UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Frances Perkins, Secretary

BUREAU OF LABOR STATISTICS Isador Lubin, Commissioner (on leave) A. F. Hinrichs, Acting Commissioner

SHIPYARD INJURIES 1944



Bulletin No. 834

Letter of Transmittal

United States Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, Washington, D. C., May 25, 1945.

The Secretary of Labor:

I have the honor to transmit herewith a report on shipyard injuries, 1944, prepared in the Bureau's Industrial Hazards Division by Frank S. McElroy and George R. McCormack. All the information on which this study is based was furnished by the shipyards as a part of the joint program of safety and health for contract shipyards, sponsored by the United States Maritime Commission and the United States Navy Department.

A. F. HINRICHS, Acting Commissioner.

Hon. Frances Perkins, Secretary of Labor.

Contents

	Page
Effect of safety program on accident record.	1
Kinds of injuries experienced	3
Accident types	4
Unsafe working conditions	4
Unsafe acts	
Detailed data	5

Bulletin No. 834 of the United States Bureau of Labor Statistics

[Reprinted from the MONTHLY LABOR REVIEW, May 1945, with additional data]

Shipyard Injuries, 1944

Effect of Safety Program on Accident Record

THE sustained safety program sponsored by the U. S. Maritime Commission and the U. S. Navy Department led to substantial reductions in the volume of work injuries in shipyards during 1944. In 1943 the entire group of private shipyards working under Federal contracts reported an average of 31.2 disabling injuries for each million employee-hours worked. Those which continued their operations into 1944 had an average injury rate of 30.2. In 1944 the average injury-frequency rate for the reporting yards was down to 23.2—a decrease

of 23 percent.

It is impossible to compute the total value of this achievement, as many of the most important savings cannot be expressed in monetary terms. Some indication of the great contribution to the war, embodied in this accomplishment, is apparent, however, in the simple totals of the injuries which have been prevented. If the frequency of injuries had been the same in 1944 as it was in 1943, it is estimated that 90,500 shipyard workers would have experienced disabling injuries in 1944. The reports received, however, indicate that the 1944 injury total was about 71,500 injuries. This means that 19,000 disabling injuries were prevented during the year and that at least 380,000 man-days were saved to hasten the production of ships. In addition to this saving in disabling injuries, it is estimated that fully 550,000 nondisabling injuries were prevented. The importance of these minor injuries is frequently overlooked because they seldom involve more than simple first aid. A Bureau of Labor Statistics study has shown, however, that on the average each nondisabling injury results in the loss of 1.2 hours of working time. In the aggregate the elimination of 550,000 nondisabling injuries represents a saving of 660,000 hours or 82,500 man-days of 8 hours each. The total saving of productive time as a result of the better accident record during 1944, therefore, amounts to 462,500 man-days.

A similar comparison with 1942, the year directly preceding the inauguration of the safety program, shows that the improved accident record during 1943 and 1944 resulted in a total saving of 611,000 man-days, which otherwise would have been lost because of disabling

and minor injuries.

The 1944 shippard record becomes even more impressive when compared with the records of earlier years. Bureau of Labor Statistics reports show that in 1939 the average injury-frequency rate for shippards was 18.6. This was the last year of normal peacetime

649516°--45

operations. Expansion, crowding, faster operations, and new types of construction developed rapidly and in 1940 the rate rose to 20.6. In 1941 the average rate was 26.4 and in 1942 it rose to 33.1. With the inauguration of the joint Maritime Commission-Navy Department Safety Program in 1943, this steady rise in the frequency rate for shipyards was checked and the rate for that year declined to 31.2. The substantial improvement shown in the average rate for 1944 (23.2) is ample evidence that the safety program has definitely achieved its first objective, which was to reverse the upward trend in work injuries which had been so apparent in the shipyard record for previous years.

The 1944 record, however, shows both improvement and retrogression. In the shipyards engaged primarily in new construction the frequency rate shows a reduction from 30.2 disabling injuries per million employee-hours worked in 1943 to 22.7 injuries per million hours in 1944—a most creditable improvement of 24.9 percent. In the repair-yard group, on the other hand, the average frequency rate rose from 28.1 in 1943 to 31.1 in 1944. The need for intensified safety activities in the repair yards is apparent, and as yards currently engaged in new construction are converted into repair yards that need will grow.

Among the new construction yards, the group operating under contracts from the Maritime Commission reduced its average frequency rate from 32.9 in 1943 to 23.4 in 1944, a decrease of 29 percent. Private construction yards operating under contracts from the Navy Department similarly reduced their average frequency rate from 26.3 in 1943 to 21.6 in 1944, while those operating under War Department contracts achieved a reduction from 39.0 in 1943 to 27.6 in 1944.

Among the construction yards holding Maritime Commission contracts, those situated in the Great Lakes region had the lowest average frequency rate in 1944—13.1. The average for the Gulf region—16.5—however, was only slightly higher. The averages for the Atlantic and Pacific regions were practically identical—26.3 and 26.4, respectively. Each of these averages represents a substantial improvement in comparison with the corresponding frequency rate for 1943, the 44-percent reduction achieved in the Gulf region being particularly noteworthy.

Substantial frequency-rate reductions during 1944 were recorded for the yards operating under Navy Department contracts in each of the naval districts except the first, ninth, and thirteenth. In the last two naval districts the 1944 average rates were practically the same as the corresponding rates for 1943. In the first naval district the 1944 rate was nearly 28 percent higher than it had been in 1943. The most pronounced improvement was in the fourth naval district where the average frequency rate was reduced from 20.9 in 1943 to 9.1 in 1944. The following statement gives the industrial injury-frequency rates for the years 1943 and 1944 for shipyards with United States Government contracts, by type of contract and by geographic region.

	rrequer	icy rates
	1944	1943
Primarily new construction	22 . 7	30. 2
United States Maritime Commission contracts	23. 4	32. 9
Atlantic region	26. 3	33. 0
Gulf region	16. 5	29. 6
Pacific region	26. 4	35. 0
Great Lakes region	13. 1	21. 1

	Frequence	
Primarily new construction—Continued.	1944	1943
United States Navy Department contracts	21. 6	26. 3
Naval District 1		26. 5
Naval District 3	20. 8	22. 9
Naval District 4	9. 1	20. 9
Naval District 5	45. 8	62. 9
Naval District 6	25. 6	39. 1
Naval District 7	28. 2	36. 2
Naval District 8	21. 2	28. 2
Naval District 9	19. 1	19. 4
Naval District 11	16. 1	24. 9
Naval District 12	16. 9	31. 0
Naval District 13	33. 7	33. 2
United States War Department contracts		39. 0
Primarily repair work		28. 1
Government-owned navy yards		15. 2

Comparisons based upon the type of construction performed indicate that the improvement achieved in the wood and concrete construction yards was considerably greater than in the yards which built steel vessels. In the yards which specialized in building concrete vessels the injury-frequency rate was reduced from 46.9 in 1943 to 27.1 in 1944 and in the yards constructing wooden vessels, from 45.8 in 1943 to 30.9 in 1944. For the larger group of yards which built steel vessels the reduction was from 29.5 in 1943 to 22.3 in 1944. Industrial injury-frequency rates for shippards primarily engaged in new construction under United States Government contracts are given below by type of construction for 1943 and 1944.

	Frequenc	y rates
	1944	1943
Iron and steel construction	22. 3	29. 5
150 feet and over—powered	21. 9	29. 0
26 feet and under 150 feet—powered		46. 1
Non-powered—all lengths	25. 6	31. 3
Wood construction	30. 9	45. 8
150 feet and over—powered	25. 2	48. 1
26 feet and under 150 feet—powered	32. 8	44. 1
Non-powered—all lengths	47. 3	80. 3
Concrete construction	27. 1	4 6. 9

Kinds of Injuries Experienced

Over a third of the 50,211 disabling shipyard injuries for which full details were reported in 1944, were injuries to the legs and feet. Injuries to the trunk constituted about one-fourth of the total; head injuries, including eye cases, constituted 22 percent of the total, and injuries to fingers, hands, wrists, and arms amounted to 18 percent.

Two-thirds of the toe injuries and one-third of the foot injuries were fractures; most of the other foot and toe cases were cuts and Practically all of these cases, or fully 12 percent of all the disabling injuries reported, probably would have been avoided had the injured persons been wearing safety shoes.

Nearly two-thirds of the 2,851 ankle injuries were sprains and about

one-fourth were bruises or fractures.

Injuries to the back were generally strains or bruises; the rib and shoulder injuries were largely bruises or fractures, while the abdominal injuries were primarily hernia cases. Seventy percent of the head injuries were eye cases, most of which resulted from foreign bodies entering the eye or from exposure to welding arcs. The general use of safety goggles would probably have prevented most of these eye injuries and thereby would have reduced the shipyard injury-frequency rate by about 10 or 15 percent. The brain and skull injuries, which totaled about 5 percent of all cases reported, were largely the result of falls or of workers' being struck by moving or falling objects. Nearly all of the latter group might have been avoided through the universal use of hard hats.

About one in seven of the 3,783 injuries to fingers resulted in an amputation, and about one in three was a fracture. Most other finger injuries were cuts or bruises.

Accident Types

One-third of all reported disabling injuries resulted from the injured employee's being struck by a moving or flying object. Injuries caused by foreign bodies striking the eyes were by far the most common; this one group alone accounted for about 10 percent of all reported injuries. Metal parts which fell from piles or from the hands of employees caused a considerable number of "struck by" accidents. Cranes and vehicles also accounted for a large number of injuries in this group. Most of these occurred when employees were struck by the moving sling load or by objects dropped from the load.

Falls accounted for approximately one-fourth of the reported injuries, with falls from one level to another slightly exceeding those on the same level. Of the first group, falls from stagings were the most common. In the latter group, falls on decks or floors were most frequent. Poor housekeeping contributed to many injuries in this group. Falls caused by cables or other feed lines on working surfaces were numerous.

Slips on working surfaces and overexertion caused by lifting was the third most common accident type; approximately one-fifth of the

reported disabling injuries fell into this group.

Accidents in which the injured employee struck against tools or other objects accounted for 11 percent of the disabling injuries. Contact with temperature extremes, mostly hot metal, slag, or rivets, or contact with welding radiations was responsible for 7 percent of all disabling injuries. Employees who were caught in cranes, vehicles, or machines sustained the largest number of injuries in the "caught in, on, or between" group which accounted for 6 percent of the reported injuries.

Unsafe Working Conditions

Poor housekeeping caused more accidents than any other unsafe working condition. Of the 20,496 disabling injuries for which an unsafe working condition was known to exist, approximately 7,500, or 37 percent, were due to poor housekeeping. Failure to keep working surfaces or walkways clear of equipment or materials was responsible for a majority of these injuries. Welding cables, lumber, and structural parts lying on such surfaces were the most common source of these accidents. A large number of accidents were caused by failure to keep working surfaces free from snow, ice, water, or grease. Poor piling of materials was another frequent source of injury.

Failure to provide personal safety equipment, or providing defective safety equipment, accounted for 5,473 disabling injuries, or 27 percent of those for which an unsafe working condition existed. Over half of these injuries could have been prevented by the use of proper goggles. Approximately 2,750 injuries were caused by foreign bodies originating at the point of operation of a grinder, chipping hammer, or similar machine or tool; another 700 injuries were due to welding radiations. Lack of personal safety equipment to guard against burns from hot metal or slag caused nearly 1,100 disabling injuries.

Defective agencies contributed to 18 percent of the disabling injuries which were associated with unsafe working conditions. Approximately one-third of these accidents involved defective staging or scaffolds. Hand tools, fatigued or worn from excessive use, were a common source of injuries in this group. Insecurely bolted or welded metal parts and defective cranes also caused a considerable number of

injuries.

Unguarded working surfaces, machines, and other equipment caused approximately 9 percent of the injuries which resulted from an unsafe working condition.

Unsafe Acts

Two types of unsafe acts were associated with over two-thirds of the disabling injuries in which an unsafe act was known to exist. Incorrect lifting was somewhat the more common of these, although taking an unsafe position or posture caused nearly as many injuries.

Of the group of injuries classified as incorrect lifting, 24 percent were due to lifting or carrying excessive weights, generally structural parts, Taking an insecure hold, or the wrong hold, on lumber, and pipe. hand tools was responsible for almost as many disabling injuries. Poor handling of metal parts, such as brackets, plates, and bars,

caused 11 percent of the injuries in this group.

Inattention to footing was the most common specific fault in the group of unsafe acts classified as unsafe position or posture. Most of these accidents were falls, stumbles, or slips on the part of the injured employee. Lifting objects from an awkward position or with a bent back produced many back strains. Working too near objects or other persons caused numerous injuries.

Unsafe operation of, or unnecessary exposure to, cranes, vehicles, or machinery; failure to use provided personal safety equipment; and unsafe use of, or failure to use, scaffolds or ladders, each were involved in approximately 8 percent of the injuries caused by an unsafe act.

Detailed Data

Detailed statistics on disabling shipyards injuries, January-December 1944, are given by part of body injured and nature of injury in table 1, by accident type and agency in table 2, by unsafe working condition and agency in table 3, and by unsafe act and agency in table 4.

Table 1.—Distribution of Disabling Shipyard Injuries, Classified by Part of Body Injured and by Nature of Injury, January-December 1944

	то								Burns				Indus-		
Part of body injured	disabling injuries		Contu- sions, bruises, hema-	Strains, hernia, sprains	Frac- tures	Foreign bodies in eyes	Cuts, abra- sions, lacera-	Total	Burns and	Flash burns from	Ampu- tations or enu- cleations	Con- cussion	cnemical	All other	Unclas- sified, insuffi- cient
	Num- ber	Per- cent 1	toma				tions		scalds	welding radia- tions	Cications		poison- ing		data
Total disabling injuries: Number Percent ¹	50, 211	100	12, 532 26	10, 635 22	9, 148 19	5, 086 11	4, 955 10	3, 711	2, 371 5	1, 340 3	658 1	506 1	459 1	352 1	2, 169
Lower extremities	17. 169 4, 353 3, 834 3, 065	34 8 8 6	5, 841 1, 524 1, 662 831	3, 381 328 238 12	4, 862 1, 495 730 2, 055		1, 603 435 778 67	845 380 276 7	845 389 276 7		57 4 7 46		6	18 3 9	556 184 132 47
KneesAnkles	3, 066 2, 851	6	1, 431 303	971 1,832	213 369		250 73	51 131	51 131				3	5 1	142 51
Trunk Back or back vertebrae Ribs or shoulders Abdominal region or internal organs Hips or pelvis	12, 434 6, 524 3, 316 1, 847 747	25 13 7 4 1	3, 341 1, 319 1, 272 368 382	6, 489 4, 356 734 1, 242 157	1, 467 327 1, 015		163 54 38 53 18	112 31 51 25 5	112 31 51 25 5				19 1 3 15	27 2 5 18 2	816 434 198 126 58
HeadEyesBrain or skull	10, 745 7, 022 2, 485 1, 238	22 15 5 2	1, 271 165 851 255	103 1 102	467 253 214	5, 086 5, 086	1, 132 231 625 276	1, 684 1, 434 16 234	344 94 16 234	1, 340 1, 340	11 9 2	506 506	46 9 1 36	89 20 8 61	350 67 225 58
Upper extremities. Fingers. Hands. Arms. Wrists. Elbows.	8, 841 3, 783 1, 893 1, 520 901 744	18 8 4 3 2	1, 929 747 391 275 102 414	642 99 63 130 203 57	2. 343 1, 049 332 560 303 99		2, 040 1, 103 623 177 94 43	969 156 362 277 81 93	969 156 362 277 81 93		590 574 5 11		98 5 57 35 1	22 6 4 7 2 3	208 44 56 48 25 35
Body general	727 6 289	(2)	129 21	2 1 17	1 1 7		8 9	95 6	95 6				279 11	188 3 5	25 1 213

Percent of known cases.
 Less than one-half of 1 percent.

Table 2.—Distribution of Disabling Shipyard Injuries, Classified by Accident, Type and by Agency, January-December 1944

Accident type	Tot disab inju	ling		faces		Strue-		For-		Cables.			Hot			Poi-		Un- classi-		
	Num- ber	Per- cent 1	Total	Decks, floors, hatches	Seaf- fold, stag- ing	Steps, lad- ders	Other work- ing sur- faces	tural parts	Tools		Cranes, vehicles	other feed lines	Lum- ber	Pipe	metal slag, rivets	radia-	Ma- chines	chem- icals, fumes	- Other	fied, insuf- ficient data
Total disabling injuries: Number Percent 1	50, 211	100	10, 471 21	3, 079 6	2, 202 4	2, 036 4	3, 154 7	6, 403 13	5, 701 12	4 , 697	3, 586 7	2, 537 5	2, 253 5	1, 787 4	1, 503	1, 374	1, 187 2	607	6, 946 14	1, 154
Struck by Foreign bodies in eyes 2 Material falling from above. All other Falls To lower level. On same level. Slips (not falls) and overexertion. Striking against. Own tools while in use	10, 562 11, 160 6, 460 4, 700 9, 066 5, 417	33 10 2 21 23 13 10 18 11 3	371 1 77 293 6, 564 4, 620 1, 944 2, 486 838	43 40 2, 032 1, 204 828 715 251	192 1 67 124 1, 496 1, 347 149 272 190	67 	5 64 1,835 932 903 911 256	3, 214 1 286 2, 927 530 134 306 1, 427 762	2, 006 31 334 1, 641 438 224 264 900 1, 726 1, 308	4, 6§2 4, 679 3	1, 857 23 1, 834 453 364 94 232 148	351 43 26 282 1, 106 229 877 832 130	876 1 165 719 311 104 207 509 377	736 2 62 672 271 49 222 490 168	71 12 10 49 7 3 4 5 78		297 9 3 285 34 8 26 96 79	59 33 26 	2, 026 102 177 1, 747 1, 238 662 576 1, 755 1, 055	117 7 17 93 153 63 90 244 50
All other objects Burns Contact with temperature	4, 109 3, 369	8 7	838 6	251 3	190	141	256 2	762 2	418 218		148 7	130 77	377	163 11	78 1, 338	1, 374	79 13	6 80	1, 055 201	50 42
extremes Contact with welding radiations Caught in, on, or between Inhalation, absorption, inges-	1, 979 1, 390 2, 864	3 6	105	3 17	20	22	2 46	427	206 12 280		7773	77 15	81	103	1, 338	1, 374	639	80	201 406	38 4 30
tionOtherUnclassified, insufficient data	475 394 803	1	18 83	8 10	2 29	1 16	7 28	39	42 39	15	63 38	14 12	1 13	1 7	2 2		15 14	392 68 2	50 143 72	29 22 467

7

Percent based on known cases.
 Includes only cases of the foreign body lodging in eye.

Table 3.—Distribution of Disabling Shipyard Injuries, Classified by Unsafe Working Condition and by Agency, January-December 1944

	To Disa inju	bling		rfaces		Struc-		For-	Cranes.	Cable.			Hot met-	Weld-	76.	Poi-		Un- classi-		
Unsafe working condition	Num- ber	Per- cent 1	Total	Decks, floors, hatch- es	folds,	Steps, lad- ders	Other work- ing sur- faces		Tools	eign bodies n. e. c.	vehi- cles	other feed- lines	Lum- ber	Pipe	al, slag, riv- ets, etc.	ing radia- tions	Ma- chines	sons, chem- icals, fumes	agen-	fied, insuf- ficient data
Total disabling injuries: Number Percent 1	50, 211	100	10, 471 21	3, 079	2, 202 4	2, 036 4	3, 154 7	6, 403 13	5, 701 12	4, 697 10	3, 586 7	2, 537 5	2, 258 5	1, 787 4	1, 503 3	1, 374	1, 187 2	607	6, 946 14	1, 154
Poor housekeeping Failure to keep deck or floor	7, 467	16	1,480	729	80	178	493	1, 495	364		54	1,436	845	513	10		7	10	1, 238	15
cleared	2, 407	6	1			1		413	85		- 	987	169	239	4		1		502	6
surfaces cleared	2, 035	4	7		1	4	2	532	224		1	427	291	124	4		1		422	2
ice, snow	1, 285	3	1, 226	595	56	148	427	14			23		2	4			1		13	2
terial or equipment Other poor housekeeping Lack of, or defective, safety	1, 156 584	2 1	17 229	134	23	17 8	64	517 19	33 17		7 23	20 2	152 231	144 2	2		1 3	10	243 58	5
equipment No goggles	5, 473 2, 293	12 6	162	72	16	30	44	121	733 12	2, 735 1, 634		23	27	22	1,096 17	712 589	20 2	98 15	310 21	14 3
Goggles defective or unsuit- able————————————————————————————————————	1, 150 2, 030 3, 763	2 4 8	162 1, 534	72 31	16 1, 075	30 135	44 293	121 334	121 693	1,069 32	253	23 257	27 17	22 60	6 1, 073	71 52	18 122	82	3 286 489	ii
Fatigued, decayed, worn, frayed. Unsale construction or erec-	1. 137 824	2 2	88 820	2 9	4 647	67 32	15 132	12	463		197	127	3	13			70		162	2
tion. Insecurely bolted, braced, welded, etc	624 1, 178 1, 940 340 501	1 3 4 1	49 577 1, 542 30	8 12 1,081 2	9 415 64 9	3 33 356 13	29 117 41 6	295 27 29	177 53 16 29		3 53 88 112 493	130	14	15 32 8	26 4	30 1	52 109 8	18 46	83 240 109 56	2
Other unsafe working conditions.	1, 012 26, 297 3, 418	56 	473 4, 898 352	99 967 98	69 799 90	36 1, 253 35	269 1, 879 129	19 4,004 401	21 4, 309 136	1, 579 383	100 2, 355 131	9 780 23	6 1, 215 140	11 1, 086 87	6 273 88	523 108	17 787 117	209 153 73	136 4, 217 383	5 118 996

Percent of known cases.

 ∞

Table 4.—Distribution of Disabling Shipyard Injuries, Classified by Unsafe Act and by Agency, January-December 1944

	To Disal inju	bling		Work	ing su	rfaces		Struc-		For-	Cranes.	Cables,			Hot	Weld-		Poi-		Un- classi-
Unsafe act	Num- ber	Per- cent 1	Total	Decks floors, hatch- es	fold.	pieps,	Other work- ing sur- faces	tural parts	Tools	bod- ies n. e. c.	vehi- cles	other feed lines	Lum- ber	Pipe	met- al, slag, rivets	ing radia- tions	Ma- Ihines	sons, chem- icals fumes		fied, insuf- ficient data
Total disabling injuries: Number Percent 1	50, 211	100	10, 471 21	3, 079	2, 202 4	2, 036 4	3, 15 <u>4</u>	6, 403 13	5, 701 12	4, 697 10	3, 586 7	2, 537 5	2, 258 5	1, 787 4	1, 503	1, 374 3	1, 187 2	607 1	6, 946 14	1, 154
Gripping insecurely or overlift- ing	9, 991	21	52		3	47	2	2, 691	2, 955		40	599	849	760	46		159	87	1,710	43
ing wrong hold Lifting or carrying too heavy	5,041	11	14			14		1,053	2, 211		14	505	385	305			14		537	3
loadOther unsafe handling Taking unsafe position or pos-	2, 404 2, 546	5 5	24 14		2 1	22 11	<u>-</u> 2	902 736	79 665		7 19	56 3 8	269 195	236 219	46		43 102	87	752 421	3 4
ture	8, 480 2, 018	18 4	3, 337 1, 781	1,042 619	520 171	137 82	1, 638 909	1, 218 29	1, 049		201 50	201	310 12	291 15	130 1	4	86	13	1,606 128	34 2
overreaching	1, 151	2	39		- 15	13	11	357	79		6	30	130	93			11		388	18
Working too near objects or other persons	1,028	2	54	-	40	2	12	340	136		14	3	39	61	77	4	32	3	259	6
Other unsafe position or pos- ture	4, 283	10	1, 463	423	294	40	706	492	834		131	168	129	122	52		43	10	831	8
sonal) safety equipment Failing to wear	2, 096 1, 759	4 4	18 5	4 2	3 1	6	5 2	10 5	20 13	1, 378 1, 229		6 5	1	1 1	64 18	521 441	7	27 21	40 19	3 2
Wearing improper or defec- tive equipment————————————————————————————————————	104 233	(2) (2)	13	2	2	6	3	4	4 3	8 141		1	1		38 8	7 73	7	4 2	17 4	1
to, cranes, vehicles and ma-	2, 398	5									1, 933	 					423		42	
Unnecessary exposure to crane or crane load	1, 145	2	 -								1, 145	- 				 -				
Other unsafe driving, operat- ing or exposure	1, 253	3				<u></u>			ļ		788						423		42	

9

¹ Percent based on known cases. ² Less than one-half of 1 percent.

TABLE 4.—Distribution of Disabling Shipyard Injuries, Classified by Unsafe Act and by Agency, January-December 1944—Continued

Unsafe act		tal oling iries	Working surfaces							For-	Cranes,	Cables,			Hot	Weld-		Poi-		Un- classi-
	Num- ber	Per- cent 1	Total	Decks, floors, hatch- es	fold	preps,	Other work- ing sur- faces	Struc- tural parts	Tools	bod- ies n. e. c.	vehi- cles	other feed lines	Lum- ber	ribe	me- tal, slag, rivets	ing radia- tions	cnmes	sons, chem- icals, fumes	Other	fied, insuf- ficient data
Unsafe use of, or failure to use, scaffold or ladder. Ascending and descending	2, 117	5	1, 609	32	184	1, 078	315	13	10		86		9	17	1		8		362	2
rapidly or not gripping firmly	1, 185	3	1,082	9	62	883	128				77								25	1
Other unsafe use of, or failure to use, ladder or staging Using without authority, or fail-	932	2	527	23	122	195	187	13	10		9		9	17	1		8		337	1
ing to block, secure, signal or warn	936	. 2	115	7	84	6	18	65	129		339	23	25	11	50	7	64	14	93	1
making safety devices inopera- tive	353 1, 142 19, 148 3, 550	1 2 42	53 176 4,736 375	7 26 1,859 102	9 91 1, 214 94	37 23 658 44	36 1,005 135	2 89 1,895 420	68 191 1, 102 177	15 2, 919 385	30 93 735 129	3 21 1,655 29	7 36 875 146	7 23 589 88	3 38 1, 086 85	1 732 108	72 42 229 97	16 66 317 67	90 347 2, 232 424	1 4 46 1,020

¹ Percent based on known cases.