 41.7 | 1. 91 |
| 1959: January | 116.28 | 40.8 | 2.85 | 77.81 | 39.7 | 1.96 | 91.72 | 41.5 | 2.21 | 62.08 | 38.8 | 1.60 | 81.39 | 39.7 | 2.05 | 79.49 | 41.4 | 1.92 |

See footnotes at end of table.
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Table C-1. Hours and gross earnings of production or nonsupervisory workers, by industry ${ }^{1}$-Con.

| Year and month | Avg. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earn- ings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. brly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earn- ings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. brly. earnings |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Manufacturing-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Transportation and public utilities |  |  |
|  | Nondurable goods-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Transportation |  |  |
|  | Leather and leather products-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Class I railroads ${ }^{\text {s }}$ |  |  |
|  | Boot and shoe cut stock and findings |  |  | Footwear (except rubber) |  |  | Luggage |  |  | Handbags and small leather goods |  |  | Gloves and miscellaneous leather goods |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1956: Avera | \$53.63 | 37.5 | \$1.43 | \$53. 57 | 37.2 | \$1. 44 | \$62. 88 | 39.3 | \$1. 60 | \$51.00 | 37.5 | \$1.36 | \$48.47 | 37.0 | \$1.31 | \$88. 40 | 41. | \$2. 12 |
| 1957: Average | 55. 42 | 37.7 | 1. 47 | 55.13 | 37.0 | 1.49 | 62.43 | 38.3 | 1. 63 | 53.68 | 37.8 | 1. 42 | 49. 59 | 36.2 | 1.37 | 94.24 | 41.7 | 2.26 |
| 1958: January | 56.55 | 37.7 | 1. 50 | 56.17 | 37.2 | 1.51 | 56. 62 | 33.5 | 1.69 | 54.67 | 37.7 | 1. 45 | 49.32 | 36.0 | 1.37 | 99.01 | 41.6 | 2. 38 |
| February | 55.65 | 37.1 | 1. 50 | 54.96 | 36.4 | 1.51 | 59.32 | 35.1 | 1. 69 | 55.83 | 38.5 | 1. 45 | 50.46 | 36.3 | 1. 39 | 101.26 | 41.5 | 2.44 |
| March | 53.70 | 35.8 | 1. 50 | 53.96 | 35.5 | 1. 52 | 60.29 | 36.1 | 1.67 | 56.12 | 38.7 | 1.45 | 50.40 | 36.0 | 1.40 | 96.24 | 40.1 | 2. 40 |
| April | 52.90 | 34.8 | 1. 52 | 49. 68 | 32.9 | 1.51 | 62.33 | 37.1 | 1. 68 | 52. 49 | 36.2 | 1. 45 | 50.34 | 35.7 | 1.41 | 98. 95 | 41.4 | 2. 39 |
| May | 54.96 | 36. 4 | 1. 51 | 51. 94 | 34.4 | 1. 51 | 63. 25 | 38.1 | 1.66 | 52.13 | 36. 2 | 1. 44 | 49. 98 | 35.7 | 1. 40 | 100. 12 | 41.2 | 2. 43 |
| June | 57.15 | 38.1 | 1.50 | 54.36 | 36.0 | 1.51 | 63.91 | 38.5 | 1.66 | 53.36 | 36.8 | 1.45 | 50.04 | 36.0 | 1.39 | 101. 19 | 41.3 | 2. 45 |
| July | 56. 85 | 37.9 | 1. 50 | 55.80 | 37.2 | 1. 50 | 66.08 | 39.1 | 1.69 | 53. 42 | 37.1 | 1. 44 | 50.26 | 35.9 | 1. 40 | 103. 28 | 42.5 | 2. 43 |
| August | 55.35 | 36.9 | 1. 50 | 55.57 | 36.8 | 1.51 | 66. 07 | 39.8 | 1.66 | 55. 30 | 38.4 | 1.44 | 50.40 | 36.0 | 1.40 | 100.94 | 41.2 | 2. 45 |
| Septemb | 54.45 | 36. 3 | 1. 50 | 54.93 | 35.9 | 1.53 | 66.57 | 40.1 | 1.66 | 54. 96 | 37.9 | 1.45 | 49. 62 | 35.7 | 1. 39 | 103.39 | 42.2 | 2. 45 |
| October | 55.05 | 36.7 | 1. 50 | 55.08 | 36.0 | 1.53 | 65.01 | 39.4 | 1.65 | 58. 58 | 40. 4 | 1.45 | 50.87 | 36. 6 | 1. 39 | 103. 52 | 42.6 | 2. 43 |
| November | 57. 22 | 37.4 | 1. 53 | 56. 21 | 36. 5 | 1. 54 | 66.19 | 39.4 | 1.68 | 59. 42 | 40.7 | 1. 46 | 51.01 | 36.7 | 1.39 | 104. 19 | 40.7 | 2. 56 |
| 1959. December---- | 59.04 | 39.1 | 1. 51 | 58.67 | 38.1 | 1. 54 | 66. 08 | 39.1 | 1. 69 | 56.30 | 39.1 | 1. 44 | 51.71 | 37.2 | 1.39 | 107.35 | 42.6 | 2. 52 |
| 1959: January -...--- | 58.98 | 38.8 | 1. 52 | 60.30 | 38.9 | 1. 55 | 64.16 | 37.3 | 1.72 | 55.33 | 37.9 | 1.46 | 51.61 | 36.6 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Transportation and public utilities-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Transportation-Con. |  |  | Communication |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other public utilities |  |  |
|  | Local railways and buslines |  |  | Telephone |  |  | Switchboard operating employees ${ }^{6}$ |  |  | Line construction employees ? |  |  | Telegraph ${ }^{8}$ |  |  | Total: Gas and electric utilities |  |  |
| 1956: Average | \$84.48 | 43.1 | \$1.96 | \$73.47 | 39.5 | \$1.86 | \$60.70 | 37.7 | \$1. 61 | \$101.36 | 43.5 | \$2.33 | \$82. 74 | 42.0 | \$1.97 | \$91. 46 | 41.2 | \$2.22 |
| 1957: A verage | 88.56 | 43.2 | 2.05 | 76.05 | 39.0 | 1.95 | 62.70 | 37.1 | 1.69 | 102. 48 | 42.7 | 2. 40 | 87.36 | 41.8 | 2. 09 | 95. 30 | 40.9 | 2. 33 |
| 1958: January | 88.61 | 42.6 | 2.08 | 76. 38 | 38.0 | 2.01 | 61.07 | 35. 3 | 1.73 | 102.09 | 41.5 | 2.46 | 85. 90 | 41.1 | 2.09 | 97.51 | 40.8 | 2. 39 |
| February | 88.83 | 42.5 | 2.09 | 76. 78 | 38.2 | 2.01 | 63.16 | 36. 3 | 1. 74 | 101. 76 | 41.2 | 2. 47 | 86. 10 | 41.0 | 2. 10 | 98. 81 | 41. 0 | 2. 41 |
| March | 89.03 | 42.6 | 2.09 | 76. 36 | 37.8 | 2.02 | 61. 25 | 35.2 | 1.74 | 102.18 | 41.2 | 2.48 | 86.52 | 41.2 | 2.10 | 97.77 | 40.4 | 2.42 |
| April | 90.10 | 42.7 | 2. 11 | 76. 53 | 37.7 | 2.03 | 61. 42 | 35. 3 | 1. 74 | 101. 84 | 40. 9 | 2. 49 | 87.35 | 41.4 | 2.11 | 99.55 | 40.8 | 2. 44 |
| May | 90.30 | 43.0 | 2. 10 | 77.11 | 37.8 | 2.04 | 63. 01 | 35.6 | 1.77 | 101. 75 | 40.7 | 2. 50 | 89.04 | 42.0 | 2. 12 | 98.42 | 40.5 | 2. 43 |
| June. | 91.16 | 43.0 | 2.12 | 78.31 | 38.2 | 2.05 | 63.35 | 36.2 | 1.75 | 104. 90 | 41.3 | 2. 54 | 91.34 | 41.9 | 2.18 | 100. 12 | 40.7 | 2.46 |
| July. | 91.38 | 42.9 | 2. 13 | 79.31 | 38.5 | 2.06 | 63. 88 | 36.5 | 1.75 | 107. 01 | 41.8 | 2.56 | 91.76 | 41.9 | 2. 19 | 100.12 | 40.7 | 2. 46 |
| August | 90. 95 | 42.9 | 2. 12 | 79. 90 | 38.6 | 2. 27 | 64.77 | 36.8 | 1.76 | 106. 91 | 41.6 | 2. 57 | 91.78 | 42.1 | 2. 18 | 101.02 | 40.9 | 2. 47 |
| Septemb | 90.74 | 42.4 | 2. 14 | 81.12 | 39.0 | 2.08 | 66. 20 | 37.4 | 1.77 | 108. 10 | 41.9 | 2. 58 | 93.63 | 41.8 | 2.24 | 101.84 | 40.9 | 2. 49 |
| October | 90.53 | 42.5 | 2.13 | 81.51 | 39.0 | 2.09 | 67.30 | 37.6 | 1.79 | 107. 84 | 41.8 | 2.58 | 93.41 | 41.7 | 2.24 | 102.66 | 40.9 | 2.51 |
| Novemb | 91.16 | 42.6 | 2. 14 | 82.97 | 39.7 | 2.09 | 69. 38 | 39.2 | 1.77 | 109.30 | 42. 2 | 2. 59 | 92.51 | 41.3 | 2. 24 | 103. 57 | 41.1 | 2. 52 |
| December | 92.66 | 42.9 | 2.16 | 81.06 | 38.6 | 2.10 | 64.79 | 36.4 | 1.78 | 109. 72 | 42.2 | 2. 60 | 93.18 | 41.6 | 2.24 | 103. 57 | 41.1 | 2. 52 |
| 1959: January------- | 93.09 | 42.9 | 2.17 | 80.60 | 38.2 | 2.11 | 64.26 | 36.1 | 1.78 | 108.16 | 41.6 | 2.60 | 93.98 | 41.4 | 2.27 | 103.07 | 40.9 | 2. 52 |
|  | Transportation and public utilities-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Wholesale and retail trade |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Other public utilities-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Wholesale trade |  |  | Retail trade |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Electric light and power utilities |  |  | Gas utilities |  |  | Electric light and gas utilities combined |  |  |  |  |  | Retail trade (except eating and drinking places) |  |  | General merchandise stores |  |  |
| 1956: A verage | \$93.38 | 41.5 | \$2.25 | \$86. 30 | 40.9 | \$2.11 | \$93.11 | 41.2 | \$2.26 | \$81.20 | 40.4 | \$2. 01 | \$60. 60 | 38.6 | \$1.57 | \$43.40 | 35.0 | \$1.24 |
| 1957: Average | 97.06 | 41.3 | 2. 35 | 90.13 | 40.6 | 2.22 | 97.10 | 40.8 | 2.38 | 84. 42 | 40.2 | 2. 10 | 62.48 | 38.1 | 1.64 | 44.85 | 34.5 | 1.30 |
| 1958: January | 98.98 | 40.9 | 2. 42 | 92.80 | 40.7 | 2. 28 | 100. 21 | 40.9 | 2.45 | 85.41 | 40.1 | 2.13 | 63.50 | 37.8 | 1.68 | 45. 77 | 33.9 | 1.35 |
| February | 99.14 | 40.8 | 2.43 | 96.05 | 41.4 | 2.32 | 100. 86 | 41.0 | 2. 46 | 85. 57 | 39.8 | 2.15 | 63. 50 | 37.8 | 1. 68 | 45. 69 | 34.1 | 1. 34 |
| March | 99. 80 | 40.9 | 2.44 | 93.15 | 40.5 | 2. 30 | 98.85 | 39.7 | 2. 49 | 85. 79 | 39.9 | 2.15 | 63.13 | 37.8 | 1.67 | 45. 75 | 34.4 | 1.33 |
| April | 100. 45 | 41.0 | 2.45 | 92.46 | 40.2 | 2.30 | 103. 48 | 40.9 | 2. 53 | 85.14 | 39.6 | 2.15 | 63.50 | 37.8 | 1.68 | 45.83 | 34.2 | 1.34 |
| May | 99.72 | 40.7 | 2.45 | 92.23 | 40.1 | 2. 30 | 102. 97 | 40.7 | 2. 53 | 86. 40 | 40.0 | 2.16 | 63.88 | 37.8 | 1. 69 | 46.31 | 34.3 | 1.35 |
| June | 101.68 | 41.0 | 2.48 | 93.67 | 40.2 | 2. 33 | 103. 63 | 40.8 | 2. 54 | 87.42 | 40.1 | 2.18 | 64. 94 | 38. 2 | 1.70 | 47. 68 | 34.8 | 1.37 |
| July. | 101. 68 | 41.0 | 2.48 | 93.90 | 40.3 | 2. 33 | 103. 38 | 40.7 | 2. 54 | 88.26 | 40.3 | 2.19 | 66. 18 | 38.7 | 1. 71 | 48. 22 | 35. 2 | 1. 37 |
| August | 102. 59 | 41.2 | 2. 49 | 94. 60 | 40.6 | 2.33 | 103. 94 | 40.6 | 2. 56 | 87.64 | 40.2 | 2.18 | 66.18 | 38.7 | 1. 71 | 47. 52 | 35.2 | 1. 35 |
| Septembe | 102. 66 | 40.9 | 2. 51 | 96. 12 | 40.9 | 2. 35 | 105. 93 | 40.9 | 2. 59 | 88. 66 | 40.3 | 2. 20 | 64.98 | 38.0 | 1. 71 | 46. 92 | 34.5 | 1. 36 |
| October | 103. 22 | 40.8 | 2. 53 | ${ }_{98}^{97.41}$ | 41.1 | 2.37 2.39 | 106. 491 | 41.8 | 2.61 2.61 | 87.85 | 40.3 | 2. 18 | 64.81 | 37.9 | 1.71 | 46. 65 | 34.3 | 1. 36 |
| December- | 103.89 | 40.9 | 2.54 | 98.06 | 41.2 | 2.38 | 108. 47 | 41.4 | 2.62 | 88.48 | 40.4 | 2.19 | 64.68 | 38.5 | 1.68 | 48.68 | 36.6 | 1.33 |
| 1959: January-------- | 103.63 | 40.8 | 2.54 | 98.23 | 41.1 | 2.39 | 107.16 | 40.9 | 2.62 | 88.62 | 40.1 | 2.21 | 66.29 | 38.1 | 1.74 | 48.44 | 34.6 | 1. 40 |
|  | Department stores and general mailorder houses |  |  | Food and liquorstores |  |  | Automotive and accessories dealers |  |  | Apparel and accessories stores |  |  | Other retail trade |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Furniture and appliance stores | Lumber and hardware supply stores |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1956: A verage | \$48.77 | 35.6 | \$1.37 |  |  |  | \$63. 38 | 37.5 | \$1.69 | \$81.28 | 43.7 | \$1.86 | \$47.54 | 34.7 | \$1.37 | \$69.30 | 42.0 | \$1. 65 | \$72. 68 | 42.5 | \$1.7 ${ }_{1}$ |
| 1957: A verage | 50.26 | 34.9 | 1.44 | 65.50 | 36.8 | 1.78 |  |  |  | 83.22 | 43.8 | 1. 90 | 49. 13 | 34.6 | 1.42 | 71.23 | 41.9 | 1.70 | 74.69 | 42.2 | 1. 77 |
| 1958: January - | 50.57 | 34.4 | 1.47 | 65.70 | 35.9 | 1.83 | 82.34 | 43.8 | 1.88 | 50.81 | 34.8 | 1.46 | 71. 72 | 41.7 | 1.72 | 73. 93 | 41.3 | 1.79 |
| February | 50.52 | 34.6 | 1.46 | 65.87 | 35.8 | 1.84 | 80.54 | 43.3 | 1.86 | 50.26 | 34.9 | 1.44 | 69.47 | 41.6 | 1.67 | 73.03 | 40.8 | 1. 79 |
| March. | 51. 10 | 35.0 | 1.46 | 65.87 | 35.8 | 1.84 | 81.28 | 43.7 | 1.86 | 49.19 | 34.4 | 1.43 | 68.89 | 41.5 | 1.66 | 74.34 | 41.3 | 1.80 |
| April | 51. 50 | 34.8 | 1.48 | 66. 23 | 35.8 | 1. 85 | 81.72 | 43.7 | 1. 87 | 50.08 | 34.3 | 1. 46 | 68. 97 | 41.8 | 1. 65 | 75.30 | 41.6 | 1.81 |
| May | 52. 15 | 35.0 | 1.49 | 66.42 | 35.9 | 1.85 | 83.66 | 43. 8 | 1. 91 | 50.72 | 34.5 | 1. 47 | 70.98 | 42.0 | 1.69 | 77.83 | 42.3 | 1.84 |
| June | 53.61 | 35.5 | 1.51 | 68.08 | 36.6 | 1.86 | 84.10 | 43.8 | 1. 92 | 51.01 | 34.7 | 1.47 | 72.07 | 41.9 | 1.72 | 77.35 | 42.5 | 1.82 |
| July.- | 53. 91 | 35.7 | 1. 51 | 69.56 | 37.4 | 1.86 | 84. 53 | 43.8 | 1. 93 | 51.25 | 35.1 | 1. 46 | 72.41 | 42.1 | 1. 72 | 77. 96 | 42.6 | 1. 83 |
| August. | 53.25 | 35.5 | 1. 50 | 69.38 | 37.3 | 1.86 | 84.73 | 43. 9 | 1. 93 | 50.69 | 35.2 | 1.44 | 73.57 | 41.8 | 1.76 | 78. 94 | 42.9 | 1. 84 |
| September | 52.65 | 35.1 | 1. 50 | 68.44 | 36.6 | 1.87 | 83. 47 | 43.7 | 1.91 | 50.86 | 34.6 | 1.47 | 72. 98 | 41.7 | 1.75 | 79.18 | 42.8 | 1.85 |
| October- | 52.50 | 35.0 | 1.50 | 68.42 | 36.2 | 1.89 | 83.22 | 43. 8 | 1. 90 | 50.91 | 34.4 | 1.48 | 73. 81 | 41.7 | 1. 77 | 79.24 | 42.6 | 1. 86 |
| Novembe | 51.41 | 34.5 | 1.49 | 68.97 | 36. 3 | 1. 90 | 83. 90 | 43.7 | 1. 92 | 50.76 | 34.3 | 1. 48 | 74. 05 | 41.6 | 1.78 | 77.70 | 42.0 | 1. 85 |
| 1959. December- | 55. 13 | 37.5 | 1. 47 | 68.24 | 36. ${ }_{5}$ | 1.88 | 85. 36 | 44.0 | 1.94 | 52.98 | 35.8 | 1. 48 | 76. 38 | 42.2 | 1.81 | 76. 49 | 41.8 | 1.83 |
| 1959: January | 53. 70 | 35.1 | 1.53 | 68.26 | 36.5 | 1.87 | 86.68 | 44.0 | 1.97 | 52. 44 | 34.5 | 1. 52 | L3.34 | 41.2 | 1.78 | 76.18 | 41.4 | 1.84 |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table C-1. Hours and gross earnings of production or nonsupervisory workers, by industry ${ }^{1}$-Con.

| Year and month | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earnings | $\begin{gathered} \text { Avg. } \\ \text { wkly. } \\ \text { earnings } \end{gathered}$ | Avg. wkly. hours | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Avg. } \\ & \text { hrly. } \end{aligned}$ earnings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | $\begin{gathered} \text { Avg. } \\ \text { hrly. } \\ \text { earnings } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Avg. } \\ \text { wkly. } \\ \text { earnings } \end{gathered}$ | Avg. wkly. hours | $\begin{gathered} \text { Avg. } \\ \text { hrly. } \\ \text { earnings } \end{gathered}$ | Avg. wkly. earnings |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Finance, insurance, and real estate ${ }^{9}$ |  |  | Service and miscellaneous |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Banks and trust companies | Security | Insurance carriers | Hotels, year-round ${ }^{10}$ |  |  | Personal services |  |  |  |  |  | Motion picture productlon and distribution |
|  |  | and ex- changes |  |  |  |  | Laundries |  |  | Cleaning and dyeing plants |  |  |  |
| 1956: Average------ | \$61.97 | \$97. 56 | $\$ 77.49$ 80.73 | $\$ 42.13$ 43.52 | 40.9 40.3 | $\$ 1.03$ 1.08 | $\$ 42.32$ 43.27 | 40.3 39.7 | \$1.05 | $\$ 49.77$ 50.57 | 39.5 38.9 | $\$ 1.26$ 1.30 | $\$ 91.60$ 99.48 |
| 1957: Average-...--- | 64.21 65.56 | 98.77 98.19 | 80.73 82.12 | 43.52 44.40 | 40.3 40.0 | 1.08 | 43.27 43.68 | 39.7 39.0 | 1.12 | 50.57 49.27 | 38.9 37.9 | 1. 30 | 97.43 |
| February--- | 65.60 | 97.77 | 82.68 | 44.58 | 39.8 | 1.12 | 43. 23 | 38.6 | 1.12 | 47.09 | 36.5 | 1.29 | 98.79 |
| March | 65.53 | 95. 65 | 82.60 | 44.29 | 39.9 | 1.11 | 43.68 | 39.0 | 1.12 | 49. 53 | 38.1 | 1.30 | 97.84 |
| April. | 65. 60 | 98. 64 | 82.38 | 44.29 | 39.9 | 1.11 | 44. 30 | 39.2 | 1.13 | 50.70 | 38.7 | 1.31 | 95.43 |
| May. | 65.72 | 103.60 | 82.59 | 44.80 | 40.0 | 1.12 | 44.75 | 39.6 | 1.13 | 52.40 | 39.7 | 1. 32 | 96. 28 |
| June...-.-.-- | 65.56 | 105. 42 | 82.86 | 45.31 | 40.1 | 1.13 | 45.37 | 39.8 | 1. 14 | 53.47 | 39.9 | 1.34 | 96.55 |
| July | 65.93 | 106. 21 | 83. 00 | 45.60 | 40.0 | 1.14 | 45. 26 | 39.7 | 1. 14 | 51.07 | 38.4 | 1.33 | 97.10 97 |
| August_.....- | 65.80 65.98 | 107.55 108.04 | 83.49 83.19 | 44.91 45.09 | 40.1 39.9 | 1.12 1.13 | 44.80 44.80 | 39.3 39.3 | 1.14 1.14 | 49.48 51.34 | 37.2 38.6 | 1.33 | 97.67 100.62 |
| September-..-- | 66. 24 | 115.41 | 82.97 | 45. 65 | 40.4 | 1.13 | 44.92 | 39.4 | 1.14 | 52.80 | 39.4 | 1.34 | 102, 32 |
| November----- | 66.54 | 121.46 | 83.45 | 45.49 | 39.9 | 1.14 | 44.23 | 38.8 | 1.14 | 51.86 | 38.7 | 1.34 | 101. 44 |
| December---- | 66.48 | 123.49 | 84.36 | 46. 40 | 40.0 | 1.16 | 44.69 | 39.2 | 1.14 | 51.32 | 38.3 | 1.34 | 104.29 |
| 1959: January .-.--- | 67.04 | 121. 50 | 84, 68 | 45.77 | 39.8 | 1.15 | 45.08 | 39.2 | 1.15 | 52.11 | 38.6 | 1.35 | 101.93 |

1 For comparability of data with those published in issues prior to August 1958 and coverage of these series, see footnote 1, table A-2.
In addition, hours and earnings data for anthracite mining have been revised from January 1953 and are not comparable with those published in issues prior to August 1958.

For mining, manufacturing, laundries, and cleaning and dyeing plants data, refer to production and related workers: for contract construction, to construction workers; and for the remaining industries, unless otherwise noted, to nonsupervisory workers and working supervisors.
Data for the latest month are preliminary.
${ }^{2}$ Italicized titles which follow are components of this industry.
${ }^{3}$ A verages shown for 1956 are not strictly comparable with those for later years.
${ }^{4}$ Data beginning with January 1958 are not strictly comparable with those shown for earlier years.
${ }^{-}$Figures for Class I rallroads (excluding switching and terminal companies) are based upon monthly data summarized in the M-300 report by the Interstate Commerce Commission and relate to all employees who received pay during the month, except executives, officials, and staff assistants (ICO Group I).

- Data relate to employees in such occupations in the telephone industry as switchboard operators, service assistants, operating-room instructors, and pay-station attendants. In 1957, such employees made up 39 percent of the total number of nonsupervisory employees in establishments reporting hours and earnings data.
${ }_{7}$ Data relate to employees in such occupations in the telephone industry as central office craftsmen; installation and exchange repair craftsmen; line, as central ond conduit craftsmen; and laborers. In 1957, such employees made cable, and conduit craftsmen; and laborers. In 1957, such employees made up 29 percent of the total number of nonsu
${ }_{8}$ Data relate to domestic nonsupervisory employees except messengers.
${ }^{8}$ Data relate to domestic nonsupervisory employees except messengers.
Average weekly hours and average hourly earnings data are not available.
10 Money payments only; additional value of board, room, uniforms, and tips not included.

Note: For a description of these series, see Techniques of Preparing Major BLS Statistical Series, BLS Bull. 1168 (1954).
Source: U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics for all series except that for Class I railroads (see footnote 5).

TABLE C-2. Average weekly earnings, gross and net spendable, of production workers in manufacturing industries, in current and 1947-49 dollars ${ }^{1}$

| Item | 1959 | 1958 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Annual a verage |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Jan. ${ }^{2}$ | Dec. | Nov. | Oct. | Sept. | Aug. | July | June | May | Apr. | Mar. | Feb. | Jan. | 1957 | 1956 |
| Manufacturing | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 87.38 \\ 70.58 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 88.04 \\ 71.17 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 86.58 \\ 69.88 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 85.17 \\ 68.85 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 85.39 \\ 69.03 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 84.35 \\ 68.19 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 83.50 \\ 67.39 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 83.10 \\ 67.18 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 82.04 \\ 66.38 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 80.81 \\ 65.43 \end{array}$ | $\$ 81.45$66.06 | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 80.64 \\ 65.83 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 81.66 \\ 66.77 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 82.39 \\ 68.54 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 79.99 \\ 68.84 \end{array}$ |
| Gross average weekly earnings: Current dollars. 1947-49 dollars |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net spendable average weekly earnings: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| W orker with no dependents: Current dollars. | 71. 20 | 72. 10 | 70.93 | 69.807 | 69. 97 | 69.14 | 68. 46 | 68.14 | 67.29 | 66. 30 | 66. 81 | 66. 17 | 66. 98 | 67. 57 | 65.86 56.68 |
| 1947-49 dollars ------------ | 57.51 | 58.29 | 57.25 | 56.43 | 56.56 | 55.89 | 55.25 | 55.08 | 54.44 | 53.68 | 54.18 | 54.02 | 54.77 | 56.21 |  |
| W orker with 3 dependents: <br> Current dollars <br> 1947-49 dollars | 78.70 63.57 | 79.60 64.35 | 78.41 63.28 | 77.25 62.45 | 77.43 62.59 | 76.58 61.91 | 75.88 61.25 | 75.55 61.08 | 74.68 60.42 | 73.67 59.65 | 74.20 60.18 | 73.54 60.03 | 74.37 60.81 | 74.97 62.37 | 73.22 63.01 |

${ }_{1}$ For comparability of data with those published in issues prior to August 1958, see footnote 1, table A-2.
Net spendable average weekly earnings are obtained by deducting from gross average weekly earnings, Federal social security and income taxes for which the worker is liable. The amount of tax liability depends, of course, on the number of dependents supported by the worker as well as on the level of his gross income. Net spendable earnings have been computed for 2 types of income-receivers: (1) a worker with no dependents; (2) a worker with 3 dependents. The primary value of the spendable series is that of measuring relative changes in disposable earnings for 2 types of income receivers.

The computations of net spendable earnings for both the worker with no dependents and the worker with 3 dependents are based upon the gross average weekly earnings for all production workers in manufacturing without direct regard to marital status, family composition, or other sources of income.
Gross and net spendable average weekly earnings expressed in 1947-49 dollars indicate changes in the level of average weekly earnings after adjustment for changes in purchasing power as measured by the Burean's Consumer Price Index.
${ }^{3}$ Preliminary.
Source: U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics.

Table C-3. Indexes of aggregate weekly man-hours in industrial and construction activities ${ }^{1}$
[1947-49=100]

| Industry | 1959 |  | 1958 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Annuas average |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Feb. ${ }^{2}$ | Jan. ${ }^{2}$ | Dec. | Nov. | Oct. | Sept. | Aug. | July | June | May | Apr. | Mar. | Feb. | 1957 | 1956 |
| Total_ | 93.8 | 94.8 | 96.7 | 98.5 | 97.8 | 99.6 | 97.3 | 93.8 | 93.9 | 90.9 | 89.0 | 89.9 | 89.7 | 105.6 | 109.9 |
| Mining | 66.1 | 68.1 | 69.8 | 68.4 | 68.0 | 68.3 | 67.4 | 66.1 | 68.7 | 65.1 | 64.5 | 67.0 | 69.3 | 81.4 | 83.8 |
| Contract construction | 91.6 | 99.9 | 105.7 | 123.8 | 135.3 | 136.1 | 137.9 | 132.1 | 128.1 | 122.7 | 109.1 | 98.9 | 85.9 | 127.3 | 135.0 |
| Manufacturing | 96.0 | 95.8 | 97.3 | 96.9 | 94.5 | 96. 5 | 93.5 | 90.2 | 90.6 | 88.1 | 87.8 | 90.2 | 91. 5 | 104.1 | 108. 1 |
| Durable goods | 101. 4 | 101.3 | 102.3 | 101.2 | 96.0 | 98.6 | 94.0 | 92.0 | 93.7 | 91.3 | 91.6 | 94.4 | 95.7 | 112.9 | 117.3 |
| Ordnance and accessories | 320.3 | 329.0 | 330.1 | 317.6 | 297.0 | 305.0 | 293.5 | 295.1 | 300.9 | 297.9 | 303.9 | 298.2 | 294.4 | 339.4 | 178.8 |
| Lumber and wood products (except furniture) | 70.1 | 70.8 | 74.5 | 76.3 | 80.0 | 79.8 | 77.4 | 73.6 | 76.7 | 70.3 | 66.2 | 208.2 65.6 | 204.4 65.4 | 330.4 76.6 | 378.8 88.1 |
|  | 104. 9 | 103.8 | 105.3 | 105.3 | 106.4 | 105. 1 | 100.7 | 91.9 | 92.1 | 88.7 | 66.2 89.0 | 65.6 92.7 | 65.4 93.7 | 76.6 103.9 | 88.1 107.7 |
| Stone, clay, and glass products.-.--...-- | 93.8 | 93.8 | 96.4 | 98.6 | 97.9 | 101.9 | 99.3 | 95.6 | 94.9 | 91.0 | 88.9 | 89.2 | 89.2 | 104. 5 | 109.6 |
| Primary metal industries................... Fabricated metal products (except ordnance, machinery, and trans- | 96.8 | 94.1 | 92.4 | 90.0 | 86.2 | 86.3 | 81.9 | 80.6 | 81.1 | 77.1 | 77.2 | 81.0 | 82.7 | 105. 4 | 110.6 |
|  | 106.3 | 105.4 | 107.9 | 107.2 | 102.5 | 107.0 | 101.3 | 97.3 | 98.3 | 94.6 | 94.8 | 98.0 | 99.8 | 115.9 | 116.6 |
| Machinery (except electrical) .-.----------- | 94.2 | 92.3 | 91.1 | 87.9 | 85.6 | 86.9 | 83.2 | 84.3 | 86.7 | 87.5 | 89.9 | 92.9 | 93.7 | 111.0 | 116.8 |
| Electrical machinery | 124.4 | 124.4 | 124.9 | 124.7 | 116.1 | 120.0 | 113.6 | 109.0 | 110.6 | 109.1 | 110.9 | 114.3 | 116. 7 | 134.0 | 138.5 |
| Transportation equipment.------- | 118.0 | 123.9 | 125.7 | 121.5 | 99.1 | 108.7 | 103.2 | 105.0 | 107.7 | 107.1 | 108.3 | 113.5 | 116.5 | 139.6 | 138. 5 |
| Instruments and related products | 110.6 | 109.5 | 110.3 | 109.6 | 107.9 | 106. 5 | 102.0 | 100.2 | 101.9 | 101.3 | 104.0 | 105. 4 | 106.8 | 117.5 | 121.1 |
| tries | 93.9 | 91.5 | 94.4 | 99.3 | 100.9 | 98.9 | 93.6 | 88.0 | 90.9 | 88.3 | 88.6 | 90.1 | 89.7 | 101. 2 | 105. 9 |
| Nondurable goods | 89.6 | 89.3 | 91.2 | 91.7 | 92. 6 | 94.0 | 92.8 | 88.0 | 87.0 | 84.3 | 83.3 | 85.2 | 86.6 | 101.2 93.7 | 105.8 97.0 |
| Food and kindred prod | 74.4 | 77.0 | 82.2 | 86.2 | 91.4 | 98.1 | 97.0 | 89.2 | 84.7 | 78.7 | 75.4 | 74.7 | 75.5 | 86.4 | 90.6 |
| Tobacco manufactures | 71.2 | 76.4 | 82. 7 | 82.7 | 92.1 | 95.8 | 84.1 | 68.3 | 69.1 | 67.1 | 66.1 | 68.4 | 74.5 | 80.8 | 86.4 |
|  | 72.7 | 71.5 | 73.0 | 73.7 | 72.9 | 71.8 | 70.6 | 67.5 | 68.0 | 65.3 | 64.5 | 66.8 | 68.0 | 74.7 | 80.6 |
| Apparel and other finished textile products | 105.4 | 100.7 | 101.3 | 100.3 | 100.7 | 101.2 | 101.1 | 94.1 | 92.4 | 91.3 | 90.5 | 94.0 | 98.2 | 102.0 | 104.1 |
| Paper and allied products | 109.1 | 109.8 | 110.3 | 111.4 | 112.0 | 112.2 | 110.3 | 105.5 | 106.4 | 104.0 | 104.5 | 105.8 | 105.9 | 113.9 | 116. 4 |
| Printing, publishing and allied industries | 108.4 | 108.4 | 111.5 | 109.7 | 110.2 | 110.0 | 108.5 | 106. 6 | 107.6 | 107.3 | 108.4 | 109.5 | 108.7 | 113.9 | 116.4 112.7 |
| Chemicals and allied products | 100.4 | 100.3 | 100.7 | 100.3 | 100.3 | 99.2 | 97.2 | 95.7 | 97.2 | 98.6 | 100.0 | 100. 0 | 99.6 | 106.2 | 108.3 |
| Products of petroleum and coal | 80.3 103.7 | 83.8 102.9 | 82.4 104.3 | 83.9 100.0 | 81.6 99.4 | 85. 0 | 84.3 | 85.5 | 85.8 | 84.5 | 84.1 | 83.2 | 83.9 | 91. 1 | 93. 8 |
| Leather and leather products. | 103.8 95.8 | 102.9 94.2 | 104.3 93.3 | 100.0 | 99.4 85.9 | 86.8 | 88.8 | 86.1 87.2 | 86.3 84.8 | 82.7 78.3 | 83.0 75.3 | 87.8 85.3 | 89.7 88.6 | 104.8 90.8 | 106.7 93.8 |

${ }^{1}$ For comparability of data with those published in issues prior to August 1958, see footnote 1 , table A-2.
For mining and manufacturing data, refer to production and related workers; for contract construction, to construction workers.
${ }^{2}$ Preliminary.
Source: U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statisties.

Table C-4. Indexes of aggregate weekly payrolls in industrial and construction activities ${ }^{1}$
[1947-49=100]

| Activity | 1959 |  | 1958 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Annual average |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Feb. ${ }^{2}$ | Jan. ${ }^{2}$ | Dec. | Nov. | Oct. | Sept. | Aug. | July | June | May | Apr. | Mar. | Feb. | 1957 | 1956 |
| Mining |  | 108.5 | 109.4 | 106.8 | 105.0 | 105. 5 | 103.6 | 101.8 | 106.2 | 99.0 | 88.2 | 103.6 | 108.0 | 124.3 | 121.6 |
| Contract construction. |  | 174.3 | 184.4 | 212.2 | 231.4 | 232.9 | 232.8 | 223.1 | 213.3 | 205.1 | 183.2 | 166.3 | 145.5 | 207.1 | 207.7 |
| Manufacturing.- | 158.4 | 158.1 | 160.4 | 158.4 | 152.5 | 155.7 | 150.0 | 144.8 | 144.9 | 140.9 | 139.6 | 143.6 | 144.9 | 162.7 | 161.4 |

[^48]${ }^{2}$ Preliminary.
Source: U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics.

Table C-5. Average hourly earnings, gross and excluding overtime, of production workers in manufacturing, by major industry group ${ }^{1}$

| Year and month | Gross | Ex-overtime ${ }^{2}$ | Gross | Ex- cluding overtime ${ }^{2}$ | Gross | Ex-overtime ${ }^{2}$ | Gross |  | Gross |  | Gross |  | Gross | Excluding over- time | Gross |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total: Manufacturing |  | Durable goods |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Total: Durable goods |  | Ordnance and accessories |  | Lumber and wood products (except furniture) |  | Furniture and fixtures |  | Stone, clay, and glass products |  | Primary metal industries |  | Fabricated metal products |  |
| 1956: Average. | \$1.98 | \$1. 91 | \$2. 10 | \$2. 03 | \$2. 19 | \$2.12 | \$1. 76 | \$1.69 | \$1.69 | \$1. 64 | \$1.96 | \$1.88 | \$2.36 | \$2. 29 | \$2.07 | \$2.00 |
| 1957: Average..---- | 2.07 | 2.01 | 2.20 | 2.14 | 2.34 | 2.28 | 1.81 | 1.75 | 1.75 | 1.70 | 2.05 | 1.98 | 2.50 | 2.44 | 2.18 | 2.11 |
| 1958: January | 2.11 | 2.06 | 2. 24 | 2. 20 | 2.44 | 2.38 | 1.81 | 1.75 | 1.76 | 1.72 | 2.10 | 2.04 | 2. 56 | 2.52 | 2.22 | 2.17 |
| February | 2.10 | 2.06 | 2.24 | 2. 20 | 2.44 | 2.38 | 1.82 | 1. 77 | 1.77 | 1.73 | 2.09 | 2.04 | 2. 56 | 2. 53 | 2.22 | 2.18 |
| March | 2.11 | 2. 07 | 2.25 | 2.21 | 2.45 | 2.39 | 1.82 | 1. 77 | 1.77 | 1.74 | 2.09 | 2.03 | 2. 57 | 2. 54 | 2.23 | 2.19 |
| April. | 2.11 | 2.07 | 2.25 | 2.21 | 2.46 | 2.40 | 1.84 | 1. 79 | 1.77 | 1.74 | 2.09 | 2.03 | 2. 58 | 2.54 | 2.24 | 2.20 |
| May- | 2.12 | 2. 07 | 2. 26 | 2.21 | 2. 46 | 2.41 | 1.88 | 1.82 | 1.77 | 1.74 | 2.09 | 2.02 | 2. 58 | 2. 55 | 2.25 | 2.21 |
| June- | ${ }^{2} .12$ | 2.07 | 2. 27 | 2. 22 | 2.48 | 2. 43 | 1.88 | 1.81 | 1.78 | 1.74 | 2.10 | 2.03 | 2.61 | 2.57 | 2.27 | 2.21 |
| July August | 2.13 2.13 | 2.08 2.07 | 2.28 2.29 | 2.23 2.23 | 2.48 2.48 | 2.42 2.42 | 1.89 1.91 | 1.83 1.83 | 1.77 1.78 | 1.73 1.73 | 2.11 2.13 | 2.04 <br> 2.05 <br> 2.0 | 2. 68 2. 70 | 2. 2.64 | 2.28 2.29 | 2. 22 |
| August Septer | 2.13 2.14 | 2.07 2.08 | 2.29 2.30 | 2. 23 <br> 2. 24 <br> 1 | 2.48 2.50 | 2.42 2.43 | 1.91 1.94 | 1.83 1.86 | 1.78 1.80 | 1.73 1.73 | 2.13 2.16 | 2.05 2.07 | 2. 2. 2. 2. | 2.65 2.67 | 2.29 2.29 | 2.22 |
| October-. | 2.14 | 2.08 | 2.29 | 2. 23 | 2. 50 | 2.44 | 1.95 | 1.87 | 1.79 | 1.73 | 2.11 | 2.03 | 2.74 | 2.68 | 2.28 2.29 | 2.21 |
| November | 2.17 | 2.11 | 2.34 | 2.26 | 2.51 | 2.44 | 1.93 | 1.85 | 1.79 | 1.73 | 2.14 | 2.06 | 2.75 | 2.69 | 2.32 | 2.24 |
| December | 2.19 | 2.12 | 2.36 | 2.28 | 2.54 | 2.48 | 1.92 | 1.86 | 1.80 | 1.73 | 2.16 | 2.08 | 2.75 | 2.68 | 2.33 | 2. 26 |
| 1959: January ${ }^{3}$----- | 2. 19 | 2.13 | 2.35 | 2.29 | 2. 53 | 2.47 | 1.90 | 1.83 | 1.80 | 1.74 | 2.16 | 2.09 | 2. 77 | 2. 70 | 2.32 | 2.26 |
|  | Durable goods-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Nondurable goods |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Machinery (except electrical) |  | Electrical machinery |  | Transportation equipment |  | Instruments and related products |  | Miscellaneous manufacturing industries |  | Total: Nondurable goods |  | Food and kindred products |  | Tobacco manufactures |  |
| 1956: A verage | \$2. 21 | \$2. 12 | \$1.98 | \$1. 92 | \$2. 31 | \$2.23 | \$2. 01 | \$1. 96 | \$1.75 | \$1. 69 | \$1.80 | \$1.75 | \$1.83 | \$1.76 | \$1.44 | \$1.42 |
| 1957: Average | 2. 30 | 2. 23 | 2.07 | 2.02 | 2.41 | 2.35 | 2.11 | 2.06 | 1.81 | 1.76 | 1.88 | 1.83 | 1.93 | 1.86 | 1.52 | 1. 50 |
| 1958: January | 2.34 | 2.30 | 2.12 | 2.10 | 2.46 | 2.41 | 2.15 | 2.11 | 1.85 | 1.81 | 1.82 | 1.88 | 2.01 | 1.94 | 1. 56 | 1. 53 |
| February | 2. 35 | 2.30 | 2.13 | 2.11 | 2. 46 | 2. 42 | 2.15 | 2.12 | 1.84 | 1.80 | 1.92 | 1.87 | 2.01 | 1.94 | 1. 56 | 1.55 |
| March. | 2. 36 | 2.31 | 2.14 | 2.11 | 2. 47 | 2. 43 | 2.17 | 2.13 | 1.84 | 1. 80 | 1.93 | 1.88 | 2.01 | 1.95 | 1. 59 | 1.58 |
| April. | 2.36 | 2.32 | 2.14 | 2.11 | 2.47 | 2.44 | 2.17 | 2.14 | 1.85 | 1.81 | 1.94 | 1.89 | 2.01 | 1.95 | 1.65 | 1.62 |
| May | 2. 37 | 2. 33 | 2.14 | 2.12 | 2. 49 | 2. 45 | 2.18 | 2.15 | 1.84 | 1.81 | 1.94 | 1.89 | 2.01 | 1.95 | 1. 66 | 1.63 |
| June | 2. 38 | 2. 33 | 2.15 | 2.12 | 2. 50 | 2. 46 | 2.19 | 2.16 | 1.85 | 1.80 | 1. 94 | 1.89 | 2.01 | 1.94 | 1. 67 | 1. 63 |
| July- | 2. 38 | 2.33 | 2.15 | 2.12 | 2. 53 | 2.48 | 2.20 | 2.17 | 1.84 | 1.80 | 1.94 | 1.89 | 1.99 | 1.92 | 1. 66 | 1.63 |
| August | 2.38 | 2.33 | 2.14 | 2.10 | 2.55 | 2. 48 | 2.21 | 2.17 | 1.84 | 1. 80 | 1.93 | 1.88 | 1.97 | 1.89 | 1. 59 | 1.55 |
| September | 2. 39 | 2. 34 | 2.16 | 2.10 | 2. 55 | 2. 49 | 2.22 | 2.17 | 1.85 | 1. 79 | 1.95 | 1.89 | 1. 99 |  |  | 1. 48 |
| October--- | 2.39 2.43 | 2. 34 2. 36 | 2.15 2.19 | 2. 10 2.13 | 2.55 2.63 | 2. 48 2.53 2.5 | 2.21 2.23 | 2.17 2.17 | 1.85 <br> 1.86 | 1.79 1.81 | 1.95 1.96 1.96 | 1.89 1.90 | 2. 00 2.04 | 1.93 1.96 1.96 | 1. 1.52 | 1. 50 |
| December. | 2.44 | 2.37 | 2.20 | 2.14 | 2.63 2.66 | 2. 2.54 | 2.23 2.24 | 2.17 2.18 | 1.86 | 1.81 1.82 | 1.96 1.97 | 1.90 1.91 | 2.04 2.06 | 1.96 1.98 | 1.60 1.65 | 1. 1.68 |
| 1959: January ${ }^{\text {3 }}$ | 2.44 | 2.38 | 2.21 | 2.15 | 2.62 | 2.54 | 2.25 | 2.19 | 1.90 | 1.84 | 1.98 | 1.92 | 2. 09 | 2.01 | 1. 64 | 1.61 |
|  | Nondurable goods-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Textile-mill products |  | Apparel and other finished textile products |  | Paper and allied products |  | Printing, publishing, and allied industries ${ }^{6}$ |  | Chemicals and allied products |  | Products of petroleum and coal |  | Rubber products |  | Leather and leather products |  |
| 1956: A verage | \$1.45 | \$1. 40 | \$1. 45 | \$1. 43 | \$1.94 | \$1.84 | \$2. 42 |  | \$2. 11 | \$2. 05 | \$2.54 | \$2.47 | \$2. 17 | \$2. 09 | \$1. 49 | \$1.47 |
| 1957: A verage | 1.50 | 1.46 | 1.49 | 1.47 | 2.04 | 1.94 | 2.50 |  | 2.22 | 2.16 | 2.65 | 2. 59 | 2.26 | 2.18 | 1.54 | 1.52 |
| 1958: January | 1. 50 | 1.47 | 1.51 | 1.49 | 2.08 | 1.99 | 2.54 |  | 2.27 | 2.22 | 2.72 | 2.68 | 2.29 | 2.25 | 1. 56 | 1.54 |
| February | 1. 50 | 1.47 | 1.50 | 1.48 | 2.08 | 1.99 | 2.55 |  | 2.28 | 2.23 | 2.72 | 2.68 | 2.28 | 2.24 | 1. 56 | 1. 54 |
| March | 1. 50 | 1.47 | 1.49 | 1.47 | 2. 08 | 2.00 | 2. 56 |  | 2.27 | 2.22 | 2. 72 | 2.68 | 2.29 | 2.25 | 1.57 | 1. 55 |
| April. | 1. 50 | 1.47 | 1.50 | 1.48 | 2.09 | 2.01 | 2.55 |  | 2.27 | 2.22 | 2.74 | 2.69 | 2.29 | 2.25 | 1.57 | 1. 56 |
| May. | 1. 50 | 1. 47 | 1. 50 | 1. 48 | 2.10 | 2.01 | 2.58 |  | 2.29 | 2.24 | 2.72 | 2.67 | 2.30 | 2.25 | 1. 57 | 1. 55 |
| June | 1. 51 | 1. 47 | 1.50 | 1.48 | 2.11 | 2.02 | 2. 59 |  | 2.31 | 2.26 | 2.73 | 2. 68 | 2.33 | 2.26 | 1. 57 | 1. 55 |
| July | 1. 50 | 1. 47 | 1.50 | 1. 48 | 2.12 | 2.03 | 2. 59 |  | 2.33 | 2.28 | 2.76 | 2.70 | 2.35 | 2.28 | 1.55 | 1. 53 |
| August | 1.51 | 1.46 | 1.52 | 1.49 | 2.13 | 2.03 | 2.60 |  | 2.34 | 2.28 | 2.73 | 2.67 | 2.39 | 2.30 | 1. 56 | 1. 54 |
| September-.-- | 1. 51 | 1.47 | 1. 53 | 1.50 | 2.14 | 2.03 | 2. 62 |  | 2. 34 | 2.28 | 2.76 | 2. 70 | 2. 39 | 2.31 | 1. 58 | 1. 56 |
| October-.-.-.- | 1.52 | 1. 47 | 1. 53 | 1.50 | 2.14 | 2.03 | 2. 63 |  | 2. 34 | 2.27 | 2.74 | 2. 69 | 2.39 | 2.31 | 1. 58 | 1. 55 |
| November-.--- | 1.52 | 1.47 | 1. 52 | 1. 49 | 2.14 | 2.04 | 2.62 |  | 2.35 | 2.29 | 2.77 | 2.72 | 2.41 | 2.33 | 1. 59 | 1. 56 |
| 1959: Jacember ${ }^{\text {January }}$---- | 1. 52 | 1.47 1.48 | 1.52 1.53 | 1. 19 | 2.15 | 2.05 2.06 | 2.65 |  | 2. 36 | 2. 30 | 2. 77 | 2. 72 | 2.45 | 2.34 | 1. 59 | 1. 56 |
| 1959: January ${ }^{3}$ | 1.53 | 1.48 | 1.53 | 1.51 | 2. 16 | 2.06 | 2.63 |  | 2.37 | 2.30 | 2.78 | 2.73 | 2.43 | 2.35 | 1.60 | 1. 57 |

${ }^{1}$ For comparability of data with those published in issues prior to August 1958, see footnote 1, table A-2.
${ }^{2}$ Derived by assuming that the overtime hours shown in table C-6 are paid for at the rate of time and one-half.
${ }^{3}$ Preliminary.
4 Average hourly earnings, excluding overtime, are not available separately
for the printing, publishing, and allied industries group, as graduated overtime rates are found to an extent likely to make average overtime pay significantly above time and one-half. Inclusion of data for the industry in the nondurable-goods total has little effect.

Table C-6. Gross average weekly hours and average overtime hours of production workers in manufacturing, by major industry group ${ }^{1}$

| Year and month | Gross | Over- <br> time ${ }^{2}$ | Gross | Overtime ${ }^{3}$ | Gross | Overtime 2 | Gross | Over- <br> time ${ }^{2}$ | Gross | Overtime ${ }^{2}$ | Gross | Overtime ${ }^{2}$ | Gross | Overtime ${ }^{2}$ | Gross | Over- <br> time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total manufac-turing |  | Durable goods |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $\underset{\text { goods }}{\text { Total: Durable }}$ |  | Ordnance and accessories |  | Lumber and wood products (except furniture) |  | Furniture and fixtures |  | Stone, clay, and glass products |  | Primary metalindustries |  | Fabricated metal products |  |
| 1956: A verage. | 40.4 | 2.8 | 41.1 | 3.0 | 41.8 | 2.9 | 40.3 | 3.3 | 40.8 | 2.8 | 41.1 | 3.6 | 40.9 | 2.8 | 41.2 | 3.0 |
| 1957: Average. | 39.8 | 2.4 | 40.3 | 2.4 | 40.8 | 2.0 | 39.8 | 2.8 | 40.0 | 2.3 | 40.5 | 3.1 | 39.5 | 2.0 | 40.8 | 2.8 |
| 1958: January .-..-- | 38.7 | 1.7 | 38.9 | 1.6 | 41.3 | 2.0 | 38.5 | 2.2 | 38.5 | 1.6 | 39.2 | 2.4 | 37.2 | 1.2 | 39.3 | 1.7 |
| February------ | 38.4 | 1. 6 | 38.6 | 1.5 | 40.6 | 1.9 | 38.7 | 2.2 | 38.4 | 1.5 | 38.6 | 2.2 | 36.8 | 1.0 | 38.9 | 1.6 |
| March_------- | 38.6 | 1. 6 | 39.0 | 1.5 | 40.7 | 1.9 | 38.9 | 2.4 | 38.6 | 1.5 | 39.1 | 2.2 | 37.1 | . 9 | 39.2 | 1.6 |
| April. | 38.3 | 1.5 | 38.8 | 1.4 | 40.7 | 1.9 | 38.8 | 2. 2 | 38.0 | 1.3 | 39.0 | 2.2 | 36.9 | 1.0 | 38.9 | 1.5 |
| May-- | 38.7 | 1.7 | 39.1 | 1.5 | 40.6 | 1.8 | 39.6 | 2.6 | 37.8 388 | 1.3 | 39.7 40.3 | 2.6 | 37.3 38 | 19 1.3 | 39.4 40.0 | 1.7 |
|  | 39.2 39.2 | 1. 9 | 39.6 39.4 | 1.7 | 40.7 40.7 | 1.6 | 40.5 39.3 | 2.9 2.7 | 38.8 38.9 | 1.7 1.9 | 40.3 40.0 | 2.8 3.0 | 38.3 38.4 | 1.3 <br> 1.3 <br> 1 | 40.0 40.0 | 2.0 2.0 |
| August | 39.6 | ${ }_{2.3}$ | 39.4 39.8 | 2.1 | 40.6 | 2.1 | 40.7 | 3.5 | 40.5 | 2.6 | 40.8 | 3.2 | 38.5 | 1.4 | 40.4 | 2.5 |
| September | 39.9 | 2.4 | 40.2 | 2.3 | 41.2 | 2.4 | 41.3 | 3.7 | 41.0 | 3.0 | 41.1 | 3.4 | 39.1 | 1.7 | 41.0 | 2.6 |
| October. | 39.8 | 2.4 | 40.1 | 2.4 | 41.2 | 2.2 | 41.1 | 3.6 | 41.0 | 3.0 | 41.0 | 3.3 | 38.9 | 1.6 | 40.8 | 2.7 |
| November.. | 39.9 | 2.6 | 40.3 | 2.6 | 41.1 | 2.3 | 40.2 | 3.4 | 40.8 | 2.7 | 40.9 | 3.3 | 39.3 | 1.8 | 40.8 | 2.6 |
| December- | 40.2 | 2.6 | 40.8 | 2.7 | 41.9 | 2.2 | 40.3 | 3.0 | 41.2 | 3.1 | 40.4 | 3.0 | 39.8 | 2.0 | 41.2 | 2.8 |
| 1959: January ${ }^{\text {3 }}$----- | 39.9 | 2.3 | 40.4 | 2.3 | 41.7 | 2.0 | 39.6 | 2.8 | 40.2 | 2.6 | 40.3 | 2.8 | 40.1 | 2.1 | 40.5 | 2.2 |
|  | Durable goods-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Nondurable goods |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Machinery (except electrical) |  | Electrical machinery |  | Transportation equipment |  | Instruments and related products |  | Miscellaneous manufacturing industries |  | Total: Nondurable goods |  | Food and kindred products |  | Tobacco manufactures |  |
| 1956: A verage | 42.2 | 3.7 | 40.8 | 2.6 | 40.9 | 2.9 | 40.8 | 2.3 | 40.3 | 2.6 | 39.5 | 2.5 | 41.0 | 3.3 | 38.9 | 1.1 |
| 1957: A verage--- | 41.0 | 2.6 | 40.1 | 1.9 | 40.4 | 2.4 | 40.3 | 2. 0 | 39.9 | 2.3 | 39.1 | 2.4 | 40.5 | 3. 1 | 38.6 | 1.2 |
| 1958: January------ | 39.7 | 1.6 | 39.1 | 1.0 | 38.8 | 1.4 | 39.6 | 1.5 | 39.2 | 1.8 | 38.3 | 1.9 | 40.1 | 2.9 | 39.0 | 1.1 |
| February----- | 39.2 | 1.5 | 39.0 | 1.0 | 38.6 | 1.3 | 39.3 | 1.2 | 39.0 | 1.8 | 38.1 | 1.9 | 39.7 | 2. 6 | 37.9 | . 7 |
| March_--------- | 39.5 39.3 | 1.6 <br> 1.5 <br> 1.5 | 39.1 39.0 | 1.0 .9 | 39.4 39.3 | 1.3 1.2 | 39.4 39.5 | 1.2 | 39.2 39.0 | 1.8 <br> 1.7 <br> 1 | 38.1 37.7 | 1.9 1.7 | 39.6 39.7 | 2.5 2.5 | 37.1 38.0 | 1.8 |
| May------------ | 39.4 | 1.5 | 39.1 | 1.0 | 39.7 | 1.4 | 39.2 | 1.1 | 39.1 | 1.7 | 38.1 | 1.9 | 40.2 | 2.8 | 38.7 | 1.6 |
| June.. | 39.6 | 1.6 | 39.6 | 1.2 | 39.8 | 1.5 | 39.8 | 1.4 | 39.5 | 1.9 | 38.7 | 2.1 | 40.7 | 3.1 | 39.7 | 1.8 |
| July. | 39.4 | 1.5 | 39.3 | 1.3 | 39.6 | 1.5 | 39.7 | 1.3 | 39.2 | 1.7 | 39.0 | 2.2 | 41.2 | 3.2 | 39.6 | 1.7 |
| August | 39.4 | 1.5 | 39.7 | 1.6 | 40.0 | 2.1 | 39.8 | 1.5 | 39.5 | 2.1 | 39.4 | 2.4 | 41.4 | 3.2 | 39.6 | 1.6 |
| September- | 40.0 | 1.8 | 40.4 | 2.2 | 39.6 | 2.0 | 40.3 | 1.8 | 40.1 | 2.4 | 39.5 | 2.6 | 41.6 | 3.5 | 40.1 | 1.3 |
| October-- | 39.5 | 1.8 | 39.9 | 2.0 | 40.0 | 2.5 | 40.4 | 1.8 | 40.3 | 2.6 | 39.4 | 2.5 | 40.9 | 3.2 | 39.6 | 1.0 |
| November | 39.9 | 2.1 | 40.6 | 2.2 | 40.6 | 3.3 | 40.7 | 2. 0 | 40.4 | 2.6 | 39.4 | 2.5 | 41.0 | 3.4 | 39.2 | 1.3 |
| December-- | 40.6 | 2.2 | 40.6 | 2.3 | 41.7 | 3.8 | 40.9 | 2.1 | 40.4 | 2.7 | 39.6 | 2.6 | 41.0 | 3.2 | 40.1 | 1.9 |
| 1959: January ${ }^{\text {3 }}$------ | 40.6 | 2.1 | 40.3 | 1.9 | 40.9 | 2.4 | 40.8 | 2.0 | 40.2 | 2.4 | 39.3 | 2.4 | 40.5 | 3.0 | 39.0 | 1.5 |
|  | Nondurable goods-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Textile-mill products |  | Apparel and other finished textile products |  | Paper and allied products |  | Printing, publishing, and allied industries |  | Chemicals and allied products |  | Products of petroleum and coal |  | Rubber products |  | Leather and leather products |  |
| 1956: Average_----- | 39.6 | 2.6 | 36.3 | 1.2 | 42.8 | 4.6 | 38.8 | 3.2 | 41.3 | 2.3 | 41.1 | 2.0 | 40.2 | 2.8 | 37.6 | 1.4 |
| 1957: Average.-.-.- | 38.9 | 2.2 | 36.0 | 1.1 | 42.3 | 4.3 | 38.5 | 3. 0 | 41.2 | 2.2 | 40.9 | 1.9 | 40.5 | 2.8 | 37.4 | 1.3 |
| 1958: January ------ | 37.6 | 1.7 | 35.1 | . 8 | 41.4 | 3.6 | 37.7 | 2.4 | 40.8 | 1.9 | 40.4 | 1.4 | 38.2 | 1.5 | 37.3 | 1.1 |
| February----- | 37.8 | 1.7 | 35.1 | . 9 | 41.1 | 3.5 | 37.7 | 2.3 | 40.6 | 1.8 | 39.9 | 1.2 | 37.3 | 1.3 | 36.8 | 1.2 |
| March------- | 37.6 | 1.7 | 34.7 | . 9 | 41.4 | 3.5 | 37.9 | 2.5 | 40.7 | 1.9 | 40.1 | 1.2 | 38.0 | 1.3 | 36.2 | 1.0 |
| April | 36.6 | 1.4 | 34.5 | . 8 | 41.0 | 3.2 | 37.7 | 2.2 | 40.7 | 1.9 | 40.5 | 1.5 | 37.5 | 1.2 | 34.1 | . 6 |
| May-.-.-.-.- | 37.3 | 1.5 | 34.8 | . 8 | 41.0 | 3.4 | 37.6 | 2.2 | 40.8 | 1.9 | 40.5 | 1.6 | 38.2 | 1.5 | 35.3 | . 8 |
| June......----- | 38.4 | 1.9 | 35.0 | . 8 | 41.8 | 3.8 | 37.6 | 2.2 | 41.1 | 2.0 | 41.0 | 1.6 | 39.1 | 2.4 | 36.6 | . 9 |
| July-- | 38.6 | 2.0 | 35.6 | 1.0 | 41.9 | 3.9 | 37.6 | 2.2 | 40.8 | 2.0 | 41.0 | 1.9 | 39.1 | 2.2 | 37.4 | 1.0 |
| August_------ | 39.2 | 2.3 | 36.4 | 1.3 | 42.5 | 4.4 | 37.9 | 2.6 | 40.7 | 2.1 | 40.4 | 1.7 | 40.5 | 3.0 | 37.3 | 1.2 |
| September---- | 39.7 | 2.5 | 36.1 | 1.3 | 42.7 | 4.5 | 38.0 | 2.7 | 41.0 | 2.2 | 40.7 | 1.8 | 40.8 | 3.0 | 36.7 | 1.2 |
| October--.--- | 40.1 | 2.8 | 36.0 | 1. 3 | 42.7 | 4.5 | 37.9 | 2.7 | 41.0 | 2.2 | 40.2 | 1.5 | 40.7 | 2.8 | 37.0 | 1.4 |
| November---- | 40.3 | 3. 0 | 35.8 | 1. 3 | 42.5 | 4.4 | 37.9 | 2.5 | 41.2 | 2.1 | 40.6 | 1.5 | 40.7 | 2. 8 | 37.5 | 1.4 |
| December---- | 40.2 | 2.9 | 36.1 | 1.3 | 42.4 | 4.3 | 38.4 | 2.9 | 41.4 | 2.2 | 40.2 | 1.4 | 41.9 | 3.8 | 38.5 | 1.6 |
| 1959: January ${ }^{\text {- }}$----- | 39.7 | 2.6 | 36.0 | 1.2 | 42.4 | 4.2 | 37.8 | 2.4 | 41.3 | 2.3 | 41.1 | 1.7 | 41.1 | 3.1 | 38.8 | 1.6 |

${ }_{1}{ }^{1}$ For comparability of data with those published in issues prior to August 1 58, see footnote 1, table A-2.
${ }^{2}$ Covers premium overtime hours of production and related workers during the pay period ending nearest the 15th of the month. Overtime hours are those for which premiums were pald because the hours were in excess of the number of hours of either the straight-time workday or workweek. Weekend
and hollday hours are included only if premium wage rates were paid. Hours
for which only shift differential, hazard, incentive, or other similar types of premiums were paid are excluded. These data are not available prior to 1956. ${ }^{3}$ Preliminary.
Source: U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics.

## D.-Consumer and Wholesale Prices

Table D-1. Consumer Price Index ${ }^{1}$-United States city average: All items and major groups of items

| Year and month | All items | Food | Housing | Apparel | Transportation | Medical care | Personal care | Reading and recreation | Other goods and services |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1947: A verage..-- | 95.5 | 95.9 | 95.0 | 97.1 | 90.6 | 94.9 | 97.6 | 95.5 | 96.1 |
| 1948: A verage | 102.8 | 104.1 | 101.7 | 103.5 | 100.9 | 100.9 | 101.3 | 100.4 | 100.5 |
| 1949: A verage | 101.8 | 100.0 | 103.3 | 99.4 | 108.5 | 104.1 | 101.1 | 104.1 | 103.4 |
| 1950: Average | 102.8 | 101.2 | 106.1 | 98.1 | 111.3 | 106.0 | 101.1 | 103.4 | 105.2 |
| 1951: Average.- | 111.0 | 112.6 | 112.4 | 106.9 | 118.4 | 111.1 | 110.5 | 106.5 | 109.7 |
| 1952: A verage. | 113.5 | 114.6 | 114.6 | 105.8 | 126.2 | 117.2 | 111.8 | 107.0 | 115.4 |
| 1953: A verage- | 114.4 | 112.8 | 117.7 | 104.8 | 129.7 | 121.3 | 112.8 | 108.0 | 118.2 |
| 1954: Average. | 114.8 | 112.6 | 119.1 | 104.3 | 128.0 | 125.2 | 113.4 | 107.0 | 120.1 |
| 1955: Average | 114.5 | 110.9 | 120.0 | 103.7 | 126.4 | 128.0 | 115.3 | 106.6 | 120.2 |
| 1956: Average | 116.2 | 111.7 | 121.7 | 105. 5 | 128.7 | 132.6 | 120.0 | 108.1 | 122.0 |
| 1957: Average- | 120.2 | 115.4 | 125.6 | 106.9 | 136.0 | 138.0 | 124.4 | 112.2 | 125.5 |
| 1958: A verage | 123.5 | 120.3 | 127.7 | 107.0 | 140.5 | 144.4 | 128.6 | 116.7 | 127.2 |
| 1955: January | 114.3 | 110.6 | 119.6 | 103.3 | 127.6 | 126.5 | 113.7 | 106.9 | 119.9 |
| February | 114.3 | 110.8 | 119.6 | 103.4 | 127.4 | 126.8 | 113.5 | 106.4 | 119.8 |
| March... | 114.3 | 110.8 | 119.6 | 103.2 | 127.3 | 127.0 | 113.5 | 106. 6 | 119.8 |
| April. | 114.2 | 111.2 | 119.5 | 103.1 | 125.3 | 127.3 | 113.7 | 106. 6 | 119.8 |
| May | 114.2 | 111.1 | 119.4 | 103.3 | 125. 5 | 127.5 | 113.9 | 106.5 | 119.9 |
| June. | 114.4 | 111.3 | 119.7 | 103.2 | 125.8 | 127.6 | 114.7 | 106.2 | 119.9 |
| July--- | 114.7 | 112.1 | 119.9 | 103.2 | 125.4 | 127.9 | 115.5 | 106.3 | 120.3 |
| August.- | 114.5 | 111.2 | 120.0 | 103.4 | 125.4 | 128.0 | 115.8 | 106.3 | 120.4 |
| September | 114.9 | 111.6 | 120.4 | 104.6 | 125.3 | 128.2 | 116.6 | 106. 7 | 120.6 |
| October--- | 114.9 | 110.8 | 120.8 | 104.6 | 126.6 | 128.7 | 117.0 | 106.7 | 120.6 |
| November. | 115.0 | 109.8 | 120.9 | 104.7 | 128.5 | 129.8 | 117.5 | 106.8 | 120.6 |
| December-- | 114.7 | 109.5 | 120.8 | 104.7 | 127.3 | 130.2 | 117.9 | 106.8 | 120.6 |
| 1956: January -- | 114.6 | 109.2 | 120.6 | 104.1 | 126.8 | 130.7 | 118.5 | 107.3 | 120.8 |
| February | 114.6 | 108.8 | 120.7 | 104.6 | 126.9 | 130.9 | 118.9 | 107.5 | 120.9 |
| March_.- | 114.7 | 109.0 | 120.7 | 104.8 | 126.7 | 131.4 | 119.2 | 107.7 | 121.2 |
| April. | 114.9 | 109.6 | 120.8 | 104.8 | 126.4 | 131.6 | 119.5 | 108.2 | 121.4 |
| May | 115.4 | 111.0 | 120.9 | 104.8 | 127.1 | 131.9 | 119.6 | 108.2 | 121.5 |
| June.- | 116.2 | 113.2 | 121.4 | 104.8 | 126.8 | 132.0 | 119.9 | 107.6 | 121.8 |
| July--- | 117.0 | 114.8 | 121.8 | 105. 3 | 127.7 | 132.7 | 120.1 | 107.7 | 122.2 |
| August | 116.8 | 113.1 | 122.2 | 105. 5 | 128.5 | 133.3 | 120.3 | 107.9 | 122.1 |
| September- | 117.1 | 113.1 | 122.5 | 106.5 | 128.6 | 134.0 | 120.5 | 108.4 | 122.7 |
| October--- | 117.7 | 113.1 | 122.8 | 106.8 | 132.6 | 134.1 | 120.8 | 108.5 | 123.0 |
| November | 117.8 | 112.9 | 123.0 | 107.0 | 133.2 | 134.5 | 121.4 | 109.0 | 123.2 |
| December. | 118.0 | 112.9 | 123.5 | 107.0 | 133.1 | 134.7 | 121.8 | 109.3 | 123.3 |
| 1957: January-. | 118.2 | 112.8 | 123.8 | 106.4 | 133.6 | 135.3 | 122.1 | 109.9 | 123.8 |
| February | 118.7 | 113.6 | 124.5 | 106.1 | 134.4 | 135. 5 | 122.6 | 110.0 | 124.0 |
| March.-- | 118.9 | 113.2 | 124.9 | 106. 8 | 135. 1 | 136.4 | 122.9 | 110.5 | 124.2 |
| April | 119.3 | 113.8 | 125.2 | 106. 5 | 135. 5 | 136.9 | 123.3 | 111.8 | 124.2 |
| May--- | 119.6 | 114.6 | 125.3 | 106. 5 | 135. 3 | 137.3 | 123.4 | 111.4 | 124.3 |
|  | 120.2 | 116.2 | 125.5 | 106.6 | 135.3 | 137.9 | 124.2 | 111.8 | 124.6 |
| July-... | 120.8 | 117.4 | 125. 7 | 106.5 | 135.8 | 138.4 | 124.7 | 112.4 | 126.6 |
| August-.. | 121.0 | 117.9 | 125.7 | 106. 6 | 135.9 | 138.6 | 124.9 | 112.6 | 126.7 |
| September.- | 121.1 | 117.0 | 126.3 | 107.3 | 135.9 | 139.0 | 125.1 | 113.3 | 126.7 |
| October----- | 121.1 | 116.4 | 126.6 | 107.7 | 135.8 | 139.7 | 126.2 | 113.4 | 126.8 |
| December | 121.6 | 116.1 | 127.0 | 107.6 | 138.9 | 140.8 | 127.0 | 114.6 | 126.8 |
| 1958: January | 122.3 | 118.2 | 127.1 | 106.9 | 138.7 | 141.7 | 127.8 | 116.6 | 127.0 |
| February | 122.5 | 118.7 | 127.3 | 106.8 | 138.5 | 141.9 | 128.0 | 116.6 | 127.0 |
| March | 123.3 | 120.8 | 127.5 | 106.8 | 138.7 | 142.3 | 128.3 | 117.0 | 127.2 |
| April. | 123.5 | 121.6 | 127.7 | 106.7 | 138.3 | 142.7 | 128.5 | 117.0 | 127.2 |
| May. | 123.6 | 121.6 | 127.8 | 106.7 | 138.7 | 143.7 | 128.5 | 116.6 | 127.2 |
| June.- | 123.7 | 121.6 | 127.8 | 106.7 | 138.9 | 143.9 | 128.6 | 116.7 | 127.2 |
| July.- | 123.9 | 121. 7 | 127.7 | 106.7 | 140.3 | 144.6 | 128.9 | 116.6 | 127.2 |
| August...- | 123.7 | 120.7 | 127.9 | 106. 6 | 141.0 | 145. 0 | 128.9 | 116.7 | 127.1 |
| September | 123.7 | 120.3 | 127.9 | 107.1 | 141.3 | 146. 1 | 128.7 | 116.6 | 127.1 |
| October- | 123.7 | 119.7 | 127.9 | 107.3 | 142.7 | 146.7 | 128.8 | 116.6 | 127.2 |
| November | 123.9 123.7 | 119.4 118.7 | 128.0 128.2 | 107.7 | 144.5 144.3 | 147.0 | 129.1 | 117.0 | 127.3 |
| December.- | 123.7 | 118.7 | 128.2 | 107.5 | 144.3 | 147.3 | 129.0 | 116.9 | 127.3 |
| 1959: January-- | 123.8 | 119.0 | 128.2 | 106.7 | 144.1 | 147.6 | 129.4 | 117.0 | 127.3 |
| February | 123.7 | 118.2 | 128.5 | 106.7 | 144.3 | 148.6 | 129.8 | 117.1 | 127.4 |

${ }^{1}$ The Consumer Price Index measures the average change in prices of goods and services purchased by urban wage-earner and clerical-worker families. Data for 46 large, medium-size, and small cities are combined for the United States average.

Note: For a description of this series, see Techniques of Preparing Major BLS Statistical Series, BLS Bull. 1168 (1954).
Source: U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics.

Table D-2. Consumer Price Index ${ }^{1}$-United States city average: Food, housing, apparel, transportation, and their subgroups

| Group | 1959 |  | 1958 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Annual average |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Feb. | Jan. | Dec. | Nov. | Oct. | Sept. | Aug. | July | June | May | Apr. | Mar. | Feb. | 1958 | 1957 |
| Food ${ }^{2}$ | 118.2 | 119.0 | 118.7 | 119.4 | 119.7 | 120.3 | 120.7 | 121.7 | 121.6 | 121.6 | 121.6 | 120.8 | 118.7 | 120.3 | 115. 4 |
| Food at home. | 116.1 | 117.1 | 116.8 | 117.6 | 118.0 | 118.7 | 119.2 | 120.5 | 120. 4 | 120.5 | 120.5 | 119.6 | 117.2 | 118.8 | 113.8 |
| Cereals and bakery products | 133.8 | 133.9 | 134. 0 | 134.0 | 133.9 | 133. 5 | 132.9 | 132.9 | 132.9 | 132.8 | 132.7 | 132.7 | 132.6 | 133.1 | 130.5 |
| Meats, poultry, and fish | 112.6 | 113.8 | 113.0 | 113.5 | 114.6 | 115.8 | 117.7 | 119.2 | 118.3 | 116.6 | 115.9 | 114.4 | 112. 0 | 115.1 | 105. 2 |
| Dairy products | 114.0 | 114.1 | 114.3 | 114.5 | 114.5 | 114.1 | 113.0 | 112.4 | 111.7 | 111.8 | 112.5 | 114.1 | 114.5 | 113.5 | 111.8 |
| Fruits and vegetables | 121.2 | 121.7 | 120.1 | 121.1 | 121.0 | 120.7 | 124.9 | 131.9 | 134.3 | 137.4 | 136.6 | 130.7 | 124.4 | 127.1 | 118.6 |
| Other foods at home ${ }^{3}$ | 108.1 | 109.9 | 110.7 | 112.6 | 113.2 | 115.2 | 112.8 | 111.8 | 110.9 | 111.5 | 112.4 | 113.8 | 111.3 | 112.4 | 112.9 |
| Housing 4 | 128.5 | 128.2 | 128. 2 | 128.0 | 127.9 | 127.9 | 127.9 | 127.7 | 127.8 | 127.8 | 127.7 | 127.5 | 127.3 | 127.7 | 125. 6 |
| Rent | 139.0 | 138.8 | 138.7 | 138.4 | 138.3 | 138.2 | 138.1 | 137.8 | 137.7 | 137.5 | 137.3 | 137.1 | 137.0 | 137.7 | 135.2 |
| Gas and electricity | 118.5 | 118.2 | 118.2 | 118.1 | 118.1 | 118.0 | 117.5 | 117.0 | 116.9 | 116.5 | 116.0 | 115.9 | 115.9 | 117.0 | 113.0 |
| Solid fuels and fuel oil | 140.0 | 138.9 | 137.0 | 135.8 | 135.6 | 135.2 | 133.6 | 132.3 | 131.7 | 131.6 | 134.2 | 136.7 | 137.2 | 134.9 | 137.4 |
| Housefurnishings. | 103.8 | 103.2 | 103.6 | 103.5 | 103.4 | 103.6 | 103.3 | 104.0 | 104. 1 | 104.0 | 104.0 | 103.9 | 104.9 | 103.9 | 104.6 |
| Household operation | 133.1 | 133.1 | 132.8 | 132.6 | 132.4 | 132.2 | 132.1 | 131. 2 | 131.1 | 130.9 | 130.9 | 130.7 | 129.9 | 131.4 | 127.5 |
| Apparel | 106.7 | 106.7 | 107.5 | 107.7 | 107.3 | 107.1 | 106.6 | 106.7 | 106.7 | 106.7 | 106.7 | 106.8 | 106.8 | 107.0 | 106.9 |
| Men's and boys' | 107.8 | 108.0 | 108.4 | 108.5 | 107.9 | 108.3 | 108.3 | 108.5 | 108.8 | 108.9 | 109.1 | 108.9 | 109.0 | 108.6 | 109. 0 |
| Women's and girl | 98.8 | 98.7 | 100.2 | 100.6 | 100.2 | 99.6 | 98.5 | 98.6 | 98.5 | 98.4 | 98.2 | 98.8 | 98.6 | 99.1 | 99.2 |
| Footwear. | 131.3 | 130.8 | 130.4 | 130.3 | 130.1 | 130.1 | 130.0 | 129.7 | 129.8 | 129.7 | 129.8 | 129.5 | 129.5 | 129.8 | 127.9 |
| Other apparel ${ }^{5}$ | 91.7 | 91.7 | 92.3 | 92.3 | 91.8 | 92.0 | 91.8 | 92.0 | 91.9 | 92.1 | 91.9 | 91.9 | 92.0 | 92.0 | 92.1 |
| Transportation | 144.3 | 144.1 | 144.3 | 144.5 | 142.7 | 141.3 | 141.0 | 140.3 | 138.9 | 138.7 | 138.3 | 138.7 | 138.5 | 140.5 | 136.0 |
| Private | 133.3 | 133.1 | 133.3 | 133.6 | 131.8 | 130.4 | 130.1 | 129.3 | 128.0 | 128.0 | 127.6 | 128. 0 | 127.9 | 129.7 | 125.8 |
| Public | 191.8 | 191.8 | 191.8 | 191.1 | 190.4 | 189.8 | 189.5 | 189.5 | 187.7 | 186.1 | 186.1 | 185.9 | 185.4 | 188.0 | 178.8 |

${ }^{1}$ See footnote 1, table D-1.
2 In addition to subgroups shown here, total food includes restaurant meals and other food bought and eaten away from home.
${ }^{8}$ Includes eggs, fats and oils, sugar and sweets, beverages (nonalcoholic), and other miscellaneous foods.

- In addition to subgroups shown here, total housing includes the purchase price of homes and other homeowner costs.
${ }^{6}$ Includes yard goods, diapers, and miscellaneous items.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics.

Table D-3. Consumer Price Index ${ }^{1}$ —United States city average: Special groups of items
[1947-49=100]

| Year and month | All items less food | All items less shelter | All com. modities | All commodities less food | Durable commodities ${ }^{2}$ | Nondurable commodities less food ${ }^{3}$ | All services 4 | All services less rent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1947: A verage | 95.1 | 95.6 | 96.3 | 95.7 | 94.9 | 95.7 | 94.5 | 94.7 |
| 1948: A verage | 101.9 | 103.1 | 103.2 | 102.9 | 101.8 | 103.1 | 100.4 | 100.1 |
| 1949: Average | 103.0 | 101.3 | 100.6 | 101.5 | 103.3 | 101.1 | 105.1 | 105.2 |
| 1950: A verage | 104.2 | 102.0 | 101.2 | 101.3 | 104.4 | 100.9 | 108.5 | 108.1 |
| 1951: Average | 110.8 | 110.5 | 110.3 | 108.9 | 112.4 | 108.5 | 114.1 | 114.6 |
| 1952: A verage | 113.5 | 112.7 | 111.7 | 109.8 | 113.8 | 109.1 | 119.3 | 120.1 |
| 1953: Average | 115.7 | 113.1 | 111.3 | 110.0 | 112.6 | 110.1 | 124.2 | 124.6 |
| 1954: A verage | 116.4 | 113.0 | 110.2 | 108.6 | 108.3 | 110.6 | 127.5 | 127.7 |
| 1955: Average | 116.7 | 112.4 | 109.0 | 107.5 | 105.1 | 110.6 | 129.8 | 130.1 |
| 1956: Average | 118.8 | 114.0 | 110.1 | 108.9 | 105.1 | 113.0 | 132.6 | 133.0 |
| 1957: A verage | 122.8 | 117.8 | 113.6 | 112.3 | 108.8 | 116.1 | 137.7 | 138.6 |
| 1958: Average. | 125.5 | 121.2 | 116.3 | 113.4 | 110.5 | 116.9 | 142.4 | 143.8 |
| 1958: February | 124.8 | 120.2 | 115.5 | 113.2 | 110.3 | 116.7 | 141.0 | 142.3 |
| March... | 125.0 | 121.0 | 116.4 | 113.1 | 109.6 | 116.9 | 141.7 | 143.1 |
| April. | 125.0 | 121.2 | 116.6 | 112.8 | 109.6 | 116.6 | 142.1 | 143.5 |
| May. | 125.1 | 121.3 | 116.6 | 112.9 | 109.7 | 116.5 | 142.3 | 143.8 |
| June.- | 125.2 | 121.4 | 116.6 | 112.9 | 109.6 | 116.7 | 142.3 | 143.8 |
| July.- | 125. 4 | 121.6 | 116.8 | 113.1 | 109.8 | 116.9 | 142.6 | 144.1 |
| August | 125.6 | 121.4 | 116.4 | 113.2 | 109.9 | 116. 9 | 143.0 | 144. 4 |
| September | 125.8 | 121.5 | 116.4 | 113.5 | 110.3 | 117.2 | 143.0 | 144.4 |
| October--- | 126.0 | 121.5 | 116.4 | 113.9 | 111.2 | 117.2 | 143.1 | 144.5 |
| November | 126.5 | 121.7 | 116.6 | 114.5 | 112.8 | 117.1 | 143.4 | 144.8 |
| December | 126.5 | 121.5 | 116.3 | 114.4 | 112.9 | 117.0 | 143.5 | 145.0 |
| 1959: January | 126.4 | 121.5 | 116.2 | 114.0 | 112.4 | 116.7 | 143.9 | 145.4 |
| February | 126.7 | 121.4 | 116.0 | 114.2 | 112.2 | 117.1 | 144.2 | 145.7 |

[^49]auto registration, transit fares, rallroad fares, professional medical services, hospital services, group hospitalization, barber and beauty shop services, television repairs, motion picture admissions, and from 1953 forward, home purchase, real estate taxes, mortgage interest, property insurance, repainting garage, repainting rooms, reshingling roof, and refinishing floors.

- Formerly all services less shelter for 1953 and later years; for definition of services, see footnote 4.
Note: Indexes from 1953 forward have been revised to reflect the distribution of shelter items, formerly included in "all services and shelter" now entitled "all services," among the appropriate commodity and service classifications.
Source: U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics.

Table D-4. Consumer Price Index ${ }^{1}$-United States city average: Retail prices and indexes of selected foods


See footnotes at end of table.

Table D-4. Consumer Price Index ${ }^{1}$-United States city average: Retail prices and indexes of selected foods-Continued

| Commodity | Average ${ }^{2}$ price, Feb. 1959 | Indexes ( $1947-49=100$, unless otherwise specified) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1959 |  | 1958 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Annual average |  |
|  |  | Feb. | Jan. | Dec. ${ }^{3}$ | Nov. | Oct. | Sept. | Aug. | July | June | May | Apr. | Mar. | Feb. | 1958 | 1957 |
| Other foods at home: <br> Partially prepared foods: Unit <br> Soup, tomato ${ }^{4}$-.-.-11-oz. can.- <br> Beans with pork 4--16-oz. can.- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Cents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 12.5 | 99.7 | 99.5 | 99.2 | 99.1 | 99.3 | 99.3 | 99.9 | 100.5 | 100.3 | 100.4 | 100.3 | 100.1 | 100.0 | 99.8 | 99.0 |
|  | 15.1 | 106.8 | 106.8 | 106.9 | 107.1 | 107.3 | 106.7 | 106.5 | 106.5 | 106.4 | 106.7 | 106.6 | 106.3 | 105.9 | 106.5 | 103.9 |
| Pickles, sliced 4-...-.-.--15 oz-- | 26.5 | 99.6 | 100.2 | 99.8 | 99.5 | 99.5 | 99.6 | 99.9 | 99.8 | 99.9 | 100.0 | 100.6 | 100.8 | 100.4 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Catsup, tomato ${ }^{4}$......... $14 \mathrm{oz}_{\text {- }}$ | 22.6 | 99.7 | 99.4 | 99.3 | 98.8 | 98.7 | 97.9 | 97.2 | 96.9 | 96.4 | 96.1 | 96.4 | 96.3 | 97.4 | 97.5 | 99.2 |
|  |  | 165. 0 | 168.9 | 171.4 | 173.8 | 174.1 | 174.7 | 178. 2 | 179.9 | 180.9 | 181.2 | 182.5 | 183.4 | 184.7 | 179.1 | 192.7 |
| Coffee. | (19) | 145. 0 | 150.2 | 153.9 | 157.8 | 158.4 | 159.2 | 164.4 | 167.3 | 168.9 | 169.9 | 171.6 | 172.9 | 175.0 | 166.2 | 187.4 |
| Tea bags 4-------package of 16.- | 24.1 | 125. 0 | 125. 0 | 124.9 | 124.4 | 124.7 | 124. 5 | 124.4 | 124.5 | 124.3 | 124.2 | 124.2 | 124.2 | 124.0 | 124.3 | 122.9 |
| Cola drink ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$------carton, 36 oz | 28.3 | 125.1 | 125.4 | 125.2 | 124.4 | 123.8 | 123.8 | 123.1 | 121.9 | 121.7 | 120.7 | 120.8 | 120.7 | 120.3 | 122.2 | 118.1 |
| Fats and oils |  | 83.7 | 84.9 | 85.4 | 85.4 | 85.5 | 85.6 | 85.8 | 85.8 | 85.9 | 86.2 | 86.2 | 86.1 | 85.8 | 85.8 | 86.8 |
| Shortening, hydrogenated 3 -1b. can - | 90.0 | 85. 6 | 87.8 | 88.4 | 82.2 | 88.1 | 88.2 | 89.2 | 89.9 | 89.9 | 90.9 | 91.0 | 90.5 | 90.1 | 89.7 | 93.1 |
| Margarine, colored...-.-.-lb-- | 28.8 | 75.7 | 76.0 | 76.2 | 76.0 | 76.1 | 76.3 | 76. 2 | 76.5 | 77.3 | 77.7 | 78.0 | 78.0 | 77.7 | 77.0 | 78.5 |
|  | 21.3 | 78.6 | 81.7 | 83.4 | 84.3 | 84.7 | 85.2 | 84.4 | 83.3 | 83.1 | 82.7 | 82.6 | 82.6 | 82.0 | 83.4 | 83.8 |
|  | 37.8 | 100.6 | 100.6 | 100.9 | 100.8 | 100.8 | 100. 7 | 100.9 | 100.7 | 100.8 | 101.0 | 100.6 | 101.0 | 100.8 | 100.8 | 99.2 |
|  | 56.0 | 114.4 | 114.6 | 115.4 | 115.7 | 115.7 | 115.9 | 115.4 | 113.7 | 112.5 | 111.5 | 111.0 | 110.9 | 110.5 | 113.2 | 109.8 |
| Sugar and sweets |  | 120.1 | 120.1 | 120.0 | 120.0 | 120.0 | 119.9 | 119.8 | 119.6 | 119.2 | 118.4 | 117.1 | 113.9 | 113. 6 | 117.9 | 112.8 |
| Sugar | 56.7 | 118.4 | 118.4 | 118.4 | 118.3 | 118. 4 | 118.3 | 118.4 | 118.1 | 117.6 | 116.2 | 115.9 | 115.6 | 115.6 | 117.2 | 114.6 |
|  | 26.4 | 112.5 | 112.2 | 112.1 | 111.9 | 111.5 | 111.3 | 110.9 | 110.7 | 110.5 | 110.2 | 109.7 | 108. 7 | 107.9 | 110.2 | 106.0 |
|  | 28.1 | 117.4 | 117.4 | 116.6 | 116.4 | 116.8 | 116.4 | 116.3 | 116.2 | 115.9 | 115.7 | 115.9 | 115.9 | 115.3 | 116.1 | 114.5 |
| Ohocolate bar ${ }^{4}$--.-.-.-.-.-. $10 \mathrm{oz}_{-}$ | 5. 2 | 114.2 | 114.1 | 114.3 | 114.2 | 114. 4 | 114.3 | 114.2 | 114.2 | 113.8 | 113.2 | 109.6 | 100.7 | 100.4 | 110.3 | 100.4 |
| Eggs, grade A, large_------- doz-- | 55.8 | 80.0 | 83.3 | 84.4 | 89.9 | 91.4 | 98.5 | 87.2 | 82.5 | 78.9 | 81.1 | 84.5 | 90.6 | 81.4 | 86.5 | 82.2 |
| Gelatin, flavored ${ }^{\text {4 }}$-...-.-3-4 0z-- | 9.2 | 106.9 | 106.4 | 105. 7 | 104.7 | 104.3 | 104.4 | 104.4 | 104.4 | 104.6 | 104.3 | 104.1 | 104.0 | 104.1 | 104.4 | 103.0 |

[^50]${ }^{11} 7$ months' average.
${ }^{12}$ July $1953=100$.
133 months' average.
${ }^{14}$ A pril $1953=100$.
152 months' average.
184 months' average.
175 months' average.
18 June $1953=100$.
${ }_{10}$ Price of $1-1 \mathrm{lb}$. can, 80.5 cents. Price of $1-1 \mathrm{lb}$. bag, 62.3 cents (priced only in chain stores and large supermarkets).

Source: U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics.

Table D-5. Consumer Price Index ${ }^{1}$ —All items indexes, by city
[1947-49 $=100$ ]

| City | 1959 |  | 1958 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Annual average |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Feb. | Jan. | Dec. | Nov. | Oct. | Sept. | Aug. | July | June | May | Apr. | Mar. | Feb. | 1958 | 1957 |
| United States city average ${ }^{2}$ - | 123.7 | 123.8 | 123.7 | 123.9 | 123.7 | 123.7 | 123.7 | 123.9 | 123.7 | 123.6 | 123.5 | 123.3 | 122.5 | 123.5 | 120.2 |
| Atlanta, Ga | (3) | (3) | 124.4 | (3) | ${ }^{(3)}$ | 124.6 | ${ }^{(3)}$ | (3) | 124.9 | (3) | (8) | 124.9 | (8) | 124.5 | 121.4 |
| Baltimore, M | ${ }^{(3)}$ | (3) | 125. 5 | (3) | (3) | 124.8 | (3) | (3) | 124.8 | (3) | (8) | 124.1 | (8) | 124.5 | 121.0 |
| Boston, Mass | ${ }^{(3)}$ | 125. 4 | ${ }^{(3)}$ | (3) | 125.4 | ${ }^{(3)}$ | ${ }^{(3)}$ | 125.4 | ${ }^{(3)}$ | (8) | 124.5 | (3) | (8) | 124.8 | 121.2 |
| Ohicago, Ill | 127.1 | 127.1 | 127.0 | 127.4 | 127.3 | 127.4 | 126.9 | 127.6 | 127.5 | 127.0 | 127.0 | 126.8 | 126. 2 | 127.0 | 123.3 |
| Oincinnati, Ohio | ${ }^{(3)}$ | ${ }^{(3)}$ | 122.4 | ${ }^{(3)}$ | ${ }^{(3)}$ | 122.5 | ${ }^{(3)}$ | ${ }^{(3)}$ | 122.7 | ${ }^{(3)}$ | (3) | 122.3 | (8) | 122.3 | 119.6 |
| Cleveland, Ohio. | 124.8 | (3) | (3) | 124.5 | (3) | ${ }^{(3)}$ | 125.1 | (3) | (3) | 125.0 | (2) | (8) | 124. 5 | 124.8 | 122.1 |
| Detroit, Mich | 123.3 | 123.3 | 123.3 | 123.4 | 123.3 | 123.8 | 123.7 | 124.3 | 124.2 | 124.3 | 124.4 | 124.2 | 123.7 | 123.9 | 122.2 |
| Houston, Tex | ${ }_{\text {(3) }}^{124} 1$ | ${ }^{(8)}$ | ${ }^{(3)}$ | 124.2 | ${ }^{(3)}$ | ${ }^{(3)}$ | 124.0 | ${ }^{(3)}$ | ${ }^{(8)}$ | 123.7 | ${ }^{(8)}$ | ${ }^{(8)}$ | 122.3 | 123.6 | 121.5 |
| Kansas City, Mo | ${ }^{(3)}$ | 124.5 | ${ }^{(3)}$ | ${ }^{(3)}$ | 124.9 | (3) | ${ }^{(3)}$ | 124.8 | ${ }^{(8)}$ | ${ }^{(3)}$ | 123.7 | ${ }^{(8)}$ | ${ }^{(3)}$ | 124.1 | 121.1 |
| Los Angeles, Calif. | 126.3 | 126.2 | 126.2 | 126.1 | 125.6 | 125.6 | 125.2 | 125.4 | 125.1 | 125.2 | 125.6 | 125.0 | 124.1 | 125. 2 | 121.2 |
| Minneapolis, Minn | ${ }^{(3)}$ | 125.3 | ${ }^{(3)}$ | ${ }^{(3)}$ | 124. 5 | ${ }^{(3)}$ | ${ }^{(3)}$ | 124.9 | ${ }^{(3)}$ | ${ }^{(3)}$ | 124.1 | ${ }^{(3)}$ | ${ }^{(3)}$ | 124.3 | 121.1 |
| New York, N.Y | 121.7 | 121.8 | 121. 3 | 121.7 | 121. 5 | 121.4 | 121.1 | 121.1 | 121.0 | 121.1 | 121.2 | 121. 2 | 120.3 | 121.1 | 117.6 |
| Philadelphia, Pa | 123.3 | 123.4 | 123.5 | 123.5 | 123.3 | 123.4 | 123.4 | 123.3 | 123.0 | 122.9 | 122.9 | 123.1 | 122.3 | 123.1 | 120.8 |
| Pittsburgh, Pa | (3) | 124.4 | ${ }^{(3)}$ | ${ }^{(3)}$ | 124.5 | ${ }^{(3)}$ | ${ }^{(3)}$ | 124.7 | ${ }^{(8)}$ | ${ }^{(3)}$ | 123.8 | (8) | ${ }^{(3)}$ | 124.0 | 120.2 |
| Portland, Oreg | (3) | 124.2 | (3) | (3) | 124.5 | (3) | ${ }^{(3)}$ | 124.7 | (3) | ${ }^{(3)}$ | 125.0 | (3) | (3) | 124.4 | 121.7 |
| St. Louis, Mo. | ${ }^{(8)}$ | ${ }^{(3)}$ | 125.7 | ${ }^{(3)}$ | ${ }^{(3)}$ | 125.3 | ${ }^{(3)}$ | ${ }^{(3)}$ | 124.5 | ${ }^{(8)}$ | ${ }^{(3)}$ | 124.5 | ${ }^{(3)}$ | 124.7 | 121.2 |
| San Francisco, Oali | ${ }^{(3)}$ | ${ }^{(3)}$ | 127.9 | ${ }^{(3)}$ | ${ }^{(3)}$ | 128.4 | (3) | (3) | 128.0 | ${ }^{(3)}$ | (8) | 126.7 | (3) | 127.5 | 123.1 |
| Scranton, Pa | 120.3 | ${ }^{(3)}$ | (3) | 120.7 | ${ }^{(3)}$ | $\left.{ }^{3}\right)$ | 120.4 | ${ }^{(3)}$ | $\left.{ }^{3}\right)$ | 120.7 | (3) | (8) | 119.1 | 120.2 | 116.9 |
| Seattle, Wash | 126.9 | (3) | (3) | 126.0 | (3) | (3) | 126.3 | (3) | (8) | 126.1 | (3) | (3) | 125.0 | 125.8 | 123.1 |
| Washington, D.O.- | 121.3 | ${ }^{(3)}$ | (3) | 121.5 | ${ }^{(3)}$ | ${ }^{(3)}$ | 121.2 | (3) | ${ }^{(3)}$ | 121.3 | ${ }^{(3)}$ | ${ }^{(3)}$ | 120.3 | 121.1 | 118.3 |

[^51]Table D-6. Consumer Price Index ${ }^{1}$-Food and its subgroups, by city [1947-49=100]

${ }^{1}$ See foutnote 1, table D-1.
2 See footnote 2, table D-2.
${ }^{3}$ A verage of 46 cities.

4 See footnotes, table D-2.
Source: U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics.

Table D-7. Indexes of wholesale prices, by major groups ${ }^{1}$

| Year and month | sәŋ!ррошuos IIV |  | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Miscellaneous products |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1947:A verage | 96.4 | 100.0 | 98.2 | 95.3 | 100.1 | 101.0 | 90.9 | 101.4 | 99.0 | 93.7 | 98.6 | 91.3 | 92.5 | 95.6 | 93.9 | 97.2 | 100.8 |
| 1948:A verage | 104.4 | 107.3 | 106.1 | 103.4 | 104.4 | 102.1 | 107.1 | 103.8 | 102.1 | 107.2 | 102.9 | 103.9 | 100.9 | 101.4 | 101.7 | 100.5 | 103.1 |
| 1949:A verage. | 99.2 | 92.8 | 95.7 | 101.3 | 95.5 | 96.9 | 101.9 | 94.8 | 98.9 | 99.2 | 98.5 | 104.8 | 106.6 | 103.1 | 104.4 | 102.3 | 96.1 |
| 1950:A verage ${ }^{-}$ | 103.1 | 97.5 | 99.8 | 105.0 | 99.2 | 104.6 | 103.0 | 96.3 | 120.5 | 113.9 | 100.9 | 110.3 | 108.6 | 105.3 | 106.9 | 103.5 | 96.6 |
| 1951: A verage | 114.8 | 113.4 | 111.4 | 115.9 | 110.6 | 120.3 | 106.7 | 110.0 | 148.0 | 123.9 | 119.6 | 122.8 | 119.0 | 114.1 | 113.6 | 109.4 | 104.9 |
| 1952:A verage | 111.6 | 107.0 | 108.8 | 113.2 | 99.8 | 97.2 | 106.6 | 104.5 | 134.0 | 120.3 | 116.5 | 123.0 | 121. 5 | 112.0 | 113.6 | 111.8 | 108.3 |
| 1953:A verage | 110.1 | 97.0 | 104. 6 | 114.0 | 97.3 | 98.5 | 109.5 | 105. 7 | 125.0 | 120.2 | 116.1 | 126.9 | 123.0 | 114.2 | 118.2 | 115.7 | 97.8 |
| 1954:A verage | 110.3 | 95.6 | 105.3 | 114.5 | 95.2 | 94.2 | 108.1 | 107.0 | 126.9 | 118.0 | 116.3 | 128.0 | 124.6 | 115.4 | 120.9 | 120.6 | 102.5 |
| 1955:A verage | 110.7 | 89.6 | 101.7 | 117.0 | 95.3 | 93.8 | 107.9 | 106.6 | 143.8 | 123.6 | 119.3 | 136.6 | 128.4 | 115.9 | 124.2 | 121.6 | 92.0 |
| 1956:A verage. | 114.3 | 88.4 | 101.7 | 122.2 | 95.3 | 99.3 | 111.2 | 107.2 | 145.8 | 125.4 | 127.2 | 148.4 | 137.8 | 119.1 | 129.6 | 122.3 | 91.0 |
| 1957: A verage. | 117.6 | 90.9 | 105.6 | 125.6 | 95.4 | 99.4 | 117.2 | 109.5 | 145.2 | 119.0 | 129.6 | 151.2 | 146.1 | 122.2 | 134.6 | 126.1 | 89.6 |
| 1955: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January--- | 110.1 | 92.5 | 103.8 | 115.2 | 95.2 | 91.9 | 108. 5 | 107.1 | 136.8 | 120.3 | 116.3 | 130.1 | 125.8 | 115. 5 | 122.0 | 121.4 | 97.0 |
| February-- | 110.4 | 93.1 | 103.2 | 115.7 | 95.2 | 92.3 | 108. 7 | 107.1 | 140.6 | 121.2 | 116.6 | 131.5 | 126.1 | 115.4 | 121.8 | 121.6 | 97.1 |
| March..--- | 110.0 | 92.1 | 101.6 | 115.6 | 95.3 | 92.2 | 108. 5 | 106.8 | 138.0 | 121.4 | 116.8 | 131.9 | 126.1 | 115.1 | 121.9 | 121.6 | 95.6 |
| April.----- | 110.5 | 94.2 | 102. 5 | 115.7 | 95.0 | 93.2 | 107.4 | 107.1 | 138.3 | 122.4 | 117.4 | 132.9 | 126.3 | 115.1 | 122.3 | 121.6 | 94.0 |
| May.------ | 109.9 | 91.2 | 102. 1 | 115.5 | 95.0 | 92.9 | 107.0 | 106.8 | 138.0 | 123.5 | 117.7 | 132.5 | 126.7 | 115.1 | 123.2 | 121.6 | 91.3 |
| June | 110.3 | 91.8 | 103.9 | 115.6 | 95.2 | 92.9 | 106.8 | 106.8 | 140.3 | 123.7 | 118.3 | 132.6 | 127.1 | 115.2 | 123.7 | 121.6 | 89.1 |
| July- | 110.5 | 89.5 | 103.1 | 116.5 | 95.3 | 93.7 | 106.4 | 106.0 | 143.4 | 124.1 | 119.0 | 136.7 | 127.5 | 115.5 | 125.3 | 121.6 | 90.8 |
| August---- | 110.9 | 88.1 | 101.9 | 117.5 | 95.3 | 93.8 | 107.2 | 105.9 | 148.7 | 125.1 | 119.7 | 139.5 | 128.5 | 116.0 | 126.1 | 121.7 | 89.8 |
| September- | 111.7 | 89.3 | 101.5 | 118.5 | 95.4 | 94.0 | 108.0 | 106.0 | 151.7 | 125.7 | 120.5 | 141.9 | 130.0 | 116.4 | 126.4 | 121.7 | 90.3 |
| October--- | 111.6 | 86.8 | 100.2 | 119.0 | 95.4 | 95.3 | 108.0 | 106.5 | 147.8 | 125.4 | 122.8 | 142.4 | 131.4 | 116.9 | 126.8 | 121.7 | 91.5 |
| November. | 111.2 | 84.1 | 98.8 | 119.4 | 95.6 | 96.4 | 108.6 | 106.6 | 150.6 | 125.0 | 123.2 | 142.9 | 132.5 | 117.2 | 125.2 | 121.7 | 88.0 |
| December- | 111.3 | 82.9 | 98.2 | 119.8 | 95.6 | 96.7 | 109.3 | 106.6 | 151.0 | 125.1 | 123.6 | 143.9 | 133.0 | 117.3 | 125.4 | 121.7 | 88.8 |
| 1956: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January | 111.9 | 84.1 | 98.3 | 120.4 | 95.7 | 96.7 | 111.0 | 106.3 | 148.4 | 126.3 | 124.8 | 145.1 | 133.3 | 118.0 | 127.0 | 121.7 | 89.6 |
| February-- | 112.4 | 86.0 | 99.0 | 120.6 | 96.0 | 97.1 | 111.2 | 106.4 | 147.1 | 126.7 | 125.4 | 145.1 | 133.9 | 118.2 | 127.1 | 121.7 | 88.7 |
| March.-.- | 112.8 | 86.6 | 99.2 | 121.0 | 95.9 | 97.7 | 110.9 | 106.5 | 146.2 | 128.0 | 126.8 | 146.5 | 134.7 | 118.1 | 127.9 | 121.7 | 88.2 |
| April. | 113.6 | 88.0 | 100.4 | 121.6 | 95.1 | 100.6 | 110.6 | 106.9 | 145.0 | 128.5 | 127.4 | 147.7 | 135.7 | 118.0 | 128.6 | 121.7 | 92.1 |
| May | 114.4 | 90.9 | 102. 4 | 121.7 | 94.9 | 100.0 | 110.8 | 106.9 | 143.5 | 128.0 | 127.3 | 146.8 | 136.5 | 118.0 | 128.6 | 121.6 | 96.1 |
| June | 114.2 | 91.2 | 102.3 | 121.5 | 94.9 | 100.2 | 110.5 | 107.1 | 142.8 | 127.3 | 127.4 | 145.8 | 136.8 | 118.1 | 128.9 | 121.6 | 92.9 |
| July | 114.0 | 90.0 | 102.2 | 121.4 | 94.9 | 100.1 | 110.7 | 107.3 | 143.3 | 126.6 | 127.7 | 144.9 | 136.9 | 118.3 | 130.6 | 121.7 | 91.3 |
| August | 114.7 | 89.1 | 102. 6 | 122.5 | 94.8 | 100.0 | 110.9 | 107.3 | 146.9 | 125.2 | 127.9 | 150.2 | 137.7 | 119.1 | 130.8 | 122.5 | 91.1 |
| September- | 115.5 | 90.1 | 104. 0 | 123.1 | 94.8 | 100.2 | 111.1 | 107.1 | 145.7 | 123.6 | 127.9 | 151.9 | 139.7 | 119.7 | 131.1 | 122.8 | 89.9 |
| October--- | 115.6 | 88.4 | 103.6 | 123.6 | 95.3 | 99.7 | 111.7 | 107.7 | 145.8 | 122.0 | 128.1 | 152.2 | 141.1 | 121.0 | 131.5 | 123.1 | 89.2 |
| November- | 115.9 | 87.9 | 103.6 | 124. 2 | 95.4 | 99.8 | 111.2 | 108.2 | 146.9 | 121.5 | 127.8 | 152.1 | 143.4 | 121.1 | 131.2 | 123.5 | 91.2 |
| December- | 116.3 | 88.9 | 103.1 | 124.7 | 95.6 | 99.2 | 114.0 | 108.3 | 147.9 | 121.0 | 128.0 | 152.3 | 143.6 | 121.2 | 131.3 | 123.6 | 91.7 |
| 1957: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January | 116.9 | 89.3 | 104.3 | 125.2 | 95.8 | 98.4 | 116.3 | 108.7 | 145.0 | 121.3 | 128. 6 | 152.2 | 143.9 | 121.9 | 132.0 | 124.0 | 93.2 |
| February-- | 117.0 | 88.8 | 103. 9 | 125. 5 | 95.7 | 98.0 | 119.6 | 108.8 | 143.9 | 120.7 | 128. 5 | 151.4 | 144. 5 | 121.9 | 132.7 | 124.1 | 92.4 |
| March..--- | 116.9 | 88.8 | 103.7 | 125.4 | 95.4 | 98.4 | 119.2 | 108.8 | 144.3 | 120.1 | 128.7 | 151.0 | 144.8 | 121.9 | 133.2 | 124.1 | 92.0 |
| April. | 117.2 | 90.6 | 104.3 | 125.4 | 95.3 | 98.6 | 119.5 | 109.1 | 144.5 | 120.2 | 128.6 | 150.1 | 145.0 | 121.5 | 134.6 | 124.5 | 91.4 |
| May...-...- | 117.1 | 89.5 | 104. 9 | 125.2 | 95.4 | 98.9 | 118.5 | 109.1 | 144.7 | 119.7 | 128.9 | 150.0 | 145.1 | 121.6 | 135.0 | 124.5 | 89.4 |
| June | 117.4 | 90.9 | 106.1 | 125. 2 | 95.5 | 99.8 | 117.2 | 109.3 | 145.1 | 119.7 | 128.9 | 150.6 | 145.2 | 121.7 | 135.1 | 124.7 | 87.3 |
| July | 118.2 | 92.8 | 107.2 | 125.7 | 95.4 | 100.6 | 116.4 | 109.5 | 144. 9 | 119.3 | 129.5 | 152.4 | 145.8 | 122.2 | 135. 2 | 127.7 | 88.8 |
| August | 118.4 | 93.0 | 106.8 | 126.0 | 95.4 | 100.3 | 116.3 | 109.8 | 146.9 | 118.6 | 129.9 | 153.2 | 146.2 | 122.4 | 135. 3 | 127.7 | 90.1 |
| September- | 118.0 | 91.0 | 106.5 | 126.0 | 95.4 | 100.0 | 116.1 | 110.2 | 146.5 | 117.8 | 130.1 | 152.2 | 146.9 | 122.3 | 135.2 | 127.7 | 89.4 |
| October--- | 117.8 | 91.5 | 105.5 | 125.8 | 95.1 | 100.1 | 115.8 | 110.4 | 146.2 | 117.3 | 130.9 | 150.8 | 147.7 | 122.6 | 135.3 | 127.7 | 87.7 |
| November- | 118.1 | 91.9 | 106.5 | 125.9 | 95.0 | 100.0 | 115.7 | 110.3 | 144.7 | 116.9 | 130.9 | 150.4 | 149.2 | 122.7 | 135.4 | 127.8 | 86.8 |
| December | 118.5 | 92.6 | 107.4 | 126.1 | 94.9 | 99.5 | 116.2 | 110.6 | 145.7 | 116.3 | 131.0 | 150.5 | 149.4 | 123.5 | 135.7 | 128.0 | 87.2 |
| 1958: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January --- | 118.9 | 93.7 | 109.5 | 126.1 | 94.6 | 99.5 | 116.1 | 110.8 | 145.1 | 116.3 | 130.8 | 150.0 | 149.4 | 123.8 | 136.4 | 128.1 | 88.3 |
| February-- | 119.0 | 96.1 | 109.9 | 125.7 | 94.1 | 99.6 | 113.6 | 110.6 | 144.6 | 115.8 | 130.8 | 150.1 | 149.3 | 123.6 | 136.5 | 128.1 | 89.3 |
| March.--- | 119.7 | 100.5 | 110.7 | 125.7 | 94.0 | 99.5 | 112.4 | 110.7 | 144. 6 | 115.5 | 130.5 | 149.8 | 149.2 | 123.5 | 135. 3 | 128.0 | 94.3 |
| April. | 119.3 | 97.7 | 111.5 | 125.5 | 93.7 | 99.7 | 111.0 | 111.0 | 144.5 | 115.7 | 130.5 | 148.6 | 149.4 | 123.4 | 135.4 | 128.0 | 97.8 |
| May | 119.5 | 98.5 | 112.9 | 125. 3 | 93.5 | 99.9 | 110.3 | 110.8 | 143.8 | 115.9 | 130.5 | 148.6 | 149.4 | 123.2 | 135.4 | 128.0 | 96.2 |
| June_------ | 119.2 | 95.6 | 113.5 | 125.3 | 93.3 | 100.3 | 110.7 | 110.7 | 144.2 | 116.4 | 130.5 | 148.8 | 149.5 | 123.0 | 135. 2 | 128.0 | 93.7 |
| July------- | 119.2 | 95.0 | 112.7 | 125.6 | 93.3 | 100.3 | 111.9 | 110.4 | 144.7 | 116.8 | 131.0 | 148.8 | 149.5 | 123.2 | 135. 3 | 128. 0 | 97.2 |
| August---- | 119.1 | 93.2 | 111.3 | 126.1 | 93.3 | 100.5 | 113.7 | 110.0 | 144.4 | 118.6 | 131.0 | 150.8 | 149.5 | 123.0 | 135.2 | 128.0 | 95.6 |
| September. | 119.1 | 93.1 | 111.1 | 126.2 | 93.3 | 100.2 | 114.1 | 109.9 | 145.2 | 120.4 | 131.7 | 151.3 | 149.4 | 123.0 | 136.7 | 128. 0 | 92.5 |
| October---- | 119.0 | 92.3 | 110.0 | 126. 4 | 93.2 | 101.4 | 113.0 | 110.2 | 146.1 | 120.8 | 131.9 | 152.2 | 149.9 | 123.0 | 136.7 | 128.8 | 91.2 |
| November.- | 119.2 | 92.1 | 109.5 | 126.8 | 93.1 | 102.3 | 112.6 | 110.2 | 146. 6 | 120.0 | 131.9 | 153.0 | 151.2 | 122.7 | 136.7 | 128. 7 | 93.2 |
| December- | 119.2 | 90.6 | 108.8 | 127.2 | 93.3 | 103.6 | 112.9 | 110.0 | 146.3 | 119.8 | 131.3 | 153.0 | 151.5 | 122.8 | 136.9 | 128.6 | 100.9 |
| 1959: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January--- | 119.5 | 91.5 | 3108.7 | 127.5 | 93.3 | ${ }^{3} 104.1$ | 113.9 | 110.2 | 3146.0 | ${ }^{3} 120.5$ | 131.5 | 3152.9 | 151.8 | ${ }^{3} 123.3$ | ${ }^{3} 137.2$ | 128.6 | ${ }^{3} 100.8$ |
| February ${ }^{\text {- }}$ | 119.5 | 91.1 | 107.6 | 127.7 | 93.7 | 105.4 | 114.8 | 109.9 | 146.1 | 122.1 | 131.7 | 153.4 | 152.0 | 123.4 | 137.5 | 128.9 | 98.5 |

${ }^{1}$ As of January 1958, new weight factors reflecting 1954 values were introduced into the index. Technical details furnished upon request to the Bureau. ${ }_{2}$ Preliminary. ${ }^{8}$ Revised.
' Note: For a description of this series, see Techniques of Preparing Major BLS Statistical Series, BLS Bull. 1168 (1954).
Source: U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics.

TABLE D-8. Indexes of wholesale prices, by group and subgroup of commodities ${ }^{1}$
[1947-49 $=100$, unless otherwise specified]

| Commodity group | 1959 |  | 1958 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Annual average |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Feb. ${ }^{2}$ | Jan. | Dec. | Nov. | Oct. | Sept. | Aug. | July | June | May | Apr. | Mar. | Feb. | 1957 | 1956 |
| All commodi | 119.5 | 119.5 | 119.2 | 119.2 | 119.0 | 119.1 | 119.1 | 119.2 | 119.2 | 119.5 | 119.3 | 119.7 | 119.0 | 117.6 | 114.3 |
| Farm produ | 91.1 | 91.5 | 90.6 | 92.1 | 92.3 | 93.1 | 93.2 | 95.0 | 95.6 | 98.5 | 97.7 | 100.5 | 96.1 | 90.9 | 88.4 |
| Fresh and dried | 105.9 | 102.5 | 99.2 | 98.1 | 101.5 | 97.9 | 97.2 | 106.3 | 102.0 | 122.0 | 129.2 | 142.5 | 127.0 | 103. 6 | 104.2 |
| Grains | 77.0 | 76.1 | 76.1 | 75.3 | 76.8 | 76.1 | 77.3 | 79.8 | 81.3 | 84.2 | 85.7 | 82.2 | 79.9 | 84.1 | 87.0 |
| Livestock | 88.4 99.1 | 90.3 99 | 87.6 99.6 | 90.1 100.6 | 100.7 | 101.1 | 101.8 | 96.7 101.8 | 101.9 | 101.6 | 101. 4 | 101.7 | 102.8 | 104.0 | 102.8 |
| Fluid milk | 95.5 | 95.7 | 96.2 | 96.6 | 96.2 | 95.8 | 93.5 | 92.0 | 90.2 | 90.5 | 91.7 | 95.7 | 98.0 | 96.0 | 94.5 |
| Eggs | 69.3 | 72.5 | 77.7 | 86.5 | 91.1 | 98.6 | 81.5 | 76.1 | 74.9 | 75.7 | 77.1 | 93.6 | 74.2 | 77.2 | 81.9 |
| Hay, hayseeds, and | 78.0 | 76.4 | 75.0 | 74.0 | 73.3 | 72.2 | 75.9 | 76.2 | 79.3 | 79.7 | 79.9 | 79.4 | 79.0 | 82.0 | 82.6 |
| Other farm products. | 134.8 | ${ }^{3} 134.5$ | 136.4 | 137.7 | 138.8 | 137.3 | 139.5 | 139.9 | 141.4 | 142.0 | 142.3 | 143.4 | 142.2 | 144.6 | 146.9 |
| Processed food | 107.6 | ${ }^{3} 108.7$ | 108.8 | 109.5 | 110.0 | 111.1 | 111.3 | 112.7 | 113.5 | 112.9 | 111.5 | 110.7 | 109.9 | 105.6 | 101.7 |
| Cereal and bakery | 117.7 | 117.5 | 117.4 | 118.0 | 118.2 | 117.8 | 116.9 | 117.5 | 118.5 | 117.9 | 118.4 | 117.8 | 118. 1 | 116.9 | 115.2 |
| Meats, poultry, and fish | 100.9 | 103.3 | 101.4 | 102.5 | 103. 5 | 107. 1 | 108.2 | 112. 1 | 114.1 | 112.8 | 108.5 | 105.9 | 102.7 | 91.9 | 81.6 |
| Dairy products and ice crea | 113.0 | 3113.0 <br> 110.8 | 3113.5 <br> 113.0 | 3113.4 <br> 112.9 | 3113.5 <br> 112.1 | 3113.7 <br> 111.4 | 3 <br> 112.2 <br> 111.8 | ${ }^{3} 111.4$ | 1110.9 110.3 | 3110.6 <br> 108.2 | 111.4 | 113.4 106.8 | 114.2 105.7 | 111.7 | 108.6 107.9 |
| Sugar and confectionery | 113. 8 | 115.3 | 117.0 | 116.3 | 116.7 | 116.5 | 116.0 | 116.4 | 116.4 | 115.5 | 114.3 | 113.1 | 114.2 | 113.4 | 109.8 |
| Packaged beverage ma | 149.7 | ${ }^{3} 154.0$ | 157.9 | 161.2 | 161.2 | 161.2 | 161.2 | 165.2 | 168.4 | 168.4 | 168.4 | 168.4 | 173.3 | 183.1 | 192.7 |
| Animal fats and oils | 57.1 | 57.9 | 60.7 | 68.2 | 75.4 | 74.7 | 80.4 | 74.1 | 73.4 | 72.7 | 72.3 | 73.7 | 70.4 | 75.6 | 69.8 |
| Crude vegetable oi | 53.6 | ${ }^{3} 53.9$ | 54.1 | 57.5 | 56.1 | 55.3 | 56.6 | 57.0 | 58.8 | 63.9 | 64.1 | 63.6 | 66.4 | 65.7 | 68.5 |
| Refined vegetable oil | 59.3 | 59.8 | 63.8 | 63.8 | 63.4 | 64.5 | 67.5 | 67.5 | 70.0 | 70.9 | 70.9 | 70.9 | 70.9 | 70.1 | 73.4 |
| Vegetable ofl end prod | 75.0 | 76.8 | 76.8 | 79.4 | 80.4 | 81.3 | 81.6 | 82.6 | 83.2 | 85.2 | 85.1 | 85.8 | 86.3 | 86.1 | 85.3 |
| Other processed foods. | 97.2 | 96.2 | ${ }^{3} 96.8$ | 97.4 | 97.0 | 96.7 | 96.5 | 97.1 | 96.9 | 96.9 | 97.1 | 96.4 | 95.2 | 95.5 | 96.8 |
| All commodities other than farm and foods. | 127.7 | 127.5 | 127.2 | 126.8 | 126.4 | 126.2 | 126.1 | 125.6 | 125.3 | 125.3 | 125.5 | 125.7 | 125.7 | 125.6 | 122.2 |
| All commodities except farm produc | 124.2 | 124.2 | 124.0 | 123.7 | 123.5 | 123.5 | 123.4 | 123.3 | 123.1 | 123.1 | 123.0 | 123.0 | 122.9 | 122.1 | 118.6 |
| Textile products and app | 93.7 | 93.3 | 93.3 | 93.1 | 93.2 | 93.3 | 93.3 | 93.3 | 93.3 | 93.5 | 93.7 | 94.0 | 94.1 | 95.4 | 95.3 |
| Cotton products | 89.6 | 88.7 | 88.6 | 88.0 | 87.8 | 87.9 | 87.7 | 87.4 | 87.6 | 88.3 | 88.5 | 89.0 | 89.3 | 90.7 | 93.0 |
| Wool products | 97.5 | 97.4 | 97.5 | 97.9 | 98.4 | 99.6 | 100.4 | 100.5 | 101.3 | 100.5 80.3 | 101.6 80 | 102.8 | 103.8 | 109.5 | 103.7 |
| Manmade fiber textile | 79.8 109.3 | 79.3 104.7 | 79.4 105.1 | 79.3 106.0 | 79.7 | 79.7 115.8 | 80.0 116.3 | 80.1 | 80.4 109.9 | 80.3 116.1 | 80.5 116.5 | 81.0 | 81.2 | 82.0 | 81. 12.9 |
| Silk products | ${ }^{19.3}$ | 99.3 | 99.3 | 10.0 99.2 | 99.3 | 99.3 | 99.3 | 199.3 | 99.1 | 99.1 | 99.2 | 99.3 | 99.2 | 99.6 | 99.6 |
| Other textile p | 78.0 | 76.7 | 75.9 | 76.6 | 76.3 | 75.3 | 75.9 | 74.8 | 73.6 | 75.4 | 75.4 | 73.8 | 74.2 | 76.4 | 72.8 |
| Hides, skins, leather, and leather products. | 105.4 | ${ }^{3} 104.1$ | 103.6 | 102.3 | 101.4 | 100.2 | 100.5 | 100.3 | 100.3 | 99.9 | 99.7 | 99.5 | 99.6 | 99.4 | 99.3 |
|  | 73.0 | 68.7 | 66.6 | 65.1 | 62.0 | 59.0 | 60.4 | 58.1 | 57.0 | 55.4 | 53.3 | 51.2 | 51.2 | 55.2 | 59.2 |
| Leather | 101. 0 | 99.3 | 99.2 | 94.7 | 92.8 | 91.3 | 91.5 | 91.5 | 91.8 | 91.1 | 91.1 | 91.0 | 90.6 | 90.2 | 91.2 |
| Footwear | 123.3 | 123.2 | 123.1 | 122.9 | 122.8 | 121.9 | 121.8 | 121.8 | 121.8 | 121.8 | 121.7 | 121.9 | 122.0 | 121.1 | 119.3 |
| Other leather | 100.7 | ${ }^{3} 99.2$ | 98.2 | 97.4 | 97.2 | 96.7 | 96.8 | 97.1 | 97.3 | 97.3 | 97.6 | 97.5 | 98.5 | 98.0 | 98.6 |
| Fuel, pow | 114.8 | 113.9 | 112.9 | 112.6 | 113.0 | 114.1 | 113.7 | 111.9 | 110.7 | 110.3 | 111.0 | 112.4 | 113.6 | 117.2 | 111.2 |
| Coal. | 126. 2 | 125.3 | 123.7 | 123.8 | 123.8 | 122.7 | 121.9 | 121.1 | 120.3 | 119.7 | 119.8 | 126.2 | 126.2 | 124.4 | 114.5 |
|  | 1710. 4 | 163.1 | 161.9 | 161.9 | 161.9 | 161.9 | 161.9 | 161.9 | 161.9 | 161.9 | 161.9 | 161.9 | 161.9 | 161.7 | 149.7 |
| Gas fuels ${ }^{\text {4 }}$ | 112. 1 | ${ }^{3} 112.7$ | 107.8 | 106.0 | 106.3 | 104. 1 | 102.0 | 97.9 | 97.4 | 98.3 | 98.1 | 101.1 | 101.5 | (8) | (\%) |
| Electric power | 100.8 | 100.7 | 100.7 | 100.8 | 100.9 | 100.8 | 100.8 | 100.1 | 100.1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.1 | 100.1 | (5) | (5) |
| Petroleum and prod | 119.5 | 118.2 | 117.2 | 116.9 | 117.5 | 119.7 | 119.2 | 117.1 | 115.3 | 114.7 | 115.8 | 117.0 | 118.9 | 127.0 | 118.2 |
| Ohemicals and allied prod | 109. 9 | 110.2 | 110.0 | 110.2 | 110.2 | 109.9 | 110.0 | 110.4 | 110.7 | 110.8 | 111.0 | 110.7 | 110.6 | 109.5 | 107.2 |
| Industrial chemicals.- | 123.7 | 124.0 | 123.7 | 123.6 | 123.6 | 122.7 | 122.8 | 123.1 | 123.5 | 123.9 | 124.3 | 123.7 | 123.6 | 123.5 | 121.4 |
| Prepared paint | 128.4 | 128.2 | 128.2 | 128.2 | 128.2 | 128.2 | 128.2 | 128.2 | 128.2 | 128.4 | 128.4 | 128.4 | 128.4 | 126.3 | 120.0 |
| Paint materials | 101. 4 | ${ }^{3} 102.5$ | 102.8 | 102.7 | 102.8 | 102.9 | 103.3 | 103.4 | 103.4 | 103.9 | 104.0 | 104.4 | 104.7 | 100.5 | 99.6 |
| Drugs and pharma | 93.1 | 93.0 | 93.2 | 93.2 | 93.9 | 94.4 | 94.4 | 94.4 | 94.5 | 94.3 | 94.1 | 94.0 | 93.6 | 93.3 | 92.1 |
| Fats and oils, | 109.5 | ${ }^{3} 109.6$ | 61.5 3109.4 | 64.7 3109.8 | 62.6 3109.5 | 61.7 3109.7 | 62.5 110.8 | 111.1 | 111.2 2 | 111.2 | 111.4 | 111.3 | 111.6 | 61.4 | 56.2 108.7 |
| Fertilizer materi | 107.5 | ${ }^{3} 107.6$ | 105.3 | 105.2 | 106.3 | 104.3 | 104.4 | 108.0 | 110.3 | 110.3 | 110.3 | 110.3 | 110.4 | 106.8 | 108.4 |
| Other chemicals and allied products | 106.5 | 106.7 | 106. 2 | 106.6 | 106.6 | 106.8 | 106. 4 | 107.0 | 107.4 | 107.2 | 107.2 | 106.8 | 106.9 | 105.7 | 103.2 |
| Rubber and rubber | 146.1 | ${ }^{3} 146.0$ | 146.3 | 146.6 | 146.1 | 145.2 | 144.4 | 144.7 | 144.2 | 143.8 | 144. 5 | 144.6 | 144.6 | 145.2 | 145.8 |
| Crude rubber.-. | 139.4 | 138.9 | 137.8 | 142.6 | 140.1 | 135.7 | 134.3 | 133.0 | 129.4 | 127.7 | 131. 2 | 131.3 | 131.2 | 141.3 | 146.7 |
| Tires and tubes | 151.9 | 151.9 | 152.8 | 152.8 | 152.8 | 152.8 | 152.8 | 152. 1 | 152.1 | 152.1 | 152.1 | 152.1 | 152.1 | 150.9 | 152.2 |
| Other rubber products | 143.6 | 143.4 | ${ }^{3} 143.5$ | 142.3 | 142.4 | 141.8 | 140.9 | 142.7 | 143.0 | 143.0 | 143.0 | 143.3 | 143.3 | 140.9 | 138.0 |
| Lumber and wood prod | 122.1 | ${ }^{3} 120.5$ | 119.8 | 120.0 | 120.8 | 120.4 | 118.6 | 116.8 | 116.4 | 115.9 | 115.7 | 115.5 | 115.8 | 119.0 | 125.4 |
| Lumber....- | 122.7 | ${ }^{3} 121.0$ | 120.1 | 120.2 | 120.8 | 121.0 | 119.0 | 116.7 | 116.8 | 116.7 | 115.9 | 115.9 | 116.2 | 119.7 | 127.2 |
| Millwor | 130.2 | ${ }^{3} 130.2$ | 130.5 | 130.5 | 130.5 | 127.6 | 126.8 | 127.3 | 127.1 | 127.1 | 127.6 | 127.6 | 127.6 | 128.3 | 129.1 |
| Plywood. | 102.8 | ${ }^{3} 99.7$ | 99.1 | 100.1 | 102.7 | 102.0 | 100.2 | 98.3 | 94.9 | 92.2 | 94.4 | 92.9 | 93.6 | 96.4 | 101.7 |
| Pulp, paper, and alled products | 131.7 | 131.5 | 131.3 | 131.9 | 131.9 | 131.7 | 131.0 | 131.0 | 130.5 | 130.5 | 130.5 | 130.5 | 130.8 | 129.6 | 127.2 |
|  | 121.2 | 121.2 | 121.2 | 121.2 | 121.2 | 121.2 | 121.2 | 121.2 | 121.2 | 121.2 | 121.2 | 121.2 | 121.2 | 118.8 | 117.7 |
| Wastepape | 107.1 | 101.0 | 95.8 | 111.3 | 111.3 | 106.4 | 87.0 | 86.1 | 71.8 | 71.8 | 75.3 | 75.3 | 83.6 | 77.2 | 112.3 |
| Paper | 142.1 | 142. 1 | 142.1 | 142.1 | 142.0 | 141.8 | 141.8 | 141.8 | 141.8 | 141.8 | 142.9 | 143.0 | 143.1 | 141.9 | 137.3 |
| Paperboard | 136.2 | 136.2 | 136.2 | 136.2 | 136.2 | 136.5 | 136.0 | 136.0 | 136.0 | 136.0 | 136.1 | 136.2 | 136.3 | 136.3 | 134.8 |
| Converted paper and paperboard products. | 127.6 | 127.7 | 127.8 | 127.9 | 127.9 | 127.9 | 127.8 | 127.9 | 127.9 | 128.0 | 127.2 | 127.2 | 127.2 | 126.1 | 123.1 |
| Bullding paper and board | 144.2 | ${ }^{3} 143.9$ | 143.7 | 143.4 | 143.4 | 143.4 | 143.4 | 143.4 | 144.1 | 144.1 | 144.1 | 142.5 | 141.7 | 141.5 | 136.9 |
| Metals and metal prod | 153.4 | ${ }^{3} 152.9$ | 153.0 | 153.0 | 152.2 | 151.3 | 150.8 | 148.8 | 148.8 | 148.6 | 148.6 | 149.8 | 150.1 | 151.2 | 148.4 |
| Iron and steel.-- | 172. 5 | 172.0 | 171.7 | 172.0 | 171.4 | 171.8 | 171.3 | 167.0 | 166.7 | 166.2 | 166.4 | 167.3 | 167.6 | 166.2 | 154.7 |
| Nonferrous metal | 134.0 | 133.2 | 133.2 | 133.7 | 130.8 | 127.3 | 126.1 | 124.9 | 124.8 | 123. 9 | 124.1 | 127.0 | 127.8 | 137.4 | 156.1 |
| Metal containers | 156.3 | 3156.3 | 159.8 | 156.5 | 156.5 | 156.1 | 155.7 | 155.7 | 155.7 | 155. 7 | 155.7 | 155.7 | 152.8 | 151.2 | 141.6 |
| Hardware | 172.9 | 3172.8 | 172.6 | 172.5 | 172.0 | 172.0 | 172.0 | 171.7 | 171.7 | 170.7 | 169.0 | 168.9 | 168.6 | 164.9 | 155.9 |
| Plumbing equipmen | 126.0 | 124. 9 | 124.8 | 124.6 | 124.6 | 123.7 | 119.9 | 119.9 | 122.8 | 122.8 | 123.6 | 124.8 | 125.9 | 130.2 | 133.9 |
| Heating equipment. | 122.0 | 121.8 | 121.8 | 121.4 | 121. 4 | 121.5 | 121. 2 | 121. 2 | 121.0 | 120.8 | 120.8 | 120.7 | 121.3 | 122.1 | 119.0 |
| Fabricated structural metal products | 134.0 | 134.0 | 133.9 | 133.8 | 133. 6 | 133.1 | 133.3 | 133.1 | 133.7 | 134.1 | 134. 1 | 134. 5 | 134.7 | 133.8 | 132.6 |
| Fabricated nonstructural metal products. | 145.8 | ${ }^{145.3}$ | 145.0 | ${ }^{3} 145.0$ | 145.7 | 145.4 | 145.4 | 145.0 | 145.0 | 145.9 | 145.9 | 146.7 | 146.7 | 144.8 | 135. 1 |

Table D-8. Indexes of wholesale prices, by group and subgroup of commodities ${ }^{1}$-Continued
[1947-49=100, unless otherwise specifled]

| Commodity group | 1959 |  | 1958 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Annual average |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Feb. ${ }^{2}$ | Jan. | Dec. | Nov. | Oct. | Sept. | Aug. | July | June | May | Apr. | Mar. | Feb. | 1957 | 1956 |
| Machinery and motive products | 152.0 | 151.8 | 151. 5 | 151.2 | 149.9 | 149.4 | 149.5 | 149.5 | 149.5 | 149.4 | 149.4 | 149.2 | 149.3 | 146.1 | 137.8 |
| Agricultural machinery and equipment-- | 143.0 | 142.9 | 142.7 | 141.5 | 139.2 | 138.9 | 137.7 | 138.4 | 138.3 | 138.4 | 138.5 | 138.3 | 138.3 | 133.6 | 127.6 |
| Construction machinery and equipment- | 171.3 | ${ }^{3} 170.9$ | 170.3 | 168.0 | 166.8 | 166.0 | 165.6 | 165. 6 | 165. 5 | 165. 5 | 165.4 | 165.4 | 165.6 | 160.0 | 148.6 |
| Metalworking machinery and equipment- | 171.0 | 170.8 | 170.6 | 170.2 | 170.0 | 169. 3 | 169.3 | 169.7 | 169.4 | 169.6 | 170.7 | 170.7 | 170.7 | 167.0 | 156.4 |
| General purpose machinery and equip- | 163.9 | 163.0 | 162.3 | 161.6 | 160.2 | 159.3 | 158.8 | 159.7 | 160.0 | 159.6 | 159.4 | 159.2 | 159.6 | 157.6 | 147.5 |
| Miscellaneous machinery | 149.0 | ${ }^{3} 148.6$ | 148. 4 | 147.9 | 147.6 | 147.4 | 147.6 | 147.5 | 147.7 | 147.6 | 149.0 | 148.9 | 148.8 | 145.2 | 137.0 |
| Electrical machinery and equip | 152.6 | ${ }^{3} 152.6$ | 152. 4 | 152.4 | 152.7 | 152.7 | 152.8 | 152.6 | 152.6 | 152.3 | 151.8 | 151.3 | 151.3 | 149.0 | 138.4 |
| Motor vehicles.----------------- | 143.1 | 143.1 | 143.1 | 142.8 | 139.7 | 139.0 | 139.0 | 139.0 | 139.0 | 139.0 | 139.0 | 139.1 | 139.1 | 135. 4 | 129.8 |
| Furniture and other household durables..- | 123.4 | ${ }^{3} 123.3$ | 122.8 | 122.7 | 123.0 | 123.0 | 123.0 | 123.2 | 123.0 | 123.2 | 123.4 | 123.5 | 123.6 | 122.2 | 119.1 |
| Household furniture | 124. 2 | ${ }^{3} 124.1$ | 123.9 | 123. 7 | 123.0 | 122.8 | 122. 6 | 122.6 | 122. 5 | 122.8 | 122.8 | 122.8 | 123.3 | 122.5 | 119.0 |
| Commercial furnitu | 155.0 | 155.0 | 155.0 | 155.0 | 155.0 | 155.0 | 155.0 | 155.0 | 154. 2 | 154.2 | 154.2 | 154.2 | 154.2 | 150.4 | 141.8 |
| Floor covering | 126.6 | 126.5 | 126.5 | 126.5 | 126.5 | 126.6 | 127.1 | 127.1 | 128.3 | 128.9 | 128.9 | 129.8 | 130.1 | 133.4 | 131.1 |
| Household appliances...-.---.-.-.-.-.-.-.-- | 105.0 | ${ }^{3} 105.0$ | 103.8 | 103.8 | 104.2 | 104.0 | 104.7 | 104.8 | 104.9 | 104.9 | 105.3 | 105. 3 | 105.3 | 105.5 | 105. 5 |
| Television, radio receivers, and phonographs | 93.2 | 393.2 | 92. 5 | 92.7 | 94.9 | 94.9 | 94.9 | 95.0 | 93.7 | 94.3 | 94.7 | 94. 7 | 94.7 | 94.4 | 93.1 |
| Other household durable goods.............- | 156.0 | 155.5 | 155.5 | 155.0 | 155.0 | 154.9 | 154.7 | 155.1 | 155.2 | 155.1 | 155.1 | 155.0 | 155.0 | 148.3 | 140.9 |
| Nonmetallic minerals-structur | 137.5 | ${ }^{3} 137.2$ | 136.9 | 136.7 | 136.7 | 136.7 | 135. 2 | 135.3 | 135. 2 | 135.4 | 135.4 | 135.3 | 136. 5 | 134. 6 | 129.6 |
| Flat glass. | 135.2 | 135.2 | 135. 2 | 135. 0 | 135.0 | 135.0 | 135.3 | 135. 7 | 135. 7 | 135. 7 | 135. 7 | 135.7 | 135.7 | 135.7 | 133.4 |
| Concrete ingredient | 140.2 | 140.2 | 139. 2 | 138.1 | 139.1 | 139.1 | 139.1 | 139.0 | 138.9 | 139.0 | 138.9 | 138.7 | 139.0 | 136.0 | 130.6 |
| Concrete products. | 128.9 | 128.6 | 128.4 | 128.1 | 128.1 | 127.9 | 128.1 | 128.4 | 128.3 | 128.2 | 127.9 | 127.9 | 127.8 | 126.4 | 123.0 |
| Structural clay pro | 159.6 | ${ }^{3} 159.3$ | 158.8 | 158.4 | 158.2 | 158. 2 | 155. 6 | 155.6 | 155.6 | 155.6 | 155.5 | 155.5 | 155.5 | 154.0 | 148.0 |
| Gypsum products.... | 133.1 | 133.1 | 133.1 | 133.1 | 133.1 | 133.1 | 133.1 | 133.1 | 133.1 | 133.1 | 133.1 | 133.1 | 127.1 | 127.1 | 127.1 |
| Prepared asphalt roofing | 119.8 | ${ }^{3} 118.5$ | ${ }^{3} 118.5$ | ${ }^{3} 118.5$ | 3118.5 | ${ }^{3} 118.5$ | 103.3 | 103.3 | 103.3 | 106.1 | 107.2 | 107.2 | 124.6 | 122.3 | 111.7 |
| Other nonmetallic minera | 131.7 | 131.4 | 131.4 | 131.2 | 131.2 | 131.2 | 131.2 | 131.2 | 131.2 | 131.2 | 131.2 | 131.1 | 131.1 | 128.0 | 123.4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 134.8 | 134.8 | 134.8 | 134.8 | 134.8 | 134.8 | 134.8 | 134.8 | 134.8 | 134.8 | 134.8 | 134.8 | 134.8 | 129.4 | 124.0 |
| Cigars_- | 106. 6 | 106.6 | 106. 6 | 106.6 | 106. 6 | 106. 6 | 106.6 | 106. 6 | 106. 6 | 106. 6 | 106.6 | 106. 6 | 106.6 | 105.0 | 104.2 |
| Other tobacco ma | 148.3 | 139.7 | 139.7 | 139.7 | 139.7 | 139.7 | 139.7 | 139.7 | 139.7 | 139.7 | 139.7 | 139.7 | 144.3 | 136.0 | 122.8 |
| Alcoholic beverages. | 121.7 | 121.7 | 121. 7 | 121.7 | 121.7 | 120.1 | 120.1 | 120.1 | 120.1 | 120.1 | 120.1 | 120.1 | 120.1 | 119.5 | 115.8 |
| Nonalcoholic beverage | 148.9 | 148.9 | 148.9 | 149.3 | 149.3 | 149.3 | 149.3 | 149.3 | 149.3 | 149.3 | 149.3 | 149.3 | 149.3 | 149.2 | 148.3 |
|  | 98.5 | ${ }^{3} 100.8$ | 100.9 | 93.2 | 91.2 | 92.5 | 95.6 | 97.2 | 93.7 | 96.2 | 97.8 | 94.3 | 89.3 | 89.6 | 91.0 |
| Toys, sporting goods, small arms, and ammunition | 117.9 | ${ }^{3} 117.8$ | 118.6 | 118.6 | 118.6 | 118.6 | 119.3 | 119.1 | 119.1 | 119.1 | 119.1 | 119.1 | 119.5 | 117.7 | 116.1 |
| Manufactured animal feeds | 82.2 | 86.2 | 86. 4 | 72.6 | 69.0 | 71.4 | 76.8 | 79.7 | 73.3 | 78.0 | 80.9 | 74.6 | 65.7 | . 67.3 | 72.0 |
| Notions and accessories. | 97.5 | 97.5 | 97.5 | 97.5 | 97.5 | 97.5 | 97.5 | 97.5 | 97.5 | 97.5 | 97.5 | 97.5 | 97.5 | 97.3 | 95.3 |
| Jewelry, watches, and photographic equipment | 108.1 | ${ }^{3108.1}$ | 107.9 | 107.9 | 107.8 | 107.7 | 107.7 | 107.8 | 107.8 | 107.3 | 107.3 | 107.4 | 107.3 | 107.5 | 104.9 |
| Other miscellaneous products............-- | 132.4 | ${ }^{3} 132.6$ | 132.4 | 132.2 | 132.2 | 132.4 | 132.4 | 132.3 | 132.6 | 132.4 | 132.4 | 131.9 | 131.7 | 128.4 | 124.1 |

${ }^{1}$ See Note and footnote 1, table D-7.
${ }_{8}{ }^{2}$ Preliminary.
${ }^{8}$ Revised

- January $1958=100$.
${ }^{6}$ Not available.
Source: U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics.

TABLE D-9. Indexes of wholesale prices for special commodity groupings ${ }^{1}$
$[1947-49=100]$

| Commodity group | 1959 |  | 1958 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Annual sverage |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Feb. ${ }^{2}$ | Jan. | Dec. | Nov. | Oct. | Sept. | Aug. | July | June | May | Apr. | Mar. | Feb. | 1957 | 1956 |
| All foods. | 105.4 | 106. 3 | 106. 3 | 107. 4 | 108.3 | 109.3 | 108. 5 | 110.2 | 110.6 | 111.7 | 111.2 | 112.4 | 109.5 | 104.0 | 100.8 |
| All fish.-.-------------- | 133.7 | 135. 4 | 134.8 | 128.3 | 129.6 | 130.1 | 129.9 | 131.2 | 131.5 | 128. 6 | 122. 9 | 124.8 | 126.9 | 119.4 | 114. 1 |
| Special metals and metal p Metalworking machinery | 150.7 | 150.4 | 150.4 | 150.4 | 148.8 | 147.9 | 147. 5 | 146. 2 | 146.3 | 146.1 | 146.1 | 146.9 | 147.1 | 146.9 | 143.3 |
| Mataiworking machinery- | 178.7 156.9 | 178.6 156.6 | 178.2 | 177.8 | 177. 4 | 178.0 | 178. 1 | 178.0 | 178.0 | 178.0 | 178.0 | 178.0 | 178.0 | 176.1 | 165. 0 |
| Agricultural machinery (including tractors) | 144.5 | 144.4 | 143. 9 | 142.5 | 139.9 | 139.5 | 138.4 | 138.9 | 138.7 | 138.7 | 138.8 | 138.7 | 138.7 | 133.7 | 142. 12 |
| Total tractors | 153. 1 | 152. 7 | 152. 5 | 150.1 | 148. 2 | 147.0 | 146. 1 | 147.0 | 146.8 | 146.8 | 147.0 | 147.3 | 147.5 | 141. 3 | 132.5 |
| Steel-mill products.-.- | 188. 4 | 188.4 | 188.3 | 188.3 | 187.6 | 188.1 | 187. 8 | 183.0 | 183.0 | 183.1 | 183.1 | 183.1 | 183.2 | 178.9 | 163.2 |
| Construction materials ${ }^{4}$ | 133.1 | ${ }^{3} 132.4$ | 132.0 | 132. 0 | 132.1 | 132.0 | 130.6 | 129.6 | 129.5 | 129.2 | 129.0 | 129.4 | 130.1 | 130.6 | 130.6 |
| Synthetic detergents | 109.2 | 110.5 101.3 | 108.6 | 108.5 | 108.5 101.3 | 109.8 | 107. 7 | 107.7 101.3 | 107.7 101.3 | 109.0 | 109.0 | 107.1 | 107.1 | 104.5 | 99.7 |
| Refined petroleum products | 117.6 | 115.8 | 114.3 | 113.9 | 114.6 | 117.2 | 116.6 | 114.1 | 111.9 | 111.1 | 112.5 | 113.9 | 116.1 | 125.8 | 95.1 117.5 |
| East Coast petroleum | 111.3 | 110.0 | 109.3 | 108.0 | 108.0 | 109.2 | 108.4 | 107.7 | 108.6 | 108. 6 | 111.0 | 112.3 | 114.1 | 122.0 | 114.6 |
| Mid-continent petroleu | 120.1 | 117.7 | 116. 6 | 116.1 | 118.1 | 117.5 | 116. 4 | 112.0 | 112.0 | 108. 7 | 110.8 | 110.7 | 114.3 | 124.3 | 118.3 |
| Gulf Coast petroleum | 121.3 | 120.3 | 117.6 | 116.6 | 116.3 | 120.6 | 120.6 | 119.7 | 114.3 | 114.3 | 114.3 | 117.2 | 117.4 | 128.8 | 118.8 |
| Pacific Coast petroleum ....-.-.-.-.-- | 112.4 | 109.4 | 107. 5 | 110.6 | 110.6 | 121.3 | 121. 3 | 118.3 | 112.2 | 116.4 | 117.7 | 120.4 | 124.1 | 132.3 | 117.4 |
| Pulp, paper and products, excl. bldg. pape | 131.3 | 131.2 | 130.0 | 131.6 | 131.6 | 131.4 | 130.7 | 130.6 | 130.1 | 130.2 | 130.2 | 130.2 | 130.6 | 129.3 | 127.0 |
| Bituminous coal, domestic sizes-------- | 128.9 | 128.9 3119.2 | 126.3 | 126. 11 | 125.6 | 124.2 | 123. 0 | 120.8 | 118.8 | 117.2 | 117.4 | 125.5 | 125. 5 | 121.5 | 115.4 |
|  |  | 119.2 | 118.3 | 118.6 | 119.6 | 119.6 | 117.6 | 115. 4 | 114.9 | 114.3 | 114.0 | 113.7 | 114.1 | 117.7 | 124.9 |

[^52]Table D-10. Indexes of wholesale prices, by stage of processing ${ }^{1}$
$[1947-49=100]$

| Commodity group | 1959 |  | 1958 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Annual <br> average |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Feb. ${ }^{2}$ | Jan. | Dec. | Nov. | Oct. | Sept. | Aug. | July | June | May | Apr. | Mar. | Feb. | 1957 | 1956 |
| All commodities. | 119.5 | 119.5 | 119.2 | 119.2 | 119.0 | 119.1 | 119.1 | 119.2 | 119.2 | 119.5 | 119.3 | 119.7 | 119.0 | 117.6 | 114.3 |
| Crude materials for further processin | 98.0 | 98, 1 | 97.0 | 98.4 | 98.0 | 98.4 | 99.1. | 100.0 | 100. 7 | 101.7 | 100.3 | 101.5 | 99.5 | 97.2 | 95.9 |
| Crude foodstuffs and feedstuffs | 89.0 | 89.7 | 88.4 | 89.9 | 89.3 | 90.7 | 92, 1 | 94.3 | 95.7 | 97.7 | 95.4 | 96.7 | 93. 2 | 87. 71 |  |
| Orude nonfood materials except fuel --.-.-.-............- | 111.3 | 110.5 | 110.1 | 111.2 | 111.1 | 109.6 | 109.3 | 107.7 | 107.0 | 106.0 | 106.3 | 107.1 | 107.9 | 112.5 | 114. 2 |
| Crude nonfood materials, except fuel, for manufacturing | 109.8 | 109.0 | 108.6 | 109.8 | 109.7 | 108.1 | 107.8 | 106.0 | 105.2 | 104.1 | 104.4 | 105,3 | 106.3 | 111.5 | 113.6 |
| Crude nonfood materials, except fuel, for construction | 140.2 | 140. 2 | 139. 2 | 139.1 | 139.1 | 139.1 | 139.1 | 139.0 | 138.9 | 139.0 | 138.9 | 138.7 | 139.0 | 136.0 | 130.6 |
| Crude fuel | 126. 4 | ${ }^{3} 126.1$ | 123.5 | 123.0 | 123.1 | 121.8 | 120.6 | 118.8 | 118. 2 | 117.9 | 117.9 | 123.4 | 123.5 | 119.7 | 113.3 |
| Crude fuel for manufacturin | 125.9 | ${ }^{3} 125.7$ | 123.1 | 122.6 | 122.7 | 121.4 | 120.3 | 118.5 | 117.9 | 117.6 | 117.7 | 123.0 | 123.1 | 119.4 | 113.0 |
| Orude fuel for nonmanufact | 127.2 | ${ }^{3} 126.7$ | 124.1 | 123.6 | 123.7 | 122.3 | 121.1 | 119.2 | 118.5 | 118.3 | 118.3 | 124.1 | 124. 2 | 120.1 | 113.7 |
| Intermediate materials, supplies, and components | 126.5 | 126.3 | 126.3 | 125. 7 | 125.4 | 125.4 | 125.3 | 125. 0 | 124.7 | 124.9 | 125.1 | 125.0 | 125.0 | 125.1 | 122.1 |
| Intermediate materials and components for manufacturing | 127.9 | 127. 7 | 127.8 | 127.8 | 127.6 | 127.3 | 127.2 | 126.7 | 126.9 | 126.8 | 126.9 | 127.1 | 127.3 | 126.9 | 123.7 |
|  | 98.5 | 99.2 | 100.4 | 101. 2 | -101.4 | 101.5 | 101.8 | 102.6 | 103.4 | 103.5 | 103.2 | 102.4 | 102.5 | 99.9 | 98.0 |
| Intermediate materials for nondurable manufacturing | 104.8 | 104. 5 | 104,5 | 104.3 | 104.2 | 104. 1 | 104. 2 | 104.3 | 104. 5 | 104.6 | 105.0 | 105. 2 | 105.4 | 105.7 | 104.3 |
| Intermediate materials for durable manufacturing. | 157.1 ${ }^{3}$ | ${ }^{3} 156.6$ | 156.6 | 156.6 | 156.2 | 155.4 | 155.0 | 152.9 | 152.9 | 152.9 | 152.9 | 153.8 | 153.6 | 153.2 | $\begin{aligned} & 148.5 \\ & 142.9 \end{aligned}$ |
| Components for manufacturing | 151.1 | ${ }^{3} 150.8$ | 150.7 | 150.7 | 150.2 | 1149.8 | 149.5 | 149.5 | 149.4 | 149.0 | 148.5 | 148.8 | 149.1 | 148.3 132.9 | 142.9 132.0 |
| Materials and components for construction | $135.2{ }^{3}$ | ${ }^{3} 134.5$ | 134. 2 | 134. 1 | 134.2 | 133.7 | 132.7 | 132. 1 | 132.1 | 132.0 104.6 | 131.8 | 131.8 | 132.6 107.7 | 132.9 113.0 | 132.0 106.7 |
| Processed fuels and lubricants....-.-.-.-.-.-.-.-.-.-- | 106. 8 | 105.9 105.3 | 105. 6 | 105.4 | 105.6 | 107.7 106.6 | 107.6 106.5 | 106.0 105.1 | 105.0 | 104.6 | 105. 4 | 105. 1 | 107.2 | 111.2 | 105.3 |
| Processed fuels and lubricants for manufacturing.-Processed fuels and lubricants for nonmanufactur- | 106. 2 | 105.3 | 105. 0 | 104.8 | 104.9 | 106.6 | 106.5 | 105.1 | 104.5 | 104.2 | 105.0 | 105.7 107.0 | 107.2 108.7 | 111.2 | 105.3 100.1 |
| Ing industry .... | 108.0 | 106.9 3 | 106.6 | 106.5 138.0 | 106.9 137.9 | 109.6 137.7 | 109.5 | 107.6 137.5 | 137.4 | 137.5 | 106. 21 | 137.0 | 136.3 | 134.3 | 128.5 |
| Containers, nonreturna Supplies | 138.0 | ${ }^{3} 118.7$ | 138.7 118.6 | 114.9 | 113.5 | 113.7 | 114.8 | 116.1 | 114.6 | 116.3 | 117.3 | 115.5 | 113.2 | 112.5 | 111.3 |
| Supplies for manufacturing | 141.2 | 3140.6 | 140.5 | 140.3 | 140.5 | 139.3 | 138. 2 | 139.1 | 139.4 | 139.6 | 140.6 | 140.4 | 140.7 | 137.6 | 132.9 |
| Supplies for nonmanufacturing industry | 106.2 | 107.9 | 107.9 | 103.0 | 101.0 | 101.8 | 103.5 | 105. 0 | 102.9 | 105.1 | 106.1 | 103.7 | 100.5 | 101.1 | 101.6 72.9 |
| Manufactured animsl feeds. | 80.9 | 85. 2 | 85.6 | 72.4 | 66.9 | 69.5 | 74.0 | 77.7 | 71.7 | 76.9 | 79.8 121.6 | 731. 4 | 65.1 121.3 | 67.6 120.7 | 72.9 118.2 |
| Other supplies. <br> Finished goods (goods to users, including raw foods and | 121.1 | 121. 1 | 120.9 | 120.9 | 121.0 | 120.7 | 120.9 | 121.0 | 121.2 | 121.6 | 121.6 | 121.5 | 121.3 | 120.7 | 118. 2 |
| fuels) | $120.7{ }^{3}$ | ${ }^{3} 120.8$ | 120.5 | 120.6 | 120.6 | 120.9 | 120.6 | 120.8 | 120.7 | 121.0 | 120.9 | 121.4 | 120.6 | 118.1 | 114.0 |
| Consumer finished goods. | 112.9 | 113.1 | 112.8 | 113.0 | 113.3 | 113.7 | 113.3 | 113.7 | 113.6 | 113.9 | 113.7 | 114. 4 | 113.3 | 111.1 | 108.0 |
| Consumer foods.-.-. | 106. 8 | 107.8 | 107.6 | 108.5 | 109.6 | 110.8 | 110.0 | 111.5 | 111.6 | 112.5 | 111.9 | 113.1 | 110.1 | 104. 5 | 101. 0 |
| Consumer crude foods | 95.3 | 95. 1 | 95. 5 | 97.8 | 100.6 | 100.6 | 94. 1 | 95.7 114.8 | 93. 2 | 102.4 | 105.9 113.3 | 117.3 | 105.8 | 95.0 106.4 | 96.2 102.1 |
| Consumer processed foods | 109.3 ${ }^{3}$ | ${ }^{3} 110.5$ | 110. 2 | 110.9 | 111.5 | 113. 0 | 113.3 | 114.8 | 115.5 | 114.7 110.9 | 113.3 | 112.4 | 111.8 | 112.4 | 102.1 |
| Consumer other nondurable goods | 113. 1 | 112. 7 | 112. 2 | 112. 0 | 112. 2 | 112. 2 | 112.0 124.7 | 111.4 124.7 | 111.0 | 110.9 124.7 | 111.1 | 124.9 | 124.8 | 123.3 | 119.7 |
| Consumer durable goods | 126.5 152.4 | 126.4 152.2 1 | 126. 15 | 126.0 | 125.0 | 124. 15 | 124. 7 | 124.7 150.0 | 150.0 | 150.0 | 150.1 | 150.0 | 150.1 | 146.7 | 138.1 |
| Producer goods for manufacturing industries. | 157.2 | ${ }^{3} 157.1$ | 156.7 | 156.3 | 155.0 | 154.8 | 154.6 | 154.6 | 154.7 | 154.7 | 154. 7 | 154.5 | 154, 6 | 151.2 | 142.2 |
| Producer goods for nonmanufacturing industries.-- | $148.4{ }^{3}$ | 3148.2 | 148.0 | 147. 5 | 146.3 | 146.1 | 146.2 | 146.0 | 146.0 | 146.0 | 146.3 | 146.3 | 146.3 | 142.9 | 134.9 |

1 See footnote 1, table D-7.
${ }^{2}$ Preliminary. ${ }^{3}$ Revised.

Note: For a description of these series, see New BLS Economic Sector
Indexes of Wholesale Prices, Monthly Labor Review, December 1955 (p.
1448).
SoUrce: U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics.

TABLED-11. Indexes of wholesale prices, by durability of product
[1947-49=100]

| Commodity group | 1959 |  | 1958 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Annual average |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Feb. ${ }^{1}$ | Jan. | Dec. | Nov. | Oct. | Sept. | Aug. | July | June | May | Apr. | Mar. | Feb. | 1957 | 1956 |
| All commodities | 119.5 | 119.5 | 119.2 | 119.2 | 119. 0 | 119.1 | 119.1 | 119.2 | 119.2 | 119.5 | 119.3 | 119.7 | 119.0 | 117.6 | 114.3 |
| Total durable goods | 145. 10.5 | 144.7 2105.7 | 144. 5 | 144.4 105.5 | 143.7 105.6 | 143.2 106.1 | 142.8 106.2 | 142.1 106.8 | 142.1 106.8 | 141.9 107.3 | 14107.1 | 142.2 | 106.4 | 104.7 | 102.1 |
| Total nondurable goods | 125.5 | $\begin{array}{r}2105.7 \\ 125.2 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 105.4 | 105.5 | 124.5 | 124. 6 | 124.6 | 124.6 | 124.5 | 124.5 | 124.5 | 124.3 | 124.1 | 123.2 | 119.5 |
| Durable manufactures. | 146.1 | 145.8 | 145. 6 | 145.4 | 144.7 | 144.3 | 143.9 | 143.3 | 143.3 | 143.2 | 143.3 | 143.4 | 143.6 | 142.0 | 136.8 |
| Nondurable manufactures | 108.7 | ${ }^{2} 108.9$ | 108. 8 | 108.4 | 108.5 | 109. 1 | 109.4 | 109.8 | 109.7 | 109.7 | 109.6 | 109.2 | 108.8 | 108.4 | 105.8 |
| Total raw or slightly processed goods. | 100.2 | 100.3 | 99.5 | 100.6 | 100.8 | 101.0 | 100.6 | 101.3 | 101. 4 | 103.1 | 102.6 | 104.9 | 102.3 | 98.9 | 97.0 |
| Durable raw or slightly processed goods. | 115.5 | 113.4 | 111.7 | 114.4 | 113.7 | 111.5 | 111.7 | 106.8 | 106.1 | 102.9 | 103.1 | 105.9 | 107.1 | 122.3 | 136.3 |
|  | 99.3 | 99.6 | 98.8 | 99.8 | 100.0 | 100.4 | 100.0 | 101.0 | 101.2 | 103.2 | 102.6 | 104.8 | 102.0 | 97.7 | 94.9 |

${ }^{1}$ Preliminary.
${ }_{2}$ Revised.

[^53]
## E.-Work Stoppages

Table E-1. Work stoppages resulting from labor-management disputes ${ }^{1}$

| Month and year | Number of stoppages |  | Workers involved in stoppages |  | Man-days idle during month or year |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Beginning in month or year | In effect during month | Beginning in month or year | In effect during month | Number | Percent of estimated working time |
| 1935-39 (average) | $\stackrel{2}{2,862}$ |  |  |  | 16, 900,000 | 0.27 |
| 1947-49 (average) |  |  | $1,130,000$ $2,380,000$ | ------------------------- | $\begin{aligned} & 10,900,000 \\ & 39,700,000 \\ & 38,000,000 \end{aligned}$ | . 46 |
| 1945 | $\begin{aligned} & 4,750 \\ & 4,985 \end{aligned}$ |  | $3,470,000$ |  |  | .471.43 |
| 1947----- |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 38,000,000 \\ 116,000,000 \end{array}$ |  |
| 1948 | 3,693 3,419 |  |  |  |  | 34, 600, 000 | .41 .37 |
| 1949 | 3,419 3,606 |  | $1,960,000$$3,030,000$ |  | $34,100,000$ $50,500,000$ | . 37 |
| 1950 | 4,8434,737 |  | $3,410,000$ |  | 38, 800,000 | .59.44.23 |
| 1951 |  |  | 2, 220, 000 |  | 22,900, 000 |  |
| 1953 | 5,117 |  | $3,540,000$ |  | $59,100,000$ | .23 .57 |
| 1954 | 5, 091 |  | 2, 400,000$1,530,000$ |  | 22, 2000,000 | .26 .21 |
| 1955 |  |  | .21 .26 |  |  |  |
| 1956 | 4,3203,8253,673 |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2,650,000 \\ & 1,900,000 \\ & 1,390,000 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2,200,000 \\ & 33,100,000 \end{aligned}$ | . 29 |
| 1957 |  | 3, 673 |  | 16,500, 000 |  | . 14 |
| 1958: February ${ }^{2}$ | 150200 | 275300 | 45, 000 | 70,000200,000 | 500,000 | . 06 |
| March ${ }^{2}$ |  |  | 165,000110,000 |  | 1, 200, 000 |  |
| April ${ }^{2}$ | 275 | 375 |  | 160,000 |  | . 13 |
| May ${ }^{\text {a }}$ - | 350 350 | 475 500 | 150, 000 | 200, 000 | 2,000,000 |  |
| July ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 350300 | 525 | 160,000 160,000 | 250, 2000 | $1,650,000$ $1,700,000$ | . 21 |
| August ${ }^{2}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r}475 \\ 575 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 140,000400,000 | 250,000500,000 | $2,000,000$$2,500,000$ | . 18 |
| September ${ }^{2}$ | 300 400 300 |  |  |  |  | . 22 |
| October ${ }^{2}-{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 300 <br> 200 | $\begin{aligned} & 525 \\ & 400 \end{aligned}$ | 450,000225,000 | 525,000300,000 | $5,250,000$$2,500,000$ | . 53 |
| November ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 325 \\ & 300 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 75,000 \\ & 75,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 150,000 \\ & 140,000 \end{aligned}$ | 2,00,00 | . 21 |
| 1959: January ${ }^{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 225 \\ & 200 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2,000,000 \\ & 1,500,000 \end{aligned}$ | .23.18 |
| February ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

1 The data include all known work stoppages involving six or more workers
and lasting a full day or shift or longer. Figures on workers involved and
man-days ddle cover all workers made idie for as long as one shift in establish.
ments directly involved in a stoppage. They do not measure the indirect or
secondary effects on other establishments or industries whose employees are made idle as a result of material or service shortages.
${ }^{2}$ Preliminary.
Note: For a description of this series, see Techniques of Preparing Major BLS Statistical Series, BLS Bull. 1168 (1954).
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Labor, Burean of Labor Statistics.

## F.-Building and Construction

Table F-1. Expenditures for new construction ${ }^{1}$
[Value of work put in place]

| Type of construction | Expenditures (in millions of dollars) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1959 |  |  | 1958 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{1958}{\text { Total }}$ | 1957 |
|  | Mar. ${ }^{2}$ | Feb. | Jan. ${ }^{3}$ | Dec. | Nov. | Oct. | Sept. | Aug. | July | June | May | Apr. | Mar. |  | Total |
| Total new construction $\qquad$ <br> Private construction $\qquad$ <br> Residential buildings (nonfarm) | 3,792 | 3,475 | 3,666 | 4,024 | 4,448 | 4,745 | 4,751 | 4,707 | 4,548 | 4,347 | 4,000 | 3,636 | 3,342 | 48,980 | 48, 115 |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} 2,698 \\ 1,530 \\ 1,215 \\ 261 \\ 54 \\ 628 \\ 161 \\ 265 \end{array}$ | 2,5001,369 | 2,6101,448 | 2,8871,605 | 3,119 | 3,184 | 3,172 | 3,153 | 3,082 | 2,959 | 2,752 | 2,551 | 2,410 | 33, 947 | 33,98817,019 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1,330 | 1,764 | 1,732 |  | 1,645 | 1, 559 | 1,421 | $\begin{array}{r} 1,289 \\ 945 \end{array}$ | 1,177 | 17,884 |  |
| New dwelling units. |  |  | 1,150 | 1,260 |  | 1,340 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 13, 405 | 12,615 |
| Additions and alterations |  | 1,070 245 | 243 | 28857 | - 354 | -370 | $\begin{array}{r} 1,315 \\ 366 \end{array}$ | 1,275 | 1, 388 | 1,125 | 1,015 | 945 <br> 296 | 23948 | 3,859620 | 3,903 |
| Nonhousekeeping.------- |  | $\begin{array}{r}245 \\ 54 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 55 |  | 57 | 54 | 51 | 51 | 52 | 52 | 51 | 48 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ nresidential buildings ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 638 \\ & 167 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 722 \\ & 176 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 760 \\ & 178 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 34 \\ & 750 \\ & 175 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 741 \\ & 174 \end{aligned}$ | 743179316 | 754185185 | $\begin{aligned} & 735 \\ & 193 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 698 \\ & 204 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6777 \\ & 218 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 689 \\ & \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 8,720 | 9,5563,557 |
| Industrial ----------- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2,443 |  |
| Commercial |  | 262 | 268 | 305 | 327 | 319 | 315 |  | 326 | 315 | 285 | 263 | 262 | 3, 561 | 3,564 |
| Office buildings and warehouses. | 144 | 148 | 153 | 163 | 167 | 165 | 167 | 169 | 169 | 169 | 165 | 163 | 161 | 1,986 | 1,893 |
| Stores, restaurants, and garages | 121 | 114 |  |  | 160255 | 154256 | 148252 | 147248 | 157 | 146 | 120 | 100 | 101 | 1,575 |  |
| Other nonresidential buildings..--- | 202 | 114 | 115 219 | ${ }_{241}^{142}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 192 |  | 2, 435 |
| Religious.-------------- | 67 | 70 | $\begin{array}{r} 73 \\ 47 \end{array}$ | 7850 | 8152 | 8153 | 80 | 248 79 | 75 | 70 | 65 | 61 | 61 | 2, 863 | 2, 435 |
| Educational | 41 | 44 |  |  |  |  | 53 | 53 | 5052 | 46 | 43 | 42 | 41 | $567 \quad 525$ |  |
| Hospital and institutional ${ }^{\text {--- }}$ | 47 | 47 | 48 | 49 | 50 |  | 52 |  |  | 51 | 51 | 50 | 50 | 610424 | 525 525 311 |
| Social and recreational.------- | 34 | 34 | 35 | 39 | 42 |  | 43 | 42 | 41 | 37 | 32 | 28 | 28 |  | 311 |
|  | 13 | 14 | 16 | 25 | 30 | 27 | 24 | 22 | 25 | 23 | 18 | 15 | 14 | 252 |  |
| Farm construction | 111 | 101 | $\begin{array}{r}98 \\ 390 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 100 | 114 | 134 | 161 | 173 | 169 | 160 | 146 | 126 | 113 | 1, 5 5 554 | 1,590 |
| Public utilities | 416 | 380 |  | 444 |  |  |  |  | 494 |  | 470 |  |  |  | 5,624 |
| Railroad... | 21 | 20 | 23 | $\begin{aligned} & 19 \\ & 66 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} +0 \\ 21 \\ 71 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 22 \\ 79 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 27 \\ 75 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \\ & 71 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 407 \\ 19 \\ 76 \end{array}$ | 2577 | $\begin{array}{r} 25 \\ 81 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 24 <br> 82 | 2380810 | 276903 | 5,4068 |
| Telephone and telegraph | 70 | 64 | 60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other public utilities | 325 |  | 307 | 359 | 395 | 418 | 418 | 416 | 399 | 384 | 364 | 340 | 316 | 4,375 | 4, 150 |
| All other private. | 13 | $\begin{array}{r} 12 \\ 12 \\ 975 \end{array}$ | 1,056 | 161,13788 |  | -17 | 18 | 17 | 20 | 19 | 17 | $\begin{array}{r}13 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 12 | 15. 189 | 1419 |
| Public construction-1. | 1,094 |  |  |  | $1,329$ | 1,561 | 1,579 | 1,554 | 1, 466 | 1,388 | 1,248 | 1,085 | 932 | 15, 033 | 14, 127 |
| Residential buildings ${ }^{0}$ | 93 | 92 | 91 | 88 | $84$ | 82 | 73 | 71 | 69 | 65 | 63 | 62 | 60 | 832 | 506 |
| Nonresidential buildings (other than military facilities) | 366 | 322 | 356 | 361 | 379 | 427 | 430 | 428 | 421 | 411 | 386 | 374 | 350 | 4,622 | 4,503 |
| Industrial...-. | 29 | 27 | 28 | 28 | 30 | 31 | 31 | 32 | 33 | 34 | 34 | 31 | 29 | 4, 370 | 1, 473 |
| Educational. | 219 | 197 | 223 | 227 | 229 | 259 | 259 | 259 | 262 | 257 | 239 | 238 | 222 | 2, 877 | 2, 825 |
| Hospital and institutional | 34 | 29 | 30 | 32 | 37 | 41 | 40 | 39 | 37 | 34 | 32 | 31 | 29 | 401 | 350 |
| Administrative and service.-. | 48 | 39 | 42 | 41 | 47 | 55 | 58 | 55 | 49 | 46 | 43 | 39 | 36 | 530 | 439 |
| Other nonresidential buildings. | 36 | 30 | 33 | 33 | 36 | 41 | 42 | 43 | 40 | 40 | 38 | 35 | 34 | 444 | 416 |
| Military facilities ${ }^{7}$.-. | 105 | 98 | 105 | 110 | 125 | 140 | 135 | 120 | 105 | 95 | 88 | 80 | 77 | 1,235 | 1,322 |
| Highways. | 295 | 265 | 285 | 350 | 485 | 630 | 645 | 635 | 585 | 545 | 455 | 335 | 235 | 5,350 | 4,971 |
| Sewer and water systems | 111 | 96 | 105 | 109 | 117 | 124 | 130 | 133 | 128 | 123 | 118 | 111 | 105 | 1,388 | 1,344 |
| Sewer-.-.-- | 68 | 60 | 66 | 69 | 72 | 76 | 80 | 81 | 77 | 73 | 69 | 65 | 62 | 837 | 781 |
| Water.- | 43 | 36 | 39 | 40 | 45 | 48 | 50 | 52 | 51 | 50 | 49 | 46 | 43 | 551 | 563 |
| Public service enterprises | 31 | 25 | 28 | 30 | 35 | 45 | 52 | 52 | 47 | 41 | 39 | 33 | 28 | 450 | 393 |
| Conservation and development | 75 | 63 | 71 | 74 | 88 | 96 | 97 | 100 | 98 | 96 | 87 | 79 | 68 | 1,004 | 971 |
| All other public---------- | 18 | 14 | 15 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 17 | 15 | 13 | 12 | 12 | 11 | 9 | 152 | 117 |

${ }^{1}$ Estimated monetary value of new construction put in place during the periods shown, including major additions and alterations but excluding maintenance and repair. These figures differ from permit-valuation data reported in the tabulations for building-permit activity (tables F-3, F-4, and $\mathrm{F}-5$ ) and the data on value of contract awards (table $\mathrm{F}-2$ ).
${ }_{2}^{2}$ Preliminary.
Revised
"Expenditures by privately owned public utilities for nonresidential buildIng are included under "Public utilities."
${ }^{\circ}$ Includes Federal contributions toward construction of private nonprofit hospital facilities under the National Hospital Program.

O Includes nonhousekeeping public residential construction as well as housekeeping units.

7 Covers all building and nonbuilding construction, except production facilities (which are included in public industrial building), and Armed Forces housing under the Capehart program (which is included in public Forces housing under
residential building).
Note: For a description of these series, see Techniques of Preparing Major BLS Statistical Series, BLS Bull. 1168 (1954). See also Technical Note on Revised Estimates of Residential Additions and Alterations, 1945-56 (in Monthly Labor Review, August 1957, p. 973).
Source: Joint estimates of the U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics and U.S. Department of Commerce, Business and Defense Services Administration.

TABLE F-2. Contract awards: Public construction, by ownership and type of construction ${ }^{1}$

| Ownership and type of construction | Value (in millions of dollars) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1959 <br> Jan. | 1958 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1958 <br> Total | 1957 <br> Total |
|  |  | Dec. | Nov. | Oct. | Sept. | Aug. | July | June | May | Apr. | Mar. | Feb. | Jan. |  |  |
| Total public construction------------- | 847.3 | 986.8 | 812.6 | 954.4 | 1,177.7 | 1.277.6 | 1,252.1 | 1,812.8 | 1,608.0 | 1,165. 5 | 941.5 | 822.6 | 606.5 | 13, 508.1 | 11, 473.8 |
| Federally owned ${ }^{2}$ - | 136.4 | 238.3 | 111.9 | 121.0 | 222.7 | 223.6 | 166.8 | 695.2 | 474.2 | 273.9 | 189.7 | 121.9 | 120.2 | 2,959.4 | 2,317.3 |
| Residential buildings...- | 3.2 73.4 | 2.2 87.7 | 7.8 39.3 | 22.7 41.5 | 86.4 28.3 | 115.1 54.6 | 42.4 44.8 | 101.3 239.8 | 52.4 184.9 | 29.2 122.8 | 33.0 79.0 | 52.0 22.2 | 47.5 42.8 | 592.0 987.7 | 406.2 776.5 |
| Educational........ | 1.3 | 8.2 | 3.2 3.2 | + 8 | -6 | 2.2 | 1.8 | 13.8 | 5.0 | 6.3 | 5.8 | 3.2 | 42.888 | 51.7 | 48.4 |
| Hospital and institutional | 12.6 | 22.4 | 3.4 | . 8 | 1 | 1.2 | . 4 | 11.2 | 27.0 | 12.9 | 14.7 | .3 .3 | . 8 | 95.2 | 78.9 |
| Administrative and service- | 10.3 | 15.9 | 10.8 | 10.4 | 6.9 | 1.2 | 14.0 | 37.8 | 29.1 | 24.7 | 16.2 | 6.4 | 10.5 | 183.9 | 148.3 |
| Other nonresidential buildings_ | 49.2 | 41.2 | 21.9 | 29.5 | 20.7 | 50.0 | 28.6 | 177.0 | 123.8 | 78.9 | 42.3 | 12.3 | 30.7 | 656.9 | 500.9 |
| Airfield buildings---------- | 22.4 | 11.0 | 5. 9 | 1. 5 | . 4 | 11.9 | 9.0 | 63.6 | 37.7 | 38.1 | 13.9 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 196.7 | 98.9 |
| Troop housing | 5. 2 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 4.3 | 1.8 | 5.7 | 3.9 | 36. 2 | 22.5 | 8. 0 | 4. 0 | . 5 | ${ }^{(3)}$ | 89.3 | 60.9 |
| Warehouses. | 1.4 | 1.2 | 1.8 | 1 | 9 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 10.2 | 9.2 | 3.5 | 4.4 | 1.0 |  | 36.5 | 35.0 |
| All other. | 20.2 | 27.7 | 13.1 | 23.6 | 17.6 | 30.6 | 14.1 | 67.0 | 54.4 | 29.3 | 20.0 | 8. 9 | 28.1 | 334.4 | 306.1 |
| Airfields ${ }^{\text {a }}$-- | 23.7 | 28.1 | 14.7 | 11.4 | 2.7 | 21.4 | 53.2 | 150.3 | 120.3 | 29.7 | 18.0 | 17. 5 | 8.3 | 475. 6 | 182.2 |
| Conservation and development.-.. | 19.2 | 51.5 | 17.0 | 29.4 | 23.2 | 23.3 | 6.1 | 133.1 | 73.9 | 68.5 | 28.5 | 12.7 | 8.0 | 475.2 | 563.8 |
| Highways ----- | 3. 2 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 9.9 | 8.0 | 3.4 | 9.3 | 25.4 | 11.8 | 9.9 | 3.6 | 5.4 | 4.8 | 95.5 | 91.5 |
| Electric power-1.-. | ${ }_{9}^{4.2}$ | 31.0 35 | 26.9 4 | 1. ${ }_{5} 1$ | 18.2 55.9 | 1.9 | 6.3 | 13.9 | 13.1 | 13.4 | 16.6 | 4.0 | 1.5 | 137.8 | 140.3 |
| All other federally ow State and locally owned. | 9.5 710.9 | 35.8 748.5 | 4.2 700.7 | 5.1 833.4 | 55.9 955.0 | 1,054.9 | 4.7 $1,085.3$ | 31.4 $1,117.6$ | 17.8 $1,133.8$ | 10.4 891.6 | 11.0 751.8 | 8.1 700.7 | 7.3 576.3 | 195.6 $10,548.7$ | 156.8 9.156 .5 |
| Residential buildings | 34.7 | 20.1 | 26.9 | 833.4 31.7 | ${ }^{955.0}$ | 1,054.0 35 | 1,085.3 | 1, 117.6 | $1,133.8$ 70.3 | 891.6 | 751.8 30.9 | 700.7 30.7 | 576.3 21.8 | $10,548.7$ 479.7 | 9,156.5 |
| Nonresidential buildings | 226.1 | 271.9 | 246.0 | 286.7 | 271.0 | 325.9 | 327.0 | 335. 6 | 355.9 | 326.5 | 311.0 | 279.2 | 239.5 | 3, 576.2 | 3, 409.4 |
| Educational | 144.1 | 178.2 | 162.0 | 196.6 | 197.3 | 227.1 | 225.1 | 212.3 | 229.2 | 208.8 | 213.2 | 188.3 | 169.5 | 2, 407.6 | 2, 450.5 |
| Hospital and institutional | 15.1 | 20.2 | 14.4 | 17.3 | 19.6 | 31.4 | 36.7 | 55.8 | 36.4 | 32.5 | 37.3 | 17.9 | 15.0 | 334.5 | 287.1 |
| Administrative and service...- | 18.7 | 45. 2 | 40.8 | 28.1 | 25.7 | 34.8 | 35.8 | 40.6 | 53.4 | 40.5 | 31.6 | 48.4 | 30.7 | 455.6 | 315.4 |
| Other nonresidential buildings. | 48.2 | 28.3 | 28.8 | 44.7 | 28.4 | 32.6 | 29.4 | 26.9 | 36. 9 | 44.7 | 28.9 | 24.6 | 24.3 | 378.5 | 356.4 |
| Highways | 320.5 | 343.6 | 336.3 | 387.5 | 420.2 | 519.0 | 525.6 | 461.0 | 418.8 | 365.5 | 291.4 | 213.2 | 207.2 | 4, 489.3 | 3,825.1 |
| Sewer and water systems | 94.4 | 82.1 | 67.0 | 74.9 | 76.6 | 91.0 | 116.1 | 104.7 | 129.2 | 95.9 | 80.4 | 56.9 | 75.2 | 1, 050.0 | 1,034.2 |
| Sewer | 51.4 | 56. 2 | 51.8 | 50.5 | 49.3 | 66.9 | 77.3 | 74.5 | 73.1 | 66.0 | 48.9 | 37.9 | 55.8 | 708.2 | 619.4 |
| Water-- | 43.0 | 25.9 | 15.2 | 24.4 | 27.3 | 24.1 | 38.8 | 30.2 | 56.1 | 29.9 | 31.5 | 19.0 | 19.4 | 341.8 | 414.8 |
| Public service enterprises | 15.3 | 13.6 | 10.9 | 21.8 | 89.4 | 53.9 | 55.4 | 114.0 | 137.4 | 24.5 | 24.4 | 108.2 | 16.0 | 669.5 | 364.2 |
| Electric power | 9.5 | 8.8 | 6.1 | 6. 0 | 69.4 | 21.2 | 18.9 | 84.2 | 107.3 | 12.1 | 6.1 | 102.9 | 7.0 | 450.0 | 200.1 |
|  | 5. 8 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 15.8 | 20.0 | 32.7 | 36.5 | 29.8 | 30.1 | 12.4 | 18.3 | 5. 3 | 9.0 | 219.5 | 164.1 |
| Conservation and development-.-- All other State and locally owned. | 8. 0 | 10.9 |  | 12.5 | 12.0 | 12.2 | 9.0 | 17.1 | 6.4 | 15.7 | 3.4 | 7.5 | 10.8 | 123.3 | 112.7 |
| All other State and locally owned.-- | 11.9 | 6.3 | 7.8 | 18.3 | 21.0 | 16.2 | 20.3 | 17.6 | 15.8 | 16.3 | 10.3 | 5. 0 | 5.8 | 160.7 | 84.2 |

${ }^{1}$ Includes major force account projects started (construction done directly by a government agency using a separate work force to perform nonmaintenance construction on the agency's own property).
${ }_{2}$ Includes construction contracts awarded under Lease-Purchase programs which terminated with P.L. 85-844, approved August 28, 1958.
${ }^{3}$ Less than $\$ 50,000$.

TABLE F-3. Building-permit activity: Valuation, by private-public ownership, class of construction, and type of building ${ }^{1}$


1 Data relate to building construction authorized by local building permits in all localities (over 7,000) having building-permit systems-rural nonfarm as well as urban. Figures on the amount of construction contracts awarded for Federal projects and for public housing (Federal, State, and local) in permit-issuing places are added to the valuation data (estimated cost entered permit-issuing places are added to the valuation data (estimated cosed projects; construction undertaken by State and local governments is reported by local construction undertaken by State and local governments is reported because permit valuations generally understate the actual cost of officials. Because permit valuations generalty understate the actual cost of
construction and because of lapsed permits and the lag between permit
issuance or contract-awarded dates and start of construction, these data do not represent the volume of building construction started.
Because of rounding, sums of individual items do not necessarily equal totals.
${ }_{3}^{2}$ Revised.
${ }^{3}$ Includes a retroactive building permit issued during the month for a steel plant, valued at $\$ 120$ million, which was actually begun early in 1957.
Source: U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics.

TABLE F-4. Building-permit activity: Valuation, by class of construction and geographic region ${ }^{1}$


[^54]${ }^{3}$ Includes new nonhousekeeping residential building, not shown separately. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics.

TABLE F-5. Building-permit activity: Valuation, by metropolitan-nonmetropolitan location and State ${ }^{1}$

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow{3}{*}{State and location} \& \multicolumn{15}{|c|}{Valuation (in millions of dollars)} \\
\hline \& \multicolumn{12}{|c|}{1958} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\frac{1957}{\text { Dec. }}
\]} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
1958 \\
Total
\end{tabular}} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\frac{1957}{\text { Total }}
\]} \\
\hline \& Dec. \& Nov. \({ }^{2}\) \& Oct. \& Sept. \& Aug. \& July \& June \& May \& Apr. \& Mar. \& Feb. \& Jan. \({ }^{2}\) \& \& \& \\
\hline \multirow[t]{7}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
All States. \\
Metropolitan areas \({ }^{3}\) \\
Nonmetropolitan areas. \\
Alabama \\
Arizona
\(\qquad\)
\(\qquad\)
\end{tabular}} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\[
\left|\begin{array}{r}
1,334.0 \\
1,045.1 \\
288.9
\end{array}\right|
\]} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\[
\left|\begin{array}{r}
1,499.8 \\
1,176 . \\
323.4
\end{array}\right|
\]} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\[
\left|\begin{array}{r}
1,907.7 \\
1,493.7 \\
414.0
\end{array}\right|
\]} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\[
\left.\begin{array}{r}
1,857.3 \\
1,446.4 \\
410.9
\end{array} \right\rvert\,
\]} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\[
\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{r}
1,942.0 \\
1,533.2 \\
408.8
\end{array}\right.
\]} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\[
\begin{array}{r}
1,952.6 \\
1,533.0 \\
419.6
\end{array}
\]} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\[
\begin{array}{l|r|}
6 \& 2,042.6 \\
0 \& 1,581.6 \\
6 \& 461.0
\end{array}
\]} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\[
\begin{array}{r}
1,920.1 \\
1,483.0 \\
437.1
\end{array}
\]} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\[
\left.\begin{array}{|}
1,797.1 \\
1,388.9 \\
408.2
\end{array} \right\rvert\,
\]} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\[
\begin{array}{|}
1,516.8 \\
1,196.6 \\
320.2
\end{array}
\]} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\[
\begin{array}{r}
1,110.1 \\
881.2 \\
228.9
\end{array}
\]} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\[
\left|\begin{array}{r}
1,156.8 \\
921.5 \\
235.3
\end{array}\right|
\]} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\[
\begin{array}{r}
1,100.8 \\
863.7 \\
237.1
\end{array}
\]} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\[
\begin{array}{r}
20,086.9 \\
15,718.1 \\
4,368.8
\end{array}
\]} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\[
\begin{array}{r}
18,168.8 \\
14,130.7 \\
4,038.1
\end{array}
\]} \\
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \\
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \\
\hline \& 16.7 \& 16.3 \& 21.1 \& 18.8 \& 23.9 \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
\& 22.8 \\
\& 23.6
\end{aligned}
\]} \& 25.3 \& 20.8 \& 18.2 \& 21.1 \& 16.6 \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
\& \hline 15.3 \\
\& 13.2
\end{aligned}
\]} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
\& 16.5 \\
\& 13.0
\end{aligned}
\]} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
\& 236.8 \\
\& 292.2
\end{aligned}
\]} \& \multirow[t]{4}{*}{} \\
\hline \& 24.6
6.6 \& 18.3
4.1 \& 26.0 \& 23.0 \& 39.9 \& \& 25.5 \& 33.1 \& 20.5 \& 23.6 \& 19.9 \& \& \& \& \\
\hline \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{25.0} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{240.4
27.4} \& 301.2 \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{298.7
25.5} \& 313.8 \& 373.2 \& 9.8
340.4 \& 508. 1 \& 7.9 \& 6.3 \& 4.6 \& 4.3 \& 5.4 \& 77.5 \& \\
\hline \& \& \& 26.3 \& \& 37.4

2 \& 373.2 27.9 \& 34.8
34 \& 308.1
37.9 \& 275.0

25.6 \& | 317.4 |
| :--- |
| 15.1 | \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
208.6 \\
24.3
\end{array}
$$
\] \& 247.3 \& 195.3 \& 3,500. 6 \& <br>

\hline Connecticut \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{18.9
2.7

6.1} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{$$
\begin{array}{r}
27.6 \\
5.9
\end{array}
$$} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
32.6 \\
8.3
\end{array}
$$

\]} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
35.4 \\
7.6
\end{array}
$$

\]} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 33.1 \\
& 13.1
\end{aligned}
$$

\]} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
32.0 \\
8.4
\end{array}
$$

\]} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
30.8 \\
6.2
\end{array}
$$

\]} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
30.6 \\
6.7
\end{array}
$$

\]} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{30.9} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
20.2 \\
3.6
\end{array}
$$

\]} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
17.7 \\
6.9
\end{array}
$$

\]} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[

18.7
\]} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{18.4

2.3} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{328.6
82.4} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{390.6
68.9} <br>
\hline Delaware \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline District of Colu \& \& 21.3 \& 10.5 \& 10.3 \& 42.9 \& 12.6 \& 13.8 \& 66.5 \& 8.3 \& 6.4 \& 9.3 \& 12.9 \& 3.1 \& 220.9 \& 133.8 <br>
\hline Florida. \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{73.9
28.4} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{65.0
28.4} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{93.0} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{81.6} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{76.7 23.7} \& 88.9 \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{78.3

25.8} \& 84.1 \& 83.3 \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{$$
\begin{aligned}
& 69.6 \\
& 27.3
\end{aligned}
$$} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 83.5 \\
& 19.6
\end{aligned}
$$

\]} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 70.9
\end{aligned}
$$
\]} \& 77.0 \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{948.8

321.3} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{948.0
252.4} <br>
\hline Georgia \& \& \& \& \& \& 24.4 \& \& 27.8 \& 36.6 \& \& \& \& 17.1 \& \& <br>

\hline Idaho \& 2.9 \& 5.0 \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} \& 3.9 \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} \& 4.6 \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{$$
\begin{array}{r}
3.5 \\
233.0
\end{array}
$$} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
4.5 \\
136.2
\end{array}
$$

\]} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
5.9 \\
112.9
\end{array}
$$

\]} \& 3.9 \& 1.6 \& 1.3 \& 1.8 \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
45.5 \\
1,362.6
\end{array}
$$

\]} \& \multirow[t]{4}{*}{\[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
38.2 \\
1,240.0 \\
419.5 \\
160.5
\end{array}
$$
\]} <br>

\hline Illinois \& 66.9 \& 115.8 \& \& 115.0 \& \& 130.0 \& \& \& \& 110.2 \& 53.8 \& 56.8 \& 93.8 \& \& <br>
\hline Indiana \& 21.9 \& 28.8 \& 40.6 \& 43.3 \& 33.3 \& 33.2 \& 33.1 \& 33.4 \& 33.7 \& 30.4 \& 21.3 \& 22.5 \& 20.0 \& 375. 5 \& <br>
\hline Iowa--- \& \multicolumn{15}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{}} <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>

\hline Kentucky \& \multirow[t]{5}{*}{$$
\begin{array}{r}
8.4 \\
19.0 \\
1.0 \\
27.6 \\
33.2
\end{array}
$$} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 12.8 \\
& 21.7
\end{aligned}
$$

\]} \& 17.3 \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 19.2 \\
& 35.1
\end{aligned}
$$

\]} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 17.8 \\
& 34.6
\end{aligned}
$$

\]} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 15.6 \\
& 26.6
\end{aligned}
$$

\]} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 19.8 \\
& 29.3
\end{aligned}
$$

\]} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 12.2 \\
& 29.6
\end{aligned}
$$

\]} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 13.5 \\
& 21.0
\end{aligned}
$$

\]} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 15.5 \\
& 31.2
\end{aligned}
$$

\]} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
6.3 \\
17.3
\end{array}
$$

\]} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 13.5 \\
& 32.3
\end{aligned}
$$

\]} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
5.0 \\
19.6
\end{array}
$$

\]} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 172.1 \\
& 327.3
\end{aligned}
$$
\]} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{169.1

250.5} <br>
\hline Louisiana \& \& \& 29.4 \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline Maine-- \& \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{3.1
32.2
34.1} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{2.3
46.0} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{3.4
49.1

41.0} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{$$
\begin{array}{r}
4.2 \\
67.4
\end{array}
$$} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
3.3 \\
41.2
\end{array}
$$
\]} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{4.4

48.3} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{2.9

39.4} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{$$
\begin{array}{r}
4.1 \\
35.7
\end{array}
$$} \& . ${ }^{9}$ \& \& \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{25.8} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{30.7

479.3} \& <br>

\hline Maryland_--- \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& 35.4 \& 28.0 \& 27.5 \& \& \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{$$
\begin{array}{r}
29.2 \\
448.7 \\
440.5
\end{array}
$$} <br>

\hline \& \& \& 42.1 \& \& 34.8 \& 48.3 \& 68.8 \& 47.4 \& 50.3 \& 31.5 \& 14.0 \& 24.0 \& 24.2 \& 469.5 \& <br>

\hline Michigan \& \multirow[t]{5}{*}{$$
\begin{array}{r}
40.3 \\
22.1 \\
2.5 \\
23.4 \\
1.5
\end{array}
$$} \& 66.3 \& 95.7 \& 88.3 \& 88.1 \& 104.8 \& 90.6 \& 83.3 \& 78.9 \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[

64.5
\]

$$
22.1
$$} \& 27.7 \& 38.8 \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 43.9 \\
& 18.1
\end{aligned}
$$
\]} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{867.3

449.8} \& \multirow[t]{4}{*}{$$
\begin{array}{r}
933.4 \\
390.7 \\
54.2 \\
302.0 \\
25
\end{array}
$$} <br>

\hline Minnesota \& \& 29.3 \& 55.6 \& 54.4 \& 40.8 \& 45. 6 \& 39.8 \& 51.5 \& 60.4 \& \& 14.1 \& 10.1 \& \& \& <br>
\hline Mississipp \& \& 3.9 \& 6.7 \& 3.1 \& 4.8 \& 3.2 \& 6.6 \& 3.9 \& 7.3 \& 2.9 \& 7.5 \& 2.2 \& 3.0 \& 54.5 \& <br>
\hline Missouri. \& \& 50.7
3.9 \& 35.2
4.0 \& 39.4
3.8 \& 32.3
5.6 \& 40.7 \& 40.4 \& 31.1 \& 31.9 \& 23.1 \& 18.7 \& 17.8 \& 29.0 \& 385.2 \& <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& 4.5 \& 4.7 \& 1.5 \& 1.4 \& 1.2 \& 1.6 \& 38.9 \& 35.1 <br>

\hline Nebraska \& 9. \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{$$
\begin{aligned}
& 8.6 \\
& 4.7 \\
& 2.4
\end{aligned}
$$} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
10.1 \\
4.4
\end{array}
$$

\]} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
15.1 \\
4.1
\end{array}
$$

\]} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
12.4 \\
5.4
\end{array}
$$

\]} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 9.0 \\
& 4.3
\end{aligned}
$$

\]} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 7.1 \\
& 5.9
\end{aligned}
$$

\]} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
11.8 \\
5.7
\end{array}
$$
\]} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{17.1

8.3
8.5
7.5} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{5.4
3.8
3.4} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{2.5
4.7
2.0} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{3.1
2.0} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{6.3
3.1
4} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{111.8
63.2
68} \& \multirow[t]{4}{*}{78.5
60.2
30.1
727.4} <br>
\hline Nevada \& 4. 6 \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline New Hampshi \& 3. ${ }^{46} 6$ \& \& 2.8 \& 2.7
73 \& 2. 5 \& 3.2 \& 4.3 \& 2.7 \& \& \& \& \& \& 32.7 \& <br>
\hline New Mexico. \& 46.6
10.2 \& 63.9
7.8 \& 77.0
15.1 \& 73.3 11.6 \& 62.8
15.0 \& 75.0 \& 65.6 \& 80.0 \& 76.7 \& 62.6 \& 27.1 \& 51.4 \& 42.9 \& 763.3 \& <br>
\hline New York. \& 120.2 \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{134.6} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{126.8} \& 160.7 \& 181.2 \& 129.3 \& 128.3 \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline North Carolina \& 15.7 \& \& \& 20.1 \& 19.6 \& 17.4 \& 120.3 \& 145.7 \& 122.1 \& ${ }_{17} 9.4$ \& 91.3 \& 81.6 \& 90.1 \& 1,529.1 \& 1,453.4 <br>
\hline North Dakota \& \& 2.9 \& 5.3 \& 6.4 \& 5.3 \& 4.6 \& 7.9 \& 4.6 \& 5.6 \& 1.6 \& 18.0 \& 16.1 \& 10.5 \& 231. 7 \& 194.3
37.2 <br>
\hline Ohio \& 78.2 \& 77.3 \& 122.6 \& 97.5 \& 108. 2 \& 116.3 \& 115.8 \& 98.2 \& 118.8 \& 78.7 \& 51.5 \& 44.9 \& 60.5 \& 1,116.5 \& 37.2
1,093 <br>
\hline Oklahoma \& 13.1 \& 11.0 \& 16.6 \& 14.5 \& 14.1 \& 18.3 \& 16.8 \& 13.2 \& 14.4 \& 22.6 \& 15.9 \& 10.3 \& 7.4 \& 180.9 \& 1,093. 121 <br>
\hline Oregon. \& 10.7 \& 10.0 \& 19.3 \& 16.7 \& 17.0 \& 16.0 \& 22.7 \& 18.4 \& 36.2 \& 12.9 \& 9.7 \& 8.3 \& 7.6 \& 197.9 \& <br>
\hline Pennsylvania \& 39.9 \& 54.1 \& 67.2 \& 62.3 \& 73.3 \& 66.2 \& 74.8 \& 65.7 \& 68.6 \& 47.7 \& 35.2 \& 37.1 \& 36.1 \& 197.5 \& 749.3 <br>
\hline South Carolina \& 3. 0 \& 4.7 \& 6.9 \& 5. 2 \& 4.3 \& 6.2 \& 7.4 \& 4.6 \& 4.5 \& 3.7 \& 1.6 \& 2.9 \& 2.1 \& 55.0 \& 48.8 <br>
\hline South Dakota \& 1.9 \& 4.9 \& 6.5 \& 6. 9 \& 5. 6 \& 6. 0 \& 7.5 \& 9.3 \& 6. 6 \& 5.4 \& 4.8 \& 5.1 \& 3.7 \& 74.0 \& 63,4 <br>
\hline \& \& 3.6 \& 4.2 \& 4.3 \& 3.3 \& 3.5 \& 2.4 \& 3.6 \& 4.1 \& 3.4 \& . 6 \& 8 \& 1.8 \& 35.6 \& 36.4 <br>
\hline Tennessee \& 15.4 \& 12.8 \& 19.3 \& 21.8 \& 17.9 \& 23.9 \& 20.0 \& 24.5 \& 25.8 \& 15.1 \& 22.7 \& 13.6 \& 8.8 \& 233.0 \& <br>
\hline Texas \& 88.9 \& 88.3 \& 99.4 \& 106. 1 \& 112. 3 \& 128.0 \& 108.1 \& 103.7 \& 102.4 \& 97.6 \& 77.4 \& 83.9 \& 64.0 \& 1,196.3 \& 1,013.4 <br>
\hline Vermont \& 12.4
3.1 \& 7.1 \& 11.3 \& 10.3
1.3 \& 15.7
.9 \& 15.9 \& 16.3
2.7 \& 16.7 \& 20.8 \& 14.2 \& 12.4 \& 6.4 \& 6.9 \& 159.4 \& 113. 5 <br>
\hline Virginia. \& 32.1 \& 30.3 \& 86.0 \& 40.2 \& 44.3 \& 47.3 \& 58.1 \& 38.5 \& 36.2 \& 34.8 \& 26.5 \& 29.0 \& 18.5 \& 12.6
502.9 \& 15.6
385.2 <br>
\hline Washington- \& 30.4 \& 25.6 \& 43.1 \& 55.9 \& 45.4 \& 36.6 \& 37.5 \& 45.8 \& 34.8 \& 28.3 \& 34.3 \& 22.5 \& 17.9 \& 440.4 \& <br>
\hline West Virginia \& 2.7 \& 4.1 \& 7.1 \& 5.3 \& 7.1 \& 7.3 \& 13.6 \& 6.4 \& 11.1 \& 6.4 \& 5. 5 \& 4.3 \& 4.4 \& 81.1 \& 305.3
80.8 <br>
\hline W y isconsing \& 21.9 \& 28.5 \& 41.7 \& 43.8 \& 38.7 \& 46.2 \& 42.4 \& 46.7 \& 44.1 \& 28.2 \& 19.8 \& 19.1 \& 26.5 \& 421.0 \& 457.8 <br>
\hline W yoming. \& 2.0 \& 1.8 \& 2.4 \& 2.6 \& 3.5 \& 2.3 \& 3.1 \& 3.1 \& 2.0 \& 2.6 \& 1.8 \& 1.3 \& 1.3 \& 29.0 \& 21.1 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

${ }^{1}$ See footnote 1, table F-3.
${ }^{2}$ Revised.
${ }^{3}$ Comprised of 168 Standard Metropolitan Areas used in 1950 Census. Source: U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics,

TABLE F-6. Number of new permanent nonfarm dwelling units started, by ownership and location, and construction cost ${ }^{1}$

| Period | Number of new dwelling units started |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Estimated construction cost ${ }^{1}$ (in thousands) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Privately owned | Publicly owned | Location |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Metropolitan places | Nonmetropolitan places | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { North- } \\ \text { east } \end{array}$ | North Central | South | West | Total | Privately owned | Publicly owned |
| 1950 | 1,396,000 | 1,352, 200 | 43,800 | 1,021, 600 | 374, 000 | $\left.{ }^{2}\right)$ | ${ }^{2}$ ) | (2) | (7) | \$11, 788, 595 | \$11, 418, 371 | \$370, 224 |
| 1951 | 1, 091, 300 | 1,020, 100 | 71, 200 | 1,776,800 | 314, 500 | $\left.{ }^{2}\right)$ | (2) | (2) | (2) | 9,800, 892 | 9, 186, 123 | 614, 769 |
| 1952 | 1, 127, 000 | 1, 068,500 | 58, 500 | 794,900 | 332, 100 | (2) | (2) | (2) | (2) | 10, 208, 983 | 9, 706, 276 | 502, 707 |
| 1953 | 1, 103, 800 | 1,068,300 | 35, 500 | 803, 500 | 300, 300 | (3) | ${ }^{(2)}$ | (2) | (2) | $10,488,003$ | 10, 181, 185 | 306, 818 |
| 1954 | 1, 220, 400 | 1, 201, 700 | 18,700 | 896,900 | 323, 500 | 243, 100 | 325, 800 | 359,700 | 291,800 | 12, 478, 237 | 12, 309, 200 | 169,037 |
| 1955 | 1, 328,900 | $1,309,500$ | 19,400 | 975, 800 | 353, 100 | 273, 100 | 356, 000 | 389, 000 | 310,800 | 14, 544, 647 | 14, 345, 829 | 198,818 |
| 1956 | $1,118,100$ | 1,093,900 | 24, 200 | 779, 800 | 338, 300 | 228, 800 | 303, 100 | 334, 200 | 252,000 | 13, 077, 027 | 12, 814, 776 | 262, 251 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1957 \\ & 1958 \end{aligned}$ | 1, 041, 900 | 992,800 $1,141,500$ | 49,100 | 699, 700 | 342, 200 | 195, 500 | 258, 400 | 346,300 | 241, 700 | 12, 693, 995 | 12, 126, 800 | 567, 195 |
| $1958{ }^{3}$ | 1, 209, 100 | 1,141,500 | 67,600 | 826, 800 | 382, 300 | $\left.{ }^{2}\right)$ | $\left.{ }^{2}\right)$ | $\left.{ }^{2}\right)$ | ${ }^{(2)}$ | 14, 502, 923 | 13, 685, 067 | 817, 856 |
| 1954: First quarter | 236, 800 | 232, 200 | 4,600 | 174,300 | 62,500 | 47,400 | 52, 700 | 77, 600 | 59,100 | 2, 240, 448 | 2, 199, 446 | 41,002 |
| Second quarte | 332, 700 | 326, 500 | 6, 200 | 244,000 | 88, 700 | 67, 300 | 98, 400 | 90,900 | 76, 100 | 3, 454, 571 | 3, 398,898 | 55,673 |
| Third quarter | 346, 000 | 339, 300 | 6,700 | 252, 800 | 93, 200 | 72, 500 | 97, 800 | 99,900 | 75, 800 | 3, 590, 366 | 3, 528, 471 | 61, 895 |
| 1055. Fourth quarte | 304,900 | 303, 700 | 1,200 | 225, 800 | 79, 100 | 55, 900 | 76,900 | 91, 300 | 80,800 | 3, 192, 852 | 3, 182, 385 | 10,467 |
| 1955: First quarter- | 291, 300 | 288, 000 | 3, 300 | 221, 800 | 69, 500 | 53, 100 | 63, 400 | 95,900 | 78,900 | 3, 076, 198 | 3, 043,959 | 32, 239 |
| Second quarte Third quarter | 404,100 362,300 | 397,000 357,800 | 7,100 4,500 | 294, 800 | 109, 300 | 89, 100 | 116, 600 | 109, 700 | 88, 700 | 4,416, 285 | 4, 349, 159 | 67, 126 |
| Third quarter Fourth quarte | 362, 300 | 357, 800 | 4,500 | 263, 400 | 98, 900 | 75,400 | 108, 000 | 99,400 | 79, 500 | 4, 025, 441 | 3, 981, 182 | 44, 259 |
| 1956: First quarter | 271,200 252,100 | 266,700 244,600 | 4,500 7,500 | 195,800 183,800 | 75,400 68,300 | 55,500 45,700 | 68,000 58,200 | 84,000 83,200 | 63,700 65,000 | $3,026,723$ $2,846,008$ | $2,971,529$ $2,761,446$ | 55,194 84,562 |
| January... | 75, 100 | 73, 700 | 1,400 | 54, 300 | 20,800 | 12, 400 | 15, 700 | 27, 200 | 19, 800 | 2,814,448 | 2, 800,665 | 13,783 |
| Februar | 78, 400 | 77, 000 | 1,400 | 57,600 | 20,800 | 14,400 | 16, 400 | 26, 800 | 20,800 | 887, 138 | 871, 700 | 15, 438 |
| March | 98, 600 | 93,900 | 4,700 | 71,900 | 26, 700 | 18,900 | 26, 100 | 29, 200 | 24, 400 | 1, 144, 422 | 1,089, 081 | 55,341 |
| Second quar | 332, 500 | 325, 300 | 7, 200 | 228, 300 | 104, 200 | 72, 300 | 98, 100 | 93, 200 | 68, 900 | 3, 923, 607 | 3, 844, 192 | 79,415 |
| April <br> M8y | 111, 400 | 109, 900 | 1,500 | 76, 200 | 35, 200 | 23, 400 | 33, 600 | 31, 100 | 23, 300 | 1,309, 175 | 1, 293, 488 | 15,687 |
| May | 113, 700 | 110,800 | 2,900 | 77, 600 | 36, 100 | 24,700 | 33,300 | 32, 800 | 22,900 | 1, 346,587 | 1,312,890 | 33, 697 |
| June. | 107, 400 | 104, 600 | 2,800 | 74,500 | 32,900 | 24,200 | 31, 200 | 29, 300 | 22, 700 | 1, 267, 845 | 1, 237, 814 | 30,031 |
| Third qu | 298,900 101,100 | 292,900 99,000 | 6, 000 | 202,900 | 96, 000 | 61,800 | 87, 200 | 86, 500 | 63, 400 | 3, 532, 193 | 3, 471, 787 | 60,406 |
| August | 101,100 103,900 | 99,000 103,200 | 2, 100 | 69, 700 | 31,400 | 21,800 | 29, 900 | 27,700 30,700 | 21, 700 | 1, 201, 139 | 1,179, 266 | 21,873 |
| September | 93, 900 | 90, 700 | 8, 200 | 62, 300 | 31, 600 | 19,200 | 28,100 | 38,100 | 18, 500 | 1, 103,785 | 1, 222, 281 | 4,988 33,545 |
| Fourth quar | 234, 600 | 231, 100 | 3, 500 | 164,800 | 69, 800 | 49,000 | 59,600 | 71, 300 | 54, 700 | 2, 775, 219 | 2, 737, 351 | 37,868 |
| October | 93, 600 | 91, 200 | 2, 400 | 64,900 | 28, 700 | 20, 100 | 26, 200 | 27, 500 | 19, 800 | 1, 103, 963 | 1, 078, 142 | 25,821 |
| November | 77,400 | 77, 000 | 400 | 54, 800 | 22, 600 | 16,500 | 19,200 | 22, 700 | 19,000 | 1, 930, 642 | -925, 991 | 4,651 |
| December | 63,600 | 62,900 | 700 | 45, 100 | 18,500 | 12, 400 | 14, 200 | 21, 100 | 15,900 | 740, 614 | 733, 218 | 7,398 |
| 1957: First quarter | 217, 000 | 202,500 60,100 | 14,500 | 149, 100 | 67, 900 | 33, 800 | 46, 800 | 80,000 | 56, 400 | 2, 609, 458 | 2, 432, 406 | 177,052 |
| January | 64, 200 | 60, 100 | 4, 100 | 44,000 | 20, 200 | 9,300 | 10,700 | 26,000 | 18, 200 | 752, 234 | 2, 704,917 | 47,317 |
| Februar | 65,800 | 63,100 | 2,700 | 46,600 | 19, 200 | 9,700 | 14,000 | 24,600 | 17, 500 | 784, 019 | 751,813 | 32, 206 |
| March | 87, 000 | 79,300 | 7,700 | 58,500 | 28, 500 | 14,800 | 22, 100 | 29, 400 | 20,700 | 1, 073, 205 | 975, 676 | 97, 529 |
| Second q | 296, 600 | 282,800 | 13,800 | 200, 300 | 96, 300 | 60, 700 | 77, 200 | 92, 800 | 65, 900 | 3, 645, 531 | 3, 479, 262 | 166, 269 |
| April | 93, 700 | 91, 400 | 2, 300 | 63, 500 | 30,200 | 19,900 | 23, 700 | 28, 100 | 22, 000 | 1,152, 166 | 1, 123, 385 | 28, 781 |
| May | 103, 000 | 96, 900 | 6,100 | 68, 200 | 34,800 | 20,900 | 25, 700 | 33, 700 | 22, 700 | 1, 264, 385 | 1, 191, 789 | 72,596 |
| June. | 99,900 | 94, 500 | 5,400 | 68,600 | 31,300 | 19,900 | 27,800 | 31, 000 | 21, 200 | 1, 228, 980 | 1,164, 088 | 64,892 |
| Third quarte | 289, 700 | 280,900 | 8,800 | 192,600 | 97, 100 | 57, 900 | 79,300 | 91, 200 | 61, 300 | 3, 535, 278 | 3, 443,443 | 91, 835 |
| July. | 97,800 | 93,900 | 3,900 | 63, 400 | 34, 400 | 19, 200 | 27,000 | 31, 500 | 20, 100 | 1, 198, 141 | 1, 154, 771 | 43, 370 |
| August | 100,000 91,900 | 96,800 | 3,200 | 67, 700 | 32, 300 | 21, 800 | 27,300 | 31, 000 | 19,900 | 1, 207, 763 | 1, 176,600 | 31, 163 |
| September | 91,900 | 90, 200 | 1,700 | 61,500 | 30, 400 | 16,900 | 25,000 | 28,700 | 21, 300 | 1, 129, 374 | 1,112,072 | 17, 302 |
| Fourth qua | 238, 600 | 226, 600 | 12, 000 | 157, 700 | 80, 900 | 43, 100 | 55, 100 | 82, 300 | 58, 100 | 2, 903, 728 | 2,771, 689 | 132,039 |
| October Novembe | 97, 000 | 88, 400 | 8, 600 | 61, 800 | 35, 200 | 19, 500 | 24, 200 | 30, 100 | 23, 200 | 1, 195, 309 | 1, 098, 140 | 97, 169 |
| November <br> December | 78,200 63,400 | 75,700 62,500 | 2, 500 | 52, 500 | 25, 700 | 13,800 | 17,400 | 28, 200 | 18, 800 | 946, 481 | 921, 444 | 25,037 |
| 1958: First quarte | 63,400 215,400 | -201, 200 | 14, 200 | 43,400 143,700 | 20,000 | 9,800 27 | 13, 500 | 24, 8800 | 16,100 | 761,938 | 752, 105 | 9,833 |
| January. | 67,900 | 62, 900 | 5, 000 | 44,500 | 23, 400 | 8,100 | 11,000 | 28, 700 | 20, 100 | 2, 792,427 | 2, 737,503 | 165,684 54,924 |
| Februar | 66,100 | 61,000 | 5, 100 | 44, 400 | 21,700 | 7,000 | 11,200 | 28, 700 | 19, 200 | 781, 091 | 718,862 | 62, 229 |
| March | 81, 400 | 77,300 | 4,100 | 54,800 | 26,600 | 12, 300 | 18,000 | 30, 700 | 20,400 | 973,330 | 924,799 | 48,531 |
| Second quart | 320, 500 | 296,800 | 23, 700 | 218, 100 | 102, 400 | 63, 800 | '79, 400 | 103, 300 | 74, 000 | 3, 886, 703 | 3,606, 142 | 280, 561 |
| April_--. | 99, 100 | 94, 200 | 4,900 | 67, 400 | 31, 700 | 18,900 | 25,700 | 33,000 | 21, 500 | 1, 192, 101 | 1, 136, 659 | 55, 442 |
| May | 108, 500 | 101, 300 | 7,200 | 73,900 | 34, 600 | 23, 400 | 27, 000 | 32, 600 | 25,500 | 1, 323, 709 | 1, 237, 717 | 85, 992 |
| June | 112, 900 | 101, 300 | 11, 600 | 76, 800 | 36, 100 | 21, 500 | 26,700 | 37, 700 | 27, 000 | 1, 370, 893 | 1, 231, 766 | 139, 127 |
| Third quart | 357, 800 | 334, 100 | 23,700 | 248, 400 | 109, 400 | 65, 800 | 91, 600 | 117, 900 | 82, 500 | 4, 297, 469 | 3, 998 , 531 | 298,938 |
| July.- | 112,800 | 108, 600 | 4,200 | 80, 600 | 32, 200 | 19, 600 | 28, 600 | 36, 200 | 28, 400 | 1,362,890 | 1,311,702 | 51, 188 |
| August | 124, 000 | 114, 600 | 9,400 | 82, 800 | 41,200 | 22, 200 | 30, 700 | 42, 400 | 28, 700 | 1, 466, 281 | 1,346, 297 | 119, 984 |
| September | 121, 000 | 110,900 | 10,100 | 85, 000 | 36, 000 | 24,000 | 32, 300 | 39, 300 | 25, 400 | 1, 468, 298 | 1, 340, 532 | 127, 766 |
| Fourth quarter | 315, 400 | 309, 400 | 6, 000 | 216, 600 | 98, 800 | ${ }^{(2)}$ | ${ }^{(2)}$ | ${ }^{(2)}$ | ${ }^{(2)}$ | 3, 771, 903 | 3, 699, 230 | 72, 673 |
| October-1.-- | 115,000 109,400 | 112,900 | 2, 100 | 79,100 | 35, 900 | 19,900 | 31,800 | 36, 300 | 27,000 | 1, 405, 196 | 1, 378, 326 | 26, 870 |
| November ${ }^{4}$ | 109,400 91,000 | 107,000 89,500 | 2,400 | 73, 900 | 35, 500 | 20,800 | 28,900 | 34, 600 | 25, 100 | 1, 298, 532 | 1,269,279 | 29, 253 |
| 1959: First quarter. | 91, 000 | 89, 500 | 1,500 | 63,600 | 27, 400 | ${ }^{(2)}$ | $\left.{ }^{2}\right)$ | ${ }^{(2)}$ | $\left.{ }^{2}\right)$ | 1, 068, 175 | 1, 051, 625 | 16,550 |
| January ${ }^{3}$ | 86,000 | 83, 300 | 2,700 | 60,800 | 25, 200 | $\left.{ }^{2}\right)$ | $\left.{ }^{2}\right)$ | $\left.{ }^{2}\right)$ | (2) | 1, 007, 875 | 978, 775 | 29,100 |
| February ${ }^{3}$ | 89,000 | 87,900 | 1,100 | 61,500 | 27, 500 | (2) | (2) | (2) | (2) | 1, 058,810 | 1,046,010 | 12,800 |

[^55]
## 2 Not available. <br> ${ }^{3}$ Preliminary. <br> 4 Revised.

Note: For a description of these serles, see Techniques of Preparing Major BLS Statistical Series, BLS Bull. 1168 (1954).

Source: U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statisties.

## G.-Work Injuries

TABLE G-1. Injury-frequency rates ${ }^{1}$ for selected manufacturing industries

| Industry | $1958{ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1957 |  |  |  | 1956 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Annual } \\ & \text { average } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fourth quarter |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Third } \\ & \text { quar- } \\ & \text { ter } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Second } \\ \text { quar- } \\ \text { ter } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { First } \\ & \text { quar- } \\ & \text { tur- } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Fourth } \\ \text { quar- } \\ \text { ter } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Third } \\ \text { quar- } \\ \text { ter } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Second } \\ \text { quar- } \\ \text { tur } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { First } \\ & \text { quar- } \\ & \text { ter } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Fourth } \\ \text { quar- } \\ \text { tur } \end{gathered}$ | 19582 | 1957 |
|  | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. | Quarter |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All manufacturing | 11.3 | 10.2 | 9.9 | 10.5 | 11.5 | 10.4 | 10.4 | 10.4 | 12.0 | 11.7 | 11.6 | 11.3 | 10.7 | 11.4 |
| Food and kindred products: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Meat packing and custom slaughtering--- | 29.0 16.4 | 22.1 | 24.0 | 25.1 | ${ }_{27}^{26.4}$ | ${ }_{19}^{23.2}$ | ${ }_{23}^{20.6}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 20.6 \\ 21 \\ 5 \end{array}$ | ${ }_{21.4}^{21.6}$ | ${ }_{24.0}^{20.6}$ | ${ }_{20.8}^{21.1}$ | ${ }_{24,9}^{20.0}$ | ${ }_{22.5}^{23.8}$ | ${ }_{22.0}^{21.0}$ |
| Poultry and small game dressing and pack | (3) | ${ }_{(3)}$ | ${ }_{(3)}^{24 .}$ | 52.7 | 59.5 | 38.8 | ${ }_{33.6} 6$ | 35.7 | 41.7 | 41.1 | 28.2 | 39.8 | 45.8 | 37.1 |
| Dairy products | 14.7 | 19.1 | 16.9 | 16.8 | 19.2 | 14.6 | 16.6 | 15. 5 | 18.8 | 17.9 | 15.7 | 17.0 | 16.8 | 17.0 |
| Canning and prese | 20.9 <br> 13 | ${ }_{15.5}^{15.8}$ | 14.0 | 17.4 14.5 | 17.0 | 14.4 | 17.0.3 | 15.7 13.3 | 24.2 19.7 | 12.9 | ${ }_{15.0}$ | 16.5 | 14.0 | ${ }_{15.4}$ |
| Bakery products | 16.8 | 17.0 | 17.6 | 17.2 | 17.0 | 14.4 | 16.3 | 16.2 | 16.2 | 16.2 | 16.8 | 17.0 | 16.3 | 16.4 |
| Cane sugar- | 14.2 | 14.5 | 10.8 | 13.2 | 11.9 | 12.1 | ${ }_{14}^{16.1}$ | 19.3 | ${ }_{15}^{17.1}$ | 15.8 | 17.2 | 14.1 | ${ }_{13.2}^{13.4}$ | ${ }_{13.4}^{17}$ |
| Confectionery and | 11.9 | 13.7 | 14.8 | 13.4 18.9 | 14.3 27.9 | ${ }_{23}^{10.6}$ | 14.3 | 13.7 19 | ${ }_{25.1}^{15.6}$ | ${ }_{23 .}^{12.2}$ | ${ }_{21.2}^{12}$ | 13.0 16.7 | ${ }_{22.3}^{13.2}$ | ${ }_{22.4}^{13.4}$ |
| Bottled soft drinks | 21.7 14 | 16.5 11.5 | 18.1 16.4 | 18.9 14.2 | 27.9 17.0 | 16.2 | 13.5 | 19.5 | 17.0 | 16.1 | ${ }_{18.5}^{21.5}$ | 13.2 | 15.4 | 16.9 |
| Distilled liquors | 7.5 | 6.3 | 4.9 | 6.3 | 6.5 | 7.2 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 9.4 | 8.8 | 6.7 | 6. 7 | 8.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rayon, other synthetic, and | 8.2 | 7.6 | 5.9 | 7.3 | 7.0 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 7.0 | 9.1 | 7.4 | 8.4 | 7.0 | 6.8 | 8.0 |
| Woolen and worsted textiles | 15.2 | 12.0 | 17.2 | 14.8 | 18.9 | 16.0 | 15.7 | 15.8 | 18.5 | 17.7 | 19.9 | 16.2 | 16. 3 | 18. 1 |
| Knit'goods - | 5.2 | 3.7 | 5.1 | 4.7 | 5.9 | 4. 5 | 7.0 | 5. 2 | ${ }^{7} 7.1$ | 5.7 | ${ }_{12.3}^{5 .}$ | 6.0 | 5.6 14.8 | -5.8 |
| Diseellaneous textile goods. | 16.6 9.1 | 10.6 9.0 | 17.1 | 14.8 9.7 | ${ }_{13.1}^{16.1}$ | 12.6 | ${ }_{8.6}$ | 13.0 | 16.0 | 14.4 | 16.4 | 14.2 | 10.9 | 15.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 5.3 | 4.5 | 5.7 | 5.2 | 5.1 | 5.6 | 6.4 |  | 7.1 | 7.0 | 1 | 7.1 | 5.5 | 6. 6 |
| Clothing, women's and children's | ${ }_{(3)}^{3.0}$ | ${ }_{\text {(3) }}^{6}$ | ${ }_{(8)}^{4.0}$ | 6. ${ }^{4}$ | 5. ${ }^{5.1}$ | 5.6 7.7 | ${ }_{5}^{4.9} 6$ | 5. 9 | 9.8 | 9.7 | 7.4 | 3.7 | 7.3 | 8. 2 |
| Miscellaneous fabricated textile produ | 13.3 | 7.0 | 7.6 | 9.3 | 14.0 | 10.3 | 11.5 | 8.1 | 8.8 | 11.3 | 9.4 | 10.5 | 11.2 | 9.4 |
| Lumber and wood products (except furniture): |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sawmills and planing mills. | 44.2 | 35.6 | 35.7 | 38.8 | 42.6 | 40.9 | 38.3 | 36.9 | 42.7 | 41.1 | 40.4 | 36.4 | 40.3 | 40.4 |
| Millwork and struetural wood | 24.8 | 19.8 | 27.9 | 24.2 | 26. ${ }^{26}$ | 19.4 | 21.9 | 20.1 | 23.6 | ${ }_{22}^{21.8}$ | ${ }_{23}^{21.6}$ | 19.9 | ${ }_{23.1}^{23.1}$ | ${ }_{23}^{21.8}$ |
| Plywood mills-...- | 25.6 | 18.1 | 24.8 | ${ }^{23.0}$ | 25.8 | ${ }_{27}^{23.3}$ | ${ }_{24}^{20.8}$ | 28.1 | 21.9 32.4 | 28.5 | 29.0 | 25.5 | 27.2 |  |
| Miscellaneous wood prod | 33.9 | 23.0 | 26.5 | 28.1 | 25.1 | 24.8 | 22.5 | 26.1 | 27.4 | 30.5 | 30.9 | 29.5 | 25.1 | 28.8 |
| Furniture and fixtures: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Meusehold household furniture, | 14.1 | ${ }^{16.8}$ | 16.5 | 14.2 | 17.1 | 12.6 | 11.7 | 12.8 | 19.7 | 12.2 | 14.4 | 16.1 | 13.8 | 14.7 |
| Metal household furniture | 24.9 | 19.3 | 21. 4 | 22.1 | 13.9 | 15.2 | 12.5 | 10.6 | 13.0 | 15.4 | 16.7 | 14.4 | 15.7 | 14.0 |
| Office furniture - | 18.6 | 10.9 | 10.8 | 13.6 | 18.0 | 15.7 | 13.4 | 15.9 | 15.8 | 18.1 | 15.9 | 16.1 | 14.8 | 16.5 |
| Public-building and pro | 17.2 | 11.0 | 12.1 | 13.7 | 16.3 | 14.6 | 12.2 | 17.9 | 16.8 | 20.0 | 10.4 | 16.1 | 14.2 | ${ }_{10}^{16.3}$ |
| Partitions and fixtures | ${ }_{(3)}^{16.5}$ | ${ }_{(3)}^{20.4}$ | ${ }_{(3)}^{18.7}$ | 15.7 | 19.6 | ${ }_{18.4}^{15.6}$ | 11.3 | 18.3 19 | 18.6 | 16.4 | ${ }_{22.6}^{10.6}$ | ${ }_{11.6}$ | 15.0 |  |
| Paper and allied products:Pulp |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pulp, paper, and paperbo | 9.4 | 9.0 | 9.7 | 9.4 | 10.3 | 8. 6 | 9.7 | 10.2 | 11.6 | 9.9 | 10.8 | 11.2 | 9.4 |  |
| Paperboard containers and box Miscellaneous paper and allied | 16.1 8.9 | 13.0 11.6 | 14.9 6.2 | 14.8 8.9 | 14.6 11.4 | 12.0 9.9 | 12.9 8.7 | 13.8 11.7 | 115.9 | ${ }_{12.6}^{16.7}$ | 13.6 14.2 | 15.7 | 13.7 9.7 |  |
| Printing, publishing, and allied indus |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Newspapers and periodicals- |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9.3 |  | 8.3 | 9.5 | 8.8 | 8.3 |  |  |
| Bookbinding and related products | ${ }_{8}^{(3)}$ | ${ }^{(3)}$ | $\stackrel{3}{3}_{6}$ | ${ }_{7}^{10.1}$ | 8.4 | 8.5 7.2 | 11.4 | 10.6 8.7 | 15.0 9.1 | 15.9 8.2 | 10.1 9.7 | 11.7 7.9 | 7.1 | 8.9 |
| Chemicals and allied products:Industrial inorganic chemicals |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Plastics, except synthetic rubbe | 4.3 | 4.7 | 2.9 | 3.8 | 5.1 | 4.0 | 4.1 | 4.7 | 4.4 | 4.7 | 5.1 | 4.3 | 4.3 |  |
| Synthetic rubber |  |  |  | 2.1 | 2.4 | 1.4 | ${ }^{3.1}$ | 1.2 | 3.1 | 1.2 | 3.2 |  | ${ }_{2}^{2.3}$ | 2.2 |
| Synthetic fibers- | 3.4 | 3.0 | 4.3 | 3. 6 | 2.4 | ${ }^{2} .4$ | ${ }_{2}^{2.8}$ | 3. 0 | 2.1 | 3. 4 | 3. 4 | 1.7 | 2.8 | 3.0 |
| Explosives. | ${ }^{3}$ | $\stackrel{(3)}{20}$ | ${ }^{(3)}$ | 1.6 | 3.4 | 1.6 | ${ }_{3}^{2.4}$ | ${ }_{3} 2.6$ | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.9 | 4.7 | ${ }_{3.7}^{2.2}$ | 1.8 |
| Miscellaneous industrial org | 3.4 | 2.6 | 4.1 | 3.4 | 3.7 | 4.1 | 3.7 | 3.3 | 3.4 | 6. 6 | 8.1 |  | 7.0 |  |
| Drugs and medicines- | 8.1 8.0 | 6.8 8.9 | 6.7 7.4 | 7.2 8.1 | 7.2 | 7.7 | 6.1 | ${ }_{7}{ }_{7}^{6.8}$ | ${ }_{8.2} 8$ | 8. ${ }^{6}$ | 8.3 | ${ }_{7}^{6.9}$ | 7.4 | 7.7 |
| Paints, pigments, and relat | 6.5 | 9.8 | 6.2 | 7.5 | 11.3 | 12.8 | 10.8 | 9.9 | 11.5 | 8.9 | 10.4 | 10.0 | 10.6 | 10.1 |
| Fertilizers.- | (3) | (3) |  | 11.6 | 11.5 | 14.4 | 14.4 | 16.0 | 20.3 | 12.4 | 15.0 | 18.5 | ${ }^{13.2}$ | 15. 5 |
| Vegetable and animal oils and fa | 32.2 | 25.0 | 21.7 | 26.5 | 28.0 | 25.3 | 24.8 | 24.3 | 24.2 | ${ }^{27.8}$ | ${ }_{12}^{22.4}$ | 30.1 | 26.3 | 24.5 |
| Compressed and liqu | ${ }_{15}{ }^{(3)}$ | $\stackrel{3}{3)}^{(3)}$ | ${ }^{(3)}$ | 10.4 | 8.7 | 14.4 |  |  | 10.4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Miscellaneous chemicals and allied | 15.7 | 12.8 | 12.7 | 13.8 | 14.9 | 13.4 | 14.6 | 11.5 | 14. | 15.9 | 15.3 | 14.6 | 14.4 | 14.4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rubber footwear | 3.9 | 3.9 | 3.0 | 3.7 | 5.4 | 5.9 | 3.9 | 5.5 | 7.2 | 5.9 | 6. 6 | 6.1 | 4.7 | 6.2 |
| Miscellaneous rubber products | 7.8 | 7.4 | 7.6 | 7.6 | 11.7 | 10.4 | 8.5 | 9.5 | 10.0 | 8.9 | 12. 5 | 8.1 | 9.5 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Leather tanning and finishing | 20.4 | 20.4 | (3) ${ }^{3}$ | 2 (3) | (8) | (3) | (3) | (8) | ${ }^{(3)}$ | (3) | ${ }^{(3)}$ | 20. | 16. |  |
| Footwear (except rubber) | 8.4 | 8.0 | 9.9 | 8.8 | 9.7 | 8.0 | 9.2 | 8.7 | 9.8 | 9.3 | 8.1 | 8.2 | 8.9 | 8.9 |
| Miscellaneous leather products.. | 8.7 | 11.8 | 5.9 | 8.8 | 10.2 | 11.1 | 9.4 | 11.9 | 9.7 | 13.4 | 14.2 | 14.5 | 10.0 | 12.3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 11.9 | 3.4 | 32.1 | 37.0 | 34.7 | 31.7 | 30.9 | 29.7 | 39.1 | 30.2 | 29.3 | 27.4 | 34.0 | 32. 2 |
| tructural clay products | 15.2 | 15.6 | 16.6 | 15.8 | 16.9 | 17.5 | 12.0 | 11.7 | 15.2 | 17.7 | 13.3 | 17.0 | 15.4 |  |
| ottery and related - | 15.2 | 19.5 | 19.8 | 21.8 | ${ }_{26.7}$ | 21.4 | 17.3 | 19.2 | 2.1 | 23.7 |  | 21.4 |  |  |
|  |  | 15.8 | 19.4 | 17.2 | 11.2 | ${ }_{12.1}$ | 12.8 | 11.5 | 12.0 | ${ }_{13.1}$ | 13.9 | 14.3 | 13.4 |  |

TABLE G-1. Injury-frequency rates ${ }^{1}$ for selected manufacturing industries-Continued

| Industry | $1958{ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1957 |  |  |  | 1956 | Annual average |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fourth quarter |  |  |  | Third quarter | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Second } \\ & \text { quar- } \\ & \text { ter } \end{aligned}$ | First quarter | Fourth quarter | Third quarter | Second quarter | First quarter | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fourth } \\ & \text { quar- } \\ & \text { ter } \end{aligned}$ | $1958{ }^{2}$ | 1957 |
|  | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. | Quarter |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ary metal industries: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blast furnaces and steel mills | 3.6 | 4.0 | 3. 9 | 3.9 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 3.6 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 4.4 | 4.1 | 4.5 | 3.6 | 4.0 |
| Gray-iron and malleable foundrie | 21.9 | 21.8 | 18.6 | 20.7 | 24.7 | 21.1 | 22.3 | 21.9 | 26.8 | 26.8 | 24.7 | 27.1 | 22.4 | 25.1 |
| Steel foundries .-..--.-.-.-.-.-.-.-. | 18.0 | 13.0 | 13.2 | 14.8 | 17.3 | 13.7 | 14.6 | 17.2 | 19.1 | 21.5 | 24.3 | 21.0 | 15.0 | 20.7 |
| Nonferrous rolling, drawing, and allo | 8.4 | 6. 2 | 9.7 | 8.1 | 10.3 | 10.3 | 9.2 | 8.8 | 10.4 | 10.9 | 9.7 | 10.6 | 9. 5 | 10.0 |
| Nonferrous foundries | 24.1 13.8 | 16.7 13.4 | 20.8 12.4 | 20.7 13.2 | 15.1 13.8 | 17. 7 | 18. ${ }^{1}$ | 16.0 | 18.1 | 17. 1 | 20.1 | 17.7 | 18.1 | 17.9 |
| Wire drawing...-- | 13.8 15.1 | 13.4 13.4 | 12.4 | 13.2 13.8 | 13.8 | 12.7 11.9 | 16.5 10.4 | 14.9 12.7 | 18.0 9.6 | 16.3 13.7 | 20.3 12.4 | 16.4 10.8 | 14.0 | 17.5 |
| Welded and heavy | 11.3 | 10.8 | 8.5 | 10.3 | 10.3 | 11.9 | 10.6 | 11.1 | 11.5 | 12.2 | 12.8 | 13.5 | 12.8 | 12.2 12.0 |
| Cold-finished steel | 5.8 | 8.1 | 8.5 | 7.4 | 11.2 | 6.7 | 6. 6 | 9.3 | 10.9 | 10.8 | 11.6 | 12.3 | 7.9 | 10.7 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cutlery and edge tools | (3) | (3) | (3) | 6.8 | 12.7 | 13.2 | 11.3 | 12. 5 | 17.0 | 13.1 | 13.2 | 16.8 | 11.0 | 6.3 13.9 |
| Hand tools, files, and saws | 13.3 | 14.6 | 15.5 | 14.4 | 16.2 | 16.7 | 14.0 | 14.2 | 13.1 | 17.0 | 17.9 | 18.0 | 15.2 | 15.7 |
| Hardware | 8.6 | 7.5 | 8.4 | 8.1 | 7.7 | 7.3 | 6.7 | 7.7 | 8.8 | 7.4 | 7.1 | 8. 6 | 7.4 | 7.7 |
| Sanitary ware and plumbers' supplie | 7.8 | 9.9 | 12.4 | 9.8 | 11.1 | 10.7 | 13.5 | 9.2 | 12.9 | 13.2 | 9.4 | 13.9 | 11.4 | 11.2 |
| Oil burners, heating and cooking appa | 18.3 | 17.2 | 13. 6 | 16.4 | 12.6 | 12.5 | 14.8 | 13.4 | 16.1 | 15.2 | 13.1 | 15.2 | 14.2 | 14.4 |
| Structural steel and ornamental metal | 20.6 | 20.6 | 18.7 | 19.9 | 20.6 | 19.9 | 22.4 | 17.8 | 22.5 | 22.6 | 23.2 | 22.4 | 20.7 | 14.4 21.6 |
| Metal doors, sash, frame, and trim | 18.6 | 16.6 | 13. 0 | 16.2 | 15.6 | 12.5 | 15.0 | 15.9 | 20.1 | 14.0 | 13.8 | 19.4 | 14.8 | 15.9 |
| Boiler-shop products | 20.7 | 16.6 | 16.6 | 18.1 | 20.4 | 18. 5 | 21.0 | 19.9 | 23.4 | 24.9 | 23.8 | 23.0 | 19.9 | 23.0 |
| Sheet-metal work | 16.7 | 18.2 | 20.5 | 18.4 | 20.3 | 20.4 | 20.4 | 18.0 | 21.7 | 19.0 | 24.5 | 22.4 | 20.0 | 20.8 |
| Stamped and pressed metal | 10.5 | ${ }^{9.6}$ | 8.9 | 9.7 | 10.2 | 8.1 | 8.6 | 9.4 | 11.8 | 11.2 | 9.6 | 10.9 | 9.2 | 10.4 |
| Metal coating and engravin | ${ }^{(3)}$ | ${ }^{(3)}$ | ${ }^{(3)}$ | 19.2 | 19.7 | 24. 0 | 19.6 | 19.7 | 22.6 | 22.2 | 22.5 | 20.0 | 20.4 | 21.8 |
| Fabricated wire products | 18.8 | 13.3 | 13.1 | ${ }_{(3) 1}$ | 18.5 | 13.4 | 18.3 | 17.8 | 16.7 | 18.5 | 18.3 | 19.4 | 16.4 | 17.8 |
| Metal barrels, drums, keg | ${ }^{(3)}$ | ${ }^{(3)}$ | ${ }^{(3)}$ | ${ }^{(3)}$ | ${ }^{(3)}$ | ${ }^{(3)}$ | ${ }^{(3)}$ | 10.8 | 14.3 | 9.5 | 13.9 | 6.8 | 14.5 | 12.1 |
| Steel springs............- | ${ }^{(3)}$ | ${ }^{(3)}$ | ${ }^{(3)}$ | ${ }^{(3)}$ | ${ }^{(3)}$ | (3) | 18.3 | 19.2 | 20.8 | 18.2 | 19.0 | 18.3 | 20.8 | 19.2 |
| Bolts, nuts, washers, and | 10.8 | 14.3 | 13. 4 | 12.8 | 12.7 | 10.3 | 12.0 | 13.1 | 11.6 | 9.9 | 11.5 | 12.9 | 12.0 | 11.5 |
| Screw-machine products.-.-.-...-.-.-.-.-.-.--- | 10.3 | 6.9 | 13.5 | 10.2 | 9.4 | 8.5 | 9.9 | 13.4 | 13.6 | 13.6 | 13.8 | 14.4 | 9.5 | 13.6 |
| Fabricated metal products, not elsewhere classified.-- Machinery (except electrical): | 9.8 | 11.7 | 10.5 | 10.6 | 10.1 | 11.4 | 13.3 | 13.3 | 11.3 | 11.7 | 11.6 | 9.8 | 11.4 | 12.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agricultural machinery and | 8.5 | 8.7 | 5.8 | 7.6 | 7.3 | 8.2 | 8.9 | 8.1 | 7.6 | 9.0 | 8.8 | 8.0 | 8.1 | 8.4 |
| Construction and mining machi | 11.4 | 11.0 | 11.0 | 11.2 | 12.6 | 10.7 | 12.4 | 11.9 | 13.7 | 15.6 | 17.8 | 15.5 | 11.7 | 14.9 |
| Metalworking machinery | 8.1 | 8.4 | 7.3 | 7.9 | 8.0 | 7.5 | 8.0 | 7.8 | 9.5 | 10.2 | 10.6 | 10.3 | 7.9 | 14.7 9.7 |
| Food-products mach | 12.3 | 7.3 | 6. 4 | 8.7 | 11.4 | 8.7 | 10.2 | 8.1 | 14.7 | 16.2 | 14.7 | 14.8 | 9.7 | 13.4 |
| Textile machinery | 8.1 | 11.2 | 8.7 | 9.2 | 9.2 | 9.6 | 10.4 | 12.2 | 14.0 | 12.1 | 9.6 | 13.3 | 9.7 | 11.9 |
| Miscellaneous special-industry | 12.7 | 16.0 | 13.0 | 13.8 | 13.5 | 14.0 | 14.3 | 12.4 | 14.9 | 16. 7 | 17.2 | 14.4 | 13.8 | 15.4 |
|  | 13.4 | 7.9 14.3 | 9.1 | 10. 3 | 10.6 | 10.5 | 11.8 | 11.8 | 12.7 | 12.7 | 14.7 | 12.1 | 10.8 | 13.0 |
| Elevators, escalators, and conveyors. <br> Mechanical power-transmission equipment (except ball and roller bearings) | 13.8 | 14.3 | 9.2 | 12.3 | 11.7 | 12.0 | 10.3 | 10.7 | 14.5 | 15.4 | 15.8 | 16.0 | 11.5 | 14.2 |
|  | 11.4 | 11.3 | 9.7 | 10.8 | 8.8 | 10.0 | 9.7 | 10.9 | 11.8 | 13. 3 | 13.2 | 12.5 | 9.8 | 12.3 12.3 |
| Miscellaneous general industrial machinery...-.-- | 13.4 | 10.0 | 11.6 | 11.7 | 10.4 | 12. 3 | 11. 7 | 10.5 | 12.3 | 13.7 | 16.1 | 13.0 | 11.6 | 13.2 |
| Commercial and household mach | 5.8 | 5. 6 | 4.9 | 5.4 | 5. 3 | 5.4 | 5. 0 | 5.3 | 6.2 | 6.2 | 7.0 | 6.2 | 5.4 | 6.2 |
| Valves and fittings | 11.8 | ${ }_{(3)}^{12.0}$ | 8.1 | 10.6 | 12.0 | 13.1 | 12.6 | 13.5 | 15.7 | 15.6 | 14.5 | 14.2 | 12.1 | 14.8 |
| Fabricated pipe and fitti | ${ }^{(3)}$ | ${ }^{(3)}$ | ${ }_{6}{ }^{3}$ | 12.5 | 15.0 | 13.4 | 11.2 | 17.3 | 20.4 | 16.3 | 16.8 | 15.5 | 12.9 | 17.7 |
| Ball and roller bearing Machine shops, genera | 8. 2 | 9.3 | 6.4 | 8. 0 | 7.3 | 9.2 | 8. 0 | 8.5 | 9. 3 | 8.3 | 8.5 | 11.4 | 8.1 | 8.6 |
| Machine shops, genera Electrical machinery: | 15.5 | 9.3 | 11.6 | 12.3 | 11.9 | 10.6 | 11.1 | 11.8 | 16.1 | 15.0 | 15.1 | 11.9 | 11.4 | 14.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Electrical appliances. | 6.3 | 4.6 | 7.7 | 6.2 | 5.0 | 5. 0 | 4.9 | 5.6 | 7.5 | 6.4 | 5.8 4.9 | 5. 5 | 5. 5 | 5. 4 |
| Insulated wire and cable | 10.2 | 12.5 | 9.8 | 10.8 | 11.8 | 13.1 | 7.9 | 10.9 | 11.8 | 11.8 | 12.8 | 10.3 | 5.2 10.8 | 11. 8 |
| Electrical equipment for | 3.4 | 3.6 | 2.1 | 3.1 | 4.1 | 13.6 | 4.0 | 10.9 3.3 | 11.8 4.1 | 11.8 4.7 | 12.8 3.4 | 10.3 3.4 3 | 10.8 3.7 | 11.8 3.9 |
| Electric lamps (bulbs) | ${ }^{(3)}$ | ${ }^{(3)}$ | $\stackrel{3}{3}_{3}$ | 4.5 | 2.5 | 2.9 | 2.6 | 3.1 | 2.5 | 3.7 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.1 | 3.9 3.1 |
| Radios and related produc | 4.3 | 3. 4 | 3. 8 | 3.8 | 4.0 | 3.2 | 4.0 | 4.1 | 4.9 | 4.7 | 4.2 | 4.8 | 3. 8 | 4.5 |
| Radio tubes | 2.5 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 1.9 | 2.2 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 1. 6 | 1. 5 | 3.1 | 2.4 | 2.1 | 2.0 |
| Miscellaneous communication | 2.1 | 2. 4 | ${ }_{9}^{2.3}$ | 2.3 | 3.4 | 2.6 | 3.1 | 2.1 | 2.4 | 2.6 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 2.9 | 2.6 |
| Electrical products, not els | ${ }^{15} 5$ | 13.1 | ${ }^{9.3}$ | 12.8 | 14.8 | 11.3 | 11.6 | 13.2 | 12.0 | 10.9 | 12.5 | 12.7 | 12.6 | 12.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Motor-vehicle parts and accesso Aircraft | 5.5 | 4. 5 | 4. 5 | 4.8 | 5.6 | 5. 7 | 5.2 | 5. 5 | 6.1 | 6.2 | 6.5 | 6.4 | 5. 3 | 6. 1 |
| Aircraft ${ }^{\text {Aircraft parts }}$.- | 2.6 | 3.2 | 2.6 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 2.5 | 2.4 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 2.8 | 2. 7 |
| Aircraft parts.-.-....-...- | 4.2 | 3.1 | 4. 4 | 4. 0 | 4.5 | 4.2 | 4.6 | 4.1 | 4.6 | 4.3 | 4.1 | 4.4 | 4.5 | 4.3 |
| Boat building and repairing | ${ }_{(3)}{ }^{3}$ | ${ }_{(3)}^{14.5}$ | (3) ${ }^{10}$ | 13.8 20.7 | 15.9 | 16.2 | 15.4 | 15.3 | 19.3 | 17.5 | 17.1 | 16.9 | 15. 5 | 17.3 |
| Railroad equipment... | 6.5 | 5.4 | 8.9 | 7.0 | 15.9 8.7 | 1.2 7.1 | 25.8 6.9 | 15.5 7 7 | 18.3 88 8 | 34.2 6.8 | 17.4 8.5 | 25.0 | 25.6 | 29.8 |
| Instruments and related products:Scientific instruments |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mechanical measuring and controling instruments. | 4.3 | 4.0 | 2.9 | 3.7 | 4.1 | 5.9 | 3.9 | 5.1 | 4.5 | 5.2 | 4.3 | 3.6 | 4.5 | 4.8 |
|  |  | 4.7 | 4.6 | 5.7 | 5.5 | 5. 5 | 6.9 | 5.7 | 6.3 | 6.8 | 6.5 | 6.1 |  |  |
| Optical instruments and lenses. | (3) | (3) | (3) | 3.8 | 5. 0 | 5.5 | 6.8 | 4.5 | 4.6 | 6.2 | 5.2 | 4.2 | 5. 2 | 6.3 5.1 |
| Medical instruments and supplies | 12.2 | 3.6 | 9.9 | 8.8 | 6.4 | 9.6 | 9.4 | 5.6 | 8.4 | 7.0 | 6.7 | 4.7 | 8.5 | 6. 9 |
| Photographic equipment and supp | 5.3 | 7.5 | 6. 6 | 6.4 | 5. 8 | 5.6 | 4.4 | 5.6 | 6.4 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 4.8 | 5. 5 | 6.1 |
| Watches and clocks...-.....- | ${ }^{(3)}$ | ${ }^{(3)}$ | ${ }^{(3)}$ | 3.6 | 4.5 | 5.2 | 4.4 | 6.4 | 5.1 | 4.7 | 6.1 | 6.6 | 4.4 | 5. 6 |
| Paving and roofing materials--- | ${ }^{(3)}$ | ${ }^{(3)}$ | ${ }^{(3)}$ | 6.1 | 8.8 | 6.6 | 8.4 | 10.1 | 6.5 | 6.4 | 11.0 | 7.3 | 7.6 | 8.4 |
| Jewelry, silverware, and plated Fabricated plastics products... | 13.7 | 8.5 | 4.3 | 9.0 | 7.3 | 5.8 | 6.4 | 6. 8 | 9.0 | 7.6 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 7.1 | 7. 6 |
| Fabricated plastics products | 13.5 | 13.3 | 13.4 | 13.4 | 11.1 | 13.3 | 15.5 | 14.7 | 17.3 | 13.8 | 12.3 | 14.9 | 13.4 | 14.4 |
| Miscellaneous manufacturing | 11. 1 | 11.9 | 12.9 | 11.9 | 13.5 | 11.2 | 12.9 | 12.4 | 15.1 | 13.0 | 11.4 | 11.5 | 12.4 | 12.9 |
| Ordnance and accessories | 3.1 | 3.5 | 2.4 | 3.0 | 3.4 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 4.1 | 5.2 | 4.6 | 4.4 | 3.1 | 4.2 |

The injury-frequency rate is the average number of disabling work injuries
for each million employee-hours worked. A disabling work injury is any for each million employee-hours worked. A disabling work injury is any results in death or permanent physical impairment, or (b) makes the injured worker unable to perform the duties of any regularly established job which is open and available to him throughout the hours corresponding to his regular shift on any one or more days after the day of injury (including Sundays, days off", or plant shutdowns). The term 'injury"' includes occupational disease
${ }^{2}$ Rates are preliminary and subject to revision when final annual dat become available.
${ }^{3}$ Insufficient data to warrant presentation of average.
Note: These data are compiled in accordance with the American Standard Method of Recording and Measuring Work Injury Experience, approved by he American Standards Association, 1954.
Information on concepts, methodology, etc., is given in Techniques of Preparing Major BLS Statistical Series, BLS Bull. 1168 (1954).
Source: U. S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics.
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OFFICIAL BUSINESS


[^0]:    . . . to be sure, no standards exist to aid the arbitrator in finding a conclusive answer to the question of what is

[^1]:    *Professor of Management, Hofstra College.

[^2]:    -Manager, Industrial Relations Department, Continental Oil Co., Houston, Tex.

[^3]:    *Of the Bureau's Division of Wages and Industrial Relations.
    ${ }^{1}$ See Premium Pay for Weekend Work, 1952 (in Monthly Labor Review, September 1953, pp. 933-939).

[^4]:    ${ }^{2}$ The Bureau does not maintain a file of railroad and airline agreements, hence their omission from this study.
    ${ }^{3}$ These agreements expired late in 1957 and subsequent agreements were not available at the time of the study.
    ${ }^{4}$ See Hours of Work and Overtime Provisions in Union Contracts (in Monthly Labor Review, February 1958, pp. 133-141).

[^5]:    1 Includes agreements which provided $11 / 2$ for Saturday morning and double time thereafter; $11 / 2$ for the first or first 2 Sundays worked and double time for subsequent Sundays (telephone industry); and double time, instead of $11 / 2$, if Sunday was the 7th consecutive day. Also includes agreements which granted $11 / 2$ for certain occupations (including repair and maintenance) and double time for others.
    ${ }^{2}$ Includes agreements which provided $11 / 2$ or double time for Saturday afternoon only, or double time instead of $1 \frac{1}{2}$ if Saturday was the 7 th con-

[^6]:    ${ }^{1}$ Excludes railroad and airline industries.

[^7]:    ${ }^{5}$ Some of the clauses applied to Saturdays and Sundays occurring either outside of or within the regular workweek, and were tabulated in both categories.

[^8]:    * Of the Division of Wages and Industrial Relations, Bureau of Labor Statistics.
    ${ }^{1}$ For purposes of this summary, a major collective bargaining situation is defined as one affecting 1,000 or more workers. The first section of this summary covers all major industry groups except construction, the service trades, finance, and government. (Federal classified employees, specified members of the Armed Forces, and other Federal Government employees received increased rates of pay in 1958 through legislative action.) A separate section at the end of this article discusses changes in union scales in the construction industry. This summary, with the exception of that part covering construction, is based on data compiled in the Bureau of Labor Statistics Monthly Report on Current Wage Developments.
    ${ }^{2}$ In addition to these 4 million, an estimated 400,000 unorganized employees, many of whom were office and other nonproduction workers employed in establishments where organized workers were covered by escalator provisions, received cost-of-living escalator adjustment.
    ${ }^{3}$ All increases presented are averages for all workers affected by a settlement unless otherwise specified.

[^9]:    ${ }^{1}$ For industry coverage, see footnote 1 , table 2 . Includes cost-of-living and deferred as well as negotiated increases.
    ${ }^{2}$ Excludes 17 situations affecting 28,000 workers in which 1 or more cost-of-living increases were made in 1958 prior to the 1958 expiration date of the agreements and for which information on current agreements was not availabree.
    ${ }^{3}$ Insufficient information to compute cents-per-hour increases.
    NOTE: Because of rounding, sums of individual items may not equal totals.

[^10]:    - The increases agreed to in the bituminous coal settlement negotiated in 1958 went into effect in January and April 1959, but they are included in this summary because they became effective within 12 months following the date of negotiations. Negotiated increases, as defined in this summary, include all increases negotiated during 1958 and going into effect during the first contract year.

[^11]:    ${ }^{1}$ Negotiated wage-rate changes plus any cost-of-living adjustments effective during the year in situations in which wages were an issue.
    ${ }^{2}$ Because of rounding, sums of individual items may not equal totals.
    ${ }^{3}$ Insufficient information to compute cents-per-hour increases.

    - Less than 0.5 percent.

[^12]:    For coverage, see footnote 1, table 2.
    ${ }^{2}$ Excludes 13 settlements affecting 93,000 workers in 1956, 17 settlements affecting 159,000 workers in 1957, and 8 settlements affecting 40,000 workers in 1958 in which wages were not an issue but supplementary practices were established or increased; and 3 settlements in 1958 affecting 4,000 workers in which contracts were informally extended to early 1959.
    ${ }^{3}$ Less than 0.5 percent.
    Insufficient information to compute cents-per-hour increases.
    ${ }^{5}$ Excludes 12 settlements affecting 87,000 workers in 1956, 13 settlements affecting 59,000 workers in 1957, and 7 settlements affecting 20,000 workers in 1958 in which wages were not an issue but supplementary practices were established or increased; and 3 settlements in 1958 affecting 4,000 workers in which contracts were informally extended to early 1959.

    - Excludes 1 settlement affecting 6,000 workers in 1956, 4 settlements affecting 100,000 workers in 1957, and 1 settlement affecting 20,000 workers in 1958 in which wages were not an issue but supplementary practices were established or increased.

    Note: Because of rounding, sums of individual items may not equal totals.

[^13]:    - For a discussion of deferred increases due in 1959 as a result of the 1958 or earlier negotiations, see Monthly Labor Review, December 1958, pp. 13621365.

[^14]:    - According to union reports, these benefits were of substantial assistance in cushioning the effects of layoffs in 1958. The United Automobile Workers reported that the Big Three automobile manufacturers paid out almost $\$ 38$ million in benefits during the year, while the United Steelworkers said that 18 basic steel companies paid out $\$ 36.5$ million in the same period.

[^15]:    1 For coverage, see footnote 1, table 2
    ${ }_{2}$ Excludes 13 settlements affecting 93,000 workers in 1956, 17 settlements affecting 159,000 workers in 1957, and 8 settlements affecting 40,000 workers affecting 159,000 workers in 1957, and 8 settlements affecting 40,000 workers in 1958, in which wages
    established or increased.
    $\quad$ Excludes 12 settlements affecting 87,000 workers in 1956, 13 settlements affecting 59,000 workers in 1957, and 7 settlements affecting 20,000 workers in 1958, in which wages were not an issue but supplementary practices were established or increased.
    ${ }^{4}$ Excludes 1 settlement affecting 6,000 workers in 1956, 4 settlements affecting 100,000 workers in 1957, and 1 settlement affecting 20,000 workers in 1958,

[^16]:    in which wages were not an issue but supplementary practices were established or increased
    ${ }_{5}$ This total is smaller than the sum of the individual items since some settlements affected more than 1 item.
    ${ }_{6}$ Includes settlements in which agreement provided for increased contributions to maintain existing benefits. However, settlements providing for increased benefits without increased employer contributions are omitted.
    $7{ }^{7}$ Less than 0.5 percent.
    NOTE: Because of rounding, sums of individual items may not equal totals.

[^17]:    ' Among the industries in which rates of pay remained unchanged in both 1958 and 1957 were textiles and some men's apparel trades; the East and Gulf Coast maritime industry did not alter pay rates during 1958.

[^18]:    ${ }^{8}$ This summary of changes in union scales in the construction trades differs from the information presented earlier in this article in two respects: (1) it is based on information on union scales in all cities studied whether or not these scales were renegotiated during the year, whereas the data presented in table 2 refer only to situations in which wages were subject to negotiation; and (2) the summary of construction scales refers to all changes effective durIng 1958 regardless of when they were negotiated. It is roughly comparable to the information presented in table 1.

[^19]:    ${ }^{1}$ Union scales are the minimum wage scales (excluding holiday and vacation payments made directly to the worker each pay period) or maximum schedules of hours agreed upon through collective bargaining between trade unions and employers. Rates in excess of the negotiated minimum, which may be paid for special qualifications or other reasons, are not included.

    The survey covered union scales in effect on July 1, 1958, for approximately 650,000 journeymen and 160,000 helpers and laborers in 52 cities with a population of 100,000 or more. Data were obtained primarily from local union officials by mail questionnaire; in some instances, Bureau representatives visited local union officials to obtain the desired information.

    Mimeographed listings of union scales by trade are available for each city included in the survey. The detailed findings of the study will be available in Union Wages and Hours: Building Trades (forthcoming BLS Bull. 1245).

    The current survey was designed to reflect union wage scales in the building construction industry in all cities of 100,000 or more population. All cities with 500,000 or more population were included, as were most cities in the population group of 250,000 to 500,000 . The cities in the 100,000 to 250,000 group selected for study were distributed widely throughout the United States. The data for some of the cities included were weighted to compensate for the other cities which were not surveyed. In order to provide appropriate representation in the combination of data, each geographic region and population group was considered separately when city weights were assigned.
    ${ }^{2}$ Average hourly scales, designed to show current levels, are based on all scales reported in effect on July 1, 1958. Individual scales are weighted by the number of union members having each rate. These averages are not designed for precise year-to-year comparisons because of fluctuations in membership and in job classifications studied. Average cents-per-hour and percent changes from July 1, 1957, to July 1, 1958, are based on comparable quotations for the various occupational classifications in both periods weighted by the membership reported in the current survey. The index series, designed for trend purposes, is similarly constructed.

[^20]:    ${ }^{3}$ For data as of July 1, 1957, see Union Wage Scales in Building Trades, 1957 (in Monthly Labor Review, February 1958, pp. 171-175).

[^21]:    - The prevalence of negotiated health, insurance, and pension programs for contruction workers was first studied in July 1954. Information on these plans was restricted to those financed entirely or in part by the employer. Plans financed by workers through union dues or assessments were excluded from the study. No attempt was made to secure information on the kind and extent of benefits provided or on the cost of plans providing such benefits. In the current study, however, information was obtained on the amount of employer contribution in terms of cents per hour or percent of rate.

[^22]:    ${ }^{1}$ These estimates of work injuries were compiled by the U.S. Department of Labor's Bureau of Labor Statistics in collaboration with the National Safety Council. They are based upon all available data from various Federal and State agencies and upon sample surveys in some industries. Data on the exact distribution of cases by type of disability are not available for some industries; in these, approximations of the breakdowns of cases have been made for inclusion in the grand totals, but have not been shown in the accompanying table for the individual industries. See footnotes to table for specifle sources and limitations.

    A disabling work injury is any injury occurring in the course of, and arising out of, employment which (a) results in death or in permanent physical impairment or (b) makes the injured worker unable to perform the duties of any regularly established job which is open and available to him, throughout the hours corresponding to his regular shift on any 1 or more days after the day of injury (including Sundays, days off, or plant shutdowns). The term "injury" includes occupational disease.

    Estimates of work injuries derived from the U.S. National Health Surveys are much broader than the concept of "disabling" injury, as defined above, as they include all persons suffering injuries involving 1 or more days of "restricted activity" or mudical attention.
    ${ }^{2}$ Estimates of deaths due to work injuries compiled by the National Safety Council date from 1928. The Bureau of Labor Statistics series began in 1936.
    ${ }^{3}$ Each death and permanent impairment is assigned a standard timecharge, based on the average lost work-life expectancy or lost working efficiency, as established in the scale presented in the American Standard Method of Recording and Measuring Work Injury Experience, approved by the American Standards Association in 1954.

    - Time losses for temporary disabilities are figured in terms of calendar days, thus this total does not represent total workdays lost.

[^23]:    ${ }^{1}$ This article originally appeared as part of a study on Housing Costs and Family Income, prepared by the Bureau of Labor Statistics, for the Subcommittee on Housing of the U.S. Senate Committee on Banking and Currency. For the full paper, see Study of Mortgage Credit, Committee Print, 85th Cong., 2 d sess. (Washington, 1958), pp. 70-80.
    ${ }_{2}$ The Federal Housing Administration, under the 1934 National Housing Act, as amended (title II, sec. 203), insures mortgages on 1- to 4 -family houses which meet FHA minimum property standards relating to design, construction, and location. The mortgage on a single-family dwelling is limited to $\$ 20,000$. The chief credit requirements are (1) that the borrower must have a good credit standing and the cash needed for downpayment and closing charges, and (2) that the monthly payments under the mortgage will bear a proper relation to his income and expenses.
    The FHA does not make loans, plan, or build housing. For a full description of the several FHA mortgage insurance programs (including aid to rental projects, cooperative housing, etc.) under titles II and VIII (as well as under other titles) of the National Housing Act, see the following Federal Housing Administration publications: This Is the FHA (FHA 2650, rev., 1957); FHA Digest of Insurable Loans (1958); and FHA Facts for Home Buyers (leaflet).
    ${ }^{3}$ The Veterans Administration, under the Servicemen's Readjustment Act of 1944, as amended, guarantees loans made to veterans for the purchase or construction of homes. The guaranty is now limited to 60 percent of the loan amount, but not over $\$ 7,500$. For a loan to be guaranteed, the term is limited to a maximum of 30 years and the interest rate to not more than $43 / 4$ percent per annum. (In small communities remote from metropolitan centers where GI loan financing is not available from private lending sources, veterans may apply to the VA for direct housing loans.) For a general description of the loan guaranty program, see GI Loans: The First 10 Years (VA Pamphlet 4A-11, 1954).
    The VA program is limited to veterans of World War II and Koreaveterans honorably discharged with 90 days' active service or service-connected injury or disability. However, the VA program provides more liberal loan ratios at lower interest rates, as compared with the FHA mortgage insurance.

[^24]:    ${ }_{2}^{1}$ Units started in the first quarter of 1956.
    ${ }_{2}$ New units purchased and financed. See text footnote 2.
    ${ }^{3}$ New units financed through loans closed and guaranteed. See text footnote 3 .
    ${ }^{4}$ Less than 0.5 percent.
    Source: Total units, from Bureau of Labor Statistics report on Characteristics of New Housing, First Quarter 1956, Part I, p. 6; FHA data, derived from 23d Annual Report, Federal Housing Administration, table III-45, p. 69; VA data, compiled from various issues of Veterans Administration's monthly statistical summary reports-Trends in GI Home Loan Activity for 1956.

[^25]:    ${ }^{1}$ Census Bureau estimates for urban families of 2 or more persons. The FHA and VA estimates of house purchases by income of buyer do not conform precisely to the income class limits used by the Census, but the data are form precisely to the income class limits used by the Census, but the data are
    sufficiently similar to provide a valid indication of the extent to which families sufficiently similar to provide a valid indication of the extent
    in various income ranges benefit from the Federal program.
    in various income ranges benefit from the Federal program.
    ${ }^{2}$ The buyers of FHA - or VA-insured units may be either single persons or heads of families, but the number of single buyers is probably too small to affect the data significantly;
    ${ }^{2}$ "Prior approval loans,", representing direct applications by individual buyers of both new and existing houses. These make up about 75 percent of the total VA activity in guaranteed loans.

    Includes heat and utilities.

    - Total homeowner disbursements for housing costs, including downpayments and principal payments, mortgage interest, taxes, insurance, maintenance and repair, heat, and utilities.
    ${ }^{6}$ Less than 0.05 percent.
    Under \$3,000.
    - Under $\$ 3,600$.

    Source: Family income, Income of Families and Persons in the United States, 1956, Current Population Reports, Family Income, Series P-60, No. 27 (U.S. Bureau of the Census, 1958), table 1, p. 21; FHA data, derived from Federal Housing Administration's 23d Annual Report, 1956, table III-51, p. 75, and table III-54, p. 79; VA data from Veterans Administration's Loan Guaranty Highlights, March 1958, p. 6; and housing costs, from Bureau of Labor Statistics, Study of Consumer Expenditures, Incomes, and Savings (Philadelphia, University of Pennsylvania, 1956-57), Vol. XI, table 4, and Vol. XVIII, table 1-4.

[^26]:    41955 Survey of Consumer Finances: The Financial Position of Consumers (in Federal Reserve Bulletin, Washington, June 1955, table 1, p. 614); and Characteristics of the Low-Income Population and Related Federal Programs (Washington, U.S. Congress, Joint Committee on the Economic Report, Subcommittee on Low-Income Families, 1955), Joint Committee Print, 84th Cong., 1st sess., table 3, p. 25.

[^27]:    ${ }^{5}$ U.S. Congress, Joint Committee on the Economic Report, op. cit., p. 48 - See full text of paper for additional data bearing on these summary statements.

[^28]:    Formal mediation includes those situations where the mediator contributes to the settlement of a dispute through advice, consultation, arrangement of meetings, or by actually participating in conferences with the parties.
    Informal mediation refers to the mediator's activity, after jurisdiction has been accepted, of maintaining liaison between disputing parties without actually participating in conferences.

[^29]:    ${ }^{1}$ For basic chronology and supplements, see Monthly Labor Review, March 1952 (pp. 300-305), May 1953 (pp. 512-513), March 1954 (pp. 290-291), or Wage Chronology Series 4, No. 21.

[^30]:    1 There had been no differential in rates for these occupations between shipbuilding and ship repair prior to 1951, when the differential for other jobs was eliminated; hence, workers in these occupations had received smaller

[^31]:    wage increases in that year than other shipbuilding employees. Differences in the size of wage increases in 1954 for various automotive equipment operators resulted from their combination into a single job classification.

[^32]:    ${ }^{1}$ Union scales are defined as the minimum wage scales or maximum schedules of hours agreed upon through collective bargaining between trade unions and employers. Rates in excess of the negotiated minimum, which may be paid for special qualifications or other reasons, are not included.
    The information presented in this report was based on union scales in effect on July 1, 1958, and covered approximately 265,000 drivers and 37,000 helpers in 52 cities with populations of 100,000 or more. Over-the-road drivers and local city drivers paid on a mileage or commission basis were excluded from the study. Data were obtained from local union officials primarily by mail questionnaire; in some cities, data were obtained from regional or local officials of the union by representatives of the Bureau of Labor Statistics.
    Forthcoming BLS Bull. 1246 contains detailed summary information. Mimeographed listings of union scales are available for each city included in the survey.
    The current survey was designed to reflect union wage scales of local motortruck drivers and helpers in all cities of 100,000 or more population. All cities with 500,000 or more population were included, as were most cities in the population group of 250,000 to 500,000 . The cities in the 100,000 to 250,000 group selected for study were distributed widely throughout the United States. The data for some of the cities included in the study in the two smaller size groups were weighted in order to compensate for eities which were not surveyed. In order to provide appropriate representation in the combination of data, each geographic region and population group was considered separately when city weights were assigned.
    ${ }^{2}$ For ease of reading in this and subsequent discussions of tabulations, the limits of class intervals are designated as 3 to 5 percent, 6 to 9 cents, etc., instead of using the more precise terminology, 3 and under 5 percent, 6 and under 9 cents, etc.
    3 The averages computed on the basis of hourly scales are designed to show current rate levels in effect on July 1, 1958. Individual scales are weighted by the number of union members having each rate. These averages are not designed for precise year-to-year comparisons (e.g., see Union Wage Scales in Local City Trucking, July 1, 1957, in Monthly Labor Review, February 1958, pp. 167-170) because of fluctuations in membership and in classifications studied. Average cents-per-hour and percent changes from July 1, 1957, to July 1, 1958, are based on comparable quotations for the various occupational classifications in both periods, weighted by the membership reported for the current survey. The index series, designed for trend purposes, is similarly constructed.

[^33]:    - Parker v. Borock (N.Y. Ct. App., Jan. 15, 1959).

    S Parker v. Borock, 150 NYS 2d 396 (App. Div., 2d Dept., 1956).

[^34]:    - Whitfield v. United Steelworkers, Local 2708 (C.A. 5, Jan. 30, 1959).
    ' Seafarers' International Union, Atlantic \& Gulf District v. NLRB (D.O. Cir., Jan. 29, 1959).

[^35]:    - When a secondary employer is harboring the situs of a dispute between a union and a primary employer, "the picketing of the premises of a secondary employer is primary if it meets the following conditions: (a) the picketing is strictly limited to times when the situs of dispute is located on the secondary employer's premises; (b) at the time of the picketing the primary employer is engaged in its normal business at the situs; (c) the picketing is limited to places reasonably close to the location of the situs; and (d) the picketing discloses clearly that the dispute is with the primary employer." In re Sailors' Union of the Pacific and Moore Dry Dock Co., 92 NLRB 547,549 (1950).
    - Kaiser v. United States (C.A. 7, Dec. 22, 1958).
    ${ }^{10}$ This section states: "Gross income does not include the value of property acquired by gift . . ." 26 U.S.C.A. 8102 (a) (1955).
    ${ }_{11} 26$ U.S.C.A. § 61(a) (1955).

[^36]:    ${ }^{12}$ Kaiser v. United States, 158 F. Supp. 865 (U.S.D.C. E.D. Wis., 1958).

[^37]:    *Prepared in the Division of Wages and Industrial Relations, Bureau of Labor Statistics, on the basis of currently available published material.
    ${ }^{1}$ See Monthly Labor Review, January 1959, p. 67.
    ${ }^{3}$ The ILA was expelled from the AFL in 1953 on charges of gangster infiltration.

[^38]:    ${ }^{3}$ See Monthly Labor Review, March 1958, p. 300.

[^39]:    - See Monthly Labor Review, December 1958, p. 1407.
    ${ }^{6}$ See Monthly Labor Review, March 1959, pp. 301-302.

[^40]:    - See Monthly Labor Review, September 1958, p. 1024.
    ${ }^{7}$ See Monthly Labor Review, April 1958, pp 422-423.

[^41]:    500108-59-5

[^42]:    ${ }^{1}$ This table is included in the March, June, September, and December issues of the Review.
    2 The labor turnover tables (B-1 and B-2) have been dropped from the Review pending a general revision of the Current Labor Statistics section because, beginning with January 1959 data, the categories for which labor turnover rates are published differ from those previously published. Current data are available monthly in Employment and Earnings or may be obtained upon request.

[^43]:    ${ }^{3}$ This table is included in the January, April, July, and October issues of the Review.

[^44]:    ${ }^{1}$ Beginning with the August 1958 issue, figures for 1956-58 differ from those previously published because of the adjustment of the employment estimates to 1st quarter 1957 benchmark levels indicated by data from government social insurance programs. Statistics from 1957 forward are subject to revision when new benchmarks become avallable.
    These series are based upon establishment reports which cover all full- and part-time employees in nonagricultural establishments who worked during, or received pay for, any part of the pay period ending nearest the 15th of the month. Therefore, persons who worked in more than one establishment during the reporting period are counted more than once. Proprietors, selfemployed persons, unpaid family workers, and domestic servants are excluded.
    ${ }_{2}$ Preliminary.

[^45]:    ${ }^{3}$ Data for Federal establishments refer to continental United States; they relate to civilian employees who worked on, or received pay for, the last day of the month.
    State and local government data exclude, as nominal employees, elected officials of small local units and paid volunteer firemen.
    Note: For a description of these series, see Techniques of Preparing Major BLS Statistical Series, BLS Bull. 1168 (1954).
    Source: U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics for all series except those for the Federal Government, which is prepared by the U.S. Civil Service Commission, and that for Class I railroads, which is prepared by the U.S. Interstate Commerce Commission.

[^46]:    See footnotes at end of table.

[^47]:    See footnotes at end of table.

[^48]:    ${ }^{1}$ See footnote 1, table O-3.

[^49]:    ${ }^{1}$ See footnote 1 and Note, table D-1.
    ${ }^{2}$ Includes household appliances, furniture and bedding, floor coverings, dinnerware, automobiles, tires, radio and television sets, durable toys, sportIng goods, and from 1953 forward, water heaters, kitchen sinks, sink faucets, and porch flooring.
    'Includes solid fuels, fuel oil, textile housefurnishings, household paper,
    electric light bulbs, laundry soap and detergents, apparel (except shoe repairs), gasoline, motor oil, prescriptions and drugs, toilet goods, nondurable toys, newspapers, cigarettes, cigars, beer, whiskey, and from 1953 forward, house paint and paint brush.

    - Includes rent, gas, electricity, dry cleaning, laundry service, domestic service, telephone, water, postage, shoe repairs, auto repairs, auto insurance,

[^50]:    ${ }_{2}^{1}$ See footnote 1 and Note, table D-1.
    ${ }_{2}$ Based on prices in the 46 cities used in compiling the Consumer Price
    Index. Average prices for each of the 20 large cities listed in table D-5 are
    available upon request.
    ${ }_{4}^{3}$ Prices collected 1 week earlier than the week containing the 15 th as usual.
    4 December $1952=100$.
    5 Not available.
    ${ }^{6} 10$ months' average.
    8 May $1953=100$.
    Priced only in season.
    10 January $1953=100$.

[^51]:    ${ }^{1}$ See footnote 1 and Note, table D-1. Indexes measure time-to-time changes in prices of goods and services purchased by urban wage-earner and clerical-worker families. They do not indicate whether it costs more to live ln one city than in another.
    ${ }_{2}$ Average of 46 cities.

[^52]:    ${ }_{1}$ See Note and footnote 1, table D-7.
    ${ }^{2}$ Preliminary. ${ }^{8}$ Revised
    4 This index was formerly Building materials.

[^53]:    Note: For a description of these series and data beginning with 1947, see Wholesale Prices and Price Indexes, 1957, BLS Bull. 1235 (1958). Source: U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics.

[^54]:    1 See footnote 1, table F-3.
    ${ }^{2}$ Revised.

[^55]:    1 Excludes temporary units, conversions, dormitory accommodations raflers, and military barracks; includes prefabricated housing if permanent.

    These estimates are based on (1) monthly building-permit reports adjusted or lapsed permits and for lag between permit issuance and the start of con struction, (2) continuous field surveys in nonpermit-issuing places, and (3) eports of public construction contract awards.
    Private construction costs are based on permit valuation adjusted for anderstatement of costs shown on permit applications. Public construction costs are based on contract values or estimated construction costs for individual projects.

