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## Preface

This bulletin is part of the Special Labor Force Reports series. It discusses historical trends and changes in labor force and earnings patterns among workers in families. These articles were first published in the Monthly Labor Review, December 1983, and are reprinted with additional tabular material and an explanatory note.

Most of the data for the first four articles were compiled from information in the March 1983 Current Population Survey, conducted by the Bureau of the

Census for the Bureau of Labor Statistics. These articles examine the labor force experience of workers by their family status. The fifth article reviews available child care services for working mothers, and the sixth provides some insights into the economic health of the family when the primary wage earner retires from the labor force.

Material in this publication is in the public domain and, with appropriate credit, may be reproduced without permission.
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# Labor force statistics from a family perspective 

Over time, the family unit has become a major focus for policy planning, program evaluation, and research; two data series, which are now part of the regular CPS, more quickly capture the effects of the business cycle on the employment and earnings of family members

Elizabeth Waldman


#### Abstract

"As are families so is society . . . If well ordered, well instructed, and well governed, they are springs from which go forth the streams of national greatness and prosperity-of civil order and public happiness." ${ }^{1}$ Families are the basic unit of American society that provide the country with its current labor supply and mold the character of its future workers. But, in contrast to the "well ordered," ideal state described above, family life is more often depicted as in flux or crisis. This has been especially true of the years following World War II, during which families changed from an extended to a nuclear structure, moved from a rural to an urban setting, and adjusted from wartime pressures to periods of peacetime prosperity or recession.

In 1940, a monthly sample survey was initiated to measure changes in the characteristics of the Nation's labor force. ${ }^{2}$ This article draws on the results of that survey to present a historical perspective on the labor market activities of family members. Subsequent sections review recent developments in survey procedures that permit the tracking of broad secular trends and of business-cycle effects on family employment and income, and suggest future directions for family-oriented economic analyses.


## Trends: 1940's to early 1980's

Since 1940, but especially over the last decade, families have become substantially smaller, and the variety of living

[^0]arrangements has increased. For example, today's schoolage and preschool children are more likely to be living with one parent or a stepparent and are far more likely to have a working mother. Factors contributing to such changes include unusually low fertility rates, exceptionally high divorce rates, later marriage, the aging of the population, and greater labor force participation by married women.

Some other results of these developments are shown in table 1. Since 1940, the number of married couples has nearly doubled, but the number of families maintained by women has nearly tripled, and half a million more men now do not live with their spouses but maintain their own families.
The 43 -year span which saw broken families become more numerous and their employment and unemployment problems more prominent also witnessed the gradual transformation of more than half of all married couples to multiearner families, and the labor force from one that was predominately male to one that is currently 45 percent female. Married women have accounted for the majority of additional workers demanded by the economy, except during 1941-44, when men and single women dominated the wartime influx to the labor force.

Despite the grave national emergency of World War II, married women continued to be utilized in the civilian labor force along traditional prewar lines. If a wife had no children, she was generally free to take a paid job, but if she had even one young child, society expected her to stay at home. The largest single source of additional wartime work-
ers were male and female youths of high-school or college age. Women over the age of 35 were the second largest labor pool. ${ }^{3}$ These "extra'" workers were recruited mainly from the ranks of married women who either had no children or whose children were old enough not to require their mothers' full-time care. Married women's wartime labor force participation rates were:

|  | Participation rate <br> (in percent) |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age 18 to $64 \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$. | 1940 | 1944 |
| Age 35 to $44 \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 14 | 23 |
| With no children under 10 years $\ldots$. | 20 | 26 |
| With children under 10 years $\ldots \ldots$. | 8 | 13 |

The labor force recruitment of women ages 20 to 34 was limited because of the wartime rise in marriages and childbirth within this age group.

Labor force participation rates for married women did not decline in the postwar period. In 1950, participation rates of wives were much the same as they had been in 1944 (table 2). Over the ensuing decades, wives' rates moved up, pausing only occasionally, mostly during some recessions. For wives with young children, labor force participation rates have quadrupled since 1950 .

## Age of youngest child

One of the effects of the general increase in married mothers' labor force activity is that many differences in their participation rates that previously were correlated with the age of the youngest child in the home have become blurred or have disappeared entirely in recent years (table 3). In 1970, married mothers' participation rates ranged from 24 percent for those whose youngest child was less than a year old to 57 percent where the youngest was 14 . Moreover, participation rates exhibited a step-wise progression closely related to the age of the youngest child. On balance, the participation rates for mothers of children 0 to 2 years old were about 30 percent or lower; for mothers with 3- to 5-year-olds, they were in the mid- to upper-30-percent range; and for those with 6- to 11-year-olds, rates were in the $40-$ to 50 -percent range. Participation rates exceeded 50 percent only among those women with junior-high or high-school age children.

By March 1983, these four distinct "steps" or ranges of participation rates had been reduced to three. The rate for mothers of infants was 45 percent, with rates for those with children 2 to 5 years old falling in a narrow band between 50 and 57 percent, and rates for mothers with school-age children concentrated in an almost equally small range between 60 and 67 percent. In addition, by 1983, the entire range of participation rates had contracted. In 1970, the highest rate ( 57 percent) was more than twice the lowest ( 24 percent), but by 1983, the highest ( 67 percent) was only about half again as great as the lowest ( 45 percent). That

45 percent of all wives with infant children are now in the labor force reflects many interrelated factors, such as inflation and recession. It also attests to the turnaround in society's attitude about mothers working outside the home and to women's persistence in the labor market despite higher-than-average unemployment rates.

As in the past, mothers with young children have a more difficult time in the labor market than other mothers. ${ }^{4}$ In March 1983, the unemployment rate for married women with toddlers under 3 was 12.8 percent, about twice that of mothers whose youngest child was at least 6 years old. In part, unemployment rates of mothers of young children may be higher because child-care responsibilities may restrict the types of jobs these women can accept. When employed, however, more than 60 percent of toddlers' mothers work at full-time jobs. This proportion rises to more than 70 percent when the children are school age. Of all 46 million children under age 18 in married-couple families, half had both parents in the labor force. (The issue of child care for working mothers is discussed by Sheila Kamerman elsewhere in this issue.)

## Husbands

In March 1983, when 52 percent of all wives were in the work force, 79 percent of the husbands were, too. But, over time, husbands' labor force participation rates have drifted down considerably:

## Participation rate

Year

| 1940 | 93 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1950 | 92 |
| 1960 | 89 |
| 1970 | 87 |
| 1980 | 81 |
| 1983 | 79 |

Much of the decline is attributable to a reduction in the number of husbands 55 or older in the labor force. This is due in large part to the growth of a great variety of private

Table 1. Families by type, selected years, 1940-83 [Numbers in thousands]

| Year ${ }^{1}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { families } \end{gathered}$ | Marriedcouple families | Other families |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Maintained by men | Maintained by women |  |
|  |  |  |  | Total | As percent of all families |
| 1940 | 32,166 | 26,971 | 1,579 | 3,616 | 11.2 |
| 1947 | 35,794 | 31,211 | 1,186 | 3,397 | 9.5 |
| 1950 | 39,303 | 34,440 | 1,184 | 3,679 | 9.4 |
| 1955 | 41,951 | 36,378 | 1,339 | 4,234 | 10.1 |
| 1960 | 45,062 | 39,293 | 1,275 | 4,494 | 10.0 |
| 1965 | 47,836 | 41,649 | 1,181 | 5,006 | 10.5 |
| 1970 | 51,227 | 44,415 | 1,239 | 5,580 | 10.9 |
| 1975 | 56,257 | 47,528 | 1,412 | 7,316 | 13.0 |
| 1980 | 59,910 | 49,132 | 1,769 | 9,009 | 15.0 |
| 1983 | 61,834 | 49,947 | 2,059 | 9,828 | 15.9 |

[^1]retirement plans and better social security benefits, including a broadening of the eligibility requirements for disability benefits. In 1982, the labor force participation rate for husbands age 65 or over was 19 percent, compared with 48 percent in 1952. Corresponding rates for husbands 55 to 64 years of age were 71 and 89 percent. But participation rates for younger husbands have also drifted downward, a development probably related, to some degree, to the increasing participation of their wives. (More details about the current labor force activity and income of husbands and wives by race and Hispanic origin are provided in Howard Hayghe's article on page 26 of this issue. Information on men's reasons for early retirement and the effects on the family is presented in Kezia Sproat's article on page 40.)

## Divorce

Divorce is . . . " "a symptom of general family illness due to vast social changes confusing to individuals. But will these confusions be resolved as long as women insist upon feministic movements and men in baffled protest cry out that women are usurping their place in the world." ${ }^{5}$

These thoughts from a 1939 treatise, "The American Family in A Changing Society," could easily have been written during the turbulent 1970's, when the divorce rate hit the highest level ever recorded, ${ }^{6}$ and a million women were added to the labor force in every year but one. The Depression of the 1930's had placed enormous strains on family life as the economic foundations of a great many families crumbled. Although neither divorce nor the employment of wives was as common as in recent years, both were viewed as destroyers of family life. The 1970's-like the 1930'swere also years of great stress for many families, but for different reasons, including inflation and changing lifestyles.

In 1940, there was 1 divorce for every 6 marriages, while in 1980, there was 1 for every 2 marriages. During both periods, an extensive amount of remarriage occurred, so that married-couple families predominated- 84 percent in 1940 and 80 percent in 1980. However, divorces have also swelled the number of families maintained by women in recent years, a factor that raises the labor force participation rate of women maintaining families because divorcees have historically registered the highest participation rates of any marital group of women. In 1983, 60 percent of women maintaining families were in the labor force, compared with 44 percent in 1946 when widows dominated the group. (More details on families maintained by women are provided in Beverly Johnson's article on page 30 of this issue.)

## Current data

All of the family labor force statistics discussed so far are derived from detailed data collected only once each year. Since 1940, these statistics have typically been collected in the March supplement to the Current Population Survey, to provide a "snapshot" of the employment status of family members. When the structure of families changed exten-
sively in the 1970's, the Bureau of Labor Statistics (bls) began developing two new series of monthly and quarterly data that would more quickly capture the effects of businesscycle changes on the employment situation of families and their members. ${ }^{7}$

BLS now publishes a series of person-family data every month in Employment and Earnings. Introduced in July 1977 on a quarterly basis, this series confirms long-term trends. For example, families in which the husband is employed are more likely to have other employed members than families where the husband is either unemployed or not in the labor force. Of the 36.8 million families where the husband was employed in the second quarter of 1983, 64 percent had at least one other employed person, while of the 2.6 million families where the husband was unemployed, 58 percent had some other person employed. Only 18 percent of the unemployed women maintaining families lived with another relative who was employed. The monthly statistics thus enable analysts to track the extent of unemployment within families as a recession develops or abates, and report on the cushioning effect when other family mem-

Table 2. Labor force participation rates of married women, husband present, by presence and age of own children, 1950-83

| Year ${ }^{1}$ | Participation rate |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | With no children under 18 years | With children under 18 years |  |  |
|  |  |  | Total | 6 to 17 years, none younger | Under 6 years |
| 1950 | 23.8 | 30.3 | 18.4 | 28.3 | 11.9 |
| 1951 | 25.2 | 31.0 | 20.5 | 30.3 | 14.0 |
| 1952 | 25.3 | 30.9 | 20.7 | 31.1 | 13.9 |
| 1953 | 26.3 | 31.2 | 22.4 | 32.2 | 15.5 |
| 1954 | 26.6 | 31.6 | 22.7 | 33.2 | 14.9 |
| 1955 | 27.7 | 32.7 | 24.0 | 34.7 | 16.2 |
| 1956 | 29.0 | 35.3 | 24.5 | 36.4 | 15.9 |
| 1957 | 29.6 | 35.6 | 25.3 | 36.6 | 17.0 |
| 1958 | 30.2 | 35.4 | 26.5 | 37.6 | 18.2 |
| 1959 | 30.9 | 35.2 | 27.9 | 39.8 | 18.7 |
| 1960 . | 30.5 | 34.7 | 27.6 | 39.0 | 18.6 |
| 1961. | 32.7 | 37.3 | 29.6 | 41.7 | 20.0 |
| 1962 | 32.7 | 36.1 | 30.3 | 41.8 | 21.3 |
| 1963 | 33.7 | 37.4 | 31.2 | 41.5 | 22.5 |
| 1964 | 34.4 | 37.8 | 32.0 | 43.0 | 22.7 |
| 1965 | 34.7 | 38.3 | 32.2 | 42.7 | 23.3 |
| 1966 | 35.4 | 38.4 | 33.2 | 43.7 | 24.2 |
| 1967 | 36.8 | 38.9 | 35.3 | 45.0 | 26.5 |
| 1968 | 38.3 | 40.1 | 36.9 | 46.9 | 27.6 |
| 1969. | 39.6 | 41.0 | 38.6 | 48.6 | 28.5 |
| 1970 | 40.8 | 42.2 | 39.7 | 49.2 | 30.3 |
| 1971. | 40.8 | 42.1 | 39.7 | 49.4 | 29.6 |
| 1972 | 41.5 | 42.7 | 40.5 | 50.2 | 30.1 |
| $1973$ | 42.2 | 42.8 | 41.7 | 50.1 | 32.7 |
| 1974. | 43.1 | 43.0 | 43.1 | 51.2 | 34.4 |
| 1975 | 44.4 | 43.8 | 44.9 | 52.2 | 36.7 |
| 1976 | 45.1 | 43.7 | 46.1 | 53.6 | 37.5 |
| $1977$ | 46.6 | 44.8 | 48.2 | 55.5 | 39.4 |
| 1978 | 47.5 | 44.6 | 50.2 | 57.1 | 41.7 |
| 1979 | 49.3 | 46.6 | 51.9 | 59.0 | 43.3 |
| 1980 | 50.1 | 46.0 | 54.1 | 61.7 | 45.1 |
| 1981 | 51.0 | 46.3 | 55.7 | 62.5 | 47.8 |
| 1982 | 51.2 | 46.2 | 56.3 | 63.2 | 48.7 |
| 1983 | 51.8 | 46.6 | 57.2 | 63.8 | 49.9 |

[^2]bers are employed. (The article by Deborah Klein on page 21 of this issue provides more details on this subject.)

A second new statistical series concerns the weekly earnings of families. Between 1967 and 1978, BLS reported once a year on the usual weekly wage and salary earnings of individuals by age, sex, race, and occupation. The information was obtained from supplemental CPS questions asked each May. As part of the shift in emphasis to current, familybased statistics duming the late 1970's, steps were taken to relate the earnings of individual workers to the families in which they lived and to collect the data more frequently.

The new quarterly series of weekly family earnings began with data for 1979 and was first published early in $1980 .^{8}$ Since that time, quarterly news releases have illustrated the different earnings patterns among families and the general effects of inflation on their purchasing power. For instance, during the second quarter of 1983, median weekly earnings for married-couple families were $\$ 517$ per week- $\$ 354$ if there was one earner and $\$ 646$ if there was more than one. Multiearner families continued to account for slightly more than half of all married-couple families. These families were a little better off than others over the year, because their median earnings had increased somewhat more (4.4 percent) than the increase in the Consumer Price Index ( 3.5 percent). For families maintained by women, median weekly earnings (\$271) were well below those of married couples, but had at least kept pace with inflation.

## The present and future

Increasingly, the family unit itself has become the focus for policy planning, program evaluation, and research. The data series currently published by BLS permit policymakers and planners to address the social and economic issues that affect the daily lives of people in families on a more timely basis than ever before. We can now examine the ways in which children and youth, their parents or stepparents, elderly couples, and those living in minority families are affected by the dynamics of the labor market.

Most importantly, the analysis of family statistics aids in shaping our thinking about family life in the future. Clearly, we know a great deal about the demographic characteristics of the population and can estimate the age and race distributions of the population for 1990 , the year 2000 , and

Table 3. Labor force participation rates of wives by age of youngest child, selected years, 1970-83

| Presence and age of children | 1970 | 1975 | 1980 | 1983 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All wives | 40.8 | 44.5 | 50.1 | 51.8 |
| With no children under 18 | 42.2 | 43.8 | 46.0 | 46.6 |
| With cinildren under 18 | 39.7 | 44.9 | 54.1 | 57.2 |
| Age of youngest child: |  |  |  |  |
| 0 to 1 year ... | 24.0 | 31.0 | 39.0 | 44.6 |
| 2 years | 30.5 | 37.1 | 48.1 | 50.4 |
| 3 years | 34.5 | 41.1 | 51.7 | 56.1 |
| 4 years | 39.4 | 41.2 | 51.5 | 57.2 |
| 5 years | 36.9 | 44.0 | 52.4 | 56.6 |
| 6 years | 42.0 | 46.4 | 58.5 | 59.4 |
| 7 years. | 44.7 | 51.3 | 61.7 | 61.1 |
| 8 years | 44.6 | 52.1 | 62.3 | 65.0 |
| 9 years | 48.5 | 52.4 | 60.8 | 60.4 |
| 10 years | 48.7 | 56.2 | 63.3 | 62.4 |
| 11 years | 47.6 | 52.8 | 63.4 | 66.4 |
| 12 years | 51.8 | 49.7 | 65.7 | 66.6 |
| 13 years | 51.8 | 54.0 | 64.6 | 65.3 |
| 14 years | 56.9 | 52.5 | 62.6 | 66.4 |
| 15 years | 52.8 | 55.3 | 60.8 | 64.1 |
| 16 years | 54.3 | 54.7 | 62.3 | 66.8 |
| 17 years | 55.1 | 52.6 | 55.6 | 62.2 |

beyond. We can apply current age-, sex-, and race-specific labor force participation rates to the extrapolated population to obtain estimates of the future size and configuration of the labor force. ${ }^{9}$

But how far off are such estimates likely to be? What are the long-term trends in the nondemographic factors affecting the proportions of women who will be in the labor force at some future date? What will be the effect of today's technological changes and worker dislocations; of more flexible work schedules; of later retirement? Is the nuclear family in its classical form (father, mother, children, but no grandparents or other relatives) truly "rapidly breaking down today, not because of 'loose morals' or 'permissiveness,' but because it no longer serves the needs of the population?'" ${ }^{10}$ Some of these nondemographic factors may have as much to do with shaping the future labor force as similar factors-such as the birth control pill, the transistor, the computer, and the laws governing employment-have had in molding today's work force. As the articles on family statistics in this issue suggest, it is appropriate to monitor both the current status of workers in families and emerging demographic and nondemographic trends in constructing statistics for the future.

[^3][^4]at the statistics." Monthly Labor Revien', October 1979, pp. 39-49, and other articles in that issuc.
${ }^{5}$ Harriet Ahlers Houdlette. The American Family in a Changing World (Washington. American Association of University Women, 1939), p. 25.
"See Waldman and others. "Working mothers in the 1970's." Also see U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, National Center for Health Statistics. "Births. Marriages, Divorces, and Deaths for 1982,' Monthly. Vital Statistics Report. Mar. 14, 1983, p. 3.
${ }^{7}$ See Howard Hayghe, "New data series on families shows most jobless have working relatives." Monthly Labor Review, December 1976, pp. 4648; and Janet Norwood. "New approaches to statistics on the family," Monthly Labor Revien', July 1977, pp. 31-34.
${ }^{8}$ See U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics "New Data Relate Workers' Earnings to the Families in Which They Live,' usidl 80188, Mar. 27, 1980.
${ }^{9}$ Articles in the November 1983 issue of the Review present the results of the Bureau's most recent projections of economic growth, distribution of demand, and employment through 1995. See also Richard W. Riche, Daniel E. Hecker, and John U. Burgan, "High technology today and tomorrow: a small slice of the employment pie," in the same issue for a discussion of the employment implications of the growth of high technology industries.
${ }^{10}$ Alvin Toffler, The Eco-Spasm Report (New York, Bantam Books, 1975), p. 89.

# Trends in employment and unemployment in families 

Multiearner families have extra protection against financial reversals, but economic recession tends to erode this cushion; during the most recent downturn, the employment of married women declined less than that of married men who are more likely to work in cyclically sensitive industries

Deborah Pisetzner Klein

The monthly employment and unemployment statistics receive a great deal of national attention because they are a useful yardstick of the state of the economy. In addition to the overall measures, the Bureau of Labor Statistics issues a wide range of data series focusing on specific worker groups. In recent years, there has been an expansion in the data series that enable us to examine the situation of individual workers in a family context. These data provide additional insights into the personal impact of employment and unemployment, because family members often pool their earnings and support each other both financially and emotionally when out of work. This article explores recent trends in employment and unemployment in families. ${ }^{1}$

In 1982, 85 percent of the labor force lived in family units. (Of the remainder, 10 million lived alone and 7 mil lion lived with nonrelatives, such as roommates or housemates.) As table 1 shows, more than a third of the labor force consisted of husbands and nearly a quarter were wives. Including other related persons (mostly teenagers and young adults), more than 70 percent of the labor force lived in married-couple families. In recent years, however, there has been a very marked increase in the number of families maintained by women on their own. In 1982, nearly onetenth of the labor force lived in such families, including the

[^5]women themselves, their older children (age 16 and over). and other relatives. Families maintained by unmarried men constituted the remainder of the labor force.

With the increase in the number of families maintained by women, and growing labor force participation by wives. husbands are no longer the mainstay of the market economy. Married men accounted for only 36 percent of the labor force in 1982, down from 41 percent just 5 years earlier and 52 percent in 1955.

## Employment

Over the long run, the number of employed persons changes in line with population movements. variations in the desire for work among persons in different demographic groups. and the availability of jobs. During the $1970^{\circ}$ s. the number of employed persons increased by a whopping 20 million. as the crest of the baby boom reached working age, the proportion of married women working outside the home increased dramatically, and the rapidly expanding serviceproducing sector provided many new jobs. These developments translated into significant growth in the number of multiworker families. Today more than 60 percent of all husband-wife families have at least two persons employed. compared with fewer than 40 percent in 1955.

More recently, cyclical movements in employment have dominated secular ones. Between April 1981 and February 1983, the number of married men with jobs dropped by 1.8

million, but by June 1983, the recovery had returned 500,000 to employment.

The impact of the 1981-82 recession was much less severe among married women. The number employed declined for several months during 1981-for a total reduction of about 500,000-but began rising again shortly. By-June 1983, the number of employed wives was 24.3 million, more than 700,000 above the 1981 low. Thus, in mid-1983, the number of employed married women stood at an alltime high while the number of employed married men was 2 million below its peak of 39.9 million recorded before the 1980 recession.

Employment among women maintaining families on their own has increased over time along with their expanded population. More recently, their employment level has held at about 5 million, but the proportion with jobs declined from 54 to 52 percent over the course of the 1981-82 recession and showed no appreciable improvement in the first half of 1983. (See chart 1.)

## Unemployment

With lower-than-average unemployment rates, husbands and wives account for a much smaller share of unemployment (two-fifths in 1982) than they do of the labor force (three-fifths). Women who maintain families on their own account for a slightly larger share of unemployment ( 6 percent) than of the labor force ( 5 percent). Relatives, regardless of their family type, are typically young people with high unemployment rates; they account for less than onefifth of the labor force but nearly two-fifths of the unemployed.

These relationships change over the business cycle, with married men comprising a greater share of unemployment when economic conditions are at their worst. For example, husbands' share of the jobless total rose from 19 percent in July 1981 to 24 percent in December 1982, before receding slightly to 23 percent by June 1983. ${ }^{2}$ (See table 2.)

Married men generally have strong attachment to the labor force and typically have relatively low unemployment rates.

In 1979, for example, when the overall rate was 5.8 percent, the rate for husbands was below 3 percent. However, unemployment for this group is highly cyclical because many married men work in the goods-producing sector of the economy. Thus, their jobless rate rises sharply in every recession and tends to show the most improvement during recoveries. Over the past recession, for instance, the rate for husbands was 3.8 percent in April 1981, peaked in December 1982 at 7.8 percent, and came down about a percentage point in the first half of 1983. While the recovery was still in progress in mid-1983 and further reductions could therefore be expected, it should be noted that, in the business cycles shown in chart 2 , married men began each recession with a higher unemployment rate than the previous one.

The unemployment rate for all adult men surpassed the rate for all adult women in 1982, but this was not true among married persons. The jobless rate for married women has consistently been higher than that for married men, although the gap did narrow considerably during the 1981-82 recession. With recovery underway in 1983, the rate for married men dropped more sharply than that for married women, and by midyear, the gap was back to more than a full percentage point. (See chart 2.)

Unemployment among women who maintain families tends to be very high. These women, on average, have completed fewer years of school than wives and are concentrated in lower skilled, lower paying jobs, where there is considerable turnover. ${ }^{3}$ During the late 1960 's, the unemployment rates for married women and for women who maintained families on their own were very similar. Since the early 1970's, however, the rates have diverged. As can be seen in chart 2, women who maintain families have shown little or no improvement in their jobless situation during expansionary periods.

## The unemployment cushion in families

With the rising incidence of multiworker families comes the greater likelihood that there will still be a worker in the family when someone becomes unemployed. However, recession not only increases unemployment but also serves

| Family status | July 1981 |  | December 1982 |  | June 1983 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Total, all persons. | 7,854 | 100.0 | 12,036 | 100.0 | 11,146 | 100.0 |
| Husbands | 1,508 | 19.2 | 2.907 | 24.2 | 2.586 | 23.2 |
| Wives . . . . . . . | 1,398 | 17.8 | 2.036 | 16.9 | 1.970 | 17.7 |
| Relatives in marriedcouple families. | 1,916 | 24.4 | 2.735 | 22.7 | 2.558 | 22.9 |
| Women who maintain families | 613 | 7.9 | 763 | 6.3 | 730 | 6.5 |
| Relatives in such families. | 932 | 11.9 | 1,389 | 11.5 | 1.303 | 11.7 |
| Other persons | 1.483 | 18.9 | 2,206 | 18.3 | 1.999 | 17.9 |


to reduce the cushion provided by other family members. From the middle of 1981 to the end of 1982, for example, the number of unemployed family members rose from 7 to 10 million: at the same time, the proportion of the unemployed living in a family with an employed member dropped from 70 to 66 percent. (See chart 3.) The major reason for this decline was the general contraction of employment caused by the recession as well as the increasing share of unemployment accounted for by persons with a relatively lower likelihood of having employed family members.

Relatives in husband-wife families-most typically teenage and young adult children of the couple-are the most likely group to live in a family with workers; in 9 out of 10 cases, at least one of their parents has a job. In 1979, these relatives constituted more than 28 percent of the unemployed; in 1982, with the sharp increases in joblessness for groups with traditionally lower unemployment rates, their share was down to 23 percent. Even among this group, there was a recessionary decline in the family employment cushion. The number of unemployed relatives in marriedcouple families rose from 1.9 to 2.7 million during the 1981-82 recession, and the proportion with an employed person in their family edged down from 93 to 86 percent.

Unemployed wives are also very likely to have an employed person in their family. In 1978, the proportion peaked at nearly 90 percent. Because the person most likely to be working is the husband and because the employment levels of married men were reduced during the recession, the proportion of unemployed wives with working husbands declined sharply, from 87 percent in mid-1981 to 75 percent in mid-1982. With the pickup in employment in 1983, the proportion edged up to 77 percent by midyear.

As married women have entered the labor force, the proportion of unemployed husbands with a working family member has increased markedly. Between 1977 and 1981, the proportion of unemployed husbands with a working wife increased from 48 to 55 percent. As mentioned earlier, the 1981-82 recession drove up unemployment among married men, but the proportion with an employed person in the family did not drop ás sharply as among other groups. This was primarily because employment levels for wives did not decline nearly as much as for husbands. With the onset of the recovery, the proportion of unemployed husbands with a worker in the family began to rise, and by June 1983, had reached 56 percent.

Difficulties in coping with economic downturns are exacerbated by the fact that, to a certain extent, unemployment tends to run in families. Persons with high levels of educational attainment and good preparation for careers often marry each other, as do persons with more limited labor market skills. Even more important, when high'unemployment hits a specific geographic area, it can affect more than one family member. The fact that the unemployment rate for persons with unemployed spouses runs about three times the rate for persons with employed spouses illustrates this
point most dramatically. Thus, in 1982, the unemployment rate for wives with unemployed husbands was 20.7 percent, compared with 6.3 percent for wives with employed husbands. While the number of married couples who are both unemployed is relatively small-it peaked at 400,000 in December 1982 and was down to 300,000 by mid-1983 (not seasonally adjusted) -the impact of multiple unemployment on their financial well-being is considerable.

Unemployment is a particularly severe problem for families maintained by women. Because there are smaller numbers of persons of working age, on average, in these families, the likelihood of there being an employed member to cushion the effects of unemployment is also smaller. Since quarterly data of this type first became available in 1976, the proportion of unemployed women who maintain families that include an employed person has never been as high as 22 percent. Moreover, unemployed relatives in such families are substantially less likely to have an employed person in their family than relatives in married-couple families. However, in both cases, the problems are principally structural in nature, and the business cycle does not bring about substantial change.

## Blacks and Hispanics

Because the cushioning effect of working family members is so different by family type, an understanding of the family composition of different groups in the population is important.

In particular, the family composition of blacks and Hispanics is quite different from that of whites. (See table 3.) Whites are most likely to live in married-couple families where unemployment rates are relatively low and multiple workers most frequent. Blacks, on the other hand, are more likely than whites or Hispanics to live in families maintained by women, which, as we have just seen, are relatively disadvantaged in the labor market. In 1982, 28 percent of the black working-age population lived in a family maintained by a woman, compared with only 8 percent of the

| Table 3. Family status of the civilian noninstitutional population by race and Hispanic origin, 1982 annual averages <br> [In percent] |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Family status | White | Black | Hispanic |
| All persons | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| In married-couple families: |  |  |  |
| Husbands | 30.0 | 19.1 | 26.3 |
| Wives | 30.0 | 18.6 | 27.1 |
| Relatives. | 12.8 | 11.9 | 15.7 |
| In families maintained by women: |  |  |  |
| Women who maintain families. | 4.4 | 14.5 | 7.6 |
| Relatives. | 3.8 | 13.6 | 6.9 |
| In families maintained by men: |  |  |  |
| Men who maintain families | 1.3 | 2.0 | 1.8 |
| Relatives. | 1.3 | 2.3 | 2.3 |
| Persons living alone. | 11.2 | 12.3 | 6.3 |
| All others . | 5.2 | 5.6 | 5.8 |

white population and 15 percent of the Hispanic population. Primarily because of these differences in family composition, the likelihood that unemployed black workers lived in a family with someone employed is lower than for other
groups. In 1982, about half of all unemployed blacks lived in a family that included an employed person, compared with about 60 percent of unemployed whites and 56 percent of unemployed Hispanics. ${ }^{4}$

Acknowledgment: The author thanks Stella Cromartie, Kenneth Buckley. and George Methee of the Office of Employment and Unemployment Statistics for their technical assistance in the preparation of this article.
${ }^{1}$ The source of data is the Current Population Survey, a monthly sample survey of households conducted by the Bureau of the Census for the Bureau of Labor Statistics. Data relate to the civilian noninstitutional population 16 years of age and over. A description of the survey appears in the Bureau of Labor Statistics publication, Employment and Earnings. Some of the series were seasonally adjusted for the first time for this article.
${ }^{2}$ For a discussion of the economic recovery during the first half of 1983. see Norman Bowers. "Employment on the rise in the first half of 1983."

Monthli Labor Review. August 1983. pp. 8-14. A discussion of the 198182 downturn may be found in Michael A. Urquhart and Marillyn A. Hewson, "Unemployment continued to rise in 1982 as recession deepened." Monthly Labor Review: February 1983. pp. 3-12.
${ }^{3}$ A discussion of the labor market situation of women maintaining families may be found in Beverly Johnson and Elizabeth Waldman. "Most women who maintain families receive poor labor market returns, ${ }^{*}$ in this issue.
${ }^{4}$ Other articles in this issue focus on specific family types and compare the labor market experience of whites, blacks. and Hispanics in each family type.

# Married couples: work and income patterns 

Differences in family income among whites, blacks, and<br>Hispanics are rooted in the work patterns of husbands and wives

Howard Hayghe

Today's married-couple families-whether white, black, or Hispanic-supply the U.S. labor force with most of its workers. By the turn of the century-a little less than two decades from now-most of these men, women, and children will still be alive. A clearer understanding of the current status of work patterns in white and minority families permits valuable insights into the nature of work and the family and needs of the family in the closing years of this century.

This article deals with white, black, and Hispanic mar-ried-couple families, highlighting their current work-income profiles and exploring briefly, some of the major differences. More than 8 of 10 white families are married couples, as are 5 of 10 black families and 7 of 10 Hispanic families. Together these families supply about 71 percent of the Na tion's workers. The data used were obtained primarily from supplemental questions to the March 1983 Current Population Survey. ${ }^{1}$

## Spouses at work

Husbands and wives in white, black, and Hispanic families ${ }^{2}$ display considerable differences in age and education, which, in turn, influence their respective labor force participation patterns and income levels. In general, black families today are more likely to be multiearner families than white or Hispanic married couples. Nonetheless, black mar-ried-couple families (like their Hispanic counterparts) have

Howard Hayghe is an economist in the Division of Employment and Unemployment Analysis, Bureau of Labor Statistics.
lower incomes and a higher incidence of unemployment than white families.

About 87 percent of the Hispanic husbands were in the labor force in March 1983 compared with 79 percent of whites and 76 percent of blacks (table 1). On average, Hispanic husbands are substantially younger than their black or white counterparts. But, their relative youth (which implies inexperience for many) works against them by contributing to a higher unemployment rate than for whites (but about the same as for black husbands). The majority of black and white husbands have completed high school, whereas more than half of Hispanics left prior to completion.

Wives present a somewhat different labor force pattern and the underlying reasons for it are complex. Black wives historically have been more likely to be in the labor force than white wives, as shown by labor force participation rates for selected years:

| Year | White | Black |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| March 1950 $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 22.8 | 37.0 |
| March 1960 $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 29.6 | 40.8 |
| March 1970 $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 39.7 | 52.5 |
| March 1980 $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 49.3 | 59.0 |

This gap continued in March 1983, when the participation rates for white and black wives were 51.0 and 60.8 percent, respectively.

The historically higher labor force participation rate of black wives reflects several interrelated elements, including the impact of economic problems stemming from many black husbands' longstanding labor market difficulties and

Table 1. Selected characteristics of married-couple families by race and Hispanic origin, March 1983

| Selected characteristics | White | Black | Hispanic |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Married-couple families, total (in thousands) As percent of all families | $\begin{array}{r} 45.273 \\ 84.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.504 \\ 52.9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.456 \\ 71.9 \end{array}$ |
| Husbands and wives |  |  |  |
| Median age: |  |  |  |
| Husband | 45.4 | 43.8 | 38.9 |
| Wife | 42.5 | 41.2 | 35.9 |
| Median years of school completed: |  |  |  |
| Husband | 12.7 | 12.2 | 11.5 |
| Wife | 12.7 | 12.2 | 11.6 |
| Labor force participation rate: |  |  |  |
| Husband Wife | 79.4 51.0 | 76.3 60.8 | 86.9 46.9 |
| Unemployment rate: ${ }^{\text {I }}$ |  |  |  |
| Husband | 7.8 | 12.3 | 13.2 |
| Wife | 6.8 | 11.3 | 16.5 |
| Presence of own children ${ }^{2}$ under 18 |  |  |  |
| Married couples with children under 18. total (in thousands) | 21,702 | 1.911 | 1.691 |
| As percent of all married-couple families | 47.9 | 54.5 | 68.9 |
| Percent with: |  |  |  |
| Children 6 to 17, none younger Children under 6 | $\begin{array}{r} 53.1 \\ 46.9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 52.1 \\ & 47.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 43.1 \\ 56.9 \end{array}$ |

${ }^{1}$ Not seasonally adjusted.
${ }^{2}$ Own children include only never-married sons, daughters, stepchildren, and adopted children. All other children in the household are excluded.
the greater frequency of marital breakups among black families. ${ }^{3}$ Undoubtedly, the long history of black men's above average unemployment rates ${ }^{4}$ has influenced their wives' decisions to work outside the home. The following information from different periods illustrates this point.

During the sharp labor force buildup prior to World War II, Howard Meyers wrote, "The demand (for labor) . . . is restricted largely to young white males. . . . Negroes are apparently almost entirely barred from many lines of defense production." ${ }^{5}$ From the early 1960 's: "Negro women in cities have always been able to get steadier jobs, usually as domestics, than men. This often meant that a black man was capable of being a biological father but not an economic father. ${ }^{י 16}$ Finally, Richard Freeman found that in the 1960 's (especially after the passage of the Civil Rights Act of 1964) black women were much more able to improve their economic position than were black men, in part because of the relatively greater ease with which the women were hired into higher-paying occupations. ${ }^{7}$

While economic factors are among the principal reasons for black wives' high labor force participation, the cultural heritage of Hispanic women appears to lead, in part, to their relatively low participation rates. As stated by Morris J. Newman, Hispanics are "an amalgam of several historically and culturally distinct ethnic groups linked together by the shared background of Spanish colonialism in the New World. ${ }^{י 8}$ Part of this background is an emphasis on the homemaking and childbearing and rearing role of women.

Whether white, black, or Hispanic, wives' employment
status appears to be related to their husbands' status (table 2). While black wives' labor force participation is relatively high regardless of their husbands' employment status, all wives whose husbands were employed were more likely themselves to be employed than wives with unemployed husbands or husbands not in the labor force.

At first glance, this relationship may appear contrary to logical expectations. Shouldn't the wife try to replace earnings lost when the husband is jobless or out of the labor force? Indeed, this is the idea behind the additional-worker hypothesis of labor market activity during cyclical downturns. ${ }^{9}$ The reality, however, is that wives of unemployed husbands have lower participation rates and experience greater difficulty finding work than wives whose husbands are at work. For instance, among whites, 3 percent of the wives of employed husbands were jobless compared with 11 percent of those whose husbands were unemployed. For those not in the labor force, age is an obvious explanatory factor; close to 80 percent of the husbands who were not in the work force were 65 years old or over and retired, as were their wives.

Children. Conventional wisdom decrees that wives with preschool children are less likely to be in the labor force. than wives whose youngest child is school age. While this is true for whites and Hispanics, it has never been true for black wives. Not only do black married mothers continue to have higher labor force participation rates than white or Hispanic mothers, there is also no appreciable difference in the black rates by age of youngest child, as shown below for March 1983:

|  | White | Black | Hispanic |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wives with children    <br> under $18 \ldots \ldots \ldots$. 56.2 68.5 46.8 <br> 6 to 17, none younger 63.4 69.1 53.5 <br> Under $6 \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ 48.2 67.8 41.9 .......... |  |  |  |

Table 2. Employment status of wives by employment status of husbands, race, and Hispanic origin, March 1983

| Employment status of wives | Husband's employment status |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Employed | Unemployed | Not in labor force |
| White |  |  |  |
| Percent of wives who were: |  |  |  |
| Employed | 55.3 | 50.1 | 19.1 |
| Unemployed | 3.4 | 11.1 | 1.1 |
| Not in labor force | 41.3 | 38.8 | 79.7 |
| Black |  |  |  |
| Percent of wives who were: |  |  |  |
| Employed | 63.1 | 48.9 | 30.8 |
| Unemployed | 7.0 | 16.9 | 1.2 |
| Not in labor force | 29.9 | 34.2 | 67.9 |
| Hispanic origin |  |  |  |
| Percent of wives who were: |  |  |  |
| Employed | 43.8 | 30.7 | 19.6 |
| Unemployed | 6.4 | 20.4 | 1.6 |
| Not in labor force | 49.8 | 48.9 | 78.8 |

Table 3. Children ${ }^{1}$ in married-couple families by employment status of parents, race, and Hispanic origin, March 1983

| Item | White | Black | Hispanic |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Children under 18 years. total ${ }^{2}$ (in thousands) | 40.814 | 3.769 | 3.722 |
| Percent with: |  |  |  |
| No employed parent | 6.6 | 10.9 | 14.0 |
| One employed parent or more | 93.4 | 89.1 | 86.0 |
| One employed parent only | 48.8 | 42.2 | 54.2 |
| Father | 44.2 | 31.8 | 49.2 |
| Mother | 4.6 | 10.4 | 5.0 |
| Two employed parents | 44.3 | 46.9 | 31.8 |

"Children are defined as "own" children and include enly never-married sons, daughters, stepchildren, and adopted children. All other children in household are excluded.
${ }^{2}$ Includes children whose fathers are in the Armed Forces and living with the family on or off base in the United States. These fathers are treated as employed.

Because most fathers and just over half of mothers are in the labor force ( 94 and 54 percent, respectively, for whites, blacks, and Hispanics combined), the overwhelming majority of children have at least one employed parent (table 3). White children are somewhat more likely to have an employed parent than black or Hispanic children, reflecting the higher unemployment rates among black and Hispanic husbands and wives.

## Income and poverty

Whatever the number of earners, the 1982 average annual income of married-couple families continued to be higher for whites than for blacks or Hispanics. Median income for black $(\$ 14,200)$ and Hispanic $(\$ 13,800)$ families was roughly 60 percent of median income for white families $(\$ 23,500)$. For two-earner families where both spouses worked, the difference between whites and blacks was about 12 percentage points, and 21 points between whites and Hispanics (table 4). In addition, white married couples averaged more income from sources other than wages and salaries than either the black or Hispanic couples. ${ }^{10}$

These income differences are partly explained both by differences in weekly earnings of spouses (especially hus-
bands) and by the number of weeks husbands and wives worked during the year. As shown in the following text tabulation, usual weekly earnings (full-time wage and salary) were more than $\$ 100$ above the medians for blacks and Hispanics in 1982, while the differences among wives' earnings were considerably less:

|  | White | Black | Hispanic |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Husbands $\ldots \ldots \ldots$ | $\$ 412$ | $\$ 303$ | $\$ 297$ |
| Wives $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | $\$ 246$ | $\$ 231$ | $\$ 213$ |

The effect of these differences in weekly earnings on differences in yearly family income is strengthened by the fact that 74 percent of white husbands who were employed at any time in 1982 worked full time all year compared with 68 percent of their black or Hispanic counterparts.

The size of the gap in husbands' average weekly earnings reflects the marked difference in their occupations. By comparison, wives, whose earnings are far more similar, tend to work in much the same occupations (table 5). White husbands are more often employed in managerial, professional specialty, and precision production occupations (which are usually relatively high-paying) than their black and Hispanic counterparts. In contrast, a higher proportion of the blacks and Hispanics work in lower paying jobs, such as operators and fabricators, service workers, and equipment handlers, cleaners, and helpers. Wives, whether white, black. or Hispanic, tend to be concentrated in the same occupational groupings, namely, technical. sales. and administrative support.
Poverty. In 1982, about 7 percent of the white couples had incomes below the poverty level ${ }^{11}$ compared with 16 percent for blacks and 19 percent for Hispanics. These rates reflect the earnings and employment differences discussed above as well as the fact that black and Hispanic families have more children, on average, than white families.

The incidence of poverty was relatively low by race or Hispanic origin when both the husband and wife were earn-

Table 4. Number of earners, median family income, and poverty status in 1982 of married-couple families, by race and Hispanic origin, March 1983

| Number and relationship of earners | White |  |  | Black |  |  | Hispanic |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Median income | Percent in poverty | Total | Median income | Percent in poverty | Total | Median income | Percent in poverty |
| Total (in thousands) In percent | $\begin{array}{r} 45.273 \\ 100.0 \end{array}$ | \$26.710 | 6.9 - | $\begin{aligned} & 3.504 \\ & 100.0 \end{aligned}$ | \$20.680 | 15.6 | 2.456 100.0 | \$19.390 | 19.3 |
| No earners | 13.0 | 12.710 | 16.8 | 12.4 | 7.470 | 43.9 | 7.7 | 7.220 | 48.9 |
| One earner | 28.7 | 22.310 | 10.3 | 25.7 | 13.650 | 24.4 | 33.6 | 13.760 | 29.2 |
| Husband | 23.6 | 23.460 | 9.0 | 17.7 | 14.240 | 24.4 | 30.5 | 13.820 | 28.7 |
| Wife | 3.9 | 16.220 | 16.4 | 6.8 | 12.450 | 23.5 | 2.0 | (i) | (1) |
| Other | 1.2 | 21.090 | 15.7 | 1.2 | ${ }^{1}$ ) | (1) | 1.1 | (1) | (1) |
| Two earners or more | 58.3 | 32.220 | 3.0 | 61.9 | 26.520 | 6.2 | 58.6 | 24.760 | 9.6 |
| Husband and wite only | 38.9 | 29.650 | 2.9 | 42.9 | 26.110 | 4.2 | 36.9 | 23.290 - | 9.4 |
| Husband. wife, and other(s) | 11.6 | 41.980 | 1.6 | 11.6 | 32.900 | 3.2 | 5.5 | 33.190 | 6.2 |
| Husband and other(s) | 6.5 | 35.730 | 4.4 | 4.7 | 21.500 | 25.8 | 9.2 | 24.130 | 12.9 |
| Other combinations | 1.4 | 25,180 | 10.5 | 2.8 | 18.930 | 17.3 | 2.0 | ( ${ }^{1}$ ) | (1) |

Table 5. Occupation of employed husbands and wives, by race and Hispanic origin, March 1983

| Occupations | Husbands |  |  | Whes |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | White | Black | Hispanic | White | Black | Hispanic |
| Total (in thousands) <br> In percent | $\begin{array}{r} 33,152 \\ 100.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,348 \\ & 100.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,908 \\ & 100.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 21,766 \\ 100.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,881 \\ & 100.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,041 \\ & 100.0 \end{aligned}$ |
| Managerial and professional specialty .... Executive, administrative, and managerial | 29.6 16.2 | 14.2 8.2 | 12.9 8.3 | 25.1 9.0 | 17.6 4.9 | 14.0 6.1 |
| Professional specialty | 13.4 | 6.0 | 4.6 | 16.0 | 12.7 | 8.0 |
| Technical, sales, and administrative support | 19.4 | 14.3 | 13.5 | 47.4 | 34.6 | 39.3 |
| Technicians and related support | 2.5 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 3.2 | 3.6 | 1.9 |
| Sales | 12.1 | 3.8 | 6.3 | 12.5 | 6.4 | 10.2 |
| Administrative support, including clerical | 4.9 | 8.3 | 5.2 | 31.7 | 24.6 | 27.2 |
| Service occupations | 6.3 | 14.8 | 12.2 | 14.6 | 28.0 | 20.8 |
| Private household | (1) | - | - | 1.0 | 4.9 | 2.4 |
| Protective service | 2.7 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.5 |
| All other | 3.6 | 10.7 | 9.6 | 13.3 | 22.7 | 18.0 |
| Precision production, craft, and repair | 22.1 | 16.1 | 23.3 | 1.9 | 2.9 | 3.7 |
| Mechanics and repairers | 8.1 | 6.1 | 8.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.5 |
| Construction trades | 7.5 | 5.5 | 7.7 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.4 |
| Other precision production | 6.4 | 4.6 | 7.4 | 1.5 | 2.5 | 2.9 |
| Operators, fabricators, and laborers | 17.6 | 35.9 | 31.4 | 9.6 | 16.3 | 20.4 |
| Machine operators, assemblers, and inspectors | 7.5 | 12.3 | 14.3 | 7.4 | 13.8 | 16.5 |
| Transportation and material moving | 6.7 | 13.7 | 9.1 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 0.9 |
| Handlers, equipment cleaners, and heipers | 3.5 | 9.9 | 8.0 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 2.9 |
| Farming, forestry, and fishing | 5.0 | 4.8 | 6.8 | 1.4 | 0.6 | 1.7 |

ers. However the poverty rate of white multiearner families was half that of similar black and one-third that of similar Hispanic families- 3 percent for whites, 6 percent for blacks, and 10 percent for Hispanics in 1982. In contrast, among one-earner families the poverty rate for white families-at 10.3 percent-was 14 percentage points below that of similar black couples and 19 points below the Hispanic rate. Among families with no earners, the differences were 27 percent for whites and 32 percent each for blacks and Hispanics.

Although the incidence of poverty is reduced when there are earners in the family, many families have earners and still remain in poverty. ${ }^{12}$ In fact, the majority of married couples with incomes below the poverty line in 1982 contained at least one earner at some time during the year. About 68 percent of white, 65 percent of black, and 80 percent of Hispanic married-couple families in poverty had income from the earnings of at least one member during the year. Moreover, about 1 of 4 families in poverty had two earners or more.

[^6]Unemployment and Need" (address presented at the National Conference on Social Work, Atlantic City, N.J.). Release dated June 5. 1941. p. 7.
${ }^{6}$ Michael Harrington, "The Economics of Protest." in Arthur M. Ross and Herbert Hill, eds., Emplovment, Race and Poverti. (New York. Harcourt, Brace and World, 1967). p. 250.
${ }^{7}$ Richard B. Freeman, "Changes in the Labor Market for Black Americans. 1948-72," Brookings Papers on Economic Activiṭ., 1: 1973. pp. 67131.
${ }^{*}$ See Morris J. Newman, "A profile of Hispanics in the U.S. work force," Monthly Labor Review. December 1978, pp. 3 and 5.
${ }^{9}$ See, for example, W. G. Bowen and T. A. Finegan, The Economics of Labor Force Participation (Princeton, N.J.. Princeton University Press, 1969), pp. 147-51.
${ }^{10}$ See Money Income of Households. Families and Persons in the United States: 1981, Current Population Reports, Series P-60. No. 137 (Bureau of the Census, 1982), table 23.
"In accordance with the poverty index adopted by a 1969 Federal interagency committee, families are classified as being above or below the low income level. The poverty threshold for a family of four in 1982 was $\$ 9,862$. For further details, see Money Income and Poverty Status of Families and Persons in the United States: 1982. Current Population Reports. Series P-60, No. 140 (Bureau of the Census. 1983). p. 295.
${ }^{12}$ For information relating employment problems and economic status see Linking Emplovment Problems to Economic Status, Bulletin 2169 (Bureau of Labor Statistics, 1983).

# Most women who maintain families receive poor labor market returns 

The majority of these women<br>have a strong commitment to the labor force, but have lower average educational attainment and earnings, bringing them closer to poverty with each additional child

Beverly L. Johnson and Elizabeth Waldman

Women who maintain their own families ${ }^{1}$ are considerably more likely to work or look for work today than in the past. But their historical pattern of marginal earnings and high unemployment persists, keeping the economic status of their families well below that of the majority of American families.

The results of a March 1983 nationwide survey ${ }^{2}$ reveal a continuation of the multiple problems that hinder many women who support families from being more competitive in the marketplace. Prominent among these problems are lower average educational attainment and relatively higher proportions with children to raise.

## Overall picture

In March 1983, 9.8 million families had as their principal support women who were divorced, separated, widowed, or never married. These families accounted for 16 percent of all families in the United States, up 5 percentage points from 1970. Sixty percent of women maintaining families were labor force participants, compared with 53 percent in 1970, and their numbers in the labor force doubled over the 13 -year period (table 1).

The reasons for this increased labor market activity have a great deal to do with the dramatic demographic and social

[^7]changes of the period, perhaps the most crucial being the movement of the baby-boom generation of the 1950's and early 1960's into the working-age population. This movement was accompanied by record numbers of marriages and,

| Characteristic | Civilian noninstitutional population |  |  |  | Labor force participation rate |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1970 | 1975 | 1980 | 1983 | 1970 | 1975 | 1980 | 1983 |
| Total women maintaining families | 5,580 | 7.316 | 9,009 | 9,828 | 52.9 | 54.4 | 59.7 | 59.6 |
| Never married | 610 | 932 | 1.453 | 1.823 | 57.4 | 53.6 | 55.6 | 55.8 |
| Separated | 1.324 | 1.707 | 1.805 | 1,831 | 53.8 | 55.0 | 60.4 | 62.3 |
| Widowed | 2,389 | 2,539 | 2,588 | 2,559 | 38.4 | 37.8 | 38.3 | 34.3 |
| Divorced | 1,258 | 2,139 | 3.164 | 3,615 | 77.3 | 73.9 | 78.6 | 78.2 |
| Median age | 48.2 | 43.5 | 41.4 | 41.1 | - | - | - | - |
| With no children ${ }^{1}$ under age 18 | 2,652 | 2,861 | 3,291 | 3.788 | 45.8 | 45.7 | 46.9 | 47.9 |
| With children under age 18 | 2,928 | 4,456 | 5,718 | 6,040 | 59.4 | 60.0 | 67.0 | 67.0 |
| 6 to 17, only | 1,815 | 2,661 | 3,638 | 3,746 | 67.0 | 66.3 | 74.0 | 74.2 |
| Under age 6 | 1,112 | 1,795 | 2,080 | 2.294 | 46.9 | 50.6 | 54.9 | 55.2 |
| White | 4.185 | 5.254 | 6,302 | 6,783 | 53.4 | 55.7 | 62.1 | 60.5 |
| Black | 1,349 | 1.967 | 2.537 | 2,808 | 50.9 | 51.2 | 54.0 | 57.1 |
| Hispanic | $\left(^{2}\right)$ | 471 | 637 | 800 | ${ }^{2}$ ) | 43.5 | 50.7 | 49.0 |
| ${ }^{1}$ Children are defined as "own" children of the family. Included are never-married daughters, sons, stepchildren, and adopted children. Excluded are other related children such as grandchildren, nieces, nephews, cousins, and unrelated children. ${ }^{2}$ Data not available. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Note: Because of rounding, sums of individual items may not equal totals. Data for 1975 have been revised since nitial publication. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

in turn, a soaring divorce rate. ${ }^{3}$ Thus, by the time the 1980 's began, divorcees-who have the highest labor force participation rate of any marital category of women-had replaced widows (who have the lowest) as the largest group of women maintaining families. In addition, a sharp rise in childbearing among single women helped increase the number of one-parent families.

In March 1983, more than three-fifths of the women maintaining families were parents with children under age 18 in the home. Labor force participation rates show these single parents had a strong commitment to the labor force. Seventyfive percent were in the work force when their youngest child was school age ( 6 to 17 years), as were 55 percent of those with preschoolers (under age 6).

Once in the labor market, however, the female single parent often had a difficult time finding a job, especially if she had at least one preschool child. In March 1983, the unemployment rate for mothers with preschoolers was 23 percent, compared with 15 percent for mothers whose youngest child was of school age (table 2). The unemployment rate for mothers in married-couple families was less than half that of mothers maintaining families.

When unemployed, women maintaining families were far less likely than other householders to be living with another relative who was employed full time. In the first quarter of 1983, for example, only 9 percent of all unemployed women maintaining families had someone in their family who had a full-time job. This compared with 16 percent of all jobless men maintaining families without a spouse and about 41 percent of all unemployed husbands.

## The workplace

Most employed women maintaining families worked at full-time jobs- 83 percent in March 1983. Those age 25 to 54 were more likely to be working full time ( 86 percent) than either younger ( 72 percent) or older women ( 73 percent). Obviously, these high full-time proportions represent a serious commitment on their part to market work.

Like most employed women, the largest proportion of those maintaining families were in administrative support jobs (table 3). This was the case for all marital groups. Divorced women (because they were younger and had more years of schooling, on average) were more likely than other women maintaining families to be in managerial and professional jobs and less likely to be in service occupations.

Most of today's better paying jobs require at least a high school diploma, and many professional fields require a college degree. Although working women maintaining families have been completing more formal schooling in recent years, a high proportion had not completed high school-23 percent, compared with 15 percent of working wives.

Despite some movement into professional and managerial jobs between 1970 and 1983, particularly by divorcees, most employed women maintaining families have tended to remain in the generally lower paying or lesser skilled jobs

Table 2. Labor force status of women maintaining families, by presence and age of youngest child, and marital status, March 1983
[Numbers in thousanns]

| Labor force status | Total |  | With children' under age 18 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Tolal | $\begin{gathered} \text { Children } \\ \text { age } 6 \text { to } 17 \\ \text { only } \end{gathered}$ | Children under age 6 |
| Women maintaining |  |  |  |  |  |
| families | 9,828 | 3.788 | 6.040 | 3.746 | 2.294 |
| In labor force | 5,861 | 1.815 | 4.047 | 2,780 | 1.266 |
| Participation rate | 59.6 | 47.9 | 67.0 | 74.2 | 55.2 |
| Unemployed | 831 | 131 | 700 | 406 | 294 |
| Unemployment | 14.2 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 14.2 | 1.973 | 17.993 | 96 | 23.2 1.028 |
| Not in labor loice |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never-married | 1.823 | 574 | 1.248 | 446 | 802 |
| In labor force | 1.018 | 372 | 646 | 292 | 353 |
| Participation rate | 55.8 | 64.8 | 51.8 | 65.5 | 44.0 |
| Unemployed. | 213 | 33 | 180 | 66 | 115 |
| Unemployment rate |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 20.9 | 8.9 | 27.9 | 22.6 | 32.6 |
| Not in labor force | 805 | 202 | 603 | 154 | 449 |
| Separated | 1,831 | 365 | 1.466 | 828 | 637 |
| In labor force | 1.141 | 228 | 913 | 573 | 339 |
| Participation rate | 62.3 | 62.5 | 62.3 | 69.2 | 53.2 |
| Unemployed. | 217 | 37 | 180 | 100 | 80 |
| Unemploymentrate |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 19.0 | 16.2 | 19.7 | 17.5 | 23.6 |
| Not in labor force | 690 | 137 | 553 | 255 | 298 |
| Widowed | 2.559 | 2.025 | 534 | 463 |  |
| In labor force | 877 | 587 | 290 | 253 | 37 |
| Participation rate | 34.3 | 29.0 | 54.3 | 54.6 |  |
| Unemployed.. | 77 | 32 | 44 | 32 | 12 |
| Unemployment | 8.8 | 5.5 |  |  |  |
| Not in labor force | 1,682 | 1,438 | 244 | 210 | 34 |
| Divorced | 3,615 | 824 | 2,792 | 2.008 | 784 |
| In labor force | 2,826 | 628 | 2,198 | 1,661 | 537 |
| Participation rate | 78.2 | 76.2 | 78.7 | 82.7 | 68.5 |
| Unemployed Unemployment | 324 | 29 | 295 | 208 | 87 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| rate <br> Not in labor force | $\begin{gathered} 11.5 \\ 790 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.6 \\ 196 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13.4 \\ 594 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12.5 \\ 347 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16.2 \\ 246 \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ Children are defined as "own" children of the family. Included are never-married daughters, sons, stepchildren, and adopted children. Excluded are other related children such as grandchildren, nieces, nephews, cousins, and unrelated children.
${ }^{2}$ Rate not shown where base is less than 75,000 .
Note: Because of rounding, sums of individual items may not equal totals.
within a broad occupation group. Their relatively poor occupational standing was reflected by their lower full-time wage and salary earnings when compared with husbands or men maintaining families. In the first quarter of 1983, the median weekly earnings for female householders were $\$ 256$, compared with $\$ 400$ for husbands or male family householders. ${ }^{4}$

Only 30 percent of the wage-earning families maintained by women were multiple-earner families, and their median weekly earnings were $\$ 440$. In contrast, 56 percent of all married-couple families with earners were in the multipleearner category, and their median weekly earnings were \$629.

Although weekly aggregate earnings of families maintained by women were relatively low, annual income for families in which the woman herself worked was roughly twice as high as for families in which the householder did not work. For example, in 1982, median family income was $\$ 14,580$ when the woman was an earner at some time during the year and $\$ 7,050$ when she was not.

Table 3. Educational attainment and occupational distribution of women maintaining families by marital status, race, and Hispanic origin, March 1983

| Hem | Total | Marital status |  |  |  | Race and Hispanic origin |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Nevermarried | Separated | Widowed | Divorced | White | Black | Hispanic |
| Educational attainment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total in labor force: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number (thousands) |  | 1.018 | 1,141 | 877 | 2.826 | 4,104 | 1,603 | 39.2 |
| Percent ....... | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Less than 4 years high school | 22.9 | 23.8 | 28.0 | 33.8 | 17.1 | 19.7 | 31.2 | 48.5 |
| 4 years high school only ... | 46.6 | 44.2 | 47.1 | 42.0 | 48.7 | 47.9 | 43.5 | 33.7 |
| 1 to 3 years college ... | 18.3 | 20.0 | 15.3 | 14.7 | 20.1 | 18.4 | 18.6 | 11.5 |
| 4 yeãrs college or more |  | 12.0 | 9.5 | 9.7 | 14.2 | 14.0 | 6.7 | 6.4 |
| Occupation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total employed: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number (thousands) |  | 804 | 924 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent . . . . . . . | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | $100.0$ |
| Managerial and protessional specialty | 19.8 | 19.3 | 15.0 | 18.6 | 22.2 | 21.7 | 14.4 | 12.4 |
| Executive. administrative, and managerial | 8.4 | 7.0 | 6.2 | 9.5 | 9.3 | 9.4 | 5.6 | 7.1 |
| Protessional specialty ............ . | 11.5 | 12.3 | 8.9 | 9.2 | 12.9 | 12.3 | 8.8 | 5.3 |
| Technical, sales, and administrative support | 41.0 | 39.1 | 39.4 | 37.2 | 43.4 | 44.8 | 29.8 | 36.5 |
| Technicians and related support . | 3.1 | 2.7 | 2.4 | 1.7 | 3.8 | 3.1 | 2.7 | 2.4 |
| Sales occupations .......... | 9.4 | 7.8 | 8.9 | 11.4 | 9.5 | 11.1 | 4.5 | 7.1 |
| Administrative support, including clerical | 28.5 | 28.5 | 28.0 | 24.0 | 30.1 | 30.6 | 22.6 | 27.1 |
| Secretaries, stenographers. and typists | 10.1 | 8.8 | 9.2 | 8.4 | 11.3 | 11.5 | 6.4 | 7.9 |
| Financial records processing .... . | 4.3 | 4.9 | 4.3 | 2.7 | 4.6 | 4.9 | 2.5 | 2.4 |
| Other . . . . . . . . . . . | 14.1 | 14.8 | 14.5 | 12.9 | 14.2 | 14.2 | 13.7 | 16.8 |
| Service occupations | 22.2 |  |  | 28.8 | 16.9 | 17.8 | 35.9 |  |
| Private household | 2.6 | 3.2 | 4.2 | 4.7 | 1.0 | 1.8 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Food | 6.8 | 5.1 | 8.1 | 8.9 | 6.1 | 6.4 | 7.4 | 6.5 |
| Health | 5.3 | 6.5 | 9.1 | 4.6 | 3.8 | 3.1 | 12.2 | 2.9 |
| Cleaning | 3.9 | 5.7 | 4.0 | 7.4 | 2.2 | 2.6 | 7.7 | 6.5 |
| Personal | 3.0 | 3.7 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 2.8 | 3.8 |
| Other service | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.3 |
| Precision production, craft. and repair | 2.5 | 1.9 | 2.4 | 1.7 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 1.5 | 3.5 |
| Operators, fabricators, and laborers | 13.9 | 14.3 | 14.1 | 12.4 | 14.1 | 12.3 | 18.1 | 21.2 |
| Machine operators. assemblers, and inspectors | 11.2 | 12.6 | 10.8 | 10.1 | 11.2 | 10.1 | 14.1 | 17.6 |
| Transportation and material moving | 0.9 | 0 | 1.4 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 1.6 | 2.1 |
| Other . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 1.8 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 1.4 | 1.9 | 1.6 | 2.4 | 1.5 |
| Farming, forestry, and fishing | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 1.1 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 1.2 |

## Situation for minorities

As of March 1983, about 70 percent ( 6.8 million) of all women maintaining families were white; 29 percent ( 2.8 million) were black, and fewer than 10 percent $(800,000)$ were of Hispanic origin (virtually all of whom were also included in the white racial category). Examining each raceethnic category separately and making labor force participation and income comparisons brings the situation for minority families into sharper focus.

On average, the black women had more children under age 18 and less education than the white women. Black women maintaining families (as well as those of Hispanic origin) have lower median earnings, lower labor force participation rates, and higher unemployment rates than the white women. Also, black and Hispanic families maintained by women were even less likely than similar white families to have more than one earner, probably because they were less apt to have another member of working age in the home.

Furthermore, a larger share of white than black or Hispanic women were divorced, and a smaller proportion had never married. And, as shown earlier, divorced householders have much higher participation rates than the nevermarried. Thus, in March 1983, the labor force participation
rate for white female householders was 60 percent. compared with 57 percent for blacks and 49 percent for Hispanics. Another factor is that 1 of 8 black and Hispanic householders was under age 25 , compared with 1 of 13 whites. Younger women, in the early stages of labor force entry, often have not acquired the skill and experience necessary to hold many of today's better paying jobs. In addition, about half of the Hispanic women householders and one-third of the black had not completed high school. compared with only one-fifth of the whites. Moreover, the occupational distributions for these three groups of women mirror their educational attainment; about 22 percent of employed white householders were professional and managerial workers, compared with 14 percent for black. and 13 percent for Hispanic women. Blacks and Hispanics were heavily clustered in service and operative jobs which require less formal education and training and pay less money. Finally, the higher participation rate of white women may also reflect the smaller average size of their families, as well as the lower proportion with children under 6 years of age.
Unemployment rates were much higher among black women maintaining families ( 21.7 percent) than white ( 10.9

Table 4. Labor force status of white, black, and Hispanic origin women maintaining families, by presence of children and marital status, March 1983
[Numbers in thousands]

| Race, Hispanic origin, and marital status | Total |  |  | With children ${ }^{1}$ under age 18 |  |  | With no children ${ }^{1}$ under age 18 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Population | Labor force participation rate | Unemployment rate | Population | Labor force participation rate | Unemployment rate | Population | Labor force participation rate | Unemployment rate |
| White women, total | 6,783 | 60.5 | 10.9 | 3.959 | 70.3 | 13.4 | 2,824 | 46.8 | 5.6 |
| Never married . . | 842 | 53.6 | 12.4 | 442 | 47.5 | 22.4 | 399 | 60.4 | 3.7 |
| Separated . . | 1,117 | 62.1 | 16.9 | 918 | 62.0 | 16.3 | 200 | 62.5 | 19.2 |
| Widowed | 1,963 | 34.6 | 7.4 | 376 | 59.0 | 12.6 | 1.588 | 28.8 | 4.8 |
| Divorced | 2,861 | 79.7 | 9.9 | 2.224 | 80.0 | 11.5 | 637 | 78.3 | 4.0 |
| Black women, total | 2.808 | 57.1 | 21.7 | 1.923 | 60.3 | 25.7 | 885 | 50.2 | 11.3 |
| Never married. . | 940 | 57.0 | 28.2 | 785 | 54.0 | 30.4 | 155 | 72.3 | 19.6 |
| Separated | 657 | 62.1 | 22.8 | 504 | 62.7 | 25.3 | 153 | 60.1 | 14.1 |
| Widowed. | 536 | 32.5 | 13.8 | 132 | 39.4 | ${ }^{(2)}$ | 404 | 30.2 | 8.2 |
| Divorced. | 675 | 71.9 | 16.5 | 502 | 72.9 | 20.2 | 173 | 68.2 | 4.2 |
| Hispanic women, total | 800 | 49.0 | 13.5 | 585 | 48.2 | 16.0 | 214 | 51.4 | 6.4 |
| Never married . . . . | 193 | 47.2 | 14.3 | 136 | 33.8 | $\left.1^{2}\right)$ | 57 | (2) | $\left({ }^{2}\right)$ |
| Separated . . | 255 | 39.2 | 20.0 | 209 | 38.8 | 21.0 | 46 | (2) | (2) |
| Widowed | 123 | 35.0 | $\left(^{2}\right)$ | 51 | $\left(^{2}\right)$ | $\left.1^{2}\right)$ | 72 | $\left({ }^{2}\right)$ | $\left({ }^{2}\right)$ |
| Divorced | 229 | 69.0 | 9.5 | 189 | 68.3 | 9.3 | 40 | (2) | (2) |

${ }^{1}$ Children are defined as "own" children of the family. Included are never-married daughters. sons. stepchildren. and adopted children. Excluded are other related children such as grandchildren, nieces, nephews, cousins, and unrelated children.
${ }^{2}$ Rate not shown where base is less than 75,000 .
percent) and Hispanic women (13.4 percent) (table 4). This reflects, in part, the higher concentration of never-married mothers among black female householders. Typically, nevermarried mothers have higher jobless rates than mothers of other marital status.
Annual median income of white families maintained by women ( $\$ 13,145$ in 1982), while much lower than that of other types of white families, was far above the levels of the black $(\$ 7,489)$ and Hispanic ( $\$ 7,611$ ) families. This pattern persisted regardless of the presence of children. Part of the difference stems from the fact that earnings of black women represented a larger share of their family income than those of the white women- 77 versus 70 percent. Also contributing to this situation was the larger share of divorced white women who received child support or alimony payments. ${ }^{5}$ Moreover, as mentioned earlier, white families maintained by women were more likely to have at least two earners than either the black or Hispanic families.

## Poverty and children

Because average income among families maintained by women is low-whether they are in or out of the paid work force-proportionately more live below the poverty line ${ }^{6}$ than other families. In 1982, more than 1 of 3 families
maintained by women were poor, compared with 1 of 13 other families. Although the percentages of black and Hispanic families maintained by women in poverty were much greater than for white families of the same type, they all greatly exceeded the proportions for other family groups:

|  | Families <br> maintained <br> by women | Married- <br> couple <br> families | Families <br> maintained <br> by men |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total $\ldots .$. | 36.9 | 7.6 | 14.7 |
| White $\ldots .$. | 28.9 | 6.9 | 12.6 |
| Black ...... | 56.1 | 15.6 | 25.0 |
| Hispanic $\ldots$. | 55.5 | 19.3 | 18.4 |

For families in which the female householder had earnings at some time during 1982, about 1 of 4 were in poverty, compared with more than 1 of 2 of the families in which the householder had no earnings. These differences were even wider for families with children under age 18. When the mother had earnings, 29 percent of their families had incomes below the poverty level; when she did not, 88 percent were poor. Moreover, regardless of the mother's earner status, the incidence of poverty increased with each additional child in the home-from 37 percent when one child was in the home to 85 percent when four or more children were present.

## $\longrightarrow$ FOOTNOTES——_

[^8][^9]1.000. For more details. see "Advance Report of Final Divorce Statistics, 1980." Monthly Vital Statistics Report (Washington. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. June 27. 1983), table 1. p. 4.
${ }^{4}$ See. "Earnings of workers and their families: First quarter 1983," usdL News Release. 83-201. May 2. 1983 (U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics).
${ }^{\text {s }}$ See Allyson Sherman Grossman and Howard Hayghe. "Labor force activity of women receiving child support." Monthly Labor Review. November 1982. pp. 39-41. Also see Divorce. Child Custody, and Child

Support, Current Population Report Series, 84 (Washington, U.S. Bureau of the Census, 1981), p. 4.
${ }^{6}$ Families are classified as being above or below the low income level according to the poverty index adopted by a 1969 Federal Interagency Committee. The poverty thresholds are updated every year to reflect changes in the Consumer Price Index. The poverty threshold for a family of four was $\$ 9,862$ in 1982. For further details, see Money Income and Poverty Status of Families and Persons in the United States: 1982, Current Population Report Series P-60, No. 140 (Washington, U.S. Bureau of the Census, 1983). pp. 3, 4, and 29.

## Child-care services: a national picture

As more mothers hold jobs, the demand for child-care services continues to growespecially for infant and toddler careand is exacerbated by brief maternity leaves

## Sheila B. Kamerman

In 1983, for the first time, half of all mothers with children under age 6 were in the labor force. ${ }^{1}$ Out of a cohort of 19.0 million children under age 6,47 percent had working mothers. In the near future, the majority of preschoolers will very likely have working mothers, as most school-age children already do. How preschool children are cared for while their mothers work is something that relatively little is known about, although what is known suggests a quite complicated picture.

What is the picture today of child-care services for preschool aged children? To help the reader visualize the picture, four questions are addressed:

- Where are the children of working parents being cared for?
- What is known about the kinds of child-care services and arrangements that now exist?
- What is known about the quality of care now provided and what is happening to it?
- What are the current trends, developments, and emerging issues in the child-care services field?

For the purposes of this article, child-care services will include: family day care and center care, public and private nursery school and prekindergartens, Head Start centers,

Sheila B. Kamerman is a professor of Social Policy and Planning and codirector of Cross-National Studies Research Program, Columbia University and currently is a fellow at the Center for Advanced Studies in the Be havorial Sciences, Stanford, California.
all-day care, part-day care, and after-school care. (Nonmonetized care by relatives and brief, occasional babysitting are not included.) The discussion is about relatively regular care or attendance: a specific number of hours per day and regular days per week of provision-in families and group arrangements-under both educational and social welfare auspices.

## Types and amount of available child care

Unfortunately, in addition to the child-care picture not being very clear, it is not very complete. National data are not collected in any systematic fashion on: children in out-of-home care during the day; child-care arrangements used while parents work; or child-care service programs. To study what exists and who uses which type of care, one must piece together different, sometimes not fully comparable data, collected by different sources at different times.

In providing an overview of child-care services for preschool aged children, the types of services can be distinguished by the following:

- The age of the child:
-infant and toddler care ( 0 to 2-year-olds)
—preschooler care (3- to 5-year-olds)
- The locus of care:
-in own home
-in a relative's home
-in a nonrelative's home
-in a group facility (center or school)
- The auspice of care:
-education (nursery school, prekindergarten, kindergarten)
-social welfare (day-care center)
- The source of funds:
-direct and indirect public subsidy (for example, public grants of monies to a provider or a tax benefit such as the child-care tax credit)
—private subsidy
-employer subsidy; parent fees
Preschoolers. Although there are no precise figures concerning the numbers of children in out-of-home care, by age of child and type of care, the most complete data to date are those on preschool children aged 3 to 5 . However, even here estimates must be used.

The most recent national survey of day-care centers was completed by Abt Associates in 1977;2 the numbers are known to have grown substantially since then. Moreover, these data do not include programs under educational auspices: nursery schools, prekindergartens, and kindergartens. These are the largest single type of child-care services for children of this age and the most rapidly growing component among child-care services for this age group.

The most currently published consumer data on 3-and 4-year-old children of working mothers are from a 1977 Current Population Survey (CPS) conducted by the Bureau of the Census. ${ }^{3}$ Only data on children under age 5 and on the youngest child in the family were included. However, because the survey was carried out in June, when many schools are closed, children in group care programs are significantly underreported. For example, fewer than 21 percent of children of this age with mothers who worked full time in 1977 were reported as enrolled in group care, as contrasted with 31 percent of all children this age in 1976, according to Census Bureau school enrollment data, ${ }^{4}$ and 37 percent in 1980, as cited by the National Center for Educational Statistics. ${ }^{5}$ (See tables 1 and 2.) Furthermore, the proportion of youngsters enrolled in preschool programs was significantly higher when their mothers worked (44

Table 1. Population of preschoolers, preprimary school enrolliment, and labor force status of mother by child's age, 1980

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Child's } \\ \text { aye } \\ \text { (in years) } \end{gathered}$ | Total (in millions) | Enrollment |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Percent } \\ & \text { with } \\ & \text { mothers } \\ & \text { in labor } \\ & \text { force } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Numbers (in millions) | Percent of total |  |
| 3 to 5 | 9.3 | $4.9{ }^{1}$ | 531 | 57 |
| 5 | 3.1 | 2.6 | $84^{2}$ | 85 |
| 3 to 4 | 6.2 | 2.3 | 37 | 43 |
| 4 | 3.1 | 1.4 | 46 | 52 |
| 3 | 3.1 | . 9 | 29 | 34 |

${ }^{1}$ 'Preprimary programs only. An additional number are enrolled in primary school (about 3 percent of cohort).
${ }^{2}$ An additional 9 percent are enrolled in primary schoor.
Note: Data are for 50 States and District of Columbia.
Source: National Center for Education Statistics, Preprimary Enrollment 1980 (Washington, D.C., U.S. Department of Education, 1982).
percent). Moreover, these data do not report multiple modes of care: the "packages" of child-care arrangements which are most frequently used by working mothers. ${ }^{6}$ Such "packages' include some combination of a preschool program, family day care, and relative care; they may involve four or more different care givers during an average week. More extensive child-care data were collected in the 1982 Census Bureau's national fertility survey, but these data had not yet been published when this article was prepared.

Using 1979 school enrollment data ${ }^{7}$ and data from the 1977 Abt supply study of day-care enrollment, it is found that almost two-thirds of all 3- to 5-year-olds and more than 70 percent of those with working mothers are in some form of group child-care program. These numbers are made up of the following: ninety-three percent of all 5-year-olds were in nursery school, kindergarten, or first grade in 1979. Thirtyfive percent of all 3- to 4-year-olds were in nursery school or prekindergarten. A growing number of these preschool programs are full day; the proportion of 3- to 5-year-olds in a full-day program doubled during the 1970's, from 17 percent in 1970, to 34 percent in 1980. By 1980, 37 percent of 3- to 4-year-olds were in preprimary programs. Although kindergarten enrollment for 5 -year-olds is about the same whether or not mothers work (almost all 5-year-olds are in preschool or primary school), enrollment rates for 3- to 4-year-olds are significantly higher when mothers are in the labor force ( 44 percent, compared with 31 percent in 1980). All-day enrollment is, of course, far higher for children with full-time working mothers. Although these programs may be valued for their educational content, they are often used because they fulfill a needed child-care function.

Kindergarten enrollment increased by almost one-third between 1967 and 1980 (from 65 to 85 percent). However, the increase in nursery school enrollment has been even more dramatic, doubling in numbers during the 1970's and more than doubling as a proportion of 3-to-4-year-olds enrolled (from 16 percent in 1969 to 37 percent in 1980).

Moreover, not only are children of working mothers more likely to be enrolled in preschool programs, but the enrollment rates are even higher when mothers have larger incomes and more education. Fifty-three percent of 3- to 4-year-old children in families with median or higher incomes attended a preschool program in 1982, as contrasted with only 29 percent of those in lower income families. As noted, enrollment rates increase as mothers' education levels rise, and increase still more when those mothers are employed. Only for children whose mothers are college graduates is there no difference between those with working and those with nonworking mothers. For example, about half of such 3 -year-olds and 72 percent of such 4 -year-olds were in a preschool program in $1982 .^{8}$

Given these data, one could argue that not only is there growing use of preschool as a child-care service for the 3-, 4-, and 5-year-olds with working mothers, but there is especially high use by affluent, educated, working families.

Table 2. Preprimary school enrollment by child's age and labor force status of mother, 1980
[Numbers in thousands]

| Labor force status of mother | Total |  | 3-year-olds |  | 4-year-olds |  | 5-year-oids |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Enrolled | Enrolled all day | Enrolled | Enrolled all day | Enrolled | Enrolled all day | Enrolied | Enrolied all day |
| All children, 3 to 5 years | 4,878 | 1.551 | 857 | 321 | 1,423 | 467 | 2,598 | 763 |
| With mother in labor force | 2.480 | 1,002 | 497 | 260 | 755 | 332 | 1,229 | 413 |
| Employed full time | 1,445 | 713 | 292 | 198 | 457 | 260 | 696 | 255 |
| Employed part time | 811 | 196 | 163 | 42 | 245 | 44 | 402 | 111 |
| Unemployed. . . . | 225 | 94 | 41 | 20 | 53 | 28 | 131 | 46 |
| With mother not in labor force | 2.266 | 491 | 339 | 50 | 628 | 117 | 1,299 | 325 |
| Keeping house | 2,105 | 439 | 309 | 37 | 582 | 102 | 1,214 | 300 |
| Other . . . . | 85 | 15 | 15 | 3 | 23 | 3 | 47 | 9 |
| No mother present | 131 | 57 | 21 | 13 | 39 | 19 | 70 | 26 |
|  | Enroiled as percent of age group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All children, 3 to 5 years |  | 16.7 | 27.3 | 10.2 | 46.3 | 15.2 | 84.7 | 24.9 |
| With mother in labor force | 57.1 | 23.1 | 34.4 | 18.0 | 51.9 | 22.8 | 85.2 | 28.6 |
| Employed full time . . | 57.4 | 23.3 | 35.4 | 24.0 | 52.5 | 29.9 | 84.6 | 31.0 |
| Employed part time | 59.6 | 14.4 | 37.2 | 9.6 | 53.7 | 9.6 | 86.5 | 23.9 |
| Unemployed . . . . | 48.5 | 20.3 | 22.8 | 11.1 | 41.1 | 21.7 | 85.1 | 29.9 |
| With mother not in labor force | 48.9 | 10.6 | 21.5 | 3.2 | 41.5 | 7.7 | 84.5 | 21.1 |
| Keeping house . . . . . . . | 48.5 | 10.1 | 20.9 | 2.5 | 40.2 | 7.2 | 83.9 95 | 20.7 |
| In school... | 63.0 | 29.5 | 37.2 | (1) | 56.1 | (1) | 95.1 | (1) |
| Other . . . . . . . | 51.1 | 9.0 12.5 | 26.4 17.8 | (10) | 38.3 38.6 | (1) | 95.9 77.8 | (1) 9 |
| No mother present | 42.2 | 12.5 | 17.8 | 10.8 | 38.6 | 18.8 | 77.8 | 28.9 |

${ }^{1}$ Base too small for presentation of percentage.
Note: Data are for 50 States and District of Columbia. Details may not add to totals because of rounding.
Source: National Center for Education Statistics, Preprimary Enrollment, 1980 (Washington, D.C., U.S. Department of Education, 1982.

Because most of these programs are private and relatively expensive, such high use by the more affluent raises serious questions about the consequences for those children in lower income families (below median income) without access to such programs, whether or not their mothers work.

According to the Abt survey, in addition to those children in preschool programs, about 10 percent of the cohort $(900,000)$ were in day-care centers (most were 3 - or 4 -yearolds). Thus, there seems to be a ṭtal of 54 percent of the 3 - and 4 -year-olds with working mothers in some kind of group care for some part of the day. This figure is likely to be higher because nearly a half million children are estimated to have been enrolled in Title XX funded centers in 1981, a significant increase over the 1977 figures. ${ }^{9}$ (And 10 States were not included in the 1981 figure because they did not provide data.) Sixty-five percent of these children were 3 - to 5 -year-olds (and more than half were age 3 or 4); and almost all had working parents (these figures may have decreased in the past year). Also, Head Start serves nearly 400,000 children, largely 3 - and 4 -year-olds.

Federally funded (Title XX) centers have increased in numbers, too: there were an estimated 11,342 in 1981, a significant jump from the 8,100 identified in the Abt survey. ${ }^{10}$ Some of these centers may have closed in the past year as a consequence of cutbacks in funding, but no specific data on closings are available as of this writing. Head Start programs have also expanded since 1977 and about onefifth are full-day programs. More than 40 percent of the day-care centers in the Abt survey were proprietary or forprofit establishments. Both the numbers and the proportion of proprietary child-care services have grown significantly since then. Because most of the large (multicenter) for-profit
child-care service companies did not receive Title XX money in 1981, these numbers are additive rather than overlapping.

In addition, about 42 percent of 3 - to 4 -year-olds whose mothers worked full time in 1977 (and 25 percent of those whose mothers worked part time) were cared for in someone else's home, usually in a nonrelative's home (family day care). ${ }^{11}$ There is a significant, if unknown, overlap between the children in preschool programs and those cared for in a home, be it by a relative or nonrelative, part of the childcare "packaging" mentioned above, and particularly important for children whose mothers work longer than the preschool or school hours. About 100,000 children were in federally funded family day-care homes in 1981. ${ }^{12}$ By far, most children in family day care (about 90 percent of the more than 6 million children estimated to be in family day care for 10 hours or more per week in 1975) were in informal, unregulated care. ${ }^{13}$ About 6 percent were in licensed care, including 2 percent in care provided in a home but under the sponsorship of an umbrella agency. However, most of these children were under age 3.

Infants and toddlers. As difficult as it is to estimate coverage and type of care provided for preschoolers, the data on infant and toddler care are far less adequate. A planned national survey of infant care, to be carried out by Abt, was cancelled. The much-cited National Consumer Day Care Study was poorly designed and inadequately analyzed. According to the 1977 Current Population Survey, the primary care arrangement for children under age 3 was family day care, usually in the home of a nonrelative.

Estimating from the CPS data, more than one-third of the children with working mothers were in either family day
care or group care in 1977. More specifically, about onethird of those under age 3 with full-time working mothers and 17 percent of those with part-time working mothers were in family day care: and more than 9 percent of those with full-time working mothers and 5.5 percent of those whose mothers worked part time were in group care. Infant and toddler care has been growing rapidly since the mid1970's; thus, the coverage data are undoubtedly higher today.

The following rounds out this picture of how children are cared for while parents (especially mothers) are in the labor force:

- A small proportion of babies with working mothers are cared for, albeit briefly, by mothers on maternity leave. Fewer than 40 percent of working mothers are entitled to some paid leave at the time of childbirth, usually for about 6 to 8 weeks, and a somewhat larger group may remain home on an unpaid but job-protected leave for 3 or 4 months. ${ }^{14}$
- Some parents, especially those with preschool aged children, work different shifts in order to manage child care. Although this method of care has received very little attention thus far, researchers using three different data sets (the Current Population Survey, the Panel Study of Income Dynamics, and the Quality of Employment Survey) have found that this may be a more significant pattern of work by parents with young children than suspected. ${ }^{15}$
- A very few employers, largely hospitals, provide onsite child-care services (about 230 hospitals; about 50 employers), and a few others subsidize payment of care. ${ }^{16}$


## Child-care quality: programming and standards

More than half of all nursery schools are private, 66 percent. Eighty-eight percent of the kindergartens are public. There are limited national data available on these programs. On the other hand, a much more extensive picture exists regarding the more than 11,000 federally funded daycare centers that existed in the fall of 1981. This type of center is discussed here.

In early 1980, the Department of Health and Human Services issued proposed day-care regulations concerning group size, staff-to-child ratios, training qualifications for care givers, nutrition, health care, parent participation, and social services, to become effective in October. In the meantime, the Congress, in its Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1980, delayed the effective date of these proposed regulations. Before the proposals could become effective, the Social Services Block Grant Act was enacted. Among other things, this Act amended Federal requirements and standards regarding Title XX day-care centers. This meant that State and local standards, where they existed, were in effect. (Such standards are likely to be below those set by the Federal Government.)

The Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act mandated the

Department of Health and Human Services to "assist each State in conducting a systematic assessment of current practices in Title XX funded day-care programs and provide a summary report of the assessment to Congress by June 1, 1981." ${ }^{17}$ According to the report, provider practices were in compliance with or surpassed the proposed Federal standards. More specifically:

- Despite the fact that 24 of the 47 States reporting have no group size requirements, all stated their centers had groups smaller than those set in the proposed regulations for all but the under-2-year-olds.
- Staff-to-child ratios were significantly higher than proposed for children aged 3 and older; however, they were significantly lower for those under 3.
- Although only half the States required the centers to provide training, nearly all provided such training and three-quarters of centers' care givers and one-half of family day-care mothers had gone through such a training program within the past year.
- Seventy-five percent of the centers (and half of the homes) provided the Department of Agriculture's recommended child-care foud program.
- Seventy percent of the States assured children in care funded by Title XX the needed health services and 75 percent assured them needed social services.

Federal funding under Title XX has been significantly cut since 1981. Day care was one of the three highest funded Title XX services, representing 18 percent of all Title XX expenditures nationwide. Funding for the child nutrition program, a component of public support of day care, has also been reduced. Few programs have actually closed thus far, but this may occu: in the future. Given the large cutbacks in Federal grants to States, most States are under growing financial pressure in this area. These States will view themselves as fortunate if they can maintain the quantity of care; they are unlikely to enforce standards, even if standards exist.

A question emerges regarding whether the extent of compliance that existed in 1981 was not related to the expectations of Federal standards and enforcement. From now on, the States will have primary responsibility for setting and enforcing standards concerning the health, safety, and developmental needs of children in care. Whether providers will continue to maintain these standards and whether States will monitor what providers do remains to be seen. Thus, day-care regulation joins preprimary school generally as an arena in which the protection of children will depend completely on the State.

## Towards the future

The only significant Federal development is the expansion of the child-care tax credit in 1982 and, subsequently, making it available even to those who do not itemize deductions. However, unless the credit is increased, and made refund-
able, it will have no-or very little-value to low- and moderate-income families.

The Dependent Care Assistance plan and the salary reduction plan for certain private insurance benefits may open the way for some expansion in employer-sponsored childcare services. ${ }^{18}$ However, little has occurred as yet.

The major development in the field in recent years has been child-care information and referral services. These have burgeoned, especially in California, where they are publicly funded; this is an area in which more employers are considering involvement as well. Finally, concern with the quality of education is leading some States and localities to reexamine their preprimary programs. Some are now initiating full-day kindergartens; others are establishing prekindergarten programs; and still others are considering both.

The demand for child-care services continues to grow, and most parents of preschoolers want an educational program. Most such programs are private, particularly those below kindergarten level. Unfortunately, good programs are very often expensive. Moreover, there is still a scarcity of full-day programs, so many parents are "packaging" a group program with one or more other types of care, with consequences not yet known. The cutbacks in funding group programs are especially significant in their impact on ser-
vices for low- and middle-income children. Many of these children who were in publicly subsidized preschool programs are being transferred into informal and unregulated family day care as subsidies are cut back and programs close or parents lose i. eir eligibility for a subsidy; the children must adapt to a new care giver, and often to the loss of friends.
The biggest current demand for child-care services is for infants and toddlers, because it is among their mothers that the increase in labor force participation has been greatest, and the scarcity of services most severe. Paid maternity (disability) leaves are available only to a minority of working women and are usually brief. There is an urgent need to expand and improve maternity-related benefits provided at the workplace. ${ }^{19}$ Data concerning how babies and toddlers are being cared for and what types of care exist are largely inadequate. Most of these children are in informal family day-care arrangements but, here again, little is known about these services.

Although the current child-care picture is hardly complete, all that is known suggests the likelihood of continuing demand. Accessibility, affordability, and quantity will remain central issues but questions regarding quality will increasingly come to the forefront.
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# How do families fare when the breadwinner retires? 

Using national longitudinal survey data on the retirement experience of men, researchers provide some insights on the economic situation of families in which the major wage earner is retired

Kezia Sproat

For 17 years, the National Longitudinal Surveys of Labor Market Experience (NLS) have gathered data that illuminate family life when the breadwinner has ${ }^{2}$ retired. The nLs were developed in 1965 to answer the question, "Why are increasing numbers of men leaving the work force before retirement age?" Because the male traditionally provides the bulk of family income, most retirement studies focus on his experience, but the surveys also include a female cohort who will soon be in retirement.

Older men in the NLS, now ages 62 to 76 , have been interviewed 11 times in 17 years, and the mature women, now ages 46 to 60,11 times in 16 years. ${ }^{1}$ Researchers have used the data to look at predictors and measures of retirement and its relationship to health, family income, family structure, and general life satisfaction. Retirement planning and the effects of unexpected retirement have also been studied. (See box, page 42.) This article summarizes some recent nls-based retirement studies which carry the strongest implications for the family-why and how the major breadwinner enters retirement, sources of family income after retirement, and overall satisfaction with life after retirement. Because family well-being depends largely on why and how the major breadwinner enters retirement, voluntary and involuntary retirees will be discussed separately.

[^10]
## Routes to retirement

Involuntary retirement-A. Poor health. Involuntary retirees fare much less well than others, especially in the many cases where early withdrawal from the labor force is linked to the male breadwinner's poor health. In an analysis of 1966-76 data, Herbert Parnes and Gilbert Nestel found that poor health had forced 43 percent of white retirees and 52 percent of black retirees ages 55 to 69 out of the labor force. ${ }^{2}$ Of retirees under age 62,60 percent of whites and 67 percent of blacks retired for health reasons. In contrast, only 30 percent of white retirees and 29 percent of blacks in this age group retired voluntarily. More recent data confirm that blacks are more likely than whites to retire for health reasons. ${ }^{3}$ Men who retired because of poor health were more likely to have been in a low level occupation and to receive lower retirement income. They were also less likely to have any pension coverage other than social security, which is not available until age $62 .{ }^{4}$ Thomas Chirikos and Gilbert Nestel reported that even if workers are only moderately impaired, they suffer a 2.5 - to 12 -percent loss of annual earnings before retirement. ${ }^{5}$

Several studies confirm that poor health often forces retirement before the age of pension eligibility. Eric Kingson looked at 10 years of NLS data for a subsample of 240 black men and 405 white men who withdrew permanently from the labor force before age 62 . Of these, 85 percent of the whites and 91 percent of the blacks had either reported health
problems before withdrawing or were certifiably disabled. ${ }^{6}$ Of these disabled men, 51 percent of the whites and 55 percent of the blacks received social security disability benefits. The remaining 34 percent of the whites and 36 percent of the blacks did not, so they and their families faced the multiple hardships that accompany poor health and severely reduced income.?

The deleterious effects of early retirement because of poor health are illustrated by Frank Mott and Jean Haurin in a study of widows from the women's cohort as well as widows of the older men's cohort. ${ }^{8}$ Mott and Haurin estimated that 1 of 5 men ages 45 to 59 in 1966 would die before reaching age 65 . The families of men who suffer health problems before dying are concentrated in the lower socio-economic strata, and their economic disadvantages are intensified by medical costs and declining income. From an economic point of view, families of men who die unexpectedly fare better than those whose major breadwinner suffers a long illness. Wives do not enter the labor force in large numbers during their husbands' last illness. Many do find jobs after their husbands' death, although their general lack of education and work experience make them liable to earn very low wages. Mott and Haurin found that 29 percent of the white widows live below the poverty line, compared with 19 percent before the death of the husband; among blacks, the corresponding figures are 47 percent before and 67 percent after. ${ }^{9}$
B. Unemployment. Unemployment forces many workers into early retirement, according to Sally Bould. ${ }^{10}$ She found that duration of previous unemployment is a significant influence on early retirement. "Retirement is, perhaps, a mechanism for dealing with long-term chronic unemployment . . . a way of managing the spoiled identity that longterm unemployment can produce." Bould's conclusion is supported by Herbert Parnes, Mary Gagen, and Randall King, whose study focused on men who lost jobs they had held for at least 5 years. Long-term effects on income, psychological health, and occupational status were observed even for those who later found jobs. ${ }^{11}$ According to Eric Kingson, events early in life, some of which are uncontrollable ("choice" of parents, for example), significantly influence retirement prospects. Kingson concluded that a life cycle perspective is required to understand the favorable and unfavorable "opportunity tracks" which lead some very early retirees and their families to comfort and others to severe poverty. ${ }^{12}$ Nan Maxwell also found that retirement income and overall well-being are closely linked to prior labor market experiences. ${ }^{13}$
C. Mandatory plans. Another cause of involuntary early retirement is agreements which specify mandatory retirement at a certain age, although very few workers are forced out by such plans. Between 1966 and 1976, only 3 percent of retirees in the NLS sample were forced out by mandatory
plans. Herbert Parnes and Lawrence Less found that in 1980, fewer than 5 percent of the retirees in the NLS sample, then ages 59 to 73, had been forced to retire. Larger proportions of blacks were forced out than whites, and among these, more nonfarm laborers ( 13 percent) than any other occupational group. ${ }^{14}$

Voluntary retirement. Voluntary early retirement is largely driven by pension availability. The answer to the question that gave rise to the NLS-why the trend to early retire-ment?-seems now clearly to be that increasingly attractive pensions make early retirement more feasible financially. More blacks than whites choose to retire early because average earnings are lower for blacks and there is less difference between their wages and social security and other pensions. ${ }^{15}$

## Postretirement labor market activity

Being "retired" does not preclude labor market activity. Such activity has been analyzed using data from the nLs. Herbert Parnes and others find that conclusions about retirement will differ depending on whether retirement is measured by pension coverage, subjective self-report, or labor market withdrawal. Parnes and Less believe the choice of retirement measures should be governed by the specific questions one aims to illuminate. The number of men ages 57 to 71 who were retired in 1980 ranges from 5.4 to 8.9 million, depending on which measure of retirement is used. ${ }^{16}$ In this discussion, the subjective self-report definition is used-that is, "retirees" are those who said at some time during the interviews that they had stopped working at a regular job.

About 1 of 6 retirees were in the labor force in 1980. Men forced to retire because of mandatory plans were more likely to be in the labor market; their participation rate was 24 percent, compared with 16 percent for all retirees. Only 10 percent of those who left the labor force for health reasons were still working or looking for a job. ${ }^{17}$

Parnes and Less found that age, health, type of preretirement job, attitude toward retirement, and family income (exclusive of the retiree's earnings) all influence post-retirement labor market activity. Professional and managerial workers are more likely than other occupational groups to continue working after retirement. Marital status and whether the retiree's wife worked were important: retirees were more likely to work if their wives did. In the 1980 survey, employed retirees were asked their main reasons for working during retirement. The two most frequent answers were "inflation" ( 30 percent) and "boredom with retirement" ( 26 percent). ${ }^{18}$

Retirees who did not participate in the labor market in 1976 showed little desire to do so: only 2 percent of whites and 5 percent of blacks said they would accept a job if one were offered. ${ }^{19}$ Data for 1980 and 1981 continued to show

## NLS-based studies on retirement
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that most retirees are not interested in working. In 1980, 93 percent of the retirees who were not working responded negatively to a hypothetical job offer; and in 1981, when a question about part-time work was included, this negative response rate was reduced by only 5 percentage points. ${ }^{20}$

## Family income

In 1975, voluntary retirees and their families were making do with a family income one-third less (adjusted for infla-
tion) than in the year prior to retirement. The major sources of family income in 1975 were social security (received by 90 percent of those who retired at the normal age, but only 52 percent of those forced out early because of poor health); and disability benefits (received by only 44 percent of those who retired for health reasons). About 21 percent had income from earnings of their wives, in amounts often as high as the retiree's own earnings; 12 percent of white retirees and 17 percent of blacks had earnings of their own. Other
family members' earnings contributed to the income of about 10 percent of all retirees, and 8 percent had income from self employment. ${ }^{21}$

In 1980, the wife's earnings continued to be a source of family income for about one-fourth of the white married retirees and 18 percent of the blacks. Almost all retirees ( 90 percent) received social security benefits, and nearly threefifths had other pensions, mostly from private employers; 17 percent had earnings of their own ( 10 percent from selfemployment); 12 percent had income from other family members; and 7 percent received public assistance, a source of income for 1 of 4 black retirees, but only 1 of 16 whites. Other income, primarily from property, was received by two-thirds of the whites, but only one-sixth of the blacks. Married male retirees were more likely to have property income. Average family income in 1980 for male retirees ages 57 to 71 was $\$ 15,300$; however, the range was widefrom $\$ 16,900$ for married whites to $\$ 6,900$ for unmarried blacks. ${ }^{22}$

As for amounts from each source, Parnes and Less estimated that in 1980, social security and other pensions accounted for less than three-fifths of total family income for whites, and two-thirds for blacks, whose social security benefits reflect weighting in favor of lower wage workers. Married men, on average, showed 10 percent of family income from wives' earnings, 8 percent from current earnings, and 2 percent from wives' pensions. Among unmarried men, income from other family members accounted for about 11 percent of the average income of whites and 25 percent of that of blacks. ${ }^{23}$
Parnes and Less found that median family income (adjusted for inflation) of married retirees in 1980 was about half the income they received in the year before retirement. They also saw a downward trend in real family income since 1976 that they attributed to reduced labor market activity of family members. Nonetheless, in 1980, 59 percent of married retirees and 48 percent of the unmarried said their income was adequate or better than adequate, and an additional one-third said they had "just enough to get by." Only 9 percent of married retirees and 15 percent of the unmarried said they "cannot make ends meet." However, Parnes and Less observed "very profound" differences by race in the responses, particularly among married retirees; 25 percent of the blacks but only 8 percent of whites said they could not make ends meet, while 21 percent of whites but only 3 percent of blacks said they saved regularly. ${ }^{24}$

## Psychological well-being

The 1980 survey asked questions about retirees' use of leisure time, their retirement decisions, and their general satisfaction with life. Most retirees said life in retirement was about what they expected, and about 1 of 4 said it was better, but the strong effect of reason for retirement on wellbeing is illustrated by the fact that among those who had
retired for health reasons, more than 30 percent found retirement worse than they expected. Health, occupational level, and family income positively influenced the extent of purposeful leisure time activities, which, in turn, increased life satisfaction. Participating in the paid labor market and being married to a healthy spouse also significantly increased life satisfaction for retirees. ${ }^{25}$

## Women's retirement plans

Thus far, the whole family's well-being in retirement can only be suggested by NLS research because of the focus on the male breadwinner. However, some data about retirement planning have recently become available from the women's cohort. In 1979, women then ages 42 to 56 who were in the labor force or who said they intended to seek jobs were asked their plans for retirement and those of their husbands. Lois B. Shaw analyzed the responses of more than 800 married women who had retirement plans. ${ }^{26}$ Women who had a planned retirement age were slightly better educated and were more likely to be employed, to be covered by a pension plan, to expect social security from their own employment, and to have a husband who had retirement plans as well. Of these women, 36 percent planned to retire before age 62; 22 percent at ages 62 to $64 ; 19$ percent at age 65 ; 3 percent after age 65 ; and 20 percent planned never to retire. Most did not plan to retire when their husbands did, except for those with husbands of the same age as themselves. As with the men, women's retirement plans appeared to have been influenced first by pension eligibility and second by the desire to share the leisure of retirement with a spouse. Women with husbands in poor health were less likely to plan to retire before age 65 , but a woman's own health did not strongly affect her plans. ${ }^{27}$

## Other family members

Some recent work by Scott and Rubye Beck suggests additional questions about family life that the NLS can be used to answer. They compared cross-sectional and longitudinal data and found that estimates of the number of families who had formed extended households are doubled when longitudinal data are used. Between 1966 and 1976, 20 percent of white and 50 percent of black middle-aged couples had taken parents or grandchildren to live in their homes. ${ }^{28}$ Scott Beck found in another study that paternal grandfather's and grandmother's occupations have positive effects on the occupations of men, even when the influence of father's occupation is taken into account. ${ }^{29}$
Future researchers will have the benefit of greatly expanded NLS data. The five NLS cohorts include significant numbers of father-son, mother-daughter, husband-wife, brother-sister, and other sibling pairs. Their experiences promise to be of great value in illuminating many questions about family life.
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## Appendix A. Explanatory Note

Statistics on the labor force, employment, unemployment, and persons not in the labor force, classified by a variety of demographic, social, and economic characteristics are derived from the Current Population Survey (CPS), which is conducted by the Bureau of the Census for the Bureau of Labor Statistics. The information is collected by trained interviewers from a sample of about 60,000 households, representing 629 areas in 1,148 counties and independent cities, with coverage in 50 States and the District of Columbia.
The estimates in this bulletin are based on supplementary questions in the March 1983 cPS. These estimates relate to persons 16 years and over in the civilian noninstitutional population in the calendar week ended March 12, 1983. Male members of the Armed Forces living off post or with their families on post ( 881,000 in March 1983) were also included, but all other members of the Armed Forces were excluded.
The concepts, definitions, and estimating methods used in the survey, as well as indicators of the reliability of the data, are briefly described below. A more detailed description of the survey appears in Concepts and Methods Used in Labor Force Statistics Derived From the Current Population Survey, BLS Report 463, and in the Explanatory Notes of the bls monthly publication, Employment and Earnings.

## Concepts and Definitions

Single, never married; married, spouse present; and other marital status are terms used to define the marital status of individuals at the time of interview. Married, spouse present, applies to husband and wife if both were reported as members of the same household even though one may be temporarily absent on business, vacation, on a visit, in a hospital, etc. Other marital status applies to persons who are married, spouse absent; widowed; or divorced. Married, spouse absent, includes persons who are separated because of marital discord, as well as persons who are living apart because either the husband or the wife was employed and living away from home, serving in the Armed Forces, or had a different place of residence for any reason.
A family is a group of two or more persons related by birth, marriage, or adoption to the householder (the person in whose name the home is owned or rented) and residing together. All such persons are considered as
members of one family including members of a related subfamily, that is, a married-couple or parent-child group related by birth, marriage, or adoption to the householder and sharing the living quarters. The count of families in this publication also includes unrelated subfamilies such as lodgers, guests, or resident employees living in a household but not related to the householder. Families are classified either as marriedcouple families or as families maintained by women or men without spouses (i.e., where the householder is single, widowed, divorced, or married, spouse absent). Also included in the count of families are those in which the male householder is in the Armed Forces and living in the United States.

Children refer to "own" children of the husband, wife, or person maintaining the family and include sons and daughters, stepchildren, and adopted children. Excluded are other related children, such as grandchildren, nieces, nephews, and cousins, and unrelated children.

The civilian labor force comprises all civilians classified as employed or unemployed in accordance with the criteria described below.

Employed persons are (a) all civilians who, during the survey week, did any work at all as paid employees, in their own business, profession, or on their own farm, or who worked 15 hours or more as unpaid workers in an enterprise operated by a member of the family; and (b) all those who were not working but who had jobs or businesses from which they were temporarily absent because of illness, bad weather, vacation, labormanagement disputes, or personal reasons, whether they were paid for the time off or were seeking other jobs. Each employed person is counted only once. Those who held more than one job are counted in the job at which they worked the greatest number of hours during the survey week.

Unemployed persons are all civilians who had no employment during the survey week, were available for work, except for temporary illness, and (a) had made specific efforts to find employment sometime during the prior 4 weeks, or (b) were waiting to be recalled to a job from which they had been laid off, or (c) were waiting to report to a new job within 30 days.

The unemployment rate for all civilian workers represents the number unemployed as a percent of the civilian labor force. This measure can also be computed for groups within the labor force classified by sex, age, race, Hispanic origin, marital status, etc.

Not in the labor force includes all persons who are not classified as employed or unemployed. These persons are further classified as engaged in own home housework, in school, unable to work because of longterm physical or mental illness, retired, and other. The "other" group includes individuals reported as too old or temporarily unable to work, the voluntarily idle, seasonal workers for whom the survey week fell in an off season and who were not reported as looking for work, and persons who did not look for work because they believed that no jobs were available in the area or that no jobs were available for which they could qualify-discouraged workers. Persons doing only incidental, unpaid family work (less than 15 hours in the specified week) are also classified as not in labor force.
Occupation, industry, and class of worker for the employed apply to the job held in the survey week. Persons with two or more jobs are classified in the job at which they worked the greatest number of hours during the survey week. The unemployed are classified according to their last full-time job lasting 2 weeks or more. The classifications of occupations and industries used in data derived from the CPS through 1982 are defined as in the 1970 census. Beginning with 1983 data, they are defined as in the 1980 census. Information on the detailed categories included in these groups is available upon request.
Full-time workers are persons who usually work 35 hours or more during the survey week. Part-time workers are those who voluntarily work 1 to 34 hours during the survey week and those who usually work full time but worked 1 to 34 hours because of economic reasons. Persons with a job but not at work during the survey week are classified according to whether they usually work full or part time.

Age is based on the age of the respondent at his or her last birthday.

Earnings are all money income of $\$ 1$ or more from wages and salaries and net money income of $\$ 1$ or more from farm and nonfarm self-employment.

Income represents the total amount of money received in the preceding calendar year from (1) money wages and salaries; (2) net income from self-employment; (3) social security; (4) dividends, interest (on savings and bonds), net rental income, and income from estates and trusts; (5) public assistance; (6) unemployment and workers' compensation, government employees pensions, and veterans' payments; and (7) private pensions, annuities, alimony, regular contributions from persons not living in the same household, net royalties, and other periodic income. The amount received represents income before deductions of personal taxes, social security, savings bonds, union dues, health insurance, and the like. The total income of a family is the sum of the amounts received by all persons in the family.

Median income indicates the value which divides the income distribution into two equal parts, one part having values above the median and the other having values below the median. The medians shown in this report are calculated from the corresponding distributions by linear interpolation within the interval in which the median falls. Therefore, because of this interpolation, the median value depends not only on the distribution of income but also on the income intervals used in calculating the median.

White, black, and other are terms used to describe the race of workers. Included in the "other" group are American Indians, Alaskan Natives, Asians, Pacific Islanders, and any other race except white and black. All tables in this bulletin which contain racial data present data for the black population group. Because of their relatively small sample size, data for "other" races are not published. In the enumeration process, race is determined by the household respondent.

Hispanic origin refers to persons who identified themselves in the enumeration process as Mexican, Puerto Rican living on the mainland, Cuban, Central or South American, or of other Hispanic origin or descent. Persons of Hispanic origin may be of any race; thus, they are included in both the white and black population groups.

## Estimating Methods

The estimating procedure used in this survey inflates weighted sample results to independent estimates of the civilian noninstitutional population by age, sex, race, and residence. These independent estimates are based on data from the 1980 census and other statistics on births, deaths, immigration, emigration, and the Armed Forces.

## Rounding of the estimates

The sums of individual items may not always equal the totals shown in the same tables because of independent rounding of the totals and components to the nearest thousand. Similarly, sums of percent distributions may not always equal 100 percent because of rounding. Differences, however, are insignificant.

## Rellability of the estimates

Since the estimates are based on a sample, they may differ somewhat from the figures that would have been obtained if a complete census had been taken using the same schedules and procedures. As in any survey, the results are also subject to errors of response and reporting. These may be relatively large in the case of persons with irregular attachment to the labor force. Particular care should be exercised in the interpretation of figures
based on relatively small estimates as well as small differences between estimates.

The standard error is primarily a measure of sampling variability, that is, of the variations that might occur by chance because a sample rather than the entire population is surveyed. The standard error also partially measures the effect of response and enumeration errors but does not measure any systematic biases in the data. The chances are about 68 out of 100 that an estimate differs from a complete census by less than the standard error. The chances are about 95 out of 100 that the difference would be less than twice the standard error.

Tables A-1 and A-2 provide approximations of the standard errors of estimated numbers and percentages at the 68 -percent confidence level. Standard errors for intermediate values may be found by interpolation. Estimated standard errors for specific characteristics cannot be obtained from tables A-1 and A-2 without the use of factors in table A-3. These factors must be applied to the standard errors in order to adjust for the combined effect of sample design and estimating procedure on the value of the characteristic. The determination of the proper factor for a percentage depends upon the subject matter of the numerator of the percentage, not the denominator. The following examples illustrate the use of the standard error tables.

Table B-1 of the supplementary tables show that an estimated $26,227,000$ married women, husband present, were in the civilian labor force in March 1983. Two steps, using both tables A-1 and A-3, are required to derive an estimate of the standard error for this figure. First, from table A-1, an approximation of the error $(281,000)$ is found by interpolation. Next, this estimate is multiplied by the factor 1.0 from table A-3. Thus, the chances are about 68 out of 100 that the difference between the sample estimate and a complete census count would be less than 281,000 . The chances are about 95 out of 100 that the difference would be less than 562,000.

Married women represented 51.8 percent of all married women in the population. The standard error for this percent is found by multiplying the standard error (0.4) from table A-2 by the appropriate factor from

Table A-1. Standard errors for estimated numbers
(In thousands)

| Size of estimate | Standard error | Size of estimate | Standard error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 25 | 9 | 5,000 | 131 |
| 50 | 13 | 10,000 | 182 |
| 100 | 19 | 15,000 | 221 |
| 250 | 30 | 25,000 | 277 |
| 500 | 42 | 50,000 | 364 |
| 1,000 | 59 | 100,000 | 424 |
| 2,500 | 93 |  |  |

NOTE: For a particular characteristic, see table A-3 for the appropriate factor to apply to the above standard errors.

Table A-2. Standard errors for estimated percentages

| Base of percentage (in thousands) | Estimated percentage |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ \text { or } \\ 99 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & \text { or } \\ & 98 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 5 \\ \text { or } \\ 95 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & \text { or } \\ & 90 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \\ & \text { or } \\ & 75 \end{aligned}$ | 50 |
| 75 | 2.1 | 3.0 | 4.7 | 6.5 | 9.4 | 10.8 |
| 100 | 1.9 | 2.6 | 4.1 | 5.6 | 8.1 | 9.4 |
| 250 | 1.2 | 1.7 | 2.6 | 3.5 | 5.1 | 5.9 |
| 500 | . 8 | 1.2 | 1.8 | 2.5 | 3.6 | 4.2 |
| 1,000 | . 6 | . 8 | 1.3 | 1.8 | 2.6 | 3.0 |
| 2,500 | . 4 | . 5 | . 8 | 1.1 | 1.6 | 1.9 |
| 5,000 | . 3 | . 4 | . 6 | . 8 | 1.1 | 1.3 |
| 10,000 | . 2 | . 3 | . 4 | . 6 | . 8 | . 9 |
| 15,000 | . 15 | . 2 | . 3 | . 5 | . 7 | . 8 |
| 25,000 | . 12 | . 2 | . 3 | . 4 | . 5 | . 6 |
| 50,000 | . 08 | . 12 | . 2 | . 3 | . 4 | . 4 |
| 100,000 | . 06 | . 08 | . 13 | . 2 | . 3 | . 3 |

NOTE: For a particular characteristic, see table A-3 for the appropriate factor to apply to the above standard errors.
table A-3 (1.0): $0.4 \times 1.0=0.4$. Thus, the chances are 68 out of 100 that a complete census count would have resulted in a figure between 52.2 and 51.4 percent, and 95 out of 100 that the figure would have been between 52.6 and 51.0.

Two parameters presented in table A-4 (denoted " $a$ " and '" $b$ ') are used to calculate standard errors for each type of characteristic. These parameters were used to calculate the standard errors in tables A-1 and A-2, and to calculate the factors in table A-3. They also may be used to directly calculate the standard errors for estimated numbers and percentages. Methods for direct computation are given in the following sections.
Standard errors of estimated numbers. The approximate standard error of an estimated number can be obtained in two ways. It may be obtained by use of the formula:

$$
\text { (1) } \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\mathrm{x}}=\mathrm{f} \boldsymbol{\sigma}
$$

where f is the appropriate factor from table A-3, and $\sigma$ is the standard error on the estimate obtained by interpolation from table A-1. Alternatively, standard errors may be approximated by using formula (2), from which the standard errors were calculated in table A-1. Use of this formula will provide more accurate results than the use of formula (1) above.

$$
\text { (2) } \sigma_{x}=\sqrt{a x^{2}+b x}
$$

Here x is the size of the estimate and a and b are the parameters in table A-4 associated with the particular type of characteristic. When calculating standard errors for numbers from cross-tabulations involving different characteristics, use the factor or set of parameters for the characteristic which will give the largest standard error.
Illustration. Table B-6 of this report shows that in 1983 there were $61,834,000$ families. Using formula (2) with $a=-0.000010$ and $b=1,389$ from table A-4, the approximate standard error is:
$\sqrt{(-0.000010)(61,834,000)^{2}+(1,389)(61 ; 834,000)}$
$\doteq 218,000$
The 68 -percent confidence interval for the number of families is from $61,616,000$ to $62,052,000$. The 95 -percent confidence interval is from $61,398,000$ to $\mathbf{6 2 , 2 7 0 , 0 0 0}$ (using twice the standard error). Therefore, a conclusion that the average estimate derived from all possible samples lies within a range computed in this way would be correct for roughly 95 percent of all possible samples.
Standard errors of estimated percentages. The reliability of an estimated percentage, computed using sample
data for both numerator and denominator, depends upon both the size of the percentage and the size of the total upon which the percentage is based. Estimated percentages are relatively more reliable than the corresponding estimates of the numerators of the percentages, particularly if the percentages are 50 percent or more. When the numerator and denominator of the percentage are in different categories, use the factor or parameters from table A-3 or A-4 indicated by the numerator. The approximate standard error, $\sigma(x, p)$, of an estimated percentage can be obtained by use of the formula:

$$
\text { (3) } \sigma(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{p})=\mathrm{f} \boldsymbol{\sigma}
$$

Table A-3. Factors to be applied to generalized standard errors in tables A-1 and A-2

| Characteristic | CPS data collected from January 1967 to the present |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Persons |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Some household members and secondary individuals |  |  | All household members |  |  | Families and unrelated individuals, households, or householders |  |  |
|  | Total or white | Black | Hispanic origin | Total or white | Black | Hispanic origin | Total or white | Black | Hispanic origin |
| Total or nonfarm: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total, regional, or metropolitan | 1.00 | 1.20 | 1.13 | 1.10 | 1.45 | 1.60 | 0.63 | 0.60 | 0.64 |
| Nonmetropolitan . | 1.22 | 1.47 | 1.38 | 1.35 | 1.78 | 1.95 | . 77 | . 73 | . 78 |
| Education, tenure | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | . 63 | . 60 | . 64 |
| Employment status and occupation | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Farm | 1.38 | 1.66 | 1.56 | 1.52 | 2.01 | 2.21 | . 85 | 81 | . 86 |

Table A-4. "a" and "b" parameters for estimated numbers and percentages of persons, families, unrelated individuals, households, or householders

| Characteristic |
| :--- |

In this formula, f is the appropriate factor from table A-3, and $\sigma$ is the standard error on the estimate from table A-2. Alternatively, standard errors may be approximated by using formula (4), from which standard errors in table A-2 were calculated; direct computation will give more accurate results than use of formula (3).

$$
\text { (4) } \sigma(x, p)=\sqrt{\frac{b}{x} \cdot p(100-p)}
$$

Here, $x$ is the the size of the subclass of persons, families, and unrelated individuals, households, or householders which is the base of the percentage; $p$ is the percentage $(0 \times p \times 100)$; and $b$ is the parameter in table A-4 associated with the particular type of characteristics in the numerator of the percentage.

Illustration. Table B-6 shows that of the $61,834,000$ families in 1983, 53.0 percent had two or more earners. From table A-4, the appropriate b-parameter is 1,389 . Using formula (4), the approximate standard error on 53.0 percent is

$$
\sqrt{\frac{1,389}{61,834,000}(53.0)(47.0) \doteq 0.2 \text { percent }}
$$

Thus, the 68 -percent confidence interval on the estimated percentage is from 52.8 to 53.2 and the 95 -percent confidence interval is from 52.6 to 53.4 .

Standard error of a difference. For a difference between two sample estimates, the standard error is approximately equal to:

$$
\sigma(x-y)=\sqrt{\sigma_{x}^{2}+\sigma_{y}^{2}}
$$

where $\sigma_{\mathrm{x}}$ and $\sigma_{\mathrm{y}}$ are the standard errors of the estimates $x$ and $y$; the estimates can be of numbers, percents, ratios, etc.

This will represent the actual standard error quite accurately for the difference between two estimates of the same characteristic in two different areas, or for the difference between separate and uncorrelated characteristics in the same area. If, however, there is a high positive (negative) correlation between the two characteristics, the formula will overestimate (underestimate) the true standard error.

As a general rule, summary measures such as medians, means, and percent distributions are not published when the monthly base of the measure is less than 75,000 . Because of the large standard errors involved, there is little chance that summary measures would reveal useful information when computed on a smaller base. Estimated numbers are shown, however, even though the relative standard errors of these numbers are larger than those for corresponding percentages. These smaller estimates are provided primarily to permit such combinations of the categories as serve each user's needs.

## Appendix B. Supplementary Tables

Table B-1. Employment status of the population by marital status, sex, race, and Hispanic origin, March 1983

| Marital status, sex, race, and Hispanic origin |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Civilian noninstitutional popuiation | Civilian labor force |  |  |  |  |  |  | Not in labor force | Armed Forces ${ }^{1}$ |
|  |  | Total | Participation rate | Employed |  |  | Unemployed |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Total | Full time | Part time | Number | ```Percent of labor force``` |  |  |
| TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total ............................................................. | 174,537 | 109,814 | 63.2 | 97,804 | 78,847 | 18,957 | 12,011 | 10.9 | 63,841 | 881 |
| Men ${ }^{2}$................................................................... | 83,142 | 62,035 | 75.4 | 54,638 | 48,251 | 6,387 | 7,397 | 11.9 | 20,225 | 881 |
| Never married | 23,672 | 16,468 | 69.9 | 13,203 | 9,415 | 3,788 | 3,265 | 19.8 | 7,094 | 110 |
| Married, wife present ......................................... | 50,665 | 39,589 | 79.2 | 36,371 | 34,257 | 2,114 | 3,218 | 8.1 | 10,390 | 687 |
| Other marital status .......................................... | 8,804 | 5,978 | 68.6 | 5,064 | 4,578 | 485 | 914 | 15.3 | 2,742 | 85 |
| Married, wife absent | 2,243 | 1,718 | 78.6 | 1,405 | 1,279 | 126 | 314 | 18.3 | 469 | 56 |
| Widowed .................. | 1,938 | 514 | 26.6 | 467 | 394 | 73 | 47 | 9.2 | 1,423 | 1 |
| Divorced ......................................................... | 4,624 | 3,745 | 81.5 | 3,192 | 2,906 | 286 | 553 | 14.8 | 851 | 28 |
| Women ............................................................... | 91,395 | 47,779 | 52.3 | 43,165 | 30,596 | 12,569 | 4,614 | 9.7 | 43,616 | - |
| Never married ................................................... | 19,617 | 12,282 | 62.6 | 10,620 | 6,875 | 3,745 | 1,661 | 13.5 | 7,336 | - |
| Married, husband present .................................. | 50,659 | 26,227 | 51.8 | 24,335 | 17,116 | 7,219 | 1,893 | 7.2 | 24,432 | - |
| Other marital status .......................................... | 21,119 | 9,270 | 43.9 | 8,210 | 6,605 | 1,605 | 1,060 | 11.4 | 11,848 | - |
| Married, husband absent ................................. | 3,258 | 1,913 | 58.7 | 1,549 | 1,238 | 311 | 365 | 19.1 | 1,344 | - |
| Married, husband in Armed Forces ................ | 69 | 38 | (') | 34 | 28 | 6 | 4 | ( ${ }^{3}$ ) | 31 | - |
| Widowed ........................................................ | 10,895 | 2,161 | 19.8 | 1,995 | 1,322 | 673 | 166 | 7.7 | 8,734 | - |
| Divorced ............................................................................................... | 6,966 | 5,196 | 74.6 | 4,667 | 4,046 | 621 | 529 | 10.2 | 1,770 | - |
| White |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total ............................................................. | 151,164 | 95,657 | 63.6 | 86,382 | 69,404 | 16,979 | 9,274 | 9.7 | 54,770 | 738 |
| Men ${ }^{2}$.................................................................... | 72,546 | 54,813 | 76.3 | 48,933 | 43,302 | 5,632 | 5,880 | 10.7 | 16,995 | 738 |
| Never married | 19,524 | 13,927 | 71.7 | 11,521 | 8,193 | 3,327 | 2,407 | 17.3 | 5,503 | 94 |
| Married, wife present ......................................... | 45,858 | 35,944 | 79.4 | 33,152 | 31,240 | 1,912 | 2,792 | 7.8 | 9,334 | 581 |
| Other marital status .......................................... | 7,164 | 4,942 | 69.6 | 4,261 | 3,868 | 393 | 682 | 13.8 | 2,158 | 63 |
| Married, wife absent ........................................ | 1,591 | 1,266 | 81.8 | 1,081 | 990 | 91 | 185 | 14.6 | 282 | 43 |
| Widowed ....................................................... | 1,601 | 427 | 26.7 | 391 | 331 | 60 | 36 | 8.4 | 1,173 | 1 |
| Divorced ....................................................... | 3,972 | 3,249 | 82.2 | 2,789 | 2,547 | 241 | 460 | 14.2 | 703 | 20 |
| Women .............................................................. | 78,618 | 40,843 | 52.0 | 37,449 | 26,102 | 11,347 | 3,394 | 8.3 | 37,775 | - |
| Never married ................................................... | 15,471 | 10,099 | 65.3 | 9,000 | 5,742 | 3,258 | 1,099 | 10.9 | 5,372 | - |
| Married, husband present .................................. | 45,822 | 23,355 | 51.0 | 21,766 | 15,030 | 6,735 | 1,589 | 6.8 | 22,467 | - |
| Other marital status .......................................... | 17,326 | 7,390 | 42.7 | 6,684 | 5,330 | 1,354 | 706 | 9.6 | 9,936 | - |
| Married, husband absent | 2,114 | 1,237 | 58.5 | 1,036 | 809 | 227 | 201 | 16.3 | 877 | - |
| Married, husband in Armed Forces | 42 | 22 | (3) | 21 | 18 | 4 | 1 | (3) | 20 | - |
| Widowed ....................................................... | 9,435 | 1,809 | 19.2 | 1,687 | 1,099 | 588 | 123 | 6.8 | 7,625 | - |
| Divorced ....................................................... | 5,777 | 4,343 | 75.2 | 3,961 | 3,423 | 539 | 382 | 8.8 | 1,434 | - |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table B-1. Employment status of the population by marital status, sex, race, and Hispanic origin, March 1983-Continued
(Numbers in thousands)

| Marital status, sex, race, and Hispanic origin | Civilian noninstitutional population | Civilian labor force |  |  |  |  |  |  | Not in labor force | Armed Forces' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Participation rate | Employed |  |  | Unemployed |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Total | Full time | Part time | Number | Percent of labor force |  |  |
| Black |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total ............................................................. | 18,922 | 11,352 | 60.3 | 8,969 | 7,403 | 1,565 | 2,384 | 21.0 | 7,470 | 99 |
| Men² ................................................................... | 8,497 | 5,722 | 68.1 | 4,408 | 3,818 | 590 | 1,314 | 23.0 | 2,676 | 99 |
| Never married | 3,450 | 2,124 | 61.8 | 1,357 | 1,006 | 351 | 767 | 36.1 | 1,313 | 13 |
| Married, wife present ......................................... | 3,577 | 2,676 | 76.3 | 2,348 | 2,188 | 160 | 328 | 12.3 | 833 | 67 |
| Other marital status .......................................... | 1,470 | 921 | 63.5 | 703 | 623 | 80 | 218 | 23.7 | 530 | 19 |
| Married, wife absent ....................................... | 572 | 392 | 69.9 | 267 | 241 | 26 | 125 | 31.9 | 168 | 12 |
| Widowed ........................................................ | 309 | 81 | 26.1 | 70 | 60 | 10 | 11 | 14.0 | 229 | - |
| Divorced .......................................................... | 588 | 449 | 77.1 | 367 | 322 | 44 | 82 | 18.2 | 133 | 7 |
| Women .............................................................. | 10,425 | 5,631 | 54.0 | 4,561 | 3,586 | 975 | 1,070 | 19.0 | 4,794 | - |
| Never married .................................................. | 3,587 | 1,864 | 52.0 | 1,348 | 952 | 396 | 515 | 27.7 | 1,723 | - |
| Married, husband present ................................. | 3,489 | 2,120 | 60.8 | 1,881 | 1,529 | 352 | 240 | 11.3 | 1,369 | - |
| Other marital status .......................................... | 3,348 | 1,647 | 49.2 | 1,332 | 1,105 | 227 | 315 | 19.1 | 1,702 | - |
| Married, husband absent ................................. | 1,030 | 606 | $58.9$$\text { ( }{ }^{3}$ | 4529 | 377 | 752 | 1553 | $\begin{gathered} 25.5 \\ \left({ }^{3}\right) \end{gathered}$ | 4238 | - |
| Married, husband in Armed Forces ................ | 19 | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Widowed ........................................................ | 1,283 | 305 | 23.8 | $\begin{aligned} & 265 \\ & 615 \end{aligned}$ | 189 | 76 | 40 | 13.0 | 978 | - |
| Divorced ......................................................... | 1,036 | 736 | 71.0 |  | 539 | 76 | 121 | 16.5 | 300 | - |
| Hispanic origin |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total ............................................................. | 9,426 | 5,893 | 62.8 | 4,933 | 4,126 | 807 | 960 | 16.3 | 3,491 | 42 |
| Men ${ }^{2}$................................................................... | 4,448 | 3,521 | 79.9 | 2,947 | 2,598 | 349 | 573 | 16.3 | 885 | 42 |
| Never married ................................................... | 1,450 | 994 | 68.7 | $\begin{array}{r} 768 \\ 1,908 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 558 \\ 1,789 \end{array}$ | 210 | 226 | 22.7 | 453 | 3 |
| Married, wife present ......................................... | 2,565 | 2,198 | 86.9 |  |  | 119 | 290 | 13.2 | 330 | 37 |
| Other marital status .......................................... | 433 | 329 | 76.3 | $\begin{aligned} & 272 \\ & 125 \end{aligned}$ | 252 | 19 | 5720 | 17.414.1 | 102 | 2 |
| Married, wife absent ........................................ | 183 | 145 | 80.2 |  | 118 |  |  |  | 36 |  |
| Widowed ........................................................ | 51 | 15 | () | $\begin{array}{r} 14 \\ 133 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12 \\ 122 \end{array}$ | 2 | 136 | $\begin{gathered} (3) \\ 21.3 \end{gathered}$ | 3630 | - |
| Divorced ............................................................. | 199 | 169 | 85.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Women ............................................................... | 4,978 | 2,372 | 47.7 | 1,986 | 1,528 | 458 | 386 | 16.3 | 2,606 | - |
| Never married ................................................... | 1,213 | 639 | $\begin{aligned} & 52.7 \\ & 46.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 528 \\ 1,041 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 376 \\ & 819 \end{aligned}$ | 152 | 110 | 17.3 | 574 | - |
| Married, husband present .................................. | 2,657 | 1,247 |  |  |  | 221 |  | 16.5 | 1,411 |  |
| Other marital status .......................................... | 1,108 | 487 | 43.9 | 416 | 332 | 85 | 70 | 14.4 | 621 | - |
| Married, husband absent ................................ | $\begin{array}{r} 381 \\ 4 \\ 332 \\ 395 \end{array}$ | rer ${ }^{144}$ 82 | $\begin{gathered} 37.7 \\ \beta \\ 24.6 \\ 66.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 115 \\ 1 \\ 74 \\ 228 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 89 \\ -\quad 59 \\ 184 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 26 \\ 1 \\ 15 \\ 43 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 29 \\ 1 \\ 8 \\ 33 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20.1 \\ (3) \\ 9.5 \\ 12.8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 237 \\ 2 \\ 250 \\ 133 \end{array}$ | - |
| Married, husband in Armed Forces ............... Widowed |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Widowed ....................................................... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Divorced ....................................................... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^11]${ }^{3}$ Data not shown where base is less than 75,000.
NOTE: Detail for the above race and Hispanic-origin groups will not sum to totals because data for the "other races" group are not presented and Hispanics are included in both the white and black population groups.

| Occupation, race, and Hispanic origin | Men |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Never married | Married, wife present | Other marital status |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Married, wife absent | Widowed | Divorced |
| TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 54,638 | 13,203 | 36,371 | 1,405 | 467 | 3,192 |
| Percent | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Managerial and professional specialty ..................................................... | 25.5 | 17.5 | 28.8 | 20.9 | 25.9 | 23.4 |
| Executive, administrative, and managerial ........................................... | 13.2 | 7.0 | 15.7 | 11.3 | 9.7 | 12.4 |
| Professional specialty ............................................................................ | 12.3 | 10.5 | 13.1 | 9.6 | 16.2 | 11.0 |
| Technical, sales, and administrative support | 19.7 | 21.8 | 19.1 | 16.3 | 17.0 | 19.3 |
| Technicians and related support | 2.7 | 3.3 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 3.4 |
| Sales occupations .............................................................................. | 11.1 | 10.5 | 11.5 | 9.9 | 8.6 | 10.5 |
| Administrative support, including clerical .............................................. | 5.8 | 8.0 | 5.1 | 4.4 | 6.8 | 5.4 |
| Service occupations | 9.7 | 17.1 | 7.0 | 11.5 | 11.0 | 9.9 |
| Private household | . 1 | . 2 | (') | . 1 | . 7 | . 1 |
| Protective service ............................................. | 2.6 | 2.0 | 2.8 | 3.4 | 2.1 | 3.0 |
| Service, except private household and protective ................................. | 7.1 | 14.9 | 4.2 | 8.0 | 8.3 | 6.8 |
| Precision production, craft, and repair .................................................... | 19.7 | 14.1 | 21.6 | 21.2 | 17.6 | 21.8 |
| Mechanics and repairers .................................................................... | 7.2 | 5.1 | 8.0 | 6.0 | 5.8 | 7.9 |
| Construction trades ............................................................................ | 6.9 | 5.5 | 7.3 | 9.1 | 6.3 | 7.3 |
| Other precision production, craft, and repair ........................................ | 5.6 | 3.4 | 6.3 | 6.0 | 5.6 | 6.6 |
| Operators, fabricators, and laborers ........................................................ | 20.4 | 24.5 | 18.7 | 24.5 | 18.0 | 22.4 |
| Machine operators, assemblers, and inspectors ................................... | 7.8 | 7.7 | 7.8 | 9.0 | 4.8 | 8.5 |
| Transportation and material moving occupations .................................. | 6.7 | 5.4 | 7.0 | 8.0 | 5.0 | 8.5 |
| Handlers, equipment cleaners, helpers, and laborers ........................... | 5.9 | 11.5 | 3.9 | 7.5 | 8.1 | 5.4 |
| Farming, forestry, and fishing ................................................................ | 4.9 | 5.0 | 4.9 | 5.7 | 10.5 | 3.2 |
| Farm operators and managers ............................................................ | 2.2 | 1.0 | 2.8 | 1.3 | 5.0 | . 9 |
| Farm workers and related occupations ................................................ | 2.3 | 3.6 | 1.8 | 4.2 | 5.3 | 1.9 |
| Forestry and fishing ............................................................................ | . 4 | . 3 | . 4 | . 2 | . 2 | . 4 |
| White |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 48,933 | 11,521 | 33,152 | 1,081 | 391 | 2,789 |
| Percent ........................................................................................... | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Managerial and professional specialty .................................................... | 26.5 | 18.3 | 29.6 | 22.9 | 27.4 | 24.5 |
| Executive, administrative, and managerial ........................................... | 13.8 | 7.3 | 16.2 | 12.4 | 10.5 | 12.9 |
| Professional specialty ......................................................................... | 12.7 | 11.0 | 13.4 | 10.5 | 16.9 | 11.6 |
| Technical, sales, and administrative support ........................................... | 20.0 | 22.0 | 19.4 | 17.0 | 19.7 | 20.5 |
| Technicians and related support ......................................................... | 2.7 | 3.4 | 2.5 | 2.1 | 1.7 | 3.8 |
| Sales occupations ............................................................................. | 11.7 | 11.0 | 12.1 | 11.0 | 10.2 | 11.4 |
| Administrative support, including clerical .............................................. | 5.6 | 7.6 | 4.9 | 3.9 | 7.8 | 5.3 |
| Service occupations .............................................................................. | 8.8 | 15.8 | 6.3 | 10.4 | 10.4 | 8.8 |
| Private household | (') | . 2 | (') | (') | (') | (') |
| Protective service ............................................................................... | 2.5 | 1.8 | 2.7 | 3.7 | 2.5 | 3.0 |
| Service, except private household and protective ................................. | 6.2 | 13.9 | 3.6 | 6.7 | 7.9 | 5.7 |
| Precision production, craft, and repair .................................................... | 20.3 | 14.6 | 22.1 | 22.2 | 18.0 | 22.1 |
| Mechanics and repairers .................................................................... | 7.4 | 5.3 | 8.1 | 6.7 | 5.4 | 8.1 |
| Construction trades ........... | 7.2 | 5.9 | 7.5 | 9.6 | 6.2 | 7.7 |
| Other precision production, craft, and repair ........................................ | 5.7 | 3.4 | 6.4 | 5.9 | 6.4 | 6.3 |
| Operators, fabricators, and laborers ........................................................ | 19.4 | 24.2 | 17.6 | 22.7 | 13.8 | 20.9 |
| Machine operators, assemblers, and inspectors ................................... | 7.5 | 7.6 | 7.5 | 8.4 | 4.1 | 8.3 |
| Transportation and material moving occupations .................................. | 6.5 | 5.5 | 6.7 | 7.8 | 5.6 | 7.9 |
| Handlers, equipment cleaners, helpers, and laborers ........................... | 5.4 | 11.1 | 3.5 | 6.5 | 4.0 | 4.7 |
| Farming, forestry, and fishing ................................................................. | 4.9 | 5.1 | 5.0 | 4.8 | 10.7 | 3.2 |
| Farm operators and managers ........................................................... | 2.4 | 1.1 | 3.0 | 1.7 | 5.8 | 1.0 |
| Farm workers and related occupations ................................................ | 2.2 | 3.6 | 1.6 | 2.9 | 4.9 | 1.8 |
| Forestry and fishing ............................................................................ | . 3 | . 3 | . 3 | . 2 | (') | . 5 |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table B-2. Employed civilians by occupation, race, Hispanic origin, sex, and marital status, March 1983-Continued

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Occupation, race, and Hispanic origin} \& \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{Men} \\
\hline \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Total} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Never married} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Married, wife present} \& \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Other marital status} \\
\hline \& \& \& \& Married, wife absent \& Widowed \& Divorced \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Black} \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Total \(\qquad\) \\
Percent \(\qquad\)
\end{tabular}} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
\& 4,408 \\
\& 100.0
\end{aligned}
\]} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
\& 1,357 \\
\& 100.0
\end{aligned}
\]} \& 2,348 \& 267 \& 70 \& 367 \\
\hline \& \& \& 100.0 \& 100.0 \& 100.0 \& 100.0 \\
\hline Managerial and professional specialty .................................................... \& 12.8 \& 10.3 \& 14.2 \& 10.0 \& (') \& 14.6 \\
\hline Executive, administrative, and managerial \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{6.7
6.1} \& 3.7 \& 8.2 \& 5.9 \& (1) \& 8.4 \\
\hline Professional specialty \& \& 6.5 \& 6.0 \& 4.2 \& () \& 6.2 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Technical, sales, and administrative support \(\qquad\) Technicians and related support \(\qquad\)} \& 15.1 \& 18.7 \& 14.3 \& 13.6 \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{(9)} \& 10.9 \\
\hline \& 2.0 \& 2.4 \& 2.1 \& 1.2 \& \& . 6 \\
\hline Sales occupations ....................... \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
\& 4.7 \\
\& 8.5
\end{aligned}
\]} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{array}{r}
6.1 \\
10.3
\end{array}
\]} \& 3.8 \& 6.4 \& (1) \& 4.6 \\
\hline Administrative support, including clerical . \& \& \& 8.4 \& 6.0 \& () \& 5.6 \\
\hline Service occupations ............................................................................... \& 18.7 \& 26.2 \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
\& 14.8 \\
\& \text { (') }^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
\]} \& 16.0 \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{(2)} \& 18.2 \\
\hline Private household ............................................................................. \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{.3
4.0} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{.2
4.6} \& \& . 5 \& \& 1.1 \\
\hline Protective service ........................................................................... \& \& \& 4.1 \& 3.1 \& (2) \& 2.7 \\
\hline Service, except private household and protective .................................. \& 14.4 \& 21.4 \& 10.7 \& 12.3 \& (2) \& 14.5 \\
\hline Precision production, craft, and repair .................................................... \& 14.8 \& 10.7 \& 16.1 \& 18.4 \& \multirow[t]{4}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { ' }^{\prime} \\
\& \text { (') } \\
\& \left.\mathbf{N}^{\prime}\right) \\
\& \mathbf{n}^{2}
\end{aligned}
\]} \& 18.8 \\
\hline Mechanics and repairers ..................................................................... \& 5.4 \& 4.5 \& 6.1 \& 2.7 \& \& 6.2 \\
\hline Construction trades .................... \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{4.8} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
\& 3.1 \\
\& 3.2
\end{aligned}
\]} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
\& 5.5 \\
\& 4.5
\end{aligned}
\]} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
\& 8.4 \\
\& 7.3
\end{aligned}
\]} \& \& 3.5 \\
\hline Other precision production, craft, and repair .......................................... \& \& \& \& \& \& 9.1 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Operators, fabricators, and laborers \(\qquad\) \\
Machine operators, assemblers, and inspectors \(\qquad\) \\
Transportation and material moving occupations \(\qquad\) \\
Handlers, equipment cleaners, helpers, and laborers \(\qquad\)
\end{tabular}} \& 33.6 \& 29.5 \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
\& 35.9 \\
\& 12.3
\end{aligned}
\]} \& 31.6 \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{(2)} \& 34.5 \\
\hline \& 10.7 \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
\& 8.1 \\
\& 5.2
\end{aligned}
\]} \& \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{10.1
9.6} \& \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{11.1
13.2} \\
\hline \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
\& 10.6 \\
\& 12.3
\end{aligned}
\]} \& \& 13.6 \& \& (2) \& \\
\hline \& \& 16.2 \& 9.9 \& 11.9 \& (') \& 10.2 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Farming, forestry, and fishing \(\qquad\) \\
Farm operators and managers \(\qquad\) \\
Farm workers and related occupations \(\qquad\) \\
Forestry and fishing \(\qquad\)
\end{tabular}} \& \multirow[t]{4}{*}{\[
\begin{array}{r}
5.0 \\
.3 \\
4.2 \\
.4
\end{array}
\]} \& \multirow[t]{4}{*}{4.6
.2
4.2
.2} \& \multirow[t]{4}{*}{\[
\begin{array}{r}
4.8 \\
.5 \\
3.6 \\
.6
\end{array}
\]} \& \multirow[t]{4}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
\& 10.4 \\
\& \text { (') } \\
\& 10.4 \\
\& \text { (') }^{\prime} \text { ) }
\end{aligned}
\]} \& \multirow[t]{4}{*}{(2)
(2)
( \({ }^{\prime}\) (
(')} \& \multirow[t]{4}{*}{(')

(')} <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Hispanic origin} <br>

\hline Total ............................................................................................... \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2,947 \\
& 100.0
\end{aligned}
$$} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
768 \\
100.0
\end{array}
$$

\]} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1,908 \\
& 100.0
\end{aligned}
$$

\]} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
125 \\
100.0
\end{array}
$$
\]} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{14

100.0} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{133
100.0} <br>
\hline Percent .............. \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>

\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{Managerial and professional specialty Executive, administrative, and managerial $\qquad$ Professional specialty $\qquad$} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\[
$$
\begin{array}{r}
11.9 \\
7.2 \\
4.7
\end{array}
$$

\]} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 8.5 \\
& 4.2 \\
& 4.3
\end{aligned}
$$

\]} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
12.9 \\
8.3 \\
4.6
\end{array}
$$
\]} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{9.6

4.8
4.8} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{(2)
(2)
(')} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{18.0
8.3
9.8} <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>

\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{| Technical, sales, and administrative support $\qquad$ |
| :--- |
| Technicians and related support $\qquad$ |
| Sales occupations $\qquad$ |
| Administrative support, including clerical $\qquad$ |} \& \multirow[t]{4}{*}{\[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
14.4 \\
1.9 \\
6.5 \\
6.0
\end{array}
$$

\]} \& \multirow[t]{4}{*}{\[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
17.5 \\
2.1 \\
6.9 \\
8.5
\end{array}
$$

\]} \& \multirow[t]{4}{*}{\[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
13.5 \\
1.9 \\
6.3 \\
5.2
\end{array}
$$
\]} \& \multirow[t]{4}{*}{8.8

.8
4.8
3.2} \& \multirow[t]{4}{*}{(2)
(2)
(2)
(')} \& \multirow[t]{4}{*}{15.0
(')
9.0
6.8} <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>

\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{| Service occupations $\qquad$ |
| :--- |
| Private household $\qquad$ |
| Protective service $\qquad$ |
| Service, except private household and protective $\qquad$ |} \& \multirow[t]{4}{*}{\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 15.2 \\
& \text { ' }^{\prime} \text { ) } \\
& 2.5 \\
& 12.6
\end{aligned}
$$

\]} \& \multirow[t]{4}{*}{\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 21.0 \\
& \text { '' }^{2} \\
& 2.0 \\
& 19.0
\end{aligned}
$$

\]} \& \multirow[t]{4}{*}{\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 12.2 \\
& \text { (') }^{2.6} \\
& 9.6
\end{aligned}
$$

\]} \& \multirow[t]{4}{*}{\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 25.6 \\
& \text { (') }^{2} \\
& 4.0 \\
& 21.6
\end{aligned}
$$
\]} \& \multirow[t]{4}{*}{(2)

(2)
' $^{2}$
(2)} \& \multirow[t]{4}{*}{14.3
(')
3.8
10.5} <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>

\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{| Precision production, craft, and repair $\qquad$ |
| :--- |
| Mechanics and repairers $\qquad$ |
| Construction trades $\qquad$ |
| Other precision production, craft, and repair $\qquad$ |} \& \multirow[t]{4}{*}{\[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
20.0 \\
6.7 \\
6.5 \\
6.9
\end{array}
$$

\]} \& \multirow[t]{4}{*}{\[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
12.9 \\
3.5 \\
4.2 \\
5.1
\end{array}
$$

\]} \& \multirow[t]{4}{*}{\[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
23.3 \\
8.2 \\
7.7 \\
7.4
\end{array}
$$

\]} \& \multirow[t]{4}{*}{\[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
16.0 \\
5.6 \\
1.6 \\
8.8
\end{array}
$$
\]} \& \multirow[t]{4}{*}{(2)

(2)
(2)
(2)} \& 18.8 <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& 6.0 <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& 7.5 <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& 5.3 <br>

\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{Operators, fabricators, and laborers $\qquad$ Machine operators, assemblers, and inspectors $\qquad$ Transportation and material moving occupations $\qquad$ Handlers, equipment cleaners, helpers, and laborers $\qquad$} \& \multirow[t]{4}{*}{\[
$$
\begin{array}{r}
31.3 \\
13.4 \\
7.9 \\
10.0
\end{array}
$$

\]} \& \multirow[t]{4}{*}{\[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
32.1 \\
11.9 \\
5.7 \\
14.5
\end{array}
$$

\]} \& \multirow[t]{4}{*}{\[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
31.4 \\
14.3 \\
9.1 \\
8.0
\end{array}
$$

\]} \& \multirow[t]{4}{*}{\[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
28.0 \\
12.0 \\
5.6 \\
10.4
\end{array}
$$
\]} \& \multirow[t]{4}{*}{(2)

(2)
(2)
(2)} \& \multirow[t]{4}{*}{32.3
11.3
6.0
15.0} <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>

\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{| Farming, forestry, and fishing $\qquad$ |
| :--- |
| Farm operators and managers $\qquad$ |
| Farm workers and related occupations $\qquad$ |
| Forestry and fishing $\qquad$ |} \& \multirow[t]{4}{*}{\[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
7.2 \\
.4 \\
6.8 \\
\text { (') }
\end{array}
$$
\]} \& \multirow[t]{4}{*}{8.1

.3
7.8
(')} \& \multirow[t]{4}{*}{6.8
.5
6.2
(')} \& \multirow[t]{4}{*}{12.0
.8
11.2
(')} \& \multirow[t]{4}{*}{(2)
(2)
(2)

(2)} \& \multirow[t]{4}{*}{$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1.5 \\
& \text { (') }^{1.5} \\
& \text { (') }^{1.5}
\end{aligned}
$$} <br>

\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

See footnotes at end of table.

Table B-2. Employed civilians by occupation, race, Hispanic origin, sex, and marital status, March 1983-Continued


See footnotes at end of table.

| (Percent distribution) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Occupation, race, and Hispanic origin | Women |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | Never married | Married, husband present | Other marital status |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Married, husband absent | Widowed | Divorced |
| Black |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 4,561 | 1,348 | 1,881 | 452 | 265 | 615 |
| Percent ................................................. | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Managerial and professional specialty Executive, administrative, and managerial $\qquad$ Professional specialty $\qquad$ | 15.7 | 13.6 | 17.6 | 11.1 | 8.2 | 20.9 |
|  | 4.7 | 3.5 | 4.9 | 4.6 | 3.6 | 7.6 |
|  | 10.9 | 10.1 | 12.7 | 6.5 | 4.6 | 13.2 |
| Technical, sales, and administrative support. | 35.5 | 44.6 | 34.6 | 28.7 | 16.0 | 31.9 |
| Technicians and related support ........................................................ | 3.2 | 2.8 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 1.9 | 3.5 |
| Sales occupations ..... | 7.2 | 10.4 | 6.4 | 4.6 | 4.1 | 5.7 |
| Administrative support, including clerical ............................................. | 25.1 | 31.4 | 24.6 | 20.9 | 10.1 | 22.7 |
| Service occupations | 30.8 | 28.0 | 28.0 | 38.7 | 58.2 | 28.2 |
| Private household Protective service. | 5.2 | 4.0 | 4.9 | 6.7 | 16.0 | 3.1 |
|  | . 9 | 1.7 | . 4 | . 4 | . 8 | 1.0 |
| Service, except private household and protective ...................................................................... | 24.8 | 22.4 | 22.7 | 31.6 | 41.4 | 24.1 |
| Precision production, craft, and repair $\qquad$ Mechanics and repairers $\qquad$ | 2.1 | 1.4 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 1.4 | . 9 |
|  | . 3 | . 3 | . 2 | (') | . 7 | . 7 |
|  | . 2 | . 3 | . 2 | (') | (') |  |
| Other precision production, craft, and repair .............................................. | 1.6 | . 8 | 2.5 | 2.8 | . 7 | . 2 |
| Operators, fabricators, and laborers .............................................................. | 15.2 | 11.1 | 16.3 | 18.0 | 16.3 | 18.1 |
| Machine operators, assemblers, and inspectors ....................................................................... | 12.6 | 9.2 | 13.8 | 14.4 | 12.4 | 14.7 |
| Transportation and material moving occupations Handlers, equipment cleaners, helpers, and laborers | 1.0 | . 4 | 1.1 | 1.9 | 2.3 | . 9 |
|  | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 2.5 |
| Farming, forestry, and fishing <br> Farm operators and managers <br> Farm workers and related occupations <br> Forestry and fishing | . 7 | 1.3 | . 6 | . 7 | (') | (') |
|  | (') | (') | . 1 | (') | (') | (') |
|  | . 7 | 1.3 | . 6 | (1) 7 | (') | (') |
|  | (') | (') | (') | (') | (') | (') |
| Hispanic origin |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 1,986 | 528 | 1,041 | 115 | 74 | 228 |
| Percent ................................................................................... | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Managerial and professional specialty .................................................. | 12.5 | 11.7 | 14.0 | 5.2 | (1) | 11.8 |
| Executive, administrative, and managerial Professional specialty $\qquad$ | 5.4 | 4.2 | 6.1 | 2.6 | (2) | 6.6 |
|  | 7.1 | 7.6 | 8.0 | 2.6 | (2) | 5.3 |
| Technical, sales, and administrative support Technicians and related support | 42.0 | 49.7 | 39.3 | 28.7 | ${ }^{(2)}$ | 47.4 |
|  | 2.1 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.7 | $(2)$ | 3.1 |
| Sales occupations .................... | 10.6 | 13.4 | 10.2 | 7.8 | (2) | 9.6 |
| Administrative support, including clerical ............................................ | 29.3 | 34.4 | 27.2 | 19.1 | (2) | 34.6 |
| Service occupations ................................................................................... | 22.8 | 25.1 | 20.8 | 35.7 | (2) | 18.4 |
| Private household ....... | 3.8 | 4.5 | 2.4 | 12.2 | (2) | 2.6 |
|  | . 6 | . 6 | . 5 | (') | (2) | . 9 |
|  | 18.4 | 20.0 | 18.0 | 23.5 | ( ${ }^{(1)}$ | 14.9 |
| Precision production, craft, and repair ........................................................ | 3.3 | 2.5 | 3.7 | 3.5 | (2) | 4.4 |
| Mechanics and repairers $\qquad$ <br> Construction trades $\qquad$ | . 5 | . 4 | . 5 | 1.7 | (2) | . 4 |
|  | . 2 | (') | 4 | (') | (2) |  |
| Other precision production, craft, and repair ....................................... | 2.6 | 2.1 | 2.9 | 1.7 | ${ }^{(2)}$ | 3.9 |
| Operators, fabricators, and laborers $\qquad$ <br> Machine operators, assemblers, and inspectors $\qquad$ <br> Transportation and material moving occupations <br> Handlers, equipment cleaners, helpers, and laborers | 18.2 | 10.8 | 20.4 | 25.2 | ${ }^{(2)}$ | 17.5 |
|  | 15.0 | 9.1 | 16.5 | 20.0 | (2) | 13.6 |
|  | 1.0 | . 4 | . 9 | 2.6 | (2) | 1.8 |
|  | 2.4 | 1.3 | 2.9 | 3.5 | $\left({ }^{2}\right.$ | 2.2 |
| Farming, forestry, and fishing <br> Farm operators and managers <br> Farm workers and related occupations <br> Forestry and fishing | 1.2 | (1) 2 | 1.7 | 1.7 | (2) | . 9 |
|  | (') | (') | (') | (') | (2) | (') |
|  | (1) ${ }^{1.1}$ | (1) 2 | (1) $^{1.7}$ | . 9 | (2) | () ${ }^{9}$ |
|  | (') | (') | (') | . 9 | (2) | (') |
| ' Less than 0.05 percent. <br> ${ }^{2}$ Data not shown where base is less than 75,000 . <br> NOTE: Detail for the above race and Hispanic-origin groups will not | sum to totals because data for the "other races" group are not presented and Hispanics are included in both the white and black population groups. |  |  |  |  |  |

Table B-3. Marital status of the population and labor force by age, race, Hispanic origin, and sex, March 1983
(Numbers in thousands)

| Age, race, and Hispanic origin | Men' |  |  |  |  |  |  | Women |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Never married | Married, wife present | Other marital status |  |  |  | Total | Never married | Married, husband present | Other marital status |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Total | Married, wife absent | Widowed | Diyorced |  |  |  | Total | Married, husband absent | Widowed | Divorced |
|  | Civilian noninstitutional population |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total ....... | 83,142 | 23,672 | 50,665 | 8,804 | 2,243 | 1,938 | 4,624 | 91,395 | 19,617 | 50,659 | 21,119 | 3,258 | 10,895 | 6,966 |
| 16 to 19 years ..... | 7,759 | 7,583 | 123 | 53 | 44 | - | 9 | 7,697 | 7,088 | 510 | 99 | 76 | - | 23 |
| 20 to 24 years ..... | 10,379 | 7,594 | 2,435 | 351 | 190 | 7 | 154 | 10,682 | 5,933 | 3,949 | 799 | 388 | 19 | 393 |
| 25 to 34 years ..... | 19,439 | 5,713 | 11,670 | 2,055 | 630 | 17 | 1,408 | 19,903 | 3,809 | 13,052 | 3,042 | 987 | 119 | 1,936 |
| 35 to 44 years ..... | 14,075 | 1,213 | 10,978 | 1,883 | 540 | 45 | 1,298 | 14,675 | 929 | 10,789 | 2,957 | 738 | 308 | 1,912 |
| 45 to 54 years ..... | 10,721 | 638 | 8,672 | 1,411 | 382 | 148 | 881 | 11,484 | 517 | 8,535 | 2,432 | 493 | 718 | 1,221 |
| 55 to 64 years ..... | 10,253 | 430 | 8,719 | 1,105 | 255 | 323 | 527 | 11,732 | 512 | 7,936 | 3,283 | 350 | 2,077 | 857 |
| 65 years and over | 10,516 | 501 | 8,068 | 1,947 | 200 | 1,399 | 347 | 15,222 | 829 | 5,887 | 8,506 | 226 | 7,655 | 625 |
| Median age (years) $\qquad$ | 37.8 | 22.8 | 45.1 | 45.4 | 39.7 | 70.0 | 40.7 | 40.1 | 22.3 | 42.2 | 59.2 | 37.4 | 70.0 | 40.9 |
| White |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total ............... | 72,546 | 19,524 | 45,858 | 7,164 | 1,591 | 1,601 | 3,972 | 78,618 | 15,471 | 45,822 | 17,326 | 2,114 | 9,435 | 5,777 |
| 16 to 19 years ..... | 6,460 | 6,295 | 113 | 53 | 44 | - | 9 | 6,336 | 5,783 | 462 | 91 | 69 | - | 23 |
| 20 to 24 years ..... | 8,823 | 6,275 | 2,248 | 300 | 150 | 7 | 143 | 8,935 | 4,662 | 3,616 | 657 | 289 | 12 | 356 |
| 25 to 34 years ..... | 16,790 | 4,587 | 10,463 | 1,739 | 488 | 11 | 1,240 | 16,724 | 2,779 | 11,640 | 2,306 | 640 | 93 | 1,573 |
| 35 to 44 years ..... | 12,355 | 1,013 | 9,812 | 1,531 | 381 | 34 | 1,116 | 12,518 | 653 | 9,623 | 2,242 | 451 | 217 | 1,575 |
| 45 to 54 years ..... | 9,417 | 515 | 7,829 | 1,073 | 233 | 109 | 731 | 9,949 | 388 | 7,736 | 1,825 | 305 | 545 | 974 |
| 55 to 64 years ..... | 9,219 | 373 | 7,999 | 846 | 156 | 262 | 428 | 10,403 | 429 | 7,289 | 2,685 | 207 | 1,758 | 720 |
| 65 years and over | 9,482 | 466 | 7,394 | 1,621 | 140 | 1,178 | 304 | 13,752 | 776 | 5,456 | 7,519 | 153 | 6,810 | 556 |
| Median age (years) $\qquad$ | 38.4 | 22.8 | 45.4 | 44.7 | 38.0 | 70.0 | 40.3 | 40.8 | 22.1 | 42.5 | 61.3 | 36.3 | 70.0 | 40.9 |
| Black |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total ............... | 8,497 | 3,450 | 3,577 | 1,470 | 572 | 309 | 588 | 10,425 | 3,587 | 3,489 | 3,348 | 1,030 | 1,283 | 1,036 |
| 16 to 19 years ..... | 1,095 | 1,090 | 5 | - | - | - | - | 1,147 | 1,104 | 35 | 7 | 7 | 1,283 | 1,036 |
| 20 to 24 years ..... | 1,262 | 1,076 | 142 | 45 | 37 | - | 7 | 1,477 | 1,111 | 243 | 123 | 92 | 4 | 26 |
| 25 to 34 years ..... | 2,103 | 928 | 900 | 275 | 123 | 3 | 149 | 2,518 | 892 | 986 | 639 | 304 | 18 | 317 |
| 35 to 44 years ..... | 1,332 | 180 | 839 | 313 | 136 | 8 | 169 | 1,644 | 243 | 775 | 626 | 257 | 75 | 294 |
| 45 to 54 years ..... | 1,003 | 106 | 598 | 300 | 130 | 37 | 132 | 1,257 | 117 | 601 | 539 | 172 | 152 | 214 |
| 55 to 64 years ..... | 856 | 49 | 560 | 247 | 97 | 59 | 92 | 1,103 | 76 | 503 | 525 | 130 | 276 | 119 |
| 65 years and over | 845 | 21 | 533 | 291 | 50 | 202 | 39 | 1,279 | 43 | 347 | 889 | 66 | 758 | 65 |
| Median age (years) $\qquad$ | 33.9 | 22.9 | 43.8 | 48.4 | 44.3 | 69.7 | 43.2 | 35.4 | 23.1 | 41.2 | 50.2 | 39.3 | 68.1 | 40.9 |
| Hispanic origin |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total ............... | 4,448 | 1,450 | 2,565 | 433 | 183 | 51 | 199 | 4,978 | 1,213 | 2,657 | 1,108 | 381 | 332 | 395 |
| 16 to 19 years ..... | 598 | 580 | 17 | 1 | 1 | - | - | 552 | 477 | 62 | 13 | 13 | - | - |
| 20 to 24 years ..... | 660 | 445 | 187 | 29 | 17 | - | 11 | 732 | 338 | 329 | 66 | 37 | 4 | 25 |
| 25 to 34 years ..... | 1,266 | 307 | 829 | 130 | 62 | - | 68 | 1,343 | 230 | 882 | 230 | 110 | 10 | 110 |
| 35 to 44 years ..... | 794 | 56 | 644 | 94 | 41 | 4 | 50 | 935 | 71 | 623 | 241 | 103 | 29 | 109 |
| 45 to 54 years ..... | 553 | 34 | 437 | 81 | 37 | 3 | 42 | 628 | 44 | 408 | 176 | 59 | 46 | 71 |
| 55 to 64 years ..... | 332 | 14 | 272 | 46 | 16 | 12 | 17 | 438 | 30 | 252 | 157 | 34 | 75 | 47 |
| 65 years and over | 245 | 14 | 180 | 52 | 9 | 32 | 10 | 351 | 23 | 102 | 226 | 26 | 168 | 32 |
| Median age (years) $\qquad$ | 32.5 | 21.6 | 38.9 | 41.1 | 37.8 | ( ${ }^{2}$ ) | 39.0 | 33.9 | 21.9 | 35.9 | 45.3 | 38.0 | 65.3 | 40.7 |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table B-3. Marital status of the population and labor force by age, race, Hispanic origin, and sex, March 1983-Continued
(Numbers in thousands)

| Age, race, and Hispanic origin | Men' |  |  |  |  |  |  | Women |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Never married | Married, wife present | Other marital status |  |  |  | Total | Never married | Married, husband present | Other marital status |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Total | Married, wife absent | Widowed | Divorced |  |  |  | Total | Married, husband absent | Widowed | Divorced |
|  | Civilian labor force |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 62,035 | 16,468 | 39,589 | 5,978 | 1,718 | 514 | 3,745 | 47,779 | 12,282 | 26,227 | 9,270 | 1,913 | 2,161 | 5,196 |
| 16 to 19 years ..... | 3,907 | 3,766 | 104 | 38 | 30 | - | 7 | 3,545 | 3,262 | 238 | 45 | 31 | - | 14 |
| 20 to 24 years ..... | 8,308 | 5,841 | 2,180 | 287 | 148 | 4 | 135 | 7,343 | 4,308 | 2,489 | 546 | 226 | 17 | 304 |
| 25 to 34 years ..... | 17,883 | 5,010 | 11,026 | 1,847 | 553 | 14 | 1,280 | 13,692 | 3,184 | 8,161 | 2,347 | 681 | 64 | 1,601 |
| 35 to 44 years ..... | 13,177 | 989 | 10,495 | 1,693 | 466 | 36 | 1,190 | 10,105 | 753 | 7,053 | 2,299 | 493 | 191 | 1,615 |
| 45 to 54 years ..... | 9,715 | 501 | 8,035 | 1,180 | 330 | 115 | 736 | 7,053 | 350 | 4,957 | 1,746 | 311 | 450 | 985 |
| 55 to 64 years ..... | 7,128 | 242 | 6,208 | 678 | 156 | 196 | 326 | 4,862 | 320 | 2,889 | 1,652 | 141 | 941 | 570 |
| 65 years and over | 1,917 | 120 | 1,542 | 255 | 34 | 150 | 71 | 1,180 | 104 | 440 | 636 | 30 | 498 | 108 |
| Median age (years) $\qquad$ | 35.7 | 23.8 | 41.2 | 39.8 | 37.7 | 59.4 | 38.8 | 34.5 | 23.3 | 38.2 | 42.4 | 35.4 | 58.8 | 39.2 |
| White |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total ............... | 54,813 | 13,927 | 35,944 | 4,942 | 1,266 | 427 | 3,249 | 40,843 | 10,099 | 23,355 | 7,390 | 1,237 | 1,809 | 4,343 |
| 16 to 19 years ..... | 3,468 | 3,334 | 96 | 38 | 30 | - | 7 | 3,191 | 2,928 | 223 | 40 | 26 | , | 14 |
| 20 to 24 years ..... | 7,148 | 4,869 | 2,030 | 249 | 116 | 4 | 130 | 6,282 | 3,536 | 2,282 | 463 | 175 | 10 | 279 |
| 25 to 34 years ..... | 15,630 | 4,121 | 9,926 | 1,583 | 438 | 11 | 1,134 | 11,403 | 2,434 | 7,158 | 1,811 | 443 | 48 | 1,320 |
| 35 to 44 years ..... | 11,680 | 858 | 9,421 | 1,402 | 341 | 30 | 1,031 | 8,546 | 558 | 6,207 | 1,781 | 294 | 135 | 1,351 |
| 45 to 54 years ..... | 8,628 | 418 | 7,292 | 918 | 212 | 90 | 615 | 6,095 | 267 | 4,461 | 1,367 | 194 | 374 | 799 |
| 55 to 64 years ..... | 6,493 | 219 | 5,737 | 537 | 104 | 163 | 270 | 4,276 | 279 | 2,624 | 1,373 | 84 | 800 | 489 |
| 65 years and over | 1,766 | 109 | 1,442 | 215 | 25 | 128 | 62 | 1,051 | 98 | 399 | 554 | 20 | 443 | 91 |
| Median age (years) $\qquad$ | 36.0 | 23.7 | 41.3 | 39.3 | 36.4 | 59.7 | 38.4 | 34.6 | 23.0 | 38.2 | 42.8 | 34.4 | 59.3 | 39.1 |
| Black |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total ............... | 5,722 | 2,124 | 2,676 | 921 | 392 | 81 | 449 | 5,631 | 1,864 | 2,120 | 1,647 | 606 | 305 | 736 |
| 16 to 19 years ..... | 377 | 372 | 5 | - | - | - | - | 293 | 275 | 13 | 4 | 4 | - | - |
| 20 to 24 years ..... | 968 | 815 | 119 | 35 | 30 | - | 4 | 903 | 670 | 164 | 68 | 48 | 4 | 16 |
| 25 to 34 years ..... | 1,784 | 733 | 823 | 228 | 96 | - | 131 | 1,839 | 632 | 744 | 464 | 206 | 10 | 248 |
| 35 to 44 years ..... | 1,158 | 113 | 784 | 261 | 107 | 4 | 150 | 1,209 | 170 | 592 | 447 | 172 | 46 | 228 |
| 45 to 54 years ..... | 812 | 68 | 514 | 229 | 100 | 24 | 104 | 788 | 76 | 379 | 332 | 109 | 65 | 157 |
| 55 to 64 years ..... | 509 | 18 | 360 | 131 | 50 | 32 | 50 | 495 | 35 | 205 | 254 | 56 | 128 | 70 |
| 65 years and over | 113 | 4 | 71 | 38 | 8 | 21 | 8 | 106 | 6 | 23 | 77 | 10 | 51 | 16 |
| Median age (years) $\qquad$ | 33.4 | 24.2 | 40.0 | 42.6 | 41.5 | 586 | 40.9 | 33.8 | 24.9 | 37.4 | 41.4 | 37.6 | 56.8 | 39.6 |
| Hispanic origin |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total ............... | 3,521 | 994 | 2,198 | 329 | 145 | 15 | 169 | 2,372 | 639 | 1,247 | 487 | 144 | 82 | 261 |
| 16 to 19 years ..... | 287 | 274 | 14 | - | - | - | - | 197 | 175 | 16 | 5 | 5 | - | - |
| 20 to 24 years ..... | 555 | 368 | 162 | 25 | 14 | - | 11 | 408 | 219 | 156 | 33 | 14 | 2 | 17 |
| 25 to 34 years ..... | 1,170 | 271 | 780 | 118 | 56 | - | 62 | 749 | 146 | 464 | 139 | 55 | 4 | 81 |
| 35 to 44 years. | 727 | 43 | 604 | 79 | 29 | 2 | 48 | 503 | 48 | 325 | 131 | 36 | 14 | 81 |
| 45 to 54 years ..... | 494 | 28 | 396 | 70 | 33 | 2 | 36 | 340 | 25 | 211 | 103 | 24 | 27 | 52 |
| 55 to 64 years ..... | 241 | 6 | 207 | 27 | 9 | 7 | 11 | 154 | 22 | 72 | 61 | 9 | 30 | 22 |
| 65 years and over | 47 | 3 | 35 | 9 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 21 | 3 | 3 | 15 | 2 | 5 | 8 |
| Median age (years) $\qquad$ | 32.8 | 23.0 | 37.4 | 37.7 | 35.7 | ( $\left.{ }^{( }\right)$ | 37.5 | 32.7 | 23.3 | 34.8 | 40.1 | 34.8 | 52.7 | 39.0 |

[^12]Table B-3. Marital status of the population and labor force by age, race, Hispanic origin, and sex, March 1983-Continued
(Numbers in thousands)


Table B-4. Marital status of women in the population and labor force by age and presence and age of children, March 1983

| Age of women and presence and age of children | Total |  |  | Never married |  |  | Married, husband present |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Civilian noninstitutional population | Civilian labor force | Civilian labor force participation rate | Civilian noninstitutional population | Civilian labor force | Civilian labor force participation rate | Civilian noninstitutional population | Civilian labor force | Civilian labor force participation rate |
| Total ........ | 91,395 | 47,779 | 52.3 | 19,617 | 12,282 | 62.6 | 50,659 | 26,227 | 51.8 |
| No children under 18 years | 59,282 | 28,856 | 48.7 | 17,605 | 11,281 | 64.1 | 25,924 | 12,076 | 46.6 |
| 16 to 34 years ................................ | 21,144 | 15,029 | 71.1 | 15,068 | 9,899 | 65.7 | 4,751 | 3,978 | 83.7 |
| 16 to 19 years.. | 7,109 | 3,359 | 47.3 | 6,795 | 3,176 | 46.7 | 258 | 160 | 61.8 |
| 20 to 24 years ............................... | 7,270 | 5,702 | 78.4 | 5,234 | 3,999 | 76.4 | 1,730 | 1,446 | 83.6 |
| 25 to 34 years ............................... | 6,765 | 5,967 | 88.2 | 3,039 | 2,723 | 89.6 | 2,763 | 2,372 | 85.9 |
| 35 years and over ........................... | 38,139 | 13,827 | 36.3 | 2,537 | 1,381 | 54.4 | 21,173 | 8,098 | 38.2 |
| 35 to 44 years ............ | 3,876 | 3,045 | 78.6 | 736 | 632 | 85.8 | 2,136 | 1,587 | 74.3 |
| 45 years and over ......................... | 34,263 | 10,782 | 31.5 | 1,801 | 749 | 41.6 | 19,037 | 6,512 | 34.2 |
| 45 to 54 years ............................ | 7,894 | 4,969 | 62.9 | 465 | 328 | 70.5 | 5,665 | 3,358 | 59.3 |
| 55 years and over ....................... | 26,369 | 5,813 | 22.0 | 1,336 | 421 | 31.5 | 13,373 | 3,154 | 23.6 |
| With children under 18 years .............. | 32,113 | 18,924 | 58.9 | 2,012 | 1,001 | 49.8 | 24,735 | 14,151 | 57.2 |
| 16 to 34 years ................................ | 17,138 | 9,551 | 55.7 | 1,763 | 855 | 48.5 | 12,761 | 6,911 | 54.2 |
| 16 to 19 years ............ | 588 | 186 | 31.6 | 293 | 86 | 29.3 | 252 | 78 | 31.1 |
| 20 to 24 years ............................... | 3,412 | 1,641 | 48.1 | 700 | 309 | 44.1 | 2,219 | 1,043 | 47.0 |
| 25 to 34 years ............................... | 13,138 | 7,724 | 58.8 | 770 | 460 | 59.8 | 10,290 | 5,789 | 56.3 |
| 35 years and over ........................... | 14,974 | 9,373 | 62.6 | 249 | 146 | 58.6 | 11,974 | 7,241 | 60.5 |
| 35 to 44 years ............................... | 10,799 | 7,060 | 65.4 | 193 | 121 | 62.8 | 8,653 | 5,467 | 63.2 |
| 45 years and over ......................... | 4,175 | 2,312 | 55.4 | 57 | 25 | ${ }^{(1)}$ | 3,321 | 1,774 | 53.4 |
| 45 to 54 years ............................. | 3,590 | 2,084 | 58.0 | 52 | 22 | (') | 2,871 | 1,599 | 55.7 |
| 55 years and over ....................... | 585 | 229 | 39.1 | 5 | 3 | (') | 450 | 175 | 38.9 |
| With children 6 to 17 years, only ......... | 17,108 | 11,340 | 66.3 | 569 | 388 | 68.1 | 12,992 | 8,292 | 63.8 |
| 16 to 34 years ................................ | 4,471 | 3,124 | 69.9 | 368 | 263 | 71.6 | 2,985 | 2,001 | 67.0 |
| 16 to 19 years ............................... | 8 | 3 | (') | 6 | 2 | (') | 1 | 1 | ( ${ }^{1}$ |
| 20 to 24 years ............................... | 149 | 92 | 61.7 | 57 | 35 | (') | 69 | 46 | (1) |
| 25 to 34 years ............................... | 4,315 | 3,029 | 70.2 | 304 | 227 | 74.4 | 2,915 | 1,955 | 67.1 |
| 35 years and over ........................... | 12,637 | 8,216 | 65.0 | 202 | 125 | 61.8 | 10,006 | 6,291 | 62.9 |
| 35 to 44 years .............................. | 8,571 | 5,952 | 69.4 | 151 | 99 | 65.6 | 6,768 | 4,558 | 67.3 |
| 45 years and over ......................... | 4,065 | 2,264 | 55.7 | 50 | 25 | (1) | 3,238 | 1,733 | 53.5 |
| 45 to 54 years ............................ | 3,502 | 2,045 | 58.4 | 45 | 22 | (') | 2,802 | 1,565 | 55.8 |
| 55 years and over ....................... | 563 | 219 | 38.9 | 5 | 3 | (') | 436 | 168 | 38.5 |
| With children under 6 years .. | 15,005 | 7,583 | 50.5 | 1,443 | 613 | 42.5 | 11,743 | 5,859 | 49.9 |
| 16 to 34 years ................................ | 12,667 | 6,427 | 50.7 | 1,396 | 592 | 42.4 | 9,776 | 4,909 | 50.2 |
| 16 to 19 years ............................... | 580 | 183 | 31.5 | 287 | 84 | 29.2 | 251 | 77 | 30.9 |
| 20 to 24 years ............................... | 3,263 | 1,549 | 47.5 | 643 | 274 | 42.7 | 2,150 | 997 | 46.4 |
| 25 to 34 years ............................... | 8,824 | 4,695 | 53.2 | 465 | 234 | 50.2 | 7,375 | 3,835 | 52.0 |
| 35 years and over ........................... | 2,338 | 1,157 | 49.5 | 48 | 22 | (') | 1,968 | 950 | 48.3 |
| 35 to 44 years ............................... | 2,228 | 1,108 | 49.7 | 41 | 22 | (') | 1,885 | 909 | 48.2 |
| 45 years and over .......................... | 110 | 48 | 44.2 | 7 | - | (') | 83 | 42 | 50.2 |
| 45 to 54 years ............................ | 88 | 39 | 44.4 | 7 | - | (') | 69 | 34 | (') |
| 55 years and over ....................... | 22 | 10 | (') | - | - | - | 14 | 7 | (') |
| With children 3 to 5 years, only .......... | 5,810 | 3,350 | 57.7 | 460 | 241 | 52.3 | 4,384 | 2,474 | 56.4 |
| 16 to 34 years ................................ | 4,411 | 2,609 | 59.1 | 426 | 226 | 53.0 | 3,231 | 1,877 | 58.1 |
| 16 to 19 years ............................... | 17 | 5 | (') | 15 | 5 | (') | 1 | - | - |
| 20 to 24 years ............................... | 767 | 419 | 54.7 | 212 | 95 | 45.0 | 377 | 212 | 56.3 |
| 25 to 34 years ............................... | 3,627 | 2,185 | 60.2 | 199 | 126 | 63.1 | 2,853 | 1,665 | 58.4 |
| 35 years and over ........................... | 1,399 | 741 | 53.0 | 34 | 15 | (1) | 1,153 | 597 | 51.8 |
| 35 to 44 years ............................... | 1,321 | 707 | 53.5 | 29 | 15 | (') | 1,090 | 566 | 52.0 |
| 45 years and over ......................... | 78 | 34 | 43.8 | 5 | - | (') | 63 | 31 | (') |
| 45 to 54 years ............................. | 63 | 27 | (') | 5 | - | (') | 51 | 25 | (') |
| 55 years and over ........................ | 15 | 8 | (') | - | - | - | 12 | 5 | (') |
| With children under 3 years ................ | 9,195 | 4,233 | 46.0 | 983 | 373 | 37.9 | 7,359 | 3,385 | 46.0 |
| 16 to 34 years ................................. | 8,256 | 3,818 | 46.2 | 969 | 366 | 37.8 | 6,545 | 3,032 | 46.3 |
| 16 to 19 years ............................... | 563 | 178 | 31.6 | 272 | 79 | 29.1 | 250 | 77 | 31.0 |
| 20 to 24 years .............................. | 2,497 | 1,130 | 45.2 | 431 | 179 | 41.5 | 1,773 | 785 | 44.3 |
| 25 to 34 years ............................... | 5,196 | 2,510 | 48.3 | 266 | 108 | 40.6 | 4,522 | 2,170 | 48.0 |
| 35 years and over ............................ | 939 | 416 | 44.3 | 14 | 6 | (') | 815 | 353 | 43.4 |
| 35 to 44 years ............................... | 907 | 402 | 44.3 | 12 | 6 | (1) | 795 | 342 | 43.1 |
| 45 years and over ......................... | 32 | 14 | (1) | 2 | - | (') | 19 | 11 | (1) |
| 45 to 54 years ............................ | 25 | 12 | (') | 2 | - | (') | 18 | 9 | (') |
| 55 years and over ....................... | 7 | 2 | (') | - | - | - | 2 | 2 | (') |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table B-4. Marital status of women in the population and labor force by age and presence and age of children, March 1983-Continued

| Age of women and presence and age of children | Married, husband absent |  |  | Widowed |  |  | Divorced |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Civilian noninstitutional population | Civilian laioor force | Civilian labor force participation rate | Civilian noninstitutional population | Civilian labor force | Civilian labor force participation rate | Civilian noninstitutiona! population | Civilian labor force | Civilian labor force participation rate |
| Total ......................................... | 3,258 | 1.913 | 58.7 | 10,895 | 2,161 | 19.8 | 6,966 | 5,196 | 74.6 |
| No children under 18 years | 1,513 | 841 | 55.6 | 10,325 | 1,851 | 17.9 | 3.915 | 2,808 | 71.7 |
| 16 to 34 years ................................ | 436 | 329 | 75.4 | 32 | 21 | () | 858 | 802 | 93.6 |
| 16 to 19 years ............................... | 44 | 15 | (') | - | - | - | 12 | 8 | ${ }^{(1)}$ |
| 20 to 24 years ............................... | 124 | 88 | 70.9 | 4 | 4 | (') | 178 | 165 | 92.8 |
| 25 to 34 years ............................... | 268 | 226 | 84.3 | 28 | 17 | ( ${ }^{\text {( }}$ | 667 | 629 | 94.2 |
| 35 years and over ........................... | 1,077 | 512 | 47.5 | 10,293 | 1,829 | 17.8 | 3,058 | 2,005 | 65.6 |
| 35 to 44 years ............................... | 205 | 147 | 71.8 | 112 | 85 | 75.8 | 687 | 594 | 86.5 |
| 45 years and over ......................... | 873 | 365 | 41.9 | 10,181 | 1,744 | 17.1 | 2,371 | 1,412 | 59.5 |
| 45 to 54 years ............................. | 314 | 201 | 63.9 | 522 | 324 | 62.1 | 929 | 759 | 81.7 |
| 55 years and over ....................... | 559 | 165 | 29.4 | 9,659 | 1,420 | 14.7 | 1,442 | 653 | 45.3 |
| With children under 18 years .............. | 1,745 | 1,072 | 61.5 | 570 | 311 | 54.5 | 3,05 | 2,388 | 78.3 |
| 16 to 34 years ................................ | 1,015 | 610 | 60.1 | 106 | 60 | 56.4 | 1,494 | 1,116 | 74.7 |
| 16 to 19 years ............................... | 32 | 16 | (') | - | - | - | 11 | 6 | (') |
| 20 to 24 years ............................... | 264 | 139 | 52.5 | 15 | 12 | ( ${ }^{\text {( })}$ | 215 | 138 | 64.3 |
| 25 to 34 years ............................... | 719 | 455 | 63.4 | 91 | 47 | 51.7 | 1.269 | 972 | 76.6 |
| 35 years and over ........................... | 730 | 462 | 63.4 | 465 | 251 | 54.0 | 1,557 | 1.272 | 81.7 |
| 35 to 44 years ............................... | 533 | 346 | 64.8 | 196 | 106 | 54.2 | 1,225 | 1.021 | 83.4 |
| 45 years and over ......................... | 197 | 117 | 59.4 | 269 | 145 | 53.9 | 332 | 251 | 75.7 |
| 45 to 54 years ............................ | 179 | 110 | 61.4 | 196 | 126 | 64.5 | 292 | 226 | 77.4 |
| 55 years and over ....................... | 17 | 7 | (') | 73 | 19 | (') | 40 | 25 | (') |
| With children 6 to 17 years, only ......... | 903 | 620 | 68.7 | 489 | 268 | 54.8 | 2,156 | 1,773 | 82.2 |
| 16 to 34 years ................................. | 289 | 207 | 71.6 | 58 | 28 | (') | 772 | 624 | 80.9 |
| 16 to 19 years ............................... | - | - | (') | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 20 to 24 years ............................... | 8 | 4 | (') | - | - | - | 15 | 8 | (') |
| 25 to 34 years ............................... | 281 | 204 | 72.4 | 58 | 28 | () | 757 | 616 | 81.4 |
| 35 years and over ........................... | 613 | 413 | 67.3 | 431 | 240 | 55.6 | 1,384 | 1,148 | 83.0 |
| 35 to 44 years ............................... | 425 | 297 | 69.8 | 168 | 95 | 56.5 | 1,058 | 903 | 85.3 |
| 45 years and over ......................... | 188 | 116 | 61.6 | 263 | 145 | 55.0 | 326 | 245 | 75.3 |
| 45 to 54 years ............................. | 173 | 109 | 63.1 | 193 | 126 | 65.3 | 289 | 223 | 77.2 |
| 55 years and over ....................... | 15 | 7 | (') | 70 | 19 | (') | 37 | 23 | (') |
| With children under 6 years ................. | 842 | 453 | 53.8 | 82 | 43 | 52.4 | 895 | 615 | 68.7 |
| 16 to 34 years ................................ | 726 | 403 | 55.5 | 48 | 31 | ( ${ }^{1}$ | 722 | 491 | 68.0 |
| 16 to 19 years ............................... | 32 | 16 | (') | - | - | - | 11 | 6 | ${ }^{1}$ ) |
| 20 to 24 years ............................... | 256 | 135 | 52.7 | 15 | 12 | (') | 200 | 130 | 65.1 |
| 25 to 34 years ............................... | 437 | 252 | 57.6 | 34 | 19 | (') | 512 | 356 | 69.5 |
| 35 years and over ........................... | 117 | 50 | 42.8 | 34 | 12 | ( ${ }^{1}$ | 172 | 124 | 71.8 |
| 35 to 44 years ............................... | 108 | 49 | 45.3 | 28 | 11 | ( ${ }^{\text {( ) }}$ | 167 | 118 | 70.8 |
| 45 years and over ......................... | 9 | 1 | (') | 6 | - | (') | 6 | 6 | (') |
| 45 to 54 years ............................ | 6 | 1 | (') | 3 | - | (') | 3 | 3 | (1) |
| 55 years and over ....................... | 2 | - | (') | 3 | - | (') | 2 | 2 | (') |
| With children 3 to 5 years, only .......... | 373 | 204 | 54.7 | 47 | 24 | (') | 546 | 406 | 74.4 |
| 16 tó 3tyears ................................ | 304 | 176 | 57.7 | 28 | 19 | ( ${ }^{\text {( }}$ | 422 | 311 | 73.7 |
| 16 to 19 years ............................... | 1 | - | ( ${ }^{1}$ ) | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 20 to 24 years ............................... | 75 | 43 | 56.4 | 7 | 7 | ${ }^{(1)}$ | 96 | 62 | 65.3 |
| 25 to 34 years ............................... | 228 | 133 | 58.5 | 22 | 13 | (') | 326 | 249 | 76.2 |
| 35 years and over ........................... | 69 | 28 | (') | 19 | 5 | (') | 124 | 95 | 76.8 |
| 35 to 44 years ............................... | 65 | 28 | (') | 16 | 5 | (') | 121 | 92 | 76.3 |
| 45 years and over ......................... | 3 | - | ( ${ }^{1}$ | 3 | - | (') | 3 | 3 | ${ }^{(1)}$ |
| 45 to 54 years ............................ | 3 | - | (') | 3 | - | (') | 1 | 1 | (') |
| 55 years and over ....................... | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 2 | (') |
| With children under 3 years ................. | 469 | 249 | 53.0 | 35 | 18 | (') | 349 | 209 | 59.9 |
| 16 to 34 years ................................ | 421 | 227 | 53.9 | 20 | 12 | (') | 300 | 180 | 60.1 |
| 16 to 19 years ............................... | 31 | 16 | ${ }^{1}$ ) | - | - |  | 11 | 6 | ( ${ }^{1}$ ) |
| 20 to 24 years ............................... | 181 | 93 | 51.2 | 8 | 6 | (') | 104 | 67 | 64.9 |
| 25 to 34 years ............................... | 210 | 119 | 56.6 | 12 | 6 | (') | 186 | 107 | 57.8 |
| 35 years and over ........................... | 48 | 22 | (') | 15 | 6 | (') | 48 | 28 | ${ }^{(1)}$ |
| 35 to 44 years ............................... | 43 | 21 | ${ }^{(1)}$ | 12 | 6 | ${ }^{(1)}$ | 46 | 26 | ( ${ }^{1}$ ) |
| 45 years and over ......................... | 5 | 1 | (1) | 3 | - | (') | 3 | 3 | (') |
| 45 to 54 years ............................ | 3 | 1 | (1) | - | - | - | 3 | 3 | (') |
| 55 years and over ........................ | 2 | - | (') | 3 | - | (') | - | - | - |

[^13]Table B-5. Marital status of women in the population and labor force by race, Hispanic origin, age, and presence and age of children, March 1983

| Race, Hispanic origin, age of women, and presence and age of own children | Total |  |  | Never married |  |  | Married, husband present |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Civilian noninstitutional population | Civilian labor force | Civilian labor force participation rate | Civilian noninstitutional population | Civilian labor force | Civilian labor force participation rate | Civilian noninstitutional population | Civilian labor force | Civilian labor force participation rate |
| WHITE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total ......................................... | 78,618 | 40,843 | 52.0 | 15,471 | 10,099 | 65.3 | 45,822 | 23,355 | 51.0 |
| No children under 18 years. | 52,025 | 25,347 | 48.7 | 14,778 | 9,775 | 66.1 | 23,844 | 11,008 | 46.2 |
| 16 to 34 years ................................ | 18,157 | 13,321 | 73.4 | 12,621 | 8,622 | 68.3 | 4,384 | 3,690 | 84.2 |
| 35 years and over ........................... | 33,868 | 12,026 | 35.5 | 2,158 | 1,153 | 53.4 | 19,460 | 7,319 | 37.6 |
| With children under 18 years .............. | 26,593 | 15,496 | 58.3 | 693 | 324 | 46.8 | 21,978 | 12,347 | 56.2 |
| 16 to 34 years ................................. | 13,839 | 7,555 | 54.6 | 604 | 276 | 45.7 | 11,333 | 5,974 | 52.7 |
| 35 years and over ............................ | 12,754 | 7,942 | 62.3 | 89 | 48 | 54.1 | 10,645 | 6,373 | 59.9 |
| With children 6 to 17 years, only ...... | 14,379 | 9,494 | 66.0 | 172 | 123 | 71.6 | 11,596 | 7,346 | 63.4 |
| 16 to 34 years ............................... | 3,546 | 2,447 | 69.0 | 107 | 81 | 75.3 | 2,654 | 1,755 | 66.1 |
| 35 years and over ......................... | 10,833 | 7,046 | 65.0 | 65 | 42 | (') | 8,942 | 5,592 | 62.5 |
| With children under 6 years .............. | 12,214 | 6,003 | 49.1 | 521 | 201 | 38.6 | 10,382 | 5,000 | 48.2 |
| 16 to 34 years ............................... | 10,293 | 5,107 | 49.6 | 497 | 195 | 39.3 | 8,679 | 4,219 | 48.6 |
| 35 years and over ......................... | 1,921 | 896 | 46.6 | 24 | 6 | (') | 1,702 | 781 | 45.9 |
| BLACK |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total ...... | 10,425 | 5,631 | 54.0 | 3,587 | 1,864 | 52.0 | 3,489 | 2,120 | 60.8 |
| No children under 18 years ................ | 5,944 | 2,831 | 47.6 | 2,297 | 1,204 | 52.4 | 1,583 | 815 | 51.5 |
| 16 to 34 years ................................. | 2,351 | 1,327 | 56.5 | 1,973 | 1,013 | 51.3 | 248 | 208 | 84.1 |
| 35 years and over ........................... | 3,593 | 1,504 | 41.9 | 324 | 190 | 58.8 | 1,335 | 607 | 45.5 |
| With children under 18 years .............. | 4,481 | 2,800 | 62.5 | 1,290 | 660 | 51.2 | 1,906 | 1,305 | 68.5 |
| 16 to 34 years ................................ | 2,791 | 1,707 | 61.2 | 1,134 | 564 | 49.7 | 1,017 | 713 | 70.1 |
| 35 years and over ............................ | 1,691 | 1,093 | 64.6 | 155 | 96 | 62.1 | 890 | 592 | 66.6 |
| With children 6 to 17 years, only ...... | 2,207 | 1,503 | 68.1 | 387 | 260 | 67.1 | 981 | 678 | 69.1 |
| 16 to 34 years ............................... | 803 | 595 | 74.1 | 254 | 178 | 70.1 | 245 | 192 | 78.3 |
| 35 years and over ......................... | 1,403 | 908 | 64.7 | 133 | 82 | 61.3 | 735 | 485 | 66.0 |
| With children under 6 years .............. | 2,274 | 1,297 | 57.0 | 903 | 401 | 44.4 | 926 | 627 | 67.8 |
| 16 to 34 years ............................... | 1,987 | 1,112 | 56.0 | 880 | 386 | 43.8 | 771 | 521 | 67.5 |
| 35 years and over .......................... | 287 | 185 | 64.4 | 22 | 15 | (') | 155 | 107 | 69.1 |
| HISPANIC ORIGIN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total ......................................... | 4,978 | 2,372 | 47.7 | 1,213 | 639 | 52.7 | 2,657 | 1,247 | 46.9 |
| No children under 18 years ................. | 2,473 | 1,191 | 48.1 | 1,028 | 574 | 55.8 | 852 | 402 | 47.2 |
| 16 to 34 years ................................ | 1,180 | 698 | 59.2 | 893 | 491 | 55.0 | 218 | 156 | 71.6 |
| 35 years and over ........................... | 1,293 | 493 | 38.1 | 134 | 83 | 61.5 | 633 | 246 | 38.8 |
| With children under 18 years .............. | 2,505 | 1,181 | 47.2 | 186 | 65 | 35.0 | 1,806 | 845 | 46.8 |
| 16 to 34 years ................................ | 1,447 | 656 | 45.4 | 151 | 50 | 32.7 | 1,054 | 479 | 45.5 |
| 35 years and over ........................... | 1,058 | 525 | 49.6 | 34 | 15 | (') | 751 | 365 | 48.6 |
| With children 6 to 17 years, only ...... | 1,123 | 629 | 56.0 | 50 | 31 | (') | 764 | 409 | 53.5 |
| 16 to 34 years ............................... | 318 | 201 | 63.2 | 28 | 18 | (') | 209 | 126 | 60.5 |
| 35 years and over ......................... | 805 | 427 | 53.1 | 22 | 13 | (') | 555 | 282 | 50.8 |
| With children under 6 years .............. | 1,382 | 553 | 40.0 | 136 | 34 | 25.3 | 1,041 | 436 | 41.9 |
| 16 to 34 years ............................... | 1,128 | 455 | 40.3 | 123 | 32 | 25.5 | 845 | 353 | 41.8 |
| 35 years and over ......................... | 254 | 98 | 38.5 | 12 | 3 | (') | 196 | 83 | 42.5 |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 8-5. Marital status of women in the population and labor force by race, Hispanic origin, age, and presence and age of children, March 1983-Continued

| Race, Hispanic origin, age of women, and presence and age of own children | Married, husband absent |  |  | Widowed |  |  | Divorced |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Civilian noninstitutional population | Civilian labor force | Civilian labor force participation rate | Civilian noninstitutional population | Civilian labor force | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Civilian } \\ \text { labor } \\ \text { force } \\ \text { participation } \\ \text { rate } \end{array}$ | Civilian noninstitutional population | Civilian labor force | ```Civilian labor force participation rate``` |
| WHITE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total ......................................... | 2,114 | 1,237 | 58.5 | 9,435 | 1,809 | 19.2 | 5,777 | 4,343 | 75.2 |
| No children under 18 years $\qquad$ 16 to 34 years $\qquad$ <br> 35 years and over $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,016 \\ 352 \\ 664 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 574 \\ & 266 \\ & 307 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 56.4 \\ & 75.7 \\ & 46.2 \end{aligned}$ | $9,035$ $28$ <br> 9,007 | $\begin{array}{r} 1,576 \\ 18 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17.4 \\ & \text { (') }^{\prime} \end{aligned}$ | 3,352 | 2,415 | 72.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 773 | 726 | 93.9 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1,558 | 17.3 | 2,579 | 1,690 | 65.5 |
| With children under 18 years .............. | $\begin{array}{r} 1,097 \\ 646 \\ 451 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 664 \\ & 378 \\ & 286 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60.5 \\ & 58.5 \\ & 63.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 400 \\ 76 \\ 323 \end{array}$ | 23440 | $\begin{aligned} & 58.5 \\ & 52.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,425 \\ & 1,180 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,928 \\ 887 \end{array}$ | 79.575.2 |
| 16 to 34 years ................................. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 35 years and over ............................ |  |  |  |  | 194 | 60.0 | 1,246 | 1,041 | 83.5 |
| With children 6 to 17 years, only $\qquad$ <br> 16 to 34 years $\qquad$ <br> 35 years and over $\qquad$ | $\begin{aligned} & 544 \\ & 160 \\ & 384 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 370 \\ & 112 \\ & 258 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 68.0 \\ & 70.0 \\ & 67.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 347 \\ 43 \\ 304 \end{array}$ | 20819 | $\begin{aligned} & 60.0 \\ & \text { ' } \left.^{\prime}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,720 \\ 583 \end{array}$ | 1,446 | 84.182.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 481 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 189 | 62.1 | 1,137 | 965 | 84.9 |
| With children under 6 years <br> 16 to 34 years $\qquad$ <br> 35 years and over $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} 553 \\ 486 \\ 67 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 294 \\ 266 \\ 28 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 53.1 \\ & 54.7 \\ & \text { (') }^{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 53 \\ & 34 \\ & 19 \end{aligned}$ | 26215 | (1)(1)(') | $\begin{aligned} & 705 \\ & 597 \\ & 108 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 482 \\ 406 \\ 76 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 68.3 \\ & 68.1 \\ & 69.7 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BLACK |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total ......................................... | 1,030 | 606 | 58.9 | 1,283 | 305 | 23.8 | 1,036 | 736 | 71.0 |
| No children under 18 years $\qquad$ 16 to 34 years $\qquad$ <br> 35 years and over $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} 431 \\ 60 \\ 370 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 228 \\ 42 \\ 186 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 52.9 \\ & \text { (') }^{50.2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,145 \\ 3 \\ 1,142 \end{array}$ | 2492247 | $\begin{aligned} & 21.8 \\ & \text { ' } \left.^{( }\right) \\ & 21.6 \end{aligned}$ | 48867421 | 33561273 | 68.6(')64.9 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| With children under 18 years $\qquad$ <br> 16 to 34 years $\qquad$ <br> 35 years and over $\qquad$ | $\begin{aligned} & 599 \\ & 343 \\ & 256 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 378 \\ & 216 \\ & 162 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 63.2 \\ & 63.1 \\ & 63.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 138 \\ 20 \\ 118 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 55 \\ & 12 \\ & 43 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40.0 \\ & \text { (') } \left.^{( }\right) \\ & 36.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 548 \\ & 277 \\ & 271 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 401 \\ & 203 \\ & 199 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 73.2 \\ & 73.1 \\ & 73.3 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| With children 6 to 17 years, only $\qquad$ <br> 16 to 34 years $\qquad$ <br> 35 years and over $\qquad$ | $\begin{aligned} & 333 \\ & 122 \\ & 211 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 235 \\ 91 \\ 144 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 70.5 \\ & 74.4 \\ & 68.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 114 \\ 9 \\ 106 \end{array}$ | 41437 | 36.0 <br> (') <br> 34.8 | $\begin{aligned} & 392 \\ & 173 \\ & 219 \end{aligned}$ | 290130160 | 73.9 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 74.9 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 73.1 |
| With children under 6 years $\qquad$ 16 to 34 years $\qquad$ <br> 35 years and over $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} 266 \\ 221 \\ 45 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 144 \\ 125 \\ 18 \end{array}$ | $54.0$ <br> 56.9 <br> (') | $\begin{aligned} & 24 \\ & 11 \\ & 13 \end{aligned}$ | 1487 | (')$(1)$(') | $\begin{array}{r} 156 \\ 104 \\ 52 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 111 \\ 73 \\ 39 \end{array}$ | 71.570.1(') $\left.^{\prime}\right)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HISPANIC ORIGIN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total ......................................... | 381 | 144 | 37.7 | 332 | 82 | 24.6 | 395 | 261 | 66.2 |
| No children under 18 years $\qquad$ 16 to 34 years $\qquad$ <br> 35 years and over $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} 138 \\ 26 \\ 112 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 45 \\ & 12 \\ & 33 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32.9 \\ & \text { (') } \left.^{( }\right) \\ & 29.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 274 \\ 2 \\ 272 \end{array}$ | 53-53 | 19.5 | 182 | 116 | 63.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | (1) | 40 | 38 | ( ${ }^{\text {1 }}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 19.6 | 143 | 79 | 55.2 |
| With children under 18 years $\qquad$ <br> 16 to 34 years $\qquad$ <br> 35 years and over $\qquad$ | $\begin{aligned} & 243 \\ & 133 \\ & 110 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 99 \\ & 61 \\ & 38 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40.5 \\ & 45.4 \\ & 34.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 58 \\ & 12 \\ & 46 \end{aligned}$ | 28622 | (')(')(') | 21296 | 14560 | $\begin{aligned} & 68.2 \\ & 62.9 \\ & 72.5 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 116 | 84 |  |
| With children 6 to 17 years, only 16 to 34 years $\qquad$ 35 years and over $\qquad$ | 1163383 | $\begin{aligned} & 54 \\ & 19 \\ & 35 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 46.8 \\ & \text { (') }^{\prime} \\ & 42.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 43 \\ 3 \\ 40 \end{array}$ | 23221 | (') | 150 | 112 | 74.9 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | (') | 45 | 35 | (') |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | (') | 105 | 77 | 73.7 |
| With children under 6 years $\qquad$ <br> 16 to 34 years $\qquad$ <br> 35 years and over $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} 127 \\ 100 \\ 27 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 44 \\ 41 \\ 3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 34.7 \\ & 41.2 \\ & \text { (') }^{\prime} \end{aligned}$ | 15 | 6 | (') | 62 | 32 | () |
|  |  |  |  | 9 | 4 | (') | 51 | 25 | (') |
|  |  |  |  | 7 | 1 | (') | 12 | 7 | (') |
| ${ }^{1}$ Data not shown where base is less than 75,000 . <br> NOTE: Detail for the above race and Hispanic-origin groups will not <br> sum to totals because data for the "other races" group are not <br> presented and Hispanics are included in both the white and black population groups. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table B-6. Number of earners in families, relationship of earners, and family income in $\mathbf{1 9 8 2}$ by type of family, and race, March 1983

| Type of family, number of earners, relationship, and race | Family income in 1982 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Under } \\ & \$ 1,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1,000 \\ & \text { to } \\ & \$ 1,999 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 2,000 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 2,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 3,000 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 4,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 5,000 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 6,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 7,000 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 9,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 10,000 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 12,999 \end{gathered}$ |
| TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All families .................................................................. | 61,834 | 806 | 400 | 597 | 2,047 | 2,494 | 4,118 | 4,763 |
| Married-couple families ..................................................... | 49,947 | 459 | 135 | 194 | 726 | 1,355 | 2,728 | 3,465 |
| No earners .................................................................... | 6,427 | 295 | 38 | 54 | 318 | 672 | 1,071 | 1,010 |
| One earner | 14,235 | 129 | 82 | 94 | 270 | 477 | 972 | 1,383 |
| Husband. | 11,575 | 45 | 42 | 60 | 187 | 347 | 718 | 1,041 |
| Wife | 2,048 | 53 | 39 | 30 | 69 | 109 | 214 | 295 |
| Other family member. | 613 | 30 | 1 | 4 | 14 | 21 | 40 | 47 |
| Two earners .................................................................. | 22,306 | 29 | 15 | 43 | 126 | 188 | 623 | 970 |
| Husband and wife ........................................................ | 19,579 | 15 | 7 | 32 | 113 | 157 | 554 | 865 |
| Husband and other family member | 2,167 | 3 | 6 | 4 | 6 | 22 | 47 | 73 |
| Husband is not an earner | 560 | 10 | 2 | 7 | 7 | 9 | 22 | 32 |
| Three or more earners ................................................... | 6,979 | 7 | 1 | 3 | 12 | 19 | 62 | 102 |
| Husband and wife ........................................................ | 5,808 | 3 | - | 2 | 10 | 12 | 36 | 76 |
| Husband an earner, not wife ....................................... | 982 | - | - | 2 | 2 | 5 | 17 | 19 |
| Husband is not an earner ............................................. | 189 | 4 | 1 | - | - | 2 | 10 | 7 |
| Families maintained by women ......................................... | 9,828 | 286 | 242 | 390 | 1,234 | 1,035 | 1,212 | 1,138 |
| No earners .................................................................... | 2,625 | 225 | 156 | 236 | 776 | 481 | 336 | 178 |
| One earner | 4,568 | 57 | 86 | 146 | 387 | 485 | 689 | 745 |
| Householder | 3,546 | 45 | 77 | 131 | 292 | 395 | 550 | 605 |
| Other family member | 1,022 | 11 | 8 | 16 | 95 | 90 | 140 | 140 |
| Two earners ................................................................... | 2,634 | 4 | 1 | 8 | 71 | 69 | 187 | 215 |
| Householder and other family member(s) | 2,319 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 67 | 58 | 146 | 200 |
| Householder is not an earner | 315 | 2 | - | 2 | 4 | 11 | 40 | 14 |
| Families maintained by men ............................................. | 2,059 | 61 | 23 | 13 | 86 | 103 | 178 | 160 |
| No earners .................................................................... | 273 | 42 | 5 | 1 | 46 | 37 | 46 | 33 |
| One earner .................................................................... | 915 | 19 | 13 | 8 | 33 | 55 | 99 | 82 |
| Householder ................................................................ | 746 | 13 | 8 | 7 | 28 | 50 | 75 | 65 |
| Other family member .................................................... | 169 | 7 | 5 | 2 | 6 | 5 | 24 | 17 |
| Two earners .................................................................. | 871 | - | 6 | 3 | 7 | 11 | 33 | 45 |
| Householder and other family member(s) ...................... | 824 | - | 6 | 3 | 7 | 8 | 31 | 42 |
| Householder is not an earner ...................................... | 46 | - | - | - | - | 2 | 2 | 3 |

[^14]Table B-6. Number of earners in families, relationship of eamers, and family income in 1982 by type of family, and race, March 1983-Continued

| Type of family, number of earners, relationship, and race | Family income in 1982 |  |  |  |  |  | Median family income |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \$ 13,000 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 14,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 15,000 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 19,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 20,000 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 24,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 25,000 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 34,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 35,000 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 49,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 50,000$ <br> and over |  |
| TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All families | 2,983 | 7,505 | 7,596 | 11,998 | 9,822 | 6,704 | \$23,425 |
| Married-couple families | 2,267 | 5,930 | 6,411 | 10,731 | 9,124 | 6,420 | 26,213 |
| No eamers | 509 | 944 | 598 | 525 | 236 | 157 | 12,141 |
| One earner | 876 | 2,106 | 2,124 | 2,333 | 1,654 | 1,236 | 21,716 |
| Husband | 664 | 1,625 | 1,776 | 2,417 | 1,475 | 1,177 | 22,976 |
| Wife | 165 | 392 | 248 | 274 | 128 | 31 | 15,628 |
| Other family member | 47 | 89 | 99 | 142 | 51 | 28 | 20,692 |
| Two earners | 775 | 2,536 | 3,160 | 6,000 | 4,964 | 2,877 | 29,481 |
| Husband and wife | 670 | 2,230 | 2,812 | 5,335 | 4,358 | 2,432 | 29,377 |
| Husband and other family member ....................................... | 64 | 207 | 261 | 546 | 515 | 414 | 32,165 |
| Husband is not an earner | 41 | 99 | 87 | 119 | 92 | 31 | 22,841 |
| Three or more earners. | 107 | 343 | 529 | 1,372 | 2,270 | 2,151 | 41,152 |
| Husband and wife. | 93 | 258 | 442 | 1,160 | 1,898 | 1,817 | 41,415 |
| Husband an earner, not wife | 9 | 62 | 64 | 175 | 331 | 298 | 41,247 |
| Husband is not an earner ....... | 5 | 23 | 24 | 37 | 41 | 35 | 29,989 |
| Families maintained by women ...................................................... | 600 | 1,285 | 927 | 883 | 429 | 168 | 11,345 |
| No earners .. | 59 | 89 | 41 | 23 | 13 | 14 | 4,787 |
| One earner | 371 | 712 | 443 | 304 | 105 | 38 | 11,773 |
| Householder | 298 | 557 | 344 | 185 | 49 | 17 | 11,421 |
| Other family member | 72 | 155 | 99 | 119 | 56 | 21 | 13,318 |
| Two earners ............... | 170 | 484 | 443 | 557 | 311 | 116 | 21,238 |
| Householder and other family member(s) .................................. | 154 | 440 | 412 | 487 | 258 | 86 | 21,020 |
| Householder is not an earner ................................ | 15 | 44 | 31 | 70 | 52 | 30 | 24,120 |
| Families maintained by men ........................................................... | 116 | 291 | 259 | 384 | 270 | 115 | 19,968 |
| No earners | 6 | 24 | 14 | 14 | 5 | - | 7,331 |
| One earner | 70 | 136 | 134 | 150 | 85 | 29 | 17,811 |
| Householder | 62 | 111 | 107 | 128 | 65 | 29 | 17,975 |
| Other family member .......................................................... | 8 | 25 | 27 | 22 | 20 | 1 | 17,089 |
| Two earners ....................................................... | 39 | 130 | 111 | 219 | 180 | 86 | 27,276 |
| Householder and other family member(s) | 39 | 124 | 103 | 203 | 172 | 86 | 27,428 |
| Householder is not an earner ................................................. | - | 7 | 8 | 16 | 8 | - | (1) |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table B-6. Number of earners in families, relationship of earners, and family income in 1982 by type of family, and race, March 1983-Continued

| Type of family, number of earners, relationship, and race | Family income in 1982 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Under <br> \$1,000 | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1,000 \\ & \text { to } \\ & \$ 1,999 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 2,000 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 2,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 3,000 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 4,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 5,000 \\ & \text { to } \\ & \$ 6,999 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 7,000 \\ & \text { to } \\ & \$ 9,999 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 10,000 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 12,999 \end{gathered}$ |
| White |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All families .................................................................. | 53,737 | 652 | 252 | 384 | 1,319 | 1,794 | 3,247 | 3,950 |
| Married-couple families ................................................... | 45,273 | 415 | 111 | 169 | 603 | 1,102 | 2,350 | 3,021 |
| No earners | 5,879 | 259 | 30 | 44 | 262 | 534 | 944 | 944 |
| One earner | 13,015 | 121 | 70 | 84 | 225 | 402 | 813 | 1,177 |
| Husband | 10,684 | 39 | 37 | 53 | 154 | 297 | 618 | 902 |
| Wife | 1,770 | 51 | 32 | 27 | 60 | 85 | 164 | 235 |
| Other family member .................................................... | 562 | 30 | 1 | 4 | 11 | 20 | 31 | 40 |
| Two earners ................................................................... | 20,084 | 29 | 11 | 37 | 108 | 156 | 538 | 815 |
| Husband and wife | 17,591 | 15 | 7 | 26 | 96 | 136 | 484 | 736 |
| Husband and other family member ............................... | 2,013 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 15 | $38{ }^{-}$ | 57 |
| Husband is not an earner ............................................. | 479 | 10 | 2 | 7 | 7 | 5 | 16 | 22 |
| Three or more earners ................................................... | 6,295 | 7 | - | 3 | 8 | 10 | 54 | 84 |
| Husband and wife .. | 5,231 | 3 | - | 2 | 6 | 9 | 32 | 67 |
| Husband an earner, not wife ........................................ | 916 | - | - | 2 | 2 | 2 | 17 | 11 |
| Husband is not an earner ............................................. | 148 | 4 | - | - | - | - | 5 | 6 |
| Families maintained by women ......................................... | 6,783 | 196 | 126 | 203 | 660 | 616 | 758 | 800 |
| No earners | 1,612 | 147 | 74 | 108 | 394 | 297 | 209 | 152 |
| One earner | 3,190 | 47 | 52 | 89 | 222 | 285 | 424 | 509 |
| Householder | 2,432 | 38 | 50 | 79 | 171 | 233 | 336 | 412 |
| Other family member .................................................... | 758 | 9 | 2 | 10 | 51 | 52 | 88 | 97 |
| Two earners ..... | 1,981 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 44 | 34 | 125 | 140 |
| Householder and other family member(s) ...................... | 1,759 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 44 | 32 | 102 | 131 |
| Householder is not an earner ....................................... | 221 | - | - | 2 | - | 2 | 23 | 9 |
| Families maintained by men .............................................. | 1,681 | 41 | 15 | 13 | 56 | 75 | 139 | 129 |
| No earners | 216 | 28 | 2 | 1 | 35 | 29 | 37 | 26 |
| One earner | 726 | 13 | 7 | 8 | 19 | 41 | 73 | 67 |
| Householder | 589 | 6 | 5 | 7 | 15 | 40 | 60 | 50 |
| Other family member .................................................... | 137 | 7 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 13 | 17 |
| Two earners ................................................................. | 740 | - | 6 | 3 | 2 | 6 | 29 | 37 |
| Householder and other family member(s) ...................... | 704 | - | 6 | 3 | 2 | 6 | 27 | 34 |
| Householder is not an earner ........................................ | 35 | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 3 |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table B-6. Number of earners in families, relationship of earners, and family income in 1982 by type of family, and race, March 1983-Continued
(Numbers in thousands)

| Type of family, number of earners, relationship, and race | Family income in 1982 |  |  |  |  |  | Median family income |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \$ 13,000 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 14,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 15,000 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 19,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 20,000 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 24,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 25,000 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 34,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 35,000 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 49,999 \end{gathered}$ | \$50,000 <br> and over |  |
| White |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All families ............................................................................... | 2,578 | 6,603 | 6,731 | 10,836 | 9,051 | 6,340 | \$24,524 |
| Married-couple families .................................................................. | 2,046 | 5,356 | 5,783 | 9,810 | 8,433 | 6,074 | 26,713 |
| No earners ................................................................................. | 481 | 905 | 576 | 509 | 234 | 157 | 12,710 |
| One earner | 789 | 1,918 | 1,965 | 2,674 | 1,585 | 1,190 | 22,306 |
| Husband. | 597 | 1,499 | 1,656 | 2,288 | 1,412 | 1,131 | 23,457 |
| Wife | 146 | 341 | 223 | 250 | 123 | 30 | 16,225 |
| Other family member. | 47 | 78 | 85 | 137 | 49 | 28 | 21,094 |
| Two earners ......................................................................... | 683 | 2,235 | 2,802 | 5,414 | 4,538 | 2,716 | 29,852 |
| Husband and wife | 596 | 1,968 | 2,506 | 4,786 | 3,952 | 2,282 | 29,648 |
| Husband and other family member | 57 | 181 | 228 | 522 | 498 | 404 | 33,007 |
| Husband is not an earner | 31 | 86 | 69 | 106 | 88 | 30 | 23,843 |
| Three or more earners .............................................................. | 92 | 297 | 440 | 1,212 | 2,075 | 2,012 | 41,793 |
| Husband and wife | 81 | 222 | 369 | 1,020 | 1,731 | 1,690 | 41,983 |
| Husband an earner, not wife | 9 | 55 | 54 | 162 | 309 | 293 | 41,986 |
| Husband is not an earner. | 2 | 21 | 16 | 31 | 35 | 29 | 31,693 |
| Families maintained by women ...................................................... | 444 | 1,020 | 739 | 691 | 374 | 155 | 13,145 |
| No earners .................................................................................. | 57 | 85 | 40 | 23 | 13 | 14 | 5,490 |
| One earner | 269 | 556 | 356 | 242 | 103 | 37 | 12,820 |
| Householder | 216 | 429 | 268 | 138 | 47 | 16 | 12,278 |
| Other family member | 52 | 127 | 88 | 104 | 56 | 21 | 15,711 |
| Two earners ................ | 119 | 379 | 343 | 426 | 258 | 104 | 22,053 |
| Householder and other family member(s) ............ | 110 | 347 | 324 | 372 | 214 | 77 | 21,659 |
| Householder is not an earner ............................ | 9 | 32 | 19 | 54 | 44 | 27 | 27,639 |
| Families maintained by men .......................................................... | 88 | 227 | 209 | 335 | 244 | 110 | 21,379 |
| No eamers ...... | 6 | 21 | 12 | 14 | 5 | - | 8,007 |
| One earner | 51 | 111 | 100 | 133 | 72 | 29 | 18,709 |
| Householder ............................................................................. | 44 | 94 | 75 | 111 | 53 | 29 | 18,560 |
| Other family member ................................................................ | 7 | 17 | 25 | 21 | 20 | 1 | 19,519 |
| Two earners ............................................................................... | 30 | 95 | 97 | 188 | 167 | 81 | 28,515 |
| Householder and other family member(s) .................................. | 30 | 91 | 91 | 174 | 160 | 81 | 28,638 |
| Householder is not an earner .................................................. | - | 4 | 6 | 14 | 7 | - | $\left.{ }^{( }\right)$ |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table B-6. Number of earners in families, relationship of earner3, and family income in 1982 by type of family, and race, March 1983-Continued
(Numbers in thousands)

| Type of family, number of earners, relationship, and race | Family income in 1982 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Under $\$ \uparrow, 000$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1,000 \\ & \text { to } \\ & \$ 1,999 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 2,000 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 2,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 3,000 \\ & \text { to } \\ & \$ 4,999 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 5,000 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 6,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 7,000 \\ & \text { to } \\ & \$ 9,999 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 10,000 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 12,999 \end{gathered}$ |
| Black |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All families | 6,628 | 138 | 136 | 197 | 679 | 622 | 755 | 703 |
| Married-couple families .......................................... | 3,504 | 33 | 18 | 20 | 98 | 204 | 312 | 360 |
| No earners ................................................................... | 435 | 28 | 4 | 5 | 50 | 115 | 101 | 49 |
| One earner | 899 | 5 | 11 | 9 | 33 | 62 | 137 | 171 |
| Husband | 620 | 4 | 5 | 7 | 23 | 41 | 86 | 115 |
| Wife | 238 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 7 | 21 | 43 | 51 |
| Other family member ................................................... | 42 | - | - | - | 3 | - | 8 | 5 |
| Two earners | 1,683 | - | 2 | 6 | 15 | 22 | 69 | 123 |
| Husband and wife | 1,502 | - | - | 6 | 13 | 13 | 54 | 100 |
| Husband and other family member ............................... | 114 | - | 2 | - | 2 | 7 | 8 | 13 |
| Husband is not an earner ............................................ | 67 | - | - | - | - | 2 | 6 | 10 |
| Three or more earners ................................................... | 487 | - | 1 | - | - | 6 | 5 | 17 |
| Husband and wife. | 407 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3 | 9 |
| Husband an earner, not wife ........................................ | 49 | - | - | - | - | 3 | - | 7 |
| Husband is not an earner ........................................... | 31 | - | 1 | - | - | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| Families maintained by women ......................................... | 2,808 | 88 | 110 | 177 | 555 | 392 | 408 | 316 |
| No earners .. | 964 | 76 | 77 | 123 | 374 | 170 | 115 | 24 |
| One earner | 1,265 | 10 | 33 | 52 | 156 | 188 | 242 | 226 |
| Householder | 1,030 | 7 | 26 | 50 | 116 | 155 | 198 | 183 |
| Other family member | 236 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 40 | 33 | 44 | 43 |
| Two earners ................................................................. | 579 | 2 | - | 2 | 25 | 34 | 51 | 66 |
| Householder and other family member(s) | 495 | - | - | 2 | 22 | 26 | 36 | 60 |
| Householder is not an earner | 84 | 2 | -. | - | 3 | 8 | 14 | 6 |
| Families maintained by men ............................................. | 316 | 17 | 8 | - | 26 | 25 | 35 | 27 |
| No earners .................................................................... | 48 | 11 | 2 | - | 9 | 9 | 8 | 5 |
| One earner | 166 | 6 | 5 | - | 14 | 11 | 23 | 15 |
| Householder | 138 | 6 | 3 | - | 12 | 8 | 13 | 15 |
| Other family member | 28 | - | 2 | - | 2 | 4 | 10 | - |
| Two earners .................................................................. | 102 | - | - | - | 3 | 5 | 3 | 6 |
| Householder and other family member(\$) ...................... | 93 | - | - | - | 3 | 3 | 3 | 6 |
| Householder is not an earner ........................................ | 8 | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table B-6. Number of earners in families, relationship of earners, and family income in 1982 by type of family, and race, March 1983-Continued


Table B-7. Number of children in families in March 1983 and median family income in 1982 by type of family, employment status of parents, race, and Hispanic origin

| Type of family employment status of parents, race, and Hispanic origin | Number of children (in thousands) |  |  |  |  | Median family income (in dollars) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | 6 to 17 years |  |  | Under 6 years | Total | 6 to 17 years |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Under } \\ & 6 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | Total | $\begin{array}{\|c} 14 \text { to } 17 \\ \text { years } \end{array}$ | 6 to 13 years |  |  | Total | 14 to 17 years | 6 to 13 years |  |
| TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 58,034 | 39,030 | 13,622 | 25,408 | 19,003 | \$23,017 | \$24,432 | \$26,800 | \$23,346 | \$20,514 |
| Mother in labor force | 31,884 | 22,995 | 8,408 | 14,587 | 8,889 | 25,356 | 26,490 | 28,900 | 25,165 | 23,022 |
| Employed. | 28,398 | 20,688 | 7,652 | 13,036 | 7,710 | 26,714 | 27,700 | 30,014 | 26,409 | 24,349 |
| Unemployed | 3,487 | 2,307 | 756 | 1,551 | 1,179 | 13,990 | 14,117 | 15,856 | 13,325 | 13,807 |
| Mother not in labor force ..................................... | 25,062 | 15,194 | 4,798 | 10,396 | 9,868 | 20,139 | 21,531 | 23,291 | 20,818 | 18,255 |
| In married-couple familes. | 46,084 | 30,344 | 10,404 | 19,940 | 15,740 | 26,831 | 28,808 | 31,383 | 27,560 | 23,389 |
| Mother in labor force | 25,166 | 17,794 | 6,477 | 11,317 | 7,372 | 29,462 | 30,964 | 33,508 | 29,658 | 25,931 |
| Employed | 22,944 | 16,390 | 6,023 | 10,367 | 6,554 | 30,226 | 31,582 | 34,093 | 30,273 | 26,986 |
| Unemployed | 2,222 | 1,404 | 454 | 950 | 819 | 20,502 | 22,334 | 23,656 | 21,687 | 18,316 |
| Mother not in labor force .................................. | 20,918 | 12,550 | 3,927 | 8,623 | 8,368 | 23,465 | 25,292 | 27,535 | 24,410 | 21,224 |
| Father in labor force.. | 43,150 | 28,364 | 9,619 | 18,746 | 14,786 | 27,638 | 29,631 | 32,416 | 28,294 | 23,981 |
| Mother in labor force | 23,919 | 16,869 | 6,086 | 10,783 | 7,050 | 29,957 | 31,492 | 34,200 | 30,113 | 26,359 |
| Employed | 21,871 | 15,582 | 5,686 | 9,896 | 6,289 | 30,652 | 32,047 | 34,679 | 30,685 | 27,333 |
| Unemployed | 2,048 | 1,287 | 400 | 886 | 761 | 21,439 | 23,613 | 25,833 | 22,603 | 18,541 |
| Mother not in labor force | 19,231 | 11,495 | 3,533 | 7,963 | 7,736 | 24,444 | 26,580 | 29,158 | 25,460 | 22,035 |
| Father employed ............................................ | 39,312 | 26,012 | 8,900 | 17,112 | 13,301 | 28,684 | 30,586 | 33,292 | 29,286 | 24,939 |
| Mother in labor force | 21,783 | 15,458 | 5,646 | 9,812 | 6,326 | 30,882 | 32,373 | 35,002 | 31,017 | 27,402 |
| Employed .................................................. | 20,196 | 14,456 | 5,323 | 9,133 | 5,740 | 31,372 | 32,749 | 35,410 | 31,406 | 28,096 |
| Unemployed ............................................... | 1,587 | 1,002 | 322 | 679 | 586 | 23,933 | 26,638 | 29,386 | 25,464 | 19,625 |
| Mother not in labor force .............................. | 17,529 | 10,554 | 3,254 | 7,300 | 6,975 | 25,599 | 27,726 | 30,177 | 26,681 | 23,093 |
| Father unemployed ..... | 3,838 | 2,353 | 719 | 1,634 | 1,485 | 16,423 | 17,936 | 19,776 | 17,155 | 14,335 |
| Mother in labor force ................................... | 2,136 | 1,411 | 440 | 971 | 724 | 19,748 | 20,462 | 21,716 | 20,044 | 18,572 |
| Employed .................................................. | 1,675 | 1,126 | 363 | 764 | 549 | 20,954 | 21,759 | 24,360 | 20,863 | 19,891 |
| Unemployed .................................................. | 461 | 285 | 78 | 207 | 176 | 15,695 | 16,755 | 15,846 | 17,244 | 13,754 |
| Mother not in labor force .............................. | 1,702 | 941 | 279 | 663 | 761 | 12,751 | 13,796 | 17,267 | 12,740 | 11,659 |
| Father not in labor force | 1,949 | 1,482 | 668 | 814 | 468 | 12,601 | 13,248 | 14,877 | 11,626 | 11,178 |
| Mother in labor force ....................................... | 843 | 672 | 326 | 346 | 171 | 17,726 | 17,613 | 18,293 | 16,686 | 18,264 |
| Employed .................................................... | 724 | 571 | 274 | 297 | 154 | 18,857 | 18,640 | 19,493 | 17,575 | 19,780 |
| Unemployed ................................................ | 119 | 101 | 52 | 49 | 18 | 12,673 | 13,160 | (') | (') | (') |
| Mother not in labor force ............................... | 1,106 | 810 | 342 | 468 | 296 | 9,527 | 9,875 | \$11,530 | \$8,754 | \$8,928 |
| Father in Armed Forces ..................................... | 984 | 498 | 118 | 380 | 487 | 19,541 | 23,837 | 28,956 | 22,350 | 16,301 |
| Mother in labor force | 404 | 253 | 65 | 188 | 151 | 23,565 | 27,254 | (1) | 25,897 | 18,349 |
| Employed ...................................................... | 349 | 237 | 64 | 174 | 111 | 24,950 | 28,039 | (1) | 26,926 | 18,143 |
| Unemployed ................................................ | 56 | 16 | 1 | 14 | 40 | ${ }^{(1)}$ | ${ }^{(1)}$ | (') | (1) | (1) |
| Mother not in labor force ................................ | 580 | 245 | 52 | 192 | 336 | \$17,138 | \$19,267 | (') | \$18,656 | \$14,900 |
| In families maintained by women ......................... | 10,862 | 7,845 | 2,801 | 5,044 | 3,017 | 7,912 | 9,070 | \$11,087 | 8,377 | 5,505 |
| Mother in labor force. | 6,718 | 5,201 | 1,931 | 3,270 | 1,517 | 11,428 | 12,056 | 14,326 | 11,200 | 8,808 |
| Employed ... | 5,453 | 4,297 | 1,628 | 2,669 | 1,156 | 12,696 | 13,405 | 15,696 | 12,403 | 10,651 |
| Unemployed .................................................... | 1,264 | 904 | 302 | 601 | 361 | 5,127 | 5,511 | 6,822 | 5,118 | 3,929 |
| Mother not in labor force .................................. | 4,145 | 2,644 | 871 | 1,774 | 1,501 | 4,968 | 5,596 | 6,289 | 5,316 | 4,206 |
| In families maintained by men ............................. | 1,087 | 842 | 417 | 425 | 246 | 17,573 | 19,858 | 21,412 | 18,448 | 12,531 |
| Father in labor force .......................................... | 949 | 729 | 361 | 368 | 220 | 18,882 | 21,527 | 23,985 | 20,025 | 12,761 |
| Employed ..... | 796 | 629 | 315 | 314 | 167 | 20,632 | 23,030 | 25,479 | 21,602 | 14,734 |
| Unemployed ........................................... | 153 | 101 | 46 | 55 | 53 | 9,152 | 9,730 | (1) | (') | (') |
| Father not in labor force ................................... | 120 | 104 | 53 | 51 | 16 | 7,812 | 8,043 | (') | (') | (') |
| Father in Armed Forces .................................... | 19 | 9 | 4 | 5 | 10 | (') | (') | (') | (') | (') |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table B-7. Number of children in families in March 1983 and median family income in 1982 by type of family, employment status of parents, race, and Hispanic origin-Continued

| Type of family employment status of parents, race, and Hispanic origin | Number of children (in thousands) |  |  |  |  | Median family income (in dollars) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | 6 to 17 years |  |  | Under 6 years | Total | 6 to 17 years |  |  | Under 6 years |
|  |  | Total | $\begin{gathered} 14 \text { to } 17 \\ \text { years } \end{gathered}$ | 6 to 13 years |  |  | Total | $\begin{aligned} & 14 \text { to } 17 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | 6 to 13 years |  |
| White |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 48,526 | 32,546 | 11,375 | 21,171 | 15,980 | \$24,538 | \$26,327 | \$29,058 | \$24,923 | \$21,719 |
| Mother in labor force | 26,314 | 19,058 | 7,037 | 12,021 | 7,256 | 26,866 | 28,152 | 30,878 | 26,650 | 23,905 |
| Employed. | 23,831 | 17,367 | 6,475 | 10,892 | 6,464 | 27,835 | 29,039 | 31,691 | 27,559 | 24,816 |
| Unemployed | 2,482 | 1,691 | 561 | 1,129 | 792 | 16,972 | 17,609 | 18,776 | 16,976 | 15,822 |
| Mother not in labor force ..................................... | 21,340 | 12,809 | 3,996 | 8,813 | 8,531 | 22,109 | 23,801 | 26,135 | 22,880 | 20,072 |
| In married-couple familes ..................................... | 40,814 | 26,814 | 9,208 | 17,606 | 14,000 | 27,380 | 29,498 | 32,410 | 28,099 | 23,644 |
| Mother in labor force ......................................... | 21,840 | 15,517 | 5,697 | 9,820 | 6,323 | 29,986 | 31,625 | 34,529 | 30,120 | 26,097 |
| Employed | 19,988 | 14,300 | 5,307 | 8,993 | 5,688 | 30,669 | 32,213 | 35,055 | 30,729 | 26,950 |
| Unemployed .................................................. | 1,851 | 1,217 | 390 | 827 | 634 | 21,563 | 23,231 | 25,659 | 22,320 | 18,955 |
| Mother not in labor force .................................. | 18,975 | 11,298 | 3,511 | 7,786 | 7,677 | 24,141 | 26,259 | 28,723 | 25,210 | 21,786 |
| Father in labor force | 38,512 | 25,240 | 8,617 | 16,623 | 13,273 | 28,044 | 30,193 | 33,226 | 28,739 | 24,110 |
| Mother in labor force | 20,885 | 14,793 | 5,406 | 9,387 | 6,091 | 30,393 | 32,070 | 35,069 | 30,523 | 26,433 |
| Employed | 19,160 | 13,672 | 5,059 | 8,613 | 5,489 | 31,022 | 32,589 | 35,585 | 31,090 | 27,248 |
| Unemployed | 1,724 | 1,121 | 347 | 774 | 603 | 22,434 | 24,431 | 28,006 | 23,155 | 19,258 |
| Mother not in labor force ............................... | 17,628 | 10,446 | 3,210 | 7,236 | 7,181 | 24,935 | 27,324 | 30,019 | 26,183 | 22,408 |
| Father employed | 35,307 | 23,310 | 8,025 | 15,284 | 11,997 | 29,006 | 31,049 | 33,997 | 29,642 | 25,021 |
| Mother in labor force | 19,145 | 13,667 | 5,053 | 8,614 | 5,478 | 31,242 | 32,846 | 35,908 | 31,329 | 27,473 |
| Employed .................................................. | 17,814 | 12,787 | 4,768 | 8,019 | 5,027 | 31,660 | 33,200 | 36,240 | 31,708 | 27,971 |
| Unemployed .............................................. | 1,331 | 880 | 285 | 595 | 451 | 25,453 | 27,488 | 31,005 | 25,934 | 21,508 |
| Mother not in labor force .............................. | 16,162 | 9,643 | 2,972 | 6,670 | 6,519 | 26,120 | 28,377 | 30,948 | 27,313 | 23,369 |
| Father unemployed | 3,206 | 1,930 | 592 | 1,338 | 1,276 | 16,639 | 18,361 | 21,396 | 17,257 | 14,517 |
| Mother in labor force | 1,739 | 1,126 | 353 | 773 | 613 | 20,429 | 21,702 | 24,411 | 20,786 | 18,718 |
| Employed ................................................. | 1,346 | 885 | 291 | 593 | 462 | 22,068 | 23,682 | 26,936 | 22,117 | 20,270 |
| Unemployed ............................................... | 393 | 241 | 62 | 179 | 152 | 15,610 | 16,964 | (1) | 17,252 | 13,664 |
| Mother not in labor force .............................. | 1,466 | 804 | 238 | 566 | 662 | 13,059 | 13,896 | \$17,539 | 12,924 | 12,174 |
| Father not in labor force | 1,495 | 1,147 | 501 | 645 | 349 | 12,899 | 13,597 | 15,476 | 11,758 | 11,270 |
| Mother in labor force | 644 | 513 | 245 | 268 | 131 | 17,613 | 17,400 | 18,234 | 16,424 | 18,555 |
| Employed | 549 | 428 | 204 | 224 | 121 | 18,671 | 18,459 | 19,452 | 17,329 | 19,567 |
| Unemployed ................................................ | 95 | 85 | 41 | 44 | 11 | 12,601 | 12,628 | (') | (') | (') |
| Mother not in labor force ............................... | 851 | 634 | 256 | 378 | 217 | 10,123 | 10,653 | \$13,030 | \$8,946 | \$9,118 |
| Father in Armed Forces .................................... | 807 | 428 | 90 | 339 | 378 | 19,668 | 25,381 | 32,562 | 23,210 | 16,273 |
| Mother in labor force ...................................... | 311 | 211 | 45 | 166 | 100 | 25,170 | 28,903 | (') | 27,026 | 17,094 |
| Employed .................. | 279 | 200 | 44 | 156 | 79 | 26,527 | 29,390 | (1) | 27,648 | 16,945 |
| Unemployed ................................................ | 32 | 11 | 1 | 9 | 21 | ${ }^{1}$ ) | (') | (') | (') | (') |
| Mother not in labor force ................................ | 496 | 217 | 45 | 173 | 278 | \$17,739 | \$19,464 | (') | \$18,710 | \$15,892 |
| In families maintained by women ......................... | 6,839 | 5,053 | 1,825 | 3,228 | 1,786 | 9,246 | 10,929 | \$13,611 | 9,890 | 6,013 |
| Mother in labor force . | 4,474 | 3,541 | 1,340 | 2,201 | 933 | 12,714 | 13,642 | 16,317 | 12,279 | 9,954 |
| Employed | 3,843 | 3,068 | 1,168 | 1,899 | 776 | 13,757 | 14,679 | 17,323 | 13,168 | 11,008 |
| Unemployed .................................................. | 631 | 473 | 171 | 302 | 157 | 5,942 | 7,046 | 7,902 | 5,781 | 4,517 |
| Mother not in labor force ................................... | 2,365 | 1,512 | 485 | 1,027 | 853 | 5,254 | 5,843 | 6,498 | 5,583 | 4,282 |
| In families maintained by men ............................. | 873 | 679 | 342 | 337 | 194 | 19,008 | 21,668 | 24,410 | 20,359 | 13,409 |
| Father in labor force .......... | 772 | 601 | 307 | 294 | 170 | 20,282 | 23,382 | 26,172 | 21,594 | 13,784 |
| Employed ... | 681 | 544 | 281 | 264 | 136 | 21,614 | 24,578 | 27,018 | 22,660 | 15,185 |
| Unemployed ......... | 91 | 57 | 27 | 30 | 34 | 10,937 | (') | (') | ( ${ }^{1}$ | ( ${ }^{1}$ |
| Father not in labor force | 86 | 70 | 32 | 38 | 15 | 7,894 | (') | ( ${ }^{1}$ ) | (') | (') |
| Father in Armed Forces ................................. | 15 | 7 | 2 | 4 | 9 | (') | (') | (') | (') | (') |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table B-7. Number of children in families in March 1983 and median family income in 1982 by type of family, employment status of parents, race, and Hispanic origin-Continued

| Type of family employment status of parents, race, and Hispanic origin | Number of children (in thousands) |  |  |  |  | Median family income (in dollars) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | 6 to 17 years |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Under } \\ & 6 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | Total | 6 to 17 years |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Under } \\ & 6 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | Total | 14 to 17 years | 6 to 13 years |  |  | Total | $\begin{gathered} 14 \text { to } 17 \\ \text { years } \end{gathered}$ | $6 \text { to } 13$ years |  |
| Black |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 7,692 | 5,266 | 1,884 | 3,382 | 2,426 | \$11,667 | \$12,205 | \$13,256 | \$11,643 | \$10,475 |
| Mother in labor forceEmployed ............ | 4,524 | 3,200 | 1,137 | 2,063 | 1,324 | 16,586 | 16,663 | 18,054 | 15,780 | 16,440 |
|  | 3,641 | 2,672 | 979 | 1,693 | 969 | 19,522 | 19,568 | 20,071 | 19,240 | 19,414 |
| Unemployed ............................................................................ | 883 | 528 | 158 | 370 | 355 | 6,553 | 6,002 | 7,976 | 5,644 | 9,056 |
|  | 2,975 | 1,921 | 680 | 1,240 | 1,054 | 6,845 | 7,448 | 8,269 | 6,965 | 5,693 |
| In married-couple familes $\qquad$ Mother in labor force | 3,769 | 2.546 | 915602 |  | 1,223 | 21,94924,873 | 22,771 | 23,044 | 22,607 | $\begin{aligned} & 20,119 \\ & 23,733 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 2,467 | 1,677 |  | 1,075 |  |  | 25,684 | 25,435 | 25,812 |  |
| Employed $\qquad$ Unemployed $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,161 \\ 305 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,535 \\ 143 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 554 \\ 48 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 980 \\ 94 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 627 \\ & 163 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26,103 \\ & 17,275 \end{aligned}$ | 26,37717,564 | 26,335 | 26,400 | $\begin{aligned} & 25,436 \\ & 17,134 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{(1)}$ | 16,482 |  |
| Mother not in labor force ................................ | 1,302 | 869 | 313 | 556 | 433 | 14,545 | 15,694 | \$16,455 | 15,222 | 12,516 |
| Mother in labor force ......................................................... | 3,333 | 2,2561,530 | 765 | 1,491 | -723 | 22,99225,700 | 23,884 | 26,460 | 23,554 | 21,03923,780 |
|  | 2,253 |  | 522 | 1,008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employed <br> Unemployed | $\begin{array}{r} 1,977 \\ 277 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,394 \\ 136 \end{array}$ | 47646 | $\begin{array}{r}918 \\ 89 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 582 \\ & 141 \end{aligned}$ | 26,85817,011 | 27,301 | ${ }_{\text {27, }}$ | 27,08415,849 | 25,75716,840 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mother not in labor force .............................. | 1,080 | 726 | 243 | 484 | 354 | 16,315 | 17,591 | \$19,098 | 16,713 | 13,334 |
| Father employed $\qquad$ <br> Mother in labor force $\qquad$ <br> Employed <br> Unemployed $\qquad$ <br> Mother not in labor force $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,853 \\ 1,950 \\ 1,732 \\ 218 \\ 903 \end{array}$ | 1,942 | 664453 | 1,277 | $\begin{aligned} & 912 \\ & 632 \end{aligned}$ | 24,293 | 25,235 | 25,888 | 24,862 | 22,326 |
|  |  | 1,318 |  | 865 |  | 26,995 | 28,003 | 28,302 | 27,852 | 24,620 |
|  |  | 1,220 | 419 | 800 | 513 | 27,994 | 28,487 | 28,967 | 28,243 | 26,775 |
|  |  | 98 | 34 | 64 | 120 | 17,200 | 19,370 | (') | (') | 16,764 |
|  |  | 624 | 211 | 413 | 279 | 17,345 | 18,266 | \$19,845 | \$17,374 | 15,044 |
| Father unemployed $\qquad$ <br> Mother in labor force $\qquad$ <br> Employed <br> Unemployed $\qquad$ <br> Mother not in labor force $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} 480 \\ 303 \\ 244 \\ 59 \\ 177 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 315 \\ 212 \\ 175 \\ 37 \end{array}$ | 10069 | 214143148 | 16591 | 15,23216,900 | 15,964 | 15,527 | 16,270 | 12,321 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16,704 |  | 17,638 | $\begin{gathered} 17,374 \\ \text { (') } \\ \text { (') } \\ \text { (') } \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  | 57 | 118 | 70 | 17,069 | 16,990 | (') | 18,012 |  |
|  |  |  | 12 | 25 | 21 | (') | (') | (') | (') |  |
|  |  | 103 | 31 | 71 | 74 | \$10,815 | \$11,815 | (') | (') |  |
| Father not in labor force $\qquad$ Mother in labor force <br> Employed Unemployed | 320 | 244 | 130 | $\begin{array}{r} 115 \\ 56 \end{array}$ | 7631 | 12,958 | 13,433 | \$14,239 | \$12,344 | \$12,179 |
|  | 1541477 | $\begin{aligned} & 123 \\ & 121 \end{aligned}$ | 67652 |  |  | 20,205 | 20,266 | (') | (') | $\begin{aligned} & \left({ }^{(1)}\right. \\ & (') \\ & \left(\begin{array}{l} \prime \end{array}\right) \\ & (') \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  | 56 | 26 | $20,595$ | $\begin{gathered} 20,382 \\ \text { (') } \end{gathered}$ | (') | (') |  |
|  | 7 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mother not in labor force .... | 166 | 121 | 62 | 59 | 45 | \$8,729 | \$8,630 | (') | (') |  |
| Father in Armed Forces $\qquad$ <br> Mother in labor force $\qquad$ <br> Employed $\qquad$ <br> Unemployed <br> Mother not in labor force $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} 115 \\ 59 \\ 37 \\ 22 \\ 56 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 45 \\ 24 \\ 19 \\ 5 \\ 21 \end{array}$ | 211313 | 2511 | 7035 | 19,954 | $\left({ }^{( }\right)$ | (') | (') | (')(')(')(')(') |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | (') |  |  | (') |  |
|  |  |  |  | 6 | 18 | (') | (') | (') | (') |  |
|  |  |  |  | 5 | 17 | (1) | (') | (') | (') |  |
|  |  |  | 8 | 13 | 34 | ${ }^{(1)}$ | (') | (') | (') |  |
| In families maintained by women $\qquad$ Mother in labor force $\qquad$ | 3,730 <br> 2,057 <br> 1 | 2,574 1,522 1,132 | 902 534 | $\begin{array}{r}1,672 \\ 988 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 1,156 535 | $\begin{array}{r}\text { \$6,108 } \\ 8,538 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | \$6,796 8,915 | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 7,878 \\ & 10,258 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 6,439 \\ 8,486 \end{array}$ | \$4,842 $\mathbf{6 , 7 3 3}$ $\mathbf{8 0}$ |
| Employed .... | $\begin{array}{r} 1,480 \\ 577 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,137 \\ 386 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 424 \\ & 110 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 712 \\ & 276 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 343 \\ & 192 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10,590 \\ 4,129 \end{array}$ | 10,861 | 11,610 | 10,442 | $\mathbf{8 , 9 9 5}$ $\mathbf{3} 741$ |
| Unemployed. |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4,497 | 4,934 | 4,315 | 3,741 |
| Mother not in labor force .... | 1,673 | 1,052 | 368 | 684 | 621 | 4,602 | 4,894 | 5,710 | 4,720 | 4,111 |
| In families maintained by men... | 193 | 146 | 67 | 79 | 48 | 9,584 | 9,884 | (') | 9,846 | (') |
| Father in labor force ............... | 165 | 119 | 49 | 70 | 46 | 10,682 | 14,104 | (') | (') | (') |
| Employed .... | 105 | 77 | 32 | 46 | 28 | 16,547 | 17,853 | (') | (') | (') |
| Unemployed ......... | 60 | 42 | 17 | 24 | 18 | (') | (') | (') | (') | (') |
| Father not in labor force ..... | 25 | 25 | 16 | 8 | - | (') | (') | (') | - (') | (') |
| ther in Armed Forces ............................... | 4 | 2 | 2 | - | 2 | (') | (') | (') | (') | (') |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table B-7. Number of children in families in March 1983 and median family income in 1982 by type of family, employment status of parents, race, and Hispanic origin-Continued

| Type of family employment status of parents, race, and Hispanic origin | Number of children (in thousands) |  |  |  |  | Median family income (in dollars) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | 6 to 17 years |  |  | Under 6 years | Total | 6 to 17 years |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Under } \\ & 6 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | Total | 14 to 17 years | 6 to 13 years |  |  | Total | 14 to 17 years | 6 to 13 years |  |
| Hispanic origin |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total . | 5,095 | 3,347 | 1,023 | 2,324 | 1,748 | \$14,776 | \$15,568 | \$16,320 | \$15,229 | \$13,127 |
| Mother in labor force | 2,233 | 1,611 | 510 | 1,101 | 621 | 19,719 | 19,627 | 20,045 | 19,452 | 19,982 |
| Employed.. | 1,831 | 1,340 | 441 | 899 | 491 | 21,337 | 20,776 | 21,158 | 20,606 | 22,631 |
| Unemployed | 401 | 271 | 69 | 202 | 130 | 13,342 | 14,638 | (') | 14,127 | 12,246 |
| Mother not in labor force | 2,777 | 1,676 | 487 | 1,189 | 1,101 | 11,423 | 11,899 | \$12,280 | 11,779 | 10,769 |
| In married-couple familes ..................................... | 3,722 | 2,372 | 675 | 1,697 | 1,350 | 18,686 | 19,510 | 21,181 | 18,946 | 16,983 |
| Mother in labor force ........................................ | 1,684 | 1,164 | 347 | 818 | 519 | 23,830 | 24,367 | 26,334 | 23,641 | 22,749 |
| Employed. | 1,370 | 953 | 299 | 653 | 417 | 25,708 | 26,140 | 27,640 | 25,504 | 24,845 |
| Unemployed | 314 | 212 | 47 | 164 | 102 | 16,503 | 17,829 | (') | 17,339 | 14,121 |
| Mother not in labor force | 2,039 | 1,208 | 329 | 879 | 830 | 14,785 | 15,787 | \$17,377 | 15,101 | 13,339 |
| Father in labor force | 3,460 | 2,191 | 610 | 1,581 | 1,269 | 19,225 | 20,163 | 22,329 | 19,456 | 17,422 |
| Mother in labor force | 1,612 | 1,112 | 329 | 784 | 499 | 23,961 | 24,598 | 26,850 | 23,793 | 22,726 |
| Employed ... | 1,314 | 915 | 287 | 628 | 399 | 25,880 | 26,395 | 28,012 | 25,729 | 24,813 |
| Unemployed | 298 | 197 | 42 | 156 | 100 | 16,628 | 18,078 | (') | 17,345 | 14,054 |
| Mother not in labor force | 1,848 | 1,078 | 282 | 797 | 769 | 15,416 | 16,517 | \$18,326 | 15,852 | 13,840 |
| Father employed ............................................. | 2,954 | 1,878 | 530 | 1,348 | 1,076 | 20,513 | 21,351 | 23,664 | 20,419 | 19,037 |
| Mother in labor force | 1,354 | 943 | 285 | 658 | 412 | 25,272 | 25,666 | 28,744 | 24,454 | 24,587 |
| Employed ... | 1,167 | 817 | 257 | 560 | 350 | 26,751 | 27,065 | 29,205 | 26,201 | 26,080 |
| Unemployed ..... | 187 | 126 | 27 | 99 | 61 | 16,862 | 17,692 | (') | 16,183 | ${ }^{1}$ ) |
| Mother not in labor force ............................... | 1,600 | 935 | 245 | 690 | 664 | 16,650 | 17,680 | \$19,435 | 17,030 | \$14,877 |
| Father unemployed ........................................ | 506 | 313 | 80 | 232 | 193 | 12,685 | 13,901 | 13,798 | 13,941 | 10,216 |
| Mother in labor force .................................... | 257 | 170 | 44 | 126 | 88 | 16,260 | 17,893 | ( ${ }^{1}$ ) | 18,731 | 14,137 |
| Employed .................................................. | 147 | 98 | 29 | 69 | 49 | 16,202 | 16,967 | (1) | (') | ${ }^{(1)}$ |
| Unemployed .............................................. | 111 | 72 | 15 | 57 | 39 | 16,316 | (') | (') | (') | (') |
| Mother not in labor force .............................. | 248 | 143 | 36 | 107 | 105 | 9,714 | \$10,392 | (') | \$9,983 | \$8,953 |
| Father not in labor force | 204 | 155 | 63 | 92 | 48 | 11,343 | 11,461 | ${ }^{(1)}$ | 9,961 | (1) |
| Mother in labor force.. | 55 | 43 | 17 | 26 | 12 | (') | ( ${ }^{1}$ ) | (1) | ( ${ }^{1}$ | (') |
| Employed ... | 40 | 29 | 11 | 18 | 12 | (') | (') | (') | (') | (') |
| Unemployed ................................................ | 15 | 14 | 6 | 9 | - | (') | (') | (1) | (') | (1) |
| Mother not in labor force ................................ | 149 | 112 | 46 | 66 | 36 | \$9,519 | \$9,846 | (') | (') | (1) |
| Father in Armed Forces .................................... | 59 | 26 | 3 | 24 | 33 | ${ }^{1}$ ) | ${ }^{1}$ () | ${ }^{1}$ () | (1) | ${ }^{1}$ ) |
| Mother in labor force ...................................... | 17 | 9 | 2 | 7 | 8 | (') | (') | (1) | (1) | (1) |
| Employed .................................................... | 15 | 9 | 2 | 7 | 6 | (') | (') | (1) | (') | (') |
| Unemployed ................................................. | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | (') | (') | (') | (') | (') |
| Mother not in labor force ............................... | 42 | 17 | 1 | 16 | 25 | (') | (') | (') | (') | (') |
| In families maintained by women ......................... | 1,287 | 915 | 322 | 594 | 372 | \$6,678 | \$7,725 | \$8,181 | \$7,455 | \$5,246 |
| Mother in labor force ........................................ | 549 | 447 | 163 | 284 | 102 | 10,055 | 10,740 | 12,642 | 10,204 | 6,956 |
| Employed ...................................................... | 461 | 388 | 142 | 246 | 74 | 11,141 | 11,737 | 13,396 | 11,128 | ${ }^{(1)}$ |
| Unemployed ................................................... | 87 | 59 | 21 | 38 | 28 | 5,349 | ${ }^{1}$ ) | ${ }^{(1)}$ | ${ }^{1}$ ) | (') |
| Mother not in labor force .................................. | 738 | 468 | 158 | 310 | 270 | 5,565 | \$5,978 | \$6,252 | \$5,810 | \$4,916 |
| In families maintained by men ............................. | 86 | 59 | 26 | 33 | 26 | 12,493 | (') | ${ }^{(1)}$ | ${ }^{+}{ }^{\text {( }}$ ) | ${ }^{1}$ (1) |
| Father in laber ferce .......................................... | 65 | 41 | 16 | 25 | 24 | ${ }^{1}$ ) | (') | (') | (1) | (1) |
| Employed ...................................................... | 50 | 32 | 15 | 17 | 18 | (1) | (1) | (1) | ${ }^{(1)}$ | (') |
| Unemployed ................................................... | 16 | 9 | 1 | 8 | 6 | (') | (') | (') | (1) | (') |
| Father not in labor force ................................... | 16 | 16 | 10 | 6 | - | (1) | (1) | (1) | (') | (1) |
| Father in Armed Forces .................................... | 4 | 2 | - | 2 | 2 | (') | (') | (') | (') | (') |
| ' Data not shown where base is less than 75,000. <br> NOTE: Detail for the above race and Hispanic-origin groups will not sum to totals because data for the "other races" group are not presented <br> and Hispanics are included in both the white and black population groups. Data on children in families are collected in March of the subsequent year. Data on income refer to the preceding calendar year. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table B-8. Number of familles with children in March 1983 and median family income in 1982 by type of family, employment status of mother, race, Hispanic origin, and age of children

| Type of family, employment status of mother, race, and Hispanic origin | Number of families (in thousands) |  |  |  |  | Median family income in 1982 (in dollars) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | With children under 18 years | With children 6 to 17 only |  |  | With children under 6 years | With children under 18 years | With children 6 to 17 only |  |  | With children under 6 years |
|  |  | Total | With children 14 to 17 years, only | With children 6 to 13 years |  |  | Total | With children 14 to 17 years, only | With children 6 to 13 years |  |
| TAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Married-couple families | 24,371 | 12,906 | 4,014 | 8,893 | 11,465 | \$27,538 | \$31,044 | \$33,832 | \$29,960 | \$23,856 |
| Mother in labor force | 13,992 | 8,244 | 2,605 | 5,639 | 5,748 | 30,027 | 32,767 | 36,442 | 31,458 | 26,301 |
| Employed | $\begin{array}{r} 12,834 \\ 1,158 \end{array}$ | 7,688 | 2,472 | 5,216 | 5,145 | 30,768 | 33,291 | 36,947 | 31,944 | 27,288 |
| Unemployed |  | 556 | 133 | 423 | 603 | 20,922 | 24,803 | 23,488 | 25,296 | 18,477 |
| Mother not in labor force .................................. | $\begin{array}{r} 1,158 \\ 10,379 \end{array}$ | 4,662 | 1,408 | 3,254 | 5,717 | 23,893 | 27,723 | 29,547 | 26,932 | 21,520 |
| Families maintained by women ............................. |  | 3,746 | 1,147 | 2,599 | 2,294 | 8,712 | 11,190 | 13,786 | 10,430 | 5,834 |
| Mother in labor force ........................................ | $\begin{aligned} & 6,040 \\ & 4,047 \end{aligned}$ | 2,780 | 867 | 1,913 | 1,266 | 12,067 | 13,435 | 16,420 | 12,292 | 9,191 |
| Employed ... | 3,347 | $\begin{array}{r} 2,374 \\ 406 \\ 966 \end{array}$ | 758 | 1,616 | 973 | 13,337 | 14,749 | 17,484 | 13,576 | 11,004 |
| Unemployed .................................................. | $\begin{array}{r} 700 \\ 1,993 \end{array}$ |  | 109 | 297 | 294 | 4,992 | 5,725 | 7,267 | 5,397 | 3,944 |
| Mother not in labor force ................................... |  |  | 280 | 685 | 1,028 | 4,707 | 5,595 | 6,026 | 5,468 | 4,150 |
| Families maintained by men ................................. | 747 | 541 | 251 | 290 | 206 | 17,463 | 20,340 | 21,540 | 19,436 | 12,303 |
| White |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Married-couple families | 21,702 | 11,529 | 3,596 | 7,933 | 10,173 | 27,990 | 31,659 | 34,858 | 30,391 | 24,079 |
| Mother in labor force ......................................... | 12,223 |  | 2,345 | 4,952 | 4,926 | 30,448 | 33,330 | 37,336 | 31,850 | 26,473 |
| Employed ... | 11,257 | $\begin{aligned} & 7,297 \\ & 6,805 \end{aligned}$ | 2,235 | 4,570 | 4,452 | 31,114 | 33,853 | 37,717 | 32,369 | 27,293 |
| Unemployed ......... | 9669,480 | $\begin{array}{r} 492 \\ 4,232 \end{array}$ | 110 | 381 | 474 | 21,926 | 25,556 | 25,921 | 25,500 | 19,207 |
| Mother not in labor force .................................. |  |  | 1,251 | 2,982 | 5,247 | 24,532 | 28,644 | 30,916 | 27,691 | 22,022 |
| Families maintained by women ............................ |  | 2,580 | 819 | 1,761 | 1,379 | 10,423 | 12,747 | 15,980 | 11,644 | 6,280 |
| Mother in labor force ........................................ | 2,782 | 2,000 | 652 | 1,348 | 782 | 13,287 | 14,862 | 17,705 | 13,413 | 10,302 |
| Employed ... | 2,408 | 1,759 | 579 | 1,181 | 649 | 14,357 | 15,811 | 18,527 | 14,480 | 11,266 |
| Unemployed | $\begin{array}{r} 373 \\ 1,178 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 240 \\ & 580 \end{aligned}$ | 73 | 167 | 133 | 5,837 | 7,390 | ( ${ }^{1}$ | 6,953 | 4,441 |
| Mother not in labor force .................................. |  |  | 167 | 413 | 597 | 4,874 | 5,862 | \$6,633 | 5,605 | 4,217 |
| Families maintained by men ................................. | 604 | 442 | 212 | 230 | 162 | 18,681 | 21,931 | 23,467 | 21,088 | 13,016 |
| Black |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Married-couple families ......................................Mother in labor force | 1,911 | 996 | 327 | 669 | 915 | 22,812 | 24,687 | 22,967 | 25,610 | 20,895 |
|  | 1,306 | 690 | 202 | 489 | 616 | 26,000 | 27,976 | 26,783 | 28,338 | 23,797 |
| Employed ....................................................... | 1,151 | 645 | 184 | 461 | 506 | 27,212 | 28,388 | 27,901 | 28,538 | 25,596 |
| Unemployed .................................................. | $\begin{aligned} & 155 \\ & 605 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 45 \\ 306 \end{array}$ | 18 | 28 | 110 | 17,615 | (1) | (') | (1) | 16,913 |
| Mother not in labor force |  |  | 126 | 180 | 299 | 14,664 | \$16,057 | \$15,390 | \$16,525 | 13,093 |
| Families maintained by women ............................ | 1,923 | 1,069 | 298 | 771 | 854 | 6,350 | 7,903 | 8,789 | 7,591 | 5,066 |
| Mother in labor force <br> Employed $\qquad$ <br> Unemployed $\qquad$ |  | 715 | 190 | 525 | 444 | 8,996 | 10,210 | 11,506 | 9,545 | 7,258 |
|  | 1,159 861 | 569 | 163 | 405 | 292 | 11,171 | 11,699 | 12,451 | 11,273 | 10,175 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 298 \\ & 765 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 146 \\ & 354 \end{aligned}$ | 27 | 119 | 151 | 4,007 | 4,473 | (') | 4,641 | 3,789 |
| Mother not in labor force ................................... |  |  | 108 | 246 | 411 | 4,432 | 4,836 | \$4,701 | 4,870 | 4,024 |
| Families maintained by men ................................. | 129 | 90 | 37 | 53 | 40 | 11,126 | 13,919 | (') | (') | (') |
| Hispanic origin |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Married-couple families ....................................... | 1,691 | 729390 | 171 | 558 | 962 | 19,255 | 21,361 | \$23,734 | \$20,581 | \$17,670 |
| Mother in labor force $\qquad$ Employed $\qquad$ | 790 |  | 90 | 301 | 399 | 24,333 | 25,732 | 28,600 | 24,892 | 23,284 |
|  | 649 | 324 | 80 | 245 | 325 | 25,906 | 27,000 | 29,234 | 26,398 | 24,937 |
| Unemployed ................................................... | $\begin{aligned} & 141 \\ & 901 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 66 \\ 338 \end{array}$ | 10 | 56 | 75 | 17,507 | (') | (') | (') | 14,977 |
| Mother not in labor force .................................. |  |  | 81 | 257 | 563 | 15,100 | \$17,419 | \$18,575 | \$16,991 | 13,731 |
| Families maintained by women ............................. | 585 | 325 | 83 | 242 | 260 | 6,653 | 8,904 | 10,113 | 8,677 | 5,180 |
| Mother in labor force ........................................ | 282 | 196 | 52 | 144 | 86 | 10,720 | 12,448 | (1) | 11,801 | 6,938 |
| Employed <br> Unemployed $\qquad$ <br> Mother not in labor force $\qquad$ | 237 | 172 | 41 | 131 | 66 | 11,811 | 13,432 | (1) | 12,758 | (1) |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} 45 \\ 303 \end{array}$ | 24130 | 11 | 13 | 21 | ${ }^{(1)}$ | (') | (1) | (1) | (') |
|  |  |  | 32 | 98 | 174 | \$5,085 | \$5,715 | (1) | \$5,646 | \$4,667 |
| Families maintained by men ................................. | 54 | 34 | 14 | 19 | 20 | (') | (') | (') | (') | (') |

${ }^{1}$ Data not shown where base is less than 75,000.
NOTE: Detail for the above race and Hispanic-origin groups will not sum to totals because data for the "other races" group are not presented and Hispanics are included in both the white and black population group.

Data on the number and type of families are collected in March of the subsequent year. Income and earner status refer to the preceding calendar year.
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    Note: Data for 1975 have been revised since initial publication.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ Data were collected in April of 1951-55 and March of all other years.
    Note: Children are defined as "own" children of the women and include nevermarried sons and daughters, stepchildren, and adopted children. Excluded are other related children such as grandchildren, nieces, nephews, and cousins, and unrelated children.

[^3]:    ' William Makepeace Thayer, American author, 1820-1898, as quoted in Ralph Emerson Browns, ed., The New American Dictionary of Thoughts (New York, Standard Book Co, 1957), p. 204.
    ${ }^{2}$ The survey referred to is the Current Population Survey (CPS). Detailed information about the survey's background, concepts, and reliability is published in "Labor Force, Employment, and Unemployment from the Current Population Survey," Handbook of Methods, Volume I. Bulletin 2134-1 (Bureau of Labor Statistics, 1982).

[^4]:    Unless otherwise indicated, labor force data in this report were obtained from the CPS.
    ${ }^{3}$ See "Source of Wartime Labor Supply in the United States." Monthly Labor Review. August 1944, pp. 264-78.
    ${ }^{4}$ See reprints of special labor force reports on the marital and family status of workers, beginning with Marital Status of Workers. March 1959. Special Labor Force Report 2 (Bureau of Labor Statistics. 1960). Also see Elizabeth Waldman and others. "Working mothers in the 1970 s: a look

[^5]:    Deborah Pisetzner Klein is a senior economist in the Division of Employment and Unemployment Analysis, Bureau of Labor Statistics.

[^6]:    'The Current Population Survey (CPS), conducted for the Bureau of Labor Statistics by the Bureau of the Census, is a monthly sample survey of some $\mathbf{6 0 , 0 0 0}$ households in the United States. The information obtained from this survey relates to the employment status of persons 16 years old and over in the civilian noninstitutional population. In the March survey. taken each year, supplemental information is obtained annually regarding earnings and income as well as the work experience of individuals in the prior year. Data on persons from the March surveys are tabulated by marital and family status.
    Because it is a sample survey, estimates derived from the Current Population Survey may differ from the actual counts that could be obtained from a complete census. Therefore, small estimates or small differences between estimates should be interpreted with caution. For a more detailed explanation, see the Explanatory Note in Marital and Family Patterns of Workers:An Update, Bulletin 2163 (Bureau of Labor Statistics, 1983).
    ${ }^{2}$ A family consists of two persons or more who are related by blood or marriage and living together in the same household. Relationship of family members is determined by their relationship to the reference person or householder, that is, the person in whose name the housing unit is owned or rented.
    ${ }^{3}$ See Gordon Green and Edward Welniak, "Changing families, shifting incomes," American Demographics, February 1983, pp. 40-43.
    ${ }^{4}$ See Perspectives on Working Women: A Databook, Bulletin 2080 (Bureau of Labor Statistics, 1980), table 65.
    ${ }^{5}$ See Howard B. Meyers, "Effects of the National Defense Program on

[^7]:    Beverly L. Johnson is a social science research analyst and Elizabeth Waldman is a senior economist in the Division of Employment and Unemployment Analysis, Bureau of Labor Statistics.

[^8]:    'The terminology "women maintaining families" or "female family householder" is defined as a never-married, divorced, widowed, or separated woman with no husband present and who is responsible for her family. These terms have replaced the phrase "female-headed families" used in earlier reports in this series.
    ${ }^{2}$ Unless otherwise indicated, data in this report relate to the civilian noninstitutional population 16 years and over and are based primarily on information from supplementary questions in the March 1983 Current Population Survey. For the most recent report on this subject, containing data for March 1981, see Beverly L. Johnson and Elizabeth Waldman.

[^9]:    "Marital and family patterns of the labor force," Monthly Labor Review. October 1981, pp. 36-38.

    Sampling variability may be relatively large in cases where numbers are small, and small differences between estimates or percentages should be interpreted with caution. For further information on reliability of data, see the Explanatory Note in Marital and Family Patterns of Workers: An Update, BLS Bulletin 2163 (Bureau of Labor Statistics, 1983), pp. A-5-A-7.
    ${ }^{3}$ The divorce rate has been rising since the mid 1960's. Between 1966 and 1981 , the rate increased from 2.5 per 1.000 population to 5.3 per

[^10]:    Kezia Sproat is the editor at the Center for Human Resource Research, The Ohio State University.

[^11]:    ${ }^{1}$ Includes only male members of the Armed Forces living off-post or with their families on post.
    ${ }^{2}$ Male members of the Armed Forces living off post or with their families on post are included in the population figures.

[^12]:    See footnotes at end of table.

[^13]:    Data not shown where base is less than 75,000 .

[^14]:    See footnotes at end of table.

