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## Preface

This bulletin summarizes the results of a Bureau of Labor Statistics survey of wages and supplementary benefits in the women's and misses' dress industry in August 1977.

Separate releases were issued earlier for the 13 areas covered by the survey. Copies of these releases are available from the Bureau of Labor Statistics, Washington, D.C. 20212, or from any of its regional offices.

This study was conducted in the Bureau's Office of Wages and Industrial Relations. Mary Kay Rieg of the Division of Occupational Wage Structures prepared the analysis. Field work for the survey was directed by the Bureau's Assistant Regional Commissioners for Operations.

Other reports available from the Bureau's program of industry wage studies, as well as the addresses of the Bureau's regional offices, are listed at the end of this bulletin.

Material in this publication is in the public domain and may be reproduced without permission of the Federal Government. Please credit the Bureau of Labor Statistics and cite Industry Wage Survey: Women's and Misses' Dresses, August 1977, Bulletin 2007.

## Contents

Page
Summary ..... 1
Industry characteristics ..... 1
Employment and production ..... 1
Size of shop ..... 2
Type of shop ..... 2
Occupation and sex ..... 2
Method of wage payment ..... 2
Unionization ..... 3
Average hourly earnings ..... 3
Occupational earnings ..... 3
Establishment practices and supplementary wage provisions ..... 4
Scheduled weekly hours ..... 4
Paid holidays ..... 4
Health, welfare, and vacation benefits ..... 4
Supplementary unemployment benefits ..... 5
Temporary disability benefits ..... 5
Retirement plans ..... 5
Text tables:

1. Distribution of dress firms and workers by wholesale unit price ..... 1
2. Distribution of area dress employment by size of shop ..... 2
3. Distribution of area dress employment by type of shop ..... 2
4. Middle range of earnings for dress workers by area ..... 3
5. Earnings distribution of cutters and markers and sewing-machine operators, section system, Los Angeles-Long Beach ..... 4
Reference tables:
Occupational earnings:
6. Boston, Mass. ..... 6
7. Chicago, Ill. (Cook County) ..... 7
8. Dallas-Ft. Worth, Tex. ..... 8
9. Fall River and New Bedford, Mass.-R.I. ..... 9
10. Los Angeles-Long Beach, Calif. ..... 10
11. Miami, Fla. ..... 12
12. Newark and Jersey City, N.J. ..... 13
13. New York City, N.Y.-All shops ..... 14
14. New York City, N.Y.-Regular and cutting shops ..... 15
15. New York City, N.Y.-Contract shops ..... 16
16. Paterson-Clifton-Passaic, N.J ..... 17
17. Philadelphia, Pa.-N.J. ..... 18
18. St. Louis, Mo.-Ill. ..... 19
19. Wilkes-Barre-Hazleton, Pa. ..... 20
20. South Carolina ..... 21
Establishment practices and supplementary wage provisions:
21. Method of wage payment ..... 22
22. Scheduled weekly hours ..... 22
23. Paid holidays ..... 23

## Contents-Continued

Reference tables-Continued PageEstablishment practices and supplementary wage provisions-Continued
19. Health, welfare, and vacation benefits ..... 23
20. Retirement plans ..... 25
Appendixes:
A. Regression analysis ..... 26
B. Scope and method of survey ..... 29
C. Occupational descriptions ..... 31

# Women's and Misses' Dresses, August 1977 

## Summary

Hourly earnings of production and related workers in the women's and misses' dress industry varied widely among 13 major dress centers surveyed in August 1977 by the Bureau of Labor Statistics. ${ }^{1}$ Average straight-time hourly earnings ranged from $\$ 5.22$ in New York City, where about two-fifths of the workers were employed, to $\$ 2.89$ in South Carolina. Averages of $\$ 4.50$ or more were reported in Chicago (\$4.50) and Newark-Jersey City (\$4.74). Within most areas, a wide distribution of individual earnings existed, largely because of the extensive use of piece-rate systems and the broad range of skills in the industry

Among occupations studied separately, ${ }^{2}$ cutters and markers, pressers, and machine adjusters were usually the highest paid; thread trimmers and work distributors were usually lowest paid. Sewing-machine operators, constituting slightly more than half the work force, were by far the largest occupational group. Those responsible for sewing operations on a complete garment (single-hand system) usually averaged about 10 to 20 percent more than those sewing only parts or sections of a garment.

About two-thirds of the workers in the 13 areas combined were employed in shops which had collective bargaining agreements covering a majority of their workers; almost all contracts were made with the International Ladies' Garment Workers' Union (ILGWU). These agreements included, besides wages, provisions for paid vacations, various types of health and welfare benefits, retirement pensions, and supplementary unemployment benefits.

## Industry characteristics

Emplorment andproduction. The 13-area survey covered slightly more than one-third of the 144,100 production and related workers estimated to be employed in women's and misses'dress manufacturing (nationwide) at the time of the study. ${ }^{3}$ Production employment, as reported in the 13 survey areas, ${ }^{4}$ ranged from fewer than 800 workers in Boston (612), St. Louis (712), and Cook County, Chicago (734) to about 20,000 in New York City. The next three largest dress centers studied were Los Angeles-Long Beach ( 7,744 ), Wilkes-Barre-Hazleton ( 5,819 ), and Fall River-New Bedford $(4,165)$. (See appendix table B-1.)
Since the August 1974 survey, ${ }^{5}$ aggregate employment of production workers in the 12 areas common to the 1974

Text table 1. Distribution of dress firms and workers by whole-
sale unit price sale unit price

| Wholesale price per unit | Percent of <br> all firms | Percent of all <br> production workers |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Under $\$ 6.75 \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 1 | 5 |
| $\$ 6.75$ and under $\$ 12.75 \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 17 | 17 |
| $\$ 12.75$ and under $\$ 22.50 \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 34 | 34 |
| $\$ 22.50$ and under $\$ 49 \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 28 | 26 |
| $\$ 49$ and over $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 17 | 18 |

'Less than 5 percent.
and 1977 studies ${ }^{6}$ decreased by about 15,700 , or 23 percent. The largest percentage declines were recorded in Miami (51 percent), and Philadelphia ( 40 percent); declines of 33 to 36 percent were reported for Boston, Newark and Jersey City, New York City, and St. Louis. Employment in the Fall River-New Bedford area remained approximately the same between 1974 and 1977, while the number of production workers in Los Angeles-Long Beach increased by 20 percent.

Continued fashion trends toward informal wear (e.g., sportswear), combined with economic uncertainty, may have led to cutbacks in dress production. Domestic production of women's and misses' dresses was about 18 percent lower in 1977 than in 1974. The overall decline reflected a 15 -percent drop in unit-priced garments and a 24 -percent decline in production of dozen-priced dresses. ${ }^{7}$

Four-fifths or more of the production workers in each area were in shops using a unit price as the predominant wholesale pricing system. The most common wholesale price per unit among the areas in August 1977 was between $\$ 12.75$ and $\$ 22.50$ (text table 1 ).

[^0]Text table 2. Dlatribution of area dress employment by size of shop

| Area | Percent of production workers in shops with- |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fewer than 50 employees | 50 to 99 employees | $\left\{\begin{array}{c} 100 \\ \text { employees } \\ \text { or more } \end{array}\right.$ |
| Boston | 22 | 38 | 41 |
| Chicago. | 42 | 13 | 45 |
| Dallas-Ft. Worth | 17 | 20 | 63 |
| Fall River-New Bedford | ( ${ }^{1}$ | 21 | 76 |
| Los Angeles-Long Beach | 78 | 11 | 11 |
| Miami ..................... | 72 | 13 | 15 |
| Newark-Jersey City | 61 | 20 | 19 |
| New York City ...... | 81 | 16 | (1) |
| Paterson-Clifton-Passaic | 81 | 19 | - |
| Philadelphia. | 10 | 56 | 33 |
| St. Louis | 11 | 21 | 68 |
| Wilkes-Barre-Hazleton | 31 | 51 | 18 |
| South Carolina | ( 1) | 11 | 86 |

'Less than 5 percent.
NOTE: Because of rounding, sums of individual items may not equal 100 .

Size of shop. In the 13 areas combined, nearly three-fifths of the production workers were employed in shops with fewer than 50 employees, compared with one-fifth each in shops with 50 to 99 workers and with 100 workers or more. Substantial differences, however, were found between the individual areas (text table 2).

Type of shop. Three types of shops were included in the survey: (1) Regular or "inside" shops, which own the materials and perform all or most of the manufacturing operations; (2) contract shops, which process materials owned (and frequently cut) by others; and (3) jobbing shops, which contract out most manufacturing operations, but may perform some of them, such as cutting, finishing, or packing and shipping (text table 3).

Text table 3. Distribution of area dress employment by type of shop

| Area | Percent of production workers employed in- |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Regular (inside) shops | Contract shops | Jobbing shops |
| Boston. | 41 | 59 | - |
| Chicago. | 65 | 26 | 9 |
| Dallas-Ft. Worth | 79 | 14 | 7 |
| Fall River-New Bedford | 27 | 73 | - |
| Los Angeles-Long Beach | 19 | 64 | 17 |
| Miami . | 39 | 54 | 7 |
| Newark-Jersey City | 21 | 75 | (1) |
| New York City . . . . . | 12 | 70 | 18 |
| Paterson-Clifton-Passaic. | - | (2) | - |
| Philadelphia | 47 | 50 | ( ${ }^{1}$ ) |
| St. Louis ... | 90 | 10 | - |
| Wilkes-Barre-Hazleton. | - | ( ${ }^{2}$ ) | ( ') |
| South Carolina .......... | 52 | 48 | - |

[^1]NOTE: Because of rounding, sums of individual items may not equal 100.

Occupation and sex. Sewing-machine operators, numerically the most important of the selected occupations, made up slightly more than one-half of the production workers in the 13 areas combined. They were two-thirds of the work force in the Paterson area, compared with slightly less than one-half in Boston, Chicago, and St. Louis, and between one-half and three-fifths in the remaining areas.

Sewing-machine operators were about evenly divided between those under the single-hand (tailor) system, in which an operator performs all or most of the sewing operations necessary to complete a garment and those under the section system, in which sewing is limited to a specific part or parts of a garment. Single-hand operators are generally highly skilled and they work on types of apparel in which the variety of design is so great and style changes so frequent as to preclude the economical use of a section system. Among the areas, the proportion of workers employed under the two systems varied substantially. For example, section system sewers ranged from virtually all operators in Wilkes-Barre-Hazleton, Fall River-New Bedford, and South Carolina to about 20 percent in New York City. No other occupation studied accounted for more than about 5 percent of the production worker total in the 13 areas combined.

Women made up a majority of workers in all jobs studied, except sewing-machine adjuster, cutter and marker, and machine presser. However, exceptions to this staffing pattern were noted. For example, in New York City, about nine-tenths of the hand pressers were men. Overall, women accounted for slightly more than 8 in every 10 of the over 50,000 production workers in the survey. Among the areas, the proportion of women ranged from three-fourths in New York City to over ninetenths in Boston, Miami, Paterson-Clifton-Passaic, Wilkes-Barre-Hazleton, and South Carolina.

Method of wage payment. The proportion of workers paid under an incentive system, typically individual piece rates, was about three-fifths for the 13 dress centers combined. It ranged from about two-fifths in Boston, Dallas-Ft. Worth, and Miami to nearly three-fourths in Paterson-Clifton-Passaic and South Carolina (table 16). Among time-rated workers, informal systems, in which rates are based primarily on the qualifications of individual workers, applied to most of the workers in 11 areas. In Chicago and St. Louis, formal systems providing ranges of rates for specific occupations were more prevalent.

In most areas, the majority of sewing-machine operators, hand pressers, and hand-and-machine pressers were paid incentive rates. Except in a few areas, time rates applied to most workers in the following occupations: Sewing-machine adjuster, assorter, cutter and marker, final inspector, thread trimmer, and work distributor.

Unionization. Establishments operating under labormanagement agreements employed two-thirds of the production workers in the survey. The proportion of workers in shops with such coverage accounted for 90 percent or more in seven areas, 88 percent in New York City, 57 percent in Boston, 36 percent in South Carolina, 11 percent in Miami, and 5 percent or less in Dallas-Ft. Worth and Los Angeles-Long Beach. Nearly all agreements were with the International Ladies' Garment Workers' Union (AFL-CIO).

## Average hourly earnings

Average hourly earnings of all production workers in the August 1977 survey ranged from $\$ 5.22$ in New York City to $\$ 2.89$ in South Carolina. Hourly pay levels of $\$ 4.50$ or more were reported in Chicago and Newark, while averages of under $\$ 3.50$ were reported in Dallas-Ft. Worth, Los Angeles, and Miami. (See tables 1-15.)

While New York City recorded the highest average in the 1974 and 1977 surveys, its increase in earnings ranked below 8 of the 11 other areas studied in both years. Between August 1974 and August 1977, wage levels in New York's dress industry advanced 14 percent, compared with 29 percent in Chicago, and between 17 and 23 percent in seven other areas. Average earnings in Wilkes-Barre-Hazleton, and Miami rose at about the same pace as in New York and at the slowest rate -4 percent-in Pater-son-Clifton-Passaic.

In each area, men as a group averaged more than women. Their earnings advantage usually averaged between 20 and 50 percent, ranging from 17 percent in Philadelphia to 93 percent in Paterson-Clifton-Passaic. Differences in the level of earnings between men and women resulted largely from the uneven distribution of the sexes among jobs with disparate pay levels. For example, in the Paterson area, men averaged $\$ 7.39$, compared with $\$ 3.83$ for women, but nearly all the men worked as cutters and markers or hand pressers, averaging $\$ 6.57$ and $\$ 7.95$ an hour, respectively. Women, on the other hand, were employed primarily as sewingmachine operators and hand sewers, jobs that paid substantially less. Differences in average pay levels for men and women also may stem from several other factors, including the distribution of the sexes among establishments with disparate pay levels. Differences noted in averages for men and women in the same job and area may reflect minor differences in duties as well. Job descriptions used in classifying workers in wage surveys usually are more generalized than those used in individual establishments, to allow for possible minor differences among establishments in specific duties performed. Also, as noted previously, earnings for some jobs in the industry are determined by production at piece rates.

Concentration of workers in the earnings array varied substantially among the areas. Workers earning between

Text table 4. Middle range of earnings for dress workers by area

| Area | First quartile | Third quartile |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Boston | \$3.21 | \$4.84 |
| Chicago. | 3.31 | 5.31 |
| Dallas. | 2.58 | 3.50 |
| Fall River-New Bedford | 3.49 | 4.72 |
| Los Angeles-Long Beach | 2.60 | 3.72 |
| Miami. | 2.56 | 3.42 |
| Newark-Jersey City. | 3.64 | 5.38 |
| New York City | 3.79 | 6.15 |
| Paterson-Clifton-Passaic | 3.27 | 4.40 |
| Philadelphia | 3.32 | 4.97 |
| St. Louis | 3.59 | 4.71 |
| Wilkes-Barre-Hazleton | 3.43 | 4.11 |
| South Carolina | 2.48 | 3.16 |

NOTE: One-fourth of the workers were below the first quartile and onefourth were above the third quartile.
\$2.30 (the Federal minimum wage in August 1977) and $\$ 2.60$ an hour accounted for 38 percent of the work force in South Carolina, 29 percent in Miami, 27 percent in Dallas-Ft. Worth, and 25 percent in Los Angeles-Long Beach; in contrast, 6 percent or less of the workers in each of the remaining areas were within 30 cents of the Federal minimum. At the upper end of the scale, workers earning at least $\$ 6$ an hour represented about 27 percent of the work force in New York City, 15-20 percent in Chicago and Newark, and between 9 and 13 percent in Boston, St. Louis, Philadelphia, and Paterson-Clifton-Passaic. In the remaining areas, such workers constituted 6 percent or less of the total work force. Within each area, the range of individual earnings was wide, reflecting the extensive use of piece-rate systems and the broad range of skills in the industry. (See text table 4.)

The basic survey tabulations did not attempt to isolate and measure any of the preceding characteristics, such as type of sewing system, as individual determinants of wage levels. Appendix A of this bulletin, however, presents a brief technical note on the results of a multiple regression analysis in which the effects of individual characteristics were isolated to a measurable degree. In several cases, the differentials produced by comparing published averages for various characteristics were markedly dissimilar from those derived by multiple regression. For example, sewing-machine operators on the single-hand (tailor) system in New York averaged 19 percent more than those on the section system, but apparently only one-half of this differential can be attributed solely to type of sewing system. Evidently, other factors, such as type of shop or price of garment, had a significant impact on the differential.

## Occupational earnings

Twelve occupations were selected to represent the various wage levels and skills of production workers and manufacturing occupations in the industry. Their incumbents accounted for at least three-fifths of all production workers in each area. Of these occupations,
cutters and markers, predominantly men and typically paid time rates, were the highest paid in six areas. They averaged from $\$ 4.20$ an hour in South Carolina to $\$ 7.61$ in New York City. Highest paid elsewhere were: Hand pressers in Newark and Jersey City (\$7.98), New York City (\$9.13), and Paterson-Clifton-Passaic (\$7.69); sewing-machine adjusters, in Fall River-New Bedford ( $\$ 6.40$ ), Wilkes-Barre-Hazleton ( $\$ 6.40$ ), and South Carolina ( $\$ 5.48$ ); and machine pressers, in Boston ( $\$ 8.97$ ). Thread trimmers, typically women and usually paid time rates, had averages ranging from $\$ 2.60$ in Miami to $\$ 4.19$ in St. Louis. They were lowest paid in six areas. Average hourly earnings of final inspectors, also relatively lowpaid, ranged from \$2.84-\$2.86 in Dallas-Ft. Worth, Los Angeles-Long Beach, and South Carolina to $\$ 4.60$ in New York City.

Earnings of individual workers varied substantially within the same job and area because of differences in pay rates among establishments visited and the extensive use of piece-rate systems. In many instances, the highest hourly earnings exceeded the lowest by $\$ 3$ an hour or more. Thus, a number of workers in comparatively lowpaying jobs earned as much as, or more than, some workers in jobs with significantly higher hourly averages. As text table 5 illustrates, there was a substantial overlap in Los Angeles-Long Beach between cutters and markers and sewing-machine operators on the section system despite the large difference in hourly averages.

Sewing-machine operators using the single-hand (tailor) system averaged more per hour than those under the section system in all 10 of the areas in which comparisons could be made. The wage advantage for single-hand system sewers ranged from 3 percent in Boston (\$4.53-\$4.41) and 6 percent in Paterson-CliftonPassaic (\$4.03-\$3.79) to 38 percent in Philadelphia (\$5.66-\$4.11) and 34 percent in Chicago (\$5.34-\$3.98). Among the remaining six areas, differentials between the two types of operators were between 10 and 19 percent.

In most instances, workers paid incentive rates averaged more per hour than time-rated workers in the same job and area. For example, earnings of section system sewing-machine operators paid incentive rates exceeded averages of their time-rated counterparts in 5 of 6 areas permitting comparison; the differences ranged from 5 percent an hour in Wilkes-Barre-Hazleton to 30 percent an hour in Newark and Jersey City. When similar comparisons were made for hand pressers, pay levels for incentive workers were higher in 8 of 9 areas for which comparable data existed-ranging from 6 percent in Miami to 78 percent in Newark and Jersey City. Variations in incentive earnings for individuals may be traceable to differences in work experience, effort, workflow, or other factors which the worker may or may not control. For example, in periods of declining production, the reduced workload available for workers paid piece rates may limit their opportunity to maximize earnings.

Text table 5. Earnings distribution of cutters and markers and sewing-machine operators, section system, Los Angeles-Long Beach

| Hourly earnings | Number of cutters and markers | Number of sewing-machine operators section system |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under \$2.80 | 11 | 974 |
| \$2.80 and under \$3.20 | 15 | 232 |
| \$3.20 and under \$3.60 | 19 | 140 |
| \$3.60 and under \$4.00 | 10 | 86 |
| \$4.00 and under \$4.40 | 19 | 54 |
| \$4.40 and under \$4.80 | 45 | 45 |
| \$4.80 and under \$5.20 | 104 | 10 |
| \$5.20 and under \$5.60 | 93 | 5 |
| \$5.60 and under \$6.00 | 4 | 10 |
| \$6.00 and under \$6.40 | 13 | - |
| \$6.40 and under \$6.80 | 8 | 3 |
| \$6.80 and under \$7.20 | 78 | - |
| \$7.20 and over. | 58 | 4 |
| Number of workers | 477 | 1,563 |
| Average hourly earnings ... | \$5.60 | \$2.93 |

## Establishment practices and supplementary wage provisions

Information was obtained on work schedules and selected supplementary benefits for production workers. Provisions for paid holidays, paid vacations, health and insurance benefits, mail-order prescription drugs, supplementary employment benefits, and retirement plans were stipulated in collective bargaining agreements between the International Ladies' Garment Workers’ Union and dress shops employing two-thirds of the production workers studied in the 13 areas combined.

Scheduled weekly hours. Work schedules of 35 hours a week were in effect in shops employing at least ninetenths of the workers in eight areas and about three-fifths in Boston (table 17). In Dallas, Miami, Los Angeles, and South Carolina - where nonunion shops predominatethe typical schedule was 40 hours.

Paid holidays. Paid holidays were provided by virtually all shops visited in 11 areas (table 18) and by about twothirds of those in Los Angeles-Long Beach and Miami. The numbers of holidays provided varied by area, and within some areas by establishment; most commonly, however, 8 to 10 days were provided annually.

Health, welfare, and vacation benefits. In 9 of 13 areas studied, employers generally contributed a specific percentage of their payrolls to a union health, welfare, and vacation fund. ${ }^{8}$ The amount of the employer contribution and the benefits available to workers varied among the areas (table 19). Health and welfare funds usually provided for doctor's care; basic hospital and surgical benefits supplemented by a major medical

[^2]program; disability insurance; maternity care benefits; eyeglasses; services at the union health center; and death benefits. Employers contributed an additional threeeighths of I percent of their covered payrolls to a national health services fund for the purpose of providing mail order prescription drugs to union workers, their families, and to retired union members.

In the other four areas studied, where workers were not as highly unionized, the health, welfare, and vacation benefits covered relatively fewer workers.

About half the shops in Los Angeles-Long Beach, three-fourths in Miami, and nine-tenths or more in Dallas-Ft. Worth and South Carolina had provisions for paid vacations, typically 1 week of pay after I year of service. Longer vacations after selected service periods were available in some shops in each area. Provisions for health insurance were found in more than two-fifths of the shops in Los Angeles-Long Beach and Miami, about nine-tenths in Dallas, and virtually all in South Carolina. The most frequently reported types of insurance were: Life, accidental death and dismemberment, hospitalization, surgical, medical, and major medical.

Supplementary unemplovment benefits. Employers having ILGWU contracts contributed one-eighth of 1 percent of their covered payrolls to a national fund providing for supplementary unemployment benefits to eligible workers whose employer has gone out of business.

A flat weekly amount of $\$ 20$ is paid for a maximum of 26 weeks, the actual number of weeks depending on length of service.

Temporary disability benefits. In Newark-Jersey City, New York City, and Paterson-Clifton-Passaic, ILGWU agreements specified that the employers pay the full cost, including the workers' contributions, of temporary disability benefits stipulated under New York and New Jersey disability benefit laws.

Retirement plans. Retirement benefits (other than Federal social security) were provided through employer contributions to a national retirement fund in establishments operating under ILGWU agreements (table 20). The amounts contributed varied among the areas from $51 / 2$ percent to $63 / 4$ percent of the covered payrolls. A benefit of $\$ 100$ a month is paid to qualified workers at age 65. Workers may retire between ages 62 and 65 with a proportionate benefit reduction for each year prior to age 65. Totally disabled workers may retire with full benefits at any age. The contracts also provided for a $\$ 500$ lumpsum death benefit payable to the workers' beneficiaries.

Retirement pension benefits were reported by onetenth of the shops visited in Miami and Los Angeles-Long Beach, one-fourth of those in Dallas-Ft. Worth, and two-fifths of those in South Carolina.

Table 1. Occupatlonal earnings: Boston, Mass. ${ }^{1}$
(Number and average straight-tıme hourıy earnings ${ }^{2}$ of workers in selected occupations in women's and misses' dress manufacturing establishments, August 1977)


1 The Boston metropolitan area consiats of Suffolk Countyo 16 communities in Essex County, 34 in Middlesex County, 26 in Norfolk County, and 12 in Plymouth County, Mass.
${ }^{2}$ Excludes premium pay for overtime and for work on weekends, holidays, and late shifts. These surveyb, based on a representative sample of establishments, are designed to measure the level of
occupational earning at a particular time. Thu日, comparieons made with previous studies may not re occupationalearnings at a particular time. Thus, comparisone made with previous studies may not re -
flect expected wage movernents because of change in the sample composition, and shifts in employment fiect expected wage movements because of change in the sample composition, and shits in employment
average, even though most establishments increaeed wages between period being compare
Approximately 55 percent of the workers in the Boaton survey were time-rated.
Workers were digtributed as follows: 1 at $\$ 9.20$ and under $\$ 9.60$; and 14 at $\$ 10$ and over
Where separate information by sex is not shown, all or virtually all workers were women
? Workers were distributed as follows:

Table 2. Occupational earnings: Chicago, III.1 (Cook County)
(Number and average straight-time hourly earnings ${ }^{2}$ of workers in selected occupations in women's and misaes' dresa manufacturing eatablishmenta, August 1977)

| Occupation and aex | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { orkers } \end{gathered}$ | Average hourly earnings | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 2.30 \\ \text { ANO } \\ \text { UNER } \\ 2.40 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.40 \\ - \\ 2.60 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.60 \\ - \\ 2.80 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.80 \\ - \\ 3.00 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MUM } \\ \hline 3.00 \\ - \\ 3.20 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { BER } \\ \hline 3.20 \\ - \\ 3.40 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9 F 40 R \\ 3.40 \\ -8.60 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { RKERS } \\ \hline 3.60 \\ - \\ 3.80 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { RECET } \\ \hline 3.80 \\ - \\ 4.00 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { NIMGS } \\ \hline .0 .00 \\ -.20 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { SIRAY } \\ 4.20 \\ - \\ 9.40 \end{gathered}$ | SHIT 4.40 - 4.60 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { InE } \\ \hline 9.60 \\ - \\ 4.80 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { HOURL } \\ \hline 4.80 \\ - \\ 5.00 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { EARM } \\ 5.00 \\ - \\ 5.40 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 41 \mathrm{M} 55 \\ 5.40 \\ - \\ 5.80 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{MN} \\ \hline 5.80 \\ - \\ 6.20 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 00 L 1 A F \\ \hline .20 \\ - \\ 6.60 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { RS } 10 \\ \hline .060 \\ - \\ 7.00 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline-- \\ 7.00 \\ - \\ 7.40 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.80 \\ - \\ 7.80 \end{gathered}$ | $\left[\begin{array}{c} 7.80 \\ - \\ 0.20 \end{array}\right]$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 8.20 \\ - \\ 8.60 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline 8.60 \\ - \\ 8.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9.00 \\ & \text { MHD } \\ & \text { OVER } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| aLL PRODUCTION WORKERS ${ }^{3}$................ nEM.. <br> HOMEM | $\begin{aligned} & 734 \\ & 151 \\ & 583 \end{aligned}$ | $\$ 4.50$ 5.51 4.24 | [ $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 2 \\ & 2\end{aligned}$ | 7 2 5 | 15 2 13 | 15 6 9 | 102 16 86 | $\begin{aligned} & 76 \\ & 14 \\ & 62 \end{aligned}$ | 50 5 4 | 61 5 5 | 40 37 | 37 <br> 6 <br> 3 | 37 3 34 | 48 3 4 | 21 17 | 26 26 | 17 3 14 | 41 14 27 | 35 26 | 16 6 10 | 19 6 13 | 11. | 15 9 6 | 12 7 5 | 11 4 2 | 10 3 | 10 10 - |
| selected production occupations * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| cutters ano markers ${ }^{3}$................ | 60 | 7.23 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 3 | 7 | * | 6 | 5 | 9 | 6 | 8 | 3 | 5 |
| IMSPECTORS, FIMAL (EXAMINERS)....... | , | 3.67 | - | - | - | - | 3 | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 |  |  |  | - |  | - |  | - |  |  |
| Pressers, hano ${ }^{\text {a }}$-...................... | 27 | 6.45 | - | - | - | - | 1. | 3 | - | 2 | - | 1 | - | - | 2 | - | 1 | - | 5 | - | 2 | 1 | - | - |  | 1 | 75 |
| Henen............................... | 21 | 5.46 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3 | - | 2 | - |  | - | - | 2 | - | 1 | , | 5 | - | 2 | 1. | - | - | 2 | 1 |  |
| SEWERS. HAND (FINISHERS) INCENTIVE | 30 30 | 4.31 4.56 | - | $-$ | - | - | 2 | 4 | 4 | - | 1 | 3 | 5 5 | 2 | 2 | - | 1 | 3 3 | 3 | - | - |  | - | - | - | - |  |
| SEGING-MACHIME OPERATORS. | 24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 2 |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SECTIOM SYSTEM....................... | 194 | 3.98 | - | - | - | - | 33 | 16 | 19 | 18 | 22 |  | 21 | 30 | 8 | 8 | , | 5 | - | 2 | - |  | - |  | - |  |  |
| INCENTIVE......................... | 180 | 3.99 | - | - | - | - | 33 | 15 | 18 | 18. | 12 | 8 | 21 | 28 | 8 | 8 | 4 | 5 | - | 2 | - |  | - | - | - | - |  |
| SEEING-MACHIME GPERATORS. <br> SIMGLE-HAND (TAILOR) SYSTEM ${ }^{\circ} \ldots$..... | 126 | 5.34 | - |  | - | 9 | 4 | d | 5 | 12 | 5 | 6 | - | 5 | - | 2 | 5 | 16 | 12 | 6 | 11 | , | 6 | 5 | - | . |  |
| WORK OISTRIBUTORSU.................... | 17 | 3.62 | - | - | - | - | 4 | 5 | 1 | 4. |  | - | - | - | - | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |

1 The Chicago area consiste of Cook County, Ill.
2 Excludes premium pay for overtime and for work on weekends, holidaya, and late shifts, These Eurveys. based on a representative ample of eatablishments, are designed to measure the evel of occupational earnings at a particular time. Thus. comparisonamade with previous studies
may not reflect expected wage movements because of change in the gample composition, and shift may not reflect expected wage movements becaue of change in the sample composition, and thift in employment among establighments with different pay levels. Such shifts, for example, could periods being compared.

Approximately 58 percent of the workers in the Chicago survey were incentive-rated.
4. 5 Virere beparate information by sex is not shown, vi
6 Virtually all workers were men, and were time-rated
\% Virtually all workers were incentive-rated.
$\$ 10.60$; and 1 at $\$ 11$ and under $\$ 11.40$.
8 Virtually all workers were time-rated

Table 3. Occupational earnings: Dallas-Ft. Worth, Tex.'
(Number and average etraight-time hourly earnings ${ }^{2}$ of workers in selected occupations in women's and misaes' dress manufacturing establishments, Auguat 1977)


Table 4. Occupational earnings: Fall River and New Bedford, Mass.-R.I. ${ }^{1}$
(Number and average straight-time hourly earnings ${ }^{2}$ of workere in selected occupations in wornen's and missest dress manufacturing eatablishments, August 1977)

' The Fall River and New Bedford area consiats of Fall River, New Bedford, and the towns of
Acushnet, Dartmouth. Dighton. Fairhaven. Freetown, Somerset, Swansea, and Weatport in Bristol Acughnet. Dartmouth. Dighton. Fairhaven, Freetown, Somerset, Swansea, and Westport in Bristol
County, Masa.; the towne of Lakeville. Marion, and Mattapoisett in Plymouth County, Mass. and the towns of Little Compton. Portamouth, and Tiverton in Newport County, R.I.
surveys, Excludes premium pay for overtime and for work on weekends, holidays, and late shifts. These surveys, based on a representative sample of establishments, are designed to measure the level of eaminge at a particular time. Thus, comparisons made with previous studies may not reflect expected
wage movements because of change in the sample composition, and shifts in employment among estab. lishments with different pay levels. Such shifts, for example, could decrease an occupational average, even though most establishmente increased wages between periods being compared.
${ }^{3}$ Approximately 60 percent of the workers in the Fall River and New Bedford survey were in -
centive-rated.
Where separate information by sex is not shown virtually all workers were women
Virtually all workers were men, and were time-rated.
Workers were distributed as follows: 1 at $\$ 7.40$ and under $\$ 7.60$; and 4 at $\$ 8$ and over Workers all workers were time-rated
follows: 3 at $\$ 6.80$ and under $\$ 7$ : 1 at $\$ 7$ and under $\$ 7.20$;
 10 Virtually all workers were incentive-rated

Table 5. Occupational earnings: Los Angeles-Long Beach, Calif. ${ }^{1}$
(Number and average straight-time hourly earnings ${ }^{2}$ of workers in aelected occupations in women's and misses' dress manufacturing establishments, August 1977)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | MEER | F Hor | RKE RS |  |  | I |  |  | Hourly |  | WINGES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Occupation and sex | Number of worker | $\left.\begin{array}{r} \text { Average } \\ \text { hourly } \\ \text { earning } s^{2} \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 2.50 \\ \text { AND } \\ \text { UNOER } \\ 2.60 \end{array}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{c} 2.60 \\ - \\ 2.70 \end{array}\right]$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.7 \\ - \\ 2,80 \end{gathered}$ | $\left[\begin{array}{c} 2.80 \\ - \\ 2.90 \end{array}\right]$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.90 \\ - \\ 3.00 \end{gathered}$ | 3.00 - 3.10 |  | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 3.20 \\ - \\ 3.30 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} 3.30 \\ - \\ 3.40 \end{gathered}\right.$ |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} 3.60 \\ - \\ 3.80 \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.80 \\ - \\ \hline .00 \end{gathered}$ | $\left[\left.\begin{array}{c} 4.00 \\ - \\ 4.20 \end{array} \right\rvert\,\right.$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.20 \\ - \\ 4.40 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.40 \\ - \\ 6.00 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.60 \\ - \\ 4.80 \end{gathered}$ | 4.80 - 5.00 | $\begin{gathered} 5.00 \\ - \\ 5.20 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.20 \\ - \\ 5.30 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.40 \\ - \\ 5.60 \end{gathered}$ | $\left[\begin{array}{c} 5.60 \\ - \\ 5.80 \end{array}\right.$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} 5.80 \\ - \\ 0.00 \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\left.0 \begin{array}{c} 6.00 \\ - \\ 0.20 \end{array}\right]$ | ( $\begin{gathered}6.20 \\ - \\ 6.40\end{gathered}$ | $0$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.80 \\ - \\ 1.20 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.20 \\ & \text { AND } \\ & \text { OVER } \end{aligned}$ |
| ALL PRODUCTION HORKERS'. | 7.744 | \$3.36 | 1946 | 385 | 865 | 253 | 234 | 582 | 266 | 343 | 224 | 395 | 526 | 219 | 319 | 144 | 190 | 147 | 75 | 171 | 52 | 99 | 39 | 11 | 15 | 22 | 23 | 91 | 88 |
| MEN... | 1,699 | 3.89 | 393 | 60 | 155 | 26 | 28 | 125 | 36 | 34 | 52 | 51 | ${ }_{6} 2$ | 33 | 57 | 22 | 40 | 37 | 31 | 94 | 35 | 68 | 16 | 2 | 10 | 12 | 16 | 8 B | 66 |
| HOMEN-............ | 6,095 | 3.21 | 2553 | 325 | 710 | 227 | 206 | 457 | 230 | 309 | 172 | 349 | 489 | 186 | 262 | 122 | 150 | 110 | 4.4 | 77 | 17 | 31 | 23 | 9 | 5 | 10 | 7 | 3 | 22 |
| selected production occupations* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Assorters............................ | 138 | 2.98 | 29 | 1 | 23 | 6 | 5 | 17 | ${ }^{8}$ | 31 | 10 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - - | - | - | - | - |
| TIME.... | 115 | 2.91 | 29 | 1 | 22 | 6 | 5 | 17 | - | 24. | 9 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| INCENTIVE........................ | 23 | 3.32 | - | - | ${ }_{7}$ | - | ${ }^{-}$ |  | ${ }^{8}$ | 7 | 7 | - | b | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - |  |  |
| HEN........................................ <br> IIME | 37 30 | 3.08 <br> 2.95 | 8 | - | 7 | - | 3 | - | $\stackrel{1}{1}$ | 5 | 7 | - | 6 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - |  |  |
| WOMEN.............................. | 101 | 2.94 | 21 | 1 | 16 | 6 | 2 | 17 | 7 | 26 | 3 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - - | - | - | - |  |
| TIME............ | 85 | 2.90 | 21 | 1 | 15 | 6 | 2 | 17 | - | 19 | 2 | 2 | - | - | - | $=$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |
| INCENTIVE........ | 16 | 3.17 | 10 | - | 1 |  | - | - | ? | 7 | 1 | - | 2 | - | 17 | - | 23 |  | 21 | 日 3 | 34 | 59 | - | 2 | - 7 | - | ${ }^{8}$ |  | 45 |
| CUTTERS AND MARKERS ${ }^{3}$................. | 477 | 5.60 | 10 | 1 |  | - | 2 | 12 | 1 | 12 |  | 7 | 2 | ${ }^{8}$ | 17 | $\stackrel{2}{2}$ | 23 | 22 | 21 | 83 | 34 | 59 | $\stackrel{2}{-}$ | 2 | $2{ }^{7}$ | 6 | 8 | 78 | ${ }^{5} 5$ |
| INSPECTORS, FINAL (EXAMINERSI....... | 172 | 2.84 | 69 | 24 | 33 | - | - | 15 | 3 |  | - | 21 21 | 1 | - |  | - | 2 | = | - | 2 2 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 1 | 1 - |  |  |
| WOMENE......... | 154 <br> 147 | 2.87 <br> 2.87 | 65 | 12 24 12 | 33 14 | - | ${ }_{5}$ | 13 15 | 3 | - | - | 21 21 | 1 | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | 2 | - | , | - | - | - | 1 | - | - |  |
| IIME...... | 129 | 2.90 | 59 | 12. | 14 | - | - | 13 | 3 | - |  | 21 | 1 | - |  | - | 2 | - |  | 2 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - |  |  |
| PRESSERS, HANO. | 368 | 3.40 | \% 4 | 17 | 3 | 3 | 23 | 8 | 42 | 22 | - | 12 | 52 | 12 | 11 | 25 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | - | 19 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 1 |  | - |
| TIME....... | 112 | 2.99 | 54 | 1 |  | 3 | ${ }^{2}$ | - | 15 | 5 |  | 12 | 18. |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| InCENTIVE. | 256 | 3.59 | 90 | 16 | 3 | - | 21 | 8 | 27 | 17 | , | - | 34. | 12 | 11 | 25 | $\stackrel{2}{-}$ | : | $\stackrel{2}{-}$ | - | - | 19 | - |  | 5 | $\underline{1}$ | 1 |  | - |
| MEN...... ${ }_{\text {IMCENTIVE. }}$ | 37 25 | 3.33 3.52 3. | 11 | 6 | - | - | - | - | - | 6 |  | - | 5 | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | $\underline{2}$ | = | - | - |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |
| wOMEN... | 331 | 3.41 | ${ }^{3}$ | 11 | 3 | 3 | 23 | 8 | 42 | 16 | ${ }_{8}$ | 12 | 47 | 8 | 11 | 25 | 2 | 1 | 2 | - | - | 19 | 1 | 1 | , | 1 | - |  |  |
| HRE...... | 100 | 2.99 | 48 | 1 |  | 3 | 2 |  | 15 | , |  | 12 | 18 |  |  |  |  | - |  | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PRESSERS IMCNTIVE..... | 231 | 3.59 | 39 | 10 | 3 | - | 21 | 8 | 27 | 11 | ${ }^{8}$ | - | 29 | - | 11 | 25 | 2 3 | 2 | ${ }^{2}$ | - | - | 19 | - | $\underline{1}$ | - | 1 2 |  |  |  |
| incentive.......................... | 31 | 3.72 | 5 | - | 2 | - | , | f | - | - | , | 1 | 3 | - | 1 | - | 3 |  | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | 2 | - |  |  |
| men...... | 21 | 3.55 | 11 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | 2 | - | - |  |
| WOMEN...... | 33 | 2.98 | 17 | - | , | - | - | 7 | - | - | - | 1 | 2 | - |  | - | ? | , | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |  | - |  |  |
| IMCENTIVE......................... | 21 | 3.26 | 5 | - | 2 |  | - | 7 |  | - |  | 1 | 2 |  |  | - | 2 | , | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SEWERS; HAND (FINISHERS) ............. | 145 | 3.12 | 27 | 4 | 24 | 4 | ${ }_{6}$ | 28 | 3 | 3 | 7 | 3 | 19. | ${ }_{2}^{2}$ |  | - | 2 | - ${ }^{6}$ | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - |  | - |
| THCENTIVE............... | 104 | 3.03 3.35 | 22 5 | - | 10 | 1 | 2 | ${ }^{24}$ | 3 | 2 | 1 | $\stackrel{3}{-}$ | 19 | - | 2 | - | 2 | 6 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - - | - | - |
| SEWING-MACHINE OPERATORS, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SECTION SYSTEM.... | 1.563 | 2.93 | 745 320 | 61 | 168 | 100 | 55 | 37 | 0. | 4. | 59 | 37 4 4 | 56 | $\stackrel{30}{-}$ |  | 30 | 21 | 29 | - | - | - | $\stackrel{1}{-}$ | ${ }^{8}$ | $\stackrel{2}{-}$ | , |  | $\stackrel{3}{-}$ | - | - |
| INCENTIVE......................... | 1.213 | 3.04 | 425 | 61 | 164 | 98 | 51 | 33 | 38 | 44 | 59 | 33 | 50 | 30 | 22 | 30 | 19 | 24 | 4 | b | , | 1 | ${ }^{-1}$ | 2 | 2 - | - | - 3 | - | - |
| hen: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| InCENTIVE. | 61 | 3.25 | 8 | 12 | 11 | 1 | ${ }^{3}$ | 2 | 7 | 1 | - | 3 | 1 | . | 1 | , | 1 |  | - 1 | - | - | - | - $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 3\end{aligned}$ |  | - |  |  |  | - |
| WOMEN................................ | 1.326 | 2.98 | 501 | 4. | 157 | 9 | 52 | 35 | 33 | 43 | 59 | 34 | 55 | ${ }^{28}$ | 23 | 28 | 20 2 | 24 | - | - | : | 1 | - |  | - | - | 3 | - | - |
| IINE....... | 174 1.152 | 2.65 3.03 | 1418 417 | 49 | 153 | 97 | 48 | 31 | 312 | 43. | 59 | 30 | ${ }^{6}$ | 28 | $2_{2}^{2}$ | 28 | 18 | 24. | - 3 | - | - | - | ; | 2 | - | - | - 3 | - | - |
| SEWING-machine operators, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SINGLE-HANO (TAILORI SYSTEM. | 2.634 | 3.41 | 398 | 184 | 217 | 74 | 76 | 189 | 97 | 162 | 70 | 188 | 287 | 136 | 177 | 55 |  | 62 | 40 | 91 | ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | ${ }^{8}$ | ${ }^{8}$ | 26 | 1 | - | - | 10 | - |
|  | 530 | 3.39 | 70 | 14 | 22 |  | 18 | 78 | 4 | $4{ }^{4}$ | 3 | 13 | 172 |  | $4{ }^{4} 1$ |  |  |  |  | 4 | - | ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |
| MENTE................................ | 2,104 147 | 3.42 3.52 | $\begin{array}{r}328 \\ 35 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 170 | 195 | 74 12 |  | 125 7 | 15 |  | 67 8 | 175 | 115 |  |  | 48 | 114 | ${ }^{6} 8$ | ${ }_{6} 6$ | 40 | - | 6 2 |  |  |  |  | , | ${ }_{8}$ | - |
| WOMEN............................... | 2.487 | 3.40 | 363 | 170 | 212 | 62 | 76 | 182 | 82 | 160 | 62 | 188 | 285 | 130 | 176 | 4 | 112 | 56 | 34 | 35 | 6 | 6 | - | 26 | 1 | - | - | 2 | - |
| İпе............................... | 526 | 3.37 | 70 | 15 | 22 |  | 18 | 74 | 4 | 41 |  | 13 | 172 | 37 | $4{ }^{46}$ |  |  |  |  | 34 |  | - |  |  |  | - | , | - | - |
| INCENTIVE......................... | 1.961 | 3.42 | 293 | 156 | 190 | 62 | 58 | 108 | 78 | 119 | 59 | 175 | 113 | 93 | 130 | 42 | 108 | 56 | 34 | 34 | 6 |  |  | 26 |  |  |  |  |  |

[^3]
## Table 5. Occupational earnings: Los Angeles-Long Beach, Calif.1-Continued

(Number and average straight-time hourly earnings ${ }^{2}$ of workers in selected occupations in women's and missea' dresa manufacturing establishmenta, August 1977)


1 The Los Angeles-Long Beach Metropolitan Area consists of Los Angeles County, Calif.
2 Excludes premium pay for overtime and for work on weekends, holidays, and late shifta. Thes aurveys, based on a representative sample of establiohments, are designed to measure the level of occupational earnings at a particular time. Thus comparisons made with previous studies may not re flect expected wage movementa because of change in the sample composition, and shifte in employment average, even though most establishments increased wages between periods being compared

3 Approximately 55 percent of the workers in the Loa Angelea-Long Beach aurvey were incentive-
rated. 4 Where separate information by sex is not shown, virtually all of the workers were women. Virtually all of the workers were men, and were time-rated
${ }^{6}$ All workers earned between $\$ 8$ and $\$ 8.40$.
g Virtually all workers were incentive-ra

Table 6. Occupational earnings: Miami, Fla. ${ }^{1}$
(Number and average straight-time hourly earnings ${ }^{2}$ of workers in selected occupations in women's and misses' dress manufacturing establishments, August 1977)

| Occupation and sex | MUMber of horkers receivikg straight-time hodrly earlings (in dollars) op-- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { ofrera } \\ \text { cor } \end{gathered}$ | Average hourly earning | $\begin{array}{r} 2.20 \\ \text { AND } \\ \text { TNDE } \\ 2.40 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.40 \\ - \\ 2.60 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.60 \\ - \\ 2.80 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.80 \\ - \\ 3.00 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.00 \\ - \\ 3.20 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.20 \\ - \\ 3.40 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.40 \\ - \\ 3.60 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.60 \\ - \\ 3.80 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.80 \\ - \\ 4.00 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \frac{1}{4.00} \\ - \\ 4.20 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.20 \\ - \\ 4.40 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.40 \\ - \\ 4.60 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.60 \\ - \\ 4.80 \end{gathered}$ | $\left[\begin{array}{c} 4.80 \\ - \\ 5.00 \end{array}\right]$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.00 \\ - \\ 5.20 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.20 \\ - \\ 5.40 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.40 \\ - \\ 5.60 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.60 \\ - \\ 5.80 \end{gathered}$ | ( $\begin{gathered}5.80 \\ - \\ 6.00\end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.00 \\ - \\ 6.20 \end{gathered}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 6.20 \\ - \\ 6.40 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.40 \\ - \\ 6.60 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.60 \\ - \\ 6.80 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.80 \\ - \\ 7.00 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 7.20 \\ - \\ 7.40 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.40 \\ & \text { AND } \\ & \text { OVER } \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1LI production vorkers3.............. | 3,012 | \$3.15 | 258 | 607 | 602 | 207 | 368 | 202 | 136 | 130 | 104 | 45 | 81 | 50 | 39 | 28 | 23 | 20 | 26 | 16 | - | 9 | 26 | 5 | 5 | 5 | - | - | 11 |
| HEN. | 297 | 4.22 | 18 | 37 |  | 9 | 32 | 16 | 16 | 14 | 4 | 8 | 11 | 11 | 19 |  | 10 | 10 | 23 | 6 |  | 1 | 22 |  | 4 |  |  | 8 | 8 |
| YOMEx | 2,715 | 3.03 | 240 | 570 | 595 | 198 | 336 | 186 | 120 | 116 | 100 | 37 | 70 | 39 | 20 | 28 | 13 | 10 | 3 | 10 |  |  | 4 | 5 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |
| SELPCTED PRODOCTIOH OCCUPATIOMS* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 SSORTERS... | 64 | 2.82 | - | 22 | 14 | 12 | 3 | 10 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\div$ | - | - | - | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| cotters amd markrrst.................. | 145 | 5.17 | - |  |  |  | 10 |  |  | 4 | $\stackrel{2}{7}$ | 6 | 11 | 11 | 19 | - | 10 | 3 | 23 | 6 | - | 1 | 16 | - | 4 | 1 | - | 8 | 6 |
| IhSpectors, final (ExABIRERS)....... | 23 | 3.01 | - | 9 | 2 | 4 |  | 1 | - | 7 | 7 | 5 | 7 |  |  |  | 3. | - | - | - | - | - | - | = | - |  |  |  | - |
| PRESSERS, BARD. ....................... | 162 | 3.26 | 4 | 41 | 21 |  | 27 | 22 | 4 | - | $?$ | 5 | 4 | - | $\underline{1}$ | 10 | ${ }^{3}$ | - | - | - | - |  | 4 | - | - |  | - |  |  |
| TIM8.. | 53 | 3.32 | - | 24 | 15 | 6 | 12 | 19 | 4 | - | 7 | 5 | 3 | - | 1 | 10 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - |
| Incemitr | 159 | 3.32 3.21. | 4 | 37 | 19 | 6 | 27 | 22 | 4 | - | 7 | 5 | 7 | - | 1 | 10 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |  |  |
| voввк............................. | 49 | 2.88 | 4 | 17 | 6 |  | 15 | 3 | - | - |  | - | 4 | - | , |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  | - |
| IM CBNTIVE., | 103 | 3.36 |  | 20 | 13 | 6 | 12 | 19 | 4 | - | 7 | 5 | $\stackrel{3}{-}$ | - | 1 | 10 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - |  | - |  |  |
| pressers, hachius. | 27 | 4.34 | - |  | 1 |  | 7 | - | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | - | - | , | $\stackrel{3}{3}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  | - |
| T1 1 ¢ | 11 | 5.71 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | - |  | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - |  | 2 |
| neh....... | 15 | 4.78 | - | - | - |  | 3 | - | 4 | 2 | - | 2 | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - |  | - | - | $\stackrel{2}{-}$ |
| TIRE.... | 7 | 3.47 5.97 | - | - | - | - | 3 | - | 2 | 2 | - | $-$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - |  | - |  | 2 |
| HOHEY. | 12 | 3.80 | - | - | 1 | - | 4 | - | - | 2 | 2 | - | - | - | - | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| sbrbas, hand (PIMSEBES)............. | 76 | 2.89 | 12 | 10 | 14 | - | 25 | 4 | 7 |  | - | 4 | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| TIHz............................. | 62 | 2.79 | 12 | 10. | 14 | - | 18 | 4 |  |  |  | c |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SEIING-MACBIRE OPEPRTORS, |  |  | 103 |  |  |  | 137 | 88 | 37 | 26 | 35 | - | 9 | 10 | 2 | 3 | - | 2 | 3 | 5 | - | - | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | . | - | - |
| SECTIOM SIns.o.............................. | ${ }^{+} 556$ | 2.74 | 14 | 126 | 265 | 37 | 65 | 31 | 5 | $\theta$ | 5 | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |  | - | - |  |
| IMCEMTIVE.. | 612 | 3.05 | 89 | 116 | 85 | 61 | 72 | 57 | 32 | 18 | 30 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 2 | 3 | - | 2 | 3 | 5 | - | - | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | - |  |  |
| SEMIMG-hachime oprritors. |  |  |  |  |  |  | 37 | 35 | 40 | 60 | 43 | 12 | 25 | 11 | 3 | 9 | 10 | 4 | - | 3 | - | - | - |  | - |  | - | - |  |
| SIMgle-kind (tatlor) Sistbu........ | 495 | 3.43 3.45 |  | 63 | 49 | 50 | 21 | 27 | 40 | 36 | 43 | 8 | 17 | 11 | 3 | 9 | 10 | 4 | - | 3 | - | 8 | - | 3 | - | - | - | - | ${ }^{3}$ |
|  | 164 | 2.60 | 43 | 78 | 17 | 2 | 10 | 3 | 3 |  | 1 | - | 7 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - |
| tinz..........t. | 144 | 2.47 | 43 | 76 | 17 | 2 | 6 | - |  | - | - | - | 7 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - |
| ISCEMTIVE.. | 20 | 3.55 |  | $\stackrel{2}{4}$ | 17 |  | $1{ }^{4}$ | 1 | 3 | $\overline{2}$ | 1 | 1 | 7 |  | - | - |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |
| gork distribotors........... | 48 | 2.86 | 3 | 4 | 17 | 7 | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

${ }_{2}^{1}$ The Miami metropolitan area consists of Dade County, Fla.
2 Excludes premium pay for overtime and for wounty, Flan weekends, holidays, and late shffts. These surveys, based on a representative sample of establishments, are designed to measure the level of
occupational earnings at a particular time. Thus, comparisons made with previous atudies may not occupational earnings at a particular time. Thus, comparisons made with previous atudie may not
reflect expected wage movements because of change in the sample composition, and shifta in employment among establiahments with different pay levels. Such shifte, for example, could decrease an ac-
cupational average, even though most establiohmenta increased wages between period being compared 3 Approximately 58 percent of the workera in the Miami gurvey were time-rated,

- Where separate information by sex is not shown, virtually all workers were women,
${ }_{6}$ Virtually all workers were time-rated.
${ }_{7}$ Virtually all workers were men, and were time-rated.
${ }^{7}$ All workers earned $\$ 8,60$ or over.

Table 7. Occupational earnings: Newark and Jersey City, N.J. ${ }^{1}$
(Number and average straight-time hourly earnings ${ }^{2}$ of workers in selected occupationa in women's and misses' dress manufacturing establiahments, August 1977)

' The Newark and Jersey City area consists of Esex, Hudson, Morris, Somerset, and Union Countie ${ }_{2}$, New Jersey
${ }_{2}$ Excludes premium pay for overtime and for work on weekends, holidays, and late shifts. Thes surveys, based on a representative sample of establishments, a re designed to measure the level of occupational earnings at a particular time. Thus, compariaons made with previous studies may not re
llect expected wage movements because of change in the sample composition, and shifta in employment among establishments with different pay levels. Such shifts, for example, could decrease an occupa tional average, even though most establishments increased wages between periods being compared. rated.

1 at $\$ 9$ and under $\$ 9.40 ; 1$ at $\$ 9.40$ and under $\$ 9.80 ; 6$ at $\$ 10.20$ and under $\$ 10.60$; and 48 at $\$ 10.60$ and over.
${ }^{5}$ Where separate information by sex is not shown, virtually all workers were women.
6 Virtually all workers were men, and were time-rated.
1 at $\$ 9.40$ and under $\$ 9.80$; 6 at $\$ 9.80$ and under $\$ 10.20 ; 6$ at $\$ 10.20$ and under $\$ 10.60$; and 42 at $\$ 10.60$ and over.
risually all workers were incentive_rated.

Table 8. Occupational earnings: New York City, N.Y.'—All shops
(Numberandaverage straight-time hourly earning a ${ }^{2}$ of workers in selected occupations in women's and misaes' dresa manufacturing establishments, August 1977)

| Occupation and sex | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { orkera } \end{gathered}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Average } \\ \text { hourly } \\ \text { earningag } \end{array}\right\|$ | HUMDER Of Horkers receivimg strnight-time hourly earmimgs ilm dollarsi of-- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|r\|} \hline 2.30 \\ 1 \mathrm{MD} \\ \text { JNDER } \\ 2.40 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.00 \\ - \\ 2.50 \end{gathered}$ | 2.50 - 2.60 | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} 2.60 \\ - \\ 2.70 \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\begin{gathered} 2,70 \\ - \\ 2,80 \end{gathered}$ | 2.80 - 2.90 | $\begin{array}{r} 2.90 \\ - \\ 3.00 \end{array}$ | 3.00 3.20 | 3.20 <br> 3.40 | 3.40 - 3.60 | 3.60 <br> - <br> 3.60 | ( $\begin{gathered}3.80 \\ - \\ 4.00\end{gathered}$ | 4.00 - 0.20 | 4.20 - 4.00 | 4.40 | 4.60 - 4.80 | ( $\begin{gathered}\text {. } 80 \\ - \\ 5.00\end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{5.00}{-}$ | [ $\begin{gathered}5.50 \\ - \\ 6.00\end{gathered}$ | ( ${ }_{\text {6.00 }}$ | [ $\begin{gathered}6.50 \\ - \\ 7.00\end{gathered}$ | 7.00 7.50 | $\begin{gathered} 7.50 \\ - \\ 8.00 \end{gathered}$ | (\%.00 | ( $\begin{gathered}8.50 \\ \\ 9.00\end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.00 \\ - \\ 0.50 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9.50 \\ & \text { ANO } \\ & \text { OVER } \end{aligned}$ |
| ALL PREDUCTION WORKERS ${ }^{3}$ | 20.048 | 85.22 | 258 | 81 | 169 | 150 | 161 | 186 | 187 | 584 | 630 | 1130 | 1525 | 1319 | 1296 | 1333 | 920 | 658 | 592 | 1846 | 1689 | 1158 | 889 | 889 | 614 | 438 | 358 | 230 | 779 |
|  | 4.887 | 6.66 | 97 | 17 | 117 | 15 | 49 | 50 | 35 | 68 | 95 | 102 | 198 | 92 | 189 | 227 | 193 | 65 | 63 | 276 | 378 | 233 | 145 | 338 | 301 | 340 | 315 | 181 | 700 |
| wone | 15.161 | 4.75 | 161 | 64. | 52 | 135 | 112 | 128 | 152 | 516 | 535 | 1028 | 1327 | 1227 | 1107 | 1106 | 727 | 593 | 529 | 1570 | 1291 | -25 | 744 | 551 | 323 | 97 | 43 | 49 | 79 |
| SELECTED PRODUCTIOM occuparions ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ASSORTERS | 224 | 4.08 | - | - | , | 12 | 3 | 14 | - | - | 13 | 11 | 26 | 25 | 14 | 17 | 28 | 22 | 17 | 5 | 6 |  | 7 | - | - |  |  |  | - |
| nem.- | 9 | 4.15 | - | - | * |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |  |  | ${ }^{\circ}$ | 8 | 11 | 28 |  | ${ }^{8}$ | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nonew.- | 134 | 4.03 |  |  |  | 12 | 3 | 14 |  |  |  | 3 | 20 | 19 | 6 | 6 |  | 22 | 9 |  | 6 |  | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CUTIERS amo markers ${ }^{\text {P }}$ | 1.215 | 7.61 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  | 24 |  |  | 71 | 87 | 94 | 19 | 205 | 185 | 168 | 8 | 9 | 97 |
| IMSPECTORS. FIMAL IEXAHINERS 16....... | 316 | 4.60 | 6 | - |  |  | = |  | 3 | 18 |  | 19 | 37 | 11 | 37 | 28 | 7 | 8 | 12 | 31 | 22 | 19 | 18 |  | 14 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 36 | 4.22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 17 |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |
| PRESSERS. HAMO | 1.190 | 9.65 | $\checkmark$ | - | - | - | - |  |  | 12 | 6 | . | , | 18 | 15 | 24 | 3 |  | 12 | 29 | 134 | 21 | 38 | 93 | 68 | 9 | 1 | 1 | 4 |
| TIME... | 73 | 6.34 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  | 12 | 6 |  |  | 6 | 3 | 9 | 9 | 2 |  | 7 |  | 9 |  |  | 10 |
| IMCENTIVE. | 1.117 | 9.31 | - | - | - | - | - |  |  | 2 | b | 6 | - | 12 | 9 |  | 3 |  | 9 | 15 | 125 | 10 | 18 | \% 6 | 68 | 3 | 81 | 91 | 454 |
| ne wi.. | 1.057 | 0.59 | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  | - |  |  | 6 | - |  | 3 |  |  | 6 | 114 | 19 | 38 | 83 | 58 | 8 | 81 | 05 | 461 |
| WOnEM.... | 133 | 5.47 |  | - |  | - | - |  |  | 12 |  | 6 | - | 12 | 6 |  |  | 6 | 12 | 18 | 20 |  |  | 10 | 10 | , |  |  |  |
| TIME...... | [ 29 | 4. 514 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |  | 12 |  |  |  | - |  | ${ }^{5}$ | 17 | $\underline{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PRESSERS. HANO ANO ẄACHI | 104 | 5.71 8.43 | - | - | - | - |  | Z |  | 12 |  | 6 | 6 | - | $\stackrel{6}{6}$ | 6 |  | - |  |  | 176 | $\overline{6}$ | - | 10 | 10 | 3 | ${ }_{6}$ |  | 1036 |
| IMCEMTIVE......... | 45 | 10.50 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - |  | - |  | - |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{6}$ |  | 36 |
| ME M.-............... | 72 | 8.81 |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | * |  | - |  |  | 6 | 6 | 6 | 3 |  | 3 | * |  |  |
| SEuErsa hand (fiwishers). | 1.094 | 1.050 | - | 15 |  | 16 | 17 | 3 | 5 | 00 | 61 | 97 | 121 | 101 | 59 | - 5 |  |  | 6 |  | 121 | 67 | ${ }^{1}$ | $3{ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| TInE................... | 308 | 3.75 | - |  |  | 12 | 17 |  |  | 35 | 36 | 73 | 3 | 36 | 9 | $\bigcirc$ | 7 | 7 |  | 9 | 10 | $\bigcirc$ | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| INCENTIVE-.............. | 786 | 4.80 |  | 15 |  | , |  | 3 | 5 | 45 | 25 | 24 | 78 | 65 | 50 | 3. | 24 | 21 | 6 | 12 | 111 | 58 | 56 | 22 | - |  | 4 |  | , |
| SEMIMGAMACHINE OPERATORS. SECTIOM SYSTEM. | 2.264 |  | 32 | 5 |  | 27 | 22 | 23 | 35 | 117 | 79 | 187 | 232 |  | 235 | 252 | 124 |  | 104 | 157 | 107 | 11 | 20 | 39 | 6 |  |  |  | - |
| TME.-......... | 344 | 4.23 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 47 | 69 | ${ }_{48}{ }^{29}$ | ${ }_{27}^{235}$ | ${ }_{39} 3$ | 18 | 26 | 12 | 45 |  | ${ }_{6}$ | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| INCEMTIVE..... | 1.920 | 4.20 | 32 | 5 | B | 27 | 22 | 23 | 35 | 117 | 78 | 140 | 163 | 231 | 206 | 213 | 106 | 15 | 92 | 112 | 104 | 35 | 18 | 39 | 18 |  |  |  |  |
| SEMIMG-MACHIWE OPERATORS, SIMGLE-HANO (TAILOR) SYSTEM | 7,866 | 5.00 | 53 | 24 | 19 | 16 | 20 | 41 | 74 | 172 | 227 | 356 | 560 |  |  |  | 395 |  |  |  |  |  | 395 | 303 | 213 | 0 |  | 25 | 34 |
| THAEAO TRIMAERS CLEAMERSH. | 126 | 3.58 | 26 | 2 | 6 | 30 | 15 | 28 | 14 | 55 | 77 | 132 | 122 | 60 | ${ }^{3} \dot{1}$ | 46 | 4 |  | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WORK olstritutiors | 52 | 3.48 | 12 |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  | , |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |
| W¢...- | 22 | 2.75 | 12 | - |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wone | 30 | 4.01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| N. Y. The New York City area conaints of Bronx. Kings. New York. Queens, and Richmond Counties. surveyn, based on a representative sample of eatablishmenta, are designed to measure the level of occupational earninge at a particular time. Thu日, comparisons made with previous studiea may not reflect expected wage movemente bocause of change in the sample compooitlon, and shifts in employment among eatabluhmente with different pay levela. Such ahifta, for example could decrease an occupational average, even though moat establithments increased wages between periods being compared. <br> Approximately 58 percent of the workers in the New York City survey were incentive-rated. <br> unders $\$ 12.50$; and 213 at $\$ 12.50$ and over. <br> Virtually all workers were time-rated. <br> Virtually all workers were men. and were time-rated. Workers were distributed as follows: 40 at $\$ 9.50$ and <br> 64 at $\$ 10$. 50 and under $\$ 11 ; 51$ at $\$ 11$ and under $\$ 11.50 ; 30$ at $\$ 11.50$ and under $\$ 12 ; 12$ at $\$ 10$. 50 ; under $\$ 12.50$; and 186 at $\$ 12.50$ and over. <br> Virtually all workers were incentive-rated. <br> 6 at $\$ 10.50$ and under $\$ 11 ; 18$ at $\$ 11.50$ and under $\$ 12 ; 3$ at $\$ 12$ and under $\$ 10 ; 3$ at $\$ 10$ and under $\$ 10.50$; |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 9. Occupational earnings: New York City, N.Y.1—Regular and cutting shops
(Number and average straight-time hourly earnings ${ }^{2}$ of workers in selected occupations in women's and missea' dress manufacturing establishments, August 1977)


## Table 10. Occupational earnings: New York City, N.Y.'-Contract shops

(Number and average straight-time hourly earninga ${ }^{2}$ of workers in selected occupations in women's and misses' dress manufacturing eatablishmente, August 1977)


[^4]under ${ }_{3} \$ 12.50$; and 153 at $\$ 12.50$ and over.
Where separate information by aex is not shown, virtually all workers were women.
Virtually all workers were time-rated.
12 at
12 at $\$ 11$ and under $\$ 11,50 ; 6$ at $\$ 11.50$ and under $\$ 12$; and 6 at $\$ 12.50$ and over.
Virtually
, Workers were distributed as follows: 40 at $\$ 9.50$ and under $\$ 10$. 66 at $\$ 10$ and under $\$ 10.50$ 46 at $\$ 10.50$ and under $\$ 11 ; 30$ at $\$ 11$ and under $\$ 11,50 ; 26$ at $\$ 11.50$ and under $\$ 12 ; 12$ at $\$ 12$ and under $\$ 12.50$ and 147 at $\$ 12.50$ and over. 10 Workers were distributed as follows: 6 at $\$ 9.50$ and under $\$ 10 ; 3$ at $\$ 10$ and under $\$ 10$. 50 ; $\$ 10.50$ and under $\$ 11 ; 18$ at $\$ 11.50$ and under $\$ 12$; and 3 at $\$ 12$ and under $\$ 12$. 50 .

Table 11. Occupational earnings: Paterson-Clifton-Passaic, N.Y.'
(Number and average atraight-time hourly earnings ${ }^{2}$ of workers in selected occupations in women's and misses' dress manufacturing establishments, August 19p7)


Table 12. Occupational earnings: Philadelphia, Pa.-N.J.'
(Number and average atraight-time hourly earnings ${ }^{2}$ of workers in selected occupations in women's and misses' dress manufacturing establishments, August 1977)

| Occupation and sex | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { oorkers } \end{gathered}$ | Average hourly earnings ${ }^{2}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.30 \\ \text { AND } \\ \text { HDER } \\ 2.40 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.40 \\ - \\ 2.50 \end{gathered}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{c} 2.50 \\ - \\ 2.60 \end{array}\right]$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.60 \\ - \\ 2.70 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.70 \\ - \\ 2.80 \end{gathered}$ | $\frac{\mathrm{NUM}}{\substack{2.80 \\-\\ 2.90}}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { MAER } \\ \hline 2.90 \\ - \\ 3.00 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left.\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 3.00 \\ - \\ 3.20 \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { RKERS } \\ \hline 3.20 \\ - \\ 3.40 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { RECEI } \\ \hline \mathbf{3 . 4 0} \\ - \\ 3.60 \end{gathered}$ | IVING | STRAI 3.80 - 4.00 | $\begin{gathered} \text { IGHT-1 } \\ 4.00 \\ - \\ 4.20 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { TIME } \\ 4.20 \\ - \\ 4.40 \end{gathered}$ | OUFLY 4.40 - 4.60 | $\begin{gathered} Y \text { EARA } \\ \hline 4.60 \\ - \\ 4.80 \end{gathered}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { NINGS } \\ \hline .80 \\ 5.20 \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | $\left.\begin{gathered} 1 \mathrm{IN} 0 \\ 5.20 \\ - \\ 5.60 \end{gathered} \right\rvert\,$ | $\left.\begin{gathered} \text { DOLLAR } \\ \hline 5.60 \\ - \\ 6.00 \end{gathered} \right\rvert\,$ |  | $\left.\begin{gathered} F-- \\ \hline 6.60 \\ - \\ 6.80 \end{gathered} \right\rvert\,$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.80 \\ - \\ 7.20 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.20 \\ - \\ 7.60 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.60 \\ - \\ 0.00 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.00 \\ - \\ 8.40 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.40 \\ - \\ 0.80 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B. } 80 \\ & \text { AND } \\ & \text { OVER } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALL PRODUCTION WORKERS ${ }^{3}$.............. | 1.308 150 | 54.29 4.92 | 38 12 | 3 1 | 17 2 | 10 1 | 9 | 14 1 1 | 7 | 87 12 | 245 14 | 130 13 |  | 75 6 | 64 7 | 59 8 | 56 | 43 | 70 1 | 60 2 | 50 2 | 22 | 40 9 | 47 36 | 23 7 | [ 23 | 9 2 | $-$ |  |
| WOMFN | 1,158 | 4.20 | 26 | 2 | 15. | 9 | 8 | 13 | 7 | 75 | 231 | 117 | 84 | 69 | 57 | 57 | 55 | 43 | 69 | 58 | 48 | 22 | 31 | 11 | 16 | 17 | 7 | 76 |  |
| SELECTED Production occupations ${ }^{4}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| assortars5............................. | 38 | 3.36 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 11 | 11 | 5 | 2. | 3 | - | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - - |  |
| WOMFN.............................. | 34 | 3.35 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 11 | 11 | 2 | 1 | ${ }^{3}$ | - | 3 | - | - | - | \% | I | - |  | 35 |  | 5 |  |  |  |
|  | 61 4 4 | 7.02 3.45 | - | - | 1 | - | - | ? | - | - | 21 | $\overline{2}$ | 6 | ${ }_{3}{ }^{-}$ | - | 1 | $i$ | - | - | ? | - | - | - | 35. | - | - | - | - |  |
| INSPECTORS, FINAL IEXAMINERSI........ | 96 | 4.86 | - | - | - | - | - | $-$ | - | 2 | ${ }_{8}$ | 4 | 13 | 7 | 9 | 5 | 4 | 6 | 5 | 9 | 11 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 21 |  |
| TIME. ............................. | 30 | 4.13 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | 1 | 7 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 4 | - | 4 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  |  |  |
| INCENTIVE. ........................ | 66 | 5.20 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 6 | 3 | 6 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 11 | 3 | 2 | , | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1\| |  |
| SEWERS, Hand (FINISHERSI.............. |  | 3.61 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 2 | 14 | 6 | 1 | 5 | $\underline{2}$ | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  |
| ITME INCENTIVE............................. | 21 15 | 3.46 3.85 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |  | $\stackrel{-}{-}$ | 14 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| SEWING-MACHINE OPERATORS, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SECTIDN SYSTEM........................ | 497 | 4.11 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 148 | 60 | 47 | 34 | 33 | 30 | 32 | 23 | 29 | 21 | 14 | 7 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 3 3 | 3 |  |
| INCENTIVE....................... | 401 | 4.17 | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | 127 | 44 | 30. | 25 | 22 | 23 | 26 | 20 | 27 | 20 | 14 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 5 * | $3^{3}$ | - |  |
|  | 187 | 5.66 | - | - |  | - |  | 2 |  | 1 | - | 2 |  | 6 | 7 | 11 | 11 | 9 | 2 B | 23 | 22 | 12 | 20 | 6 | 8 | 10 | 2 | 3 |  |
| THREAD TRIMMERS 1 CLEANERS 3.......... | 55 | 3.25 | - | - | 4 | 2 | 2 | - | - | 14 | 7 | 22 | 2 | - | - | $?$ | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - |  | - |  |
| WORK DISTRIAUTORS..................... | 9 | 3.87 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - |  | - | 3 | - | - | 1 | ? | 1 | - | 1 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ The Philadelphia area consists of Philadelphia and Delaware Counties, Pa., and Camden County. N.J. ${ }^{2}$ Excludea pramium pay for ouartime and for work on weekends, holtiaya, and late shifts. These surveys, based on a representative sample of establishments, are designed to measure the level of occupational earninga at a particular time. Thus, comparisons made with previous studies may not

average, even though most establishmenta increased wages between periods being compared.
Approximately 56 percent of the workers in the Phlladelphia survey were incentive-ra
Where separate information by bex is n
Virtually all workers were time-rated.
${ }^{6}$ Virtually all workers were men, and were time-rated.
I Virtually all workers were incentive-rated.

## Table 13. Occupational earnings: St. Louis, Mo.-III.'

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Num | AER | F WOR | RKERS | RECEI | IVING | STRA | GHT-T | TIME | HOURL | EAR | NINGS |  | dollar | S) 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Occupation and sex | Number of worker | Average <br> hourly earningb | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 2.30 \\ \text { AND } \\ \text { UDER } \\ 2.40 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.40 \\ - \\ 2.60 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 2.60 \\ - \\ 2.80 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.80 \\ - \\ 3.00 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.00 \\ - \\ 3.20 \end{gathered}$ | $\left[\begin{array}{c} 3.20 \\ - \\ 3.40 \end{array}\right]$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.40 \\ - \\ 3.60 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.60 \\ - \\ 3.80 \end{gathered}$ | 3.80 - 4.00 | $\begin{gathered} 4.00 \\ - \\ 4.20 \end{gathered}$ | \| $\begin{gathered}4.20 \\ - \\ 4.40\end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.40 \\ - \\ 4.60 \end{gathered}$ | 4.60 | 4.80 - 5.00 | $\left.\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{c} 5.00 \\ - \\ 5.20 \end{array}\right.\right]$ | 5.20 - 5.60 | 5.40 | [ $\begin{gathered}5.60 \\ - \\ 5.80\end{gathered}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 5.80 \\ - \\ 8.00 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 6.00 \\ - \\ 6.20 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.20 \\ - \\ 6.40 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.40 \\ - \\ 6.60 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.60 \\ - \\ 6.80 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.80 \\ - \\ 7.00 \end{gathered}$ | $\left[\begin{array}{c} 7.00 \\ - \\ 7.40 \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.40 \\ - \\ 7.80 \end{gathered}$ | 7.8O AND OVER |
| ALL PRODUCTION WORKERS ${ }^{\text {3 }}$ MEN.......................................... | 712 83 | $\$ 4.36$ 5.49 | 1 | 3 2 | 8 2 | $\stackrel{4}{1}$ | 21 3 | 4 <br> 9 | 104 | 96 2 | 94 10 |  | 46 1 | $\begin{array}{r}37 \\ 2 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 34 1 | 19. | $\stackrel{19}{-}$ | 13 2 | 5 | 11 | $\stackrel{6}{-}$ | 15. | 11 2 |  | 3 | 6 | 36 27 |  | 10 3 |
| WOMEN................................. | 629 | 4.21 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 3 | 18 | 34 | 102 | 94 | 84 | 50 | 45 | 35 | 33 | 18. | 19 | 11 | 5 | 11 | 6 | 6 | 9 | 4 | 3 | 6 | 9 | 1 | 7 |
| SElected production occupations* assorters ${ }^{5}$ | 27 | 3.87 | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | 3 | 4 | 10 | 2 | $3)$ | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  | - | - | - |  | - |
| CUTTERS AND MARKERS ................... | 46 | 6.54 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2 | $-$ | - | - | 1 | - | 2 | - | - | - | 10 |  | 1 | - |  | 27 | 3 | 3 |
| MEN.............................. | 42 | 7.01 |  | - | - | - | - | 2 | I | 5 | 6 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | $?$ | - | - | - | 7 |  |  | - |  | 27 | 3 | 3 |
| INSPECTORS, FINAL (EXAMINERS)....... | 23 17 | $\begin{array}{r}3.93 \\ 3.82 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | - | - | - | $=$ | - | 2 | 1 | 5 5 | 6 | 3 | 5 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| PRESSERS, HANO ${ }^{\text {i }}$, ........................ | 44 | 4.81 | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | $-$ | 1 | 2 | 10 | 4. | 5 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 4 | - | 1 | 2 | - | - | - | = | 2 | = | - | $\overline{2}$ |
| SEWERS. HAND (FINISHERS) <br> INCENTIVE | 14 | 4.30 4.58 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 1 | $?$ | 1 | 2 | $\stackrel{4}{4}$ | , | 2 | - | - | , | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | $\stackrel{-}{2}$ | - | - | 1 |
| SEWING-MACHINE OPERATORS. SECTION SYSTEM ${ }^{\circ}$ | 266 | 4.28 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 78 | 1 38 | 2 23 | 26 | 16 | 12 | 13 | ${ }_{6}$ | 1 | 4 | - 3 | 5 | ${ }_{3}^{-}$ | 2 | 5 | 1 | - | 3 | - |  | 1 |
| SEWING-MACHINE OPERATORS, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 23 | 26 |  | 19 | 13 | 6 | ${ }^{4}$ | 4 | 3 | 5 | ${ }^{3}$ | 2 | 5 | 1 | z | 3 | ${ }^{4}$ | 1 | 2 |
| SINGLE-HAND (TAILOR) SYSTEM ${ }^{\text {b }}$...... | 58 | 4.90 | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\bigcirc$ | 7 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 3 | 5 | R | 4 | 2 | - | 5 | - | - | 1 | 2 | 1 | - | 3 | - | 2 |
|  | $2{ }^{7}$ | 4.19 3.75 | - | - | - | = | $\frac{2}{2}$ | $\overline{1}$ | 1 | $\stackrel{2}{3}$ | $\overline{9}$ | - | 3 | $=$ | - | $=$ | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | $=$ | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

: The St. Louis Metropolitan Area consists of St. Louis City, Franklin, Jefferson, St. Charles, and St. Louis Counties, Mo.; and Clinton, Madison, Monroe, and St. Clair Counties, Ill. ${ }_{2}$ Excludes premium pay for overtime and for work on weekends, holidays, and late shifts. These
 occupational earnings at a particular time. chas. comparisected wage movements because of change in the sample composition, and shifts in employ-俗
occupational average, even though most establishments increased wages between the periods being occupationa
compared.

Approximately 58 percent of the workers are incentive-rated.
Where separate information by sex is not shown virtually all workers were women.
${ }_{6}^{5}$ Virtually all workers were time-rated.

Table 14. Occupational earnings: Wilkes-Barre-Hazleton, Pa. ${ }^{1}$
(Number and average straight-time hourly earnings ${ }^{2}$ of workers in selected occupations in women's and missest dress manufacturing establishments, August 1977)


[^5]${ }_{3}$ * Where separate information by sex is not shown, virtually all workers were women.
Workers were distributed as followe: lat $\$ 7.60$ and under $\$ 8 ; 4$ at $\$ 8$ and under $\$ 8.40$; and 2 at $\$ 10$ and over

Virtually all workers were men, and were time-rated
Workers were distributed as follows: 22 at $\$ 7.60$ and under $\$ 8 ; 10$ at $\$ 8$ or under $\$ 8.40 ; 8$ at $\$ 10$; and 8 at $\$ 10$ and over.

Table 15. Occupational earnings: South Carolina
(Number and average straight-time hourly earnings ' of workers in selected occupations in women's and misses' dress manufacturing establishments, August 1977)

| Occupation and sex | MOMER OF RORRERS R ECEIVIIG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | StBAIGHT-TIRE HOURLY BARMINGS (IM DOLLARS) OP-- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of workers |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 2.40 \\ - \\ 2.60 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.60 \\ - \\ 2.80 \end{gathered}$ | $\left[\begin{array}{c} 2.8 त \\ - \\ 3.00 \end{array}\right.$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 3.00 \\ - \\ 3.20 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.20 \\ - \\ 3.40 \end{gathered}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 3.40 \\ - \\ 3.60 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left[\begin{array}{c} 3.60 \\ - \\ 3.80 \end{array}\right]$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.80 \\ - \\ 4.00 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.00 \\ - \\ 4.20 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.20 \\ - \\ 4.40 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.40 \\ - \\ 4.60 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 4. } 60 \\ - \\ 4.80 \end{gathered}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 4.80 \\ - \\ 5.00 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.00 \\ - \\ 5.20 \end{gathered}$ | (10 $\begin{gathered}5.20 \\ - \\ 5.40\end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.40 \\ -6.60 \\ 5.00 \end{gathered}$ | 5.60-5.80 | \% |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |
|  |  |  |  | 6.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6.20 | 6.40 | 6.60 | 6.80 |
| all production | 1,518 | \$2.89 | 249 |  | 330 | 294 | 129 | 165 | 112 | 75 | 39 | 31 | 26 | 18 | 18 | 9 | ${ }_{2}^{2}$ | 10 | ${ }^{3}$ |  | - |  | 1 | 3 | 2 | 2 |
| HEE. | 130 | 3.70 |  |  | 15 | 15 |  |  | 18 | 10 |  |  |  | 6 |  | 6 | 2 |  | 2 |  |  |  | 1 | 3 | 2 | 2 |
| wonst | 1,388 | 2.82 | 246 | 315 | 279 | 126 | 156 | 94 | 65 | 33 | 23 | 20 | 12 | 13 | 3 |  | 2 | 1 |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| SELECTEE Production occupations ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| adjusters.:............................. | 16 | 5.48 |  |  | - |  |  |  | 1 | - |  | - | - |  | 4 | 2 | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | 3 | 2 | 2 |
| Assortibs | 36 | 2.71 | 2 | 16 | 6 | , | 6 |  | - | 2 |  | - |  | - | - | - |  |  |  | - |  | - |  |  |  |
|  | 32 | 2.65 | 2 | 16 | 4 | 4 | 6 |  | - |  | = |  |  | - | - | - |  | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - |
| COTtERS A \% Hakkersi................ | 28 | 4.20 | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 4 | 2 | = | 6 | 4 | 4 | - | - | 6 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |  |
| AEI................................ | 23 | 4.21 | - |  | $-$ |  | - |  | 4 | 2 |  | 2 | 4 | 3 | - |  | 6 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| IMSPBCTORS, PIMAI (EXA BIMESS)....... | 47 | 2.86 | - | 16 | 12 | - | 9 |  | 10 |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  | - | - |  | - |  | - |  |
|  | 12 103 | 3.17 2.62 | 40 |  |  |  | 8 |  | 6 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  | = | - |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
|  | 103 | 2.62 | 40 | 6 | 30 | 15 | 8 |  | 2 | 2 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  | - | - |  | - |  |
| section srstzit..................... | 815 | 2.81 | 134 | 210 | 186 | 68 | 64 | 49 | 33 | 25 | 11 | 14 | 7 | 10 | 2 | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| thabid trimhzas (cleakers)........... | 22 | 2.84 | 8 | , |  |  | 4 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | , | - |  |  |  |  | - |  |  | - | - | - |
| MORK DIStbibutors:................... | 30 | 3. 10 |  | 2 | 6 | 1 | 4 | 17 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  | - | - |  |
|  | 28 | 3.08 |  | 2 | 6 |  | 4 | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 Excludes premium pay for overtime and for work on weekends, holidays, and late <br> ${ }^{2}$ Approximately 73 percent of the workers in the South Carolina survey were incenshifta. Theae surveys, based on a representative sample of establishmenta, are designed to tive-rated. meanure the level of occupational earnings at a particular time. Thus, comparisons made <br> ${ }^{3}$ Where separate information by sex is not shown, virtually all workers were wornen. with previous atudiea may not reflect expected wage movements because of change in the <br> 5 Virtually all workers were men, and were time-rated. ample composition, and shifts in employment mong eatablishments with different pay <br> ${ }^{5}$ Virtually all workera were time-rated. level. Such ahifta, for example, could decrease an occupational average, even though most <br> 6 Virtually all workers were incentive-rated. eatabliahmenta increased wages between periods being compared. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Table 16. Method of wage payment

(Percent of production workers in women's and misses' dress manufacturing establishments by method of wage payment, ${ }^{1} 13$ selected areas, ${ }^{2}$ August 1977)

${ }^{1}$ For definition of method of wage payment, see appendix $B$.
${ }^{2}$ See footnote 1 of individual area tables 1 - 14 for definitions of selected areas.
${ }_{3}$ See footuotes jobbing shops performing some manufacturing operations, such as cutting and packing and shipping, in addition to regular (inside) shops.
${ }^{4}$ Less than 0.5 percent.
NOTE: Because of rounding, sums of individual items may not equal totals.

Table 17. Scheduled weekly hours
(Percent of production workers in women's and misses' dress manufacturing establishments by scheduled weekly hours, ${ }^{1} 13$ selected areas, ${ }^{2}$ August 1977)

| Weekly hours |
| :--- |

Data relate to predominant schedule for full-time day-shift workers in each establishment.
See footnote 1 of individual area tables $1-14$ for definitions of selected areas.
Includes jobbing shops performing some manufacturing operations, such as cutting and packing and shipping, in addition to regular (inside) shops.
${ }^{1}$ Less than 0.5 percent.
NOTE: Because of rounding, sums of individual items may not equal totals.

Table 18. Paid holidays
(Pald hollday provislons for workers covered by International Ladies' Garment Workers' Union agreements' In women's and misses' dress manufacturing establishments, 13 selected areas, ${ }^{2}$ August 1977)

| Area | Number of holidays annually | Method of computing pay for pieceworkers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Boston | 9 days | Payments were based on guaranteed rate for each craft. |
| Chicago... | 10 days | Payments were based on average earnings. |
| Dallas-Ft. Worth ${ }^{3}$ | - | - |
| Fall River and New Bedford | 9 or 8 days | Payments were based on guaranteed rate for each craft. |
| Los Angeles-Long Beach* . | - | - |
| Miamis | - | - |
| Newark and Jersey City. | 9 days | Payments ranged from $\$ 31.85$ to $\$ 38.15$ per day, according to craft. |
| New York City . . . . . . . . . . . | 9 days | Payments ranged from $\$ 31.85$ to $\$ 38.15$ per day, according to craft. |
| Paterson-Clifton-Passaic . | 9 days | Payments ranged from $\$ 31.85$ to $\$ 38.15$ per day, according to craft. |
| Philadelphia. | $81 / 2$ days | Payments based on earnings in previous calendar quarter. |
| St. Louis | 9 days in 6 shops; 9 days in Federal election years, 8 days otherwise in 2 shops. | Payments based on earnings in the previous year. |
| Wilkes-Barre-Hazleton.. | 9 days | Payments ranged from $\$ 27.10$ to $\$ 33.10$ per day, according to craft. |
| South Carolina ${ }^{6}$ | - | - |

${ }^{1}$ These agreements were in effect in shops employing at least ninetenths of the workers in 7 areas; seven-elghths in New York Clty; three-fifths in Boston; one-third in South Carolina; one-tenth In Mlami; and 5 percent or less In Dallas-Ft. Worth and Los AngalesLong Beach.
${ }_{3}$ See footnote 1 in tables 1-14 for definitions of areas.
${ }^{3}$ In Dallas, 25 of the 26 estabilshments studled were nonunion: 25 establishments provided paid holldays, usually 5 days annually, and ranging from 5 to 8 days. One nonunion establishment provided no pald holldays.
${ }^{4}$ All 66 of the establishments studled in this area were nonunion: 42 establishments provided pald holldays, usually 6 days annually, and ranging from 2 to 7 days.
in thls area, 33 of the 35 establishments studled were nonunion: 23 of the establishments provided pald holldays, usually 5 to 7 days annually, and ranging from 2 to 9 days.
${ }^{\circ}$ In this area, 4 af the 7 establishments studied were nonunion: all 7 establishments provided pald holldays, ranging from 3 to 8 days annually.

Table 19. Health, welfare, and vacation benefits
(Health, welfare, and vacation benefit provisions for workers covered by Internatlonal Ladles' Garment Workers' Unlon agreements ${ }^{1}$ in women's and misses' dress manufacturing esiablishments, 13 selected areas, ${ }^{2}$ August 1977)

| Area | Employer contribution ${ }^{3}$ | Vacation benefits | Health and welfare benefits |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Boston | 11.13 percent | 6 percent of worker's earnings in previous calendar year. | Sickness, hospitalization, surgical supplemented by a major medical program, maternity care, eyeglasses, services at the union health center, and death benefits. |
| Chicago | 1 percent to a health center fund; 5 percent in "cotton dress" shops or $41 / 2$ percent in "silk dress" shops; or insurance premiums paid directly. | 1 week's pay after 1 year of service, 2 weeks after 3 years, 3 weeks after 8 years, and 4 weeks after 15 years in "cotton dress" shops. In "silk dress" shops, 1 week's pay after 1 year, 2 weeks' pay after 2 years, and 3 weeks' pay after 5 years. All vacation benefits were paid for directly by the employer, and benefits were prorated for 6 months but less than 1 year of service. Payments were based on earnings in the 20 weeks preceding June 1. | Diagnostic and medical services at the union health center; hospitalization, surgical, and sick benefits. |
| Dallas-Ft. Worth ${ }^{4}$. . | - | - | - |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 19. Health, welfare, and vacation benefits-Continued
(Health, welfare, and vacation benefit provisions for workers covered by International Ladies' Garment Workers' Union agreements ${ }^{1}$ in women's and misses' dress manufacturing establishments, 13 selected areas, ${ }^{2}$ August 1977)

| Area | Emplover contribution ${ }^{3}$ | Vacation benefits | Health and welfare benefits |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fall River and New Bedford | 11.25 or 11.00 percent | 2 annual benefit payments totalling 6 percent of the worker's earnings in the previous calendar year. | Short-term disability, hospitalization, surgical supplemented by a major medical program, eyeglasses, tuberculosis treatment, blood transfusions, anesthesia, and auxiliary services; services at the union health center; and death benefits. |
| Los Angeles-Long Beach5 | - | - | - |
| Miami ${ }^{\text {e }}$. | - | - | - |
| Newark and Jersey City | 10.13 percent | 3 annual vacation payments, 2 equal to 2 percent of annual earnings up to a maximum of $\$ 170$ each, the other ranging from $\$ 80$ to \$85, according to craft. | Doctor's care, basic hospital and surgical benefits supplemented by a major medical program, disability insurance, maternity, eyeglasses, services at the union health center, and death benefits. |
| New York City | 10.13 percent | 3 annual vacation payments, 2 equal to 2 percent of annual earnings up to a maximum of $\$ 170$ each, the other ranging from $\$ 80$ to $\$ 85$, according to craft. | Doctor's care, basic hospital and surgical benefits supplemented by a major medical program, disability insurance, maternity, eyeglasses, services at the union health center, and death benefits. |
| Paterson-Clifton-Passaic | 10.13 percent | 3 annual vacation payments, 2 equal to 2 percent of anriual earnings up to a maximum of $\$ 170$ each, the other ranging from $\$ 80$ to $\$ 85$, according to craft. | Doctor's care, basic hospital and surgical benefits supplemented by a major medical program, disability insurance, maternity, eyeglasses, services at the union health center, and death benefits. |
| Philadelphia | 8.88 percent; in 1 shop, a different form of contribution co-financed by jobber. | 3 annual vacation payments in most shops, 2 payments in 1 shop, up to a maximum of $\$ 135$ each. Payments based on workers earnings in the previous calendar year. | Short-term disability, hospitalization. surgical supplemented by a major medical program, maternity, paternity, doctor's care, diagnostic services. eyeglasses, x-ray, blood transfusions, and death benefits. |
| St. Louis | 41/4 percent in most shops; $41 / 2$ percent in 2 shops. | All workers receive, directly from the employer, vacation pay for 1 week after 1 year of employment (prorated after 6 months but less than 1 year of employment), 2 weeks after 3 years, and 3 weeks after 5 years. Payments for pieceworkers based on earnings in the previous year. | Short-term disability, hospitalization, surgical, treatment of tuberculosis and mental illness, services at the union health center, and death benefits. |
| Wilkes-Barre-Hazteton | 11.13 percent | 2 annual vacation payments equal to 4 percent and 2 percent of worker's earnings in the previous year. | Short-term disability, hospitalization, surgical supplemented by a major medical program, blood transfusions, anesthesia, services at the union health center, and death benefits. |
| South Carolina ${ }^{7}$ | - | - | - |

[^6]periods of service; and 27 establishments had provisions for health and insurance benefits, usually life, accidental death and dismemberment, hospitalization, surgical, medical and major medical insurance.
${ }^{6}$ In this area, 33 of the 35 establishments studied were nonunion. 26 provided paid vacations; typically 1 week of vacation pay after 6 months to 1 year of service; 8 establishments had provisions for more than 1 week's vacation pay, usually after longer periods of service than 1 year. Provisions were made in 16 plants for health and insurance benefits, most often jointly financed by employer and employee. The most frequently reported benefits were: Life, accidental death and dismemberment, hospitalization, surgical, basic medical, and major medical insurance.
${ }^{7}$ In this area, 4 of the 7 establishments studied were nonunion. All 7 establishments provided paid vacations, typically 1 week after 1 year; 6 shops gave 2 weeks' pay or more, usually after 3 or 5 years of service. All shops provided health and insurance benefits-most frequently hospltalization, surgical, medical and major medical insurance.

Table 20. Retirement plans
(Retirement provisions for workers covered by International Ladies' Garment Workers' Union agreements' in women's and misses' dress manufacturing establishments, 13 selected areas ${ }^{2}$, August 1977)

| Area | Employer contribution ${ }^{3}$ to a nationwide fund | Benefits to qualified workers under a nationwide fund |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Boston. | 63/4 percent | $\$ 100$ a month at age 65 and a $\$ 500$ lump-sum death benefit. Workers may retire between ages 62 and 65 with proportionate benefit reduction for each year prior to age 65. Totally disabled workers may retire with full benefits at any age. |
| Chicago. | 63/4 percent in 8 shops; 6 percent in 2 shops. |  |
| Dallas-Ft. Worth ${ }^{4}$ | - |  |
| Fall River and New Bedford | 63/4 percent |  |
| Los Angeles-Long Beach ${ }^{5}$ | - |  |
| Miami ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | - |  |
| Newark and Jersey City. | 63/4 percent |  |
| New York City . | 63/4 percent |  |
| Paterson-Clifton-Passaic | 63/4 percent |  |
| Philadelphia.. | $51 / 2$ percent |  |
| St. Louis . | 63/4 percent in 6 shops; $6 \frac{1}{4}$ percent in 2 shops |  |
| Wilkes-Barre-Hazleton.. | 63/4 percent |  |
| South Carolina ${ }^{7}$ | - |  |

'See footnote 1, table 18.
${ }^{2}$ See footnote 1 in tables 1-14 for definitions of areas.
${ }^{3}$ See footnote 3, table 19 .
${ }^{4}$ Of the 26 establishments studied, 6 provided retirement pension benefits.
${ }^{5}$ Of the 66 establishments studied, 7 provided retirement pension benefits.
${ }^{6}$ Of the 35 establishments studied, 3 provided retirement pension benefits.
${ }^{\text {r }}$ Of the 7 establishments studied, 3 provided retirement pension benefits

## Appendix A. Regression Anąlysis

Conventional methods of analyzing wage variations using published averages typically stop short of measuring the independent influence on wage levels of factors such as location and union contract status. The independent effect of location on earnings in the dress industry, for example, may be obscured somewhat by differentials associated with unionization-a characteristic found less commonly in southern shops.

One method of isolating the independent effect on wages of various establishment and worker characteristics is multiple regression. By this method, the estimated wage differential for a given variable is independent of the influence of other survey variables. The variables included in tables $\mathrm{A}-1$ and $\mathrm{A}-2$ are defined, when necessary, in appendix B. Scope and Method of Survey.

In the regression analysis, one category of each of the variables in the equation is not shown explicitly, but its influence is embodied in the constant term. In tables $\mathrm{A}-1$ and $\mathrm{A}-2$, therefore, the categories represented by the constant term are: Nonunion establishment, small establishment size, dozen-pricing system, less than \$22.50 per unit wholesale price, the combination of regular and jobbing shops, and South Carolina (where the equation refers to all areas combined). For cutters and markers. female workers were included; for hand pressers, female workers and payment on a time basis; and for sewing-
machine operators, female workers, time workers, and section system sewing.

The coefficients provide an estimate of the proportionate difference in earnings between establishments with a specified characteristic and those establishments with the suppressed alternative characteristic embodied in the constant, other things being equal. For example, table $\mathrm{A}-1$ provides the basis for estimates that for all workers, earnings are about 24 percent higher in union than in nonunion shops, and about 12 percent higher in shops producing garments wholesale-priced at $\$ 49$ and over than in those producing garments wholesaling for less than $\$ 22.50$.

It should be emphasized that the regression analysis is not sufficiently complete to say with certainty that it has measured the truly independent impact on wage levels of particular employee and establishment characteristics. As tables $A-1$ and $A-2$ show, the regression analysis left unexplained about $25-41$ percent of the variation in average earnings levels for all production workers, cutters and markers, hand pressers, and sewing-machine operators, and considerably more of the variation in earnings for the selected areas. (See coefficient of determination. $\overline{\mathrm{R}}^{2}$.) This means that other factors, not included in the scope of the survey, influenced earnings. However, by holding constant those characteristics within the survey scope, a definite improvement in the estimates for specified characteristics was obtained.

Table A-1. Regression analysis of straight-time hourly earnings, all production workers and selected occupations, women's dress manufacturing, 13 areas combined, August 1977
( $T$ values shown in parentheses)

| Itern | All production workers | Cutters and markers | Hand pressers | Sewingmachine operators | Item | All production workers | Cutters and markers | Hand pressers | Sewingmachine operators |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Constant (in dollars) | $\begin{gathered} 2.950 \\ (16.19) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.527 \\ & (8.60) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.694 \\ & (4.30) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.354 \\ (13.09) \end{gathered}$ | Fall River-New Bedford... | $\begin{gathered} .296 \\ \{5.06) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .256 \\ (1.78) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .768 \\ (5.67) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .367 \\ (6.68) \end{gathered}$ |
| Variables (coeflicients in percent) |  |  |  |  | Newark and Jersey City... | $\begin{gathered} .390 \\ (5.89) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .275 \\ (1.83) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.455 \\ & (8.26) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 487 \\ (7.65) \end{gathered}$ |
| Union establishment . | $\begin{gathered} 238 \\ (7.25) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .220 \\ (4.65) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .353 \\ (4.26) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .165 \\ (5.14) \end{gathered}$ | New York City............ | $\begin{gathered} .483 \\ (8.00) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .477 \\ (3.36) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.410 \\ & (8.80) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} .379 \\ (6.91) \end{gathered}$ |
| Size of establishment: 20 to 49 workers. | $\begin{gathered} .048 \\ (2.15) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .125 \\ (3.16) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .048 \\ (1.25) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .036 \\ (1.87) \end{gathered}$ | Paterson-Clifton-Passaic. | $\begin{gathered} .217 \\ (2.72) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .218 \\ (0.93) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.306 \\ & (6.30) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} .176 \\ (2.60) \end{gathered}$ |
| 50 to 99 workers. | $\begin{gathered} .001 \\ (0.03) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .020 \\ (0.42) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .038 \\ (0.79) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .044 \\ (1.82) \end{gathered}$ | Philadelphia............... | $\begin{gathered} .222 \\ (3.15) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .341 \\ (2.19) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .602 \\ (4.13) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .375 \\ (5.49) \end{gathered}$ |
| 100 workers or more. | $\begin{gathered} -.019 \\ (-0.63) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .045 \\ (0.88) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .157 \\ (2.38) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \\ .043 \\ (1.45) \end{gathered}$ | Wilkes-Barre-Hazleton.... | $\begin{gathered} .198 \\ (3.50) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .183 \\ (1.25) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 868 \\ (6.44) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .255 \\ (4.83) \end{gathered}$ |
| Unit pricing system | -. 044 | -. 032 | -. 059 | . 004 | Chicago ................. | $\begin{gathered} .230 \\ (2.78) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .323 \\ (2.08) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 803 \\ (3.67) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .316 \\ (3.86) \end{gathered}$ |
| Wholesale price per unit: | (-1.01) | (-0.48) | (-0.68) | (0.09) | Miami | $\begin{gathered} .077 \\ (1.39) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .145 \\ (1.12) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .571 \\ (4.26) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .109 \\ (2.15) \end{gathered}$ |
| \$22.50 to \$49. | $\begin{gathered} .044 \\ (2.42) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .076 \\ (2.46) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .078 \\ (2.29) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .001 \\ (0.05) \end{gathered}$ | Dallas-Ft. Worth.......... | $\begin{gathered} .075 \\ (1.40) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .039 \\ (0.33) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .421 \\ (3.35) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .110 \\ (2.22) \end{gathered}$ |
| \$49 and over | $\begin{gathered} .117 \\ (5.16) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .047 \\ (1.50) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .288 \\ (6.25) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .126 \\ (5.76) \end{gathered}$ | St. Louis . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | $\begin{gathered} .201 \\ (2.43) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .284 \\ (1.79) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .417 \\ (2.56) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .339 \\ (4.11) \end{gathered}$ |
| Male worker . | ' | $\begin{gathered} .160 \\ (2.45) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .228 \\ (5.06) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .067 \\ (1.60) \end{gathered}$ | Los Angeles-Long Beach . | $\begin{gathered} .200 \\ (3.70) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .202 \\ (1.59) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 665 \\ (5.19) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 141 \\ (2.92) \end{gathered}$ |
| Contract shop. | $\begin{gathered} -.095 \\ (-5.79) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.023 \\ (-0.72) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.027 \\ (-0.65) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.019 \\ (-0.97) \end{gathered}$ | Statistical information: <br> Adjusted coefficient of determination ( $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ ) .... | . 680 | . 592 | 752 | . 669 |
| Incentive pay system .... | 1 | - | $\begin{gathered} .254 \\ (6.05) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .090 \\ (4.01) \end{gathered}$ | Mean (Y) . . . . . . . . . . . . | \$4.30 | \$6.60 | \$6.44 | \$4.11 |
| Single-hand (tailor) system | ${ }^{2}$ | 2 | 2 | $\begin{gathered} .132 \\ (7.18) \end{gathered}$ | Number of observations <br> (N) $\qquad$ | 454 | 245 | 389 | 534 |
| Boston. | $\begin{gathered} .290 \\ (3.31) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .055 \\ (0.32) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 984 \\ (3.69) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .371 \\ (4.35) \end{gathered}$ | Number of establishments (S) | 454 | 226 | 332 | 387 |

'The coefficients for male and for incentive workers were not developed for the all-production worker category, as they would tend to reflect the relative concentration of such workers in particular occupations, rather than the true earnings difference among workers performing the same job. ${ }^{2}$ Not applicable.

NOTE: Coefficients are expressed in percents (e.g.,. $198=19.8$ percent). $Y$ is the mean of the earnings (dependent) variable weighted by production
workers. N is the number of observations. It often exceeds the number of establishments ( $S$ ) because separate observations were used formen and women and for time and incentive workers in each firm reporting the selected occupations. Dashes indicate insufficient observations of the regressed characteristic to yield reliable results. T values (shown in parentheses), relate to the following confidence levels: $1.67=90$ percent, $1.96=95$ percent, and $2.58=99$ percent.

Table A-2. Regresslon analysis of straight-tlme hourly earnings, all production workers and selected occupations, women's dress manufacturing, New York Clty and Los Angeles-Long Beach, August 1977
( $T$ values shown in parentheses)

| Item | All production workers |  | Cutters and markers |  | Hand pressers |  | Sewing-machine operators |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | New York City | Los AngelesLong Beach | New York City | Los AngelesLong Beach | New York City | Los AngelesLong Beach | New York City | Los AngelesLong Beach |
| Constant (in dollars) . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | $\begin{gathered} 4.320 \\ (32.13) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.381 \\ (22.82) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.482 \\ (12.90) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.680 \\ & (5.20) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.506 \\ & (7.10) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.031 \\ & (9.33) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.542 \\ (13.39) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.958 \\ (22.30) \end{gathered}$ |
| Variables (coefficients in percent) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Union establishment . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | $\begin{gathered} .307 \\ (5.67) \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} .278 \\ (4.27) \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} .295 \\ (2.17) \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} .210 \\ (3.41) \end{gathered}$ | - |
| Establishment size 20 to 49 workers . | $\begin{gathered} .050 \\ (1.42) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .056 \\ (1.27) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .068 \\ (1.35) \end{gathered}$ | 1 | $\begin{gathered} .166 \\ (2.40) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.002 \\ (-0.02) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .038 \\ (1.00) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .005 \\ (0.17) \end{gathered}$ |
| 50 workers or more . . . . . . . . . . . . . | $\begin{gathered} -.071 \\ (-1.68) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .027 \\ (0.48) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .043 \\ (0.70) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.170 \\ (-1.92) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .027 \\ (0.30) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.026 \\ (-0.27) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.035 \\ (-0.70) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.004 \\ (-0.10) \end{gathered}$ |
| Contract shop.......................... | $\begin{gathered} -.165 \\ \langle-5.89) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.119 \\ (-2.93) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.007 \\ (-0.15) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.051 \\ (-0.34) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.240 \\ (-3.04) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.107 \\ (-1.06) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.057 \\ (-103) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.120 \\ (-3.16) \end{gathered}$ |
| Wholesale price per unit: $\$ 22.50 \text { to } \$ 49$ | $\begin{gathered} .015 \\ (0.40) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .096 \\ (1.91) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.005 \\ (-0.09) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .231 \\ (2.17) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .123 \\ (1.70) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .190 \\ (1.86) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.014 \\ (-0.37) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.034 \\ (-0.85) \end{gathered}$ |
| \$49 and over . ...................... | $\begin{gathered} .107 \\ (2.98) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .105 \\ (1.59) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .047 \\ (1.07) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.007 \\ (-0.05) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .260 \\ (3.32) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .239 \\ (1.82) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .127 \\ (3.00) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .158 \\ (2.73) \end{gathered}$ |
| Male worker. | 2 | 2 | $\begin{gathered} -.101 \\ (-0.80) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .414 \\ (1.47) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .439 \\ (4.01) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.021 \\ (-0.21) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .138 \\ (1.42) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .002 \\ (0.05) \end{gathered}$ |
| Incentive pay system ................. | 2 | 2 | - | - | $\begin{gathered} .463 \\ (3.29) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .249 \\ (3.16) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .038 \\ (0.54) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .116 \\ (2.93) \end{gathered}$ |
| Single-hand (tailor) system. . . . . . . . . . . | 3 | ${ }^{3}$ | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | $\begin{gathered} .103 \\ (2.51) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .146 \\ (4.61) \end{gathered}$ |
| Statistical information: <br> Adjusted coefficient of determination ( $\bar{R}^{2}$ ) . . . . . . . . . . . . . | . 396 | . 284 | . 363 | . 304 | . 456 | . 221 | .309 | . 377 |
| Mean (Y). | \$5.22 | \$3.36 | \$7.61 | \$5.60 | \$9.13 | \$3.40 | \$4.82 | \$3.23 |
| Number of observations (N). | 159 | 66 | 74 | 29 | 106 | 43 | 135 | 88 |
| Number of establishments (S) ..... | 159 | 66 | 73 | 25 | 103 | 38 | 111 | 56 |

'To obtain adequate numbers of observations in the embodied constant, this category was combined with the 8-t0-19 worker group. Thus, the embodied constant becomes establishments with 8 to 49 workers.
${ }^{2}$ The coefficients for male and for incentive-pay workers were not developed for the all-production-wo:ker category, as they would tend to reflect the relative concentration of such workers in particular occupations, rather than the true earnings difference among workers performing the same job.
${ }^{3}$ Not applicable.

NOTE: Coefficients are expressed in terms of percents (e.g., . $107=10.7$ percent). $Y$ is the mean of the earnings (dependent) variable weighted by production workers. $N$ is the number of observations. It often exceeds the number of establishments ( $S$ ) because separate observations were used for men and women and for time and incentive workers in each firm reporting the selected occupations. Dashes indicate insufficient observations of either the regressed or the embodied characteristic to yield reliable results. T values, [shown in parentheses], relate to the following confidence levels: $1.67=90$ percent, $1.96=95$ percent, and $2.58=99$ percent.

# Appendix B. Scope and Method of Survey 

## Scope of survey

The survey included establishments primarily engaged in manufacturing women's, misses' and juniors' dresses, other than housedresses (part of industry 2335 as defined in the 1972 edition of the Standard Industrial Classification Manual prepared by the U.S. Office of Management and Budget). In addition to regular (inside) and contract shops, jobbing shops, which performed some manufacturing operations, such as cutting, finishing, packing, and shipping, also were included. Establishments primarily manufacturing pants-dress ensembles were included if the tailoring skills involved were comparable to those required for dresses.

The establishments selected for study were drawn from units employing eight workers or more at the time of reference of the data used in compiling universe lists.

## Method of wage payment

Tabulations by method of wage payment relate to the number of workers paid under the various time and incentive wage systems. Formal rate structures for timerated workers provide single rates or a range of rates for individual job categories. In the absence of a formal rate structure, pay rates are determined primarily by the qualifications of the individual worker. A single rate structure is one in which the same rate is paid to all experienced workers in the same job classification. Learners, apprentices, or probationary workers may be paid according to rate schedules which start below the single rate and permit the workers to achieve the full job rate over a period of time. An experienced worker occasionally may be paid above or below the single rate for special reasons, but such payments are exceptions. Range-of-rate plans are those in which the minimum, maximum, or both of these rates paid experienced workers for the same job are specified. Specific rates of individual workers within the range may be determined by merit, length of service, or a combination of these. Incentive workers are classified under piecework or bonus plans. Piecework is work for which a predetermined rate is paid for each unit of output. Production bonuses are based on production in excess of a quota or for completion of a job in less than standard time.

## Scheduled weekly hours

Data on weekly hours refer to the predominant work schedule for full-time production workers employed on the day shift.

## Supplementary wage provisions

Supplementary benefits are presented primarily in terms of the provisions of collective bargaining agreements with the International Ladies' Garment Workers' Union, which were in effect in establishments employing about two-thirds of the workers in the 13 areas. Data for nonunion establishments also are summarized briefly.

The number of establishments and workers studied by the Bureau, as well as the number estimated to be within the scope of the survey during the payroll period studied, are shown in table B-1.

## Method of study

Data were obtained by personal visits of the Bureau's field staff. The survey was conducted on a sample basis. To obtain appropriate accuracy at minimum cost, a greater proportion of large establishments than of small was studied. In combination of the data, however, all establishments were given their appropriate weight. All estimates are presented, therefore, as relating to all establishments in the industry, excluding only those below the minimum size at the time of reference of the universe data.

## Establishment definition

An establishment is defined for this study as a single physical location where industrial operations are performed. An establishment is not necessarily identical with the company, which may consist of one establishment or more. The terms "establishment" and "shop" have been used interchangeably in this bulletin.

Table B-1. Estimated number of establishments and employees within scope of survey and number studied, women's and misses' dress manufacturing industry, August 1977

| Area ${ }^{1}$ | Number of establishments ${ }^{2}$ |  | Workers in establishments |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Within scope of study | Actually studied | Within scope of study |  | Actually studied |
|  |  |  | Total ${ }^{3}$ | Production workers |  |
| Total | 1920 | 454 | 65.601 | 52,374 | 26.829 |
| Boston <br> Chicago <br> Dallas-Ft. Worth <br> Fall River and New Bedford <br> Los Angeles-Long Beach <br> Miami <br> Newark and Jersey City <br> New York City <br> Regular shops ${ }^{\prime}$ <br> Contract shops <br> Paterson-Clifton-Passaic <br> Philadelphia <br> St. Louis <br> Wilkes-Barre-Hazleton <br> South Carolina | $\begin{array}{r} 13 \\ 18 \\ 56 \\ 31 \\ 383 \\ 111 \\ 80 \\ 1044 \\ 461 \\ 583 \\ 31 \\ 23 \\ 10 \\ 108 \\ 12 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7 \\ 10 \\ 26 \\ 24 \\ 66 \\ 35 \\ 29 \\ 159 \\ 63 \\ 96 \\ 18 \\ 15 \\ 8 \\ 50 \\ 7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 698 \\ 9998 \\ 4,420 \\ 4,540 \\ 9,629 \\ 3,490 \\ 3,137 \\ 27,438 \\ 12,282 \\ 15,156 \\ 969 \\ 1,503 \\ 865 \\ 6,277 \\ 1,637 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 612 \\ 734 \\ 3,017 \\ 4,165 \\ 7,744 \\ 3,012 \\ 2,993 \\ 20,048 \\ 6,020 \\ 14,028 \\ 892 \\ 1,308 \\ 712 \\ 5,819 \\ 1,518 \end{array}$ | 534 738 2,831 4,089 3,179 1,406 1,582 5,676 2,633 3,043 677 1,207 819 3,074 1,017 |
| ${ }^{1}$ See footnote 1 of individual area tables $1-14$ for definit areas. <br> ${ }^{2}$ Includes only those establishments with 8 workers or more reference of the universe data. | of selected <br> the time of | ${ }^{3}$ Includes executive, professional, office, and other workers in addition to the production worker category shown separately. <br> Includes jobbing shops performing some manufacturing operations, such a cutting and packing and shipping, in addition to regular (inside) shops. |  |  |  |

## Employment

Estimates of the number of workers within the scope of the study are intended as a general guide to the size and composition of the labor force included in the survey. The advance planning necessary to make a wage survey requires the use of the lists of establishments assembled considerably in advance of the payroll period studied.

## Production workers

The term "production workers," as used in this bulletin, includes working supervisors and all nonsupervisory workers engaged in nonoffice functions. Administrative, executive, professional, and technical personnel and force-account construction employees, who were utilized as a separate work force on the firm's own properties, were excluded.

## Occupations selecied for study

Occupational classification was based on a uniform set of job descriptions designed to take account of interestablishment and interarea variations in duties within the same job. (See appendix $C$ for these job
descriptions.) The occupations were chosen for their numerical importance, their usefulness in collective bargaining, or their representativeness of the entire job scale in the industry. Working supervisors, apprentices, learners, beginners, trainees, and handicapped, part-time, temporary, and probationary workers were not reported in selected occupations but were included in the data for all production workers.

## Wage data

Information on wages relates to straight-time hourly earnings, excluding premium pay for overtime and for work on weekends, holidays, and late shifts. Incentive payments, such as those resulting from piecework or production bonus systems, and cost-of-living bonuses, were included as part ot the workers' regular pay; but nonproduction bonus payments, such as Christmas or yearend bonuses, were excluded.

Average (mean) hourly rates or earnings for each occupation or category of workers, such as production workers, were calculated by weighting each rate (or hourly earnings) by the number of workers receiving the rate, totaling, and dividing by the number of individuals. The hourly earnings of salaried workers were obtained by dividing straight-time salary by normal (or standard) hours to which the salary corresponds.

## Appendix C. Occupational Descriptions


#### Abstract

The primary purpose of preparing job descriptions for the Bureau's wage surveys is to assist its field representatives in classifying into appropriate occupations workers who are employed under a variety of payroll titles and different work arrangements from establishment to establishment and from area to area. This permits the grouping of occupational wage rates representing comparable job content. Because of this emphasis on interestablishment and interarea comparability of occupational content, the Bureau's job descriptions may differ significantly from those in use in individual establishments or those prepared for other purposes. In applying these job descriptions, the Bureau's field representatives are instructed to exclude working supervisors, apprentices, learners, beginners, trainees, and handicapped, part-time, temporary, and probationary workers.


## Adjuster

(Sewing-machine repairer)
Adjusts and repairs sewing machines used in the establishment. Work involves most of the following: Examining machines faulty in operation to diagnose source of trouble; dismantling or partly dismantling machines, replacing broken or worn out parts or performing other repairs, and reassembling machines; adjusting machines to function efficiently by turning adjustment screws and nuts; regulating length of stroke of needle and horizontal movement feeding m.zehanism under needle; replacing or repairing transmission belts; preparing specifications for major repairs and initiating orders for replacement parts; using a variety of handtools in fitting and replacing parts. May also do adjustments on pressing machines.

## Assorter

(Garment bunder, assembler)
Gathers garment parts after they are cut, assembles or groups them into bundles or batches for distribution to sewing units. May match pieces by color, size, and design and place an identifying number or ticket in each bundle or on each piece. If working under a section system, groups pieces for each garment section together; under tailor system, bundles all pieces of a dress together.

Workers assembling cloth before it is cut, and folders at the end of the production process assembling completed pieces just before packaging, are excluded.

## Cutter and marker

Marks the outlines of various garment parts on a ply of fabrics and cuts out parts with shears, hand knife, or pow-
ered cutting machine. May spread or lay up cloth on cutting table. Workers who specialize in cutting or in marking and workers engaged in marking and cutting linings and trimmings are included.

Specialized markers using perforated patterns, and marking by use of talcum, are excluded as are all workers who specialize in spreading cloth.

## Inspector, final (examiner)

Examines and inspects completed garments prior to processing or shipping. Work involves determining whether the garments conform to shop standards of quality, and marking defects such as dropped stitches, bad seams, etc. May make minor repairs. In many shops manufacturing inexpensive garments there will be no inspectors falling within this classification; in those shops whatever inspection is carried on is usually performed by thread trimmers, who may only casually inspect garments and are, therefore, excluded.

## Presser

Performs pressing operations (finish or under), on garments or garment parts by means of hand-pressing iron and/or powered press or mangle.

For wage study purposes, pressers are classified by type of pressing equipment, as follows:

Presser, hand
Presser, machine
Presser, hand and machine
Workers are classified as "Presser, hand and machine" when sizable proportions of their work are performed by
each of the two methods. Otherwise, the predominant type of pressing is the determining factor in classification.

## Sewer, hand (finisher) <br> (Bench worker)

Performs sewing operations by hand including sewing on buttons, making buttonholes, stitching edges, closing openings that have been left by various hand and machine operations. Workers who specialize in sewing tickets or labels are excluded.

## Sewing-machine operator, section system

Uses a standard or special purpose sewing machine to perform the sewing operations required in making parts of garments, joining parts made by others, joining various sections together, or in attaching previously completed parts to partially completed garments, but does not construct the entire garment. In shops that operate entirely on a section (or bundle) system, this classification would include all sewing-machine operators (except buttonhole makers and button sewers) without any differentiation of operators by type of machine or operation performed. In shops that operate partly on a section system, this classification would include all operators who do not construct an entire garment.

## Sewing-machine operator, single-hand (tailor) system

Performs all the standard sewing-machine operations involved in the manufacture of a complete garment. Work involves assembling and joining all parts of the garment except those added by finishers. Is usually an experienced operator working on better-grade apparel in which the variety of design is so great and style changes so frequent as to prevent the economical use of a section system.

Workers, employed in single-hand system shops, who pair-up and work as a team and divide work tickets equally are included. This arrangement is informal, in contrast to the section system in which rates are established for individual operations.

## Thread trimmer (cleaner) <br> (Clipper)

Trims loose thread ends, basting threads, and seam edges of garments prior to pressing or packing. This classification includes trimmers using scissors or power equipment. Workers who also carefully examine and inspect garments are classified as inspectors, final.

## Work distributor

Carries or trucks garments in various stages of completion to the worker who is to perform the next operation on garment. May exercise some discretion in distribution work, but has no supervisory responsibilities.

## Industry Wage Studies

The most recent reports providing occupational wage data for industries included in the Bureau's program of industry wage surveys since 1960 are listed below. Copies are for sale from the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402, or from any of its regional sales offices, and from the

## Manufacturing

Basic Iron and Steel, 1972. BLS Bulletin 1839
Candy and Other Confectionery Products, 1975. Bulletin 1939
Cigar Manufacturing, 1972. BLS Bulletin 1796
Cigarette Manufacturing, 1976. BLS Bulletin 1944
Corrugated and Solid Fiber Boxes, 1976. BLS Bulletin 1921
Fabricated Structural Steel, 1974. BLS Bulletin 1935
Fertilizer Manufacturing, 1971. BLS Bulletin 1763
Flour and Other Grain Mill Products, 1972. BLS Bulletin 1803
Fluid Milk Industry, 1973. BLS Bulletin 1871
Footwear, 1975. BLS Bulletin 1946
Hosiery, 1976. BLS Bulletin 1987
Industrial Chemicals, 1976. BLS Bulletin 1978
Iron and Steel Foundries, 1973. BLS Bulletin 1894
Leather Tanning and Finishing, 1973. BLS Bulletin 1835
Machinery Manufacturing, 1974-75. BLS Bulletin 1929
Meat Products, 1974. BLS Bulletin 1896
Men's and Boys'Separate Trousers, 1974. BLS Bulletin 1906
Men's and Boys' Shirts (Except Work Shirts) and Nightwear, 1974. BLS Bulletin 1901
Men's and Boys' Suits and Coats, 1976. BLS Bulletin 1962
Miscellaneous Plastics Products, 1974. BLS Bulletin 1914
Motor Vehicles and Parts, 1973-74. BLS Bulletin 1912
Nonferrous Foundries, 1975. BLS Bulletin 1952
Paints and Varnishes, 1976. BLS Bulletin 1973
Paperboard Containers and Boxes, 1970. BLS Bulletin 1719
Petroleum Refining, 1976. BLS Bulletin 1948
Pressed or Blown Glass and Glassware, 1975. BLS Bulletin 1923
Pulp, Paper, and Paperboard Mills, 1972. BLS Bulletin 1844
Shipbuilding and Repairing, 1976. BLS Bulletin 1968
Southern Sawmills and Planning Mills, 1969. BLS Bulletin 1694
regional offices of the Bureau of Labor Statistics shown on the inside back cover. Copies that are out of stock are available for reference purposes at leading public, college, or university libraries, or at the Bureau's Washington or regional offices.

## Manufacturing-Continued

Structural Clay Products, 1975. BLS Bulletin 1942
Synthetic Fibers, 1976. BLS Bulletin 1975
Textile Dyeing and Finishing, 1976. BLS Bulletin 1967
Textiles, 1975. BLS Bulletin 1945
Wages and Demographic Characteristics in Work Clothing Manufacturing, 1972. BLS Bulletin 1858
West Coast Sawmilling, 1969. BLS Bulletin 1704
Women's and Misses' Coats and Suits, 1970. BLS Bulletin 1728
Women's and Misses' Dresses, 1977. BLS Bulletin 2007
Wood Household Furniture, 1974. BLS Bulletin 1930

## Nonmanufacturing

Appliance Repair Shops, 1975. BLS Bulletin 1936
Auto Dealer Repair Shops, 1973. BLS Bulletin 1876
Banking and Life Insurance, 1976. BLS Bulletin 1988
Bituminous Coal, January 1976-March 1981. BLS Bulletin 1999
Communications, 1976. BLS Bulletin 1991
Contract Cleaning Services, 1974. BLS Bulletin 1916
Contract Construction, 1973. BLS Bulletin 1911
Crude Petroleum and Natural Gas Production, 1972.
BLS Bulletin 1797
Department Stores, 1973. BLS Bulletin 1869
Educational Institutions: Nonteaching Employees, 1968-
69. BLS Bulletin 1671

Electric and Gas Utilities, 1972. BLS Bulletin 1834
Hospitals, 1975-76. BLS Bulletin 1949
Hotels and Motels, 1973. BLS Bulletin 1883
Laundry and Cleaning Services, 1968. BLS Bulletin 16451
Metal Mining, 1972. BLS Bulletin 1820
Motion Picture Theaters, 1966. BLS Bulletin 1542
Nursing Homes and Related Facilities, 1976. BLS Bulletin 1964
Scheduled Airlines, 1975. BLS Bulletin 1951
Wages and Tips in Restaurants and Hotels, 1970. BLS Bulletin 1712

[^7]
## Bureau of Labor Statistics <br> Regional Offices



Region 1
1603 JFK Federal Building
Government Center
Boston Mass 02203
Phone: (617) 223-6761
Region II
Su: 3400
1515 Broadway
New York. N Y 10036
Phone: (212) 399-5405

Region III
3535 Market Street
PO Box 13309
Phıladelphıa. Pa 19101
Phone: (215) 596-1154

Region IV
1371 Peachtree Street. NE
Atlanta. Ga 30309
Phone: (404) 881 -4418

## Region V

9th Floor
Federal Office Building
230 S Dearborn Street
Chicago, III 60604
Phone (312) 353-1880
Region VI
Second Floor
555 Griffin Square Building
Dallas. Tex 75202
Phone: (214) 749-3516

Regions VII and VIII*
911 Wainut Street Kansas City. Mo 64106
Phone (816) 374-2481
Regions IX and $\mathrm{X}^{* *}$ 450 Golden Gate Avenue Box 36017
San Francisco. Calif 94102
Phone (415) 556-4678

- Regions VII and VIII are serviced by Kansas City
*"Regıons $I X$ and $X$ are serviced by San Francisco
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[^0]:    'See appendix B for scope and method of survey. Earnings data presented in this bulletin exclude premium pay for overtime and for work on weekends, holidays, and late shifts. These surveys, based on a representative sample of establishments, are designed to measure the level of occupational earnings at a particular time. Thus, comparisons made with previous studies may not reflect expected wage movements because of changes in the sample composition and shifts in employment among establishments with different pay levels.
    ${ }^{2}$ See appendix C for job descriptions.
    ${ }^{3}$ Nationwide employment as reported in the Bureau's monthly periodical Employment and Earnings.
    ${ }^{4}$ The survey excluded shops with fewer than 8 employees.
    ${ }^{5}$ For an account of the 1974 study, see Industry Wage Survey: Women's and Misses' Dresses, August 1974, Bulletin 1908 (Bureau of Labor Statistics, 1976).
    'South Carolina was surveyed for the first time in 1977.
    ${ }^{7}$ Current Industrial Reports, Apparel Survey.'Series M23H,1974 and 1977 (estimated), Bureau of the Census.

[^1]:    Less than 5 percent.
    ${ }^{2}$ Above 95 percent.

[^2]:    ${ }^{*}$ In Chicago and St. Louis, workers typically received vacation benefits directly from their employers.

[^3]:    See footnotes at end of table.

[^4]:    Y. 'The New York City area consills of Bronx, Kinga, New York, Quens, and Richmond Counties. N. Y. ${ }_{2}$

    2 Excludes premium pay for overtime and for work on weekends, holidays, and late shifta. These aurvey. hased on a representative ample of eatahlishments, are deaigned to measure the level of
    occupational earninga at a particular time. Thus, compariaons made with previous studies may not re flect expected wage movemonts because of change in the oample componition, and ahifts in employment among entablishmenta with different pay levels. Such shifta, for example, could decrease an occupational
    average, even though mont establishmenta increased wages between periods being compared. verage, even though mont establishment increased wages between periods being compared
    rated.
    \& Workers were distributed as follows: 46 at $\$ 9.50$ and under $\$ 10 ; 75$ at $\$ 10$ and under $\$ 10.50$

[^5]:    ${ }_{2}$ The Wilkeo-Bario-Hazleton are consists of Luzerne County, Pa.
    uryeys, based on a repregentative sample an for work on ands, holldays, and late shifts. These urveys, based on a representative sample of eatablishmenta, are designed to measure the levelof reflect expected wage movements because of change in the sample composithon, and shifts in employment mong eatablehments with different pay levels. Such ohifta, for example, could decrease an occupalonal average, even though most establishmente increased wages between periods being compared, rated.

[^6]:    ${ }^{1}$ See footnote 1, table 18.
    ${ }^{2}$ See footnote 1 in tables 1-14 for definitions of areas.
    ${ }^{3}$ Employer contributions to the fund from which benefits were provided were based on payrolls of workers covered by union agreements.

    In Dallas, 25 of the 26 establishments studied were nonunion; 25 establishments provided paid vacations, typically 1 week of vacation pay after 1 year of service; 17 establishments provided a maximum of 2 weeks' vacation pay, most commonly after 3 or 5 years of service; while 5 establishments had provisions for more than 2 weeks' pay. Health and insurance benefits for which employers paid all or part of the cost were provided by 23 establishments. Most frequently reported were benefits for hospitalization, surgical, basic medical, major medical, and life insurance.
    ${ }^{5}$ All 66 of the establishments studied in the area were nonunion. Of these, 35 shops provided at least 1 week of vacation pay after 1 year of service; 16 establishments also had provisions for 2 weeks' pay or more after longer

[^7]:    ${ }^{1}$ Bulletin out of stock.

