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## The Labor Month in Review

A major, though not unexpected, barrier to organic labor unity and effectuation of the AFLCIO no-raiding pact was refusal of the Teamsters to ratify the document. Teamster president Dave Beck contended that the union preferred to obtain no-raiding agreements with individual unions. Teamsters have already entered into separate agreements with the Machinists, the Bakers, the Upholsterers, the Laundry Workers, and the Building Service employees, all AFL affiliates. In addition, Beck complained that various AFL and CIO unions had invaded the Teamsters' jurisdiction to capture about 50,000 members.

Currently, most of the key CIO unions have filed signatures of approval with their central headquarters; 43 of the 110 AFL affiliates have indicated their willingness to sign. But the Teamsters have the largest AFL membership and frequent jurisdictional disputes.

A meeting of CIO, AFL, and independent unions representing more than 200,000 employees in the oil and chemical industries reported plans for a union merger and drafted a constitution. The proposed organization, of which the CIO Oil Workers is the largest segment, has not indicated affiliation plans.

Unity-by-attrition is apparently being achieved in the CIO Electrical Workers union. The largest local of its rival-comprised of nearly 20,000 General Electric employees in Schenectady-voted to switch affiliation from the left-wing independent union. Two days later, on March 12, James B. Carey, president of the IUE-CIO, wryly suggested in a letter to Albert Fitzgerald, president of the rival organization, Julius Emspak, secretarytreasurer, and James Matles, organizational di-
rector, that as representatives of a "minority" they could serve "only as a divisive influence" and that they resign "and leave the labor movement forthwith." Contract negotiations with both Westinghouse and General Electric commence in April.

Governmental revelations of corruption in the handling of some welfare funds has resulted in increased union concern with self-regulation. The AFL executive council called on all affiliates to take steps to "insure proper administration." In making this recommendation, the council pointed out that the "vast majority of welfare programs had been established on a sound basis and were being wisely and prudently administered," but that "there are instances where it is charged that local unions have permitted administration costs to go too high and where abuses have been charged in the distribution of commissions by agents of insurance companies." The AFL Upholsterers ordered an investigation of "padding" of medical and hospital bills to inflate costs. The AFL Central Trades and Labor Council in the New York City area has named a 3-man commission (2 management members and 1 labor member) to conduct an inquiry into union welfare funds and to draft "ethical and economic standards" for their administration.

The new york longshore situation flared up again just as the NLRB was proceeding with a full hearing on charges of intimidation in the December waterfront representation election. A protest by members of the old International Longshoremen's Association over recognition of a steward belonging to the new AFL-affiliated union developed into almost a portwide shutdown. Company withdrawal of this recognition was followed by picketing by AFL longshoremen and refusal by AFL Teamsters to cross the picket line. The old ILA retaliated by refusing to load or unload goods on vehicles manned by the Teamsters, thus extending the stoppage to over 20 piers. The boycott of waterfront truck movements led to an NLRB petition for an injunction against the old ILA under the Taft-Hartley Act's secondary boycott provisions. A wildeat strike followed which virtually shut down the entire port. This was followed by an NLRB request for a contempt of court citation against the old ILA, with a fine of $\$ 100,000$ against the union and imprison-
ment for 3 local leaders. However, the grant of the citation and the fine, together with the request of the old ILA president for a resumption of work, did not immediately end the walkout.

The AFL-sponsored longshore union meanwhile claimed success in South Atlantic and Gulf Coast ports. A reported 17 locals applied for AFL charters in a 2-day period. The exodus from the old ILA, according to AFL sources, was stimulated by a proposal that the southern locals affiliate with the United Mine Workers, which had provided some finances for the union, expelled by the AFL last September. The Port of New York locals of the old ILA could not continue in the NLRB representation proceedings if they joined the Miners, because the Miners have not filed non-Communist affidavits required under the Taft-Hartley Act.

A major NLRB decision established new rules on separate bargaining for craft employees. The majority decision in the American Potash case held that the old Board policy (National Tube doctrine) of denying severance to crafts in industries with highly integrated production processes will not be extended beyond the industries previously covered (basic aluminum and steel, lumber, and wet milling). Craft severance will be permitted "where a true craft group is sought and where, in addition, the union seeking to represent it is one which traditionally represents the craft." Rules were also announced for the granting of separate representation to departmental units "which by tradition and practice have acquired craft-like characteristics."

In a significant mid-February decision, the Federal Court of Appeals in St. Louis, reversing an NLRB ruling, held that under the Taft-Hartley Act a union may not legally strike until expiration of a contract, even if the 60-day cooling-off period has been observed. The CIO Packing. house Workers had struck Wilson and Co. in support of wage demands made under a reopening clause.

The railroad carriers announced that they would appeal a recent Federal court decision validating health and welfare plans and liberalized uniform free transportation rights as bargainable issues. There was a sharp protest from the railroad unions and the AFL against a communication from Secretary of Agriculture Ezra T. Benson to a

Presidential emergency board investigating the dispute over demands of the nonoperating railroad unions. He had opposed any recommendations which "might provide any basis" for an increase in freight rates on farm products. The board returned the letter, ruling that it could not properly consider it. A second board has recommended increases for Railway Express Agency employees in certain cities represented by the Railway Clerks. The Railway Conductors have followed the wage increase pattern accepted by two other operating unions.

The unemployment survey of the Census Bureau for February revealed a marked increase of about a half million from the previous month to a total of 3.7 million.

The National Conference on Labor Legislation is a group very close to unemployment problems. Late in February in Washington, where it meets annually at the invitation of the Secretary of Labor, its concern with the current unemployment situation led it to depart from the prepared agenda and urge improvement in both administration and benefits of unemployment insurance.

Just prior to announcement on March 10 of a new agreement between Mexico and the United States on the emigration of Mexican farm labor to this country, the conference took cognizance of this matter as well as the broader problem of migrant labor, especially the need for greater protection of the health, education, and welfare of children involved, urging close Federal-State cooperation. The new international arrangement modifies the 1951 pact and carries it forward to the end of 1955. Most important was a provision that wage rates will be those prevailing for domestic farm workers in a given area as determined by the Secretary of Labor, subject to Mexican challenge. New recruitment stations in Mexico are to be opened. A bi-national commission has been formed to study continuing problems of the migration. Independent of the official actions, trade union representatives of the two countries were to meet in Washington March 20 to discuss the situation. Unions in this country, commenting on the agreement, want stronger controls against illegal entry and hiring, union consultation on manpower needs in a given locality, and opportunity to present testimony before a prevailing wage is determined.

# The Government's Industrial Employees 

II-Consultation, Bargaining, and Wage Determination

Joseph P. Goldberg*

Editor's Note. -This is the last of a two-part article. The first, which appeared in the January issue, dealt with the extent of employment, status, and organization of Federal "blue collar" workers.

The group status of "blue collar" workers in the Federal Government has already been delineated: their right to join organizations of their own choosing is recognized, but they are prohibited from striking; direct formal negotiations with their organizations are the exception; informal or formal procedural substitutes for bargaining are generally available. Where collective bargaining may be said to exist (if this can be held to exist in the absence of the right to strike), ample provision has been made for the Federal Government's exercising its sovereign rights.

Since wage fixing is subject to administrative determination, procedural arrangements for group consultation have been made in this area particularly. Where the wage determination function is wholly or partly decentralized, provision is generally made for such consultation at both the local wage board and the national agency level. This is frequently accompanied by formal or informal procedures for consultation on the broader policy aspects of wage determination, as well as other aspects of working conditions.

The industrial character of the work performed by the "blue collar" workers readily lends itself to the prevailing wage approach to wage determination. It has permitted the striking of a balance between those who take the respective positions that the Government shall act as "pacemaker" or "follower." Employee organizations representing "blue collar" workers accept this approach; they are often critical, however, of specific aspects of its administration.

The prevailing rate approach, normally based on cross-industry trends in a locality, has per-
mitted a substantial degree of flexibility in wage administration during the postwar period of rapidly changing wage levels. Federal "blue collar" workers have been able to approximate the wage increases received by their private counterparts. However, the very application of prevailing wage determination makes for a lag in meeting private conditions; the character of the administrative machinery determines the extent of the lag.

## Group Consultation Under Wage Boards

Wage board procedures are generally used throughout the Federal Government for determining wage rates of "blue collar" workers. All of these provide at least for employee consultation. The differences in the forms of such consultation merit attention as evidence of institutional and administrative adaptations to varied situations and needs.

The Navy Department established wage boards in 1864 to determine wage rates and hours of work, in line with rates prevailing at the "principal private mechanical establishments in the vicinity of the yard." Appointed by the commandant of each navy yard, the wage boards originally included both civilians and officers, but later were all officers. The instructions issued in 1864 required the commandant to post the reported wage scale so "that the workmen may examine it and state their views on it to the commandant." Following the outbreak of the Spanish-American War, the practice of consulting employee representatives during the wage-

[^0]fixing process itself became widespread. ${ }^{1}$ Final determinations of the wage schedules, by the statute of 1862 , were subject to the review of the Secretary of the Navy.

This statute and the administrative practices developed for its effectuation can be considered the prototype for the wage board system of wage determination. The wage board procedure has been devised essentially for fact-finding purposes. It is used to determine the prevailing rates paid certain classes of employees in particular localities.

The term "wage board," as used in this article, applies to the fact-finding, wage-determining process, rather than merely to particular administrative bodies. The Navy Department has no "wage board"-but its procedure includes area surveys by "committees," wage recommendations by the Office of Industrial Relations, review by the Navy wage committee, and determination by the Secretary. The Army and Air Force have "locality wage survey boards," wage determination by the Technical Staff of the Army-Air Force Wage Board, and policy consideration by the board itself. Other agencies, such as the Departments of the Interior and Agriculture, use the term "wage boards" to apply to local, bureau, and departmental bodies.

The administrative organization of the wage board systems varies among the agencies according to specific needs and historic practices. Defense Department wage determinations are centralized-the statute of 1862 required the Secretary of Navy to approve all wage determinations; the Army and Air Force established the Army-Air Force Wage Board to coordinate wage policy among the various services of the two departments. In other agencies, there is bureau autonomy under general departmental policies and review-the Department of the Interior has delegated authority to its bureaus to establish wage rates, subject to prior departmental approval of individual bureau wage boards; the Department of Agriculture has a departmental wage board which reviews bureau determinations on a postaudit basis.

The wage boards consist of administrative officials who generally are familiar with occupational classification and wage practices. Local wage boards include representatives of the major agency facilities in the area, and may include departmental representatives. Departmental wage
boards may include representatives of the individual bureaus in some instances.

Practice regarding wage data collection varies. Centralized operations such as those in the Department of Defense utilize local wage survey committees or boards to gather wage data, subject to review and wage determination (ArmyAir Force) or recommendation (Navy) by the technical staffs. The wage boards of the Departments of Agriculture and Interior gather wage data and make wage recommendations to their respective bureau chiefs. The Commerce Department and several other agencies make extensive use of the Army-Air Force and Navy wage schedules in making wage determinations.

Representation is accorded to employees or their representatives under the wage board procedures of all of the agencies. The procedures frequently provide for selection of wage data collectors from among the mechanics employed at the facilities, but on the basis of their individual qualifications and not solely as representatives of a particular craft or organization. Employees are appointed, under some agency procedures, to serve as observers on the wage survey committees.

Employees and their representatives are provided the opportunity to submit data on firms and occupations to be surveyed. The procedures include posting of lists of firms and occupations to be surveyed, so that amendments can be suggested. Following the surveys, conferences or hearings may be held to inform employees and their representatives of the firms and jobs surveyed.

The procedures may include provision for formal or informal appeal from the wage surveys and the recommendations of wage boards or technical staffs. In the case of the Navy Department, a formal procedure, including labor union representation, subjects determinations of the Office of Industrial Relations to review by the Navy Wage Committee. This is a committee of 5 members appointed by the Secretary of Navy - 2 nominated by the Chief of the Office of Industrial Relations; 1 each nominated by the presidents of the Metal Trades Department, AFL, and district No. 44, International Association of Machinists, AFL,

[^1]which represent the most substantial numbers of organized employees in the naval field service; and 1 rotating membership from among representatives of the various Navy bureaus. The committee considers the appropriateness of proposed wage schedules on the basis of the facts presented in the wage survey reports for the areas in question, prior to recommendation to the Secretary.

The Army-Air Force Wage Board has delegated administration of wage policy and specific rate determinations to its Technical Staff. Although no such matter has yet been appealed, actions of the Staff may be referred to the board upon request. The Staff exchanges nonconfidential information on wage actions with union representatives in day-to-day contacts.

Other agencies, such as the Departments of Interior and Agriculture, make provision for the handling of appeals at local field levels, or if necessary, on up through channels to the Secretary's office for final decision.

Union advice is frequently obtained prior to promulgation of broader policies relating to personnel. This was sought informally by the Navy Department in the past; but more recently, it has been agreed to "refer major changes in personnel policy to your (union) organization for advice and comments prior to adoption navywide." This is an acknowledgment of the principle of "collective cooperation" between management and employee organizations, long in effect in the Navy. ${ }^{2}$ The president of the Metal Trades Department has recently described the effects of this policy as giving the unions "a much greater part in the regulations which govern the employees of the Navy . . ." ${ }^{3}$

Unlike the Navy Wage Committee, the ArmyAir Force Wage Board is concerned solely with policy determination. This board consists of $6 \mathrm{mem}-$ bers, 3 appointed by the Secretary of each Department. It has expressed as its basic policy that it welcomes consultation with employee groups on matters within its jurisdiction. ${ }^{4}$

[^2]
## Direct Negotiations

Although few Government agencies negotiate directly with union representatives on "blue collar" wages, these exceptions provide interesting contrasts. The negotiations covering Government Printing Office workers arise out of a specific legislative requirement; however, they vary substantially from collective bargaining for private employees. For employees of the Tennessee Valley Authority, the Bonneville Power Administration, the Bureau of Reclamation, and the Bureau of Mines, formal agreements and arrangements for wage determinations are the product of individual administrative policies.

The Government Printing Office was the first agency to fix wages by negotiations with representatives chosen by its employees. In 1924, the Kiess Act declared that wages were to be determined by "a conference between the Public Printer and a committee selected by the trades affected and [that] the rates . . . so agreed upon shall become effective upon approval by the Congressional Joint Committee on Printing." These negotiating committees, selected by the journeymen in the various crafts, submit requests to the Public Printer, who makes written counterproposals. Meetings are then held, with adjustments in proposals based on consideration of rates prevailing in private printing establishments in the District of Columbia and in 25 major industrial centers. The results of these negotiations are submitted to the Congressional Joint Committee on Printing which approves agreements or arbitrates differences. The new rates are then promulgated by the Public Printer in an administrative order. (In this procedure, the representatives of the crafts are legally viewed as individuals, rather than as union representatives.)

The TVA Act prescribes that laborers and mechanics are to be paid on the basis of wages prevailing in the vicinity for similar private work and that "in the determination of such prevailing rate and rates, due regard shall be given to those rates which have been secured through collective agreement by representatives of employers and employees." The act also prescribes that disputes as to prevailing rates shall be referred to the Secretary of Labor for determination. These pro-
visions have been interpreted as "clearly contemplating" joint participation by representatives of the employees and of TVA management in the determination of wages. ${ }^{5}$

The TVA has formal agreements with the Tennessee Valley Trades and Labor Council, representing 15 unions of employees in the trades and labor classifications, as well as with the Salary Policy Employee Panel, representing 6 unions of white-collar workers. The agreements specifically recognize the distinction between governmental and private employment. Recognition of majority representation is contained in the trades and labor agreement. The procedural arrangements for collective bargaining include detailed steps for joint determination of "prevailing wages" in the "vicinity." The procedure calls for: a preliminary conference to determine the need for a wage conference; a survey of wages by TVA; and a wage conference, with the Director of TVA Personnel and the President of the Tennessee Valley Trades and Labor Council as cochairmen of the negotiating body.

At the wage conference, the unions present a brief. Their requests and supporting data, and the TVA survey, are referred by the conference to the joint wage data committee (consisting of equal labor and management representation). The committee ascertains the "factuality" of the data submission, and reports back to the wage conference. Negotiations are then conducted on the basis of the respective labor and management interpretations of the data. "Agreement as to what shall be the wage rate for TVA is not a scientific determination because of the many variables, but an agreement is hammered out in the negotiations, where the TVA is interested in tipping the balance in favor of low costs, and the council in tipping it in favor of higher wage levels. Concessions in interpretations are made on both sides until, as a rule, agreement is reached on the entire wage scale." ${ }^{6}$ In only three cases since 1933 has a dispute between labor and management been referred to the Secretary of Labor for a decision. Each of these cases involved only a few classifications of a single craft. The agreement also provides for a joint classification committee to determine classification schedules, and for union-management cooperative conferences on matters relating to increasing efficiency.

Agreements covering the Bonneville Power Administration and several Bureau of Reclamation and Bureau of Mines operations are permitted under Department of Interior regulations. These regulations permit agencies and bureaus to negotiate agreements or statements of labor policy with organizations representing "blue collar" workers. The regulations are based upon a policy memorandum issued by the Secretary of the Interior in 1948 which states that "blue collar" workers "are recruited for the public service from the ranks of workers in private industry, where wage rates, hours, and working conditions are generally determined by the processes of collective bargaining," and that the ungraded employees "through labor organizations with which they have identified themselves . . . have . . . shown increasing interest in the determination of their rates of pay and the conditions under which they work." ${ }^{7}$ The policy memorandum acknowledges specifically the overriding requirements of Federal laws and orders, as well as the rights of individuals and minority organizations to be heard on proposed agreements or statements of policy.

The agreement between the Bonneville Power Administration and the Columbia Power Trades Council, representing 16 AFL unions, outlines the distinct requirements of Government employment. The agreement states that "cooperation by the Administrator and the employees on the basis of mutual understanding between them arrived at through the processes of collective bargaining is indispensable to the accomplishment of those public purposes." 8 The agreement provides for a wage determination procedure which is similar to that of the TVA. It provides for mediation and arbitration on any matter subject to negotiations, including rates of pay. Similar agreements are in effect between the Bureau of Reclamation, Department of Interior, and the Columbia Basin Trades Council, the Central Valley Trades Council, the Colorado River Power Trades Council, and the International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, Local 1761.

[^3]
## Economics of Wage Determination

In the 19 th century, Navy Department and Government Printing Office wage determinations were largely restricted to shipbuilding and to printing activities, respectively. Consequently, prevailing wage determinations were made only for the skilled crafts and for helper classifications. No serious wage determination problems then existed; serious problems of comparability have arisen only as the character of modern industry became increasingly diversified. The prevailing rate for the appropriate crafts could be determined from rates paid comparable occupations in the vicinity. Problems of comparable establishments and of internal job alinements were comparatively few in such an environment.

Industrial development has produced diversification in both private and Government industrial activities. At present, activities at governmental facilities frequently cannot be readily identified with those of any particular industry. Cross-industry surveys have therefore become necessary. Furthermore, the manifold unskilled and semiskilled jobs entailed in the growth of specialization have required a reorientation in approach. To attempt to determine the locality rate for each job would be impractical, if not impossible. Techniques have therefore been developed to determine appropriate internal job alinements with pr vailing rates for key jobs as a base.

## DEFENSE DEPARTMENT PROCEDURES

The Defense Department, by virtue of its preeminent position as employer of Federal industrial workers and its diversified activities, has had to develop the most systematic procedures for wage rate determination. The arrangements are based upon the application of locality wage levels to a fixed internal job rate alinement system.

The Navy Department has had a well established centralized arrangement since 1862, as already indicated. Its wage determination techniques were influenced largely by the dominant role of its shipbuilding activities. Between 1929

[^4]and 1940, however, the Navy wage board system was largely inoperative. With the outbreak of war, the Navy Department agreed to follow the rates established by the private Shipbuilding Zone Stabilization Agreement. Diversification in its activities resulted in the establishment of inland facilities, for which comparison with similar industries in appropriately defined local labor markets was required under the wartime wage stabilization program.

The Navy Department also made adjustments in wage administration, during the war and since then, to reconcile the requirements of appropriate internal alinement with the traditional emphasis placed upon the key crafts.

In the War Department, however, prior to 1942, wage determination was decentralized among the various component agencies, the only guide to determining job rates being locality surveys. The degree of centralization among the services ranged to the extremes. The result was an utter lack of uniformity between installations and components, even within the same area, both in job evaluation and in rate establishment. To eliminate these inequities, a centralized wage administration program was established in March 1942 for the Army, ${ }^{9}$ under which locality wage determination was related to a systematic internal job alinement system based upon established private industrial practice. In November 1943, the Army Air Force adopted the locality wage plan, and subsequently converted its system to that adopted by the War Department for the Army.

Although Army-Air Force and Navy Department wage procedures are independent, wage data are collected jointly where both agencies have facilities in the same or contiguous labor markets. In many areas, data collected by one agency are furnished to the other. Coordination on surveys is also effected with the Bureau of Labor Statistics and other Government agencies to insure a minimum of duplication in survey effort. The Bureau of Labor Statistics undertakes such coordination for areas in which community wage surveys are scheduled. ${ }^{10}$

Cross-Industry Locality Wage Surveys. Wage determinations for trades, crafts, and labor operations in manufacturing and plant and equipment
maintenance activities for both Army-Air Force and Navy are based upon locality wage surveys in the labor market area (or the nearest labor market area or the nearest comparable labor market area). These surveys normally include 31 key jobs for the Army-Air Force and 25 for the Navy, although adjustments may be made for local conditions. Usually all major manufacturing, public utility, and transportation companies in the area are canvassed; construction companies and job shops are excluded.

The data collection steps are the same. Weighted average rates paid by each surveyed company in a locality for jobs comparable to the selected key occupations are obtained. These are edited to eliminate individual company rates which are out of line with rates paid by other companies for the same job. The area weighted average for each job is then calculated, the averages inspected, and averages departing radically from the industrial pattern are deleted.
At this point, the procedures part company. In the case of the Army-Air Force, the accepted averages are plotted on a chart by labor grade and a line of least squares is plotted to fit the data. This statistical line or a minor deviation of it, is the basis for determining the second step (or prevailing) rates of the Army-Air Force's 4-step rateranges for nonsupervisory jobs. ${ }^{11}$ Jobs are classified into labor grades through ranking and factor comparison, and the rates for each labor grade are determined in relation to the line of general tendency. A separate schedule for supervisory jobs is determined through a formula which uses the nonsupervisory schedules as a base.

Navy Department techniques reflect how the prevailing wage approach to the traditional craft positions has been adapted to the altered requirements of job classification. First, the two base points of the schedule are set, the upper being the combined weighted average rates for machinist, electrician, sheet-metal worker, pipefitter, and shipfitter, and the lower, base point being the weighted average rate for the helper occupation. The difference between the upper and lower base rates is then calculated to obtain the "length" of the wage line. A tentative rate is then fixed for each job title on the schedule, using 13 intermediate classification levels. ${ }^{12}$ The tentative rate for each occupation is then checked against
the weighted average rate developed from data on prevailing wages. If a marked average discrepancy exists, the base rates are then adjusted to produce a schedule which is in closer agreement with the overall effect of the survey data.

Special Industry Procedures. In surveys of special service occupations, wage data are collected from the specialized industries which utilize these occupations in the area. Such schedules cover lithographic, laundry, motion picture, floating plant, and maritime operations, among others.

## PROCEDURES OF OTHER AGENCIES

The wage determination procedures of other governmental agencies are not as systematized as those of the Defense Department. This stems from their more diversified and less concentrated character. In general, however, the same principles are applied.

The importance of the Army-Air Force and Navy Department determinations is enhanced by the widespread practice of other Federal agencies in following such determinations where their facilities are in the same labor markets.

In other cases, wage boards are established to obtain data for jobs comparable to those described in departmental regulations. Wage board procedures and appropriate wage determination principles-i. e., nonuse of construction rates for maintenance occupations-are also covered in departmental regulations. Cross-industry surveys are used where the work does not readily fall into a specific industrial definition or where the work is unique in the area. In the case of the Government Printing Office, where the work is readily classifiable and where comparable work is performed in the area, comparisons are restricted to wage rates in the industry in the District of Columbia and in 25 major industrial centers.

[^5]
## PROPOSALS FOR POLICY REVISIONS

Proposals for revising the Federal pay system have generally provided for some administrative centralization of wage determination by wage boards under the Civil Service Commission, but with the individual agencies retaining operation of their systems; and also for possible extension of coverage of such wage determinations.

The United States Personnel Classification Board, in its closing report in 1931, recommended placing all Federal pay policies under Civil Service Commission supervision. This was also advocated by the Hoover Commission in 1949: "A comprehensive pay administration policy for the entire executive branch is long overdue. The four policies now in force lead to situations where pay varies not only from agency to agency but also within agencies." The Hoover Commission further recommended that, under the proposed overall policy, administered by the Civil Service Commission through delegation to the departments and agencies, "rates of compensation for postal, clerical, subprofessional, and 'blue collar' jobs should be fixed and adjusted in

[^6]relation to prevailing locality area or industry pay differentials." ${ }^{13}$ The wage board system has been the subject of recent examination by one congressional committee. ${ }^{14}$

Proposals have also been submitted recently to change the method of determining wage rates of about 75,000 Federal employees engaged in maintenance and operation of public buildings and equipment. These employees are currently classified under the "Crafts, Protective, and Custodial Schedule," for which rates were set in the 1949 Classification Act. ${ }^{15}$

WAGE TRENDS AMONG "BLUE COLLAR" WORKERS
Determination of wages on the basis of prevailing rates provides a more automatic basis for wage adjustment in line with broad economic trends, including the cost of living, than does statutory authorization for adjustments. An integral part of the wage board procedure is periodic adjustment when wage trends warrant. The optimum period for resurvey is normally once a year. Surveys have been conducted more frequently when there have been rapid wage changes in private industry; such surveys often are conducted on a spot-check basis to determine the wage change patterns since the last survey. However, workload pressures frequently preclude resurveys more frequently than every 15 months.

Several comparative studies have demonstrated that the prevailing wage, wage board approach is more flexible than the statutory approach during periods of general wage rises. A 1920 study indicated a general policy of drift in wage policies on the part of the Federal Government, with craft groups (covered by wage boards) faring substantially better than the clerical force (covered by statute). The study found that both compositors and pressmen, after a protracted period of no change, received wage adjustments after 1917 and 1918, respectively. The explanation was: "The Government has been obliged within the last few years to meet strong outside competition, and, as the only apparent means of keeping up the force in the Government Printing Office, the union scale was adopted. As a result, these two groups, both receiving the scale of wages that prevails outside, show a greater percentage increase than any of the others considered." ${ }^{16}$

An Army-Air Force Wage Board analysis recently showed that the average of the middle step rates paid to workers in the typical job (grade 9, step 2) had increased by approximately the same percentage between 1943 and 1953 as had the average hourly earnings of production workers in manufacturing industries. ${ }^{17}$

On the other hand, during at least one period of downturn, "blue collar" rates showed greater inflexibility than the wage rates of private employees. During the depression in the thirties an effort was made generally to restrain the downward movement of wages by reducing Government workers' wages more slowly and by less than those of private employees. ${ }^{18}$ Thus, although wage reductions for Government workers in 1933-35 were tied by statute to the decline in the cost of living after 1928, the statutes limited the maximum wage and salary decreases to 15 percent in 1933, and subsequently to 10 and 5 percent-substantially less than the actual decline in living costs. The maximum wage decreases were, therefore, decreed by Executive order. ${ }^{19}$ Of course, other factors indirectly affected earnings levels, including downgrading and promotion restrictions; however, similar practices existed in private employment during this period.

The prevailing wage rate system and the requirements of the wage stabilization programs of the war and of the more recent Korean crisis proved to be mutually accommodating. An integral standard for wage stabilization in both periods was the interplant inequity approach. The relatively stringent administration of the wartime wage policy was adapted to meet the particularly critical requirements of the War Department. Until the Wage Stabilization Board established such an interplant regulation in the more recent crisis, some Defense Department wage actions were stymied for a time. This additional wage
policy was necessary to restore governmental wage rates to prevailing levels, for the natural lag in "blue collar" wage adjustments necessitated increases greater than those permitted by the "catchup" and cost-of-living escalation policies.

The fact that governmental machinery for wage determination has generally provided for group consultation at both local and national levelsand frequently on broader policy considerations as well as on specific working conditions-has been conducive to good employee relations. This is evidenced by the following evaluation of Navy Department employee relations by the President of the Metal Trades Department, AFL:
"Each successive Chief of the Office of Industrial Relations has had the confidence of the Department and it is our firm belief that this confidence has been shared. It is fitting that this relationship exists because of the similarity of work performed in the naval shipyards to that performed in most industrial enterprises, and it is our earnest hope that we can increase our service to the Navy Department and that in turn they will increasingly recognize the rights of their employees." He further expressed the belief that the "time is not too far distant when collective bargaining on the same basis which is carried on in some quasi-Federal projects, such as TVA and others, will be permitted in the navy yards." ${ }^{20}$
${ }^{17}$ Annual Report of the Army-Air Force Wage Board, Year Ending June 30, 1953, U. S. Department of Defense (pp. 4-5).

18 This was also true in 1921-22. Cf. Real Wages in the United States, 1890-1926, by Paul H. Douglas. Houghton Mifflin Co., Boston and New York, 1930 (pp. 191-199).
${ }^{10}$ Executive orders issued at 6-month intervals in accordance with the terms of the Act determined the cost-of-living declines from the base period (the 6 months ending June 30, 1928) to be 21.7 and 23.9 percent (1933); 21.1 and 20.2 percent (1934); and 18.8 percent (1935).
${ }^{20}$ Proceedings of the 44th Annual Convention, Metal Trades Department, AFL, 1953 (pp. 37-38).

## Government's Role in Wage Determination on Inland Waterways

John G. Turnbull*

The influence of Government in wage determination processes is frequently referred to in contemporary wage analyses. For the most part, its impact is viewed in terms of the framework of rules within which wage bargains are concluded: minimum wage laws or wage stabilization directives are cases in point. The Government may, however, make its influence felt more directly, particularly in cases where its business ventures compete with private enterprises. Though such instances may not be of great importance quantitatively to the total economy, nor necessarily representative in a general sense, they may be extremely pertinent for the specific sectors of industry concerned.

On the Mississippi River waterway system, for example, a United States Government agencythe Inland Waterways Corporation-operated the Federal Barge Lines in competition with other water carriers for a period extending over three decades. ${ }^{1}$ This article traces the role of the Corporation in the area of wage determination on this waterway system. (Space does not permit detailed analysis of the processes involved.) And, since the Federal Barge Line was sold to private interests in July 1953, it is possible to examine its wage determination impacts throughout the life cycle of the enterprise. ${ }^{2}$

## Development of the IWC

The Corporation had its roots in Government activities to develop transportation services during World War I. The Director General of Rail-
roads acquired equipment and began operations September 28, 1918, on the Mississippi River from St. Louis to New Orleans.

These operations were continued until February 1920, when facilities were transferred to the Secretary of War. Difficulties in direct operation by the Secretary led in turn to the chartering, by act of Congress in 1924, of the Inland Waterways Corporation, a wholly owned Government corporation over which the War Department had jurisdiction. The act authorized the continuance of services already in operation, essentially on the lower Mississippi and the Warrior River system. Subsequently services were extended on the Mississippi to the Twin Cities, on the Illinois waterway to Chicago, and on certain sections of the Missouri.

In 1928, an amending act, the Denison Act, increased the capital stock of the Corporation; provided for the extension of services (except on the Ohio) to a number of tributaries, contingent upon channel improvement; and set up specialized rate-making procedures. Basic to the act was a statement of policy as to the future role of the Federal Government in inland waterways transportation. It was declared to be the intent of the Congress to continue operation until navigable river channels, adequate terminal facilities, and satisfactory joint tariffs with rail carriers had been established, and until private parties were willing to engage in common carrier service upon the waterway system. No standard of adequacy was provided in the law.

The Reorganization Act of 1939 transferred the Corporation and all of its functions and obligations from the War Department to the Department of Commerce, to be administered by the Secretary of Commerce. The operations of the

[^7]Corporation continued as before, although changes were made in the bylaws and in the management.

Apart from the emergency situation of World War I, the purposes of the Federal Government in operating the Federal Barge Line appear to have been twofold: (1) a desire to show the feasibility of water transportation on this system and to encourage other operators to enter the field; and (2) the promotion of less-than-barge-load shipping. At the time of the sale of the Line in 1953, only the first of these purposes seems to have been fulfilled to an appreciable extent. ${ }^{3}$

In light of these purposes, it is a moot point as to whether the Corporation should or should not be expected to show a profit. In any event, inasmuch as the profit and loss figures bear somewhat upon wage determination, a brief recapitulation is germane. ${ }^{*}$ From 1924 through 1929 net losses were sustained except for 1926 and 1928. In the 1930's the situation was reversed, and profits were reported for all years but 1934 and 1939. The 1940's showed a second reversal and losses were taken in all years but 1943. 1951 showed a profit, but a loss was again sustained in the fiscal year 1952.

## Influence of IWC on Wage Determination

When a Government agency acts in the capacity of a business enterprise, in competition with other organizations, an immediate problem is presented as to the status the enterprise is to have and the role it is to play. Should the rules require it to operate strictly as a private competitor, should it be clothed with the garb of a quasi-governmental body, or should it act in some intermediate capacity?

The status of the Inland Waterways Corporation was never completely clarified by the Congress. On the one hand, certain materials indicate that the Congress intended the Corporation to act as a "private" enterprise, at least up to the year 1946, though all the evidence is not clear cut. ${ }^{5}$ On the other hand, legislative regulations involving personnel practices such as annual and sick leave, retirement programs, and the equivalent of workmen's compensation were imposed upon the Corporation, apparently without detailed consideration of its supposed "private" enterprise status.

Whatever the status of the Corporation, the problem still remained as to the role it was to play
with respect to wages. Should it act as a wage leader (a "model" employer in this respect), a wage follower, or merely as some type of "average" employer? Up to 1946, legislative intent on this point also is not clear, and it appears that the position of the Corporation resulted in part from discretionary internal choice and in part from outside but essentially nongovernmental pressures thrust upon it.

These diverse underlying factors influenced wage determination in two principal ways. First, they reflected themselves in the position of the Federal Barge Line itself, and thus in turn upon the wage policies and patterns of that agency. Second, operating through the Barge Line, they may be presumed to have had some impact upon the wage pattern of the total industry. Here, of course, a reverse influence may also be operable: the wage patterns of other operators may have conditioned the pattern of the Government agency.

## IWC Wage Policies

Variations in the Corporation's wage policies occurred over four principal periods: (1) World War I to 1929 ; (2) 1930 to 1938 ; (3) 1939 to 1945; and (4) 1946 to 1953.
(1) During the period from World War I to 1929, the Corporation was the "only" common carrier on the Mississippi itself. ${ }^{6}$ The wage pattern of the Corporation could, therefore, have little impact upon a nonexistent industry on the Mississippi. On the Ohio River system, and particularly in the so-called Pittsburgh Pool, waterway transportation had not declined as much as on the Mississippi just prior to and after World War I, and consequently a number of companies

[^8]were operating. But the Corporation's wage policy was not significant here either.

During this period, the United States Shipping Board exercised a considerable degree of control over the maritime industry, and, in the earlier years, at least, over labor relations and, in turn, wages. ${ }^{7}$ In 1921, for example, a postwar slump resulted in a Board order cutting wages 15 percent. Though the Board did not exercise specific jurisdiction over inland river shipping, wage rates followed quite closely promulgations for the deepsea segment of the industry. Hence, the wage structure of the Corporation appears to have followed largely a pattern set by Government action. With the absence of other carriers on the Mississippi, there was, of course, no external Corporation wage influence. On the Ohio, the wage pattern was also influenced by the Board, though the pattern was different from the Mississippi for two reasons. First, transportation never declined to the point it had on the Mississippi and the higher economic activity seems to have sustained a higher wage level. Second, a degree of unionization existed, particularly around Pittsburgh; this was not true on the Mississippi. As a result, the wage level on the Ohio system was higher than on the Mississippi. Moreover, a minimum of traffic interchange between the Mississippi and the Ohio tended to divide the industry geographically, with little apparent wage-rate influence in either direction, and with the Corporation apparently exercising little influence.
(2) In the period 1930 to 1938, basic environmental changes occurred. First, direct Government action, as via the United States Shipping Board, was minimized until it practically disappeared. Second, other carriers began operation on the Mississippi until, at the end of the period, there was an "industry" of significant proportions. Third, employee organizations appeared, although the impact of unionism was much less important than it was later.

[^9]The Corporation's position was anomalous in this period. On the one hand, it viewed itself as a wage leader, a role in part assumed voluntarily and in part assumed to be thrust upon it. On the other hand, wage studies do not indicate that the Corporation was fully, in fact, a wage leader, at least in the earlier part of this period.

Among the factors contributing to the Corporation's view of itself in the role of wage leader were favorable financial results. During most of this period, profits, sometimes sizable, were made. The 1936 annual report notes: "We had just about arrived at the conclusion that since the earnings of the Corporation were so favorable, the time had arrived to share part of these earnings with our employees .. ." 8 Further, the very nature of the Corporation as a quasi-governmental agency-as a public agency-seems to have "forced" it into believing it was a wage leader: that is, regardless of its own wishes, others viewed it in this light. For example, the 1937 annual report contains this statement: "In a wage controversy we run across this attitude 'Oh, well, the Federal Barge Lines are making money and the others are not. Why shouldn't they [the Federal Barge Line] pay higher wages?'" ${ }^{9}$

But, perhaps regardless of these factors, the Corporation viewed itself as a wage leader: ". we pay hourly wages at all our ports equal to, or greater, than our competitors . . ." ${ }^{10}$ Moreover, the Corporation held that it was, in effect, the wage pattern setter for the industry. Thus: ". . . the wage scale set by the Federal Barge Lines immediately becomes the measuring stick for other employees in similar occupations, with other transportation agencies. [Italics supplied.]" 11

Such wage studies as exist for this period do not fully corroborate the Corporation's wage leadership claims. This is true at least for vesselborne employees. In a June 1933 study, Corporation wage rates for all occupational classes except radio operators and cooks were lower than those of other carriers surveyed. In July and August, 1936, for comparable classifications, the Corporation's rates were roughly in a median position. ${ }^{12}$

Granting possible limitations of the wage surveys cited, disparity still exists between Corporation views and survey results. Even when the following points are taken into account,
a full resolution of the conflict in claims does not appear possible.

First, wage leadership was more of a reality toward the end of the period. No wage surveys exist for 1937-38, but union and management spokesmen corroborated the wage leadership trend. Since the Corporation moved up in the industry wage structure in the years after the surveys cited, the presumption is that it gradually assumed some degree of wage leadership, though the statements made in its annual reports may be a little strong. Second, employees of the Federal Barge Lines gained a number of fringe benefits, such as annual and sick leave, not obtained by employees of other carriers. Third, regularity of employment was much greater for the Corporation than for most other carriers. These factors notwithstanding, a disparity still exists between belief and fact, since Corporation statements of wage leadership were premised upon base rates, and the fringe items were considered as extra indications of leadership.

The Corporation did, however, appear to exercise some-perhaps even considerable-influence over the wage policies of other carriers, and this influence appears to have increased toward the end of the period. The Corporation appears to have been the first, or among the first, companies to sign new contracts each year, and hence acted in many respects as a pattern setter. Moreover, the wage rates of this quasi-public agency were in the public domain, and the information required for pattern following was readily obtainable. The extent to which other carriers used the Corporation's scale as a pattern cannot be fully ascertained, but it is apparent that Corporation rates were important.
(3) In the period 1939 to 1945 , the Corporation moved much more appreciably into the role of a wage leader. In 1939, the Corporation was transferred from the War Department to the Department of Commerce. Unionism became an accomplished fact, and, according to the Corporation's 1941 annual report, 85 percent of the employees were organized.

After the transfer to the Department of Commerce, the general industrial relations policy of the Corporation was rather thoroughly overhauled. Prior to 1939, criticism of the Corporation's labor relations policy had arisen from congressional and other sources. Therefore, basic
labor relations changes were made after 1939, many of which were presumed to be of a liberalizing nature. This fact is perhaps fundamental in "explaining" the reason for the Corporation's wage leadership position: if the Corporation was to have an "improved" labor relations program, part of the improvement might arise via its acting as a "model" employer, and, in turn, by exhibiting wage leadership. This leadership appeared during a period when the Corporation was least able to afford it, using ability to pay as a criterion. It had a reversal of the profitable earlier 1930's, and losses were taken in all years but 1943.

During this period, the unions in fact regarded the Corporation as a wage leader. While it does not appear that these labor organizations used the Corporation for whipsaw purposes, it does seem evident that the Corporation was important as a pattern setter. Not only was it commonly the first to negotiate, but its rates tended to become yardsticks. Comments of Corporation officials indicate that while the Federal Barge Line wage rates may not have been the highest for all occupational classifications for all carriers, they were in the top brackets. Other carriers did not necessarily view the Corporation as acting "unethically" in its wage leadership practices, for other leaders would probably have developed had not the Corporation acted in this role. Moreover, from 1940 on, the Corporation "informally acted with 2 or 3 other of the large carriers in prenegotiation wage talks," according to one of its officials. Hence, wage leadership was informally shared, or at least information was disseminated somewhat freely to others who might follow the pattern.
(4) In 1946 the whole picture shifted. A report of a House of Representatives Appropriations Subcommittee spells out the reasons for the shift-both in terms of the general economy moves prevalent during this period and in relation to the specific position of the Corporation-thus:

It was the original intention of the act establishing the Corporation that it should operate exactly like a private business concern with respect to employment and all other phases of the business. Since that time, however, various laws have extended benefits of annual and sick leave, and so forth, generally available to Government employees, to the employees of the Corporation. Wages and working conditions, aside from these direct benefits, have been determined by negotiation . . . and the combination of the two methods . . . has resulted in a cost of
operation which appears abnormally high. The committee inquired as to the probable cost if the rates applicable to Government employment generally under the Classification Act were applied and is informed that, on the basis of present rates of pay, a saving of about $\$ 250,000$ per annum would result, and that, if compared with the demands which are now pending in connection with renewal of contracts, the annual saving would be approximately $\$ 1,200,000$. The committee has, therefore, included in the bill a limitation providing that no funds shall be used to pay compensation to employees, except vessel employees, in excess of the rates fixed for similar services under the Classification Act and for vessel employees, as to whom the Classification Act cannot readily be employed, at rates not in excess of those prevailing in the maritime industry. ${ }^{13}$

This committee report and the resulting legislation had the effect of removing the Federal Barge Line from its wage leadership position. The Federal Employees Pay Act of 1945, as amended, provides "that no funds shall be used to pay the compensation of vessel employees at rates in excess of rates prevailing in the maritime industry." " From that time until the sale of the Line, a "wage board" of Corporation officials "decided" what rates could be offered on the basis of "prevailing rates," which appear to have been construed as rates near the top 10 percent of rates paid in the industry.

Available wage information cannot be used to determine specifically what the nature of wage increases might have been in the 1939-45 period if the Corporation had not taken a wage leadership position; nor is it possible to infer what wage increases, if any, were lost by virtue of the change in the Corporation's position from 1946 to 1953. Employer and union officials were themselves not sure on this point. Employer spokesmen felt that no great differences resulted; wages went up about as much as they would have, had the Corporation continued to act as a leader. The unions did not know whether post-1946 wage increases, in particular, might have been greater if the Corporation's position had not been altered.

[^10]But, what can be said, and what is important operationally, is that wage adjustments were more readily obtained in the period 1939-45 by virtue of the Corporation's leadership policy, and, conversely, such adjustments were less easily made after 1946. This statement is predicated, in turn, upon a complex set of factors involving the inherent nature and operations of the Corporation, and implies essentially that this Government agency-though it did not "capitulate" to the unions-was probably more amenable to "ready" settlement than a private wage leader would have been. Thus, if one cannot say "how much" in the way of general wage changes resulted from Corporation policy, one can say that Corporation policy was important in influencing the manner in which the changes were brought about. This influence is pertinent, since it strongly conditions the environment within which the broad patterns of labor-management relations develop.

## Effects of Collective Bargaining

Labor problems did not become significant enough to warrant mention in the Corporation's annual reports until 1933, when the mandatory pay reductions resulting from the Federal Economy Act led to a series of strikes. ${ }^{15}$ Organization of the employees first appeared in 1933 and 1934, under the impetus to the labor movement of the National Industrial Recovery Act. Terminal employees were the first to organize, and vessel employee organization crystallized during the latter part of the 1930 's, after a period of shifting allegiances, into the pattern existent today. Three major unions represented vessel employees in 1953: (1) National Organization, Masters, Mates and Pilots of America (AFL), representing the three occupational groups denoted in the organization's title; (2) National Marine Engineers'Beneficial Association (CIO), representing the engineers; and (3) National Maritime Union of America (CIO), representing principally unskilled operatives such as deck hands and mess boys. While there has been considerable uncertainty about the "legal" right of such labor organizations to exist vis-a-vis the Government as an employer, or at least to utilize the protection of various labor relations statutes, the Corporation did in fact recognize the labor organizations and bona fide
collective bargaining existed-even to the extent of union strike actions-for a period of nearly 20 years prior to the 1953 sale of the Line. ${ }^{16}$

The only major constraints placed upon the scope of collective bargaining were statutory provisions relating to (1) "fringe" benefits such as annual or sick leave and (2) the "prevailing wage" approach to wage determination in the post-1946 period. Of these, only the latter appears to be significant. Otherwise, collective bargaining covered the same general areas as in other sectors of the economy: the economic and the jurisprudential.

In the economic area, the Corporation and its unions bargained not only over such peripheral issues as hours, time off, holidays, vacations, and working conditions, but also over basic issues relating to the wage structure, in terms of both external and internal relations. They thus covered the whole range of items customarily associated with collective negotiations. After 1946, of course, the level to which wage rates could be raised relative to industry rates was restricted, but the 1946 regulations affected the intrafirm wage structure, that is, occupational differentials, only indirectly. As a result, a somewhat complex pattern has developed: pay differentials for masters and pilots, for example, depend upon the sections of the system for which they are qualified; for engineers, upon towboat type and horsepower; and for deckhands, upon experience and longevity, among other factors. ${ }^{17}$

In the area of industrial jurisprudence-the "civil rights" relationships involved in the direction of the work force - collective bargaining also existed. The substitution of bilaterally agreedupon procedures for unilateral management action on a wide range of matters affecting the employee provides a general indication of developments here. The negotiation and utilization of grievance procedures pinpoints more specifically what evolved.

In a general way, then, unionism and collective bargaining appear to be possible in a situation such as this where a Government instrumentality is involved. Moreover, it also appears that collective bargaining served a useful function in the Inland Waterways Corporation over its lifetime.

Up until 1946, at least, governmental guidance of the affairs of the Inland Waterways Corporation was neither necessarily purposive nor consistent. As a result, the roles the Corporation adoptedand hence the ways in which the Corporation influenced the industry-were as much a matter of environmental pressures and Corporation personalities and philosophies as they were of deliberate, planned guidance. Thus, "chance" appears to have played an important part in wage determination processes on the system.
While the limited wage data available in this area do not permit of any definite conclusions as to whether wages were higher, lower, or the same as they would have been had the Corporation acted otherwise, operationally a difference did obtain. Up to 1946, Corporation wage leadership expedited wage adjustments; after 1946, the reverse appears to have been true. This operational factor is by no means unimportant.

In a situation of this type, where the Government is an employer, it appears that the development of collective bargaining is feasible, and that such bargaining serves a useful function. Without attempting to assess the influence of collective bargaining upon wage movements, it does appear reasonable to conclude that collective bargaining produced mêasurable impacts in the area of industrial jurisprudence.

[^11]
## Summaries of Studies and Reports

## Mobility of

## Electronic Technicians

Electronics industries have grown immensely since just before World War II, when radio was the only important electronic device in widespread use. The war brought about the development of many new types of electronic equipment for the Armed Forces, such as radar, loran, guided missile controls, and proximity fuses. In the postwar period, the rapidly growing importance of television as a medium of entertainment and communication resulted in further expansion. At the same time, continued application of electronics to the problems of the Armed Forces gave the industry a crucial significance to the national strength, which was heightened by the partial mobilization that began in 1950 .

For this reason, and also because the industry requires a high proportion of technical workers, the Bureau of Labor Statistics, with funds provided by the Department of the Air Force, in the spring of 1952 undertook a study of the mobility of electronic technicians. ${ }^{1}$ This relatively new occupation includes workers who perform various tasks which, although below the level of professional engineering, require an understanding of electronic theory and its equipment applications. Such workers are employed in the manufacture, installation, and maintenance of electronic equipment. The study covered more than 1,900 electronic technicians working in broadcasting stations, home radio and television repair shops, research laboratories, and plants manufacturing electronic equipment and aircraft in 8 of the largest metropolitan areas.

The technicians were interviewed personally to obtain detailed information about their backgrounds, jobs, training, and work histories, with the principal objective of learning how they
entered and moved among electronic technician jobs. Specifically, the Bureau sought to answer such questions as how often electronic technicians changed jobs, how many of these shifts involved movements between different types of electronic establishments and labor market areas, what changes in their main job functions occurred when they changed jobs, and what factors caused job shifts. Because of the obvious relationship between labor mobility and adjustments in the economy, work histories were obtained for the years 1940 to 1952 -a period encompassing the first stages of preparation for World War II, the war and postwar periods, and the first 2 years of the Korean conflict.

## Rate of Job Changing

In general, the rate of mobility appears to vary directly with the level of business activity except in wartime, when it may be held down by restrictions on job changing and the drawing off of the younger men, who would ordinarily be most mobile, into the Armed Forces. Accordingly, almost all groups of workers were more mobile than usual over the 12 -year period covered by the study, but electronic technicians changed jobs at a rate considerably higher than that of other skilled workers studied. While electronic technicians changed jobs about once every 4 years, tool and die makers changed jobs once every 7 years. However, the proportion of technicians changing jobs varied considerably from year to year (chart 1), ranging from about 1 in 12 in 1940 to 1 in 4 in 1951. These annual rates, being high during the postwar period when all branches of electronics were expanding, and highest when aircraft manufacturing and electronics research boomed after the Korean hostilities, support the

[^12]Chart 1. Rate of Job Changing for Electronic Technicians

conclusion that the rate of job changing was determined largely by the pull of the labor market.

## Movements Among Types of Establishments

The importance to national defense of different kinds of electronics establishments varies widely, as for example, plants manufacturing radar equipment for aircraft, in contrast to radio and television repair shops. For this reason, the survey attempted to discover the extent to which individual electronic technicians were qualified to perform jobs in different types of electronics activity without any intervening special training.

The problem was approached by studying the past experience of technicians working in the various types of establishments at the time of the survey. On this basis, the least mobile group was men working in radio and television repair in 1952, only 20 percent of whom had worked in other types of establishments between 1940 and 1952. Electronic technicians in research, on the other hand, reported a very high proportion ( 51 percent) of jobs in other fields of electronics, as did those in aircraft manufacturing ( 45 percent). In the other 2 groups-electronic equipment manufacturing and broadcasting-about 30 percent of the respondents' jobs as electronic technicians were in other fields.

The work history of Mr. A, an exceptionally mobile respondent, suggests the sequence of jobs through which many electronic technicians acquire experience and illustrates their potential ability
to respond to the changing needs of different types of electronic establishments. Mr. A, a resident of Los Angeles, left high school in 1943 to take a beginner's job in a radio-television repair shop. From that date until the time he was interviewed, he had 7 different jobs as an electronic technician. After 2 jobs in repair shops, he moved to a job in an aircraft plant. He then found work in a plant manufacturing radar equipment for the Armed Forces. After this job, he worked in another aircraft plant and a research laboratory before he took a job as a technician in a broadcasting station, where he worked at the time of the survey. This respondent was 27 years of age (in 1952) and single. The draft board had turned him down because of physical disability in 1944.

Electronic technicians thus showed considerable mobility between types of establishments between 1940 and 1952. Some idea of the general direction of these shifts can be gained by examining the backgrounds of each group to see which types of establishments appeared most frequently in their work histories. Past experience in radio and television repair shops was most common among men working in other types of establishments at the time of the survey. Jobs in other electronics manufacturing and radio and television manufac-

## Chart 2. Proportion of Electronic Technicians Moving Between Labor Market Areas


turing also appeared frequently in the work histories of men working in other fields. On the other hand, experience in broadcasting stations, aircraft plants, and research laboratories was relatively rare among technicians not working in those fields.

These findings indicate that radio and television repair work was often a first job in which respondents acquired experience and skill and then moved into the other electronics specializations. On the other hand, technicians who took jobs in broadcasting stations showed a strong tendency to remain in that field, perhaps because of the attractive wages and working conditions. The rarity of experience in aircraft manufacturing and in research among men working in other fields is a result of the very recent development and expansion of these fields.

## Geographical Shifts

For use in evaluating the adequacy of the supply of electronics technicians to meet potential demands in specific localities, the study sought information on how many of the respondents had made labor market shifts between 1940 and 1952 . One-fifth of the respondents had moved between labor markets, with 12 percent making 1 shift, 5 percent making 2 shifts, and 3 percent making 3 to 5 shifts.

These data reflect, in part, the effects of general population movements over the 1940 to 1952 period-the increasing industrialization of the South and the migration to the West Coast, for example. Respondents who were working in Atlanta and Los Angeles at the time of the survey had made the highest number of shifts per worker, while those in Chicago, New York, and Philadelphia had made the lowest number of area shifts (chart 2). In both Atlanta and Los Angeles, employment in aircraft plants had increased rapidly in the 2 years preceding the survey. Mr. B, for example, had moved to Atlanta in 1951 to take a job in a plant manufacturing military aircraft. He had worked at an aircraft plant in Seattle from 1941 to 1944. After service in the Armed Forces, he attended college in Montana. At the time of the survey, he was 32 years old and single. Chicago, New York, and Philadelphia, on the other hand, with more diversified and longer established electronics activities, were therefore

## Chart 3. Reasons Given by Electronic Technicians for Leaving Jobs


more self-sufficient in their supply of electronic technicians.

## Reasons Given for Changing Jobs

The personal or psychological motivations for job changing were approached directly by asking the job changers to give their main reason for changing jobs between 1940 and 1952. The reason most commonly given by respondents for taking another job was that they considered it better in terms of pay, working conditions, prospects for advancement, etc. Almost 47 percent of all the changes were made for this reason (chart 3). Dissatisfaction with the job held accounted for 9 percent of the changes, and the respondents entering school for 3 percent. In 3 percent of the cases men left jobs because their families moved to another area. Nine percent of the jobs were left by men entering the Armed Forces.

Movements influenced by factors over which the respondents had relatively little control were less important. Layoffs were cited as the reason for 12 percent of the changes, and 2 percent were due to firings. The role of the individual was less clear in the 9 percent of jobs left because the "company went out of business," many of these being cases in which electronic technicians closed their own repair businesses.

## Characteristics of Job Changers

To determine why some electronic technicians responded to labor market forces, while others did
not, the personal characteristics of men who had changed jobs between January 1951 and AprilMay 1952 were compared with those of men who had not changed jobs during that period. This analysis included only men who were electronic technicians throughout this period and were thus "exposed" to changes. This analysis was restricted to a short period during which few changes in the characteristics of the respondents occurred, and in which the number of direct movements made between electronic technician jobs was sufficiently large to give meaningful results.

Age was the main personal characteristic affecting mobility. The only other significant differences between the men who changed jobs and those who did not were in amount of experience as an electronic technician and homeownership. Those who changed jobs were younger, they included a lower proportion of homeowners, and they had fewer years of experience in electronics, as shown in the following statement.

| Age: | Percent of respondents |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Who } \\ & \text { changed } \\ & \text { jobs } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Who did } \\ \text { not change } \\ \text { jobs } \end{gathered}$ |
| Under 25 | 41. 2 | 258.8 |
| 25-34 | 29.8 | 870.2 |
| 35-44 | 17. 8 | 8 82. 2 |
| 45 and over | 11. 3 | 88. 7 |
| Years of experience: |  |  |
| Less than 2 | 37.5 | 62.5 |
| 2-4. | 30.0 | 70.0 |
| 5-9. | 25. 9 | 74.1 |
| 10 and over | 15. 0 | 85. 0 |
| Homeownership: |  |  |
| Homeowners | 16. 6 | 83. 4 |
| Nonhomeowners | 29. 8 | 70.2 |
| Education: 20.8 |  |  |
| High-school graduates | 24. 7 | 75.3 |
| Nongraduates | 22. 1 | 77. 9 |
| Marital status: |  |  |
| Married | 23. 0 | 77. 0 |
| Not married | 29. 2 | 70. 8 |
| Fatherhood: |  |  |
| Fathers | 22. 9 | 77. 1 |
| Nonfathers | 26. 1 | 73. 9 |

Though the job changers also included a lower proportion of married men and fathers, and a slightly higher proportion of high-school graduates, these differences appeared to have no significant influence on job changing, being primarily a function of age differences.

## Implications of the Survey Findings

The information provided by the survey on movements of electronic technicians from job to job bas many applications to manpower problems other than the mobilization planning the study was designed to aid. The frequency of job changing in the past may indicate the extent of mobility to be expected in the future. The demonstrated ability of electronic technicians to move among jobs in different types of establishments involving a variety of job duties implies that men in various types of electronics establishments can be considered together in estimating the supply of these workers. Data on area shifts can be used to determine the feasibility of locating electronics plants in particular areas.
-James J. Treires
Division of Manpower and Employment Statistics
"Full mobilization would, of course, have a drastic impact on the supply-and-demand situation in the professions generally but would create the greatest shortages in scientific, technical, and health fields. . . . In scientific and technical fields, professional manpower requirements under full mobilization could be met in part by curtailment of less essential activities, but this would be only a partial solution. . . . in many of the most critical specialties-including nuclear physics, electronics, and aeronautical engineering-a large proportion of personnel is already engaged in defense activities. Thus, while conversion of specialized personnel from nondefense to defense work is the primary means by which critical shortages must be met, it is at best only a partial answer to the problem."
-From Manpower Resources for National Security, a Report to the President by the Director of the Office of Defense Móilization, January 6, 1954 (p. 49).

## Plans and Reports on Manpower, Labor Relations, and Welfare

Matters of concern to labor, both as individuals and as organizations, were discussed in a number of Presidential messages and Government reports made public near the beginning of 1954. Selected statements from these documents ${ }^{1}$ bearing on the three broad areas of manpower, labor relations, and worker welfare are summarized in this article.

## Manpower

An up-to-date mobilization base, resting on knowledge of civilian manpower requirements and supply potential for items needed for military uses and the resultant more realistic plant-expansion and stockpiling goals, will be the foundation of the Nation's defense program, President Eisenhower declared in the State of the Union Message.

In assessing the manpower problems involved in achieving this objective, the Director of the Office of Defense Mobilization reported to the President: "In the event of [full mobilization], our resources of highly trained manpower will probably be the limiting factor in our capacity for mobilization. These resources would be adequate to meet all national security needs only if properly distributed between and efficiently utilized in military and supporting civilian activities." Even at a "stepped-up mobilization level . . . the effort should be to minimize the effect of the impact on those essential elements of the national industrial and agricultural economy most dependent on scientists, engineers, and other skilled workers." On this point, the Secretary of Labor had reported that, during fiscal year 1953 "the greatest shortages were for skilled workers, with the largest demand for machinists, toolmakers, and diesinkers and setters. Second in volume was the need for 10,000 professional and managerial workers, with almost half of the requirements being for various types of engineers. Shortages of agricultural workers required the importation of about 200,000 Mexican national seasonal farm workers

In the event of full mobilization, the ODM Director indicated further that the total labor force could be increased by about 8 million. Women appeared to constitute by far the largest single resource for increasing the work force, he
reported, there being little likelihood that more adult men could be recruited than the 1.8 million achieved in World War II. Part-time workers could make a substantial contribution, and some aid would be forthcoming through reduction of unemployment and underemployment, retardation of normal withdrawals, and acceleration of entries. More effective educational, vocational, and health programs would significantly augment military and civilian manpower resources for national security. More basically, however, "maintenance of a dynamic high level economy, with an adequate defense program built into it, is the best means of preserving and extending our manpower base for full mobilization."

The importance of these objectives was somewhat differently oriented in the President's Economic Report: "Our approach to a position of military preparedness now makes it possible to turn the productive potentialities of the economy increasingly to peaceful purposes." In his analysis of the current economic situation, the President commented that although "new records were established in industrial activity, employment, and the disbursement of incomes [and] unemployment reached the lowest level of any peacetime year in recent years . . . economic activity, taken as a whole, receded somewhat toward the close of the year [1953]." He attributed the slight contraction in business that led to "unemployment in some localities" largely to inventory adjustments, and associated the somewhat lower level of employment at the turn of the year with the cessation of fighting in Korea, which was reflected, during the last 7 months of 1953, in "a gradual dwindling in the rate of labor force participation." He pointed out that "most of the shrinkage in the labor force was concentrated in April and May when (1) quits in manufacturing industries were well above and layoffs well below their levels of the corresponding months of the

[^13]year before; (2) job openings reported by employers to local employment offices (at the end of each month) kept rising and were in excess of their 1952 levels; (3) labor demand, as reflected by classifications of labor-shortage or balanced-labor-supply areas, was as tight as in late 1952 and much tighter than in early 1952; and (4) unemployment was settling to its lowest levels since World War II-not only for the groups that were abandoning the labor force, but also for the men 20-64, who were staying in." Such was the interpretive background underlying the President's recommendations for strengthening and expanding the economy in accordance with the objectives set forth in the Employment Act of 1946.
"The key to governmental planning for economic growth is, of course, the Federal Budget," according to the Economic Report. In the "labor and manpower" field, the President's Budget Message recommended an expenditure of $\$ 281$ million during the fiscal year 1955-an increase of $\$ 16$ million over fiscal 1954. These programs were designed, the President said, "to help the Nation's productive system function smoothly and efficiently, by providing economic safeguards for workers, by helping bring together job seekers and jobs, and by helping to recruit the working forces for defense and other industries." Approximately three-fourths of the total was for Labor Department administration of job placement and unemployment compensation programs.

## Labor Relations

The Budget Message also contemplated expenditures of $\$ 13$ million by the National Labor Relations Board and the mediation services. In the administration of these programs, emphasis would be on improving services to employers and employees in industrial establishments "strategically located in interstate commerce."

Some indication of the activities encompassed in this budget category is found in annual reports of two of the agencies-the National Labor Relations Board and the National Mediation Boardfor fiscal year 1953. The NLRB noted that it had "issued decisions in 3,053 cases . . . brought to it on contest over either the facts or the application of the law . . . the largest number . decided during any 1 year of the Board's 18year history." The contested cases included 2,527
representation cases, elections being directed in 2,134 of these. All told, including decertification and uncontested representation cases, the Board conducted 6,191 elections, with collective bargaining agents being chosen in 71 percent. In these elections, a total of 589,319 employees chose bargaining agents. AFL unions were certified to represent about 270,000 ; CIO unions, about 220,000 ; and unaffiliated unions, the remainder. Of the 526 contested cases of unfair labor practices, 432 involved charges against employers and 94, against unions. In addition, the Board's General Counsel closed an all-time record number $(5,103)$ of such cases without the necessity of formal action and issued 950 formal complaints charging violation of the law-also a record number.

The National Mediation Board, established by the Railway Labor Act, indicated that, at the end of the year, it had on file a total of 5,137 working agreements between carriers and their employees covering rates of pay, rules, or working conditions, in contrast to 3,021 agreements at the close of the first year of the Board's operations in 1935. Among these, union shop provisions covered "approximately 800,000 nonoperating railroad employees [and] a much smaller number and percentage of the operating railroad employees ... since the operating organizations have not made an intensive campaign for union shop coverage. On the airlines subject to the act, it was estimated that approximately 45 percent of the . . . 85,000 airline employees were covered by union shop agreements." The Board observed that a "total of 297 cases were disposed of through the process of mediation . . . however, a total of 20 actual work stoppages occurred during the fiscal year 1953 . . . 3 more than in the previous year, but 4 less than . . . in the fiscal year 1951." In only 3 cases were emergency boards createdall covering disputes between air carriers and their Flight Engineers.

The activities of the NLRB, as well as the Federal Mediation and Conciliation Service, would be affected to some extent by the President's legislative recommendations on labor-management relations, in which he said: "The Labor-Management Relations Act, 1947, is sound legislation. Experience gained in the operation of the act, however, indicates that changes can be made to reinforce its basic objectives." Specific proposals included those on:

1. Injunctions. "I recommend that whenever an injunction is issued under the National Labor Relations Act where a collective bargaining relationship exists between the parties, the Federal Mediation and Conciliation Service shall empanel a special local board to meet with the parties in an effort to seek a settlement of their dispute. I further recommend that in secondary boycott cases, the application for an injunction be discretionary."
2. Secondary boycotts. "I recommend that the act be clarified by making it explicit that concerted action against (1) an employer who is performing 'farmed out' work for the account of another employer whose employees are on strike or (2) an employer on a construction project who, together with other employers, is engaged in work on the site of the project, will not be treated as a secondary boycott."
3. Economic strikes. "I recommend that the National Labor Relations Board be prohibited from considering a petition on the part of the employer which challenges the representation rights of the striking union [and that] for a period of 4 months after the commencement of the strike, the Board be prohibited from considering a petition on the part of any other union which claims to represent the employees. The prohibition against considering a petition by the employer should continue as long as the strike continues, provided, however, that a reasonable limit of time, which I suggest be 1 year, be stipulated."
4. Contract reopenings. "I recommend that the law be amended so as to protect both parties to a valid collective bargaining agreement from being required to negotiate during its term unless the contract so authorizes or both parties mutually consent."
5. National emergency provisions. "I recommend that after [the President] has received and made available to the public the last report of the board of inquiry (if the dispute has not then been settled), he be empowered to reconvene the board and direct it to make recommendations to him for settlement of the dispute."

With regard to labor-management relations in the construction, amusement, and maritime industries, the President recommended that permission be granted for prehiring contracts and for unionshop contracts under which employees would join the union within 7 days after starting work.

Other recommendations dealt with extension of non-Communist affidavit provisions to employers (alternatively, all such affidavits should be eliminated after the enactment of proposed legislation dealing with Communist infiltration) and making common-law rules of agency applicable to unions; clarification of the act to make clear "that the right of free speech, as now defined in the act, applies equally to labor and management in every aspect of their relationship"; initiation of a thorough congressional study of union welfare and pension funds under collective bargaining agreements, leading to legislation to improve the adequacy of standards specified as a condition of employer payments to the fund; the conduct of strike votes by secret ballots under Government auspices so each employee concerned may have "an opportunity to express his free choice"; continuation (unless earlier revoked by the employee) of the "checkoff" authorization throughout the life of the collective bargaining agreement; and simplification of the act's requirements for union reports on organization and finances. The President indicated that future legislative proposals would cover the matter of conflicts in jurisdiction in the labor-management field between Federal, State, and Territorial governments.

In proposing these recommendations the President observed that they were in the interests, not only of working men and women, but of all our people, in the broader sense that "prosperity is in so great a degree dependent on the existence of genuine mutual respect and good feeling between employers and employees."

## Worker Welfare

Other Presidential messages turned to "the Government's permanent concern with the human problems of our citizens"-one of the three broad purposes of the administration. "During the year, creation of the new Cabinet Department of Health, Education, and Welfare symbolized [this] concern."

Recommendations which would most directly affect workers were those on the unemployment insurance and old-age and survivors insurance systems. Directly bearing on the welfare of workers also was the statement that study of the highly complex problem of an increase in the minimum wage under the Fair Labor Standards

Act would be continued, with a view to making recommendations to Congress "at a time when economic activity can take them in stride, thereby minimizing the risk of unemployment of the less productive workers whose welfare the minimum wage seeks to aid."

The President urged that coverage of unemployment insurance, under which an average of about 36 million workers are now protected, be broadened to include 2.5 million Federal employees, 4.2 million State and local government workers, 3.4 million employees who are not now covered because they work in small businesses (17 States already provide coverage of most firms with 1 or more employees), and to 0.2 million workers "engaged in certain operations in the processing, packing, storing, or delivering of agricultural commodities." The net increase in the Federal budget for administration of these recommendations was estimated at $\$ 15$ million for fiscal 1955, with benefit payments to Federal workers estimated to cost an additional $\$ 25$ million. These increases, plus the estimated addition of $\$ 20.8$ million in the cost of veterans' unemployment compensation, account for 99.4 percent of the $\$ 61.5$ million increase in expenditures proposed for the Department of Labor (to $\$ 360.5$ million).

The President also recommended that "Congress allow the shortening from 3 years to 1 , of the period required [for an employer] to qualify for a rate reduction" under the statutory provision on experience rating. He further suggested that States raise maximum unemployment benefits"typically between $\$ 20$ and $\$ 30$ weekly . . . so that the payments to the great majority of the beneficiaries may equal at least half their regular earnings." (The effective ratio of average weekly unemployment benefits to average weekly wages of covered workers has declined from 43 percent in 1938 to about 33 percent at present.) He further "urged . . . that all of the States raise the potential duration of unemployment benefits to 26 weeks, and that they make the benefits available to all persons who have had a specified amount of covered employment or earnings.
Only 2 dozen States provide for 26 weeks, and only 4 of these pay benefits for that length of time to all persons who meet minimum requirements for any benefits." Two other proposals dealt with non-interest-bearing Federal loans to

States whose reserve funds are near exhaustion.
With respect to the old-age and survivors insurance system, the President declared that, although it urgently needed improvement, he was determined to preserve its basic principles, particularly the contributory system and the relation of benefits, in part, to the individual's earnings. The present system covers "about four in five of the civilian labor force and pays average monthly benefits of $\$ 49$ to a retired worker, and of $\$ 84.75$ to a retired worker and his wife, compared with maximum benefits of $\$ 85$ and $\$ 127.50$, respectively. At the end of 1953 it was paying benefits to almost 1.5 million widows and children, as well as over 4.5 million aged-close to 6 million persons altogether."

The President repeated his recommendation of August 1, 1953, that the OASI system be broadened to include about 10 million more workersprincipally self-employed farmers; additional farm and domestic workers; professional workers in independent practice; and members of State and local retirement systems and clergymen, on a voluntary group basis. Recommendations on coverage of Federal employees not now protected will be submitted after the Congressional Committee on Retirement Policy for Federal Personnel has reported on its study of the subject.

His second recommendation on OASI was that the present "retirement test" be liberalized to exempt the first $\$ 1,000$ of a beneficiary's annual earnings, with only 1 month's benefit being deducted for each additional $\$ 80$ earned.

In addition, OASI benefits should be raised, according to the President. In the Economic Report, he suggested that this be done by (1) eliminating from the earnings base the four lowest years of earnings; (2) raising the benefit to 55 percent of the first $\$ 110$ of the average monthly wage, plus 20 percent of the balance; (3) increasing the minimum benefit from $\$ 25$ to $\$ 30$; and (4) raising from $\$ 3,600$ to $\$ 4,200$ the annual maximum wage for tax purposes. Further, benefit rights of persons "with substantial OASI work records who suffer total and extended disability ... should be preserved without diminution or loss until they reach age 65."

The net additional cost of these proposed changes in the OASI system would be "on a longterm basis, about 0.5 percent of the annual payrolls subject to OASI taxes. The benefit costs will be
met for at least the next 15 to 25 years under the step-rate increases in OASI taxes already provided in the law." In fiscal 1955, annual receipts would be raised "by an estimated $\$ 100$ million, benefit disbursements by $\$ 400$ million, and administrative expense by $\$ 8$ million."

The President recommended that grants to States for old-age assistance be reduced as the expanded OASI program takes over an increasing share of this load, under a formula which also "should take into account the financial capacity of the several States to support their public assistance programs by adopting, as a measure of that capacity, their per capita income."

Turning to the field of health, the President found two key problems: the distribution of medical facilities and the costs of medical care. Further action on these problems should, while "rejecting the socialization of medicine," be directed to two goals: (1) "the means for achieving good health should be accessible to all"; and (2) "results of our vast scientific research . . . should be broadly applied for the benefit of every citizen." Toward these ends, he recommended "the establishment of a limited Federal reinsurance service to encourage private and nonprofit health insurance organizations to offer broader health protection to more families." This service would cover the special additional risks involved, and the initial Federal capital investment would be repaid from reinsurance fees. He also requested that Federal grants-in-aid under the Hospital Survey and Construction Act be broadened to stimulate construction of nonprofit "diagnostic and treatment centers, rehabilitation facilities, nursing homes, and additional chronic disease hospitals, and to help finance State surveys of their needs for such facilities." Further, such preventive health measures as the Public Health Service's activities in industrial hygiene must be maintained, and the Service's research activities must be strengthened and supplemented by "research grants to State and local governments and to private research institutions."

Special concern for the disabled was reflected here, as in the OASI proposals. The President hoped that the number of persons rehabilitated to productive lives might be gradually increased over the next 5 years from the current level of about 60,000 to 200,000 a year, largely through accelerating Federal grants-in-aid for such purposes as
training the needed specialized professional personnel and providing clinical facilities for rehabilitative services. Recommendations on this program contemplated that the States would be in a position to participate equally with the Federal Government by 1959 .

The betterment of health was also cited as one objective of the President's recommendations on housing, which would enhance "the economic and social well-being of the country" as well. The housing measures were designed to promote "the efforts of our people to acquire good homes" and wholesome neighborhood development-problems to which "the building of new homes provides only a partial solution." Therefore, he proposed broadening or extension of existing housing laws to assist communities in renovating salvable slum areas and eliminating those nonsalvable and to provide financial encouragement to housing maintenance and improvement.

The President promised that Federal housing agencies would take administrative steps "to insure that families of minority groups displaced by urban redevelopment operations have a fair opportunity to acquire adequate housing; we shall prevent the dislocation of such families through the misuse of slum clearance programs; and we shall encourage adequate mortgage financing for the construction of new housing for such families on good, well-located sites."

The other housing proposals included an experimental program under which "the Federal Housing Administration would be authorized to insure long-term loans of modest amounts, with low initial payment, on both new and existing dwellings, for low-income families." This program, aimed at encouraging private lenders and builders to meet the "challenge," would be limited to families requiring relocation because of "slum rehabilitation, conservation, and similar activities in the public interest." Meantime, such families would also be preferred in the selection of tenants under the program for the construction of new public housing, which the President proposed be continued for 4 years "at a reasonable level" $-35,000$ units each year. In addition, the President asked that Congress broaden the authority which he had previously been granted, on a limited basis, to adjust from time to time, in the light of economic conditions, the permissible terms on Government guaranteed and insured mortgages.

# State Unemployment Insurance Legislation in 1953 

Amendments to unemployment insurance laws were adopted by 41 States in 1953. On the whole, these changes increased benefit rate levels, imposed more restrictive disqualification standards, and modified experience-rating systems to permit certain employers to reduce payroll tax rates, according to a recent report on the subject. ${ }^{1}$

Extension of coverage to unprotected groups received comparatively little legislative attention in 1953, according to the report.

Twenty-six States amended their financing provisions, in most cases to permit the assignment of lower tax rates to individual employers. Nevada was the first State to raise its taxable wage base to $\$ 3,600$ from $\$ 3,000$ (the limit provided in the Federal Unemployment Tax Act and in all State employment security laws).

## Benefit Provisions

Most of the amendments to benefit clauses adopted by 26 States in 1953 provided for increases for some workers. In some States, however, the changes reduced the benefit rights of others or entirely excluded some from unemployment insurance protection, "usually those with low earnings, who would have been eligible under the former provisions." Significant benefit provisions of the laws of each State, as of December 1, 1953, embodying the legislative changes of 1953, are summarized in the accompanying table.

Qualifying Wages or Employment. ${ }^{2}$ During 1953, 17 States amended the provisions as to qualifying earnings or employment: 11 States increased the minimum qualifying wage requirements; 3 made no change in basic qualifying requirements but added provisions which would make it more difficult for some workers to qualify; and 3 others liberalized qualifying requirements slightly for some workers.

Maximum Weekly Benefit. The emphasis of unemployment insurance legislation in 1953 was on adjusting the maximum weekly benefit to reflect higher wage levels rather than on extending duration of benefits. Twenty States increased the basic maximum weekly benefit by $\$ 1$ to $\$ 6$ : Alaska to
$\$ 35$ (from $\$ 30$ ); Wisconsin to $\$ 33$ (from \$30); 9 States ${ }^{3}$ to $\$ 30$ ( 1 from $\$ 24,6$ from $\$ 25$, and 2 from $\$ 28$ ) ; Colorado and Oklahoma to $\$ 28$ (from $\$ 22.75$ and $\$ 22$, respectively) ; Maine to $\$ 27$ (from $\$ 25$ ); Georgia, Nebraska, North Dakota, and Tennessee to $\$ 26$ (from $\$ 20, \$ 24, \$ 25$, and $\$ 22$, respectively); South Dakota to $\$ 25$ (from $\$ 22$ ); and Montana to $\$ 23$ (from $\$ 20$ ). All but 2 of these States raised the amount of wages required for eligibility for the new maximum benefit, and, in addition, Rhode Island, without increasing its maximum benefit, provided for an increased amount of qualifying wages. In some cases, "the increases were substantial, and disproportionate to the increase in benefit rates as compared with other States." ${ }^{4}$

In 5 States, the rise in basic benefits resulted in higher maximum benefits including allowances for dependents in 1953: Connecticut to $\$ 45$ (from $\$ 36$ ) ; Maryland to $\$ 38$ (from \$33); Wyoming to $\$ 36$ (from \$31); Ohio to $\$ 35$ (from \$33); and North Dakota to $\$ 32$ (from \$31). Alaska raised the limit of the allowance from 60 to 100 percent of the weekly benefit, thus providing a maximum augmented benefit of $\$ 70$ (for a worker with 5 dependents). Nevada also raised the maximum dependents' allowance from $\$ 12$ to $\$ 20$ and the maximum augmented weekly benefit to $\$ 50$, but retained a limiting proviso which may override this provision.

At the end of the 1953 legislative sessions, the maximum basic weekly unemployment insurance benefit varied from $\$ 20$ to $\$ 35$, except in 4 States where the potential augmented benefit ranged from $\$ 38$ to $\$ 70$. Twenty States, having 55 percent of covered workers, provided a maximum weekly benefit of $\$ 30$ or more, including the maximum dependents' allowance in 3 of these States. Three States, with only 3.5 percent of total covered workers, provided a maximum of $\$ 22$ weekly

[^14]Significant benefit provisions of State unemployment insurance laws, December 1, 1953


See footnotes at end of table.

Significant benefit provisions of State unemployment insurance laws, December 1, 1953—Continued

| State | Qualifying wages or employment in base period ${ }^{1}$ | Weekly benefit amount ${ }^{1}$ |  |  | Earnings disregarded in computing weekly benefits for partial unemployment 4 | Total benefits payable in benefit year |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Computation (fraction of high-quarter wages, unless otherwise indicated) ${ }^{2}$ | For total unemployment |  |  | Computation (fraction of total baseperiod wage credits unless otherwise indicated) ${ }^{5}$ | Minimum |  | Maximum |  |
|  |  |  | Minimum ${ }^{3}$ | Maximum ${ }^{3}$ |  |  | Amount | Weeks of total un-employment ${ }^{6}$ | Amount ${ }^{3}$ | Weeks of total unem-ployment ${ }^{\text {B }}$ |
| New Hampshire. | \$300. | 2.2-1.2 percent of annual wages | \$7.00 | \$30. 00 | \$3 | Uniform number of weeks. $3 / 4$ weeks of employment. | \$182.00 | 26 | \$780 | 26 |
| New Jersey | 17 weeks of employment at $\$ 15$ or more. | 2/3 of average weekly wage. | 10.00 | 30.00 | Up to $1 / 2 \mathrm{wba}^{4}$ |  | 130.00 | 13 | 780 | 26 |
| New Mexico. | 30 times wba and $\$ 156$ in 1 quarter. | 1/26. | 10.00 | 30.00 |  |  | 120.00 | 12 | 720 | 24 |
| New York.-- | 20 weeks of employment at average of $\$ 15$ or more. | 67-52 percent of average weekly wage. | 10.00 | 30.00 |  | Uniform number of weeks. | 260.00 | 26 | 780 | 26 |
| North Carolina. | \$250 | 2.4-1.0 percent of annual wages. | 7. 00 | 30.00 |  | -do | 182.00 | 26 | 780 | 26 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { North } \\ \text { kota. } \end{gathered}$ | 30 times wbs and wages in 2 quarters. | 124, plus $\$ 1$ or $\$ 2$ per dependent, by schedule \$2-6. | 7.00-9.00 | 26.00-32.00 |  | do | 140.00 | 20 | 520-640 | 20 |
| Ohio........- | 20 weeks of employment and $\$ 240$. | $1 / 17-1 / 25$, plus $\$ 2.50$ for each dependent up to $\$ 5$. | 10.00-12.50 | 30.00-35.00 | (4) $\$ 2 \ldots \ldots$ | 1/2-..............- | 120.00 | 12-9+ | 780-910 | 26 |
| Oklahoma Oregon_....-- | 20 times wba and wages in 2 quar- <br> $\$ 400$ ters. | 1/20.................-- | 10.00 15.00 | 28.00 |  | 1/3--...........- | 67.00 | $6+$ | 616 | 22 |
| Oregon |  | 3.4-1.4 percent of annual wages. | 15.00 | 25.00 |  | 1/3-............- | 133.00 | $8+$ | 650 | 26 |
| Pennsylvania. | 30 times wba and \$120 in 1 quarter. | 1/25.................--- | 10.00 | 30.00 |  | 43-34 percent.- | 130.00 | 13 | 780 | 26 |
| Rhode Island. |  | 120 | 10.00 | 25.00 |  | 35-27 percent | 104.00 | $10+-6+$ | 650 | 26 |
| South Caroolina. | 30 times wba and Slo0 in 1 quarter. | 1/20. | 5.00 | 20.00 |  | Uniform number of weeks. 36-22 percent | 90.00 | 18 | 360 | 18 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { South Da- } \\ & \text { kota. } \end{aligned}$ | $11 / 2$ times high-quarter wages and $\$ 150$ in 1 quarter or wages in 2 quarters if base-period wages are $\$ 600$ or more. | 1/20-1/23 | 8.00 | 25.00 |  |  | 80.00 | 10 | 500 | 20 |
| Tennessee..- | 50 times wba and $\$ 75$ in 1 quarter ( 40 if wba is under \$16). | 1/21-1/25. | 5. 00 | 26. 00 |  | Uniform number of weeks. | 110.00 | 22 | 572 | 22 |
| Texas | $\$ 200$ and wages in 2 quarters. | 1320. | 7.00 | 20.00 |  | 1/5 $\qquad$ <br> ${ }^{5} 40-29$ percent | 40.00 | 5 | 480 | 24 |
| Utah. | 19 weeks of employmentand $\$ 400$ baseperiod wages. | 1/20. | 10.00 | 27. 50 |  |  | 160.00 | 16-15 | 715 | 26 |
| Vermont...- | 30 times wba and $\$ 50$ in 1 quarter (effective $4 / 4 / 54,30$ times wba and $\$ 200$ in 1 quarter and 1/3 of wages in last 2 quarters). | 1/18-1/20 (effective 4/4/54, 1/22-3/26). | ${ }^{10} 6.00$ | 25.00 | \$3. | Uniform number of weeks. | ${ }^{11} 120.00$ | 20 | 500 | 20 |
| Virginia.-..- | 25 times wba ( $16+$ if wba is $\$ 6$ ). | 125. | 6.00 | 22.00 | \$2. | 1/4. $\qquad$ <br> ${ }^{5} 25-31$ percent. | 36.00 | 6 | 352 | 16 |
| Washington- | \$600-...--......------- | 1.5-1.2 percent of annual wages. | 10.00 | 30.00 |  |  | 150.00 | 15 | 780 | 26 |
| West Virginia. |  | 1.8-1.0 percent of annual wages. | 10.00 | 30.00 |  | Uniform number of weeks. $7 / 10$ weeks of employment. | 240.00 | 24 | 724 | 24 |
| Wisconsin..- | 14 weeks of employment at average of $\$ 13$ or more. | 69-51 percent of average weekly wage. | 10.00 | 33.00 | Up to $1 \frac{1}{2}$ Wba. ${ }^{4}$ - |  | 100.00 | 10 | 874.50 | $261 / 2$ |
| Wyoming--- | 26 times wba and $\$ 200$ in 1 quarter. | $1 / 21-1 / 25$, plus $\$ 3$ for each dependent up to $\$ 6$, but total may not exceed 8 percent of highquarter wages. | 10.00-13.00 | 30.00-36. 00 | \$5-1 | ${ }^{5} 31-26$ percent- | 80.00 | 8 | 780-936 | 26 |

${ }^{1}$ Weekly benefit amount is abbreviated throughout the table as wba.
${ }^{2}$ When State uses a weighted high-quarter formula, annual-wage formula, or average-weekly-wage formula, approximate fractions or percentages are taken at midpoint of lowest and highest normal wage brackets. When dependents' allowances are provided, the fraction applies to the basic benefit amount.
${ }^{3}$ When 2 amounts are given, higher includes dependents' allowances except in Colorado, where higher amount includes 25 percent additional for claimants employed in State by covered employers for 5 consecutive calendar years with wages in excess of $\$ 1,000$ per year and no benefits received; duration for such claimants is increased to 26 weeks. Higher figure for minimum weekly benefit amount includes maximum allowance for 1 dependent at minimum weekly benefit. In the District of Columbia same maximum with or without weekly ents. Maximum augmented payment to individuals with dithout dependor Massachusetts since any fore individuals with dependen assumed maximum number of dependents.
${ }^{4}$ In States noted, full weekly benefit is paid if earnings are less than one-
half weekly benefit; one-half weekly benefit amount, if wages are one-half weekly benefit but less than weekly benefit. In all States with dependents* allowances except Michigan and Ohio, claimant receives full allowance for weeks of partial unemployment. In Michigan, claimant eligible for one-half weekly benefit amount gets one-half dependents' allowance; in Ohio, payment of dependents' allowance is limited to 26 weeks.
${ }^{5}$ In States with weighted schedules the percent of benefits is figured at the bottom of the lowest and of the highest wage brackets; in States noted the percentages at other brackets are higher and/or lower than the percentages shown. In Utah, duration is based on average State wage; percentages given apply for benefit years beginning between Apr. 1, 1953, and Mar. 31, 1954 .
o When 2 figures are given, higher applies to claimants with minimum
weekly benefit amount and minimum qualifying wages except in Colorado, where some claimants are entitled to 26 weeks (see footnote 3); if qualifying wages are concentrated largely or wholly in the high quarter, weekly benefit for claimants with minimum qualifying wages may be higher and consequently weeks of benefits are less, as indicated by lower figure. In Delaware, (Continued on next page.)
benefits. "Only 5 States, with 7.4 percent of the covered workers, now provide a maximum weekly benefit of less than $\$ 22$."

Nevertheless, taking into account the legislative changes of 1953, 3 States alone-Mississippi, New Hampshire, and North Carolina-provided maximum basic weekly benefits amounting to more than 50 percent of the average weekly wage of insured workers in the State. For benefits plus maximum dependents' allowances, only 7 additional States ${ }^{5}$ attained this level. In 1939, maximum weekly benefits exceeded 50 percent of average weekly wages of covered workers in 48 States, ${ }^{6}$ whereas in 1953 maximum weekly benefits for claimants not entitled to dependents' allowances were less than 50 percent of average weekly wages.

Duration of Benefits. During 1953, only 8 States amended provisions governing the maximum length of benefit payments. Four of these which provide for variable duration of benefits increased the maximum period to 26 weeks. ${ }^{7}$ Two others extended the 26 -week maximum to additional groups, and 2 with uniform duration raised the maximum to 24 and 20 weeks, respectively. ${ }^{8}$

At the end of legislative sessions in 1953, the potential maximum duration of benefits ranged from 16 to $26 \frac{1}{2}$ weeks. More than two-thirds of the number of workers covered by State unemployment insurance systems ${ }^{9}$ were in States which provided a maximum of 26 weeks of benefits in 1953 (including Wisconsin, which provided $261 / 2$ weeks).

## Eligibility and Disqualification

Except for provisions as to qualifying earnings already noted, only 3 States made any changes in eligibility requirements for benefits in 1953. Arkansas and Oklahoma added the equivalent of an "active search for work" clause to their provision of availability for work, bringing the number of States with such statutory requirements to 26 . Connecticut added a provision that a woman need not be available for work between 1 and $6 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{m}$.

Of the 24 States which amended disqualification-from-benefit provisions, 8 struck out certain causes which rendered workers ineligible for benefits and 15 added new causes ( 8 of these by administrative action). Eleven States intensified the severity of their disqualifying provisions, and 6 lessened the severity.

In addition to the legislative disqualifications of workers for voluntary leaving, discharge for misconduct, or refusal of suitable work, or because of a labor dispute, adopted by various States in 1953, administrative disqualifications also went into effect. Eight States added an administrative disqualification for fraud, bringing to 46 the number of States with such provisions. Four States added a disqualification for unemployment due to pregnancy (already adopted by 29 States). Five States added to existing provisions that already disqualified workers from benefits or reduced the amount payable if they currently received specified outside payments such as pensions. On the other hand, New Mexico dropped its disqualification for receipt of retirement benefits under Federal old-age and survivors insurance.

Two States changed their labor-dispute disqualifications in 1953. In Massachusetts, a worker who has been disqualified because of a labor dispute must earn $\$ 500$ before he again becomes eligible for benefits; wages earned from the employer involved in a labor dispute cannot be counted for benefit rights as long as the dispute lasts. In New Hampshire, the disqualification is to be lifted if the stoppage continues for 2 weeks. after the labor dispute ends.

The new disqualification provisions, according to the report, generally would increase the difficulty of disqualified workers in reestablishing their benefit rights by requiring some reemployment and earnings to do so. Such provisions would, it was held, be likely to result in wiping out benefit rights in periods of increased unemployment and lessened opportunities for obtaining jobs.

[^15][^16]
## Causes and Extent of Unemployment in Italy

Open unemployment, although a grave and persistent problem for postwar Italy, is only one aspect of a much broader evil-the unhealthy, low degree to which the country's labor potential is being mobilized-according to a parliamentary committee which investigated unemployment in Italy. ${ }^{1}$ The limited extent to which labor is utilized is reflected in the low ratio of gainfully employed to total population, particularly among women, and also in widespread underemployment ("hidden" unemployment), most pronounced in agriculture. The causes of this dangerous complex are manifold. Some of them can be traced back to demographic and economic developments through a number of decades, while others are related to profound changes in Italy during postwar years, such as the shift from economic autonomy to free trade; the modernization of industry; and the increased obstacles to emigration overseas. Other contributing factors are the low geographical, industrial, and occupational mobility of labor and the lack of skill among employed and unemployed persons.

The primary need in Italy, the committee concluded, is a long-term program, not temporary relief policies. A genuine change for the better can be attained only by changes in the whole structure of the Italian economy. Not much practical help can be expected from attempts to cut down the labor supply by measures designed to reduce the birthrate appreciably, to increase emigration, to prevent women from seeking paid employment, or to lower the retirement ageall measures suggested in Italy. The real need is for "far-reaching and lasting improvements in the income-earning capacities of the country." The committee recommended, in particular, two lines of action: (1) improving labor performance by a sound system of vocational guidance, a more efficient placement service, and the development of vocational training; and (2) increasing capital investments, mainly from foreign sources, to be placed in carefully selected enterprises "over an adequate period" of time. This "basic" program should be supplemented by attempts to reduce frictional unemployment by improving labor
mobility and to overcome seasonal fluctuations of employment.

## Statistical Findings

The committee obtained its statistical data mainly from three sources: a sampling survey of the labor force, shaped closely after the American model; a study of the applicants for work registered with the public employment service; and an assessment of underemployment in agriculture.

According to the sampling survey, the Italian labor force in September 1952 totaled 19.4 million, or 41.1 percent of a population of 47.1 million. This percentage is low compared both with earlier census figures for Italy and with other Western European countries. These and other results of the sampling survey, the chairman observed, should be used "with caution," in view of "criticisms and reservations concerning the sampling methods." ${ }^{2}$

The inquiry revealed that the ratio between working and total population differed very considerably among the various regions of Italy. There has been a "gradual and progressive" diminution from the north of the country to the south. This degression has been particularly significant among women, who in northern Italy form 28 percent of the labor force and in Sicily, only 9.4 percent.

Within the labor force, the sampling survey showed close to 1.3 million persons as unem-ployed-more than 400,000 below the number of job applicants registered in the same month with the public employment service. The committee recognized that the sampling survey counted as employed, large groups which, under the general conditions prevailing in Italy, would better have been considered as unemployed-people who were not at work during the week of the survey or worked only for a few hours. He considered the employment service data on unemployment as more accurate and estimated that in September 1952 at least 1.5 million persons were unemployed, a figure which coincides with the current official

[^17]statistics and which, at the peak of the winter season, may rise by 400 to 500 thousand.

A more detailed analysis of both the sampling survey and the employment service register data gave some indication of the gravity of the unemployment situation. At least 500,000 , if not more than 600,000 , of the unemployed probably had never had any job before. Most of these were in the younger age groups. About 415,000 of the 1.7 million or more registered unemployed were under 20 years of age, 440,000 were in the 21 to 29 age group, and 747,000 (over four-fifths of the remainder) were in the group of persons at the best working ages, 30 to 54 . Duration of unemployment in individual cases was severe. More than a third of the unemployed who had previously held jobs had been unemployed for more than 6 months, and almost a fourth for more than 1 year. Only a small proportion of the unemployed received unemployment benefits. According to official estimates for 1951, only 10 percent of all registered job applicants-or 20 percent of the registered unemployed nonagricultural workers with previous work experiencereceived unemployment benefits. These benefits, in the committee's opinion, were inadequate as to amount and duration.

The committee made a special effort to collect data on underemployment, primarily in agriculture where it is most pronounced. Among the more than 7 million persons counted as employed in farming-about 40 percent of the entire employed labor force- 1.5 million day laborers were underemployed to a particularly high degree. According to a study by the National Institute of Agricultural Economy, the average number of days worked by this group was 161 a year, compared with 228 for all categories of agricultural workers. Almost a fourth of the day laborers worked only for 100 days, or less, in the year. In the nonagricultural industries, underemployment is reflected in a widespread reduction of hours of work. In view of the low level of wages in Italy and the poverty of large parts of the population, even a reduction to 40 hours a week, from the general standard of 48, can result in serious social hardship. According to the sampling survey, 64 percent of all employed persons in the labor force worked 48 hours or more; of the remainder, 17 percent worked between 40 and 48 hours; 17.4
percent, between 15 and 40 hours; and 1.6 percent, less than 15 hours.

## Prospects for the Future

The committee was also directed to determine population trends and employment opportunities which might be foreseen for the 4 years following 1952. These years will be particularly difficult because the number of new entrants into the labor force will remain high ( 300,000 a year). This number will decline in the latter 1950's and rise again in the 1960 's; only after 1970 can the population be expected to become stationary. Assuming a net annual emigration of 120,000 , the number of workers available will increase each year by at least 150,000 until 1955 ; the increase in 1956 and 1957 may not exceed 85,000. An "optimistic estimate" indicates that the Italian economy will be able to absorb this annual increase, but not more than that. This means that problems of both unemployment and underemployment will probably persist, the committee concluded, especially if further technological developments or cyclical fluctuations of the economy interfere during the coming years.

In reviewing the various segments of the economy, the committee found that agriculture as well as the traditional Italian manufacturing industries (textiles, clothing, and foodstuffs), were "saturated" with manpower. However, additional labor could be used in the capital goods or durable consumer-goods industries and particularly in the service occupations.

## Causes of Unemployment and Underemployment

In discussing the causes of the present manpower situation, the committee made a historical survey to ascertain the immediate and the more remote causes. Among the recent causes, the report dealt with war destruction; with the influx of people from the lost colonies and the former armed forces; with the mechanization of industry, which has been stepped up in the last 4 or 5 years; and with the change of economic policy from the Fascist drive for self-sufficiency to European economic cooperation requiring a readjustment of the whole economic structure of the country.

Other equally important causes date much far-

[^18]ther back than these postwar changes. One is the gradual rise in the age level of the population, a process that started later in Italy than in other European countries but which has become marked during the last two decades, with the result that the proportion of the total population in the working age groups is growing. Other factors which contribute to increase the unused labor supply are a continuous shift from self-employment to paid employment; the decline of emigration; and the increase in the number of women entering the labor market.

At the same time, the possibilities and inclination for saving and investing have diminished, international investments have declined, and long periods of inflation have created bad economic habits. Grave problems of a permanent nature are the lack of economic balance between the north and the south of the country, between agriculture and industry, and in what the committee calls "a cumbrous government machine."

Among the noneconomic factors responsible for the low level of economic activities, the committee was particularly impressed by the serious gaps in general education and vocational training. A subcommittee calculated that 11 percent of the Italian population were illiterate, and that only 1 in 10 workers aged 40 or over and 3 in 10 workers under 40 years of age had finished elementary schooling. Among the registered unemployed, only 1 in 20 had participated in a course of vocational training. The employment offices reported that very few applicants had the skills required for their placement; almost one-third were classified as "unskilled laborers." There are indications also that the work prospects of the unemployed are further diminished by widespread physical and psychological deficiencies. For example, a study of a small sample of the unemployed, made by the National Association for the Prevention of Accidents, showed that almost half of the unemployed were ill, partly as the result of unemployment, and that the proportion of persons psychologically maladjusted was 10 times greater among the unemployed than among persons in the general population.

Closely connected with personal deficiencies of the working population, but also closely related to what the committee called the "sluggish marketing economy" of Italy, are the low mobility of labor
and the rigidity of the labor market. Labor turnover is much lower than in the industrialized western countries. The immediate cause of unemployment was discharge in almost 50 percent of the cases and voluntary resignation in only 3.3 percent. There has been little movement of workers "from one trade to another and from one firm to another," much less than some decades ago. The geographical mobility of the population also has fallen considerably, partly because of special legislation forbidding acceptance of job applications, in certain cities, from workers who were not local residents for a specified period, and partly because of housing shortages and similar reasons.

## Wage Chronology No. 38:

 Missouri Pacific Transportation Co., 1945-53Bus service is provided to almost 1 million passengers a year by the Missouri Pacific Transportation Co. in Kansas, Colorado, Nebraska, Missouri, Arkansas, Louisiana, Texas, and Mississippi. The company, in addition, transports light express, United States mail, and baggage within approximately the same area. Organized in November 1928, it is a wholly owned subsidiary of the Missouri Pacific Railroad Co. Its 220 coaches travel more than $16,685,000$ miles annually over a 5,400 -mile route. It employed over 500 mechanics, drivers, and terminal workers in 1952.

The Brotherhood of Railroad Trainmen (BRTInd.) has been recognized as the sole collective bargaining agent for the drivers since 1938. Contracts governing the mileage rates and related wage provisions have been negotiated by the company and the union since that time. Hours of service, safety regulations, and qualifications for all over-the-road drivers are regulated by the Interstate Commerce Commission. It has established a maximum of 60 hours' duty-time in a workweek of 168 consecutive hours for drivers. However, lines operating 7 days a week are permitted to keep their operators on duty for a
maximum of 70 hours in a workweek of 192 consecutive hours. ${ }^{1}$ Maximum daily hours for operating or driving are 10 in a 24 -hour period (12 in the event of adverse weather or road conditions). ${ }^{2}$ This regulation does, however, permit a driver to work up to 16 hours in a 24 -hour period providing he has been given 8 hours' rest during or immediately following his 10 -hour driving or operating time.

Maintenance employees were first represented by a union in March 1939, when System Federation No. 2, Railway Employees Department ${ }^{3}$ of the American Federation of Labor, was certified as the bargaining agent. In September 1948, the International Association of Machinists (IAMAFL) became the sole agent for those employees.

Employees in the company's terminals are represented by the Brotherhood of Railway and Steamship Clerks (AFL). Contract provisions covering these employees are not included in this chronology, because they cover only a small number of workers and many of the provisions vary among the terminals.

This chronology traces the changes in wages and related working practices for drivers and maintenance employees as provided in the agreements between the company and the two unions; it
covers the period from 1945 to the present. The current agreement for drivers was scheduled to remain in effect until October 1, 1953, and thereafter, subject to 60 days' notice. ${ }^{4}$ The agreement covering maintenance workers continues in effect until April 30, 1954, and thereafter it also is subject to 60 days' notice.

[^19]A-General Wage Changes ${ }^{1}$


Applications, exceptions, and other related matters

New mileage rate schedule for operators; 4-mill decrease for those with 1 year or less of service; and 2.5 -mill increase for these with more than 2 years' service.

Except painters, who received 26.7 cents to bring them up to the mechanics' rate.
Applicable to mechanics and painters only.

## A-General Wage Changes ${ }^{1}$-Continued

| Effective date | Provision |  | Applications, exceptions, and other related matters |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Operators | Maintenance |  |
| Oct. 15, 1949 (IAM-by agreement of Nov. 10, 1949). |  | 10 to 21 cents an hour increase. |  |
| Oct. 15, 1950 (IAM-by agreement of May 2, 1951). |  | 2 cents an hour increase. |  |
| Jan. 24, 1951 (IAM-by agreement of above date). |  | 6 cents an hour increase. |  |
| Jan. 24, 1951 (BRT-by agreement of Mar. 28, 1951). | 4 mills a mile increase. |  |  |
| Oct. 1, 1951 (BRT-by agreement of same date). | 2 mills a mile increase. |  |  |
| May 1, 1952 (IAM-by agreement of Apr. 23, 1952). |  | 10 cents an hour increase. | Except mechanic helpers, greasers, and laborers, who received 7 cents. |
| Oct. 1, 1952 (BRT-by agreement of Sept. 5, 1952). <br> May 1, 1953 (IAM-by agreement of Apr. 10, 1953). | 4 mills a mile increase. | 5 cents an hour increase. |  |

${ }^{1}$ General wage changes are construed as upward or downward adjustments affecting a substantial number of workers at one time. Not included within the term are adjustments in individual rates (promotions, length-of-service increases, etc.) and adjustments in wage structure (such as changes in certain minimum guarantees) that do not have an immediate and noticeable effect on the average wage level.

The changes listed above were the major adjustments in wage rates made during the period covered. Because of fluctuations in length-of-service earnings affecting mileage rates, nongeneral changes in rates, and other factors, the sum of the general changes will not necessarily coincide with the amount of change in average hourly earnings over the period of the chronology.

B-Related Wage Practices ${ }^{1}$

| Effective date | Provision | Applications, exceptions, and other related <br> matters |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

## Shift Premium Pay

| $1945($ IAM $)$ | No provision for shift premium pay. | See Mealtime Pay. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Overtime Pay

1945 (IAM) ------------
Aug. 15, 1945 (BRT)

Feb. 15, 1946 (IAM) $\ldots-$--

Oct. 15, 1949 (IAM) $\ldots$.-.

Maintenance employees: Time and one-half paid for work in excess of 8 hours a day. Operators, regular and extra: Regular hourly rate paid for all hours in excess of 8 on runs of 160 miles or less, or when less than 20 miles an hour was averaged during runs in excess of 160 miles; regular mileage rate applied when more than 20 miles was averaged on runs in excess of 160 miles. Time and one-half paid only to operators required to perform service on regular day off.
-
Changed to:
Maintenance employees: Time and one-half for work in excess of 8 hours a day or 40 hours a week.

On straight-away runs ${ }^{2}$ and for all time in excess of 10 consecutive hours on other rins, time computed on a continuous basis commencing when operator first required to report for duty and ending when finally released from duty. On other runs time of 10 hours of less counted as continuous except where the interval of release from duty at any point exceeded 1 hour.
Minimum of 1 hour's pay at overtime rates guaranteed employees required to work before or after scheduled hours without a break. Employees were not required to work more than 1 hour before start of scheduled day.

See footnotes at end of table.

## B-Related Wage Practices ${ }^{1}$-Continued

| Effective date | Provision | Applications, exceptions, and other related <br> matters |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

Premium Pay for Weekend Work:

1945 (IAM)

Aug. 15, 1945 (BRT) $\ldots$.--
Feb. 15, 1946 (IAM) ----

Mar. 1, 1947 (IAM) .....

Oct. 15, 1949 (IAM) $\ldots$.-.-
Added:
Maintenance employees: Time and one-half paid employees required to work on assigned rest days.
Changed to:
Maintenance employees: Time and one-half paid for work on the 6th and 7th consecutive days in the workweek.

Straight time paid employees regularly assigned to servicing and maintaining buses on Sunday.
30 miles' pay allowed on an hourly basis.
Time and one-half for all Sunday work by maintenance employees assigned to servicing buses if required to perform more than 4 hours' work on overtime rated jobs. (Overtime rated jobs involved all work other than servicing equipment.)

## Holiday Pay

| 1945 (IAM) | Maintenance employees: Time and one-half <br> paid for work on 7 holidays. No pay for <br> holidays not worked. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Aug. 15, 1945 (BRT) Operators: No provision for paid holidays. <br> Feb. 15, 1946 (IAM)  <br> Mar. 1, 1947 (IAM) Changed to: <br> Oct. 15, 1948 (IAM) <br> Maintenance employees: Number of recog-$.$nidays reduced to 6. |  |

Straight time paid employees assigned to servicing buses. Holidays were: New Year's Day, Washington's Birthday, Decoration Day, Fourth of July, Labor Day, Thanksgiving, and Christmas.

Time and one-half paid on holidays to maintenance employees assigned to servicing buses if required to perform more than 4 hours' work on overtime rated jobs.
Time and one-half paid maintenance employees for all work on above holidays.
Washington's Birthday dropped as a paid holiday.

## Paid Vacations

1945 (IAM) .-...-.-........

Aug. 15, 1945 (BRT) $\ldots$

Aug. 15, 1946 (BRT) $\ldots$
Changed to:
Operators, regular and extra: 6 days' vacation after 1 but less than 3 years' service; 12 days for 3 or more years.

Basis of pay, 48 hours per week at straighttime rates. Pay in lieu of vacation granted to employee who could not be spared. No vacation allowance if emplovee left service before taking vacation.
Regular operators received same pay they would have had if they had worked during vacation period. Extra operators paid 2 percent of average annual earnings in preceding calendar year for less than 5 years and 4 percent for 5 years' service or more. Not applicable to employees dismissed for cause or those who resigned without 14 days' notice. Pro rata pay granted to those who gave proper notice.
Extra operators received a minimum day's pay ${ }^{3}$ for each day of vacation. Vacations reduced by 112 for each 30 days' absence during year. No vacation allowance to operators discharged for cause. Pro rata vacation allowance to those who resigned or were furloughed.

See footnotes at end of table.

## B-Related Wage Practices ${ }^{1}$-Continued

| Effective date | Provision | Applications, exceptions, and other related matters |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Paid Vacations-Continued |  |  |
| Mar. 1, 1947 (IAM) | Changed to: <br> Maintenance employees: 6 days ( 48 hours) after 1 and less than 5 years' service; 12 days ( 96 hours) for 5 or more years. |  |
| Oct. 15, 1948 (IAM) | Changed to: <br> Maintenance employees: 6 days ( 48 hours) after 1 but less than 5 years' service provided employee had worked minimum of 160 days in preceding calendar year. | Full vacation pay on termination granted to eligible employee who left service voluntarily before taking vacation. |
| Aug. 15, 1949 (BRT) |  | No vacation allowance for operators discharged for breach of trust or intoxication. Those discharged for other causes received pay in lieu of vacation if they had earned full vacation. |
| Oct. 15, 1949 (IAM) | Changed to: <br> Maintenance employees: 1 week ( 40 hours) for 1 year but less than $4 ; 2$ weeks ( 80 hours) for 4 or more years' service. |  |
| Aug. 15, 1950 (BRT) | Changed to: <br> Operators, regular and extra: 7 days' paid vacation after 1 but less than 3 years' service; 14 days for 3 or more years. |  |
| May 1, 1951 (IAM) | Changed to: <br> Maintenance employees: Eligibility requirement reduced from 160 to 140 days worked in preceding calendar year. | Vacation pay granted to eligible employee who left service for any reason before taking vacation. |
| Oct. 1, 1952 (BRT) |  | Extra operators received $1 / 52$ of previous year's earnings for each week of vacation but not less than a minimum day's pay for each day of vacation. |
| Injury Pay |  |  |
| 1945 (IAM) - | No provision for injury pay $\qquad$ <br> Maintenance employees: Full day's wages paid employees forced to leave work because of injury. |  |
| Oct. 15, 1948 (IAM) |  |  |

## Reporting Time Pay

1945 (IAM)
Aug. 15, 1945 (BRT)
Feb. 15, 1946 (IAM) .....-
Maintenance employees: 2 hours' straighttime pay guaranteed employees reporting for work but not assigned; 2 hours and 40 minutes' pay at time and one-half (4 hours' straight time) guaranteed employees reporting and assigned to work.
Aug. 15, 1946 (BRT) $\ldots$.-.

Aug. 15, 1949 (BRT) $\ldots$.-.
Operators, regular: Regular assignment rate ${ }^{4}$ paid employees available but not assigned through no fault of their own.
Added:
Operators, regular and extra: Additional pay on the minute basis at straight-time rates for all time in excess of 30 minutes if required to report more than 30 minutes before scheduled departing time of run.

## B-Related Wage Practices ${ }^{1}$-Continued

| Effective date | Provision | Applications, exceptions, and other related <br> matters |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |

## Call-In Pay

1945 (IAM)
Aug. 15, 1945 (BRT)
Feb. 15, 1946 (IAM)

Aug. 15, 1946 (BRT) $\ldots$
Operators, regular and extra: $\$ 1$ minimum paid operators called in and not used.

See Standby (Protecting Time) Pay for provisions governing pay for operators called in and held at terminal.

## Minimum Guarantees

Aug. 15, 1945 (BRT) ...-

Aug. 15, 1946 (BRT) $\ldots$

Aug. 15, 1949 (BRT) $\ldots$
Added:
Operators, regular: 20 days, at regular assignment rate, a month guaranteed operators limited to a 5 -day week because of Interstate Commerce Commission regulations.
Changed to:
Operators, extra: 21 minimum days a month guaranteed.

Guarantee was exclusive of overtime or other compensation and not applicable if act of God made it impossible to perform regular service.

Added:
Operators, regular: Guarantee limited to 24 days in month of February. When act of God made performance of regular service impossible operator was allowed miles or hours, whichever was greater, for any service performed in month.

> Standby (Protecting Time) Pay

Aug. 15, 1945 (BRT) ...-
Operators, regular and extra: 4 hours ( 80 miles) paid operators called to work and not assigned but held on duty for less than 4 hours; 8 hours ( 160 miles) if held 4 hours or more.

Aug. 15, 1946 (BRT) $\ldots$
Changed to:
Operators, regular and extra: $1 / 4$ minimum day's pay if held 1 hour and less than 2 hours; $1 / 2$ minimum day's pay if held 2 hours and less than $4 ; 3 / 4$ minimum day's pay if held 4 and less than 6 ; minimum day's pay if held 6 hours and less than 8 .

Operators held less than 4 hours to be given available assignments before all other operators; those held more than 4 hours to be given available assignments after all others. No pay allowed if operators were called but released before departing for customary place of reporting for duty.
Operators held less than 6 hours to be given available assignments before all other operators; those held 6 hours and less than 8 given available assignments after all others.
See Call-In Pay for provision governing pay for operators called in and not held at terminal.

[^20]
## B-Related Wage Practices ${ }^{1}$-Continued

| Effective date | Provision |
| :--- | :--- |
| Aug. 15, 1945 (BRT) |  |

Applications, exceptions, and other related matters

## Deadheading Pay ${ }^{5}$

Aug. 15, 1945 (BRT) $\ldots$

| Runaround Pay |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Aug. 15, 1945 (BRT) | Operators, extra: Minimum day's pay allowed operators available for service and not assigned work in turn. ${ }^{6}$ |
| Aug. 15, 1946 (BRT) $\ldots$... |  |
| Oct. 1, 1951 (BRT) | Changed to: <br> Operators, extra: Minimum day's pay or actual miles lost, whichever was greater. |
| Away-From-Home Pay |  |
| Aug. 15, 1945 (BRT) Aug. 15, 1946 (BRT) | Operators, regular and extra: Regular hourly rate paid operators in unassigned service for all time held away from home in excess of 16 hours after being relieved from previous duty, but not more than 8 out of each 24 hours. |

Deadhead trips could be coupled, at prevailing rates of pay, with service trips made within 2 hours of completion of deadhead trip. 160 -mile minimum paid operator not called within 8 hours of commencement of deadhead trip. Operators on outside assignments that were discontinued paid for deadheading to home terminal.

## Detour Pay

Aug. 15, 1945 (BRT) $\ldots$.-

Aug. 15, 1946 (BRT) $\ldots$
Oct. 1, 1952 (BRT) $\qquad$

| Aug. 15, 1946 (BRT) $\ldots$ |
| :--- |
| Oct. 1, 1952 (BRT) $\ldots$ |

Applicable only to operator at head of extra board. Operators not assigned work in turn and placed at foot of board but used before midnight of same day to receive rate for assignment in addition to $1 / 2$ day's pay ( 80 miles).
Assignment-before-midnight provision deleted.

Operator called for duty after away-fromhome pay began also allowed service pay. Overtime resulting from call for duty not paid during period of away-from-home pay.

If call to work was 2 hours or less after away-from-home pay began, time could be coupled with service trip. Actual expenses allowed operators kept between terminals or at an away-from-home terminal by act of God.

General provision not applicable when detour was 5 miles or less and lasted 3 days or less.
Pay for all detour miles to start on first day.

[^21]
## B-Related Wage Practices ${ }^{1}$-Continued

| Effective date | Provision | Applications, exceptions, and other related <br> matters |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Aug. 15, 1945 (BRT) | Charter Service Pay |  |

## Emergency Trip Pay

Aug. 15, 1945 (BRT) $\ldots$.--
Operators, regular and extra: Hourly or mileage rate in addition to regular assignment compensation paid employees for emergency side or lap-back trips on orders of company, between start and end of assignment. Four hours or 80 miles minimum guaranteed in addition to regular assignment pay.

## Tire-Changing Allowance

Aug. 15, 1945 (BRT) $\ldots$..-
Oct. 1, 1951 (BRT) $\ldots$....-

Oct. 1, 1952 (BRT) $\ldots \ldots$
$\qquad$
Operators, regular and extra: $\$ 1$ paid for each tire changed.
Increased to:
Operators, regular and extra: $\$ 1.50 \ldots \ldots$
Changed to:
Operators, regular and extra: $\$ 1.50$ for changing outside tires, $\$ 2$ for inside tires.

Tire-changing required only when necessary to complete runs or in emergency.

Changing inside tire considered one tire change.

## Mechanical Failure Pay

Aug. 15, 1945 (BRT)
Oct. 1, 1951 (BRT) $-\ldots-$

Oct. 1, 1952 (BRT) $\ldots \ldots$ -.-..-
$\qquad$
Feb. 15, 1946 (IAM) $\ldots \ldots$

Maintenance employees: 2 cents a mile, minimum of $\$ 4.40$ an assignment, paid employees required to drive vehicles on revenue business in emergencies.
Changed to:
Maintenance employees: Operators' rate paid maintenance employees required to drive vehicles on revenue business in emergencies.

Earnings not to be less than would have been earned on regular assignment.

[^22]
## B-Related Wage Practices ${ }^{1}$-Continued

| Effective date | Provision | Applications, exceptions, and other related matters |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Road Service Pay |  |  |
| $1945 \text { (IAM) }$ | Maintenance employees: Straight-time rate paid employees for all travel, waiting, and working time within regular assigned working hours on road trips. Time and one-half paid for working time outside of regular hours. | Waiting and travel time outside of regularly assigned hours not considered as working time in computing overtime. Minimum payment, 8 hours in any 24 -hour period. |
| Oct. 15, 1948 (IAM) |  | Minimum payment provision deleted. |
| Transfer-of-Equipment Pay |  |  |
| Aug. 15, 1945 (BRT) <br> Aug. 15, 1949 (BRT) | No provision for transfer-of-equipment pay -Operators, regular and extra: Actual expenses in addition to regular earnings allowed operators required to leave home district while transferring equipment. |  |

## Mealtime Pay

1945 (IAM)
Feb. 15, 1946 (IAM)

Oct. 15, 1948 (IAM)

Oct. 15, 1949 (IAM) -...-
$\qquad$
,
$\longrightarrow$

## Subsistence Pay

1945 (IAM) -....-.-.-.-.

Aug. 15, 1945 (BRT) ....

Oct. 15, 1948 (IAM) $\ldots$...

Aug. 15, 1949 (BRT) ...-
Maintenance employees: Actual necessary expenses paid, when board and lodging were not provided by company, to employees required to make road trips.
Operators, regular and extra: $\$ 1$ room allowance paid operators during required rest between 2 days' work at an away-from-home terminal.
Changed to:
Maintenance employees: Actual necessary expenses allowed to employees sent out for road service.
Changed to:
Operators, regular and extra: $\$ 1.50$ room allowance.

## Special Allowances

Aug. 15, 1945 (BRT) _-.-
Operators, regular and extra: 50 cents, in addition to other earnings, paid operators required, during a day's assignment, to handle 25 or more pouches or parcels of mail.

Not applicable to operators driving equipment used exclusively for mail, baggage, express, and newspapers.

[^23]
## B-Related Wage Practices ${ }^{1}$-Continued

| Effective date | Provision | Applications, exceptions, and other related <br> matters |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

## Special Allowances-Continued

Aug. 15, 1950 (BRT) -
Added:
Operators, regular and extra: Separate allowance of 30 minutes each way for driving bus between terminal and garage.
Changed to:
Operators, regular and extra: \$1, in addition to other earnings, paid operators required, during a day's assignment, to handle 15 or more pouches or parcels of mail.
Oct. 1, 1952 (BRT) _..... $\quad \begin{gathered}\text { Changed to: }\end{gathered}$
Operators, regular and extra: 5 cents a pouch or parcel, with maximum of $\$ 2$ a day, paid for handling mail.

Payable when not included in assignment bulletin.

## Instruction Pay

| Oct. 15, 1945 (BRT) | No provision for instruction pay. <br> Aug. 15, 1946 (BRT) <br> Operators, regular: \$1 a day per student, in <br> addition to regular rates, paid to employees <br> giving instruction. |
| :--- | :--- |

## Transportation Privileges

| $\begin{aligned} & 1945 \text { (IAM) } \\ & \text { Aug. 15, } 1945(\mathrm{BRT}) \end{aligned}$ |  | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \begin{array}{c} \text { Agreements stated employees and families to } \\ \text { receive same privileges as other employees. } \end{array} \\ \text { Family defined as wife and children under } \\ 18 \text { years. } \end{array}\right.$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
|  | $\left(\begin{array}{c}\text { All employees: Maximum of } 6 \text { trips a year be- } \\ \text { tween system, points allowed employees } \\ \text { with } 6 \text { months' and less than } 5 \text { years' serv- }\end{array}\right.$ |  |
| Aug. 15, 1949 (BRT) Oct. 15, 1949 (IAM) | ice. Annual pass good in district of employment supplied employees with 5 and and less than 10 years' service; district pass for employee and family supplied those with 10 and less than 15 years; system pass for employee and family with 15 years or more. |  |

## Court Duty Pay

1945 (IAM) ................ Aug. 15, 1945 (BRT) $\ldots$

Aug. 15, 1946 (BRT) $\ldots$

Oct. 15, 1948 (IAM) $\ldots-$
Operators, extra: Minimum day's pay allowed for each 24 hours of time lost.
Changed to:
Maintenance employees: Expense provision deleted.
(All employees: Company to receive any fees or court mileage allowance accruing as a result of appearance.
Maintenance employees: 8 hours' pay guaranteed for each day's appearance; transportation furnished.
Operators, regular: Minimum day's pay allowed employees required to attend on lay-over day.
Deadhead mileage not paid. Payment for time lost allowable only when operators were held away from home terminal when they were required as witnesses for company at investigations in which they were not at fault.

Employees appearing as company witnesses on off-day paid under reporting-pay rule. Expense provision practice continued by company.

See footnotes at end of table.

## B-Related Wage Practices ${ }^{1}$-Continued

| Effective date | Provision | Applications, exceptions, and other related <br> matters |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

Sickness, Accident, and Hospitalization Benefits

Oct. 10, 1945 (organized 1876).

Julyె1, 1948_-...............

Jan. 1, ${ }^{\text {T}} 1951$

June 1,1951 $\qquad$

Jan. 1, 1952 $\qquad$

Added: Dependents of employees with 3 or more years' service to receive surgical care in association hospitals without charge; reduced rates for laboratory tests and therapy while in hospital.
Changed to: Drugs and medicines furnished without charge only when members confined in hospital under care of association staff or when prescribed for occupational disease or injury. In addition, on discharge from association hospital, patient could, on prescription, be supplied with medicines necessary to meet needs for up to 30 days. Other drugs supplied at half cost.
Provision for obstetrical care terminated.
Hospitalization, medical, dental, and surgical care, including drugs, within zone of operation of association.
Reimbursement up to $\$ 100$ for emergency medical, surgical, or hospital care outside the zone of operation of the association for those with 5 years' membership who lived within the zone.
Burial expenses, up to $\$ 50$, for indigent employees.
Reimbursement for medical care and hospital service provided in obstetrics cases up to $\$ 150$ after 5 years' membership when authorized by president of association.
Surgical and hospital care at reduced rates (when facilities were available) for dependents of those who had been members for 3 years.
$\qquad$

Provided through Missouri Pacific Hospital Association, operated since 1912 by board of member employees and officers. Not included in union agreements. Dues deducted from payroll as follows:

| Monthly earnings | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Monthly } \\ & \text { deductions } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Under \$50 | \$1. 00 |
| \$50 and less than \$75 | 1. 15 |
| \$75 and less than \$100 | 1. 25 |
| \$100 and less than \$125 | 1. 50 |
| \$125 and less than \$140 | 1. 65 |
| \$140 and less than \$200 | 1. 75 |
| \$200 and less than \$250 | 2. 00 |
| \$250 and over | 2. 50 |

2. 50

Membership optional for retired employees. If pensioned, dues based on amount of pension; if not pensioned, dues based on salary at time of retirement.
Monthly dues for active members changed to:

earnings

Monthly


$\begin{array}{ll}\$ 125 \\ \text { and less than } \$ 200 & \text { 2. } 75\end{array}$
$\$ 200$ and less than $\$ 250$ _------------- 3.25
$\$ 250$ and less than $\$ 300$------------------- 3 3. 75
$\$ 300$ and less than $\$ 400$ _-.-.-.-.--- $\quad 4.00$

Employees with less than 10 years' service retiring after Jan. 1, 1951, ineligible to retain membership.
Those who had retired before Jan. 1, 1951, with less than 10 years' service and those with 10 but less than 20 years could continue membership by paying dues at rate they were paying at retirement, with minimum of $\$ 3$ a month; those with more than 20 years, $\$ 3$ a month.

Monthly dues for active members changed to:

| Monthly earnings | Monthly <br> deductions |
| :---: | :---: |
| Under $\$ 250$ | \$3. 50 |
| \$250 and less than \$300 | 3. 75 |
| \$300 and less than \$400 | 4. 00 |
| \$400 and over | 5. 00 |

See footnotes at end of table.

## B-Related Wage Practices ${ }^{1}$ - Continued

| Effective date | Provision | Applications, exceptions, and other related <br> matters |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

Sickness, Accident, and Hospitalization Benefits-Continued

Jan. 1, 1953

June 1, 1953

June 16, 1953_.........--

Maximum reimbursement for emergency medical, surgical, or hospital care outside the zone of operation of the association increased to $\$ 200$ for any one illness or accident for those with 5 years' membership who lived within the zone.
Added: Maximum reimbursement up to $\$ 100$ for emergency medical, surgical, or hospital care for those with 5 years' membership who lived outside the zone of operation of the association. Maximum reimbursement in any one calendar year $\$ 250$.

Monthly dues for pensioners changed to:
(a) Those with less than 10 years' membership retired before Jan. 1, 1951, and those with 10 but less than 20 years, retiring after Jan. 1, 1951, at rate they were paying at retirement, with minimum of $\$ 4$.
(b) Those with 20 or more years' service, $\$ 3.50$ if they received a pension of less than $\$ 75$ a month, and $\$ 4$ if they received a pension of $\$ 75$ or more.
Monthly dues for active members changed to:

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Monthly } \\ & \text { earnings } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Monthly } \\ & \text { deductions } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Under \$300 | \$4. 00 |
| \$300 and less than \$350 | 4. 25 |
| \$350 and less than \$400 | 4.50 |
| \$400 and over | 5. 00 |

## Retirement Plan

1945
5------------------------
Employees covered by Railroad Retirement Act.

Employees of buslines wholly owned by railroad are qualified for coverage under the act.
${ }^{1}$ The last item under each entry represents the most recent change.
${ }_{2}$ "Straight-away run" is defined as a run starting at one terminal and ending at another terminal, or a run where an operator receives his rest between 2 days' work at a point other than his home terminal. 3 See table C, footnote 1.
4 The regular assignment rate is determined by multiplying the mileage of a particular trip by the appropriate mileage rate.
${ }^{5}$ The contract does not distinguish, as do many agreements in this industry, between deadheading-that is, driving an empty bus-and deadheading on the cushions-riding in a bus driven by another operator.
${ }^{6}$ Extra operators' names are posted on a bulletin board in order of seniority. The first operator on the list is ordinarily given the first a vailable extra assignment and his name is then moved to the bottom of the list. This procedure is used to provide coverage for unassigned service such as charters, vacations, trips of regular men when they are laying off, etc.
Regular vacancies and new assignments are filled by advertising for seniority choice. Operator with the most seniority making application receives assignment.

C-Mileage Rates ${ }^{1}$ Paid Motor Coach Operators, ${ }^{2}$ 1945-52

| Length of service | Effective date |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Aug. 15, 1945 | Aug. 15, 1946 | Aug. 15, 1948 | Aug. 15, 1949 | Jan. 24, 1951 | Oct. 1, 1951 | Oct. 1, 1952 |
| 1 year and under. | \$0.0360 | \$0.0410 | \$0.0450 | \$0.0480 | \$0. 0520 | \$0.0540 | \$0. 0580 |
| Over 1 year and under 2 years. | . 0400 | . 0450 | . 0500 | . 0530 | . 0570 | . 0590 | . 0630 |
| Over 2 years | . 0475 | . 0525 | . 0570 | . 0600 | . 0640 | . 0660 | . 0700 |

[^24]${ }^{2}$ Includes operators assigned to truck operations and drivers of tractors.

D-Basic Hourly Rates for Maintenance Employees, 1945-53

| Occupation | Effective date |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1945 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Feb. } 15, \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{1946}{\text { May }_{2}}$ | $\mathrm{Mar}_{1947}{ }^{\text {1 }}$ | Oct. 15, 1948 | Feb. 15 1949 | Oct. 15, 1949 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct. } 15 \\ & 1950 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{1951}{\text { Jan. } 24,}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { May }_{1952}, \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { May }_{1953}, \end{gathered}$ |
| Lead mechanics. | \$1.05 | \$1.155 | \$1. 235 | \$1.285 | \$1.44 | \$1.46 | \$1.67 | \$1.69 | \$1.75 | \$1.85 | \$1.90 |
| Mechanics ${ }^{1}$ | 1.00 | 1.100 | 1.185 | 1. 235 | 1.39 | 1.41 | 1.61 | 1.63 | 1.69 | 1.79 | 1.84 |
| Bodymen. |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.61 | 1.63 | 1.69 | 1. 79 | 1.84 |
| Electricians |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.61 | 1.63 | 1.69 | 1.79 | 1.84 |
| Painters. | . 888 | . 976 | 1.073 | 1.123 | 1.39 | 1.41 | 1.61 | 1.63 | 1.69 | 1.79 | 1.84 |
| Radiator repairmen. |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.61 | 1.63 | 1.69 | 1.79 | 1.84 |
| Sheet-metal workers |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.61 | 1.63 | 1.69 | 1.79 | 1.84 |
| Upholsterers. |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.61 | 1.63 | 1.69 | 1.79 | 1.84 |
| Welders. |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.61 | 1.63 | 1.69 | 1. 79 | 1.84 |
| Woodworkers. |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.61 | 1.63 | 1.69 | 1. 79 | 1.84 |
| Mechanic helpers | . 667 | . 733 | . 852 | . 902 | 1.057 | 1.057 | 1.20 | 1.22 | 1.28 | 1.35 | 1.40 |
| Countermen |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.21 | 1.23 | 1.29 | 1.39 | 1.44 |
| Greasers. | . $50-61$ | . $55-.671$ | .685-. 795 | . $735-.845$ | . 89-1.00 | .89-1.00 | 1.10 | 1.12 | 1.18 | 1.25 | 1.30 |
| Laborers | . $50-.51$ | . $506-.561$ | .685-. 695 | .735-. 745 | .89-. 90 | . $89-.90$ | 1.00 | 1.02 | 1.08 | 1.15 | 1. 20 |
| Apprentices. | . $55-.95$ | . $605-1.045$ | . $735-1.135$ | . $785-1.185$ | .94-1.34 | .94-1.34 | (2) |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ Prior to Oct. 15, 1949, most occupations falling within the mechanic classification were not listed separately.
${ }^{2}$ Training and employment of apprentices discontinued.
-Albert A. Belman and Marion R. Robbins
Division of Wages and Industrial Relations

## Wage Chronology No. 21: Pacific Coast Shipbuilding ${ }^{1}$

## Supplement No. 2

Pacific Coast shipbuilders negotiated, in the spring and summer of 1953 , separate agreements with the Metal Trades Councils (MTC-AFL), the United Brotherhood of Carpenters and Joiners of America (CJA-AFL), and the International Association of Machinists (IAM-AFL). The agreements with the Metal Trades Councils and the Machinists were new 1-year contracts to replace those expiring June 30, 1953; that with the Carpenters was an amendment to the July 1,1952 , contract. The three contracts were made effective until July 1, 1954.

The same general wage increase and provisions for health and welfare funds were negotiated for all three groups. Only in provisions relating to tools were there variations.

Negotiations with the Metal Trades Councils were started on April 27, 1953, and concluded May 8. The Carpenters reopened their 1952 contract on May 19 and reached an agreement with the employers May 21. The Machinists started their bargaining session on May 25. Although terms of the wage increase and establishment of a health and welfare fund were settled quickly, there was disagreement on the effective date of the tool clause. As a result, the Machinists struck in some shipyards on July 1. At no time was the strike coastwide, but several stoppages did persist for more than a week. By July 10, agreement was reached by representatives of the companies and the union, and ratification by union members followed within the next few days.

The following tables bring the Pacific Coast Shipbuilding chronology up to the termination date of the current contracts.

[^25]
## A-General Wage Changes

| Effective date | Provision | Applications, exceptions, and <br> other related matters |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| July 1, 1953 (by agreement of: MTC, May 8; CJA, <br> May 21; IAM, July 13). | 3 cents an hour increase_-.-.-- |  |

## B-Basic Wage Rates for Selected Occupations at West Coast New Construction and Repair Yards

| Occupation | Effective date |  | Occupation | Effective date |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { July 1, } \\ & 1952 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { July 1, } \\ & 1953 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { July 1, } \\ 1952 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { July 1, } \\ 1953 \end{gathered}$ |
| Acetylene burners | \$2. 13 | \$2. 16 | Riggers, loft; plate hangers; hook- |  |  |
| Blacksmiths, heavy forge | 2. 46 | 2. 49 | tenders and slingers ${ }^{2}$-------------1 | \$2. 13 | \$2. 16 |
| Chippers and calkers.-- | 2. 13 | 2. 16 | Riveters | 2. 13 | 2. 16 |
| Drillers and reamers. | 2. 13 | 2. 16 | Shipwrights, journeyman ${ }^{1}$ | 2. 13 | 2. 16 |
| Machinists (all classifications) ${ }^{1}$ | 2. 13 | 2. 16 | Tool and die makers ${ }^{1}$ | 2. 50 | 2. 53 |
| Operating engineers: |  |  | Welders, acetylene and electric ${ }^{3}$ | 2. 13 | 2. 16 |
| Equipment 20 tons and over Equipment under 20 tons.- | 2. 28 | 2.31 2.16 | Helpers, general---- | 1. 83 1.83 | 1. 86 1. 86 |
| Painters--------------- | 2. 13 | 2. 16 |  |  |  |

1 Included in chronology for the first time. As of May 9, 1952, the Car-
penters and Machinists were certified by National Labor Relations Board as separate bargaining units.
${ }^{2}$ Prior to July 1, 1947, classified as 2 occupations: Riggers, loft; and riggers and plate hangers.

C-Related Wage Practices

| Effective date | Provision | Applications, exceptions, and other related |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| matters |  |  |

## Tools and Equipment

July 1, 1953 (IAM); Oct. 1, 1953 (CJA).

July 1, 1953 (MTC) .-....--

Added: Employers to exercise option as follows: (1) furnish all tools, or (2) pay 5 cents a workhour to employees for furnishing their own tools.
Deleted: For carpenters-provision for employer replacement or repair of broken or wornout tools and replacement of lost tools.
Employers to replace tools stolen when employee was off shift and to replace or pay for tools (1) lost by fire, (2) broken or worn out, or (3) lost but not through employee's negligence.

Machinists: After employers exercise option, no change during the life of the contract.
Carpenters: After employers exercise option, no change without 30 days' notice.

Employees allowed to put away tools at the end of a shift on company time.

## Health and Welfare Plan

July 1, 1953 (MTC, IAM and CJA).

Noncontributory plans established.--------

Separate trust funds for MTC, IAM, and CJA. All 3 funds jointly administered by companies and unions. Effective July 1, 1953, employers to contribute $7 \frac{1}{2}$ cents an hour into depositories selected by the trustees of the respective funds. Benefits to be determined by mutual agreement of employers and unions.

## Technical Note

## Studies of Occupational Wages and Supplementary Benefits

Surveys of wages have been made by the Bureau of Labor Statistics since 1888. The bulk of the earlier surveys were in selected manufacturing industries, such as steel, meatpacking, and cotton textiles. The primary result was information on hours and earnings of workers in selected production or plant occupations, generally on a nationwide basis supplemented by broad regional tabulations.

In recent years, an effort has been made to provide industry wage information on a narrower geographic basis. Increased emphasis has been placed on collecting data for office clerical workers, and the collection of information has been broadened to give much more attention to supplementary benefits such as insurance and pension plans, paid vacations, paid holidays, and shift differentials.

A new type of survey has also been developed, in which the principal emphasis is on the area rather than on the industry being studied. These community wage surveys are concerned with wages of occupations common to a wide variety of industries.

The findings of all of these studies are used in wage determination through collective bargaining or employer personnel action. They are also used for comparison of wage levels in various parts of the country, and by public agencies in making wage determinations for employees who are paid on the basis of prevailing rates. In addition, they provide necessary information for formulation of public policy on wages, as in minimum wage legislation, and for the analysis of trends in economic developments.

## Concepts

Occupational wage surveys must be distinguished carefully from the Bureau's monthly Hours and Earnings Series. The latter are monthly estimates of average hours and earnings, by industry, derived from a regular group of reporters who furnish information on total employment, man-hours of work, and payrolls. The earnings figures include various forms of premium pay. No data for individual occupations are provided, nor is any distribution of individual employee's earnings presented. No data on supplementary benefits as such are obtained.

In the occupational wage surveys, the principal interest centers on the straight-time earnings or rates of pay, excluding shift differentials and premium overtime, for specific occupations. In most cases, this approach provides the closest approximation to the hourly rate of pay. In the case of professional and office clerical workers, the primary data are standard weekly hours and salaries, rather than actual hours and earnings. Production bonuses, commissions, and cost-ofliving bonuses are counted as earnings, but nonproduction bonus payments (e.g., Christmas payments) are not.

No attempt is made to evaluate meals or other payments in kind, nor does the calculation of earnings take account of employer expenses for vacation pay, insurance, pension plans, or any other fringe benefits. Thus, the earnings figures represent cash wages (before tax and social security deductions) after the exclusion of premium payments.

In wage surveys, the rate of pay is obtained for each worker individually, making it possible to calculate a distribution of earnings as well as an average.

The occupational classifications surveyed are carefully defined in advance of the survey. The objective is to obtain maximum correspondence between the duties of the employee, regardless of the plant job title, and the descriptions provided by the Bureau. Wages of workers not falling within one of the selected occupations may be collected in some surveys in order to develop overall averages and distributions, regardless of occupation.

## Scope of Survey

Before collection work is started in any survey, the scope of the study is rigorously defined as to industry, geographic and occupational coverage, size of establishments to be included, and payroll period to be covered.

Two distinct types of wage surveys-community and industry-are made.

Community wage studies are designed to provide earnings information on an area basis for occupations common to a variety of manufacturing and nonmanufacturing industries. The "community" covered is generally a standard metropolitan area. Industry divisions included are (1) manufacturing, (2) transportation (excluding railroads), communication, and other public utilities, (3) wholesale trade, (4) retail trade, (5) finance, insurance, and real estate, and (6) a selected group of service industries. Separate data are provided wherever possible for a limited number of industry divisions in addition to the all-industry averages and distributions of workers by earnings classes.

Cross-industry methods of sampling are utilized in compiling earnings data for the following types of occupations: (1) office clerical, (2) professional and technical, (3) maintenance and powerplant, and (4) custodial, warehousing, and shipping.

In addition, data are collected on weekly work schedules, shift operations and differentials, and certain supplementary benefits. These studies also provide estimates of the proportions of plant and office workers covered by union agreements, numbers of workers employed under incentive systems of wage payment, and the extent to which establishments have a formal wage structure for

[^26]workers paid on a time basis, providing a single rate or range of rates for individual job categories.

The Bureau conducts two general types of industry wage studies-nationwide and by area. The majority of nationwide studies are made in industries in which there is little geographic concentration, or in which interest of the users of the data centers mainly on the industry as a whole rather than on particular areas. Examples are basic iron and steel, nonferrous metals, and electric and gas utilities.

Area studies are made of geographically concentrated industries such as machinery and apparel, which are among those found in large cities. From time to time these may be supplemented by nationwide surveys. The principal advantages of the localized industry surveys are comparatively low collection costs and speed of publication.

Area studies are generally limited to wage data for a selected list of occupations and information on related benefits-generally for a standard metropolitan area. In nationwide studies, earnings data are also obtained for workers in other occupations for presentation of data on the entire wage structure. In addition to data for the Nation as a whole, regional and area data may also be presented for some industries. The area may be a standard metropolitan area, a State, a group of counties, etc.

## Survey Methods and Estimating Procedure

Planning. With respect to specific studies, consultations are held directly with appropriate management, labor, and Government representatives. Subjects dealt with generally relate to technical matters of industry definition or scope of study, minimum size limitation, timing of studies, selection of jobs for study, preparation of job descriptions, and the need for additional data on such subjects as fringe benefits and for other data of special interest.

The industry classification system used in wage surveys is practically always that in the Standard Industrial Classification Manual. ${ }^{1}$ The scope may range from part of a 4-digit code for an industry study to a combination of 2 -digit codes for a community wage study. The basic criterion is that the study should represent a fairly homogeneous unit insofar as wages and occupations are concerned.

The minimum size of establishment covered in any one industry study is uniform; in community wage studies the minimum size usually varies for different industry divisions. The minimum size is established after a study of the possible effects on the results, i. e., can representative or useful results be obtained from a study of the remaining establishments? Another practical reason for the adoption of size limitations is the difficulty encountered in classifying workers in small establishments where the degree of specialization differs sharply from that in large establishments.

Timing is an important factor in the conduct of wage studies. Because of the seasonal element in many industries, the time period of study must be selected with care in order to obtain useful results. Community wage studies are often timed to meet the needs of government agencies (Federal, State, and local) engaged in wage administration as required by law.

Wage surveys do not provide data for all occupations. In addition to the greater cost of obtaining data for all jobs, the usefulness of such data would be limited because of the wide differences in occupational structure from establishment to establishment. Hence, lists of key jobs are selected for study. In industry wage studies, the lists are, of course, confined to jobs found in the specific industries being studied; in community wage studies, the lists include occupations in operations common to all industries. In the selection of such jobs, the following criteria have been useful: (1) numerical importance, measured by the number of workers in the job; (2) clarity of content; (3) stability in terms of number of workers and content, from period to period; (4) prevalence among establishments; and (5) historical importance in wage structure. Occasionally technological changes require revision of job lists to bring them up to date. The entire list is selected to represent a reasonably complete range of rates in the wage structure-on the assumption that the rates of pay for these key jobs can be used as benchmarks for interpolating rates for other jobs.

Each key occupation is carefully defined in order to obtain maximum comparability of jobs from establishment to establishment. Such definitions are prepared from studies of plant operations by Bureau representatives and from sugges-
tions of industry and labor representatives. A job description that is to be used in a survey involving many establishments include the major determining classification characteristics of the job. It is flexible enough, however, to permit minor variations in duties from plant to plant. Above all, workers in the plants studied are classified on the basis of these job descriptions and not on titles of their jobs.

The needs for special data are quite broad in nature. In industry wage studies, separate tabulations may relate wages to unionization, method of wage payment, process of manufacture, wholesale price line, or other significant industry characteristics. In community wage studies, additional occupations are studied to meet the needs of government agencies in wage administration. Some wage studies may also include information on certain establishment policies such as the pattern of rate setting for supervisory employees and the prevalence of severance pay, in addition to the usual fringe benefits.

Questionnaires. Two schedules are used in obtaining data. The first (OWR-1) contains questions regarding product, size, unionization, paid vacations, insurance and pension plans, and related items applicable to the entire establishment. The second (OWR-2) is used in recording the occupation, sex, method of wage payment, hours (where needed), and earnings of each employee studied.

Sampling Procedure. The sampling design employed is almost always highly stratified. Before the sample is selected, information on all known establishments that might possibly fall within the scope of the survey is compiled from lists provided by regulatory governmental agencies, supplemented by data from trade directories, trade associations, labor unions, and other sources.

Establishments are then stratified as precisely as available information permits. Each geo-graphic-industry unit for which a separate analysis is to be presented is sampled independently. Within these broad groupings, a finer stratification by product and size of establishment is made. Stratification may be carried still further in certain industries; textile mills, for instance, are classified on the basis of integration, i. e., whether
they spin only, weave only, or do both. Such stratification is highly important if the occupational structure of the various industry segments differs widely.

The sample for each industry-area group is a probability sample, each establishment having a predetermined chance of selection. In order to secure maximum accuracy at a fixed level of cost (or a fixed level of accuracy at minimum cost), the sampling fraction used in the various strata ranges downward from all large establishments through progressively declining proportions of the establishments in each smaller size group, in accordance with the principles of optimum allocation. Thus, each sampled stratum will be represented in the sample by a number of establishments proportionate to its share of the total employment. Though this may appear at first to yield a sample biased by the overrepresentation of large firms, the method of estimation employed removes this bias by the assignment of proper weights to the sample establishments.

The size of the sample in a particular survey depends on the size of the universe, the diversity of occupations and their distribution, the relative dispersion of earnings among establishments, the distribution of the establishments by size, and the degree of accuracy required. Estimates of variance based on data from previous surveys are used in determining the size of the sample needed.

Collection. Bureau agents generally collect data by personal visit to each of the sample establishments. They secure data on wages from payroll records and those on supplementary benefits and other information pertaining to the plant as a whole from company officials. Earnings data are confined to the rate of pay for employees on a time basis; for incentive employees, both earnings (exclusive of premium overtime and shift premium pay) and the corresponding hours actually worked are obtained. For salaried workers, the standard weekly hours and salary are obtained. Occupational classifications are generally obtained by discussing with company officials the matching of the Bureau's descriptions and the plant job titles.

Estimating Procedure. Estimated average hourly earnings for an industry or an occupation are com-
puted as the arithmetic mean of the individual employees' earnings. They are not estimated by dividing total payrolls by total hours worked, since these are almost never available on an occupational basis.

All estimates are derived from the sample data. The averages for occupations, as well as for industries, are weighted averages of individual earnings and not computed on an establishment basis. The proportion of employees affected by any fringe provision is likewise estimated from the sample; all workers in each establishment are considered to be covered by the predominant benefit policy in effect, and the entire employment of the establishment is classified accordingly.

As mentioned previously, the use of a variable sampling ratio in different strata of the population would result in biased estimates if straight addition of the data for the various establishments were made. Therefore, each establishment is assigned a weight that is the inverse of the sampling rate for the stratum from which it was selected-e. g., if a third of the establishments in one stratum are selected, each of the sampled establishments is given a weight of 3 .

To illustrate the use of weights, suppose the universe were 7 establishments, from which a sample of 3 was selected. Assume that establishment A was drawn from a cell, or stratum, in which half of the plants were used in the sample. It is therefore given a weight of 2. Establishment B, on the other hand, was taken with certainty (or a probability of 1 ) and is thus given a weight of 1. Establishment C was taken from a group where a fourth of all plants were used in the sample, and hence is given a weight of 4 . The following calculations are made in estimating average earnings for a given occupation.

| Estab-lishment | Weight | Workers in occupation in sample establish. ments at specified rate |  | Estimates of total in stratum |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Average hourly |  |  |
|  |  | number | earnings | Workers | Earnings |
| A | 2 | 40 | \$1. 50 | $2 \times 40$ | $2 \times 40 \times \$ 1.50$ |
|  |  | \{30 | 1. 70 | $1 \times 30$ | $1 \times 30 \times 1.70$ |
| B | 1 | $\{20$ | 1. 95 | $1 \times 20$ | $1 \times 20 \times 1.95$ |
| C | 4 | 10 | 1. 20 | $4 \times 10$ | $4 \times 10 \times 1.20$ |
| Estimated universe_------------170 \$258.00 |  |  |  |  |  |
| The estimated average hourly earning is thus |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$258.00 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 170 |  |  |  |  |  |

A similar method applies to any characteristic estimated from the sample. To estimate the proportion of employees in establishments granting paid vacations of 2 weeks after 2 years of service, for instance, the establishments are classified according to the length of vacation granted after 2 years' service, establishment weights are applied to employment, as in the previous example, and the proportion of the estimated employment in the 2-week category of the estimated total employment is then computed. Using the same three establishments as in the previous example, this can be illustrated as follows:

| Establishment | Weight | Actual total <br> establishment <br> employment | Weighted <br> employment | Vacation <br> provisions <br> after 2years |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 2 | 100 | 200 | 1 week |
| B | 1 | 500 | 500 | 2 weeks |
| C | 4 | 75 | 300 | 1 week |
| Estimated universe_ |  | $-1,000$ |  |  |

Thus, the estimated percentage of workers in establishments granting 2 weeks' vacation after 2 years of service is $\frac{500}{1,000}$ or 50 percent.

## Publication

Data for each important subunit of an industry are published only when information is available from all sample firms in that unit. Such data for individual segments of a survey may be published in advance of the broader survey. Thus, in a survey such as that of the machinery industry, publication of results for an individual city does not wait upon the completion of the survey in the rest of the country. Preprinted forms are utilized for the quick release of detailed data in local areas to supplement summary press releases. In nationwide surveys, preliminary data are also released in advance of the issuance of a printed bulletin, which gives detailed results for the country as a whole and for geographic breakdowns. Summaries of the data in these bulletins frequently appear also in the Monthly Labor Review.

## Limitations of Data

$\qquad$

## 

It must be remembered that some flexibility in the use of wage data is necessary. All occupations may not be studied, and the user must be prepared to interpolate for missing occupations on
the basis of traditional rate relationships. The same kind of consideration applies to surveys in which data are presented for certain areas only.

A further limitation is the elimination of smaller firms from the universe. This is not serious with respect to occupational data, because small firms often do not have a degree of occupational specialization that permits meaningful classification for this purpose. The size-of-establishment limits in most surveys is such that a comparatively small part of the total employment is omitted.

The survey averages for a series of occupations do not necessarily show the same rate relationships as those found in the majority of establishments. If employment of workers in a given occupation is concentrated in a high (or low) paying establishment, the occupational average may be higher (or lower) than the traditional rate relationships would indicate. Then, too, incentive methods of wage payment may raise the earnings of specific occupations above those of related jobs for which skill requirements may be higher, but which are customarily paid on a time basis.

Year-to-year changes may be affected by changes in the scope of the survey, changes in the distribution of the labor force among and within establishments, and changes in methods of performing work. For instance, shifts in employment from low to high paying establishments may cause an increase in average hourly earnings when no change in establishment scales has occurred.

Reliability of Surveys. Results of the surveys generally will be subject to sampling error. This error will not be uniform, since, for most occupations, the dispersion of earnings among establishments and frequency of occurrence differ. In general, the sample is so designed that the chances are 9 out of 10 that the published average does not differ by more than 5 percent from the average that would be obtained by enumeration of all establishments in the universe. That error applies to the smallest breakdown published. Hence, the error of broader groupings will be somewhat less.
The sampling error of the percentage of workers receiving any given supplementary benefit differs widely with the size of the percentage. However, the error is such that rankings of predominant practices will almost always appear in their true
position. Small percentages may be subject to considerable error, but will always remain in the same scale of magnitude. For instance, the proportion of employees receiving 4 weeks' paid vacation may be given as 2 percent, when the true percentage for all establishments might be only 1 percent. Such a sampling error, while considerable, does not affect the essential inference that the practice is a rare one.

Estimates of the number of workers in a given occupation are subject to considerable sampling error, due to the wide variation among establishments in the proportion of workers found in individual occupations. Hence, the estimated numbers of workers can be interpreted only as a rough measure of the relative importance of various occupations. The greatest degree of accuracy in these employment counts is for those occupations found principally in large establishments. This sampling error, however, does not materially affect the accuracy of the average earn-
ings shown for the occupations. The estimate of average earnings is technically known as a "ratio estimate," i. e., it is the ratio of total earnings (not payrolls) to total employment in the occupation. Since these two variables are highly correlated (i. e., the errors tend to be in the same direction), the sampling error of the estimate (average hourly earnings) is considerably smaller than the sampling error of either total earnings or total employment.

Since completely current and accurate information regarding establishment products is not available, the universe from which the sample is drawn may be incomplete. Sample firms incorrectly classified are accounted for in the actual field work, and the universe estimates are revised accordingly. Those which should have been included but are erroneously classified in other industries cannot be accounted for.
-Samuel E. Cohen
Division of Wages and Industrial Relations

# Significant Decisions in Labor Cases ${ }^{1}$ 

## Wages and Hours ${ }^{2}$

Public Utility Employees-FLSA Coverage. A United States court of appeals upheld ${ }^{3}$ a Federal district court decision that the Fair Labor Standards Act, as amended, is applicable to employees of public utilities supplying interstate industrial manufacturers. Operating, maintenance, and clerical employees, employed interchangeably by water and gas companies servicing such customers, are, the court held, "engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce" within the meaning of the FLSA.
The court also ruled that such employers, operating as public utilities under State law, were not within the "retail or service establishment" exemption provided in section 13 (a) (2) of the act.

Exercise of Judicial Discretion in Denial of Injunction Upheld. A decision of a Federal district court denying an injunction against an employer was upheld ${ }^{4}$ by a United States court of appeals as a proper exercise of the lower court's discretion. A company was found to have failed for several years to pay its employees in full each payday, with a resulting accumulation of unpaid back pay at the time of trial. However, on a showing that the company had obtained new capital and was meeting its current payroll, the lower court denied the injunction sought, although it kept its decree open for possible later orders.

The appellate court approved this grant to the company of an opportunity to correct its practices as being within the discretion of the lower court. In so holding, the appellate court noted that a court of equity is a "court of conscience" whose decree will not be set aside unless it appears inequitable to let it stand. In the present case not only was there no such showing, but in fact the company was found to have already moved to correct its practices.

## Labor Relations

Unlawful Discrimination by Employer. A United States court of appeals granted ${ }^{5}$ a petition by the National Labor Relations Board for enforcement of its order against an employer for unlawful discrimination in the layoff of two employees. The employer had previously shown a liberal policy regarding time off, and these men, who constituted the union's shop committee, had been permitted to attend an earlier representation hearing held by the NLRB Regional Office. Permission to attend an adjourned session of this hearing 16 days later was denied to the two, however, and they were laid off for 1 week because they left work to attend. The employer corporation contended its reason for this action was to maintain discipline and to prevent absenteeism at a time when business conditions required the prompt filling of orders on hand.

The trial examiner, whose report the NLRB adopted, found no such justification for the layoff, and held it to have been in reprisal for the employees' attendance at the hearing. The court noted that no change in conditions was shown warranting the employer's change in policy, nor had there been any showing of a need for replacements for these men while they were away from their duties. The corporation's change of policy and its disciplinary layoff of the two employees were held, therefore, to be unfair labor practices under the provisions of the Labor Management Relations (Taft-Hartley) Act.

Wage Increase During Representation Proceeding. An NLRB petition for enforcement of an order against an employer for alleged election interference was denied ${ }^{6}$ by a United States court of appeals. The employer company was alleged to

[^27]have violated section 8 (a) (1) of the LMRA by granting a wage increase to its employees, during the pendency of a representation petition, in order to induce them to vote against a labor organization. It was also charged that the company interfered with its employees' selforganizational rights by interrogation as to their attendance at a union meeting and by coercion through threats of closing its local store, which had not previously been organized.

The evidence, the court found, did not support the NLRB's finding of an unfair labor practice as to the wage increase, inasmuch as this action was shown to be required to meet local business competition. There being no certified union with which the company could then bargain, its action in granting a wage increase was not unlawful, the court ruled.

Evidence to sustain the other charges was insufficient, the court found. There was no showing of duress or overpersuasion by the employer, it held, and the statements complained of were made in the course of casual conversation, so as to be "hardly worthy of mention."

## Unlawful Refusal To Bargain. (1) A United States

 court of appeals enforced ${ }^{7}$ an order of the NLRB against an employer who violated the collective bargaining provisions of section 8 (a) (5) of the LMRA. The employer (an electric cooperative) was found to have engaged in unfair labor practices by refusing to bargain with the union, by discouraging membership in it, by unlawfully discharging employees for engaging in a strike, and by unlawfully interfering with the employees' right to join the union.The union made unsuccessful efforts to obtain recognition by the cooperative, and after the latter refused to agree to a consent election, a Boardconducted election was held, which the union won. However, although some meetings were held, the union failed in repeated attempts to procure a contract. It then called upon the Federal Mediation and Conciliation Service.

Efforts of the Service to get the parties together were also unsuccessful, the record showed, and the union called a strike because of the employer's refusal to bargain. During the course of the

[^28]strike, the employer discharged the strikers, replacing them with new workers at increased wages.

The court ruled that "merely going through the formality" of bargaining is insufficient. There must, it held, be a genuine and sincere effort to reach agreement. If after such efforts at good faith bargaining, no agreement is reached, there is no violation, stated the court. In the present instance, however, the employer's representative was found to have said: "All I am obligated to do is to meet with you." The employer's bad faith was also demonstrated, the court held, in the replacing of the striking employees by hiring new workers and promoting old workers at higher wages. Since the employer was found to have failed to bargain in good faith, the strike called by the employees was an unfair-labor-practice strike, and the strikers were entitled to unconditional reinstatement regardless of whether their positions had been filled in the interim.
(2) An NLRB cease and desist order against an employer for certain unfair labor practices was upheld ${ }^{8}$ by a United States court of appeals. An employer company was engaged in the manufacture, sale, and distribution of candy products, employing approximately 30 men production workers and 70 women wrappers. Its operations were seasonal in character, considerable overtime being required at peak intervals. A controversy arose in the fall of 1949 between the company and a union which, although not then in compliance with the filing requirements of the act, claimed bargaining rights for the employees. A strike began December 2, 1949, and lasted until June 1950, when the union abandoned it. In April 1950, the union complied with the filing requirements of the act and sought recognition, which the company refused, questioning the union's majority. Attempts made in May and June 1950 to arrange a consent election were also unsuccessful. After a number of individual actions were filed with the Board, alleging discrimination by the employer in refusing to rehire certain strikers, the union filed an unfair-labor-practice charge and the cases were consolidated. The NLRB ruled against the company, requiring it to bargain with the union, and to reinstate and "make whole" the employees who were on strike on April 6, 1950.

In reaching its decision, the court found the entire series of events to be closely interrelated.

It upheld the Board's ruling that the employer was initially under a legal duty to bargain; the union officers' having later met the filing requirements was sufficient, the court held. Also upheld was the Board's finding that the employer's refusal to negotiate for settlement of the strike constituted an unlawful refusal to bargain. Any subsequent loss of majority by the union was, the court ruled, attributable to the unfair labor practice of the company and would not justify refusal by the company to bargain. The court also held that the strike, which began as an economic one, was converted into an unfair-labor-practice strike by the company's refusal to bargain and that the employer therefore was obliged to reinstate the striking employees when they later unconditionally applied for reinstatement.

An alleged unlawful interrogation of an employee by the employer in the early stages of the controversy was dismissed by the court as trivial.

Illegal Company Domination of Union. A United States court of appeals upheld ${ }^{9}$ an NLRB order finding an employer in violation of section 8 (a) (2) and (1) of the LMRA, through domination of a union local. The employer was also found by the court to have violated section 8 (a) (3) and (1) by discharging two employees for activity on behalf of a rival union and by surveillance of the rival union's meetings. In so finding, the court noted that the employer, in his efforts at domination, lacked comprehension of the employees' rights to self-organization.

However, the court overruled the Board's finding as to the dismissal of one employee, who, as indicated by the evidence, had been guilty of minor infractions of company rules in a deliberate attempt to disrupt work and foment discord such as to justify her dismissal under the act.
"First Opportunity" Clause Invalid. An NLRB petition for enforcement of its order against a union and employers' group was granted ${ }^{10}$ by a United States court of appeals in a proceeding involving a "first opportunity" clause. The clause was incorporated in an agreement by a building-trades union with a group of contractors, the union being thereby given the first opportunity to supply the workers needed. This provision was used, the court held, as a means of
discrimination against a recalcitrant union member, thereby denying him employment.

Use of the clause, therefore, constituted what amounted to a "closed shop" arrangement. Continuance of such a clause in force, the court ruled, was a violation of the act by both union and employers.
"Concerted Activity" Protected. A United States court of appeals enforced ${ }^{11}$ an NLRB order against an employer on account of the unlawful suspension and discharge of certain employees. Spontaneous walkouts and work stoppages had occurred, according to the record, in protest against what the employees considered excessive heat in the factory where they worked.
Such activities were, the court held, for " mutual aid or protection" within the meaning of section 7 of the LMRA. The suspension and later discharge of the employees on this account were, therefore, in the opinion of the court, in violation of section 8 (a) (1) and (3) of the act.

Union's "Car-Pool" Payments a Lawful Service. An employer's attempt to set aside an election because of unlawful union interference was overruled ${ }^{12}$ by the NLRB. The union, during a period 1 to 6 days prior to a representation election, had paid 15 employee "car-pool" drivers the sum of $\$ 3$ each for transporting passengers to the polls on election day-an action which, the employer charged, was intended to influence the vote.

The plan was held by the Board, however, to be a good faith effort by the union to make transportation facilities available to eligibles who might not otherwise be able to exercise their right to vote, since no public transportation facilities were available. There was no evidence that the employees regarded these payments as intended to influence their votes or as obligating them to vote for the union.

## Unlawful Picketing for Recognition. The NLRB found ${ }^{13}$ that a union violated the LMRA by con-

[^29]tinuing to picket for recognition after certification of a rival union. The first-mentioned union had represented the production and maintenance employees of the predecessor employer for a number of years. These employees were laid off or discharged just prior to the transfer of the business, but subsequently were retained and became shareholders of the firm. When the union learned that the transfer was to be made, it unsuccessfully demanded recognition by the new owner. He refused to recognize it on behalf of shareholding employees, but offered to bargain on behalf of nonshareholding employees.

The union began picketing the plant when the new firm commenced operations May 16, 1951, and continued to maintain the picket line, except for a brief interval, until July 6, 1953. On June 25,1953 , another union was certified.

The Board ruled that the picketing came within the prohibition of section 8 (b) (4) (C) of the act, which prohibited picketing for the purpose of forcing recognition if another union had been certified as bargaining representative for the unit. The union was ordered to cease and desist from engaging in unlawful picketing.

## Jurisdiction Over Plant Commissary Refused.

 Overruling prior decisions, to the extent that they were inconsistent, the NLRB refused ${ }^{14}$ to take jurisdiction in a case involving a commissary operated on the premises of a company engaged in interstate commerce. Although the commissary made annual purchases totaling more than $\$ 100,000$, over $\$ 10,000$ being interstate in origin, its operations, the Board ruled, had so insubstantial an impact on commerce that the NLRB would not be effecting the purposes of the act by taking jurisdiction.Board Member Murdock dissented from this departure from the Board's jurisdictional plan as ignoring the realities of industrial life. There was no showing that other eating facilities were available to the employees of this plant. Thus, Mr. Murdock held, the case came within the Board's

[^30]"Hollow Tree" doctrine covering intrastate enterprises furnishing services, valued at $\$ 50,000$ or more, "necessary" to the operation of a manufacturer whose volume of sales outside the State exceeds $\$ 25,000$ in value.

Presentation of Grievance Through Minority Union Overruled. The NLRB ruled ${ }^{15}$ that an employee may not present an individual grievance to his employer through a union other than the certified bargaining representative for the unit of which he is a member.

Section 9 (a) of the act, the Board held, merely assures the individual employee the right to confer with his employer without participation by the certified bargaining agent. Noting the legislative history of this provision, the Board stated that no evidence existed of any congressional intent to confer rights upon a minority union. The employer, therefore, it was ruled, violated section 8 (a) (5) and (1) of the act in accepting the grievance presented and processed by a union other than the certified representative.

In the same proceeding, the Board dismissed a complaint as to unlawful discharge of one employee pursuant to union-security agreement provisions, for failure to pay his union dues. This discharge was upheld even though the employer had failed to deduct union dues after the employee had signed a dues check off authorization. The record showed, the Board held, that the employee had not resigned from the union, knew of his employer's failure to deduct his dues, and was given ample opportunity to pay the back dues before his discharge.

Union Control Over Seniority Illegal. The NLRB held ${ }^{16}$ illegal a contract provision which gave a union complete control over settlement of any controversy with respect to seniority. Overruling a prior holding, ${ }^{17}$ the Board stated that, although the contract stipulated that the seniority determinations should be made without regard to union membership, the provision in question would tend to encourage union membership.

The contract related to over-the-road motorfreight shipments, and covered employers and various locals of the Teamsters union in a 12 State area. Seniority, the record showed, was the determining factor in assignment of jobs and in making layoffs. Thus, the employer in fact dele-
gated to the unions complete authority to determine work assignments and reductions in force.

In reaching its conclusion, the Board noted that determinations of seniority are generally based on the workers' employment history-information usually peculiarly within the knowledge of the employer. The delegation of such control to a union, the Board held, was calculated to be used to encourage union membership.
Because the union determined seniority on the basis of union membership rather than hiring dates, enforcement of the contract provisions by the employer encouraged and actually required new employees to join the union as soon as hired instead of within 30 days as allowed by the act. Reduction of the job assignments of certain employees under such a provision was also violative of the act as unlawful discrimination, and the employer was ordered to pay those workers back pay. Since these contract provisions giving the union control of seniority determinations were separable, and applied to a large number of employers and employees, the Board ordered the employers and unions to cease giving effect, to these provisions and to refrain from executing further agreements containing them.

## Veterans' Reemployment Rights

Reimbursement for Hospital Benefits Lost. A United States district court exercised its remedial powers broadly for the protection of a veteran, ${ }^{18}$ a motorman in a coal mine, who had been reemployed on his return from military service, June 4, 1946. He was dismissed from his job for lack of work on August 31, 1946, when a section of the mine was shut down. Contractual seniority was not observed in this dismissal. The veteran protested, and on November 7, 1946, he was recalled by the employer. The union immediately acted to prevent the veteran from working in his position, and renewed its interference in December 1946, when the employer again offered him his preinduction job.

The veteran brought action against both employer and union, and the court held each defendant at fault. The dismissal in August violated the statutes, the court ruled, because it was not shown that there were no identical positions
elsewhere in the mine which the veteran's seniority entitled him to fill. Interference by the union in favor of a junior employee when the veteran was recalled was found not warranted by seniority rules. The court rejected the union's contention that the veteran had no remedy at law because he had not pursued grievance proceedings which had been established by a union constitution adopted during his military service. Such union procedures cannot abridge a veteran's rights, the court said; he cannot be required to submit his case for a decision by local union members, but may rely on the act of Congress.

Accordingly, the court found the employer responsible for wages lost by the veteran from August 31 to November 7, 1946. The employer was ordered to pay these and to reinstate the veteran, if he applied within 30 days after judgment, in his former position or one of like seniority, status, and pay, "together with the wage and other beneficial increment incident thereto as of the time of his reinstatement." Discharge of the veteran without cause was prohibited for a period of 9 months and 4 days after such reinstatemt.

The court enjoined the union and all persons in active concert or participation with it from interfering with the veteran's employment or with him in regard to his employment. Damages were awarded against the union, based on a stipulation representing wages lost from November 8, 1946, to June 3, 1947.

Because of his dismissal, the veteran had been excluded from hospitalization benefits, which included dependents. In January and February 1947, his dependent mother was hospitalized. The union was ordered to pay the veteran as special damages the amount of the hospitalization benefit which he would have received if he had been covered by the hospitalization contract.

In making the money awards, the court awarded execution for the amounts involved, unless they should be paid within 30 days. The court also retained the case upon its docket, so that the veteran might apply for any orders or other proceedings needed to enforce the judgment and particularly the injunctions.

[^31]
# Chronology of Recent Labor Events 

January 5, 1954
The 5-week strike of the 14,000 United Steelworkers of America (CIO) against Continental Can Co. at 36 plants in the United States and Canada ended with a 15-cent-anhour "package" settlement and a 2 -year contract. On January 11, about 18,000 members of the Steelworkers ended their strike against American Can Co., begun at the same time as that against Continental, on practically the same terms. (See also p. 305 of this issue.)

## January 6

The International Ladies' Garment Workers' Union (AFL) announced renewal of agreements with 3 major employer associations in the women's coat and suit industry, for a 5 -year period, covering 60,000 workers in the New York metropolitan area. Employer contributions to the employee retirement fund will increase from 3 to 4 percent of payroll, as of June 1, 1954; and cost-ofliving wage escalation clauses were continued. (See also p. 306 of this issue.)

## January 7

The National Labor Relations Board, establishing a new elections policy, ruled that any union withdrawing from or disclaiming interest in a representation or decertification proceeding after the Board has completed hearings on the petition therefor will be barred for 6 months from requesting another election among the same employees unless good cause can be shown. This principle was applied in the case of Sears, Roebuck \& Co., Santa Barbara, Calif., and Retail Clerks International Association, Local $899(A F L)$ and 2 related decisions; the 3 cases involved petitions filed by a union, an employer, and employees.

## January 9

The Brotherhood of Locomotive Firemen \& Enginemen (Ind.) and the major railroads announced that they had negotiated a wage agreement covering 60,000 workers and paralleling the contract signed previously by the Brotherhood of Railroad Trainmen (see Chron. item for December 17, 1953, MLR, Feb. 1954).

On January 12, the United Railroad Workers of Ameriea (CIO) negotiated a similar contract with the Pennsylvania

Railroad for 36,000 workers (including more than 70 percent of the company's shop crafts). (See also p. 307 of this issue.)

## January 11

President Eisenhower sent a message to Congress recommending 15 amendments to the Taft-Hartley Act. (See also p. 268 of this issue.)

The NLRB regional director in New York City recommended that the Board hold formal hearings on the AFL's petition to set aside the recent representation election among dockworkers in the Port of New York (see Chron. item for December 1, 1953, MLR, February 1954), submitting evidence in support of the AFL charges.

On January 27, the ILA-AFL-supported Union of Maritime Workers in Puerto Rico defeated the ILA (Ind.) in an NLRB representation election affecting 7,000 dockworkers. (See also p. 309 of this issue.)

## January 13

The NLRB refused to assume jurisdiction (3 to 1) in the case of Taichert's, Inc., Los Alamos, N. Mex., and Retail Clerks International Association, Local 1564 (AFL), which involved a variety store with 9 employees, located at an atomic energy project. The majority opinion stated that the decision did not "presage an abdication by the majority of jurisdiction over labor disputes which, by common sense standards, have a real and substantial impact on national defense."

## January 14

The NLRB, overruling its 1951 decision in the Firestone Rubber case (see Chron. item for Mar. 27, 1951, MLR May 1951), held that a contract provision which gave complete authority to a union to settle all controversies over seniority was of itself a violation of the Taft-Hartley Act, as it tended to encourage membership in the union even though it stipulated that the union was to make seniority determinations without regard to union membership. The case involved was Pacific Intermountain Express Co., Kansas City, Mo., and Carlos Beall et al.; International Brotherhood of Teamsters . . . Local No. 41 (AFL) and Same. (See also p. 301 of this issue.)

## January 15

The Nebraska [State] District Court of Douglas County ruled, in the case of Hanson et al. v. Union Pacific Railroad Co. et al., that the union-shop provisions of contracts between railway unions and the railroad did not apply to its "nonoperating" (off-train) employees in Nebraska. The Court held that such application violated the right-to-work amendment to the State constitution, although the union-shop amendment to the Federal Railway Labor Act states that it takes precedence over conflicting State laws.

## January 18

The International Association of Machinists (AFL) signed an agreement with the United Aircraft Corp., Pratt \& Whitney Division, which provided for a 26-cent-an-hour "package" increase for 23,000 employees in 4 Connecticut plants. Of this, 11 cents covered a general wage increase. (See also p. 306 of ths issue.)

The Consolidated Edison Co. and the Utility Workers Union of America (CIO) announced the signing of a 1-year contract for 1954 which provided a "package" increase of about 14 cents an hour for 24,000 workers in metropolitan New York. About half the employees-all those with 25 years' service-will receive an additional $\$ 2$-a-week differential, effective April 4. (See p. 306 of this issue.)

## January 19

The anthracite health and welfare fund of the United Mine Workers of America (Ind.) announced immediate reduction of pensions, from $\$ 100$ to $\$ 50$ a month, for about 13,000 retired anthracite miners, and of death benefits from $\$ 1,000$ to $\$ 500$. The fund, supported by royalties on tonnage mined paid by the industry, fell behind in payments because of declining production. Next day, trustees of the UMWA bituminous welfare and retirement fund announced discontinuance, beginning March 1954, of temporary aid of $\$ 30$ a month and $\$ 10$ for each dependent to more than 35,000 totally disabled miners and dependents and to miners' widows and children-"never . . . intended to be part of the fund's long-range program."

## January 20

Two AFL unions-the International Brotherhoods of Boilermakers, Iron Ship Builders, Blacksmiths, Forgers and Helpers and the International Association of Bridge,

Structural and Ornamental Iron Workers-signed a pact defining the types of work under their respective jurisdictions, thus ending a 25-year controversy.

## January 21

Secretary of Labor James P. Mitchell announced reactivation of the Department's Trade Union Advisory Committee on International Affairs, originally established in 1946 (see Chron. item for November 24, 1946, MLR, February 1947). Membership consists of 11 union officials-4 each from the American Federation of Labor and the Congress of Industrial Organizations, 1 each from the United Mine Workers of America (Ind.), the Railway Labor Executives' Association, and 1 for the 4 "operating" railroad brotherhoods.

The NLRB dismissed (2 to 1) a decertification petition filed by a leadman as invalid, even though supported by a substantial number of rank-and-file employees, in the case of Doak Aircraft Co., Inc., Torrence, Calif., and Lyle R. Stump and International Association of Machinists, District Lodge No. 720 (AFL). Only nonsupervisory employees may file such petitions, and the majority found that the leadman was a supervisor even though included in the bargaining unit.

The NLRB declined to take jurisdiction (3 to 1 ) over a taxicab company deriving about a fifth of its over $\$ 2$ million revenue from transporting passengers to and from terminals of interstate carriers. Two members of the majority found the relation of the enterprise to interstate commerce "too inconsequential and remote to warrant assertion of the Board's jurisdiction"; the third held that revenue derived from interstate activities did not constitute " $a$ substantial portion" of the total. Involved was a representation case-Checker Taxi Co., Boston, Mass., and New England Taxi Cab Drivers Union, Local 1.

## Developments in Industrial Relations

Leading agreements reached during January 1954 were not concentrated in any industry group. Wage-rate increases, in some cases accompanied by liberalized supplementary benefits, were provided by agreements in the railroad, airframe, and amusement industries and for New York utility workers. A major airline announced a wage increase for its unorganized employees.

Other agreements reached during the month included changes in fringe benefits in the northeastern Massachusetts shoe industry and provisions for increased contributions to the women's coat and suit industry retirement fund in New York City; in both cases, wage rates were not changed. Payments from the anthracite industry health and welfare fund were reduced as a result of lowered aggregate royalty payments.

Settlements in the can and container industry and in drugs and medicines ended stoppages that had lasted over a month and a half. Lengthy strikes affecting Pittsburgh department stores and a major hat manufacturer in Connecticut continued throughout the month.

## Work Stoppages and Settlements

Containers. ${ }^{2}$ The strike involving about 14,000 employees of the Continental Can Co. ended January 5 when the United Steelworkers (CIO) and the company agreed on a 15 -cent hourly "package." At the American Can Co. the stoppage of about 18,000 workers continued until January 11. Both agreements included an $81 / 2$-cent hourly general wage increase retroactive to October 1, 1953, at Continental and effective January 12, 1954, at American Can Co. Additional increases of about 2 cents to reduce geographic wage differentials became effective January 12 at American and February 1 at Continental, with further area differential adjustments averaging 2 cents an hour to take place October 1,

1954, at both companies. Workers in "skilled" categories at American Can Co. received additional increases up to 4.5 cents, effective in January, and provision was made in the Continental agreement for the reduction of sex differentials effective in February. Both agreements provided for severance pay and liberalization of various fringe benefits.

Drugs and Medicines. Another relatively long (47 days) strike against Merck and Co., Inc., by the United Chemical Workers (CIO) affected the company's Rahway, N. J., plant. An agreement reached January 10 provided for a 6 -cent hourly basic wage increase in addition to incorporation of a 10 -cent hourly cost-of-living allowance into the basic wage; cost-of-living adjustments were discontinued.

The company also announced a settlement of the 49-day strike by members of the same union at three Sharp and Dohme Division plants in the Philadelphia area, with an hourly wage increase of 8 cents; the previous agreement did not contain an escalator clause. Both settlements provided for additional wage increases through adjustment of inequities and also for liberalization of a number of fringe benefits.

Department Stores. At the end of January, the work stoppage that began on November 27 in major Pittsburgh department stores was still in effect. It started when about 600 members of the Teamsters Union (A.FL) stopped work in a dispute over wages and working conditions with the Labor Standards Association, representing the department stores. Several thousand workers belonging to about 15 unions refused to cross the teamsters' picket lines, and early in December the stoppage spread to 5 furniture stores and 2 parcel delivery services. Picket lines were also established at some department stores by the Office Workers International Union (AFL).

Since the stoppage began, the stores have been kept open by skeleton crews of managerial and extra help. However, executives of two of the retail stores involved in the stoppage indicated, in court testimony in early December, that business had dropped between 40 and 60 percent as a result of the stoppage.

[^32]Negotiations covering approximately 17,000 employees of major department stores in metropolitan New York began late in January. Negotiators for the Distributive, Processing and Office Employees (unaffiliated), representing 9,000 employees, sought a $\$ 6$ across-the-board increase as well as additional fringe benefits under reopening provisions of agreements which expire in March 1955. The CIO United Department Store Workers of America, representing 8,000 workers in Macy's five metropolitan stores, sought "substantial wage increases" and a reduction in hours from 40 to 35 in the contract which expired January 31.

Hat Strike. ${ }^{3}$ The work stoppage of about 1,600 workers at the Hat Corp. of America, Norwalk, Conn., begun July 9 by the United Hatters, Cap and Millinery Workers International Union (AFL) for a contract clause which would keep the company operations in Norwalk, continued through January. The company early in the month decided to move some of its finishing machinery to Missouri. Both the Federal and State mediation services have been actively assisting the parties in efforts to end the stoppage.

## Agreement Negotiations

Shoes. Representatives of 60 shoe manufacturers in northeastern Massachusetts employing 10,000 workers and the United Shoe Workers of America (CIO) reached agreement on a new 1-year contract effective January 1. The agreement liberalizes holiday pay, and sickness, medical and hospital benefits, but leaves wage rates unchanged and does not contain a wage-reopening provision.

Aircraft. United Aircraft Corp., Pratt and Whitney Division, and the International Association of Machinists reached a new 2 -year agreement covering 23,000 employees in 4 Connecticut plants, after more than 2 months of negotiations. The agreement, effective January 18, provided for an 11-cent-an-hour general wage increase and for incorporation of a previous 17 -cent cost-of-living allowance into the basic wage structure. Other contract changes included an additional paid holiday, improved health and welfare and vacation provisions, and an increased second-shift differential. An improved pension plan is to become effective in January 1955, subject to approval by
the stockholders and the Internal Revenue Service. The union estimated the gains as a 26 -cent "package."

Musicians. Major recording companies and the American Federation of Musicians agreed on a new 5-year contract replacing one which expired December 31. Details of the settlement were not announced except that the agreement provides for additional contributions by recording companies to a music performance trust fund which is used to provide employment for musicians through performances sponsored by local musicians unions. In another action, six major motion-picture producers and the Musicians Union negotiated a 4 -year agreement which provided for a 5 -percent wage increase with no change in other contract provisions. The union also outlined its contract, demands upon the major radio and television networks, asking for a 15 -percent wage increase, an increase in the number of staff musicians employed by the networks, and also the use of "live" music instead of recorded music on all programs. Current agreements between the federation and the networks expired January 31.

Utilities. Consolidated Edison Co. and the Utility Workers (CIO) on January 18 announced a new 1 -year agreement covering approximately 24,000 employees in the New York metropolitan area. The agreement provides for a $7 \frac{1}{2}$-cent hourly wage increase and continuance of an existing wage progression plan. A new and somewhat unique provision in the contract grants an additional $\$ 2$ a week to employees who have been with the company for 25 years. During negotiations, the union had sought a fourth week of vacation after 25 years' service. About half of the company's 24,000 employees were said to be eligible for the $\$ 2$ weekly differential, which becomes effective on April 4.

Garments. Early in January, agreements between the International Ladies' Garment Workers' Union (AFL) and three major employer associations in the women's coat and suit industry were renewed for 5 years with no basic wage increase but increased employer contributions to the industry's pension fund. Present employer

[^33]contributions of 3 percent of payroll will increase to 4 percent, effective June 1, 1954, when the current contracts expire. Under the agreements, additional contributions may be made at a later date to maintain the fund on an actuarial basis. The Retirement Fund of the Coat and Suit Industry, a pioneer venture in the field of industrywide, employer-financed plans for workers, was established in 1943, and has provided for the retirement of nearly 6,000 workers since 1946. Other provisions of the existing contracts, including a clause which permits reopening of wage provisions if the BLS Consumer Price Index rises 5 percent, were incorporated into the new agreements. Under that clause the industry's impartial chairman had awarded an increase averaging about 14 cents an hour, effective in July 1953. ${ }^{4}$ Approximately 60,000 workers in the New York metropolitan area are covered by the agreements.

## Miners' Welfare Funds

The Anthracite Health and Welfare Fund announced on January 19 that, effective immediately, pension payments to retired anthracite miners were being reduced from $\$ 100$ to $\$ 50$ a month because of a steady drop in hard-coal production in recent years and hence in the royalty payments that finance the fund. Death benefits were also cut from $\$ 1,000$ to $\$ 500$. The pension reduction affects approximately 13,000 retired hard-coal miners. On the following day, the separate UMW Bituminous Coal Welfare Fund announced discontinuance of relief benefits to approximately 35,000 disabled miners and their dependents, contending that Federal and State agencies were responsible for such payments. The fund's trustees announced that pensions to retired miners would not be affected and that disabled miners would get all necessary aid to restore them to full health and mobility.

## Railroad Developments

The Brotherhood of Locomotive Firemen and Enginemen reached agreement with representatives of the Nation's railroads on January 9.

[^34]The settlement, affecting about 60,000 workers, provides for a 5 -cent an hour general wage increase and other terms similar to those agreed to in December by the Brotherhood of Railroad Trainmen. ${ }^{5}$ A few days later, the Pennsylvania Railroad and the CIO's United Railroad Workers of American jointly announced a similar settlement covering approximately 36,000 , or more than 70 percent, of the Pennsylvania Railroad's shop employees. Both agreements are retroactive to December 16, 1953.

The Brotherhood of Locomotive Engineers, following a vote of its members, agreed to bargain nationally with the majority of the Nation's carriers on the union's proposal for a 30-percent wage increase based primarily on skill differentials. The remaining roads continued individual company negotiations or signed "standby" agreements, pending conclusion of the national bargaining sessions. The settlement terms accepted by the Firemen and Trainmen were rejected by the engineers' national wage bargaining committee early in January. A week later the Switchmen's Union of North America also rejected the same terms; the increase asked for was 40 cents an an hour. Meantime, the National Mediation Board persuaded the Order of Railway Conductors to submit to arbitration the dispute with the carriers involving a proposal for relating wages to the size of locomotives.

The President's emergency board created December 28 to hear the dispute between the nonoperating Brotherhoods and the Nation's carriers began its inquiry in mid-January. Members of the board, named by the President on January 16, were Charles Loring, retired chief justice of the Minnesota Supreme Court; Martin P. Catherwood, dean of the New York State School of Industrial and Labor Relations at Cornell University; and Adolph E. Wenke, justice of the Nebraska Supreme Court. The dispute involves proposed changes in fringe benefits. ${ }^{6}$

Nonoperating employees' representatives were also engaged in presenting the unions' position in a number of separate law suits involving the unionshop clause. A 1951 amendment to the Railway Labor Act permits union-shop contracts. Such clauses, which require that all employees join the union within a specified time after the union obtains bargaining rights, have been incorporated into contracts between most major railroads and
nonoperating unions representing their employees. In one of the first rulings on the validity of the union-shop clause, Nebraska State District Judge Jackson B. Chase of Omaha held, on January 16, that a nonoperating railroad employee does not have to join a union in order to work. The ruling was made in a case involving five Union Pacific Railroad employees who had protested a unionshop contract between the railroad and nonoperating unions. The case is one of more than a dozen expected eventually to come before the United States Supreme Court.

## Air Transportation

American Airlines, the largest domestic carrier, announced that it had granted increases ranging from $\$ 3.47$ to $\$ 34.67$ a month to 5,500 employees not represented by unions. A cost-of-living escalator arrangement was discontinued, and the 16-cents-an-hour allowance granted over the past 3 years under it was incorporated in the basic wage. An annual improvement factor under which employees received an increase of 4 cents an hour each year was also dropped. The company announced that differentials between jobs had been increased to give greater recognition to work requiring skill and experience, and the policy on merit increases had been liberalized. Meanwhile, negotiations which began November 2 with the CIO Transport Workers Union for a new agreement, covering 6,300 employees, continued. The previous agreement expired December 31.

## Waterfront and Maritime Developments

Longshoremen. The dispute on the New York waterfront between the old ILA and the new AFL union of the same name grew more involved as the new year began. In a petition to the NLRB, the AFL union requested the Board to throw out the entire pier representation election on the ground that coercion and intimidation had prevented a free expression of the workers' will. In addition to determining the eligibility or noneligibility of 4,397 men who cast contested ballots in the election, the attention of the Board was called to a question of the voting eligibility of approximately 1,200 full-time and 2,000 part-time
employees of the United Fruit Co. Although the company is one of the largest operators in the harbor, it is not a member of the New York Shipping Association and its name did not appear on the list of ship lines whose workers were officially certified as qualified to take part in the balloting. In the hurry to complete arrangements within the Board's deadline no question was raised about the inclusion of the United Fruit Co. employees until after the election had been held and their votes had been irretrievably mixed with those cast by employees of members of the Association.

Meanwhile, the old ILA filed unfair labor practice charges against Governor Dewey and George Meany, AFL president. The ILA's basic complaint was that they had conspired to interfere with the free choice of longshoremen in the representation election. (The charges followed Governor Dewey's condemnation of the old pier union as a "ruthless mob" using "coercion and intimidation" to maintain its position; his support of the AFL petition to set aside the election; and his advice to shipping companies not to negotiate with the union.)

Earlier, Captain Wm. V. Bradley, president of the independent longshoremen's union, indicated that his union would stop work if the NLRB invalidated the results of the representation election. Leaders of the American Federation of Labor pier union declared that, in the event of such a strike, the ILA-AFL would shut down the port completely until the old ILA was driven from the waterfront. The ILA-AFL also stated that, in the event of a stoppage, it would pay strike benefits to its members.

On January 11, the NLRB regional director in New York City recommended that the Board hold formal hearings to pass on the AFL's demand that the entire election be set aside. Following the submission of the report, waterfront employers ruled out negotiations with any union pending action by the NLRB on the AFL charges. Despite threats by the old ILA of a coastwise strike, it gave assurances later in the month that it would not call a strike while the dispute was before the Board. While the ILA (Ind.) was stymied in New York, it opened negotiations in the Hampton Roads, Va., area late in January. Spokesmen for the ILA indicated that similar action was being
taken in all North Atlantic ports except New York. Traditionally, bargaining in these ports has been based on the New York pattern.

About mid-January, the executive council of the old ILA approved a "reorganization" plan designed to consolidate smaller locals in the Port of New York and to "clean up" the union after a warning given, reportedly, by John L. Lewis to do so or forfeit his aid. Meanwhile, Governor Dewey continued his attack on the ILA by appointing a special assistant attorney-general to represent the State of New York in proceedings before the NLRB to prevent the old ILA from winning Federal certification as bargaining agent for New York dockworkers.

While the ILA was taking Governor Dewey and the AFL to task for seeking to upset the NLRB dock elections in New York, it announced plans to petition the NLRB to set aside a similar election held January 27 to select a bargaining representative for 7,000 dockworkers in Puerto Rico. The ILA charged "irregularities" in the conduct of an islandwide NLRB election in which the AFLsupported Union of Maritime Workers had defeated the ILA by a 2 to 1 margin.

The dock situation was further complicated when Dave Beck, president of the AFL Teamsters' Union, offered New York truck operators a $\$ 2$ million loan to set up an equipment pool to enable them to take over the functions of the outlawed waterfront public loaders. Simultaneously, the teamsters filed a damage suit for $\$ 51$ million against the International Longshoremen's Association, the New York Shipping Association, and 220 other corporations and individuals. The suit, brought under the Sherman Antitrust Act, was based on losses allegedly suffered by the union and its members because of loading abuses on the New York docks. This development involved the question of whether longshoremen or teamsters should move cargo between truck and dock.

Maritime. Thirteen maritime unions frequently at odds in the past discussed common problems confronting merchant seamen at a conference in Washington, D. C., on January 18 and 19. Major problems included the decline in American shipping since the Korean armistice, activities of foreign shipping interests, and moves to curtail serv-

[^35]ices for seamen in marine hospitals. A statement approved unanimously by delegates representing approximately 130,000 seamen sailing from both coasts urged prompt Government action to meet the problems of the United States shipping industry. A week later a conference of maritime union leaders and representative shipowners, meeting in Washington at the request of the ship operators to discuss "mutual problems" growing out of the lag in the shipping industry, named a joint committee to consider a program of action.

Joseph Curran, president of the National Maritime Union (CIO), in a statement to the membership indicated that the union's first objective would be improved working and living conditions in forthcoming negotiations for a new deep-sea passenger-freighter agreement. No reference was made to a general wage increase customarily included in previous contract negotiations. In his request for constructive suggestions regarding contract demands, he called for a recognition of the many problems confronting the industry, including declining job opportunities.

In a move designed to halt the fraudulent sale of union membership books, Mr. Curran assigned an international vice president of the union to take charge of the New York hiring hall. In addition, local law-enforcement officers were asked to investigate the matter and were assured full cooperation by the international officers.

On the west coast, rival unions continued to contend for the right to represent approximately 6,000 cooks and stewards. The Marine Cooks and Stewards Union, chartered by the Seafarers International Union (AFL) and the International Union of Marine Cooks and Stewards, unaffiliated, were informed that beginning February 10 the NLRB would conduct a representation election over the succeeding 90 days to determine the collective bargaining representative for cooks and stewards on west coast American-flag ships. Also involved in this struggle is the International Longshoremen's and Warehousemen's Union, unaffiliated, which is sympathetic to the unaffiliated Stewards' union and has been signing up stewards with a view to taking them over should that union lose the election. Both independent unions were expelled from the CIO more than 3 years ago as being Communist dominated.

## Publications of Labor Interest

Special Reviews

## Review and Reflection-A Half-Century of Labor Relations. By Cyrus S. Ching. New York, B. C. Forbes \& Sons Publishing Co., Inc., 1953. $204 \mathrm{pp} . \quad \$ 3.95$.

This volume is a distillation of thoughts, observations, and philosophy tapped from 50 years of peacemaking activities. Cyrus S. Ching-former corporation executive and ex-director of the Federal Mediation and Conciliation Servicetells of his activities in labor-management relations in a tone and manner which quickly reveal how he has earned the name "Mr. Mediation." Gently, relaxed, and without pretension, he narrates the course of those labor-management affairs, 1903-1953, of which he has personal knowledge.

The volume sets forth many incidents and anecdotes which appear to underscore two basic conclusions, indeed concerns, of the author:
(1) The development of sound labor relations has been stunted by emotionalism. In too many instances neither management nor labor, in its attitudes to each other, has followed the same rules of conduct that it applies to other relationships. In their negotiations, for example, each is too avid to abuse the other, to gloat over temporary advantage, to accuse the other of the most insidious behavior, or to assume that principle and righteousness both reside completely on its side of the table. This indulgence, Mr. Ching points out, has been expensive and has served only to leave scars which have prevented the full growth of a relationship profitable to all affected.
(2) The importance of a sense of time is something that should be impressed upon all who are concerned with labor relations. Mr. Ching adverts to this on several occasions; he is making a plea on behalf of perspective as well as an openmindedness to new ideas in labor relations.

Proposals that were rejected yesteryear as revolutionary are now accepted as a matter of course. For example, says the author, "some people probably already have written off the guaranteed annual wage as something visionary and not feasible. I am not ready to say it can't be done. . . . The guaranteed annual wage and any other new demands which labor may put forward, are simply offshoots of the broader economic problems and readjustments which lie ahead. Their solution is not going to be easy. Some difficult readjustments will have to be made, and the job is going to require all the skill, intelligence, goodwill and cooperation which labor and management can muster."
"In this dynamic world in which we live, we must realize there are no absolutes. . . . We must keep in mind our changing conditions and do what we can to meet them. Let's not quote the Scriptures, or Abraham Lincoln, or Louis Brandeis, or Samuel Gompers, when we wish to justify some preconceived idea that we may have. . . . The very essence of freedom is our ability to adapt ourselves to changing circumstances."

This awareness of time and emotion, and the roles they play, is reflected in Mr. Ching's discussion of the work of the War Labor Board, the Wage Stabilization Board, the steel disputes of 1949 and 1952, the emergency provisions of the Taft-Hartley Act, and such issues as industrywide bargaining, pension plans, and union security.

Many of his conclusions and proposals, to be sure, will provoke criticism-from either labor or management sources. (A prime target, his conclusion: "If [wage and price] controls are to be effective, they must be rigid.") Of equal certainty is the measure of loss to all those working in the labor-relations field who fail to read this volume and thus miss the opportunity of "review and reflection."
-Louis G. Silverberg National Labor Relations Board

Satisfactions in the White-Collar Job. By Nancy C. Morse. Ann Arbor, University of Michigan, Institute for Social Research, Survey Research Center, 1953. 235 pp., charts. (Institute for Social Research Pub. 10.) $\$ 3.50$.
A second volume summarizing the research work of the human-relations program of the

Survey Research Center, University of Michigan. The first report dealt with the research in the field of social-psychological factors associated with productivity. This second report is concerned primarily with employee satisfaction. The main aim was to discover the determinants of job satisfaction in employment; a secondary aim was the study of the relationship between employee satisfaction and productivity.

Primarily, the book develops the hypothesis that satisfaction depends upon "what an individual wants from the world, and what he gets." After an introductory chapter, it proceeds with the theoretical aspects of employee satisfaction. A formula is developed for predicting satisfaction. Following the theoretical formulation, data on factors related to general satisfaction are presented, including a detailed analysis to test which variables are related to satisfaction. For example, the relationship between pay status and satisfaction, job content and satisfaction, and involvement in the company and satisfaction, are all carefully examined. A chapter is also devoted to the relationship of the satisfaction level of supervisors to that of employees. The fundamental conclusion reached in this phase of the study is that "level of satisfaction is a function of both level of aspiration . . . and amount of return from the environment." However, more research is needed to discover what factors account for the level of an employee's aspiration.

The second part of the book, on "Motivation," deals with the relationship between satisfaction and productivity. The conclusions presented are far from simple and cannot readily be summarized, except in the technical terminology developed by the author; he indicates that the relationship between satisfaction and productivity is not direct but extremely complex. However, if one were to attempt to summarize the findings in nontechnical language, the conclusion permeating the discussion would be that employees will produce more in a given unit of time only if there are no other ways of achieving their "need-satisfaction."

The volume shows how the scientific method can be used in the difficult field of social research. The reviewer believes, however, that the title is too broad. For a study of satisfaction in whitecollar jobs, the sample selected is far from representative of white-collar workers in the United States. The analysis is based on interviews with
white-collar workers in a single company in one city. The persons interviewed were predominantly single females living with their parents. The group studied is quite homogeneous, and significantly different from the demographic characteristics of the white-collar work force of the United States. As a case study on the methodology of studying variables affecting satisfaction, or as a case study in quantitative methods of social research, it is excellent, and shows the care with which the data for this particular company were analyzed before conclusions were drawn.

If the book is intended as a guide to management, it is doubtful whether many persons on management staffs would wade through the technical discussions in order to reach the few conclusions that can be drawn from the study. Although most management persons would not quarrel with the fundamental conclusion reached that satisfaction depends upon "what an individual wants from the world, and what he gets," many would question the need for an extensive study to reach what might appear to be an obvious conclusion. This remark, however, should not be interpreted to mean that widely accepted fundamental principles which are accepted almost as axioms in social-psychological fields should not be studied. Many preconceived notions, although plausible, may in fact be erroneous. If, however, it were necessary to substantiate the hypothesis developed in the book, a far broader study would be required than the one conducted, which merely permits the formulation of a hypothesis and does not provide the proof of it. A more appropriate title would have been "An Experimental Approach to the Study of Satisfaction in the WhiteCollar Job."
-Samuel Weiss
Bureau of Labor Statistics

## European Impressions of the American Worker. By Robert W. Smuts. New York, King's Crown Press, 1953. 62 pp. $\$ 1.50$.

Byproduct of a major study, this monograph is announced as the first of a new series to report preliminary findings and approaches of the Conservation of Human Resources Project of Columbia University. It presents a set of studied impressions of the American scene and the American worker, through European eyes, around the turn of the century, and contrasts these with
appraisals by groups of British observers 50 years later.

The comparison reveals both similarities and disparities between the two sets of impressions, separated by half a century of cataclysmic world history. The similarities will surprise those who think of American productive superiority as a recent development, child of "modern" scientific management.

Higher productivity in American industry was ascribed by qualified observers 50 years ago to the same factors that were cited by their British counterparts 50 years later: quantity production, systematic planning, specialization, simplification, standardization, mechanization, flexibility of employment and enterprise, mutual acceptance of change by worker and boss, and mutual faith in progress.

The disparities between the two sets of views, separated by half a century, are less surprising and more important. Around the turn of the century, the author notes, European observers tended to remark on the social and political turbulence of human relations in United States in-dustry-the "bitterness of industrial conflict in this land of prosperity and democracy . . . ruthless exploitation of the weak . . . despotism of the employer . . . violent protests of indignant workers . . . presence of the radical fringe." By 1950, says the author, "these blemishes on the industrial body of 1890 were nearly gone . . . from the viewpoint of Europeans, American industry became civilized." In the judgment of the British visitors of 1949-51, "the striking fact about the American compromise is that it has achieved so much for the worker at so little cost to the incentives of a free-enterprise economy."
-Samuel H. Thompson
Bureau of Labor Statistics

## Agricultural Labor

The Hired Farm Working Force of 1952, with Special Information on Migratory Workers. By Louis J. Ducoff. Washington, U. S. Department of Agriculture, Bureau of Agricultural Economics, 1953. 21 pp.; processed. Limited free distribution.

Labor Requirements for California Crops-Major Seasonal Farm Operations, Based on Estimated Acreages and Production as of 1950. Sacramento, Department of Employment, Employment Stabilization Commission, 1953. 35 pp . (Report 882, No. 4.)

Report of the New York State Joint Legislative Committee on Migrant Labor, 1953. Albany, 1953. 39 pp. (Legislative Document, 1953, 49.)

Seasonal Farm Labor in Pennsylvania. By Morrison Handsaker. Easton, Pa., Lafayette College, 1953. 243 pp ., survey forms, illus. Free.
This study was made by Lafayette College, at the request of the Pennsylvania Department of Labor and Industry, in an attempt to discover the facts about the present and prospective adequacy of seasonal labor in typical agricultural areas normally visited by large numbers of migrants. In addition, the study group examined the social situation of the migrant workers, as it was found to be closely interrelated with the economics of seasonal farm labor. A detailed analysis of wages, hours, housing, health, and transportation of migrants is included in the report, along with recommendations as to how these can be improved by both legislative and educational means.
Labor Utilization in Small-Volume Milk Pasteurizing and Botlling Plants. By Robert A. Scott. Ithaca, N. Y., Cornell University, Agricultural Experiment Station, 1953. 36 pp., charts; processed. (Bull. A. E. 850.)

Proceedings [of] Third Annual Conference of the National Council on Agricultural Life and Labor, Washington, April 22-23, 1953. Washington, National Council on Agricultural Life and Labor, 1953. 22 pp.; processed.

## Handicapped

Annual Caseload Statistics of State Rehabilitation Agencies, Fiscal Year 1953. Washington, U. S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Office of Vocational Rehabilitation, 1953. 31 pp. ; processed. (Rehabilitation Service Series, 249.)

Characteristics of Recipients of Aid to the Permanently and Totally Disabled, Mid-1951. Washington, U. S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Social Security Administration, Bureau of Public Assistance, 1953. 99 pp., charts; processed. (Public Assistance Report 22.) Limited free distribution.
Basic tables and findings from a study of social and medical characteristics of the recipients. Articles on, respectively, recipients with heart disease, the young recipients, and characteristics of men and women recipients, based on this study, were published in the Social Security Administration's Social Security Bulletin for July, October, and November, 1953.
Interviewing Guides for Specific Disabilities: Heart Disease. Washington, U. S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Employment Security, U. S. Employment Service, [1953]. $8 \mathrm{pp} . \quad 5$ cents, Superintendent of Documents, Washington.
One of a series of pamphlets intended for use of public employment office staff members and others interested in helping the handicapped choose and find employment in suitable occupations. Two other pamphlets already issued cover tuberculosis and epilepsy, respectively. A separate leaflet gives suggestions for using the guides.

Rehabilitation Centers in the United States. By Henry Redkey. Chicago, National Society for Crippled Children and Adults, Inc., 1953. 128 pp., illus. \$1.
Compilation of information on the work of rehabilitation centers in the United States, with special reference to the work of 40 which furnished details of their programs to the first national conference of rehabilitation centers, held in Indianapolis in December 1952 under sponsorship of National Society for Crippled Children and Adults, and Office of Vocational Rehabilitation, U. S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare.

Memorandum on Sheltered Employment, [Union of South Africa]. By National Board for Sheltered Employment. Pretoria, Department of Labor, 1953. xii, 209 pp ., forms; processed.
Account of the objectives and administrative provisions of the schemes to provide sheltered employment and rehabilitation of the mentally and physically disabled of all races in the Union.

## Industrial Accidents and Accident Prevention

Safety Subjects. Washington, U. S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Standards, 1953. 270 pp. (Bull. 67 , rev.) 75 cents, Superintendent of Documents, Washington.

Federal Mine Safety Code for Bituminous-Coal and Lignite Mines of the United States: Part I, Underground Mines; Part II, Strip Mines. Washington, U. S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Mines, 1953. 86 pp .; 40 pp . 50 cents and 40 cents, Superintendent of Documents, Washington.

The Development of Permissible Requirements for Safe Underground Diesel Haulage. By M. A. Elliott and R. S. James. Washington, U. S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Mines, 1953. 12 pp., bibliography. (Information Circular 7673.) Limited free distribution.

Storage and Warehousing-Safety Standards for Federal Installations. Washington, U. S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Standards-Federal Safety Council, 1953. 84 pp., diagrams.

Some Theoretical Aspects of Industrial Accident Causationthe Accident Sequence. By Henry A. Hepburn. (In Occupational Safety and Health, International Labor Office, Geneva, July-September 1953, pp. 113-118, illus. 75 cents. Distributed in United States by Washington Branch of ILO.)

## Industrial Hygiene

Proceedings of the Symposium on Industrial Medicine at the Harvard School of Public Health, April 3-4, 1953. [Boston], Harvard University, School of Public Health, [1953]. 167 pp., charts, illus. ,
A physicians' pilot course, given by specialists, dealing with various aspects of promoting industrial health,
including newer developments and techniques. Among topics discussed are industrial injuries and their compensation, safety measures, occupational diseases, and labormanagement relations.

Health Problems in the Manufacture and Use of Plastics. By D. Kenwin Harris. (In British Journal of Industrial Medicine, London, October 1953, pp. 255-268, bibliography, illus. 12 s . 6 d .)

Ventilation of Garages, Factories and Warehouses for Products of Combustion of Gasoline Engines. By Milton Sheinbaum. (In Monthly Review, Division of Industrial Hygiene and Safety Standards, New York State Department of Labor, New York, October 1953, pp. 37-40, diagrams.)

Industrial Hygiene in Latin America. By John J. Bloomfield. (In A.M.A. Archives of Industrial Hygiene and Occupational Medicine, Chicago, July 1953, pp. 25-35. \$1.)
Paper presented at 15 th annual meeting of American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists, Los Angeles, April 20, 1953.

Silicosis in Mica Mining in Bihar. New Delhi, Government of India Ministry of Labor, 1953. 38 pp., bibliography, chart, illus. (Report 3.)
An article based on this survey, by five investigators who participated in it, was published in the A.M.A. Archives of Industrial Hygiene and Occupational Medicine (Chicago) for November 1953 (pp. 420-435).

## Industrial Relations

Industrial Relations in the Ocean Shipping IndustryBargaining Mechanisms, Experience, and Results. New York, Industrial Relations Counselors, Inc., 1953. 223 pp., charts; processed.

Eighteenth Annual Report of National Labor Relations Board, for Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 1953. Washington, 1954. 117 pp .40 cents, Superintendent of Documents, Washington.

Nineteenth Annual Report of National Mediation Board, Including Report of the National Railroad Adjustment Board, for Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 1953. Washington, 1953. 77 pp .45 cents, Superintendent of Documents, Washington.

Proceedings of New York University Sixth Annual Conference on Labor, New York, May 6-8, 1953. Edited by Emanuel Stein. Albany, N. Y., Matthew Bender \& Co., 1953. $586 \mathrm{pp} . \quad \$ 10$.
The papers reproduced reflect significant aspects of labor-management relations in 1953, with particular reference to collective bargaining, current points of controversy in administration of the National Labor Relations Act, and developments in legislation concerning strikes, picketing, and the boycott.

War Labor Boards in the Field. By Allan R. Richards. Chapel Hill, University of North Carolina Press, 1953. 281 pp. (James Sprunt Studies in History and Political Science, 35.) $\$ 1$.
A study of wartime field administration, field policies, and field problems under a tripartite structure. The tactics involved in administration by a public-labor-management board are treated.

Picketing and Coercion. By Edgar A. Jones, Jr., and Charles O. Gregory. (In Virginia Law Review, Charlottesville, December 1953, pp. 1023-1069. $\$ 1.25$.) $\$ 1$.

Industrial Relations in Great Britain-A Survey of PostWar Developments. By H. S. Kirkaldy. (In International Labor Review, Geneva, December 1953, pp. 468-492. 60 cents. Distributed in United States by Washington Branch of ILO.)

## Labor and Social Legislation

Revision of the Taft-Hartley Act. Princeton, N. J., Princeton University, Industrial Relations Section, 1954. 4 pp . (Selected References, 55.) 20 cents.

Taft-Hartley Act in Action, 1947-1954. By Jack Barbash. New York, League for Industrial Democracy, 1954. 46 pp., bibliography. 25 cents.

Labor Laws and Regulations in Hawaii. [Honolulu?], Hawaii Employers Council, Research Department, 1953. 97 pp.; processed. (Special Publication 23.)

Brief Summary of Labor Laws [of Puerto Rico] Which Apply to Industries Engaged in Both Local and Interstate Commerce. San Juan, Department of Labor, 1953. 13 pp .

A Statement of the Laws of Paraguay in Matters Affecting Business. By Raul Sapena Pastor. Washington, Pan American Union, Department of International Law, Division of Law and Treaties, 1953. 138 pp. $\$ 3$.
A short summary of labor and social legislation is included.

## Labor Organizations

Forty-eighth Directory of Labor Organizations in Massachusetts, 1953 (With Statistics of Membership, 1951-53). [Boston], Department of Labor and Industries, 1953. 127 pp. (Labor Bull. 196.)

Forty-second Annual Report on Labor Organization in Canada. Ottawa, Department of Labor, 1953. 104 pp. 25 cents.

Procès-Verbal, Trente-deuxième Session du Congrès de la C. T. C. C., Québec, P. A., 1953. Quebec, Confédération des Travailleurs Catholiques du Canada, 1953. 320 pp .

Report of Proceedings of 68th Annual Convention of Trades and Labor Congress of Canada, Held at Ottawa, Ontario, August 10-15, 1953. Ottawa, Trades and Labor Congress of Canada, [1953?]. 429 pp .

Report of Proceedings at the 85th Annual Trades Union Congress, Held at Douglas, I. O. M. [Isle of Man], September 7-11, 1953. London, Trades Union Congress, 1953. 579 pp .

Public Ownership-An Interim Report. London, Trades Union Congress, 1953. 52 pp .9 d.
Report presented by TUC General Council to 85th annual meeting of Trades Union Congress reviewing the experience with nationalization of industries in Great Britain and the position of the Congress on this question.

Trade Unionism Among Agricultural Workers in Chile. By Francisco Walker Linares. (In International Labor Review, Geneva, December 1953, pp. 509-523. 60 cents. Distributed in United States by Washington Branch of ILO.)

## Medical Care and Sickness Insurance

Industrial Medical Service by Private Practitioners. By James P. Hughes, M.D. (In Journal of the American Medical Association, Chicago, December 19, 1953, pp. 1438-1441. 45 cents.)

Union Health Centers. Chicago, American Medical Association, 1953. 36 pp .
Covers organization and operation of 12 centers in 7 large cities. These 12 centers, according to the study, constitute a majority of those in operation and represent a variety of types.

Sickness Beneficiaries in the First 5 Years of the Program [Under the Railroad Unemployment Insurance Act]. (In Monthly Review, U. S. Railroad Retirement Board, Chicago, September 1953, pp. 164-169.)
Discusses patterns of benefit experience and characteristics of beneficiaries.

Temporary Disability Insurance-Problems in Formulating a Program Administered by a State Employment Security Agency. Washington, U. S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Employment Security, 1953. 69 pp., bibliography; processed. Rev. ed. Free.

Voluntary Insurance Against Sickness: 1948-52 Estimates. (In Social Security Bulletin, U. S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Social Security Administration, Washington, December 1953, pp. 7-13. 20 cents, Superintendent of Documents, Washington.)
Fifth article in a series analyzing the annual costs of sickness in the United States and the extent of voluntary insurance against these costs.

Syketrygden, [Norway], 1950. Oslo, Rikstrygdeverket, 1953. 95 pp ., charts. (Norges Offisielle Statistikk, XI, 138.) Kr. 3.

Report on sickness insurance in Norway in 1950.

## Occupations

The Changing Geography of American Jobs. By Seymour L. Wolfbein. (In Personnel and Guidance Journal, Washington, September 1953, pp. 18-21. 80 cents.)

Careers in the Crafts. By Harold J. Brennan. Rochester, N. Y., Rochester Institute of Technology, 1953. 30 pp., illus.

Career as Industrial Designer. Washington, B'nai B'rith Vocational Service Bureau, 1954. 6 pp., bibliography, illus. (Occupational Brief Series.) 20 cents.
Other recent pamphlets in this series, not previously listed in the Monthly Labor Review, deal with careers in the consumer finance field, hospital administration, law, printing industry, and social group work in Jewish agencies; careers as food technologists, probation and parole officers, and upholsterers; and careers through apprenticeships.

Employment Outlook for Physicists. By Norman Seltzer and Robert W. Cain. Washington, U. S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, 1953. 24 pp., charts, illus. (Bull. 1144.) 25 cents, Superintendent of Documents, Washington.

## Older Workers and the Aged

Aging and Retirement. Edited by Ernest W. Burgess. (In American Journal of Sociology, Chicago, January 1954, pp. 301-390. \$1.25.)
Symposium of 12 papers by specialists in the field.
Age and Work-A Study of 489 Men in Heavy Industry. By I. M. Richardson. (In British Journal of Industrial Medicine, London, October 1953, pp. 269-284, bibliography, chart, illus. 12s. 6d.)

Difficulties of Older People in Industry. By R. M. Belbin. (In Occupational Psychology, London, October 1953, pp. 177-190, bibliography, charts.)

The Effectiveness of Older Personnel in Retailing. By Robert L. Peterson. Urbana, University of Illinois, College of Commerce and Business Administration, Business Management Service, 1953. 15 pp. (Business Management Service Bull. 607.)

Minority Group Characteristics of the Aged in American Society. By Milton L. Barron. (In Journal of Gerontology, St. Louis, Mo., October 1953, pp. 477-482, bibliography. \$2.50.)

First Report of National Advisory Committee on the Employment of Older Men and Women, [Great Britain]. London, 1953. 62 pp., charts. (Cmd. 8963.) 2s. net, H. M. Stationery Office, London.

## Production and Productivity of Labor

Federal Reserve Monthly Index of Industrial Production1953 Revision. Washington, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, 1953. 90 pp., charts. (Preprinted from Federal Reserve Bulletin, December 1953.)

Yardsticks of Productivity and the Use of the Productivity Concept in Industry. By Ewan Clague. Washington, U. S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, 1953. 18 pp., charts; processed. Free.
Paper delivered at 46 th annual meeting of American Institute of Chemical Engineers, St. Louis, Mo., December 14, 1953.

The Primary Employment Effects of Productivity Gains. By Eric Schiff. Chicago, Council for Technological Advancement, [1953?]. 23 pp.

Case Study Data on Productivity and Factory Performance: Brick and Tile (by the Stiff Mud Process); Seamless Hosiery. Washington, U. S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, 1953. $85 \mathrm{pp} . ; 105 \mathrm{pp} . ;$ diagrams, illus.; processed. (BLS Reports 43 and 44.) Free.

Productivity in the Light Flat-Rolled Segment of the Steel Industry. By Thomas F. Walsh, S. J. New York, Fordham University, 1953. 64 pp., bibliography.
The report contains an analysis for one representative plant. Man-hours per ton between 1941 and 1950 are shown for major facilities, and conclusions are drawn. Various causes of increased productivity are listed.

Productivity in Manufacturing in the Postwar Period in Canada, Western Europe, and the United States. By Francis W. Dresch. Stanford, Calif., Stanford Research Institute, 1953. 26 pp., bibliography, chart. $\$ 1$.

## Unemployment Insurance

Extension of Coverage Under State Unemployment Insurance Laws: Employees of the Federal Government. Wash_ ington, U. S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Employment Security, 1953. 27 pp., charts; processed. Free.
A study of separations from Federal jobs in 1949 and 1952, use of retirement funds and accrued annual leave during unemployment, and proposals for unemployment insurance or severance pay for Federal employees.

A general summary of State unemployment-insurance
laws is given in this issue of the Monthly Labor Review (p. 272).

Jobless Compensation in Boom and Recession. By Helen B. Shaffer. Washington (1205 19th Street NW.), Editorial Research Reports, 1953. 16 pp. (Vol. II, 1953, No. 19.) \$1.

Problems of the Unemployment Insurance "Chronic" Claimant. Phoenix, Employment Security Commission of Arizona, Unemployment Compensation Division, 1953. 37 pp .; processed.

Review of [Unemployment Insurance] Experience Rating, 1952. (In Labor Market and Employment Security, U. S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Employment Security, Washington, December 1953, pp. 29-34, 44-50. 30 cents, Superintendent of Documents, Washington.)

## Wages, Salaries, and Hours of Labor

Comparative Trends of Wage Rates and Capital Goods Prices. (In Capital Goods Review, Machinery \& Allied Products Institute, Chicago, November 1953; 4 pp., charts.)

Differential Pays for the Armed Services of the United States-Report of the Strauss Commission on IncentiveHazardous Duty and Special Pays. Washington, 1953. 182 pp., charts. (Committee Print, Senate Committee on Armed Services, 83d Cong., 1st sess.)

Wage Structure: Footwear, March 1953. By James P. Corkery. Washington, U. S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, 1953. 41 pp.; processed. (BLS Report 46.) Free.

Salaries and Hours of Work in Government Service: An International Comparison. (In International Labor Review, Geneva, October-November 1953, pp. 407418. 60 cents. Distributed in United States by Washington Branch of ILO.)

Office Employees' Working Conditions in Canadian Manufacturing, 1949-53. (In Labor Gazette, Department of Labor, Ottawa, November 1953, pp. 1665-1667. 25 cents.)
The article gives information on standard weekly hours, compensation for overtime work, holidays and vacations with pay, sick leave, pensions, etc.

Some Statistics of Wages, Earnings, and Hours of Work, [Ireland], in 1953 and Previous Years. Dublin, Central Statistics Office, 1953. 89 pp . 3s. 6d.

Relative Real Wages in Swedish Agriculture and Industry, 1930-1950. By G. R. Allen. (In Bulletin of the Oxford University Institute of Statistics, Oxford, December 1953, pp. 436-452. 3s. 6d.)

## Miscellaneous

Economic Security for Americans. New York, Columbia University, Graduate School of Business, American Assembly, 1953. 158 pp., charts.
A comprehensive collection and analysis of research on elements bearing importantly on the economic security of Americans, with a discussion of significant contemporary issues, assembled for use of participants in Third American Assembly, Arden House, Columbia University, November 5-8, 1953.

Forty-first Annual Report of the Secretary of Labor, Fiscal Year 1958. Washington, U. S. Department of Labor, 1953. 91 pp. 45 cents, Superintendent of Documents, Washington.

Year Book of Labor Statistics, 1953. Geneva, International Labor Office, 1953. 375 pp. 13th ed. In English, French, Spanish. $\$ 5$ paper, $\$ 6$ cloth. Distributed in United States by Washington Branch of ILO.

Community Development Programs in Greece with Special Consideration of Welfare through Employment (Pronoia dia tis Ergassias). By Paul H. Guenault and Howard W. Beers. New York, United Nations, 1953. 67 pp., map. (Sales No., 1953, IV: 18.) 60 cents, Columbia University Press, International Documents Service, New York.

Year Book of Labor Statistics, [Japan], 1952. [Tokyo], Ministry of Labor, Division of Labor Statistics and Research, [1953?]. 310 pp., charts, map. In Japanese and English.

Federation of Malaya Annual Report, 1952. Kuala Lumpur, 1953. $342 \mathrm{pp} .$, bibliography, charts, illus. \$4, Government Printer, Kuala Lumpur.
Considerable information is given on production, labor matters, social services, and the cooperative movement.

Die Wirtschaft Polens von 1945 bis 1952. By R. P. Rochlin. Berlin, Deutsches Institut für Wirtschaftsforschung, [1953]. 183 pp. (Sonderhefte, Neue Folge, 20.)
Description of Poland's economy. Contains chapter on employment, labor controls, wages, prices, and housing.

Die Wirtschaft Rumäniens von 1945 bis 1952. By G. J. Conrad. Berlin, Deutsches Institut für Wirtschaftsforschung, [1953]. 102 pp . (Sonderhefte, Neue Folge, 23.)
Description of Rumania's economy. Contains a chapter on labor, wages, and prices.

Coercion of the Worker in the Soviet Union. By International Commission Against Concentrationist Regimes. Boston, Beacon Press, 1953. 63 pp. $\$ 1.50$.
Discusses deterioration of workers' status under the Communist regime, and nature of present coercive state controls over workers. Texts of four important Soviet labor laws are given in an appendix.
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324 Table A-3: Production workers in mining and manufacturing industries
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371 Table F-4: New nonresidential building authorized in all urban places, by general type and by geographic division
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## A: Employment and Payrolls

Table A-1: Estimated total labor force classified by employment status, hours worked, and sex [In thousands]

${ }^{1}$ Estimates are subject to sampling variation which may be large in cases where the quantities shown are relatively small. Therefore, the smaller estimates should be used with caution. All data exclude persons in instituthons. Because of rounding, the individual figures do not necessarily add to group totals.
${ }^{2}$ Because of the introduction during 1953 of materials from the 1950 Census into the procedures for current labor force estimates, the January 1954 figures are not entirely comparable with those for earlier months. The following adjustments should be made for rough comparability with January 1954 data: (1) Add to the total and civilian labor force-January 1953, 270,000; February 1953, 140,000. (2) Subtract from nonagricultural employment-January 1953, 100,000; February 1953, 150,000; March to August 1953, 200,000. (3) Add to
agricultural employment-January 1953, 370,000; February 1953, 290,000; March to August 1953, 200,000. These adjustments apply only to the data for total (both sexes) and for maies. The unemployment figures are not affected. Census survey week contained legal holiday.
${ }^{4}$ Excludes persons engaged only in incidental unpaid family work (less than 15 hours); these persons are classified as not in the labor force.
${ }^{5}$ Includes persons who had a job or business, but who did not work during the census week because of illness, bad weather, vacation, labor dispute, or because of temporary layoff with definite instructions to return to work within 30 days of layoff. Does not include unpaid family workers.
Source: U. S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census.

Note.-Figures shown are based on a sample of 68 areas. In January 1954, the Census Bureau released estimates based on a new sample in 230 areas. The new figures are as follows: Total labor force, 66,291,000; civilian labor force, $62,840,000$; agricultural employment, $5,284,000$; nonagricultural employment, $54,469,000$; and unemployment, $3,087,000$. The Census Bureau is currently testing the results of both of their samples to determine which is more accurate.

TABLE A-2: Employees in nonagricultural establishments, by industry division and group ${ }^{1}$
[In thousands]


TABLE A-2: Employees in nonagricultural establishments, by industry division and group ${ }^{1}$-Continued [In thousands]

| Industry group and industry | 1954 | 1953 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Annual average |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Jan. | Dec. | Nov. | Oct. | Sept. | Aug. | July | June | May | Apr. | Mar. | Feb. | Jan. | 1952 | 1951 |
| Manufacturing-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lumber and wood products (except furniture) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Logging camps and contractors.-.-.-....- | 679.4 | 713.7 66.0 | 751.6 80.8 | 773.0 84.9 | 781.0 86.1 | 792.1 89.2 | 786.6 85.5 | 800.1 89.6 | $\begin{array}{r}782.2 \\ 83 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 769.7 75 7 | 757.1 | 745.8 65.2 | 744.3 63 | 782.0 84.0 | 834.4 |
| Sawmills and planing mills.. |  | 419.2 | 438.4 | 450.8 | 456.6 | 462.5 | 460.2 | 465.7 |  | 450.4 |  |  |  |  | 101.4 4 |
| Millwork, plywood, and prefsbricated structural wood products. |  | 114.4 | 117.1 | 119.8 | 119.6 | 462.5 119.9 | 460.2 120.1 | 465.7 123.1 | 456.3 121.3 | 450.4 122.7 | 441.2 120.9 | 437.5 121.0 | 438.1 121.3 | 457.8 118.9 |  |
| Wooden containers.- |  | 57.1 | 57.4 | 58.7 | 59.1 | 60.2 | 61.2 | 61.8 | 121.3 61.5 | 61.0 | 121.2 | 61.0 | 121.3 61.1 | 118.9 | 126.4 65.8 |
| Miscellaneous wood products |  | 57.0 | 57.9 | 58.8 | 59.6 | 60.3 | 59.6 | 59.9 | 69.4 59 | 69.9 | 61.2 61.2 | 61.1 | 60.2 | 61.4 60.4 | 65.8 63.4 |
| Furniture and fixtures | 346.3 | 358.6 | 365.2 | 367.5 | 370.3 | 370.1 | 369.9 | 371.6 | 376.5 | 383.0 | 387.1 | 385.5 | 382.6 | 361.0 | 361. 3 |
| Household furniture. |  | 251.7 | 258.3 | 259.6 | 261.3 | 261.6 | 261.4 | 264.2 | 269.4 | 275.5 | 279.8 | 278.1 | 275.2 | 257. 1 | 257.1 |
| Office, public-building, and professional furniture |  | 38.0 | 38.3 | 38.6 | 39.3 | 39.5 | 39.2 | 39.0 | 39.6 | 40.0 | 40.1 | 40.1 | 40.1 | 39.9 | 40.7 |
| Partitions, shelving, lockers, and fixtures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{3}$. | 39.6 | 40.0 | 40.1 | 40.1 |  | 9.9 |  |
| Screens, blinds, and miscellaneous |  | 36.9 | 36.8 | 37.5 | 36.8 | 37.0 | 37.1 | 36.7 | 36.3 | 36.3 | 35.9 | 36.4 | 36. 6 | 34.1 | 34.4 |
| rniture a |  | 32.0 | 31.8 | 31.8 | 32.9 | 32.0 | 32.2 | 31.7 | 31.2 | 31.2 | 31.3 | 30.9 | 30.7 | 29.9 | 29.1 |
| Paper and allied products | 529.3 | 534.9 | 538.8 | 541.8 | 543.9 | 541.5 | 533.4 | 535.9 | 528.5 | 527.7 | 527.3 | 523.2 | 522.1 | 505. 6 | 511.5 |
| Pulp, paper, and paperboar |  | 267.2 | 266.0 | 266.7 | 267.8 | 266.9 | 265.4 | 264.9 | 261.4 | 260.7 | 261.6 | 261.5 | 261.4 | 257.1 | 258.7 |
| Paperboard containers and boxes |  | 143.5 | 148.7 | 149.1 | 147.8 | 146. 5 | 141.2 | 143.8 | 140.9 | 141.3 | 140.8 | 138.9 | 138.6 | 129.6 | 131. 9 |
| Other paper and allied products. |  | 124.2 | 124.1 | 126.0 | 128.3 | 128.1 | 126.8 | 127.2 | 126.2 | 125.7 | 124.9 | 122.8 | 122.1 | 119.0 | 121.0 |
| Printing, publishing, and allied industries | 792.0 | 802.6 | 798.5 | 797.5 | 789.6 | 778.6 | 775. 5 | 779.7 | 775.1 | 774.3 | 774.3 | 771.8 | 772.5 | 762.9 | 755. 5 |
| Newspapers. |  | 299.2 | 297.6 | 296.8 | 294.8 | 292.9 | 292.3 | 293.8 | 292.5 | 291.5 | 290.5 | 289.2 | 288.4 | 286. 8 | 282. 2 |
| Periodicals |  | 70.2 | 69.9 | 68.5 | 67.0 | 65.1 | 65.0 | 65.0 | 65.3 | 65, 4 | 66.3 | 66.7 | 66.6 | 64.1 | 61.1 |
| Books |  | 47.6 | 47.6 | 48.7 | 48.4 | 47.5 | 46. 9 | 46.9 | 46.6 | 46.8 | 47.4 | 47.0 | 46.5 | 45.2 | 45.1 |
| Commercial prin |  | 199.4 | 196. 4 | 196.9 | 195.3 | 192.0 | 192.7 | 194.3 | 193.2 | 193.8 | 194.0 | 194.1 | 195.8 | 192.8 | 193.4 |
| Lithographing |  | 57.6 19.5 | 58.0 20.4 | 56.9 20.2 | 56.2 19.6 | 54.7 19.3 | 53.3 18.9 | 54. 1 | 53.6 | 53.3 | 53. 2 | 52.7 | 52.8 | 52.9 | 53. 5 |
| Bookbinding and related industrie |  | 45.3 | 20.4 45.4 | 46.6 | 46.0 | 19.5 | 45.0 | 184.9 | 17.6 44.5 | 174.3 44 | 17.5 43.9 | 17.6 43.4 | 17.7 44.0 | 18.2 42.9 | 18.8 42.7 |
| Miscellaneous publishing and printing services |  | 63.8 | 63.2 | 62.9 | 62.3 | 61.6 | 61.4 | 61.8 | 61.8 | 62.0 | 61.5 | 61. | 60.7 | 59.9 | 59.0 |
| Ohemicals and allied produc | 739.9 | 745.6 | 752.2 | 756.5 | 759.1 | 755.0 | 751.7 | 753.2 | 754.7 | 762.7 | 761.3 | 752.2 | 749.0 | 741.7 | 742.8 |
| Industrial inorganic chemicals |  | 85.2 | 85.2 | 85.1 | 85.4 | 85.7 | 86.0 | 84.7 | 84.0 | 83.4 | 83.0 | 82.3 | 81.7 | 81.9 | 81.5 |
| Industrial organic chemicals. |  | 270.2 | 272.9 | 275. 2 | 279.3 | 282.1 | 280.3 | 278.1 | 274.4 | 272.2 | 270.6 | 267.9 | 267.6 | 259,0 | 258.3 |
| Drugs and medicines................. |  | 92.5 | 94.0 | 93.7 | 94.0 | 93.2 | 92.8 | 94.6 | 94.2 | 95.0 | 95.3 | 95.3 | 98.2 | 98.4 | 95.6 |
| Soap, cleaning and pollshing preparations |  | 48.9 | 49.5 | 49.9 | 49.7 | 49.4 | 49.3 | 49.7 | 49.9 | 50.5 | 50.5 | 50.1 | 49.4 | 49.8 | 51.6 |
| Paints, pigments, and fillers. |  | 74.8 | 75.2 | 75.2 | 75.6 | 76.3 | 76.6 | 75.6 | 75. 4 | 75.5 | 75.0 | 74.3 | 73.7 | 73.1 | 73.6 |
| Gum and wood chemicals |  | 7.8 | 7.8 | 7.8 | 7.6 | 7.5 | 7.5 | 7.4 | 7.6 | 7.9 | 7.8 | 7.6 | 7.6 | 7.9 | 8.3 |
| Fertilizers. |  | 30.8 | 30.5 | 32.2 | 32.7 | 31.2 | 30.3 | 33.0 | 38.6 | 45.8 | 44.4 | 39. 2 | 34.8 | 35.8 | 35.8 |
| Vegetable and animal oils and f |  | 45.1 | 46.2 | 46.2 | 43.6 | 37.9 | 36. 4 | 37.3 | 38.2 | 39.9 | 42. 6 | 44.2 | 45.8 | 44.2 | 46.8 |
| Miscellaneous chemicals. |  | 90.3 | 90.9 | 91.2 | 91.2 | 91.7 | 92.5 | 92.8 | 92.4 | 92.5 | 92.1 | 91.3 | 90.2 | 91.7 | 90.3 |
| Products of petroleum and coal | 253.3 | 256.0 | 259.2 | 261.5 | 264.0 | 266.4 | 266.3 | 264.3 | 261.0 | 260.3 | 259.0 | 258.2 | 258.3 | 253.9 | 252.7 |
| Petroleum refining..................... |  | 205.1 | 206.7 | 208.3 | 209.9 | 211.7 | 211.4 | 209.4 | 206.8 | 207.0 | 206.3 | 206.0 | 206. 6 | 202.1 | 198.6 |
| Coke and other petroleum and coal products |  | 50.9 | 52.5 | 53.2 | 54.1 | 54.7 | 54.9 | 4.9 | 4. 2 | 53.3 | 52.7 | 52.2 | 51.7 | 51.8 | 54.1 |
| Rubber products | 249.7 | 256.5 | 259.4 | 265.0 | 270.3 | 271.0 | 269.5 | 276.3 | 276.3 | 276.6 | 276.4 | 274.8 | 275.1 | 262.3 | 263.3 |
| Tires and inner tu |  | 107.5 | 10¢. 8 | 112.1 | 115.3 | 115.7 | 116.1 | 118.1 | 118.7 | 118.2 | 117.5 | 116.9 | 117.3 | 116.1 | 111.2 |
| Rubber footwear |  | 28.3 | 29.2 | 29.6 | 29.7 | 29.3 | 28.1 | 29.1 | 28.9 | 29.4 | 29.8 | 29.8 | 30.1 | 28.3 | 29.2 |
| Other rubber product |  | 120.7 | 121.4 | 123.3 | 125.3 | 126.0 | 125.3 | 129.1 | 128.7 | 129.0 | 129.1 | 128.1 | 127.7 | 117.9 | 123.0 |
| Leather and leather products | 373.5 | 376.2 | 374.1 | 374.7 | 381.5 | 390.8 | 383.8 | 390.2 | 382.4 | 393.3 | 402.5 | 403.1 | 398.7 | 381.9 | 376.9 |
| Leather: tanned, curried, and finished |  | 44.2 | 44.7 | 46.0 | 46.6 | 47.0 | 46.8 | 47.6 | 46.9 | 46.8 | 47.4 | 47.8 | 48.3 | 46.5 | 48.0 |
| Industrial leather belting and packing- |  | 5. 0 | 5. 1 | 5. 2 | 5.1 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.4 | 5.7 | 5.8 | 5. 7 | 5. 6 | 5. 6 | 5.1 | 5.5 |
| Boot and shoe cut stock and findings. |  | 17.6 | 16. 7 | 16. 2 | 16.3 | 17.5 | 17.7 | 18.0 | 16.9 | 18.1 | 18.8 | 19.3 | 19.2 | 17.5 | 16.8 |
| Footwear (except rubb |  | 246.2 | 240.3 | 238.1 | 245.4 | 253.2 | 248.8 | 254.5 | 249.2 | 255.4 | 261.7 | 261.9 | 259.9 | 246.7 | 241.0 |
| Luggage. |  | 17.0 | 18.8 | 19.2 | 18.8 | 18.6 | 18.3 | 19.2 | 19.2 | 19.1 | 18.4 | 18.5 | 18.1 | 17.8 | 15.9 |
| Hand bags and small leather goods. |  | 29.2 | 30.8 | 30.7 | 29.6 | 29.7 | 28.2 | 26.7 | 26. 1 | 29.7 | 32.2 | 32.1 | 30.1 | 29.0 | 29.4 |
| Gloves and miscellaneous leather goods |  | 17.0 | 17.7 | 19.3 | 19.7 | 19.5 | 18.7 | 18.8 | 18.4 | 18.4 | 18.3 | 17.8 | 17.5 | 19.4 | 20.3 |
| Stone, clay, and glass prod | 511.8 | 527.0 | 538.7 | 544.7 | 547.7 | 546.6 | 538.9 | 547.7 | 543.0 | 544.1 | 541.2 | 538.9 | 531.3 | 527.9 | 551.2 |
| Flat glass. |  | 35.8 | 35.5 | 35.5 | 35. 8 | 35. 4 | 35.1 | 34.9 | 35.0 | 35.3 | 35.4 | 35.6 | 35.7 | 32.6 | 33.2 |
| Glass and glassware, pressed or blown.- |  | 101.9 | 104.5 | 104.8 | 104.8 | 103.1 | 100.4 | 105.4 | 104.2 | 104.3 | 103.6 | 101.1 | 99.9 | 96. 2 | 98.0 |
| Glass products made of purchased glass. |  | 15.6 | 15.7 | 16.4 | 16.4 | 16.6 | 16.3 | 16.9 | 17.0 | 17.7 | 17.5 | 17.0 | 17.2 | 16.2 | 16.7 |
| Cement, hydraulic. |  | 41.1 | 41.4 | 41.2 78.5 | 41.7 | 41.9 | 41.8 | 40.9 | 41.0 | 40.6 | 40.6 | 40.6 | 40.6 | 39.9 | 40.6 |
| Structural clay products. |  | 75.7 51.8 | 77.5 53.6 | 78.5 54.8 | 78.5 | 79.4 | 80.0 | 80.3 | 78.0 | 77.5 | 76.9 | 75.4 | 75.6 | 80.9 | 85.2 |
| Pottery and related products..........- |  | 51.8 100.3 | 53.6 104.0 | 54.8 105.8 | 54.4 | 53.3 | 48.5 | 54.3 | 55.1 | 56.3 | 57.0 | 56.6 | 56.5 | 57.2 | 63.0 |
| Concrete, gypsum, and plaster products- Out-stone and stone products |  | 100.3 18.8 | 104.0 18.9 | 105.8 18.8 | 107.7 18.8 | 108.6 18.8 | 108.1 18.4 | 105.8 | 104.7 | 104.1 | 101.6 | 100.1 | 99.2 | 100.7 | 101.5 |
| Miscellaneous nonmetallic mineral products. |  | 18.8 86.0 | 18.9 87.6 | 18.8 88.9 | 18.8 89.6 | 18.8 89.5 | 18.4 90.3 | 18.5 | 17.9 90.1 | 18.3 90.0 | 18.3 90.3 | 18.1 89.4 | 17.9 88.7 | 17.5 86.9 | 18.9 94.2 |
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TABLE A-2: Employees in nonagricultural establishments, by industry division and group ${ }^{1}$-Continued
[In thousands]

| Industry group and industry | 1954 | 1953 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Annual average |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Jan. | Dec. | Nov. | Oct. | Sept. | Aug. | July | June | May | Apr. | Mar. | Feb. | Jan. | 1952 | 1951 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Local railways and buslines |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trucking and warehousing. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other transportation and service |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Buslines, except local |  | 51.3 | 51.7 | 52.2 | 53.1 | 53. 2 | 53.5 | 52.9 | 52.1 | 51.9 | 51.4 | 51.5 | 51.9 | 52.4 | 53.0 |
| Air transportation (common carrier)- | (105.1 104.3 104.7 104.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 742 | 745 | 746 | 748 | 746 | 752 | 759 | 750 | 747 | 731 | 742 | 738 | 734 | 717 | 690 |
| Telephone |  | 697.5 | 598 | 699.5 | 697.5 | 703.7 | 709.5 | 700.1 | 697.3 | 682.3 | 693.5 | 689.2 | 684.9 | 672.7 | 638.9 |
| Telegraph |  | 46.8 | 86.8 | 47. 7 | 47.7 | 47. 6 | 48.3 | 48. 9 | 48.9 | 48.1 | 47.9 | 48.3 | 48.6 | 48.6 | 50.1 |
| Other public utilities | 571 | 573 | 574 | 573 | 578 | 584 | 582 | 575 | 566 | 564 | 565 | 563 | 562 | 563 | 555 |
| Gas and electric utilit |  | 551.0 | - 551.9 | 550.8 | 555.1 | 560.9 | 558.8 | 552.2 | 544.3 | 542.1 | 543.0 | 541.4 | 5405 | 541.2 | 533.3 |
| Electric light and power utilities | ---------- | 246.9 | 247.2 | 247.2 | 249.5 | 251.6 | 250.8 | 248, 2 | 245.0 | 244.7 | 244.3 | 243.5 | 243.2 | 243.5 | 240.4 |
| Gas utilities. | ---.--- | 128.9 | 129.5 | 128. 7 | 129.6 | 131. 5 | 130.8 | 128. 9 | 126.3 | 124.8 | 126. 5 | 126. 1 | 125.6 | 126.4 | 123.8 |
| Electriclight and gas utilities combined.. |  | 175.2 | 175.2 | 174.9 | 176.0 | 177.8 | 177.2 | 175.1 | 173.0 | 172.6 | 172.2 | 171.8 | 171.7 | 171.3 | 169.1 |
| Local utilities, not elsewhere classified.- |  | 22.0 | 21.9 | 22.1 | 22.5 | 23.0 | 22.9 | 22.4 | 21.9 | 22.1 | 22.0 | 21.7 | 21.7 | 21.5 | 21.7 |
| Wholesale and reta | 10,423 11,324 |  |  |  | 10,464 |  | 10,355 | 10,415 | 10,348 | 10,314 | 10,284 | 10,214 | 10,283 | 10,251 | 10,013 |
| Wholesale trad | 2,755 | \| $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 11,324 \\ & 2,793\end{aligned}\right.$ | 2, 792 | 2,768 2, 736 |  | 2,733 | 2,736 | 2,729 | 2,712 | 2,713 | 2,730 |  | 2,747 | 2,721 | 2,655 |
| Retail trade. |  | $\begin{aligned} & 8,531 \\ & 1,994.5 \end{aligned}$ | 7, 974 7 | $\begin{aligned} & 7,843 \\ & 1,495.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7,728 \\ & 1,421.0 \end{aligned}$ | 7, 71.351 | 7,619 | 7,686 | 7, 636 | 7,601 | 7,554 | 7, 471 | 7, 536 | 7, 530 | 7,359 |
| General merchandise sto | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} 1,410.1 \\ 1.411 .5 \end{array}\right\|$ |  | 5 1,601. 0 |  |  |  | 1,350. 3 | 1, 402.3 | 1,406. 2 | 1,396.6 | 1, 396. 4 | 1.355. 0 | 1,406 5 | 1, 453. 2 | 1, 429.3 |
| Food and liquor stores |  | $\begin{array}{r\|r} 1,444.9 \\ 866.1 \\ 713.2 \\ 3,512.2 \end{array}$ | 1, 431.0 | 1, 421.7 | 1, 401.5 | 1,390.8 | $1,400.8$ | 1, 405.7 | 1,399. 3 | 1, 398.2 | 1, 389.2 | $1,380.8$ | 1,370 9 | 1,353.8 | 1,3076 |
| Automotive and accessories dealers | $\begin{array}{r} 1,411.5 \\ 863.5 \\ 579.0 \\ 3,403.3 \end{array}$ |  | 1 858.4 | 854.2 | 849.1 | 851.4 | 845.6 | 839.2 | 829.2 | 820.0 | 812.9 | 810.0 | 807.5 | 779.5 | 763.7 |
| Apparel and accessories stores |  |  | 619.9 | 608. 4 | 586.1 | 542.1 | 551.9 | 594.7 | 594.8 | 593.2 | 585.7 | 558.2 | 573.6 | 584.0 | 575.4 |
| Other retail trade |  |  | 3, 463.3 | 3, 463.2 | 3, 470.4 | 3, 459.9 | 3,470.3 | 3,444. 3 | 3, 406. 4 | 3, 392.7 | 3,369.9 | 3,366.7 | 3,377.6 | 3,359. 1 | 3, 282.4 |
| Finance, insurance, and real estate | 2,049 | $2,064$ | 2,056 | 2,055 | 2,054 | 2,076 | 2,075 | 2,046 | 2,025 | 2,014 | 1,993 | 1,977 | 1,969 | 1,957 | 1,861 |
| Banks and trust companies ${ }^{4}$ |  | 515.8 | 513.7 | 512.0 | 511.8 | 518.9 | 519.3 | 506.8 | 499.1 | 499.0 | 496.7 | 493.4 | 488.6 | 480.0 | 431.0 |
| Security dealers and exchange |  | 61.9 | -62.6 | 62.8 | 63.2 | 64.5 | 65.2 | 64.9 | 65.2 | 65. 0 | 64.9 | 64.7 | 64.1 | 64.5 | 637 |
| Insurance carriers and agents. |  | $\begin{gathered} 769.6 \end{gathered}$ | 663.9 | 761.5 | 755.8 | 760.6 | 757.5 | 744.6 | 737. 2 | 735.5 | 732.3 | 726.9 | 720.8 | 707. 2 | 671.4 |
| Other finance agencies and real estate. | $5,216$ |  | 716.0 | 718.9 | 723.3 | 731.5 | 732.9 | 729.5 | 723.1 | 714.4 | 699.1 | 692.2 | 695.1 | 704.8 | 694.7 |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{5}, 268 \\ & 435.9 \end{aligned}$ | 5,303 | 5,336 | 5,393 | 5,409 | 5,413 | 5,397 | 5,357 | 5,307 | 5, 225 | 5,194 | 5,192 | 5,280 | 5,207 |
|  |  |  | 440.1 | 451.0 | 485. 7 | 538.1 | 537.8 | 495.9 | 469.9 | 463.8 | 456.0 | 450.5 | 442.7 | 476.9 | 476.5 |
| Personal services: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Laundries |  | $\begin{array}{\|}  \\ 344.2 \\ 182.0 \\ 225.2 \end{array}$ | -345. 4 | 346.3 | 346.3 | 350.5 | 354.7 | 354.1 | 348.6 | 343. 5 | 340.4 | 340.0 | 3417 | 342. 7 | 342.7 |
| Cleaning and dyein |  |  | 184.6 | 184.5 | 180.2 | 176.1 | 180.4 | 186.8 | 184.2 | 180.7 | 175.0 | 171.9 | 1724 | 172. 7 | 166. 8 |
| Motion picture |  |  | 228.2 | 230.4 | 234.0 | 234.3 | 233.8 | 233.8 | 232.1 | 234.4 | 232.0 | 229.4 | 229.6 | 236. 2 | 244.4 |
| Governmen | $\begin{aligned} & 6,747 \\ & 2,174 \\ & 4,573 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7,018 \\ 2,489 \\ 4,529 \end{array}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \mathbf{6 , 7 4 0} \\ & 2,191 \\ & 4,549 \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{6 , 7 4 9} \\ & 2,195 \\ & 4,554 \end{aligned}$ | 6,663 | 6,449 | 6,478 | 6,638 | 6,669 | 6,653 | 6, 666 | 6,625 | 6,675 | 6,633 | 6.373 |
| Federal ${ }^{4}$ |  |  |  |  | 2, 220 | 2,248 | 2,271 | 2,285 | 2,282 | 2,304 | 2, 324 | 2, 343 | 2350 | 2, 403 | 2,261 |
| State and local |  |  |  |  | 4, 443 | 4,201 | 4,207 | 4,353 | 4,387 | 4,349 | 4,342 | 4. 282 | 4325 | 4,230 | 4, 112 |

1 The Bureau of Labor Statistics series of employment in nonagricultural establishments are based upon reports submitted by cooperating firms. These reports cover all full- and part-time employees in private nonagricultural establishments who worked during, or received pay for, any part of the pay period ending nearest the $15 t h$ or the month. Because of this, persons who worked in more than 1 establishment during the reporting period will be counted more than once. In Federal establishments the data generally refer to persons who worked on, or received pay for, the last day of the month; in State and local government, to persons who received pay for any part of the pay period ending on, or immediately prior to, the last day of the month. Proprietors, self-employed persons, unpaid family workers, and domestic servants are excluded. These employment series have been adjusted to first quarter 1951 benchmark levels indicated by data from government social insurance programs. Revised data in all except the first 4 columns will be identified by asterisks the first month they are published.

These data differ in several respects from the nonagricultural employment data shown in the Monthly Report on the Labor Force (table A-1, civilian labor force), which are obtained by household interviews. This MRLF series relates to the calendar week which contains the 8th day of the month. It includes all persons with a job whether at work or not, proprietors, selfemployed persons, unpaid family workers, and domestic servants.
${ }^{2}$ Durable goods include: ordnance and accessories; lumber and wood products (except furniture); furniture and fixtures; stone, clay, and glass
products; primary metal industries; fabricated metal products (except ordnance, machinery, and transportation equipment); machinery (except electrical); electrical machinery; transportation equipment; instruments and related products; and miscellaneous manufacturing industries
${ }^{2}$ Nondurable goods include: food and kindred products; tobacco manufactures; textile-mill products; apparel and other finished textile products; paper and allied products; printing, publishing, and allied industries; chemlcals and allied products; products of petroleum and coal; rubber products; and leather and leather products
${ }^{4}$ Beginning with January 1952, the data for Federal employment are not strictly comparable with those for prior years, primarily as a result of changes in definition. The following changes were made starting with that month: (1) data refer to the last day of the month rather than the first of the month; (2) employment of the Federal Reserve Banks and of the mixed-ownership banks of the Farm Credit Administration were transferred from the Federal total to the "Banks and Trust Companies" group of the "Finance, Insurance, and Real Estate" Division; (3) fourth-class postmasters, formerly excluded as nominal employees, are now included in the Federal total.
${ }^{5}$ State and local goverument data exclude, as nominal employees, paid volunteer firemen and elected officials of small local units.

See Note on p. 317.

Table A-3: Production workers in mining and manufacturing industries ${ }^{1}$
[In thousands]

| Industry group and industry | 1954 | 1953 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Annual average |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Jan. | Dec. | Nov. | Oct. | Sept. | Aug. | July | June | May | Apr. | Mar. | Feb. | Jan. | 1952 | 1951 |
| Mining: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metal |  | 85.6 | 85.7 | 85. 8 | 86.0 35 | 86.0 | 86.7 | 87.4 | 86.6 | 86.2 | 86.7 | 88.1 | 88.8 | 83.8 | 88. 4 |
| Iron.- |  | 34.4 24.2 | 34.5 24.1 | 34.8 <br> 23.8 <br> 1 | 35.3 23.7 | 35.5 23.6 | 35.5 23.7 | 35.4 23.8 | 34.9 23.4 | 34.0 23.5 | 33.5 23.6 | 33.5 23.5 | 34.1 23.4 | 29.1 | 33.8 22.4 |
| Copper |  | 24.2 12.2 | 124.1 | 23.8 12.7 | 23.7 12.8 | 23.6 13.2 | 23.7 13.5 | 23.8 14.4 | 23.4 14.8 | 23.5 15.3 | 23.6 | 16.6 | 23.4 17.0 | 22.3 18.1 | 22.4 17.8 |
| Anthracit |  | 44.8 | 45.1 | 45.0 | 46.5 | 46.5 | 45.4 | 50.3 | 51.6 | 47.8 | 53.5 | 55.6 | 56.4 | 59.5 | 65.0 |
| Bituminous-coa |  | 259.6 | 265.0 | 261.6 | 269.5 | 269.0 | 268.0 | 277.1 | 277.9 | 286.7 | 295.8 | 302.0 | 306.9 | 309.9 | 348.0 |
| Crude-petroleum and natural-gas production: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Petroleum and natural-gas production (except contract services) |  | 126.6 | 126.4 | 127.9 | 131.0 | 134.1 | 133.7 | 131.9 | 127.2 | 127.7 | 126.5 | 125.9 | 126.4 | 127.9 | 124.8 |
| Nonmetallic mining an |  | 87.7 | 89.4 | 90.5 | 91.9 | 92.0 | 91.2 | 90.8 | 89.0 | 88.2 | 85.0 | 83.8 | 83.6 | 88.6 | 89.2 |
| Manufacturing | 12,747 | 13,122 | 13, 322 | 13,627 | 13,832 | 13,851 | 13,666 | 13,787 | 13,699 | 13,758 | 13,831 | 13,733 | 13, 619 | 13,044 |  |
| Durable goods | 7,451 | 7,645 | 7,762 | 7,941 | 8, 016 | 8, 054 | 8, 056 | 8,190 | 8,179 | 8,215 | 8,211 | 8,115 | 8,020 | 7, 481 | 7,459 |
| Nondurable goo | 5,296 | 5,477 | 5, 560 | 5,686 | 5, 816 | 5, 797 | 5, 610 | 5,597 | 5, 520 | 5,543 | 5, 620 | 5, 618 | 5,599 | 5, 564 | 5, 676 |
| Ordnance and accessories. | 142.2 | 149.1 | 152.8 | 157.5 | 158.6 | 158.6 | 162.1 | 158.3 | 155.9 | 150.2 | 146.5 | 141.8 | 139.0 | 125.7 | 61.5 |
| Food and kindred products................ $1,001.0\|1,070.8\| 1,135.8\|1,201.91,296.6\| 1,264.1\|1,184.0\| 1,096.6\|1,050.6\| 1,026.5\|1,024.8\| 1,032.6 \mid 1,044.71,127.11,142.4$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 252.1 | 256.9 | 249.1 | 241.7 | 240.1 | 239.5 | 237.0 | 233.2 | 232.7 | 237. 7 | 241.1 | 248.8 | 245.6 | 242.9 |
| Dairy products |  | 77.8 | 79.2 | 82.1 | 87.6 | 92.5 | 94.2 | 93.5 | 87.1 | 83.1 | 79. 7 | 78.1 | 76.4 | 85.1 | 87.3 |
| Canning and prese |  | 139.5 | 172.0 | 232, 1 | 342.1 | 316.2 | 243.7 | 165.4 | 145.9 | 133.9 | 122.7 | 128.7 | 132.3 | 188.8 | 201.6 |
| Grain-mill produc |  | 89.1 | 89.7 | 92.7 | 93.7 | 93.3 | 93.4 | 93.9 | 89.3 | 87.7 | 89.3 | 90.6 | 92.3 | 94.0 | 91.6 |
| Bakery products |  | 175.9 | 180.4 | 183.0 | 182.5 | 182.3 | 183.9 | 184, 0 | 181.0 | 178.5 | 179.7 | 179.5 | 179.0 | 181.9 | 181.4 |
| Sugar |  | 39.6 | 46.6 | 44,1 | 27.5 | 24.8 | 24.7 | 23.2 | 22.2 | 22.3 | 22.7 | 23.1 | 24.9 | 28.0 | 29.3 |
| Confectionery and |  | 75.1 | 79.3 | 78.8 | 75.3 | 68.9 | 61.3 | 64.0 | 62.0 | 65.5 | 70.2 | 72.2 | 72.6 | 71.6 | 73.0 |
| Beverages |  | 125.5 | 131.8 | 135.1 | 140.2 | 143.0 | 139.2 | 131.8 | 131.7 | 127.2 | 125.4 | 122.0 | 123.5 | 132.2 | 133.8 |
| Miscellaneous food |  | 96.2 | 99.9 | 104.9 | 106.0 | 103.0 | 104.1 | 103.8 | 98.2 | 95.6 | 97.4 | 97.3 | 94.9 | 99.8 | 101.5 |
| Tobacco manufactur | 92.9 | 106.7 | 103.0 | 111.0 | 114.0 | 107.0 | 85.3 | 85.0 | 85.0 | 85.2 | 87.3 | 93.9 | 100.5 | 97.9 | 95.7 |
| Cigarettes |  | 29.2 | 28.9 | 28.6 | 28.7 | 28.5 | 27.7 | 28, 5 | 28.5 | 28.5 | 28.2 | 28.2 | 28.2 | 27.5 | 26.3 |
| Cigars |  | 40.0 | 40.9 | 40.4 | 39.6 | 39.0 | 38.1 | 39.3 | 39.2 | 39.1 | 39.8 | 39.6 | 39.7 | 39.6 | 38.7 |
| Tobacco and snuff |  | 7.6 | 7.7 | 7.6 | 7.5 | 7.4 | 7.2 | 7.6 | 7.6 | 7.6 | 7.7 | 7.7 | 7.7 | 7.9 | 8.1 |
| Tobacco stemming an |  | 29.9 | 25.5 | 34.4 | 38.2 | 32.1 | 12.3 | 9.6 | 9.7 | 10.0 | 11.6 | 18.4 | 24.9 | 22.9 | 22.6 |
| Textile-mill produc | 998.1 | 1,039.4 | 1,055.2 | 1,076.0 | 1,097.1 | 1,102.0 | 1,093.8 | 1,121.6 | 1,116.7 | 1,119.2 | 1, 134.3 | 1,134.0 | 1,131.7 | 1,105.8 | 1,175.8 |
| Scouring and combing |  | 5.3 | 5.1 | 5.8 | 6.4 | 6.5 | 6.6 | 6.4 | 6.2 | 6. 1 | 6.0 | 6.3 | 6. 4 | 5.9 | 6.3 |
| Yarn and thread mills. |  | 129.7 | 131.3 | 134.5 | 140.0 | 142.7 | 140.2 | 144.4 | 142.9 | 143.0 | 146.0 | 145. 7 | 146.5 | 143.6 | 154.2 |
| Broad-woven fabric mills |  | 459.4 | 467.0 | 475.2 | 484.0 | 486.0 | 490.2 | 497.1 | 494.4 | 493.8 | 498.8 | 501.5 | 502.3 | 498.7 | 545.8 |
| Narrow fabrics and sm |  | 29.7 | 30.2 | 30.8 | 31.0 | 30.8 | 30.5 | 31.1 | 31.0 | 30.2 | 31.4 | 31.4 | 31.1 | 29.5 | 31.2 |
| Knitting mills |  | 212.4 | 217.5 | 223.9 | 228.9 | 230.6 | 226.3 | 232.3 | 232.2 | 232.9 | 235.4 | 232.3 | 230.2 | 223.2 | 223.8 |
| Dyeing and finishing textiles. |  | 81.4 | 82.0 | 81.9 | 82.8 | 82.7 | 81.0 | 82.9 | 82.9 | 84.7 | 85.8 | 86.5 | 86.3 | 83.4 | 83.8 |
| Carpets, rugs, other floor coverings |  | 45. 4 | 45.1 | 45.7 | 46.6 | 45.3 | 43.9 | 47.9 | 47.7 | 49.7 | 50.1 | 50.0 | 49.4 | 46. 2 | 51.0 |
| Hats (except cloth and millinery) |  | 15.7 | 15.3 | 15.7 | 15.2 | 15.7 | 16.0 | 16.3 | 16.9 | 15.5 | 17.4 | 17.4 | 16.8 | 15.3 | 15.8 |
| Miscellaneous textile goods |  | 60.4 | 61.7 | 62.5 | 62.2 | 61.7 | 59.1 | 63.2 | 62.5 | 63.3 | 63.4 | 62.9 | 62.7 | 60.0 | 63.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1,051.2 | 1,078.8 | 125.4 | 127.9 | 129.1 | 128.8 | 1, 117.8 | 126.9 | 124.9 | 1,086.0 | 125.8 | 124.0 | 1,108.5 119.3 | 1,066.9 119 | 128.8 |
| Men's and boys' furnishings and work clothing |  | 273.5 | 282.1 | 287.7 | 289.5 | 291.0 | 276.8 | 287.6 | 288.2 | 289.4 | 288.6 | 284. | 278.8 | 265. |  |
|  |  | 339.1 | 318.0 | 316.8 | 315.6 | 334.7 | 314.0 | 308.8 | 297.9 | 317.8 | 355.5 | 280.3 | 351.1 | 331.2 | 326.4 |
| Women's, children's undergarmen |  | 94.1 | 97.4 | 98.6 | 96.3 | 95.5 | 94.1 | 96.3 | 99.0 | 101.2 | 101.5 | 100.2 | 98.2 | 95.0 | 91.1 |
| Millinery |  | 18.8 | 16.3 | 19.7 | 19.2 | 20.3 | 18.1 | 15.1 | 15.5 | 19.2 | 24.5 | 24.8 | 23.2 | 20.6 | 19.9 |
| Children's outerwear |  | 58.2 | 56.7 | 58.4 | 58.6 | 61.0 | 59.1 | 61.8 | 59.1 | 57.9 | 61.4 | 62.4 | 60.5 | 59.1 | 56.1 |
| Fur goods |  | 7.2 | 8.2 | 7.2 | 7.4 | 8. 2 | 9. 4 | 9.6 | 7.5 | 5.1 | 6.5 | 6.8 | 8.2 | 9.4 | 10.7 |
| Miscellaneous apparel and accessories.. |  | 55.4 | 57.0 | 58.7 | 58.9 | 58.6 | 55.8 | 57.3 | 57.3 | 58.0 | 58.0 | 57.3 | 55.3 | 57.8 | 61.0 |
| Other fabricated textile products. |  | 107.8 | 112.7 | 114.6 | 112.1 | 110.4 | 108.1 | 108.8 | 111.4 | 113.5 | 116.7 | 116.6 | 113.9 | 109.5 | 108.5 |
| Lumber and wood products (except furniture) $\qquad$ 722.3 <br> 717.8 730.9 712.5 700.5 <br> 688.0 <br> 676.9 <br> 676.4 <br> 713.3 <br> 766.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Logging camps and contractors..---.-- |  | 60.2 | 75.3 | 79.3 | 80.6 | 83.4 | 80.4 | 83.8 | 77.9 | 70.3 | 66.9 | 59.3 | 58.0 | 78.5 | 95.8 |
| Sawmills and planing mills .--.-...-. |  | 386.4 | 406.6 | 418.5 | 423.4 | 428.5 | 425.8 | 431.9 | 422.3 | 416.4 | 407. 5 | 404.1 | 405.5 | 423.8 | 444.4 |
| Millwork, plywood, and prefabricated structural wood products. |  | 95.2 | 98.7 | 101.0 | 101.0 | 101.3 | 101.8 | 104.4 | 102.4 | 104.0 | 102. 4 | 102.6 | 102.7 | 100.8 | 108.4 |
| Wooden containers .-.......-- |  | 53.0 | 53.4 | 54.4 | 54.8 | 55.7 | 56.8 | 57.4 | 57.1 | 56.7 | 56.8 | 56.6 | 56.6 | 56.4 | 61.1 |
| Miscellaneous wood products |  | 50.3 | 51.2 | 52.1 | 52.8 | 53 | 53.0 | 53.4 | 52.8 | 53.1 | 54.4 | 54.3 | 53.6 | 53.9 | 57.1 |
| Furniture and fixtures | 292.2 | 304.7 | 310.2 | 312.3 | 315.3 | 315.0 | 314.5 | 317.4 | 322.1 | 328.5 | 332.7 | 331.9 | 329.2 | 309.1 | 310.6 |
| Household furniture |  | 218.9 | 225.1 | 226.1 | 228.1 | 228.2 | 228.0 | 231.5 | 236.5 | 242.3 | 247.0 | 245.9 | 242.9 | 225.5 | 226.0 |
| Office, public-building, and professional furniture |  | 31.0 | 31.1 | 31.6 | 32.3 | 32.5 | 32.0 | 32.0 | 32.6 | 33.1 | 33.1 | 33.2 | 312.3 | 33. | 33.8 |
| Partitions, shelving, lockers, and fix- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 29.1 | 28.7 | 29.3 | 28.6 | 28.8 | 28.8 | 28.8 | 28.2 | 28.1 | 27.7 | 28.3 | 28.7 | 26.6 | 27.0 |
| Screens, blinds, and miscellaneous furniture and fixtures. |  | 25.7 | 25.3 | 25.3 | 26.3 | 25.5 | 25.7 | 25.4 | 24.8 | 25.0 | 24.9 | 24.5 | 24.3 | 23.9 | 23.8 |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table A-3: Production workers in mining and manufacturing industries ${ }^{1}$-Continued
[In thousands]


TAble A-3: Production workers in mining and manufacturing industries ${ }^{1}$-Continued
[In thousands]

${ }^{1}$ See footnote 1 , table A-2. Production and related workers include working foremen and all nonsupervisory workers (including leadmen and trainees) engaged in fabricating, processing, assembling, inspection, receiving, storage, handling, packing, warehousing, shipping, maintenance, janitorial, watchman services, products development, auxiliary production for plant's
own use (e. g., powerplant), and record-keeping and other services closely assoclated with the above production operations.

- See footnote 2, table A-2.
${ }^{-}$See footnote 3, table A-2.
See Note on p. 317.

TABLE A-4: Indexes of production-worker employment and weekly payrolls in manufacturing industries ${ }^{1}$

| $[1947-49=100]$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Period | Employment | Weekly payroll | Period | Employment | Weekly payroll | Period | Employment | Weekly payroll |
| 1939: A verage. | 66.2 | 29.9 | 1949: Average. | 93.8 | 97.2 | 1953: June. | 111.5 | 150.8 |
| 1940: Average. | 71.2 | 34.0 | 1950: A verage. | 99.6 | 111.7 | July | 110.5 | 148.9 |
| 1941: A verage. | 87.9 | 49.3 | 1951: A verage. | 106.2 | 129.6 | August | 112.0 | 151.6 |
| 1942: A verage. | 103.9 | 72.2 | 1952: A verage. | 105. 5 | 135.3 | September | 111.8 | 150.9 |
| 1943: Average. | 121. 4 | 99.0 |  |  |  | October-. | 110.2 | 149.3 |
| 1944: A verage | 118.1 | 102. 8 | 1953: January | 110.1 | 1484 | November | 107.7 | 145.7 |
| 1945: A verage. | 104.0 | 87.8 | February | 111.0 | 149.3 | December------------------------- | 106.1 | 144.2 |
| 1946: Average | 97.9 | 81.2 | March.. | 111.8 | 151.9 | December | 106.1 | 144.2 |
| 1947: A varage | 103.4 | 97.7 | April | 111.2 | 150.0 | 1954: January | 103.1 |  |
| 1948: Average. | 102.8 | 105.1 | May. | 110.8 | 149.9 |  |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ See footnote 1, tables A-2 and A-3.
See Note on p. 317.
Table A-5: Federal civilian employment by branch and agency group
[In thousands]

| Year and month | All branches | Executive ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  | Legislative | Judicial |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Department of Defense | Post Office Department* | Other agencies |  |  |
|  | Continental United States ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1952: A verage | 2, 403 | 2,376.7 | 1,199.2 | 521.7 | 655.8 | 22.6 | 3.8 |
| 1952: December | 2, 765 | 2,738.6 | 1,206.0 | 897.5 | 635.1 | 22.6 | 3.9 |
| 1953: January | 2,350 | 2,323. 6 | 1,204.8 | 486.0 | 632.8 | 22.4 |  |
| February | 2. 343 | 2, 316. 4 | 1,197.7 | 486.0 | 632.7 | 22.5 | 3.8 3.8 |
| March. | 2, 324 | 2, 297. 3 | 1,181.0 | 486.0 | 630.3 | 22.5 | 3.8 |
| April. | 2, 304 | 2, 278.0 | 1,160.6 | 486. 0 | 631.4 | 22.5 | 3. 9 |
| May | 2, 282 | 2,2561 2 | 1,140.4 | 488. 0 | 629.7 | 22.3 | 3.9 |
| July .... | 2, 271 | 2,244 5 | 1,128.2 | 488.0 48.2 | 634.7 | 22.3 | 39 39 |
| August | 2,248 | 2,221. 6 | 1,113.0 | 484.6 | 624.0 | 22.2 | 3.9 3.9 |
| September | 2, 220 | 2, 194.6 | 1,094. 4 | 487.0 | 613.2 | 21.9 | 3.9 3.8 |
| October -- | 2,195 | 2,169.0 | 1,076. 5 | 487.5 | 605.0 | 21.8 | 3.8 3.9 |
| November | 2,191 | 2, 165. 7 | 1,069.0 | 493.9 | 602.8 | 21.7 | 3.9 3.9 |
|  | 2,489 | 2,463 2 | 1,063 5 | 801.4 | 598.3 | 21.7 | 3.9 |
|  | Washington, D. C. ${ }^{\text {8 }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1952: A verage . | 257.4 | 235.9 | 92.8 | 8.7 | 134.4 | 20.8 | 0.7 |
| 1952: December. | 259.9 | 238.5 | 93.1 | 14.7 | 130.7 | 20.7 | . 7 |
| 1953: January. | 252.6 | 231.4 | 93.5 | 8.1 | 129.8 | 20.5 | 7 |
| February | 251.6 | 230.3 | 93.4 | 8.1 | 128.8 | 20.6 | . 7 |
| March. | 249.4 | 228.0 | 92.8 | 8.1 | 127.1 | 20.7 | . 7 |
| April. | 2459 242.7 | 2246 2216 | 91.6 90.2 | 8.1 | 124.9 123.3 | 20.6 | . 7 |
| Jnne | 242.7 242 | 2216 2211 | 90.2 90.1 | 8.1 8.1 | 123.3 | 20.4 20 | 7 |
| July. | 238.3 | 217.3 | 89.6 | 8.0 | 119.7 | 20.3 | . 7 |
| August. | 235.2 | 214.2 | 88.9 | 7.9 | 117.4 | 20.3 | .7 |
| September | 232. 7 | 211. 9 | 89.6 | 7.8 | 114.5 | 20.1 | . 7 |
| Novernber- | 229.9 229.0 | 209.2 208.3 | 88.9 8.6 | 7.9 7.8 | 112.4 111.9 11.9 | 20.0 19.9 | . 8 |
| December. | 234.4 | 2137 | 882 | 139 | 111.6 | 19.9 | . 8 |

[^38]*Post Office Department employment was not available beginning with February 1953; and the January figure was used through June. Beginning with July 1953, actual data are reported.
See Note on p. 317.

Table A-6: Employees in nonagricultural establishments for selected States ${ }^{1}$
[In thousands]

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow{2}{*}{State} \& \multicolumn{12}{|c|}{1953} \& 1952 \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Annual average} <br>
\hline \& Dec. \& Nov. \& Oct. \& Sept. \& Aug. \& July \& June \& May \& Apr. \& Mar. \& Feb. \& Jan. \& Dec. \& 1952 \& 1951 <br>
\hline Alabama ${ }^{2}$ \& 682.4 \& 681.1 \& 684.4 \& 683.1 \& 675.1 \& 670.3 \& 677.6 \& 673.3 \& 675.2 \& 674.6 \& 672.8 \& 671.7 \& 696.9 \& 668.6 \& 650.3 <br>
\hline Arizona \& 205.9 \& 202.9 \& 201.1 \& 198.4 \& 195.9 \& 197.4 \& 199.2 \& 200.7 \& 203.1 \& 205.0 \& 203.8 \& 203. 5 \& 207.7 \& 192.8 \& 177. 5 <br>
\hline Arkansas \& 322.1 \& 316.1 \& 317.5 \& 319.1 \& 312.2 \& 313.6 \& 317.9 \& 314.8 \& 317.6 \& 316. 1 \& 314.4 \& 313.9 \& 329.2 \& 319.7 \& 315.7 <br>
\hline California \& 3, 952.8 \& 3, 913.4 \& 3,980.4 \& 4,000. 1 \& 3, 974.6 \& 3, 905. 1 \& 3,891. 8 \& 3,875.9 \& 3, 847.7 \& 3, 823.2 \& 3, 798.4 \& 3,781. 7 \& 3, 929.6 \& 3,739.2 \& 3,518.3 <br>
\hline Colorado \& 411.1 \& 410.6 \& 416.1 \& 418.1 \& 418.1 \& 416.7 \& 416.7 \& 410.0 \& 406.5 \& 405.7 \& 402.6 \& 406.4 \& 420.8 \& 407.8 \& 389.3 <br>
\hline Connecticut ${ }^{2}$ \& 894.5 \& 879.0 \& 878.6 \& 881.6 \& 881.1 \& 878.9 \& 884.1 \& 874.0 \& 871.3 \& 866.8 \& 862.0 \& 859.7 \& 885.3 \& 847.6 \& $$
828.7
$$ <br>
\hline District of Colu \& 503.2 \& 494.4 \& 496.4 \& 499.1 \& 500.2 \& 505. 6 \& 512.6 \& 511.7
819.3 \& 514.5 \& 516.4
862.6 \& 517.9
870.6 \& 520.8 \& 538.6
862.9 \& 528.3 \& $$
525.1
$$ <br>
\hline Florida \& 893.4 \& 855.6 \& 828.2 \& 810.3 \& 797.1 \& 792.8 \& 805.7 \& 819.3
904.3 \& 846.2
898.0 \& 862.6
896.6 \& 870.6
892.5 \& 868.2
890.7 \& 862.9
917.5 \& 796.1
881.4 \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& 747.9 \\
& 849.7
\end{aligned}
$$ <br>
\hline Georgia \& 917.4 \& 910.6 \& 913.9 \& 917.0 \& 917.1 \& 908.8 \& 909.1
137.3 \& 904.3 \& 898.0
131.3 \& 896.6
128.2 \& 892.5
126.6 \& 890.7
127.5 \& 917.5
138.8 \& 881.4
137.0 \& 849.7 <br>
\hline Idaho \& 134.4 \& 137.6 \& 140.9 \& 143.7 \& 140.3 \& 139.9 \& 137.3 \& 133.9 \& 131.3 \& 128.2 \& 126.6 \& 127.5 \& 138.8 \& 137.0 \& 137.7 <br>
\hline Illinoi \& 3, 406. 4 \& 3,396. 1 \& 3,422.8 \& 3, 419.0 \& 3, 405. 4 \& 3, 386. 7 \& 3, 413.1 \& 3,397. 4 \& 3,390. 5 \& 3, 373. 3 \& 3, 359.9 \& 3, 358.2 \& 3,455.6 \& 3,312.2 \& 3,264.8 <br>
\hline Indiana \& 1,380. 1 \& 1,382. 5 \& 1,395.9 \& 1, 417. 1 \& 1, 401. 1 \& 1, 403.8 \& 1, 406. 1 \& 1,402. 7 \& 1, 406. 6 \& 1,402.3 \& 1,389.9 \& 1,376.8 \& 1,409.0 \& 1,345.5 \& 1,351.2 <br>
\hline Iowa ${ }^{2}$ \& 1, 640.4 \& 1, 640.2 \& 1, 647.2 \& 1, 647.8 \& 1, 645.4 \& 640.1 \& 639.4 \& 1633.7 \& 631.7 \& 625.1 \& 620.9
539.8 \& 620.6 \& 645.0 \& 627.4 \& 625.6 <br>
\hline Kansa \& 545.8 \& 543.4 \& 547.4 \& 549.6
695.7 \& 551.0 \& 551.8 \& 553. 3 \& 551.7
676.4 \& 549.4
676.9 \& 543.2
673.6 \& 539.8
670.3 \& 539.9
672.2 \& 556.4
697.1 \& 540.1
673.1 \& 511. 654 <br>
\hline Louisian \& 706.0 \& 704.9 \& 702.2 \& 695.7 \& 688.5 \& 681.6 \& 681.1 \& 676.4 \& 676.9 \& 673.6 \& 670.3 \& 672.2 \& 697.1 \& 673.1 \& 654.5 <br>
\hline Maine \& 274.7 \& 274.4 \& 280.8 \& 286.1 \& 286.4 \& 286.5 \& 285.9 \& 273.6 \& 264.7 \& 267.5 \& 271.2 \& 273.4 \& 284.0 \& 278.4 \& 272.3 <br>
\hline Maryland \& 782.2 \& 777.4 \& 783.7 \& 786.5 \& 786.1 \& 780.4 \& 780.2 \& 768.8 \& 764.5 \& 759.2 \& 752.6 \& 750.8 \& 774.0 \& 756.3 \& 741.4 <br>
\hline Massachuse \& 1,801. 1 \& 1,786.0 \& 1,798.0 \& 1,801. 3 \& 1,800.7 \& 1,795. 3 \& 1,812. 3 \& 1,801.7 \& 1,793.5 \& 1,780.4 \& 1,774.0 \& 1,775. 1 \& 1,833.0 \& 1,783.4 \& 1,793.2 <br>
\hline Minnesota. \& 1,868. 3 \& 1, 859.3 \& 1,865.2 \& 1,865.3 \& 1,864.7 \& 1, 855.8 \& 1,845.5 \& 1,836.7 \& 1, 827.0 \& 1, 825.5 \& 1,827.2 \& 1,834.7 \& 1,862.1 \& 828.8 \& 826.3 <br>
\hline Missouri ${ }^{2}$ \& 1,299. 7 \& 1,282. 7 \& 1,300.5 \& 1,296.9 \& 1,288.9 \& 1,277.1 \& 1,285. 4 \& 1,288.5 \& 1,284.5 \& 1,275. 7 \& 1,266.6 \& 1,264.9 \& 1,314.9 \& 1,269.4 \& 1,235.0 <br>
\hline Montan \& 158.9 \& 160.1 \& 162.9 \& 164. 4 \& 162.9 \& 161.6 \& 160.1 \& 155.8 \& 151.8 \& 149. 2 \& 148.1 \& 150.0 \& 157.1 \& 154.1 \& 149.0 <br>
\hline Nebraska \& 357.2 \& 354.5 \& 357.0 \& 355.1 \& 353.0 \& 353.4 \& 353. 9 \& 347.6 \& 343.4 \& 338.2 \& 335.4 \& 337.7 \& 351.0 \& 343.0 \& 331.0 <br>
\hline Nevada.- \& 72.7 \& 73.2 \& 73.6 \& 74.7 \& 76.5 \& 75.6 \& 73. 7 \& 71.5 \& 69.6 \& 67.6
771.1 \& 66.2 \& 66. 1 \& 68.5
175.6 \& 65.7
173.4 \& 58.2 <br>
\hline New Hampsh \& 173.1 \& 171.7 \& 175.7 \& 177.7
1,835 \& 181.4 \& 179.7 \& 178.4
$1,828.9$ \& 174.4 \& 172.1 \& + 171.1 \& 171.9
1.793 .4 \& 172.3
1.800 .5 \& 175.6
1.849 .9 \& 1, 173.4 \& 172.4
$1,755.2$ <br>
\hline New Jersey \& 1,817.4 \& $1,810.3$ \& 1,826.9 \& 1,835.9 \& 1,832.6 \& 1,827.0 \& 1,828.9 \& 1,811.1 \& 1,786.1 \& 1,802.4 \& 1,793.4 \& 1,800.5 \& 1,849.9 \& 1,789.9 \& 1,755.2 <br>
\hline New Mexic \& 177. 6 \& 175.9 \& 176.9 \& 176.9 \& 176.5 \& 177.4 \& 5178.0 \& 176.9 \& 5 176.4 \& 174.2
5.916 .3 \& 5, 173.5 \& -173.6 \& 178.1 \& 5 170.2 \& $$
159.9
$$ <br>
\hline New York. \& 6,092.0 \& 6,026.7 \& 6,044.0 \& 5,993.7 \& 5,966.3 \& 5, 946.2 \& 5,964. 2 \& 5,919.0 \& 5, 907.9 \& 5, 916.3 \& 5, 874.7 \& 5,871.6 \& 6, 099.6 \& 5,864.5 \& 5,795. 1 <br>
\hline North Carolina \& 1, 022.1 \& 1,011.0 \& 1, 014.4 \& 1,013. 6 \& 1,003.0 \& 990.2 \& 993. 7 \& 991.6 \& 991.1 \& 996.8 \& 994. 9 \& 999.6
106.3 \& 1, 035.8 \& 990.8
3111.1 \& 970.8 <br>
\hline North Dakota ${ }^{2}$ \& 113.6
$3,065.5$ \& 113.8
$3,043.0$ \& 115.4
$3,077.4$ \& 114.2
$3,091.5$ \& 113.5
$3,070.5$ \& 113.6
$3,073.8$ \& 113.8
$3,070.3$ \& 111.8
$3,051.7$ \& 109.1
$3,043.0$ \& 105.2
$3,039.7$ \& 104.8
$3,012.6$ \& 106.3
$3,004.4$ \& 112.5
$3,094.5$ \& 3

$2,959.4$ \& 111.5
$2,909.6$ <br>
\hline Ohio ${ }^{2}$ \& 3, 065.5 \& 3, 043.0 \& 3, 077.4 \& 3,091.5 \& $3,070.5$ \& 3,073.8 \& 3, 070.3 \& 3,051. 7 \& 3, 043.0 \& 3,039.7 \& 3,012.6 \& 3,004. 4 \& 3,094. 5 \& 2,959.4 \& 2,909.6 <br>
\hline Oklahom \& 546.7 \& 540.5 \& 541.8 \& 539.9 \& 535.5 \& 537.0 \& 541.5 \& 536. 8 \& 535.3 \& 533.2 \& 530.5 \& 532.8 \& 549. 7 \& 527.1 \& 501.8 <br>
\hline Oregon \& 458.8 \& 463.6 \& 480.7 \& 495. 3 \& 488.1 \& 488.7 \& 477.9 \& 468.9 \& 460.8 \& 449.1 \& 440.7 \& 441.2 \& 465.9 \& 465.2 \& 459.2 <br>
\hline Pennsylvania \& 3, 738.0 \& 3,722.5 \& 3,748.6 \& 3,753. 1 \& 3, 740.1 \& 3, 736.5 \& 3, 746. 8 \& 3, 728.2 \& 3,712.8 \& 3, 713. 7 \& 3,693.9 \& 3, 699. 1 \& 3,819.2 \& 3, 666.6 \& 3,716.4 <br>
\hline Rhode Island \& 299.6 \& 300.7 \& 303.6 \& 305.6 \& 304.1 \& 303.9 \& 307.2 \& 305.5 \& 306.4 \& 308.0 \& 304.7 \& 305.0 \& 315.3 \& 304.7 \& 307.7 <br>
\hline South Carolina ${ }^{2}$ \& 539.8 \& 536.5 \& 539.9 \& 540.3 \& 541.5 \& 536.8 \& 541.1 \& 539.3 \& 538.7 \& 539.5 \& 539.2 \& 541.8 \& 556.7 \& 534.4 \& 494.8 <br>
\hline South Dako \& 119.2 \& 119.5 \& 120.6 \& 120.4 \& 118.6 \& 119.4 \& 120.9 \& 119.8 \& 118.7 \& 114.9 \& 113.8 \& 114.7 \& 122.1 \& ${ }^{3} 119.0$ \& 122.3 <br>
\hline Tennessee \& 842.1 \& 831.6 \& 836.1 \& 835.7 \& 831.5 \& 829.9 \& 830.9 \& 825.0 \& 822.2 \& 818.3 \& 813.8 \& 816.7 \& 842.4 \& 806.7 \& 786.0 <br>
\hline Texas ${ }^{2}$ \& 2,277. 5 \& 2,251. 8 \& 2,247. 7 \& 2,248. 1 \& 2,240. 2 \& 2,246.8 \& 2, 259.7 \& 2, 234.6 \& 2,237.2 \& 2,224. 3 \& 2,214. 8 \& 2, 221. 4 \& 2, 284.4 \& 2,201.6 \& 2,101. 0 <br>
\hline Utah \& 216.1 \& 216.7 \& 220.5 \& 226.1 \& 220.0 \& 220.4 \& 215.2 \& 215.9 \& 213.7 \& 211.2 \& 209.5 \& 210.7 \& 221.1 \& 213.3 \& 206.5 <br>
\hline Vermont \& 103.9 \& 103.5 \& 105. 3 \& 105.8 \& 105. 7 \& 104.3 \& 104.2 \& 103.4 \& 102.0 \& 100.7 \& 100.1 \& 99.8 \& 102.4 \& 99.5 \& 99.4 <br>
\hline Virginia ${ }^{2}$ \& 904.0 \& 895.7 \& 902.7 \& 902.0 \& 894.1 \& 894.4 \& 897.5 \& 890.6 \& 891.5 \& 892.3 \& 886.9 \& 889.0 \& 924.6 \& 891.3 \& 860.0 <br>
\hline Washington ${ }^{2}$ \& 740.5 \& 742.5 \& 758.6 \& 766.0 \& 754.3 \& 758.1 \& 751.1 \& 734.7 \& 721.3 \& 721.1 \& 708.2 \& 704.4 \& 741. 7 \& 733.0 \& 722.2 <br>
\hline West Virginia ${ }^{2}$ \& 505.1 \& 500.6 \& 502.2 \& 503.7 \& 500.9 \& 496.8 \& 504.5 \& 502.4 \& 501.4 \& 503.1 \& 500.9 \& -507.2 \& - 528.3 \& 520.5 \& 531.1
1.071 .9 <br>

\hline W isconsin \& 1, 079.8 \& 1,080. 4 \& 1,094. 5 \& 1,105.7 \& 1,102.6 \& 1,102. 1 \& 1,095.2 \& 1,095.0 \& $$
1,090.5
$$ \& \[

1,084.4

\] \& 1,079.0 \& 1,075.1 \& 1, 109.4 \& \[

1,076.5

\] \& \[

1,071.9
\] <br>

\hline W yoming. \& 83.8 \& 84.3 \& 86.2 \& 87.0 \& 89.2 \& 88.5 \& 86.7 \& 83.9 \& 82.3 \& 80.8 \& 79.6 \& 80.8 \& 85.9 \& 85.7 \& 82.7 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

[^39]TABLE A-7: Employees in manufacturing industries, by State ${ }^{1}$
[in thousands]

| State | 1953 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1952 | Annual average |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dec. | Nov. | Oct. | Sept. | Aug. | July | June | May | Apr. | Mar. | Feb. | Jan. | Dec. | 1952 | 1951 |
| Alabama 2 | 231.1 | 233.6 | 236.9 | 237.5 | 234.0 | 230.5 | 233.8 | 232.6 | 235.5 | 235.4 | 235.8 | 233.7 | 236.2 | 226. 4 | 225. 3 |
| Arizona | 25.9 | 26.6 | 26.6 | 26.9 | 27.4 | 28.1 | 28.5 | 28.8 | 29.3 | 29.5 | 29.3 | 29.2 | 29.8 | 27.7 | 22.7 |
| Arkansas ${ }^{2}$ | 82.6 | 83.0 | 83.1 | 84.0 | 81.7 | 82.7 | 82. 6 | 82.5 | 83.6 | 83.1 | 82.0 | 81.5 | 83.4 | 82.2 | 82.5 |
| California | 1,032. 1 | 1,050.0 | 1,105. 5 | 1,125.8 | 1, 128.5 | 1,084.1 | 1,057. 8 | 1, 054.9 | 1,051.0 | 1,032.9 | 1,023.7 | 1,018.4 | 1, 029.0 | 993.6 | 892.5 |
| Colorado ${ }^{2}$ | 68.0 | 70.5 | 72.8 | 71.3 | 1, 69.7 | 1, 68.6 | 1, 68.1 | 1, 66.4 | 66.2 | 1, 66.4 | 1, 66.0 | 1, 66.5 | 70.3 | 67.2 | 65.4 |
| Connecticut 2 | 451.8 | 452.8 | 451.9 | 454.1 | 454.4 | 451.2 | 460.7 | 459.0 | 460.4 | 460.6 | 458.1 | 454.4 | 452.0 | 433.0 | 423.3 |
| Delaware | 58.5 | 59.1 | 61.2 | 65.8 | 67.6 | 63.1 | 62.4 | 62.5 | 61.9 | 61.5 | 60.9 | 60.5 | 60.4 | 59.2 | 56.0 |
| District of Colu | 17.5 | 17.4 | 17.4 | 17.6 | 17.4 | 17.2 | 17.2 | 17.1 | 17.1 | 17.3 | 17.1 | 17.2 | 17.6 | 17.3 | 17.1 |
| Florida | 126.3 | 124.7 | 117.0 | 114.8 | 114.7 | 114.2 | 117.4 | 120.0 | 123. 2 | 126.6 | 128.7 | 127.5 | 124.2 | 115.0 | 108.7 |
| Georgia ${ }^{2}$ | 311.6 | 315.0 | 316.4 | 319.0 | 321.2 | 317.0 | 315.7 | 315.8 | 315.3 | 316.7 | 314.7 | 312.8 | 314.2 | 308.2 | 304.4 |
| Idaho | 20.4 | 23.9 | 25.9 | 27.4 | 26.4 | 26.6 | 24.7 | 22.8 | 21.5 | 19.7 | 18.9 | 19.1 | 22.4 | 23.3 | 24.0 |
| Illinois | 1, 264.0 | 1,296.1 | 1,315. 2 | 1,331.4 | 1,333.3 | 1,314.1 | 1,338. 2 | 1, 332.4 | 1,336.9 | 1,342. 0 | 1,334. 5 | 1,322.9 | 1, 322.4 | 1, 256.5 | 1,246. 7 |
| Indiana | 620.8 | 1, 633.9 | 1, 642.6 | 1, 674.7 | 1, 664.6 | 1,365.4 | 1,3381.1 | 1, 665.2 | 1,336.0 | 1, 675.4 | 1,334.2 | 1, 653.9 | 1, 653.8 | 1, 609.7 | 615.8 |
| Iowa ${ }^{2}$ | 165.1 | 167.9 | 169.7 | 169.4 | 173.2 | 171.5 | 172.9 | 172.7 | 175.2 | 176.5 | 176.8 | 174.3 | 177.3 | 171.0 | 168.4 |
| Kansas | 131.5 | 132.4 | 133.1 | 134.5 | 139.2 | 140.9 | 142.0 | 141.5 | 142.0 | 142.6 | 142.4 | 141.7 | 142.7 | 135.7 | 116.9 |
| Kentucky |  |  |  |  | 154.5 | 155.1 | 156.3 | 155.5 | 156.9 | 157.4 | 161.0 | 163.4 | 163.0 | 148.3 | 151.6 |
| Louisiana | 162.4 | 168.2 | 167.1 | 162.4 | 162.6 | 160.0 | 157.8 | 156.1 | 154.6 | 152.8 | 152.0 | 150.4 | 157.4 | 150.3 | 146.5 |
| Maine | 107.4 | 110.9 | 116.2 | 120.2 | 120.3 | 120.1 | 120.9 | 111.9 | 107.4 | 112.5 | 117.1 | 117.6 | 118.6 | 116.4 | 115.6 |
| Maryland | 261.6 | 265.8 | 273.7 | 282.4 | 284.0 | 277.8 | 275.9 | 270.1 | 269.4 | 267.2 | 264.3 | 265.2 | 264.7 | 259.2 | 254.4 |
| Massachuset | 700.9 | 712.0 | 722.0 | 722.9 | 727.6 | 720.2 | 733.5 | 730.6 | 734.9 | 741.8 | 738.8 | 733.6 | 736.6 | 717.7 | 740.5 |
| Michigan ${ }^{2}$ | 1,175. 3 | 1,157.3 | 1,171.3 | 1,182. 5 | 1, 209.9 | 1, 237.2 | 1,259. 6 | 1,260. 0 | 1,262. 4 | 1,258. 5 | 1,237.1 | 1,220.5 | 1,207.8 | 1,097. 2 | 1,112.7 |
| Minnesota | 216.2 | 1, 219.2 | 221.9 | 1, 227.9 | 232.2 | 1,228.0 | 1,218.3 | 216.1 | 1, 216.2 | 216.5 | 215.0 | 213.3 | 217.0 | 211.5 | 206.6 |
| Mississippi | 94.9 | 96.2 | 97.8 | 97.9 | 97.9 | 98.8 | 98.2 | 96.8 | 99.4 | 99.1 | 97.9 | 97.9 | 98.6 | 95.3 | 94.3 |
| Missouri | 403.9 | 403.3 | 413.3 | 419.1 | 422.7 | 414.1 | 420.7 | 417.7 | 418.1 | 417.4 | 413.0 | 407.7 | 406.3 | 389.8 | 372.9 |
| Montan | 18.3 | 19.6 | 20.4 | 20.2 | 19.9 | 19.9 | 19.2 | 18.5 | 17.1 | 16.7 | 16.6 | 17.3 | 18.9 | 18.4 | 18.1 |
| Nebraska | 61.2 | 62.2 | 62.4 | 61.5 | 61.9 | 62.9 | 62.1 | 60.4 | 60.0 | 60.1 | 59.8 | 61.0 | 60.6 | 59.9 | 54.9 |
| Nevada | 4.4 | 4. 6 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.4 | 4. 4 | 4.3 | 4.2 | 4.2 | 4.1 | 4.2 | 4.1 | 4.3 | 4.2 | 3.6 |
| New Hamp | 80.3 | 80.0 | 80.7 | 82.2 | 82.9 | 81.7 | 82.4 | 81.7 | 82.2 | 83.3 | 84.5 | 84.2 | 83.2 | 81.2 | 82.2 |
| New Jersey | 807.9 | 817.1 | 829.7 | 842.0 | 842.3 | 832.5 | 842.9 | 836.0 | 836.7 | 851.1 | 847.8 | 845.0 | 849.2 | 824.4 | 810.5 |
| New Mexico | 15.6 | 15.9 | 16. 2 | 16.1 | 16.6 | 16. 7 | 16.5 | 16.5 | 16.4 | 16.1 | 16.1 | 16.0 | 16.1 | 15.6 | 14.2 |
| New York | 1,973.8 | 1, 996.8 | 2, 025.4 | 2, 009.3 | 2, 013.2 | 1,969.0 | 1,982. 6 | 1,964.2 | 1, 987.2 | 2, 030.6 | 2, 014.9 | 1, 986.9 | 2, 010.4 | 1, 942.0 | 1,918.2 |
| North Carolin | 437.5 | 1, 439.7 | 444. 2 | 2, 449.5 | 2, 445.9 | 1, 433.4 | 1, 431.8 | 1, 432.2 | 1, 433.8 | 2, 438.9 | 2, 439.6 | 1, 441.2 | 247.6 | 1, 432.4 | 432.9 |
| North Dakota | 6.4 | 6. 6 | 6.5 | 6. 4 | 6. 5 | 6.5 | 6.4 | 6.2 | 6. 2 | 6.1 | 6. 0 | 6.2 | 6.6 | 6.4 | 6.1 |
| Ohio ${ }^{2}$... | 1,370.4 | 1,376. 3 | 1, 412.7 | 1, 438.9 | 1, 433.0 | 1,430.8 | 1,435.3 | 1,430.4 | 1,435.0 | 1, 444.5 | 1,432.0 | 1, 417.3 | 1, 407.8 | 1,335.2 | 1,315.0 |
| Oklahoma ${ }^{2}$ | 85.3 | 1, 85.5 | 1, 86.6 | 1, 86.5 | 1, 86.6 | 1, 85.4 | 1,84.8 | 1, 84.6 | 1, 84.4 | 1, 83.6 | 1, 82.3 | 1, 82.0 | 1, 83.3 | 80.2 | 73.2 |
| Oregon | 131.0 | 139.8 | 149.1 | 157.9 | 155. 2 | 157.2 | 149.7 | 145.0 | 141.0 | 135.0 | 130.3 | 129.2 | 135.6 | 145.5 | 147.7 |
| Pennsylvania | 1,460.7 | 1,481.4 | 1,505.8 | 1, 519.6 | 1, 525.0 | 1,521.1 | 1,529.8 | 1,525.6 | 1,529.5 | 1,534.7 | 1,527.0 | 1,518.8 | 1, 519.5 | 1, 444.5 | 1,494. 1 |
| Rhode Island | 137.0 | 139.5 | 143.4 | 145.8 | 146.4 | 145.8 | 147.5 | 146.8 | 147.3 | 149.4 | 149.2 | 148.4 | 150.2 | 144.4 | 149.8 |
| South Carolina | 221.7 | 223.4 | 225.2 | 227.2 | 228.5 | 225.7 | 226.8 | 225.1 | 226.9 | 227.0 | 226.2 | 225.8 | 227.3 | 220.1 | 218.4 |
| South Dakota | 11.7 | 12.3 | 12.2 | 12.1 | 12.2 | 12.2 | 12.2 | 11.7 | 11.6 | 11.5 | 11.6 | 11.8 | 12.4 | 12.0 | 11.6 |
| Tennesse | 281.2 | 284.2 | 289.0 | 293.4 | 295.5 | 294.0 | 292.8 | 293.1 | 289.8 | 288.4 | 286.4 | 285.9 | 287.6 | 274.3 | 264.6 |
| Texas ${ }^{2}$ | 428.9 | 434.5 | 434.0 | 439.8 | 443.1 | 444.2 | 444.1 | 439.2 | 438.8 | 436.4 | 435. 5 | 434.6 | 435.6 | 424.3 | 401.4 |
| Utah | 31.6 | 33.4 | 35.2 | 38.0 | 33.4 | 34.5 | 31.9 | 31.2 | 30.6 | 29.9 | 29.6 | 29.7 | 31.2 | 30.8 | 31.3 |
| Vermont | 39.3 | 40.1 | 41.2 | 41.4 | 41.3 | 39.9 | 40.5 | 40.8 | 40.7 | 40.5 456.8 | 40.3 | 39.6 | 39.3 | 38.3 | 38. 7 |
| Virginia ${ }^{2}$ | 251.7 | 252.4 | 258.5 | 260.7 | 257.5 | 255.2 | 255.0 | 254.5 | 256.4 | 256.8 | 256.1 | 256.9 | 259.9 | 248.6 | 242.6 |
| Washington ${ }^{2}$ | 188.8 | 195. 5 | 206.5 | 211.2 | 203.8 | 206.3 | 201.3 | 188.9 | 185.3 | 189.1 | 185.2 | 182.8 | 188.1 | 191.6 | 191.8 |
| West Virginia ${ }^{2}$ | 133.9 | 135.0 | 136.2 | 137.3 | 137.7 | 133.8 | 137.3 | 137.5 | 137.0 | 136.6 | 134.9 | 135.3 | 137.8. | 134.6 | 138.1 |
| Wisconsin | 446.8 | 455.0 | 464.3 | 479.6 | 482.5 | 479.4 | 471.0 | 477.1 | 479.4 | 481.6 | 478.8 | 474.8 | 475.0 | 466.9 | 463.1 |
| W yoming | 6.8 | 7.1 | 7.4 | 6.8 | 7.0 | 6.8 | 6.4 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 5.9 | 6.0 | 6.5 | 6.3 | 6.1 |

${ }^{1}$ Data for earlier years are available upon request to the Bureau of Labor Statistics or the cooperating State agency. State agencies also make available more detailed industry data.
${ }_{2}$ Revised series; not comparable with data previously published

## Cooperating State Agencies

ALABAMA-Department of Industrial Relations, Montgomery 5
ARIZONA-Unemployment Compensation Division, Employment Security Commission, Phoenix.
ARKANSAS-Employment Security Division, Department of Labor, Little
Rock.
CALIF ORNIA-Division of Labor Statistics and Research, Department of Industrial Relations, San Francisco 1
COLORADO-U Bureau of Labor Statistics, Denver 2
CONNECTICUT-Employment Security Division, Department of Labor, Hartford 15.
DELAWARE-Federal Reserve Bank of Philadelphia, Philadelphia 1, Pennsylvania.

## DISTRICT OF

## Washington 25

FLORIDA-Industrial Commission, Tallahassee.
GEORGIA-Employmənt Security Agency, Department of Labor, Atlanta'3. IDAHO-Employment Security Agency, Boise.
ILLINOIS-Illinois State Employment Service and Division of Unemployment Compensation, Chicago 54.
INDIANA-Employment Security Division, Indianapolis 9.
IOWA-Employment Security Commission, Des Moines 8.
KANSAS-Employment Security Division, State Labor Department,
KENTUCKY-Bureau of Employment Security, Department of Economic Security, Frankfort.
LOUISIANA-Division of Employment Security, Department of Labor, Baton Rouge 4.
MAINE-Employment Security Commission, Augusta.
MARYLAND-Department of Employment Security, Baltimore 1
MASSACHUSETTS-Division of Statistics, Department of Labor and Industries, Boston 8
MICHIGAN-Employment Security Commission, Detroit 2.

Cooperating State Agencies-Continued
MINNESOTA-Department of Employment Security, St. Paul 1. MISSISSIPPI-Employment Security Commission, Jackson.
MISSOURI-Division of Employment Security, Jefferson City.
MONTANA-Unemployment Compensation Commission, Helena.
NEBRASKA-Division of Employment Security, Department of Labor, Lincoln 1.
NEVADA-Employment Security Department, Carson City.
NEW HAMPSHIRE-Division of Employment Security, Department of Labor, Concord.
NEW JERSEY-Department of Labor and Industry, Trenton 8.
NEW MEXICO-Employment Security Commission, Albuquerque.
NE W YORK - Bureau of Research and Statistics, Division of Employment,
New York Department of Labor, 1440 Broadway, New York 18.
NORTH CAROLINA-Department of Labor, Raleigh.
NORTH DAKOTA-Unemployment Compensation Division, Bismarck. OHIO-Bureau of Unemployment Compensation, Columbus 16.
OKLAHOMA-Employment Security Commission, Oklahoma City 2.
OREGON-Unemployment Compensation Commission, Salem.
PENNSYLVANIA-Federal Reserve Bank of Philadelphia, Philadelphia 1 (mfg.); Bureau of Research and Information, Department of Labor and Industry, Harrisburg (nonmfg.)
RHODE ISLAND-Department of Labor, Providence 3
SOUTH CAROLINA-Employment Security Commission, Columbia 1. SOUTH DAKOTA-Employment Security Department, Aberdeen. TENNESSEE-Department of Employment Security, Nashville 3. TEXAS-Employment Commission, Austin 19.
UTAH-Department of Employment Security, Industrial Commission, Salt Lake City 13.
VERMONT-Unemployment Compensation Commission, Montpelier. VIRGINIA-Division of Research and Statistics, Department of Labor and Industry, Richmond 4.
WASHINGTON-Employment Security Department, Olympia
WEST VIRGINIA-Department of Employment Security, Charleston 5. WISCONSIN-Industrial Commission, Madison 3 .
WYOMING-Employment Security Commission, Casper.

TABLE A-8: Insured unemployment under State unemployment insurance programs, ${ }^{1}$ by geographic division and State
[In thousands]

| Geographic division and State | 1953 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{1952}{\text { Dec. }}$ | $\frac{1951}{\text { Dec. }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dec. | Nov. | Oct. | Sept. | Aug. | July | June | May | April | Mar. | Feb. | Jan. |  |  |
| Oontinental United States. | 1,508.9 | 1,115. 1 | 840.0 | 779.4 | 816.1 | 861.1 | 832.7 | 889.0 | 960.6 | 1, 014.5 | 1,083.6 | 1,155.9 | 891.5 | 1,101.6 |
| New England | 118.7 | 91.6 | 73.1 | 66.1 | 64.0 | 66.6 | 61.9 | 74.6 | 79.6 | 76. 3 | 81.4 | 882 | 71.1 | 107.4 |
| Maine. | 13.5 | 10.1 | 7.4 | 5.3 | 4.9 | 5. 8 | 6.3 | 9.9 | 11.6 | 8.1 | 8.9 | 9.7 | 7.9 | 9.8 |
| New Hampshir | 9.3 | 8.8 | 8.4 | 7.2 | 5. 5 | 5.8 | 6.2 | 7.6 | 7.2 | 6.0 | 5.4 | 5. 9 | 4. 9 | 7. 9 |
| Vermont | 2.7 | 1.5 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1. 0 | 18.1 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 1. 9 | 2.1 | 1.7 | 2.3 |
| Massachusetts | 60.3 17.3 | 45.9 13.6 | 36.8 10.7 | 34.5 9.3 | 31.4 10.0 | 34.7 9 | 32.7 9.3 | 38.0 | 39.4 11.7 | 39.3 <br> 12 | 12.5 | 45.6 14.0 | 10. 1 | 56.5 18.4 |
| Rhode Island | 17.3 15.6 | 13.6 11.7 | 10.7 8.8 | 9.3 8.6 | 10.0 11.1 | 9.7 9.5 | 9.3 6.4 | 11.2 6.8 | 11.7 8.3 | 12.9 8.4 | 13.4 9.3 | 14.0 10.9 | 10.1 7.7 | 18. 4.5 |
| Middle Atlantic. | 430.1 | 331.3 | 246.2 | 251.2 | 257.0 | 283.8 | 275.0 | 289.1 | 313.5 | 301.4 | 310.9 | 350.8 | 280.8 | 352.2 |
| New York. | 209.9 | 168.9 | 120.1 | 127.2 | 132.2 | 153.6 | 156.6 | 1634 | 164.3 | 157.8 | 165. 5 | 185.9 | 158.0 | 219.3 |
| New Jersey | 65.8 | 50.0 | 37.2 | 38.3 | 39.1 | 45.9 | 40.2 | 45. 5 | 48.6 | 43.7 | 45. 1 | 54.6 | 40.4 | 42.8 |
| Pennsylvania | 154.4 | 112.4 | 88.9 | 85.7 | 85.7 | 84.3 | 78.2 | 80.2 | 100.6 | 99.9 | 100.3 | 110.4 | 82.4 | 90.1 |
| East North Centra | 318.1 | 233.2 | 179. 3 | 152.4 | 155.8 | 140.2 | 130.0 | 124.8 | 121.2 | 122.3 | 138.3 | 157.8 | 124.9 | 213.4 |
| Ohio. | 72.2 | 50.2 | 33.7 | 25.2 | 23.0 | 23.6 | 29.4 | 26.6 | 24.5 | 26.9 | 30.6 | 32.7 | 25.6 | 41.8 |
| Indiana | 40.7 | 28.4 | 209 | 14.7 | 14.6 | 14.8 | 14.4 | 11.8 | 11.5 | 129 | 15. 2 | 20.0 | 16. 3 | 22.0 |
| Inlinois. | 86.2 | 60.4 | 52.0 | 43.3 | 49.7 | 53.7 | 54.5 | 57.0 | 55.8 | 45.1 | 50.9 | 60.2 | 45.7 | 57.4 |
| Michigan | 83.3 | 69.4 | 56.0 | 52.4 | 53.1 | 30.6 | 22.7 | 20.9 | 19.9 | 24.4 | 27.0 | 29.5 | 25.0 | 77.2 |
| W isconsin | 35.7 | 24.8 | 16.7 | 16.8 | 15.4 | 17.5 | 9.0 | 8.5 | 9.5 | 13.0 | 14.6 | 15.5 | 12.3 | 15.0 |
| West North Central | 81.9 19 | 56.0 | 39. 8 | 32.3 | 31.1 | 38.1 7.6 | 39.0 8.0 | 42.6 | 53.6 198 | 68.8 25.1 | 74.3 25.5 | 70.2 22.2 | 45.7 7 | 51.3 13.9 |
| Minnesota | 19.8 | 9.8 | 6. 2 | 5.8 | 6.7 | 7.6 | 8.0 | 12.3 | 19.8 | 25.1 | 25.5 | 22.2 | 12.7 | 13.9 4.4 |
| Iowa | 10.1 | 6. 2 | 4.3 | 3.7 | 4.0 | 4.3 | 4.0 | 4.6 | 5.8 | 8. 0 | 8.9 | 7.8 | 4.5 | 4.4 24.2 |
| Missouri | 32.9 | 28.8 | 21.6 | 16.4 | 14.2 | 19.0 | 20.1 | 182 | 17.2 | 18. 6 | 20.2 4 | 22.3 3.8 | 17.6 | 24.2 1.8 |
| North Dakota | 2.4 | . 8 | . 2 | .2 | .2 | . 3 | . 5 | . 9 | 2.3 | 4. 2 | 4.4 | 3. 8 | 2.2 | 1.8 |
| South Dakot | 1.4 | . 4 | .2 | .2 | . 2 | . 2 | .2 | . 4 | . 9 | 1. 9 | 2. 2 | 2. 0 | 1.0 | -9 |
| Nebraska. | 4.3 | 1.9 | 1.1 | 1.0 | . 9 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1. 8 | 2.6 | 4.7 | 5.9 | 5. 0 | 2.7 | 1. 9 |
| Kansas. | 11.0 | 8.1 | 6.2 | 5.0 | 4.9 | 5.6 | 5.0 | 4.4 | 5.0 | 6.4 | 7.2 | 7.1 | 5.0 | 4.2 |
| South Atlantic | 148.2 | 113.9 | 93.8 | 91.7 | 101.8 | 112.5 | 105.2 | 103.5 | 101.0 | 104.1 | 105.6 | 111.7 | 84.6 | 90.6 1.4 |
| Delaware. | 3.0 | 2.4 | 1.6 | 1.2 | . 8 | 109 | ${ }_{10} 9$ | 1.9 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 1.6 | 1. 6 | 1. 3 | 11.4 |
| Maryland | 16.5 | 12.6 | 8. 6 | 8.2 | 9.7 | 10.7 | 10.3 | 12.2 | 12.5 | 10.6 | 12.1 | 13.1 | 9. 7 | 10.0 1.8 |
| District of Colum | 4.4 14.3 | 3.4 10.3 | 88 | 8.6 | 2.4 10.7 | 137 | 14.8 | 11.3 | 7.5 | 3.6 9.3 | 9.4 | 10.3 | 8. 3 | 7.3 |
| West Virginia | 20.5 | 15.4 | 12.3 | 12.4 | 14.2 | 16.6 | 15.3 | 15.3 | 16.6 | 17.6 | 17.3 | 17.6 | 13.3 | 11.3 |
| North Carolina | 36.6 | 28.9 | 22.4 | 21.3 | 20.9 | 24.5 | 25.8 | 27.3 | 28.2 | 28.3 | 27.0 | 26.7 | 20.0 | 24.7 |
| South Caroli | 15.9 | 12.6 | 10.3 | 9.3 | 11.0 | 12.3 | 10.1 | 10.6 | 10.3 | 10.8 | 10.6 | 11.4 | 8.1 | 10.0 |
| Georgia. | 25.2 | 17.0 | 12.7 | 11.9 | 12.8 | 14.3 | 13.8 | 13.6 | 13.5 | 14.0 | 14.8 | 16.9 | 13.3 | 13.9 |
| Florlda. | 11.8 | 11.3 | 15.2 | 16.4 | 19.3 | 17.0 | 11.8 | 9.7 | 8.4 | 8.7 | 9.2 | 11.0 | 9.7 | 10.2 |
| East South Central. | 103.2 | 77.4 | 59.7 | 52.5 | 58.7 | 60.9 | 57.5 | 66.2 | 69.3 | 71.3 | 75.0 | 75.7 | 61.0 | 66.1 |
| Kentucky. | 30.9 | 23.0 | 19.3 | 14.9 | 17. 0 | 17.0 | 17.3 | 19.6 | 20.2 | 20.0 | 19.6 | 17.8 | 14.9 | 15.5 |
| Tennessee | 36.9 | 28.8 | 21.2 | 19.3 | 19.3 | 21.2 | 18.4 | 21.6 | 23.0 | 22.9 | 26.0 | 27.3 | 21.7 | 28.4 |
| Alabama | 21.3 | 16.5 | 124 | 12.2 | 14.2 | 14.1 | 13.9 | 15.4 | 16.0 | 16.8 | 17.1 | 17.9 | 15.2 | 13.4 |
| Mississippi | 14.1 | 9.1 | 6.8 | 6.1 | 8.2 | 8.6 | 7.9 | 9.6 | 10.1 | 11.5 | 12.3 | 12.7 | 9.2 | 8.8 |
| West South Central | 64.8 | 47.2 | 38.5 | 37.3 | 45.1 | 46.2 | 44.2 | 48.0 | 51.0 | 58.2 | 61.2 | 57.2 | 44.6 | 42.7 |
| Arkansas. | 13.1 | 9.2 | 7.3 | 5.7 | 7.5 | 7.6 | 7.2 | 8.9 | 10.8 | 12.9 | 14.5 | 13.6 | 10.5 | 10.5 |
| Louisiana | 13.9 | 9.4 | 7.8 | 8.8 | 11.2 | 12.2 | 11.8 | 12.9 | 13.2 | 15.6 | 16.7 | 16.3 | 12.2 | 13.9 |
| Oklahoma | 12.4 | 9.3 | 70 | 6.0 | 8.2 | 9.1 | 9.2 | 9.5 | 10.2 | 11.9 | 12.8 | 11.6 | 9. 2 | 7.9 |
| Texas.. | 25.4 | 19.3 | 16.4 | 16.8 | 18.2 | 17.3 | 16.0 | 16.7 | 16.8 | 17.8 | 17.2 | 15.7 | 12.7 | 10.4 |
| Mountain. | 33.9 | 19.5 | 12.8 | 11.0 | 12.7 | 12.7 | 12.8 | 15.1 | 21.1 | 29.1 | 33.5 | 30.7 | 19.4 | 18.8 |
| Montana | 3.2 | 1.3 | . 7 | . 6 | . 7 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 2.2 | 3.9 | 6.3 | 6. 9 | 5. 9 | 3.3 | 3.2 |
| Idaho | 7.9 | 3.8 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 2.2 | 4.0 | 6.1 | 8.1 | 7.9 | 5.2 | 4. 7 |
| W yoming | 1. 1 | . 4 | . 2 | . 2 | . 2 | .2 | . 3 | . 6 | . 7 | 1.4 | 1.7 | 1.4 | . 7 | . 7 |
| Colorado. | 5. 0 | 3.1 | 1.8 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1. 6 | 2.0 | 2.8 | 3. 2 | 3.4 | 2. 9 | 1.8 | 1.4 |
| New Mexico | 4. 4 | 2.8 | 24 | 2. 0 | 2.3 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 2. 2 | 2. 7 | 2.8 | 2. 7 | 1.8 | 1.6 |
| Arizona | 4.6 | 3.8 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 3.8 | 3. 5 | 3. 2 | 3.2 | 3. 3 | 3. 6 | 3.6 | 3. 3 | 2.5 | 2. 6 |
| Utah | 5.2 | 2.7 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 2.1 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 3.1 | 4. 4 | 5.3 | 4.9 | 2.9 | 3.2 |
| Nevada. | 2.5 | 1.6 | 1.1 | . 7 | . 8 | . 8 | 8 | . 8 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.2 | 1.4 |
| Pacific. | 209.9 | 144.9 | 96.6 | 85.0 | 90.0 | 100.0 | 107.1 | 125.1 | 150.4 | 182.7 | 203.4 | 213.2 | 159.8 | 159.0 |
| Washington | 49.4 | 34.9 | 22.2 | 16.9 | 15. 6 | 14.0 | 12.5 | 17.5 | 26.0 | 34. 4 | 43.5 | 47.7 | 38.6 | 31.1 |
| Oregon | 36.2 | 23.8 | 13.0 | 9.6 | 10.1 | 9.6 | 8.9 | 11.6 | 16.6 | 24. 2 | 31.2 | 33. 3 | 24.4 | 21.5 |
| California | 124.3 | 86.2 | 61.4 | 58.5 | 64.3 | 76.4 | 85.7 | 96.0 | 107.8 | 124.1 | 128.7 | 132. 2 | 96.8 | 106.4 |

[^40]
## B: Labor Turnover

Table B-1: Monthly labor turnover rates (per 100 employees) in manufacturing industries, by class of turnover ${ }^{1}$

${ }^{1}$ Month-to-month changes in total employment in manufarti ring industries as indicated by labor turnover rates are not comparable with the changes shown by the Bureau's employment and payroll roports, for the following reasons:
(1) Accessions and separations are computed for the entire calendar month; the employment and payroll reports, for the most part, refer to a 1 -week pay period ending nearest the 15th of the month
(2) The turnover sample is not so large as that of the employment and payroll sample and includes proportionately fewer small plants; certain industries are not covered. The major industries excluded are: printing, publishing, and allied industries; canning and preserving fruits, vegetables, and sea foods; women's misses', and children's outerwear; and fertilizers.
(3) Plants are not included in the turnover computations in months when work stoppages are in progress; the influence of such stoppage is reflected, however, in the employment and payroll figures. Prior to 1943, rates relate to production workers only.
${ }^{2}$ Preliminary.
Prior to 1940, miscellaneous separations were included with quits. $\dagger$ Beginning with data for October 1952, components may not add to total because of rounding.

Note: Information on concepts, methodology, etc., is given in a technical note on Measurement of Labor Turnover, which appeared in the May 1953 Monthly Labor Review.

Table B-2: Monthly labor turnover rates (per 100 employees) in selected groups and industries ${ }^{1}$

| Industry group and industry | Separation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total accession |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total |  | Quit |  | Discharge |  | Layoff |  | Misc., incl. military |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec. } \\ & 1953 \end{aligned}$ | Nov. <br> 1953 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec. } \\ & 1953 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nov. } \\ & 1953 \end{aligned}$ | Dec. 1953 | Nov. 1953 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec. } \\ & 1953 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nov. } \\ & 1953 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec. } \\ & 1953 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nov. } \\ & 1953 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec. } \\ & 1953 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nov. } \\ & 1953 \end{aligned}$ |
| Manufacturing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All manufacturing | 4. 3 | 4. 2 | 1.1 | 1.5 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 2. 9 | 2.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 1.9 | 2.7 |
| Durable goods ${ }^{2}$ | 4.7 | 4. 4 | 1. 0 | 1.4 | . 2 | . 3 | 3. 3 | 2. 4 | . 2 | . 3 | 2.0 | 2.7 |
| Nondurable goods | 3.6 | 4.0 | 1.2 | 1.5 | . 2 | . 3 | 2.1 | 2.0 | . 1 | . 1 | 1.9 | 2.7 |
| Ordnance and accessories_ | 4.3 | 3.9 | . 9 | 1.5 | . 3 | . 4 | 2.9 | 1.9 | . 1 | . 1 | . 8 | 1.7 |
| Food and kindred products | 4.9 | 6.6 | 1.1 | 2.0 | . 2 | . 4 | 3. 4 | 4.0 | . 1 | . 2 | 2.0 | 4.4 |
| Meat products........- | 7.1 | 4.6 | . 9 | 1. 4 | . 3 | . 5 | 5.9 | 2.4 | . 1 | . 3 | 2.2 | 7.3 |
| Grain-mill products | 2. 2 | 6. 2 | . 9 | 2.2 | . 2 | . 2 | . 9 | 3. 7 | .3 | .2 | 2.5 | 2. 9 |
| Bakery products...- | 3.7 | 5.5 | 1.4 | 2.1 | . 3 | . 4 | 2.0 | 2.9 | .1 | . 1 | 2.2 | 2.4 |
| Beverages: <br> Malt liquors | 2.1 | \%axe 8.1 | . 3 | . 7 | $\left.{ }^{4}\right)$ | . 1 | 1.7 | 7.2 | $\left.{ }^{4}\right)$ | . 1 | . 8 | 2.1 |
|  | 3.3 | \%eamer 2.1 | . 9 | 1. 4 | . 1 | . 2 | 2. 2 | . 4 | . 2 | . 1 | 5 | 2.2 |
| Oigarettes..---.-- | . 8 | 1. 3 | . 4 | 1. 0 | . 1 | . 2 | . 1 | $\left.{ }^{4}\right)$ | .1 | (4) 1 | ${ }^{2}$ | 2. 1 |
| Cigars.. | 5. 5 | 2.8 | 1.2 | 2.0 | . 1 | ${ }^{3}$ | 4. 0 | . 5 | .1 | ${ }^{(4)}$ | ${ }^{6}$ | 2. 6 |
|  | 2. 4 | 2.0 | 1.1 | . 5 | . 1 | . 2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | . 1 | . 1 | 8 | . 7 |
| Textile-mill products. | 4. 0 | 4.0 | 1.1 | 1.5 | . 2 | . 2 | 2.5 | 2.2 | . 1 | . 1 | 2.0 | 2.4 |
| Yarn and thread mills. | 5.7 | 3. 9 | 1.3 | 1. 3 | . 3 | 2 | 4.1 | 2.3 | 1 | 1 | 2.4 | 2.7 |
| Broad-woven fabric mills | 3. 8 | 3. 9 | 1.1 | 1. 5 | .$_{2}^{2}$ | ${ }_{2}^{2}$ | 2. 2 | 1. 9 | .2 | ${ }_{3}$ | 1. 9 | 2. 4 |
| Cotton, silk, synthetic fiber | 3.3 | 3.3 | 1.1 | 1.5 | . 2 | ${ }_{2}^{2}$ | 1.8 | 1.3 | . 1 | . 3 | 1. 8 | 2. 4 |
| Woolen and worsted.------ | 9.1 | 10.4 | 1.0 | $\begin{array}{r}1.9 \\ 1.8 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | . 1 | ${ }^{2}$ | 7.6 | 9.2 | . 4 | . 1 | 3. 4 | 2.3 |
| Knitting mills...--.-.- | 1. 9 | 2.9 | 1.4 | 1.6 | . 1 | .1 | 1.5 .4 | 1.2 | . 1 | (4) ${ }^{-1}$ | 1.2 | 2.1 |
| Seamless hosiery.-.-- | 3. 0 | 4.9 | 1.2 | 1. 7 | . 1 | . 2 | 1. 6 | 2.8 | (4) | . 1 | 1.7 | 2. 3 |
| Knit underwear- | 4.1 | 5. 2 | 1.7 | 2.4 | $\left.{ }^{4}\right)$ | . 2 | 2.3 | 2.5 | .1 | (4) | . 6 | 1.3 |
|  | 2.7 | 2. 4 | 1.0 | . 9 | .2 | . 2 | 1.4 | 1.1 | . 1 | . 3 | 1. 2 | 1.8 |
| Carpets, rugs, other floor coverings | 5.0 | 3.0 | 9 | . 8 | . 1 |  | 3.7 | 1.8 | . 2 | . 3 | 2.3 | 1.8 |
| Apparel and other finished textile products | ${ }^{\text {a }}$ 5.2 | ${ }_{51}{ }^{5} 4.5$ | 2.1 | 2.4 | . 2 | \% $\quad .2$ | 2.8 | 1.9 | . 1 | . 1 | 2. 2 | 3.1 |
| Men's and boys suits and coats.------ | 3.0 | 4.0 | 1.6 | 2.1 | . 2 | . 1 | 1.1 | 1.7 | . 1 | . 1 | 2.5 | 2. 6 |
| Men's and boys' furnishings and work clothing. | $7.2$ | \%amentis | 2.5 | 2.4 | . 1 | $\text { wismes } 1$ | 4.5 | 1.8 | . 1 | . 1 | 1.8 | 3.2 |
| Lumber and wood products (except furniture) | (5) | 5.1 | (5) | 2.0 | ${ }^{(5)}$ | . 3 | (5) | 2.6 | (5) | 1 | (5) | 2. 7 |
| Logging camps and contractors.-.--------- | (5) | 14.6 | (5) | 3.9 | (5) | . 9 | (5) | 9.5 | (5) | . 3 | (5) | 4.8 |
| Sawmills and planing mills... | 7.0 | 3.7 | 1.2 | 1.7 | . 3 | . 2 | 5.3 | 1.7 | . 3 | . 1 | 1.4 | 2.0 |
| Millwork, plywood, and prefabricated structural wood products. | 可 3.1 | 3.8 | . 9 | 1.2 | . 2 | . 2 | 1.9 | 2. 2 | . 1 | 2 | 1.8 | 2.6 |
|  | 3.3 | 5.6 | 1. 3 | 2.1 | . 2 | . 4 | 1. 7 | 2.9 | . 1 | 2 | 2.2 | 4.3 |
| Household furniture | TM 3.4 | 6. 6 | 1. 2 | 2. 2 | . 2 | . 4 | 1. 9 | 3.9 | . 1 | 2 | 1. 9 | 4.8 |
|  | - 3.0 | 3.0 | 1.5 | 1.8 | . 2 | . 2 | 1.1 | . 7 | . 2 | 2 | 3.1 | 3.1 |
| Paper and allied products | - 2.2 | 2. 8 | 1.0 | 1.2 | . 3 | . 3 | . 7 | 1.0 | 2 | 2 | 1. 5 | 2. 0 |
| Pulp, paper, and paperboard mills...- | - 1.6 | 1.8 | . 8 | - 9 | . 2 | . 2 | . 5 | . 4 | . 3 | . 3 | 1. 2 | 1.7 |
| Paperboard containers and boxes...-. | 3. 1 | 3.3 | 1. 6 | 1.7 | . 5 | . 5 | 8 | 9 | . 2 | 1 | 1.6 | 2.2 |
| Chemicals and allied products. | 1.6 | 2.1 | 6 | 7 | . 2 | . 2 | 7 | 1.1 | 1 | 1 | 1.1 | 1. 6 |
| Industrial inorganic chemicals | 2.5 | 1. 9 | . 8 | 1.0 | 3 | 3 | 1. 3 | . 4 | 2 | . 1 | 2.5 | 1.9 |
| Industrial organic chemicals ........-- | 1.7 | 1. 9 | ${ }^{3}$ | . 4 | (1) 1 | (4) 1 | 1. 0 | 1. 3 | 2 | 2 | . 7 | . 9 |
|  | 3.9 | 3.1 | . 3 | . 4 | (4) | (4) | 3.4 | 2.5 | . 1 | 1 | 1.5 | . 8 |
| Drugs and medicines | . 9 | 1. 2 | . 7 | . 8 | (4) | . 1 | . 1 | . 2 | .2 | . 1 | . 8 | 1.6 |
| Paints, pigments, and fllers....-....-- | - 9 | 1.5 | . 6 | . 8 | . 1 | . 1 | . 1 | . 4 | . 1 | . 1 | 8 | 1.5 |
| Products of petroleum and coal.-.-...----- | 1.5 | 1. 4 | . 3 | . 5 | (4) | (4) 1 | . 8 | . 7 | . 3 | . 1 | 4 | . 5 |
|  | 1.3 | . 7 | . 2 | 2 | (4) | $\left.{ }^{4}\right)$ | 8 | 4 | 2 | . 1 | 3 | . 4 |
| Rubber products. | -12k 4.0 | 3.7 | . 8 | 1.0 | . 1 | . 2 | 3.0 | 2. 3 | 1 | 3 | 2.4 | 1.8 |
| Tires and inner tubes. | 3.4 | 3.8 | . 4 | . 5 | . 1 | . 1 | 2.8 | 2. 9 | . 1 | ${ }_{2}^{2}$ | 2.7 | 1.4 |
| Rubber footwear | 5.9 | 3. 6 | 1.9 | 2. 0 | . 1 | . 2 | 3.7 | 1.2 | . 1 | 3 | . 9 | 2. 2 |
|  | 4.0 | 3.7 | . 9 | 1.2 | . 1 | . 2 | 2.9 | 2.1 | . 1 | 2 | 2.5 | 2.1 |
| Leather and leather products. | 2.5 | 2.9 | 1.5 | 1.9 | .2 | . 2 | . 7 | . 7 | . 1 | . 1 | 3.2 | 3. 5 |
| Leather-.......-.........- | 1.7 | 2.5 | . 6 | . 8 | . 1 | . 1 | . 9 | 1.5 | . 1 | . 1 | 1.9 | 2. 3 |
|  | 2.7 | 3.0 | 1.7 | 2.1 | . 2 | . 2 | . 7 | . 6 | . 1 | . 1 | 3.5 | 3.8 |
| Stone, clay, and glass products. | 3.8 | 3.2 | . 7 | 1.0 | . 1 | . 2 | 2.8 | 1.8 | . 2 | . 3 | 1.7 | 1.9 |
| Glass and glass products.- | 5.6 | 3. 5 | . 7 | 9 | . 1 | . 2 | 4.6 | 2.2 | . 2 | . 2 | 2.5 | 2.4 |
| Oement, hydraulic ...... | 2.1 | 2.4 | . 5 | . 9 | .2 | . 3 | 1.0 | . 9 | . 4 | . 3 | . 8 | 1.6 |
| Structural clay products. | 3.9 | 3. 6 | 1.2 | 1. 7 | . 2 | . 3 | 2.2 | 1. 3 | . 3 | . 3 | 1.4 | 2.7 |
|  | 3. 0 | 2.6 | . 9 | 1.2 | . 1 | . 2 | 2.0 | 1.1 | . 1 | . 1 | 1.4 | 1.3 |
|  | 3.3 | 3.7 | . 7 | . 9 | . 1 | . 2 | 2.3 | 2.3 | . 2 | . 3 | 1.4 | 1.8 |
| Blast furnaces, steel works, and rolling | 2.8 | 3.1 | . 7 | . 8 | . 1 | . 1 | 1.8 | 1.9 | . 2 | . 3 | 1.2 | 1.2 |
| minn and steel foundries | 4.7 | 4. 4 | . 8 | 1.1 | .2 | . 3 | 3. 5 | 2.8 | .2 | . 2 | 2.0 | 1.8 |
| Gray-iron foundries | 4.8 | 3.7 | . 8 | 1. 2 | .2 | . 3 | 3. 6 | 2.1 | . 2 | . 2 | 2.3 | 2.4 |
| Malleable-iron foundries | 5.1 | 3.9 | 1.2 | 1. 5 | . 2 | . 2 | 3. 6 | 2.0 | . 2 | . 3 | 1.7 | 2.0 |
| Steel foundries...-....... | 4.4 | 5.2 | . 6 | 1.0 | . 2 | . 3 | 3.4 | 3.7 | . 2 | . 1 | 1.8 | 1.1 |
| Primary smelting and refining of nonferrous metals: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Primary smelting and refining of copper, lead, and zinc. | 1.3 | 2.6 | . 5 | . 5 | . 2 | . 1 | . 5 | 1.7 | . 2 | . 3 | 5 | 1.0 |
| Rolling, drawing, and alloying of nonferrous metals: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rolling, drawing, and alloying of |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2. 2 | 2. 3 | . 4 | . 7 | . 1 | . 2 | 1. 5 | 1. 3 | . 1 | .1 | . 5 | .8 3.9 |
| Nonferrous foundries ---.-.----.-...- | 7.3 | 6.0 | 1.1 | 1.4 | . 3 | . 5 | 5.6 | 3.7 | . 2 | . 3 | 2.5 | 3.9 |
| Other primary metal industries: Iron and steel forgings | 4.0 | 2.7 | . 8 | 1.0 | . 2 | . 2 | 2.7 | 1. 3 | . 2 | 1 | 1.5 | 2. 9 |

Table B-2: Monthly labor turnover rates (per 100 employees) in selected groups and industries ${ }^{1}$ Continued

| Industry group and industry | Separation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total accession |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total |  | Quit |  | Discharge |  | Layoft |  | Misc., incl. military |  |  |  |
|  | Dec. 1953 | Nov. 1953 | Dec. 1953 | Nov. <br> 1953 | Dec. <br> 1953 | Nov. 1953 | Dec. 1953 | Nov. 1953 | Dec. <br> 1953 | Nov. 1953 | Dec. 1953 | Nov. 1953 |
| Manufacturimg-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fabricated metal products (except ordnance, machinery, and transportation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| equipment) | 5. 2 | 4. 5 | 1. 0 | 1.5 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 3.7 | 2.4 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 2.1 | 2. 9 |
| Cutlery, handtools, and hardware | 3.4 | 3.3 | 1.3 | 1.5 | . 3 | . 3 | 1.5 | 1.3 | . 3 | . 3 | 2. 2 | 2. 7 |
| Cutlery and edge tools.............- | 2.5 | 2.4 | . 7 | . 9 | . 1 | . 3 | 1.5 | 1.3 | . 1 | . 1 | . 7 | 1.5 |
| Handtools | 1. 8 | 2. 9 | . 7 | 1.1 | .4 | .4 | . 5 | 1.3 | . 3 | . 2 | 2. 1 | 2. 6 |
|  | 4.1 | 3.8 | 1.6 | 1.8 | .3 | . 3 | 1.9 | 1.4 | . 4 | . 3 | 2.5 | 3.2 |
| Heating apparatus (except electric) and plumbers' supplies. | 9.1 | 8. 2 | 1.5 | 2. 6 | . 3 | . 6 | 7.1 | 4.7 | . 2 | . 3 | 1.3 | 2. 9 |
| Sanitary ware and plumbers' supplies | 13.2 | 6.6 | 1.0 | 1.5 | . 3 | . 4 | 11.7 | 4.5 | . 2 | 1 | 1.0 | 2.1 |
| Oil burners, nonelectric heating and cooking apparatus, not elsewhere classified | 6. 7 | 9.3 | 1.7 | 3.4 | . 3 | . 7 | 4. 5 | 4.8 | . 2 | . 4 | 1.5 | 3. 5 |
| Fabricated structural metal products. | 3.3 | 3.2 | . 9 | 1.3 | . 2 | . 4 | 1.9 | 1.3 | . 2 | . 3 | 1.7 | 2. 1 |
| Metal stamping, coating, and engraving | 4.6 | 5.1 | . 9 | 1.5 | . 2 | . 3 | 3.1 | 3.0 | . 4 | . 3 | 2.0 | 3. 7 |
| Machinery (except electrical) | 2.4 | 2.8 | . 8 | 1.0 | . 2 | . 2 | 1. 1 | 1.3 | . 2 | . 1 | 1.8 | 2. 2 |
| Engines and turbines....- | 3.2 | 4. 0 | . 6 | . 9 | . 2 | . 2 | 2.4 | 2.8 | . 1 | . 1 | 1.9 | 2. 2 |
| Agricultural machinery and tractors.. | 3.4 | 5. 6 | 1.0 | . 7 | . 2 | . 1 | 1.8 | 4.5 | . 3 | . 2 | 2.8 | 2.3 |
| Construction and mining machinery-- | 1.9 | 2.7 | . 7 | . 8 | . 2 | . 2 | . 8 | 1. 6 | . 2 | . 1 | 1.5 | 1. 6 |
| Metalworking machinery .-............. | 2.0 | 2.0 | . 9 | 1.1 | -2 | . 2 | . 7 | . 6 | . 2 | . 2 | 1.5 | 2. 0 |
|  | 1.7 | 1.8 | . 8 | . 9 | . 2 | . 2 | . 5 | . 6 | . 2 | . 1 | 1.1 | 1.7 |
| Metalworking machinery (except machine tools) | 1.6 | 2.1 | 1.1 | 1.3 | . 3 | . 2 | . 1 | . 4 | . 1 | . 2 | 1.8 | 2.3 |
| Machine-tool accessories.......-...- | 3.2 | 2. 7 | . 9 | 1. 3 | . 3 | . 4 | 1. 8 | . 9 | . 1 | . 1 | 2.2 | 2.6 |
| Special-industry machinery (except |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.1 |
| metalworking machinery) --.---.----- | 1.6 | 2.3 | . 8 | 1.1 | . 3 | . 3 | 1. 6 | .8 .9 | .1 | . 2 | 1.5 | 1.9 |
| General industrial machinery --.....- | 1.8 <br> 2.8 | 2.5 2.3 | .8 1.1 | 1.1 1.3 | . 3 | . 3 | 1. 1.0 | .9 .7 | . 3 | . 1 | 1. 1.6 | 1.9 |
| Service-industry and household machines | 2.1 3.1 | 2. 7 | 1.1 .8 | 1. 0 | .2 .2 | . 3 | 1.7 | 1.0 | .3 .3 | .1 .3 | 3.4 | 3.5 |
| Miscellaneous machinery parts.........- | 2.0 | 2.5 | . 8 | . 9 | . 2 | . 2 | . 7 | 1.2 | . 2 | . 2 | 1.2 | 2.0 |
|  | 4.2 | 4.5 | 1.3 | 1. 6 | . 2 | . 3 | 2.5 | 2.4 | . 1 | . 2 | 1.7 | 2.5 |
| Electrical generating, transmission, distribution, and industrial apparatus | 23 | 2.9 | . 7 | 1.0 | . 1 | . 2 | 1.4 | 1.6 | . 2 | . 2 | 1.1 | 1.6 |
| Communication equipment | ${ }^{(5)}$ | 5. 6 | (5) ${ }^{.7}$ | 2. 0 | ${ }^{5}{ }^{*}$ | . 3 | (5) | 3.1 | (5) ${ }^{.2}$ | .2 | (5) | 2.5 |
| Radios, phonographs, television sets, and equipment. | 5. 6 | 6.7 | 1.8 | 2. 2 | . 3 | . 4 | 3.3 | 3.9 | . 2 | . 1 | 1.6 | 2.9 |
| Telephone, telegraph, and related equipment | (5) | 1.9 | ${ }^{5}$ ) | 1.4 | ${ }^{5}$ ) | . 2 | ${ }^{(5)}$ | . 1 | ${ }^{(5)}$ | . 3 | ${ }^{5}$ ) | 2.0 |
| Electrical appliances, lamps, and miscellaneous products | 6.4 | 5.5 | 1.5 | 1.7 | . 3 | 4 | 4.4 | 3.1 | . 3 | .4 | 2.3 | 3.6 |
| Fransportation equipment. | 6.8 | 6.0 | 1.0 | 1.5 | . 3 | . 3 | 5.1 | 3.8 | . 4 | . 4 | 3.0 | 3.7 |
| Automobiles..-.-.-.--- | 10.4 | 7.6 | . 8 | 1.4 | . 2 | . 3 | 8.7 | 5.4 | . 6 | . 6 | 2.7 | 3. 2 |
| Aircraft and parts. | 1.8 | 2. 6 | 1.0 | 1.5 | . 3 | . 3 | . 4 | . 7 | . 2 | . 2 | 2. 5 | 3.3 |
| A ircraft | 1.7 | 2.3 | 1.0 | 1.5 | . 3 | . 3 | .2 | . 4 | . 2 | . 1 | 2.7 | 3.6 |
| Aircraft engines and parts | 2.0 | 3.7 | 1.1 | 1.6 | (1). 3 | . 4 | . 5 | 1.4 | (4) 1 | . 2 | 2.2 | 2.4 |
| Aircraft propellers and parts....... | 1.0 | 2.4 | . 5 | 1.2 | (4) | . 2 | . 4 | . 9 | (4) | . 1 | . 7 | 2.9 |
| Other aircraft parts and equipment | 3.7 | 3.5 | 1.0 | 1.3 | . 2 | . 4 | 2.4 | 1.7 | (4) | 2 | 2.9 | 2.5 |
| Ship- and boatbuilding and repairing. | 10.3 | 11.2 | 1.8 | 2.0 | . 5 | . 7 | 7.7 | 8.2 | . 3 | . 2 | 6. 8 | 9.1 |
| Railroad equipment ..........-. | 4.8 | 10.2 | . 8 | 1.1 | . 4 | . 4 | 2. 6 | 7.9 | 1.0 | . 8 | 3.7 | 4. 0 |
| Locomotives and parts. | 4.2 | 7.6 | . 4 | . 6 | . 1 | . 1 | 2. 0 | 5.7 | 1.7 | 1.3 | 1. 9 | 1.8 |
| Railroad and streetcars. | 5.1 | 12.6 | 1.0 | 1. 7 | . 5 | . 6 | 2.9 | 9.8 | . 7 | . 5 | 4.7 | 5.9 |
| Other transportation equipment.-...- | 15. 7 | 2.8 | . 6 | 1.1 | . 1 | . 2 | 14.9 | 1.4 | . 1 | . 1 | . 5 | . 6 |
| Instruments and related products. | 2.0 | 2.1 | . 7 | . 9 | (4) 1 | (1). 2 | 1.0 | . 8 | . 2 | . 2 | . 9 | 1. 6 |
|  | 1.1 | 1.3 | . 7 | . 9 | (4) | (4) | . 3 | . 2 | . 2 | . 2 | . 9 | 1. 0 |
| Watches and clocks.-.-. | 4.3 | 4.6 | 1.1 | 1.4 | . 1 | . 2 | 2. 9 | 2. 9 | . 2 | . 2 | . 9 | 1.7 |
| Professionsl and scientific instruments. | 2.0 | 1.9 | . 7 | . 8 | . 2 | . 3 | . 9 | . 6 | . 2 | . 2 | 1.0 | 1.7 |
| Miscellaneous manufacturing industries .- | 6.4 | 5.5 | 1.8 | 2.3 | . 3 | . 4 | 4.1 | 2.6 | . 2 | . 3 | 1.9 | 3. 6 |
| Jewelry, silverware, and plated ware.. | 2. 7 | 3.1 | 1. 3 | 1.9 | . 3 | .3 | .9 | . 8 | . 2 | . 1 | 1.5 | 4.6 |
| Nonmanufacturing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metal mining | 2. 9 | 3.6 | 1.4 | 1.8 | . 3 | . 5 | 1.0 | 1.0 | . 3 | . 3 | 2.4 | 2.9 |
| Iron mining. | 2. 5 | 2. 3 | . 3 | . 4 | . 1 | . 1 | 1.9 | 1.6 | . 2 | . 3 | 1.1 | . 8 |
| Copper mining. | 4. 0 | 3. 6 | 2. 6 | 2.6 | . 6 | . 5 | . 5 | . 2 | . 4 | . 4 | 4.1 | 4.3 |
|  | 1.8 | 3.0 | . 9 | 1.5 | . 1 | . 1 | . 6 | . 9 | . 2 | . 5 | 1.6 | 1.3 |
| Anthracite mining. | 4.9 | 1.0 | . 4 | . 7 | (4) | (4) | 4.2 | . 1 | . 2 | . 2 | 1.1 | 1.6 |
| Bituminous-coal mining . | 2.8 | 2.2 | . 5 | . 5 | (4) | . 1 | 2.2 | 1.4 | . 1 | . 1 | . 6 | . 9 |
| Communication: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Telephone | (5) | 1.5 | (5) | 1.2 | ${ }^{(5)}$ |  | ${ }^{(5)}$ | . 2 | ${ }^{(5)}$ | . 1 | (5) | 1.4 |
|  | (5) | 2.0 | (5) | 1.0 | (5) | (4) | (5) | . 9 | (5) | . 1 | $\left.{ }^{5}\right)$ | . 8 |

${ }^{1}$ See footnote 1, table B-1. Current month data subject to revision without notation; revised figures for earlier months will be indicated by footnotes.
${ }^{2}$ See footnote 2, table A-2
${ }^{3}$ See footnote 3 , table A-2. Printing, publishing, and allied industries are excluded.
${ }^{4}$ Less than 0.05

- Data are not available.
- Data relate to domestic employees except messengers and those em ployees compensated entirely on a commission basis.

C: Earnings and Hours
Table C-1: Hours and gross earnings of production workers or nonsupervisory employees


[^41]Table C-1: Hours and gross earnings of production workers or nonsupervisory employees ${ }^{1}$-Continued

| Year and month | Manufacturing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total: Manufacturing |  |  | Durable goods! |  |  | Nondurable goods ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  | Total: Ordnance and accessories |  |  | Food and kindred products |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Total: Food and kindred products | Meat products : |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings |  |  |  | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earn- ings | Avg. wkly. hours | A vg. hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earn- | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earn- | Avg. wkly. ings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earn- ings |
| 1951: A verage <br> 1952: A verage December. | \$64. 71 | 40.7 | \$1. 59 | \$69. 47 | 41.6 | \$1.67 |  |  |  | \$58. 46 | 39.5 | \$1.48 | \$74.12 | 43. 6 | \$1. 70 | \$59.92 | 41.9 | \$1.43 | \$65. 78 | 41.9 | \$1. 57 |
|  | 67.97 | 40.7 | 1. 67 | 73. 04 | 41.5 | 1.76 | 60.98 | 39.6 | 1. 54 | 77. 22 | 42.9 | 1. 80 | 63.23 | 41.6 | 1. 52 | 70.30 | 41.6 | 1.69 |
|  | 72. 14 | 41.7 | 1.73 | 77. 78 | 42.5 | 1.83 | 63.59 | 40.5 | 1.57 | 76. 73 | 41.7 | 1.84 | 65. 68 | 42.1 | 1. 56 | 77. 26 | 44.4 | 1. 74 |
| 1953: January $\begin{aligned} & \text { Februar } \\ & \text { March. } \\ & \text { April. } \\ & \text { May... } \\ & \text { June..- } \\ & \text { July } \\ & \text { August } \\ & \text { Septem } \\ & \text { October } \\ & \text { Novemb } \\ & \text { Decemb }\end{aligned}$ | 71. 34 | 41.0 | 1.74 | 76. 91 | 41.8 | 1.84 | 62.88 | 39.8 | 1.58 | 75. 85 | 41.0 | 1.85 | 65. 35 | 41.1 | 1. 59 | 74. 23 | 41.7 | 1.78 |
|  | 71.17 | 40.8 | 1.74 | 77.15 | 41.7 | 1.85 | 62.88 | 39.8 | 1. 58 | 77.38 | 41.6 | 1.86 | 64. 71 | 40.7 | 1. 59 | 70.00 | 40.0 | 1. 75 |
|  | 71. 93 | 41.1 | 1.75 | 77. 52 | 41.9 | 1.85 | 63.60 | 40.0 | 1. 59 | 7746 | 41.2 | 1.88 | 65. 28 | 40.8 | 1. 60 | 71.33 | 40.3 | 1.77 |
|  | 71.40 | 40.8 | 1.75 | 77.38 | 41.6 | 1. 86 | 62.81 | 39.5 | 1.59 | 76. 52 | 40.7 | 1.88 | 64. 64 | 40.4 | 1.60 | 70.62 | 39.9 | 1.77 |
|  | 71.63 | 40.7 | 1.76 | 77.19 | 41.5 | 1.86 | 63. 20 | 39.5 | 1.60 | 78.25 | 41.4 | 1.89 | 66. 17 | 41. 1 | 1.61 | 71.86 | 40 | 1.77 |
|  | 71. 71 | 40.3 | 1.77 | 76. 70 | 41.4 40.8 | 1.87 1.88 | 63. 76 | 39.6 39.6 | 1.61 | 77.87 | 41.2 | 1.91 1.89 | 66.14 | 41.7 41.8 | 1.61 | 74. 29 | 41.5 40.7 | 1.79 1.79 |
|  | 71.69 | 40.5 | 1.77 | 77. 27 | 41.1 | 1.88 | 63.76 | 39.6 | 1.61 | 78.12 | 40.9 | 1.91 | 65.83 | 41.4 | 1.59 | 72.67 | 40.6 | 1.79 |
|  | 71.42 | 39.9 | 1.79 | 77.14 | 40.6 | 1.90 | 63.57 | 39.0 | 1.63 | 79.13 | 41.0 | 1.93 | 67.20 | 42.0 | 1.60 | 76.18 | 41.4 | 1.84 |
|  | 71.73 | 40.3 | 1.78 | 77.49 | 41.0 | 1.89 | 63.50 | 39.2 | 1.62 | 78.94 | 40.9 | 1. 93 | 67.23 | 41.5 | 1. 62 | 77.89 | 42.1 | 1.85 |
|  | 71.60 | 40.0 | 1. 79 | 76. 73 | 40.6 | 1.89 | 63.73 | 39.1 | 1.63 | 76.42 | 39.8 | 1.92 | 68.31 | 41.4 | 1.65 | 82.51 | 43.2 | 1.91 |
|  | 71.96 | 40.2 | 1.79 | 77.52 | 40.8 | 1.90 | 64.06 | 39.3 | 1.63 | 77.97 | 40.41 | 1.93 | 68.15 | 41.3 | 1.65 | 76.96 | 41.6 | 1.85 |
|  | Food and kindred products-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Meatpacking, whotesale |  |  | Sausages and casings |  |  | Dairy products ${ }^{2}$ |  |  | Condensed and cvaporated milk |  |  | Ice cream and ices |  |  | Canning and preserving ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| 1951: Average | \$68. 30 | 41.9 | \$1.63 | \$65. 78 | 41.9 | \$1.57 | \$60. 83 | 44.4 | \$1.37 | \$63. 02 | 46.0 | \$1.37 | \$62.44 | 44.6 | \$1.40 | \$50.80 | 40.0 | \$1.27 |
| 1952: A verage....... | 73.39 | 41.7 | 1. 76 | 69.72 | 42.0 | 1. 66 | 63.80 | 44.0 | 1.45 | 66.27 | 45.7 | 1.45 | 64. 09 | 43.6 | 1.47 | 51.88 | 39.3 | 1. 32 |
| December | 81.54 | 45.3 | 1.80 | 72.68 | 42.5 | 1.71 | 65. 84 | 43.6 | 1.51 | 67. 49 | 45.6 | 1. 48 | 65. 60 | 42.6 | 1.54 | 51.65 | 37.7 | 1.37 |
| 1953: January | 77.83 | 42.3 | 1.84 | 70.97 | 41. 5 | 1.71 | 67. 45 | 43.8 | 1. 54 | 69. 77 | 45.9 | 1. 52 | 65. 72 | 42.4 | 1. 55 | 52.72 | 38.2 | 1. 38 |
| Februar | 72. 40 | 40. 0 | 1.81 | 70.00 | 40.7 | 1. 72 | 67.61 | 43.9 | 1.54 | 68.55 | 45. 7 | 1. 50 | 66. 19 | 42.7 | 1.55 | 53. 20 | 38.0 | 1. 40 |
| March | 73.71 | 40.5 | 1.82 | 71. 23 | 40.7 | 1.75 | 65. 97 | 43.4 | 1.52 | 68.55 | 45.4 | 1.51 | 66. 19 | 427 | 1.55 | 53.02 | 37.6 | 1.41 |
| April. | 73. 02 | 39. 9 | 1.83 | 71.05 | 40. 6 | 1. 75 | 66. 10 | 43.2 | 1.53 | 69. 77 | 45.9 | 1. 52 | 65.41 | 42.2 | 1. 55 | 51.61 | 36.6 | 1. 41 |
| May | 74.15 | 40.3 | 1.84 | 73.01 | 42.2 | 1.73 | 67.32 | 44.0 | 1.53 | 69. 92 | 46.0 | 1. 52 | 67.86 | 43.5 | 1.56 | 52.26 | 37.6 | 1.39 |
| June. | 76. 63 | 41.2 | 1.86 | 74.56 | 43.1 | 1.73 | 68.39 | 44.7 | 1. 53 | 72.05 | 47.4 | 1. 52 | 68.61 | 43.7 | 1. 57 | 51. 44 | 38.1 | 1.35 |
| July. | 75. 52 | 40.6 | 1.86 | 74. 55 | 42.6 | 1. 75 | 69.73 | 44.7 | 1.56 | 72. 22 | 47. 2 | 1. 53 | 70.68 | 43.9 | 1. 61 | 54. 14 | 40.4 | 1.34 |
| August | 75.33 | 40.5 | 1.86 | 74.03 | 42.3 | 1.75 | 68.51 | 44.2 | 1.55 | 69. 92 | 46.0 | 1.52 | 68.85 | 43.3 | 1.59 | 54. 14 | 40.1 | 1.35 |
| September | 80.06 | 41.7 | 1.92 | 74. 46 | 41.6 | 1.79 | 69.84 | 44.2 | 1.58 | 72. 23 | 46.6 | 1.55 | 71.83 | 43.8 | 1.64 | 55. 34 | 41.3 | 1.34 |
| October | 82.22 | 42.6 | 1.93 | 73.51 | 41.3 | 1.78 | 68.26 | 43.2 | 1. 58 | 68. 25 | 44.9 | 1. 52 | 69.80 | 42.3 | 1.65 | 54.54 | 40.1 | 1.36 |
| December----- | 87.00 | 43.5 | 2.00 | 76. 79 | 42.9 | 1.79 | 67.78 | 42.9 | 1. 58 | 68. 10 | 44.8 | 1. 52 | 69.04 | 42.1 | 1.64 | 50.22 | 37.2 | 1.35 |
|  | 80.67 | 41.8 | 1.93 | 74.70 | 41.5 | 1.80 | 68.57 | 43.4 | 1.58 | 69.30 | 45.0 | 1.54 | 70.79 | 42.9 | 1. 65 | 53.06 | 37.9 | 1.40 |
|  | Seafood, canned and cured |  |  | Canned fruits, vegetables, and soups |  |  | Grain-mill products ${ }^{2}$ |  |  | Flour and other grain-mill products |  |  | Prepared feeds |  |  | Bakery products? |  |  |
| 1951: A verage | \$44. 40 | 29.8 | \$1. 49 | \$53. 09 | 41.8 | \$1. 27 | \$65. 85 | 45.1 | \$1. 46 | \$67.34 | 45. 5 | \$1. 48 | \$64. 54 | 46. 1 | \$1.40 | \$58. 24 | 41.6 | \$1.40 |
| 1952: A verage | 45. 57 | 31.0 | 1.47 | 54. 12 | 41.0 | 1.32 | 69.15 | 44.9 |  | 71.71 | 45.1 | 1.59 | 67.62 | 46.0 | 1.47 | 61.57 | 41.6 | 1. 48 |
| December | 44.70 | 30.0 | 1.49 | 54.51 | 39.5 | 1.38 | 69.26 | 44.4 | 1.56 | 72. 58 | 44.8 | 1.62 | 68. 10 | 45.4 | 1. 50 | 62.78 | 41.3 | 1.52 |
| 1953: January | 41.80 | 27.5 | 1. 52 | 56.30 | 40.8 | 1.38 | 71. 20 | 44.5 | 1. 60 | 74.82 | 44.8 | 1. 67 | 68.40 | 45.0 | 1. 52 | 62.58 | 40.9 | 1. 53 |
| February | 46. 96 | 30.1 | 1. 56 | 56. 56 | 40.4 | 1.40 | 68. 21 | 42.9 | 1. 59 | 71.45 | 43.3 | 1.65 | 65.38 | 43.3 | 1.51 | 63.04 | 41.2 | 1.53 |
| March | 41.44 | 28.0 | 1. 48 | 56. 52 | 39.8 | 1.42 | 69. 60 | 43.5 | 1. 60 | 72.27 | 43.8 | 1. 65 | 67.63 | 44.2 | 1. 53 | 63.65 | 41.6 | 1. 53 |
| April | 46.04 | 29.7 | 1. 55 | 53.86 | 38.2 | 1.41 | 69.39 | 43.1 | 1.61 | 70.38 | 42.4 | 1. 66 | 68.99 | 44.8 | 1.54 | 63. 45 | 41.2 | 1. 54 |
| May | 40.23 | 27.0 | 1.49 | 55.86 | 39.9 | 1.40 | 71.60 | 44.2 | 1.62 | 73. 48 | 44.0 | 1.67 | 69.92 | 45.4 | 1.54 | 64. 02 | 41.3 | 1. 55 |
| June | 43. 33 | 30.3 | 1. 43 | 54.10 | 39.2 | 1.38 | 72.32 | 45. 2 | 1.60 | 74.59 | 44. 4 | 1.68 | 70.97 | 47.0 | 1.51 | 65. 36 | 41.9 | 1. 56 |
| July | 56.92 | 35.8 | 1. 59 | 54.78 | 41.5 | 1.32 | 72.74 | 44.9 | 1.62 | 76. 84 | 45.2 | 1. 70 | 69.77 | 45.9 | 1.52 | 65.73 | 41.6 | 1.58 |
| August. | 50.38 | 32.5 | 1. 55 | 55.35 | 41.0 | 1.35 | 72.37 | 44.4 | 1.63 | 77.74 | 45. 2 | 1.72 | 69.45 | 45.1 | 1.54 | 65.41 | 41.4 | 1.58 |
| September | 41.04 | 28.5 | 1.44 | 56.97 | 42.2 | 1.35 | 73.80 | 45.0 | 1.64 | 79.90 | 45.4 | 1.76 | 70.99 | 45.8 | 1.55 | 66.88 | 41.8 | 1. 60 |
| October | 42.03 | 29.6 | 1. 42 | 57. 13 | 41.7 | 1.37 | 73.26 | 44.4 | 1.65 | 80.78 | 45.9 | 1.76 | 69.44 | 44.8 | 1.55 | 65.67 | 41.3 | 1. 59 |
| December----- | 40.43 | 26.6 | 1. 52 | 52. 66 | 39.3 | 1.34 | 72.04 | 43.4 | 1.66 | 79.83 | 45.1 | 1. 77 | 68.61 | 43.7 | 1.57 | 65.85 | 40.9 | 1.61 |
|  | 47. 72 | 29.1 | 1.64 | 55.02 | 39.3 | 1. 40 | 72.38 | 43.6 | 1.66 | 77.08 | 44.3 | 1.74 | 69.87 | 44.5 | 1.57 | 66.01 | 41.0 | 1.61 |
|  | Bread and other bakery products |  |  | Biscuits, crackers, and pretzels |  |  | Sugar ${ }^{2}$ |  |  | Cane-sugar refining |  |  | Beet sugar |  |  | Confectionery and related products ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| 1951: A verage-....-- | \$59.63 | 41.7 | \$1. 43 | \$53.41 | 41.4 | \$1.29 | \$60. 15 | 41.2 | \$1.46 | \$63. 14 | 41.0 | \$1. 54 | \$61.24 | 41.1 | \$1.49 | \$49.97 | 40.3 | \$1. 24 |
| 1952: A verage- | 63.38 | 41.7 | 1. 52 | 56. 17 | 41.3 | 1.36 | 64.41 | 42.1 | 1. 53 | 66. 58 | 41.1 | 1.62 | 65.94 | 42.0 | 1. 57 | 52.27 | 39.9 | 1.31 |
|  | 64.48 | 41.6 | 1. 55 | 55. 74 | 40.1 | 1. 39 | 66. 44 | 45.2 | 1. 47 | 67.08 | 40.9 | 1. 64 | 71.48 | 44.4 | 1. 61 | 53.84 | 41.1 | 1.31 |
| 1953: January- ${ }^{\text {February }}$ - ${ }^{\text {March }}$ - | 63.80 | 40.9 | 1. 56 | 56. 98 | 41.0 | 1. 39 | 64.80 | 40.0 | 1. 62 | 68.80 | 41.2 | 1. 67 | 61.77 | 34.9 | 1. 77 | 51.87 | 39.0 | 1.33 |
|  | 64.37 | 41.0 | 1. 57 | 58.66 | 41.9 | 1. 40 | 67.32 | 40.8 | 1. 65 | 69.03 | 39.9 | 1. 73 | 69.42 | 39.0 | 1.78 | 52.54 | 39.5 | 1.33 |
|  | 64.68 | 41.2 | 1. 57 | 60.19 | 43.3 | 1.39 | 74.63 | 43. 9 | 1. 70 | 79.57 | 44.7 | 1.78 | 68.71 | 38.6 | 1. 78 | 52.66 | 39.3 | 1.34 |
|  | 64.68 | 41.2 | 1.57 | 57.54 | 41.1 | 1. 40 | 70. 21 | 41.3 | 1. 70 | 7464 | 41.7 | 1. 79 | 66. 91 | 38.9 | 1. 72 | 51.46 | 38.4 | 1.34 |
|  | 65.41 | 41.4 | 1.58 | 58.63 | 41.0 | 1. 43 | 70.55 | 41.5 | 1. 70 | 75.12 | 42.2 | 1.78 | 66. 12 | 38.0 | 1. 74 | 54.25 | 39.6 | 1.37 |
|  | 66. 94 | 42.1 | 1.59 | 58. 49 | 40.9 | 1. 43 | 72. 58 | 42.2 | 1.72 | 78.37 | 43.3 | 1.81 | 67.37 | 39.4 | 1. 71 | 54.35 | 39.1 | 1.39 |
|  | 67.46 | 41.9 | 1.61 | 58.18 | 40.4 | 1. 44 | 73. 79 | 42.9 | 1. 72 | 79.56 | 44.2 | 1.80 | 67.83 | 39.9 | 1. 70 | 53.10 | 38.2 | 1.39 |
|  | 66.82 | 41.5 | 1.61 | 59.31 | 40.9 | 1. 45 | 69.70 | 41.0 | 1.70 | 73.50 | 42.0 | 1.75 | 68.02 | 38.0 | 1. 79 | 54.37 | 39.4 | 1.38 |
|  | 68.39 | 41.7 | 1.64 | 61.61 | 42.2 | 1.46 | 73. 85 | 42. 2 | 1.75 | 80.66 | 43.6 | 1.85 | 69. 89 | 40.4 | 1. 73 | 55.18 | 39.7 | 1.39 |
|  | 67.32 | 41.3 | 1.63 | 59.74 | 41.2 | 1. 45 | 65. 57 | 42.3 | 1. 55 | 72.58 | 40.1 | 1. 81 | 62. 78 | 41.3 | 1. 52 | 55.06 | 39.9 | 1. 38 |
|  | 67.82 | 41.1 | 1. 65 | 58.55 | 40.1 | 1. 46 | 73. 05 | 48.7 | 1. 50 | 72.90 | 40.5 | 1.80 | 76. 80 | 48.3 | 1. 59 | 53.58 | 39.4 | 1.36 |
|  | 67.90 | 41.4 | 1.64 | 57.77 | 39.3 | 1. 47 | 72.48 | 48.0 | 1.51 | 73.62 | 40.9 | 1.80 | 76.59 | 46. 7 | 1. 64 | 54.67 | 40.2 | 1.36 |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table C-1: Hours and gross earnings of production workers or nonsupervisory employees ${ }^{1}$-Continued


See footnotes at end of table.

TABLE C-1: Hours and gross earnings of production workers or nonsupervisory employees ${ }^{1}$ - Continued


See footnotes at end of table.

TABLE C-1: Hours and gross earnings of production workers or nonsupervisory employees ${ }^{1}$-Continued


[^42]TABLE C-1: Hours and gross earnings of production workers or nonsupervisory employees ${ }^{1}$-Continued


[^43]TABLE C-1: Hours and gross earnings of production workers or nonsupervisory employees ${ }^{1}$-Continued


Beefootnotesat end of table.

TABLE C-1: Hours and gross earnings of production workers or nonsupervisory employees ${ }^{1}$-Continued


[^44]$289400-54$

Table C-1: Hours and gross earnings of production workers or nonsupervisory employees ${ }^{1}$-Continued

| Year and month | Manufacturing-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Stone, clay, and glass products-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Cement, hydraulic |  |  | Structural clay products ${ }^{2}$ |  |  | Brick and hollow tile |  |  | Floor and wall tile |  |  | Sewer pipe |  |  | Clay refractories |  |  |
|  | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earnIngs | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. brly. earnings | A $\nabla \mathrm{g}$. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | AVg. hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | A Fg . hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings |
| 1951: A verage <br> 1952. Average | \$65. 21 | 41.8 | \$1.56 | \$60.03 | 41.4 | \$1.45 | \$57. 92 | 42.9 | \$1. 35 | \$60. 25 | 39.9 | \$1. 51 | \$58.15 | 40.1 | \$1. 45 | \$63. 76 | 40.1 | \$1.59 |
|  | 67. 72 | 41.8 | 1. 62 | 60.09 | 40.6 | 1.48 | 58. 51 | 42.4 | 1.38 | 62. 64 | 39.9 | 1. 57 | 59.98 | 39. 2 | 1.53 | 61.60 | 38.5 | 1. 60 |
| 1953: January | 71.23 70.97 | 41.9 41.5 | 1.70 | 61.81 60.28 | 40.4 39.4 | 1. 53 | 58.80 | 42.0 | 1.40 | 64. 87 | 39.8 | 1.63 | 63. 04 | 39.9 | 1.58 | 64. 64 | 37.8 | 1. 71 |
|  | 70.97 70.55 | 41.5 41.5 | 1.71 1.70 | 60.28 61.05 | 39.4 39.9 | 1. 1.53 | 56.30 57.13 | 40.8 41.4 | 1.38 1.38 | 65. 20 65.44 | 40.0 39.9 | 1.63 1.64 | 59.59 60.68 | 38.2 38.9 | 1.56 1.56 | 63. 41 | 37.3 37.9 | 1.70 1.70 |
|  | 71. 40 | 42.0 | 1. 70 | 62. 37 | 40.5 | 1. 54 | 59. 50 | 42.2 | 1. 41 | 66.33 | 40.2 | 1.65 | 62.81 | 395 | 1.59 | 6.5. 32 | 37.9 | 1.70 |
|  | 71.23 | 41.9 | 1.70 | 63.09 | 40.7 | 1. 55 | 60. 92 | 42.6 | 1. 43 | 66. 40 | 40.0 | 1. 66 | 64.08 | 40.3 | 1. 59 | 64.26 | 37.8 | 1. 70 |
|  | 72.38 | 41.6 | 1.74 | 63.24 | 40.8 | 1.55 | 60.35 | 42.2 | 1. 43 | 66. 80 | 40.0 | 1. 67 | 64.88 | 40.3 | 1.61 | 65. 28 | 38.4 | 1. 70 |
|  | 73.99 | 41.8 | 1.77 | 64. 74 | 41.5 | 1.56 | 62. 64 | 43.2 | 1. 45 | 67.97 | 40.7 | 1. 67 | 66.01 | 41.0 | 1.61 | 66.13 | 38.9 | 1. 70 |
|  | 76. 26 | 41.9 | 1.82 | 65. 41 | 41.4 | 1.58 | 62.35 | 43.0 | 1.45 | 68.64 | 41.1 | 1. 67 | 66.91 | 41.3 | 1. 62 | 68.20 | 38.1 | 1. 79 |
|  | 75.18 | 42.0 | 1.79 | 65.83 | 41.4 | 1.59 | 63.36 | 43.1 | 1.47 | 67.97 | 40.7 | 1. 67 | 66.02 | 40.5 | 1.63 | 69. 63 | 38.9 | 1.79 |
|  | 77.75 | 41.8 | 1.86 | 65.37 | 40.6 | 1. 61 | 62.60 | 42.3 | 1.48 | 68.28 | 40.4 | 1. 69 | 64.94 | 39.6 | 1. 64 | 69.17 | 37.8 | 1. 83 |
|  | 74. 82 | 41.8 | 1. 79 | 66.56 | 41.6 | 1.60 | 64.96 | 43.6 | 1.49 | 69. 77 | 40.8 | 1.71 | 66.91 | 40.8 | 1.64 | 69.09 | 38.6 | 1. 79 |
|  | 72.75 | 41.1 | 1.77 | 65. 92 | 41.2 | 1.60 | 63. 49 | 42.9 | 1.48 | 68. 71 | 40.9 | 1. 68 | 67.16 | 40.7 | 1.65 | 68. 02 | 38.0 | 1. 79 |
|  | 73.46 | 41.5 | 1.77 | 65.19 | 41.0 | 1. 59 | 62.90 | 42.5 | 1.48 | 68. 06 | 41.0 | 1.66 | 64.48 | 39.8 | 1.62 | 69.09 | 38.6 | 1. 79 |
|  | Pottery and related products |  |  | Concrete, gypsum, and plaster products ${ }^{2}$ |  |  | Concrete products |  |  | Cut-stone and stone products |  |  | Miscellaneous nonmetallic mineral products ${ }^{9}$ |  |  | Abrasive products |  |  |
| 1951: | \$57. 91 | 38.1 | \$1. 52 | \$68. 25 | 45. 2 | \$1. 51 | \$67. 50 | 45.0 | \$1.50 | \$58.93 | 41.5 | \$1.42 | \$68. 46 | 42.0 | \$1. 63 | \$72. 28 | 41.3 | \$1.75 |
| 1952: A verage | 61.15 | 38.7 | 1.58 | 70.65 | 45.0 | 1. 57 | 70.22 | 45.3 | 1. 55 | 60.01 | 41.1 | 1. 46 | 69.83 | 40.6 | 1.72 | 73.45 | 39.7 | 1.85 |
| 1953: January | 63. 11 | 39.2 | 1.61 | 72.45 | 45.0 | 1.61 | 71.87 | 45.2 | 1. 59 | 62.02 | 40.8 | 1. 52 | 72. 92 | 41.2 | 1.77 | 81.67 | 42.1 | 1.94 |
|  | 62.65 | 38.2 | 1.64 | 69.12 | 43.2 | 1.60 | 67.82 | 43.2 | 1. 57 | 60.85 | 40.3 | 1. 51 | 73. 16 | 41.1 | 1.78 | 81.06 | 42.0 | 1. 93 |
|  | 63. 96 | 39.0 | 1.64 | 70. 79 | 43.7 | 1. 62 | 69. 64 | 43.8 | 1. 59 | 62. 17 | 40.9 | 1. 52 | 73. 62 | 40.9 | 1. 80 | 80.54 | 41.3 | 1. 95 |
|  | 64.35 | 39.0 | 1.65 | 70. 63 | 43.6 | 1. 62 | 69.64 | 43.8 | 1. 59 | 62. 27 | 40.7 | 1. 53 | 74. 29 | 41.5 | 1. 79 | 82.88 | 42.5 | 1. 95 |
|  | 62.87 | 38.1 | 1. 65 | 72.32 | 44.1 | 1. 64 | 71.16 | 44.2 | 1.61 | 62.88 | 41.1 | 1. 53 | 74.57 | 41.2 | 1.81 | 81.51 | 41.8 | 1. 95 |
|  | 61.92 | 37.3 | 1. 66 | 71. 88 | 44.1 | 1. 63 | 71.16 | 44.2 | 1.61 | 64.90 | 41.6 | 1.56 | 75.30 | 41.6 | 1.81 | 82. 52 | 42.1 | 1.96 |
|  | 61. 09 | 36.8 | 1. 66 | 73. 54 | 44.3 | 1.66 | 72. 82 | 44.4 | 1. 64 | 64.17 | 41.4 | 1. 55 | 73. 67 | 40. 7 | 1.81 | 79.59 | 40.4 | 1. 97 |
|  | 60.76 | 36. 6 | 1.66 | 73.37 | 44.2 | 1. 66 | 71.72 | 44.0 | 1.63 | 64. 02 | 41.3 | 1. 55 | 73.35 | 40.3 | 1.82 | 78.01 | 39.6 | 1.97 |
|  | 60.06 | 36. 4 | 1.65 | 75.71 | 44.8 | 1. 69 | 74.70 | 45.0 | 1. 66 | 65. 57 | 42.3 | 1.55 | 74. 34 | 40. 4 | 1.84 | 79.20 | 39.8 | 1. 99 |
|  | 60.23 | 36. 5 | 1.65 | 74.21 | 43.4 | 1. 71 | 71.81 | 43.0 | 1. 67 | 63. 71 | 41.1 | 1.55 | 74.74 | 40.4 | 1.85 | 76.04 | 38.6 | 1. 97 |
|  | 63. 20 | 38.3 | 1.65 | 76.37 | 44.4 | 1. 72 | 74.93 | 44. 6 | 1.68 | 65.60 | 42.6 | 1.54 | 74.15 | 40.3 | 1.84 | 77. 62 | 39.2 | 1. 98 |
|  | 62.04 | 37.6 | 1.65 | 73.08 | 43.5 | 1.68 | 71. 01 | 43.3 | 1.64 | 64. 22 | 41.7 | 1.54 | 73.05 | 39.7 | 1.84 | 78.21 | 39.3 | 1.99 |
|  | 60.92 | 36.7 | 1.66 | 74.19 | 43.9 | 1.69 | 72. 60 | 44.0 | 1.65 | 65.57 | 42.3 | 1. 55 | 75.14 | 40.4 | 1.86 | 78.80 | 39.8 | 1.98 |
|  | Stone, clay, and glass products-Con. |  |  |  |  |  | Primary metal industries |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Asbest0s products |  |  | Nonclay refractories |  |  | Total: Primary metal Industries |  |  | Blast furnaces, steelworks, and rolling mills? |  |  | Blast furnaces, steelworks, and rolling mills, except electrometallurgical products |  |  | Electrometallurgical products |  |  |
| 1951: Average ..... | \$69.44 | 43.4 | \$1.60 | \$66.78 | 38.6 | \$1. 73 | \$75. 12 | 41.5 | \$1.81 | \$77. 30 | 40.9 | \$1.89 | \$77. 30 | 409 | \$1.89 | \$74.46 | 41.6 | \$1.79 |
| 1952: Average .... | 71. 57 | 42.6 | 1.68 | 65. 70 | 36. 3 | 1.81 | 77.33 | 40.7 | 1. 90 | 79. 60 | 40.0 | 1.99 | 79. 60 | 400 | 1. 99 | 76.04 | 41.1 | 1.85 |
| December | 74. 21 | 43.4 | 1.71 | 69. 91 | 36. 6 | 1.91 | 84.02 | 41.8 | 2.01 | 86.51 | 41.0 | 2.11 | 86.51 | 41.0 | 2.11 | 79.87 | 41.6 | 1.92 |
| 1953: January | 72. 58 | 42.2 | 1.72 | 71.96 | 36. 9 | 1.95 | 84. 65 | 41.7 | 2.03 | 89.01 | 41.4 | 2.15 | 89.01 | 41.4 | 2.15 | 80.29 | 41.6 | 1.93 |
| February | 72. 91 | 41.9 | 1. 74 | 74. 65 | 37.7 | 1.98 | 83.21 | 41.4 | 2. 01 | 85.88 | 40.9 | 2.10 | 85. 89 | 40.9 | 2. 10 | 80.51 | 41.5 | 1.94 |
| March | 75. 08 | 42. 9 | 1.75 | 71. 20 | 36.7 | 1.94 | 84.23 | 41.7 | 2. 02 | 85. 89 | 40.9 | 2.10 | 85.89 | 40.9 | 2.10 | 79.30 | 41.3 | 1. 92 |
| April | 76. 72 | 43.1 | 1. 78 | 72.36 | 37.3 | 1. 94 | 83.22 | 41.2 | 2. 02 | 84. 63 | 40.3 | 2. 10 | 84.63 | 40.3 | 2.10 | 79. 10 | 41:2 | 1.92 |
| May | 78.04 | 43.6 | 1. 79 | 71. 00 | 36.6 | 1.94 | 83.84 | 41.3 | 2.03 | 86.72 | 41.1 | 2.11 | 86.72 | 41.1 | 2.11 | 79.95 | 41.0 | 1.95 |
| June | 77.43 77.51 | 43.5 43.3 | 1.78 1.79 | 68. 35 | 35.6 35.9 | 1.92 | 84.87 <br> 85.07 <br> 8. | 41.4 40.9 | 2.05 | 87.53 <br> 89 | 40.9 | 2.14 | 87. 53 | 40.9 | 2. 14 | 79.95 | 41.0 | 1.95 |
| August | 76.80 | 42.2 | 1.82 | 72.00 | 36.0 | 2.00 | 85.28 | 41.0 | 2.08 | 89.20 90 | 41.0 | 2. 20 | 89.76 90.20 | 41.8 | 2. 20 | 83.82 | 41.7 | 2.01 |
| September | 77.41 | 42.3 | 1.83 | 73.16 | 36.4 | 2.01 | 85.63 | 40.2 | 2.13 | 90.80 | 40.0 | 2. 27 | 90.80 | 40.0 | 2.27 | 85.70 | 41.6 | 2. 06 |
| October | 78.14 | 42.7 | 1.83 | 70.69 | 35.7 | 1.98 | 83.82 | 40.3 | 2.08 | 88.04 | 40.2 | 2.19 | 88. 04 | 40.2 | 2.19 | 77.62 | 39.6 | 1.96 |
| November | 77.04 | 42.1 | 1.83 | 69. 54 | 35.3 | 1.97 | 82.59 | 39.9 | 2.07 | 86.37 | 39.8 | 2.17 | 86.37 | 39.8 | 2.17 | 79.59 | 40.4 | 1. 97 |
|  | 75.71 | 41.6 | 1.82 | 74.40 | 37.2 | 2. 00 | 82.18 | 39.7 | 2.07 | 84.46 | 39.1 | 2.16 | 84.46 | 39.1 | 2.16 | 80.18 | 40.7 | 1.97 |
|  | Primary metal industries-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Iron and steel foundries ${ }^{2}$ |  |  | Gray-iron foundries |  |  | Malleable-iron foundries |  |  | Steel foundries |  |  | Primary smelting and refining of nonferrous metals? |  |  | Primary smelting and refining of copper, lead, and zinc |  |  |
| 1951: A verag | \$71.66 | 42.4 | \$1.69 | \$70.05 | 42.2 | \$1.66 | \$72.07 | 41.9 | \$1. 72 | \$75. 86 | 43.1 | \$1.76 | \$69.97 | 41.4 | \$1.69 | \$69. 38 | 41.3 | \$1.68 |
| 1952: A verage. | 72. 22 | 40.8 | 1.77 | 69.89 | 40.4 | 1.73 | 70.56 | 39.2 | 1.80 | 77. 70 | 42.0 | 1.85 | 75. 48 | 41.7 | 1.81 | 75.06 | 41.7 | 1.80 |
| 1953. December | 76. 96 | 41.6 | 1.85 | 73.75 | 41.2 | 1.79 | 76. 63 | 41.2 | 1.86 | 83.10 | 42.4 | 1.96 | 78. 58 | 41.8 | 1.88 | 77.89 | 42.1 | 1.85 |
| 1953: January... | 74.89 | 40.7 | 1.84 | 72.32 | 40.4 | 1. 79 | 75. 70 | 40. 7 | 1. 86 | 79. 52 | 41.2 | 1.93 | 79.61 | 41.9 | 1. 90 | 78. 54 | 42.0 | 1.87 |
|  | 76. 63 | 41.2 | 1.86 | 73. 49 | 40.6 | 1.81 | 80.79 | 42. 3 | 1. 91 | 8129 | 41.9 | 1.94 | 79.65 | 41.7 | 1. 91 | 79.15 | 42.1 | 1.88 |
|  | 78. 96 | 42. 0 | 1.88 | 76. 49 | 41.8 | 1.83 | 81.60 | 42.5 | 1. 92 | 82. 29 | 42.2 | 1.95 | 79.65 | 41.7 | 1.91 | 79.15 | 42.1 | 1.88 |
|  | 78. 40 | 41.7 | 1.88 | 77. 10 | 41.9 | 1.84 | 79.68 | 41.5 | 1. 92 | 80.95 | 41.3 | 1. 96 | 79.46 | 41.6 | 1. 91 | 78.35 | 41.9 | 1. 87 |
|  | 77.27 | 41.1 | 1.88 | 75. 81 | 41.2 | 1.84 | 79. 23 | 41.7 | 1. 90 | 79.58 | 40.6 | 1.96 | 79.46 | 41.6 | 1.91 | 78.35 | 41.9 | 1.87 |
|  | 78.44 | 41.5 | 1.89 | 76. 78 | 41.5 | 1.85 | 79. 52 | 41.2 | 1.93 | 81.95 | 41.6 | 1.97 | 80.10 | 41.5 | 1. 93 | 79.61 | 41.9 | 1.90 |
|  | 77.33 | 40.7 | 1.90 | 75. 89 | 40.8 | 1.86 | 78. 09 | 41.1 | 1.90 | 79.19 | 40.2 | 1.97 | 80.34 | 41.2 | 1.95 | 79.84 | 41.8 | 1. 91 |
|  | 76.55 | 40.5 | 1.89 | 74. 70 | 40.6 | 1.84 | 75. 60 | 40.0 | 1.89 | 80.40 | 40.4 | 1.99 | 81.16 | 41.2 | 1. 97 | 80.87 | 41.9 | 1. 93 |
|  | 75.05 | 39.5 | 1. 90 | 73.84 | 39.7 | 1.86 | 73. 14 | 38. 7 | 1.89 | 78. 80 | 39.4 | 2.00 | 84.67 | 41.3 | 2.05 | 84.20 | 42.1 | 2. 00 |
|  | 74.28 73.90 | 39. 3 | 1. 89 | 74. 03 | 39.8 | 1.86 | 73.90 | 39.1 | 1.89 | 75.83 | 38.3 | 1.98 | 82.39 | 41.4 | 1.99 | 81.48 | 42.0 | 1.94 |
|  | 73.90 75.24 | 39.1 39.6 | 1.89 1.90 | 73.47 74.03 | 39.5 39.8 | 1.86 | 71. 63 | 37.9 | 1.89 | 76. 24 | 38.7 | 1. 97 | 83. 18 | 41.8 | 1.99 | 82.26 | 42.4 | 1.94 |
|  | 75. 24 | 39.6 | 1.90 | 74.03 | 39.8 | 1.86 | 72. 77 | 38.5 | 1.89 | 79.20 | 39.6 | 2.00 | 82. 57 | 41.7 | 1.98 | 80.83 | 42.1 | 1.92 |

See footnotes at end of table.

TABLE C-1: Hours and gross earnings of production workers or nonsupervisory employees ${ }^{1}$-Continued

| Year and month | Manufacturing-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Primary metal industries-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Primary refining of aluminum |  |  | Secondary smelting and refining of nonferrous metals |  |  | Rolling, drawing, and alloying of nonferrousmetals ${ }^{2}$ |  |  | Rolling, drawing, and alloying of copper |  |  | Rolling, drawing, and alloying of aluminum |  |  | Nonferrous foundries |  |  |
|  | Avg. wkly. earnIngs | Avg. wkly. hours | A vg. hrly. earn- ings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. ings | Avg. wkly. earn- ings ings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. <br> wkly. <br> earn- <br> ings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. <br> wkly. <br> earn- <br> ings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnIngs |
| 1951: Average | $\$ 70.97$ 76.08 | 41.5 41.8 | $\$ 1.71$ 1.82 | \$64.94 | 41.1 | $\$ 1.58$ 1.65 1 | $\$ 68.78$ <br> 74.88 | 40.7 41.6 | $\$ 1.69$ 1.80 | $\$ 70.76$ 76.49 | 40.9 41.8 | $\$ 1.73$ <br> 1.83 | \$64. 69 69.95 | 39.4 40.2 | $\$ 1.63$ 1.74 | \$73.74 77.79 | 41.9 41.6 | $\$ 1.76$ 1.87 |
| 1952: A verage | 76.08 80.32 | 41.8 41.4 | 1.82 1.94 | 68.15 75.60 | 41.3 43 | 1.65 1.73 | 74. 88 | 41.6 | 1.80 | 76. 49 86.00 | 44.1 1 | 1.83 1.95 1 | 75.67 | 40.9 | 1.74 | 84.00 | 43.3 | 1.94 |
| 1953: January | 81.56 | 41.4 | 1.97 | 71.72 | 41.7 | 1.72 | 82.75 82.75 | 43.1 | 1.92 | 85.22 85.50 | 43.7 43.4 | 1.95 1.97 | 77.61 | 41.5 42.3 | 1.87 1.86 | 82.84 82.10 | 42.7 42.1 | 1.94 |
| February | 80.98 79.38 | 40.9 | 1.98 1.96 | 72.91 74.62 | 41.9 42.4 | 1.74 1.76 | 82.75 <br> 83.57 | 43.1 43.3 | 1. 1.93 | 85.50 86.09 | 43.7 | 1. 1.97 | 79.68 79 | 42.4 | 1.86 1.8 | 82.71 | 42.2 | 1.96 |
| A pril | 80.59 | 40.7 | 1.98 | 74.03 | 42.3 | 1. 75 | 83. 38 | 43.2 | 1. 93 | 87.32 | 44.1 | 1.98 | 77.42 | 41.4 | 1.87 | 80.56 | 41.1 | 1.96 |
| May | 80.57 | 40.9 | 1.97 | 74.69 | 42.2 | 1. 77 | 83. 42 | 43.0 | 1. 94 | 89.20 | 44.6 | 2. 00 | 74. 59 | 40.1 | 1.86 | 80.34 | 41.2 | 1. 95 |
| June | 80.79 | 40.6 | 1. 99 | 73.22 | 41.6 | 1.76 | 85. 26 | 43. 5 | 1. 96 | 90. 25 | 44.9 | 2. 01 | 77. 27 | 41.1 | 1. 88 | 80.97 | 41.1 | 1.97 |
| July | 80.00 | 40.0 | 2. 00 | 71.69 | 40.5 | 1. 77 | 82. 29 | 42. 2 | 1. 95 | 86. 37 | 43.4 | 1. 1.99 | 75.60 77.03 | 40.0 39.5 | 1.89 | 80.59 79.38 | 40.7 | 1.98 1.96 |
| August | 80.99 | 39.7 | 2. 04 | 73. 51 | 41.3 | 1.78 | 83. 16 | 42.0 | 1.98 | 86. 20 | 43.1 | 2.00 | 77.03 | 39.5 | 1.95 | 79. 38 80 | 40.5 | 1.99 |
| Septem | 85. 32 | 39.5 | 2.16 | 73.80 | 4.0 | 1.80 | 82.17 | 41.5 | 1.98 |  | 41 | 1. 99 | 80.16 | 40.9 | 1.96 | 81.60 | 40.8 | 2.00 |
| Octor | 88.47 | 40.7 | 2.10 | 73.28 | 41.4 | 1.77 | 79.98 | 40.6 | 1.97 | 81.39 | 40.9 | 1.99 | 75. 46 | 39.1 | 1. 93 | 79.20 | 39.6 | 2. 00 |
| Decemb | 85.07 | 40.9 | 2.08 | 75.90 | 42.4 | 1.79 | 80.39 | 40.6 | 1. 98 | 81.00 | 40.5 | 2.00 | 76.81 | 39.8 | 1.93 | 81.41 | 40.5 | 2.01 |
|  | Primary metal industries-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Fabricated metal products (except ordnance, machinery, and transportation equipment) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Miscellaneous primary metal industries ${ }^{2}$ |  |  | Iron and steel forgings |  |  | Wire draving |  |  | Welded and heavy. riveted pipe |  |  | Total: Fabricated metal products (except ordnance, machinery, and transportation equipment) |  |  | Tin cans and other tinware |  |  |
| 1951: Average--- | $\$ 80.65$ 42.9 $\$ 1.88$ |  |  |    <br> $\$ 84.87$ 43.3 $\$ 1.96$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\$ 75.07$ 40.8 $\$ 1.84$ |  |  | $\$ 68.81$ 41.7 $\$ 1.65$ |  |  | \$66.49 41.3 $\$ 1.61$ |  |  |
| 1952: A verage. | $82.15 \quad 41.7 \quad 1.97$ |  |  | $\$ 84.87$   <br> 86.09 42.2 41.90 <br> 1   |  |  | 86.50 42.4 2.04 |  |  | $81.14 \quad 41.4 \quad 1.96$ |  |  | 72.38 41.6 1.74 <br> 78.37 43.3 1.81 |  |  | 69. 72 74.52 | 42.1 1.77 <br> 41.3 1.78 |  |
| 1953: January | 88.8789.03 | 43.3 | 2.09 | $\begin{aligned} & 90.47 \\ & 94.83 \\ & 93.96 \end{aligned}$ | 43. 5 | 2. 18 |  |  | 2.062.05 | 85.9088.73 | $87.55 \quad 42.5$ 2. 06 |  | 76.7476.8077.50 | 43.3 | 1.81 1.82 | 74.52 73.51 |  |  |
| Februar |  | 42.6 | 2. 09 |  |  | 2.17 | $\begin{aligned} & 87.55 \\ & 84.87 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | 41.7 42.1 | 2. 06 |  | 42.4 42.2 |  | 73.39 | 41.3 | 1.771.791.79 |
| March | 89. 90 80 88 | 42.9 | 2. 10 | 94.6192.65 | 43.242.5 | 2.19 | 86.9386.11 | 42.241.8 | 2.062.06 | 87.3685.91 | 42.0 | 2. 08 | 76.80 77.59 | 42.4 | 1.83 | 73 73 7 | 40.9 |  |
| April | 88. 41 | 42.3 | 2. 09 |  |  | 2.18 |  |  |  |  |  | 2. 07 | 77. 23 | 42. 2 | 1.83 | 73.80 | 41.0 | 1.801.80 |
| May |  | 41.5 | 2. 09 | $\begin{array}{r}90 \\ 89 \\ 89 \\ \hline 8.44\end{array}$ | 41.9 | 2. 17 | 85. 49 | 41.5 | 2.06 | 82.01 81.59 | 40.4 <br> 39.8 | 2.03 | 77. 0477.28 | $\begin{aligned} & 42.1 \\ & 42.0 \end{aligned}$ | 1.83 | $\begin{aligned} & 7416 \\ & 75.24 \end{aligned}$ | 41.2 41.8 |  |
| June | 86. 84 | 41.6 | 2.09 |  | 41.641.2 | 2. 15 | 86. 73 | 41.9 40.6 | 2.07 | 81.59 | 39.8 | 2. 05 |  |  | 1.85 |  | 41.8 428 | 1.80 |
| July | $\begin{aligned} & 85.89 \\ & 87.34 \end{aligned}$ | 40.9 | 2.10 | 88.99 90.27 |  | 2.17 | 85.2783.7982.19 | 40.840.939.9 | 2. 09 | 83. 39 | 39.9 | 2. 09 | 76.5975.70 | 41.4 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.85 \\ & 1.86 \end{aligned}$ | 79.3078.02 |  | 1.83 1.84 |
| Augus |  | 41.2 | 2.14 | $\begin{aligned} & 88.66 \\ & 89.95 \end{aligned}$ | 41.6 40.3 | 2. 20 |  |  | 2. 10 |  | 39.5 | 2.09 |  |  |  |  | 43.1 <br> 42.4 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.84 \\ & 1.84 \\ & 1.84 \\ & 1.85 \\ & 1.88 \end{aligned}$ |
| Septer | 87.12 | 40.9 | 2.13 |  | 40.7 | 2.21 |  | 39.9 | 2. 06 | 85. 67 | 40.6 | 2.11 | 77. 23 | 41.3 | 1.87 | 74.89 | 40.7 |  |
| Nove | 86.05 | 40.4 | 2.13 | 90.17 40.8 2.21 <br> 90.98 40.8 2.23 |  |  | 81.74 <br> 82.40 | $\begin{aligned} & 39.3 \\ & 40.0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 2. 06 | 85.63 | 40.1 | 2.10 | 76.67 | 41.0 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.87 \\ & 1.88 \end{aligned}$ | 75.85 | $\begin{array}{r} 41.0 \\ 42.5 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |
| December---- | 86.27 | 40.5 | 2.13 |  |  |  | 40.2 |  |  |  | 2.13 | 78.02 | 41.5 | 79.90 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Fabricated metal products-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Cutlery, handtools, and hardware ${ }^{2}$ |  |  | Cutlery and edje tools |  |  |  | Iandtools |  |  | Hardware |  |  | Heating apparatus(except electric)and plumbers'supplies ${ }^{2}$ |  |  | Sanitary ware and plumbers' supplies |  |  |
| 1951: Average....... | \$66.30 41.7 $\$ 1.59$ |  |  | \$60.74 41.6 $\$ 1.46$ |  |  | \$69.70 42.5 |  |  | \$66. $49 \quad 41.3 \quad \$ 1.61$ |  |  | \$68.71 40.9 \$1.68 |  |  | \$75.24 41.8 \$1.80 |  |  |
| 1952: A verage. | 69.05 | 41.1 | 1.68 | 63.55 68.75 | 41.0 42.7 | 1. 55 | $\begin{aligned} & 69.38 \\ & 73.43 \end{aligned}$ | 41.3 42.2 | 1.68 1.74 1.7 | 7.69 78.30 | 43.5 | 1.72 1.80 | 70.99 75.78 | 42.1 | 1.74 1.80 | 78. 62 | 41.6 | 1.89 |
| 1053. December | 75.25 74 74 |  |  | 68. 68 | 41.5 | 1.60 | 74. 10 | 42.1 | 1.76 | 77. 83 | 43.0 | 1.81 | 72.90 | 40.5 | 1.80 | 75. 39 | 40.1 | 1.88 |
| 1953: January-. | 74.80 74.69 | 42.5 42.2 | 1.76 <br> 1.77 | 66. 49 | ${ }_{41}^{41.5}$ | 1.61 | 74.58 | 41.9 | 1.78 | 77.11 | 42.6 | 1.81 | 74. 21 | 41.0 | 1.81 | 76.73 | 40.6 | 1.89 |
| March | 74.69 | 42.2 | 1.77 | 66. 40 | 41.5 | 1. 60 | 75. 78 | 42.1 | 1.80 | 76. 93 | 42. 5 | 1.81 | 74.21 | 41.0 | 1.81 | 76.76 | 40.4 | 1.90 |
| April. | 74.87 | 42.3 | 1.77 | 66.65 | 41.4 | 1.61 | 75. 54 | 42.2 | 1.79 | 77. 71 | 42. 7 | 1.82 | 74. 48 | 40.7 | 1.83 | 77. 38 | 40. 3 | 1.92 |
| May | 75. 12 | 42.2 | 1.78 | 66. 08 | 41.3 | 1. 60 | 75. 00 | 41.9 | 1.79 | 78.14 | 42.7 | 1.83 1.84 | 73. 71 | 40.5 40.1 | 1.81 | 74. 26 | 39.5 | 1.88 |
| June | 75. 36 | 42.1 | 1.79 | 65. 92 | 41.2 | 1.60 | 75. 96 | 41.2 | 1.80 | 75.02 | $4{ }_{4}^{42 .}{ }^{4}$ | 1.84 | 72.98 | 40.1 | 1.82 | 74. 09 | 39.2 | 1.89 |
| July. | 73. 39 | 41.0 | 1.79 | 65. 29 | 40.3 | 1.62 | 74. 78 | 40.6 | 1.80 | 73. 71 | 40.5 | 1.82 | 72.80 | 40.0 | 1.82 | 74.67 | 39.3 | 1.90 |
| August | 72. 45 | 40.7 | 1.78 1 1 | 67. 48 | ${ }_{41.5}^{41.4}$ | 1.66 | 73. 62 | 40.9 | 1.80 | 72.76 | 40.2 | 1.81 | 71. 76 | 39.0 | 1.84 | 72. 58 | 37.8 | 1.92 |
| September | 72. 727 | 40.6 40.6 | 1.79 | 69.22 | 41.7 | 1.66 | 73. 49 | 40.6 | 1.81 | 73. 16 | 40.2 | 1.82 | 74.56 | 40.3 | 1.85 | 76.43 | 39.6 | 1. 93 |
| Nover | 73.57 | 41.1 | 1. 79 | 69.39 | 41.8 | 1.66 | 74. 03 | 40.9 | 1.81 | 74.85 | 40.9 | 1.83 | 71.55 | 39.1 | 1.83 | 75. 46 | 39.3 | 1.92 |
| December | 74.75 | 41.3 | 1.81 | 68. 23 | 41.1 | 1.66 | 74.26 | 40.8 | 1.82 | 77.19 | 41.5 | 1.86 | 73.05 | 39.7 | 1.84 | 75.071 | 39.1 | 1.92 |
|  | Oil but tric cookin not el sified | ners, $n$ heating ng appa elsewher | onelecand aratus, clas- | Fabrica meta | ated stru <br> al produ | netural cts ${ }^{2}$ |  | ural steel mental | l and metal- | Metal frame and | $\begin{aligned} & \text { doors, } \\ & \text { res, } \\ & \text { trim } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { sash, } \\ & \text { holding, } \end{aligned}$ | Boiler- | -shop pr | ducts | Sheet | t-metal | work |
| 1951: A verage | \$66.18 | 40.6 | \$1.63 | \$71. 49 | 42.3 | \$1. 69 | \$71.49 | 42.3 | \$1. 69 | \$71. 57 | 42.1 | \$1. 70 | \$71.90 | 42.8 | \$1. 68 | \$70. 39 | 41.9 | \$1. 68 |
| 1952: Average. | 69.87 | 41.1 | 1.70 | 74. 87 | 42.3 | 1.77 | 75. 05 | 42.4 | 1.77 | 74. 23 | 41.7 | 1.78 | 74.80 | 42.5 | 1.76 | 75.18 80 | 42.0 | 1.79 |
| December | 74.87 | 42.3 | 1.77 | 79. 92 | 43. 2 | 1.85 | 78. 51 | 42.9 | 1.83 | 78. 40 | 41.7 | 1.98 | 78. 38 | 42.5 42.6 | 1.84 1.84 | 78. 20 | 42.5 | 1.84 |
| 1953: January | 72. 04 | 40.7 | 1.77 | 78. 38 | 42.6 42 | 1.84 | 78.94 | 42.8 | 1.85 | 77.49 | 41.0 | 1.89 | 79. 79 | 42.9 | 1.86 | 79. 29 | 42.4 | 1.87 |
| February | 73.16 73.34 | 41.1 | 1.78 1.78 | 79. ${ }^{74}$ | 42.6 42.9 | 1.86 1.86 | 79.18 79.92 | 43.2 | 1.85 | 80.56 | 42.4 | 1.90 | 79.55 | 43.0 | 1.85 | 79. 10 | 42.3 | 1.87 |
| March. | 73. 21 | 41.2 40.9 | 1.79 | 79.61 | 42.8 | 1.86 | 79. 55 | 43.0 | 1.85 | 78. 58 | 41.8 | 1.88 | 80.35 | 43.2 | 1.86 | 80.33 | 42.5 | 1.89 |
| April. | 72. 27 | 40.6 | 1.78 | 79.85 | 42.7 | 1.87 | 80.35 | 43.2 | 1.86 | 79.34 | 42.2 | 1.88 | 79.85 | 42.7 | 1.87 | 79. 99 | 42.1 | 1.90 |
| June. | 72.32 | 40.4 | 1.79 | 80.46 | 42.8 | 1.88 | 81.97 | 43.6 | 1.88 | 81. 13 | 42.7 | 1.90 | 80.09 | 42.6 | 1.88 | 78. 81 | 41.7 | 1.89 |
| July | 72. 50 | 40.5 | 1.79 | 79. 00 | 41.8 | 1.89 | 79. 71 | 42.4 | 1.88 | 78. 44 | 41.5 | 1.89 | 80. 98 | 42. 4 | 1. 91 | 75. 79 | 40. 1 | 1. 89 |
| August | 72.14 | 40.3 | 1.79 | 81.60 | 42.5 | 1.92 | 82. 32 | 43.1 | 1. 91 | 77.71 | 40.9 | 1. 90 | 82. 22 | 42.6 | 1.93 | 80. 03 | 41.9 | 1.91 |
| September | 71. 31 | 39.4 | 1.81 | 80.48 | 41.7 | 1.93 | 80. 26 | 41.8 | 1. 92 | 76.95 | 40.5 | - 1.90 | 82. 88 | 42.5 | 1.95 | 83.46 | 42.8 | 1.95 |
| October | 73. 71 | 40.5 | 1.82 | 83.03 | 42.8 | 1.94 1.93 | 84. 83 | 42.8 | 1.94 | 76.11 76.15 | 40.7 | 1.87 | 81.67 | 42.1 | 1.94 | 80.51 | 41.5 | 1.94 |
| November- | 70. 20 72.40 | 39.0 40.0 | 1.80 | 81.25 82.03 | 42.1 <br> 42.5 | 1.93 | 84.58 <br> 8 | 43.6 | 1.94 | 78. 25 | 41.4 | 41.89 | 82.26 | 42.4 | 1.94 | 79.71 | 41.3 | 1.93 |

See footnotes at end of table.
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TABLE C-1: Hours and gross earnings of production workers or nonsupervisory employees ${ }^{1}$ - Continued

| Year and month | Manufacturing-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Miscellaneous manufacturing industries-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Jewelry and findings |  |  | Silverware and plated ware |  |  | Musical instruments and parts |  |  | Toys and sporting goods ${ }^{2}$ |  |  | Games, toys, dolls, and children's vehicles |  |  | Sporting and athletic ooods |  |  |
|  | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | A vg. hrly. ings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | A vg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earn- <br> ing | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earn- ings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earn- ings |
| 1951: A verage_ <br> 1952: A verage | $\$ 58.38$ <br> 63.33 | 41.7 42.5 | $\$ 1.40$ 1.49 | $\$ 65.73$ 70.98 | 41.6 42.0 | $\$ 1.58$ 1.69 | $\$ 63.65$ 68.64 | 40.8 41.1 | $\begin{array}{r}\$ 1.56 \\ 1.67 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\$ 53.60$ 58.73 | 39.7 40.5 | $\$ 1.35$ 1.45 1 | $\begin{array}{r}\$ 53.72 \\ 58.84 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 39.5 40.3 | \$1.36 | \$53. 33 | 39.8 | \$1.34 |
| December | 68. 70 | 42.5 45.2 | 1.49 1.52 | 79.28 | 42.0 45.3 | 1.69 1.75 | 72.93 | 41.1 42.4 | 1. 1.72 | 58.73 62.06 | 40.5 41.1 | 1.45 | 58.84 61.41 | 40.3 40.4 | 1.46 | 58.90 63.15 | 40.9 42.1 | 1. 44 |
| 1953: January- | 66. 73 | 43.9 | 1. 52 | 71. 74 | 42.2 | 1.70 | 71.28 | 41.2 | 1.73 | 60.15 | 40.1 | 1.50 | 69.04 59 | 39.1 | 1. 51 | 63.15 61.69 | 42.1 41 | 1. 1.49 |
| February | 65. 91 | 42.8 | 1. 54 | 73. 44 | 42.7 | 1.72 | 72. 21 | 41.5 | 1.74 | 61.00 | 40.4 | 1.51 | 60.04 | 39.5 | 1. 52 | 61.98 | 41.6 | 1.49 |
| March | 66. 10 | 43. 2 | 1. 53 | 75. 69 | 43.5 | 1.74 | 72. 73 | 41.8 | 1.74 | 62.06 | 41.1 | 1. 51 | 61.81 | 40.4 | 1. 53 | 62. 58 | 42.0 | 1.49 |
| April | 64.41 63.91 | 42.1 41.5 | 1.53 | 76.13 | 43.5 | 1.75 | 72. 28 | 41.3 | 1. 75 | 61.05 | 40.7 | 1. 50 | 61.56 | 40.5 | 1. 52 | 60.83 | 41.1 | 1.48 |
|  | 63.91 | 41.5 | 1. 54 | 76. 73 | 43.2 | 1.76 1.75 | 70.88 70.35 | 40.5 | 1.75 | 60.90 | 40.6 | 1. 50 | 61.41 | 40.4 | 1. 52 | 60.53 | 40.9 | 1. 48 |
| July | 60.70 | 40.2 | 1.51 | 73. 50 | 42.0 | 1.75 | 68. 78 | 40.2 39.3 | 1.75 | 60.60 58.20 | 40.4 38.8 | 1.50 | 60.70 57.45 | 40.2 38.3 | 1. 51 | 60. 24 | 40.7 | 1. 48 |
| August | 62.73 | 41.0 | 1. 53 | 75. 50 | 42.9 | 1.76 | 70.58 | 40.1 | 1.76 | 59.75 | 40.1 | 1. 49 | 60.30 | 40.2 | 1.50 | 59.05 | 6 | 1.49 |
| Septemb | 63.71 | 41.1 | 1.55 | 77. 43 | 43.5 | 1.78 | 70.84 | 39.8 | 1.78 | 60.34 | 39.7 | 1. 52 | 61.51 | 40.2 | 1. 53 | 58.05 | 39.7 | 1.48 |
| October | 68.37 | 43.0 | 1. 59 | 78.04 | 43.6 | 1.79 | 72. 80 | 40.9 | 1.78 | 62.12 | 40.6 | 1.53 | 63. 55 | 41.0 | 1. 55 | 60.00 | 48.7 | 1. 50 |
| Novemb | 68.37 | 43.0 | 1. 59 | 80.00 | 44.2 | 1.81 | 73. 93 | 41.3 | 1. 79 | 62.52 | 40.6 | 1.54 | 64. 27 | 41.2 | 1. 56 | 59.40 | 39.6 | 1.50 |
| Decemb | 69.01 | 43.4 | 1. 59 | 80.10 | 44.5 | 1.80 | 74.29 | 41.5 | 1.79 | 61.60 | 40.0 | 1.54 | 61.69 | 39.8 | 155 | 61.41 | 40.4 | 1.52 |
|  | Manufacturing-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Transportation and public utilities |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Miscellaneous manufacturing industries-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Pens, pencils, and other office supplies |  |  | Costume jewelry, buttons, notions |  |  | Fabricated plastic products |  |  | Other manufacturing industries |  |  | Class I rallroads ${ }^{\text {s }}$ |  |  | Local railways and buslines ${ }^{\circ}$ |  |  |
| 1951: A verage | \$54. 91 | 41.6 | \$1. 32 | \$53.73 | 40.1 | \$1. 34 | \$60. 59 | 41.5 | \$1.46 | \$59.18 | 41.1 | \$1.44 | \$70. 93 | 41.0 | \$1.73 | \$72. 23 | 46.3 | \$1.56 |
| 1952: A verage | 57. 26 | 40.9 | 1.40 | 55. 74 | 40.1 | 1.39 | 64.79 | 41.8 | 1.55 | 62.02 | 40.8 | 1.52 | 74.30 | 40.6 | 1.83 | 76.56 | 46.4 | 1.65 |
| 1953: Jecember | 59. 76 | 41.5 | 1.44 | 59. 47 | 41.3 | 1. 44 | 68. 96 | 43.1 | 1. 60 | 65. 68 | 42.1 | 1. 56 | 76. 30 | 40.8 | 1.87 | 78. 66 | 46.0 | 1. 71 |
| February | 57.57 | 39.9 39.7 | 1.45 | 60.30 60.01 | 41.3 | 1.46 1.46 | 70.09 69.21 | 43.0 | 1.63 | 64.37 | 41.0 | 1. 57 | 74. 61 | 39.9 | 1. 87 | 76. 01 | 44.5 | 1.71 |
| March | 58. 29 | 40.2 | 1.45 | 61.01 | 41.5 | 1.47 | 69.28 | 42.5 | 1.63 | 64. 37 | 41.0 | 1. 1.57 | 76.95 | 40.5 | 1.90 | 76. 61 | 44.8 44.9 | 1.71 |
| April | 59.02 | 40.7 | 1. 45 | 61.01 | 41.5 | 1.47 | 68.79 | 42.2 | 1. 63 | 64.62 | 40.9 | 1. 58 | 76.82 | 41.3 | 1.86 | 77.92 | 45.3 | 1.72 |
| May | 59.13 | 40.5 | 1.46 | 60.38 | 40.8 | 1.48 | 68.88 | 42.0 | 1. 64 | 64. 24 | 40.4 | 1. 59 | 74. 43 | 39.8 | 1.87 | 79.06 | 45 | 73 |
| June | 59.86 | 41.0 | 1. 46 | 59.83 | 40.7 | 1. 47 | 67.16 | 41.2 | 1. 63 | 64.71 | 40.7 | 1. 59 | 77.75 | 41.8 | 1.86 | 78.89 | 45.6 | 1.73 |
| August | 57.38 | 39.3 | 1.46 | 55. 39 | 38. 2 | 1.45 | 66. 91 | 41.3 | 1. 62 | 64. 24 | 39.9 | 1.61 | 78. 31 | 42.1 | 1. 86 | 78.93 | 45. | 1.75 |
| Septemb | 58.58 | 40.4 | 1. 45 | 58.11 | 39.8 | 1.46 | 67.07 | 41.4 | 1. 62 | 65. 21 | 40.5 | 1.61 | 75.36 | 40.3 | 1.87 | 78.75 | 45.0 | 1.75 |
| October | 60.56 | 41.2 | 1.47 | 58.61 | 39.6 | 1.48 | 66.91 | 40.8 | 1. 64 | 63. 92 | 39.7 | 1.61 | 76. 33 | 40.6 | 1.88 | 79.65 | 45.0 | 1. 77 |
| Novemb | 60.94 | 40.9 | 1. 49 | 57.57 | 39.9 38.9 | 1.48 | ${ }^{67.48}$ | 41.4 | 1.63 | 60. 76 | 40.7 | 1.63 | 77. | 4.09 | 1. 89 | 79.39 | 44.6 | 1.78 |
| Decembe | 62.13 | 41.7 | 1.49 | 58.07 | 39.5 | 1.47 | 68.31 | 41.4 | 1.65 | 67.47 | 40.4 | 1.67 | 76.04 | 39.4 | 1.93 | 78.06 78.41 | 44.1 | 1.77 1.77 |
|  | Communication |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other public utilities |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Telephone |  |  | Switchboard operating employees ${ }^{\text {? }}$ |  |  | Line construction, installation, and maintenance employees ${ }^{8}$ |  |  | Telegraph ${ }^{\text {P }}$ |  |  | Total: Gas and electric utilities |  |  | Electric light and power utilities |  |  |
| 1951: A verage | \$58. 26 | 39.1 | \$1.49 | \$49.39 | 37.7 | \$1. 31 | \$81. 32 | 42.8 | \$1.90 | \$68. 24 | 44.6 | \$1. 53 | \$71. 65 | 41.9 | \$1.71 | \$72.91 | 41.9 | \$1.74 |
| 1952: A verage | 61.22 | 38.5 | 1.59 | 51.43 | 37.0 | 1.39 | 86.51 | 42.2 | 2.05 | 72. 48 | 43.4 | 1.67 | \$7.12 | 41.5 | 1.81 | 76.18 | 41.4 | 1.84 |
| 953: January | 63.63 63.69 | 38.8 38.6 | 1.64 1.65 | 52. 26 | 36. 8 | 1.42 | ${ }^{92.23}$ | 43.1 | 2.14 | 74.10 | 42.1 | 1. 76 | 78.21 | 41.6 | 1.88 | 78. 88 | 41.3 | 1.91 |
| February | ${ }^{63.58}$ | 38.6 38.3 | 1.65 | 52.56 53.07 | 36.5 36.6 | 1.44 1.45 | 92.02 89.25 | 43.0 | 2.14 | 73. 63 | 41.6 | 1. 77 | 78.40 | 41.7 | 1.88 | 79. 27 | 41.5 | 1.91 |
| March | 63.03 | 38.2 | 1.65 | 52. 20 | 36.5 | 1.43 | 88.83 | 41.9 | 2.12 | 73.63 | 41.6 | 1.77 | 77.46 77.87 | 41.2 41.2 | 1.88 | 78. 78 | 41.1 | 1.91 |
| April | 63.20 | 38.3 | 1.65 | 52. 20 | 36.5 | 1.43 | 89.67 | 42.1 | 2.13 | 73.63 | 41.6 | 1.77 | 78. 50 | 41.1 | 1.91 | 79.13 | 41.0 | 1.92 |
| May | 64.63 | 38.7 | 1.67 | 54.68 | 37.2 | 1. 47 | 90.95 | 42.5 | 2.14 | 75.90 | 42.4 | 1.79 | 79. 52 | 41.2 |  | 88.15 | 41.0 | 1.93 |
| June | 65.13 | 39.0 | 1.67 | 54.09 | 37.3 | 1. 45 | 93. 53 | 43.3 | 2.16 | 75.60 | 42.0 | 1.80 | 79.52 80.22 | 41.5 | 1.93 | 80.15 | 41.6 | 1.95 |
| July | 64.35 | 39.0 | 1. 65 | 54.38 | 37.5 | 1. 45 | 90.95 | 42.3 | 2.15 | 74. 76 | 42.0 | 1.78 | 81.32 | 41.7 | 1.95 | 82.35 | 41.8 | 1. 97 |
| August | 64. 24 | 38.7 | 1. 66 | 53. 57 | 37.2 | 1. 44 | 91.15 | 42.2 | 2.16 | 74.76 | 42.0 | 1.78 | 81.34 | 41.5 | 1.96 | 82.59 | 41.5 | 1.99 |
| September | 68. 16 | 39.4 | 1. 73 | 59. 75 | 38.3 | 1. 56 | 93.94 | 42.7 | 2.20 | 77.46 | 42.1 | 1.84 | 82.76 | 41.8 | 1.98 | 81. 02 | 41.8 | 2. 01 |
| October. | 66. 01 | 38.6 | 1. 71 | 55.72 | 36.9 | 1.51 | 93. 26 | 42.2 | 2.21 | 77.04 |  | 1.83 | 82.17 | 41.5 | 1.98 | 83. 01 | 41.3 | 2.01 |
| December-...-- | 68.08 65.84 | 38.9 38.5 | 1.75 1.71 | 57.66 53.58 | 37.2 36.2 | 1.55 1.48 | 96.30 <br> 95.44 | 42.8 | 2. 225 | 76. 13 | 41. 6 | 1.83 | 82.59 | 41.5 | 1. 99 | 83. 22 | 41.2 | 2.02 |
|  | Transportation and public utilitiesContinued |  |  |  |  |  | Wholesale and retail trade |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Other public utilities-Continued |  |  |  |  |  | Wholesale trade |  |  | Retail trade |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Gas utilities |  |  | Electric light and gas utilities combined |  |  |  |  |  | Retail trade (except eating and drinking places) |  |  | General merchandise stores ${ }^{2}$ |  |  | Department stores and general mail-order houses |  |  |
| 1951: A verage | \$68.97 | 41.8 | \$1. 65 | \$72.49 | 41.9 | \$1. 73 | \$64.31 | 40.7 | \$1.58 | \$50. 65 | 40.2 | \$1.26 | \$37. 75 | 36.3 | \$1.04 | \$44. 23 | 37.8 | \$1.17 |
| 1952: A verage...- | 71.80 | 41.5 | 1.73 | 75. 89 | 41.7 | 1.82 | 67.80 | 40.6 | 1.67 | 52.67 | 39.9 | 1.32 | 38.41 | 35.9 | 1.07 | 44.77 | 37.0 | 1.21 |
| 1953: January | 74. 46 | 41.6 | 1.79 | 79.19 | 41.9 | 1.89 | 69. 53 | 40.9 | 1.70 | 52. 54 | 39.8 | 1.32 | 38.48 | 37.0 | 1.04 | 45.90 | 38.9 | 1.18 |
| 1903: February | 74. 52 | 41.4 41.0 | 1.80 | 80.37 78.85 | 42.3 | 1. 90 | 69. 08 | 40.4 | 1.71 | 53.45 | 39.3 | 1.36 | 38.85 | 35.0 | 1.11 | 44. 50 | 35. 6 | 1.25 |
| March | 74.21 | 41.0 | 1.81 | 78.85 79.49 | 41.5 | 1. 1.92 | 69.66 69.89 | 40.5 40.4 | 1.72 | 53. 70 | 39.2 | 1.37 | 38. 17 | 34.7 | 1.10 | 43.77 | 35. 3 | 1.24 |
| April | 75.44 | 41.0 | 1.84 | 80.32 | 41.4 | 1.94 | 69.89 70.12 | 40.4 40.3 | 1.73 1.74 | 53.96 | 39.2 <br> 39.1 | 1.37 1.38 | 37.82 | 34.7 <br> 34 | 1.09 | 43. 67 | 35.5 | 1.23 |
| May | 75. 26 | 40.9 | 1.84 | 80.93 | 41.5 | 1. 95 | 70.93 | 40.3 | 1.76 | 54.21 | 39.0 | 1.39 | 37. 52 | 34.8 34 | 1.09 | 43. 79 | 35.6 | 1. 23 |
| June. | 74.85 | 40.9 | 1.83 | 82.15 | 41.7 | 1.97 | 71.10 | 40.4 | 1.76 | 55.16 | 39.4 | 1. 40 | 39. 65 | 35.4 | 1.12 | 45.59 | 35.9 | 1.25 |
| July | 76. 63 | 41.2 | 1.86 | 82.76 | 41.8 | 1.98 | 72.09 | 40.5 | 1.78 | 56.26 | 39.9 | 1. 41 | 40.54 | 36. 2 | 1.12 | 45.86 | 36. 4 | 1. 27 |
| August | 76.86 | 41.1 | 1.87 | 82. 98 | 41.7 | 1.99 | 71.91 | 40.4 | 1. 78 | 56. 12 | 39.8 | 1.41 | 39.74 | 35.8 | 1.11 | 45. 11 | 35.8 | 1. 1.26 |
| September | 78. 02 | 41.5 | 1. 88 | 84. 22 | 41. 9 | 2.01 | 72. 32 | 40.4 | 1. 79 | 55. 52 | 39.1 | 1.42 | 38. 98 | 34.8 | 1. 12 | 45. 09 | 35.5 | 1.27 |
| October- | 7821 | 41.6 | 1.88 | 83. 40 | 41.7 | 2.00 | 72. 67 | 40.6 | 1.79 | 55. 24 | 38.9 | 1.42 | 38.75 | 34.6 | 1.12 | 44.96 | 35.4 | 1.27 |
| November-.---- | 78.81 77.38 | 41.7 41.6 | 1.89 1.86 | 84.64 83.21 | 41.9 41.4 | 2.02 2.01 | 72. 50 | 40.5 | 1. 79 | 55. 10 | 38.8 | 1. 42 | 38. 98 | 34.8 | 1.12 | 44. 86 | 35.6 | 1.26 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 72.62 | 40.8 | 1.78 | 54. 23 | 39.3 | 1.38 | 39.57 | 36.3 | 1. 09 | 46. 62 | 37.6 | 1.24 |
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Table C-2: Gross average weekly earnings of production workers in selected industries, in current and 1947-49 dollars ${ }^{1}$

| Year and month | Manufacturing |  | $\underset{\substack{\text { mining }}}{\substack{\text { Bituminous coal } \\ \text { min }}}$ |  | Laundries |  | Year and month | Manufacturing |  | $\underset{\text { mining coal }}{\substack{\text { Bituminous col } \\ \text { min }}}$ |  | Laundries |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Current dollars | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1947-49 } \\ & \text { dollars } \end{aligned}$ | Current dollars | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1947-49 } \\ & \text { dollars } \end{aligned}$ | Current dollars | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1947-49 } \\ & \text { dollars } \end{aligned}$ |  | Current dollars | $\begin{aligned} & 1947-49 \\ & \text { dollars } \end{aligned}$ | Current dollars | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1947-49 } \\ & \text { dollars } \end{aligned}$ | Current dollars | 1947-49 dollars |
| 1939: A verage | $\$ 23.86$29.5843.8254.1454.9259.3364.7167.97 | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 40.17 \\ 47.03 \\ 52.54 \\ 52.67 \\ 53.95 \\ 57.71 \\ 58.30 \\ 59.89 \end{array}$ | $\$ 23.88$ 30.86 58.0372.12 63. 28 70.35 78.32 | $\$ 40.20$ 49.06 69.58 70. 1662.16 68.43 70.08 69.00 | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 17.64 \\ 18.69 \\ 30.20 \\ 34.23 \\ 34.98 \\ 35.97 \\ 37.81 \\ 38.63 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 29.70 \\ 29.71 \\ 36.21 \\ 33.30 \\ 34.36 \\ 34.50 \\ 34.06 \\ 34.04 \end{array}$ | 1952: December $\qquad$ <br> 1953: January $\qquad$ <br> February $\qquad$ <br> March $\qquad$ <br> April $\qquad$ <br> May. $\qquad$ <br> June. $\qquad$ <br> August <br> September <br> October $\qquad$ <br> November ${ }^{2}$ <br> December ${ }^{2}$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | \$72.14 | \$63. 23 | \$91. 73 | \$80.39 | \$39.55 | \$34. 66 |
| 1946: A verage. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$72.14 71.34 | \$ 63.23 62.63 | $\text { 87. } 79$ | 77.08 | 39.36 | 34.5634.29 |
| 1948: A verage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 71.17 \\ & 71.93 \end{aligned}$ | 62.76 63.32 | 81. 42 <br> 81.76 | 71.8071.97 | 38.8839.3838. |  |
| 1949: A verage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 34.29 34.67 |
| 1950: A rerage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 71.40 \\ & 71.63 \end{aligned}$ | 62. 80 | 79.61 | 70.02 | 39.58 | 34.81 34 |
| 1951: Average. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 62.8362.56 | 84.97 | 74.54 | 40.67 | 35.6835.18 |
| 1952: Average.-.- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 71.63 \\ & 71.63 \end{aligned}$ |  | 91.2584.97 | 79.6974.08 | 40.2839.30 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $71.33 \quad 62.19$ |  |  |  |  | 35.18 34.26 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $71.69 \quad 62.34$ |  | 92.88 | 74.08 80.77 | 39.30 <br> 39.10 | 34. 00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 71.42 <br> 71.73 | 62.0062.16 | 86.1589.78 | 74.7877.80 | 39. 80 | 34.5534.40 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 39.70 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 71.60 <br> 71.96 | $\begin{aligned} & 62.26 \\ & 62.63 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 81.17 \\ & 82.75 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 70.58 \\ & 72.02 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 39.60 \\ & 40.19 \end{aligned}$ | $34.43$$34.98$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ These series indicate changes in the level of average weekly earnings prior to and after adjustment for changes in purchasing power as determined from the Bureau's Consumer Price Index, the years 1947-49 having been selected for the base period.
${ }^{2}$ Preliminary.
See Note on p. 317.

Table C-3: Gross and net spendable average weekly earnings of production workers in manufacturing industries, in current and 1947-49 dollars ${ }^{1}$

| Period | Gross average weekly earnings |  | Net spendable average weekly earnings |  |  |  | Period | Gross average weekly earnings |  | Net spendable average weekly earnings |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | W orker with no dependents |  | W orker with 3 dependents |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { W orke } \\ & \text { no depe } \end{aligned}$ | with ndents | W orke depe | with 3 dents |
|  | Amount | $\begin{gathered} \text { Index } \\ (1947-49 \\ =100) \end{gathered}$ | Curdollars | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1947-49 } \\ & \text { dollars } \end{aligned}$ | Current dollars | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1947-49 } \\ & \text { dollars } \end{aligned}$ |  | Amount | $\begin{gathered} \text { Index } \\ (1947-49 \\ =100) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Cur- } \\ \text { rent } \\ \text { dollars } \end{array}$ | 1947-49 dollars | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cur- } \\ & \text { rent } \\ & \text { dollars } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1947-49 } \\ & \text { dollars } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1941: January | \$26. 64 | 50.3 | \$25. 41 | \$42.14 | \$26. 37 | \$43. 73 | 1952: December | \$72. 14 | 136.2 | \$58.89 | \$51. 61 | \$66.94 | \$58.67 |
| 1945: January | 47.50 <br> 45.45 | 89.7 85.8 | 39.40 37.80 | 51.77 48.77 | 45.17 43.57 | 59.36 56.22 | 1953: January | 71.34 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1946: June | 43.31 | 81.8 | 37.30 | 46. 74 | 42. 78 | 53.61 | February | 71. 17 | 134.4 | 58. 13 | 51.26 | ${ }_{66.16}^{60}$ | 58.21 58.34 |
| 1939: A verage | 23.86 | 45.1 | 23.58 | 39.70 | 23.62 | 39.76 | April | 71.93 71.40 | 135.8 134.8 | 58.72 58.31 | 51.69 51.28 | 66.77 66.34 | 58.78 58.35 |
| 1940: Average | 25. 20 | 47.6 | 24. 69 | 41. 22 | 24.95 | 41. 65 | May | 71.63 | 134.8 135.3 | 58. 49 | $\stackrel{51.28}{51.31}$ | 66. 63 | 58. 38 58.36 |
| 1941: Average. | 29.58 | 55.9 | 28.05 | 44. 59 | 29.28 | 46.55 | June | 71. 63 | 135.3 | 58. 49 | 51.08 | 66.53 | 58.10 |
| 1942: Average | 36. 65 | 69.2 | 31.77 | 45. 58 | 36.28 | 52. 05 | July | 71. 33 | 134.7 | 58. 26 | 50.79 | 66. 29 | 57.79 |
| 1943: Average | 43.14 | 81.5 | 36. 01 | 48. 66 | 41.39 | 55. 93 | August | 71. 69 | 135.4 | 58. 54 | 50.90 | 66. 58 | 57.90 |
| 1944: Average. | 46.08 | 87.0 | 38.29 | 50.92 | 44. 06 | 58. 59 | Septembe | 71. 42 | 134.9 | 58.33 | 50.63 | 66.36 | 57. 60 |
| 1945: Average- | 44.39 | 83.8 | 36.97 | 48. 08 | 42.74 | 55.58 | October- | 71. 73 | 135.5 | 58.57 | 50.75 | 66.61 | 57.72 |
| 1946: A verage | 43.82 | 82.8 | 37.72 | 45. 23 | 43. 20 | 51. 80 | November ${ }^{2}$ | 71. 60 | 135.2 | 58.47 | 50.84 | 66.50 | 57.83 |
| 1947: Average | 49.97 54.14 | 94.4 102.2 | 42.76 47.43 | 44.77 46.14 | 48.24 53.17 | 50.51 51.72 | December ${ }^{2}$ | 71.96 | 135.9 | 58.75 | 51.13 | 66.79 | 58.13 |
| 1949: Average. | 54.92 | 103. 7 | 48.09 | 47. 24 | 53.83 | 52.88 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1950: Average | 59.33 | 112.0 | 51.09 | 49. 70 | 57.21 | 55.65 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1951: Average. | 64.71 | 122.2 | 54.04 | 48.68 | 61.28 | 55. 21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1952: A verage | 67.97 | 128.4 | 55.66 | 49.04 | 63.62 | 56. 05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{1}$ Net spendable average weekly earnings are obtained by deducting from gross average weekly earnings, social security and income taxes for which the specified type of worker is liable. The amount of income tax liability depends, of course, on the number of dependents supported by the worker as well as on the level of his gross income. Net spendable earnings have, therefore, been computed for 2 types of income-receivers: (1) A worker with no |  |  |  |  |  |  | age weekly earnings for all production workers in manufacturing industries without direct regard to marital status and family composition. The pri- |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | mary value of the spendable series is that of measuring relative changes in |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | disposable earnings for 2 types of income-receivers. <br> ${ }^{2}$ Preliminary. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | See Note on p 317. |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table C-4: Average hourly earnings, gross and excluding overtime, of production workers in manufacturing industries ${ }^{1}$

| Period | Manufacturing |  |  | Durable goods |  | Nondurable goods |  | Period | Manufacturing |  |  | Durable goods |  | Nondurable goods |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Grossamount | Excluding overtime |  | Gross | Ex-cluding overtime | Gross | Ex- <br> clud- <br> ing <br> over- <br> time |  | Gross amount | Excluding overtime |  | Gross | Ex- <br> cluding <br> over- <br> time | Gross | Ex- <br> cluding <br> over- <br> time |
|  |  | Amount | $\begin{gathered} \text { Index } \\ (1947-49 \\ =100) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | Amount | $\begin{gathered} \text { Index } \\ (1947-49 \\ =100) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 1941: A verage | \$0. 729 | \$0. 702 | 54.5 | \$0. 808 | \$0.770 | \$0.640 | \$0.625 | 1952: December.. | \$1.73 | \$1.65 | 128.1 | \$1.83 | \$1.75 | \$1.57 | \$1. 51 |
| 1942: A verage | . 853 | . 805 | 62.5 | $\begin{array}{r}.947 \\ \hline 1.059\end{array}$ | .881 .976 | .723 .803 | .698 .763 | 1953: January |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1943: A verage | . 961 | . 894 | 69.4 | 1. 059 | $\begin{array}{r}.976 \\ \hline 1.029\end{array}$ | . 803 | . 763 | 1953: January | 1.74 1.74 | 1.67 1.68 | 129.7 130.4 | 1.84 1.85 | 1.76 1.77 | 1. 58 1. 58 d | 1.53 1.54 |
| 1944: A verage. | 1. 019 | $\begin{array}{r}.947 \\ \hline 8\end{array}$ | 73.5 874.8 | 1.117 | 1.029 | .861 .904 | $\begin{array}{r}.814 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | February.-.- | 1.74 1.75 | 1.68 1.68 | 130.4 130.4 | 1.85 1.85 | 1.77 1.77 | 1. 1.59 | 1.54 |
| 1945: A verage | 1. 1.023 | 2. 963 1.051 | 174.8 81.6 | 1.111 1.156 | 1.042 1.122 | .904 1.015 | 2.858 .981 | March | 1.75 1.75 | 1.68 | 130.4 131.2 | 1.85 1.86 | 1.77 1.78 | 1.59 1.59 | 1.54 1.55 |
| 1947: Average | 1. 237 | 1.198 | 93.0 | 1. 292 | 1.250 | 1.171 | 1. 133 | May. | 1.76 | 1. 69 | 131.2 | 1.86 | 1.79 | 1. 60 | 1. 55 |
| 1948: A verage. | 1.350 | 1.310 | 101.7 | 1. 410 | 1.366 | 1. 278 | 1.241 | June. | 1. 76 | 1. 70 | 132.0 | 1.87 | 1.80 | 1. 60 | 1. 55 |
| 1949: Average. | 1. 401 | 1. 367 | 106.1 | 1. 469 | 1. 434 | 1. 325 | 1. 292 | July | 1. 77 | 1. 71 | 132.8 | 1.88 | 1.81 | 1. 61 | 1. 56 |
| 1950: Average | 1. 465 | 1.415 | 109.9 | 1. 537 | 1.480 | 1.378 | 1.337 | August_....- | 1.77 | 1. 71 | 132.8 | 1.88 | 1.81 | 1. 61 | 1. 56 |
| 1951: Average | 1. 59 | 1. 53 | 118.8 | 1.67 | 1. 60 | 1. 48 | 1. 43 | September--- | 1. 79 | 1. 73 | 134. 3 | 1.90 | 1.84 | 1.63 | 1.58 |
| 1952: A verage | 1. 67 | 1.61 | 125.0 | 1. 76 | 1. 69 | 1. 54 | 1. 49 |  | 1.78 1.79 | 1.73 | 134.3 134.3 | 1.89 1.89 | 1.83 1.83 | 1.62 1.63 | 1.58 1.59 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | November ${ }^{3}$ - <br> December ${ }^{3}$. | 1.79 | 1.73 1.74 | 134.3 135.1 | 1.89 1.90 | 1.83 1.84 | 1.63 1.63 | 1.59 1.59 |

${ }^{1}$ Overtime is defined as work in excess of 40 hours per week and paid for at time and one-half. The computation of average hourly earnings excluding overtime makes no allowance for special rates of pay for work done on holidays.

11-month average; August 1945 excluded because of V-J Holiday period. : Preliminary.
See Note on p. 317

Table C-5: Hours and gross earnings of production workers in manufacturing industries for selected States and areas ${ }^{1}$

| Year and month | Alabama |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Arizona |  |  |  |  |  | Arkansas |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | State |  |  | Birmingham |  |  | Mobile |  |  | State |  |  | Phoenix |  |  | State |  |  |
|  | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. <br> wkly. <br> earn- <br> ings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. <br> hrly. <br> earnings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings |
| 1951: Average | $\$ 50.93$ 52.53 | 40.1 40.1 | $\$ 1.27$ 1.31 | $\$ 60.35$ 63.18 | 40.5 40.5 | $\$ 1.49$ 1.56 | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 54.95 \\ 60.20 \end{array}$ | 40.7 40.4 | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1.35 \\ 1.49 \end{array}$ | \$66. 81 | 43.1 | \$1.55 | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 65.26 \\ 71.40 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 42.1 \\ & 42.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1.55 \\ 1.70 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 44.19 \\ 47.20 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40.5 \\ & 41.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\$ 1.09$ 1.14 |
| December | 56. 44 | 41.2 | 1.37 | 68.64 | 41.1 | 1.67 | 63.55 | 41.0 | 1.55 | 279. 74 | 244.3 | 21.80 | 76.46 | 43.2 | 1.77 | 49. 26 | 42.1 | 1.17 |
| 1953: January | 55. 48 | 40.2 | 1.38 | 68.45 | 40.5 | 1. 69 | 59.95 | 39.7 | 1.51 | 78. 55 | 43.4 | 1.81 | 76.01 | 42.7 | 1. 78 | 48.31 | 40.6 | 1. 19 |
| February | 54.92 | 39.8 | 1. 38 | 67.37 | 40.1 | 1. 68 | 59.43 | 39.1 | 1. 52 | 77.46 | 42.1 | 1.84 | 74.57 | 41.2 | 1.81 | 48.55 | 40.8 | 1. 19 |
| March | 55.35 | 40.4 | 1.37 | 68.45 | 40.5 | 1. 69 | 59.04 | 39.1 | 1.51 | 78. 86 | 42.4 | 1.86 | 76. 31 | 41.7 | 1.83 | 49. 20 | 41.0 | 1. 20 |
| April | 55.61 | 40.3 | 1. 38 | 68. 28 | 40.4 | 1. 69 | 62.02 | 39.5 | 1. 57 | 80.14 | 42.4 | 1.89 | 77.28 | 42.0 | 1.84 | 49.80 | 41.5 | 1. 20 |
| May | 55. 34 | 40.1 | 1.38 | 67.32 | 39.6 | 1. 70 | 63.18 | 40.5 | 1. 56 | 76.96 | 41.6 | 1.85 | 73.67 | 40.7 | 1.81 | 48.52 | 40.1 | 1. 21 |
|  | 55. 20 | 40.0 | 1. 38 | 68.06 | 39.8 | 1. 71 | 63.36 | 40.1 | 1.58 | 79.29 | 42.4 | 1.87 | 75. 71 | 41.6 | 1.82 | 49.73 | 41.1 | 1.21 |
| July | 55.32 | 39.8 | 1. 39 | 70.80 | 40.0 | 1.77 | 66. 26 | 40.9 | 1. 62 | 78.81 | 41.7 | 1.89 | 76. 82 | 41.3 | 1.86 | 50. 09 | 41.4 | 1. 21 |
| August | 55. 48 | 40. 2 | 1. 38 | 70.12 | 40.3 | 1. 74 | 65.53 | 40.7 | 1. 61 | 79.46 | 41.6 | 1. 91 | 77.76 | 40.5 | 1.92 | 49.53 | 40.6 | 1. 22 |
| Septembe | 55.13 | 39.1 | 1. 41 | 70.09 | 39.6 | 1.77 | 66. 90 | 40.3 | 1. 66 | 80.87 | 41.9 | 1. 93 | 79. 95 | 41.0 | 1.95 | 50.26 | 41.2 | 1.22 |
| October | 54. 63 | 39.3 | 1. 39 | 70.05 | 39.8 | 1. 78 | 62.17 | 39.1 | 1. 59 | 78. 78 | 40.4 | 1.95 | 76.76 | 40.4 | 1. 90 | 50.68 | 41.2 | 1.23 |
| November | 55. 38 | 39.0 | 1. 42 | 70.27 | 39.7 | 1. 77 | 61.85 | 38.9 | 1. 59 | 79.61 | 41.9 | 1. 90 | 75. 81 | 39.9 | 1.90 | 49.94 | 40.6 | 1. 23 |
| December | 54.71 | 38.8 | 1. 41 | 69.87 | 39.7 | 1. 76 | 65.45 | 40.4 | 1. 62 | 79.61 | 41.9 | 1. 90 | 76.57 | 40.3 | 1. 90 | 50.50 | 40.4 | 1.25 |
|  | Arkansas-Con. |  |  | California |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Little Rock-North Little Rock ${ }^{3}$ |  |  | State |  |  | Fresno |  |  | Los Angeles |  |  | Sacramento |  |  | San Diego |  |  |
| 1951: Average <br> 1952: Average | $\$ 45.25$ 45.81 | 41.9 40.9 | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1.08 \\ 1.12 \end{array}$ | $\$ 71.79$ 75.85 | $\begin{aligned} & 40.5 \\ & 40.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1.77 \\ 1.87 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 61.08 \\ 64.27 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 37.1 \\ & 37.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1.65 \\ 1.71 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 71.22 \\ 76.20 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40.9 \\ & 41.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1.74 \\ 1.84 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 72.03 \\ 73.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 41.1 \\ & 39.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1.75 \\ 1.83 \end{array}$ | $\$ 70.39$ 69.92 | 40.9 38.5 | $\$ 1.72$ 1.82 |
| December | 47.15 | 41.0 | 1.15 | 78.07 | 40.7 | 1.92 | 68.01 | 38.5 | 1.77 | 79.18 | 41.8 | 1.90 | 76.08 | 39.7 | 1.92 | 72.80 |  |  |
| 1953: January | 46.69 | 40.6 | 1. 15 | 77.51 | 40.1 | 1. 93 | 66.63 | 37.4 | 1. 78 | 7878 | 41.2 | 1.91 | 71.63 | 37.7 | 1.90 | 72.64 | 38.6 | 1.88 |
| February | 47.50 | 41.3 | 1. 15 | 77.61 | 40.1 | 1.94 | 68.12 | 37.6 | 1.81 | 78.01 | 40.8 | 1.91 | 71.66 | 37.4 | 1.91 | 73.20 | 38.6 | 1.90 |
| March | 47.10 | 40.6 | 1.16 | 78.30 | 40.2 | 1.95 | 69.85 | 38.4 | 1.82 | 78. 60 | 40.8 | 1.92 | 73.15 | 38.0 | 1.93 | 74.42 | 39.0 | 1.91 |
| April | 46.75 | 40.3 | 1. 16 | 78.47 | 40.2 | 1.95 | 67.04 | 36.9 | 1.82 | 79.31 | 41.1 | 1.93 | 65. 69 | 34.8 | 1. 89 | 74.30 | 38.7 | 1. 92 |
| May | 49. 39 | 41.5 | 1. 19 | 78.57 | 40.0 | 1.96 | 67.46 | 37.4 | 1.80 | 78.61 | 40.6 | 1. 93 | 70.34 | 37.4 | 1.88 | 74.38 | 38. 6 | 1. 93 |
|  | 49. 32 | 41.1 | 1. 20 | 79.05 | 40.0 | 1.98 | 67.89 | 37.5 | 1. 81 | 78.88 | 40.5 | 1.95 | 71. 05 | 37.8 | 1. 88 | 74.71 | 38.7 | 1.93 |
| July | 48. 56 | 41.5 | 1.17 | 78.60 | 40.0 | 1.97 | 66. 26 | 36.7 | 1. 81 | 79.08 | 40.6 | 1.95 | 76.33 | 39.7 | 1. 92 | 76. 14 | 39.3 | 1. 94 |
| August | 49.73 | 41.1 | 1. 21 | 79.62 | 40.6 | 1. 96 | 69. 00 | 38.8 | 1. 78 | 79.75 | 40.9 | 1. 95 | 74.09 | 40.2 | 1.84 | 76. 93 | 39.6 | 1. 94 |
| Septemb | 48.67 | 40.9 | 1. 19 | 78.84 | 39.9 | 1. 98 | 66. 90 | 37.5 | 1. 79 | 78. 79 | 40.2 | 1.96 | 87.48 | 44.5 | 1.97 | 73.72 | 37.8 | 1.95 |
| October | 49. 27 | 41.4 | 1. 19 | 79. 70 | 40. 3 | 1. 98 | 69.37 | 38.9 | 1. 78 | 79.41 | 40.5 | 1.96 | 78.88 | 41.0 | 1. 92 | 76.67 | 39.4 | 1.95 |
| November | 48.85 | 41.4 | 1. 18 | 79. 18 | 39.7 | 1. 99 | 63. 83 | 35.5 | 1. 80 | 79.47 | 40.4 | 1.97 | 76. 64 | 38.4 | 2.00 | 77.45 | 39.7 | 1.95 |
|  | 49.74 | 41.8 | 1.19 | 80.28 | 39.9 | 2.01 | 66.05 | 36.2 | 1.82 | 80.40 | 40.4 | 1.99 | 76.51 | 38.2 | 2.00 | 82. 66 | 41.7 | 1.98 |
|  | California-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Colorado |  |  |  |  |  | Connecticut |  |  |
|  | San FranciscoOakland |  |  | San Jose |  |  | Stockton |  |  | State |  |  | Denver |  |  | State |  |  |
| 1951: Average | \$73. 11 | 39.5 | \$1. 85 | \$69. 30 | 41.4 | \$1. 67 | \$68.75 | 40.6 | \$1. 69 | \$64. 02 | 41.3 | \$1. 55 | \$63. 08 | 41.5 | \$1. 52 | \$67. 20 | 42.6 | \$1.58 |
| 1952: Average | 77.27 | 39.6 | 1.95 | 72.00 | 40.8 | 1.76 | 71.30 | 39.3 | 1.81 | 67.16 | 41.2 | 1.63 | ${ }^{67.07}$ | 41.4 | 1.62 | 70.28 | 42.0 | 1. 67 |
| December | 79.27 | 39.5 | 2.01 | 73.57 | 39.5 | 1.86 | 75.13 |  | 1.89 |  |  |  |  |  | 1. 68 | 74.99 | 43.3 |  |
| 1953: January | 78.12 | 38.8 | 2.01 | 75. 98 | 39.8 | 1.91 | 74.41 | 39.4 | 1.89 | 68.91 | 40.3 | 1.71 | 68.95 | 40.8 | 1. 69 | 74.32 | 42.9 | 1. 73 |
| February | 78.93 | 39. 2 | 2.02 | 75. 85 | 39.2 | 1. 94 | 75.66 | 39.5 | 1.91 | 69.43 | 40.6 | 1.71 | 69. 29 | 41.0 | 1. 69 | 74.45 | 42.8 | 1.74 |
| March | 80.03 | 39.4 | 2.03 | 77.93 | 40.2 | 1.94 | 77.00 | 39.9 | 1. 93 | 70.69 | 41.1 | 1.72 | 70.79 | 41.4 | 1.71 | 74.90 | 42.8 | 1.75 |
| April | 79.70 | 39.3 | 2.03 | 73.96 | 38.1 | 1.94 | 73. 88 | 38.9 | 1. 90 | 71.28 | 41.2 | 1.73 | 71.38 | 41.5 | 1. 72 | 74.55 | 42.6 | 1.75 |
|  | 80.43 | 39.5 | 2.03 | 78. 24 | 39.9 | 1.96 | 74.85 | 39.1 | 1. 92 | 71. 28 | 41.2 | 1.73 | 70.52 | 41.0 | 1.72 | 74.98 | 42.6 | 1.76 |
| July | 79.56 | 39.3 38.8 | 2.05 | 79.90 71.57 | 40.0 39.5 | 2.00 1.81 | 72.67 72.02 | 38.0 40.0 | 1.91 1.80 | 72.83 | 42.1 | 1.73 1.75 | 72.14 71.80 | 41.7 41.5 | 1.73 1.73 | 74.80 <br> 73.57 <br> 1 | 42.5 41.8 | 1.76 |
| August | 81.11 | 39.7 | 2.04 | 74.01 | 41.4 | 1.79 | 74.70 | 40.9 | 1.83 | 72. 56 | 41.7 | 1.74 | 71.97 | 41.6 | 1.73 | 74.52 | 42.1 | 1. 77 |
| September | 80.44 | 39.1 | 2.06 | 76. 48 | 42.6 | 1.80 | 72.61 | 39.3 | 1.85 | 69.65 | 39.8 | 1.75 | 70.70 | 40.4 | 1.75 | 74.23 | 41.7 | 1. 78 |
| October. | 81.98 | 39.8 | 2.06 | 73.97 | 40.4 | 1.83 | 74. 20 | 40.2 | 1.85 | 70.30 | 40.4 | 1.74 | 73.69 | 41.4 | 1.78 | 75.18 | 42.0 | 1.79 |
| November | 81.10 | 38.7 | 2. 09 | 72. 81 | 38.2 | 1.91 | 74. 27 | 38.2 | 1. 94 | 72.80 | 41.6 | 1.75 | 72.34 | 41.1 | 1.76 | 75. 42 | 41.9 | 1. 80 |
| December---- | 81.21 | 38.6 | 2. 10 | 76.56 | 39.3 | 1.95 | 75. 26 | 38.6 | 1.95 | 72.04 | 40.7 | 1.77 | 70.98 | 40.1 | 1.77 | 75.24 | 41.8 | 1.80 |
|  | Connecticut-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Bridgeport |  |  | Hartford |  |  | New Britain |  |  | New Haven |  |  | Stamford |  |  | Waterbury |  |  |
| 1951: Average | \$68. 48 | 42.1 | \$1. 63 | \$75. 60 |  |  |  | 43.9 | \$1. 56 | \$60. 27 | 41.0 | \$1.47 | \$70. 41 | 42.0 | \$1. 68 | \$66. 11 |  |  |
| 1952: Average. | 72.58 | 42.2 | 1.72 | 77. 28 | 43.7 | 1.77 | 69.53 | 42.2 | 1.65 | 65.00 | 41.4 | 1.57 | 74.64 | 41.9 | 1.78 | 68.75 | 41.8 | 1.65 |
| December | 77.25 | 43.4 | 1.78 | 81.62 | 44.7 | 1.82 | 71.72 | 42.5 | 1.69 | 70.19 | 42.8 | 1.64 | 78.12 | 42.5 | 1.84 | 74.09 | 43.4 |  |
| 1953: January | 75.83 | 42.6 | 1.78 | 79.75 | 43.9 | 1.82 | 73. 06 | 43.1 | 1.70 | 68.39 | 41.7 | 1.64 | 77. 18 | 42.2 | 1.83 | 73. 89 | 42.8 | 1.73 |
| February-- | 75. 96 | 42. 2 | 1.80 | 79.75 | 43.9 | 1.82 | 72.64 | 42.6 | 1.70 | 69.89 | 42.1 | 1.66 | 78. 60 | 41.9 | 1.87 | 75. 52 | 43.2 | 1.75 |
| March | 76. 93 | 42. 5 | 1.81 | 80.63 | 44.3 | 1.82 | 74. 04 | 42.8 | 1.73 | 70.22 | 42.3 | 1. 66 | 79.71 | 42.4 | 1.88 | 76. 04 | 43.3 | 1.76 |
| April | 76.44 75.66 | 42.0 41.8 | 1. 818 | 80. 44 | 44.2 | 1.82 | 73.53 | 42.5 | 1.73 | 70.14 | 42.0 | 1. 67 | 79.76 | 42.2 | 1.89 | 76. 64 | 43.3 | 1.77 |
| May. | 75.66 74.93 | 41.8 41.4 | 1.81 | 79. 72 | 43.8 | 1. 82 | 74.04 | 42.8 | 1.73 | 70. 47 | 42.2 | 1.67 | 79.80 | 42.0 | 1.90 | 79. 12 | 44.2 | 1. 79 |
| June- | 74.93 73.67 | 41.4 40.7 | 1.81 1.81 | 79.35 80.34 | 43.6 43.9 | 1.82 | 74.12 73.01 | 42.6 42.2 | 1.74 1.73 | 71.32 68.88 | 42.2 41.0 | 1. 1.68 | 78.58 76.19 | 41.8 | 1.88 | 79.30 | 44.3 | 1. 79 |
| August | 74.62 | 41.0 | 1.82 | 79.61 | 43.5 | 1.83 | 73.78 | 42.4 | 1.74 | 68.88 71.49 | 41.0 42.3 | 1.68 | 76.19 84.00 | 40.1 43.3 | 1.90 | 78. 29 | 43.6 43.1 | 1.79 1.77 |
| September | 74.89 | 40.7 | 1.84 | 81. 47 | 43.8 | 1.86 | 72.92 | 41.2 | 1.77 | 70.38 | 41.2 | 1. 70 | 82.88 | 42.5 | 1.95 | 75. 76 | 42.8 | 1. 77 |
| October- | 76. 96 | 41.6 | 1. 85 | 82. 40 | 44.3 | 1.86 | 76.01 | 42.7 | 1.78 | 70.97 | 41.5 | 1. 71 | 86. 57 | 43.5 | 1. 99 | 74. 34 | 42.0 | 1.77 |
| November | 77.00 76.82 | 41.4 | 1. 86 | 81.84 | 44.0 | 1.86 | 75.05 | 42.4 | 1. 77 | 71.38 | 41.5 | 1. 72 | 82. 93 | 42.1 | 1. 97 | 73. 28 | 41. 4 | 1.77 |
| December | 76.82 | 41.3 | 1.86 | 81.47 | 43.8 | 1.86 | 75.47 | 42.4 | 1.78 | 70.62 | 41.3 | 1.71 | 80.34 | 41.2 | 1.95 | 73.16 | 41.1 | 1.78 |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table C-5: Hours and gross earnings of production workers in manufacturing industries for selected States and areas ${ }^{1}$-Continued

| Year and month | Delaware |  |  |  |  |  | Florida |  |  |  |  |  | Georgia |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | State |  |  | Wilmington |  |  | State |  |  | Tampa-St. Petersburg |  |  | State |  |  | Atlanta |  |  |
|  | Avg. wkly. ings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earn- ings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. ings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. ings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earn- ings | Avg. wkly. earn- | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. <br> hrly. <br> earn- <br> ings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. <br> hrly. <br> earn- <br> ings |
| 1951: Average | $\$ 63.50$ 66.46 | 41.5 41.0 | \$1.53 | $\$ 72.89$ <br> 76.85 | 41.3 40.9 | $\$ 1.77$ 1.88 1 | $\$ 49.86$ 53.59 | $\begin{array}{r} 42.5 \\ 42.7 \end{array}$ | \$1.17 | $\$ 47.34$ 51.68 | 41.0 41.8 | \$1.16 1.24 | $\$ 46.25$ 47.88 | 39.9 39.9 | $\$ 1.16$ 1.20 | $\$ 53.22$ 57.94 | 40.6 40.8 | $\$ 1.31$ 1.42 |
| December | 70.59 | 41.4 | 1.71 | 83.32 | 42.4 | 1.97 | 55. 43 | 43.2 | 1.28 | 54.21 | 42.5 | 1.28 | 50.55 | 41.1 | 1.23 | 61.83 | 41.5 | 1.49 |
| 1953: January | 72.10 | 41.7 | 1.73 | 83.16 | 42.3 | 1.96 | 55. 23 | 43.2 | 1.28 | 55. 53 | 42.7 | 1.30 | 49.97 | 40.3 | 1.24 | 60.24 | 40.7 | 1. 48 |
| February | 69.67 | 40.6 | 1.72 | 81.11 | 41.3 | 1.97 | 55.34 | 43.1 | 1.29 | 55.39 | 43.4 | 1.28 | 50.50 | 40.4 | 1.25 | 63.34 | 41.4 | 1.53 |
| March | 69.65 | 40.8 | 1.71 | 81.10 | 41.4 | 1.96 | 55.28 | 42.8 | 1.29 | 53.15 | 41.6 | 1.28 | 50.75 | 40.6 | 1.25 | 62.78 | 41.3 | 1.52 |
| April | 70.90 | 41.1 | 1.73 | 84.08 | 42.0 | 2.00 | 55.03 | 42.2 | 1. 30 | 53.75 | 42.0 | 1.28 | 50.75 | 40.6 | 1.25 | 63.91 | 41.5 | 1.54 |
| May | 69.33 | 41.0 | 1. 69 | 81.62 | 41.2 | 1. 98 | 54.69 | 42.0 | 1. 30 | 53.90 | 41.5 | 1.30 | 50.38 | 40.3 | 1.25 | 62.83 | 40.8 | 1.54 |
|  | 70.41 | 41.2 | 1.71 | 85.33 | 42.2 | 2.02 | 54.86 | 41.9 | 1.31 | 53.21 | 41.1 | 1.30 | 50.90 | 40.4 | 1.26 | 64.53 | 41.1 | 1.57 |
| July | 69.69 | 40.4 | 1.73 | 85.52 | 41.9 | 2.04 | 55.11 | 41.5 | 1.33 | 53.81 | 41.3 | 1.30 | 50.27 | 39.9 | 1.26 | 63.40 | 40.9 | 1.55 |
| August | 67.13 | 40.2 | 1.67 | 80.14 | 40.7 | 1.97 | 54.75 | 41.2 | 1.33 | 52.68 | 40.4 | 1.30 | 50.80 | 40.0 | 1.27 | 64.68 | 41.2 | 1. 57 |
| Septembe | 68.64 | 41.4 | 1.66 | 79.55 | 40.3 | 1.97 | 55.24 | 41.2 | 1.34 | 52.74 | 40.3 | 1.31 | 49. 41 | 38.6 | 1.28 | 63.04 | 39.9 | 1. 58 |
| October | 69.21 | 40.4 | 1.71 | 81.24 | 40.2 | 2. 02 | 54.94 | 41.3 | 1.33 | 53.92 | 40.8 | 1.32 | 49.64 | 39.4 | 1.26 | 62.16 | 40.1 | 1.55 |
| December-..-- | 69.91 | 39.7 | 1.76 | 82.01 | 40.4 | 2.03 | 56.84 | 42.6 | 1.33 | 55.19 | 42.2 | 1.31 | 49. 64 | 39.4 | 1.26 | 62.16 | 40.1 | 1.55 |
|  | 71.86 | 40.6 | 1. 77 | 82.95 | 40.7 | 2.04 | 56.84 | 42.6 | 1.33 | 56.63 | 43.5 | 1.30 | 49.66 | 39.1 | 1.27 | 62.06 | 40.3 | 1.54 |
|  | Georgia-Continued |  |  | Idaho |  |  | Illinois |  |  |  |  |  | Indiana |  |  | Iowa |  |  |
|  | Savannah |  |  | State |  |  | State |  |  | Chicago |  |  | State |  |  | State |  |  |
| 1951: Aver | \$55. 59 | 41.8 | \$1.33 | \$69.60 | 40.7 | \$1.71 | \$68. 72 | 41.4 | \$1. 66 |  |  |  | \$70. 08 | 41.1 | \$1.71 | \$64. 81 | 41.8 | \$1.55 |
|  | 60.21 | 42.7 | 1.41 | 75.03 | 41.0 | 1.83 | 72.18 | 41.2 | 1.75 | \$74.84 | 41.2 | \$1. 82 | 72. 64 | 40.8 | 1.78 | 67.08 | 41.5 | 1.62 |
| 1953: Janua $\begin{aligned} & \text { Februa } \\ & \text { March } \\ & \text { April } \\ & \text { May. } \\ & \text { June- } \\ & \text { July } \\ & \text { Augus } \\ & \text { Septe } \\ & \text { Octob } \\ & \text { Nover } \\ & \text { Decem }\end{aligned}$ | 62.64 | 43.5 | 1.44 | 76.96 | 41.6 | 1.85 | 76.81 | 42.3 | 1.82 | 80.02 | 42.4 | 1.89 | 77.66 | 41.7 | 1.86 | 71.51 | 43.1 | 1.66 |
|  | 59.90 | 41.8 | 1. 44 | 75.92 | 40.6 | 1.87 | 75.91 | 41.6 | 1.82 | 79.20 | 41.8 | 1.89 | 77.25 | 41.5 | 1. 86 | 70. 44 | 42.0 | 1.68 |
|  | 61.15 | 41.6 | 1. 47 | 72.67 | 40.6 | 1. 79 | 76.36 | 41.6 | 1.84 | 79. 42 | 41.7 | 1.90 | 77.54 | 41.4 | 1.87 | 69. 33 | 41.1 | 1.69 |
|  | 63. 49 | 42.9 | 1.48 | 70. 98 | 40.1 | 1.77 | 77.04 | 41.8 | 1.84 | 80.07 | 41.9 | 1.91 | 77.92 77.46 | 41.6 41.2 | 1.88 <br> 1.88 <br> 1.8 | 69.27 67.39 | 41.1 40.3 | 1.69 1.68 |
|  | 62.16 | 42.0 | 1.48 | 72.67 | 40.6 | 1.79 | 76. 48 | 41.3 | 1.85 | 79.10 | 41.3 | 1.92 | 77.46 | 41.2 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 62.75 | 42.4 | 1. 48 | 78.72 | 41.0 | 1.92 | 76. 02 | 41.1 | 1.85 | 79.01 | 41.2 | 1.92 | 76. 93 | 40.8 | 1.88 | 68.82 | 40.8 | 1. 69 |
|  | 63.60 | 42.4 | 1.50 | 78.88 | 41.3 | 1.91 | 76.14 | 41.1 | 1.85 | 79.82 | 41.4 | 1.93 | 77.15 | 41.0 | 1.88 | 68.75 | 40.8 | 1.69 |
|  | 65.48 | 42.8 | 1.53 | 78.81 | 41.7 | 1.92 | 75.52 | 40.7 | 1.86 | 79.53 | 40.9 | 1.94 | 77.21 | 40.1 | 1.92 | 66.66 | 39.6 | 1.68 |
|  | 64.41 | 42.1 | 1.53 | 80.56 | 41.1 | 1.96 | 76. 21 | 41.2 | 1.85 | 80, 19 | 41.2 | 1.95 | 76.94 | 40.4 | 1.90 | 67. 66 | 40.5 | 1.68 |
|  | 63.70 | 41.0 | 1.55 | 76. 03 | 39.6 | 1.92 | 76. 56 | 40.8 | 1.88 | 80.81 | 41.1 | 1.97 | 76. 24 | 40.1 | 1.90 | 69.24 | 40.3 | 1.72 |
|  | 63.76 | 41.4 | 1.54 | 77.75 | 41.8 | 1.86 | 76.84 | 40.9 | 1. 88 | 80.37 | 41.1 | 1.96 | 77.19 | 40.3 | 1.92 | 70.62 | 41.1 | 1.72 |
|  | 65. 52 | 42.0 | 1.56 | 75.89 | 40.8 | 1.86 | 76. 56 | 40.6 | 1.89 | 79. 96 | 40.8 | 1.96 | 76.42 | 39.8 | 1.92 | 70.71 | 40.9 | 1.73 |
|  | 68.73 | 43.5 | 1.58 | 76.63 | 41.2 | 1.86 | 76.91 | 40.7 | 1.89 | 80.31 | 40.8 | 1.97 | 77.68 | 40.2 | 1.93 | 69.79 | 40.7 | 1.71 |
|  | Iowa-Continued |  |  | Kansas |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Kentucky |  |  | Louisiana |  |  |
|  | Des Moines |  |  | State |  |  | Topeka |  |  | Wichita |  |  | State |  |  | State |  |  |
| 1951: Average | \$66. 39 | 40.0 | \$1. 66 | \$67. 84 | 43.1 | \$1.58 | \$60. 26 | 41.6 | \$1.45 | \$75. 44 | 44.9 | \$1. 68 |  |  |  | \$55. 21 | 41.2 | \$1. 34 |
|  | 69.86 | 40.3 | 1. 73 | 71.42 | 42.6 | 1.68 | 65.55 | 42.2 | 1.56 | 76. 73 | 43.7 | 1.76 | \$62. 73 | 42.1 | \$1. 49 | 59.22 | 42.0 | 1.41 |
| 1953: $\begin{aligned} & \text { December } \\ & \text { January } \\ & \text { February } \\ & \text { March... } \\ & \text { April.... } \\ & \text { May } \\ & \text { June..... } \\ & \text { July } \\ & \text { Ausust } \\ & \text { Augus } \\ & \text { Septembe } \\ & \text { October } \\ & \text { Novembe } \\ & \text { December }\end{aligned}$ | 75.62 | 42.3 | 1. 79 | 76.88 | 43.7 | 1.76 | 73.69 | 44.4 | 1. 66 | 82.19 | 44.7 | 1.84 | 67.36 | 43.6 | 1.55 | 61.20 | 42.8 | 1. 43 |
|  | 74.77 | 41.0 | 1. 82 | 75.80 | 42.6 | 1. 78 | 68.80 | 41.8 | 1. 64 | 80.17 | 43. 5 | 1.84 | 65. 67 | 42.7 | 1.54 | 61.72 | 41.7 | 1. 48 |
|  | 72.96 | 40.3 | 1. 81 | 74.22 | 42.3 | 1.76 | 66.28 | 42.0 | 1. 58 | 79.71 | 43.4 | 1.84 | 66.55 | 42.3 | 1. 57 | 61.98 | 41.6 | 1.49 |
|  | 73.48 | 40.4 | 1.82 | 74.54 | 42.1 | 1. 77 | 70.99 | 43.6 | 1. 63 | 77.86 | 42.2 | 1.84 | 67.87 | 42.6 | 1. 60 | 63. 00 | 42.0 | 1. 50 |
|  | 72.24 | 39.9 | 1.81 | 74.91 | 41.8 | 1. 79 | 67.18 | 40.6 | 1. 66 | 78.35 | 42.0 | 1.87 | 67.69 | 42.4 | 1. 60 | 64.30 | 42.3 | 1. 52 |
|  | 73.80 | 40.2 | 1.83 | 73.11 | 41.2 | 1. 77 | 58.81 | 38.2 | 1. 54 | 77.17 | 41.4 | 1.87 | 68.10 | 42.1 | 1. 62 | 64.02 | 41.3 | 1. 55 |
|  | 74.77 | 40.6 | 1.84 | 73.37 | 41.0 | 1.78 | 62.42 | 40.6 | 1. 54 |  | 40.4 | 1.84 | 67. 98 | 41.5 | 1. 64 | 63. 19 | 41.3 | 1. 53 |
|  | 70.32 | 37.9 | 1. 86 | 73.78 | 41.3 | 1. 79 | 63.40 | 40.3 | 1.58 | 73.51 | 39.8 | 1.84 | 68. 01 | 41.4 | 1.64 | 64.58 | 41.4 | -1.56 |
|  | 76.17 | 40.5 | 1.88 | 74.75 | 41.3 | 1.81 | 66.97 | 41.5 | 1.61 | 74. 43 | 39.9 | 1.86 | 70.00 | 42.5 | 1.65 | 64. 02 | 41.3 | 1.55 |
|  | 76. 39 | 40.3 | 1. 90 | 72.75 | 40.4 | 1.80 | 65.56 | 39.9 | 1. 64 | 73. 48 | 39.3 | 1.87 | 70. 14 | 41.8 | 1. 68 | 64.78 | 41.0 | 1. 58 |
|  | 75.76 | 40.0 | 1. 90 | 73. 40 | 40.3 | 1.82 | 71.04 | 42.0 | 1. 69 | 73.57 | 38.6 | 1. 91 | 69.75 | 42.4 | 1. 65 | 64.68 | 42.0 | 1. 54 |
|  | 75. 27 | 40.1 | 1. 88 | 75. 48 | 41.0 | 1. 84 | 70. 49 | 41.5 | 1. 70 | 77. 52 | 40.6 | 1. 91 | 67. 44 | 40.6 | 1.66 | 63. 84 | 42.0 | 1. 52 |
|  | 74.18 | 39.9 | 1.86 | 73.80 | 40.2 | 1.83 | 69.10 | 41.2 | 1.68 | 74.07 | 38.6 | 1.92 | 67.03 | 40.5 | 1.65 | 64.83 | 42.1 | 1.54 |
|  | Louisiana-Continued |  |  |  |  |  | Maine |  |  |  |  |  | Maryland |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Baton Rouge |  |  | New Orleans |  |  | State |  |  | Portland |  |  | State |  |  | Baltimore |  |  |
| 1951: A |  |  |  | \$53. 20 | 40.0 | \$1. 33 | \$52. 44 | 40.2 | \$1.31 | \$53. 92 | 41.2 | \$1. 31 | \$60. 84 | 40.9 | \$1. 49 | \$64. 35 | 41.2 | \$1. 56 |
|  | \$84.46 | 41.4 | \$2.04 | 56.82 | 40.3 | 1.41 | 55.17 | 40.8 | 1.35 | 56.96 | 41.9 | 1.36 | 63.84 | 40.5 | 1. 58 | 67.22 | 40.7 | 1.65 |
| 1953: $\begin{aligned} & \text { December } \\ & \text { January } \\ & \text { February } \\ & \text { March... } \\ & \text { April.... } \\ & \text { May } \\ & \text { June...... } \\ & \text { July.... } \\ & \text { August } \\ & \text { Septembe } \\ & \text { October } \\ & \text { Novembe } \\ & \text { December }\end{aligned}$ | 85. 44 | 40.3 | 2.12 | 58.87 | 40.6 | 1.45 | 57.22 | 41.3 | 1.39 | 59. 93 | 42.5 | 1. 41 | 66. 86 | 41.5 | 1.61 | 71.00 | 41.9 | 1. 70 |
|  | 90.74 | 42.8 | 2.12 | 59.90 | 40.2 | 1. 49 | 58.32 | 42.0 | 1.38 | 59.39 | 41.9 | 1. 42 | 66.59 | 41. 0 | 1. 62 | 70. 50 | 41.2 | 1.71 |
|  | 85. 88 | 40.7 | 2.11 | 60.19 | 39.6 | 1.52 | 58.34 | 41.6 | 1. 40 | 60.20 | 42.1 | 1.43 | 66.71 | 40.7 | 1.64 | 70.38 | 40.9 | 1. 72 |
|  | 86.10 | 41.0 | 2.10 | 60.75 | 40.5 | 1.50 | 57.96 | 41.6 | 1.39 | 60.15 | 42.1 | 1.43 | 67.68 | 41.0 | 1.65 | 71.34 | 41.2 | 1.73 |
|  | 86. 53 | 41.4 | 2.09 | 63.76 | 41.4 | 1. 54 | 56. 88 | 40.5 | 1. 40 | 58.82 | 41.5 | 1. 42 | 67.45 | 40.8 | 1. 65 | 71.20 | 40.9 | 1.74 |
|  | 88.20 | 41.8 | 2.11 | 62.06 | 40.3 | 1. 54 | 56. 57 | 40.7 | 1.39 | 59. 49 | 42.0 | 1. 42 | 67.35 | 41.0 | 1. 64 | 71.28 | 41.1 | 1.73 |
|  | 89.46 | 42.2 | 2.12 | 61.45 | 39.9 | 1.54 | 56.79 | 40.9 | 1. 39 | 58.27 | 41.5 | 1. 40 | 67. 57 | 41.1 | 1.64 | 72. 02 | 41.3 | 1.75 |
|  | 92.45 | 43.2 | 2.14 | 61.85 | 39.9 | 1.55 | 56. 60 | 40.3 | 1. 41 | 60.62 | 42.5 | 1. 43 | 67.24 | 40. 4 | 1. 66 | 72. 70 | 40.9 | 1.78 |
|  | 89. 02 | 41.6 | 2.14 | 63.83 | 40.4 | 1. 58 | 56.31 | 40.3 | 1. 40 | 60.38 | 42.2 | 1. 143 | 66. 13 | 40.3 40.1 | 1.64 1.66 | 72.03 71.66 | 40.8 40.3 | 1.77 1.78 |
|  | 93.66 89.60 | 42.0 41.1 | 2.23 2.18 | 63.76 64.87 | 39.6 40.8 | 1. 1.51 | 56. 56.03 56 | 40.1 39.9 | 1. 1.41 1.40 | 61. 08 59.42 | 41.3 41 | 1. 1.44 | 66.45 68.38 | 40.1 40.9 | 1.67 | 72.86 | 40.3 40.9 | 1. 1.78 |
|  | 89.16 | 40.9 | 2.18 | 62.49 | 39.8 | 1.57 | 54. 61 | 38.6 | 1. 42 | 58.50 | 40.2 | 1. 45 | 68. 16 | 40.3 | 1. 69 | 72. 47 | 40.4 | 1. 79 |
|  | 90.27 | 41.6 | 2.17 | 63.20 | 40.0 | 1. 58 | 57.81 | 40.7 | 1. 42 | 58. 46 | 40.1 | 1.46 | 68.72 | 40.4 | 1. 70 | 72. 57 | 40.5 | 1. 79 |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table C-5: Hours and gross earnings of production workers in manufacturing industries for selected States and areas ${ }^{1}$ - Continued

| Year and month | Massachusetts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | State |  |  | Boston |  |  | Fall River |  |  | New Bedford |  |  | Springfield-Holyoke |  |  | W orcester |  |  |
|  | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. <br> hrly. <br> earn- <br> ings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. <br> hrly. <br> earn- <br> ings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. <br> hrly. <br> earn- <br> ings |
| 1951: Average | \$60. 75 | 40.5 | \$1. 50 | \$62.37 | 40.7 | \$1.53 | \$46.34 | 37.0 | \$1.25 | \$52.43 | 38.9 | \$1.35 | \$64. 74 | 41.5 | \$1.56 | \$67. 72 | 41.1 | \$1. 65 |
| 1952: Average | 63.43 | 40.4 | 1.57 | 65.04 | 40.4 | 1.61 | 49.63 | 37.6 | 1.32 | 53.52 | 38.5 | 1.39 | 69.39 | 41.8 | 1. 66 | 68.21 | 40.6 | 1.68 |
| Decembe | 66.98 | 41.6 | 1.61 | 68.72 | 41.4 | 1. 66 | 53.47 | 39.9 | 1.34 | 56.68 | 40.2 | 1.41 | 72.08 | 42.4 | 1. 70 | 71.72 | 41.7 | 1.72 |
| 1953: January | 66.74 | 41.2 | 1.62 | 67.98 | 41.2 | 1. 65 | 54. 40 | 40.0 | 1.36 | 56.14 | 40.1 | 1. 40 | 70.47 | 41.7 | 1. 70 | 71.80 | 41.5 | 1. 73 |
| February | 66. 83 | 41.0 | 1.63 | 67.80 | 40.6 | 1. 67 | 53. 86 | 39.6 | 1.36 | 56.00 | 40.0 | 1.40 | 70.97 | 41.5 | 1.71 | 71.10 | 41.1 | 1.73 |
| March | 67.16 | 41.2 | 1.63 | 67.97 | 40.7 | 1. 67 | 54.54 | 40.1 | 1.36 | 55.32 | 39.8 | 1.39 | 70.55 | 41.5 | 1. 70 | 72.14 | 41.7 | 1. 73 |
| April | 66. 34 | 40.7 | 1.63 | 67.54 | 40.2 | 1. 68 | 52. 92 | 39.2 | 1.35 | 54.65 | 39.6 | 1.38 | 71.04 | 41.3 | 1. 72 | 71.69 | 41.2 | 1.74 |
| May | 66. 91 | 40.8 | 1. 64 | 67.87 | 40.4 | 1. 68 | 52. 92 | 39.2 | 1.35 | 55. 58 | 39.7 | 1. 40 | 71.04 | 41.3 | 1. 72 | 72.04 | 41.4 | 1.74 |
| June | 67.16 | 40.7 | 1.65 | 68.11 | 40.3 | 1. 69 | 54.12 | 39.5 | 1.37 | 57.23 | 40.3 | 1.42 | 71.62 | 41.4 | 1. 73 | 71.75 | 41.0 | 1.75 |
| July | 66.90 | 40.3 | 1. 66 | 67.89 | 39.7 | 1. 71 | 52.33 | 38.2 | 1.37 | 56.52 | 39.8 | 1.42 | 71.10 | 41.1 | 1.73 | 72.57 | 41.0 | 1.77 |
| August | 66. 66 | 40.4 | 1.65 | 69.08 | 40.4 | 1. 71 | 52. 22 | 38.4 | 1.36 | 56. 66 | 39.9 | 1.42 | 70.00 | 40.7 | 1. 72 | 72.69 | 41.3 | 1.76 |
| Septembe | 66.07 | 39.8 | 1. 66 | 68.28 | 39.7 | 1. 72 | 53. 27 | 38.6 | 1.38 | 55. 77 | 39.0 | 1.43 | 68.11 | 39.6 | 1. 72 | 69.92 | 39.5 | 1. 77 |
| October- | 65. 80 | 39.4 | 1.67 | 67.99 | 39.3 | 1. 73 | 53. 52 | 38.5 | 1.39 | 53.48 | 37.4 | 1.43 | 69.20 | 40.0 | 1. 73 | 73.08 | 40.6 | 1. 80 |
| November | 65. 30 | 39.1 | 1. 67 | 67.34 | 38.7 | 1. 74 | 52.88 | 37.5 | 1.41 | 53.71 | 37.3 | 1.44 | 69.25 | 39.8 | 1.74 | 71.06 | 39.7 | 1. 79 |
| December | 67.37 | 40.1 | 1.68 | 69.25 | 39.8 | 1. 74 | 54.49 | 39.2 | 1.39 | 55. 54 | 38.3 | 1.45 | 71.22 | 40.7 | 1.75 | 71.91 | 40.4 | 1.78 |
|  | Michigan |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | State |  |  | Detroit |  |  | Flint |  |  | Grand Rapids |  |  | Lansing |  |  | Muskegon |  |  |
| 1951: Average | \$74. 55 | 40.1 | \$1. 86 | \$76. 32 | 39.4 | \$1. 94 | \$76. 08 | 40.0 | \$1.90 | \$70. 64 | 41.6 | \$1. 70 | \$77. 43 | 40.2 | \$1.93 | \$75. 18 | 39.4 | \$1.91 |
| 1952: Average | 81.34 | 41.0 | 1.98 | 84.36 | 40.5 | 2. 08 | 85.00 | 41.3 | 2. 06 | 74.64 | 41.7 | 1. 79 | 84. 79 | 41.2 | 2.06 | 82.37 | 40.2 | 2.05 |
| December | 89, 63 | 43.3 | 2.07 | 94.35 | 43.6 | 2.16 | 96.17 | 44.4 | 2.17 | 81.58 | 43.6 | 1.87 | 98.05 | 45.1 | 2.17 | 89.72 | 42.5 | 2.11 |
| 1953: January. | 86.31 | 42.1 | 2.05 | 88.31 | 41.4 | 2.13 | 98.44 | 46.0 | 2.14 | 79.69 | 42.8 | 1.86 | 98.45 | 45.2 | 2.18 | 89.25 | 42.4 | 2.11 |
| February | 86. 44 | 42.0 | 2.06 | 88.31 | 41.4 | 2. 13 | 101. 95 | 46.7 | 2.18 | 77.23 | 41.5 | 1.86 | 95. 65 | 44.1 | 2.17 | 87.74 | 41.7 | 2.10 |
| March | 87.14 | 42.3 | 2.06 | 88.99 | 41.7 | 2. 13 | 99. 50 | 46.0 | 2.16 | 79.54 | 42.4 | 1.88 | 96.33 | 44.7 | 2.16 | 85.04 | 41.2 | 2.06 |
| April | 87.02 | 42.1 | 2.07 | 88.56 | 41.5 | 2.13 | 108. 70 | 48.9 | 2. 22 | 80.64 | 42.6 | 1. 89 | 94.69 | 44.0 | 2.15 | 83.51 | 40.6 | 2.06 |
| May | 86.23 | 41.9 | 2.06 | 87.80 | 41.2 | 2.13 | 100.84 | 46.9 | 2.15 | 80.11 | 42.5 | 1. 89 | 99.65 | 45.9 | 2.17 | 80.77 | 39.4 | 2.05 |
| June | 87.28 | 41.6 | 2.10 | 88.96 | 40.9 | 2.18 | 101. 53 | 44.2 | 2. 30 | 81.77 | 42.7 | 1. 92 | 101. 64 | 45.6 | 2.23 | 81.32 | 39.9 | 2.04 |
| July | 85.84 | 40.8 | 2.10 | 87. 20 | 40.0 | 2.18 | 105. 82 | 45.3 | 2.34 | 79.37 | 41. 6 | 1.92 | 93.56 | 42.8 | 2.19 | 81.61 | 39.5 | 2.07 |
| August | 86.15 | 41.2 | 2.09 | 89. 71 | 41.0 | 2. 19 | 98.35 | 44.3 | 2. 22 | 80.66 | 42.1 | 1.92 | 92. 23 | 42.5 | 2.17 | 78.40 | 38.3 | 2.05 |
| September | 85.40 | 40.3 | 2.12 | 88. 59 | 39.8 | 2. 23 | 98. 79 | 44.4 | 2. 23 | 79.98 | 41.4 | 1.93 | 87.45 | 40.3 | 2.17 | 80.12 | 38.8 | 2. 07 |
| October- | 88.02 | 41.5 | 2.12 | 93. 26 | 41.8 | 2. 23 | 92. 64 | 42.4 | 2.19 | 81.99 | 42.2 | 1.94 | 90.56 | 41.6 | 2.18 | 79.41 | 38.7 | 2.05 |
| November. | 86. 63 | 40.9 | 2.12 | 91.32 | 41.1 | 2. 22 | 84.80 | 38.6 | 2. 20 | 81. 20 | 41.6 | 1. 95 | 91.64 | 42.0 | 2.18 | 81.60 | 39.1 | 2.09 |
| December.... | 88.30 | 41.3 | 2.14 | 90.80 | 40.7 | 2. 23 | 97. 23 | 43.6 | 2. 23 | 85. 58 | 42.6 | 2.01 | 96.03 | 43.1 | 2.23 | 80.64 | 38.9 | 2.07 |
|  | Michigan-Con. |  |  | Minnesota |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Mississippi |  |  |
|  | Saginaw |  |  | State |  |  | Duluth |  |  | Minneapolis |  |  | St. Paul |  |  | State |  |  |
| 1951: A verage | \$74. 68 | 42.0 | \$1. 78 | \$64. 59 | 41.5 | \$1.55 | \$66. 16 | 40.1 | \$1. 65 | \$65. 82 | 41.7 | \$1.58 | \$66. 03 | 40.5 | \$1. 63 | \$42.40 | 41.1 |  |
| 1952: Average | 78.44 | 41.7 | 1.88 | 69.35 | 41.7 | 1. 66 | 68.11 | 39.5 | 1.72 | 70.16 | 41.9 | 1.67 | 70.27 | 40.3 | 1. 74 | 45.45 | 41.7 | 1.09 |
| December | 81.96 | 42.4 | 1.93 | 72.40 | 42.0 | 1. 72 | 70.18 | 39.4 | 1.78 | 72.48 | 42.3 | 1. 72 | 74.54 | 41.6 | 1. 79 | 46.64 | 42.4 | 1.10 |
| 1953: January- | 81.89 | 42.3 | 1. 94 | 71.56 | 41.5 | 1.72 | 70.86 | 39.3 | 1.80 | 71.58 | 41.4 | 1.73 | 71.57 | 40.1 | 1. 78 | 46.59 | 41.6 | 1.12 |
| February | 87.21 | 44.0 | 1. 98 | 71.65 | 41.3 | 1.73 | 70. 56 | 39.1 | 1.80 | 72.19 | 41.6 | 1.74 | 73.31 | 40.6 | 1.81 | 46.78 | 41.4 | 1.13 |
| March | 92.54 | 45.7 | 2.03 | 71.48 | 41.2 | 1. 74 | 66. 90 | 38.1 | 1.76 | 72.18 | 41.3 | 1.75 | 72.66 | 40.4 | 1.80 | 46.67 | 41.3 | 1.13 |
| April | 91.98 | 45.4 | 2.03 | 71.10 | 40.7 | 1. 75 | 69.65 | 38.7 | 1.80 | 71.98 | 41.1 | 1.76 | 73. 28 | 40.5 | 1.81 | 47.73 | 41.5 | 1.15 |
| May | 90.67 | 45.2 | 2.01 | 72. 03 | 41.1 | 1. 75 | 69.34 | 38.3 | 1.81 | 70.70 | 40.5 | 1.75 | 73.47 | 40.4 | 1.82 | 46.51 | 40.8 | 1.14 |
| June | 95.17 | 46.0 | 2.07 | 72.58 | 41.2 | 1.76 | 70.79 | 39.0 | 1.82 | 72.78 | 41.1 | 1. 77 | 74. 23 | 40.3 | 1.84 | 46.78 | 41.4 | 1.13 |
| July | 90.27 | 44.1 | 2.05 | 72.09 | 41.4 | 1. 74 | 72.07 | 39.1 | 1.84 | 73.88 | 41.6 | 1.78 | 74.43 | 40.0 | 1.86 | 46. 33 | 41.0 | 1.13 |
| August | 84.32 | 42.5 | 1.98 | 71.85 | 41.6 | 1.73 | 79.11 | 41.4 | 1.91 | 72.45 | 41.1 | 1. 78 | 72.79 | 39.3 | 1.85 | 47. 20 | 41.4 | 1.14 |
| September | 81.71 | 41.1 | 1.99 | 72.65 | 40.9 | 1. 78 | 71.97 | 39.1 | 1.84 | 74.82 | 41.4 | 1.81 | 75.95 | 39.8 | 1.91 | 46.68 | 39.9 | 1.17 |
| October | 79.39 | 40.4 | 1.97 | 75.02 | 41.5 | 1.81 | 73.85 | 39.6 | 1.87 | 74.62 | 41.3 | 1.81 | 76. 48 | 40.1 | 1.91 | 46.10 | 40.8 | 1.13 |
| November | 78.55 | 40.2 | 1.95 | 74. 10 | 41.0 | 1.81 | 69. 28 | 38.2 | 1.81 | 74.00 | 41.1 | 1.80 | 75.38 | 39.5 | 1.91 | 45. 20 | 39.3 | 1.15 |
| December-...-- | 81.47 | 41.0 | 1.99 | 74.73 | 41.0 | 1.82 | 69.27 | 37.7 | 1.84 | 73.42 | 40.7 | 1.81 | 74.68 | 39.1 | 1.91 | 46.52 | 40.1 | 1.16 |
|  | Mississippi-Con. |  |  | Missouri |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Montana |  |  | Nebraska |  |  |
|  | Jackson |  |  | State |  |  | Kansas City |  |  | St. Louis |  |  | State |  |  | State |  |  |
| 1951: Average | \$48.03 | 42.5 |  | \$59, 94 | 40.0 | \$1.50 | \$65. 80 | 41.3 | \$1.60 | \$63.11 | 39.9 | \$1.58 | \$72.13 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1952: Average......- |  |  | \$1.13 | 64.21 | 40.5 | 1.58 | 69.60 | 40.7 | 1.71 | 67.27 | 40.3 | 1.67 | 76.46 | 41.0 | 1.86 | ${ }^{\text {\$ }}$ 61.16 | 41.9 | 1.46 |
| December | 49.3451.88 | 42.9 | 1.15 | 66. 61 | 40.7 | 1.64 | 70.12 | 40.3 | 1.74 | 71.00 | 41.3 | 1. 72 | 77.91 | 40.6 | 1.92 | 65.88 | 43.0 | 1.53 |
| 1953: January |  | 43.6 | 1.19 | 65.51 | 39.9 | 1. 64 | 70.82 | 40.7 | 1.74 | 70.28 | 40.3 | 1. 75 | 78.49 | 40.7 | 1.93 | 62.01 | 40.1 | 1.55 |
| February | 49.03 | 41.2 | 1.19 | 66. 72 | 40.4 | 1.65 | 72.45 | 41.4 | 1.75 | 71.18 | 40.7 | 1. 75 | 80.11 | 41.4 | 1.94 | 62.75 | 41.1 | 1.53 |
| March | $\begin{aligned} & 49.08 \\ & 50.14 \end{aligned}$ | 40.9 | 1.20 | 67.60 | 40.6 | 1. 66 | 70.18 | 40.1 | 1.75 | 72. 26 | 40.9 | 1. 77 | 78.07 | 41.1 | 1.90 | 62.75 | 41.0 | 1.53 |
| April |  | 41.1 | 1. 22 | 66. 79 | 40.1 | 1. 67 | 69.08 | 39.7 | 1.74 | 72.00 | 40.5 | 1. 78 | 79.03 | 41.0 | 1.93 | 63.31 | 41.1 | 1. 54 |
| May. | $\begin{aligned} & 50.14 \\ & 49.57 \end{aligned}$ | 40.3 | 1. 23 | 67.07 | 39.9 | 1.68 | 69.08 | 39.7 | 1.74 | 72.36 | 40.5 | 1.79 | 78.07 | 40.6 | 1.92 | 64.00 | 41.1 | 1. 56 |
| June | $49.20$ | 41.0 | 1. 20 | 68.05 | 40.2 | 1.69 | 71.75 | 41.0 | 1.75 | 72.25 | 40.2 | 1. 80 | 82.89 | 42.9 | 1.93 | 66.74 | 43.2 | 1. 54 |
| July |  | 40.2 | 1. 19 | 68.51 | 40.1 | 1.71 | 73.69 | 41.4 | 1.78 | 72.59 | 40.1 | 1.81 | 78.23 | 40.5 | 1.93 | 64.51 | 41.9 | 1. 54 |
| August | $\begin{aligned} & 47.84 \\ & 47.88 \end{aligned}$ | 39.9 | 1. 20 | 68.72 | 40.5 | 1. 70 | 74. 82 | 41.8 | 1.79 | 72. 48 | 40.4 | 1.80 | 81.13 | 41.5 | 1.95 | 65.33 | 41.8 | 1. 56 |
| September -..- | 47.88 49.20 | 41.0 | 1.20 | 68. 11 | 39.0 398 | 1.75 | 71. 73 | 40.3 | 1.78 | 72.74 | 39.4 | 1. 85 | 79.49 78.89 | 40.7 | 1.95 | 67.21 | 42. 2 | 1. 59 |
| October | $\begin{aligned} & 50.10 \\ & 49.92 \\ & 50.70 \end{aligned}$ | 42.1 | 1.19 | 68.63 | 39.8 | 1. 72 | 73. 26 | 40.7 | 1.80 | 72.49 | 39.7 | 1.82 | 78.89 | 41.5 | 1.90 | 67.82 | 42.4 | 1. 60 |
| December-.--- |  | 41.6 41.9 | 1. 20 | 67.08 68.28 | 38.8 39.6 | 1.73 1.73 | 72.04 72.80 | 39.8 40.0 | 1.81 | 71.13 72.45 | 38.8 39.6 | 1.83 | 79.35 79.76 | 41.2 | 1. 92 | 70.45 | 43.1 | 1. 64 |
|  |  | 41.9 | 1.21 | 68.28 | 39.6 | 1. 73 | 72.80 | 40.0 | 1.82 | 72, 45 | 39.6 | 1.83 | 79.76 | 41.0 | 1. 94 | 67.55 | 41.6 | 1.62 |

See footnotes at end of table.

TABLE C-5: Hours and gross earnings of production workers in manufacturing industries for selected States and areas ${ }^{1}$ Continued

| Year and month | Nevada |  |  | New Hampshire |  |  |  |  |  | New Jersey |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | State |  |  | State |  |  | Manchester |  |  | State |  |  | Newark-Jersey City |  |  | Paterson |  |  |
|  | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earn- | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earn- | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earn- | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. ings | Avg. wkly. earn- | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. <br> hrly. <br> earn- <br> ings |
| 1951: A verag | $\$ 73.54$80.90 | 41.241.7 | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1.79 \\ 1.94 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 54.27 \\ 56.17 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40.5 \\ & 40.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1.34 \\ 1.38 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 51.84 \\ 54.32 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 38.4 \\ & 38.8 \end{aligned}$ | \$1.1.40 | \$67. 28 | 41.1 | \$1. 64 | \$69.01 | 41.6 | \$1. 66 | \$67.94 | 41.3 41.5 | \$1. 65 |
| 1952: A verag |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 71.02 | 41.1 | 1.73 | 72.33 | 41.4 | 1.75 | 72.04 | 41.5 | 1.74 |
| 1953: $\begin{aligned} & \text { Decemb } \\ & \text { January } \\ & \text { Februar } \\ & \text { March } \\ & \text { April... } \\ & \text { May } \\ & \text { June...- } \\ & \text { July... } \\ & \text { August } \\ & \text { Septemb } \\ & \text { October } \\ & \text { Novemb } \\ & \text { Decemb }\end{aligned}$ | 82.9482.7483.8385.4684.2286.4383.6283.8489.4686.6990.2389.3891.15 | $\begin{aligned} & 42.1 \\ & 42.0 \end{aligned}$ | 1.971.97 | $\begin{aligned} & 58.66 \\ & 57.96 \end{aligned}$ | 41.6 | 1.41 | 57.37 | 40.4 | 1.42 | 74.58 | 41.9 | 1.78 | 75.61 | 42.1 | 1.80 | 76.37 | 42.5 | 1.80 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 41.4 | 1. 40 | 56. 40 | 40.0 | 1. 41 | 74. 48 | 41.7 | 1. 79 | 75. 31 | 41.7 | 1. 81 | 75. 86 | 42.1 | 1.80 |
|  |  | 41.5 | 2.02 | 58.38 | 41.7 | 1. 40 | 56. 54 | 40.1 | 1. 41 | 74. 27 | 41.4 | 1.79 | 75. 65 | 41.5 | 1. 82 | 74. 84 | 41.6 | 1. 80 |
|  |  | 42.1 | 2.03 | 57.82 | 41.3 | 1. 40 | 56. 66 | 39.9 | 1. 42 | 73. 95 | 41.2 | 1.79 | 75.85 | 41.7 | 1.82 | 73. 69 | 41.1 | 1. 79 |
|  |  | 41.9 | 2.01 | 56.96 | 40.4 | 1. 41 | 54.14 | 38.4 | 1. 41 | 74. 28 | 41.2 | 1.80 | 75. 61 | 41.5 | 1.82 | 74. 17 | 41.0 | 1.81 |
|  |  | 43.1 | 2. 01 | 56. 96 | 40.4 | 1. 41 | 53.68 | 37.8 | 1. 42 | 74. 27 | 41.1 | 1.81 | 75. 56 | 41.2 | 1.83 | 74. 68 | 41.1 | 1.82 |
|  |  | 41.6 | 2.01 | 58.22 | 41.0 | 1. 42 | 55.91 | 39.1 | 1. 43 | 74.76 | 41.1 | 1.82 | 76. 69 | 41.5 | 1.85 | 75.17 | 41.3 | 1.82 |
|  |  | 41.1 | 2.04 | 57.37 | 40.4 | 1. 42 | 54.43 | 38.6 | 1.41 | 74.95 | 40.8 | 1.84 | 76.01 | 40.8 | 1. 86 | 74.05 | 40.6 | 1.82 |
|  |  | 42.4 | 2.11 | 57.51 | 40.5 | 1.42 | 56.06 | 39.2 | 1.43 | 73. 59 | 40.5 | 1.82 | 75. 60 | 40.8 | 1.85 | 73.63 | 40.5 | 1.82 |
|  |  | 40.7 | 2.13 | 56. 49 | 39.5 | 1.43 | 53.39 | 37.6 | 1. 42 | 73.83 | 40.3 | 1.83 | 75.09 | 40.5 | 1.85 | 73.81 | 40.2 | 1.84 |
|  |  | 41.2 | 2.19 | 55.20 | 38.6 | 1.43 | 50.34 | 35.2 | 1. 43 | 73.93 | 40.4 | 1.83 | 75.09 | 40.5 | 1.85 | 75. 46 | 40.9 | 1.84 |
|  |  | 41.0 | 2.18 | 56. 77 | 39.7 | 1. 43 | 53.77 | 37.6 | 1. 43 | 74.07 | 40.3 | 1.84 | 76. 69 | 40.9 | 1. 88 | 74. 87 | 40.6 | 1.84 |
|  |  | 42.2 | 2.16 | 57.92 | 40.5 | 1.43 | 56.02 | 38.9 | 1.44 | 74.91 | 40.6 | 1.85 | 76.49 | 40.6 | 1.88 | 75. 50 | 40.9 | 1.85 |
|  | New Jersey-Continued |  |  |  |  |  | New Mexico |  |  |  |  |  | New York |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Perth Amboy |  |  | Trenton |  |  | State |  |  | Albuquerque |  |  | State |  |  | Albany-Schenectady-Troy |  |  |
| 1951: | $\$ 67.65$71.31 | 41.2 | \$1.1.1.73 | $\$ 65.85$68.69 | 40.740.5 | $\$ 1.62$1.70 | $\$ 68.02$ <br> 71.88 | 43.643.3 | \$1. 56 | $\$ 69.00$71.83 | 45.143.8 | $\$ 1.53$1.64 | $\$ 64.90$67.77 | $\begin{aligned} & 39.7 \\ & 39.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\$ 1.63$1.70 | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 70.75 \\ 72.45 \end{array}$ | 41.540.9 | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1.70 \\ 1.77 \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.66 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1953: Janua $\begin{aligned} & \text { Febru } \\ & \text { March } \\ & \text { April } \\ & \text { May } \\ & \text { Mune- } \\ & \text { July } \\ & \text { July } \\ & \text { Augus } \\ & \text { Septen } \\ & \text { Octob } \\ & \text { Nover }\end{aligned}$ | 74.29 74.46 <br> 74.51 <br> 74. 35 <br> 74.61 74.67 <br> 75. 12 <br> 77. 16 <br> 76.51 75.70 <br> 75.35 <br> 75. 07 <br> 74.99 | 41.541.341.341.441.241.341.341.841.240.740.640.640.6 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.79 \\ & 1.80 \\ & 1.80 \\ & 1.80 \\ & 1.81 \\ & 1.81 \\ & 1.82 \\ & 1.85 \\ & 1.86 \\ & 1.86 \\ & 1.86 \\ & 1.85 \\ & 1.85 \end{aligned}$ | 76.71 | 42.9 | 1.79 | 72.41 | 43.1 | 1.68 | 72.87 | 43.9 | 1.66 | 70.81 | 40.7 | 1.74 | 74.05 | 42.3 | 1.75 |
|  |  |  |  | 76.82 | 42.7 | 1.80 | 71.75 | 41.0 | 1. 75 | 73.00 | 43.2 | 1.69 | 70.82 | 40.3 | 1. 76 | 73. 18 | 41.0 | 1.79 |
|  |  |  |  | 76.68 | 42.2 | 1.82 | 71.17 | 40.9 | 1. 74 | 71. 38 | 43.0 | 1. 66 | 71.04 | 40.2 | 1. 77 | 74.73 | 41.3 | 1.81 |
|  |  |  |  | 74. 74 | 41.5 | 1.80 | 73. 68 | 42.1 | 1.75 | 72.76 | 42.8 | 1.70 | 71.26 | 40.2 | 1.77 | 76.82 | 41.1 | 1.87 |
|  |  |  |  | 74.81 | 41.4 | 1.81 | 70.49 | 39.6 | 1.78 | 68.97 | 40.1 | 1.72 | 70.54 | 39.9 | 1. 77 | 77.84 | 40.8 | 1.91 |
|  |  |  |  | 75. 24 | 41.5 | 1.81 | 75. 71 | 41.6 | 1. 82 | 71.98 | 40.9 | 1.76 | 70.59 | 39.8 | 1. 77 | 76. 93 | 40.4 | 1. 90 |
|  |  |  |  | 75. 12 | 41.3 | 1.82 | 75. 42 | 41.9 | 1. 80 | 73. 02 | 42.7 | 1. 71 | 71.27 | 39. 9 | 1.78 | 78. 60 | 40.9 | 1. 92 |
|  |  |  |  | 75. 68 | 41.2 | 1.84 | 72.75 | 41.1 | 1.77 | 69. 43 | 40.6 | 1.71 | 71.25 | 39.5 39.7 | 1.80 | 76.13 | 40.0 40.3 | 1. 90 |
|  |  |  |  | 71.68 | 40.0 | 1.79 | 75.71 | 41.6 | 1.82 | 70.52 | 41.0 | 1.72 | 71.45 | ${ }_{39} 39$ | 1.80 | 77.11 | 40.0 | 1. 93 |
|  |  |  |  | 70. 05 | 39.4 | 1.78 | 76.36 | 41.5 | 1.84 | 69.20 | 40.0 | 1.73 | 70.42 | ${ }_{39} 39.6$ | 1.81 | 76.28 | 39.9 | 1. 1.91 |
|  |  |  |  | 69.79 | 39.1 39.6 | 1.78 1.79 | 75. 71 | 40.2 | 1.83 1.84 1.84 | 69.34 | 39.5 38.9 | 1.73 1.78 | 71.50 | 39.5 39.5 | 1.81 | 76.34 | 39.6 | 1.93 |
|  |  |  |  | 72.94 | 30.3 40 | 1.81 | 77.15 | 41.7 | 1.84 1.85 | 72. 40 | 40.0 | 1.81 | 71. 66 | 39.2 | 1.83 | 77.26 | 39.6 | 1.95 |
|  | New York-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Binghamton |  |  | Buffalo |  |  | Elmira |  |  | Nassau and Suffolk Counties |  |  | New York City |  |  | Rochester |  |  |
| 1951: Average <br> 1952: A verage | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 61.05 \\ 64.59 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 39.2 \\ 39.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1.56 \\ 1.65 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 73.76 \\ 77.35 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 41.7 \\ & 41.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1.77 \\ 1.87 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 64.85 \\ 68.48 \end{array}$ | 40.7 | \$1. 60 | \$75. 24 | 43.8 | \$1. 72 | \$63. 23 | 37.8 | \$1.67 | \$69.43 | 41.5 | \$1. 68 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 40.7 | 1.68 | 82.69 | 44.9 | 1.84 | 65.49 | 38.1 | 1.72 | 72.61 | 41.2 | 1.77 |
| 1953: January $\begin{aligned} & \text { Februa } \\ & \text { March } \\ & \text { April. } \\ & \text { May } \\ & \text { June... } \\ & \text { July } \\ & \text { August } \\ & \text { Septem } \\ & \text { October } \\ & \text { Novem } \\ & \text { Decemb }\end{aligned}$ | 68.86 67.94 67. 61 67. 41 67.76 67.04 65.81 65.81 66.35 66.65 67.17 | 41.040.339.939.839.739.940.039.438.838.638.738.738.7 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.68 \\ & 1.69 \\ & 1.69 \\ & 1.69 \\ & 1.70 \\ & 1.70 \\ & 1.70 \\ & 1.70 \\ & 1.70 \\ & 1.71 \\ & 1.71 \\ & 1.72 \\ & 1.73 \end{aligned}$ | 82.68 | 42.7 | 1.94 | 72.89 | 41.7 | 1.75 | 88.57 | 46.2 | 1.92 | 67.73 | 38.9 | 1.74 | 76.09 | 42.2 | 1.80 |
|  |  |  |  | 81.56 | 42.0 | 1.94 | 72.50 | 41.3 | 1.76 | 86. 84 | 45.2 | 1.92 | 67.83 | 38.4 | 1.77 | 75.86 | 41.9 <br> 41 | 1.81 |
|  |  |  |  | 82. 59 | 42.2 | 1.96 | 71.55 | 40.8 | 1.76 | 87.79 | 44.7 | 1.96 | 68.07 | 38.4 | 1.77 | 74. 67 | 41.3 | 1.81 |
|  |  |  |  | 83.02 | 42.2 | 1.97 | 73.40 | 41.4 | 1.77 | 84.90 79.83 | 43.2 40 | 1.97 | 68.07 | 38. 2 | 1.75 | 76.61 | 41.9 | 1.83 |
|  |  |  |  | 83.00 | 42.0 | 1.97 | 71.98 | 40.5 | 1.78 1.76 | 79.83 | 40.9 42.4 | 1.98 | 66. 61 | 38.1 38.1 | 1.75 | 76.67 | 41.8 | 1.83 |
|  |  |  |  | 82.67 84.41 | 42.1 | 1.98 2.00 | 71.98 | 40.8 | 1.76 | 83.34 | 42.2 | 1.98 | 66.74 | 38.0 | 1.76 | 77.58 | 41.8 | 1.86 |
|  |  |  |  | 85.20 | 42.1 | 2.02 | 68. 93 | 39.3 | 1. 76 | 82.96 | 41.8 | 1.99 | 67.29 | 37.5 | 1.79 | 76.49 | 41.4 | 1.85 |
|  |  |  |  | 84.40 | 41.8 | 2.02 | 70.20 | 39.8 | 1.77 | 82.67 | 41.9 | 1.97 | 67.76 | 37.7 | 1.80 | 76.78 | 41.6 | 1.85 |
|  |  |  |  | 81.04 | 40.1 | 2.02 | 71.35 | 39.7 | 1.80 | 84. 28 | 42.2 | 2.00 | 65.91 | 36.7 | 1.80 | 77. 51 | 41.9 | 1.85 |
|  |  |  |  | 82.30 | 40.9 | 2.01 | 74.00 | 41.2 | 1.80 | 85.31 | 42.6 | 2.00 | 68.11 | 37.8 | 1.80 | 76. 33 | 41.2 | 1.85 |
|  |  |  |  | 83.50 | 41.3 | 2.02 | 73.39 | 40.8 | 1.80 | 81. 00 | 41.2 | 1.96 | 68. 09 | 37.9 | 1.79 | 76. 70 | 41.3 | 1.86 |
|  |  |  |  | 82.76 | 40.9 | 2.02 | 73.60 | 40.7 | 1.81 | 82.49 | 41.4 | 1.99 | 68.60 | 37.8 | 1.82 | 77.16 | 41.2 | 1.87 |
|  | New York-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | North Carolina |  |  |  |  |  | North Dakota |  |  |
|  | Syracuse |  |  | Utica-Rome |  |  | Westchester County |  |  | State |  |  | Charlotte |  |  | State |  |  |
| 1951: A | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 68.86 \\ 71.16 \end{array}$ | 42.841.9 | \$1.61 | \$62.2565.54 | $\begin{aligned} & 40.3 \\ & 40.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\$ 1.55$1.62 | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 63.41 \\ 66.25 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 39.7 \\ & 39.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\$ 1.60$1.66 | $\$ 46.00$47.67 | 39.1 | \$1.18 | \$49.48 | 40.1 | \$1.24 | \$59.72 | 44.9 | \$1. 33 |
|  |  |  | 1.70 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 39.6 | 1.20 | 51.01 | 40.3 | 1.27 | 64, 04 | 45.1 | 1. 42 |
| December | 75. 29 | 42.742.8 | 1.76 | 69.43 | 41.7 | 1.67 | 67.41 | 40.0 | 1.68 | 50.36 | 41.2 | 1. 22 | 52.06 | 40.9 | 1. 27 | 65. 25 | 44.9 | 1.45 |
| 1953: January |  |  | 1.79 | 68.97 | 41.4 | 1. 66 | 68.78 | 40.2 | 1.71 | 49.11 | 40.1 | 1. 23 | 50.82 | 40.2 | 1.27 | 63. 06 | 43. 7 | 1.44 |
| February | 76. 40 | 42.4 | 1.80 | 68.66 | 41.3 | 1.66 | 69.85 | 40.5 | 1.73 | 49. 08 | 39.9 | 1.23 | 51.18 | 40.3 | 1. 27 | 61.53 | 42.7 | 1.44 |
| March | 77.44 | 42.6 | 1.82 | 68.92 | 41.2 | 1.67 | 71.11 | 40.9 | 1.74 | 49.32 | 40.1 | 1.23 | 52.35 | 40.9 | 1. 28 | 61.28 | 42.7 | 1.44 |
| April | 77.87 | 42.7 | 1.82 | 69.29 | 41.1 | 1.68 | 69.83 | 40.2 | 1.74 | 48.22 | 39.2 | 1.23 | 51.44 | 40.5 | 1. 27 | 63. 64 | 43.3 | 1.47 |
| May | 77.09 | 42.4 | 1.82 | 69.10 | 40.8 | 1.69 | 69. 92 | 40. 1 | 1.74 | 48. 98 | 39.5 | 1.24 | 51.73 | 40.1 | 1. 29 | 64.98 | 44.2 | 1.47 |
| June | 77.44 | 42.3 | 1.83 | 69. 38 | 40.9 | 1.70 | 72.83 | 40.7 | 1.79 | 48.19 | 39.5 | 1. 22 | 51.84 | 40.5 | 1.28 | 66.87 | 45.7 | 1. 46 |
| July | 76.25 | 41.7 | 1.83 | 68.50 | 40.5 | 1.69 | 69, 31 | 39.2 40 | 1.77 | 48.34 | 39.3 <br> 39 | 1.23 | 51.58 51.71 | 40.3 40.4 | 1.28 1.28 | 69.00 68.75 | 46.4 46.7 | 1.49 |
| August | 76.82 | 41.8 | 1.84 | 68.98 | 40.6 | 1.70 | 70.92 | 40.0 39.3 | 1.77 1.77 | 48.46 46.99 | 39.4 38.2 | 1.23 | 49.54 | 40.4 38.4 | 1.29 | 68.75 65.74 | 45.4 | 1.45 |
| September...- | 76.75 77.20 | 41.8 41.8 | 1.83 | 69.93 | 40.8 40.6 | 1.72 | 69.87 | 39.7 | 1.76 | 48.22 | 39.2 | 1.23 | 51.99 | 40.3 | 1. 29 | 65.41 | 43.7 | 1. 50 |
| November | 77.91 | 42.0 | 1.85 | 70.04 | 40.4 | 1.73 | 67.68 | 38.9 | 1.74 | 47.99 | 38.7 | 1.24 | 52.25 | 40.5 | 1. 29 | 68.03 | 43.9 | 1. 55 |
| December. | 76. 53 | 41.4 | 1.85 | 68.98 | 39.5 | 1.74 | 71.65 | 39.8 | 1.80 | 47.99 | 38.7 | 1.24 | 51.47 | 39.9 | 1.29 | 64.31 | 42.4 | 1.52 |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table C-5: Hours and gross earnings of production workers in manufacturing industries for selected States and areas ${ }^{1}$ - Continued

| Year and month |  | North Dakota-Con. |  |  | Ohio |  |  | Oklahoma |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Fargo |  |  | State |  |  | State |  |  | Oklahoma City |  |  | Tulsa |  |  |
|  |  | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. <br> hrly. <br> earn- <br> ings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. <br> hrly. <br> earn- <br> ings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. <br> hrly. <br> earn- <br> ings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. <br> hrly. <br> earn- <br> ings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1951: } \\ & \text { 1952: } \end{aligned}$ | A verage Average | $\$ 61.08$ 67.78 | 43.7 44 | $\$ 1.40$ 1.53 | \$75. 14 | 41.1 | \$1.83 | $\$ 62.60$ 65.68 | 42.3 42.1 | $\$ 1.48$ 1.56 | $\$ 60.48$ 63.36 | 43.2 43.4 | $\$ 1.40$ 1.46 | \$66. 37 72.59 | 43.1 42.7 | \$1. 54 |
|  | December. | 68.66 | 44.2 | 1.55 | 80.03 | 42.2 | 1.90 | 70.09 | 43.0 | 1.63 | 65. 42 | 44.5 | 1.47 | 77. 53 | 42.6 | 1. 82 |
| 1953: | January..- | 64.85 | 42.6 | 1. 52 | 79.76 | 41.7 | 1.91 | 68.15 | 41.3 | 1.65 | 63, 75 | 42.5 | 1.50 | 74.88 | 41.6 | 1. 80 |
|  | February | 64.16 | 42.0 | 1.53 | 79.41 | 41.4 | 1.92 | 69.64 | 41.7 | 1.67 | 64.14 | 42.2 | 1.52 | 75.89 | 41.7 | 1. 82 |
|  | March | 62.37 | 41.5 | 1. 50 | 80.49 | 41.8 | 1.93 | 70.22 | 41.8 | 1.68 | 66.07 | 42.9 | 1.54 | 75. 84 | 41.9 | 1.81 |
|  | April | 63.72 | 41.2 | 1.55 | 79.76 | 41.4 | 1.93 | 69. 63 | 41.2 | 1.69 | 65.91 | 42.8 | 1.54 | 75. 26 | 40.9 | 1.84 |
|  | May | 66.44 | 41.8 | 1. 59 | 79.72 | 41.2 | 1.93 | 69. 72 | 41.5 | 1.68 | 68.02 | 43.6 | 1.56 | 74. 80 | 41.1 | 1.82 |
|  | June | 67.90 | 43.5 | 1. 56 | 80.21 | 41.2 | 1. 95 | 68.56 | 41.3 | 1.66 | 67. 39 | 43.2 | 1. 56 | 74. 93 | 41.4 | 1.81 |
|  | July | 70. 45 | 43.3 | 1. 63 | 80.41 | 41.1 | 1. 96 | 70.30 | 41.6 | 1. 69 | 66. 94 | 42.1 | 1. 59 | 75. 58 | 41.3 | 1. 83 |
|  | August | 67.65 | 43.0 | 1. 57 | 79.88 | 40.9 | 1. 95 | 69.94 | 40.9 | 1.71 | 66. 72 | 41.7 | 1. 60 | 75. 48 | 40.8 | 1. 85 |
|  | September | 67.77 | 44.5 | 1.52 | 79.89 | 40.5 | 1. 97 | 70.45 | 41.2 | 1.71 | 70.24 | 43.9 | 1. 60 | 73. 60 | 40.0 | 1.84 |
|  | October | 65.88 | 41.0 | 1.61 | 79.95 | 40.5 | 1.97 | 70.89 | 41.7 | 1.70 | 71.48 | 44.4 | 1.61 | 74.40 | 40.0 | 1. 86 |
|  | December | 69.99 | 39.5 | 1.77 | 79.92 | 40.5 | 1.97 | 70.97 | 41.5 | 1.71 | 72.58 | 44.3 44.8 | 1.62 1.62 | 74. 80 75.76 | 40.0 40.3 | 1.87 1.88 |
|  |  | Oregon |  |  |  |  |  | Pennsylvania |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | State |  |  | Portland |  |  | State |  |  | Allentown-Bethle-hem-Easton |  |  | Erie |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1951: } \\ & \text { 1952: } \end{aligned}$ | A verage | \$75. 61 | 39.1 | \$1.94 | \$70. 89 | 39. 1 | \$1.82 | \$63. 74 | 40.2 | \$1. 59 | \$61. 62 | 39.6 | \$1. 56 | \$67. 24 | 41.1 | \$1. 64 |
|  | Average | 79.56 | 38.9 | 2.05 | 73.39 | 38.7 | 1.90 | 66.54 | 40.2 | 1. 66 | 63.76 | 39.6 | 1.61 | 70.33 | 41.2 | 1. 71 |
|  | December | 81.24 | 39.1 | 2.08 | 74.95 | 38.8 | 1.93 | 70.91 | 40.7 | 1. 74 | 66.03 | 39.4 | 1.68 | 72. 13 | 41.1 | 1. 76 |
| 1953: | January | 80.64 | 38.7 | 2.08 | 74.51 | 38. 4 | 1. 94 | 71.31 | 40.5 | 1. 76 | 68.54 | 39.8 | 1.72 | 77.34 | 42.4 | 1. 82 |
|  | February | 80. 97 | 38.7 | 2. 09 | 74. 20 | 38. 3 | 1. 94 | 70.88 | 40.4 | 1. 75 | 66.77 | 39.6 | 1. 69 | 75. 31 | 41.4 | 1. 82 |
|  | March | 82.38 | 39.0 | 2.11 | 76.84 | 38.9 | 1.97 | 71.36 | 40.6 | 1.76 | 66. 96 | 39.6 | 1.69 | 78.51 | 42.6 | 1.84 |
|  | April | 82.42 | 38.6 | 2.13 | 76.60 | 38.8 | 1.98 | 70.48 | 40.1 | 1.76 | 65.74 | 38.9 | 1.69 | 80.68 | 43.1 | 1.87 |
|  | May | 83. 28 | 38.8 | 2. 14 | 78.01 | 38.8 | 2. 01 | 70.95 | 40.3 | 1.76 | 67.42 | 39.2 | 1.72 | 74.23 | 41.1 | 1.81 |
|  | June | 83.58 | 38.6 | 2. 16 | 76. 17 | 37.9 | 2. 01 | 70.92 | 40.0 | 1. 77 | 66. 57 | 38.5 | 1.73 | 73. 69 | 41.1 | 1. 79 |
|  | July | 83.05 | 39.1 | 2.12 | 75. 33 | 38.2 | 1.97 | 70.71 | 39.5 | 1.79 | 66. 24 | 38.2 | 1.73 | 70.80 | 39.2 | 1. 81 |
|  | August | 81.70 | 38.4 | 2. 13 | 77.55 | 38.6 | 2. 01 | 72.13 | 39.9 39.5 | 1.81 | 67.70 | 39.0 | 1.74 | 74. 17 | 40.4 | 1. 84 |
|  | October | 81.50 | ${ }_{38} 8$ | 2.13 | 7.55 | 38.0 | 1.99 | 72.32 | 39.5 | 1.83 | 68.15 | 38.5 | 1.77 | 73. 85 | 40.6 | 1.82 |
|  | November | 81.46 | 38.3 | 2. 12 | 75. 95 | 37.6 | 2.02 | 71.72 | 39.3 | 1.83 | 68. 18 | ${ }_{38} 38$ | 1.76 | 74. 79 | 40.8 | 1.83 |
|  | December | 80.37 | 38.3 | 2.10 | 75.46 | 37.7 | 2.00 | 71. 06 | 39.0 | 1.82 | 65.69 | 37.3 | 1.76 | 75.86 | 40.5 | 1.87 |
|  |  | Pennsylvania-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Harrisburg ${ }^{3}$ |  |  | Lancaster |  |  | Philadelphia |  |  | Pittsburgh ${ }^{3}$ |  |  | Reading |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1951: } \\ & \text { 1952: } \end{aligned}$ | Average | \$58. 16 | 40.5 | \$1. 44 | \$57. 21 | 41.4 | \$1. 38 | \$65. 89 | 40.7 | \$1. 62 | \$64. 52 | 40.8 | \$1. 62 | \$60. 92 | 39.0 | \$1. 56 |
|  | Average | 61.33 | 40.7 | 1.51 | 59.49 | 41.2 | 1. 44 | 69.97 | 40.8 | 1.72 | 75.82 | 40.5 | 1.87 | 62.13 | 39.4 | 1.58 |
|  | December | 64. 14 | 40.7 | 1.58 | 63.50 | 42.5 | 1. 49 | 74.14 | 41.7 | 1. 78 | 81.90 | 41.3 | 1.98 | 67.40 | 40.8 | 1.65 |
| 1953: | January | 65.97 | 40.8 | 1.62 | 62.00 | 41.5 | 1. 49 | 73.11 | 40.8 | 1. 79 | 82.49 | 41.1 | 2.01 | 67.05 | 40.2 | 1. 67 |
|  | February | 63.84 | 40.3 | 1. 58 | 63.75 | 42.3 | 1.51 | 73. 68 | 41.0 | 1.80 | 81.31 | 40.9 | 1. 99 | 65. 69 | 40.2 | 1. 63 |
|  | March | 64.51 | 40.6 | 1. 59 | 62.78 | 41.8 | 1. 50 | 73.77 | 41.1 | 1.80 | 81.36 | 40.8 | 1. 99 | 67.86 | 41.1 | 1. 65 |
|  | April | 62.85 | 39.7 | 1.58 | 63.03 | 41.8 | 1. 51 | 73. 06 | 40.7 | 1. 80 | 79.60 | 39.9 | 2.00 | 67.03 | 40.7 | 1. 65 |
|  | May | 65. 61 | 40.5 | 1. 62 | 63.24 | 41.8 | 1. 51 | 73. 60 | 40.8 | 1. 80 | 80.72 | 40.5 | 1. 99 | 67.40 | 40.7 | 1. 66 |
|  | June | 64. 76 | 40.1 | 1.62 | 62.90 | 41.6 | 1. 51 | 73.73 | 40.6 | 1.82 | 81.64 | 40.8 | 2.00 | 67.40 | 40.6 | 1. 66 |
|  | July | 63. 30 | 39.1 | 1.62 | 63.65 | 41.6 | 1. 53 | 73. 28 | 40.0 | 1.83 | 82. 21 | 40.4 | 2.04 | 67.10 | 40.4 | 1. 66 |
|  | August | 63. 67 | 39.5 | 1. 61 | 63.33 | 41.5 | 1. 53 | 74. 58 | 40.4 | 1.85 | 83. 76 | 40.7 | 2.06 | 66. 26 | 39.7 | 1. 67 |
|  | September | 62.84 | 38.6 | 1.63 | 61.86 | 40.3 | 1.54 | 75.31 | 40.4 | 1.86 | 84. 29 | 40.1 | 2.10 | 63.17 | 38.1 | 1.66 |
|  | October | 62.34 | 38.6 | 1.62 | 62.54 | 40.9 | 1. 53 | 74. 61 | 40.2 | 1.86 | 82.73 | 40.2 | 2.06 | 65. 60 | 39.4 | 1.67 |
|  | November | 63. 56 | 38.9 | 1. 63 | 61.66 | 40.3 | 1. 53 | 74.35 | 40.1 | 1.85 | 81.18 | 39.6 | 2.05 | 64.70 | 39.0 | 1. 66 |
|  | December. | 62.36 | 38.4 | 1.62 | 61.71 | 40.1 | 1.54 | 74.68 | 40.3 | 1.85 | 79.98 | 38.9 | 2.06 | 64.90 | 38.7 | 1. 68 |
|  |  | Pennsylvania-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Rhode Island |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Scranton |  |  | Wilkes-BarreHazleton |  |  | York |  |  | State |  |  | Providence |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1951: } \\ & 1952: \end{aligned}$ | A verage | \$48. 27 | 38.4 | \$1. 26 | \$45. 98 | 36.9 | \$1.25 | \$54. 71 | 41.2 | \$1.33 | \$55. 86 | 39.9 | \$1.40 | \$56.38 | 40.5 | \$1.39 |
|  | Average | 51.08 | 38.7 | 1.32 | 49.74 | 38.0 | 1.31 | 57.13 | 41.4 | 1.38 | 59.62 | 40.2 | 1.48 | 59.16 | 40.8 | 1. 45 |
|  | December. | 51.89 | 38.9 | 1.33 | 51.42 | 38.6 | 1.33 | 61.33 | 42.5 | 1. 44 | 63. 30 | 41.8 | 1. 51 | 63.15 | 42.1 | 1. 50 |
| 1953: | January | 53. 80 | 39.1 | 1. 38 | 52.07 | 38.2 | 1.36 | 61.29 | 42.3 | 1. 45 | 62.07 | 41.2 | 1. 51 | 61.12 | 41.3 | 1. 48 |
|  | February | 54. 15 | 39.1 | 1.39 | 51.61 | 38.4 | 1.34 | 61.91 | 42.0 | 1. 47 | 61.51 | 40.9 | 1. 50 | 61.65 | 41.1 | 1. 50 |
|  | March | 55.56 | 40.0 | 1.39 | 51.78 | 38.5 | 1. 35 | 63. 92 | 42.7 | 1. 50 | 61.48 | 40.9 | 1.50 | 62.10 | 41.4 | 1. 50 |
|  | April | 55. 64 | 39.6 | 1. 41 | 50.09 | 37.3 | 1. 34 | 63.51 | 42.2 | 1. 51 | 61.24 | 40.7 | 1. 50 | 60.75 | 40.5 | 1. 50 |
|  | May | 55. 54 | 39.9 | 1. 39 | 51.13 | 38.1 | 1. 34 | 62. 56 | 41.9 | 1. 49 | 60.95 | 40.4 | 1.51 | 60.64 | 40.7 | 1. 49 |
|  | June. | 54.74 | 39.3 | 1. 39 | 51. 07 | 37.8 | 1.35 | 64.73 | 42.7 | 1. 52 | 61.81 | 40.8 | 1.52 | 61.16 | 40.6 | 1.51 |
|  | July -- | 54.83 | 39.5 | 1.39 | 49. 79 | 37.1 | 1. 34 | 62.18 | 41.1 | 1. 51 | 60.77 | 40.1 | 1.51 | 60.60 | 40.4 | 1. 50 |
|  | August | 54. 44 | 39.0 | 1.40 | 50. 73 | 37.3 | 1.36 | 63.42 | 42.0 | 1. 51 | 57.46 | 37.8 | 1.52 | 60.79 | 40.8 | 1. 49 |
|  | September | 54.97 | 38.9 | 1.41 | 50.21 | 37.0 | 1.36 | 61.69 | 40.8 | 1. 51 | 58. 29 | 37.9 | 1.54 | 59.80 | 39.6 | 1.51 |
|  | October- | 55.57 | 39.3 | 1.41 | 51.67 | 37.2 | 1.39 | 64.17 | 41.4 | 1. 55 | 57.76 | 37.8 | 1. 53 | 59.19 | 39.1 | 1.51 |
|  | November- | 55.04 53.85 | 38.6 38.0 | 1.43 | 51. 34 51.75 | 37.2 37.1 | 1.38 1.40 | 63.13 63.77 | 40.7 41.3 | 1.55 1.54 | 58.66 61.38 | 37.8 39.9 | 1.55 1.54 | 57.87 61.26 | 39.1 40.3 | 1.48 1.52 |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table C-5: Hours and gross earnings of production workers in manufacturing industries for selected States and areas ${ }^{1}$ - Continued


See footnotes at end of table

Table C-5: Hours and gross earnings of production workers in manufacturing industries for selected States and areas ${ }^{11}$ - Continued

| Year and month | Washington-Continued |  |  |  |  |  | West Virginia |  |  |  |  |  | Wisconsin |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Spokane |  |  | Tacoma |  |  | State |  |  | Charleston |  |  | State |  |  | Kenosha |  |  |
|  | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earn- | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. ings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earn- ings | Avg. wkly. earnIng | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. <br> hrly. <br> earn- <br> ings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. <br> hrly. <br> earn- <br> ings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. <br> hrly. <br> earn- <br> ings |
| 1951: A verage | \$70. 02 | 40.3 | \$1.73 | \$69. 63 | 38.1 | \$1. 83 | \$63. 36 | 40.1 | \$1. 58 |  |  |  | \$68. 77 | 42. 5 | \$1. 62 | \$73. 74 | 41.2 | \$1.79 |
| 1952: A verage | 74.21 | 40.2 | 1.85 | 75.10 | 38.9 | 1.93 | 65. 82 | 39.7 | 1. 66 | \$78. 35 | 40.2 | \$1. 95 | 71.77 | 42.2 | 1. 70 | 75.34 | 40.1 | 1.88 1.91 |
| December | 75.95 | 40.3 | 1.89 | 74.11 | 37.9 | 1.95 | 68. 91 | 40.3 | 1.71 | 81.61 | 40.4 | 2. 02 | 75.90 | 42. 9 | 1.77 | 76. 71 | 40.1 | 1. 91 |
|  | 77.80 | 40.5 | 1. 92 | 75.82 | 38.5 <br> 38.9 <br> 8 | 1. 1.97 | 69.55 69.60 | 40.2 40.0 | 1.73 1.74 | 83.43 83.44 | 41.3 40.7 | 2. 2.05 | 75.19 75.67 | 42.3 42.3 | 1.78 1.79 | 81.40 81.96 | 41.2 41.4 | 1.97 |
|  | 77.97 79.82 | 40.4 40.9 | 1.93 1.95 | 76. 77.33 | 38.9 <br> 38.8 | 1.98 1.99 | 69.60 70.18 | 40.0 40.1 | 1.74 | 83.44 85.07 | 40.7 | 2.08 | 75. 67 76.28 | 42.5 | 1.79 1.79 | 89. 29 | 41.4 40.2 | 1.97 |
|  | 76.50 | 39.3 | 1.95 | 76.15 | 38.4 | 1.98 | 70.05 | 39.8 | 1.76 | 85.05 | 40.5 | 2. 10 | 76.22 | 42.3 | 1.80 | 80.30 | 40.6 | 1.98 |
|  | 72.85 | 37.6 | 1.94 | 76.80 | 38.2 | 2.01 | 71.96 | 40.2 | 1.77 | 85.06 | 40.7 | 2.09 | 75. 76 | 42.1 | 1.80 | 77.36 | 39.7 | 1. 95 |
|  | 77.83 | 39.7 | 1. 96 | 76. 90 | 38.0 | 2.02 | 70.84 | 39.8 | 1.78 | 85.05 | 40.5 | 2. 10 | 74.55 | 41.9 | 1.78 | 74.79 | 38.8 | 1. 93 |
|  | 80.04 | 40.1 | 2.00 | 80.20 | 39.2 | 2.05 | 71.68 | 39.6 | 1.81 | 88. 18 | 41.4 | 2. 13 | 72. 05 | 41.9 | 1.72 | 73. 28 | 38.5 | 1. 90 |
|  | 77.59 | 39.1 | 1.98 | 77. 46 | 39.0 | 1. 98 | 71.02 | 39.9 | 1.78 | 85. 26 | 40.6 | 2. 10 | 73.72 | 42.0 | 1.76 | 74. 75 | 39.2 | 1. 91 |
|  | 81.79 | 37.9 | 2.16 | 73.72 | 37.9 | 1.94 | 71.19 | 38.9 | 1. 83 | 88. 00 | 40.0 | 2. 20 | 72. 98 | 41.4 | 1.76 | 78.06 | 40.1 | 1. 95 |
|  | 76.61 | 38.9 | 1.97 | 75. 85 | 39.3 | 1. 93 | 71. 60 | 40.0 | 1.79 | 85. 60 | 40.0 | 2.14. | 73.91 74.97 | 41.1 | 1.80 1.81 | 69.64 | 35.5 38.6 | 1.96 |
|  | 77.24 78.39 | 39.4 39.8 | 1.96 1.97 | 75. 94 78.51 | 37.3 38.8 | 2.04 2.02 | 72. 25 | 39.7 39.7 | 1.82 1.83 | 86.65 87.56 | 40.3 39.8 | 2.15 2.20 | 74.97 75.48 | 41.4 41.3 | 1.81 1.83 | 76.13 | 38.6 38.3 | 1.97 1.99 |
|  |  | 39.8 |  | 78.51 | 38.8 | 2.02 | 72.65 | 39.7 | 1.83 | 87.56 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | W isconsin-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Wyoming |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | La Crosse |  |  | Madison |  |  | Milwaukee |  |  | Racine |  |  | State |  |  | Casper |  |  |
| 1951: A verage. | \$63. 11 | 39.2 | \$1. 61 | \$69.36 | 41.3 | \$1. 68 | \$74. 79 | 42.2 | \$1. 77 | \$75. 54 | 41.9 | \$1. 80 | \$71. 89 | 39.2 | \$1. 83 |  |  |  |
|  | 68.47 | 39.5 | 1. 73 | 73. 56 | 41.0 | 1.80 | 77.79 8.34 | 41.7 | 1.86 1.93 | 77.85 79.49 | 41.2 | 1.89 1.91 | 76.36 78.38 | 40.4 40.4 | 1.89 1.94 |  |  |  |
| December | 72.89 | 40.6 | 1.79 | 80.30 76.75 |  | 1.87 1.89 | 82.34 81.26 | 42.6 | 1.93 | 79.49 80.21 | 41.7 41.8 | 1.91 | 78.38 77.81 | 49.4 39.1 | 1.94 1.99 | \$94.39 | 39.8 41.4 | 2. 28 |
| 1953: January |  |  | 1.82 1.81 | 76.75 75.12 | 40.8 40.4 | 1.89 1.87 | 81.26 <br> 81.37 <br> 8 | 42.0 41.8 | 1.94 | 80.21 79.81 | 41.8 41.4 | 1. 1.92 | 77.81 79.60 | 40.2 | 1.98 | 98.76 88. | 39.1 | 2. 27 |
| February | 71. 92 | 39.8 39.4 3 | 1.81 1.82 | 75.12 | 40.4 40.2 | 1.87 | 81.37 <br> 81.83 <br> 8 | 41.8 41.9 | 1.94 1.95 | 79.81 82.09 | 42.2 | 1.95 1.95 | 79.39 | 40.3 | 1.97 | 90.40 | 40.0 | 2. 26 |
| March | 71.74 | 39.4 <br> 39.3 | 1.82 1.82 | 73.94 73.14 | 39.7 | 1.84 | 82.12 | 41.9 | 1.96 | 80.82 | 41.9 | 1. 93 | 78.21 | 39.5 | 1.98 | 91.25 | 40.2 | 2.27 |
| May | 72.61 | 39.7 | 1.83 | 73. 81 | 39.7 | 1.86 | 80.84 | 41.4 | 1.95 | 79.57 | 41.5 | 1.92 | 79. 20 | 40.0 | 1.98 | 93.30 | 41.1 | 2. 27 |
| June. | 73.49 | 40.1 | 1.83 | 76. 40 | 40.3 | 1.90 | 79.80 | 41.1 | 1.94 | 78.41 | 41.1 | 1.91 | 79. 20 | 39.8 | 1.99 | 91.88 | 40.3 | 2. 28 |
| July | 71.53 | 38.8 | 1.84 | 72. 13 | 39.4 | 1.83 | 79.76 | 41.2 | 1.94 | 75.61 | 40.3 | 1.88 | 84.67 | 41. 1 | 2. 06 | 94. 25 | 4C. 8 | 2. 31 |
| August | 73.58 | 39.7 | 1.85 | 72. 78 | 39.4 | 1.85 | ${ }_{81.07}^{83}$ | 42.0 | 1.98 | 76. 15 | 40.3 | 1.89 | 80.54 78.58 | 41.3 | 1.95 | 96. 17 | 41.1 | 2. 34 |
| September | 76. 05 | 40.6 | 1.87 | 74.72 | 39.7 39 | 1.88 | 81.97 80.49 | 41.2 40.6 | 1.99 1.98 | 76.53 76.80 | 40.5 40.4 | 1.89 1.90 | 78.58 79.56 | 38.9 40.8 | 2.02 1.95 | 91. 77 | 39.2 38.2 | 2.35 |
| October- | 76. 711 | 40.4 39.5 | 1.88 1.86 | 75.57 86.22 | 39.3 43.1 | 1.92 2.00 | 80.49 81.54 | 40.6 40 | 1.99 | 77.50 | 40.2 40.2 | 1.93 | 82.59 | 41.5 | 1.99 | 96. 29 | 40.8 | 2.36 |
| December. | 75.91 | 40.1 | 1.89 | 80.32 | 40.7 | 1.97 | 81.88 | 40.9 | 2.00 | 78.65 | 40.5 | 1.94 | 81.81 | 40.7 | 2.01 | 92.97 | 39.9 | 2.33 |

${ }^{1}$ Data for earlier years are available upon request to the Bureau of Labor
${ }^{2}$ Not comparable with preceding data shown.
Statistics or the cooperating State agency. State agencirs also make avail-
able more detailed industry data. See table A-7 for addresses of cooperating
State agencies.

## D: Prices and Cost of Living

Table D-1: Consumer Price Index ${ }^{1}$-United States average, all items and commodity groups
[ 1947 -49 $=100$ ]

| Year and month | All | Total food ${ }^{2}$ | Apparel | Housing ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  | Trans-portation | Medical care | $\underset{\text { care }}{\text { Personal }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Reading } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { recrea- } \\ \text { tion } \end{gathered}$ | Other goods and services ${ }^{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Total ${ }^{3}$ | Rent | Gas and electricity | Solid fuels and fuel oil | House-furnishings | Household operation |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1947: A verage | 95.5 | 95.9 | 97.1 | 95.0 | 94.4 | 97.6 | 83.8 | 97.2 | 97.2 | 90.6 | 94.9 | 97.6 | 95.5 | 96.1 |
| 1948: Average | 102.8 | 104.1 | 103.5 | 101.7 | 100.7 | 100.0 | 104.4 | 103.2 | 102.6 | 100.9 | 100.9 | 101.3 | 100.4 | 100.5 |
| 1948: Average | 101.8 | 100.0 | 99.4 | 103.3 | 105.0 | 102.5 | 106.8 | 99.6 | 100.1 | 108.5 | 104.1 | 101.1 | 104.1 | 103.4 |
| 1950: Average | 102.8 | 101.2 | 98.1 | 106.1 | 108.8 | 102.7 | 110.5 | 100.3 | 101.2 | 111.3 | 106.0 | 101.1 | 103.4 | 105.2 |
| 1951: Average | 111.0 | 112.6 | 106.9 | 112.4 | 113.1 | 103.1 | 116.4 | 111.2 | 109.0 | 118.4 | 111.1 | 110.5 | 106.5 | 109.7 |
| 1952: Average | 113.5 | 114.6 | 105.8 | 114.6 | 117.9 | 104.5 | 118.7 | 108.5 | 111.8 | 126.2 | 117.2 | 111.8 | 107.0 | 115.4 |
| 1950: January- | 100.6 | 97.0 | 96.7 | 104.4 | 107.5 | 102.5 | 109.9 | 97.4 | 99.4 | 110.2 | 105.0 | 99.4 | 104.3 | 103.9 |
| February | 100.4 | 96.5 | 96.7 | 104.6 | 107.7 | 102.8 | 109. 6 | 97.6 | 99.4 | 110.0 | 105.0 | 99.2 | 104.6 | 103.9 |
| March | 100.7 | 97.3 | 96.8 | 104.6 | 107.8 | 102.8 | 109.9 | 97.7 | 99.5 | 109.8 | 105.1 | 99.1 | 104.4 | 103.9 |
| April. | 100.8 | 97.7 | 96.7 | 104.7 | 108.1 | 102.9 | 109.7 | 97.7 | 99.4 | 109.6 | 105. 1 | 99.1 | 104.0 | 103.8 |
| May. | 101.3 | 98.9 | 96.5 | 104.7 | 108.5 | 102.8 | 106.8 | 97.5 | 99.7 | 110.1 | 105.3 | 99.0 | 103.8 | 103.9 |
| June. | 101.8 | 100.5 | 96.5 | 104.9 | 108.7 | 102.7 | 107. 6 | 97.4 | 99.6 | 109.9 | 105.4 | 99.2 | 102.5 | 103.7 |
| July | 102.9 | 103.1 | 96.4 | 105.3 | 109.1 | 102.8 | 108.1 | 98.1 | 99.9 | 111.2 | 105. 6 | 99.5 | 101.7 | 104.1 |
| August | 103.7 | 103.9 | 97.1 | 106.1 | 109.3 | 102.7 | 109.8 | 99.7 | 101. 2 | 112.4 | 106.0 | 100.8 | 101.9 | 106.3 |
| Septemb | 104.4 | 104.0 | 99.2 | 107.1 | 109.5 | 102.8 | 111.6 | 102.4 | 102.3 | 112.7 | 107.0 | 101. 3 | 102.7 | 106.8 |
| October | 105. 0 | 104.3 | 100.9 | 108.1 | 109.6 | 102.7 | 113.4 | 104.7 | 103.6 | 112.6 | 107.1 | 103.3 | 103. 0 | 107.1 |
| November | 105.5 106.9 | 104.4 107.1 | 101. ${ }^{102}$ | 108.8 109.4 | 110.0 110.4 | 102.7 | 114.3 114.8 | 106.0 107.1 | 104.4 105.6 | 112.9 114.1 | 107.4 108.0 | 106.1 107.4 | 103.6 104.1 | 107.4 107.9 |
| 1951: January | 108.6 | 109.9 | 103.8 | 110.4 | 110.6 | 103.1 | 115.1 | 109.3 | 107.2 | 114.7 | 108.5 | 109.8 | 105.6 | 108.4 |
| February | 109.9 | 111.9 | 105.6 | 111.2 | 111.3 | 103.1 | 116.4 | 110.5 | 108.1 | 115.8 | 108.9 | 110.6 | 106.4 | 108.7 |
| March | 110.3 | 112.0 | 106.2 | 111.7 | 111.9 | 103.1 | 116.7 | 111.1 | 108.4 | 116.9 | 109.9 | 110.7 | 107.0 | 108.9 |
| April | 110.4 | 111.7 | 106.4 | 111.9 | 112.2 | 102.8 | 116.7 | 111.6 | 108.3 | 117.2 | 110.3 | 110.7 | 107.3 | 109.0 |
| May | 110.9 | 112.6 | 106.6 | 112.2 | 112.5 | 103.2 | 115.2 | 112.1 | 108.7 | 117.6 | 110.7 | 110.8 | 107.3 | 109.2 |
| June. | 110.8 | 112.3 | 106.6 | 112.3 | 112.7 | 103.0 | 115.4 | 112.0 | 108.7 | 117.5 | 111.0 | 110.8 | 106. 5 | 109.1 |
| July | 110.9 | 112.7 | 106.3 | 112.6 | 113.1 | 103.1 | 115.9 | 112.0 | 109.1 | 117.8 | 111.0 | 110.6 | 108.6 | 119.1 |
| August | 110.9 | 112.4 | 106.4 | 112.6 | 113.6 | 103.2 | 116.2 | 111.1 | 109.0 | 118.7 | 111.2 | 110.4 | 106.4 | 109.1 |
| September | 111.6 | 112.5 | 109.3 | 112.8 | 114.2 | 103.2 | 116.6 | 111.3 | 108.8 | 119.7 | 111.8 | 110.0 | 105.8 | 109.6 |
| October. | 112.1 | 113.5 | 109.2 | 113.2 | 114.8 | 103.3 | 117.1 | 110.9 | 109.6 | 120.5 | 112.6 | 110.0 | 105.9 | 109.6 |
| November | 112.8 113.1 | 114.6 115.0 | 108.5 108.1 | 113.7 113.9 | 115.4 115.6 | 103.3 | 117.4 117.6 | 111.1 110.8 | 110.4 111.1 | 122.1 | 113.1 114.3 | 110.6 111.1 | 106.3 106.5 | 112.4 112.8 |
| 1952 January | 113.1 | 115.0 | 107.0 | 113.9 | 116.0 | 103.5 | 117.7 | 110.2 | 110.9 | 122.8 | 114.7 | 111.0 | 107.2 | 113.2 |
| February | 112.4 | 112.6 | 106.8 | 114.0 | 116.4 | 103.8 | 117.6 | 110.0 | 110.8 | 123.7 | 114.8 | 111.1 | 106. 6 | 114.4 |
| March | 112.4 | 112.7 | 106.4 | 114.0 | 116.7 | 103.8 | 117.7 | 109.4 | 111.0 | 124.4 | 115.7 | 111.0 | 106.3 | 114.8 |
| April | 112.9 | 113.9 | 106.0 | 114.0 | 116.9 | 103.9 | 117.3 | 108.7 | 111.0 | 124.8 | 115.9 | 111.3 | 106.2 | 115.2 |
| May | 113.0 | 114.3 | 105.8 | 114.0 | 117.4 | 104.1 | 115.6 | 108.3 | 111.2 | 125.1 | 116.1 | 111.6 | 106. 2 | 115.8 |
| June. | 113.4 | 114.6 | 105. 6 | 114.0 | 117.6 | 104.3 | 115.8 | 107.7 | 111.2 | 126.3 | 117.8 | 111.7 | 106.8 | 115.7 |
| July | 114.1 | 116.3 | 105.3 | 114.4 | 117.9 | 104.2 | 118.6 | 107.6 | 111.8 | 126.8 | 118.0 | 111.9 | 107.0 | 116.0 |
| August | 114.3 | 116.6 | 105.1 | 114.6 | 118.2 | 105.0 | 119.0 | 107.6 | 111.9 | 127.0 | 118.1 | 112.1 | 107.0 | 115.9 |
| September | 114.1 | 115.4 | 105.8 | 114.8 | 118.3 | 105.0 | 119.6 | 108.1 | 112.1 | 127.7 | 118.8 | 112.1 | 107.3 | 115.9 |
| October | 114.2 | 115.0 | 105.6 | 115.2 | 118.8 | 105.0 | 121.1 | 107.9 | 112.8 | 128.4 | 118.9 | 112.3 | 107.6 107.4 | 115.8 115.8 |
| November | 114.3 114.1 | 115.0 113.8 | 105.2 105.1 | 115.7 116.4 | 119.5 120.7 | 105.4 | 121.6 | 108.0 | 113.3 113.4 | 128.9 | 119.3 | 112.5 | 108.0 | 115.9 |
| 1953: January | 113.9 | 113.1 | 104.6 | 116.4 | 121.1 | 105.9 | 123.3 | 107.7 | 113.4 | 129.3 | 119.4 | 112.4 | 107.8 | 115.9 |
| Februar | 113.4 | 111.5 | 104.6 | 116.6 | 121.5 | 106.1 | 123.3 | 108.0 | 113.5 | 129.1 | 119.3 | 112.5 | 107. 5 | 115.8 |
| March | 113.6 | 111.7 | 104.7 | 116.8 | 121.7 | 106.5 | 124.4 | 108.0 | 114.0 | 129.3 | 119.5 | 112.4 | 107. 7 | 117.5 |
| Aprl | 113.7 | 111.5 | 104.6 | 117.0 | 122.1 | 106.5 | 123.6 | 107.8 | 114.3 | 129.4 | 120.2 | 112.5 | 107. 9 | 117.9 |
| May | 114.0 | 112.1 | 104.7 | 117.1 | 123.0 | 106.6 | 121.8 | 107.6 | 114.7 | 129.4 | 120.7 | 112.8 | 108.0 | 118.0 |
| June. | 114.5 | 113.7 | 104.6 | 117.4 | 123.3 | 106.4 | 121.8 | 108.0 | 115.4 | 129.4 | 121.1 | 112.6 | 107.8 | 118.2 |
| July | 114.7 | 113.8 | 104.4 | 117.8 | 123.8 | 106.4 | 123.7 | 108.1 | 115.7 | 129.7 | 121.5 | 112.6 | 107.4 | 118.3 |
| August | 115.0 | 114.1 | 104.3 | 118.0 | 125.1 | 106.9 | 123.9 | 107. 4 | 115.8 | 130.6 | 121.8 | 112.7 | 107.6 | 118.4 |
| September | 115.2 | 113.8 | 105. 3 | 118.4 | 126.0 |  | 124.6 | 108.1 | 116.0 | 130.7 | 122.6 | 112.9 | 107.8 108.6 |  |
| October- Novembe | 115.4 115.0 | 113.6 112.0 | 105.5 105.5 10.5 | 118.7 118.9 | 126.8 127.3 | 107.0 107.3 | 125.7 125.9 | 108.1 108.3 | 116.6 116.9 | 130.7 130.1 | 122.8 | 113.2 | 108.6 108.9 | 119.7 120.2 |
| December- | 114.9 | 112.3 | 105.3 | 118.9 | 127.6 | 107.2 | 125.3 | 108.1 | 117.0 | 128.9 | 123.6 | 113.6 | 108.9 | 120.3 |
| 1954: Januar | 115.2 | 113.1 | 104.9 | 118.8 | 127.8 | 107.1 | 125.7 | 107.2 | 117.2 | 130.5 | 123.7 | 113.7 | 108.7 | 120.3 |

[^47][^48]Table D-2: Consumer Price Index ${ }^{1}$ —United States average, food and its subgroups
$[1947-49=100]$

| Year and month | Total food ${ }^{2}$ | Food at home |  |  |  |  |  | Year and month | Total food ${ }^{2}$ | Food at home |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total food at home | Cereals and bakery products | Meats, poultry, and fish | Dairy products | Fruits and vegetables | Other foods ${ }^{8}$ |  |  | Total food at home | Cereals and <br> bakery products | Meats, poultry, and fish | Dairy products | Fruits and vegetables | Other foods : |
| 1947: Avg | 95.9 | 95.9 | 94.0 | 93.5 | 96.7 | 97.6 | 100.1 | 1951: Oct | 113.5 | 113.5 | 114.6 | 119.1 | 107. 9 | 103. 2 | 118.9 |
| 1948: Avg | 104.1 | 104.1 | 103.4 | 106.1 | 106.3 | 100.5 | 102.5 | Nov | 114.6 | 114.6 | 115.1 | 117.7 | 109.2 | 109.5 | 118.5 |
| 1949: Avg | 100.0 | 100.0 | 102. 7 | 100.5 | 96.9 | 101.9 | 97.5 | Dec | 115.0 | 115. 0 | 115.2 | 116.3 | 110.7 | 115.8 | 114.5 |
| 1950: Avg | 101.2 | 101.2 | 104.5 | 104.9 | 95.9 | 97.6 | 101.2 | 1952: Jan | 115.0 | 115.0 | 115.3 | 117.1 | 112.0 | 118.2 | 109.1 |
| 1951: A Vg | 112.6 | 112.6 | 114.0 | 117.2 | 107.0 | 106. 7 | 114.6 | Feb | 112.6 | 112.6 | 115.5 | 116.7 | 112. 7 | 109. 5 | 105.8 |
| 1952: Avg | 114.6 | 114.6 | 116.8 | 116.2 | 111.5 | 117.2 | 109.3 | Mar | 112.7 | 112.7 | 115.7 | 115.2 | 112.0 | 113.7 | 104.4 |
| 1950: Jan | 97.0 | 97.0 | 102.2 | 94.4 | 95.6 | 100.3 | 95.1 | Apr | 113.9 | 113.9 | 115.6 | 114.8 | 110.4 | 121.1 | 105.0 |
| Feb | 96.5 | 96.5 | 102.3 | 95.6 | 95.3 | 97.6 | 93.5 | May | 114.3 | 114.3 | 117.2 | 114.5 | 109.3 | 124.3 | 104.4 |
| Mar | 97.3 | 97.3 | 102.3 | 98.7 | 94.7 | 95.5 | 95.5 | June | 114.6 | 114.6 | 116.9 | 116.5 | 108.9 | 122.4 | 105.2 |
| Apr | 97.7 | 97.7 | 102.4 | 99.5 | 93.3 | 97.4 | 95.1 | July | 116.3 | 116.3 | 117.6 | 116.4 | 110.2 | 124.0 | 111.5 |
| May | 98.9 | 98.9 | 102.7 | 103.4 | 92.6 | 99.0 | 93.5 | Aug | 116. 6 | 116.6 | 117.5 | 119.4 | 111.0 | 118.7 | 113.1 |
| June | 100.5 | 100.5 | 102. 7 | 106.1 | 92.3 | 102. 5 | 94.1 | Sept | 115.4 | 115.4 | 117.4 | 119.2 | 112.5 | 111.5 | 113.7 |
| July | 103.1 | 103.1 | 103.8 | 110.1 | 93.8 | 103.6 | 97.7 | Oct | 115.0 | 115. 0 | 117.5 | 116.9 | 113. 2 | 111.3 | 115.1 |
| Aug | 103.9 | 103.9 | 106. 2 | 112. 2 | 95.7 | 94.7 | 105.3 | Nov | 115.0 | 115.0 | 117.5 | 114.3 | 113.3 | 115.9 | 114.3 |
| Sept | 104.0 | 104.0 | 107.0 | 112.4 | 97.0 | 91.1 | 107.7 | Dec | 113.8 | 113.8 | 117.7 | 113.0 | 112.7 | 115.8 | 110.6 |
| Oct | 104.3 | 104.3 | 107.2 | 109. 0 | 99.6 | 92.9 | 110.4 | 1953: Jan | 113.1 | 112.9 | 117.7 | 110.9 | 111.6 | 116.7 | 109.7 |
| Nov | 104.4 | 104.4 | 107.4 | 107. 7 | 100.1 | 95.8 | 109.2 | Feb | 111.5 | 111.1 | 117.6 | 107.7 | 110.7 | 115.9 | 107.3 |
| Dec | 107.1 | 107.1 | 107. 5 | 109.1 | 100. 7 | 99.9 | 117.0 | Mar | 111.7 | 111.3 | 117.7 | 107.4 | 110.3 | 115.5 | 109. 1 |
| 1951: Jan | 109.9 | 109.9 | 112.2 | 113.5 | 105. 2 | 104.8 | 111.2 | Apr | 111.5 | 111.1 | 118.0 | 106.8 | 109.0 | 115.0 | 110.4 |
| Feb | 111.9 | 111.9 | 113.2 | 116.3 | 106. 1 | 109.8 | 110.3 | May | 112.1 | 111.7 | 118. 4 | 109.2 | 107.8 | 115. 2 | 110.3 |
| Mar | 112.0 | 112.0 | 113.4 | 117.2 | 106. 2 | 106. 3 | 112.7 | June | 113.7 | 113.7 | 118.9 | 111.3 | 107.5 | 121. 7 | 110.9 |
| Apr | 111.7 | 111.7 | 113.9 | 117.3 | 106. 0 | 105. 2 | 112.4 | July | 113.8 | 113.8 | 119.1 | 112.0 | 108.3 | 118.2 | 112.3 |
| May | 112.6 | 112.6 | 113.9 | 117.4 | 105. 7 | 108.5 | 113.5 | Aug------- | 114.1 | 114.1 | 119.5 | 114.1 | 109.1 | 112.7 | 114.4 |
| June | 112.3 | 112.3 | 114.0 | 116.9 | 105.9 | 107.7 | 113.8 | Sept------- | 113.8 | 113.5 | 120.3 | 113.5 | 109.6 | 106.6 | 116.7 |
| July | 112.7 | 112. 7 | 114.3 | 117.6 | 106. 5 | 107.0 | 114.8 | Oct.-.-.--- | 113.6 | 113.3 | 120.4 | 111.1 | 110.1 | 107. 7 | 117.4 |
| Aug | 112.4 | 112.4 | 114. 2 | 118.4 | 106. 9 | 102.3 | 116.5 | Nov | 112.0 | 111.4 | 120.6 | 107.0 | 110.5 | 107.4 | 114.8 |
| Sept------- | 112.5 | 112.5 | 114.6 | 118.6 | 107.2 | 100.4 | 118.4 | Dec | 112.3 | 111.7 | 120.9 | 107.8 | 110.3 | 109.2 | 113.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1954: Jan | 113.1 | 112.6 | 121.2 | 110.2 | 109.7 | 110.8 | 113.5 |

${ }^{1}$ See footnote 1 to table D-1. Indexes for 18 food subgroups (1035-39= 100) from 1923 to December 1952 were published in the March 1953 Monthly Labor Review and in previous issues.

See footnote 2 to table D-1.
includes eggs, fats and oils,
Includes eggs, fats and oils, sugar and sweets, beverages (nonalcoholic) and other miscellaneous foods.

Table D-3: Consumer Price Index ${ }^{1}$-United States average, all items and food

| Year | 1947-49 $=100$ |  | $1935-39=100$ | Year and month | $1947-49=100$ |  | $1935-39=100$ | Year and month | $1947-49=100$ |  | $1935-39=100$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { All } \\ & \text { items } \end{aligned}$ | Total food ${ }^{2}$ | All items |  | All items | Total food ${ }^{2}$ | All Items |  | $\underset{\text { items }}{\text { All }}$ | Total food ${ }^{3}$ | All items |
| 1913: A verage | 42.3 | 39.6 | 70.7 | 1943: A verage- | 74.0 | 68.3 | 123.7 | 1951: September | 111.6 | 112.5 | 186.6 |
| 1914: Average | 42.9 | 40.5 | 71.8 | 1944: Average | 75.2 | 67.4 | 125.7 | October | 112.1 | 113. 5 | 187.4 |
| 1915: A verage | 43.4 | 40.0 | 72.5 | 1945: Average | 76.9 | 68.9 | 128.6 | November | 112.8 | 114.6 | 188.6 |
| 1916: A verage. | 46.6 | 45.0 | 77.9 | 1946: Average | 83.4 | 79.0 | 139.5 | December | 113.1 | 115.0 | 189.1 |
| 1917: A verage | 54.8 | 57.9 | 91.6 | 1947: Average | 95.5 | 95.9 | 159.6 | 1952: January. | 113.1 | 115. 0 | 189.1 |
| 1918: A verage | 64.3 | 66.5 | 107. 5 | 1948: Average | 102.8 | 104.1 | 171.9 | February | 112.4 | 112. 6 | 187.9 |
| 1919: A verage. | 74.0 | 74.2 | 123.8 | 1949: A verage | 101.8 | 100.0 | 170.2 | March | 112.4 | 112.7 | 188.0 |
| 1920: A verage | 85.7 | 83.6 | 143.3 | 1950: A verage | 102.8 | 101.2 112.6 | 171.9 185.6 | April. | 112.9 | 113.9 114.3 | 188.7 189.0 |
| 1921: A verage- | 76.4 71.6 | 63.5 59.4 | 127.7 119.7 | 1951: A verage | 111.0 113.5 | 112.6 114.6 | $\begin{array}{r}185.6 \\ 189.8 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | May | 113.0 | 114.3 114.6 | 189.0 189.6 |
| 1922: A verage- | 71.6 72.9 | 59.4 61.4 | 119.7 121.9 | 1952: Average | 113.5 100.6 | 114.6 97.0 | 189.8 168.2 | July | 114.1 | 114.6 116.3 | 190.8 |
| 1924: A verage. | 73.1 | 60.8 | 122.2 | February | 100.4 | 96.5 | 167.9 | August | 114.3 | 116.6 | 191.1 |
| 1925: A verage | 75.0 | 65.8 | 125.4 | March | 100.7 | 97.3 | 168.4 | September | 114.1 | 115.4 | 190.8 |
| 1926: Average. | 75.6 | 68.0 | 126.4 | April | 100.8 | 97.7 | 168.5 | October | 114.2 | 115.0 | 190.9 |
| 1927: A verage | 74.2 | 65.5 | 124.0 | May | 101.3 | 98.9 | 169.3 | November | 114.3 | 115. 0 | 191.1 |
| 1928: A verage | 73.3 | 64.8 | 122.6 | June- | 101.8 | 100.5 | 170.2 | December | 114.1 | 113.8 | 190.7 |
| 1929: Average | 73.3 | 65. 6 | 122.5 | July.-. | 102. 9 | 103.1 | 172.0 | 1953: January | 113.9 | 113.1 | 190.4 |
| 1930: A verage | 71.4 | 62.4 | 119.4 | August | 103.7 | 103.9 | 173.4 | February | 113.4 | 111.5 | 189.6 |
| 1931: A verage. | 65.0 | 51.4 | 108.7 | September---- | 104.4 | 104.0 | 174.6 | March | 113.6 | 111.7 | 189.9 |
| 1932: Average. | 58.4 | 42.8 | 97.6 | October- | 105. 0 | 104.3 | 175.6 | April | 113.7 | 111.5 | 190.1 190.6 |
| 1933: A verage | 55.3 | 41.6 | 92.4 | November | 105. 5 | 104.4 | 176.4 <br> 178 | May | 114.0 | 112.1 | 190.6 |
| 1934: A verage | 57.2 | 46.4 | 95.7 | Decembe | 106.9 | 107.1 | 178.8 | June | 114.5 | 113.7 113.8 | 191.4 |
| 1935: A verage | 58.7 | 49.7 | 98.1 | 1951: January- | 108.6 | 109.9 | 181.5 | July | 111.7 115.0 | 113.8 114.1 | 191.8 192.3 |
| 1936: A verage | 59.3 | 50.1 | 99.1 | February | 109.9 | 111.9 112.0 | 183.8 184.5 | August | 115.0 115.2 | 114.1 113.8 | 192.3 192.6 |
| 1937: A verage | 61.4 | 52.1 48.4 | 102.7 100.8 | March | 1110.3 | 112.0 111.7 | 184.5 | September | 115.2 115.4 | 113.8 113.6 | 192.6 |
| 1938: A verage | 60.3 59.4 | 48.4 47.1 | 100.8 99.4 | April | 110.4 110.9 | 111.7 112.6 | 184.6 185.4 | October-- | 115.4 | 113.6 | 192.8 192.3 |
| 1940: Average | 59.9 59 | 47.8 | 100.2 | June. | 110.8 | 112.3 | 185. 2 | December | 114.9 | 112.3 | 192.1 |
| 1941: A verage. | 62.9 | 52.2 | 105.2 | July | 110.9 | 112.7 | 185. 5 | 1954: January | 115.2 | 113.1 | 192.6 |
| 1942: Average. | 69.7 | 61.3 | 116.6 | August...-- | 110.9 | 112.4 | 185.5 |  |  |  |  |

[^49]Table D-4: Consumer Price Index ${ }^{1}$-All items indexes for selected dates, by city

| Oity | $1947-49=100$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $1935-39=100$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jan. } \\ & 1954 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec. } \\ & 1953 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nov. } \\ & 1953 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct. } \\ & 1953 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sept. } \\ & 1953 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aug. } \\ & 1953 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { July } \\ & 1953 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 1953 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 1953 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Apr. } \\ & 1953 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mar. } \\ & 1953 \end{aligned}$ | Feb. 1953 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jan. } \\ & 1953 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 1950 \end{aligned}$ | Revised series Jan. 1954 | Old series June 1953 |
| United States average ${ }^{\text {2 }}$ | 115.2 | 114.9 | 115.0 | 115.4 | 115. 2 | 115.0 | 114.7 | 114.5 | 114.0 | 113.7 | 113.6 | 113.4 | 113.9 | 101.8 | 192.6 | 190.9 |
| Atlanta, Ga | (3) | 117.1 | (3) | (3) | 117.6 | ${ }^{(3)}$ | (3) | 117.1 | (3) | ${ }^{(3)}$ | 116.7 | ${ }^{(3)}$ | ${ }^{(3)}$ | ${ }^{(3)}$ | (3) | 197.7 |
| Baltimore, M | (3) | 114.5 | (3) | ${ }^{(3)}$ | 115.0 | (3) | (3) | 115.1 | (3) | (3) | 114.2 | (3) | (3) | 101.6 | $\left.{ }^{3}\right)$ | 194.6 |
| Boston, Mass | 112.7 | ${ }^{(3)}$ | (3) | 113.8 | ${ }^{(3)}$ | (3) | 113.1 | ${ }^{(3)}$ | (3) | 111.7 | (8) | (8) | 112.1 | 102.8 | 181.4 | 180.6 |
| Chicago, Ill | 116.7 | 116.4 | 116.4 | 117.1 | 116. 6 | 116.3 | 115.7 | 115.3 | 114.6 | 114.2 | 113.8 | 113.9 | 114.2 | 102.8 | 198.7 | 195. 7 |
| Cincinnati, | ${ }^{(3)}$ | 114.6 | ${ }^{(3)}$ | ${ }^{(3)}$ | 115.3 | ${ }^{3}$ ) | (3) | 114.5 | (8) | ${ }^{(3)}$ | 112.6 | ${ }^{(3)}$ | ${ }^{(8)}$ | 101.2 | $\left.{ }^{3}\right)$ | 195.0 |
| Oleveland, Oh | ${ }^{(3)}$ | ${ }^{(3)}$ | 115.5 | (3) | ${ }^{(3)}$ | 115.1 | (3) | (3) | 113. 7 | ${ }^{(3)}$ | $\left.{ }^{8}\right)$ | 112. 5 | ${ }^{(3)}$ | (8) | $\left.{ }^{3}\right)$ | ${ }^{3}{ }^{3}$ |
| Detroit, Mich. | 117.0 | 116.4 | 116.7 | 117.2 | 116.9 | 116.9 | 116.9 | 116.6 | 115.8 | 115.2 | 115.2 | 115.1 | 115.7 | 102.8 | 197.5 | 200.4 |
| Houston, Tex | (3) | (3) | 117.3 | ${ }^{(3)}$ | ${ }^{(8)}$ | 116.8 | ${ }^{(3)}$ | ${ }^{(3)}$ | 116.8 | (3) | ${ }^{(8)}$ | 116.1 | ${ }^{(8)}$ | 103.8 | ${ }^{(3)}$ | 193.4 |
| Kansas City, Mo. | 115.0 | ${ }^{(3)}$ | (3) | 115.7 | ${ }^{(3)}$ | ${ }_{18}{ }^{3} 8$ | 115.3 | ${ }^{(2)}$ | ${ }^{(8)}$ | 114.3 | ${ }^{(8)}$ | ${ }^{(3)}$ | 114.3 | ${ }^{(8)}$ | 185.2 |  |
| Los Angeles, Calif | 116.8 | 115.8 | 116.1 | 116.3 | 116.2 | 115.8 | 115.8 | 115.4 | 115.3 | 115. 6 | 115.4 | 114.9 | 115.4 | 101.3 | 195.2 | 188.7 |
| Minneapolis, Min | 116. 6 | (3) | $\left.{ }^{3}\right)$ | 116.6 | (8) | (8) | 115.6 | ${ }^{(3)}$ | ${ }^{(3)}$ | 115.1 | ${ }^{(3)}$ | (8) | 114.4 | 102.1 | 193.1 | (3) |
| New York, N. Y | 113.0 | 113.0 | 112.9 | 113.3 | 113.2 | 112.7 | 112.1 | 112.0 | 111.4 | 111,1 | 111.2 | 111.1 | 111.7 | 100.9 | 187.0 | 185.4 |
| Philadelphia, Pa | 115.3 | 115.0 | 114.7 | 115.3 | 115.2 | 114.9 | 114.7 | 114.6 | 113.8 | 113.7 | 114.1 | 113.7 | 114.3 | 101.6 | 191.9 | 190.5 |
| Pittsburgh, Pa | 114.4 | (3) | (8) | 114.7 | (3) | (3) | 113.8 | ${ }^{(3)}$ | (3) | 112.8 | (8) | (3) | 112.6 | 101.1 | 194.5 | 194.6 |
| Portiand, Oreg | 115.4 | $\left.{ }^{3}\right)$ | (3) | 116.1 | ${ }^{(3)}$ | (3) | 115.5 | (3) | ${ }^{(3)}$ | 115.4 | (3) | (3) | 114. 6 | ${ }^{(3)}$ | 199.9 | $\left.{ }^{8}\right)$ |
| St. Louis, Mo. | (3) | 116.9 | ${ }^{(3)}$ | (3) | 117.1 | (3) | (3) | 115.8 | (3) | ${ }^{(3)}$ | 114.7 | (3) | (8) | 101.1 | (3) | 192.9 |
| San Francisco, Calif | (3) | 116.9 | (3) | (3) | 116. 9 | (3) | (3) | 116.1 | (3) | (3) | 115. 5 | (3) | (8) | 100.9 | (3) | 199.1 |
| Scranton, Pa | (3) | (3) | 113.4 | (3) | ${ }^{(3)}$ | 113.2 | (3) | (3) | 112.0 | (3) | (8) | 112.2 | (8) | (3) | (3) | $\left.{ }^{3}\right)$ |
| Seattle, W ash | (3) | (3) | 116.4 | (3) | (3) | 116.8 | (3) | (3) | 116.2 | (3) | (3) | 114.6 | (3) | (3) | (3) | (3) |
| Washington, D. C | (3) | ${ }^{(3)}$ | 114.3 | (3) | ${ }^{(3)}$ | 114.2 | (3) | (3) | 113.5 | (8) | (8) | 113.0 | (8) | (3) | (3) | (3) |

1 See footnote 1 to table D-1. Indexes are based on time-to-time changes In the cost of goods and services purchased by urban wage-earner and clerical worker families. They do not indicate whether it costs more to live in one city than in another.
${ }^{2}$ Average of 46 cities beginning January 1953. See footnote 1 to table D-1.
8 Prior to January 1953, indexes were computed monthly for 9 of these cities and once every 3 months for the remaining 11 cities on a rotating cycle. Beginning in January 1953, indexes are computed monthly for 5 cities and once every 3 months for the 15 remaining cities on a rotating cy cle.
"All "old series" indexes discontinued as of June 1953. Last "old series" Indexes $(1935-39=100)$ for the 14 cities not included in the revised index and for cities not surveyed in June are as follows:

## June 1958

Birmingham, Ala $\qquad$ 196. 6 Mobile, Ala
--Portland, Maine. 190.8 P 185.6

Memphis, Tenn

| Cleveland, Ohio_.........-.-.-. 192.8 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Milwaukee, Wis_-.-.-.-------196. 196 |  |
| New Orleans, La..............-. 190.1 | Washington, D. C............- 185.5 |
|  |  |


|  | April 1958 |  | , |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Buffalo, N. Y | 187.3 | Minneapolis, Minn | 188. 0 |
| Denver, Colo | 189.1 | Portland, Oreg | 198. 9 |
| Indianapolis, Ind | 192.5 | Richmond, Va | 181.5 |
| Kansas City, Mo | 181.8 | Savanuah, Ga. | 197.7 |

Table D-5: Consumer Price Index ${ }^{1}$-All items and commodity groups, except food, ${ }^{2}$ by city [1947-49=100]

${ }^{1}$ See footnote 1 to table D-1.
${ }^{2}$ See tables D-2, D-3, D-6, and D-7, for food.
${ }^{3}$ Not available.
${ }^{4}$ Atlanta formerly priced Feb., May, Aug., and Nov.

Table D-6: Consumer Price Index ${ }^{1}$ —Food and its subgroups, by city


Food at home-Continued

| City | Dairy products |  |  | Fruits and vegetables |  |  | Other foods at home |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Jan. 1954 | Dec. 1953 | Jan. 1953 | Jan. 1954 | Dec. 1953 | Jan. 1953 | Jan. 1954 | Dec. 1953 | Jan. 1953 |
| United States average ${ }^{3}$ | 109.7 | 110.3 | 111.6 | 110.8 | 109.2 | 116.7 | 113.5 | 113.5 | 109.7 |
| Atlanta, Ga | 109.9 | 110.2 | 115.0 | 110.7 | 110.9 | 119.0 | 107.5 | 107.5 | 103.1 |
| Baltimore, Md | 112.2 | 112.1 | 111.5 | 107.5 | 108.2 | 115.9 | 111.4 | 111.3 | 107.6 |
| Boston, Mass | 111.2 | 111.3 | 112.2 | 101.5 | 102.5 | 116.5 | 104.9 | 104. 1 | 103. 7 |
| Chicago, Ill | 108.9 | 108.8 | 111.6 | 107.9 | 107.0 | 113.3 | 118.4 | 118.7 | 115. 5 |
| Cincinnati, Ohio | 111.9 | 112.3 | 110.0 | 110.6 | 110.3 | 115.7 | 119.2 | 119.4 | 114.3 |
| Cleveland, Ohio | 108.2 | 108.0 | 112.3 | 105.6 | 103.7 | 111.1 | 115.5 | 115.3 | 109.5 |
| Detroit, Mich | 109.7 | 109.7 | 112.8 | 118.4 | 116.0 | 126.7 | 113.9 | 113.7 | 109. 9 |
| Houston, Tex | 110.4 | 110.6 | 116.1 | 113.7 | 113.6 | 119.3 | 113.9 | 114.1 | 111.6 |
| Kansas City, Mo | 108.2 | 108.2 | 107.1 | 105.1 | 104.5 | 111.5 | 109.7 | 109.9 | 106. 0 |
| Los Angeles, Calif | 105.6 | 108.7 | 113.0 | 113.9 | 107.5 | 112.9 | 112.9 | 114.6 | 112.6 |
| Minneapolis, Minn. | 106.7 | 106.8 | 110.7 | 119.4 | 117.9 | 122.3 | 118.9 | 119.2 | 115.1 |
| New York, N. Y | 108.8 | 109.7 | 106.3 | 104.5 | 104.7 | 112.6 | 112.2 | 111.4 | 108. 3 |
| Philadelphia, Pa | 111.3 | 114.1 | 114.0 | 112.8 | 113.2 | 121.3 | 112.8 | 111.8 | 109.4 |
| Pittsburgh, Pa- | 112.6 | 112.4 | 113.1 | 109.8 | 110.2 | 116.0 | 122.4 | 122. 9 | 115.3 |
| Portland, Oreg | 109.1 | 109.3 | 110.7 | 111.6 | 106.2 | 114.2 | 113.3 | 115.0 | 112.8 |
| St. Louis, Mo | 103.9 | 106.8 | 111.3 | 120.1 | 118.4 | 118.0 | 122.8 | 122.6 | 116.6 |
| San Francisco, Calif | 110.0 | 110.3 | 112.0 | 118.2 | 116.6 | 116.9 | 111.8 | 113.5 | 110.8 |
| Scranton, Pa | 112.6 | 112.7 | 111.2 | 104.0 | 107.3 | 116.4 | 111.8 | 111.4 | 108.8 |
| Seattle, Wash. | 106. 2 | 107.0 | 112. 0 | 116. 6 | 112.8 | 119.3 | 109.5 | 110.8 | 110.3 |
| Washington, D. C. | 114.1 | 114.4 | 113.5 | 106.8 | 106.9 | 112.7 | 110.2 | 110.2 | 107.6 |

[^50][^51]TABLE D-7: Average retail prices of selected foods


| 141 cities. | 542 cities. |
| :--- | :--- |
| 238 cities. | 666 citiies. |
| 812 cities. | 45 cities. |
| 434 cities. | 840 cities. |
| 844 cities beginning July 1953,43 cities December 1952 through June 1953. |  |
| *Priced only in season. |  |

Note.-The United States average retail food prices appearing in table D-7 are based on prices collected monthly in 46 cities for use in the calculation of the food component of the revised Consumer Price Index. Average retail food prices for each of 20 large cities are published monthly and are available upon request. Prices for the 26 medium-size and small cities are not published on an individual city basis.

TABLE D-8: Indexes of wholesale prices, by group and subgroup of commodities ${ }^{1}$

| Commodity group | Jan. $1954^{2}$ | Dec. 1953 | Nov. 1953 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct. } \\ & 1953 \end{aligned}$ | Sept. 1953 | Aug. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { July } \\ & 1953 \end{aligned}$ | June 1953 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 1953 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Apr. } \\ 1953 \end{gathered}$ | Mar. 1853 | Feb. 1853 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jan. } \\ & 1953 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 1950 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All commodities | 110.8 | 110.1 | 109.8 | 110.2 | 111.0 | 110.6 | 110.9 | 109.5 | 109.8 | 109.4 | 110.0 | 109.6 | 109.9 | 100.2 |
| Farm produ | 97.9 | *94. 4 | 93.7 | 95.3 | 98.1 | 96.4 | 97.9 | 95.4 | 97.8 | 97.3 | 99.8 | 97.9 | 99.6 | 4. 5 |
| Fresh an | 91.2 | *89.8 | 94.2 | 94.2 | 96.0 | 98.0 | 94.7 | 109.9 | 105.4 | 106.9 | 105. 8 | 102.2 | 107.3 | 89.8 |
| Grains | 91.3 | 90.6 | 89.3 | 87.9 | 88.3 | 86.5 | 85.4 | 84.2 | 93.4 | 93.8 | 94.7 | 93.1 | 94.6 | 89.6 |
| Livestock and poult | 91.8 | 83.9 | 78. 4 | 82.0 | 90.6 | 88.1 | 95.9 | 86.8 | 91.7 | 87.5 | 91.7 | 91.2 | 92.7 | 99.8 |
| Plant and anima | 104. 2 | 103.2 | 103. 5 | 103.2 | 103.6 | 103.9 | 105.0 | 104.0 | 104.3 | 103.4 | 104. 6 | 102.7 | 100.9 | 107. 3 |
| Fluid milk. | 98.3 | *99.5 | 101.9 | 100.7 | 99.0 | 97.6 | 96.4 | 93.1 | 93.6 | 96.7 | 100.5 | 103.0 | 105.3 | 81.6 |
| Eggs | 92.7 | 97.2 | 111.6 | 126.3 | 122.5 | 113.8 | 106. 2 | 106.5 | 98.7 | 102.5 | 100.6 | 89.1 | 93.9 | 70.6 |
| Hay and seeds | 90.5 | 89.7 | 88.0 | 84.3 | 81.1 | 85.1 | 85.5 | 89.8 | 93.7 | 95. 3 | 97.5 | 94.9 | 97.2 | 87.6 |
| Other farm prod | 161.0 | 148.1 | 145.9 | 146. 2 | 149.3 | 144.3 | 140.7 | 136.7 | 135.4 | 137.1 | 142. 5 | 134.5 | 133.3 | 122. 4 |
| cessed foo | 106. 2 | 104.3 | 103.8 | 104. 7 | 106. 6 | 104.8 | 105.5 | 103.3 | 104.3 | 103.2 | 104. 1 | 105.2 | 105. 5 | 96.8 |
| Cereal and baker | 112.4 | 112.2 | 112.6 | 112.0 | 110.8 | 108.4 | 108.5 | 107.9 | 109.0 | 109.2 | 108.9 | 107.6 | 106. 8 | 96.5 |
| Meats, poultry, fis | 96.4 | *89.7 | 86. 2 | 88.9 | 97.4 | 93.6 | 97.0 | 91.6 | 93.8 | 89.2 | 91.2 | 98.2 | 99.3 | 102. 4 |
| Dairy products and ice erea | 109.4 | 111.3 | 113.9 | 112.7 | 111.3 | 110.7 | 110.0 | 107.7 | 107.9 | 108.5 | 109.7 | 110.9 | 111.9 | 90.0 |
| Canned, frozen, fruits and | 103.8 | 103.9 | 104.7 | 104.9 | 104. 7 | 104.7 | 105.0 | 103.7 | 104.0 | 104. 4 | 105. 1 | 105.5 | 105. 4 | 98.0 |
| Sugar and confectionery | 110.1 | 108.9 | 108.7 | 110.2 | 110.1 | 110.5 | 109.8 | 109.8 | 109.6 | 109.7 | 109. 6 | 108.0 | 108.0 | 94.7 |
| Packaged beverage mate | 182.2 | 171. 6 | 171.0 | 169.8 | 169.8 | 169.8 | 169.8 | 164.6 | 164. 6 | 168.1 | 168.9 | 161.9 | 161.9 | 136.9 |
| Animal fats and oils | 93.3 | 92.71 | 85.6 | 94.0 | 106. 8 | 82.2 | 72.4 | 60.9 | 64.2 | 60.4 | 60.2 | 53.8 | 52.1 | 13.9 63.9 |
| Crude vegetable oi | 63.9 | 66.3 | 71.2 | 70.1 | 65.7 | 62.9 | 63.1 | 68.4 | 70.5 | 75. 4 | 75.6 | 70.5 | 70.4 | 67.9 |
| Refined vegetable | 72.71 | 74.2 | 75.5 | 73. 3 | 68.8 | 70. 9 | 78.0 | 79.8 | 79.8 | 79.8 | 79.8 | 69. 9 | 77.0 | 67.4 |
| Vegetable oil end p | 83.8 | 84.41 | 84.2 | 80.3 | 80. 5 | 83.4 | 84.0 | 84.6 | 86.5 | 85.0 | 84.3 | 83.3 | 83.5 | 79.2 |
| Other processed foo | 111.5 | 113.9 | 110.2 | 117.1 | 116.8 | 116.7 | 117.3 | 120.2 | 121.5 | 120.5 | 120.9 | 114.4 | 112.8 | 106. 6 |
| All commodities 0 | 114.5 | 114.6 | 114.5 | 114.6 | 114.7 | 114.9 | 114.8 | 113.9 | 113.6 | 113.2 | 113.4 | 113.1 | 113.1 | 102.2 |
| Textile prod | 95. 5 | 95.8 | 96.2 | 96.5 | 96.9 | 97.5 | 97.5 | 97.4 | 97.6 | 97.4 | 97.5 | 98.5 | 98.8 | 93.3 |
| Cotton pro | 90.3 | 90.9 | 91.6 | 92.4 | 93.7 | 94.1 | 94.1 | 93.4 | 93.3 | 92.9 | 93.1 | 96.1 | 97.0 | 90.0 |
| Wool products | 111. 0 | 112. 1 | 111.5 | 111.6 | 111.2 | 111.8 | 111.7 | 111.6 | 112.0 | 111.3 | 111.9 | 111.5 | 113.0 | 105.3 |
| Synthetic text | 85. 5 | 85.5 | 85. 2 | 85.9 | 86.7 | 86.7 | 87.5 | 87. 5 | 87.4 | 88.0 | 87.9 | 88.3 | 88.1 | 91.3 |
| Silk produc | 142.1 | 139.3 | 136.5 | 135.8 | 134. 7 | 134.7 | 134.7 | 134.7 | 133.0 | 131.6 | 141.4 | 141.4 | 141.4 | 88.8 |
| Apparel | 97.9 | *97. 9 | 98.7 | 98.7 | 98.5 | 99.3 | 99.3 | 99.4 | 99.9 | 99.9 | 99.6 | 99.9 | 100.0 | 92.7 |
| Other texti | 82. 7 | *82. 4 | 83.5 | 82.7 | 82.9 | 86.5 | 85.3 | 85.5 | 83.8 | 82.5 | 82.8 | 83.5 | 83.1 | 96.3 |
| Hides, skins, and | 95.2 | 95.6 | 97.1 | 97.1 | 99.7 | 99.9 | 100.0 | 101.0 | 100.4 | 97.9 | 98.1 | 98.0 | 97.3 | 99.1 |
| Hides and | 56.8 | 57.7 | 64.3 | 64.4 | 74.2 | 74. 6 | 73.4 | 76.3 | 74.8 | 66.4 | 64.8 | 66.5 | 62.1 | 94.3 |
| Leather | 88.1 | *88.7 | 90.4 | 90.4 | 94.5 | 95.0 | 96.1 | 98.0 | 97.3 | 92.7 | 93.5 | 91. 9 | 92.0 | 98.2 |
| Footwear | 111.8 | 111.8 | 111.8 | 111.7 | 111.8 | 111.8 | 111.7 | 111.7 | 111.5 | 111.5 | 112.1 | 112.1 | 112.0 | 102.7 |
| Other leather p | 98.0 | 98.2 | 98.8 | 99.1 | 99.1 | 99.5 | 99.7 | 100.3 | 100.0 | 99.3 | 99.0 | 99.0 | 99.2 | 95. 2 |
| Fuel, pow | 110.6 | *111.1 | 111.2 | 111.2 | 110.9 | 111.0 | 111.1 | 108.3 | 107.1 | 107.4 | 108. 4 | 108.1 | 107.8 | 102.4 |
| Coal | 111.8 | 112.5 | 112.5 | 112.5 | 112.3 | 111.7 | 111.8 | 111.2 | 110.8 | 111.2 | 114. 4 | 115.9 | 116.3 | 104.8 |
| Ooke | 132.5 | 132.5 | 132. 5 | 132.5 | 131.8 | 131.8 | 131.8 | 131.8 | 131.8 | 131.8 | 131.8 | 131.8 | 131.8 | 115.6 |
| Gas | 109.6 | *109.6 | 106.3 | 106.6 | 106.0 | 105. 7 | 106.1 | 108.2 | 108. 2 | 109.5 | 109.8 | 109.5 | 108.0 | 14.8 |
| Electricity | 100.7 | *100.7 | 99.6 | 98.5 | 98.0 | 99.1 | 98.5 | 98.5 | 97. 4 | 98.0 | 100. 7 | 100.7 | 99.6 | 101.3 |
| Petroleum | 114.2 | 114.9 | 116.3 | 116.6 | 116.5 | 116.5 | 116.8 | 111.1 | 109.4 | 109.3 | 109.0 | 107.9 | 107.9 | 103.1 |
| Ohemicals and allied prod | 107.2 | 107.1 | 107.2 | 106.7 | 106. 7 | 106.3 | 106. 2 | 105. 6 | 105.5 | 105. 5 | 104. 2 | 103.6 | 103.6 | 92.1 |
| Industrial chemicals | 118.4 | 118.6 | 119.2 | 119.5 | 120.0 | 120.2 | 120.2 | 119.2 | 118.0 | 117.0 | 113.9 | 113.1 | 112.8 | 96.3 |
| Paint and paint materials | ${ }^{(5)}$ | 107.9 | 108.2 | 107.9 | 107.3 | 106. 3 | 106.1 | 106. 1 | 106.1 | 106.0 | 106.0 | 105.9 | 106.2 | 94.6 |
| Drugs, pharmaceuticals, | 93. 9 | 93. 8 | 93.5 | 93.5 | 93.5 | 93.5 | 93.6 | 93.1 | 93.1 | 93.0 | 91.6 | 91.4 | 91.5 | 91.3 |
| Fats and oils, inedible.. | 60.9 | *58. 6 | 58.0 | 53.3 | 51.1 | 46. 9 | 46.7 | 46. 6 | 49.9 | 55.9 | 59.0 | 52.7 | 53.5 | 48.8 |
| Mixed fertilizer | 111.1 | 111.4 | 111.5 | 111.7 | 112.0 | 111.2 | 110.6 | 110.7 | 110.7 | 110.7 | 110.7 | 110.8 | 111.2 | 101.2 |
| Fertilizer materials | 114.0 | 113.9 | 112.9 | 112.9 | 113.0 | 113.8 | 113.8 | 110.6 | 112.9 | 113.2 | 112.8 | 112.7 | 112.8 | 98.5 |
| Other chemicals and product | 105.2 | 105.2 | 105.0 | 103.4 | 103.3 | 102.9 | 102.8 | 102.6 | 103.0 | 103.1 | 102.9 | 102.9 | 103.1 | 91.1 |
| Rubber and pro | 124.8 | 124.8 | 124.3 | 124.2 | 124. 0 | 123.5 | 124.6 | 125. 0 | 125. 4 | 124.8 | 125.7 | 126. 2 | 127.3 | 109. 5 |
| Crude rubber | 113.4 | 114.5 | 112.0 | 111.3 | 120.1 | 120.0 | 121.1 | 122.7 | 124. 2 | 122.3 | 126.6 | 129. 4 | 135.5 | 129.0 |
| Tire casings and tubes | 130.2 | 130.1 | 130.1 | 130.1 | 126.4 | 125.1 | 126.4 | 126.3 | 126.3 | 126.3 | 126.3 | 126.3 | 126.3 | 108.1 |
| Other rubber products | 123.7 | 123.2 | 123.2 | 123.2 | 123.0 | 123.2 | 124.1 | 124.5 | 124.7 | 124.2 | 124.3 | 124.3 | 124.3 | 103. 6 |
| Lumber and wood | 117.0 | 117.4 | 117.3 | 118.1 | 119.2 | 120.4 | 121.1 | 121.5 | 121.8 | 122.2 | 121.7 | 121.1 | 120.5 | 112.4 |
| Lumber | 116.0 | 116.4 | 116.3 | 117.2 | 118.3 | 119.3 | 120.2 | 120.7 | 121.0 | 121. 5 | 120.9 | 120.3 | 120.1 | 113.5 |
| Millwor | 131.1 | *131.3 | 131.2 | 131.2 | 131.4 | 131.7 | 131.6 | 132.0 | 132.0 | 132.0 | 131.9 | 131.9 | 129.3 | 110.8 |
| Plywood | 103.5 | 103.9 | 103.1 | 104.7 | 106.8 | 112.4 | 112.7 | 112.4 | 112.4 | 112.0 | 112.0 | 110.9 | 108.5 | 101. 7 |
| Pulp, paper, an | 117.1 | 117.1 | 117.3 | 117.5 | 116.9 | 116.2 | 115.8 | 115.8 | 115.4 | 115.3 | 115.1 | 115.3 | 115. 8 | 95, 9 |
| Woodpulp | 109.7 | 109.7 | 109.7 | 109.7 | 108.8 | 108.8 | 108.8 | 108.8 | 108.8 | 108.8 | 108.8 | 108.8 | 108.8 | 90.6 |
| Wastepap | 79.1 | 79.1 | 90.8 | 112.9 | 109. 6 | 98.5 | 85.0 | 85. 0 | 85.0 | 88.3 | 83.8 | 83.8 | 87.0 | 79.0 |
| Paper | 126.8 | 126.8 | 126.8 | 126.6 | 126. 5 | 125.9 | 125.1 | 124. 7 | 124.9 | 124.9 | 124.9 | 124.9 | 124.9 | 103.3 |
| Paperboard. | 125.5 | 125.9 | 126.0 | 126.2 | 126. 0 | 123.6 | 123.7 | 123.2 | 123.1 | 123.1 | 123.4 | 123.5 | 124.2 | 97.2 |
| Converted paper and paperb | 113.3 | 113.4 | 113.4 | 113.2 | 112.3 | 112.1 | 112.1 | 112.4 | 111.4 | 111.4 | 111.1 | 111.5 | 112.3 | 93.2 |
| Building paper and board | 127.9 | 123.0 | 123.0 | 123.0 | 123.0 | 123.0 | 123.0 | 123.0 | 123.0 | 118.2 | 118.2 | 118.2 | 118.2 | 106.3 |
| Metals and metal produ | 127.1 | *127.5 | 127.9 | 127.9 | 128.5 | 129.4 | 129.3 | 126.9 | 125.7 | 125.0 | 125.5 | 124.6 | 124.0 | 108. 8 |
| Iron and steel | 132.0 | 132.8 | 133.6 | 133. 4 | 134.6 | 136. 2 | 135.7 | 130.9 | 128.9 | 127.7 | 127.7 | 127.5 | 127. 1 | 113.1 |
| Nonferrous metals | 121.5 | 122.1 | 122. 3 | 122.1 | 122.8 | 124.5 | 126.4 | 127.6 | 126.6 | 128.2 | 131.5 | 124. 4 | 122.5 | 101.8 |
| Metal containe | 129.6 | 128.7 | 128. 7 | 128. 7 | 128.6 | 128.6 | 128.6 | 126.6 | 126.6 | 126.5 | 125. 3 | 125.3 | 125. 3 | 109.0 |
| Hardware | 137.5 | 137.2 | 137. 2 | 137.2 | 136. 9 | 135.6 | 134.7 | 134. 5 | 133.2 | 127.9 | 126. 2 | 125.9 | 125.9 | 111.1 |
| Plumbing equipmen | 118. 2 | 118.2 | 118.2 | 118.2 | 118.7 | 118. 7 | 116.4 | 113.5 | 113.8 | 113.8 | 114.3 | 114.3 | 113.6 | 103. 2 |
| Heating equipment. | 115.3 | 115.5 | 115.8 | 115.8 | 115.8 | 115.6 | 115.1 | 114.6 | 114.4 | 113.8 | 113.9 | 113.9 | 113.8 | 102.0 |
| Structural metal produc | 117.6 | *117. 3 | 117.5 | 117.7 | 117.9 | 117.8 | 117.5 | 114.4 | 113.6 | 113.6 | 113.6 | 113.9 | 113.9 | 100.1 |
| Nonstructural metal products | 127.2 | 127.2 | 127. 2 | 127.2 | 127.0 | 126.3 | 125.4 | 124.1 | 124.0 | 122.8 | 122. 2 | 126.7 | 126.5 | 113.2 |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table D-8: Indexes of wholesale prices, by group and subgroup of commodities ${ }^{1}$ - Continued
$[1947-49=100]$


1 The revised wholesale price index $(1947-49=100)$ is the official index for January 1952 and subsequent months. The official index for December 1951 and previous dates is the former index $(1926=100)$. The revised index has been computed back to January 1947 for purposes of comparison and analysis. Prices are collected from manufacturers and other producers. In some cases they are secured from trade publications or from other Government agencies which collect price quotations in the course of their regular work. For a more detailed description of the index, see A Description of the Revised Wholesale Price Index, Monthly Labor Review, February 1952 (p. 180), or reprint Serial No. R. 2067.
${ }_{2}^{2}$ Preliminary,
Not available.
*Figures shown in this series are the official indexes. Beginning with January 1953 the method of calculating excise taxes and discounts was changed and official indexes for earlier dates are not strictly comparable with these. For analytical purposes indexes prior to 1953 have been recalculated for comparability and are available on request.
${ }^{5}$ Index discontinued.

* Revised.

TABLE D-9: Special wholesale price indexes ${ }^{1}$
$[1947-49=100]$

| Commodity group | 19542 | 1953 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1950 <br> June |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Jan. | Dec. | Nov. | Oct. | Sept. | Ang. | July | June | May | Apr. | Mar. | Feb. | Jan. |  |
| All foods | 104.5 | 103.1 | 103.6 | 105.1 | 106.8 | 104.8 | 104.9 | 103.8 | 104. 1 | 103.4 | 104.0 | 104. 1 | 105. 0 | 95.0 |
| All fish. | 113.9 | *109.4 | 106. 1 | 111.3 | 104.9 | 107.8 | 102.5 | 100.9 | 106. 5 | 98. 9 | 102.8 | 108.0 | 110.5 |  |
| Special metals and metal producher | 125.2 | ${ }^{*} 125.4$ | 125.7 | 125.7 | 126.2 | 126.8 | 126.8 | 125.0 | 124.1 | 123.6 | 124. 2 | 123. 5 | 123.0 | 108.3 |
| Metalworking machinery | 139.7 | +127. ${ }^{139}$ | 139.7 127.4 | 139.6 | 139.7 | 139.1 | 138.8 | 125.7 | 138.2 124.4 | 123.7 | 136.6 122.8 | 132.5 | 132.4 12.4 | 109.8 10.1 |
| Total tractors | 124.5 | 124.1 | 124.1 | 124.1 | 124.1 | 123. 7 | 124.3 | 123.8 | 123.8 | 123.6 | 122.8 | 121.7 | 121.7 | 107.5 |
| Steel mill products | 142.4 | 142.4 | 142.4 | 142.5 | 142.6 | 142.7 | 142.7 | 137.1 | 134. 4 | 131.1 | 131.1 | 130. 9 | 131. 1 | 114.9 |
| Building materials. | 119.5 | *119.6 | 119.5 | 120.0 | 120.4 | 120.8 | 121.3 | 120.5 | 120.2 | 119.9 | 119.2 | 118.7 | 118.5 | 107.5 |
| Soaps.........- | 91.0 | 90.5 | 90.0 | 86.5 | 86.2 | 85.8 | 85.8 | 85.5 | 87.1 | 87.2 | 86.7 | 86.6 | 87.1 | 80.9 |
| Synthetic detergents...-.-. Refined petroleum products | 91.0 112.9 | 91.0 113.8 | 91.0 115.5 | 91.0 115.8 | 91.0 | 91.0 | 90.8 116.1 | 90.8 109.1 | 90.8 109.1 | 90.8 108.9 | 91.8 108.6 | 91.8 107.2 | 91.8 107.7 | 82.9 102.1 |
| East coast petroleum.. | 109.4 | 112.0 | 114.1 | 113.5 | 113.8 | 113.8 | 113.8 | 107.3 | 107.8 | 109.3 | 108. 5 | 108.8 | 111.6 | 98.1 |
| Mid-continent petroleum | 109.9 | 109.6 | 110.2 | 110.1 | 109. 6 | 109.6 | 109.7 | 100.0 | 99.6 | 99.6 | 99.6 | 99.7 | 11.0 | 101.8 |
| Gulf coast petroleum .... | 116. 2 | 117.8 | 121. 3 | 122.8 | 122.8 | 122.8 | 124.1 | 116.8 | 116.8 | 115. 2 | 114.6 | 114. 6 | 115. 0 | 109. 7 |
| Pacific coast petroleum | 118.8 | 118.8 |  | 118.8 |  | 118.8 |  |  | 1115. 8 | 118.8 | 1118.8 | 1108.7 | 104.2 115.7 | 94.1 95.6 |
| Pulp, paper and products, excl. bld | 116.8 | 116.9 | 117.1 | 117.4 | 116.7 | 116.1 | 115.6 | 115.6 | 115. 2 | 115. 2 | 115.0 | 115. 2 | 115. 7 | 95.6 |

## E: Work Stoppages

TABLE E-1: Work stoppages resulting from labor-management disputes ${ }^{1}$

| Month and year | Number of stoppages |  | Workers involved in stoppages |  | Man-days idle during month or year |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Beginning in month or year | In effect during month | Beginning in month or year | In effect during month | Number | Percent of estimated work. ing time |
| 1935-39 (average) | 2,8623,5734,7504,9854,9833,6933,4193,6064,8434,7375,117 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1,130,000 \\ & 2,380.000 \\ & 3,470,000 \end{aligned}$ |  | 16, 900, 000 <br> 39, 700, 000 <br> $38,000,000$ | 0.27 .46 |
| 1945...--------- |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r}\text { - } \\ 1.43 \\ \hline 14\end{array}$ |
| 1946---- |  |  | 3, 470, 000 4, 600,000 |  | 116.000.000 |  |
| 1947 |  |  | 2, 170, 1,900 1,900000 |  | $34,600,000$ $34,100,000$ | . 41 |
| 1948----- |  |  | 3,$3,030,000$2,40000 | - | $50,500.000$$38,800,000$ |  |
| 1950.---- |  |  |  |  |  | . .49 |
| 1951- |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 2,410,000 \\ 2,220,000 \\ 3,540,000 \end{array}$ |  | 22,900,000 59, 100, 000 | .23 .57 |
|  |  |  | 200, 000 |  | 1, 250,000 |  |
| 1953: January ${ }^{2}$ | 350350450500500505500475450375350250200250 |  |  | 250, 000 |  |  |
| Februar ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | 550 650 | 120.000 | 2000 23000 2000 | 1, 1000000 | . 12 |
| March ${ }^{\text {a }}$ - |  | 650 700 | 1800000 275,000 | 230,000 350,000 | $1,100,000$ $2,500,000$ | . 27 |
| May ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | 750 | 270, 000 | 370. 000 | 3. 000,000 | . 34 |
| June ${ }^{2}$ |  | 725 | 250,000 | 400,000 | 3, 750,000 | . 40 |
| Julv ${ }^{2}$ - |  | 770 | 280, 000 |  | 3. 00000000 | . 31 |
| August ${ }^{\text {a }}$---- |  | 675 600 | 230,000 110,000 | 400,000 210,000 | $2,800,000$ $1,550,000$ | . 31 |
| September ${ }^{2}$ |  | 600 550 | 190, 0000 | 250, 000 | 1, 1 500,000 | . 15 |
| November ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | 450 | 100,000 | 185, 000 | 1,500,000 | . 18 |
| December ${ }^{2}$ |  | 400 | 80.000 | 170,000 | 1.400, 000 | . 15 |
| 1954: January ${ }^{2}$ |  | 400 | 80,000 | 150, 000 | 1,000,000 | . 12 |

${ }^{1}$ All known work stoppages, arising out of labor-management disputes, Involving six or more workers and continuing as long as a iull day " shift ers involved" and "man-days idle" cover all workers made idle for one or
more shifts in establishments directly involved in a stoppage. They do not measure the indirect or secondary effects on other establishments or industries whose employees are made idle as a result of material or service shortages. 2 Preliminary.

## F: Building and Construction

Table F-1: Expenditures for new construction ${ }^{1}$
[Value of work put in place]

| Type of construction | Expenditures (in millions) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1954 |  | 1953 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{1953}{\text { Total }{ }^{2}}$ | $\qquad$ <br> Total |
|  | Feb. ${ }^{2}$ | Jan. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Dec. | Nov. | Oct. | Sept. | Aug. | July | June | May | Apr. | Mar. | Feb. |  |  |
|  | \$2,317 | \$2.428 | \$2,661 | \$2, 988 |  | \$3,295 | \$3,317 | \$3, 282 | \$3, 209 | \$2, 947 | \$2,758 | \$2, 527 | \$2, 287 | \$34, 843 | \$32, 638 |
|  | 1,643 | $\overline{1,717}$ | 1,908 | 2, 052 | 2,129 | 2,177 | 2, 202 | 2,194 | 2,160 | 1,991 | 1,872 | 1,729 | 1,574 | 23,615 | 21,812 |
| Private construction | 1,771 | 1, 830 | 1,952 | 1, 024 | 1,066 | 1, 088 | 1,113 | 1,126 | 1,123 | 1,012 | 1, 964 | 1, 863 | 1758 | 11, 905 | 11, 100 |
| New dwelling units | 680 | 740 | 850 | 905 | 940 | 960 | 980 | 1990 | 990 | 885 | 850 | 770 | 675 | 10,530 | 9, 870 |
| Additions and alterations | 69 | ${ }^{67}$ | 78 | 94 | 101 | 103 | 110 | 112 | 110 | 105 | 94 | 74 | 64 | 1,108 | 1,045 |
| Nonhousekeeping ${ }^{5}$ - | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 23 | 24 | 23 | 22 | 20 | 19 | 19 | - 267 | 185 |
| Nonresidential building (nonfarm) ${ }^{6}$-.- | 476 | 486 | 505 | 523 | 511 | 507 | 493 | 490 | 477 | 449 | 427 | 430 | 433 | 5, 676 | 5, 014 |
| Industrial | 177 158 | 179 | 176 | 177 | 177 | 177 | 174 | 176 | 184 | 190 | 192 | 198 | 204 | 2, 226 | 2,320 |
| Warehouses, office, and loft buildings. | 158 73 | 164 75 | 182 79 | 192 79 | 179 75 | 176 71 | 169 66 | 166 60 | 152 56 | 128 52 | 114 50 | 114 49 | 111 | 1,791 737 | 1,137 515 |
| - Stores, restaurants, and garages_ | 85 | 89 | 103 | 113 | 104 | 105 | 103 | 106 | 96 | 76 | 64 | 65 | 61 | 1, 054 | 622 |
| Other nonresidential building.-...- | 141 | 143 | 147 | 154 | 155 | 154 | 150 | 148 | 141 | 131 | 121 | 118 | 118 | 1, 659 | 1,557 |
| Religious - -------------------- | 41 | 43 | 45 | 46 | 46 | 45 | 43 | 41 | 38 | 35 | 33 | 33 | 34 | 1, 474 |  |
| Educational | 38 | 39 | 39 | 41 | 41 | 40 | 38 | 36 | 34 | 32 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 425 | - 351 |
| Social and recreational....-.... | 16 | 16 | 17 | 17 | 16 | 15 | 15 | 14 | 14 | 13 | 11 | 10 | 10 | 163 | 125 |
| Hospital and institutional ${ }^{7}$-..- Miscellaneous | 26 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 316 | 394 |
|  | 20 | 19 | 20 | 24 | 26 | 27 | 27 | 30 | 29 | 25 | 20 | 19 | 17 | 281 | 288 |
| Farm construction | 89 | 87 | 88 | 100 | 119 | 144 | 158 | 155 | 148 | 138 | 120 | 108 | 100 | 1,475 | 1,610 |
| Public utilities.... | 300 | 307 | 354 | 396 | 423 | 428 | 427 | 410 | 399 | 380 | 352 | 320 | 275 | 4,439 |  |
| Railroad Telephone and telegraph | 27 45 | 30 46 | 44 47 | 45 50 | 49 55 50 | 44 <br> 54 | $\begin{array}{r}44 \\ 54 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 43 <br> 53 | 41 <br> 52 | 40 52 | 40 | 34 48 | 27 | 480 | 438 |
| Telephone and telerraph | - 228 | 46 231 | -47 | 50 301 | 55 319 | $\begin{array}{r}54 \\ 330 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 54 329 | 53 314 | 52 306 | 52 | 48 264 | 48 238 | 43 205 | 600 3,359 | 570 2,995 |
| All other private ${ }^{8}$--.-- | 7 | 7 | 9 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 13 | 13 | 12 | 9 | 8 | 8 | 120 | 2,995 |
| Public construction | 674 | 711 | 753 | 936 | 1, 082 | 1,118 | 1,115 | 1, 088 | 1, 049 | 956 | 886 | 798 | 713 | 11, 228 | 10, 826 |
| Residential building $0 . . .$. | 34 | 35 | 39 | 42 | 46 | 46 | 44 | 46 | 50 | 50 | 49 | 47 | 48 | 554 | 654 |
| Nonresidential building (other than military or naval facilities) | 339 | 341 | 336 | 355 | 372 | 376 | 371 | 373 | 380 | 371 | 370 | 359 | 323 | 4,317 |  |
| Industrial | 126 | 130 | 123 | 131 | 142 | 148 | 152 | 155 | 165 | 159 | 159 | 159 | 131 | 1, 758 | 1,667 |
| Educational | 156 | 125 | 155 | 158 | 160 | 155 | 150 | 147 | 142 | 140 | 139 | 133 | 131 | 1, 742 | 1,619 |
| Hospital and institutional | 23 | 21 | 21 | 24 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 28 | 32 | 33 | 34 | 33 | 33 | 1, 347 | +473 |
| Other nonresidential.--... | 34 | 35 | 37 | 42 | 46 | 48 | 43 | 43 | 41 | 39 | 38 | 34 | 28 | 470 | 360 |
| Military facilities ${ }^{10}$. | 62 | 76 | 92 | 101 | 105 | 116 | 119 | 119 | 120 | 115 | 113 | 111 | 106 | 1,323 | 1,388 |
| Highw ays - .-...- | 110 | 125 | 145 | 280 | 390 | 400 | 405 | 375 | 330 | 260 | 200 | 140 | 110 | 3,150 | 2,860 |
| Sewer and water-1..-. Miscellaneous public service enter------ | 62 | 61 | 63 | 67 | 69 | 73 | 71 | 67 | 63 | 61 | 60 | 57 | 54 | 761 | ${ }^{2} 692$ |
| prises ${ }^{11}$.......-.-....................... | 12 | 13 | 13 | 18 | 21 | 23 | 19 | 19 | 17 | 15 | 14 | 13 | 11 | 196 | 193 |
| Conservation and development | 45 | 51 | 56 | 63 | 68 | 72 | 75 | 79 | 80 | 75 | 72 | 65 | 56 | 822 | 854 |
| All other public ${ }^{12}$ | 10 | , | , | 10 | 11 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 9 | 8 | 6 | 5 | 105 | 66 |

1 Joint estimates of the Burean of Labor Statistics. U. S. Denartment of Labor, and the Business and Defense Services Administration, U. S. Department of Commerce. Estimated construction expenditures represent the monetary value of the volume of work accomplished during the given period of time. These figures should be differentiated from permit valuation data reported in the tabulations for building authorized (tables F-3 and F-4) and the data on value of contract awards reported in table F-2.
${ }_{2}$ Preliminary.
${ }_{3}$ Revised.
4 Includes major additions and alterations.
5 Includes hotels, dormitories, and tourist courts and cabins.
building are building are included under "Public utilities."
${ }^{7}$ Includes Federal contributions toward construction of private nonprofit hospital facilities under the National Hospital Program.
8 Covers privately owned sewer and water facilities, roads and bridges, and miscellaneous nonbuilding items such as parks and playgrounds.
miscellaneous nonbuilding items such as parks and playgrounds.
${ }^{9}$ Includes nonhousekeeping public residential construction as well as housekeeping units.
Covers all construction, building as well as nonbuilding (except for production facilities, which are included in public Industrial building).
${ }^{11}$ Oovers primarily publicly owned airports, electric light and power systems, and local transit facilities.
${ }_{12}$ Covers public construction not elsowhere classified such as parks, playgrounds, and mamoriais.

Table F-2: Value of contracts awarded and force-account work started on federally financed new construction, by type of construction ${ }^{1}$

| Type of construction | Value (in thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $1953{ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1952 | $1953{ }^{3}$ | $1952{ }^{2}$ |
|  | Dec. ${ }^{3}$ | Nov. 4 | Oct. ${ }^{4}$ | Sept. 4 | Aug. 4 | July ${ }^{4}$ | June | May | Apr. | Mar. | Feb. | Jan. | Dec.* | Total | Total |
| Total new construction ${ }^{5}$ | \$157, 112 | \$151, 912 | \$318, 397 | \$166, 946 | \$212, 413 | \$176, 726 | \$352, 393 | \$261, 092 | \$355, 132 | \$235, 796 | \$198, 606 | \$237, 344 | \$ 645,851 | \$2, 823, 869 | \$4, 730, 311 |
| Airfields ${ }^{6}$ | 2,670 | 3.309 | $\bigcirc 634$ | 8,554 | 11,305 | 12, 651 | 10,274 | 4,773 | 21, 246 | 16,637 | 4,401 | 9,877 | 12, 661 |  | $\begin{array}{r} 140,991 \\ 2,596,961 \\ 23,296 \\ 2,573,665 \\ 120,040 \end{array}$ |
| Building----1 | 29, 001 | 46,693 68 | $168,223$ | $\begin{array}{r} 48,337 \\ 394 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 65,399 \\ 30 \end{array}$ | 48, 007 | 132,074 3,412 | 112, 102 | 217,155 3,025 | 76,083 580 | $\begin{array}{r} 129,168 \\ 4,807 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 152,984 \\ 2,224 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 19,654 \\ 1,171 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,225,226 \\ 15,239 \end{array}$ |  |
| Nonresidential | 28, 922 | $\begin{aligned} & 46,625 \\ & 10,130 \end{aligned}$ | 168, 223 | $\begin{aligned} & 47,943 \\ & 11,051 \end{aligned}$ | 65, 369 | 48, 007 | 128, 662 | 111,482 | 214, 130 | 75, 503 | 124,361 | 150, 760 | 193, 483 | $\left.\begin{array}{r} 1,209,987 \\ 172,243 \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ |  |
| Educational ${ }^{8}$---- | 5,651 |  | 7,712 |  | 19,778 | 16, 319 | 18, 429 | 20,150 | 18,794 | 18, 238 | 14,340 | 11,651 | 15, 679 |  | 130, 949 |
| Hospital and institutional | 2, 402 | 7,427 | 9, 721 | 9,691 | 6,856 | 10,280 | 18,490 | 23, 790 | 6,097 | 10,119 | 7,949 | 18,756 | 9,516 | 131,578 | 211, 877 |
| Administrative and general ${ }^{9}$ | 1,873 | 1,085 | 14, 432 | 2,512 | 2,135 | 1,719 | 4,506 | 4,462 | 4,220 | 1,978 | 1,785 | 4,931 | 3,538 | 45,638 | 2, 187, 644 |
| Other nonresidentia] building | 18,996 |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 19,689 \\ 1,008 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 87,237 \\ & 17,659 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 100,287 \\ 8,301 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 115,422 \\ 8,397 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 164,750 \\ 12,819 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 860,528 \\ 70,047 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Airfield buildings ${ }^{10}$ - | 1,076 | $\begin{array}{r}27,983 \\ 1,774 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{r} 136,358 \\ 199 \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | $\begin{array}{r} 24,689 \\ 4,027 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 36,600 \\ 2,630 \end{array}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 63,080 \\ & 10,584 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 185,019 \\ 12,032 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 45,168 \\ 2,360 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  | 80,671$1,305,481$ |
| Industrial ${ }^{11}$ | 14, 995 | 19,631 | 128, 400 | 11, 196 | 22, 011 | 12,940 | 36,004 | $\begin{array}{r} 33,849 \\ 4,5672 \\ 5,262 \\ 8,818 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 147,130 \\ 6,739 \\ 4,962 \\ 14,150 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16,673 \\ & 15,049 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 85,091 \\ 1,612 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 74,657 \\ & 13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 111,690 \\ 14,520 \end{array}$ | 602,58360,046 |  |
| Troop housing.-.- | 372 | $\begin{array}{r} 19,001 \\ 1,002 \\ 992 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 120,400 \\ 1,176 \\ 2,758 \\ 3,825 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 823 \\ 3,437 \\ 5,206 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3,077 \\ & 160 \\ & 8,722 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,240 \\ 2,284 \\ 880 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} r, 48 \\ 9,438 \\ 8, \\ 15,709 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $1,385,602$276,455290,435 |
| Warehouses......- | 518 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2,977 | 1,110 | 8, 667 | 8, 167 | 40, 105 |  |
| Miscellaneous ${ }^{12}$.-- | 2,035 | 4,5847,737 |  |  |  | 2, 577 |  |  |  | 8,109 | 4,173 | 9,839 | 17, 554 | 87, 747 | 239,435 |
| Conservation and development. | 10, 220 |  | $\begin{array}{r} 26,772 \\ 1,716 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9,770 \\ & 1,844 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14,663 \\ & 11,086 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11,564 \\ 4,060 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 31,396 \\ 4,540 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14,179 \\ 9,419 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10,665 \\ 3,083 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 40,302 \\ 5,577 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,379 \\ 444 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21,444 \\ & 10,461 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18,852 \\ 5,724 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 203,091 \\ 63,604 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 287,498 \\ 92,916 \end{array}$ |
| Reclamation-.- | 7,701 | 3, 673 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| River, harbor, and flood control | 2, 519 |  | $\begin{array}{r} 25,056 \\ 66,407 \\ 47,237 \\ 9,124 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7,926 \\ 97,543 \\ 557 \\ 2,185 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,577 \\ 10,629 \\ 10,695 \\ 4,722 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7,504 \\ 94,792 \\ 5,293 \\ 4,419 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 26,856 \\ 122,202 \\ 40,069 \\ 16,378 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,760 \\ 110,664 \\ 11,815 \\ 7,559 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7,582 \\ 92,771 \\ 2,981 \\ 10,314 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 34,725 \\ 90,692 \\ 4,743 \\ 7,339 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,935 \\ 47,092 \\ 8,709 \\ 4,857 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 13,128 \\ 56,795 \\ 346,455 \\ 16,434 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 139,487 \\ 1,050,116 \\ 156,759 \\ 82,346 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 194,582 \\ 1,005,808 \\ 515,962 \\ 183,091 \end{array}$ |
| Highways.-.---- | 92,047 | $\begin{array}{r} 4,064 \\ 88,176 \\ 1,225 \\ 4,771 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 10,983 \\ 42,101 \\ 3,304 \\ 7,634 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |
| Electrification | 20, 130 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All other ${ }^{18}$ | 3, 044 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^52][^53]TABLE F-3: Urban building authorized, by principal class of construction and by type of building ${ }^{1}$

| Period |  | Valuation (in thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Number of new dwelling units-Housekeeping only |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total all classes : | New residential building |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { New non- } \\ & \text { resi- } \\ & \text { dential } \\ & \text { building } \end{aligned}$ | Additions, alterations, and repairs | Privately financed |  |  |  | Publicly financed |
|  |  | Housekeeping | Non-house-keeping I | Total | $\underset{\text { ily }}{\substack{\text { fam }}}$ | $\underset{\text { ily }{ }^{2} \text { fam }}{\text { if }}$ | Multi fam: ily |  |  |  |
|  |  | Privately financed dwelling units |  |  |  |  |  | Publicly financed dwelling units |  |  |  |
|  |  | Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1-family | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2-fam- } \\ & \text { ily: } \end{aligned}$ | Multifamily ${ }^{\prime}$ |  |
| 1942 |  |  | \$2, 707, 573 | \$598, 570 | \$478, 658 | \$42, 629 | \$77, 283 | \$296, 933 | \$22,910 | \$1, 510, 688 | \$278, 472 | 184, 892 | 138, 908 | 15,747 | 30, 237 | 95, 946 |
| 1946 |  |  | 4, 743, 414 | 2, 114, 833 | 1, 830, 260 | 103, 042 | 181, 531 | 355, 587 | 43, 369 | 1, 458, 602 | 771, 023 | 430, 195 | 358, 151 | 24,326 | 47, 718 | 98, 310 |
| 1947 |  |  | 5, 563, 348 | 2, 885, 374 | 2, 361,752 | 151, 036 | 372, 586 | 42, 249 | 29,831 | 1, 713, 489 | 892, 404 | 502. 312 | 393, 606 | 33, 423 | 75, 283 | 5, 833 |
| 1948 |  | 6, 972.784 | 3, 422, 927 | 2, 745, 219 | 181,493 | 496, 215 | 139.334 | 38, 034 | 2, 367, 940 | 1, 004,549 | 516. 179 | 392, 532 | 36. 306 | 87, 341 | 15, 114 |
| 1949 |  | 7,398, 144 | 3, 724, 924 | 2,845, 399 | 132, 365 | 747, 160 | 285, 627 | 39,785 | 2, 410, 315 | 937, 493 | 575, 286 | 413. 543 | 26, 431 | 135, 312 | 32, 194 |
| 1950 |  | 10, 480, 350 | 5, 819, 360 | 4, 850, 763 | 178, 985 | 798, 612 | 327, 553 | 84, 504 | 3, 156, 475 | 1, 092, 458 | 798, 499 | 624,377 | 33, 310 | 140.812 | 38,953 |
| 1951 |  | 8, 818, 188 | 4, 380, 137 | 3, 817, 697 | 171, 343 | 391, 097 | 587, 476 | 37, 875 | 2, 815,669 | 1, 097, 011 | 534, 605 | 435, 219 | 29,895 | 69,491 | 66, 640 |
| 1952 |  | 8,926, 672 | 4, 647, 014 | 4, 050, 435 | 213, 790 | 382, 789 | 460,375 | 51, 713 | 2, 637, 037 | 1, 130, 534 | 563, 211 | 457, 389 | 37, 454 | 68, 368 | 53,626 |
| 1952: | January | 527, 773 | 267, 068 | 230, 354 | 16, 287 | 20,426 | 28,684 | 1,432 | 159, 148 | 71, 441 | 34, 426 | 27, 902 | 2, 892 | 3, 632 | 3,419 |
|  | February | 611,085 | 345, 392 | 300, 957 | 17, 276 | 27, 160 | 26, 089 | 1,632 | 160, 555 | 77, 417 | 43, 237 | 35, 003 | 3, 019 | 5, 215 | 3, 047 |
|  | March.- | 783, 787 | 408, 651 | 353, 504 | 18,807 | 36,341 | 80, 957 | 4, 570 | 197, 739 | 91, 869 | 50, 026 | 40, 204 | 3,471 | 6, 351 | 10,094 |
|  | April | 858,403 | 465, 793 | 409, 964 | 20, 425 | 35, 404 | 75, 698 | 3, 257 | 219,581 | 94, 074 | 56, 325 | 45, 964 | 3, 566 | 6,795 | 9, 235 |
|  | May | 829, 940 | 443, 512 | 388, 013 | 20, 737 | 34,769 | 62,057 | 6,729 | 211, 040 | 106,595 | 53, 352 | 43, 672 | 3, 550 | 6,130 | 6,736 |
|  | June | 887,561 | 411, 226 | 368.060 | 17,489 | 25, 678 | 63, 596 | 3, 605 | 291, 571 | 117, 562 | 48, 909 | 41, 107 | 3, 080 | 4,722 | 7,008 |
|  | July | 807, 018 | 420, 336 | 369, 052 | 17,301 | 33, 983 | 22, 554 | 2, 395 | 252, 128 | 109, 607 | 50, 636 | 41, 842 | 2, 938 | 5,856 | 2, 483 |
|  | August | 751, 678 | 401, 450 | 347, 555 | 19, 001 | 34, 894 | 12, 118 | 5,781 | 232, 974 | 99,354 | 48,768 | 39, 110 | 3, 289 | 6, 369 | 1,663 |
|  | September | 800, 125 | 438, 618 | 384, 202 | 20,719 | 33, 697 | 15, 947 | 7,247 | 233, 568 | 104, 746 | 52, 528 | 42,767 | 3,588 | 6,173 | 1,701 |
|  | October-- | 822. 292 | 450, 175 | 388. 207 | 17,479 | 44, 489 | 15, 680 | 4, 243 | 246, 654 | 105, 539 |  | 42, 655 | 3, 055 | 7,075 | 1, 624 |
|  | November. | 644, 786 | 319, 189 | 276, 724 | 14, 498 | 27,967 | 21, 822 | 7.451 | 217,087 | 79, 237 | 38, 314 | 30, 854 | 2, 521 | 4,939 | 2,475 |
|  | December | 602, 222 | 275, 596 | 233, 845 | 13,770 | 27, 981 | 35, 172 | 3,370 | 214, 090 | 73, 094 | 33, 905 | 26, 309 | 2, 485 | 5,111 | 4,141 |
| 1953: | January | 590, 397 | 278, 931 | 233, 070 | 13, 369 | 32,492 | 32, 280 | 5,153 | 195, 643 | 78, 390 | 34, 914 | 26, 833 | 2, 347 | 5, 734 | 3,973 |
|  | February | 665, 229 | 331, 971 | 281, 720 | 16,345 | 33, 906 | 33, 111 | 3, 101 | 213,028 | 84, 088 | 39, 953 | 31, 047 | 2,815 | 6, 091 | 3,869 |
|  | March | 941,507 | 482, 342 | 417, 691 | 19, 861 | 44,790 | 80, 979 | 6, 683 | 268, 016 | 103, 478 | 56, 068 | 44, 647 | 3, 342 | 8,079 | 9. 268 |
|  | April. | 1,015,568 | 501, 327 | 438, 360 | 20, 964 | 42, 003 | 26, 005 | 7,077 | 362.123 | 119, 037 | 57, 225 | 46, 074 | 3, 524 | 7,627 | 3. 918 |
|  | May. | 910, 269 | 454. 976 | 395, 168 | 20, 095 | 39, 713 | 23, 150 | 6,235 | 311, 049 | 114, 859 | 52, 739 | 42, 477 | 3,294 | 6. 968 | 2, 457 |
|  | June. | 886. 089 | 447.820 | 385, 891 | 16. 970 | 44, 959 | 19, 976 | 4. 677 | 288, 053 | 125, 563 | 61, 721 | 41,351 | 2. 63.5 | 7. 73.5 | 2, 282 |
|  | July | 884, 063 | 410, 770 | 352, 921 | 17,967 | 39,882 | 5,210 | 11, 135 | 332, 523 | 124, 425 | 46, 697 | 37, 015 | 2,906 | 6,776 | 571 |
|  | August | 802, 374 | 392, 541 | 338, 663 | 14,682 | 39, 196 | 9,730 | 13, 109 | 278. 386 | 108, 609 | 44, 528 | 35, 686 | 2,246 | 6,596 | 1,046 |
|  | September | 801, 062 | 378, 975 | 323, 110 | 14,790 | 41, 075 | 28.001 | 15. 425 | 260, 908 | 117, 753 | 42, 899 | 33, 625 | 2,399 | 6,875 | 3,249 |
|  | October | 785, 093 | 386, 155 | 332, 596 | 18, 644 | 34,915 | 2,066 | 5,986 | 282, 237 | 108, 650 | 43, 148 | 34, 534 | 2,674 | 5,940 | 238 |
|  | November ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 672, 564 | 302, 858 | 263, 782 | 13,518 | 25, 558 | 12,705 | 7,697 | 262, 917 | 86, 387 | 34, 363 | 27, 839 | 2,128 | 4,396 | 1,557 |

${ }^{1}$ Building for which building permits were issued and Federal contracts awarded in all urban places, including an estimate of building undertaken in some smaller urhan places that do not issue permits.
The data cover federally and nonfederally financed building construction combined. Estimates of non-Federal (private and State and local government) urban building construction are based primarily on building-permit ment) urban building construction are based primarily on building-permit tion of the country; estimates of federally financed projects are compiled from tion of the country; estimates of federally financed projects are compiled from
notifications of construction contracts awarded, which are obtained from notifications of construction contracts awarded, which are obtained from
other Federal agencies. Data from building permits are not adjusted to allow other Federal agencies. Data from building permits are not adjusted to allow
for lapsed permits or for lag between permit issuance and the start of construcfor lapsed permits or for lag between permit issuance and the start of construc-
tion. Thus, the estimates do not represent construction actually started during the month.

Urban is defined according to the 1940 Census, and includes all incorporated places of 2,500 inhabitants or more in 1940 and a small number of places, usually minor civil divisions, classifled as urban under special rule
Sums of components do not always equal totals exactly because of rounding.
; Covers additions, alterations, and repairs, as well as new residential and nonresidential building.
${ }^{3}$ Includes units in 1 -family and 2 -family structures with stores.
Includes units in multifamily structures with stores.
Covers hotels, dormitories, tourist cabins, and other nonhousekeeping residential buildings.

- Revised.
- Preliminary.

Table F-4: New nonresidential building authorized in all urban places, ${ }^{1}$ by general type and by

${ }^{1}$ Building for which permits were issued and Federal contracts awarded in all urban places, including an estimate of building undertaken in some smaller urban places that do not issue permits. Sums of components do not always equal totals exactly because of rounding.
${ }_{3}^{2}$ For scope and source of urban estimates, see table F-3, footnote 1.

## ${ }^{3}$ Preliminary

- Revised.
${ }^{-}$Includes factories, navy yards, army ordnance plants, bakeries, ice plants, industrial warehouses, and other buildings at the site of these and similar production plantr:
- Includes amusement and recreation buildings, stores and other mercantile buildings, commercial garages, gasoline and service stations, etc.
${ }^{7}$ Includes churches, hospitals, and other institutional buildings, schools, libraries, etc.
8 Includes Federal, State, county, and municipal buildings, such as courthouses, city halls, fire and police stations, jails, prisons, arsenals, armories, army barracks, etc.

Includes railroad, bus and airport buildings, roundhouses, radio stations, gas and electric plants, public comfort stations, etc.
${ }^{10}$ Includes private garages, sheds, stables and barns, and other buildings, not elsewhere classified.

Table F-5: Number and construction cost of new permanent nonfarm dwelling units started, by urban or rural location, and by source of funds ${ }^{1}$

| Period | Number of new dwelling units started |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Estimated construction cost (in thousands) ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All units |  |  | Privately financed |  |  | Publicly financed |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total nonfarm | Urban | Rural nonfarm | Total nonfarm | Urban | Rural nonfarm | Total nonfarm | Urban | Rural nonfarm | Total | Privately financed | Publicly financed |
| 1925. | 937,000 93,000 | 752,000 45,000 | 185,000 48,000 | 937,000 93,000 | 752,000 45,000 | 185,000 48,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$4, 475, 000 | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 4,475,000 \\ 285,446 \end{array}$ | 0 |
| 19338 | 706, 100 | 45,000 434,300 | 271, 800 | 619, 500 | 369, 500 | 250,000 | 86, 600 | 64, 800 | 21,800 | 2, 826, 192 | 2, 530, 785 | \$295, 427 |
| 1944 | 141, 800 | 96, 200 | 45, 600 | 138, 700 | 93, 200 | 45, 500 | 3,100 | 3,000 | 100 | 496, 054 | 483, 231 | 12,823 |
| 1946 | 670, 500 | 403, 700 | 266, 800 | 662, 500 | 395, 700 | 266, 800 | 8, 000 | 8,000 | 0 | 3, 769, 767 | 3,713, 776 | 55, 991 |
| 1947 | 849, 000 | 479, 800 | 369, 200 | 845, 600 | 476, 400 | 369, 200 | 3, 400 | 3, 400 | 0 | 5, 643, 436 | 5, 617, 425 | 26, 011 |
| 1948 | 931, 600 | 524, 900 | 406, 700 | 913, 500 | 510, 000 | 403, 500 | 18, 100 | 14,900 | 3, 200 | 7, 203, 119 | 7, 028, 980 | 174, 139 |
| 1949 | 1,025, 100 | 588, 800 | 436, 300 | 988,800 | 556, 600 | 432, 200 | 36,300 | 32,200 | 4, 100 | 7, 702, 971 | 7, 374, 269 | 328, 702 |
| 1950 | 1, 396, 000 | 827, 800 | 568, 200 | 1,352, 200 | 785, 600 | 566, 800 | 43, 800 | 42, 200 | 1,600 | 11, 788, 595 | 11, 418, 371 | 370, 224 |
| 1951 | $1,091,300$ $1,127,000$ | 595,300 609,600 | 496, 000 517.400 | 1,020, 100 | 531,300 554,600 | 488,800 513,900 | 71,200 58,500 | 64,000 55,000 | 7,200 3,500 | $9,800,892$ $10,208,983$ | $9,186,123$ $9,706,276$ | 614,769 502,707 |
| 1953 | 1, 104, 500 | ${ }_{(8)}$ | ${ }_{(8)}$ | 1, 068,900 | ${ }_{(8)}$ | ${ }_{(8)}$ | 35. 600 | ${ }^{(8)}$ | ${ }^{(8)}$ | 10, 504, 434 | 10, 198, 022 | 306, 412 |
| 1951: First quarte | 260, 300 | 147, 800 | 112,500 | 248, 900 | 137, 200 | 111,700 | 11, 400 | 10,600 | 800 | 2, 293, 974 | 2, 191, 489 | 102, 485 |
| January | 85, 900 | 49,600 | 36,300 | 82, 200 | 46, 400 | 35,800 33,300 | 3, 700 | 3,200 3,800 | 500 | 755, 600 | 721, 014 | 34, 586 |
| Februar | 80,600 83,800 | 47,000 51,200 | 33,600 42,600 | 76,500 90,200 | 43,200 47,600 | 33,300 42,600 | 4,100 3,600 | 3,800 3,600 | ${ }^{300}$ | 716,629 821,745 | 681,607 788,868 | 35, 022 |
| March | 93,800 329,700 | 51,200 192,000 | 42,600 137,700 | 90, 280, 200 | r 148,500 | 42, 131,700 | 3, 49,500 | $\begin{array}{r}\text { 3, } \\ 43,500 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 6,000 | 8121, 2, 964,810 | 2, 549, 238 | 32,877 415,572 |
| April. | 96, 200 | 51, 900 | 44, 300 | 82, 300 | 48, 300 | 44, 000 | 3,900 | 3,600 | 300 | 866,652 | 828, 339 | 38, 313 |
| May | 101, 000 | 55, 400 | 45, 600 | 97, 600 | 52, 300 | 45, 300 | 3, 400 | 3, 100 | 300 | 922, 661 | 895, 309 | 27, 352 |
| June | 132, 500 | 84, 700 | 47, 800 | 90, 300 | 47, 900 | 42, 400 | 42, 200 | 36, 800 | 5, 400 | 1, 175, 497 | 825. 590 | 349,907 |
| Third qua | 276, 000 | 141, 200 | 134, 800 | 270, 400 | 135, 700 | 134, 700 | 5, 600 | 5,500 | 100 | 2, 527, 033 | 2, 472, 196 | 54, 837 |
| July | 90, 500 | 45,900 | 44, 600 | 86, 800 | 42, 300 | 44, 500 | 3, 700 | 3, 600 | 100 | 827, 173 | 791, 783 | 35, 390 |
| August. | 89, 100 | 45, 900 | 43, 200 | 88,300 | 45, 100 | 43, 200 | 800 | 800 | (9) 0 | 804, 317 | 795, 624 | 8,693 |
| Septembe | 96, 400 | 49, 400 | 47, 000 | 95, 300 | 48, 300 | 47, 000 | 1, 100 | 1,100 | (9) ${ }^{300}$ | 895,543 | 884,789 | 10,754 |
| Fourth qua | 225, 300 | 114,300 44,400 | 111,000 45,600 | 220,600 88,900 | 109,900 43,400 | 110, 700 | 4,700 | 4, 400 | 300 100 | 2, 015,075 806,955 | 1, 973,200 | 41, 875 |
| October | 90,000 74,500 | 44,400 38,500 | 45,600 36,000 | 82, 200 | 36, 200 | 36,000 | 2, 300 | 2, 300 | (9) | 872, 078 | 650, 660 | 10,273 21,418 |
| December | 60, 800 | 31, 400 | 29,400 | 59, 500 | 30, 300 | 29, 200 | 1,300 | 1, 100 | 200 | 536, 042 | 525, 858 | 10, 184 |
| 1952: First quarter | 246, 500 | 137,400 | 109, 100 | 226, 800 | 119, 100 | 107, 700 | 19,700 | 18,300 | 1,400 | 2, 167,659 | 2, 006, 918 | 160, 741 |
| January | 64, 900 | 36, 100 | 28, 800 | 61, 400 | 32, 800 | 28,600 | 3, 500 | 3, 300 | 200 | 566, 665 | 537,697 | 28,968 |
| February | 77, 700 | 42, 800 | 34, 900 | 74, 300 | 39, 700 | 34, 600 | 3, 400 | 3, 100 | 300 | 682, 895 | 654, 631 | 28, 264 |
| March | 103, 900 | 58,500 | 45, 400 | 91, 100 | 46, 600 | 44, 500 | 12, 800 | 11, 900 | 900 | 918, 099 | 814, 590 | 103, 509 |
| Second qua | 319, 300 | 175, 800 | 143, 500 | 294, 900 | 152, 700 | 142, 200 | 24, 400 | 23, 100 | 1,300 | 2, 920, 186 | 2, 705, 653 | 214,533 |
| April. | 106, 200 | 69, 000 | 47, 200 | 97, 000 | 50, 400 | 46, 600 | 9, 200 | 8, 600 | 600 | 949, 001 | 874, 524 | 74, 477 |
| May | 109, 600 | 60, 700 | 48,900 | 101, 000 | 52, 400 | 48,600 | 8,600 | 8,300 | 300 | 1,006, 552 | 926, 803 | 79, 749 |
| June. | 103, 500 | 56, 100 | 47, 400 | 96, 900 | 49,900 | 47,000 | 6,600 | 6, 200 | 400 | 964, 633 | 904, 326 | 60, 307 |
| Third quar | 302, 500 | 156, 000 | 146, 500 | 297, 700 | 151,600 | 146, 100 | 4,800 | 4,400 | 400 | 2,761, 316 | 2,718, 369 | 42, 947 |
| July | 102, 600 | 52,400 | 50, 200 | 101, 100 | 50, 900 | 50, 200 | 1, 500 | 1,500 | (9) ${ }^{2}$ | 945, 587 | 931, 214 | 14, 373 |
| August | 99, 100 | 50, 800 | 48,300 | 97, 400 | 49, 400 | 48, 47000 | 1,700 | 1, 400 | 300 | 895,675 | 882, 446 | 13, 229 |
| September | 100,800 258,700 | 52,800 140,400 | 48,000 | 99,200 249,100 | 51,300 131,200 | 47,900 117,900 | 1,600 9,600 | 1,500 9,200 | 100 | 920, 2, 359 | $\begin{array}{r}\text { 904, } \\ 2,2759 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 15,345 84,486 |
| Fourth quart | 258, 101,100 | 140,400 53,800 | 188,300 | 249, 900 | -52, 100 | 47, 100 | 1,900 | 1,700 | 200 | 928, 677 | 910, 701 | 17,976 |
| Novembe | 86, 100 | 46, 000 | 40, 100 | 82, 300 | 42, 300 | 40, 000 | 3, 800 | 3, 700 | 100 | 785, 969 | 751, 664 | 34, 305 |
| December | 71,500 | 40,600 | 30, 900 | 67,600 | 36,800 | 30, 800 | 3,900 | 3,800 | 100 | 645, 176 | 612, 971 | 32, 205 |
| 953: First quarter | 257, 100 | 140,600 | 116,500 | 238, 100 | 123, 800 | 114,300 | 19,000 | 16,800 | 2, 200 | 2,346, 213 | 2,183, 710 | 162, 503 |
| January | 72,100 | 38, 400 | 33, 700 | 68, 200 | 35, 400 | 32, 800 | 3, 900 | 3, 000 | ,900 | 641, 703 | 610, 344 | 31,359 |
| February | 79, 200 | 43, 100 | 36, 100 | 73, 800 | 38, 600 | 35, 200 | 5, 400 | 4,500 | 900 | 720, 234 | 674, 399 | 45, 835 |
| March | 105, 800 | 59, 100 | 46, 700 | 96, 100 | 49,800 | 46, 300 | 9, 700 | 9,300 | 400 | 984, 276 | 898, 967 | 85, 309 |
| Second quart | 324, 300 | 165, 900 | 158, 400 | 315, 000 | 158, 000 | 157, 000 | 9,300 | 7,900 | 1,400 | 3,083,256 | 3,000,120 | 83, 136 |
| April. | 111, 400 | 57, 400 | 54, 000 | 107, 400 | 54, 100 | 53, 300 | 4,000 | 3, 300 | 700 | 1,057,899 | 1,022, 836 | 35, 063 |
| May | 108, 300 | 55, 200 | 53, 100 | 105, 600 | 52, 500 | 53, 100 | 2, 700 | 2,700 | ${ }^{(9)}$ | 1,027, 221 | 1,001, 693 | 25, 528 |
| June | 104, 600 | 53, 300 | 51, 300 | 102, 000 | 51, 400 | 50,600 | 2, 600 | 1,900 | 700 | 998, 136 | 975, 591 | 22,545 |
| Third quarter | 285, 000 | 141, 600 | 143, 400 | 280, 700 | 137, 300 | 143, 400 | 4, 300 | 4,300 | (0) | 2, 777, 607 | 2, 739, 268 | 38,339 |
| July | 96,700 | 48, 100 | 48, 600 | 96, 400 | 47, 800 | 48, 600 | 300 | 300 | (0) | 941, 943 | 938, 871 | 3,072 |
| August | 93, 200 | 46, 400 | 46, 800 | 92, 200 | 45, 400 | 46, 800 | 1,000 | 1,000 | (0) | 911, 681 | 902, 501 | 9, 180 |
| September | 95, 100 | 47, 100 | 48, 000 | 92, 100 | 44, 100 | 48, 000 | 3, 000 | 3, 000 | (9) | 923, 983 | 897, 896 | 26,087 |
| Fourth quarte | 238,100 | ${ }^{(8)}$ | (8) | 235, 100 | (8) | ${ }^{8}$ ) | 3,000 | $\left.{ }^{8}\right)$ | (8) | 2, 297, 358 | 2, 274.924 | 22,434 |
| October ${ }^{10}$ | 90, 100 | 43,100 | 47,000 | 90, 000 | 43,000 | 47,000 | 100 | 100 | ${ }^{(8)}$ | 883.455 | 882, 838 |  |
| November ${ }^{7}$ December | 80,000 68,000 | (8) (8) | $\begin{gathered} (8) \\ (8) \end{gathered}$ | 78,400 66,700 | $(8)$ | ${ }^{(8)}$ | 1,600 1,300 | (8) (8) | (8) | 767,359 646,544 | 755,061 637,025 | 12,298 9,519 |
| 54: First quarter |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January ${ }^{7}$ | 66, 000 | (8) | $\left.{ }^{8}\right)$ | 64,700 | (8) | (8) | 1,300 | (8) | (8) | (8) | (8) | (8) |

${ }^{1}$ The estimates shown here do not include temporary units, conversions, dormitory accommodations, trailers, or military barracks. They do include pretabricated housing units.
These estimates are based on building-permit records, which, beginning with 1945, have been adjusted for lapsed permits and for lag between permit issuance and start of construction. They are based also on reports of Federal construction contract awards and beginning in 1946 on field surveys in non-permit-issuing places. The data in this table refer to nonfarm dwelling units started, and not to urban dwelling units authorized, as shown in table F-3. All of these estimates contain some error. For example, if the estimate of nonfarm starts is 60,000 , the chances are about 19 out of 20 that an actual enumeration would produce a figure between 48,000 and 52,000 .
${ }^{2}$ Private construction costs are based on permit valuation, adjusted for understatement of costs shown on permit applications. Public construction costs are based on contract values or estimated construction costs for individual projects.

Depression, low year.
4 Recovery peak year prior to wartime limitations.

- Last full year under wartime control.
- Housing peak year.

7 Preliminary
8 Not available

- Less than 50 units.

10 Revised.


[^0]:    *Of the Bureau's Office of Publications.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Fixing Wages and Salaries of Navy Civilian Employees in Shore Estab. lishments, 1862-1945, by Guy McPherson and Mary Watts (Navy Department Administrative Reference Service Report No. 9, pp. 2-3); Government as Employer, by Sterling D. Spero, Brooklyn, N. Y., Remsen Press, 1948 (pp. 432-438).

[^2]:    ${ }^{2}$ Letter from Rear Adm. George Holderness, Jr., Chief, Office of Industrial Relations, to Luther C. Steward, president, National Federation of Federal Employees. (In Federal Employee, Washington, November 1952.)
    ${ }^{3}$ Proceedings of 44th Annual Convention, Metal Trades Department, AFL, 1953 (pp. 71-72).
    ${ }^{4}$ Annual Report of the Army-Air Force Wage Board, July 1, 1950-June 30, 1951 (p. 16).

[^3]:    ${ }^{5}$ Wage Negotiations in the Tennessee Valley Authority, by Harry L. Case. (In Public Personnel Review, Chicago, July 1947; revised January 1952.)
    ${ }^{6}$ Ibid.
    ${ }^{7}$ Policy Memorandum Covering General Labor Relations Policy for Ungraded Employees of the Department of the Interior, January 16, 1948 (Office of the Secretary of the Interior).
    8 Section 2.2 of collective agreement between the Administrator, Bonnevill $\theta$ Power Administration"and Columbia Power"Trades"Council.

[^4]:    - Report on Locality Wages, War Department Wage Coordination Board, 1947.

    10 The Bureau's community and industry wage surveys, or adaptations of these to meet special needs, are used by other Government agencies for some areas and industries.

[^5]:    ${ }^{11}$ For the Army-Air Force, the first (entry rate), third, and fourth steps of these grades are set at 95,105 , and 110 percent, respectively, of the second step rate; for the Navy, the first and third steps are 96 and 104 percent, respectively. In both agencies movement to the third step is automatic on the basis of satisfactory performance; in the Army-Air Force, progression to the fourth step is based on "significantly better than average" performance. Between early 1951 and January 1954, the Navy Department also had a 4 -step arrangement; this has been dropped, however, in favor of a 3step arrangement similar to one in effect prior to 1951.
    ${ }^{12}$ As developed from the National A verages of Difference Index based upon the average alinement in Navy schedules at the 10 major Navy labor market areas.

[^6]:    ${ }^{18}$ Personnel Management, Commission on Organization of the Executive Branch of the Government, 1949.
    $T^{-14}$ On February 21, 1954, Edward H. Rees, Chairman of the House Post Office and Civil Service Committee announced the introduction of legislation to centralize the administration of wage board employees in the Civil Service Commission. He also released a staff report on which the bill is based. The staff report included the following among its summary and conclusions:
    "The most outstanding feeling that the uninitiated gathers from a study of wage administration is that, at one and the same time it is a combination of organized efficiency and confusion. It is efficient in that with little or no legislative guidance, a system for wage determination has developed in the Government which has kept the unclassified wage rates at a level which is realistic and acceptable to industry, labor and governmental management. It is confusing in that the multitudinous details of the applications of accepted principles of wage determination and wage administration differ so widely between departments and agencies.
    "The preponderance of the some one million positions under wage administration lend themselves wholly to unionism. Because of this fact employee unions and other organized groups must be given recognition in the establishment of wage rates and other working conditions. This condition has not been fully recognized by the departments, or provided for in the majority of their procedures. In the areas in which unionism has been properly recognized, relationships of a quite satisfactory nature have been developed and the problems involved in the establishment of wage rates and working conditions have been readily solved. It is also a fact that union recognition, while of an almost absolute necessary nature, can and should be recognized at only one level in the development of a satisfactory wage administration policy. The experience of the Department of the Navy and recently the Department of Air Force bear this out."
    ${ }^{15}$ Federal Employee, July 1952 and February 1954, National Federation of Federal Employees, W ashington, e. g., S-2665, introduced in 83d Cong., 2d sess., includes a proposal to eliminate this schedule, and place the employees either under wage board schedules or under the Classification Act's General tschedule.
    ${ }_{16}$ The Government's Wage Policy During the Last Quarter Century, by Mary Conyngton, Monthly Labor Review, June 1920 (p. 1334).

[^7]:    *Associate professor of economics and industrial relations, University of Minnesota.

    Much of the material used in the preparation of this article was obtained by the writer in field research conducted in 1950 and 1953. The writer is particularly indebted to the Graduate School of the University of Minnesota for making the research possible; to Roberta J. Nelson for assistance at various stages of the inquiry; and to Robert L. Bussey, secretary-treasurer, Marine Engineers Beneficial Association No. 6 (St. Louis), and C. S. Murray, who was personnel officer of the Inland Waterways Corporation, for information furnished by them.
    ${ }^{1}$ The terms "Federal Barge Lines" (or "Line") and "Inland Waterways Corporation" are used interchangeably in this article. "Federal Barge Lines" was the trade name of the operating agency of the Inland Waterways Corporation.
    2 For a résumé of the historical development of the Corporation, see Bulletin of Information, St. Louis, Inland Waterways Corporation, 1940.

[^8]:    ${ }^{3}$ See Hearings Before a Subcommittee of the Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce, United States Senate, 81st Cong., 1st sess., on S. 211. Washington, 1949.
    ${ }_{4}$ Based on figures from the annual reports of the Corporation for the years 1926 through 1952. There has been considerable controversy as to the accu racy of the Corporation's accounting systems, but this issue is not crucial here. (The annual reports were published in Washington by the Government Printing Office, 1926-38, but were issued directly by the Corporation in St. Louis, 1939-53.)
    ${ }^{5}$ See, for example: Annual Report, op. cit., 1936 (pp. 3-6); 1941 (p. 6).
    " Only" is qualified, since detailed evidence is not available. The first official listing of lines available to the writer shows 413 lines for 1929, but the great majority are "local" in nature. See Transportation in the Mississippi and Ohio Valleys, Transportation Series No. 2, Corps of Engineers, United States Army, Washington, 1929. The writer interviewed a number of individuals with a first-hand knowledge of transportation development on the system, and it appears that it was not until 1927 that other common carriers began operating on the Mississippi.

[^9]:    7 See Federal Intervention in Maritime Labor Relations, 1917-39, Report to the President and to the Congress, March 1, 1940, Maritime Labor Board, Washington.
    ${ }^{8}$ Annual Report, 1936, op. cit. (pp. 3-6).

    - Annual Report, 1937, op. cit. (pp. 6-7).
    ${ }^{10}$ Annual Report, 1936, op. cit. (pp. 3-6).
    ${ }^{11}$ Annual Report, 1937, op. cit. (pp. 6-7).
    ${ }^{12}$ See Hours, Wages, and Working Conditions in Domestic Water Transportation, Washington, Federal Coordinator of Transportation, September 1936, Vol. I (pp. 75, 76, 131, 133). Data on basic monthly rates of employees on river towboats for August 1935, from Monthly Labor Review, May 1937 (p. 1086), were useful for general comparisons, although that survey did not relate specifically to Corporation wage rates.

[^10]:    ${ }^{13}$ Annual Report, Calendar Year 1946 and Fiscal Year Ending June 30, 1947 (one report), op. cit. (o. 40). The impacts upon the Corporation were more complicated than this citation might indicate; see ibid. (p. 6). For details, see U. S. Congress, House of Representatives, Subcommittee of the Committee on Appropriations, 79th Cong., 2 d sess., Government corporations appropriation bill for 1947, Washington, 1946 (pp. 795-897, particularly pp. 867-881).
    ${ }^{14}$ Public Law 519, 79th Cong., 2d sess. Later versions of this act, e. g., Public Law 266, 81st Cong., 1st sess., are similar, except that they give more detailed attention to the leave system.
    ${ }^{15}$ Annual Report, 1933, op. cit. (pp. 23 and 43); Annual Report, 1934, op. cit. (pp. 11 and 13).

[^11]:    ${ }^{16}$ See also Labor-Management Relations on the Mississippi Waterway System, by John G. Turnbull, Minneapolis, Industrial Relations Center, University of Minnesota, 1951 (pp. 27-47).
    ${ }^{17}$ Illustrations of the various wage schedules may be found in the contracts between the Corporation and its various unions.

[^12]:    ${ }^{1}$ A complete report on the study, The Mobility of Electronic Technicians, 1940-1952, is now in press and will be published as Bulletin No. 1150, Bureau of Labor Statistics.

[^13]:    ${ }^{1}$ President's messages to Congress on: The State of the Union, January 7, 1954; legislative recommendations affecting labor-management relations, January 11, 1954; recommendations relating to the old-age and survivors insurance system and the Federal grant-in-aid programs for public assistance, January 14, 1954; recommendations to improve the health of the American people, January 18, 1954; the budget for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1955, January 21, 1954; and recommendations on housing, January 25, 1954. The Economic Report of the President, January 28, 1954; Manpower Resources for National Security, a Report to the President by the Director of the Office of Defense Mobilization, January 6, 1954; 19th Annual Report of the National Mediation Board, for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 1953; 41st Annual Report of the Secretary of Labor, Fiscal Year 1953; and 18th Annual Report of the National Labor Relations Board, for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 1953.

[^14]:    1 State Unemployment Insurance Legislation, 1953. (In Social Security Bulletin, December 1953, Washington, pp. 14-21; report prepared in the Bureau of Employment Security, U. S. Department of Labor.)
    Experience rating refers to the program in each State by which individual employers' unemployment insurance tax rates are varied from the standard rate on the basis of their experience with unemployment risk.
    ${ }^{2}$ A worker, to be entitled to benefits, must have earned at least a specified amount of wages or have worked at least a minimum number of weeks, or both, within his base period.
    ${ }^{3}$ Connecticut, Maryland, Minnesota, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Mexico, Ohio, West Virginia, and Wyoming.
    ${ }^{4}$ Minimum weekly benefit amounts were also increased in 8 States in 1953, but affected a comparatively small segment of the insured (in 1952 only 1.4 percent of total weeks compensated was paid at the minimum benefit rate). Benefits for partial unemployment were also increased in some States in 1953.

[^15]:    ${ }^{5}$ Alaska, Connecticut, Maryland, Massachusetts, Nevada, North Dakota, and $W$ yoming.
    0 Of a total of 51 "States," which include Alaska and Hawaii and the District of Columbia, in accordance with definition under the Social Security Act.
    ${ }^{7}$ Wyoming, by 6 weeks, Massachusetts, 3 weeks, and Minnesota and Alaska, each 1 week; to attain maximum duration, Alaska, by amendments, required a weekly benefit of $\$ 22$ or more.
    ${ }^{8}$ Connecticut, Maryland, West Virginia, and Montana, respectively.
    ${ }^{9}$ As of 1952.

[^16]:    (Footnotes to table continued.)
    statutory minimum; in Illinois and Utah, statutory minimum of 10 and 15 weeks, respectively, not applicable at minimum weekly benefit amount. 7 If benefit is less than $\$ 5$, benefits are paid at the rate of $\$ 5$ a week; no qualifying wages and no minimum weekly or annual benefits are specified. ${ }^{8}$ No partial benefits paid, but earnings not exceeding the greater of $\$ 7$ or 1 day's work of 8 hours are disregarded for total unemployment.
    ${ }_{8}$ Partial benefits are one-quarter of weekly benefit amount for each of 1 to 3 effective days. "Effective day" is defined as the fourth and every subsequent. day of total unemployment in a week for which not more than $\$ 30$ is paid.
    ${ }_{10}$ Effective Apr. 4, 1954, \$10.
    ${ }_{11}$ Effective Apr. 4, 1954, \$200.

[^17]:    ${ }^{1}$ The findings and conclusions of the committee are summarized in The Parliamentary Inquiry into Unemployment in Italy, by Roberto Tremelloni, chairman of the Committee of Inquiry, published in International Labor Review, Geneva, September 1953 (pp. 256-278).
    ${ }^{2}$ A provisional estimate, based upon the 1951 census and published by the International Labor Office (Statistical Supplement to International Labor Review, November-December 1952, p. 104), showed that 45.8 percent of the total population in Italy was economically active.

[^18]:    289400-54-3

[^19]:    ${ }^{1}$ Duty-time starts when the driver begins or is required to be ready for work and ends when he is relieved of all responsibility for performing work. To insure an even distribution of the scheduled 70 hours over the 8 -consecu-tive-day workweek, the Interstate Commerce Commission regulation defines the workweek as starting on each on-duty day and ending on the eighth consecutive day thereafter. Record-keeping requirements are based on the fact that no driver is permitted to work more than 70 hours in any 8 -day period, regardless of the day used as the beginning or end of the period. For example, the workweek for a driver reporting on Monday is the total of on-duty hours until the next Tuesday at the corresponding hour. The next workday, Tuesday, to the following Wednesday also comprises a workweek. Thus, each day of work starts a new workweek and at the same time constitutes one-eighth of another workweek. The final result of this system is that after a period of steady employment each workday marks both the beginning and end of a workweek.
    ${ }^{2}$ Driving or operating time includes all time spent on a moving vehicle and any interval of less than 10 minutes when a driver is on duty but is not on a moving vehicle.
    ${ }^{3}$ Composed of seven international unions: International Association of Machinists; International Brotherhood of Boilermakers, Iron Ship Builders and Helpers of America; International Brotherhood of Blacksmiths, Drop Forgers and Helpers; Sheetmetal Workers International Association; International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers; Brotherhood Railway Carmen of America; International Brotherhood of Firemen and Oilers, Shop and Roundhouse Laborers. For simplicity, all references in this chronology to agreements affecting maintenance employees are to the Machinists.
    ${ }^{4}$ As of January 4, 1954, it was still in effect.

[^20]:    See footnotes at end of table.

[^21]:    See footnotes at end of table.

[^22]:    See footnotes at end of table.

[^23]:    See footnotes at end of table.

[^24]:    ${ }^{1}$ The hourly rate is the mileage rate multiplied by 20 . This is based on the agreed-upon 8 -hour or 160 -mile basic day.

[^25]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Monthly Labor Review, March 1952 (p. 300) or Wage Chronology Series 4, No. 21 and Monthly Labor Review, May 1953 (p. 512).

[^26]:    ${ }^{1}$ U. S. Bureau of the Budget, Washington. Vol. I, Manufacturing Industries, November 1945; Vol. II, Nonmanufacturing Industries, May 1949.

[^27]:    ${ }^{1}$ Prepared in the U. S. Department of Labor, Office of the Solicitor.
    The cases covered in this article represent a selection of the significant decisions believed to be of special interest. No attempt has been made to reflect all recent judicial and administrative developments in the field of labor law or to indicate the effect of particular decisions in jurisdictions in which contrary results may be reached, based upon local statutory provisions, the existence of local precedents, or a different approach by the courts to the issue presented.
    ${ }^{2}$ This section is intended merely as a digest of some recent decisions involving the Fair Labor Standards Act and the Portal-to-Portal Act. It is not to be construed and may not be relied upon as interpretation of these acts by the Administrator of the Wage and Hour Division or any agency of the Department of Labor.
    ${ }^{8}$ Mitchell v. Mercer Water Co. (C. A. 3, Dec. 17, 1953).
    ${ }^{4}$ Durkin v. Lovknit Mfg. Co. (C. A. 5, Dec. 22, 1953).
    ${ }^{8}$ NLRB v. Chautauqua Hardware Corp. (C. A. 2, Dec. 18, 1953).
    ${ }^{\circ}$ NLRB v. W. T. Grant Co. (C. A. 4, Dec. 18, 1953).

[^28]:    7 Wheatland Electric Cooperative v. NLRB (C. A. 10, Dec. 29, 1953).
    ${ }^{8}$ NLRB v. Pecheur Lozenge Co. (C. A. 2, Dec. 31, 1953).

[^29]:    ${ }^{9}$ NLRB v. Polynesian Arts, Inc. (C. A. 6, Jan. 14, 1954).
    ${ }^{10}$ NLRB v. George D. Auchter Co. (C. A. 5, Jan. 15, 1954).
    ${ }^{11}$ NLRB v. Southern Silk Mills, Inc. (C. A. 6, Dec. 21, 1953)
    ${ }^{12}$ In re David Goetz d. b. a. Federal Silk Mills (107 NLRB 177, Jan. 15, 1954).
    ${ }^{13}$ In re Lumber and Sawmill Workers Union, Local 9781 United Brotherhood of Carpenters and Joiners of America (AFL) and Everett Plywood and Door Corp. ( 107 NLRB 120, Dec. 22, 1953).

[^30]:    14 In re Local 108s, United Automobile, Aircraft and Agricultural Implement Workers of America (CIO) and Allied Independent Unions (CUA) (107 NLRB 107, Dec. 21, 1953).
    ${ }^{15}$ In re Federal Telephone and Radio Co. (107 NLRB 146, Dec. 31, 1953). ${ }^{16}$ In re Pacific Intermountain Express Co. (107 NLRB 158, Jan. 14, 1954).
    ${ }^{17}$ Firestone Tire and Rubber Co. (93 NLRB 981, Mar. 27, 1951).

[^31]:    ${ }^{18}$ Branam v. New Jellico Coal Co. and Local No. S2995, UMWA (E. D. Tenn., May 1, 1952, Nov. 26, 1952).

[^32]:    ${ }^{1}$ Prepared in the Bureau's Division of Wages and Industrial Relations. ${ }_{2}^{2}$ See February 1954 issue of the Monthly Labor Review (p. 191).

[^33]:    ${ }^{3}$ See November 1953 issue of Monthly Labor Review (p. 1218).

[^34]:    4 See July 1953 issue of Monthly Labor Review (p. 764).
    ${ }^{5}$ See February 1954 issue of Monthly Labor Review (p. 192).
    ${ }^{6}$ For discussion of the unions' proposals, see July 1953 issue of Monthly Labor Review (p. 765).

[^35]:    289400-54-5

[^36]:    ${ }^{1}$ This table is included in the March, June, September, and December issues of the Review.
    Note.-Beginning with the May 1953 issue, data shown in tables A-2, A-3, A-4, A-5, C-1, C-2, C-3, and C-4 have been revised because of adjustment to more recent benchmark levels. These data cannot be used with those appearing in previous issues of the Monthly Labor Review. Comparable data for earlier years are available upon request to the Bureau of Labor Statistics.

[^37]:    See footnotes at end of table

[^38]:    ${ }^{1}$ Includes all executive agencies (except Central Intelligence Agency) and Government corporations. Civilian employment in navy yards, arsenals, hospitals, and on force-account construction is also included.
    ${ }^{2}$ Includes the 48 States and the District of Columbla.
    ${ }^{3}$ Includes all Federal civilian employment in Washington Standard Metropolitan Area (District of Columbia and adjacent Maryland and Virginia countles)

[^39]:    ${ }^{1}$ Data for earlier years are available upon request to the Bureau of Labor Statistics or the cooperating State agency. State agencies also make available more detailed industry data. See table A-7 for addresses of cooperating State agencies.

[^40]:    ${ }^{1}$ Average of weekly data adjusted for split weeks in the month. For a technical description of this series, see the April 1950 Monthly Labor Review
    (p. 382). Figures may not add to exact column totals because of rounding.

[^41]:    See footnotes at end of table.

[^42]:    See footnotes at end of table.

[^43]:    See footnotes at end of table.

[^44]:    See fontnotes at end of table.

[^45]:    See footnotes at end of table,

[^46]:    ${ }^{1}$ Data are based upon reports from cooperating establishments covering both full- and part-time employees who worked during, or received pay for, any part of the pay period ending nearest the 15 th of the month. For mining, manufacturing, laundries, and cleaning and dyeing plants, data refer to production and related workers only. For the remaining industries, unless otherwise noted, data relate to nonsupervisory employees and working supervisors. Data for the three current months are subject to revision without notation; revised figures for earlier months will be identified by asterisks the first month they are published.
    : Italicized titles which follow are components of this industry.
    ${ }^{3}$ See footnote 2, table A-2.

    - Figures for class I railroads (excluding switching and terminal companies) are based upon monthly data summarized in the M-300 report by the Interstate Commerce Commission and relate to all employees who received pay during the month, except executives, officials, and staff assistants (ICC Group I).
    - Data include privately and government operated local railwaysand buslines.

[^47]:    ${ }^{1}$ A major revision was incorporated in the Consumer Price Index beginning January 1953. The revised index, based on 46 cities, has been linked to the previously published "interim adjusted" indexes for 34 cities and rebased on $1947-49=100$ to form a continuous series. For the convenience of users, the "All-items" indexes are also shown on the $1935-39=100$ base in table D-3.
    The revised Consumer Price Index measures the average change in prices of goods and services purchased by urban wage-earner and salaried-clerical worker families. Data for 46 large, medium, and small cities are combined for the United States average.
    For a history and description of the index, see The Consumer Price Index, Price Index- A Short Description or Review; the pamphe 1953; The Interim Adjustment of Consumers' Price Index, in the April 1951 Monthly Labor Review; Interim Adjustment of Consumers' Price Index, Bulletin 1039,

[^48]:    and the following reports Consumers' Price Index, Report of a Special Subcommittee of the House Committee on Education and Labor (1951); and Report of the President's Committee on the Cost of Living (1945)
    Mimeographed tables are available upon request showing indexes for the United States, and 20 individual cities regularly surveyed by the Bureau for "All items" and 8 major components from 1947 to date. Indexes are also available from 1913 for "All items," food, spparel, and rent, for all large cities combined, and from varying dates for individual cities.
    2 Includes "Food away from home" (restaurant meals and other food bought and eaten away from home); prior to January 1953, prices for this category were estimated to move like prices for "Food at home" but, since that date, have been measured by prices of restaurant meals.
    3 Includes "Other shelter."
    "Includes tobacco, alcoholic beverages, and "miscellaneous services" (such as legal services, banking fees, and burial services).

[^49]:    ${ }^{1}$ See lootnote 1 to table D-1.

[^50]:    ${ }^{1}$ See footnote 1 to table D-1. Indexes for 56 cities for total food ( $1935-$ $39=100$ or June $1940=100$ ) were published in the March 1953 Monthly Labor Review and in previous issues. See table D-7 for U. S. average prices for 48 cities combined

[^51]:    ${ }_{3}^{2}$ See footnote 2 to table D-1.
    ${ }^{3}$ A verage of 46 cities beginning January 1953. See footnote 1 to table D-1. 4 See footnote 3 to table D-2.

[^52]:    ${ }^{1}$ Excludes classified military projects, but includes projects for the Atomic Energy Commission. Data for Federal-aid programs cover amounts contributed by both owner and the Federal Government. Force-account work is done not through a contractor, but directly by a Government agency, using a separate work force to perform nonmaintenance construction on the ageney's a separate work
    own properties.
    ${ }^{2}$ Beginning with data for January 1953, awards of less than $\$ 25,000$ in value are excluded; over the past 2 years the total value of such awards has represented less than 1 percent of the total.
    ${ }^{3}$ Preliminary.
    4 Revised.
    ${ }^{5}$ Includes major additions and alterations.
    ${ }^{6}$ Excludes hangars and other buildings, which are Included under "Other nonresidential" building construction.

    7 Less than $\$ 25,000$.

[^53]:    8 Includes projects under the Federal School Construction Program, which provides aid for areas affected by Federal Government activities.

    - Includes armories, offices, and customhouses.

    10 Includes all buildings on civilian airports and military airfields and air bases with the exception of barracks and other troop housing, which are in. cluded under "Troop housing."
    ${ }_{11}$ Covers all industrial plants under Federal Government ownership, including those which are privately operated.

    12 Includes types of buildings not elsewhere classified.
    ${ }^{13}$ Includes sewer and water projects, railroad construction, and other type 13 Includes sewer and water project
    of projects not elsewhere classified.
    *December 1952 volume is high principally because of contracts let for expansion of TVA facilities to provide power for the Atomic Energy Commission and the Tennessee Valley Authority.

