Union Wages and Hours:

Local-Transit Operating Employees

July 1, 1966

and

Trend 1929-66

Bulletin No. 1546

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

BUREAU OF LABOR STATISTICS



Union Wages and Hours:

Local-Transit Operating Employees

July 1, 1966

and

Trend 1929-66

Bulletin No. 1546

May 1967

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF LABOR
W. Willard Wirtz, Secretary

BUREAU OF LABOR STATISTICS
Arthur M. Ross, Commissioner



Preface

The Bureau of Labor Statistics conducts annual surveys of wage rates and scheduled hours of work for specified crafts or jobs as provided in labor-management agreements in four industries: Building construction, printing, local transit, and local trucking. The studies present the wage rates in effect as of July 1 of each year, as reported to the Bureau by the appropriate local labor organizations in each of the cities included in the survey.

Information on the union scales and hours prevailing in each city is available in October of each year on request to the Bureau's regional offices as shown on the inside back cover. A release summarizing the rates for local-transit operating employees on a nationwide basis was issued in March 1967. This analysis provides additional data and indexes of the trend of wages and hours for the period 1929—66.

This study was conducted in the Bureau's Division of National Wage and Salary Income by Norman J. Samuels, Chief, under the general direction of L. R. Linsenmayer, Assistant Commissioner for Wages and Industrial Relations. The analysis was prepared by Thomas C. Mobley, under the supervision of Alvin Bauman. Field work for the survey was directed by the Assistant Regional Directors for Wages and Industrial Relations.

Contents

	Page
Summary Wage scales, July 1966 Wage scale increases, 1965—66 Wage scale variations City and regional averages Standard workweek Health, insurance, and pension plans Scope and method of study	1 1 2 2 3
Tables:	
1. Indexes of union hourly wage rates of local-transit operating employees, 1929—66	5
2. Average union hourly wage rates of local-transit operating employees, July 1, 1966, and increase in rates, July 1, 1965-July 1, 1966	
3. Percent changes in union hourly wage rates and percent of local-transit operating employees affected, July 1, 1965—July 1, 1966———————————————————————————————————	
 Cents-per-hour changes in union wage rates and percent of local-transit operating employees affected, 	
July 1, 1965—July 1, 1966	
6. Average union hourly wage rates of local-transit operating employees by city and population group, July 1, 1966	
7. Average union hourly wage rates of local-transit operating employees by region, July 1, 1966	
8. Distribution of union local-transit operating employees by standard weekly hours, July 1, 1966	
9. Union scales of wages and hours for local-transit operating	

Union Wages and Hours:

Local-Transit Operating Employees, July 1, 1966

Summary

Average wage scales of unionized localtransit operating employees in cities of 100,000 population or more were \$3 an hour on July 1, 1966, according to the Bureau of Labor Statistics 46th annual survey of such scales in the local transit industry. Hourly scales of at least \$2.50 were in effect for all but about one-tenth of the operating employees and scales of \$2.90 to \$3.40 were specified in labor-management agreements for two-thirds of the employees. The average hourly scale was 12 cents or 4.2 percent higher than it had been a year earlier. Negotiated contract adjustments and cost-ofliving allowances which became effective between July 1, 1965, and July 1, 1966, resulted in scale advances for more than 98 percent of the operating employees. Increases of 12 to 14 cents an hour were reported for about one-third of the operating employees and 10 to 12 cents for one-fifth. The advance was 15 cents or more for one-sixth of the workers and less than 10 cents for one-fifth.

Straight-time workweek schedules were specified in negotiated agreements for 92 percent of the employees included in the survey. Such weekly schedules averaged 40.2 hours on July 1, 1966. A straight-time workweek of 40 hours was in effect for seveneighths of the workers.

Practically all of the operating employees were covered by labor-management agreements providing for one or more types of health, insurance, and pension plans financed wholly or in part by the employers. More than one-third of the employees had health and welfare plans financed entirely by employers, and almost three-tenths had similarly financed pension plans.

Wage Scales, July 1966

Hourly wage scales of unionized local-transit operating employees on July 1, 1966, averaged \$3 in cities of 100,000 population or more. Operators of surface cars and

buses, who accounted for almost nine-tenths of the workers, averaged \$2.98 an hour, and elevated and subway operators averaged \$3.20 an hour² (table 2).

All but one-tenth of the operating employees were covered by agreements providing a scale of \$2.50 an hour or more, and seven-tenths had a scale of at least \$2.90 an hour. Somewhat more than one-fifth were employed at scales of between \$3.30 and \$3.40 an hour, and scales of \$2.90 to \$3, \$3 to \$3.10, and \$3.20 to \$3.30 were each in effect for about one-eighth of the workers. The distribution of surface car and bus operators by hourly scale was similar to that for all operating employees. The scales of elevated and subway operators, however, were more concentrated. They all had a rate of at least \$2.80 an hour, nearly one-fourth were paid \$2.90 to \$3, and close to threetenths received \$3 to \$3.10. Nearly onethird of the subway and elevated operators (all of whom were employed in New York City) had a scale from \$3.40 to \$3.60 an hour.

Wage Scale Increases, 1965-66

Changes in wage rates for local-transit operators are primarily the result of labormanagement negotiations. Of the contracts in effect on July 1, 1966, in the cities surveyed, 4 of every 5 were negotiated for at least 2 years. Such multiyear contracts generally provided for one or more interim wage increases and some also included provisions for cost-of-living adjustments. However, only those scale changes which actually became effective between July 1, 1965, and July 1, 1966, have been included in the survey. Some of the scale adjustments were provided for in contracts negotiated prior to July 1, 1965. Deferred increases effective subsequent to July 1, 1966, have been excluded from the study. Thus, the scale changes presented in

¹ For ease of reading in this and subsequent discussions of tabulations, the limits of the class intervals are designated as 10 to 12 cents, 3 to 4 percent, etc., instead of using the more precise terminology, 10 and under 12 cents, 3 and under 4 percent, etc.

The average for elevated and subway operators does not include an increase in scale in Chicago which resulted from an arbitration award in December 1966 which was retroactive to December 1965. Information on the award was received too late for inclusion in the tabulations. Effective scales (excluding cost-of-living allowance) on July 1, 1966, for Chicago elevated and subway operators exceed those shown in table 9 by 10 cents for motormen and 8 cents for conductors and guards. Since Chicago had about a fourth of the subway and elevated employees in the survey, the tabulations published understate somewhat the scale and changes in scale for all such employees.

this bulletin do not reflect the total wage advances negotiated in individual agreements during the survey year. Cost-of-living allowances, provided on the basis of increases in the Consumer Price Index, are included in the scales.

Wage scale revisions that became effective during the year ending July 1, 1966, raised the level of hourly scales 4.2 percent, matching the previous year's percentage increase, which was the largest in 7 years. The 12-cent-an-hour increase in average scale was the largest since July 1, 1958. The Bureau's index (1957-59=100) of union hourly wage scales of transit operating employees was raised to 137.3 (table 1). The average hourly scale for operators of surface cars and buses was increased 4.3 percent or 12 cents, but the scale for those on elevated and subway equipment rose 3.5 percent or 11 cents (table 2).

Almost all the surface car and bus operators and all of those on elevated and subway equipment had their hourly scales increased during the year ending July 1, 1966 (table 3). Individual hourly advances for more than nine-tenths of the surface car and bus operators ranged from 5 to 25 cents an hour. However, raises of 13 to 14 cents affected nearly three-tenths of these workers, and advances of 10 to 12 cents were reported for slightly more than one-fifth.

For operators of elevated and subway equipment, hourly scale increases were more concentrated. Nearly one-fourth of the workers received scale advances of under 5 cents, and one-third each received 11 to 12 cents and 13 to 14 cents. Elevated and subway equipment is found in only six cities, and this fact, coupled with the fact that cents-per-hour increases in a given city were usually uniform among all elevated and subway operators, explains the concentrations noted.

Slightly more than two-fifths of the surface car and bus operators had a scale increase of between 4 and 5 percent, and one-fourth had an increase of between 3 and 4 percent. Close to one-fifth saw their scale advance 5 percent or more. About two-thirds of the elevated and subway operators received a scale increase of 3 to 4 percent, and one-fourth received less than 2 percent.

Wage Scale Variations

Labor-management agreements for local-transit operating employees generally provided for length-of-service differentials—an

entrance rate, one or more intermediate rates, and a maximum or top rate. ³ Although the time intervals between rate steps varied among the 67 cities included in the survey, the entrance rate generally applied for 3 or 6 months of employment. Length of service was not a factor in Memphis, San Francisco, and Scranton, where only single rates were indicated.

The starting or entrance rate for operators of surface equipment varied from a low of \$1.65 an hour in Knoxville to a high of \$3.26 an hour in Oakland. The entrance rate exceeded \$2.35 an hour in 7 of every 10 of the other cities. Top rates varied from \$1.75 an hour in Knoxville to \$3.46 an hour for multiunit cars in Boston. Operators of surface cars and buses had top rates of at least \$2.60 an hour in almost 3 of every 5 cities. The spread between the entrance and top rate was 10 cents in 1 of every 4 cities and exceeded 10 cents an hour in about the same proportion.

City and Regional Averages

City and regional averages, designed to show current rate levels, are affected not only by the wide variation in the levels of scales which exist among the individual cities, but also by variations in the proportions of union members at each of the graduated scales within cities. These differences are reflected by weighting the individual rates by the number of members at each rate. Therefore, even though all rates in two areas may be identical, the averages for the two areas may differ.

Among the 67 cities included in the survey, average hourly scales were highest in Pittsburgh (\$3.34) and lowest in Knoxville (\$1.74) (table 6). Hourly rates averaged more than \$3.25 in 6 percent of the cities and between \$3 and \$3.25 in 13 percent. Thus, the average scale in 4 out of 5 cities was below the overall average of \$3, and in 1 out of 3 cities the scale was at least 50 cents below.

Average scales differed by population size of city. Scales averaged \$3.20 an hour in cities of 1 million population or more,

This so-called top rate actually becomes the employee's basic scale after a specified period of employment with the company. It is not a maximum rate in the sense that the company may not pay more.

74 cents more than in cities of 100,000 to 250,000 population. Scales averaged \$2.77 an hour in cities of 250,000 to 500,000 population and \$3.06 an hour in cities of 500,000 to 1 million population. However, pay scales were not always related to city size. For example, the scale in San Antonio, which was in next to the largest city group, was below the scale in 7 out of 10 smallest cities. Similarly, the scale in Fresno, one of the smallest cities, was higher than that in more than two-fifths of the cities in the second largest population group.

Among the individual cities, average hourly scales showed a wide variation in each population group. The spread between the highest and lowest city average was widest (\$1.17) among the smallest cities and narrowest (37 cents) among the largest. In the two other groups the range was \$1.04 in cities of 500,000 to 1 million and 97 cents in cities of 250,000 to 500,000 population.

During the year ending July 1, 1966, hourly wage scales rose in 64 of the 67 cities included in the survey. The hourly increase varied from 2 cents in Jackson to 27 cents in Detroit. Advances of 10 cents an hour were reported in about one-sixth of the cities, and 7 cents in one-tenth. Other increases were widely scattered.

Regionally, average hourly wage scales for local-transit equipment operators ranged from \$2.35 in the Southeast to \$3.17 in the Middle Atlantic States. Average scales also exceeded \$3 an hour in the New England (\$3.01), Great Lakes (\$3.02), and the Pacific (\$3.08) regions (table 7).

Standard Workweek

Straight-time workweeks were reported for surface equipment operators in 52 of the 67 cities included in the survey (table 9). Such weekly schedules, which were applicable to 92 percent of the operators, averaged 40.2 hours on July 1, 1966, compared with 40.3 a year earlier.

A straight-time workweek of 40 hours was in effect in about 4 of every 5 cities reporting such workweeks. Seven of every eight operators of surface equipment and all operators of elevated and subway equipment had a 40-hour straight-time workweek (tables 8 and 9).

Health, Insurance, and Pension Plans

Practically all of the local-transit operating employees in the Bureau's survey were covered by labor-management agreements which provided for one or more types of health, insurance, and pension plans.

Somewhat more than three-fifths of the surface car and bus operators were covered by health and insurance plans, and the same proportion by pension plans, which were financed jointly by the employer and employee. All but one-tenth of the elevated and subway operators had health and insurance plans financed entirely by the employer, but almost all contributed to their pension plans.

Scope and Method of Study

Union scales, in this bulletin, are those scales agreed on through collective bargaining between trade unions and employers, and are defined as (1) the basic (minimum) wage scales (excluding holiday, vacation, or other benefit payments regularly made or credited to the worker each pay period), and (2) the maximum schedules of hours at straight-time rates. Rates in excess of the negotiated minimum, which may be paid for special qualifications or other reasons, are not included.

The information presented in this bulletin was based on union scales in effect on July 1, 1966, and covered approximately 63,000 local-transit operating employees in 67 cities with populations of 100,000 or more. Trackmen and maintenance workers were excluded from the study. Operating employees of municipally owned transit systems were included, if unions acted as the bargaining agents. Data were obtained primarily from local union officials by mail questionnaire; in some instances, Bureau representatives visited local union officials to obtain the desired information.

The current survey was designed to reflect union wage scales of local-transit operating employees in all cities of 100,000 population or more (excluding Honolulu). All cities with 500,000 population or more were included, as were most cities in the 250,000

⁴ The prevalence of negotiated health, insurance, and pension programs for local-transit operating employees was first studied in 1954. Information for these plans was restricted to those financed entirely or in part by the employer. Plans financed by workers through union dues or assessments were excluded from the study. No attempt was made to secure information on the kind and extent of benefits provided or on the expenditures for such benefits.

to 500,000 group. The cities in the 100,000 to 250,000 group selected for study were distributed widely throughout the United States. Data for some cities were weighted to compensate for cities not surveyed. To provide appropriate representation in the combination of data, each geographic region was considered separately when city weights were assigned.

Average hourly scales, designed to show current levels, were based on all scales reported in effect on July 1, 1966. Individual

scales were weighted by the number of union members at each rate. These averages are not designed for precise year-to-year comparisons because of fluctuations in membership and in the classifications studied. Average cents-per-hour and percent changes from July 1, 1965, to July 1, 1966, were, however, based on comparable quotations for the various classifications in both periods, weighted by the membership reported for the current (1966) survey. The index series, designed for trend purposes, was similarly constructed.

Table 1. Indexes of Union Hourly Wage Rates of Local-Transit Operating Employees, 1929-66

(1957-59=100) Date Index Index 1949: Oct. 1 1950: Oct. 1 1951: Oct. 1 1952: Oct. 1 66.1 69.2 73.8 79.3 32.7 33.0 (1) 31.5 32.6 32.9 81.1 85. 1 87. 6 91. 1 34, 5 35, 5 35, 7 36, 1 94.9 100.6 104.4 108.4 37.5 40.2 42.8 43.1 117.1 121.5 126.4 1965: July 1......1966: July 1..... 131.7

Table 2. Average Union Hourly Wage Rates of Local-Transit Operating Employees, July 1, 1966, and Increase in Rates, July 1, 1965-July 1, 1966

Classification	July 1, 1966,	Increase over July 1, 1965			
Classification	average hourly rate	Percent	Cents per hour		
All local-transit operating employees	\$3.00	4.2	12		
Operators of surface cars and busesElevated and subway operators	\$2.98 3.20	4.3 3.5	12 11		

Table 3. Percent Changes in Union Hourly Wage Rates and Percent of Local-Transit Operating Employees Affected, July 1, 1965-July 1, 1966

	Percent of—						
Change in hourly rates	All workers	Operators of surface cars and buses	Elevated and subway operators				
No change	1.8 98.2	2.0 98.0	100.0				
Under 2 percent	8. 0 6. 9 25. 9 38. 5 7. 1 3. 3 6. 0 . 1 2. 4	6.0 7.8 21.1 42.7 7.9 3.7 6.0 .1 2.6	24.0 65.3 4.2 - - 5.8				

NOTE: Because of rounding, sums of individual percentages may not equal totals.

¹ Information not available.

Table 4. Cents-Per-Hour Changes in Union Wage Rates and Percent of Local-Transit Operating Employees Affected, July 1, 1965—July 1, 1966

	Percent of—					
Change in hourly rates	All workers	Operators of surface cars and buses	Elevated and subway operators			
No change	1.8 98.2	2.0 .98.0	100.0			
Under 5 cents	7.3 2.5 1.6 3.6 1.6 3.1 10.0 12.6 3.9 28.7 5.8 7.9 7.0 2.4	5. 3 2. 8 1. 8 4. 0 1. 8 3. 5 11. 3 10. 0 4. 4 28. 0 6. 4 8. 9 7. 2 2. 6	24. 0 - - - - 34. 4 34. 2 . 9 - 5. 8			

NOTE: Because of rounding, sums of individual percentages may not equal totals.

Table 5. Distribution of Union Operating Employees in the Local-Transit Industry by Hourly Wage Rates, July 1, 1966

	Percent of—					
Hourly wage rates	All workers	Operators of surface cars and buses	Elevated and subway operators			
der \$2.30	3.9	4.3	-			
. 30 and under \$2.40	1.9 3.8	2. 1 4. 3	-			
. 40 and under \$2,50	4.7	5.3	•			
60 and under \$2.70	4.7	5.2	-			
70 and under \$2.80	5, 8	6.5	-			
80 and under \$2,90	5.4	5.4	5, 7			
90 and under \$ 3.00	12.3	11.0	23.7			
00 and under \$3, 10	12.1	10.1	28.9			
. 10 and under \$ 3. 20	7.5	8.2	1.7			
20 and under \$ 3.30	12.4	13.3	5. 2			
30 and under \$3.40	21.8	24.2	1.8			
. 40 and over	3.7	.2	32.9			

NOTE: Because of rounding, sums of individual percentages may not equal 100.

Table 6. Average Union Hourly Wage Rates of Local-Transit Operating Employees by City and Population Group, July 1, 1966

City and population group	Average hourly rate	City and population group	Average hourly rate
Population group I (1,000,000 or more):		Population group III (250,000 to 500,000)—Continued	
Name Wards N. V	\$3.31	Denver, Colo	\$2.65
New York, N.Y	\$ \$ 3.31	Indianapolis, Ind	2.64
	3,20	Atlanta, Ga	2.62
Average for group I	3.20	Louisville, Ky	2.59
	3,17		2.59
Chicago, Ill		Memphis, Tenn	2.52
Detroit, Mich		Birmingham, Ala	
Los Angeles, Calif	2.95	Omaha, Nebr	2.51
Philadelphia, Pa	- 2.94	Norfolk, Va	2.39
	1	Tampa, Fla	2.37
opulation group II (500,000 to 1,000,000):	1	Phoenix, Ariz	2.24
		Tulsa, Okla	2,20
Pittsburgh, Pa		Oklahoma City, Okla	2.13
San Francisco-Oakland, Calif			
Boston, Mass		Population group IV (100,000 to 250,000):	
Washington, D.C.			
Seattle, Wash	3.20	Fresno, Calif	2.91
		Sacramento, Calif	2.90
Average for group II	3.06	New Haven, Conn	2,86
5 5 .	1	Trenton, N.J	2.80
Milwaukee, Wis	3.05	Albuquerque, N. Mex	2,68
Baltimore, Md		Syracuse, N.Y.	2.68
Cleveland, Ohio		Des Moines, Iowa	2.66
St. Louis, Mo		Providence, R.I	2,60
San Diego, Calif		Erie, Pa	2.54
New Orleans, La		Springfield, Mass	2.50
Buffalo, N.Y.		Madison, Wis	2.47
Cincinnati, Ohio			
Houston, Tex		Average for group IV	2.46
Dallas, Tex		itterage for group re-	
San Antonio, Tex		Peoria, Ill	2.46
Jan Antonio, Tex	- 2.50	South Bend, Ind	2.45
Population group III (250,000 to 500,000);	1	Spokane, Wash	2.42
opulation group in (250,000 to 500,000).	1	Jacksonville, Fla	2,40
Newark, N.J	3.10	Richmond, Va	2.39
Minneapolis-St. Paul, Minn		Grand Rapids, Mich	2.33
		Salt Lake City, Utah	2.30
Portland, Oreg		Shreveport, La	2.29
Columbus, Ohio	- 2.10	Jackson, Miss	2.20
4	2.77		2.17
Average for group III	6.11	Little Rock, Ark	2.17
76	2.75	Charlotte, N.C	2.03
Kansas City, Mo		Scranton, Pa	
Dayton, Ohio		Evansville, Ind	1.95
Rochester, N.Y		Topeka, Kans	1.87
Toledo, Ohio	2.70	Knoxville, Tenn	1.74

Table 7. Average Union Hourly Wage Rates of Local-Transit Operating Employees by Region, 1 July 1, 1966

	Average rate per hour						
Region ¹	All workers	Operators of surface cars and buses	Elevated and subway operators				
United States	\$3.00	\$2.98	\$3.20				
lew England	\$3.01	\$2.99	\$3.24				
Middle Atlantic	3.17	3.15	3,28				
order States	2.96	2.96	-				
outheast	2.35	2.35					
reat Lakes	3.02	3.02	2.98				
iddle West	2.83	2.83	-				
outhwest	2,48	2.48	-				
ountain	2.56	2.56	-				
acific	3.08	3.08	-				

¹ The regions used in this study include: New England—Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, Rhode Island, and Vermont; Middle Atlantic—New Jersey, New York, and Pennsylvania; Border States—Delaware, District of Columbia, Kentucky, Maryland, Virginia, and West Virginia, Southeast—Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Mississippi, North Carolina, South Carolina, and Tennessee; Great Lakes—Illinois, Indiana, Michigan, Minnesota, Ohio, and Wisconsin; Middle West—Iowa, Kansas, Missouri, Nebraska, North Dakota, and South Dakota; Southwest—Arkansas, Louisiana, Oklahoma, and Texas; Mountain—Arizona, Idaho, Colorado, Montana, New Mexico, Utah, and Wyoming; and Pacific—Alaska, California, Nevada, Oregon, and Washington. Hawaii was excluded from the survey.

Table 8. Distribution of Union Local-Transit Operating Employees by Standard Weekly Hours, July 1, 1966

Weekly hours	All workers	Operators of surface cars and buses	Elevated and subway operators
Average weekly hours	40.2	40.2	40.0
Total reporting standard hours	91.7 87.7 2.1 1.5 .4	90.6 86.3 2.3 1.7 .4	100.0 100.0 -
Percent reporting no standard hours	8.3	9.4	

NOTE: Because of rounding, sums of individual percentages may not equal totals.

Table 9. Union Scales of Wages and Hours for Local-Transit Operating Employees, July 1, 1965, and July 1, 1966

(Hours are the same for both years unless otherwise indicated) July 1, 1966 July 1, 1966 City and classification Rate City and classification Rate Hours per week 1 per hour per hour ALBUQUERQUE, N. MEX. CHARLOTTE, N.C. First 2 months \$1.940 2. 364 2. 533 2. 639 2. 724 3-6 months
After 6 months 1.950 2.000 2-3 years_____ 1,990 years____ After 5 years CHICAGO, ILL. ATLANTA, GA. First 3 months Buses: 4-12 months After 1 year: 3,070 3, 205 40 First 6 months 2.530 2.590 2,630 \$2,380 Day...
Night—before 2 a.m...
Night—after 2 a.m.
Elevated and subway railways:
Motormen (regular)... 3,090 3, 225 **4** ∩ After 1 year 3, 120 3. 255 3. 275 40 BALTIMORE, MD. 2, 986 33, 011 40 l-man cars and buses: Motormen (extra): First 6 months 7–12 months After 1 year 2,760 2,905 40 First 3 months 2 932 32 957 40 2,810 2.955 40 40 ³2.966 ³3.011 ³2.966 4-12 months After 1 year 40 Conductors (regular) 2,941 40 BIRMINGHAM, ALA, Conductors (extra): First year____ 32.948 32.966 First 6 months
7-12 months
After 1 year 2.330 2.470 40 After l year ____ 40 2.490 2.520 Guards (extra): First 3 months 2.350 40 2.895 2.905 2.914 ³2. 920 ³2. 930 ³2. 939 40 40 BOSTON, MASS. 40 CINCINNATI, OHIO 3.040 First 3 months 4-6 months 2.925 3, 160 40 2,530 2,580 2,630 2,680 40 40 40 2.430 7-9 months_____ 2. 480 2. 530 2. 580 40 3. 243 After 1 year _____ 19-24 months_____ 40 P. C. C. surface lines operators: After 2 years____ 2, 925 3, 048 3, 080 3, 125 3. 160 3. 283 3. 315 40 40 40 3, 360 40 Buses and trolley coaches: 3, 458 40 2,970 4-12 months _____ 2,890 3,000 40 Guards: After I year ... 3 020 40 2.918 3,040 2.805 40 First 3 months 2.760 2.870 40 4-12 months After 1 year 2, 790 2.900 40 10-12 months 2. 888 3, 123 40 After 1 year _____ Motormen: COLUMBUS, OHIO 3,048 3, 283 40 3, 340 40 Buses and trolley coaches: Platform men: First 26 weeks______27-52 weeks______ 2,620 2.680 40 2.828 3.063 40 Warders 2.660 2, 730 2, 780 40 40 Gatemen____ After 1 year BUFFALO, N.Y. DALLAS, TEX. 2,700 2.600 First 3 months Buses: 2.730 2.750 First year_____After 1 year 2.630 40 40 After 1 year

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 9. Union Scales of Wages and Hours for Local-Transit Operating Employees, July 1, 1965, and July 1, 1966—Continued

	July 1, 1965		1, 1966		July 1, 1965		1, 1966
City and classification	Rate per hour	Rate per hour	Hours per week ¹	City and classification	Rate per hour	Rate per hour	Hours per week
DAYTON, OHIO				KANSAS CITY, MO.			
Buses:				Buses:		1	
First 6 months	\$ 2.480 2.530	\$ 2.630	40	First 4 months		\$ 2.695	40
After I year	2.580	2.680	40 40	9–12 months	2.615 2.635	2.715 2.735	40 40
		İ		After I year	2.650	2.750	40
DENVER, COLO.	ł			KNOXVILLE, TENN.			
Buses and trolley coaches:	3 5 4 0	2,610	40	Buses:			
First 3 months	2.550	2.620	40	First year.	1.650	1.650	48
13–18 months		2.630	40 40	1-2 yearsAfter 2 years	1,700	1,700 1,750	48 48
After 2 years		2.650	40	· ·	1.150	1.750	10
DES MOINES, IOWA				LITTLE ROCK, ARK.			
Buses:				Buses: First 6 months	2.000	2,070	51
First 3 months		2.580 2.610	40 40	7-12 months	2.050	2,120	51 51
After 1 year	2.530	2,660	40				''
DETROIT, MICH.			1	LOS ANGELES, CALIF.			
Buses:			ŀ	Buses: First year	2.810	2.850	40
First 6 months		3.070	40	After 1 year	2.910	2.950	40
7-12 months After 1 year	2,850 2,900	3,120	40 40	LOUISVILLE, KY.	ł	l	ĺ
Night	3.000	3,270	40				
ERIE, PA.			İ	Buses: First 3 months	2,350	2.450	40
				4-6 months	2.430	2.530	40
Buses: First 6 months	2.350	2.440	40	7-12 months	2.480 2.500	2,580 2,600	40 40
7-12 months	2.420	2.510	40 40				
After 1 year	2.450	2,540	40	MADISON, WIS.			
EVANSVILLE, IND.				Buses: First 4 months:			
Buses: First 6 months	1.750	1.800	48	DayNight	2,240 2,290	2,340 2,390	40 40
7-12 months	1.820	1.870	48	5-8 months:	İ		
After 1 year	1.900	1.950	48	DayNight	2,280 2,330	2,380 2,430	40 40
FRESNO, CALIF.				9-12 months:			
Buses:		ĺ		Day Night	2,360 2,410	2,460 2,510	40 40
First 6 months	2.580	2.684	40	After l year:			40
7-12 months	2,710	2.753	40 40	Day Night	2.380 2.430	2.480 2.530	40 40
2-3 years	2.770	2.892	40 40	MEMPHIS, TENN.			
Arter 5 years.	2.040	2.701	10	·			
GRAND RAPIDS, MICH.				Buses	2,500	2,590	40
		İ	l	MILWAUKEE, WIS.			
Suses: First 3 months	2,160	2,230	44	Buses:			
4-12 months	2.210	2.280	44	First year	2.860 2.900	3.010 3.050	40 40
After 1 year	2,260	2,330	44	After 1 year	2.900	3.050	***
HOUSTON, TEX.				MINNEAPOLIS-ST. PAUL, MINN.			
buses:	2,340	2,460	42 ¹ / ₂	Buses: First 9 months	2.810	2,910	40
First 3 months	2,370	2.490	421/2	10-18 months	2.840	2.940	40
After 15 months	2.400	2.520	42 ¹ / ₂ 42 ¹ / ₂	After 18 months	2.880	2.980	40
				NEWARK, N.J.			
INDIANAPOLIS, IND.				Buses:			
uses:	2.516	2 500	40	First 4 months	2.710	2.840	40
First yearl-2 years	2.510	2.580	40 40	5–8 months	2.800 2.890	2.930 3.020	40 40
After 2 years		2.650	40	After 1 year Subway:	2.980	3,110	40
JACKSON, MISS.				Motormen:			
uses:				First 4 months	2.710 2,800	2,840 2,930	40 40
First year	2,130	2,150	-	9-12 months	2.890	3.020	40
After 1 year	2.180	2,200	-	After 1 year	2,980 2,980	3.110 3.110	40 40
JACKSONVILLE, FLA.				NEW HAVEN, CONN.			
uses:	1	2 22 2		Buses:	2 / 22	3 700	
First 3 months	2,160	2.310	40 40	First 3 months	2.670 2.700	2.790 2,820	40 40
	2,260	2.410	40	After 1 year	2.740	2.860	40

See footnote at end of table.

Table 9. Union Scales of Wages and Hours for Local-Transit Operating Employees, July 1, 1965, and July 1, 1966--Continued

	July 1, 1965	July	1, 1966		July 1, 1965	July 1, 1966	
City and classification	Rate per hour	Rate per hour	Hours per week ¹	City and classification	Rate per hour	Rate per hour	Hours per week 1
NEW ORLEANS, LA.				OAKLAND, CALIF.			
1-man cars and buses:		1		(Scales listed under	}	1	
First 6 months		\$2.775	-	San Francisco-Oakland, Calif.)			
7-12 months	2, 695 2, 725	2. 805 2. 835	-	OKLAHOMA CITY, OKLA.			
2-man cars:	2.725	2.033	_	OREAHOMA CITT, OREA.			
First 6 months		2.705	-	Buses:			
7-12 months	2.625 2.655	2.735 2.765	_	First 6 months		\$2.020 2.070	43 43
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				After 1 year		2.150	43
NEW YORK N V				OMANA MERI			
NEW YORK, N.Y.			1	OMAHA, NEBR.			
				Buses:			
Buses: Avenue B and East Broadway				First 6 months	2, 360	2.440 2.470	45 45
Transit Co.:				After 1 year		2.510	45
First 6 months		2.830	40				
7–12 months	2.780	2.910	40 40	PEORIA, ILL.			
13-24 months After 2 years	2.850 2.985	3, 115	40	Buses:			
Brooklyn Bus Division; Brooklyn Division				First 9 months		2.430	40
No. 2; Manhattan Bus Division:	3 007	2 035	40	10–18 months		2.450	40
First 6 months	2.907 3.057	3. 025 3. 180	40 40	After 18 months	2.400	2.470	40
After l year	3. 220	3. 350	40	PHILADELPHIA, PA.]
Queens Bus Division:	2 007	2 025	40	1 ,	i		
First 6 months	2.907 3.057	3.025	40 40	l-man cars and buses: First 6 months	2, 700	2,810	40
After 1 year	3, 220	3. 350	40	7—12 months	2.750	2.860	40
Green Lines:				13-18 months	2.800	2.910	40
First 6 months	3.020 3.070	3. 070	40 40	After 18 monthsElevated, high speed and subway lines:	2.850	2.960	40
13–18 months	3. 140	3, 190	40	Conductors:	İ		
After 18 months	3.300	3. 350	40	First 6 months		2.710	40
Jamaica Buses, Inc.; First 6 months	2.765	2, 895	40	7-12 months		2.760 2.810	40 40
7–12 months	2. 845	2.975	40	After 18 months		2, 860	40
13-18 months	2.915	3.045	40	Operators:			
After 18 monthsManhattan-Bronx Surface Authority:	3.050	3.180	40	First 6 months		2.810	40 40
First 6 months	2,907	3, 025	40	7-12 months		2.860	40
7–12 months		3. 180	40	After 18 months	2.850	2.960	40
13–18 months	3. 220 3. 220	3. 350 3. 350	40 40	PHOENIN ADIZ			
After 18 monthsQueens Transit Corp.:	3.220	3, 350	10	PHOENIX, ARIZ.			
First 6 months		2.895	40	Buses:			
7-12 months	2.845	2. 975 3. 045	40 40	First year	2. 120	2, 150	-
13–18 months 19–24 months		3. 115	40	After 1 year	2. 220	2. 250	-
After 2 years	3,050	3. 180	40	PITTSBURCH, PA.			
Schenck Transport Co.:	2.500	2 7 7 7	1 40	1,			}
First 9 months	2.580 2,640	2.730	40 40	1-man cars and buses: First 3 months	3, 020	3. 195	40
After 18 months	2.850	3.000	40	4-12 months	3.110	3, 285	40
Steinway Transit Corp.: First 6 months	2.765	2. 895	40	After I year	3. 165	3. 340	40
7–12 months	2. 845	2, 975	40	PORTLAND, OREG.			
13-18 months	2.915	3.045	40				
19–24 monthsAfter 2 years	2, 985 3, 050	3. 115 3. 180	40 40	Buses and trolley coaches: First 6 months	2,600	2.600	40
Tri-Boro Coach Corp.:	3.050	3.100	10	7–12 months		2.650	40
First 12 months	2.750	2.880	40	13-18 months	2.700	2.700	40
13-18 monthsAfter 18 months	2.940 3,050	3, 070 3, 180	40 40	19-24 months	2,750	2.750 2.800	40 40
Subway:	3.050	3. 180	1 40	31–36 months	2.800	2.850	40
Conductors:		1	1	After 3 years	2.800	2.890	40
First position: First year	2, 880	2,995	40	PROVIDENCE, R. I.			
After 1 year	2.955	3.072	40	I ROVIDENCE, R.L.	1	ļ	[
Second position	2.827	2,940	40	Buses:			ļ
Motormen:		}		First 3 months		2.550	40
Road: First year	3, 385	3.520	40	4-12 months After 1 year	2.460	2.580 2,600	40
After 1 year	3, 462	3.600	40	1		_,	"
Yard:	1 2 222	1 2 2/2		Drewn co		1]
First yearAfter 1 year	3. 230 3. 305	3. 360 3. 437	40	RICHMOND, VA.		1	1
Platform men:	3. 303	3. 43"	1	Buses:			1
First year	2.712	2. 825	40	First 3 months		2. 300	-
After 1 year	2,762	2.872	40	4-12 months	2, 250	2, 350 2, 400	-
NORFOLK, VA.				ROCHESTER, N.Y.			
Buses:			1	Buses:		1	1
First 3 months	2. 200	2, 300	-	Buses: First 3 months	2. 445	2,535	40
4-12 months	2.250	2, 350	-	4-12 months	2.505	2.595	40
After 1 year	2, 300	2.400	1 -	After l year	2.605	2.695	40

See footnote at end of table.

Table 9. Union Scales of Wages and Hours for Local-Transit Operating Employees, July 1, 1965, and July 1, 1966--Continued

	July 1, 1965	July 1, 1966			July 1, 1965	July 1	July 1, 1966	
City and classification	Rate per hour	Rute per hour	Hours per week ¹	City and classification	Rate per hour	Rate per hour	Hours per week ¹	
SACRAMENTO, CALIF.				SOUTH BEND, IND.				
Buses: First 6 months	\$2.610	\$2,710	40	Buses: First 6 months	\$2.360	\$2.410	40	
7-12 months	2.710	2.810	40	7-12 months	2.385	2,435	40	
After 1 year	2.820	2.920	40	After i year	2.410	2.460	40	
ST. LOUIS, MO.	}			SPOKANE, WASH.				
1-man cars and buses:	2.650	2,750	40	Buses:	2.250	7 110		
First 6 months	2.800	2.750	40	7-12 months	2.250	2.330 2.380		
After 1 year	2.900	3.000	40	After I year	2.350	2.430		
ST. PAUL, MINN.	2.700	3.000	10	SPRINGFIELD, MASS.	2.330	2.430		
(Scales listed under				Buses:				
Minneapolis-St. Paul, Minn.)				First 3 months	2,400	2,400	40	
,				4-12 months	2.455	2.455	40	
SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH				After 1 year	2,500	2,500	40	
Buses: First 6 months	2, 190	2.230		SYRACUSE, N.Y.				
After 6 months	2, 270	2.310	_	Buses:	i			
Title Villomina		2.510		First 6 months	2,350	2.440	40	
SAN ANTONIO, TEX.				7-12 months	2,390	2.480	40	
				After 1 year	2.610	2.700	40	
Buses:	2,030	2.090		TANKE PLA				
First 6 months	2,110	2.170	_	TAMPA, FLA.				
13-18 months	2.170	2.230	_	Buses:				
After 18 months	2,250	2.310	-	First 6 months	2, 210	2.300		
CAN DIDGO CALLE				After 6 months	2.290	2.380		
SAN DIEGO, CALIF.				TOLEDO, OHIO				
Buses:	2 770	2,780	40					
First 3 months	2.730 2.780	2.780	40	Buses: First 6 months	2,540	2,650	40	
After I year	2.830	2.880	40	7-12 months	2.560	2.670	40	
111101 1 year				After 1 year	2.590	2.700	40	
SAN FRANCISCO-OAKLAND, CALIF.				TOPEKA, KANS.				
San Francisco:								
Buses and trackless trolleys, and	2 220	2 250	40	Buses: First 6 months				
cable gripmen and conductors	3.220	3.350	40	After 6 months	1.773	1.813 1.890	48 48	
Buses:	1			Arter o months	1.650	1.870	40	
First 6 months	3.110	3.260	40	TRENTON, N.J.				
After 6 months	3.160	3.310	40	1				
	1			Buses:				
SCRANTON, PA.	1			First 3 months	2.650	2.720	40	
Buses	2.000	2.000	40	4-12 months	2.690 2.730	2.760 2.800	40 40	
SEATTLE, WASH.				TULSA, OKLA.				
Buses:								
First 6 months	2.935	3.135	_	Buses: First 6 months	2,050	2,100	43 ¹ / ₃	
After 6 months	3.000	3. 200	-	After 6 months	2.150	2.200	431/3	
SHREVEPORT, LA.				WASHINGTON, D.C.				
Buses:	2 120	3 100		Buses:	3	2		
First 6 months	2.120 2.170	2.180 2.230	_	First 3 months	2.930 2.970	3.165 3.205	40 40	
After 1 year	2.230	2.290	_	After 1 year	3.010	3.245	40	
		/0			3.010	3.243	1 **	

Hours per week are shown only for those cities that reported a regular workweek after which premium overtime was paid.
 Information not available for rate and hours on July 1, 1965.
 This rate in effect prior to July 1, 1966; new scale in negotiation at time of survey.

U. S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE: 1967 O - 263-671

