Unaffiliated Local and Single-Employer Unions in the United States

1961

Bulletin No. 1348

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF LABOR
W. Willard Wirtz, Secretary

BUREAU OF LABOR STATISTICS Ewan Clague, Commissioner



Unaffiliated Local and Single-Employer Unions in the United States

1961

Bulletin No. 1348

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF LABOR
W. Willard Wirtz, Secretary

BUREAU OF LABOR STATISTICS Ewan Clague, Commissioner



Unaffiliated Local and Single-Employer Unions in the United States

1961

Bulletin No. 1348

November 1962

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF LABOR
W. Willard Wirtz, Secretary

BUREAU OF LABOR STATISTICS Ewan Clague, Commissioner



For sale by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D.C. - Price 15 cents

Preface

Although unaffiliated local and single-employer unions are one of the oldest forms of labor organization in the United States, they have become, as one writer recently put it, "America's forgotten labor organization." Generally, the American labor movement is defined to include the AFL-CIO, its affiliated unions, and the national and international unions which are outside the federation; single-employer unaffiliated unions usually earn, at best, a brief footnote. The eclipse of local unaffiliated unions was started with the passage of the National Labor Relations (Wagner) Act, and was hastened by the emergence of strong national unions in mass production industries and their growth during the war period.

Nevertheless, unaffiliated local and single-employer unions have continued to exist, often in the face of the determined opposition of national unions. In the absence of reliable statistics, partisan interests have claimed membership in the millions or, at the other extreme, the decline and ultimate disappearance of these organizations.

The Bureau of Labor Statistics biennial surveys of union membership have been confined to national and international unions, that is, labor organizations that bargain with different employers in more than one State. In this study, the Bureau accounts for the first time for the membership of unaffiliated unions confined to a single employer or to a single State. The Bureau hopes that in closing this gap in its membership statistics it has also provided a sound basis for further research into the nature and activities of these organizations.

This study was prepared in the Bureau's Division of Wages and Industrial Relations by Harry P. Cohany and James Neary.

Contents

		Page
Scope	and method	1
	and composition of membership	3
Wo	men members	4
Wł	nite-collar members	5
Inc	lustrial distribution	6
	tte membership	6
	mber of locals	6
Co	llective bargaining	7
Assoc	iation of independents	7
Table 1. 2. 3.	Dues-paying membership of intrastate and single-employer unions, May 1961 Agreement coverage of intrastate and single-employer unions, May 1961 Proportion of women members in intrastate and single-employer unions,	2
4.	May 1961Proportion of white-collar members in intrastate and single-employer unions, May 1961	3
5.	Dues-paying membership and agreement coverage of intrastate and single- employer unions, by industry, May 1961	4
6.	Dues-paying membership and agreement coverage of intrastate and single- employer unions, by State, May 1961	5
7.	Number of locals affiliated with intrastate and single-employer unions, May 1961	6
8.	Number of basic collective bargaining agreements negotiated by intrastate and single-employer untions, May 1961	7

Unaffiliated Local and Single-Employer Unions in the United States, 1961

In MID-1961, unaffiliated intrastate and singleemployer unions, exclusive of government unions, constituted a numerically marginal group in the American labor movement. Based on reports to the Bureau of Labor Statistics from 1,277 unions in this category, their membership represented 2.6 percent of the membership of all United States unions, as shown in the following tabulation:

	Union mem in the Unite	thership d States
Total	Number (thousands) 17, 456	Percent
AFL-CIO affiliates (including federal		
labor unions and local industrial		
unions)	14, 103	80.8
National unaffiliated unions	2, 901	16. 6
Single-company and intrastate unaffiliated		
unions	452	2. 6

The number of workers represented by such unions in collective bargaining exceeded membership by 42,000.

Many of these local and single-employer unions, including the larger ones, were found in industries—notably petroleum, chemicals, steel, and telephone—and companies with which they have traditionally been identified, and where national unions have repeatedly failed to dislodge them. A more recent development, possibly shaped by the decisions of the National Labor Relations Board on questions of the appropriate bargaining unit under the National Labor Relations Act, is the unaffiliated union of professional employees or of guards and watchmen.

Characteristically, the unaffiliated union is a small organization. Only 103 of them reported more than 1,000 members. Similarly, a great majority have only a single local and are parties to only one agreement. Very few maintain formal ties with other unions.

In the absence of earlier studies, it is not possible to determine how these unions, as a whole, have fared over time. The present findings will serve as a benchmark against which to measure future change and should furnish a sound statistical basis for research into the nature of these organizations.

Scope and Method

The filing requirements of the Labor-Management Reporting and Disclosure Act of 1959 afforded the first opportunity for a comprehensive listing of organizations of this type. Unions whose reports to the Department of Labor's Bureau of Labor-Management Reports indicated that they were not national in scope, as defined by the Bureau of Labor Statistics for directory purposes, were canvassed by a mail questionnaire and were asked to report whether their collective bargaining relationships were confined to a single employer or, if two or more employers were under contract, to a single State. An affirmative answer to either of these screening questions placed the union within the scope of this survey. Such a union was further asked to furnish information on the number of its dues-paying members as of May 1961 (or any other recent period). the number of workers covered by its collective

¹ Although the figures in the tabulation for national unions are for 1960, it is unlikely that the time difference significantly affects the comparison. For details regarding these figures and for source of statements on the characteristics of national unions made throughout this article, see Directory of National and International Labor Unions in the United States, 1961 (BLS Bulletin 1320, 1962) or "Membership of American Trade Unions, 1960," Monthly Labor Review, December 1961, pp. 1299-1308.

Table 1. Dues-Paying Membership of Intrastate and Single-Employer Unions, May 1961

	All unions					Single-emp	oloyer unions			Intrast	ate unions	
Number of dues-paying members			Dues-paying	members			Dues-paying	members			Dues-paying	members
	Number	Percent	Number (thousands)	Percent	Number	Percent	Number (thousands)	Percent	Number	Percent	Number (thousands)	Percent
All unions	1, 277	100.0	452. 5	100. 0	1, 179	100. 0	378. 1	160.0	98	100. 0	74. 4	100. 0
0-50 members	1 451 230 105 81 47 59 62 64 75 73 22 8	35 3 18 0 8 2 6 3 3 7 4 6 4 9 5 0 5 7 1 7	10. 1 17. 1 13. 9 10. 7 16. 3 22. 1 29. 4 54. 1 111. 9 76. 7	2. 2 3. 8 2. 9 3. 1 2. 4 4. 9 6. 5 12. 0 24. 7 17. 0	1 432 212 98 73 44 55 54 59 66 63 17 6	36. 6 18. 0 8. 3 6. 2 3. 7 4. 7 4. 6 5. 0 5. 6 5. 3 1. 4	9. 6 15. 9 12. 3 12. 5 10. 1 15. 2 19. 2 27. 2 47. 0 95. 7 57. 5 56. 0	2. 5 4. 2 3. 3 2. 7 2. 7 2. 7 2. 1 2. 4 25. 3 15. 2 14. 8	19 18 7 8 3 4 8 5 9 10 5 2	19. 4 18. 4 7. 1 8. 2 3. 1 4. 1 8. 2 5. 1 9. 2 10. 2 5. 1 2. 0	0.5 1.2 .9 1.4 .7 1.1 2.9 2.3 7.1 16.2 19.2 20.9	0.7 1.6 1.2 1.9 1.5 3.8 3.0 9.6 21.8 25.9 28.1

¹ Includes 49 unions which reported no dues requirements. Their agreement coverage was 11, 433 workers.

NOTE: Because of rounding, sums of individual items may not equal totals.

bargaining agreements, and the industry and city in which the workers were employed. Other questionnaire items dealt with the proportion of women and white-collar workers, the number of agreements and locals, multiemployer bargaining, and affiliation with other unions. As is customary in most BLS surveys, respondents were assured that information submitted would be used for statistical purposes only.

Of the 1,805 questionnaires mailed out by the Bureau of Labor Statistics, 1,545 were returned—a response rate of 85 percent.² On examination, 1,277 (71 percent of the total mailing) proved to be usable. Of the 268 which were excluded, the largest number (154) reported that they were no longer functioning or were no longer unaffiliated.³ Another 43 reported no agreements in existence, and returns from 71 were incomplete or the unions claimed to be national unions.⁴

Obviously the BLS can not claim that this study has accounted for all unions of this type in the country. Some may not have submitted reports to the BLMR; others, because of inade-

Table 2. Agreement Coverage of Intrastate and Single-Employer Unions, May 1961

		All	unions			Single-emp	oloyer unions		Intrastate unions				
Number of workers in bargaining unit	Number	Percent	Workers in uni		Number	Percent	Workers in uni		Number	Percent	Workers in uni		
			Number (thousands)	Percent			Number (thousands)	Percent			Number (thousands)	Percent	
All unions	1, 277	100 0	494. 4	100.0	1, 179	100.0	430. 2	100.0	98	100.0	64. 2	100. 0	
1–50 workers	432 222 107 86 46 60 64 55 91 78 28	33.8 17.4 8.4 6.7 3.6 4.7 5.0 4.3 7.1 6.1 2.2 .6	10. 7 16. 5 13. 3 14. 9 10. 4 16. 7 22. 6 25. 2 66. 0 120. 7 96. 8 80. 6	2. 2 3. 3 2. 7 3. 0 2. 1 3. 4 4. 6 5. 1 13. 4 19. 6 16. 3	410 207 101 76 43 56 57 50 81 69 22	34.8 17.6 8.6 6.4 3.6 4.7 4.8 4.2 6.9 1.9	10. 0 15. 4 12. 6 13. 1 9. 8 15. 5 20. 1 22. 8 57. 9 106. 1 73. 7	2.3 3.6 2.9 3.1 2.3 3.6 4.7 5.3 13.5 14.7 17.1	22 15 6 10 3 4 7 5 10 9 6	22. 4 15. 3 6. 1 10. 2 3. 1 4. 1 7. 1 5. 1 10. 2 9. 2 6. 1	.6 1.0 .7 1.8 .7 1.2 2.5 2.4 8.1 14.6 23.2 7.5	1.0 1.6 1.1 2.8 1.1 1.8 3.9 3.7 12.6 22.7 36.1	

Note: Because of rounding, sums of individual items may not equal totals.

² Because of the reporting requirements of the LMRDA, no unions of government employees were included in this survey.

³ Included in this group were 52 unions which reported affiliation with AFL-CIO unions and 13 with national unaffiliated unions. Fifty-seven stated that they were no longer in existence without giving reasons for the demise, while 32 had suffered defeats in NLRB elections. These figures would seem to indicate a high degree of turnover among organizations of this type.

⁴ It appears that many of these unions may have misinterpreted the "scope" question. In any case, they will be resurveyed for possible inclusion in the Bureau's next directory of national and international unions. None of these unions is signatory to agreements covering 1,000 or more workers, according to the Bureau's contract file. The financial reports submitted by these unions to the Bureau of Labor-Management Reports point to a membership total of less than 5,000.

Table 3. Proportion of Women Members 1 in Intrastate and Single-Employer Unions, May 1961

		All	unions			Single-em _l	oloyer unions		Intrastate unions				
Percent of women members			Women n	embers			Women n	nembers			Women n	nembers	
	Number	Percent	Number (thousands)	Percent	Number	Percent	Number (thousands)	Percent	Number	Percent	Number (thousands)	Percent	
All unions	1, 277	100. 0	132. 8	100. 0	1, 179	100.0	91. 0	100.0	98	100. 0	41.7	100.0	
No women members Less than 10 percent 10 and under 30 percent. 30 and under 50 percent. 50 and under 70 percent. 70 and under 90 percent. 90 percent and over	2 632 187 158 100 101 56 43	49. 5 14. 6 12. 4 7. 8 7. 9 4. 4 3. 4	4. 6 13. 3 17. 4 25. 2 21. 9 50. 3	3. 5 10. 0 13. 1 19. 0 16. 5 37. 9	2 593 173 148 94 89 50 32	50. 3 14. 7 12. 6 8. 0 7. 5 4. 2 2. 7	4. 4 12. 8 14. 5 17. 8 18. 5 22. 9	4. 8 14. 1 16. 0 19. 6 20. 3 25. 2	39 14 10 6 12 6 11	39. 8 14. 3 10. 2 6. 1 12. 2 6. 1 11. 2	0, 2 , 5 2 9 7, 4 3, 4 27, 4	0. 6 1. 2 6. 9 17. 7 8. 1 65. 6	

¹ Number of women members computed by applying reported percentage to dues-paying membership.

Includes 49 unions which reported no dues requirements.

of dues-paying membership (in 123, by margins of 20 percent or more)—a situation likely to pre-

Note: Because of rounding, sums of individual items may not equal

vail in the absence of union shop requirements.6 Of the unaffiliated unions surveyed, only 8 percent bargained with two or more employers (in one State) and, in total, represented 13 percent of the covered workers.

Nearly three-fifths of the membership was accounted for by 103 unions, each reporting more than 1,000 members. Most unaffiliated unions, however, particularly those whose activities were confined to one employer, were organizations com-

quate information, may have escaped identification. On the other hand, all unions which have negotiated major agreements (those covering 1.000 or more workers) are believed to be included in this survey.⁵ Thus, organizations which may have been overlooked or which failed to respond would have only a minor effect on the membership and agreement coverage totals presented in this study.

Size and Composition of Membership

In May 1961, 1,277 unaffiliated single-employer and intrastate unions had enrolled 452,463 members (table 1). The number of workers represented by these unions in collective bargaining was slightly higher-494,399 (table 2). Accounting for the difference between these totals were 49 unions which bargained for 11,433 workers but reported no dues requirements and 269 unions which reported contract coverage in excess

Table 4. Proportion of White-Collar Members 1 in Intrastate and Single-Employer Unions, May 1961

		All	unions			Single-emp	oloyer unions			Intrastat	unions	
Percent of white- collar members			White-collar	members			White-collar	members			White-colla	r members
	Number	Percent	Number (thousands)	Percent	Number	Percent	Number (thousands)	Percent	Number	Percent	Number (thousands)	Percent
All unions	1, 277	100.0	113.0	100.0	1, 179	100.0	77. 4	100. 0	98	100. 0	35. 7	100.0
No white-collar members Less than 10 percent. 10 and under 30 percent. 30 and under 50 percent. 50 and under 70 percent. 70 and under 90 percent. 90 percent and over	2 902 76 95 21 15 18	70.6 6.0 7.4 1.6 1.2 1.4 11.7	1. 7 14. 9 3. 7 2. 0 14. 2 76. 6	1. 5 13. 2 3. 3 1. 7 12. 5 67. 8	2 844 70 86 20 15 16 128	71. 6 5. 9 7. 3 1. 7 1. 3 1. 4 10. 9	1. 5 13. 4 3. 7 2. 0 11. 2 45. 6	1. 9 17. 3 4. 8 2. 5 14. 5 58. 9	58 6 9 1	59. 2 6. 1 9. 2 1. 0 2. 6 22. 4	0. 2 1. 5 (3) 3. 0 31. 0	0. 4 4. 1 . 1 8. 3 87. 0

¹ Number of white-collar members computed by applying reported per-

NOTE: Because of rounding, sums of individual items may not equal totals.

[•] For many years, the Bureau has been striving to include all agreements covering 1,000 or more workers (exclusive of the railroad and airline industries. for which agreements are filed with the National Mediation Board, as required by the Railway Labor Act) in its file of collective bargaining agreements, which has been set up under the provisions of section 211 of the Labor Management Relations Act of 1947.

⁶ The reverse was also noted. In 19 unions, membership exceeded agreement coverage by more than 16,000. This was particularly true in organizations of nurses and other hospital personnel where, often, only a fraction of the membership was employed in institutions signatory to an agreement.

centage to dues-paying membership.

Includes 49 unions which reported no dues requirements.

Less than 100 members.

prising a small number of employees 7 and, presumably, had resources commensurate with their size. More than half of the unions (681) had 100 or fewer members each, but accounted for only 6 percent of total membership covered by the study. Fully a third of the workers represented by these independents were in bargaining units of 50 workers or fewer, and in three-fifths of the units the coverage did not exceed 150. On the whole, these unions do not appear to be serious competitors with national unions in particular industries or localities, as the findings on industrial distribution and geographic location of these unions demonstrate. At the same time, the small size of these organizations may also help to explain their continued existence; they do not present conspicuous or inviting targets for potential raiders.

Women Members. About 30 percent of the members of unaffiliated local unions were women (table 3), nearly twice the proportion computed for national unions. Also in marked contrast to national unions was the concentration of the majority of women members in unions in which they constituted the preponderant group (70 percent or more of all members).

In large measure, this proportion of women in independent unions reflects the labor force composition of the industries in which independents have gained or maintained a foothold. Nearly two-fifths of the 132,751 women members were employed in hospitals and related occupations (28,625) and in the telephone industry (24,072),

TABLE 5. DUES-PAYING MEMBERSHIP AND AGREEMENT COVERAGE OF INTRASTATE AND SINGLE-EMPLOYER UNIONS, BY INDUSTRY, MAY 1961

			lunion	USTRY,	14174	1	Single-em		uniona			Inter		niona	
		A1	union			·	omkie-en		ппоиз		<u> </u>	Intra	state u	BIODS	
Industry	Num-	Dues-p mem		Worke bargai un	ning	Num-	Dues p memi		Worke bargai un	ning	Num-	Dues-p mem		Worke bargai un	niug
	ber	Num- ber (thou- sands)	Per- cent	Num- ber (thou- sands)	Per- cent	ber	Num- ber (thou- sands)	Per- cent	Num- ber (thou- sands)	Per- cent	ber	Num- ber (thou- sands)	Per- cent	Number (thousands)	Per-
All industries 1	3 1. 277	452. 5	100.0	494.4	100.0	² 1, 179	378. 1	100. 0	430. 2	100.0	98	74. 4	100.0	64. 2	100.0
Manufacturing.	821	298. 9	66.1	336.6	68. 1	771	272. 5	72. l	306. 8	71.3	50	26. 5	35. 6	29.8	46. 4
Ordnance and accessories Food and kindred products Tobacco manufactures		2.3 11.4	0. 5 2. 5	3.0 11.8	0.6 2.4	4 59	2. 3 10. 2	0 6 2.7	3. 0 10. 6	0 7 2.5	7	1.1	1. 5	1.2	1.9
Textile-mill products Apparel and other finished textile products	50 12	10. 3 1. 6	2.3	11.0	2.2	47 11	9. 7 1. 6	2.6	10.4	2.4	3	.6 .1	.8	.6	.9
Lumber and wood products (except furniture)	14	1.1	.2	1.1	.2	12	.9	.2	.9	.2	2	.2	. 2	.2	.2
Furniture and fixtures. Paper and allied products. Priming, publis ing, and allied industries. Clemicals and allied products. Products of petroleum and coal.	37 37 91	1.4 7.2 5.1 37.8 28.6	1.6 1.1 8.4 6.3	1.5 9.8 5.4 40.4 33.0	2.0 1.1 8.2 6.7	35 32 89 56	6.8 4.5 37.1 25.6	1.8 1.2 9.8 6.8	9. 4 4. 7 39. 7 29. 9	2.2 1.1 9.2 7.0	2 5 2 4	.4 .6 .7 2.9	.5 .8 .9	.4 .7 .7	. 6 1. 1 1. 1 4. 7
Rubber products Leather and leather products Stone, clay, and class products	22 23 14	3 2 14 0 1.7 27.1	3.1 4 6.0	3. 2 14. 1 2. 1 34. 4	.6 2.9 .4 7.0	22 21 11 54	3. 2 8. 8 . 9 25. 1	2.3 2.2 6.6	3. 2 9. 0 1. 2 32. 4	2. i 3. 3	2 3 3	5.1	6. 9 1. 1	5. 1 . 9	8.0
Primary metal industries. Fabricated metal products. Mac incry (except electrical). Electrical unc! incry.	79 95 77	10.0 40.6 47.8 39.2	2.2 9.0 10 6	11.3 43.1 51.0	2.3 8.7 10.3	77 90 75 43	9. 7 39. 5 42. 8 35. 4	2.6 10.4 11.3 9.4	11.0 42.0 46.0 43.3	7.5 2.6 9.8 10.7	5 2 3	2.0 .3 1.1 5.1 3.8	2.8 3 1.5 6.8	2.0 .3 1.1 5.1	3. 2 . 4 1. 8 7. 9
Transportation equipment	10	39. 2 5. 0 3. 5	1.1	50. 1 5. 0 3. 5	1.0	10 14	5.0 1.9	1.3	5.0 1.9	1.2	4	1.6	5. 1 2. 2	6.8	10. 5 2. 5
Nonmanufacturing	445	151.8	33. 5	155. 9	31.5	398	104. 9	27. 7	122. 5	28. 5	47	46. 9	63. 1	33. 4	52. 0
Mining, crude petroleum, and natural gas production	38	10.5	2.3	13.8	2.8	38	10.5	2.8	13.8	3. 2					
Transportation Communications Utilities: Electric and gas Wholesale trade	16 28 156	13 6 25 9 26 4 14.2	3.0 5.7 5.8 3.1	15. 2 34. 2 28 6 16. 4	3 I 6 9 5 8 3 3	80 15 26 151	11. 9 25. 8 24. 5 13. 8	3. 2 6. 8 6. 5 3. 7	13.6 34.2 26.1 16.0	3. 2 7. 9 6. 1 3. 7	3 1 2 5	1.6 (3) 1.9	2. 2 (4) 2. 6 . 6	1.6 (3) 2.5 .4	2. 5 (4) 4. 0
Retail trade	4	21.9 .3 34.6 .1	4.8 .1 7.6 (4)	21.9 .4 20.2	4.4 .1 4.1 (4)	47 2 24 2	10. 4 .1 4. 6 (*)	2.7 (4) 1.2 (4)	10.4 .1 4.8 .1	2.4 (4) 1.1 (4)	9 2 19 2	11. 5 . 2 30. 0 . 1	15. 5 . 3 40. 4 . 1	11. 5 . 2 15. 4	18.0 24.0
Miscellaneous nonmanufacturing Indus- tries Unclassifiable establishments	17 11	4.3 1.8	.9	5. 0 2. 0	1.0	13 10	3.3 .8	.9	3.5 1.0	.8 .2	4	1.0 1.0	1.3 1.3	1.5 1.0	2. 4 1. 6

¹ Excludes government.

Probably also confined to small establishments, although this could not be determined from the data.

Includes 49 unions which reported no dues requirements.
Less than 100 members or workers covered by agreement.

⁴ Less than 0.05 percent.

NOTE: Because of rounding, sums of individual items may not equal

Table 6. Dues-Paying Membership and Agreement Coverage of Intrastate and Single-Employer Unions, by State, May 1961

			All union	13			Single-	mploye	unions			Intra	astate ur	nions	
State			paying ibers		s in bar- ig unit			paying ibers		sin bar- g unit			paying ibers		s in bar- ig unit
	Num- ber	Num- ber (thou- sands)	Per- cent	Num- ber (thou- sands)	Per- cent	Num- ber	Num- ber (thou- sands)	Per- cent	Num- ber (thou- sands)	Per- cent	Num- ber	Num- ber (thou- sands)	Per- cent	Num- ber (thou- sands)	Per- cent
United States	1, 277	452. 5	100.0	494.4	100.0	1,179	378. t	100.0	430. 2	100.0	98	74.4	100.0	64.2	100.0
AlabamaAlaska	5 3	0.6	0. l . 1	0.7	0.1	3	0.5	0. 1 (2) (2)	0.6	0.1	2 2	(l) 0. 2	0.1	(¹) 0. 3	0.1
Arizona	(4)	.1	(2)	.1	(2)	(3)	.1	(2)	.1	(2)					
Arkansas	1 88	21.1	(2) 6, 9	.1	(2) 7. 7	71	22.7	(2) 6. 0	27.7	(2) 6.4	17	8.7	11.7	10.3	16.0
California	3	31. 3 . 1	(2)	38.0 .1	(2)	1 2	22.1	(2)	2".i	(2)	l "í	(1)	(2)	(1)	(2)
Connecticut	19	4.8	1.1	5.5	í. 1	19	4.8	1.3	5.5	`í.3	. 				
Delaware	5	2.8	. 6	3.1	. 6	5	2.8	.7	3.1	.7					
District of Columbia	3 8	7.9 3.5	1.8	6. 6 3. 5	1.3	1 6	2.8	.7	2.8	.6	2 2	5. 1 2. 7	6. 9 3. 6	3.8 2.7	5.9 4.1
FloridaGeorgia	8	3.3	. 8 (²)	3.5	(2).7	3	.8	(2). 2	.8	(a). 2	· ·	2.1	3.0	2.7	4.1
Hawaii.	3 3	1.1	. 2	1.5	.3	ı	:i	(2)	l :il	8	2	1.0	1.3	1.4	2.1
Idaho	3	.9	. 2	1.7	. 3	3	.9	. 2	1.7	. 4					
Illinois	70 47	42.8	9. 5	47.6	9.6	63 43	27 5	7 3	32.3	7.5	7	15.3	20. 5	15.3	23.8 2.9
IndianaIowa	10	15.0 1.4	3.3 .3	16.0 1.8	3. 2 . 4	43 9	13.3 1.2	3.5 .3	14. 2 1. 6	3.3 .4	4	1.8 .2	2. 4 . 3	1.9 .2	.3
Kansas	8	1.4	.3	1.5	.3	7	1.4	. 4	1.5	.3	li	(1) [(2)	(1)	i
Kentucky	14	3.9	. 9	4.0	.8	14	3.9	1.0	4.0	.9					1
Louisiana	17	6.6	1.5	7.8	1.6	14	5.4	1.4	6.7	1.6	3	1.2	1.6	1.2	1.8
Maine	8 18	3.4 9.8	. 8 2. 2	3.4 11.9	.7 2.4	7 18	.6 9.8	. 2 2. 6	11.9	. 2 2. 8	1	2.8	3.7	2.8	4.3
Maryland	55	14.4	3. 2	17.9	3.6	50	13 5	3.6	17.0	4.0	5	. 8	1. 1	.8	1.3
Michigan	38	7.6	1.7	8.0	1.6	36	7. 3	1.9	7.7	1.8	ž] .š	. 5	.3	. 5
Minnesota	13	6.0	1.3	5.4	1.1	10	7	2	.7	2	3	5.3	7. 1	4.7	7. 2
Mississippi	1 2	(¹) 6.8	(²)	(¹) 6.8	(2)	1	(¹) 5.7	(2) 1.5	(')	(3) 1. 3	3				1.8
Missouri Montana	36 7	0.8	1.5 .1	.8	1.4 .2	33 7	3.6	1.5	5.6	1.3	3	1.1	1.5	1.1	1.8
Nebraska	2	l il	(2)	.1	(2)	2	i i	(2)	l ii	(2)					
Nevada	2 2 5	.2	(2)	.2	(2)	2 5	.2	(3)	.2	(²)					
New Hampshire	96	3.7 38.5	.8	3.7	7.7	5	3.7	1.0	3.7	9.5		.9		. 9	
New Jersey New Mexico	2	(1)	8.5	41.7 (1)	8. 4 (²)	92 2	37. 6	9. 9 (2)	40.8 (¹)	(3)	4		1. 2	.9	1.4
New York	137	54.2	(3) 12.0	46.8	\9.5	122	35.6	`ý. 4	37.7	8.8	15	18.6	25.0	9. 1	14. 2
North Carolina	5	.8	. 2	.8	2	5	.8	. 2	.8	2					
North Dakota	134	. 2 52. 2	(*) 11. 5	60 7	(2) 12. 3	130	. 2 51. 5	. 1 13. 6	60.0	(2) 13. 9	4	.8	1 0	.7	1.1
OhioOklahoma	134	1.7	.4	1.9	.4	130	.6	. 2	.8	13. 9	i	1.1	1.5	ı.i	1.7
Oregon	18	1.9	.4	2. 1	.4	17	1.8	. 5	20	. 5	i	.i	.1	ï.i	.2
Pennsylvania	160	43.8	9.7	45 0	9. <u>1</u>	153	43.4	11.5	44.6	10.4	7	.4	. 5	. 4	.6
Rhode Island	41	3.5 .2	.8 (3)	3.6	(2).7	41	3.5 .2	. 9	3.6 .2	8					
South Carolina	2	.2	(9)	.2	(9)	2	. 2	. 1	· 2	(²)			·		
Tennessee	18	2. 9	. 7	3.2	. 6	17	2.7	. 7	2.8	.7	i	. 3	. 3	. 3	. 5
Tevas	45	12.2	2.7	15. 6	3. 2	41	10. 1	2. 7	13.0	3.0	4	2. 1	2.8	2.7	4.1
Utah	6	.5	. 1	.5	.1	6	.5	. 1	.5	. 1					
Vermont Virginia	20	19.6	. l 4. 3	27. 4	. 1 5, 5	3 20	19.6	5. 2	27.4	. 1 6. 4					
Washington	10	6.6	1.5	5. 3	1. l	7	3 0	.8	3.1	.7	3	3.6	4.8	2. 2	3.4
West Virginia	14	11.1	2.5	11.7	2.4	14	11. i	2. 9	11.7	2. 7	<u>-</u>				
Wisconsin	34	7.2	16	8. 3	1.7	34	7.2	1.9	8.3	1.9					
Wyoming Not classified by State 4	3 25	17.0	. 1 3. 8	20.1	4.1	3 25	17.0	. 1 4. 5	20.1	4.7					
and placemen of come "ing."	J	****	U . 0	20.1	2.1			2. 0		*. *	l				

¹ Less than 100 members or workers covered by agreement.

Note: Because of rounding, sums of individual items may not equal totals.

where they accounted for more than 90 percent of those enrolled. Other industries contributing significantly to the total were electrical machinery (20,679), followed by leather products (8,066) and retail trade (7,602).

White-Collar Members. One in every four members of an unaffiliated union was a white-collar employee (table 4), as against 1 in 8 in national unions. In terms of union penetration among these occupational groups, however, the 113,029

white-collar members in unaffiliated unions add but few to the 2.2 million in national unions. Only 375 of the 1,277 unions reported white-collar members, and in most cases blue-collar workers formed a majority. On the other hand, more than two-thirds of all white-collar members were in 150 unions which drew few, if any, members from blue-collar occupations.

About two-thirds of the white-collar members were found in nonmanufacturing industries, primarily services (hospitals) and the telephone

<sup>Less than 0.05 percent.
One interstate union provided a membership distribution by State.</sup>

⁴ Reports indicate membership in more than 1 State, but distribution not available.

industry. These two industries accounted for nearly half of all white-collar members. Another 20 percent were evenly divided between the electrical machinery industry and retail and wholesale trade.

Industrial Distribution. Although unaffiliated unions were found in all industries excepting to bacco manufactures (table 5), their main strength was concentrated in a few industries that are generally considered as their traditional strongholds. Six manufacturing industries accounted for about half of all workers covered by agreements: electrical machinery, transportation equipment, machinery (except electrical), petroleum, chemicals, and primary metals. These, plus two nonmanufacturing industries—communications (telephone and telegraph) and electric and gas utilities—encompassed nearly 2 out of 3 workers represented by independent unions.

Relative to the total number of union members in broad industrial categories, the independents made their strongest showing in the petroleum-chemical-rubber group, but even here they represented only a small portion of total union strength. In electric and gas utilities and in communications (telephone and telegraph), the vast majority of union members belonged to national organizations. In all other industry groups, the proportion organized by the independents was smaller. In addition, in industries where national unions have failed to score signifi-

cant organizing gains (agriculture, finance, and insurance), local independents have apparently also been unsuccessful.

State Membership. The character of unaffiliated local unions is further highlighted by their geographic distribution. Ten or fewer unions were found in 3 out of 5 States; only 3 States—New York, Ohio, and Pennsylvania—had above 100 (table 6). Furthermore, in each State, the number of workers organized or represented by such unions was relatively small, the highest being about 61,000. A comparison with AFL-CIO figures shows the Federation far in the lead in all States.8

In the main, independents resemble Federation affiliates in major membership concentrations in highly industrialized States—New York, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Illinois, New Jersey, California—, although not in this ranking order. Southern States, as well as other States with "right-to-work" laws, appear to be equally as unfavorable for organization by unaffiliated as by national unions.

Number of Locals. As expected, the typical single-employer or intrastate union was a single-local organization. Only 83 of the 1,277 unions reported 2 or more locals, but these contributed a considerable number (862) of local affiliates, bringing the total of chartered bodies to 2,056 (table 7).

Single-employer and intrastate unions differed markedly in this aspect of internal structure. While the former were virtually all single-local organizations, almost 30 percent of the unions

Table 7. Number of Locals Affiliated With Intrastate and Single-Employer Unions, May 1961

		Al	l u nions			Single-en	aployer unio	ns		Intrasta	te unions	
Number of locals	Unions	Locals	Dues- paying members (thou- sands)	Workers in bargaining unit	Unions	Locals	Dues- paying members (thou- sands)	Workers in bargaining unit	Unions	Locals	Dues- paying members (thou- sands)	Workers in bargaining unit
All unions	1, 277	2, 056	452. 5	494. 4	1, 179	1, 771	378. 1	430. 2	98	285	74. 4	64. 2
1 local 2 locals 3 locals 4 locals 5 locals 5 locals 6 locals 7	2 26 11 2	1, 194 52 33 8 20 30	330. 6 11. 6 11. 9 7. 6 2. 5 4. 1	364.6 15.2 13.5 7.6 5.6 4.3	1 1, 124 2 15 7 1 2 5	1, 124 30 21 4 10 30	303. 9 7. 2 4. 6 . 1 . 4 4. 1	338. 3 10. 8 5. 6 . 1 . 4 4. 3	70 11 4 1 2	70 22 12 4 10	26. 7 4. 3 7. 2 7. 5 2. 1	26. 2 4. 4 7. 9 7. 5 5. 2
7 locals. 8 locals. 9 locals. 10 and under 20 locals. 20 and under 30 locals. 30 locals and over.	1 2 8 11 10 3	7 16 72 159 256 209	5.0 36.8 29.3 11.7	1. 1 5. 5 27. 8 35. 0 13. 3	1 6 8 8 2	8 54 110 202 178	. 1 4. 6 18. 1 24. 6 10. 3	.1 5.1 19.8 33.0 12.6	1 1 2 3 2 1	7 8 18 49 54 31	.7 .6 .4 18.8 4.7 1.4	.9 1.0 .4 8.1 2.0

¹ Includes 48 unions which reported no dues requirement.
² Includes 1 union which reported no dues requirement.

^{*} State figures for national unaffiliated unions have not been compiled by the Bureau.

NOTE: Because of rounding, sums of individual items may not equal totals.

		All unions		Sing	le-employer w	nions	Intrastate unions				
Number of collective bargaining agreements	Number	Collective agreed	bargaining nents	Number		bargaining ments	Number	Collective bargaining agreements			
		Number	Workers (thousands)		Number	Workers (thousands)		Number	Workers (thousands)		
All unions	1, 277	2, 103	494. 4	1, 179	1, 330	430. 2	98	773	64. 2		
1 agreement. 2 agreements. 3 agreements. 4-6 agreements. 7-9 arreements. 10-20 agreements. 21-30 agreements. 21-30 agreements. 41-50 agreements. 41-50 agreements. 0ver 50 agreements.	1, 129 79 29 13 9 10 2 3 2 1	1, 129 158 87 59 146 45 116 94 200	374. 6 45. 4 21. 8 26. 6 6. 9 13. 0 . 6 3. 7	1,096 51 18 11 2 2	1, 096 102 54 50 16 12	365. 3 31. 7 5. 8 26. 1 . 9 . 4	33 28 11 2 7 9 2 3 3	33 56 33 9 53 134 45 116 94 200	9.3 13.7 16.1 		

Table 8. Number of Basic Collective Bargaining Agreements Negotiated by Intrastate and Single-Employer Unions, May 1961

NOTE: Because of rounding, sums of individual items may not equal totals.

in the latter group were multilocal organizations, representing three-fifths of the workers under agreements in that group. In large measure, this reflected the presence of numerous local chapters in statewide associations of nurses and other hospital personnel and in unions in retail trade and electrical machinery fields. Among single-employer unions, most multilocal organizations were found in shipbuilding, electric and gas utilities, and petroleum refining and distribution.

Collective Bargaining. Nearly 9 out of 10 unaffiliated local unions had negotiated only a single agreement. However, 148 unions were signatory to two or more agreements and, in total, accounted for almost half of the 2,103 agreements in effect at the time of the study (table 8).

The incidence of separate agreements among single-employer unions contrasts with that among intrastate unions and follows directly from the structure of the two types of organization. Where the relationship is confined to a single employer, a single agreement will normally result; similarly, where an independent union bargains with different employers, separate agreements are likely to be concluded. Two-thirds of the intrastate unions held two or more agreements, as compared with 7 percent of the single-employer group. Most of the multiagreements in the latter category covered workers in widely scattered plants or service installations, typically in the telephone and petroleum industries.

By way of comparison, the number of collective bargaining agreements to which unaffiliated local unions combined were parties in May 1961 was exceeded by the agreements reported in 1960 by each of 18 (out of 172) national unions which was a party to at least 2,000 contracts.

Of the 98 intrastate unions, 42, representing 25,000 workers, reported that they engaged in multiemployer (association) bargaining. More than three-fifths of the workers covered by multiemployer agreements were in three industries—electrical machinery, leather products, and hospitals. The largest number of such agreements (five) were found in the food industry, but these covered a total of less than 900 workers.

Association of Independents

The responses to the question on councils, federations, associations, and other groups joined by local unions permit only a few general observations. In some cases, it was not clear whether an organization listed in the questionnaire was indeed a federation or association of autonomous unions or a parent body of a multilocal organization. Since reporting unions were asked to furnish only the association's name, it was not always possible to classify these organizations into the categories described below.

It appears, nonetheless, that relatively few single-employer and intrastate unions surrendered their independent status to maintain any formal ties with other unions, and fewer than 200 unions ⁹

⁹ This excludes multilocal unions shown in table 7, unless they were part of an association, federation, or any other group.

joined with others to establish associations of various kinds. Because of the inclusion of several large unions, the membership represented in all such associations in 1961 reached a total of about 90,000.

Two national federations, the National Independent Union Council (NIUC) and the Confederated Unions of America (CUA), accounted for a total of 18,000 members. Twelve unions totaling 6,000 members reported affiliation with the NIUC, and 9 unions, with a total of 12,000 members, were members of the CUA.

Two associations restricted their scope to unaffiliated unions in a single State, and one to those

in a single city. These 3 organizations totaled about 7,000 members represented by 14 unions.

The companywide association was, by far, most prevalent among independent unions. At least 15 separate bodies of this type could be identified on the basis of reports from more than 80 unions with a membership of nearly 35,000. These bodies consisted largely of unions in the chemical and petroleum industries.

Other associations were composed of unions drawing their membership from particular occupations (nurses, engineers, guards) and from those confined to a single industry in a particular locality (retail trade, mining, textiles).

Recent BLS Industrial Relations Studies

Bulletin number	Title	Price
	Employee-Benefit Plans	
1334 1330	Pension Plans Under Collective Bargaining: Benefits for Survivors, Winter 1960-61. Digest of One Hundred Selected Health and Insurance Plans Under Collective Bar-	25 cents
	gaining, Winter 1961-62.	\$1.25
1326	Multiemployer Pension Plans Under Collective Bargaining, 1960.	65 cents
1325	Digest of Profit-Sharing, Savings, and Stock Purchase Plans, Winter 1961-62 (20 Selected Plans).	30 cents
1307	Digest of One-Hundred Selected Pension Plans Under Collective Bargaining, Spring 1961.	50 cents
1296	Health and Insurance Plans Under Collective Bargaining: Life Insurance and Accidental Death and Dismemberment, Early Summer 1960.	25 cents
1293	Health and Insurance Plans Under Collective Bargaining: Major Medical Expense Benefits, Fall 1960.	20 cents
1284	Pension Plans Under Collective Bargaining: Normal Retirement, Early and Disability Retirement, Fall 1959.	40 cents
1280	Health and Insurance Plans Under Collective Bargaining: Surgical and Medical Benefits, Late Summer 1959.	30 cents
1274	Health and Insurance Plans Under Collective Bargaining: Hospital Benefits, Early 1959.	30 cents
1259	Pension Plans Under Collective Bargaining: Part I. Vesting Provisions and Requirements for Early Retirement. Part II. Involuntary Retirement Provisions, Late 1958.	25 cents
1250	Health and Insurance Plans Under Collective Bargaining: Accident and Sickness Benefits, Fall 1958.	25 cents
	Agreement Provisions	
1342	Paid Leave Provisions in Major Contracts, 1961.	30 cents
1336	Antidiscrimination Provisions in Major Contracts, 1961.	20 cents
1304	Subcontracting Clauses in Major Collective Bargaining Agreements, August 1961.	30 cents
1282	Paid Sick Leave Provisions in Major Union Contracts, 1959.	30 cents
1279	Rest Periods, Washup, Work Clothing, and Military Leave Provisions in Major Union Contracts, April 1961.	30 cents
1272	Union Security and Checkoff Provisions in Major Union Contracts, 1958-59.	20 cents
1266	Collective Bargaining Clauses: Company Pay for Time Spent on Union Business, October 1959.	35 cents
1251	Premium Pay for Night, Weekend, and Overtime Work in Major Union Contracts, 1958.	30 cents
	Union Activities	
1320	Directory of National and International Labor Unions in the United States, 1961.	50 cents
1263	Union Constitution Provisions: Trusteeship, November 1959.	30 cents
1239	Union Constitution Provisions: Election and Tenure of National and International Union Officers, 1958.	30 cents
	Work Stoppages	
1302	Analysis of Work Stoppages, 1960.	30 cents
1298	The Dimensions of Major Work Stoppages, 1947-59.	30 cents
12/0	The management of training and training problems of the same	32 20110