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## This Issue in Brief...

Local labor conditions were not markedly affected up to November 1951 by the construction of the largest building program of the Atomic Energy Commission, despite predictions to the contrary. Results of an on-the-spot investigation of the means by which manpower needs were met, wages were fixed, and recruitment was carried out are revealed in Part I of Labor and the Savannah River AEC Project (p. 629); unionization and industrial relations and living conditions will be covered in the second and third parts. Manpower needs of the SRP had been successfully met, mainly from the Southeast-only about a third came from outside South Carolina and Georgia and less than a fifth from outside the general South Atlantic region.

Problems of the SRP are so varied that they have counterparts and parallels in many of the individual articles in this issue of the Monthly Labor Review. SRP is located near Aiken, S. C., in the southern area of the United States which received considerable attention at the 1952 Conventions of CIO Textile and AFL Hosiery Unions (p. 648). The meetings brought out that a drop in consumer demand, large company inventories, changes in style and technology, and competition from a growing number of nonunion textile and hosiery plants in the South were causing serious problems of unemployment and consequent threats to union wage scales in both industries.

SRP's pay scale for manual and nonmanual workers naturally reflected prevailing rates in its "recruiting region." In some instances, the rates were based on those in Atlanta, which was one of the 11 cities surveyed in City Comparisons of Wage Levels and Skill Differentials (p. 643).

Wages in 1951 were highest in the West Coast cities and lowest in the southern cities included in the study; intercity wage variations for comparable work were less pronounced for workers performing skilled tasks than for unskilled. Available evidence indicates a definite trend toward narrowing
differences between wages of unskilled workers and those of other groups.

In Food-Purchasing Power of Earnings in 12 Countries, 1951-52 (p.658) the money wages of workers were reduced to a common denom-inator-the work time required to buy food. According to this survey, an industrial worker in the United States bought more than five times as much food with an hour's pay as a Russian worker who shops in a Moscow State store. The relative advantage of the American worker over his counterpart in Western Europe was also very striking: an hour's pay in the United States bought one and two-fifths as much food as in Norway and one and a half times as much as in Great Britain. In comparisons with eight other Western European countries, the advantage in the United States was even greater but the variations are considerable.

The Development of Work Opportunity for the Handicappedp. (640) requires the dispelling of prejudices against such employment. According to the proceedings of The President's Committee on employment of the physically handicapped, the job of education is being pursued on several fronts: among government administrators, private citizens, the medical profession, employers, and others. Panel discussions on the medical aspects of employment of the handicapped disclosed that progress in the field of rehabilitation has not been nearly comparable with the remarkable medical advances in research, prevention, diagnosis, and treatment.

Another type of education covered in this issue is for workers and is being conducted by unions and educational institutions. IAM Training for Active Participation in Local Lodges (p. 653) is the fifth article of a series on this subject. The stated aims of the AFL International Association of Machinists' training program are to inspire local lodge officials to become more effective in the performance of their duties and the rank and file membership to be more active in the lodge and to stimulate permanent educational programs on the local level. In order to achieve these objectives, the IAM Education Department conducts brief institutes for union members in different areas; at these training sessions, subject matter is selected and presented in such a way as to emphasize the functions and responsibilities of the local lodge and to find answers to its problems.

## The Labor Month in Review

'I'He almost 3-year-long dispute between three of the operating railroad brotherhoods and the carriers was finally concluded. Strikes in the oil and telegraph industries were ended. Negotiations between steel employers and the CIO Steelworkers were resumed after the United States Supreme Court invalidated seizure of the steel industry, but broke down a week later.

Wage Stabilization Board rulings in the oil stoppage and in the Borg-Warner case avoided extending industry-wide bargaining. However, the Board faced hostile criticism growing out of the steel recommendations. In the Senate and the House of Representatives, the future form and functions of WSB were debated. WSB's critics aimed particularly at removal or curtailment of the Board's dispute-settlement functions and at re-formation of the Board into an all-public body.

## Stoppage in Steel

The United States Supreme Court, by a 6 to 3 vote, ruled that the Government's steel seizure had no constitutional or statutory basis. Promptly, CIO Steelworkers' president Philip Murray ordered a steel strike, and President Truman directed the return of the plants to their owners. The Court emphasized that Congress should legislate in the steel situation.

Negotiations between union and industry representatives were resumed at the White House. Reportedly, the industry offered a wage increase and fringe benefits worth 24.6 cents hourly. After a week, negotiators were deadlocked. Thereupon, the President asked Congress for legislation authorizing seizure of the steel industry in order that production might be resumed and a fair settlement of the dispute achieved. The Senate rejected his request for seizure authority and passed an amendment to the new Defense Production Act asking the President to apply for an 80-day Taft-Hartley injunction, during which 1950 wage rates would still be paid.

## Disputes Settlements

End of Oil Strike on WSB Terms. The WSB set a pattern for settlement of the oil strike which idled about 90,000 workers. Acting on an agreement between a Montana refinery and the CIO Oil Workers providing an 18 -cent hourly wage advance, the Board approved, with industry members dissenting, a 15 -cent hourly adjustment, an increase of night-shift differentials, one more paid holiday, and a $\$ 100$ lump payment in lieu of retroactivity.

Approval was automatically extended to others in the industry who agreed to wage increases up to 15 cents hourly where the circumstances were the same as in the Montana case. Night-shift differential changes, however, were continued under WSB review. During the ensuing 2 weeks, substantially all employers and unions involved reached agreements similar to the pilot case.

Railroad Agreement. The nearly 3 -year-old railroad labor dispute was ended when the Locomotive Engineers, the Locomotive Firemen and Enginemen, and the Railway Conductors (all Ind.) accepted a White House proposal. Control of the railroads was returned promptly by the Army to the private owners. Union leaders claimed to have won substantial gains.

The agreement, effective until October 1953, included wage increases of 37 cents hourly for yard-service men ( $12 \frac{1}{2}$ cents of which the Army had granted in 1951) and $22 \frac{1}{2}$ cents for road-service employees ( 5 cents had been previously allowed); elimination of proposed compulsory arbitration of rules and wage disputes; an eventual 40-hour workweek for yardmen with a 4-cent compensatory hourly increase; and a cost-of-living wage escalator.

Agency Shop for Telegraphers. The 52-day strike of the AFL Commercial Telegraphers against the Western Union Telegraph Co. was ended when the union, by referendum vote, ratified an agreement reached with the assistance of the Federal Mediation and Conciliation Service. Wage and hour changes in the contract, which runs through May 1954, were conditional upon Federal Communications Commission approval of rate increases for which the company applied.

The union agreed to eliminate the union-shop provision of the previous contract and to accept the "agency shop." Employees may resign from the union within 10 days of their return to work, and new employees will have 30 days to signify whether they wish to join. All workers, union members or not, must pay the equivalent of the union's dues and initiation fee as a "service charge" for union activities on their behalf.

## AFL and ICFTU

Continued dissatisfaction with the management of the International Confederation of Free Trade Unions was evident at the Boston meeting of the AFL executive council. The federation leaders voted not to send delegates to the coming meeting of the ICFTU general council in Berlin and to delay further a $\$ 100,000$ contribution to ICFTU's 3 -year organizing fund. The United Mine Workers (Ind.) will likewise not be represented at the Berlin meeting.

Fears were allayed that the AFL's strategy toward ICFTU might drive a wedge between the federation and the CIO, which has made its $\$ 100,000$ contribution and will be represented at Berlin. The two labor bodies continued to act together in international affairs. Joint statements were issued on the situation in Tunis and on the division within the ranks of Italian tradeunions. AFL and CIO representatives worked closely in affairs of ICFTU's western hemisphere regional body, ORIT.

## Troubles in Textiles

As an aftermath of the CIO Textile Workers' convention, George Baldanzi and his lieutenants, who were defeated in their challenge of the leadership of TWU president Emil Rieve, left the CIO and accepted organizing positions with the AFL United Textile Workers. Although attention was focused immediately on how locals would vote on the secession issue, the long-term battle between the rival unions was pointing toward organizing campaigns in the South.

During the past year, movement of the textile and apparel industries from New England and the Middle Atlantic States to the South has accelerated. Employment throughout the industries
has stagnated. From April 1951 to April 1952, employment dropped by 120,000 in textiles and by 58,000 in apparel. Average weekly hours in textiles dropped from 39.9 to 37.4 and in apparel from 36.5 to 35.0 during the year. Average weekly earnings, with hourly rates advancing slightly, declined from $\$ 52.87$ to $\$ 50$ in textiles and from $\$ 44.97$ to $\$ 43.37$ for apparel workers.

Only 10 percent of the cotton-textile industry still remains in New England. Employment reductions have been heavier in the North than in the South. Unionization has been far stronger in the North. Average hourly earnings in cotton textiles in March 1952 in the Middle Atlantic region were $\$ 1.47$; in New England, $\$ 1.38$; and in the Southeast, with 85 percent of the employment, \$1.17.

## Economic Background

Total employment was estimated by the Census Bureau at $61,176,000$ for May, a gain of 44,000 over April. Unemployment remained virtually unchanged between April and May, at an estimated $1,602,000$. Employment of factory production workers in April stood at 12.7 million, a decline of 410,000 from April 1951. Average hourly pay of production workers was $\$ 1.66$ in April, 5 percent above April 1951. The average workweek of factory workers declined by half an hour-from 40.6 in March to 40.0 in April; the workweek averaged 41.0 in April 1951.

Expenditures for new construction rose to almost $\$ 2.75$ billion in May 1952, setting a new record for the month. Construction expenditures in May 1951 were just under $\$ 2.65$ billion. During 1952's first 5 months, total new construction outlays amounted to $\$ 11.9$ billion, 3 percent more than for the same period in 1951.

Sharp advances in food prices resulted in a 0.4percent rise in the Consumers' Price Index for April 15, to 188.7. The Old Series Index for April 15 was 189.6 ; quarterly wage adjustments based on the Old Index resulted in a 1-cent hourly wage decrease for most automobile workers. This was more than offset, however, when a substantial number of these workers received an annual improvement factor increase of 4 cents an hour on May 29.

# Labor and the Savannah River AEC Project 

Part I<br>M. Mead Smith *


#### Abstract

Editor's Note.-This is the first part of an article describing the effect on the surrounding community of the atomic energy project currently under construction in South Carolina. Based on an on-the-spot investigation, the article covers Imanpower, wages, and recruitment; II-unionization and industrial relations; and III-liviny conditions.


Announcement late in 1950 by the Atomic Energy Commission (AEC) that its largest installation would be constructed near Aiken, S. C., brought considerable speculation and apprehension as to its effect on local institutions and practices, especially regarding business, labor, and community facilities. As the months passed, stories of local dislocation from the so-called "H-bomb plant" were widespread. In an effort to evaluate the extent of such dislocation during the first year following the announcement, the writer in November 1951 interviewed local representatives of labor, business groups, Government, and civic organizations, as well as individual residents. Facts on project practices were obtained from Arthur L. Tackman, assistant manager of AEC's Savannah River Operations Office, and other AEC personnel.

Peak construction activity on the Savannah River Plant (SRP) was still 6 to 8 months off at that time, but the project's effect on local labor conditions appeared to have been less than had been rumored, and later information suggests that the over-all picture did not alter sharply during the months immediately following. Local employment and wage patterns had not changed markedly by November 1951, although building-trades unions

[^0]had increased their membership, and housing and community facilities were heavily taxed. The concurrent expansion of nearby Camp Gordon contributed substantially to the changes in working and living conditions which had occurred.

The Site and Its Surrounding Communities. AEC's announcement of the SRP on November 28, 1950, noted that the South Carolina location had been selected primarily because it met technical and defense requirements, notably for space. The Commission's decision not to establish a "Government town" also necessitated a site near established communities. The 315 -square-mile tract, to be sold to the Government and evacuated by mid-1952, was largely woods or cut-over land, sparsely populated; of the 5,000 to 6,000 site residents, some 800 lived in Ellenton or Dunbarton, the only towns located on the site proper. At varying distances of 20 to 30 miles around the project were a number of small South Carolina communities and, across the river in Georgia, ${ }^{1}$ Augusta, the area's only relatively large city. Outside Augusta, agriculture predominated, and a very high proportion of the area's population was Negro.

Augusta, population 72,000 in 1950, was already undergoing a rapid business expansion similar to its wartime boom at the time of the SRP announcement, due chiefly to the reactivation of nearby Camp Gordon in mid-1950 as well as to several
other Government installations in the city. Though still primarily dependent on farm production, Augusta had a number of industrial concerns and the Chamber of Commerce had long promoted further development; in early 1948, work had begun on Clark Hill Dam near Augusta, first of a projected series of Federally constructed dams on the Savannah River. North Augusta, with some 2,600 residents in 1950, was virtually a suburb of Augusta although located in South Carolina.

Augusta had several large textile mills but the major portion of textile manufacturing, the area's main industry, was located along the Horse Creek Valley in South Carolina between Augusta and Aiken, largest of the South Carolina communities affected. Aiken's main economic activity other than agriculture was serving the "winter resort crowd"-owners of large estates and winter training stables-for which the town had long been well known. In recent years the town had been slowly "dying on the vine," as many estates were sold or closed; a few leading citizens saw industrialization as the solution for this economic decline and had raised funds shortly before the SRP announcement to bring in some outside industry, but most residents resisted any change which might destroy the traditional atmosphere. Prominent citizens of Barnwell, population 2,000, also favored industrialization, and an industrial corporation had a year or two earlier brought in a small zipper manufacturing company from New York-the town's second manufacturing establishment. The other communities affectedAllendale (population 2,500 in 1950), Blackville ( 1,300 ), and Williston (900) - neither had industry nor desired change, although the recent routing of a new highway through Allendale had expanded business there somewhat.

Operation of the Project. Thirty percent of the land for the project had been purchased between January and November 1951 and nearly twothirds of the remainder had been appraised. Dirt removal had started in February and by November work was going on at a number of widely separated construction areas, each a major construction job in itself. The AEC owned all SRP lands and buildings and set over-all policies, but the design, construction, and operation of the plant were contracted out to the E. I. du Pont de

Nemours \& Co. Du Pont handled the major portion of the project work directly, but subcontracted certain specialty jobs such as highway and railroad construction, erection of water tanks and powerhouse chimneys. AEC maintained a small staff at the project site to check on costs and standards, to act as liaison between the contractor and Government agencies, and generally to assure that the project progressed according to schedule.

Work on the SRP was divided into two general phases; one hiring schedule covered construction of facilities and another operation of the plant after manufacture of atomic materials got under way. Actually these phases overlapped considerably. A large portion of the production staff ("permanent" workers) was scheduled to be hired by mid-1952, when construction employment ("temporary" workers) was to be at its peak level, and the construction force was scheduled to decline gradually over the following year or two. Further, experience at other AEC installations suggested that, contrary to the general impression among local residents, some construction activity would continue even after the major facilities were completed.

It was against this background that the November 1951 survey was carried out.

## I. Manpower and Wages

Large-scale hiring for the SRP had had remarkably little effect on employment and wage patterns in the area by November 1951. Recruitment had not been too great a problem for the project at that time, but over 60 percent of the workers hired, ${ }^{2}$ including most of the skilled, were from outside the 50 -mile "commuting area." Chief local shortage was of qualified clerical workers, and their salary scales had risen during the preceding year. The SRP shared in this shortage which was attributed chiefly to Camp Gordon. Most manual workers in the area were not the type needed on the SRP, and, for those who were, the attraction of somewhat higher wage rates

[^1]than those paid locally was partially offset by commuting costs and difficulties. As a result, some local construction rates were reported to have risen, but local concerns were only gradually beginning to have difficulty in obtaining needed unskilled labor, chief group recruited locally. Agricultural labor was in short supply and wage rates had risen, but this represented a long-term trend which SRP hiring had merely aggravated.

SRP manpower needs had been successfully met, mainly from the Southeast-only about 30 percent of the workers hired having come from outside South Carolina and Georgia, and less than 20 percent from outside the general South Atlantic region. The reserve of craftsmen available in that region under existing project conditions was generally considered to be near exhaustion, however, and it was anticipated that recruitment of skilled workers must henceforth be Nation-wide. Basic wage rates, unchanged throughout the period under review ${ }^{3}$ and augmented by only 5 hours of overtime beginning in August, were lower than those in more industrialized sections of the country, and union officials predicted difficulty in attracting the needed labor. Recruitment difficulties might already have been encountered, in some observers' opinion, if construction schedules had not been temporarily slowed beginning in September when design, subject to constant revision as engineers worked out improvements, "got behind" construction. The reduced hiring rate had varied repercussions not only on hiring programs but also on community problems.

## Over-All Project Employment

SRP employment practices were in general Du Pont's "normal commercial practices," with AEC approval required for any deviations from this norm. Under the Davis-Bacon Act, all Federal contractors must pay at least those rates determined by the Secretary of Labor to be prevailing for similar projects in the area. Various other minimum labor standards are also set, such as prohibition of discrimination in employment be-

[^2]cause of race, creed, color, or national origin; payment of overtime rates for work beyond 8 hours daily; and "anti-kickback" regulations.

Construction hiring did not start until after the Secretary fixed manual wage rates on February 9, 1951, at which time only some 260 AEC and Du Pont supervisory and clerical persons were employed. Hiring proceeded on schedule until the September slowdown noted, when nearly 17,000 workers were on the job. The weekly construction hiring rate then dropped from an August average of over 1,000 to a little over 400 during October. By early November 1951, the force (after separations) had increased only to 17,247 , substantially below the 24,000 originally scheduled for October 1951. However, construction employment was still scheduled to reach a peak of 36,000 in the summer of 1952 . ${ }^{4}$

Also on the project in November were some 60 to 70 Du Pont operations employees and an AEC staff of about 170. Manning tables called for 3,300 operations and roughly 250 AEC employees at the mid-1952 construction peak. As construction declined, the operations staff would rise gradually to 6,000 in mid-1954, with little change in the AEC force. ${ }^{4}$ Du Pont operations staff are excluded from the following discussion.

Labor turn-over, about the same as on other Du Pont construction jobs, averaged approximately 8 per 100 through October 1951. The rate was fairly uniform throughout the crafts, although slightly higher in the lower-paid categories. Separations were highest among workers in their first month or two of employment, dwindling to an almost negligible level after the "shake-down" period, according to project officials. Quitting either to take other jobs or because of wages were the major causes of the more than 7,000 terminations by November 11. Few employees left specifically because of living conditions.

Training programs consisted of an extensive supervisory training program, orientation for all new employees, and some on-the-job training given to office personnel by their supervisors; 107 apprentices were employed, almost entirely in the electrician and ironworker crafts. However, a short-term skill-improvement training program had been worked out, in conjunction with apprenticeship personnel, to meet certain anticipated shortages.

## Manual Workers on the Project

Nearly three-fourths of the total force on Du Pont construction payrolls were manual workers $(11,441)$ and gang foremen $(1,198)$, on November 8, 1951. Trades represented were carpenters and laborers (over 3,000 each); ironworkers, teamsters, and operating engineers (over 1,000 each); plumbers and steamfitters, and electricians (over 600 each); bricklayers and cement finishers, boilermakers, sheet-metal workers, and painters (over 100 each). (Hiring of asbestos workers started only in September and few were on the project by November.) Well over two-thirds of the expected peak demand for laborers, teamsters, and operating engineers had already been met; major hiring of other crafts had not yet occurred, and the proportion of skilled workers was scheduled to rise sharply as construction progressed.

These figures include workers employed under subcontracts made specifically for the supplying and supervision of workers in certain crafts, notably the electrical and pipefitting subcontracts. Excluded are the roughly 1,000 workers employed by subcontractors performing specialty construction jobs on an independent basis. The following discussion does not apply to this group, although subcontract provisions required general working conditions in effect comparable to those for Du Pont construction workers.

Wages and Working Conditions. The project pay scale reflected rates prevailing in the "recruiting region," since some heavy construction skills were either not available or few in number in the immediate Aiken-Augusta area, and project work often required more skill than did local work. In most instances, wage rates were therefore somewhat higher than the going local level. The rate for boilermakers, for example, many of whom habitually migrate from one large construction job to another and who are scarce nationally, was that negotiated by the union late in 1950 for the Southeast area as a whole. Other rates, such as that for carpenters, who were available but scarce locally, were determined on the basis of wages in Atlanta, the nearest large city. On the other hand, the rate for common labor, available locally in large numbers, was below union rates in other southeastern cities; it was, however, substantially above going local rates, reflecting the project's
extensive need for such workers. Hourly SRP rates ranged from $\$ 2.60$ for plumbers and steamfitters to $\$ 0.90$ to $\$ 1$ for laborers; truck drivers were the only other category receiving less than $\$ 2$ an hour.

Hours were 9 a day, 5 days a week, with a few crews working shift or week-end hours, such as on maintenance or when a concrete pour was not finished. Most crafts were paid time and a half for hours over 8 per day or other than the regular shift, but a few received double time. All crafts had at least four established holidays, some having five or six, and most were paid double time for work on holidays. A normal differential was granted for multiple-shift operations and a $20-$ percent differential for electricians performing certain hazardous work. In contrast to the subsidies for traveling and "isolation" commonly paid workers on construction jobs, the only additional allowance was for asbestos workers. They were to receive the equivalent of bus fare from Columbia, S. C., for their initial and return trips to the project and an out-of-town allowance for each day worked.

The majority of construction workers had not yet been employed long enough to qualify for most of the fringe benefits provided. All workers completing 1 year's service were to receive 2 weeks' paid vacation. Certain benefits were provided over and above regular workmen's compensation for on-the-job injuries. For nonoccupational sickness or accident, a company-employee-financed group accident and health insurance plan was open to employees after 6 months' service, and a high proportion of those eligible were reported to be covered; to protect against income loss during such periods, a company-paid disability wage plan was available for employees with at least 1 year's service. In September 1951, Du Pont also requested Wage Stabilization Board (WSB) approval for a plan to pay premiums on hospitalization and surgical care for employees with at least 1 year's service, but the request had not yet been acted on in November. Other standard Du Pont programs included life-insurance and pension plans for continuously employed personnel.

Du Pont's safety program was widely regarded as among the finest throughout industry and far superior to most in the less safety-minded construction industry. All workers received a halfday's orientation on safety and security, and in a
variety of ways attention was constantly called to safety regulations. Supplementary safety equipment was sold at cost at "cash sales stores." Also on the site were a central dispensary, a cafeteria, and a bank in the administration area, and a small sick bay and food-dispensing unit in each construction area.

Finally, throughout its operations Du Pont emphasized a close personal relationship between the supervisor, carefully selected and trained, and those reporting to him.

Recruitment of the Labor Force. Manual construction labor, including most of the gang foremen, was almost entirely recruited through the American Federation of Labor building-trades unions. During the $2 \frac{1}{2}$ months between the SRP announcement and the wage-rate determination, people flocked into Aiken and Augusta from all over the country, drawn by rumors of project wages as high as $\$ 7$ an hour. They crowded Employment Service offices in both communities, where they were given Du Pont applications; most left immediately, because no SRP work was available. After hiring started, United States Employment Service project activity was limited mainly to recruitment of nonmanual workers, although the Aiken office supplied a few workers to three of the unions.

With over 90 percent of all building-trades workers belonging to the AFL unions, according to union statistics, recruitment through the unions is customary for almost all large construction jobs. Two exceptions had occurred on the SRP as of November: a few "DP's" from the site, who had priority over all job applicants if qualified, had been employed; in late October, Du Pont had ordered a specified number of workers hired "at the gate" (i. e., without regard to union referral), as a means of satisfying company officials who had no records of union membership that complaints of a closed shop were untrue. Otherwise, so long as the unions could fill the labor "requisitions" carefully worked out each week, the company hired only union-referred workers.

Not all workers referred were actually hired, however. Du Pont's employment office rejected a good many on medical grounds, particularly common laborers, among whom the union reported
"a rather bad health condition." Du Pont interviewers rejected others as unqualified for the work or because they failed to meet security requirements. ${ }^{5}$ Some were also separated shortly after employment when X-rays and checks on security and experience claimed became available. Of considerable local interest in October 1951 were Ku Klux Klan charges of discrimination against its members in project employment. AEC spokesmen pointed out that the project did not hire members of organizations listed by the U. S. Attorney General's Office as advocating or approving force and violence to deny others their constitutional rights.

Thirty-nine percent of manual workers hired by mid-October had been recruited from within the so-called commuting radius of 50 miles, with a few additional workers reported to be traveling daily from as far away as 90 to 100 miles. The bulk of these hires were laborers and truck drivers, groups which were almost completely local recruits. As the project needs were large for both categories of men, and neither union concerned had offices in the area when the project was announced, the laborers' union set up a council in Aiken, composed of three locals from other parts of South Carolina and Georgia, and the teamsters' international chartered a new local.
The laborers' council reported extensive recruitment efforts in rural areas around the project, including attempts to make transportation facilities available for groups of potential construction laborers. Both organizations recruited some workers through the Aiken public employment office. The commuting problem was particularly significant for these low-paid workers. Many did not have cars, preferred by most SRP workers since local buses did not take them as close to their particular work areas. In any case, the cost of bus or car-pool transportation substantially cut down any differential in the take-home pay between local and project employment. The time involved and frequent accidents on highways overcrowded at rush hours were also recruitment handicaps. Some local sources labeled as additional deterrents such factors as union member-

[^3]ship fees and the informal, personal relationship between local employers and their common labor in contrast to the strange and highly organized conditions on the construction project.

Only a small proportion of workers in other trades were obtained from the limited local supply. Union recruitment arrangements reflected the variation between crafts in local availability as well as in number and level of skill required and existing local organization. The plumbers, electricians, bricklayers, carpenters, and painters already had locals in the area, which handled project needs; for pipefitters, relatively hard to find and managed on the project by the pipefitting subcontractor, the plumbers international set up a special office in the Augusta local. The ironworkers also established an office in the SRP area, and the operating engineers inaugurated a new branch of the South Carolina local. Both the boilermakers and the sheet-metal workers recruited through locals elsewhere in the region. Some unions, such as the sheet-metal workers and bricklayers, had been able to meet SRP needs with workers who came to the local on their own initiative, whereas the boilermakers, for example, had already had to "scour the country" to locate workers with the highly specialized experience required. Ironworkers were the one group for which recruitment needs had been "filled more slowly" as of November.

The readily available common labor in the area was generally believed to have been absorbed by November, and a teamsters' spokesman said that experienced truck drivers could no longer be obtained at project wages. Intensive recruitment would yield the additional common labor needed, according to most local authorities. Both unions, however, urged a wage increase as essential to further recruitment, citing also increased cost of living and higher rates on other AEC installations. Further, a number of union spokesmen attributed the lack of craft shortage thus far to the season. They pointed out that, as SRP labor needs rose in the spring and summer of 1952, construction activity would be resumed in the more industrialized sections of the country and the southern climate would no longer be an attraction. The relative length of SRP employment was advantageous, but the ironworkers, for example, said that it was already difficult to keep people on the
job because of the low SRP rates and take-home pay. Increasing difficulties in both recruitment and retention of workers were predicted if project pay remained unchanged.

Only one or two unions were reported to be negotiating for increased pay at that time, ${ }^{6}$ but one union representative expected that an SRP wage raise would follow renegotiation of their area contract in the spring, when most construction agreements are negotiated. Any SRP increase would be subject to both AEC approval and Wage Stabilization Board regulations. Should the area rate approved by the Board for nonproject work rise, this could be the basis for revision of an SRP rate; otherwise, an individual ruling by the WSB would be required, because the SRP had no base date for computing allowable percentage increases. Any application for wage adjustment filed on grounds of manpower shortage in an essential defense activity would require certification by other Government agencies that a concerted program had been undertaken to remedy the shortage and that the wage adjustment was an important part of the over-all effort to attract and retain labor.

While wage increases were not anticipated in the near future, an extension of the workweek was rumored locally. ${ }^{7}$ Inauguration of overtime in August had been expected not only to help meet immediate construction schedules but also, through the increased take-home pay, to attract additional workers and to cut down turn-over. The abnormal hiring situation beginning in September made it difficult to assess the effect of overtime on either recruitment or quits.

## Nonmanual Project Workers

Du Pont employed 5,012 nonmanual workers on November 8, including general foremen and craft and area superintendents; all AEC personnel were nonmanual. Of the Du Pont total roughly 40 percent were clerical workers and nearly 20 percent were on patrol and fire-fighting duties; a large proportion of the AEC staff was also clerical. Most professional and a few clerical workers

[^4]had been brought from other Du Pont and AEC installations; the others were recruited through the Employment Service, contacts with universities, and similar sources. Approximately a third of the Du Pont and a good many AEC workers had come from within the commuting area.

Both AEC and Du Pont had encountered serious continuing shortages of engineers and certain other professional workers who were in short supply nationally. For a time AEC had some difficulty in obtaining qualified clerical workers, also scarce both locally and nationally. Minimum qualifications were too high for a large proportion of the local applicants, and some failed to send in security forms or took other jobs before the FBI investigation was completed. However, AEC personnel received salaries equivalent to Federal pay scales, were not affected by the "temporary" and "permanent" phases of the project schedule, and worked a straight 5 -day 40 -hour week. By November 1951, present and future clerical needs were largely met, and quits were few.

In contrast, Du Pont continued to be unable to recruit sufficient clerical and custodial workers. Du Pont salaries were those prevailing in the area, and hours and other working conditions were the same as for other Du Pont employees. Recruitment difficulties were attributed chiefly to competition from other Government installations in the area, principally Camp Gordon, which paid Federal rates and did not require lengthy commuting. Du Pont in the early fall reduced its employment specifications somewhat-raising the age limit for guards and accepting less-skilled typists and stenographers than formerly. On grounds of inequity with Camp Gordon wages, the company also obtained WSB authorization to change the rates for certain categories. The new schedule was put into effect the latter part of November.

## Employment of Negroes

Twenty percent of Du Pont's construction force in early November 1951 were Negro workers $(3,369)$, but 91 percent of them were common laborers, customarily colored in this area. Aside from a handful of nonmanual employees, the other 9 percent were employed as truck drivers, cement finishers (also traditionally colored there), and carpenters-including 27 Negro gang foremen,
for laborer, cement finisher, and carpenter crews.
Du Pont had employed no colored clerical workers, and most of the few nonmanual workers cited were employed as matrons. None of the AEC staff was Negro. AEC had interviewed colored as well as white applicants for clerical positions, but, as with local applicants generally, very few were able to pass the standard tests given, and the few who did either failed to complete the security check or took other jobs before it was completed.

Both the National Association for the Advancement of Colored People (NAACP) and the National Urban League (NUL) charged racial discrimination in project hiring. They pointed out the project's lack of Negro white-collar workers, recruited directly by both AEC and Du Pont, and asserted that the unions had "under-referred" colored carpenters, truck drivers, and other skilled workers as helpers. According to project officials, Du Pont had advised union representatives that referrals would be processed without regard to color. Referring to this policy, speakers at an NAACP-sponsored meeting in Aiken, in September 1951, charged Du Pont and an unidentified Augusta union with "passing the buck" between them and said that the NAACP had enough affidavits and other evidence of discrimination in skilled employment to warrant going to court. (Klan spokesmen, who had from time to time protested the announced project nondiscrimination policy, promptly praised the union's "white members only" policy.)

The question of segregation was also raised by all of these organizations. Du Pont policy is to follow local custom in this matter on its construction jobs, but segregated eating facilities, wash rooms, etc., were prohibited by AEC instructions and none existed on the SRP. The issue was not brought into the open on the project itself, in view of the limited colored nonmanual staff and a certain amount of tacit "self-segregation."

AEC headquarters in Washington held numerous conferences during 1951 with the home offices of AEC contractors on compliance with the nondiscrimination clause in Federal contracts. ${ }^{8}$ In October, a personnel officer was assigned to help place qualified Negroes in AEC and contrac-

[^5]tor jobs on a program-wide basis, but the AEC had not yet issued instructions for carrying out this assignment.

## Effect on the Local Labor Market

Before the SRP wage determination, grave concern was voiced over the local effect of SRP wage-manpower policies by manufacturers, farmers, officials responsible for State highway construction, and similar groups, in North Carolina as well as in the two States directly affected. Reasons underlying this concern were that high wages would draw off qualified local workers and force up local rates, yet low wages would make it impossible to attract any but local people; recruitment mainly from outside the area would cut down disruption of local industry but place an added burden on community facilities.

Local comment on wages died down after the wage scale announcement, and greater emphasis seemed to be placed on the question of future SRP wage adjustments, either to attract labor or because of union demands, than on existing policies. Local officials (other than labor) varied in their evaluation of project rates, but the secretary of the Augusta Chamber of Commerce, for example, said that they were "not exorbitant." Further, Du Pont officials met from time to time with leading local manufacturers, assuring them that labor "pirating" would be avoided (although local labor was to be used where possible in order to minimize housing needs). They also explained in advance such SRP policies as the August extension of the workday. In September, the newly created Southern Regional WSB referred publicly to the Du Pont proposal for a nonmanual wage change and was sharply criticized by the Governor of South Carolina for any consideration of a wage increase on the project. Immediately, the Board clarified the proposal as applying only to a few clerical and custodial workers.

Local evaluation of project manpower policies also reflected the varying viewpoints on the longrun development of the area. Leading citizens in both Augusta and Barnwell hoped that the availability of a skilled labor force as project construction declined would attract new industry. The Augusta Chamber of Commerce was already approaching northern firms who might be inter-
ested in the combination of skilled labor and power from the Clark Hill powerhouse, currently under construction. Several firms were already planning to establish plants in Augusta, according to the Chamber secretary, which would also help to cushion the effect of the decline in SRP construction activity on the local labor market.

Precise information on wage changes during the period under review is extremely fragmentary. In announcing that one of its first tasks would be to investigate the wage-manpower situation in the SRP area, the regional WSB cited many requests for permission to increase wages there as well as widespread rumors of labor pirating and irregular wage increases. No further details were released, however. The Southern Regional Office of the Bureau of Labor Statistics surveyed wages and supplementary benefits in the Augusta-Aiken metropolitan area in November 1951. The study did not cover trend, and construction was excluded; but the figures give some idea of the relationship between SRP wages and those paid local office and maintenance workers.

Clerical Workers and Common Labor. Shortage of competent clerical workers was not new to the SRP area, as Government projects paying civilservice rates had competed for qualified office personnel since the beginning of World War II. On the Augusta side of the SRP, current expansion of these projects was more important in the clerical scarcity than the relatively unattractive Du Pont jobs or the small volume of AEC employment which, though paid at the Government rates, involved commuting. Wives of some project engineers and administrative employees took local stenographic jobs, but such additions were few. For such communities as Barnwell, however, located on the other side of the project from Augusta, the shortage was directly attributable to the SRP. Since project standards for stenographic and other top brackets eliminated most of the local girls, those hired represented virtually all the qualified workers available. Lower-grade clerical workers were plentiful, turned out " $a$ dime a dozen" by the schools, according to a local source.

Salary scales in private industry rose during 1951 in response to the shortage, and standards were reduced. The monthly minimum beginning
salary for stenographers, as evidenced by orders to public employment offices, was $\$ 160$ in September 1951 compared with $\$ 145$ on May 1, and employers were generally willing to pay more than the minimum. By November, straight-time weekly salaries for stenographers averaged $\$ 44$ a week, according to the BLS survey; salaries for women office workers in establishments studied ranged from $\$ 30.50$ for routine file clerks to $\$ 56$ for secretaries. Du Pont salary scales were $\$ 39$ to $\$ 46$ weekly for stenographers and $\$ 34.50$ for clerk-typists.
Project reliance on the local labor market for unskilled labor had caused nonagricultural employers only relatively minor difficulties in obtaining common labor by November, and the going hourly rates of 75 to 82 cents had not changed markedly, according to various sources. Further additions could only be at the expense of local employers, however, and one Augusta brick manufacturing company was already beginning to hire colored women as common laborers-the alternative to raising wages - as had been done during the war. If this proved successful, the expectation was that the practice might eventually spread. Such workers were plentiful and, though the work was dirty, heavy, and in some instances hot, the pay was the top common labor rate of 82 cents hourly and better than the $\$ 12$ to $\$ 15$ a week paid to domestic servants.

Recruitment from the rural sections had more seriously affected the farm labor supply. Shortages of cotton pickers during the fall of 1951 were widely reported and wages, which customarily rise during the picking season, reached the top 1950 rate early in the season and subsequently attained a new high. An adequate supply of workers was attracted by the increased rates in Georgia. In South Carolina, from which the project had drawn more labor than from the rural sections of Georgia beyond Augusta, the situation varied; one big cotton planter, for example, indicated no particular difficulty, yet a small farmer in an outlying county said that cotton had been left in the fields for lack of pickers. Most authorities agreed, however, that the project had merely speeded up a long-term decline in the farm-labor supply and hastened the process of mechanization and diversification of farming.

Skilled Construction Workers. Only isolated instances of difficulties in the maintenance field were reported for the building trades. The unexpectedly minor influence of the project on the local construction industry was attributed partly to the supplying of SRP craft needs largely from outside the area, but more important was the coincidence of project construction with a slump in local construction activity. Building had picked up sharply with the reactivation of Camp Gordon and the SRP announcement shortly thereafter. But by the time substantial numbers of workers were being hired on the project, building had slumped: Federal Reserve Board support had been removed from Government bonds and almost no mortgage money was available. Thus, craftsmen drawn to the project probably would have been unemployed, according to local observers.

Actually, the small local supply of craft labor was augmented by workers attracted to the area but unable to meet Du Pont's exacting standards. Several of the unions indicated that they placed a good number of Du Pont rejects locally. One union, with special arrangements for project recruitment, tried to get qualified men for local contractors as well. Also, during the fall cut-back in project hiring, some skilled workers continued to arrive for whom project jobs were not immediately available. Barnwell authorities also noted that a good number of craftsmen had come into town with the express purpose of taking local construction jobs, which they expected would expand because of the project.

Financing was still not available in November for the residential construction planned to meet the growing housing needs of both the SRP and Camp Gordon. However, local contractors expected that the new defense housing legislation, not yet in effect, would ease this situation, and that competition for skilled labor would then be considerable. Even then, observers doubted that local contractors would be worse off with regard to labor supply than before the project. The fringe of craft labor unacceptable to Du Pont would, if anything, increase as recruitment rose; and local workers might quit the project for nonproject jobs, more desirable in the long run, if wages were at all comparable when such work became available.

Craft wage rates had shown a slow but definite upward trend by November. In some instances they matched the Du Pont scale. For example, the Construction Industry Stabilization Commission approved a rate for sheet-metal workers in Augusta equivalent to the SRP rate, effective in late August 1951. (A union spokesman reported that of the few Augusta men in this craft who had taken SRP jobs, practically all had returned to the local shops by November.) In September 1951, one of the major local manufacturers was also authorized to pay bricklayers the same rate as that on the project.

But in general rates for local construction work were reportedly still below the SRP scale, and for maintenance work (customarily lower than for construction), well below those on the project. Straight-time hourly earnings of maintenance and power-plant employees surveyed by the BLS in November ranged from $\$ 0.94$ for helpers to $\$ 1.59$ for automotive mechanics, with carpenters, electricians, machinists, and painters all averaging less than $\$ 1.50$ in manufacturing establishments. Rates for truck drivers, largely recruited locally, were somewhat closer to the project scale; those covered by the survey received an average of 84 to 97 cents hourly; and the business agent for the new teamsters' local in Aiken said that, as far as he knew, truck drivers were receiving $\$ 0.75$ in the area prior to the project.

Other Occupations. Textile concerns had reportedly lost a few mechanics to the project, but otherwise had been little affected. Most of the textile workers were women, with unsuitable experience for project employment, particularly during the construction phase, but local people doubted that they would be drawn to the project in any case. The major textile mills, in operation for many years, provided company-built low-rental housing and many of the families had lived there for generations. (The Horse Creek Valley road was a series of company towns, with practically all commercial and community facilities, as well as houses, company-built.) Frequently several members of the family worked in the mills, with family income as a whole relatively high.

Other manufacturing establishments in the area were relatively little affected, except for the in-
creasing difficulty in obtaining common labor and some tendency by employers to avoid lay-offs, regardless of season, in order to hold workers. Women made up the bulk of the force in Augusta's largest food-processing concern, for example, and in the Barnwell zipper plant, which was operating below capacity anyhow during much of this period. The sawmills in Barnwell reportedly lost some labor but were not seriously affected since sawmill operations are flexible.

Retail establishments were experiencing little labor supply difficulty in spite of rapidly expanding business. In Augusta, many servicemen's wives wanted to work; since training at the Camp lasted only 3 to 5 months, jobs as salesgirls were among the few open to them. Wives of project workers also augmented the labor supply for these jobs, particularly in Aiken and to some extent in Barnwell. In the latter community, however, a good many stores were small family-run concerns, and women workers were already plentiful for the others.

Some wives of project personnel also took jobs in other fields. For example, one or two nurses took jobs in Augusta in the public health field, and several waitresses worked in one of the Aiken hotels.

## Cost of Living

Local opinion on cost-of-living changes during the period under review varied widely depending on the individual's own particular status and experience, and no figures are available to bear out any of these views. Agreement was almost universal among people interviewed that rents had risen sharply, posing serious problems for workers coming into the area as well as for some local families. Workers generally said that other prices too were high, although local residents tended to believe no greater price rise had occurred there than elsewhere in the country.

Rents had been decontrolled throughout the region by early 1950. Vacant rental units in Augusta began to fill up rapidly after Camp Gordon was reactivated and people began to offer rooms and apartments for rent for the first time in both Aiken and Augusta. Stories of high rents were soon widespread, and rent control was
reactivated in the area on September 20, 1951, with a scheduled roll-back to July 1, 1950.

All types of rental housing were covered by the controls, new units, trailers, boarding houses, etc., and the first problem was registration. The original September deadline was twice delayed, to November 4. As of mid-November, some rents had been rolled back but the large-scale opening of rental units after the July 1950 base date sharply reduced the significance of the regulations. In addition, the need to encourage people to open up rooms placed considerable pressure on rent control authorities in the area toward liberality in "hardship" cases. Augusta realtors warned that rent curbs might cut construction of new housing units, and individuals taking roomers for the first time said that controls would "do more harm than good." Even two Augusta office workers whose rent had been rolled back commented that they had not requested the reduction, and one "didn't even think it was justified."

Yet charges of rent "gouging" continued to be prevalent in November, particularly on the newly opened rental units in which many of the SRP workers lived. Instances were also cited, however, of local families who had lived in a particular house for years and whose rent had been raised sharply following the SRP announcement. Frequently complaints were based on the bad condition of units as well as the high rentals. One double room, for example, rented at $\$ 85$ a month, and the bathroom was shared by a third roomer who had to go through the room to reach it.

Reports on price changes were more conflicting. In June when the regional Office of Price Stabilization opened temporary offices in Augusta, a press account said that consumers reported prices spiraling upward daily in Augusta, commercial center of the area. At the same time, it quoted Augusta businessmen to the effect that the demands of expanding population had kept turnover of stocks "normal" and prevented them from being as high as elsewhere in the country following the post-Korean build-up, but that inventories
still were higher than usual. Union representatives interviewed in November, both long-time Augusta residents and those who were new to the area, were vehement in their comments on how prices as well as rents had risen.

Complicating any evaluation of the direct effect of the project on prices was the tendency of inmigrants to compare conditions in the area with those "back home." Many complaints about high rents came from workers who had moved from relatively low-rent areas. For example, a project compressor operator and his wife were regarded as "lucky" to have a 2 -room-and-private-bath apartment, but in Missouri, where he had worked for a dry-cleaning firm, they had a roomy duplex at less rent. The large proportion of SRP workers from rural areas in South Carolina and Georgia probably also found local prices higher than those in their own small communities, according to observers, and changes in living patterns of former agricultural workers would make expenses seem correspondingly greater. An unknown number of the SRP workers were, however, "week-end commuters" and continued to buy largely in their home towns, some distance from the project.

Yet several people said that prices were higher than in New York, for example. The wife of a project construction supervisor found food and rent both high-food more so than in New York, but rents "not bad" comparatively-although laundry work was extremely cheap and quite good, and domestic service cost less than half what she had paid in New York. Several residents explained that, in Aiken, high prices and rents resulted, not from the SRP, but from Aiken's being a tourist town. Rents were traditionally set at levels adjusted to only about 4 months' occupancy during the year, and beauty shops, for example, customarily charged a higher fee to tourists than to residents. In Augusta, several residents were convinced that prices had gone up no more than elsewhere, one even saying that she was able to live on the same amount as before the project started.

# Development of Work Opportunity for the Handicapped 

William P. McCahill*

"Hiring the handicapped makes for greater national security, lightens the load on the taxpayer, and strengthens our economy," Robert T. Creasey, Assistant Secretary of Labor, stated in his message at the April 18, 1952, meeting of the President's Committee on employment of the physically handicapped. However, the job of dispelling prejudices against such employment and attaining the goal of equality of opportunity for the disabled still entails difficulties and discouragements. Also stressed was the steadily rising toll of injuries during the months of mobilization for defense which resulted in the disablement of more and more workers.

Representatives of 35 State Governors were present at the tenth meeting of the Committee as were many of the Committee's two hundred citizen leaders who have spearheaded this information and promotion campaign since 1947.

Inventory was taken of 5 years of steady progress under the chairmanship of Vice Admiral Ross T McIntire (MC) USN, Retd. During this time, year-round Governors' Committees were established in nearly every State and Territory, and more and more communities are currently developing 12 -month programs under private citizen leadership.

Under a system of awards, which originated in the States and communities, student winners in the fourth senior-high school essay contest received $\$ 2,000$ in prizes from the President. In the last 4 years, some 315 employers have received special awards for their leadership in this field. A President's Trophy to the "handicapped man of the

[^6]year" was first awarded in 1951. This year, a special "Physician's Award" is to be given to an outstanding doctor.

As the President's Committee is set up, the policies of some 16 operating committees are screened and approved by a 26 -man executive group under E. H. Gammons, vice president of the Columbia Broadcasting System. Nongovernment leadership is largely responsible for success in the formulation of plans and policies and for the stress placed on local and State initiative. This was illustrated on April 17, when the decision was made to form a committee of employers to work with standing committees on labor, medicine, disabled veterans, workmen's compensation, public service, and public information.

## Panel Discussions

Two committees, those on labor and medicine, chaired respectively by A. J. Hayes, president of the International Association of Machinists (AFL), and Dr. CarlM. Peterson, secretary of the American Medical Association Council on Industrial Medicine, conducted a panel discussion on medical aspects of employment of the handicapped. Some of the points stressed by the participants follow.

Some industries still require nearly perfect physical specimens, but not many. Many physicians lack understanding of and interest in the real abilities of the handicapped who are otherwise qualified employees. Employers are discouraged from hiring the handicapped when confronted by compensation boards making scientifically unsound rulings that preexisting disabilities have been aggravated. Some labor contracts contain inflexible rules requiring all new employees to be placed in the most arduous and less pleasant jobs. Some States have unrealistic second-injury laws and others have none. Some contracts prohibit periodic physical examinations and thereby cause rejection of otherwise employable workers because certain defects require periodic observation. Increasingly, doctors are becoming aware that only 3 factors are important: ability to do the work; safety off the job as related to the handicap; and health of prospective employee for protection of himself and his fellow workers.

Progress in the field of rehabilitation has not been nearly comparable with the remarkable medical progress in research, prevention, diagnosis, and
treatment. Rehabilitation involves specialized education, training, or retraining. Great need exists for improving the relationship and understanding between the worker and the company doctor. In too many instances, workers consider the company doctor as being more concerned with the liability of management than with their well-being.

It was also brought out that leaders in management, labor, workmen's compensation, and medicine must do more to achieve maximum utilization of qualified impaired workers than they have in the past. Labor should widely publicize collectivebargaining clauses which encourage hiring of qualified workers with disabilities. Workmen's compensation commissions should consider not only the immediate effect of their rulings, but also the impact of their decisions on possible future employability of other workers with like handicaps. More and more doctors must be educated to the concept that their role does not stop with the healing of the body, but must be carried on until the injured worker has returned to maximum gainful employment. Activity is increasing in medical circles to stress the importance of rehabilitation.

Rehabilitation should begin within 2 minutes after the accident or the injury is incurred. This will facilitate the elimination of the time lag which results in people becoming despondent and depressed, and which slows up recovery and return to the job. The industrial physician comes closer to realizing the problems of labor than any other group in the medical profession. He should strive to give the plant worker the same sympathetic consideration given to any patient.

The foregoing statements by members of the panel struck at the heart of many of the problems involved in achieving even greater use of qualified workers possessing certain physical imperfections resulting from accidents, disease, war wounds, or congenital causes.

A second panel, on workmen's compensation laws, retirement, and pension benefits and disability insurance, considered rehabilitation problems under the chairmanship of Col. John N. Smith, director of the Institute for the Crippled and Disabled in New York City. At this session, four recognized experts made the following pertinent comments.

The prompt and accurate diagnosis which is essential in the early stages of an injury must be
accompanied by proper anticipation of the period of convalescence, including possible complications. Physicians must concern themselves with the "active and total" plans of the injured person as a personality and emphasize residual capabilities rather than disabilities. Trained rehabilitation physicians must coordinate and furnish the general medical guidance for the program whereby a patient is returned to employment. The team approach to rehabilitation is absolutely essential.

Fear frequently results in painful and difficult adjustment to disability and complicates the status of the worker in his community and home. If compensation benefits are adequate and immediately available, his fears for himself and family lessen and his sense of worthwhileness increases. Furthermore, recovery of social function is unduly protracted and painful when help is either too little or too late. Injury sets off a train of consequences beyond the physical and into the social, psychological, and vocational areas of damage to the patient. Industrial rehabilitation is successful only if the worker goes back to work. If, because of serious injury, a worker cannot return to his former skill, a comparative skill can be developed successfully without doubt. The injured employee is still a vital social and financial force in his community-he is a wage earner, head of a family, taxpaying producer, and consumer.

Many State programs are admittedly inadequate, particularly in the lack of sheltered workshops. Little or no effort is made in some States to reduce public-assistance rolls through rehabilitating the client back into employment.

Screening clinics have been used with considerable success in putting various community service agencies to work on the rehabilitation of injured workers. The United Mine Workers Welfare and Retirement Fund is averaging 40 screening clinics a month in 10 areas, handling 6 to 7 thousand people a year and yet hardly keeping pace with the 1948 backlog of 50,000 disabled miners.

## Volume of Placement and Rehabilitation

In terms of progress, figures released at the meeting pointed up the increased use of workers with disability during the current defense mobilization. From January 1, 1940, to June 30, 1952, the Bureau of Employment Security will have
made an estimated 2.4 million placements of handicapped workers in nonagricultural employment. In fiscal 1952 , some 230,000 were placed, the second highest total since World War II. In fiscal 1945, there were 360,000 placements and in fiscal 1951, more than 250,000 . Some 131,000 disabled veterans were placed during fiscal 1951 through local offices of the State Employment Services. Additional hundreds of thousands of qualified workers with disabilities were also placed in agricultural work by the Employment Service or found jobs independently during this 12-year period. Placements of the handicapped since World War II have in many cases been much more satisfactory and more lasting than prior to or during the war because of the increased emphasis on selective placement.

In addition, the recent report of the Task Force on the Handicapped made to the Director of Defense Mobilization reemphasized that 2 million workers not in the labor force could be rehabilitated and placed in gainful employment. The Office of Defense Mobilization is currently calling upon business and industry to adopt practices which will not only increase use of qualified disabled workers, but make certain that handicapped workers already on the job are utilized at their highest level of skills.

Today, only 5 percent of the disabilities of persons eligible for rehabilitation were caused by occupational injuries or hazards. Another 5 percent resulted from home, highway, or other accidents. Only 2 percent of the handicapped suffer from congenital disabilities, but 88 percent of the disabilities are caused by disease. A complication is the increase in life expectancy of American workers, which automatically brings with it the frequent physical disabilities of advanced age. The following figures indicate the greatly increasing importance of rehabilitation and of selective placement, both aimed at maximum utilization of available manpower. Fifty years ago, Census population figures listed $131 / 2$ million people 45 years of age or older, compared with $421 / 2$ million in 1950. And the total is growing. During the same 50 years a male worker's life expectancy has risen 18 years, from 48 to 66 , and women can now expect to live until 71 , some 20 years longer than the 1900 average.

Local offices of State Divisions of Vocational Rehabilitation were successful in rehabilitating into employment nearly 67,000 persons during fiscal 1951, the highest yearly total in 31 years. The total number of rehabilitated workers is 600,000 , including 402,000 in the past 8 years. The Federal Office of Vocational Rehabilitation has reported that another 150,000 disabled men and women are receiving medical, training, and counseling services which will enable them to work. A high percentage of the 67,000 persons rehabilitated into employment last year are working on defense production, and thousands of others are in work indirectly strengthening the Nation's defense efforts. All this progress, however, only underscores the fact that not enough is being done in view of the annual average of 250,000 persons needing rehabilitation as a result of sickness, accidents, or war.

In the 13 months ending January 31, 1952, the U. S. Civil Service Commission reported placements of more than 19,000 severely handicapped workers. In the 12 months prior to March 1, 1952, the Veterans Administration rehabilitated more than 57,000 disabled veterans. The total of more than 70,000 combat wounded from Korea is evidence that numbers of disabled veterans will be entering the labor force in the next 2 years.

The Michigan State Employment Service recently stressed the importance of all this work by stating simply that the Lansing office placed 982 handicapped workers during 1951. Estimating that 700 of these placements were permanent and that the average wage was $\$ 60$ a week in Lansing, the average wage of handicapped workers was conservatively estimated as $\$ 50$ weekly. For this group of 700 , the weekly wage total was thus $\$ 35,000$, and yearly pay totaled $\$ 1,820,000$. These workers paid an estimated 30 percent of their wages for local, State, and Federal taxes. Their taxes netted $\$ 546,000$. They would have cost the county in which Lansing is located, an average of $\$ 40$ a month or $\$ 216,000$ a year had they been on relief. The total gain to the nonhandicapped taxpayers of Lansing was thus $\$ 862,000$, entirely aside from the goods and services produced or the money spent in the community by these 700 workers. This estimate for one county proves that it is good business to hire the handicapped.

# City Comparisons of Wage Levels and Skill Differentials 

L. Earl Lewis*

Straight-time earnings for comparable occupations in 11 cities in early 1951 varied substantially according to regional location, as they have done historically, with wages generally highest on the West Coast and lowest in the South. ${ }^{1}$ Intercity wage variations for comparable work were less pronounced for workers performing skilled tasks than for unskilled workers. Office workers' weekly salaries varied nearly 25 percent between the high in San Francisco and the low in Boston. Hourly earnings of custodial, maintenance, and warehousing and shipping workers were 45 percent lower in Atlanta than in San Francisco. The regional nature of intercity differentials was, in general, similar among individual industries.

Regional location appeared to have little influence on city wage differentials among office occupations, although it did appear to influence differentials between skilled and unskilled manual occupations. Available evidence indicates a definite long-term trend toward a reduction of the differences between wages of unskilled workers and those of other groups. ${ }^{2}$ However, substantial wage differences still remain between occupations of varying skills. Even within individual cities where differentials were least, it was not unusual for skilled workers to earn half again as much as unskilled workers.

The earnings data used in these comparisons were obtained by the Bureau of Labor Statistics in early 1951 for 11 cities (metropolitan areas).

They cover selected occupations common to a variety of manufacturing and nonmanufacturing industries, as well as occupations in important local industries. ${ }^{3}$ The figures on intercity differences in wages for comparable work were supplemented by data for machinery manufacturing, auto-repair service, and building construction. Computation methods are explained in footnote 1 in each table.

## Intercity Differences for Comparable Work

Area wage differentials are used by employers and trade-unions in collective bargaining and by employers in seeking locations for new plants, distribution outlets, or new offices. Various branches of the Federal Government also set wage scales for their per diem personnel on the basis of prevailing wage scales in a particular area.

Intercity wage relationships shown in table 1 are expressed as percentages of New York City pay levels for purposes of ready comparison with this major labor market. The indexes are based on averages for each community of earnings in 24 office and 22 manual-type occupations found throughout the broad industry divisions of manufacturing, public utilities, trade, finance, and services. Indexes are shown separately for the averages of 14 jobs in machinery manufacture, 7 jobs in building construction, and 4 jobs in autorepair shops.

The San Francisco-Oakland area ranked highest among the 11 cities for each of the broad occupational categories found in all industries in the community. In this area, weekly salaries of office workers were 8 percent above those in New York City; hourly earnings in custodial and warehousing and shipping occupations followed a similar pattern, while maintenance workers averaged 12 percent more than comparable workers in New York. However, the San Francisco-Oakland area ranked as low as fifth in the building-construction industry, and fourth, with two other cities, in

[^7]Table 1.-Indexes of straight-time earnings ${ }^{1}$ for selected work categories studied on an all-industry basis and for selected industries, in 11 cities, January-June 1951
[New York City=100]

| City | Jobs studied in all industries |  |  |  |  | Jobs studied in selected industries ? |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Office (24 jobs) | Indirect manual |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | All selected groups (22 jobs) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mainte- } \\ & \text { nance } \\ & \text { (10 jobs) } \end{aligned}$ | Custodial (4 jobs) | Warehousing and shipping (8 jobs) | Machinery manufacture (14 jobs) | Building construction (7 jobs) | Auto-repair shops (4 jobs) |
| Atlanta |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Baltimore. | 90 89 | 75 <br> 84 | 91 94 | 73 81 | 67 81 81 | 77 88 | 70 80 |  |
| Boston Bridgeport | 87 | 90 | 92 96 | 90 | 88 88 88 | 91 | 80 85 80 | 87 87 |
| Chicago | 102 | r93 | 96 107 | $\begin{aligned} & 99 \\ & 97 \\ & 71 \end{aligned}$ | 88100 | 100 | 80  <br> 91 111 <br> 76  |  |
| Dallas... | 92106 | 76100 | 87104 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dayton.- |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 71 \\ 103 \end{array}$ | 72 | 83 | $76$ | 95101 |
| Denver-.- | 90100 | $\begin{array}{r}84 \\ 100 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 9110010 | 85100 | 80 | 89 |  |  |
| New York |  |  |  |  | 100 | 100 | 82 100 | 94 |
| Portland (Oreg.) | 101 | 109 | 110 | 105 | 100 | 100 | 100 81 | 100 |
| San Francisco-Oakland. | 108 |  |  |  | 107 | $\begin{aligned} & 104 \\ & 100 \end{aligned}$ | 82 | 1108 |

${ }^{1}$ These indexes show the average relationship in earnings levels of selected work categories among the cities studied. The average for each selected job was multiplied by the total employment in the job in all cities combined to arrive at an aggregate used in the comparison. This procedure assumed a constant employment relationship between jobs in all cities. Indexes were based on straight-time earnings, excluding premium pay for overtime and night work. Weekly salaries were used for office workers, while hourly
earnings were used for all other work categories except in the building construction industry, for which relatives were based on minimum wage rates agreed upon through collective bargaining and are not necessarily indicative of the level of earnings.
${ }^{2}$ Occupations selected for study in these industries were limited to nonoffice jobs representative of different types of work.
machinery manufacture. The four jobs studied in auto-repair shops paid 14 percent more in San Francisco than in New York.

Portland, Oreg., the other West Coast city studied, ranked fourth in comparative office salaries, but second in other categories studied on an all-industry basis. As in San Francisco, earnings of building construction workers were only fourfifths of the New York average.

All-industry wage levels in both Chicago and Dayton were somewhat above the New York average for most work categories studied. Both cities are important metalworking centers. Office workers' salaries in Dayton ranked second among the cities, while those in Chicago ranked third. Chicago was also in third position with respect to wages for manual occupations; Dayton was fourth. Earnings in specific industries in Chicago and Dayton were also relatively high. Wage rates in the Dayton machinery industry were substantially higher than in any of the other 10 cities.

New York City wage levels ranked fourth or fifth among the 11 cities for occupations studied on a community basis and for machinery manufacture and auto-repair shops. Minimum-wage rates in the building-construction industry, on the other hand, were substantially higher in New York than those in the other cities.

Bridgeport pay levels were generally the median
of the 11 cities in most occupational groups. They were substantially above those in Boston; this relationship was most pronounced for office workers, with Boston ranking last among the 11 areas. Wage levels in Baltimore and Denver were generally similar and relatively low. In Dallas and Atlanta, salaries of office workers and wages of skilled maintenance workers compared much more favorably with northern and western cities than did pay levels of workers in custodial and warehousing and shipping jobs.

Table 2.-Indexes of average earnings ${ }^{1}$ for selected office and indirect manual-type occupations in 11 cities, Jan-uary-June 1951, and of total cost of city worker's family budget, October 1951
[New York City=100]

| City | Earnings ${ }^{1}$ |  | Cost of city worker's family budget ${ }^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Office <br> (24 jobs) | Indirect manual (22 jobs) |  |
| Atlanta | 90 | 75 | 106 |
| Baltimore. | 89 | 84 | 103 |
| Boston...- | 87 | 90 | 103 |
| Bridgeport | 98 | 93 | (8) |
| Chicago | 102 | 101 | 102 |
| Dallas. | 92 | 76 | $\left.{ }^{3}\right)$ |
| Dayton. | 106 | 100 |  |
| Denver-1....... | 90 | 84 | 103 |
| New York City | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Portland (Oreg.) | 101 | 105 | 102 104 |
|  | 108 | 109 | 104 |

[^8]Table 3.-Indexes of average weekly salaries ${ }^{1}$ for selected office occupations in all industries and in manufacturing industries in 11 cities, January-June 1951

${ }^{1}$ These indexes show the relationship between weekly salary rates of selected office occupations in the various cities. Occupational averages in each city have been expressed as percentages of the city average for office boys and girls. Earnings data relate to salaries for the normal workweek
excluding overtime pay and nonproduction bonuses, but including any incentive earnings.
${ }^{2}$ Insufficient data to permit presentation of relative.

These 11 areas differ markedly in industrial composition, which might suggest that the unequal distribution of high- and low-wage industries may account for the variations in their wage levels. The relatively high position held by Dayton in the intercity wage scale is undoubtedly due in part to the unusual concentration of employment in metalworking industries known to have a higher-than-
average pay level. Despite the much greater importance of metalworking and of all-manufacturing in Baltimore than in the San Francisco Bay area, however, the pay level for manual jobs (studied in all industries) in the latter market exceeded that in the eastern city by 30 percent. Moreover, wage surveys in various industries have indicated substantial variations in pay among
cities. Generally speaking, the regional nature of intercity differentials in individual industries has been similar to that suggested in this study.

Differences in the cost of living are sometimes advanced as a reason for variations in pay levels among cities. However, evidence is lacking to support this contention. On the contrary, available information indicates little correlation between wages and the cost of comparable living between cities. Variations in wages among the 11 cities under discussion were substantially greater than differences in the costs of a city worker's family budget. ${ }^{4}$ Moreover, some lowwage cities actually have greater budget costs than some of the high-wage cities (table 2). These dissimilarities are not peculiar to the current period but are a continuation of conditions which have existed for a long period of time. ${ }^{5}$

## Occupational Differentials by City

Occupational wage differentials are designed to compensate workers on the basis of their skills, efforts, and working conditions and to attract new workers to the more highly skilled trades and occupations. The amount of these differentials depends upon a variety of factors, including the level of skill or responsibility required, the extent of industrialization, the degree of unionization, and the supply and demand for workers with particular skills in an area.

Differences between the rates of pay for various occupations in each city are shown in tables 3 and 4. Rates for each occupation are expressed as a percentage of the average earnings paid in a base classification. ${ }^{6}$

Percentage differentials between the rates of pay for office boys and girls combined (the base classification) and for most office occupations of a higher level were generally similar in most of the

[^9]areas studied (table 3). New York City, where occupational wage differentials were generally greatest, was the one notable exception. The New York average for women employed as hand bookkeepers was over 80 percent above the average for the base classification, while the median differential among the cities was less than 60 percent. Secretaries in New York were paid 76 percent more than office boys and girls as a group; the wage advantage of this occupation in the other cities ranged from 51 to 66 percent.

Differentials were especially uniform for several jobs; for example, average salaries of routine typists ranged from 4 to 15 percent above the base classification in all cities studied. The intercity range of wage differentials for stenographers was between 28 and 43 percent.

Regional locations did not appear to influence relationships between earnings for various levels of office work. For example, differentials between weekly salaries for most office occupations and the base classification were smallest in Bridgeport and largest in nearby New York City.

Wage differentials between skilled and unskilled manual-type occupations varied substantially by region (table 4). The widest range prevailed in Atlanta and Dallas. The spread was generally least in the Northeast and Far West.

These regional variations are pointed up in the following tabulation, which shows differentials between straight-time average hourly earnings for skilled maintenance workers and for stock handlers and hand truckers.

|  | Differentials in straighttime hourly earnings |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage | Cents-perhour |
| Atlanta | 66 | 65 |
| Baltimore. | 44 | 52 |
| Boston | 27 | 35 |
| Bridgeport | 28 | 38 |
| Chicago | 38 | 53 |
| Dallas | 49 | 52 |
| Dayton_ | 30 | 43 |
| Denver | 35 | 42 |
| New York | 25 | 37 |
| Portland (Oreg.) | 26 | 41 |
| San Francisco-Oakland_ | 28 | 44 |

Skilled workers in Atlanta, for example, had rates that exceeded those of the base occupation by as much as 65 percent; rates of skilled maintenance

Table 4.-Indexes of average hourly earnings ${ }^{1}$ for selected plant occupations in all industries and in manufacturing industries in 11 cities, January-June 1951
[Average earnings for stock handlers and hand truckers=100]

| Occupation ${ }^{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Me}- \\ & \text { dian } \end{aligned}$ | Low | High | $\begin{aligned} & \text { At- } \\ & \text { lanta } \end{aligned}$ | Baltimore | Boston | Bridgeport | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Chi- } \\ & \text { cago } \end{aligned}$ | Dallas | $\begin{gathered} \text { Day- } \\ \text { ton } \end{gathered}$ | Denver | New York | Portland, Oreg. | San <br> Fran-ciscoOakland |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ALL INDUSTRIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maintenance: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Carpenters. | 135 | 126 | 158 | 158 | 145 | 128 | 128 | 143 | 158 | 134 | 136 | 126 | 132 | 135 |
| Electricians. | 137 | 131 | 187 | 187 | 149 | 135 | 137 | 141 | 161 | 137 | 136 | 133 | 131 | 131 |
| Machinists | 137 | 126 | 169 | 169 | 162 | 135 | 137 | 141 | 154 | 137 | 138 | 133 | 126 | 127 |
| Maintenance men, general, utility | 121 | 112 | 135 | 133 | 133 | 112 | 113 | 118 | 135 | 117 | 131 | 115 | 123 | 121 |
| Mechanics, automotive........... | 132 | 115 | 153 | 153 | 135 147 1 | 123 | 121 | 141 134 1 | 140 150 | 115 | 132 | 122 127 | 123 | 132 |
| Mechanics, maintenance | 134 | 121 | 164 | 164 182 | 147 134 | 129 118 | 135 | 134 | 150 133 | 139 126 | 133 136 | 127 | 121 | 125 |
| Custodial: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Janitors, porters, and cleaners | 85 | 81 | 91 | 91 | 87 | 84 | 90 | 87 | 83 | 86 | 82 | 85 | 81 | 83 |
| Watchmen. | 88 | 70 | 98 | 96 | 83 | 88 | 84 | 70 | 88 | 92 |  |  |  |  |
| Warehousing and shipping: Order fillers......... | 99 | 93 | 114 | 114 | 103 | 97 | 93 | 103 | 108 | 98 | 102 | 98 | 95 | 99 |
| Packers | 96 | 88 | 103 | 100 | 102 | 92 | 99 | 95 | 98 | 103 | 93 | 88 | 91 | 96 |
| Shipping-and-receiving clerks | 108 | 99 | 119 | 119 | 114 | 102 | 112 | 108 | 118 | 103 | 106 | 99 | 109 | 103 |
| Stock handlers and hand truckers | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Truck drivers, medium | 108 | 99 | 134 | 99 | 108 | 111 | 101 | 134 | 99 | 103 | 106 | 123 | 108 | 120 |
|  | MANUFACTURING INDUSTRIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Electricians | 136 | 129 | 171 | 171 | 151 | 136 135 13 | 136 137 | 139 | 157 <br> 147 | 136 134 134 | 135 | 137 | 126 | 128 |
| Machinists ${ }_{\text {Maintenance }}$ men, general, utility | 137 125 | 126 | 160 131 | 160 131 | 125 | 1135 | 114 | 119 | 130 | 124 | 130 | 128 | 128 | 125 |
| Mechanics, automotive............ | 130 | 125 | 160 | 140 | 132 | 126 | 128 | 131 | 160 | 128 | ${ }^{3}{ }^{3}$ | 129 | 125 | 131 |
| Mechanics, maintenance | 134 | 121 | 150 | 150 | 142 | 131 | 136 | 134 | 148 | 136 | 130 | 131 | 121 | 126 |
| Painters.---.....-...----- | 129 | 122 | 166 | 156 | 145 | 125 | 124 | 129 | 166 | 128 | 122 | 125 | 132 | 133 |
| Custodial: ${ }_{\text {Janitors, }}$ porters, and cleane | 92 | 85 | 102 | 100 | 102 | 91 | 92 | 89 | 92 | 91 | 92 | 85 |  | 94 |
|  | 92 | 86 | 97 | 87 | 94 | 94 | 87 | 86 | 95 | 97 | 89 | 86 | 92 | 92 |
| Warehousing and shipping: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Packers. | 99 | 90 | 108 | 108 | 104 | 97 | 99 | 96 | 94 | 105 | 108 | 90 | 93 | 99 |
| Shipping-and-receiving clerks | 109 | 97 | 122 | 116 | 122 | 106 | 116 | 109 | 120 | 108 | 101 | 97 | 113 | 109 |
| Stock handlers and hand truckers. | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Truck drivers, medium...-. - | 116 | 97 | 141 | ${ }^{(3)}$ | 121 | 121 | 109 | 141 | 97 | 100 | 109 | 128 | 112 | 128 |

${ }^{1}$ These indexes show the relationship between average hourly earnings of selected plant occupations in the various citics. Occupational averages in each city have been expressed as percentages of the city average for stock handlers and hand truckers. Earnings data relate to straight-time earnings excluding premium pay for overtime and night work.
workers in New York City were only a fourth more than the base job.

The large wage differential within the individual southern cities was primarily the result of the comparatively low wages paid to unskilled workers. Skilled maintenance men in Atlanta, for example, earned more than 90 percent of the rate paid in New York, but the average rate for stock handlers and hand truckers in Atlanta was less than 70 percent of the New York average.
${ }^{2}$ Data relate to men workers only.
${ }_{3}$ Insufficient data to permit presentation of relative.

Examination of the data for specific industries also reveals regional variations in skill differentials. For example, in the building-construction industry, the composite average of minimum rates for six skilled occupations in both Atlanta and Dallas was more than double that paid to laborers; in Baltimore the differential was nearly 80 percent. The wage differential between these two categories in the other cities ranged from 33 to 60 percent, and was smallest in Bridgeport.

# 1952 Conventions of CIO Textile and AFL Hosiery Unions 

William Paschell and Paul F. Ruth, Jr.*

Problems of unemployed members and of consequent threats to union wage scales weighed heavily on delegates at conventions of both the Textile Workers Union of America (CIO) and the American Federation of Hosiery Workers (AFL). The TWUA met in Cleveland, Ohio, at the end of April, and the AFHW had convened a month earlier in the hard-hit hosiery center of Reading, Pa. TWUA's meeting was complicated by an internal struggle for union control.

Both conventions brought out that industry problems arose from a drop in consumer demand, large company inventories, changes in style and technology, and competition from a growing number of nonunion textile and hosiery plants in the South. Difficulties in that area were particularly thorny and were heightened by the effect of the Taft-Hartley Act which made organizing more difficult, union officers said. They pointed up the drain on union resources caused by efforts to sustain members involved in strikes in the South. Also stressed was an increased movement of textile producers to the South because of the lower wage scales and certain financial inducements offered by State and local governments.

## Economic Background

The textile industry shared with most manufacturing industries in highly profitable operations during the years following the end of World War II. Pent-up consumer demand caused a seller's market for textile products. Mills operated at peak levels, prices were high, and demand continued strong. By 1949, however, a recession had set in and production dropped.

With the outbreak of the Korean conflict in mid-1950, most consumer-goods industries including textiles experienced sharply increased demand due to anticipated shortages. Again, production soared as scare buying cleared consumer goods from retailers' shelves. Although the demand for hosiery dropped near the end of 1950 as the buying spree abated, production remained high. Fabric producers were also geared to high production in order to meet Government orders. Hosiery and fabric-cloth production reached record postwar levels in the first half of 1951. Textile inventories were considerably higher for textile producers in mid-1951 compared with previous levels. But after mid-1951, when textile production was curtailed, inventory stocks fell slightly.

## Textile-Union Issues

Unity on economic issues was evident among the nearly 2,000 delegates at TWUA's seventh biennial convention, although heated debate marked discussions of internal problems.

Economic Issues. In his keynote speech to the convention Emil Rieve, president, emphasized the unemployment and partial employment prevailing among textile workers in January 1952 when union conferees met to shape bargaining objectives. At that time, unemployment was estimated to be upwards of 150,000 because of the slump in the textile industry which had begun in 1951. Massachusetts and Rhode Island were particularly affected. In many instances, workers had exhausted their unemployment-insurance benefits. Opportunities for new employment were scarce in a number of areas because they were principally textile centers.

A resolution dealing with textile unemployment was among the first to be considered by the TWUA convention. It stressed the "avarice" of textile sellers, which "forced cloth prices to skyrocket even higher than the general price level," and which, coupled with the high cost of the necessities of life, caused consumers to forege purchases of many textile products.
Seeking the immediate alleviation of financial pressures on the unemployed, delegates unanimously voted support of the Moody-Dingell bill

[^10]for Federal supplementation of unemployment compensation. In procuring textiles, the Government was urged by the convention to negotiate with mills in distressed manpower areas and to bar mills from contract bidding if looms, spinning frames, or knitting machines were operated in excess of 80 hours a week. Further, the union delegates proposed raising the standards of the Walsh-Healey Public Contracts Act to the prevailing terms of TWUA agreements. Such terms as cost-of-living escalator adjustments, paid vacations, paid holidays, and shift premiums were included in this recommendation. Finally, Congress was called upon to establish a tripartite agency to determine the necessity for "the required observance of a basic 35 -hour week per shift with 40 hours' pay for the industry"; in periods of "distress," the agency would be empowered to limit mill operations to two shifts.

Another resolution assailed employers for liquidating textile mills and leaving "tens of communities and thousands of textile workers stranded." It condemned the practice by which owners close plants and make a "financial killing" by selling assets at inflated prices instead of undertaking the necessary modernization to permit their plants to compete. Even more serious in this connection, according to union spokesmen, was the transfer of mills by some employers who were offered inducements by local and State governments. Mississippi, Tennessee and Kentucky were cited as States which "have paid for the construction of plants, and, in some cases, have even installed machinery and used the public borrowing powers to raise the money." Other communities have given employers tax concessions and other subsidies and " 'protections'-sometimes in the form of assurance against unionization," the resolution stated.

A guest speaker, Jacob S. Potofsky, president of the Amalgamated Clothing Workers (CIO), also denounced the "growing tendency" of local and State governments to "issue tax-exempt bonds for the construction of factories, subsequently leased, loaned, or given to private profit-making enterprises." This, he said, constitutes direct competition with plants which cannot take advantage of such tax exemption and intensifies the unemployment situation in established areas. The resolution on liquidation and migration of plants pointed out the objections of some employers to such
activities as interfering with free competition. Action proposed by TWUA included the elimination of the Federal tax exemption currently granted on local and State bonds designed to finance industrial enterprise and a continued drive for severance pay to workers affected by mill closings.

TWUA's executive council report scored the textile industry's belated recognition of the consuming public's shift from dressy styles to casual wear. Most textile mills overlooked this trend until late 1951 and continued to produce old staple fabrics with minor changes in weave or color patterns. The industry's failure to develop brand names and its general lack of cooperation with the apparel industries in effective sales-promotion campaigns were also cited as factors in textile workers' unemployment. Old-line mills were also warned in the report that, unless they experimented with new fibers other than rayon and developed blends to reduce costs, they would not share in new markets now being rapidly created.

In its report on improved technology, the council charged that some employers have refused to modernize and have sought "inequitable increases in machine assignments on antique equipment." ${ }^{1}$ These employers, according to the report, attempt to justify their actions by pointing up the greater workloads in the South, but seldom admit that most southern mills are superior in both lay-out and machinery to those in the North.

Because of the growth of centralized management where policy is set and control is exercised in offices far removed from the mills, the gap between textile management and workers has widened, the executive council reported. Thus, new executive and supervisory personnel, not alerted to mill workers' problems, are using "mathematically calculated standards" which lead to speed-up conditions.

Internal Problems. The contest between Mr. Rieve and George Baldanzi, executive vice president of the union, first became evident at TWUA's 1950 convention, when Mr. Rieve unsuccessfully sought Mr. Baldanzi's defeat in officer elections; the following year, he removed Sam Baron as Canadian director of organization over Mr.

[^11]Baldanzi's protest. At one point in his keynote address, Mr. Rieve declared that Mr. Baldanzi had acted not as his executive assistant but as his "executive opponent" during the past 2 years.

At this year's convention, two complete slates of candidates contested for top offices for the first time in TWUA's history. In his seventh consecutive bid for the presidency, Mr. Rieve was successful over Mr. Baldanzi by a vote of 1,223 to 720. Similar voting margins of less than 2 to 1 placed Mr. Rieve's supporters in all top offices. Mariano S. Bishop won the executive vice presidency and William Pollock was re-elected as secretary-treasurer.

The result of standing votes taken early in TWUA's meeting foreshadowed the outcome of the elections, held by secret ballot and supervised by the Honest Ballot Association. After the elections, a constitutional amendment barring the use of international or local funds in union-election campaigns at all levels was adopted after vigorous debate. Following his defeat, Mr. Baldanzi declared that he had no intention of leading a secessionist move. However, shortly after the end of the convention, Mr. Baldanzi withdrew from the TWUA to become national organizing director of the United Textile Workers (AFL), and a number of TWUA locals voted to transfer their affiliation to the UTW.

## Hosiery Workers' Economic Problems

In his keynote speech to the 40th AFHW convention, the union's president, Alexander McKeown, emphasized the acute unemployment situation among hosiery workers by revealing that over 3,000 members were lost in 1951 in the Philadelphia and Reading areas alone, owing to plant shut-downs and plant migration to the South. He continued by pointing out that the union's 30,000 members in 1952 represented 20 to 25 percent of the workers in the industry, compared with approximately 40 percent before World War II. He attributed this situation to economic conditions and the "runaway-shop psychosis" within the industry. The proceedings throughout the convention centered on attempts to find a solution to the entire problem.

Samuel Reubin, president of the Full Fashioned Hosiery Manufacturers' Association and one of the principal guest speakers at the convention,
attributed a great part of the industry's present difficulties to the tremendous expansion in plants and the establishment of many new small operations since the war ended. Of the 767 mills operating in 1951, 510 had 10 machines or less and 350 had 4 machines or less. Many of these small independent mills manufacture unbranded stockings which usually sell at bargain prices in competition with branded stockings made by the large mills. The production of the industry is currently about 80 percent unbranded, Mr. Reubin said.

Controlling production was the answer to most of the industry's problems, Mr. Reubin said. In his opinion, however, mill operators could not legally control production and Government interference through legislation would not solve the problem; instead, the union was the key to minimizing overproduction through the organization of a larger percentage of the industry. Through collective bargaining, excessive overtime and extra shifts leading to overproduction could be eliminated and unfair competition could be curtailed. The convention delegates and union officers, however, called for the Government to take steps to alleviate the distressed condition of the industry and to eliminate some of the inequities in competition created by low wage areas.

The delegates passed a resolution proposing "an amendment to the Wage-Hour Law giving the administrator power to reduce the maximum straight-time hours from 40 to 35 in those industries where it could be demonstrated that in-dustry-wide depression and overproduction could be relieved or minimized by a reduction of the maximum hours in the workweek." By this approach, the facilities of an existing law and agency would be used and no new administrative machinery would be required, according to the union's officers.

To raise the living standards in low-wage areas and to eliminate the depressive effect that such low wages have on higher wage areas of the same industry, a resolution called for a new minimum wage of $\$ 1.25$ an hour.

The union's convention report and several of the speakers took note of the postwar trend toward sheerer stockings which has necessitated newmachinery investments by manufacturers in order to remain in a competitive position. As an inducement to manufacturers to purchase new
equipment, the union passed a resolution calling for the Department of Justice to change its policy which disapproves of payments by machine manufacturers for the scrapping of old machinery as a condition of sale for their new machinery. This action was asked for on the basis that old machines, resold and erected in small mills, have contributed to overproduction in the industry.

In the discussion and recommendations concerned with the economic aspects of the hosiery industry, southern competition was stressed. Both Mr. Reubin's industry report and the AFHW convention report noted the South's significant gain in the production of full-fashioned hosiery. The union's report stated that the North with twice as many mills produces less than the South; the South holds its competitive advantage in this industry branch, however, because most of the new and larger plants, equipped with up-to-date machinery, have been built there. In seamless hosiery, on the other hand, the North-South production differential has remained unchanged since the end of World War II, and the South outnumbers the North in plants by 3 to 1 and produces 80 percent of the industry total of seamless hosiery, according to the report.

Considerable attention was given to the recent building of plants in the South which were financed by tax-free municipal bonds. Municipalities have approached northern manufacturers with offers of new plants for lease on a 5 -year basis, at a moderate rate, and with a 10 - or 15 -year extension at a comparatively low rate. Convention speakers for both the industry and the union condemned this development. Mr. McKeown called for the cooperation of the unionized mills in an attempt to halt this migration to the South.

The convention passed a resolution requesting the passage of the Rhodes Bill which would bar the shipment in interstate commerce of any article made in municipally leased plants. This resolution also asks Congress to amend the Federal income tax laws so that income from municipal bonds issued for other than governmental functions would be taxable.

George W. Taylor, former impartial chairman for the hosiery industry, recalled in his address that the union was a pioneer in the practice of settling disputes through an impartial chairman, and that it had taken a substantial wage cut in 1938 to assist unionized mills to regain their competitive
position. He stated that the industry had experienced a 25 -year period of industrial peace through cooperation of this type.

Both Dr. Taylor and Mr. Reubin complimented the union on its fairness and farsightedness in accepting a recent wage tribunal decision cutting wages up to 25 percent. Mr. Reubin told the delegates that "this cut has enabled the unionized firms to gain a slight competitive advantage over the rest of the industry and some firms have been able to recover some of their lost markets."

## Organizational Problems

The similarity in organizational problems of the two unions was brought out in the proceedings at both conventions.

Textile Workers. TWUA reported that it represented over 400,000 workers covered by contracts, and that no net organizational gains were made since the last convention 2 years ago. However, a determined union struggle was being waged to counteract membership losses due to curtailed employment aggravated by plant shut-downs and removals, the delegates were told. It was also reported that 286 new contracts covering 23,183 workers, mostly in small shops, had been negotiated since the last convention. In addition, bargaining rights for 7,329 more workers were secured in plants where contracts were not yet negotiated.

Sharp criticism was directed at the Taft-Hartley Act, especially with regard to the South, where TWUA represents from 15 to 20 percent of the textile workers. A resolution adopted by the delegates termed T-H a "fortress" for open-shop employers and a "full employment bill" for lawyers. Senator Hubert H. Humphrey (D., Minn.) concurred with TWUA's position on this legislation in an address to the convention. He referred to the report on Labor-Management Relations in the Southern Textile Industry, recently published by the Senate Subcommittee on Labor and LaborManagement Relations of which he is chairman. In this document, a subcommittee majority found evidence to substantiate Mr. Rieve's charges that a "widespread conspiracy" to destroy unions exists in the South. President Truman also referred to the report in a strong message to the convention.
Net resources of the union had declined $\$ 426,422$ over a 2 -year period and were more than a million
dollars below the March 31, 1951, peak. The most extensive financial drain, according to the TWUA council report, came from the use of $\$ 1,260,000$ in international funds to support a 5 -week strike by southern cotton-rayon workers which began April 2, 1951. In all, southern strikes absorbed nearly 93 percent of all strike expenditures, although there was a high proportion of strikes in the North.
"Fringe benefit" levels achieved by the TWUA in contract negotiations were among the gains emphasized by the union's officers. Some 60,000 textile workers are currently covered by pension plans providing minimum payments of $\$ 100$ monthly including Federal Social Security benefits. About 360,000 TWUA members are covered by employer-paid insurance providing death, hospitalization, accident, sickness, surgical, and maternity benefits. All TWUA contracts provide paid vacations up to 3 weeks for 10 years of employment and 70 percent of the TWUA contracts provide six or more paid holidays.

Hosiery Workers. The AFHW convention was told by Mr. Reubin and Dr. Taylor that the union could not expect to be a factor in setting wage standards and working conditions, if it represented only 20 percent of the industry's workers, primarily employed in the North. According to Mr. Reubin, the union should represent 70 percent of the workers in the industry; then, such problems as substandard wages, overproduction, overtime, extra shifts, etc., could be solved by collective bargaining. He advised the delegates that, unless the union took steps to organize the southern mills, the future of the hosiery industry in the North and hosiery workers' livelihoods would be threatened.

The report of the organizing committee and Mr . McKeown attributed much of the organizingcampaign failures to the Taft-Hartley Act's provisions and their misuse by anti-union manu-
facturers. Congressional cuts in funds for the administration of the act and delays in elections and the processing of charges have impeded the union's membership drives. In addition, Mr. McKeown criticized the practice of employers who win decertification elections in many instances by waging year-long anti-union campaigns which are costly to the union.

These impediments have caused the union to change its organizing tactics, the delegates were told. In the North, the union has resorted to organizational strikes and picketing similar to methods used in pre-NLRB days. Between February, when this technique was first used, and the convention, a period of about a month, 20 strikes had occurred in the eastern Pennsylvania area and had resulted in 3 signed contracts. The costly and time-consuming process of signing cards and petitioning for an election is removed by this technique, union officers stated, and it will be used against the large number of small mills in the North; police and community interferencs, they said, minimize its chances for success in the South.

Delegates were told that most card signing would be eliminated in the South. Newly organized members must pay dues to their local organizations in order to finance their own membership drives or strikes. The union will no longer pay strike benefits in organizational strikes, unless the local organization has first displayed the ability to hold out. Organizers have been instructed to concentrate on chain mills (several mills of one company over a scattered area) in order to place more pressure on the large nonunion producers.

The organizing committee's report stated that the outlook for the union is serious, but several factors brighten the situation somewhat. It pointed to the fact that several spontaneous stoppages without union assistance have already occurred, and that organizers report recent increased interest and attendance at meetings.

# IAM Training for Active Participation in Local Lodges 

George T. Kotrotsios *

Aims of the AFL International Association of Machinists' training program are to inspire local lodge officials to become more effective in the performance of their duties and the rank and file to be more active in the lodge, and to stimulate permanent educational programs on the local level. To achieve these objectives, the Education Department of the IAM conducts brief institutes for union members anywhere in the United States and Canada on request of local lodges. Training sessions are usually held in the evenings, attendance of both elected officials and members is stressed, and the subject matter is selected and presented so as to emphasize the functions and responsibilities of the local lodges and to find answers to their problems. Lectures and group discussions coupled with visual instruction are the teaching methods. ${ }^{1}$

The IAM provides training for the general membership-the "backbone" of the organi-zation-which is relatively novel in the field of worker education. This type of training presented problems in basic procedure which to some degree were successfully surmounted, after conducting experimental institutes. Practical consideration of the problems affecting the individual lodges as well as the flexible treatment in planning each program and in instruction methods help to make the program effective. Currently, efforts are being centered on satisfying local lodge requests for training programs; the ultimate goal is to give all members regardless of location, the opportunity to attend an institute. Although
as yet no emphasis has been placed on formally testing results of the training, some local officials have informed the department of changes in lodge administration following the institute.

Methods used by IAM Educational Director Tom Tippet and Assistant Educational Director Dorothy Dowell at an institute held in Waukegan, Ill., in January 1952, were observed by the writer and, at many points in this article, illustrate both the procedures and content of the program as a whole.

## Development and Scope

The Education Department was authorized at the New York City Convention in 1945. Formal steps toward inaugurating the educational training activities by the IAM Education Department were outlined at the organization's Grand Rapids Convention in 1948. Here both the need for training and the establishment of necessary machinery to speed up the process of learning through experience were cited. As stated by the director, in the Machinist Monthly Journal of August 1948, the objectives of such training are "to promote a systematic educational and training activity for officers and members of the IAM which would have for its purpose strengthening the union as a labor organization and making it a more effective instrument for protecting and advancing the collective interests of its members and the cause of organized labor in general."

Prior to conducting training institutes for members at the local lodge level, the Education Department in early 1949 initiated a series of 20 institutes ${ }^{2}$ for staff members of the organization. Each such institute lasted 4 days and consisted of 8 hours of formal discussion each day. Instruction emphasized, in addition to the history of the labor movement, the administrative techniques employed by the IAM in organizing, in negotiating a contract, and in enforcing an agreement. Staff members were required to attend these institutes. They represented a nucleus of responsible trade-unionists having long experience in

[^12]the labor movement, and during discussions at the training sessions their varying viewpoints became clear. Primarily, these officer institutes served to furnish an opportunity for an exchange of ideas and to demonstrate methods of communicating such information to others in the organization. They also acquainted certain staff personnel with the advantages of training programs, particularly District Lodge officials who were destined to initiate, program, and promote similar sessions for the members of the several lodges within their jurisdiction.

In line with the convention resolutions, the Education Department in November 1950 made plans to conduct training institutes for officers and members at the local lodge level in which the major emphasis would be membership understanding of, and participation in, local lodge functions and activities. Thus, it embarked on an endeavor relatively new in the field of workers' education.

Unlike many other trade-union training programs, such as summer schools or full-time training courses, the IAM members attend training sessions after the regular 8-hour workday. Such a program entailed obvious difficulties regarding methodology and consequently the department conducted two series of institutes ${ }^{3}$ in different sections of the country on a "trial-run" basis. They consisted of five evening weekday sessions from 7:30 to 10:00 and one all-day session on Saturday from 9:30 a. m. to 4:30 p. m. Subject matter was selected in order to facilitate definition and discussion of the various elected positions in carrying out local lodge functions and understanding of labor history with special emphasis on the IAM.

In 1951, exclusive of the trial runs, the department conducted 13 institutes in which more than 2,000 different IAM members participated. They were held principally in the Midwest and on the West Coast.

## Planning the Institute

In setting up an institute, every effort is made to encourage a large membership attendance and to plan the program in accordance with the pre-

[^13]vailing problems in the area. Under current procedure, the Education Department acts as a "servicing agency" of the IAM and supplies training to the district or local lodge upon request. The department discovered as a result of the trialruns that institutes cannot be arbitrarily assigned to a particular area, planned as to subject matter without knowledge of local needs, or scheduled properly without taking into account other local lodge activities. For these reasons, after receiving a request for a training program in a particular area, the department sends one of its directors to the community to consult and plan with a special institute planning committee of local officials and interested members.

At the pre-institute conference a wide variety of subjects, calculated to create effective administration in the local lodge's everyday activities, is made available for analysis. Local officials acquainted with the obstacles to sound administration of their lodge have the opportunity of choosing subject matter that is designed to disclose local problems and can be used to improve the situation.

All promotional work for the recruitment of institute students is undertaken by local officials. They are guided only by the advice given at the planning conference. Promotional techniques utilized at well-attended institutes in 1951 are emphasized by the director and suggested as possible methods for obtaining large attendance. The Education Department has also limited the area to be covered by any institute; the experimental institutes proved that covering lodges within a 30 to 40 mile area placed a burden on individual members.

Planning officials are given the opportunity of programming a single institute to include day as well as evening sessions for the benefit of nightshift workers. This type of local activity has been undertaken a few times, but in most cases the members employed at night are insufficient in number to warrant daytime sessions. Moreover, both the rank-and-file and the officers expressed a dislike for daytime training, and, in practice, the daytime sessions did not fare well with respect to attendance. The trial-run all-day Saturday sessions were eliminated for the same reasons.

Local officials have a considerable amount of freedom in planning their institutes in order to make them meet the needs peculiar to their specific
area. This freedom does not extend to deciding the length of the program because, for the most part, officials tend to request a long program with a wide variety of topics. The Education Department makes every effort to keep the number of sessions between 4 and 7 , depending upon the enthusiasm displayed at the conference, the size of the membership, and the problems prevalent in the area.

Generally, institutes are held at the local union hall where the men feel at home and the atmosphere has proven conducive to discussion. In Waukegan, where the program was planned without an advance conference, ${ }^{4}$ the union hall was not used. There, local officials in promoting the program approached every member in the district lodge through the shop steward in his plant. Since approximately 250 members returned application cards signifying that they would attend, the location was changed from the union hall to a local high-school auditorium which could seat more than 350 people. When the average nightly attendance totaled only some 55 , the members were somewhat lost in the auditorium. During intermission, the members left the building to smoke and this caused many to straggle in late for the second hour. Notwithstanding these drawbacks, it is the directors' opinion that by allowing local officials to have complete sponsorship of the training activity they will become fully acquainted with the fundamental procedures in organizing an education program. Such realistic local sponsorship will in the long run increase the possibility of continuing similar programs on the local lodge level.

## The Waukegan Institute

Basically, both the institute subject matter and the method of presentation are geared to meet two objectives: (1) to stimulate the elected local officials to become better administrators and the rank and file to display more interest and activity in the lodge; and (2) to encourage them to set up a continuing educational program in order to meet new administrative problems and to serve as an

[^14]important means by which many IAM members can become active trade unionists. The Education Department does not expect to make skilled union functionaries out of local lodge officials during the few hours of educational training supplied by a single institute. It merely strives to provide by this means the incentive or stimulus to learn, and it offers literature and other aids to facilitate the process.
The institute conducted in Waukegan was typical and exemplifies the basic aspects and results of training. Subject matter chosen for the five evening sessions of 2 hours each included labor history with special emphasis on the IAM; functions of the local lodge and responsibility of the local lodge to its members; shop stewards and committeemen; negotiating and organizing; and planning an education program on the local lodge level.

The two directors of the Education Department shared the instruction at three of the five sessions. A direct lecture method explaining functions was used by the director who reserved a portion of the allotted time for questions and discussion. The assistant director ${ }^{5}$ used the same approach but stimulated discussion through questions and answers from the lodge participants during the course of lectures. Both techniques brought to the surface many of the problems affecting the locals. Various officials were concerned because of their inability to obtain larger attendances at local lodge meetings and accepted the problem as one that could not be solved. "We've tried everything in the book and still can't get them to come," one official insisted. The discussion that followed however, revealed that "everything" did not include sharpening-up the meeting itself, wider use of committees, and other techniques of democratic participation. Other issues that arose were handled in the same manner.

Presentation by the Education Department officials was necessarily flexible to permit sufficient discussion and explanation on questions of local importance. In some cases, it was necessary to summarize but in every instance the topic on the agenda was at least touched upon. The overall program itself was also flexible and readily adjustable. As originally scheduled, the organizing and negotiating sessions were to be held separately, but they were combined so that the closing
night could be devoted to the subject of planning an education program on the local lodge level.

A sound film and narrated filmstrip, each of which was presented in relation to a specific subject, supplemented the lectures and discussions. During the second hour of the opening labor history session, the film, "With These Hands," portrayed the history of the International Ladies' Garment Workers' Union. It realistically showed the members the struggles of organization and the benefits that grew out of united action. Also, during the session pertaining to the function of the local lodge, the IAM filmstrip, "Cradle of Action," depicted the right and wrong way of conducting a local lodge meeting.

The closing session on planning a local lodge education program was strictly a lecture informative period. This was necessary in order to cover a broad field in a short time. Major emphasis was placed on using the facilities available within the lodge and the community in planning training programs, without expending large sums of money. The local lodge meeting was particularly stressed as a permanent source of education, together with the publication of a mimeographed local newspaper, the organization of classes on specific subjects, and presentations on specialized subjects by experts. Also at this session, a professor from the Institute of Labor and Industrial Relations at the State university informed the members of the services available for education for organized labor.

Attendance at the last session totaled 39 members, 24 of whom were elected officers of the district lodge and local lodges. This large representation of officers was anticipated in view of the subject matter; this, in fact, was preferred because it brought together the officials who were interested in furthering education and who, more than likely, would be active in instituting training programs. The importance of this session is clear owing to the fact that an estimated 5 to 7 percent of all IAM members will eventually become exposed to institute training, leaving with them the great responsibility of unifying thousands of members in the purpose and functions of active tradeunionism.

Since the institutes were conducted during the evening and the material presented did not appeal to all members, the attendance varied throughout
the course. It ranged from 67 at the third session to 39 at the close of the institute. In all, 123 persons from a combined district lodge membership of 2,100 , participated in one or more of the sessions and 15 attended the complete institute. The majority of members attending were young men with 5 to 10 years' experience in the labor movement. Women, who make up an estimated third of the combined district membership, participated but averaged only 7 at each session.

As in many previous institutes, the program in Waukegan was attended by people outside the IAM organization who became aware of the sessions through local commercial press publicity. Specifically, five local high-school teachers, all members of the American Federation of Teachers (AFL), were present and three members of two other labor organizations. The Education Department takes no part in granting permission for outsiders to observe but follows its initial policy of leaving such matters up to the local officials who program the institute.

## Effects of IAM Institutes

The conduct of training institutes in many parts of the country, under different local conditions, and for IAM members of varying individual development and experience in the labor movement, has affected the over-all program, and to some extent, although it is difficult to measure, the officials and members of the local lodges. The Education Department does not attempt through questionnaires or other means to discover any improvement in membership performance or whether or not education programs have been started as a result of the institutes. It relies on the institutes and is confident that they will provide the stimulus for such action.

However, the reaction of members who attended the Waukegan institute gives some indication of the possible future local application of the training. For example, a group of shop stewards at the session devoted to their functions in the local lodge discovered many advantages in holding shop-steward meetings within the district lodge and formulated plans to conduct such meetings in the future. Two of the local high-school teachers expressed a willingness to assist in instructing, if formal classroom programs were
initiated. And in closing the institute, the official in charge formally announced that education committees would be established to carry out the intent of the institute.

With each institute held, the directors become more convinced that the program must be broadened to meet demands for training on technical subjects such as wage stabilization and job evaluation. This may be accomplished by additions to the Education Department or by expanding the existing IAM staff training on these subjects.

As a result of knowledge obtained by conducting institutes in many representative sections of the
country, the Education Department plans to put to practical use the experience gained. Manuals are currently being prepared for distribution within the IAM to describe the proper methods of performing various local lodge operations. A Handbook for Organizers was written following the officer institutes.

In general, the department expects to continue with the same type of training activity until the needs of all the area requests within the IAM jurisdiction have been fulfilled. They will, of course, be guided by practicality and flexibilitythe two essentials of the program which contribute toward creating the ultimate in effectiveness.

## Union Convention Schedule, July 1952

Among union conventions, which are usually held periodically to determine policy and to elect national officers, those scheduled for July 1952 are listed below by type-national or international and State-and in chronological order.

| July | National or International Conventions | Place |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | American Newspaper Guild, CIO | Portland, Oreg. |
| 14 | International Brotherhood of Bookbinders, AFL | St. Louis |
| 21 | Federation of Glass, Ceramic, and Silica Sand Workers, CIO. | Rochester, N. Y. |
| - | Plant Protection Association, Ind | Detroit |
| - | Radio and Television Directors Guild, AFL | New York |
|  | State Conventions |  |
| 14 | New York, AFL | Rochester |
| 14 | Washington, AFL | Tacoma |

## Summaries of Studies and Reports

## Food-Purchasing Power of

 Earnings in 12 Countries, 1951-52An industrial worker in the United States can buy more than 5 times as much food with an hour's pay as a Russian worker who shops in a Moscow State store. Prices in Russian State stores, where city workers appear to buy most of their food, are fixed by the Government. Even though these stores reduced prices of many important foods from 10 to 20 percent as of April 1, 1952, the average worker's food cost probably dropped by only about 12 percent because not all foods were equally affected. The relative advantage of the American worker over his counterpart in Western Europe is also very striking. In the latter part of 1951, an hour's pay in the United States bought one and two-fifths times as much food as in Norway and one and a half times as much as in Great Britain. In comparisons with eight other Western European countries, the advantage of the American worker is greater but the variations are considerable.

These figures were obtained in a Bureau of Labor Statistics analysis of earnings of industrial workers and retail food prices in the United States and 11 other countries in the last 6 months of 1951 and early 1952.

## Index of Food-Purchasing Power

The food-purchasing power of average hourly earnings of industrial workers in each of 11 foreign countries in relation to that of the average factory worker in the United States is shown in table 1.

A general grouping of the countries covered, according to the work time required to buy food, indicates that the American worker spent 18 percent as much time to pay for a given quantity of food as the Soviet worker; he spent from 26 to 36 percent as much time as the Italian, Austrian, or French worker; from 39 to 48 percent as much time as the Dutch, West Germans, Irish, or Swiss;
and from 62 to 71 percent as much time as the Danish, British, or Norwegian worker.

Table 1.-Indexes of the purchasing power of hourly earnings in terms of food in 11 countries, second half 1951
[United States $=100^{*}$ ]

| Country |  | Indexes of the purchasing <br> power of average <br> hourly earnings |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

*See Method of Computation, p. 661.
${ }_{2}^{1}$ For wife and 2 children, reduced to an hourly basis.
${ }_{3}{ }^{2}$ See footnote 1 , table 3.
${ }_{3}^{3}$ In Ireland family allowances begin with the third child.
4 No family allowances paid.
${ }^{6}$ A April 1952 figures were available and therefore used.

- Children's allowances in the U.S.S.R. now begin with the fourth child, and end with the fifth birthday.

In all of the 11 foreign countries, except Germany, earnings are regularly supplemented by allowances for families with varying numbers of children; for 8 of them it was possible to compute the relative food-purchasing power of the earnings plus family allowance of a worker with 3 dependents (for example, a wife and 2 children). In Ireland allowances begin with the third child. Data are not available to show the effect of the allowances which are paid in the Soviet Union and which, in any case, only start with the fourth child and stop when the child reaches its fifth birthday.

When family allowances are averaged in with hourly earnings, France is the only country for which a striking difference in relative position occurs. In other words, family allowances have become such an important part of the take-home pay of the French worker with a family, that they make a considerable difference in the quantity of groceries he can buy with an hour's pay.

The indexes of the food-purchasing power of average hourly earnings (using earnings in the United States as 100) reflect differences in the domestic buying power of national currencies in these countries as compared with the United States dollar, and differences in the level of wages. Food prices are generally higher in the United States than in the other countries surveyed, but earnings are also higher-in fact, very much higher. For all these countries, except the Soviet Union, the indexes of the food-purchasing power of wages (table 1) are higher than the indexes of hourly earnings shown in table 2, when overseas earnings are converted to dollars and cents by means of foreign exchange rates. The difference is explained by the fact that, even when foreign exchange rates are allowed to fluctuate freely on an open market, they do not adequately represent international differences in domestic purchasing power. Many purely domestic factors, which affect domestic price levels, are not subject to international competition. When foreign exchange rates are fixed by governments, they are even further from representing international differences in domestic purchasing power.

Any study of international differences in the purchasing power of wages must take account not only of the level of average hourly earnings but also of average prices. Hourly earnings in this country and those in the 11 other countries are
translated into United States cents by means of foreign exchange rates in table 2.
The unilateral way in which the Soviet Government fixes the foreign exchange value of the rublewithout any regard to relative price levels in the U.S.S.R. and other countries-accounts for the large discrepancy between the figures for the Soviet Union in tables 1 and 2.

## Comparisons by Country

The figures in this study bring up to date similar data for late 1949 and early 1950 presented in a previous study. (See the Monthly Labor Review for February 1951, p. 143.) For six of the countries covered (Austria, Great Britain, Ireland, Italy, Netherlands, and Switzerland) indexes of purchasing power of wages in terms of food are not materially different for 1951 and 1949-50, because wages and food prices have followed trends similar to those in the United States.

In the other five countries, however, some marked changes occurred between the date covered by the earlier study and the second half of 1951. ${ }^{1}$ In Denmark and Norway, purchasing power of earnings in terms of food has definitely

[^15]Table 2.-Relative purchasing power of average hourly earnings calculated on basis of current foreign exchange rates

| Country | Month ofreference, 1951 | A verage hourly earnings ${ }^{1}$ |  |  | Nature of basic earnings data from which hourly earnings were derived |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | In national currency | $\begin{aligned} & \text { In U. S. } \\ & \text { cents } \end{aligned}$ | Index (U. S. earn- ings $=100$ ) |  |
| United States Norway. | August---- <br> October.-. <br> July $\qquad$ <br> August.-.- <br> October-.- <br> Septem. ber. $\qquad$ | 160-164 cents* 342.00 бre <br> 36.70 pence $\qquad$ <br> 369.20 фre $\qquad$ <br> 26.00 pence <br> 233.00 centimes | $\begin{aligned} & 47.9 \\ & 42.8 \end{aligned}$ | 100 30 | A verage hourly earnings in manufacturing. <br> A verage hourly earnings for adult male workers in manu- |
| Great Britain. |  |  |  | 27 | facturing and mining. <br> Average hourly earning in manufacturing construction, |
| Denmark. |  |  | 53.2 | 33 | transportation, etc. |
| Ireland |  |  |  |  | trade. t verage hourly earnings in manufacturing and mining. |
| Switzerland |  |  | 4 | 33 | Average hourly earnings in manufacturing, trade, and |
| Germany (Bizone) |  | 150.30 pfennigs. | 35.8 | 22 | Gross hourly earnings in manufacturing and construction. |
| Netherlands |  | 97.00 cents ${ }^{2}$ | 25.5 | 16 | Average hourly earnings for all workers in manufacturing |
| France (Paris).- | October... | 144.80 francs ${ }^{2}$ | 41.4 | 26 | Estimated monthly gross earnings, skilled and unskilled |
| Austria (Vienna). | Septem- | 6.15 schillings | 28.6 | 18 | Paris workers, in all occupations, plus overtime premiums. A verage weekly earnings of marricd workers with 2 children |
| Italy |  | 194.50 lire | 31.1 | 19 | Damployed in industry and handicrafts. ${ }^{\text {ende }}$ (aily wage in manufacturing and electric power adjusted |
| U.S.S.R. (Moscow area) | April ${ }^{3}$.-. | 2.9 | 73.5 | 45 | for vertime, etc. ${ }^{\text {f }}$ ( verage hourly earnings of all workers. |

[^16][^17]Table 3.-Minutes of working time required to buy various foods in 10 foreign countries and the United States, selected months July 1951-April $1952^{1}$

| Commodity and unit | United States September $1951{ }^{2}$ | Austria <br> (Vienna) <br> Septem- <br> ber 1951 | Denmark <br> July 1951 | France (Paris) 1951 | Germany September 1951 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ireland } \\ & \text { August } \\ & 1951 \end{aligned}$ | Italy September 1951 | Netherlands September 1951 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Norway } \\ & \text { August } \\ & 1951 \end{aligned}$ | Switzerland October 1951 | U.S.S.R. <br> (Moscow area) <br> April 1952 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cereals: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flour, wheat | 4 | 19 | 8 | 20 | 15 | 6 | 15 20 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 27 45 |
|  | 8 |  |  | 33 |  |  | 17 |  | 17 | 16 | 91 |
|  | 6 | 16 | 10 | 9 | 12 | 8 | 13 | 13 | 7 | 7 | 14 |
| Meats: $\begin{aligned} & \text { Beef, average } \\ & \text { M }\end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | 126 |  | 72 | 128 | 111 | 58 |  | 132 |
|  | 31 | 84 | 50 | 126 | 71 | 72 | 128 | 111 |  | 73 |  |
|  | 25 | 42 | 42 | 55 |  |  |  |  | 53 | 64 | ---------- |
|  | 48 | 89 | 39 | 120 | 94 |  |  | 125 | 48 | 100 |  |
| Pork, average..-..----....-- |  | 97 | 44 | 91 | 87 |  | 124 |  | 59 |  | 20 |
|  | 32 |  | 35 | 91 | 87 | 100 |  | 93 |  | 95 | 331 |
|  | 31 |  | 35 | 133 |  | 76 |  |  | 61 | 85 | 140 |
|  | 18 |  | 15 | 33 | 31 | 42 | 65 |  | 18 |  | 135 |
| Dairy products: |  |  |  |  | 115 |  |  |  |  |  | 270 |
|  | 30 22 | 107 | 42 | 104 |  | 60 | 109 | 88 | 38 | 35 |  |
|  | 8 | 19 | 8 | 16 | 15 | 16 | 20 | 12 | 9 | 12 | 42 |
| Eggs, fresh | 32 | 152 | 52 | 118 | 125 | 109 | 126 | 143 | 82 | 97 | 187 |
| Fresh fruits and vegetables: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4 | 10 |  | 19 | 16 |  |  |  |  | 6 | 37 |
|  | 5 |  | 12 | 9 | 8 |  |  |  | 12 | 7 | 9 |
|  | 2 | 4 | 12 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 9 |
|  | 32 | 266 | 110 | 175 | 585 |  | 250 | 207 | 68 | 122 | 531 |
|  | 49 | 531 | 160 |  |  | 74 |  | 213 | 228 | 188 | 960 |
| Fats and oils: | 9 | 71 | 37 | 77 |  |  | 77 | 70 |  | 40 |  |
|  | 13 | 46 | 31 | 64 | 39 | 55 |  | 58 | 19 |  | 152 |
|  | 4 | 29 | 5 | 21 | 21 | 9 | 37 | 26 | 7 | 14 | 110 |

1 With the revision of its retail price index in 1947, the British Government ceased to publish average food prices as part of its program to reduce the cost of collecting statistics. The indexes in table 1 for Great Britain were computed on the basis of changes in average earnings in the United States and Great Britain and changes in the food component of the consumer prices indexes of the two countries as related to the previously reported 1949 index
of the food-buying power of wages. The 1949 comparison was based on figures published by the British Government on maximum food prices which were generally the prevailing prices in that year. The 1950 index computed on this basis was also 65 .
${ }_{2}$ Data for only 1 month given, because of space considerations.
declined, owing to Government reductions in food subsidies in the spring of 1950 (for the purpose of cutting Government expenditures) and to a lag in wage-rate increases compared with food prices. (However, wage rates have increased as much as the official index for all items included in living costs.)

French workers' wages rose during the last quarter of 1951, following a September 1951 increase in guaranteed minimum wages. These increases were granted partly to compensate for increases in living costs that had already occurred and partly in anticipation of further increases because of authorized advances in electric power and certain food prices. Between October 1951 (the month to which the index for France given in table 1 applies) and February 1952, the official retail food price index for Paris increased 10 percent, and available reports indicate that wages on the average rose by less than 10 percent. ${ }^{2}$ Consequently, in February 1952, the estimated purchasing power of average hourly earnings in terms of food in Paris was below the October 1951 level shown in
table 1. Food prices in the United States rose and then declined somewhat, and average hourly earnings rose between October 1951 and February 1952.

In the Federal German Republic, average earnings rose somewhat more than food prices in the interval between the two studies. The food-purchasing power of the average West German wage earner in September 1951 was about two-fifths that of the average American industrial worker. Family allowances which had been used under the Nazis as a means of increasing birth rates were abolished in 1945.

On the basis of this study, work time required to buy a given quantity of food was longer in the Soviet Union than in any of the 10 western European countries. The food-buying power of the Italian worker (even without family allowance) was 44 percent higher than that of the average worker in the Moscow area, and that of the average Norwegian, 300 percent higher.

[^18]
## Methods of Computation

The present study, like the earlier one, has been limited to measuring relative purchasing power in terms of food, primarily because most countries do not publish average retail prices for other commodities. Even when prices are available for nonfoods, information on quality is either entirely lacking or is so incomplete that comparisons with the United States are subject to a very large margin of error. The comparisons of purchasing power of earnings in terms of food are approximations, because of country-to-country differences in the availability, geographical coverage, and reliability of the statistical data. In making these computations it has not been possible to take account of the value of goods and services received by wage earners without direct money expense. The data required to put a monetary value on such income to the wage-earner group are not available, on a comparable basis, for the countries covered in the study. Similarly, taxes paid by this group have not been deducted from earnings.

It appears certain that substantial variations between two countries reflect real differences in the food-buying power of earnings rather than accidental though unavoidable shortcomings of the data. Every effort has been made to select from the available statistics comparable earnings and price data. Average earnings (except in the U.S.S.R.), family allowances, and retail food prices have been taken from official publications of the Governments concerned. The Soviet Union does not publish average earnings of its workers, nor as a rule, any but the most fragmentary figures on earnings in specific industries.

In computing the indexes of the purchasing power of earnings in terms of food, it was necessary to calculate the number of minutes of work required, in the same month, to buy comparable quantities of foods of as nearly as possible comparable quality in the United States and each of the 11 countries. Ratios of the minutes required in the United States and in each foreign country were then computed for each food and combined twice, first by means of weights representing food consumption in this country and second by means of weights representing food consumption in the foreign
country. The two resulting indexes of purchasing power were then averaged. (See table 1.)

For reference purposes, the minutes of working time required to buy the 26 individual foods most frequently used in the comparisons are given in table 3. All told, 44 different foods ${ }^{3}$ were used in the 11 comparisons.

Irving B. Kravis and Faith M. Williams*

[^19]
## Wages in Petroleum Refineries in October-November 1951

Straight-time earnings of workers in petroleum refineries averaged more than $\$ 2$ an hour for threefifths of the 43 occupations studied by the Bureau of Labor Statistics ${ }^{1}$ in October-November 1951. More than half of the job averages were between $\$ 2.00$ and $\$ 2.25$ an hour. Between September 1948, the date of the Bureau's previous study, ${ }^{2}$ and November 1951, average earnings of workers in occupations for which national comparisons could be made had generally increased from 10 to 14 percent. Earnings levels reflected differences in location and size of refinery as well as occupational and other variations.

Stillmen in refineries had the highest hourly earnings, averaging $\$ 2.39$ on combination units, $\$ 2.43$ on catalytic cracking, $\$ 2.32$ on other than catalytic cracking, and $\$ 2.33$ on straight-run operations. Average earnings of assistant stillmen on the various types of units were from 16 to 24 cents below those for the corresponding types of stillmen.

[^20]Skilled maintenance workers constitute an important segment of the work force in the petroleum-refining industry. Average earnings of carpenters, electricians, instrument repairmen, machinists, pipe fitters, and welders all fell within a 3 -cent range ( $\$ 2.22$ to $\$ 2.25$ ). Maintenance mechanics averaged $\$ 2.16$ and maintenance trades helpers, $\$ 1.86$ an hour.

Laborers, the largest group studied, and janitors
had the lowest average earnings, $\$ 1.60$ and $\$ 1.65$ an hour, respectively. The next lowest averages, nationally were $\$ 1.75$ for watchmen and machine package fillers, and $\$ 1.79$ for hand packers.

Regionally, average earnings for a majority of the occupations in the Middle Atlantic and Great Lakes States and almost half in the Southwest were above the national averages. Among the subdivisions studied in the Middle Atlantic, South-

Average straight-time hourly earnings ${ }^{1}$ for men in selected occupations in petroleum refineries, United States and selected regions and areas, ${ }^{2}$ October-November 1951

west, and Pacific regions, occupational averages were lowest in Western Pennsylvania (excluding Pittsburgh) where the refineries are relatively small and specialize in the production of lubricants. For a majority of the occupations, average earnings were somewhat higher in New York and Northern New Jersey than in the Philadelphia and Southern New Jersey area. In the Southwest, the levels of occupational earnings in the Texas Gulf Coast area exceeded those in the Texas Inland area and in Oklahoma.

Workers in the larger refineries and in larger communities typically earned more than those in smaller establishments or in smaller communities. The differences were more substantial in the Middle Atlantic than in the Great Lakes or Southwest regions. ${ }^{3}$

Differences between the average earnings of cracking stillmen (catalytic) and laborers were somewhat greater in the Middle Atlantic (92 cents), Southwest ( 88 cents), and Mountain regions ( 88 cents) than in the Great Lakes ( 77 cents), Middle West ( 72 cents), and Pacific regions ( 58 cents). Wage advantages for stillmen amounted to 57 percent in each of the first three regions and 45 , 45 , and 35 percent, respectively, in the others.

Two-thirds of the individual workers employed as cracking stillmen (catalytic) received $\$ 2.40$ an hour or more and only an eighth had earnings below $\$ 2.25$. Three-fifths of the laborers received less than $\$ 1.65$ an hour and very few (2.5 percent) were paid as much as $\$ 1.80$.

In three-fourths of the occupations for which national comparisons could be made, average hourly earnings of workers had increased between September 1948 and November 1951, by amounts ranging from 20 to 26 cents- 10 to 14 percent in nearly all cases. During this period, the average gross hourly earnings for production workers in refineries, as published monthly by the Bureau, had increased 22 cents ( $\$ 1.873$ to $\$ 2.091$ ) compared with 24 cents ( $\$ 1.386$ to $\$ 1.626$ ) for all manufacturing combined. The greater part of these increases ( 19 cents for refining and 21 cents for all manufacturing) occurred after January 1950.

[^21]
## Related Wage Practices

A scheduled workweek of 40 hours for first-shift production workers was in effect in refineries which employed 95 percent of the workers in OctoberNovember 1951. In the Great Lakes and Middle West regions, however, 4 and 9 percent of the workers, respectively, were employed in plants with 36 -hour schedules. Longer workweeks (most commonly 48 hours) were also reported for some workers in five of the seven regions studied.

Continuous operations are typical in this industry; about a sixth of the work force was employed on each of the late shifts. Differentials of 4 cents for second-shift and 6 cents for third-shift work were the usual practice.

Paid holidays were granted by most refineries. The majority of both production and office workers were employed in establishments which reported 6 or 7 holidays annually.

Paid vacations of 2 weeks after a year of service were provided by refineries which employed threefourths of the production workers and four-fifths of the office workers. Establishments with more than 90 percent of the workers reported 3-week vacations after 15 years. In plants employing approximately two-thirds of the workers, 4 -week vacations were provided after 25 years of service.

Paid sick leave was also prevalent in this industry. More than three-fifths of the production workers and about the same proportion of office workers were employed by refineries reporting sick-leave benefits of full pay without requiring a waiting period. The number of days granted per year varied from 3 to 30 after a year's service but the most common provisions were 5,10 , and 20 days. An additional fifth of the production workers and a sixth of the office workers were employed in establishments having plans which either required a waiting period or provided only partial pay.

Insurance or pension benefits, financed at least in part by employers, were provided for nearly all workers. Life insurance and retirement pensions were the two most common types; four-fifths of the production workers were in establishments having these provisions. Hospitalization and health insurance were also reported by refineries employing a majority of the workers.
-Fred W. Mohr
Division of Wages and Industrial Relations

## Earnings of Oil-Field Workers in October-November 1951

Oil-field workers were receiving average straight-time hourly earnings ranging from $\$ 1.37$ as part-time pumpers to $\$ 2.82$ as rig builders in October-November 1951, according to a recent Bureau of Labor Statistics survey. ${ }^{1}$ For half of the selected occupations, however, hourly averages were from $\$ 1.59$ to $\$ 1.88$. Full-time pumpers (\$1.66), rotary floormen (\$1.62), and roustabouts (\$1.60), accounting for over a third of the industry's employment, were in this latter group. In addition to variations in earnings by occupations, other differences existed because of region and size of the oil-producing operations.

Between January 1950-the base month of wage stabilization-and November 1951, general wage increases were granted by approximately threefourths of the 400 oil operators surveyed. Over half of these producers reported that the wage increases were on a percentage basis; of these twothirds raised wages from 8 to 11 percent by November 1951. When made on a cents-per-hour basis, the typical increase ranged from 10 to 15 cents an hour.

Petroleum production covers three broad activi-ties-exploration, drilling and other oil-field servicing, and well operation and maintenance. The oil fields are fairly concentrated, with half of the workers employed in Texas and Oklahoma and a fifth in California and Louisiana. These primary producing areas have the richest wells. The remaining oil-field workers surveyed were employed principally in the Mid-Continent, Mountain, Great Lakes, and Middle Atlantic States. ${ }^{2}$ Operations east of the Mississippi, commonly known as "stripper" territory, are comprised mainly of wells with relatively low production.

The key petroleum production jobs selected for study (13 production and 5 office jobs) covered approximately three-fifths of the workers in the industry. Incentive payments were relatively in-

[^22]frequent in the industry, and the most common unit of wage payment was the hourly rate. In California, however, day rates were paid to about a third of the workers. In all regions, most of the tool pushers, who supervise drilling and extractive operations, were salaried workers.

## Earnings by Occupation

Within 8 of the 13 individual occupations, the majority of the oil-field workers in the country earned from $\$ 1.60$ to $\$ 2.25$ an hour in OctoberNovember 1951. Two-thirds of the rotary floormen and derrickmen and four-fifths of the rotary enginemen received average straight-time hourly earnings between $\$ 1.50$ and $\$ 1.75$. Other specific occupations for which ranges of hourly earnings were narrow for half or more of the workers follow: full-time pumpers ( $\$ 1.80$ to $\$ 2.10$ ), maintenance machinists ( $\$ 2$ to $\$ 2.20$ ), rotary drillers ( $\$ 2$ to $\$ 2.25$ ), and rig builders ( $\$ 3.20$ to $\$ 3.30$ ).

In the two lowest-paying jobs, part-time pumpers (\$1.37) and truck drivers (\$1.48), approximately 40 percent and 30 percent of the workers, respectively, earned less than $\$ 1.25$ an hour. In contrast, over 80 percent of the rig builders, tool pushers, and rotary drillers earned more than $\$ 2$ an hour; very few other workers received $\$ 2.25$ or more an hour except maintenance machinists (12 percent) and welders ( 7 percent).

Rates reported were usually highest in California; job earnings in the Mountain States and Louisiana were also generally above the national averages. Wages were lowest in the Border States of Kentucky and West Virginia and below average in the Middle Atlantic States. In the southwest portion of the country, the highest job rates prevailed in the Louisiana Gulf Coast area, Northern Louisiana, and South Texas, in that order, and the lowest in North Texas and Oklahoma. In 10 out of 11 jobs for which wage comparisons could be made, South Texas workers had an advantage of 9 cents an hour or more over those in North Texas.

Occupational earnings in oil-field operations with over 100 employees generally were higher than in those with fewer workers. On a Nation-wide basis, the occupational averages of the larger-size operations were higher for all the selected production jobs except one. For half of these jobs, the wage advantage in the larger operations was greater than 10 percent. In Louisiana, it was at least 20

Average straight-time hourly earnings ${ }^{1}$ for workers in selected occupations in crude petroleum production, United States and selected regions, October-November 1951

${ }^{1}$ Excludes premium pay for overtime and night work.
2 For some occupations, the number of employees and the average hourly earnings include regions with insufficient data to permit presentation of an average.
${ }_{3}$ Regions include data for the following States: Middle Atlantic-New York
and Pennsylvania Border States-Kentucky and West Virginia; Great Lakes-Illinois, Indiana, Michigan, and Ohio; Mid-Continent-Arkansas, Kansas, Mississippi, Nebraska, and Oklahoma; Mountain-Arizona, Colorado, Idaho, Montana, New Mexico, Utah, and Wyoming.
percent more at the larger operations. But in the Mid-Continent region and California, almost as many job averages showed a wage advantage in the smaller operations as in the larger ones.

Minimum entrance rates varied considerably; the middle 50 percent of the workers were employed by producers having minimum rates ranging from $\$ 1$ to $\$ 1.55$ an hour. In most instances, minimum entrance and minimum job rates coin-206487-52-4
cided. There were sufficient differences, however, to show an interquartile spread of $\$ 1$ to $\$ 1.65$ for minimum job rates. Minimum job and entrance rates of $\$ 1.50$ an hour and over prevailed most often in California and the Mountain States and least frequently in the regions east of the Mississippi. Within the major petroleum-producing regions in the southwestern part of the country, spreads in minimum rates were considerable.

These indicate the influences of such factors as accessibility of location, demand for labor, profitability of oil field, as well as size of operation.

## Related Wage Practices

A workweek of 48 hours or more was in effect in October-November 1951 at oil fields where slightly over half of the production workers were employed. Employers of a majority of the workers in the MidContinent and Mountain States reported a 56-hour schedule; a 40-hour workweek prevailed at fields with a majority of the workers in California. Weekly schedules of 40 and 56 hours were in effect for most of the workers in Texas and Louisiana, and were of approximately equal importance.

About a fourth of the workers were employed in operations having second and third shifts. Of these, the largest proportions were reported in California, Lovisiana, and the Mountain States. At the time of the survey, most of the late-shift workers received no shift premium; but the predominant differentials were 4 cents an hour on the second shift and 6 cents on the third for those who did receive such benefits.

The usual vacation provided by the industry was 2 weeks after a year of service. Oil producers with three-tenths of the workers extended the vacation to a third week after 15 years of service. Although no vacation provision was in effect at operations with a third of the production workers, over four-fifths of the office workers received 2 weeks' vacation after a year of service.

Paid holidays were granted by employers of about three-fifths of the oil-field workers and practically all the office workers. Six paid holidays were most frequent for both groups; a 7-holiday provision was next important in extent of workers covered.

Insurance and pension plans, paid in part or totally by employers, prevailed in operations having over three-fifths of the employment in the industry. The prevalence of such plans was due partially to the fact that many of the large integrated oil companies extended identical benefits to all their employees, whether engaged in production, refining, transportation, or marketing. Life insurance and hospitalization were most common, but significantly large groups of employees were also covered by health insurance and retirement plans. Percentagewise, employee coverage
for insurance and pension plans was greatest in California.

Formal provisions for paid sick leave prevailed at operations employing over a fourth of the workers. The most common practice was to allow either 10 or 20 days of paid sick leave after a year of service; the numbers of workers covered by these sick-leave provisions were approximately the same.
-Jean A. Wells
Division of Wages and Industrial Relations

## Annual Earnings of Boston Fishermen in 1951

Annual earnings of fishermen attached to the Boston Fish Pier Fleet averaged about $\$ 4,700$ in 1951. Among the fishing boat occupations, income ranged from $\$ 4,000$ for cooks to more than $\$ 12,000$ for captains. Individual earnings within the occupations varied markedly, largely as a result of differences in the number of days worked and in the average size of catch among the various fishing boats. Income of all fishermen as a group was significantly higher in 1951 than that reported in the Bureau of Labor Statistics 1948 study when considerably more part-time employment prevailed. ${ }^{1}$

These earnings appear high, compared with other industries, but the sacrifice of family life, hard work, and inherent dangers of North Atlantic commercial fishing are seldom equaled in other types of economic endeavor. With only a few days ashore between trips, the average fisherman is out at sea from 8 to 12 days on each trip, in the bitter cold as well as in the broiling sun. Working hours are 12 a day, or more in emergencies, alternating 6 hours on and off duty around the clock. Living quarters are cramped; and dangers from steel cables dragging the nets in heavy seas, ice covering the boat and equipment in the winter months, and sharp knives used in gutting fish frequently cause serious injuries.

[^23]Percentage Increase of Annual Earnings of Boston Fishermen, 1948 to 1951


Fishermen covered by both studies operate the larger trawlers sailing out of the port of Boston and are generally members of the Atlantic Fishermen's Union (AFL). Trawlers are relatively large steel fishing boats of 150 tons or more displacement, powered by diesel engines. These boats drag large conical nets or trawls over the ocean bottom, in the fishing territory which extends for
several hundred miles off the coast of Newfoundland to Long Island. Most of the fish caught are available in all seasons of the year, the principal species being cod and haddock, although flounder, whiting, pollock, and hake are also taken. The catch is sold at auction at the Boston Fish Pier.

Compensation of New England fishermen is based on a system known as the "lay," terms of which are set by collective bargaining between the union and the boat owners. Under this system, the proceeds of the catch, after certain deductions, are divided between the boat owner and the crew.

Certain expenses, shared jointly, include the cost of ice during June, July, and August, and charges for wharfage, scales, and fathometer rental. Engineers' and mates' bonus or "pers," and payments for watchmen are also part of the joint expenses. These are deducted from the gross stock (i. e., the gross receipts from the sale of the catch). The net stock is then divided between the owner and crew, on a 40 to 60 basis.

All other expenses of the trip are charged to the crew's share, and the remainder is divided equally among all officers and crew. Expenses borne by the crew include the cost of fuel and lubricating oil, ice during 9 months of the year, and provisions. The cook's bonus and payments to "lumpers" who assist in unloading the vessel, are also chargeable to the crew's share.

If expenses exceed gross stock because of a

Table 1.-Distribution of Boston fishermen by annual earnings and number of days worked, 1951

| Annual earnings | All fishermen | Number of fishermen working- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 30 days or less | $\begin{aligned} & 31 \text { to } 60 \\ & \text { days } \end{aligned}$ | 61 to 90 days | $\begin{gathered} 91 \text { to } 120 \\ \text { days } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 121 \text { to } \\ & 150 \text { days } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 151 \text { to } \\ & 180 \text { days } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 181 \text { to } \\ & 210 \text { days } \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} 211 \text { to } \\ 240 \text { days } \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & 241 \text { to } \\ & 270 \text { days } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 271 \text { to } \\ 300 \text { days } \end{gathered}$ | 301 days and over |
| Under \$500- | 85 | 82 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500 to \$999 ... | 40 | 16 | 23 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,000 to \$1,499. | 28 |  | 14 | 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$2,000 to \$2,499 | 41 |  | 1 | 13 6 | 14 | 10 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$2,500 to \$2,999 | 34 |  |  | 1 | 13 | 17 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$3,000 to \$3,499 | 44 |  |  |  | 4 | 19 | 20 | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| \$3,500 to \$3,999. | 53 |  |  |  | 2 | 10 | 25 | 16 |  |  |  |  |
| \$4,500 to \$4,999. | 85 |  |  |  | 1 | 2 3 | 18 | 42 34 | 20 | 2 |  |  |
| \$5,000 to \$5,499. | 136 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 26 | 66 | 37 | 2 | 1 |
| $\$ 5,500$ to $\$ 5,999$ $\$ 6,000$ to $\$ 6,499$ | 109 |  |  |  |  | 1 | .-.-.--- | 11 | 54 | 39 | 3 | 1 |
| \$7,000 to \$7,499. | 36 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | ${ }_{1}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & 13 \end{aligned}$ | 35 22 | 3 |  |
| \$7,500 to \$7,999. | 17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 | 8 | 1 |  |
| \$8,000 to \$8,499 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 | 4 | 1 | -- |
| \$9,000 to \$9,499 | 3 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | - |  |
| \$9,500 to \$9,999 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 5 | 2 |  |  |
| \$10,000 to \$10,999. | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | 1 |  |  |
| \$11,000 to \$11,999 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 6 | 1 |  |  |
| \$12,000 to \$12,999 | 5 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 3 | 1 |  |  |
| \$14,000 to \$14,999 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | ${ }^{-}$ | $1-$ | 1 |  |
| \$15,000 and over. | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 7 | 3 | 1 |  |
| Total | 1,092 | 98 | 43 | 46 | 59 | 64 | 81 | 149 | 329 | 198 | 23 | 2 |
| A verage annual earnings | \$4, 720 | \$341 | \$954 | \$1,686 | \$2, 559 | \$3,194 | \$3,997 | \$5,140 | \$6,265 | \$6,585 | \$7,886 | \$5, 379 |

breakdown or any other reason, owners bear the deficit and pay the deckhands at the rate of $\$ 5$ per day and officers $\$ 6$ per day for a maximum of 10 days. The captain's bonus, 10 percent of the owner's share, is paid by the owner.

A recent union policy, which requires each fisherman to lay off every sixth trip was adopted because the loss of more than a dozen vessels in the past few years by sinking or transfer decreased employment opportunity. However, additions to the Boston fleet by transfer from other ports partially offset this decline.

## Earnings by Occupation

Differences in the size and type of the catch and the prices received affect fishermen's earnings considerably from trip to trip. Annual earnings, therefore, were used for comparisons, because a year is a sufficiently long period to eliminate the influence of trip-to-trip fluctuations.

Average annual earnings ${ }^{2}$ for all fishermen operating the Boston trawler fleet were $\$ 4,720$ in 1951, compared with $\$ 3,676$ in 1948; excluding captains, the averages were somewhat lower$\$ 4,368$ and $\$ 3,364$, respectively. Earnings are closely correlated with the number of days worked, as indicated in table 1. When 1951 earnings are grouped in ascending order, the middle half fall between $\$ 3,000$ and $\$ 6,500$, a higher level and narrower range than in 1948.

The distribution of earnings by each of the fishing boat occupations is shown in table $2 .{ }^{3}$ A comparison of earnings between 1948 and 1951, by number of days worked, is shown in table 3. Earnings in 1951 were higher on the average for each of the occupations, although as indicated in the chart, the relative increase varied widely among the occupations. Within each occupation, fishermen who worked similar periods of time in both years generally had higher earnings in 1951.

Fishing boat captains, with their bonus of 10 percent of the owner's share, averaged $\$ 12,063$ in 1951, considerably more than the other groups and 21 percent more than in 1948. Full-time employment among captains was general, with 84 percent working more than 180 days during 1951.

Deckhands averaged $\$ 4,202$ in 1951, a third higher than in 1948. The number of deckhands working the Boston Fish Pier Fleet was reduced by more than 25 percent over the period, a larger

Table 2.-Distribution of Boston fishermen by annual earnings and occupation, 1951

| Annual earnings | All <br> fishermen | Captains | Chief engineers | $\begin{gathered} \text { Second } \\ \text { engi- } \\ \text { neers } \end{gathered}$ | Mates | Cooks | Deckhands |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under \$500 | 85 | 1 | 2 | 11 |  | 8 | 63 |
| \$500 to \$999 | 40 | 1 |  | 2 | 2 | 4 | 31 |
| \$1,000 to \$1,499 | 28 |  |  | 2 | 1 | 5 | 20 |
| \$1,500 to \$1,999. | 42 |  |  | 4 |  | 2 | 36 |
| \$2,000 to \$2,499 | 41 |  | 2 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 32 |
| \$2,500 to \$2,999 | 34 |  | 1 | 5 |  | 2 | 26 |
| \$3,000 to \$3,499 | 43 |  | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 35 |
| \$3,500 to \$3,999. | 55 |  | 1 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 43 |
| \$4,000 to \$4,499 | 84 |  |  | 5 | 3 | 6 | 70 |
| \$4,500 to \$4,999 | 105 |  | 4 | 7 | 6 | 4 | 84 |
| \$5,000 to \$5,499 | 133 |  | 11 | 10 | 7 | 12 | 93 |
| \$5,500 to \$5,999 | 109 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 85 |
| \$6,000 to \$6,499 | 102 | 1 | 6 | 7 | 5 | 7 | 76 |
| \$6,500 to \$6,999 | 76 | 1 | 8 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 54 |
| \$7,000 to \$7,499 | 36 |  | 4 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 20 |
| \$7,500 to \$7,999. | 17 |  | 3 | 5 | 4 | ------ | 5 |
| \$8,000 to \$8,499 | 7 | 2 | 1 |  | 3 | ------- | 1 |
| \$8,500 to \$8,999 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | --- |
| \$9,000 to \$9,499. | 3 | 1 |  |  | 2 |  |  |
| \$9,500 to \$9,999. | 8 | 2 |  |  | 6 |  | ---- |
| \$10,000 to \$10,999 | 5 | 5 |  |  |  |  | ---- |
| \$11,000 to \$11,999. | 9 | 8 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| \$12,000 to \$12,999 | 5 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$13,000 to \$13,999 | 3 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$14,000 to \$14,999. | 5 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$15,000 and over | 12 | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 1,092 | 50 | 50 | 81 | 61 | 76 | 774 |
| A verage annual earnings. | \$4,720 | \$12, 063 | \$5,621 | \$4, 177 | \$6,138 | \$4, 008 | \$4, 202 |

relative decrease than in any of the other occupations. As a result, the increases in average number of days worked and in earnings were greater than in the otber occupations.

Among the remaining four occupations the increase in earnings does not appear to be as closely related to the reduction in the numbers of men. Mates and chief engineers, who were generally attached to one ship for most of its trips, also had fairly regular employment. More than three-fourths of these officers worked over 180 days during 1951, averaging $\$ 6,138$ and $\$ 5,621$, respectively. Cooks and second engineers, with incomes of $\$ 4,008$ and $\$ 4,177$, respectively, earned less than any other group. Sbort-time work in these occupations was still fairly prevalent, since the reduction in numbers from 1948 to 1951 was not large.

## Increase in Employment Opportunity

The reduction in the number of fishermen covered by both surveys, from 1,416 in 1948 to

[^24]Table 3.-Average annual earnings of Boston fishermen by number of days worked and by occupation, 1951 and 1948

| Year and occupation | A verage number of days worked | All fishermen |  | Average annual earnings of fishermen who worked- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Average annual earnings | Percent | 60 days or less |  | 61 to 180 days |  | 180 to 270 days |  | 271 or more days |  |
|  |  |  |  | Earnings | Percent of men | Earnings | Percent <br> of men | Earnings | Percent of men | Earnings | Percent of men |
| 1951 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All fishermen | 179 | \$4, 720 | 100.0 |  | 12.9 |  |  |  | 61.9 | \$7,685 | 2.3 |
| Captains | ${ }_{211}$ | 12,063 | 100.0 | . 594 | 4.0 | 7,602 | 12.0 | 13, 235 | 74.0 | 13, 333 | 10.0 |
| Mates ......... | 217 219 | 6,138 | 100.0 | 1, 126 | 6.6 | 4,112 | 16.4 | 7,027 | 75. 4 | ${ }^{1} 5,357$ | ${ }^{1} 1.6$ |
| Second engineers | 219 170 | 5, 621 4,177 | 100.0 100.0 | 355 502 | $\begin{array}{r}4.0 \\ 18.5 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 2,845 2,928 | 10.0 25.9 | 6,180 5,970 | 82. 0 | 6,370 | 4.0 |
| Cooks. | 159 | 4,008 | 100.0 | 601 | 21.1 | 3,443 | 30.3 | 5, 783 | 47.3 | ${ }^{1} 6,505$ | 11.2 11.3 |
| Deckhands | 176 | 4,202 | 100.0 | 500 | 13.2 | 2, 784 | 23.9 | 5, 493 | 61.0 | 6,264 | 1.9 |
| 1948 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All fishermen. | 155 |  |  | 521 | 25.8 | 2,547 | 27.2 | 5,577 | 33.5 | 7, 283 | 13.5 |
| Captains. | 192 | 9,957 | 100.0 | 1,753 | 9.0 | 4, 832 | 25.4 | 12, 553 | 49.2 | 14,563 | 16. 4 |
| Mates .-...-.- | 194 | 4, 991 | 100.0 | 1,783 | 14.1 | 2,732 | 20.3 | 5,762 | 48.4 | 8, 933 | 17.2 |
| First engineers.- | 216 | 5, 239 | 100.0 | 523 | 13.2 | 3, 462 | 15.1 | 5, 429 | 32.1 | 7,335 | 39.6 |
| Second engineers | 162 | 3,692 3,342 3 | 100.0 | 506 <br> 55 | 23.8 | 2, 484 | 27.4 | 5,231 | 29.8 | 7,007 | 19.0 |
| Deckhands. | 148 | 3,342 3,149 | 100.0 10.0 | 552 486 | 28.7 28.2 | 2,727 <br> 2,371 | 32.2 27.9 | 5,436 4,930 | 29.9 32.2 | 7,406 6,510 | 9.2 11.7 |

${ }^{1}$ One case only.

1,092 in 1951, together with the union's policy of rotation, appears to have increased the stability of employment considerably. The 47 boats in the 1951 study furnished fairly regular employment for about 700 to 750 men throughout the year. On the other hand, the maximum number of jobs offered by the 51 boats operating during 1948 appears to have been about 830 . Thus, the relative decrease in the total number of men working the fleet was considerably greater than that in available jobs, resulting in a significant increase in the average number of days worked.

Sbifts from 1948 to 1951 in the proportions of men working specified numbers of days a yearfrom the extreme groups to the middle groupsreflect greater stability of employment for many of the men. (See table 3.) The proportion of men working between 180 and 270 days increased from about a third in 1948 to over three-fifths in 1951. The average number of days worked by all the fishermen increased from 155 to 179 over the period.

The number of men who worked more than 270 days dropped from about a seventh of all fishermen in 1948 to 2 percent in 1951. On the other hand, the relative increase in available jobs reduced the proportion of short-time workers (those working 60 days or less) from a fourth to an eighth of the total between the 2 periods. It seems likely that the union's rotation policy has had an affect on length of employment for workers in both of these groups.

More days, on the average, were worked in 1951 than in 1948 in each of the occupational groups, deckhands having had the largest increase. About half or more of the deckhands, captains, mates, and chief engineers worked more than 210 days during 1951. However, regular cooks and second engineers were considerably greater in number than other officers working on these boats, and part-time employment for these occupations continued to be important during 1951.

## Variations in Earnings Among Ships

Although market conditions are important in determining the net amount left to the fishermen for sharing, the weight, types, and quality of the fish are the primary factors in the general level of the gross amount received for the catch. The profitability of fishing boats depends, therefore, on the ability to land the maximum amount of fish in as short a period as possible.

Luck is undoubtedly an important factor in locating the fish. Certain boats, however, consistently appear to be able to land large catches in relatively short periods; this may be accounted for by better equipment, faster motors, radar for locating schools of fish, and better "know-how." Such boats earn considerably more than the average, and the men who made most of their trips on them had higher earnings than those who worked a like number of days on less profitable boats.

Profitability of operations of 42 vessels for which
data were available for 1951, as measured by shares per man-day, shows annual averages ranging from about $\$ 13.35$ to more than $\$ 32$. This variation in shares appears to account for the dispersion of earnings among the men who worked a similar number of days.

Earnings per man-day in 1951 for the 42 boats averaged about $\$ 23.10$, compared with about $\$ 21.25$ in 1948 (calculated for 28 boats for which data were available). Information on average prices received for fish landed in Boston indicates no significant change over the period. ${ }^{4}$ The average size of the off-shore catch, however, appears to, have been somewhat larger in the 1951 period, which is reflected in the higher earnings.
-Solomon Shapiro
Division of Wages and Industrial Relations
${ }^{4}$ Landings and Prices of Fishery Products, Boston Fish Pier, 1948, and Monthly Summary, Fishery Products, Boston, December 1951. U. S. Department of the Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service.

## A National Conference on Retirement of Older Workers

Evidence of the thoughtful scrutiny being given to retirement policies based on a fixed chronological age and of a widening interest in the alternative practice of selective retirement was provided by the National Conference on Retirement of Older Workers, held in January 1952, at Arden House in Harriman, N. Y. The conference was sponsored by the McGregor Fund of Detroit and the National Committee on the Aging of the National Social Welfare Assembly to encourage experiment and action on a problem which is of growing general concern, through informed discussion and exchange of ideas. Views expressed by the conferees reflected a conviction that inquiry, planning, and cooperative effort could yield rational solutions before the problem reached crisis proportions.

Seventy-five invited representatives of management, labor, universities and other research organizations, government agencies, and the medical and social professions attended the conference. Management representatives, nearly half of the conference group, were from corporations which have varying retirement policies.

Conference discussions, as determined by the planning committee, were limited to exploration of needed criteria more suitable than chronological age for determining how long and under what conditions older workers should continue in employment or be retired. Nevertheless, views were frequently expressed that restrictive hiring policies, based on upper age limits, remained the major problem. They emphasized that, economically and socially, arbitrary discriminations based solely on age must be eliminated and industry must increase its employment of older workers. Some union representatives maintained that legislation to achieve this objective may ultimately become necessary.

Reference was made to some of the influences which are compelling reexamination of retirement policies: Emergence, since 1949, of retirement programs as a major collective-bargaining issue in the mass-production industries; growing awareness that older people may increasingly become a franchise-conscious "minority group" which can exert effective legislative pressures; and most compelling, perhaps, a mounting appreciation of the social and individual waste involved in enforcing leisure upon experienced workers who have the health, competence, and desire to continue in productive employment.

The 2-day conference, informally organized to permit full exchange of experience, met in four discussion sections which considered (1) practical ways of utilizing older workers; (2) assets and liabilities of older workers; (3) health and competence versus age; and (4) substitutes for arbitrary retirement at a fixed age. Compulsory retirement at a fixed age was of paramount concern. The predominant sentiment of the conference was that chronological age, as the sole basis of retirement, should be abandoned by private industry and by government. It was the consensus that all workers, able and willing to work beyond the age at which they become eligible for retirement benefits, should have the opportunity to work, and that health and competence are the two principal factors for determination of continued employment and kind of employment. Some conferees disagreed with this view on two grounds: A few were of the opinion that the concept of chronological age should not be abandoned until more accurate criteria for evaluating the fitness of older workers are developed.

Others maintained that chronological retirement is easily administered, and that any other more selective plan would involve grave administrative difficulties and introduce more personnel problems than it would solve. However, participants with direct experience in the administration of selective retirement plans indicated that the administrative and personnel complications had not proved to be as difficult as anticipated.

## Points Developed at the Conference

Employment and retirement among management personnel pose additional problems which do not apply to production workers. The most important difference is the greater difficulty in measuring fitness for the job in management and executive positions. Many of the basic qualities of executive positions are psychological and personal, for which no objective measurements have been devised. Further, to render judgment on one's associates with respect to retirement is frequently difficult and embarrassing.

For both management and production jobs, there is a pressing need for better job descriptions and analyses to emphasize the psycho-physical requirements of jobs, the ability to get along with others, and a way of measuring personal capacities to meet the improved job specifications.

Seniority, cost factors, interrelationship of retirement plans for various classes of employees, and similar problems do not differ significantly, whether the company is operating under a fixedage retirement policy or other criteria. Seniority problems are not obstacles to the substitution of other criteria for age as a basis for retirement. Some question was raised as to whether a seniority clause should be permitted to operate in exactly the same way for all employees regardless of age.

A vast unexplored area exists concerning human performance during the most productive years of life. It is often assumed that an individual worker's competency in performing a specified job deteriorates with age. However, information is not available on the rate of deterioration or on the optimum performance by the worker at the most fit period of his life. More progress has been made on "job analysis" than on competence of individuals.

The full potentialities of individuals, even in their formative years, have never been completely
explored. Society's expectations that the older worker is not capable of change, and the unfortunate acceptance by the older worker of these cultural expectations that he is too old to learn, have a greater effect on his job performance than any diminution in physical and psychological capacities.

Reexamination of all our social and economic institutions and behaviors is necessary to reevaluate their impact on the total mental, emotional, and physical adjustment of individuals during the entire life span. A constructive approach is to abandon the stereotype of "disability deterioration and decrepitude in the older years," and to emphasize the extension of the potential growth of human ability throughout the life span. Individuals should be encouraged to develop greater flexibility and adaptability if problems of later maturity are to be met constructively.
It is axiomatic to biologists that exercise of bodily powers in adaptation to stresses, within the limits of individual competence, contributes to health. Furthermore, it is demonstrable that disuse of certain physical and mental powers results in deterioration in the ability later to use such powers. In other words, performance maintains mental and physical capabilities.

Available indexes of the health and competence of the elderly are inadequate or impractical for widespread use by employers. From the researchworker's viewpoint, the principal obstacle in determining human capacities and limitations is the enormous number of bodily functions which must be described in order to appraise the characteristics of any one individual. Possibly, a few basic measurements may eventually indicate capacities sufficiently well for such purposes as determination of retirement age.

Definitive answers for some of the abstruse problems which confronted the conference can only be found through studies conducted on groups of people over long periods of time.

It is urgent that the special problem of permanently disabling injury or illness be recognized generally and that measures be provided to meet it more adequately. Retirement systems are all too frequently relied upon in such instances.

Generalizations on older workers obscure the special and urgent problems relating to older women. There are more older women than men; their earnings prior to retirement are usually lower;
fewer are eligible for pensions; and the majority of these women have had more breaks in employment because of family responsibilities.

Most retirements at present result either from poor health or a compulsory system. Income maintenance is undoubtedly a major factor in the desire of persons over age 65 to continue working; but feelings of usefulness and purpose, creative satisfaction, and continued social contact with fellow employees are also important. Preparation of workers for retirement through education and counseling should be more universally adopted.

## Consumer Spending and Saving Plans Survey, 1952

A continuation of recent high consumer savings and "moderate" consumer durable-goods expenditure is anticipated in 1952, thus prolonging the trend started in 1951, the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System revealed in a preliminary report covering consumer plans for spending and saving. ${ }^{1}$ In addition, consumers are expected to invest about the same amount of their savings in housing as they did in 1951.

## Consumer Finances

Altogether, the survey indicated, consumers made a substantial addition to their holdings of liquid assets during 1951, probably equal to between 3 and 5 percent of their holdings at the beginning of the year. However, not all spending units ${ }^{2}$ shared in the liquid-asset holding increase, with 3 in 10 indicating they had no liquid assets in early 1952. Personal savings amounted to over 9 percent of disposable income in the last three quarters of 1951. Much of the growth in savings was in time deposits, shares in savings and loan associations, and insurance and premium reserves. Consumers also purchased a large volume of securities and expanded their holdings of currency and demand deposits.

Utilizing the U. S. Department of Commerce estimates of aggregate personal income for 1951 as a whole, which showed an increase of nearly 12 percent above 1950, the FRB survey reported
that the rise was particularly pronounced in the wage and salary sector. Over two-fifths of the nonfarm spending units were making more money at the beginning of 1952 than a year earlier, and about a third were making about the same. However, the number of people who stated that they were "worse off" financially in early 1952 than in early 1951 slightly exceeded the number who were "better off." Reasons cited for being worse off were primarily tax and price increases.

A sharp drop in consumer expenditures for goods and services occurred in the second quarter of 1951, and expenditures continued at a lower level during the remainder of the year. This decline was primarily concentrated in durable goods, according to the survey, and was attributed to the tightening of installment credit (Regulation W), and reflected a reaction from the large durable purchases in postwar years, particularly those following the Korean outbreak. In addition, purchases of new housing (regarded as an investment rather than a consumption expenditure) dropped in 1951, according to the survey, chiefly because of a reaction from the high rate of postKorean buying; prior satisfaction of much of the wartime backlog of housing needs; and the tightening of mortgage credit (Regulation X and related FHA and VA regulations).

## Purchase Plans for 1952

Consumer plans to purchase major household goods in 1952 are slightly less prevalent than in 1951. Intentions to buy refrigerators, console radios, and washing machines have fallen off somewhat compared with planned purchases for major household goods as a whole. While the report revealed that fewer consumers were planning to buy new cars at the beginning of 1952 than at the beginning of 1951, plans to purchase used cars were as numerous as in 1951. The report suggested "consumers appear to be highly price conscious and to some extent are limiting

[^25]or postponing car purchases because of present price levels."

In general, 1952 plans for house purchases point to continued strength of demand. Consumer buying plans indicated that the anticipated number of new houses purchased in 1952 will be about the same or slightly less than in 1951, provided that material, price, quality, and credit factors do not change significantly. Intentions to buy existing houses, however, are about the same as in 1951. Consumers in the middle income group ( $\$ 3,000$ to $\$ 7,500$ ) now compose a larger proportion of the new-house market in 1952 than they did in 1951, the survey indicated.

## Attitudes, Expectations, and Preferences

About 6 in 10 consumers indicated that 1952 is a "bad time" to make large purchases such as automobiles or refrigerators; less than 3 in 10 believed the present to be a "good time" to buy. Although high prices was the chief reason for the belief that the present is a bad time to purchase, very few indicated that their buying plans were affected by fear of shortages. Compared with 1951, the number citing 1952 as a poor time to buy had risen, and the number considering it a good time had fallen. This shift in attitude reflects the declining rate of durable goods purchased in 1951 and the moderate buying intentions for 1952.

Expectations that prices of things they buy would rise during 1952 was voiced by 6 in 10 consumers, no changes were expected by 3 in 10 , and a drop in prices was anticipated by 1. Concerning changes in income, more consumers ( 4 in 10) expected income increases in 1952 than anticipated declines ( 1 in 10 ).

United States savings bonds continued to be the most popular type of investment with consumers having incomes of $\$ 3,000$ or more (nearly a half). However, the proportion favoring this type of investment declined, continuing a gradual shift that has been under way since 1949, the report stated. This decline was attributed to slight increases in the proportion of consumers favoring bank deposits and common stock, particularly among consumers with incomes of $\$ 5,000$ or more. Liquidity, safety, and interest return were the main reasons given for preferring bank deposits; for common stock, the chief reasons were high income return and protection against inflation.

## Injury Rates in Manufacturing, Fourth Quarter, 1951

A 13-percent decline in the average injuryfrequency rate ${ }^{1}$ for manufacturing industries occurred from the third quarter to the fourth in 1951. According to preliminary reports received by the Bureau of Labor Statistics, the rate dropped from 16.0 to 13.9 injuries per million employeehours worked.

The fourth-quarter average for 1951 was about 8 percent below that for 1950. However, preliminary reports for the 12 months indicate that the annual average injury-frequency rate for 1951 will be approximately 15.3 , or 4 percent above the

[^26]Percent of Change in Injury-Frequency Rates in Manufacturing, 1949-51


1950 average of 14.7 ．During the first 7 months of 1951 ，injury rates were consistently above those for the same period in 1950．The August average was the same in both years，but during the last 4 months the 1951 rates were below those of 1950 ． These findings indicate a definite leveling off of injury rates during the latter part of 1951，in con－ trast with the upward trend observed during 1950 and the first part of 1951.

Of the 126 individual industries for which quarterly data were available， 83 －or two－thirds－ showed significant decreases between the third and fourth quarters of $1951 ; 13$ showed increases； 30 recorded changes of less than 1 frequency－rate point．

Decreases of more than 5 frequency－rate points between the third and fourth quarters of 1951 were recorded by 17 of these industries，with
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| Industry | 1951 |  |  |  |  |  | Industry | 1951 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { H } \\ & \text { O } \\ & \text { ث̀ } \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { H } \\ & \text { 品 } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \text { B } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 山⿱一𫝀口亍 } \\ & \text { Iँ } \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Food and kindred products：Meat products． | 23.6 | 18． 1 | 22.7 | 21.4 | 23.2 | 21.7 | Rubber products： <br> Tires and inner tubes． | 8.4 | 6.1 | 5.4 | 6． 7 | 6.1 | 5.6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dairy products | 21.0 | 17.1 | 14.7 | 17.7 | 18．4 | 17.8 | Rubber footwear | 5.0 | 2.5 | 4.8 | 4.1 | 5． 3 | 5． 3 |
| Canning and prese | （2） | $\left.{ }^{2}\right)$ | ${ }^{(2)}$ | 20.6 | 25.8 | 22.8 | Miscellaneous rubber prod | 11.9 | 11.8 | 9.0 | 11.0 | 14.1 | 15.3 |
| Grain－mill product | 21.8 | 17.2 | 18.7 | 19.3 | 17.7 | 17.2 | Leather and leather products： |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bakery products | 15.8 | 16.5 | 14.8 | 15． 7 | 16．3 | 13．9 | Leather tanning and finishing | 28.6 | 13.3 | 18.8 | 20.4 | 23.2 | 22.5 |
| Cane sugar．．． | 10.6 | 9.8 | 17．9 | 12.6 | 15．7 | 22． 3 | Bont and shoe cut stock and fid | ${ }^{(2)}$ | ${ }^{(2)}$ | ${ }^{2}$ ） | ${ }^{2}$ 2 | 24.5 | 18.4 |
| Beet sugar | （2） | ${ }^{(2)}$ | （2） | 32.5 | 40.2 | 34.2 | Footwear（except rubber） | 11.1 | 9.5 | 10.5 | 10.3 | 9.7 | 7.5 |
| Confectionery and rela | 20.5 | 17.1 | 20.9 | 19.5 | 17．6 | 13.8 | Miscellaneous leather products | $\left.{ }^{2}\right)$ | $\left.{ }^{2}\right)$ | ${ }^{(2)}$ | $\left.{ }^{2}\right)$ | 15.0 | 11.7 |
| Bottled soft drinks | ${ }^{(2)}$ | ${ }^{(2)}$ | ${ }^{(2)}$ | 25． 2 | 33.3 | 26． 7 | Stono，clay，and glass products： |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Malt and malt liquo | 27.3 | 17.1 | 15.4 | 19.7 | 24.4 | 25.3 | Gliss and glass products．－ | 11． 6 | 11.5 | 10.1 | 11.1 | 12.6 | 12． 5 |
| Wines．．．．．．．．．．．． | ${ }^{(2)}$ | $\left.{ }^{2}\right)$ | ${ }^{(2)}$ | ${ }^{2}$ ） | 25． 2 | 19.8 | Structural clay products | 40.8 | 42.0 | 29.6 | 37.7 | 40.3 | 35.9 |
| Distilled liquor | 9.7 | 8． 2 | 12．6 | 10.0 | 9.1 | 8.3 | Pottery and related products | 14.3 | 18.0 | 18.2 | 16． 7 | 19.2 | 16.9 |
| Miscellaneous food produ | 11.5 | 16.5 | 14.0 | 14.0 | 15.0 | 14.9 | Concrete，gypsum，and mineral woo | $\left.{ }^{2}\right)$ | $\left.{ }^{2}\right)$ | $\left.{ }^{2}\right)$ | 23.5 | 26.4 | 25.5 |
| Textile－mill products： Cotton yarn and textiles | 8.5 | 9.2 | 8.8 | 8.8 | 10.0 | 10.0 | Miscellaneous nonmetallic mineral prod－ ucts | 17.6 | 20.1 | 13.5 | 17.3 | 20.9 | 19.1 |
| Rayon，other synthetic，and silk textiles | 9.6 | 8.8 | 6．7 | 8.4 | 9.0 | 9.7 | Primary metal |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Woolen and worsted textiles．．．．．． | 17.6 | 13.7 | 12.6 | 14.7 | 16．7 | 13.8 | Blast furnaces and steel mill | 5.4 | 4.8 | 5.3 | 5.1 | 5． 6 | 5.7 |
| Knit goods ．．．．．．．．．．．．．． | 6.4 | 8.8 | 6． 1 | 7．1 | 6．6 | 5． 4 | Gray－iron and malleable fou | 36． 8 | 30． 6 | 27． 2 | 31.8 | 35.5 | 33．7 |
| Dyoing and finishing textile | 21.4 | 21.1 | 25． 4 | 22． 6 | 23.1 | 18．3 | Steel foundries．．．．－－ | 32.5 | 27． 5 | 29． 5 | 29.8 | 31.5 | 25.0 |
| Miscellaneous textile goods．．－．．．．－．－．．．－ | 18.8 | 10.2 | 14.4 | 14.5 | 16.3 | 16.3 | Nonferrous rolling，drawing，and alloying－ | 15． 6 | 12． 5 | 10.3 | 13.0 | 13． 6 | 15．3 |
| Apparel and other finished textile products： <br> Clothing，men＇s and boys＇ |  |  |  |  |  |  | Nonferrous foundries．． | 25.9 | 28.0 | 20.0 | 24.8 | 27.9 | 24.8 |
| Clothing，women＇s and children＇s | 4.2 | 4． 2 | 3.0 | 3． 9 | 5． 3 | 6． 4 4 | Iron and steel forging | 27.0 | 17.9 10.0 | 18.9 9.5 | 21.4 | 24.7 | 21． 2 |
| Miscellaneous fabricated textile products | （2） | $\left.{ }^{2}\right)$ | ${ }^{(2)}$ | 14.7 | 18.0 | 12.5 | Welded an | 13.6 | 15.1 | 10.5 | 13.2 | 12.8 | 10.2 14.5 |
| Lumber and wood products（except furni－ ture）： |  |  |  |  |  |  | Cold－finished steel．．．．．－． | 17.8 | 18.0 | 15.1 | 17.1 | 19.9 | 19.4 |
|  | 91.8 | 86.3 | 80.2 | 86.6 | 102． 6 | 96． 5 | Fabricated metal products： <br> Tin cans and other tinwar |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Planing m | ${ }^{(2)}$ | ${ }^{(2)}$ | ${ }^{(2)}$ | ${ }^{(2)}$ | 53.3 | 43． 5 | Tin cans and other tinwar Cutlery and edge tonls． | 11．0 | 7.6 23.3 | 3.9 18.5 | 7． 23.0 | 9.9 21.6 | 12． 2 |
| Sawmills | 52.9 | 52.0 | 50.5 | 51.9 | 54.6 | 61.4 | Cutlery and edge tools．． | 27.0 | 23．3 15.6 | 18.5 | 23．0 | 21．6 | 18.6 17.7 |
| Sawmills and planing mills，integ | 52.8 | 43.6 | 42.8 | 46.8 | 51.0 | 45.6 | Hand tools，files，and sa | 20.8 9.8 | 15.6 10.8 | 19.1 9.6 | 18．4 | 21.3 11.3 | 17.7 11.6 |
| Veneer mills | （2） | （2） | ${ }^{(2)}$ | （2） | 45.9 | 34． 6 |  | 22．8 4 | 17.8 | 16．5 | 19.0 | 11． 3 | 19.2 |
| Millwork and structural wood products．－ | 29.9 | 27.7 | 22.9 | 27.0 | 29．1 | 28． 2 | Sanitary ware and plumbers＇supplies ．－ Oil burners，heating and cooking ap－ | 22.4 | 17.8 | 16.5 | 19.1 | 20.9 | 19.2 |
| Plywood mills ．－． | 31.6 | 37.9 | 24.8 | 31.5 | 33.3 | 32．9 | Oil burners，heating and cooking ap－ paratus | 24.9 | 15.6 | 17.0 | 19.4 | 21.5 | 22.5 |
| Wooden containers | 33.4 | 31.7 | 35.0 | 33.3 | 38.0 | 34.6 | ptructural steel and ornamental | 24． 9 | 15.6 | 17.0 | 19.4 | 21.5 | 22.5 |
| Miscellaneous wood pr | 33.1 | 24.4 | 20.4 | 26.1 | 34.8 | 27.5 | Structural steel and ornamental metal work |  |  | 19.3 |  |  |  |
| Furniture and fixtures： Household furniture，non |  |  |  |  |  |  | Wetal doors， | （2） 5 | （2）${ }^{23 .}$ | （2） | ${ }^{22}{ }^{2}$ ） 8 | 24.7 31.3 | 23.2 29.9 |
| Household furniture，nonm | （2） 23 | （2） 2 | ${ }_{(2)}^{16.2}$ | 16．4 | 25． 2 | 23．5 | Boiler－shop produc | 28.4 | 25．7 | 22.0 | 25.6 | 28.8 | 24.5 |
| Mattresses and bedspri | 19.3 | 17．4 | 15.6 | 17.5 | 19．5 | 18.1 | Sheet－metal work | 29.0 | 22.7 | 25． 2 | 25．7 | 30． 2 | 26.8 |
| Office furniture ．．．．．．． | 19.2 | 24.1 | 21.3 | 21.4 | 24.3 | 18.5 | Stamped and pressed metal p | 13．9 | 11.8 | 12.7 | 12.9 | 16.3 | 17.3 |
| Public－building and professional furni－ |  |  |  |  |  |  | Metal coating and engraving | （2） | （2） | ${ }^{2}$ ） | 20.3 | 23.4 | 29.3 |
| ture | ${ }^{(2)}$ | （2） | $\left.{ }^{2}\right)$ | 16.9 | 19.6 | 24.1 |  | 18.4 | 14.6 | 16.5 | 16．5 | 18.6 | 18.3 |
| Partitions and fixtures | 37.3 | 22.8 | 27.1 | 29.2 | 28.0 | 18.8 | Metal barrels，drums，kegs，and pail | （2） | ${ }^{(2)}$ | ${ }^{(2)}$ | 7． 9 | 11． 7 | 13.7 |
| Screens，shades，and blinds | （2） | （2） | （2） | ${ }^{(2)}$ | 15.8 | 17.1 | Steel springs | 31.8 | 17.7 | 16．8 | 22． 4 | 23． 6 | 17.8 |
| Paper and allied products： |  |  |  |  |  |  | Bolts，nuts，washers，a | 17.8 18.3 | 22.1 | 15.1 | 18．4 15.4 | 15．9 | 16． 14 |
| Pulp，paper，and paperboard mill | 14． 2 | 13．9 | 14.1 | 14.1 | 15．6 | 15． 7 | Screw－machine products Fabricated metal products，not elsewhere | 18.3 | 12.0 | 16.1 | 15.4 | 15．6 | 14.9 |
| Paperboard containers and boxes．．－．．．－． | 19.0 | 13． 4 | 11.1 | 14.7 | 18．4 | 17．9 | Fabricated metal products，not elsewhere classified |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Miscellaneous paper and allied products | 14.4 | 12.6 | 9.5 | 12． 2 | 12.8 | 14.8 | classifie | 9.2 | 15.0 | 8.4 | 10.9 | 12.7 | 12.8 |
| Printing，publishing，and allied industries： |  |  |  |  |  |  | Machinery（except electrical）： |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Newspapers and periodicals | 10.4 | 11.3 | 13.1 | 11.5 | 10．0 | 8.3 | Engines and turbines． | 11.4 | 10.3 | 9．3 | 10.3 | 12． 1 | 11.0 |
| Bookbinding and related products． | $\left.{ }^{2}\right)$ | ${ }^{2}$ ） | ${ }^{(2)}$ | ${ }^{2}$ ） | 12.9 | 8.0 | Agricultural machinery and tractors | 15.3 | 13.8 | 13．0 | 14.0 | 15． 1 | 15.8 |
| Miscellaneous printing and publishing．－－ | 8.0 | 8.4 | 8.4 | 8.3 | 9.3 | 8.2 | Construction and mining machinery | 23.9 | 24.8 | 20.5 | 23． 2 | 25.9 | 21.6 |
| Chemicals and allied products： |  |  |  |  |  |  | Metalworking machinery | 16． 2 | 14． 1 | 12.3 | 14． 2 | 14．5 | 11.5 |
| Industrial inorganic chemicals | 9.0 | 7.0 | 9． 5 | 8.6 | 10.0 | 9.5 | Food－products machinery | 20.2 | 15． 4 | 21.9 | 19．2 | 19.2 | 16.3 |
| Plastics，except synthetic rubb | 6.0 | 4.5 | 4.9 | 5.2 | 6.7 | 7． 0 | Textile machinery | 10.4 | 6.5 | 7.5 | 8.3 | 10.7 | 11.9 |
| Synthetic rubbe | $\left({ }^{2}\right)$ | $\left.{ }^{2}\right)$ | ${ }^{2}$ ） | 1.4 | 1.7 | 3． 4 | Miscellaneous special－industry machin－ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Synthetic fiber | 2.5 | 1.3 | 1.9 | 1． 9 | 1.8 | 2． 1 |  | 23． 0 | 19.4 | 14.2 | 18． 9 | 21.5 | 17.2 |
| Explosives． | $\left.{ }^{2}\right)$ | $\left.{ }^{2}\right)$ | $\left.{ }^{2}\right)$ | 6． 0 | 4.0 | 3.8 | Pumps and compressors | 20.5 | 15． 4 | 14．7 | 16.8 | 19.3 | 15.4 |
| Miscellaneous industrial organic chem－ icals． | 5.6 | 9.5 | 6.9 | 7.3 | 7.5 |  | Elevators，escalators，and conveyors | 23.0 | 19.3 | 27.0 | 23.0 | 21.5 | 16.1 |
| Drugs and medicines．－－－－－ | 9．7 | 10.7 | 10.2 | 10.2 | 10.3 | 8． 2 | ment（except ball and roller bearings）．－ | 17.1 | 14.2 | 9.3 | 13.7 | 15.0 | 13.8 |
| Soap and related products | 7.2 | 8.9 | 7． 1 | 7.8 | 8.2 | 7． 9 | Miscellaneous general industrial ma－ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Paints，pigments，and related products ．．． | 10.4 | 11.1 | 11.7 | 11.1 | 13.0 | 13.0 |  | 22.3 | 21.1 | 15．5 | 19.8 | 20.5 | 15.9 |
| Fertilizers | （2） | $\left.{ }^{2}\right)$ | ${ }^{2}$ ） | 16． 6 | 21.1 | 23.8 | Commercial and housohold machinery | 8.0 | 7.5 | 6． 8 | 7.5 | 9.3 | 9.1 |
| Compressed and liquefied gases．．．－． | （2） | （2） | $\left.{ }^{2}\right)$ | 15.0 | 13.8 | 11.4 | Valves and fittings | 22． 0 | 16．9 | 14．9 | 18． 1 | 20.7 | 17． 7 |
| Miscellaneous chemicals and allied prod－ |  |  |  |  |  |  | Ball and roller bearings | 15.0 | 13.2 | 14.8 | 14．3 | 13.4 | 12.0 |
|  | （2） | （2） | （2） | 16．2 | 20.6 | 17.6 | Machine shops，general | 21．2 | 14.1 | 14．2 | 16．6 | 17.8 | 15.1 |

Injury-frequency rates for selected manufacturing industries, fourth quarter, 1951 ——Continued

${ }^{1}$ The 1951 injury-frequency rates presented in this table were adjusted to be comparable with the final annual averages for 1950. The 1950 annual averages were based upon a comprehensive survey covering approximately 60 percent of all employees engaged in manufacturing; the 1951 preliminary rates were based upnn a much smaller sample, covering about one-third of the employees in manufacturing and are subject to revision.
greatest decreases in logging, bottled soft drinks, miscellaneous wood products, sheet-metal work, canning and preserving. Three furniture indus-tries-public building and professional, metal household, and nonmetal household-reported substantial decreases, as did, also, sawmills and integrated saw and planing mills. Fourth-quarter injury rates in the manufacture of metal barrels, forgings, optical instruments and lenses, valves and fittings, malt liquors, pottery, and concrete, gypsum, and mineral wool, also were more than 5 points lower than those for the third quarter.

Annual averages for 1950 and 1951, however, indicate significant increases for 68-or almost half-of the 138 industries for which such data were available; decreases of 1 frequency-rate point or more were reported for only 21 industries, and for 49 , little change was shown. These comparisons indicate that high rates prevailing during the first 3 quarters were not entirely offset by the lower rates in the fourth quarter. As a result the annual averages for many industries were higher in 1951 than in 1950.

In 16 industries, the 1951 annual averages were more than 5 frequency-rate points higher than the 1950 rates; but 7 of these industries showed substantial improvement in the fourth quarter of 1951. For instance, the logging industry reported an increase from 96.5 injuries per million manhours in 1950 to 102.6 in 1951; but its quarterly

Comparable data for 1950 and the first 9 months of 1951 were published in the May 1952 issue of the Monthly Labor Review, and are also available in processed form. These data are not strictly comparable with those published in the Monthly Labor Review prior to January 1952, or in press releases dated prior to December 23, 1951.
${ }^{2}$ Insufficient data to warrant presentation of average.
rate decreased from 114.4 in the third quarter of 1951 to 86.6 in the fourth. Other industries reporting substantial increases in annual averages, but decreases in the fourth quarter of 1951, were miscellaneous wood products, bottled soft drinks, steel foundries, steel springs, miscellaneous fabricated textile products, and integrated saw and planing mills. The partitions and fixtures, office furniture, and the elevators, escalators, and conveyors industries showed increases of 5 or more points in annual averages, but little change between the third and fourth quarters. The 1951 average injury rate also increased substantially in boatbuilding, veneer mills, planing mills, boot and shoe cut stock, beet sugar, and wine industries, compared with 1950.

Only three industries-sawmills not operating planing mills, cane sugar, and metal coating and engraving-showed decreases in annual averages from 1950 to 1951 as great as 5 frequency-rate points.

Industries reporting the highest injury-frequency rates for the 12 months of 1951 were:


Outstandingly low rates for the 12 months of 1951 were reported by synthetic rubber-1.7, synthetic fibers-1.8, explosives-4.0, aircraft-4.4, miscellaneous communication equipment-4.5, electric lamps (bulbs)-4.8, and radio tubes4.8.

# Effects of Chemistry and Technology on the Agricultural Labor Force 

By 1970 , only 7 percent of the labor force of the United States will be needed for work on farms, as compared with about 15 percent in 1950. This prediction was made in a recent report prepared by the staff of the Senate Committee on Labor and Public Welfare. ${ }^{1}$ In planning for this contingency, the report urged that employment opportunities in their accustomed environment be provided for the $3 \frac{1}{2}$ million workers to be displaced by this downward trend in agricultural employment.

Lower man-hour requirements and increased output per farm worker in the future, according to the Senate report, will result principally from the evolution of the "chemical agricultural age" that began in the 1930's. Chemicals do not act by themselves, so it is impossible to isolate their effect on man-hour requirements. The rate of adoption of new farming methods also affects productivity trends and is, in turn, dependent on economic factors, especially demand and prices of agricultural products.

Chemicals have played an important role in the reduction in farm labor requirements during the past two decades. The manufacture of agricultural chemicals (as distinct from fertilizers) increased tenfold between 1933 and 1950. Farmers use chemicals, for example, in the form of coated or "pelletized" seeds to produce conditions favorable to germination; to repel birds and insects; to promote growth; and to eliminate the need of transplanting. The dividends in labor-savings that accrue to the farmer from the use of these seeds can be increased still further by the use of

[^27]airplanes for the seeding operation. Even the vagaries of the weather have been partially conquered by chemicals that prevent premature blossoming of fruit trees, thereby averting frost losses running into millions of dollars each year. The use of chemicals to defoliate cotton plants has increased the speed of hand picking by about 100 percent and has facilitated the operation of mechanical cotton pickers. Chemicals are used to prevent or destroy the growth of weeds, thereby saving millions of hours of back-breaking labor formerly spent in weeding.

Prospective developments in chemical agriculture have put the agricultural frontiers in the chemical laboratories and experiment stations. Hydroponics, or soil-less agriculture, has been used commercially on only a very small scale. Expansion of the industrial manufacture of fat and protein from carbohydrates will tend to make man more independent from the vagaries of nature in food production. According to the author, chemists are on the threshold of the solution of the enigma of photosynthesis, which will make it possible to produce organic substances in factories. All of these discoveries and further agricultural mechanization promise a food supply adequate for the first time in history to feed the world's population, of which the vast majority is still engaged in food production by manual processes.

In America, advanced agricultural methods and future technological changes will probably displace by 1970 some $3 \frac{1}{2}$ million of the farm labor force, estimated at 9.3 million full-time workers in 1950. The expected reduction in farm employment will not affect workers in all branches of agriculture. For example, although some 450,000 workers in the cotton fields will need to find other employment by 1960, production of truck crops will expand because of increased consumption, and thereby offset decreases in labor requirements. An analysis of the impact of decreasing labor requirements upon the principal branches of agriculture confirms the probability of the displacement of $1,535,000$ agricultural workers by 1960-a figure derived from a projection of the long-term trend in farm employment. Projection of the trend to 1970 will reduce the total of full-time agricultural workers in that year to fewer than 6 million, notwithstanding an increase in the total labor force to more than 82 million.

Agriculture took more than 70 percent of the Nation's labor force in 1820; by 1920 the proportion was less than 30 percent, and it has decreased between 4 and 5 percent in each subsequent decade. The report points out that it is difficult to forecast the rate of adoption of new farming methods, probable changes in demand, and other pertinent factors in view of recent rapid changes in agricultural technology. Present international tensions, with accompanying large demands for both manpower and materials, also make forecasting hazardous, according to the author of the Senate report. However, he is convinced, on the basis of present knowledge, that it is reasonable to assume a continuation of the downward trend in agricultural employment for the next 20 years.

The economic and social consequences of these developments present real problems for which possible solutions are advanced by the author of the report. Some of the displaced workers can be absorbed through new settlement opportunities or improvements in existing agricultural areas. An additional 12.5 million acres of farm land could be developed within the next 10 years through public development work now under way or authorized and through improvements in drainage and clearing. Perhaps a quarter of a million displaced workers could find employment on farms in such areas. The author anticipates that they would be engaged principally in the production of beef, dairy products, and hay, all likely to be in relatively short supply 20 years hence. Others can find jobs in related industries, enabling them to stay near their homes. For example, aerial farming would create job opportunities for three displaced farm workers for each plane put in operation. Chemurgy-chemical conversion of farm by-products and waste-products to raw materials needed for industry-might be so organized that plants of moderate size could be scattered through the rural areas; the disadvantages of small-scale production would be offset by ready availability of raw material and labor.

Such possibilities for the utilization of the displaced farm workers in their accustomed environment will not absorb all of the workers who will become unemployed. The author of the report advocates action now to create job opportunities and employment possibilities near the places where technological displacement is anticipated.

## Wage Chronology No. 23: Lockheed Aircraft Corp., 1937-51

The Lockheed Aircraft Corp., one of the largest in the field, was the first company in the Southern California airframe industry to recognize and negotiate with a labor union. Its first agreement with the International Association of Machinists (AFL), which currently represents over 20,000 of the 26,000 workers employed by the company, was signed in 1937. Other employees are represented by the Engineers and Architects Association (Ind.) and the International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers (AFL). Southern California airframe plants, which produce a large volume of the country's airplanes, account for about 40 percent of the industry's employment.

This chronology ${ }^{1}$ records the changes in wage rates and related wage practices provided in the agreements negotiated by the International Association of Machinists and the corporation's Southern California plant since 1937. Only provisions affecting hourly rated production workers are shown.

## Classification System

The wage structure in the Southern California airframe industry was affected by a National War Labor Board decision in 1943. Pending cases involving all Southern California aircraft companies were settled by this decision which created a uniform labor classification system for the industry in the area. Under the Board's directive, 10 labor grades were established into which all occupations were to be classified. Actual slotting of positions into the labor grades, however, was left to collective bargaining. After the end of World War II wage controls, the company and the union agreed to a new classification system which, among other things, added 3 grades to the original 10. In 1949 the system was again revised and three grades were added.

The current agreement between the company and IAM is to remain in effect until August 22, 1952.

[^28]
## A-General Wage Changes ${ }^{1}$

 March 3, 1943).

Nov. 5, 1945 (Agreement of Nov. 7,1945 , approved by National Wage Stabilization Board, Jan. 16, 1946).
Nov. 18, 1946 (Agreement of Feb. 10, 1947).
June 16 and Sept. 15, 1947 (Agreement of June 16, 1947).

May 10, 1948 (Agreement of May 11, 1948).

Aug. 22 and Dec. 12, 1949 (Agreement of Aug. 22, 1949).

Oct. 9, 1950 (Agreement of Oct. 2, 1950.

Aug. 13, 1951 (Agreement of Aug. 10, 1951).

| Provision |
| :---: |
| 6 cents an hour increase.- |

Increases averaging 7 cents an hour.

15 percent increase, averaging 18 cents an hour.

5 cents an hour increase.

5 cents an hour increase.-

10 cents an hour increase.

6 -percent increase, averaging approximately 10 cents an hour.
8 -percent increase, averaging approximately 13 cents an hour.

Some new minimum rates of pay established and rates in higher classifications adjusted accordingly.

Order established 10 labor grades with minimum and maximum rates into which all occupations were classified. Specialists' rates, 10 and 15 cents higher than the maximum of the 4 highest grades, were also established.
Applicable to minimum and maximum of rate ranges.

New classification and rate structure established.
3 cents effective on June 16, 1947, and 2 cents effective Sept. 15, 1947. Increase applicable only to employees on payroll on effective dates; no change in established base rates.
Minimum of rate ranges increased 5 cents; maximum, 10 cents. Agreements also provided for retroactive payment of June 16 and Sept. 15, 1947, increases to employees who did not qualify at the time.
5 cents effective Aug. 22, and 5 cents on merit basis 16 weeks later. Minimum and maximum of rate ranges increased 10 cents an hour. Additional 2 cents an hour allotted to correct intraplant inequities effective Nov. 28, 1949. Number of labor grades increased.
Minimum rates above $\$ 1.30$ an hour increased 5 to 10 cents. Maximum rates in rate range increased 6 percent but not less than 8 cents an hour.
Minimum of rate ranges increased approximately 10 percent; maximum increased approximately 8 percent. 51 jobs reclassified to a higher grade. Approved by the Wage Stabilization Board, Oct. 2, 1951.

1 General wage changes are construed as upward or downward adjustments that affect an entire establishment, bargaining unit, or substantial group of employees at one time. Not included within the term are adjustments in individual rates (promotions, classification and merit increases, etc.) and minor adjustments in wage structure that do not have an immediate effect on the general wage level.

The changes listed above are the major adjustments in wage rates made during the period covered. Because of fluctuations in earnings occasioned by changes in classification syctems and other factors the total of the general changes listed will not necessarily coincide with the changes in straight-time average hourly earnings over the period.

## B-Hourly Rate Ranges, by Factory Labor Grade

## Grade I

Machinists, general; mechanics, electrical and electronic fabrication and structures development, flight-test; jig and fixture (wood) builders, A; pattern makers, wood; tool and die makers.
Grade II
Machinists, duplicating and profiling, horizontal boringmill, jig-borer; set-up men, machine-tool; template makers, A.

Grade III
Heat treaters, machine planer, shaper; set-up men, welding-tooling.
Grade IV
Carpenters, maintenance, A; die-makers, cast-multiple acting; molders, aluminum-pattern; operators, drop-hammer. See footnote at end of table.

| Effective date |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nov. 28, 1949 |  | Oct. 9, $1950{ }^{1}$ |  | Aug. 13, 19511 |  |
| Minimum | Maximum | Minimum | Maximum | Minimum | Maximum |
| \$1. 70 | \$1. 95 | \$1. 80 | \$2. 07 | \$2. 00 | \$2. 24 |
| 1. 65 | 1. 90 | 1. 75 | 2. 01 | 1. 93 | 2. 17 |
| 1. 60 | 1. 85 | 1. 70 | 1. 96 | 1. 87 | 2. 12 |
| 1. 55 | 1. 80 | 1. 65 | 1. 91 | 1,82 | 2. 06 |

B-Hourly Rate Ranges, by Factory Labor Grade - Continued

| Labor grade and typical occupations | Effective date |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Nov. 28, 1949 |  | Oct. 9, 19501 |  | Aug. 13, 1951 |  |
|  | Minimum | Maximum | Minimum | Maximum | Minimum | Maximum |
| Grade | \$1. 50 | \$1. 75 | \$1. 60 | \$1. 86 | \$1. 76 | \$2. 01 |
| Mechanics, electrical check-out, flight-armament, hydraulic and plumbing check-out; fitters, metal, A; operators, powerhammer, A; welders, aircraft-aluminum, arc, gas, inert gas-arc. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade VI_----- | 1. 45 | 1. 70 | 1. 55 | 1. 80 | 1. 71 | 1. 94 |
| Grade VII.------------------ | 1. 40 | 1. 65 | 1. 50 | 1. 75 | 1. 65 | 1. 89 |
| Assemblers, final, general structures, hydraulic, precision and sheet metal; mechanics, electrical-bench; molders, aircraft; operators-grinder, A, milling-machine, A, power-brake, A, punch-press, A, turret-lathe, A; template makers, B. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade VIII <br> Platers, chrome; repairmen, portable tool and equipment; truck drivers, general. | 1. 35 | 1. 60 | 1. 45 | 1. 70 | 1. 60 | 1. 84 |
| Grade IX Operators, circular-saw, forming-roll, profile cutting torch; painters, aircraft, A; riveters, machine; tube benders, power; welders, spot, A. | 1. 35 | 1. 55 | 1. 40 | 1. 64 | 1. 54 | 1. 77 |
| Grade X. <br> Assembler-installers; buffers and grinders; fitters, metal, B; operators-drill-press, A, power-hammer, B, straighteningpress, B; truckers, power, A. | 1. 30 | 1. 50 | 1. 35 | 1. 59 | 1. 49 | 1. 72 |
| Grade XI. <br> Assemblers, electrical-bench; operators, router-radial arm, saw-tooling, square-shear. | 1. 30 | 1. 45 | 1. 30 | 1. 54 | 1. 43 | 1. 66 |
| Grade XII_ <br> Assemblers, cable, detail-bench; oilers, maintenance; opera-tors-grinder, B, milling-machine, B; power-brake, B, punchpress, B; turret-lathe, B. | 1. 25 | 1. 40 | 1. 25 | 1. 48 | 1. 38 | 1. 60 |
| Grade XIII <br> Helpers, maintenance; operators-drill-press, B, forming-roll B , sewing-machine; painters, aircraft, B; repairmen, portable tool and equipment, B; welders, spot, B. | 1. 20 | 1. 35 | 1. 20 | 1. 43 | 1. 32 | 1. 54 |
| Grade XIV <br> Burrers, power (machine parts); janitors, heavy; truckers, power, B. | 1. 15 | 1. 30 | 1. 15 | 1. 38 | 1. 28 | 1. 49 |
| Grade XV.-.----1-1--1-1 | 1. 10 | 1. 25 | 1. 10 | 1. 33 | 1. 25 | 1. 44 |
|  | 1. 05 | 1. 20 | 1. 05 | 1. 28 | 1. 25 | 1. 38 |

${ }^{1}$ In progression from minimum to maximum in a grade, the rate and record ance with employee's ability and production record. Record of employee of each employee is reviewed each 16 weeks. Adjustments are made in accord-
at or above the maximum rate in a grade is reviewed only each 32 weeks.

C-Changes in Number of Factory Labor Grades and Hourly Rates for Lowest and Highest Grades, 1943-50

| Effective date | Number of grades | Lowest grade |  | Highest grade |  | Rate range |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Minimum | Maximum | Minimum | Maximum | Lowest grade | Highest grade |
| Mar. 3, 1943 | 10 | \$0. 75 | \$0. 80 | ${ }^{1}$ \$1. 25 | \$1. 45 | \$0. 05 | \$0. 20 |
| Nov. 5, 1945 | 10 | . 86 | . 92 | 1. 50 | 1. 73 | . 06 | . 23 |
| Nov. 18, 1946 | 13 | . 90 | 1. 00 | 1. 55 | 1. 75 | . 10 | . 25 |
| May 10, 1948 | 13 | . 95 | 1. 10 | 1. 60 | 1. 85 | . 15 | . 25 |
| Aug. 22, 1949 | 13 | 1. 05 | 1. 20 | 1. 70 | 1. 95 | . 15 | . 25 |
| Oct. 9, 1950. | 16 | 1. 05 | 1. 28 | 1. 80 | 2. 07 | . 23 | . 27 |
| Aug. 13, 1951 | 16 | 1. 25 | 1. 38 | 2. 00 | 2. 24 | . 13 | . 24 |

1 Grade 10A and B.

## D-Related Wage Practices ${ }^{1}$

| Effective date | Provision | Applications, exceptions, and other related matters |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overtime Pay |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mar. } 30,1937 \\ & \text { Aug. 19, } 1940 \end{aligned}$ | Time and one-half for work in excess of 8 hours a day or 5 days a week. <br> Changed to: Time and one-half for work in excess of 8 hours a day and 40 hours a week. |  |

## Shift Premium Pay

Mar. 30, 1937
Aug. 19, 1940_-.-.-.---

Aug. 22, 1949 $\qquad$ Increased to: 8 cents for second shift.

3-cents-an-hour bonus paid employees required to work a nonstandard workweek. Standard workweek defined as consisting of 5 days, Monday through Friday inclusive.
5 -cents-an-hour bonus paid employees on nonstandard workweek.

Premium Pay for Saturday and Sunday

Mar. 30, 1937
Aug. 1, $1937{ }^{2}$

Nov. 5, 1945
June 16, 1947 $\qquad$

Time and one-half for work on Saturday or Sunday as such.

Changed to: Time and one-half for work on Saturday, double time for Sunday as such. Changed to: Time and one-half for work on sixth consecutive day and double time for seventh consecutive day.

Normal workweek defined as Monday to Friday inclusive but company reserved right to alter work schedule for maintenance and personnel-service employees. These employees received premium pay for work on sixth and seventh consecutive days.

## Holiday Pay

Mar. 30, 1937
Time and one-half for work on 6 holidays. No pay for holidays not worked.

July 26, 1937
July 14,1938
Added: 1 premium holiday (total, 7 )
Washington's Birthday revoked as a premium day (total, 6).
Aug. 19, 1940 2
Changed to: 6 paid holidays for which all workers received their regular rate of pay. Double time (total) for holidays worked.

Holidays were: New Year's Day, Memorial Day, July 4th, Labor Day, Thanksgiving and Christmas.
Washington's Birthday.

Double time and one-half (total) paid after 8 hours' work on a holiday.

Paid Vacations

Mar. 30, 1937
May 1, 1938 $\qquad$

May 1, 1940 $\qquad$

Changed to: 1 week's paid vacation after 1 year of continuous service.
No provision for paid vacations.
1 week's paid vacation after 2 years of continuous service.
$\qquad$

Aug 19, 1940

Vacation pay to equal 40 hours at regular rate of pay. Employees absent for 60 consecutive days or more in a 2 -year period or 10 days during 12 months preceding vacations disqualified from benefits unless excused. Employees allowed to elect second week of vacation without pay.
60-day disqualification period eliminated.
Basis of vacations changed to 1 day of paid vacation and 1 day of unpaid vacation for each 2 -month period of employment. 2 days of unauthorized leave during 2 -month period disqualified employee from receiving vacation credit.

See footnotes at end of table.

## D-Related Wage Practices ${ }^{1}$-Continued

| Effective date | Provision | Applications, exceptions, and other related matters |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

Paid Vacations-Continued
Sept. 15, 1941


Aug. 22, 1949 $\qquad$

Changed to: 1 week's paid vacation for employees with 1 year but less than 5 years' service; 2 weeks, after 5 years.
Pro rata vacation pay for employees being laid off.

Vacation pay to equal 40 hours at straight-time basic rates for 1 -year employees and 80 hours for 5 -year employees.
Employees laid off with 1 or more years' seniority to receive 10 hours' vacation pay for 3 but less than 6 months' vacation credits; 20 hours for 6 but less than 9 months' vacation credits; 30 hours for 9 but less than 12 months' vacation credits. Employees with 5 or more years' service to be paid double pro rata schedule.

Sick and Injury Leave Pay

Mar. 30, 1937
Sept. 15, 1941
Nov. 5, 1945
$\qquad$
Nov. 5, 1945 -----------
Up provision for sick and injury leave pay --rates allowed in 1 year.
Changed to: 6 days' paid leave. $\qquad$

## Maximum of 3 days allowed at one time.

Employees with 1 and less than 5 years' seniority who did not use leave during year of service preceding vacation entitled to elect: (1) 1 additional week of vacation with pay for unused leave or (2) pay for unused leave without additional vacation time. Paid leave extended to cover death in immediate family.
Payment for unused leave eliminated, but employees were entitled to use any portion of unused leave granted during previous year.
Unused leave allowed to accumulate without limit. Maximum of 12 days allowed to be used in any 1 year.

## Reporting Time Pay

Mar. 30, 1937
Aug. 19, 1940
Aug. 22, 1949.-.........

No provision for reporting time pay
Minimum of 4 hours' pay guaranteed to employees called to work.

## Insurance Benefits

April 1935
Jan. 1, 1949---------------

Aug. 22, 1949

Company- initiated plan
Employees could participate in purchase of insurance benefits providing:
Life insurance, $\$ 500$ to $\$ 25,000$;
Accidental death and dismemberment, $\$ 500$ to \$10,000;
Unemployment disability benefits, $\$ 10$ to $\$ 30$ a week for maximum of 26 weeks;
Hospitalization, $\$ 9$ a day for maximum of 70 days;
Special hospital expenses, up to $\$ 180$;
Surgical expenses, up to $\$ 250$;
Nonsurgical medical expenses, up to $\$ 75$;
Laboratory expenses, up to $\$ 25$.
Employees could also purchase dependents' benefits providing:
Hospitalization, $\$ 7$ a day for maximum of 31 days;
Special hospital charges, up to $\$ 140$;
Surgical expenses, up to $\$ 225$.

Not covered by union agreement.
Weekly cost to employee ranged from 64 cents to $\$ 4.25$ depending on earnings.

If dependents' benefits included, weekly cost to employee ranged from $\$ 1.46$ to $\$ 5.07$.

Plan made part of agreement and benefits made available to employees covered by agreement.
Changes to be discussed with union but not subject to grievance or arbitration procedure.

See footnotes at end of table.

## D-Related Wage Practices ${ }^{1}$-Continued

| Effective date | Provision | Applications, exceptions, and other related matters |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

Insurance Benefits-Continned

Jan. 1, 1950

Jan. 1, 1952 $\qquad$

Changed to: Unemployment disability benefits, $\$ 10$ to $\$ 30$ a week for maximum of 26 weeks plus $\$ 9$ a day for each day of hospital confinement;
Surgical expenses, up to $\$ 300$;
Nonsurgical medical expenses, up to $\$ 225$.
Dependents' benefits: Surgical expenses, up to $\$ 300$;
Added: Supplemental accident expenses, up to $\$ 300$.
Changed to: Life insurance, $\$ 4,000$ for all affected employees;
Hospital expenses, full cost of ward room or $\$ 10$ a day;
Polio, $\$ 2,500$ maximum for treatment over 3 -year period.

Weekly cost to employees in some wage classes increased.

Cost of benefits: company paid one-half the premium cost (after deduction of 1 percent upon the first $\$ 3,000$ of wages levied on the employee by State law) and cost of administration of the plan. Employee paid entire cost of dependents' insurance.

Retirement Benefits

Dec. 31, 1942
Dec. 31, 1947

Aug. 22, 1949.--------

## Company-initiated plan

Company-financed pensions available to employees at 65 years of age earning at an annual rate in excess of $\$ 3,000$ and with 5 years' continuous employment. Life annuity for employees with 15 or more years' service to equal 25 percent of average annual earnings in excess of $\$ 3,000$ during 10 years preceding retirement, increased by $1 / 4$ of 1 percent for each year of employment since December 31, 1941. Employees with less than 15 years' service to have benefits proportionately reduced.
Optional annuities: Provision made for election by employee of benefits after retirement, with an actuarially reduced annuity. Employee could elect to have payments made for 120 months to himself or to survivor, if the employee died before the 120 th payment or could arrange for a monthly income to continue after death for the lifetime of joint annuitant.
Death benefits: In event of death prior to retirement, beneficiary to receive $\$ 1,000$ for each $\$ 480$ of annual earnings in excess of $\$ 3,000$ (consisting of employee's vested interest in contributions paid to his annuity account), plus Supplemental Group Term Insurance.
Separation benefits: Employee to receive paidup endowment contracts provided by company before December 31, 1947, plus a percentage of accumulated contributions to employee's annuity account; beginning with 10 percent after 6 years in the plan, increasing 10 percent per year to 100 percent after 15 th year.

Retirement plan not covered by union agreement.
Benefits to be paid on reaching retirement age even though employee continued to work.

Not applicable in case of temporary lay-off or transfer within the corporation.

Plan made part of agreement. Changes to be discussed with union but not subject to grievance or arbitration procedure.

[^29]- Albert A. Belman

Division of Wages and Industrial Relations

## Wage Chronology No. 24: North American Aviation, 1941-51

The first collective bargaining agreement involving the Southern California plants of North American Aviation, Inc., and the United Automobile, Aircraft and Agricultural Implement Workers of America (UAW-CIO) was signed on July 18, 1941. This chronology traces the changes in wage rates and related wage practices of hourly rated production workers put into effect since that date. ${ }^{1}$
This company's plants, like other airframe plants in the area, were subject to the standard
job-classification plan and uniform-pay scales established by the National War Labor Board in March 1943. After the war, both the job-evaluation system and the labor-grade structure were revamped through negotiations.

The current agreement, effective on October 23, 1950, is to remain in force until 1953. Under its terms a reopening is permissible after 18 months for negotiations on basic wage rates. The 1950 agreement covered approximately 12,000 workers at the time it was negotiated.

[^30]
## A-General Wage Changes ${ }^{1}$

| Effective date |
| :--- |
| May 1, 1941 (by agreement |
| of July 18,1941 ). |
| Mar. 3, 1943 (by Directive |
| Order of NWLB, dated |
| Mar. 3, 1943). |

Mar. 5, 1945 (by Directive Order of NWLB, dated Mar. 2, 1945).

May 1, 1946 (by agreement of same date).

June 23, 1947 (by agreement of Aug. 21, 1947).
Aug. 23, 1948 (by agreement of same date).
Sept. 5, 1949 (by agreement of Oct. 24, 1949).
Oct. 23, 1950 (by agreement of same date).

Jan. 29, 1951
Apr. 23, 1951
July 23, 1951
Oct. 29, 1951
Jan. 28, 1952
Apr. 28, 1952

| Provision |
| :---: |
| 10 cents an hour increase_-.-- |
| Increases averaging approxi- <br> mately 15 cents an hour. |

Increase averaging approximately 2 cents an hour.

Increases averaging 18.5 cents an hour.

5 cents an hour increase........

10 cents an hour increase.
5 cents an hour increase
9 cents an hour increase.

2 cents an hour increase
7 cents an hour increase. 1 cent an hour increase 1 cent an hour increase. 3 cents an hour increase 1 cent an hour decrease.

Applications, exceptions, and other related matters

Up to 2 cents an hour additional for job classification purposes.
Order established 10 labor grades with minimum and maximum rates into which all occupations were to be classified. Specialists' rates 10 and 15 cents higher than the maximum of the four highest labor grades were also established.
Order increased maximum rates of the top four labor grades and also the bottom labor grade by 5 cents. These increases affected 40 percent of the employees. The specialists' rate was abolished.
Fifteen cents an hour was retroactive to Jan. 21, 1946. A rate structure with 17 labor grades as well as new and revised job descriptions and a job-evaluation plan were negotiated.
Differential between maximum rate for leadman and "A" classification of the highest occupation supervised increased from 10 to 15 cents.

Automatic progression system inaugurated.
Agreement also provided a cost-of-living allowance, with the first review to be based on Nov. 15, 1950, Consumers' Price Index of the Bureau of Labor Statistics. ${ }^{2}$ Additional 5 -cent increase in maximum rates for the first four labor grades and in the maximum rates in five other specified classifications.
Quarterly adjustment of cost-of-living allowance.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Do. } \\
& \text { Do. } \\
& \text { Do. } \\
& \text { Do. } \\
& \text { Do. }
\end{aligned}
$$

${ }^{1}$ General wage changes are construed as upward or downward adjustments affecting a substantial number of workers at one time. Not included within the term are adjustments in individual rates (promotion, merit increases, etc.) and minor adjustments in wage structure (such as changes in individual job rates or automatic progression increases) that do not have an immediate
and noticeable effect on the average wage level.
The wage changes listed abore were the major adjustments in the general wage level made during the period covered. Because of fluctuations in earnings, changes in products and employment practices, the omission of nongeneral changes in rates, and other factors, the sum of the general changes listed will not necessarily coincide with the amount of change in straight-time average hourly earnings over the same period.
${ }_{2}$ The cost-of-living adjustment provisions, as written into the agreement, closely follow the General Motors system (reported in Wage Chronology No 9, Monthly Labor Review, September 1949) but differed in two respects: (1) adjustments are based on the November CPI and quarterly thereafter in
the North American agreement and on the July CPI and quarterly thereafter in the General Motors agreement and (2) the North American agreement starts at a higher level on the index and therefore does not break at the same points and months:
Consumers' Price Index:
174.8 or less.

Cost-of-living allowance None.





and so forth with a 1-cent adjustment upward or downward for each 1.14 -point change in the inder.

## B-Hourly Rate Ranges, by Labor Grade ${ }^{1}$

| Labor grade and selected job classifications | Effective date |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sept. 5, 1949 |  | Oct. 23, $1950{ }^{2}$ |  |
|  | Minimum | Maximum | Minimum | Maximum |
| Grade I | \$1. 75 | \$1. 95 | \$1. 84 | \$2. 09 |
| Jig and fixture builders, A; machinists, maintenance, A; pattern makers, metal and wood, A; tool and die makers, A. | 1. 70 | 1. 90 | 1. 79 | 2. 04 |
| Grade II Machinists, horizontal boring-mill, lathe, milling-machine, planer; machine rebuilders. |  |  |  |  |
| Grade III_ <br> Grinders, tool and cutter, A; inspectors, final assembly; instrument technicians; pattern makers, plastic, A; wood-mockup and tool builders, A. | 1. 65 | 1. 85 | 1. 74 | 1. 99 |
| Grade IV Electricians, maintenance, A; heat treaters, steel, A; inspectors, welding, A . | 1. 60 | 1. 80 | 1. 69 | 1. 94 |
| Grade V Cabinet makers, A; die makers, cast-multiple; molders, closed-molds, A; operators, grinder (production). | 1. 55 | 1. 75 | 1. 64 | 1. 84 |
| Grade VI $\qquad$ <br> Blacksmiths; carpenters, maintenance, A; inspectors, fabrication, A; jig and fixture builders, B; molders, aircraft, A; operators-drophammer, A, power-hammer, A, milling-machine, A, turret-lathe, A; template layout men. | 1. 50 | 1. 70 | 1. 59 | 1. 79 |
| Grade VII <br> Assemblers, aircraft, A; die finishers, A; operators, power-brake, A; riggers, crane, A; mechanics, sheet metal. | 1. 45 | 1. 65 | 1. 54 | 1. 74 |
| Grade VIII <br> Platers, chrome, A; coremakers; mechanics, compressor, A; molders, aircraft, A; operators, punch-press, A; painters, aircraft, A. | 1. 45 | 1. 60 | 1. 54 | 1. 69 |
| Grade IX Operators, drill-press, A; repairmen, portable tool and equipment; operators, stretch-press, A; welders, spot, A. | 1. 40 | 1. 55 | 1. 49 | 1. 64 |
| Grade X <br> Die finishers, $B$; heat treaters, aluminum, A; operators-band toolingsaw, A; forming-roll, A, grinder, B, power-hammer, B, punch-press, B, saw, A, square-shear, A, straightening-press, A, turret-lathe, B; template makers, A. | 1. 35 | 1. 50 | 1. 44 | 1. 59 |
| Grade XI $\qquad$ <br> Assemblers, aircraft, B; cabinet makers, B; electroplaters, A; operators-milling-machine, B, radial arm-router, A; truckers, dispatch (power), A; tube benders, A; welders, spot, B. | 1. 30 | 1. 45 | 1. 39 | 1. 54 |
| Grade XII.- <br> Buffers and polishers, A; fitters, metal (assembler), B; operators, drillpress, B; production control stock clerks, A. | 1. 25 | 1. 40 | 1. 34 | 1. 49 |
| Grade XIII <br> Covers, fabric, A; oilers, maintenance, A; operators-drop-hammer, C, radial arm-drill, A, sewing-machine, A; repairmen, portable tool and equipment, B ; riveters, machine, A ; truckers, dispatch (power), B. | 1. 20 | 1. 35 | 1. 29 | 1. 44 |
| Grade XIV $\qquad$ Burrers, hand and power, A; die finishers, C; drill operators, sheet metal, laborers; template makers, B. | 1. 15 | 1. 30 | 1. 24 | 1. 39 |
| Grade XV <br> Assemblers, aircraft, C; electroplaters, B; paint shop preparation men; production control stock clerks, B; tube finishers and assemblers, B. | 1. 10 | 1. 25 | 1. 19 | 1. 34 |
| Grade XVI Janitors, A. | 1. 10 | 1. 20 | 1. 19 | 1. 29 |
| Grade XVII Coverers, fabric, B. | 1. 10 | 1. 15 | 1. 19 | 1. 24 |

[^31]October 1950. While not changing these rate ranges, these allowances do affect earnings of employees on the payroll at their effective date. As of 1952, these totaled 13 cents an hour.

C-Changes in Number of Labor Grades and Hourly Rates for Lowest and Highest Grades, 1941-51

| Effective date | Number of grades | Lowest grade |  | Highest grade |  | Rate range |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Minimum | Maximum | Minimum | Maximum | Lowest grade | Highest grade |
| May 1, 1941 | None | \$0. 75 | \$0. 75 | \$1. 35 | \$1. 35 |  |  |
| Mar. 3, 1943 | 10 | . 75 | . 80 | 1. 25 | 1. 45 | \$0. 05 | \$0. 20 |
| May 1, 1946 | 17 | . 90 | . 95 | 1. 55 | 1. 75 | . 05 | . 20 |
| June 23, 1947 | 17 | . 95 | 1. 00 | 1. 60 | 1. 80 | . 05 | . 20 |
| Aug. 23, 1948 | 17 | 1. 05 | 1. 10 | 1. 70 | 1. 90 | . 05 | . 20 |
| Oct. 24, 1949 | 17 | 1. 10 | 1. 15 | 1. 75 | 1. 95 | . 05 | . 20 |
| Oct. 23, $1950{ }^{1}$ | 17 | 1. 19 | 1. 24 | 1. 84 | 2. 09 | . 05 | . 25 |

${ }^{1}$ Cost-of-living allowances were not added to Labor Grade minimums and thus changes resulting from these adjustments were not shown here. maximums but only to rates of workers on the payroll at their effective date,

## D—Related Wage Practices ${ }^{1}$

| Effective date | Provision | Applications, exceptions, and other related matters |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Shift Premium Pay |  |  |
| July 18, 1941 | 5-cent-an-hour premium pay for work on second and third shifts plus 8 hours' pay for $6 \frac{1}{2}$ hours' work on third shift. |  |
| Mar. 3, 1943 (Directive Order of the NWLB of Mar. 3, 1943). |  |  |
| Oct. 24, 1949_........-.--- | Increased to: 8 cents an hour |  |

## Overtime Pay

July 18, 1941.--.----------
Time and one-half for work in excess of 8 hours a day or 40 hours a week.

Time and one-half for work after $61 / 2$ hours a day or $32 \frac{1}{2}$ hours a week for third-shift employees on a $61 \frac{1}{2}$-hour schedule.

## Premium Pay for Saturday and Sunday

July 18, 1941

Sept. 18, $1942^{2}$ 2.-.-.-.-----
May 1, 1946.--------------

Aug. 21, 1947 $\qquad$
Changed to: Double time only for 7 th consecutive day worked.
Changed to: Double time for work on Sunday as such.

Added: Time and one-half for work on Saturday, where lack of work brought employee's workweek below 40 straighttime hours.

Not applicable to employees working on normal 7-day operations, who were paid double time for hours worked on second regular day off. Time and one-half also paid for Saturday work following a holiday in the same week.

Not applicable to employees working on normal 7 -day operations who were paid double time for hours worked on second regular day off.

## Holiday Pay

July 18, $1941^{2}$ ²-------------

May 1, 1946

Aug. 21, 1947

Double time for work on 6 holidays. No pay for holidays not worked.

Changed to: Four paid holidays established, paid for at regular rate. Double time (total) for work on 6 holidays.
Added: 2 paid holidays (total, 6 )

Holidays were: New Year's Day, Memorial Day, Fourth of July, Labor Day, Thanksgiving, and Christmas.
Holidays were: New Year's Day, Fourth of July, Labor Day, and Christmas.
Holidays added: Memorial Day and Thanksgiving.

[^32]
## D-Related Wage Practices ${ }^{1}$-Continued

| Effective date | Provision | Applications, exceptions, and other related matters |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Paid Vacations

July 18, 1941
Mar. 3, 1943 (Directive Order of NWLB of Mar. $3,1943)$.
Aug. 21, 1947

40 hours' pay in lieu of vacation after 1 year of continuous service.
Changed to: 40 hours' vacation with pay after 1 year of continuous service.

Increased to: 80 hours' vacation with pay after 1 year of continuous service.

If not used during the second year, balance of vacation credit was payable to employee at end of second year.
Paid to employee at the beginning of the second year.

## Paid Sick Leave

July 18, 1941
Mar. 3, 1943 (Directive Order of NWLB of Mar. 3,1943 ).
Aug. 21, 1947 $\qquad$

No provision for paid sick leave
56 hours' annual sick-leave credit after 1 year of continuous service.
Reduced to: 40 hours' annual sick-leave credit after 1 year of continuous service.

If not used during the second year, balance of sick-leave credit was payable to employee at the end of the second year.
Sick-leave allowance paid simultaneously with vacation allowance.

## Reporting Time Pay

July 18, 1941

Oct. 24, 1949 $\qquad$

Minimum of 4 hours' work or 2 hours' pay guaranteed employees not notified of lack of work.
Changed to: Minimum of 4 hours' work or pay.

Not applicable if lack of work was beyond control of management.

Not applicable if lack of work was beyond control of management.

## Rest Periods

July 18, 1941
Feb. 11, 1943
Oct. 24, 1949

No provision for paid rest periods

210 -minute paid rest periods per shift

2 10-minute paid rest periods per shift provided by company practice.
Included in collective bargaining agreement.

## Insurance Benefits

July 18, 1941

July 1, 1946

Dec. 1, 1946

Nov. 1, 1948 $\qquad$

See footnotes at end of table.

Life insurance, $\$ 2.000$
Accident and health insurance, $\$ 14$ a week for maximum of 13 weeks (maternity benefits, up to 6 weeks).
Hospital expenses, $\$ 4$ a day, up to 70 days (maternity benefits, up to 14 days).
Special hospital services, up to $\$ 20$ (same for maternity benefit).
Surgical insurance, up to $\$ 150$ (maternity benefits, up to $\$ 100$ ).
Changed to: Hospital expenses, $\$ 7$ a day, up to 31 days (maternity benefits, up to 14 days).
Special hospital services, up to $\$ 25$ if no charge made for operating room. Up to $\$ 50$ if charge made for operating room (maternity benefits, up to \$25).
Added: Insurance for accidental death or dismemberment, $\$ 2,000$.
Accident and health insurance discontinued because of California Unemployment Compensation Disability Law.
Changed to: Special hospital services, up to $\$ 50$ whether or not charge was made for operating room (maternity benefits, up to $\$ 50$.)

Group insurance plan was in effect several years prior to July 18, 1941. Not included in union agreements. Employee contribution, $\$ 1.97$ monthly; remainder of cost borne by company. Applied to enrolled employees only; dependents not covered.

Employee monthly contribution increased to $\$ 2.47$; remainder of cost borne by company.

Employee monthly contribution reduced to $\$ 2.05$.

## D-Related Wage Practices ${ }^{1}$-Continued

| Effective date | Provision | Applications, exceptions, and other related matters |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Insurance Benefits-Continued

Jan. 1, 1950_-.-.------- Changed to: Hospital expenses, $\$ 8$ a day up to 31 days (maternity benefits, up to 14 days).
Special hospital services, up to $\$ 120$. (Same for maternity benefit.)
Surgical insurance, up to $\$ 225$ (maternity benefits, up to $\$ 112.50$ ).
Added: Hospital and surgical, coverage for dependents of insured employees.
Jan. 1, 1951.-.-------------
Added: Medical expense insurance (for insured employees and dependents), up to $\$ 2$ for each treatment at a doctor's office; $\$ 3$ for each treatment elsewhere. Benefits commenced on first visit in case of injury; third visit in case of sickness. Maximum, $\$ 150$ during any 12 -month period.

Plan incorporated in union agreement for first time. Employee monthly contribution remained at $\$ 2.05$; remainder of cost borne by company.

Dependents received same benefits as employees except that maternity benefits were not provided for dependents.
Employee monthly contribution remained at $\$ 2.05$; remainder of cost borne by company. One treatment allowed a day.

## Voluntary Unemployment Compensation Disability Plan

Jan. 1, 1951 (Agreement of Oct. 23, 1950).

Unemployment disability benefits, up to $\$ 40$ a week for maximum of 26 weeks for each disability, plus $\$ 8$ for each 24 hours in hospital, with a maximum of 12 days in one year. Benefits commenced on first day in case of accident, and eighth day in case of sickness unless 24 or more hours of hospital confinement was necessary earlier.

Alternative to State plan provided for employees who authorized company to divert the 1 percent heretofore deducted and paid to the State toward the cost of the plan. This was separate from the group plan referred to above.

1 The last entry under each item represents the most recent change.
${ }^{2}$ During the period covered by Executive Order 9240 (Oct. 1, 1942, to Aug. 21, 1945) the application of these provisions was modified where necessary
to conform to the order.

$$
\begin{array}{r}
- \text { Robert HamLisch } \\
\text { Division of Wages and Industrial Relations }
\end{array}
$$

## Ceiling Price Regulations 135-142; Suspension of Some Controls

## Suspension of price controls on 16 commodities at

 the primary producer level, and the adoption of 8 new ceiling price regulations by the Office of PriceStabilization, comprised price stabilization activity for April 1952. ${ }^{1}$ These are summarized in the following tabular presentation.

[^33] 3742; and vol. 17, No. 85, Apr. 30, 1952, p. 3822.

Major Provisions of CPR's Adopted in April 1952

| $\mathrm{CPR}$ No. | Date issued | Effective date | Commodity covered | Distribution level | Scope of provision |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 135 | Apr. 10..- | May 10.- | Bakery items.------ | Wholesale and retail. | Establishes ceilings for sales by bakers of perishable and frozen bakery items within the following product categories: bread and bread-type rolls; cakes, cookies, and pastries sweet yeast-raising goods; doughnuts and crullers; and pies. |
| 136 | Apr. 21-- | Apr. 26-- | Platinum and platinum products. | All domestic sales including sales of imports. | Fixes ceiling prices for commercially pure platinum; impure platinum and platinum scrap, ores, and concentrates; platinum alloys; and platinum products (other than jewelry). |
| 137 | do | do | Bulk superphosphate_ | Producers_ | Establishes ceiling on sales of super phoshphate to fertilizer manufacturers and to agencies of the U. S. Government. Dollar-and-cent ceilings are established for sales in bulk of ordinary and triple superphosphate. |
| 138 | do_ | do | Nickel anodes_------ | All sales by producers, jobbers, and other resellers. | Establishes ceilings for nickel anodes and for the service of converting or manufacturing any such commodity from materials owned by any other person. |
| 139 | Apr. 22 ${ }_{\text {--- }}$ | Apr. 28.-- | Rebuilt and used automotive parts. | Rebuilders and resellers. | Provides ceilings for rebuilt or used automotive parts at the same percentage of current prices of new parts as was maintained by the rebuilder or seller of rebuilt or used parts during the pre-Korea period. |
| 140 | Apr. 24--- | Apr. 29_-- | Northeastern white pine lumber. | Manufacturers...- | Establishes dollars-and-cents ceilings for Northeastern white pine lumber. It covers manufacturers (sawmills, planing mills, and concentration yards) producing square edge and round edge white pine lumber sawed from the white pine tree (Pinus strobus) in Maine, New Hampshire, Vermont, Connecticut, Rhode Island, Massachusetts, New York, and Pennsylvania. Provision is also made for allowing commission men an addition to the mill ceiling price. |
| 141 | Apr. 25 | None.-.- | Raw wool waste materials. | Producers | Fixes dollars-and-cents ceilings for sales of certain domestic and imported raw wool waste materials containing 25 percent or more of wool by fiber weight. (The regulation is issued to acquaint the industry with the level of ceiling prices established. Since regulations establishing ceilings for wool are being suspended, the regulation has no effective date.) |
| 142 | Apr. 29.-- | May 5--- | Southern California used wooden agricultural containers. | Retailers and dealers. | Provides dollars-and-cents ceilings for used wooden agricultural containers, constituent wooden parts thereof when ready to be assembled into a container, and services supplied, that are sold in the areas adjacent to the cities of Los Angeles and San Diego, Calif. |

Suspension of Controls (Supplementary Regulations) ${ }^{1}$

| $\begin{gathered} \text { CPR } \\ \text { No. } \end{gathered}$ | Date issued | Effective | Commodity covered | Distribution level | Scope of provision |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Apr. 25--- | Apr. 28--- | Cattlehides, kips, and calfskins. | Primary producers_ | Provides for suspension of price ceilings. Suspension may be terminated if prices reach 80 percent of the ceilings set forth in CPR 2, Revision 2. |
| 6 | do |  | Crude cottonseed, soybean, and corn oil; tallows, greases, animal fat waste materials; and vegetable oil soapstocks. | ----do----------- | Revises downward ceiling price of soybean oil to $16 \frac{1}{2}$ cents per pound, f. o. b. Decatur; crude cottonseed oil to 18 cents per pound (Valley basis) ; and of crude corn oil to 19 cents per pound, f. o. b. United States mills. Establishes dollars-and-cents ceiling prices for processors' sales of lard (formerly covered by GCPR). In addition, suspends ceilings on crude soybean oil, crude cottonseed oil, crude corn oil, tallows and greases, fat-bearing and oil-bearing animal waste materials, lard (when sold by processors), and vegeta-ble-oil soapstocks. Price levels at which this suspension would be lifted on crude oils and lard are $21 / 2$ cents and on tallow $11 / 2$ cents below their respective ceiling prices. |
| 20 | -do | do | Wool and wool top.-- | Futures trading on wool exchange. | Suspends ceiling prices for sales of wool and wool tops on the futures exchange. Control will be reimposed, however, if the price of a wool futures contract for the nearby month, as published by the Wool Associates of the New York Cotton Exchange, reaches $\$ 2.36$ a pound. |
| 35 | do_ | do | Greasy wool, scoured wool, wool top, wool noils, alpaca fleece, alpaca top, and alpaca noils. | Sellers (other than growers). | Suspends price control on commercial sales of wool, alpaca, and their tops and noils. Controls will be reimposed, however, if the price of a wool futures contract for the nearby month, as published by the Wool Associates of the New York Cotton Exchange, reaches $\$ 2.36$ a pound. |
| 40 | do | do | Burlap | Importers | Suspends controls on imported burlap of specified constructions. Provides that controls will be reimposed when the price of 40 -inch, 10 -ounce burlap rises to 24 cents per yard, and/or the price of 40 -inch, $71 / 2$-ounce burlap rises to 18 cents per yard (landed U. S. A., ex dock port of discharge, entry paid). |

1 In addition, certain commodities (domestic and imported raw and processed wool waste materials, and burlap) are also subject to either the
General Ceiling Price Regulation or the Import Regulation (CPR 31).

## Recent Decisions of Interest to Labor ${ }^{1}$

## Wages and Hours ${ }^{2}$

Coverage of Logging-Camp Emplayees. A United States district court held ${ }^{3}$ that the Fair Labor Standards Act applied to certain cooks and custodial and clerical workers employed at three logging camps in occupations closely related and directly essential to production of timber and pulpwood for interstate commerce.

Each defendant operated a northern Minnesota logging camp, consisting of bunkhouses, cookhouses, barns, machine sheds, offices, and other similar structures. The employees who cut, loaded, and hauled timber lived in the camp, and most of these production employees ate all their meals at the cookhouse. The lumber was hauled to paper and box companies and processed, and a substantial portion of the processed products was shipped in interstate commerce.

In holding that the cooks and cookees were covered by the act, the court emphasized the integrated character of logging operations. The isolation of the camps from town in bad weather, the court found, forced most employees to eat and live at the camps, and good food and lodging were necessary to obtain employees and to abide by the union contract so that continued production would be possible.

The court cited the case of Hawkins v. E. I. duPont de Nemours \& Co. ${ }^{4}$ in which employees of a cafeteria operated in conjunction with a manufacturing operation were held by a United States court of appeals to come within the act's coverage.

The district court also indicated that duties of the barn boss, watchman, bull cook, and clerk were analogous to

[^34]activities of certain maintenance and custodial employees held in Kirschbaum Co. v. Walling ${ }^{5}$ to be within coverage of the FLSA.

Coverage of Homeworkers. The United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit, affirming ${ }^{6}$ a district court's judgment, extended FLSA coverage to certain homeworkers in the knitted-outerwear industry. These homeworkers knitted garments under an arrangement whereby they supposedly purchased their own yarn and thread from sources independent of the distributor to whom the finished product was delivered. The lower court had held that the homeworkers were employed by the defendant-distributor within the meaning of the act, and had enjoined the distributor from violating the act and the Wage-Hour Administrator's regulations which prohibited employment of homeworkers in the knittedouterwear industry.

A similar judgment had been reversed and remanded ${ }^{7}$ previously by the Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit on the ground that the Administrator's regulation prohibiting "industrial homeworkers" could not be construed to cover those who obtained their materials from sources independent of the distributor.

The appellant's principal argument to the court in the instant case relied primarily on the earlier decision, and although his oral argument mainly concerned the meaning of that decision, the court in the Sixth Circuit made no mention of it. The Department of Labor contended that the Wagner decision (1) was inapplicable as to meaning of the regulation, because homework now is prohibited under the revised regulation "regardless of the source of the materials used," (2) erroneously interpreted the Administrator's regulation, and (3) did not in any event hold that the homeworkers were not employees within the statutory definitions, and therefore did not affect the defendant's liability for violations of the minimum-wage and overtime provisions of the act.

The Sixth Circuit Court made no reference to the Wagner decision, but simply affirmed the lower court's judgment, which enjoined violations of both the act and the Administrator's regulation. It cited only Walling v. American Needlecrafts ${ }^{8}$ and Rutherford Corp. v. McComb in its decision, ${ }^{9}$ and made no reference to the revised regulation. The reliance on these cases, in which homeworkers were held to be employees within the meaning of the act, appears to indicate that the court regarded the Wagner decision as erroneous in its interpretation of the Administrator's regulation.

Coverage of "Off-the-Road Employees." A United States circuit court of appeals recently ruled ${ }^{10}$ that off-the-road employees engaged in the production of highway repair material were covered by the FLSA. In this case, a com-

[^35]pany was engaged in the production, sale, and application of a bituminous concrete material known as amesite, which is used to resurface highways and railroad crossings by industrial concerns; and most of the material made and applied was used on interstate roads or roads carrying interstate traffic, on interstate railroads, or by firms producing and shipping goods in interstate commerce.

The company conceded that employees who actually applied amesite to highways or other instrumentalities of commerce were within the scope of the act. It claimed, however, that its off-the-road employees, who engaged in hauling the raw material to the mixing plant, making the product at the plant, and hauling it from plant to road site, were not producing goods for interstate commerce so as to bring them within the act's coverage.

This theory was rejected by the circuit court, which cited the Supreme Court decision in Roland Electric Co. v. Walling ${ }^{11}$ as authority for the view that an employee may be covered by the act even when he is employed in production of an article which never leaves the State.

The circuit court noted that, in Overstreet v. North Shore Corp. ${ }^{12}$ the Supreme Court held that roads and bridges are instrumentalities of interstate commerce when used by persons and goods passing between the various States. Applying the principles of the Roland and the Overstreet cases, the circuit court decided that the off-the-road employees in question were engaged in production of goods for commerce. It also expressly followed the reasoning of Atlantic Co. v. Walling, ${ }^{13}$ in which it was held that the FLSA applied to employees who manufactured and hauled ice used to refrigerate railroad cars transporting other commodities in interstate commerce. Based on the arguments before the court, it reasoned that the off-theroad employees in the instant case performed duties which were as helpful to interstate commerce moving over the highways as the production of ice to commerce moving over railroads in the Atlantic Co. case.

The circuit court upheld the injunction granted by the district court against continued violations of the act by the company.

## Labor Relations

Non-Communist Affidavits. On August 3, 1949, a woodworkers' union filed a charge with the National Labor Relations Board alleging that an employer was guilty of unfair labor practices under the Labor Management Relations Act. In March 1950, the Board issued a complaint against the employer. Subsequently the Board ordered him to cease certain practices and asked a United States court of appeals to enforce the order. This request was refused. ${ }^{14}$

The court held that the Board lacked authority to issue a complaint against the employer, because, at the time the woodworkers' union made the charge, the national union had not filed non-Communist affidavits with the Board as

[^36]required by the LMRA. Non-Communist affidavits executed by officers of the woodworkers' union were on file with the Board on August 3, 1949, but officers of the national union did not file such affidavits until December 1949. The court held that the filing by the national union in December did not have a retroactive effect, and hence the Board had no authority to consider the charges or to issue the complaint and the order.

Interference With Union Activity. (1) The NLRB ordered ${ }^{15}$ an employer to cease activities which discouraged union membership and thereby violated the LMRA. An employee who was very active in union-organizing activities at the employer's plant was laid off. The employer cited lack of work as the reason for this lay-off, and promised to recall the employee as soon as work "picked up." In the following month, he stated that, although the employee had done some bad work, he still intended to recall him. Two months later, the employer objected to the employee's acting as an observer for the union at a representation election, on the ground that he had been discharged, and then told a union representative that the employee's release had been a discharge, not a lay-off. At the NLRB hearing, the employer admitted that he decided to discharge the employee after the talk he had with the union representative because the latter was going to "tell me who I could hire and fire."

It was found by the Board that the employer knew of the employee's union activities and that the employer had engaged in other anti-union conduct in violation $0 \perp$ the act. In the opinion of the Board, the facts, including the employer's frequent shifting of position regarding the reasons for terminating the worker's employment, indicated that the employee's discharge was really on account of his union activities and was, therefore, discriminatory. The Board added that, even if the employer had laid the employee off initially with the intention of recalling him, the facts indicated that the later decision to discharge him was caused by his union activities.
(2) The NLRB ruled ${ }^{16}$ that a telephone company violated the LMRA by questioning employees concerning union membership and activity and by soliciting and encouraging resignations from the union.

The company had a rule prohibiting union solicitation during working periods or in places where the company's operations or administrative work were being performed. It was rigidly enforced, even to the extent that a supervisor had the home telephone of an employee tapped in an effort to determine whether she was soliciting other operators while the latter were on duty. The supervisor, however, in her place of employment and while the employees involved were on duty, solicited resignations of other workers from the union. These activities, the Board held, violated the prohibitions in the LMRA against interference with union activities.

The company argued that an NLRB cease and desist order with respect to these activities was not warranted, since (1) the violations were merely isolated events, and

[^37](2) the company's neutrality policy on union activity, which was brought to the attention of employees when they were employed, relieved the company from any liability for the supervisor's conduct. The Board, however, found that this conduct affected a number of employees and constituted more than a single act of interference, restraint, or coercion.

With respect to the company's neutrality policy, the Board has held in the past that, under certain circumstances, "an employer may be absolved of liability for coercive statements made by its supervisors in violation of the employer's announced policy of neutrality." Such circumstances, however, have included something more than "mere publication of a statement directed solely to the employees." When, as here, an employer's supervisors have engaged in conduct violative of the act, prior Board decisions have held that a neutrality statement which does not specifically repudiate the conduct is insufficient to relieve the employer of responsibility. In the Board's opinion, a specific repudiation was necessary in the instant situation, since the highest-ranking supervisor in the office had not hesitated to make known her opposition to the employee's union activity.

The Board did not find, however, that the employee's discharge was discriminatory within the meaning of the LMRA. Evidence showed that she assumed a noncooperative attitude toward supervisory personnel, sporadically engaged in disorderly conduct in the presence of other employees, and at one time refused to work under conditions established by the company. The decision stated that it "is not required that an employer submit to insubordination and indignities on the part of employees," even though such employees are at the same time engaged in union organization, collective bargaining, or other mutualaid or protection activities. The Board also found that the employer did not engage in unlawful surveillance by tapping the home telephone line of the employee. The preponderance of the evidence failed to establish, the Board held, that the employer was motivated by, or used it for, a purpose other than to determine whether the employee was engaged in union solicitation of other operators while the latter were on duty.

## Veterans' Reemployment Rights

Discharge After Failure To Take Promotion Examination Not Without Cause. The Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit affirmed ${ }^{17}$ a district court decision dismissing a veteran's claim for reinstatement and lost wages based on "discharge without cause" within the statutory year.

At the time the veteran, a railroad brakeman, was inducted into the Armed Forces, the collective-bargaining agreement provided that a brakeman must pass one of three similar examinations given brakemen, in seniority order, for a position as conductor. If a brakeman failed "to pass the third examination" or declined any examination, he was reduced to junior brakeman and had to await his turn for another opportunity to be examined.

[^38]The veteran was inducted on July 29, 1942, and reinstated on January 10, 1946, with full statutory rights. On September 16, 1942, a change in the agreement was made whereby termination of employment became the penalty for not passing or taking examinations. However, the change did not apply to those who had actually worked 528 days in certain positions before September 16, 1942. On March 29, 1946, the reinstated veteran received notice to take the examinations and was given warning of the penalty. He ignored the examinations and was dismissed May 10, 1946.

He contended that his time in military service should have been counted as "days worked," which would have brought him within the 528 days' exemption. Evidence showed that the new provision was applied to exclude time on leave of absence from the 528 days. The court found no legal basis in the reemployment statutes for counting military service as "days worked."

Finally, the court held that since the veteran's discharge was not made arbitrarily or to deprive him of statutory protections but rested on an agreement neither arbitrary nor discriminatory in character, the "cause" was such as a fair-minded person might act on, and the case was not one of discharge "without cause" in the statutory sense.

## Unemployment Compensation

Availability. An Ohio common pleas court held ${ }^{18}$ that a claimant had been available for work and had made an active search for work when she applied for the only job to which she was referred by the employment office and made two additional applications for work on her own initiative. In the absence of any other facts, the court held that the finding of unavailability by the Bureau of Unemployment Compensation Board of Review was contrary to the weight of evidence.

Claimants Held Unemployed During Vacation Period. The New York Supreme Court, Appellate Division, held ${ }^{19}$ that daily workers in a shipyard were unemployed during socalled "vacation periods" although they received payments from the employer. All the workers at the shipyard worked on a daily basis and irregularly. Under the union contract, qualified workers were entitled to 1 , 2 , or 3 weeks' "vacation pay." The employer did not close the shipyard, but arbitrarily fixed certain periods as "vacations" for certain employees. The court affirmed the holding of the New York Unemployment Insurance Appeal Board that the "vacation pay" was in fact a bonus rather than wages for a specified period.

Good Cause for Voluntary Quit. The New York Supreme Court, Appellate Division, held ${ }^{20}$ that a sailor, working under a union permit which allowed him to keep the same job for no longer than 60 days or one round trip, had good

[^39]cause for refusing reemployment on the same vessel after completing a voyage of 73 days. The court stated that while the Unemployment Insurance Appeals Board is not bound to recognize all union rules, in this case the union rule was not unreasonable.

Refusal of Suitable Work. An Ohio common pleas court held ${ }^{21}$ that the claimant was disqualified for benefits for

[^40]refusing a referral to suitable work, because she had refused to investigate a job as shoe saleswoman paying $\$ 25$ a week. Claimant had 20 years' experience selling shoes, and had recently earned from $\$ 40$ to $\$ 45$ a week, but had seldom worked full time. She had been unemployed for 10 weeks, and the Administrator of the Bureau of Unemployment Compensation had certified that $\$ 25$ a week was the prevailing wage for shoe salesmen in the community.

## Chronology of Recent Labor Events

## April 15, 1952

The Wage Stabilization Board announced revision of General Wage Regulation 13 (see Chron. item for July 19, 1951, MLR, Sept. 1951) to include all fringe benefit plans except health and welfare, pension, and deferred compensation profit-sharing types-covered by other regulations. (Source: WSB release 214, Apr. 15, 1952.)

On May 5, the WSB announced amendment of GWR 13, Revised, to exclude sick leave, which is subject to GWR 19 (see Chron. item for Dec. 21, 1951, MLR, Feb. 1952), and to modify the prevailing industry or area practice standard for approval of fringe benefits. (Source: WSB release 223, May 5, 1952.)

## April 16

The WSB, in unprecedented action, made no recommendations for settlement of the oil dispute (see Chron. item for Feb. 28, 1952, MLR, Apr. 1952) in requesting resumption of bargaining, after industry representatives refused to appear at panel hearings. (Source: New York Times, Apr. 17, 1952.)

On April 30, having failed to reach a settlement before their new strike deadline, 90,000 members of the unions involved struck for a 25 -cents-an-hour wage increase and higher shift differentials. (Source: CIO News, May 5, 1952; and New York Times, May 1, 1952.)

On May 7, the unions refused a WSB request to return to work without a contract. (Source: New York Times, May 8, 1952.)

## April 17

The WSB, with industry members dissenting, recommended that two airplane companies (Douglas and Boeing) negotiate further with the unions involved on the only issue still in dispute, the union shop, "in light of the 'relevant observations' contained in the Board's recent proposal in the steel case" (see Chron. item for Mar. 20, 1952, MLR, May 1952). (Source: WSB release 215, Apr. 17, 1952.)

## April 21

The N. Y. Supreme Court, in the case of Englander Company, Inc. v. Tishler, on the ground that it constituted an illegal secondary boycott, enjoined picketing of a store for the purpose of inducing customers not to buy goods
made by a manufacturer involved in a dispute with the union. The Court's ruling cited the fact that the store had purchased the union-made goods before the strike and the picketing began. (Source: Labor Relations Reporter, vol. 30, No. 1, May 5, 1952, LRRM p. 2007.)

## April 24

The president of the International Longshoremen's Association (AFL) refused to meet with the New York State Industrial Commissioner to discuss implementation of recommendations of the State board of inquiry that investigated last fall's dock strike (see Chron. item for Nov. 9, 1951, MLR, Dec. 1951). His action followed appointment of an AFL commission to "study and evaluate" the board's report. (Source: New York Times, Apr. 21 and 25,1952 .)

The Insurance and Allied Workers Organizing Committee (CIO) announced a new contract covering 5,000 Metropolitan Life Insurance Co. commission agents, and containing two precedent-setting provisions in the insurance industry: (1) a guaranteed annual wage based on a minimum of $\$ 60$ per week; and (2) elimination of arbitration and "no strike" provisions. (Source: IAWOC release, Apr. 24, 1952.)

## April 25

The telephone strike (see Chron. item for Apr. 7, 1952, MLR, May 1952) ended with agreement on a "package" increase covering 6,000 Western Electric distribution employees. Individual settlements generally followed the Michigan Bell contract of April 11, which provided increases averaging 12.7 cents an hour. (Source: CIO News, May 5, 1952; and New York Times, Apr. 14 and 26, 1952.)

The Salary Stabilization Board issued General Salary Stabilization Regulation 6, approved on April 8, which permits the institution or amendment of pension, retirement, annuity, deferred profit-sharing or stock-bonus plans under specified conditions without prior Board approval. (Source: Federal Register, vol. 17, No. 86, May 1, 1952, p. 3849 .)

On April 25, the SSB issued GSSR 7, adopted on April 8, which establishes ceilings on compensation of professional team athletes. (Source: Federal Register, vol. 17, No. 85, Apr. 30, 1952, p. 3826 .)

## April 28

The International Association of Governmental Labor Officials began its 35 th annual conference in San Juan, Puerto Rico. (Source: New York Times, Apr. 28, 1952.)

## April 29

Judge David A. Pine of U. S. District Court, District of Columbia, granted the request of certain large steel
companies for a preliminary injunction restraining enforcement of the President's order to seize the steel mills (see Chron. item for Mar. 20, 1952, MLR, May 1952). (Source: Labor Relations Reporter, vol. 30, No. 1, May 5, 1952, LRRM p. 2001.)
That afternoon, the president of the United Steelworkers of America (CIO) ordered an immediate strike against the mills. (Source: CIO News, May 5, 1952; and New York Times, Apr. 30, 1952.)

On the same day, the United States Senate amended a second appropriations bill to prohibit use of funds in the bill for any purpose connected with any seizure not authorized by act of Congress. (Source: Congressional Record, Apr. 29, 1952, p. 4621.)

On April 30, the U. S. Court of Appeals, District of Columbia Circuit, in a 5 to 4 decision, granted the Government a temporary stay of Judge Pine's preliminary injunction, issued that morning. (Source: Labor Relations Reporter, vol. 30, No. 1, May 5, 1952, LRRM p. 2036.)

On May 1, the Court of Appeals, again by 5 to 4, denied the steel companies' motion to prevent the Government from changing terms and conditions of employment in their mills for the duration of the stay. (Source: Ibid.)

On May 2, in response to the President's request of the previous day, the steelworkers' president urged them to return to work. (Source: CIO release, May 2, 1952.)

On May 3, the Supreme Court of the United States accepted review of the District Court's decision, upheld the Court of Appeals' April 30 ruling, but reversed that of May 1. (Source: Labor Relations Reporter, vol. 30, No. 3, May 12, 1952, LRRM p. 2070. )

On May 4, a bargaining conference of union and steel representatives, opened by the President the previous day, "adjourned without an agreement." (Source: New York Times, May 5, 1952.)

## May 5

The Supreme Court of the United States, by denying review in the case of Paducah Newspapers, Inc. v. Wise, in effect, upheld a lower court's libel judgment against
the defendants for publication of an advertisement charging unjustly that the plaintiff was unfair to labor. (Source: Labor Relations Reporter, vol. 30, No. 3, May 12, 1952, LRRM p. 2071.)

## May 7

The Federal Reserve Board suspended controls on installment credit under Regulation W (see Chron. item for Sept. 8, 1950, MLR, Oct. 1950). (Source: Federal Register, vol. 17, No. 92, May 9, 1952, p. 4256.)

The WSB, in the dispute between the United Auto Workers (CIO) and 13 brass and copper fabricating companies (see Chron. item for Sept. 24, 1951, MLR, Nov. 1951), recommended a general wage increase of 11 cents an hour and other changes in working conditions in 10 individual disputes; 3 others were returned to the parties without recommendations for settlement terms. (Source: WSB release 225, May 7, 1952.)

## May 8

The U. S. Department of Justice announced that the Government had agreed to settle for $\$ 8,500,000$ the much larger claims of 91 firms for damages growing out of Federal seizure of the Midwest trucking industry (see Chron. item for Aug. 11, 1944, MLR, Dec. 1944). (Source: Labor Relations Reporter, vol. 30, No. 3, May 12, 1952, LRR p. 20.)

## May 9

The U. S. Court of Appeals, Sixth Circuit, in the case of Gamble Enterprises, Inc. v. National Labor Relations Board, on grounds of violation of "anti-featherbedding" provisions of the LMRA, reversed an NLRB order (see Chron. item for Jan. 24, 1951, MLR, Mar. 1951) which had found no unfair labor practice in a union requirement that a theater hire a local orchestra when a traveling band was employed. (Source: Labor Relations Reporter, vol. 30, No. 5, May 19, 1952, LRRM p. 2093.)

## Developments in Industrial Relations ${ }^{1}$

Federal seizure of the basic steel industry and a comparatively short Nation-wide steel strike occurred during April 1952. Labor unrest was also reflected in a number of other major work stoppages.

## Basic Steel Situation

A Nation-wide basic steel strike idled more than half a million workers beginning late in April. The stoppage occurred after a court ruling invalidated governmental seizure of the steel mills; the seizure occurred following the collapse of bargaining between the United Steelworkers (CIO) and the industry. Widespread controversy concerning the legality of the executive seizure tended to overshadow the fundamental steel wage-price issues which remained unsettled.

Major developments in the steel dispute during April were as follows. Steel negotiations, which were postponed late in March following the resignation of Director of Defense Mobilization Charles E. Wilson, ${ }^{2}$ began April 3 under the direction of Acting Defense Mobilizer John R. Steelman assisted by the Chairman of the Wage Stabilization Board. The meetings collapsed, however, when the union refused to accept less than the full wage-fringe-union-shop settlement recommended by the WSB and the industry insisted on a $\$ 12$ a ton rise in steel price ceilings to compensate for the recommended benefits and rejected the union shop. When subsequent mediation efforts to avert a Nation-wide steel strike set for April 9 failed, the President on April 8 issued Executive Order 10340 directing the Secretary of Commerce to seize and operate the basic steel mills effective at midnight. The President stated that a steel strike "would immediately jeopardize and imperil our national defense" and that seizure of the mills was the "only way to prevent a shut-down and keep steel production rolling." The scheduled
strike was immediately cancelled. However, curtailments in steel operations and walk-outs by steelworkers, both in anticipation of the impending strike, resulted in a short period of idleness for thousands of workers.

The first effort to void the seizure action failed on April 9 when Judge Alexander A. Holtzoff of the U. S. District Court for the District of Columbia denied petitions of several major steel companies for a temporary restraining order.

A week of further unsuccessful negotiations by the parties was followed by the Secretary of Commerce's announcement that he would negotiate directly with the union on "terms and conditions of employment." The Economic Stabilization Administrator was requested by the Secretary to prepare recommendations for adjustments in steelworkers' wages. Meanwhile, the Administrator authorized an average increase of about $\$ 3$ a ton in steel price ceilings, contingent upon industry acceptance. This price adjustment was permissible under the Capehart Amendment to the Defense Production Act even in the absence of a wage increase.

In a second court test, the industry's contention that this action was illegal was upheld on April 29 by Judge David A. Pine of the U. S. District Court for the District of Columbia. He ruled against the Government on constitutional and statutory grounds and granted a petition for a preliminary injunction restraining the Government from taking any action under the seizure order. Immediately following the decision, Philip Murray, president of the Steelworkers, ordered a strike.

The court's ruling was incorporated into an order on April 30 directing a return of the steel mills to the companies. On the same day, however, the U. S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit, by a 5 to 4 vote, restored Government control of the mills by granting a petition for a temporary stay of Judge Pine's order, pending review by the United States Supreme Court.

## Other Major Strike Activity

Disputes in the petroleum and communications industries affected a large number of workers on a national basis.

[^41]Communications. The country's communications network was disrupted by two major stoppages affecting telephone and telegraph services. A 3week strike by the Communications Workers of America (CIO) beginning April 7, idled about 77,000 employees of several Bell Telephone System operating companies and the Western Electric Co.-Bell's manufacturing, distributing, and sales affiliate. ${ }^{3}$ A partial settlement was made on April 11, when the Michigan Bell Telephone Co. and the CWA agreed upon an average wage increase of 12.7 cents an hour, including 1.5 cents in fringe benefits. Similar wage agreements were reached subsequently with the Ohio Bell Telephone, New Jersey Bell Telephone, and Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Companies. On April 19, the Western Electric Co. agreed to grant equipment installers average increases of 14.1 cents an hour in basic wages and 17 cents an hour in the established per diem travel and transfer allowance. The strike was ended when similar basic wage increases were provided in agreements affecting Bell Telephone Laboratory employees on April 23 and Western Electric warehousemen and salesmen on April 25.

Approximately 31,000 employees of the Western Union Telegraph Co. stopped work on April $3^{2}$ at offices throughout the country. This action was taken to enforce demands by the Commercial Telegraphers Union (AFL) for a wage increase of 16 cents an hour; a 40-hour workweek with 48 hours pay for most employees; and improvements in pension benefits. The walk-out, first Nationwide telegraph strike since 1919, was still unsettled at the end of the month.

Petroleum. A national oil strike idled about 90,000 workers in refineries, pipelines, and distribution plants beginning April 30. CIO and independent unions in the industry acted jointly in the walk-out to enforce demands for a general wage increase of 25 cents an hour and hourly adjustments in second- and third-shift differentials from 4 to 6 cents and from 6 to 12 cents, respectively. ${ }^{2}$

For the first time in a disputes case, the WSB on April 16 returned the oil controversy to the parties for further collective bargaining after industry representatives had refused to participate in panel hearings in two "pilot" cases. The companies had

[^42]proposed consideration of the merits of individual oil disputes instead of a settlement applicable to the entire industry. They contended that the procedures established for the Board's hearings would lead to "multi-company, industry-wide bargaining" in contrast to the local bargaining which has historically prevailed in the industry.

Rubber. Office workers at B. F. Goodrich Co. plants in Akron, Ohio, ratified an agreement on April 5 ending a strike that had continued intermittently since February 27 and had reportedly idled upward of 10,000 production workers at its peak. ${ }^{2}$ It provided for a consent election on April 30 to determine the appropriate bargaining agent for office employees.

Construction. No agreement was reported during the month in the strike that began March 31 involving approximately 15,000 AFL carpenters in four San Francisco Bay Area counties. Principal issues in the dispute concern the union's demands for a health and welfare plan and a retroactive pay increase.

Shipbuilding. A strike scheduled for April 30 at the Bethlehem Steel's East Coast shipyards was postponed by the Marine and Shipbuilding Workers (CIO) for 45 days-until June 13. This was the third extension of negotiations that began in December 1951 for a new contract affecting approximately 30,000 workers. ${ }^{2}$ The union also deferred until June 14 a threatened walk-out at two East Coast yards of the Todd Shipyards Corp.

## Significant Negotiations

Economic problems in the textile industry, resumption of negotiations in the prolonged railroad dispute, and wage proposals in the electrical products industry dominated negotiations during the month.

Apparel and Textiles. Agreement to forego wage increases and other contract improvements for some 150,000 of its approximately 375,000 members was made by the Amalgamated Clothing Workers (CIO) and the United States Clothing Manufacturers Association by extending existing contracts until September 15, 1952. The decision was based on the adverse employmentsituation and economic conditions prevailing in the industry.

Unfavorable employment conditions in the needle trades also led to action by the general executive board of the International Ladies' Garment Workers' Union (AFL). It appointed the union's New York Joint Board as a wage policy committee to screen all requests for wage increases from the union's various regional and trade groups. In acting, the executive board stated that the union's members were entitled to higher pay but noted that consideration of the "timing" of wage demands was necessary because of variations in the employment situation in the industry.

The American Woolen Co. and the Textile Workers Union (CIO) agreed on April 10 to a second 1-month extension of their present agreement, ${ }^{2}$ in order to permit union officials to devote full time to the union's seventh biennial convention at Cleveland starting on April 28. (For further discussion of this convention and that of the American Federation of Hosiery Workers (AFL), see p. 648).

Transportation. The White House announced on April 26 that the Acting Director of Defense Mobilization had resumed mediation efforts in the long-standing wage-rules dispute between the Nation's railroads and the independent Brotherhoods of Locomotive Engineers and Locomotive Firemen and Enginemen, and Order of Railway Conductors. The meetings were the first in several months.

Reportedly, the unions have been willing to accept the carriers' wage increase offer of 38 cents an hour for yardmen and $23 \frac{112}{2}$ cents for roadmen but have rejected proposed changes in working rules. Disagreement also centers in the amount of the hourly wage increase that yardmen should receive when a 40 -hour workweek, tentatively agreed upon, is established.

A Federal Court in Cleveland, Ohio, issued a preliminary injunction on April 15 prohibiting these unions from striking against Governmentoperated railroad lines. The injunction replaced the court's temporary restraining order which ended a brief strike by about 5,000 union members in March. ${ }^{2}$ It will remain in effect pending hearings on the Government's petition for a permanent injunction.

The 17 nonoperating railroad unions announced that they are considering "steps" to end the railroads' opposition to the negotiation of union-shop
provisions. ${ }^{4}$ Eastern railroads have appointed a conference committee to negotiate the issue but western and southeastern roads have failed to take similar action.

The Railway Express Agency agreed to a Nation-wide union-shop provision affecting about 42,000 workers, effective April 1, 1952. This agreement was negotiated jointly by four nonoperating unions-the Railway Clerks, Machinists, Blacksmiths, and Teamsters (all AFL).

Electrical Products. An announcement by the Westinghouse Electric Corp. on April 28 offered cost-of-living wage increases ranging from 1 to $2 \frac{1}{2}$-cents an hour to about 75,000 of its production workers represented by the International Union of Electrical, Radio, and Machine Workers (CIO), United Electrical, Radio \& Machine Workers (Ind.), Federation of Westinghouse Independent Salaried Unions, and International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers (AFL). These increases, which are intended to compensate for advances in living costs since September 15, 1951, were proposed in negotiations under wage- and salary-reopening provisions in existing contracts. ${ }^{5}$ They were immediately rejected as inadequate by the IUE (CIO) and UE (Ind.). The other two unions affected were studying the offer, as the month ended.

The announcement further stated that approximately 15,000 nonunion salaried clerical, professional, and administrative employees will receive wage increases comparable to that offered union employees, effective May 1, 1952.

At the company's East Pittsburgh, Pa., divisions, a 4-day "demonstration" which idled about 13,000 workers ended April 1. The stoppage by IUE (CIO) members protested the inauguration of a temporary "occupational group" seniority system in place of the former plant-wide plan.

Bituminous Coal. In an effort to present a more unified bargaining front in forthcoming negotiation with the United Mine Workers (Ind.), most Indiana and Illinois soft-coal producers joined the Bituminous Coal Operators Association, principal employer-bargaining group in the

[^43]soft-coal industry. The new members had long refused to join in national bargaining with the UMW.

The UMW has not sent new contract demands to the industry. The existing agreement was scheduled to expire March 31 but has been extended, subject to a 60 -day termination notice.

## WSB and Other Actions

Negotiations for some form of union-shop provision were recommended by the WSB (industry members dissenting) in disputes involving the Douglas Aircraft Co. of Long Beach, Calif., and the United Automobile Workers (CIO) and United Aircraft Welders (Ind.) ; ${ }^{4}$ and Boeing Airplane Co. of Wichita, Kans., and the Machinists' Union (AFL). ${ }^{5}$ All other issues were previously settled by the parties on the basis of recommendations by the Board in the Douglas dispute and suggestions by a Board panel in the Boeing dispute. The existence of union-shop clauses in the contracts of five major aircraft firms influenced the recommendations, the Board stated.

The Board also acted in a dispute voluntarily submitted by the Todd Shipyards Corp., San Pedro, Calif., and the Marine and Shipbuilding Workers (CIO) for a final and binding decision. ${ }^{5}$ With labor members dissenting, it awarded to more than 1,000 workers a wage increase of 5 cents an hour retroactive to July 27, 1951, the effective date of the contractual wage-reopening clause. The union had requested a wage adjustment of 22 cents an hour. Employees at the Bethlehem Steel Pacific Coast yard, also at San Pedro, will receive the same increase in view of a company-union stipulation to be bound by the Todd award.

General Wage Regulation No. 13, issued July 19, 1951, ${ }^{6}$ which permitted adjustments in specified fringe benefits was extended by the Board to include all fringe items except health, welfare, and pension plans, and profit-sharing plans of the de-ferred-compensation type. The action brings other fringe benefits such as sick leave and severance pay under GWR 13.

Among other wage stabilization activities, ${ }^{7}$ the Construction Industry Stabilization Commission adopted a resolution stating in more detail its wage stabilization policy for 1952. It also issued Regulation No. 2 providing for health and welfare plans in the construction industry. ${ }^{2}$ The Railroad and Airline Wage Board released a report on the first 6 months of its operations from October 1951 to March 1952. ${ }^{8}$

A report released by a majority of the Senate Subcommittee on Labor and Labor-Management Relations found that the "evidence . . . goes far to substantiate" a charge by the Textile Workers Union (CIO) that "there exists in the textile industry, primarily in the South, a widespread conspiracy to prevent union organization and to destroy those unions which now exist." The findings were substantially similar to those included in a previous report issued by the Subcommittee early in 1951 but later withdrawn. Minority views on the earlier report were refiled verbatim in the new report. 6

[^44]
## Publications of Labor Interest

Editor's Note.-Correspondence regarding publications to which reference is made in this list should be addressed to the respective publishing agencies mentioned. Data on prices, if readily available, are shown with the title entries.

Listing of a publication in this section is for record and reference only and does not constitute an endorsement of point of view or advocacy of use.

## Special Review

American Capitalism: The Concept of Countervailing Power. By John Kenneth Galbraith. Boston, Houghton, Mifflin Co., 1952. 217 pp. $\$ 3$.
On the assumption that competition has lost its potency as the regulator of the economy in the public interest, Dr. Galbraith has undertaken the search for a substitute that will justify leaving market decisions to private enterprises, with no more than limited state intervention. He finds the answer in what he calls "countervailing power," which means that "private economic power is held in check by the countervailing power of those who are subject to it," Countervailing power, like competition of old, is viewed as an autonomous and "self-generating" regulatory force. In the typical modern market of only a few strong sellers (or buyers), their power is neutralized by strong buyers (or sellers) such as chain stores or agricultural cooperatives. Likewise, in the labor market, the "original" power of large employers is offset by labor unions.

Dr. Galbraith feels that this development has had the effect of strengthening capitalism, since "the growth of countervailing power strengthens the capacity of the economy for autonomous self-regulation and thereby lessens the amount of over-all government control or planning that is required or sought," but he recognizes that neither liberals nor conservatives will be entirely happy about it. For example, he avers that "a benign Providence . . . has made the modern industry of a few large firms an almost perfect instrument for inducing technical change" and "that there must be some element of monopoly in an industry if it is to be progressive." By contrast, he finds, industries which approach the competitive model, such as bituminous coal, textiles, shoes, and lumber, are technically backward industries. Such progress as has been made in agriculture, another competitive industry, is attributed to the action of the government.

In view of the pivotal importance attributed by the author to his concept of countervailing power, his statements on this point require careful consideration, especially
his insistence that it is not just "an adventitious occurrence" but self-generating and arising from the very nature of the situation. As an illustration, he states the general rule that "there are strong unions in the United States only where markets are served by strong corporations." Yet in other chapters Dr. Galbraith makes it clear that countervailing power is, at best, only partly "autonomous," since it may depend primarily upon the power of the government. "In fact," he says, "the support of countervailing power has become in the last two decades perhaps the major peacetime function of the Federal Government." This lets the cat out of the bag, and the cat turns out to be not of an economic breed, but a political animal. It is a political balance of power that is involved.

Government intervention or public ownership is considered to be justified in fields where the development of countervailing power is not practicable, as in the cases of utilities or low-cost housing. The state may also have to intervene, it is argued, in instances of persistent inflation or deflation, to assure the stability of the economy at a high level of production and employment. The author considers that the Keynesian formula is adequate to deal with deflation, but in an inflationary situation only half of the formula is available for use, "and the use of that half may be contradictory and unwise." Countervailing power likewise may accelerate inflation by inducing an upward wage-price spiral.

Nevertheless, Dr. Galbraith concludes that "in a parliamentary democracy with a high standard of living there is no administratively acceptable alternative to the decisionmaking mechanism of capitalism." He makes the important point that centralized decision is workable only in communities with a low and simple standard of living. It is therefore not surprising that socialist or labor governments in northern and western Europe have not attempted to nationalize the consumer industries.

Some readers may feel that despite Dr. Galbraith's insistence on the demise of competition he does not quite succeed in disposing of the remains. Some examples cited as illustrations of countervailing power look suspiciously like competition in new garb. Of course, some oversimplification is inevitable in a popular book which attempts to cover as large a theme as American capitalism in only 200 pages. Perhaps Dr. Galbraith strains a bit too hard, but he has achieved a very readable volume that clarifies some significant strands of current economicopolitical thought in the United States.
-George Wythe.

## Agriculture

Manpower, Chemistry, and Agriculture. Staff report to Subcommittee on Labor and Labor-Management Relations of Committee on Labor and Public Welfare, United States Senate, Eighty-second Congress, First session. Washington, 1952. $45 \mathrm{pp} .$, bibliography, charts (Doc. 103, 82d Cong., 2d sess.).
Summarized on page 676 of this issue of the Monthly Labor Review.

1950 Arizona Cotton Harvest: A Study of Hand and Machine Picking of Cotton in Arizona. [Phoenix?], Employment Security Commission of Arizona, State Employment Service, Farm Placement Division, [1951?]. 40 pp., charts; processed.
Includes information on composition and characteristics of the labor force, work habits and earnings, production of hand pickers, mechanical picking, employment of Navajo Indians in Graham County, and activities of the Interstate Farm Labor Information Station at Benson.

## Industrial Accidents and Accident Prevention

Injury Experience in Coal Mining, 1948: Detailed Analysis of Factors Influencing Mine Safety and Related Employment, Production, and Productivity Data. By Forrest T. Moyer, G. D. Jones, V. E. Wrenn. Washington, U. S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Mines, 1952. 109 pp. (Bull. 509.) 45 cents, Superintendent of Documents, Washington.
Selected Accident Facts, Construction Industry, California, [1949-51]. San Francisco, Department of Industrial Relations, Division of Labor Statistics and Research, 1951. 10 pp.; processed.
Injury Frequency Report of 35 Member Mills, Pacific Coast Association of Pulp and Paper Manufacturers, Calendar Year 1951. Portland, Oreg., Pacific Coast Association of Pulp and Paper Manufacturers (S. W. Grimes, Secretary, 1233 American Bank Building), 1952. 16 pp., charts.
Limits of Flammability of Gases and Vapors. By H. F. Coward and G. W. Jones. Washington, U. S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Mines, 1952. 155 pp., bibliography, charts. (Bull. 503.) 40 cents, Superintendent of Documents, Washington.
Results of a critical review of published data, directed toward the prevention of mine explosions, and of gas explosions and fires in the metallurgical, petroleum, gasmanufacturing, and related industries.

1952 Annual Safety Equipment Issue, National Safety News. Chicago, March 1952. 284 pp., illus.
In addition to being a guide to safety equipment, this volume constitutes a reference manual on underlying physical, operational, and promotional factors in accident prevention.
Accidents and Accident-Prevention Policies in Agriculture: VIII, Netherlands; IX, Switzerland. (In Occupational Safety and Health, Geneva, October-December 1951, pp. 160-165. 75 cents. Distributed in United States by Washington Branch of ILO.)
Countries represented in the previous articles in this series, which began in the January-March issue of the periodical, were Austria, Denmark, Finland, Italy, Norway, Sweden, and the United States.

## Industrial Health

Conference on Problems of Noise in Industry, [Atlantic City, N. J., April 23, 1951]. (In A.M.A. Archives of Industrial Hygiene and Occupational Medicine, Chicago, February 1952, pp. 97-163, charts, illus. \$1.)
Nine papers, by various authors, with a summary of points made by the speakers and of the status of knowledge in the field.

Control and Removal of Radioactive Contamination in Laboratories. Washington, U. S. Department of Commerce, National Bureau of Standards, 1951. 24 pp. (Handbook 48.) 15 cents, Superintendent of Documents, Washington.
Recommendations of the Internationai Commission on Radiological Protection and of the International Commission on Radiological Units, 1950. Washington, U. S. Department of Commerce, National Bureau of Standards, 1950. 29 pp. (Handbook 47.) 15 cents, Superintendent of Documents, Washington.
Contains revised radiation safety standards.
Control of Health Hazards in the Operation of Metal Degreasers. By John B. Skinner. (In American Industrial Hygiene Association Quarterly, Chicago, March 1952, pp. 11-16, bibliography, diagrams, illus. 75 cents.)
Handbook of Dangerous Materials. By N. Irving Sax. New York, Reinhold Publishing Corporation, 1951. 848 pp. $\$ 15$.
The compendium deals primarily with industrial hazards of chemicals, explosives, fungi and fungicides, and radioactivity, and the control of such hazards. The section on radiation consists largely of basic data underlying protection against hazards of external radioactivity.
Physical Examinations in Industry. New York, Metropolitan Life Insurance Co., 1951. 44 pp., bibliography, forms, plans, illus. (Industrial Health Series, No. 2.)

## Industrial Relations

Collective Bargaining in the Meat-Packing Industry. Washington, U. S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, 1952. 49 pp . (Bull. 1063.) 30 cents, Superintendent of Documents, Washington.
Report and Recommendations of the Wage Stabilization Board in the Matter of United Steel Workers of America (CIO) and Various Steel and Iron Ore Companies. Washington, U. S. Wage Stabilization Board, 1952. 49 pp. ; processed.
A panel report, published separately by the Board, contains an outline and a summary of "the evidence and the parties' contentions without making findings or recommendations."

Final Report to the Industrial Commissioner, State of New York, from Board of Inquiry on Longshore Industry Work Stoppage, October-November 1951, Port of New York. [New York, Department of Labor?], 1952. 97 pp., bibliography.
Labor-Management Relations on the Mississippi Waterway System. By John G. Turnbull. Minneapolis, University of Minnesota, Industrial Relations Center, 1951. 53 pp. (Bull. 12.)

Includes information on wages, hours, and working conditions.
Negotiated Operator-Union Welfare Plans (Maritime Unions and United States Flag Operators). Washington, U. S. Department of Commerce, Maritime Administration, 1952. 4 pp.; processed.

Human Relations: Labor and Management. By Nelle Van D. Smith. New York, Exposition Press, 1951. 136 pp. $\$ 3$.
Argues that through greater attention to human factors the differences between labor and management can be minimized, and productivity improved.
Industrial Committees of the ILO. By John Price. (In International Labor Review, Geneva, January 1952, pp. 1-43. 60 cents. Distributed in United States by Washington Branch of ILO.)

## Labor and Social Legislation

Résumé of the Proceedings of the Eighteenth National Conference on Labor Legislation, December 4-6, 1951. Washington, U. S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Standards, 1952. 56 pp. (Bull. 154.) Free.
An article on the conference was published in the Monthly Labor Review for January 1952 (p. 12).
Rhode Island Labor Laws. Providence, Department of Labor, December 1951. 310 pp.
High Spots in State School Legislation Enacted in 1951. Washington, National Education Association of the United States, Research Division, 1952. 33 pp.; processed.
About a third of the report is devoted to a summary of legislation concerning teachers.
Droit du Travail. By F. van Goethem and R. Geysen. Brussels, Éditions Erasme S. A., 1950. 543 pp.
Discussion of Belgian labor and social legislation. Bibliographies give references to legislation of Belgium and other countries.
Lebanon and Its Labor Legislation. By Joseph Donato. (In International Labor Review, Geneva, January 1952, pp. 64-92. 60 cents. Distributed in United States by Washington Branch of ILO.)

A Statement of the Laws of Panama in Matters Affecting Business. By Erasmo de la Guardia. A Statement
of the Laws of Guatemala in Matters Affecting Business. By Julio Gomez Robles. Washington, Pan American Union, Department of International Law, 1951. 81 and 121 pp., respectively. $\$ 3$ each.
Each of these reports includes a summary of labor and social legislation.

## Labor Organizations

How Public Spirited is American Labor? By Joseph A. Loftus. (In The Annals, Vol. 280, American Academy of Political and Social Science, Philadelphia, March 1952, pp. 90-96. \$2.)
Examines the objectives of organized labor in relation to the public interest.
Labor Union Lawyers: Professional Services of Lawyers to Organized Labor. By Robert M. Segal. (In Industrial and Labor Relations Review, Ithaca, N. Y., April 1952, pp. 343-364. \$1.25.)
Abridgment, in preliminary form, of a report prepared for the Survey of the Legal Profession which is being conducted under the auspices of the American Bar Association.
Repori of Proceedings of the 70ih Convention of the American Federation of Labor, Held at San Francisco, Calif., September 17-25, 1951. Washington, American Federation of Labor, [1952]. 618 pp. 75 cents.
An article on this convention was published in the Monthly Labor Review for November 1951 (p. 547).
Report of the Proceedings of ihe 66th Annual Conveniion of the Trades and Labor Congress of Canada, Halifax, N.S., September 10-15, 1951. [Ottawa, Trades and Labor Congress of Canada, 1951?] 431 pp.
Comparative Labor Movemenis. By John Clarke Adams and others; edited by Walter Galenson. New York, Prentice-Hall, Inc., 1952. xiv, 599 pp. \$6.50.
Mr. Galenson's introduction makes some comparative analyses of the labor movements described in the succeeding seven sections, each by an expert on the particular area covered. In each case, the treatment is historical, followed by description of trade-union structure and discussion of current issues such as wage-price relationships, ideology, and relation of unions to political parties and to government policies. Great Britain, Scandinavia, Australia, Germany, France, Italy, and Russia are covered. Each section is represented in a concise selected bibliography including works in various languages.
British Working Class Movements-Select Documents, 1789-1875. By G. D. H. Cole and A. W. Filson. London, Macmillan Co., Ltd., 1951. 629 pp. 45 s . net,
In addition to trade-unionism, the book covers political labor movements, the cooperative movement, radical movements in their more general as well as their workingclass aspects, and social and economic developments affecting the working class.

## Medical Care and Sickness Insurance

Compulsory Health Insurance: The Economic Issues. By Rita Ricardo Campbell and W. Glenn Campbell. (In Quarterly Journal of Economics, Cambridge, Mass., February 1952, pp. 1-24. \$1.25.)
The Discussional on Medical-Personal Relations in Industry, May 17-19, 1951. Ann Arbor, University of Michigan, School of Public Health, 1951. 19 pp., bibliography. (Proceedings of Inservice Training Course, 38.) \$1.
Deals largely with mental health problems of plant medical departments and their handling.
Graduate Educaiion for Physicians in Industrial Healih and Occupational Medicine-A Report of Current Graduate Education Opporlunities in Nine Universities. By Otto Tod Mallery, Jr., M.D. (In Industrial Medicine and Surgery, Chicago, March 1952, pp. 101-106, bibliography. 75 cents.)
Methods of Payment for Physicians' Services in Medical Care Programs. By Franz Goldmann, M.D. (In American Journal of Public Health and the Nation's Health, New York, February 1952, pp. 134-141. \$1.)
Medical Inspection of Labor and Industrial Medical Services in France. By Jacques Bousser and Jean-Jacques Gillon. (In International Labor Review, Geneva, February 1952, pp. 184-210. 60 cents. Distributed in United States by Washington Branch of ILO.)

## Occupations

Careers in Accounting. Careers in Cartography. Careers in Department Stores. Washington, B'nai B'rith Vocational Service Bureau, 1952. 7, 3, and 5 pp., respectively, illus.
Dietetics as a Profession. Chicago, American Dietetic Assn., 1951. 32 pp., illus. Rev. ed. 25 cents.
Counselor's Guide to Office Occupations. Sacramento, California State Department of Education, Bureau of Occupational Information and Guidance, 1951. 22 pp., bibliography; processed. (California Guidance Bull. 15.)
Careers in Public Relations. By Juvenal L. Angel. Chicago and New York, Modern Vocational Trends, 1951. 15 pp ., bibliography; processed. 50 cents.

Occupational Information, Its Development and Application. By Carroll L. Shartle. New York, Prentice-Hall, Inc., 1952. xiii, 425 pp., bibliographies, charts, forms, illus. 2d ed. $\$ 6.65$.
Brings 1946 edition up to date by discussing recently prepared occupational information and its uses, and by presenting new materials which include a list of sources of occupational information, a sample of a job analysis report, the 1950 Census classification of occupations and industries, and the New York State Department of Education's plan for filing occupational information.

## Older Workers and the Aged

Age Discrimination in Employment: An FEPC Misfit. (In Yale Law Journal, New Haven, Conn., April 1952, pp. 574-584. \$1.)
The article is extensively documented.
Company Practices Regarding Older Workers and Retirement. Chicago, National Metal Trades Association, 1952. 13 pp .

Retirement Procedures Under Compulsory and Flexible Retirement Policies. By Helen Baker. Princeton, N. J., Princeton University, Department of Economics and Social Institutions, Industrial Relations Section, 1952. 65 pp . (Research Report Series, 86.) $\$ 2$.

Community Services for Older People-The Chicago Plan. By Community Project for the Aged, Welfare Council of Metropolitan Chicago. Chicago, Wilcox and Follett Co., 1952. 240 pp. $\$ 3$.
The Chicago Plan calls for integration of services for older persons within the existing structure of welfare agencies, placing major responsibility on the Welfare Council of Metropolitan Chicago. Coverage of the plan is broad in scope and priorities are clearly delineated.
Factors in the Higher Mortality of Our Older Age Groups. By Louis I. Dublin and Mortimer Spiegelman. (In American Journal of Public Health and the Nation's Health, New York, April 1952, pp. 422-429, chart. $\$ 1$.
A comparison of United States rates with those of 17 other countries.

## Pensions

Problems in Modern Pension Planning. By Donal O'Callaghan. (In Boston University Law Review, Boston, April 1952, pp. 189-214. \$1.)
Comprehensive review of the movement for industrial pensions, with emphasis on major present-day problems.
Public Retirement Systems. By Helen Livingston. (In State Government, Chicago, February 1952, pp. 39-42, 44. 50 cents.)
Outlines characteristics of both Federal and State programs.
Collectively Bargained Pension Plans in New York State, July 1951. New York, State Department of Labor, Division of Research and Statistics, 1951. 106 pp. (Pub. B-49.)

Pension Patterns in the Electrical Industry Analyzed by IUE-CIO. (In Employee Benefit Plan Review, Chicago, Winter 1952, pp. 32-53. 75 cents.)
Railroad Retirement Act Amendments of 1951: Benefit Provisions and Legislative History, by Robert J. Myers and Wilbur J. Cohen; Financial and Actuarial Aspects, by Robert J. Myers. (In Social Security

Bulletin, Federal Security Agency, Social Security Administration, Washington, February 1952, pp. $3-12$, bibliography; March 1952, pp. 14-19. 20 cents each, Superintendent of Documents, Washington.)
Recent Changes in the Railroad Retirement and Survivor Benefit Program. By Walter Matscheck and Jack M. Elkin. (In American Economic Security, Washington, January-February 1952, pp. 28-36.)

## Personnel Management

Readings in Personnel Administration. Edited by Paul Pigors and Charles A Myers. New York, McGrawHill Book Co., Inc., 1952. 483 pp. \$4.50, cloth; \$3, paper.
Job Rotation for Unskilled Labor. By Ruth Miner. (In Current Economic Comment, University of Illinois, College of Commerce, Bureau of Economic and Business Research, Urbana, February 1952, pp. 43-47. Free.)
Orientation of the New Employee by the Health Division of an Atomic Energy Research Laboratory - A Four-Year Review. By Jean Spencer Felton, M.D. (In Industrial Medicine and Surgery, Chicago, March 1952, pp. 107110, illus. 75 cents.)
Information Racks- $A$ New Communications Medium. New York, National Industrial Conference Board, Inc., 1952. 20 pp., illus. (Studies in Personnel Policy, 125.)
Speak Up, Management! How to Communicate with Employees and Public. By Robert Newcomb and Marg Sammons. New York, Funk \& Wagnalls Co., in association with Modern Industry Magazine, 1951. 308 pp., bibliography. $\$ 5$.
Upward Communications: A Project in Executive Development Using the Syndicate Method. By Earl Planty and William Machaver. (In Personnel, New York, January 1952 , pp. 304-318, bibliography. Reprints of this article are available from American Management Assn.)
Report of a study of the employee to top management, communications system in the firm of Johnson \& Johnson, New Brunswick, N. J., using the "syndicate method-a new learning technique imported from England."

## Productivity

Productivity Trends, 1909 to 1950: Agriculture. Washington, U. S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, 1952. 34 pp., chart; processed. Free.
Productivity Trends, 1935 to 1950: Anthracite Mining Industry; Copper Ores Mining Industry; Lead and Zinc Ores Mining Industries. Washington, U. S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, 1952. 3 separate reports, 6, 6, and 8 pp ; processed. Free.

Changes in the Productivity of British Industry, 1945-51. By L. Rostas. (In Economic Journal, London, March 1952, pp. 15-24. 10s. net.)
Labor Productivity and the Soviet Challenge. By Irving H. Siegel. (In Mill \& Factory, New York, March 1952, pp. 79-83, illus.)
Discussion of trends and developments in Soviet labor productivity, including comparisons with productivity in the United States, Great Britain, and Germany.

## Profit Sharing

Proceedings, Fourth Annual Conference, Council of Profit Sharing Industries, Detroit, Mich., November 12 and 18, 1951. Akron, Ohio, Council of Profit Sharing Industries, 1952.128 pp.
Sharing a Business: The Case Study of a Tested Management Philosophy. By Franklin J. Lunding. Scarsdale, N. Y., Updegraff Press, Ltd., 1951. 150 pp. $\$ 2.75$.
The philosophy of profit sharing as expounded by the author of this book is over-all in its conception, with the customers, stockholders, community, employees, and management as participants. He bases his thesis on his experience as chief executive officer of a firm which has applied this philosophy successfully.

## Wages and Hours of Labor

The New Salary Freezing Regulations. New York, Prentice-Hall, Inc., 1952. 64 pp ., forms.
The Theory of Union Wage Policy. By M. W. Reder. (In Review of Economics and Statistics, Cambridge, Mass., February 1952, pp. 34-45. \$2.)
Examines the "maximizing" theory of trade-union wage determination advanced by Dunlop and the explanation propounded by Ross that "political considerations" dominate union wage policy.
Statistics of Wages of Agricultural Labor in the Bombay State, [1950-51]. (In Labor Gazette, Office of Deputy Commissioner of Labor, Bombay, February 1952, pp. 624-667. Rs. 1-8.)
Wages, Hours and Working Conditions, [Canada]: Rubber Products Industry, October 1951. (In Labor Gazette, Department of Labor, Ottawa, March 1952, pp. 331336. 10 cents.)

Earnings and Hours [in Great Britain], October 1951. (In Ministry of Labor Gazette, London, March 1952, pp. 81-88. 1s. net, H. M. Stationery Office, London.)
Time Rates of Wages and Hours of Labor [in Great Britain], October 1, 1951. London, Ministry of Labor and National Service, 1952. 244 pp. 6s. 6d. net, H. M. Stationery Office, London.
This edition has three appendixes giving data on subjects not covered by the previous annual reports: Wage
rates and hours of juvenile workers in certain industries; overtime rates of pay, by industry; and holidays with pay, by industry.

Methods of Wage Payment in British Industry. By Norman C. Hunt. London, Sir Isaac Pitman \& Sons, Ltd., 1951. 160 pp., bibliography. 18s. net.

Prepared as a textbook for use in courses on management.
Some Statistics of Wages, Earnings, and Hours of Work [in Ireland] in 1951 and Previous Years. Dublin, Central Statistics Office, 1951. 89 pp. 4s., Government Publications Sale Office, 1951.

Samordning af de Nordiske Landes Lønstatistik. Betænkning afgivet af den af de Nordiske Socialministerier Nedsatte Ekspertkomite. Copenhagen, J. H. Schultz A/S, 1950. 103 pp .
Report of a special committee appointed to investigate and coordinate Scandinavian wage statistics. Discusses kinds of wage statistics available in Denmark, Finland, Norway, and Sweden; methods of classification, by industry or occupation; and similarities or differences in reporting methods, by country. Individual chapters contain recommendations for coordination of wage statistics within particular groups. Occupations are defined in an appendix.

## Miscellaneous

The American Eeonomy, 1860-1940. By A. J. Youngson Brown. New York, Library Publishers, 1951. 208 pp., bibliography, charts. \$4.75.
Economic history of the United States by an English scholar. Labor matters are dealt with chiefly in a chapter on Life and Labor, including information on the history of American unionism.

Communism: Where Do We Stand Today? Washington, Chamber of Commerce of the United States, Economic Research Department, 1952. $55 \mathrm{pp} .$, bibliography. 50 cents.
Report of the Chamber's Committee on Communism. Includes some discussion of communism in the labor movement.

The Facts of Life From Birth to Death. By Louis I. Dublin. New York, Macmillan Co., 1951. 461 pp., bibliography, charts. $\$ 4.95$.

Collection of facts, in question-and-answer form, on man's health and welfare. The book is a byproduct of the public-health activities of a large life insurance company.
Economic Resources and Policies of the South. By Calvin B. Hoover and B. U. Ratchford. New York, Macmillan Co., 1951. 464 pp., bibliography, charts. $\$ 5.50$.
A report resulting from the activities of the National Planning Association Committee of the South. A chapter on Labor and Wage Policy discusses the North-South wage differential.
The Hampton Roads Communities in World War II. By Hampton Roads-Peninsula War Studies Committee, College of William and Mary; edited by Charles F. Marsh. Chapel Hill, N. C., University of North Carolina Press, 1951. 337 pp., charts, illus. $\$ 6$.
A study of the impact of World War II on the Norfolk, Va., area, with emphasis on changes in the social structure, in the economic structure, and in governmental structure and services. One chapter deals with labor relations.
The Position of Labor Under the Schuman Plan. By René Roux. (In International Labor Review, Geneva, March 1952, pp. 289-320. 60 cents. Distributed in United States by Washington Branch of ILO.)
Analysis of the main provisions of the treaty establishing a "European Coal and Steel Community" and of their value to labor.
The Indian Labor Year Book, 1949-50. Delhi, [Labor Bureau], 1951. 520 pp . Rs. 10, As. 8, Manager of Publications, Delhi.
Estimates of the Geographical Income and Net Output, [Colony and Protectorate of Kenya], for the Years 1947, 1948, 1949 and 1950. Nairobi, [East Africa High Commission], East African Statistical Department, 1951. 29 pp., charts. Sh. $1 / 50$.

Människan och Samhället-En Bok till Tage Erlander pä. 50-ärsdagen. Stockholm, Socialdemokratiska Partistyrelsen, Tidens Förlag, 1951. 246 pp.
This book, Man and the Community, was written by 22 friends and associates in honor of the 50th birthday of Tage Erlander, Prime Minister of Sweden and leader of the Social Democratic Party. Subjects of the papers include housing, education, the trade-union movement, social insurance, state medical care for the sick, full employment, and labor-market policies in Sweden.

## Current Labor Statistics

## A.-Employment and Payrolls

708 Table A-1: Estimated civilian labor force classified by employment status, hours worked, and sex
709 Table A-2: Employees in nonagricultural establishments, by industry division and group
713 Table A-3: Production workers in mining and manufacturing industries
715 Table A-4: Indexes of production-worker employment and weekly payrolls in manufacturing industries
716 Table A-5: Federal civilian employment and payrolls, by branch and agency group
717 Table A-6: Government civilian employment and payrolls in Washington, D. C., by branch and agency group
718 Table A-7: Employees in nonagricultural establishments for selected States ${ }^{1}$
718 Table A-8: Employees in manufacturing industries, by State ${ }^{1}$
720 Table A-9: Insured unemployment under State unemployment insurance programs, by geographic division and State

## B.-Labor Turn-Over

721 Table B-1: Monthly labor turn-over rates (per 100 employees) in manufacturing industries, by class of turn-over
722 Table B-2: Monthly labor turn-over rates (per 100 employees) in selected groups and industries

## C.-Earnings and Hours

724 Table C-1: Hours and gross earnings of production workers or nonsupervisory employees
739 Table C-2: Gross average weekly earnings of production workers in selected industries, in current and 1939 dollars
740 Table C-3: Gross and net spendable average weekly earnings of production workers in manufacturing industries, in current and 1939 dollars
740 Table C-4: Average hourly earnings, gross and exclusive of overtime, of production workers in manufacturing industries
741 Table C-5: Hours and gross earnings of production workers in manufacturing industries for selected States and areas ${ }^{1}$

[^45]
## D.-Prices and Cost of Living

747 Table D-1: Consumers' price index for moderate-income families in large cities, by group of commodities
748 Table D-2: Consumers' price index for moderate-income families, by city, for selected periods
749 Table D-3: Consumers' price index for moderate-income families, by city and group of commodities
750 Table D-4: Indexes of retail prices of foods, by group, for selected periods
751 Table D-5: Indexes of retail prices of foods, by city
752 Table D-6: Average retail prices and indexes of selected foods
753 Table D-7: Indexes of wholesale prices, by group of commodities (1947-49=100)
753 Table D-7a: Indexes of wholesale prices, by group of commodities, for selected periods $(1926=100)$
754 Table D-8: Indexes of wholesale prices, by group and subgroup of commodities

## E.-Work Stoppages

755 Table E-1: Work stoppages resulting from labor-management disputes

## F.-Building and Construction

756 Table F-1: Expenditures for new construction
757 Table F-2: Value of contracts awarded and force-account work started on federally financed new construction, by type of construction
758 Table F-3: Urban building authorized, by principal class of construction and by type of building
759 Table F-4: New nonresidential building authorized in all urban places, by general type and by geographic division
760 Table F-5: Number and construction cost of new permanent nonfarm dwelling units started, by urban or rural location, and by source of funds

Note.-Earlier figures in many of the series appearing in the following tables are shown in the Handbook of Labor Statistics, 1950 Edition (BLS Bulletin 1016). For convenience in referring to the historical statistics, the tables in this issue of the Monthly Labor Review are keyed to the appropriate tables in the Handbook.

| MLR table | Handbook table | MLR table | Handbook table | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MLR } \\ & \text { table } \end{aligned}$ | Handbook table | $\begin{aligned} & M L R \\ & \text { table } \end{aligned}$ | Handbook table |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A-1. | A-13 | A-5 | A-9 | C-3 | C-4 | D-6. | None |
| A-2 | (A-1 | A-6. | None | C-4 | - C-3 | D-7a | D-5 |
|  | A-3 | A-7 | - A-2 | C-5 | - $\mathrm{C}-2$ | D-8. | None |
|  | A-4 | A-8. | - A-2 | D-1 | - D-1 | E-1 | - E-2 |
|  | A-8 | A-9. | - A-14 | D-2 | -. D-2 | F-1 | - $\mathrm{H}-1$ |
| A-3. | A-3 | B-1. | - B-1 | D-3. | - None | F-2 | H-4 |
|  | - A-4 | B-2 | - B-2 | D-4 | -.- D-4 | F-3 | H-6 |
|  | A-7 | C-1. | - $\mathrm{C}-1$ | D-5 | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { D-2 } \\ \text { D }\end{array}\right.$ | F-4 | H-6 |
| A-4. | - A-6 | C-2 | - None | D-5 | - ${ }^{\text {d-3 }}$ | F-5. | I-1 |

## A: Employment and Payrolls

Table A-1: Estimated Civilian Labor Force Classified by Employment Status, Hours Worked, and Sex

| Labor force ${ }^{2}$ | Estimated number of persons 14 years of age and over ${ }^{1}$ (in thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1952 |  |  |  | 1951 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Apr. | Mar. | Feb. | Jan. | Dec. | Nov. | Oct. | Sept. ${ }^{2}$ | Aug. | July | June | May | Apr. |
|  | Total, both sexes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 61,744 | 61, 518 | 61,838 | 61,780 | 62, 688 | 63,164 | 63, 452 | 63, 186 | 64, 208 | 64, 382 | 63, 783 | 62, 803 | 61,789 |
|  | 1,612 | 1,804 | 2,086 | 2, 054 | 1,674 | 1,828 | 1, 616 | 1,606 | 1,578 | 1,856 | 1,980 | 1,609 | 1,744 |
| Unemployed 4 weeks or less Unemployed 5 -10 weeks...- | 774 342 | 880 418 | 982 | 1,068 | 1. 920 | 1,072 | 1944 | 1,004 | 870 | 1,122 | 1,216 | -862 | 1,745 825 |
| Unemployed 11-14 weeks. | 342 174 | 418 | 638 174 | 570 136 | 374 152 | 390 | 330 | 280 | 390 | 408 | 358 | 342 | 366 |
| Unemployed 15-26 weeks. | 196 | 208 | 174 | 176 | 152 | 130 | 126 | 128 | 102 | 92 | 141 | 91 | 173 |
| Unemployed over 26 weeks | 126 | 96 | 194 | 108 | 136 92 | 122 | 126 90 | 116 | 104 | 100 | 150 | 163 153 | 237 |
|  | 60, 132 | 59, 714 | 59,752 | 59,726 | 61, 014 | 61,336 | 61, 836 | 61, 118 | 62, 630 | 62, 526 | 116 61,803 | 61,193 | 145 60,044 |
| Nonagricultural | 53, 720 | 53, 702 | 53, 688 | 53, 540 | 54, 636 | 54, 314 | 54, 168 | 54, 054 | 54, 942 | 54, 618 | 53,768 | 53, 753 | 60,044 53,400 |
| Worked 35 hours or | 43,002 | 43,954 | 44, 134 | 44, 046 | 45, 116 | 43,708 | 43, 040 | 29, 204 | 43,656 | 42,312 | 44, 088 | 45, 055 | 43, 996 |
| Worked 1-14 hours | 6,826 | 5,810 | 5,652 | 5, 686 | 5,926 | 6, 832 | 7, 488 | 20,070 | 5, 080 | 4,898 | 5, 061 | 4,931 | 5,651 |
| With a job but not at wo | 1,974 | 2, 9212 | 2,078 1,824 | 2,002 1,806 | 2,080 1,514 | 2,102 1,672 | 1,922 | 1,818 | 1,558 | 1,570 | 2, 082 | 2, 071 | 2, 185 |
| Agricultural. | 6,412 | 6,012 | 6,064 | 6,186 | 6,378 | 7, 022 | 1,618 | 2, 902 | 4,648 | 6,838 | 2,537 | 1,697 | 1,567 |
| Worked 35 hours or m | 4,684 | 4,152 | 4,390 | 4,116 | 6,378 4,392 | 4,022 | 6,608 | B, 528 | 7,688 | 7,908 | 8, 035 | 7,440 | 6,645 |
| Worked 15-34 hours | 1,416 | 1,378 | 1,194 | - 1,378 | 4,382 1,538 | 4, 1,840 | 6,090 1,270 | 6, 724 | 5, 658 1,592 | 6,110 | 5,960 | 5,799 | 4, 809 |
|  | 150 | 202 | 194 | 1,316 | - 250 | 1,332 | 1,278 | 1, 436 | 1, 592 | 1,468 | 1,699 | 1,335 | 1,351 |
| With a job but not at work | 162 | 280 | 286 | 376 | 198 | 190 | 142 |  | 200 | 124 | 97 | 91 | 246 |
|  | Males |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 42,946 | 42,810 | 42, 858 | 42, 864 | 43, 114 | 43,346 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1,048 | 1,224 | 1,376 | 1,384 | 1,008 | 1, ${ }^{\text {1, }}$, 02 | 43, 890 | 43, 672 | 44,720 958 | 44,602 1,098 | 44,316 1,167 | 43,508 950 | 43,182 1,028 |
| Employment....... | 41, 898 | 41, 586 | 41,482 | 41, 480 | 42,106 | 42, 344 | 42, 632 | 42,830 | 43,764 | 43,504 | 43,149 |  | 42,154 |
| Nonagricultural .-.......... | 36, 298 | 36, 246 | 36,116 | 36, 132 | 36, 728 | 36, 616 | 36,756 | 37, 050 | 37,604 | 37, 234 | 36,862 | 42, 598 | 42, 154 36,349 |
| Worked 35 hours or m | 30, 796 | 31,038 | 31,346 | 31, 296 | 31, 974 | 31, 102 | 31, 206 | 22, 174 | 31, 554 | 30,492 | 32, 021 | 32, 184 | 31, 420 |
| Worked 15-34 hours. | 3,478 | 3,060 | 2,724 | 2,852 | 2,906 | 3,540 | 3,654 | 12, 240 | 2, 2,726 | 2,614 | 2, 578 | 32,184 2,457 | 31,420 3,029 |
| Worked 1-14 hours 6 | , 778 | , 838 | 2,852 | -828 | 2,806 | -834 | -780 | 12, 760 | 2, 656 | 2,614 608 | 2,578 815 | 2,457 893 | 1,029 897 |
| With a job but not at work ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 1,246 | 1,310 | 1,194 | 1,156 | . 996 | 1,140 | 1,116 | 1,876 | 2, 668 | 3, 520 | 1, 448 | 1, 063 | 1,003 |
| Agricultural .................- | 5,600 | 5,340 | 5,366 | 5, 348 | 5,378 | 5,728 | 5,876 | B, 780 | 6, 160 | 6,270 | 6,287 | 5, ${ }^{1,962}$ | 1, ${ }^{1,805}$ |
| Worked 35 hours or mo | 4,464 | 3,966 | 4,210 | 3,910 | 4,110 | 4,280 | 5,110 | 4,810 | 5,128 | 5,346 | 5,301 | 5,962 | 5,805 4,583 |
| Worked 15-34 hours | 876 | 964 | 768 | 888 | . 936 | 1, 074 | 554 | -690 | - 724 | - 680 | -724 | -619 | 4,883 859 |
| With a job but not at work | 124 | 148 | 154 | 232 | 158 | 1, 216 | 142 | 154 | 132 | 122 | 175 | 156 | 165 168 |
|  | 136 | 262 | 234 | 318 | 174 | 158 | 70 | 126 | 176 | 122 | 87 | 80 | 198 |
|  | Females |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 18,798 | 18,708 | 18, 980 | 18,916 | 19,574 | 19,818 | 19, 930 |  |  |  |  | 19, 294 | 18,607 |
| Unemployment | 18, 564 | 18, 580 | - 710 | 18,970 | $\begin{array}{r}10,566 \\ \hline 18,008\end{array}$ | 19,818 826 | 19,830 726 | 19, 7614 | 19,488 | 19,780 758 | 19,467 813 |  |  |
| Employment | 18, 234 | 18, 128 | 18, 270 | 18, 246 | 18,908 | 18, 992 | 19, 204 | 18,750 | 18,866 | 19, 022 | 18,854 | 659 18,635 | $\begin{array}{r} 716 \\ 17,890 \end{array}$ |
| Nonagricultural..-.-.-.-.-. Worked 35 hours or more | 17, 422 | 17, 456 | 17, 572 | 17, 408 | 17, 908 | 17, 698 | 17, 412 | 17,004 | 17,338 | 17, 384 | 18,654 16,906 | 18,635 17,157 | 17,890 17,051 |
| Worked 35 hours or more | 12, 206 | 12, 916 | 12,788 | 12,750 | 13, 142 | 12, 606 | 11,834 | 7,030 | 12,102 | 11,820 | 18,906 12,067 | 17,157 | 17,051 12,576 |
| Worked 15-34 hours. | 3,348 | 2,750 | 2,928 | 2, 834 | 3,020 | 3, 292 | 3,834 | 7,830 | 2,354 | 2, 284 | 12,067 2,483 | 12,871 2,474 | 12,576 2,622 |
| Worked 1-14 hours With a job but not at work | 1,140 | 1,174 616 | 1, 226 | 1,174 | 1, 228 | 1,268 | 1,142 | 1,058 | 2, 902 | 2, 962 | 1,267 | 2, 1,178 | 2, 1,288 |
| With a job but not at work ${ }^{6}$ <br> Agricuitural | 728 812 | 616 | 630 | 650 838 | , 518 | 532 | 1,602 | 1,086 | 1,980 | 2,318 | 1, 089 | 1,635 | 1564 |
| Agricuitural ${ }_{\text {Worked }} 35$ hours or mo....... | 812 | 672 | 698 | 838 | 1,000 | 1,294 | 1,782 | 1,746 | 1,528 | 1,638 | 1,748 | 1,478 | 840 |
| Worked 35 hours or mor | 220 | 186 | 180 | 206 | 282 | 380 | 980 | 914 | 530 | 764 | 659 | 692 | 226 |
| Worked 1-14 hours | 540 | 414 | 426 | 490 | 602 | 766 | 716 | 746 | 868 | 788 | 975 | 716 | 492 |
| With a job but not at work | 26 | 54 | 40 | 84 | 92 | 116 | 86 | 70 | 106 | 84 | 105 | 59 | 74 |
| With a job but not at work --... | 26 | 18 | 52 | 58 | 24 | 32 | 10 | 16 | 24 | 2 | 10 | 11 | 48 |

${ }^{1}$ Estimates are subject to sampling variation which may be large in cases where the quantities shown are relatively small. Therefore, the smaller estimates should be used with caution. All dats exclude persons in institutions. Because of rounding, the individual figures do not necessarily add to group totals.
${ }^{2}$ Beginning with January 1951, total labor force is not shown because of
the security classification of the Armed Forces component.
${ }^{-}$Census survey week contains legal holiday.

- Excludes persons engaged only in incidental unpaid family work (less than 5 hours); these persons are classifted as not in the labor force.
${ }^{6}$ Includes persons who had a fob or business, but who did not work during the census week because of illness, bad weather, vacation, labor dispute or because of temporary lay-off with definite instructions to return to work within 30 days of lay-off. Does not include unpaid family workers.

Source: U. S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census.
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[^46]Table A-2: Employees in Nonagricultural Establishments, by Industry Division and Group ${ }^{1}$-Con.
[In thousands]

| Industry group and industry | 1952 |  |  |  | 1951 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Annual average |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Apr. | Mar. | Feb. | Jan. | Dec. | Nov. | Oct. | Sept. | Aug. | July | June | May | Apr. | 1951 | 1050 |
| Finance-.---------- | 1,949 | $\begin{aligned} & 1,938 \\ & 479 \\ & 64.3 \\ & 701 \\ & 692 \end{aligned}$ | 1,91947764.0692686 | $\begin{aligned} & 1,909 \\ & 472 \\ & 63.9 \\ & 685 \\ & 688 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,912 \\ & 472 \\ & 64.1 \\ & 690 \\ & 686 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,907 \\ 470 \\ 64.1 \\ 689 \\ 684 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,898 \\ & 467 \\ & 63.7 \\ & 682 \\ & 685 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,898 \\ & 466 \\ & 63.4 \\ & 684 \\ & 685 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,914 \\ & 471 \\ & 64.3 \\ & 690 \\ & 689 \end{aligned}$ | 1,90847164.3682691 | $\begin{aligned} & 1,893 \\ & 460 \\ & 63.8 \\ & 671 \\ & 698 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,874 \\ & 452 \\ & 63.8 \\ & 663 \\ & 695 \end{aligned}$ | 1,88545163.9662688 | $\begin{aligned} & 1,883 \\ & 460 \\ & 63.7 \\ & 674 \\ & 686 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,818 \\ & 477 \\ & 59.6 \\ & 646 \\ & 680 \end{aligned}$ |
| Banks and trust companies.- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Security dealers and exchanges |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other finance agencies and real est |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Service---- | 4, 750 | $\begin{aligned} & 4,682 \\ & 430 \\ & 352.6 \\ & 153.8 \\ & 243 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4,688 \\ & 428 \\ & 353.4 \\ & 153.2 \\ & 242 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.671 \\ & 424 \\ & 355.5 \\ & 153.8 \\ & 242 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4,702 \\ & 426 \\ & 356.2 \\ & 154.3 \\ & 241 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4,734 \\ & 430 \\ & 356.6 \\ & 157.4 \\ & 242 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4,770 \\ & 437 \\ & 360.0 \\ & 159.3 \\ & 244 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4,831 \\ & 473 \\ & 362.1 \\ & 157.4 \\ & 247 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4,839 \\ & 507 \\ & 364.5 \\ & 153.3 \\ & 245 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4,852 \\ & 510 \\ & 368.9 \\ & 157.6 \\ & 245 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.885 \\ & 478 \\ & 364.8 \\ & 161.3 \\ & 248 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4,788 \\ & 452 \\ & 359.5 \\ & 158.7 \\ & 249 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4,745 \\ & 445 \\ & 354.4 \\ & 153.0 \\ & 249 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4,759 \\ & 455 \\ & 358.6 \\ & 154.5 \\ & 245 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4,761 \\ & 456 \\ & 353.5 \\ & 147.5 \\ & 241 \end{aligned}$ |
| Hotels and lodging places |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Laundries .......... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cleaning and dyeing pla |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Motion pictures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Government. | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} 6,551 \\ 2,362 \\ 4,189 \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 6,528 \\ 2,354 \\ 4,174 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left.3 \begin{gathered} 6,490 \\ 2,344 \\ 4,146 \end{gathered} \right\rvert\,$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 6,509 \\ 2,331 \\ 4,178 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 6,881 \\ 2,727 \\ 4,154 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} 6,497 \\ 2,325 \\ 4,172 \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6,532 \\ & 2,322 \\ & 4,210 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6,544 } \\ & 2,336 \\ & 4,208 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6,401 \\ & 2,330 \\ & 4,071 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6,356 \\ 2,313 \\ 4,043 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6,377 \\ & 2,271 \\ & 4,106 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6,377 \\ & 2,244 \\ & 4,133 \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} 6,292 \\ 2,201 \\ 4,091 \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6,390 \\ & 2,277 \\ & 4,113 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8,010 \\ 1,910 \\ 1,000 \end{array}$ |
| Federal ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| State and local |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ The Bureau of Labor Statistics' series of employment in nonagricultural establishments are based upon reports submitted by cooperating establishments and, therefore, differ from employment information obtained by household interviews, such as the Monthly Report on the Labor Force (table A-1), in several important respects. The Bureau of Labor Statistics' data cover all full- and part-time employees in private nonagricultural establishments who worked during, or received pay for, any part of the pay period ending nearest the 15th of the month; in Federal establishments during the pay period ending just before the first of the month; and in State and local government during the pay period ending on or just before the last of the month, while the Monthly Report on the Labor Force data relate to the calendar week which contains the 8th day of the month. Proprietors, selfemployed persons, domestic servants, and personnel of the Armed Forces are excluded from the BLS but not the MRLF series. These employment series have been adjusted to bench-mark levels indicated by social insurance agency data through 1947. Revised data in all except the first four columns will be identified by asterisks the first month they are published.
${ }^{1}$ Includes: ordnance and accessories; lumber and wood products (except
furniture); furniture and fixtures; stone, clay, and glass products; primary metal industries; fabricated metal products (except ordnance, machinery and transportation equipment); machinery (except electrical); electrical machinery; transportation equipment; instruments and related products; and miscellaneous manufacturing industries.
${ }^{3}$ Includes: food and kindred products; tobacco manufactures; textile-mill products; apparel and other finished textile products; paper and allied products; printing, publishing, and allied industries; chemicals and allied products; products of petroleum and coal; rubber products; and leather and leather products.
4 Data by region, from January 1940, are available upon request to the Bureau of Labor Statistics.
b Fourth class postmasters (who are considered to be nominal employees) are excluded here but are included in table A-5.

- Excludes as nominal employees paid volunteer firemen, employees hired to conduct elections, and elected officials of small local governments.
All series may be obtained upon request to the Bureau of Labor Statistics. Requests should specify which industry series are desired.

Table A-3: Production Workers in Mining and Manufacturing Industries ${ }^{1}$
[In thousands]

| Industry group and industry | 1952 |  |  |  | 1951 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Annual average |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Apr. | Mar. | Feb. | Jan. | Dec. | Nov. | Oct. | Sept. | Aug. | July | June | May | Apr. | 1951 | 1950 |
| Mining: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metal |  | 93.7 | 94.0 | 94.2 | 93.8 | 92.9 | 91.8 | 91.0 | 92.6 | 92. 5 | 92.6 | 91.3 | 91.7 | 92.5 | 89.4 |
| Iron |  | 32.9 | 32.9 | 33.1 | 33.6 | 33.8 | 34. 2 | 34.7 | 35.0 | 34.3 | 34.6 | 33.8 | 33.1 | 33.8 | 31.9 |
| Copper |  | 25.1 | 25.1 | 25.2 | 25.1 | 24. 8 | 24.3 | 242 | 25.0 | 25.3 | 25.1 | 24.9 | 25.3 | 25.1 | 24.8 |
| Lead and zinc |  | 19.8 | 19.7 | 19.5 | 19.2 | 18.7 | 18.2 | 17.1 | 17.3 | 17.6 | 17.6 | 17.4 | 17.6 | 18.1 | 17.2 |
| Anthraci |  | 57.7 | 58.1 | 63.0 | 63.1 | 63.1 | 63.2 | 63.8 | 64.2 | 61.6 | 66.0 | 66.1 | 63.6 | 65.0 | 70.6 |
| Bituminous-cos |  | 337.8 | 341.5 | 343.5 | 344.9 | 344.7 | 343.0 | 341.9 | 345. 2 | 334.6 | 353.4 | 353.1 | 357.4 | 353.7 | 351,0 |
| Crude petroleum and natural gas production: <br> Petroleum and natural gas production |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Petroleum and natural gas production (except contract services) |  | 127.9 | 127.4 | 127.3 | 126.9 | 127.8 | 127.7 | 129.4 | 132.9 | 131.9 | 129.9 | 128.0 | 124.9 | 127.3 | 125. 7 |
| Nonmetallic mining and quarrying |  | 87.9 | 87.2 | 87.2 | 91.6 | 93.9 | 95.5 | 96.1 | 96. 5 | 94.6 | 94.8 | 93.0 | 90.2 | 91.9 | 85.2 |
| Manufacturing | 12,696 | 12,791 | 12,808 | 12, 766 | 12, 911 | 12,904 | 12, 897 | 13, 087 | 13, 089 | 12,885 | 13, 084 | 12,993 | 13, 108 | 13, 034 | 12, 264 |
|  | 7, 296 | 7, 292 | 7, 294 | 7, 264 | 7, 322 | 7,314 | 7, 296 | 7,279 | 7, 261 | 7, 226 | 7,409 | 7, 406 | 7,445 | 7,334 | 6, 622 |
|  | 5,400 | 5,499 | 5,514 | 5, 502 | 5,589 | 5,590 | 5,701 | 5,808 | 5, 808 | 5,659 | 5,655 | 5,587 | 5,663 | 5,700 | 5, 642 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 30.3 | 37.4 | 19.8 |
| Food and kindred products...-...-.-.-.-- | 1,052 | 1,058 | 1,061 | 1,068 | 1,122 | 1,160 | 1,254 | 1,330 | 1,307 | 1,225 | 1,146 | 1,099 | 1,085 | 1,170 | 1,168 |
| Meat products.-...- |  | 1, 240.4 | 1, 244.1 | 1,246.4 | 1, 251.6 | 246.3 | 1,236.3 | 1, 234.5 | 233.1 | 235. 5 | 233.2 | 229.2 | 229.2 | 237.6 | 235.9 |
| Dairy products |  | 95.5 | 94. 6 | 93.7 | 96.3 | 98.5 | 102.8 | 108. 1 | 114.2 | 116.2 | 115.6 | 109.5 | 103.1 | 104. 4 | 104.4 |
| Canning and preserv |  | 104.1 | 105. 5 | 105. 8 | 120.3 | 145. 2 | 238.1 | 329.5 | 304. 5 | 226.1 | 153.9 | 136. 9 | 128.0 | 180.5 | 176.9 |
| Grain-mill product |  | 96.4 | 96.5 | 97.0 | 97.3 | 97.2 | 97. 9 | 98.5 | 99.2 | 98.7 | 96.9 | 91.1 | 93.8 | 96.4 | 94.2 |
| Bakery products |  | 186.2 | 186.9 | 187.2 | 190.3 | 192. 2 | 195. 1 | 193.0 | 192.3 | 192.2 | 192.0 | 189. 5 | 189.7 | 191.0 | 191.5 |
| Sugar-....- |  | 21.7 | 22.2 | 24.0 | 36.7 | 45.6 | 40. 2 | 25.3 | 24.7 | 24.9 | 24.8 | 24.4 | 23.5 | 28.8 | 29.9 |
| Confectionery and related |  | 78.4 | 81.3 | 82.7 | 85.1 | 87.5 | 89.2 | 84.7 | 78.2 | 71.2 | 73.1 | 73.6 | 75.3 | 80. 4 | 83.1 |
| Bevergges |  | 138. 2 | 134.3 | 136.2 | 145.9 | 146.8 | 150.0 | 155. 5 | 160.5 | 160.9 | 155.1 | 145.3 | 143.4 | 150.2 | 149.1 |
| Miscellaneous food products |  | 96.6 | 95.4 | 94.7 | 98.1 | 101.1 | 104.8 | 101. 2 | 99.9 | 99.4 | 101.7 | 99.1 | 99.2 | 100.9 | 102.6 |
| Tobacco manu | 77 | 78 | 80 | 82 | 85 | 85 | 89 | 89 | 84 | 75 | 76 | 74 | 76 | 81 | 81 |
| Cigarettes |  | 23.9 | 24.2 | 24.2 | 24.4 | 24.4 | 24.0 | 23.7 | 23.6 | 23.7 | 23.3 | 22.9 | 23.1 | 23.6 | 23.3 |
| Cigars. |  | 39.4 | 39.3 | 38.8 | 39.7 | 40.1 | 39.8 | 38.8 | 37.7 | 36.9 | 38. 4 | 37.2 | 38.6 | 38. 9 | 39.1 |
| Tobaceo and snuff |  | 10.1 | 10.3 | 10.3 | 10. 2 | 10.3 | 10.2 | 10.3 | 10.2 | 10.2 | 10.3 | 10.4 | 10.5 | 10.4 | 10.8 |
| Tobacco stemming and redrying |  | 4.5 | 6.3 | 9.0 | 10.5 | 10.5 | 14.8 | 15.9 | 12.2 | 3. 7 | 3. 6 | 3. 6 | 4.0 | 8.0 | 7.8 |
| Textile-mill products | 1,095 | 1,111 | 1,121 | 1,131 | 1,141 | 1,132 | 1,133 | 1,136 | 1,152 | 1,167 | 1,205 | 1,206 | 1,214 | 1,186 | 1,206 |
| Yarn and thread mills | 1,095 | 1,16.8 | 1,149.0 | 149.0 | 149.8 | 149.4 | ${ }^{1} 150.5$ | 1, 153.2 | 154.0 | 153.6 | 157.8 | 160.1 | 160.2 | 156.3 | 151.8 |
| Broad-woven fabric m |  | 516.4 | 525.4 | 540.0 | 547.5 | 544.2 | 546.2 | 551.4 | 561.2 | 573.7 | 587.7 | 574.3 | 567.3 | 568.7 | 585.6 |
| Knitting mills. |  | 209.9 | 210.1 | 209.0 | 210.7 | 209. 1 | 208.5 | 205.3 | 211.5 | 210.3 | 215.7 | 221.6 | 230.3 | 219.0 | 223.6 |
| Dyeing and finishing textiles |  | 79.1 | 79.3 | 77.9 | 78.0 | 76.5 | 74.9 | 73.4 | 73.4 | 74.3 | 78.1 | 79.2 | 77.6 | 78.1 | 80.1 |
| Carpets, rugs, other floor coverings |  | 44.8 | 44.5 | 43.1 | 42. 6 | 41.6 | 41.6 | 40.6 | 41.2 | 43.1 | 47.7 | 50.7 | 53. 2 | 47.1 | 53.3 |
| Other textile-mill products........- |  | 113.6 | 113.1 | 112.4 | 112.3 | 111.3 | 110.8 | 111.6 | 110.5 | 111.8 | 117.9 | 120.4 | 125.0 | 117.0 | 111.9 |
| Apparel and other flinished textile products | 989 | 1,050 | 1, 052 | 1, 029 | 1,035 | 1, 008 | 1,019 | 1,037 | 1,047 | 990 | 1,000 | 998 | 1,047 | 1,039 | 1,042 |
|  |  | 1, 126.8 | 1,052 127 | 127.2 | 1, 122.5 | 117.1 | 1,0130.6 | 138.0 | 1,049.2 | 129.3 | 1,00. 13 | 135.0 | 138.2 | 133.8 | 134.3 |
| Men's and boys' furnishings and work clothing |  | 237.7 | 232.4 | 228. 2 | 235. 4 | 232.7 | 237.5 | 238.8 | 238.0 | 233.1 | 245. 2 | 252.9 | 261.1 | 245.6 | 245.3 |
| Women's outerwear |  | 305.1 | 308.1 | 300.3 | 295.7 | 278.6 | 270.1 | 284.4 | 294.5 | 271.0 | 255. 4 | 249.1 | 267.4 | 282.7 | 286.8 |
| Women's, children's underg |  | 92.6 | 91.7 | 88.9 | 90.2 | 90.3 | 89.8 | 87.6 | 87.0 | 84.2 | 86. 6 | 88.9 | 94.9 | 90.6 | 95.2 |
| Millinery --.......- |  | 23.7 | 23.0 | 21.0 | 18.7 | 16.7 | 18.7 | 19.1 | 19.0 | 17.1 | 14.3 | 14.6 | 17.5 | 18.7 | 19.4 |
|  |  | 63.7 | 64.3 | 60.2 | 58.3 | 59.2 | 58.1 | 57.1 | 59.7 | 59.4 | 59.2 | 56.3 | 59.5 | 59.6 | 60.7 |
| Fur goods and miscellaneous apparel.-- |  | 76.3 | 78.2 | 79.2 | 87.6 | 90.3 | 91.0 | 90.9 | 89.5 | 80.1 | 85.8 | 82. 7 | 83. 1 | 85. 4 | 78.4 |
| Other fabricated textile products....-.-- |  | 123.7 | 126.5 | 124.3 | 126.5 | 123.3 | 123.3 | 120.7 | 119.7 | 116.0 | 117.6 | 118.6 | 125.4 | 123.1 | 121.7 |
| Lumber and wood products (except furniture) | 664 | 667 | 665 | 654 | 696 | 719 | 740 | 745 | 754 | 748 | 773 | 764 | 752 | 741 | 730 |
| Logging camps and contractors |  | 54.6 | 53.9 | 47.9 | 64.2 | 70.7 | 74.2 | 75.5 | 72.9 | 73.3 | 76.7 | 74.2 | 66.5 | 69.2 | 63.5 |
| Sawmills and planing mills.........-.-. |  | 397.5 | 395.8 | 390.6 | 412. 2 | 428.0 | 439.3 | 442.7 | 449.0 | 443.2 | 455.9 | 449.2 | 442. 5 | 437.1 | 431.1 |
| Millwork, plywood, and prefabricated structural wood products. |  | 89.8 | 89.6 | 91.6 | 93.9 | 95.3 | 100.0 | 100.4 | 103.0 | 100.7 | 107.3 | 107.2 | 107. 7 | 103. 4 | 108. 5 |
| Wooden containers |  | 70.4 | 70.9 | 71.0 | 72.1 | 70.9 | 71.1 | 71.2 | 72.3 | 74.4 | 76.6 | 76.2 | 76.3 | 74.4 | 72.2 |
| Miscellaneous wood products.--.------ |  | 54.2 | 54.4 | 53.0 | 53.7 | 54.0 | 54.9 | 54.8 | 56.7 | 65. 9 | 56.8 | 57.3 | 58.5 | 56.5 | 64.8 |
| Furniture and fixtures | 294 | 296 | 296 | 296 | 296 | 294 | 289 | 285 | 285 | 284 | 286 | 301 | $317$ |  |  |
| Household furniture |  | 208.5 | 207.9 | 208.0 | 207.7 | 206.4 | 201.2 | 196.0 | 195. 2 | 195.9 | 197.3 | 211.4 | 226.8 | 211.9 | 227.9 |
| Other furniture and fixtu |  | 87.9 | 88.5 | 87.6 | 88.4 | 87.3 | 87.9 | 89.3 | 89.4 | 87.8 | 89.0 | 89.7 | 90.5 | 88.8 | 82.6 |
| See footnotes at end of table. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table A-3: Production Workers in Mining and Manufacturing Industries ${ }^{1}$-Continued
[In thousands]

| Industry group and industry | 1952 |  |  |  | 1951 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Annual average |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Apr. | Mar. | Feb. | Jan. | Dec. | Nov. | Oct. | Sept. | Aug. | July | June | May | Apr. | 1951 | 1950 |
| Manufacturing-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Paper and allied products | 398 | 404 | 405 | 405 | 410 | 411 | 413 | 416 | 419 | 418 | 426 | 424 | 427 | 420 |  |
| Pulp, paper, snd paperboard mill |  | 210.1 | 210.1 | 211.3 | 212. 2 | 211.9 | 212.3 | 214.3 | 214.6 | 213.5 | 214.9 | 213.0 | 212. 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 420 \\ & 212.2 \end{aligned}$ | $404$ $205.1$ |
| Paperboard containers and boxes |  | 106.1 | 106. 2 | 105.7 | 108.7 | 109.9 | 110.7 | 110.9 | 112.1 | 112.4 | 116.4 | 117.0 | 118. 7 | 114.5 | 109.8 |
| Other paper and allied products.. |  | 88.0 | 88.3 | 87.8 | 88.8 | 89.0 | 90.2 | 91.0 | 92.3 | 92.5 | 94. 3 | 94.3 | 95. 4 | 114.5 92.7 | $\begin{array}{r} 109.8 \\ 88.8 \end{array}$ |
| Printing, publishing, and allied industries | 507 | 508 | 508 | 510 | 520 | 519 | 517 | 515 | 509 | 507 | 512 | 510 | 510 | 512 | 503 |
| Newspapers |  | 152.1 | 152.0 | 151.3 | 154.9 | 153.7 | 152.8 | 152. 5 | 150.5 | 151.0 | 152.2 | 151.9 | 150.6 | 151.6 | $\begin{aligned} & 503 \\ & 148.6 \end{aligned}$ |
| Perioc |  | 35. 6 | 35.2 | 34.7 | 35. 6 | 35.1 | 35.5 | 35.4 | 35. 2 | 34.0 | 33. 7 | 34. 6 | 150. 4 | 151.6 35.0 | 148.6 34.7 |
| Commercial pri |  | 166.8 | 35.9 166.5 | 169.7 | 36.3 170.5 | 36.5 169.6 | 36.7 168.9 | 37.0 167.4 | 36. 4 | 35. 3 | 35.9 168.8 | 35.7 | 36.0 | 36. 2 | 35.7 |
| Lithographing |  | 166.8 30.9 | 166.5 30.6 | 169.7 30.6 | 170.5 32.1 | 169.6 32.6 | 168.9 32.9 | 167.4 32.4 | 165.8 31.8 | 166.8 31.4 | 168.8 31.9 | 167.8 | 167.9 32.2 | 168. 6 | 166.6 |
| Other printing and pub |  | 86.7 | 87.3 | 88.0 | 90.2 | 91.0 | 90.5 | 89.9 | 89.6 | 88.5 | 89.4 | 87.7 | 87.5 | 89.1 | $\begin{aligned} & 31.7 \\ & 85.8 \end{aligned}$ |
| Ohemicals and allied products | 534 | 537 | 537 | 536 | 538 | 542 | 544 | 543 | 531 | 526 | 528 | 531 | 538 | 535 |  |
| Industrial inorganic chemi |  | 60.8 | 60.8 | 61.0 | 61.8 | 61.7 | 61.2 | 61.4 | 61.1 | 61.0 | 60.4 | 59.4 | 59.2 | ${ }_{60.1} 1$ | $496$ <br> 52.9 |
| Industrial organic chemic |  | 167.9 | 168.5 | 169.6 | 171.1 | 172.9 | 172.1 | 174.9 | 173.8 | 172.3 | 171.5 | 169.5 | 168.4 | 169.9 | 52.9 151.8 |
| Drugs and medicines |  | 71.4 | 70.6 | 70.2 | 70.5 | 70.4 | 69.9 | 70.0 | $\begin{array}{r}170.2 \\ \\ \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 170.3 70.3 | 70.1 | 70.1 | 168.4 69.7 | 169.7 | 151.8 62.7 |
| Paints, pigments, and |  | 47.6 | 47.8 | 47.9 | 47.9 | 47.9 | 48.1 | 48. 6 | 49.7 | 50.2 | 50.0 | 49.8 | 49.8 | 49.1 | 46.8 |
| Fertilizers........- |  | 34.8 | 31.5 | 27.8 | 25.4 | 24.8 | 25.8 | 25.8 | 23.8 | 22. 9 | 24.7 | 29.6 | 33.4 | 28.0 | 27.8 |
| Vegetable and animal oil and fats |  | 40.9 | 44.1 | 46.4 | 48.8 | 50.5 | 52.0 | 47.6 | 37.9 | 35.6 | 36.3 | 37.6 | 40.3 | 43.2 | 43.8 |
| Other chemicals and allied produc |  | 114.0 | 113.8 | 112.8 | 112.4 | 113.5 | 114.4 | 114.6 | 114.5 | 114.0 | 115.2 | 115.1 | 117.0 | 114.8 | 110.3 |
| Products of petroleur | 197 | 194 | 193 | 193 | 196 | 197 | 197 | 197 | 198 | 198 | 198 | 194 | 194 | 195 |  |
| Petroleum refining |  | 152.3 | 152.6 | 152.7 | 154.5 | 154.1 | 153.6 | 153.6 | 154.0 | 154.3 | 153.8 | 150.8 | 150.2 | 151.9 | 185.8 |
| Coke and byproducts |  | 19.2 | 18.9 | 18.8 | 19.0 | 18.2 | 19.0 | 19.2 | 19.4 | 19.3 | 19.1 | 18.7 | 18.6 | 18.8 | 142.8 18.1 |
| Other petroleum and |  | 22.3 | 21.8 | 21.4 | 22.4 | 24.2 | 24.8 | 24.4 | 24.2 | 24.3 | 24.8 | 24.4 | 14.8 | 24.3 | 18.1 23.9 |
| Rubber products. | 216 | 216 | 215 | 218 | 219 | 219 | 215 | 218 | 218 | 217 | 220 | 220 | 219 | 219 |  |
| Tires and inner t |  | 93.8 | 94.1 | 94.4 | 95.4 | 94.8 | 89.8 | 92.4 | 91.5 | 90.0 | 89.9 | 88.3 | 27.4 | 90.8 | $203$ |
| Rubber footwear |  | 24. 2 | 24.7 | 25,4 | 25.5 | 25.6 | 25.5 | 25.3 | 25.2 | 24.8 | 25.7 | 25.4 | 24.8 | 25.3 | 87.8 20.6 |
| Other rubber prod |  | 97.6 | 96.1 | 97.9 | 97.9 | 98.2 | 99.4 | 100.2 | 101.2 | 102.2 | 104.7 | 106.0 | 106. 3 | 102.9 | 20.6 94.3 |
| Leather and leather | 335 | 343 | 342 | 330 | 323 | 317 | 320 | 327 | 343 | 336 | 344 | 331 |  | 342 |  |
| Leather |  | 39.7 | 40.0 | 39.8 | 39.0 | 38.7 | 38.1 | 37.6 | 343 40.0 | ${ }^{336} 41.5$ | 344.7 | 42318 | 353.4 | 42.1 | 355 |
| Footwear (except rubbe |  | 221.8 | 220.8 | 212.8 | 205.4 | 197.7 | 201.4 | 208.0 | 221.3 | 215.0 | 221.8 | 210.4 | 224. 9 | 218.0 | 45.9 |
| Other leather products |  | 81.7 | 81.3 | 77.5 | 78.4 | 80.3 | 80.8 | 81.2 | 81.2 | 79.3 | 79.3 | 77.4 | 84.1 | 81.7 | 229.4 79.7 |
| Stone, clay, and | 454 | 450 | 448 | 452 | 465 | 472 | 479 | 482 | 484 | 478 | 485 |  |  |  |  |
| Glass and glass pro |  | 121. 2 | 120.0 | 119.4 | 123. 4 | 124.7 | 128.2 | 129.6 | 130.1 | 124.3 | 129.8 | 131.1 | 132.0 | 128.2 | 441 |
| Cement, hydraulic. |  | 36.2 | 36.1 | 36.6 | 36.8 | 37.0 | 37.1 | 37. 4 | 130.1 37.7 | 124.3 37.5 | 129.8 37.3 | 131.1 36.5 | 132.0 36.3 | 128.2 | 117.3 36.0 |
| Structural clay products |  | 78.0 | 78.0 | 79.7 | 83.2 | 84.4 | 84.7 | 85.2 | 85.0 | 84.8 | 84.8 | 83. 0 | 81. 7 | 83.0 | 36.0 |
| Pottery and related produc |  | 48.5 | 49.2 | 49.0 | 49.9 | 50.6 | 51.1 | 51.5 | 51.9 | 84.8 51.6 | 84.8 53.3 | 83. 6 | 81.7 <br> 55 | 52.9 | 74.8 |
| Concrete, gypsum, and plaster products. |  | 81.1 | 79.9 | 80.8 | 83. 7 | 85.6 | 87.0 | 86.9 | 87.8 | 51. 87 | 53.3 87.0 | 54.6 <br> 85.8 | 55.2 85.4 | 55.6 | 52.3 |
| Other stone, clay, and glass products..- |  | 85.0 | 84.6 | 86.7 | 88.2 | 89.4 | 91.0 | 91.7 | 91.4 | 81.8 91.8 | 92.8 | 85.8 92.8 | 85. 92.8 | 91.6 | 78.7 81.8 |
| Primary metal industries. | 1,152 | 1,153 | 1,160 | 1,162 | 1,164 | 1,149 | 1,160 | 1,162 | 1,165 | 15 |  |  |  | 159 |  |
| Blast furnaces, steel works, and rolling mills |  | 566.3 | 569.8 | 570.2 | 572.7 | 557.7 | 569.7 | 572.7 | 574 | 1,155 | 172 | 62 | , 161 | 566. | 053 |
| Iron and steel foundries |  | 238.9 | 243.1 | 246.3 | 248.6 | 250.3 | 248.7 | 249.4 | 574. 24 | 571.6 | 571.8 | 565. 0 | 561. 6 | 566. 4 | 535.6 |
| Primary smelting and refining of nonferrous metals |  | 47.5 | 47.7 | 47.1 | 47.1 | 250.3 47.1 | 47.2 | 29.4 46.8 | 249.6 | 247.1 | 253.7 | 252.5 | 251.5 | 248.9 | 204.0 |
| Rolling, drawing, and alloying of non- |  |  |  | 47.1 | 47.1 | . 1 | . 2 | 46.8 | 47.7 | 46.8 | 47.8 | 46.4 | 47.2 | 47.2 | 45.4 |
| ferrous metals |  | 81.8 | 81.0 | 82.2 | 79.3 | 80.0 | 80.1 | 78.4 | 79.3 | 79.8 | 83.1 | 81.9 |  | 82.2 |  |
| Nonferrous foundries |  | 93.6 | 930 | 92.4 | 91.8 | 90.2 | 90.8 | 90.8 | 90.5 | 88.2 | 83.1 91.5 | 81.9 93.2 | 84.9 93.3 | 91.9 | 80.7 78.8 |
| Other primary metal indus |  | 124.6 | 124.9 | 124.1 | 124.3 | 123.3 | 123.4 | 123.7 | 122.9 | 121.6 | 124.1 | 123.2 | 122.5 | 122.7 | 78.8 108.4 |
| Fabricated metal products (except ordnance, machinery, and transporta- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tin cans and other tinwa |  | 39.6 | 38.5 | 38.9 | 40.2 | 40.0 | 42.9 | 44.9 | 44.8 | 43.2 | 43.5 | 42.9 | 859.1 | 831.9 | 776 |
| Cutlery, hand tools, and hardw |  | 122.0 | 124.3 | 124.9 | 123.9 | 124.5 | 126.6 | 128.5 | 42. 3 | 43.2 | 43.5 | 42. | 43.1 | 42.9 | 42.8 |
| Heating apparatus (except electric) |  |  |  | 115.4 |  | 124.5 | 120.6 |  | 132 | 130.9 | 136.6 | 138.1 | 140.3 | 134.3 | 132.7 |
| Fabricated structural metal products |  | 114.2 | 114.4 | 115.4 | 118. 9 | 120.0 | 120.2 | 120.7 | 121.8 | 122.8 | 128.4 | 130.1 | 132.8 | 126.0 | 123.9 |
| Metal stamping, coating, and engraving. |  | 189.4 | 188.1 | 186.7 | 186. 14 | 183.1 | 181.7 | 181. 0 | 180.8 | 177.1 | 176.9 | 178.5 | 177. 7 | 178.8 | 156. 5 |
| Other fabricated metal products....... |  | 195.9 | 196.4 | 195.5 | 141.2 | 142. | 142.9 | 141.5 | 142.1 | 147. 3 | 158.8 | 161.9 | 166.4 | 153.0 | 146.9 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 19 | 194.5 | 194.8 | 195.2 | 191.3 | 198.3 | 198.0 | 198.3 | 195.6 | 173.0 |
| Machinery (except electr | 1,274 | 1, 277 | 1,281 1 | 1,276 | 1,269 | 1,255 | 1,242 | 1,219 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Engines and turbines......- |  | 1, 74.7 | 74.8 | 74.3 | 73.9 | 1, 73.0 | 1,24 | 1, 219.4 | 1,209 70.9 | 1, 235 | 1,252 69.3 | 1,242.9 | 1,239 67.0 | $\begin{array}{r} 1,233 \\ 68,6 \end{array}$ | 1,040 54.5 |
| Agricultural machinery and tractors |  | 145. 2 | 149,9 | 148.7 | 147.2 | 145.8 | 145.6 | 129.0 | 127.4 | 68.6 151.5 | 153.1 | 151.6 | 67.0 151.8 | 68.6 145.9 | 54.5 133.5 |
| Construction and mining machinery |  | 101.6 | 100.6 | 99.6 | 97.4 | 95.5 | 94.3 | 93.8 | 91.8 | 90.8 | 150.7 | 181.9 | 151.8 8 | 145.9 90.8 | 133.5 73.0 |
| Metalworking machinery .-.-.-.-.-...-- |  | 248.3 | 248.3 | 246.5 | 244.8 | 240.7 | 231.9 | 230.9 | 224.5 | 232.1 | 232.8 | 227.9 | 87.8 226.7 | 90.8 228.7 | 73.0 169.0 |
| Special-industry machinery (except metalworking machinery) |  | 145.9 | 145. 5 | 146. 8 |  | 148.4 | 148.9 | 148.9 | 224.5 | 232.1 | 232.8 | 227.9 | 226.7 | 228.7 | 169.0 |
| General industrial machinery |  | 173.2 | 173.3 | 173.8 | 173.1 | 178.5 | 148.9 | 148.9 | 150.0 | 149.4 | 150.2 | 149.8 | 150.0 | 148.6 | 126.6 |
| Office and store machines and devices.- |  | 89.6 | 89.6 | 89.8 | 90.6 | 172.5 | 171 90 | 169.4 | 168.0 | 166.8 | 166.8 | 165. 7 | 164.7 | 166.5 | 134.3 |
| Service-industry and household machines |  | 133.1 | 89.6 132.4 | 89.8 130.1 | 90.6 127.0 | 90.9 121.4 | 90.4 123.5 | 89.5 124.1 | 88.3 | 86.2 | 88.5 | 88.0 | 86.9 | 87.9 | 75.6 |
| Miscellaneous machinery parts. |  | 165. 2 | 166.4 | 166.6 | 167.9 | 166.6 | 165.7 | 163.5 | 125.0 | 128.4 161.5 | 137.3 163.2 | 141.5 161.1 | 144.1 160.1 | 134.7 161.6 | 143.2 |

Table A-3: Production Workers in Mining and Manufacturing Industries ${ }^{1}$-Continued
[In thousands]

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow{2}{*}{Industry group and industry} \& \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{1952} \& \multicolumn{9}{|c|}{1951} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Annual average} <br>
\hline \& Apr. \& Mar. \& Feb. \& Jan. \& Dec. \& Nov. \& Oct. \& Sept. \& Aug. \& July \& June \& May \& Apr. \& 1951 \& 1950 <br>
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Manufacturing-Continued Electrical machinery} \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline \& 708 \& 722 \& 726 \& 725 \& 726 \& 718 \& 707 \& 707 \& 696 \& 684 \& 704 \& 707 \& 718 \& 710 \& 636 <br>
\hline Electrical generating, transmission, distribution. and industrial apparatus. \& \& 272.1 \& 274.5 \& 272.8 \& 270.8 \& 266.2 \& 265.0 \& 272.8 \& 271.6 \& 271.1 \& 275.0 \& 270.0 \& 66.4 \& 267.1 \& 229.7 <br>
\hline Electrical equipment for vehicles....-.- \& \& 65.3 \& 66. 1 \& 66.6 \& 67. 2 \& 67.4 \& 67.2 \& 67.5 \& 66. 1 \& 65. 6 \& 67.0

241.2 \& 67.1 \& 66.1 \& 66.1 \& 56.0
237 <br>
\hline Communication equipment...-.---.-.- \& \& 272.9 \& 273.1 \& 271.1 \& 272.0 \& 268.4 \& 257.5 \& 247.3 \& 238.5 \& 229.5 \& 241.2 \& 247.2 \& 261.5 \& 256.1 \& 237.0 <br>
\hline Electrical appliances, lamps, and miscellaneous products. \& \& 112.1 \& 112.5 \& 114.1 \& 115. 7 \& 115.9 \& 117.7 \& 119.7 \& 119.4 \& 117.7 \& 121.2 \& 122.2 \& 123.6 \& 120.5 \& 113.3 <br>
\hline Transportation equipmen \& 1,274 \& 1,253 \& 1,246 \& 1,235 \& 1,235 \& 1,234 \& 1,205 \& 1,211 \& 1,198 \& 1,187 \& 1,237 \& 1, 233 \& 1,243 \& 1, 221 \& 1, 044 <br>
\hline Automobiles .-.-.------- \& \& 1, 629.9 \& 1, 629.5 \& 1, 633.2 \& 1, 645.3 \& 1, 654.6 \& 1, 667.4 \& 1, 678.6 \& 675.1 \& 684.0 \& 1,738.1 \& 752.4 \& 774.1 \& 718.4 \& 713.5 <br>
\hline Aircraft and parts \& \& 427.1 \& 424.0 \& 415.4 \& 406. 7 \& 395.3 \& 362. 1 \& 360.3 \& 357.1 \& 346.6 \& 332.7 \& 317.9 \& 309.3 \& 336. 6 \& 201.8 <br>
\hline Aircraft. \& \& 286.7 \& 283.5 \& 278.9 \& 274.7 \& 267.8 \& 248.7 \& 241.9 \& 243.7 \& 236.6 \& 225.6 \& 216.2 \& 211.3 \& 228.6 \& 135.7 <br>
\hline Aircraft engines and parts \& \& 84.1 \& 84.1 \& 81.3 \& 78.4 \& 74.8 \& 62.4 \& 69.5 \& 66.6 \& 64.6 \& 62.8 \& 59.4 \& 57.1 \& 63.0 \& 39.1 <br>
\hline Aircraft propellers and parts \& \& 9.2 \& 9.0 \& 9.0 \& 8.7 \& 8.5 \& 8.3 \& 8.0 \& 7.4 \& 7.3 \& 7.5 \& 7.5 \& 7.4 \& 7.5 \& 5.4 <br>
\hline Other aircraft parts and equipment-- \& \& 47.1 \& 47.4 \& 46.2 \& 44.9 \& 44. 2 \& 42. 7 \& 40.9 \& 39.4 \& 38. 1 \& 36.8 \& 34.8 \& 33. 5 \& 37.5 \& 21.5 <br>
\hline Ship and boat building and repairing-- \& \& 125.8 \& 122.4 \& 114.9 \& 110.5 \& 111.1 \& 103. 7 \& 101.9 \& 99.3 \& 100.5 \& 97.9 \& 94.7 \& 94.3 \& 98.9 \& 71.4 <br>
\hline Shipbuilding and repairing.-.-.----- \& \& 111.5 \& 108.9 \& 102. 3 \& 98.2 \& 99.3 \& 92.5 \& 90.6 \& 87.6 \& 87.7 \& 84.7 \& 81.5 \& 81.1 \& 86.5 \& 60.2 <br>
\hline Boat building and repairing \& \& 14.3 \& 13.5 \& 12.6 \& 12.3 \& 11.8 \& 11.2 \& 11.3 \& 11.7 \& 12.8 \& 13. 2 \& 13. 2 \& 13.2 \& 12.4 \& 11.2 <br>
\hline Railroad equipment. \& \& 60.7 \& 60.5 \& 61.7 \& 62.8 \& 63.1 \& 62.2 \& 60.0 \& 57.4 \& 47.2 \& 59.2 \& 58.3 \& 55.5 \& 56.7 \& 47.9 <br>
\hline Other transportation equipment \& \& 9.3 \& 9.4 \& 9.3 \& 9.8 \& 9.8 \& 9.7 \& 9.7 \& 9.3 \& 9.0 \& 9.0 \& 9.3 \& 10.0 \& 9.9 \& 9.7 <br>
\hline Instruments and related products \& 236 \& 232 \& 232 \& 232 \& 232 \& 230 \& 228 \& 226 \& 224 \& 221 \& 223 \& 222 \& 221 \& 223 \& 186 <br>
\hline Ophthalmic goods ...-- \& \& 22.5 \& 22.3 \& 22.3 \& 22.7 \& 22.5 \& 22.3 \& 22.1 \& 22.2 \& 22.5 \& 22.6 \& 22.8 \& 23.1 \& 22. 5 \& 20. 6 <br>
\hline Photographic apparatu \& \& 44.8 \& 44.7 \& 44.7 \& 44.9 \& 44.4 \& 44. 2 \& 44.7 \& 44.9 \& 42.2 \& 44.0 \& 43.0 \& 42.8 \& 43.4 \& 37.3 <br>
\hline Watches and clocks \& \& 30. 4 \& 30.1 \& 30.1 \& 30.0 \& 30.0 \& 29.5 \& 28.9 \& 28.6 \& 28.1 \& 28.9 \& 28.6 \& 29.2 \& 29.0 \& 25.5 <br>
\hline Professional and scientific instruments.. \& \& 134.5 \& 134.5 \& 135.1 \& 134.1 \& 133. 2 \& 132.3 \& 130.2 \& 128.0 \& 128.5 \& 127.6 \& 127.6 \& 125.7 \& 127.7 \& 103. 0 <br>
\hline Miscellaneous manufacturing industries.- \& 379 \& 381 \& 380 \& 374 \& 381 \& 388 \& 390 \& 388 \& 388 \& 383 \& 400 \& 409 \& 422 \& 402 \& 385 <br>
\hline Jewelry, silverware, and plated ware.- \& \& 37.1 \& 37.4 \& 36.8 \& 37.7 \& 38.3 \& 38.6 \& 39.0 \& 39.4 \& 39.4 \& 41.1 \& 43.3 \& 45.3 \& 42.0 \& 44.5 <br>
\hline Toys and sporting goods...- \& \& 58.8 \& 57.5 \& 54.9 \& 56.2 \& 60.8 \& 62.4 \& 62.6 \& 64.1 \& 61.8 \& 65.5 \& 67.6 \& 69.4 \& 64.1 \& 64.2 <br>
\hline Costume jewelry, buttons, notions \& \& 45.0 \& 45.6 \& 43.5 \& 43.7 \& 44.5 \& 44.4 \& 43.1 \& 44.3 \& 44.3 \& 45.7 \& 47.5 \& 51.9 \& 47.8 \& 49.2 <br>
\hline dustries \& \& 239.9 \& 239.6 \& 238.3 \& 243.8 \& 244.6 \& 244.8 \& 243.6 \& 240.6 \& 237.4 \& 247.8 \& 251.0 \& 255. 7 \& 247.8 \& 227.2 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

1 See footnote 1, table A-2. Production workers refer to all full- and part-
: See footnote 2, table A-2.
time employees engaged in production and related processes, such as fabricating, processing, assembling, inspecting, storing, packing, shipping, maintenance and repair, and other activities closely associated with production operations.

## Table A-4: Indexes of Production-Worker Employment and Weekly Payrolls in Manufacturing Industries ${ }^{1}$

| Period | Employment | Weekly payroll | Period | Employment | Weekly payroll | Period | $\underset{\text { ment }}{\text { Employ }}$ | Weekly payroll |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1939: A verage | 66.2 | 29.9 | 1948: A verage | 102.8 | 105.1 | 1951: August | 105.7 | 128.4 |
| 1940: A verage | 71.2 | 34.0 | 1949: A verage | 93.8 | 97.2 | September | 105.8 | 130.9 |
| 1941: A verage | 87.9 | 49.3 | 1950: A verage | 99.2 105.4 | 111.2 | October-. | 105.1 | 129.8 |
| 1942: A verage | 103.9 | 72.2 | 1951: Average | 105.4 | 129.2 | November | 104.3 | 129.8 |
| 1943: A verage | 121.4 118.1 | 99.0 102.8 | 1951: A pril | 106.0 | 129.5 | 1952: January | 104.4 | 132.9 130.4 |
| 1945: Average. | 104.0 | 87.8 | May | 105.0 | 128.1 | February | 103.5 | 130.9 |
| 1946: A verage | 97.9 | 81.2 | June | 105.6 | 129.8 | March | 103.4 | 131.2 |
| 1947: Average. | 103.4 | 97.7 | July | 104.2 | 126.4 | April | 102.6 |  |

[^47]Table A-5: Federal Civilian Employment and Payrolls, by Branch and Agency Group
[In thousands]


[^48]${ }^{3}$ Includes fourth class postmasters, excluded from table A-2.

Table A-6: Government Civilian Employment and Payrolls in Washington, D. C., ${ }^{1}$ by Branch and Agency Group
[In thousands]

| Year and mpnth | Total government | District of Columbia government | Federal |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Total | Erecutive ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  | Legislative | Judicial |
|  |  |  |  | All agencies | Defense agencies ${ }^{3}$ | Post Office Department | All other agencies |  |  |
|  | Employment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1950: A verage | 242.3 | 20.1 | 222.2 | 213.4 | 67.5 | 8.1 | 137.8 | 8.1 | 0.7 |
| 1951: A verage........... | 271.4 | 20.3 | 251.1 | 242.1 | 83.8 | 8.3 | 150.0 | 8.3 | . 7 |
| 1951: April... | 268.5 271.4 | 20.3 <br> 20.1 | 248. 2 | 239.4 242.4 | 82.2 83.6 | 7.8 | 149.4 151.0 | 8. 12 | . 7 |
| June.- | 272.9 | 20.5 | 252.4 | 243.4 | 83.9 | 7.7 | 151.8 | 8.3 | . 7 |
| July. | 280.3 | 19.9 | 280.4 | 251.2 | 87.7 | 7.9 | 155.6 | 8. 5 | . 7 |
| Augist | 281.1 | 19.8 | 261.3 | 252.5 | 88.7 | 7.9 | 155.9 | 8.1 | . 7 |
| September | 2780 | 20.0 | 258.0 | 249.2 | 87.4 | 7.8 | 154.0 | 8.1 | . 7 |
| October... | 274.0 | 20.3 | 253.7 | 244.8 | 86.6 | 7.7 | 150.5 | 8.2 | . 7 |
| November | 273.5 279.2 | 20.7 20.5 | 252.8 | 243.8 | 86.7 | 7.9 | 149.3 | 8. 2 | . 7 |
| December- | 279.2 | 20.5 | 258.7 | 249.6 | 86.5 | 14.2 | 148.9 | 8.4 | . 7 |
| 1952: January $\begin{aligned} & \text { February } \\ & \text { March } \\ & \text { April.--- }\end{aligned}$ | 272.0 | 20.5 | 251.5 | 242.5 | 86.5 | 7.9 | 148.1 | 8.3 | . 7 |
|  | 273. 0 | 20.6 | 252. 4 | 243.4 | 87.1 | 8.0 | 148. 3 | 8.3 | . 7 |
|  | 272.7 273.3 | 20.6 20.6 | 252.1 252.7 | 243.0 243.5 | 87.1 87.4 | 8.0 8.1 | 147.9 148.0 | 8.4 8.5 | . 7 |
|  | Payrolls |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1950: A verage <br> 1951: A verage | $\begin{aligned} & 81,602 \\ & 98,369 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5,321 \\ & 5,629 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 76,281 \\ & 92,740 \end{aligned}$ | 72,78089,106 | $\begin{aligned} & 22,888 \\ & 31,018 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.937 \\ & 3,201 \end{aligned}$ | 46,95554,887 | 3,2153,320 | 286314 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1951: April...... | 91,887104,40094.10296,344102,94389,868119.319111,480101,184 | 5, 618 <br> 5, 883 <br> 5, 623 <br> 4, 474 <br> 4,591 <br> 5. 435 <br> 6. 264 <br> 6, 491 <br> 6,241 | $\begin{array}{r} 86,269 \\ 98,517 \\ 88,479 \\ 91,470 \\ 98,352 \\ 84,433 \\ 11,435 \\ 104,089 \\ 94,943 \end{array}$ | 82,78194,86384,79888,37494,76680.905109.252101,04591,102 | 28.73931.08229.48030.89335.35723.25837.08537,72931,920 | $\mathbf{2}, 855$2,9462,8392,8372.9752.8604.0983,6494,533 | $\begin{aligned} & 51,187 \\ & 60,835 \\ & 52,479 \\ & 54,544 \\ & 56,434 \\ & 49,787 \\ & 68,071 \\ & 59,667 \\ & 54,649 \end{aligned}$ | 3,1973,3383,3793,1958,2573,2133.4453,5893,529 | $\begin{aligned} & 291 \\ & 316 \\ & 302 \\ & 301 \\ & 329 \\ & 315 \\ & 358 \\ & 355 \\ & 312 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1952: January | $\begin{aligned} & 109,745 \\ & 101,213 \\ & 102,627 \\ & 107,044 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6,635 \\ & 6,266 \\ & 6,240 \\ & 6,359 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 103,110 \\ 9,947 \\ 96,387 \\ 100,685 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 99,111 \\ & 91,084 \\ & 92,481 \\ & 96,624 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 34,683 \\ & 32,354 \\ & 33,486 \\ & 35,173 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3,450 \\ & 3,364 \\ & 3,447 \\ & 3,485 \end{aligned}$ | 60. 978 <br> 55, 366 <br> 55, 548 <br> 57, 966 | $\begin{aligned} & 3,661 \\ & 3,546 \\ & 3,604 \\ & 3,721 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 338 \\ & 317 \\ & 340 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ Data for the executive branch of the Federal Government also include areas in Maryland and Virginia which are within the metropolitan area, as defined by the Bureau of the Census.
${ }^{2}$ Includes Government corporations (Including Federal Reserve banks and mixed-ownership banks of the Farm Credit Administration) and other activities performed by governmental personnel in establishments such as navy yards, arsenals, hospitals, and force-account construction. Data which
are based mainly on reports to the Civil Service Commission are adjusted to maintain continuity of coverage and definition.
${ }^{3}$ Covers civilian employees of the Department of Defense (Secretary of Defense, Army, Air Force, and Navy), National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics, Canal Zone Government, Selective Service System, National Security Resources Board, National Security Council, War Claims Commission.

Table A-7: Employees in Nonagricultural Establishments for Selected States ${ }^{1}$
[In thousands]

| State | 1952 |  |  | 1951 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Annual <br> average $1947$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mar. | Feb. | Jan. | Dec. | Nov. | Oct. | Sept. | Aug. | July | June | May | Apr. | Mar. |  |
| Alabama ${ }^{2}$ | 660.4 | 658.9 | 656.2 | 667.8 | 646. 7 | 662.8 | 659.2 | 649.3 | 644.9 | 647.3 | 638.7 | 637.6 | 642.5 |  |
| Arizona | 189.7 | 188.1 | 186.1 | *187.9 | 183.6 | 180.0 | 176.4 | 173.6 | 172.8 | 174.0 | 173.2 | 174. 6 | 174.5 | 145.2 |
| Arkansas | 300.3 | 299.3 | 300.1 | 315.8 | *313. 3 | *315. 6 | *318. 1 | *313. 2 | *312. 5 | *315. 4 | *314.8 | 313.1 | 311.3 | 283.0 |
| California | 3,536. 9 | 3,528. 2 | 3,517.1 | 3,646. 7 | 3, 598.0 | 3,627.2 | 3,630.9 | 3,619.0 | 3,545. 0 | 3,516. 0 | $3,474.8$ | 3,440. 3 | 3,412.3 | 3,080. 0 |
| Colorado | 377.0 | 378.2 | 381.0 | *395. 6 | 390.3 | 392.0 | 390.4 | 387.4 | 383.4 | 377.9 | 372.8 | 367.7 | 363.1 | 330.5 |
| Connecticut | 830.8 | 827.8 | 827.9 | 850.5 | 835.0 | 831.1 | 829.5 | 820.9 | 818.0 | 820.6 | 818.2 | 814.8 | 806.9 | 773.7 |
| District of Columbia ${ }^{2}$ - | 520.2 | 520.4 | 519.7 | 535.4 | 527.2 | 524.5 | 527.9 | 528.1 | 528.7 | 519.6 | 517.6 | 515.4 | 510.5 |  |
| Florida | 757.0 | 756.9 | 756.2 | *754. 2 | 726. 2 | 708.2 | 694.7 | 688.4 | 687.9 | 704.4 | 718.6 | 734.7 | 753.0 | 631.8 |
| Georgia | 851.4 | 849.6 | 852.7 | *876.9 | 863.8 | 858.6 | 854.8 | 857.4 | 847.0 | 842.6 | 843.9 | 842.2 | 839.9 | 740.0 |
| Idaho. | 127.1 | 127.5 | 129.4 | *136.9 | *139.1 | *140.7 | *144.3 | *143.9 | *143.0 | *143.0 | 139.1 | 136.0 | 131.5 | 121.7 |
| Illinois |  |  |  | 3,279.3 | 3,236. 2 | 3,241.4 | 3,229. 3 | 3,217. 5 | $3,220.0$ | 3,232. 3 | 3,209. 2 | 3,196. 9 | 3,184. 7 | 3,148.1 |
| Indiana |  |  | 1,258.5 | 1,295. 7 | 1,279.6 | 1,292.5 | 1,303. 0 | 1,292.7 | 1,287. 1 | 1,298. 0 | 1,290.0 | 1,281. 2 | 1,282.8 | 1,196.4 |
| Iowa | 619.5 | 620.3 | 621.0 | 643.3 | 1637.2 | 642.6 | 645.8 | 639.0 | 636.0 | 637.3 | 630.9 | 622.5 | 612.0 | 570.9 |
| Kansas | 512.8 | 512.4 | 512.1 | *526. 5 | *520. 5 | *520. 3 | *517.6 | *509. 7 | *502. 8 | *504.9 | *495. 8 | 491.2 | 483.6 | 423.2 |
| Maine ${ }^{2}$ | 261.9 | 266.8 | 268.0 | 278.9 | 275.5 | 280.1 | 279.5 | 282.9 | 278.5 | 275.6 | 268.0 | 260.8 | 259.9 | 262.0 |
| Maryland | 744.4 | 738.4 | 733.7 | *757. 5 | 756.9 | 753.1 | 766.4 | 771.0 | 749.8 | 743.5 | 732.4 | 725.9 | ${ }^{3} 724.2$ | 670.8 |
| Massachusett | 1,751. 5 | 1,753.3 | 1,760.0 | *1, 832.8 | 1,799.7 | 1,797.0 | 1,812.1 | 1,805.0 | 1,797.8 | 1,815. 2 | 1,809.7 | 1,800.9 | 1,791.3 | 1,701.5 |
| Minnesota | 810.4 | 1,810.5 | 816.4 | 1842.3 | 835.3 | 837.0 | 843.9 | 837.7 | 836.3 | 830.9 | 823.0 | 808.2 | 807.1 | 770.6 |
| Missouri ${ }^{2}$ | 1,235. 5 | 1,234.6 | 1,228.6 | 1,271. 7 | 1,250. 2 | 1,252.6 | 1,253. 7 | 1,249.2 | 1,232.4 | 1,234.8 | 1,224.9 | 1,212.3 | 1,205.1 | 1,116. 4 |
| Montana | 143.2 | 142.7 | 143.7 | *148.9 | 150.3 | 153.1 | 154.4 | 155.6 | 154.7 | 154.4 | 151.3 | 148.5 | 143.0 | 136.4 |
| Nebraska | 324.2 | 322.9 | 323.0 | *339.2 | 335.2 | 335.2 | 334.0 | 332.0 | 331.4 | 332.6 | 327.9 | 323.1 | 316.9 | 295.5 |
| Nevada. | 56.7 | 56.0 | 55.6 | *58.8 | 59.0 | *60.4 | 61.2 | 61.0 | 60.3 | 58.9 | 56.8 | 56.4 | 54.6 | 53.4 |
| New Hampsh | 165. 2 | 166. 2 | 166. 7 | 170.8 | 169.1 | 172.4 | 173.9 | 176.7 | 176.0 | 173.9 | 169.7 | 170.9 | 169.4 | 166.7 |
| New Jersey | 1,665.2 | 1,659.2 | 1,658.2 | *1, 705. 0 | 1,682.9 | 1, 669.6 | 1,689.9 | 1,690.5 | 1,680.0 | 1,687.5 | 1,679.8 | 1,682.1 | 1,666. 5 | 1, 613.5 |
| New Mexico | 162.2 | 160.9 | 161.4 | 163.5 | 161.0 | 161.1 | 161.6 | 161.6 | 161.2 | 160.9 | 158.0 | 157.8 | 156.7 | *121. 7 |
| New York | 5,808. 4 | 5,785. 8 | 5,787. 9 | 5,987.8 | 5,887. 9 | 5, 874.4 | 5,896.3 | 5,881. 6 | 5,827. 2 | 5,806. 5 | 5,770.1 | 5,763.6 | 5,780.6 | 5,557. 7 |
| North Carolina | 968.2 | 969.5 | 976.3 | *1, 002.8 | 985.7 | 983.8 | 981.1 | 967.6 | 957.1 | 964.3 | 958.7 | 952.5 | 971.6 | 863.6 |
| North Dakota | 109.3 | 108.6 | 109.6 | 114.5 | 115.7 | 117.2 | 117.1 | 116.9 | 116.5 | 117.2 | 114.7 | 110.7 | 107.4 | 99.1 |
| Oklahoma | 503.5 | 505.1 | 505.6 | 518.7 | 510.7 | 511.2 | 508.4 | 508.0 | 506.1 | 503.5 | 499.4 | 496.4 | 491.9 | 433.6 |
| Oregon. | 431.2 | 424. 7 | 420.2 | *448.0 | 453.8 | 463.3 | 476.4 | 476.1 | 467.8 | 468.7 | 455.6 | 447.7 | 435.1 | 417.4 |
| Pennsylvania | 3,670.1 | 3,649.6 | 3,661.9 | *3, 773.8 | *3, 729.3 | *3, 734.7 | *3, 744.8 | 3,727. 4 | 3,713.3 | 3,740.4 | 3,723. 7 | *3,710.0 | 3,702. 8 | 3,628. 3 |
| Rhode Island ${ }^{2}$ | 297.8 | 297.8 | 297.2 | 305.3 | 301.6 | 295.5 | 295.2 | 295.6 | 301.9 | 308.2 | 311.1 | 314.5 | 309.7 | 293.7 |
| South Carolina | 506.2 | 499.8 | 499.4 | 511.6 | 500.1 | 499.2 | 498.2 | 494. 0 | 486.0 | 485.6 | 482.6 | 478.8 | 482,5 | 426.1 |
| South Dakota | 120.3 | 120.4 | 120.6 | *124.8 | 124.9 | 126.1 | 126.1 | 125.6 | 124.7 | 125.0 | 122.5 | 120.0 | 118.5 | 110.2 |
| Tennessee ${ }^{2}$ | 773.2 | 768.0 | 771.1 | 795.8 | 783.8 | 788.8 | 792.6 | 790.4 | 780.6 | 782.0 | 785.7 | 777.3 | 774.7 | 700.5 |
| Texas | 2,112.3 | 2,106.9 | 2,104.7 | *2,161.8 | 2,128.7 | 2,121.8 | 2, 119.5 | 2,120. 8 | 2,101.9 | 2,088.1 | 2,061.2 | 2,053.7 | 2,040.0 | 1,734.0 |
| Utah | 201.0 | 201.0 | 201.0 | *212.0 | *212.0 | 214.0 | 218.0 | 214.0 | *211.0 | 211.0 | *205. 0 | *201. 0 | 197.0 | 179.7 |
| Vermont ${ }^{2}$ | 98.1 | 97.9 | 97.9 | 100.5 | 98.8 | 99.1 | 100.1 | 101.5 | 101.5 | 101.7 | 100.4 | 99.7 | 97.3 | 98.6 |
| Virginia | 854.6 | 853.5 | 856.2 | 886.2 | 874.0 | 871.2 | 867.9 | 856.1 | 844.4 | 839.5 | 829.5 | 819.3 | 822.8 |  |
| Washington. | 697.9 | 690.4 | 687.1 | 723.9 | 726.8 | 742.8 | 750.4 | 741.7 | 736.6 | 732.2 | 718.5 | 702.0 | 687.3 | 659.9 |
| West Virginia | 516.8 | 516.4 | 517.4 | 533.6 | 531.4 | 531.4 | 533.6 | 533. 6 | 529.1 | 537.3 | 534.6 | 526.6 | 529.9 |  |
| Wisconsin | 1,036.1 | 1,039.7 | 1,038.8 | 1,070.4 | 1,057.8 | 1,064.8 | 1,082. 2 | 1,078.3 | 1,085. 3 | 1,073.0 | 1,057.6 | 1,049.8 | 1,042.1 | 984.5 |
| Wyoming ${ }^{2}$ | 81.2 | 80.6 | 81.6 | 84.2 | 85.3 | 86.6 | 87.7 | 90.2 | 90.4 | 88.8 | 84.6 | 79.6 | 77.4 | 72.7 |

${ }^{1}$ Data for earlier years are available upon request to the Bureau of Labor
Statistics or the cooperating State agency. State agencies also make availa-
ble more detailed industry data. See table A-8 for addresses of cooperating State agencies.
${ }^{2}$ Revised series; not comparable with data previously published.
${ }^{3}$ Not comparable with preceding data shown.

* Revised data; estimates previously published not affected.

Table A-8: Employees in Manufacturing Industries, By State ${ }^{1}$
[In thousands]

| State | 1952 |  |  | 1951 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Annual <br> average 1947 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mar. | Feb. | Jan. | Dec. | Nov. | Oct. | Sept. | Aug. | July | June | May | Apr. | Mar. |  |
| Alabama ${ }^{2}$ | 231.7 | 232.4 | 230.3 | 229.7 | 215.9 | 229.6 | 228.3 | 224.9 | 226.5 | 230.0 | 222.1 | 223.3 | 230.4 | 224.1 |
| Arizona. | 22.9 | 22.3 | 21.6 | *20.0 | 22.0 | 21.2 | 20.0 | 19.3 | 19.0 | 19.3 | 19.0 | 18.4 | 17.8 | 14.7 |
| Arkansas | 74.0 | 75.6 | 76.0 | 76.1 | *77. 4 | *81. 7 | *82.9 | *81.8 | *80.6 | *81.6 | *80.5 | 81.3 | 81.6 | 75.1 |
| California ${ }^{2}$ | 924.1 | 915.6 | 905.1 | 914.1 | 924.2 | 950.3 | 952.4 | 962.4 | 904.9 | 873.4 | 864.0 | 860.6 | 851.1 | 721.8 |
| Colorado. | 63.0 | 63.3 | 63.9 | *66.8 | 67.9 | 68.2 | 66.8 | 65.1 | 64.5 | 62.0 | 61.1 | 60.6 | 59.9 | 57.5 |
| Connecticut | 429.2 | 429.7 | 427.9 | 429.4 | 424.9 | 422.4 | 421.5 | 416.5 | 413.2 | 417.3 | 418.0 | 418.7 | 415.7 | 415.7 |
| Delaware. | 51.1 | 51.1 | 50.5 | *50.4 | 50.6 | 51.6 | 53.8 | 54.6 | 51.0 | 50.7 | 50.1 | 49.3 | 49.4 | 45.9 |
| District of Colu | 17.2 | 17.4 | 17.5 | 17.6 | 17.6 | 17.4 | 17.4 | 17.3 | 17.4 | 17.3 | 17.1 | 16.8 | 16.7 | 16.8 |
| Florida_- | 113.1 | 112.5 | 113.0 | *109. 2 | 106.2 | 102.4 | 99.6 | 98.4 | 98.9 | 102.8 | 105.9 | 107.7 | 111.0 | 92.8 |
| Georgia. | 300.8 | 301.7 | 301.5 | *305. 1 | 307.1 | 306.0 | 305.8 | 307.7 | 303.7 | 300.4 | 301.7 | 302.9 | 304.4 | 273.7 |
| Idaho. | 18.3 | 18.0 | 18.3 | *21.3 | *23. 5 | *24.9 | *27.1 | *27.1 | *27. 2 | *26.1 | 24.1 | 22.6 | 20.4 | 20.5 |
| Illinois |  |  |  | 1,216.1 | 1, 213.0 | 1, 213.7 | 1,198. 7 | 1, 196. 4 | 1, 203.5 | 1,217.6 | 1,210.9 | 1,219.4 | 1,229. 3 | 1,240.4 |
| Indiana |  |  | 584.9 | 587.6 | 582.3 | 589.8 | 601.7 | 592.6 | 590.3 | 597.4 | 597.0 | 600.2 | 606.2 | 562.4 |
| Iowa. | 168.6 | 169.6 | 169.3 | 171.4 | 170.9 | 169.1 | 171.4 | 169.6 | 168.0 | 167.2 | 164.7 | 165.1 | 163.3 | 149.6 |
| Kansas | 131.3 | 130.4 | 128.7 | *128.8 | *128.3 | *125.1 | *122.1 | *118.9 | *119.5 | *116. 2 | *112.1 | 110.1 | 110.5 | 81.5 |

Table A-8: Employees in Manufacturing Industries, By State ${ }^{1}$-Continued
[In thousands]

| State | 1952 |  |  | 1951 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Annual average 1947 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mar. | Feb. | Jan. | Dec. | Nov. | Oct. | Sept. | Aug. | July | June | May | Apr. | Mar. |  |
| Kentucky ${ }^{2}$ | 146.2 | 149.6 | 152.3 | 154.5 | 148.3 | 151.6 | 150.1 | 150.3 | 148.8 | 150.5 | 150.1 | 150.9 | 153.1 | 136.3 |
| Louisiana ${ }^{2}$ | 141.7 | 144.2 | 144.0 | 152.3 | 153.9 | 145.6 | 147.2 | 145.7 | 145.3 | 146.3 | 146.1 | 143.4 | 144.4 | 151.0 |
| Maine ${ }^{2}$ | 112.1 | 115.8 | 115.3 | 117.4 | 118.0 | 117.7 | 117.7 | 120.6 | 117.3 | 117.4 | 113.6 | 111.6 | 114.3 | 114.5 |
| Maryland. | 255.1 | 252.9 | 252.2 | *255. 8 | 255.4 | 258.6 | 272.8 | 278.7 | 258.5 | 255.3 | 248.7 | 245.6 | ${ }^{3} 245.8$ | 230.3 |
| Massachusett | 717.1 | 721.6 | 721.7 | 728.3 | 726.7 | 726.5 | 728.0 | 732.4 | 723.7 | 735.4 | 736.6 | 747.8 | 744.3 | 721.9 |
| Michigan. | 1,053. 6 | 1, 050.2 | 1,050.7 | 1,056. 6 | *1, 065.8 | 1,073.6 | 1,083. 5 | 1,080. 1 | 1,095. 7 | 1,137.7 | 1,138.6 | 1,156.8 | 1,160.3 | 1,041.7 |
| Minnesota | 205.8 | 205.6 | 204.7 | 208.6 | 209.2 | 207.7 | 213.9 | 212.2 | 211.1 | ${ }^{1} 206.1$ | 1202. 5 | 203.3 | 1, 203.7 | 199.5 |
| Mississippi | 92.5 | 91.9 | 92.4 | 93.5 | 93. 9 | 93.9 | 93.9 | 94.3 | 93.0 | 93.4 | 95.5 | 95.2 | *92. 6 | 91.9 |
| Missouri ${ }^{2}$ | 383.3 | 380.8 | 377.7 | 377.7 | 373.3 | 370.4 | 375.5 | 378.2 | 373.5 | 376.8 | 373.7 | 373.1 | 370.9 | 348.8 |
| Montana | 16.4 | 16.4 | 16.6 | *17.5 | 18.4 | 18.8 | 17.5 | 17.7 | 17.4 | 17.5 | 16.9 | 16.7 | 16.8 | 18.4 |
| Nebraska. | 58.9 | 58.1 | 57.3 | *59.1 | 58.5 | 58.0 | 57.3 | 56.6 | 56.9 | 56.7 | 54.5 | 53.7 | 52.6 | 49.3 |
| Nevada | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.6 | 3.7 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 3.7 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.3 |
| New Hampshi | 81.4 | 82.3 | 82.5 | *82.0 | 81.6 | 82.3 | 81.9 | 82.0 | 81.7 | 82.2 | 81.2 | 84.5 | 84.6 | 82.8 |
| New Jersey | 765.1 | 764.1 | 758.5 | *762.5 | 761.7 | 747.9 | 766.4 | 766.8 | 755.2 | 766.3 | 766.1 | 774.5 | 770.5 | 775.3 |
| New Mexico | 14.2 | 14.0 | 13.9 | 14.1 | 14.2 | 14.4 | 14.2 | 14.1 | 14.0 | 14.0 | 13.8 | 13.6 | 13.3 | *9.0 |
| New York | 1,975.8 | 1,974.7 | 1,956. 3 | 1,966.9 | ${ }^{*} 1,962.5$ | 1,954.2 | 1,964.9 | 1,954.6 | 1,894.9 | 1,896.3 | 1,881.3 | 1,915. 6 | 1,960.0 | 1,903.7 |
| North Carolina | 417.5 | 424.4 | 427.8 | 430.9 | 431.2 | 436.2 | 436.8 | 431.0 | 1, 421.8 | 427.7 | 424.6 | 423.4 | ${ }^{4} 42.0$ | ${ }^{1} 411.8$ |
| North Dakota ${ }^{2}$ | 5. 9 | 6. 0 | 6.2 | 6.2 | 6. 3 | 6. 3 | 6.1 | 6.2 | 6.2 | 6.2 | 6. 0 | 5.8 | 5.6 | 6.1 |
| Ohio | 1,272. 1 | 1,274. 6 | 1,273. 7 | *1, 279.3 | 1,273.8 | 1,275.3 | 1,285. 4 | 1,285. 1 | 1,267.8 | 1,285.0 | 1,284.5 | 1,287.8 | 1,289.0 | 1,245.1 |
| Oklahom | 77.4 | 1, 77.7 | 77.3 | 77.5 | 77.7 | 77.0 | 75.5 | 75.5 | 74.2 | 1, 73.4 | 71.9 | 71.4 | 69.7 | 62.4 |
| Oregon | 132.4 | 128.6 | 123.9 | ${ }^{*} 135.6$ | 145.4 | 150.1 | 156.6 | 157.8 | 151.1 | 153.1 | 145.1 | 141.3 | 135. 2 | 132.8 |
| Pennsylvania | 1,475.1 | 1,476. 4 | 1, 475.6 | *1, 480.3 | *1, 474.8 | *1, 482.9 | *1,487.1 | 1,486.0 | 1,479.9 | 1, 500.1 | 1, 502.9 | 1,518.9 | ${ }^{*} 1,516.6$ | 1,524.5 |
| Rhode Island ${ }^{2}$ | 145.1 | 147.0 | 145. 2 | 146.2 | 146.1 | 140.2 | 140.5 | 141.5 | 147.7 | 152.2 | 155.5 | 159.7 | 155.3 | 153.2 |
| South Carolina | 216.3 | 215.0 | 216.3 | 217.8 | 216.9 | 218.4 | 220.0 | 219.5 | 216.1 | 218.9 | 218.5 | 217.2 | 222.2 | 202.1 |
| South Dakota | 11.4 | 11.3 | 11.4 | ${ }^{*} 11.5$ | 11.8 | 11.8 | 11.6 | 11.7 | 11.7 | 11.6 | 11.4 | 11.3 | 11.3 | 11.3 |
| Tennessee ${ }^{2}$ | 263.0 | 260.9 | 260.9 | 262.8 | 261.4 | 265.2 | 267.9 | 267.2 | 261.1 | 262.0 | 266.8 | 264.0 | 266.4 | 253.6 |
| Texas | 415.0 | 416.0 | 412.2 | 414.0 | 411.6 | 409.6 | 405.6 | 402.9 | 399.9 | 397.2 | 389.9 | 391.1 | 389.9 | 323.6 |
| Utah | 29.4 | 29.5 | 29.3 | *30.8 | 32.6 | *34.5 | 36.9 | 33.3 | 32.6 | 30.9 | 29.2 | 28.9 | 28.4 | 26.5 |
| Vermont ${ }^{2}$ | 38.8 | 38.9 | 38.4 | 38.7 | 38.5 | 38.2 | 38.7 | 39.5 | 39.1 | 39.6 | 39.5 | 40.2 | 38.1 | 39.8 |
| Virginia | 244.4 | 245.1 | 246.0 | 248.2 | 249.3 | 249.3 | 248.0 | 245.1 | 238.6 | 239.1 | 234.7 | 231.8 | 240.8 | 234.5 |
| Washington | 182.7 | 180.6 | 176.0 | 184.1 | 189.6 | 199.2 | 203.3 | 201.2 | 200.3 | 198.0 | 191.0 | 183.3 | 179.8 | 173.5 |
| West Virginia | 134.3 | 134.2 | 135.4 | 137.2 | 139.0 | 140.1 | 141.7 | 141.5 | 140.7 | 142.5 | 141.6 | 140.2 | 139.3 | 137.0 |
| Wisconsin | 451.1 | 453.8 | 449.7 | 453.4 | 453.1 | 457.0 | 471.2 | 471.2 | 479.2 | 462.0 | 454.8 | 456.3 | 456.5 | 433.1 |
| W yoming ${ }^{2}$ | 6.2 | 6.2 | 6.4 | 6.6 | 7.2 | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.6 | 6.6 | 6.2 | 5.7 | 5. 6 | 5.5 | 6.3 |

${ }^{1}$ Data for earlier years are available upon request to the Bureau of Labor Statistics or the cooperating State agency. State agencies also make avail ble more detaile ind
${ }_{3}^{2}$ Revised series; not comparable with data previously published.
${ }^{3}$ Not comparable with preceding data shown.
*Revised data; estimates previously published not affected.

## Cooperating State Agencies:

Alabama-Department of Industrial Relations, Montgomery 5.
Arizona-Unemployment Compensation Division, Employment Se curity Commission, Phoenix.
Arkansas-Employment Security Division, Department of Labor, Little Rock.
California-Division of Labor Statistics and Research, Department of Industrial Relations, San Francisco 1.
Colorado-Bureau of Labor Statistics, Room 224, Post Office Building, Denver 2 .
Connecticut-Employment Security Division, Department of Labor, Hartford 15.
Delaware-Federal Reserve Bank of Philadelphia, Philadelphia 1, Pa District of Columbia-U.S. Employment Service for D. C., Washington 25.
Florida-Unemployment Compensation Division, Industrial Commission, Tallahassee.
Georgia-Employment Security Agency, Department of Labor, Atlanta 3. Idaho-Employment Security Agency, Boise.
Illinois-State Employment Service and Division of Unemployment Compensation, Chicago 54.
Indiana-Employment Security Division, Indianapolis 9.
Iowa-Employment Security Commission, Des Moines 8.
Kansas-Employment Security Division, Department of Labor, Topeka
Kentucky-Burean of Employment Security, Department of Economic Security, Frankfort
Louisiana-Division of Employment Security, Department of Labor, Baton Rouge 4
Maine- Employment Security Commission, Augusta
Maryland-Department of Employment Security, Baltimore 1.
Massachusetts-Division of Statistics, Department of Labor and Industries, Boston 10.

Michigan-Employment Security Commission, Detroit 2.
Minnesota-Division of Employment and Security, St. Paul 1
Mississippi-Employment Security Commission, Jackson.
Missouri-Division of Employment Security, Jefferson City.
Montana-Unemployment Compensation Commission, Helena.
Nebraska-Division of Employment Security, Department of Labor, Lincoln 1.
Nevada-Employment Security Department, Carson City.
New Hampshire-Division of Employment Security, Department of Labor, Concord.
New Jersey-Department of Labor and Industry, Trenton 8
New Mexico-Employment Security Commission, Albuquerque.
New York-Bureau of Research and Statistics, Division of Placement and Unemployment Insurance, New York Department of Labor, 1440 Broadway, New York 18
North Carolina-Department of Labor, Raleigh.
North Dakota-Unemployment Compensation Division, Bismarck.
Ohio-Bureau of Unemployment Compensation, Columbus 16.
Oklahoma-Employment Security Commission, Oklahoma City 2.
Oregon-Unemployment Compensation Commission, Salem.
Pennsylvania-Federal Reserve Bank of Philadelphia, Philadelphia 1 (mfg.); Bureau of Research and Information, Department of Labor and Industry, Harrisburg (nonmfg.)
Rhode Island-Department of Labor, Providence 3.
South Carolina-Employment Security Commission, Columbia 1.
South Dakota-Employment Security Department, Aberdeen.
Tennessee-Department of Employment Security, Nashville 3 .
Texas-Employment Commission, Austin 19 .
Utah-Department of Employment Security, Industrial Commission, Salt Lake City 10.
Vermont-Unemployment Compensation Commission, Montpelier.
Virginia-Division of Research and Statistics, Department of Labor and Industry, Richmond 19.
Washington-Employment Security Department, Olympia.
West Virginia-Department of Employment Security, Charleston 5
Wisconsin-Industrial Commission, Madison 3.
Wyoming-Employment Security Commission, Casper.

## B: Labor Turn-Over

Table B-1: Monthly Labor Turn-Over Rates (Per 100 Employees) in Manufacturing Industries, by Class of Turn-Over ${ }^{1}$

${ }^{1}$ Month-to-month changes in total employment in manufacturing industries as indicated by labor turn-over rates are not comparable with the changes shown by the Bureau's employment and payroll reports, for the following reasons:
(1) Accessions and separations are computed for the entire calendar month; the employment and payroll reports, for the most part, refer to a 1 -week pay period ending nearest the 15 th of the month.
(2) The turn-over sample is not so large as that of the employment and payroll sample and includes proportionately fewer small plants; certain industries are not covered. The major industries exciuded are: printing, publishing, and allied industries; canning and preserving fruits, vegetables, and sea foods; women's, misses', and children's outerwear; and fertilizers.
(3) Plants are not included in the turn-over computations in months when work stoppages are in progress; the influence of such stoppage is reflected, work stoppages are in progress; the influence of such stoppage is reflected,
however, in the employment and payroll figures. Prior to 1943, rates relate to production workers only. a Prodiminary figures.
: Prior to 1940, miscellaneous separations were included with quits.
Note: Information on concepts, methodology, and special studies, etc., is given in a "Technical Note on Labor Turn-Over," October 1949, which is available upon request to the Bureau of Labor Statistics.

Table B-2: Monthly Labor Turn-Over Rates (Per 100 Employees) in Selected Groups and Industries ${ }^{1}$

| Industry group and industry | Separation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total accession |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total |  | Quit |  | Discharge |  | Lay-off |  | Misc., incl. military |  |  |  |
|  | Mar. 1952 | Feb. 1952 | Mar. 1952 | Feb. <br> 1952 | Mar. 1952 | Feb. <br> 1952 | Mar. 1952 | Feb. 1952 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mar. } \\ & 1952 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Feb. } \\ & 1952 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mar. } \\ & 1952 \end{aligned}$ | Feb. 1952 |
| Manufacturing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Durable goods ${ }^{2}$ | 3.6 | 3.8 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 4.4 | 4. 0 |
| Nondurable goods ${ }^{3}$. | 3.7 | 3.6 | 1.9 | 1.8 | . 3 | . 2 | 1.3 | 1.4 | . 2 | . 2 | 3.3 | 3.6 |
| Ordnance and accessories. | 1.8 | 2.7 | 1.2 | 1.5 | . 5 | . 4 | $\left.{ }^{4}\right)$ | . 5 | . 1 | . 3 | 5.3 | 4.6 |
| Food and kindred products | 4.6 | 5.2 | 2.2 | 2.1 | . 4 | . 4 | 1.8 | 2.4 | . 2 | . 3 | 3.9 | 4. 4 |
| Meat products..... | 5.8 | 7.2 | 2.1 | 2.5 | . 5 | . 7 | 2.8 | 3.5 | . 4 | . 5 | 3.9 | 5.1 |
| Grain-mill products | 5.0 | 3.0 | 3.3 | 1.8 | . 5 | . 3 | 1.0 | . 5 | . 2 | . 4 | 4. 0 | 3.1 |
| Bakery products...- | 3.5 | 3.8 | 2. 2 | 2.1 | . 3 | . 4 | . 8 | 1.1 | . 2 | . 2 | 3.6 | 3.8 |
| Beverages: <br> Malt liquors | 3. 3 | 4.8 | 1.1 | . 7 | . 3 | . 2 | 1.7 | 3.7 | . 2 | . 2 | 4.6 | 4.6 |
| Tobacco manufactures. | 3.3 | 3.2 | 1.7 | 2.0 | . 4 | . 3 | . 8 | .3 | . 4 | . 6 | 3.1 | 3.6 |
| Cigarettes..... | 2. 5 | 2.1 | . 9 | 1. 1 | .2 | . 1 | . 8 | .1 | . 6 | .8 | 2. 6 | 3.8 |
| Cigars....---.-- | 4.1 | 3.8 | 2. 4 | 2. 8 | . 6 | . 4 | . 8 | .2 | . 3 | . 4 | 3. 9 | 3.9 |
| Tobacco and snuff | 2. 6 | 2.8 | 1.1 | 1.1 | . 4 | . 3 | . 9 | 1.2 | . 2 | .2 | 1.2 | 2.4 |
|  | 4. 5 | 4. 0 | 1.7 | 1. 6 | . 2 | . 2 | 2.3 | 2.0 | . 3 | . 2 | 3.4 | 3.4 |
| Yarn and thread mills | 5. 7 | 4.1 | 2.0 | 1. 6 | . 1 | . 1 | 3.4 | 2.2 | . 2 | .2 | 4. 0 | 3. 3 |
| Broad-woven fabric mills --.........- | 5. 2 | 4. 2 | 1. 8 | 1. 7 | . 2 | .2 | 2. 9 | 2.0 | . 3 | .3 | 3.5 | 3. 5 |
| Cotton, silk, synthetic fiber Woolen | 4. 4 | 3. 9 | 1. 8 | 1. 7 | . 2 | . 2 | 10.1 | 1.7 | . 3 | . 3 | 3. 2 | 3. 3 |
| Knitting mills.--......-- | 12.5 | 6. 8 4.4 | 1.1 | 1.1 | .4 | . 5 | 10.7 1.0 | 4.7 2.1 | . 3 | .5 .2 | 6.5 3.6 | 5.1 3. 6 |
| Full-fashioned hosiery | 2. 7 | 4. 3.4 3.4 | 1.8 | 1.9 2.2 | .1 | . 4 | 1. 0 | 2.1 | . 1 | . 1 | 3. 6 2.0 | 3. 6 |
| Seamless hosiery.....- | 2. 7 | 3.4 | 1.7 | 1.6 | .1 | . 1 | . 6 | 1. 6 | .3 | .1 | 4.0 | 3. 2 |
| Knit underwear .....- | 3. 8 | 6.8 | 2.0 | 2.1 | . 1 | . 1 | 1.4 | 4.4 | . 3 | .2 | 5. 7 | 3. 9 |
| Dyeing and finishing textiles..-.-...--- | 3. 5 | 2. 4 | 1.2 | 1.2 | . 3 | . 3 | 1.7 | $\stackrel{.6}{1.7}$ | . 3 | . 3 | 2. 0 | 3. 1 |
| Apparel and other finished textile prod- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ucts <br> Men's and boys' suits and coats | 3.9 | 4. 5 | 3.0 | 2.9 | . 2 | . 2 | . 6 | 1.2 | . 1 | . 2 | 5.1 | 5. 3 |
| Men's and boys' furnishings and work | 3.2 | 3.7 | 2.0 | 2.1 | $\left.{ }^{4}\right)$ | . 1 | 1.0 | 1.2 | . 2 | . 3 | 3.0 | 4.1 |
|  | 4.3 | 5. 3 | 3.4 | 3.2 | . 2 | . 2 | . 6 | 1.7 | . 1 | . 2 | 5.8 | 5.4 |
| Lumber and wood products (except fur- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| niture) $\qquad$ <br> Logging camps and contractors | 4. 4 | 4. $9^{-}$ | 3.0 | 2.4 | .3 | . 3 | . 9 | 1.9 | . 2 | . 3 | 6.0 | 5.4 |
| Logging camps and contractors.---.-- | 9.3 | 9. 5 | 6. 9 | 5.0 | .2 | .5 | 2.1 | 3.6 | . 1 | . 4 | 12.8 | 17.7 |
| Millwork, plywood, and prefabricated structural wood products | 4.0 | 4.3 | 2.7 | 2.2 | 1.3 | 1.2 | . 81.6 |  | . 2 | . 3 | 5.8 | 4.4 |
|  | 3.0 | 4.1 | 1.4 | 1.5 | . 2 | . 2 | 1.1 | 2.0 | .3 | . 4 | 3.2 | 2.3 |
| Furniture and fixtures... | 4.7 | 4.8 | 3.2 | 3.0 | . 6 | . 5 | . 6 | . 9 | . 3 | . 4 | 5.0 | 5. 4 |
| Household furniture | 4.9 | 4.1 | 3. 3 | 3.1 | .7 | .6 | .6 | 1.0 | . 3 | .4 | 4.9 | 5. 6 |
| Other furniture and fixtures..---------- | 4.1 | 4.0 | 2.9 | 2.7 | . 4 | . 3 | . 6 | . 7 | . 2 | . 3 | 2. 6 | 4.9 |
| Paper and allied products. $\qquad$ Pulp, paper, and paperboard mills. Paperboard containers and boxes $\qquad$ | 4.12.82.33.4 | 3.1 | 1.7 | 1.5 | . 2 | . 2 |  | 1.0 | .3.4.2 | . 4 |  | 2.42.03.2 |
|  |  | 2.1 | 1.3 | 1.0 | .2 | . 2 | . 4 | . 5 |  | . 4 | 2.0 |  |
|  |  | 4.1 | 2.3 | 2.3 | .3 | . 3 | . 6 | 1.2 |  | . 3 | 3.4 |  |
| Ohemicals and allied products .-.-------- |  |  |  | .91.5 |  |  | . 7 |  | . 2 |  | 1.8 | 2.1 |
| Industrial inorganic chemicals.......-- | 2.5 2.9 | 1.9 | 1.3 |  | . 3 | .$^{2}$ | .7 | .6 .3 | . 2 | . 2 | 2.2 | 2.0 |
| Industrial organic chemicals.-.------- | 2.1 | 2.1 | 1.7 .9 | 1.5 | . 2 | ${ }^{-1}$ | . 8 | 1. 0 | . 2 | . 3 | 1.5 | 1.4 |
|  | 2.2 | 3.0 | . 5 | . 5 | (4) | . 1 | 1.4 | 2.1 | . 3 | . 3 | 2.0 | 1.5 |
|  | 2.1 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.2 | .1 | . 1 | . 3 | . 1 | . 1 | . 1 | 1.9 | 2.2 |
| Products of petroleum and coal...-......- | 2.8 | . 8 | 1.6 | .9 | .3 | . 2 | . 7 | . 2 | . 2 | . 3 | 1.9 | 2.1 |
|  | .7 .6 |  |  | . 4 | (4) | (4) | (4) | . 1 | . 2 | .3 .3 | 1.4 1.3 | . 8 |
| Rubber products.- | . 6 | 2.9 | 1.6 |  |  |  |  | .1 |  | . 3 | 1.3 |  |
| Tires and inner tubes. | 2.81.53.1 |  |  | 1.5 | . 2 | . 1 | . 8 | 1.0 | . 2 | . 3 | 2.2 | 2.21.62.62.7 |
| Rubber footwear |  | 4. 114.0 | 1.1 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.5 \\ & 1.8 \end{aligned}$ |  | . 2 | . 9 | $\begin{array}{r}.9 \\ \hline 1.6\end{array}$ | . 2 | . 5 | 1.8 |  |
| Other rubber products..-.------------ | 3.1 3.9 |  | 1.8 2.0 |  | . 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Leather and leather products.---...----.- |  |  |  |  | . 3 | .3.1 | $\begin{array}{r} .9 \\ 2.5 \\ 7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .8 \\ 2.3 \end{array}$ | .2.2.2 |  |  | 5.43.45.7 |
|  | 4.0 4.1 | 4.2 4.1 | 2. 1.2 | 1.8 |  |  |  |  |  | . 3 | 3.7 2.5 |  |
| Footwear (except rubber) ------------- | 4.1 4.1 | 4.1 | 2.9 | 3.0 | . 3 |  |  |  |  | .3 | 3.9 |  |
| Stone, clay, and glass products <br> Glass and glass products | 3.2 | 3.1 |  | 1.5 | . 2 | . 2 | $\begin{array}{r}.7 \\ \hline 1\end{array}$ | 1.1 | . 2 | . 4 | 2.6 | 2.9 |
| Glass and glass products <br> Cement, hydraulic | 4. 5 | 3.3 | 1. 6 | 1. 3 | . 2 | . 2 | 2.4 | 1.3 | . 3 | . 5 | $\begin{array}{ll}2.6 \\ 3.0 & 4.5\end{array}$ |  |
| Structural clay products. |  | 2.2 | 1. 2 | 1. 5 | . 3 | . 3 | .81.0 | 1.17 | .2 |  | 2.21 .4 |  |
| Pottery and related products..------------ | 3. 7 | 4. 4 | 2.2 | 2.1 | .3.3 |  |  |  |  | .3 | 3.32.7 | 2.92.3 |
| Primary metal industries............- | 2.7 | 3.5 | 1.6 | 1.5 |  | . 3 | 1.0 .7 | 1.4 | . 21 |  |  |  |
| Blast furnaces, steel works, and rolling | 3.0 | 2.9 | 1.7 | 1.6 | . 3 | . 3 | . 7 | . 6 | . 3 | . 4 | 2.8 | 2.7 <br> 2.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2.44.1 | 2.0 | 1.4 | 1.3 2.5 | . 1 | . 1 | .5 | ${ }^{-2}$ | .4 | .4 | 2.1 4.0 | 2.0 3.6 |
| Gray-iron foundries. |  | 4.6 | 2.7 | 2.5 | . 4 | . 5 | . 7 | 1.2 | . 2 | .4 | 4.0 | 3.6 3.0 |
| Malleable-iron foundries | 3. 9 | 4. 2 | 2.3 | 2. 2.5 | . 4 | . 4 | . 9 | 1.4 | . 3 | . 4 | 3.0 3.1 | 3.0 3.3 |
| Steel foundries .-.................- | 3.7 | 4.9 4.7 | 2.4 3.3 | 2.5 2.9 | . 4 | . 7 | . 6 | 1.6 .8 | . 2 | . 4 | 3.1 5.6 | 3.3 4.4 |
| Primary smelting and refining of nonferrous metals: | 4.5 | 4.7 | 3.3 | 2.9 | . 5 | . 7 | . 5. | . 8 | . 2 | . 3 | 5.6 | 4. |
| Primary smelting and refining of copper, lead, and zinc | 1.5 | 1.4 | . 8 | . 8 | .1 | . 1 | . 4 | . 3 | . 2 | . 2 | 1.4 | 1.3 |
| Rolling, drawing, and alloying of nonferrous metals: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rolling, drawing, and alloying of |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1.5 | 1. 5 | 1.0 | .9 | 1.3 | 1. 0 | 1. 4 | 1. 5 | .1 | . 5 | 1. 5 | 1.8 8 |
| Nonferrous foundries .-.......- | 4.6 | 5.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 1.5 | . 2 | . 5 | 5.2 | 6.3 |
| Other primary metal industries: Iron and steel forgings. | 3.9 | 3.1 | 1.7 | 2.0 | . 6 | . 4 | 1.3 | . 3 | . 3 | . 4 | 3.2 | 3.2 |

Table B-2: Monthly Labor Turn-Over Rates (Per 100 Employees) in Selected Groups and Industries ${ }^{1}$-Continued

| Industry group and industry | Separation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total accession |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total |  | Quit |  | Discharge |  | Lay-off |  | Misc., incl. military |  |  |  |
|  | $\underset{1952}{\mathrm{Mar} .}$ | Feb. $1952$ | $\underset{1952}{\text { Mar. }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Feb. } \\ & 1952 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mar. } \\ & 1952 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Feb. } \\ & 1952 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{1952}{\text { Mar. }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Feb. } \\ & 1952 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{1952}{\mathrm{Mar}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Feb. } \\ 1952 \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{1952}{\text { Mar. }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Feb. } \\ 1952 \end{gathered}$ |
| Manufacturing-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fabricated metal products (except ordnance, machinery, and transportation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| equipment) $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cutlery } \\ & \text { hand tools and hardware- }\end{aligned}$ | 3.8 <br> 3.8 <br> 2.8 <br> 3. | 4.34.0 | 1.8 |  | $\begin{array}{r}0.4 \\ .3 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | ${ }^{\text {. }} .4$ | 1.2 | 1.4 | $\begin{array}{r}\text { O } \\ . \\ . \\ .1 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 0.4 | 3.92.82.9 | 3.72.72.11.1 |
| Cutlery, hand tools, and hardware...-. Cutlery |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r}.3 \\ .2 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  | .4 .3 .3 |  |  |
| Hand tools ...--- |  | 3.7 | 1.8 1.5 | 1.4 | . 3 | .2 | 1.7 | 1.8 | .2.2 | . 3 | 2.9 1.8 |  |
|  | 3.7 |  | 2.1 | 2.2 |  | . 4 | 1.0 | . 9 |  |  | 3.3 | 3.2 |
| Heating apparatus (except electric) and plumbers' supplies | 4. | 5.0 | 2.5 | 2.3 | . 5 | . 4 | 1.7 | 1.9 | . 2 | . 4 | 4.4 | 4.1 |
| Sanitary ware and plumbers' supplies |  | 3.2 | 1.6 | 1.7 | . 3 | . 3 | 1.0 | . 9 | . 2 | . 3 |  |  |
| Oil burners, nonelectric heating and cooking apparatus, not elsewhere classified |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.3 | 2.2 6.5 |
| Fabricated structural metal products.- | 6.4 3.8 4 | 7.2 3.8 | 3. ${ }^{2} 1$ | 3.0 2.3 | . 7 | $\begin{array}{r} .5 \\ : 5 \end{array}$ | 2.3 .8 | 3.2 .7 | .3 | . 5 | 6. 4.6 | ${ }_{4}^{6.3}$ |
| Metal stamping, coating, and engraving. | 4.4 | 5.0 | 1.7 | 1.7 | . 3 |  | 1.9 | 2.6 | . 5 | . 5 | 4.9 |  |
| Machinery (except electrical) | (5.8 ${ }_{\text {2. }}^{3.0}$ | 2.9 | 1.91.9 | 1.7 | .4(5).4 |  | 1.9 |  |  | .3 |  | 3.3 <br> 3.5 |
| Engines and turbines ... |  | 2.6 |  | 1.7 |  | . 4 | (5) ${ }^{3}$ | 2 | $\stackrel{.}{2}$ |  | - ${ }_{2}^{3.5}$ |  |
| Agricultural machinery and tractors--- | ${ }^{(5)} 3$ | 2.7 | ${ }^{(5)}$ |  | (5) | .3 |  | . 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & .2 \end{aligned}$ | . 5 | ${ }^{(8)}$ | 3.53.63.63.53.8 |
| Metalworking machinery .-.-------- | 3.2 <br> 2.9 <br> 3.0 | 2.1 2.8 2.7 | 2.22.02.1 | 2.0 1.9 | .6.4.8 | .4 | $\stackrel{.3}{7}$ |  |  |  | 3. ${ }^{4.0}$ |  |
| Machine tools -...- |  | 2.7 |  | 1.8 |  |  | .2 | .1 | . 2 |  | 3.3 |  |
| Metalworking machinery (except machine tools) |  | 2. ${ }^{2.5}$ | ${ }_{2.1}^{1.7}$ | 1.82.1 | . ${ }_{3}$ |  | 1. 1 |  | .$_{2}^{2}$ |  |  | 2.73.43 |
|  | 2.3 3.6 |  |  |  |  | . ${ }^{4}$ |  | . ${ }^{7}$ |  | .2 | 3.2 3.4 |  |
| Special-industry machinery (except metalworking machinery) | 2.53.02.0 | 3.52.82.8 | 1.61.81.31.8 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.7 \\ & 1.7 \\ & 1.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .3 \\ & .4 \\ & .2 \\ & .4 \\ & .4 \\ & .4 \end{aligned}$ | .4.4.4 | . 4 | 1.2.4 | . 2 | $\begin{aligned} & .2 \\ & .3 \\ & .4 \end{aligned}$ | 3.62.72.12.1 | 3. 53.12.12.3 |
| General industrial machinery |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Office and store machines and devices.- |  | 2.3 |  |  |  |  | . 2 | .3 | .3 |  |  |  |
| servines....................... | 3.3 <br> 3.5 | 2.33.2 | 2.01.9 | 1.41.6 |  | . 3 | . 6 | . 2 | . 3 | .4 | 6.62.7 | 3.92.4 |
| Miscellaneous machinery parts-..-- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Electrical machinery -----....----- | 3.4 | 3.6 | 2.0 | 1.8 | . 3 | . 3 | . 9 | 1.2 | . 2 | . 3 | 3.6 | 3.8 |
| Electrical generating, transmission, distribution, and industrial appa- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 3.9 | (5) ${ }^{1.5}$ | ${ }_{2.4}^{1.3}$ | (8) ${ }^{2}$ | . 2 |  |  | (5) ${ }^{-4}$ | .$_{3}^{3}$ | (8) 2.6 | 2.54.7 |
| Communication equipment - | (5) ${ }^{2.6}$ |  |  |  |  |  | (8) ${ }^{5}$ | . 7 |  |  |  |  |
| Radios, phonographs, television | 4.5 | 4.7 | 2.4 | 2.4 | . 5 | . 7 | [1.4 | 1.2 | . 2 | . 4 | 5.1 | 5.2 |
| Telephone and telegraph equip. ment | (8) | 2.3 |  |  | (8) | . 2 | (8) | ${ }^{(4)}$ | (8) | . 4 | (\%) | 3.3 |
| Eleetrical appliances, lamps, and | 3.6 | 3.4 | (\%) 20 | 1.7 | ${ }^{3}$ | . 2 | 1.0 | 1.0 | ${ }^{3}$ | . 4 | 3.6 | 3.3 |
| Transportation equipment.- | 4.5 | 4.9 | 2.3 | 2.1 | . 4 | . 4 | 1.1 | 1.8 | . 7 | . 6 | 7.2 | 5.5 |
| Automobiles....- | 3. 6 | 4.3 | 1.4 | 1.2 | . 2 | . 2 | 1.1 | 2.0 | . 9 | . 9 | 8.0 | 4.2 |
| Aircraft and parts. | 3.8 | 4.0 | 2.9 | 2.8 | . 4 | . 4 | .2 | . 5 | .3 | .3 | 4.9 | 5.2 |
| Aircraft A ircraft engines and parts | 3.8 <br> 3.5 | 4.2 3.9 | 3.0 2.3 | 3.0 2.4 | $\begin{array}{r}.3 \\ .5 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | . 4 | .$_{4}^{2}$ | $\stackrel{.}{7}$ | $\stackrel{3}{3}$ | $\bigcirc$ | 5.1 3.6 2. | 5.4 4.7 |
| Aircraft propellers and parts. | 2.0 | 2.2 | 1.6 | 1.4 | .3 | . 3 | .1 | .3 | (1) ${ }^{-3}$ | $\stackrel{.2}{ }$ | 4.7 | 3.1 |
| Other aircraft parts and equip- ment |  | 3.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5.4 | 4.8 |
| Ship and boat building and repairing.- | (0) ${ }^{4.3}$ | 11.1 | (8) ${ }^{2.9}$ | 4.7 | (5) | 1.0 | (5) ${ }^{-2}$ | 5.1 | (8) ${ }^{2}$ | $\stackrel{.3}{ }$ | (8) 5 | 14.8 |
| Railroad equipment | 5. 0 | 5. 0 | 1.6 | 1.5 | . 2 | .$^{2}$ | 2.3 | 2.5 |  | . 8 | 5. 5 | 4.7 |
| Locomotives and parts. <br> Railroad and streetcars | 4.3 5.9 | 2.9 8.2 | 1.3 2.0 | 1.3 1.8 | . 1 | $\stackrel{.}{2}$ | 2.0 2.8 | 5. ${ }^{4}$ | . 8 | 1.0 | 2.4 10.8 10 | 2.3 <br> 8.3 |
| Other transportation equipment. | 5. 4 | ${ }_{3.5}^{8.2}$ | 1.5 | 1.8 | .3 | .$^{3}$ | 2.8 3.3 | 1.6 | . 8 | . 8 | 10.8 2.6 | 4. 4 |
| Instruments and related products. | 2.3 | 1.9 |  | 1.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.2 |  |
| Photographic apparatus.....-- | ${ }^{(8)} 2$ | 1.3 2.0 | $\stackrel{(5)}{1.0}$ | 1.7 1.2 | ${ }^{(5)} .1$ | ${ }^{(4)} .1$ | ${ }^{(5)} 1.2$ | . 3 |  | . 3 | ${ }^{(5)} 2.9$ | 1.4 2.6 |
| Professional and scientific instru- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2.6 | 2.4 | 1.0 | 1.1 | . 2 | . 3 | . 8 | . 6 | . 6 | . 4 | 3.6 | 2.9 |
| Miscellaneous manufacturing industries.- | 5.5 | 5.8 | 2.9 | 3.1 | . 3 | . 4 | 2.0 | 1.9 | . 3 |  | 4.9 | 5.4 |
| Jewelry, silverware, and plated ware..- | 2.7 | 3.2 | 1.9 | 2.1 | . 2 | . 1 | . 5 | 7 | . 1 | . 3 | 2.3 | 2.8 |
| Metal mining | 5.3 | 4.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Iron mining | 2.0 | 1.9 | 1.0 |  | .1 |  | . 7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Copper mining.- | 5.4 | 4.7 | 4.8 | 4.1 | . 3 | . 3 | . 1 | 1 | .2 | . 2 | 4.3 | 5.2 |
| Lead and zinc mining. | 4.5 | 3.4 | 3.7 | 2.6 | . 3 | . 3 | . 3 | 2 | . 2 | . 3 | 4.1 | 3.8 |
| Anthracite mining.-. | 2.0 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.1 | (4) | (4) | . 2 | . 2 | . 3 | . 2 | 1.2 | 1.2 |
| Bituminous-coal mining. | 2.5 | 1.9 | 1.5 | 1.2 | . 1 | .1 | . 7 | .4 | . 2 | . 2 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| Communication: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Telephone-- | ${ }^{(8)}$ | 1.8 | ${ }^{(5)}$ | 1.4 | (5) | . 1 | ${ }^{\text {(5) }}$ | . 1 | (8) | . 2 | (8) | 2.6 |
| Telegraph...- | (8) | 1.6 | (5) | 1.0 | (5) | . 1 | (5) | . 3 | (5) | .2 | (5) | 1.7 |

1 See footnote 1, table B-1. Data for the current month are subject to revision without notation; revised figures for earlier months will be indicated by footnotes.
${ }^{2}$ See footnote 2, table A-2.
See footnote 3, table A-2. Printing, publishing, and allied industries are excluded.

## C: Earnings and Hours

Table C-1: Hours and Gross Earnings of Production Workers or Nonsupervisory Employees ${ }^{1}$

| Year and month | Mining |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Metal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Coal |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total: Metal |  |  | Iron |  |  | Copper |  |  | Lead and zinc |  |  | Anthracite |  |  | Bituminous |  |  |
|  | Avg. wkly. ings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. ings | Avg. wkly. earn- | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earn- | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. <br> hrly. <br> earn- <br> ings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings |
| 1950: A verage $\qquad$ <br> 1951: A verage $\qquad$ | $\$ 85.58$74.60 | 42.243.6 | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1.554 \\ 1.711 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 81.96 \\ 72.63 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40.9 \\ & 42.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1.515 \\ 1.709 \end{array}$ | $\$ 72.05$78.19 | 45.046.1 | $\$ 1.601$ <br> 1.696 | \$66. 6476.20 | 41.6 | \$1.602 | \$63. 24 | 32.1 | \$1.970 | \$70.35 | 35.0 | \$2. 010 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 43.0 | 1.772 | 66.60 | 30.3 | 2. 198 | 77.86 | 35.2 | 2.212 |
| 1951: March | 72.8374.62 | $\begin{aligned} & 43.3 \\ & 44.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.682 \\ & 1.696 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 69.22 \\ & 73.31 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 41.3 \\ & 43.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.676 \\ & \text { 1. } 697 \end{aligned}$ | 77.8976.82 | 46. 5 | 1. 675 | 74.30 | 43.0 | 1. 728 | 50.68 | 23.1 | 2. 194 | 74.66 | 33.6 | 2. 222 |
| April. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 46.5 46.0 |  | 77. 96 | 43.7 | 1. 784 | 47. 20 | 21.6 | 2. 185 | 75. 63 | 33.9 | 2. 231 |
| May. | 74.96 | 44.2 | 1.696 | 75. 48 | 44.4 | 1. 700 | 76. 00 | 45.7 | 1. 663 | 76. 23 | 42.9 | 1. 777 | 66. 67 | 30.1 | 2. 215 | 73.86 | 33.3 | 2. 218 |
| June. | 70.89 | 41.8 | 1. 696 | 65. 19 | 38.3 | 1. 702 | 75. 36 | 45.4 | 1. 660 | 76. 20 | 43. 2 | 1.764 | 68.94 | 31.0 | 2. 224 | 77.67 | 34.8 | 2. 232 |
| July. | 72. 32 | 42.0 | 1. 722 | 67. 58 | 39.2 | 1. 724 | 75. 86 | 44.6 | 1.701 | 76.85 | 43.1 | 1.783 | 79. 50 | 35. 3 | 2. 252 | 73.71 | 32.7 | 2. 254 |
| August | 75. 74 | 44.5 | 1. 702 | 75. 92 | 44.4 | 1.710 | 76. 88 | 45. 9 | 1.675 | 76. 78 | 43.7 | 1. 757 | 58. 52 | 26.3 | 2. 225 | 77. 23 | 34.9 | 2. 213 |
| September | 76. 43 | 44.1 | 1. 733 | 76. 56 | 43.8 | 1.748 | 79. 20 | 46.7 | 1. 696 | 75. 66 | 42.6 | 1. 776 | 60. 36 | 27.2 | 2. 219 | 81.61 | 36.5 | 2. 236 |
| October. | 76.10 | 44.4 | 1. 714 | 76. 79 | 44.7 | 1.718 | 78.15 | 46.3 | 1.688 | 75. 55 | 42.9 | 1. 761 | 78. 24 | 35.1 36.8 | 2.229 | 80.62 81.09 | 36.3 36.2 | 2. 2221 |
| November | 74.43 | 43.4 44.4 | 1.715 1.789 | 73.06 76.83 | 42.5 43.9 | 1.719 1.750 | 77.74 84.38 | 46.0 46.8 | 1.690 1.803 | 74. 44 81.52 | 42.2 | 1.764 1.887 | 89. 98 <br> 8. | 36.8 31.1 | 2. 250 | 86.09 86.28 | 36.2 38.4 | 2. 247 |
| 1952: January $\qquad$ February March $\qquad$ | $\begin{aligned} & 79.12 \\ & 78.99 \\ & 79.61 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 44.3 \\ & 44.3 \\ & 44.3 \end{aligned}$ | 1. 788 | 74. 5775.8177.43 | $\begin{aligned} & 44.1 \\ & 44.7 \\ & 45.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.691 \\ & 1.696 \\ & 1.713 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 86.11 \\ & 84.18 \\ & 84.50 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 46.7 \\ & 46.2 \\ & 46.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.844 \\ & 1.822 \\ & 1.833 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 83.02 \\ & 82.11 \\ & 83.07 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 43.4 \\ & 42.7 \\ & 42.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.913 \\ & 1.923 \\ & 1.941 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 73.58 \\ & 68.97 \end{aligned}$ | 32.630.9 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.257 \\ & 2.232 \end{aligned}$ | 86.3980.0979.15 | $\begin{aligned} & 38.5 \\ & 35.9 \\ & 35.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2. } 244 \\ & \text { 2. } 231 \\ & \text { 2. } 236 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  | 1.797 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Mining-Continued |  |  |  |  |  | Contract construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Crude petroleum and natural gas production |  |  | Nonmetallic mining and quarrying |  |  | Total: Contract construction |  |  | Nonbuilding construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Petroleum and natural gas production (except contract services) |  |  |  |  |  | Total: Nonbuilding construction |  |  | Highway and street |  |  | Other nonbuilding construction |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1950: Average <br> 1951: Average | $\$ 73.69$ <br> 79.67 | 40.640.9 | \$1.815 | $\$ 59.88$67.19 | 44.0 | \|r $\begin{array}{r}\text { \$1. } 361 \\ 1.493\end{array}$ | \$73.7381.71 | 37.237.9 | \$1.982 | \$73.46 | $40.9$$40.8$ | \$1. 796 | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 60.17 \\ 74.66 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 41.1 \\ & 41.0 \end{aligned}$ | \$1. 683 | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 76.31 \\ 85.06 \end{array}$ | 40.740.6 | \$1.875 |
|  |  |  | 1.948 |  | 45.0 |  |  |  | 2. 156 | 80.82 |  | 1. 981 |  |  | 1.821 |  |  | 2.095 |
| 1951: March........- | 76.69 | $\begin{aligned} & 40.6 \\ & 41.2 \end{aligned}$ | 1.889 | 63.7465.88 | 43.6 | 1.462 | 76.99 | 36.3 | 2.121 | $74.19 \quad 38.5$ |  | 1.927 | 67.40 | 38.1 | 1.769 | 78.25 | 38.7 | 2.022 |
| April. | 80, 30 |  | 1.949 |  | 45.0 | 1. 464 | 79.36 | 37.4 | 2.122 | 78.26 | 40.3 | 1. 942 | 71.43 | 40.4 | 1.768 | 82.65 | 40.2 | 2. 056 |
| May | 78. 30 | 40.4 | 1.938 | 67.22 | 45.7 | 1. 471 | 81.62 | 38.3 | 2.131 | 81.26 | 41.8 | 1. 944 | 75. 68 | 42.4 | 1.785 | 85.16 | 41.3 | 2. 062 |
| June. | 78. 74 | 40.4 | 1. 949 | 67. 82 | 45.7 | 1. 484 | 82.41 | 38.4 | 2.146 | 81.48 | 41.3 | 1. 973 | 75. 56 | 41.7 | 1.812 | 85. 98 | 41.0 | 2. 097 |
| July | 83.32 | 42.1 | 1. 979 | 68.84 | 45.8 | 1. 503 | 83.73 | 39.0 | 2.147 | 84.81 | 42.9 | 1. 977 | 79.22 | 43.6 | 1.817 | 89.21 | 42.4 | 2.104 |
| August | 78.15 | 40.2 | 1. 944 | 69. 59 | 46.3 | 1. 503 | 84. 46 | 39.1 | 2.160 | 85. 27 | 42.7 | 1. 997 | 79.90 | 43.4 | 1.841 | 89. 51 | 42.2 | 2.121 |
| September | 83.68 | 41.8 | 2. 002 | 70. 63 | 46.1 | 1. 532 | 85.19 | 38.9 | 2. 190 | 84.72 | 41.9 | 2.022 | 78.81 | 42.1 | 1.872 | 89.20 | 41.7 | 2.139 |
| October. | 78.93 | 40.5 | 1. 949 | 71.72 | 47.0 | 1.526 | 86.26 | 39.3 | 2. 195 | 86.61 | 42.6 | 2.033 | 81.75 | 43.6 | 1. 875 | 90.42 | 41.9 | 2. 158 |
| November | 79.02 | 40.4 | 1. 956 | 68. 35 | 44.5 | 1. 536 | 81.66 | 36.8 | 2. 219 | 79.30 | 38.7 | 2. 049 | 71.73 | 38.4 | 1.868 | 84.72 | 38.9 | 2. 178 |
| December | 83.85 | 41.8 | 2. 006 | 67.32 | 44.0 | 1. 530 | 83.83 | 37.9 | 2. 212 | 79.08 | 38.9 | 2. 033 | 70.56 | 38.2 | 1.847 | 84.75 | 39.4 | 2. 151 |
| 1952: January $\qquad$ February $\qquad$ March $\qquad$ | 84.53 82.26 85.16 | $\begin{aligned} & 41.7 \\ & 40.6 \\ & 41.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.027 \\ & 2.026 \\ & 2.047 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 66.69 \\ & 68.45 \\ & 67.96 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 43.7 \\ & 45.0 \\ & 44.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.526 \\ & 1.521 \\ & 1.534 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 84.74 \\ & 86.36 \\ & 83.70 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 37.9 \\ & 38.4 \\ & 37.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2.236 } \\ & \text { 2. } 249 \\ & \text { 2. } 256 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 81.26 \\ & 82.77 \\ & 78.33 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 39.6 \\ & 40.2 \\ & 38.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.052 \\ & 2.059 \\ & 2.056 \end{aligned}$ | 71.84 <br> 73.79 <br> 68. 29 | $\begin{aligned} & 39.3 \\ & 39.8 \\ & 37.5 \end{aligned}$ | 1.8281.8541.821 | $\begin{aligned} & 86.64 \\ & 87.87 \\ & 84.12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 39.8 \\ & 40.4 \\ & 38.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.177 \\ & 2.175 \\ & \text { 2. } 185 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Contract construction-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Building construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total: Building construction |  |  | General contractors |  |  | Special-trade contractors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Total: Special-trade contractors |  |  | Plumbing and heating |  |  | Painting and decorating |  |  | Electrical work |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1950: A verage........ <br> 1951: Average | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 73.73 \\ & 82.10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 36.3 \\ & 37.3 \end{aligned}$ | \$2. 031 | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 68.56 \\ 75.10 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35.8 \\ & 36.6 \end{aligned}$ | \$1.915 | $\$ 77.77$87.20 | 36.737.8 | \$2. 1192.307 | $\$ 81.72$91.26 | 38.439.2 | $\$ 2.128$ <br> 2.328 | \$71. 26 | 35.4 | \$2. 013 | $\$ 89.16$ <br> 102.21 | 38.440.1 | \$2.2.2 |
|  |  |  | 2. 201 |  |  | 2. 052 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 35.8 | 2. 197 |  |  |  |
| 1951: March | 77.44 79.75 | 35.836.8 | 2.163 | 69.93 | 34.5 | 2.027 | 82.95 | 36.8 | 2. 254 | 88.93 | 38.9 | 2.286 | 74.91 | 35.2 | 2.128 | 98. 74 | 39.4 | 2. 506 |
| April | 79.75 |  | 2.167 | 72.97 | 36. 0 | 2. 027 | 84. 48 | 37.3 | 2. 265 | 89.05 | 38.8 | 2. 295 | 77.40 | 36.1 | 2.144 | 98. 72 | 39. 6 | 2. 493 |
| May. | 81.83 | 37.5 | 2.182 | 75.24 | 36.9 | 2. 039 | 86. 60 | 37.9 | 2.285 | 91.80 | 39.4 | 2. 330 | 79.24 | 36.6 | 2.165 | 102.12 | 40.3 | 2. 534 |
| June. | 82.71 | 37.7 | 2.194 | 75.28 | 36.9 | 2.040 | 88.32 | 38.3 | 2. 306 | 92.11 | 39.5 | 2. 332 | 79.68 | 36.7 | 2.171 | 103. 70 | 40.7 | 2. 548 |
| July | 83.63 | 38.1 | 2.195 | 76. 28 | 37.3 | 2. 045 | 88. 97 | 38. 6 | 2. 305 | 92.19 | 39.6 | 2. 328 | 79. 24 | 36.4 | 2.177 | 103. 54 | 40.7 | 2. 544 |
| August.-.--. | 84.31 | 38.2 | 2. 207 | 76. 76 | 37.5 | 2. 047 | 89. 94 | 38.7 | 2. 324 | 92. 39 | 39.4 | 2. 345 | 80. 33 | 36.2 | 2. 219 | 104.42 | 40.9 | 2. 553 |
| September...- | 85. 42 | 38.2 | 2. 236 | 77.79 | 37.4 | 2. 080 | 91.14 | 38.8 | 2. 349 | 93.89 | 39.7 | 2. 365 | 80. 27 | 35.9 | 2. 236 | 106. 76 | 41.0 | 2. 604 |
| October-.--- | 86. 20 | 38.5 | 2. 239 | 79.66 | 38.3 | 2.080 | 90.94 | 38.6 | 2.356 | 94.60 | 39.9 | 2.371 | 82.16 | 36.5 | 2. 251 | 105. 19 | 40.6 | 2. 591 |
| November-.-- | 82.26 84.94 | 36.4 37.7 | 2. 2650 | 76.06 77.98 | 36.2 37.4 | 2. 2085 | 86.58 89.51 | 36.5 37.8 | 2. 3768 | 91.18 95.92 | 38.2 40.2 | 2.387 | 78.07 80.31 | 34.3 35.1 | 2. 2276 | 100.61 106.28 | 38.8 40.8 | 2. 2.593 2. 605 |
| 1952: January. | 85.35 | 37.5 | 2. 276 | 78.62 | 37.6 | 2. 091 | 90.00 | 37.5 | 2. 400 | 95.92 | 39.8 | 2.410 | 78.07 | 34.3 | 2. 276 | 106. 74 | 40.6 | 2. 629 |
| 1052. February-.-.--- | 87.06 | 38.0 | 2. 291 | 80.56 | 38.2 | 2. 109 | 91.60 | 37.9 | 2. 417 | 94.52 | 39.4 | 2. 399 | 80.20 | 35.3 | 2. 272 | 108. 51 | 40.9 | 2. 653 |
| March------- | 84.87 | 36.9 | 2. 300 | 77.51 | 36.7 | 2.112 | 89.80 | 37.0 | 2. 427 | 93.26 | 38.6 | 2.416 | 79.08 | 34.9 | 2. 266 | 107. 76 | 40.0 | 2. 694 |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table C-1: Hours and Gross Earnings of Production Workers or Nonsupervisory Employees ${ }^{1}$


## See footnotes at end of table.

Table C-1: Hours and Gross Earnings of Production Workers or Nonsupervisory Employees ${ }^{1}$-Con.

| Year and month | Manufacturing-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Food and kindred products-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Grain-mill products |  |  | Flour and other grain-mill products |  |  | Prepared feeds |  |  | Bakery products |  |  | Sugar |  |  | Cane-sugar refining |  |  |
|  | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. <br> hrly. <br> earn- <br> ings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. <br> earn- <br> ings |
| 1950: A verage 1951: Average. | $\$ 59.02$ 66.28 | 43.3 44.6 | \$1.363 1.486 | $\$ 60.95$ 67.43 | 44.1 45.5 | \$1.382 | $\$ 57.21$ <br> 64.63 | 45.3 46.1 | \$1.263 1.402 | $\begin{array}{r}\text { \$53.54 } \\ 57.38 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 41.5 | \$1.290 1.376 | $\$ 59.94$ 61.66 | 43.0 | \$1. 394 1.493 | $\$ 61.83$ 63.13 | 43.0 41.1 | $\$ 1.438$ 1.536 |
| 1951: March | 62.71 | 43.1 | 1.455 | 62.88 | 44.0 | 1. 429 | 59.83 | 43.8 | 1. 366 | 55.32 | 41.5 | 1. 333 | 58.82 | 39.4 | 1. 493 | 61.06 | 40.2 | 1. 519 |
| April | 63. 16 | 43.5 | 1. 452 | 62. 57 | 44.0 | 1. 422 | 62.10 | 45.0 | 1. 380 | 56.37 | 41.6 | 1. 355 | 59.72 | 40.0 | 1. 493 | 59.60 | 39.6 | 1. 505 |
| May | 64. 75 | 44.5 | 1. 455 | 63.36 | 44.4 | 1. 427 | 64. 36 | 46.4 | 1. 387 | 57.24 | 41.9 | 1. 366 | 65.66 | 42.8 | 1. 534 | 73.60 | 47.0 | 1. 566 |
| June | 65.13 | 44.4 | 1. 467 | 64.00 | 44.6 | 1. 435 | 66. 31 | 47.3 | 1. 402 | 57.93 | 42.1 | 1. 376 | 63. 76 | 41.0 | 1. 555 | 66.41 | 41.9 | 1. 585 |
| July.- | 68.14 | 45.7 | 1. 491 | 68.54 | 46.5 | 1. 474 | 67. 40 | 47.7 | 1. 413 | 58.15 | 42.2 | 1. 378 | 62. 77 | 41.0 | 1. 531 | 63.14 | 41.4 | 1. 525 |
| August | 68. 09 | 45.3 | 1. 503 | 69.76 | 46.6 | 1. 497 | 65. 85 | 46.8 | 1. 407 | 58.07 | 41.9 | 1. 386 | 58.42 | 39.0 | 1. 498 | 59.15 | 39.2 | 1. 509 |
| September | 68.60 | 45.4 | 1. 511 | 71.35 | 47.0 | 1. 518 | 68.45 | 47.9 | 1. 429 | 58.69 | 42.1 | 1. 394 | 62.82 | 41.3 | 1. 521 | 63.38 | 41.7 | 1. 520 |
| October | 68.67 | 45.3 | 1. 516 | 69.98 | 45.8 | 1. 528 | 65. 98 | 46.5 | 1.419 | 58.38 | 41.7 | 1. 400 | 55.39 | 38.2 | 1.450 | 56. 93 | 37.9 | 1. 502 |
| November | 68.00 68.38 | 44.5 44.4 | 1.528 | 71.37 71.28 | 45.9 45.4 | 1. 558 | 67.04 65.98 | 46.3 45.5 | 1.448 | 59. 26 59.43 | 41.5 41.5 | 1. 1.438 | 65.20 64.75 | 45.5 | 1.433 1.485 | 62.36 63.45 | 39.9 40.7 | 1. 563 1.559 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 67.46 | 46.3 | 1.457 | 59.04 | 41.2 | 1. 433 | 62.57 | 40.5 | 1. 545 | 63. 40 |  |  |
| 1952: January | 69.22 | 44.8 | 1. 545 | 71.06 67.80 | 44.7 | 1. 1.541 | 62.93 | 44.1 | 1. 427 | 60.05 | 41.5 | 1. 447 | 62.23 | 40.2 | 1. 548 | 60.65 | 38.9 | 1. 554 1.559 |
| March | 67.64 | 43.5 | 1. 555 | 68.95 | 44.2 | 1.560 | 67. 53 | 46.0 | 1.468 | 60.03 | 41.4 | 1.540 | 65.81 | 41.6 | 1.582 | 66.95 | 42.4 | 1. 579 |
|  | Manufacturing-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Food and kindred products-Oontinued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Beet sugar |  |  | Confectionery and related products |  |  | Confectionery |  |  | Beverages |  |  | Bottled soft drinks |  |  | Malt liquors |  |  |
| 1950: A verage | \$58.61.36 | 42.5 | \$1.381 | \$46. 72 | 39.9 | \$1.171 | \$44.81 | 39.9 | \$1.123 | \$67.49 | 41.0 | \$1.646 | \$49. 12 | 42.9 | \$1.145 | \$72. 66 | 40.8 | \$1.781 |
| 1951: A verage |  | 41.1 | 1.493 | 50.41 | 40.2 | 1.254 | 48.32 | 40.3 | 1.199 | 73.62 | 41.2 | 1.787 | 53.03 | 43.5 | 1.219 | 78.99 | 41.1 | 1.922 |
| 1951: March | 55.71 | 36.7 | 1.518 | 48.82 | 39.5 | 1. 236 | 47.00 | 39.7 | 1. 184 | 72.35 | 40.9 | 1.769 | 50.74 | 42.6 | 1.191 | 78.27 | 41.0 | 1.909 |
| April. | 61.95 | 40.7 | 1. 522 | 49.00 | 39.2 | 1. 250 | 46.84 | 39.1 | 1.198 | 71.97 | 40.5 | 1. 777 | 51.72 | 42.6 | 1. 214 | 76. 99 | 40.5 | 1. 901 |
| May. | $\begin{aligned} & 51.14 \\ & 60.76 \end{aligned}$ | 33.8 | 1. 513 | 49.93 | 39.5 | 1. 264 | 47.83 | 39.3 | 1.217 | 73.75 | 41.2 | 1. 790 | 53.45 | 43.7 | 1. 223 | 79.30 | 41.3 | 1. 920 |
| June |  | 39.3 | 1.546 | 51.64 | 40.5 | 1. 275 | 49.04 | 40.2 | 1. 2220 | 75. 21 | 41.9 | 1. 795 | 54.62 | 44.3 | 1. 233 | 80.57 | 41.9 | 1. 923 |
| July | 64.2058.91 | 40.1 | 1.601 | 49.71 | 38.9 | 1. 278 | 47.10 | 38.7 | 1. 217 | 75.64 | 42.0 | 1.801 | 56.16 | 45.4 | 1. 237 | 81.42 | 42.1 | 1. 934 |
| August |  | 38.3 | 1. 538 | 50. 23 | 39.8 | 1. 262 | 47.48 | 39.5 | 1.202 | 75.13 | 41.9 | 1. 793 | 54.89 | 44.7 | 1. 228 | 80.53 | 41.9 | 1. 922 |
| September. | 58.91 63.78 | 40.7 | 1. 567 | 52.17 | 41.5 | 1. 252 | 49.16 | 41.1 | 1. 196 | 75.11 | 41. 8 | 1. 797 | 53.79 | 43.7 | 1. 231 | 81.00 | 42.1 | 1. 924 |
| October. | 54.9068.12 | 38.1 | 1. 441 | 50.96 | 40.7 | 1. 252 | 48. 44 | 40.6 | 1. 193 | 72. 54 | 40.8 | 1. 778 | 52.68 | 43.0 | 1. 225 | 77. 29 | 40.4 | 1. 913 |
| November-.- |  | 47.7 | 1. 428 | 51.74 | 41.1 | 1. 259 | 49. 68 | 41.3 | 1. 203 | 74. 54 | 40.6 | 1. 836 | 54. 59 | 43.5 | 1. 255 | 80.11 | 40.5 | 1. 978 |
| December--- | 66.60 | 43.9 | 1.517 | 52.33 | 41.6 | 1. 258 | 50.61 | 42.0 | 1.205 | 73.48 | 40.8 | 1. 801 | 52.58 | 43.1 | 1. 220 | 79.34 | 41.0 | 1.935 |
| 1952: January $\qquad$ February March $\qquad$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 62. } 70 \\ & 66.94 \\ & 64.82 \end{aligned}$ | 38.8 | 1. 616 | 51.82 | 39.8 | 1. 302 | 49.30 | 39.6 | 1. 245 | 72.94 | 40.5 | 1. 801 | 51.31 | 42.3 | 1. 213 | 77.89 | 40.4 | 1.928 |
|  |  | 41.4 | 1. 617 | 51.43 | 39.5 | 1. 302 | 48.52 | 39.1 | 1. 241 | 73.75 | 40.7 | 1. 812 | 51.86 | 42.4 | 1. 223 | 78.64 | 40.6 | 1.937 |
|  |  | 39.0 | 1. 662 | 50.93 | 39.0 | 1.306 | 47.98 | 38.6 | 1. 243 | 73.47 | 40.3 | 1.823 | 52.69 | 42.8 | 1. 231 | 78.23 | 40.2 | 1.946 |
|  | Manufacturing-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Food and kindred products-Oontinued |  |  |  |  |  | Tobacco manufactures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Distilled, rectified, and blended liquors |  |  | Miscellaneous food products |  |  | Total: Tobacco manufactures |  |  | Oigarettes |  |  | Oigars |  |  | Tobacco and snuff |  |  |
| 1950: A verage....... | $\$ 61.94$68.86 | 40.3 | \$1. 537 | \$54.99 | 42.2 | \$1.303 |  | 37.9 | \$1.084 | \$50. 19 | 39.0 | \$1. 287 | \$35. 76 | 36.9 | \$0.969 | \$42.79 | 37.7 | \$1. 135 |
| 1951: Average.- |  | 40.2 | 1.713 | 59.22 | 42.0 | 1.410 | 44.20 | 38.3 | 1.154 | 54.21 | 39.4 | 1.376 | 38.92 | 37.6 | 1.035 | 46.07 | 37.7 | 1. 222 |
| 1951: March | 67.23 | 39.9 | 1.685 | 58.14 | 42.1 | 1.381 | 42.03 | 36.8 | 1.142 | 48. 57 |  | 1.338 | 37.91 | 37.2 | 1.019 | 44. 62 | 37.0 | 1. 206 |
| April. | 68.10 | 39.5 | 1. 724 | 57.78 | 41.3 | 1. 399 | 42. 58 | 36.8 | 1.157 | 50.59 | 37.2 | 1.360 | 37. 72 | 36.8 | 1.025 | 44. 27 | 36.5 | 1.213 |
| May | 67. 78 | 39.5 | 1.716 | 57.20 | 41.3 | 1.385 | 42. 49 | 36.6 | 1.161 | 51.41 | 37.8 40.3 | 1.360 1.374 | 36.70 37.50 | 35.8 36.3 | 1.025 | 43.56 46.85 | 36.0 38.4 | 1.210 1.220 |
| June.. |  | 40.6 | 1.719 | ${ }_{59}^{58.22}$ | 41.5 | 1. 403 | 44. 49 | 37.9 37.6 | 1.174 1.171 | 55.37 53.70 | 40.3 39.2 | 1.374 1.370 | 37.50 37.83 | 36.3 <br> 36.8 | 1.033 1.028 | 46.85 44.99 | 38.4 37.0 | 1.220 1.216 |
| July Augist |  | 39.8 39.8 | 1.721 | 59.21 58.66 | 41.7 41.4 | 1.420 1.417 | 44.03 | 37.6 38.5 | 1.171 1.145 | 53.70 55.79 | 39.2 40.4 | 1.370 1.381 | 37.83 38.94 | 36.8 37.7 | 1.028 1.033 | 44. 99 46.76 | 37.0 38.3 | 1.2216 1.221 |
| September-- | $\begin{aligned} & 68.18 \\ & 67.70 \end{aligned}$ | 39.5 | 1.714 | 59.74 | 41.6 | 1. 436 | 44.75 | 39.5 | 1. 133 | 55. 82 | 40.1 | 1.392 | 40.18 | 38.3 | 1. 049 | 48. 20 | 38.9 | 1. 239 |
| Octoter-.--- | $70.20$ | 40.6 | 1.729 | 59.05 | 41.7 | 1. 416 | 45. 30 | 39.7 | 1.141 | 55. 40 | 39.8 | 1. 392 | 40.88 | 38.9 | 1.051 | 46. 90 | 37.7 | 1.244 |
| November. |  | 38.7 | 1.747 | 60.06 | 42.0 | 1. 430 | 46. 26 | 39.3 | 1.177 | 58.02 | 41.0 | 1. 415 | 41. 03 | 38.6 | 1. 063 | 48. 63 | 38.5 | 1. 263 |
| December----- | $67.61$ $66.30$ | 38.5 | 1.722 | 60.77 | 42.2 | 1. 440 | 46.53 | 39.5 | 1.178 | 57.53 | 40.6 | 1. 417 | 41.66 | 39.3 | 1.060 | 47.67 | 38.2 | 1. 248 |
| 1952: JanuarFebruaMarch | $\begin{aligned} & 68.43 \\ & 69.23 \end{aligned}$$69.13$ | 39.1 | 1. 750 | 61. 36 | 41.8 | 1. 468 | 45.27 | 38.4 | 1.179 | 55.24 | 39.4 | 1. 402 | 40. 14 | 37.9 | 1. 059 | 47.82 | 38.1 | 1. 255 |
|  |  | 39.4 | 1. 757 | 62.50 | 42.4 | 1. 474 | 43. 64 | 36.8 | 1. 186 | 51.84 | 36.9 | 1. 405 | 38. 72 | 36. 6 | 1. 058 | 46. 38 | 37.1 | 1. 250 |
|  |  | 39.1 | 1.768 | 61.89 | 41.9 | 1. 477 | 43.88 | 36.6 | 1.199 | 52.59 | 37.3 | 1.410 | 39.12 | 36.7 | 1. 066 | 44.07 | 34.7 | 1. 270 |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table C-1: Hours and Gross Earnings of Production Workers or Nonsupervisory Employees ${ }^{1}$-Con.

| Year and month | Manufacturing-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tobacco manufac-tures-Con. |  |  | Textile-mill products |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Tobacco stemming and redrying |  |  | Total: Textile-mill products |  |  | Yarn and thread mills |  |  | Yarn mills |  |  | Broad-woven fabric mills |  |  | $\begin{gathered}\text { Cotton, silk, syn- } \\ \text { thetic fiber }\end{gathered}$United States |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Aㄱ. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. <br> hrly. <br> earn- <br> ings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earn- |
| 1950: A verage <br> 1951: Average | \$37. 37 37.91 | 39.4 39.2 | \$0. 954 .967 | \$48. 95 51.33 | 39.6 38.8 | \$1.236 1.323 | \$45. 01 47.86 | 38.9 38.6 | \$1. 157 1.240 | $\$ 45.09$ 48.02 | 38.8 38.6 | \$1. 162 1.244 | \$49. 28 <br> 51.63 | 40.1 39.2 | $\$ 1.229$ 1.317 | $\$ 48.00$ 50.38 | 40.1 39.3 | \$1. 197 1.282 |
| 1951: March | 37.81 | 35.3 | 1. 071 | 53.34 | 40.5 | 1.317 | 49.94 | 40.5 | 1. 233 | 50.02 | 40.5 | 1. 235 | 53.72 | 41.2 | 1. 304 | 53.29 | 41.5 | 1. 284 |
| April. | 38.84 | 35.8 | 1. 085 | 52.87 | 39.9 | 1.325 | 49. 64 | 40.1 | 1.238 | 49.93 | 40.2 | 1. 242 | 53. 95 | 40.9 | 1. 319 | 52. 64 | 41.0 | 1. 284 |
| May. | 41.72 | 38.0 | 1. 098 | 51.37 | 38.8 | 1. 324 | 48. 05 | 39.0 | 1. 232 | 48.39 | 38.9 | 1. 244 | 52. 67 | 39.9 | 1.320 | 51. 57 | 40.1 | 1. 286 |
| June | 43.07 | 38.8 | 1. 110 | 51.07 | 38.6 | 1.323 | 47.78 | 38.5 | 1. 241 | 47.81 | 38.4 | 1. 245 | 52. 10 | 39.5 | 1. 319 | 50.63 | 39.4 | 1. 285 |
| July | 41.00 | 36.8 | 1. 114 | 49.58 | 37.7 | 1. 315 | 46.70 | 37.6 | 1. 242 | 46.92 | 37.6 | 1. 248 | 50. 25 | 38.3 | 1. 312 | 48.74 | 38.2 | 1. 276 |
| August | 34. 99 | 37.5 | . .933 | 48.08 | 36.7 | 1. 310 | 44.89 | 36.2 | 1. 240 | 44.94 | 36.1 | 1. 245 | 48. 30 | 37.1 | 1. 302 | 46. 59 | 36.8 | 1. 266 |
| September | 37. 30 | 42.0 | . 888 | 48. 74 | 36.9 | 1. 321 | 45.14 | 36. 2 | 1. 247 | 45.16 | 36.1 | 1. 251 | 48. 75 | 37.1 | 1. 314 | 47.20 | 36. 9 | 1. 279 |
| October-- | 39.25 | 42.8 | . 917 | 49. 29 | 37.2 | 1. 325 | 46. 01 | 36.9 | 1. 247 | 46.38 | 37.1 | 1. 250 | 48. 77 | 37.0 | 1. 318 | 47. 36 | 37.0 | 1.280 |
| November December | 36.89 37.67 | 39.0 38.6 | . 9746 | 50.46 52.70 | 37.8 39.3 | 1.335 | 46.57 49.02 | 37.2 39.0 | 1. 2.252 | 46.97 48.94 | 37.4 38.9 | 1.256 | 50.01 52.62 | 37.6 39.3 | 1.330 1.339 | 48.35 50.48 | 37.6 39.1 | 1,286 |
| 1952: January | 38. 04 | 38.5 | . 988 | 52.40 | 38.9 | 1. 347 | 48.88 | 38.7 | 1.263 | 48.71 | 38.6 | 1. 262 | 52.10 | 39.0 | 1.336 | 50.30 | 38.9 | 1. 293 |
| February | 37.79 | 36.8 | 1.027 | 52.30 | 38.8 | 1. 348 | 48. 59 | 38.5 | 1. 262 | 48.35 | 38.4 | 1. 259 | 51.34 | 38.4 | 1.337 | 49.48 | 38.3 | 1. 292 |
| March. | 39.16 | 36.5 | 1.073 | 51.32 | 38.1 | 1.347 | 48.18 | 38.0 | 1. 268 | 47.98 | 37.9 | 1. 266 | 49.34 | 37.1 | 1. 330 | 47.32 | 36.8 | 1. 286 |
|  | Manufacturing-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Textile-mill products-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Cotton, silk, synthetic fiber-Continued |  |  |  |  |  | Woolen and worsted |  |  | Knitting mills |  |  | Full-fashioned hosiery |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | North |  |  | South |  |  |  |  |  | United States | North |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1950: A verage | \$51. 23 | 40.5 | \$1.265 | \$47. 08 | 40.0 | \$1.177 | \$54. 01 | 39.8 | \$1.357 |  |  |  | \$44.13 | 37.4 | \$1. 180 | \$53. 63 | 37.9 | \$1. 415 | \$54. 25 | 37.7 | \$1.439 |
| 1951: A verage | 53.66 | 38.8 | 1.383 | 49.41 | 39.4 | 1. 254 | 57.71 | 39.1 | 1. 476 | 46.57 | 36.7 | 1. 269 | 56. 69 | 36.6 | 1. 549 | 58.16 | 35.9 | 1.620 |
| 1951: March. | 56. 02 | 40.8 | 1. 373 | 52.33 | 41.6 | 1. 258 | 57.28 | 40.0 | 1.432 | 48.54 | 38.1 | 1. 274 | 60.45 | 38.6 | 1. 566 | 63.17 | 38.1 | 1. 658 |
| April. | 54. 96 | 40.0 | 1. 374 | 52.04 | 41.4 | 1. 257 | 58. 69 | 40.2 | 1. 460 | 46.76 | 36.7 | 1. 274 | 57.16 | 36.5 | 1. 566 | 59.19 | 35.7 | 1. 658 |
| May.. | 54. 13 | 39.6 | 1.367 | 50.90 | 40.3 | 1. 263 | 57.35 | 39.2 | 1. 463 | 45.04 | 35.3 | 1. 276 | 55.14 | 35.1 | 1. 571 | 56.70 | 34.2 | 1. 658 |
| June | 54.25 | 39.6 | 1. 370 | 49.72 | 39.4 | 1. 262 | 58.16 | 39.7 | 1. 465 | 45. 18 | 35.6 | 1. 269 | 54.01 | 34.8 | 1. 552 | 55. 18 | 34.0 | 1.623 |
| July. | 51. 60 | 38.0 | 1. 358 | 47.86 | 38.2 | 1. 253 | 57. 47 | 39.2 | 1. 466 | 44. 57 | 35.4 | 1. 259 | 54. 01 | 35.3 | 1. 530 | 54.48 | 34.2 | 1. 593 |
| August | 48. 82 | 35.9 | 1. 360 | 45.99 | 37.0 | 1. 243 | 55. 84 | 38.3 | 1. 458 | 44. 44 | 35.3 | 1. 259 | 53. 75 | 35.2 | 1. 527 | 54.32 | 34.4 | 1. 579 |
| September | 51.17 | 36. 6 | 1. 398 | 46. 18 | 37.0 | 1. 248 | 56. 20 | 38.1 | 1. 475 | 44.84 | 35.5 | 1. 263 | 54.07 | 35.2 | 1. 536 | 55. 12 | 34.6 | 1. 593 |
| October- | 51.41 | 36.1 | 1. 424 | 46. 40 | 37.3 | 1. 244 | 55.38 | 36.8 | 1. 505 | 46.06 | 36.3 | 1. 269 | 55. 18 | 35.9 | 1. 537 | 57.47 | 36.1 | 1. 592 |
| November | 51. 27 | 35.8 | 1. 432 | 47. 58 | 38.0 | 1. 252 | 57.68 | 37.6 | 1. 534 | 47.56 | 37.3 | 1. 275 | 57.75 | 37.5 | 1. 540 | 57.80 | 36. 4 | 1. 588 |
| December | 54.46 | 37.9 | 1. 437 | 49.49 | 39.4 | 1. 256 | 62.15 | 40.2 | 1. 546 | 48.08 | 37.8 | 1. 272 | 58.09 | 37.6 | 1. 545 | 56.57 | 35.6 | 1. 589 |
| 1952: Jan | 54.89 | 37.7 | 1. 456 | 49.12 | 39.2 | 1. 253 | 61.42 | 39.6 | 1. 551 | 47.66 | 37.0 | 1. 288 | 58.18 | 37.2 | 1. 564 | 58.76 | 36.7 | 1.601 |
|  | 54.56 | 37.5 | 1. 455 | 48.16 | 38.5 | 1. 251 | 60.18 | 39.0 | 1.543 | 48. 51 | 37.9 | 1. 280 | 58. 98 | 38.4 | 1. 536 | 57.64 | 37.7 | 1. 529 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 58.98 | 38.5 | 1. 532 | 48.12 | 37.8 | 1. 273 | 58.83 | 38.6 | 1. 524 |  |  |  |
|  | Manufacturing-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Textile-mill products-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Full-fashioned ho-siery-Continued |  |  | Seamless hosiery |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Knit outerwear |  |  | Knit underwear |  |  |
|  | South |  |  | United States |  |  | North |  |  | South |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1950: A verage | \$53. 33 | 38.2 | \$1. 396 | \$34.94 | 35.8 | \$0. 976 | \$38.12 | 38.2 | \$0. 998 | \$34.37 | 35.4 | \$0. 971 | \$43. 73 | 38.6 | \$1.133 | \$39.60 | 37.5 | \$1.056 |
| 1951: Average......-- | 55. 76 | 37.2 | 1. 499 | 36.85 | 35.2 | 1.047 | 41.24 | 37.8 | 1.091 | 36. 02 | 34.7 | 1.038 | 47.23 | 38.4 | 1.230 | 42. 71 | 37.3 | 1.145 |
| 1951: March | 58.12 | 38.9 | 1. 494 | 38.17 | 36.6 | 1.043 | 41. 70 | 38.5 | 1. 083 | 37.47 | 36.2 | 1. 035 | 47. 93 | 39.0 | 1. 229 | 44.12 | 38.8 | 1.137 |
| April.- | 55.65 | 37.2 | 1. 496 | 35. 46 | 34.1 | 1. 040 | 41.37 | 38.2 | 1. 083 | 34. 30 | 33.3 | 1. 030 | 48. 03 | 38.8 | 1. 238 | 43.55 | 38.3 | 1.137 |
| May.- | 53.84 | 35.7 | 1. 508 | 34. 31 | 32.8 | 1. 046 | 40. 51 | 37.3 | 1. 086 | 32. 94 | 31.8 | 1. 036 | 46. 37 | 38.2 | 1. 214 | 41. 27 | 36. 3 | 1.137 |
|  | 53.39 | 35. 5 | 1. 504 | 35. 80 | 34.0 | 1.053 | 40. 26 | 36.8 | 1. 094 | 34.87 | 33.4 | 1. 044 | 46. 41 | 38.2 | 1. 215 | 41. 99 | 36.8 | 1. 141 |
| July. | 53.83 | 36.1 | 1. 491 | 35. 39 | 34.0 | 1. 041 | 38. 20 | 35.5 | 1.076 | 34.85 | 33.7 | 1. 034 | 45. 26 | 37.5 | 1.207 | 40. 55 | 35.6 | 1.139 |
| August | 53. 41 | 35.7 | 1. 496 | 35. 32 | 33.7 | 1. 048 | 39. 71 | 36.6 | 1. 085 | 34. 42 | 33.1 | 1. 040 | 46. 27 | 37.8 | 1. 224 | 40. 91 | 35. 7 | 1.146 |
| September-.-- | ${ }_{53}^{53.32}$ | 35.5 | 1. 502 | 35. 25 | 33.8 | 1. 043 | 40. 74 | 37.1 | 1. 098 | 34. 23 | 33.2 | 1. 031 | 46. 56 | 37.7 | 1. 235 | 41. 62 | 36. 0 | 1.156 |
| October-..-.-- | 53.81 | 35.8 | 1. 503 | 37.45 | 35. 5 | 1. 055 | 42. 21 | 38.1 | 1. 108 | 36. 54 | 35.0 | 1. 044 | 47. 36 | 37.8 | 1. 253 | 42. 33 | 36.3 | 1.166 |
| November-..-- | 57. 68 | 38.2 | 1. 510 | 38.66 | 36.4 | 1. 062 | 42. 48 | 38.0 | 1.118 | 37. 94 | 36.1 | 1. 051 | 48. 33 | 38.6 | 1. 252 | 43. 14 | 36. 9 | 1. 169 |
| December-..-. | 58.70 | 38.8 | 1. 513 | 39.41 | 37.0 | 1. 065 | 44.31 | 39.6 | 1.119 | 38.43 | 36.5 | 1. 053 | 48.21 | 38.6 | 1. 249 | 44.50 | 38.0 | 1. 171 |
| 1952: January | 57.49 | 37.5 | 1. 533 | 38.48 | 36.1 | 1. 066 | 42.85 | 38.4 | 1.116 | 37.66 | 35.7 | 1. 055 | 46.79 | 36.9 | 1. 268 | 44. 16 | 37.3 | 1.184 |
| February | 59.60 | 38.8 | 1. 536 | 39. 49 | 36.8 | 1. 073 | 42.71 | 38.0 | 1.124 | 38.87 | 36.6 | 1.062 | 47.31 | 37.7 | 1. 255 | 43. 78 | 37.1 | 1.180 |
| March_-.-.-.- |  |  |  | 38.98 | 36.4 | 1.071 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 47. 79 | 37.9 | 1. 261 | 43.57 | 37.3 | 1.168 |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table C-1: Hours and Gross Earnings of Production Workers or Nonsupervisory Employees ${ }^{1}$-Con.

| Year and month | Manufacturing-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Textile-mill products-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Apparel and ocher finished textile products <br> Total: Apparel and other finished textile products |  |  |
|  | Dyeing and finshing textiles |  |  | Carpets, rugs, other floor coverings |  |  | Wool carpets, rugs, and carpet yarn |  |  | Other textile-mill products |  |  | Fur-felt hats and hat bodies |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Avg. wkly earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earn- | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earn- | Avg. wkly. earn- | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. ings |
| 1950: A verage | \$53.87 | 40.9 | \$1. 317 | \$62. 33 | 41.5 | \$1. 502 | \$62. 72 | 41.1 | \$1. 526 | \$52.37 | 40.6 | \$1.290 | \$51.05 | 35.9 | \$1. 422 | \$43. 68 | 36.4 | \$1.200 |
| 1951: A verage.-.---- | 56.49 | 39.7 | 1.423 | 62.53 | 39.4 | 1. 587 | 60.37 | 37.9 | 1. 593 | 54.88 | 39.8 | 1.379 | 52.67 | 35.3 | 1. 492 | 45.65 | 36.0 | 1. 268 |
| 1951: March | 58.19 | 41.3 | 1. 409 | 66.49 | 41.4 | 1. 606 | 65.08 | 40.3 | 1. 615 | 56. 62 | 41.3 | 1. 371 | 55. 43 | 37.1 | 1.494 | 47.27 | 37.4 | 1.264 |
| April | 56.18 | 39.7 | 1. 415 | 64.76 | 40.4 | 1. 603 | 62.83 | 39.0 | 1. 611 | 55. 70 | 40.6 | 1. 372 | 50.69 | 33.5 | 1.513 | 44. 97 | 36. 5 | 1. 232 |
| May | 54.40 | 38.5 | 1.413 | 61.38 | 38.7 | 1. 586 | 58.51 | 36.8 | 1.590 | 54. 51 | 39.7 | 1. 373 | 49.42 | 33.8 | 1.462 | 43. 56 | 35.3 | 1.234 |
|  | 55. 97 | 39.5 | 1.417 | 59.48 | 37.6 | 1. 582 | 56. 43 | 35.6 | 1. 585 | 54.55 | 39.7 | 1. 374 | 51.73 | 35.0 | 1. 478 | 44. 05 | 35.3 | 1. 248 |
| July. | 52.56 | 37.3 | 1. 409 | 58.43 | 37.1 | 1. 575 | 54. 92 | 35.0 | 1. 569 | 53.70 | 39.2 | 1. 370 | 50.38 | 34.2 | 1. 473 | 45. 10 | 35.4 | 1. 274 |
| August | 51.01 | 36. 0 | 1. 417 | 58.59 | 37.2 | 1. 575 | 54. 46 | 34.8 | 1. 565 | 52.32 | 38.3 | 1. 366 | 47.18 | 33.2 | 1. 421 | 46. 11 | 35.8 | 1. 288 |
| September | 53.18 | 37.4 | 1. 422 | 59. 69 | 37.8 | 1. 579 | 55. 96 | 35.6 | 1. 572 | 53.89 | 38.8 | 1. 389 | 49.66 | 32.0 | 1. 552 | 45. 89 | 35.6 | 1. 289 |
| October- | 55.19 | 38.7 | 1. 426 | 60.99 | 38.8 | 1. 572 | 59.05 | 37.3 | 1. 583 | 54.03 | 38.7 | 1. 396 | 49.90 | 33.4 | 1. 494 | 43. 70 | 34.6 | 1. 263 |
| November | 58.70 | 40.4 | 1. 453 | 60.80 | 38.7 | 1. 571 | 59.18 | 37.6 | 1. 574 | 54.09 | 38.5 | 1. 405 | 49.93 | 33.4 | 1. 495 | 45. 12 | 35.5 | 1. 271 |
| December | 61.76 | 42.3 | 1.460 | 63.12 | 39.9 | 1. 582 | 61.15 | 38.8 | 1.576 | 56.30 | 40.1 | 1.404 | 57.23 | 37.8 | 1. 514 | 46. 26 | 36.2 | 1. 278 |
| 1952: January | 60.69 | 41.4 | 1. 466 | 64.80 | 40.5 | 1. 600 | 63.68 | 39.9 | 1. 596 | 56.41 | 39.7 | 1.421 | 55.12 | 36.6 | 1. 506 | 46. 40 | 36.0 | 1. 289 |
| February | 62.08 | 42.0 | 1. 478 | 65. 24 | 40.6 | 1. 607 | 64.00 | 39.9 | 1. 604 | 57.08 | 40.0 | 1. 427 | 56.73 | 37.2 | 1. 525 | 47. 32 | 36.6 | 1. 293 |
| March | 60.76 | 41.0 | 1. 482 | 66.63 | 41.0 | 1. 625 | 64.96 | 40.1 | 1.620 | 56.93 | 39.7 | 1. 434 | 56.17 | 37.4 | 1. 502 | 47. 09 | 36.7 | 1. 283 |
|  | Manufacturing-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Apparel and other finished textile products-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Men's and boys' suits and coats |  |  | Men's and boys' furmishings and work clothing |  |  | Shirts, collars, and nightwear |  |  | Separate trousers |  |  | W ork shirts |  |  | Women's outerwear |  |  |
| 1950: Average | \$50. 22 | 36.9 | \$1.361 | \$36.43 | 36.8 | \$0. 990 | \$36. 26 |  | \$0. 988 | \$39.43 | 37.8 | \$1. 043 | \$31. 34 | 35.9 | \$0.873 | \$49.41 |  | \$1.424 |
| 1951: A verage.-.-.--- | 52.73 | 35.8 | 1.473 | 38.05 | 36.0 | 1.057 | 37.95 | 35.6 | 1. 066 | 40.14 | 36.0 | 1.115 | 33.02 | 35.7 | . 925 | 51.31 | 35.0 | 1. 466 |
| 1951: March | 57.13 | 38.6 | 1. 480 | 40. 17 | 37.9 | 1.060 | 40.05 | 37.5 | 1. 068 | 43. 69 | 38.8 | 1. 126 | 34. 91 | 37.7 | . 926 | 52. 49 | 35.9 | 1. 462 |
| April. | 54.90 | 37.5 | 1. 464 | 38. 96 | 37.0 | 1. 053 | 39. 15 | 37.0 | 1. 058 | 42.37 | 37.9 | 1. 118 | 33. 51 | 36.5 | . 918 | 48. 37 | 35. 1 | 1. 378 |
| May | 53.29 52.85 | 36.3 36.0 | 1. 1.468 | 37.28 36.82 | 35.5 35.0 | 1.050 1.052 | 36.96 35.97 | 34.9 34.0 | 1. 1.059 | 38.86 39.28 | 35.1 35.1 | 1.107 | 33.56 32.88 | 36.4 35.9 | . 922 | 47.30 47.52 | 34.3 33.8 | 1. 379 |
| July. | 52.82 | 36.2 | 1.459 | 36.15 | 34. 4 | 1. 051 | 35.30 | 34.4 33.4 | 1.057 | 38. 61 | 35.1 | 1. 100 | 32.62 | 35.3 35.3 | . 924 | 52.35 | 34.8 34.9 | 1. 500 |
| August | 51.56 | 35.0 | 1. 473 | 36. 99 | 35.3 | 1.048 | 36. 47 | 34.5 | 1. 057 | 39.13 | 35.0 | 1. 118 | 32. 42 | 35.2 | . 921 | 53.45 | 35. 4 | 1.510 |
| September | 51.98 | 35.1 | 1. 481 | 37. 67 | 35.5 | 1. 061 | 37.70 | 35.1 | 1. 074 | 39.94 | 35.6 | 1. 122 | 31.83 | 34.3 | . 928 | 51.50 | 34.4 | 1.497 |
| October.-- | 47. 81 | 32.5 | 1. 471 | 37.14 | 35.0 | 1.061 | 37.52 | 35.0 | 1. 072 | 36.83 | 33.3 | 1. 106 | 32. 53 | 34.5 | . 943 | 47. 33 | 32.8 | 1. 443 |
| November | 47.59 | 32.2 | 1. 478 | 38. 13 | 35.6 | 1. 071 | 38.84 | 36.0 | 1. 079 | 37.56 | 33.6 | 1.118 | 32.85 | 35.1 | . 936 | 50.41 | 34.6 | 1. 457 |
| December. | 49.98 | 33.7 | 1. 483 | 38.09 | 35.8 | 1.064 | 38.41 | 35.7 | 1. 076 | 39.32 | 35.2 | 1.117 | 32.86 | 35.3 | . 931 | 52.30 | 35.8 | 1. 461 |
| 1952: January | 50.00 | 33.4 | 1. 497 | 38.06 | 35.7 | 1. 066 | 38.23 | 35.3 | 1. 083 | 40.52 | 35.7 | 1. 135 | 33.46 | 36.1 | . 927 | 53.38 | 35.9 | 1. 487 |
| February | 51.55 | 34.6 | 1. 490 | 38. 84 | 36.3 | 1. 070 | 38.30 | 35.2 | 1. 088 | 42.10 | 36. 9 | 1. 141 | 33. 21 | 35.9 | . 925 | 54.45 | 36.4 | 1. 496 |
| March | 52.38 | 35.2 | 1. 488 | 39.34 | 36.7 | 1.072 | 38.38 | 35.5 | 1. 081 | 44.04 | 38.2 | 1.153 | 33.50 | 36.3 | . 923 | 52.78 | 36.2 | 1. 458 |
|  | Manufacturing-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Apparel and other finished textile products-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Women's dresses |  |  | Household appare] |  |  | Women's suits, coats, and skirts |  |  | Women's and children's undergarments |  |  | Underwear and nightwear, except corsets |  |  | Millinery |  |  |
| 1950: A verage | \$48. 09 | 34.8 | \$1. 382 | \$34. 66 | 36.1 | \$0.960 | \$63.77 | 33.6 | \$1.898 | \$38.38 | 36.9 | \$1.040 | \$36. 55 | 36.4 | \$1. 004 | \$54. 21 | 35.2 | \$1. 540 |
| 1951: Average | 50.65 | 35.1 | 1.443 | 37.86 | 36.9 | 1.026 | 63.89 | 32.9 | 1. 942 | 40.92 | 36.6 | 1.118 | 39.67 | 36.8 | 1.078 | 57.46 | 36.0 | 1. 596 |
| 1951: March. | 52. 20 | 36.3 | 1. 438 | 39.89 | 38.8 | 1.028 | 62.86 | 32.4 | 1. 940 | 42. 21 | 38.2 | 1. 105 | 40.25 | 37.9 | 1. 062 | 62.07 | 38.6 | 1.608 |
| April. | 50.65 | 35.1 | 1. 443 | 39. 13 | 38.1 | 1. 027 | 53. 79 | 30.6 | 1. 758 | 40.88 | 36.8 | 1. 111 | 39.77 | 37.1 | 1. 072 | 52.94 | 34.2 | 1. 548 |
| May. | 49. 46 | 34.3 | 1. 442 | 38. 00 | 37.0 | 1.027 | 55. 15 | 32.1 | 1. 718 | 38. 27 | 34.6 | 1. 106 | 37.38 | 35.0 | 1. 068 | 45.91 | 31.0 | 1. 481 |
| June | 48. 92 | 34.5 | 1. 418 | 37. 22 | 36.1 | 1. 031 | 55.71 | 31.0 | 1. 797 | 38. 99 | 35.0 | 1. 114 | 38. 52 | 35.8 | 1. 076 | 49. 42 | 32.9 | 1. 502 |
| July. | 48. 96 | 35. 4 | 1. 383 | 34. 48 | 34.0 | 1.014 | 68.43 | 34.2 | 2. 001 | 38.41 | 34.6 | 1.110 | 38.56 | 35.7 | 1. 080 | 57. 66 | 35.9 | 1. 606 |
| August....-.-- | 52.16 | 35.8 | 1. 457 | 37.19 | 36. 5 | 1. 019 | 66. 97 | 33.5 | 1. 999 | 39. 55 | 35.5 | 1.114 | 38.66 | 35.9 | 1. 077 | 59. 35 | 36.5 | 1. 626 |
| September.-.- | 51.05 | 34.4 | 1. 484 | 37.69 | 36.7 | 1.027 | 63. 33 | 32.1 | 1. 973 | 41.06 | 36.5 | 1.125 | 40.00 | 36.9 | 1. 084 | 62. 10 | 37.3 | 1. 665 |
| October-..--- | 47.33 | 32.8 | 1. 443 | 36.81 | 35.7 | 1.031 | 56. 29 | 29.3 | 1. 921 | 41. 66 | 36.8 | 1.132 | 40.51 | 37.2 | 1. 089 | 52.50 | 33.4 | 1. 572 |
| November... | 49.60 | 34.3 | 1.446 | 38. 35 | 36.8 | 1. 042 | 60.83 | 31.5 | 1. 931 | 42. 79 | 37.5 | 1.141 | 41.13 | 37.6 | 1. 094 | 50.90 | 32.9 | 1.547 |
| December--.-- | 52.60 | 36.1 | 1.457 | 39.07 | 37.9 | 1. 031 | 63.21 | 33.2 | 1. 904 | 42.90 | 37.5 | 1.144 | 41.21 | 37.4 | 1.102 | 55.91 | 35.5 | 1. 575 |
| 1952: January | 51.77 | 35.9 | 1. 442 | 39.34 | 37.5 | 1.049 | 67.01 | 34.0 | 1.971 | 41.95 | 36.7 | 1.143 | 40.00 | 36.6 | 1. 093 | 61.82 | 38.4 | 1. 610 |
| February-...-- | 52.89 | 36. 4 | 1. 453 | 40. 34 | 38. 2 | 1. 056 | 68.06 | 34.1 | 1. 996 | 42. 41 | 37.3 | 1.137 | 40.44 | 37.1 | 1. 090 | 68. 46 | 40.7 | 1. 682 |
| March. | 52.85 | 36.6 | 1. 444 | 41. 14 | 38.7 | 1.063 | 62.31 | 32.2 | 1.935 | 43.47 | 37.8 | 1.150 | 40.77 | 37.0 | 1.102 | 68.59 | 40.9 | 1.677 |

See footnotes at end of table.
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Table C-1: Hours and Gross Earnings of Production Workers or Nonsupervisory Employees ${ }^{1}$-Con.
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Table C-1: Hours and Gross Earnings of Production Workers or Nonsupervisory Employees ${ }^{1}$-Con.

| Year and month | Manufacturing-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Chemical and allied products-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Plastics, except synthetic rubber |  |  | Synthetic rubber |  |  | Synthetic fibers |  |  | Drugs and medicines |  |  | Paints, pigments, and fillers |  |  | Fertilizers |  |  |
|  | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings |
| 1950: A verage <br> 1951: Average | \$65. 54 | 41.8 | \$1.568 | \$71. 93 | 40.8 | \$1. 763 | \$58. 40 | 39.3 | \$1.486 | \$59.59 | 40.9 | \$1. 457 | \$64.80 | 42.3 | \$1.532 | \$47.00 | 41.3 | $\$ 1.138$ |
|  | 72.66 | 42.0 | 1.730 | 78.31 | 41.0 | 1.910 | 62.76 | 39.4 | 1. 593 | 62.51 | 41.1 | 1.521 | 68.84 | 41.9 | 1.643 | 52.16 | 42.2 | 1. 236 |
| 1951: March | 71.61 | 42.0 | 1. 705 | 77.12 | 41.0 | 1.881 | 62. 29 | 39.5 | 1. 577 | 62.28 | 41.6 | 1.497 1.509 | 69.07 68.79 | 42.4 | 1. 629 | 50.56 50.98 | 42.7 42.2 | 1.184 1.208 |
|  | 72. 21 | 42.3 | 1. 707 | 78.00 | 41.4 | 1.884 | 62.81 | 39.7 39.8 | 1. 582 | 63.08 62.17 | 41.8 | 1. 1.509 | 68.79 68.83 | 42.1 | 1. 634 | 50. 98 53. 29 | 42.2 42.8 | 1.208 |
|  | 72. 20 72.15 | 42.1 41.9 | 1.715 | 78.87 78.40 | 41.6 | 1. 1.896 | 63.08 62.69 | 39.8 39.6 | 1. 588 | 62.17 62.36 | 41.2 41.3 | 1. 509 | 68.83 68.54 | 42.1 | 1. 1.635 | 53. 29 | 42.8 42.0 | 1.245 |
|  | 73.91 | 42.6 | 1. 735 | 79.32 | 41.1 | 1. 930 | 63.32 | 39.5 | 1. 603 | 61.63 | 40.2 | 1. 533 | 68. 84 | 41.8 | 1. 647 | 54.36 | 42.6 | 1. 276 |
|  | 72. 36 | 41.9 | 1.727 | 79.12 | 41.1 | 1. 925 | 62. 53 | 39.4 | 1. 587 | 62.00 | 40.6 | 1. 527 | 68.35 | 41.7 | 1. 639 | 52. 67 | 41.6 | 1. 266 |
|  | 74.55 | 42.5 | 1. 754 | 78.44 | 40.6 | 1. 932 | 63.54 | 39.1 | 1. 625 | 61.90 | 40.3 | 1. 536 | 67.86 | 41.0 | 1. 655 | 54.02 | 42.4 | 1.274 |
|  | 72. 36 | 41.3 | 1.752 | 76.86 | 40.2 | 1. 912 | 62.86 | 38.9 | 1. 616 | 63.51 | 41.0 | 1. 549 | 68.56 | 41.2 | 1. 664 | 52.92 | 41.9 | 1. 263 |
|  | 73.49 | 41.4 | 1.775 | 80.42 | 41.2 | 1.952 | 63.10 | 38.9 | 1. 622 | 63.59 | 41.0 | 1. 551 | 69.85 | 41.6 | 1. 679 | 53.09 | 41.9 | 1. 267 |
|  | 73.61 | 41.4 | 1.778 | 81.20 | 41.6 | 1.952 | 63.91 | 39.4 | 1. 622 | 63.67 | 41.0 | 1. 553 | 70.27 | 41.9 | 1. 677 | 54.95 | 42.6 | 1. 290 |
| 1952: January February March | 73. 86 72.53 | 41.4 40.7 | 1.784 1.782 | 78.86 | 40.4 40.0 | 1. 952 | 63. 38 63.90 | 39.0 39.3 | 1.625 | 64.25 64.10 | 40.9 40.8 | 1. 571 | 69.63 69.29 | 41.3 41.1 | 1. 6886 | 54.23 53.59 | 42.2 42.1 | 1. 285 1. 273 |
|  | 72.89 | 40.7 | 1.791 | 76.94 | 39.7 | 1. 938 | 65.14 | 39.6 | 1. 645 | 64.46 | 40.8 | 1.580 | 70.25 | 41.3 | 1.701 | 54.35 | 42.9 | 1. 267 |
|  | Manufacturing-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Chemicals and allied products-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Products of petroleum and coal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Vegetable and animal oils and fats |  |  | Other chemicals and allied products |  |  | Soap and glycerin |  |  | Total: Products of petroleum and coal |  |  | Petroleum refining |  |  | Coke and byproducts |  |  |
| 1950: A verage | \$53.46 | 45.5 | \$1.175 | \$64. 41 | 41.5 | \$1. 552 | \$71.81 | 41.7 | \$1.722 | \$75. 01 | 40.9 | \$1.834 | \$77. 93 | 40.4 | \$1. 929 | \$62.85 | 39.7 | \$1. 583 |
| 1951: A verage | 58.60 | 46.0 | 1.274 | 69.31 | 41.7 | 1. 662 | 77.11 | 41.5 | 1.858 | 81.30 | 41.0 | 1.983 | 84.70 | 40.7 | 2. 081 | 69.47 | 39.9 | 1. 741 |
| 1951: March | 56.28 | 43.9 | 1.282 | 69. 96 | 42.3 | 1. 654 | 79.64 | 43.0 | 1.852 | 78.93 | 40.6 | 1. 944 | 81.89 | 40.2 | 2. 037 | 68.08 | 39.4 | 1. 728 |
| April. | 58.39 | 44.4 | 1. 315 | 68.68 | 41.8 | 1. 643 | 75.87 | 41.3 | 1.837 | 81.33 | 41.2 | 1. 974 | 84.87 | 40.9 | 2. 075 | 68.96 | 40.0 | 1.724 |
| May | 59. 22 60.43 | 43.9 44.3 | 1. 349 | 68.02 68.14 | 41.5 | 1. 1.639 | 74.05 75.48 | 40.6 40.8 | 1.824 | 81.31 81.20 | 40.9 40.7 | 1. 1.988 | 84.77 | 40.5 40.4 | 2.093 | 69.12 70.42 | 40.0 40.1 | 1.728 1.756 |
| July. | 61.59 | 44.5 | 1.384 | 68.68 | 41.4 | 1. 659 | 76.40 | 40.9 | 1.868 | 84.06 | 41.8 | 2. 011 | 87. 94 | 41.6 | 2.114 | 70.88 | 40.5 | 1.750 |
| August | 59.81 | 44.4 | 1.347 | 68.19 | 41.3 | 1. 651 | 75.91 | 40.9 | 1.856 | 80.55 | 40.6 | 1. 984 | 83.70 | 40.2 | 2. 082 | 68.77 | 39.5 | 1.741 |
| September | 58.43 | 47.7 | 1. 225 | 69. 22 | 41.4 | 1. 672 | 76.86 | 41.1 | 1.870 | 83.21 | 41.4 | 2. 010 | 86.60 | 41.1 | 2. 107 | 70. 62 | 39.9 | 1. 770 |
| October. | 58.82 | 49.1 | 1.198 | 69.55 | 41.4 | 1. 680 | 77.39 | 41.1 | 1. 883 | 81.72 | 40.9 | 1. 998 | 84.68 | 40.4 | 2. 096 | 69.20 | 39.7 | 1.743 |
| November | 58.95 | 48.6 | 1. 213 | 70.47 | 41.6 | 1. 694 | 79.25 | 41.6 | 1.905 | 81.28 | 40.7 | 1.997 | 84.89 | 40.6 | 2. 091 | 69.32 | 39.5 | 1.755 |
| December | 59.65 | 48.3 | 1. 235 | 70.72 | 41.5 | 1.704 | 79.06 | 41.2 | 1. 919 | 82.94 | 41.2 | 2. 013 | 87.14 | 41.3 | 2. 110 | 70.35 | 40.2 | 1.750 |
| 1952: January $\begin{array}{r}\text { February } \\ \text { March } \\ \\ \end{array}$ | 59.53 | 47.4 | 1.256 | 70.38 | 41.4 | 1.700 | 77.79 | 40.9 | 1. 902 | 82.66 | 40.9 | 2. 021 | 86. 67 | 41.0 | 2. 114 | 70.05 | 39.6 | 1. 769 |
|  | 59. 22 | 46.7 | 1. 268 | 70. 42 | 41.3 | 1.705 | 77.76 | 40.8 | 1. 906 | 81.69 | 40.6 | 2. 012 | 85. 00 | 40.4 | 2. 104 | 70.74 | 40.1 | 1.764 |
|  | 59.47 | 45.5 | 1. 307 | 70.75 | 41.3 | 1.713 | 78. 53 | 40.9 | 1. 920 | 81.81 | 40.6 | 2.015 | 85.16 | 40.4 | 2. 108 | 69.40 | 39.5 | 1.757 |
|  | Manufacturing-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Products of petroleum and coal-Con. |  |  | Rubber products |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Leather and leather products |  |  |
|  | Other petroleum and coal products |  |  | Total: Rubber products |  |  | Tires and inner tubes |  |  | Rubber footwear |  |  | Other rubber products |  |  | Total: Leather and leather products |  |  |
| 1950: A verage | \$66. 78 | 44.7 | \$1. 494 | \$64. 42 | 40.9 | \$1.575 | \$72. 48 | 39.8 | \$1. 821 | \$52. 21 | 40.1 | $\$ 1.302$ | $\$ 59.76$ | $42.2$ | $\$ 1.416$ | \$44. 56 | 37.6 | \$1.185 |
| 1951: A verage | 69.09 | 43.7 | 1. 581 | 68.70 | 40.6 | 1.692 | 77.93 | 39.6 | 1.968 | 57.81 | 41.0 | $1.410$ | $63.26$ | $41.4$ | $1.528$ | $47.10$ | 37.0 | 1.273 |
| 1951: March | 68.97 | 43.9 | 1. 571 | 65.88 | 40.0 | 1. 647 | 71.40 | 37.6 | 1. 899 | 58.17 | 41.4 | 1. 405 | 63.13 | 41.7 | 1. 514 | 48.73 | 38.4 | 1. 269 |
| April. | 69.10 | 43.9 | 1. 574 | 65.96 | 40.0 | 1. 649 | 70.15 | 37.0 | 1. 896 | 59.82 | 42.1 | 1.421 | 63.81 | 41.9 | 1. 523 | 46. 65 | 36.5 | 1.278 |
| May. | 69.73 | 44.3 | 1. 574 | 68.56 | 41.3 | 1. 660 | 75. 92 | 39.4 | 1. 927 | 61.48 | 42.9 | 1.433 | 64.09 | 42.5 | 1.508 | 45. 38 | 35.4 | 1.282 |
| June. | 67.69 | 43.2 | 1.567 | 71.27 | 41.9 | 1. 701 | 82.44 | 41.7 | 1.977 | 59. 98 | 42.3 | 1.418 | 64.47 63.29 | 42.0 | 1.535 | 46. 90 | 36.7 37.1 |  |
| July | 69.09 | 43.7 | 1.581 | 70.81 | 41.0 | 1.727 | 83.67 | 41.4 | 2. 021 | 54.68 | 39.0 | 1. 402 | 63.29 61.42 | 41.1 | 1.540 | 47.12 46.19 | 37.1 36.4 | 1. 270 |
| August | 70.68 | 44.4 | 1.592 | 69.52 | 40.7 | 1.708 | 82.07 | 41.2 | 1. 992 | 57.04 | 40.8 | 1.398 | ${ }_{63} 61.42$ | 40.3 | 1. 1.538 | 46. 19 | 36.4 35.9 | 1.268 |
| September | 72. 44 | 44.8 | 1. 617 | 70. 18 | 40.9 | 1. 716 | 81. 64 | 40.9 | 1. 996 | 55. 94 56.16 | 40.1 40.0 | 1.395 1.404 | 63.06 62.68 | 41.0 | 1. 538 | 45. 92 45.31 | 35.9 35.4 | 1.279 1.280 |
| October-.- | 72.74 | 44.9 | 1. 620 | 68. 67 | 40.3 | 1.704 | 78.76 80.27 | 39.9 40.5 | 1. 1.974 | 56.16 56.64 | 40.0 40.2 | 1. 1.409 | 62.68 62.36 | 40.6 | 1. 1.536 | 45.85 | 35.4 35.6 | 1.288 |
| November | 67.37 64.75 | 42.4 41.4 | 1.589 1.564 | 69.46 73.91 | 40.5 | 1.715 1.794 | 80.27 86.26 | 40.5 41.0 | 1. 2.104 | 56.64 59.95 | 40.2 40.7 | 1.473 | 62.36 65.45 | 41.5 | 1.577 | 45.85 48.61 | 37.8 37 | 1.286 |
| 1952: January |  |  |  | 74.19 | 40.9 | 1.814 | 86.99 | 40.9 | 2. 127 | 60.27 | 40.1 | 1. 503 | 65.63 | 41.2 | 1. 593 | 49. 54 | 38.4 | 1. 290 |
| February | 67.76 | 42.3 | 1. 602 | 73.71 | 40.7 | 1. 811 | 86.12 | 40.7 | 2. 116 | 60.46 | 39.8 | 1. 519 | 64.91 | 40.9 | 1. 587 | 50.31 | 38.7 | 1. 300 |
| March. | 69.66 | 43.0 | 1. 620 | 73.81 | 40.8 | 1. 809 | 86.09 | 40.9 | 2. 105 | 61.51 | 40.2 | 1.530 | 64.95 | 40.8 | 1. 592 | 50.50 | 38.7 | 1. 305 |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table C-1: Hours and Gross Earnings of Production Workers or Nonsupervisory Employees ${ }^{1}$-Con.
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Table C-1: Hours and Gross Earnings of Production Workers or Nonsupervisory Employees ${ }^{1}$-Con.

| Year and month | Manufacturing-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Primary metal industries-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Gray-iron foundries |  |  | Malleable-iron foundries |  |  | Steel foundries |  |  | Primary smelting and refining of nonferrous metals |  |  | Primary smelting and refining of copper, lead, and zinc |  |  | Primary refining of aluminum |  |  |
|  | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. <br> hrly. <br> earn- <br> ings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. <br> hrly. <br> earn- <br> ings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings |
| 1950: A verage <br> 1951: A verage | \$65. 706 70.01 | 42.3 42.2 | $\begin{array}{r}\text { \$1. } \\ 1.638 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\$ 65.46$ 71.98 | 41.3 41.9 | $\$ 1.585$ 1.718 | $\$ 65.43$ 75.68 | 41.1 | $\begin{array}{r}\text { \$1. } \\ 1.752 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | \$63.71 70.13 | 41.0 41.4 | $\$ 1.554$ 1.694 | $\$ 62.37$ 69.34 | 40.9 41.3 | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1.525 \\ 1.679 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 63.97 \\ 70.92 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 40.9 \\ 41.5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1.564 \\ 1.709 \end{array}$ |
| 1951: March | 72.17 | 43.4 | 1. 663 | 73.40 | 43.1 | 1.703 | 74.61 | 43.1 | 1. 731 | 69.14 | 41.3 | 1.674 | 68.72 | 41.5 | 1.656 | 69.66 |  |  |
| April. | 70. 88 | 42.8 | 1. 656 | 74.73 | 43.4 | 1.722 | 75.65 | 43.4 | 1.743 | 70.18 | 41.9 | 1.675 | 70. 01 | 41.5 42.2 | 1.659 1.659 | 69. 68 71.19 | 41.18 | 1.695 1.703 |
| May | 70.75 | 42.7 | 1. 657 | 73. 23 | 42.5 | 1. 723 | 74.90 | 42.8 | 1. 750 | 70. 18 | 41.8 | 1.679 | 69.35 | 41.8 | 1.659 | 7106 | 41.7 | 1704 |
|  | 70.47 | 42.5 | 1. 658 | 71. 20 | 41.3 | 1. 724 | 76. 29 | 43.3 | 1. 762 | 70.73 | 41.9 | 1.688 | 69.72 | 41.7 | 1. 672 | 72. 63 | 42.4 | 1. 713 |
| July. | 68.15 | 41.3 | 1. 650 | 69.37 | 40.9 | 1696 | 74. 45 | 42.3 | 1. 760 | 69.90 | 40.9 | 1.709 | 68. 26 | 40.2 | 1. 1.698 | 72. 93 | 42.4 | 1. 720 |
| August | 68.81 | 41.5 | 1. 658 | 71.39 | 41.6 | 1. 716 | 74. 99 | 42.9 | 1. 748 | 70.46 | 41.4 | 1. 702 | 69.84 | 41.4 | 1.687 | 71. 39 | 41.6 | 1. 716 |
| September | 68.93 69.47 | 41.4 | 1.665 1.678 | 71.84 71.69 | 41.5 41.2 | 1.731 | 76. 33 | 43.2 | 1. 767 | 68. 64 | 40.4 | 1.699 | 67.31 | 39.9 | 1. 687 | 71.05 | 41.5 | 1. 712 |
| November | 68.47 68.96 | 41.0 | 1.678 1.682 | 70.79 | 41.2 40.5 | 1.740 1.748 | 76. 64 76.37 | 43.2 43.0 | 1.774 1.776 | 70.47 69.95 | 41.6 | 1.694 | 70.01 69.17 | 41.6 41.1 | 1.683 | 72. 24 | 42.1 41.3 | 1.716 |
| December. | 70. 43 | 41.6 | 1. 693 | 72. 99 | 41.4 | 1.763 | 79.56 | 44.1 | 1. 804 | 71.58 | 41.4 | 1.729 | 69.17 72.44 | 41.1 41.8 | 1.683 1.733 | 71.70 69.12 | 41.3 40.4 | 1.736 1.711 |
| 1952: January | 70.59 | 41.4 | 1. 705 | 70.79 | 40.2 | 1. 761 | 77.01 | 42.9 | 1.795 | 73.54 | 41.5 | 1.772 | 74.82 | 41.8 | 1.790 | 71. 60 | 41.8 |  |
| February | 68.62 | 40.2 | 1. 707 | 70.15 | 39.7 | 1.767 | 76. 58 | 42.9 | 1. 785 | 72.83 | 41.5 | 1.755 | 73.63 | 41.6 | 1. 770 | 71.64 | 41.6 | 1.722 |
| March | 69.75 | 40.6 | 1. 718 | 68.87 | 38.8 | 1.775 | 75.73 | 42.0 | 1.803 | 73.63 | 41.6 | 1. 770 | 74.35 | 41.7 | 1.783 | 72.32 | 41.9 | 1.726 |
|  | Manufacturing-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Primary metal industries-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Rolling, drawing, and alloying of nonferrous metals |  |  | Rolling, drawing, and alloying of copper |  |  | Rolling, drawing, and alloying of aluminum |  |  | Nonferrous foundries |  |  | Other primary metal industries |  |  | Iron and steel forgings |  |  |
| 1950: A verage | \$66. 75 | 41.9 | \$1. 593 | \$70. 24 | 42.7 | \$1.645 | \$59. 99 | 40.1 | \$1. 496 | \$67. 65 | 41.5 | \$1.630 | \$71.27 | 41.9 | \$1. 701 | \$74. 09 | 41.6 | \$1.781 |
| 1951: Average | 68. 70 | 40.7 | 1.688 | 70.47 | 40.9 | 1.723 | 64.14 | 39.4 | 1.628 | 73.83 | 41.8 | 1.762 | 79.45 | 42.6 | 1. 865 | 84.87 | 43.3 | 1.960 |
| 1951: March. | 68.21 | 40.7 | 1. 676 | 70.05 | 40.8 | 1. 717 | 64.08 | 39.7 | 1.614 | 73.12 | 42.0 | 1.741 | 78.17 | 42.3 | 1.848 | 83.87 | 43.5 |  |
| April | 68.09 | 40.6 | 1. 677 | 70. 14 | 40.9 | 1. 715 | 62.83 | 39.0 | 1.611 | 73. 52 | 42.3 | 1.738 | 79.22 | 42.8 | 1.851 | 85. 78 | 43.9 | 1.954 |
| May. | 67.91 | 40.4 | 1. 681 | 69. 15 | 40.3 | 1. 716 | 63.99 | 39.4 | 1. 624 | 73.85 | 42.2 | 1.750 | 78.90 | 42.6 | 1. 852 | 84.41 | 43.4 | 1. 1.945 |
|  | 69.37 | 40.9 | 1. 696 | 72.22 | 41.6 | 1. 736 | 63.29 | 38.9 | 1. 627 | 73.57 | 41.8 | 1.760 | 80.31 | 42.9 | 1.872 | 85. 91 | 43.7 | 1.966 |
| July August | 68.76 67.15 | 40.4 39.9 | 1. 1.702 | 71.92 69.53 | 41.5 40.4 | 1. 733 | 62.33 | 37.8 38 | 1.649 | 71. 43 | 40.7 | 1.755 | 78.32 | 422 | 1.856 | 82. 15 | 42.3 | 1.960 1.942 |
| August | 67.64 | 39.9 40.0 | 1. 1.683 | 69.53 69.41 | 40.4 40.4 | 1.721 | 62.17 | 38.4 38.4 | 1. 619 | 72.73 74.76 | 41.3 | 1.761 1.780 | 78.51 | 42.3 | 1. 856 | 83. 22 | 42.7 | 1.949 |
| October. | 68.61 | 40.6 | 1. 690 | 70.54 | 40.8 | 1. 729 | 64.39 | 38.4 39.6 | 1. 626 | 74. 76 | 42.0 41.9 | 1.780 1.792 | 79.21 80 | 42.0 42 | 1.886 1.885 | 84.14 87.21 | 42.6 43.8 | 1.975 1.991 |
| November | 68.94 | 40.6 | 1. 698 | 69. 04 | 40.0 | 1. 726 | 66.50 | 40.4 | 1.646 | 74.48 | 41.4 | 1. 799 | 80.39 | 42.4 | 1.896 | 85. 46 | 42.9 | 1. 1.992 |
| December---.- | 73.00 | 42.1 | 1. 734 | 75. 35 | 42.5 | 1. 773 | 67.07 | 40.6 | 1.652 | 77.97 | 42.7 | 1. 826 | 83.69 | 43.5 | 1.924 | 91.10 | 44.7 | 2. 038 |
| 1952: January | 71.54 | 41.4 | 1. 728 | 73.37 | 41.5 | 1.768 | 67.15 | 40.6 |  | 78.88 |  | 1.843 | 82.75 | 43.1 |  |  | 44. |  |
| February | 69. 82 | 40.5 | 1. 724 | 71.37 | 40.3 | 1. 771 | 64.80 | 40.0 | 1. 620 | 77. 83 | 42.3 | 1.840 | 82.80 | 43.1 | 1.921 | 89.42 | 44.8 43.9 | 2. 2.037 |
| March | 70.34 | 40.4 | 1. 741 | 72.19 | 40.4 | 1. 787 | 64.35 | 39.7 | 1. 621 | 77.96 | 42.3 | 1.843 | 81.47 | 42.3 | 1.926 | 86.63 | 42.8 | 2. 024 |
|  | Manufacturing-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Primary metal in-dustries-Con. |  |  | - Fabricated metal products (except ordnance, machinery, and transportation equipment) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Wire drawing |  |  | Total: Fabricated metal products (except ordnance, machinery, and transportation equipment) |  |  | Tin cans and other tinware |  |  | Cutlery, hand tools, and hardware |  |  | Cutlery and edge tools |  |  | Hand tools |  |  |
| 1950: A verage - | \$73. 79 | 42.9 | \$1. 720 | \$63. 42 | 41.4 | \$1. 532 | \$भ0. 90 | 41.6 | \$1.464 | \$61. 01 | 41.5 | \$1. 470 | \$55. 54 | 41.7 | \$1. 332 | \$61. 31 | 41.2 | \$1.489 |
| 1951: A verage.- | \$73.79 80.15 | 43.0 | 1.864 | 69.35 | 41.7 | 1.663 | 66.45 | 41.3 | 1. 609 | 66. 47 | 41.7 | 1. 594 | 60.53 | 41.6 | 1.455 | 69.49 | 42.5 | 1.635 |
| 1951: March | 79.1580.46 | 42.6 | 1. 858 | 69. 55 | 42.1 | 1.652 | 64.07 | 40.4 | 1. 586 | 66. 49 | 42.0 | 1. 583 | 60.40 | 42.0 | 1. 438 | 70. 58 | 43.3 | 1.630 |
|  |  | 43.4 | 1.854 | 69.51 | 42.0 | 1.655 | 63.95 | 40.4 | 1. 583 | 66. 40 | 42.0 | 1. 581 | 61.21 | 42.3 | 1. 447 | 70. 42 | 43.2 | 1.630 |
|  | 79.35 | 42.8 | 1. 854 | 69.18 | 41.8 | 1.655 | 64.83 | 40.8 | 1. 589 | 66.33 | 41.9 | 1. 583 | 60.11 | 41.8 | 1. 438 | 70.31 | 42.9 | 1. 639 |
|  | 80. 44 | 42. 9 | 1. 875 | 69.43 | 41.8 | 1. 661 | 64.95 | 40.8 | 1. 592 | 67. 13 | 41.8 | 1. 606 | 60.55 | 41.5 | 1.459 | 70.39 | 43.0 43.0 | 1. 637 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 81.00 \\ & 79.09 \end{aligned}$ | 43.5 | 1. 862 | 67. 98 | 41.0 | 1.658 | 66. 68 | 41.6 | 1.603 | 65. 47 | 41.1 | 1. 593 | 58.65 | 40.7 | 1.441 | 68. 50 | 42.1 | 1. 627 |
|  |  | 42.8 42.7 | 1.848 1.875 | 68.68 70.14 | 41.3 41.7 | 1.663 1.682 | 69. 69 | 42.7 | 1.632 | 65. 84 | 41.2 | 1. 598 | ${ }_{69}^{59.18}$ | 40.7 | 1. 454 | 69.32 | 42.5 | 1.631 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 80.06 \\ & 78.70 \\ & 80.33 \end{aligned}$ | 42.2 | 1.865 | 70. 39 | 41.7 | 1.688 1.688 | 68.52 | 43.1 41.3 | 1.673 1.659 | 66. 41 | 41.2 41.3 | 1. 1.612 | 60.55 60.31 | 41.3 | 1.466 1.471 | 69.09 69.30 | 42.0 | 1. 645 |
|  |  | 42.5 | 1. 890 | 69.92 | 41.4 | 1.689 | 66. 50 | 40.7 | 1.634 | 66. 74 | 41.3 | 1.616 | 60.87 | 41.1 | 1. 481 | 68.30 88.06 | 41.9 41.1 | 1. 1.654 |
|  | 80.33 81.00 | 42.9 | 1. 888 | 71.78 | 42.3 | 1. 697 | 68.51 | 41.9 | 1. 635 | 68.21 | 42.0 | 1. 624 | 6236 | 41.6 | 1. 499 | 69.68 | 42.1 | 1. 655 |
| 1952: January....--February....-.March | $\begin{aligned} & 78.58 \\ & 79.55 \\ & 79.00 \end{aligned}$ | 41.6 | 1. 889 | 71.06 | 41.8 | 1. 700 | 66.22 | 40.5 | 1.635 | 67.81 | 41.6 | 1.630 | 61.49 | 40.8 | 1.507 | 69. 26 | 41.9 | 1.653 |
|  |  | 42.2 | 1. 885 | 71.39 | 41.8 | 1.708 | 65.69 | 40.3 | 1. 630 | 67.77 | 41.3 | 1. 641 | 61.54 | 40.7 | 1.512 | 69.39 | 41.8 | 1.660 |
|  |  | 41.8 | 1.890 | 71.69 | 41.8 | 1.715 | 67.49 | 41.0 | 1.646 | 67.20 | 41.0 | 1. 639 | 61.51 | 40.6 | 1. 515 | 69.35 | 41.5 | 1.671 |

[^49]Table C-1: Hours and Gross Earnings of Production Workers or Nonsupervisory Employees ${ }^{1}$-Con.

| Year and month | Manufacturing-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fabricated metal products (except ordnance, machinery, and transportation equipment)-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Hardware |  |  | Heating apparatus (except electric) and plumbers' supplies |  |  | Sanitary ware and plumbers' supplies |  |  | Oil burners, nonelectric heating and cooking apparatus, not elsewhere classified |  |  | Fabricated structural metal products |  |  | Structural steel and ornamental metalwork |  |  |
|  | Avg. wkly. ings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earn- | Avg. wkly. hours | A vg. hrly. earnings | Aㅁ. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. <br> hrly. <br> earn- <br> ings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings |
| 1950: Average | $\$ 62.65$ 66.70 | 41.6 41.3 | $\$ 1.506$ 1.615 | $\$ 63.91$ 69.58 | 41.1 | \$1. 555 1.697 | \$67.64 75.03 | 41.6 41.8 | $\$ 1.626$ 1.795 | $\$ 61.20$ 65.93 | 40.8 40.6 | $\$ 1.500$ 1.624 | $\$ 63.29$ 71.74 | 41.1 42.6 | \$1. 546 1.684 | $\$ 63.23$ <br> 71.61 | 41.3 42.3 | $\$ 1.531$ 1.693 |
| 1951: March | 66.41 | 41.4 | 1.604 | 70.89 | 41.9 | 1.692 | 76.75 | 42.9 | 1.789 | 67.52 | 41.5 | 1.627 | 70.51 | 42.4 | 1.663 | 69.47 | 41.7 | 1.666 |
| April. | 66.41 | 41.4 | 1.604 | 70.22 | 41.5 | 1. 692 | 76.35 | 42.7 | 1.788 | 66.67 | 41.0 | 1.626 | 71.86 | 42.7 | 1. 683 | 71.02 | 42.0 | 1.691 |
| May | 66. 24 | 41.4 | 1.600 | 69.67 | 41.2 | 1.691 | 75. 45 | 42.2 | 1.788 | 65.73 | 40.6 | 1. 619 | 71. 57 | 42.7 | 1.676 | 71.53 | 42.5 | 1.683 |
| June | 67.56 | 41.4 | 1.632 | 69.50 | 41.2 | 1.687 | 76.01 | 42.8 | 1.776 | 64.80 | 40.1 | 1.616 | 71.44 | 42.6 | 1.677 | 72. 20 | 42.8 | 1.687 |
| July | 66.14 | 40.8 | 1.621 | 67.40 | 39.6 | 1. 702 | 74.13 | 41.0 | 1. 808 | 62.34 | 38.6 | 1. 615 | 69.93 | 41.7 | 1.677 | 70.17 | 41.4 | 1. 695 |
| August | 66.30 | 40.9 | 1.621 | 67.23 | 39.9 | 1.685 | 70.92 | 39.8 | 1.782 | 64. 24 | 39.9 | 1.610 | 71. 95 | 42.7 | 1. 685 | 72.89 | 42.8 | 1.703 |
| Sentember---- | 66. 67 67.32 | 40.8 | 1. 634 | 69.89 70 | 40.8 41.1 | 1.713 1.719 | 75.84 | 41.4 41.3 | 1.832 | 65. 61 | 40.4 40.9 | 1.624 1.636 | 73.44 | 43.1 42.6 | 1. 704 | 73.66 72.12 | 43.1 42.2 | 1.709 1.709 |
| October-....-- | 67.32 | 41.2 41.4 | 1. 1.634 | 70.65 69.53 | 40.4 | 1. 721 | 72. 96 | 40.0 | 1. 824 | 66. 91 | 40.7 | 1.644 | 72.93 | 42.6 | 1. 712 | 73. 19 | 42.5 | 1. 722 |
| December. | 69.09 | 42.0 | 1.645 | 71. 49 | 41.3 | 1. 731 | 75.84 | 41.4 | 1.832 | 68.27 | 41.2 | 1. 657 | 74.87 | 43.4 | 1. 725 | 74.78 | 43.0 | 1. 739 |
| 1952: January | 69. 26 | 41.8 41.3 | 1.657 1.666 | 70.07 70.11 | 40.5 40.5 | 1. 733 | 73.61 <br> 74.10 <br> 74. | 40.4 40.6 | 1. 822 | 67.40 67.02 | 40.6 40.4 | 1. 660 | 73.36 74.00 | 42.7 42.8 | 1.718 1.729 | 73. 74 | 42.7 42.4 | 1.727 1.746 |
| March | 68.22 | 41.0 | 1.664 | 70.47 | 40.5 | 1.740 | 74.13 | 40.4 | 1.835 | 67.59 | 40.5 | 1. 669 | 74.34 | 42.8 | 1.737 | 74.77 | 42.7 | 1. 751 |
|  | Manufacturing-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Fabricated metal products (except ordnance machinery and transportation equipment)-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Machinery (except electrical) |  |  |
|  | Boiler-shop products |  |  | Sheet-metal work |  |  | Metal stamping, coating, and engraving |  |  | Stamped and pressed metal products |  |  | Other fabricated metal products |  |  | Total: Machinery (except electrical) |  |  |
| 1950: A verage | $\$ 62.16$71.57 | 40.6 | \$1. 531 | $\$ 62.14$70.31 | 41.1 | \$1. 512 | \$64.6868.54 | 41.340.7 |  | \$66. 15 | 41.5 | \$1. 594 | \$64. 76 | 41.7 | \$1. 553 | \$67. 21 | 41.8 |  |
| 1951: Average |  | 42.7 | 1.676 |  | 41.9 | 1.678 |  |  | +1.684 | 70.50 | 40.8 | 1.728 | 70.43 | 42.3 | 1.665 | 76.73 | 43.5 | 1. 764 |
| 1951: March_ | 70.18 | 42.3 | 1. 659 | 69. 01 | 41.9 | 1. 647 | 69. 56 | 416 | 1. 672 | 71.47 | 41.6 | 1. 718 | 71.05 | 42.8 | 1. 660 | 76. 43 | 43.8 | 1.745 |
|  | 7148 <br> 70.89 | 42.7 42.5 | 1. 674 1. 668 1. | 71.30 7052 | 42.8 42.2 | 1.666 1.671 | 68. 14 | 408 40.4 | 1. 670 | 70.23 68.92 | 41.0 40.4 | 1.713 | 71.47 70.76 | 43.0 42.5 | 1662 | 76.78 76.30 | 43.9 43.6 | 1.749 1.750 |
|  |  | 42.5 42.4 | 1. 6668 | 70 <br> 69 <br> 69 | 42.2 41.7 | 1. 1.671 | 68.43 68.67 | 40.4 40.8 | 1.683 | 68.92 71.07 | 41.2 | 1. 725 | 70.89 | 42.6 | 1.664 | 76.65 | 43.5 | 1. 762 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 70.89 \\ & 70.72 \\ & 70.09 \end{aligned}$ | 42.3 | 1. 657 | 68. 69 | 41.0 | 1.673 | 66. 74 | 39.4 | 1. 694 | 6869 | 39.5 | 1. 739 | 69.47 | 41.6 | 1. 670 | 75. 42 | 430 | 1. 754 |
|  | 70.09 71.56 | 42.8 | 1. 672 | 70. 05 | 41. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1. 684 | 67. 06 | 39.8 | 1. 685 | 68.76 | 39.7 | 1.732 | 69. 22 | 41.6 | 1. 664 | 75. 94 | 43. 0 | 1.766 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 74.38 \\ & 73.73 \\ & 73.53 \end{aligned}$ | 43.7 | 1. 702 | 70. 68 | 41.6 | 1. 699 | 68. 67 | 40.3 | 1. 704 | 70. 73 | 40.3 | 1. 755 | 70. 27 | 42.0 | 1. 673 | 77. 24 | 43.2 | 1. 788 |
|  |  | 43.5 | 1.695 | 72. 54 | 42.3 | 1. 715 | 69. 49 | 40.4 | 1.720 | 71. 52 | 40.5 | 1. 766 | 71. 32 | 42.4 | 1. 682 | 77. 86 | 43. 4 | 1. 794 |
|  |  | 43.2 | 1. 702 | 71.13 | 41.5 | 1. 714 | 69. 64 | 40.3 | 1.728 | 71.85 | 40.5 | 1. 774 | 70. 22 | 41.9 | 1. 676 | 77.63 | 43. 2 | 1.797 |
|  | 75.11 | 43.9 | 1.711 | 74. 69 | 43.0 | 1. 737 | 71.15 | 41.2 | 1.727 | 73.40 | 41.4 | 1.773 | 72.71 | 43.1 | 1. 687 | 79.95 | 44.1 | 1.813 |
| 1952: January $\qquad$ February March $\qquad$ | $\begin{aligned} & 73.70 \\ & 74.65 \\ & 74.91 \end{aligned}$ | 43.1 | 1.710 | 72. 01 | 41.6 | 1. 731 | 73. 06 | 41.7 | 1. 752 | 75.77 | 42.0 | 1. 804 | 71.19 | 42.3 | 1. 683 | 79.81 | 43.9 | 1.818 |
|  |  | 43.4 | 1.720 | 72. 39 | 41.7 | 1. 736 | 73. 57 | 41.8 | 1.760 | 75. 96 | 41.9 | 1.813 | 71. 53 | 42.2 | 1. 695 | 79. 52 | 43.5 | 1.828 |
|  |  | 43.2 | 1.734 | 71.78 | 41.3 | 1.738 | 73.67 | 41.6 | 1.771 | 75.86 | 41.5 | 1.828 | 71.91 | 42.3 | 1.700 | 80.08 | 43.5 | 1.841 |
|  | Manufacturing-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Machinery (except electrical)-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Engines and turbines |  |  | Agricultural machinery and tractors |  |  | Tractors |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Agricultural } \\ \text { machinery } \\ \text { (except tractors) } \end{gathered}$ |  |  | Construction and mining machinery |  |  | Metalworking machinery |  |  |
| 1950: A verage | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 69.43 \\ 79.79 \end{array}$ | 40.7 | \$1.706 | \$64.60 | 40.1 | \$1. 611 | \$66. 09 | 40.3 | \$1. 640 | \$62. 57 | 39.8 | \$1. 572 | \$65. 97 | 42.4 | \$1. 556 | \$71. 54 | 43.2 | \$1. 656 |
|  |  | 42.9 | 1. 860 | 73.46 | 40.7 | 1.805 | 75. 75 | 40.9 | 1.852 | 70.92 | 40.5 | 1.751 | 75. 38 | 44.5 | 1. 694 | 85. 55 | 46.8 | 1.828 |
| 1951: March | 80.56 | 43.5 | 1.852 | 73.06 | 41.0 | 1. 782 | 74. 52 | 40.9 | 1. 822 | 71. 23 | 41.1 | 1. 733 | 74. 13 | 44.1 | 1. 681 | 83. 69 | 46.7 | 1. 792 |
| April. | 80.4479.3878 | 43.6 | 1.845 | 73.69 | 41.1 | 1. 793 | 75. 74 | 41.3 | 1. 834 | 71.25 | 40. 9 | 1. 742 | 75. 62 | 44.8 | 1.688 | 84.87 | 47.1 | 1.802 |
| May |  | 43.0 | 1. 846 | 73. 29 | 40.9 | 1. 792 | 75. 73 | 41.2 | 1. 838 | 70. 39 | 40.5 | 1. 738 | 75. 63 | 44.7 | 1. 692 | 85. 07 | 47.0 | 1.810 |
| June. | 79.91 | 43.1 | 1. 854 | 74. 21 | 41.0 | 1. 810 | 75.73 | 41.0 | 1. 847 | 72.54 | 41.1 | 1. 765 | 74. 61 | 44.2 | 1. 688 | 85. 08 | 46.8 | 1.818 |
| July. | 77.05 | 41.9 | 1. 839 | 73.36 | 40.8 | 1. 798 | 75. 13 | 40.9 | 1. 837 | 71. 66 | 40.9. | 1. 752 | 73. 63 | 43.7 | 1.685 | 83. 57 | 46. 3 | 1.805 |
| August | $\begin{aligned} & 78.91 \\ & 78.79 \end{aligned}$ | 42.4 | 1.861 | 72.41 | 39.7 | 1. 824 | 74.85 | 38.6 | 1. 939 | 70. 64 | 40.6 | 1.740 | 74.94 | 44.5 | 1.684 | 85. 23 | 46.5 46.5 | 1.833 |
| Sentember |  | 42.0 43.1 | 1. 8789 | 74.52 | 40.0 40.6 | 1.863 | 77.73 76.24 | 39.6 40.9 | 1. 1.864 | 72.18 71.65 | 40.3 40.3 | 1.791 1.778 | 75. 57 | 44.6 44 | 1. 1.702 | 86. 44 | 47.4 | 1.887 |
| November | $\begin{array}{r} 81.76 \\ 79.97 \end{array}$ | 42.4 | 1.886 | 73.42 | 40.1 | 1. 831 | 76. 58 | 40.8 | 1.877 | 69.97 | 39.4 | 1. 776 | 7696 | 44.9 | 1. 714 | 87.33 | 46.5 | 1. 878 |
| December.-.- | 83.55 | 43.7 | 1.912 | 76. 55 | 41.2 | 1.858 | 79. 23 | 41.7 | 1. 900 | 73.40 | 40.6 | 1.808 | 80.47 | 46.3 | 1.738 | 90.20 | 47.6 | 1. 895 |
| 1952: January | $\begin{aligned} & 84.42 \\ & 85.08 \\ & 83.42 \end{aligned}$ | 43.9 | 1. 923 | 75. 85 | 40.8 | 1. 859 | 78. 06 | 41.0 | 1. 904 | 73. 63 | 40.7 | 1. 809 | 79. 24 | 45.7 | 1.734 | 90.30 | 47.5 | 1. 901 |
| February |  | 43.9 | 1. 938 | 75. 68 | 40.0 | 1. 892 | 78.54 | 40.3 | 1. 949 | 73. 08 | 40.0 | 1.827 | 79. 26 | 45. 5 | 1.742 | 89. 49 | 46.9 | 1. 908 |
| March |  | 43.0 | 1.940 | 77.94 | 41.0 | 1.901 | 79.05 | 40.6 | 1.947 | 76.84 | 41.4 | 1.856 | 79.46 | 45.3 | 1.754 | 90.71 | 47.1 | 1. 926 |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table C-1: Hours and Gross Earnings of Production Workers or Nonsupervisory Employees ${ }^{1}$-Con.

| Year and month | Manufacturing-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Machinery (except electrical)-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Machine tools |  |  | Metalworking machinery (except machine tools) |  |  | Machine-tool accessories |  |  | Special-industry machinery (except metalworking machinery) |  |  | General industrial machinery |  |  | Office and store machines and devices |  |  |
|  | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earn- ings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. ings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earns | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings |
| 1950 A verage. 1951: A verage | $\$ 89.72$ <br> 84.75 | 43.2 47.4 | $\begin{array}{r}\text { \$1. } 614 \\ 1.788 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\$ 70.54$ 81.99 | 42.7 45.2 | \$1. 1.852 1 | $\$ 74.69$ 88.08 | 43.5 46.8 | \$1. 717 1.882 | \$65. 74 <br> 74.69 | 41.9 43.6 | $\$ 1.569$ 1.713 | \$66. 33 76.91 | 41.9 44.2 | $\$ 1.583$ 1.740 | $\$ 66.95$ 73.58 | 41.1 41.9 | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1.629 \\ 1.756 \end{array}$ |
| 1951: March_ | 82. 90 | 47.4 | 1. 749 | 80.28 | 44.7 | 1. 796 | 85. 69 | 46.8 | 1.831 | 75. 15 | 44.1 | 1. 704 | 75.71 | 44.2 | 1. 713 | 72.97 |  |  |
| April. | 84. 13 | 47.8 | 1. 760 | 82. 58 | 45.7 | 1. 807 | 86.76 | 47.1 | 1.842 | 76. 01 | 44.5 | 1.708 | 77. 15 | 44.7 | 1. 726 | 73.97 73.01 | 42.3 42.2 | 1.725 1.730 |
| Mane | 84. 38 | 47.7 | 1. 769 | 82.17 | 45.6 | 1. 802 | 87.05 | 46.8 | 1. 860 | 74.55 | 43.8 | 1. 702 | 77. 59 | 44.8 | 1.732 | 73. 08 | 42.0 | 1.740 |
| June. | 83.99 <br> 81.84 | 47.4 46.9 | 1. 772 | 82.08 80.95 | 45.4 44.8 | 1. 1.808 | 88. 27 | 47.0 | 1. 878 | 75.37 | 44.0 | 1. 713 | 78.00 | 44.8 | 1. 741 | 73. 46 | 42.0 | 1. 749 |
| August | 84.64 | 47.1 | 1. 797 | 80.95 81.00 | 44.8 44.9 | 1. 1.804 | 87. 25 | 46.0 46.4 | 1. 1.885 | 74.00 | 43.4 | 1. 705 | 75. 04 | 43.4 | 1. 729 | 72. 57 | 41.4 | 1. 753 |
| September | 84.91 | 46.5 | 1. 826 | 83.68 | 45.6 | 1.835 | 90.81 | 46.4 47.2 | 1.885 | 74. 56 | 43.3 | 1. 1.722 | 76. 78.15 | 44.0 | 1. 740 | 73. 67 | 41.6 | 1.771 |
| October | 89.42 | 48.0 | 1. 863 | 85. 28 | 46.4 | 1. 838 | 91.62 | 47.4 | 1. 933 | 74.43 | 43.0 | 1. 731 | 78.18 | 44.2 <br> 43 <br> 8 | 1.768 | 74.38 75 | 41.6 | 1. 788 |
| November | 86. 89 | 47.3 | 1. 837 | 82.89 | 45.0 | 1.842 | 90.64 | 46.6 | 1.945 | 74.65 | 42.9 | 1.740 | 78.14 | 43.8 44.0 | 1. 769 | 75.04 74.95 | 41.9 41.8 | 1.791 |
| December. | 89. 69 | 48.3 | 1. 857 | 85.75 | 46.1 | 1.860 | 93.68 | 47.7 | 1.964 | 76.47 | 43.8 | 1.746 | 79.97 | 44.8 | 1. 785 | 75.35 | 41.7 | 1.793 1.807 |
| 1952: January | 90.59 | 48.6 | 1. 864 | 84.64 | 45.7 |  |  | 47.5 | 1.979 |  | 43.5 | 1.756 | 78.90 | 44.2 | 1.785 |  |  |  |
| February | 88. 87 | 47.5 | 1. 871 | 86.26 | 46.2 | 1.867 | 92.86 | 46.9 | 1.980 | 76.38 | 43.3 | 1. 764 | 79.29 | 44.1 | 1. 798 | 75.27 | 41.5 41.4 | 1.813 |
| March. | 89.25 | 47.4 | 1.883 | 86.99 | 46.1 | 1. 887 | 93.88 | 46.8 | 2. 006 | 76.72 | 43.1 | 1.780 | 79.69 | 44.1 | 1.807 | 75.80 75.80 | 41.5 41.4 | 1.818 1.831 |
|  | Manufacturing-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Machinery (except electrical)-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Computing machines and cash registers |  |  | Typewriters |  |  | Service-industry and household machines |  |  | Refrigerators and airconditioning units |  |  | Miscollaneous machinery parts |  |  | Ball and roller bearings |  |  |
| 1950: Average | \$71.70 | 40.9 | \$1.753 | \$62.08 | 41.5 | \$1.496 | \$67. 26 | 41.7 | \$1.613 | \$66. 42 | 41.1 | \$1. 616 | \$66. 15 | 42.0 | \$1. 575 | \$68. 55 | 42.5 | \$1.613 |
| 1951: Average | 78.81 | 41.5 | 1. 899 | 68.00 | 42.5 | 1.600 | 71.06 | 40.7 | 1.746 | 69.41 | 39.8 | 1.744 | 74.26 | 43.2 | 1.719 | 76. 69 | 43.4 | 1.767 |
| 1951: March. | 77.75 | 41.8 | 1. 860 | 68.44 | 43.1 | 1.588 | 73.98 | 42.2 | 1.753 | 73.82 | 41.8 | 1.766 | 74.60 | 43.7 |  |  |  |  |
| April. | 77.48 | 41.7 | 1.858 | 68. 03 | 43.0 | 1.582 | 71.36 | 41.2 | 1. 732 | 68.87 | 39.9 | 1. 726 | 75.07 | 43.9 | 1.710 | 77.31 | 44.3 | 1. 1.753 |
| May | 77. 81 | 41.5 | 1.875 | 68. 54 | 43.0 | 1.594 | 69. 28 | 40.3 | 1.719 | 67. 23 | 39.2 | 1.715 | 74.64 | 43.7 | 1. 708 | 77.31 76.78 | 44.1 43.8 | 1.753 |
| June | 78. 19 | 41.5 | 1.884 | 68.35 | 42.8 | 1.597 | 69.67 | 39.9 | 1. 746 | 67.24 | 38.6 | 1. 742 | 74. 22 | 43.0 | 1. 726 | 78.17 | 43.6 | 1.793 |
| August | 77.87 79.22 | 40.9 41.5 | 1. 1.904 | 67. 67.49 | 42.0 | 1.600 | 70.04 | 40.0 | 1. 751 | 69. 24 | 39.5 | 1. 753 | 72.85 | 42.5 | 1. 714 | 75.97 | 42.8 | 1. 775 |
| Sentember | 79.22 80.48 | 41.5 | 1. 1.944 | 67.49 67.45 | 42.0 42.0 | 1.607 | 69.54 | 39.6 40.5 | 1. 1.756 | 68. 72 | 39.2 39.9 | 1.753 | 73.49 74.13 | 42.7 | 1. 721 | 77.39 | 43.6 | 1.775 |
| October | 81.17 | 41.5 | 1. 956 | 68. 42 | 42.6 | 1. 606 | 71. 73 | 40.5 | 1. 771 | 70. 25 | 39.8 39 | 1. 765 | 74. 13 | 42.8 | 1. 732 | 76.46 7720 75. | 43.1 | 1.774 |
| November | 81.62 | 41.6 | 1. 962 | 68. 51 | 42.5 | 1. 612 | 72. 41 | 40.7 | 1.779 | 71. 44 | 39.8 40.0 | 1. 786 | 74. 82 74.00 | 42.6 | 1. 1.737 | 77.20 | 43.3 42.2 | 1.783 |
| December. | 81.91 | 41.6 | 1. 969 | 68.51 | 41.9 | 1. 635 | 74. 04 | 41.2 | 1. 797 | 72. 80 | 40.4 | 1.802 | 75.86 | 43.4 | 1. 748 | 76.70 | 42.2 42.8 | 1.784 |
| 1952: January | 82.43 | 41.8 | 1. 972 | 67.81 | 41.4 | 1. 638 | 75. 59 | 41.9 | 1.804 | 75. 25 | 41.6 | 1.809 | 76. 39 | 43.5 |  |  |  |  |
| February | 80.96 | 41.2 | 1.965 | 68. 72 | 41.4 | 1. 660 | 74. 32 | 41.2 | 1.804 | 74. 43 | 41.1 | 1.811 | 75.81 | 43.0 | 1.763 | 76.86 | 43.4 42.7 | 1.806 |
| March | 82.02 | 41.3 | 1.986 | 68.81 | 41.5 | 1. 658 | 73.77 | 40.6 | 1. 817 | 73.99 | 40.5 | 1.827 | 75. 71 | 42.7 | 1.773 | 77.00 | 42.4 | 1.816 |
|  | Manufacturing-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Machinery (except electrical)-Con. |  |  | Electrical machinery |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Machine shops (job and repair) |  |  | Total: Electrical machinery |  |  | Electrical generating, transmission, distribution, and industrial apparatus |  |  | Motors, generators, transformers, and industrial controls |  |  | Electrical equipment for vehicles |  |  | Communicationequipment |  |  |
| 1950: A verage | \$65. 18 | 41.7 | \$1. 563 | \$60. 83 | 41.1 | \$1.480 | $\$ 63.75$71.53 | 41.142.1 | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1.551 \\ 1.699 \end{array}$ | $\$ 64.90$72.92 | 41.1 | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1.579 \\ 1.732 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 66.22 \\ 68.84 \end{array}$ | 41.7 | \$1.588 |  | 40.9 | \$1.374 |
| 1951: Average | 74.17 | 43.2 | 1. 717 | 66.86 | 41.4 |  |  |  |  |  | 42.1 |  |  | 40.4 | 1. 704 | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 56.20 \\ 61.86 \end{array}$ | 41.1 | 1.505 |
| 1951: March... | 72.83 | 43.3 | 1.682 | 65.34 | 41.3 | 1. 582 | 70.18 |  | 1.667 | 71.40 | 42.1 | 1.696 | 66.97 | 40.2 | 1.666 | 60. 58 | 41.1 | 1.474 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 73.69 \\ & 74.13 \end{aligned}$ | 43.4 | 1. 698 | 65. 58 | 41.3 | 1. 588 | 70.06 | 42.0 | 1.668 | 71. 23 | 42.0 | 1.696 | 67.97 | 40.7 | 1.670 | 60.60 | 41.0 | 1. 478 |
|  |  | 43.4 | 1. 708 | 66. 57 | 41.5 | 1.604 | 71. 57 | 42.4 | 1.688 | 73.10 | 42.6 | 1. 716 | 68.00 | 40.5 | 1.679 | 61.05 | 41.0 | 1.489 |
|  | 72.80 | 42.6 42.2 | 1.709 1.704 | 67.15 66.13 | 41.5 40.4 | 1.618 1.637 | 71.91 70.87 | 42.4 41.3 | 1.696 1.716 | 73.53 72.18 | 42.6 | 1.726 | 67.58 | 39.8 40 | 1.698 | 62.05 | 41.2 | 1. 506 |
|  | 71.91 | 42.4 | 1. 707 | 66.13 66.34 | 40.4 40.8 | 1.637 | 70.87 72.11 | 41.3 42.0 | 1.716 1.717 | 72.18 73.58 | 41.2 41.9 | 1.752 1.756 | 70.02 68.88 | 40.9 40.0 | 1.712 1.722 | 60.34 60.34 | 39.7 40.2 | 1. 520 |
|  | 72.38 74.88 | 42.6 | 1. 739 | 68.06 | 41.5 | 1. 640 | 73. 01 | 42.2 | 1.726 | 74. 48 | 42.2 | 1.765 | 70.08 | 40.3 | 1. 739 | 62.75 | 41.2 | 1.501 1.523 |
|  | 74. 08 $74.81$ | 42.8 | 1.748 | 68. 27 | 41.5 | 1. 645 | 73. 26 | 42.3 | 1. 732 | 74. 70 | 42.3 | 1. 766 | 70.32 | 40.3 | 1. 745 | 63.87 | 41.5 | 1. 533 |
|  | 75.9078.15 | 43.1 | 1. 761 | 69. 10 | 41.8 | 1. 653 | 73. 78 | 42.4 | 1.740 | 75. 30 | 42.4 | 1. 776 | 70.86 | 40.4 | 1.754 | 65. 02 | 42.0 | 1. 548 |
|  |  | 44.2 | 1.768 | 69.97 | 42.0 | 1. 666 | 74.81 | 42.7 | 1. 752 | 75.95 | 42.5 | 1. 787 | 72.99 | 41.1 | 1. 776 | 64.69 | 41.6 | 1.555 |
| 1952: JanuaryFebruaMarch | $\begin{aligned} & 78.14 \\ & 78.40 \\ & 78.80 \end{aligned}$ | 44.0 | 1.776 | 70.22 | 41.9 | 1. 676 | 75.19 | 42.7 | 1.761 | 76.92 | 42.9 | 1. 793 | 74.41 | 41.9 | 1.776 | 65. 35 | 41.6 | 1.571 |
|  |  | 43.8 | 1.790 | 69.97 | 41.6 | 1. 682 | 74. 79 | 42.4 | 1.764 | 76. 29 | 42.5 | 1. 795 | 72. 07 | 40.6 | 1.775 | 65.14 | 41.2 | 1. 581 |
|  |  | 43.8 | 1.799 | 70.00 | 41.3 | 1.695 | 75. 22 | 42.0 | 1.791 | 77.55 | 42.4 | 1. 829 | 72.58 | 40.5 | 1. 792 | 64.99 | 41.0 | 1. 585 |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table C-1: Hours and Gross Earnings of Production Workers or Nonsupervisory Employees ${ }^{1}$-Con.


See footnotes at end of table.

Table C-1: Hours and Gross Earnings of Production Workers or Nonsupervisory Employees ${ }^{1}$-Con.

| Year and month | Manufacturing-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Instruments and related products-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Miscellaneous manufacturing industries |  |  |
|  | Ophthalmic goods |  |  | Photographic apparatus |  |  | Watches and clocks |  |  | Professional and scientific instruments |  |  | Total: Miscellaneous manufacturing industries |  |  |
|  | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings |
| 1950: A verage | \$50.88 | 40.7 | \$1.250 | $\$ 65.59$ 73.08 | 41.2 42.0 | \$1. 1.792 1.740 | $\begin{array}{r}\text { \$53. } 25 \\ 59.49 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 39.8 40.8 | \$1.338 | $\$ 63.01$ <br> 71.99 | 41.7 42.9 | $\$ 1.511$ <br> 1.678 | \$54.04 58.00 | 41.0 40.9 | \$1.318 |
| 1951: Average | 55.65 | 40.8 | 1.364 | 73.08 | 42.0 | 1.740 | 59.49 | 40.8 | 1. 458 | 71.99 | 42.9 | 1.678 | 58.00 | 40.9 | 1. 418 |
| 1951: March | 55. 61 | 41.5 | 1.340 | 71.99 | 42.1 | 1.710 | 60.40 | 41.8 | 1. 445 | 70.03 | 42.6 | 1. 644 | 58.18 | 41.5 | 1. 402 |
| 1051. April. | 56. 23 | 41.5 | 1. 355 | 73. 24 | 41.9 | 1.748 | 60. 49 | 41.6 | 1. 454 | 71. 12 | 43. 1 | 1. 650 | 58.03 | 41.3 | 1. 405 |
| May | 55. 60 | 40.7 | 1. 366 | 73. 77 | 42.2 | 1. 748 | 61. 07 | 41.8 | 1. 461 | 71. 10 | 42.7 | 1. 665 | 57.39 | 40.7 | 1. 410 |
| June | 56. 07 | 40.9 | 1. 371 | 72.82 | 41.8 | 1. 742 | 59.78 | 41.0 | 1. 458 | 72.73 | 43.5 | 1. 672 | 57.85 | 40.8 | 1. 418 |
| July | 55.41 | 40.3 | 1. 375 | 73. 04 | 41.5 | 1. 760 | 57.66 | 40.1 | 1. 438 | 71. 06 | 42.5 | 1. 672 | 56. 46 | 39.9 | 1.415 |
| August | 55. 23 | 40.2 | 1. 374 | 71. 93 | 41.6 | 1. 729 | 59.70 59 | 41.0 | 1. 456 | 71. 57 | 42.5 | 1. 684 | 56.82 | 40.1 | 1. 417 |
| Sentember | 56. 19 | 40.6 | 1. 384 | 72. 90 | 41.8 | 1. 744 | 59. 98 | 40.8 | 1. 470 | 73. 53 | 43. 0 | 1. 710 | ${ }_{58}^{57.61}$ | 40.4 | 1. 428 |
| October | 56. 11 | 40.6 | 1. 382 | 73. 33 | 41.9 | 1.750 | ${ }_{60}^{59.52}$ | 40.3 | 1. 477 | 73. 92 | 43.1 | 1. 715 | 58. 18 | 40.6 | 1. 433 |
| November | 55. 36 | 40.2 | 1.377 | 74.53 | 42.3 | 1.762 1.772 | 60.57 60.55 | 40.9 40.8 | 1. 1.481 | 74.78 75.95 | 43.3 43.6 | 1.727 | 58.71 | 40.6 | 1. 1446 1.462 |
| December. | 55. 14 | 39.9 | 1. 382 | 74.96 | 42.3 | 1.772 | 60.55 | 40.8 | 1. 484 | 75.95 | 43.6 | 1.742 | 60.53 | 41.4 | 1. 462 |
| 1952: January | 55. 62 | 39.7 | 1. 401 | 75. 39 | 42.4 | 1.778 | 59.52 | 40.0 | 1. 488 | 74.77 | 42.9 | 1. 743 | 59.94 | 41.0 | 1. 462 |
| February | 56. 57 | 39.7 | 1. 425 | 74.92 | 41.9 | 1.793 | 60.29 | 40.3 | 1. 496 | 74. 46 | 42.6 | 1.748 | 60.41 | 40.9 | 1. 477 |
| March. | 57. 51 | 40.3 | 1.427 | 76.90 | 41.5 | 1.853 | 60.92 | 40.4 | 1. 508 | 73.85 | 42.2 | 1.750 | 60.32 | 40.7 | 1. 482 |
|  | Manufacturing-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Miscellaneous manufacturing industries-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Jewelry, silverware, and plated ware |  |  | Jewelry and findings |  |  | Silverware and plated ware |  |  | Toys and sporting goods |  |  | Costume Jewelry, buttons, notions |  |  |
| 1950: A verage | \$59. 45 | 42.8 | \$1. 389 | \$54. 25 | 41.6 | \$1. 304 | \$64. 08 | 43.8 | \$1. 463 | \$50. 98 | 40.4 | \$1. 262 | \$49. 52 | 40.0 | \$1. 238 |
| 1951: A verage | 62.11 | 41.6 | 1. 493 | 58.21 | 41.7 | 1. 396 | 65. 73 | 41.6 | 1. 580 | 53.54 | 39.6 | 1. 352 | 53.65 | 40.1 | 1. 338 |
| 1951: March | 62. 93 | 42.9 | 1. 467 | 58.73 | 42.9 | 1. 369 | 66. 95 | 43.0 | 1. 557 | 54. 06 | 39.9 | 1. 355 | 53. 44 | 40.7 | 1.313 |
| April. | 62.46 | 42.4 | 1. 473 | 57. 93 | 42.1 | 1.376 | 66. 40 | 42.7 | 1. 555 | 53. 48 | 39.7 | 1.347 | 53. 13 | 40.1 | 1.325 |
| May | 61.45 | 41.3 | 1. 488 | 56. 58 | 41.0 | 1. 380 | 65. 49 | 41.5 | 1. 578 | 52.10 | 39.0 | 1.336 | 53.45 | 39.8 | 1. 343 |
| June. | 61.23 | 40.9 | 1. 497 | 56. 61 | 40.7 | 1. 391 | 64.90 | 41.0 | 1. 583 | ${ }^{52 .} 68$ | 39.2 | 1. 344 | 54. 40 | 40.0 | 1.360 |
| July. | 58.59 | 39.4 | 1. 487 | 54. 43 | 39.3 | 1. 385 | 61. 94 | 39.4 | 1. 572 | 52. 13 | 38.7 | 1. 347 | 53. 44 | 39.5 | 1. 353 |
| August | 59. 25 | 39.5 | 1. 500 | 55. 28 | 39.6 | 1. 396 | 62. 69 | 39.4 | 1. 591 | 52. 72 | 39.2 | 1. 345 | 52. 63 | 38.9 | 1. 353 |
| Septembe | 61.53 | 40.8 | 1. 508 | 57. 25 | 41.1 | 1. 393 | 65. 28 | 40.6 | 1. 608 | 53. 54 | 39.6 | 1. 352 | 53. 35 | 39.9 | 1.337 |
| October | 62.14 | 40.8 | 1. 523 | 59. 27 | 41.3 | 1. 435 | 64. 68 | 40.3 | 1. 605 | 54. 26 | 39.9 | 1. 360 | 53. 53 | 39.8 | 1.345 |
| Novemh | 63.42 | 41.4 | 1. 532 | 61.07 | 42.0 | 1. 454 | 65.73 | 40. 9 | 1. 607 | 54. 53 | 39.8 | 1. 370 | 54. 04 | 39.3 | 1. 375 |
| December | 66.33 | 42.6 | 1. 557 | 63.02 | 42.9 | 1. 469 | 69.25 | 42.2. | 1. 641 | 56.17 | 40.7 | 1. 380 | 54. 20 | 40.0 | 1. 355 |
| 1952: Janua $\begin{aligned} & \text { Febru } \\ & \text { Marc }\end{aligned}$ | 63.55 | 41.4 | 1. 535 | 60.77 | 42.2 | 1. 440 | 66. 30 | 40.7 | 1.629 | 57.21 | 40.6 | 1. 409 | 54. 48 | 40.0 | 1. 362 |
|  | 63. 46 | 41.1 | 1. 544 | 60.47 | 41.7 | 1. 450 | 66. 46 | 40.6 | 1. 637 | 56. 96 | 40.4 | 1. 410 | 54.44 | 40.0 | 1.361 |
|  | 64.30 | 41.3 | 1. 557 | 60.69 | 41.8 | 1.452 | 67.32 | 40.7 | 1. 654 | 57.73 | 40.6 | 1. 422 | 54.74 | 39.9 | 1. 372 |
|  | Manufacturing-Con. |  |  | Transportation and public utilities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Miscellaneous manufacturing industries-Con. |  |  | Class I railroads ${ }^{4}$ |  |  | Local railways and bus lines ${ }^{\circ}$ |  |  | Communication |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Telephones * |  |  |  |  |  | Switchboard operating employees ${ }^{7}$ |  |  |
|  | Other miscellaneous manufacturing industries |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1950: Average | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 54.91 \\ 59.20 \end{array}$ | 41.1 | \$1.336 | ${ }_{* 69.78}^{\$ 63.20}$ | ${ }^{4} 41.8$ | \$1. 549 | \$66. 9672.32 | 45.0 $\$ 1.488$ <br> 46.3 1.562 |  | $\$ 54.38$58.30 | 38.939.1 | \$1. 398 | \$46. 6549.54 | 37.537.7 | \$1.244 |
| 1951: A verage |  | 41.2 | 1. 437 |  |  | *1. 702 |  |  |  | 1. 491 |  |  |  |  |
| 1951: March. | 59.5459.34 | 41.9 | 1. 421 | 69.43 | 41.9 | 1. 657 | 70. 42 | 45.7 | 1. 541 |  | 56. 52 | 38. 9 | 1.453 | 47.80 | 37.4 | 1.278 |
|  |  | 41.7 | 1. 423 | 68.49 | 40.6 | 1. 687 | 70. 92 | 45. 9 | 1. 545 | 56.12 | 38.7 | 1. 450 | 47. 45 | 37.3 | 1. 272 |
|  | 58.83 | 41.2 | 1. 428 | 69.62 | 41.0 | 1. 698 | 72. 17 | 46.5 | 1. 552 | 56. 59 | 39.0 | 1. 451 | 47.42 | 37.4 | 1. 268 |
|  | 59.22 | 41.3 | 1. 434 | 70.82 | 41.1 | 1. 723 | 72. 77 | 46. 8 | 1. 555 | 58. 12 | 39.4 | 1. 475 | 49. 26 | 38.1 | 1. 293 |
|  | 57.85 | 40.4 | 1. 432 | 69.81 | 40.1 | 1. 741 | 73. 19 | 46. 5 | 1. 574 | 59. 30 | 39.8 | 1. 490 | 50.77 | 38.7 | 1. 312 |
|  | 58.22 | 40.6 | 1. 434 | 72. 54 | 42.1 | 1.723 | 72. 72 | 46.2 | 1.574 | 58. 84 | 39.2 39.4 | 1. 501 | 50. 03 | 37.9 38.2 | 1.320 |
|  |  | 40.7 40.9 | 1.447 | 68. 82 | 39.1 | 1.760 1.732 | 73. 11 | 46.1 | 1. 1.585 | 59.97 59.94 | 39.4 39.1 | 1. 1.532 | 51.23 51.48 | 38.2 <br> 37.8 | 1.341 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 59.43 \\ & 59.84 \end{aligned}$ | 40.9 | 1. 463 | 71.40 | 40.8 | 1.750 | 73.11 | 46.3 | 1. 579 | 60.84 | 39.2 | 1. 552 | 52. 79 | 37.9 | 1.393 |
|  | 61.73 | 41.6 | 1. 484 | 69.95 | 39.5 | 1. 771 | 75.35 | 47.6 | 1. 583 | 59.44 | 38.8 | 1. 532 | 49.70 | 37.2 | 1. 336 |
| 1952: Januar | $\begin{aligned} & 61.02 \\ & 61.81 \\ & 61.40 \end{aligned}$ | 41.2 | 1. 481 | 74.09 | 41.6 | 1. 781 | 73. 92 | 46. 4 | 1. 593 | 59. 68 | 38.7 | 1. 542 | 49. 63 | 36.9 | 1. 345 |
|  |  | 41.1 | 1. 504 |  |  |  | 73. 47 | 46.5 | 1. 580 | 59. 91 | 38.5 | 1. 556 | 50. 33 | 36.9 | 1. 364 |
|  |  | 40.8 | 1. 505 |  |  |  | 73.60 | 46.0 | 1.600 | 59.41 | 38.5 | 1. 543 | 49.35 | 36.8 | 1.341 |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table C-1: Hours and Gross Earnings of Production Workers or Nonsupervisory Employees ${ }^{1}$ Con.

| Year and month | Transportation and public utilities-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Communication |  |  |  |  |  | Other public utilities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Line construction, installation, and maintenance employees * |  |  | Telegraph ${ }^{\circ}$ |  |  | Total: Gas and electric utilities |  |  | Electric light and power utilities |  |  | Gas utilities |  |  |
|  | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. <br> hrly. <br> earn- <br> ings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings |
| 1950: A verage | $\$ 73.30$ 81.28 | 42.1 42.8 | \$1. 741 1.899 | $\$ 64.19$ 68.33 | 44.7 44.6 | \$1. 436 1.532 | $\$ 66.60$ 71.77 | 41.6 41.9 | \$1. 601 1.713 | $\$ 67.81$ 72.74 | 41.6 41.9 | \$1. 630 1.736 | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 63.37 \\ 68.76 \end{array}$ | 41.5 41.8 | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1.527 \\ 1.645 \end{array}$ |
| 1951: March | 78.47 | 42.6 | 1.842 | 64. 63 | 44.6 | 1. 449 | 70.14 | 41.5 | 1.690 | 71.72 | 41.7 | 1.720 |  |  |  |
| April. | 77. 69 | 42.2 | 1.841 | 64. 40 | 44.6 | 1. 444 | 70.38 | 41.5 | 1. 696 | 71. 51 | 41.6 | 1. 719 | 66.71 | 41.1 | 1. 1.623 |
| May | 79. 49 | 42.9 | 1.853 | 65.97 | 45. 4 | 1. 453 | 70.72 | 41.5 | 1. 704 | 71.97 | 41.6 | 1.730 | 66. 91 | 41.1 | 1. 628 |
| June. | 81. 20 | 43.1 | 1.884 | 65. 44 | 45.1 | 1. 451 | 71. 06 | 41. 7 | 1. 704 | 72. 40 | 41.8 | 1.732 | 66. 99 | 41.1 | 1. 630 |
| July | 82. 78 | 43. 0 | 1. 925 | 71. 23 | 44.8 | 1. 590 | 71. 82 | 42.0 | 1. 710 | 73. 25 | 42.1 | 1. 740 | 67. 44 | 41.4 | 1. 629 |
| August.... | 82. 58 | 42.9 | 1. 925 | 70. 47 | 44.6 | 1. 580 | 71. 73 | 41. 9 | 1. 712 | 72. 96 | 42.1 | 1. 733 | 67. 48 | 41.3 | 1. 634 |
| September | 83.83 83.54 | 43.1 42.6 | 1. 945 1. 961 | 72.33 72.34 | 44.4 44.3 | 1.629 1.633 | 72. 88 72.92 | 42.2 42.1 | 1.727 1.732 | 73.34 <br> 72.85 | 42.1 | 1.742 1.747 | 69.35 | 41.8 | 1.659 |
| November | 83.79 83.79 | 42.6 42.6 | 1. 967 | 72.13 | 44.3 44.2 | 1.633 1.632 | 72.92 73.29 | 42.1 42.0 | 1.732 1.745 | 72. 85 | 41.7 41.7 | 1.747 1.764 | 71.39 71.49 | 42.7 42.4 | 1. 672 |
| December | 83.91 | 42.7 | 1.965 | 72. 21 | 44.3 | 1. 630 | 73.63 | 42.1 | 1.749 | 74.56 | 42.1 | 1. 771 | 71.53 | 42.3 | 1. 691 |
| 1952: JanuaFebruMarch | 83. 90 | 42.5 42.3 4.8 | 1. 1.974 | 70.77 70.81 70.81 | 43.9 43.9 | 1. 612 | 73. 20 | 41.9 41.6 | 1.747 1.753 | 74.25 73.54 74. | 41.9 41.5 | 1. 7772 | 70.56 70.39 | 41.8 41.7 | 1.688 1.688 |
|  | 83.47 | 41.8 | 1. 997 | 70.81 | 43.9 | 1.613 | 73.51 | 41.6 | 1.767 | 74.68 | 41.7 | 1. 791 | 69.93 | 41.5 | 1.685 |
|  | Transportation and public utilitiesCon. |  |  | Trade |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Other public utili-ties-Con. |  |  | Wholesale trade |  |  | Retail trade |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Electric light and gas utilities combined |  |  |  |  |  | Retail trade (except eating and drinking places) |  |  | General merchandisestores |  |  | Department stores and general mailorder houses |  |  |
| 1950: A verage. <br> 1951: A verage | \$67. 02 | 41.6 | \$1. 611 | \$60. 36 | 40.7 | \$1. 483 | \$47. 63 | 40.5 | \$1.176 | \$35.95 | 36.8 | \$0. 977 | \$41. 56 | 38.2 |  |
|  | 72. 36 | 41.9 | 1.727 | 64.51 | 40.7 | 1.585 | 50.25 | 40.1 | 1. 253 | 37. 25 | 36.2 | 1.028 | 44.11 | 37.8 | 1.167 |
| 1951: March | 69.92 | 41.2 | 1. 697 | 63.62 | 40.6 | 1. 567 | 48.95 | 39.7 | 1. 233 | 36. 44 | 35.8 | 1.018 | 43.05 | 37.6 |  |
|  | 71. 43 | 41.7 | 1. 713 | 63.95 | 40.6 | 1. 575 | 49.84 | 39.9 | 1.249 | 36. 98 | 35.9 | 1.030 | 43. 39 | 37.6 37.5 | 1.145 1.157 |
|  | 71.47 | 41.6 | 1.718 | 63.78 | 40.6 | 1. 571 | 49.83 | 39.8 | 1. 252 | 36. 71 | 35. 5 | 1. 034 | 43. 49 | 37.3 | 1.166 |
|  | 71.94 | 41.9 42.2 | 1. 717 | 64.35 64.55 | 40.7 | 1. 581 | 50.74 51 | 40.4 | 1. 256 | 37. 70 | 36.5 | 1. 033 | 44. 23 | 38.0 | 1. 164 |
|  | 73.04 | 42.1 | 1.735 | ${ }_{64.51}^{64.55}$ | 40.7 40.7 | 1. 5885 | 51.49 51.37 | 40.8 40.8 | 1. 262 | 38. 51 38.01 | 37.1 36.9 | 1. 1.038 | 44. 81 | 38.1 <br> 37 | 1.176 |
|  | 74. 50 | 42.5 | 1.753 | 65. 64 | 40.9 | 1.605 | 50.80 | 40.8 40 | 1. 270 | 38.01 37.19 | 36.9 35.9 | 1. 1.030 | 44. 27 | 37.9 <br> 37 | 1.168 |
|  | 74. 02 | 42.2 | 1. 754 | 65. 44 | 40.8 | 1. 604 | 50. 43 | 39.8 | 1. 267 | 36. 56 | 35.6 | 1. 027 | 43. 29 43.57 | 37.6 37.3 | 1. 1788 |
|  | 73. 96 | 42.0 | 1. 761 | 65.52 | 40.8 | 1. 606 | 49.92 | 39.4 | 1. 267 | 36. 12 | 35.1 | 1. 029 | 43. 28 | 36.8 | 1.176 |
|  | 73.66 | 41.9 | 1.758 | 66.58 | 41.1 | 1. 620 | 49. 92 | 40.1 | 1. 245 | 37.52 | 37.0 | 1. 014 | 46. 49 | 39.4 | 1.180 |
| 1952: JanuarFebruaMarch | 73. 58 | 42.0 | 1. 752 | 66. 42 | 40.7 | 1. 632 |  |  |  |  |  | 1.069 |  |  |  |
|  | 73. 93 | 41.7 | 1.773 | 66.50 | 40.4 | 1. 646 | 51.06 | 39.8 | 1. 283 | 37. 38 | 35. 8 | 1. 044 | 43.27 43.62 | 37.2 37.0 | 1.217 |
|  | 74.42 | 41.6 | 1.789 | 67.02 | 40.4 | 1. 659 | 50.94 | 39.7 | 1. 283 | 37. 30 | 35.8 | 1. 042 | 43. 78 | 37.2 | 1.177 |
|  | Trade-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Retail trade-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other retail trade |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Food and liquor stores |  |  | Automotive and accessories dealers |  |  | Apparel and accessories stores |  |  | Furniture and appliance stores |  |  | Lumber and hard-ware-supply stores |  |  |
| 1950: Ave | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 51.79 \\ 53.96 \end{array}$ | 40.4 | \$1. 282 | \$61. 65 | 45.7 | \$1. 349 | \$40. 70 | 36.5 | \$1.115 | \$56. 12 | 43. 5 | \$1. 290 | \$54. 62 |  |  |
|  |  | 40.0 | 1.349 | 66.51 | 45.4 | 1.465 | 42.20 | 36.1 | 1. 169 | 59.61 | 43.1 | 1.383 | 58. 64 | 43.6 | \$1.345 |
| 1951: March. | 52.6253.18 | 39.3 | 1. 339 | 65. 29 | 45.4 | 1.438 | 40.75 | 35.4 | 1.151 | 58. 49 | 43.2 | 1.354 | 56.72 | 43.1 | 1.316 |
|  |  | 39.6 39 | 1. 343 | 66. 34 | 45.5 | 1. 458 | 41. 09 | 35. 7 | 1. 151 | 59. 18 | 43.1 | 1.373 | 58. 12 | 43. 6 | 1. 3133 |
|  | 53.44 | 39.7 | 1. 346 | 66.22 | 45.2 | 1. 465 | 41.44 | 35.6 | 1. 164 | 59.38 | 43.0 | 1.381 | 58. 60 | 43.8 | 1.338 |
|  | 54.72 | 40.5 | 1.351 | 67.03 | 45.6 | 1. 470 | 42. 25 | 36. 2 | 1. 167 | 59.13 | 43.0 | 1.375 | 58. 91 | 43.8 | 1.345 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 55.44 \\ & 55 . \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 41.1 41.0 | 1.349 1.347 | 66. 91 | 45.3 | 1. 477 | 42. 71 | 36. 5 | 1. 170 | 59. 62 | 43.2 | 1.380 | 59.67 | 44.2 | 1. 350 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 55.23 \\ & 54.24 \end{aligned}$ | 41.0 40.0 | 1.347 1.356 | 67. 18 67.94 | 45.3 45.2 | 1. 1.483 | 42.47 | 36. 8 | 1.154 | 59. 47 | 43. 0 | 1. 383 | 59. 48 | 43.9 | 1. 355 |
|  | 53. 90 | 39.6 | 1.361 | 67. 24 | 45.2 45.4 | 1. 1.481 | 42. 49 | 36.1 35.8 | 1.176 | 60.07 60.50 | 43.0 | 1.397 | 59. 69 | 43.7 | 1. 366 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 54.35 \\ & 54.44 \end{aligned}$ | 39.7 | 1.369 | 67.13 | 45.3 | 1. 1.482 | 42.17 | 35.8 35.5 | 1. 188 | 60.50 60 | 43.0 42 | 1. 407 | 60.18 59.10 | 43.8 | 1. 374 |
|  |  | 40.0 | 1.361 | 67.06 | 45.4 | 1. 477 | 43.31 | 36.3 | 1. 193 | 62.39 | 43.6 | 1.404 1.431 | 59.10 59.60 | 43.2 43.6 | 1. 368 1. 367 |
| 1952: JanuaryFebruarMarch. | $\begin{aligned} & 54.53 \\ & 54.31 \\ & 54.87 \end{aligned}$ | 39.4 | 1.384 | 66.68 | 44.9 | 1. 485 | 43. 64 | 36.1 | 1. 209 | 59. 45 | 42.8 | 1. 389 | 58.65 | 43.0 |  |
|  |  | 39.3 | 1. 382 | 67.28 | 45.0 | 1. 495 | 43. 08 | 35.9 | 1.200 | 60.36 | 42.9 | 1. 407 | 59.49 | 43.3 | 1. 364 |
|  |  | 39.5 | 1.389 | 67.48 | 44.9 | 1.503 | 42.08 | 35.6 | 1.182 | 59.83 | 42.8 | 1.398 | 59.25 | 43.0 | 1.378 |

Table C-1: Hours and Gross Earnings of Production Workers or Nonsupervisory Employees ${ }^{1}$-Con.

| Year and month | Finance ${ }^{10}$ |  |  | Service |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Banksandtrustcom.panies | Security <br> dealers <br> and <br> ex- <br> changes <br> Avg. <br> wkly. <br> earnings | Insur- <br> ance <br> carriers <br> Avg. <br> wkly. <br> earnings | Hotels, year-round ${ }^{11}$ |  |  | Laundries |  |  | Cleaning and dyeing plants |  |  | Motionpicture production and distribution 10 |
|  |  |  |  | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | A Fg . hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | $\begin{gathered} \text { Avg. } \\ \text { hrly. } \\ \text { earnings } \end{gathered}$ | A Vg . wkly. earnings |
| 1950: A verage | \$46. 44 | \$81.48 | \$58. 49 | \$33.85 | 43.9 | \$0.771 | \$35.47 | 41.2 | \$0.861 | \$41.69 | 41.2 | \$1.012 | \$92.79 |
| 1951: Average. | 50.32 | 83.68 | 61.31 | 35.38 | 43.2 | . 819 | 37.52 | 41.1 | . 913 | 44.07 | 41.5 | 1.062 | 83.95 |
| 1951: March | 49.70 | 85. 96 | 60.96 | 34.68 | 43.3 | . 801 | 36.85 | 40.9 | . 901 | 44.14 | 42.0 | 1.051 | 84.56 |
| April. | 50.08 | 84.12 | 60.83 | 34.90 | 43.3 | . 806 | 37. 32 | 41.1 | . 908 | 44. 90 | 42.4 | 1. 059 | 84.94 |
| May | 50.11 | 81.78 | 61.01 | 35.02 | 43.4 | . 807 | 37. 96 | 41.4 | . 917 | 45. 90 | 43.1 | 1. 065 | 83. 63 |
| June | 50.06 | 80.97 | 61. 71 | 35. 24 | 43.4 | . 812 | 38. 06 | 41.5 | . 917 | 45. 45 | 42.6 | 1. 067 | 83. 55 |
| July. | 50.50 | 77.67 | 62.09 | 35. 46 | 43. 4 | . 817 | 37.83 | 41.3 | . 916 | 44. 26 | 41.6 | 1. 064 | 84.13 |
| August | 50.28 | 79. 14 | 61.01 | 35. 29 | 43. 3 | . 815 | 37. 38 | 40.9 | . 914 | 42. 56 | 40.3 | 1. 056 | 83.32 |
| Sentember | 50.36 50 | 81.78 | 60.91 | 35.78 | 42.9 | . 834 | 37.87 37.73 | 41.3 | . 917 | 44. 72 | 41.6 41 | 1. 075 | 83. 98 |
| October- | 50.78 | 85.20 | 61.32 | 35. 91 | 42.9 | . 837 | 37. 73 | 41.1 | . 918 | 44. 36 | 41.5 | 1. 069 | 85.09 |
| November-- | 51.13 | 83.88 | 60.70 | 36.20 36.81 | 43.1 | .840 .852 | 37.93 38.34 | 41.0 | .925 .926 | 43. 71 | 40.7 | 1. 074 | 83.68 |
| December. | 51.81 | 83.09 | 62.25 | 36.81 | 43.2 | . 852 | 38.34 | 41.4 | . 926 | 44. 14 | 41.1 | 1.074 | 86.19 |
| 1952: January | 52.05 | 82.79 | 62.09 | 36.47 | 42.8 | . 852 | 38.55 | 41.5 | . 929 | 44.08 | 40.7 | 1.083 | 89.35 |
| February | 52.34 | 83.53 | 62.10 | 36. 64 | 42.9 | . 854 | 38. 01 | 41.0 | . 927 | 43.36 | 40.0 | 1.084 | 89.80 |
| March.- | 52.64 | 81.59 | 63.64 | 36.51 | 42.7 | . 855 | 38.17 | 41.0 | . 931 | 44.53 | 40.3 | 1.105 | 90.08 |

${ }^{1}$ These figures are based on reports from cooperating establishments coverIng both full- and part-time employees who worked during, or received pay for any part of the pay period ending nearest the 15th of the month. For the mining, manufacturing, laundries, and cleaning and dyeing plants industries, data relate to production and related workers only. For the remaining industries, unless otherwise noted, data relate to nonsupervisory employees and working supervisors. All series are available upon request to the Bureau of Labor Statistics. Such requests should specify which industry series are desired. Data for the three current months are subject to revision without notation; revised figures for earlier months will be identified by asterisks the first month they are published.
${ }^{2}$ Includes: ordnance and accessories; lumber and wood products (except furniture); furniture and fixtures; stone, clay, and glass products; primary metal industries; fabricated metal products (except ordnance, machinery, and transportation equipment); machinery (except electrical); electrical machinery; transportation equipment; instruments and related products; miscellaneous manufacturing industries.
${ }^{3}$ Includes: food and kindred products; tobacco manufactures; textile-mill products; apparel and other finished textile products; paper and allied prodproducts; apparel and oiner ind allied industries; chemicals and allied products; products of petroleum and coal; rubber products; leather and leather ucts; prod
© Data relate to hourly rated employees reported by individual railroads (exclusive of switching and terminal companies) to the Interstate Commerce Commission. Annual averages include any retroactive payments made, which are excluded from monthly averages.
${ }_{5}$ Data include privately and government operated local railways and bus

- Through May 1949 the averages relate mainly to the hours and earnings o employees subject to the Fair Labor Standards Act. Beginning with June 1949 the averages relate to the hours and earnings of nonsupervisory employees. Data for June comparable with the earlier series are $\$ 51.47,38.5$ hours, and $\$ 1.337$
${ }^{1}$ Data relate to employees in such occupations in the telephone industry as switchboard operators, service assistants, operating room instructors, and pay-station attendants. During 1950 such employees made up 46 percent of the total number of nonsupervisory employees in telephone establishments reporting hours and earnings data.
Data relate to employees in such occupations in the telephone industry as central office craftsmen; installation and exchange repair craftsmen; line cable, and conduit craftsmen; and laborers. During 1950 such employees made up 25 percent of the total number of nonsupervisory employees in telephone establishments reporting hours and earnings data
- New series beginning with January 1952; data relate to domestic employ ees, except messengers, and those compensated entirely on a commission basis. Comparable data for October 1951 are $\$ 70.52,43.8$ hours, and $\$ 1.610$ November- $\$ 70.31,43.7$ hours, and $\$ 1.609$; December- $\$ 70.47$, 43.8 hours, and \$1.609.
${ }^{10}$ Data on average weekly hours and average hourly earnings are not available.
${ }^{11}$ Money payments only; additional value of board, room, uniforms, and *ips, not included
Preliminary
NOTE.-Data for Class I Railroads for 1951 have been corrected.

Table C-2: Gross Average Weekly Earnings of Production Workers in Selected Industries, in Current and 1939 Dollars ${ }^{1}$

| Year and month | Manufacturing |  | Bituminouscoal mining |  | Laundries |  | Year and month | Manufacturing |  | Bituminouscoal mining |  | Laundries |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Current dollars | $\begin{gathered} 1939 \\ \text { dollars } \end{gathered}$ | Current dollars | $\begin{gathered} 1939 \\ \text { dollars } \end{gathered}$ | Current dollars | $\stackrel{1939}{\text { dollars }}$ |  | Current dollars | $\begin{gathered} 1939 \\ \text { dollars } \end{gathered}$ | Current dollars | $\begin{gathered} 1939 \\ \text { dollars } \end{gathered}$ | Current dollars | $\begin{gathered} 1939 \\ \text { dollars } \end{gathered}$ |
| 1939: A verage | \$23.86 | \$23.86 | \$23.88 | \$23.88 | \$17. 69 | \$17. 69 | 1951: June | \$65. 08 | \$34.93 | \$77. 67 | \$41. 69 | \$38.06 | \$20. 43 |
| 1941: A verage. | 29.58 | 27.95 | 30.86 | 29.16 | 19.00 | 17. 95 | July | 64.24 | 34.42 | 73. 71 | 39. 50 | 37.83 | 20.27 |
| 1946: Average. | 43.82 | 31.22 | 58.03 | 41.35 | 30.30 | 21.59 | August | 64.32 | 34.47 | 77.23 | 41.38 | 37.38 | 20. 03 |
| 1948: A verage | 54.14 | 31.31 | 72.12 | 41.70 | 34.23 | 19.79 | September | 65.49 | 34.89 | 81.61 | 43.47 | 37.87 | 20.17 |
| 1949: Average | 54.92 | 32.07 | 63.28 | 36.96 | 34. 98 | 20.43 | October- | 65.41 | 34.69 | 80.62 | 42. 76 | 37. 73 | 20.01 |
| 1950: Average | 59.33 | 34. 31 | 70.35 | 40.68 | 35.47 | 20.51 | November | 65.85 | 34. 71 | 81.09 | 42. 74 | 37.93 | 19.99 |
| 1951: Average | 64.88 | 34.75 | 77.86 | 41.70 | 37.52 | 20.09 | December | 67.40 | 35.43 | 86.28 | 45.35 | 38.34 | 20.15 |
| 1951: March | 64.57 | 34.79 | 74.66 | 40.22 | 36.85 | 19.85 | 1952: January | 66.91 | 35. 17 | 86.39 | 45.41 | 38.55 | 20. 26 |
| April. | 64. 70 | 34.84 | 75. 63 | 40.72 | 37.32 | 20.10 | February ${ }^{2}$ | 66. 91 | 35. 40 | 80.09 | 42.37 | 38.01 | 20. 11 |
| May. | 64.55 | 34.61 | 73. 86 | 39.60 | 37.96 | 20.35 | March ${ }^{2}$ | 67.19 | 35. 52 | 79.15 | 41.85 | 38.17 | 20.18 |

[^50]Table C-3: Gross and Net Spendable Average Weekly Earnings of Production Workers in Manufacturing Industries, in Current and 1939 Dollars ${ }^{1}$

| Period | Gross average weekly earnings |  | Net spendable average weekly earnings |  |  |  | Period | Gross average weekly earnings |  | Net spendable average weekly earnings |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Worker with no dependents |  | Worker with 3 dependents |  |  |  |  | Worker with no dependents |  | Worker with 3 dependents |  |
|  | Amount | $\begin{gathered} \text { Index } \\ (1939= \\ 100) \end{gathered}$ | Current dollars | $\begin{gathered} 1939 \\ \text { dollars } \end{gathered}$ | Current dollars | $\begin{aligned} & 1939 \\ & \text { dollars } \end{aligned}$ |  | Amount | $\begin{gathered} \text { Index } \\ (1039= \\ 100) \end{gathered}$ | Cur- <br> rent dollars | $\begin{gathered} 1939 \\ \text { dollars } \end{gathered}$ | Cur- rent dollars | $\begin{gathered} 1939 \\ \text { dollars } \end{gathered}$ |
| 1941: January | \$26. 64 | 111.7 | \$25. 41 | \$25.06 | \$26. 37 | \$26.00 | 1951: March. | \$64. 57 | 270.6 | \$54. 13 | \$29 16 | \$ 21.21 | \$32.98 |
| 1945: January. | 47.50 | 199.1 | 39. 40 | 30. 76 | 45. 17 | 35. 27 | April. | 64.70 | 271.2 | 54.23 | 29. 20 | 61.31 | 33.01 |
| 1046: July -... | 45. 45 | 190.5 | 37.80 | 28.99 | 43.57 | 33. 42 | May. | 64. 55 | 270.5 | 54. 11 | 29.01 | 6119 | 32. 81 |
| 1946: June | 43.31 | 181.5 | 37.30 | 27. 77 | 42. 78 | 31. 85 | June | 65. 08 | 272.8 | 54.53 | 29. 27 | 6162 | 33.07 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | July..- | 64. 24 | 269.2 | 53. 87 | 28. 87 | 60.94 | 3265 |
| 1939: A verage | 23.86 | 100. 0 | 23.58 | 23. 58 | 23. 62 | 23. 62 | August.- | 64.32 | 269.6 | 53.93 | 2890 | 6101 | 32. 69 |
| 1940: A rerage | 25. 20 | 105. 6 | 24. 69 | 24.49 | 24. 95 | 24. 75 | Septembe | 65. 49 | 274.5 | 54.85 | 29. 22 | 61.95 | 33.00 |
| 1941: Average. | 29. 58 | 124.0 | 28.05 | 26. 51 | 29. 28 | 27.67 | October | 65. 41 | 274.1 | 54.79 | 29.06 | 61.89 | 32. 83 |
| 1912: Average | 36. 65 | 153.6 | 31.77 | 27.08 | 36. 28 | 30.93 | November. | 65. 85 | 276. 0 | 54.04 | 28.48 | 61.96 | 32. 66 |
| 1943: A verrge. | 43. 14 | 180.8 | 36. 01 | 2894 | 41.39 | 33. 26 | December. | 67.40 | 282.5 | 55. 23 | 29.03 | 63.17 | 33. 21 |
| 1944: A verage. | 46. 08 | 193.1 | 38. 29 | 30. 28 | 44. 06 | 34. 84 | 1952: January . | 66.91 | 280.4 | 54.85 | 28. 83 | 62. 79 | 33.01 |
| 1045: A verage | 44.39 | 186.0 | 36. 97 | 28.58 | 42. 74 | 33. 04 | February ${ }^{2}$ | 66.91 | 280.4 | 54.85 | 29. 02 | 62. 79 | 33.22 |
| 1946: A verage | 43. 82 | 183.7 | 37. 72 | 26. 88 | 4320 | 30.78 | March ${ }^{2}$ - | 67.19 | 281.6 | 55.07 | 29.12 | 63.01 | 33.31 |
| 1947: A verage | 49.97 | 209.4 | 42. 76 | 26. 63 | 48.24 | 30. 04 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1948: A verage | 54.14 54.92 | 226.9 230 | 47. 43 | 27. 43 | 53. 17 | 30.75 <br> 31 <br> 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1949: A verage. | 54.92 59.33 | 230.2 248.7 | 48.09 51.09 | 28.09 29.54 | 53. 83 57.21 | 31. 44 33.08 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1951: Average. | 64.88 | 271.9 | 54.18 | 29.02 | 61.41 | 32. 89 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

1 Net spendable average weekly earnings are obtained by deducting from gross average weekly earnings, social security and income taxes for which the specifled type of worker is liable. The amount of income tax liability depends, of course, on the number of dependents supported by the worker as well as on the level of his gross income. Net spendable earnings have, therefore, been computed for 2 types of income-receivers: (1) A worker with no dependents: (2) a worker with 3 dependents.
The computation of net spendable earnings for both factory worker with no dependents and the factory worker with 3 dependents are based upon the
gross average weekly earnings for all production workers in manufacturing industries without direct regard to marital status and family composition. The primary value of the spendable series is that of measuring relative changes in disposable earnings for 2 types of income-receivers. That series does not, therefore, reflect actual differences in levels of earnings for workers of varying age, occupation. skill, family composition, etc. Comparable data from January 1939 are a vailable upon request to the Bureau of Labor Statistics.
${ }^{2}$ Preliminary.

Table C-4: Average Hourly Earnings, Gross and Exclusive of Overtime, of Production Workers in Manufacturing Industries ${ }^{1}$

| Period | Manufacturing |  |  | Durablegoods |  | $\underset{\text { goods }}{\substack{\text { Nondurable } \\ \text { gor }}}$ |  | Period |  | Manufacturing |  |  | Durable |  | Nondurable goods |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Gross amount | Excluding overtime |  | Gross | Ex-cluding overtime | Gross | Ex-cluding overtime |  |  | Gross amount | Excluding overtime |  | Gross | Ex-cluding overtime | Gross | Ex-cluding overtime |
|  |  | Amount | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Index } \\ & (1039= \\ & 100) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | Amount | $\begin{gathered} \text { Index } \\ (1939= \\ 100) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 1941: A verage | \$0. 729 | \$0.702 | 110.9 | \$0.808 | \$0. 770 | \$0.640 | \$0.625 | 1951: | March. |  | \$1. 571 | \$1. 511 | 238.7 | \$1. 654 | \$1. 582 | \$1.460 |  |
| 1942: Average |  | . 805 | 127.2 | . 947 | . 881 | . 723 | . 698 |  | April.-- | 1. 578 | 1.518 | 239.8 | 1.659 | 1.587 | 1.465 | 1.422 |
| 1943: A verage | . 961 | . 894 | 141.2 | 1. 059 |  | . 803 | . 763 |  | May-....... | 1. 586 | 1.528 | 241.4 | 1. 665 | 1. 596 | 1.474 | 1.432 |
| 1944: A verage. | 1. 019 | . 947 | 149.6 | 1. 117 | 1. 029 | . 861 | . 814 |  | June.........-- | 1. 599 | 1.540 | 243.3 | 1. 681 | 1. 611 | 1. 484 | 1. 441 |
| 1945: A verage | 1. 023 | . 963 | 152.1 | 1.111 | ${ }^{3} 1.042$ | . 904 | 2. 858 |  | July | 1.598 | 1. 546 | 244.2 | 1. 682 | 1. 622 | 1. 488 | 1. 444 |
| 1946: A verage | 1. 086 | 1. 051 | 166. 0 | 1. 156 | 1. 122 | 1. 015 | . 981 |  | August......- | 1. 596 | 1. 542 | 243. 6 | 1. 684 | 1. 619 | 1. 481 | 1. 441 |
| 1947: Average | 1. 237 | 1.198 | 189.3 | 1. 292 | 1. 250 | 1. 171 | 1. 133 |  | September--- | 1. 613 | 1. 554 | 245.5 | 1. 707 | 1.638 | 1. 489 | 1. 444 |
| 1948: A verage. | 1. 350 | 1. 310 | 207.0 | 1. 410 | 1. 366 | 1. 278 | 1. 241 |  | October .-..-- | 1.615 | 1.557 | 2460 | 1. 705 | 1. 635 | 1. 491 | 1.450 |
| 1949: A verage | 1. 401 | 1.367 1.415 1.5 | 216.0 223.5 | 1. 469 | 1. 434 1. 480 | 1.325 | 1. 292 1.337 |  | Novemher...- | 1. 626 | 1. 569 | 247.9 | 1. 712 | 1. 644 | 1. 507 | 1. 465 |
| 1950: Average | 1.465 1.594 | 1. 415 1.536 | 242.7 | 1.537 | 1.480 1.610 | 1.378 1.481 | 1.337 1.437 | 1952: | December..- | 1. 1.640 | 1.571 1.579 | 248.2 | 1.723 1 | 1.644 | 1. 515 | 1. 468 |
| 101: Averago. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | February ${ }^{\text {Janua }}$ | 1. 1.644 | 1. 5879 | 259.4 | 1. 1.731 | 1.653 | 1. 1.522 | 1.476 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | March ${ }^{3}$-... | 1. 655 | 1.596 | 252.1 | 1.744 | 1. 672 | 1. 529 | 1. 488 |

${ }^{1}$ Overtime is defined as work in excess of 40 hours per week and paid for at time and one-half. The computation of a verage hourly earnings exclusive of overtime makes no allowance for special rates of pay for work done on holidays. Comparable data from January 1941 are available upon request to the Bureau of Labor Statistics.
${ }^{2}$ Eleven-month average. August 1945 excluded because of VJ-holiday period.
${ }^{3}$ Preliminary

Table C-5: Hours and Gross Earnings of Production Workers in Manufacturing Industries for Selected States and Areas ${ }^{1}$


See footnotes at end of table.

Table C-5: Hours and Gross Earnings of Production Workers in Manufacturing Industries for Selected States and Areas ${ }^{1}$ - Continued

| Year and month | Florida |  |  |  |  |  | Georgia |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Idaho |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | State |  |  | Tampa- <br> St. Petersburg |  |  | State |  |  | Atlanta |  |  | Savannah |  |  | State |  |  |
|  | Avg. wkly. earnros | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earn- | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earn- | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earn- | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earn- | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. <br> wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. <br> hrly. <br> earn- <br> ings |
| 1951: March......... | *\$48. 77 | 42.5 | \$1.15 | \$46. 94 | 41.5 | \$1.13 | \$47.44 | 40.9 | \$1.16 | \$53. 28 | 41.3 | \$1.29 | \$52. 65 | 40.5 | \$1.30 | \$65. 85 | 40.9 | \$1. 61 |
|  | ${ }^{*} 48.47$ | 41.8 | 1.16 | 46.95 | 41.3 | 1.14 | 46.81 | 40.7 | 1.15 | 51.58 | 40.3 | 1.28 | 55.18 | 41.8 | 1.32 | 62.76 | 38.5 | 1. 63 |
|  | *49.48 | ${ }^{*} 42.8$ | 1.16 | 47.80 | 41.8 | 1.14 | 46. 40 | 40.0 | 1.16 | 53.04 | 40.8 | 1.30 | 53.97 | 41.2 | 1.31 | 67.89 | 39.7 | 1.71 |
|  | *49.75 | 42.8 | 1.16 | 47.46 | 41.3 | 1.15 | 46. 40 | 40.0 | 1.16 | 53.97 | 41.2 | 1.31 | 55. 18 | 41.8 | 1.32 | 71.86 | 41.3 | 1.74 |
|  | *49.93 | 42.5 | 1.18 | 47.24 | 41.0 | 1.15 | 44.89 | 38.7 | 1.16 | 51.75 | 39.5 | 1.31 | 55.74 | 41.6 | 1.34 | 71.58 | 40.9 | 1.75 |
|  | *48. 92 | 41.6 | 1.18 | 47.11 | 40.8 | 1.16 | 44.43 | 38. 3 | 1.16 | 52. 54 | 39.8 | 1.32 | 55.99 | 42.1 | 1.33 | 72.04 | 40.7 | 1.77 |
|  | *49.78 | * 42.3 | 1.18 | 47.94 | 41.0 | 1.17 | 45. 98 | 39.3 | 1.17 | 54. 14 | 40.4 | 1.34 | 55.61 | 41.5 | 1.34 | 72.85 | 40.7 | 1.79 |
|  | *50.66 | 42.6 | 1.19 | 49.42 | 41.6 | 1.19 | 46. 10 | 39.4 | 1.17 | 53. 47 | 40.2 | 1.33 | ${ }_{56}^{57.62}$ | 43.0 | 1.35 | 67. 70 | 38.8 | 1.75 |
|  | +51.50 | *43.0 | 1.20 | * $\begin{array}{r}48.16 \\ \hline 8\end{array}$ | 40.6 40.8 | 1.19 $* 1.20$ | 46.26 $* 48.08$ | 39.2 40.4 | 1.18 $* 1.19$ | +54.68 | 40.5 +40.8 | 1.35 $* 1.35$ | 56.30 $* 60.14$ | 41.7 43.9 | 1.35 <br> +1.37 | *72. ${ }^{78}$ | 41.0 $* 41.6$ | *1.72 |
| 1952: January <br> March | 52.37 |  |  | 49. 95 |  | 1.21 | 47.60 | 40.0 | 1.19 | 55. 22 | 40.6 | 1.36 | 56.01 | 41.8 | 1.34 | 72.39 | 40.9 |  |
|  | 52.49 | 43.3 | 1.21 | 49.53 | 41.3 | 1.20 | 47.40 | 39.5 | 1. 20 | 55. 49 | 40.5 | 1.37 | 55.88 | 41.7 | 1.34 | 70.40 | 40.0 | 1. 776 |
|  | 52.46 | 43.0 | 1.22 | 51.46 | 42.1 | 1.22 | 47.16 | 39.3 | 1.20 | 56. 43 | 40.6 | 1.39 | 59.34 | 43.0 | 1.38 | 70.70 | 4.04 | 1.75 |
|  | Illinois |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Indiana |  |  | Iowa |  |  |
|  | State |  |  | DavenportRock IslandMoline |  |  | Peoria |  |  | Rockford |  |  | State |  |  | State |  |  |
| 1951: M | \$68. 20 | 41.6 | \$1. 64 | \$73. 78 | 41.0 | \$1.80 | *\$70. 21 | *41.9 | \$1.68 | *\$77. 16 | 47.1 | \$1. 64 | \$71.89 | 42.3 | \$1. 70 | \$61.89 | 40.9 | \$1. 52 |
| April | *67.93 | 41.3 | 1.64 | 72.65 | 40.6 | 1.79 | *70.18 | * 41.6 | *1.69 | *77. 68 | 46. 4 | 1.65 | 71.68 | 42.0 | 1.71 | 64.86 | 42.6 | 1. 52 |
| May | 67.74 | 41.1 | 1.65 | 73. 40 | 40.8 | 1.80 | 70.19 | 41.6 | 1.69 | *76. 18 | *46.3 | *1.65 | 72.26 | 42.1 | 1.72 | 65.05 | 42.4 | 1. 54 |
| June. | 68.70 68.19 | 41.4 | 1.66 | 73. 72 | 40.6 | 1.82 | 70.20 71.18 | 41.5 | 1.69 1.69 | *71. 72 | *45. ${ }^{4}$ | 1.66 1.64 | 72.07 72.68 | 41.7 41.8 | 1.73 | 66.64 | 42.4 41.5 | 1. 57 |
| July... | 68.19 67.64 | 41.1 | 1.66 | 72.74 70.55 | 40.2 39.3 | 1.82 1.80 | *72.18 | +42.19 | 1.69 1.77 | *71.77 | *43.8 | +1.64 | 72. 728 | 41.8 | 1.74 1.73 | 65.02 | 41.6 | 1. 1.57 |
| August | 69.31 | 41.6 | 1.67 | 74.08 | 40.4 | 1.83 | 70.44 | 40.9 | 1.72 | *75.31 | *45.0 | 1.67 | 72.84 | 42.2 | 1.73 | 65.84 | 41.6 | 1.58 |
| October | 69.22 | 41.4 | 1.67 | *73. 97 | *40. 4 | *1.83 | 71.98 | 42.3 | 1.70 | *73. 53 | *43. 5 | ${ }^{*} 1.69$ | 73.50 | 41.9 | 1.75 | 66.27 | 42.0 | 1.58 |
| November | 69.78 | 41.4 | 1. 69 | *70. 50 | *39.0 | 1.81 | 73.75 | 42.3 | 1.74 | *75.97 | *44. 7 | *1. 70 | 73.61 | 41.7 | 1.76 | 66.89 | 42.2 | 1. 59 |
| December. | 71.46 | 42.1 | 1. 70 | *75.16 | 40.9 | 1.84 | *73. 83 | * 42.6 | 1.73 | *78.82 | *45. 5 | 1.73 | 74.92 | 42.4 | 1.77 | *68. 74 | 42.8 | *1.61 |
| 1952: JanuaFebruMarch |  |  |  | 74.68 | 40.2 | 1.86 | 73.83 | 42.6 | 1.73 | 79.97 | 46. 2 | 1. 73 |  |  |  | 67.53 | 42.1 | 1. 61 |
|  |  |  |  | 74.83 | 39.7 | 1.88 | 74.23 | 41.1 | 1.80 | 79.38 | 45. 5 | 1. 74 |  |  |  | 66. 68 | 41.6 | 1. 60 |
|  |  |  |  | 76.80 | 40.4 | 1.90 | 73.33 | 40.8 | 1.80 | 77.41 | 44.4 | 1.74 |  |  |  | 65.77 | 41.0 | 1.61 |
|  | Iowa-Continued |  |  | Kansas |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Kentucky |  |  | Louisiana |  |  |
|  | Des Moines ${ }^{2}$ |  |  | State |  |  | Topeka |  |  | Wichita |  |  | State |  |  | State ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| 1951: March | \$64. 47 | 39.7 | \$1.63 | \$65. 73 | 42.6 | \$1. 54 | \$59.86 | 41.9 | \$1. 43 | \$74. 67 | 45.1 | \$1. 65 |  |  |  | \$54.53 | 41.0 | \$1.33 |
| April | 66.45 66.67 | 40.6 | 1.64 1.64 | 66.52 | 42.9 43.2 | 1.53 1.54 | 55.13 61.29 | 40.1 42.9 | 1.37 1.43 | 72.83 74.24 | 45.1 44.9 | 1.62 |  |  |  | 54.53 54.54 | 41.0 40.7 | 1.33 |
|  | 66.64 | 40.1 | 1.66 | 67.09 | 43.1 | 1.56 | 61.84 | 43.4 | 1.42 | 75.76 | 45.0 | 1.68 |  |  |  | 54.68 | 40.5 | 1.35 |
| July | 66. 69 | 39.8 | 1.68 | 65.38 | 41.7 | 1.57 | 49.47 | 34.4 | 1.44 | 76.14 | 45.2 | 1. 68 |  |  |  | 56.16 | 41.6 | 1.35 |
| August | 67.37 | 40.3 | 1.67 | 69.92 | 43.8 | 1.60 | 58.30 | 41.3 | 1.41 | 77.44 | 45.4 | 1.71 |  |  |  | 55.21 | 41.2 | 1. 34 |
| September | 69. 91 | 40.8 | 1.71 | 71.24 | 44.4 | 1.61 | 63.83 | 43.1 | 1.48 | 78.92 | 46.0 | 1. 71 | \$59.98 | 40.7 | \$1.47 | 56.44 | 41.5 | 1.36 |
| October. | 68.69 | 40.3 | 1.70 | 70.74 | 43.8 | 1.62 | 63.28 | 42.2 | 1.50 | 78.10 | 45.6 | 1.71 | 61.45 | 41.4 | 1.49 | 55. 62 | 41.2 | 1.35 |
| November. | 66. 21 | 39.6 | 1.67 | 70.39 | 43.6 | 1.61 | 65. 88 | 43.2 | 1. 52 | 76. 91 | 45. 5 | 1.69 | 61.16 | 41.1 | 1.49 | 55. 57 | 42.1 | 1.32 |
| December.- | 66.04 | 39.2 | 1.69 | *70.92 | 44.1 | ${ }^{*} 1.61$ | *69.39 | 43.2 | *1. 61 | *77.11 | 45.8 | 1.68 | 60.75 | 41.6 | 1.46 | 55.12 | 42.4 | 1.30 |
| 1852: JanuarFebruMarch | 67.01 | 39.7 | 1.69 | 71.80 | 43.9 | 1.63 | 69.35 | 43.8 | 1.58 | 79.23 | 46.0 | 1. 72 | 60.30 | 41.8 | 1.44 | 54.81 | 40.9 | 1. 34 |
|  | 67. 64 | 40.1 | 1.69 | 70. 22 | 43.0 | 1.63 | 64.81 | 42.1 | 1.54 | 79.68 | 46.0 | 1.73 | 60.90 | 41.6 | 1.47 | 54.81 | 40. 9 | 1.34 |
|  | 67.34 | 39.7 | 1.70 | 69.29 | 42.2 | 1.64 | 62.62 | 42.6 | 1.47 | 76.00 | 43.7 | 1.74 | 62.59 | 41.6 | 1.51 | 55.62 | 41.2 | 1.35 |
|  | LouisianaContinued |  |  | Maine |  |  |  |  |  | Maryland |  |  |  |  |  | Massachusetts |  |  |
|  | New Orleans ${ }^{2}$ |  |  | State |  |  | Portland |  |  | State |  |  | Baltimore |  |  | State |  |  |
| 1951: March | $\$ 54.54$ <br> 52.53 <br> 52.67 <br> 51.74 <br> 54.00 54.89 <br> 54.00 <br> 54.54 <br> 54.67 | 40.7 | \$1. 34 | $\$ 52.99$ 41.1 $\$ 1.29$ |  |  | $\$ 54.10$ 41.6 $\$ 1.30$ |  |  | $\$ 60.54$ 41.4 $\$ 1.46$ |  |  | $\$ 63.90$ 41.7 $\$ 1.53$ |  |  | \$61. $55 \quad 41.5$ |  | \$1.48 |
|  |  | 40.1 | 1.31 | 53.56 | 40.7 | 1.32 | 54. 21 | 41.5 | 1.31 | 59. 98 | 41.0 | 1.46 | 63.15 | 41.3 | 1. 53 | 61.73 | 41.4 | 1.49 |
|  |  | 39.9 | 1.32 | 51.75 | 39.9 | 1.30 | 54.84 | 42.0 | 1. 31 | 59.93 | 40.7 | 1.47 | 63.30 | 41.1 | 1.54 | 61.65 | 41.3 | 1.49 |
|  |  | 38.9 | 1.33 | 51.60 | 39.7 | 1.30 | 54.30 | 41.1 | 1.32 | 60.17 | 40.7 | 1.48 | 63.94 | 41.2 | 1.55 | 60.17 | 40.5 | 1.49 |
|  |  | 40.0 | 1.35 | 50.50 | 38.5 | 1.31 | 53.47 | 40.8 | 1. 31 | 59. 94 | 40.6 | 1.48 | 64.18 | 41.2 | 1. 56 | 59.31 | 39.9 | 1.49 |
|  |  | 41.9 | 1. 31 | 51.28 | 40.1 | 1.28 | 55. 09 | 42.1 | 1. 31 | 57. 94 | 40.5 | 1.43 | 63.60 | 40.8 | 1. 56 | 59. 34 | 39.8 | 1. 49 |
|  |  | 40.6 | 1. 33 | 53. 39 | 40.5 | 1. 32 | 53.71 | 41.1 | 1. 31 | 59.70 | 41.2 | 1.45 | 64. 97 | 41.9 | 1. 55 | 60. 43 | 40.0 | 1. 51 |
|  |  | 40.4 | 1.35 | 50.73 | 38.5 | 1.32 | 52.24 | 39.8 | 1. 31 | 60.15 | 40.5 | 1.48 | 63. 63 | 40.9 | 1.56 | 59. 57 | 39.1 | 1. 52 |
|  |  | 40.0 | 1.35 | 50.06 | 37.6 | 1.33 | ${ }_{56}^{51.78}$ | 38.8 | 1.34 | 61.49 | * 40.9 | 1. 1.51 | 64.44 | 41.0 40.8 | 1. 1.57 | 59.95 62.30 | 39.2 40.6 | 1.53 1.53 |
|  |  | 40.2 | 1.36 | 56.34 | 41.7 | 1.35 | 56.77 | 42.3 | 1.34 | * 61.22 | *40. 7 | 1.51 | *63.99 | 40.8 | 1.57 | 62.30 | 40.6 | 1. 53 |
| 1952. JanuarFebruaMarch | $\begin{aligned} & 53.47 \\ & 52.67 \\ & 54.66 \end{aligned}$ | 39.9 | 1. 34 | 55.07 | 41.4 | 1.33 | 57.35 | 42.6 | 1.35 | 61.35 | 40.2 | 1. 53 | 63.98 | 40.3 | 1. 59 | 62. 28 | 40. 5 | 1. 54 |
|  |  | 39.6 | 1.33 | 55.19 | 41.4 | 1.33 | 56. 70 | 41.9 | 1.35 | 62.13 | 40.5 | 1.53 | 65.19 | 40.9 | 1. 59 | 62. 60 | 40.5 | 1.55 |
|  |  | 39.9 | 1.37 | 55.18 | 41.2 | 1.34 | 55.75 | 41.5 | 1.34 | 62.42 | 40.2 | 1. 55 | 65.67 | 40.6 | 1.62 | 62.46 | 40.3 | 1.55 |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table C-5: Hours and Gross Earnings of Production Workers in Manufacturing Industries for Selected States and Areas ${ }^{1}$-Continued
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Table C-5: Hours and Gross Earnings of Production Workers in Manufacturing Industries for Selected States and Areas ${ }^{1}$-Continued


See footnotes at end of table.

Table C-5. Hours and Gross Earnings of Production Workers in Manufacturing Industries for Selected States and Areas ${ }^{1}$-Continued

| Year and month | Utah-Continued |  |  | Vermont |  |  |  |  |  | Virginia |  |  | Washington |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Salt Lake City |  |  | State |  |  | Burlington |  |  | State |  |  | State |  |  |
|  | Avg. weekly earnings | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Avg. } \\ \text { weekly } \\ \text { hours } \end{gathered}\right.$ | Avg. hourly earnings | Avg. weekly earnings | Avg. weekly hours | Avg. <br> hourly earnings | Avg. weekly earn- ings | $\underset{\substack{\text { Aveekly } \\ \text { hours }}}{ }$. | Avg. hourly earnings | Avg. weekly earnings | $\begin{gathered} \text { Avg. } \\ \text { weekly } \\ \text { hours } \end{gathered}$ | Avg. hourly earnings | Avg. weekly earnings | $\begin{gathered} \text { Avg. } \\ \text { weekly } \\ \text { hours } \end{gathered}$ | Avg. hourly earnings |
| 1951: March_. | \$64.79 | 41.8 | \$1. 55 | \$57. 44 | 43.8 | \$1. 31 | \$54.35 | 42.2 | \$1.29 | \$51. 53 | 40.9 | \$1. 26 | *\$71.38 | 38.9 | \$1.84 |
|  | 65. 98 | 41.5 | 1. 59 | 57.53 | 43.9 | 1.31 | 56.28 | 41.8 | 1.35 | 51.16 | 40.6 | 1.26 | ${ }^{*} 72.83$ | 39.2 | 1. 86 |
|  | 66.83 | 42.3 | 1.58 | 57.44 | 43.4 | 1. 33 | 53.63 | 40.1 | 1. 34 | 50. 93 | 40.1 | 1. 27 | ${ }^{73.27}$ | 39.1 | 1. 87 |
|  | 67.73 6468 | 42.6 42.0 | 1. 59 | 57.36 57.03 | 43.6 | 1.32 1.32 | 54.89 55.41 | 40.8 | 1.35 | 50.53 <br> 50.55 | 40.1 <br> 39.8 | 1. 26 | $\begin{array}{r}73.87 \\ +708 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | +39.5 | 1.87 |
|  | 64.78 $* 64.37$ | *42.0 | 1. 1.54 | 57.03 56.79 | 43.1 42.9 | 1.32 | 55.41 54.71 | 40.7 40.4 | 1.36 1.36 | 50.55 49.64 | 39.8 <br> 39.4 | 1.27 | *70.68 | *38.0 | 1.86 |
|  | 66.68 | 42.2 | 1. 58 | 58. 04 | 43.2 | 1.35 | 55. 09 | 39.7 | 1.39 | 50.42 | 39.7 | 1.27 | *22.05 | *38. 1 | 1.89 |
|  | 65.83 | 41.4 | 1.59 | 57.75 | 43.1 | 1. 34 | 53. 43 | 38.6 | 1.38 | 49.90 | 39.6 | 1.26 | *73. 24 | 38.8 | 1.89 |
|  | 66. 62 | 41.9 | 1. 59 | 55. 95 | 41.3 | 1. 36 | 53.59 | 38.4 | 1.40 | 51.60 | 40.0 | 1.29 | 72. 60 | 37.9 | 1.92 |
|  | *70.15 | * 43.3 | *1. 62 | *59.39 | * 43.5 | 1.36 | *58.22 | *40.8 | 1.42 | *52.91 | * 40.7 | 1.30 | *74.67 | *38.5 | 1.94 |
|  | 66.83 | 41.0 | 1.63 | 60.06 | 43.8 | 1.37 | 56.35 | 40.4 | 1.39 | 52.79 | 40.3 | 1.31 | 72.79 | 38.0 | 1.92 |
|  | 67. 32 | 41.3 | 1.63 | 59.30 | 43.0 | 1.38 | 55. 79 | 39.3 | 1.42 | 52.40 | 40.0 | 1.31 | 75. 47 | 38.8 | 1. 95 |
|  | 69.72 | 42.0 | 1.66 | 59.72 | 43.1 | 1. 39 | 56.83 | 39.9 | 1.43 | 51.48 | 39.3 | 1.31 | 76.52 | 38.9 | 1. 97 |
|  | Washington-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Wisconsin |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Seattle |  |  | Spokane |  |  | Tacoma |  |  | State |  |  | Kenosha |  |  |
| 1951: March | *\$73. 50 | *40.0 | *\$1.84 | *\$67.02 | *39.4 | *\$1.70 | *\$67.41 | 38.0 | *\$1.77 | \$69. 57 | 43.1 | \$1. 62 | \$84.04 | 46.0 | \$1.83 |
|  | *73.80 | *40. 0 | 1.84 | *71. 23 | * 41.6 | 1.71 | *71.06 | *39.0 | 1.82 | 69. 19 | 42.7 | 1.62 | 71.85 | 41.2 | 1. 74 |
|  | 74.67 | 40.1 | 1.86 | *68. 96 | 40. 3 | 1.71 | *69. 77 | *38.0 | 1.84 | 68.96 | 42.5 | 1.62 | 72. 25 | 41.2 | 1.75 |
|  | +72.20 | 39.5 38.9 | 1.86 | * 69.66 | -40.4 | 1. 1.72 | *70.15 | *38.5 | *1.82 | 69. 70 | 42.5 | 1. 1.53 | 69.83 | 39.2 42.3 | 1.78 |
|  | 70.99 | 38.6 | 1.84 | 69. 27 | 39.7 | 1.74 | *68. 24 | *37.7 | ${ }^{1.81}$ | 67.49 | 42.2 | 1.60 | 71. 12 | 42.3 40.1 | 1.78 |
|  | 71.00 | 38.1 | 1.86 | 70.60 | 39.5 | 1. 79 | *70. 21 | *37.8 | *1.86 | 67.83 | 42.0 | 1.61 | 72.41 | 39.6 | 1.83 |
|  | 71.38 | 38.0 | 1.88 | 71. 28 | 40.1 | 1. 78 | *73. 21 | 39.4 | 1.86 | 68.78 | 42.1 | 1. 63 | 72.61 | 40.0 | 1.82 |
|  | 71.20 | 37.8 | 1.88 | 71.54 | 40.6 | 1.76 | *69. 56 | 37.1 | 1.88 | 69. 74 | 42.0 | 1.66 | 73. 99 | 40.7 | 1.82 |
|  | *73.32 | 38.6 | 1.90 | ${ }^{*} 73.03$ | *41. 1 | 1. 78 | 72.14 | *38.0 | *1.90 | *72.64 | 43.1 | *1. 68 | 76.62 | 41.3 | ${ }^{1.86}$ |
| 1952: JanuaryFebruaMarch | 70.89 | 37.3 | 1.90 | 72.33 | 40.6 | 1.78 | 73.80 | 38.5 | 1.92 | 71.52 | 42.2 | 1.70 | 76. 16 | 41.3 |  |
|  | 75. 04 | 38.7 | 1.94 | 72.01 | 40.5 | 1.78 | 72.86 | 38.5 | 1.89 | 72.31 | 42.5 | 1.70 | 73. 86 | 40.2 | 1.84 |
|  | 76.01 | 39.0 | 1.95 | 72.74 | 40.6 | 1. 79 | 74.73 | 38.8 | 1.92 | 71.61 | 42.1 | 1.70 | 77.19 | 40.7 | 1. 90 |
|  | Wisconsin-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Wyoming |  |  |
|  | La Crosse |  |  | Madison |  |  | Milwaukee |  |  | Racine |  |  | State |  |  |
| 1951: March | \$62.39 | 39.4 | \$1. 58 | \$65. 11 | 40.7 | \$1.60 | \$74.99 | 42.6 | \$1.76 | \$75. 08 | 42.3 | \$1.78 | * 71.08 | 38.8 | \$1.83 |
|  | 64.14 | 39.5 | 1.62 | 66. 63 | 41.0 | 1. 63 | 75. 04 | 42.4 | 1.77 | 76.10 | 42.2 | 1.80 | 71.96 | 39.0 | 1. 84 |
|  | 64.51 | 39.6 | 1.63 | 67.13 | 41.1 | 1. 64 | 74. 79 | 42.2 | 1.77 | 76.43 | 42.3 | 1.81 | 73.31 | 39.5 | 1.86 |
|  | 64. 25 | 39.7 | 1.62 | 70.09 | 41.1 | 1. 71 | 75. 38 | 42.3 | 1. 78 | 77. 93 | 42.8 | 1.82 | 72.95 | 39.8 | 1. 83 |
|  | 60.54 | 37.4 | 1.62 | 69.02 | 40.2 | 1. 72 | 73.41 | 41.5 | 1.77 | 72.96 | 40.8 | 1.79 | 70.34 | 38.5 | 1.83 |
|  | 61. 66 | 37. 8 | 1. 63 | 67.38 | 39.8 | 1. 70 | 74. 67 | 42.1 | 1. 77 | 75.41 | 41.8 | 1.80 | 73.69 | 41.4 | 1.78 |
|  | 64.32 | 39.7 | 1.62 | 70.71 | 41.5 | 1. 71 | 75. 50 | 42.1 | 1. 79 | 75.74 | 41.7 | 1.81 | *77.71 | 40.6 | ${ }^{*} 1.91$ |
|  | 64.01 | 39.3 | 1.63 | 69.73 | 40.9 | 1.71 | 75. 12 | 41.9 | 1.79 | 75. 88 | 41.6 | 1.82 | 67.97 | 37.1 | 1.83 |
|  | 62.64 | 38.7 | 1.62 | 76. 12 | 43.4 | 1.76 | 75.61 | 42.0 | 1.80 | 75.71 | 41.2 | 1.84 | 70.94 | 39.0 | 1.82 |
|  | 65. 62 | 40.1 | 1.64 | 74.77 | 42.8 | 1.75 | *78. 59 | 43.1 | ${ }^{*} 1.82$ | 77.98 | 41.8 | 1.86 | * 72.42 | *39.0 | *1.86 |
| 1952: Janua | 65. 58 | 39.4 | 1. 66 | 74. 59 | 42.4 | 1.77 | 76.95 | 41.6 | 1. 85 | 77.52 | 41.3 | 1.88 | 75.61 | 39.3 | 1.92 |
|  | 66.55 | 39.4 | 1. 69 | 71.49 | 40.4 | 1.78 | 78. 13 | 42.2 | 1.85 | 79.25 | 42.0 | 1.89 | 75.70 | 40.7 | 1.86 |
|  | 66.53 | 38.8 | 1.71 | 69.03 | 39.2 | 1.76 | 76.56 | 41.7 | 1.84 | 78.65 | 41.4 | 1.90 | 76.50 | 40.8 | 1.88 |

${ }^{1}$ Data for earlier years are available upon request to the Bureau of Labor Statistics or the cooperating State agency. State agencies also make available more detailed industry data. See table A-8 for addresses of cooperating State agencies.

## D: Prices and Cost of Living

Table D-1: Consumers' Price Index ${ }^{1}$ for Moderate-Income Families in Large Cities, by Group of Commodities
$[1935-39=100]$

| Year and month | All items | Food | Apparel | Rent | Fuel, electricity, and refrigeration |  |  |  | Housefurnishings | Miscellaneous ${ }^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Total | Gas and electricity | Other fuels | Ice |  |  |
| 1913: A verage | 70.7 | 79.9 | 69.3 | 92.2 | 61.9 | (3) | (1) | ${ }^{(3)}$ | 59.1 | 50.9 |
| 1914: A verage . | 71.8 | 81.8 | 69.8 | 92.2 | 62.3 | (8) | (3) | (3) | 60.7 | 51.9 |
| 1915: A verage. | 72.5 | 80.9 | 71.4 | 92.9 | 62.5 | (3) | (3) | (3) | 63.6 | 53.6 |
| 1916: A verage. | 77.9 | 90.8 | 78.3 | 94.0 | 65.0 | (8) | ${ }^{3}$ | (3) | 70.9 | 56.3 |
| 1917: A verage. | 91.6 | 116.9 | 94.1 | 93.2 | 72.4 | (3) | (3) | $\left.{ }^{8}\right)$ | 82.8 | 65.1 |
| 1918: A verage | 107.5 | 134.4 | 127.5 | 94.9 | 84.2 | $\left.{ }^{3}\right)$ | (3) | ${ }^{(3)}$ | 106.4 | 77.8 |
| 1919: A verage | 123.8 | 149.8 | 168.7 | 102.7 | 91.1 | (3) | (3) | (3) | 134.1 | 87.6 |
| 1920: A verage. | 143.3 | 168.8 | 201.0 | 120.7 | 106.9 | (3) | (3) | (3) | 164.6 | 100.5 |
| 1921: A verage. | 127.7 | 128.3 | 154.8 | 138.6 | 114.0 | (3) | (3) | (3) | 138.5 | 104.3 |
| 1922: A verage. | 119.7 | 119.9 | 125.6 | 142.7 | 113.1 | (3) | ${ }^{(8)}$ | ${ }^{(3)}$ | 117.5 | 101.2 |
| 1923: A verage. | 121.9 | 124.0 | 125.9 | 146.4 | 115.2 | (3) | (3) | (3) | 126.1 | 100.8 |
| 1924: A verage | 122.2 | 122.8 | 124.9 | 151.6 | 113.7 | ${ }^{(3)}$ | (3) | ${ }^{(3)}$ | 124.0 | 101.4 |
| 1925: A verage | 125.4 | 132.9 | 122.4 | 152.2 | 115.4 | (3) | (3) | $\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { (3) } \\ (3) \\ (3)\end{array}\right.$ | 121.5 | 102.2 |
| 1926: A verage | 126.4 124.0 | 137.4 132.3 | 120.6 118.3 | 150.7 148.3 | 117.2 115.4 | (8) | (8) | $\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { (3) } \\ (3)\end{array}\right.$ | 118.8 115.9 | 102.6 103.2 |
| 1927: A verage- | 124.0 | 132.3 130.8 | 118.3 116.5 | 148.3 | 115.4 113.4 | (8) | (8) | (3) | 115.9 | 103.2 103.8 |
| 1929: A verage. | 122.5 | 132.5 | 115.3 | 141.4 | 112.5 | (3) | (3) | (3) | 111.7 | 104.6 |
| 1930: A verage. | 119.4 | 126.0 | 112.7 | 137.5 | 111.4 | (3) | (3) | (3) | 108.9 | 105.1 |
| 1931: A verage | 108.7 | 103.9 | 102.6 | 130.3 | 108.9 | (3) | (3) | (3) | 98.0 | 104.1 |
| 1932: A verage. | 97.6 | 86.5 | 90.8 | 116.9 | 103.4 | (3) | (3) | ${ }^{3}$ | 85.4 | 101.7 |
| 1933: A verage | 92.4 | 84.1 | 87.9 | 100.7 | 100.0 | (3) | (2) | (3) | 84.2 | 98.4 |
| 1934: A verage | 95.7 | 93.7 | 96.1 | 94.4 | 101.4 | (3) | (3) |  | 92.8 | 97.9 |
| 1935: A verage | 98.1 | 100.4 | 96.8 | 94.2 | 100.7 | 102.8 | 98.4 |  | 94.8 | 98.1 |
| 1936: A verage | 99.1 | 101.3 | 97.6 102.8 | 96.4 | 100.2 | 100.8 | 99.8 101.7 | 100.0 100.0 | 96.3 104.3 | 98.7 |
| 1937: A verage | 102.7 100.8 | 105.3 97.8 | 102.8 102.2 | 100.9 104.1 | 100.2 99.9 | 99.1 99.0 | 101.7 | 100.0 100.0 | 104.3 103.3 | 101.0 101.5 |
| 1939: A A verage. | 99.4 | 95.2 | 100.5 | 104.3 | 99.0 | 98.9 | 99.1 | 100.2 | 101.3 | 100.7 |
| 1940: A verage. | 100.2 | 96.6 | 101.7 | 104.6 | 99.7 | 98.0 | 101.9 | 100.4 | 100.5 | 101.1 |
| 1941: Average. | 105.2 | 105.5 | 106.3 | 106.4 | 102.2 | 97.1 | 108.3 | 104.1 | 107.3 | 104.0 |
| 1942: Average. | 116.6 | 123.9 | 124.2 | 108.8 | 105.4 | 96.7 | 115.1 | 110.0 | 122.2 | 110.9 |
| 1943: A verage. | 123.7 | 138.0 | 129.7 | 108.7 | 107.7 | 96.1 | 120.7 | 114.2 | 125.6 | 115.8 |
| 1944: A verage | 125.7 | 136.1 | 138.8 | 109.1 | 109.8 | 95.8 | 126.0 | 115.8 | 136.4 | 121.3 |
| 1945: A verage | 128.6 | 139.1 | 145.9 | 109.5 | 110.3 | 95.0 | 128.3 | 115.9 | 145.8 | 124.1 |
| 1946: A verage | 139.5 | 159.6 | 160.2 | 110.1 | 112.4 | 92.3 | 136.9 | 115.9 | 159.2 | 128.8 |
| 1947: A verage. | 159.6 | 193.8 | 185.8 | 113.6 | 121.1 | 92.0 | 156.1 | 125.9 | 184.4 | 139.9 |
| 1948: A verage. | 171.9 | 210.2 | 198.0 | 121.2 | 133.9 | 94.3 | 183.4 | 135.2 | 195.8 | 149.9 |
| 1949: A verage | 170.2 | 201.9 | 190.1 | 126.4 | 137.5 | 96.7 | 187.7 | 141.7 | 189.0 | 154.6 |
| 1950: Average | 171.9 | 204.5 | 187.7 | 131.0 | 140.6 | 96.8 | 194.1 | 147.8 | 190.2 | 156. 5 |
| 1951: A verage. | 185.6 | 227.4 | 204.5 | 136.2 | 144.1 | 97.2 | 204.5 | 155. 6 | 210.9 | 165. 4 |
| 1950: January 15 | 168.2 | 196.0 | 185. 0 | 129.4 | 140.0 | 96.7 | 193.1 | 145.5 | 184.7 | 155.1 |
| June 15. | 170.2 | 203.1 | 184. 6 | 130.9 | 139.1 | 96.8 | 189.0 | 147.0 | 184.8 2074 | 154.6 |
| 1951: January 15 | 181.5 | 221.9 | 198.5 | 133.2 | 143.3 | 97.2 97.2 | 202.3 201.8 | 152.0 152.9 | 207.4 208.9 | ${ }_{163 .} 7$ |
| January 15. | 181.6 184.6 | 221.6 225.7 | 199.7 203.6 | 126.0 135.1 | 144.5 | 97.2 96.9 | 201.8 205.0 | 152.9 154.4 | 208.9 211.8 | 163.7 164.6 |
| April 15. | 184.5 | 224.6 | 205.2 | 127.7 | 146.2 | 97.1 | 205.5 | 154.4 | 214.1 | 166.1 |
| May 15 | 185.4 | 227.4 | 204. 0 | 135.4 | 143.6 | 97.3 | 202.4 | 156. 0 | 212.6 | 165.0 |
| May 15 | 185.4 | 226.7 | 205.7 | 128.0 | 144.9 | 97.4 | 201.6 | 156.0 | 214.8 | 166.4 |
| June 15 | 185.2 | 226.9 | 204.0 | 135. 7 | 143. 6 | 97.1 | 202.8 | 156. 0 | 212.5 | 164.8 |
| June 15. | 185.5 | 227.0 | 205.5 | 128.5 | 146.1 | 97.2 | 208.3 | 156.0 | 214.6 | 166. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| July 15 | 185. 5 | 227.7 | 203.3 | 136. 2 | 144.0 | 97.2 | 203.7 | ${ }_{157}^{157.6}$ | 212.4 | 165.0 |
| July 15 | 185.8 | 227.5 | 204.9 203.6 | 128.8 136.8 | 146.7 | 97.2 97.3 | 203.4 204.2 | 157.6 157.8 | 214.8 210.8 | 166.3 165.4 |
| August 15 | 185.5 | 227.0 | 205. 20 | 199.8 | 146.0 | ${ }_{97.8}^{97}$ | 204.0 | 157.8 | 212.7 | 166.8 |
| September 15 | 186.6 | 227.3 | 209.0 | 137.5 | 144.4 | 97.3 | 204.9 | 157.8 | 211.1 | 166.0 |
| September 15. | 186.5 | 226.5 | 210.7 | 130.0 | 146.8 | 97.3 | 204.8 | 157.8 | 212.8 | 167.5 |
| October 15 | 187.4 | 229.2 | 208.9 | 138.2 | 144.6 | 97.4 | 205.8 | 156.3 | 210.4 | 166. 6 |
| October 15 | 187.8 | 229.2 | 211.0 | 130.8 | 146.8 | 97.4 | 206.3 | 156.3 | 212.0 | 168.1 |
| November 15 | 188.6 | 231.4 | 207.6 | 138.9 | 144.8 | 97.4 | 206.3 | 156.3 | 210.8 | 168.4 |
| November 15. | 189.8 | 232.1 | 209.9 | 131.4 | 147.0 | 97.4 | 206.7 | 156.3 | 212.5 | 169.8 |
| December 15 | 189.1 | 232.2 | 206. 8 | 139.2 | 144.9 | ${ }_{97}^{97.5}$ | 206.6 | 156.3 |  |  |
| December 15 | 190.0 | 235.9 | 209.1 | 151.8 | 147.1 | 97.5 | 207.0 | 156.3 | 211.8 | 170.5 |
| 1952: January 15 | 189.1 | 232.4 | 204.6 | 139.7 | 145. 0 | 97.6 | 206. 8 | 156.3 | 200.1 | 169.6 |
| January 15 | 190.2 | 234.6 | 206.7 | 138.2 | 147.2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| February 15 | 187.9 | 227.5 | 204.3 206.1 | 140.2 | 145.3 | 97.9 97.8 | 206.7 | 156.3 | 208.6 210.0 | ${ }_{171.5}^{170.2}$ |
| February 15. | 188.5 188.0 | 227.6 | 203.5 | 140.5 | 145.3 | 97.9 | 206.8 | 156.5 | 207.6 | 170.7 |
| March 15. | 188.4 | 229.2 | 205.6 | 132.9 | 147.4 | 97.8 | 207.1 | 156.5 | 209.2 | 178.0 |
| April 15 | 188.7 | 230.0 | 202.7 | 140.8 | 145.3 | 98.0 | 206.1 | 156. 5 | ${ }_{207} 206.2$ | 171.1 |
| April 15. | 189.6 | 232.3 | 205.0 | 133.2 | 147.2 | 98.1 | 206.2 | 156.5 | 207.7 | 172.4 |

[^51]adjusted population and commodity weights beginning with indexes for anuary 1950. These adjustments make a continuous comparable series rom 1913 to date. See also General Note below.
Mimeographed tables are available upon request showing indexes for each of the cities regularly surveyed by the Bureau and for each of the major groups of living essentials. Indexes for all large cities combined are available since 1913. The beginning date for series of indexes for individual cities varies from city to city but indexes are available for most of the 34 cities since World War I.
${ }_{2}$ The Miscellaneous group covers transportation (such as automobiles and their upkeep and public transportation fares); medical care (including proessional care and medicines); household operation (covering supplies and different kinds of paid services); recreation (that is, newspapers, motion pictures, radio, television, and tobacco products); personal care (barber and beauty-shop service and toilet articles); etc.
Data not available.

Note.-The old series of Indexes for 1951-52 are shown in italics in tables D-1 ,D-2, and D-5 for reference.

Table D-2: Consumers' Price Index for Moderate-Income Families, by City, ${ }^{1}$ for Selected Periods
$1935-39=100]$

| City | $\begin{gathered} \text { Apr. } 15 \\ 1952 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mar. } 15 \\ 1952 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Feb. 15, } \\ 1952 \end{gathered}$ | ${ }^{\text {Jan. } 15,}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Dec. } 15, \\ 1951 \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Nov. } 15 \\ 1951 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct. } 15 \text {, } \\ & 1951 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { Sept. }_{1951} 15$ | $\underset{1951}{\text { Aug. }}$ | $\text { July } 15 \text {, }$ | June 15, | $\operatorname{May}_{1951}$ | $\underset{1951}{\text { Apr. }}$ | $\left.\right\|_{1951} ^{\mathrm{Jan} .^{15},}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } 15, \\ & 1950 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{1952}{A_{1}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average | 188.7 | 188.0 | 187.9 | 189.1 | 189.1 | 188.6 | 187.4 | 186.6 | 185.5 | 185.5 | 185.2 | 185.4 | 184.6 | 181.5 | 170.2 | 189.6 |
| Atlanta, Ga | ${ }^{(2)}$ | ${ }^{(2)}$ | 195.2 | (2) | ${ }^{(2)}$ | 196.1 | ${ }^{(2)}$ | ${ }^{(2)}$ | 193.1 | ${ }^{(2)}$ | ${ }^{(2)}$ | 192.7 | (2) | ${ }^{2}$ | ${ }^{(2)}$ | ${ }^{2}$ |
| Baltimore, Md | ${ }^{(2)}$ | 193.0 193.6 | ${ }^{(2)}$ | ${ }^{(2)} 194$ | 193.3 196.0 | ${ }^{(2)}$ | ${ }^{(2)}$ | 190.5 191.4 | ${ }^{(2)}$ | (2) 189.2 | 189.8 189.8 | ${ }^{(2)}$ | (2) | (2) | 174.7 171.6 | ${ }^{(2)} 194.2$ |
| Birmingham, Ala | 193.3 178.9 | 193.6 179.1 | 193.9 179.3 | 194.7 180.0 | 196.0 180.9 | 196.3 180.0 | 196.0 179.3 | 191.4 | 190.5 177.2 | 189.2 176.9 | 189.8 176.5 | 190.1 176.1 | 189. 9 175.5 | 188.2 173.5 | 171.6 165.5 | 194.2 |
| Buffalo, N. Y | 188.8 | (2) | (2) | 188.3 | (2) | (2) | 186.9 | (2) | (2) | 185. 5 | (2) | $\left.{ }^{2}\right)$ | 183.3 | 180.8 | (2) | 180.6 188.9 |
| Chicago, Ill . | 193.1 | 192.7 | 191.9 | 194.1 | 194.2 | 194.3 | 193.5 | 191.8 | 190.9 | 190.9 | 190.1 | 189.8 | 189.1 | 185.4 | 175.1 | 194.5 |
| Cincinnati, Ohio | 188.4 | 187.5 | 187.1 | 188.3 | 187.9 | 187.8 | 187.0 | 186.8 | 185.3 | 185.6 | 185. 0 | 184.8 | 184.6 | 182.3 | 170.5 | 189.4 |
| Cleveland, Ohio | (2) | $\left.{ }^{2}\right)$ | 191.8 | (2) | (2) | 192.0 | ${ }^{(2)}$ | $\left.{ }^{2}\right)$ | 189.1 | (1) | $\left.{ }^{2}\right)$ | 188.2 | (2) | ${ }^{(2)}$ | ${ }^{(2)}$ |  |
| Denver, Colo- | 191.1 | $\left.{ }^{2}\right)$ | ${ }^{(2)}$ | 192.3 | (2) | ${ }^{(2)}$ | 191.2 | (2) | (2) | 187.6 | (2) | (2) | 187.0 | 184.9 | (2) | 189.0 |
| Detroit, Mich | 191.7 | 190.7 | 190.7 | 192.0 | 191.9 | 191.5 | 190.2 | 189.0 | 188.5 | 188.6 | 188.3 | 187.4 | 186.7 | 184.2 | 173.5 | 193.4 |
| Houston, Tex. | 194.7 | 194.3 | 194.3 | 195.4 | 196.0 | 195.1 | 194.4 | 194.1 | 193.0 | 192.6 | 192.3 | ${ }^{8} 192.5$ | 192.5 | 190.1 | 175.8 | 194.0 |
| Indianapolis, Ind. | 189.8 | $\left.{ }^{2}\right)$ | (2) | 190.9 | (2) | ${ }^{(2)}$ | 189.9 | (2) | (2) | 187.8 | ${ }^{(2)}$ | ${ }^{(2)}$ | ${ }^{3} 187.5$ | 184.4 | (2) | 191.4 |
| Jacksonville, Fla | (2) | 195.6 | (2) | (2) | 195.9 | (2) | $\left.{ }^{2}\right)$ | 192.0 | (2) | $\left.{ }^{2}\right)$ | 190.6 | (2) | (2) | (2) | 176.3 |  |
| Kansas City, Mo. | 183.3 | ${ }^{(2)}$ | (2) | 182.3 | (2) | (2) | 180.4 | (2) | (2) | 179.7 | ${ }^{(2)}$ | (2) | 178.5 | 175.6 | (2) | 182.7 |
| Los Angeles, Calif | 191.5 | 190.9 | 190.7 | 190.0 | 190.4 | 189.6 | 187.9 | 187.2 | 186.6 | 186.7 | 186.1 | 186.3 | 185.6 | 181.3 | 169.3 | 191.8 |
| Manchester, N. H. | 187.0 | ${ }^{(2)}$ | (2) | 187.0 | (2) | ${ }^{(2)}$ | 187.0 | (2) | (2) | 184.4 | (2) | $\left.{ }^{2}\right)$ | 182.9 | 180.6 | (2) | 187.9 |
| Memphis, Tenn. | ${ }^{(2)}$ | 190.2 | (2) | (2) | 191.4 | (2) | $\left.{ }^{2}\right)$ | 189.9 | (2) | (2) | 187.8 | (2) | (2) | (2) | 172.7 | ${ }^{2}$ |
| Milwaukee, Wis. | (2) | (2) | 195.1 | (2) | (8) | 195.3 | (2) | (2) | 192.3 | (2) | (2) | 190.9 | (2) | (2) | (2) | (2) |
| Minneapolis, Minn. | ${ }^{(2)}$ | 188.0 | ${ }^{(2)}$ | $\left.{ }^{2}\right)$ | 187.7 | ${ }^{(2)}$ | (2) | 183.1 | (2) | (2) | 183.6 | (2) | ${ }^{2}$ | (2) | 169.1 | ${ }^{2}$ |
| Mobile, Ala | ${ }^{(2)}$ | 187.9 | (2) | (2) | 187.3 | (2) | (2) | 185. 6 | ${ }^{(2)}$ | (2) | 183.5 | ${ }^{(2)}$ | (2) | (2) | 168.2 | ${ }^{(2)}$ |
| New Orleans, La | (2) | ${ }^{(2)}$ | 190.5 | (2) | (2) | 190.0 | (2) | $\left.{ }^{2}\right)$ | 188.9 | (2) | ${ }^{(2)}$ | 188.5 | (2) | (2) | $\left.{ }^{2}\right)$ | (2) |
| New York, N. Y | 183.5 | 182.4 | 183.0 | 184.2 | 184.0 | 184.1 | 183.0 | 182.5 | 180.9 | 181.2 | 180.5 | 181.4 | 180.6 | 177.8 | 167.0 | 184.8 |
| Norfolk, Va | ${ }^{(2)}$ | (2) | ${ }^{2} 192.0$ | (2) | (2) | 191.7 | (2) | (2) | 188.6 | ${ }^{(2)}$ | ${ }^{(2)}$ | 188.3 | (2) | (2) | (2) | (2) |
| Philadelphia, P | 188.2 | 187.8 | 187.1 | 188.9 | 189.2 | 189.1 | 186.7 | 186.1 | 185.4 | 185.4 | 185.6 | 186.4 | 185.9 | 181.0 | 169.1 | 189.4 |
| Pittsburgh, Pa | 190.9 | 190.3 | 190.9 | 192.2 | 191.7 | 192.0 | 191.2 | 190.0 | 188.8 | 189.3 | 187.8 | 187.8 | 186. 7 | 183.4 | 171.8 | 198.2 |
| Portland, Maine | ${ }^{(2)}$ | 180.6 | ${ }^{(2)}$ | ${ }^{(2)}$ | 179.9 | (2) | ${ }^{(2)}$ | 178.6 | ${ }^{(2)}$ | $\left.{ }^{2}\right)$ | 176.4 | ${ }^{2}$ | $\left.{ }^{2}\right)$ | $\left.{ }^{2}\right)$ | 164.4 |  |
| Portland, Oreg | 198.6 | $\left.{ }^{2}\right)$ | (2) | 199.0 | (2) | (2) | 195.8 | (2) | ${ }^{(2)}$ | 195.7 | $\left.{ }^{2}\right)$ | (2) | 194.1 | 190.4 | ${ }^{(2)}$ | 199.8 |
| Richmond, Va | 184.5 | (2) | (2) | 183.8 | (2) | (2) | 183.8 | (2) | (2) | 181.3 | (2) | (2) | 181.2 | 179.8 | (2) | 183.2 |
| St. Louis, Mo- | ${ }^{(2)}$ | 190.2 | ${ }^{(2)}$ | (2) | 190.2 | (2) | $\left.{ }^{2}\right)$ | 186.2 | (2) | $\left.{ }^{2}\right)$ | 185.0 | (2) | (2) | (2) | 168.8 | $\left.{ }^{2}\right)$ |
| San Francisco, Calif | (2) | 193.1 | ${ }^{2}$ | (2) | 193.1 | (2) | ${ }^{(2)}$ | 188.4 | (2) | (2) | 188.4 | ${ }^{2}$ | (2) | (2) | 172.4 |  |
| Savannah, Ga | 199.6 | $\left.{ }^{2}\right)$ | (2) | 200.3 | (2) | (2) | 198.8 | (2) | (2) | 196.5 | (2) | (2) | 195. 5 | 189.2 | ${ }^{(2)}$ | 198.2 |
| Scranton, Pa | $\left.{ }^{2}\right)$ | ${ }^{(2)}$ | 184.2 | ${ }^{(2)}$ | (2) | 185. 4 | $\left.{ }^{2}\right)$ | ${ }^{2}$ | 182.5 | (2) | (2) | 182.4 | ${ }^{(2)}$ | (2) | (2) | ${ }^{2}$ |
| Seattle, Wash | (2) | (2) | 195.3 | (2) | (2) | 194.6 | (2) | (2) | 190.9 | (2) | (2) | 191.4 | (2) | (2) | (2) | (2) |
| Washington, D. C.- | ${ }^{(2)}$ | ${ }^{(2)}$ | 183.9 | (2) | (2) | 184.7 | (2) | ${ }^{(2)}$ | 180.8 | (2) | ${ }^{(2)}$ | 180.0 | ${ }^{(2)}$ | (2) | ${ }^{(2)}$ | ${ }^{(2)}$ |

${ }^{1}$ The indexes are based on time-to-time changes in the cost of goods and services purchased by moderate-income families in large cities. They do not indicate whether it costs more to live in one city than in another.
${ }^{2}$ Indexes are computed monthly for 10 cities and once every 3 months for 24 additional cities according to a staggered schedule.
${ }^{3}$ Corrected.

Table D-3: Consumers' Price Index for Moderate-Income Families, by City and Group of Commodities ${ }^{1}$
${ }^{1}$ Prices of apparel, housefurnishings, and miscellaneous goods and services are obtained monthly in 10 cities and once every 3 months in 24 additional cities on a staggered schedule.

Table D-4: Indexes of Retail Prices of Foods, ${ }^{1}$ by Group, for Selected Periods

| Year and month | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { foods } \end{gathered}$ | Cereals and bakery products | Meats, poultry, and fish | Meats |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Chick- } \\ \text { ens } \end{gathered}$ | Fish | Dairy products | Eggs | Fruits and vegetables |  |  |  |  | Beverages | Fats and oils | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sugar } \\ & \text { and } \\ & \text { sweets } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Total | Beef and vea] | Pork | Lamb |  |  |  |  | Total | Frozen ${ }^{2}$ | Fresh | Can- <br> ned | Dried |  |  |  |
| 1923: A verage | 124.0 | 105. 5 | 101.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 129.4 | 136.1 | 169.5 |  | 173. 6 | 124.8 | 175. 4 | 131.5 | 126.2 | 175.4 |
| 1926: A verage | 137.4 | 115.7 | 117.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 127.4 | 141.7 | 210.8 |  | 226.2 | 122.9 | 152.4 | 170.4 | 145. 0 | 120.0 |
| 1929: A verage | 132.5 | 107.6 | 127.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 131.0 | 143.8 | 169.0 |  | 1735 | 124.3 | 171.0 | 164.8 | 127.2 | 114.3 |
| 1932: A verage | 86.5 | 82.6 | 79.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 84.9 | 82.3 | 103.5 |  | 105.9 | 91.1 | 912 | 112.6 | 71.1 | 896 |
| 1939: A verage | 95. 2 | 94.5 | 966 | 96.6 | 101. 1 | 88.9 | 99.5 | 93.8 | 101.0 | 95.9 | 91.0 | 94.5 |  | 95.1 | 92.3 | 93.3 | 95.5 | 87.7 | 1006 |
| 1940: August | 93.5 | 93.4 | 95.7 | 95. 4 | 99.6 | 88.0 | 98.8 | 94.6 | 99.6 | 93.1 | 907 | 92.4 |  | 92.8 | 91.6 | 90. 3 | 94.9 | 84.5 | 95.6 |
| 1940: A verage. | 96.6 | 96.8 | 95.8 | 94.4 | 102.8 | 81.1 | 99.7 | 94.8 | 110.6 | 101. 4 | 93.8 | 96.5 |  | 97.3 | 92.4 | 100.6 | 92.5 | 82.2 | 96.8 |
| 1941: A verage | 105. 5 | 97.9 | 107.5 | 106. 5 | 110.8 | 100.1 | 106.6 | 102.1 | 124. 5 | 112.0 | 112. 2 | 103.2 |  | 104. 2 | 97.9 | 106. 7 | 101.5 | 94.0 | 106. 4 |
| 191. Decembe | 113.1 | 102.5 | 111.1 | 109. 7 | 114. 4 | 103. 2 | 108. 1 | 100.5 | 138. 9 | 120.5 | 138.1 | 110.5 |  | 111.0 | 106.3 | 118.3 | 114.1 | 108. 5 | 114.4 |
| 1942: A verage | 123. 9 | 105. 1 | 126. 0 | 122.5 | 123. 6 | 120.4 | 124.1 | 122.6 | 163. 0 | 125. 4 | 136.5 | 130.8 |  | 132.8 | 121.6 | 136. 3 | 122.1 | 119.6 | 126.5 |
| 1943: A verage | 138.0 | 107.6 | 133.8 | 124. 2 | 124. 7 | 119.9 | 136.9 | 146.1 | 206. 5 | 134.6 | 161.9 | 1688 |  | 178. 0 | 130.6 | 1589 | 124.8 | 126. 1 | 127.1 |
| 1944: A verage | 136. 1 | 108.4 | 129.9 | 117.9 | 118. 7 | 112.2 | 134. 5 | 151.0 | 207. 6 | 133.6 | 153.9 | 168. 2 |  | 177.2 | 129.5 | 1645 | 124.3 | 123.3 | 126.5 |
| 1945: A verage | 139.1 | 109. 0 | 131. 2 | 118.0 | 118.4 | 112.6 | 136.0 | 154.4 | 217.1 | 133. 9 | 164. 4 | 1771 |  | 188. 2 | 130.2 | 168. 2 | 124.7 | 124.0 | 126.5 |
| August | 140.9 | 109.1 | 131.8 | 118.1 | 118.5 | 112.6 | 136. 4 | 157.3 | 217. 8 | 133.4 | 171.4 | 183.5 |  | 196. 2 | 130.3 | 168.6 | 124.7 | 124.0 | 126.6 |
| 1946: A verag | 159.6 | 125. 0 | 161.3 | 150.8 | 150.5 | 148. 2 | 163.9 | 174.0 | 236. 2 | 165.1 | 168.8 | 182.4 |  | 190. 7 | 140.8 | 190.4 | 139.6 | 152.1 | 143.9 |
| June --.----- | 145.6 | 122. 1 | 134.0 | 120.4 | 121.2 | 114.3 | 139.0 | 162.8 | 219.7 | 147.8 | 147.1 | 183.5 |  | 196. 7 | 127.5 | 172.5 | 125.4 | 126.4 | 136. 2 |
| November---- | 187.7 | 140.6 | 203.6 | 197.9 | 191.0 | 207.1 | 205.4 | 188.9 | 265.0 | 198.5 | 201.6 | 184.5 |  | 182.3 | 167.7 | 251.6 | 167.8 | 244.4 | 170.5 |
| 1947: A verage | 193.8 | 155.4 | 217.1 | 214.7 | 213.6 | 215.9 | 220.1 | 183.2 | 271.4 | 186. 2 | 200.8 | 199.4 |  | 201.5 | 166. 2 | 263.5 | 186.8 | 197.5 | 180.0 |
| 1948: A verage | 210.2 | 170.9 | 246.5 | 243.9 | 258. 5 | 222.5 | 246.8 | 203. 2 | 312.8 | 204.8 | 208.7 | 205.2 |  | 212.4 | 158.0 | 246.8 | 205.0 | 195. 5 | 174.0 |
| 1949: A verage | 201.9 | 169.7 | 233.4 | 229.3 | 241.3 | 205. 9 | 251.7 | 191.5 | 314.1 | 186. 7 | 201. 2 | 208.1 |  | 218.8 | 152.9 | 227.4 | 220.7 | 148.4 | 176.4 |
| 1950: Average | 204. 5 | 172.7 | 243.6 | 242.0 | 265. 7 | 203. 2 | 257.8 | 183.3 | 308.5 | 184. 7 | 173. 6 | 199. 2 |  | 206. 1 | 146. 0 | 228.5 | 312.5 | 144. 3 | 179.9 |
| January | 1960 | 169.0 | 219. 4 | 217.9 | 242. 3 | 177. 3 | 234.3 | 158.9 | 301. 9 | 184. 2 | 152. 3 | 204.8 |  | 217. 2 | 143.3 | 223.9 | 299.5 | 135.2 | 178.9 |
| June... | 203.1 | 169.8 | 246.5 | 246.7 | 268.6 | 209.1 | 268.1 | 185.1 | 295. 9 | 177.8 | 148.4 | 209.3 |  | 224.3 | 142.7 | 222.9 | 296. 5 | 140.1 | 174.3 |
| 1951: A verag | 227.4 | 188.5 | 272.2 | 274.1 | 310.4 | 215.7 | 288.8 | 192.1 | 352.0 | 206.0 | 211.3 | 217.9 | 98.6 | 223.3 | 165.9 | 249.9 | 344.5 | 168.8 | 186.6 |
| April. | 225. 7 | 188. 3 | 272.6 | 272.5 | 309. 5 | 213.7 | 284.2 | 198.5 | 351. 7 | 204.1 | 191.2 | 214.8 | 100.2 | 215.9 | 168.9 | 257.8 | 343.5 | 178.3 | 185.9 |
| May | 227.4 | 188. 2 | 272.8 | 272. 4 | 308. 7 | 213.4 | 289.1 | 199.4 | 353.1 | 203.5 | 198. 4 | 221.6 | 99.6 | 226. 5 | 169.6 | 256. 7 | 345.3 | 176. 7 | 185.4 |
| June | 226.9 | 188.4 | 271.6 | 273.1 | 308.8 | 214.4 | 292.5 | 191.3 | 356. 3 | 203. 9 | 201. 2 | 219.9 | 98.8 | 223. 5 | 170.4 | 254.4 | 345.2 | 175.2 | 186.1 |
| July. | 227.7 | 189.0 | 273.2 | 274.2 | 310.3 | 215. 3 | 292.2 | 195. 3 | 353.3 | 205. 1 | 211.5 | 218.5 | 98.8 | 221.8 | 170.0 | 250.7 | 344.8 | 168.8 | 188.0 |
| August | 227.0 | 188.7 | 275. 0 | 276.6 | 310.1 | 222. 6 | 292.0 | 1944 | 356. 4 | 205. 9 | 225. 8 | 208. 9 | 98.0 | 209. 1 | 165.8 | 248. 5 | 345.2 | 162. 7 | 188.3 |
| September | 227.3 | 189.4 | 275. 6 | 2776 | 310.7 | 224.3 | 292.2 | 195. 1 | 353. 2 | 206. 4 | 239.3 | 205.1 | 97.5 | 204. 3 | 164. 2 | 245.6 | 345.0 | 161.5 | 188. 2 |
| October | 229. 2 | 189.4 | 2766 | 281.0 | 217. 0 | 223. 8 | 293.7 | 188. 7 | 353. 2 | 207.9 | 243.4 | 210.8 | 97.5 | 214. 4 | 162.8 | 240.8 | 345.8 | 160.6 | 187.0 |
| November | 231.4 | 190.2 | 273.5 | 278. 6 | 3173 | 215.8 | 295.6 | 184.0 | 351.1 | 2104 | 241. 8 | 223.5 | 95.9 | 235. 0 | 162. 7 | 238.1 | 346.6 | 158.5 | 186. 7 |
| December. | 232.2 | 190.4 | 270.1 | 274.6 | 316.9 | 203.8 | 300.0 | 181.9 | 351.2 | 213.2 | 216.7 | 236.5 | 95.0 | 255. 4 | 163.3 | 238.9 | 346.8 | 157.8 | 186.4 |
| 1952: January | 232.4 | 190.6 | 272.1 | 273.8 | 316.0 | 203.8 | 297.1 | 192.6 | 351.5 | 215.8 | 184.3 | 241.4 | 95.0 | 263.2 | 163.3 | 238.6 | 346.7 | 155.3 | 185.9 |
| Februar | 227.5 | 190.9 | 271.1 | 270.8 | 314. 2 | 201.0 | 285.6 | 1975 | 351.5 | 217.0 | 166. 5 | 223.5 | 94.2 | 234.6 | 163. 6 | 238.4 | 347.1 | 150.9 | 185.1 |
| March. | 227.6 | 191.2 | 267.7 | 268.8 | 312.6 | 200.3 | 276.5 | 190.7 | 347. 6 | 215.7 | 161.3 | 232.1 | 92.5 | 248.4 | 163.9 | 236.3 | 347.1 | 145. 6 | 184.3 |
| April. | 230.0 | 191.1 | 266.7 | 268.1 | 311.2 | 198. 7 | 283.1 | 188.8 | 346. 3 | 212.6 | 165,9 | 247.2 | 91.5 | 272.8 | 163.5 | 236.9 | 347.3 | 143.1 | 186.2 |

[^52][^53]Table D-5: Indexes of Retail Prices of Foods, by City
$[1935-39=100$ ]

| City | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Apr. } \\ & 1955 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mar. } \\ & 1952 \end{aligned}$ | Feb. 1952 | Jan. <br> 1952 | Dec. 1951 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nov. } \\ & 1951 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct. } \\ & 1951 \end{aligned}$ | Sept. 1951 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aug. } \\ & 1951 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { July } \\ & 1951 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 1951 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 1951 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Apr. } \\ & 1951 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 1950 \end{aligned}$ | ${ }_{1952}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| United State | 230.0 | 227.6 | 227.5 | 232.4 | 232.2 | 231.4 | 229.2 | 227.3 | 227.0 | 227.7 | 226.9 | 227.4 | 225.7 | 203.1 | 238.3 |
| Atlanta, G | 225. 0 | 223.9 | 227.4 | 230.7 | 230.7 | 232.1 | 230.0 | 232.1 | 231.4 | 2294 | 228.1 | 228.7 | 228.5 | 195 | 227. |
| Baltimore, Md | 242.6 | 239.5 | 2386 | 243.8 | 242.5 | 242.4 | 241.1 | 238.3 | 238.0 | 237.0 | 238.9 | 239.0 | 236.2 | 215.6 | 245.6 |
| Birmingham, A | 215. 8 | 215. 3 | 217.3 | 220.2 | 222.7 | 224.3 | 224.0 | 220. 1 | 2173 | 214.5 | 216.4 | 218.1 | 218.3 | 192.2 | 219.2 |
| Boston, Mass | 215. 2 | 214.6 | 214.5 | 218.2 | 219.3 | 218.4 | 217.8 | 213.9 | 215.5 | 216.6 | 214.9 | 214.4 | 212.8 | 196.1 | 216.7 |
| Bridgeport, Conn | 228.3 | 227.3 | 2270 | 229.4 | 228.9 | 227.9 | 227.4 | 224.3 | 225.0 | 226.0 | 225.9 | 225.3 | 226.0 | 204.0 | 230.5 |
| Buffalo | 224.7 | 2218 | 221.0 | 225.2 | 226.7 | 227.2 | 224.2 | 221.5 | 219.2 | 222.1 | 224.3 | 221.8 | 218.0 | 199.0 | 230.3 |
| Butte, Mon | 228. 9 | 228.1 | 227.5 | 230.2 | 233.7 | 220.2 | 229.2 | 228.5 | 229.0 | 227.4 | 225.5 | 226.6 | 222.9 | 203.0 | 232.9 |
| Oedar Rapids, I | 236.4 | 235.1 | 235.1 | 238.3 | 239.8 | 240.5 | 237.8 | 235.1 | 236.0 | 238.5 | 237.2 | 236.5 | 234.8 | 208.6 | 242.4 |
| Charleston, S. | 220.2 | 219.3 | 219.4 | 222.3 | 221.5 | 218.0 | 217.9 | 2206 | 221.0 | 218.9 | 211.6 | 211.6 | 212.2 | 188.0 | 220.8 |
| Chicago, Il | 234.8 | 233.3 | 231.4 | 237.5 | 238.1 | 237.8 | 236.2 | 232.3 | 233.4 | 235.3 | 233.4 | 233.0 | 231.1 | 208.4 | 287.2 |
| Cincinnati, Ob | 231.9 | 228.6 | 228.1 | 233.2 | 230.4 | 232.0 | 229.7 | 229.0 | 228.3 | 229.2 | 226.9 | 227.1 | 226.0 | 205.1 | 233.6 |
| Cleveland, Oh | 238.2 | 235.8 | 237.2 | 240.9 | 238.5 | 239.0 | 237.2 | 235.3 | 235.7 | 236.7 | 236.3 | 235.6 | 231.8 | 211.2 | 240.6 |
| Columbus, 0 | 211.4 | 209.2 | 209.8 | 214.3 | 211.3 | 211.4 | 209.6 | 207.8 | 207.3 | 207.6 | 208.5 | 207.3 | 206.1 | 183.9 | 214.7 |
| Dallas. Tex | 231.3 | 229.8 | 228.8 | 236.3 | 235.4 | 236.0 | 233.8 | 233.5 | 230.9 | 227.0 | 227.9 | 228.9 | 228.7 | 201.5 | 234.4 |
| Denver, Con | 232.0 | 230.4 | 230.0 | 236.2 | 239.2 | 236.9 | 234.8 | 232.4 | 231.6 | 230.6 | 232.6 | 232.3 | 229.9 | 205.9 | 236.0 |
| Detroit, Mich | 231.2 | 228.8 | 229.1 | 235.0 | 234.5 | 233.5 | 230.5 | 228.4 | 228.9 | 229.1 | 229.4 | 229.1 | 227.3 | 202.9 | 236.1 |
| Fall River, Ma | 220.4 | 221.4 | 220.7 | 224.0 | 223.8 | 224.2 | 223.2 | 219.7 | 221.0 | 222. 2 | 221.3 | 219.2 | 219.8 | 200.7 | 224.6 |
| Houston, 'Tex | 237. 9 | 236.1 | 236.0 | 241.4 | 241.2 | 237.8 | 237.6 | 239.4 | 237.2 | 235.2 | 235. 2 | 237.1 | 2383 | 208.1 | 242.3 |
| Indianapolis, | 222.2 | 224.1 | 223.8 | 227.6 | 227.0 | 227.9 | 226.3 | 225.4 | 224.3 | 223.3 | 222.4 | 223.3 | $221 . \mathrm{B}$ | 188.1 | 225.0 |
| Jsckson, Miss | 223.7 | 223.9 | 225.8 | 230.3 | 229.2 | 227.4 | 229.4 | 227.2 | 224.8 | 222.6 | 221.9 | 223.2 | 222.1 | 201.0 | 225.3 |
| Jacksonville. Fla | 232.6 | 231.2 | 231.5 | 237.2 | 235.0 | 234.8 | 232.5 | 234.7 | 233.6 | 233.8 | 231.8 | 230.5 | 234.3 | 205.8 | 235.1 |
| Kansas City, Mo | 214.4 | 213.1 | 213.0 | 217.8 | 218.0 | 216.4 | 213.9 | 212.2 | 211.8 | 213.7 | 212.8 | 213.6 | 212.4 | 189.2 | 216.8 |
| Knox ille, Tenn. ${ }^{1}$ | 250.9 | 250.5 | 253.2 | 256.9 | 256.6 | 256.2 | 253.7 | 254.9 | 253.1 | 2517 | 249.8 | 250.3 | 250.9 | 223.1 | 254.7 |
| Little Rock, Ark | 226.1 | 224.3 | 224.6 | 229.7 | 229.9 | 225.4 | 224.4 | 2230 | 222.9 | 223.6 | 225.2 | 225.1 | 224.9 | 200.1 | 231.9 |
| Los Ang | 237.1 | 234.6 | 234.2 | 239.3 | 240.7 | 237.1 | 234.5 | 233.3 | 232.3 | 232.7 | 230.9 | 230.9 | 228.9 | 201.6 | 239.1 |
| Louisville, K | 214.5 | 213.2 | 213.6 | 218.4 | 219.1 | 218.6 | 216.7 | 215.6 | 214.8 | 216.0 | 215.5 | 213.7 | 212.5 | 192.0 |  |
| Manchester, | 217.5 | 216.6 | 216.8 | 221.2 | 220.9 | 222.5 | 222.8 | 219.8 | 221.9 | 221.6 | 221.0 | 218.4 | 217.8 | 200.6 | 219.7 |
| Memphis, Tenn | 231.4 | 231.0 | 234.9 | 237.8 | 238.9 | 237.7 | 238.0 | 237.4 | 234.7 | 232.3 | 233.0 | 234.6 | 232.9 | 208.3 | 236.3 |
| Milwaukee, Wis | 231.5 | 228.0 | 227.3 | 232.8 | 232.6 | 231.7 | 228.9 | 227.9 | 229.2 | 231.9 | 229.9 | 227.5 | 224.8 | 206.6 | 235.0 |
| Minneapolis, M | 222.3 | 220.2 | 220.1 | 223.1 | 224.0 | 221.2 | 218.9 | 215.6 | 217.5 | 219.0 | 218.4 | 218.2 | 217.6 | 194.1 | 222.9 |
| Mobile, Ala | 229.1 | 228.0 | 228.0 | 231.6 | 231.4 | 230.0 | 231.7 | 229.1 | 227.0 | 229.5 | 225. 7 | 224.2 | 225.7 | 200.1 |  |
| Newark, N. J | 228.2 | 224.1 | 225. 0 | 227.7 | 227.2 | 228.3 | 226.4 | 225.3 | 225.0 | 225.7 | 225. 5 | 227.1 | 224.2 | 203.3 | 227.4 |
| New Haven, Con | 221.0 | 220.2 | 219.7 | 222.6 | 222.2 | 222.1 | 222.4 | 219.9 | 219.2 | 221.6 | 220.5 | 220.3 | 218.1 | 199.8 | 222.4 |
| New Orleans, La | 240.1 | 239.8 | 240.5 | 244.8 | 244.3 | 241.3 | 239.9 | 240.6 | 240.8 | 238.8 | 238.2 | 239.5 | 240.2 | 212.9 | 248.9 |
| New York, N | 229.3 | 225.3 | 226.2 | 230.2 | 230.6 | 230.9 | 227.8 | 226.1 | 225.5 | 226.5 | 224.4 | 226.4 | 224.9 | 203.7 | 230.3 |
| Norfolk, | 243.7 | 231.0 | 232.7 | 237. 2 | 233.6 | 231.9 | 230.0 | 229.1 | 229.1 | 229.1 | 229.2 | 229.4 | 227.8 | 205.9 | 236.6 |
| Omaha, N | 223.2 | 222.4 | 222.6 | 226.8 | 227.0 | 225.1 | 223.3 | 219.6 | 220.0 | 219.1 | 219.6 | 219.3 | 217.0 | 197.2 | 226.4 |
| Peoria, Ill | 239.8 | 235. 6 | 238.5 | 243.8 | 242.5 | 239.5 | 235.6 | 235.6 | 236.9 | 239.8 | 241.2 | 240.6 | 237.9 | 216.8 | 244.8 |
| Philadelphia | 226.9 | 224. 3 | 224.4 | 229.4 | 228.8 | 228.6 | 227.1 | 224.1 | 223.2 | 223.6 | 222.2 | 223.8 | 222.3 | 201.4 | 227.8 |
| Pittsburg | 231.4 | 229.3 | 229.8 | 235.7 | 234.6 | 235.2 | 233.5 | 231.0 | 232.0 | 232.9 | 230.3 | 230.5 | 227.8 | 207.5 | 243.1 |
| Portland, Mai | 213.6 | 213.8 | 214.1 | 217.0 | 216.1 | 216.4 | 215.8 | 213.2 | 215.9 | 217.0 | 213.9 | 210.0 | 209.6 | 193.0 |  |
| Portland, Oreg | 250.6 | 248.3 | 246.9 | 254.8 | 253.3 | 251.8 | 246.9 | 247.9 | 247.4 | 251.2 | 251.5 | 252.1 | 248.6 | 219.1 | 253.6 |
| Providence, R | 233. 4 | 231. 4 | 229.5 | 234.4 | 234.1 | 233.3 | 232.8 | 228.3 | 228.9 | 231.8 | 229.6 | 229.1 | 229.5 | 207.9 | 236.4 |
| Richmond, V | 216.8 | 212.9 | 214.3 | 219.3 | 218.3 | 219.1 | 218.4 | 217.7 | 215.9 | 216.5 | 216.4 | 216.7 | 215.9 | 195.2 | 290.8 |
| Roch | 222.2 | 221.6 | 223.5 | 227.4 | 227.4 | 226.3 | 222.3 | 220.2 | 218.9 | 221.5 | 222.9 | 220.9 | 217.8 | 196.4 | 225.0 |
| St. Louis, Mo. | 240.5 | 238.3 | 238.6 | 244.0 | 243.9 | 242.2 | 239.3 | 238.8 | 237.2 | 237.9 | 238.2 | 238.4 | 237.6 | 210.2 |  |
| St. Paul, Minn | 221.6 | 220.0 | 221.2 | 224.0 | 223.7 | 221.6 | 220.7 | 215.1 | 216.2 | 216.5 | 216.2 | 215.1 | 214.4 | 192.5 | 220.7 |
| Salt Lake City, | 233.7 | 231.5 | 231.2 | 232.9 | 233.4 | 232.5 | 228.5 | 228.0 | 227.4 | 228.3 | 230.0 | 228.3 | 226.9 | 202.2 | 238.8 |
| San Francisco, | 249.5 | 245. 4 | 240.5 | 248.9 | 248.4 | 240.7 | 235.6 | 234.8 | 234.4 | 237.8 | 237.4 | 241.2 | 238.4 | 211.1 | 253.2 |
| Savannah, Ga | 239.3 | 238.7 | 238.9 | 242.6 | 241.7 | 241.7 | 240.7 | 241.4 | 240.0 | 241.2 | 239.6 | 237.6 | 237.6 | 206.3 | 242.0 |
| Scranton, Pa | 227.8 | 224.3 | 225.6 | 232.0 | 229.9 | 229.8 | 227.2 | 225. 6 | 225.9 | 225.5 | 225.7 | 225.2 | 221.4 | 204.2 | 231.0 |
| Seattle, Wash | 241.5 | 239.7 | 238.2 | 243.4 | 239.9 | 238.1 | 234.8 | 234.4 | 232.7 | 233.8 | 233.0 | 236.8 | 234.4 | 208.6 | 242.6 |
| Springfield, Ill | 240.1 | 238.6 | 240.2 | 244.1 | 242.6 | 241.4 | 238.6 | 238.1 | 237.9 | 238.6 | 238.5 | 237.6 | 237.6 | 211.8 | 242.2 |
| Washington, D | 227.8 | 224.0 | 223.1 | 228.7 | 228.9 | 228.1 | 228.0 | 224.0 | 222.6 | 221.9 | 224.2 | 224.3 | 222.2 | 201.9 | 234.0 |
| Wichita, Kans ${ }^{\text {P }}$ | 240.4 | 240.8 | 242.7 | 248.3 | 248.8 | 244.1 | 242.9 | 241.4 | 237.8 | 238.2 | 234.9 | 234.0 | 234.1 | 209.4 | 245.6 |
| Winston-Salem, | 218.0 | 217.6 | 218.6 | 223.2 | 222.8 | 220.5 | 220.1 | 219.3 | 220.7 | 220.3 | 220.6 | 220.6 | 220.4 | 197.3 | 219.5 |

1 June $1940=100$.

Table D-6: Average Retail Prices and Indexes of Selected Foods


[^54]Priced in 28 cities

- $1938-39=100$.
${ }^{10}$ Priced in 46 cities.
${ }^{11}$ Priced in 47 cities.
${ }^{12}$ Specification revised in Novem
${ }_{13} 1950$.
${ }^{12}$ Specification changed to 12
${ }^{11}$ October $1949=100$.
${ }^{15}$ No. 303 can of corn introduced in May 1951 in place of No. 2 can.
${ }^{16}$ Priced in 9 cities beginning October 1951, 12 cities September 1951, 13 cities August 1951, 16 cities April through July 1951, 18 cities January through March 1951, and 19 cities August through December 1950. Priced in 56 cities before that date.
${ }_{17}$ Priced in 37 cities August through December 1950, 38 cities January through March 1951, 40 cities April through July 1951, 43 cities August 1951, 44 cities September 1951, and 47 cities beginning October 1951.
* Published for the first time in February 1952. Average price not previously computed.

Table D-7: Indexes of Wholesale Prices, by Group of Commodities
$[1947-49=100]^{1}$

${ }^{1}$ The revised wholesale price index $(1947-49=100)$ is the official index for January 1952 and subsequent months. The official index for December 1951 and previous dates is the former index $(1926=100)$-see table D-7a. The revised index has been computed back to January 1947 for purposes of comparison and analysis. Beginning with January 1952 the index is based on prices for one day in the month. Prices are collected from manu-
facturers and other producers. In some cases they are secured from trade publications or from other Government agencies which collect price quotations in the course of their regular work. For a more detailed description of the index, see A Description of the Revised Wholesale Price Index, Monthly Labor Review, February 1952 (p. 180).

- Corrected.

Table D-7a: Indexes of Wholesale Prices, ${ }^{1}$ by Group of Commodities, for Selected Periods

1 This index $(1926=100)$ is the official index for December 1951 and all previous dates. The revised index $(1947-49=100)$ is the official index for previous dates. The revised index ( $1947-49=100$ ) 1 and D-8. BLS wholesale price data, for the most part, represent prices in primary markets. They are prices charged by manufacturers or producers or are prices prevailing on organized exchanges.

For a detailed description of the method of calculation for this series see November 1949 Monthly Labor Review, Compiling Monthly and Weekly Wholesale Price Indexes (p. 541)
Mimeographed tables are available upon request, giving monthly indexes for major groups of commodities since 1890 and for subgroups and economic groups since 1913.

Table D-8: Indexes of Wholesale Prices, by Group and Subgroup of Commodities ${ }^{1}$
$[1947-49=100]$


[^55]
## E: Work Stoppages

Table E-1: Work Stoppages Resulting From Labor-Management Disputes ${ }^{1}$

| Month and year | Number of stoppages |  | Workers involved in stoppages |  | Man-days idle during month or year |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Beginning in month or year | In effect during month | Beginning in month or year | In effect during month | Number | Percent of estimated work. ing time |
| 1935-39 (average) | 2, 862 |  | 1, 130, 000 |  | 16, 900, 000 | 0.27 |
| 1945 | 4,750 |  | 3, 470,000 |  | $38,000,000$ | . 47 |
| 1946 | 4,985 |  | 4, 600, 000 |  | 116,000,000 | 1. 43 |
| 1947 | 3, 693 | --1.-. | 2, 170, 000 |  | 34, 600, 000 | . 41 |
| 1949 | 3, 419 |  | 1,960,000 |  | 34, 100, 000 | . 37 |
| 1950---- | 4,843 |  | 2, 410, 000 |  | 38, 800,000 | .59 .44 |
| 1951: April. | 367 | 540 | 163, 000 | 222, 000 | 1,890, 000 | . 23 |
| May.- | 440 | 621 | 166,000 | 249,000 | 1,820, 000 | 21 |
| June. | 396 | 615 | 194,000 | 261,000 | 1, 800, 000 | . 21 |
| July .-. | 450 | 644 | 284,000 | 345, 000 | 1, 880,000 | . 22 |
| August | 505 | 727 | 213,000 | 314,000 | 2, 640, 000 | . 28 |
| September | 457 | 693 | 215,000 | 340, 000 | 2, 540, 000 | . 33 |
| October-.. | 487 | 728 | 248, 000 | 365, 000 | 2, 790, 000 | . 30 |
| November- | 305 186 | 521 357 | 84,000 81,500 | 191, 000 | 1, 610, 000 | . 19 |
|  |  | 35 | 81, 00 | 130,000 | 1,020,000 | . 13 |
| 1952: January ${ }^{2}$ | 400 | 600 | 190, 000 | 250,000 | 1,250,000 |  |
| February ${ }^{3}$ | 350 | 550 | 185, 000 | 250,000 | 1, 270, 000 | . 15 |
| March ${ }^{2}$ - | 400 | 600 | 240,000 | 320.000 | 1, 400, 000 | .17 |
| April ${ }^{2}$ | 475 | 650 | 1,000,000 | 1,200, 000 | 5,300, 000 | . 61 |

${ }^{1}$ All known work stoppages, arising out of labor-management disputes, involving six or more workers and continuing as long as a full day or shift are included in reports of the Bureau of Labor Statistics. Figures on "workers involved" and "man-days idle" cover all workers made idle for one or
more shifts in establishments directly involved in a stoppage. They do not measure the indirect or secondary effects on other establishments or industries whose employees are made idle as a result of material or service shortages ${ }^{2}$ Preliminary.

## F: Building and Construction

## Table F-1: Expenditures for New Construction ${ }^{1}$

[Value of work put in place]

| Type of construction | Expenditures (in millions) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1952 |  |  |  |  | $1951{ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $1951^{2}$ | $\frac{1950^{2}}{\text { Total }}$ |
|  | May ${ }^{3}$ | Apr. ${ }^{2}$ | Mar. ${ }^{2}$ | Feb. ${ }^{2}$ | Jan. ${ }^{2}$ | Dec. | Nov. | Oct. | Sept. | Aug. | July | June | May |  |  |
| Total new construction ${ }^{4}$ | \$2, 749 | \$2, 529 | \$2, 345 | \$2, 102 | \$2, 193 | \$2, 394 | \$2, 660 | \$2,893 | \$2, 934 | \$2, 942 | \$2,873 | \$2, 810 | \$2,647 | \$31, 025 | \$28, 749 |
| Private construction. | 1, 802 | 1,687 | 1,616 | 1,464 | 1,518 | 1,674 | 1,818 | 1,908 | 1,955 | 1,971 | 1,968 | 1,933 | 1,837 | 21,684 | 21,610 |
| Residential building (nonfarm) | 913 | 846 | 799 | 676 | 720 | 840 | 930 | 963 | 958 | 956 | 965 | 957 | 918 | 10, 973 | 12, 600 |
| New dwelling units | 810 | 750 | 710 | 600 | 650 | 760 | 832 | 858 | 849 | 847 | 857 | 853 | 821 | 9,849 | 11,525 |
| Nonhousekeeping ${ }^{\text {A }}$.- | 13 | 12 | 12 | 13 | 13 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 16 | 17 | 17 | 16 | 16 | 190 | ${ }^{900}$ |
| Nonresidential building (nonfarm) ${ }^{6}$ | 392 | 386 | 397 | 407 | 415 | 415 | 425 | 440 | 460 | 465 | 471 | 465 | 440 | 5,152 | 3,777 |
| Industrial. | 188 | 194 | 201 | 209 | 209 | 200 | 200 | 205 | 210 | 204 | 195 | 180 | 164 | 2,117 | 1,062 |
| Commercial | 82 | 73 | 74 | 76 | 83 | 92 | 96 | 95 | 101 | 108 | 121 | 131 | 131 | 1,371 | 1,288 |
| Warehouses, office and loft buildings | 34 | 33 | 33 | 36 | 39 | 41 | 41 | 41 | 45 | 48 | 48 | 48 | 48 | 544 | 402 |
| Stores, restaurants, and garages | 48 | 40 | 41 | 40 | 44 | 51 | 55 | 54 | 56 | 60 | 73 | 83 | 83 | 827 | 886 |
| Other nonresidential building--.--- | 122 | 119 | 122 | 122 | 123 | 123 | 129 | 140 | 149 | 153 | 155 | 154 | 145 | 1,664 | 1,427 |
| Religious | 29 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 | 34 | 38 | 42 | 43 | 42 | 41 | 38 | 452 | 409 |
| Educational. | 27 | 26 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 28 | 29 | 31 | 32 | 32 | 30 | 29 | 27 | 345 | 294 |
| Social and recreational | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 15 | 164 | 247 |
| Hospital and institutional ${ }^{7}$ | 33 | 33 | 33 | 32 | 32 | 33 | 34 | 36 | 37 | 38 | 39 | 38 | 37 | 419 | 344 |
| Miscellaneous. | 24 | 23 | 25 | 24 | 23 | 22 | 23 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 30 | 31 | 28 | 284 | 133 |
| Farm construction | 157 | 136 | 123 | 113 | 110 | 110 | 126 | 148 | 179 | 194 | 191 | 180 | 166 | 1,800 | 1,791 |
| Public utilities... | 333 | 313 | 292 | 263 | 267 | 303 | 331 | 351 | 352 | 350 | 336 | 326 | 309 | 3,695 | 3, 330 |
| Railroad.... | 33 | 32 | 30 | 27 | 30 | 37 | 41 | 40 | 35 | 38 | 35 | 36 | 33 | 399 | $\bigcirc 315$ |
| Telephone and telegrap | 46 | 45 | 46 | 41 | 41 | 40 | 42 | 44 | 43 | 43 | 41 | 42 | 41 | 487 | 440 |
| Other public utilities. | 254 | 236 | 216 | 195 | 196 | 226 | 248 | 267 | 274 | 269 | 260 | 248 | 235 | 2,809 | 2, 575 |
| All other private ${ }^{8}$ - | 947 | 6 842 | 5 729 | 5 638 | ${ }_{6}^{6}$ | 6 720 | 6 842 | 985 | 6 979 | ${ }^{6} \mathbf{6}$ | 5 905 | 8 87 | 4 810 | -64 | +112 |
| Public construction Residential building $^{\text {a }}$ | 947 55 | 842 57 | 729 59 | 638 62 | 675 65 | 720 66 | 842 68 | 985 66 | 979 63 | 971 56 | 905 47 | 877 47 | 810 45 | 9,341 595 | 7,139 345 |
| Nonresidential building (other than |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| military or naval facilities) .........-- | 338 | 322 | 301 | 268 | 282 | 289 | 300 | 318 | 319 | 324 | 315 | 310 | 303 | 3,471 | 2, 402 |
| Industrial....-. | 135 | 122 | 108 | 85 | 90 | 95 | 97 | 105 | 103 | 104 | 93 | 83 | 78 | - 958 | 224 |
| Educational | 132 | 131 | 128 | 126 | 129 | 131 | 134 | 136 | 136 | 134 | 133 | 130 | 128 | 1,531 | 1,163 |
| Hospital and institutional | 41 | 40 | 38 | 35 | 37 | 36 | 37 | 40 | 40 | 42 | 42 | 46 | 48 | 1, 498 | 1,476 |
| Other nonresidential. -- | 30 | 29 | 27 | 22 | 26 | 27 | 32 | 37 | 40 | 44 | 47 | 51 | 49 | 484 | 539 |
| Military and naval facilities ${ }^{10}$ | 152 | 138 | 122 | 105 | 113 | 116 | 136 | 147 | 129 | 108 | 86 | 77 | 66 | 1,019 | 177 |
| Highways | 240 | 175 | 115 | 90 | 90 | 111 | 187 | 293 | 303 | 314 | 282 | 265 | 225 | 2, 400 | 2,381 |
| Sewer and water_-.-.-.-..............-- Miscellaneous public service enter- | 60 | 56 | 51 | 46 | 48 | 50 | 55 | 58 | 60 | 62 | 64 | 65 | 65 | 706 | 671 |
| Miscellaneous public service enterprises ${ }^{11}$ $\qquad$ | 17 | 14 | 12 | 8 | 11 | 12 | 15 | 20 | 21 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 22 | 213 | 186 |
| Conservation and development | 79 | 74 | 65 | 56 | 62 | 72 | 76 | 78 | 77 | 77 | 80 | 82 | 76 | 860 | 881 |
|  | 6 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 77 | 96 |

${ }^{1}$ Joint estimates of the Bureau of Labor Statistics, U. S. Department of Labor, and the Building Materials Division, U. S. Department of Commerce. Estimated construction expenditures represent the monetary value of the volume of work accomplished during the given period of time. These figures should be differentiated from permit valuation data reported in the tabulations for building authorized (tables F-3 and F-4) and the data on value of contract awards reported in table F-2.
${ }^{2}$ Revised.
${ }^{3}$ Preliminary.

- Includes major additions and alterations.
- Includes hotels, dormitories, and tourist courts and cabins.
- Expenditures by privately owned public utilities for nonresidential building are included under "Public utilities."
${ }^{7}$ Includes Federal contributions toward construction of private nonprofit hospital facilities under the National Hospital Program.
Covers privately owned sewer and water facilities, roads and bridges, and miscellaneous nonbuilding items such as parks and playgrounds.
- Includes nonhousekeeping public residential construction as well as housekeeping units.
10 Covers all construction, building as well as nonbuilding (except for production facilities, which are included in public industrial building)
${ }^{11}$ Covers primarily publicly owned airports, electric light and power systems, and local transit facilities.
${ }_{12}$ Covers public construction not elsewhere classified, such as parks. playgrounds, and memorials.

Table F-2: Value of Contracts Awarded and Force-Account Work Started on Federally Financed New Construction, by Type of Construction ${ }^{1}$

| Type of construction | Value (in thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1952 |  |  | 1951 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{1951}{\text { Total }}$ | 1950 <br> Total |
|  | Mar. | Feb.* | Jan. | Dec. | Nov. | Oct. | Sept. | Aug. | July | June | May | Apr. | Mar. |  |  |
| Total new construction ${ }^{2}$-- | \$265, 187 | \$202, 114 | \$260,647 | \$156, 666 | \$156, 631 | \$159, 165 | \$240, 331 | \$215, 384 | \$259, 553 | \$515, 269 | \$600, 833 | \$287, 254 | \$431, 085 | \$3, 644, 117 | \$2, 706, 650 |
| Airfields ${ }^{3}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6,949 \\ 144,054 \\ 178 \\ 143,876 \\ 3,318 \end{array}$ | 3,371 | $\begin{aligned} & 10,198 \\ & 97,102 \end{aligned}$ | 1,836 | 9,118 | 5,539 | $\begin{aligned} & 13,566 \\ & 90,917 \end{aligned}$ | 15,49189,35764 | 37,475107,629282 | 84,911227.221451 | 36,724445151,791 | 16,69195,9643,008 | $\begin{array}{r}6,330 \\ 279 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{r} 247,866 \\ 1,702,565 \\ 7,904 \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | $\begin{array}{r} 54,461 \\ 1,278,263 \\ 15,445 \\ 1,262,818 \\ 3.123 \end{array}$ |
| Building- |  | 104, 890 |  | 74, 754 | 42, 967 | 49.784 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential |  | 280 104,610 | 96,792 | 138 74,615 | 112 42,855 | 46 49,738 | 90, 2107 | 64 89,293 |  | 226, 770 | 1,791 444,024 | 3,008 92,956 | [ $\begin{array}{r}39 \\ 279,642\end{array}$ |  |  |
| Educational 4 |  | 104, 6, | 96,384 <br> 3 | 4,387 | 4, 714 | 9,216 | 10, 480 | 4,715 | , | 220 | 128 | 1,217 | 179 | 1, 35,623 |  |
| Hospital and institutional | 10, 902 | 10,643 | 5,745 | 6,110 | 5,342 | 7,832 | 23, 595 | 9,135 | 5,941 | 23, 862 | 13,946 | 28, 357 | 42, 943 | 197,26954,749 | 389, 848 |
| Administrative and general ${ }^{6}$ $\qquad$ | 3,266 | 1,717 | 2, 239 | 1,567 | 829 | 1,676 | 15,656 | 2,807 | 1,102 | 6,486 | 2,149 |  |  |  |  |
| Other nonresidential building. | 126, 390 | 85, 742 | 85, 424 | $\begin{array}{r} 62,551 \\ 1,685 \end{array}$ | 31, 970 | $\begin{array}{r} 31,014 \\ 1,252 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 40,976 \\ 8,977 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 72,636 \\ & 14,799 \end{aligned}$ | 100, 304 | $\begin{array}{r} 195,972 \\ 11,725 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 427,801 \\ 9,184 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 60.502 \\ 5,566 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 227,747 \\ 5,472 \end{array}$ | 54,749 | 811, ${ }_{(7)} 592$ |
| Airfield buildings ${ }^{6}$ | 6, 461 | 2, 041 | 11890 |  |  |  |  |  | 12, 866 |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 1,407,020 \\ 73,907 \end{array}$ |  |
| Industrial ${ }^{8}$.....- | 43, 645 | 6,764 | 11, 7031 | $\begin{array}{r}\text { 3, } \\ 4382 \\ 43 \\ \hline 84\end{array}$ | 15, 252 | 6,437 | 13,5622,579 | $\begin{aligned} & 8,338 \\ & 5,626 \end{aligned}$ | 55, 2937,514 | $\begin{aligned} & 35,039 \\ & 76,852 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 338,129 \\ 37,533 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8,353 \\ 11,512 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 180,001 \\ 13,745 \end{array}$ | 714. 051 | (7)(7)(7) |
| Troop housing | 28, 492 | 23, 962 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 206, 641 |  |
| Warehouses...- | 29,765 | 32,427 | 19,637 | 6,559 | 12,480 | 4,76018,565 | 3,156 | 40,654 | 6,434 | 54, 809 | 7,447 35,508 | 28, 650 | 1,562 | 338, 983 |  |
| Miscellaneous Conservation and d | 18,027 | 20,548 |  |  | 4,159 |  | 12, 702 |  | 18,197 |  | 35, 508 |  | 26, 967 |  | ( ${ }^{\text {( }}$ |
| Conservation and velopment | $\begin{array}{r} 15,246 \\ 5,461 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 24,382 \\ 5,470 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 26.389 \\ 527 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13,449 \\ 2,423 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 28,449 \\ 2,017 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 19,413 \\ 6,244 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 47,384 \\ 6,409 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10,141 \\ 2,389 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16,266 \\ & 12,275 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 29,848 \\ 9,214 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 43,667 \\ 9,308 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 101,498 \\ 10,803 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 45,613 \\ & 15,346 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 436,185 \\ & 129,710 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 373,453 \\ & 134,045 \end{aligned}$ |
| Reclamation - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| River, harbor, and flood control | $\begin{array}{r} 9,785 \\ 79,605 \\ 12,738 \\ 6,595 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18,912 \\ 60,971 \\ 2,960 \\ 5,540 \end{array}$ | 25, 862 <br> 48, 231 <br> 12,10 |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 40,975 \\ 67.358 \\ 5,904 \\ 15,202 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7,752 \\ 89,536 \\ 2,144 \\ 8,715 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.991 \\ 75,767 \\ 4,124 \\ 18,292 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20,634 \\ & 97,843 \\ & 23,038 \\ & 52,408 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 34,359 \\ & 59,206 \\ & 1,284 \\ & 14,137 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 90,695 \\ 58,066 \\ 5,994 \\ 9,041 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 30,267 \\ 71,238 \\ 7,092 \\ 21,131 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 306,475 \\ & 841,002 \\ & 231,668 \\ & 184,831 \end{aligned}$ | 239,408 835,606 <br> 104, 628 <br> 60, 23 |
| Highways. |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 11,026 \\ 53,144 \\ 5,986 \\ 7,497 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 26,432 \\ 69,176 \\ 2,670 \\ 4,251 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13,169 \\ 65,050 \\ 3,031 \\ 16,348 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Electrification. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

1 Excludes classified military projects, but includes projects for the Atomic Energy Commission. Data for Federal-aid programs cover amounts contribEnergy commission. Data for Federal-aid programs cover amounts contributed by both owner and the Federal Government. Force-account work is done not through a contractor, but directly by a Government agency, using a
separate work force to perform nonmaintenance construction on the agency's separate work for
own properties.
${ }_{2}$ Includes major additions and alterations.
${ }^{2}$ Excludes hangars and other buildings, which are included under "Other nonresidential" building construction.
${ }^{4}$ Includes projects under the Federal School Construction Program, which provides aid for areas affected by Federal Government activities.
${ }^{5}$ Includes post offices, armories, offices, and customhouses.

- Includes all buildings on civilian airports and military airfields and air bases with the exception of barracks and other troop housing, which are included under "Troop housing."
${ }_{7}$ Unavailable.
${ }_{8}^{7}$ Covers all industrial plants under Federal Governmeut ownership, including those which are privately operated.
$?$ Includes types of buildings not elsew here classified.
${ }^{10}$ Includes sewer and water projects, railroad construction, and other types of projects not elsewhere classified.
${ }^{*}$ Revised.

Table F-3: Urban Building Authorized, by Principal Class of Construction and by Type of Building ${ }^{1}$

${ }^{1}$ Building for which building permits were issued and Federal contracts awarded in all urban places, including an estimate of building undertaken in some smaller urban places that do not issue permits.
The data cover federally and nonfederally financed building construction combined. Estimates of non-Federal (private and State and local government) urban building construction are based primarily on building-permit reports received from places containing about 85 percent of the urban population of the country; estimates of federally financed projects are compiled from notifications of construction contracts awarded, which are obtained from other Federal agencies. Data from building permits are not adjusted to allow for lapsed permits or for lag between permit issuance and the start of construction. Thus, the estimates do not represent construction actually started during the month.

Urban is defined according to the 1940 Census, and includes all incorporated places of 2,500 inhabitants or more in 1940 and a small number of places, usually minor civil divisions, classified as urban under special rule.
${ }^{2}$ Covers additions, alterations, and repairs, as well as new residential and nonresidential building
${ }^{3}$ Includes units in 1 -family and 2 -family structures with stores.
Includes units in multifamily structures with stores.
${ }^{\circ}$ Covers hotels, dormitories, tourist cabins, and other nonhousekeeping residential buildings.

- Totals for 1951 include revisions which do not appear in data shown for January through December. Revised monthly data will appear in a subsequent issue of the Monthly Labor Review.
${ }^{8}$ Preliminary.

Table F-4: New Nonresidential Building Authorized in All Urban Places, ${ }^{1}$ by General Type and by Geographic Division ${ }^{2}$

| Geographic division and type of new nonresidential building | Valuation (in thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1952 |  |  | 1951 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{1951^{\mathbf{2}}}{\text { Total }}$ | $\frac{1950}{\text { Total }}$ |
|  | Mar.4 | Feb. ${ }^{5}$ | Jan. | Dec. | Nov. | Oct. | Sept. | Aug. | July | June | May | Apr. | Mar. |  |  |
| New England Middle A tlantic. East North Central West North Central. South Atlantic. East South CentralWest South CentralMountain Pacific. $\qquad$ | \$193, 885 |  | \$145, 675 | \$145. 054 | \$180, 742 | \$198, 342 | \$276, 757 | \$258, 318 | \$224, 381 | \$202, 036 | \$239, 332 | \$234, 024 | \$263, 920 |  | \$3, 127, 700 |
|  | 19,440 | $7,522$ | 10,847 | 7,566 | 14,65130,414 | 31, 585 | 14, 405 | 30,839 | 16,471 | 12, 881 | 16, 920 | 29,751 | 14, 093 | $\left\|\begin{array}{\|} \$ 2,709,302 \\ 195,407 \end{array}\right\|$ |  |
|  | 40, 799 | 26, 096 | 25, 311 | 28, 021 |  |  | 33, 360 | 46, 158 | 25, 785 | 24, 580 | 33, 578 | 26, 901 | 55, 334 | $\begin{aligned} & 195,407 \\ & 403,876 \end{aligned}$ | 516, 583 |
|  | 39,481 10,942 | 34, 879 | 28,136 9, 732 | 32,254 8,946 | 61,360 9 | 56,467 17 1711 | 70, 940 | 64, 015 | 54, 828 | 66, 075 | 70, 433 | 52, 623 | 85, 212 | 727, 850 | 675, 555 |
|  | 22, 660 | 10, 136 | 17,060 | -15,534 | 9, 17 1 160 | 20, 368 | 31,787 42,089 | 16, 628 | 18,084 20,886 | 14,894 16,582 | 16, 272 | 22,682 <br> 17,940 | 12, 235 | 201,605 289,919 | 262,737 375,803 |
|  | 8,127 | 21,615 | 6,735 | 2, 506 | 5, 470 | 4,999 | 7,775 | 5,198 | 5,436 | 5,662 | 9,651 | 17, 617 | 11, 823 | 93, 987 | 375, 803 |
|  | 17,503 |  | 18, 142 |  |  | 20,678 | 21, 605 | 27, 025 | 23, 019 | 26, 943 | 20, 266 | 19, 743 | 25, 156 | 281, 140 | 388, 201 |
|  | 6, 359 | $\begin{array}{r} 15,736 \\ 4,125 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5,639 \\ 24,073 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12,0.50 \\ 5,231 \\ 32,31 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5,279 \\ 21,625 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9,238 \\ 25,399 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11,282 \\ & 43,173 \end{aligned}$ | 12,677 | 8,100 | 6,957 | 5,283 | 14, 554 | 4, 840 | 100, 746 | $\begin{aligned} & 111,265 \\ & 459,155 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 28,574 | 20, 074 |  |  |  |  |  | 32, 172 |  | 27, 462 | 41, 889 | 32, 213 | 27, 965 | 414, 772 |  |
| ustrial | 22,442 | 17, 391 | 23, 222 | 17,766 | 58, 069 | 39,906 |  | 45, 151 | 43, 267 | 43, 123 |  |  | 45, 989 | 472, 124 | 296, 803 |
| New England | 1,010 | 2, 299 | 23,222 5,939 | -617 | 4, 4 , 362 | 3,003 |  | 4, 600 | 1,843 | $\begin{array}{r} 43,123 \\ 2,667 \\ 8,722 \end{array}$ | 42,921 4,877 | $1,497$ | $4,232$ | $\begin{array}{r} 72,124 \\ 31,650 \end{array}$ |  |
| Middle Atlantic.... | 4,352 7,665 | 5,859 | 3,9404,731 | 9, 236 | 10,10036,426 | $\begin{aligned} & 11,546 \\ & 12,981 \end{aligned}$ |  | 9,380 | 8,528 |  | 8,133 | 8, 200 |  |  |  |
| East North Central | 7, 665 |  |  |  |  |  | 6,634 12,049 | $\begin{array}{r} 22,165 \\ 1,526 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 15,333 \\ 3,980 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 19,177 \\ 1,252 \end{array}$ | 15, 159 | 14, 970 | $\begin{array}{r} 21,309 \\ 1,768 \end{array}$ | 201, 884 | $\begin{array}{r} 55,679 \\ 110,829 \end{array}$ |
| West North Central | 643 | 1, 300 | 1,484 | 1, 131 | 1,156 | $\begin{array}{r} 12,981 \\ 1,169 \end{array}$ | 12,049 3,887 |  |  |  | 1, 961 | 2,349 |  | 25,30621,1642 | 110,36917,019 |
| South A tlantic | 1,728 | 340 | 1,570 |  | $\begin{array}{r} 1,530 \\ 117 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,016 \\ 1,982 \end{array}$ | 2, 950 | $\begin{aligned} & 1,526 \\ & 1,008 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3,980 \\ & 2,865 \end{aligned}$ | 1,252 2,229 |  | 1,682 | $\begin{aligned} & 1,788 \\ & 1,688 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| East South Central | 2, 212 |  |  |  |  |  | 1,590 | 1, 048 | 887949 | 1,129 | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & 3,316 \end{aligned}$ | 1,209 | 1559 | 13, 194 | 13,35517,800 |
| West South Central | 536 | 1,541 | $\begin{aligned} & 1,586 \\ & 279 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,185 \\ 293 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 975 \\ & 749 \end{aligned}$ |  | 1, 048 | 1,475 |  | 2, 482 | 522 | 2, 631 | 2, 231 | 18,3286,103 |  |
| Mountain. | 216 | 132 |  |  |  |  | 382 | 214 | 304 | 1, 044 | 965 | 550 | 373 |  |  |
| Pacific-...-.-.... | 4, 080 | 2, 907 | 3, 031 | 3, 021 | 2,654 |  | 91, 443 | 3, 735 | 8,578 | 4, 421 | 6,135 | 4,567 | 5,621 | 57, 460 | 3 $\begin{array}{r}5,469 \\ 39.284\end{array}$ |
| mmercial building | 54, 976 | 34,434 1,227 | 33, 184 | 43, 594 | 41,278 | 47, 144 |  | 57, 280 | 61,124 | 52, 846 | 55, 727 | 62, 308 | 69, 317 | 739, 788 | 1, 122, 583 |
| New England | 2,751 | 1, ${ }^{1,398}$ | 1,983 5,203 | 1, 174 | 1,315 8,834 | 1,693 6,631 | 2, 535 | 5, 947 | 7,071 | 1, 984 | 2, 042 | 2, 231 | 1,789 | 36, 506 | 53, 675 |
| East North Central | 8,133 | 6,953 | 3,853 | 6,797 | 6,476 | 9,375 | 16, 487 | 10, 822 | $\begin{array}{r}\text { 5, } \\ 13,268 \\ \hline 14\end{array}$ | 11, 324 | -15, 708 | 9, 4489 | 9, ${ }^{\text {, } 645}$ | 111, 644 | 212, 645 |
| West North Central | 3,715 | 1,724 | 1,537 | 1,458 | 3,776 | 2, 934 | 4, 977 | 2, 424 | 2,946 | 4, 116 | 2,932 | 5, 635 | 2, 960 | 135, 206 | 201, 314 |
| South Atlantic | 6, 369 | 5,957 | 5, 045 | 6,714 | 4, 853 | 9, 346 | 17, 484 | 7, 244 | 5,468 | 5, 098 | 5,999 | 5, 083 | 7, 445 | 99,315 | 139,990 |
| East South Central | 3,52 | 1,146 | 2, 163 | 744 | 1,738 | 1,801 | 3, 078 | 2,073 | 2,244 | 1,797 | 1, 054 | 12, 315 | 983 | 36, 535 | 46, 076 |
| West South Central | 6,560 | 4, 823 | 4, 995 | 4,707 | 4. 132 | 5, 499 | 10,946 | 7, 341 | 6,120 | 8, 418 | 5, 640 | 7,778 | 6, 827 | 93, 132 | 175, 129 |
| Mounta | 1,500 | 1,092 | 2, 807 | 1,835 | 1,480 | 2,143 | 4,398 | 1, 034 | 4,675 | 1,854 | 1,300 | 2, 674 | 1,238 | 26,185 | 47, 481 |
| Pacific-.-.--- | 6,300 | 6,114 | 5. 598 | 13,539 | 8, 674 | 7,722 | 18, 928 | 9, 661 | 13, 990 | 10,206 | 12, 048 | 8, 455 | 7,267 | 137, 730 | 152, 169 |
| Community build | 91, 622 | 71, 769 | 64, 084 | 51, 994 | 54, 461 | 77, 323 | 110, 265 | 111, 538 | 86, 240 | 71, 989 | 99, 126 | 104, 474 | 124, 661 | 1, 085,133 | 1, 200,078 |
| New England Middle Atlantic | 14,330 | 3,406 | 2, 481 | 4, 799 | 6, 783 | 6,130 | 8, 083 | 18,528 | 6, 683 | 4, 870 | 8, 872 | 22, 790 | 4,789 | 104, 053 | 107, 541 |
| Middle Atlantic...- | 18,090 | 17,030 | 13,121 | 18, 710 | 9,311 | 9,957 | 10, 375 | 12, 660 | 8,299 | 5,532 | 11, 460 | 6, 907 | 34, 325 | 148, 877 | 169, 036 |
| East North Central. | 18,087 4,569 | 19,032 5 5 8 | 12, 447 | 5,046 | 14, 273 | 22,567 | 29, 619 | 20, 141 | 14, 919 | 21, 840 | 23, 667 | 21,547 | 28, 233 | 250, 645 | 275, 029 |
| West North Central. <br> South A tlantic. | $\begin{array}{r}4,569 \\ 13,081 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 5,857 <br> 7,608 | 6,137 8,559 | 5,383 | 2,949 | 9, 754 | 17,829 | 9,307 | 8, 333 | 7,050 | 9, 257 | 11, 561 | 5,668 | 102, 610 | 105, 603 |
| South Atlantic. East South Central | 13, 081 | 7,608 | 8,559 | 5. 209 | 6, 294 | 7, 873 | 17, 564 | 13, 126 | 9, 225 | 7,009 | 13,588 | 8, 939 | 16, 446 | 131, 093 | 179, 635 |
| East South Central | 1,897 | 4, 528 | 2, 639 | 838 | 1,831 | 1,475 | 1,899 | 1,713 | 1,718 | 1,966 | 4, 928 | 3,245 | 10, 040 | 35, 412 | 62, 529 |
| West South Central | 8, 681 | 6, 655 | 7, 321 | 5, 310 | 4,387 | 8, 950 | 6,549 | 14, 687 | 12, 899 | 12, 280 | 10, 030 | 7, 004 | 13, 038 | 123, 521 | 146, 688 |
| Mountain | 11, 636 | 2, 5,645 | 1,140 | 1,331 | 2, 038 | 4, 625 | 5, 111 | 9,735 | 1,683 | 2, 360 | 1,673 | 8,946 | 2,515 | 50, 767 | 43, 296 |
| Public buildings? | 4, 544 | 3, 696 | 4, 045 | 11,593 | 6,063 | 4,108 | 13, ${ }_{\text {5, }}$ 586 | 11, 1641 | 22,481 9,613 | 5, 608 | 15,651 | 13,535 | 9, 607 | 138, 155 | 170, 721 |
| New England | 10 | 339 | 86 | 265 | 781 | 23 | -889 | 1620 | -114 | $\bigcirc 842$ | 10,870 | 2,902 | 2, 410 | 106, 4,354 | 134,894 2,584 |
| Middle Atlant | 16 | 107 | 1,122 | 48 | 38 | 226 | 213 | 11, 076 | 325 | 159 | 1,410 | 102 | 307 | 16, 236 | - 40,178 |
| East North Central | 450 | 256 | 1,522 | 7,934 | 937 | 130 | 897 | 375 | 3, 714 | 109 | 5,338 | 524 | 241 | 25, 332 | -9, 513 |
| West North Central | 554 | 0 | 0 | 345 | 8 | 0 | 777 | 244 | 163 | 132 |  | 12 | , | 2,084 | 4, 896 |
| South Atlantic. | 49 | 2,351 | 52 | 2, 093 | 195 | 40 | 2, 666 | 47 | 1,580 | 565 | 1,748 | 392 | 381 | 15, 398 | 15,008 |
| East 8outh Central |  |  | 0 |  |  | 5 | 37 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 66 | 270 | 8, 279 |
| West South Central Mountain | 120 | 131 | 60 | 305 | 948 | 653 | 18 | 685 | 64 | 2, 016 | 305 | 0 | 620 | 15, 899 | 8,268 |
| Mountain.- | 876 | 90 | 18 | 0 |  | 1,240 | , | 326 | 0 | 614 | 122 | 1,165 | 102 | 4, 090 | 3, 240 |
| Public works an | 2, 469 | 422 | 185 | 604 | 148 | 1,739 | 359 | 3,109 | 3,553 | 1,171 | 1,941 | 766 | 553 | 22, 508 | 41,928 |
| buildings ${ }^{10}$ | 5,779 | 8,163 | 12, 753 | 11, 674 | 7, 507 | 9,713 | 9, 458 | 8,809 | 6,341 | 12,878 | 11,368 | 10,629 | 8,777 | 115, 708 | 106, 164 |
| New England | 1,008 | 28 | 149 | 205 | 106 | 361 | 1,002 | 624 | 42 | 1,814 | 380 | 2, 476 | 1,367 | 8, 800 | 6, 478 |
| Middle Atlantic | 268 | 644 | 1,162 | 187 | 647 | 1,024 | 1,354 | 348 | 1,633 | 335 | 1,570 | 679 | 1,554 | 11, 160 | 16, 868 |
| East North Central | 1, 020 | 816 | 3, 903 | 1,424 | 707 | 3,960 | 3, 722 | 3,309 | 1. 861 | 7,683 | 3, 580 | 1,095 | 1,259 | 35, 028 | 26, 585 |
| West North Central | 479 | 238 | 134 |  | 534 | 1,002 | 1,825 | 889 | 758 | 806 | 307 | 1, 534 | 247 | 9, 672 | 9,314 |
| South A tlantic. | 247 | 3,517 | 689 | 389 | 3,555 | 1,212 | 127 | 324 | 175 | 674 | 917 | 650 | 465 | 9, 629 | 7,658 |
| East South Central | 112 | 66 |  | 368 |  | 161 | 250 |  | 92 | 331 | 26 | 549 | 10 | 1,988 | 3,316 |
| West South Central | 272 | 763 | 2, 862 | 472 | 845 | 842 | 512 | 1,727 | 560 | 762 | 421 | 829 | 1,289 | 11,058 | 13,646 |
| Mountain |  |  | 1. 095 | 70 | 440 |  | 240 | 240 | 126 | 18 | 370 | 68 |  | 2. 094 | 2, 702 |
| All Pacific---1] | 2,373 | 2, 087 | 2,769 | 8, 553 | 664 | 1,151 | 426 | 1,348 | 1,094 | 455 | 3.798 | 2,749 | 2, 586 | 26, 279 | 19,597 |
| All other buildings New England | 14, 522 | 11, 286 | 8,387 | 8, 433 | 13, 364 | 20,148 | 25,507 | 19, 478 | 17, 796 | 15, 590 | 19,314 | 15, 996 | 12.496 | 190, 378 | 207, 247 |
| New England | 332 | 223 842 | 209 | 506 | 1,305 | 1,086 | 1,037 | 941 | 717 | 705 | 750 | 757 | 1,506 | 10, 044 | 9, 109 |
| East North Central | 1,953 4,126 | 1,963 1 | 1,680 | 1,817 | 1,485 2,540 | 7, 2054 | 2,174 8,166 | 1,961 | 1,732 | 1.781 | 2,002 | 1,565 | 1,195 | 18,924 | 22, 177 |
| West North Central. | 981 | 1, 017 | 1,641 | ${ }^{1} 823$ | 1,113 | 2,852 | 2. 492 | 2. 238 | 1,905 | 1,538 | -6, 1,814 | 5, 1,598 1,592 | 1,007 1,592 | 59, 18,727 | -52, 285 |
| South A tlantic. | 1,186 | 1,243 | 1,144 | 630 | 732 | 881 | 1,298 | 1,857 | 1,574 | 1,007 | 935 | 1,195 | 837 | 13, 320 | 16, 493 |
| East South Central- | 379 | 476 | 271 | 308 | 1,776 | 523 | 922 | 363 | 396 | 439 | 315 | 298 | 265 | 6,588 | 9, 529 |
| West South Central | 1,334 | 1,821 | 1, 318 | 657 | 958 | 1,488 | 2, 532 | 1,110 | 2, 428 | 986 | 3,347 | 1,500 | 1,151 | 19, 202 | 26. 670 |
| Mountain | 2, 131 | 802 | 310 | 1, 702 | 565 | 923 | 1,151 | 1,128 | 1,313 | 1,0¢8 | 853 | 1, 151 | 612 | 11,507 | 10,077 |
| Pacific | 2,100 | 2,899 | 2, 252 | 1,276 | 2, 891 | 3,140 | 5,735 | 2,677 | 2,074 | 2,128 | 2,316 | 2,140 | 2, 331 | 32, 640 | 35,456 |

${ }^{1}$ Building for which permits were issued and Federal contracts awarded In all urban places, including an estimate of building undertaken in some smaller urban places that do not issue permits. Sums of components do not always equal totals exactly because of rounding.
${ }^{2}$ For scope and source of urban estimates, see table F-3, footnote 1.
${ }^{3}$ Totals for 1951 include revisions which do not appear in data shown for January through December. Revised monthly data will appear in a subsequent issue of the Monthly Labor Review.

## Prelimina

${ }^{5}$ Revised.

- Includes factories, navy yards, army ordnance plants, bakeries, ice plants, Industrial warehouses, and other buildings at the site of these and similar production plants.

[^56]Table F-5: Number and Construction Cost of New Permanent Nonfarm Dwelling Units Started, by Urban or Rural Location, and by Source of Funds ${ }^{1}$

| Period | Number of new dwelling units started |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Estimated construction cost (in thousands) ' |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All units |  |  | Privately financed |  |  | Publicly financed |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total nonfarm | Urban | Rural nonfarm | Total nonfarm | Urban | Rural nonfarm | Total nonfarm | Urban | Rural nonfarm | Total | Privately financed | Publicly financed |
| 1925. | 937, 000 | 752,000 | 185, 000 | 937, 000 | 752,000 | 185, 000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$4,475,000 | \$4, 475,000 | 0 |
| 1933 3 | 93, 000 | 45,000 | 48,000 | 93, 000 | 45, 000 | 43,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 285,446 | 285,446 | 0 |
| 19414 | 706, 100 | 434, 300 | 271, 300 | 619, 500 | 369,500 | 250, 000 | 86,600 | 64, 800 | 21,800 | 2, 825, 895 | 2, 530, 765 | \$295, 130 |
| $1944{ }^{5}$ | 141, 300 | 96, 200 | 45, 600 | 138, 700 | 93, 200 | 45, 500 | 3,100 | 3,000 | 100 | 495, 054 | 483, 231 | 11,823 |
| 1946 | 670, 500 | 403, 700 | 266, 800 | 662, 500 | 395, 700 | 266, 800 | 8,000 | 8,000 | 0 | 3, 769,767 | 3, 713, 776 | 55, 991 |
| 1947 | 849,000 | 479,800 | 369, 200 | 845, 600 | 476, 400 | 369, 200 | 3,400 | 3,400 | 0 | 5, 642,798 | 5, 617, 425 | 25,373 |
| 1948 | 931, 600 | 524, 900 | 406, 700 | 913, 500 | 510, 000 | 403, 500 | 18,100 | 14,900 | 3,200 | 7, 203,119 | 7, 028, 980 | 174, 139 |
| 1949 | 1,025, 100 | 588, 800 | 436, 300 | 988, 800 | 556, 600 | 432, 200 | 36,300 | 32, 200 | 4, 100 | 7, 702, 971 | 7,374, 269 | 328, 702 |
| 19506 | 1, 396,000 | 827, 800 | 568,200 | 1,352, 200 | 785, 600 | 566, 600 | 43, 800 | 42,200 | 1,600 | 11,788, 595 | 11, 418, 371 | 370, 224 |
| 1951 | 1,091, 300 | 595, 300 | 496, 000 | 1,020, 100 | 531, 300 | 488, 800 | 71, 200 | 64,000 | 7,200 | 9,800,538 | 9, 186, 123 | 614,415 |
| 1950: First quarte | 278, 900 | 167, 800 | 111, 100 | 276,100 | 165, 600 | 110, 500 | 2,800 | 2,200 | 600 | 2,162,425 | 2,138,565 | 23, 800 |
| January | 78, 700 | 48, 200 | 30,500 | 77, 800 | 47,300 | 30, 500 | 900 | 900 | 0 | 589, 997 | 581, 497 | 8,500 |
| Februar | 82,900 | 51, 000 | 31, 900 | 82, 300 | 50,800 | 31, 500 | 600 | 200 | 400 | 637, 753 | 632, 690 | 5, 063 |
| March | 117, 300 | 68, 600 | 48, 700 | 116, 000 | 67, 500 | 48,500 | 1,300 | 1,100 | 200 | 934, 675 | 924, 378 | 10,297 |
| Second qua | 426, 800 | 247, 000 | 179,800 | 420, 400 | 241, 200 | 179, 200 | 6, 400 | 5,800 | 600 | 3, 564, 856 | 3,511, 204 | 53, 652 |
| April. | 133,400 | 78, 800 | 54, 600 | 131, 300 | 77, 000 | 54, 300 | 2,100 | 1,800 | 300 | 1,093, 726 | 1, 075, 644 | 18, 082 |
| May | 149, 100 | 85, 500 | 63, 600 | 145, 700 | 82, 200 | 63, 500 | 3,400 | 3, 300 | 100 | 1, 232, 976 | 1, 204, 978 | 27, 998 |
| June | 144, 300 | 82, 700 | 61, 600 | 143, 400 | 82, 000 | 61,400 | 900 | 700 | 200 | 1, 238, 154 | 1, 230, 582 | 7,572 |
| Third quart | 406,900 | 238, 200 | 168, 700 | 393, 600 | 225, 200 | 168,400 | 13,300 | 13,000 | 300 | 3,564, 953 | 3, 446, 722 | 118, 231 |
| July | 144, 400 | 84, 200 | 60, 200 | 139, 700 | 79, 500 | 60, 200 | 4,700 | 4,700 | ${ }^{7}$ 7) | 1,253, 340 | 1,210,745 | 42,595 |
| August | 141,900 | 83, 600 | 58, 300 | 137, 800 | 79, 600 | 58, 200 | 4,100 | 4,000 | 100 | 1,266, 198 | 1,230,238 | 35, 960 |
| September | 120,600 | 70,400 | 50, 200 | 116, 100 | 66, 100 | 50, 000 | 4,500 | 4,300 | 200 | 1, 645, 415 | 1, 005, 739 | 39,676 |
| Fourth quart | 283, 400 | 174, 800 | 108, 600 | 262, 100 | 153, 600 | 108, 500 | 21, 300 | 21,200 | 100 | 2, 496, 361 | 2,321, 880 | 174,481 |
| October | 102,500 | 59, 400 | 43, 100 | 100, 800 | 57, 700 | 43, 100 | 1,700 | 1,700 | (7) | 915, 895 | 902,190 | 13, 705 |
| November | 87, 300 | 53, 100 | 34, 200 | 82, 700 | 48,500 | 34, 200 | 4,600 | 4,600 | (7) | 762, 625 | 724, 876 | 37,749 |
| December | 93, 600 | 62, 300 | 31, 300 | 78,600 | 47,400 | 31, 200 | 15,000 | 14,900 | 100 | 817,841 | 694, 814 | 123, 027 |
| 1951: First quarte | 260,300 | 147, 800 | 112,500 | 248, 900 | 137, 200 | 111, 700 | 11,400 | 10,600 | 800 | 2,293, 974 | 2,191,489 | 102,485 |
| January. | 85, 900 | 49,600 | 36, 300 | 82, 200 | 46,400 | 35, 800 | 3, 700 | 3, 200 | 500 | 755, 600 | 721, 014 | 34, 586 |
| February | 80, 600 | 47,000 | 33, 600 | 76,500 | 43, 200 | 33, 300 | 4,100 | 3,800 | 300 | 716, 629 | 681, 607 | 35, 022 |
| March. | 93, 800 | 51, 200 | 42, 600 | 90, 200 | 47,600 | 42, 600 | 3, 600 | 3, 600 | (7) | 821, 745 | 788, 868 | 32, 877 |
| Second qu | 329, 700 | 192, 000 | 137, 700 | 280, 200 | 148, 500 | 131, 700 | 49,500 | 43,500 | 6, 000 | 2, 964, 456 | 2, 549, 238 | 415, 218 |
| April | 96, 200 | 51, 900 | 44,300 | 92, 300 | 48, 300 | 44,000 | 3,900 | 3, 600 | 300 | 866, 298 | -828, 339 | 37, 959 |
| May | 101, 000 | 55, 400 | 45, 600 | 97, 600 | 52, 300 | 45,300 | 3,400 | 3,100 | 300 | 922, 661 | 895, 309 | 27,352 |
| June | 132, 500 | 84, 700 | 47,800 | 90, 300 | 47, 900 | 42, 400 | 42, 200 | 36,800 | 5,400 | 1,175, 497 | 825, 590 | 349, 907 |
| Third quarter | 276, 000 | 141, 200 | 134, 800 | 270, 400 | 135, 700 | 134, 700 | 5,600 | 5,500 | 5, 100 | 2,527, 033 | 2,472, 196 | 54,837 |
| July | 90, 500 | 45,900 | 44,600 | 86, 800 | 42, 300 | 44, 500 | 3, 700 | 3,600 | 100 | 827, 173 | 791, 783 | 35, 390 |
| August | 89, 100 | 45, 900 | 43,200 | 88, 300 | 45, 100 | 43, 200 | -800 | , 800 | (7) 0 | 804, 317 | 795, 624 | 8,693 |
| September | 96, 400 | 49,400 | 47,000 | 95, 300 | 48, 300 | 47,000 | 1,100 | 1,100 | (7) | 895. 543 | 884,789 | 10,754 |
| Fourth quart | 225, 300 | 114,300 | 111,000 | 220, 600 | 109, 900 | 110, 700 | 4,700 | 4,400 | 300 | 2,015, 075 | 1, 973, 200 | 41,875 |
| October | 90, 000 | 44, 400 | 45, 600 | 88,900 | 43, 400 | 45, 500 | 1,100 | 1,000 | 100 | 806, 955 | 796, 682 | 10, 273 |
| November | 74,500 | 38, 500 | 36,000 | 72, 200 | 36, 200 | 36,000 | 2,300 | 2,300 | (7) | 672, 078 | 650, 660 | 21, 418 |
| December | 60,800 | 31, 400 | 29, 400 | 59, 500 | 30,300 | 29, 200 | 1,300 | 1,100 | 200 | 536, 042 | 525, 858 | 10, 184 |
| 1952: First quarter | 239,900 |  |  | 220, 900 |  |  | 19,000 |  |  | 2, 129, 225 | 1, 976, 163 | 153, 062 |
| January ${ }^{8}$ | 64, 900 | 36, 100 |  | 61,500 |  |  | 3,400 | 3,200 | 200 | 566, 625 | 538,612 | 28, 013 |
| February | 77, 000 | (1) | ${ }^{(8)}$ | 74, 200 | (9) | (9) | 2, 800 | ${ }^{(9)}$ | ${ }^{(9)}$ | 687, 574 | 664, 171 | 23, 403 |
| March ${ }^{10}$ | 98, 000 | ${ }^{8}$ ) | $\left.{ }^{( }\right)$ | 85, 200 | ${ }^{9}$ ) | ${ }^{9}$ ) | 12,800 | ${ }^{(9)}$ | ${ }^{9}$ ) | 875, 026 | 773, 380 | 101,646 |

[^57]${ }^{2}$ Private construction costs are based on permit valuation, adjusted for understatement of costs shown on permit applications. Public construction costs are based on contract values or estimated construction costs for individual projects.
${ }^{3}$ Depression, low year

- Recovery peak year prior to wartime limitations.
${ }^{8}$ Last full year under wartime control.
- Housing peak year
${ }_{7}^{7}$ Less than 50 units.
${ }^{8}$ Revised.
- Not available.
${ }^{10}$ Preliminary.


[^0]:    * Of the Bureau's Office of Publications.
    ${ }^{1}$ The location of bridges across the river at Augusta limited major SRP impact on Georgia communities to Augusta. Construction of an additional bridge below Augusta was under consideration before the project announcement and the subject of continuing controversy, but was not in prospect in November 1951.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Exact information on the place of recruitment was not available for the force actually on the job, termination figures not being broken down between local and in-migrant labor.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ The Secretary of Labor made slight corrections in one or two individual rates which new evidence showed to have been inadvertently set at rates not quite equivalent to those prevailing.
    4 Schedules were subsequently revised, setting new estimates of 45,500 construction workers in September 1952 and 7,200 production workers in mid1954.

[^3]:    ${ }^{5}$ Noncritical workers were hired after an interview and fingerprinting, with a subsequent Du Pont check of police records and/or FBI file check; personnel who would have access to restricted material had a full preemployment FBI investigation:

[^4]:    ${ }^{6}$ Stabilization officials approved increases for ironworkers and teamsters in January and February 1952. A number of other increases were approved at the end of April, including $10-$ and 15 -cent raises for laborers.
    ${ }^{7}$ Establishment of a 6-day, 54-hour week was announced in late March 1952.

[^5]:    ${ }^{8}$ In December, President Truman created a new top-level committee to police compliance with this clause by all Federal contractors.

[^6]:    *Assistant to the Chairman of the President's Committee on Employment of the Physically Handicapped, U. S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Standards.

[^7]:    *Of the Bureau's Division of Wages and Industrial Relations,
    1 See Regional Wage Differentials, 1907-47, in Monthly Labor Review, April 1948 (p. 371).
    ${ }^{2}$ See Occupational Wage Differentials, 1907-47, in Monthly Labor Review, August 1948 (p. 127).
    ${ }^{3}$ Comprehensive results of these surveys were published in occupational wage survey bulletins for each city which are for sale by the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington, D. C.

[^8]:    ${ }^{1}$ Excludes premium pay for overtime and night work.
    ${ }^{2}$ For information on the cost and content of the city worker's family budget see Monthly Labor Review, February 1948 (pp. 131-170).
    ${ }^{3}$ Data not available.

[^9]:    4 For information on the cost and content of the city worker's family budget as developed by the Bureau's Division of Prices and Cost of Living, see Monthly Labor Review, February 1948 (p. 131).
    ${ }^{5}$ See Intercity Wage Differences, 1945-46, in Monthly Labor Review, June 1948 (p. 559).

    - The use of city-wide occupational averages does not necessarily yield the best results for all purposes. Detailed studies of wage relationships indicate that the best results are obtained when indexes are first developed on an establishment basis, before averaging, rather than on the basis of occupational average earnings. This procedure, however, involves too much detail for the general conclusions dealt with in this article.

[^10]:    *Of the Bureau's Division of Wages and Industrial Relations.

[^11]:    ${ }^{1}$ TWUA contracts provide for negotiating changes in work assignments, with recourse to arbitration if necessary, in order to minimize union manage. ment friction arising from the increasing tempo of technological innovations.

[^12]:    *Of the Bureau's Office of Publications.
    ${ }^{1}$ This is the fifth in a series of articles on workers' education. For earlier contributions, see Monthly Labor Review, November 1951 (p. 529), February 1952 (p. 140), April 1952 (p. 395), and May 1952 (p. 508 ).
    ${ }^{2}$ These institutes were conducted over a 6 -month period in 17 principal cities in the United States and in Montreal, Toronto, and Vancouver, Canada.

[^13]:    ${ }^{8}$ The first series was held in the Northwest: Denver, Colo., Salt Lake City, Utah, and Portland, Oreg.; the second in the Northeast: Hartford, Conn., Elmira, N. Y., and York, Pa.

[^14]:    ${ }^{1}$ Because there was sufficient time, this institute was planned by mail. It was the first, following the trial-runs, to be planned in that manner.
    ${ }^{s}$ Since the program's inception, the director has had two assistants, the present one having joined the staff in the spring of 1951.

[^15]:    ${ }^{1}$ Food prices in Great Britain increased 18 percent between November 1951, the date shown in table 1, and January 1952, and will rise another 3.4 percent If and when the 40 -percent cut in food subsidies proposed in the Government's budget takes effect. This would be to some extent offset by an increase in family allowances from 5 s . to 8 s . a week for each child after the first.

[^16]:    ${ }_{2}^{1}$ Not including family allowances.
    ${ }_{3}^{2}$ Preliminary figure, subject to revision.
    ${ }^{\mathbf{3}}$ The U.S.S.R. hourly earnings figure is a Bureau of Labor Statistics estimate for 1952 . A study of Soviet railroads by a Soviet economist, published in 1950 states that average earnings of an operating employee on the railroads

[^17]:    was 710 rubles per month in 1949. However, since operating employees on the Russian railroads receive higher than average wages, this figure conforms with the Bureau of Labor Statistics estimate that the average worker in the Soviet Union receives about 600 rubles per month.
    ${ }^{*}$ July 1951 to April 1952 range; see Method of Computation, p. 661.

[^18]:    ${ }^{2}$ The latest available figure on average hourly earnings in France applies to Paris only in October 1951 and is preliminary and subject to revision.

[^19]:    ${ }^{3}$ The minutes of work required to buy the additional 18 foods in various countries may be obtained from the Bureau.

    * Formerly of the Division of Foreign Labor Conditions.

[^20]:    ${ }^{1}$ Data were collected by field representatives under the direction of the Bureau's regional wage analysts. More detailed information on wages and related practices is available on request.
    The study was limited to petroleum refineries employing 51 or more workers. It is estimated that approximately 147,000 workers were employed in October-November 1951 in establishments of this size.
    ${ }^{2}$ See July 1949 issue of the Monthly Labor Review (p. 23).

[^21]:    ${ }^{8}$ In making comparisons of this type, however, the influence of any one factor cannot be isolated. The larger refineries, for example, are commonly part of large integrated operations of multiplant companies and are frequently located in marketing centers.

[^22]:    ${ }^{1}$ The survey covered crude-petroleum production operations employing 8 or more workers. Also included were contractors primarily engaged in drilling oil wells or in building, repairing, and dismantling rigs and derricks. It was estimated that about 184,000 persons were employed at the time of the survey in the crude-petroleum production industry as herein defined.
    The wage data presented exclude premium pay for overtime and night work. More detailed information is available on request.
    2 See table for description of these areas.

[^23]:    ${ }^{1}$ Data were obtained from the settlement sheets covering the operations of the large and medium trawlers in the Boston fishing fleet during 1951. With minor differences, procedures used in tabulating data were similar to those in the 1948 study, Income in 1948 of Fishermen, Boston Fish Pier Fleet, published in the November 1949 issue of the Monthly Labor Review (p. 503).

[^24]:    ${ }^{2}$ Earnings figures represent only the shares paid the fishermen for trips made during 1951 on the 47 fishing boats covered in the survey. They do not include additional earnings which individual fishermen may have received as extra lumpers for unloading the boats or from any other source. In addition, they exclude private payments which individual fishermen may have made to lumpers who substituted for them in unloading the catch.
    ${ }^{8}$ Fishermen were classified in the occupations in which they made a majority of the trips.

[^25]:    ${ }^{1}$ Data are from preliminary results of the seventh annual Survey of Consumer Finances based on interviews with a cross section of American consumers in January and February 1952 (in Federal Reserve Bulletin, Federal Reserve System, Washington, April 1952, p. 341). The survey was conducted for the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System by the Survey Research Center of the University of Michigan.
    ${ }^{2}$ A spending unit is defined as all persons living in the same dwelling and related by blood, marriage, or adoption, who pooled their incomes for their major items of expense.

[^26]:    1 The injury-frequency rate is the average number of disabling work injuries for each million employee-hours worked.
    A disabling work injury is any injury occurring in the course of and arising out of employment, which (a) results in death or any degree of permanent physical impairment, or (b) makes the injured worker unable to perform the duties of any regularly established job, which is open and available to him, throughout the hours corresponding to his regular shift on any 1 or more days after the day of injury (including Sundays, days off. or plant shutdowns).
    These data were compiled according to the American Standard Method of Compiling Industrial Injury Rates, approved by the American Standards Association, 1945.

[^27]:    ${ }^{1}$ Staff report by Dr. Francis Joseph Weiss to the Subcommittee on Labor and Labor-Management Relations of the Committee on Labor and Public Welfare. S. Doc. 103, 82d Cong., 2d sess. Washington, 1952.

[^28]:    ${ }^{1}$ For purpose and scope of wage chronology series, see Monthly Labor Review, December 1948 (p. 581). Reprints of this chronology are available on request.

[^29]:    ${ }^{1}$ The last item under each entry represents the most recent change.
    2 During the period covered by Executive Order 9240 (Oct. 1, 1942, to Aug. 21,1945 ) the application of these provisions was modified where necessary to conform to the order.

[^30]:    ${ }^{1}$ For purpose and scope of wage chronology series, see Monthly Labor Review, December 1948 (p. 581). Reprints of this chronology are available on request.

[^31]:    ${ }^{1}$ Progression from minimum was maximum is in the form of automatic 5-cent-an-hour increases every 16 weeks until the maximum of the job classification was reached. The company may, however, grant more frequent merit increases to individual employees.

[^32]:    See footnotes at end of table.

[^33]:    ${ }^{1}$ Sources: Federal Registers, vol. 17, No. 72, Apr. 11, 1952, p. 3195; vol. 17, No. 79, Apr. 22, 1952, pp. 3538, 3542, and 3545; vol. 17, No. 80, Apr. 23, 1952, p. 3595 ; vol. 17, No. 83 , Apr. 26,1952 , pp. $3725,3730,3731,3737,3738,3741$, and

[^34]:    ${ }^{1}$ Prepared in the U. S. Department of Labor, Office of the Solicitor.
    The cases covered in this article represent a selection of the significant decisions believed to be of special interest. No attempt has been made to reflect all recent judicial and administrative developments in the field of labor law or to indicate the effect of particular decisions in jurisdictions in which contrary results may be reached, based upon local statutory provisions, the existence of local precedents, or a different approach by the courts to the issue presented.
    ${ }^{2}$ This section is intended merely as a digest of some recent decisions in. volving the Fair Labor Standards Act and the Portal-to-Portal Act. It is not to be construed and may not be relied upon as interpretation of these acts by the Administrator of the Wage and Hour Division or any agency of the Department of Labor.
    ${ }^{3}$ Tobin v. Promersberger et al. (D. C., Minn., Mar. 13, 1952.)

    - 192 F. 2d 294.

[^35]:    - 316 U. S. 517.
    - Harwood v. Tobin, 194 F. 2d 538.
    ${ }^{7}$ Tobin v. Edward S. Wagner, 187 F. 2d 977.
    ${ }^{8} 139$ F. 2d 60.
    ${ }^{\bullet} 331$ U. S. 722.
    ${ }^{10}$ Tobin v. Allstate Construction Co. (C. A. 3, Apr. 9, 1952).

[^36]:    ${ }^{11} 326$ U. S. 657.
    ${ }^{12} 318$ U. S. 125, 129.
    ${ }^{13} 131$ F. 2d 518.
    ${ }^{14}$ NLRB v. Dant \& Russell, Ltd. (C. A. 9, Mar. 20, 1952).

[^37]:    ${ }^{10}$ International Furniture Co. (98 NLRB No. 100, Mar. 17, 1952).
    ${ }^{16}$ Chesapeake \& Potomac Telephone Co. (98 NLRB No. 168, Apr. 9, 1952).

[^38]:    ${ }^{17}$ Fries v. Pennsylvania R. R. Co. (C. A. 7, Apr. 3, 1952).

[^39]:    ${ }^{18}$ Kornbauer $\nabla$. State (Com. Pleas Ct. for Licking Co., Ohio, September Term, 1951).
    ${ }^{19}$ Levy v. Todd Shipyards Corp. (N. Y. Supreme Ct., Appellate Div., Mar. 12, 1952).
    ${ }^{20}$ In re Fiol, N. Y. Supreme Ct., Appellate Div., Mar. 20, 1952.

[^40]:    ${ }^{21}$ Cornell v. Cordea (Com. Pleas Ct., Summit County, Ohio, Mar. 7, 1952).

[^41]:    ${ }^{1}$ Prepared in the Bureau's Division of Wages and Industrial Relations.
    ${ }^{2}$ See May 1952 issue of Monthly Labor Review (p. 570).

[^42]:    ${ }^{3}$ Including the workers directly idled by this strike and those idled by the hit-and-run picketing, a total of about 140,000 workers were idle during the strike.

[^43]:    ${ }^{2}$ See May 1952 issue of Monthly Labor Review (p. 570).
    ${ }^{4}$ See April 1952 issue of Monthly Labor Review (p. 435).
    © See February 1952 issue of Monthly Labor Review (p. 193).

[^44]:    6 See September 1951 issue of Monthly Labor Review (p. 318).
    ${ }^{7}$ Benjamin C. Sigal, general counsel of the Electrical, Radio, and Machine Workers, and Gas, Coke, and Chemical Workers (both CIO); and Joseph Childs, vice president of the United Rubber Workers (CIO) were appointed as WSB labor members. They succeed Joseph A. Beirne, president of the Communications Workers of America (CIO), and John W. Livingston, vice president of the United Automokile Workers (CIO), who had resigned because of the pressure of union duties.
    ${ }^{8}$ See November 1951 issue of Monthly Labor Review (p. 951).

    - See April 1951 issue of Monthly Labor Review (p. 452).

[^45]:    ${ }^{1}$ This table is included quarterly in the March, June, September, and December issues of the Review.
    Note.-Beginning with Volume 74, tables in the A section have been renumbered consecutively, to take into account the elimination of two tables.

[^46]:    See footnotes at end of table.

[^47]:    ${ }^{1}$ See footnote 1 , tahles A-2 and A-3.
    Note: Indexes have been revised to 1947-49 base.

[^48]:    ${ }^{2}$ See footnote 3, table A-6.

[^49]:    See footnotes at end of table.

[^50]:    ${ }^{1}$ These series indicate changes in the level of weekly earnings prior to and fter adjustment for changes in purchasing power as determined from the after adjustment for changes in purchasing power as determined from the bureau's oonsumers. Estimates of World. War II and postwar understatement by

[^51]:    ${ }^{1}$ The "Consumers' price index for moderate-income families in large cities" formerly known as the "Cost-of-living index" measures average changes in retail prices of goods, rents, and services purchased by wage earners and ower-salaried workers in large cities.
    U. S. Department of Labor Bulletin No. 699, Changes in Cost of Living in Large Cities in the United States, 1913-41, contains a detailed description of methods usen in constructing this index. Additional information on the index is given in the following reports. Report of the Joint Committee on the Consumers' Price Index of the U. S. Bureau of Labor Statistics, A Joint Committee Print (1949); September 1949 Monthly Labor Review, Construction of Consumers' Price Index (p. 281); April 1951 Monthly Labor Review, Interim Adjustment of Consumers' Price Index (p. 421), and Correction of New Unit Bias in Rent Component of CPI (p. 437); and Consumers' Price Index, Report of a Special Subcommittee of the House Committee on Education and Labor (1951).
    The Consumers' Price Index has been adjusted to incorporate a correction
    of the new unit bias in the rent index beginning with indexes for 1940 and

[^52]:    ${ }^{1}$ The Burean of Labor Statistics retail food prices are obtained monthly during the first three days of the week containing the fifteenth of the month, through voluntary reports from chain and independent retail food dealers. Articles included are selected to represent food sales to moderate-income families.

    The indexes are computed by the fixed-base-weighted-aggregate method, using weights representing (1) relative importance of chain and independent store sales, in computing city average prices; (2) food purchases by finies of wage earners and moderate-income workers, in computing city indexes;

[^53]:    and (3) population weights, in combining city aggregates in order to derive verage prices and indexes for all cities combined.
    Indexes of retail food prices in 56 large cfties combined, by commodity groups, for the years 1923 through $1949(1935-39=100)$, may be found in Bulle-
    in No. 1032 "Retail Prices of Food, 1949," Bureau of Labor Statistics, U. S.
    Department of Labor, table 3, p. 7. Mimeographed tables of the same data,
    by months, January 1935 to date, are available upon request.
    1 December $1950=100$.

[^54]:    1 Specification changed to 13 ounces in December 1950. 2 July $1947=100$.
    i February $1943=100$.
    February $1943=100$.
    A $\mathbf{~ A ~ v e r a g e ~ p r i c e ~ b a s e d ~ o n ~}$
    52 cities; Index, on 56 cities

    Specification changed to 7 ounces
    In September 1951.
    December $1950=100$
    ${ }^{7}$ Priced in 46 cities.

[^55]:    ${ }^{1}$ See footnote 1, table D-7. ${ }^{2}$ Preliminary. $\quad$ Corrected.

[^56]:    ${ }^{7}$ Includes amusement and recreation buildings, stores and other mercaptile buildings, commercial garages, gasoline and service stations, etc.
    ${ }_{8}$ Includes churches, hospitals, and other institutional buildings, schools, libraries, etc.
    ${ }^{-}$Includes Federal, State, county, and municipal buildings, such as post offices, courthouses, city halls, fire and police stations, jails, prisons, arsenals, armories, army barracks, etc.
    ${ }^{10}$ Includes railroad, bus and airport buildings, roundhouses, radio stations, gas and electric plants, public comfort stations, etc.
    ${ }^{11}$ Includes private garages, sheds, stables and barns, and other buildings not elsewhere classified,

[^57]:    ${ }^{1}$ The estimates shown here do not include temporary units, conversions, dormitory accommodations, trailers, or military barracks. They do include dormitory accommodations,
    These estimates are based on building-permit records, which, beginning With 1945, have been adjusted for lapsed permits and for lag between permit issuance and start of construction. They are based also on reports of Federal construction contract awards and beginning in 1946 on field surveys in non-permit-issuing places. The data in this table refer to nonfarm dwelling units started, and not to urban dwelling units authorized, as shown in table F-3.
    All of these estimates contain some error. For example, if the estimate of nonfarm starts is 50,000 , the chances are about 19 out of 20 that an actual enumeration would produce a figure between 48,000 and 52,000 .

