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## This Issue in Brief...

National and international development of the free labor movement and its effect on the economic, political, and social outlook of workers in widely separated regions is reported in three related articles in this issue. Second Congress of the ICFTU at Milan, July 1951 (p. 265) shows how this free union confederation, which did not even exist 2 years ago, has passed from the paper to the operational stage. Here, the AFL, the CIO, and the United Mine Workers are cooperating with other trade-union groups in evaluating past activities and in planning for future action. The congress at Milan pledged itself to a relentless campaign against totalitarianism in any form and voted a fund of at least $\$ 700,000$ to be spent on regional activities in the next 3 years. This program is closely allied with the antitotalitarian fight as well as with the desire to raise labor standards in underdeveloped areas. Some of the fruits of the Confederation's work thus far are brought out in Progress of the ICFTU in Underdeveloped Areas (p. 270). The article shows that the gradations of ICFTU activity are conditioned by the economic and political structure of the areas concerned: a regional organization is already functioning in the Americas and another is well under way in Asia; preparatory work has been carried out in Africa.

A case history-Growth of Democratic TradeUnions in the Federation of Malaya (p. 274) shows what action can be taken to rout Communist forces in the trade-unions of one country and to create a responsible labor movement. Under a Government-sponsored educational program started after World War II, workers merged their efforts to organize the Malayan Trade-Union Council-currently an ICFTU affiliate. Under liberalized trade-union legislation, collective bargaining in Malaya has yielded wage increases on the rubber plantations, which are the main source
of paid employment. Reasonable attempts made to settle disputes by collective bargaining have become the rule, before starting strike action.

In the United States, the substantial progress made by industrial workers in obtaining health protection under the private insurance principle is evident in Medical-Care Insurance for Industrial Workers (p. 251). The article examines 1950 in retrospect and determines that it was a significant turning point in medical-care insurance, utilizing programs sponsored and controlled by the health professions and private insurance companies. Protection was extended greatly, with employers bearing an increasing share of total costs. Emphasis is placed upon the growing trend toward employer financing, as a part of normal operating expenses, and programs are described that were arrived at both with and without collective bargaining. A detailed account of collectively bargained health and welfare plans in a single industry is also included in this issue. Health and Welfare Plans in the Automobile Industry (p. 277) explains that many such plans existed before they were covered by collectivebargaining agreements, but that once included in contracts their spread was phenomenal in this industry. Although individual benefits vary between companies, the "package" of benefits is generally uniform throughout the automobile industry. Plans financed by employers and employees jointly somewhat outnumbered those of which the employer bore the sole cost in 1950.

Facts on employment in shipyards, among the Nation's industries having extreme fluctuations in manpower requirements, are presented in Defense Expansion in Shipyard Employment (p. 283). The number of workers engaged in shipbuilding and ship repair combined rose by more than 60 percent to a total of 216,900 from the start of Korean hostilities to May 1951. This increase was accompanied by only a moderate lengthening in the average workweek. By mid-1952, outlook is for a net addition of 40,000 workers in the industry. Wage Chronology No. 18: Bethlehem Atlantic Shipyards, 1941-51 (p. 287) adds to the information on this particular industry in the present issue.

## The Labor Month in Review

Unified action of organized labor was suspended with AFL withdrawal from the United Labor Policy Committee in August. Organized labor modified its position of favoring only outright repeal rather than specific piecemeal changes in the Taft-Hartley Act, when it endorsed, with reservations, certain proposed amendments to the act. President Truman used the emergency provisions of that act to insure continued production of nonferrous metals. While credit restrictions were relaxed for new housing in the Defense Housing Act, new materials controls threatened to check renewed housing activity.

## End of United Labor Policy Committee

High point in the month's activity in the field of labor was AFL withdrawal from ULPC, thereby dissolving the 18 -man group formed almost 9 months earlier by leaders of the AFL, CIO, the Machinists (then Ind.), and railroad brotherhoods, to formulate policy on defense mobilization problems. Teamwork among the top leadership of organized labor through the ULPC had led many observers to believe that the groundwork was being laid for unity between the two federations.

The first intimation of the impending action by the AFL indicated that the question of leaving ULPC would be submitted to the San Francisco convention. However, on August 28, AFL President Green announced withdrawal at the final meeting of the committee. Mr. Green explained that the ULPC had been formed as a temporary body. "To a large extent it has accomplished its purpose." In the future, labor must go to Congress for "basic improvements in defense policies," he added.

Mr. Green suggested that the standing committee of the two federations should resume negotiations for organic unity. This was in line with AFL advocacy of complete reunion of "the house of labor"; pending unification, the CIO has gen-
erally favored functional unity, with arrangements being made to cooperate on specific issues. The ULPC has been an outstanding instance of successful functional unity, as has been recent cooperation in the international field. CIO spokesmen argued that the tasks of ULPC had by no means been solved, but that the CIO executive board and coming convention would consider the question of organic unity.

The ULPC was an informal cooperative arrangement between top union administrators in Washington. It grew in functions far beyond the ideas of at least some of those who had first brought it into being. Organic unity negotiations had been suspended in July 1950. The AFL held that since then ULPC had so filled the stage that it actually was blocking the development of a united labor movement. The CIO explained that unity talks had been suspended due to CIO President Murray's illness, and that organized labor had functioned effectively thereafter through ULPC.
Soon after the ULPC was dissolved, reports were published that Mr. Murray had again asked to be relieved from CIO leadership. In answer to pleas, he agreed to accept reelection to another 1 -year term at the coming CIO convention. Speculation as to his possible successor was rife, particularly in view of Mr. Murray's cementing influence among the CIO unions.

## Revision of the Taft-Hartley Act

For the first time, labor initiated amendments to the Taft-Hartley Act, rather than insisting upon outright repeal. Organized labor pressed for amendments of the law when faced with a potential host of law suits and disruption of an estimated 4,700 established union-shop relationships. All union-shop contracts consummated before top AFL or CIO officers signed non-Communist affidavits were in jeopardy as a result of the U. S. Supreme Court's Highland Park decision.

Organized labor supported a bill introduced jointly by Senators Humphrey and Taft which validated the union-shop agreements in question and which also eliminated further union-shop elections. Union spokesmen showed that during the past year 96 percent of all union-shop elections were won by the unions, while 85 percent of all workers eligible to vote favored union shops; these figures demonstrated that the union-shop election
requirements were both time-consuming and expensive, the workers being overwhelmingly in favor of the union shop. The bill was passed by the Senate.

Labor agreement on policy was conspicuously absent when a second amending bill was brought before the Senate subcommittee for hearings. This bill would exempt the building and construction industry from certain Taft-Hartley Act regulations: union-shop contracts could be negotiated before projects are started; all workers could be required to join a union after 7 days' employment.

Disavowing approval of the rest of the TaftHartley law, Richard A. Gray, president of the AFL Building Trades Department, supported the proposed amendment. In contrast, the AFL Machinists attacked the bill as a threat to their efforts to win members in certain segments of the industry which might be embraced by the building trades amendment. A spokesman for both the CIO and the Steelworkers protested the broad definition of this industry in the bill, since the Steelworkers also claim jurisdiction over certain jobs in industrial plants which might be filled by building trades workers. If the Taft-Hartley law is to be altered, the CIO declared, an amendment permitting the hiring hall in maritime employment would be to the liking of that organization.

## Taft-Hartley Sanctions Against Copper Strike

Almost the entire nonferrous metal industry was paralyzed by a stoppage idling some 100,000 workers and shutting down 95 percent of the Nation's copper mining, smelting, and refining, in 25 States. The International Union of Mine, Mill, and Smelter Workers (Ind.) led the strike; workers affiliated with 16 AFL unions and railroad brotherhoods respected the picket lines.

With the defense effort of the Nation dependent on a steady flow of nonferrous metals, the Federal Mediation and Conciliation Service sought to achieve agreement between the union and the firms in the industry. When the walkout began, President Truman referred the dispute to the Wage Stabilization Board. WSB asked the union officers to order a return to work pending a hearing.

When the MMSW leaders refused to comply, WSB returned the case to the White House. Acting under national emergency provisions of the Taft-Hartley Act, President Truman named a

3-man Board of Inquiry. Meanwhile Kennecott, largest producer in the industry, agreed to a settlement along lines proposed by FMCS Director Ching. The Board then found the strike a threat to the domestic economy and to the national defense. Thereupon President Truman, acting again under Taft-Hartley provisions, ordered that an application be made for an injunction ending the stoppage. After a temporary injunction was issued, MMSW officials, under protest, ordered a return to work. The metal miners went back to their jobs, and Conciliation Service Commissioners assumed the task of attempting to extend the Kennecott agreement to the rest of the industry.

## Economic Background

Summer vacations and a further cutback in con-sumer-goods production cut hiring in the Nation's factories in July to one of the lowest rates in more than 10 years. The hiring rate in July dropped to 42 for each 1,000 employees. Shortages of skilled and semiskilled workers in defense industries continued high, however.

Total civilian employment stood at 62.6 million in August, almost the same as in the previous month. Employment in business and Government declined seasonally in mid-July to 46.4 million. Factory employment, at 15.8 million in midJuly, was 100,000 below the June figure. Factory production workers averaged 40.4 hours a week in July. Average weekly earnings of production workers in factories declined 75 cents to $\$ 64.56$ in July, still $\$ 5.35$ a week above a year earlier.

Industrial production also slackened in July, due chiefly to a lag in consumer demand. Output fell to the lowest point in 10 months, although consumer buying picked up more than seasonally during the second half of the month, indicating a higher level of production in August.

About 83,000 new private nonfarm dwelling units were started in July, a decline of 5 percent from June. Hourly wage scales of union construction workers advanced 2 percent during the second quarter of 1951.

The Consumers' Price Index advanced to 185.5 for June 15, and 0.2 -percent gain from a month earlier. When added to retail price advances during the 2 previous months, this brought a 1-cent an hour wage advance to over a million workers on cost-of-living escalator clauses in the automobile industry.

# Medical-Care Insurance for Industrial Workers 

# Status of Programs in 1950 in Perspective <br> Three Principal Types of Nonindustrial Plans Used <br> Payment of Increasing Share of Cost by Employers 

Walter J. Lear, M. D.*

A major turning point in the history of medicalcare insurance ${ }^{1}$ for industrial workers occurred in 1950. In the late 1940's, signs of imminent change were abundant, but there was much speculation about what would develop. However, the patterns established in 1950 make possible a clearer picture of the future in this field.

The beginnings of medical-care insurance in this country were made to meet the needs of specific industries or groups of workers. This trend was definitely limited. In the past decade, industrial workers have obtained medical-care insurance coverage from programs sponsored and controlled by interests other than management and labor-principally the health professions and the private insurance companies. In this way, management and labor have accepted, although at times reluctantly, existing patterns of health and medical services.

Introduction of health programs under collective bargaining has provided a major opportunity for significant changes of many kinds. By the end of 1950, the primary effect of these changes had been to extend the coverage of existing plans to more workers and to help shift a greater part of the direct costs of medical-care insurance to the employer. Like other industrial medical-care insurance programs, collective-bargaining programs have, with few exceptions, utilized Blue Cross, medical society, and insurance company plans.

With reasonable progress in answering the special problems of workers as well as the general questions raised by a more enlightened public, these three principal types of medical-care insurance can be expected to cover the largest propor-
tion of the employed population with hospitalization and in-hospital physician services. Nevertheless, these programs cannot be expected to give much help to the solution of major problems in the organization of medical-care insurance or the quality of medical care. Rather, they are more closely identified with the old and continuing drive for economic security-the strivings of the gainfully employed to cushion financial pressures in an industrialized society.

## Developments Prior to 1950

Industrial workers benefited from some of the earliest forerunners of present-day medical-care insurance plans. These were the mutual-benefit associations, first started in the 1860's and 1870's, which spread rapidly to a wide variety of industries and businesses.

Some of these mutual-benefit associations were initiated, administered, and financed by management; some, by the workers; and some, jointly. Their principal function was the payment of cash benefits to the member when he was sick and to his family when he died. Medical-care benefits, when provided, were almost always limited to cash payments.

At about the same time, the lumber, mining, oil, and railroad industries were expanding into new areas. Frequently isolated, they found it useful to provide their employees with rather complete medical services. In some instances, extensive medical staffs and facilities were organized, and in a few, hospitals were built which continue in operation today. The enactment of State
workmen's compensation laws in the early 1900's further stimulated the development of medicalservice plans.

Benefit programs were important in the early development of labor unions. They were financed by the union members and generally provided cash benefits similar to those of the mutual-benefit associations. Only in a few notable cases did labor unions add medical services of some type to their benefit activities.

Whether sponsored by management, by the workers, or jointly, industrial medical-service plans proved to be definitely limited in both number and enrollment. By 1945,115 such plans were on record, providing service benefits to a membership of about a million and a half workers and their families. In 49 of these plans, with 50 percent of the membership, the premiums were paid for solely by the workers; 47 plans, with 36 percent of the membership, were supported jointly by labor and management; and the other 19 , with 14 percent of the membership, were employerfinanced. The number of these plans and the size of their membership have not changed significantly in recent years.

Enrollment in industrial medical-service plans, however, represents a fraction of medical-care insurance coverage of industrial workers. By the late 1940's, the Blue Cross, medical society, and insurance company plans were well established. All these plans, at least initially, sought mass enrollments from groups to which coverage could be sold with relative ease and which represented in terms of health an average or better cross section of people. Since industrial workers meet both of these requirements, it is not surprising that the bulk of the membership in these plans was drawn from employed groups and their families.

A development with perhaps the greatest potentialities was the inclusion of health and welfare plans in labor-management agreements. The first agreement with an employee benefits clause was negotiated in 1926 and provided weekly sickness benefits. This type of clause, however, was infrequent up to World War II and the concomitant wage stabilization programs, which limited wage increases but permitted reasonable employee benefits. In that period of control, medical-care benefits were first included in employee benefit
programs under collective bargaining. The obligation to bargain on such programs was an issue in a number of cases heard by the National War Labor Board and subsequently taken through the courts. Decisions in these cases established health, welfare, and pension plans as proper subjects for collective bargaining.

The inclusion of employee benefit programs in collective-bargaining agreements became widespread in 1949. This trend was greatly accelerated by the conclusions of the President's Fact Finding Board in the Steel Industry Dispute and by the inclusion of the recommended insurance programs in the subsequent agreements between the large steel companies and the United Steelworkers of America (CIO). The Board stated: "Social insurance and pensions should be considered a part of normal business costs to take care of temporary and permanent depreciation in the human 'machine,' in much the same way as provision is made for depreciation and insurance of plant and machinery. This obligation should be among the first charges on revenues."

## Types and Extent of Insurance, 1950

The medical-care insurance plans covering most industrial workers are the same three types that are generally available to the public-Blue Cross, medical society, and commercial group insurance.

Blue Cross hospitalization insurance is provided throughout the United States by about 85 autonomous nonprofit organizations, sponsored by the local hospitals and approved by a national coordinating body, the Blue Cross Commission of the American Hospital Association. Their ideal is to provide service benefits-hospitalization in ward or semiprivate rooms at no cost beyond the premiums for a period which will include almost all acute illness. This ideal is approximated by some of the Blue Cross plans, notably Michigan Hospital Service, which provides 120 days of hospital service. On the other hand, some Blue Cross plans provide cash benefits only: for example $\$ 5$ a day for 30 days.

Insurance against some of the costs of physician services is available throughout the country from plans sponsored by State or local medical societies. About 80 autonomous plans of this type are in operation, and most of them are nonprofit. Almost all of these plans are closely associated with

Blue Cross plans either through single boards of directors, identical executive staffs, or joint operating agreements. Many belong to the Blue Shield association, originally a subsidiary of the American Medical Association but recently given independent status. The American Medical Association retains the mechanism for professional approval of these and all other plans which prepay the costs of physician services.

These medical-society plans are usually limited to surgery, although some also cover other physician services in the hospital. Over half of them provide (1) service benefits to subscribers having annual incomes of less than a stated amount, and (2) cash indemnities, according to a fee schedule, to those with higher incomes. About a third provide cash benefits only, and three of these are actually underwritten by insurance companies. Five medical-society plans provide service benefits only.

A large number of insurance companies now sell group-insurance policies which provide one or more of the following cash benefits: hospital expense, surgical expense, and medical expense. Hospital room and board reimbursements are generally $\$ 4$ to $\$ 8$ a day for 31 days. An additional amount is allowed for so-called "extras," such as laboratory tests, anesthesia, and operating room fees. Allowances for extras cannot exceed a set total, usually ranging from 5 to 10 times the daily rate. Reimbursements for surgeons' charges are made according to a fee schedule with a maximum generally set at $\$ 150$ or occasionally at $\$ 225$. Other physician services are covered by the medical expense plans. These usually exclude the first few visits provided during an illness. Reimbursements frequently are set at $\$ 3$ to $\$ 5$ for home or hospital visits and $\$ 2$ to $\$ 3$ for office visits.

An outstanding feature of these three principal types of medical-care insurance is that they give the plan member free choice of participating physicians and hospitals. This generally means most physicians and hospitals within the area covered by the plan.

Coverage of Plans. As of September 30, 1950, almost 16 million persons in the United States were subscribers to Blue Cross plans and over 7 million, to nonprofit medical-society plans. The number of dependents covered was 21 million for

Blue Cross plans and 9.5 million for nonprofit medical-society plans. These figures include both nonindustrial and industrial groups. The importance of industrial groups in the total is suggested by the fact that the seven highly industrialized States of Illinois, Massachusetts, Michigan, New Jersey, New York, Ohio, and Pennsylvania, which have 38 percent of the total population, accounted for 58 percent of the total Blue Cross membership.

As of December 31, 1950, the Health Insurance Council found that the insurance companies had group policies covering hospital-expense benefits for 10.1 million subscribers, surgical-expense for 10.3 million subscribers, and medical-expense benefits for 3.4 million subscribers. These figures represent, in large part, industrial workers, as this type of insurance is sold mainly to industrial groups. The number of dependents covered were 12.3 million for hospital expenses, 10.9 million for surgical expenses, and 2.2 million for medical expenses.

In a study of employee benefit programs in 12 metropolitan areas, made in 1948 and 1949 by the Research Council for Economic Security, over 6,800 firms, 34.7 percent of the sample, returned questionnaires. These employed almost 2.5 million employees- 27.4 percent of the nonagricultural employment in the 12 areas. According to this study, 42 percent of the firms reporting, which employed 35 percent of the workers, utilized local Blue Cross plans. Another 33 percent of the firms, with about the same percentage of workers had group hospital-expense coverage underwritten by insurance companies. In about 52 percent of the firms with hospitalization plans, this benefit was financed solely by the worker, and in about 20 percent it was financed solely by the employer. The remainder were jointly financed.

It was also disclosed that about 12 percent of the reporting firms, with about 13 percent of the employees, utilized local nonprofit plans for surgical insurance-primarily Blue Shield plans. Group surgical-expense insurance was reported by 32 percent of the firms, which employed about the same percentage of workers. In the firms with surgical plans, about 33 percent were financed solely by the worker, while about 26 percent were wholly employer-financed.

Some 9 percent of the firms in this study had
group medical-expense insurance, and another 4 percent had other types of coverage for nonsurgical physician services. Together these firms employed about 8 percent of the workers covered. The workers paid for the entire cost in 25 percent of the firms with this benefit, and the employer alone financed it in the case of 38 percent of the firms.

Two other studies of employee benefit plans have been published recently, one by Beatrice F. Brower and one by Jay V. Strong. Their findings confirm the general pattern found in the survey summarized in the preceding paragraphs, particularly as to the frequent inclusion of hospital and surgical plans in benefit programs.

Collective-Bargaining Plans. Employee benefit programs under collective bargaining also differ widely in the types and amount of benefits and the methods of financing and administration. Despite the differences, most of these programs have a very significant similarity in that they use existing voluntary medical-care insurance. Existing plans present the fewest problems to both union and management leaders who are busy with many other activities and are often inexperienced in medical-care administration. In fact, many unions, as a protection, insist on writing into the collective-bargaining contract the detailed descriptions of the benefits available from their local Blue Cross or medical-society plans or the benefits that a particular insurance company has agreed to provide for the money available.

Exceptions to the general pattern of using existing plans are few but nevertheless quite significant.

Collective-bargaining plans are financed by the employer alone or jointly with the workers. The employer's contribution is calculated most often on a percentage-of-payroll or cents-per-hour formula. In some instances, it is based on a specified sum per unit of production or per employee per week or month. Administration is by the employer or by various types of joint labor-management arrangements, such as formal tripartite trust funds. These interesting differences and similarities are brought out in the following important examples of programs now in operation.

The employee benefit program of the United States Steel Corp. includes hospitalization insur-
ance, which provides to most of its employees uniform services throughout the country by means of a special Blue Cross contract. It covers both the worker and his family for 70 days of hospital care per illness in semiprivate accommodations. Surgery and other physician services are not provided. The total cost of the entire benefit program is set at 5 cents for each hour worked by the participating employees, half to be paid by the company and half by the workers as a whole.

Among the benefits furnished by the longestablished welfare programs of the International Ladies' Garment Workers' Union (AFL) are cash payments for hospitalization and ambulatory medical services. The hospital benefits, selfinsured as are the other insurance benefits of the union, are currently $\$ 5$ a day for 75 days in a calendar year. The medical services are provided by the union's well-known health centers. Because the administration of these programs is, to a large extent, the responsibility of many separate unionmanaged welfare funds, the amounts of benefits vary somewhat from local union to local union. Generally these funds are now financed entirely by employer contributions, set at about 4 percent of the payroll.

The United Mine Workers Welfare and Retirement Fund, under a tripartite board of trustees, provides a variety of benefits including complete hospital care and all physician services in the hospital to the miners and their families. An extensive medical rehabilitation program is another feature of this program. Arrangements for these services are made directly with individual hospitals and physicians by the fund's area medical administrators. The fund is entirely supported by employer contributions set at 30 cents for each ton of coal produced.

In the precedent-making 5 -year contract between the General Motors Corp. and the United Automobile Workers (CIO), the company pays half the cost of local Blue Cross and Blue Shield coverage for the worker and his family. In addition, the company pays the entire cost of cashindemnity insurance for physician services to the employee during hospitalization for nonsurgical reasons; the maximum benefit is $\$ 5$ a day for 70 days.

A health and welfare fund covers the over-theroad truckdrivers in 22 Central, Midwest, and

Southern States who belong to the Brotherhood of Teamsters, Chauffeurs, Warehousemen and Helpers (AFL). Included in the group-insurance "package" purchased by this jointly directed fund are hospitalization and surgical benefits. Hospital expenses are reimbursed up to $\$ 10$ per day for not more than 31 days per disability with a $\$ 200$ maximum for "incidental" hospital charges; the schedule for surgical reimbursement has a maximum of $\$ 300$. The fund is financed by an employer contribution of $\$ 1$ a week per worker.

A survey by the Bureau of Labor Statistics of the U. S. Department of Labor reports that as of mid- 1950 health and welfare programs under collective bargaining covered over 7 million workers. They exist in practically all branches of industry as well as in most of the major AFL, CIO, and independent unions. Although there is a wide variety of benefit combinations, hospitalization and surgical insurances have become a frequent item in the health and welfare package. About 80 percent of workers who have employee benefits and for whom information was available have hospital insurance, and about 72 percent of these workers have surgical insurance, medical insurance, or both. The employer is the sole source of funds for 65 percent of the workers with hospitalization coverage and for 72 percent of the workers with surgical and medical coverage. The other programs are jointly financed by the employer and the workers.

## Future Problems and Prospects

As management and labor acquire greater familiarity and experience with medical care insurance, they will undoubtedly raise some significant questions. The way in which these questions are answered will profoundly influence the ultimate role of present voluntary plans in providing med-ical-care insurance to industrial workers.

The questions, which have their origin in problems of the worker as such, primarily concern the continuity of coverage. For example, what happens when a worker changes from one establishment to another? Some current developments give a partial answer. For establishments which are part of one company, insurance companies and also Blue Cross plans in a few recent instances have made available uniform Nation-wide pro-
grams for group contracts. In industries composed of many relatively small firms concentrated in one or a few geographic areas, industry-wide funds have provided continuity of coverage despite a high degree of mobility of the workers within the industry. However, many situations still remain under which a worker changing jobs must accept one or more disadvantages in relation to his medical-care insurance coverage.

Periods of temporary unemployment create a second phase of the same problem. Some industries have seasonal slumps when a considerable number of workers are laid off for as long as 3 to 6 months. Then work stoppages may arise from collective-bargaining disagreements. Aside from unemployment during major economic depressions, experience has shown that during occasional recessions many, if not all, industries lay off a smaller or larger number of employees. A partial answer to this phase of the problem is given by some of the plans, principally those of the Blue Cross type. These allow the worker to continue payment of his own premiums during periods of unemployment. However, at such times the worker himself is financially handicapped. Although it is important for him to be protected against the additional economic burden of illness, he finds it difficult to pay the premiums, particularly if the insurance is of the relatively expensive type which covers his family and provides a fairly wide range of benefits. Some of the funds covering workers in irregular or seasonal employment recognize this problem by furnishing the health benefits to their workers who have been unemployed for as much as 4 months, or who have worked a minimum amount of time during the year, e. g., 6 months, or who continue to pay their own contribution into the fund.

A third aspect of the same problem is continuance of coverage for the worker who must retire from employment because of old age or permanent disability. Among the sources of money which have been suggested for the premiums of these various types of unemployed workers are private or Government subsidy, industry, unions, and State unemployment insurance programs.

Other questions that informed management and labor will want answered concern the plans themselves. These are the same questions that leaders of the health professions and other students of the
subject ask : Are the people getting their money's worth from these plans? Do the plans really meet the needs? Are they providing and promoting a high quality of medical care?

Recently some interesting data have been published regarding the adequacy of voluntary med-ical-care insurance plans. A study of group sur-gical-expense insurance was made from a sample of 100,000 surgical claims submitted by seven leading insurance companies. This showed that, in 1947, subscribers covered by a schedule of reimbursements with a maximum average of a little under $\$ 150$ were reimbursed, on the average, for 55 percent of the surgeons' charges. A Blue Cross Commission study of experience during the first 6 months of 1950 showed that Blue Cross plans averaged 79 percent of the subscribers' total hospital bills, and that Blue Shield plans paid 65 percent of the doctor bills for those services covered by these plans.

Exemplifying the criticism by many union leaders of the major alternatives for insuring the costs of physician services is the following excerpt from a recent address by Harry Becker, director of the Social Security Department, United Automobile Workers (CIO).


#### Abstract

Even though collective bargaining is beginning to make sufficient funds available for medical care financed on the basis of the insurance principle, a satisfactory mechanism for the provision of medical benefits and services has still to be developed. . . . In no instance have the insurance companies assumed the social responsibility of working out with organized medicine a medical-insurance program that will assure covered workers that their insurance benefits will meet the full cost to them of covered medical or surgical items when such services are provided by their physician. . . .


> Although most Blue Shield plans provide that families with incomes below a given figure will be guaranteed protection against medical expense for covered medical or surgical procedures, the income ceilings are generally so low that they exclude most workers. The Blue Shield plans, however, have a potentiality for flexibility and development, because of their sponsorship by State medical societies, that does not exist for the insurance companies. So far this potentiality has not been realized.

There is general recognition that the more comprehensive the range of benefits, the more effective the plan. However, comprehensive benefits would require a considerable increase in premiums for
the principle types of medical-care insurance which utilize the fee-for-service and solo-practice patterns of physician care. Of course, this does not apply to the medical-service plans in which physicians practice as a group and are paid on a salary basis. In these plans, comprehensive services are available within reasonable costs.

Another important consideration affecting the quality of care relates to the way that industrial medical-care insurance programs can be integrated with the other health services that the worker and his family are receiving from private physicians and voluntary and governmental agencies. There follow some pertinent remarks on this subject by Dr. E. Richard Weinerman, Medical Director, Permanente Health Plan, Oakland, Calif., and Dr. Herbert K. Abrams, Chief of the Bureau of Adult Health, California State Department of Health:

The first principle to be recognized is that preventive and therapeutic service are-or should beinseparable, and that both can be furthered through the provisions of collective-bargaining agreements. While preventive health and safety measures have occasionally been included [in collective-bargaining agreements] this aspect of health protection is usually subordinated to the provision of the more dramatic hospital-care benefits. Nursing services, sanitation, case-finding, and other basic elements of good preventive medicine are rarely provided. Moreover, medical services for occupational illness and injury under compensation laws have not been coordinated with the newer arrangements for the care of nonoccupational cases. . . .

The recent experience of labor groups in California demonstrates that labor health funds can satisfy more of the basic elements of good medical-care planning than is now true of most union plans. These include:
(1) Nonprofit financing.
(2) Service rather than cash benefits.
(3) Coordination of preventive and curative services.
(4) Comprehensive scope of medical care.
(5) Family coverage.
(6) Coordination of professional personnel in modern medical facilities.
(7) "Consumer" voice in policy making.

A unique example of a medical-care plan which was developed under collective bargaining and includes all seven "basic elements of good medicalcare planning" listed by Drs. Weinerman and Abrams is the Labor Health Institute in St. Louis. However, for many administrative and sociologic
reasons this type of plan is difficult to organize and operate, and in many States during the past few years has been illegal to establish.

State temporary disability insurance programs in four States and serious consideration of such laws by many State legislatures make possible one type of governmental medical-care insurance system for industrial workers. In fact, the State of California has already taken an important step in this direction by adding a hospitalexpense benefit of $\$ 8$ a day, up to 12 days, to its temporary disability insurance program. Robert Tilove, research director of Martin E. Segal and Co., has covered this point as follows:

If the State considers it necessary, in the interest of public welfare, to compel provision of cash benefits for temporary nonoccupational disability, whether through State plans or through private plans or both, it does not have very much further to go to regard as a necessity a similar requirement for hospitalization, surgical, and perhaps even, in some form and degree, medical-expense insurance.
The future of present medical-care insurance programs for industrial workers, if any Federal or State governmental medical-care insurance programs were enacted, would depend on the provisions of the enabling legislation. Some of the possible results are suggested by existing situations in related benefit programs as well as by several of the legislative proposals themselves. A governmental program, as in some of the State disability-insurance programs, might permit the substitution of the privately operated programs if they met certain requirements, such as those specifying the extent of benefits and amount of premium. Or, the privately operated program might be adapted, as is done under many pension plans, to provide benefits supplemental to those of a governmental program. In any event, it would seem likely that plans which operated their own facilities and had their own professional staffs would be able to continue their activities in the same way as would other hospitals or clinics.

Labor and management support of industrial medical-care insurance programs is growing more widespread and active. For example, Earl O. Shreve, past president of the Chamber of Commerce of the United States, has said: ". . . Employers have come to realize that-apart from conditions on the job-the general health of their employees is a matter of concern to them. The
good health of the workers is essential for high production . . . Closely related to the matter of maintaining the health of employees is the problem of aiding them to meet their health bills. Insurance is perhaps the best means of distributing the costs of serious illness over groups of people and over periods of time."

Such support makes it likely that growing numbers of workers, whether members of unions or not, will, in the next few years, be covered by voluntary insurance programs for hospitalization, surgery, and some other physician services. This support will also help to prevent such plans from becoming casualties of future labor-management disputes or of economy efforts during less prosperous times.

The principal types of voluntary medical-care insurance plans now in operation appear to be both adequate and flexible enough to meet most of the current stipulations of management and labor. In the absence of new factors, it can be expected that the trend to use these existing plans will continue. As at present, they will be financed only occasionally by the workers alone, often jointly by the employer and the workers, and, with increasing frequency, by the employer alone.

[^0]
# Cooperative Housing in the United States, Mid-1950 

Florence E. Parker*

Nearly 22,000 dwelling units of some 35,000 planned were either completed or under construction by 160 cooperative housing associations, by mid-1950. Of the total known associations, 155 were still active and 8 had dissolved after completing their planned projects; of 33 additional cooperatives that had gone out of existence, 7 had constructed a few dwellings but were unable to finish the project and 26 had dissolved without having reached the construction stage. The associations covered had a total of 24,253 members and were holding 10,397 acres of land. This information was obtained in a joint survey by the Bureau of Labor Statistics and the Housing Research Division of the Housing and Home Finance Agency.

Two-thirds of the families in these cooperatives had annual incomes of $\$ 2,000$ to $\$ 4,000$ in 1949. However, the great majority of them were able to supply, from their own resources, the money needed to buy the land and make the down payment on the dwellings. The average cost to the member of a 2 -bedroom detached dwelling was $\$ 11,000$ in the all-the-way cooperatives and $\$ 8,267$ in the co-ventures; an apartment of the same size averaged $\$ 4,000$. The cost in the mutual associations was lowest of all-\$2,743.

Many of the cooperators performed a number of jobs, either on their own properties or for each other, and this helped to lessen costs. The associations faced all the usual problems of building, accentuated by the fact that they were cooperatives and by the inexperience of the officers. The chief
problems were those of financing and insurance. Also, long delays occurred during which costs of materials, labor, etc., rose sharply. Another serious obstacle was loss of members unable to pay the increased prices or to wait longer for shelter.

The findings indicate, nevertheless, that the associations that completed their projects were able to produce above-average dwellings on plots larger than ordinary.

## Types Covered

In this survey, the housing associations were regarded as cooperative only if (1) the initiative for the project came from within the group to be housed, (2) the project was a nonprofit enterprise the technical advisers of which (architect, attorney, contractor, etc.) either were employees of the association or donated their services, and (3) the policies of the organization were determined and controlled by the members from the beginning. The survey therefore did not cover so-called "cooperatives" which are a sales device of speculative builders or real-estate firms. A few of the associations had "sponsors," but no sponsored project was included if the sponsor stood to make any pecuniary gain from the association's activities.

The cooperatives covered were classified, by degree of cooperation, as "co-ventures" or "all-theway cooperatives." These terms are recognized and in use in the cooperative movement. (Under the National Housing Act, the terms used to designate these two classes are "sales" and "management" cooperatives, respectively.) In the coventure association the members act collectively at one or several stages only (such as buying and developing the land, or constructing the houses). Those stages completed, such associations go out of business unless there are community facilities (water-distribution system, park or playground area, etc.) or other property owned in common by the entire membership. In the latter case, the cooperative housing organization continues in existence to manage the facilities, or a new cooperative is formed for that purpose. In all of the co-venture associations, the individual member receives a fee-simple title to his dwelling once it is completed.

In the all-the-way cooperative, the whole prop-erty-dwellings and any community facilities there may be - continues to be owned by the asso-
ciation. The member owns stock in the organization to the value of the particular dwelling he occupies, but never receives title to it; he has only a leasehold, for periods varying up to 99 years, or the right of "perpetual use."

The cooperatives covered included associations building houses, those that built or bought apartment buildings, and the so-called "mutuals" (i. e., mainly organizations formed to buy war housing built by the Federal Government ${ }^{1}$ ). The house-building associations included both coventures and all-the-way cooperatives. All the apartment associations and mutuals were all-theway cooperatives.

## Characteristics of Associations

Most of the associations actively engaged in the provision of new dwellings were products of the postwar housing shortage, and many were formed by returned veterans who urgently needed shelter for their families. Comparatively few had had any formal sponsorship outside the cooperative group. For these few the sponsors included labor unions, veterans' organizations, and racial or religious bodies.

The cooperatives were functioning in all parts of the United States: 29 States, the District of Columbia, and Puerto Rico.

Of 160 associations for which type of incorporation was known, 64 had incorporated under the nonprofit law, 40 under a consumers' cooperative law, 40 under the regular corporation law, 7 under the limited-dividend law, and 9 either were organized under other statutes or were unincorporated.
Members came from a variety of income levels and occupational groups, but quite often the composition of the cooperative changed as time went on. One of the most common changes was the result of the withdrawal of the lower-income families as building costs rose. New members were most often recruited from individuals in the same groups that started the association, or from friends of members. Many joined as a result of stories about the cooperative in local newspapers.
Such data as were available regarding family incomes indicate that the cooperative membership consisted largely of families earning from $\$ 2,000-$ $\$ 4,000$ per year in 1949. Nearly two-thirds of the total were in this bracket. Less than a fifth were earning $\$ 5,000$ or more a year. Families that

Table 1.-Membership and dwellings in housing cooperatives, July 1950, by status and type of association

| Status and type of association | Totalknownassociations | Total associations reporting |  | Membership |  | Number of dwelling units |  |  |  | Rooms completed or under construction |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\underset{\text { ber }}{\text { Num- }}$ | Number of proj- | Associa tions reporting | Members | Associations reporting | Total planned | Completed or under construction | Planned for next 12 months | Associations reporting | Number of units in reporting associations | Number of rooms |
| Active | 644 | 539 | 550 | +58 | $\begin{array}{r} 200 \\ 4,605 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}5 \\ 38 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 234 \\ 5,262 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 95 \\ 4,412 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 27 \\ 850 \end{array}$ | 535 | $\begin{array}{r} 95 \\ 4,017 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 556 \\ 15,827 \end{array}$ |
| All-the-way cooperatives: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| House-building associations. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mutual associations- |  |  |  | 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| With purchase contract.-- | 26 | 25 | 25 |  | 8,799 | 258 | 9,627 | $\begin{aligned} & 9,627 \\ & 5,141 \end{aligned}$ |  | 235 | $\begin{aligned} & 8,701 \\ & 3,771 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35,502 \\ & 16,304 \end{aligned}$ |
| In preconstruction stage |  | 8 | 8 | 8 3 | 3, 103 |  | 5, 141 |  | 548 |  |  |  |
| Co-venture associations building houses: |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2,426 \\ & 1,165 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3929 | $33$ | 3516 | $\begin{aligned} & 31 \\ & 16 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 33 \\ & 14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3,407 \\ & 1,445 \end{aligned}$ | 1,250 | $\begin{aligned} & 404 \\ & 370 \end{aligned}$ | 27 | 960 | 4,830 |
| In preconstruction stage. |  | $\begin{aligned} & 16 \\ & 16 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tot | 155 | 133 | 146 | 126 | 20,549 | 130 | 28, 331 | 20,525 | 2,199 | 95 | 17, 544 | 73,019 |
| Dissolved |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 66 | 828 | 4, 251 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Project not completed- | 33 |  | $\begin{array}{r} 7 \\ 17 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{ll} 2 & 1,682 \\ 2 & 1,194 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Some construction accomplished No construction accomplished. |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 7 \\ 17 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 7 \\ 15 \end{array}$ | $\text { 2, } 434$ | 436 |  |  | 341 | 1,586 |
|  | 41 | 32 | 32 | 30 | 3,704 | 30 | 6,933 | 1,401 |  | 12 |  | 5,837 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ------.---- |  | 1,169 |  |

[^1]were active and in some were even operating the project as lessee agent for the Government.
${ }^{2}$ At end of association's existence.
were members of mutual associations buying war housing had somewhat lower incomes than either those building apartments or houses. The heads of families in membership in the cooperatives were about evenly divided between those less than 34 years of age and those between 34 and 50 years. Only about 7 percent were over 50.

Table 2.-Total number of known cooperative housing associations, July 31, 1950, and number for which data were obtained, by type and geographic division

| Geographic division | Active associations ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  | Dissolved associations |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Number reporting |  |  |  | Co-ventures | Number reporting |  |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { To- } \\ \text { tal } \end{gathered}$ | All-the-way cooperatives |  |  |  | Project completed | Project not <br> pleted |
|  |  |  | Houses | Apart ments | Mutuals |  |  |  |
| United States_ | 155 | 133 | 6 | 45 | 33 | 49 | 8 | 24 |
| New England | 455328134139143 | 35030711278132 | $\begin{array}{r}3 \\ 2 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 3643 | 76381 | 3 | ---1 | 16813 |
| Middle Atlantic... |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |
| East North Central |  |  |  |  |  | 18 |  |  |
| West North Central. |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| South Atlantic.....- |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |
| East South Central-- |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| West South Central. |  |  |  |  | 2 | 5 |  |  |
| Mountain |  |  |  |  | 3 | 4 |  |  |
| Pacific |  |  |  | 2 | 1 | 9 |  | 5 |
| Puerto Rico... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ Includes associations in preconstruction stage.

## Characteristics and Costs of Projects

On the average, the all-the-way cooperatives had built 19 houses apiece (of 47 planned) and the co-ventures 38 apiece (of 97 planned). The apartment associations had provided 116 units (of 138 planned) ; this average covered an extremely wide range-the prewar apartment associations averaged 60 units, and the postwar group ranged up to 1,650 units. The mutuals averaged 447 units each.

The average dwelling had two bedrooms, kitchen (with dining space), and living room. Some associations, however, built houses containing up to 4 bedrooms or more.

The apartment associations were all in cities where sewer, water, and other utilities were conveniently at hand. Most of the associations that built individual houses, however, went into the outskirts to obtain the advantage of less-expensive land. In most cases this was unimproved, and
the cooperative had to subdivide and develop it. Expense of development naturally increased the total land cost considerably, by $\$ 152$ to nearly $\$ 1,600$ an acre. The high-priced city land used by the apartment associations was naturally many times the per-acre cost of that of the other associations, but was generally less per dwelling unit because of the much greater density of dwellings on the tract.

For nearly two-thirds of all the associations reporting, the acquisition cost of the land was less than $\$ 1,000$ per acre. The cost, after all development costs (site and offsite) were included, was still less than $\$ 1,000$ per acre for about 40 percent of the associations; for about 40 percent of the associations it was between $\$ 1,000$ and $\$ 2,999$ per acre, and for about 20 percent $\$ 3,000$ or more.

Total costs of the projects included in the survey ranged from less than $\$ 25,000$ to more than $\$ 5,000,000$. The average house-building project cost $\$ 439,022$; the average prewar apartment project, $\$ 200,209$; the average postwar apartment project, $\$ 5,491,710$; and the average mutual project, $\$ 1,176,146$ (purchase price to association).

The relative distribution of expenditures for the various items involved in the housing projects varied greatly, depending largely on whether the project did or did not include community facilities and land earmarked for common use, in addition to the dwellings themselves. For the associations with community facilities, land cost constituted 17.9 percent of the total project cost, compared with 0.7 to 10.0 percent in the other associations. Development costs (including the installation of permanently owned sewer and water systems and recreational and other facilities) represented another 33.2 percent of the costs of these associations. For the other associations, the site and offsite development costs ranged from 4.5 to 19.1 percent of the total cost. Architect and engineering fees took another 1.5 to 9.9 percent.

Costs were reduced in a number of cases by volunteer work by the members. They helped to clear away brush, surveyed the land, did grading and leveling, dug trenches, laid sewer and water pipe, built at least two small bridges, and planted trees, in addition to various kinds and amounts of work on the exterior and interior of the dwellings. In several self-help associations the members did all or nearly all the work, including the construction
of the houses, sometimes by the exchange-of-labor method, sometimes on their own houses only. Other savings were made in various ingenious ways. Some also had the advantage of special talents (architectural, land planning, legal, etc.) contributed by the members or even by nonmembers. Some associations shared with the contractor any savings made from the total estimated cost.

## Financing and Insurance

The members furnished 89.4 percent of the money for the purchase of the land, obtaining the rest from lenders, friends, or relatives, etc. The membership also furnished from their own resources 22.9 percent of the money needed for construction. All of the money for the down payment on the mortgage was supplied by the members of the apartment associations and mutuals, and 71.7 percent by the members of the all-the-way associations building houses. In the co-ventures, the houses were built under individual financing arrangements of which the associations had no records.

Construction funds not furnished by the members were obtained from lending agencies in a number of ways-through loans to individual members who then turned the funds over to the cooperative, through a single loan made directly to the association, or through loans made to the cooperative acting as agent for the members. Some of the self-help associations and a few of the co-ventures financed the construction of a few or a considerable group of dwellings themselves. As these were completed, they were mortgaged and the money thus obtained was used to build the next group. Generally, such expedients were resorted to because the association was unable to obtain construction money from regular lending agencies.

Few of the housing projects covered in the study had FHA insurance. Prior to 1948 there was no specific legal authorization of such insurance for cooperatives, and it was therefore practically impossible for a cooperative association to obtain either collective financing or collective insurance. For that reason, associations starting with the intention of becoming all-the-way cooperatives necessarily became co-ventures. Under co-venture organization, it was possible for members to ob-
tain individual mortgages and insurance, and it appears that they did so.

Early in 1948, an amendment to the National Housing Act specifically included cooperatives among those eligible for FHA insurance. Three of the cooperative associations covered in the study obtained such insurance in 1948; two of these were mutuals. The third was a large unionsponsored project which obtained a blanket FHAinsured mortgage; as each house was completed, it was released from the mortgage and the owner made his own financial arrangements. In 1949, two of the associations received insurance; one was a mutual and the other a co-venture association which had started as an all-the-way cooperative.

Of three all-the-way cooperatives, two (started in 1945 and 1948, respectively) obtained FHA insurance in 1950, and the third (formed in 1945) withdrew its application for insurance.

In 1950, an amendment to the National Housing Act created a new program for housing cooperatives and established the office of a new assistant commissioner of the FHA who was directed to assist them with their organizing and technical problems. ${ }^{2}$ Under this amendment a definite program for cooperatives was started, regulations were drawn up to deal with them, and a kit of materials was issued for the use of associations intending to apply for FHA insurance. The pro-
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cedures have been crystallized and processing speeded up under the new arrangement. The regulations prohibit builders or others benefiting from the project in a pecuniary way from acting as incorporators or officers of cooperatives. In many cases, however, the organizations accepted as cooperatives do not conform to the definition of a cooperative as understood in the cooperative movement. According to the FHA, in such cases "the initiative for the project comes from a sponsor builder who organizes the nucleus of a cooperative group and through it submits plans to the FHA with an application for a statement of eligibility. Upon receipt of a statement of eligibility (issued to the cooperative group), the sponsor advertises for the members necessary to complete the cooperative. A mortgage is obtained, the insurance commitment issued, the project is built, and then management and control are assumed by the cooperative group." This is a reversal of recognized cooperative procedure.

## Cost to Member

Generally the member, on joining, pays a membership fee (in half the associations the amount was $\$ 50$ or less), used to cover some of the initial expenses (organization, incorporation, stationery, etc.). If the entrance fee is not sufficient to cover option money when an attractive site is found, the member may be assessed for an additional amount for this. The next payment required is that to cover his share of the purchase price of the land and, later, assessments to cover development costs.

Costs per lot to the member in the associations for which this information was obtained ranged from less than $\$ 100$ to $\$ 4,000$. In the majority of cases it ranged from $\$ 700$ to $\$ 1,200$ (including cost of subdividing, putting in utilities, etc.).

Naturally, considering the different kinds and quality of projects covered (individual houses, apartments, and war housing), there was a wide range in cost per dwelling as well as in the living space provided. For example, for a 2 -bedroom dwelling among the associations building detached houses, the range in the all-the-way associations was from $\$ 7,000$ to $\$ 15,000$ (average $\$ 11,000$ ), and among the co-ventures from $\$ 5,000$ (for a house built by self-help) to $\$ 16,000$ (average $\$ 8,267$ ). An apartment of the same size ranged in cost from
$\$ 1,000$ (in a $25-30$ year old building) to $\$ 12,600$ (average $\$ 4,000$ ). The mutuals-war housingwere the most moderate in cost, ranging from $\$ 1,619$ to $\$ 3,655$ for a 2 -bedroom unit (average $\$ 2,743$ ).

Down payments on the dwellings averaged $\$ 3,500$ and $\$ 3,640$ in the associations building individual houses, $\$ 251$ in the mutuals, and $\$ 390$ per room in the apartment associations. The down payment in the reporting associations constituted from 5 to 40 percent of total cost, but in the majority of cases was about 10 percent.

The monthly carrying charges depend on a number of factors, such as the price of the dwelling, the period in which the mortgage is to be amortized, the interest rate on the mortgage, the amount and cost of insurance, the tax rate, etc. In the co-venture associations these factors varied according to the terms of the individual member's contract. In the mutuals and apartment associations, another variable is added: the services and utilities that are included in the rent. In the mutual associations, the average monthly charges per member in 1949 ranged from $\$ 31.41$ to $\$ 70.54$. In the apartment associations, the range was from $\$ 41.19$ to $\$ 67$. In the one all-the-way association building houses, for which the monthly carrying cost was known, it amounted to $\$ 90$. Additional assessments for any purpose usually depend on the vote of the membership.

A few associations bought water, gas, or electricity, or all three, at wholesale, metering them out to members at a considerable saving.

## What the Member Gets

Associations building houses provided lots of generous size. The smallest was 60 by 100 feet and the largest 4 acres. In over three-fifths of these associations for which size of lot was known, the lot was a quarter of an acre or more.

The average house was a one-story building of either frame or combination masonry and wood. Floors were generally of either concrete or hardwood. The bathroom had asphalt or linoleumcovered floor, shower over the tub, and wainscoting of a variety of materials (some used glazed clay tile, others composition, aluminum tile, etc.). Items most commonly included in the price of the
dwelling were floor and wall cabinets in the kitchen, an unusual amount of closet space and storage cabinets, hot-water heater, utility room, gas range, and sometimes a refrigerator. Hotair and hot-water heat were the most popular types of heating, with either oil or gas for fuel. Comparatively few dwellings had either basements, porches, or garages, but in some cases members were planning to build these themselves, later.

The apartment buildings were usually of brick or other masonry; and ranged in height from 2 to 12 stories. The price usually included wall and floor cabinets in the kitchen, gas range, and automatic refrigerator. Hot water and heat were also supplied, as well as garbage-incinerator chutes on each floor, and laundry facilities (usually in the basement). All of the postwar buildings (except one of two stories) had automatic elevators.

In the mutuals, the buildings were one or twostory row or semidetached buildings of frame or masonry construction. The interiors were more cheaply finished than in any of the other types of associations. They provided minimum storage and cupboard space, a gas range, small-tomedium refrigerator, and hot-water heater.

For an average cost of $\$ 11,000$ the member of the all-the-way cooperative building houses received a 2 -bedroom dwelling with 810 square feet of living space; for an average of $\$ 13,250$ a 3 -bedroom house with 1,092 square feet of living space. Among the co-ventures the average 2 -bedroom house cost $\$ 8,267$ and provided 965 square feet of space; for the 3 -bedroom house the figures were $\$ 13,110$ and 1,358 square feet. ${ }^{3}$ The costs in these two groups were influenced by the presence or absence of costly community facilities. All of the all-the-way cooperatives had such facilities, which naturally raised average per-unit costs to the members. A large proportion of the co-ventures, on the other hand, provided dwellings only, and undertook no provision of community facilities. In such cases the cost to the member was that of his house only.

A 2 -bedroom apartment cost, on the average, $\$ 4,000$ and provided 833 square feet of space; a 3 -bedroom apartment cost $\$ 4,950$ and provided 1,124 square feet. (Both of these averages were
affected by the inclusion of the old apartments with lower cost and larger rooms.)

For the mutuals, the cost and space were smallest of all- $\$ 2,743$ and 697 square feet for a 2 -bedroom unit, and $\$ 3,095$ and 837 square feet for a 3 -bedroom unit.

In practically all cases except the co-ventures, outside maintenance and structural and major interior and exterior repairs were the function of the association. The member was expected to take care of any interior redecoration and minor interior repairs, besides looking after his dooryard and garden space (if any).

## Problems of Cooperatives

Many and varied were the problems faced by the associations studied. In order of their importance and seriousness, they were-
(1) Obtaining financing and insurance.
(2) The long periods of delay while the land was being sought and bought, while the types of housing that the members could pay for were being investigated, while the association was trying to overcome neighborhood opposition, while lending agencies were being canvassed for financing, while a builder was being sought, and while the application for insurance was being considered and processed by FHA or VA, or both.
(3) The increases in the cost of materials, labor, and other aspects of housing that occurred while all the processes in (2) were going on, and
(4) The loss of members resulting from (2) and (3), as they were either priced out of the market, bought houses elsewhere because their family needs were such that they could not wait, or lost confidence in the ability of the association to carry through its project.

Less serious problems were involved in organizing the association, in finding suitable land at a reasonable price, in overcoming or adjusting to zoning or building regulations, in installing the necessary utilities on the site, and in working out relationships with architect, contractor, and labor.

Most of the associations had, by dint of long hours of volunteer labor and grim persistence on the part of officers and loyal members, won through to a complete, or at least a compromise
victory. A few succumbed, either because of insuperable obstacles or cooperative mistakes, or because there was insufficient drive, determination, and perseverance. In some cases, the failure could be attributed largely to the matter of timing; the cooperative just happened to get started or get to the construction stage when all conditions were against it.

Mistakes of judgment on the part of the cooperative often added to the difficulties. Indeed, among the failures, errors of omission or commission by membership and leaders were practically as numerous among the causes of failure as the factors over which the cooperative had no control. In the main, they were not as serious as the latter.

In the cooperatives' search for financing, a number reported opposition, tacit or overt, from local builders, contractors, real-estate men, and lending agencies. Some felt, also, that the opposition had been deliberately fomented by local interests. Others received no discouragement but were simply kept waiting indefinitely for a decision for or against. Opinions differed as to the reasons for this treatment. Ignorance of the cooperative method, lack of confidence in a group's ability to carry through the project, unwillingness to depart from the established pattern of financing, intolerance of the interracial make-up of the cooperative, and fear of depreciated property values, all seemed to have figured in the situation in various instances.

The difficulty of obtaining mortgage insurance was found to have varied as to both time and place.

As already noted, prior to 1948 the National Housing Act made no specific provision for insuring cooperatives. Even after they were specifically included in the law, in that year, no special machinery was created to deal with them. Also, the FHA regulations covered section 207 as a whole, but none were issued specifically for the item on cooperatives. The associations were usually unacquainted with FHA procedures, and FHA was equally inexperienced as regards cooperatives. In practice, the treatment accorded to cooperatives, as revealed by this study, varied from office to office, sometimes even within the same FHA region.

Most of the experience of the associations covered in the present study had occurred prior to the passage of section 213 in the Housing Act of 1950. Since that time, a new insurance program for cooperatives has been formulated, with central personnel to carry it out and a specialist in each insuring office to deal especially with cooperatives. The cooperative aims and principles have been explained to the operating people in the field, in order to enlist their interest and cooperation.

[^2]
# Second Congress of the ICFTU at Milan, July 1951 

Eric Kocher*

The International Confederation of Free Trade-Unions (ICFTU) opened its second congress at Milan on July 4, 1951, with a significant background of growth since its foundation at the London congress a year and a half before. At its inception in 1949, the ICFTU drew on an affiliated membership of something less than 48 millions in 67 organizations, some of which had just withdrawn from the Communist-ruled World Federation of Trade-Unions (WFTU). Current claims are 52.5 million members in 84 organizations. Not all affiliates were represented at Milan, however.

Final figures on attendance indicate that 56 trade-union organizations were represented by 154 delegates, 8 substitutes, and 20 advisers. The absence of 28 affiliates, many of them small unions, can only be explained on financial grounds. Representatives were also present from the United Nations; the UN Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization; the International Labor Organization; the International Trade Secretariats (ITS) ; and trade-union federations of Finland, Australia, and Turkey, none of which are yet affiliated to the ICFTU.

A marked difference in atmosphere existed between the London and the Milan congresses. At London, delegates with different points of view and from different parts of the world had to shape the structure and nature of the organization, its principles, and its orientation. Among the specific issues which gave the London congress its
dramatic quality were decisions concerning the location of the headquarters and the choice of general secretary and president. It was also necessary to arrive at a constitution that would be acceptable to all participants, regardless of ideological position. With these fundamental decisions already made, the major function of the Milan congress was to evaluate past activities and to provide a key to future action. From this point of view, the congress recorded a number of noteworthy accomplishments.

## Past Policies and Future Action

The organization and policy decisions taken by the ICFTU governing bodies between the two congresses were approved unanimously by all delegates. This approval was more significant than it appears to be at first view. In many cases the instructions given to the general secretary by the London congress had been of a general nature, because it was realized that it would be necessary to build a sizable fund of experience before more definite lines of action could be evolved. The general secretary, therefore, acting under the executive board and emergency committee, formulated operational policy and acted on the basis of guiding principles enunciated as far back as 1949. One example of the type of action taken involved the development of the regional program. Another instance was the arrangements made with the Christian Trade-Union International (CISC) concerning relative representation on the organisms of the Schuman Plan.

In addition to its approving look at the past, the second ICFTU congress suggested general lines of future action for the organization to follow. On the basis of emphasis at Milan, it appears that a major activity of the ICFTU will be a relentless campaign against Communist totalitarianism. In this struggle no attempt will be made to separate trade-union and political elements. The ICFTU realizes that the social, economic, and political are all intertwined to make of Communist dictatorship an integrated whole, any part of which should be attacked whether or not it belongs strictly to the trade-union field. The forcefulness of the ICFTU attitude on this point is revealed essentially through the strong wording of its final resolution on totalitarianism.

Closely related to its fight on Communist totalitarianism is the expansion of regional activities by means of a fund of at least $\$ 700,000$ to be spent over the next 3 years. Adoption of this program, though integrated into the general antitotalitarian campaign of the ICFTU, was also motivated by the desire to raise standards of workers in underdeveloped areas. The success of the regional program, as it is translated into terms of organization and personnel, will certainly play a large share in the future effectiveness of the ICFTU.

The congress proceedings also indicated that matters of an organizational nature are not to be ignored. Using increased dues payments, the staff of the ICFTU headquarters will be expanded with special emphasis on the press and publicity side; also, educational activities will be intensified in all regional organizations.

## Congress Proceedings ${ }^{1}$

The work of the Milan congress was divided between public plenary sessions and private committee meetings. At the plenary sessions the report of the general secretary was considered, and the ICFTU attitude toward current world problems was examined. The five committees (organization, finance, and constitution; economic, social, and political; regional organization; education and publicity; and resolutions), which were established in addition to the standing committees, dealt in detail with sections of the general secretary's report and draft resolutions within their scope.

Totalitarianism. The keynote of the congress was undoubtedly the totalitarian problem. From the beginning the ICFTU has taken a militant antitotalitarian attitude. The free trade-unions of the world have seen the increasing tragedy of those trade-unions which have fallen under the control of totalitarian influences not only to the left but also to the right. It was the awareness of the fate of these organizations which prompted the ICFTU to devote the major part of its attention during the congress to this problem.

Since delegates unanimously agreed that totalitarianism is the greatest menace to world peace today, the only issue in the discussion was the tactics to be used by the ICFTU in combating this
evil. Would it, for example, concentrate on Stalinism to the exclusion of fascism? Would its condemnation of Stalinism and/or fascism be cloaked in generalities or would it be direct and uncompromising? What would be its attitude toward the Chinese aggression in Korea, especially in view of what seemed an imminent end to hostilities? And what emphasis should be placed on social and economic factors in the battle against totalitarianism?

The three reports presented to the congress on this problem placed different emphasis on the methods that should be used. The first report, The Aims of Free Trade-Unionism and its Struggle against the Totalitarian Menace, was submitted by George Meany of the American Federation of Labor and Jacob Potofsky of the Congress of Industrial Organizations. In his statement, Mr. Meany listed the reasons for the growth of totalitarianism, indicated the principles which should guide free trade-unions in the fight against Stalinism, and concluded that the ICFTU must take the lead in this struggle. In substance, the report represented an official AFL call to the ICFTU to use all methods and tactics-economic, social, and defense-in the battle against Communist totalitarianism, which is a movement representing an internal and external menace to all democracies.

Mr. Potofsky's statement, although identified with the AFL position through a draft resolution on totalitarianism submitted by both AFL and CIO, was directed primarily against fascism, notably the Franco and Peron regimes. It criticized financial aid voted to Spain by the United States Congress and urged rejection of further Import-Export Bank loans to that country "in order to give Franco a shove into oblivion." Finally, the CIO delegate attacked a prevalent military opinion that Spain is necessary as a military base. This same position has also been taken by the AFL on numerous occasions.

Delegates from the French Force Ouvrière and the British Trades Union Congress next presented reports on The Role of Labor in the International Crisis, and Conditions for the Establishment and Maintenance of World Peace, respectively. Although these presentations recognized other facets of the totalitarian problem, they emphasized the importance of social and economic planning in the
world today. The FO delegate's report, for example, concentrated on the economic measures necessary to the maintenance of peace; the report of the TUC delegate stressed complementing rearmament with a willingness to negotiate, indicated that war is not inevitable, and suggested that democracy and communism can co-exist.

Such were the variations expressed by the delegates on the general theme of totalitarianism. The advocates of a strong anti-Stalinist resolution were aided by the first-hand account of life under Communist rule presented to the congress by a Chinese resistance fighter, Wang Chung. Wang had spent the last 2 years underground in Communist China and only with extreme difficulty had been able to leave the Chinese mainland to attend the Milan congress. To indicate that even those Chinese who hoped for social improvement with the arrival of the Communists have been deceived, Wang recounted the appalling conditions of the Chinese workers at present. He then stated that large-scale resistance movements are operating on the Chinese mainland. At the conclusion of his address, Wang paid tribute to the material assistance given by the AFL to the Free China Labor League.

A telegram from the WFTU, requesting a joint meeting and subsequent action by the two labor internationals against "capitalist exploitation," highlighted the discussion on totalitarianism. WFTU may have hoped to saddle the ICFTU with the blame for lack of unity on the international labor level; but the ICFTU reply with its carefully chosen examples of WFTU subordination to the Kremlin left no doubt where the blame should be placed. In its answering telegram, the ICFTU indicated that it was "aware of the difficult economic, social, and political conditions from which the world is suffering;" stressed that these difficulties were due to Cominform aggression; and excluded joint action as long as "you remain faithful agents of the Cominform." It is perhaps significant that approximately the same telegraphic appeal for unity was also sent by the WFTU to the CISC (Christian International).

The final resolution emerging from the debate on totalitarianism embodied all the force and persuasive power of the draft resolution on this subject which had been presented jointly by the AFL
and CIO on the basis of the Meany-Potofsky report. The reports of the French and British delegates received considerably less attention either in public debate or in the resolution on totalitarianism: the intellectual emphasis on economic and social planning seemed to make only a minor impression on the delegates, in contrast to the emotional appeal of the Stalinist and fascist struggle. Though echoes of the FO and TUC delegates' reports can be distinguished in a series of supplementary resolutions on economic and social questions voted at the end of the congress, these resolutions occupied a secondary role compared with the overriding condemnation of totalitarianism.

Economic and Social Questions. The congress voted its economic and social resolutions without particular discussion, but several of them are significant. One such resolution expressed dissatisfaction that a majority of governments on the ILO Governing Body recently refused to increase its budget sufficiently. A second stated the principal requirements for the economic and social development of underdeveloped countries. Finally, an intracommittee discussion concerning worker participation in management resulted in a compromise resolution. It indicated that the ICFTU notes "with particular interest" the efforts of tradeunionists in some countries to obtain codetermination.

Regional Activities. Regional activities of the ICFTU were considered from two points of view. On one hand, the congress was asked to examine the links between the central body and the regional organizations already established for Europe, the Americas, and Asia, and to lay down rules and regulations that could be applied in dealing with both existing and future regionals. This problem was complicated because the degree of centralized control already varies over existing regional bodies. The Inter-American Regional Organization (ORIT), for example, in its founding conference in January 1951, voted to pay the salary of its own secretary instead of having it paid by ICFTU headquarters, as suggested by the ICFTU executive board. The final decision of the Milan congress was generally to recognize the latitude
already taken by ORIT, while affording central headquarters a fair degree of control over regional activities. Thus, although any regional organization may pay its own secretary, the ICFTU retains financial control over the region. More importantly, article 7 of the constitution, which originally made only a general provision for the establishment of such organizations, was amended to enlarge on the relationship between parent and regional bodies. The implication is that the latter is an integral part of the ICFTU with a certain degree of autonomy.

Regional discussions also extended to broad financial questions. For some time, the whole regional program of the ICFTU has been under careful study by its secretariat. Realizing that the scope of the program required financial resources beyond those provided by ordinary dues, it was decided to constitute a special 3 -year fund of $\$ 700,000$ to be supplied by donations by affiliated organizations. This budget (two-thirds of which was already pledged before the opening of the congress) was scrutinized by the delegates and unanimously approved. Although a resolution from Cuba suggested raising $\$ 30,000,000$ annually for regional activities, the general goal of $\$ 700,000$ over 3 years was maintained, with the admission by the congress that this sum is "totally inadequate."

Because regional organizations are envisaged not only as the most effective means of strengthening democratic trade-unions in underdeveloped areas but also as a weapon to combat totalitarian communism, there was general agreement that every effort must be made to endow the program with adequate finances. It appears, therefore, that a higher financial target may be required and voluntary contributions may be solicited from time to time beyond the limits originally foreseen.

General Finances. Even though regional needs are to be met by a special budget, the secretariat itself has felt a need for expanded facilities which the original rate of dues would not cover. For this reason, the congress decided to raise contributions 25 percent, thus affording the funds needed to expand ICFTU headquarters personnel and publication services to affiliated centers. Obviously, those trade-unions which were unable to
pay the full amount of dues under the old rate cannot meet the higher levies set at Milan. The financial responsibilities of the relatively more prosperous unions, therefore, will increase, both for the special regional program and the expanded headquarters functions.

Christian International. Relations between the ICFTU and the CISC, a question which had been discussed at various executive board and emergency committee meetings during the past 18 months, were again considered by the second ICFTU congress. After remarkably little debate, the congress put itself on record as stating that the Christian trade-union centers are still welcome to join the ICFTU throughout 1951. In addition, the ICFTU report implies that its executive board will examine the possibility of collaboration on specific issues with the Christian trade-unions among others. By this means the collaboration, already effected between the two Internationals, in respect to the Schuman Plan and the European Recovery Program-Trade Union Advisory Committee was indirectly endorsed. There is still very little actual change in the ICFTU attitude toward the CISC. Currently, collaboration with the Christian International is restricted to specific issues.

Education and Publicity. A substantial and fairly detailed program of education and publicity emerged as an achievement of the Milan congress. Special advisory committees on education are to be formed in each regional organization; a World Educational Congress in conjunction with the third ICFTU congress is projected for 1953 ; based on the successful experience with the two summer schools held in Europe this year, two more such schools plus one world summer school are planned for 1952 ; and the use of press conferences, films, radio, and periodicals will be increased to publicize more effectively the ICFTU name.

Role of Certain Trade-Unions. The United States trade-unions (AFL, CIO, and United Mine Workers) in conjunction with the Canadians met several times early in the proceedings to consult on specific problems expected to arise during the
congress. Although beset by a number of issues which might have tended to create a divergence in their position, all differences were finally composed.

Individual trade-unions and groups of unions took a significant part in the congress. The small unions, especially those from underdeveloped areas, generally used the congress as a sounding board for publicizing their local problems and views. Most of these representatives were satisfied merely to state their cases for the record, without any prolonged attempt to insert them in any congress resolution. In this connection, the Tunisian delegate drew a parallel between totalitarianism and colonialism and indicated that conditions in Tunisia in some respects resembled those under totalitarian rule. The Pakistan delegate, in introducing one of the few controversial notes in the congress, delivered a restrained protest against the independent international activities of western trade-unions in Asia and elsewhere. Mentioning the AFL, CIO, and TUC, he emphasized that the international work of these organizations should be done through the ICFTU.

Among the addresses of delegates from colonial and underdeveloped countries were the Malayan and Indian declarations, both of which expressed gratitude for aid received by the trade-unions in their countries. By the same token, the Iran delegate avoided the Anglo-Iranian oil question and concentrated instead on his country's poor social conditions which he attributed to his own government. It was only on the last day of the Milan
meeting that a minor difficulty was caused, when the Greek delegates threatened to walk out because the congress refused to recommend the union of Cyprus with Greece. The Greek delegates, however, later accepted the suggestion of the general secretary to place the matter before the executive board.

Perhaps the greatest contribution to the congress made by these delegates from underdeveloped areas was their presence. The attendance at Milan of delegates from North Africa, the West Indies, the Middle East, and Asia served to indicate not only that the influence of the ICFTU is increasing in these critically important regions but also that the world organization has begun to fill the need felt for some time by these tradeunions for leadership and direction. Unions in the underdeveloped areas are the natural media for achieving unity and prosperity for the workers in their respective countries. They are looking to the ICFTU for active support in the accomplishment of these aims. It is the function of the regional organizations to construct, reconstruct, and strengthen these unions where necessary. The effectiveness with which this task is accomplished will determine in large part the future contribution of the ICFTU.
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# Progress of the ICFTU in Underdeveloped Areas 

M. Mead Smith*

Regional activity-backbone of the International Confederation of Free Trade Unions (ICFTU) operational program-has progressed unevenly in the underdeveloped areas, reflecting the extent of economic, political, and trade-union development in the regions concerned. It has, however, exceeded anticipated goals in the 18 months since the Confederation was established. By mid-1951, a regional body was functioning in the Americas, as in Europe, and a permanent organization was taking over the temporary Asian office. Activities in Africa were still preliminary. ${ }^{1}$

## Policy for Regional Activity

The program of local development rests on a policy quite new to the international labor movement, namely, to decentralize operations as much as possible and to concentrate its efforts in the underdeveloped areas. In this way, the ICFTU hoped to achieve tangible economic and social improvements rather than a set of paper resolutions. Administrative provisions reflect this policy. The executive board is made up of representatives from all regions; the secretary general must consult an emergency committee, also selected by area, on important issues arising between board meetings; and standard affiliation fees can be reduced for organizations having financial difficulties.

Recognizing the difficulties of building strong international and regional organizations simultaneously, ICFTU leaders approached the program in a cautious, exploratory fashion. Initial proposals called for preliminary steps in sections of Asia, Africa, and Latin America. At the request of affiliated national centers, however, the program was expanded to include the industrially
advanced areas of Europe and North America as well. Nevertheless, the main emphasis continued to be placed on expanding operations in the underdeveloped regions, and the ICFTU has taken every opportunity, at international meetings and by public statement, to urge stronger trade-unions, broad economic and social development, and improved living and working conditions in these areas.

Operation of the program has raised some fundamental problems, which were considered at the ICFTU's world congress in July 1951. (The congress is discussed on p. 265 of this issue.) Paramount among these was the definition of the "high degree of autonomy" to be exercised by regional secretariats in "matters falling within their pur-view"-a definition sufficiently flexible to fit the vast differences in trade-union development in the various regions.

Without questioning the ultimate authority of the Confederation, all three of the regional organizations made changes in the rules drafted by the ICFTU secretariat. These rules aimed roughly at centralization of policy-making, decentralization of action, and joint planning. The congress attempted to settle the question by a constitutional amendment (1) giving the executive board authority for determining relationships between the Confederation and the regional bodies and for handling all questions involving modification in the general policy, and (2) requiring regional bodies to submit to the board semiannual activity reports and annual accounts (recognizing, however, their right to set and collect affiliation fees).

Other problems encountered in the regional program included: (1) Friction with existing interests of colonial Governments and foreign employers over ICFTU support of regional demands for political and economic independence; (2) the impossibility of financing regional organizing operations and, in some instances, administrative expenses from the regular affiliation fees; and (3) procedures for the close cooperation in regional activities agreed to by the ICFTU and the International Trade Secretariats, hitherto the only operational arm of the international labor movement. Some joint action had already been taken, such as International Transport Workers' Federation representation on the West Africa mission and consultation of the International Landworkers' Federation on plantation workers' conditions.

## Activities by Area

Problems of labor in the underdeveloped regions and the kind of ICFTU program required to solve them were pointed up by ICFTU missions to Asia and Africa and the resolutions of conferences there and in the Western Hemisphere.

Notwithstanding considerable variation between regions, these findings brought out certain underlying difficulties common to all. Included were numerical and structural weakness of the tradeunion movement; illiteracy and the lack of leadership from the ranks of workers themselves; the desire for political and/or economic independence from foreign control; antilabor and paternalistic policies of many of the Governments concerned; preoccupation of the trade-union movement with political rather than economic ends; predominance of agriculture and underemployment of the largely unskilled labor force; and extremely depressed living and working conditions. These conditions laid the labor movement open to the threat of Communist infiltration and control.

Some current and pronounced differences in main emphasis, reflecting the various levels of economic and political development in the regions, are Latin American trade-union efforts to eliminate dictatorial regimes; opposition of Asian labor to Government control of unions; and the weakness of indigenous trade-unionism in Africa.

Union leaders in all the regions have consistently called on the ICFTU to provide education for trade-union leadership, to work with international agencies whose activities affect labor, and to work for economic development free from foreign control and with labor interests protected.

Latin America. Workers in Latin America had federated in 1948, when the Inter-American Confederation of Workers (CIT) was established. Its main purpose was to combat the activities of the Confederation of Latin American Workers (CTAL) which was set up in 1936 and subsequently became the Latin American wing of the World Federation of Trade Unions (WFTU). CIT regional solidarity was incomplete; while the American Federation of Labor and the Trades and Labor Congress of Canada were active participants, the Congress of Industrial Organizations and the Canadian Congress of Labor remained
outside. By January 1951, when the CIT voted to dissolve in favor of the new ICFTU regional organization, it had drawn away from the CTAL a number of the latter's strongest affiliates. ${ }^{2}$

Unions representing some 17 million workers joined in January 1951 to form the Inter-American Regional Organization of workers (ORIT), regional branch of the ICFTU. The Mexico City conference was planned by the ICFTU secretariat in cooperation with the CIT executive committee. Workers from 20 countries in North, South, and Central America and the Caribbean area were included; all major North American groups participated. Argentina was specifically excluded by the ICFTU as lacking "independent workers' unions" ${ }^{3}$ an action which created a serious rift in the conference. Opposition came from the Mexican delegation which advocated admitting Argentine representatives. On the last day of the conference, all the Mexican representatives except those from the small National Proletarian Confederation (CPN) withdrew on grounds that the regional constitution permitted undue ICFTU control.

The ORIT executive committee, meeting in January and March 1951, approved a plan of organization work dividing Latin America and the West Indies into 5 zones, each of which was to be the direct responsibility of one or more full-time ORIT organizers. Most of these organizers were already working with trade-unions in their regions, by mid-1951; for example, they assisted in the formation of the new Paraguayan Federation of Workers and in setting up a committee to form a federation in Haiti. In order to disseminate information, the ORIT initiated a monthly publication, issued in both Spanish and English, started a twice-monthly trade-union radio program in Spanish from a Montevideo station, and made plans for regional broadcasts in English from a West Indian station. Preparatory steps were also taken toward establishing a school for labor organizers and union leaders. The ICFTU secretary general reported to the July world congress that the ORIT was investigating the possibilities of cooperating with international agencies in educational work in Latin America.

In addition, the executive committee (1) initiated special measures designed to improve the conditions of banana workers in Costa Rica, Hon-
duras, Panama, and British Honduras; (2) distributed a manifesto in four languages to the workers of the Americas and adopted a resolution condemning the closing of the independent Argentine newspaper La Prensa; (3) addressed a special statement to the Foreign Ministers of the American States, calling on them to repudiate not only international communism but all forms of governments denying the fundamental rights of man, to respect political and trade-union freedom, and to take certain specified measures for the benefit of labor in order to attain full labor cooperation in the common effort to strengthen the Western Hemisphere economy; and (4) provided ICFTU delegates to a United Nations Economic and Social Council meeting in Chile.

Asia and the Far East. The timing for undertaking regional activities created considerable difference of opinion in initial ICFTU deliberations. But there was agreement on the need for preliminary surveys for underdeveloped areas outside Latin America, the first to be in Asia.

Both the WFTU and non-Communist tradeunionists had taken steps toward forming regional labor organizations in Asia before the ICFTU became active in this region. The WFTU held regional conferences in Peiping in November 1949 and Ceylon in September-October 1950 and appointed an Australian trade-unionist to head the secretariat of an Asian regional office. A number of countries failed to send delegates to the nonCommunist Asian Federation of Labor inaugural conference in January 1950 in Ceylon, in the belief that ICFTU regional machinery would supersede the Federation. At the conference, a desire was expressed to have the Federation serve as regional machinery for the ICFTU, but no other meetings were held and Federation members participated actively in forming the new ICFTU regional body.

The ICFTU mission visited 11 countries in Asia and the Far East during July and August 1950 and reported great eagerness everywhere for participation in and assistance from the new international. On the basis of their findings, the ICFTU appointed Dhyan Mungat, an Indian trade-union official, as its full-time representative in Asia. Mr. Mungat met with trade-unionists in a number of Asian countries on behalf of the ICFTU and represented the Confederation at the

December 1950 meetings of the ILO's Asian Advisory Committee and Committee on Work on Plantations. He also presented to the FebruaryMarch 1951 meeting of the United Nations Economic Commission for Asia and the Far East a set of principles for an economic and social development program designed to produce progressive social institutions and free trade-unions. In addition, the ICFTU sent Jay Krane (CIO) to visit Burma, in line with the executive board decision to maintain relations with the democratic forces in the Burmese trade-union movement.

Operating machinery was established in early 1951, when the ICFTU opened a temporary Information and Advisory Center in Singapore, with the Asian representative in charge. The purpose was to maintain contact with the free trade-unions throughout Asia and the Far East and to assist them in solving their many organizational problems. As part of the Center's work, initial measures were taken to step up the press and publications activities of the ICFTU in Asia; publication of ICFTU material in some of the Asian languages had already begun by the time the regional conference met in May 1951. Implementation of the executive board's decision that subcenters should be opened elsewhere in Asia awaited formal establishment of a regional secretariat, as did final action on plans for an extensive educational program for training trade-unionists, including establishment of trade-union colleges and short-term local study courses.
Pending establishment of regional machinery, the ICFTU executive board gave support to a number of individual groups. Action included a resolution demanding "that trade-union freedom be respected by the Japanese Government" and that limitations on trade-union rights of public employees in Japan be lifted. Aid was sent to striking textile workers and earthquake victims in India.

Nine of the ten ICFTU affiliates in Asia sent representatives to the Karachi conference which set up an Asian regional body in May 1951. They represented nearly 8 million members in eight countries; observers from unaffiliated federations in three additional countries represented some 300,000 additional trade-unionists. Conference discussion emphasized particularly the depressed condition of plantation workers and the need to
organize these workers in spite of the difficulty of reaching them. Delegates deplored the nonratification by Asian Governments of ILO conventions affecting plantation workers. They established a committee to work out an effective approach, including support of western workers in view of the extent of western capital in Asian plantations.

The regional council elected by the conference met briefly on May 31 but adjourned to meet again during the July ICFTU conference.

Africa. Before the ICFTU started its efforts in Africa, the only regional activity was a WFTUsponsored West African conference in October 1950.

A survey of the trade-union situation in three countries of French North Africa was made by an ICFTU delegation in November 1950. The delegation found that the three countries differed widely-with regard to political structure as well as certain economic and social factors-although the prevalence of the Moslem religion was one of several important characteristics common to all three. Except in Tunisia, most of the tradeunions in the area were linked organically to metropolitan French national centers. No indigenous trade-unions existed in either Algeria or Morocco (being legally prohibited in the latter country) and the local branch of the Communistdominated French General Confederation of Labor (CGT) was the strongest trade-union organization in both countries. Accordingly, the secretariat was instructed (1) to establish close relations between the ICFTU and the Tunisian Workers' Union (UGTT), which represented the great majority of organized Tunisian workers, ${ }^{4}$ and (2) to maintain relations with all forces in the other two countries, including nationalist groups supporting free trade-unionism.

A visit of an ICFTU delegation to four countries in West and Central Africa in early 1951 culminated with the delegation assisting at a preparatory meeting of affiliated and unaffiliated organizations in the region. Ten countries were represented at the meeting held in the French Cameroons in March. This conference called for formation of an ICFTU regional organization for the area to coordinate trade-union activities, particularly in the field of education, and for ICFTU
support for other actions to improve conditions in the area, including the abolition of racial discrimination and granting of national independence in accordance with the ICFTU program. ${ }^{5}$ Recommendations of the ICFTU delegation to this area were scheduled to be submitted to the July 1951 executive board meeting.
Late in 1950, the executive board approved in principle the sending of a delegation to East Africa. Subsequently it was decided to await the results of the survey in West and Central Africa, with a delegation scheduled to visit East Africa soon after the July 1951 world congress.

Near and Middle East. No conference has as yet been held in the Near and Middle East. The conference scheduled for April 1951 in Teheran, to be convened on the occasion of the ILO Conference for the region, was delayed when the latter conference was postponed. However, agreement was general that the ICFTU must take an active interest in Near and Middle East developments.

The secretariat and various trade-unionists in the region had had preliminary discussions by mid-1951, and initial steps had been taken regarding the development of a free trade-union movement in Turkey. In addition, the ICFTU in February 1951 urged the Egyptian Government to remove existing restrictions on the formation of nation-wide trade-unions and a national tradeunion center. Either an ICFTU representative or a delegation is to visit the countries in this area as soon as possible after the Milan congress. Proposals for formation of a Mediterranean regional body, encompassing certain North African, Near East, and Southeast European countries, were referred by the congress to the executive board.

[^4]
# Growth of Democratic Trade-Unions in the Federation of Malaya ${ }^{1}$ 

Alice W. Shurcliff*

Malaya's labor organizations exemplify the possibilities of a Government-sponsored educational program in promoting a responsible trade-union movement. Efforts to encourage labor organization were started immediately after World War II and expanded following the start of the Communist insurrection in mid-1948. During 1950 the majority of organized labor formed the Malayan Trade-Union Council which aligned itself with the democratic trade-union movements in other countries by affiliating with the International Confederation of Free Trade Unions, and by representing Malayan labor at Asian Regional Conferences of the International Labor Organization. ${ }^{2}$

These milestones have already been passed, notwithstanding many obstacles: the insecurity connected with the continuing insurrection; the social and political tensions inherent in a country where the majority of its paid workers are foreignersChinese and Indians-having strong ties with their respective countries; ${ }^{3}$ and the Communist direction of the trade-union movement from 1945 to mid-1948. John A. Brazier, M. B. E., was assigned by the Colonial Office of the British Government, on the advice of the British Trade-Union Congress, to act as Trade-Union Advisor to the Federation of Malaya in 1946. Mr. Brazier, a former railway worker, and a member of the British National Union of Railwaymen originally went to Singapore in 1945 as Labor Welfare Officer for the Malayan Railway.

The labor program is only one of the economic, military, and social efforts in Malaya to curb the Communist bid for power, which is also a serious issue elsewhere in Asia.

## Communist Activity, 1945 to Mid-1948

Following the liberation of Malaya at the end of World War II, the Malayan People's AntiJapanese Army, a Communist guerrilla force of which 90 percent were Chinese, was officially disbanded. Some of its members turned their attention toward gaining control of workers, preparatory to forming a Communist Republic of Malaya. By September 1945, they had set up trade-unions for almost every type of worker from miners and rubber plantation workers to cabaret girls. These unions were directed by an over-all General Labor Union (GLU) which accepted as members individuals and unions from Malaya and Singapore. The few genuine trade-unions that survived were intimidated by the Malayan Communist Party.

In 1946 the GLU reorganized itself into two bodies: the Singapore Federation of TradeUnions with headquarters in Singapore; and the Pan Malayan Federation of Trade-Unions (PMFTU) with headquarters in Kuala Lumpur. The purpose of this reorganization was to comply with the somewhat different legislation in Singapore and the Federation of Malaya. The TradeUnion Enactment of 1940 which came into effect throughout Malaya in comformance with the Trade-Union Ordnance of 1946 provided for (1) the compulsory registration of all associations with trade-union objectives; (2) the auditing of union accounts; (3) prohibiting the use of union funds for political purposes; and (4) granting trade-unions and their members certain legal protections in their trade-union activities.

The PMFTU set up branch federations in each State and grouped individual unions on a craft or area basis. Government workers, however, were not brought under PMFTU domination since they were forbidden by law to federate or to act with unions of nongovernment workers without prior Government sanction.

At the end of 1947 there were 277 registered unions with a total membership of 195,113 . Only 63 unions, mostly of Government workers, were independent. The 214 remaining unions were dominated by the PMFTU and included workers in two of Malaya's main industries, the rubber plantations and the tin mines, as well as port workers and workers in less important industries.

The PMFTU and its satellite unions were managed by full-time paid officers, many of whom were members of the Malayan Communist Party.

Widespread strikes of long duration broke out in May and June 1948, resulting in a loss of 178,623 man-days of work in May and 117,154 in June, compared with a monthly average of 68,842 earlier in the year when considerable labor unrest existed over rising prices. It was later discovered that the May and June strikes were planned in April 1948, at the annual conference of the PMFTU in Singapore and at a meeting of the Malayan Communist Party held almost immediately afterwards.

## Growth of Democratic Trade-Unionism

The Government's program of labor education, which had been started in 1945, began to show results in 1948, when more than half of the Communist union membership dropped out in 3 months. The Government also decided to return control of the unions to the workers by amending the Trade-Unions Enactment. The TradeUnions (Amendment) Ordinance, passed on June 12,1948 , required that (1) all officers of the tradeunions, except the secretary, must be of good character, actually employed in the industry or having had 3 years of such employment, and (2) federations were to be confined to unions of similar occupations or industries.

This amendment enabled the Registrar of Trade-Unions to advise the PMFTU and the State federations, which included unions in all trades and industries, that their continued operation would be illegal. Deprived of most of their income by this action, the PMFTU and the State federations lost some of their power. Strikesmany accompanied by violence-declined.

On July 13, 1948, following the Communist insurrection, the Government declared a state of emergency and outlawed the Communist Party and the New Democratic Youth League on July 23. At this time most of the Communist tradeunion officials absconded, in many cases taking the union funds. As a result, the leaderless unions collapsed and only those which formerly enjoyed some degree of independence continued to operate. Total trade-union membership dropped sharply.

Trade-unions experienced considerable difficulty in the months after the emergency was declared, because of distrust of their motives by certain
employer and other groups. The public found it hard to differentiate between illegal Communist and legitimate trade-union activities. That any organizations survived this difficult period demonstrated the workers' strong desire for a trade-union movement in Malaya.

In July 1948 the Federal Legislative Council decided to expand the Trade-Union Advisor's Office. By the end of 1949 it included one tradeunion advisor and two assistant advisors, one publicity officer, nine Asian trade-union officers (five Indian, two Malay, and two Chinese), and one trade-union assistant. These officers were given intensive training in trade-unionism, bookkeeping, public speaking, chairmanship, and secret balloting. Most of them were sent in rotation to the United Kingdom for further training.

The labor education program of the Advisors Office was designed to (1) educate union officials and members in the principles, practices, and procedures of collective bargaining, (2) encourage trade-unions to give their members labor education and vocational training, (3) educate workers in citizenship at evening and week-end schools; and (4) bring to plantations, movies, plays, slides, and talks on unionism and related subjects.

In addition there was an Office of the Registrar of Trade-Unions which dealt with registration of unions and inspection of union accounts.
The expanded program met with little response during late 1948 and 1949. Many workers believed that the Government's only interest in labor organization was to induce Communists and other agitators to reveal themselves. This misconception was particularly prevalent among the Chinese workers throughout the country. Gradually, however, the program began to achieve success in spite of the obstacles raised by the emergency and the racial diversity of the population which required the use of four languages for the educational work (Malay, Chinese, Tamil, and English). Trade-union membership increased steadily as shown in the accompanying table, and at the end of May 1951 was about 75,000 . Of these about 29,000 were Government workers, including administrative workers as well as those employed in the Government-operated railways, post, telephone and telegraph services, public works, utilities, and schools. Of the 34,000 organized nongovernment workers about half were employed on rubber plantations. Other groups with notable

Registered Trade-Unions and Trade-Union membership on Dec. 31, 1946 to $1950^{1}$

| Item | 1946 | 1947 | 1948 | 1949 | 1950 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trade-Unions. | 83 | 277 | 156 | 163 | 168 |
| Government workers | ${ }^{2}$ ) | $\left.{ }^{2}\right)$ | 52 | 70 | 84 |
| Other workers....-- | ${ }^{(2)}$ | (2) | 104 | 93 | 84 |
| Membership. | $\left.{ }^{2}\right)$ | 195, 113 | 69, 134 | ${ }^{3} 41,305$ | 54,579 |
| Government workers | ${ }^{(2)}$ | 19,178 | 25,692 | 20, 142 | 23, 507 |
| Other workers | ${ }^{(2)}$ | 175, 965 | 43, 442 | 21, 163 | 31, 072 |

${ }^{1}$ Excluding employers' associations which are also registered as tradeunions under the Trade-Unions Enactment.
${ }_{2}$ Not available.
${ }_{3}^{2}$ Of this membership 23,957 were Indians, 9,913 were Chinese, 5,370 were Malays and 2,065 belonged to other races.
Sources: Federation of Malaya, Annual Reports of the Trade-Unions Registry, 1947-49; S. S. Awbery and F. W. Dalley, Labor and Trade-Union Organization in the Federation of Malaya and Singapore, London 1948, and unpublished U. S. Foreign Service Reports.
numbers of organized workers are clerical, Government unskilled labor, mine, and harbor.

Several groups of trade-unions have federated since the Trade-Union Enactment was amended in June 1948 to provide for registration of federations whose members are employed in a similar trade, occupation, or industry. The largest of these federations is the Pan Malayan Rubber Workers Union with a membership probably over 12,000 . Other smaller federations with memberships of about a thousand or two are: the All Malayan Federation of Government Medical Employees Trade Unions, the All Malayan Estate Staff Unions, the Malayan Federation of Clerical and Administrative Unions, and the All Malayan Teachers Federation.

A "Trade-Union Committee", composed of both Government and nongovernment unions was authorized by the Government in May 1949. It decided to set up a Malayan Trade-Union Council, which was formally constituted in May 1950 at Kuala Lumpur by representatives of 107 unions (with 35,000 paid-up members) of the approximately 165 unions (with about 60,000 members) in existence at that time. Even before the Council was formally constituted, it sent representatives as observers to the London Conference at which the International Confederation of Free Trade-Unions was formed in November 1949. ${ }^{2}$ In November 1950, the Malayan Trade-Union Council's application for membership in the ICFTU was accepted.

## Collective Bargaining

Unions have developed skill in collective bargaining and for the most part have called strikes
only after a reasonable attempt has been made to settle grievances through negotiations. The most important illustration of such collective bargaining took place on the rubber plantations which are the main source of paid employment in Malaya. In April 1950 an agreement between the All Malayan Rubber Workers Negotiating Committee (predecessor of the Pan Malayan Rubber Workers Union) and the Malayan Planting Industries Employers Association (MPIEA, an association which represents most of the large estates throughout Malaya) authorized a 12-percent increase in wages, the first since 1948. A further 12 -percent increase was obtained in a 1-month interim agreement reached in September. Discussions were resumed in October but became deadlocked. Both parties then referred to the dispute to an arbitration board established by the Commissioner of Labor. This board awarded further substantial increases under a schedule based on skill in the job and selling price of rubber.

Unions of Government workers have also demonstrated their strength. During discussions regarding revisions of salaries of Government workers which have been under way since 1947, the Government avoided direct negotiations with unions of public workers. Instead it relied upon the recommendations of specal study committees appointed by the Government. After the most recent committee report issued in 1950 was rejected by the Malayan Federation of Clerical and Administrative Unions, by the All Malayan Teachers Federation, and by many other unions, the Government reversed its policy. On October 16, 1950, it agreed that Government workers' remuneration was an appropriate subject for collective bargaining and offered to negotiate directly with each union which rejected the report.

[^5]
# Summaries of Studies and Reports 

# Health and Welfare Plans in the Automobile Industry 


#### Abstract

Note: The automobile and basic steel industries furnish outstanding examples of the rapid extension and development of health and welfare plans in many sectors of the economy. In this article, the plans of the automobile industry are described; a similar study covering the basic steel industry is scheduled for the October issue of the Monthly Labor Review.


Inclusion in labor-management contracts of health and welfare plans and their subsequent phenomenal expansion is a comparatively recent collective-bargaining development. Many health and welfare plans now under collective bargaining existed prior to their inclusion in labor-management contracts. However, in a number of instances plans were negotiated only after prolonged bargaining and resort by unions to the National Labor Relations Board and the courts. ${ }^{1}$ Thereafter, as welfare plans spread, the worker's desire for greater security manifested itself increasingly, and inclusion of these plans in additional contracts became more common. Permission for the employer to offset part of the costs under tax regulations, as well as the general slackening of the postwar inflationary pressures, resulted in less emphasis on wage increases and more on the pension and welfare movement on the part of many unions. Elements of competition between different unions as well as intra-union considerations also had an effect.

Thus, with time, the primary issue did not center in the adoption of the program, but rather on the type of plan, extent of coverage, method of financing, adequacy of benefits, form of administration, and other specific matters the parties deemed necessary in devising an insurance pro-
gram best suited to their needs. The experience in the automobile industry, in which nearly complete worker coverage was achieved in a brief period, exemplifies the nature of these problems.

As recently as 3 years ago, none of the major producers in the automobile industry had negotiated health and welfare programs with unions. By 1951, at least 90 percent of all workers in this industry were under some type of collectively bargained program.

A widely held impression that the "package" of benefits is generally uniform or standard throughout the automobile industry was found to be broadly true with respect to the inclusion of certain basic benefits in most contracts. However, substantial diversity in plans was disclosed upon closer analysis of the individual benefits regarding amounts, duration, and extent of coverage.

Among the first programs to receive considerable notice was that negotiated by the United Automobile Workers (CIO) with the Kaiser-Frazer Corp. in 1948; and in September of the following year, an agreement concluded with the Ford Motor Co. also provided a health and welfare plan. By the summer of 1950 , all of the major automobile producers had incorporated such programs in their collective-bargaining contracts.

In order to determine the scope and characteristics of such programs, the Bureau of Labor Statistics analyzed 63 plans, covering approximately 683,000 workers, under 64 agreements with 60 companies. ${ }^{2}$ The companies ranged in size from the major automobile producers to those employing less than 500 workers. The United Automobile Workers (CIO) was represented by 45 agreements covering all but 3 percent of the workers in the study. Eight agreements were negotiated by the United Automobile Workers (AFL) and 5 by the United Steelworkers (CIO). Six other unions were represented by one contract each.

## Plans in General

Contributory (employer-employee financed) plans outnumbered those entirely paid for by the employer (noncontributory), 34 to 29 (table 1). Significantly, over 94 percent of all the workers in the survey were included in programs to which they contributed. For the most part, contributory plans provided a greater number of benefits than the noncontributory plans.

Table 1.-Scope and financing of health and welfare plans $^{\text {a }}$ under selected collective-bargaining agreements in automobile industry ${ }^{1}$
["X" indicates benefits provided under plan, "一", no benefit provided]


All Plans

| 63.... | 682.5 | 100.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 23 | 456.0 | 66.8 | X | X | X | X | X | X |
|  | 168.7 | 24.7 | X | X | X | X | X | x |
| 15 | 24.3 | 3.6 | X | - | X | X | X |  |
|  | ${ }_{7}^{17.5}$ | 1.1 | - | $\overline{\mathrm{x}}$ | $\frac{\mathrm{X}}{\mathrm{X}}$ | X | X |  |
|  | . 1 | ${ }^{(2)}$ | - |  | X | X | x |  |

Employer-Employee Financed Plans

| 34--.... | 644.0 | 100.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 450.0 | 69.9 | x | X | X | X |  | X |
| 6. | ${ }_{167 .}{ }^{2}$ | $\stackrel{(2)}{25.9}$ | X | - | X | X | X | $\overline{\mathrm{x}}$ |
| 9.-.----- | 9.7 | 1.5 | X | - | X | X | X |  |
| 1.------- | 15.0 | 2.3 | X | - | X |  |  |  |

Employer Financed Plans

| 29. | 38.5 | 100.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 6.0 | 15.6 | X | X |  | X |  | x |
|  | ${ }_{1}^{6.7}$ | 4 | X | X | X | X | X | x |
|  | 14.7 | 38.1 | X | - | $\frac{\mathrm{x}}{\mathrm{x}}$ | X | $\underline{x}$ |  |
|  | 7.5 | 19.5 | - | X | X | x | x |  |
|  | . 1 | ${ }^{(2)}$ | - |  | X | X | X |  |

${ }_{1}^{1}$ Based on 64 agreements containing 63 health and welfare plans. ${ }^{2}$ Less than 1 percent.

Six major benefits were scrutinized in detail by the Bureau: Life insurance; accidental death and dismemberment; cash payments for loss of time resulting from temporary sickness and accident; hospitalization; surgical; and medical. Most of these were provided by the overwhelming majority
of the plans. Approximately 2 out of 3 workers were covered by plans providing the "full package" of six, while better than 9 out of every 10 were provided with five or more benefits (table 1).

In terms of individual benefits, every worker, without exception, was insured for accident and sickness disability (table 2). More than 97 percent of the workers were covered by life insurance, hospitalization, and surgical benefits. Over half of the plans, covering nearly 70 percent of the workers, provided accidental death and dismemberment insurance. Significantly, only 30 programs provided medical benefits, yet these plans offered protection to over 90 percent of the workers. Maternity benefits were provided by practically all plans. Dependents of more than 96 percent of the workers were covered by some kind of benefits (at least partly paid for by the employer). Most frequently the worker's family was provided with hospitalization and surgical benefits.

The above analysis is general in approach. A more detailed and comprehensive treatment is accorded the following aspects: Financing, participation requirements, extended coverage provisions, and an analysis of the individual benefits covering employees and dependents.

## Financing Provisions

The most prevalent method of cost sharing, from the viewpoint of number of workers affected, was to vary the employees' contributions according to earnings and the number of dependents included in the plan. Of the 34 jointly financed plans, 8 were of this type and accounted for 62 percent of the workers covered. It was also the practice under these 8 programs to vary the amounts of life insurance, accidental death and dismemberment insurance, and accident and sickness disability payments according to the basic hourly, weekly, or annual earnings of the worker. Such variation did not exist with respect to hospitalization, surgical, and medical benefits.

Employee contributions, varying in accordance with number of dependents, were provided for in 15 of the employer-employee financed plans under agreements covering slightly more than 29 percent of the workers. The remaining 11 plans provided for flat contributions in all but two cases, which stipulated employee payments according to earnings.

## Participation Requirements

Naturally, workers are unprotected by benefits during the specified period of employment required before becoming eligible to participate in health and welfare programs.

Few programs, covering only slightly over 1 percent of the workers, allowed participation immediately upon employment, whereas nearly half the workers had a 3 month and about a tenth a 1 month waiting-period requirement. (Information was not available on this point for plans covering over a third of the workers).

## Extended Coverage Provisions

Protection under a health and welfare program is particularly important to workers during periods of temporary unemployment. Such instances include (1) involuntary leave (lay-off), (2) voluntary leave (leave of absence), and (3) involuntary leave due to disability (disability leave).

Approximately two-thirds of the plans set forth an explicit policy on extended coverage (financed wholly or in part by employer). Generally, more plans continued coverage during lay-off than during leave of absence or disability. Periods of extension varied both by type of leave and by the individual benefits within the package. One month's extended coverage was often allowed during lay-off and leave of absence, whereas for disability leave, extensions were usually longer (frequently 6 months to 1 year). With respect to individual benefits, life and accidental death and dismemberment insurances were generally extended for longer periods than were the other benefits.

## Types of Employee Benefits

Life Insurance. Historically, need for life insurance or death benefits was recognized very early. Such protection provides funds for dependent survivors to defray some of the immediate expenses of burial, as well as to tide the family over the critical period immediately following the loss of the wage earner.

Of the programs studied, only 2 failed to provide life insurance. Slightly more than 4 out of every 5 plans were of the uniform or "flat" type,
that is, a specified insurance amount was provided to all workers alike. However, more than threefifths of the workers were covered by programs under which the amounts of insurance depended upon the employee's basic weekly, hourly, or annual earnings (graduated type). The majority of the workers under flat-type plans were insured for from $\$ 3,000$ to $\$ 3,600$, as were almost all workers with $\$ 3,000$ basic annual earnings under the graduated programs. Thus, while most workers under

Table 2.-Individual benefits provided in health and welfare plans under selected collective-bargaining agreements in automobile industry ${ }^{1}$

| Benefits | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { Number } \\ \text { of plans } \\ \text { in agree- } \\ \text { ments an- } \\ \text { alyzed } \end{array}$ | Workers represented by agreements |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Number (thousands) ${ }^{2}$ | Percent |
| All Plans |  |  |  |
| Total | 63 | 682.5 | 100.0 |
| Life insurance. | 61 | 674.9 | 98.9 |
| Accidental death and dismemberment.---- | 37 | 472.4 | 69.2 |
| Weekly accident and sickness. | 63 | 682.5 | 100.0 |
| Surgical.....-- | 60 | 665.6 | 97.5 |
| Medical.-- | 30 | 624.8 | 91.5 |
| Employer-Employee Financed Plans |  |  |  |
| Total | 34 | 644.0 | 100.0 |
| Life insurance. | 34 | 644.0 | 100.0 |
| Accidental death and dismemberment......- | 19 | 452.4 | 70.2 |
| Weekly accident and sickness. | 34 | 644.0 | 100.0 |
| Hospitalization.- | 33 | 629.0 | 97.7 |
| Surgical. | 33 | 629.0 | 97.7 |
| Medical. | 18 | 617.0 | 95.8 |

Employer Financed Plans


1 Based on 64 agreements containing 63 health and welfare plans.
2 Figures not additive since all workers are covered by more than one benefit.
flat plans were covered by an amount approximately equal to the average annual earnings in the industry for 1950 ( 50 weeks at $\$ 73.25^{3}$ ), only workers under graduated plans who earned at least $\$ 3,000$ basic annual earnings were similarly protected. Those employees under graduated plans who earned more than $\$ 3,000$ (basic annual earnings) were insured for greater amounts.

```
963019-51-3
```

Continuation of life insurance in reduced amounts upon a worker's retirement at employer expense was stipulated in 20 plans, accounting for almost nine-tenths of the workers with lifeinsurance protection.

Provisions for permanent and total disability, occurring before age 60, were contained in 41 plans under agreements covering more than 525,000 workers. Nearly 95 percent of these workers were entitled to face value of the insurance, paid either in a lump sum or in installments.

Accidental Death and Dismemberment. Insurance covering the contingency of accidental death and dismemberment was available to 472,000 workers. The 37 plans including this benefit were mostly of the flat type (providing from $\$ 750$ to $\$ 2,000$ for accidental death, with coverage of the majority of the workers toward the upper end of the range). Nevertheless, the 6 programs which scaled the amounts according to the employee's earnings covered almost 90 percent of the workers. The amounts for accidental death under the graduated plans ranged from $\$ 1,200$ to $\$ 3,000$. However, virtually all workers under these plans who received $\$ 60$ basic weekly pay ( $\$ 1.50$ basic hourly rate) were covered by $\$ 1,500$ to $\$ 1,750$ for accidental death.

In most instances, payment for multiple dismemberment equaled the death benefit, while the single dismemberment benefit was half this amount.

Accident and Sickness Disability. A need not provided for in the Federal and most of the State social-insurance laws is partially filled by accident and sickness disability benefits. Under unemployment compensation, a worker receives some income when temporarily unemployed, and under workmen's compensation, when unable to work because of occupational sickness or injury. If he is disabled due to a nonoccupational cause, he must usually rely on private disability programs. Workers' desire for this type of protection is shown by the inclusion of an accident and sickness disability program in every plan surveyed.

Weekly benefits provided by these plans were payable for periods ranging from 13 to 52 weeks, starting from the first to eighth day in case of accident and from the fourth to eighth day in
event of sickness. Although the main purpose of accident and sickness disability benefits is to cover nonoccupational cases, 8 plans, with almost half the workers, also included provisions for payment of benefits for occupational disabilities. These programs stipulated that workmen's compensation benefits should be supplemented so as to make them comparable to benefits received for nonoccupational disabilities.

Weekly sickness and accident benefits were flat amounts in two-thirds of the plans, with five of every six workers so covered entitled to receive $\$ 30$ to $\$ 32$. Graduated scales of benefits based on earnings covered 65 percent of the workers, with payments of about $\$ 35$ predominating for employees whose basic annual earnings approximated $\$ 3,000$ per year. Benefits beginning on the eighth day during sickness, on the first day of accident, and continuing for a maximum of 26 weeks were most common (in plans covering twothirds of the workers). Generally, accident and sickness benefits were payable during each separate period of disability.

Hospitalization. The 60 hospitalization plans in the study were of two broad categories-cash or service. Cash plans, in turn, either stipulated a flat daily allowance for room and board or provided for reimbursement of the daily hospital charge up to a specified limit. The service plans furnished room, board, and extra services (without cash limit) usually listed in the hospitalization contracts, such as anesthesia, oxygen therapy, drugs, laboratory services, etc. Under cash plans an allowance was provided toward the cost of these services.

The great majority of the workers were covered by service plans which stipulated a maximum of 120 days of hospital care with semiprivate room accommodations. Cash plans, although twice as numerous as service programs, applied to less than 10 percent of the workers. With few exceptions these plans permitted either 31 or 70 days' hospitalization. Daily benefits in a majority of instances, ranged from $\$ 7$ through $\$ 8.50$. Cash allowances for extra services, most often, were from 10 to 20 times the daily benefit.

Surgical. Usually, surgical benefits are based on a schedule of allowances for specified operations.

Classification of the 60 surgical plans, according to the maximum amounts allowable, showed that these payments ranged from $\$ 150$ to $\$ 400$.

In the event an operation costs more than the amount specified in the schedule, the worker pays the excess. However, some plans have a provision under which the worker, whose income is below a specified ceiling, is not charged more than the scheduled amount.

In this connection, a pamphlet describing the Michigan Blue Shield plan, in effect at the time of this study, includes the following proviso: "More than 4,300 Surgical Plan Participating Doctors have agreed to make no additional charge for services rendered to you under your Certificate if you are married and the total income of your family, averaged for 3 years, has not exceeded $\$ 2,500$ a year ( $\$ 2,000$ if you are single), and if you do not voluntarily request and occupy a private room in the hospital." The great majority of workers under surgical plans were covered by this provision. ${ }^{4}$

Medical. Medical plans under agreements studied were of two types-those providing payments for visits to the worker only while hospitalized ("inhospital" benefit), and those covering home and office calls as well.

Although only half the 30 medical-benefit plans provided solely for "in-hospital" payments, this type of coverage accounted for nearly 95 percent of the 625,000 workers under medical programs. The great majority of these workers were covered by plans which provided maximum compensation of $\$ 350$ or $\$ 370$. Generally, the more comprehensive type of medical plan, covering home, office, and hospital benefits, stipulated between $\$ 150$ and $\$ 250$ in maximum compensation.

Nearly three-fourths of the workers were eligible for benefits up to the maximum amount for each separate case; the rest were entitled to payments not to exceed the maximum during any 1 year. Usually the benefits were payable beginning with the first visit (or day) in the event of an accident, and third or fourth visit (or day) for sickness.

Maternity. Usually, maternity benefits under health and welfare programs are provided in connection with the specific benefit-accident and
sickness disability, hospitalization, and surgical. Provision for a flat amount in lieu of some of the benefits is less common (table 3). When provided in connection with accident and sickness disability, benefit payments for maternity were usually limited to 6 weeks.

Table 3.-Maternity benefits for employees in health and welfare plans under selected collective-bargaining agreements in automobile industry ${ }^{1}$
[" X " indicates benefits provided under plan, " - ", no benefit provided]

| Number of plans in agreements analyzed | Workers representec by agreements |  | Benefits provided |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number (thousands) | Percent | Weekly accident sicknes | Hospitali- | Surgical | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Separate } \\ \text { maternity } \\ \text { benefit } \end{array}$ |
| All Plans |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 63-..-.-...-- | 682.5 | 100.0 | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{x}{x} \\ & \frac{x}{x} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | xx$=$$=$$=$ | xx$=$$=$$=$ | $\frac{\bar{x}}{\frac{\bar{x}}{x}}$ |
| 42 | $\begin{array}{r} 618.0 \\ 23.9 \\ 22.1 \\ 17.0 \\ .5 \\ 1.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 90.5 \\ & 3.5 \\ & 3.5 \\ & 3.2 \\ & 2.5 \\ & { }^{(2)} 5 \\ & \text { (2) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Employer-Employee Financed Plans

| 34....... | 644.0 | 100.0 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 21.-......-- | 583.2 | 90.6 | x | X | x | - |
| 7. | 22.9 | 3.6 | $\overline{\mathrm{x}}$ | X | X |  |
| 1. | 22.1 15.0 | 3. ${ }_{2} \mathbf{3}$ | $\frac{\mathrm{X}}{\mathrm{X}}$ | 二 | - | X |
| 1. | . 5 | (2) | - | - | - | X |
| 1 | . 3 |  | - | - |  |  |

Employer Financed Plans

| 29-.-------- | 38.5 | 100.0 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 21-------- | 34.7 | 90.2 | x | X | X | - |
|  | 1.0 2.0 | 2.6 5.2 | $\overline{\mathrm{x}}$ | $\underline{X}$ | $\underline{\text { X }}$ | 二 |
| 3----------- | . 8 | 2.0 |  | - | - |  |

${ }_{2}^{1}$ Based on 64 agreements containing 63 health and welfare plans.
${ }_{2}$ Less than 1 percent.
Service type hospitalization plans in a majority of instances granted employees the same privileges in maternity as in other cases. Cash plans either allowed the same daily benefits (generally limited to 14 days) and extra allowances, or provided reimbursement up to a stated maximum (usually 10 times the nonmaternity daily allowance) to be applied against all hospital charges.

Surgical benefits were granted in all plans covering maternity for hospitalization. The amounts payable varied from $\$ 40$ to $\$ 125$ with $\$ 40, \$ 50$, and $\$ 75$ most common.

Table 4．－Benefits for dependents in health and welfare plans under selected collective－bargaining agreements in automobile industry ${ }^{1}$
［＂ X ＂indicates benefits provided under plan，＂－＂，no benefit provided］

| Number of plans in agree－ ments analyzed | Workers repre－ sented by agree－ ments |  | Benefits provided ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Hos- } \\ & \text { pital- } \\ & \text { iza- } \\ & \text { tion } \end{aligned}$ | Surg－ <br> ical | Med－ ical | Maternity |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Num- } \\ & \text { ber } \\ & \text { (thou- } \\ & \text { sands) } \end{aligned}$ | Percent |  |  |  | Hos－ pital－ iza－ tion | $\underset{\text { ical }}{\text { Surg－}}$ | Sep－ arate bene－ fit |
| All Plans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $63 .$. | 682.5 | 100.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26. | 436.0 | 63.9 | X | X | $\overline{\bar{x}}$ | X | X | － |
| 12 | 170.3 | 25.0 | X | X | X | X | X |  |
| 3 | 45.6 | 6.7 | X | $\frac{\mathrm{X}}{\mathrm{x}}$ | X | 二 | 二 | X |
| 2 | 1.0 | （3） | X | X | 二 | $\overline{\mathrm{x}}$ | 二 | － |
| 17－－－－－－－－－－－－ | 25.6 | 3.7 | X | － | － |  | － | － |

Employer－Employee Financed Plans

| 34．－－－－－ | 644.0 | 100.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15 | 408.7 | 63.5 | X | X | $\bar{\chi}$ | X | X |  |
| 7 | 168.1 | 26.1 | X | X | X | X | X |  |
| 3. | 45.6 | 7.1 | X | X | X | － | 二 | X |
| 2 | 1.0 | ${ }^{(3)}$ | X | X | 二 | $\bar{\chi}$ | 二 | X |
| 1．－．－－－－－－－ | 17．0 | ${ }^{(3)} 2.7$ | X | － | 二 | X | 二 | － |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Employer Financed Plans

| 29．－－－－－－－－ | 38.5 | 100.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11．－－－－－－－－ | 27.3 | 70.9 | X | X | $\overline{\text { I }}$ | X | X |  |
| 5．．－－－－－－．－ | 2.2 | 5.7 | X | X | X | X | X | － |
| 2 | 1.0 | 2.6 | X | － | － | $\underline{\text { X }}$ | － | － |
| 11－－－－－－－－－ | 8.0 | 20.8 | － | － | － | － | － | － |

${ }^{1}$ Based on 64 agreements containing 63 health and welfare plans． 2 Includes only those paid for wholly or in part by the employer．
${ }^{8}$ Less than 1 percent．
An additional restriction generally placed on hospital and surgical maternity benefits was the requirement that the worker be insured when pregnancy commenced，or 9 months prior to claim－ ing maternity benefits．

Four plans stipulated flat amounts for maternity cases in lieu of benefits under the hospitalization and surgical programs．Scheduled amounts for normal delivery under these plans varied from $\$ 90$ to $\$ 150$ ．

## Benefits for Dependents

About two－thirds of the plans analyzed in－ cluded some type of benefits for the workers＇ dependents，paid wholly or in part by the em－
ployer．Several others provided for family enroll－ ment at the option of the employee and at his sole expense．Generally，contributory plans provided benefits for dependents more often than non－ contributory plans．

Over 650,000 workers were covered by plans under which hospitalization，surgical，and some type of maternity benefits were extended to their dependents（table 4）．The dependents of only slightly more than 215,000 workers were protected by medical benefits．

Hospitalization benefits for dependents were the same as for employees in 3 out of every 4 plans． The differences occurred not in the service but in the cash programs；the daily cash benefits were sometimes $\$ 1$ or $\$ 2$ lower for dependents．With few exceptions，surgical schedules specified the same amounts for dependents as for employees．
＂In－hospital＂benefits only were provided in four out of every five medical plans covering depend－ ents，and，with two exceptions，payments were made on the same basis as for employees．When maternity care was provided for dependents under hospitalization and surgical programs，the benefits were usually the same as those granted the workers．
－Evan Keith Rowe Division of Wages and Industrial Relations

[^6]
## Defense Expansion in Shipyard Employment

Employment in American shipyards increased over 60 percent to a total of 216,000 from the outbreak of hostilities in Korea to May 1951. The postwar low of 132,400 workers in May 1950 was almost equally divided between Navy yards and private yards but by May 1951, 57 percent of the workers were in Navy yards.

The most immediate effect of the outbreak of hostilities in Korea was a withdrawal of a substantial number of vessels from the reserve fleets, resulting in an increase in repair and conditioning employment. Subsequently, both the Navy and the Maritime Administration expanded their construction programs. Employment rose more rapidly in Navy yards than in private yards, and the greater part of this increase in employment was in shipyards located along the Atlantic seaboard. Pacific and Gulf Coast yards were still almost entirely engaged in repair and modification activities in April 1951.

Only a moderate increase in the workweek accompanied the rise in employment; and turn-over rates in shipbuilding continued to be among the highest in manufacturing. Local shortages have appeared in some yards in a few key occupations, but there has been no general shortage of shipyard workers. However, these local shortages may become more serious when the shipbuilding programs of the Navy and Maritime Administration reach their peak.

It is estimated that the $\$ 2$ billion naval construction and modernization program authorized by Congress in 1951, along with expanded private construction and reconditioning activities, will raise shipyard employment by some 40,000 workers by mid-1952. This increased employment is to be divided between Navy and private yards and the greater part of it is to be in Atlantic Coast shipyards. Existing shipyards will probably receive all of the orders and stand-by yards will not be reactivated.

## Production and Employment Background

Shipbuilding activity is subject to very wide fluctuations. During both World Wars, the

United States engaged in huge shipbuilding programs. After each war, the shipping tonnage which had been produced proved greater than could be utilized in peacetime commerce; excess ships were placed in reserve anchorages. These large stand-by fleets had a depressing effect upon new construction, and shipyard operations were limited almost entirely to repair activities for several years in each instance.

Since shipyards often do both construction and repair work and since ships take an appreciable time to construct, employment is a better measure of shipyard activity than tonnage completed. From an all-time high in December 1943 of 1,723,000 workers, shipyard employment declined steadily to the May 1950 low of 132,400 workers. The decline in private yards was more precipitous than in Navy yards (chart 1).

Construction of new vessels and the repair and reconditioning of reserve naval and merchant ships has been accelerating since Korea. However, because the Maritime Administration and the Military Sea Transportation Service and other agencies removed approximately 600 vessels from

Chart 1-Employment in Private and Navy Shipyards.

the reserve fleets, the increase in employment was most marked in ship repair and reconditioning.

## Nature of the Industry

Mass-production techniques, utilized to some degree during World War II, are generally not adaptable to shipbuilding, especially during periods of low activity when demand for ships of similar specifications is limited. Ships are usually designed for the requirements of a particular customer and often differ in basic structure. Tankers, for example, are quite different from drycargo ships or passenger ships.

The volume of private shipbuilding during peacetime is small and the industry is highly competitive. The nature of its productive processes does not permit the substitution of machines for labor to the extent possible in other industries. As labor costs form a large proportion of shipbuilding costs, high wages place American shipbuilders at a disadvantage in competing with the lower labor costs of foreign shipbuilders. However, the United States Maritime Administration has provided various subsidies to the maritime industries in an attempt to equalize cost differences and offset the effects of foreign subsidies.

A further aid to the American shipbuilding industry is the requirement under shipping laws that coastal, intercoastal, and inland waterways commerce be carried in American-made vessels. Thus, a large proportion of peacetime ship construction in private yards consists of tankers, ore vessels, barges, and various types of inland waterways craft used in domestic commerce.

Private shipyards also participate in construction, modification, and repair of U. S. Navy vessels. However, these yards are usually heavily loaded with orders for merchant vessels during wartime, when peak Navy construction occurs. Since the Navy needs a smaller fleet in peacetime, surplus warships remaining after the war are placed in reserve. This stand-by fleet tends to limit postwar Navy construction in the same way that the existence of surplus merchant vessels limits commercial construction.

Although their primary function is the repair and maintenance of the fleet, Navy yards also construct and modify vessels. The Vinson-Trammell Act of 1934 requires that the first and each alternate combat vessel must be built in Navy yards.

The President can lift this restriction in the interest of national defense during an emergency. Currently, Navy construction is divided between private and its own yards, while modification and repair is heavily concentrated in Navy yards.

## Location of Employment

More workers are employed in Atlantic seaboard shipyards than in all other regions combined. Employment on new construction is highly concentrated in these yards. During World War II, Atlantic yards employed the greatest number of workers and accounted for about half the total shipbuilding employment. Over 80 percent of all workers engaged in new construction in April 1951 were employed in Atlantic yards compared with 2 percent on the Pacific Coast. Currently, almost two-thirds of the workers in Navy yards and about 60 percent of those in private yards are employed on the Atlantic Coast.

Conversely, Pacific Coast yards, which accounted for 35 percent of the industry's employment during the height of the wartime shipbuilding program in 1943, currently employ less than a quarter of the industry's workers. This decline in relative importance is attributable to the lack of new construction. Only 17 percent of Pacific Coast shipyard workers were employed in private yards during May 1951, and the workers were engaged almost entirely in ship-repair activities.

In the Great Lakes area, increased demand for iron ore has stimulated the construction of additional ore vessels. During the summer months of 1950, the normal seasonal decline in Great Lakes ship repair was partially offset by the doubling of new ship construction employment. Only 8

Table 1.-Distribution of production workers employed in private shipyards by type of activity and region, December 1950


[^7] and repair.

Chart 2. Shipbuilding Employment, by Region

percent of all shipyard workers are employed in Gulf Coast yards, and these are engaged largely in ship-repair activities.

Many yards engaged in other activities in order to retain their skilled labor force during the past few years of low shipyard activity. Approximately 7 percent of the industry's workers were so engaged in December 1950. These activities included the fabrication of steel products, boiler and machine-shop products, large turbine casings, heavy industrial machinery, bridge caissons, and even wind tunnels for aeronautical research.

## The Work Force

Shipbuilding and repair requires a large proportion of skilled workers. During World War II, over half of all shipyard employees were classified as skilled workers or supervisory employees. About 40 percent were classified as semiskilled and less than 10 percent, unskilled. Welders, shipfitters, machinists, carpenters, shipwrights, pipefitters, electricians, chippers and caulkers, and painters were the largest shipyard occupations. Currently, production workers comprise almost 90 percent of the industry's total work force, although the ratio is usually lower in periods of lower activity.

Only a small percentage of shipyard workers are women because of the physical requirements
of the work and the large proportion of skilled trades required which women normally do not enter. During World War II, female participation was encouraged, and women reached a peak of 11 percent of all workers in the industry. Pacific Coast shipyards utilized a much larger proportion of women than other areas. More women were employed in new construction than in ship repair. In early 1951, about 3 percent of the industry's workers were women, and most of these were office workers.

## Hours and Earnings

In May 1951, average hourly earnings of private shipyard workers were $\$ 1.73$ compared with $\$ 1.59$ for all manufacturing industries and $\$ 1.66$ in dur-able-goods industries. Weekly earnings in shipyards also averaged higher than in durable goods as a whole or all manufacturing, except during the spring of 1951 when they dropped below the dur-able-goods average as a result of a shorter workweek. In May, shipyard weekly earnings were $\$ 68.89$ and the durable-goods average was $\$ 69.39$. However, average earnings should increase following the Wage Stabilization Board's recent approval of shipyard wage agreements which raised the pay of 25,000 workers.

Hourly earnings in December 1950 were substantially higher in Pacific shipyards than earnings in other areas, as shown below. Workers engaged in repair activities averaged slightly higher earnings than those engaged in new construction, although this was not true in all regions.

|  | Average hourly earnings |
| :---: | :---: |
| All regions | \$1. 69 |
| North Atlantic | 1.68 |
| South Atlantic | 1.65 |
| Gulf | 1. 52 |
| Pacific | 2.06 |
| Great Lakes | 1.63 |
| Inland | 1.67 |

Only a 2 -hour increase in the average workweek accompanied the 60 -percent increase employment since Korea. In June 1950, the average workweek was 37.8 hours, and in May 1951 it was 39.8. During World War II, the workweek ranged from 45 to 49 hours. Despite an average workweek below 40 hours, an appreciable number

Table 2.-Hours and earnings of production workers in private shipbuilding and repair, 1947-51

| Year and month | A verage weekly earn- ings | A verage hourly earnings | A verage weekly hours |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1947 | \$57. 59 | \$1.458 | 39.5 |
| 1948 | 61.22 | 1.582 | 38.7 |
| 1949 | 61.88 | 1.637 | 37.8 |
| 1950 | 63.83 | 1. 671 | 38.2 |
| 1951: |  |  |  |
| January | 64.73 | 1. 677 | 38.6 |
| February | 69. 41 | 1. 718 | 40.4 |
| March. | 69.33 | 1. 729 | 40.1 |
| April. | 69.19 | 1. 734 | 39.9 |
| May | 68.89 | 1.731 | 39.8 |

of shipyard workers were employed on extra-shift operations or engaged in Saturday or Sunday work in April 1951. There are marked regional variations in the average workweek. Pacific Coast and Gulf Coast yards averaged a workweek in December 1950 almost 2 hours below the national average.

## Labor Turn-Over

Turn-over rates in shipyard employment continue to be among the highest in manufacturing. Total accessions and separations in shipbuilding and repair are still several times the average for the durable-goods industries as is shown in table 4. This high turn-over rate is due to the heavy lay-offs which are characteristic of the industry. Some trades are needed only during certain stages of construction; the majority of occupational skills and the greatest number of workers are required at the half-way point. Only special skills are required after the ship is

Table 4.-Labor turn-over rates (per 100 employees) in shipbuilding and repair, and all durable goods industries, 1947-51

| Year and month | Shipbuilding |  |  |  | Durable goods |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Separations |  |  | Accessions | Separations |  |  | Acces sions |
|  | Total ${ }^{1}$ | Quits | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Lay- } \\ & \text { offs } \end{aligned}$ |  | Total ${ }^{1}$ | Quits | Layoffs |  |
| 1947 | 12.4 | 5.0 | 6.3 | 11.5 | 5.0 | 3.4 | 1.0 | 5.2 |
| 1948 | 13.7 | 3.1 | 9.8 | 11. 1 | 4.8 | 2.8 | 1.3 | 4.5 |
| 1949 | 16.5 | 1.6 | 14.4 | 13.7 | 5.2 | 1.4 | 2.7 | 3.5 |
| 1950 | 16.4 | 2.2 | 13.2 | 17.4 | 3.6 | 2.0 | 1.1 | 4.9 |
| 1951: January .- | 14.3 | 3.6 | 8.7 | 39.3 | 4.4 | 2.2 | 1.1 | 5.7 |
| February | 14.2 | 4. 1 | 8.4 | 20.5 | 3.9 | 2.2 | . 7 | 5.0 |
| March | 17.9 | 5.1 | 11.0 | 14.7 | 4.4 | 2.7 | . 7 | 5.1 |
| April. | 14.6 | 4. 8 | 8.8 | 17.6 | 4.9 | 3. 0 | 1. 0 | 5.1 |
| May | 16.3 | 5.6 | 9.6 | 17.4 | 5.2 | 3.1 | 1.3 | 5.0 |

${ }^{1}$ Includes discharges and miscellaneous separations.
launched. The construction of vessels of identical specifications, as in World War II, can cause a drop in the lay-off rate by enabling workers in specialized trades to move from one ship to the next. Another cause of high turn-over is the large variation in the volume of ship construction and repair.

## Employment Outlook

Shipyard employment depends upon the volume of ship construction, repair, and reconditioning. The size of the Navy and the Merchant Marine determines the level of repair and reconditioning activity and partially determines the volume of new construction. The majority of ship construction, reconditioning, and repair is for the Navy at the present time.

Congressional authorization of a $\$ 2$ billion naval construction and reconditioning program in March 1951 provides for 500,000 tons of naval vessels as follows:


A large part of this anticipated new construction which still depends upon Congressional appropriations will probably be done in private shipyards, principally on the Atlantic Coast.

Private shipbuilders had under construction or on order 87 sea-going merchant vessels, as of August 1, 1951. Thirty-five of these vessels are the new high-speed "Mariners" ordered by the Maritime Administration. Most of the remaining ships are tankers and bulk ore carriers.

The Navy also plans to recondition and modernize many older ships. Some of this work will be contracted to private shipbuilders. The reconditioning and repair of additional merchant vessels from reserve fleets will continue to provide employment to private shipyard workers now engaged in repair work. Atlantic shipyards will probably receive the largest share of this work, as almost half of the vessels in the USMA reserve fleet are in Atlantic anchorages.

Repair activity generally increases with the size and activity of the Navy and Merchant Marine. The Navy and some commercial shippers use a cycle system of repair whereby ships are docked for repairs after a specified length of service. Other shippers repair their vessels only when necessary. A high volume of ship-repair activity is anticipated during the next few years because of the increased size of the Navy and Merchant Marine and the increased combat activity of naval vessels resulting from the Korean War. However, the resultant increase in employment will be small and will be divided between Navy and private yards.

The size of these shipbuilding, repair, and modification programs indicates that existing shipyards are likely to receive all of the orders, and standby shipyards will not be reactivated. These programs will require a moderate increase in shipyard employment. It is estimated that about 40,000 more workers will be needed by the middle of 1952. These new workers will be engaged largely in Navy and Merchant Marine construction.

Although there is no general shortage of shipyard workers at the present time, local shortages have appeared in some individual occupations. The list of critical occupations issued by the United States Department of Labor includes the following shipyard occupations: Marine loftsmen, shipriggers, shipfitters, marine boilermakers, and marine lay-out men. Shortages in these key occupations may become more serious when the shipbuilding programs of the Navy and Maritime Administration reach their peak.
-Stuart A. Pettingill
Division of Manpower and Employment Statistics

## Wage Chronology No. 18: Bethlehem Atlantic Shipyards, 1941-51 ${ }^{1}$

Largest single-company operation and a major segment of the East Coast shipbuilding and shiprepair industry are the combined facilities of the

Bethlehem Steel Co. Eight of the 11 yards of the company and its affiliate are located on the Atlantic Coast. ${ }^{2}$ Two of the Eastern Seaboard yards are located in the Boston harbor area (Quincy and Boston ${ }^{3}$ ); four are situated in the New York harbor area (Brooklyn-27th Street, Brooklyn-56th Street, Hoboken, and Staten Island), while the Baltimore and Sparrows Point yards are in the vicinity of Baltimore. ${ }^{4}$ This chronology traces the major changes in wage rates and related wage practices put into effect by Bethlehem at these yards starting June 23, 1941, the effective date of the Atlantic Coast Zone Shipbuilding Stabilization Agreement.
Production employees at these eight yards (except for patternmakers at six of the yards) are represented by the Industrial Union of Marine and Shipbuilding Workers of America (CIO). Organizational activities started in the middle 1930's and culminated in certification by the National Labor Relations Board of the union as col-lective-bargaining agent at the Boston yard in 1937, at the Brooklyn and Hoboken yards in 1939, and at the Fairfield, ${ }^{5}$ Baltimore, Sparrows Point, and Staten Island yards in 1941. It was not until 1945 that the union won an election entitling it to act as collective-bargaining agent for Quincy production employees. On September 18, 1942, the first master agreement was signed by the parties.
Wages and related conditions of employment in the industry on a Nation-wide basis were stabilized before our active participation in World War II-long before this action was taken in other industries. In 1941, Zone Stabilization Conferences were convened by the Shipbuilding Stabilization Committee of the War Production Board; the Conference established a Nation-wide wage rate ${ }^{6}$ for standard first-class mechanics and provided uniform coastwide provisions regulating certain other working practices. The conferences were attended, and the resulting zone standards were agreed to, by representatives of Federal procurement agencies, labor, and management.
Separate Zone Standards were established for the Atlantic, Gulf, and Pacific Coasts, and for the Great Lakes area. The Atlantic Coast Zone Standards became effective June 23, 1941. Later, in July 1942, the basic wage rate was increased, effective June 29, 1942, and certain working practices were revised at a Chicago National Shipbuilding Conference. The Zone Standards were
further amended in 1945. Provisions of the Zone Standards and the initial master agreement do not necessarily indicate changes in prior conditions of work since some of the company's working practices were continued.

A large proportion of the workers in Bethlehem's 8 Atlantic Coast yards were paid under piecework or group incentive plans. The changes reported in this chronology relate to these employees as well as those paid on a straight hourly basis. Special provisions concerning the application of the general wage changes to incentive workers are omitted as are provisions of the contracts dealing with other procedural aspects of the day-to-day administration of the bonus plans.

The company's employment on the Atlantic Coast as in other shipyards, and hence the coverage of the master agreement, has fluctuated widely during the period covered by this report. Wartime employment of production workers reached a peak of 139,000 ; in 1950 , an average of 15,000 production workers were employed at the yards.

The existing agreement was originally effective on November 10, 1947, and was to continue in effect
until June 23, 1949. By agreement of July 23, 1948, the agreement was extended to June 23, 1950, with provision for wage and insurance reopening in June 1949. On January 31, 1950, this reopening resulted in amending the company's pension plan, agreement on insurance benefits, and extension of the agreement to December 31, 1951. Provision was made in this extension for a wage reopening in December 1950 and for continuation of the insurance and pension plans to October 31, 1954, if the company does not change the pension plan prior to that date.

[^8]
## A-General Wage Changes ${ }^{1}$

| Effective date | Provisions | Applications, exceptions, and other related matters |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| June 23, 1941 (by Atlantic Coast Zone Stabilization Agreement). | Increases averaging approximately 10 cents an hour. | Agreement established rate of $\$ 1.12$ an hour for standard first-class mechanics and provided for corresponding increases to employees in other grades and classes. |
| June 23, 1942 (by Atlantic Coast Zone Stabilization Agreement). | 8 cent an hour increase |  |
| Mar. 3, 1943 (by Directive Order of National War Labor Board, July 6,1943 ). |  | 10 occupations increased to standard first-class rate of $\$ 1.20$ an hour. Intermediate classifications increased accordingly. |
| June 23, 1943 (by Directive Order of National War Labor Board, Apr. 13, 1944). | Increases averaging approximately 2 cents an hour. | Result of zone-wide review by Shipbuilding Commission of the NWLB, which established approvable job rates in order to eliminate gross intraplant inequities and to adjust specific wage rates to the minimum of the going wage rate bracket. |
| Dec. 4, 1945 (by agreement of National Shipbuilding Conference, approved by National Wage Stabilization Board, Feb. 27, 1946). | 18 cent an hour increase |  |
| Nov. 10, 1947 (by agreement of Nov. 10, 1947). | 12 cent an hour increase.----- |  |
| July 24, 1948 (by agreement of July 23, 1948). | 7 cent an hour increase--- |  |
| Jan. 1, 1951 (by agreement of Feb. 18, 1951). | $181 / 2$ to 31 cent increase, averaging $22 \frac{1}{2}$ cents an hour. | Approved by Wage Stabilization Board, June 7, 1951. |

[^9]B.-Basic Wage Rates by Grade and Class at Bethlehem East Coast Shipyards ${ }^{1}$

${ }^{1}$ Employees paid on a group incentive or piecework basis, under the existing plans, generally earn more than the basic hourly rate. The basic hourly rate, however, serves as a guaranteed minimum to these workers.
${ }^{2}$ Generally the occupational structure at these shipyards is composed of four major grades. Within the standard skilled mechanic grade are three classes which, in effect, are differentiated by degree of skill. In the other grades the lowest class generally is a starting rate from which satisfactory employees progress upward after a specified period of time. In addition to the grades shown, various individuals or occupations are rated as specialists and are paid rates higher than those of standard skilled mechanics. Occupations that, at their highest level, do not require the same degree of skill as mechanics, but are more skilled than the handyman level, are paid slightly below the skilled rate. Certain workers, such as laborers, are paid premium rates while engaged in sealing or wire brushing, as are employees working on ground blown glass or other hazardous types of insulation.
${ }^{3}$ During the period 1941 through 1945 the Quincy yard was not covered by the East Coast Master Agreement.
${ }^{1}$ Rates shown are for the Baltimore yard only. At the Sparrows Point and Fairfield yards the rates for standard skilled mechanics ranged from 90 cents to $\$ 1.12$ in 1941 and from 98 cents to $\$ 1.20$ in 1942.
${ }^{5}$ The occupations included in the standard skilled mechanic grade vary between yards. The following occupations receive the mechanic's rate at all eight yards: auto mechanic, blacksmith, boilermaker, burner, carpenter, chipper and caulker, compressor man, driller, electrician, joiner, layout man, machinist, mason, outside machinist, painter, pipe coverer, pressman and rollman, rigger, riveter, sheetmetal worker, and welder.
${ }^{6}$ First 90 days.
${ }^{7}$ First 60 days.
${ }^{8}$ First 30 days.

## B-Related Wage Practices ${ }^{1}$



## Premium Pay for Weekend Work

June 23, 1941
July 19, $1942^{2}$ _-...-

Oct. 6, 1945 $\qquad$

## - Ch

on
day day.

In accordance with company practice and Atlantic Coast Zone Standards.
In accordance with 1942 Chicago amendments to Zone Standards.

In accordance with amendments to 1942 Chicago agreement.

## Holiday Pay

June 23, 1941

July 19, $1942^{2}$ _-...-
Oct. 6, 1945 $\qquad$
Changed to: time and one-half for work on specified holidays.
Changed back to: double time for work on specified holidays. No pay for holidays not worked.

In accordance with company practice and Atlantic Coast Zone Standards, which did not specify the holidays for which the premium would be paid. Holidays previously recognized by company practice continued to be those for which premium was paid. The holidays differ from yard to yard.
In accordance with 1942 Chicago amendments to Zone Standards.
In accordance with amendments to 1942 Chicago agreement.

## Travel Pay

June 23, 1941.------

Sept. 18, 1942

Time and one-half for work on Saturday as such, double time on Sunday.
Changed in new construction yards to: time and one-half for work on 6th consecutive day, double time on 7 th consecutive day. hanged back to: time and one-half for work on Saturday as such, double time on Sun-
ards. Applicable to piecework or incentive payments but not to overtime.
Night premium in addition to overtime paid day shift employees who worked beyond regular shift on premium days or holidays.
tic Coast Zone Standards.
Premium rate also paid for work performed durprovided employee is not transferred from one regular shift to another.
tre and one-half for 8 hours paid employees tranferred from 1 shift to another diong given.
Premium rate paid for work during regularly scheduled lunch hour.

$$
\text { B-Related Wage Practices }{ }^{1} \text {-Continued }
$$

| Effective date | Provisions | Applications, exceptions, and other related |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| matters |  |  |

## Paid Vacations

June 23, 1941 $\qquad$ 1 week vacation after 3 years service, 2 weeks after 15 years.

May 1, 1943
Changed to: 1 week vacation after 1 year of service, 2 weeks after 5 years.

Jan. 1, 1948 $\qquad$ Added: 3 weeks vacation after 25 years service.

In accordance with company practice. Rate of pay to equal average of earnings and hours during 10 weeks immediately preceding vacation period with minimum allowance of 40 hours and maximum of 48 hours.
In accordance with Directive Order of National War Labor Board dated Sept. 14, 1943, which provided the 1-week provision was to become effective in 1943 and the 2 -week provision in 1944.
Pay for each vacation week to equal 2 percent of earnings during 12 consecutive months preceding Jan. 1. Proportionate pay given employee laid off.

## Call-in Pay

June 23, 1941....-.--
Sept. 18, 1942

Dec. 15, 1943_..----

May 6, 1946 $\qquad$
Added: 2-hour guarantee extended to employees reporting to work without contrary notification by company and not put to work.
Employee notified to report but not put to work guaranteed 2 hours pay at regular rate.
Added: employee put to work guaranteed 4 hours pay at regular rate.

In accordance with company practice at some yards.

Not applicable if employee quits before the end of the 4 -hour period or is laid off because of bad weather, machinery breakdowns or other causes beyond the control of the company.

Employee laid off because of weather, etc., guaranteed 2 hours pay.

Premium Pay for Dirty Work

June 23, 1941_-......
Time and one-half the regular rate paid employees required to perform unusually dirty work.

Dec. 15, 1943 $\qquad$
$\qquad$

In accordance with company practice at repair yards. Dirty work defined as work in uncleaned oil tanks and Diesel crank pits and similar work.
Dirty work redefined as (1) working in oil in oil tanks, Diesel crank pits, tank tops under engine and boiler room floors, bilges, fore and aft wells, forepeak and afterpeak tanks, and double bottoms, (2) arranging chain in chain lockers when chain or locker has been coated with oil or similar substances, and (3) work in applying hot Bitumastic Enamel manually within confined tanks where adequate ventilation is not provided.

## Call-Back Pay

June 23, 1941

May 6, 1946

Employee returning to work less than 6 hours after quitting time to be paid time and onehalf for all hours worked until a 6 -hour break occurs.
Period increased to 8 hours.

In accordance with company practice.

[^10]Applications, exceptions, and other related matters

## Death and Sickness Benefits

In accordance with company practice. The plan, which was inaugurated in 1926, became available to shipyard employees at time of inauguration or as the yards were acquired or established by the company. Death benefits were limited to $\$ 200$ if participant had subscribed to the plan less than 90 days prior to death. Not included in union agreement.

Effective date and provisions modified by provision of New York and New Jersey State disability laws for employees working in those jurisdictions.

June 23, 1941 (established 1923).

May 1, 1947
Mar. 1, 1950

June 23, 1941

Feb. 1, 1950 $\qquad$ New plan established providing participating employees with:
Life Insurance- $\$ 1,750$ to $\$ 4,500$, depending on hourly rate.
Sickness benefits- $\$ 24$ and $\$ 26$ a week for 26 weeks. Sickness benefits start on 8th day; accident benefits on first day.
Hospitalization-Blue Cross plan providing hospital care for 70 days and related benefits. Available to employees' dependents.
Employee contributions range from $\$ 2.70$ to $\$ 4.40$ monthly for single employees and from $\$ 3.95$ to $\$ 5.65$ for married employees. Company pays $2 \frac{1}{2}$ cents a man-hour toward benefits, including administrative costs.
Employees with 90 days continuous service could participate in plan providing:
Life Insurance.- $\$ 500$ to $\$ 1,500$, depending on hourly rate.
Sickness benefits- $\$ 10$ to $\$ 12$ a week for 13 to 208 weeks, depending on length of service. Cost to employee ranged from $\$ 1$ to $\$ 2$ a month, depending on earnings. Administrative costs borne by company.

Pension Plan

## Prin

Noncontributory pension providing annuities to employees at 65 after 25 years continuous service. Disability benefits provided employee wholly incapacitated for work through any unavoidable cause at any age after 15 years continuous service. Annuity or disability benefits to equal 1 percent of average monthly earnings during 120 months preceding retirement multiplied by years of service, but not less than $\$ 180$ a year including public benefits. Entire cost borne by company.
Minimum annual pension increased to $\$ 600$. Amendments to pension plan negotiated to provide pensions to employees at 65 or older after 15 years of continuous service. Minimum pension-\$100 a month, including Federal Old Age Benefits and other public pensions, to employees retiring at age 65 or older with 25 or more years of service. Employees with 15 or more years continuous service to receive proportionately reduced payments.
Disability benefits provided employees wholly incapacitated for work through any unavoidable cause at any age after 15 years continuous service. Minimum benefits \$600 a year. Entire cost borne by company.

Not included in union agreements; established by company.

Annuity formula of previous plan retained for computing pensions above minimum and disability benefits. Included in union agreement.

1 The last entry under each item represents the most recent change.
${ }_{2}$ Since the Zone Standards were substantially identical to the provisions of Executive Order 9240 the industry was exempt from the terms of the order.
-Albert A. Belman
Division of Wages and Industrial Relations

## Communications Industries:

## Earnings in 1949 and $1950{ }^{1}$

Communications workers' increases in average hourly earnings between October 1949 and October 1950 averaged 7 cents in Western Union and 9 cents in the interstate telephone, radiotelegraph, and ocean cable carriers. Most of the increases were due to general wage changes or interplant wage adjustments, although some branches of the industry were affected to a minor extent by the new 75 -cent minimum under the Fair Labor Standards Act, effective in January 1950.

## Class A Interstate Telephone Carriers

Interstate telephone carriers (class A) currently employ over a half-million employees. In October 1950, straight-time pay for these employees ${ }^{2}$ averaged $\$ 1.43$ an hour-an increase of 9 cents over the October 1949 average. Most of the companies gave no general wage increases during the year. They did shorten the progression period required to reach the maximum occupational rate from 8 to 6.5 years, thereby considerably raising average earnings for some occupations, especially operators. Pay scales for some companies are based on size of communities and during 1950 many communities were reclassified to a higher

Table 1.-Class A interstate telephone carriers: Percentage distribution of employees by hourly earnings and selected occupations, October 1950 and 1949

| Hourly earnings | All employees ${ }^{1}$ |  | Cable splicers |  | Cable splicers' helpers |  | Central office repairmen |  | Draftsmen |  | Exchange repairmen |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1950 | 1949 | 1950 | 1949 | 1950 | 1949 | 1950 | 1949 | 1950 | 1949 | 1950 | 1949 |
| Less than 60 cents. | ${ }_{(2)} 0.1$ | 0.2 .1 | (2) | $(2)$ $(2)$ | 0.1 | 0.1 | ${ }^{(2)}$ | $\left.{ }^{2}\right)$ |  |  | ${ }^{(2)}$ | ${ }^{(2)}$ |
| 65-69 cents..--- |  | .3 |  |  | (2) | (2) | (2) | (2) |  |  |  |  |
| 70-74 cents | . 1 | .7 |  | $\left.{ }^{2}\right)$ | ( | () | () | (2) |  |  | $\left.{ }^{2}\right)$ |  |
| 75-79 cents... | 1. 6.1 | 1.5 6.8 |  |  | . 1 | . 1 | ${ }^{(2)}$ | 0.1 | 0.2 | . 2 | ${ }^{(2)}$ | 0.2 |
| 90-99 cents..- | 6.1 9.3 | 6.8 11.9 | 0.1 .1 | 0.1 .2 | 2.5 8.4 | 1.3 | 0.6 | ${ }^{1} 2$ | -9 9 | . 9 | 0.3 | . 3 |
| 100-119 cents | 21.8 | 27.7 | 1. 2 | 2.4 | 20.2 | 35.4 | 1.6 4.7 | 1.3 8.5 | 2.7 6 | 14.6 1 | .2 1.6 | 3. 0 |
| 120-139 cents | 19.1 | 19.8 | 5.7 | 11.4 | 31.4 | 39.3 | 9.0 | 15.5 | 20.4 | 21.1 | 1.6 4.7 | 3.0 7.7 |
| 140-159 cents | 12.9 | 9.3 | 11.7 | 13.6 | 26.6 | 15.1 | 13.7 | 13.9 | 13.1 | 11.0 | 7.1 | 12.0 |
| 160-179 cents | 9.1 | 5.5 | 15.6 | 14.1 | 9.2 | 2.7 | 13.5 | 9.9 | 11.1 | 11,2 | 15.3 | 12.0 |
| 180-199 cents. | 6.5 | 5.4 6.1 | 21.8 29.6 | 26.2 28.5 | 1.1 | . 3 | 16.8 | 16.2 | 7.6 | 8.2 | 25.8 | 26.6 |
| 225-249 cents. | 3.1 | 1.9 | 13.8 | 28.5 3.5 | . 1 | . 3 | 24.3 13.0 | 16.9 9.9 | 15.1 7.8 | 13.3 | 33.5 | 36.5 |
| 250 cents and over- | 3.5 | 2.8 | . 4 |  |  |  | 2.8 | . 6 | 14.2 | 10.3 | $1 . .8$ | (2) 1.2 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number of workers $\qquad$ Average hourly earnings | 503,142 $\$ 1.43$ | 498,800 $\$ 1.34$ | 9,197 $\$ 1.89$ | 9,313 $\$ 1.79$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7,403 \\ & \$ 1.30 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 7,895 \\ & \$ 1.23 \end{aligned}$ | 25,152 $\$ 1.83$ | $\begin{array}{r} 24,846 \\ \$ 1.74 \end{array}$ | 550 $\$ 1.79$ | 572 $\$ 1.71$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10,458 \\ \$ 1.91 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10,101 \\ \$ 1.83 \end{array}$ |
| Hourly earnings | Experienced switchboard operators |  | Laborers |  | Linemen |  | Mechanics, building and motor vehicle service |  | $P B X$ and station installers |  | Test-board men and repeatermen |  |
|  | 1950 | 1949 | 1950 | 1949 | 1950 | 1949 | 1950 | 1949 | 1950 | 1949 | 1950 | 1949 |
| Less than 60 cents | 0.3 | 0.4 | 1.2 | 5. 2 | $\begin{aligned} & (2) \\ & (2) \\ & \left({ }^{2}\right) \\ & (2) \end{aligned}$ | ${ }^{(2)} 0.1$ | ------- |  | 0.1 | 0.1 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.1 \\ & { }_{\substack{2 \\ (2)}} 0.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\left.{ }^{(2}\right)$ |
| 65-69 cents. | . 1 | . 3 |  | 1.9 1.9 |  | . 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 70-74 cents.. | . 1 | . 7 |  | 1.9 |  |  |  | (2) | $\left.{ }^{2}\right)$ |  |  |  |
| 75-79 cents. | 1.1 | 1. 6 | 11.8 | 18.1 | 0.4 | . 3 | $\left.{ }^{2}\right)$ | 0.3 | ${ }^{\text {. }} 1$ | .1 | . 1 |  |
| 80-89 cents. | 5. 6 | 8.8 | 8.4 | 7.1 | 2.9 | 1.8 | 0.4 | . 2 | . 5 | . 4 | (2) |  |
| 90-99 cents... | 12.5 36.8 | 19.5 | 8.0 | 14.5 | 7.9 | 4. 4 | . 8 | 1. 1 | 1.7 | 1. 6 | ( 1 | .4 |
| 120-139 cents. | 36.8 24.9 | 46.1 21.3 | 31.6 | 34.2 | 12.2 | 19.6 | 3.2 | 5.2 | 6.3 | 12.8 | 1.1 | 2.4 |
| 140-159 cents. | 10.45.8 | 1.0.1 |  | 9.9 | 18.4 | 27.6 | 6. 0 | 7.4 | 13.7 | 21.4 | 2.6 | 4.5 |
| 160-179 cents. |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 7.7 \\ & 3.1 \end{aligned}$ | 2.2 | 17.011.3 | 12.9 | 8.9 24.6 | 16.6 | 17.4 | 15.7 | 5.0 | 6. 5 |
| 180-199 cents | 2.2 | ${ }^{(2)}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 9.8 \\ 9.9 \end{array}$ | 24.6 24.4 | 29.6 | 14.0 | 12.2 | 28. ${ }^{2}$ | 8.6 29.5 |
| 200-224 cents |  | $\left.{ }^{2}\right)$ |  |  | 8.3 |  | $\begin{array}{r} 27.1 \\ 4.2 \\ .4 \end{array}$ | 12.5 | 19.1 | 25.0 | 36.9 | 37.0 |
| 225-249 cents- |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{(2)}$ |  |  | 2.6 | 10.1 | $\stackrel{.}{ }$ | 15.2 | 37.0 10.9 |
| 250 cents and over | ${ }^{(2)}$ | $\left.{ }^{2}\right)$ |  |  | . 1 |  |  | ${ }^{2}$ ) |  |  | 2.0 | (2) ${ }^{10.9}$ |
| Tot | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number of workers. | $\begin{array}{r} 174,650 \\ \$ 1.19 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 182,501 \\ \$ 1.07 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 323 \\ \$ 1.10 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 324 \\ \$ 0.93 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17,754 \\ \$ 1.46 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17,315 \\ \$ 1.40 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,291 \\ & \$ 1.82 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,307 \\ & \$ 1.71 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 19,692 \\ \$ 1.71 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 19,091 \\ \$ 1.61 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9,219 \\ & \$ 1.99 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 8,994 \\ & \$ 1.92 \end{aligned}$ |
| Average hourly earnings |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{2}$ Less than 0.05 of 1 percent. fessional employees, and nonclerical business office and sales employees.
pay scale. These actions also served to increase the over-all average to some extent.

Experienced switchboard operators averaged $\$ 1.19$ an hour in October 1950, 12 cents above their October 1949 level. Hourly increases between the two periods, in the occupations studied, ranged from 6 cents for linemen to 17 cents for laborers. The labor group average was affected to some extent by the 75 -cent minimum under the Fair Labor Standards Act. In October 1949, prior to the effective date of this minimum rate, almost 11 percent of the laborers were reported to be earning under 75 cents an hour.
Among the skilled occupations studied in 1950, test-board men and repeatermen had the highest
hourly average, $\$ 1.99$. Linemen averaged $\$ 1.46$ and PBX and station installers averaged $\$ 1.71$.

## Western Union

Employees of the Western Union Telegraph Co. ${ }^{2}$ (excluding messengers) averaged $\$ 1.35$ an hour in October 1950, an average increase of 7 cents over the year. This increase was largely the result of adjustments negotiated during the year. In April 1950, all employees having 2 or more years' seniority in their respective classes of work and earning less than the maximum for the classification received an hourly increase of 4 cents. In July 1950, additional adjustments were made on

Table 2.-Western Union Telegraph Co.: Percentage distribution of wire-telegraph employees, by hourly earnings and selected occupations, October 1950 and 1949

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow{3}{*}{Hourly earnings} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[b]{2}{*}{All employees ${ }^{1}$}} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow{2}{*}{All employees ${ }^{1}$ (except messengers)}} \& \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Experienced telegraph operators (except Morse)} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow{2}{*}{Laborers}} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow{2}{*}{Linemen and cablemen}} <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Commercial department} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Traffic department} \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline \& 1950 \& 1949 \& 1950 \& 1949 \& 1950 \& 1949 \& 1950 \& 1949 \& 1950 \& 1949 \& 1950 \& 1949 <br>
\hline 65-69 cents \& \& 20.4 \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline 70-74 cents \& 24.6 \& 3.6
.6 \& 0.3 \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline 80-89 cents. \& 5.3 \& 3. 9 \& 6. 3 \& 4.6 \& 14.4 \& 8.6 \& 0.4 \& 0.1 \& 1.0 \& 0.5 \& 0.2 \& 0.4 <br>
\hline $90-99$ cents \& $\begin{array}{r}7.2 \\ 15.5 \\ \hline\end{array}$ \& 8.3
17.3 \& $\begin{array}{r}8.3 \\ 20.3 \\ \\ \hline\end{array}$ \& 9.5
23.0 \& 26.6
42.7 \& 35.0
44.2 \& $\begin{array}{r}15.7 \\ \hline\end{array}$ \& 1.0
23.1 \& 3.4
12.5 \& 4.1
18.6 \& . 18 \& 2.8 <br>
\hline 100-119 cents. \& 15.5
21.8 \& 17.3
23.7 \& 20.3
29.8 \& 23.0
32.6 \& 42.7
15.7 \& 44.2
11.6 \& 151.7
81.0 \& 75.3 \& 69.6 \& 18.6 6 \& 10. 1 \& 16.5 <br>
\hline 140-159 cents. \& 12. 2 \& 10.0 \& 16.7 \& 13.7 \& . 5 \& . 4 \& 2.0 \& . 5 \& 13.5 \& 9.5 \& 64.3 \& 65.8 <br>
\hline 160-179 cents \& 6.1 \& 7.0 \& 8.3 \& 9.5 \& . 1 \& (2) 2 \& \& \& \& \& 24.3 \& 14.2 <br>
\hline 180-199 cents. \& 4.4 \& 2. 8 \& 6. 0 \& 3. 8 \& \& \& -------- \& \& \& . 5 \& . 2 \& <br>
\hline 200-224 cents. \& 1.8
.5 \& 1.3
.5 \& 2.5
.7 \& $\begin{array}{r}1.8 \\ . \\ \hline\end{array}$ \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline 250 cents and over. \& . 6 \& . 6 \& . 8 \& . 8 \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline Total \& 100.0 \& 100.0 \& 100.0 \& 100.0 \& 100.0 \& 100.0 \& 100.0 \& 100.0 \& 100.0 \& 100.0 \& 100.0 \& 100.0 <br>
\hline Number of workers $\qquad$ Average hourly earnings \& 35,070
$\$ 1.23$ \& $$
\begin{array}{r}
35,936 \\
\$ 1.17
\end{array}
$$ \& 25,658
$\$ 1.35$ \& 26,253
$\$ 1.28$ \& 3,358
$\$ 1.06$ \& 3,308
$\$ 1.04$ \& 3,291
$\$ 1.27$ \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& 3,601 \\
& \$ 1.19
\end{aligned}
$$ \& $$
\begin{array}{r}
208 \\
\$ 1.29
\end{array}
$$ \& 220
$\$ 1.25$ \& 1,102
$\$ 1.54$ \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& 1,265 \\
& \$ 1.44
\end{aligned}
$$ <br>
\hline \multirow{2}{*}{Hourly earnings} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Mechanics, building service} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Messengers, foot and bicycle} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Messengers, motor} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Morse operators} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Subscribers' equipment maintenance} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Telephone operators} <br>
\hline \& 1950 \& 1949 \& 1950 \& 1949 \& 1950 \& 1949 \& 1950 \& 1949 \& 1950 \& 1949 \& 1950 \& 1949 <br>
\hline 65-69 cents \& \& \& \& 83.9 \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline 70-74 cents \& \& \& 99.8 \& \& 8. 3 \& 8.9 \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline 80-89 cents. \& \& \& . 2 \& \& 23.9 \& 18.7 \& \& \& \& \& 6.9 \& 2.6 <br>
\hline 90-99 cents.-. \& 1.0
3.0 \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& 0.5 \\
& 1.5
\end{aligned}
$$ \& \& \& 43.6
23.3 \& 52.5
19.0 \& 0.1
3.0

1 \& 0.1
6.2 \& 0.1 \& \& 9.7
35.7 \& 10.7
42.0 <br>
\hline 120-139 cents. \& 12.9 \& 18.1 \& \& \& 23.9 9 \& 1.9 \& 28.2 \& 74.5 \& 8.1 \& 13.1 \& 47.5 \& 44.7 <br>
\hline 140-159 cents \& 42.3 \& 47.3 \& \& \& \& \& 68.5 \& 18.8 \& 23.6 \& 24.5 \& . 2 \& <br>
\hline 160-179 cents \& 35.3 \& 26.6 \& \& \& \& \& . 2 \& . 4 \& 66.5
1.7 \& \& \& <br>
\hline 180-199 cents \& 4.0 \& 4.5 \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline 200-224 cents \& 1.5 \& 1.5 \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline 250 cents and over \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline Total \& 100.0 \& 100.0 \& 100.0 \& 100.0 \& 100.0 \& 100.0 \& 100.0 \& 100.0 \& 100.0 \& 100.0 \& 100.0 \& 100.0 <br>
\hline Number of workers \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& 1,348 \& 707 \& 686 \& 2, 532 \& 2,421 <br>
\hline Average hourly earnings \& \$1.57 \& \$1. 48 \& \$0. 75 \& \$0.67 \& \$0.95 \& \$0. 94 \& \$1.41 \& \$1.30 \& \$1.65 \& \$1.55 \& \$1.16 \& \$1.12 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 3.-Principal radiotelegraph carriers: Percentage distribution of employees ${ }^{1}$ by hourly earnings and selected occupations, October 1950 and 1949

| Hourly earnings | All employees ${ }^{2}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Marine coastal } \\ \text { station } \\ \text { operators } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mechanicians } \\ & \text { and } \\ & \text { maintenance } \\ & \text { technicians } \end{aligned}$ |  | Messengers, foot and bicycle |  | Radio operating technicians |  | Radio operators |  | Teletypemultiplex operators |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1950 | 1949 | 1950 | 1949 | 1950 | 1949 | 1950 | 1949 | 1950 | 1949 | 1950 | 1949 | 1950 | 1949 |
| 70-74 cents |  | 0.2 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 75-79 cents | 7.5 | 13.7 |  |  |  |  | 49.0 | 98.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 80-89 cents | 8.1 | . 4 |  |  | 5.1 |  | 49.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 100-119 cents. | 1.5 | 11.5 |  |  | 10.1 | 0.4 | . 4 | . 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 120-139 cents. | 14.6 | 17.1 | 1.9 | 2.5 | 11.0 | 1200 | 1.1 | . 8 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 1.7 | 2.2 |
| 140-159 cents. | 17.4 | 20.4 | 9.3 | 16.7 | 11.9 | 32.8 |  |  | 2.4 | 9.9 | 1.1 | 24.2 | 18.5 | 23.2 |
| 160-179 cents. | 16.6 | 11.4 | 25.8 | 25.0 | 24.8 | 11.0 |  |  | 15.8 | 20.2 | 34.8 | 21.8 | 18.9 | 65.4 8.5 |
| 180-199 cents. | 10.0 | 8.4 | 13.9 | 7.5 | 8.7 | 15.9 |  |  | 27.7 | 17.7 | 12.5 | 27.4 | 5.3 | . 7 |
| 200-224 cents. | 10.7 | 10.3 | 35.2 | 36.6 | 15.2 | 8.5 |  |  | 28.1 | 43.2 | 41.6 | 26.3 |  |  |
| 225-249 cents | 4.9 | 3.4 | 11.1 | 9.2 | 4.2 | . 4 |  |  | 21.9 | 5.0 | 9.7 |  |  |  |
| 250 cents and over | 1.9 | 2.3 | 2.8 | 2.5 |  |  |  |  | 3.4 | 3.4 |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number of workers.- | 3 3,691 | 3, 830 | 108 | 120 | 335 | 283 | 531 | 523 | 292 | 322 | 360 | 380 | 417 | 413 |
| Average hourly earnings | 3 \$1. 57 | \$1.48 | \$1.94 | \$1.81 | \$1. 54 | \$1. 53 | \$0.79 | \$0. 75 | \$2. 12 | \$1.96 | \$1.94 | \$1.82 | \$1.53 | \$1. 44 |

${ }^{1}$ Includes only those employees regularly employed within the continental United States and covered by the terms of the Fair Labor Standards Act.
${ }^{2}$ Excludes officers and assistants, professional and semiprofessional em-
ployees, office or station superintendents and assistants, and sales employees. ${ }^{3}$ Includes 91 workers not covered by the Fair Labor Standards Act and not included in the distribution above.
the basis of length of service and other factors, most employees receiving from 1 to 4 cents an hour increase. Part of the over-all increase was due to regular length of service and merit increases.

Average hourly increases in selected occupations ranged from 1 cent for motorized messengers to 11 cents for Morse operators. In October 1950, Morse operators averaged $\$ 1.41$ an hour; other experienced telegraph operators averaged $\$ 1.27$ in the traffic department and $\$ 1.06$ in the commercial department. The two latter groups were predominantly women; over three-fourths of the Morse operators were men. Foot and bicycle messengers, the largest occupational group, were paid 75 cents an hour in October 1950-an increase of 8 cents, on the average, during the year. Motorized messengers averaged 95 cents an hour.

## Radiotelegraph Carriers

The average earnings of radiotelegraph employees ${ }^{2}$ increased 9 cents an hour during the year to $\$ 1.57$. Individual occupations studied, however, had increases of from 1 cent to 16 cents. The higher paying occupations reflected the greatest increases, indicating that at least some of the firms gave percentage wage increases instead of flat cents-per-hour raises. Among the occupations studied, radio operating technicians had the highest average, $\$ 2.12$ in October 1950, an 8-percent increase over October 1949. Messengers
averaged 79 cents in October 1950, 5 percent more than in the 1949 period. (See table 3.)

## Ocean Cable Carriers

Average hourly earnings for ocean cable carrier employees ${ }^{2}$ working in the United States also increased 9 cents during the year to $\$ 1.68$. Messengers' earnings rose 17 cents an hour on the average. General wage increases of 9 cents an

Table 4.-Principal ocean cable carriers: Percentage distribution of employees ${ }^{1}$ by hourly earnings and selected occupations, October 1950 and 1949

| Hourly earnings | All employees ${ }^{2}$ |  | Cable operators |  | Messengers, foot and bicycle |  | Teletypemultiplex operators |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1950 | 1949 | 1950 | 1949 | 1950 | 1949 | 1950 | 1949 |
| 70-74 cents. |  | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 75-79 cents |  | 14.4 |  |  |  | 100.0 |  |  |
| $80-89$ cents. | 17.4 | 1.2 |  |  | 98.4 |  |  |  |
| 90-99 cents | 1.4 | 1. 4 |  |  | .5 |  |  |  |
| 100-119 cersts | 5. 5 | 6. 6 |  |  | 1.1 |  | 1.1 | 5. 0 |
| 120-139 celts | 9.3 | 12.7 | 1.4 |  |  |  | 9. 5 | 40.0 |
| 140-159 cerits | 12. 0 | 13. 6 | 2. 1 | 0.7 |  |  | 38. 9 | 26.0 |
| 160-179 cents | 22.3 | 17. 2 | 2.1 | 10.7 |  |  | 44. 2 | 26.0 |
| 180-199 cents | 9. 6 | 18.4 | 10.9 | 80.6 |  |  | 6.3 | 3.0 |
| 200-224 cents | 17.2 | 7.5 | 83.5 | 7.3 |  |  |  |  |
| 225-249 cents | 3. 9 | 5.1 |  | . 7 |  |  |  |  |
| 250 cents and over.-- | 1.4 | 1.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number of workers.- | ${ }^{3} 1,158$ | 1,127 | 146 | 150 | 183 | 162 | 211 | 100 |
| earnings-............. | 3 \$1.68 | \$1.59 | \$2. 05 | \$1.95 | \$0. 93 | \$0.76 | \$1.57 | \$1.47 |

[^11]hour granted by some of the companies became effective on October 1, 1950, the month of the survey. About three-fourths of the employees in these companies are employed outside the continental United States and they were excluded from the wage data.
-James F. Walker
Division of Wages and Industrial Relations

[^12]
## Midyear 1951 Economic Reports of the President, CEA, and ODM ${ }^{1}$

Building our defenses, strengthening other "free" nations, and the expanding and stabilizing of the economy were stressed in the President's midyear economic report, recently submitted to Congress, and the Council of Economic Advisers' midyear report to the President. These reports followed a review of progress in defense mobilization outlined to the President by the Director of the Office of Defense Mobilization.

## Report of the President

The current defense program and its proposed build-up, together with an analysis of measures necessary to strengthen and stabilize the economy in support of the program was discussed in the President's report, transmitted to Congress on July 23, 1951.

Emphasizing that "we are engaged in a longterm effort," the President asked for continuation of military strength and increased effort even if the fighting in Korea ceases. "At present," the President reported, "our principal concern is not with maintaining military strength. Our prin-
cipal concern is to build up military strength in the first place." The goal of 3.5 million for the armed services has almost been reached, according to the President, but he warned that this goal may be raised "in the light of world events."

Civilian manpower needs for defense a year hence, the President reported, are expected to require about 11 million men and women directly or indirectly engaged in defense, contrasted with about 25 million at the peak of World War II. Defense outlays currently amounting to an annual rate of over $\$ 35$ billion or 11 percent of the Nation's total output, will rise rapidly. They are expected to increase to 15 percent by the end of 1951 and should approach 20 percent by mid-1952 when an annual rate of nearly $\$ 65$ billion is predicted. Procurement of aircraft, weapons, tanks, and other items will take the major share of increased defense expenditures, the report stated. In addition, hard goods deliveries are scheduled to rise within the next year from a monthly rate of about $\$ 1$ billion to about $\$ 3$ billion.

In calling attention to the importance of strengthening other free nations, the President said "the defenses of the free nations are inseparable." For example, areas outside the United States, the report continued, produce about 70 to 80 percent of the free world's lead, zinc, tungsten, and rubber supply, and virtually all of its cobalt, manganese, nickel, tin, and wool. The moral as well as the economic aspects of military and economic aid to free nations were pointed out by the President in emphasizing the urgency of his request to Congress for $\$ 8.5$ billion for the foreign military and economic aid program.

On the economic front, he called for expansion of output; continuation of authority to aid production; enactment of measures necessary for curbing inflation; and direct price and wage controls.

Setting a goal of a 5-percent increase in total output within a year, the President stated that expansion programs in steel and aluminum were going forward. He anticipated an expansion of the total labor force by $11 / 2$ to 2 million persons within a year; in this connection he stated that "Manpower is our prime productive resource."

Production aids, such as allocation of scarce materials, extension of special aids through direct loans, government guarantee of private loans,
purchase commitments, and rapid amortization of facilities for tax purposes were cited as being very effective in building our defense. The President urged renewal of authority for these programs.

The belief that the inflationary trend is ended, the President warned, "is a dangerous assumption. We cannot accept it as a guide to national policy." To meet our production goals, and at the same time to reduce the inflationary pressure, the President advocated a tax increase of at least $\$ 10$ billion for 1951 ; a minimum program of essential public expenditures; selective credit control measures; development of a voluntary savings plan; effective rent control; and direct price and wage controls.

## CEA Report

In its report to the President, The Economic Situation at Midyear 1951, the CEA also primarily concerned itself with the shaping of our defense economy and with economic policies necessary for defense.

The main objectives, according to the report, are the build-up and equipment of our armed forces and the enactment of measures designed to keep our economy strong. For this purpose, the Council advocated: (1) a 5-percent increase in total output for the next year ; (2) a 4-percent increase in total man-hours of work; (3) expansion of productive capacity in iron and steel, aluminum, chemicals, fuels, energy, and transportation; (4) effective development and utilization of foreign and domestic raw materials; and (5) restrictions on many types of consumption, business investment, and Government spending.

Total output in the next 2 years should increase at least twice as fast as during the period 1946 through the first half of 1950. But this expansion, the report states, "will require that as a Nation we work harder and longer, and devote considerable resources to economic expansion, necessarily at the expense of current consumption." At the present time, the Council believes that the output of consumer goods will be adequate to maintain high consumption levels but "at the cost of a greater labor effort, and some sacrifice of leisure time." Production of some goods, however, will be insufficient to meet demand and some degree of quality deterioration may take place. In order to expand the needed total output, substantially increased manpower requirements must
be met. Accordingly, the Council advocates further expansion in the work force, increased labor productivity, and perhaps a lengthened workweek in some industries.

In the field of manpower, the Council recommends a program involving four measures: (1) improvement of the present program designed to prevent area labor shortages; (2) further conservation and development of essential professions and skills; (3) development of more definite and detailed statistics relating to manpower requirements; and (4) development of manpower data for the total free world effort, and of programs to improve manpower use by cooperating countries.

## Mobilization Director's Report

Meeting Defense Goals, the second quarterly report of the Director of Defense Mobilization to the President, points out that the United States has "laid the foundation for a rapid and steady growth of economic might." A year after the fighting had started in Korea, total national production was "about equal to the peak rate of output of World War II," although military production was still in the "tooling up" stage. Nevertheless, the report states, there are many things that "we have not done well enough nor quickly enough," and we must "increase the tempo of work on every phase of the program that is lagging." The Mobilization Director favors "a standard of living at the highest level that can be maintained while yet meeting our defense production targets." Citing a rise of over 12-percent in industrial production, the Director hopes to achieve further increases of from 12 to 15 percent in the next 2 years.

Duration of unemployment for most job seekers in the second quarter of 1951 was a month or less. Lay-offs in manufacturing industries, which increased in April and May, were not all caused by restrictions in the use of scarce materials, but were due partly to a slackening of demand for some consumer goods.

No general manpower shortage developed, the report states. Local and occupational shortages among skilled workers did appear, and increased. A shortage of engineers, designers, and draftsmen to equip and tool plants for quantity production was causing a bottleneck in the tooling-up process,
according to the report. A shortage of machine tools caused a second bottleneck, for despite diversion of such tools from peacetime to military production, some were highly specialized for peacetime products and only a few were suited for production of the new types of weapons. Therefore, as in World War II, a Government revolving fund was established to enable manufacturers to produce machine tools in advance of specific orders by contractors.

To combat manpower shortages, combined efforts are required, according to the Director. The Federal Government is expected to aid, by "guiding the location of plants and the placement of orders" in sections where the labor supply is adequate; also through organization of national programs to solve manpower programs. Employers can help by taking on defense work and doing their best with available manpower resources. Workers and unions can help by urging additional workers to enter the work force and by encouraging employees to shift to, or remain in, defense work. States and communities can better the situation by mobilizing their manpower resources, training workers, providing necessary housing and community services, and taking other action to help in the voluntary solving of manpower problems. The Department of Labor is prepared to assist plant managers in setting up skill-improvement programs and apprenticeship training, according to the report. Greater utilization of workers, upgrading, job simplification, safety programs, and improvement of working conditions and worker morale are mentioned as essential.

Even though the spiral of inflation has been brought under control, the Director states, efforts must not be relaxed. Four methods are listed for dealing with the "inflationary gap," as follows: (1) assure a steady increase in production and in the total national output; (2) mop up purchasing power or prevent its increase by higher taxes, inducements to save, and restrictions on credit; (3) cut down nondefense public expenditures and private capital expenditures that are not required to achieve the increase in output that we need; and (4) hold the line by price and wage controls.

Recently initiated price control regulations have been subjected to a certain amount of adjustment, the Director said, but price-control policy must be both firm and flexible, making adjustments
promptly when the need for them is clear. However, it was his opinion that "an aimless upward drift of prices would serve no useful purpose whatever." Rent control was necessary, the Director added; "with so little vacant rental housing available, the stage is set for a sharp rise in rents in critical areas unless controls are continued and strengthened."

Like the President, the Director held that, in the face of danger of new aggressions, "we dare not slacken the pace of defense mobilization until the strength of the free world is sufficient to meet any attack."

[^13]
## ODM Manpower Policy Statements of August $1951^{1}$

Four major manpower policy statements were issued by the Director of Defense Mobilization in early August 1951. The President's National Manpower Mobilization Policy (see Monthly Labor Review, March 1951, p. 281), with respect to procurement and production scheduling, is implemented by one of these statements; another outlines a manpower program for the machine-tool industry. Both are numbered and took effect on August 2.

In addition, continuation of premium pay for time in excess of 40 hours a week and the maintenance of the 40 -hour week, in general, were advocated in two (unnumbered) policy statements issued on August 5.

Defense Manpower Policy Statement No. 1 is intended to insure consideration of industrial and agricultural manpower resources in defense program planning. It directs that the manpower factor be considered by all defense agencies engaged in or responsible for : Placement of defense contracts; operation of Government facilities and
installations; aiding industrial and economic expansion; priority and allocations functions; and the central programming of production for defense needs.

In the classification of labor shortage areas, no area will be designated as such "until there is, or is expected to be, a substantial participation of its labor force in defense or defense-supporting activities." To implement further the manpower policy, the Department of Labor's Defense Manpower Administration is instructed to provide information to agencies concerned as to existing and future area labor market conditions. It was also given the authority to consult with and advise defense agencies to insure that manpower factors are taken into consideration in any program actions. Similarly, to insure that unemployment resulting from material shortages and defense conversion is held to a minimum, the DMA was instructed to project employment and unemployment data so that corrective action could be taken.

Under these provisions of the policy, production and procurement agencies are to be informed on national manpower requirements as well as estimated requirements for major industrial segments. These agencies, in turn, were ordered, in assigning material allocations and procurement contracts, to take "special notice of conditions of high levels of current or expected unemployment in given areas" as reported by DMA.
Defense Manpower Policy statement No. 2, specifically directed toward increasing production in the machine-tool industry, instructs the U. S. Department of Labor's Defense Manpower Administration to (1) determine measures necessary to meet the manpower requirements of the industry by further job breakdown, up-grading of trained men, job standardization, on-the-job training, and programs to reduce absenteeism and turn-over; (2) conduct recruitment programs; (3) determine training requirements in the skills needed; and (4) act to identify and solve community problems affecting the industry's manpower supply, such as housing, transportation, and voluntary transfers of workers.

Development of policies relative to deferments, training of workers, and wage adjustments were assigned to various Government agencies. DMA, the Selective Service System, and the Department of Defense were ordered to establish deferment
policy for apprentices. The Selective Service was instructed to allow local draft boards to defer skilled machine operators where necessary. In the recall of reservists, the Defense Department was directed to give special consideration to the skilled manpower needs of the industry. The Federal Security Agency's responsibility is extended to the developing of a training program designed to meet requirements certified by the DMA, and the Wage Stabilization Board is required to consider the possibility of wage adjustments in the industry.

Continuation of the policy of premium pay for overtime, as provided for in the Fair Labor Standards and Public Contracts Acts, was recommended by the ODM Director on August 5. He said that worker morale would be hurt by suspension of overtime pay, with adverse effect upon productivity. The policy statement further indicates that, additional costs incurred as a result of overtime pay may be offset by the economies in maximum machine operation and reduction of overhead costs, and by the utilization of experienced employees instead of new workers.
A second policy statement, issued as a guide to all establishments working on defense production, advocated a maximum 8 -hour day and a 40 -hour week, in general, as the best for efficient production. While an extension of hours will increase output to some extent, 48 hours was regarded as the maximum for maintaining efficiency.
${ }^{1}$ Source: Federal Register, vol. 16, No. 149, Aug. 2, 1951, pp.
7567 and 7577 ; and ODM release No. 50 , Aug. 5, 1951 .

## Defense Production Act Amendments of 1951

Substantial limitations on the Government's power to control prices and consumer credit constituted the most significant change in the Defense Production Act Amendments of 1951 (Public Law 96), which became effective on August 1 and is to continue through June 30, 1952. ${ }^{1}$ These limitations led the President to sign the bill "reluctantly" and only because other "powers necessary for carrying out the defense program would have expired."

The new act extended most of the defense mobilization and anti-inflation controls which had been previously authorized. While restricting some of these controls, it strengthened others, especially those which aid small business. Under the new act, rents will be permitted to rise in areas now under Federal control. No new powers were added, although the President had requested several.

Except for the controls removed from compensation paid to persons employed in specified capacities in the medical and legal professions and to barbers and beauticians, no significant changes were made affecting wage stabilization.
In his statement issued upon signing the act, the President stated that the production and rent controls were "relatively adequate" but characterized the provisions on inflation control as "gravely deficient." He promised that he would soon "urge the Congress to revise and strengthen this law, point by point, to give us the tools we need to fight inflation." The President also stated that "to the extent that this act permits prices and the cost of living to rise, it will be necessary to allow reasonable adjustments in wages."

When the President originally requested extension of the act, on April 26, he asked that it be strengthened by a number of new and wider control powers. Among the requests which the Congress refused to grant were the power to build and operate defense plants, where necessary, for producing essential materials and equipment; the power to control business rents; the regulation of speculative trading on commodity exchanges; and a simplified method of computing parity on agricultural commodities.

Probably the outstanding change in the law was adoption of the so-called Capehart amendment which limits price rollbacks and liberalizes the manner of calculating ceiling prices. Previously, in establishing ceiling prices, the Office of Price Stabilization had generally considered increases beyond the pre-Korean base period for only direct and ascertainable material and labor costs, but not for indirect costs. The amendment now broadens the concept of cost to include "material, indirect and direct labor, factory, selling, advertising, office, and all other production, distribution, transportation, and administration costs, except such as the President may determine to be unreasonable and excessive."

Rollbacks on nonagricultural commodities or services cannot fall below the lower of the price prevailing on the date of issuance or in the period January 25 to February 24, 1951. Further, they must either be based upon the highest price received between January 1 and June 24, 1950, plus all subsequent cost changes through July 26, 1951, or else be established under regulations issued prior to passage of the amendment.

In any event, every manufacturer, processor, or service seller subject to a ceiling price must on application be granted an increase in his ceiling price for any increases in costs from the time he received his highest price during the period January 25, 1950, to July 26, 1951.

Ceilings on agricultural products, including livestock, cannot be below 90 percent of the price received by producers on May 19, 1951, under the new regulation. Thus, the first 10 -percent rollback in beef prices was permitted to stand, but the further reductions which the Office of Price Stabilization had announced for later months were prohibited. The use of livestock slaughtering quotas, an administrative device to prevent black markets, was forbidden, even though the requirement that slaughterers must be registered with the Office of Price Stabilization remains in effect.

Another restriction on the power to control prices, the so-called Herlong amendment, requires that wholesalers and retailers must be allowed in the future the same customary percentage margins as they received in the May 24-June 24, 1950, period. In several cases in the past, the OPS had required that increases granted to manufacturers be "passed-through" by the wholesaler and retailer to the ultimate consumer, without the usual pyramiding of costs based on maintenance of percentage mark-up.

Further relaxing of the inflation-control machinery was a limiting of the powers over consumer credit which are exercised by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System. Regulation "W" was continued, but down-payment requirements were liberalized and the allowable term of credit was lengthened. The minimum down payment on appliances, which had been set by the board at 25 percent, was reduced to 15 percent. Other down payments- $331 / 3$ percent on automobiles, 15 percent on furniture, and 10 percent on home improvement-were left unchanged. In addition, trade-in allowances on automobiles,
household furniture, and appliances are considered as part of the down payment, whereas previously this practice had been permitted only for automobiles. The maximum maturities on these consumer hard goods was increased to 18 months, as against 15 months previously; the time limit on home improvement loans was raised 6 months to a total of 36 months.
Rent control was continued, with authority widened to include more areas. However, a new formula was adopted which authorized an increase of 20 percent over June 30, 1947, levels (plus any increases which may be allowed on the basis of additional services or improvements) in those areas which were under Federal control as of the date of the act. A large part of this increase has already taken place as a result of various local adjustments.

Under the amended law, all housing accommodations in places certified by the Secretary of Defense and the Director of Defense Mobilization as "critical defense housing areas" are made subject to Federal rent controls with the rents prevailing in the period between May 24 to June 24, 1950, to be given "due consideration." This provision of the act will permit rollbacks in a number of instances. The new legislation also requires that credit restrictions on housing in these areas be relaxed so as to encourage new building; this was already being done by administrative regulation.

Also subject to Federal rent controls are States and local areas which declare that the housing shortage justifies such action, as well as the 240 "defense rental areas," with some 6.7 million rental units, still under control under the old act.
A Small Defense Plants Administration as an independent agency under the direction and supervision of the President is established by the act. This Administration is authorized to recommend to the Reconstruction Finance Corporation loans enabling small businesses to get defense contracts; to subcontract with small concerns for supplying the Government with materials; and to furnish such firms with technical and other assistance. To assist in expanding output, differential subsidies are permitted on domestically produced raw materials other than agricultural commodities.
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## Federal Law on Migratory Labor, 1951

Recrutiment of agricultural workers from Mexico to supplement domestic labor resources and establishment of a systematic procedure to protect and implement such labor are the purposes of the amendment to the Agricultural Act of 1949, approved by the President on July 12, 1951 (82d Cong., 1st sess., Public Law 78). Following approval of the act, a new agreement between the United States and Mexico to begin recruiting farm workers was consummated and became effective August 11, 1951.

Designed to improve the migratory labor situation in this country, this law authorizes the Government to determine which areas require additional labor for the growing and harvesting of consumption crops. Definite conditions, guaranteeing that the wages and working conditions of domestic labor are not violated, are imposed as a basis for certification from such areas.

The prevailing problem, created by migratory workers, has received attention from various interests in recent times. The President's Commission on Migratory Labor investigated the situation and its findings, ${ }^{1}$ issued earlier this year, further stimulated concern for the problem.

In his message to congress approving the law, the President stated that "if promptly followed by the needed measures, this act can be the first step toward a comprehensive program to bring badly needed improvement in the living and working conditions of migratory farm workers, both foreign and domestic." He proposed greater sanctions than the present law provides and more administrative machinery whereby harboring an illegal entrant would be a punishable offense and inspecting employment areas without warrants would be permissible. Further, the President said that the law scarcely considers "the steady stream of illegal immigrants from Mexico, the so-called 'wetbacks'" whose presence "has a serious depressing effect on wages and working conditions in farm areas throughout the Southwest."

Organized labor, meanwhile, urged the President to veto the bill on the grounds that "wetback" labor would contribute toward the continuation of substandard working conditions.

The amendment is aimed at correcting many
of the conditions under which Mexican farmers were employed in this country. Under its provisions protective and financial services are afforded aliens by assuring transportation from recruitment areas outside of the United States to adequate reception centers where they are housed, supplied emergency medical care, and assisted in negotiating contracts with American employers. The worker is free to refuse employment and select the type of work he desires. Moreover, he is exempt from social security and income taxes and from the head tax levied under the Immigration Act of 1917.

In addition, the Government, in order to maintain satisfactory agreements with Mexico for the recruitment of farm workers, is authorized to guarantee the employer's performance of contract provisions. For this reason, the amendment re-
quires employers, by agreement, to reimburse the Government for subsistence and transportation expenses incurred, before farm workers will be made available to them.

What constitutes workers' eligibility for employment is clearly defined in the new law. If they are recruited and are not citizens of the United States, their admittance will be subject to immigration laws. Illegal entrants are permitted to remain and become available for employment if they have resided in the United States for the preceding 5 years and if the Mexican Government grants approval.

Recruitment of migratory labor under this act will be terminated December 31, 1953.
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## General Wage Regulations 13-15; Ceiling Price Regulations, 55-66 ${ }^{1}$

Three new regulations regarding fringe benefits, bonus payments, and incentive and piece rates, together with amendments to existing regulations comprised action during July 1951 by the Wage Stabilization Board. In the field of price stabilization little activity occurred until July 25, when the Office of Price Stabilization issued its first regulation since June 29. On July 30, however, a day prior to the expiration of the extension provisions of the Defense Production Act of 1950, the OPS adopted 11 new regulations covering numerous commodities at various levels of distribution. On the same day, several amendments to regulations previously in effect, providing for price rollbacks or price increases, were also approved.

## Wage Regulations

Certain fringe benefits which do not exceed the prevailing industry or area practice, as to either amount or type, were approved by the Wage Stabilization Board on July 19, 1951. The regulation, GWR 13, was adopted in a 9 to 3 vote (with 3 of the 4 industry members dissenting). It covers paid vacations; paid holidays; premium pay rel-
ative to days and hours of work; shift differentials; and call-in pay. These benefits will not be offset against the 10 -percent increase permissible under GWR 6. Petitions covering any of the above fringe benefits must be approved by the Board.

Payments which are customarily made only once or twice a year, such as a profit-sharing bonus or a Christmas bonus, were approved by the Board when it unanimously adopted GWR 14 on July 24. The regulation does not apply to overtime or productivity bonuses or those paid more frequently than every 3 months. In order to be approved, the plan must have been in operation since January 25,1949 , or contained in a written collective-bargaining agreement executed on or before January 25,1951 , or communicated in writing to employees on or before January 25, 1951. On August 7, the Board amended the regulation to permit an employer without a definite bonus plan to pay annual bonuses to new employees.

WSB adoption, on July 27, of GWR 15 revises the previously enacted GWR 5 which covers merit and length-of-service increases, promotions and transfers, changes in rates of pay for apprentices, hiring rates, rates for new and changed jobs, and other auxiliary pay practices. The new regulation incorporates that part of GWR 5 applicable to in-
centives and piece rates. It broadens the scope of the original order to include the day-to-day administration of piece and incentive rates.
Pending adoption of further policy on escalator clauses, the Board amended GWR 8 on July 31, to provide for extension beyond its scheduled expiration date of July 31 .

## Price Regulations

A special method enabling processor-wholesalers and processor-retailers to determine ceiling prices for canned green peas of the 1951 pack was established by CPR 55 , dated July 25 and effective August 5 (extended to August 20 by Amendment 1).

On July 30 , the OPS issued 11 regulations, some designed to roll back prices while others provide for price increases. These follow in numerical order.

CPR 56, effective July 31 (amended to August 10), established a method for calculating ceiling prices for all canned and processed fruits and berries. Initially it applies to light and dark sweet cherries, red sour pitted cherries, and apricots of the 1951 pack. The regulation is designed to take into consideration cost increases incurred since 1949.

Dollars-and-cents ceilings based on prices in effect during January 1951 on three standard types of antifreeze, and applicable to retail sales and sales to retail dealers, were outlined in CPR 57 effective August 6. For all other sales, ceiling prices are to be determined by applying to the ceilings the same percentage discounts or price differentials in effect from April 1 to December 1, 1950.

Fourteen major grades of reclaimed rubber were placed under dollars-and-cents ceilings by CPR 58 , effective August 6. It also provides means for pricing other grades of reclaimed rubber and grades custom reclaiming, master batching, and custom master batching.

Scrap tires, tire parts, and scrap tubes were placed under dollars-and-cents ceiling by CPR 59, effective August 6. It applies to sales to (1) wholesale scrap rubber dealers, (2) consumers, and (3) exporters and importers. In addition, all other kinds of scrap rubber sold to consumers or to exporters and importers were set under ceilings based on prices in effect between November 15 and December 31, 1950. The new ceilings represent a
rollback below ceiling prices existing under the General Ceiling Price Regulation.

Sales by producers of metal castings were placed under ceilings by CPR 60, effective September 1, 1951, or any earlier date between August 1 and September 1, 1951, that the seller may select. In general, ceilings are determined on the basis of selling price of castings on January 25, 1951, with adjustments reflecting changes in costs of metals between that date and July 31, 1951. Castings covered in the regulation include die, gray iron, high alloy steel, malleable iron, manganese steel, nonferrous, railroad specialty, and carbon or low alloy steel castings.

An export price control regulation, CPR 61, effective on any date after filing requirements have been met, up to August 6, 1951 (extended to August 26), limits export sales to the domestic ceiling price plus exportation costs and plus the same percentage mark-up in effect between January 1, 1949, and June 30, 1950.

Sales by manufacturers of private brand tires and tubes were placed under ceilings by CPR 62, effective August 1, 1951. Ceilings are to be based on cost plus the fixed mark-up in effect between the period January 1 and June 30, 1950.

Wholesale sales of stock lubricating oils, industrial lubricating oils, waxes, petrolatums, and other petroleum products were placed under ceiling regulations by CPR 63, effective August 6. It permits sellers whose purchase costs of components and containers rose more than 5 percent between June 1, 1950, and March 15, 1951, to adjust ceilings to reflect these increases.

Prices for rental of tires and tubes from suppliers, at base rates charged between December 19, 1950, and January 25, 1951, with adjustments to reflect changes in cost of raw materials (rubber, rayon, and cotton), were frozen by CPR 64 , effective August 6.

Specific dollars-and-cents ceiling prices for sales of canned salmon by canners were outlined in CPR 65, effective August 8. The new regulation establishes prices at which the bulk of 1949 pack was sold, plus increased unit costs which are reasonably common to all producers, such as canning, labor, raw fish, packing materials, and freight and warehousing.

Petroleum asphalt and asphalt products were placed under a formula-type ceiling, effective August 6 , by CPR 66, which provides for the utili-
zation of a base period extending from August 1, 1950, to January 25, 1951, in determining ceiling prices. It covers all sales and deliveries of the products at various levels of distribution.

## Amendments to CPR's

In addition to the new regulations outlined above, the OPS issued on July 30 and July 31 several amendments to existing regulations that will result in some rollbacks and some price increases.

Among these was the revocation of General Overriding Regulation 13 which froze price ceilings as of June 30 during the extension of the Defense Production Act of 1950 and covered six manufacturers' ceiling regulations (see Monthly Labor Review, August 1951, p. 164). The amendment set August 13 as the deadline (clothing excepted; effective August 15) when manufacturers of shoes, machinery, cotton textiles, wool yarns and fabrics, and miscellaneous manufactured goods, must start pricing under the pre-Korean price-plus-cost formula. Provisions covering any adjustments in these manufacturing regulations, as required by the amendments to the Defense Production Act signed by the President on July 31, will be issued in the near future, according to the OPS.

On August 7, OPS postponed indefinitely the effective date of the 6 manufacturing regulations pending further study of the new controls law.
In Amendment 4 to CPR 24, the OPS in conformity with the Defense Production Act Amendments of 1951 relative to rollbacks on agricultural commodities, canceled the $41 / 2$-percent rollback of wholesale beef prices scheduled August 1. The OPS canceled the livestock slaughter quota, also scheduled to go into effect on August 1, in order to conform to the new control act which prohibits the imposition of quotas on livestock slaughter.

Increases in retail prices of roasts and chops derived from pork loins weighing 16 pounds or less were authorized by OPS in a supplementary regulation (No. 47) to the General Ceiling Price Regulation. This new regulation establishes uniform dollars-and-cents ceiling on this product, and was issued, according to OPS, because prices of light-weight hogs had increased substantially while pork loin prices have been frozen at January levels.
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## Budget for an Elderly Couple; Estimated Cost, October 1950 ${ }^{1}$

A modest budget for elderly couples, approximately 65 years of age and living in large cities, is estimated to cost from $\$ 1,602$ in New Orleans (La.) to $\$ 1,908$ in Milwaukee (Wis.) at October 1950 price levels. ${ }^{2}$ Costs in nearly half of the 34 cities for which budget estimates were prepared were between $\$ 1,700$ and $\$ 1,800$. Intercity differences in the cost of this budget were due mainly to the variation in cost of comparable housing which was lowest ( $\$ 436$ ) in New Orleans and highest (\$705) in Milwaukee.

Excluding housing, costs of goods and services varied less than $\$ 150$ between cities and ranged
from $\$ 1,126$ in Savannah (Ga.) to $\$ 1,269$ in Seattle (Wash.). This combined group includes representative items of food, clothing, housefurnishings, household operation (other than fuel, light, refrigeration, and water), transportation, medical care, personal care, reading, recreation, tobacco, and gifts and contributions.

## Level of Living-Elderly Couple's Budget

The "budget family" consists of a husband and wife approximately 65 years old, who maintain their own two- or three-room rented dwelling and who are able to get about and take care of themselves. The husband is retired or has only occasional employment. The family does not own an automobile. Such a family is typical of many
of those now receiving old-age retirement benefits and many potentially eligible for or actually receiving old-age assistance.

The elderly couple's budget was designed to represent a level of living which provides the goods and services necessary to maintain health and allow normal participation in community life, in accordance with current American standards. Social and conventional as well as physiological needs are taken into account. The level of living described is not luxurious but is sufficiently adequate to provide for more than the basic essentials of consumption. The budget is not suggested as a spending plan for an individual family. It provides for the exercise of individual choice both within and between major categories; but increased expenditures in one category can only be compensated by sacrificing other items. Omission of certain items or groups of items will, of course, result in a lower level of living than that represented by the budget.

## October 1950 Costs, 34 Cities

Estimated annual dollar costs and relative costs of the total budget, housing, and other goods and services for October 1950 are shown for 34 cities in table 1.

Among the 34 cities for which October 1950 estimates of the cost of goods and services were prepared for budgets for both an elderly couple and a four-person urban family, the elderly couple's budget cost from 48.3 to 55.8 percent of that for the larger family. ${ }^{3}$ Previous analysis of the income and expenditures of families of varying sizes showed that, to obtain an equivalent level of living, two-person families, on the average, required about 65 percent of the amount spent by four-person families. ${ }^{4}$ This estimate is based on all types of two-person families-young couples, middle-aged childless couples, and broken families as well as elderly couples.
Relative requirements, of course, will vary for each of these family types. Elderly couples usually have a larger inventory of furniture, household appliances, and equipment, than younger couples, hence their current needs are relatively smaller. This comparison of the average requirements for all two-person families combined and of elderly couples indicates that elderly couples gen-
erally require less income to achieve comparable levels of living.

Table 1.- Dollar and relative costs of elderly couple's total budget, housing, and other goods and services, 34 cities, October 1950

| City and State | Dollar costs |  |  | Relative differences (Washington, D. C. $=100$ ) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total budget | Housing 1 | Other goods and services | Total budget | Housing 1 | Other goods and services |
| Atlanta, Ga | \$1,748 | \$582 | \$1,166 | 94 | 87 | 98 |
| Baltimore, Md | 1,779 | 603 | 1,176 | 95 | 90 | 99 |
| Birmingham, Ala | 1,772 | 607 | 1,165 | 95 | 90 | 98 |
| Boston, Mass | 1,880 | 640 | 1,240 | 101 | 95 | 104 |
| Buffalo, N. Y | 1,698 | 534 | 1,164 | 91 | 80 | 98 |
| Chicago, Hl | 1,818 | 578 | 1,240 | 98 | 86 | 104 |
| Cincinnati, Ohio | 1,650 | 485 | 1,165 | 89 | 72 | 98 |
| Cleveland, Ohio | 1,805 | 590 | 1,215 | 97 | 88 | 102 |
| Denver, Colo | 1,746 | 577 | 1,169 | 94 | 86 | 98 |
| Detroit, Mich | 1, 818 | 573 | 1,245 | 98 | 85 | 104 |
| Houston, Tex | 1,855 | 670 | 1,185 | 100 | 100 | 99 |
| Indianapolis, Ind. | 1,746 | 569 | 1,177 | 94 | 85 | 99 |
| Jacksonville, Fla | 1,795 | 621 | 1,174 | 96 | 93 | 98 |
| Kansas City, Mo | 1,687 | 507 | 1,180 | 91 | 76 | 99 |
| Los Angeles, Calif | 1,866 | 605 | 1,261 | 100 | 90 | 106 |
| Manchester, N. H | 1,737 | 550 | 1,187 | 93 | 82 | 100 |
| Memphis, Tenn | 1,726 | 563 | 1,163 | 93 | 84 | 98 |
| Milwaukee, Wis. | 1,908 | 705 | 1,203 | 102 | 105 | 101 |
| Minneapolis, Minn | 1,765 | 577 | 1,188 | 95 | 86 | 100 |
| Mobile, Ala_ | 1,620 | 475 | 1,145 | 87 | 71 | 96 |
| New Orleans, La | 1,602 | 436 | 1,166 | 86 | 65 | 98 |
| New York, N. Y | 1,782 | 543 | 1,239 | 96 | 81 | 104 |
| Norfolk, Va | 1,774 | 612 | 1,162 | 95 | 91 | 97 |
| Philadelphia, Pa | 1,783 | 587 | 1,196 | 96 | 87 | 100 |
| Pittsburgh, Pa | 1,767 | 554 | 1,213 | 95 | 83 | 102 |
| Portland, Maine | 1,733 | 548 | 1,185 | 93 | 82 | 99 |
| Portland, Oreg | 1,866 | 630 | 1,236 | 100 | 94 | 104 |
| Richmond, Va | 1,712 | 581 | 1,131 | 92 | 87 | 95 |
| St. Louis, Mo. | 1,711 | 527 | 1,184 | 92 | 79 | 99 |
| San Francisco, Calif. | 1,833 | 567 | 1,266 | 98 | 85 | 106 |
| Savannah, Ga | 1,658 | 532 | 1,126 | 89 | 79 | 94 |
| Scranton, Pa | 1,614 | 463 | 1,151 | 87 | 69 | 97 |
| Seattle, Wash | 1,852 | 583 | 1,269 | 99 | 87 | 106 |
| W ashington, D. C | 1,863 | 671 | 1,192 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

${ }^{1}$ Average rent paid in each city for tenant-occupied two- and three-room dwellings, built or converted before 1947, that conform to the housing standards specified for the budget, plus the cost of required amounts of heating fuel, gas, electricity and water.

## Changes in Budget Costs, 13 Cities 1947-50

In the 13 selected cities for which June 1947 costs were also calculated, the elderly couple's budget advanced in cost from 4 percent in Washington, D. C., to 34 percent in Houston (Tex.) between June 1947 and October 1950 (table 2). This large variation in the increases in cost of the budget was due chiefly to differences between cities in changes in housing costs. Excluding housing, the increase in cost of other goods and services from 1947 to 1950 ranged from 8 to 15 percent.

Housing costs, which are determined by local conditions more than are other goods and services,
vary considerably between cities at any one period of time. Moreover, during the postwar period the rate of change in housing costs has varied more between cities than the rate for most other budget categories. In Houston, for ex-

Table 2.-Cost of elderly couple's total budget, housing, and other goods and services, 13 cities, October 1950 and June 1947

| City and State | Total budget |  | Housing ${ }^{1}$ |  | Other goods and services |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { October } \\ 1950 \end{gathered}$ | June $1947{ }^{2}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { October } \\ 1950 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 1947 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { October } \\ 1950 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 1947^{2} \end{aligned}$ |
| Boston, Mass. | \$1,880 | \$1,657 | \$640 | \$578 | \$1,240 | \$1,079 |
| Chicago, Ill | 1,818 | 1,629 | 578 | 515 | 1,240 | 1,114 |
| Denver, Colo | 1,746 | 1,540 | 577 | 476 | 1,169 | 1,064 |
| Detroit, Mich |  | 1,656 1,384 | 573 670 | 508 355 | 1,245 | 1,148 1,029 |
| Indianapolis, Ind | 1,746 | 1,573 | 569 | 529 | 1,177 | 1,044 |
| Minneapolis, Minn | 1,765 | 1,498 | 577 | 440 | 1,188 | 1,058 |
| Mobile, Ala ---- | 1, 620 | 1,465 | 475 | 455 | 1,145 | 1, 010 |
| New York City, N . | 1,782 | 1,703 | 543 | 561 | 1,239 | 1,142 |
| Portland, Oreg.- | 1, 866 | 1,558 | 630 | 467 | 1, 236 | 1,091 |
| St. Louis, Mo- | 1, 711 | 1,553 | 527 | 493 | 1, 184 | 1,060 |
| San Francisco, Cali | 1,833 | 1,615 1,787 | 567 671 | 488 686 | 1, 1,192 | 1,127 1,101 |
| W ashington, D. C. | 1,863 | 1,787 | 671 | 686 | 1,192 | 1,101 |

${ }^{1}$ See footnote to table 1.
2 These cost figures are about $\$ 20$ higher than the costs published earlier in A Budget for an Elderly Couple, February 1948 Social Security Bulletin, Social Security Administration of the Federal Security Agency. June 1947 costs were recalculated to take account of a change in methodology.
ample, housing costs in the elderly couple's budget almost doubled between June 1947 and October 1950, reflecting the removal of rent control in October 1949. In New York and Washington, D. C., on the other hand, where rents were still controlled in 1950 , budget housing costs remained almost stable during this $31 / 4$-year period.

## -Eunice M. Knapp and Mary T. Cooperman <br> Division of Prices and Cost of Living

[^17]
## Fourth Session of the ILO Coal Mines Committee

Extensive interest in productivity, as evidenced by the discussions and actions taken, marked the Fourth Session of the ILO Coal Mines Committee meeting at Geneva, Switzerland, May 7 to 19, 1951. At the meeting attended by voting delegations from nine Member States and by observers from Japan, the German Federal Republic, and the Saar, resolutions relating to the following were adopted: Productivity; hours of work; age of admission to underground work; safety ; miners' pensions; the coordination of social security provisions affecting miners; and the request of the Miners' International Federation for a tripartite conference on international regulation of the coal industry. ${ }^{1}$

All nine countries participating-the United States, Belgium, Canada, France, India, the Netherlands, the United Kingdom, Turkey, and
the Union of South Africa-had tripartite delegations. ${ }^{2}$ Notably absent were representation from Poland and Czechoslovakia. Australia also did not send a delegation.

The World Federation of Trade Unions was represented by Henri Martel as an observer; he also served as one of the French workers' delegates. An observer was present for each of the following groups : the International Confederation of Free Trade Unions; the Miners' International Federation; the International Federation of Christian Trade Unions of Miners; and the International Federation of Commercial, Clerical, and Technical Employees. The United Nations sent to the meetings a representative of the Coal Division of the Economic Commission for Europe.

## Productivity Resolution

A general abandonment of opposition to technological improvement and the "saving of labor" was indicated by the productivity resolution. At
the same time, the resolution marked the growth of an attitude of group collaboration for maintaining adequate total production of coal and for improving standards of living. After setting forth the various considerations which led to its adoption, it contained eight major items which may be summarized as follows:
(1) Each country should, in accord with its own practice, assure to employees a just share of the benefits of productivity.
(2) The use of methods for increasing productivity should be accompanied by appropriate measures for increasing safety and improving health conditions. In that connection, the Governing Body was requested to arrange specifically for a tripartite conference on prevention of dust diseases.
(3) Joint employer-worker consultation was described as important in developing cooperation to increase productivity, and the Governing Body was invited to instruct the International Labor Office to carry out a study of different systems of joint consultation and of works committees.
(4) The Governing Body was invited to instruct the Office to follow the progress achieved in respect to average output, safety, etc., following the introduction of new methods, and to facilitate exchanges of views and international collaboration in the sphere of productivity.
(5) The Governing Body was invited to instruct the Office to study methods of technical and practical training of workers in the industry.
(6) The Committee approved certain proposals made by the Office regarding the need to seek greater comparability of basic data, both as to substance and as to form of presentation.
(7) A suggestion was made that the Office be instructed (a) to facilitate visits by tripartite national missions to study productivity in other countries, and (b) to organize an international tripartite mission to North America to study productivity with particular reference to the relationship between productivity and standards of living.
(8) Finally, certain points relating to productivity were suggested as suitable for inclusion on the agenda of the next session of the Coal Mines Committee. These included items 3 to 6 above, and tentatively, items 2 and $7(b)$.

## Working Conditions and Pensions

The long-standing dispute over the nonoperative 1935 Convention on Hours of Work was ended by Committee support of a recommendation embodying the principles of hours-of-work regulation in the United States, in industry at large as well as in coal mining. These principles include the basic straight-time 40-hour week and flexible working time with premium pay for overtime. Action in respect to age of admission to underground work imposed no prohibition above 16 years of age, but called for employment between 16 and 18 years only under public authorization as to the kinds and conditions of work and as to supervision and training. These resolutions are important steps in the direction of standards and practices prevailing in the United States.
Actions relating to miners' pensions and the coordination of social security arrangements were adopted virtually without opposition. These fields of social policy are now viewed increasingly as areas not so much of controversy as of need for technical and administrative competence.
A pension resolution invited the Governing Body to instruct the Office to examine the steps taken in coal-producing countries to provide adequate pensions, in the light of (1) the study which the Office submitted to the Third Session of the Committee and (2) later developments. The resolution also requested that the Office be directed to submit proposals on the subject to the Coal Mines Committee at its next session. The resolution was adopted unanimously with no abstentions.

A tripartite conference was proposed in a resolution on the coordination of social security provisions applying to European miners. The Miners' International Federation had submitted the question to the ILO; and the Governing Body's Committee on Industrial Committees, at its sitting in November 1950, recommended consultations with a view to holding such a conference. The Coal Mines Committee resolution stated that agreements for coordinating existing provisions are urgently needed; therefore, the Governing Body was invited to expedite consultations with a view to adoption of agreements. These international agreements, the resolution stated, should guarantee to miners the old-age insurance benefits
acquired by them, based on the number of years worked in each country, without regard to their nationality and the country in which they had worked.

The Coal Mines Committee, the oldest of the ILO's industry committees, has had an important part in shaping the activities of these committees. In its own distinctive field of work, it has aided the basic and vital coal industry in surmounting its many postwar obstacles, in a manner consistent with the improvement of labor standards. It has served in part as a preliminary technical conference to deal with proposals for formal action, as in the hours of work convention and in the formulation of safety codes. It has facilitated interna-
tional understanding and action in one of the most important of all industries simply by serving as an advisory group of industry specialists. Its recommendations, reached by agreement and brought quickly to the attention of the Governments, the employers, and the unions of the Member States, have found wide acceptance.

[^18]"We think the journeymen made a mistake in proceeding of themselves to fix a new and advanced scale of prices and then asking the employers to accede to it. They ought to have asked the employers to unite with them in revising the scale and adapting it to the existing state of things, and should have been prepared with statistics to show that the money value of labor has so changed as to render such revision just and proper."
-Horace Greeley, in The New York Tribune, 1853, quoted by N. W. Chamberlain in Collective Bargaining, 1951.

## Technical Note

## Estimating a Budget for an Elderly Couple

Prices of about 70 items were used to obtain the October 1950 cost estimates of an elderly couple's budget, described in the article on page 304. The March 1946 and June 1947 cost estimates of the elderly couple's budget in 13 large cities were based on prices of approximately 170 items and rental data for 2 - and 3 -room units meeting specified standards.

Essentially the same items were priced in the October 1950 budget as in the earlier budgets, for all categories except food and clothing. A few minor changes were made, however, substituting related items for items no longer priced by the Bureau. In such cases, quantity weights were adjusted according to the relationship between prices of the old and the new item during the most recent period when both items were priced; that is, in substituting a more expensive higher-quality item for a lower-quality item, the item quantity weight was decreased accordingly.

Food costs were estimated by using 49.5 percent of the cost of food in the city worker's family budget for four persons, and clothing costs were estimated at 23.2 percent. These percentages were based on the relationship between the two budgets in earlier years, which was found to be comparatively stable. In the 13 individual cities in June 1947, food costs for elderly couples ranged from 48.8 to 49.6 percent of the cost of food for the four-person family, and clothing costs ranged from 22.4 to 24.2 percent. A special analysis in 10 cities for October 1949 revealed ratios for food ranging from 49.1 to 49.9 percent. Accordingly, the average ratios mentioned above were used to estimate October 1950 costs of these two groups of items in the elderly couple's budget.

Although cost ratios for individual cities devi-
ate only slightly from the average ratio for all cities combined, use of the average does cause some distortion in intercity comparisons of the estimated costs of these subgroups. In addition, since the estimates for food and clothing in the elderly couple's budget are based on costs for the fourperson family, they are subject to the same types of error inherent in the latter method of estimation. The October 1950 estimates of the city worker's family budget were based on a shortcut procedure designed to yield reliable estimates of the total cost of the budget rather than of the separate categories of items. ${ }^{1}$ Notwithstanding that only 58 items were priced, tests have indicated there was no consistent upward or downward bias in the cost estimates. However, the method of estimation used does not yield reliable enough totals for each separate category of items to warrant publication of these subtotals.

Housing costs, on the other hand, were computed by the same method used in the earlier estimates. They were based on rental data obtained by the Bureau of Labor Statistics during the last quarter of 1949 and the first quarter of 1950 in comprehensive dwelling unit surveys conducted in each of the 34 cities. The level of housing costs at the time of the dwelling unit survey was adjusted to October 1950 levels by applying the appropriate percentage change in the rent component of the Consumers' Price Index for each city.

The housing costs of the elderly couple's budget are based on 2 - and 3 -room unfurnished dwelling units built or converted into dwellings before 1947 and meeting the same standards included in the city worker's family budget for four persons. The dwelling unit must include kitchen with sink and installed stove; hot and cold running water; complete private bath including wash bowl, flush toilet, and tub or shower; electricity for lighting; and installed heating, either central or other type, such as base burner, pipeless furnace, or stoves,
depending upon the climate of the specific city. (Central heating was generally required in cities where the normal January temperature is $40^{\circ}$ F. or colder, and central or other installed heating for cities with warmer climates.) Dwellings were excluded (1) if deficiencies in physical construction rendered them inadequate or unsafe as shelter; (2) if located more than 10 blocks from public transportation, or adjacent to a refuse dump, or to more than one of the following : railroad or elevated tracks, noisy or smoky industrial installations, main traffic arteries, intercity truck routes; and (3) if above the standard because of luxury features.

The extent to which all or some utilities are included in contract rent varies considerably between dwellings, both within a city and between cities. Therefore the average contract rent for budget units was adjusted to include the cost of utilities when these items of expense were paid for separately by the tenant.

## -Eunice M. Knapp and Mary T. Cooperman Division of Prices and Cost of Living

[^19]Some $3,461,000$ persons 65 years of age and over in the United States had no money income during 1949, according to Census estimates. A third of the $7,807,000$ with some money income received less than $\$ 500$. Of the $3,461,000$ with no income, 639,000 were men and $2,822,000$ were women. Three fifths of the men and over four-fifths of the women having money income received less than $\$ 1,000$ during the year. Nearly 30 percent of the men but only around 5 percent of the women had incomes of $\$ 2,000$ or more. Approximately 16 percent of the men and nearly 2 percent of the women received $\$ 3,000$ or more during the year. Median incomes in 1949 for persons aged 65 or over amounted to $\$ 516$ for women and $\$ 1,016$ for men.
-U. S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Current Population ReportsConsumer Income, Series P-60, No. 7: Income of Families and Persons in the United States, 1949. Washington, 1951.

## Recent Decisions

 of Interest to LaborWages and Hours ${ }^{2}$

Picking Shrimp and Shucking Oysters Exempt Under FLSA. An action was brought by two canning companies, under the Declaratory Judgments Act, ${ }^{3}$ to find out whether their employees who were engaged in picking shrimp and shucking oysters came within section 13 (a) (5) of the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938, as amended. A United States court of appeals held ${ }^{4}$ that they came within that section and were thereby exempted from the minimum-wage and overtime requirements of the act. The court said it had "no doubt" that a justifiable controversy existed and that "delay in adjudicating this controversy might be financially disastrous to many small operators in the shrimp and oyster industry."

Section 13 (a) (5), as amended, exempts from the minimum-wage and maximum-hour provisions of the FLSA, the court stated, any employee engaged in "the catching . . . [or] cultivating . . . of any kind of fish, shellfish, . . . or other aquatic forms of animal and vegetable life, including . . . packing of such products for shipment, . . . processing (other than canning), marketing, freezing, curing, storing, or distributing the above products or byproducts thereof." The court pointed out that under the record before it, the employees were not engaged in canning and did not work in the canning room, and that their work was often done with no intention on the part of the company to can the oysters or shrimp, hence, it held them exempt from the wage-and-hour provisions of the act.

A dissenting opinion by Circuit Judge Rives stated his belief that the definition of "canning" should also include the necessary preparation of the food, and that the court's decision narrowed the definition to a very limited meaning. Judge Rives also thought there was no real controversy and that the court should not have accepted the case, since it was a "friendly suit with no antagonistic assertion of rights." The fact that these employees are exempt, he said, is "relatively unimportant compared to the danger of the precedent set when we take jurisdiction of a case that involves no actual controversy."

## Labor Relations

Union's Concerted Activity Restricted. Again the National Labor Relations Board has narrowed the scope of concerted activity that a union may engage in "for the
purpose of collective bargaining or other mutual aid or protection," as provided in section 7 of the National Labor Relations Act, as amended by the Labor Management Relations (Taft-Hartley) Act. With Member Murdock dissenting, the Board ruled ${ }^{5}$ that a union's distribution of a handbill which disparaged the employer's product, without disclosing that it was the sponsor or that a labor dispute was in progress, left the responsible participants subject to lawful discharge under the LMRA. This limitation on "concerted activity," Member Murdock found "startling," and further, "one of the most important decisions dealing with that subject which the Board has ever issued."

On or before January 1949, the union of technical employees at the Jefferson Standard Broadcasting Co. in Charlotte, N. C., began negotiating for a new contract. By July of that year, an impasse had been reached. Picketing produced little effect for the union, so it decided to "get tough," and published a handbill criticizing the television programs presented by the company. The handbill read as follows:

## Is Charlotte a Second-Class City?

You might think so from the kind of television programs being presented by the Jefferson Standard Broadcasting Co. over WBTV . . . Did you know that all the programs presented over WBTV are on film and may be from 1 day to 5 years old. There are no local programs presented by WBTV . . Why doesn't the Jefferson Standard Broadcasting Co. purchase the needed equipment to bring you the same type of programs enjoyed by other leading American cities? Could it be that they consider Charlotte a second-class community and only entitled to the pictures now being presented to them. WBT Technicians.
This handbill was widely distributed, not only on the picket line, but elsewhere throughout the city. Its effect was widespread dissatisfaction with the TV programs on the part of the public and a loss of advertising revenue for the company. The employees undertook to alienate their employer's customers by impugning the technical quality of his product, according to the NLRB.

In finding these activities unprotected by the LMRA, the Board stated that such tactics "were hardly less indefensible than acts of physical sabotage." It found that the ultimate purpose of the handbill-to extract a concession from the employer-although lawful, was undisclosed, since the employees, speaking as experts, did not indicate to the public that they were in reality speaking for their own benefit in a labor dispute with their employer.

For these reasons, the Board stated, "without attempting to formulate a test which will decide every imaginable case involving similar questions as to the scope of section 7, we hold that the employees in this case went beyond the pale when they published the 'second-class' handbill." A footnote to the ruling pointed out that the Board did not attempt to decide the question of whether the union tactics involved would have been condemned had they been offered in a "conventional appeal for support of the union in a labor dispute."

Member Murdock, in his dissent, made the following arguments: (1) the statements in the handbill were sub-
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stantially accurate; (2) the "means" used in the dispute were not unlawful; (3) the "object" was not unlawful and in fact would stimulate public demand for better programs; (4) according to the Board's decision in the Hoover Co. case, ${ }^{\text {e }}$ it is "not free . . . to measure concerted activity in terms of whether the conduct is wise or fair, or satisfies standards which we [the Board] think de-sirable"-but the majority of the Board in the present case imposed its standards of fairness and therefore did not follow its own past decisions; (5) the present decision might be an unfortunate precedent, since, under such a ruling, if employees of a defense plant disclose that their employer's product is defective, the employer can discharge them for disparaging his product, and, "consistent with today's decision, this Board would have to find that the concerted activity was not protected because the employees impugned the technical quality of the employer's product."

Contract Signed Before Non-Communist Affidavit a Bar to Election. In an unusual procedure, the NLRB granted a reargument and reversed its former opinion on the 1949 agreement between the United Automobile Workers (CIO) and the Ford Motor Co. On July 11, 1951, the Board had held ${ }^{7}$ that the contract did not bar a petition for a representation election, basing its decision on the Highland Park case decided by the United States Supreme Court. The Board ruled ${ }^{8}$ on August 3, 1951, however, that the 1949 contract would continue to act as a bar to such a petition.

On June 20, 1951, after the Supreme Court had handed down its Highland Park decision, the International Blacksmiths, Forgers, and Helpers (AFL) filed a petition with the Board, seeking a representation election at the Ford plant in Canton, Ohio. The company and the CIO local argued that their contract of September 28, 1949, was a bar to such an election. The AFL union contended that the parent federation (CIO), at the time of the unionauthorization election, had not complied with the filing requirements of LMRA; therefore, the contract could not act as a bar to a rival union's petition, because the unionsecurity clause had not been properly authorized by the Board.

In the Highland Park case, the Supreme Court overruled the Board's decision that the CIO was a federation and held " that it came within the term "national or international labor organization." Therefore, the court held, the Board lacked authority to act in cases during the period in which national CIO officers had failed to sign the non-Communist affidavits. The Board had, of course, required that officers of the locals seeking the Board's jurisdiction comply with the act. It had authorized under its own interpretation of the act over 4,000 union-shop elections, before the officers of the CIO had filed non-Communist affidavits.
The Board first thought that since all these union-shop agreements were unauthorized, they could not, under the Board's own rules, bar elections sought by other unions. On a rehearing, however, the Board changed its mind. "We are now convinced," it stated, "that our earlier de-
cision did not give enough weight to fundamental equitable principles established by the courts in comparable situations, which show a clear disposition to protect and save affirmative action taken in reliance upon erroneous administrative assurance or upon interpretation of a statute later judicially declared to have been incorrect."
Before the Highland Park decision, it was clear, said the Board, that the contract would be a bar. "We now know, however," the Board added, ". . . that the Highland Park decision means that the Board's action in entertaining the 9 (e) proceeding [union-shop agreement] and issuing the certificate of November 3, 1949, was unauthorized." The question for reconsideration, the Board stated, was the effect of the Highland Park decision on the question whether in the instant case the contract was a bar to the representation proceeding.

First of all, the Board pointed out, the contract-bar rule was "not compelled by the act or by judicial decision thereunder." Rather it was an administrative device used in the Board's discretion for maintaining "stability of collective-bargaining relationships." Secondly, the Board stated, it had, in its discretion, provided an exception to this contract-bar rule [in 1948] in the Hager Hinge case, ${ }^{10}$ by refusing to permit a contract containing an illegal union-security clause to operate as a bar. The exception had been applied to two types of cases, the Board noted: "(1) Where the clause failed to incorporate the essential provisions of the act or went beyond the kind of union security permitted by the act; and (2) where the statutory procedures had not been followed and the proper authorization [had not been] secured under section 9 (e)."

But, in the instant (Ford Motor) case the Hager Hinge exception could not be applied, as the company and the CIO local had followed the procedures of the act, and had "incorporated the essential provisions of the act" in their contract. They had relied on the Board, the sole agency authorized to make initial determinations; and to hold their contract no bar would not only be "harsh and inequitable," but would also disturb collective-bargaining stability. Therefore, the Board concluded, since the Highland Park case did not require them to rule otherwise, the 1949 contract would bar the rival union's request for an election.

Board Members Murdock, Houston, and Styles made up the majority, but Member Reynolds dissented. (Chairman Herzog was absent.) Member Reynolds stressed the fact that a principal reason for the Hager Hinge exception to the contract-bar doctrine was "the justifiable belief that contracts with unlawful union-security clauses exercise an unlawful coercive effect on those employees who do not choose to support the union." This seemed to the dissenting member, the "decisive factor." He did not consider the contract entered into when the Board had no authority to act as having "any validity," because under that contract a minority might have to support a union even against its wishes.

Threat of Force Banned on Picket Line. A United States Court of Appeals refused to enforce an NLRB order (1) to cease and desist from discouraging membership in or
discrimination against a union, (2) to offer immediate reinstatement and back pay to 45 workers, and (3) to post notices in the plant for 30 days. The court held ${ }^{11}$ that striking employees were not entitled to reinstatement and back pay when they had refused to allow nonstriking employees to enter the plant and when delivery of property to the plant had been impeded.

The company involved in the dispute operated a plant in Freeport, Ill., which manufactured medicines, insecticides, food products, and poultry preparations. During October 1947, the union and the company met several times to negotiate a contract, but failed to reach agreement on the check-off provision and a proposed wage increase. On October 31, 1947, a strike was called. The trial examiner and the Board found that the strike in its inception was economic, but that later it was converted into an "unfair labor practice" strike. It was conceded that the union had not complied with the nonCommunist affidavit provision of the LMRA.

A picket line was established during the strike, which only those workers with cards signed by union officials could cross. Nonstriking workers were kept from crossing the picket line by the pickets, who marched closely together in a circle "breast to back." Workers trying to enter the plant were "elbowed", therefore they retreated before any serious violence occurred. The trial examiner had found, however, that in the above incident no real physical attempt had been made to cross the picket lines, but the court held that the picket line in front of the gate was, at least partially, a threat of force.

The Board argued that the court should refuse review and should enforce the NLRB order, because: (1) even though the union had not complied with the act's nonCommunist provisions at the time of the strike, it had complied before the Board issued its order; (2), the Board thought that the company had condoned the pickets' alleged wrongs by offering to reinstate all of them and its complaints were, therefore, based on discriminatory grounds; and (3) although the Board found some misconduct on the pickets' part, the strike activities considered as a whole were legitimate.

The court decided that (1) since the case had been filed by the NLRB in the name of individuals and not in the name of the union, and (2) since the company was, at the time of the strike, under no obligation to bargain collectively with the union because it had not signed the non-Communist affidavits, the Board's order and the posted notices should exclude the union's name.
The court found no condonation on the company's part, and pointed out that neither the trial examiner nor the Board had in fact found that the company had condoned the strikers' activities. The court stated that although the company had offered to rehire all the strikers, its offer was good only if they submitted to a personal interview. Its complaints were not discriminatory, therefore, since presumably after the interviews (if they had been held) not all of the strikers would have been reinstated.

Unlike the Board, the court found that the strikers' activities on the picket lines were not permissible under
the act, and that, therefore, they did not have to be reinstated. It quoted from other cases to the effect that physical violence would have occurred except for the nonstrikers' restraint. Deliveries to and from the plant, it pointed out, had been impeded by the picket line; also, the method of picketing was not proper since it was designed not to publicize the facts of the dispute but rather to exclude all nonstrikers by force. The court concluded by quoting from the Indiana Desk Co. case ${ }^{12}$ : "To hold that the striking employees in this case are entitled to be reinstated, some of them with back pay, is to put a premium on their misconduct and to encourage like conduct on the part of others."

Discrimination By Union. With one member dissenting and one member not participating, ${ }^{13}$ the Board ruled that a union violated section 8 (b) (1) (A) and 8 (b) (2) of the LMRA when it requested the company to lower a union member's seniority standing for his failure to pay dues on time.

The contract between the company and the union provided that seniority would prevail and be broken only by discharge, "voluntary quit," or more than a 2 -year lay-off. It also provided that a seniority list would be posted at the place of employment and that controversies over standings on the list would be settled by the union. No union-shop clause was included in the contract.

Under the union bylaws, a member who did not pay dues covering a given month by the second of the following month forfeited all his seniority rights. Frank Boston delayed paying his June 1950 dues until July 5, 1950. On July 15,1950 , the union requested (and the company complied) that Boston be reduced in seniority from "No. 18" to "No. 54." As a result of this action, the employee lost two assignments he otherwise would have had.

The union argued that the complaint should be dismissed because of the proviso to section 8 (b) (1) (4) of the LMRA under which labor organizations have the right to prescribe their own rules and regulations with respect to acquisition or retention of members. The Board held this reasoning to be without merit since it was "not dealing in this case with the acquisition or retention of membership." The employee retained his membership both before and after he was reduced in seniority, the Board stated, and further, the proviso was not designed to apply to a situation in which the union, by enforcing its bylaws, caused the employer to discriminate against one of his employees.
In another recent case the Board had noted that loss of seniority, unless protected by a valid union-security agreement, constituted discrimination within the meaning of section 8 (a) (3). ${ }^{14}$ According to the same section, Boston had the absolute protected right under the act "to determine how he would handle his union affairs without risking any impairment of his employment rights and . . . the union had no right at any time, whether Boston was a member or not a member to make his employment status to any degree conditioned upon the payment of dues without first obtaining proper authorization undernection 9 (e)
of the act."

Member Murdock, in his dissent, stressed the fact that if Boston had resigned from the union, the union bylaws would not have applied to him; the union, therefore, was not forcing the employer to do anything but keep his agreement with the union with respect to seniority of its members. (The majority of the Board said they were unwilling to make the "naive assumption" that if he had resigned, he would have kept his seniority.) The dissenting member added that the bylaws could apply only to union members; if Boston did not like the union rules he could try to get them changed or he could quit. Member Murdock concluded: "It seems to me that to find a violation of the act in the circumstances of this case is to engage in an unwarranted invasion of the internal affairs of a labor organization-an invasion which I do not believe is sanctioned, much less required, by the act."

Lock-out When Union Strikes Against One Member of Employer Association. A United States Court of Appeals sitting in Chicago, in reviewing an NLRB decision, held ${ }^{15}$ that a strike by a union against one member of an employer association could be viewed as a strike against all members; consequently the other members although not struck by the union, could lawfully lock their employees out, or temporarily lay them off. The Board had decided in the Davis Furniture Co. case ${ }^{18}$ that a temporary lay-off of employees in such a situation was a violation of the act. But, the Court of Appeals in Chicago refused to follow the Board's lead in this respect. It pointed out, however, that a company in such circumstances could not discharge its employees, since such a discharge for union activities would be an unfair labor practice in violation of section 8 (a) (3) of the LMRA.

In January 1949, an association of liquor dealers began negotiations with a local union. By February of that year, an impasse was reached over increasing the liquor salesman's commission, and by March, the union decided to strike against "Old Rose," a member company. By April 11, 1950, when many salesmen had been locked out or discharged by their companies, picket lines were extended to other member companies.

The trial examiner found, and the Board agreed, that the employees of "Old Rose" had been discharged in violation of the act, and that the other employees had been locked out or discharged, also in violation of the act. The Board ordered the companies to cease and desist from discouraging union membership by locking out or discharging their employees, and to make restitution to their employees by a back-pay award.

The court, in accordance with the Board, held that if the union could not agree with the association, it had the right to try to negotiate with each company separately, and had the right to strike against "Old Rose." But, it said, it then "becomes important to determine what retaliatory measures were available to" the companies in the association. The strike against one member, could be "properly" viewed by the association as a strike against all, the court thought, therefore: "It follows that they had a right to counter the strike's effectiveness by laying off, suspending, or locking out their salesmen, who were members of the striking union, and as to whom there was
not then, in effect any collective bargaining agreement." The Court also stated that the association's action was a "corollary of the union's right to strike."
Although employers should be allowed to meet economic pressure with economic pressure, the Court thought, employers could not discharge their employees, since such action would violate section 8 (a) (3) of the act. Therefore, it sent the case back to the Board to determine the crucial facts as to whether these companies discharged or merely laid off their employees.

## Veterans' Reemployment

Seniority Credit. A district court of the United States decided ${ }^{17}$ in favor of a veteran's claim to statutory reemployment rights and continuing seniority credit. On December 2, 1941, the veteran was employed as a machinist and on February 19, 1942, he resigned to enter military service. On March 14, 1942, he was inducted, having meantime worked a few days (since February 19) at a temporary job for a different employer. He made timely application for reemployment after his honorable discharge from the Armed Forces, and on February 4, 1946, was reemployed in the position he had left in February 1942.

On resigning that position, the veteran had been within the first 6 months of his employment. The collectivebargaining agreement then in force provided that for the first 6 months employees should be "temporary," and after 6 months of continuous employment, they acquired seniority, on a date-of-hire basis. "Seniority" was defined as "length of service." When the veteran was reemployed the operative agreement differed only in calling employees "temporary" for the first 30 calendar days of their employment, which was to be considered a probationary period.

A dispute arose as to the veteran's seniority and this action was brought. The employer claimed that the position left was temporary and that the purpose of leaving it was not to perform military service. The position taken by the union was that the veteran's seniority should date not from December 2, 1941, but from February 4, 1946, the date of last hiring.

In resolving these issues in favor of the veteran, the court decided that he met all conditions for statutory reemployment rights. The position he left was thus held to be other than "temporary" in the meaning of the statute. He was also adjudicated to have left his position to enter military service, though his entry was deferred and he took temporary employment in the interval.

The court held that the veteran's seniority rating must date from December 2, 1941. It ordered that he be given seniority credit for service as a machinist from February 19,1942 , the date he resigned his position, to the date he was reinstated in it.

## Unemployment Insurance

Coal Miners Ineligible if They Limit Availability to 3-Day Week. The Virginia Supreme Court of Appeals held ${ }^{18}$
ineligible for unemployment benefits coal miners who restricted their availability for work to 3 days a week when the industry's customary workweek was 5 days. The restriction was due to a national union directive issued upon expiration of the collective contract and failure to reach agreement upon a new one. The mine owners refused to operate and shut down the mines. While other miners were disqualified because of unemployment due to a labor dispute, it was conceded that the claimants involved in this case had been unemployed because of lack of work prior to the expiration of the contract.

Claimant Ineligible if Availability Limited to Illegal Work. The Nebraska District Court held ${ }^{19}$ that one who restricted his availability for work to illegal employment was not eligible for unemployment compensation. The claimant had earned wage credits in Nevada as a dealer in a gambling club. Upon moving to California where gambling is illegal he sought only work as "a dealer."

Coverage of Seasonal Workers. The West Virginia Supreme Court of Appeals held ${ }^{20}$ that the wages of seasonal workers who were not eligible for benefits were not subject to the State unemployment compensation tax. The eligibility provision did not exclude all seasonal workers. Apparently it applied only on an individual basis, as claims were filed, since it excluded from benefits an individual who worked less than 100 days during his base period in a seasonal industry, unless he had earned not less than $\$ 100$ in wages in some other covered employment. The court relied on the rule that tax statutes should be given a liberal construction in favor of the taxpayer, and expressed doubt as to the constitutionality of the seasonal provision if a different construction were given on the tax side.

Employer Not Necessary Party to Beneft Claim. The New Jersey Supreme Court held ${ }^{21}$ that it is not necessary to due process, in assessing an unemployment-compensation tax, that the employer shall have been a party to the claims proceedings which resulted in benefits being paid and charged to his account. An employer's special interest is in the measurement of the tax, and he cannot complain of want of due process if he is afforded a full hearing at some stage of the tax proceedings on the question of validity of the charges to his account for benefits paid. The employer had been afforded opportunity for such hearing when he was furnished a statement of the benefit charges to his account.

Labor-Dispute Disqualification. The Alabama Court of Appeals held ${ }^{22}$ that employees of a cotton warehouse were not disqualified for unemployment benefits when customers of the warehouse had withheld their business in anticipation of a walk-out at termination of the union contract. Although a labor dispute existed, the claimants' unemployment was not "directly due to" the dispute, since the customers' action intervened and became the direct cause of the unemployment.

Misrepresentation or Nondisclosure of a Material Fact. The Michigan Circuit Court held ${ }^{23}$ that a claimant who had been paid benefits upon his certification that he was available for and was seeking work, was not liable to repay such benefits when upon appeal it was determined that he had not been available for work and had not sought work to the extent required by the statute. The question to be determined was not whether the claimant satisfied the availability and seeking-work requirements, but whether his statement that in his opinion he did so was a deliberate misrepresentation or nondisclosure of facts. The legislature could not have intended, the Court held, that a claimant run the risk that his understanding of this highly controversial statutory language was not in accordance with that of the administrative tribunals and courts that pass on such cases.

[^20] significant decisions believed to be of special interest. No attempt has been made to reflect all recent judicial and administrative developments in the field of labor law or to indicate the effect of particular decisions in jurisdictions in which contrary results may be reached, based upon local statutory provisions, the existence of local precedents, or a different approach by the courts to the issue presented.
${ }^{2}$ This section is intended merely as a digest of some recent declsions involving the Fair Labor Standards Act and the Portal-to-Portal Act. It is not to be construed and may not be relied upon as interpretation of these acts by the Administrator of the Wage and Hour Division or any agency of the Department of Labor.
${ }^{3} 62$ Stat. 964 (1948) amended 63 Stat. 105 (1949), 28 U. S. C. § 2201, 2202 (Supp. 1946). The Declaratory Judgments Act, in section 2201, provides: "In case of actual controversy within its jurisdiction, except with respect to Federal taxes, any court of the United States, upon the filing of an appropriate pleading, may declare the rights and other legal relations of any interested party seeking such declaration, whether or not further relief is or could be sought. Any such declaration shall have the force and effect of a final judgment or decree and shall be reviewable as such."
${ }^{4}$ Donnely v. Mavar Shrimp and Oyster Co. (C. A. 5, July 17, 1951).
${ }^{5}$ Jefferson Standard Broadcasting Co. (94 NLRB No. 227, June 26, 1951).
${ }^{8} 90$ NLRB 1614,26 LRRM $1365 . \quad$ T 95 NLRB No. 27.
${ }^{8}$ Ford Motor Co. (Canton Forge Division) (95 NLRB No. 121, Aug. 3, 1951).
${ }^{0}$ NLRB v. Highland Park Mfg. Co., 71 S. Ct. 758.
${ }^{10}$ O. Hager and Sons Hinge Mfg. Co., 80 NLRB 163.
${ }^{11}$ Rawleigh Co. v. NLRB (C. A. 7, July 9, 1951).
${ }^{13}$ NLRB v. Indiana Desk Co., 149 F. 2d 987, 16 LRRM 817.
${ }^{13}$ Teamsters, $A F L$ ( 94 NLRB No. 214, June 26, 1951).
${ }^{14}$ Firestone Tire and Rubber Co., 93 NLRB No. 161; 27 LRRM 1498.
${ }^{15}$ Morand Bros. Beverage Co. (C. A. 7, July 23, 1951).
${ }^{16} 94$ NLRB No. 52 , May 3, 1951 ; See also Monthly Labor Review, July 1951, pp. 68-69.
${ }^{17}$ United States ex rel. Milotsky v. Brown and Bigelow Corp. (D. Minn., June 28, 1951).
${ }^{18}$ Unemployment Compensation Commission v. Tomko (Va. Sup., June 18, 1951). Contra: Eagle Cherokee Coal Mining Co. v. Baird (Kans. Dist., June 21, 1951).
${ }^{19}$ Smith v. Cory (Neb. Dist., June 21, 1951).
${ }^{20}$ State v. C. H. Musselman Co. (W. Va. Sup., March 28, 1950).
${ }^{21}$ Horsman Dolls, Inc. v. Unemployment Compensation Commission (N. J. Sup. Ct., June 25, 1951).
${ }^{22}$ Gulf Atlantic Warehouse Co. v. Bennett (Ala. Ct. App., March 20, 1951).
${ }^{23}$ Turner v. Appeal Board, (Mich. Cir., June 19, 1951).

## Chronology of Recent Labor Events

July 17, 1951

The Board of Directors of the International Air Line Pilots Association (AFL) voted to remove David L. Behncke (president for 20 years) with annual salary of $\$ 15,000$ as a pension for life, and elected Clarence $R$. Sayen as his successor. (Source : New York Times, July 18,1951 .)

The Secretary of Labor, in an address before the Retail Clerks International Association (AFL) convention in Washington, D. C., urged the unionization of approximately 13 million white collar workers. (Source: U. S. Dept. of Labor release, July 17, 1951.)

## July 18

The Administrator of the Wage and Hour Division of the U. S. Department of Labor established a minimum hourly rate of 65 cents (formerly 40 cents) for the wholesaling, warehousing, and other distribution industries engaged in commerce in Puerto Rico, effective August 27, 1951, under provisions of the Fair Labor Standards Act. (Source: Federal Register, vol. 16, No. 142, July 24, 1951, p. 7202.)

## July 19

The Wage Stabilization Board adopted General Wage Regulation 13 permitting approval of certain fringe benefits (paid vacations, holidays, call-in-pay, etc), without regard to the 10 percent increase authorized under GWR 6 (see Chron. item for Feb. 15, 1951, MLR April 1951). (Source: Federal Register, vol. 16, No. 144, July 26, 1951, p. 7328.)

On July 24, the Board adopted GWR 14 permitting the continuation of bonus payments based on established plans, without Board approval, but subject to certain limitations. (Source: Federal Register, vol. 16, No. 148, Aug. 1, 1951, p. 7509. )

On July 31, the Board adopted GWR 15 establishing rules and procedures governing day-to-day administration of piece and incentive rates, formerly covered in GWR 5 (see Chron. item for Jan. 26, 1951, MLR March
1951). (Source: Federal Register, vol. 16, No. 152, Aug. 7, 1951, p. 7701.)

On the same day, the Board issued a revised GWR 5 covering the remaining areas of the old regulation and amended GWR 8 (see Chron. item for Feb. 15, 1951, MLR April 1951) covering escalator clauses, to extend the regulation pending further Board policy. (Source: Federal Register, vol. 16, No. 152, Aug. 7, 1951, p. 7701.)

On August 8, the Board revised GWR 14 (see Chron. item above) allowing bonus payments to new employees, with certain limitations. (Source: Federal Register, vol. 16, No. 157, Aug. 14, 1951, p. 7987; for discussion, see p. 302 of this issue.)

## July 20

The WSB announced the appointment of an 8-member tripartite Tool and Die Industry Study Committee, to study manpower problems in the industry. (Source: WSB release 64, July 20,1951 .)

## July 23

The President submitted his midyear economic report to Congress in accordance with the Employment Act of 1946. (Source: Cong. Record, 82d Cong. vol. 97, No. 134, July 23, 1951, p. 8817 ; for discussion, see p. 296 of this issue.)

Manly Fleischmann, NPA administrator, was sworn in as head of the Defense Production Administration making him responsible for the policy-making duties as well as the operating functions of defense production. (Source: New York Times, July 24, 1951.)

## July 24

The United Steelworkers of America (CIO) and United States Steel Corp. and subsidiaries completed plans providing surgical benefits to approximately 715,000 employees and dependents, effective August 1, 1951. (Source: CIO News, July 30, 1951.)

## July 25

The Office of Price Stabilization issued Ceiling Price Regulation 55 establishing a method for calculating ceiling prices for sales of canned green peas of the 1951 and later pack, effective August 20, 1951. (Source: Federal Register, vol. 16, No. 144, July 26, 1951, p. 7318.)

On July 30, CPR's 56 through 66 were issued. CPR 56 establishes methods for calculating ceiling prices for sales by processors of the 1951 and later packs of certain processed fruits and berries, effective August 10, 1951. CPR 57 establishes ceiling prices for all sales of anti-freeze, effective August 6, 1951. CPR 58 establishes ceiling prices for 14 major grades of reclaimed rubber, effective August 6, 1951. CPR 59 establishes ceiling prices for scrap tires,
tire parts, and scrap tubes, effective August 6, 1951. CPR 60 fixes ceilings on the sale of metal castings, effective September 1, 1951. CPR 61 provides a formula for computing ceiling prices for the export sale of specified commodities, effective August 26, 1951. CPR 62 establishes manufacturers' ceiling prices for sales of new rubber tires and tubes, effective August 1, 1951. CPR 63 outlines ceilings on wholesale sales of stock lubricating oils, industrial lubricating oils, waxes, and petrolatums, effective August 6, 1951. CPR 64 establishes ceilings to the supplying and servicing of tires, effective August 6, 1951. CPR 65 establishes specific dollars-and-cents ceiling prices for the sale of all canned salmon by canners, effective August 8, 1951. CPR 66 covers all sales and deliveries of asphalt and asphalt products, either by refiners, resellers, distributors, roofers or retailers, effective August 6, 1951. (Source: Federal Registers, vol. 16, No. 149, Aug. 2, 1951, pp. 7546, 7553, 7557, and 7560; No. 150, Aug. 3, 1951, pp. 7592, 7597, 7601, and 7604; and No. 151, Aug. 4, 1951, pp. 7666, 7668, and 7670 ; for discussion, see p. 303 of this issue.)

## July 26

The President, in his first referral of a dispute to the WSB (see Chron. item for Apr. 20, MLR June 1951) requested the Board to investigate and make recommendations in the 1-month strike between the American Smelting and Refining Co. of Garfield, Utah, and the United Steelworkers of America (CIO). (Source: White House release, July 26, 1951, and New York Times, July 26, 1951.)

On July 28, the workers voted to return to work, pending the Board's report. (Source: WSB release, No. 71, July 27, 1951, and New York Times, July 30, 1951.)

The Construction Industry Stabilization Commission of the WSB (see Chron. item for May 15, MLR July 1951) unanimously adopted Regulation No. 1 covering the payment of wages and salaries, based on area rates, to employees of the building and construction industry. (Source: Federal Register, vol. 16, No. 149, Aug. 2, 1951, p. 7565.)

## July 27

The National Labor Relations Board, in the case of International Harvester Co. and Fall Cities Carpenters District Council, The United Brotherhood of Carpenters and Joiners of America (AFL) ; International Harvester Co. and United Electrical Radio \& Machine Workers of America (Ind.), ruled that a union-security clause of a contract was invalid because it required the payment of assessments as well as dues and initiation fees as a condition of continued employment. (Source: Labor Relations Reporter, vol. 28, No. 28, Aug. 6, 1951, LRRM p. 1337.)

July 30

The Connecticut Supreme Court, in the case of Norwalk Teachers' Association v. Board of Education of Norwalk, ruled that teachers cannot engage in a strike, since they are agents of the government and serve the public. (Source: The U. S. Law Week, vol. 20, No. 6, Aug. 7, 1951, p. 2051.)

## July 31

The President approved the Defense Production Act Amendments of 1951, extending the Defense Production Act of 1950 to June 30, 1952. The new law is designed to ease credit restrictions, permit rent increases up to 20 percent over the June 1947 level, and to insure the same percentage profit margins for wholesalers and retailers as existed during the month prior to the Korean conflict. (Source: Public Law 96, 82d Cong., approved July 31, 1951; for discussion see p. 299 of this issue.)

## August 1

The President announced the creation of the Defense Materials Procurement Agency with authority to procure and increase the supply of critical and strategic materials at home and abroad. (Source: White House release, Aug. 1, 1951.)

## August 2

The NLRB, in the case of Ford Motor Co. (Canton Forge Division, Canton, Ohio) and International Brotherhood of Blacksmiths, Drop Forgers, and Helpers (AFL) (see Chron. item for July 11, 1951, MLR Aug. 1951),-its first reversal of a decision-ruled that a contract in effect before national union leaders filed non-Communist affidavits constitutes a bar to an election sought by another union. (Source: Labor Relations Reporter, vol. 28, No. 28, Aug. 6, 1951, LRRM p. 1371.)

## August 7

The WSB announced an equal-treatment policy in processing labor dispute cases covering workers in unorganized ranks, independent unions, as well as CIO and AFL affiliates. (Source: WSB release, Aug. 7, 1951.)

## August 11

The United States and Mexico formally agreed to begin recruiting Mexican workers for temporary farm work in this country (see Chron. item for July 12, 1951, MLR Aug. 1951). (Source: New York Times, Aug. 11, 1951.)

## Developments in Industrial Relations

Passage of an amendment to the Defense Production Act of 1950 which retains basic wage controls until June 30, 1952, referral of the protracted railroad wage-rules dispute back to the White House by the National Mediation Board, and termination of critical defense work stoppages in the copper smelting and aluminum industries, occurred in July and early August 1951. Discussion of a guaranteed annual wage for meat-packing workers and an unemployment security fund for electrical workers highlighted bargaining negotiations in these industries.

The Wage Stabilization Board issued several new general wage regulations, established a Na tional Enforcement Commission to deal with violators of the wage stabilization program, and appointed committees to study wage problems in the Northwest lumber and tool and die industries.

## Significant Negotiations

Railroads. The protracted dispute over wages and rules changes between the 3 independent operating railroad Brotherhoods-Locomotive Engineers, Locomotive Firemen and Enginemen, and Railway Conductors-and the Nation's major railroads entered a new phase. The National (Railway) Mediation Board, on July 24, announced its decision to refer the controversy back to the White House-only the trainmen having reached a settlement. ${ }^{2}$ Although the railroads are under Government control, the Firemen's and Enginemen's Union announced on July 11 that it planned to send strike ballots to its members.

Meat-packing. A guaranteed $\$ 3,000$ minimum annual wage for common laborers is the principal demand in negotiations with major meat-packing
companies, according to an announcement by the United Packinghouse Workers (CIO) on July 16. Common laborers comprise about a third of approximately 150,000 workers claimed by the union; their annual average earnings, including increases of 11 cents an hour recently approved by the WSB, amount to $\$ 2,440$, the union stated. ${ }^{2}$ Graduated annual guarantees above the $\$ 3,000$ will be requested for more skilled employees.

The proposed guarantees would be based on a maximum 8 -hour day, 40 -hour week; overtime pay, night differentials, and other premiums would be payable in addition to the guarantees.

Currently, most meat-packing agreements provide for weekly work (or pay) guarantees if workers are called in and report for work at the beginning of the workweek. These guarantees range from 30 to 40 hours a week, but there is no annual guarantee, although the unions have sought it for the past few years. The George A. Hormel Co. is the only meat-packing firm known to provide an annual wage guarantee.
Electrical Products. As part of its bargaining program for some 70,000 workers in 41 General Electric plants, the Electrical, Radio and Machine Workers (CIO), on July 18, requested the company to establish an unemployment security fund to protect workers against temporary lay-offs caused by material shortages or retooling for defense production. The program also calls for a 5 -cent hourly productivity wage increase, an escalator clause providing for quarterly wage adjustments, company assumption of the full cost of employees' pensions, and a union shop. The company has offered to renew the current agreement for a 5 -year term with minor changes while the union is seeking a 1 -year contract extension. Strikes are barred under the present contract, which expires September 15.

Agreement on a 5 -year contract covering some 16,000 workers was reached between the Electric Auto-Lite Co. and the United Automobile Workers (CIO). It provides for a 13 -cent hourly wage increase made up of a 9 -cent cost-of-living adjustment under an escalator clause and 4 cents under an "annual improvement" productivity clause. Both clauses are similar to those included in the agreements reached by the union with the General Motors Corp. and other auto firms. Further
productivity increases of 4 cents will be made on June 1 of each year the contract is in effect. The contract also includes revisions in present insurance, holiday, and vacation benefits.

The United Electrical, Radio and Machine Workers (Ind.) accepted an offer of a 9-cent hourly wage raise for some 16,000 Westinghouse Electric Corp. workers. ${ }^{3}$ The union had sought a 32 -cent hourly increase. This is the same 9 -cent offer of this company which was accepted by other major electrical workers unions during June. ${ }^{2}$

Shipping. The National Maritime Union (CIO) and the Collier Owners Association reached an agreement on July 11, providing for an 8-percent wage increase, a one-step transition from the current 48 -hour workweek to a basic 40 -hour schedule, and industrywide vacations. These provisions match those won by the union under pattern-setting agreements concluded in late June and early July with dry-cargo and oil-tanker ship operators. ${ }^{4}$

On the West Coast, the Marine Firemen, Oilers, Watertenders and Wipers Union (Ind.) and the Pacific Maritime Association concluded a settlement on July 3 , covering approximately 6,000 workers, and providing for monthly wage increases ranging from $\$ 18.50$ to $\$ 30$, retroactive to June $16 .^{3}$ As in the NMU contracts, the current settlement also provides for the establishment of a basic 40-hour workweek.

Steel. Completion of plans providing surgical benefits and covering approximately 715,000 workers and dependents was announced by the United Steelworkers of America (CIO) and the United States Steel Corp. and its subsidiaries. The agreement is to become effective August 1 and provides Blue Shield benefits-the first to be set up by the participating plans on a national basis.

## Strikes and Strike Settlements

Copper Smelting. The Wage Stabilization Board was presented with its first labor dispute on July 26 when the President requested the Board to investigate the issues and recommend a settlement in the month-old strike by the United Steelworkers of America (CIO) at the Garfield, Utah, plant of the American Smelting \& Refining Co. The

President stated that the strike "substantially threatens the progress of national defense." On July 28, union members voted to return to work in compliance with a request of the WSB, pending review of the dispute by a special Board panel.

The Garfield plant, which smelts about a third of the Nation's copper, was shut down July 2 by a strike of some 1,300 workers after a deadlock in contract negotiations followed expiration of their contract on June 30.

Union demands originally included a general wage increase of 25 cents an hour, a job evaluation program, a noncontributory pension plan with a guaranteed $\$ 125$ monthly minimum, a union shop, and improved social services. In recent negotiations, the union proposed a $281 / 2$-cent "package" in contrast to the company's 11 cent offer.

Farm Equipment. A walk-out of approximately 22,000 production employees at the Caterpillar Tractor Co., in Peoria, Ill., began on July 30, in a dispute over wages. The United Automobile Workers of America (CIO), representing the workers, is seeking a wage increase of 28 cents an hour whereas increases ranging from 7 to 10 cents an hour were offered by the company.

Aluminum. Members of the United Automobile Workers (CIO) on July 30 ratified an agreement ending the 7 -week strike at the Cleveland, Ohio, plant of the Aluminum Co. of America. The strike began on June 11 with a walk-out of 2,400 workers and was described by defense officials as the most critical dispute in the defense mobilization program. It severely curtailed production of aircraft and tank engines for the armed services.

Negotiations began in December 1950 when the contract was reopened for wage negotiations. During subsequent discussions the issues in dispute were narrowed to differences over the effective date of a 3 -cent hourly wage increase.

Automobiles. Labor unrest in the automobile industry, aggravated in recent months by mass layoffs due to production cutbacks, was reflected in a series of short, sporadic work stoppages involving substantial numbers of workers at the Hudson, Chrysler, and Ford motor companies.

Approximately 10,000 employees of the Hudson Motor Car Co. have been idled for partial days

[^21]since mid-June in a dispute over production-line standards. This "strike-lock-out" dispute took a new turn on July 25 when most of the day force remained away from work for a full shift. The membership of Local 154, United Automobile Workers (CIO), had voted on July 24 to stay away from work alleging that a lock-out existed. At the end of July the workers were still idle.

At Chrysler, thousands of employees were made idle on several different occasions in a series of brief, unauthorized work stoppages, also over alleged "speed-up" of production.

A brief "wildcat" strike at a vital Ford parts plant in Monroe, Mich., temporarily threatened the company's entire national operations. Some 2,000 employees were involved in the dispute over a worker's disciplinary lay-off.

Steel. The Aliquippa works of the Jones \& Laughlin Steel Corp. at Pittsburgh, Pa., were shut down July 19-23, by an unauthorized strike involving some 12,000 workers. The strike followed the dismissal of a worker for alleged sleeping on the job, and terminated when the strikers, members of the United Steelworkers of America (CIO), agreed to process the dispute through established contract grievance procedures.

Furriers. A 19-day strike of approximately 8,000 fur workers in New York ended July 13 when the Furriers' Joint Council, an affiliate of the independent Fur and Leather Workers' Union, reached a 4-year agreement with the Associated Fur Manufacturers. It provides for increases in wages and vacation benefits, and a reduction in the workweek for some employees. ${ }^{3}$

Communications. A strike involving some 9,000 telephone workers employed at Northern California and Nevada facilities of the Pacific Telephone \& Telegraph Co., began on July 20. It spread, several days later, to approximately 5,000 additional employees of the company's Oregon facilities. The Communications Workers of America (CIO) proposed new contract provisions which included a 10 -percent wage increase, a reduction in the wage progression schedule from a maximum of $71 / 2$ to 5 years, elimination of area differentials, and other benefits. Agreements
ending the stoppage were reached on July 29, but details of the settlement were not immediately available.

## Controls and WSB Actions

Defense Production Act. Basic wage controls were retained virtually intact in the 1951 amendments to the Defense Production Act of 1950 signed by the President on July 31. The law will permit some price increases. The United Labor Policy Committee backed the President in immediately condemning the new act. (For further discussion, see p. 299 of this issue.)

Wage Stabilization Board Actions. The Board issued several new regulations during the month. They affect certain "fringe" benefits, bonuses, and merit increases. Additional regulatory action was taken.

On July 19, it adopted General Wage Regulation No. 13 which permits employers to grant increases in specified "fringe" benefits, such as paid vacations and holidays, premium pay for certain days and hours of work, shift differentials, call-in pay, up to the limits of prevailing area or industry practices.

Automatic approval to customary nonproduction bonus practices, subject to defined limitations, was granted in GWR No. 14, adopted on July 24.

Board regulations relating to individual wage adjustments, such as merit and length of service increases, and to changes in incentive and piece rates were revised on July 31. The Board's action was to revise GWR No. 5 (issued February 12, 1951) and to issue a new regulation, GWR No. 15.

A resolution adopted by the Board on July 19 provides that it will defer action on any application for adjustment in wages, salaries, or other compensation when the National Labor Relations Board or a similar State agency has ordered or scheduled a representation election.

A regulation covering the compensation of some $21 / 2$ million building and construction workers, based on area rates, was issued on July 26 by the Construction Industry Stabilization Commission. Construction Regulation No. 1 permits a contractor, without further approval, to pay the area rates to be established by the Commission for the appropriate job classifications. Pending the official
publication of the area rates, employers are authorized to determine applicable job rate schedules in accordance with one of three methods.

The regulation also prohibits any increase in wages above the rates in effect in an area on July 26 , unless specifically authorized by the Commission. Most of these orders are discussed in greater detail on page 302 of this issue.

Board approval was given on July 10 to a 10-percent wage increase recently negotiated by the Communications Workers of America (CIO) for some 150,000 workers employed in eight companies of the Bell Telephone System. Since the employees had already received a 2 -percent adjustment in June 1950, making a combined total of 12 percent, the Board's approval was necessary for the amount in excess of the 10 percent "catch-up" formula.
The Salary Stabilization Board incorporated all provisions of General Wage Regulations apapplicable to salaried employees. In addition, a special SSB "stock option" panel is to study the question of stock option purchases by company officers and employees.

Administrative Actions. The WSB, on July 3, appointed a tripartite committee composed of six
of its members, to study the application of wagestabilization policies to any special problems existing in the Northwest lumber industry. The committee will consider, also, the advisability of establishing a special lumber commission.

A Tool and Die Industry Study Committee was appointed on July 20, with instructions to investigate the special manpower problems in the industry.
Establishment of a National Enforcement Commission to deal with reported violations of WSB regulations was announced on July 23. Employers found to have willfully violated wage-stabilization regulations will be subject to a wide range of civil or criminal penalties, or both. Investigations of reported violations are currently in progress in the tool and die, shipbuilding, construction, and other industries. ${ }^{5}$
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#### Abstract

Editor's Notw.-Correspondence regarding publications to which reference is made in this list should be addressed to the respective publishing agencies mentioned. Data on prices, if readily available, are shown with the title entries.


## Special Reviews

The Labor Problem in the Public Service: A Study in Political Pluralism. By Morton Robert Godine. Cambridge, Mass., Harvard University Press, 1951. 305 pp., bibliography. (Harvard Political Studies.) $\$ 5$.
In this thoughtful book, Mr. Godine examines the new problems which have arisen as a result of the large increase in the proportion of the Nation's working force employed by the Government. He poses the problem by a quotation from Blackstone's Commentaries (Philadelphia, 1771, I, p. 160): "Does an acceptance of the notion that an 'absolute despotic power . . . must in all governments reside somewhere,' inherently preclude the possibility of responsible collective negotiation between the state and its employees?"

Most of the legislation which has been passed to protect rights of employees has specifically excluded Government employees. The National Labor Relations Act, for instance, delineates certain employee rights and creates the means for protecting these rights for a large portion of our work force. But specifically exempted from coverage as employers are ". . . the United States, or any wholly owned Government corporation, or any Federal Reserve Bank, or any State or political subdivision thereof." In some cases, however, although Government workers are not specifically protected, certain governmental agencies have arranged for staff representation in the determination of personnel programs. The Tennessee Valley Authority exemplifies this practice.

Under most of the relevant legislation, Government workers of all types are specifically prohibited from striking. Legal or not, however, there have been many cases in which such prohibitions have been ignored, especially at local levels.

In the author's view, Government workers want not only good wages and conditions of work, but a voice in their determination. Even where their wages and working conditions are as good or better than those of comparable workers in private industry, they desire a sense of participation in the determination of issues which affect them so seriously.

The thesis is frequently voiced that the Government, as a model employer, raises the standards of wages and working conditions for private employees. Mr. Godine finds that this generalization does not bear factual scrutiny. Nor is it found that Government employees have the measure of tenure which is generally supposed. For instance, in the Federal employment alone there were more than 100,000 discharges for cause in 1946.

The book contains an excellent discussion of the advantages and disadvantages to public-service unions of affiliation with central federations. The author finds that no valid question of divided loyalty arises out of such affiliation: ". . . the plurality of allegiance exists irrespective of affiliation, for the civil servant is both a citizen and an employee as well as a member of various other social groups."

The political activities of Government employees' unions are reviewed, with special reference to those of the United Federal Workers of America, later to become the United Public Workers of America. Attention is called to some interesting changes in political line by that union, which was subsequently to be purged from the CIO because of Communist domination.

The author does not find that the introduction of collective bargaining in such agencies as the TVA has compromised the proper discharge by administration officials of their respective responsibilities. This would seem to lend support to his thesis that such bargaining may properly be encouraged.

Mr. Godine concludes his study with a program for "collective negotiation" which he believes will meet the needs of public employees while retaining governmental authority in its proper place. This negotiation would require that the unions be given some measure of status. He suggests experimentation within a wide range of practical schemes in order to find a solution to the question of representation, somewhere between simple majority representation for one union and "the present practice of according equal recognition to all groups of organized as well as unorganized employees." With respect to compensation, he calls for some measure of administrative discretion in establishing pay rates. Terms of employment other than those concerning wages and grievance procedures, he suggests, should conform to the procedures recommended by most modern personnel practitioners.
-Morris Weisz.

Index Numbers. By Bruce D. Mudgett. New York, John Wiley \& Sons, Inc., 1951. 135 pp., bibliography. (Wiley Publications in Statistics.) \$3.
An objective appraisal of the methods used by the Bureau of Labor Statistics in construction of its Wholesale and Consumers' Price Indexes is welcomed by the Bureau of Labor Statistics at any time. This is particularly true currently, when wages of millions of workers are directly tied to the Consumers' Price Index through collective-bargaining agreements; when wages of many millions of other workers are indirectly dependent upon

It through the wage stabilization program; and when many millions of dollars worth of defense contracts are settled on the basis of the BLS Wholesale Price Index. This book by Professor Mudgett of the University of Minnesota should, therefore, prove helpful not only to the Bureau's staff but also to the various consumers of its data.

As the author indicates, the book does not cover all aspects of index-number construction. It deals primarily with "the problem of accuracy only as it concerns methods of combining given data into an index number-it takes quality of this given data for granted." It is concerned only with the question of weighting and does not deal with the method of collecting price or quantity data needed in the construction of an index. With this limited objective in mind, it is clearly written. The treatment is nonmathematical. The ideas are well formulated and presented.
The author's principal thesis is that weighting must be so introduced that each price is weighted by quantity which is a reflection of the actual market situation. Simply stated, he argues for maintaining the weights on a current basis. Although it is not explicitly said, it can be inferred from the author's arguments that he would recommend annual revision of weights. The actual argument presented, however, would lead one to believe that more accurate indexes would be obtained if the weights were changed monthly, and, pursuing this argument to its logical conclusion, the most accurate weights would reflect the market situation at each instant of time. With annual revision of weights as recommended by Professor Mudgett, the resulting index for a number of years would, of course, be of a chain type. It is obvious to the reviewer, as to the author, that weights which are 15 and 20 years old may lead to some inaccuracies. However, it is not clear to the reviewer that, with the limited resources usually available for collection of price and quantity data, annual weight revisions would produce optimum results. Faulty methods in pricing and specification may lead to more serious errors than those of weighting. One has to achieve a balance, therefore, between more frequent revision in weights and improved methods of specification and pricing of items used in the construction of price indexes. The frequency of weight revisions should be dependent on major changes in consumption habits of the country.

The last three chapters will be particularly helpful to those interested in the BLS Wholesale and Consumers' Price Indexes. These chapters contain a brief history of the two indexes and their evaluation and limitation from the point of view of weighting only. -SAMUEL Weiss.

## Benefit Plans

Nineteen Employee-Beneft Plans in the Airframe Industry. By Abe Friedson and Joseph Zisman. Washington, Federal Security Agency, Social Security Administration, 1951. 63 pp .; processed. (Bureau Memorandum No. 71.)

A Review of Welfare Funds in the New York City Building Trades as of January 1, 1950. New York, Building Trades Employers' Association, 1951. 151 pp.
Chronology of the UMWA Welfare and Retirement Fund, Covering the Period Between 1945 and April 26, 1951. Washington, United Mine Workers of America, Welfare and Retirement Fund, 1951. 31 pp. Free.
Presents 160 chronological entries showing details of negotiations by the United Mine Workers for establishment of their welfare program. Many of the entries pertain to union relations with management, bargaining, work stoppages, court actions, and other subjects which set the Welfare and Retirement Fund in a larger perspective than the title indicates.

## Cooperative Movement

Oredit for the Millions: The Story of Credit Unions. By Richard Y. Giles. New York, Harper \& Brothers, 1951. 208 pp., bibliography, illus. $\$ 2.50$.

Tells how credit unions work, how they have developed (with specific examples), and describes the Credit Union National Association (educational body) and CUNA Mutual Insurance Society.

The Poor Man's Prayer: The Story of Oredit Union Beginnings. By George Boyle. New York, Harper \& Brothers, 1951. $207 \mathrm{pp} . \quad \$ 2.50$.
The story of Alphonse Desjardins, the French Canadian who helped to found the first cooperative credit organization in Quebec, and was the father of credit unions in the new world.

Cooperative Credit in Saskatchewan. By Jean Larmour and G. P. Boucher. [Regina], Saskatchewan Department of Cooperation and Cooperative Development, 1951. 105 pp., charts ; processed.

Part I gives data on farm income, indebtedness, and credit agencies, and an appraisal of credit needs and facilities by residents of the Province. Part II summarizes the development of cooperative credit in Saskatchewan, gives statistics for a selected group of credit unions, discusses their finance, management, and educational policies, and sketches the history and operations of the central cooperative credit organization of the Province. Part III covers, in brief form, cooperative credit experience elsewhere.

Frozen Food Locker Cooperatives in Illinois, 1949. By L. B. Mann and Paul C. Wilkins. Washington, U. S. Department of Agriculture, Farm Credit Administration, Cooperative Research and Service Division, 1951. 29 pp., charts ; processed. (Department of Agriculture Miscellaneous Report No. 148.)
Analyzes operating results (income, expenses, earnings, and losses) and labor and management efficiency, and points out the major factors affecting savings.

## Education and Guidance

Helping Youth Choose Careers. By J. Anthony Humphreys. Chicago, science Research Associates, 1951. 49 pp., chart, illus. 40 cents.

Methods of Vocational Guidance. By Gertrude Forrester. Boston, D. C. Heath and Co., 1951. 463 pp., bibliographies. $\$ 4.25$.

Presents specific methods of helping youth make constructive and realistic vocational plans by establishing the habit of thinking about and investigating occupations and by applying their acquired knowledge to solution of their occupational problems. Detailed descriptions of methods, outlines of projects, concrete suggestions for adapting methods, and abundant citation of source materials provide guides for establishing, as well as expanding, effective guidance programs.

1951 Directory of Vocational Counseling Services. St. Louis, National Vocational Guidance Association, Ethical Practices Committee (Box 64, Washington University, St. Louis 5), 1951. 125 pp. $\$ 1$.

A Guide to Audio-Visual Materials in Manpower and Industrial and Labor Relations. By J. J. Jehring. Ithaca, N. Y., Cornell University, New York State School of Industrial and Labor Relations, April 1951. $47 \mathrm{pp} . ;$ processed.
List of films, film-strips, and recordings used by management, unions, and educators.

## Employment and Unemployment

California Employment and Payrolls in 1947 and 1948. Sacramento, Department of Employment, [1951]. $126 \mathrm{pp} . \quad$ (Report 127, Nos. 10 and 11.)
Report on workers and wages covered by California unemployment insurance act, classified by industry and by county.

Measures for the Economic Development of Under-Developed Countries. New York, United Nations, Department of Economic Affairs, 1951. 108 pp.
A brief discussion of unemployment is included.

## Housing

Housing Research: Capsule Descriptions of Projects Started Under Contract in 1950. Washington, U. S. Housing and Home Finance Agency, Office of the Administrator, Division of Housing Research, 1951. 66 pp .
Describes HHFA research program to assist in providing better, less expensive homes for the American people.
Housing Today: Key to Chicago's Tomorrow. Chicago, Chicago Housing Authority, 1951. 41 pp., appendix tables, map, charts.
Annual report of Chicago Housing Authority, 1949-50.

Rebuilding a City: A Study of Redevelopment Problems in Los Angeles. By Robert E. Alexander and Drayton S. Bryant. Los Angeles, Haynes Foundation, 1951. 67 pp., bibliography, maps, plan, illus. \$1.

A Plan to Improve Neighborhoods. St. Louis, Mo. (Municipal Courts Building), Housing Survey Project of the City of St. Louis, [1951]. 6 pp .
Covers the purpose, procedure, and aims of the St. Louis program.
Negro Housing in the Miami Area: Effects of the Postwar Building Boom. By Reinhold P. Wolff and David K. Gillogly. Miami, Fla., University of Miami, Bureau of Business and Economic Research, 1951. 22 pp., map, chart, illus. (Area Development Series, No. 1.)
Workers' Housing Programs in Asian Countries. (In International Labor Review, Geneva, April 1951, pp. 390-401. 50 cents. Distributed in United States by Washington Branch of ILO.)

## Industrial Accidents and Accident Prevention

Annual Industrial Accident Cost in Illinois-An Estimate. Chicago, Illinois Department of Labor, Division of Statistics and Research, 1951. 20 pp ; processed.
Based chiefly on 1949 data.
Why Men Were Killed at Pennsylvania Anthracite Mines in 1950. By J. J. Forbes and H. F. Weaver. Washington, U. S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Mines, 1951. 13 pp.; processed. (Information Circular No. 7609.)
Analysis of Haulage Fatalities in Bituminous-Coal Mines in 1950. By M. J. Ankeny and D. S. Kingery. Washington, U. S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Mines, 1951. 28 pp .; processed. (Information Circular No. 7604.)
Disabling Work Injuries Resulting From Motor Vehicle Accidents, California, 1950. San Francisco, Department of Industrial Relations, Division of Labor Statistics and Research, 1951. 6 pp., charts ; processed.

Looking Over a Mechanized Foundry. By F. B. Skeates. (In National Safety News, National Safety Council, Chicago, June 1951, pp. 20-23, illus. 55 cents.)
Consists mainly of a series of illustrations, with captions, showing modernized equipment which promotes safety and lightens heavy operations.
U'se Hammers the Safe Way. Washington, U. S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Standards, 1951. 13 pp., illus. (Bull. No. 127.) 5 cents, Superintendent of Documents, Washington.

Unions, Management, and Industrial Safety. By Jack Strickland. Urbana, University of Illinois, Institute of Labor and Industrial Relations, 1951. 25 pp., bibliography, charts. (Bull. Series, Vol. 5, No. 2.) Single copies free to residents of Illinois, 10 cents to others.

Eyes in Industry: A Comprehensive Book on Eyesight Written for Industrial Workers. By Dorothy Adams Campbell, W. J. B. Riddell, Sir Arthur Salusbury MacNalty. New York, Longmans, Green and Co., 1951. 234 pp., illus. $\$ 6.50$.

Concerns English experience with eye hazards in industry and agriculture and their prevention, occupational eye injuries and diseases, and first-aid treatment. Programs for better vision in industry, including methods of protection, are presented.

## Industrial Health

Industrial Health and Medical Programs. By Margaret C. Klem, Margaret F. McKiever, Walter J. Lear, M.D. Washington, Federal Security Agency, Public Health Service, Division of Industrial Hygiene, 1950. 397 pp., bibliography, map, charts, plans. (Public Health Service Publication No. 15.) \$1, Superintendent of Documents, Washington.
Comprehensive source book of basic material which includes data on morbidity and mortality, industrial hazards and their control, absenteeism, prepaid medical-care programs, and over-all government programs (including sickness insurance).
New Developments in Industrial Health. By Allan D. Brandt and Lester M. Petrie, M.D. (In American Industrial Hygiene Association Quarterly, Chicago, June 1951, pp. 64-70. 75 cents.)

Sources of Morbidity and Mortality Material in Industrial Health. By Victoria M. Trasko. (In Public Health Reports, Federal Security Agency, Public Health Service, Washington, June 8, 1951, pp. 732-739, bibliography. 15 cents, Superintendent of Documents, Washington.)
Effects of Employment on the Course of Heart Disease. (In A.M.A. Archives of Industrial Hygiene and Occupational Medicine, Chicago, April 1951, pp. 367-374. \$1.)
Symposium on the Treatment of Chronic Beryllium Poisoning with ACTH and Cortisone. By Joseph M. De Nardi, M.D., and others. (In A.M.A. Archives of Industrial Hygiene and Occupational Medicine, Chicago, Ill., June 1951, pp. 543-630, charts, illus. \$1.)
Assembles medical experience in search of effective treatment of this toxic industrial disease. Includes reports on individual workers who had been exposed to beryllium hazards in fluorescent lamp manufacturing or other specified industries or processes.
Secondary Radiation Limits in Photofuorography. By Willard W. Van Allen. (In Public Health Reports, Federal Security Agency, Public Health Service, Washington, June 1, 1951, pp. 712-716, diagrams. 15 cents, Superintendent of Documents, Washington.)
Recommends reduction of radiation to the lowest practicable tolerance dosages for maximum protection of personnel engaged in X-ray surveys.

Report on Industrial Hygiene in the Western Zone of Germany. By Irving R. Tabershaw, M.D. (In A.M.A. Archives of Industrial Hygiene and Occupational Medicine, Chicago, March 1951, pp. 298-315. \$1).
The Social Consequences of Pneumoconiosis Among Coal Miners in South Wales. By P. Hugh-Jones and C. M. Fletcher. London, Medical Research Council, 1951. 54 pp., bibliography, map, charts. (Memorandum No. 25.) 1s. 9d. net, H. M. Stationery Office, London.
Hydrogen Sulfide Poisoning in Shale Oil Industry, [Sweden]. By Gunnar Ahlborg, M.D. (In A.M.A. Archives of Industrial Hygiene and Occupational Medicine, Chicago, March 1951, pp. 247-266, charts. \$1.)

## Industrial Relations

A Comparison of Management-Labor Attitudes Toward Grievance Procedures. By C. H. Lawshe and R. M. Guion. (In Personnel Psychology, Washington, Spring 1951, pp. 3-17. \$2.)
Plant Labor-Management Committees. Washington, Bureau of National Affairs, Inc., 1951. 13 pp. (Personnel Policies Forum, Survey No. 4.) $\$ 1$.
The Supreme Court as Protector of Civil Rights: Freedom of Expression. By David Fellman. (In The Annals, American Academy of Political and Social Science, Philadelphia, Vol. 275, May 1951, pp. 61-74. \$2 to nonmembers, $\$ 1$ to members, of Academy.)
Leading cases interpreting the application of the First Amendment to the Constitution are discussed. The cases include several labor-management issues, such as applicability of the National Labor Relations, Sherman, and Fair Labor Standards Acts to publishers and press associations and right of union officials to organize workers into unions without a license.

Civil Rights in Labor-Management Relations: A Management Viewpoint, by Philip B. Willauer; A Labor Viewpoint, by Arthur J. Goldberg. (In The Annals, American Academy of Political and Social Science, Philadelphia, Vol. 275, May 1951, pp. 140-147; 148154. $\$ 2$ to nonmembers, $\$ 1$ to members, of Academy.)

Mr. Willauer stresses the evolution of rights of the in-dividual-both employee and employer-in labor-management relations and makes some proposals for establishing conditions for the success of collective bargaining. He shows the evolutionary position of the rights of management, and calls for administrative maturity in dealing with mature management and mature unions as a requisite for successful relationships.

Mr. Goldberg bases his case on the ideas that "the expansion of governmental action within the economic field is an expression of a broadening rather than a narrowing conception of civil rights," and that it is "a basic truth that the liberty of the individual is best secured by the practice of collective action." He examines pro-
visions of the Taft-Hartley Act from this point of view, and concludes that organized labor's opposition to the Labor Management Relations Act is justified.
Industrial Democracy at Work: A Factual Survey. By W. Robson Brown and N. A. Howell-Everson. London, Sir Isaac Pittman \& Sons, Ltd., 1950. 104 pp.
Based on 600 replies to 1,500 questionnaires and on investigators' visits to 130 of the establishments that replied, this survey reports the findings of a "completely impartial and objective investigation" of British man-agement-labor relations in mid-1949. A section dealing with joint consultation quotes opinions from management, workers, and a few local union officials. The consensus favors an entirely voluntary and spontaneous process, without centralized direction or guidance. A copy of the questionnaire, with definitions of labor-management practices and instructions for completing the questions, is given in an appendix.
Works Councils in Germany. By Paul Fisher. Frankfurt, Office of the United States High Commissioner for Germany, Office of Labor Affairs, 1951. 43 pp .; processed. (Visiting Expert Series, No. 18.)
Foundation of Labor-The Netherlands Builds a New Road to Industrial Peace Through Voluntary Cooperation. The Hague, [Foundation of Labor, 1950?]. 32 pp., chart, illus.
Industrial Relations in Sweden-Some Comparisons with American Experience. By Charles A. Myers. Cambridge, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 1951. $112 \mathrm{pp} . \quad \$ 2$.
This book, by a professor of industrial relations at MIT, is a byproduct of the Exchange of Persons Program financed under the Smith-Mundt Act, and is available in both English and Swedish. According to Professor Myers, American employers and unions have much to learn about collective bargaining from the Swedes, who have been practicing it in a more mature or restrained fashion, for a longer time, than have most American industries. In his research he discovered that the Swedish enterprise councils have not yet gone as far in discussing production problems as have a few unionmanagement cooperation bodies in the United States; that the personnel function is relatively new in Swedish management, which has drawn on American experience in developing it; that company housing and welfare plans in Sweden are more elaborate than those in America. The detailed comparisons on these and other points should stimulate lively discussions, both here and abroad.

## Labor Legislation

The Impact of the Taft-Hartley Act on the Building and Construction Industry. (In Yale Law Journal, New Haven, Conn., April 1951, pp. 673-695.)
Judicial Review: "Substantial Evidence on the Whole Record." By Louis L. Jaffe. (In Harvard Law Review, Cambridge, Mass., June 1951, pp. 1233-1261. $\$ 1$.)

A consideration of judicial control over administrative determinations, as affected by the National Labor Relations Act, the Administrative Procedure Act, and the Labor Management Relations Act.

Labor Law and Agency: The Liability of a Union for "Wildcat" Strikes. By Lorene Joergensen. (In Cornell Law Quarterly, Ithaca, N. Y., Summer 1951, pp. 752-761.)

Fair Employment Practices Legislation. By Morroe Berger. (In The Annals, American Academy of Political and Social Science, Philadelphia, Vol. 275, May 1951, pp. 34-40. \$2.)
One-quarter of the Nation's people, one-tenth of the nonwhite population, and more than two-thirds of the Jews live in States or cities of the United States which have enacted fair employment practices laws. In this article, jurisdictions which have enacted such legislation are listed, administrative techniques are analyzed, and, as far as possible, results are evaluated. The author holds that "these laws have undoubtedly reduced discriminatory practices" in employment.

Recent Trends in Occupational Disease Legislation. By Louise K. Steiner. Urbana, University of Illinois, Institute of Labor and Industrial Relations, 1951. 30 pp., map, charts. (Bull. Series, Vol. 5, No. 1.) Single copies free to residents of Illinois, 10 cents to others.

## Medical Care and Sickness Insurance

Health Insurance Plans in the United States. Washington, 1951. In 3 parts, 114, 197, 44 pp., charts. (Senate Report No. 359, 82d Cong., 1st sess.)
Part 3 is devoted to government activities in the field of health services.

New Patterns in Industrial Health and Medical Care Programs in California. By E. Richard Weinerman, M.D., and Herbert K. Abrams, M.D. (In American Journal of Public Health and the Nation's Health, New York, June 1951, pp. 703-711. 70 cents.)
Includes recent trade-union experience in bargaining for health provisions in agreements, with examples of plans.

Small Plant Health Programs-A Bibliography. Compiled by Walter J. Lear, M.D. Washington, Federal Security Agency, Public Health Service, January 1951. 26 pp.; processed. (Public Health Bibliography Series, No. 3 ; Service Publication No. 80.)

Report of a Committee of Inquiry on Industrial Health Services, [Great Britain]. London, 1951. 35 pp. (Cmd. No. 8170.) 1s. 3d. net, H. M. Stationery Office, London.

Insurance Against Temporary Disability: A Blueprint for State Action. (In Yale Law Journal, New Haven, Conn., April 1951, pp. 647-672.)

Insurance and Sickness Benefit Plans in Collective Bargaining. Princeton, N. J., Princeton University, Industrial Relations Section, July 1951. 4 pp. (Selected References, No. 40.) 20 cents.

The Operation of Sickness Benefit Plans in Collective Bargaining. By Fred Slavick. Princeton, N. J., Princeton University, Industrial Relations Section, 1951. 109 pp. (Research Report Series, No. 84.) $\$ 2.50$.

Frequency of Sickness Among Railroad Employees, 194950. (In Monthly Review, U. S. Railroad Retirement Board, Chicago, March 1951, pp. 47-51, chart.)
The employees covered were those insured for temporary disability under the Federal unemployment insurance act.

## Older Workers and the Aged

Geriatrics: Problems With the Aged in Industry. By Carl T. Olson, M.D. (In Industrial Medicine and Surgery, Chicago, May 1951, pp. 205-211. 75 cents.)

Living the Later Years-A Conference on Old Age. [Huntington, W. Va., Marshall College, 1950?] 162 pp.; processed.
Proceedings of a conference, June 20 and 21, 1950, sponsored by Marshall College and civic organizations of Huntington.

The Age Problem in Research Workers. By N. W. Shock and others. (In Scientific Monthly, Washington, June 1951, pp. 353-367. 75 cents.)
The writers of the four articles in this symposium discuss, respectively, the physiological, psychological, sociological, and research administrator's viewpoints.

Pensions Are Not Enough: The Individual Company and its Older Workers. By Edwin Shields Hewitt and Associates. (In Journal of Business of the University of Chicago, April 1951, pp. 127-140; also reprinted.)

## Productivity

Gains in Productivity of Farm Labor. By Reuben W. Hecht and Glen T. Barton. Washington, U. S. Department of Agriculture, Bureau of Agricultural Economics, 1950. 121 pp., charts. (Technical Bull. No. 1020.)
Gains in farm production per man-hour, 1910-48, are measured and analyzed. The man-hour concept em-ployed-man-equivalent hours, the farm time used by average adult male workers-is different from the usual man-hour measure, which refers to actual man-hours used in production. Total United States and regional trends of man-hour output are shown for the various crops and for livestock. Area differences in productivity are also examined.

The Transportation Industries, 1889-1946: A Study of Output, Employment and Productivity. By Harold Barger. New York, National Bureau of Economic Research, Inc., 1951. xvi, 288 pp., charts. \$4.
Presents indexes of over-all output, employment, and output per worker for transportation as a whole as well as for individual industries. Greater availability of data on steam railroads and waterways permitted especially extensive consideration. Technological developments have been discussed wherever they appeared to have influenced changes in productivity. The appendixes include a discussion of various alternative measures of output and a description of certain points of methodology.
Productivity in House-Building-A Pilot Sample Survey in the South, East and West of England and in South Wales, August 1947-October 1948. London, Ministry of Works, 1950. 28 pp., charts. (National Building Studies; Special Report No. 18.) 1s. net, H. M. Stationery Office, London.

## Profit Sharing

Proft Sharing for Wage Earners and Executives. By Bryce M. Stewart and Walter J. Couper. New York, Industrial Relations Counselors, Inc., 1951. 124 pp., bibliography. (Industrial Relations Monograph No. 15.) $\$ 2$.
In two parts. Part I reproduces findings from a 1945 study on Profit Sharing and Stock Ownership for Wage Earners and Executives. Part II gives a review of experience under the 126 profit-sharing plans still in operation in 1945; a summary of legal developments; and a reappraisal of the movement in the light of present trends.

Proceedings, Third Annual Conference, Council of Profit Sharing Industries, Cincinnati, Ohio, November 30 and December 1, 1950. Akron, Ohio, Council of Profit Sharing Industries, 1951. 117 pp .

Profit-Sharing: A Review. By P. S. Narasimhan. (In International Labor Review, Geneva, December 1950, $\mathrm{pp} .469-499$. 50 cents. Distributed in United States by Washington Branch of ILO.)
Describes voluntary profit-sharing schemes, with special reference to experience in the United States and Great Britain, and reviews compulsory plans established by law or awards of industrial tribunals in a number of countries.

Op Weg Naar Samenwerking in Vrijheid, een SociaalEconomische Studie over Winstdeling met Arbeiders op Nieuwe Grondslag. By Herman Meyer. The Hague, Martinus Nijhoff, 1950. 284 pp.
A social-economic study of profit-sharing with workers in the Netherlands.

## Social Security (General)

Federal Old-Age and Survivors Insurance Trust Fund . . . Eleventh Annual Report of the Board of Trustees of the Federal Old-Age and Survivors Insurance Trust

Fund. Washington, 1951. 37 pp., chart. (Senate Doc. No. 44, 82d Cong., 1st Sess.)
Describes the fund's operations during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1950, and estimates receipts and disbursements during the 5 fiscal years following, as well as longrange actuarial status. Also discusses far-reaching effects, on future fund operations, of the 1950 amendments to the Social Security Act.

Social Insurance for Industrial Workers in India. By S. D. Punekar. London, Oxford University Press, 1950. 228 pp . (University of Bombay Publications, Economics Series, No. 4.) 17s. 6d.
50 Jaren Sociale Verzekering. Amsterdam, Rijksverzekeringsbank, 1951. 74 pp ., charts, illus.
A summary of 50 years of social insurance in the Netherlands, 1901 to 1951.

Législation Sociale de la Suisse, 1950. Zurich, Office Fédéral de l'Industrie, des Arts et Métiers et du Travail, 1951. 210 pp .
Printed in both German and French.

## Wages, Salaries, and Hours of Labor

Union Wages and Hours: The Baking Industry, July 1, 1950. Washington, U. S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, 1951. 33 pp., chart. (Bull. No. 1014.) 25 cents, Superintendent of Documents, Washington.

Wage Structure-Motor Vehicles and Parts, 1950: Hourly Earnings and Supplementary Wage Practices. Washington, U. S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, 1951. 26 pp . (Bull. No. 1015.) 20 cents, Superintendent of Documents, Washington.
1950 National Survey of Professional Scientific Salaries. Los Alamos, N. Mex., University of California, Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory, [1951?]. 30 pp., charts.
Covers 27,273 employees in 232 research and development laboratories operated by 182 agencies, including both governmental and private concerns.

Survey of Retail Trade Establishments in Honolulu. Honolulu, Hawaii Employers Council, Research Department, 1950. 9 pp .; processed. (Special Publication No. 18.)
Includes data on salaries of sales and nonsales personnel, length of workday and workweek, and related wage practices.

Truck Drivers' Wage Rates in Hawaii. Honolulu, Hawaii Employers Council, Research Department, 1951. 13 pp., charts ; processed. (Special Publication No. 19.)
Horas y Salarios de los Trabajadores de Producción, en los Grupos Principales de Industrias Manufactureras
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## A: Employment and Payrolls

Table A-1: Estimated Total Labor Force Classified by Employment Status, Hours Worked, and Sex

| Labor force | Estimated number of persons 14 years of age and over ${ }^{1}$ (in thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1951 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1950 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | July | June | May | Apr. | Mar. | Feb. | Jan. | Dec. | Nov. ${ }^{2}$ | Oct. | Sept. ${ }^{2}$ | Aug. | July ${ }^{2}$ |
| al labor forc | Total, both sexes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | (4) | ${ }^{(4)}$ | (4) | (4) | (4) | (4) | (4) | 64,674 | 65, 453 | 65, 438 | 65,020 | 66, 204 | 65, 742 |
| Oivilian labor force- | 64,382 | 63, 783 | 62, 803 | 61,789 | 62, 325 | 61,313 | 61, 514 | 62, 538 | 63, 512 | 63, 704 | 63, 567 | 64, 867 | 64, 227 |
| Unemployment Unemployed 4 weeks or less | 1,856 1,122 | 1,980 1,216 | 1,609 862 | 1,744 | 2, 1147 | 2,407 1,039 | 2,503 <br> 1,184 | ${ }^{2}, 229$ | 2,240 <br> 1,240 | 1,940 | 2, 341 | 2, 500 | 3, ${ }_{1} 1214$ |
| Unemployed 5-10 weeks... | 1,408 | 1, ${ }^{188}$ | 342 | ${ }_{366}^{825}$ | 502 | 1,640 | 1,677 | 1,153 498 | 1,240 475 | ${ }_{420}^{958}$ | ${ }^{1,107}$ | ${ }^{1,079}$ | 1, 754 |
| Unemployed 11-14 weeks- | 92 | 141 | 91 | 173 | 215 | 276 | 208 | 167 | 147 | 128 | 201 | 221 | 249 |
| Unemployed 15-26 weeks | 100 134 | 150 116 | 163 153 | 237 <br> 145 | 298 167 | ${ }_{213}^{241}$ | 251 183 | 217 194 | 175 | $\begin{array}{r}183 \\ \hline 15 \\ \hline 1\end{array}$ | 272 | 286 285 | 334 |
| Employment. |  | 116 61,803 | 61, 193 | 60, 044 | 60, 179 | 58.905 |  | 60,308 |  | ${ }_{61}{ }^{257}$ |  | 285 | 361 |
| Nonagricultural | 54, 618 | 53,768 | 61, $\begin{aligned} & \text { 61, } 193 \\ & 58\end{aligned}$ | 60,044 53,400 | 60,179 53,785 | 58,905 52.976 | 59, ${ }^{59} \mathbf{5 9}$ | 60,308 54,075 | 61, ${ }^{61,721}$ | 61,784 53,273 | 63, ${ }_{\text {615 }}^{626}$ | 62,367 54,207 | 61,214 52,774 |
| Worked 35 hours or | 42, 312 | 44,088 | 45,055 | 43, 996 | 44, 053 | 42,911 | 43, 505 | 44, 177 | 43, 546 | 42, 720 | 28, 042 | ${ }_{43,} 835$ | 25, 72 |
| Worked 15-34 hours, | 4, 898 | ${ }^{5} 5.061$ | 4.931 | 5,651 | 5,476 | 5, 808 | ${ }^{5,561}$ | 6,002 | 6,417 | 7,023 | 20, 827 | 4 4,583 | 19, 201 |
| Worked 1-14 hours | 1.570 | ${ }_{2}^{2} .082$ | ${ }^{2}, 071$ | 2,185 | 2,311 | 2, 236 | 2,251 | 2,319 | 2,331 | 1,999 | 1,984 | 1,545 | 1,650 |
| Agricultural. | 5,838 | 2, 8,035 | ${ }_{7}^{1,697}$ | 8, ${ }^{1,5675}$ | [1,945 | 2,022 | 1,676 6,018 | ${ }_{6}^{1,577}$ | 1, 427 | 1,531 | 2,561 | 4, 246 | 6. 852 |
| Worked 35 hours or m | 6,110 | ${ }_{5}^{5,960}$ | 5,799 | 6, 645 4,809 | 6,393 4,412 | 5,930 3,790 | 6,018 3,895 | 6,234 3,983 |  | 8, 849 | 7, $\begin{aligned} & \text { 7, } 211 \\ & 5,29\end{aligned}$ | 8,160 6,170 8, | 8,440 6,348 |
| Worked 15-34 hours | 1,468 | 1,699 | 1,335 | 1,351 | 1,418 | 1,415 | 1,467 | 1,505 | 1,594 | ${ }_{1}, 611$ | 2,028 | 1,475 | ${ }^{1} 1,695$ |
| With a job but not at | 206 | 280 | 215 | ${ }_{2}^{239}$ |  |  | 308 | 348 | 306 | 245 | 356 | 295 | 238 |
|  |  |  |  | 246 |  | 353 | 348 |  | 163 |  | 170 | 223 | 158 |
|  | Males |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total labor force ${ }^{\text {3 }}$ - - . | (4) | (4) | (1) | (4) | (4) | (4) | (4) | 45,644 | 45,934 | 45,978 | 46, 155 | 47,132 | 47,000 |
| Oivilian labor force <br> Unemployment. $\qquad$ <br> Employment $\qquad$ <br> Nonagricultural <br> W orked 35 hours or more $\qquad$ <br> Worked 15-34 hours <br> With a job but not at work <br> Agricultural <br> Worked 35 hours or more <br> Worked $15-34$ hours Worked $1-14$ hours <br> With a job but not at work | 44,6021,00843,50433,53430,4242,6146083,5206,275,646580122122 | $\begin{array}{r} 44,316 \\ 1,167 \\ 43,149 \\ 33,1482 \\ 32,2021 \\ 2,578 \\ 1,815 \\ 6,48 \\ 6,28 \\ 5,301 \\ 724 \\ 175 \\ \hline 87 \end{array}$ | 43,508490450836.59632,1842,4571891,0625,9625,1076191568080 | 43,1821,0842,15433,154931,4203,0298971,0035,8054,883459165198 |  | 42,8941,5441,30035,98030,2843,3559841,3575,3203,6441,077300298 | $\begin{array}{r} 43,093 \\ 1,659 \\ 41,433 \\ 41,432 \\ 36,072 \\ 31,054 \\ 2,994 \\ 1,961 \\ 1,10 \\ 5,362 \\ 3,724 \\ 1,266 \\ 253 \\ 319 \end{array}$ | 43,5351,45942,07636,58531,3883,21719881,0623,4913,7511,1342688338 |  |  | 44,7261,48243,24436,87721,10313,27318171,6836,3674,8751,1311.219143 | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 45,818 \\ 1,664 \\ 44,154 \\ 37,455 \\ 31,800 \\ 2,508 \\ 654 \\ 2,494 \\ 6,699 \\ 5,573 \\ 764 \\ 181 \\ 183 \end{array}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Females |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total labor force ${ }^{\text {3 }}$ - | (4) | (4) | (4) | (4) | (4) | (4) | (4) | 19,030 | 19,519 | 19,460 | 18,885 | 19,072 | 18,742 |
| Oivilian labor force <br> Unemployment $\qquad$ <br> Employment. $\qquad$ <br> W orked 35 hours or more <br> Worked 15-34 hours. <br> With a job but not at work 0 <br> Agricultural <br> W orked 35 hours or more <br> Worked 15-34 hours. $\qquad$ <br> Worked 1-14 hours ${ }^{6}$ | 19,78078819,02217,38411,8202,2842,8622,181,688784788842 | 19,46781318,65416,90612,0672,4831,2671,0891,74816599751051010 | 19,2941869911,63517,15712,8712,4741,6781,651,4786927765911 | 18,60717,1789017,50512,5762,621,2881865648402264927448 |  | $\begin{array}{r} 18,419 \\ 17 \\ 805 \end{array}$ | 18, 881 | 19, 003 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 19,493 \\ & 10981 \\ & 108 k 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19,436 \\ & 768 \end{aligned}$ | 18,841 | 19,049 | 18,719 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1770 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 17,577 16,921 | 18, 232 | 18, 561 | 18,668 | 17, 982 | 18,213 | 16, 169 |
|  |  |  |  |  | (12,707 |  |  | 12,869 |  | 11, 11894 |  | 12, 12.035 | 6,167 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 12,627 \\ 2,451 \\ 1,252 \end{array}$ |  | 2,7851,321 | 2,970 | 3,200 <br> 1,199 | 7, 7 , 534 | 2,075 <br> 89 <br> 1 | 6,439 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1,336 |  | $\begin{array}{r} 12,401 \\ 2,614 \\ 1,290 \end{array}$ |  |  |  | 1,878 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | [666 | 1, 515 | 1, 4751,395 | 1,4731,902 |  | ${ }_{1}^{1,752}$ | ${ }_{\substack{2,645 \\ 1,463}}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | 754186188 | 610146 |  |  |  |  | 1,444 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4015529 | 3718061 | $\begin{gathered} 752 \\ 106 \\ 106 \\ 30 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | 559 |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 479 \\ 48 \\ 42 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 338 \\ 70 \\ 55 \\ 55 \end{array}$ |  |  |  | 855996 | 89713727 | 71111440 | 7967632 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^24]Table A-2: Employees in Nonagricultural Establishments, by Industry Division and Group ${ }^{1}$
[In thousands]


Table A-2: Employees in Nonagricultural Establishments, by Industry Division and Group ${ }^{1}$-Con.
[In thousands]

| Industry group and industry | 1951 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1950 |  |  |  |  |  | Annual average |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | July | June | May | Apr | Mar. | Feb. | Jan. | Dec. | Nov. | Oct. | Sept. | Aug. | July | 1950 | 1949 |
| Manufacturing-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Furniture and fixtures. | 330 | 335 | 349 | 366 | 374 | 373 | 370 | 374 | 376 | 378 | 376 | 367 | 350 | 357 | 315 |
| Household furniture |  | 227.6 | 240.4 | 256.0 | 265. 0 | 265.1 | 262.9 | 266.5 | 270.5 | 570 | 269.0 | 262.1 | 249.5 | 255.5 | 220.0 |
| Other furniture and fix |  | 107.5 | 108.6 | 109.5 | 109.1 | 107.6 | 106.8 | 107.0 | 105.8 | 107.1 | 107.1 | 104.9 | 100.0 | 101.5 | 94.6 |
| Paper and allied products. Pulp, paper, and paperboard mills Paperboard containers and boxes Other paper and allied products.$\qquad$ | 496 | 502 | 498 | 500 | 498 | 496 | 496 | 499 | 500 | 491 | 488 | 479 | 465 |  | $47^{-24}$ |
|  | 406 | 250.7 | 246.2 | 245.5 | 242.2 | 242. 2 | 242.4 | 244.5 | 242.8 | 241.7 | 241.5 | 238.6 | 234.8 | ${ }_{235} \mathbf{4 7 2} 8$ | 226.9 |
|  |  | 136.5 | 137.2 | 139. 1 | 139.3 | 139. 4 | 139. 5 | 140.9 | 141.9 | 140.0 | 137.4 | 131. 7 | 123.4 | 128.5 | 117.1 |
|  |  | 114.5 | 114.4 | 115.7 | 116.0 | 114.7 | 114.3 | 113.8 | 114.9 | 109.5 | 109.2 | 109.1 | 106. 4 | 107.7 | 103.1 |
|  | 759 | 761 | 760 | 757 | 760 | 758 | 758 | 765 | 759 | 754 | 746 | 741 | 739 | 743 | $727$ |
|  |  | 299.5 | 299.9 | 297.1 | 297.1 | 296.7 | 295.5 | 298.9 | 295. 9 | 292.9 | 295.1 | 292.7 | 295.1 | 293.3 | $282.5$ |
| Periodicals |  | 52.2 49.4 | 52.5 | 52.8 | 52.8 | 52.8 | 53. 0 | 53.1 | 53.3 | 52, 8 | 51.5 | 51.8 | 51.7 | 52.1 | 53.4 |
| Commerci |  | 49.4 206.2 | 49.0 204.9 | 49.1 | 49.3 | 48.8 206.2 | 48.1 | 48. 6 | 48. 4 | 48.4 | 48. 4 | 47.8 | 46.2 | 46.7 | 44.6 |
| Lithographing |  | 206.2 41.1 | 204.9 41.1 | 204.8 41.3 | 206.9 41.1 | 206.2 40.9 | 207.3 40.8 | 207.4 42.0 | 205.3 42.4 | 204.8 42.1 | 200.1 41.1 | 198.8 40.5 | 188.1 40.0 | $\begin{array}{r}200.8 \\ 40 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 197. I |
| Other printing and pub |  | 112.7 | 112.1 | 112. 2 | 112.8 | 112.8 | 113.2 | 114.5 | 113. 7 | 113.1 | 110.0 | 108.9 | 108.2 | 108.9 | 108. 0 |
| Chemicals and allied products....-.-.-. - | 742 | 742 | 742 | 749 | 748 | 738 | 729 | 724 | 720 | 720 | 701 | 684 | 669 |  | 664 |
| Industrial inorganic chemicals .-.-.-.-. -- | 72 | 83.6 | 81.7 | 81.0 | 80.1 | 79.4 | 78.5 | 724.6 | 77.1 | 76.6 | 69.3 | 68.3 | 70.3 | 686 71.5 | 664.4 68.4 |
| Industrial organic chemica |  | 228.7 | 225.3 | 224.2 | 221.7 | 216.9 | 214.5 | 213.9 | 211.3 | 2088 | 206. 4 | 203.6 | 199.8 | 200.1 | 192.1 |
| Drugs and medicin |  | 107.1 | 106. 0 | 105.3 | 104.8 | 103.7 | 101.1 | 101.3 | 100. 2 | 99.5 | 98.4 | 96. 7 | 95.9 | 95.8 | 92.3 |
| Fertilizers |  | 76.8 | 76.6 | 76.3 | 76.0 | 75.5 | 73.1 | 73.8 | 73.7 | 74.0 | 74.2 | 73.5 | 72.7 | 71.4 | 67.3 |
| Vegetable and animal |  | 31.3 47.8 | 36.4 | 40.1 51 | 4 | 1 | 37.5 57.6 | 32.9 | 32.1 60.9 | 32.9 | 7 | 29. | 28.3 | 34.0 | 34.3 |
| Other chemicals and allied prod |  | 167.2 | 167.1 | 170.6 | 169.3 | 167.5 | 166.3 | 164.8 | 164.6 | 166.4 | 165. 4 | 164.0 | 155.6 | 54.5 158.3 | 56.1 153.0 |
| Products of petroleum and coal Petroleum refining | 266 | 264 | 260 | 258 | 257 | 256 | 254 | 254 | 254 | 252 | 251 | 254 | 241 |  | 245 |
|  | 266 | 210.9 | 208.2 | 205.7 | 204. 7 | 204.1 | 202.3 | 201.6 | 201.5 | 199.3 | 198.1 | 200.5 | 189.0 | 194.6 | 198.7 |
|  |  | 22.0 | 21.6 | 21.5 | 21. 4 | 21.3 | 21.3 | 21.2 | 21.2 | 21.4 | 21.5 | 21.4 | 21.1 | 20.8 | 19.5 |
|  |  | 31.1 | 30.5 | 30.7 | 30.5 | 30.1 | 30.1 | 31.2 | 30.8 | 31.3 | 31.2 | 32.5 | 30.5 | 29.5 | 27.1 |
| Rubber products | 268 | 273 | 271 | 270 | 271 | 273 | 273 | 272 | 272 | 269 | 265 | 258 | 249 | 252 | 234 |
| Tires and inner t |  | 113.8 | 112.2 | 111.7 | 112.5 | 114.6 | 115.1 | 116.1 | 117.2 | 115.7 | 115.2 | 112.8 | 111.3 | 110.9 | 106.6 |
| Rubber footwear |  | 31.2 | 30.8 | 30.3 | 30. 6 | 30.8 | 30.1 | 29.1 | 28.5 | 28.0 | 26. 9 | 25. 7 | 24.1 | 25.6 | 26. 4 |
| Other rubber pro |  | 128.4 | 128.0 | 128.4 | 128.3 | 128.0 | 127.5 | 127.0 | 126.6 | 125.3 | 122. 5 | 119.1 | 113.6 | 114.9 | 100.5 |
| Leather and leather product | 377 | 382 | 370 | 392 | 410 | 413 | 403 | 398 | 399 | 406 | 411 | 409 | 390 |  |  |
| Leather...... | 37 | 47.0 | 47.6 | 49.1 | 50.6 | 51.8 | 51.8 | 51.9 | 51.8 | 51. 4 | 51.9 | 51.1 | 49.5 | 394 50.5 | $49.7$ |
| Footwear (except |  | 244.0 | 232.7 | 247.4 | 259.6 | 261.7 | 256.8 | 251.7 | 248.4 | 253.4 | 259.5 | 260.4 | 252.8 | 252.3 | 251.0 |
| Other leather pro |  | 90.8 | 89.2 | 95.9 | 99.3 | 99.2 | 94.5 | 94.0 | 98.6 | 101.5 | 99.6 | 97.5 | 88.1 | 91.1 | 87.2 |
| Stone, clay, and glass products. <br> Glass and glass products. <br> Cement, hydraulic. $\qquad$ <br> Structural clay products. $\qquad$ <br> Pottery and related products. Concrete, gypsum, and plaster products. Other stone, clay, and glass products. | 553 | 562 | 560 | 559 | 554 | 547 | 548 | 548 | 550 | 544 | 532 | 532 | 512 | 512 |  |
|  |  | 147.0 | 148.1 | 148,8 | 146. 9 | 143.9 | 143.8 | 144.6 | 145. 6 | 144.1 | 133.8 | 137.9 | 130.8 | 133.5 | 122.6 |
|  |  | 43.5 | 42.6 | 42.4 | 42.3 | 41.9 | 42.0 | 42, 4 | 42.7 | 43.1 | 42.4 | 43.3 | 41.7 | +42.1 | 41.8 |
|  |  | 93.3 | 91.0 | 89.7 | 88.5 | 87.5 | 88. 2 | 87.2 | 88.6 | 87.9 | 88.0 | 87.2 | 85.2 | 82.4 | 79.8 |
|  |  | 59.8 | 60.5 | 61.0 | 61.1 | 60.9 | 60.4 | 60.8 | 60.9 | 58.1 | 58.8 | 57.4 | 55.3 | 57.9 | 57.5 |
|  |  | 102.3 | 101.2 | 100.5 | 99.3 | 97.4 | 97.8 | 98.2 | 98.3 | 98.5 | 98.1 | 98.3 | 95.5 | 92.2 | 84.6 |
|  |  | 116.2 | 116.4 | 116.1 | 116.0 | 115.6 | 115.3 | 114.3 | 113.7 | 112.5 | 110.5 | 107.4 | 103.5 | 103.5 | 97.1 |
| Primary metal industries | 1, 349 | 1,354 | 1,345 | 1,344 |  |  |  | 1,318 | 1,301 | 1,289 | 1,276 | 1,256 | 1, 222 | 1,220 | , 101 |
| Blast furnaces, steel works, and rolling mills | 1,340 | 1,354 653.5 | 1,347.4 |  | 643. 4 | 640.1 |  | 638.1 | 1,335.6 | 1,289 638 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Iron and steel foundries |  | 284.7 | 283.6 | 282.6 | 279.9 | 274.8 | 270.8 | 267.5 | 262.5 | 255. 4 | 250. 2 | 241.2 | 229.7 | 614.1 231.8 | 550.4 217.0 |
| Primary smelting and refining of nonferrous metals. |  | 284. |  |  | 27. | 56.8 | 56.9 | 267.5 | 202.5 54.8 | 255.4 55.5 | 250.2 54.8 | 241.2 | 229.7 54.3 | 231.8 | 217.0 52.3 |
| Rolling, drawing, and alloying of nonferrous metals |  |  |  |  | 56. 6 | 56.8 | 8 |  | 8 | 5. 5 | . 8 | , 1 | 3 | 54.6 | 2.3 |
|  |  | 100.7 | 99.7 | 103.1 | 104. 0 | 104. 3 | 104.3 | 104.1 | 102. 8 | 102.3 | 101.9 | 99.5 | 96.0 | 96.9 | 87.0 |
| Nonferrous foundrie |  | 109.4 | 110.9 | 110.9 | 110.7 | 110.7 | 110. | 109.6 | 106.6 | 104.8 | 100.7 | 96.0 | 92.1 | 93.0 | 75.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 144. | 144.1 | 141. | 138. | 137.6 | 136. | 133.9 | 128.7 | 129. | 118.4 |
| Fabricated metal products (except ordnance, machinery, and transportation equipment) | 985 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 985 | 1,019 49.7 | 1,026 49.1 | 1,033 49.4 | 1, 031.9 | 1,022. 48.2 | 1, 016 | 1, 018 | 1,017 50.2 | ${ }_{51} 013$ | 996 <br> 55.5 <br> 10.5 | 972 | 929 | 933 | 859 |
| Cutlery, hand tools, and ha |  | 162.3 | 163.9 | 165.0 | 167.1 | 168.3 | 168. 4 | 51.4 168.8 | b0. 168.0 | 51.9 166.1 | 55.5 163.1 | 156. 7 | 51. | 48.4 | 45.8 |
| Heating apparatus (except electric) and plumbers' supplies |  | 162.3 | 163.9 | 165.0 |  | 168.3 | 168.4 | 168.8 | 168.0 | 168. | 163. | 156. | 153.0 | 156.9 | 142.3 |
| Fabricated structural metal products. |  | 227.6 | 129.9 | 228.1 | 122. 9 | 222.7 | 20, | 161. | 103. | 16. | 164.1 | 58. | 147.2 | 150.6 | 132. 0 |
| Metalstamping, coating, and engraving |  | 185.8 | 188.5 | 192.6 | 192.3 | 190.8 | 187.4 | 219.8 18.6 | 185.6 | 184.8 | 209.9 | 210.3 179.3 | 201.3 | 201.4 169 | 198.5 |
| Other fabricated met |  | 236.1 | 235.4 | 236.4 | 234.5 | 232.0 | 230.0 | 230.3 | 230.7 | 229.1 | 220.6 | 211.5 | 203.1 | 169.8 | 192.4 198.4 |
| Machinery (except electrical)Engines and turbines...................-Agricultural machinery and tractors.Construction and mining machinery.-.Metalworking machinery | 1,602 | 1,620 | 1,604 | 1,592 | 1,579 | 1,557 | 1,528 | 1,492 | 1,459 | 1,426 | 1,368 | 1,374 | 1,343 | 1,352 | 1,311 |
|  |  | 1,620 91.5 | 89.9 | 1, 88.8 | 1,579 85 | 1,83.8 | 1, 83.2 | 1, 81.3 | $\begin{array}{r}1,459.8 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 1, 72.9 | $\begin{array}{r}1,308 \\ \hline 1\end{array}$ | 1, 74.8 | 1,343 <br> 72 | 1, 72.6 | 72.5181.3 |
|  |  | 196.1 | 193. 2 | 193.1 | 192. 1 | 189.7 | 186.8 | 175. 4 | 164.4 | 163.5 | 140.5 | 179.5 | 180.1 | 172.4 |  |
|  |  | 121.0 | 118.5290.4 | 117.0 | 117.0 | 115.5 | 114.0 | 112. 4 | 110.9 | 108.9 | 105.6 | 101.6 | 99.1 | 100.7 | 101.3208.7 |
|  |  | 296.5 |  | 287.0 | 282.6 | 277.2 | 268.1 | 259.4 | 251.5 | 242.9 | 2335 | 222.1 | 212.0 | 220.2 |  |
| Special-industry machinery (except metalworking machinery) |  | 198. 4 | 290.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General industrial machinery |  | 230.2105.6 | 228.2 | 226.8 | $\begin{aligned} & 194.8 \\ & 224.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 192.8 \\ & 219.0 \end{aligned}$ | 216.4 | $\begin{aligned} & 183.4 \\ & 212.2 \end{aligned}$ | 207.1 | 178.2 | $\begin{aligned} & 174.6 \\ & 197.6 \end{aligned}$ | 168.6 | 165.3 | 167. 6 | 171.8 |
| Office and store machines and devices. |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 104.7 \\ & 179.9 \\ & 201.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 103.3 \\ & 179.7 \\ & 199.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 102.3 \\ & 184.1 \\ & 195.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 101.4 \\ & 184.8 \\ & 193.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \\ & 181.7 \\ & 188.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 99.2 \\ 182.6 \\ 186.1 \end{array}$ | 97.9 | 95.9 | 197.6 94.4 | 191.7 | 185.0 | 188.5 | 186.4 90.6 |
| Service-industry and household machines. |  | 105.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 97.9 \\ 185.5 \\ 182.4 \end{array}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{r} 95.9 \\ 182.0 \\ 178.2 \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{array}{r} 94.4 \\ 180.1 \\ 171.4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 90.8 \\ 178.6 \\ 166.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|r} 89.5 \\ 178.8 \\ 160.5 \end{array}$ | 90.9 90.6 <br> 176.2 145.4 <br> 162.7 153.2 |  |
| Miscellaneous machinery parts |  | 204.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table A-2: Employees in Nonagricultural Establishments, by Industry Division and Group ${ }^{1}$-Con.
[In thousands]

| Industry group and industry | 1951 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1950 |  |  |  |  |  | Annual average |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | July | June | May | Apr. | Mar. | Feb. | Jan. | Dec. | Nov. | Oct. | Sept. | Aug. | July | 1950 | 1949 |
| Manufacturing-Continued <br> Electrical machinery | 903 | 934 | 932 | 941 | 944 | 931 | 924 | 936 | 929 | 915 | 872 | 853 | 817 | 836 | 759 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Electrical generating, transmission, distribution, and industrial apparatus. |  | 377.0 | 370.1 | 365.0 | 359.0 | 352.8 | 349.0 | 349.5 | 344.7 | 341.5 | 323.5 | 323.9 | 313.8 | 317.3 | 295.2 |
| Electrical equipment for vehicles |  | 82.4 | 82. 0 | 305 80.8 | 79.4 | $\begin{array}{r}\text { 78. } \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 77.9 | 77.4 | 75.9 | 75.0 | 73. 3 | 70.9 318.1 | $\begin{array}{r}70.0 \\ \\ \hline 97\end{array}$ | 70.1 300.2 | 64.5 271.1 |
| Communication equipment. |  | 325.0 | 329.3 | 343.6 | 353.4 | 347.3 | 345.1 | 355.9 | 354.6 | 345.5 | 326.5 | 318.1 | 297.0 | 309.2 | 271.1 |
| Electrical appliances, lamps, and miscellaneous products |  | 149.6 | 150.7 | 151.9 | 152.3 | 152.6 | 151.8 | 153.3 | 154.1 | 152.8 | 149.0 | 139.6 | 136. 2 | 139.8 | 128.3 |
| Transportation equip | 1,521 | 1,519 | 1,512 | 1,520 | 1,527 | 1,493 | 1,425 | 1,404 | 1,380 | 1,394 | 1,365 | 1,347 | 1,297 | 1,273 | 1,212 |
| Automobiles..-....... |  | 1,875.7 | 892.7 | 1, 913.9 | 1, 935.6 | 925.8 | 1, 897.6 | 1, 895.7 | 887.7 | 1, 922.7 | 913.3 | 907.9 | 883.7 | 839.4 | 769.0 255.6 |
| Aircraft and part |  | 447.6 | 427.4 | 415.9 | 400.0 | 382. 7 | 354. 2 | 339. 1 | 323. 4 | 305.1 | 288. 0 | 272.8 | 259.3 | 275. 4 | 255.6 169.7 |
| Aircraft. |  | 302.9 | 288.2 | 281.7 | 271.4 | 258. 2 | 236.7 | 228.2 | 217. 5 | 205. 0 | 195.8 | 183.7 | 172.8 52.8 | 184.2 54.5 | 169.7 51.8 |
| A ircraft engines and parts |  | 87.0 | 84.2 10.4 | 81.1 | 77.2 9.5 | 74.6 9.4 | 70.4 9.3 | 66. 6 | 63.4 8.9 | 60. 1 | 52.5 8.2 | 54.1 7.5 | 52.8 7.7 | 54.5 8.1 | 51.8 7.9 |
| Aircraft propellers and parts |  | 10.2 | 10.4 | 10.2 | 9.5 41.9 | 9.4 40.5 | 9.3 37 | 9.1 3.5 | 8.9 33.6 | 8.5 31.5 | 8.2 29.5 | 7.5 27.5 | 7.7 26.0 | 8.1 28.7 | 7.9 26.2 |
| Other aircraft parts and equipment.- |  | 47.5 | 44.6 | 42.9 | 41.9 | 40.5 | 37.8 | 35.2 | 33.6 88.9 | 31.5 88 | 29.5 89.1 | 27.5 | 26.0 | 28.7 84.4 | 26.2 100.3 |
| Ship and boat building and repairing-- |  | 112.0 | 109.0 | 108.6 | 109.5 | 108.9 | 96. 5 | 91.9 | 88. 9 | 88.6 | 89.1 | 91.7 | 81.2 | 84.4 | 100.3 88.2 |
| Ship building snd repairing ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | 97.3 14.7 | 94.2 14.8 | 93.8 14.8 | 95.0 14.5 | 94.4 14.5 | 82.4 | 77.8 14.1 | 75. 5 13.4 | 75.3 13.3 | 75.8 13.3 | 78.4 | 67.4 13.8 | 71.4 13.0 | 12.1 |
| Railroad equipment... |  | 73.1 | 72.0 | 70.1 | 68.6 | 62.2 | 66.3 | 66.1 | 65.9 | 64.3 | 63.0 | 61.8 | 61.3 | 62.2 | 76.1 |
| Other transportation equipm |  | 10.9 | 11.2 | 11.9 |  | $13.2 \quad 12.3$ |  | 13.1 | 13.6 | 13.7 | 13.4 | 12.9 | 11.6 | 11.4 | 10.9 |
| Instruments and related produc | 293 | 299 | $297$ | $295$ | $290$ |  | 280 | 280 | 277 | 272 | 265 | 252 | 242 | 250 | 238 |
| Ophthalmic goods |  | 28.0 | 28.1 | 28.0 | 27.8 | 27.5 | 27.2 | 26. 9 | 26.7 | 26.2 | 25.6 | 25.1 | 24.8 | 25.4 | 26.8 |
| Photographic appa |  | 60.5 | 59.0 | 58.6 | 57.8 | 57.0 | 55. 6 | 55. 5 | 55.1 | 54. 5 | 53.9 | 52.8 | 51.0 | 51.3 | 52.6 |
| Watches and clocks. |  | 34.2 | 33.9 | 34.5 | 34. 2 | 34. 0 | 33.3 | 33.9 | 33. 7 | 32. 8 | 31. 5 | 28.0 | 27.8 | 30. 1 | 127.1 |
| Professional and scientific instruments. |  | 176.5 | 175.7 | 173.4 | 170.0 | 167.4 | 164.1 | 164.0 | 161. 1 | 158.1 | 153.5 | 146.0 | 138.1 | 143.4 | 127.1 |
| Miscellaneous manufacturing industries. | 458 |  |  |  | 508 |  | 489 | 500 | 508 | 510 | 49357.2 | 471 | 430 | 459 | 426 |
| Jewelry, silverware, and plated ware... |  | 50.6 | 52.4 | 54.9 | 56.8 | 58.2 | 57.3 | 57.5 | 58.2 | 58.2 |  | 55.4 | 51.1 | 54.8 | 55.4 |
| Toys and sporting goods. |  | 74.9 | 77.3 | 78.9 | 78.0 | 76.1 | 71.5 | 75.8 | 82. 0 | 84.5 | 81.3 | 78.9 | 71.5 | 73.3 | 68.7 |
| Costume jewelry, buttons, notions |  | 53.3 | 55.8 | 60.8 | 64.5 | 65.1 | 62.0 | 61.5 | 64.3 | 65.7 | 63.7 | 61.1 | 52.1 | 58.2 | 7.7 |
| Other miscellaneous manufacturing industries. $\qquad$ |  | 300.4 | 301.1 | 305.6 | 308.6 | 304.5 | 298.3 | 305. 2 | 303.1 | 301.7 | 290.8 | 276.0 | 254.8 | 272.3 | 243.8 |
| Transportation and public | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} 4,166 \\ 2,912 \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 4,161 \\ 2,922 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 4,138 \\ & 2,912 \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} 4,132 \\ 2,909 \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4,112 \\ & 2,893 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4,082 \\ & 2,866 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,072 \\ 2,858 \end{array}$ | 4, 425 | 4, 1232,911 | $\begin{gathered} 4,132 \\ 2,912 \end{gathered}$ | 4, 1392.913 | 4,1202,891 | 4,0822,839 | $\begin{aligned} & 4.010 \\ & 2,801 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3,979 \\ 2,756 \end{gathered}$ |
| Transportation....... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interstate railroa | $2,912$ | 2, 1,472 | 1, 465 | $\begin{aligned} & 1,463 \\ & 1,868 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,451 \\ & 1,274 \end{aligned}$ | $1,429$ | $1,428$ | $1,460$ | 1,465 | 1,462 | 1,458 | 1,441 | 1, 414 | 1,390 | 1,367 |
| Class I railroads |  | 1, 1,295 | 1, 1,291 |  |  | $1,253$ | $1,253$ | $1,277$ | 1, 292 | 1. 291 | 1, 283 | 1, 272 | 1, 246 | 1,220 | 1, 191 |
| Local railways and bus lines |  | 142 | 144 | 144624 | $\begin{aligned} & 144 \\ & 626 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 144 \\ & 624 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 145 \\ 616 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 145 \\ 622 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 145 \\ 617 \end{array}$ | 145621 | $\begin{array}{r} 146 \\ 621 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 146 \\ & 614 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 148 \\ & 589 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 148 \\ & 584 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Trucking snd warehousing...-.-.-.-.---- |  | 617 | 619 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 548 |
| Other transportation and services |  | 693 | 68479.4 | $\begin{aligned} & 678 \\ & 78.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 672 \\ 76.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 669 \\ 76.1 \end{gathered}$ | $669$$75.1$ | 68174.6 | $\begin{gathered} 684 \\ 74.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 684 \\ 74.4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 688 \\ 74.7 \end{gathered}$ | 690 | $\begin{gathered} 689 \\ 75.7 \end{gathered}$ | 679 | ${ }^{684} 76.7$ |
| Air transportation (common carrier)-- |  | 826686 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 74.5 |  | 663 |  |
|  | 690 |  | 680 | 678 | 675 | $671$ | $668$ | $670$ | 664 | 670 | $671$$621.6$ | 671 | 667 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 686 \\ & 632.2 \end{aligned}$ |
| Teleph |  | 637.2 | 630.348.8 | 629.0 | 625.947.8 | 622.6 | 618.4 | 620.3 | 614.8 | 620.947.9 |  | 622.9 <br> 47.2 | $\begin{array}{r} 619.5 \\ 46.7 \end{array}$ | 614.847.2 |  |
| Telegraph |  | 48.3 |  | 48.4 |  | 47.9 | 48.3 | 48.6 | 48.0 |  | $\begin{array}{r} 61.6 \\ 48.0 \end{array}$ |  |  |  | 632.2 52.8 |
| Other public utilities | 564 | 553 | 546 | 545 | 544 | 545 | 546 | 547 | 548 | 550 | 555 | 558 | 556 | 546 | 537 |
| Gas and electric utilities. |  | 527.1 | 521.1 | 519.8 | 519.1 | 519.9 | 521.0 | 522. 2 | 523.5 | 525. 1 | 529.5 | 531. 7 | 530. 4 | 520.6 | 512. 0 |
| Electric light and power utilities |  | 235.0 | 232.5 | 231.9 | 231.5 | 232.3 | 232.0 | 232.5 | 233. 2 | 234.0 | 236. 6 | 238.6 | 238.4 | 234.0 | 233.5 |
| Gas utilities..--......-. .-. --. |  | 117.9 | 116.0 | 115.6 | 115.6 | 115.8 | 116.4 | 117.2 | 117.6 | 118.1 | 118.6 | 118.0 | 117.6 | 114.9 |  |
| Electric light and gas utilities combined |  |  | 172.6 | 172.3 | 172.0 | 171.8 | 172.6 | 172.5 | 172.7 | 173.0 | 174. 3 | 175.1 | 174.4 | 171.6 |  |
| Local utilit |  | 25.5 | 24.9 | 25. 4 | 24.6 | 24.7 | 24.8 | 24.6 | 24.7 | 24.8 | 25. 4 | 25.9 | 25.7 | 25.2 | 24.6 |
| Trade. | 9,656 | 9,728 | 9,676 | 9,627 | 9,713 | 9,554 | 9,592 | 10,443 | 9,896 | 9, 752 | 9,641 | 9,474 | 9.390 | 9.524 | 9,438 |
| Wholesale tra | 2,584 | 2,580 | 2,567 | 2, 579 | 2, 590 | 2, 593 | 2, 587 | 2, 616 | 2, 618 | 2, 625 | 2, 605 | 2, 582 | 2, 528 | 2, 544 | 2, 522 |
| Retail trade. | 7, 072 | 7, 148 | 7, 109 | 7,048 | 7, 123 | 6,961 | 7,005 | 7,827 | 7, 278 | 7, 127 | 7, 036 | 6, 892 | 6, 862 | 6, 980 | 6, 916 |
| General merchandise sto | 1,397 | 1,457 | 1,472 | 1, 453 | 1,512 | 1,431 | 1,459 | 2, 052 | 1, 654 | 1, 539 | 1, 474 | 1,387 | 1,372 | 1,493 | 1, 480 |
| Food and liquor stores. | 1, 276 | 1,269 | 1,269 | 1, 264 | 1,264 | 1,257 | 1,244 | 1, 264 | 1,242 | 1, 219 | 1,210 | 1, 200 | 1,203 | 1, 209 | 1, 198 |
| Automotive and accessories dealers....- | - 753 | 748 | 742 | 1,739 | 736 | 735 | 743 | 753 | 746 | 741 | 743 | 749 | 746 | 728 | 676 |
| A pparel and accessories stores | 519 | 548 | 549 | 542 | 574 | 515 | 523 | 642 | 565 | 555 | 540 | 491 | 501 | 536 | 554 |
| Other retail trade... | 13, 127 | 13,126 | 3, 077 | 3, 050 | 13, 037 | 13,023 | 3,036 | 13,116 | 13,071 | 13,073 | 13,069 | 3,065 | 3,040 | 3, 014 | 13,008 |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table A-2: Employees in Nonagricultural Establishments, by Industry Division and Group ${ }^{1}$-Con.
[In thousands]

| Industry group and industry | 1951 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1950 |  |  |  |  |  | Annual average |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | July | June | May | Apr. | Mar. | Feb. | Jan. | Dec. | Nov. | Oct. | Sept. | Aug. | July | 1950 | 1949 |
| Finance. <br> Banks and trust companies Security dealers and exchanges. Insurance carriers and agents. Other finance agencies and real estate.-. | 1,907 | 1,89346063.8671698 | $\begin{aligned} & 1,875 \\ & 452 \\ & 63.8 \\ & 664 \\ & 695 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,865 \\ & 451 \\ & 63.9 \\ & 662 \\ & 688 \end{aligned}$ | 1,85444963.9662679 | $\begin{aligned} & 1,839 \\ & 446 \\ & 63.4 \\ & 657 \\ & 673 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.831 \\ & 441 \\ & 62.0 \\ & 653 \\ & 675 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,828 \\ & 439 \\ & 61.3 \\ & 655 \\ & 673 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,820 \\ & 436 \\ & 61.1 \\ & 651 \\ & 672 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,821 \\ & 433 \\ & 60.8 \\ & 651 \\ & 676 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,827 \\ & 433 \\ & 60.9 \\ & 654 \\ & 679 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,887 \\ & 435 \\ & 61.4 \\ & 658 \\ & 683 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,881 \\ & 432 \\ & 61.3 \\ & 652 \\ & 886 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.812 \\ 427 \\ 59.6 \\ 646 \\ 680 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,763 \\ & 416 \\ & 55.5 \\ & 618 \\ & 672 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Service <br> Hotels and lodging places. $\qquad$ <br> Laundries. <br> Cleaning and dyeing plants. <br> Motion pictures. | 4,852 | $\begin{aligned} & 4,834 \\ & 479 \\ & 365.0 \\ & 161.0 \\ & 248 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4,788 \\ & 451 \\ & 359.6 \\ & 158.5 \\ & 249 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4,745 \\ & 445 \\ & 354.4 \\ & 153.0 \\ & 249 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4,682 \\ & 435 \\ & 351.3 \\ & 150.4 \\ & 243 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4,657 \\ & 432 \\ & 350.9 \\ & 145.1 \\ & 240 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4,668 \\ & 429 \\ & 353.6 \\ & 145.8 \\ & 242 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4,694 \\ & 430 \\ & 353.3 \\ & 146.8 \\ & 242 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4,723 \\ & 433 \\ & 353.1 \\ & 149.2 \\ & 243 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4,757 \\ & 441 \\ & 355.5 \\ & 151.1 \\ & 244 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4,816 \\ & 475 \\ & 357.5 \\ & 150.0 \\ & 246 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4,827 \\ & 512 \\ & 358.6 \\ & 147.1 \\ & 244 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4,841 \\ & 515 \\ & 363.4 \\ & 151.6 \\ & 245 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4,761 \\ & 456 \\ & 353.5 \\ & 147.5 \\ & 241 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4,782 \\ & 464 \\ & 352.2 \\ & 146.9 \\ & 237 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} 6,377 \\ 2,71 \\ 4,106 \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\begin{gathered} 6,377 \\ 2,244 \\ 4,133 \end{gathered}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \mathbf{6 , 2 9 2} \\ 2,201 \\ 4,091 \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\begin{gathered} 6,217 \\ 2,146 \\ 4,071 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6,122 \\ & 2,085 \\ & 4,037 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6,088 \\ & 2,027 \\ & 4,061 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6,376 \\ 2,333 \\ 4,043 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6,037 \\ 1,980 \\ 4,057 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6,039 \\ & 1,948 \\ & 4,091 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8,004 \\ & 1,916 \\ & 4,088 \end{aligned}$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} 5,793 \\ 1,841 \\ 3,952 \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5,741 \\ & 1,820 \\ & 3,921 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5,910 \\ & 1,910 \\ & 4,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5,811 \\ & 1,900 \\ & 3,911 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ The Bureau of Labor Statistics' series of employment in nonagricultural establishments are based upon reports submitted by cooperating establishments and, therefore, differ from employment information obtained by household interviews, such as the Monthly Report on the Labor Force (table A-1), in several important respects. The Bureau of Labor Statistics' data cover all full- and part-time employees in private nonagricultural establishments who worked during, or received pay for, the pay period ending nearest the 15th of the month; in Federal establishments during the pay period ending just before the first of the month; and in State and local government during the pay period ending on or just before the last of the month, while the Monthly Report on the Labor Force data relate to the calendar week which contains the 8th day of the month. Proprietors, self-employed persons, domestic servants, and personnel of the Armed Forces are excluded from the BLS but not the MRLF series. These employment series have been adjusted to bench-mark levels indicated by social insurance agency been adjusted to bench-mark levels indicated by social insurance agency
data through 1947. Revised data in all except the first four columns will be data through 1947. Revised data in all except the first four
identified by asterisks the first month they are published.
2 Includes: ordnance and accessories; lumber and wood products (except furniture); furniture and fixtures; stone, clay, and glass products; primary
metal industries; fabricated metal products (except ordnance, machinery, and transportation equipment); machinery (except electrical); electrical machinery; transportation equipment; instruments and related products; and miscellaneous manufacturing industries.
${ }^{3}$ Includes food and kindred products; tobacco manufactures; textile-mill products; apparel and other finished textile products; paper and allied products; printing, publishing, and allied industries; chemicals and allied products; products of petroleum and coal; rubber products; and leather and leather products.

- Đata by region, from January 1940, are available upon request to the Bureau of Labor Statistics.
5 Fourth class postmasters (who are considered to be nominal employees) are excluded here but are included in Table A-5.
${ }^{6}$ Excludes as nominal employees paid volunteer firemen, employees hired to conduct elections, and elected officials of small local governments.
All series may be obtained upon request to the Bureau of Labor Statistics. Requests should specify which industry series are desired.

Table A-3: Production Workers in Mining and Manufacturing Industries ${ }^{1}$
[In thousands]

| Industry group and industry | 1951 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1950 |  |  |  |  |  | Annual average |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | July | June | May | A pr. | Mar. | Feb. | Jan. | Dec. | Nov. | Oct. | Sept. | Aug. | July | 1950 | 1949 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mron |  | 34.8 | 33.8 | 33.1 | 32.6 | 32.7 | 32.6 | 32.4 | 32.6 | 32.8 | 33.4 | 33.4 | 32.9 | 31.9 | 89.0 30.4 |
| Copp |  | 25, 2 | 24.8 | 25.3 | 25.6 | 25. 7 | 25. 7 | 25.5 | 24.9 | 24.6 | 24.8 | 24.8 | 24.9 | 24.8 | 24.3 |
| Lead an |  | 17.8 | 17.3 | 17.6 | 19.0 | 19.0 | 18.7 | 18.4 | 17.7 | 17.4 | 17.9 | 17.5 | 18.0 | 17.2 | 18.1 |
| Anthract |  | 66.0 | 66.1 | 63.6 | 67.9 | 68.4 | 68.4 | 68.5 | 69.8 | 69.9 | 70.5 | 70.8 | 69.2 | 70.6 | 72.8 |
| Bituminous-co |  | 353.7 | 352.8 | 357.4 | 372.2 | 377.0 | 377.4 | 380.6 | 379.6 | 381.5 | 381.8 | 383.0 | 357.6 | 351.0 | 373.4 |
| Crude petroleum and natural gas production: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Petroleum and natural gas production (except contract services) |  | 129.7 | 125.6 | 124.9 | 124.0 | 123.2 | 122.7 | 124.7 | 124.1 | 126.0 | 128.3 | 130.3 | 129.7 | 125.7 | 127.1 |
|  |  | 94.8 | 93.0 | 90. 2 | 86.8 | 84.7 | 85.2 | 86.0 |  |  |  |  | 88.8 |  | 83.7 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Durable goods ${ }^{2}$ Nondurable good | 7, 246 5, 649 | 7, ${ }_{5}^{7,646}$ | 7,417 | 7, 4 545 | 7,428 5,761 | 7,371 5,815 | 7, 256 | 7,254 | $\begin{aligned} & 7,210 \\ & 5,834 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7,186 \\ & 5,957 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7,013 \\ & 6,003 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6,900 \\ & 5,902 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6,597 \\ & 5,554 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.622 \\ & 5,642 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6,096 \\ & 5,501 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dairy products |  | 115.1 | 109.0 | 103.1 | 99, 0 | 95. 2 | 94.6 | 96.9 | 1700.4 | 101.9 | 107.4 | ${ }^{113.7}$ | 116.1 | 104. 4 | 107.9 |
| Canning and prese |  | 153.7 95.8 | 136.4 90.3 | 128.0 93.8 | 124.6 95.2 | 127.2 95.4 | 131.6 95.4 | 142.7 93.1 | 171.4 93.2 | 226.3 96.8 | 324.2 98.1 | 302.1 97 | 222.8 95 | 176.9 94 | 180.8 95.3 |
| Bakery products |  | 191.9 | 189.4 | 189.7 | 190.0 | 188.3 | 187.8 | 190.4 | 193.4 | 196.3 | 194.3 | 192.2 | 193.9 | 191.5 | 191.2 |
| Sugar |  | 24.6 | 24.1 | 23.5 | 23.8 | 24.3 | 27.0 | 39.9 | 46. 5 | 45.8 | 29.5 | 28.8 | 26.0 | 29.9 | 28.5 |
| Confectionery an |  | 73.5 | 73.7 | 75.3 | 80.3 | 82.6 | 83.8 | 89.4 | 93.5 | 97.2 | 93.2 | 85.4 | 73.6 | 83. 1 | 83.0 |
| Beverages |  | 155.2 | 145.8 | 143.4 | 146.6 | 145.4 | 146.8 | 146.1 | 148.8 | 149.4 | 159.4 | 169.3 | 163.5 | 149.1 | 150.6 |
| Miscellaneous food pro |  | 101.7 | 99.1 | 99.2 | 102.8 | 102.4 | 101.7 | 102.6 | 104.4 | 106.6 | 108.5 | 106.1 | 104.1 | 102.6 | 103.8 |
| Tobacco manufactures | 74 | 76 | 74 | 76 | 78 | 80 | 80 | 83 | 84 | 89 | 89 | 82 | 75 | 81 | 87 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oigars. |  | 38.3 | 37.2 | 38.6 | 39.9 | 40.1 | 39.0 | 40. 2 | 41.2 | 41.0 | 39.5 | 38.6 | 36.8 | 39.1 | 42.4 |
| Tobacco and snuff |  | 10.3 | 10.5 | 10.5 | 10.7 | 10.5 | 10.6 | 10.5 | 10.5 | 11.0 | 11.1 | 10.7 | 10.4 | 10.8 | 11.5 |
| Tobacco stemming and redrying.-...-- |  | 3.6 | 3.6 | 4.0 | 4.2 | 5. 9 | 7.4 | 8.3 | 8.3 | 13.0 | 14.2 | 10.4 | 4.5 | 7.8 | 9.0 |
| Textile-mill products | 1,161 | 1,199 | 1,206 | 1,214 | 1,223 | 1,269 | 1,257 | 1,258 | 1, 262 | 1, 264 | 1, 255 | 1,224 | 1, 160 | 1,206 | 1,136 |
| Yarn and thread m |  | 1,157.3 | 159.9 | 160.2 | 161.8 | 163.6 | 161.5 | 159.9 | 160.9 | 160.7 | 159.2 | 154.4 | 146.5 | 151.8 | 140.3 |
| Broad-woven fabric |  | 583.1 | 572.8 | 567.3 | 564.4 | 604.3 | 602.0 | 603.5 | 606.3 | 607.4 | 606.2 | 594.6 | 570.8 | 585.6 | 551.4 |
| Knitting mills. |  | 215.2 | 221.6 | 230.3 | 23 \%. 4 | 235.9 | 232.1 | 233.9 | 233.9 | 236.3 | 233.3 | 227.1 | 209.4 | 223.6 | 213.4 |
| Dyeing and finishing textiles |  | 79.1 | 80.3 | 77.6 | 83.9 | 84.4 | 83.3 | 83.3 | 83.4 | 83.7 | 82.8 | 79.6 | 75.4 | 80.1 | 76.9 |
| Carpets, rugs, other floor coverings |  | 47.1 | 50.6 | 53.2 | 54.3 | 54. 6 | 54. 5 | 54. 9 | 55. 0 | 54. 5 | 54. 1 | 53.3 | 51.0 | 53.3 | 51.2 |
| Other textile-mill products |  | 117.5 | 120.3 | 125.0 | 122.6 | 126.5 | 123.7 | 122.7 | 122.3 | 121.3 | 119.3 | 115.4 | 106.6 | 111.9 | 102.8 |
| Apparel and other finished textile products | 992 | 1,000 | 1,001 | 1,047 | 1,106 | 1,115 | 1,070 | 1,064 | 1,056 | 1,100 | 1,099 | 1, 089 | 981 | 1,042 | 1,022 |
| Men's and boys' suits and coats $\ldots$.-..- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men's and boys' furnishings and work clothing. |  | 247.5 | 252.9 | 261.1 | 262.7 | 258.8 | 251.0 | 251.2 | 253.3 | 254.2 | 253.8 | 252.0 | 231.9 | 245.3 | 239.8 |
| Women's outerwear |  | 256.4 | 251.3 | 267.4 | 305.1 | 317.4 | 303.3 | 296.2 | 274.8 | 297.0 | 305.3 | 306.6 | 265.6 | 286.8 | 294.3 |
| Women's, children's under |  | 86.3 | 89.1 | 94.9 | 97.2 | 97.0 | 93.1 | 96.1 | 100.5 | 102.5 | 100.4 | 95.9 | 85.8 | 95.2 | 89.4 |
| Millinery,........... |  | 14.5 | 14.6 | 17.5 | 22.8 | 23.7 | 21.7 | 18.9 | 15.9 | 20.1 | 20.7 | 20.9 | 17.6 | 19.4 | 19.5 |
| Children's outerwear |  | 59.7 | 56.3 | 59.5 | 62.1 | 64.2 | 61.8 | +59.9 | 59.6 | 63.1 | 62.5 | 62.6 | 61.3 | 60.7 | 58. |
| Fur goods and miscellaneous apparel..- |  | 85.7 | 82.7 | 83.1 | 84.2 | 82.6 | 76.9 | 880.3 | 85.3 | 89.0 | 87.5 | 85.1 | 75.9 | 78.4 | 76.5 |
| Other fabricated textile products.....-. |  | 117.6 | 119.0 | 125.4 | 131.3 | 130.4 | 124.0 | 124.4 | 130.0 | 135.5 | 131.1 | 128.1 | 116.0 | 121.7 | 115.8 |
| Lumber and wood products (except furniture) | 755 | 778 | 770 | 752 | 722 | 736 | 739 | 754 | 773 | 785 | 790 | 783 | 750 | 730 | 676 |
|  |  | 79.0 | 76.5 | 66.5 | 52.1 | 65.4 | 64.9 | 67.9 | 73.0 | 73.8 | 73.6 | 74.4 | 71.4 | 63.5 | 57.6 |
| Sawmills and planing mills. |  | 459.4 | 452.2 | 442.5 | 426.0 | 427.8 | 429.4 | 440.0 | 452.3 | 461.5 | 467.8 | 464.6 | 443.9 | 431.1 | 401.3 |
| Millwork, plywood, and prefabricated structural wood products |  | 107.5 | 107.5 | 107.7 | 107.4 | 107.1 | 110.3 | 112.4 | 113.8 | 114.8 | 114.4 | 113.7 | 109.1 | 108.5 | 95.7 |
| Wooden containers. |  | 75.9 | 76.3 | 76.3 | 77.4 | 77.3 | 76.9 | 75.8 | 76.5 | 77.1 | 76.1 | 74.1 | 72.1 | 72.2 | 67. |
| Miscellaneous wood products. |  | 56.6 | 57.3 | 58.5 | 58.7 | 58.4 | 57.9 | 57. | 57. | 57. | 57.6 | 55.8 | 1 | 54 | 53. |
| Furniture and fixtures. | 282 | 288 | 302 | 317 | 326 | 324 | 321 | 326 | 327 | 329 | 327 | 319 | 303 |  | 272 |
| Household furniture |  | 199.1 | 212.0 | 226.8 | 236.1 | 235.4 | 233.7 | 238.4 | 241.5 | 241.9 | 240.2 | 234.2 | 221.8 | 227.9 | 194.8 |
| Other furniture and fixt |  | 88.8 | 89.8 | 90.5 | 90.0 | 88.5 | 87.6 | 87.1 | 85.7 | 86.9 | - 86.9 | 85.2 | 80.7 | 82.6 | 77. |

See footnotes at end of table..

Table A-3: Production Workers in Mining and Manufacturing Industries ${ }^{1}$-Continued
[In thousands]

| Industry group and industry | 1951 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1950 |  |  |  |  |  | Annual average |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | July | June | May | Apr. | Mar. | Feb. | Jan. | Dec. | Nov. | Oct. | Sept. | Aug. | July | 1950 | 1949 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Paper and allied products. | 419 | 427 | 424 | 427 | 424 | 423 | 423 | 428 | 427 | 421 | 418 | 410 | 396 | 404 | 382 |
| Pulp, paper, and paperhoard mill |  | 216.3 | 213.0 | 212.4 | 209.1 | 209. 3 | 209.2 | 212.3 | 210.7 | 210.3 | 209.9 | 207. 4 | 204.1 | 205.1 | 197.6 |
| Paperboard containers and boxes |  | 116.6 | 117.0 | 118.7 | 119.0 | 119. 1 | 119.6 | 121.3 | 122.0 | 120.4 | 118. 2 | 113.1 | 104. 6 | 109.8 | 99.6 |
| Other paper and allied products.. |  | 94.1 | 94.3 | 95.4 | 95.6 | 94.5 | 94.5 | 94.5 | 94.3 | 90.5 | 90.2 | 89.9 | 87.5 | 88.8 | 85.2 |
| Printing, publishing, and allied industries. | 507 | 511 | 510 | 510 | 512 | 510 | 510 | 518 | 515 | 514 | 510 | 504 | 499 | 503 | 495 |
| Newspapers |  | 152.5 | 152.0 | 150.6 | 150. 0 | 149.6 | 148. 9 | 152. 4 | 150.3 | 149.7 | 151.1 | 149.6 | 149.6 | 148. 6 | 141.2 |
| Periodicals |  | 33.7 | 34.5 | 35.4 | 35. 6 | 35. 2 | 34. 6 | 35.0 | 35.0 | 35.1 | 35. 2 | 34. 5 | 14.1 | 34.7 | 36. 0 |
| Books.... |  | 35. 9 | 35.8 | 36.0 | 36.3 | 36.1 | 35.8 | 36.7 | 36. 6 | 36. 6 | 37.2 | 36.4 | 34.6 | 35.7 | 36.4 |
| Commercial pr |  | 168.7 | 167.8 | 167.9 | 169.7 | 169.5 | 170.0 | 171.1 | 1702 | 170.2 | 1665 | 165.0 | 164.4 | 166.6 | 164. 4 |
| Lithographing ........-. |  | 32.1 88.1 | 32.1 87.3 | 32.2 87.5 | 32.2 87.7 | 31.8 88.0 | 31.7 88.6 | 32.9 89.9 | 33.3 89.6 | 33.0 89.2 | 32.5 87.0 | 31.8 | 31.2 | 31.7 <br> 85.8 | 31.9 85.3 |
| Other printing and publishing.-...-...- |  | 88.1 | 87.3 | 87.5 | 87.7 | 88.0 | 88.6 | 89.9 | 89.6 | 89.2 | 87.0 | 86. 2 | 85.4 | 85.8 | 85.3 |
| Chemicals and allied product | 526 | 528 | 531 | 538 | 539 | 532 | 526 | 524 | 521 | 523 | 506 | 491 | 479 | 496 | 485 |
| Industrial inorganic chemica |  | 60.7 | 59.5 | 59.2 | 58. 6 | 58.1 | 57.3 | 57.1 | 56.5 | 55. 9 | 49.7 | 48.9 | 51.2 | 52.9 | $52.3$ |
| Industrial organic chemicals |  | 171.5 | 169.6 | 168.4 | 166. 7 | 163.3 | 162.8 | 161.9 | 150.2 | 159.1 | 157. 7 | 154.8 | 151.5 | 151.8 | 145.8 |
| Drugs and medicines |  | 70.7 | 70.1 | 69.7 | 69.3 | 68.6 | 66. 9 | 67.4 | 66.4 | 65.8 | 64.9 | 63.4 | 62.5 | 62.7 | 60.8 |
| Paints, pigments, a |  | 50.1 | 49.9 | 49.8 | 49.6 | 49.5 | 47.5 | 48.3 | 48. 2 | 48.7 | 48.7 | 48.6 | 47. 7 | 46.8 | 43.3 |
| Fertilizers......... |  | 24.6 | 29.6 | 33.4 | 35.6 | 33. 2 | 30.9 | 26.5 | 25.7 | 26. 6 | 26. 4 | 23.3 | 22.1 | 27.8 | 28. 6 |
| Vegetahle and animal oil and fats ...... |  | 36. 2 | 37. 5 | 40.3 | 42. 1 | 43. 9 | 45. 5 | 47.6 | 49.6 | 50.8 | 43. 5 | 38. 2 | 36. 2 | 43.8 | 48.1 |
| Other chemicals and allied products.... |  | 114.6 | 115.2 | 117.0 | 116.8 | 115. 4 | 115. 1 | 114.7 | 114.6 | 115.8 | 115.0 | 113.8 | 108.1 | 110.3 | 108.4 |
| Products of petroleum | 198 | 197 | 194 | 194 | 192 | 191 | 190 | 191 | 191 | 190 | 189 | 193 | 182 | 185 | 188 |
| Petroleum rafining |  | 153.3 | 150.7 | 150.2 | 149.0 | 148. 2 | 147.1 | 147.3 | 147.5 | 146. 5 | 144.6 | 147.4 | 138.5 | 142.8 | 148.8 |
| Coke and byproducts .-.........-.-.--- |  | 19.1 | 18.7 | 18.6 | 18.5 | 18.4 | 18.5 | 18.4 | 18.4 | 18.6 | 18.7 | 18.7 | 18.5 | 18.1 | 16.9 |
| Other petroleum and coal products..... |  | 24.8 | 24.4 | 24.8 | 24.5 | 24.3 | 24.3 | 25.0 | 24.6 | 25.1 | 25.3 | 26.4 | 24.9 | 23.9 | 22.0 |
| Rubber products | 215 | 221 | 219 | 219 | 220 | 222 | 222 | 222 | 222 | 219 | 215 | 208 | 200 | 203 | 186 |
| Tires and inner t |  | 89.7 | 88.2 | 87.4 | 88.3 | 90.6 | 91.3 | 92.1 | 93.4 | 92.0 | 91.7 | 89.6 | 88.3 | 87.8 | 83.6 |
| Rubber footwear. |  | 25.7 | 25.4 | 24.8 | 25. 0 | 25.3 | 24.9 | 23.9 | 23.2 | 22.8 | 21.8 | 20.7 | 19.2 | 20. 6 | 21.6 |
| Other rubber prod |  | 105.3 | 105.8 | 106.3 | 106.3 | 106.3 | 105.8 | 105. 7 | 105.0 | 104.1 | 101.0 | 98.0 | 92.8 | 94.3 | 80.9 |
| Leather and leather | 339 | 343 | 331 | 353 | 371 | 374 | 364 | 359 | 360 | 367 | 372 | 370 | 351 | 355 | 347 |
| Leather .-........- |  | 42.3 | 42.7 | 44.4 | 45. 9 | 47.0 | 47.3 | 47.3 | 47. 2 | 46. 7 | 47. 2 | 46.6 | 44.9 | 45.9 | 45. 1 |
| Footwear (except rubber) |  | 221.0 | 210.3 | 224.9 | 237.0 | 238.9 | 234.2 | 229.1 | 225.8 | 230.3 | 236. 7 | 237.3 | 229.8 | 229.4 | 226. 2 |
| Other leather products. |  | 79.8 | 77.6 | 84.1 | 87.6 | 87.6 | 82.8 | 82.9 | 86.9 | 89.7 | 87.9 | 85.8 | 76.6 | 79.7 | 75.8 |
| Stone, clay, and glass produ | 475 | 485 | 484 | 483 | 479 | 473 | 473 | 474 | 477 | 471 | 458 | 459 | 440 | 441 | 416 |
| Glass and glass product |  | 129.5 | 131.1 | 132.0 | 130.1 | 127.5 | 127. 5 | 127. 7 | 128.9 | 127.0 | 117.0 | 121.7 | 114.4 | 117.3 | 106.8 |
| Cement, hydraulic-..- |  | 17.3 | 136.5 | 136.3 | 36.2 | 35.9 | 35. 9 | 36.3 | 36.7 | 37.0 | 36.5 | 37. 1 | 114. 3 | 117.3 | 106.8 36.0 |
| Structural clay products... |  | 84.8 | 83.0 | 81.7 | 80.3 | 79.5 | 79.8 | 79.4 | 80.5 | 79.8 | 79.8 | 78.9 | 77.0 | 74.8 | 72. 5 |
| Pottery and related products |  | 54.0 | 54.7 | 55. 2 | 55.3 | 55.1 | 54.7 | 55. 1 | 55.1 | 52. 2 | 53.0 | 51.8 | 49.8 | 52.3 | 52. 2 |
| Concrete, gypsum, and plaster products |  | 86.8 | 85.7 | 85.4 | 84.3 | 82.8 | 83.0 | 83.5 | 84.4 | 84.5 | 84.1 | 84.3 | 81.5 | 78.7 | 72.4 |
| Other stone, clay, and glass products...- |  | 92.6 | 92.9 | 92.8 | 92.9 | 92.2 | 91.8 | 91.6 | 91.1 | 90.0 | 88.0 | 84.9 | 81.7 | 81.8 | 75.6 |
| Primary metal industries | 1,163 | 1,169 | 1,161 | 1,161 | 1,159 | 1,153 | 1,149 | 1,142 | 1,126 | 1,117 | 1,105 | 1,086 | 1,054 | 1,053 | 940 |
| Blast furnaces, steel works, and rolling mills $\qquad$ |  | 1,160 570.5 | 1,161 564.5 | 1, 561.6 | 561.1 | 1, 558.8 | 559.0 | 1,142 | 553.6 | 1,117 552.6 | 1,105 | 1,086 550.4 | 1,054 542.5 | 1,053 535.6 |  |
| Iron and steel foundries...-. |  | 253.5 | 252.3 | 251.5 | 249.4 | 244.9 | 240.7 | 238.0 | 232. 8 | 226.8 | 221.9 | 213.3 | 202.1 | 204.0 | 188.9 |
| Primary smelting and refining of nonferrous metals. |  | 47.8 | 46.4 | 47.2 | 47.4 | 47.3 | 47.2 | 47.0 | 45.4 | 26.3 | 45.8 | 213.8 45.8 | 202.1 45.1 | 45.4 | 188.3 |
| Rolling, drawing, and alloying of nonferrous metals |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 70.6 |
| Nonferrous foundries |  |  |  |  | 93.4 | 94.8 | 94.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other primary metal industries |  | 123.6 | 123.0 | 122.5 | 122.0 | 120.8 | 120.5 | 93. 119.3 | 116. 8 | 115.7 | 114.4 | 111.7 | 106.8 | 78. 108.4 | 63.3 97.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tin cans and other tinware..............- |  | 43.6 | 42.9 | 43.1 | 42.7 | 42.1 | 44.2 | 45,4 | 44.2 | 45.9 | 49.8 | 50.2 | 45.5 | 42.8 | 39.9 |
| Cutlery, hand tools, and hardware. |  | 137.2 | 138.3 | 140.3 | 141.7 | 143. 7 | 144.0 | 143. 7 | 142.9 | 141.4 | 138.3 | 132.4 | 129.1 | 132.7 | 118.4 |
| Heating apparatus (except electric) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| and plumbers' supplies |  | 128.6 | 130.1 | 132.8 | 133.9 | 132.0 | 129.9 | 133. 2 | 135. 3 | 137.1 | 137.1 | 131.9 | 120.4 | 123.9 | 106.0 |
| Fabricated structural metal products |  | 177.2 | 178.8 | 177.7 | 176. 4 | 174.6 | 173.2 | 173.2 | 171.7 | 170.9 | 165. 6 | 165. 1 | 158.0 | 156. 5 | 125.3 |
| Metal stamping, coating, and engraving |  | 158.9 | 161.9 | 166.4 | 166. 1 | 164.5 | 161. 5 | 161.6 | 160.9 | 160.7 | 159. 1 | 155.8 | 148.9 | 146. 9 | 125. 8 |
| Other fabricated metal products |  | 197.6 | 197.7 | 198.3 | 197.0 | 195. 4 | 193. 7 | 194.6 | 195. 2 | 194.3 | 187.5 | 178.1 | 170.0 | 173.0 | 159.0 |
| Machinery (except electrical) | 1, 236 | 1,256 | 1,246 | 1,239 1, | 1,231 | 1,215 | 1, 192 | 1,163 | 1,133 | 1,104 | $1,050$ | $1,060$ | $1,032$ |  |  |
| Engines and turbines............... |  | 1, 68.8 | $\begin{array}{r}1,24.9 \\ \hline 151\end{array}$ | 1, 67.0 | 1, 65.7 | 1, 64.0 | 1, 63.7 | 1, 61.9 | 1, 60.3 | 1, 55.0 | 1, 52.1 | $56.6$ | $54.7$ | $54.5$ | $53.9$ |
| Agricultural machinery and tractors |  | 152.9 | 151.7 | 151.8 | 151.0 | 149.7 | 146. 5 | 135.4 | 124.8 | 124.3 | 102.3 | 140.0 | 140.5 | 133.5 | 142.4 |
| Construction and mining machinery |  | 90.9 | 88.9 | 87.8 | 87.3 | 86.3 | 84. 7 | 83.8 | 82.3 | 80.6 | 77.8 | 73.7 | 71.6 | 73.0 | 72.4 |
| Metalworking machinery .-.-.-.......- |  | 233.6 | 228.8 | 226.7 | 222.9 | 218.4 | 211.3 | 204.4 | 197.2 | 189.7 | 180.9 | 170.6 | 161.5 | 169.0 | 157.9 |
| Special-industry machinery (except metalworking machinery) |  | 150.7 | 149.7 | 150.0 | 149.0 | 147.3 | 143.9 | 140.5 | 137.6 | 135.8 | 132.2 | 127.4 | 124.3 | 126.6 | 131.1 |
| General industrial machinery .-....... |  | 166. 9 | 165. 7 | 164.7 | 162.7 | 158.8 | 157.7 | 154.5 | 150.1 | 146.7 | 141.9 | 136. 9 | 131.3 | 134.3 | 132. 3 |
| Office and store machines and devices. |  | 88.7 | 88.2 | 86.9 | 86.0 | 85.4 | 84.2 | 83.2 | 81.9 | 80.3 | 79.0 | 75.6 | 74.3 | 75.6 | 75.4 |
| Service-industry and household machines $\qquad$ |  | 139.9 | 143.6 | 144.1 | 148.4 | 148.7 | 146.8 | 83.2 147.9 | 151.2 | 147.6 | 146.1 | 145.3 | 145.5 | 143.2 |  |
| Miscellaneous machinery parts |  | 163. 5 | 161.4 | 160.1 | 157. 7 | 156.1 | 153. 0 | 151.1 | 148.0 | 144.1 | 137.9 | 133.4 | 128.1 | 130.0 | 120.4 |

Table A-3: Production Workers in Mining and Manufacturing Industries ${ }^{1}$-Continued
[In thousands]

| Industry group and industry | 1951 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1950 |  |  |  |  |  | Annual average |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | July | June | May | A pr. | Mar. | Feb. | Jan. | Dec. | Nov. | Oct. | Sept. | Aug. | July | 1950 | 1949 |
| Manufacturing-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 674 | 705 | 709 | 718 | 724 | 716 | 711 | 724 | 721 | 710 | 673 | 655 | 620 | 636 | 552 |
| Electrical generating, transmission, distribution, and industrial apparatus |  | 275.9 | 270.6 | 266.4 | 262.1 | 258.3 | 255.8 | 257.2 | 254.4 | 251.7 | 237.1 | 236.5 | 226.6 | 229.7 | 210.7 |
| Electrical equipment for vehicles..-...-- |  | 67.5 | 67.2 | 66.1 | 64. 6 | 63. 9 | 63.4 | 63.0 | 61.8 | 60.9 | 59.5 | 57.2 | 56.0 | 56. 0 | 49.0 |
| Communication equipment..... |  | 240.5 | 248.6 | 261.5 | 273.2 | 269.5 | 267.8 | 278.3 | 278.4 | 272. 2 | 254.6 | 247.8 | 227.5 | 237.0 | 191.8 |
| Electrical appliances, lamps, and miscellaneous products. |  | 120.9 | 122.2 | 123.6 | 123.9 | 124.4 | 124.0 | 125.4 | 126.2 | 125.0 | 121.6 | 113.1 | 109.8 | 113.3 | 100.8 |
| Transportation equipment | 1,218 | 1, 232 | 1,231 | 1,243 | 1,253 | 1,233 | 1, 175 | 1,160 | 1. 139 | 1,157 | 1,134 | 1,118 | 1,070 | 1.004 | 987 |
| Automobiles....-.-.-. |  | 737.3 | 752.6 | 774.1 | 193.4 | 790.6 | 767.3 | 767.3 | 760.4 | 794.8 | 787.8 | 780.9 | 756. 7 | 713.5 | 643.5 |
| Aircraft and pa |  | 330.4 | 317.4 | 309.3 | 298. 9 | 287.6 | 264.2 | 251.9 | 239.3 | 224.5 | 209. 4 | 199.0 | 188. 1 | 201.8 | 188. 5 |
| Aircraft.-.-.- |  | 224.4 | 215.6 | 211.3 | 204.1 | 195.4 | 177.3 | 170.0 | 161.4 | 151.5 | 144.5 | 134.8 | 126.3 | 135. 7 | 126.6 |
| Aircraft engines and parts |  | 61.2 | 59.3 | 57.1 | 55.1 | 53.9 | 51.3 | 48.5 | 46.3 | 43. 6 | 37.3 | 38.9 | 37.4 | 39.1 | 37.4 |
| A ircraft propellers and parts |  | 7.3 | 7.4 | 7.4 | 6.7 | 6.5 | 6.2 | 6.1 | 5. 9 | 5.7 | 5. 5 | 4.9 | 5. 1 | 5. 4 | 5.3 |
| Other aircraft parts and equipment.- |  | 37.5 | 35.1 | 33.5 | 33.0 | 31.8 | 29.4 | 27.3 | 25.7 | 23.7 | 22.1 | 20.4 | 19.3 | 21.5 | 19.2 |
| Ship and boat building and repairing-- |  | 97.6 | 94.6 | 94.3 | 95. 6 | 94.9 | 82.7 | 78. 7 | 76.1 | 75.8 | 76.3 | 79.0 | 67.9 | 71.4 | 85.0 |
| Shipbuilding and repairing .-. --... |  | 84.3 | 81.4 | 81.1 | 82.7 | 82.1 | 70.3 | 66.3 | 64.4 | 64.3 | 64.8 | 67.5 | 56.1 | 60.2 | 75.0 |
| Boat building and repairing |  | 13.3 | 13.2 | 13.2 | 12.9 | 12.8 | 12. 4 | 12.4 | 11.7 | 11.5 | 11.5 | 11.5 | 11.8 | 11.2 | 10.0 |
| Railroad equipment........... |  | 57.8 | 57.0 | 55.5 | 54.1 | 48.5 | 52. 1 | 51.9 | 51.7 | 50.4 | 49.3 | 48. 2 | 47.7 | 47. 9 | 61.0 |
| Other transportation equipment |  | 9.1 | 9.3 | 10.0 | 11.3 | 11.4 | 10. 4 | 11.2 | 11.8 | 11.9 | 11.6 | 11.0 | 9.8 | 9.7 | 9.2 |
| Instruments and related products | 217 | 223 | 222 | 221 | 218 | 215 | 211 | 211 | 209 | 205 | 199 | 187 | 178 | 186 | 177 |
| Ophthalmic goods |  | 22.8 | 23.0 | 23.1 | 22.9 | 22.5 | 22.2 | 22.0 | 21.8 | 21.3 | 20.8 | 20.2 | 19.9 | 20.6 | 21.9 |
| Photographic appars |  | 43.9 | 42.9 | 42.8 | 42.5 | 42.0 | 40.9 | 40.9 | 40.7 | 40.2 | 39.5 | 38.5 | 37.0 | 37.3 | 38.4 |
| Watches and clocks |  | 28.8 | 28.4 | 29.2 | 28.9 | 28.8 | 28.3 | 28.9 | 28.8 | 28. 0 | 27.0 | 23. 4 | 23. 4 | 25. 5 | 26.6 |
| Professional and scientific instruments. |  | 127.4 | 127.5 | 125.7 | 123.4 | 121.9 | 119.6 | 119.2 | 117.8 | 115.3 | 111.6 | 105.3 | 98.1 | 103.0 | 90.1 |
| Miscellaneous manufacturing industries .- | 379 | 399 | 410 | 422 | 429 | 427 | 413 | 424 | 432 | 436 | 418 | 399 | 358 | 385 | 354 |
| Jewelry, silverware, and plated ware.-- |  | 41.4 | 43.1 | 45.3 | 47.2 | 48.2 | 46.9 | 47.2 | 47.8 | 48.1 | 47.2 | 45.5 | 41.4 | 44.5 | 45.0 |
| Toys and sporting goods. |  | 65. 5 | 67.6 | 69.4 | 68.9 | 67.0 | 62.3 | 66.7 | 73.0 | 75.3 | 72.2 | 69.8 | 62.5 | 64.2 | 59.8 |
| Costume jewelry, buttons, notions |  | 44.7 | 47.1 | 51.9 | 55.1 | 55.9 | 52.8 | 52.1 | 54.9 | 56.2 | 54.4 | 52.0 | 43.9 | 49.2 | 48.3 |
| Other miscellaneous manufacturing industries |  | 247.8 | 251.7 | 255.7 | 258.0 | 255.5 | 250.6 | 257.6 | 256.4 | 256.1 | 244.3 | 232.0 | 210.2 | 227.2 | 200.5 |

${ }^{1}$ See footnote 1, table A-2. Production workers refer to all full-and parttime employees engaged in production and related processes, such as fabricating, processing, assembling, inspecting, storing, packing, shipping, maintenance and repair, and other activitias closely associated with production operations.

Table A-4: Indexes of Production-Worker Employment and Weekly Payrolls in Manufacturing Industries ${ }^{1}$
[1939 average $=100$ ]

| Period | Employment | Weekly payroll | Period | Employment | Weekly payroll | Period | Employment | Weekly payroll |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1939: A verage | 100.0 | 100.0 | 1947: Average.- | 156.2 | 326.9 | 1950: November | 159.2 | 414.6 |
| 1940: A verage | 107.5 | 113.6 | 1948: Average. | 155.2 | 351.4 | 1051. December | 159.4 | 426.0 |
| 1941: Average- | 132.8 156.9 | 164.9 | 1949: Average | 141.6 | 325.3 | 1951: January | 158.9 | 424.0 430.0 |
| 1943: Average. | 183.3 | 331.1 | 1950: July.... | 148.3 | 367.5 | March.-- | 161.0 | 435.0 |
| 1944. Average | 178.3 | 343.7 | August | 156.3 | 394.4 | A pril. | 160.0 | 433.2 |
| 1945: A verage | 157.0 | 293.5 | September | 158.9 | 403.2 | May | 158.7 | 428.8 |
| 1946: Average.- | 147.8 | 271.7 | October | 160.3 | 415.8 | June | 159.4 157.4 | 435.7 |

[^25]Table A-5: Federal Civilian Employment and Payrolls, by Branch and Agency Group
[In thousands]

| Year and month | All branches | Executive ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  | Legislative | Judicial |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Defense agencies ${ }^{2}$ | Post Office Department ${ }^{3}$ | All other agencies |  |  |
|  | Employment-Total (including areas outside continental United States) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1949: Average. | 2,100. 5 | 2,089.2 | 859.2 | 511.1 | 678.8 |  | 3.6 |
| 1950: Average | 2,080. 5 | 2,068. 6 | 837.5 | 521.4 | 709.7 | 8.1 | 3.8 |
| 1950: July -- | 1, 986. 7 | 1,974.9 | 778.8 | 491.8 | 704.3 | 8.0 |  |
| August | 2,005. 4 | 1,993.4 | 806.0 | 487.1 | 700.3 | 8.2 | 3.8 3.8 |
| September | 2,083. 2 | 2, 071.4 | 887.3 | 485.0 | 699.1 | 8.0 | 3.8 |
| November.- | $2,117.4$ $2,152.0$ | 2,105.3 | 932.3 | 483.8 | 689.2 | 8.2 | 3.8 |
| December.-- | 2, 2 208.9 | $2,139.9$ $2,496.9$ | 970.0 995.9 | 482.2 811.8 | 687.7 | 8.2 | 3.9 |
| 1951: January |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2, 265.5 | 2,192.3 | 1,017. 3 | 486.5 | 688.5 | 8.1 | 3.9 |
|  | $2,265.5$ $2,332.3$ | 2, 220.2 | 1,076.8 | 487.1 | 688.6 | 8.1 | 3. |
|  | 2, 385.5 | 2, 273.5 | $1,133.4$ $1,180.0$ | 489.0 488.4 | 697.8 | 8.2 | 3. 9 |
|  | 2,432.6 | 2, 420.5 | 1,212. 1 | 498.1 | 705.1 | 8.1 | 3. 9 |
|  | $2,462.3$ | 2,450.1 | 1,237.5 | 491.2 | 716.3 721.4 | 8. 8.3 | 3. 9 |
|  | 2,506. 4 | 2,494.0 | 1,265. 3 | 492.4 | 736.3 | 8.5 | 3.9 |
|  | Payrolls-Total (including areas outside continental United States) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1949: Average. | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 558,273 \\ 585,576 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 553,973 \\ 580,702 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 231,856 \\ 235,157 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 129,895 \\ 135,300 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 192,222 \\ 210,335 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 2,870 \\ 3,215 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1,430 \\ 1,569 \end{array}$ |
| 1950: Average. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1950: July.-- | 551, 510 | 546,806 | 212,778 | 129, 803 | 204, 225 | 8,208 |  |
| August. | 618, 049 | 613, 138 | 259,451 | 130, 361 | 223, 326 | 3,277 | 1,634 |
| Oeptember | 601,454 | 596, 537 | 261, 527 | 128,764 | 208, 246 | 3,200 | 1, 717 |
| November- | 613,359 621,491 | 608,511 616,609 | 267, 622 | 129,665 | 211, 224 | 8,250 | 1,598 |
| December.. | 672, 724 | 667, 988 | 275, 281 | 129, 1832 | 213,107 206,575 | 3,292 8,207 | 1,590 1,529 |
| 1951: January | 680,926638,193706,184687,876742,529721,693755,087 | 676,007 <br> 633, 514 <br> 701,569 <br> 683 <br> 737.428 <br> 716,681 750,264 <br> 750, 264 | 319,738 <br> 303, 042 <br> 345, 685 <br> 370.700 <br> 360, 686 <br> 379, 638 | $\begin{aligned} & 132,037 \\ & 129,603 \\ & 133,342 \\ & 129,796 \\ & 131,353 \\ & 131,156 \\ & 132,621 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 224,232 \\ & 200.869 \\ & 222,542 \\ & 215,601 \\ & 235,375 \\ & 224,839 \\ & 238,005 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3,249 \\ & 8,182 \\ & 3,261 \\ & 8,197 \\ & 8,338 \\ & 3,379 \\ & 3,195 \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,670 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,497 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,354 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,406 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,633 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,628 |
|  | Employment-Continental United States |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1949: Average.. | $1,921.9$$1,930.5$ | $1,810.7$$1,918.7$ | 761.4732.3 | 509.1519.4 | 640.2 |  | 3.5 |
| 1950: Average. |  |  |  |  | 667.0 | 8.1 | 3.7 |
| 1950: July | 1,838.4 <br> 1,935. 9 <br> 1,968. 3 <br> $2,000.3$ $2,352.8$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,827.7 \\ & 1,849.1 \\ & 1,824.1 \\ & 1,956.3 \\ & 1,988.3 \\ & 2,340.9 \end{aligned}$ | 677.2 <br> 707.1 <br> 785.3 <br> 828.3 <br> 862.9 <br> 885.6 | $\begin{aligned} & 489.9 \\ & 485.2 \\ & 483.1 \\ & 482.0 \\ & 480.4 \\ & 808.8 \end{aligned}$ | 660.6 <br> 656.8 <br> 655.7 <br> 646.0 <br> 645.0 <br> 646.4 | $\begin{aligned} & 8.0 \\ & 8.2 \\ & 8.0 \\ & 8.2 \\ & 8.2 \\ & 8.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.7 \\ & 3.7 \\ & 3.8 \\ & 3.8 \\ & 3.8 \\ & 3.8 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1951: January | 2, 047.4 <br> $2,105.0$ $2,169.3$ <br> 2, 219.9 <br> 2, 263.9 <br> 2, 332. 8 | $\begin{aligned} & 2,035.5 \\ & 2,093.1 \\ & 2,157.3 \\ & 2,208.0 \\ & 2,251.9 \\ & 2,278.4 \\ & 2,320.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 905.1 \\ 961.0 \\ 1,015.5 \\ 1,059.7 \\ 1,089.8 \\ 1,113.3 \\ 1,141.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 484.7 \\ & 485.3 \\ & 48.1 \\ & 486.6 \\ & 49.6 \\ & 489.3 \\ & 490.5 \end{aligned}$ | 645.7 646.8 661.7 671.8 675.8 688.8 | $\begin{aligned} & 8.1 \\ & 8.1 \\ & 8.2 \\ & 8.1 \\ & 8.2 \\ & 8.3 \\ & 8.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.8 \\ & 3.8 \\ & 3.8 \\ & 3.8 \\ & 3.8 \\ & 3.8 \\ & 3.8 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Payrolls- | ontinental Unit | States |  |  |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 519,529 \\ 549,328 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 515,269 \\ 544,587 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 203,548 \\ 211,508 \end{array}$ | $\$ 129,416$134,792 | $\$ 182,305$198,287 | $\$ 2,870$3,215 | $\$ 1,390$1,526 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1950: July | $\begin{aligned} & 516,924 \\ & 580,732 \\ & 563,900 \\ & 576,155 \\ & 583,978 \\ & 634,578 \end{aligned}$ | 512, 261 <br> 575,867 <br> 559, 029 <br> 571,357 579,140 <br> 629, 886 | $\begin{aligned} & 191,109 \\ & 235,435 \\ & 237,332 \\ & 243,233 \\ & 248,667 \\ & 250,324 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 129,316 \\ & 129,870 \\ & 128,278 \\ & 129,178 \\ & 129,413 \\ & 185,044 \end{aligned}$ | 191,836210,562193,419198,946201,060194,518 | $\begin{aligned} & 3,206 \\ & 3,277 \\ & 3,200 \\ & 3,250 \\ & 3,292 \\ & 3,207 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,457 \\ & 1,588 \\ & 1,671 \\ & 1,548 \\ & 1,546 \\ & 1,485 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1951: January |  | 636,455 <br> 596, 736 <br> 659,812 <br> 643,454 <br> 693, 638 <br> 672,525 <br> 703, 834 | $\begin{aligned} & 292,875 \\ & 277,870 \\ & 317,140 \\ & 310,605 \\ & 340,465 \\ & 330,332 \\ & 350,633 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 131,549 \\ & 129,123 \\ & 132,847 \\ & 129,310 \\ & 130,850 \\ & 130,613 \\ & 132,038 \end{aligned}$ | 212,0311892093209,825203,539222,323211,580221,163 | $\begin{aligned} & 3,249 \\ & 3,182 \\ & 3,261 \\ & 3,197 \\ & 3,338 \\ & 3,379 \\ & 3,195 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,626 \\ & 1,456 \\ & 1,316 \\ & 1,366 \\ & 1,718 \\ & 1,589 \\ & 1,584 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 641,330 <br> 601, 374 <br> 664, 389 <br> 648,017 698,694 <br> 677,493 <br> 708, 613 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^26]:See footnote 3, table A-7.
${ }^{8}$ Includes fourth class postmasters, excluded from table A-2.

Table A-7: Government Civilian Employment and Payrolls in Washington, D. C., ${ }^{1}$ by Branch and Agency Group
[In thousands]

| Year and month | Total government | District of Columbia government | Federal |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Total | Executive ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  | Legislative | Judicial |
|  |  |  |  | All agencies | Defense agencies ${ }^{3}$ | Post Office Department | All other agencies |  |  |
|  | Employment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1949: A verage.- | 241.8 | 19.5 | 222.3 | 214.0 | 70.4 | 8.2 | 135.4 | 7.7 | 0.6 |
| 1950: A verage.-.--------1. | 242.3 | 20.1 | 222.2 | 213.4 | 67.5 | 8.1 | 137.8 | 8.1 | . 7 |
| 1950: July | 239.1 | 19.8 | 219.3 | 210.6 | 65. 2 | 7.7 | 137.7 138.2 | 8.0 8.2 | . 7 |
| Augnst September | 240.7 243.7 | 19.8 20.0 | 220.9 223.7 | 215.0 | 66.3 69.3 | 7.6 | 138.1 | 8. 0 | .7 |
| October... | 244.8 | 20.1 | 224. 7 | 215.8 | 70.8 | 7.5 | 137.5 | 8.2 | . 7 |
| November. | 247.9 | 20.4 | 227.5 | 218.7 | 72.4 | 7.6 | 138.7 | 8.1 | . 7 |
| December. | 256.2 | 20.3 | 235.9 | 227.1 | 74.1 | 12.7 | 140.3 | 8.1 | . 7 |
| 1951: January | 253.8 | 20.6 | 233.2 | 224.4 | 74.8 | 7.8 | 141.8 | 8.1 | . 7 |
| February | 258.8 | 20.4 | 238. 4 | 229.6 | 77.4 | 7.7 | 144.5 | 8. 1 | . 7 |
| March. | 264.6 268.5 | 20.3 20.3 20. | 244.3 248.2 | 235.4 239.4 | 80.2 82.2 | 7.7 7.8 | 147.5 149.4 | 8.2 8.1 8.1 | . 7 |
| April. | 268.5 2714 | 20.3 | 248.2 251.3 | 2424 | 82.2 83.6 | 7.8 7.8 | 149.4 151.0 | 8.1 8.2 8. | . 7 |
|  | 272.9 | 20.5 | 252.4 | 243.4 | 83.9 | 7.7 | 151.8 | 8.3 | .7 |
|  | 280.2 | 19.8 | 260.4 | 251.2 | 87.7 | 7.9 | 155.6 | 8.5 | . 7 |
|  | Payrolls |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1949: A verage | \$75, 570 | \$5, 050 | \$70, 520 | \$67, 410 | \$21, 119 | \$2,791 | \$43, 500 | \$2, 870 | \$240 |
| 1950: A verage | 81,602 | 5,321 | 76, 281 | 72, 780 | 22, 888 | 2,937 | 46, 955 | 3,215 | 286 |
| 1950: July. | 77, 713 | 4, 192 | 73, 521 | 70, 043 | 21,399 | 2,755 |  | 3,206 | 272 |
| Angust | 85, 472 | 4, 514 | 80, 958 | 77, 372 | 24, 459 | 2,918 | 49, 995 | 3,277 3,200 | 309 318 |
| September | 82,280 84,657 | 5, 347 5,680 5, | 76,933 <br> 78,977 | 73,415 75,424 | 24,951 24,495 | 2,856 2,892 | 45,608 48,037 | 3,200 3,250 | 318 303 |
| October... November | 84,657 85,380 | 5, 5880 | 78,977 <br> 79,584 | 75,424 75,991 | 24,495 24,545 | 2,892 2,888 | 48, 037 | 3,250 3,292 | 318 <br> 301 |
| Necember | 85,385 85 | 5,558 | 79, 727 | 76, 228 | 24,786 | 3,835 | 47,607 | 3,207 | 292 |
| 1951: January. | 91.052 | 5,923 | 85, 129 | 81, 564 | 26, 543 | 2,944 | 52, 077 | 3,249 | 316 |
| February | 84, 018 | 5, 431 | 78,587 | 75, 120 | 25,725 | 2, 828 | 46, 567 | 3,182 | 285 |
| March... | 93,837 | 5,578 | 88, 259 | 84, 709 | 29,403 | 2,949 | 52, 357 | 3, 261 | 289 |
| A pril. | 91.887 | 5. 618 | 86, 269 | 82, 781 | 28, 739 | 2,855 | 51.187 | 3,197 338 | 291 |
| May. | 104, 400 | 5,883 5,623 | 98, 517 | 94,863 84.798 | 31, 082 | 2, 2, 236 | 60,835 52,479 | 3,338 3,379 | 316 302 |
| Juny.. | 94,102 97,299 | 5,623 4,485 | 88,479 92,814 | 84,798 89,318 | 29,480 31,350 | 2.839 2,865 | 52,479 55,103 | 3,379 3,195 | 302 301 |

${ }^{1}$ Data for the executive branch of the Federal Government also include areas in Maryland and Virginia which are within the metropolitan area, as defined by the Bureau of the Census.
${ }^{2}$ Includes Government corporations (including Federal Reserve Banks and mixed-ownership banks of the Farm Credit Administration) and other activities performed by Governmental personnel in establishments such as navy yards, arsenals, hospitals, and force-account construction. Data which are based mainly on reports to the Civil Service Commission are adjusted to maintain continuity of coverage and definition.
${ }^{3}$ Covers civilian employees of the Department of Defense (Secretary of Defense, Army, Air Force, and Navy), National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics, the Panama Canal, Selective Service System, National Security Resources Board, National Security Council, War Claims Commission.

Table A-9: Employees in Nonagricultural Establishments for Selected States ${ }^{1}$
[In thousands]

| State | 1951 |  |  |  |  |  | 1950 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Annual <br> average $1947$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | June | May | Apr. | Mar. | Feb. | Jan. | Dec. | Nov. | Oct. | Sept. | Aug. | July | June |  |
| Alabama | 634.3 | 625.5 | 622. 2 | *627. 2 | 621.1 | 616.2 | 629.2 | 619.8 | 622.1 | 622. 2 | 611.4 | 598. 2 | 596.5 |  |
| Arizona ${ }^{2}$ | 177.2 | 176.9 | 179. 0 | 179.1 | 176.7 | 173.2 | 174. 2 | 169.3 | 167.2 | 164.5 | 161.1 | 159.6 | 158. 7 | 147.9 |
| Arkansas | 309.4 | 307.6 | 306.5 | 304. 9 | 297.7 | 298. 4 | 307.4 | 304. 0 | 304.5 | 302. 2 | 297.9 | 292.6 | 295. 0 | 283.0 |
| California | 3,417.0 | 3,392. 4 | 3, 367.3 | *3, 337. 3 | 3,308. 9 | 3,289. 2 | 3,390. 2 | 3,350.2 | 3, 369.5 | 3,360.2 | 3, 318. 1 | 3, 208.5 | 3,165. 7 | 3, 077.0 |
| Colorado | 378.8 | 372.8 | 367.7 | 363.1 | 357.6 | 358.2 | 367.2 | 360.4 | 363.9 | 363.0 | 355.6 | 343.9 | 339.3 | 330.5 |
| Connecticut ${ }^{2}$.-..-- | 820.6 | 818.2 | 814.8 | 806.9 | 802.7 | 799.1 | 819.4 | 805.6 | 797.6 | 790.8 | 776.6 | 759.0 | 759.3 | 773.7 |
| District of Columbia | 519.4 | 517.2 | 515.2 | *511.8 | 499.6 | 495. 4 | *506. 1 | *493.4 | * 488.4 | *485. 2 | *481.9 | *479.4 | *481. 4 | 773.7 |
| Florida | 681.2 | 693.8 | 703. 1 | 727.3 | 727.4 | 724.0 | 725.0 | 690.6 | 667.3 | 655.7 | 643.2 | 633.0 | 643.4 | 631.8 |
| Georgia | 827.9 | 829.5 | 826.4 | * 822.6 | 813.8 | 809.7 | 826.2 | 817.2 | 824.8 | 814. 2 | 802. 7 | 782.1 | 770.8 | 740.0 |
| Idaho. | 139.6 | 136. 3 | 132.9 | 128.6 | 128.3 | 130.1 | 135.9 | 137.0 | 137.8 | 142.2 | 138.5 | 134.8 | 132.4 | 121.7 |
| Illinois ${ }^{2}$ | 3,231.6 | 3, 208.6 | 3, 196.4 | 3, 184. 3 | 3,154.8 | 3,156. 0 | 3, 222.5 | 3, 174. 3 | 3,179.0 | 3,157.8 | 3,150. 3 | 3, 103. 5 | 3, 106. 5 | 3,148, 1 |
| Indiana | 1,298.6 | 1,290. 0 | 1,281.2 | *1, 282.8 | 1,268.7 | 1, 264.7 | 1, 294. 9 | *1, 280.5 | 1, 255. 6 | 1, 273.3 | *1, 260. 2 | 1, 227.7 | ${ }^{*} 1,230.7$ | 1, 196. 4 |
| Iowa. | 620.4 | 6121 | 606.3 | 596.4 | 594.8 | 595. 3 | 605.3 | 599.4 | 601.0 | 599.5 | 598.1 | 1, 591.6 | 594. 1 | 1 570.9 |
| Kansas | 497.7 | 490.8 | 486.8 | 478. 7 | 468.9 | 469.2 | 482.5 | 474.9 | 476.1 | 474. 3 | 467.5 | 463.1 | 462.2 | 423.2 |
| Maine | 270.1 | 260.4 | 254.1 | 252.4 | 254.9 | 253.1 | 261.4 | 258. 2 | 265.3 | 270.5 | 273.1 | 264.7 | 261.1 | 262.0 |
| Maryland. | 743.3 | 732.4 | 725. 9 | *724. 2 | 712.3 | 703.6 | 726. 2 | 719.2 | 720.8 | 721.3 | 716.3 | 697.6 | ${ }^{3} 696.5$ | 670.8 |
| Massachuset | 1,804.8 | 1,801.0 | 1,794.6 | *1, 785.1 | 1,778. 2 | 1, 769.6 | 1, 826. 7 | 1,792.8 | 1,793.9 | 1, 777. 2 | 1,764. 2 | 1, 721.7 | 1, 733.5 | 1,701. 5 |
| Minnesota | 1,823.9 | -815.9 | 1801.8 | 801.2 | 799.5 | 1,802.3 | 1,820.9 | 1,816.3 | 819.7 | 1,825.1 | 810.9 | 794.1 | 783.3 | 770.6 |
| Missouri | 1,210.9 | 1, 201.7 | 1,188.2 | $1,185.7$ | 1,176. 9 | 1, 177.0 | 1, 217.3 | 1,195.5 | 1,198. 7 | 1,194.3 | 1,176.7 | 1,160.9 | 1, 147. 1 | 1,116. 4 |
| Montana | 153.9 | 151.3 | 148.5 | *143.0 | 143.0 | 144.7 | 149.9 | 152.6 | 154.5 | 156.8 | 155.9 | 154.4 | 153.6 | 136.4 |
| Nebraska | 327.7 | 323.8 | 319.3 | 315.2 | 313.7 | 314.3 | 327.0 | 323.1 | 323.5 | 321.8 | 317.5 | 315. 2 | 313.9 | 295.5 |
| Nevada | 57.8 | 56. 3 | 55.9 | 54. 6 | 53.5 | 53.8 | 55. 1 | 55. 4 | 56. 0 | 57. 5 | 57.1 | 57.0 | 55. 4 | 53. 4 |
| New Hampsh | 171.2 | 166.9 | 168.5 | 166.9 | 167.7 | 166.1 | 168.8 | 169.3 | 171.1 | 173. 4 | 172.8 | 169.5 | *167.2 | 166. 7 |
| New Jersey | 1,687.5 | 1, 679.8 | 1,682. 1 | *1, 666. 5 | 1, 664. 0 | 1, 653.2 | 1,689.9 | 1, 671.0 | 1,668.6 | 1,666.9 | 1,641.1 | 1, 600. 3 | 1, 600. 4 | 1, 613.5 |
| New Mexico | 156.3 | 154.9 | 155.1 | 154.1 | 151.1 | 150.0 | 151.7 | 150.3 | 150.9 | 153.0 | 150.8 | 148.0 | 147.2 | 122.0 |
| New York ${ }^{2}$ | 5, 721.3 | 5, 689.0 | 5,689.1 | 5,708.8 | 5,664. 0 | 5,645.5 | 5, 831.3 | 5,727.0 | 5,745. 1 | 5,701. 7 | 5, 632.9 | 5, 523.8 | 5, 505. 8 | 5, 557. 7 |
| North Carolina | 924.6 | 917.4 | 911.3 | ${ }^{*} 931.6$ | 519.6 | 918. 2 | 5,837.9 | 930.5 | 5, 928.9 | 5, 927.7 | $5,632.9$ 903.4 | 5, 870.0 | 573.6 | 5, 863.6 |
| North Dakota | 116.1 | 114. 6 | 110.9 | *108. 0 | 108.3 | 110.6 | 115. 4 | 116. 7 | 116.9 | 117.1 | 116.8 | 115.1 | 114.4 | 99.1 |
| Oklahoma | 498. 0 | 493.0 | 491.8 | *486. 0 | 475.3 | 480.4 | 492.3 | 483. 4 | 484.6 | 483.6 | 477.9 | 474.5 | 472. 7 | 433. 6 |
| Oregon | 467.1 | 452.5 | 445.0 | * 427.7 | * 425.5 | 424.1 | 451.2 | 454.3 | 464.9 | 477.2 | 478.1 | 459.0 | 451.3 | 417.4 |
| Pennsylvania | 3, 738.6 | 3, 723.8 | 3, 710.6 | *3, 702.8 | 3,656. 3 | 3,647. 7 | 3,737. 1 | 3,688. 2 | 3, 678. 5 | 3, 674.4 | 3, 614. 5 | 3, 520. 5 | *3, 541, 7 | 3, 628.3 |
| Rhode Island | 299.2 | 301.1 | 305.3 | 301.7 | 306.7 | 304.6 | 310.8 | 308.4 | 307.5 | 303.9 | 294.7 | 285. 3 | 285.9 | 3, 292.9 |
| South Carolina | 474. 2 | 470.4 | 465.8 | *469.5 | 462.5 | 461.0 | 469.7 | 462. 2 | 461.6 | 458.7 | 450.7 | 440.9 | 440.2 | 426. 1 |
| South Dakota | 118.0 | 116. 2 | 113.9 | *112. 7 | 113.3 | 114. 4 | 119.6 | 119.6 | 120.8 | 121.7 | 121.3 | 121.5 | 120.6 | 110.2 |
| Tennessee | 750.5 | 752.5 | 751.1 | 750.0 | 742.1 | 739.1 | 756.4 | 748.1 | 745.1 | 747.2 | 740.5 | 726.9 | 723.4 | 700.5 |
| Texas | 2, 015.4 | 1,994. 2 | 1,984.2 | *1,972.4 | 1,944. 7 | 1,941.6 | 1,989.5 | 1,949. 0 | 1, 944. 5 | 1, 938.0 | 1,920.9 | 1,884. 7 | 1,884. 5 |  |
| Utah | 209.8 | 204. 5 | 200.6 | *197.3 | *194.5 | *192.5 | 202.2 | *199.7 | *200.0 | 203. 7 | 195.3 | 192.9 | 1872 | 179.7 |
| Vermont | 101. 4 | 100. 0 | 99.4 | *97.0 | 97.9 | 97.8 | 99.7 | 97.8 | 98.2 | 99.9 | 99.3 | 97.3 | 96.2 | 98.6 |
| Virginia | 837.1 | 829.5 | 819.3 | *822.8 | 814.2 | 808.2 | 827.3 | 813.3 | 813. 3 | 803.8 | 789.9 | 776.2 | 775.3 |  |
| Washington | 726.5 | 717.9 | 703.0 | 689.0 | 684.9 | 683.2 | 713.1 | 716. 0 | 732.6 | 727.6 | 713.6 | 688.3 | 673.0 | 659.9 |
| West Virginia | 537.6 | 534.6 | 526.6 | *529.9 | 522.4 | 525. 4 | 539.3 | 534.3 | 533.3 | 531.9 | 529.5 | 519.8 | 521.3 |  |
| W isconsin. | 1, 054.3 | 1, 043.6 | 1, 038.6 | *1, 032.6 | 1, 021.8 | 1, 024.8 | 1, 050.2 | 1,040. 1 | 1, 040.4 | 1, 048.1 | 1, 030.8 | 1, 026. 1 | 997.6 | 984.5 |
| W yoming. | 85.4 | 82.0 | 79.1 | *77.8 | 76.4 | 17.9 | 81.3 | 82.0 | 82.7 | 1,86.4 | 1, 87.9 | 87.1 | 85.4 | 72.7 |

${ }^{1}$ Revised data in all except the first three columns will be identified by an asterisk (*) for the first month's publication of such data. Data for earlier years are available on request to the Bureau of Labor Statistics or the cooperating State agency. State agencies also publish more detailed industry data. See table A-10 for addresses of cooperating State agencies.

Table A-10: Employees in Manufacturing Industries, by State ${ }^{1}$
[In thousands]

| State | 1951 |  |  |  |  |  | 1950 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Annual } \\ & \text { average } \\ & 1947 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | June | May | Apr. | Mar. | Feb. | Jan. | Dec. | Nov. | Oct. | Sept. | Aug. | July | June |  |
| Alabama | 224.0 | 216.1 | 217.4 | 224.7 | 224.0 | 220.9 | 222.0 | 221.3 | 222.3 | 223.3 | 218.9 | 212.7 | 209.1 | 224.1 |
| Arizona ${ }^{2}$ | 18.7 | 18.3 | 17.7 | 17.4 | 16.9 | 16.3 | 16.0 | 16.2 | 16.0 | 15.4 | 15.2 | 15.3 | 15.2 | 14.2 |
| Arkansas | 78.6 | 76.5 | 77.7 | 78.1 | 76.7 | 76.6 | 76.7 | 77.7 | 79.1 | 78.7 | 76.7 | 74.5 | 75.2 | 75.1 |
| California | 850.8 | 842.1 | 840.8 | 832.9 | 823.5 | 804.4 | 810.7 | 823.1 | 838.3 | 843.3 | 843.4 | 763.9 | 731.0 | 718.8 |
| Colorado | 62.4 | 61.1 | 60.6 | 59.9 | 59.8 | 60.7 | 63.3 | 63.8 | 64.7 | 62.1 | 59.5 | 56.9 | 54.7 | 57.5 |
| Connecticut ${ }^{2}$ | 417.3 | 418.0 | 418.7 | 415.7 | 415.9 | 409.2 | 410.3 | 407.6 | 401.2 | 393.8 | 381.3 | 364.8 | 366.8 | 415.7 |
| Delaware | 50.6 | 50.1 | 49.3 | *49.4 | 48.9 | 48.7 | 48.3 | 48.2 | 46.8 | 50.9 | 50.4 | 46.9 | 45.9 | 45.9 |
| District of Col | 17. 1 | 17.0 | 16.8 | *16. 8 | 16.2 | 16.5 | *17.4 | *16.4 | *16.2 | *16.1 | *16. 2 | *16.1 | 16.4 | 16.8 |
| Florida | 99.5 | 100.8 | 102.7 | 105.7 | 105. 7 | 103.9 | 102.5 | 97.6 | 94.1 | 91.7 | 90.4 | 86.9 | 90. 1 | 92.8 273.7 |
| Georgia | 288.5 | 290.1 | 290.9 | *291. 6 | 291.5 | 290.6 | 289.9 | 291.7 | 299.5 | 297.0 | 292.2 | 277.9 | 274.2 | 273.7 |
| Idaho | 25.3 | 23.0 | 21.2 | 20.2 | 19.8 | 21.1 | 22.2 | 24.7 | 25.6 | 27.7 | 26.4 | 25.7 | 23.3 | 20.5 |
| Illinois ${ }^{2}$ | 1,216. 7 | 1,210.3 | 1, 219.0 | 1,228.9 | 1. 223.8 | 1,211.6 | 1, 210.7 | 1,200.9 | 1,200.8 | 1,178.6 | 1, 186.2 | 1,151.6 | 1,155.9 | 1,240.4 |
| Indiana | 597.9 | 597.0 | 600.2 | *606. 2 | 603.7 | 598.4 | 596.7 | 596.0 | 575.3 | 593.7 | 589.7 | 565.7 | 569.6 | 562.4 |
| Iowa | 162.1 | 159.4 | 160.0 | 158.7 | 159.7 | 158.2 | 152.0 | 149.7 | 149.4 | 147. 7 | 152.9 | 150.7 | 150.2 | 149.6 |
| Kansas | 113.6 | 110.0 | 108.6 | 109.0 | 106.6 | 103.4 | 101.8 | 99.3 | 98.2 | 96.4 | 94.4 | 92.3 | 91.6 | 81.5 |
| Kentucky ${ }^{2}$ | 145.9 | 144.7 | 146. 1 | 147.5 | 153.1 | 154.7 | 154.4 | 147.3 | 145.4 | 141.5 | 143.3 | 138.6 | 136.2 | 136.3 |
| Louisiana | 140.1 | 138.9 | 137. 7 | 138.5 | 136. 7 | 136.5 | 140.8 | 143.6 | 142.3 | 141.4 | 139.0 | 132.2 | 133.7 | 151.0 |
| Maine | 115.6 | 109.5 | 107.4 | 109.4 | 111.5 | 109.2 | 108. 5 | 108. 9 | 114.6 | 118. 2 | 120.1 | 113.3 | 111.5 | 114. 5 |
| Maryland | 254.8 | 248.7 | 245.6 | *245. 8 | 243.5 | 233.5 | 237.1 | 233.8 | 238.5 | 241.5 | 240.2 | 222.9 | ${ }^{3} 223.6$ | 230.3 |
| Massachusetts | 735.4 | 736.6 | 747.8 | 744.3 | 753.2 | 741.6 | 742.5 | 742.4 | 742.9 | 718.3 | 718.0 | 683.0 | 684.9 | 721.9 |
| Michigan | 1,128.6 | 1, 133.7 | 1, 157.4 | *1, 167.1 | *1, 162.1 | *1, 137.8 | *1, 137.4 | 1,144.2 | 1, 178.3 | 1, 152. 2 | 1, 129.6 | 1, 117.4 | 1, 108. 7 | 1,041. 7 |
| Minnesota | 206.1 | 202.5 | 203.3 | 203.7 | 201.6 | 199.7 | 203.3 | 203.9 | 204.7 | 213.2 | 206.9 | 198.3 | 190.5 | 199.5 |
| Mississipp | 88.7 | 90.5 | 89.7 | *87.9 | 86.8 | 87.6 | 89.5 | 92.2 | 90.8 | 90.6 | 89.4 | 85.2 | 84.0 | 91.9 |
| Missouri | 373.2 | 367.4 | 367.2 | 369.0 | 367.5 | 363.8 | 364.9 | 359.6 | 363.8 | 362.4 | 358.9 | 350.1 | 342.6 | 348.8 |
| Montana | 17. 2 | 16.9 | 16.7 | *16.8 | 17.3 | 17.8 | 18.4 | 19.6 | 20.5 | 19.7 | 19.8 | 19.5 | 19.0 | 18.4 |
| Nebraska | 55.2 | 53.1 | 52.6 | *52.6 | 52.3 | 52.5 | 53.0 | 52.6 | 53.0 | 51.6 | 51.7 | 50.6 | 50.0 | 49.3 |
| Nevada | 3.5 | 3.4 | 3. 4 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 3.3 |
| New Hampsh | 80.1 | 79.0 | 82.3 | 82.4 | 83.1 | 81.1 | 80.3 | 79.9 | 79.7 | 80.2 | 78.8 | 76.1 | 75. 7 | 82. 8 |
| New Jersey.. | 766.0 | 766.1 | 744.5 | *770. 5 | 779.2 | 768.2 | 767.9 | 765. 4 | 764.6 | 761.1 | 741.8 | 705.3 | 711.6 | 775.3 |
| New Mexico | 13.3 | 13.3 | 13.1 | 12.8 | 12.7 | 12.4 | 12.3 | 12.1 | 12.2 | 12.2 | 12.0 | 11.9 | 11.7 | 9.1 |
| New York | 1, 885.8 | 1,870.0 | 1,905. 1 | *1, 949.5 | 1,944.3 | 1,917.1 | 1, 923.9 | 1, 923.9 | 1,944.8 | 1, 912.2 | 1,870.4 | 1, 764. 1 | 1,750.5 | 1,903. 7 |
| North Carolina | 417.0 | 412.8 | 410.1 | ${ }^{*} 431.1$ | 432.2 | 431.1 | 431.1 | 436.4 | 440.1 | 440.1 | 424.1 | 395.5 | 399.3 | 411.8 |
| North Dakot | 6. 2 | 5. 9 | 5. 8 | *5.8 | 6.0 | 6.3 | 6. 5 | 6.5 | 6.3 | 6. 2 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 6. 1 | 6.1 |
| Ohio | 1,286.3 | 1,284.5 | 1,287. 8 | *1,289.0 | 1,284. 5 | 1,274.3 | 1, 270.7 | 1,259.2 | 1,253. 4 | 1, 239.3 | 1,213.8 | 1,178. 2 | 1,173.1 | 1,245. 1 |
| Oklahoma | 73.5 | 72.2 | 71.7 | 70.3 | 68.3 | 68.7 | 68.6 | 68.6 | 68.4 | 67.8 | 67.8 | 67.2 | 66.6 | 62.4 |
| Oregon | 152.2 | 144. 7 | 140.9 | *130.1 | ${ }^{*} 132.3$ | 129.5 | 139.8 | 145.0 | 151.6 | 156.1 | 160.0 | 149.8 | 147.0 | 132.8 |
| Pennsylvania | 1, 498.0 | 1,502.9 | 1, 518.9 | * $1,516.6$ | 1,506. 4 | 1, 493.4 | 1, 495.1 | 1, 494.3 | 1,483.0 | 1,470.1 | 1, 429.8 | 1,364.9 | 1,375.3 | 1,524. 5 |
| Rhode Island | 147.6 | 149.9 | 154.5 | 151.2 | 160.2 | 156.9 | 155.2 | 157.1 | 157.8 | 154.0 | 148.1 | 139.9 | 139.7 | 152.5 |
| South Carolina | 216.8 | 214.5 | 213.6 | 218.5 | 217.3 | 216.4 | 216.1 | 215.5 | 216.0 | 215.6 | 211.2 | 204.9 | 204.2 | 202.1 |
| South Dakota. | 11.2 | 11.1 | 11.0 | 10.9 | 11.0 | 11.2 | 11.1 | 11.5 | 11.4 | 11.4 | 11.6 | 11.6 | 11.4 | 11.3 |
| Tennessee | 256.1 | 259.4 | 259.6 | 261.3 | 260.1 | 257.2 | 256.1 | 257.1 | 255.1 | 255.6 | 255. 1 | 245. 7 | 240.6 | 253.6 |
| Texas | 390.7 | 383, 9 | 386.1 | *384. 7 | 381.6 | 377.9 | 374.6 | 371.2 | 367.5 | 364.2 | 363.1 | 345.4 | 344.0 | 323.6 |
| Utah | 30.5 | 29.1 | 28.8 | *28.4 | 28.2 | *28.8 | *30.5 | 31.3 | *32.0 | 33.4 | 29.7 | 30.7 | 27.2 | 26.5 |
| Vermon | 39.3 | 39.2 | 40.0 | 38.0 | 39.2 | 38.1 | 37.3 | 37.2 | 37.1 | 37.4 | 36.6 | 34.8 | 34.9 | 39.8 |
| Virginia | 236.7 | 234. 7 | 231.8 | 240.8 | 238.8 | 237.6 | 237.5 | 238.2 | 241.2 | 238.4 | 231.9 | 220.7 | 218.2 | 234.5 |
| Washington | 195. 3 | 190.4 | 182. 2 | 179.4 | 180.5 | 178.3 | 180.0 | 185. 2 | 198.1 | 197.2 | 192.7 | 182.6 | 175.3 | 173.5 |
| West Virginia | 142.8 | 141.6 | 140. 2 | *139.3 | 137.6 | 137.8 | 138.6 | 139. 2 | 139.1 | 136.1 | 135.2 | 131.7 | 131.4 | 137.0 |
| W isconsin. | 457.2 | 452.7 | 453.9 | 453.7 | 448.3 | 447.0 | 449.8 | 449.2 | 446.4 | 453.3 | 446.7 | 446.1 | 418.4 | 433.1 |
| W yoming | 6.1 | 5. 9 | 5. 9 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.1 | 6.8 | 7.0 | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.4 | 6.1 | 6.3 |

${ }^{1}$ Revised data in all except the first three columns will be identified by an asterisk (*) for the first month's publication of such data. Data for earlier years are available on request to the Bureau of Labor Statistics or the cooperating State agency. State agencies also publish more detailed industry data. ${ }^{2}$ Revised series; not comparable with data previously published.
${ }^{8}$ Not comparable with preceding data shown.
Cooperating State Agencies.
Alabama-Department of Industrial Relations, Montgomery 5.
Arizona-Unemployment Compensation Division, Employment Security Commission, Phoenix.
Arkansas-Employment Security Division, Department of Labor, Little Rock.
California-Division of Labor Statistics and Research, Department of Industrial Relations, San Francisco 1.
Colorado-Department of Employment Security, Denver 2.
Connecticut-Employment Security Division, Department of Labor, Hartford 5.
Delaware-Federal Reserve Bank of Philadelphia, Philadelphia 1, Pa. District of Columbia-U. S. Employment Service for D. C., Washington 25.
Florida-Unemployment Compensation Division, Industrial Commission, Tallahassee.
Georgia-Employment Security Agency, Department of Labor, At-Georgia-
Idaho-Employment Security Agency, Boise.
Illinois-Division of Placement and Unemployment Compensation Ilinois-Division of Placement an
Indiana-Employment Security Division, Indianapolis 9.
Indiana-Employment Security Division, Indianapolis 9.
Iowa-Employment Security Commission, Des Moines 8 . Kentucky-Bureau of Employment Security, Department of Economic Security, Frankfort.
Louisiana-Division of Employment Security, Department of Labor, Baton Rouge 4.
Maine-Employment Security Commission, Augusta.
Maryland-Department of Employment Security, Baltimore 1.
Massachusetts-Division ofStatistics, Department of Labor and Industries, Boston 10 .

Michigan-Employment Security Commission, Detroit 2.
Minnesota-Division of Employment and Security, St. Paul 1.
Mississippi-Employment Security Commission, Jackson.
Missouri-Division of Employment Security, Department of Labor and Industrial Relations, Jefferson City.
Montana-Unemployment Compensation Commission, Helena.
Nebraska-Division of Employment Security, Department of Labor, Lincoln 1
Nevada-Employment Security Department, Carson City.
New Hampshire-Division of Employment'Security, Department of Labor, Concord.
New Jersey-Department of Labor and Industry, Trenton 8.
New Mexico-Employment Security Commission, Albuquerque.
New York-Bureau of Research and Statistics, Division of Placement and Unemployment Insurance, New York Department of Labor, New York 18.
North Carolina-Department of Labor, Raleigh.
North Dakota-Unemployment Compensation Division, Bismarck.
Ohio-Bureau of Unemployment Compensation, Columbus 16. Oklahoma-Employment Security Commission, Oklahoma City 2. Oregon- Unemployment Compensation Commission, Salem
Pennsylvania-Federal Reserve Bank of Philadelphia, Philadelphia 1,
(mfg.); Bureau of Research and Information, Department of Labor and Industry, Harrisburg (nonmfg.)
Rhode Island-Department of Labor, Providence 2.
South Carolina-Emrloyment Security Commission, Columbia 1.
South Dakota-Employment Security Department, Aberdeen.
Tennessee-Department of Employment Security, Nashville 3.
Texas-Employment Commission, Austin 19.
Utah-Department of Employment Security, Industrial Commission, Utah-Department
Valt Lake City 13 .
Virginia-Division of Research and Statistics, Department of Labor and Industry, Richmond 19.
Washington-Employment Security Department, Olympia.
West Virginia-Department of Employment Security, Charleston 5.
W isconsin-Industrial Commission, Madison 3.
W yoming-Employment Security Commission, Casper.

Table A-11: Insured Unemployment Under State Unemployment Insurance Programs, ${ }^{1}$ by Geographic Division and State
[In thousands]

${ }^{1}$ Prior to August 1950, monthly data represent averages of weeks ended in specified months; for subsequent months, the averages are based on weekly data adjusted for split weeks in the month and are not strictly comparable with earlier data. For a technical description of this series, see the April 1950 Monthly Labor Review (p. 382).
${ }^{r}$ Revised.

Figures may not add to exact column totals because of rounding.
Source: U. S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Employment Security.

## B: Labor Turn-Over

Table B-1: Monthly Labor Turn-Over Rates (Per 100 Employees) in Manufacturing Industries, by Class of Turn-Over ${ }^{1}$

${ }^{1}$ Month-to-month changes in total employment in manufacturing industries as indicated by labor turn-over rates are not comparable with the changes shown by the Bureau's employment and payroll reports, for the ollowing reasons:
(1) Accessions and separations are computed for the entire calendar month; the employment and payroll reports, for the most part, refer to a 1-week pay period ending nearest the 15th of the month.
(2) The turn-over sample is not so large as that of the employment and payroll sample and includes proportionately fewer small plants; certain industries are not covered. The major industries excluded are: printing, publishing, and allied industries; canning and preserving fruits, vegetables, and sea foods; women's, misses', and children's outerwear; and fertilizers.
(3) Plants are not included in the turn-over computations in months when work stoppages are in progress; the influence of such stoppage is reflected workever, in the employment and payroll figures. Prior to 1943, rates relate to production workers only
${ }^{2}$ Preliminary figures
${ }^{3}$ Prior to 1940, miscellaneous separations were included with quits.
Note: Information on concepts, methodology, and special studies, etc., is given in a "Technical Note on Labor Turn-Over," October 1949, which is available upon request to the Bureau of Labor Statistics.
$\underline{\text { Table B-2: Monthly Labor Turn-Over Rates (Per } 100 \text { Employees) in Selected Groups and Industries }{ }^{1}}$

| Industry group and industry | Separation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total accession |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total |  | Quit |  | Discharge |  | Lay-off |  | Misc., incl. military |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 1951 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { May } \\ 1951 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 1951 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | May $1951$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 1951 \end{aligned}$ | May $1951$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 1951 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 1951 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 1951 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { May } \\ 1951 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 1951 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 1951 \end{aligned}$ |
| Manufacturing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Durable goods ${ }^{2}$ - | 4.4 | 4. 9 | 2.6 | 3. 0 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 5.1 | 5.0 |
| Nondurable goods ${ }^{8}$ | 3.5 | 4.5 | 2.0 | 2.4 | . 2 | . 3 | 1.9 | 1.4 | . 4 | . 4 | 4.1 | 3.7 |
| Ordnance and accessories. | 2.1 | 2.1 | 1.3 | 1.5 | . 5 | . 3 | . 1 | . 1 | . 2 | . 2 | 5.5 | 3.8 |
| Food and kindred products | 4. 4 | 5. 3 | 2. 5 | 2. 8 | . 4 | . 5 | 1.3 | 1. 7 | .2 | . 3 | 6. 2 | 5.8 |
| Meat products.....- | 5. 11 4.6 | 6.2 4.0 | 2. 4 3 | 3.1 2.9 | . 5 | . 6 | 2. 0 | 2. 0 | .2 | .5 | 6. 9 | 6. 9 |
| Bakery products..-- | 4.9 | 5.8 | 3.8 3.8 | 3.1 | . .5 | .3 | . 4 | 2. ${ }^{.5}$ | .2 | . 3 | 6.2 6.4 | 4.4 4.8 |
| Beverages: <br> Malt liquors $\qquad$ | 3.5 | 3.8 3.3 | 1.5 | 1.8 | . 7 | . 5 | 1.0 | 2. .7 | .2 .3 | . 3 | 10.1 | 7.4 |
| Tobacco manufactures | 3.3 | 5.4 | 1.7 | 1.8 | . 3 | . 3 | . 6 | 2.6 | . 7 | . 7 | 2.9 | 2.5 |
| Cigarettes | 3.2 | 3.1 | 1.1 | 1.2 | .2 | .2 | . 6 | . 4 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 4.1 | 2. 2 |
| Cigars..........- | 3.2 | 7.7 | 2.0 | 2.2 | .5 | .2 | . 6 | 4.8 | . 1 | -. 5 | 2.3 | 2.7 |
| Tobacco and snuf | 3.2 | 2.7 | 1.7 | 1.4 | . 2 | . 6 | . 8 | . 3 | . 5 | . 4 | 2.6 | 2.3 |
| Textile-mill products...-. | 3. 9 | 5.1 | 1.7 | 2.2 | .2 | .3 | 1.5 | 2.1 | . 5 | . 5 | 3.2 | 3.1 |
| Yarn and thread mills | 4.3 | 5. 0 | 1.4 | 2.1 | .2 | .2 | 2.0 | 2.1 | . 7 | . 6 | 3.3 | 3.3 |
| Broad-woven fabric mills.--... | 4. 0 | 4.9 | 1.9 | 2. 5 | .3 | . 4 | 1.2 | 1.4 | . 6 | . 6 | 3.4 | 3.5 |
|  | 3.8 | 4.7 | 1.9 | 2.7 | . 3 | . 4 | 1.0 | 1.0 | . 6 | . 6 | 3.3 | 3.5 |
| Knitting mills.........-.-- | 4.1 | 6.8 5.4 | 1.2 | 1.1 | $\cdot 3$ | .5 | 2. 5 | 4.5 | . 7 | .7 | 4.8 | 2.9 |
| Full-fashioned hosiery | 3.2 | 5.4 3.8 | 1.8 | 1.6 | . 1 | .1 | 1.7 | 2.7 1.9 | .1 | . 2 | 3. 1.8 | 2.4 |
| Seamless hosiery .- | 4.0 | 7.0 | 2.1 | 2.9 | .1 | .1 | 1.6 | 3.8 | .2 | . 2 | 1.8 | 1. 2.8 |
| Knit underwear | 4.2 | 5.3 | 2.4 | 2.8 | .2 | .2 | 1. 6 | 2.1 | (4) | . 2 | 3.3 | 2.6 |
| Dyeing and finishing textiles........--- | 1.7 | 5.9 | . 8 | 1.2 | . 1 | . 4 | 1.3 | 3.7 | ${ }^{\text {. }} 5$ | . 6 | 2.6 | 2.4 |
| Carpets, rugs, other floor coverings...- | 2.4 | 5.0 | 1.0 | 1.5 | (4) | .2 | 1.0 | 3.0 | . 4 | . 3 | 3.2 | 1.4 |
| Apparel and other finished textile products. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3. 9 | 5.0 | 2.6 | 3. 2 | .2 | .3 | . 9 | 1.3 | .2 | . 2 | 3.4 | 3.7 |
| Men's and boys' suits and coats Men's and boys' furnishings and work clothing | 2.9 | 5.5 | 1.8 | 2.5 | . 1 | .2 | .7 | 2.5 | . 3 | . 3 | 4.0 | 3.6 |
|  | 4.4 | 5.3 | 2.9 | 3.4 | . 1 | . 2 | 1.3 | 1.5 | . 1 | . 2 | 3.5 | * 3.6 |
| Lumber and wood products (except furniture) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 5. 5 | 6.6 | 4.0 | 5.0 | .4 | . 4 | . 9 | . 9 | . 2 | . 3 | 5.3 | 6.8 |
| Logging camps and contractors. | 8.7 | 16.3 | 6.7 | 15.0 | . 3 | .4 | 1.3 | . 7 | . 4 | . 2 | 11.6 | 17.9 |
|  | 5.1 | 6.6 | 3.9 | 5.0 | . 5 | . 4 | . 5 | . 9 | . 2 | . 3 | 5.4 | 6.7 |
| Millwork, plywood, and prefabricated structural wood products. | 4.6 | 5.4 | 2.6 | 3.1 | . 3 | . 3 | 1.4 | 1.7 | . 3 | . 3 | 2.4 | 3.8 |
| Furniture and fixtures.. | 6.4 | 7.5 | 3. 0 | 4.1 | . 5 | . 5 | 2.6 | 2.5 | . 3 | . 4 | 5.1 | 4.0 |
| Household furniture | 7.1 | 8.4 | 2.7 | 3.9 | . 5 | . 6 | 3.7 | 3.5 | . 2 | . 4 | 4. 4 | 3. 3 |
| Other furniture and fixtures | 4.8 | 5.8 | 3.7 | 4.5 | . 5 | . 4 | . 2 | . 4 | . 4 | . 5 | 6. 6 | 5.7 |
| Paper and allied products $\qquad$ Pulp, paper, and paperboard mills Paperboard containers and boxes. $\qquad$ | 3.1 | $\begin{aligned} & 3.3 \\ & 2.6 \end{aligned}$ | 2.0 | 2.3 | . 3 | . 3 | . 4 | . 2 | . 4 | . 5 | 4.3 | 3.9 |
|  | 2.2 |  | 1.4 | 1. 6 | . 3 | .3 | . 1 | .2 | . 4 | . 5 | 4.2 | 3. 4 |
|  | 3.7 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.6 \\ & 4.5 \end{aligned}$ | 2.8 | 3.5 | .4 | . 4 | .2 | . 2 | .3 | . 4 | 4.7 | 4.5 |
| Chemicals and allied products...-.-.-.-. | 1.9 | 2.4 | 1.1 | 1.3 | . 2 | . 3 | . 4 | .6 | . 2 | . 2 | 3.6 | 2.3 |
| Industrial inorganic chemicals.........- | 2.1 |  | 1.4 | 2.0 | .2 |  | .2 | .2 | . 3 | . 2 | 4.8 | 4.0 |
| Industrial organic chemicals.- | 1. 6 | 1.8 | 1.0 | 1.0 | . 2 | .2 | . 2 | . 2 | .2 | .4 | 3. 3 | 2.3 |
| Synthetic fibers... | 1.0 | 1.6 | . 6 | . 7 | (4) | . 1 | (1).2 | . 2 | .2 | . 6 | 1.5 | 1.4 |
| Drugs and medicines. |  | 1.8 | 1.3 | 1.3 | . 1 | .2 | (4) ${ }^{2}$ | . 1 | .2 | .2 | 3. 9 | 2.2 |
| Paints, pigments, and fillers. | 1.6 2.1 | 2.1 | 1.4 | 1.2 | . 4 | .4 | ( .2 | . 3 | . 1 | . 2 | 3.3 | 2.5 |
| Products of petroleum and coal Petroleum refining | 1.2.7 | $\begin{array}{r} 1.1 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | . 8 | . 7 | (4) 1 | . 1 | (4) | . 1 | . 3 | . 2 | 2.3 | 1.6 |
| Rubber products $\qquad$ <br> Tires and inner tubes. $\qquad$ <br> Rubber footwear <br> Other rubber products. $\qquad$ $\qquad$ |  |  | . 4 | . 4 | (4) | . 1 | $\left.{ }^{4}\right)$ | . 1 | . 3 | . 2 | 1.9 | 1.5 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 3.5 \\ & 2.0 \\ & 5.4 \\ & 4.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.3 \\ & 1.8 \\ & 4.9 \\ & 6.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.5 \\ & 1.4 \\ & 3.7 \\ & 3.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.8 \\ & \text { 1.2 } \\ & \text { 3.7 } \\ & 4.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .2 \\ & .1 \\ & .3 \\ & .3 \end{aligned}$ | .3.3.2.4 | $\begin{aligned} & .4 \\ & .2 \\ & .1 \\ & .7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .8 \\ .1 \\ .2 \\ 1.4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .4 \\ .3 \\ 1.3 \\ .4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .4 \\ & .4 \\ & .8 \\ & .3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.9 \\ & 3.7 \\ & 6.1 \\ & 5.6 \end{aligned}$ | 4.73.16.85.7 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Leather and leather products. | $\begin{aligned} & 3.7 \\ & 3.0 \\ & 3.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.4 \\ & 4.1 \\ & 5.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.4 \\ & 1.5 \\ & 2.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.0 \\ & 1.6 \\ & 3.1 \end{aligned}$ | .2.1.2 | .2.1.2 | .71.2.3 | 1. 9 | .4.2.5 | $\begin{array}{r}.3 \\ .3 \\ .4 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 4. 42.5.15. | 3.83.94.1 |
| Leather--.-........---.-. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.1 |  |  |  |  |
| Footwear (except rubber).------------ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.8 |  |  |  |  |
| Stone, clay, and glass products..-----....- | $\begin{aligned} & 3.2 \\ & 3.0 \\ & 2.6 \\ & 3.8 \\ & 3.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.8 \\ & 4.8 \\ & 2.8 \\ & 4.1 \\ & 3.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.0 \\ & 1.5 \\ & 1.9 \\ & 2.5 \\ & 2.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.4 \\ & 2.4 \\ & 2.1 \\ & 3.2 \\ & 2.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{l\|} .3 \\ .3 \\ .4 \\ .4 \\ .4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .3 \\ & .3 \\ & .4 \\ & .4 \\ & .3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .6 \\ \text { (4) } 8 \\ .8 \\ .7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .7 \\ 1.5 \\ \text { (4) } \\ .2 \\ .5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .3 \\ & .4 \\ & .3 \\ & .2 \\ & .2 \end{aligned}$ | .4.6.3.3.3 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4. } 2 \\ & \text { 4.1 } \\ & \text { 4. } 2 \\ & 5.5 \\ & \text { 2. } 9 \end{aligned}$ | 3. 63.83.54.83.0 |
| Glass and glass products |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cement, hydraulic..... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Structural clay products....- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pottery and related products..-.-.-...- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Primary metal industries...-....-.-.-.- | 3.3 | 3.6 | 2.3 | 2.5 | .3 | . 4 | . 3 | . 3 | . 4 | . 4 | 4.8 | 4.2 |
| Blast furnaces, steel works, and rolling mills. | $\begin{aligned} & 2.4 \\ & 5.6 \\ & 5.6 \\ & 5.8 \\ & 5.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.5 \\ & 6.1 \\ & 6.2 \\ & 7.3 \\ & 5.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.7 \\ & 3.8 \\ & 3.3 \\ & 4.7 \\ & 3.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.8 \\ & 4.5 \\ & 4.2 \\ & 6.0 \\ & 4.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .2 \\ & .7 \\ & .5 \\ & .7 \\ & .9 \end{aligned}$ | .2.8.7.7.9 | $\begin{array}{r} .1 \\ .7 \\ 1.3 \\ (4) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | .1.4.7.1.1 | $\begin{aligned} & .4 \\ & .4 \\ & .5 \\ & .4 \\ & .4 \end{aligned}$ | .4.5.6.5.3 | $\begin{aligned} & 4.4 \\ & 6.1 \\ & 4.3 \\ & 7.8 \\ & 7.8 \end{aligned}$ | 3.16.85.98.27.3 |
| Iron and steel foundries |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gray-iron foundries. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Malleable-iron foundries |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Steel foundries ......-.......-...-- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Primary smelting and refining of nonferrous metals: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Primary smelting and refining of copper, lead, and zinc |  | 2.3 | 1.9 | 1.3 | 1.3 | . 3 | . 1 | . 2 | . 1 | . 5 | . 4 | 3.9 | 2.1 |
| Rolling, drawing, and alloying of nonferrous metals: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rolling, drawing, and alloying of |  |  | 1.33.2 | 1.22.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2.14.5 | 2.54.3 |  |  | . 16 | $.1$ | $\begin{aligned} & .2 \\ & .5 \end{aligned}$ | .7.6 | . 5 | . 5 | 1.9 | 1. 6 |  |
| Nonferrous foundries .-.....-.-. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 4 | 7.0 | 6.1 |  |
| Other primary metal industries: Iron and steel forgings | 3.9 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | . 4 | . 4 | . 1 | . 2 | . 4 | $.4$ | $5.7$ | $5.1$ |  |

Table B-2: Monthly Labor Turn-Over Rates (Per 100 Employees) in Selected Groups and Industries ${ }^{1}$-Continued


1 See footnote 1, table B-1. Data for the current month are subject to revision without notation; revised figures for earlier months will be indicated by footnotes.

[^27]4 Less than 0.05
${ }_{5}$ Not available.

## C: Earnings and Hours

Table C-1: Hours and Gross Earnings of Production Workers or Nonsupervisory Employees ${ }^{1}$


See footnotes at end of table.

Table C-1: Hours and Gross Earnings of Production Workers or Nonsupervisory Employees ${ }^{1}$-Con.


Table C-1: Hours and Gross Earnings of Production Workers or Nonsupervisory Employees ${ }^{1}$


See footnotes at end of table.

Table C-1: Hours and Gross Earnings of Production Workers or Nonsupervisory Employees
${ }^{1}-\mathrm{Con}$.

| Year and month | Manufacturing-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tobacco manufac-tures-Con. |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  | Textile-mill products |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Tobacco stemming and redrying |  |  | Total: Textile-mill products |  |  | Yarn and thread mills |  |  | Yarn mills |  |  | Broad-woven fabric mills |  |  | Cotton, silk, synthetic fiber |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | United States |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings |  |  |  | Avg. wkly. earnings | A vg. wkly. hours | A F . hrly. earnings | A Fg . wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. <br> hrly. <br> earn- <br> ings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings |
| 1949: A verage | \$34. 20 | 38.3 | \$0.893 | \$44.83 | 37.7 39 | \$1.189 | \$40. 45 45.01 | 36.4 38.9 | $\$ 1.113$ <br> 1.157 | $\$ 40.55$ 45.09 | 36.3 38.8 | $\$ 1.117$ 1.162 | $\$ 44.48$ 49.28 | 37.5 40.1 | $\$ 1.186$ 1.229 | $\$ 42.89$ 48.00 | 37.2 40.1 | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1.153 \\ 1.197 \end{array}$ |
| 1950: A verage | 37.59 | 39.4 | . 954 | 48.95 | 39.6 | 1. 236 | 45.01 | 38.9 | 1.157 | 45.09 | 38.8 | 1.162 | 49.28 | 40.1 | 1. 229 | 48.00 | 40.1 |  |
| 1950: June | 40.11 | 38.6 | 1.039 | 46.75 | 38.7 | 1. 208 | 42.68 | 37.8 | 1.129 | 42. 79 | 37.7 | 1.135 | 46. 92 | 39.2 | 1.197 | 45. 24 | 38.9 | 1. 163 |
| 1950. July | 40.16 | 39.1 | 1.027 | 47.27 | 39.0 | 1. 212 | 43. 24 | 38.2 | 1.132 | 43. 36 | 38.1 | 1.138 | 47. 52 | 39.5 | 1.203 | 45. 90 | 39.3 | 1. 168 |
| August | 35. 24 | 38.1 | . 925 | 49.33 | 40.5 | 1. 218 | 44.96 | 39.4 | 1.141 | 45. 34 | 39.6 | 1.145 | 49.29 | 40.8 | 1. 208 | 47.86 | 40.7 | 1.176 |
| September | 39. 26 | 43.1 | . 911 | 49.98 | 40.7 | 1. 228 | 46. 40 | 40.1 | 1.157 | 46.56 | 40.0 | 1. 164 | 49.90 | 41.1 | 1.214 | 48. 62 | 41.1 | 1.183 |
| October. | 37.37 | 41.2 | . 907 | 52. 58 | 40.6 | 1. 295 | 49.33 | 40.2 | 1.227 | 49.16 | 40.0 | 1. 229 | 53.17 | 40.9 | 1.300 | 52. 29 | 41.3 | 1. 266 |
| November | 34. 53 | 35.6 | . 970 | 53.19 | 40.7 | 1. 307 | 49.57 | 40.3 | 1.230 | 49.61 | 40.2 | 1. 234 | 53. 68 | 41.1 | 1.306 | 52.62 | 41.4 | 1. 271 |
| December | 38. 52 | 40.0 | . 963 | 53. 57 | 40.8 | 1. 313 | 49.90 | 40.6 | 1. 229 | 49.90 | 40.5 | 1. 232 | 54.36 | 41.4 | 1.313 | 53.33 | 41.7 | 1. 279 |
| 1951: January | 38. 79 | 39.7 | . 977 | 53.59 | 40.6 | 1. 320 | 49.61 | 40.5 | 1. 225 | 49.73 | 40.4 | 1. 231 | 54.39 | 41.3 | 1.317 | 53.37 | 41.6 | 1. 283 |
| 1051. February | 35. 85 | 34.7 | 1. 033 | 53.94 | 40.8 | 1. 322 | 50.02 | 40.6 | 1. 232 | 49.98 | 40.5 | 1. 234 | 54. 22 | 41.2 | 1. 316 | 53. 54 | 41.7 | 1. 284 |
| March | 37.81 | 35.3 | 1. 071 | 53. 34 | 40.5 | 1.317 | 49.94 | 40.5 | 1. 233 | 50.02 | 40.5 | 1. 235 | 53.72 | 41.2 | 1.304 | 53. 29 | 41.5 | 1. 284 |
| April | 38.84 | 35.8 | 1.085 | 52.87 | 39.9 | 1.325 | 49.64 | 40.1 | 1.238 | 49.93 | 40.2 | 1. 242 | 53.95 | 40.9 | 1. 319 | 52, 64 | 41.0 | 1. 284 |
| May. | 42.02 | 38.3 | 1. 097 | 51.49 | 38.8 | 1.327 | 48. 48 | 39.0 | 1. 243 | 48.80 | 39.1 | 1. 248 | 52. 96 | 40.0 | 1. 324 | 51.78 | 40.2 | 1. 288 |
| June | 47.39 | 39.1 | 1. 212 | 51.11 | 38.6 | 1.324 | 48.01 | 38.5 | 1. 247 | 47.97 | 38.5 | 1. 246 | 52.14 | 39.5 | 1.320 | 50.68 | 39.5 | 1. 283 |
|  | Manufacturing-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Textile-mill products-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Cotton, silk, synthetic fiber-Continued |  |  |  |  |  | Woolen and worsted |  |  | Knitting mills |  |  | Full-fashioned hosiery |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | North |  |  | South |  |  |  |  |  | United States | North |  |  |
| 1949: A verage | \$46. 36 | 38.0 | \$1.220 | \$41.92 | 37.0 | \$1.133 | \$51.19 | 38.9 | \$1.316 |  |  |  | \$41.47 | 36.8 | \$1.127 | \$52.09 | 37.5 | \$1.389 | \$53.98 | 36.9 | \$1.463 |
| 1950: Average | 51.23 | 40.5 | 1.265 | 47.08 | 40.0 | 1.177 | 54.01 | 39.8 | 1.357 | 44.13 | 47.4 | 1.180 | 53.63 | 37.9 | 1.415 | 54.25 | 37.7 | 1.439 |
| 1950: June | 48.27 | 39.4 | 1. 225 | 44.31 | 38.7 | 1.145 | 53.36 | 40.3 | 1. 324 | 41.85 | 36.2 | 1.156 | 50. 62 | 37.3 | 1.357 | 50.42 | 37.4 | 1.348 |
| 1950. July | 49.03 | 39.8 | 1. 232 | 45. 08 | 39.2 | 1.150 | 53. 51 | 40.2 | 1. 331 | 42.77 | 37.0 | 1.156 | 52. 06 | 38.0 | 1.370 | 50.73 | 37.3 39 | 1. 360 |
| August | 50.80 | 41.0 | 1. 239 | 46. 97 | 40.6 | 1. 157 | 54. 21 | 40.7 | 1.332 | 45. 67 | 39.2 | 1. 165 | 54.94 | 39.7 | 1. 384 | 55. 06 | 39.7 39 | 1.387 |
| September | 51.58 | 41.1 | 1. 255 | 47.83 | 41.2 | 1. 161 | 54.81 | 40.9 | 1. 340 | 45. 63 | 38.9 | 1. 173 | 54.35 | 39.1 | 1. 390 | 54. 12 | 39.3 |  |
| October-. | 55.94 | 41.5 | 1. 348 | 51.25 | 41.3 | 1. 241 | 56.30 | 39.1 | 1. 440 | 47.67 | 39.2 | 1. 216 | 57.87 | 39.5 | 1. 465 | 58.52 | 39.3 | 1. 489 |
| November | 56.16 | 41.6 | 1.350 | 51.50 | 41.3 | 1. 247 | 58.08 | 40.0 | 1. 452 | 47.91 | 38.7 | 1. 238 | 58. 73 | 39.1 | 1. 502 | 60. 29 | 39.1 | 1. 542 |
| December.- | 56.37 | 41.6 | 1. 355 | 52.46 | 41.8 | 1. 255 | 58.39 | 40.1 | 1.456 | 47.24 | 38.1 | 1. 240 | 57.41 | 38.4 | 1. 495 | 57.87 | 37.8 | 1. 531 |
| 1951: January | 56. 61 | 41.5 | 1. 364 | 52.25 | 41.6 | 1. 256 | 58.88 | 40. 3 | 1.461 | 47.94 | 37.9 | 1. 265 | 59. 25 | 38.3 | 1. 547 | 61.01 | 37.5 | 1. 627 |
| February | 57.08 | 41.6 | 1. 372 | 52. 46 | 41.7 | 1. 258 | 57.10 | 39.3 | 1. 453 | 49.24 | 38.8 38.1 | 1. 269 | 61.11 60.45 | 39.2 38.6 | 1. 559 | 63.05 63.17 | 38.4 38.1 | 1. 1.642 |
| March | 56. 02 | 40.8 | 1.373 | 52.33 | 41.6 | 1. 258 | 57.28 58.69 | 40.0 | 1. 432 | 48.54 46.76 | 38.1 36.7 | 1. 274 | 60.45 57.16 | 38.6 36.5 | 1. 566 | 63.17 59.19 | 38.1 35.7 | 1. 1.658 |
| April | 54.96 54.13 | 40.0 39.6 | 1.374 1.367 | 52.04 51.07 | 41.4 40.4 | 1. 1.257 | 58.69 57.83 | 40.2 39.5 | 1. 1.460 | 46.76 44.88 | 36.7 35.2 | 1.274 | 57.16 55.00 | 36.5 35.1 | 1.566 | 59.19 56.60 | 35.7 34.2 | 1.658 |
| June- |  |  | 1.367 | 51.07 | 4.4 | 1.264 | 58.71 | 40.1 | 1. 164 | 45.30 | 35.7 | 1. 269 | 54.51 | 35.1 | 1. 553 |  |  |  |
|  | Manufacturing-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Textile-mill products-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Full-fashioned ho-siery-Continued |  |  | Seamless hosiery |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Knit outerwear |  |  | Knit underwear |  |  |
|  | South |  |  | United States |  |  | North |  |  | South |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1949: Average | \$50. 31 | 38.2 | \$1.317 | \$31.45 | 35.5 | \$0.886 | \$35. 06 | 37.7 | \$0.930 | \$30. 78 | 35.1 | \$0.877 | \$40.96 | 38.1 | \$1.075 | \$36. 34 | 36.2 | \$1.004 |
| 1950: Average..------ | 53.33 | 38.2 | 1. 396 | 34.94 | 35.8 | . 976 | 38.12 | 38.2 | . 998 | 34.37 | 35.4 | . 971 | 43.73 | 38.6 | 1.133 | 39.60 | 37.5 | 1. 056 |
| 1950: June | 50.82 | 37.2 | 1. 366 | 33.13 | 34.3 | . 966 | 36.83 | 37.5 | . 982 | 32.42 | 33.7 | . 962 | 43. 42 | 38.7 | 1.122 | 36. 30 | 35.0 | 1. 037 |
| 1950. July.. | 53.19 | 38.6 | 1.378 | 33.36 | 35.0 | . 953 | 35. 88 | 36.8 | . 975 | 32.93 | 34.7 | . 949 | 42.14 | 37.9 | 1.112 | 38. 31 | 36.8 39.4 | 1.041 |
| August | 54. 83 | 39.7 | 1.381 | 37.11 | 38.1 | . 974 | 39. 42 | 39.5 | . 998 | 36.63 | 37.8 | . 968 | 43.90 | 39.3 38.0 | 1.117 | 41.17 | 39.4 40.1 | 1.045 |
| September.- | 54. 68 | 39.0 | 1. 402 | 36.98 | 37.5 | . 986 | 39.62 | 39.0 | 1. 016 | 36.46 | 37.2 | . 980 | 42. 75 | 38.0 | 1.125 | 42. 63 | 40.1 | 1.063 |
| October-.... | 57.18 | 39.6 | 1. 444 | 38.08 | 37.7 | 1.010 | 40.35 | 39.1 | 1. 032 | 37.59 | 37.4 | 1.005 | 46.43 | 40.2 | 1.155 | 43. 43 | 39.7 | 1.094 |
| November. | 57.47 | 39.2 | 1. 466 | 38.31 | 37.6 | 1.019 | 41. 59 | 39.5 | 1. 053 | 37.65 | 37.2 | 1. 012 | 46. 10 | 39.4 | 1. 170 | 43. 06 | 39.0 | 1.104 |
| December-...- | 57.28 | 39.1 | 1. 465 | 37.65 | 36.8 | 1. 023 | 41.25 | 39.1 | 1. 055 | 36.98 | 36.4 | 1. 016 | 45.42 | 38.2 | 1. 189 | 43.11 | 38.8 | 1.111 |
| 1951: January ------ | 57.65 | 38.9 | 1. 482 | 37. 73 | 36.6 | 1.031 | 40. 93 | 38.4 | 1. 066 | 37.21 | 36.3 | 1. 025 | 47.46 | 38.9 | 1. 220 | 43. 13 | 38.3 | 1.126 |
| February | 59.38 | 39.8 | 1. 492 | 38.79 | 37. 3 | 1. 040 | 41. 90 | 38.8 | 1. 080 | 38.15 | 37.0 | 1.031 | 48.30 47.93 | 39.4 39.0 | 1. 2226 | 44.29 44.12 | 39.4 38.8 | 1.124 1.137 |
| March | 58. 12 | 38.9 | 1. 494 | 38. 17 | 36.6 | 1. 043 | 41. 70 | 38.5 | 1. 083 | 37.47 34.30 | 36.2 | 1. 035 | 47.93 48.03 | 39.0 38.8 | 1. 2229 | 44.12 43.55 | 38.8 38.3 | 1.137 1.137 |
| April. | 55. 65 | 37.2 35 | 1. 496 | 35.46 33.90 | 34.1 | 1.040 | 41.37 | 38.2 37.3 | 1.083 1.086 | 34.30 32.54 | 33.3 31.5 | 1.030 | 48.03 46.45 | 38.8 38.2 | 1.238 1.216 | 43.55 41.16 | 38.3 36.3 | 1.137 1.134 |
| May. | 53.81 | 35.8 | 1. 503 | 33. 90 | 32.5 | 1.043 | 40.51 | 37.3 | 1.086 | 32.54 | 31.5 | 1.033 | 46.45 46.73 | 38.8 38.3 | 1.220 | 41.76 | 36.6 36.6 | 1.141 |
| June..- |  |  |  | 35. 49 | 33.7 | 1. 053 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 46.73 | 38.3 | 1. 220 | 41.76 | 36.6 |  |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table C-1: Hours and Gross Earnings of Production Workers or Nonsupervisory Employees ${ }^{1}$-Con.

| Year and month | Manufacturing-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Textile-mill products-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Apparel and other finished textile products <br> Total: Apparel and other finished textile products |  |  |
|  | Dyeing and finishing textiles |  |  | Carpets, rugs, other floor coverings |  |  | W ool carpets, rugs, and carpet yarn |  |  | Other textile-mill products |  |  | Fur-felt hats and hat bodies |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earn- | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. ings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earn- | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. ings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earn- |
| 1949: A verage | \$51. 50 | 40.3 | \$1.278 | \$56. 80 | 39.5 | \$1.438 | \$56. 23 | 38.7 | \$1.453 | \$47. 89 | 38.9 | \$1. 231 | \$49. 21 | 35.3 | \$1.394 | \$41.89 | 35.8 | \$1.170 |
| 1950: Average. | 53.87 | 40.9 | 1.317 | 62.33 | 41.5 | 1.502 | 62.72 | 41.1 | 1.526 | 52.37 | 40.6 | 1.290 | 51.05 | 35.9 | 1.422 | 43.68 | 36.4 | 1.200 |
| 1950: June- | 51.18 50.84 | 39.8 39 | 1.286 | 61.17 | 41.5 | 1. 474 | 61.99 60 | 41.3 | 1. 501 | 51. 44 | 40.5 | 1.270 | 52.69 | 37.0 | 1. 424 | 41.89 | 35.8 | 1.170 |
| August | 51.84 56.03 | 39.5 42.9 | 1.287 1.306 | 59.86 61.44 | 40.5 | 1. 1.484 | 60.07 61.46 | 40.1 40.7 | 1.498 | 51.92 53.16 | 40.5 41.4 | 1.282 | 52.19 54.44 | 36.7 38.1 | 1.422 | 43.22 46.06 | 36.2 37.6 | 1. 1.225 |
| September | 55.76 | 42.6 | 1. 309 | 62.94 | 41.6 | 1. 513 | 62.19 | 40.7 | 1. 528 | 53.37 | 40.9 | 1.305 | 50.87 | 35.8 | 1. 421 | 43.09 | 35.7 | 1. 207 |
| October. | 56.26 | 41.4 | 1. 359 | 66.46 | 42.6 | 1. 560 | 66.36 | 42.0 | 1. 580 | 54.77 | 40.9 | 1. 339 | 50.48 | 35.5 | 1. 422 | 45.51 | 37.3 | 1. 220 |
| November | 5819 | 41.8 | 1. 392 | 66. 82 | 42.4 | 1. 576 | 66, 63 | 41.8 | 1. 594 | 55.88 | 41.3 | 1.353 | 51.98 | 36.1 | 1. 440 | 44.50 | 36.9 | 1. 206 |
| December. | 58.88 | 42.0 | 1. 402 | 67.28 | 42.1 | 1. 598 | 66. 90 | 41.4 | 1.616 | 56.59 | 41.7 | 1. 357 | 56.83 | 38.4 | 1.480 | 45.88 | 36.5 | 1.257 |
| 1951: January | 59.13 | 41.7 | 1. 418 | 65.91 | 41.4 | 1. 592 | 65.65 | 40.7 | 1. 613 | 56.83 | 41.6 | 1. 366 | 58.08 | 38.8 | 1. 497 | 47.42 | 36.9 | 1. 285 |
| February | 60.12 | 42.4 | 1. 418 | 67.25 | 41.9 | 1. 605 | 66.30 | 41.0 | 1. 617 | 56.11 | 40.9 | 1.372 | 59.45 | 39.4 | 1. 509 | 48.38 | 37.5 | 1. 290 |
| March | 58. 19 | 41.3 | 1. 409 | 66.49 | 41.4 | 1. 606 | 65.08 | 40.3 | 1.615 | 56. 62 | 41.3 | 1. 371 | 55.43 | 37.1 | 1. 494 | 47.27 | 37.4 | 1. 264 |
| April | 56. 18 | 39.7 | 1.415 | 64.76 | 40.4 | 1. 603 | 62.83 | 39.0 | 1.611 | 55. 70 | 40.6 | 1.372 | 50.69 | 33.5 | 1.513 | 44.97 | 36.5 | 1. 232 |
| May | 54.52 | 38.5 | 1. 416 | 60.82 | 38.3 | 1.588 | 57.95 | 36.4 | 1. 592 | 54.55 | 39.7 | 1. 374 | 47.79 | 32.4 | 1.475 | 43.65 | 35.4 | 1.233 |
| June. | 56.05 | 39.5 | 1. 419 | 58.23 | 36.9 | 1. 578 | 56.18 | 35.4 | 1.587 | 54.61 | 39.8 | 1. 372 | 52.11 | 35.4 | 1.472 | 44.14 | 35.4 | 1. 247 |
|  | Manufacturing-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Apparel and other finished textile products-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Men's and boys' suits and coats |  |  | Men's and boys' furnishings and work clothing |  |  | Shirts, collars, and nightwear |  |  | Separate trousers |  |  | Work shirts |  |  | Women's outerwear |  |  |
| 1949: Average | \$46. 67 | 34.7 | \$1. 345 | \$33.30 | 36.2 | \$0. 920 | \$33.37 | 36.0 | \$0. 927 | \$34. 91 | 35.7 | \$0. 978 | \$27.44 | 35.5 | \$0. 773 | \$49.69 | 34.7 | \$1. 432 |
| 1950: Average | 50.22 | 36.9 | 1.361 | 36.43 | 36.8 | . 990 | 36.26 | 36.7 | . 988 | 39.43 | 37.8 | 1.043 | 31.34 | 35.9 | . 873 | 49.41 | 34.7 | 1.424 |
| 1950: June. | 48. 99 | 36.7 | 1.335 | 35. 55 | 36.2 | . 982 | 34.82 | 35.6 | . 978 | 39. 34 | 37.9 | 1.038 | 30.66 | 35.4 | . 866 | 45.87 | 33.8 | 1.357 |
| July..- | 49. 22 | 36.9 | 1.334 | 35.34 37 | 36.1 | . 979 | 34. 55 | 35.4 | . 978 | 58. 52 | 37.4 | 1. 030 | 31. 52 | 36.1 | . 873 | 49. 62 | 34.7 | 1. 430 |
| August | ${ }^{51.08}$ | 37.7 35 | 1.355 | 37.43 | 38.0 | . 985 | 36. 71 | 37.5 | . 979 | 40. 08 | 38.5 | 1. 041 | 33.00 | 37.8 | . 873 | 54. 01 | 36. 2 | 1.492 |
| Oeptember | 47. 75 51.77 | 35.4 37.9 | 1. 349 | 37.18 38 | 37.4 38.3 | -. 994 | 37.20 38.02 | 37.5 38.4 | . 9992 | 38. 45 | 38.9 38 | 1.042 | 33. 03 | 37.2 36.9 | . 888 | 48. 43 | 32.2 34 | 1. 442 |
| November | 52.57 | 37.9 | 1.387 | 38.53 | 37.7 | 1. 022 | 39.35 | 38.2 | 1. 030 | 40.32 | 38.0 | 1. 061 | 32.18 | 35.6 | . 904 | 48.37 | 34.6 | 1. 398 |
| December | 55.57 | 37.7 | 1.474 | 38.59 | 37.0 | 1.043 | 39.42 | 37.4 | 1.054 | 40.41 | 36.8 | 1.098 | 33.10 | 35.9 | . 922 | 51.84 | 35.1 | 1.477 |
| 1951: January | 55. 23 | 37.6 | 1. 469 | 39.11 | 37.0 | 1. 057 | 39.09 | 36.6 | 1.068 | 41.78 | 37.4 | 1.117 | 33.38 | 36.2 | . 922 | 55.01 | 36.0 | 1. 528 |
| February | 56.32 | 38.0 | 1. 482 | 39.68 | 37.4 | 1. 061 | 39.87 | 37.3 | 1. 069 | 43.08 | 38.6 | 1.116 | 33.05 | 36.2 | . 913 | 56.08 | 36.7 | 1. 528 |
| March. | 57. 13 | 38.6 | 1.480 | 40. 17 | 37.9 | 1. 060 | 40.05 | 37.5 | 1. 068 | 43.69 | 38.8 | 1. 126 | 34. 91 | 37.7 | . 926 | 52.49 | 35.9 | 1.462 |
| April. | 54.90 | 37.5 | 1. 464 | 38. 96 | 37.0 | 1. 053 | 39.15 | 37.0 | 1. 058 | 42. 37 | 37.9 | 1. 118 | 33. 51 | 36.5 | . 918 | 48.37 | 35.1 | 1.378 |
| May. | 53.00 | 36.3 | 1. 460 | 37.24 | 35.4 | 1. 052 | 36.99 | 34.9 | 1.060 | 39.01 | 35.3 | 1. 185 | 33.73 | 36.5 | . 924 | 47.37 | 34.3 | 1.381 |
|  | 52.77 | 35.9 | 1. 470 | 37.07 | 35.1 | 1. 056 | 36.64 | 34.6 | 1.059 | 39.84 | 35.7 | 1. 116 | 32.81 | 35.7 | . 919 | 47.38 | 33.7 | 1.406 |
|  | Manufacturing-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Apparel and other finished textile products-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Women's dresses |  |  | Household apparel |  |  | Women's suits, coats, and skirts |  |  | Women's and children's undergarments |  |  | Underwear and nightwear, except corsets |  |  | Millinery |  |  |
| 1949: Average | \$47. 20 | 34.4 | \$1.372 | \$32.23 | 36.5 | \$0.883 | \$66.38 | 33.8 | \$1.964 | \$35. 79 | 36.6 | \$0.978 | \$34.08 | 36.1 | \$0.944 | \$53. 55 | 35.3 | \$1. 517 |
| 1950: Average. | 48.09 | 34.8 | 1.382 | 34.66 | 36.1 | . 960 | 63.77 | 33.6 | 1.898 | 38.38 | 36.9 | 1.040 | 36.55 | 36.4 | 1.004 | 54.21 | 35.2 | 1.540 |
| 1950: June-- | 45. 69 |  | 1.340 | 32. 92 |  |  |  |  | 1.723 |  |  | 1.029 | 34. 25 | 34.6 38.0 | .990 .989 | 49.72 50.62 | 33.1 33 | 1. 502 |
| July-.. | 45.53 50.23 | 34.7 35.7 | 1.312 | 32.27 34.64 | 33.2 <br> 36.2 <br>  | . 872 | 66.46 73.26 | 35.5 37.0 | 1.872 1.980 | 37.13 40.04 | 36.3 38.5 | 1.023 | 35. 60 38.24 | 38.0 38.2 | .989 1.001 | 50.62 62.08 | 33.7 38.8 | 1.502 1.600 |
| September.-- | 44.37 | 31.8 | 1. 391 | 35.28 | 36.6 | . 964 | 57.91 | 30.1 | 1.924 | 39.95 | 37.8 | 1.057 | 38.35 | 37.6 | 1.020 | 53.56 | 33.9 | 1. 580 |
| October-...- | 47. 66 | 33.8 | 1.410 | 36.43 | 37.4 | . 974 | 66.25 | 33.8 | 1. 960 | 41.76 | 39.1 | 1.068 | 40.16 | 38.8 | 1.035 | 53. 27 | 35.0 | 1. 522 |
| November | 47.37 | 34.2 | 1. 385 | 36. 64 | 37.5 | . 977 | 60.12 | 32.1 | 1.873 | 40.96 | 38.1 | 1.075 | 39.25 | 37.6 | 1.044 | 47.53 | 31.6 | 1. 504 |
| December----- | 49.81 | 35.2 | 1.415 | 35. 58 | 35.9 | . 991 | 67.07 | 34.2 | 1.961 | 39.28 | 36.3 | 1.082 | 37.10 | 35.5 | 1.045 | 51.82 | 33.8 | 1.533 |
| 1951: January | 51.91 | 35.9 | 1. 446 | 36. 60 | 36.2 | 1.011 | 72.20 | 35.6 | 2.028 | 40.85 | 36.9 | 1. 107 | 38.34 | 36.1 | 1.062 | 61.60 | 38.0 | 1.621 |
| February | 52.56 | 36.3 | 1. 448 | 39.74 | 38.7 | 1. 027 | 73.39 | 35.8 | 2. 050 | 42.81 | 38.5 | 1.112 | 40.84 | 38.2 | 1. 069 | 68.84 | 41.1 | 1. 675 |
| March..- | 52.20 | 36.3 | 1. 438 | 39. 89 | 38.8 | 1. 028 | 62.86 | 32.4 | 1. 940 | 42. 21 | 38.2 | 1. 105 | 40.25 | 37.9 | 1. 062 | 62.07 | 38.6 | 1. 608 |
| April. | 50.65 | 35.1 | 1. 443 | 39.13 | 38.1 | 1. 027 | 53.79 | 30.6 | 1.758 | 40.88 | 36.8 | 1.111 | 39.77 | 37.1 | 1. 072 | 52.94 | 34.2 | 1. 548 |
| May. | 49. 92 | 34.5 | 1. 447 | 37.54 | 36.8 | 1. 020 | 56.06 | 32.5 | 1. 725 | 38. 70 | 34.9 | 1. 109 | 37.42 | 35.0 | 1. 069 | 45. 92 | 30.9 | 1. 486 |
| June. | 48.71 | 34.4 | 1.416 | 36.97 | 36.1 | 1.024 | 57.60 | 31.7 | 1. 817 | 39.80 | 35.5 | 1.121 | 38.56 | 35.8 | 1.077 | 49.36 | 32.6 | 1.514 |

See footnotes at end of table.
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Table C-1: Hours and Gross Earnings of Production Workers or Nonsupervisory Employees ${ }^{1}$-Con.

| Year and month | Manufacturing-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Apparel and other finished textile products-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Lumber and wood products (except furniture) |  |  |
|  | Children's outerwear |  |  | Fur goods and miscellaneous apparel |  |  | Other fabricated textile products |  |  | Curtains and draperies |  |  | Textile bags |  |  | Total: Lumber and wood products (except furniture) |  |  |
|  | A vg. wkly. ings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earn. ings | Avg. wkly. earn- ings ong | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earnand | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. wky. ings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. <br> hrly. <br> earn- <br> ings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. brly. earnings |
| 1949: A verage | $\$ 37.06$ 38.98 | 36.3 36.5 | +1.021 | \$42.05 43.45 | 36.0 36.7 | \$1.168 | $\$ 39.74$ 42.06 | 38.1 38.2 | \$1.043 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\$ 51.72$ <br> 55.31 | 40.6 41.0 | $\$ 1.274$ 1.349 |
| 1950: June | 38.08 | 36.3 | 1. 049 | 42.59 | 35.7 | 1. 193 | 42. 21 | 38.3 | 1. 102 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 56. 28 | 41.6 | 1.353 |
| 1050. July | 39.13 | 36.6 | 1. 069 | 43.86 | 36.4 | 1. 205 | 42.61 | 38.7 | 1. 101 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 56. 27 | 41.1 | 1.369 |
| August | 40.92 | 37.2 | 1. 100 | 45.84 | 38.2 | 1. 200 | 43.43 | 39.3 | 1. 105 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 58.30 | 42.0 | 1.388 |
| September | 38.12 | 35.3 | 1. 080 | 44.59 | 37.1 | 1. 202 | 43.88 | 38.8 | 1. 131 | \$37. 33 | 36.6 | \$1.020 | \$43. 93 | 39.4 | \$1.115 | 57.84 | 41.2 | 1. 404 |
| October | 40.48 | 37.0 | 1. 094 | 47.91 | 38.7 | 1. 238 | 43. 45 | 39.0 | 1. 114 | 39.82 | 38.4 | 1.037 | 44. 19 | 39.6 | 1.116 | 58.83 | 41.9 | 1. 404 |
| November-.-. | 39. 29 | 37.0 | 1. 062 | 46. 05 | 37.5 | 1. 228 | 42. 86 | 38.1 | 1. 125 | 38. 31 | 36.8 | 1. 041 | 43. 30 | 38.9 | 1. 113 | 57. 03 | 41.0 | 1. 391 |
| December-..-- | 40.26 | 36.3 | 1.109 | 45.09 | 36.9 | 1. 222 | 43.55 | 38.3 | 1.137 | 39.29 | 37.6 | 1.045 | 43.90 | 39.2 | 1. 120 | 57.59 | 41.4 | 1.391 |
| 1951: January...---- | 42. 18 | 36.9 | 1.143 | 44. 58 | 36.1 | 1. 235 | 44. 23 | 38.7 | 1.143 | 39.83 39.93 | 37.9 | 1. 048 | 44.64 | 39.4 | 1. 133 | 55. 73 |  | 1.376 |
| February | 42.70 40.77 | 37.1 36.5 | 1.151 | 44.98 | 36.9 37.1 | 1. 219 | 44.12 | 38.6 38.3 | 1.143 | 39.93 38.44 | 37.6 36.4 | 1.062 | 44.73 45.16 | 39.2 39.0 | 1.141 | 56.13 55.58 | 40.5 40.6 | 1. 386 1. 369 |
| April | 40.74 | 36.8 | 1. 107 | 44.88 | 36.7 | 1. 223 | 43.15 | 37.1 | 1.163 | 38.12 | 36.0 | 1. 059 | 43.12 | 37.4 | 1.153 | 58. 95 | 41.4 | 1. 424 |
| May | 40. 40 | 36.1 | 1.119 | 44.75 | 36.0 | 1. 243 | 42. 82 | 36.6 | 1.170 | 37.84 | 35.6 | 1. 063 | 43.11 | 37.0 | 1.165 | 59.20 | 41.2 | 1. 437 |
| June | 40.87 | 36.3 | 1.126 | 46.10 | 36.7 | 1.256 | 44.87 | 37.8 | 1.187 | 39.13 | 36.2 | 1. 081 | 44.10 | 37.6 | 1.173 | 61.40 | 41.6 | 1.476 |
|  | Manufacturing-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Lumber and wood products (except furniture)-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Logging camps and contractors |  |  | Sawmills and planing mills |  |  | Sawmills and planing mills, general |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Millwork, plywood, and prefabricated structural wood products |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | United States | South |  |  | West |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1949: A verage | \$61.31 | 39.1 | \$1.568 |  |  |  | \$52. 37 | 40.6 | \$1. 290 | \$53. 06 | 40.6 | \$1. 307 | \$35. 66 | 42.1 | \$0.847 | \$67. 12 | 38.8 | \$1.730 | \$55. 06 | 41.9 | \$1. 314 |
| 1950: Average | 66.25 | 38.9 | 1.703 | 54.95 | 40.7 | 1.350 | ${ }^{55.53}$ | 40.5 | 1.371 | 38.90 | 42.1 | . 924 | 70.43 | 38.7 | 1.820 | 60.52 | 43.2 | 1. 401 |
| 1950. June | 67.85 | 39.7 | 1. 709 | 56.08 | 41.6 | 1. 348 | 56.95 | 41.6 | 1. 369 | 39. 19 | 42.5 | . 922 | 73.93 | 40.4 | 1. 830 | 61.27 | 43.7 | 1. 402 |
| July.. | 68.04 | 39.4 | 1. 727 | 55.95 | 40.9 | 1. 368 | 56. 67 | 40.8 | 1. 389 | 38. 98 | 42. 1 | . 926 | 72.74 | 39.3 | 1. 851 | 59. 85 | 42.9 | 1. 395 |
| August | 73.98 | 41.1 | 1. 800 | 57.95 | 41.9 | 1. 383 | 58. 49 | 41.6 | 1. 406 | 40.13 | 43.2 | . 929 | 74. 28 | 40.0 | 1. 857 | 61.55 | 43. 5 | 1. 415 |
| September.--- | 70.07 | 38.8 | 1. 806 | 57.69 | 41.0 | 1. 407 | 58. 49 | 40.9 | 1. 430 | 39. 63 | 42.2 | . 939 | 74.33 | 39.1 | 1. 901 | 62. 06 | 43.4 | 1.430 |
| October-... | 70.31 | 38.8 | 1. 812 | 58.56 | 41.8 | 1. 401 | 59.34 | 41.7 | 1. 423 | 41. 25 | 43.6 | . 946 | 74.82 | 39.4 | 1. 899 | 63. 71 | 44. 0 | 1. 448 |
| November | 65.40 | 37.2 | 1. 758 | 56. 53 | 40.7 | 1. 389 | 57.15 | 40.5 | 1. 411 | 40.34 | 42.6 | . 947 | 72.96 | 38.5 | 1. 895 | 63.12 | 43.5 | 1. 451 |
| December | 66.87 | 38.9 | 1.719 | 56.83 | 41.0 | 1. 386 | 57.49 | 40.8 | 1. 409 | 40.79 | 42.8 | . 953 | 73.68 | 38.7 | 1. 904 | 64.84 | 43.9 | 1.477 |
| 1951: January | 61.99 | 37.3 | 1. 662 | 54.84 | 40.0 | 1.371 | 55.54 | 39.9 | 1. 392 | 40.11 | 42.0 | . 955 | 70.73 | 37.5 | 1.886 | 63.47 | 42.8 | 1. 483 |
| February | 64.10 | 38.2 | 1. 678 | 55.30 | 39.9 | 1. 386 | 56.00 | 39.8 | 1. 407 | 40.05 | 41.5 | . 965 | 71.71 | 37.9 | 1. 892 | 63.88 | 42.9 | 1. 489 |
| March.- | 57.93 | 36.3 | 1. 596 | 55.06 | 40.1 | 1. 373 | 55. 58 | 39.9 | 1. 393 | 40.34 | 41.8 | . 965 | 69.94 | 37.3 | 1. 875 | 64.71 | 43.2 | 1. 498 |
| April | 71.10 | 39.0 | 1. 823 | 58.49 | 41.1 | 1. 423 | 59.16 | 41.0 | 1. 443 | 41.82 | 42.8 | . 977 | 75. 61 | 39.4 | 1. 919 | 65. 04 | 43.3 | 1. 502 |
| May-...-.-.------ | 67.84 | 38.2 | 1. 776 | 58.94 | 40.9 | 1. 441 | 59. 46 | 40.7 | 1. 461 | 41.96 | 42.9 | . 978 | 74.04 | 38.4 | 1.928 | 65.32 | 43.2 | 1. 512 |
|  | 73.81 | 40.8 | 1.809 | 61.50 | 41.3 | 1. 489 | 62.25 | 41.2 | 1. 511 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 65.18 | 42.8 | 1. 523 |
|  | Manufacturing-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Lumber and wood products (except furniture)-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Furniture and fixtures |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Millwork |  |  | Wooden containers |  |  | Wooden boxes, other than cigar |  |  | Miscellaneous wood products |  |  | Total: Furniture and fixtures |  |  | Household furniture |  |  |
| 1949: Average | \$54. 23 | 42.2 | \$1. 285 | \$41.90 | 40.6 | \$1. 032 | \$42, 48 | 41.0 | \$1. 036 | \$44. 16 | 40.7 | \$1.085 | \$48, 48 | 40.1 | \$1. 234 | \$47. 04 | 39.8 | \$1. 182 |
| 1950: A verage. | 59.05 | 43.2 | 1.367 | 46.03 | 40.7 | 1.311 | 46. 56 | 41.5 | 1.122 | 47.07 | 41.4 | 1.137 | 53.67 | 41.9 | 1.281 | 51.91 | 41.9 | 1.239 |
| 1950: June | 59.69 | 43.7 | 1. 366 | 46.48 | 40.7 | 1. 142 | 47.13 | 41.6 | 1. 133 | 46. 16 | 41.1 | 1. 123 | 52. 50 | 41.8 | 1. 256 | 50.71 | 41.7 | 1. 216 |
| July | 58.57 | 43.1 | 1. 359 | 47. 68 | 41.0 | 1. 163 | 48. 40 | 41.8 | 1. 158 | 46. 88 | 41.3 | 1. 135 | 52.03 | 41.0 | 1. 269 | 49. 53 | 40.6 | 1. 220 |
| August | 59.39 | 43.1 | 1. 378 | 48.10 | 41.5 | 1. 159 | 48.57 | 42.2 | 1. 151 | 48. 35 | 42.3 | 1. 143 | 54.87 | 42.8 | 1. 282 | 52.91 | 42.7 | 1. 239 |
| September.- | 60.63 | 43.4 | 1. 397 | 47. 50 | 40.7 | 1. 167 | 47.64 | 41.5 | 1. 148 | 49. 10 | 42.4 | 1. 158 | 55. 42 | 42.6 | 1. 301 | 53.84 | 42.7 | 1.261 |
| October-...-.- | 61.81 | 43.9 | 1. 408 | 48. 74 | 41.8 | 1. 166 | 49.31 | 42.8 | 1. 152 | 49.80 | 42.6 | 1. 169 | 56.27 | 42.6 | 1. 321 | 5457 | 42.7 | 1.278 |
| November-.-- | 61.52 | 43.6 | 1. 411 | 48.56 | 41.7 | 1. 163 | 49. 16 | 42.6 | 1. 154 | 50.07 | 42.5 | 1. 178 | 56.87 | 42.6 | 1. 335 | 55.30 | 42.7 | 1. 295 |
| December..... | 61.89 | 43.4 | 1.426 | 48.43 | 41.5 | 1.167 | 49.43 | 42.8 | 1.155 | 50.16 | 42.4 | 1.183 | 56.77 | 42.3 | 1.342 | 54.78 | 42.2 | 1. 298 |
| 1951: January | 60.09 | 42.2 | 1. 424 | 48.31 | 41.4 | 1.167 | 49.37 | 42.6 | 1. 159 | 50.51 | 42.2 | 1. 197 | 56.93 | 41.8 | 1.362 | 54.75 | 41.7 | 1.313 |
| February....- | 60.15 | 41.8 | 1. 439 | 47. 72 | 41.1 | 1. 161 | 49. 26 | 42.8 | 1. 151 | 50.23 | 42.1 | 1. 193 | 58. 15 | 42.2 | 1.378 | 55. 78 | 42.0 | 1.328 |
| March | 61.19 | 42.2 | 1. 450 | 48. 51 | 41.5 | 1. 169 | 49. 62 | 42.7 | 1.162 | 50.54 | 42.4 | 1. 192 | 58. 67 | 42.3 | 1.387 | 56.37 | 42.1 | 1.339 |
| April | 62.13 | 42.7 | 1. 455 | 48. 70 | 41.8 | 1. 165 | 49. 64 | 42.9 | 1.157 | 51.49 | 42.8 | 1. 203 | 56. 96 | 41.1 | 1. 386 | 54.04 | 40.6 | 1.331 |
| May | 63.00 | 43.0 |  | 49. 27 | 42.0 | 1.173 | 49. 66 | 42.7 | 1.163 |  | 42.7 | 1. 207 | 56. 34 | 40.5 | 1.391 | 52.84 | 39.7 | 1. 331 |
| June--.---.-.- | 62.96 | 42.8 | 1.471 | 49.97 | 42.1 | 1.187 | 49.32 | 42.3 | 1.166 | 51.84 | 42.6 | 1. 217 | 56.05 | 40.5 | 1.384 | 52.62 | 39.8 | 1.322 |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table C-1: Hours and Gross Earnings of Production Workers or Nonsupervisory Employees ${ }^{1}$-Con.

| Year and month | Manufacturing-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Furniture and fixtures-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Paper and allied products |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Wood household furniture, except upholstered |  |  | Wood household furniture, upholstered |  |  | Mattresses and bedsprings |  |  | Other furniture and fixtures |  |  | Total: Paper and allied products |  |  | Pulp, paper, and paperboard mills |  |  |
|  | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. <br> hrly. <br> earn- <br> ings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. <br> earn- <br> ings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. brly. earnings | Avg. <br> wkly. <br> earn- <br> ings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings |
| 1949: A verage...------ | $\$ 43.68$ 48.39 | 40.0 42.3 | $\$ 1.092$ 1.144 | $\$ 50.18$ 56.35 | 38.9 41.4 | $\$ 1.290$ 1.361 | $\$ 51.69$ 57.27 | 39.7 41.2 | $\$ 1.302$ 1.390 | $\$ 55.47$ 58.53 | 40.7 41.8 | $\$ 1.363$ 1.397 | \$55.96 61.14 | 41.7 43.3 | $\$ 1.342$ 1.412 | $\$ 59.83$ 65.06 | 42.4 43.9 | $\$ 1.411$ 1.482 |
| 1950: June_ | 47.52 | 42.2 | 1.126 | 54.54 | 40.7 | 1.340 | 55.57 | 40.8 | 1.362 | 57.60 | 42.2 | 1.365 | 60.03 | 43.0 | 1. 396 | 64. 21 | 43.8 | 1.466 |
| July. | 46. 44 | 41.1 | 1.130 | 52.87 | 39.9 | 1.325 | 54.31 | 39.7 | 1. 368 | 58.86 | 42.1 | 1.398 | 61.36 | 43.3 | 1.417 | 65.74 | 44.0 | 1.494 |
| August | 49.19 | 43.0 | 1.144 | 56. 66 | 42.0 | 1. 349 | 58. 42 | 42.3 | 1.381 | 60.24 | 43.0 | 1. 401 | 62. 74 | 44.0 | 1. 426 | 66. 99 | 44.6 | 1.502 |
| Septembe | 49.97 | 43.0 | 1. 162 | 58.61 | 42.5 | 1. 379 | 59.59 | 42.2 | 1. 412 | 59.71 | 42.2 | 1. 415 | 63.10 | 44.0 | 1. 434 | 66.89 | 44.3 | 1. 510 |
| October | 51.39 51.58 | 43.4 43.2 | 1.184 1.194 | 60.49 60.65 | 42.9 42.5 | 1. 1.427 | 57.69 61.70 | 40.8 42.0 | 1. 1.469 | 61.24 | 425 | 1. 1441 | 63.27 | 44.0 | 1. 438 | 67.20 | 44.5 | 1.510 |
| December. | 50.87 | 42.5 | 1.197 | 60.43 | 42.2 | 1. 432 | 60.74 | 41.8 | 1.453 | 62.34 | 42.7 42 | 1.448 1.460 | 64.92 | 44.5 | 1.472 1.493 | 69.00 70.63 | 44.4 44.9 | 1. 1.573 |
| 1951: January | 51.06 | 42.2 | 1. 210 | 57.06 | 39.9 | 1.430 | 61.02 | 41.4 | 1.474 | 63.00 | 42.2 | 1. 493 | 65.96 | 43.8 | 1. 506 | 70.89 | 44.7 | 1.586 |
| February | 52.31 | 42.7 | 1. 225 | 58. 92 | 41.0 | 1. 437 | 59.70 | 40.5 | 1. 474 | 64.33 | 42.6 | 1. 510 | 65. 36 | 43.4 | 1. 506 | 70.49 | 44.5 | 1.584 |
| March | 52.11 | 42.4 | 1. 229 | 59.68 | 41.3 | 1. 443 | 64. 24 | 42.6 | 1. 508 | 64.63 | 42.8 | 1. 510 | 66.16 | 43.7 | 1.514 | 70.80 | 44.7 | 1. 584 |
| April | 50.84 | 41.4 | 1. 228 | 55.88 | 38.7 | 1. 444 | 58. 00 | 39.7 | 1. 461 | 64.52 | 42.5 | 1. 518 | 66. 38 | 43.7 | 1. 519 | 71.37 | 44.8 | 1. 593 |
| May | 49.78 | 40.6 | 1. 222 | 53. 47 | 36.9 | 1.449 | 57.14 | 38.9 | 1. 469 | 64.51 | 42.3 | 1. 525 | 65.90 | 43.3 | 1.522 | 71.21 | 44.7 | 1. 593 |
|  | 49.73 | 40.5 | 1. 228 | 54.60 | 37.6 | 1. 452 | 56.68 | 39.8 | 1. 424 | 63.74 | 42.1 | 1. 514 | 65.58 | 43.0 | 1.525 | 71.32 | 44.6 | 1. 599 |
|  | Manufacturing-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Paper and allied products-Continued |  |  |  |  |  | Printing, publishing, and allied industries |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Paperboard containers and boxes |  |  | Other paper and allied products |  |  | Total: Printing, publishing, and allied industries |  |  | Newspapers |  |  | Periodicals |  |  | Books |  |  |
| 1949: Average...---- | \$52. 45 | 41.2 | \$1. 273 | \$51. 07 | 40.6 | \$1. 258 | \$70. 28 | 38.7 | \$1. 816 | \$78.37 | 37.3 | \$2. 101 | \$70. 21 | 38.9 | \$1.805 | \$61.07 | 38.6 | \$1.582 |
| 1950: Average | 57. 96 | 43.0 | 1.348 | 55.48 | 42.0 | 1.321 | 72.98 | 38.8 | 1.881 | 80.00 | 36.9 | 2.168 | 74.18 | 39.5 | 1.878 | 64.08 | 39.1 | 1. 639 |
| 1950: June | 56.62 | 42.6 | 1.329 | 54.59 | 41.7 | 1. 309 | 72.72 | 38.7 | 1.879 | 80.76 | 37.2 | 2.171 | 71.92 | 39.0 | 1.844 | 64.11 |  |  |
| July. | 57. 70 | 42.9 | 1. 345 | 55. 36 | 42.0 | 1.318 | 72. 30 | 38.5 | 1.878 | 79. 20 | 36.6 | 2. 164 | 72.83 | 39.2 | 1.858 | 63.34 | 39.0 | 1.624 |
| August | 59.75 | 44.0 | 1. 358 | 56.79 | 42.7 | 1. 330 | 73.17 | 38.9 | 1.881 | 78.84 | 36.5 | 2. 160 | 75. 08 | 39.6 | 1. 896 | 67.31 | 40.5 | 1. 662 |
| September | 60.96 | 44.3 | 1. 376 | 57.06 | 42.9 | 1. 330 | 74. 48 | 39.2 | 1. 900 | 81.11 | 36.9 | 2. 198 | 79.98 | 41.1 | 1. 1.946 | 64. 70 | 39.5 | 1. 638 |
| October. <br> November | 61.18 62.16 | 44.4 44 | 1.378 | 57.11 59.07 | 42.4 | 1.347 | 74. 22 | 39.0 | 1. 903 | 81.07 | 36.8 | 2. 203 | 77.33 | 40.4 | 1. 914 | 64. 16 | 39.1 | 1.641 |
| November | 62. 16 | 44.4 44 | 1. 1.425 | 59.07 60.26 | 42.9 43.2 | 1. 1.377 | 74.52 76.42 | 39.2 39.8 | 1. 1.921 | 82.29 85.42 | 37.2 | 2. 212 | 76.07 | 39.7 | 1.916 | 64. 52 | 39.1 | 1. 650 |
| 1951: January | 61.89 | 43.1 | 1.436 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| February | 61.80 | 42.8 | 1. 444 | 58.83 | 41.9 | 1. 404 | 74.23 | 38.4 | 1. 933 | 79.96 | 35.8 | 2. 210 | 77.95 | 40.1 | 1. 944 | 66. 60 | 39.5 | 1. 686 |
| March | 63.17 | 43.3 | 1. 459 | 59. 91 | 42.1 | 1. 423 | 75. 74 | 38.9 | 1. 947 | 82.13 | 36.6 | 2. 244 | 7.23 | 4.2 | 1.971 | 6.21 | 38.9 | 1. 702 |
| A pril | 62.74 | 43.0 | 1.459 | 59.82 | 42.1 | 1. 421 | 75.78 | 38.9 | 1.948 | 82. 98 | 36.8 | 2. 255 | 77.34 | 39.4 |  |  |  |  |
| May | 61.34 | 41.9 | 1. 464 | 59.30 | 41.7 | 1. 422 | 75.74 | 38.7 | 1. 957 | 83.79 | 36.8 | 2. 277 | 75.97 | 39.4 38.9 | 1. 1.953 | 68.11 | 39.7 | 1.714 |
| June. | 59.90 | 41.2 | 1.454 | 59.40 | 41.6 | 1. 428 | 76. 01 | 38.8 | 1.959 | 83.54 | 36.9 | 2. 264 | 77.54 | 39.3 | 1. 973 | 69.18 | 40.2 | 1.707 1.721 |
|  | Manufacturing-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Printing, publishing, and allied industries-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Chemicals and allied products |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Commercial printing |  |  | Lithographing |  |  | Other printing and publishing |  |  | Total: Chemicals and allied products |  |  | Industrial inorganic chemicals |  |  | Industrial organic chemicals |  |  |
| 1949: Average | $\$ 69.44$72.34 | 39.7 | \$1. 749 | \$69, 17 | 39.3 | \$1.760 | \$62. 66 | 38.7 | \$1.619 |  | 41.0 | \$1.430 | \$63. 90 | 40.6 | \$1. 574 | \$60. 83 | 39.5 | \$1. 540 |
| 1950: Average |  | 39.9 | 1.813 | 73.04 | 40.0 | 1.826 | 65.18 | 39.1 | 1.667 | 62.67 | 41.5 | 1.510 | 67.89 | 40.9 | 1.660 | 65. 68 | 40.6 | 1.618 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1950: June_-- } \\ & \text { July... } \\ & \text { August } \\ & \text { Septem } \\ & \text { October } \\ & \text { Novem } \end{aligned}$ | 71.79 | 39.6 | 1. 813 | 72. 23 | 39.6 | 1.824 | 64.00 | 38.6 | 1. 658 | 62.39 | 41.4 | 1. 507 | 65.32 | 39.9 | 1.637 | 65.16 |  |  |
|  | 71.95 | 39.6 | 1. 817 | 73. 11 | 39.8 | 1. 837 | 64. 58 | 39.0 | 1. 656 | 62.99 | 41.2 | 1. 529 | 68.85 | 41.2 | 1.671 | 66.02 | 40.7 | 1. 622 |
|  | 72. 38 | 40.1 | 1. 805 | 76. 22 | 41.2 | 1. 850 | 65.82 | 39.2 | 1. 679 | 63. 48 | 41.6 | 1.526 | 68.97 | 41.6 | 1. 658 | 65.85 | 40.7 | 1. 618 |
|  | 73.61 | 40.6 | 1. 813 | 75. 67 | 40.9 | 1. 850 | 65.90 | 38.9 | 1. 694 | 64. 16 | 41.8 | 1. 535 | 68. 24 | 40.4 | 1. 689 | 67. 52 | 40.8 | 1.655 |
|  | 73. 78 | 39.9 | 1.849 | 76. 09 74.89 | 41.4 | 1.838 | 65.69 | 39.5 | 1. 663 | 64.55 | 42.0 | 1.537 | 71. 13 | 41.4 | 1. 718 | 67.98 | 40.9 | 1. 662 |
|  | 73. 42 | 40.1 | 1. 831 | 74. 89 | 40.9 | 1. 831 | 66. 59 | 39.9 | 1. 669 | 65. 52 | 42.0 | 1. 560 | 71. 91 | 41.4 | 1. 737 | 69.34 | 41.2 | 1. 683 |
|  | 75. 60 | 41.0 | 1. 844 | 74.95 | 41.0 | 1.828 | 67.33 | 40.1 | 1. 679 | 66.43 | 42.1 | 1.578 | 72.59 | 41.6 | 1. 745 | 69.75 | 41.2 | 1.693 |
| 1951: Januar | 74. 58 | 40.6 | 1.837 | 73. 79 | 39.8 | 1.854 | 67.31 | 39.9 | 1. 687 | 66.99 | 42.0 | 1. 595 | 73.13 | 41.2 | 1.775 | 70.11 | 41.0 | 1.710 |
|  | 73. 24 | 39.4 | 1.859 | 75. 33 | 40.2 | 1. 874 | 66.81 | 38.8 | 1. 722 | 67.17 | 41.8 | 1. 607 | 73. 79 | 41.5 | 1. 778 | 70. 26 | 40.8 | 1. 722 |
|  | 75.52 | 40.3 | 1. 874 | 74. 85 | 40.2 | 1. 862 | 68.17 | 39.2 | 1. 739 | 67.54 | 41.9 | 1. 612 | 73. 65 | 41.4 | 1. 779 | 71.15 | 41.2 | 1. 727 |
|  | 74.76 74.52 | 40.0 39.7 | 1.869 | 76. 52 | 40.4 | 1. 894 | 67. 60 | 39.3 | 1. 720 | 67. 84 | 41.8 | 1. 623 | 73.69 | 41.4 | 1. 780 | 71.82 | 41.3 | 1. 739 |
|  | 74.52 75.02 | 39.7 | 1.877 | 75.38 | 39.8 | 1. 894 | 67. 52 | 39.3 | 1. 718 | 68.14 | 41.7 | 1. 634 | 74.48 | 41.7 | 1.786 | 71. 99 | 41.3 | 1. 743 |
|  | 75.02 | 39.8 | 1.885 | 76.35 | 40.1 | 1.904 | 67.42 | 39.2 | 1. 720 | 68.60 | 41.6 | 1.649 | 75.06 | 41.7 | 1.800 | 72.32 | 41.3 | 1.751 |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table C-1: Hours and Gross Earnings of Production Workers or Nonsupervisory Employees ${ }^{1}$-Con.

| Year and month | Manufacturing-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Chemicals and allied products-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Plastics, except synthetic rubber |  |  | Synthetic rubber |  |  | Synthetic fibers |  |  | Drugs and medicines |  |  | Paints, pigments, and fillers |  |  | Fertilizers |  |  |
|  | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. ings | Avg. wkly. earn- ings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. <br> hrly. <br> earn- <br> ings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings |
| 1949: A verage- | $\$ 60.36$ 65.54 | 40.4 41.8 | \$1. 494 1.568 | $\$ 66.74$ <br> 71.93 | 39.8 40.8 | \$1.677 1.763 | $\$ 55.20$ 58.40 | 38.6 39.3 | $\$ 1.430$ 1.486 | $\$ 56.60$ 59.59 | 40.4 40.9 | \$1.401 1.457 | $\$ 59.78$ 64.80 | 41.0 | \$1.458 1.532 | $\$ 44.72$ 47.00 | 41.6 41.3 | $\$ 1.075$ 1.138 |
|  | 65. 23 | 42.0 | 1. 553 | 70.78 | 40.7 | 1.739 | 57.76 | 39.4 | 1.466 | 59. 27 | 41.1 | 1.442 | 64.91 | 42.9 | 1. 513 | 49. 52 | 42.0 | 1. 179 |
| July | 66. 41 | 42.6 | 1. 559 | 72. 52 | 40.4 | 1. 795 | 57.81 | 38.9 | 1. 486 | 58. 47 | 40.1 | 1. 458 | 64.86 | 42.5 | 1. 526 | 49. 20 | 41.8 | 1. 177 |
| August | 65.07 | 41.5 | 1. 568 | 71.52 | 41.2 | 1.736 | 58.99 | 39.3 | 1. 501 | 59. 68 | 40.6 | 1.470 | 66. 99 | 43.5 | 1. 540 | 47. 83 | 41.2 | 1. 161 |
| Septembe | 67.48 | 42.6 | 1. 584 | 72. 58 | 40.3 | 1. 801 | 59.94 | 39.2 | 1. 529 | 60.19 | 41.2 | 1. 461 | 67.35 | 43.2 | 1. 559 | 48. 18 | 41.5 | 1. 161 |
| October. | 67.83 | 42.0 | 1.615 | 72.16 | 41.0 | 1. 760 | 60.45 | 39.2 | 1. 542 | ${ }^{61.12}$ | 41.3 | 1. 480 | 67. 45 | 42.8 | 1. 576 | 46. 80 | 40.8 | 1. 147 |
| November | 69. 20 | 42.4 | 1. 632 | 76.63 | 41.2 | 1. 860 | 61.10 | 39.6 | 1. 543 | 62. 00 | 41.5 | 1. 494 | 66. 79 | 42.3 | 1. 579 | 47.31 | 41.0 | 1. 154 |
| December. | 70.43 | 42.3 | 1. 665 | 76.03 | 41.3 | 1.841 | 61.26 | 39.7 | 1. 543 | 62.75 | 41.5 | 1. 512 | 66.90 | 42.1 | 1. 589 | 48.72 | 41.5 | 1.174 |
| 1951: January | 72.08 | 42.7 | 1.688 | 75.19 | 40.6 | 1. 852 | 61.61 | 39.7 | 1. 552 | 63.48 | 41.3 | 1. 537 | 68.61 | 42.8 | 1.603 | 49.96 | 42.3 | 1. 181 |
| February | 70.72 | 41.5 | 1.704 | 76.97 | 40.9 | 1. 882 | 61.39 | 39.3 | 1. 562 | 63. 77 | 41.3 | 1. 544 | 69.05 | 42. 6 | 1. 621 | 48.42 | 41.0 | 1. 181 |
| March | 71.61 | 42.0 | 1.705 | 77.12 | 41.0 | 1. 881 | 62. 29 | 39.5 | 1. 577 | 64. 52 | 41.6 | 1. 551 | 69. 07 | 42.4 | 1. 629 | 50.56 | 42.7 | 1.184 |
| April | 72. 21 | 42. 3 | 1.707 | 78. 00 | 41.4 | 1.884 | 62.81 | 39.7 | 1. 582 | 65. 29 | 41.8 | 1. 562 | 68.79 | 42.1 | 1. 1.634 | 50.98 53.08 | 42.2 | 1. 1.246 |
| May | 72.24 72.20 | 42.1 42.0 | 1.716 1.719 | 79.61 80.26 | 41.7 41.8 | 1. 1.920 | 63.08 62.69 | 39.8 39.6 | 1.585 1.583 | 64.31 63.93 | 41.2 40.9 | 1. 1.563 | 68. 17 | 41.9 | 1.627 | 53.08 52.84 | 41.8 41.8 | 1.264 |
|  | Manufacturing-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Chemicals and allied products-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Products of petroleum and coal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Vegetable and animal oils and fats |  |  | Other chemicals and allied products |  |  | Soap and glycerin |  |  | Total: Products of petroleum and coal |  |  | Petroleum refining |  |  | Coke and byproducts |  |  |
| 1949: Average | \$51. 12 | 47.2 | \$1.083 | \$60. 67 | 40.8 | \$1. 487 | \$66. 54 | 40.9 | \$1. 627 | \$72.36 | 40.4 | \$1. 791 | \$75. 33 | 40.2 | \$1. 874 | \$61. 07 | 39.3 | \$1. 554 |
| 1950: Average | 53.46 | 45.5 | 1.175 | 64. 41 | 41.5 | 1.552 | 71. 81 | 41.7 | 1.722 | 75.01 | 40.9 | 1.834 | 77. 93 | 40.4 | 1.929 | 62.85 | 39.7 | 1. 583 |
| 1950: June_ | 53.87 | 43.9 | 1. 227 | 63.38 | 41.4 | 1. 531 | 69. 96 | 41.2 | 1. 698 | 74.37 | 41.0 | 1. 814 | 76.82 | 40.2 | 1. 911 | 62. 73 | 39.7 | 1. 580 |
| July-.-.-...-.--- | 55.46 | 43.6 | 1. 272 | 63. 29 | 41.1 | 1. 540 | 69. 99 | 41.0 | 1. 707 | 76. 09 | 41.6 | 1.829 | 78. 93 | 41.0 | 1. 9225 | 63.36 | 39.6 398 | 1. 1.586 |
| August. | 55.11 55.03 | 44.3 45.9 | 1. 1.194 | 64.62 66.13 | 41.8 | 1. 1.546 | 74.08 74.99 | 42.7 | 1.735 | 73. 73 | 40.6 41.7 | 1.816 1.841 | 75. 729 | 39.4 41.2 | 1. 1.935 | 63.12 63.91 | 39.8 39.6 | 1. 1.614 |
| October. | 54.41 | 47.6 | 1. 143 | f6. 24 | 41.9 | 1.581 | 74.59 | 42.5 | 1.755 | 77.71 | 41.6 | 1. 868 | 80.93 | 41.1 | 1. 969 | 63.68 | 40.2 | 1. 584 |
| November | 55. 58 | 46.9 | 1. 185 | 66. 89 | 41.7 | 1. 604 | 75.85 | 42.4 | 1. 789 | 78.32 | 41.2 | 1. 901 | 81.64 | 40.7 | 2. 006 | 63.60 | 40.0 | 1. 590 |
| December | 56.72 | 46.8 | 1. 212 | 68. 75 | 42.1 | 1. 633 | 77.82 | 42.9 | 1.814 | 78.32 | 41.2 | 1. 901 | 81.03 | 40.7 | 1. 991 | 67.54 | 40.2 | 1. 680 |
| 1951: January | 56.90 |  | 1. 237 | 69.13 | 42.0 | 1. 646 | 76.83 | 42.4 | 1.812 | 79.58 | 41.0 | 1. 941 | 82.95 | 40.7 | 2. 038 | 68.82 | 40.2 | 1.712 |
| Februar | 56.36 | 44.8 | 1. 258 | 70.05 | 42.3 | 1. 656 | 79.36 | 43.2 | 1.837 | 78.44 | 40.6 | 1.932 | 81.28 | 40.2 | 2. 022 | 69. 63 | 40.2 | 1. 732 |
| March | 56.28 | 43.9 | 1. 282 | 69. 96 | 42.3 | 1. 654 | 79.64 | 43.0 | 1. 852 | 78. 93 | 40.6 | 1. 944 | 81.89 | 40.2 | 2. 037 | 68. 08 | 39.4 | 1.728 |
| April. | 58. 39 | 44.4 | 1. 315 | 68. 68 | 41.8 | 1. 643 | 75.87 | 41.3 | 1. 837 | 81.33 | 41.2 | 1. 974 | 84.87 | 40.9 | 2. 075 | 68. 96 | 40.0 | 1.724 |
| May | 59.76 | 44.2 | 1. 352 | 68.14 | 41.6 | 1. 638 | 74.27 | 40.9 | 1. 816 | 81.43 | 40.9 | 1. 991 | 84.93 | 40.5 | 2. 097 | 69.04 | 40.0 | 1. 726 |
| June. | 60.51 | 44.3 | 1. 366 | 68.52 | 41.4 | 1. 655 | 75.81 | 40.8 | 1. 858 | 81.32 | 40.7 | 1.998 | 84.96 | 40.4 | 2. 103 | 70.34 | 40.1 | 1.754 |
|  | Manufacturing-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Products of petroleum and coal-Con. |  |  | Rubber products |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Leather and leather products |  |  |
|  | Other petroleum and coal products |  |  | Total: Rubber products |  |  | Tires and inner tubes |  |  | Rubber footwear |  |  | Other rubber products |  |  | Total: Leather and leather products |  |  |
| 1949: Average | $\$ 61.18$66.78 | 42.9 | \$1. 426 | $\$ 57.79$64.42 | 38.3 | \$1. 509 | $\$ 63.26$72.48 | 36.4 | \$1. 738 | $\$ 48.94$52.21 | 38.640.1 | \$1.2681.302 | $\$ 54.38$59.76 | 42.2 | \$1.356 | $\$ 41.61$44.56 | 36.6 | $\$ 1.137$1.185 |
| 1950: A verage |  | 44.7 | 1. 494 |  | 40.8 | 1. 575 |  | 39.8 | 1.821 |  |  |  |  |  | 1.416 |  | 37.6 |  |
| 1950. June... | $\begin{aligned} & 69.13 \\ & 70.38 \\ & 71.82 \\ & 69.76 \\ & 69.94 \\ & 69.15 \\ & 69.67 \end{aligned}$ | 46.3 | 1. 493 | 65.08 | 41.4 | 1. 572 | 74.05 | 40.6 | 1. 824 | 52.07 | 40.3 | 1. 292 | 59. 23 | 42.4 | 1. 397 | 43.60 | 37.2 | 1.172 |
|  |  | 46.7 | 1. 507 | 65. 59 | 41.2 | 1.592 | 75. 22 | 40.4 | 1. 862 | 52.13 | 39.7 | 1. 313 | 59. 08 | 42.2 | 1. 400 | 44. 73 | 38.1 | 1.174 |
|  |  | 47.5 | 1. 512 | 66. 25 | 41.8 | 1. 585 | 76. 01 | 40.8 | 1.863 | 53.93 | 41.9 | 1. 287 | 60.13 | 42.8 |  | 46.49 | 39.2 | 1.186 |
|  |  | 46.2 | 1. 510 | 66. 58 | 41.9 | 1. 589 | 75.46 | 40.9 | 1.845 | 53.95 | 41.5 | 1. 300 | 61.30 | 42.9 | 1. 429 | 45.72 | 38.1 | 1. 200 |
|  |  | 45.8 | 1. 527 | 66. 29 | 41.9 | 1. 582 | 73. 12 | 40.2 | 1.819 | 56. 00 | 42.2 | 1. 327 | 62. 48 | 43.3 | 1. 443 | 46. 04 | 37.8 | 1.218 |
|  |  | 44.9 | 1. 540 | 66. 52 | 41.5 | 1. 603 | 73.70 | 40.1 | 1.838 | 54.52 | 42.0 | 1. 298 | 62.71 | 42.6 | 1. 472 | 45.94 | 37.5 | 1. 225 |
|  |  | 44.6 | 1. 562 | 68.76 | 41.6 | 1. 653 | 76.21 | 39.9 | 1.910 | 59.34 | 42.6 | 1.393 | 64.29 | 42.8 | 1. 502 | 47.26 | 38.3 | 1. 234 |
| 1951: Januar | 68.08 <br> 67. 68 <br> 68.97 <br> 69.10 <br> 69. 41 <br> 67.41 | 43.7 | 1. 558 | 66. 78 | 40.4 | 1. 653 | 73.69 | 38.4 | 1.919 | 57.53 | 41.6 | 1. 383 | 63.06 | 41.9 | 1. 505 | 48.30 | 38.7 | 1. 248 |
|  |  | 43.3 | 1. 563 | 63.37 | 38.9 | 1. 629 | 66.95 | 35.5 | 1. 886 | 55.87 | 40.6 | 1. 376 | 61.95 | 41.3 | 1. 500 | 49. 43 | 39.2 | 1. 261 |
|  |  | 43.9 | 1. 571 | 65. 88 | 40.0 | 1. 647 | 71. 40 | 37.6 | 1. 899 | 58.17 | 41. 4 | 1. 405 | 63.13 | 41.7 | 1. 514 | 48. 73 | 38. 4 | 1. 269 |
|  |  | 43.9 | 1. 574 | 65. 96 | 40.0 | 1. 649 | 70.15 | 37.0 | 1.896 | 59.82 | 42.1 | 1. 421 | 63.81 | 41.9 | 1. 523 | 46. 65 | 36.5 | 1.278 |
|  |  | 44.1 | 1. 574 | 68.48 | 41.3 | 1. 658 | 75. 54 | 39.2 | 1. 927 | 61.60 | 42. 9 | 1. 436 | 64.26 | 42.7 | 1. 505 | 45. 64 | 35.6 | 1.282 |
|  |  | 43.1 | 1. 564 | 71.66 | 42.4 | 1. 690 | 82. 69 | 41.7 | 1.983 | 60.24 | 42.3 | 1.424 | 65.02 | 43.0 | 1.512 | 46.81 | 36.6 | 1.279 |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table C-1: Hours and Gross Earnings of Production Workers or Nonsupervisory Employees ${ }^{1}$-Con.

| Year and month | Manufacturing-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Leather and leather products-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Stone, clay, and glass products |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Leather |  |  | Footwear (except rubber) |  |  | Other leather products |  |  | Total: Stone, clay, and glass products |  |  | Glass and glass products |  |  | Glass containers |  |  |
|  | Avg. <br> wkly. earnings | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Avg. } \\ & \text { wkly. } \\ & \text { hours } \end{aligned}$ | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earn- | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earn- | A vg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | A vg. <br> hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earn- ings | Avg. wkly. hours | A vg. hrly. ings | Avg. wkly. earn- ings <br> - | Avg. wkly. hours | A Vg. hrly. earnings |
| 1949: A verage 1950: Average | \$54.11 57.21 | 38.9 39.7 | \$1. <br> 1.441 <br> 1.41 | $\$ 39.35$ 41.99 | 35.9 36.9 | \$1.096 1.138 | \$41.10 | 37.5 38.5 | \$1.096 1.165 | $\$ 54.45$ 59.20 | 39.8 41.2 | \$1.368 1.437 | $\$ 56.71$ <br> 61.58 | 39.0 40.3 | $\$ 1.454$ 1.528 | $\$ 53.80$ 56.36 | 39.3 39.8 | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1.369 \\ 1.416 \end{array}$ |
| 1950: June | 56. 57 | 39.7 | 1.425 | 40.84 | 36.4 | 1.122 | 44.39 | 38.3 | 1.159 | 58.12 | 41.1 | 1. 414 | 59.74 | 40.2 | 1.486 | 55. 23 | 40.4 | 1.367 |
| July | 56. 73 | 39.7 | 1. 429 | 42. 53 | 37.7 | 1.128 | 44. 16 | 38.2 | 1.156 | 58. 57 | 40.9 | 1. 432 | 60.24 | 39.5 | 1. 525 | 55. 40 | 39.6 | 1.399 |
| August | 58.40 | 40.5 | 1. 442 | 44.39 | 38.8 | 1.144 | 45. 70 | 39.5 | 1.157 | 59.40 | 41.6 | 1. 428 | 59.10 | 39.8 | 1. 485 | 53.31 | 38.8 | 1.374 |
| September | 58. 64 | 40.3 | 1. 455 | 43.32 | 37.6 | 1.152 | 45.00 | 38.1 | 1.181 | 60.88 | 41.5 | 1. 467 | 61.31 | 39.0 | 1. 572 | 54.69 | 37.1 | 1.474 |
| October- | 59. 44 | 40.3 | 1. 475 | 42.76 | 36.7 | 1. 165 | 47.64 | 39.5 | 1. 206 | 63.11 | 42.5 | 1. 485 | 65. 66 | 41.4 | 1.586 | 61.19 | 40.9 | 1. 496 |
| November | 59.79 | 40.4 | 1. 480 | 42. 23 | 36.0 | 1.173 | 47. 96 | 39.7 | 1.208 | 63.66 | 42.3 | 1. 505 | 67.03 | 41.3 | 1. 623 | 59.94 | 40.5 | 1.480 |
| December | 61.17 | 40.7 | 1. 503 | 44.02 | 37.4 | 1.177 | 48.06 | 39.3 | 1. 223 | 63.60 | 42.2 | 1. 507 | 65. 89 | 41.0 | 1. 607 | 60.29 | 40.9 | 1.474 |
| 1951: January | 61.58 | 40.7 | 1. 513 | 45.88 | 38.3 | 1. 198 | 47.89 | 38.9 | 1. 231 | 63. 48 | 41.6 | 1. 526 | 66. 10 | 40.6 | 1. 628 | 60.95 | 40.5 | 1. 505 |
| February | 62. 52 | 40.6 | 1. 540 | 46. 99 | 38.8 | 1. 211 | 48.82 | 39.4 | 1. 239 | 63.15 | 41.3 | 1. 529 | 65.04 | 40.3 | 1. 614 | 58.82 | 39.5 | 1. 489 |
| March | 60.71 | 39.6 | 1. 533 | 46. 43 | 37.9 | 1. 225 | 48. 52 | 39.0 | 1. 244 | 64.53 | 41.9 | 1. 540 | 66.17 | 41.0 | 1. 614 | 59.84 | 40.0 | 1.496 |
| April | 60.49 | 39.1 | 1. 547 | 43.65 | 35.4 | 1. 233 | 47.27 | 38.0 | 1. 244 | 65.09 | 42.1 | 1. 546 | 66. 91 | 41.3 | 1. 620 | 61.32 | 41.1 | 1.492 |
| May | 59.87 | 38.6 | 1. 551 | 42. 10 | 34.2 | 1. 231 | 47. 53 | 37.9 | 1. 254 | 64.80 | 41.7 | 1. 554 | 65. 69 | 40.3 | 1. 630 | 60.38 | 40.2 | 1. 502 |
| June | 60.45 | 38.8 | 1. 558 | 43.74 | 35.5 | 1. 232 | 48.16 | 38.5 | 1. 251 | 65.09 | 41.7 | 1. 561 | 65.85 | 40.3 | 1. 634 | 59.86 | 39.8 | 1. 504 |
|  | Manufacturing-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Stone, clay, and glass products-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Pressed and blown glass |  |  | Cement, hydraulic |  |  | Structural clay products |  |  | Brick and hollow tile |  |  | Sewer pipe |  |  | Pottery and related products |  |  |
| 1949: Average | \$50.30 | 38.6 | \$1.303 | \$57. 49 | 41.6 | \$1.382 | \$49.73 | 39.0 | \$1.275 | \$49. 57 | 41.8 | \$1. 186 | \$48. 61 | 39.2 | \$1. 240 | \$48.85 | 36.4 | \$1.342 |
| 1950: A verage. | 53.71 | 39.7 | 1.353 | 60.13 | 41.7 | 1.442 | 54.19 | 40.5 | 1.338 | 5.375 | 42.9 | 1.253 | 52.17 | 39.7 | 1.314 | 52.16 | 37.5 | 1.391 |
| 1950: June | 50.27 | 38.4 | 1. 309 | 60.27 | 42.0 | 1. 435 | 54.09 | 40.7 | 1.329 | 54.63 | 43.6 | 1. 253 | 54.85 | 41.3 | 1. 328 | 48.71 | 35.3 | 1.380 |
| July | 49.93 | 38.0 | 1. 314 | 61.30 | 41.7 | 1.470 | 54.40 | 40.9 | 1.330 | 54. 89 | 43.6 | 1. 259 | 54. 60 | 41.3 | 1. 322 | 49.13 | 35.5 | 1.384 |
| August | 51.61 | 39.7 | 1.300 | 61.13 | 42.1 | 1.452 | 55.27 | 41.4 | 1.335 | 55. 71 | 43.9 | 1. 269 | 53.85 | 40.4 | 1.333 | 52. 59 | 38.0 | 1.384 |
| September | 56.70 | 40.5 | 1. 400 | 61.66 | 41.8 | 1. 475 | 56. 00 | 41.3 | 1. 356 | 55.73 | 43.2 | 1. 290 | 54.88 | 40.5 | 1. 355 | 53.70 | 38.3 | 1. 402 |
| October- | 58.24 | 41.1 | 1.417 | 61.59 | 41.9 | 1. 470 | 57.73 | 41.8 | 1. 381 | 57.77 | 44.2 | 1. 307 | 55.05 | 40.3 | 1.366 | 55.91 | 39.4 | 1.419 |
| November | 61.15 | 41.4 | 1.477 | 62.10 | 42.1 | 1.475 | 57.86 | 41.3 | 1. 401 | 57. 51 | 43.7 | 1. 316 | 54.14 | 39.2 | 1.381 | 57.47 | 39.8 | 1. 444 |
| December. | 58.84 | 41.0 | 1. 435 | 62.43 | 41.9 | 1.490 | 58.25 | 41.4 | 1. 407 | 57.16 | 43.5 | 1.314 | 53.98 | 39.2 | 1.377 | 56.84 | 38.8 | 1. 465 |
| 1951: January | 57.10 | 39.9 | 1. 431 | 62.45 | 41.3 | 1. 512 | 59. 00 | 41.2 | 1. 432 | 55. 88 | 42.3 | 1. 321 | 56. 50 | 40.3 | 1. 402 | 57.05 | 38.6 | 1. 478 |
| February | 57.14 | 39.9 | 1. 432 | 62.93 | 41.7 | 1. 509 | 57.65 | 40.4 | 1. 427 | 54. 24 | 41.5 | 1. 307 | 54.86 | 39.3 | 1. 396 | 57.69 | 38.9 | 1. 483 |
| March | 58. 55 | 41.0 | 1. 428 | 64.08 | 42.1 | 1. 522 | 59.93 | 41.3 | 1. 451 | 57.34 | 42.6 | 1. 346 | 56.00 | 39.8 | 1. 407 | 58.64 | 39.3 | 1. 492 |
| April | 57. 96 | 40.9 | 1. 417 | 64.08 | 41.8 | 1. 533 | 60.78 | 41.6 | 1. 461 | 58. 94 | 43.4 | 1. 358 | 57.31 | 40.3 | 1. 422 | 58.65 | 39.1 | 1. 500 |
| May | 55.96 | 39.3 | 1. 424 | 65. 28 | 41.9 | 1. 558 | 61.72 | 42.1 | 1. 466 | 60.06 | 44.0 | 1. 365 | 59.10 | 41.3 | 1. 431 | 57.38 | 38.1 | 1. 506 |
| June | 56.16 | 39.3 | 1. 429 | 66.04 | 41.8 | 1. 580 | 61.40 | 41.8 | 1. 469 | 59.56 | 43.6 | 1.366 | 57.86 | 40.6 | 1.425 | 57.46 | 38.0 | 1. 512 |
|  | Manufacturing-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Stone, clay, and glass products-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Primary metal industries |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Concrete, gypsum, and plaster products |  |  | Concrete products |  |  | Other stone, clay, and glass products |  |  | Total: Primary metal industries |  |  | Blast furnaces, steel works, and rolling mills |  |  | Iron and steel foundries |  |  |
| 1949: Average. | \$57. 77 | 43.8 | \$1. 319 | \$59. 31 | 43.8 | \$1.354 | \$54. 72 |  | \$1.396 | \$60.78 | 38.3 | \$1. 587 | \$63. 04 | 38.3 | \$1. 646 | \$55. 09 | 37.2 | \$1. 481 |
| 1950: Average. | 62.64 | 45.0 | 1.392 | 61.15 | 43.9 | 1.393 | 60.94 | 41.4 | 1. 472 | 67.24 | 40.8 | 1.648 | 67.47 | 39.9 | 1.691 | 65.32 | 41.9 | 1. 559 |
| 1950: June | 62.06 | 45.2 | 1.373 | 61.07 | 45.1 | 1.354 | 60.09 | 41.7 | 1. 441 | 66.50 | 40.8 | 1. 630 | 66. 63 | 39.8 | 1. 674 | 64.72 | 42.0 | 1. 541 |
| July. | 63.06 | 45.4 | 1.389 | 60. 78 | 44.2 | 1.375 | 60.17 | 41.3 | 1. 457 | 66. 95 | 40.7 | 1. 645 | 67.83 | 39.9 | 1. 700 | 64.37 | 41.8 | 1. 540 |
| August | 64.44 | 45.7 | 1.410 | 62.62 | 44.6 | 1. 404 | 62.20 | 42.4 | 1.467 | 67.36 | 41.1 | 1. 639 | 67.37 | 40.1 | 1. 680 | 66.07 | 42.6 | 1. 551 |
| September. | 65.35 | 45. 7 | 1.430 | 63. 59 | 44.5 | 1. 429 | 64. 52 | 42.9 | 1. 504 | 68.10 | 41.4 | 1. 669 | 69.30 | 40.2 | 1. 724 | 67.57 | 42. 9 | 1.575 |
| October-...-.- | 66.38 | 46.0 | 1. 443 | 64.09 | 44.6 | 1. 437 | 65. 79 | 43.2 | 1. 523 | 69. 81 | 41.9 | 1. 666 | 68.87 | 40.8 | 1. 688 | 70.04 | 43.8 | 1. 599 |
| November.-.- | 65.57 | 45.6 | 1. 438 | 63.64 | 44.1 | 1. 443 | 66. 55 | 43.1 | 1. 544 | 70. 14 | 41.8 | 1. 678 | 69.03 | 40.8 | 1. 692 | 69. 23 | 43.0 | 1.610 |
| December-.-.- | 66.23 | 45.8 | 1.466 | 65.19 | 44.9 | 1.452 | 67.03 | 43.3 | 1.548 | 74.36 | 42.3 | 1.758 | 75. 21 | 41.1 | 1.830 | 72.37 | 44.1 | 1. 641 |
| 1951: January_ | 64. 68 | 44.3 | 1. 460 | 63.32 | 43.4 | 1. 459 | 67. 25 | 43. 0 | 1. 564 | 74. 42 | 41.6 | 1. 789 | 76. 41 | 40.6 | 1. 882 | 71.66 | 43.3 | 1. 655 |
| February | 65. 37 | 44.2 | 1. 479 | 63.19 | 42. 9 | 1. 473 | 66. 96 | 42.3 | 1. 583 | 73. 12 | 41.1 | 1. 779 | 74. 16 | 40.0 | 1. 854 | 71. 48 | 42.8 | 1. 670 |
| March. | 66.74 | 45.0 | 1. 483 | 65.61 | 44.3 | 1. 481 | 67.76 | 42.3 | 1. 602 | 75. 11 | 41.8 | 1. 797 | 77.35 | 41.3 | 1. 873 | 73.31 | 43.3 | 1. 693 |
| April. | 67.80 | 45.5 | 1. 490 | 66. 14 | 44.6 | 1. 483 | 67.85 | 42.3 | 1. 604 | 75. 70 | 42.1 | 1. 798 | 77. 92 | 41.6 | 1.873 | 72. 93 | 43.1 | 1. 692 |
| May | 68.16 | 45.5 | 1. 498 | 67.71 | 45.2 | 1. 498 | 67. 65 | 42.1 | 1. 607 | 74. 93 | 41.7 | 1. 797 | 76.73 | 41.1 | 1. 867 | 72.55 | 42.8 | 1. 695 |
| June | 68.87 | 45.7 | 1. 507 | 67.62 | 45.2 | 1.496 | 67.47 | 41.7 | 1. 618 | 76. 62 | 41.8 | 1. 833 | 80.14 | 41.5 | 1.931 | 72.16 | 42.4 | 1. 702 |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table C-1: Hours and Gross Earnings of Production Workers or Nonsupervisory Employees ${ }^{1}$-Con.

| Year and month | Manufacturing-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Primary metal industries-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Gray-iron foundries |  |  | Malleable-iron foundries |  |  | Steel foundries |  |  | Primary smelting and refining of nonferrous metals |  |  | Primary smelting and refining of copper, lead, and zinc |  |  | Primary refining of aluminum |  |  |
|  | A Vg . wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earn- ings | Avg. <br> wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | A vg. <br> hrly. <br> earn- <br> ings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. <br> wkly. <br> earn- <br> ings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | A vg. hrly. earnings | A vg. wkly. earnings | A $\overline{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{g}$. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earn- ings |
| 1949: A verage <br> 1950: A verage | $\$ 54.38$ 65.06 | 37.5 42.3 | \$1.450 1.538 | $\$ 54.30$ 65.46 | 35.7 41.3 | (\%1.521 | $\$ 56.73$ 65.43 | 37.3 41.1 | $\begin{array}{r}\text { \$1. } \\ 1.521 \\ \hline 82\end{array}$ | $\$ 60.36$ 63.71 | 40.4 41.0 | +81.494 | \$58. 62.37 | 40.1 40.9 | $\begin{array}{r}\text { \$1. } \\ 1.571 \\ \hline 18\end{array}$ | \$61. 95 63.97 | 41.3 40.9 | $\$ 1.500$ 1.564 |
| 1950: June | 64.08 | 42.3 | 1.515 | 65.87 | 41.9 | 1.572 | 65.65 | 41.5 | 1. 582 | 62. 54 | 40.9 | 1. 529 | 61.44 | 40.8 | 1. 506 | 62.44 | 41.0 | 1. 523 |
| July | 63.88 | 42.0 | 1. 521 | 64.80 | 41.3 | 1. 569 | 65.31 | 41.6 | 1. 570 | 62.83 | 40.3 | 1. 559 | 61.37 | 39.9 | 1. 538 | 63.06 | 41.0 | 1. 538 |
| August | 66. 36 | 43.2 | 1. 536 | 66. 32 | 42.0 | 1. 579 | 65.73 | 41.6 | 1. 580 | 63.15 | 40.9 | 1. 544 | 61.89 | 40.8 | 1. 517 | 62.87 | 40.8 | 1. 541 |
| September | 67.97 | 43. 6 | 1. 559 | 67.69 | 42.2 | 1. 604 | 66.08 | 41.3 | 1. 600 | 64.44 | 41.2 | 1. 564 | 63.18 | 41.0 | 1. 541 | 63.47 | 41.0 | 1. 548 |
| October-.- | 70.26 | 44.3 | 1. 586 | 69.18 | 42.6 | 1. 624 | 69.38 | 42.8 | 1. 621 | 66. 40 | 41.5 | 1. 600 | 65. 01 | 41.7 | 1. 559 | 67. 23 | 40.4 | 1. 664 |
| November---- | 69.18 | 43.4 | 1. 594 | 69. 28 | 42.5 | 1. 630 | 69.17 | 42.2 | 1. 639 | 67.73 | 41.0 | 1. 652 | 66. 30 | 40.9 | 1. 621 | 68.84 | 41.0 | 1. 1.679 1.679 |
| December | 71.97 | 44.4 | 1. 621 | 72.03 | 43.6 | 1.652 | 72.31 | 43.3 | 1.670 | 69.47 | 41.7 | 1.666 | 67.97 | 41.6 | 1. 634 | 70.01 | 41.7 | 1. 679 |
| 1951: January | 70.63 | 43.6 | 1. 620 | 71. 52 | 42.7 | 1.675 | 73.19 | 42.8 | 1. 710 | 70.67 | 41.5 | 1. 703 | 69.93 | 41.5 | 1. 685 | 69.41 | 41.0 | 1. 693 |
| February | 69.90 | 42.7 | 1. 637 | 70.89 | 42.5 | 1.668 | 74.48 | 43.2 | 1.724 | 69.18 | 41.3 | 1. 675 | 68.06 | 41.2 | 1.652 | 69.21 | 41.0 | 1.688 |
| March | 72.17 | 43.4 | 1. 663 | 73. 40 | 43.1 | 1. 703 | 74.61 | 43.1 | 1.731 | 69.14 | 41.3 | 1. 674 | 68.72 | 41.5 | 1. 656 | 69.66 | 41.1 | 1. 695 |
| April | 70.88 | 42.8 | 1. 656 | 74. 73 | 43, 4 | 1. 722 | 75. 65 | 43.4 | 1. 743 | 70.18 | 41.9 | 1. 675 | 70.01 | 42.2 | 1. 659 | 71.19 | 41.8 | 1. 703 |
| May | 70.58 | 42.7 | 1. 653 | 73.27 | 42.6 | 1.720 | 74.99 | 42.8 | 1. 752 | 70.06 | 41.7 | 1. 680 | 69.55 | 41.9 | 1. 660 | 70.93 | 41.7 | 1. 701 |
| June | 70.38 | 42.5 | 1. 656 | 71.33 | 41.4 | 1. 723 | 76.56 | 43.4 | 1. 764 | 70.47 | 41.6 | 1. 694 | 69.68 | 41.7 | 1. 671 | 72.46 | 42.4 | 1. 709 |
|  | Manufacturing-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Primary metal industries-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Rolling, drawing, and alloying of nonferrous metals |  |  | Rolling, drawing, and alloying of copper |  |  | Rolling, drawing, and alloying of aluminum |  |  | Nonferrous foundries |  |  | Other primary metal industries |  |  | Iron and steel forgings |  |  |
| 1949: A verage | $\$ 58.05$66.75 | 38.7 | \$1. 500 | \$59. 2970.24 | 38.5 | \$1. 540 | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 56.21 \\ 59.99 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 38.9 \\ & 40.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1.445 \\ 1.496 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 60.92 \\ 67.65 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 39.0 \\ 41.5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1.562 \\ 1.630 \end{array}$ | $\$ 63.34$71.27 | $\begin{aligned} & 39.1 \\ & 41.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1.620 \\ 1.701 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 63.18 \\ 74.09 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 38. } 2 \\ & \text { 41. } 6 \end{aligned}$ | \$1. 654 |
| 1950: A verage. |  | 41.9 | 1.593 |  | 42.7 | 1.645 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1. 781 |
| 1950: June | 67. 75 | 42.8 | 1. 583 | 72. 26 | 43.9 | 1. 646 | 58. 26 | 40.4 | 1. 442 | 66. 52 | 41.6 | 1. 599 | 70.39 | 41.8 | 1. 634 | 72. 21 | 41.5 | 1.740 |
| July | $\begin{aligned} & 67.76 \\ & 68.48 \\ & 65.21 \\ & 68.05 \\ & 69.18 \\ & 72.46 \end{aligned}$ | 42.4 | 1. 598 | 73. 46 | 44.2 | 1. 662 | 57.02 | 39.0 | 1. 462 | 64. 27 | 40.5 | 1. 587 | 70.47 | 41.6 | 1. 694 | 73. 08 | 41.5 | 1. 761 |
| August |  | 42.8 | 1. 600 | 73. 67 | 44.3 | 1. 663 | 58.51 | 39.8 | 1. 470 | 66. 36 | 41.4 | 1. 603 | 71. 95 | 42. 2 | 1. 705 | 74. 63 | 41.6 | 1. 794 |
| September |  | 41.4 | 1. 575 | 68. 09 | 41.8 | 1. 629 | 57.56 | 39.4 | 1. 461 | 70. 61 | 42.9 | 1. 646 | 74. 13 | 42.8 | 1. 732 | 77.83 | 42.6 | 1. 827 |
| October- |  | 41.8 | 1. 628 | 70.22 | 42.1 | 1. 668 | 63.59 | 40.4 | 1. 574 | 72. 29 | 42.8 | 1. 689 | 75.17 | 43.3 | 1. 736 | 80. 29 | 43.4 | 1. 850 |
| November |  | 41.7 | 1. 659 | 71.48 | 41.8 | 1. 710 | 64. 43 | 40.6 | 1. 587 | 72.80 | 42.8 | 1. 701 | 76. 65 | 43. 8 | 1. 750 | 82.86 | 44.1 | 1. 879 |
| December. |  | 43.0 | 1. 685 | 76.08 | 43.9 | 1. 733 | 66.01 | 40.9 | 1. 614 | 75. 47 | 43.6 | 1. 731 | 77.60 | 43.4 | 1. 788 | 81.11 | 43.4 | 1. 869 |
| 1951: January $\qquad$ <br> February <br> March $\qquad$ <br> April $\qquad$ $\qquad$ <br> June. $\qquad$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 67. } 98 \\ & 68.30 \\ & 68.21 \\ & 68.09 \\ & 67.79 \\ & 69.29 \end{aligned}$ | 40.9 | 1. 662 | $\begin{aligned} & 68.87 \\ & 69.52 \\ & 70.05 \\ & 70.14 \\ & 69.12 \\ & 72.18 \end{aligned}$ | 40.8 | 1. 688 | 64. 68 | $\begin{aligned} & 40.1 \\ & 40.1 \\ & 39.7 \\ & 39.0 \\ & 39.6 \\ & 39.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.613 \\ & 1.620 \\ & 1.614 \\ & 1.611 \\ & 1.620 \\ & 1.622 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 72.33 \\ & 72.70 \\ & 73.12 \\ & 73.52 \\ & 74.07 \\ & 73.28 \end{aligned}$ | 42.1 | 1. 718 | 77. 94 | 42.8 | 1. 821 | 82.34 | 43.2 | 1. 906 |
|  |  | 40.8 | 1. 674 |  | 40.7 | 1. 708 | $\begin{aligned} & 64.96 \\ & 64.08 \\ & 62.83 \\ & 64.15 \\ & 63.26 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | 42.0 | 1.731 | 76.83 | 42.1 | 1.825 | 81.49 | 42. 6 | 1. 913 |
|  |  | 40.7 | 1. 676 |  | 40.8 | 1.717 |  |  |  |  | 42.0 | 1.741 | 78.17 | 42.3 | 1.848 | 83.87 | 43.5 | 1. 928 |
|  |  | 40.6 | 1. 677 |  | 40.9 | 1. 715 |  |  |  |  | 42.3 | 1. 738 | 79. 22 | 42.8 | 1. 851 | 85. 78 | 43.9 | 1. 954 |
|  |  | 40.4 | 1. 678 |  | 40.4 | 1. 711 |  |  |  |  | 42.4 | 1. 747 | 78.81 | 42.6 | 1. 850 | 84.31 | 43.3 | 1. 947 |
|  |  | 41.0 | 1. 690 |  | 41.7 | 1.731 |  |  |  |  | 41.8 | 1.753 | 80.05 | 42.9 | 1. 866 | 85.67 | 43.6 | 1. 965 |
|  | Manufacturing-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Primary metal in-dustries-Con. |  |  | Fabricated metal products (except ordnance, machinery, and transportation equipment) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Wire drawing |  |  | Total: Fabricated metal products (except ordnance, machinery, and transportation equipment) |  |  | Tin cans and other tinware |  |  | Cutlery, hand tools, and hardware |  |  | Cutlery and edge tools |  |  | Hand tools |  |  |
| 1949: Average <br> 1950: A verage | $\$ 63.66$73.79 | 39. 2 | \$1. 624 | \$57. 82 | 39.6 | \$1. 460 | \$56. 24 | 40.4 | \$1. 392 | \$54.82 | 39.3 | \$1. 395 | \$50.84 | 40.0 | \$1. 271 | \$54. 54 | 38.6 | \$1. 413 |
|  |  | 42.9 | 1. 720 | 63.42 | 41.4 | 1. 532 | 60.90 | 41.6 | 1. 464 | 61.01 | 41.5 | 1. 470 | 55. 54 | 41.7 | 1. 332 | 61.31 | 41.2 | 1. 488 |
| 1950: June-.--------- | 72.93 | 42.4 | 1. 720 | 62.87 | 41.5 | 1. 515 | 60.94 | 41.8 | 1. 458 | 60.61 | 41.6 | 1. 457 | 54.41 | 41.6 | 1. 308 | 59. 16 | 40.8 | 1. 450 |
| July-...-------- | $\begin{aligned} & 72.80 \\ & 74.25 \\ & 7 . \end{aligned}$ | 42.6 | 1. 711 | 62. 55 | 41.1 | 1. 522 | 64.14 | 42.9 | 1. 495 | 59.57 | 40.8 | 1. 460 | 51.34 | 39.4 | 1. 303 | 59. 38 | 40.7 | 1. 459 |
| August |  | 43.5 | 1. 707 | 64. 79 | 42.1 | 1. 539 | 67.46 | 44.5 | 1. 516 | 61. 03 | 41.6 | 1. 467 | 56. 08 | 42. 2 | 1. 329 | 63.11 | 42. 1 | 1. 490 |
| September--- | $\begin{aligned} & 77.86 \\ & 77.00 \end{aligned}$ | 44.8 | 1. 738 | 65.72 | 42. 1 | 1. 561 | 63. 90 | 43.0 | 1. 486 | 62.96 | 42.0 | 1. 499 | 57. 14 | 42.2 | 1. 354 | 64. 63 | 42.3 | 1. 528 |
| October-.----- |  | 44.2 | 1. 742 | 66.66 | 42.3 | 1. 576 | 60.56 | 41.0 ${ }^{\circ}$ | 1. 477 | 64.99 | 42.9 | 1. 515 | 60.71 | 43.9 | 1. 383 | 66. 13 | 42.8 | 1. 545 |
| November-.- | 78.80 | 45.0 | 1. 751 | 66. 20 | 41.9 | 1. 580 | 58.85 | 40.2 | 1. 464 | 64. 09 | 42.0 | 1. 526 | 60. 56 | 43. 1 | 1. 405 | 67. 31 | 42. 9 | 1. 569 |
| December-.--- | 80.36 | 44.4 | 1.810 | 68.26 | 42.4 | 1. 610 | 63.07 | 42.1 | 1. 498 | 67.12 | 43.0 | 1. 561 | 62.57 | 43.6 | 1. 435 | 68.59 | 43.3 | 1. 584 |
| 1951: January------- | 81. 95 | 44.2 | 1.854 | 67.80 | 41.8 | 1. 622 | 63. 26 | 41.0 | 1. 543 | 65. 44 | 42.0 | 1. 558 | 60.99 | 42.5 | 1. 435 | 68.51 | 42.9 | 1. 597 |
| 1001. February----- | 79.42 | 43.0 | 1.847 | 68.18 | 41.7 | 1. 635 | 63.36 | 40.2 | 1. 576 | 66. 25 | 42.2 | 1. 570 | 61.72 | 42.8 | 1.442 | 69.74 | 43.1 | 1. 618 |
| March... | 79.15 | 42.6 | 1.858 | 69.55 | 42.1 | 1. 652 | 64.07 | 40.4 | 1. 586 | 66.49 | 42.0 | 1. 583 | 60.40 | 42.0 | 1.438 | 70.58 | 43.3 | 1. 630 |
| April. | $\begin{aligned} & 80.46 \\ & 79.78 \end{aligned}$ | 43. 4 | 1. 854 | 69.51 | 42.0 | 1. 655 | 63. 95 | 40.4 | 1. 583 | 66.40 | 42.0 | 1. 581 | 61.21 | 42.3 | 1. 447 | 70.42 | 43. 2 | 1. 630 |
| May |  | 43.1 43.0 | 1.851 1.878 | 69.22 69.93 | 41.8 42.0 | 1. 1.656 | 64.63 64.62 | 40.7 40.9 | 1. 588 1.580 | 66.37 67.66 | 41.9 42.0 | 1. 1.684 | 60.40 61.07 | 41.8 41.6 | 1.445 1.468 | 70. 48 | 43.0 42.9 | 1.639 1.645 |
| June---------- | $\begin{aligned} & 79.78 \\ & 80.75 \end{aligned}$ | 43.0 | 1. 878 | 69.93 | 42.0 | 1. 665 | 64.62 | 40.9 | 1.580 | 67.66 | 42.0 | 1.611 | 61.07 | 41.6 | 1.468 | 70.57 | 42.9 | 1. 645 |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table C-1: Hours and Gross Earnings of Production Workers or Nonsupervisory Employees ${ }^{1}$-Con.

| Year and month | Manufacturing-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fabricated metal products (except ordnance, machinery, and transportation equipment)-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Hardware |  |  | Heating apparatus (except electric) and plumbers' supplies |  |  | Sanitary ware and plumbers' supplies |  |  | Oil burners, nonelectric heating and cooking apparatus, not elsewhere classified |  |  | Fabricated structural metal products |  |  | Structural steel and ornamental metalwork |  |  |
|  | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | A vg. hrly. earnings | A Vg . wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | A $\mathrm{\nabla g}$. <br> hrly. <br> earn <br> ings | A vg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. <br> wkly. <br> earn- <br> ings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earn. ings | Avg. <br> wkly. <br> earn- <br> ings | A vg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings |
| 1949: A verage $\qquad$ <br> 1950: Average $\qquad$ | \$56. 28 62.65 | 39.3 41.6 | $\$ 1.432$ 1.506 | $\$ 57.04$ 63.91 | 38.7 41.1 | $\$ 1.474$ <br> 1.555 | $\$ 59.79$ 67.64 | 38.5 41.6 | $\begin{array}{r}\text { \$1. } \\ 1.653 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\$ 55.45$ 61.20 | 38.8 40.8 | $\$ 1.429$ 1.500 | $\$ 59.90$ 63.29 | 40.5 41.1 | $\$ 1.479$ 1.540 | $\$ 60.91$ 63.23 | 41.1 41.3 | $\$ 1.482$ 1.531 |
| 1950: June. | 62.93 61.88 | 41.9 | 1. 502 | 62.11 | 40.7 | 1. 526 | 65.27 | 41.1 | 1. 588 | 59. 90 | 40.5 | 1.479 | 62.65 | 41.0 | 1. 528 | 63.40 | 41.6 | 1. 524 |
| July <br> August | 61.88 61.91 | 41.2 41.3 | 1. 1.402 | 63.28 65.53 | 41.2 41.9 | 1. 536 | 67.43 | 41.7 | 1.617 | 60.20 | 40.9 | 1. 472 | 61.39 | 40.1 | 1. 531 | 60.39 | 39.6 | 1. 525 |
| Septembe | 64.23 | 41.9 | 1. 533 | 66. 83 | 42.3 | 1.580 | 71.18 | 41.8 42.8 | 1.615 | 64. 20 | 42.1 | 1. 525 | 64.22 | 41.7 | 1. 540 | 63.63 | 41.7 | 1. 526 |
| October. | 65. 82 | 42.6 | 1. 545 | 68. 09 | 42.4 | 1.606 | 72.41 | 43.1 | 1. 680 | 64.13 65.20 | 42.0 | 1.527 | 65.02 | 41.6 42.1 | 1. 563 | 63.44 64.85 | 41.3 42.0 | 1. 536 1.544 |
| November---- | 63. 97 | 41.3 | 1. 549 | 67.27 | 41.6 | 1.617 | 72.85 | 42.6 | 1. 710 | 63.67 | 41.0 | 1. 553 | 66.25 | 42.2 | 1. 570 | 65.80 | 42.1 | 1. 1.563 |
| December | 68.09 | 42.8 | 1. 591 | 68.88 | 42.1 | 1.636 | 74.13 | 43.1 | 1.720 | 65.49 | 41.5 | 1. 578 | 67.87 | 42.0 | 1.616 | 67.55 | 41.7 | 1. 620 |
| 1951: January | 65. 41 | 41.4 | 1. 580 | 68.85 | 41.4 | 1. 663 | 74.07 | 42.4 | 1.747 | 65. 28 | 40.7 | 1. 604 | 69. 17 | 42.2 | 1. 639 | 68.64 | 41.7 | 1. 646 |
| February <br> March | 66. 14 | 41.6 | 1. 590 | 69.60 | 41.5 | 1. 677 | 75. 40 | 42.6 | 1.770 | 66. 13 | 41.0 | 1. 613 | 69. 43 | 42.0 | 1. 653 | 68. 64 | 41.4 | 1. 658 |
| April | 66. 41 | 41.4 | 1. 604 | 70.89 | 41.9 | 1. 692 | 76. 75 | 42.9 | 1.789 | 67.52 | 41.5 | 1. 627 | 70.51 | 42.4 | 1. 663 | 69.47 | 41.7 | 1. 666 |
| April | 66.41 | 41.4 | 1. 604 | 70.22 | 41.5 | 1. 692 | 76.35 | 42.7 | 1.788 | 66.67 | 41.0 | 1. 626 | 71.86 | 42.7 | 1.683 | 71.02 | 42.0 | 1. 691 |
| June | 66.36 67.69 | 41.4 | 1.603 | 69.63 | 41.2 | 1. 690 | 75.67 | 42.3 | 1.789 | 66.06 | 40.7 | 1. 623 | 71.74 | 42.7 | 1.680 | 71.44 | 42.4 | 1. 685 |
|  | 67.69 | 41.3 | 1.639 | 69.84 | 41.3 | 1. 691 | 76.68 | 43.2 | 1.775 | 65.89 | 40.4 | 1. 631 | 71.78 | 42.7 | 1.681 | 71.95 | 42.7 | 1. 685 |
|  | Manufacturing-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Fabricated metal products (except ordnance, machinery, and transportation equipment)-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Machinery (except (electrical) |  |  |
|  | Boiler-shop products |  |  | Sheet-metal work |  |  | Metal stamping, coating, and engraving |  |  | Stamped and pressed metal products |  |  | Other fabricated metal products |  |  | Total: Machinery (except electrical) |  |  |
| 1949: A verage | \$59.78 | 40.2 | \$1.487 | \$57. 60 | 39.7 | \$1.451 | \$58. 54 | 39.5 | \$1. 482 | \$60. 30 | 39.7 | \$1. 519 | \$58. 38 | 39.5 | \$1.478 | \$60. 44 |  |  |
| 1950: Average.......-- | 62.16 | 40.6 | 1. 531 | 62.14 | 41.1 | 1.512 | 64. 22 | 41.3 | 1.555 | 66.15 | 41.5 | 1.594 | +64.76 | 31.5 | +1.553 | +67.21 | 39.5 41.8 | $\$ 1.530$ 1.608 |
| 1950: June_ | 61.22 | 40.6 | 1. 508 | 60.28 | 40.4 | 1.492 | 64.16 | 41.8 | 1. 535 | 66.31 | 42.1 | 1. 575 | 64.82 | 42.2 | 1. 536 | 65. 69 | 41.5 |  |
| July | 61.52 | 40.5 | 1. 519 | 61.04 | 40.8 | 1.496 | 63. 58 | 41.1 | 1. 547 | 65. 46 | 41.3 | 1. 585 | 63.94 | 41.6 | 1.537 | 6f. 35 | 41.6 | 1. 595 |
| August | 62.35 | 41.1 | 1. 517 | 63. 52 | 41.9 | 1.516 | 65. 69 | 42.0 | 1. 564 | 67. 86 | 42.2 | 1. 608 | 66.17 | 42.5 | 1. 557 | 67. 98 | 42.3 | 1. 607 |
| Septembe | 64.38 | 41.4 | 1. 555 | 63. 90 | 41.6 | 1. 536 | 66.34 | 41.7 | 1. 591 | 68. 46 | 41.9 | 1. 634 | 67. 32 | 42.5 | 1. 584 | 68. 94 | 42.4 | 1. 626 |
| October. <br> November | 65. 00 | 41.4 | 1. 570 | 65.77 | 42.6 | 1. 544 | 67.05 | 41.8 | 1. 604 | 68. 60 | 41.7 | 1. 645 | 68. 66 | 42.7 | 1. 608 | 71.00 | 42. 9 | 1. 655 |
| December | 68.15 | 42.2 42.2 | 1.562 | 64.96 | 41.8 42.1 | 1. 1.554 | 66.77 68.71 | 41.5 | 1. 609 | 68. 64 | 41.6 | 1. 650 | 67. 85 | 42.3 | 1. 604 | 72.03 | 43.0 | 1. 675 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.674 | 70.01 | 42.9 | 1. 632 | 74.20 | 43.7 | 1.698 |
| 1951: January | 68. 02 |  | 1. 635 | 66. 70 | 41.3 | 1. 615 | 67.93 |  |  |  |  | 1. 675 |  | 42.0 | 1. 637 | 74.47 | 43.4 | 1. 716 |
| Februar | 69. 14 | 41.8 | 1. 654 | 68.83 | 42.1 | 1. 635 | 67.86 | 41.2 | 1. 647 | 69. 76 | 41.3 | 1. 689 | 68.84 | 41.9 | 1. 643 | 75. 08 | 43.5 | 1. 726 |
| March | 70.18 | 42.3 | 1. 659 | 69. 01 | 41.9 | 1. 647 | 69. 56 | 41.6 | 1.672 | 71.47 | 41.6 | 1. 718 | 71.05 | 42.8 | 1. 660 | 76.43 | 43.8 | 1. 745 |
| April | 71.48 | 42.7 | 1. 674 | 71.30 | 42.8 | 1. 666 | ${ }^{68.14}$ | 40.8 | 1. 670 | 70.23 | 41.0 |  | 71.47 | 43.0 | 1. 662 | 76.78 | 43.9 | 1. 749 |
| May | 71.14 70.98 | 42.6 42.5 | 1.670 | 71. 19 | 42.5 | 1. 675 | 67.27 | 40.5 | 1. 661 | 68.73 | 40.5 | 1. 697 | 71. 10 | 42.5 | 1. 673 | 76.34 | 43.6 | 1. 751 |
| June | 70.98 | 42.5 | 1.670 | 72.24 | 43.0 | 1.680 | 68.68 | 41.0 | 1.675 | 70.62 | 41.2 | 1. 714 | 71.86 | 42.7 | 1. 683 | 76.69 | 43.5 | 1. 763 |
|  | Manufacturing-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Machinery (except electrical)-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Engines and turbines |  |  | Agricultural machinery and tractors |  |  | Tractors |  |  | Agricultural machinery (except tractors) |  |  | Construction and mining machinery |  |  | Metalworking machinery |  |  |
| 1949: A verage | $\$ 63.13$69.43 | 38.9 | \$1. 623 | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 61.11 \\ 64.60 \end{array}$ | 39.3 | \$1.555 | \$61.86 | 39.2 \$1.578 |  | \$59.93 39.3 \$1.525 |  |  | $\$ 58.74$ 39.8 $\$ 1.476$ |  |  | \$61. 11 | 39.5 |  |
| 1950: Average |  | 40.7 | 1.706 |  | 40.1 | 1.611 | 66.09 | 40.3 | $\$ 1.578$ <br> 1. 640 | 62.57 | 39.8 | 1.572 | 65.97 | 42.4 | 1.556 | 71.54 | 43.2 | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1.547 \\ 1.656 \end{array}$ |
| 1950: June | 68. 70 | 40.7 | 1. 688 | 63.84 | 40.2 | 1. 588 | 65.16 | 40.5 | 1. 609 | 62.16 | 39.9 | 1. 558 | 65. 20 | 42.7 | 1. 527 | 69.81 | 42.8 | 1. 631 |
| July ...-------- |  | 40.3 | 1. 710 | 63. 88 | 40.1 | 1. 593 | 65. 08 | 40.3 | 1. 615 | 62.25 | 39.8 | 1. 564 | 65. 06 | 42.3 | 1. 538 | 71.16 | 43.1 | 1. 651 |
| August September | 70.83 | 41.3 | 1. 715 | 65.29 | 40.3 | 1. 620 | 67.39 | 40.5 | 1. 664 | 62. 36 | 40.0 | 1. 558 | 66. 60 | 42.8 | 1. 556 | 73.42 | 44.2 | 1. 661 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 70.81 \\ & 69.48 \end{aligned}$ | 41.0 | 1. 727 | 64. 35 | 40.5 | 1. 589 | 65. 97 | 40.5 | 1. 629 | 62.37 | 40.5 | 1. 540 | 67.62 | 42.8 | 1. 580 | 73.24 | 43.7 | 1. 676 |
| October. <br> November |  | 40.0 | 1.737 | 64. 82 | 39.5 | 1. 641 | 65. 27 | 38.9 | 1. 678 | 64.00 | 40.2 | 1. 592 | 69. 96 | 43.7 | 1. 601 | 77.83 | 45.2 | 1. 722 |
| November------ | 74. 78.29 | 42.2 43.4 | 1.767 1.804 | 67.51 70.79 | 40.4 41.4 | 1. 1.710 | 69.50 73.68 | 41.1 | 1. 691 | 64. 69 | 39.4 | 1. 642 | 70. 31 | 43.4 | 1. 620 | 78. 23 | 45.3 | 1. 727 |
| 1951: January-.-.-.-- | $\begin{aligned} & 77.81 \\ & 77.81 \\ & 80.56 \\ & 80.44 \\ & 80.06 \\ & 79.99 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.750 | 6.78 | 40.5 | 1.649 | 71.70 | 43.8 | 1. 637 | 80.58 | 46.1 | 1.748 |
|  |  | 42.8 | 1.818 | 71.84 | 41.1 | 1. 748 | 74. 70 | 41.8 | 1. 787 | 68.06 | 40.2 | 1. 693 | 73. 06 | 43.8 | 1. 668 | 81.31 | 46.2 | 1. 760 |
| February |  | 42.8 | 1.818 | 71. 28 | 40.8 | 1. 747 | 73. 50 | 41.2 | 1.784 | 68.47 | 40.3 | 1. 699 | 74. 18 | 44.1 | 1. 682 | 82.99 | 46.7 | 1. 777 |
| March-..---------- |  | 43.5 | 1.852 | 73. 06 | 41.0 | 1.782 | 74.52 | 40.9 | 1.822 | 71.23 | 41.1 | 1. 733 | 74.13 | 44.1 | 1. 681 | 83.69 | 46.7 | 1. 792 |
| April.-------------- |  | 43. 6 | 1.845 | 73.69 | 41.1 | 1.793 | 75. 74 | 41.3 | 1. 834 | 71.25 | 40.9 | 1.742 | 75.62 | 44.8 | 1. 688 | 84.87 | 47.1 | 1.802 |
| May-.-------------- |  | 43.3 | 1.849 | 73.37 | 40.9 | 1.794 | 75.50 | 41.1 | 1.837 | 70.47 | 40.5 | 1. 740 | 75.46 | 44.6 | 1. 692 | 85.02 | 47.0 | 1.809 |
| June----------- |  | 43.1 | 1.856 | 74.33 | 41.0 | 1.813 | 75.36 | 40.8 | 1.847 | 72.84 | 41.2 | 1.768 | 74.48 | 44.2 | 1.685 | 85.35 | 47.0 | 1.816 |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table C-1: Hours and Gross Earnings of Production Workers or Nonsupervisory Employees ${ }^{1}$-Con.


See footnotes at end of table.

Table C-1: Hours and Gross Earnings of Production Workers or Nonsupervisory Employees ${ }^{1}$-Con.

| Year and month | Manufacturing-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Electrical machinery-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Transportation equipment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Radios, phonographs, television sets, and equipment |  |  | Telephone and telegraph equipment |  |  | Electricalappliances, lamps, and miscellaneous products |  |  | Total: Transportation equipment |  |  | Automobiles |  |  | Aircraft and parts |  |  |
|  | A.vg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. <br> hrly. <br> earn- <br> ings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings |
| 1949: A verage | \$50. 68 | 39.5 | \$1. 283 | \$61. 43 | 39.3 | \$1.563 | \$56. 52 | 39.5 | \$1. 431 | \$64.95 | 39.2 | \$1. 657 | \$65. 97 | 38.9 | \$1.696 | \$63. 62 | 40.6 | \$1.567 |
| 1950: A verage. | 53.85 | 40.7 | 1.323 | 65.84 | 40.1 | 1.642 | 61.58 | 41.0 | 1.502 | 71.18 | 41.0 | 1.736 | 73.25 | 41.2 | 1.778 | 68.39 | 41.6 | 1. 644 |
| 1950: June. | 51.93 | 40.1 | 1. 295 | 64.64 | 39.8 | 1. 624 | 57.62 | 39.6 | 1. 455 | 2. 53 | 42.0 | 1. 727 | 75. 76 | 42.8 | 1. 770 | 65.32 | 40.7 | 1. 605 |
| July... | 52. 37 | 40.5 | 1. 293 | 64.03 | 39.6 | 1. 617 | 60.30 | 40.5 | 1. 489 | 71. 71 | 41.5 | 1.728 | 74.35 | 42.1 | 1. 766 | 66.54 | 41.2 | 1. 615 |
| August | 52.89 | 40.5 | 1. 306 | 65. 44 | 40.0 | 1. 636 | 59.74 | 40.5 | 1. 475 | 72.87 | 42.0 | 1. 735 | 75. 21 | 42.3 | 1.778 | 68.94 | 42.4 | 1. 626 |
| September | 54.44 | 40.9 | 1. 331 | 67.11 | 40.7 | 1. 649 | 62.43 | 41.4 | 1. 508 | 72.39 | 40.9 | 1.770 | 73.81 | 40.6 | 1.818 | 71.18 | 42.7 | 1. 667 |
| October. | 57.03 | 41.6 | 1.371 | 67.61 | 40.8 | 1. 657 | 65.71 | 42.2 | 1. 557 | 73.02 | 41.0 | 1.781 | 75. 21 | 41.1 | 1.830 | 70.18 | 41.9 | 1. 675 |
| November | 56.32 | 40.9 | 1. 377 | 70.39 | 40.9 | 1. 721 | 66.18 | 42.1 | 1. 572 | 71.78 | 40.1 | 1.790 | 72.76 | 39.5 | 1.842 | 71.78 | 42.4 | 1. 693 |
| December. | 56.96 | 41.1 | 1. 386 | 71.93 | 41.6 | 1. 729 | 67.14 | 42.2 | 1. 591 | 75.18 | 41.4 | 1.816 | 76. 28 | 40.9 | 1. 865 | 75.08 | 43.3 | 1. 734 |
| 1951: January | 57. 32 | 40.8 | 1. 405 | 71.31 | 41.1 | 1. 735 | 64.80 | 41.3 | 1. 569 | 72.06 | 39.9 | 1.806 | 71.48 | 38.7 | 1. 847 | 76.78 | 43.7 | 1. 757 |
| February | 57.31 | 40.5 | 1. 415 | 72.97 | 41.6 | 1. 754 | 65.38 | 41.3 | 1. 583 | 74. 05 | 40.8 | 1.815 | 74.29 | 39.9 | 1. 862 | 75.86 | 43.3 | 1.752 |
| March | 57.13 | 40.4 | 1.414 | 75.79 | 42.6 | 1. 779 | 65.07 | 40.9 | 1. 591 | 75.73 | 41.2 | 1. 838 | 76.13 | 40.3 | 1. 889 | 77.35 | 43.9 | 1.762 |
| April | 56.74 | 40.1 | 1. 415 | 77.33 | 43.3 | 1. 786 | 65.52 | 41.0 | 1. 598 | 74.81 | 40.9 | 1. 829 | 74.52 | 39.7 | 1. 877 | 77.13 | 44.0 | 1.753 |
| May | 57. 24 | 40.2 | 1.424 | 76.80 | 43.1 | 1. 782 | 65. 61 | 40.8 | 1. 608 | 74.81 | 40.9 | 1. 829 | 74.52 | 39.7 | 1.877 | 77.26 | 43.9 | 1.760 |
| June | 58. 22 | 40.4 | 1. 441 | 77.43 | 43.4 | 1.784 | 66.74 | 41.2 | 1. 620 | 75. 25 | 40.5 | 1.858 | 75.03 | 39.1 | 1.919 | 77.35 | 43.7 | 1.770 |
|  | Manufacturing-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Transportation equipment-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Aircraft |  |  | Aircraft engines and parts |  |  | Aircraft propellers and parts |  |  | Other aircraft parts and equipment |  |  | Ship and boat building and repairing |  |  | Shipbuilding and repairing |  |  |
| 1949: A verage | \$62, 69 | 40.5 | \$1.548 | \$65. 24 | 40.7 | \$1. 603 | \$66. 83 | 41.0 | \$1. 630 | \$65. 08 | 40.4 | \$1. 611 | \$61.67 | 38.0 | \$1.623 | \$61.88 | 37.8 | \$1. 637 |
| 1950: A verage | 67.15 | 41.4 | 1.622 | 71.40 | 42.1 | 1.696 | 73.90 | 42.4 | 1. 743 | 70.81 | 41.7 | 1.698 | 63. 28 | 38.4 | 1.648 | 63.83 | 38.2 | 1. 671 |
| 1950: June | 64.48 | 40.5 | 1.592 | 67.85 | 41.5 | 1. 635 | 67.25 | 40.2 | 1. 673 | 67.98 | 40.9 | 1.662 | 62.39 | 38.3 | 1. 629 | 62.91 | 37.9 | 1.660 |
| July | 64.99 | 40.8 | 1.593 | 70.92 | 42.7 | 1. 661 | 71.87 | 42.2 | 1. 703 | 69. 04 | 41.0 | 1. 684 | 64.20 | 38.1 | 1. 685 | 65. 04 | 37.9 | 1.716 |
| August | 68. 29 | 42.6 | 1. 603 | 70.94 | 42.1 | 1. 685 | 78. 68 | 44.4 | 1. 772 | 68. 22 | 40.8 | 1. 672 | 64.84 | 39.2 | 1. 654 | 65.62 | 39.2 | 1. 674 |
| Septembe | 70. 50 | 42.7 | 1. 651 | 74.59 | 43.8 | 1. 703 | 77.62 | 43.9 | 1. 768 | 67. 53 | 39.7 | 1. 701 | 62.89 | 38.3 | 1. 642 | 63. 36 | 38.1 | 1. 663 |
| October. <br> Novembe | 69.17 | 42.1 | 1. 643 | 69.48 | 39.7 | 1.750 | 81.17 | 44.6 | 1. 828 | 77.08 | 43. 6 | 1.768 | 62.89 | 38.3 | 1. 642 | 63. 23 | 38.0 | 1. 664 |
| November December. | 68.72 72.08 | 41.5 42.6 | 1. 1.656 | 80.82 83.01 | 44.0 | 1. 796 | 81.67 88.54 | 43.3 45.9 | 1.863 1.929 | 75.91 79.57 | 43.6 44.6 | 1.741 1.784 | 64.47 66.67 | 38.7 39.9 | 1. 1.666 | 65.08 67.34 | 38.6 39.8 | 1.686 1.692 |
| 51: January |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Februar | 73.49 | 42.7 | 1. 721 | 83.49 | 45.3 | 1.843 | 90.01 | 46.3 | 1. 944 | 78.10 | 44.1 | 1. 771 | 64.80 68.80 | 40.4 | 1. 703 | 64.73 69.41 | 38.6 40.4 | 1. 718 |
| March. | 75.04 | 43.5 | 1. 725 | 86.19 | 45.7 | 1.886 | 90. 42 | 46.3 | 1. 953 | 79.34 | 44.2 | 1. 795 | 68.78 | 40.2 | 1. 711 | 69.33 | 40.1 | 1. 729 |
| April. | 74.43 | 43.5 | 1.711 | 86.80 | 46.0 | 1.887 | 90.38 | 46.9 | 1. 927 | 79.25 | 44.1 | 1. 797 | 68.31 | 39.9 | 1.712 | 68.92 | 39.7 | 1. 736 |
| May | 74.91 | 43.4 | 1. 726 | 87.00 | 46.4 | 1.875 | 87.76 | 45.9 | 1.912 | 79.03 | 44.2 | 1. 788 | 68.31 | 39.9 | 1.712 | 69.05 | 39.8 | 1.735 |
| June | 75.00 | 43.3 | 1. 732 | 88.19 | 46.1 | 1.913 | 92.98 | 48.3 | 1.925 | 77.08 | 43.6 | 1.768 | 70.09 | 40.1 | 1.748 | 71.10 | 40.1 | 1.773 |
|  | Manufacturing-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Transportation equipment-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Instruments and related products |  |  |
|  | Boat building and repairing |  |  | Railroad equipment |  |  | Locomotives and parts |  |  | Railroad and streetcars |  |  | Other transportation equipment |  |  | Total: Instruments and related products |  |  |
| 1949: A verage | \$54. 84 | 40.5 | \$1.354 | \$63. 54 | 39.2 | \$1. 621 | \$65. 47 | 39.3 | \$1. 666 | \$61. 70 | 38.9 | \$1. 586 | \$57. 60 | 39.7 | \$1. 451 | \$55. 28 | 39.6 | \$1.396 |
| 1950: A verage. | 55.99 | 40.6 | 1.379 | 66.33 | 39.6 | 1. 675 | 70.00 | 40.3 | 1. 737 | 62.47 | 38.9 | 1. 606 | 64.44 | 41.9 | 1. 538 | 60.81 | 41.2 | 1.476 |
| 1950: June_ | 56. 62 | 42. 6 | 1.348 | 64.56 | 39.2 | 1. 647 | 67.86 | 39.5 | 1. 718 | 61. 58 | 39.0 | 1. 579 | 61.06 | 40.9 | 1.493 | 58.93 | 40.7 | 1.448 |
| July | 56. 24 | 40.9 | 1. 375 | 64.40 | 39.1 | 1. 647 | 68.64 | 40.4 | 1. 699 | 60.14 | 37.8 | 1. 591 | 60.09 | 40.3 | 1.491 | 58. 98 | 40.9 | 1. 442 |
| August | 55. 70 | 39.9 | 1. 396 | 65. 29 | 39.5 | 1. 653 | 68.68 | 40.0 | 1. 717 | 61.85 | 39.0 | 1. 586 | 60.30 | 39.8 | 1.515 | 61.13 | 41.7 | 1. 466 |
| September | 55. 50 | 40.1 | 1. 384 | 68.72 | 40.4 | 1. 701 | 73.05 | 40.9 | 1. 786 | 64.12 | 39.8 | 1. 611 | 73.88 | 46.0 | 1. 606 | 63. 58 | 42.5 | 1. 496 |
| October. | 57.12 | 41.3 | 1.383 | 69.04 | 40.0 | 1. 726 | 74.74 | 41.0 | 1. 823 | 62.86 | 38.9 | 1. 616 | 69.86 | 43.5 | 1. 606 | 64. 77 | 42.5 | 1. 524 |
| November. | 56. 54 | 40.1 | 1. 410 | 69.51 | 40.2 | 1. 729 | 73.53 | 40.4 | 1. 820 | 65. 36 | 40.1 | 1. 630 | 70.73 | 44.4 | 1. 593 | 65. 47 | 42.4 | 1. 544 |
| December... | 58.06 | 40.8 | 1. 423 | 72.52 | 40.9 | 1. 773 | 76.39 | 40.7 | 1. 877 | 67.98 | 41.0 | 1. 658 | 71.96 | 44.5 | 1.617 | 66. 75 | 42.6 | 1. 567 |
| 1951: January.....-- | 58. 90 | 40.4 | 1.458 | 72.41 | 41.0 | 1. 766 | 75. 96 | 40.6 | 1. 871 | 67.90 | 41.1 | 1. 652 | 66. 14 | 41.7 | 1. 586 | 65.79 | 41.8 | 1. 574 |
| February | 57. 72 | 39.0 | 1.480 | 71.16 | 40.8 | 1. 744 | 75.35 | 41.7 | 1. 807 | 66. 97 | 39.7 | 1. 687 | $67.48$ | 42.2 | 1. 599 | 67.06 | 42.2 | 1. 589 |
| March........- | 59. 49 | 39.9 | 1. 491 | 75.13 | 41.1 | 1. 828 | 82.40 | 42.3 | 1. 948 | 68. 06 | 40.2 | 1. 693 | 69.08 | 43.2 | 1. 599 | 67.64 | 42.3 | 1.599 |
| April | 59. 80 | 40.6 | 1. 473 | 77.36 | 41.5 | 1. 864 | 83.27 | 42.1 | 1. 978 | 70.74 | 40.7 | 1. 738 | 64.70 | 41.0 | 1. 578 | 68.55 | 42.5 | 1.613 |
| May-.------- | 59. 79 | 40.1 | 1.491 | 76.55 | 41.2 | 1. 858 | 80.40 | 41.4 | 1. 942 | 72.78 | 41.0 | 1.775 | 66.21 | 41.1 | 1. 611 | 68.41 | 42.2 | 1. 621 |
| June------.--- | 58.66 | 39.5 | 1. 485 | 75.42 | 40.2 | 1.876 | 79.75 | 40.3 | 1. 979 | 70.80 | 40.0 | 1.770 | 68.65 | 42.3 | 1. 623 | 68.25 | 42.0 | 1.625 |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table C-1: Hours and Gross Earnings of Production Workers or Nonsupervisory Employees ${ }^{1}$-Con.


See footnotes at end of table.

Table C-1: Hours and Gross Earnings of Production Workers or Nonsupervisory Employees ${ }^{1}$-Con.

| Year and month | Transportation and public utilities-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Communication |  |  |  |  |  | Other public utilities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Line construction, installation, and maintenance employees ${ }^{8}$ |  |  | Telegraph ${ }^{\circ}$ |  |  | Gas and electric utilities |  |  | Electric light and power utilities |  |  | Gas utilities |  |  |
|  | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | Avg. hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | A Fg . hrly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | A Fg . hrly. earnings |
| 1949: A verage <br> 1950: A verage | \$73.30 | 42.1 | \$1.741 | $\$ 62.85$ 64.19 | 44.7 44.7 | \$1. 406 1.436 | $\$ 63.99$ 66.60 | 41.5 41.6 | $\$ 1.542$ <br> 1.601 | $\$ 64.91$ <br> 67.81 | 41.5 41.6 | $\$ 1.564$ 1.630 | \$63.37 | 41.5 | \$1. 527 |
| 1950: June. | 72.28 72.96 | 42.0 | 1.721 | 64.21 64.13 | 44.9 45.0 | 1. 430 | 65.99 66.52 | 41.5 41.6 | 1. 590 | 65.74 68.13 | 41.4 41.8 | 1. 5888 | 61.62 62.07 | 41.0 41.0 | 1. 503 |
| August | 72.64 | 41.7 | 1. 742 | 63.99 | 45.0 | 1. 422 | 65. 65 | 41.5 | 1. 582 | 66.39 | 41.6 | 1. 603 | 62.61 | 41.3 | 1. 516 |
| September | 76.02 | 42.9 | 1.772 | 64. 49 | 44.6 | 1.446 | 67.35 | 41.6 | 1. 619 | 68.60 | 41.6 | 1. 649 | 63.99 | 41.5 | 1. 542 |
| October. | 75.91 | 42.5 | 1. 786 | 64. 74 | 44.8 | 1. 445 | 67.93 | 41.8 | 1. 625 | 69.18 | 41.8 | 1.655 | 64.86 | 41.9 | 1. 548 |
| November | 74.37 | 41.5 | 1.792 | 64.25 | 44.4 | 1. 447 | 68.68 | 41.8 | 1. 643 | 69.97 | 41.6 | 1. 682 | 66. 20 | 42.3 | 1. 565 |
| December | 77.72 | 42.8 | 1. 816 | 65.05 | 44.8 | 1. 452 | 70.14 | 42.0 | 1. 670 | 71.31 | 41.7 | 1.710 | 66.73 | 42.1 | 1. 585 |
| 1951: Janua | 77.13 79.74 | 42.4 | 1.819 1.850 | 64.57 64.86 | 44.5 44.7 | 1. 451 1.451 | 70.27 71.36 | 41.8 42.0 | 1. 681 | 71.18 72.50 | 41.7 42.1 | 1. 707 1. 722 | 68.15 70.04 | 42.2 42.5 | 1.615 1.648 |
|  | 78.47 | 42.6 | 1.842 | 64.63 | 44.6 | 1. 1.449 | 70.14 | 41.5 | 1. 690 | 71.72 | 41.7 | 1. 720 | 67.19 | 41.5 | 1. 619 |
|  | 77.69 | 42.2 | 1. 841 | 64. 40 | 44.6 | 1. 444 | 70.38 | 41.5 | 1. 696 | 71.51 | 41.6 | 1.719 | 66.71 | 41.1 | 1. 623 |
|  | 79.37 | 42.9 | 1.850 | 65.92 | 45.4 | 1. 452 | 70.97 | 41.6 | 1. 706 | 72.48 | 41.8 | 1. 734 | 67.36 | 41.4 | 1. 627 |
|  | 81.63 | 43.1 | 1.894 | 65.44 | 45.1 | 1.451 | 71.43 | 41.7 | 1. 713 | 73.03 | 41.9 | 1. 743 | 67.48 | 41.5 | 1.626 |
|  | Transportation and public utilitiesCon. |  |  | Trade |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Other public utili-ties-Con. |  |  | Wholesale trade |  |  | Retail trade |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Electric light and gas utilities combined |  |  |  |  |  | Retail trade (except eating and drinking places) |  |  | General merchandise stores |  |  | Department stores and general mailorder houses |  |  |
| 1949: Average |  |  |  | $\$ 57.55$60.36 | $\begin{aligned} & 40.7 \\ & 40.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1.414 \\ 1.483 \end{array}$ | $\$ 45.93$47.63 | $\begin{aligned} & 40.4 \\ & 40.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1.137 \\ 1.176 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 34.87 \\ 35.95 \end{array}$ | 36.736.8 | $\$ 0.950$.977 | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 39.31 \\ 41.56 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 37.8 \\ & 38.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\$ 1.040$1.088 |
|  | \$67.02 | 41.6 | \$1.611 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1950: June July.Augus Septem October Noven | 66.93 67.26 <br> 66.81 <br> 68.05 <br> 68.47 <br> 68.68 <br> 71.02 | 41.6 | 1. 609 | 59.93 | 40.6 | 1. 476 | 48. 06 | 40.9 | 1. 175 | 36. 60 | 37.2 | . 984 | 41. 86 | 38.3 | 1. 093 |
|  |  | 41.7 | 1. 613 | 61.10 | 40.9 | 1. 494 | 48. 99 | 41.2 | 1. 189 | 37.32 | 37.7 | . 990 | 42. 58 | 38.6 | 1. 103 |
|  |  | 41.6 | 1. 606 | 60.90 | 40. 9 | 1. 489 | 48. 99 | 41.1 | 1. 192 | 37.06 | 37.4 | . 991 | 42. 33 | 38.2 | 1. 108 |
|  |  | 41.7 | 1. 632 | 60.93 | 40.7 | 1. 497 | 48. 48 | 40.4 | 1. 200 | 36.11 36.01 | 36.4 <br> 36.3 | . 992 | 42.03 42.03 | 37.8 37.9 | 1.112 |
|  |  | 41.8 41.8 | 1. 638 | 61. 68 61.98 | 40.9 40.8 | 1.508 | 48.32 47.92 | 40.3 40.0 | 1.199 1.198 | 36.01 35.24 | 36.3 36.0 | .992 .979 | 42.03 41.24 | 37.9 <br> 37.8 | 1.109 1.091 |
|  |  | 41.8 | 1. 1.643 | 61.98 63.49 | 40.8 41.2 | 1. 1.519 | 47.92 48.31 | 40.0 40.7 | 1.198 1.187 | 35.24 37.02 | 36.0 38.2 | .979 .969 | 41.24 45.05 | 37.8 40.7 | 1. 1.1091 |
| 1951: Januar | 70.64 <br> 70.80 <br> 69.92 <br> 71.43 <br> 71.21 <br> 71.88 | 41.8 <br> 41.6 <br> 41.2 <br> 41.7 <br> 41.4 <br> 41.5 | 1. 690 | 63.44 <br> 63. 62 <br> 63. 62 <br> 63.95 <br> 64. 18 <br> 64.63 | 40.8 40.6 40.6 40.6 40.8 40.8 | 1. 555 <br> 1. 567 <br> 1. 567 <br> 1. 575 <br> 1. 573 <br> 1. 584 | 49.85 <br> 49. 56 <br> 48. 95 <br> 49.84 <br> 49.87 <br> 50.78 | 40.3 | 1. 237 | 38.02 | 36.7 | 1. 036 | 44. 58 | 38.2 | 1. 167 |
|  |  |  | 1. 702 |  |  |  |  | 40.1 | 1. 236 | 37.43 | 36.3 | 1. 031 | 43.70 | 37.8 | 1. 156 |
|  |  |  | 1. 697 |  |  |  |  | 39.7 | 1. 233 | 36. 44 | 35.8 | 1. 018 | 43. 05 | 37.6 | 1145 |
|  |  |  | 1.713 |  |  |  |  | 39. 9 | 1. 249 | 36. 98 | 35. 9 | 1. 030 | 43. 39 | 37.5 | 1. 157 |
|  |  |  | 1. 720 |  |  |  |  |  | 1. 253 | 36. 71 | 35. 5 | 1. 034 | 43. 42 | 37.3 | 1. 164 |
|  |  |  | 1.732 |  |  |  |  | 40.4 | 1. 257 | 38.07 | 36.5 | 1. 043 | 44.65 | 38.0 | 1. 175 |
|  | Trade-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Retail trade-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other retail trade |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Food and liquor stores |  |  | Automotive and accessories dealers |  |  | Apparel and accessories stores |  |  | Furniture and appliance stores |  |  | Lumber and hard-ware-supply stores |  |  |
| 1949: Average | \$49.93 | 40.2 | \$1. 242 | \$58 92 | 45.6 | \$1. 292 | \$40. 66 | 36.7 | \$1.108 | \$53. 30 | 43.4 | \$1.228 | \$51.84 | 43.6 | \$1. 189 |
| 1950: Average | 51.79 | 40.4 | 1. 282 | 61.65 | 45.7 | 1.349 | 40.70 | 36.5 | 1.115 | 56.12 | 43.5 | 1. 290 | 54.62 | 43.8 | 1. 247 |
| 1950: June...-- | 51.82 | 40.8 | 1. 270 | 62. 29 | 45.9 | 1. 357 | 40.92 | 36.8 | 1. 112 | 55.67 | 43.7 | 1. 274 | 55. 06 | 44.4 | 1. 240 |
|  | 53.37 | 41.5 | 1. 286 | 63.71 | 45.7 | 1. 394 | 40.77 | 36.9 | 1. 105 | 56.16 | 43.5 | 1. 291 | 55. 55 | 44.3 | 1. 254 |
|  | 53.04 | 41.5 | 1. 278 | 63.66 | 45.6 | 1. 396 | 40.70 | 37.0 | 1. 100 | 57.03 | 43.5 | 1. 311 | 55. 91 | 44.2 | 1. 265 |
|  | 52.12 | 40.4 | 1. 290 | 63.52 | 45.6 | 1. 393 | 40.98 | 36.2 | 1. 132 | 58. 07 | 43.4 | 1. 338 | 56. 36 | 44.1 | 1. 278 |
|  | 51.80 | 40.0 | 1. 295 | 63.94 | 45.9 | 1. 393 | 40.95 | 36.3 | 1.128 | 57.68 | 43.5 | 1. 326 | 56. 93 | 44. 1 | 1. 291 |
|  | 52.40 | 40.0 | 1. 310 | 63.07 | 45.8 | 1. 377 | 40.65 | 36. 1 | 1. 126 | 57.90 | 43.5 | 1. 331 | ${ }_{56.98}^{55.97}$ | 43.6 | 1. 284 1.286 |
|  | 52.91 | 40.3 | 1.313 | 63.53 | 46.0 | 1. 381 | 42.17 | 36.7 | 1.149 | 60.18 | 43.8 | 1. 374 | 56.97 | 44.3 | 1. 286 |
| 1951: January | 53.15 | 39.9 | 1. 332 | 64.48 | 45.7 | 1.411 | 42.81 | 36.5 | 1.173 | 58.99 | 43.5 | 1. 356 | 56. 68 | 43.5 | 1. 303 |
|  | 52. 69 | 39.5 | 1. 334 | 65.16 | 45.5 | 1. 432 | 41.40 | 36.0 | 1.150 | 58.31 | 43.1 | 1. 353 | 56. 76 | 43. 2 | 1. 314 |
|  | 52.62 | 39.3 | 1.339 | 65. 29 | 45.4 | 1. 438 | 40. 75 | 35.4 | 1. 151 | 58. 49 | 43. 2 | 1. 354 | 56. 72 | 43. 1 | 1. 316 |
|  | 53, 18 | 39.6 | 1.343 | 66. 34 | 45.5 | 1. 458 | 41. 09 | 35.7 | 1. 151 | 59. 18 | 43.1 | 1. 373 | 58. 12 | 43.6 | 1. 333 |
|  | 53.63 | 39.7 | 1.351 | 66.75 | 45.5 | 1. 467 | 41. 36 | 35.5 | 1. 165 | 59. 43 | 43.1 | 1. 379 | 58. 65 | 43.8 | 1.339 1.347 |
|  | 54.84 | 40.5 | 1.354 | 66.85 | 45.6 | 1. 466 | 42.29 | 36.3 | 1. 165 | 59. 40 | 43.2 | 1.375 | 59.13 | 43.9 | 1.347 |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table C-1: Hours and Gross Earnings of Production Workers or Nonsupervisory Employees ${ }^{1}$-Con.

| Year and month | Finance ${ }^{10}$ |  |  | Service |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Banks and trust companies | Security dealers and exchanges | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Insur- } \\ & \text { ance } \\ & \text { carriers } \end{aligned}$ | Hotels, year-round ${ }^{11}$ |  |  | Laundries |  |  | Cleaning and dyeing plants |  |  | Motion picture production and distribution ${ }^{10}$ |
|  | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. <br> wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | $\begin{gathered} \text { Avg. } \\ \text { hrly. } \\ \text { earnings } \end{gathered}$ | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Avg. } \\ & \text { hrly. } \\ & \text { earnings } \end{aligned}$ | Avg. wkly. earnings | Avg. wkly. hours | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Avg. } \\ & \text { hrly. } \\ & \text { earnings } \end{aligned}$ | Avg. wkly. earnings |
| 1949: Average | $\$ 43.64$ 46.44 | $\$ 68.32$ 81.48 | $\$ 56.47$ 58.49 | $\$ 32.84$ 33.85 | 44.2 43.9 | $\$ 0.743$ .771 | $\$ 34.98$ 35.47 | 41.5 41.2 | $\$ 0.843$ .861 | $\$ 40.71$ 41.69 | 41.2 41.2 | $\$ 0.988$ 1.012 | \$92.17 |
| 1950: Average | 46.44 | 81.48 | 58.49 | 33.85 | 43.9 | . 771 | 35.47 | 41.2 | . 861 | 41.69 | 41.2 | 1.012 | 92.79 |
| 1950: June | 45. 42 | 81.31 | 58.06 | 33.33 | 43.8 | . 761 | 36.33 | 42.0 | . 865 | 44.03 | 43.0 | 1.024 | 94.73 |
| July. | 46. 34 | 79.88 | 59. 09 | 33.51 | 43.8 | . 765 | 35.61 | 41.5 | . 858 | 42.02 | 41.4 | 1.015 | 91.64 |
| August | 46. 36 | 79. 09 | 58.81 | 33. 92 | 44.0 | . 771 | 34.83 | 40.6 | . 858 | 40.16 | 40.0 | 1. 004 | 90.70 |
| September | 46. 75 | 79.29 | 58. 20 | 34. 30 | 43.8 | . 783 | 35. 93 | 41.3 | . 870 | 42. 56 | 41.6 | 1.023 | 93.44 |
| October-- | 47.78 | 84.94 | 58.91 | 34.67 | 44.0 | . 788 | 35.79 | 41.0 | . 873 | 42.15 | 41.0 | 1.028 | 95.08 |
| November | 48. 18 | 85.62 | 59.27 | 34.74 | 43.7 | . 795 | 35. 86 | 40.8 | . 879 | 42. 23 | 41.2 | 1.025 | 95. 68 |
| December | 48.66 | 87.24 | 60.60 | 35.16 | 43.9 | . 801 | 36.38 | 41.2 | . 883 | 42. 29 | 41.1 | 1.029 | 98.39 |
| 1951: January | 49. 28 | 89.87 | 61.71 | 34.89 | 43.4 | . 804 | 36.70 | 41.0 | . 895 | 43.35 | 41.4 | 1.047 | 97.01 |
| February | 49. 55 | 90. 95 | 61. 26 | 35. 04 | 43. 2 | .811 | 36. 25 | 40.5 | . 895 | 41.78 | 40.1 | 1.042 | 94.46 |
| March | 49. 70 | 85. 96 | 60.96 | 34. 68 | 43.3 | . 801 | 36. 85 | 40.9 | . 901 | 44.14 | 42. 0 | 1.051 | 98.81 |
| April | 50.08 | 84.12 | 60.83 | 34.90 | 43.3 | . 806 | 37.32 | 41.1 | . 908 | 44.90 | 42.4 | 1.059 | 99.25 |
|  | 49.97 | 81.85 80.98 | 60.25 61.06 | 34.98 34.86 | 43.4 43.3 | . 8005 | 37.83 38.27 | 41.6 | . 920 | 45.54 | 42.6 | 1. 069 | 98.04 98.67 |

${ }^{1}$ These figures are based on reports from cooperating establishments covering both full- and part-time employees who worked during, or received pay for, the pay period ending nearest the 15 th of the month. For the mining, manufacturing, laundries, and cleaning and dyeing plants industries, data relate to production and related workers only. For the remaining industries, unless otherwise noted, data relate to nonsupervisory employees and working supervisors. All series are available upon request to the Bureau of Labor Statistics. Such requests should specify which industry series are desired. Data for the three current months are subject to revision without notation; revised figures for earlier months will be identified by asterisks the first month they are published.
${ }_{2}$ Includes: ordnance and accessories; lumber and wood products (except furniture); furniture and fixtures: stone, clay, and glass products; primary metal industries; fabricated metal products (except ordnance, machinery, and industries; fabricated metal products (except orchancertation equipment); machinery (exceptrical machintransportation equipment); machinery (except electrical); electrical machinery; transportation equipment; in
${ }^{2}$ Includes: food and kindred products; tobacco manufactures; textile-mill products; apparel and other finished textile products; paper and allied products; printing, publishing, and allied industries; chemicals and allied products; products of petroleum and coal; rubber products; leather and leather products.

- Data relate to hourly rated employees reported by individual railroads (exclusive of switching and terminal companies) to the Interstate Commerce Commission. Annual averages include any retroactive payments made, which are excluded from monthly averages.
${ }^{5}$ Data include privately and municipally operated local railways and bus lines.
${ }_{6}$ Through May 1949 the averages relate mainly to the hours and earnings of employees subject to the Fair Labor Standards Act. Beginning with June 1949 the averages relate to the hours and earnings of nonsupervisory employees. Data for June comparable with the earlier series are $\$ 51.47,38.5$ hours, and \$1.337.
${ }^{7}$ Data relate to employees in such occupations in the telephone industry as switchboard operators, service assistants, operating room instructors, and pay-station attendants. During 1950 such employees made up 46 percent of the total number of nonsupervisory employees in telephone establishments reporting hours and earnings data.
${ }^{8}$ Data relate to employees in such occupations in the telephone industry as central office craftsmen; installation and exchange repair craftsmen; line, cable, and conduit craftsmen; and laborers. During 1950 such employees made up 25 percent of the total number of nonsupervisory employees in telephone establishments reporting hours and earnings data.
phone establate mainly to land-line employees, excluding employees compensated on a commission basis, general and divisional headquarters personnel, trainees in school, and messengers.
sonnel, trainees in school, and messengers.
10 Data on average weekly hours and average hourly earnings are not avail${ }^{10} \mathrm{Da}$
${ }_{11}$ ableney payments only; additional value of board, room, uniforms, and tips, not included.

Table C-2: Gross Average Weekly Earnings of Production Workers in Selected Industries, in Current and 1939 Dollars ${ }^{1}$


[^28][^29]Table C-3: Gross and Net Spendable Average Weekly Earnings of Production Workers in Manufacturing Industries, in Current and 1939 Dollars ${ }^{1}$

| Period | Gross average weekly earnings |  | Net spendable average weekly earnings |  |  |  | Period | Gross average weekly earnings |  | Net spendable average weekly earnings |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Worker with no dependents |  | Worker with 3 dependents |  |  |  |  | Worker with no dependents |  | Worker with 3 dependents |  |
|  | Amount | $\begin{gathered} \text { Index } \\ (1939= \\ 100) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 1939 \\ \text { dollars } \end{gathered}$ | Cur- <br> rent dollars | $\begin{gathered} 1939 \\ \text { dollars } \end{gathered}$ |  | Amount | $\begin{gathered} \text { Index } \\ (1939= \\ 100) \end{gathered}$ | Cur- <br> rent dollars | $\begin{gathered} 1939 \\ \text { dollars } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cur- } \\ & \text { rent } \\ & \text { dollars } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1939 \\ \text { dollars } \end{gathered}$ |
| 1941: January | \$26.64 | 111.7 | \$25.41 | \$25.06 | \$26.37 | \$26.00 | 1950: June | \$58.85 | 246.6 | \$51.03 | \$29.80 | \$ 56.86 | \$33. 21 |
| 1945: January | 47.50 | 199.1 | 39.40 | 30.76 | 45.17 | 35.27 | July | 59, 21 | 248.2 | 51.32 | 29.66 | 57.16 | 33.03 |
| July.-.- | 45.45 | 190.5 | 37.80 | 28.99 | 43.57 | 33.42 | August | 60.32 | 252.8 | 52. 24 | 29.95 | 58. 11 | 33.31 |
| 1946: June | 43.31 | 181.5 | 37.30 | 27.77 | 42.78 | 31.85 | Septembe | 60.64 | 254.1 | 52.50 | 29.89 | 58.38 | 33. 24 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | October | 61.99 | 259.8 | 52.16 | 29.53 | 5920 | 33.51 |
| 1939: Average | 23. 86 | 100.0 | 23.58 | 23. 58 | 23.62 | 23. 62 | Novembe | 62.23 | 260.8 | 52.35 | 29.50 | 59. 40 | 33. 47 |
| 1940: Average | 25. 20 | 105.6 | 24.69 | 24. 49 | 24.95 | 24.75 | December- | 63.88 | 267.7 | 53.67 | 29.84 | 60.75 | 33.77 |
| 1941: Average. | 29. 58 | 124.0 | 28.05 | 26. 51 | 29.28 | 27.67 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1942: Average. | 36.65 | 153.6 | 31.77 | 27.08 | 36. 28 | 30.93 | 1951: January | 63.76 | 267.2 | 53.49 | 29.29 | 60.56 | 33.17 |
| 1943: Average | 43.14 | 180.8 | 36. 01 | 28.94 | 41.39 | 33. 26 | February | 63.84 | 267.6 | 53.55 | 28. 96 | 60.62 | 32.78 |
| 1944: Average | 46. 08 | 193.1 | 38.29 | 30.28 | 44. 06 | 34.84 | March | 64.57 | 270.6 | 54.13 | 29.16 | 61.21 | 32.98 |
| 1945: Average | 44.39 | 186.0 | 36. 97 | 28.58 | 42.74 | 33. 04 | April | 64.70 | 271.2 | 54.23 | 29.20 | 61.31 | 33.01 |
| 1946: Average | 43.82 | 183.7 | 37.72 | 26.88 | 43.20 | 30.78 | May ${ }^{2}$ | 64.55 | 270.5 | 54.11 | 29.01 | 61.19 | 32.81 |
| 1947: Average | 49.97 | 209.4 | 42.76 | 26.63 | 48.24 | 30.04 | June ${ }^{2}$ | 65.32 | 273.8 | 54.72 | 29.37 | 61.81 | 33.17 |
| 1948: Average | 54.14 | 226.9 | 47.43 | 27. 43 | 53.17 | 30.75 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1949: Average | 54.92 | 230. 2 | 48. 09 | 28. 09 | 53.83 | 31.44 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1950: Average | 59.33 | 248.7 | 51.09 | 29.54 | 57.21 | 33.08 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ Net spendable average weekly earnings are obtained by deducting from gross average weekly earnings, social security and income taxes for which the specified type of worker is liable. The amount of income tax liability as well as on the level of his gross income. Net spendable earnings have therefore, been computed for 2 types of income-receivers: (1) A worker with no dependents: (2) A worker with 3 dependents.
The computation of net spendable earnings for both factory worker with gross average weekly earnings for all production workers in manufacturing
industries without direct regard to marital status and family composition. industries without direct regard to marital status and family composition. in disposable earnings for 2 types of income-receivers. That series does not, therefore, reflect actual differences in levels of earnings for workers of varying age, occupation, skill, family composition, etc. Comparable data from January 1939 are available upon request to the Bureau of Labor Statistics.
${ }^{2}$ Preliminary.

Table C-4: Average Hourly Earnings, Gross and Exclusive of Overtime, of Production Workers in Manufacturing Industries ${ }^{1}$

${ }^{1}$ Overtime is defined as work in excess of 40 hours per week and paid for at time and one-half. The computation of average hourly earnings exclusive of overtime makes no allowance for special rates of pay for work done on holidays. Comparable data from January 1941 are available upon request to the Bureau of Labor Statistics.

Table C-5: Hours and Gross Earnings of Production Workers in Manufacturing Industries for Selected States and Areas ${ }^{1}$


See footnotes at end of table.

Table C-5: Hours and Gross Earnings of Production Workers in Manufacturing Industries for Selected States and Areas ${ }^{1}$ - Continued


See footnotes at end of table.

Table C-5: Hours and Gross Earnings of Production Workers in Manufacturing Industries for Selected States and Areas ${ }^{1}$-Continued


See footnotes at end of table.

Table C-5: Hours and Gross Earnings of Production Workers in Manufacturing Industries for Selected States and Areas

| Year and month | North Carolina- <br> ContinuedCharlotte |  |  | North DakotaState |  |  | Oklahoma |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Oregon } \\ \hline \text { State } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | State | Oklahoma City |  |  | Tulsa |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Avg. } \\ \begin{array}{c} \text { Avrly } \\ \text { earry- } \\ \text { ingss } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \begin{array}{c} \text { Avg. } \\ \text { WkII. } \\ \text { hours } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \text { Avg. } \\ \text { Arly. } \\ \text { earr. } \\ \text { ings } \end{array}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Avg. } \\ \substack{\text { Arly. } \\ \text { earr. } \\ \text { ings }} \end{gathered}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Avg. } \\ \text { whyl } \\ \text { hours } \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ayg. } \\ & \text { hrly. } \\ & \text { earn- } \\ & \text { inns } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Avg. } \\ \begin{array}{c} \text { Avaly } \\ \text { earry: } \\ \text { ings } \end{array} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Avg. } \\ \text { wky. } \\ \text { hours } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Avg. } \\ \text { hally. } \\ \text { earn- } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|c\|} \hline \text { Avg. } \\ \begin{array}{c} \text { wkily. } \\ \text { earr. } \\ \text { ings } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Agg } \\ & \begin{array}{c} \text { AkIIV } \\ \text { holyrs } \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \text { Avg. } \\ \begin{array}{l} \text { Arly. } \\ \text { earr. } \\ \text { ings } \end{array} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \substack{\text { Arg. } \\ \text { earry- } \\ \text { earn- } \\ \text { ings }} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|c\|} \substack{\text { Avg. } \\ \text { WkII. } \\ \text { hours }} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Arg. } \\ & \begin{array}{c} \text { Arly. } \\ \text { earra. } \\ \text { ings } \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Avg. } \\ \begin{array}{c} \text { Arly. } \\ \text { warry. } \\ \text { ings } \end{array} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Avg } \begin{array}{c} \text { Avgy } \\ \text { holyrs } \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \text { Arg. } \\ \text { Arly. } \\ \text { earn. } \\ \text { ings } \end{array}$ |
|  | 84.91 45.14 47.108 47.39 47.38 49.86 50.16 50.80 50.48 50.48 40.65 49.71 49.01 49.91 50.38 50.38 |  |  |  | 45.8 46.7 46.9 46.7 45.6 45.4 44.4 44.3 44.9 44.2 44.0 44.5 44.6 45.5 | $\$ 1.22$ 1.23 1.25 1.23 1.28 1.28 1.28 1.27 1.28 1.28 1.20 1.23 1.29 1.29 |  | 41.7 <br> 42.1 <br> 42.7 <br> 42.5 <br> 43.2 <br> 42.2 <br> 43.9 <br> 42.0 <br> 42.7 <br> 40.5 <br> 41.8 <br> 42.5 <br> 41.9 <br> 41.4 | $\$ 1.32$ 1.34 1.35 1.37 1.39 1.41 1.43 1.45 .1 .46 .1 .46 .1 .48 1.48 1.48 | 851.63 54.43 58.30 57.86 57.82 58.56 58.56 59.84 58.73 57.26 58.27 59.78 59.78 69.50 60.21 | $\begin{aligned} & 41.3 \\ & 4.3 \\ & 4.2 \\ & 4.5 \\ & 4.5 \\ & 4.5 \\ & 4.3 \\ & 43.7 \\ & 4.7 \\ & 4.0 \\ & 4.5 \\ & 4.5 \\ & 4.1 \\ & 4.3 \\ & 4.32 \\ & 42.5 \\ & 42.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \hline 51.25 \\ 1.26 \\ 1.31 \\ 1.33 \\ 1.34 \\ 1.34 \\ 1.36 \\ 1.36 \\ 1.35 \\ 1.36 \\ 1.38 \\ 1.40 \\ 1.40 \\ 1.41 \end{array}$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline 1.37 \\ 1.38 \\ 1.36 \\ 1.38 \\ 1.43 \\ 1.46 \\ 1.48 \\ 1.40 \\ .1 .59 \\ .1 .49 \\ .1 .49 \\ 1.52 \\ 1.53 \\ 1.53 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | 39.3 39.6 90.8 39.8 39.4 38.3 39.1 38.5 38.9 .35 .4 39.4 39.7 40.7 40.2 |  |
|  | Oregon | -Cont | nued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | nsylv |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Portla |  |  | Stat |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ntowr } \\ & \text { hine } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Erie |  |  | arris |  |  | bnst |  |
|  | 864.84 66.62 66.69 66.35 66.55 66.50 69.50 69.25 69.48 68.16 66.45 60 70.35 71.59 | 38.8 <br> 39.0 <br> 39.9 <br> 39.7 <br> 39.8 <br> 38.9 <br> 39.7 <br> 39.7 <br> 38.8 <br> 38.0 <br> 38.7 <br> 39.0 <br> 39.0 |  |  | 39.6 39.7 90.7 40.2 40.2 40.8 40.9 00.6 40.5 40.2 40.7 40.4 40.1 40.1 | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1.42 \\ & \$ 1.43 \\ & 1.43 \\ & 1.45 \\ & 1.46 \\ & 1.48 \\ & 1.48 \\ & 1.53 \\ & 1.55 \\ & \hline 1.56 \\ & 1.56 \\ & 1.58 \\ & 1.59 \end{aligned}$ | $\$ 55.10$ 56.12 55.87 55.87 58.47 58.37 60.69 64.57 64.58 64.08 .35 .17 46.00 65.58 63.90 65.13 |  | $\$ 1.44$ <br> 1.45 <br> 11.43 <br> 11.46 <br> 11.49 <br> 11.58 <br> 1.60 <br> .1 .59 <br> .1 .60 <br> 1.62 <br> 1.62 <br> 1.64 <br> 1.64 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 43.6 \\ & 43.6 \\ & 43.8 \\ & 40.1 \\ & 4.8 \\ & 44.1 \\ & 4.1 \\ & 4.1 .5 \\ & 41.3 \\ & 4.1 .5 \\ & 4.6 .6 \\ & 4.1 \\ & 40.1 \\ & 40.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\$ 1.48$ <br> 11.48 <br> 11.48 <br> 11.50 <br> 11.53 <br> 1.58 <br> 11.58 <br> 11.60 <br> 11.61 <br> 1.62 <br> 1.62 <br> 1.62 <br> 1.63 <br> 1 | $\$ 52.04$ 51.58 53.11 53.19 56.39 56.44 54.69 56.62 59.05 59.05 58.78 59.58 59.16 59.42 58.42 58.93 | 39.3 38.9 40.2 41.4 41.4 40.0 39.5 40.5 40.4 40.7 40.7 40.2 40.0 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|c\|} \hline 1.33 \\ 1.33 \\ 1.32 \\ 1.36 \\ 1.36 \\ 1.36 \\ 1.37 \\ 1.44 \\ 1.47 \\ .1 .46 \\ .1 .47 \\ 1.47 \\ 1.49 \\ 1.48 \end{array}$ |  | 35.9 37.1 36.5 38.5 37.7 39.9 30.4 40.1 40.0 .39 .7 39.7 39.2 35.5 37.6 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Pen | Iva | Cor | nued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | ncaster |  |  | iladelph |  |  | tsburg |  | Readi | g-Le | banon |  | crant |  |  | ton |  |
|  | \$52.70 53.31 54.75 55.64 55.64 56 57.83 59.81 59.21 57.96 59.01 59.01 59.68 59.44 58.47 60.06 |  | $\$ 1.27$ <br> 1.28 <br> 1.29 <br> 1.32 <br> 1.33 <br> 1.37 <br> 1.38 <br> 1.38 <br> .1 .40 <br> 11.41 <br> 11.42 <br> 1.44 |  |  | $\$ 1.47$ 11.47 11.49 1.51 1.54 1.56 1.58 .1 .59 .1 .60 1.60 1.60 1.62 | $\$ 64.28$ <br> 65.00 <br> 64.28 <br> 65.92 <br> 67.16 <br> 67.82 <br> 69.88 <br> 77.07 <br> 70.36 <br> 77.26 <br> 72.26 <br> 73.80 <br> 73.38 <br> 73.87 | $\begin{aligned} & 39.9 \\ & 30.9 \\ & 49.9 \\ & 30.9 \\ & 40.1 \\ & 41.2 \\ & 44.1 \\ & 40.3 \\ & 40.6 \\ & 40.6 \\ & 40.8 \\ & 40.9 \\ & 44.2 \\ & 41.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \$ 1.61 \\ \hline 1.61 \\ .1 .64 \\ .1 .63 \\ .1 .65 \\ \hline 1.63 \\ \hline 1.73 \\ \hline 1.76 \\ \hline 1.77 \\ \hline 1.78 \\ 1.78 \\ 1.79 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 40.0 \\ & 40.0 \\ & 40.5 \\ & 40.3 \\ & 40.8 \\ & 41.8 \\ & 41.3 \\ & 40.8 \\ & 40.5 \\ & 04.6 \\ & 40.6 \\ & 40.6 \\ & 30.2 \\ & 39.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1.40 \\ & \$ 1.40 \\ & 1.42 \\ & 1.42 \\ & 1.46 \\ & 1.50 \\ & 1.51 \\ & 1.54 \\ & 1.56 \\ & 1.58 \\ & 1.58 \\ & 1.58 \\ & 1.53 \end{aligned}$ | $\$ 45.67$ <br> 45.30 <br> 46.35 <br> 46.89 <br> 48.63 <br> 48.88 <br> 48.89 <br> 49.39 <br> 40.14 <br> 50.14 <br> 50.25 <br> 48.32 <br> 47.58 <br> 49.58 <br> 49.17 |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \hline 51.17 \\ 1.17 \\ 1.17 \\ 1.19 \\ 1.19 \\ 1.22 \\ 1.23 \\ 1.23 \\ 1.26 \\ 1.26 \\ 1.26 \\ 1.25 \\ 1.26 \\ 1.27 \\ 1.27 \end{array}$ |  | 37.8 37.9 39.3 39.4 38.9 39.6 38.6 38.3 38.6 38.9 38.1 37.3 37.3 | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} 1.22 \\ 1.21 \\ 1.23 \\ 1.24 \\ 1.26 \\ 1.27 \\ 1.20 \\ 1.29 \\ .1 .29 \\ .1 .20 \\ 1.30 \\ 1.35 \\ 1.35 \end{array} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Pennsy | vania | Con. |  |  | Rhod | land |  |  |  | h Caro |  |  | th Dak |  |  | enne |  |
|  |  | rk-Adan |  |  | State |  |  | oviden |  |  | State |  |  | State |  |  | Sta |  |
|  |  | 41.2 <br> 40.2 <br> 41.4 <br> 41.0 <br> 44.0 <br> 42.6 <br> 42.7 <br> 42.3 <br> 41.7 <br> 41.8 <br> 24.2 <br> 41.7 <br> 41.9 <br> 42.2 <br> 42.0 | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1.21 \\ & \$ 1.19 \\ & .1 .21 \\ & 1.24 \\ & 1.24 \\ & 1.1 .25 \\ & 1.27 \\ & 1.30 \\ & 1.31 \\ & 1.34 \\ & 1.35 \\ & 1.36 \\ & 1.34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 50.05 \\ & \$ 0.05 \\ & 50.37 \\ & 50.50 \\ & 50.50 \\ & 52.08 \\ & 54.64 \\ & 54.64 \\ & 56.54 \\ & 56.54 \\ & 56.18 \\ & 56.34 \\ & 56.78 \\ & 56.22 \\ & 55.24 \\ & 56.54 \\ & 56.59 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40.0 \\ & 40.1 \\ & 40.2 \\ & 40.9 \\ & \hline 0.9 \\ & \hline 9.3 \\ & \hline 1.0 \\ & \hline 1.6 \\ & 41.3 \\ & 40.8 \\ & 41.3 \\ & \hline 0.6 \\ & 39.7 \\ & 40.2 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\$ 50.36$ 50.81 50.95 52.18 53.18 65.94 55.47 56.15 55.15 57.18 57.17 56.73 56.82 55.92 56.70 |  |  | $\$ 42.80$ 43.35 45.15 45.12 47.12 47.01 48.06 48.66 48.83 49.89 49.09 49.17 48.63 48.63 47.92 47.92 |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{\|l\|l} 81.09 \\ 1.09 \\ 1.10 \\ 1.11 \\ 1.11 \\ 1.16 \\ 1.17 \\ 1.18 \\ 1.19 \\ \hline 1.19 \\ \hline 1.19 \\ \hline 1.19 \\ 1.20 \\ 1.20 \end{array}\right.$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 4.1 \\ & 4.1 \\ & 43.4 \\ & 43.0 \\ & 43.1 \\ & 42.2 \\ & 44.6 \\ & 44.0 \\ & 43.9 \\ & 43.1 \\ & \hline 4.1 \\ & 4.3 .0 \\ & 4.3 \\ & 4.3 \\ & 43.7 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 39.9 39.8 49.2 41.4 41.0 40.8 40.8 40.7 40.7 40.5 40.7 40.7 39.8 40.1 | \$1.16 <br> 1.17 <br> 1.15 <br> 1.15 <br> 1.20 <br> 1.23 <br> 1.24 <br> 1.24 <br> 1.24 <br> 1.25 <br> 1.26 <br> 1.26 <br> 1.27 <br> 1.28 <br> 1.28 |

See footnotes at en d of table.

Table C-5: Hours and Gross Earnings of Production Workers in Manufacturing Industries for Selected States and Areas ${ }^{1}$-Continued


[^30]ating State agency. State agencies also publish more detailed industry
data. See table A-10 for addresses of cooperating State agencies.
${ }_{2}$ Revised series; not comparable with data previously published.

## D: Prices and Cost of Living

Table D-1: Consumers' Price Index ${ }^{1}$ for Moderate-Income Families in Large Cities, by Group of Commodities
$[1935-39=100]$

| Year and month | All items ${ }^{2}$ | Food | Apparel | Rent ${ }^{2}$ | Fuel, electricity, and refrigeration : |  |  |  | Housefurnishings | Miscellaneous * |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Total | Gas and electricity | Other fuels | Ice |  |  |
| 1913: A verage | 70.7 | 79.9 | 69.3 | 92.2 | 61.9 | (5) | (5) | (5) | 59.1 | 50.9 |
| 1914: A verage. | 71.8 | 81.8 | 69.8 | 92.2 | 62.3 | (5) | (5) | (5) | 60.7 | 51.9 |
| 1915: Average. | 72.5 | 80.9 | 71.4 | 92.9 | 62.5 | (5) | (5) | (5) | 63.6 | 53.6 |
| 1916: Average | 77.9 | 90.8 | 78.3 | 94.0 | 65.0 | (5) | (5) | (8) | 70.9 | 56.3 |
| 1917: A verage | 91.6 | 116. 9 | 94.1 | 93.2 | 72.4 | (5) | (5) | (b) | 82.8 | 65.1 |
| 1918: A verage | 107.5 | 134.4 | 127.5 | 94.9 | 84.2 | (5) | (5) | (8) | 106.4 | 77.8 |
| 1919: A verage. | 123.8 | 149.8 | 168.7 | 102.7 | 91.1 | (5) | (5) | (5) | 134.1 | 87.6 |
| 1920: Average | 143.3 | 168.8 | 201.0 | 120.7 | 106.9 | (5) | (5) | (5) | 164.6 | 100.5 |
| 1921: Average. | 127.7 | 128.3 | 154.8 | 138.6 | 114.0 | (5) | (5) | (5) | 138.5 | 104.3 |
| 1922: Average. | 119.7 | 119.9 | 125.6 | 142.7 | 113.1 | (5) | (5) | (5) | 117.5 | 101.2 |
| 1923: Average | 121.9 | 124.0 | 125.9 | 146.4 | 115.2 | (5) | (5) | (b) | 126.1 | 100.8 |
| 1924: A verage. | 122.2 | 122.8 | 124.9 | 151.6 | 113.7 | (5) | (b) | (b) | 124.0 | 101.4 |
| 1925: A verage. | 125.4 | 132. 9 | 122.4 | 152.2 | 115.4 | (b) | (0) | (5) | 121.5 | 102.2 |
| 1926: A verage. | 126.4 | 137.4 | 120.6 | 150.7 | 117.2 | (5) | (6) | (8) | 118.8 | 102.6 |
| 1927:- A verage | 124.0 | 132.3 | 118.3 | 148.3 | 115.4 | (5) | (b) | (8) | 115.9 | 103.2 |
| 1928: A verage | 122.6 | 130.8 | 116.5 | 144.8 | 113.4 | (8) | (b) | (b) | 113.1 | 103.8 |
| 1929: A verage. | 122.5 | 132.5 | 115.3 | 141.4 | 112.5 | (5) | (0) | (5) | 111.7 | 104.6 |
| 1930: A verage. | 119.4 | 126.0 | 112.7 | 137.5 | 111.4 | (5) | (6) | (9) | 108.9 | 105.1 |
| 1931: A verage. | 108.7 | 103.9 | 102.6 | 130.3 | 108. 9 | (5) | (8) | (8) | 98.0 | 104.1 |
| 1932: A verage. | 97.6 | 86.5 | 90.8 | 116.9 | 103.4 | (5) | (b) | (8) | 85.4 | 101. 7 |
| 1933: A verage. | 92.4 | 84.1 | 87.9 | 100.7 | 100.0 | (b) | (b) | (8) | 84.2 | 98.4 |
| 1934: A verage | 95.7 | 93.7 | 96.1 | 94.4 | 101.4 | (5) | (5) | (5) | 92.8 | 97.9 |
| 1935: A verage. | 98.1 | 100.4 | 96.8 | 94.2 | 100.7 | 102.8 | 98.4 | 100.0 | 94.8 | 98.1 |
| 1936: Average. | 99.1 | 101.3 | 97.6 | 96.4 | 100.2 | 100.8 | 99.8 | 100.0 | 96.3 | 98.7 |
| 1937: Average. | 102.7 | 105.3 | 102.8 | 100.9 | 100.2 | 99.1 | 101.7 | 100.0 | 104.3 | 101.0 |
| 1938: A verage | 100.8 | 97.8 | 102.2 | 104.1 | 99.9 | 99.0 | 101.0 | 100.0 | 103.3 | 101.5 |
| 1939: A verage | 99.4 | 95.2 | 100.5 | 104.3 | 99.0 | 98.9 | 99.1 | 100.2 | 101.3 | 100.7 |
| 1940: A verage | 100.2 | 96.6 | 101.7 | 104.6 | 99.7 | 98.0 | 101.9 | 100.4 | 100.5 | 101.1 |
| 1941: A verage. | 105.2 | 105.5 | 106.3 | 106.4 | 102.2 | 97.1 | 108.3 | 104.1 | 107.3 | 104.0 |
| 1942: A verage- | 116.6 | 123. 9 | 124.2 | 108.8 | 105. 4 | 96.7 | 115.1 | 110.0 | 122.2 | 110.9 |
| 1943: A verage | 123.7 | 138.0 | 129.7 | 108.7 | 107.7 | 96.1 | 120.7 | 114.2 | 125.6 | 115.8 |
| 1944: A verage | 125.7 | 136.1 | 138.8 | 109.1 | 109.8 | 95.8 | 126.0 | 115.8 | 136.4 | 121.3 |
| 1945: A verage. | 128.6 | 139.1 | 145.9 | 109.5 | 110.3 | 95.0 | 128.3 | 115.9 | 145.8 | 124.1 |
| 1946: A verage. | 139.5 | 159.6 | 160.2 | 110.1 | 112.4 | 92.3 | 136.9 | 115.9 | 159.2 | 128.8 |
| 1947: Average. | 159.6 | 193.8 | 185.8 | 113.6 | 121,1 | 92.0 | 156.1 | 125.9 | 184.4 | 139.9 |
| 1948: A verage. | 171.9 | 210.2 | 198.0 | 121.2 | 133.9 | 94.3 | 183.4 | 135.2 | 195.8 | 149.9 |
| 1949: Average. | 170.2 | 201.9 | 190.1 | 126.4 | 137.5 | 96.7 | 187.7 | 141.7 | 189.0 | 154.6 |
| 1950: A verage | 171.9 | 204.5 | 187.7 | 131.0 | 140.6 | 96.8 | 194.1 | 147.8 | 190.2 | 156.5 |
| January 15 | 168.2 | 196.0 | 185.0 | 129.4 | 140.0 | 96.7 | 193.1 | 145.5 | 184.7 | 155.1 |
| July 15 | 172.0 | 208.2 | 184.5 | 131.3 | 139.4 | 96.9 | 189.9 | 147.6 | 186.1 | 155.2 |
| August 15. | 173.4 | 209.9 | 185.7 | 131.6 | 140.2 | 96.8 | 192.9 | 147.6 | 189.1 | 156.8 |
| September 15 | 174.6 | 210.0 | 189.8 | 131.8 | 141.2 | 96.9 | 196.1 | 148.1 | 194.2 | 157.8 |
| October 15 | 175.6 | 210.6 | 193.0 | 132.0 | 142.0 | 96.8 | 199.2 | 149.9 | 198.7 | 158.3 |
| November 15. | 176.4 | 210.8 | 194.3 | 132.5 | 142.5 | 96.8 | 200.8 | 151.3 | 201.1 | 159.2 |
| December 15 | 178.8 | 216.3 | 195. 5 | 132. 9 | 142.8 | 96.8 | 201.7 | 151.5 | 203.2 | 160.6 |
| 1951: January 15 | 181.5 | 221. 9 | 198. 5 | 133.2 | 143.3 | 97.2 | 202.3 | 152.0 | 207.4 | 162.1 |
| January 15. | 181.6 | 221.6 | 199.7 | 126.0 | 144.5 | 97.2 | 201.8 | 152.9 | 208.9 | 163.7 |
| February 15 | 183.8 | 226.0 | 202.0 | 134.0 | 143.9 | 97.2 | 204.5 | 152.8 | 209.7 | 163.2 |
| February 15. | 184.2 | 226.0 | 203.2 | 126.8 | 145.7 | 97.2 | 204.7 | 153.5 | 211.4 | 164.8 |
| March 15 | 184.5 | 226.2 | 203.1 | 134.7 | 144.2 | 97.2 | 205. 0 | 154.4 | 210.7 | 164.3 |
| March 15 | 184.5 | 225.4 | 204.6 | 127.8 | 146.3 | 97.2 | 205.7 | 154.4 | 212.7 | 165.8 |
| April 15 | 184.6 | 225.7 | 203.6 | 135.1 | 144.0 | 96.9 | 205.0 | 154.4 | 211.8 | 164.6 |
| April 15. | 184.5 | 224.6 | 205.2 | 127.7 | 146.2 | 97.1 | 205.5 | 154.4 | 214.1 | 166.1 |
| May 15 | 185.4 | 227.4 | 204.0 | 135.4 | 143.6 | 97.3 | 202.4 | 156. 0 | 212.6 | 165.0 |
| May 15 | 185.4 | 226.7 | 205.7 | 128.0 | 144.9 | 97.4 | 201.6 | 156.0 | 214.8 | 166.4 |
| June 15. | 185.2 | 226.9 | 204.0 | 135.7 | 143.6 | 97.1 | 202.8 | 156.0 | 212.5 | 164.8 |
| June 15. | 185.5 | 227.0 | 205.5 | 128.8 | 145.1 | 97.2 | 202.3 | 156.0 | 214.6 | 166.8 |
| July 15 | 185.5 | 227.7 | 203.3 | 136.2 | 144.0 | 97.2 | 203.7 | 157.6 | 212.4 | 165.0 |
| July 15. | 185.8 | 227.6 | 204.9 | 128.8 | 145.7 | 97.2 | 203.4 | $15 \% .6$ | 214.8 | 166.5 |

${ }^{1}$ The "Consumers' price index for moderate-income families in large cities" formerly known as the "Cost-of-living index" measures average changes in retail prices of selected goods, rents, and services purchased by wage earners and lower-salaried workers in large cities. Until January 1950, time-to-time changes in retail prices were weighted by 1934-36 average expenditures of urban families. Weights used beginning January 1950 have been adjusted to current spending patterns.
Bureau of Labor Statistics Bulletin 699, Changes in Cost of Living in Large Cities in the United States, 1913-41, contains a detailed description of methods used in constructing this index. Additional information on the Consumers' Price Index is given in a compilation of reports published by the Office of Economic Stabilization, Report of the President's Committee on the Cost of Living. See also General Note, below.
Mimeographed tables are available upon request showing indexes for each of the cities regularly surveyed by the Bureau and for each of the major groups of living essentials. Indexes for all large cities combined are available since 1913. The beginning date for series of indexes for individual cities varies from city to city but indexes are available for most of the 34 cities since World War I.
${ }^{2}$ The Consumers' Price Index has been adjusted to incorporate a correction of the new unit bias in the rent index beginning with indexes for 1940 and adjusted population and commodity weights beginning with indexes for January 1950. These adjustments make a continuous comparable series from 1913 to date.
${ }^{3}$ The group index formerly entitled "Fuel, electricity, and ice" is now designated "Fuel, electricity, and refrigeration." Indexes are comparable with those previously published for "Fuel, electricity, and ice." The subgroup "Other fuels and ice" has been discontinued; separate indexes are presented for "Other fuels" and "Ice."
${ }^{4}$ The M iscellaneous group covers transportation (such as automobiles and their upkeep and public transportation fares); medical care (including professional care and medicines); household operation (covering supplies and different kinds of paid services); recreation (that is, newspapers, motion pictures, radio, television, and tobacco products); personal care (barber, and beauty-shop service and toilet articles); etc.

- Data not available.

Note.-The old series of Indexes for 1951 are shown in italics in tables D-1, D-2, and D-5
for reference.

Table D-2: Consumers' Price Index for Moderate-Income Families, by City, ${ }^{1}$ for Selected Periods


1 The indexes are based on time-to-time changes in the cost of goods and services purchased by moderate-income families in large cities. They do not indicate whether it costs more to live in one city than in another.
${ }^{2}$ Through June 1947, consumers' price indexes were computed monthly for 21 cities and in March, June, September, and December for 13 additional cities; beginning July 1947 indexes were computed monthly for 10 cities and once every 3 months for 24 additional cities according to a staggered schedule.

Table D-3: Consumers' Price Index for Moderate-Income Families, by City and Group of Commodities ${ }^{1}$
$[1935-39=100$ ]

| City | Food |  | Apparel |  | Rent |  | Fuel, electricity, and refrigeration |  |  |  | Housefurnishings |  | Miscellaneous |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Total | Gas and electricity |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\underset{1951}{\mathrm{July}} 15,$ | $\text { June }_{1951}$ |  |  | $\underset{1951}{ } \mathrm{July}^{15}$ | $\underset{1951}{\text { June }^{15}}$ | $\underset{1951}{ }$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { June } 15, \\ 1951 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { July } 15, \\ 1951, \end{gathered}$ | ${ }_{1951}$ | $\mathrm{July}_{1951} 15,$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June 15, } \\ & 1951 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { July } 15, \\ & 1951, \end{aligned}$ | ${ }_{1951}{ }^{\text {June }} 15,$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { July } 15, \\ 1951 \end{gathered}$ | ${ }_{1951}{ }^{\text {June }} 15,$ |
| A verage | 227.7 | 226.9 | 203.3 | 204.0 |  |  | 136.2 | 135.7 | 144.0 | 143.6 | 97.2 | 97.1 | 212.4 | 212.5 | 165.0 | 164.8 |
| Atlanta, Ga | 229.4 | 228.1 | (1) | (1) | ${ }^{(2)}$ | ${ }^{(2)}$ | 159.1 | 159.3 | 85.7 | 85.8 | (1) | ${ }^{(1)}$ | (1) | (1) |
| Baltimore, Md. | 237.0 | 238.9 | (1) | 199.0 | (2) | 136.8 | 148.1 | 147.9 | 115.3 | 115.2 | (1) | 212.5 | (1) | 164.6 |
| Birmingham, Ala | 214.5 | 216.4 | 214.7 | 215.4 | (2) | (2) | 136.5 | 135.6 | 79.6 | 79.6 | 202.2 | 200.7 | 160.7 | 160.8 |
| Boston, Mass | 216.6 | 214.9 | 186.8 | 187.9 | (2) | 127. $2^{7}$ | 160.8 | 160.0 | 117.4 | 117.1 | 202.2 | 202.2 | 158.7 | 158.7 |
| Buffalo, N. ${ }_{\text {Ohicago, }}$ | 222.1 | 224.3 233.4 | 201.7 | (1) 205 | ${ }_{(2)}^{138.8}$ | ${ }^{(2)} 149.9$ | 153.4 137.8 | 153.4 137.8 | 110.0 83.5 | 110.0 83.5 | 212.8 197.9 | ${ }^{(198.8}$ | 170.2 167.4 | ${ }_{1}^{166.3}$ |
| Cincinnati, Ohio | 229.2 | 226.9 | 203.5 | 204.2 | (2) | 125.9 | 148.2 | 146.7 | 100.3 | 100.3 | 201.7 | 201.6 | 164.4 | 166.3 |
| Cleveland, Ohio | 236.7 | 236.3 | (1) | (1) | (2) | (2) | 148.9 | 148.9 | 105.6 | 105.6 | (1) | (1) | (1) | (1) |
| Denver, Colo | 230.6 | 232.6 | 204.3 | (1) | 161.3 | ${ }^{(2)}$ | 113.8 | 113.8 | 69.7 | 69.7 | 243.2 | (1) | 160.0 | (1) |
| Detroit, Mich | 229.1 | 229.4 | 196.1 | 196.8 | 140.0 | (2) | 154.0 | 154.2 | 89.2 | 89.4 | 232.4 | 232.7 | 177.4 | 176.7 |
| Houston, Tex | 235.2 | 235.2 | 221.5 | 222.4 | ${ }^{(2)}{ }^{2}$ | (2) $]$ | 98.6 | 98.6 | 82.1 | 82.1 | 205.5 | 205.9 | 169.1 | 168.1 |
| Indianapolis, Ind. | 223.3 | 222.4 | 197.0 | (1) | 143.1 | ${ }^{(2)}$ | 161.0 | 161.0 | 84.5 | 84.5 | 197.0 | (1) | 173.5 | (1) |
| Jacksonville, Fla_-...- | 233.8 | 231.9 | (1) | 199.8 | (2) | 154.3 | 143.7 | 143.7 | 85.8 | 85.8 | (1) | 209.0 | (1) | 171.1 |
| Kansas City, Mo- | 213.7 | 21.28 | 198.7 | (1) | 146.1 | ${ }^{(2)}$ | 132.5 | 131.9 | 70.7 | 70.3 | 197.8 | (1) | 166.8 |  |
| Los Angeles, Calif | 232.7 | 230.9 | 201.3 | 201.6 | (2) | (2) | 98.7 | 98.7 | 93.0 | 93.0 | 208.0 | 204.9 | 160.6 | 160.5 |
| Manchester, N. H | 221.6 | 221.0 | 192.8 | (1) | 129.4 | (2) | 162.3 | 161.9 | 102.1 | 102.0 | 216.0 | (1) | 157.7 |  |
| Memphis, Tenn. | 232.3 | 233.0 | (1) | 217.9 | (2) | 155.7 | 141.4 | 141.4 | 77.0 | 77.0 | (1) | 182.3 | (1) | 154.7 |
| Milwaukee, Wis.- | 231.9 | 229.9 | (1) | (1) | ${ }^{(2)}$ | (2) | 149.9 | 149.2 | 99.2 | 99.2 | ${ }^{(1)}$ | (1) | (1) | ${ }^{1} 1$ |
| Minneapolis, Minn | 219.0 | 219.4 | (1) | 208.9 | ${ }^{(2)}$ | 145.2 | 136.2 | 136.2 | 72.7 | 72.7 | (1) | 200.2 | (1) | 168.8 |
| Mobile, Ala-- | 229.5 | 225.7 | (1) | 207.2 | (2) | 143.2 | 130.5 | 130.4 | 84.9 | 84.8 | (1) | 181.3 | (1) | 156.0 |
| New Orleans, La | 238.8 | 238.2 | (1) | (1) | (2) | ${ }^{(2)}$ | 113.2 | 113.2 | 75.1 | 75.1 | (1) | (1) | (1) |  |
| New York, N. Y | 226.5 | 224.4 | 201.8 | 203.2 | 115.8 | ${ }^{(2)}$ | 144.8 | 144.1 | 102.8 | 102.8 | 202.8 | 202.5 | 167.1 | 166.9 |
| Norfolk, Va | 229.1 | 229.2 | (1) | (1) | $\left.{ }^{2}\right)$ | ${ }^{(2)}$ | 159.2 | 159.0 | 100.1 | 99.8 | ${ }^{(1)}$ | ${ }^{(1)}$ | (1) | ${ }^{(1)}$ |
| Philadelphia, Pa | 223.6 | 222.2 | 200.0 | 202.4 | (2) | (2) | 149.1 | 148.9 | 104.2 | 104.2 | 217.9 | 220.6 | 167.4 | 168.1 |
| Pittsburgh, Pa------- | 232.9 | 230.3 | 235.7 | 233.5 | 126.5 | (2) | 150.5 | 150.2 | 114.4 | 114.0 | 217.1 | 216.5 | 163.3 | 162.4 |
| Portland, Maine | 217.0 | 213.9 | (1) | 209.9 | ${ }^{2}$ ) | 118.4 | 155.6 | 155.2 | 105.9 | 105.7 |  | 200.4 | (1) | 157.6 |
| Portland, Oreg. | 251.2 | 251.5 | 202.1 | (1) | 152.8 | ${ }^{2}$ ) | 134.3 | 134.3 | 93.9 | 93.9 | 208.2 | ${ }^{(1)}$ | 170.0 |  |
| Richmond, Va | 216.5 | 216.4 | 201.2 | (1) | 151.2 | (2) | 147.2 | 145.9 | 102.2 | 102.2 | 227.9 | (1) | 153.0 |  |
| St. Louis, Mo........- | 237.9 | 238.2 | (1) | 204.7 | ${ }^{(2)}$ | 129.0 | 141.5 | 141.2 | 88.4 | 88.4 | (1) | 187.8 | (1) | 156.3 |
| San Francisco, Calif... | 237.8 | 237.4 | (1) | 201.3 | ${ }^{(2)}$ | 133.3 | 92.1 | 92.1 | 81.0 | 81.0 |  | 182.0 |  | 174.3 |
| Savannah, Ga.--.----- | 241.2 | 239.6 | 205.3 | (1) | 162.9 | ${ }^{2}$ | 164.5 | 164.5 | 116.0 | 116.0 | 217.7 | ${ }^{(1)}$ | 169.9 | (1) |
| Scranton, Pa-......--- Seattle, Wash | 225.5 | 225.7 | (1) | (1) | (2) | (2) | 156.8 | 156.0 | 98.3 | 98.3 | ${ }^{(1)}$ | (1) | (1) | (1) |
| Seattle, Wash.-------- | 233.8 221.9 | 233.0 224.2 | (1) | (1) | $(2)$ $(2)$ | ${ }^{(2)}$ | 132.1 148.6 | 132.1 148.4 | 92.6 105.3 | 92.6 105.3 | $(1)$ $(1)$ | (1) | (1) | (1) |

Table D-4: Indexes of Retail Prices of Foods, ${ }^{1}$ by Group, for Selected Periods
$[1935-39=100]$

| Year and month | $\underset{\text { All }}{\text { foods }}$ | Cereals and bakery products | Meats, poultry, and fish | Meats |  |  |  | Chickens | Fish | Dairy products | Eggs | Fruits and vegetables |  |  |  |  | Beverages | Fats and oils | Sugar and sweets |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Total | Beef and <br> veal | Pork | Lamb |  |  |  |  | Totel | Fro- | Fresh | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Can- } \\ & \text { ned } \end{aligned}$ | Dried |  |  |  |
| 1923: Average | 124.0 | 105. 5 | 101.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 129.4 | 136. 1 | 169.5 |  | 173.6 | 124.8 | 175.4 | 131.5 | 126. 2 | 175.4 |
| 1926: A verage | 137.4 | 115.7 | 117.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 127.4 | 141.7 | 210.8 |  | 226. 2 | 122.9 | 152.4 | 170.4 | 145.0 | 120.0 |
| 1929: Average | 132.5 | 107.6 | 127.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 131.0 | 143.8 | 169.0 |  | 173. 5 | 124.3 | 171.0 | 164.8 | 127.2 | 114.3 |
| 1832: Average....-- | 86.5 | 82.6 | 79.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 84.9 | 82.3 | 103.5 |  | 105.9 | 91.1 | 91.2 | 112.6 | 71.1 | 89.6 |
| 1939: A verage .....-- | 95.2 | 94. 5 | 96.6 | 96.6 | 101.1 | 88.9 | 99. 5 | 93.8 | 101.0 | 95.9 | 91.0 | 94.5 |  | 95.1 | 92.3 | 93.3 | 95.5 | 87.7 | 100.6 |
| August | 93.5 | 93.4 | 95.7 | 95.4 | 99.6 | 88.0 | 98.8 | 94.6 | 99.6 | 93.1 | 90.7 | 92.4 |  | 92.8 | 91.6 | 90.3 | 94.9 | 84.5 | 95.6 |
| 1940: Average | 96.6 | 96.8 | 85.8 | 94.4 | 102.8 | 81.1 | 99.7 | 94.8 | 110.6 | 101.4 | 93.8 | 96.5 |  | 97.3 | 92.4 | 100.6 | 92.5 | 82.2 | 96.8 |
| 1941 : A verag | 105. 5 | 97.9 | 107. 5 | 106. 5 | 110.8 | 100.1 | 106. 6 | 102. 1 | 124.5 | 112.0 | 112. 2 | 103. 2 |  | 104.2 | 97.9 | 106. 7 | 101.5 | 94.0 | 106.4 |
| December | 113.1 | 102.5 | 111.1 | 109. 7 | 114.4 | 103. 2 | 108.1 | 100.5 | 138.9 | 120.5 | 138.1 | 110.5 |  | 111.0 | 106.3 | 118.3 | 114.1 | 108.5 | 114.4 |
| 1942: A verage | 123.9 | 105.1 | 126.0 | 122.5 | 123. 6 | 120.4 | 124.1 | 122.6 | 163.0 | 125.4 | 136.5 | 130.8 |  | 132.8 | 121.6 | 136.3 | 122.1 | 119.6 | 126.5 |
| 1943: A verage | 138.0 | 107.6 | 133.8 | 124.2 | 124.7 | 119.9 | 136.9 | 146.1 | 206. 5 | 134.6 | 161.9 | 168.8 |  | 178.0 | 130.6 | 158.9 | 124.8 | 126.1 | 127.1 |
| 1944: Average | 136.1 | 108.4 | 129.9 | 117.9 | 118.7 | 112.2 | 134.5 | 151.0 | 207.6 | 133.6 | 153.9 | 168. 2 |  | 177.2 | 129.5 | 164. 5 | 124.3 | 123.3 | 126. 5 |
| 1945: A verage | 139.1 | 109.0 | 131.2 | 118.0 | 118.4 | 112.6 | 136.0 | 154.4 | 217.1 | 133.9 | 164. 4 | 177.1 |  | 188. 2 | 130.2 | 168.2 | 124.7 | 124.0 | 126.5 |
| August.-.-.--- | 140.9 | 109.1 | 131.8 | 118.1 | 118.5 | 112.6 | 136.4 | 157.3 | 217.8 | 133.4 | 171.4 | 183.5 |  | 196. 2 | 130.3 | 168.6 | 124.7 | 124.0 | 126.6 |
| 1946: A vera | 159.6 | 125. 0 | 161.3 | 150.8 | 150.5 | 148.2 | 163.9 | 174.0 | 236.2 | 165. 1 | 168.8 | 182. 4 |  | 190. 7 | 140.8 | 190.4 | 139.6 | 152.1 | 143.9 |
| 1046 June....------ | 145.6 | 122.1 | 134.0 | 120.4 | 121.2 | 114.3 | 139.0 | 162.8 | 219.7 | 147.8 | 147.1 | 183.5 |  | 196. 7 | 127.5 | 172. 5 | 125.4 | 126. 4 | 136.2 |
| November.-.- | 187.7 | 140.6 | 203.6 | 197.9 | 191.0 | 207.1 | 205. 4 | 188.9 | 265.0 | 198. 5 | 201.6 | 184.5 |  | 182.3 | 167.7 | 251.6 | 167.8 | 244.4 | 170.5 |
| 1947: A verage | 193.8 | 155.4 | 217.1 | 214.7 | 213.6 | 215.9 | 220.1 | 183.2 | 271.4 | 186. 2 | 200.8 | 199.4 |  | 201.5 | 166.2 | 263.5 | 186.8 | 197.5 | 180.0 |
| 1948: Average | 210.2 | 170.9 | 246. 5 | 243.9 | 258.5 | 222.5 | 246. 8 | 203.2 | 312.8 | 204.8 | 208.7 | 205. 2 |  | 212.4 | 158.0 | 246. 8 | 205.0 | 195. 5 | 174.0 |
| 1949: A verage | 201.9 | 169.7 | 233.4 | 229.3 | 241.3 | 205. 9 | 251.7 | 191.5 | 314.1 | 186. 7 | 201.2 | 208.1 |  | 218.8 | 152.9 | 227.4 | 220.7 | 148. 4 | 176. 4 |
| 1950: Averag | 204.5 | 172. 7 | 243.6 | 242.0 | 265. 7 | 203.2 | 257.8 | 183.3 | 308. 5 | 184. 7 | 173.6 | 199.2 |  | 206. 1 | 146.0 | 228. 5 | 312.5 | 144.3 | 179.9 |
| January | 196. 0 | 169.0 | 219.4 | 217.9 | 242.3 | 177.3 | 234.3 | 158.9 | 301.9 | 184.2 | 152.3 | 204.8 |  | 217.2 | 143.3 | 223.9 | 299.5 | 135.2 | 178.9 |
| June.. | 203.1 | 169.8 | 246.5 | 246.7 | 268. 6 | 209.1 | 268.1 | 185.1 | 295. 9 | 177.8 | 148. 4 | 209.3 |  | 224.3 | 142. 7 | 222.9 | 296.5 | 140.1 | 174.3 |
| July | 208. 2 | 171.5 | 255.7 | 257.4 | 277.2 | 225.9 | 269.0 | 189.8 | 297.3 | 180.7 | 163.3 | 211.5 |  | 227.7 | 142.7 | 222.9 | 303.0 | 141.8 | 175. 7 |
| August | 209. 9 | 175.5 | 260.7 | 259.6 | 282.2 | 225. 0 | 266.9 | 202.3 | 302.8 | 184.3 | 182. 2 | 193.4 |  | 196.9 | 145. 7 | 227.6 | 321.3 | 153.9 | 185. 6 |
| September-.-- | 210.0 | 176. 9 | 261.0 | 260.2 | 281.7 | 228.3 | 264.2 | 199.2 | 311.4 | 186.9 | 192.1 | 186.0 |  | 183.9 | 147.6 | 229.8 | 327.3 | 154.8 | 185.4 |
| October-.-.-- | 210.6 | 177.2 | 253.3 | 252.0 | 279.6 | 209.3 | 259.4 | 187.2 | 328. 8 | 191.9 | 206.2 | 189.8 |  | 187. 7 | 151.6 | 236.1 | 333.4 | 152.9 | 184.8 |
| November---- | 210.8 | 177.6 | 250.3 | 249.6 | 279.2 | 201.8 | 264.1 | 180.1 | 336.6 | 192.8 | 205.4 | 195.7 |  | 195.9 | 153.2 | 242.2 | 325.5 | 152.9 | 184.6 |
| December-.-- | 216.3 | 177.7 | 253.4 | 253.8 | 286.3 | 201.0 | 269.0 | 179.3 | 340.3 | 194.0 | 249.4 | 203.9 | 100.0 | 207.3 | 155.3 | 248.8 | 327.5 | 158. 5 | 184.9 |
| 1951: Januar | 221.9 | 185.4 | 263.6 | 265. 5 | 300.9 | 210.2 | 273. 6 | 184.3 | 345.3 | 202. 6 | 191.5 | 214.1 | 100.2 | 220.0 | 160.6 | 253. 4 | 340.6 | 171.5 | 185.6 |
| Februa | 226.0 | 187.1 | 270.1 | 271.2 | 307.0 | 215. 2 | 279.7 | 193.2 | 347.8 | 204.4 | 179.8 | 224.3 | 100.8 | 233. 4 | 165.1 | 256. 7 | 342.7 | 176.5 | 186.0 |
| March | 226.2 | 187. 5 | 272. 2 | 271.9 | 308.0 | 215.4 | 280.5 | 198.9 | 351. 2 | 204.6 | 195. 2 | 217.1 | 101.2 | 220.7 | 167.0 | 257.4 | 342.6 | 177.3 | 186.0 |
| April | 225. 7 | 188. 3 | 272. 6 | 272.5 | 309.5 | 213.7 | 284.2 | 198.5 | 351. 7 | 204. 1 | 191. 2 | 214.8 | 100. 2 | 215. 9 | 168. 9 | 257.8 | 343.5 | 178.3 | 185. 8 |
| May | 227. 4 | 188. 2 | 272. 7 | 272.4 | 308. 7 | 213.4 | 289.1 | 198.9 | 353.1 | 203.5 | 198.4 | 221.6 | 99.6 | 226.5 | 169.6 | 256.7 | 345.3 | 176.7 | 185. 4 |
| June | 226.9 | 188.4 | 271.6 | 273.1 | 308.8 | 214.4 | 292.5 | 191.3 | 356.3 | 203.9 | 201.2 | 219.9 | 98.8 | 223.5 | 170.4 | 254.4 | 345.2 | 175.2 | 186.1 |
| July | 227.7 | 189.0 | 273.2 | 274.2 | 310.3 | 215.3 | 292.2 | 195.3 | 353.3 | 205.1 | 211.5 | 218. 5 | 98.8 | 221.8 | 170.0 | 250.7 | 344.8 | 168.8 | 188.0 |

[^31]by families of wage earners and moderate-income workers, in computing city indexes; and (3) population weights, in combining city aggregates in order to derive average prices and indexes for all cities combined.
Indexes of retail food prices in 56 large cities combined, by commodity
groups, for the years 1923 through $1948(1935-39=100)$, may be found in Bulle-
in No. 965, "Retail Prices of Food, 1948 "" Bureau of Labor Statistics, U. S.
Department of Labor, table 3, p. 7. Mimeographed tables of the same
data, by months, January 1935 to date, are available upon request.
${ }^{2}$ December $1950=100$

Table D-5: Indexes of Retail Prices of Foods, by City

| City | $\begin{aligned} & \text { July } \\ & 1951 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 1951 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 1951 \end{aligned}$ | Apr. 1951 | Mar. $1951$ | Feb. 1951 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jan. } \\ & 1951 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec. } \\ & 1950 \end{aligned}$ | Nov. <br> 1950 | Oct. <br> 1950 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sept. } \\ & 1950 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aug. } \\ & 1950 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { July } \\ & 1950 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 1950 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { July } \\ & 1951 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| United States | 227.7 | 226.9 | 227.4 | 225.7 | 226.2 | 226.0 | 221.9 | 216.3 | 210.8 | 210.6 | 210.0 | 209.9 | 208.2 | 203.1 | 297.5 |
| Atlanta, Ga | 229. 4 | 228.1 | 228.7 | 228.5 | 224.1 | 224.0 | 223.4 | 217.0 | 208.3 | 208.6 | 210.2 | 210.1 | 202.0 | 195.4 | 231.0 |
| Baltimore, Md | 237.0 | 238.9 | 239.0 | 236.2 | 236.8 | 237.1 | 231.8 | 226.4 | 220.5 | 221.2 | 221.8 | 222.0 | 220.4 | 215.6 | 236.1 |
| Birmingham, Ala | 214.5 | 216.4 | 218.1 | 218.3 | 220.5 | 220.8 | 219.8 | 212.3 | 203.0 | 202.7 | 206.4 | 201.5 | 199.8 | 192.2 | 217.4 |
| Boston, Mass | 216.6 | 214.9 | 214.4 | 212.8 | 213.3 | 213.8 | 209.1 | 204.1 | 201.5 | 201.9 | 200.1 | 202.9 | 202.0 | 196.1 | 217.8 |
| Bridgeport, Conn | 226.0 | 225.9 | 225.3 | 226.0 | 226.9 | 224.1 | 220.9 | 214.6 | 209.1 | 210.8 | 206.8 | 208.4 | 210.0 | 204.0 | 226.7 |
| Buffalo | 222.1 | 224.3 | 221.9 | 218.0 | 219.6 | 217.9 | 215.5 | 207.5 | 205.7 | 204.0 | 202.6 | 203.5 | 204.9 | 199.0 | 283.7 |
| Butte, Mon | 227.4 | 225.5 | 226.6 | 222.9 | 223.9 | 222.5 | 220.7 | 215.8 | 212.2 | 212.0 | 209.4 | 209.1 | 204.9 | 203.0 | 230.4 |
| Cedar Rapids, | 238.5 | 237.2 | 236.5 | 234.8 | 234.9 | 230.6 | 229.2 | 225.8 | 220.2 | 220.6 | 219.2 | 218.8 | 211.9 | 208.6 | 248.1 |
| Oharleston, S. | 218.9 | 211.6 | 211.6 | 212.2 | 214.3 | 213.2 | 208.8 | 203.2 | 195.5 | 196. 7 | 198.9 | 199.9 | 192.8 | 188.0 | 217.9 |
| Chicago, Ill | 235.3 | 233.4 | 233.0 | 231.1 | 231.6 | 232.9 | 225.1 | 221.6 | 214.8 | 215.0 | 214.7 | 217.0 | 214.8 | 208.4 | 237.2 |
| Oincinnati, Ohio | 229.2 | 226.9 | 227.1 | 226.0 | 225.8 | 226.9 | 223.7 | 215.9 | 210.7 | 212.6 | 214.2 | 213.2 | 210.2 | 205.1 | 229.1 |
| Cleveland, Ohi | 236.7 | 236.3 | 235.6 | 231.8 | 233. 3 | 232.7 | 227.4 | 220.9 | 217.8 | 219.1 | 217.5 | 218.3 | 216.6 | 211. 2 | 236.4 |
| Columbus, Ob | 207.6 | 208.5 | 207.3 | 206.1 | 207. 1 | 206.7 | 200.7 | 197.4 | 191.1 | 192.5 | 193.2 | 194.0 | 189.9 | 183.9 | 209.4 |
| Dallas, Tex | 227.0 | 227.9 | 228.9 | 228.7 | 229. 9 | 228.7 | 225.9 | 221.1 | 213.1 | 213.5 | 215.6 | 214.2 | 207.2 | 201. 5 | 287.5 |
| Denver, Col | 230.6 | 232.6 | 232.3 | 229.9 | 230.5 | 229.0 | 227.8 | 223.6 | 216.0 | 215.1 | 212.2 | 214.8 | 209.6 | 205.9 | 226.3 |
| Detroit, Mich | 229.1 | 229.4 | 229.1 | 227.3 | 228.8 | 228.3 | 223.7 | 217.2 | 213.5 | 212.5 | 209.7 | 208.8 | 208.0 | 202.9 | 226.8 |
| Fall River, Ma | 222. 2 | 221.3 | 219.2 | 219.8 | 219. 2 | 220.8 | 216.0 | 211.4 | 206.2 | 207.6 | 205.6 | 207.7 | 207.2 | 200.7 | 223.7 |
| Houston, Tex | 235.2 | 235. 2 | 237.1 | 238.3 | 238.5 | 235.6 | 236.0 | 227.5 | 222.1 | 222.3 | 223.3 | 221.9 | 212.8 | 208.1 | 237.2 |
| Indianapolis, | 223.3 | 222.4 | 223.3 | 221.6 | 222.1 | 220.6 | 218.6 | 214.9 | 208.8 | 208.6 | 210.3 | 208.8 | 203.4 | 198.1 | 224.9 |
| Jackson, Miss | 222.6 | 221.9 | 223.2 | 222.1 | 226.3 | 226.4 | 223.1 | 216.0 | 211.6 | 213.9 | 213.9 | 213.2 | 206.0 | 201.0 | 222.1 |
| Jacksonville, Fla | 233.8 | 231.9 | 230.5 | 234.3 | 234.8 | 231.5 | 229.0 | 223.1 | 215.3 | 215.2 | 219.1 | 218.1 | 211.4 | 205.8 | 234.1 |
| Kansas City, M | 213.7 | 212.8 | 213.6 | 212.4 | 211.6 | 210.5 | 208.5 | 203.2 | 198.1 | 196.2 | 195.8 | 194.9 | 195.0 | 189.2 | 213.8 |
| Knoxville, Tenn | 251.7 | 249.8 | 250.3 | 250.9 | 253.4 | 253.1 | 248.6 | 243.6 | 235.0 | 235.8 | 238.5 | 238.5 | 227.9 | 223.1 | 251.7 |
| Little Rock, Ark | 223. 6 | 225.2 | 225. 1 | 224.9 | 226.8 | 225.2 | 222.7 | 217.1 | 211.7 | 210.9 | 211.5 | 210.7 | 204.2 | 200.1 | 223.1 |
| Los Angeles, Cal | 232.7 | 230.9 | 230.9 | 228.9 | 229.8 | 226.9 | 226.3 | 218.0 | 212.1 | 210.9 | 207.8 | 208.6 | 204.4 | 201.6 | 229.0 |
| Louisville, | 216. 0 | 215.5 | 213.7 | 212.5 | 214.6 | 214.5 | 210.0 | 203.3 | 198.0 |  | 199.4 | 197.8 | 197.6 | 192.0 | 218.1 |
| Manchester, | 221.6 | 221.0 | 218.4 | 217.8 | 217.6 | 218.9 | 215.1 | 210.1 | 207.4 | 2088 | 206.2 | 207.3 | 206.3 | 200.6 | 228.3 |
| Memphis, Tenn | 2323 | 233.0 | 234.6 | 232.9 | 233.8 | 230.8 | 227.6 | 224.0 | 218.3 | 220.1 | 221.5 | 219.4 | 213.6 | 208.3 | 232.8 |
| Milwaukee, Wis | 231.9 | 229.9 | 227.5 | 224.8 | 226.9 | 227.4 | 219.6 | 216.3 | 213.0 | 212.3 | 212.3 | 213.7 | 212.7 | 206.6 | 232.1 |
| Minneapolis, Mi | 219.0 | 219.4 | 220.3 | 217.6 | 217.7 | 217.9 | 213.8 | 206.8 | 202.1 | 200.7 | 199.1 | 200.7 | 196.8 | 194.1 | 218.7 |
| Mobile, A | 229.5 | 225.7 | 224.2 | 225.7 | 223.8 | 222.5 | 220.4 | 213.2 | 208.8 | 207.4 | 210.2 | 212.6 | 204.7 | 200.1 | 229.0 |
| Newark, N. J | 225.7 | 225.5 | 227.1 | 224.2 | 223.2 | 225.5 | 220.2 | 215.3 | 209.1 | 208.2 | 206.3 | 206.3 | 206.8 | 203.3 | 222.2 |
| New Haven, Co | 221.6 | 220.5 | 220.3 | 218.1 | 219.3 | 220.0 | 214.0 | 208.7 | 203.6 | 205.4 | 203.6 | 203.8 | 204.5 | 199.8 | 221.9 |
| New Orleans, L | 238.8 | 238.2 | 239.5 | 240.2 | 242.1 | 239.8 | 237.8 | 228.2 | 220.7 | 221.5 | 225.2 | 227.0 | 218.5 | 212.9 | 237.2 |
| New York | 226.5 | 224.4 | 226.4 | 224.9 | 224.7 | 227.0 | 221.0 | 216.1 | 211.3 | 210.2 | 210.6 | 207.2 | 209.2 | 203.7 | 225.2 |
| Norfolk, Va | 229. 1 | 229.2 | 229.4 | 227.9 | 233.8 | 231.1 | 225.2 | 214.8 | 210.8 | 211.8 | 216.3 | 217.6 | 210.3 | 205.9 | 229.7 |
| Omaha, | 219.1 | 219.6 | 219.3 | 217.0 | 216.8 | 216.4 | 213.7 | 209.8 | 203.6 | 202.3 | 203.5 | 203.9 | 199.6 | 197.2 | 219.8 |
| Peoria, Ill | 239.8 | 241.2 | 240.6 | 237.9 | 238.1 | 236.5 | 233.4 | 226.9 | 224.4 | 225.0 | 224.2 | 224.3 | 221.2 | 216.8 | 243.8 |
| Philadelph | 223.6 | 222.2 | 223.8 | 222.3 | 221.4 | 222.2 | 217.7 | 212.9 | 206.7 | 207.9 | 208.8 | 208.1 | 205. 9 | 201.4 | 220.7 |
| Pittsburg | 232.9 | 230.3 | 230.5 | 227.8 | 227.2 | 227.4 | 222.4 | 218.0 | 213.8 | 215.9 | 214.6 | 213.3 | 211.1 | 207.5 | 281.7 |
| Portland, Main | 217.0 | 213.9 | 210.0 | 209.6 | 210.5 | 211.0 | 207.9 | 202.9 | 198.1 | 198.9 | 197.7 | 198.0 | 198.9 | 193.0 | 218.0 |
| Portland, Oreg | 251. 2 | 251.5 | 252.1 | 248.6 | 250.3 | 247.4 | 243.4 | 234.9 | 230.7 | 228.7 | 228.5 | 227.5 | 224.2 | 219.1 | 249.8 |
| Providence, R. | 231.8 | 229.6 | 229.1 | 229.5 | 228.6 | 230.8 | 225.1 | 219.3 | 213.7 | 214.4 | 213.6 | 214.4 | 213.5 | 207.9 | 2355.1 |
| Richmond, | 216.5 | 216.4 | 216.7 | 215.9 | 217.4 | 218.3 | 215.6 | 210.3 | 201.6 | 202.0 | 202.9 | 202.9 | 200.7 | 195.2 | 219.0 |
| Ro | 221.5 | 222.9 | 220.9 | 217.8 | 218.2 | 216.2 | 212.2 | 206.1 | 202.6 | 204.5 | 202.0 | 201.7 | 203.4 | 196.4 | 282.7 |
| St. Louis, Mo | 237.9 | 238.2 | 238.4 | 237.6 | 239.4 | 240.0 | 234.0 | 229.7 | 221.2 | 220.2 | 220.4 | 220.8 | 220.1 | 210.2 | 238.9 |
| St. Paul, Minn | 216.5 | 216.2 | 215.1 | 214.4 | 214.1 | 212.9 | 210.5 | 202.8 | 198.4 | 196.9 | 195.3 | 195.7 | 194.4 | 192.5 | 216.8 |
| Salt Lake City, Uta | 228.3 | 230.0 | 228.3 | 226.9 | 227.9 | 225.6 | 222.2 | 217.2 | 212.4 | 211.4 | 210.9 | 210.1 | 202.8 | 202. 2 | 228.7 |
| San Francisco, O | 237.8 | 237.4 | 241.2 | 238.4 | 241.7 | 235.3 | 238.0 | 229.0 | 219.3 | 217.0 | 214.3 | 217.3 | 215.9 | 211.1 | 241.6 |
| Savannah, Ga | 241. 2 | 239.6 | 237.6 | 237.6 | 232.3 | 231.5 | 229.8 | 223.0 | 214.9 | 215.9 | 217.9 | 219.5 | 211.6 | 206.3 | 242.4 |
| Scranton, | 225.5 | 225-7 | 225.2 | 221.4 | 222.7 | 223.7 | 217.7 | 212.1 | 207.1 | 207.2 | 208.9 | 209.8 | 209.5 | 204.2 |  |
| Seattle, Wash | 233.8 | 233.0 | ${ }^{238.6}$ | 234.4 | 234.3 | 231.7 | 230.2 | 225.7 | 221.8 | 218.0 | 214.1 | 214.6 | 211.4 | 208.6 | 231.8 |
| Springfield. Ill | 238.6 | 238.5 | 237.6 | 237.6 | 237.8 | 238.2 | 233.7 | 231.7 | 223.1 | 222.1 | 218.6 | 219.8 | 218.6 | 211.8 | 240.5 |
| Washington, D. | 221. 9 | 224. 2 | 224.3 | 222.2 | 222.4 | 223.3 | 221.2 | 2167 | 208.9 | 208.9 | 207.0 | 207.4 | 205.8 | 201.9 | 222.5 |
| Wichita, Kans. ${ }^{1}$ | 238. 2 | 234.9 | 234.0 | 234.1 | 237.5 | 235.9 | 231.1 | 230.0 | 218.4 | 219.0 | 218.9 | 220.4 | 214.0 | 209.4 | 239.9 |
| Winston-Salem, N. C | 220.3 | 220.6 | 220.6 | 220.4 | 223.7 | 221.3 | 217.6 | 214.1 | 205.7 | 207.5 | 207.8 | 207.4 | 200.8 | 197.3 | 220.4 |

${ }^{1}$ June $1940=100$.

Table D-6: Average Retail Prices and Indexes of Selected Foods

| Commodity | A verage price July 1951 | Indexes 1935-39 $=100$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { July } \\ & 1951 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 1951 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 1951 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Apr. } \\ & 1951 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mar. } \\ & 1951 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Feb. } \\ & 1951 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jan. } \\ & 1951 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec. } \\ & 1950 \end{aligned}$ | Nov. <br> 1950 | Oct. 1950 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sept. } \\ & 1950 \end{aligned}$ | Aug. 1950 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { July } \\ & 1950 \end{aligned}$ | June 1950 |
| Cereals and bakery products: Cereals: | Cents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flour, wheat | 52.1 | 201.7 | 202.3 197.8 | 202.4 197.4 | 201.8 196.6 | 200.9 194.3 | 199.0 193.9 | 196.3 192.5 | 192.5 191.7 | 191.9 190.9 | 192.4 187.4 | 192.9 | 192.6 | 190.6 177.1 | 190.5 176.5 |
| Corn flakes ${ }^{1}$...-.------- 13 ounces.- | 21.3 | 199.5 200.8 | 197.8 200.4 | 197.4 | 196.6 | 194.3 203.7 | 193.9 202.8 | 192.5 200.5 | 191.7 197.8 | 190.9 197.9 | 1804.0 | 182.7 | 205.8 | 190.9 | 181.9 |
| Rice ${ }^{2}$ | 18.2 | 101.5 | 101.3 | 101.6 | 102.2 | 101.9 | 101.5 | 100.7 | 101.0 | 98.6 | 97.5 | 96.8 | 95.5 | 92.4 | 93.1 |
| Rolled oats ${ }^{3}$--------------20 20 ounces.- | 17.8 | 161.5 | 161.3 | 160.2 | 159.1 | 156.6 | 155.2 | 154.5 | 153.4 | 152.5 | 150.3 | 146.8 | 146.1 | 145.8 | 145.8 |
| Bakery products: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 15.7 50.3 | 183.4 214.9 | 183.4 213.5 | 182.8 213.2 | 182.7 214.9 | 182.8 213.7 | 183.0 211.6 | 182.2 209.8 | 172.0 201.8 | 171.9 202.8 | 171.9 201.3 | 171.5 201.6 | 171.1 197.0 | 166.2 193.3 | 163.9 191.7 |
| Vanilla cookies..----------- do.--- | 50.3 50.1 | 214.9 108.6 | 213.5 106.9 | 213.2 107.3 | 14.9 107.9 | 213.7 106.0 | 105.8 | 103.1 | 201.8 100.0 | 202.8 | 201.3 | 201.6 | 187.0 | 193.3 | 101.7 |
| Meats, poultry, and fish: Meats: <br> Beef: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rib roast .-.--------------- do | 83.8 | 290.0 | 289.5 | 289.0 | 294.6 | 292.8 | 294.2 | 288.0 | 273.3 | 266.0 | 265.3 | 270.2 | 271.7 | 272.1 | 264.1 |
| Chuck roas | 73.9 | 327.0 | 327.2 | 327.1 | 326.2 | 324.1 | 323.2 | 315.0 | 298.1 | 286.9 | 287.4 | 289.7 | 291.3 | 290.1 | 279.2 |
| Frankfurters | 65.8 | 108.4 | 106.5 | 106.5 | 106.2 | 106. 4 | 105.7 | 104.4 | 100.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hamburger ${ }^{3}$ | 66.1 | 215.9 | 215.8 | 216.9 | 219.7 | 218.8 | 217.5 | 212.1 | 201.0 | 196.6 | 196.5 | 197.4 | 197.5 | 189.3 | 181.8 |
|  | 12 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 308.6 | 308.0 | 300.2 | 286.7 | 281.1 | 281.0 | 280.1 | 277.8 | 275.3 | 271.2 |
| Pork. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chops | 78.2 | 236.9 | 235.3 | 234.2 | 233.4 | 235.7 | 235.6 | 228.1 | 216.6 | 221.8 | 229.9 | 261.2 | 253.5 | 268.6 | 243.5 |
| Bacon, | 67.8 | 177.8 | 177.8 | 177.6 | 177.6 | 178.2 | 178.0 | 175.9 | 171.9 | 174.8 | 183. 9 | 184.3 | 181.7 | 171.4 | 161.9 |
| Ham, wh | 67.3 | 229.0 | 228.1 | 226.3 | 228.0 | 230.1 | 229.7 | 224.9 | 212.7 | 204.9 | 210.7 | 233.6 | 236.4 179.6 | 229.7 | 215.8 |
| Salt pork | 38.7 | 183.6 | 184.9 | 184.9 | 187.9 | 188.0 | 187.5 | 186.7 | 184.5 | 183.6 | 184.8 | 183.1 | 179.6 | 164.8 | 160.5 |
| Lamb: Leg | 84.1 | 296.9 | 297.2 | 293.8 | 288.7 | 285.0 | 284.1 | 277.9 | 273.3 | 268.4 | 263.5 | 268.4 | 271.2 | 273.3 | 272.4 |
| Poultry. |  |  |  | 198.9 | 198.5 | 198.9 | 193.2 | 184.3 | 179.3 | 180.1 | 187.2 | 199.2 | 202.3 | 189.8 | 185.1 |
| Frying chickens: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 49.3 \\ & 62.7 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fish: |  | 288.1 | 291.4 | 287.1 |  | 287.6 | 283.7 | 283.0 | 279.5 | 278.5 | 277.1 | 276.2 | 272.8 | 270.0 | 268.4 |
| Salmon, pink .-...- 16 ounce ca | 63.0 | 509.2 | 511.0 | 511.7 | 508.1 | 502.4 | 501.1 | 493.7 | 484.5 | 473.1 | 446.9 | 381.1 | 357.9 | 344.8 | 344.1 |
| Dairy products: <br> Butter pound |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 197.9 |  |  |
| Butter .-...-.-.-.-.-.-.-.-.-.-- pound -- | 80.8 58.8 | 221.8 260.0 | 223.8 | 223.3 260.3 | 219.7 265.7 | 224.0 | 226.1 | 228.0 254.9 | 209.7 232.4 | 205.0 230.3 | 204. 1 | 198.9 229.0 | 197.9 228.2 | 195.6 226.3 | 195.4 226.2 |
| Cheese, American process.-.-.-. do Milk, fresh (delivered) | 58.8 23.0 | 187.2 | 185.1 | 184.9 | 265.7 185.6 | 185. 4 | 184.8 | 183.5 | 179.0 | 178.3 | 177.4 | 170.6 | 167.5 | 164.2 | 160.4 |
| Milk, fresh (grocery) ${ }^{\text {P }}$--.-.-. ${ }^{\text {do }}$ | 21.6 | 188.5 | 186.4 | 185.9 | 186.9 | 187.3 | 186.7 | 185.7 | 180.6 | 181.1 | 180.3 | 174.2 | 170.0 | 165.7 | 162.0 |
|  | 31.3 | 105.1 | 104.9 | 104.7 | 105.2 | 104.9 | 105.4 | 104.2 | 100.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mllk, evaporated.-1412 ounce can.- | 14.5 | 203.3 | 203.3 | 202.8 | 203.2 | 202.4 | 201.0 | 194.1 | 183.7 | 183.0 | 182.8 | 181.1 | 177.8 | 173.9 | 174. 2 |
|  | 73.8 | 211.5 | 201.2 | 198.4 | 191.2 | 195.2 | 179.8 | 191.5 | 249.4 | 205.4 | 206.2 | 192.1 | 182. 2 | 163.3 | 148.4 |
| Fruits and vegetables:Frozen fruits: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Orange juice ${ }^{\text {4 }}$---------------6 6 ounces | 24.2 | 103.2 | 104.8 | 105.0 | 105.1 | 104.2 | 102.4 | 102.0 | 100.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frozen vegetables: 12 ounces |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peas 4...--------------12 ounces.- | 24.6 | 98.2 | 98.0 | 98.3 | 98.3 | 100.1 | 99.9 | 99.1 | 100.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fresh fruits: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apples | 12.8 | 240.2 | 232.9 | 213.6 | 205.1 | 206.0 | 206.4 | 204.4 | 195.3 | 187.0 | 190.3 | 229.5 247.1 | 237.5 263.8 | 340.6 268.6 | 301.1 271.9 |
| Bananas | 16.2 | 268.9 | 271.7 | 274.2 | 273.9 | 276.2 | 274.0 | 266.5 | 271.0 | 266.4 | 261.4 | 247.1 175.4 | 263.8 174.0 | 268.6 182.9 | 271.9 172.8 |
| Oranges, size 200...--.-.-.-. dozen -- Fresh vegetables: | 46.0 | 161.5 | 167.5 | 163.7 | 158.0 | 166.1 | 173.4 | 153.3 | 166.5 | 176.3 | 191.0 | 175.4 | 174.0 | 182.9 | 172.8 |
| Fresh vegetables: | 16.0 | 149.1 | 187.3 | 212.7 | 205.7 | 193.3 | 244.8 | 303.5 | 310.6 | 228.4 | 154.5 | 160.1 | 143.7 | 165.6 | 151.0 |
|  | 5. 7 | 151.0 | 172.9 | 191.0 | 225.6 | 386.5 | 425. 2 | 239.6 | 158.5 | 125.6 | 126.5 | 134.3 | 142.5 | 158.7 | 174.3 |
|  | 12.5 | 229.2 | 202.6 | 196.5 | 192.9 | 220.4 | 258.7 | 206.0 | 203.8 | 203.1 | 177.0 | 180.2 | 1812 | 195.1 | 181.7 |
|  | 15. 9 | 192.6 | 162.8 | 229.8 | 212.1 | 149.2 | 189.3 | 164.3 | 167.6 | 173.3 | 159.2 | 155.8 | 150.7 | 138.9 | 167.3 |
|  | 8.5 | 205.7 | 246.1 | 235.1 | 186. 7 | 176.8 | 173.2 | 144.0 | 133.1 | 128.9 | 133.8 | 148.7 | 174.0 | 197.4 | 187.1 |
| Potatoes .-.------------15 pounds - | 86.1 | 236.1 | 230.2 | 202.5 | 185.0 | 179.1 | 177.6 | 172.3 | 163.8 | 154.0 | 163.5 | 178.8 | 202.0 | 216.3 | 219.3 |
| Sweetpotatoes .-.-.-.------- pound.- | 13.1 | 251.8 | 231.4 | 201.5 | 192.4 | 190.3 | 189.7 | 182.5 | 177.5 | 161.2 | 159.3 | 184.8 | 216.0 | 198.5 | 209.4 |
| Tomatoes ${ }^{10}$-..---------.-.- ${ }^{\text {d }}$ do. | 25.9 | 170.2 | 179.4 | 196.6 | 193.1 | 216.1 | 218.7 | 254.7 | 193.6 | 167.9 | 131.6 | 86.1 | 117.5 | 215.4 | 208.3 |
| Canned fruits: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peaches ..---------- No. $21 / 2$ can -- | 33.6 | 174.8 | 174.9 | 174.6 | 174.3 | 173.8 | 172.8 | 172.1 | 168. 2 | 166. 7 | 164.6 | 158.3 | 151.5 | 142.4 | 140.1 |
| Pineapple | 38.6 | 177.6 | 178.1 | 178.8 | 179.7 | 178.3 | 178.5 | 177.5 | 176.1 | 176.0 | 175.7 | 175.0 | 174.8 | 172.7 | 172.0 |
| Canned vegetables: No. 303 can | 17.9 | 164.9 | 164.2 | 164.4 | 163.6 | 162.8 | 161.8 | 159.5 | 154.3 | 150.5 | 147.8 | 141.4 | 139.5 | 137.5 | 138.4 |
| Tomatoes.-------------No.- 2 can | 20.4 | 228. 0 | 230.4 | 226.4 | 223.6 | 215.9 | 209.1 | 191.2 | 176.3 | 172.0 | 169.1 | 164.4 | 163.9 | 161.5 | 161.6 |
| Peas... .-..-.-.-.--No. 303 can - | 21.8 | 119.2 | 118. 8 | 118.8 | 119.3 | 119.6 | 119.7 | 119.5 | 1178 | 117.2 | 117.3 | 116.0 | 114.8 | 112.9 | 114.3 |
| Baby foods 4...--- - 41/2-43/4 ounces.. | 9.9 | 101.7 | 102.1 | 101. 9 | 101.5 | 101.4 | 100.8 | 100.2 | 100.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dried fruits, prunes..........-- pound .- | 27.8 | 274.5 | 272.8 | 273.1 | 273.3 | 272. 1 | 271.4 | 263.0 | 264.6 | 261.4 | 253.4 | 242.0 | 238.2 | 235.7 | 237.8 |
| Dried vegetables, navy beans...--do..-- | 16.6 | 224.4 | 230.7 | 233.8 | 235.5 | 235.4 | 234.9 | 231.8 | 226.7 | 218.8 | 214.0 | 210.7 | 209.4 | 203.9 | 202.7 |
| Beverages: Oofee. | 87.1 | 346. 2 | 346.7 | 346.5 | 344.1 | 342.9 | 343.5 | 340.7 | 331.4 | 332.5 | 343.2 | 336.1 | 328.1 | 303.6 | 294.8 |
| Cola drink ${ }^{\text {a }}$----------6-6-6ttle carton | 28.3 | 108.0 | 108.0 | 108.2 | 108.5 | 108.3 | 107.9 | 107.8 | 100.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fats and oils: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lard...-.-.-.-.-.-.-.-.-.----- pound -- | 23.8 | 159.9 | 166.2 | 167.8 | 173.7 | 174.4 | 173.3 | 166.3 | 149.5 | 142.0 | 142.6 | 156.1 | 157.9 | 118.7 | 116.0 |
| Shortening, hydrogenated.-....- do..-- | 39.3 | 190.4 | 198.4 | 201.1 | 201.1 | 198.4 | 197.4 | 191.2 | 175.1 | 169.4 | 169.0 | 168.2 | 166.1 | 157.2 | 155.6 |
|  | 39.4 | 163. 5 | 166.1 | 164.8 | 165.8 | 165.5 | 164.2 | 161.4 | 152.9 | 148. 9 | 148.4 | 148.1 | 146. 9 | 142.4 | 142.1 |
| Margarine ...-.-.-.-.-.-------- pound.- |  | 184.2 | 194.3 | 197.8 | 199.9 | 199.1 | 199.5 | 193.9 | 179.9 | 173.0 | 173.8 | 174.5 | 173.7 | 164.2 | 161.1 |
| Uncolored ${ }^{12}$-...-.-.-.-.-.-.- ${ }^{\text {do }}$ do | 36.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Colored ${ }^{13}$ | 34.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sugar and sweets:Sugar |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 51.2 | 190.8 | 187.4 | 186. 4 | 186.7 | 187.4 | 187.6 | 187.3 | 186.5 | 186.8 | 187.3 | 188.5 | 188.7 | 177.0 | 175.3 |
| Grane jelly 4 ......... 12 nimmes | 23.8 | 100.0 | 1010 | 101.0 | 101.5 | 100.8 | 100.5 | 100.3 | 100.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^32]11 No. 303 can of corn introduced in May 1951 in place of No. 2 can

Table D-7: Indexes of Wholesale Prices, ${ }^{1}$ by Group of Commodities, for Selected Periods

${ }^{1}$ BLS wholesale price data, for the most part, represent prices in primary markets. They are prices charged by manufacturers or producers or are prices prevailing on organized exchanges. The weekly index is calculated from 1-day-a-week prices; the monthly index from an average of these prices Monthly indexes for the last 2 months are preliminary.
The Indexes currently are computed by the fixed base aggregate method, with weights representing quantities produced for sale in 1929-31. (For a detailed description of the method of calculation see "Revised Method of Calculation of the Bureau of Labor Statistics Wholesale Price Index," in the Journal of the American Statistical Association, December 1937.)
Mimeographed tables are available, upon request to the Bureau, giving monthly indexes for major groups of commodities since 1890 and for subgroups and economic groups since 1913. The weekly wholesale price indexes are
available in summary form since 1947 for all commodities; all commodities less farm products and foods; farm products; foods; textile products; fuel and lighting materials; metals and metal products; building materials, and chemicals and allied products. Weekly indexes are also available for the subgroups of grains, investock, and meats.
2 Includes current motor vehicle prices beginning with October 1946. The rate of production of motor vehicles in October 1946 exceeded the monthly average rate of civilian production in 1941, and in accordance with the announcement made in September 1946, the Bureau introduced current prices for motor vehicles in the October calculations. During the war, motor vehicles were not produced for general civilian sale and the Bureau carried April 1942 prices forward in each computation through September 1946.

- Corrected.

Table D-8: Indexes of Wholesale Prices, ${ }^{1}$ by Group and Subgroup of Commodities
[1926=100]

| Group and subgroup | 1951 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1950 |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{1946}{\text { June }}$ | $\frac{1938}{\text { Aug. }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | July | June | May | Apr. | Mar. | Feb. | Jan. | Dec. | Nov. | Oct. | Sept. | Aug. | July |  |  |
| All commodities ${ }^{2}$---------.- | 179.5 | 181.8 | 182.9 | 183.6 | 184.0 | 183.6 | 180.1 | 175.3 | 171.7 | 169.1 | 169.5 | 166.4 | 162.9 | 112.9 | 75.0 |
| Farm products..------------ | 194.0 | 198.6 | 199.6 | 202.5 | 203.8 | 202.6 | 194.2 | 187.4 | 183.7 | 177.8 | 180.4 | 177.6 | 176.0 | 140 | 1. 0 |
| Grains | 178.0 | 178.6 | 185.6 | 189.1 | 188.0 | 192.0 | 186.6 | 180.9 | 172.1 | 165.3 | 166.5 | 167.7 | 173.5 | 151.8 | 51.5 |
|  | 233.9 | 235.8 | 234.8 | 240.9 | 241.2 | 238.2 | 222.2 | 204.9 | 197.3 | 198.7 | 211.3 | 217.3 | 215.8 | 137.4 | 66.0 |
| Livestock ${ }^{\text {L }}$-.-.-.....- | 263.4 | 265.1 | 263.6 | 269. 9 | 270.4 | 268.0 | 250.6 | 231.8 | 222.6 | 223.8 | 237.5 | 243.8 | 242.5 | 143. 4 | 67.7 |
| Poultry | 91.5 | 94.4 | 96.5 | 102.1 | 101.1 | 94.3 | 84.7 | 74.5 | 74.9 | 77.1 | 85.3 | 90.2 | 87.6 | (3) | $\left.{ }^{3}\right)$ |
| Other farm products....- | 173.1 | 180.4 | 181.0 | 181.7 | 184.3 | 182.8 | 178.2 | 177.4 | 177.4 | 167.4 | 164.4 | 155.3 | 151.8 | 137.5 | 60.1 |
|  | 137.3 | 137.1 | 128.6 | 125.1 | 124.7 | 117.0 | 116.5 | 149.5 | 148.2 | 141.0 | 128.8 | 110.1 | 103.8 | 97.3 | 47.5 |
| Foods | 186.0 | 186.3 | 187.3 | 185.8 | 186.6 | 187.6 | 182.2 | 179.0 | 175.2 | 172.5 | 177.2 | 174.6 | 171.4 | 112.9 | 67.2 |
|  | 167.5 | 163.4 | 164.9 | 166.6 | 170.3 | 173.0 | 171.5 | 164.4 | 164.1 | 160.8 | 154.7 | 148.0 | 141.8 | 127.3 | 67.8 |
| Cereal products.-.---.-. | 162.3 | 162.3 | 163.6 | 164.5 | 164.5 | 166.3 | 163.0 | 157.6 | 154.1 | 153.8 | 155.5 | 154.9 | 151.2 | 101.7 | 71.9 |
|  | 144.3 | 146.3 | 146.5 | 140.0 | 139.9 | 142.4 | 136.1 | 138.0 | 140.4 | 129.5 | 131.0 | 132.0 | 137.0 | 136.1 | 58.5 |
| Fruits and vegetables Meats, | 254.6 | 255. 2 | 257.2 | 255.1 | 254.5 | 255.2 | 242.7 | 233.7 | 223.4 | 223.7 | 241.0 | 240.2 | 240.7 | 110.1 | 73.7 |
| Meats '- | 275.2 | 275.4 | 276.3 | 274.1 | 273.7 | 274.8 | 261.5 | 251.9 | 240.5 | 240.8 | 259.5 | 258.3 | 260.1 | 116.6 | 78.1 |
|  | 101.1 | 104.3 | 113.5 | 112.5 | 108.7 | 107.1 | 98.2 | 92.3 | 90.8 | 90.2 | 99.0 | 103.5 | 97.9 | (3) | (8) |
| Other foods....-........-. | 158.5 | 160.8 | 160.7 | 158.8 | 160.0 | 159.0 | 157.7 | 161.5 | 158.9 | 156.4 | 158.7 | 154.1 | 145.1 | 98.1 | 60.3 |
| Fides and leather products.- | 221.9 | 230.6 | 232.6 | 233.3 | 236.2 | 238.2 | 234.8 | - 218.7 | - 211.5 | - 208.6 | c 203.0 | 195.6 | 187.2 | 122.4 | 92.7 |
|  | 222.4 | 223.3 | 223.8 | 223.5 | 222.0 | 224.6 | 219.4 | - 209.3 | - 203.7 | - 200.5 | c 194.9 | 191.4 | 185.8 | 129.5 | 100.8 |
|  | 250.7 | 284.3 | 293.8 | 297.8 | 313.0 | 317.8 | 318.2 | 277.5 | 269.3 | 266.3 | 264.7 | 238.2 | 219.8 | 121.5 | 77.2 |
| Leather | 216.8 180.6 | 227.5 | 228.2 | 228.7 | 229.2 | 229.1 | 224.8 | 213.8 | 204.9 | 201.3 | 196.8 | 192.3 | 185.3 | 110.7 | 84.0 |
| Other leather products.- | 180.6 | 180.6 | 180.6 | 180.6 | 188.2 | 188.0 | 188.0 | 173.9 | 164.9 | 164.9 | 151.3 | 151.3 | 143.1 | 115.2 | 97.1 |
|  | 173.5 | c 178.2 | c 182.1 | 182.8 | 183.2 | 181.1 | 178.2 | c 171.4 | -166.8 | 163.1 | 158.3 | 149.5 | 142.6 | 109. 2 | 67.8 |
| Textile products. <br> Clothing. <br> Cotton goods | 164.8 | c 164.0 | - 164.0 | 163.9 | 163.9 | 163.9 | 161.6 | 155.4 | 151.4 | 147.7 | 146.7 | 145. 2 | 144.3 | 120.3 | 81.5 |
|  | 217.8 | c 228.7 | 234.1 | 236.2 | 239. 9 | 240.5 | 239.2 | e 236.6 | 231.7 | 225.7 | 221.6 | 206.8 | 190.7 | 139.4 | 65.5 |
| Cotton goods .-........-- Hosiery and underwear- | 111.2 | -112.9 | c 113.4 | 113.5 | e 113.5 | 113.8 | 115.2 | 113.7 | 111.4 | 109.2 | 105.3 | 101.2 | 99.2 | 75.8 | 61.5 |
| Rayon and nylon rear- | 43.1 | 43.1 | 43.1 | 43.1 | 43.1 | 43.1 | 43.1 | 43.0 | 42.7 | 42.5 | 41.7 | 41.3 | 40.7 | 30.2 | 28.5 |
| W oolen and worsted Other textile products. | 71.1 | 73.2 | 76.3 | 85. 2 | 90.8 | 90.8 | 86.1 | 75.0 | 69.0 | 65.3 | 64.9 | 65.6 | 60.3 | $\left.{ }^{3}\right)$ | 44.3 |
|  | 221.6 239.6 | c 228.7 c 250.1 | c 244.5 | 243.7 | 240.2 | 227.3 | 217.4 | c 195.6 | c 192.7 | - 189.1 | 178.7 | 157.7 | 150.9 | 112.7 | 75.5 |
|  | 239.6 | - 250.1 | 247.0 | 249.2 | 246.1 | 243.8 | 238.1 | 229.6 | 210.4 | 207.3 | 191.3 | 181.5 | 168.5 | 112.3 | 63.7 |
| Fuel and lighting materials- | 137.8 153.5 | 137.8 152.5 | 137.5 | 138.1 152.8 | 138.6 156.1 | 138.1 156.5 | 136.4 145.8 | - 135.7 | - 135.7 | c 135.3 | c 134.9 | c 134.2 | - 133.5 | 87.8 | 72.6 |
| Anthracite.-.-.---.----- | 194.5 | 155.4 | 195.0 | 152.8 195.6 | 197.1 | 196.5 | 145.8 193.2 | 145.7 193.2 | 144.7 193.3 | 143.9 | 142.8 | 142.1 | 141.0 | 106.1 | 72.1 |
| Coke...... | 234.8 | 234.8 | 234.8 | 234.8 | 234.5 | 234.1 | 232.8 | 232.7 | 232.5 | 231.1 | - 225.6 | 225.6 | 191.9 225.6 | 133.5 | 96.0 104.2 |
| Electricity | ${ }^{(3)}$ | ${ }^{(3)}$ | 64.7 | 64.8 | 65.1 | 66.4 | 65.4 | 65.7 | 65.5 | 65.2 | 65.6 | 225.6 65.5 | 225.6 67.0 | 67.2 | 75.8 |
|  | ${ }^{(3)}$ | 92.9 | 92.9 | 93.3 | 93.8 | 92.2 | 90.0 | 90.2 | 90.5 | 88.9 | 89.0 | 88.1 | 88.3 | 79.6 | 86.7 |
| Petroleum and products | 120.4 | 120.0 | 119.7 | 120.0 | 120.3 | 119.4 | 119.4 | 118.0 | 118.1 | 118.0 | 117.8 | 116.8 | 115.5 | 64.0 | 51.7 |
| Metals and metal products ${ }^{2}$ Agricultural machinery | 188.0 | 188.2 | 188.8 | 189.0 | 188.8 | 188.1 | 187.5 | c 184.9 | 180.4 | 178.6 | 176.7 | -174.4 | 172.4 | 112.2 | 93.2 |
|  | 158.9 | 159.1 | 159.1 | 159.1 | c 159.1 | 159.0 | 156.2 | -155. 7 | -153.3 | -152 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Farm mach | 160.9 | 161.1 | 161.1 | 161.1 | -161.1 | 161.0 | 158. 4 | -158.2 | -155.8 | 154.5 | 152.7 | c 145.6 147.7 | 144.0 146.2 | 104.5 | 93.5 94.7 |
| Iron and steel. | 185. 9 | 185. 9 | 185.9 | 185.9 | 185.6 | 185.7 | 185.7 | 182.1 | 174.0 | 173.2 | 172.2 | 171.0 | 169.8 | 110.1 | 95.1 |
| Steel mill products....- | 186.2 | 186. 2 | 186.2 | 186.2 | 186.2 | 186.2 | 186. 1 | 183.2 | 172.8 | 172.7 | 172.5 | 172.3 | 172.3 | 112.2 | 88.6 |
|  | 196.2 | 196. 2 | 196.2 | 196.2 | 196.2 | 196.2 | 196. 2 | 196.2 | 185. 4 | 185.4 | 185.4 | 185.4 | 185.4 | 108.9 | 96.0 |
| Motor vehicles ${ }^{\text {P }}$ | 184.9 | 184.9 | 184.9 | 184.9 | 184.9 | 184.9 | 184.9 | 181.6 | 171.2 | 171.1 | 170.9 | 170.6 | 170.6 | 112.8 | 99.0 |
|  | 184.6 | 184.3 | 184.1 | 184.1 | 184.1 | 179.0 | 178.8 | 178.4 | 176.9 | 176.8 | 176.5 | 176.1 | 175.1 | 135.5 | 92.5 |
|  | 193.7 | 193.7 | 193.7 | 193.7 | 193.7 | 187.1 | 187.1 | 187.1 | 187.1 | 187.0 | 186.6 | 186.4 | 185.2 | 142.8 | 95.6 |
|  | 145.2 | - 144.0 | 143.1 | 143.1 | 143.1 | 143.1 | 142.2 | 140.6 | 133.9 | 133.9 | 133.9 | 133.1 | 133.0 | 104.3 | 77.4 |
| Nonferrous metals Plumbing and heating Plumbing ' | 175.6 | 178.2 | 182.8 | 184.1 | 183.5 | 191.1 | 187.9 | 182.5 | 181.7 | 173.3 | 166.1 | 156.3 | 150.6 | 99.2 | 74.6 |
|  | 183.6 | - 183.6 | 183.7 | 183.7 | 183.7 | 183.7 | 183.7 | 183.6 | 182.5 | 177.2 | 166.9 | 164.6 | 156.5 | 106.0 | 79.3 |
|  | 138.8 | c 139.3 | 139.4 | 139.4 | 139.4 | 139.4 | 139.4 | 139.3 | 137.3 | 132.0 | 125.4 | 123.9 | 116.9 | (4) | (4) |
| Building materials.........-. | 223.8 | 225.6 | 227.8 | 228.5 | 228.5 | 228.1 | 226.1 | -221.4 | 217.8 | 218.9 | e 219.7 | 213.9 | c 207.2 | 129.9 | 89.6 |
|  | 180.8 | 180.8 | 180.8 | 180.8 | 180.8 | 180.8 | 180.7 | - 179.1 | - 177.6 | - 177.2 | -170.2 | - 167.9 | - 165.4 | 121.3 | 90.5 |
| Cement $\dagger$ Lumber. | 147.2 | 147. 2 | 147.2 | 147.2 | 147.1 | 147.1 | 147.2 | 141.2 | 140.8 | -140.2 | 136.3 | 135.5 | 135.3 | 102.6 | 91.3 |
|  | 347.2 | 352.3 | c 358.8 | 361.0 | 361.2 | 359.8 | 356.8 | 348.4 | 347.6 | 358.4 | 371.5 | 357.6 | 338.0 | 176.0 | 90.1 |
| Paint, paint materials ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 159.1 | 161.6 | 163.7 | 164.7 | 164.4 | 164.0 | 162.1 | 154.9 | 148.2 | 145.7 | 145.9 | 142.4 | 138.6 | 108.6 | 82.1 |
|  | 153.9 | 153.9 | 153.9 | 153.9 | 153.3 | 153.3 | 152.1 | 147.3 | 143.6 | 142.4 | 142.4 | 141.3 | 138.6 | 99.3 | 92.9 |
| Paint materials Plumbing and heating.-- | 167.7 | -173.0 | -177.5 | 179.6 | 179.8 | 178.9 | 176.2 | 166.2 | 156.1 | 152.1 | 152.4 | 146.2 | 141.3 | 120.9 | 71.8 |
| Plumbing and heating-- Plumbing | 183.6 | -183.6 | 183.7 | 183.7 | 183.7 | 183.7 | 183.7 | 183.6 | 182.5 | 177.2 | 166.9 | 164.6 | 156.5 | 106.0 | 79.3 |
| Plumbing ${ }^{\text {r }}$ Structural stel | 138.8 | c 139.3 | 139.4 | 139.4 | 139.4 | 139.4 | 139.4 | 139.3 | 137.3 | 132.0 | 125.4 | 123.9 | 116.9 | (4) | (4) |
| Structural steel | 204.3 | 204.3 | 204.3 | 204.3 | 204.3 | 204.3 | 204.3 | 204.3 | 191.6 | 191.6 | 191.6 | 191.6 | 191.6 | 120.1 | 107.3 |
| Other bldg. materials | 198.1 | 198.1 | 198.2 | 188.3 | 198.2 | 198.2 | 195.8 | 193.8 | 189.4 | 186.6 | 182.5 | 178.7 | 177.4 | 118.4 | 89.5 |
| Chemicals and allied prod- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 139.4 | - 142.3 | c 145.7 | 147.9 | 146.4 | 147.3 | 144.5 | 139.6 | -135. 7 | 132.2 | - 128.7 | 122.5 | 118.1 | 96.4 | 74.2 |
|  | 14 | 144.0 | 145.2 | 145.0 | 138.2 | 139.0 | 138.1 | 136.1 | 134.3 | 131.6 | 125.4 | c 121.9 | - 119.1 | 98.0 | 83.8 |
|  | 184.7 | 185.3 | 185. 2 | 184.5 | 185.1 | 185. 2 | 184.4 | 175.1 | 163.8 | 161.1 | 153.4 | 135.0 | 129.1 | 109.4 | 77.1 |
| tical materials_.-..--- | 119.0 | 115.1 | 117.1 | 117.8 | 118.1 | 118.1 | 118.1 | 115.6 | 112.0 | 111.2 | 111.4 | 112.1 | 129.1 | 109.4 82.7 | 65.5 |
| Mixed fertilizers | 108.6 | 108.6 | 108.6 | 108.6 | 108.9 | 108.9 | 108.9 | 107.4 | c 105.1 | -103.4 | -103.4 | - 103.4 | -103.4 | 86.6 | 73.1 |
|  | 139.3 | c 161.2 | c 181.0 | 198.7 | 214.6 | 217.3 | 200.4 | 180.9 | 171.5 | 160.3 | 163.9 | -142.7 | -126.0 | 102.1 | 40.6 |
| Housefurnishing goods.--.-- | 178.9 | - 179.4 | 180.0 | 180.1 | 178.8 | 175. 4 | 174.7 | - 170.2 | 166.9 | 163.8 | 159.2 | 153.9 | 148.7 | 110.4 | 85.6 |
| Furnishings....---....-- | 194.6 | 196. 0 | 195.9 | 195. 9 | 193.4 | 186.9 | 186.2 | -180.6 | 176.6 | - 173.6 | 168.1 | 162.8 | 156.2 | 114.5 | 90.0 |
|  | 162.3 | 161.5 | 162.9 | 163.1 | 163.2 | 163.2 | 162.7 | 159.2 | 156.7 | c 153.6 | 149.9 | 144.6 | 141.0 | 108.5 | 81.1 |
| Miscellaneous. | 138.8 | 141.7 | 141.7 | 142.7 | 142.5 | 142.7 | 142.4 | 140.5 | 137.6 | 131.3 | 127.4 | 124.3 | 119.0 | 98.5 | 73.3 |
| Tires and tubes r-...----- | 82.9 | 82.8 | 82.8 | 82.8 | 828 | 82.8 | 82.8 | 82.5 | 82.3 | 78.1 | 77.4 | 75.0 | 68.7 | 65.7 | 59.5 |
| Cattle feed | 240.3 | 245.0 | 244.9 | 261.9 | 236.5 | 229.6 | 226.3 | 224.4 | 211.4 | 199.6 | 203.8 | 205.6 | 240.5 | 197.8 | 68.4 |
| Paper and pulp | 197.2 | 196. 2 | 196.2 | 196.2 | 196.3 | 196.5 | 196.5 | 189.0 | 178.7 | 173.4 | 167.1 | 163.9 | -159.8 | 115. 6 | 80.0 |
| Paperboard | 221.0 | 221.1 | 221.0 | 221.0 | 221.0 | 221.0 | 221.1 | 214.0 | 193.0 | 184.3 | 171.6 | 165.5 | 152.8 | 115.6 | 66.2 |
| Paperboard | 178.1 | 173.5 | 173.5 | 173.5 | 173.8 | 174.2 | 174.2 | 173.3 | 164.5 | 159.4 | 157.3 | 154.5 | 152.0 | 107.3 | 83.9 |
| Rubber, crude | 253.4 | 273.8 | 273.8 | 273.8 | 272.5 | 272.5 | 272.1 | 222.6 | 222.6 | 222.6 | 201.8 | 201.5 | - 202.9 | 154.1 | 69.6 |
|  | 106.6 | 135.1 | 135. 1 | 137.5 | 145.4 | 147.3 | 148.4 | 146.1 | 150.5 | 131.5 | 114.7 | 106. 1 | 78.4 | 46. 2 | 34.9 |
| Other miscelleanous...$-{ }^{\text {a }}$---Soaps and detergents | 136.3 | 136. 7 | 136. 7 | 136.7 | 136.8 | 137.6 | 137.1 | 136. 6 | 134.7 | 130.5 | 127.8 | 125.4 | 121.7 | 101.0 | 81.3 |
|  | 148.2 | ${ }^{\text {c }} 153.6$ | 154.1 | 154.1 | 155.3 | 162.5 | 157.8 | 152.3 | 144.4 | 143.2 | 140.0 | 130.5 | 122.0 | 101.3 | 78.9 |

${ }^{1}$ See footnote 1, table D-7. ${ }^{2}$ See footnote 2, table D-7. ${ }^{3}$ Not available. 4ndex based on old series not available. Revised series first used in index in May 1950. © Corrected. ${ }^{r}$ Revised.
$\dagger$ Revised indexes for dates prior to August 1949 available upon request.

## E: Work Stoppages

Table E-1: Work Stoppages Resulting From Labor-Management Disputes ${ }^{1}$


[^33]shifts in establishments directly involved in a stoppage. They do not measure the indirect or secondary effects on other establishments or industries whose employees are made idle as a result of material or service shortages. 2 Preliminary.

## F: Building and Construction

Table F-1: Expenditures for New Construction ${ }^{1}$
[Value of work put in place]

| Type of construction | Expenditures (in millions) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1951 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1950] |  |  |  |  | 1950 <br> Total | $\frac{1949}{\text { Total }}$ |
|  | Aug. ${ }^{2}$ | July ${ }^{3}$ | June ${ }^{3}$ | May ${ }^{\text {3 }}$ | Apr. | Mar. | Feb. | Jan. | Dec. | Nov. | Oct. | Sept. | Aug. |  |  |
|  | \$2, 802 | \$2, 770 | \$2, 716 | \$2, 556 | \$2,387 | \$2, 188 | \$1,973 | \$2, 100 | \$2, 234 | \$2,569 | \$2, 773 | \$2, 848 | \$2,817 | \$27, 002 | \$22, 584 |
| Private construction... | 1,865 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,586 | 1,721 | 1,901 | 2,025 | 2,095 | 2,090 | 20,789 | 16,181 |
| Residential building (nonfarm) | 920 | 1,871937830 | $\begin{array}{r} 1,846 \\ \quad 939 \end{array}$ | 1,739 |  | $\begin{array}{r} 1,603 \\ 852 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,518 \\ 827 \\ 750 \end{array}$ |  | 1,003 | 1,1311,040 | 1,2471,145 | 1,322 | $1,322$ | $12,600$ |  |
| New dwelling units.-.-..... | 815 |  | 83588 | 881 785 | $\begin{aligned} & 882 \\ & 795 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 852 \\ & 775 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 827 \\ & 750 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Additions and alterations Nonhousekeeping ${ }^{\text {b }}$. | 88 17 | 830 90 |  | 80 16 | 71 16 | 61 16 | 17 | 17 | 18 | 18 | $\begin{aligned} & 84 \\ & 18 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 94 \\ & 17 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 93 \\ & 17 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 900 \\ & 175 \end{aligned}$ | 7,257 825 |
| Nonresidential building (nonfarm) ${ }^{6}$ | 443 | 152 | 450 | 435 | 407 | 399 | 384 | 378 | 395 | 403 | 382 | 354 | 333 | 3,777 | 3,228 |
|  | 184 | 177 | 165 | 162 | 150 | 142 | 135 | 129 | 125 | 120 | 112 | 101 | 91 | 1,062 | -972 |
| Commercial. | 107 | 120 | 131 | 130 | 125 | 128 | 121 | 122 | 140 | 149 | 136 | 121 | 114 | 1,288 | 1,027 |
| Warehouses, office and loft buildings. | 48 | 48 | 48 | 47 | 45 | 45 | 46 | 47 | 48 | 47 | 43 | 39 | 35 | 402 | 321 |
| Stores, restaurants, and garages. | 59 | 72 |  |  | 80132 | 83129 | 75128 | $\begin{array}{r} 75 \\ 127 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 92 \\ 130 \end{array}$ | 102 | 93 | 82 | 79 | $\begin{array}{r} 886 \\ 1,427 \end{array}$ |  |
| Other nonresidential building------ | 152 | 155 | 83 154 | 83 143 |  |  |  |  |  | 13440 | 13440 | 13239 | 128 |  | 706 1,229 |
| Religious | 43 | 42 | 41 | 38 | 35 | 35 | +35 | 127 37 | 19 39 |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r}128 \\ 3 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 1,427 409 | 1, 229 |
| Educational | 32 | 31 | 29 | 27 | 26 | 26 | 2718 | 2819 | 2920 | $\begin{array}{r}29 \\ 22 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 2923 | 23 | 24 | 294 | 269262 |
| Social and recreational | 13 | 14 | 15 | 14 | 15 | 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 247 |  |
| Hospital and institutional ${ }^{7}$--- | 37 | 38 | 38 | 37 | 34 | 32 | 31 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 |  | 262202136 |
|  | 27 | 30 | 31 | 27 | 22 | 20 | 17 | 13 | 12 | 13 | 12 | 12 | $\begin{array}{r}11 \\ 127 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 1331,170 |  |
| Farm construction. | 140 | 134 | 126 | 113 | $\begin{array}{r}95 \\ 283 \\ \hline 8\end{array}$ | 83 | 76 | 72 | 71 | 81 | 95 | 115 |  |  | 1,292 |
| Public utilities. | 357 | 343 | 326 | 305 |  | 264 | 226 | 229 | 247 | 279 | 294 | 297 | 297 | 3, 130 | $\begin{array}{r}1,216 \\ 3,316 \\ 352 \\ 533 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |
| Railroad. | 34 | 33 | 31 | 31 | 29 | 26 | 20 | 26 | 28 | 32 | 32 | 29 | 29 | 315 |  |
| Telephone and telegraph | 43 | 43 | 42 | 42 | 40 | 39 | 33 | 34 | 35 | 38 | 39 | 39 | 40 | 440 |  |
| Other public utilities. | 280 | 267 | 253 | 232 | 214 | 199 | 173 | 169 | 184 | 209 | 223 | 229 | 228 | 2,375 | 2, 431 |
| All other private ${ }^{8}$---- | 5 | 5899 | 587050 | 581746 | 714 | 58542 | $\begin{array}{r} 50 \\ 455 \\ 36 \end{array}$ |  |  |  | 7 | 7 | 11 | 2, 112 | 2,78 |
| Public construction --. | 937 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 514 \\ 33 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 513 \\ 30 \end{array}$ | 66831 | 74830 | $\begin{array}{r} 753 \\ 28 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 727 \\ 727 \\ 27 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 112 \\ 7,113 \\ 345 \end{array}$ | 6,403359 |
| Residential building ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 58 | 52 |  |  | 44 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nonresidential building (other than military or naval facilities) | 317 | 316 | 313 | 312 | 292 | 251 | 210 | 224 | 216 | 228 | 247 | 230 | 213 | 2,402 | 2,068 |
| Industrial .-.----------- | 96 | 88 | 83 | 80 | 73 | 49 | 30 | 36 | 31 | 29 | 31 | 23 | 19 | 224 | 177 |
| Educational | 132 | 132 | 130 | 130 | 125 | 120 | 112 | 112 | 110 | 112 | 115 | 109 | 103 | 1,163 | 934 |
| Hospital and institutional | 49 | 51 | 52 | 52 | 48 | 42 | 36 | 39 | 39 | 42 | 42 | 42 | 42 | 476 | 477 |
| Other nonresidential.-...- | 40 | 45 | 48 | 50 | 46 | 40 | 32 | 37 | 36 | 45 | 59 | 56 | 49 | 539 | 480 |
| Military and naval facilities ${ }^{10}$ | 105 | 90 | 79 | 72 | 59 | 39 | 29 | 29 | 24 | 26 | 28 | 21 | 16 | 177 | 137 |
| Highways. | 275 | 260 | 250 | 215 | 160 | 110 | 65 | 95 | 103 | 221 | 265 | 298 | 295 | 2,350 | 2,129 |
| Sewer and water- | 68 | 68 | 66 | 64 | 61 | 58 | 52 | 55 | 56 | 60 | 65 | 64 | 61 | 671 | 619 |
| Miscellaneous public service enterprises 11 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 20 | 17 | 14 | 9 | 12 | 13 | 19 | 21 | 20 | 20 | 186 | 203 |
| Oonservation and development | 86 | 85 | 83 | 80 | 73 | 64 | 49 | 60 | 65 | 76 | 84 | 84 | 87 | 886 | 793 |
| All other public ${ }^{12}$ | 7 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 96 | 95 |

1 Joint estimates of the Bureau of Labor Statistics, U. S. Department of Labor, and the Building Materials Division, U. S. Department of Commerce. Estimated construction expenditures represent the monetary value of the volume of work accomplished during the given period of time. These figures should be differentiated from permit valuation data reported in the tabulations for building authorized (tables $\mathrm{F}-3$ and $\mathrm{F}-4$ ) and the data on value of contract awards reported in table F-2.
${ }^{2}$ Preliminary.
Revised.
4 Includes major additions and alterations.

- Includes hotels, dormitories, and tourist courts and cabins.
"Expenditures by privately owned public utilities for nonresidential building are included under "Public utilities."
${ }^{1}$ Includes Federal contributions toward construction of private nonprofit hospital facilities under the National Hospital Program.
${ }_{8}$ Covers privately owned sewer and water facilities, roads and bridges, and miscellaneous nonbuilding items such as parks and playgrounds.
- Includes nonhousekeeping public residential construction as well as housekeeping units.
10 Covers all construction, building as well as nonbuilding (except for production facilities, which are included in public industrial building).
${ }^{11}$ Covers primarily publicly owned airports, electric light and power systems, and local transit facilities.
${ }_{12}$ Covers public construction not elsewhere classified, such as parks, playgrounds, and memorials.

Table F-2: Value of Contracts Awarded and Force-Account Work Started on Federally Financed New Construction, by Type of Construction ${ }^{1}$

| Period | Value (in thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total new con-struction ${ }^{2}$ | Air ports ${ }^{3}$ | Total | Resi-dential | Building |  |  |  |  |  |  | Conservation and development |  |  | Highways | $\underset{\text { other }}{\text { All }}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | Nonresidential |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rec- } \\ & \text { lama- } \\ & \text { tion } \end{aligned}$ | River, harbor, and flood control |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Total | Edu-cational ${ }^{4}$ | Hospitals and institutional |  |  | Ad-ministrative and general ${ }^{5}$ | Other <br> non- <br> resi- <br> den- <br> tial |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | Veterans | Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1935 | \$1, 478, 073 | (7) | \$442, 782 | \$7, 833 | \$434, 949 | $\left.{ }^{8}\right)$ | $\left.{ }^{8}\right)$ | ${ }^{8}$ ) | (8) | (8) | $\left.{ }^{8}\right)$ | \$438, 725 | \$158, 027 | \$280, 698 | \$381, 037 | \$215, 529 |
| 1936 | 1, 533, 439 | (7) | 561, 394 | 63,465 | 497, 929 | (8) | (8) | (8) | $\left.{ }^{8}\right)$ | $\left.{ }^{8}\right)$ | (8) | 189, 710 | 73, 797 | 115, 913 | 511, 685 | 270, 650 |
| 1937 | 1,990, 410 | (7) | 344, 567 | 17, 239 | 327, 328 | (8) | (8) | (8) | (8) | (8) | (8) | 133, 010 | 59, 051 | 73, 959 | 360, 865 | 151, 968 |
| 1938 | 1,609, 208 | (7) | 676, 542 | 31, 809 | 644, 733 | (8) | $\left.{ }^{8}\right)$ | (8) | (8) | (8) | (8) | 303, 874 | 175, 382 | 128, 492 | 372, 238 | 256, 554 |
| 1939 | 1, 586, 604 | \$4, 753 | 669, 222 | 231, 071 | 438, 151 | $\left.{ }^{8}\right)$ | (8) | (8) | (8) | $\left.{ }^{8}\right)$ | (8) | 225, 423 | 115, 612 | 109, 811 | 355, 701 | 331, 505 |
| 1940 | 2,316, 467 | 137, 112 | 1, 537, 910 | 244, 671 | 1,293, 239 | $\left.{ }^{8}\right)$ | (8) | $\left.{ }^{8}\right)$ | (8) | $\left.{ }^{8}\right)$ | (8) | 197, 589 | 69, 028 | 128, 561 | 364, 048 | 79, 808 |
| 1941 | 5, 931, 536 | 499, 427 | 4, 422, 131 | 322,248 | 4, 099, 883 | (8) | (8) | (8) | (8) | (8) | (8) | 199, 684 | 41,880 | 157, 804 | 446, 903 | 363, 391 |
| 1942 | 7, 871, 986 | 579, 176 | 6, 226, 878 | 565, 247 | 5, 661, 631 | (8) | (8) | (8) | (8) | (8) | (8) | 217, 795 | 150,708 | 67, 087 | 347, 988 | 500, 149 |
| 1943 | 2, 877, 044 | 243, 443 | 2, 068, 337 | 405, 537 | 1, 662, 800 | (8) | (8) | (8) | (8) | (8) | (8) | 155, 737 | 101, 270 | 54, 467 | 161,852 | 247, 675 |
| 1944 | 1,861, 449 | 110,872 | 1, 438, 849 | 117, 504 | 1, 321, 345 | $\left.{ }^{8}\right)$ | ${ }^{8}$ (8) | $\left.{ }^{8}\right)$ | (8) | $\left.{ }^{8}\right)$ | (8) | 112, 415 | 66, 679 | 45,736 | 111, 805 | 87, 508 |
| 1945 | 1,092, 181 | 41, 219 | 806, 917 | 60, 535 | 746, 382 | (8) | ${ }^{(8)}$ | (8) | (8) | (8) | ${ }^{8}$ ) | 72, 150 | 30, 765 | 41,385 | 100, 969 | 70, 926 |
| 1946 | 1, 502, 701 | 15,068 | 617, 132 | 452, 204 | 164, 928 | \$14, 664 | \$14,281 | \$9, 032 | \$5, 249 | \$9, 713 | \$126, 270 | 290, 163 | 149,870 | 140, 293 | 534,653 | 45,685 |
| 1947 | 1, 473, 910 | 25, 075 | 454, 593 | 60, 694 | 393, 889 | 47,750 | 101, 992 | 96, 140 | 5, 852 | 32,550 | 211, 607 | 307, 695 | 75, 483 | 232, 212 | 659,645 | 26,902 |
| 1948 | 1, 906, 466 | 55, 577 | 543,118 | 47, 198 | 495, 920 | 1,424 | 263, 296 | 168, 616 | 94, 680 | 29, 926 | 201, 274 | 494, 871 | 147, 732 | 347, 139 | 767, 460 | 45,440 |
| 1949 | 2, 174, 203 | 49, 317 | 880, 101 | 46, 800 | 833, 301 | 1, 041 | 355, 541 | 123, 967 | 231, 574 | 88, 856 | 387, 863 | 497, 557 | 184, 803 | 312, 754 | 690, 469 | 56, 759 |
| 1950 | 2, 706, 650 | 54, 461 | 1, 278, 263 | 15, 445 | 1,262, 818 | 3,123 | 389, 848 | 118, 565 | 271, 283 | 58, 255 | 811, 592 | 435, 253 | 195, 845 | 239, 408 | 835, 606 | 103, 067 |
| 1949: January | 97,047 | 5,520 | 40, 410 | 101 | 40,309 | 148 | 8,192 | 428 | 7, 764 | 25, 008 | 6,961 | 15, 141 | 7,596 | 7,545 | 34,465 | 1,511 |
| February--- | 101, 298 | . 242 | 45, 058 | 2,535 | 42, 523 | 635 | 12, 651 | 5,477 | 7,174 | 22, 719 | 6,518 | 24, 032 | 3, 083 | 20,949 | 29,000 | 2,966 |
| March | 182, 992 | 4, 288 | 45, 051 | 4, 602 | 40, 449 | 0 | 26, 663 | 9, 612 | 17, 051 | 1,747 | 12,039 | 84, 342 | 22,546 | 61, 796 | 41,646 | 7,665 |
| April --------- | 133, 535 | 4, 212 | 34, 148 | 4,498 | 29, 650 | 18 | 21, 352 | 1,204 | 20, 148 | (949 | 7,331 | 39, 899 | 18, 778 | 21, 121 | 52, 099 | 3, 177 |
| May | 257, 834 | 7,233 | 71,383 | 6,245 | 65, 138 | 30 | 23, 649 | 1,045 | 22, 604 | 13, 658 | 27, 801 | 89, 536 | 61, 537 | 27, 999 | 83, 769 | 5,913 |
| June | 325, 997 | 12, 262 | 143, 870 | 23, 017 | 120, 853 | 0 | 64, 985 | 14, 814 | 50,171 | 10,564 | 45, 304 | 80, 530 | 26, 603 | 53, 927 | 80,348 | 8,987 |
| July .-.-.--- | 142, 788 | 4,818 | 37, 979 | 821 | 37,158 | 10 | 22, 756 | -202 | 22, 554 | 2,018 | 12, 374 | 22, 115 | 6, 822 | 15,293 | 75, 448 | 2,408 |
| August ----- | 272, 671 | 3,385 | 134, 548 | 49 | 134, 499 | 140 | 43, 544 | 25, 492 | 18, 052 | -969 | 89,846 | 52, 304 | 12,375 | 39, 929 | 79, 020 | 3,414 |
| September-- | 173, 584 | 1, 902 | 83, 971 | 446 | 83, 525 | 0 | 57, 995 | 26, 500 | 31, 495 | 538 | 24,992 | 20,679 | 10, 179 | 10,500 | 63, 035 | 3,997 |
| October-..- | 103, 616 | 3, 413 | 36, 718 | 672 | 36,046 | 0 | 15, 004 | 8,737 | 6, 267 | 4, 333 | 16, 709 | 12, 914 | 1,091 | 11, 823 | 49,910 | 661 |
| November-- | 222, 263 | +790 | 131, 881 | - 9 | 131, 872 | 60 | 16, 600 | 7,387 | 9,213 | 5, 308 | 109, 904 | 42,186 | 5, 677 | 36, 509 | 38,100 | 9,306 |
| December-- | 160, 598 | 1,252 | 75, 084 | 3,805 | 71, 279 | 0 | 42, 150 | 23, 069 | 19, 081 | 1, 045 | 28, 084 | 13, 879 | 8,516 | 5,363 | 63, 629 | 6,754 |
| 1950: January | 129, 514 | 4, 827 | 48, 467 | 213 | 48, 254 | 144 | 28, 528 | 19, 407 | 9, 121 | 13, 261 | 6,321 | 26, 147 | 17,993 | 8,154 | 41, 027 | 9, 046 |
| February --- | 119,057 | 2, 533 | 38, 020 | +127 | 37, 893 | 138 | 32, 081 | 17, 354 | 14,727 8,566 | 1,259 | 4, 415 | 29,953 103,559 | 7,087 69,840 | 22,866 33,719 | 42, 357 | 6,194 |
| March | 233, 791 | 8, 616 | 51, 294 | 1, 059 | 50, 235 | 20 | 23, 100 | 14, 534 | 8, 566 | 3, 459 | 23, 656 | 103, 559 | 69, 840 | 33, 719 | 61, 032 | 9,290 |
| April | 169, 416 | 7, 341 | 66, 516 | 3, 453 | 63,063 58,316 | 70 | 40, 184 | 21, 969 | 18, 215 | 2, 585 | 20, 224 | 20, 572 | 2,782 | 17,790 | 63, 462 | 11, 525 |
| May | 224,363 367,371 | 4, 196 | 59,921 155,460 | 1,605 | 58,316 149,613 | 1, $\begin{array}{r}0 \\ 0\end{array}$ | 32,572 68,384 | 13, 7,768 8 | 18,884 60,618 | 2,537 25,880 | 23, 207 53,426 | 68, 100 | 7,726 43,720 | 60,374 36,882 | 80,934 111,416 | 11, 212 |
| July | 162, 239 | 5,852 | 59, 664 | 634 | 59, 030 | 616 | 43, 914 | 8,007 | 35, 907 | 2,217 | 12, 283 | 13, 938 | 10, 600 | 3, 338 | 77, 973 | 4,812 |
| August | 178, 355 | 5, 247 | 66, 961 | 60 | 66, 901 | 174 | 28, 741 | 1,450 | 27, 291 | 1,849 | 36, 137 | 15, 910 | 8, 364 | 7,546 | 83, 316 | 6, 921 |
| September-- | 181, 316 | 2, 862 | 82,757 | 1,284 | 81, 473 | 0 | 35, 717 | 12, 957 | 22, 760 | 1,580 | 44, 176 | 16, 046 | 9,549 | 6,497 | 73, 883 | 5, 768 |
| October --.- | 240, 426 | 4, 060 | 145, 796 | 1,200 | 145, 596 | 19 | 19, 797 | 643 | 19, 154 | 1,234 | 124,546 | 19, 630 | 13, 471 | 6,159 | 55, 632 | 15, 308 |
| November-- | 150, 223 | 2,576 | 30, 588 | 233 | 30, 355 | 2 | 21,388 | 676 | 20, 712 | 1,853 | 7,112 | 32, 538 | 1,753 | 30,785 | 81, 142 | 3, 379 |
| December-- | 550, 579 | 1,006 | 472, 819 | 730 | 472, 089 | 17 | 15,442 | 114 | 15, 328 | 541 | 9456,089 | 8,258 | 2,960 | 5, 298 | 63, 432 | 5, 064 |
| 1951: January...- | 414, 191 | 9, 412 | 105, 651 | 846 | 104, 805 | 96 | 14, 818 | 110 | 14, 708 | 728 | 89, 163 | 213, 044 | 10206,077 | 6, 967 | 75, 551 | 10, 533 |
| February-.- | 207, 755 | 10,773 | 92, 825 | 916 | 91, 909 | 41 | 15,388 | 701 | 14, 687 | 10, 096 | 66, 384 | 30, 333 | 10, 125 | 20, 208 | 59, 067 | 14,757 |
| March...--- | 286, 085 | 6,330 | 134, 681 | 39 | 134, 642 | 179 | 42, 943 | 19, 141 | 23, 802 | 8,773 | 82, 747 | 45,613 | 15,346 | 30, 267 | 71, 238 | 28, 223 |
| April | 287, 254 | 16, 691 | 95, 964 | 3, 008 | 92, 956 | 1,217 | 28,357 | 18, 970 | 9,387 | 2,880 | 60, 502 | 101, 498 | 10,803 | 90,695 | 58, 066 | 15, 035 |
| May ${ }^{11}$-.-.-- | 600, 833 | 36, 724 | 445, 815 | 1,791 | 444, 024 | 128 | 13, 946 | 592 | 13, 354 | 2, 149 | -427, 801 | 43, 667 | 9,308 | 34, 359 | 59, 206 | 15, 421 |
| June ${ }^{12}$-....- | 483, 695 | 75, 232 | 213, 451 | 261 | 213, 190 | 431 | 15,615 | 2, 161 | 13, 454 | 5,814 | 191, 330 | 27,790 | 9,212 | 18, 578 | 96, 991 | 70,231 |

${ }^{1}$ Excludes projects classified as "secret" by the military. Data for Federalaid programs cover amounts contributed by both owner and the Federal Government. Force-account work is done not through a contractor, but directly by a government agency, using a separate work force to perform nonmaintenance construction on the agency's own properties.
${ }^{2}$ Includes major additions and alterations.
${ }^{8}$ Excludes hangars and other buildings, which are included under "Other nonresidential" building construction.
${ }^{4}$ Includes educational facilities under the Federal temporary re-use educational facilities program.
${ }^{6}$ Includes post offices, armories, offices, and customhouses. Includes contract awards for construction at United Nations Headquarters in New contract awards for construction at United Nations Headquarters Building (January 1949: $\$ 23,810,000$ ), for the Meeting Hall (January 1950: $\$ 11,238,000$ ), (January 1949: $\$ 23,810,000$ ), for the Meeting Hall ( ${ }^{\text {and }}$ (hanuary 1950:
and for the General Assembly Building (June 1950: $\$ 10,704,000$ ).

- Includes electrification projects, water-supply and sewage-disposal systems, railroad construction, and other types of projects not elsewhere classified.

7 Included in "All other."
8 Unavailable.
Includes primarily construction projects for the Atomic Energy Commission.
${ }_{10}$ Includes primarily steam-electric generating projects for the Tennessee Valley Authority. ${ }^{11}$ Revised.
${ }_{12}$ Preliminary. Subject to more than the usual upward revision because of the large number of contracts customarily awarded in June.

Table F-3: Urban Building Authorized, by Principal Class of Construction and by Type of Building ${ }^{1}$

| Period | Valuation (in thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Number of new dwelling units-Housekeeping only |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total all classes ${ }^{2}$ | New residential building |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { New non- } \\ & \text { resi- } \\ & \text { dential } \\ & \text { building } \end{aligned}$ | Additions, alterations, and repairs | Privately financed |  |  |  | Publicly financed |
|  |  | Housekeeping |  |  |  | Publicly financed dwelling units | Non- <br> house- <br> keep- <br> ing |  |  | Total | $\underset{\text { ily }}{\substack{\text {-fam- }}}$ | $\underset{\text { ily }}{ }{ }^{2 \text { fam }}$ | Multi-family |  |
|  |  | Privately financed dwelling units |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Total | 1-family | $\underset{\text { ily }}{2 \text {-fam- }}$ | Multifamily 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1942 | \$2, 707, 573 | \$598, 570 | \$478, 658 | \$42, 629 | \$77, 283 | \$296, 933 | \$22, 910 | \$1, 510, 688 | \$278, 472 | 184, 892 | 138,908 | 15, 747 | 30, 237 | 95, 946 |
| 1946 | 4, 743, 414 | 2, 114, 833 | 1, 830, 260 | 103, 042 | 181, 531 | 355, 587 | 43, 369 | 1, 458, 602 | $771,023$ | 430, 195 | 358, 151 | 24, 326 | 47, 718 |  |
| 1947 | 5, 563, 348 | 2, 885, 374 | 2, 361, 752 | 151, 036 | 372, 586 | 42, 249 | 29, 831 | 1, 713, 489 | 892, 404 | 502, 312 | 393, 606 | 33, 423 | 75, 283 |  |
| 1948 | 6, 972, 784 | 3, 422, 927 | 2, 745, 219 | 181, 493 | 496, 215 | 139, 334 | 38, 034 | 2, 367, 940 | 1, 004, 549 | 516,179 | 392, 532 | 36, 306 | 87, 341 | 15, 114 |
| 1949 | 7,396, 274 | 3, 724, 924 | 2, 845, 399 | 132, 365 | 747, 160 | 285, 627 | 39,785 | 2, 408, 445 | 937, 493 | 575, 286 | 413, 543 | 26, 431 | 135, 312 | 32, 194 |
| 1950 | 10, 408, 292 | 5, 803, 912 | 4, 845, 104 | 179, 214 | 779, 594 | 301, 961 | 84, 508 | 3, 127, 769 | 1, 090, 142 | 796, 143 | 623, 330 | 33, 302 | 139, 511 | 34, 363 |
| 1950: June | 1, 045,894 |  | 518, 444 | 15, 421 | 80, 050 | 4,584 | 5, 093 | 308, 910 | 113, 391 | 82, 934 | 66, 885 | 2, 828 | 13, 221 | 513 |
| July.- | 1, 065, 117 | 589, 643 | 512, 594 | 17, 321 | 59,728 | 41, 997 | 7, 935 | 313, 522 | 112, 020 | 79,473 | 64, 586 | 3, 118 | 11, 769 | 4,590 |
| August | 1, 097, 651 | 606, 346 | 501, 489 | 17, 328 | 87, 529 | 36, 510 | 8,690 | 330, 836 | 115, 268 |  | 61,740 | 2, 992 |  | 4,041 |
| September | 848, 041 | 438, 852 | 375, 214 | 13, 308 | 50, 330 | 37, 237 | 6,599 | 268, 006 | 99, 346 | 58, 172 | 46, 498 | 2, 236 | 9, 438 | 4,154 |
| October-- | 870, 325 | 428, 078 | 363, 263 | 12,782 | 52,033 | 14, 480 | 4, 406 | 329, 426 | 93, 955 | 55, 210 | 43,761 | 2, 313 | 9, 136 | 1,619 |
| November | 707, 673 | 341, 335 | 297, 465 | 11, 192 | 32, 678 | 29, 261 | 5,546 | 250, 616 | 80, 915 | 44, 588 | 36, 244 | 2, 056 | 6,288 | 2,940 |
| December | 781, 384 | 345, 278 | 291, 219 | 9, 297 | 44, 762 | 76,095 | 4, 919 | 280, 717 | 74, 375 | 44, 697 | 34, 810 | 1,747 | 8,140 | 9,289 |
| 1951: January | 758, 917 | 379, 178 | 329, 624 | 14,109 | 35,445 | 9,066 | 3,123 | 270,314 | 97, 236 | 48,786 | 39,346 | 2,813 | 6,627 | 972 |
| February | 585, 683 | 330, 520 | 294, 756 | 10, 955 | 24, 809 | 10, 201 | 1,252 | 174, 050 | 69, 660 | 39, 749 | 32, 962 | 2,103 | 4,684 | 1, 039 |
| March_ | 770, 269 | 406, 763 | 356, 550 | 14,580 | 35, 633 | 5,966 | 3, 082 | 263, 920 | 90, 538 | 50, 668 | 41, 206 | 2,816 | 6, 646 | 579 |
| April | 777, 318 | 420, 085 | 374, 674 | 19,005 | 26,406 | 33, 305 | 3,346 | 234, 024 | 86, 558 | 50, 494 | 42, 816 | 2, 857 | 4,821 | 3,343 |
| May ${ }^{\text {J }}$ | 813,218 986,643 | 457,664 388,187 | 393,080 335,958 | 14,466 15,587 | 50, 118 | 7,027 298,421 | 1,477 1,454 | 239, 332 | 107, 718 | 54, 626 | 43, 957 | 2, 514 | 8,155 |  |
| June ? | 986, 643 | 388, 187 | 335, 958 | 15, 587 | 36,642 | 298, 421 | 1,454 | 202, 036 | 96,545 | 47, 057 | 37, 860 | 2,629 | 6,568 | 35,007 |

${ }^{1}$ Building for which building permits were issued and Federal contracts awarded in all urban places, including an estimate of building undertaken in some smaller urban places that do not issue permits.

The data cover federally and nonfederally financed building construction combined. Estimates of non-Federal (private and State and local government) urban building construction are based primarily on building-permit reports received from places containing about 85 percent of the urban population of the country; estimates of federally financed projects are compiled from notifications of construction contracts awarded, which are obtained from notifications of construction contracts awarded, which are obtained from for lapsed permits or for lag between permit issuance and the start of construction. Thus, the estimates do not represent construction actually started during the month.

Urban, as defined by the Bureau of the Census, covers all incorporated places of 2,500 population or more in 1940, and, by special rule, a small number of unincorporated civil divisions.
${ }^{2}$ Covers additions, alterations, and repairs, as well as new residential and nonresidential building.
${ }^{3}$ Includes units in 1 -family and 2 -family structures with stores,
Includes units in multifamily structures with stores.

- Covers hotels, dormitories, tourist cabins, and other nonhousekeeping residential buildings.
- Revised.

1 Preliminary.

Table F-4: New Nonresidential Building Authorized in All Urban Places, ${ }^{1}$ by General Type and by Geographic Division ${ }^{2}$

| Geographic division and type of new nonresidential building | Valuation (in thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1951 |  |  |  |  |  | 1950 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1950Total | 1949Total |
|  | June ${ }^{8}$ | May ${ }^{4}$ | Apr. ${ }^{4}$ | Mar. | Feb. | Jan. | Dec. | Nov. | Oct. | Sept. | Aug. | July | June |  |  |
| New England Middle Atlantic East North Central. West North Central South A tlantic. East South Central. West South CentralMountain Pacific$\qquad$$\qquad$ | $\$ 198,873$12,87624,52566,07514,89415,3855,66225,5386,45527,462 | \$239,332 | \$234, 024 | \$263, 920 | \$174, 050 | \$270, 314 | \$280, 717 | \$250, 616 | \$329, 426 | \$266, 006 | \$330,836 | \$313, 522 | \$308, 910 |  | \$2, 408, 445 |
|  |  | 16. 920 | 29,751 | 14, 093 | 12,916 | 10,479 | 16,463 | 13,675 | 15,652 | 12,701 | +3120,082 | \$3, 1919 | $\begin{array}{r}13,728 \\ \hline 1\end{array}$ | \$3,127, 193,386 |  |
|  |  | 33,578 70,433 | 26,901 52,623 | 55, 334 | 20, 989 | 41,909 | 36, 916 | 47, 556 | 68, 678 | 45, 953 | 41, 646 | 50, 614 | 62, 541 | 516,583 | 429, 042 |
|  |  | 16, 272 | 22,682 | 12, 235 | 40, 620 | 63, 558 <br> 20 | 42,105 | 46, 313 | 95, 545 | 62, 556 | 71, 814 | 63, 031 | 65,130 | 675, 555 | 492,384 |
|  |  | 25, 040 | 17,940 | 27, 262 | 17, 949 | 37, 526 | 17,650 | ${ }_{25}^{21,316}$ | 26, 2447 | 24,489 31,628 | 27,800 | 24,731 | 40,841 | 262, 737 | 201, 409 |
|  |  | 9,651 | 17,617 | 11, 823 | 6,087 | 11,347 | 10,826 | 7,905 | 16, 440 | 8, 407 | 13, 430 | 16, 478 | 16, 438 |  |  |
|  |  | 20, 266 | 19,743 | 25, 156 | 25, 949 | 35, 967 | 60,882 | 28,016 | 34, 900 | 30,808 | 43, 115 | 43, 248 | 33, 131 | 388, 201 | 77 |
|  |  | 5,283 | 14, 554 | 4, 840 | 6,543 | 9, 636 | 8,610 | 8, 929 | 6,955 | 13, 453 | 15, 286 | 8, 430 | 10,813 | 112, 265 | 104,112 |
|  |  | 41,889 | 32, 213 | 27,965 | 31,354 | 39, 265 | 49,468 | 51, 845 | 39,708 | 36, 014 | 53, 731 | 51,795 | 31,280 | 459,155 | $\begin{aligned} & 104,112 \\ & 348,592 \end{aligned}$ |
| Industrial buildings ${ }^{\mathbf{~}}$... New England | 43, 123 | 42, 921 | 37,655 | 45,989 | 24, 995 | 36,675 | 26,646 | 27,228 | 44,892 | 29,203 | 31,373 | 29,866 | 24, 575 | 296,803 | 203, 699 |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 4,877 \\ 8,133 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,497 \\ 8,200 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,232 \\ 8,308 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,678 \\ & 4,194 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 1,062 \\ 5,705 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,65 \\ & 1,653 \\ & 2,586 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 44,092 \\ 1,755 \\ 7,281 \end{array}$ | 1,558 | $\begin{array}{r} 2,173 \\ 2,762 \\ 4,76 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,282 \\ 11,282 \\ 11,235 \end{array}$ | r9283,927 | $13,999$ |  |
| Middle Atlantic.-.- | 8,722 19,177 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4,308 |  |  |  |  |  |
| East North Central. <br> West North Central | 19,177 | 15, 159 | 14, 970 | 21, 309 | $\begin{array}{r}\text { 9, } \\ 2,887 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | - 2,266 | $\begin{aligned} & 8,074 \\ & 1,696 \end{aligned}$ | 9, 6195,149 | $\begin{array}{r} 23,745 \\ 3,077 \end{array}$ | 13, 572 | 11, 948 | 7,005 | 9,077 | 110, 829 | $\begin{aligned} & 40,386 \\ & 77,037 \end{aligned}$ |
| South Atlantic..... | 2,229 | 1,953 | 2,349 | 1, 688 | $\begin{array}{r} 2,861 \\ 677 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  | 1,143 1,033 | 2,906 | 2,223 | 1,109 | 23, 369 | $\begin{array}{r} 77,037 \\ 15,689 \end{array}$ |
| East South Central. | 1,129 | 3, 316 | 1,209 | 2, 231 | 375 | 1,832 <br> 2,612 | 1,972 | 1,456 | 1,168 | $\begin{array}{r} 033 \\ 946 \end{array}$ | 1,000 | 1,888 | $3,298 \mid$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17,019 \\ & 13,355 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 15,689 \\ 19,173 \\ 8,736 \end{array}$ |
| West South Central | 2,482 |  | $\begin{array}{r} 2,631 \\ 550 \end{array}$ |  | 1,172 |  | 903 | 1,677 |  | $1,815$ | $\begin{gathered} 2,332 \\ 592 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,025 \\ 2,025 \\ 161 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,411 \\ & 1,420 \end{aligned}$ | $13,355$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8,736 \\ & 6,859 \\ & 4,370 \end{aligned}$ |
| Mountain | 1, 044 | 965 |  |  | 1881 | 440 | $\begin{array}{r} 789 \\ 4,950 \end{array}$ | 190 |  |  |  |  |  | 5,469 |  |
| Pacific | $\begin{array}{r} 4,421 \\ 52,792 \end{array}$ | 6,135 | 4,567 | 5, 621 | 3, 570 | 4,673 |  | 3,936 | 4,182 | 3,883 | 4,042 | 2, 751 | 2,990 | 39, 284 | $\begin{array}{r} 4,370 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Commercial buildin |  | 55, 727 | 62, 308 | 69,317 | 53, 922 | 103, 244 | 119,091 | 95,885 | 117,952 | 93, 691 | 124,698 | 96, 505 | 97, 177 | 1,122, 583 | 752,810 |
| New England Middle Atlant | 1,984 | 2,042 9,004 | 2, 231 | 1,789 | 4,945 | 3,783 | 7,244 | 2,115 | 5,343 | 5,700 | 3,270 | 5,170 | 4,767 | 1, 53, 675 | 36, 668 |
| East North Oentral | 11,394 | $\begin{array}{r}\text { 9, } \\ 15 \\ 15 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 9,448 | $\begin{array}{r}\text { 9, } \\ 31 \\ 31,163 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 6, 506 | 18,072 | 15, 107 | 15, 971 | 17,687 | 18,152 | 24, 797 | $\begin{aligned} & 13,096 \\ & 20,370 \end{aligned}$ | 16,498 | 201,314 | $\begin{aligned} & 127,049 \\ & 147,620 \end{aligned}$ |
| West North Central. | 4,1165,098 | 12,932 | 8, 689 5,635 | $\begin{array}{r}\text { 31, } \\ 2,963 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 7,277 | $\begin{array}{r} 5,809 \\ 17,325 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6,873 \\ 17,467 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5,045 \\ & 8,553 \end{aligned}$ | 8,33511,877 | 10, 336 |  | $\begin{array}{r} 20,370 \\ 7,720 \end{array}$ | 20,683 | $94,104$ |  |
| South Atlantic.....- |  | 5,999 | 5,083 | 7,445 | $\left.\begin{aligned} & 3,239 \\ & 7,255 \end{aligned} \right\rvert\,$ |  |  |  |  | 10, 280 | 16,071 | 12,397 | 13,016 | 139,990 |  |
| East South Central | 1,797 | 1,054 | 12,315 | 9836,827 | 7,255 | $\begin{gathered} 17,325 \\ 7,065 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17,467 \\ 4,208 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8,553 \\ 2,226 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11,877 \\ 3,344 \end{array}$ | 4,055 | 4,720 | 5,255 | 5, 662 | 46, 076 | 36,020 |
| West South Centr | 8,418 | 5, 640 | 7, 7784 |  | 9,609 | 16, 115 | 35, 996 | 15,383 | 14, 578 | 10,613 | 21, 801 | 16,008 | 12,645 | 175, 129 | 101,025 |
| Mauntain | 1, 10.206 | 12,300 | 2,674 8,455 | 1,238 | 1,132 | 2,424 | 3,014 | 3,620 | 3,308 | 4,758 | 6,994 | 3,948 | 3, 425 | 47,481 | 25,589 |
| Community building | 71,403 | 99, 126 | 104, 474 | 124, 661 | 12, 121 | 14,924 94,835 | 14, 98.545 | 14,682 85,024 | 16, 118,820 | 111, 346 | 17,216 130 | 12,543 | 11,668 | 152, 169 | 119,895 |
| New England. | 4,870 | 8, 872 | 22, 790 | 4,789 | 5, 773 | - 4 4, 556 | 6,630 | 8,025 | 11, 7,238 | 11, 3 , 520 | 130,167 11 | 136,091 | 127,388 | 1,260, 078 | 1, 018,637 |
| Middle Atlantic. | 5,532 | 11, 460 | 6,907 | 34,325 | 8,151 | 10,470 | 7,959 | 12,862 | 20,957 | 24,137 | 11, 764 | 119,772 | $\begin{array}{r}\text { 6, } \\ 18,888 \\ \hline 18\end{array}$ | 107,541 | $\begin{array}{r}\text { 43, } \\ 1797 \\ \hline 183\end{array}$ |
| East North Central - | 21,840 | 23, 667 | 21,547 | 28, 233 | 18,721 | 26,000 | 14, 077 | 16,401 | 37, 411 | 21, 658 | 24, 964 | 26, 598 | 26,119 | 275, 229 | 201, 808 |
| West North Central | 7,050 | 9, 258 | 11, 561 | 5,668 | 3, 818 | 11, 277 | 6,796 | 6, 673 | 10, 808 | 8,636 | 10, 417 | 7,002 | 26, 763 | 105, 603 | 100, 282 |
| South Atlantic...--- | 6, 1 1,963 | 13,588 4,928 | 8,939 3,245 | 16,446 10,040 | 8,967 3 | 13,753 | 15, 096 | 13,191 | 11, 327 | 19, 003 | 17,948 | 17, 873 | 11, 921 | 179, 635 | 103, 666 |
| West South Central. | 12, 280 | 10,030 | 7,004 | 13, 038 | $\begin{array}{r}\text { 3, } \\ \text { 11, } 238 \\ \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 1,653 | 3,036 17,552 | 3,860 8,257 | 3,438 12,641 | 2, 281 | 6,803 |  | $\begin{array}{r}\text { 9, } \\ 1438 \\ 14 \\ \hline 177\end{array}$ | 62, 529 | 71, 114 |
| Mountain | 2,420 | 1,673 | 8,946 | 2,515 | 3,721 | 5, 895 | 3,756 | 4,164 | 1,709 | 6,563 | +1,929 | 2, 888 | 14,178 3,280 | 146,688 43,296 | 135, 620 |
| Pacific. | 9,082 | 15,651 | 13, 535 | 9,607 | 6, 835 | 12,871 | 23, 643 | 9,593 | 13, 291 | 11, 607 | 24, 522 | 19,611 | 10,311 | 170, 721 | rer 122,981 |
| Public buildings | 3, 124 | 10,876 | 2,962 | 2, 680 | 6, 741 | 13,972 | 9,226 | 19,225 | 11,719 | 5, 087 | 7, 229 | 15, 506 | 35, 215 | 134, 894 | 153, 10 |
| New England | 842 |  | 0 | 410 | 49 | 38 | 809 | - | 70 | 30 | -53 | ${ }^{216}$ | ${ }^{481}$ | 2,584 | 4,863 |
| Middle Atlantic...- | 159 | 1,410 | 102 | 307 | 1,195 | 662 | 2,495 | 247 | 611 | 557 | 688 | 1,211 | 20,306 | 40,178 | 36,154 |
| East North Central- | 109 | 5,338 | 524 | 241 | 160 | 3,997 | 527 | 642 | 329 | 742 | 382 | 1,561 | 3,411 | 9, 513 | 8,157 |
| West North Central | 132 |  | 12 | 81 | 219 | 48 | 1,621 | 硡 | 111 | 30 | 711 | 108 | 1,079 | 4,896 | 9,560 |
| East South Central. | 49 | 1,748 | 392 | 381 | 165 | 653 | 826 | 82 | 558 | 372 | 3,869 | 952 | 4,496 | 15, 008 | 50, 313 |
| West South Central. | 610 | 305 | 0 | 620 | 769 | 6,195 | 366 | -35 | 7,966 |  | 171 | $\bigcirc$ | 318 | 9,279 | 6,257 |
| Mountain | 52 | 122 | 1,165 | 102 | 69 | 6,451 | 695 | 198189 | 820 | 2,566 | 185 | 573 | 1,8 | 8,268 | 5,041 |
| Pacific | 1,171 | 1,941 | 766 | 553 | 4,115 | 1,928 | 1,584 | 18,001 | 759 | 604 | 925 | 10,885 | 2,106 | 4, 41 | 5, 27,322 |
| Public works and utility |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 27, 32 |
| buildings ${ }^{\text {- }}$ | 12, 840 | 11, 368 | 10, 629 | 8,777 | 7,308 | 9,507 | 17, 939 | 7,119 | 14, 235 | 7,432 | 9,954 | 11, 318 | 6,403 | 106, 164 | 148,375 |
| New England | 1,809 | 380 | 2, 476 | 1,367 | 100 | 323 | 279 | 119 | 161 | 941 | 2,769 | , 491 | 248 | 6, 478 | 16, 012 |
| Middle Atlantic...- | 335 | 1,570 | 679 | 1,554 | 313 | 66 | 5, 358 | 1,322 | 554 | 759 | 1,263 | 2,908 | 325 | 16,868 | 27,651 |
| East North Central | 7,683 806 | 1,580 307 | 1,095 | 1,259 | 1,562 | 4, 576 | 3,260 | 206 | 10, 279 | 607 | 1,830 | 1,759 | 1,111 | 26, 585 | 22, 302 |
| South A tlantic... | 640 | 917 | 1,654 | 465 | 1,014 | 750 842 | 323 | 1,534 | 266 | 2,233 | 606 | 622 | 1,207 | 9,314 | 11,337 |
| East South Central. | 331 | 26 | 549 | 10 | 181 | 11 | 1,647 | 340 | 835 | 105 | 240 | 1,281 | 623 | 7,658 | 23, 281 |
| West South Central. | 762 | 421 | 829 | 1,289 | 1,896 | 903 | 4,310 | 254 | 433 | 3 | 225 | 49 | 257 | 3,316 | 7, 223 |
| Mountain. | 18 | 370 | 68 |  | 485 | 38 |  | 125 | 180 | 338 | 361 | 370 | 774 | 13, 646 | 11,944 |
| Pacific | 455 | 3,798 | 2,749 | 2,586 | 1,458 | 1,998 | 1,996 | 3,211 | 1,457 | 1,536 |  |  | 1,359 | -2, 5102 | 2,566 |
| All other buildings | 15,590 | 19,314 | 15, 996 | 12,496 | 10, 171 | 12, 081 | 9,270 | 16, 036 | 21,807 | 19,247 | 27,416 | 24, 236 | 18,152 | 207, 247 | 131,821 |
| New England | 705 | 750 | 757 | 1,506 | 371 | 364 | 439 | 763 | 1,085 | 952 | 978 | 917 | 776 | 9,109 | 7,819 |
| Middle Atlantic | 1,781 | 2,002 | 1,565 | 1,195 | 630 | 1,280 | 777 | 2,148 | 2,258 | 1,899 | 2,323 | 2, 392 | 2,636 | 22,177 | 18,339 |
| East North Central- | 5,940 | 6,982 | 5,798 | 3,007 | 2,913 | 2,348 | 1,060 | 3,474 | 6, 084 | 7,825 | 7,993 | 5,738 | 4,729 | 52, 285 | 35, 460 |
| West North Central | 1,538 | 1,814 | 1, 592 | 1,592 | 491 | 477 | 488 | 2, 663 | 2, 501 | 2,111 | 2,176 | 7,056 | 1,870 | 25, 451 | 13,634 |
| South Atlantic...- | 1,007 | 935 | 1,195 | 837 | 587 | 1,785 | 1,000 | 2,177 | 833 | 835 | 3, 088 | 1,580 | 1,656 | 16,493 | 9,070 |
| West South Central. | 986 | 3,347 | 1,500 | 1,151 | 1,265 | 86 | 1,818 | ${ }_{1}, 321$ | 454 4,040 | 755 1,329 | ${ }_{3}^{511}$ | , 605 | 245 | 9,529 | 4,027 |
| Mountain | 1,068 | 853 | 1,151 | 612 | 655 | 388 | 356 | 801 | 986 | 762 | 2,163 | 1,063 | 1,055 | 10, 077 | 6,228 |
| Pacific.-- | 2,128 | 2,316 | 2,140 | 2, 331 | 3,061 | 2,871 | 2,735 | 2, 422 | 3,566 | 2,779 | 4, 536 | 2,759 | 2, 846 | 35, 456 | 27, 326 |

[^34][^35]Table F-5: Number and Construction Cost of New Permanent Nonfarm Dwelling Units Started, by Urban or Rural Location, and by Source of Funds ${ }^{1}$


1 The estimates shown here do not include temporary units, conversions, dormitory accommodations, trailers, or military barracks. They do include prefabricated housing units

These estimates are based on building-permit records, which, beginning with 1945, have been adjusted for lapsed permits and for lag between permit issuance and start of construction. They are based also on reports of Federal construction contract awards and beginning in 1946 on field surveys in non-permit-issuing places. The data in this table refer to nonfarm dwelling units started, and not to urban dwelling units authorized, as shown in table F-3.

All of these estimates contain some error. For example, if the estimate of nonfarm starts is 50,000 , the chances are about 19 out of 20 that an actual enumeration would produce a figure between 48,000 and 52,000 .

2 Private construction costs are based on permit valuation, adjusted for understatement of costs shown on permit applications. Public construction costs are based on contract values or estimated construction costs for individual projects.

- Depression, low year.

4 Recovery peak year prior to wartime limitations.
b Last full year under wartime control.

- Housing peak year.

T Less than 50 units.
8 Revised.

- Not available.

10 Preliminary.


[^0]:    *Member of the staff of the Division of Industrial Hygiene, Public Health Service, Federal Security Agency, Washington, D. C. The opinions expressed are the writer's and do not necessarily represent those of the Federal Security Agency. Since the article was written, Dr. Lear has become Assistant Director of Montefiore Hospital, New York.
    ${ }^{1}$ In this article medical-care insurance refers to planned methods for budgeting and paying the cost of medical care by pooling the economic hazards of sickness, injury, and maternity and the financial resources of a group of individuals. It includes hospitalization, physician services, and services from other professional health personnel and facilities. It excludes planned methods for compensating the loss of earnings due to disability for nonoccupational causes, frequently called temporary disability insurance or weekly sickness and accident benefits. The term health insurance is avoided in this article because it is commonly applied to these two types of programs together or separately. Service plans are medical-care insurance plans, which provide their members with actual professional and institutional services. Cash indemnity plans are medical-care insurance plans which provide cash reimbursements for the costs of medical care nctually incurred. Medical expense plans or medical insurance plans are commonly used terms for cash indemnity plans which cover nonsurgical physician services. Medical-care insurance is frequently included in employee benefit plans available to industrial workers; other typical benefits are life insurance and temporary disability insurance. Employee benefits specified in labor-management agreements are commonly referred to as health and welfare plans. In this connection, health is generally meant to encompass both medical-care insurance and temporary-disability insurance.

    Note: Limitations of space have prevented the inclusion of the list of references accompanying this article. This can be obtained from the author.

[^1]:    1 These were associations, some of which were formed during the war period,
    which had not, for various reasons, been able to conclude a purchase contract
    with the Federal Government. In all of these projects mutual associations

[^2]:    *Of the Bureau's Office of Labor Economics.
    ${ }^{1}$ But the study also included one organization that bought a subsistence housing project built by the Federal Government during the depression of the 1930's and one association that is buying housing built by the Government of Puerto Rico.
    ${ }^{2}$ This measure was enacted in lieu of a bill that would have provided for direct loans, at current Government rates, to cooperatives and other nonprofit housing organizations. This program would have been administered by a separate organization, independent of FHA, in the Housing and Home Finance Agency.
    ${ }^{3}$ These averages conceal a great range in costs, especially from one section of the country to another.

[^3]:    *Labor Attache, American Embassy, Brussels.
    ${ }^{1}$ Further information on this subject can be obtained from the Office of International Labor Affairs, U. S. Department of Labor.

[^4]:    *Of the Bureau's Office of Publications.
    ${ }^{1}$ For more detailed discussion of the regional policies and activities of the ICFTU, see various issues of Notes on Labor Abroad, Nos. 13-21, December 1949-May 1951.
    ${ }^{2}$ Recent CTAL efforts to recoup their losses have reflected the current WFTU emphasis on trade department development. In 1951, the CTAL held regional meetings of railway and agricultural workers.
    ${ }^{3}$ The Dominican Republic was also excluded, for the same reasons.
    ${ }^{4}$ Affliation of the UGTT to the ICFTU was later approved, it being understood that the existence of other trade-union groups in Tunisia belonging to organizations affiliated to the ICFTU, i. e., the French Workers' Force (FO), would not be affected. ${ }^{5}$ The ICFTU manifesto pledges to support subject peoples in their struggle toward full national freedom and selfgovernment.

[^5]:    *Of the Bureau's Division of Foreign Labor Conditions.
    ${ }^{1}$ The Federation of Malaya, established in February 1, 1948, succeeded the Malayan Union which was set up in April 1946. The Federation consists of the same territories as the former union namely the nine States of the Malay peninsula and the two British straits settlements of Penang and Malacca. Singapore the third of the former Straits Settlements is now a separate colony.
    ${ }^{2}$ Since the Federation of Malaya is a non-self-governing territory, it is not eligible for membership in the International labor Organization.
    ${ }^{3}$ For further information, see Labor Conditions in British Malaya, Monthly Labor Review, August 1944.

    Sources: Federation of Malaya, Annual Reports of the Department of Labor and the Trade Unions Registry 1947-49; and U. S. Foreign Service Reports.

[^6]:    ${ }^{1}$ In W．W．Cross and Co．and United Steelworkers of America，CIO（Case No．1－C－2676），the NLRB ordered the company＂to refrain from taking any action with respect to its group health and accident insurance program which affects any of the employees in the unit represented by the union，without prior consultation with the union and，in addition ．．．to bargain collectively with the union upon request．＂For additional Board and court decisions dealing with employee benefit programs，see：Inland Steel Co．， 77 NLRB 1； 170 Fed．2d 247 （1949）； 336 U．S．960； 174 Fed．2d 878 （1949）；General Motors Corp．， 81 NLRB 128 （1949）；Allied Mills， 82 NLRB 99；Tide Water Assoc．Oil Co．（NLRB Case No．2c－6907，Sept．8，1949）．
    ${ }^{2}$ For purposes of this study the automobile industry conforms to Standard Industrial Classification 371：Motor Vehicles and Motor Vehicle Equipment． Number of workers covered under a collective－bargaining agreement is not necessarily the same as number covered by the health and welfare plan of a particular company，because a plan often includes clerical and salaried em－ ployees as well as other workers under contracts not covered by the survey． Thus，the plans covered approximately 683,000 workers under agreements included in the survey．The actual number of workers covered by these plans was probably larger，although an offisetting factor was the inability to ascertain the number of workers，covered by master agreements，who were employed in plants not normally classified in the Motor Vehicle and Motor Vehicle Equipment industry．Programs included in this study were in effect in late 1950 and early 1951.
    ${ }^{3}$ Department of Labor，Bureau of Labor Statistics，Hours and Earnings， February 1951，Industry Report．［Mimeographed．］
    －An optional feature of the Michigan Blue Shield Plan provides for higher income ceilings－$\$ 3,750$ if single，and $\$ 5,000$ if married．Since February 1951， several plans in this study have incorporated this more liberal provision．

[^7]:    ${ }^{1}$ Includes some shipbuilding work not allocable between new construction

[^8]:    ${ }^{1}$ For purpose and scope of the wage chronology series, see Monthly Labor Review, December 1948. Reprints of this chronology are available on request.
    ${ }^{2}$ The Bethlehem Steel Company (Shipbuilding Division) operates all of the Bethlehem Atlantic coast yards except the Sparrows Point yard, which is operated by the Bethlehem-Sparrows Point Shipyard, Inc.
    ${ }^{3}$ The Quincy yard is also known as the Fore River yard.
    ${ }^{*}$ The Baltimore yard is also known as the Key Highway yard.
    ${ }^{5}$ Not now in operation.
    6 The rate established was $\$ 1.12$ an hour on the Atlantic and Pacific Coasts and on the Great Lakes. Mechanics in Gulf Coast yards were paid $\$ 1.07$; but in 1942 , when rates were changed to $\$ 1.20$, the Gulf rates were also increased to that level. Rates below the first-class rate were not established by the conference. Provision, however, was made in 1941 to increase the lower rates in the same proportion as first-class rates and in 1942 to increase the lower rates by the same amount as the first-class rates.

[^9]:    1 General wage changes are construed as upward or downward adjustments affecting an entire establishment, bargaining unit or plant at one time. They do not include adjustments in individual rates (promotions, merit increases, etc.) and minor adjustments in wage structure (such as changes in individual job rates or incentive rates) that do not have an immediate or noticeable effect on the average wage level.

    The wage changes listed above were the major adjustments in the general wage level made during the period covered. Because of fluctuations in incentive earnings, changes in types of vessels constructed, the omission of nongeneral changes in rates and other factors, the sum of the general changes listed will not necessarily coincide with the amount of change in average hourly earnings over the same period.

[^10]:    See footnotes at end of table.

[^11]:    1 Includes only those employees regularly employed within the continental United States and covered by the terms of the Fair Labor Standards Act. ployees office or station superintende professional and semiprofessional em${ }_{8}$ Inces not included in the distribution above.

[^12]:    ${ }^{1}$ Data for this study were collected by the Federal Communications Commission as a part of its annual report. Under a cooperative arrangement, the Bureau of Labor Statistics has assumed the task of tabulating and publishing these materials. More detailed reports for the year 1950, similar to those published in previous years, are available upon request.
    The earnings shown in these reports were computed by dividing weekly scheduled compensation by weekly scheduled hours. Thus, the figures shown would include premium rates for regularly scheduled overtime, if any.

    2 The employees covered by this article exclude officials and managerial assistants, professional and semiprofessional employees, sales employees, and nonclerical business office employees. Employees outside the continental United States were also excluded.

[^13]:    ${ }^{1}$ Sources: The Midyear Economic Report of the President, transmitted to the Congress, July 23, 1951; the Economic Situation at Midyear 1951 by the Council of Economic Advisers, transmitted to the President, July 20, 1951; and the 2d Quarterly Report to the President, Meeting Defense Goals, transmitted by the Director of Defense Mobilization, July 1, 1951.

[^14]:    ${ }^{1}$ For a discussion of the Defense Production Act of 1950, see the Monthly Labor Review for October 1950 (p. 453).

[^15]:    ${ }^{1}$ For summary of the Commission's findings, see Migratory Labor in American Agriculture, Monthly Labor Review, June 1951 (p. 691).

[^16]:    ${ }^{1}$ Sources : Federal Registers, Vol. 16, No. 144, July 26, 1951 (pp. 7318 and 7328) ; vol. 16, No. 149, Aug. 2, 1951 (pp. 7546, 7553, 7557 , and 7560) ; vol. 16, No. 150, Aug. 3, 1951 (pp. 7592, 7597, 7601, and 7604) ; and vol. 16, No. 151, Aug. 4, 1951 (pp. 7666, 7668 , and 7670 ) ; OPS release 274, July 30,1951 ; and OPS release 288, July $31,1951$.

[^17]:    ${ }^{1}$ For methods used in estimating an elderly couple's budget, see p. 309 of this issue.
    ${ }^{2}$ The Social Security Administration prepared and published estimated costs of the elderly couple's budget in 13 selected large cities for March 1946, June 1947, and March 1949. This budget was developed concurrently with the Bureau of Labor Statistics City Worker's Family Budget for four persons. Both are designed to represent a comparable level of living, although relating to different types of families. The Bureau's October 1950 cost estimates are the first to include information for all of the $\mathbf{3 4}$ cities.
    ${ }^{2}$ See Family Budget of City Worker, October 1950, in Monthly Labor Review, February 1951 (p. 152).
    ${ }^{4}$ See Budget Levels for Families of Different Sizes, in Monthly Labor Review, February 1948 (p. 179). (Reprinted in BLS Bulletin No. 927-Workers' Budget in the United States.)

[^18]:    ${ }_{1}$ The Coal Mines Committee, the first of the ILO industry committees to be organized, met in its first session in London, December 5-11, 1945.
    ${ }^{2}$ United States Government delegates were William R. McComb, U. S. Department of Labor, and Louis C. McCabe, U. S. Department of the Interior. Their advisers were Robert N. Barnett, Department of State, and Witt Bowden, Department of Labor. Employers were represented by H. J. Connolly, Pennsylvania Coal Co., and James W. Haley, Jewel Ridge Coal Corp. Thomas Kennedy and Samuel Caddy of the United Mine Workers of America (Ind.) represented labor.

[^19]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Measuring Intercity Differences in Living Costs, in Monthly Labor Review, March 1949 (reprinted as BLS Serial No. R. 1952), a discussion of the Intercity Index Formula which, with some modifications, was used in calculating the 1949 and 1950 estimates of City Workers' Family Budget costs.

[^20]:    ${ }^{1}$ Prepared in the U. S. Department of Labor, Office of the Solicitor.

    The cases covered in this article represent a selection of the

[^21]:    963019-51——6

[^22]:    ${ }^{1}$ Prepared in the Division of Industrial Relations.
    ${ }^{2}$ See Monthly Labor Review, July 1951 ( pp. 74-5).
    ${ }^{3}$ Subject to approval by the WSB.
    ${ }^{4}$ See Monthly Labor Review, August 1951 (p. 192).
    ${ }^{5}$ Personnel appointments: Benjamin Aaron, formerly executive director of the National War Labor Board in World War II, was named by President Truman as a public member of the Board to succeed Vice Chairman Clark Kerr, who resigned recently. Nathan Feinsinger, a public member of the Board, was named the new vice chairman.

[^23]:    ${ }^{1}$ Beginning with the January 1951 issue payroll data in table A-6 have been combined with table A-5.
    ${ }^{2}$ Beginning with September 1950 issue, omitted for security reasons.
    ${ }^{8}$ This table is included quarterly in the March, June, September, and December issues of the Review.

[^24]:    ${ }^{1}$ Estimates are subject to sampling variation which may be large in cases where the quantities shown are relatively small. Therefore, the smaller estimates should be used with caution. All data exclude persons in institutions. Because of rounding, the individual figures do not necessarily add to group totals.
    ${ }^{2}$ Census survey week contains legal holiday.
    ${ }^{3}$ Total labor force consists of the civilian labor force and the Armed Forces. 4 Beginning with January 1951, data on net strength of the Armed Forces and total labor force are not available.

[^25]:    ${ }^{1}$ See footnote 1, tables A-2 and A-3.

[^26]:    ${ }^{1}$ See footnote 2, table A-7.

[^27]:    ${ }^{2}$ See footnote 2, table A-2.
    ${ }^{2}$ See footnote 3 , table A-2. Printing, publishing, and allied industries are excluded.

[^28]:    ${ }^{1}$ These series indicate changes in the level of weekly earnings prior to and after adjustment for changes in purchasing power as determined from the Bureau's Consumers' Price Index, the year 1939 having been selected for the base period. Estimates of World War II and postwar understatement by

[^29]:    the Consumers' Price Index were not included. See the Monthly Labor Review, March 1947, p. 498. Data from January 1939 are available upon request to the Bureau of Labor Statistics.
    ${ }^{2}$ Preliminary.

[^30]:    ${ }^{1}$ Revised data in all except the first three columns will be identified by an asterisk ( ${ }^{*}$ ) for the first month's publication of such data. Data for earlier years are available on request to the Bureau of Labor Statistics or the cooper

[^31]:    1 The Bureau of Lahor Statistics retail food prices are obtained monthly during the first three days of the week containing the fifteenth of the month, through voluntary reports from chain and independent retail food dealers. Articles included are selected to represent food sales to moderate-income Articles
    The indexes, based on retail prices of 50 foods through 1949 and 59 foods from January 1950 to date are computed by the fixed-base-weighted-aggregate method, using weights representing (1) relative importance of chain and independent store sales, in computing city average prices; (2) food purchases

[^32]:    ispecitication changed to 13 ounces in December.
    2 July $1947=100$
    8 February $1943=100$.
    4 December 1950=100.
    ${ }^{5}$ Priced in 46 cities.

    - $1938-39=100$
    $1938-39=100$.
    A verage price not computed.
    - Specification revised in November 1950.

    10 October $1949=100$.

[^33]:    ${ }^{1}$ All known work stoppages, arising out of labor-management disputes, involving six or more workers and continuing as long as a full day or shift are included in reports of the Bureau of Labor Statistics. Figures on "workers involved" and "man-days idle" cover all workers made idle for one or more

[^34]:    ${ }^{1}$ Building for which permits were issued and Federal contracts awarded in all urban places, including an estimate of building undertaken in some smaller urban places that do not issue permits. Sums of components do not always equal totals exactly because of rounding.
    ${ }^{2}$ For scope and source of urban estimated, see table F-3, footnote 1.
    ${ }^{2}$ Preliminary.

    - Revised.
    indusludes factories, navy yards, army ordnance plants, bakeries, ice plants, industrial warehouses, and other buildings at the site of these and similar production plants.
    buildings, commercial and recreation buildings, stores and other mercantile
    buildings, commercial garages, gasoline and service stations, etc.

[^35]:    ${ }^{7}$ Includes churches, hospitals, and other institutional buildings, schools, Inaries, etc.
    Indes
    Includes Federal, State, county, and municipal buildings, such as post offices, courthouses, city halls, fire and police stations, jails, prisons, arsenals,
    armories, army barracks, etc.

    - Includes railroad, bus and airport buildings, roundhouses, radio stations, gas and electric plants, public comfort stations, etc.
    10 Includes private garages, sheds, stables and barns, and other building
    not elsewhere classified.

