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## PREFACE

The Bureau of Labor Statistics conducted, from 1934 to 1936, a study of the family incomes and money disbursements of wage earners and clerical workers in 42 of the larger cities of the country. The results of these studies have been published, ${ }^{1}$ and have been used as the basis for a revision of the Bureau's indexes of cost of living for wage earners and clerical workers. In connection with this study, at the request of certain State agencies, data were obtained from 13 cities so much smaller as to constitute an entirely different group. The reports for these cities are included in this volume. Ten of these thirteen small cities were in New Hampshire, where the survey was made in cooperation with the New Hampshire Office of Minimum Wage and the New Hampshire Emergency Relief Administration.

The study in Marquette, Mich., was undertaken at the request of the University of Michigan School of Education and the Michigan Emergency Relief Administration. The investigation in Reno, Nev., was made in cooperation with the Nevada Relief Administration, and that in Modesto, Calif., in cooperation with the California Division of Labor Statistics and Law Enforcement and the California Emergency Relief Administration. The tabulations of all the data were carried on with the aid of workers furnished by the Works Progress Administration. The field work in New Hampshire was supervised by Gertrude Schmidt Weiss, assisted by Mary Jean Bowman, Eileen Leach, and Esther E. Nelson, all of the Bureau of Labor Statistics staff, and C. Spencer Platt of the New Hampshire Minimum Wage Office. In the other States, all the supervisory work was done by members of the Bureau of Labor Statistics staff. The field work in Marquette was supervised by Caroline Wilson under the general direction of Frances R. Rice and Arthur Rayhawk, who were regional directors of the field work in all Michigan cities. In Modesto the field work was supervised by Margaret Allen under the general direction of Dorothea D. Kittridge and Georges M. Weber, regional directors of field work in all California cities. In Reno, the field work was supervised by Dorothy Terrill. Alice C. Hanson was in general charge of the tabulations and Mary C. Ruark, assisted by Harry Winckel and Ethel Cauman, was responsible for the final preparation of the Tabular Summary in this report.
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# Money Disbursements of Wage Earners and Clerical Workers in Thirteen Small Cities, 1933-35 

## Introduction

## Cities Studied

Thirteen small cities were included in this survey of employed wage earners and clerical workers. The 10 New Hampshire cities range in size from the industrial city of Nashua, to the small town of Conway. The 3 largest of these, Nashua, Concord, and Berlin, all had more than 20,000 inhabitants. Concord is the State capital, and clerical workers were relatively numerous there. The average income of the 99 Concord families surveyed was $\$ 1,465$-higher than that in the other New Hampshire cities. The chief earner provided the largest share of family funds, as there were few families with more than 1 worker. Nashua, a textile city in the extreme southern portion of the State, near Lowell and Lawrence, Mass., provided numerous opportunities for wives and grown children to work. As a result, a little more than one-half of the families had 2 or more earners at some time during the year, and average family income was nearly as high as in Concord, $\$ 1,437$. Berlin, farthest north of all these cities, is largely devoted to paper making. It had the highest proportion of families in which the wife was born in Canada, and most of these women came from the French-speaking section of the country. Families with more than 1 earner were few, and family income averaged \$1,133.

Five of the New Hampshire cities, Portsmouth, Keene, Dover, Laconia, and Claremont, form the second group, each with close to 15,000 inhabitants. Portsmouth and Dover are farthest east of all the cities, Portsmouth being on the coast. Laconia is a resort center near Lake Winnepesaukee, about the center of the State, while Claremont and Keene are not far from the Vermont border. Average income per family in these 5 cities ranged from $\$ 1,396$ for Portsmouth to $\$ 1,163$ for Claremont. In none of these 5 cities was the number of earners per family so numerous as in Nashua, or so few as in Berlin,

The third group of New Hampshire cities includes Conway and Littleton, both cities of fewer than 5,000 inhabitants and both in the mountain and resort section of the State. Family income of employed wage earners and clerical workers averaged $\$ 1,238$ and $\$ 1,138$, respectively, in the two cities.

Marquette, a city of about 15,000 , is on Lake Superior in the northern peninsula of Michigan. Iron ore, from mines not far from the city, is shipped from the docks in its harbor, and nearby stone quarries also provide employment. Incomes of the families studied in Marquette averaged $\$ 1,307$.

Modesto, Calif., of about the same size as Marquette, is located in the fruit-growing country of the Sacramento Valley, east of San Francisco. Average income of the group studied there was $\$ 1,472$, which was higher than in any other of the small cities except Reno.

Reno, Nev., with its population of about 20,000 , is the largest city in Nevada, a resort city and an important business center for the surrounding farming and stock-raising country. Although Reno is located in western Nevada, not far from Modesto, its climate is somewhat colder than that of Modesto. Wage-earner and clerical families were in the most favorable economic position here of any of the small cities studied, with an average income of $\$ 1,587$, and smaller families.

Incomes among families of employed wage earners and clerical workers were generally lower in these small cities than in the larger communities nearby. Among the New Hampshire cities, average income was less among the smallest of the cities than among those next in size. In contrast with these New Hampshire averages, $\$ 1,190$, $\$ 1,279$, and $\$ 1,345$, for the three size-of-city groups, average incomes of employed wage earners and clerical workers in larger New England cities were as follows: Manchester, N. H., $\$ 1,405$; Portland, Maine, $\$ 1,505$; Springfield, Mass., $\$ 1,566$; and Boston, Mass., $\$ 1,571$. (See Bureau of Labor Statistics Bull. No. 637, vol. II.)

Incomes of small-city wage earners and clerical workers in Marquette and Modesto also averaged less than in the nearby large cities included in this study, Detroit (see B. L. S. Bull. No. 636), San Francisco, Los Angeles, San Diego, and Sacramento (see B. L. S. Bull. No. 639).

## Cost of Living in Small Cities Compared With Large Cities

In considering data on income and expenditures from cities of different size, one of the first questions that arises is whether costs of living differ with size of city. From the limited data available, it appears that living costs are somewhat lower in the smallest of these communities than in the large cities nearby, but exceptions can be found in every region of the country. Moreover, for the New England States, in which are located 10 of the 13 small cities covered by this
report, it is very unlikely that the difference in living costs between large and small cities would exceed 5 percent.

This conclusion is drawn from a series of surveys. The most comprehensive recent survey of differences among cities in living costs is one made by the Works Progress Administration in 1935. ${ }^{1}$ In this investigation, an equivalent list of goods and services was priced in each of 59 cities, giving cost estimates for the same standard of living. None of these cities, however, is as small as the smallest of the New Hampshire cities covered by this report, and those included in the Works Progress Administration survey in the northeastern section of the country are considerably larger. Ten cities of much smaller size, among them Dover, N. H., were covered in a comparable study made by the Bureau of Labor Statistics in connection with estimates of living costs in northern and southern cities. ${ }^{2}$

Variations in living costs among cities of the same size are so great that it is difficult to generalize regarding the effect of size of city on living costs. For example, in the 1935 study, living costs were 14 percent more in Sioux Falls, S. Dak., than in the larger city of Wichita, Kans. Even closer, geographically, and hence more nearly similar in climate, are the New England cities for which 1938 estimates of living costs are available. Living costs in Dover, N. H., were found to be 6 percent less than in Boston, Mass., but 2 percent more than in Manchester, N. H., and 0.4 percent more than in Portland, Maine.

## Expenditure Patterns in Large and Small Cities

The income difference between large- and small-city wage-earner and clerical families thus appears to be considerably greater than the probable difference in living costs. With their lower incomes, expenditures of all kinds averaged less in the small cities for the wage-earner and clerical group. Small-city families seem to differ from large-city families in their spending patterns, even when they have the same amounts to spend. Apparently, in the small cities the desire to live up to the standards of the income group next above is less pressing than in the large cities, where the spread of incomes is greater.

The small-city families characteristically devoted larger amounts to insurance, investments, and other savings than did families of similar status in larger cities. For example, small-city families with incomes of $\$ 1,200$ to $\$ 1,500$ finished the year with an average net surplus of $\$ 50$. (See table 1.) Large-city families in the same income class spent nearly all of their incomes for current living,

[^1]having an average net surplus of only $\$ 2$. Some families in each group failed to balance income with expenditures, while others had substantial surpluses, but the picture for each group as a whole shows small-city families spending less for current living than large-city families.

Table 1.-Distribution of expenditures, 10 small New Hampshire cities, and 4 large New England cities, families with incomes of $\$ 1,200$ to $\$ 1,500,1$ year during the period 1939-96

| Item | 10 small New Hampshire cities ${ }^{1}$ | 4 large New New England cities ? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total disbursements | \$1,328 | \$1, 368 |
| Net change in assets and liabilities | +50 | + +2 |
| Total expenditures.. | 1,278 | 1,366 |
| Percentage distribution: |  |  |
| Total expenditures. | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Food..- | 33.9 | 36.1 |
| Clothing | 10.9 | 9. 6 |
| Housing | 14.5 | 19.2 |
| Fuel, light, and refrigeration | 11.2 | 9.6 |
| Other household operation | 3.8 | 3.2 |
| Furnishings and equipment | 3.1 | 3.0 |
| Transportation........... | 6.4 | 6.0 |
| Personal care | 2.0 | 1.8 |
| Medical care - | 4.2 | 3.4 |
| Recreation. | 5.5 | 5. 0 |
| Education | . 3 | . 1 |
| Vocation | 3 | . 3 |
| Community welfare | 1.8 | 1.5 |
| Gifts. | 1.5 | . 9 |
| Other items. | . 6 | . 3 |

${ }^{1}$ Nashua, Concord, Berlin, Portsmouth, Keene, Dover, Laconia, Claremont, Littleton, and Conway. a Boston and Springfeld, Mass., Manchester, N. H., and Portland, Maine.

Housing expenditures provide one of the important differences between small-city and large-city spending. For example, families with incomes of $\$ 1,200$ to $\$ 1,500$ in the small New Hampshire cities devoted 14.5 percent of their total current expenditure to housing, as compared with the 19.2 percent spent for housing in the four large New England cities included in Bureau of Labor Statistics Bulletin No. 637, volume II. Housing expenditures, plus those for fuel, light, and refrigeration, came to 25.7 percent in the small cities and 28.8 percent in the large cities. A comparison on the basis of total cost of housing and household operation is more satisfactory as it takes account of the larger proportion of large-city families that rented their dwellings heated. A number of factors explain these differences; among them the tendency of small-city families to own their homes free of mortgage thus decreasing their money expense for housing, the smaller amounts paid in taxes on owned homes, and the incomplete equipment of many small-city homes as regards conveniences. Other possible factors which this study does not cover are lower land values and lower tax rates accompanied by fewer and less expensive public services.

In large and small cities alike, food took about one-third of the expenditures of families in the $\$ 1,200$ to $\$ 1,500$ income class, and clothing about one-tenth. Food purchased and eaten away from home, chiefly meals eaten out, was more important in the large cities, where

working places are too far away for the wage earner to go home for lunch.

In the New England group, transportation expenditures took 6.0 percent of the total spent by large-city families in the $\$ 1,200$ to $\$ 1,500$ income class and 6.4 percent for small-city families with similar money incomes. In the small cities this sum was spent almost entirely on the family automobile, but in many of the large cities, automobileowning families were few, and streetcars and busses took family members to work, to school, and on shopping trips.

Medicine, doctor, and hospital bills, in spite of their unequal distribution among individual families, took 3 to 4 percent of the total spent by families in the $\$ 1,200$ to $\$ 1,500$ income class, in large as well as small cities. Small-city families might be expected to spend less for moving pictures and other forms of commercial entertainment. Total recreation expenditures, however, amounted to 5.5 percent of the total spent by small-city families in this income class as compared with 5.0 percent in the large cities.

Furnishings and equipment and personal care expenditures also were similar in the two groups of cities, the former about 3 percent and the latter 2 percent of the total. The remaining small expenditure categories, education, vocation, community welfare, gifts to persons outside the family, and other items, came to a total of 4.5 percent in small and 3.1 percent in large cities, for families in the $\$ 1,200$ to $\$ 1,500$ income class.

## Scope of the Study

The data on money disbursements obtained in this study apply to 12 continuous months within the period 1933-35 for each family. For Berlin, Dover, Keene, Nashua, and Portsmouth all data apply to the year ending August 31, 1934. For the other five New Hampshire cities, the year of the study was that ending November 30, 1934. Data for Marquette, Modesto, and Reno apply to the year ending February 28, 1935.

The families to be interviewed in the investigation were chosen at random from the lists of employees furnished by employers also chosen at random. (See appendix $\mathbf{B}$ for further details.)

Since the funds for the investigation were limited, the survey was restricted to the income levels more representative of employed wage earners and clerical workers. ${ }^{3}$ No families on relief were included in the investigation.

The group supplying the material on which this report is based includes families of all types but not persons living alone. Because of the limitation of funds, the Nation-wide survey of wage earners and clerical workers was not enlarged to include a study of money disbursements of persons living alone, either as lodgers or as householders. ${ }^{4}$

[^2]
## Income Level and Money Disbursements

## Order of Expenditure at Different Income Levels

The average amount spent for each of the major categories of consumer expenditures was larger at each successive income level than at the one preceding, but the pattern of the distribution changed markedly with increases in income. For the small cities, these changes in expenditure pattern are illustrated by the data for the 5 New Hampshire cities in the $10,000-20,000$ population group.

Families with incomes of $\$ 1,800$ to $\$ 2,100$ with an average income of $\$ 2,004$, as compared with the average of $\$ 766$ for those in the $\$ 500$ to $\$ 900$ class, spent nearly twice as much ( 84 percent more) for food. Families were larger at the higher-income level, so that on a per person basis, food expenditures were only 40 percent higher from the low- to the high-income group. Expenditures for housing including heat, light, and refrigeration, averaged $\$ 255$ in the low- and $\$ 442$ in the high-income class. (See table 2.)

Clothing claimed a large share of the greater spending power of families in the upper-income brackets. Average expenditures for clothing increased from $\$ 64$ in the low- to $\$ 229$ in the high-income class. Even on a per person basis, clothing expenditures were more than double.

Larger sums spent for the purchase and operation of automobiles also were characteristic of families in the higher-income class. The average amount spent on the family automobile was $\$ 40$ in the lowand $\$ 113$ in the high-income class.

Table 2.-Average family income and expenditures in the $\$ 500-\$ 900$ and $\$ 1,800-$ \$2,100 income classes, and percentage increase with income, New Hampshire cties with population ${ }^{1}$ 10,000-20,000, 1 year during the period 1933-35

| Item | Income class |  | Percentage increase from $\$ 500-$ $\$ 900$ to $\$ 1,800-$ $\$ 2,100$ income class |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\$ 8500-$ | $\$ 1,800-$ |  |
| A verage annual net income. | \$766 | \$2,004 | 162 |
| A verage total current expenditure | 839 | 1,815 | 116 |
| Furnishings and equipment | 15 | 76 | 407 |
| Education. | 2 | 8 | 300 |
| Clothing...-. | 64 | 229 | 258 |
| Other household operation......-.-. | 31 | 88 | 184 |
| Automobile and motorcycle-purcha | 40 | 113 | 182 |
| Gifts and community welfare | 27 | 75 | 178 |
| Personal care. | 14 | 37 | 164 |
| Recreation. | 42 | 108 | 157 |
| Medical care | 30 | 59 | 97 |
| Fuel, light, and refrigeration | 101 | 190 | 88 |
| Food. | 308 | 568 |  |
| Housing. | 154 | 252 | 64 |
| Vocation and other items Other transportation.... | 7 4 | 9 3 | 29 -25 |

${ }^{1}$ Portsmonth, Keene, Dover, Laconia, and Claremont.

Purchases of furnishings and equipment, although accounting for a small share of the total, showed the largest proportionate advance from low- to high-income levels. Families in the $\$ 1,800$ to $\$ 2,100$ income class spent five times as much for furniture, bedding, linen, and electrical and other equipment as did those with incomes of $\$ 500$ to $\$ 900$. Education expenditures also ranked high in elasticity, increasing fourfold over this income range.

Average expenditures for medical care were nearly twice as much in the $\$ 1,800$ to $\$ 2,100$ as in the $\$ 500$ to $\$ 900$ income class. Personal care and recreation expenditures showed somewhat greater proportionate increases, averaging about two and one-half times as much in the upper income class as in the lower.

## Planes of Living Determined by Family Size as Well as Income

In the wage-earner and clerical group in these small cities, as in the 42 large cities covered by this survey, average size of family tends to increase with income. In other words, high family incomes in these occupational groups often are the pooled earnings of several workers. To the extent that a higher income is used for the support of a larger number of persons, it does not provide as high a scale of living as a somewhat lower income used for the support of fewer persons. Since one of the primary purposes of the investigation was to determine the kind of living available to families at different economic levels, the detailed analysis of expenditures has been based upon a classification which takes into account not only the total amount of money available for family living, but also the composition of the family for which it is spent.

The process of classifying families according to their economic level may be indicated from the case of two families, each spending $\$ 1,450$ during the schedule year. The first family consisted of a man of 40 years working as a machine operator; his wife, 38 ; two sons, aged 15 and 6 ; and two daughters, aged 12 and 8 . In addition, the family was responsible during 6 months for the total support of the wife's mother, who lived with them during half the year. This family is regarded as consisting of six and one-half persons. The second family consists of a man of 27 , also a machine operator; his wife, 26 ; a daughter, 4 ; and an infant son, $11 / 2$ years old. This is a four-person family. The first family spent $\$ 725$ and the smaller family $\$ 780$ for all items other than food and clothing. There is not enough information at present available on the influence of age or sex on these general types of expenditure to improve upon the assumption that equal expenditures are incurred for each member of the family. The expenditure per person in the first family was $\$ 112$ as against $\$ 195$ in the second
family for all items other than food and clothing. In the case of food' studies of customary expenditures and of dietary needs have been made in sufficient detail to allow for the creation of scales of expenditure for each age, sex, and activity group in terms of the customary expenditures of an adult male employed full time. The scale for food adopted in this study indicates that the first family consists of the equivalent of 5.5 adult males in the family for the full year. This unit will subsequently be referred to in the present study as a "foodexpenditure unit." The second family consists of 2.9 food-expenditure units. The first family spent $\$ 580$ per year for food, or the equivalent of $\$ 105$ per food-expenditure unit. The second family spent $\$ 500$, which although a substantially smaller proportion of its total income, amounted to $\$ 172$ per food-expenditure unit.

Finally, in connection with clothing, it has been possible as a result of this study to derive scales of customary expenditures related to sex, age, and occupation. If the average expenditures of adult male wage earners and lower-salaried clerical workers between the ages of 21 and 35 , inclusive, are regarded as equivalent to one clothing-expenditure unit, it has been found that the larger of the two families contains 4.0 clothing-expenditure units, while the smaller family contains 2.6 . The first family spent $\$ 145$ for clothing and the smaller family $\$ 170$. This is an average per clothing-expenditure unit of $\$ 36$ for the first family and $\$ 65$ for the second. The economic level of the first family has been measured by the sum of these three types of unit expenditure, i. e., $\$ 105$ for food, $\$ 36$ for clothing, and $\$ 112$ for all other items-total for the family, $\$ 253$ per expenditure unit. The smaller family which, it will be recalled, had an identical income to spend, has not been classified with the larger family but rather with other families that had a unit expenditure of more than $\$ 400$, but less than $\$ 500$. In both cases, this means that these particular families are grouped, as regards economic level, with families whose incomes may be quite different. For example, a widow with one young child, earning $\$ 100$ a month, would be grouped with the smaller of the two families, as would also a very large family with an income of more than $\$ 3,000$.

## Changes in Assets and Liabilities ${ }^{5}$

In each of these groups of small cities, wage-earner and clerical families, in the aggregate, spent less than the sum of their incomes for current living. The average net surplus per family ranged from $\$ 79$, the amount reported for those in Marquette, to $\$ 19$, for families in

[^3]Modesto. ${ }^{6}$ Some of the families in each of the small-city groups did not balance expenditures with income, but in none were there as many as one-half in this circumstance. For example, in Marquette, 77 percent of the wage earners and clerical workers reported a net surplus for the year. The proportions in this position were somewhat less in the other cities, being smallest in Reno, where 54 percent reported a net surplus.

In spite of the fact that aggregate incomes exceeded aggregate current expenditures for these families, the funds that were free, in the sense that they might readily be turned to other forms of investment, were small. In the New Hampshire cities, and in Marquette, life insurance and annuity premiums ${ }^{7}$ took about one-half of the gross surplus of the group; payments on owned home-both down payments and mortgage reduction-took about one-tenth. In Modesto and Reno, life-insurance premiums were relatively less and payments on the owned home relatively more important. In these, as in the other cities, however, these two together took a little more than one-half of the group's surplus funds. Payment of back debts, including installment debts, took about one-tenth to one-fifth. In only two of the groups of cities was as much as one-third of the surplus funds accumulated during the year available at the end of the period in cash, in bank accounts, or for other forms of investment, while in the other cities this sum represented an even smaller proportion of the surplus.

In each small-city group, families paying life-insurance premiums were more numerous than those reporting net surpluses for the year, indicating that life-insurance policies often are kept up by families that run into debt. The percentage of families paying for life insurance varied among the cities, ranging from 64 percent in Reno to 96 percent in the New Hampshire cities in the 20,000-50,000 population group. Moreover, life-insurance payments were important in the aggregate because of the amounts paid out, as well as because of the frequency of such payments in the group. Families that carried life insurance paid premiums averaging from $\$ 66$ to $\$ 98$ in the six groups of cities. (See table 3.)

[^4]Table 3.-Percentage of families paying life-insurance premiums, and average payments, 1 year during the period 1999-95

| Cities | Percentage <br> of families <br> paying | Average payment <br> All |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

1 Nashua, Concord, and Berlin.
${ }^{1}$ Portsmouth, Keene, Dover, Laconia, and Claremont.
${ }^{3}$ Littleton and Conway.
On the deficit side of the ledger for these groups of families, withdrawals from bank accounts and increases in debts, both on installment contracts and of other types, were the chief sources of funds.

At every income level, some families devoted a part of their current income to payment of back debts or to provision for the future, as by buying life insurance, saving, or making other investments. Others went into debt or used savings accumulated previously. Families in this position were found in all income classes. In the New Hampshire cities with population of 10,000 to 20,000 , for example, 36 percent of the families in the $\$ 500$ to $\$ 900$ income class reported a net surplus for the year, 53 percent had a net deficit, and the remainder reported themselves in about the same financial position at the end as at the beginning of the year. In the $\$ 1,800$ to $\$ 2,100$ income class, families with net deficits were considerably fewer- 20 percent-while 77 percent had net surpluses. The balance of income and expenditures at different income levels is shown, also, by the average net deficit of $\$ 59$ of families in the lowest income class, the change from net deficit to net surplus in the higher income classes increasing to an average of as much as $\$ 168$ per family at the $\$ 1,800$ to $\$ 2,100$ level.

## Expenditures for Specified Goods

## Automobiles

Public transportation systems in small cities are generally much less adequate to the needs of the citizens than the systems in large cities. The population is, as a rule, less densely settled in the small community and it is more difficult to provide efficient services at as low a rate. For this reason, the proportion of small-city families meeting the transportation problem by having their own cars was larger, in general, than in the large cities in the same region. As in the larger cities, a higher proportion of families in the western towns had their own cars than families in cities of the same size in the eastern part of the country.

In Conway and Littleton, 52 percent of the families studied had cars; in the next larger New Hampshire cities, 47 percent; and in the cities of 20,000 to 50,000 population, 42 percent. Boston, the largest New England city covered in this survey, is in marked contrast, with only 14 percent of the families owning automobiles. (See table 4.)

The contrast between large and small cities in the proportion of families owning automobiles is shown also in the California group of cities. California cities, in general, rank high in the proportion of wage-earner and clerical families owning automobiles, but Modesto, with 87 percent of the families reported as car owners, is outstanding among them. Even in San Francisco, where busses and streetcars provide more convenient transportation than in the smaller cities, 57 percent of the families studied had automobiles.

Marquette is an exception to the general rule regarding automobile ownership in the small cities. Fifty-seven percent of the Marquette wage-earner and clerical families owned their own cars, but even larger proportions of the Detroit, Grand Rapids, and Lansing families had automobiles. The stimulus of Detroit as a center of automobile production is undoubtedly an important factor in the prevalence of automobiles in these southern Michigan cities. Difficulties of winter driving in the northern peninsula where Marquette is located may also account for the smaller proportion of car-owning families there.

Automobile ownership is definitely related to the economic position of the group of families studied. Because wage-earner and clerical incomes tended to be somewhat lower in the small than in the large cities, the relatively large proportions of families owning automobiles
in the small cities are even more striking, when comparisons are limited to families at the same economic level. (See table 4.)

Table 4.-Percentage of families owning automobiles, selected cities, 1 year during the period 1933-36

| Cities |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

1 Nashua, Concord, and Berlin.
${ }_{2}$ Portsmouth, Keene, Dover, Laconia, and Claremont.
${ }^{3}$ Littleton and Conway.
Purchases of automobiles during the year of the study were reported by 8 to 12 percent of the small-city groups in New Hampshire, by 8 percent of the Marquette families, by 17 percent in Reno, and by 21 percent in Modesto. In all of these cities purchases of second-hand cars by this group far outnumbered purchases of new automobiles.

For families that had automobiles, the average cost of gasoline, tires, and other expense for operation of these automobiles came to well over $\$ 100$ in each of the groups of cities except Marquette. (See table 5.)
Forms of transportation other than the family automobile were little used in cities so small as these. The average expenditure per family for all rides by train or bus during the entire year ranged from $\$ 8$ in Berlin, Concord, and Nashua, to $\$ 3$ in Conway and Littleton.

Table 5.-Average expenditure for automobile operation and maintenance, for those owning automobiles, 1 year during the period 1993-95

| City |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |

1 Nashua, Concord, and Berlin.
${ }^{2}$ Portsmouth, Keene, Dover, Laconia, and Claremont.
${ }^{2}$ Littleton and Conway.

## Housing

## New Hampshire Cities

Home ownership, like automobile ownership, tends to be more prevalent among families in the small than among those in the large cities included in this study. Among the small New Hampshire cities, the proportion of owned homes ranged from 31 percent in Nashua, Concord, and Berlin, to 47 percent in Littleton and Conway. In Boston, only 20 percent of the wage-earner and clerical families lived in owned houses; in Portland, Maine, 22 percent; and in Manchester, N. H., 27 percent.

The smaller proportion of total current expenditure taken by housing in the small cities is explained in part by the relatively large number of home owners there. The current housing outlay of the home owner is diminished by the fact that he has some investment in his home, on which he might, theoretically, be considered to be paying himself interest. ${ }^{8}$ Consequently, it is not surprising that the actual current expenditure for housing in Conway and Littleton, where 47 percent of the homes were owned, averaged only $\$ 144$ per family for the year when all families are considered in computing the average. In the five cities of the middle-sized group, where 37 percent were home owners, average housing expense was $\$ 186$ and in Berlin, Concord, and Nashua, where 31 percent of the families were home owners, average housing expense was $\$ 197$.

For example, the rental value of the owned homes included in this study in Conway and Littleton, where almost half the families owned their homes, averaged $\$ 232.36$ for the year. Current expenditures for taxes, interest on mortgages, repairs, and the like came to $\$ 104.65$ per owned home, leaving a balance averaging $\$ 127.71$, which may

[^5]properly be regarded as nonmoney income from the home owners' return on his investment. When the total amount of this imputed income to home owners is added to their current money outlay for their homes and to the sums paid out as rent by the renting families, we obtain an average value of housing of $\$ 204$ per family for the year, considerably more than the money outlay for housing of $\$ 144$ per family. However, even this sum is less than the comparable figure of $\$ 241$ for the five New Hampshire cities next largest in size, and $\$ 240$ for Berlin, Concord, and Nashua. In Boston, where home owners were comparatively few, average current expenditures of $\$ 319$ are increased by only $\$ 27$ by the addition of nonmoney income from owned homes, to give a total of $\$ 346$ as the average value of housing for the group studied there.

Among home owners, the average expenditures for taxes for families who made such payments were a little over $\$ 60$ in the two groups of larger New Hampshire cities, and $\$ 48$ in Littleton and Conway. Interest payments on mortgages were a second large expense for home owners. Many home owners had no mortgages on their homes but, for families that paid interest, the amounts were fairly large. For example, in the middle-sized group of New Hampshire cities, 19 percent of the families made interest payments during the year, and, for these, the average was $\$ 74$. (See table 6.)

Table 6.-Average expenditures by home owners for taxes on owned homes and for interest on mortgages, 1 year during the period 1983-95

| City | Number of families | Percent age of families owning homes | A verage expense for taxes ${ }^{1}$ | Families spending for interest on mortgages |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Percent- } \\ & \text { age } \end{aligned}$ | A verage expense for interest ${ }^{2}$ |
| New Hampshire cities (1930 population): |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20,000 to $50,000{ }^{3}$ - | 299 | 31.4 | \$61 | 15.4 | $\$ 80$ |
| 10,000 to $20,000^{4}$ | 485 | 37.1 | 62 | 18.6 | 74 |
| Under 10,000 ${ }^{5}$ | 197 | 47.2 | 48 | 23.9 | 53 |
| Marquette, Mich.. | 148 | 48.6 | 35 | 16.2 | 50 |
| Modesto, Calif | 151 | 37.7 | 29 | 28.5 | 98 |
| Reno, Nev.--- | 149 | 47.7 | 63 | 23.5 | 121 |

${ }^{1}$ For home owners paying taxes.
${ }^{2}$ For families paying interest.
${ }^{3}$ Nashua, Concord, and Berlin.
${ }_{5}^{4}$ Portsmouth, Keene, Dover, Laconia, and Claremont.
${ }^{5}$ Littleton and Conway.
New Hampshire small-city families that rented houses paid averages of $\$ 18$ and $\$ 19$ a month in two of the groups of cities, and only $\$ 14$ a month in Littleton and Conway. Unheated apartments were frequently reported, and average rentals paid for these were $\$ 15$ and $\$ 16$ in the three groups of cities. In contrast, Boston families paid an
average of $\$ 30$ a month for rented houses and $\$ 26$ for unheated apartments.

The higher Boston rents provided houses that were considerably better equipped than those available to these small-city families. Inside flush toilets, running hot water, electric lights, and gas or electricity for cooking all were present in the following proportion of homes: Boston 76 percent; New Hampshire cities of 20,000 to 50,000

population, 30 percent; New Hampshire cities of 10,000 to 20,000 population, 36 percent; New Hampshire cities under 10,000, 4 percent. (See chart 2.)

Even in the smallest of the New Hampshire cities, 8 out of 10 houses had inside flush toilets, and electricity was quite generally used for lighting. Bathrooms were reported in almost 8 out of 10 homes in the two groups of cities with 10,000 or more inhabitants, and in two-thirds of the Littleton and Conway homes. Running hot water was somewhat less common, and gas or electricity for cooking was reported by 39 percent of the homes in the larger cities, 46 percent in the 10,000 to

20,000 population group, and only 5 percent in Littleton and Conway. Especially in the smaller cities, these probably were electric stoves or gas stoves using bottled gas.

In conclusion, small-city families in New Hampshire paid considerably less for housing than did Boston families. Their homes were less well provided with conveniences, especially hot running water and gas or electric stoves, but such other advantages as fresh air and open space surrounding their homes probably compensated to some extent for city conveniences. At any rate, the actual expenditures for housing were considerably less in these New Hampshire cities than in Boston, and part of the sum thus saved on housing was spent by small-city families on automobiles, thus compensating for the lack of public means of transportation available to large-city families.

## Marquettte, Modesto, and Reno

The other three small cities also rank high in home ownership among wage-earner and clerical families, especially when compared with such large places as Detroit and San Francisco. In Marquette and Reno almost one-half of the homes were owned; in Modesto nearly two-fifths.

Nearly all of the wage-earner and clerical homes in Marquette, Modesto, and Reno were equipped with electric lights and inside flush toilets. Bath-rooms and running hot water also were reported by nearly all families, except in Marquette, where 83 percent had bathrooms and 62 percent had running hot water. Gas or electricity for cooking was less frequent, except in Modesto. Accordingly, the families that had inside flush toilets, running hot water, electric lights, and gas or electricity for cooking accounted for 43 percent in Marquette, 87 percent in Modesto, and 54 percent in Reno.

## Fuel, Light, and Refrigeration

The average cost of fuel for cooking and room heating in the New Hampshire cities came to a little under $\$ 100$. Fuel bills for apartments averaged somewhat less than those for houses, and the amounts differed slightly from one group of cities to another, but average costs for all heating and cooking fuels were close to $\$ 100$. For electricity, average expenditures were a little under $\$ 30$, and for ice, between $\$ 5$ and $\$ 7$ for the year.

Fuel expenditures were a little less in Marquette, chiefly because of the kind of fuel used. Marquette usually has as severe winters as most of the New Hampshire cities, according to average temperature reports of the United States Weather Bureau, but the use of bituminous coal rather than anthracite and fuel oil made lower fuel bills. The total for fuel, light, and refrigeration for Marquette families that provided heat for their own homes came to $\$ 113$ for the
year. Electricity expense averaged $\$ 30$ a year, and ice, which was seldom purchased, averaged only $\$ 1$ per family for this group. Hence, average costs for all fuel used for cooking and house heating, for dwellings in Marquette without heat furnished, were $\$ 82$.

In Reno, where the climate is considerably milder, the average expenditure of families in houses for all kinds of heating and cooking fuels was $\$ 70$. Average annual electricity bills for families in houses came to $\$ 43$. The larger proportion of families ( 29 percent) with electric refrigerators is one explanation; probably other electrical appliances were used more freely also, as average income was considerably higher in Reno than in the other cities.

Fuel expenditures were so much less in Modesto, Calif., that the average total for fuel, light, and refrigeration for houses was $\$ 97$. Electricity bills came to an average of $\$ 34$, and ice for these families averaged $\$ 11$. Winters are usually warmer than in any of the other small cities studied, and average expenditures for fuel for heating these houses and for cooking were $\$ 51$ for the year.

Anthracite, wood, and fuel oil were the heating fuels most frequently used by New Hampshire small-city families. Wood was especially popular in Littleton and Conway, where 75 percent of the families who paid for their own fuel bought wood, and, in the average fuel bill for the group as a whole, wood was the largest item, amounting to $\$ 34$. Anthracite was the only type of coal widely used. Fuel oil was purchased by about one-half of the families in all the New Hampshire cities that paid for their own fuel. Not all of this oil was for furnace burners, as oil more refined than the usual furnace oil was often reported for use in stoves. The fact that purchases showed less relative decrease during the summer months than did coal purchases, also suggests that some of this fuel oil was used for cooking. Gas, probably used for cooking, was reported by about two out of five of the families in the two groups of larger cities, but by almost none in Littleton and Conway.

Among Marquette families who paid for heat in houses and apartments, bituminous coal was the fuel most often chosen, and in total cost accounted for two-thirds of total expense for cooking and heating fuels. Wood expenditures were relatively small, although two-thirds of the families purchased some wood. Payments of gas bills were reported by only one-third of these families, indicating that wood and coal were the more usual cooking fuels.

In Reno, as in Marquette, bituminous coal was most important in the group's fuel bill, with wood a close second. Use of gas was reported by about 3 out of 10 families. In Modesto the group, as a whole, was somewhat higher in income than in Marquette or the New Hampshire cities, and mild climate as well as the availability of gas also affected the choice of fuels. Of the total expenditures for cooking
and heating fuels, 71 percent was for gas, and 8 out of 10 families that paid for their own fuel used gas. About one-third of the families purchased some wood, but the average expenditure for wood by the group as a whole was only one-sixth as much as the expenditure for gas.

## Food

Average total food expenditures for these small-city groups ranged from $\$ 392$ per family in Littleton and Conway to $\$ 470$ in Marquette. The relationships between economic level, size of family, and total expenditures for food are shown in table 7 for the New Hampshire cities, for which data are presented by expenditure unit. When families are classified in this way the average amount spent on food for each food-expenditure unit ${ }^{9}$ (roughly per person) is very similar from one group of cities to another; that is, the average amount spent for food was close to $\$ 130$ per food-expenditure unit in each of the city groups, for the families that spent for all goods and services from $\$ 300$ to $\$ 400$ each year per expenditure unit. Differences in average food expenditures among city groups at the extremes of the distribution result from variations among the cities in the proportions of families in the very lowest and the highest economic levels covered.

Table 7.-Average expenditure for food per food-expenditure unit, ${ }^{1}$ by economic level, New Hampshire small cities, 1 year during the period 1983-85

| City group | A verage annual expenditure for food per food-expenditure unit ${ }^{\text {I }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\underset{\text { families }}{\text { All }}$ | Economic level-Families with annual unit expenditure of- |  |  |  |
|  |  | Under \$300 | \$300 to \$400 | \$400 to \$500 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 500 \text { and } \\ \text { over } \end{gathered}$ |
| New Hampshire cities (1930 population): |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20,000 to 50,000 ${ }^{2}$ - | \$132 | \$101 | \$131 | \$150 | \$186 |
| 10,000 to $20,000^{3}$ - | 138 | 103 98 | 129 | 155 | 201 |
| Under 10,000 ${ }^{\text {4 }}$ - | 125 | 98 | 129 | 151 | 174 |

${ }^{1}$ See appendix $D$ for method of computing.
${ }^{2}$ Nashua, Concord, and Berlin.
${ }^{3}$ Portsmouth, Keene, Dover, Laconia, and Claremont.
${ }^{4}$ Littleton and Conway.
Even in the smallest of these cities, Littleton and Conway, home gardens were of only slight importance in reducing the family food bill. The average value of all food produced at home during the entire year, and of gifts of food and food received as pay was only $\$ 8$ per family. In the other New Hampshire cities, and in Marquette and Reno, the value of such food was less. In Modesto, a center for truck farming, with a climate well suited to gardening, the value of home-produced and other food not purchased was $\$ 22$ per family.

[^6]Expenditure for each type of food increased with economic level. Families in the highest economic group spent almost twice as much per person for food as did those in the lowest economic group. Expenditures for meat, poultry, and fish a little more than doubled, and those for vegetables and fruits increased about two and one-half times. Expenditures for milk did not increase as rapidly with increase in total food expenditure as they would have done had there been as many children at the higher economic levels, as at the lower. Among families with annual unit expenditures of $\$ 500$ and more, one family out of four had a child under 16 years. Among families with unit expenditures of less than $\$ 500$ the average was at least one child per family and two children in every second family. Expenditures for sugar and other sweets were twice as much in the highest as in the lowest economic group, and those for miscellaneous foods, chief among them coffee and tea, were a little more than doubled. On the other hand, increases in expenditures for bread, flour, cereals, and baked goods were only about one-third.

Nearly all of the money spent for food by these families was for food eaten at home. Added together, the cost of all food purchased and eaten away from home, whether meals at work, school, or on vacation, or candy, drinks, and ice cream, amounted to from 2 to 3 percent of the family food bill in the New Hampshire groups; 4 percent in Marquette; 5 percent in Reno; and 9 percent in Modesto. Since such expenditures are characteristic of families at higher economic levels, the larger proportion of the food money used in Reno and Modesto for food away from home probably is due to the higher incomes and smaller families there.

In addition to the reports of 1 week's food purchases and the estimates of annual food expenditures, detailed records of actual food consumption for 1 week were kept by 294 families in the New Hampshire cities, 78 families in Reno, and 14 in Marquette. These have been analyzed by the Bureau of Home Economics of the United States Department of Agriculture along with reports from other families in the wage-earner and clerical group to show the nutritional adequacy of the diets. ${ }^{10}$ No separate figures were computed on the nutritional content of the diets in the small, as distinguished from the large, cities in each region. In view of the relatively insignificant value given the food grown for home use by these small-city families, it appears that their nutritional status was not very different from that of large-city white families of similar economic status.

[^7]Table 8.-Average expenditure per person ${ }^{1}$ per week for different types of food, by economic level, 1 year during the period 1993-85

NEW HAMPSHIRE SMALL CITIES

| Food group | A verage expenditure per person ${ }^{1}$ in 1 week |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All families | Economic level-Families with annual unit expenditure of - |  |  |  |
|  |  | Under 300 | \$300 to \$400 | \$400 to \$500 | $\$ 500$ and over |
| Total food. | \$2. 273 | \$1.740 | \$2. 198 | \$2. 578 | \$3. 264 |
| Grain products and baked g | . 386 | . 342 | . 398 | . 397 | . 456 |
| Eggs....... | . 143 | . 106 | . 136 | . 168 | . 212 |
| Milk, cheese, lce cream. | . 291 | . 242 | . 324 | . 302 | . 352 |
| Butter. | . 179 | . 151 | . 180 | . 206 | . 214 |
| Other fats. | . 107 | . 080 | . 093 | . 121 | . 176 |
| Meat, poultry, fish | . 552 | . 395 | . 501 | . 680 | . 842 |
| Vegetables and fruits. | . 346 | . 228 | . 315 | . 408 | . 587 |
| Sugar and other sweets | . 098 | . 077 | . 085 | . 107 | . 152 |
| Miscellaneous foods... | . 166 | . 115 | . 161 | . 184 | . 261 |

1 The number of equivalent full-time persons per family in 1 week is computed on the basis of the number of persons eating meals at home during the week to which the data apply. If a person ate 21 meals during the week, he was counted as a full-time person for that week. If he ate less than 21 meals, he was counted as the appropriate decimal equivalent full-time person.

In summarizing their findings Stiebeling and Phipard estimate that there is little likelihood of a deficiency in protein in the diets of employed workers and their families in the United States. Most of the diets in the North Atlantic area also furnished an adequate supply of nicotinic acid. Deficiencies in the consumption of calcium and Vitamins $\mathbf{A}, \mathrm{B}_{1}$, and C were numerous, however.

A new dietary goal and yardstick by which to measure progress was announced on May 25, 1940, by the Committee on Foods and Nutrition of the National Research Council (Dr. Russell M. Wilder of the Mayo Clinic, Rochester, Minn., chairman). This yardstick for the average man at moderately heavy work is as follows:

Recommended daily allowances for specific nutrients for a 154-pound moderately active man. ${ }^{1}$

Protein 70
Calcium
gramsIron
do 0. 80
12Vitamin A $^{2}$milligrams
Thiamin (vitamin $\left.\mathrm{B}_{1}\right)^{3}$ ..... 1. 85, 000
Ascorbic acid (vitamin C) ${ }^{2}$
Riboflavin

do ..... 2. 7
Nicotinic acid

do
18

[^8]Vitamin A is important in insuring good visual adaptation in semidarkness. An abundance of Vitamin $B_{1}$ (thiamin) promotes good functioning of the digestive tract. Acute deficiencies result in a disease of the nervous system called beriberi. Diets without sufficient provision of Vitamin $C$ (ascorbic acid) have been shown to result in increased susceptibility to infection, and in restlessness and irritability in children. An acute deficiency in Vitamin C may produce scurvy, but other symptoms are more common in this country. Riboflavin is essential in the production of an enzyme involved in cell respiration. Nicotinic acid is the pellagra-preventive factor.

A reconsideration of food records in light of this new yardstick leads Stiebeling and Phipard to estimate that the diets of perhaps one-fifth to one-fourth of the families of employed workers in North Atlantic cities might well be classed as excellent. This proportion is higher than the 11 percent indicated in the publication cited. The difference is due chiefly to the fact that the allowances for vitaminA recommended by the National Research Council's committee were lower than those which the authors had used in their earlier work in classifying diets as excellent, good, fair, and poor. In the early evaluation the diets of only about one-fifth of the families spending $\$ 2.50$ to $\$ 3.12 \mathrm{per}$ man per week for food met the vitamin A specifications then used for an excellent diet. Using the new yardstick, 70 percent met the specifications with reference to vitamin A.

Forty-two percent of the North Atlantic families who provided food consumption records spent less than $\$ 2.50$ per person per week; 28 percent spent more than $\$ 3.12$. Of the remaining 30 percent, it is estimated that the diets of as many as 8 out of 10 failed to provide one or more nutrients in quantities as liberal as recommended by the National Research Council's committee. The food supplies of some families were short in but one respect. The food supply of others failed to meet the specifications in a number of respects. While the diets of only about 30 percent of these families fell short in vitamin $A$, about half provided less calcium than is now recommended. About this same proportion (though not necessarily the same families) had diets that failed to meet the specifications with respect to vitamin C and/or with respect to riboflavin.

No one should assume that all families with diets falling short of these desirable goals suffer from obvious nutritional deficiencies. The figures mean, however, that the diets of many of these families could be improved-the degree dependent upon the extent to which the diets fall short of optimum. Food plays an important part in determining the composition of tissues of the body and of the fluids that bathe the cells, and, therefore, in affecting the "internal environment" in which life processes go on. This environment markedly
affects physical and mental vitality. Insofar as immediate or long term well-being can be improved through dietary betterment, a person falls short of being truly well fed.

Stiebeling and Phipard found that "The chances for better diets increased with rising per capita expenditures for foods. This was due chiefly to a more liberal use of milk, meat, eggs, leafy green vegetables, and fruits, when more money was available. But the quality of the food supply selected by families was by no means only a matter of level of food expenditure. At every expenditure level above a certain minimum, some families succeeded in obtaining good diets but others procured food only fair or poor, from the standpoint of nutritive value." ${ }^{11}$

Deficiencies in the consumption of calcium and vitamins $A, B_{1}$, and $C$ are readily understood when the division of actual expenditure is compared with recommendations for adequate nutrition at expenditure levels just above and just below tbe average prevailing in tbis group.

The relationship between food consumption and health is now so well established that it must be a matter of general concern that so large a proportion of this relatively favored group was not using the foods needed for a nutritionally satisfactory diet. There is abundant clinical evidence that the vitamins and the minerals listed above are needed for physical well-being. Part of the consumption deficiencies just shown could easily be remedied by more widespread knowledge of nutritional needs, but a considerable part is due to the inadequacy of the incomes of many families to meet total family needs.

## Clothing

Average expenditures of small-city families for clothing ranged from $\$ 132$ in the New Hampshire cities to $\$ 172$ for Reno families. Details on clothing expenditures for the New Hampshire cities combined are given in table 17 of the Tabular Summary. Details are not available for publication for Marquette, Modesto, and Reno.

In the New Hampshire cities, nearly all of the clothing money was spent for ready-made clothing, dry cleaning, and accessories. (See table 9.) Expenditures for materials for home sewing averaged only $\$ 3$ per family. Not included in this figure is the clothing received as gifts from persons outside the family, which was valued at $\$ 5$ per family.

Women and girls 18 years of age or older spent an average of $\$ 46$ each for their year's supply of clothing. For men and boys 18 years

[^9]200982 ${ }^{\circ}-41-3$
or older, the average was $\$ 38$. Average expenditures for the clothing of younger family members were considerably less.

Table 9.-Average expenditure per family and average expenditure per person for clothing, persons of different age groups, 1 year during the period 1933-35

NEW HAMPSHIRE SMALL CITIES

| Item | All fam- | Economic level-Families with annual unit expenditure of- |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Under $\$ 300$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 300 \text { to } \\ \$ 400 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 400 \text { to } \\ \$ 500 \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 500$ and over |
| A verage expenditure per family for- |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \$132 | \$121 | \$126 | \$125 | \$157 |
| Ready-made clothing, dry cleaning, and accessories | 129 | 117 | 123 | 122 | 154 |
|  | ${ }^{3}$ | ${ }^{4}$ | ${ }^{3}$ | ${ }^{3}$ | 3 |
|  | (1) | ( ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | (1) | (1) | (1) |
| Average value per family of clothing received as gifts ---.--.... |  | 5 | 6 | 5 | 5 |
| Average expenditure per person for ready-made clothing, dry cleaning, and accessories: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men and boys 18 years of age and over | 38 | 27 | 33 | 38 | 58 |
| Women and girls 18 years of age and over | 46 | 28 | 39 | 49 | 71 |
| Boys 12 through 17 years of age | 33 | 25 | 38 | 43 | 67 |
| Girls 12 through 17 years of age | 37 | 27 | 48 | 66 | 61 |
|  | 24 | 18 | 27 | 37 | 42 |
| Girls 6 through 11 years of age...................-.-. .-. -- | 21 | 16 | 27 | 34 | 37 |
| Boys 2 through 5 years of age. | 17 | 12 | 16 | 26 | 30 |
| Girls 2 through 5 years of age | 12 | 10 | 14 | 21 | 24 |
| Infants under 2 years of age. | 11 | 6 | 10 | 25 | 18 |

${ }^{1}$ Less than 50 cents.

## Recreation

Tobacco purchases took an important part of the expenditure classified under the heading of recreation in table 15 of the Tabular Summary. Tobacco expense averaged from $\$ 21$ to $\$ 27$ a family in all of these small cities. Cigarettes accounted for a large share of this sum. They were bought by one-half or more of the families in each city group, with purchases of other types of tobacco less numerous. Average expense for reading material, as newspapers, books, and magazines, ranked next after tobacco in each of the New Hampshire groups and in Marquette, and moving pictures and other commercial entertainment, third. A larger proportion of families in Reno and Modesto than of families in the other cities attended moving pictures during the year, and total expense for commercial entertainment was considerably more than in the other cities.

In the New Hampshire cities 7 out of 10 families owned radios, and in the other small cities, 9 out of 10 families. The proportion purchasing radios and the average expenditure per radio are shown in table 10. Undoubtedly there was a wide range in the price paid for radios, but averages as high as these suggest that a number of the radios purchased were fairly expensive.

Table 10.-Percentage of families owning and purchasing radios, and average expenditure per radio purchased, 1 year during the period 1933-35

| City group | Percentage of families owning radios | Percentage of families purchasing radios | A verage expenditure per radio purchased |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New Hampshire cities (1930 population) : |  |  |  |
| 20,000 to 50,000 ${ }^{1}$ | 71 | 8 | \$74 |
| 10,000 to $20,000{ }^{2}$ | 69 | 10 | 42 |
| Under 10,000 ${ }^{3}$... | 70 | 15 | 38 |
| Marquette, Mich | 87 | 14 | 57 |
| Modesto, Calif | 89 | 9 | 46 |
| Reno, Nev... | 90 | 7 | 30 |

[^10]Digitized for FRASER http://fraser.stlouisfed.org/
Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louie

## Tabular Summary

Digitized for FRASER http://fraser.stlouisfed.org/
Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louie

Table 1.-Distribution of families, by economic level and income level
NASHUA, N. H.

| Income class |  | Economic level-Families spending per expenditure unit per year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Families in survey.-- | 100 | 3 | 22 | 31 | 19 | 14 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Annual net income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$600 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \$600-\$900 | 13 | 0 | 7 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \$900-\$1,200 $\ldots \ldots . . . .$. | 22 | 1 | 4 | 6 | 2 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \$1,200-\$1,500 $\ldots . .$. | 26 | 0 | 6 | 12 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \$1,500-\$1,800.......- | 21 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 9 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \$1,800-\$2,100 ....... | 7 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \$2,100-\$2,400 $\ldots . . . .$. | 8 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \$2,400-\$2,700 $\ldots \ldots$ | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \$2,700-\$3,000 $\ldots \ldots . .$. |  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| CONCORD, N. H. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Families in survey. -- | 98 | 4 | 11 | 19 | 21 | 11 | 12 | 10 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Annualof net income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$600 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \$600- \$900. | 7 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \$900-\$1,200 | 21 | 1 | 2 | 10 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \$1,200-\$1,500 | 23 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 5 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \$1,500-\$1,800 | 19 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \$1,800-\$2,100 | 20 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \$2,100-\$2,400 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \$2,400-\$2,700 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \$2,700-\$3,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \$3,000-\$3,300-..------ 2 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | , | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BERLIN, N. H. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Families in survey..- | 100 | 9 | 39 | 24 | 12 | 11 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Annual net income of |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$600. | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \$600-\$900 | 22 | 2 | 9 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \$900-\$1,200 | 47 | 5 | 22 | 12 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \$1,200-\$1,500 | 18 | 2 | 6 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\$ 1,500-\$ 1,800$ | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \$1,800-\$2,100 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \$2,100-\$2,400. | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| KEENE, N. H. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Families in survey..- | 95 | 7 | 15 | 24 | 28 | 10 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Annual net income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$600-...-.-.-.-- | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \$600-\$900...........- | 21 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \$900-\$1,200.........- | 25 | 0 | 6 | 11 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \$1,200-\$1,500 ........ | 27 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 11 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \$1,500-\$1,800 $\ldots . . . . .-$ | 11 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \$1,800-\$2,100 $\ldots . . . .$. | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \$2,100-\$2,400........ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \$2,400-\$2,700 $\ldots \ldots .$. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \$2,700-\$3,000 $\ldots . . . .$. | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DOVER, N. H. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Families in survey.-- | 98 | 1 | 23 | 17 | 31 | 20 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Annual net income <br> of-  17 3 20 2 2 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$600 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \$600-\$900 | 16 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \$900-\$1,200.........- | 30 | 0 | 9 | 5 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \$1,200-\$1,500........ | 27 | 0 | 5 | 4 | 10 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \$1,500-\$1,800 | 15 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \$1,800-\$2,100 $\ldots \ldots .$. | 6 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \$2,100-\$2,400 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \$2,400-\$2,700 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \$2,700-\$3,000. | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Table 1.-Distribution of families, by economic level and income level-Continued
PORTSMOUTH, N. H.

| Income class | 总 | Economic level-Families spending per expenditure unit per year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 9 \\ & \infty \\ & 8 \\ & 8 \\ & 8 \\ & 8 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}\right.$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 辰 |  |  |  |  |
| Families in survey .-- | 93 | 3 | 23 | 17 | 22 | 12 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Annual net income of |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$600. | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \$600-\$900. | 7 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \$900-\$1,200. | 27 | 2 | 9 | 5 | 8 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \$1,200-\$1,500 | 26 | 0 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \$1,500-\$1,800 $\ldots . . . .$. | 12 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \$1,800-\$2,100 $\ldots \ldots .$. | 12 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \$2,100-\$2,400 $\ldots \ldots .$. | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \$2,400-\$2,700 $\ldots \ldots$ | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \$2,700-\$3,000 $\ldots . .$. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \$3,000-\$3,300 $\ldots \ldots$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \$3,300-\$3,600 $\ldots \ldots$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \$3,600-\$3,900 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

LACONIA, N. H .

| Families in survey. | 99 | 8 | 16 | 25 | 26 | 8 | 8 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Annual net income of- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$600 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \$600-\$900 | 21 | 5 | 2 | 9 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \$900-\$1,200 | 25 | 2 | 9 | 6 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \$1,200-\$1,500. | 24 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 7 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \$1,500-\$1,800. | 15 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 7 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \$1,800-\$2,100. | 9 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \$2,100-\$2,400 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \$2,400-\$2,700 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \$2,700-\$3,000. | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \$3,000-\$3,300. | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |

CLAREMONT, N. H.

| Families in survey.. | 100 | 10 | 20 | 28 | 18 | 13 | 6 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Annual net income of |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$600 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \$600-\$900 | 28 | 4 | 5 | 11 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \$ 8000 - ${ }^{\text {d }}$, 200 | 30 | 3 | 11 | 6 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \$1,200-\$1,500 | 26 | 1 | 1 | 10 | 8 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \$1,500-\$1,800 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \$1,800-\$2,100 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \$2,100-\$2,400 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \$2,400-\$2,700. | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

LITTLETON, N. H.

| Families in survey.- | 98 | 7 | 21 | 23 | 28 | 11 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Annual net income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$600 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \$600-\$900 | 26 | 1 | 7 | 9 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \$900-\$1,200 | 34 | 4 | 6 | 5 | 13 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \$1,200-\$1,500. | 20 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \$1,500-\$1,800 | 12 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \$1,800-\$2,100 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \$2,100-\$2,400 $\ldots \ldots$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \$2,400-\$2,700 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Table 1.-Distribution of families, by economic level and income level-Continued CONWAY, N. H.


MARQUETTE, MICH.

| Families in survey | 148 | 20 | 38 | 29 | 29 | 13 | 10 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Annual net income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$600 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \$600-\$900. | 22 | 9 | 8 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \$900-\$1,200 | 44 | 7 | 14 | 9 | 9 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \$1,200-\$1,500 | 39 | 4 | 10 | 11 | 10 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \$1,500-\$1,800 | 24 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \$1,800-\$2,100 | 11 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \$2,100-\$2,400 $\ldots \ldots$ | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \$2,400-\$2,700 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \$2,700-\$3,000. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \$3,000-\$3,300 $\ldots . . .$. | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

MODESTO, CALIF.

| Families in survey | 151 | 1 | 15 | 33 | 36 | 24 | 14 | 9 | 8 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Annual of net income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$600 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \$600-\$900 | 7 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \$900-\$1,200 | 41 | 1 | 6 | 10 | 11 | 8 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \$1,200-\$1,500 | 35 | 0 | 6 | 9 | 8 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \$1,500-\$1,800 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 6 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \$1,800-\$2,100 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \$2,100-\$2,400 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \$2,400-\$2,700 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \$2,700-\$3,000. | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \$3,000-\$3,300. | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

RENO, NEV.

| Families in survey. | 149 | 0 | 15 | 21 | 27 | 18 | 26 | 15 | 18 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Annual of net income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$600-.--------- | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \$600-\$900 | 9 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \$900-\$1,200 | 13 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \$1,200-\$1,500 | 40 | 0 | 6 | 5 | 8 | 7 | 4 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \$1,500-\$1,800. | 36 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 10 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \$1,800-\$2,100 | 41 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 9 | 1 | 10 | 4 | 7 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \$2,100-\$2,400 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \$2,400-\$2,700. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \$2,700-\$3,000 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \$3,000-\$3,300 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## Table 2.-Description of families studied, by economic level

NASHUA, CONCORD, AND BERLIN, N. H.


Table 2.-Description of families studied, by economic level-Continued
NASHUA, CONCORD, AND BERLIN, N. H.-Continued


1 Less than $\$ 0.50$.

Table 2.-Description of families studied, by economic level-Continued
PORTSMOUTH, KEENE, DOVER, LACONIA, AND CLAREMONT, N. H.


Table 2.-Description of families studied, by economic level-Continued
PORTSMOUTH, KEENE, DOVER, LACONIA, AND CLAREMONT, N. H.-Continued


1 Less than \$0.50.

Table 2.-Description of families studied, by economic level-Continued
LItTLETON AND CONWAY,N. H .


1 "Children" are defined as persons under 16 years of age; "adults" are persons 16 years of age and over.

Table 2.-Description of families studied, by economic level-Continued
LITTLETON AND CONWAY. N. H.-Continued

${ }^{1}$ Less than $\$ 0.50$.

Table 2a.-Description of families studied
MARQUETTE, MICH.; MODESTO, CALIF.; AND RENO, NEV.

|  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

Table 2a.-Description of families stuidied-Continued
MARQUETTE, MICH.; MODESTO, CALIF.; AND RENO, NEV.-Continued

| Item | All families |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Marquette | Modesto | Reno |
| Earnings and Income |  |  |  |
| Families in survey | 148 | 151 | 149 |
| Number of families having- |  |  |  |
|  | 32 | 79 | 31 |
| Net earnings from boarders and lodgers | 18 | 17 | 18 |
| Other net rents. | 7 | 8 | 11 |
| Interest and dividends. | 6 | 4 | 6 |
| Pensions and insurance annuities | 4 | 3 | 7 |
| Gifts from persons outside economic family- | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Other sources of income.- | 2 | 9 | 7 |
| Deductions from income (business losses and expenses) | 27 | 8 | 8 |
| Surplus (net increase in assets and/or decrease in liabilities). | 114 | 83 | 80 |
| Deficit (net decrease in assets and/or increase in liabilities) | 31 | 65 | 60 |
| Inheritance.. | 3 | 2 | 0 |
| A verage number of gainful workers per family | 1. 27 | 1. 70 | 1. 23 |
| Average amount of- |  |  |  |
| Net family income | \$1,307 | \$1,472 | \$1,587 |
| Earnings of individuals | 1, 288 | 1, 445 | 1,542 |
| Chief earner. | 1,217 | 1, 289 | 1,428 |
| Subsidiary earner | 71 | 156 | 114 |
| Males: 16 years and over | 1,180 | 1,266 | 1,417 |
| Under 16 years | (1) 18 | 1, 1 | 1, 0 |
| Females: 16 years and over | 108 | 178 | 125 |
| Under 16 years. | 0 | (1) | 0 |
| Net earnings from boarders and lodgers. | 14 | 11 | 19 |
| Other net rents........... | 5 | 7 | 9 |
| Interest and dividends. | 6 | 1 | 3 |
| Pensions and insurance annuities. | 3 | 2 | 9 |
| Gifts from persons outside economic family | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| Other sources of income.....-.-.-. | 1 | 6 | 3 |
| Deductions from income (business losses and expenses) .............. | 12 | 2 | 1 |
| Surplus per family having surplus (net increase in assets and/or decrease in liabilities) | 168 | 172 | 218 |
| Deficit per family having deficit (net decrease in assets and/or increase in liabilities) | 243 | 174 | 196 |
| Net change in assets and liabilities for all families in survey-------- | +79 | +19 | +38 |
| Inheritance------------- | 1 | 2 | 0 |

1 Less than $\$ 0.50$.
$200982^{\circ}-41-4$

Table 3.-Expenditures for groups of items, by economie level 10 NEW HAMPSHIRE CITIES SEPARATELY

| Item | $\begin{gathered} \text { Nash- } \\ \text { ua } \end{gathered}$ | Concord | Berlin | Portsmouth | Keene | Dover | Laconia | Clare mont | Little ton | Conway |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Distribution by Nativity of Homemaker |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Families in survey...------- | 100 | 99 | 100 | 93 | 95 | 98 | 99 | 100 | 98 | 99 |
| Number of families having no homemaker | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Number of families having homemaker born in- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| United States. | 60 | 85 | 58 | 71 | 74 | 67 | 82 | 68 | 80 | 93 |
| Italy-.---- | 1 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Qermany and Austris..-- | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| Poland.....-......--...- | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| Canada (not French) .-.-. | 1 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 6 | 12 | 2 | 3 | 14 | 4 |
| England...----.-.-.-...-. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
|  | 1 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Norway and Sweden...-. | 0 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Canada (French) | 24 | 2 | 34 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 13 | 23 | 0 | 0 |
| Other .---------------- | 5 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | , | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 |

Table 3.-Expenditures for groups of items, by economic level-Continued NASHUA, CONCORD, AND BERLIN, N. H.

| Item | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { fami- } \\ \text { lies } \end{gathered}$ | Economic level-Families spending per expenditure unit per year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Un- } \\ & \text { der } \\ & \$ 200 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 200 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 300 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 300 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 400 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 400 \\ & \text { to } \\ & \$ 500 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 500 \\ & \text { to } \\ & \$ 600 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 600 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 700 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 700 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 800 \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 800$ and over |
| Expenditures for Groups of Items |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Families in survey | 290 | 16 | 72 | 74 | 52 | 36 | 21 | 13 | 15 |
| A verage family size: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Persons.-.----- | 3.84 | 7.40 | 4.97 | 3.87 | 3.26 | 2.69 | 2. 44 | 2.51 | 2. 20 |
| Expenditure units | 3.43 | 6.12 | 4.34 | 3. 50 | 2.98 | 2.51 | 2.32 | 2. 35 | 2.16 |
| Food expenditure units | 3.30 | 6.01 | 4.21 | 3.33 | 2.86 | 2.42 | 2.19 | 2.25 | 2.09 |
| Clothing expenditure units | 2.92 | 5.01 | 3.64 | 2.95 | 2. 58 | 2.16 | 2.05 | 1.95 | 2.08 |
| A verage annual current expenditure for- <br> All items. | \$1, 289 | \$1,071 | \$1,079 | \$1, 194 | \$1,314 | \$1,367 | \$1,442 | \$1,817 | \$2,006 |
| Food | + 436 | 496 | 444 | - 437 | , 428 | 394 | 401 | $\begin{array}{r}474 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 469 |
| Clothing | 142 | 131 | 120 | 134 | 144 | 153 | 130 | 194 | 228 |
| Housing | 197 | 138 | 141 | 184 | 189 | 224 | 258 | 299 | 389 |
| Fuel, light, and refrigeration | 131 | 103 | 115 | 124 | 147 | 135 | 148 | 177 | 142 |
| Other household operation | 50 | 32 | 36 | 34 | 58 | 64 | 72 | 72 | 108 |
| Furnishings and equipment --.-.-.-- | 41 | 25 | 33 | 39 | 38 | 52 | 24 | 68 | 94 |
| Automobile and motorcycle-purchase, operation, and maintenance- | 71 | 10 | 25 | 54 | 77 | 88 | 127 | 143 | 238 |
| Other transportation------------------ | 8 | 1 | 5 | 6 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 20 | 9 |
| Personal care. | 26 | 23 | 22 | 26 | 25 | 28 | 27 | 27 | 38 |
| Medical care | 53 | 15 | 36 | 46 | 61 | 59 | 74 | 139 | 59 |
| Recreation | 72 | 41 | 57 | 65 | 76 | 85 | 88 | 108 | 104 |
| Education | 5 | 9 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 27 | 0 |
| Vocation | 4 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 7 | 4 | 13 |
| Community welfare | 27 | 32 | 27 | 25 | 30 | 28 | 23 | 24 | 24 |
| Gifts and contributions to persons outside the economic family Other items | 21 | 4 | 8 4 | 11 | 24 | 31 9 | $\begin{array}{r}44 \\ 4 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 40 1 | 67 24 |
| Percentage of total annual current expenditure for- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All items.---------------------------------- | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Food | 33.8 | 46.3 | 41.1 | 36.6 | 32.6 | 28.8 | 27.8 | 26.1 | 23.4 |
| Clothing | 11.0 | 12.2 | 11.1 | 11.2 | 10.9 | 11.2 | 9.0 | 10.7 | 11.4 |
| Housing | 15.3 | 13.0 | 13.1 | 15.4 | 14.4 | 16.4 | 17.9 | 16.5 | 19.4 |
| Fuel, light, and refrigeration | 10.2 | 9.6 | 10.7 | 10.4 | 11.2 | 10.0 | 10.3 | 9.7 | 7.1 |
| Other household operation | 3.9 | 3.0 | 3.3 | 2.8 | 4.4 | 4.7 | 5. 0 | 4.0 | 5.4 |
| Furnishings and equipment | 3.2 | 2.3 | 3.1 | 3,3 | 2.9 | 3.8 | 1.7 | 3.7 | 4.7 |
| Automobile and motorcycle-purchase, operation, and maintenance. | 5.5 | .9 | 2.3 | 4.5 | 5.8 | 6.4 | 8.8 | 7.9 | 11.9 |
| Other transportation.-......-.........- | . 6 | . 1 | . 5 | . 5 | . 8 | . 7 | . 7 | 1.1 | . 4 |
| Personal care. | 2.0 | 2.2 | 2.0 | 2.2 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 1.5 | 1.9 |
| Medical care | 4.1 | 1.4 | 3.3 | 3.9 | 4.6 | 4.3 | 5.1 | 7.6 | 2.9 |
| Recreation. | 5.6 | 3.8 | 5.3 | 5.4 | 5.8 | 6.2 | 6.1 | 5.9 | 5.2 |
| Education | .4 | . 8 | .4 | . 2 | 2 | . 4 | . 3 | 1.5 | 0 |
| Vocation. | . 3 | 3 | . 2 | . 3 | 2 | . 1 | . 5 | . 2 | . 6 |
| Community welfare | 2.1 | 3.0 | 2.5 | 2.1 | 2.3 | 2.0 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 1.2 |
| Gifts and contributions to persons outside the economic family | 1.6 | . 4 | . 7 | . 9 | 1.8 | 2.3 | 3.0 | 2.2 | 3.3 |
|  | . 4 | .7 | . 4 | . 3 | . 2 | . 7 | .3 | . 1 | 1.2 |

Table 3.-Expenditures for groups of items, by economic level-Continued
PORTSMOUTH, KEENE, DOVER, LACONIA, AND CLAREMONT, N. H.

| Item | All families | Economic level-Families spending per expendi-ture unit per year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Un- | \$200 | \$300 | \$400 | \$500 | \$600 | \$700 | \$800 |
|  |  | der | to | to | to | to | to | to | and |
|  |  | \$200 | \$300 | \$400 | \$500 | \$600 | \$700 | \$800 | over |
| Expenditures for |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Families in survey | 485 | 29 | 97 | 111 | 125 | 63 | 26 | 12 | 22 |
| Average family size: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Persons. | 3. 54 | 5. 93 | 4.99 | 3. 59 | 2.86 | 2. 55 | 2.47 | 2.38 | 2. 23 |
| Fxpenditure units | 3. 29 <br> 3.08 | 5.39 5.08 | 4.52 4.22 | 3.37 <br> 3.15 | 2.70 | 2.45 | 2.39 2.28 | 2.31 | 2. 10 |
| Food expenditure units. Clothing expenditure units | 3. ${ }^{3 .} 84$ | 5.08 4.36 | 4.22 3.23 | 3.15 2.82 | 2.54 2.24 | 2.29 | 2.28 <br> 2.05 | 2.17 | 2.04 1.83 |
| Clothing expenditure units | 2. 74 | 4. 36 | 3.23 | 2.82 | 2.24 | 2.05 | 2.05 | 2.08 | 1.83 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 426 | 414 | 464 | 407 | 393 | 417 | 483 | 617 | 405 |
| Clothing | 133 | 111 | 127 | 126 | 126 | 132 | 185 | 180 | 182 |
| Housing | 186 | 132 | 164 | 178 | 180 | 200 | 268 | 212 | 270 |
| Fuel, light, and refrigeration | 138 | 82 | 125 | 127 | 149 | 148 | 152 | 161 | 197 |
| Other household operation | 49 | 37 | 41 | 38 | 49 | 58 | 62 | 53 | 106 |
| Furnishings and equipment. | 41 | 14 | 26 | 40 | 36 | 67 | 41 | 73 | 75 |
| Automobile and motorcycle-purcnase, operation, and maintenance- | 74 | 28 | 26 | 52 | 70 | 76 | 129 | 207 | 328 |
| Other transportation.-------------1.- | 5 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 6 | 6 | 12 | 4 |
| Personal care. | 25 | 20 | 26 | 23 | 22 | 26 | 32 | 38 | 35 |
| Medical care | 45 | 23 | 40 | 46 | 44 | 48 | 58 | 67 | 61 |
| Recreation | 72 | 50 | 60 | 64 | 68 | 91 | 81 | 123 | 125 |
| Education | 4 | 1. | 4 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 12 | 0 | 4 |
| Vocation. | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 2 |
| Community welfare | 22 | 18 | 20 | 23 | 20 | 22 | 24 | 38 | 36 |
| Gifts and contributions to persons outside the economic family | 23 | 5 | 13 | 18 | 20 | 38 | 33 | 35 | 76 |
| Other items---------------- | ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 9 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 10 |
| Percentage of total annual current expenditure for- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tems | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Food | 34.0 | 43.7 | 40.6 | 35. 1 | 32.9 | 31.1 | 30.7 | 33.9 | 21.2 |
| Clothing | 10.6 | 11. 7 | 11.1 | 10.9 | 10.5 | 9.8 | 11.8 | 9.9 | 9.5 |
| Housing | 14.9 | 13.9 | 14.4 | 15.4 | 15.0 | 14.9 | 17.0 | 11.6 | 14.1 |
| Fuel, light, and refrigeration | 11.0 | 8.7 , | 10.9 | 11.0 | 12.5 | 11.0 | 9.7 | 8.8 | 10.3 |
| Other housebold operation | 3.9 | 3.9 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 4.1 | 4. 3 | 3.9 | 2.9 | 5.5 |
| Furnishings and equipment....---.-.- | 3.3 | 1.5 | 2.3 | 3.4 | 3.0 | 5.0 | 2.6 | 4.0 | 3.9 |
| Automobile and motorcycle-purchase, operation, and maintenance.- | 5.9 | 3.0 | 2.3 | 4.5 | 5.8 | 5.7 | 8.2 | 11.4 | 17.1 |
| Other transportation...-.-.-...-.......- | . 4 | . 3 | . 3 | . 3 | . 3 | . 5 | . 4 | . 7 | . 2 |
| Personal care | 2.0 | 2.1 | 2.3 | 2.0 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 1.8 |
| Medical care | 3. 6 | 2. 4 | 3. 5 | 4.0 | 3. 7 | 3. 6 | 3. 7 | 3.7 | 3. 2 |
| Recreation. | 5.8 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.5 | 5. 7 | 6.8 | 5. 2 | 6.7 | 6.5 |
| Education | . 3 | 1 | 3 | 4 | . 3 | 3 | 8 | 0 | 2 |
| Vocation. | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | . 3 | 1 |
| Community welfare | 1.8 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 2.0 | 1. 7 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 2.1 | 1.9 |
| Gifts and contributions to persons outside the economic family | 1.8 | 5 | 1.1 | 1.6 | 1. 7 | 2.8 | 2. 1 | 1.9 | 4.0 |
| Other items. | . 5 | . 9 | 2 | 4 | . 8 | . 4 | . 2 | (1) | . 5 |

1 Less than 0.05 percent.

Table 3.-Expenditures for groups of items, by economic level-Continued LITTLETON AND CONWAY, N. H.

| Item | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { fami- } \\ \text { lies } \end{gathered}$ | Economic level-Families spending per expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Un- } \\ & \text { der } \\ & \$ 200 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 200 \\ & \text { to } \\ & \$ 300 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 300 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 400 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 400 \\ & \text { to } \\ & \$ 500 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 500 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 600 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 600 \\ & \text { to } \\ & \$ 700 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 700 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 800 \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 800$ <br> and <br> over |
| Expenditures for Groups of ltems | 3.6 <br> 3.3 <br> 3.1 <br> 3.7 |  | 51 | 40 | 49 | 27 | 5 | 55 | 56 |
| Families in survey. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average family size: Persons |  |  | 4.75 | 3.41 | 2.74 | 2. 50 | 2. 62 | 2. 20 |  |
| Expenditure units |  |  | 3. 93 | 2.98 | 2.57 |  | 2. 2.43 |  | 1.95 |
| Food expenditure units |  |  |  |  | 2. 21 | 2. 20 |  | 2.08 | 1.98 |
| Clothing expenditure units |  |  | 3.34 | 2.59 | 2.22 | 2.00 | 2.16 | 2. 13 | 1.99 |
| Average annual current expenditure for- <br> All items. | \$1,159 | \$1,015 | \$1, 037 | \$1, 083 | \$1, 131 | \$1, 283 | \$1, 588 | \$1, 627 | \$1,934 |
| Food. |  |  |  |  | 104 | 354126 |  |  |  |
| Clothing | 115 | 142 | 105 | 110 |  |  | 146 | 180 | 130 |
| Housing | 144 | 80 | 119109 | 147 | 165 | 150 |  | 141 |  |
| Fuel, light, and refrigeration | 122 | $\begin{array}{r}107 \\ 39 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |  | 131414 | 12045 |  | 98 116 |  | 312 127 |
| Other household operation | 44 |  | 33 |  |  | + 49 | +58 | 199 87 | 78 |
| Furnishings and equipment. | 51 | 37 | 30 | 36 | 59 | 53 | 172 | 70 |  |
| Automobile and motorcycle-purchase, operation, and maintenance- | 90 | 4 | 68 | 15 | 82 | 149 | 288 | 124 | 399 |
| Other transportation. | 3 | $1{ }_{15}^{19}$ | $\stackrel{21}{47}$ | ${ }_{5}^{23}$ | -68 | 27 | 12 <br> 35 <br> 8 | (1) 31 |  |
| Personal care | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 23 |
| Medical care | 52 | 431 | 47 | 57 | 621 | 86 | 89 | 136 | 24 |
| Recreation | $6_{3}$ |  | 51 | 59 |  |  |  | 123 | 78 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vocation- | 4 | 4 ${ }^{4}$ | 17 | 21 | 25 | 18 | $\stackrel{2}{29}$ | 920 | ${ }_{21}^{6}$ |
| Community welfare | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gifts and contributions to persons outside the economic family | 20 | ${ }^{6}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9 \\ 16 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 15 \\ 8 \end{array}$ | 1010 | $\begin{aligned} & 35 \\ & 16 \end{aligned}$ | 11 <br> 38 | 3528 | 104 |
|  | 14 | 17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percentage of total annual current expenditure for- |  | 100.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All items | 100.0 |  | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100. 0 | 100.0 | 100. 0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Food | 33.8 | 48.0 | ${ }^{38.7} 1$ | 10.2 | $\begin{array}{r} 32.3 \\ 9.2 \end{array}$ | 27.6 | 9.2 | 11.1 | 6. 7 |
| Housing | 12.4 | 14.0 7.9 | 10.5 |  | $\begin{array}{r} 14.6 \\ 10.6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11.7 \\ & 10.4 \end{aligned}$ | 6.27.3 |  |  |
| Fuel, light, and refrigeration | 10.5 | 10.5 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 13.6 \\ & 12.1 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | 12.2 | 16.1 6.6 |
| Other household operation. | 3.8 | 3.8 | 3.92.9 | 3.83.3 | 4.05.2 | 3.8 | 3.710.8 | 5.34.3 | 4.08.8 |
| Furnishings and equipment. | 4.4 | 3.6 |  |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |  |
| Automobile and motorcycle-pur- |  | . ${ }_{2}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6.6 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4.2 \\ .2 \end{array}$ | 7.2 | 11.6 | 18.2 | (7.6 | 20.6 |
| chase, operation, and maintenance <br> Other transportation. | $\begin{array}{r}7.8 \\ 3 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Personal care. | 2.0 | 1.91.5 | $\begin{gathered} 4.5 \\ 4.5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.1 \\ & 5.3 \\ & 5 . \end{aligned}$ | 1.9 | 2. 5.6 | 2.24.3 | 1.9 | 1.2 |
| Medical care | 4.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8.3 | 1.2 4.0 |
| Recreation | 5.4 | 4.2 | 4.9 | 5. 4 | 5. 5 | 6.7 | 5.6 | 7.6 | 4.0 |
| Education | . 2 | 1 | 4 | .$^{2}$ | . 1 | . 3 | 0 | 0 | ${ }^{0}$. |
| Vocation | 7 | 4 | . 5 | 19 | 2 | ${ }^{6}$ | . 1 | . 6 | 1 |
| Community welfare | 1.7 | . 2 | 1.6 | 1.9 | 2.2 | 1.4 | 1.8 | 1.2 | 1.1 |
| Gifts and contributions to persons outside the economic family | 1.7 | 6 | . 9 | 1.4 | 1.7 | 2.7 | . 7 | 2.2 | 5.4 |
| Other items | 1. 2 | 1.7 | 1.5 | . 7 | . 9 | 1.3 | 2.4 | 1.7 | . 4 |

Table 3a.-Expenditures for groups of items
marquette, mich.; modesto, calif.; and reno, nev.

| Item | All families |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Marquette | Modesto | Reno |
| Expenditures for Groups of Items |  |  |  |
|  | 148 | 151 | 149 |
| Average family size: |  |  |  |
| Persons | 4. 00 | 3. 32 | 3.29 |
|  | 3. 59 | 3.06 | 2.96 |
| A verage annual current expenditure for- |  |  |  |
| All items | \$1,243 | \$1,464 | \$1,555 |
| Food | 470 | 443 | 458 |
| Clothing | 149 | 167 | 172 |
| Housing. | 156 | 193 | 234 |
| Fuel, light, and refrigeration | 98 | 95 | 117 |
| Other household operation | 54 | 58 | 78 |
| Furnishings and equipment | 49 | 70 | 61 |
| Automobile and motorcycle-purchase, operation, and maintenance $\qquad$ | 57 | 165 | 157 |
| Other transportation | 6 | 7 | 5 |
| Personal care. | 27 | 36 | 33 |
| Medical care. | 53 | 72 | 88 |
| Recreation. | 58 | 84 | 82 |
| Education | 9 | 9 | 2 |
| Vocation | 5 | 4 | 7 |
| Community welfare. | 15 | 13 | 9 |
| Gifts and contributions to persons outside of economic family .-- | 22 | 36 | 44 |
| Other items. | 15 | 12 | 8 |
| Percentage of total annual current expenditure for- |  |  |  |
| All items. | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Food. | 37.8 | 30.3 | 29.5 |
| Clothing | 12.0 | 11.4 | 11.1 |
| Housing | 12.6 | 13.2 | 15.0 |
| Fuel, light, and refrigeration | 7.9 | 6.5 | 7.5 |
| Other household operation. | 4.3 | 4.0 | 5.0 |
|  | 3.9 | 4.8 | 3.9 |
| Automobile and motorcycle-purchase, operation, and maintenance. | 4.5 | 11.3 | 10.1 |
| Other transportation | . 5 | . 5 | . 3 |
| Personal care. - | 2. 2 | 2.4 | 2. 1 |
| Medical care. | 4. 3 | 4. 9 | 5. 7 |
| Recreation | 4. 7 | 5. 7 | 5. 3 |
| Education | . 7 | . 6 | . 1 |
| Vocation | . 4 | . 3 | . 5 |
|  | 1. 2 | . 9 | . 6 |
| Gifts and contributions to persons outside of economic family Other items. | 1.8 1.2 | 2.4 .8 | 2.8 .5 |

Table 3b.-Expenditures for groups of items
10 NEW HAMPSHIRE CITIES SEPARATELY

| Item | Nashua | Concord | Berlin | Portsmouth | Keene | Dover | Laconia | Claremont | Littleton | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Con- } \\ & \text { way } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Families in survey | 100 | 99 | 100 | 93 | 95 | 98 | 99 | 100 | 98 | 99 |
| A verage family size: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Persons .-......... | 3. 99 | 3.43 | 4.07 | 3. 78 | 3.38 | 3.56 | 3. 47 | 3.50 | 3.48 | 3. 75 |
| Expenditure units | 3. 63 | 3. 09 | 3. 58 | 3. 47 | 3.15 | 3. 31 | 3.25 | 3. 28 | 3. 21 | 3. 40 |
| Food expenditure units | 3.51 | 2.93 | 3.48 | 3. 24 | 2.92 | 3. 11 | 3.07 | 3.09 | 3. 03 | 3. 24 |
| Clothing expenditure units | 3.17 | 2. 58 | 2. 99 | 2.93 | 2. 58 | 2.79 | 2.65 | 2.76 | 2. 66 | 2. 77 |
| Average total family income. | \$1,437 | \$1,465 | \$1, 133 | \$1,396 | \$1,241 | \$1,292 | \$1,305 | \$1,163 | \$1, 138 | \$1,238 |
| Average annual current expenditure for- <br> All items |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \$1,357 | \$1,394 | \$1, 109 | \$1, 370 | \$1, 204 | \$1, 258 | \$1, 253 | \$1, 167 | \$1, 122 | \$1, 195 |
|  | - 463 | +127 | 416 | - 474 |  | 447 | 423 | + 379 | - 359 | - 424 |
| Clothing | 171 | 131 | 123 | 150 | 117 | 150 | 128 | 122 | 106 | 124 |
| Housing --...-.-......- | 202 | 243 | 148 | 185 | 201 | 162 | 197 | 183 | 148 | 139 |
| Fuel, light, and refrigeration | 132 | 157 | 103 | 161 | 134 | 135 | 138 | 121 | 122 | 123 |
| tion | 53 | 60 | 37 | 51 | 54 | 46 | 46 | 47 | 50 | 37 |
| Furnishings and equipment | 47 | 39 | 38 | 50 | 32 | 48 | 37 | 37 | 56 | 46 |
| Automobile and motor-cycle-purchase, operation, and maintenance. | 55 | 113 | 46 | 91 | 59 | 67 | 77 | 75 | 86 | 95 |
| Other transportation...- | 8 | 9 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 4 |
| Personal care. | 28 | 26 | 23 | 27 | 25 | 24 | 23 | 25 | 23 | 23 |
| Medical care | 54 | 55 | 49 | 35 | 41 | 50 | 52 | 46 | 49 | 54 |
| Recreation | 75 | 72 | 68 | 78 | 67 | 69 | 75 | 72 | 65 | 62 |
| Education | 8 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| Vocation. | 3 | 7 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 7 |
| Community welfare | 30 | 18 | 33 | 21 | 21 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 24 | 16 |
| Gifts and contributions to persons outside the economic family | 22 | 30 | 10 | 30 | 19 | 21 | 18 | 27 | 14 | 26 |
| Other items..... | 6 |  | 6 | 7 | 9 | , | 5 | 4 | 14 | 13 |
| Percentage of total annual current expenditure for- <br> All items. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Food | 34.1 | 30.6 | 37.5 | 34.6 | 34.0 | 35.5 | 33.8 | 32.5 | 32.0 | 35. 5 |
| Clothing | 12.6 | 9.4 | 11.1 | 10.9 | 9.7 | 11.9 | 10.2 | 10.4 | 9.4 | 10.4 |
| Housing | 14.9 | 17.4 | 13.4 | 13.5 | 16. 7 | 12.9 | 15.7 | 15. 7 | 13.2 | 11.6 |
| Fuel, light, and refrigeration | 9.7 | 11.3 | 9.3 | 11.8 | 11.1 | 10.7 | 11.0 | 10.4 | 10.9 | 10.3 |
| Other household operation. | 3.9 | 4.3 | 3.3 | 3.7 | 4.5 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 4.0 | 4.5 | 3.1 |
| Furnishings and equipment | 3.5 | 2.8 | 3.4 | 3.7 | 2.7 | 3.8 | 3.0 | 3.2 | 5.0 | 3.9 |
| Automobile and motor-cycle-purchase, operation, and maintenance. | 4.1 | 8.1 | 4.2 | 6. 6 | 4.9 | 5.3 | 6.1 | 6. 4 | 7.7 | 7.9 |
| Other transportation | . 6 | 8.1 | . 5 | . 4 | . 4 | . 3 | . 5 | . 3 | . 3 | . 3 |
| Personal care. | 2. 1 | 1.9 | 2.1 | 2. 0 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 2.1 | 2. 0 | 1.9 |
| Medical care | 4.0 | 3.9 | 4.4 | 2.6 | 3.4 | 4.0 | 4.2 | 3.9 | 4. 4 | 4.5 |
| Recreation | 5. 5 | 5. 2 | 6.1 | 5.7 | 5.6 | 5. 5 | 6. 0 | 6.2 | 5. 8 | 5.2 |
| Education | . 6 | . 3 | . 2 | . 2 | . 7 | . 3 | . 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| Vocation | 2 | 5 | . 1 | 1 | . 2 | 4 | . 1 | 2 | 1 | 6 |
| Community welfare.---- | 2.2 | 1.3 | 3.0 | 1. 5 | 1. 7 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 2. 1 | 1. 3 |
| Gifts and contributions to persons outside the economic family | 1.6 | 2. 2 | . 9 | 2.2 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.4 | 2.3 | 1.2 | 2.2 |
| Other items.. | . 4 | . 2 | . 5 | . 5 | . 7 | . 3 | . 4 | 3 | 1. 2 | 1.1 |

Table 4.-Disposition of money received during schedule year not used for current expenditure, and funds made available for family use from sources other than family income in schedule year, by economic level

NASHUA, CONCORD, AND BERLIN, N. H.


Table 4.-Disposition of money received during schedule year not used for current expenditure, and funds made available for family use from sources other than family income in schedule year, by economic level-Continued

NASHUA, CONCORD, AND BERLIN, N. H.-Continued

| Item | $\underset{\text { families }}{\text { All }}$ | Economic level-Families spending per expenditure unit per year |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Under } \\ & \$ 300 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 300 \text { to } \\ \$ 400 \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 400 \text { to }$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 5000 \\ & \text { and } \\ & \text { oner } \end{aligned}$ |
| Funds Made Available for Family Use From Sources Other Than Family Income in Schedule Year |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| On hand --...--....- | 5 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| In checking account | 77 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| In savings account | 77 | 23 | 14 | 14 | 26 |
| Sale of property: <br> Real estate (including real estate mortgages) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Building and loan shares.....................---------- | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Stocks and bonds .-... | 2 | 0 | 1 |  | 1 |
| Goods and chattels | 4 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 |
| Other property. | 4 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Insurance policies: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Surrender- | 12 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 2 3 |
| Receipts from outstanding loans to others. | 4 | ${ }_{3}$ | 1 | 0 | ${ }_{0}$ |
| Increase in liabilities: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Increase in mortgages on own home | 4 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| Increase in other mortgages. | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Increase in debts: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Payable to banks..... | 3 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| Payable to insurance companies. | 8 | 0 | ${ }_{6}^{6}$ | 0 | 2 |
| Payable to small-loan companies .-......... | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |
| Payable to firms selling on installment plan: Automobiles | 9 | 0 |  | 1 |  |
| Other goods. | 37 | 11 | 12 | 6 | 8 |
| Payable to individuals | 4 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 |
| Other debts...-........ | 70 | 24 | 26 | 10 | 10 |
| Inheritance. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Average amount of funds received from- |  |  |  |  |  |
| Decrease in assets and/or increase in liabilities. | \$108. 71 | \$103. 29 | \$84. 96 | \$121.96 | \$126.88 |
| Decrease in assets. | 58.72 | 70.56 | 27.00 | 70.62 | 66. 79 |
| Reduction in cash: |  |  |  |  |  |
| On hand --.--- | 4.92 | 1.58 | 3. 10 | 18. 56 | 1.61 |
| In cheeking account | . 38 |  |  |  | 1.34 49.95 |
| In savings account | 42.07 | 57.10 | 13.78 | 44.03 | 49.95 |
| Sale of property: <br> Real estate (including real estate mortgages) | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Ruilding and loan shares-.-------1.---- | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Stocks and bonds. | 1.39 | 0 | 2.21 | 0 | 2.96 |
| Goods and chattels | . 21 | 0 | . 62 | 0 | 20 |
| Other property | . 30 | . 57 | 53 | 0 |  |
| Insurance policies: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Surrender | 6. 27 | 7.79 | 6. 57 | 7.77 | 3. 51 |
| Settlement. | 2.61 | 1.74 |  | . 26 | 7.22 |
| Reduction in outstanding loans to others | . 57 | 1. 78 | . 19 |  |  |
| Increase in liabilities .-.-.-.-.-.-.-. - | 49.99 | 32.73 | 57.96 | 51.34 | 60.09 |
| Increase in mortgages on own home | 8.13 | 3.97 | 7.57 | 29.24 |  |
| Increase in other mortgages... | 2.34 | 1. 50 |  |  | 6. 68 |
| Increase in debts: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Payable to banks.---- | . 74 | 0 | 1. 53 | 2.09 |  |
| Payable to insurance companies... | 3.80 | 0 | 8. 20 | 0 | ${ }_{0}^{6.25}$ |
| Payable to small-loan companies-........... | . 27 | 0 | 1.08 | 0 |  |
| Payable to firms seling on installment plan: Automobiles | 5. 25 |  | 5.44 | 1.24 | 12.98 |
| Other goods. | 10.75 | 8.36 | 8.03 | 7.34 | 17.67 |
| Payable to individuals | 1.30 | . 25 | 53 |  | 3.85 |
| Other debts. | 17.41 | 18.65 | 25. 58 | 11. 43 | 12.66 |
| Inheritance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Table 4.-Disposition of money received during schedule year not used for current expenditure, and funds made available for family use from sources other than family income in schedule year, by economic level-Continued

PORTSMOUTH, KEENE, DOVER, LACONIA, AND CLAREMONT, N. H.

| Item | $\xrightarrow[\text { All }]{\text { Amilies }}$ | Economic level-Families spending per expenditure unit per year |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\underset{\$ 300}{\text { Under }}$ | $\$ 300$ to $\$ 400$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 400 \text { to } \\ \$ 500 \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 500$ and over |
| Disposition of Money Received During the Schedule Year Not Used for Current Family Expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| On hand. | 13 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 4 |
| In checking account | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| In savings account. | 95 | 15 | 16 | 32 | 32 |
| Investment in: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Improvements in own home. | 31 | 9 | 4 | 10 | 8 |
| Other real estate (including real estate mortgages).- | 11 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Building and loan shares. | 8 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 3 |
| Stocks and bonds. | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Other property. | 4 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| Payment of premiums for insurance policies: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Life insurance. | 404 | 104 | 100 | 105 | 05 |
| Annuities. | 19 | 8 | 5 | 0 | 6 |
| Increase in outstanding loans to others. | 6 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| Decrease in liabilities: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Payment on principal of mortgages and down payment on own home | 65 | 16 | 20 | 17 | 12 |
| Payment on principal of other mortgages_ | 17 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 4 |
| Payment of debts to: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Banks.....-...-- | 7 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 3 |
| Insurance companies. | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Small-loan companies. | 8 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 1 |
| Firms selling on installment plan: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Automobiles.--................... | 9 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 4 |
| Other goods. | 28 | 14 | 4 | 5 | 5 |
| Individuals .-- | 12 | 4 | 2 | 6 | 0 |
| Other. | 38 | 10 | 9 | 13 | 6 |
| A verage amount of funds disposed in- |  |  |  |  |  |
| Increase in assets and/or decrease in lisbilities. | \$176. 44 | \$143. 81 | \$155. 85 | \$204. 77 | \$199.65 |
| Increase in assets. | 145. 28 | 117.92 | 122.24 | 168.76 | 170. 27 |
| Increase in cash: |  |  |  |  |  |
| On hand.- | 1. 68 | . 64 | . 02 | 3.45 | 2.45 |
| In checking account | . 91 | 0 | 0 |  | 3. 58 |
|  | 32. 77 | 14.49 | 18.83 | 53.27 | 43. 25 |
| Investment in- |  |  |  |  |  |
| Improvements in own home ------------------- | 8.52 | 6.95 | 11.47 | 8.83 | 7.17 |
| Other real estate (including real estate mortgages) -- | 5. 40 | 8.34 | 1.29 | 4.73 | 6.77 |
| Building and loan shares | 1. 78 | 0 | . 98 | 4.21 | 1.86 |
| Stocks and bonds. | . 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | . 79 |
|  | 10.72 | 14.95 | 0 | 16.27 | 10.43 |
| Payment of premiums for insurance policies: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Life insurance. | 78.37 | 69.12 | 84.64 | 77.41 | 83.16 |
| Annuities. | 2. 93 | 3.43 | 3.93 |  | 4.49 |
| Increase in outstanding loans to others | 2.00 | 0 | 1.08 | . 59 | 6.32 |
| Decrease in liabilities --...............-.-.--- | 31.16 | 25.89 | 33.61 | 36.01 | 29.38 |
| Payment on principal of mortgages and down payment on own home. | 13.89 | 8.25 | 18. 55 | 15. 87 | 13. 44 |
| Payment on principal of other mortgages. | 2. 60 | 1. 46 | 2.46 | 2.74 | 3.75 |
| Payment of debts to- |  |  |  |  |  |
| Banks.- | 1.25 | 2.12 | 1.19 | 0 | 1.67 |
| Insurance companies. | . 19 | . 27 | . 52 | 0 |  |
| Small-loan companies | 1. 39 | 1. 59 | 3.08 | . 62 | . 46 |
| Firms selling on installment plan: |  |  | 3.08 |  | . 40 |
| Automobiles----------------- | 2.34 | 1.89 | 1.05 | 1. 22 | 5. 09 |
| Other goods. | 2.49 | 3. 92 | 1.03 | 1.91 | 2. 91 |
| Individuals. | 1. 33 | 1. 94 | . 65 | 2. 63 | 0 |
| Other. | 5. 68 | 4.45 | 5.08 | 11.02 | 2. |

Table 4.-Disposition of money recieved during schedule year not used for current expenditure, and funds made available for family use from sources other than family income in schedule year, by economic level-Continued

PORTBMOUTH, KEENE, DOVER, LACONIA, AND CLAREMONT, N. H.-Continued


Table 4.-Disposition of money recieved during schedule year not used for current expenditure, and funds made available for family use from sources other than family income in schedule year, by economic level-Continued

LITTLETON AND CONWAY, N. H.


Table 4.-Disposition of money received during schedule year not used for current expenditure, and funds made available for family use from sources other than family income in schedule year, by economic level-Continued

LITTLETON AND CONWAY, N. H.-Continued


Table 4a.-Disposition of money received during schedule year not used for current expenditure, and funds made available for family use from sources other than family income in schedule year

MARQUETTE, MICH.; MODESTO, CALIF.; AND RENO, NEV.

| Item | All families |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Marquette | Modesto | Reno |
| Disposition of Money Received During the Schedule Year Not Used for Current Family Expenditure |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Number of families disposing of funds inIncrease in assets: <br> Increase in cash- |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| In checking account | 0 | 5 | 5 |
| In savings account | 26 | 24 | 15 |
| Investment in- |  |  |  |
|  | 10 | 10 | 18 |
| Other real estate (including real estate mortgages)............ | 1 | 2 | 4 |
| Building and loan shares | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| Stocks and bonds.- | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Other property | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Payment of premiums for insurance policies: |  |  |  |
| Life insurance. | 128 | 119 | 95 |
| Annuities <br> Increase in outstanding loans to others | 44 | 6 | 6 |
| Increase in outstanding loans to others Decrease in liabilities: | 1 | 10 | 0 |
| Payment on principal of mortgages and down payment on own home |  | 39 | 30 |
| Payment on principal of other mortgages | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| Payment of debts to- |  |  |  |
| Banks | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Insurance companies. | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Small-loan companies | 1 | 4 | 0 |
| Firms selling on installment plan: |  |  |  |
| Automobiles. | 0 | 4 | 5 |
| Other goods | 4 | 21 | 19 |
| Individuals...- | 7 | 7 | 9 |
| Other | 25 | 32 | 23 |
| A verage amount of funds disposed in- |  |  |  |
| Increase in assets and/or decrease in liabilities. | \$175.07 | \$186. 78 | \$180. 39 |
| Increase in assets. | 124.77 | 104.67 | 98.26 |
| Increase in cash- |  |  |  |
| On hand. | 16 | 1. 99 | . 76 |
| In checking account |  | 4.91 | 3.32 |
| In savings account | 32. 67 | 17.70 | 12.90 |
| Investment in- |  |  |  |
| Improvements in own home .-.-..-.-.-.-.-.-.-. | 5. 70 | 12.82 | 12.92 |
| Other real estate (including real estate mortgages) | . 76 | 2.52 | 2. 38 |
| Building and loan shares | 1. 20 |  | 2.08 |
| Stocks and bonds..- | 4.33 | . 24 | 7.05 |
|  | . 78 | 4.93 | 1.61 |
| Payment of premiums for insurance policies: |  |  |  |
| Life insurance. | 72. 54 | 51.85 | 53. 62 |
| Annuities...-.-----------.-.-. | 6. 20 | 1.35 | 1.61 |
| Increase in outstanding loans to others <br> Decrease in liabilities | 50.30 | 6.36 82.11 |  |
| Decrease in liabilities Payment on principal of mortgages and down payment on own | 50.30 | 82.11 | 82.13 |
|  | 23.15 | 47.43 | 45. 72 |
| Payment on principal of other mortgages. | 2. 73 | 1.38 | 0 |
| Payment of debts to- |  |  |  |
| Banks..-- | 0 | 0 |  |
| Insurance companies. | 0 | 0 | . 05 |
| Small-loan companies | . 46 | 3.23 | 0 |
| Firms selling on installment plan: |  |  |  |
| Automobiles. | 0 | 3.65 | 9.47 |
| Other goods | 1. 49 | 8.17 | 10. 18 |
| Individuals. | 2.08 | 2.93 | 2.76 |
| Other.--.-. | 20. 39 | 15. 32 | 13. 95 |

Table 4a.-Disposition of money received during schedule year not used for current expenditure and funds made available for family use from sources other than family income in schedule year-Continued

MARQUETTE, MICF.; MODESTO, CALIF.; AND RENO, NEV.-Continued

| Item | All families |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Marquette | Modesto | Reno |
| Funds Made Available for Family Use From Sources Other Than Family Income in Schedule Year |  |  |  |
| Families in survey | 148 | 151 | 149 |
| Families receiving funds from- |  |  |  |
| Decrease in assets: Reduction in cash- |  |  |  |
| On hand........ | 0 | 4 | 4 |
| In checking account | 2 | 9 | 5 |
| In savings account. | 23 | 28 | 34 |
| Sale of property: |  |  |  |
| Real estate (including real estate mortgages) | 0 | 2 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Stocks and bonds........ | 0 | 3 | 1 |
| Goods and chattels. | 1 | 17 | 4 |
| Other property | 3 | 0 | 2 |
| Insurance policies: |  |  |  |
| Surrender --. | 7 | 6 | 3 |
| Settlement | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| Receipts from outstanding loans to others. | 3 | 2 | 3 |
| Increase in liabilities: |  |  |  |
| Increase in mortgages on own home. | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| Increase in other mortgages .........- | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Increase in debts: |  |  |  |
| Payable to banks. | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Payable to insurance companies | 1 | 4 | 3 |
| Payable to small-loan companies | 1 | 5 | 2 |
| Payable to firms selling on installment plan: |  |  |  |
| Automobiles. | 5 | 17 | 12 |
| Other goods. | 23 | 39 | 29 |
| Payable to individuals. | 14 | 14 | 10 |
| Other debts. | 36 | 38 | 41 |
| Inheritance. | 3 | 2 | 0 |
| Average amount of funds received from- |  |  |  |
| Decrease in assets and/or increase in liabilities. | \$96. 31 | \$167. 78 | \$143.00 |
| Decrease in assets.- | 44.67 | 85.69 | 72.75 |
| Reduction in cash- |  |  |  |
| On hand. | 0 | 3.74 | 3. 34 |
| In checking account | 1.02 | 12. 43 | 4.08 |
| In savings account. | 32.08 | 39.03 | 55. 50 |
| Sale of property: |  |  |  |
| Real estate (including real estate mortgages) | 0 0 | ${ }_{0}^{3.31}$ | ${ }^{0} 05$ |
| Building and loan shares. | 0 |  | 2.05 |
| Stocks and bonds... Goods and chattels. | ${ }^{0} .02$ | 5.63 10.19 | 2.01 |
| Goods and chattels. | .02 .42 | ${ }_{0}^{10.19}$ | .40 1.14 |
| Insurance policies: |  |  |  |
| Surrender-... | 5.81 | 4.87 | 2. 40 |
| Settlement | 1.08 | 5.42 | 0 |
| Reduction in outstanding loans to others. | 4. 24 | 1.07 | 3.83 |
| Increase in liabilities.-.- | 51.64 | 82.09 | 70.25 |
| Increase in mortgages on own home | 6. 45 | . 67 | 5. 50 |
| Increase in other mortgages... | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Increase in debts: |  |  |  |
| Payable to banks. | . 86 | 1.99 | . 59 |
| Payable to insurance companies | . 11 | . 84 | 2. 19 |
| Payable to small-loan companies | 1. 52 | 3.30 | 1.29 |
| Payable to firms selling on installment plan: |  |  |  |
| Automobiles. | 7.86 | 28.27 | 21.60 |
| Other goods. | 6.90 | 16.01 | 10. 36 |
| Payable to individuals | 5.27 | 17.11 | 5. 97 |
| Other debts. | 22.67 | 13.90 | 22.75 |
| Inheritance. | 1.41 | 1.96 | 0 |

Table 5.-Description of families studied, by income level
NASHUA, CONCORD, AND BERLIN, N. H.

| Item | $\underset{\substack{\text { All } \\ \text { fami- } \\ \text { lies }}}{ }$ | Income level-Families with annual net income of- |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \$ 500 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 9000 \end{gathered}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \$ 900 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 1,200 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1,200 \\ & \text { to } \\ & \$ 1,500 \end{aligned}$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \$ 1,500 \\ \$ 1,800 \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 1,800 \\ \mathbf{t o} \\ \mathbf{2}, 100 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 2,100 \\ \text { and } \\ \text { over } \end{gathered}$ |
| Distribution by Occupation of Chief Earner and by Family Type ${ }^{1}$ | 299 | 45 | 90 | 67 | 42 | 36 | 19 |
| Families in survey-- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of families in which chief earner is- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled wage earner | 86 | 1 | 17 | 19 | 22 | ${ }_{22}$ | 5 |
| Semiskilled wage earner | 117 | 28 | 42 | 26 | 11 | 3 | 7 |
| Unskilled wage earner | 38 | 14 | 12 | 10 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Number of familes composed of- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Man, wife, and 1 child | 70 42 42 | 118 | 18 | 15 | 11 | 6 3 | 4 0 |
| Man, wife, and 2 to 4 children | 737 | 70 | $\stackrel{31}{3}$ | 16 | 11 |  | 0 |
| Man, wife, and 5 or more children. |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |  |
| Man, wife, and children and adults (4 to 6 persons). | 33 | 10 | 9 9 | 10 | 3 <br> 3 <br> 3 | 1 | 1 |
| Man, wife, and children and adults ( 7 or more persons).- | 14 |  | 3 | 6 | 331 |  | 1 |
| Man, wife, and 1 adult |  | 0 |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |
| Man, wife, and 2 to 4 adults | 15 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 4 2 | 5 |
| Man, wife, and 5 or more adults |  |  | 0 | 0 | , | 0 | 1 |
| Adults ( 2 or 3 persons, not including man and wife)--- | 152 | 2 |  | 3 |  | 2 | 3 |
| Adults (4 or more persons, not including man and wife)- |  |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Adult or adults and children ( 2 or 3 persons, not including man and wife) | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Adult or adults and children ( 4 or more persons, not including man and wife) | 7 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Distribution by Nativity of Homemaker |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of familis having no homemaker | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Number of families having homemaker born in- | 20322 | 2600 |  |  | 34 |  |  |
| Italy. |  |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Poland |  |  | 23 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 5 |
| Canada (French) | 60 | 16 |  | $\begin{array}{r}5 \\ 2 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 600 |  |  |
| Canada (not French) |  |  | 2 |  |  | 1 |  |
| England.- | 6 <br> 3 <br> 3 | 0 |  | $\stackrel{2}{1}$ | 00 |  |  |
| Ireland | 5 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Norway and Sweden |  | 002 | 101 | 113 | 0 |  |  |
| Austria and Germany | 18 |  |  |  | 0 | 02 | 000 |
| Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Composition of Household |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of households | $\begin{array}{r} 299 \\ 3.98 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 45 \\ 3.19 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 90 \\ \text { 3. } 90 \end{array}$ | 674.15 | $\begin{array}{r} 42 \\ 4.52 \end{array}$ | 3. 77 | 194.81 |
| Average number of persons in household |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of households with- Boarders and lodgers. | $\begin{array}{r} 35 \\ 3 \\ 8 \\ 10 \end{array}$ | 60110 | 92222 | 80311 | 4112 | 14 | 2001 |
| Boarders and lodgers. Boarders only |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lodgers only- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other persons. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average size of economic family in- | 3.841.30 | $3.05$ | 3. 72 | 4.07 | 4. 32 | 3. 63 |  |
| Persons, total...----.-- |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4.66 .56 |
| 16 years of age and over | 2.54 | 2. 2.74 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2. } 26 \\ & \text { 3. } 23 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 2. } 69 \\ \text { 3. } 66 \end{array}$ | 2.543.78 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.57 \\ & 3.40 \end{aligned}$ | 4. 104.51 |
| Expenditure units.....---....- | 3. 43 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average number of persons in household not members of economic family. | . 17 | . 16 | . 14 | . 15 | . 15 | . 27 | . 17 |

Table 5.-Description of families studied, by income level-Continued
NASHUA, CONCORD, AND BERLIN, N. H.-Continued

| Item | All families | Income level-Families with annual net income of- |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | \$500 | \$900 | \$1,200 | \$1,500 | \$1,800 | \$2, 100 |
|  |  | to | to | to | to | to | and |
|  |  | \$900 | \$1,200 | \$1,500 | \$1,800 | \$2, 100 | over |
| Earnings and Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Families in survey | 299 | 45 | 90 | 67 | 42 | 36 | 19 |
| Number of families having- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Earnings of subsidiary earners | 97 | 9 | 17 | 25 | 14 | 13 | 19 |
| Net earnings from boarders and lodgers | 43 | 6 | 14 | 10 | 5 | 6 | 2 |
| Other net rents. | 29 | 1 | 3 | 8 | 10 | 3 | 4 |
| Interest and dividends. | 27 | 2 | 8 | 7 | 4 | 5 | 1 |
| Pensions and insurance annuities | 12 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 0 |
| Gifts from persons outside economic family | 19 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 5 | 1 |
| Other sources of income | 9 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deflicit (net decrease in assets and/or increase in |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 83 | 25 | 21 | 20 | 7 | 6 | 4 |
| Inheritance.. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A verage number of gainful workers per family | 1. 42 | 1.24 | 1. 21 | 1.42 | 1.38 | 1.56 | 2. 63 |
| A verage amount of- | Dol. | Dol. | Dol. | Dol. | Dol. | Dol. | Dol. |
| Net family income. | 1,345 | 775 | 1,053 | 1,319 | 1,671 | 1,861 | 2, 465 |
| Earnings of individuals | 1,295 | 759 | 1, 020 | 1,263 | 1, 605 | 1,765 | 2, 400 |
| Chief earner. | 1, 126 | 748 | 962 | 1, 148 | 1, 410 | 1, 519 | 1,347 |
| Subsidiary earners | 169 | 11 | 58 | 115 | 195 | 246 | 1,053 |
| Males: 16 years and over | 1, 104 | 622 | 938 | 1, 096 | 1,419 | 1,533 | 1,551 |
| Under 16 years. | 1 | (1) | 2 | - 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Females: 16 years and over | 190 | 137 | 80 | 167 | 186 | 232 | 849 |
| Under 16 years. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Net earnings from boarders and lodgers | 22 | 7 | 22 | 24 | 19 | 36 | 33 |
| Other net rents.- | 10 | (1) | 2 | 10 | 30 | 9 | 26 |
| Interest and dividends. | 5 | 1 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 9 | 7 |
| Pensions and insurance annuities | 6 | 1 | 7 | 5 | 7 | 15 | 0 |
| Gifts from persons outside economic family | 4 | 7 | 1 | 6 | 4 | 9 | $\left.{ }^{1}\right)$ |
| Other sources of income | 6 | (1) | 2 | 9 | 7 | 19 | 0 |
| Deductions from income (business losses and expenses) | 3 | 0 | 9 | (1) | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| Surplus per family having surplus (net increase in assets and/or decrease in liabilities) | 152 | 76 | 78 | 144 | 166 | 245 | 383 |
| Deficit per family having deficit (net decrease in assets and/or increase in liabilities) | 156 | 166 | 115 | 109 | 184 | 298 | 288 |
| Net change in assets and liabilities for all families in survey. | +63 | -62 | +31 | +67 | +111 | +134 | +258 |
| Inheritance .- | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

${ }^{1}$ Less than $\$ 0.50$.

20098 $\because^{\circ} 41 \cdots-5$

Table 5.-Description of families studied, by income level-Continued
PORTSMOUTH, KEENE, DOVER, LACONIA, AND CLAREMONT, N. H.

| Item | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { fami- } \\ \text { lies } \end{gathered}$ | Income level-Families with annual net income of - |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 500 \\ & \text { to } \\ & \$ 900 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 900 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 1,200 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \$ 1,200 \\ 100 \\ 1,500 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \$ 1,500 \\ 10 \\ \$ 1,800 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1,800 \\ & \text { to } \\ & \$ 2,100 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 2,100 \\ & \text { and } \\ & \text { aver } \end{aligned}$ |
| Instribution by Occupation of Chief Earner and by Family Type ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 485 | 97 | 137 | 130 | 57 | 44 | 20 |
| Number oflfamilies in which chief earner isClerica worker | 79 | 10 | 18 | 15 | 11 | 18 | 7 |
| Skilled wage earner | 129 | 21 | 33 | 42 | 10 | 17 | 6 |
| Semiskilled wage earner | 240 | 61 | 73 | 64 | 32 | 6 | 4 |
| Unskilled wage earner | 37 | 5 | 13 | 9 | 4 | 3 | 3 |
| Number of families composed of- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Man and wife | 123 | 33 | 38 | 34 | 6 | 10 | 2 |
| Man, wife, and 1 child | 80 | 18 | 24 | 22 | 9 | 7 | 0 |
| Man, wife, and 2 to 4 children | 97 | 18 | 35 | 23 | 11 | 9 | 1 |
| Man, wife, and 5 or more children-------.---- | 8 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Man, wife, and children and adults ( 4 to 6 persons) | 46 | 5 | 14 | 13 | 8 | 3 | 3 |
| Man, wife, and children and adults ( 7 or more persons) | 18 | 2 | 1 | 2 | ${ }^{6}$ | 4 | 3 |
| Man, wife, and 1 adult | 35 | 0 | 10 | 18 | 3 | 3 | 1 |
| Man, wife, and 2 to 4 adults | 24 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 6 |
| Man, wife, and 5 or more adults | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Adults (2 or 3 persons, not including man and wife) | 33 | 15 | 7 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 2 |
| Adults (4 or more persons, not including man and wife) | 6 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Adult or adults and children ( 2 or 3 persons, not including man and wife) | 6 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Adult or adults and children (4 or more persons, not including man and wife) | 7 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| Distribution by Nativity of Homemaker |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of families having no homemaker | 5 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Number of families having homemaker born in- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| United States. | 362 | 69 | 101 | 105 | 42 | 37 0 | 8 |
| Poland | 5 | 2 | 1 | 1 | ${ }_{1}^{2}$ | 0 | 0 |
| Canada (French) | 42 | 15 | 7 | , | 5 | 2 | 4 |
| Canada (not French) | 28 | 4 | 12 | 5 | 1 | 4 | 2 |
| England | 5 | 0 | , | , | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Ireland. | 15 | ${ }_{2}^{2}$ | 4 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 2 |
| Norway and Sweden | 3 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Austria and Germany | 4 8 | $\frac{1}{1}$ | 3 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 1 | 0 3 |
| Composition of Household |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of households. | 485 | 97 | 137 | 130 | 57 | 44 | 20 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boarders and lodgers. | 70 | 13 | 15 | 22 | 16 | 2 | 2 |
| Boarders only | 13 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 1 |
| Lodgers only- | 19 | ${ }^{6}$ | 4 | 7 | ${ }_{6}$ | 0 | 1 |
| Other persons | 40 | 10 | 14 | 7 | 6 | 2 | 1 |
| A verage size of economic family in- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Persons, total. | 3.54 | 3.01 | ${ }^{3.33}$ | 3. 56 | 4. 21 | 4.00 | 4. 34 |
| Under 16 years of age | 1.08 | 84 | 1. 07 | 1. 10 | 1. 49 | 1.14 | . 85 |
| 16 years of age and over | 2.46 | 2. 17 | 2. 26 | 2. 46 | 2. 72 | 2. 86 | 3. 50 |
|  | 3.29 | 2. 77 | 3.10 | 3.31 | 3.91 | 3.70 | 4.32 |
| Average number of persons in household not members of economic family -- | . 28 | . 26 | . 21 | . 35 | . 42 | . 17 | 27 |

'"Children" are defined as persons under 16 years of age; "adults" are persons 16 years of age and over.

Table 5.--Description of families studied, by income level- Continued POR'TSMOUTH, KEENE, DOVER, LACONIA, ANI, CLAREMONT, N. H.-Continued


[^11]Table 5.-Description of families studied, by income level-Continued
LITTLETON AND CONWAY, N. H.

| Item | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { fami- } \\ \text { lies } \end{gathered}$ | Income level-Families with annual net income of- |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \$ 500 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 900 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 900 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 1,200 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 1,200 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 1,500 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 1,500 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 1,800 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1,800 \\ & \text { and } \\ & \text { over } \end{aligned}$ |
| Distribution by Occupation of Chief Earner and by Family Type ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Families in survey | 197 | 47 | 64 | 47 | 25 | 14 |
| Number of families in which chief earner is-- |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Clerical worker | 34 | 5 | 7 | 13 | 2 | 7 |
| Skilled wage earner | 63 | 7 | 20 | 19 | 13 | 4 |
| Semiskilled wage earner | 91 | 33 | 31 | 14 | 10 | 3 |
| Unskilled wage earner | 9 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Number of families composed of- |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Man and wife | 55 | 17 | 20 | 8 | 5 | 5 |
| Man, wife, and 1 child | 34 | 12 | 8 | 8 | 4 | 2 |
| Man, wife, and 2 to 4 children | 38 | 5 | 15 | 9 | 9 | 0 |
| Man, wife, and 5 or more children. | 7 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Man, wife, and children and adults (4 to 6 persons) . | 20 | 4 | 5 | 9 | 0 | 2 |
| Man, wife, and children and adults (7 or more persons) - | 9 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 3 |
| Man, wife, and 1 adult ------- | 13 | 2 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 0 |
| Man, wife, and 2 to 4 adults. | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Man, wife, and 5 or more adults. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Adults (2 or 3 persons, not including man and wife) | 11 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 0 |
| Adults (4 or more persons, not including man and wife) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Adult or adults and children ( 2 or 3 persons, not including man and wife) | 5 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Adult or adults and children ( 4 or more persons, not including man and wife) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Distribution by Nativity of Homemaker |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of families having no homemaker. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Number of families having homemaker born in- |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| United States. | 173 | 39 | 56 | 42 | 24 | 12 |
| Canada (not French) | 18 | 6 | 6 | 4 | 1 | 1 |
| England. | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ireland | 1. | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 4 | 2 | 0 | , | 0 | 1 |
| Composition of Household |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of households | 197 | 47 | 64 | 47 | 25 | 14 |
| Average number of persons in household | 3. 77 | 3. 00 | 3.81 | 4. 10 | 4. 27 | 4. 16 |
| Number of households with- |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boarders and lodgers... | 22 | 7 | 7 | 5 | 2 | 1 |
| Boarders only ...-.- | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Lodgers only. | 8 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Other persons | 13 |  | 3 | 4 | 3 | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Persons, total | 3.62 | 2.83 | 3.63 | 4.03 | 4. 14 | 3. 85 |
| Under 16 years of age | 1.27 | . 67 | 1. 39 | 1.54 | 1.73 | . 95 |
| 16 years of age and over | 2. 35 | 2.16 | 2. 24 | 2. 49 | 2.41 | 2. 90 |
|  | 3. 30 | 2.61 | 3. 26 | 3. 70 | 3.76 | 3. 68 |
| A verage number of persons in household not members of economic family. | 16 | 17 | 18 | . 08 | . 14 | . 38 |

1 "Children" are defined as persons under 16 years of age; "adults" are persons 16 years of age and over.

Table 5.-Description of families studied, by income level-Continued
LITTLETON AND CONWAY, N. H.-Continued

| Item | $\underset{\substack{\text { fami- } \\ \text { lies }}}{\text { All }}$ | Income level-Families with annual net income of- |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \$ 500 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 900 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 900 \\ \$ 1,200 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 1,200 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 1,500 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1,500 \\ & \text { to } \\ & \$ 1,800 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1,800 \\ & \text { and } \\ & \text { over } \end{aligned}$ |
| Earnings and Incone |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Families in survey | 197 | 47 | 64 | 47 | 25 | 14 |
| Number of families having-- |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Earnings of subsidiary earners. | 68 | 11 | 20 | 19 | 10 | 8 |
| Net earnings from boarders and lodgers | 29 | 8 | 11 | 4 | 2 | 4 |
| Other net rents. | 10 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 0 |
| Interest and dividends | 10 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Pensions and insurance annuities | 6 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 |  |
| Gifts from persons outside economic family | 19 | 5 | 4 | 6 | 3 | 1 |
| Other sources of income......... | 16 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 0 |
| Deductions from income (business losses and expenses). | 16 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 4 |
| Surplus (net increase in assets and/or decrease in liabilities) | 123 | 18 | 42 | 31 | 22 | 10 |
| Deficit (net decrease in assets and/or increase in liabilities) | 64 | 24 | 18 | 14 | 5 | 3 |
| Inheritance- | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Average number of gainful workers per family | 1.40 | 1.30 | 1.38 | 1.43 | 1. 44 | 1.71 |
| Average amount of- |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net family income. | \$1, 190 | \$762 | \$1,042 | \$1,326 | \$1,721 | \$1,894 |
| Earnings of individuals | 1,140 | 737 | 1,004 | 1,266 | 1,643 | 1,793 |
| Chief earner | 1, 031 | 704 | 941 | 1, 145 | 1,469 | 1,378 |
| Subsidiary earners | 109 | 33 | 63 | 121 | 174 | 415 |
| Males: 16 years and over | 1,004 | 645 | 904 | 1,091 | 1,481 | 1,525 |
| Under 16 years. | 2 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 7 |
| Females: 16 years and over | 134 | 92 | 99 | 171 | 162 | 261 |
| Under 16 years. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Net earnings from boarders and lodgers. | 23 | 17 | 28 | 13 | 9 | 78 |
| Other net rents | 4 | 8 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| Interest and dividends. | 3 | (1) | 5 | (1) | 1 | 23 |
| Pensions and insurance annuities | 10 | 0 | 4 | 23 | 21 | 4 |
| Gifts from persons outside economic family | 4 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 12 | 4 |
| Other sources of income .-..-.-.-. - | 9 | (1) | 3 | 16 | 36 | 0 |
| Deductions from income (business losses and expenses) | 3 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 5 | 8 |
| Surplus per family having surplus (net increase in assets and/or decrease in liabilities) | 134 | 57 | 92 | 154 | 179 | 284 |
| Deficit per family having deficit (net decrease in assets and/or increase in liabilities) | 171 | 157 | 139 | 132 | 171 | 649 |
| Net change in assets and liabilities for all families in survey | +28 | -58 | +21 | +62 | +123 | +63 |
| Inheritance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

[^12]Table 5.-Description of families studied, by income level-Conti
marquette, mich.; modesto, calif.; and reno, nev.

| Item | Marquette |  |  | Modesto |  |  | Reno |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Income level-Families with annual net income of- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Un- } \\ \text { der } \\ \$ 1,200 \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} \$ 1,200 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 1,500 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\$ 1,500$ and over | $\begin{gathered} \text { Un- } \\ \text { der } \\ \$ 1,200 \end{gathered}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \$ 1,200 \\ & \text { to } \\ & \$ 1,500 \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 1,500 \\ \text { and } \\ \text { over } \end{gathered}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Un- } \\ \text { der } \\ \$ 1,200 \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \$ 1,200 \\ & \text { to } \\ & \$ 1,500 \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1,500 \\ & \text { and } \\ & \text { over } \end{aligned}$ |
| Distribution by Occupation of Chief Earner and by Family Type ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Families in survey | 67 | 39 | 42 | 49 | 35 | 67 | 22 | 40 | 87 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled wage earner | 20 | 13 | 20 | 11 | 7 | 22 | 3 | 7 | 20 |
| Semiskilled wage earner | 25 | 10 | 12 | 16 | 14 | 19 | 10 | 17 | 28 |
| Unskilled wage earner.. | 17 | 6 | 2 | 13 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Number of families composed of- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Man and wife....-.-............ | 12 | 3 | 6 | 15 | 7 | 18 | 5 | 9 | 26 |
| Man, wife, and 1 child | 11 | 10 | 3 | 14 | 7 | 10 | 5 | 9 | 23 |
| Man, wife, and 2 to 4 children | 15 | 11 | 11 | 8 | 7 | 10 | 2 | 9 | 12 |
| Man, wife, and 5 or more children | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Man, wife, and children, and adults (4 to 6 persons) | 11 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 6 | 14 | 1 | 3 | 11 |
| Man, wife, and children and adults ( 7 or more persons) | 2 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Man, wife, and 1 adult | 8 | 2 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 6 |
| Man, wife, and 2 to 4 adults. | 4 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| $\left.\begin{array}{ll\|l\|l\|l\|l\|l\|l\|l\|l\|l\|l}M a n, \text { wife, and } 5 \text { or more adults } & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Adults ( 2 or 3 persons, not including man and wife) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 6 | 3 |
| Adults (4 or more persons, not including man and wife) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Adult or adults and children (2 or 3 persons, not including man and wife) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Adult or adults and children (4 or more persons, not including man and wife) | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| Distribution by Nativity of Homemaker |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of families having no homemaker.... Number of families having homemaker born in- | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| United States.---.... | 50 | 34 | 35 | 48 | 33 | 63 | 17 | 29 | 74 |
| Italy | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 6 |
| Germany and Austr | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Russia-- | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Canada (not French) | 2 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| England. | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Ireland. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Sweden and Norway | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Other. | 8 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| Composition of Household |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of households. | 67 | 39 | 42 | 49 | 35 | 67 | 22 | 40 | 87 |
| A verage number of persons in household. | 4.09 | 4.35 | 4.08 | 3.01 | 3. 64 | 3.60 | 3. 12 | 3. 28 | 3.36 |
| Number of households with- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boarders and lodgers | 8 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 7 | 7 | 1 | 2 | 14 |
| Boarders only....- | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lodgers only- | 1 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| Other persons | 3 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| A verage size of economic family in- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Persons, total...- | 3.98 | 4.21 | 3.99 | 2. 98 | 3.50 | 3.48 | 2.98 | 3.24 | 3.23 |
| Under 16 years of age. | 1.45 | 1.51 | 1. 14 | . 82 | 1.07 | . 81 | . 64 | . 92 | . 90 |
| 16 years of age and over | 2. 53 | 2. 70 | 2.85 | 2. 16 | 2.43 | 2. 67 | 2.34 | 2.32 | 2.33 |
|  | 3. 51 | 3.72 | 3. 60 | 2.72 | 3.18 | 3. 24 | 2. 74 | 2. 97 | 3.00 |
| A verage number of persons in household not members of economic family | . 15 | . 26 | . 32 | . 06 | . 19 | . 20 | . 15 | . 05 | . 16 |

1 "Children" are defined as persons under 16 years of age; "adults" are persons 16 years of age and over.

Table 5.-Description of families studied, by income level-Continued
MARQUETTE, MICH.; MODESTO, CALIF.; AND RENO, NEV.-Continued


[^13]Table 6.-Expenditures for groups of items, by income level
Nashua, CONCORD, aND berlin, N. H.

| Item | All families | Income level-Families with annual net income of- |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | \$ $\$ 500$ to | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 900 \text { to } \\ & \$ 1,2000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 1,200 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 1,500 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 1,500 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 1,800 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1,800 \\ & \text { to } \\ & \$ 2,100 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 2,100 \\ \text { and } \\ \text { over } \end{gathered}$ |
| Expenditures for Groups of Items |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Families in survey. | 299 | 45 | 90 | 67 | 42 | 36 | 19 |
| Average family size: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Persons | 3.84 | 3.05 | 3. 72 | 4. 07 | 4. 32 | 3. 63 | 4.66 4.51 |
| Expenditure units | 3. 43 | 2. 74 | 3. 23 | 3. 66 | 3. 78 | 3. 40 | 4. 51 |
| Food expenditure units | 3. 30 | 2. 59 | 3. 10 | 3. 54 | 3.66 | 3.31 | 4.37 |
| Clothing expenditure units | 2.92 | 2. 27 | 2. 64 | 3.12 | 3.19 | 2. 92 | 4.48 |
| Average annual expenditure for-- <br> All items | \$1, 289 | \$847 | \$1,034 | \$1, 252 | \$1, 559 | \$1,740 | \$2, 189 |
| Food | 436 | 309 | 379 | + 436 | - 524 | 511 | 666 |
| Clothing | 142 | 69 | 107 | 133 | 173 | 183 | 359 |
| Housing | 197 | 155 | 169 | 187 | 223 | 281 | 255 |
| Fuel, light, and refrigeration | 131 | 93 | 109 | 142 | 154 | 168 | 158 |
| Other household operation | 50 | 28 | 36 | 46 | 67 | 80 | 83 |
| Furnishings and equipment--------------1- | 41 | 28 | 36 | 29 | 58 | 51 | 86 |
| Automobile and motorcycle-purchase, operation, and maintenance | 73 | 11 | 39 | 59 | 97 | 172 | 160 |
| Other transportation--------------------- | 8 | 7 | 4 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 14 |
| Personal care. | 26 | 14 | 22 | 27 | 28 | 34 | 46 |
| Medical care | 53 | 66 | 36 | 61 | 59 | 48 | 73 |
| Recreation. | 72 | 38 | 57 | 73 | 92 | 93 | 131 |
| Education | 5 | (1) | 1 | 1 | 7 | 23 | 4 |
| Vocation | 4 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 7 | 4 |
| Community welfare | 27 | 22 | 22 | 26 | 29 | 34 | 46 |
| Gifts and contributions to persons outside the economic family | 21 | 3 | 9 | 16 | 29 | 41 | 84 |
|  | 5 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 20 |
| Percentage of total annual current expenditure for- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All items. | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100. 0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Food. | 33.8 | 36.5 | 36.7 | 34.8 | 33.6 | 29.4 | 30.4 |
| Clothing | 11.0 | 8.1 | 10.3 | 10.6 | 11.1 | 10.5 | 16.4 |
| Housing | 15.3 | 18.3 | 16.3 | 14.9 | 14.3 | 16.1 | 11.7 |
| Fuel, light, and refrigeration | 10. 2 | 10.9 | 10.5 | 11.3 | 9.9 | 9.6 | 7.2 |
| Other household operation | 3.9 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.8 | 4.3 | 4.6 | 3.8 |
| Furnishings and equipment----.-.------- | 3.2 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 2.3 | 3.7 | 2.9 | 3.9 |
| Automobile and motorcycle--purchase, operation, and maintenance. | 5.5 | 1.3 | 3.8 | 4.7 | 6.2 | 9.9 | 7.3 |
| Other transportation.-...-. | . 6 | . 8 | . 4 | . 7 | . 6 | . 6 | . 7 |
| Personal care. | 2.0 | 1.7 | 2.1 | 2.2 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 2.1 |
| Medical care | 4.1 | 7.8 | 3.5 | 4.9 | 3.8 | 2.8 | 3.3 |
| Recreation | 5.6 | 4.5 | 5.5 | 5.8 | 5.9 | 5.3 | 6.0 |
| Education | . 4 | (2) | . 1 | . 1 | . 4 | 1.3 | . 2 |
| Vocation | . 3 | . 1 | . 3 | .3 | . 3 | . 4 | 2 |
| Community welfare | 2.1 | 2.6 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 2.1 |
| Gifts and contributions to persons outside the economic family | 1.6 | . 4 | . 9 | 1.3 | 1.9 | 2.4 | 3.8 |
|  | . 4 | .4 | . 5 | . 2 | . 3 | . 2 | . 9 |

${ }^{2}$ Less than 0.05 percent.

Table 6.-Expenditures for groups of items, by income level-Continued
PORTSMOUTH, KEENE, DOVER, LACONIA, AND CLAREMONT, N. H.

| Item | $\begin{aligned} & \text { All } \\ & \text { fami- } \\ & \text { lies } \end{aligned}$ | Income level-Families with annual netincome of |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\$ 500 \text { to }$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \$ 900 \\ \$ 1,200 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1,200 \\ & \text { to } \\ & \$ 1,500 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1,500 \\ & \mathbf{t 0 0} \\ & \$ 1,80 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1,800 \\ & \text { to } \\ & \$ 2,100 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 2,100 \\ \text { and } \\ \text { over } \end{gathered}$ |
| Expenditures for Groups of Items |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Families in survey- | 485 | 97 | 137 | 130 | 57 | 44 | 20 |
| A verage family size: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Expenditure units | 3.54 3.29 | 3.77 2.78 | 3.33 3.10 | 3.56 3.31 | 4.21 3.91 | 4. 30 | 4.34 4.32 |
| Food expenditure units | 3.08 | 2.58 | 2.90 | 3.11 | 3.69 | 3.53 | 4.06 |
| Clothing expenditure units | 2.74 | 2.23 | 2.60 | 2.71 | 3.33 | 3.11 | 3.93 |
| A verage annual expenditure forAll items. | \$1, 251 | \$839 | \$1,014 | \$1,299 | \$1,619 | \$1,815 | \$2, 239 |
| Food. | 426 | 308 | 373 | 435 | 542 |  |  |
| Clothing | 133 | 64 | 95 | 139 | 196 | 229 | 298 |
| Housing | 186 | 154 | 160 | 201 | 218 | 252 | 197 |
| Fuel, light, and refrigeration | 138 | 101 | . 116 | 146 | 171 | 190 | 199 |
|  | 49 | 31 | 38 | 51 | 54 | 88 | 91 |
| Furnishings and equipment ---....-.-.-- | 41 | 15 | 32 | 40 | 64 | 76 | 82 |
| Automobile and motorcycle-purchase, operation, and maintenance. | 74 | 40 | 41 | 75 | 109 | 113 | 266 |
| Other transportation---------.............. | 5 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 6 | 3 | 12 |
|  | 25 | 14 | 21 | 26 | 31 | 37 | 51 |
| Medical care. | 45 | 30 | 34 | 49 | 67 | 59 | 77 |
| Recreation. | 72 | 42 | 58 | 71 | - 96 | 108 | 167 |
| Education | 4 | 2 | 3 | ${ }^{6}$ |  | 8 | 7 |
| Vocation | 2 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 5 |
| Community welfare | 22 | 16 | 19 | 23 | 27 | 27 | 45 |
| Gifts and contributions to persons outside the economic family | 23 | 11 |  | 21 | 26 |  | 81 |
| Other items.. | 6 | 5 | 5 | 8 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Percentage of total annual current expenditure for- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All items. | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Food. | 34.0 | 36.7 | 36.7 | 33.4 | 33.4 | 31.3 | 29.2 |
| Clothing | 10.6 | 7.6 | 9.4 | 10.7 | 12.1 | 12.6 | 13.3 |
| Housing | 14.9 | 18.4 | 15.8 | 15.5 | 13.5 | 13.9 | 8.8 |
| Fuel, light, and refrigeration | 11.0 | 12.0 | 11.4 | 11.2 | 10.6 | 10.5 | 8.9 |
| Other household operation | 3.9 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.9 | 3.3 | 4.8 | 4.1 |
| Furnishings and equipment. | 3.3 | 1.8 | 3.2 | 3.1 | 4.0 | 4.2 | 3.7 |
| Automobile and motorcycle--purchase, operation, and maintenance. | 5.9 | 4.8 | 4.0 | 5.8 | 6.7 | ${ }_{2}^{2}$ | 11.9 |
| Other transportation. | . 4 | 5 | 3 | , | 4 | , |  |
| Personal care | 2.0 | 1.7 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 2.3 |
| Medical care. | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 3.8 | 4.1 | 3.3 | 3.4 |
| Recreation. | 5.8 | 5.0 | 5.7 | 5.5 | 5.9 | 6.0 | 7.5 |
| Education- | . 3 | 2 | . 3 | 5 | 2 | . 4 | 3 |
| Vocation. | 2 | 2 | . 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Community welfare. | 1.8 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 2.0 |
| Gifts and contributions to persons outside the economic family | 1.8 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 2.6 | 3.6 |
| Other items............ | . 5 | .6 | . 5 | . 6 | . 4 | . 3 | . |

Table 6.-Expenditures for groups of items, by income level-Continued
LITTLETON AND CONWAY, N. H.

| Item | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { fami- } \\ \text { lies } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Income level-Families with annual net } \\ & \text { income of- } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\$ \$ 900 \text { to }$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 900 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 1,200 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 1,200 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 1,500 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1,500 \\ & \text { to } \\ & \$ 1,800 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 1,800 \\ \text { and } \\ \text { over } \end{gathered}$ |
| Expenditures for Groups of ltems | 197 | 47 | 64 | 47 | 25 | 14 |
| Families in survey |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A verage family size: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Persons.- | 3. 62 | 2.83 | 3. 63 | 4. 03 | 4. 14 | ${ }^{3.85}$ |
| Expenditure units. | 3.30 | 2.61 | 3. 26 | 3. 70 | 3.76 | 3. 68 |
| Food expenditure units. | 3. 13 <br> 2. 71 | 2.46 2.11 | 3.10 2.61 | 3.48 3.07 | 3.62 3.09 | 3.52 3.36 |
| A verage annual expenditure for- | \$1, 159 | $\$ 821$297 | $\begin{array}{r}\$ 1,027 \\ \hline 358\end{array}$ | \$1, 255 | \$1, 585 | $\$ 1,820$536 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Food |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Clothing | 115 | 61 | 93 | 145 | 147 | 240 |
| Housing | $\begin{aligned} & 144 \\ & 122 \end{aligned}$ | 131 | 134 | 140 | 166 | 206 |
| Fuel, light, and refrigeration |  | 105 | 108 | 137 | 148 | 153 |
| Other household operation- | 1224451 | 28 | 44 | 47 <br> 54 | 55 | 65 |
| Furnishings and equipment--.-.-. |  | 31 | 43 | 102 | 68 | 115 |
| Automobile and motorcycle-purchase, operation, and maintenance | 51 90 | 36 | 64 |  | 192 | 173 |
|  | 3232352632420 | ${ }_{1}^{15}$ | 421 | 52626 | $\stackrel{2}{28}$ | 437 |
| Personal care |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Medical care |  | 34 | 52 | 56 | 69 | 37 62 |
| Recreation. |  | 401 | 56 | 63 3 | $\begin{array}{r}99 \\ 6 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 114 |
| Education. |  |  | 1 | 3620 |  |  |
| Vocation. |  | ${ }^{(1)} 15$ | 417 |  | 27 | 941 |
| Community welfare. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gifts and contributions to persons outside the economic family | ${ }_{14}^{20}$ | 1016 | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 13 \end{aligned}$ | 1614 | $\begin{aligned} & 39 \\ & 11 \end{aligned}$ | 4912 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percentage of total annual current expenditure for- | ${ }^{100.0}$ | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Food... | 33.8 | 36.2 | 34.8 | 33.5 | 32.8 | 29.4 |
| Clothing | 9.912.4 | 7.416.0 | 9.013.0 | 11.611.2 | 9.31010 | 13.211.3 |
| Housing. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fuel, light, and refrigeration | $\begin{array}{r}10.5 \\ \text { 3. } \\ \text { 3 } \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 12.83.43.8 | $\begin{array}{r} 10.5 \\ 4.3 \end{array}$ | 10.93.7 | 9.3 | 11.3 8.4 |
| Other household operation |  |  |  |  | 4.3 | 6.3 |
| Furnishings and equipment- | 4.4 | 3.8 | 4.2 | 4.3 |  |  |
| Automobile and motorcycle-purchase, operation, and maintenance | 7.8 | 4.4 | 6.2 | 8.1 | 12. 1 | 9.5.2 |
| Other transportation. | . 3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Personal care | 2.0 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 2. 1.1 | 1.8 | 2. 0 |
| Medical care |  | 4.1 | 5. 1 |  | 4.3 |  |
| Recreation. | 5.4.2 | 4.9 | 5.5.1 | 5.0. | 6.2.4 | 6.3.2 |
| Education. |  | ${ }_{\text {(2) }} .1$ |  |  |  |  |
| Vocation---.-.-.- | .4 1.7 | ${ }_{1}{ }_{1} 18$ | 1.4 | $\xrightarrow{.5}$ | + 5 | . 5 |
| Gifts and contributions to persons outside the economic family |  | 1.2 <br> 2.0 | 1.51.3 | 1.3 | 2.5.7 | 2.7.7 |
| Other items...-........ | 1.7 1.2 |  |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ Less than $\$ 0.50$.
${ }^{2}$ Less than 0.05 percent.

Table 6.-Expenditures for groups of items, by income level-Continued
MARQUETTE, MICH.; MODESTO, CALIF.; AND RENO, NEV.

| Item | Marquette |  |  | Modesto |  |  | Reno |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Income level-families with annual net income of- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \$ 500 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 1,200 \end{gathered}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \$ 1,200 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 1,500 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1,500 \\ & \text { and } \\ & \text { over } \end{aligned}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \$ 500 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 1,200 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 1,200 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 1,500 \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 1,500$ and over | $\begin{gathered} \$ 500 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 1,200 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1,200 \\ & \text { to } \\ & \$ 1,500 \end{aligned}$ | $\$ 1,500$ and over |
| Expenditures for Group of Items |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Families in survey <br> A verage family size: | 67 | 39 | 42 | 49 | 35 | 67 | 22 | 40 | 87 |
| Persons |  |  |  | 2. 99 | 3. 50 | 3. 48 |  |  |  |
| Expenditure units | 3.51 | 3.72 | 3.60 | 2.72 | 3. 18 | 3.25 | 2.73 | 2.97 | 3.00 |
| Average annual current expenditure for- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Food. | 392 | +1,24 | +1, 608 | -1, 344 | +1, 435 | +1,840 | 344 | +1,382 | $\$ 1,780$ 499 |
| Clothing | 104 | 140 | 228 | 119 | 143 | 216 | 112 | 148 | 198 |
| Housing | 114 | 182 | 200 | 170 | 159 | 229 | 142 | 232 | 258 |
| Household operation including fuel, light, and refrigeration | 119 | 157 | 200 | 110 | 145 | 189 | 148 | 174 | 216 |
| Furnishings and equipment.- | 37 | 28 | 88 | 44 | 59 | 94 | 33 | 38 | 79 |
| Transportation.....-.-....-. | 39 | 52 | 113 | 121 | 123 | 235 | 75 | 118 | 205 |
| Personal care--...--...-.....-- | 19 | 28 | 39 | 26 | 27 | 48 | 19 | 32 | 38 |
| Medical care. | 39 | 61 | 70 | 31 | 64 | 107 | 42 | 58 | 113 |
| Recreation. | 37 | 58 | 90 | 62 | 77 | 105 | 46 | 74 | 95 |
| Education | 9 | 8 | 11 | 3 | 8 | 14 | 1 | 3 | 2 |
| Vocation. | 2 | 3 | 11 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 7 |
| Community welfare -------- | 11 | 16 | 22 | 11 | 11 | 15 | 5 | 11 | 10 |
| Gifts and contributions.---- | 10 | 24 | 37 | 18 | 33 | 51 | 14 | 46 | 51 |
| Other items | 10 | 5 | 30 | 17 | 10 | 10 | 2 | 8 | 9 |
| Percentage of total annual current expenditures for- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Food | 41.7 | 37.3 | 34.9 | 31.9 | 33.6 | 28.3 | 34.8 | 31.3 | 28.1 |
| Clothing | 11.0 | 11. 5 | 13.1 | 11.0 | 11.1 | 11.7 | 11.3 | 10.7 | 11.1 |
| Housing | 12.1 | 15.0 | 11.4 | 15.8 | 12. 2 | 12.5 | 14.4 | 16.8 | 14.5 |
| Household operation including fuel, light, and refrigeration. | 12.6 | 12.9 | 11.4 | 10.2 | 11.2 | 10.2 | 15.0 | 12.6 | 12.1 |
| Furnishings and equipment.- | 3.9 | 2.3 | 5.0 | 4.1 | 4.5 | 5.1 | 3.3 | 2.7 | 4.4 |
| Transportation ...----------- | 4.1 | 4. 3 | 6.5 | 11.2 | 9.5 | 12.8 | 7.6 | 8.5 | 11.5 |
| Personal care | 2.0 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 2. 1 | 2. 6 | 1.9 | 2.3 | 2.1 |
| Medical care | 4.1 | 5.0 | 4.0 | 2. 9 | 5. 0 | 5.8 | 4.2 | 4.2 | 6.3 |
| Recreation | 3.9 | 4.8 | 5.2 | 5.8 | 5.9 | 5.7 | 4.6 | 5. 4 | 5.3 |
| Education | 1.0 | . 7 | : 6 | . 3 | . 7 | . 8 | . 1 | . 2 | . 2 |
| Vocation | . 2 | . 2 | . 6 | . 1 | . 2 | . 4 | . 7 | . 6 | . 4 |
| Community welfare | 1.2 | 1. 3 | 1. 3 | 1.0 | . 8 | . 8 | . 5 | . 8 | . 6 |
| Gifts and contributions | 1.1 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 1.7 | 2. 5 | 2.8 | 1.4 | 3.3 | 2.9 |
| Other items.. | 1. I | . 4 | 1.7 | 1.6 | . 7 | . 5 | . 2 | . 6 | . 5 |

Table 7.-Food used at home and purchased for consumption at home during 1 week in fall and winter quarters, by economic level

10 NEW HAMPSHIRE CITIES

| Item |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { families } \end{gathered}$ | Economic level-Families spending per expenditure unit per year- |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Under | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 300 \\ & \text { to } \\ & \$ 400 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 400 \\ & \text { to } \\ & \$ 500 \end{aligned}$ | $\$ 500$ and over |
| Number of families surveyed in fall and winter quarters A verage number of equivalent full-time persons ${ }^{1}$ per family in 1 week. <br> Average number of food expenditure units ${ }^{2}$ per family in 1 week. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 79 | 25 | 226 | 251 |
|  |  |  |  |  | $3.87$ |  |  | 1 | 3.24 | 2.63 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 3.44 |  |  | 3 | 2.88 | 2.52 |
| Item ${ }^{\text {- }}$ | Number of families using in 1 week |  |  |  |  | Average quantity purchased per person ${ }^{1}$ in 1 week |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { All } \\ & \text { fam- } \\ & \text { illies } \end{aligned}$ | Economic level-Famiilies spending per expenditure unit per year |  |  |  | All <br> families | Economic level--Families spending per expenditure unit per year |  |  |  |
|  |  | Under $\$ 300$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 300 \\ & \text { to } \\ & \$ 400 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 400 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 500 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 500 \\ & \text { and } \end{aligned}$ over |  | Under $\$ 300$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 300 \\ & \text { to } \\ & \$ 400 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 400 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 500 \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 500$ and over |
| Food Used at Home and Purchased for Consumption at Home in 1 Week |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grain products, total |  | No. | No. | No. | No. | 4.113 | 3.794 | 4.484 | 4.058 | 4.426 |
| Bread and other baked goods, total |  |  |  |  |  | 2. 461 | 2. 193 | 2.566 | 2.590 | 2. 800 |
| Bread: White....-------------- | 896 | 244 | 210 | 204 | 238 | 1.910 | 1.773 | 2.027 | 2.020 | 1.945 |
| Graham, whole-wheat. | 91 | 25 | 17 | 25 | 24 | . 066 | . 059 | . 045 | . 076 | . 098 |
| Rye.------.---------- | 54 | 20 | 11 | 8 | 15 | . 053 | . 074 | . 037 | . 032 | . 049 |
| Crackers | 456 | 131 | 113 | 101 | 111 | . 150 | . 115 | . 176 | . 163 | . 185 |
| Plain rolls | 60 | 11 | 9 | 16 | 24 | . 020 | . 008 | . 016 | . 023 | . 048 |
| Sweet rolls | 28 | 7 | 2 | 8 | 11 | . 008 | . 007 | . 003 | . 009 | . 018 |
| Cookies | 292 | 74 | 66 | 66 | 86 | . 107 | . 084 | . 105 | . 118 | . 152 |
| Cakes | 189 | 42 | 38 | 45 | 64 | . 081 | . 042 | . 097 | . 068 | . 166 |
| Pies | 73 | 10 | 14 | 22 | 27 | . 036 | . 010 | . 029 | . 052 | . 087 |
| Other .-...... |  |  |  |  |  | . 030 | . 021 | . 031 | . 029 | . 052 |
| Ready-to-eat cereals | 389 | 120 | 97 | 72 | 100 | . 088 | . 085 | . 079 | . 078 | . 117 |
| Flour and other cereals, total Flour: White | 719 | 219 | 161 | 157 | 182 | 1.564 1.054 | 1.516 1.039 | 1.839 1.269 | 1.390 .885 | 1.509 .993 |
| Four. Graham | 30 | 8 | 16 8 | 15 | 4 | 1.014 | 1.020 .020 | . 015 | . 014 | . 002 |
| Other | 23 | 6 | 8 | 1 | 8 | . 021 | . 009 | . 044 | . 004 | . 039 |
| Corn meal. | 115 | 36 | 24 | 28 | 27 | . 033 | . 029 | . 029 | . 048 | . 029 |
| Hominy | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | . 001 | 0 | . 005 | 0 | 0 |
| Cornstarch | 155 | 49 | 36 | 30 | 40 | . 017 | . 013 | . 019 | . 018 | . 023 |
| Rice. | 297 | 100 | 71 | 60 | 66 | . 068 | . 071 | . 078 | . 056 | . 061 |
| Rolled oats. | 394 | 138 | 94 | 81 | 81 | . 164 | . 143 | . 177 | . 180 | . 178 |
| Wheat cereal | 152 | 14 | 43 | 35 | 30 | . 045 | . 035 | . 062 | . 046 | . 047 |
| Tapioca | 99 | 33 | 23 | 19 | 24 | . 012 | . 013 | . 009 | . 013 | . 012 |
| Sago---- | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Macaroni, spaghetti, noodles. | 376 | 123 | 102 | 77 | 74 | . 134 | . 144 | . 132 | . 126 | . 120 |
| Other grain products | ${ }^{2}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\stackrel{2}{6}$ | . 001 | 0 | 0 | 0 | . 005 |
| Eggs | 931 | 266 | 212 | 207 | 246 | . 560 | . 434 | . 537 | -631 | . 800 |
| Milk, cheese, ice cream, total |  |  |  |  |  | 5. 514 | 4. 697 | 6. 240 | 5. 634 | 6. 296 |
| Milk: Fresh, whole--bottled. | 929 6 | 262 | 219 | 211 | 237 | 5. 120 | 4.301 | 5. 904 | 5. 140 | 5.942 |
| loose <br> skimmed | 6 7 | 3 1 | 2 1 | 1 4 | 0 1 | . 035 | .059 .006 | $0^{.040}$ | .012 .102 | ${ }^{0} .008$ |
| buttermilk and other | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 3 | 1 | .024 .004 | ${ }^{0}$ | 0 | .102 .017 | .008 .002 |
| Skimmed, dried | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Evaporated and condensed | 269 | 97 | 66 | 58 | 48 | . 220 | . 258 | . 197 | . 218 | .165 |
| Cheese: American -- | 341 | 82 | 82 | 75 | 102 | . 075 | . 047 | . 074 | . 094 | . 118 |
| Cottage. | 30 | 5 | 10 | 7 | 8 | . 004 | . 003 | . 004 | . 005 | . 007 |
| Other. | 53 | 17 | 9 | 12 | 15 | . 012 | . 013 | . 010 | . 012 | . 015 |
| Ice cream. | 66 | 9 | 11 | 21 | 25 | . 020 | . 010 | . 011 | . 034 | . 039 |

${ }^{1}$ The number of equivalent full-time persons per family in 1 week is computed on the basis of number of persons eating meals at home during the week to which the data apply. If a person ate 21 meals during the week, he was counted as a full-time person for that week. If he ate less than 21 meals, he was counted as the appropriate decimal equivalent full-time person.
${ }^{2}$ The number of expenditure units per family in 1 week is computed on the basis of the expenditure units represented by the equivalent full-time persons eating meals at home during the week to which the data apply.

Table 7.-Food used at home and purchased for consumption at home during 1 week in fall and winter quarters, by economic level-Continued

10 NEW HAMPSHIRE OITIES-Continued

| Item | A verage expenditure per person ' in 1 week |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\underset{\text { lies }}{ } \mathbf{A l l}$ fami- | Economic level-Families spending per expenditure unit per year |  |  |  |
|  |  | Under | $\begin{gathered} \$ 300 \text { to } \\ \$ 400 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 400 \text { to } \\ \$ 500 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 500 \text { and } \\ \text { over } \end{gathered}$ |
| Food Used at Home and Purchased for Consumption at Home in 1 Week-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | Cents | Cents 174.0 | Cents 219.8 | Cents 257.8 | Cents $326.4$ |
| Grain products, total | 38.6 | 34.2 | 40.0 | 39.7 | 45. 6 |
| Bread and other baked goods, total | 26.9 | 22.6 | 27.2 | 29.3 | 33.5 |
| Bread: White | 18. 0 | 16. 4 | 19.1 | 19.6 | 18. 6 |
| Graham, whole wheat | . 7 | . 7 | . 5 | . 8 | 1.1 |
| Rye...-...-----...-... | . 5 | . 7 | . 4 | . 4 | . 5 |
| Crackers... | 2.5 | 1.9 | 2.9 | 2.7 | 3. 3 |
| Plain rolls. | . 3 | . 1 | . 2 | . 3 | . 7 |
| Sweet rolls | .2 | .1 | ${ }^{(3)}$ | . 2 | . 3 |
| Cookies | 2.0 | 1.3 | 1.8 | 2.4 | 3.3 |
| Cakes | 1.6 | . 9 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 3.4 |
| Pies. | . 5 | . 1 | 4 | . 8 | 1.2 |
| Other | . 6 | . 4 | . 6 | . 6 | 1.1 |
| Ready-to-eat cereals. | 1.6 | 1. 5 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 2.0 |
| Flour and other cereals, total. | 10. 1 | 10.1 | 11.3 | 9.0 | 10.1 |
| Flour: White.........- --- | 5.1 | 5.4 | 5.7 | 4.3 | (2) 4.8 |
|  | . 1 | . 1 | .1 | ${ }^{(3)} .1$ | (2) .4 |
| Corn meal |  | . 2 | . 2 | . 3 | . 2 |
| Hominy | ${ }^{(3)}$ | 0 | ${ }^{(3)}$ | 0 | 0 |
| Cornstarch | . 2 | . 2 | . 2 | . 2 | . 3 |
| Rice. | . 6 | . 6 | . 6 | . 5 | . 6 |
| Rolled oats. | 1. 3 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 1.3 |
| Wheat cereal | . 7 | . 5 | . 9 | . 7 | . 7 |
| Tapioca | . 2 | .2 | .2 | . 2 | .2 |
| Sago.... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Macaroni, spaghetti, noodles | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 1. 5 |
| Other grain products................ | ${ }^{(3)}$ | ${ }^{0}$ | 0 | ${ }_{16}$ | .$^{.1}$ |
|  | 14.3 | 10.6 | 13.6 | 16.8 | 21.2 |
| Milk, cheese, ice cream, total | 29.1 | 24. 2 | 32.4 | 30.2 | 35.2 |
| Milk: Fresh, whole-bottled | 24. 2 | 20.1 | 27.7 | 24.6 | 28.6 |
| loose | (3) 1 | (8) .2 | $0^{.2}$ | . 1 | (3) ${ }^{0}$ |
| skimmed buttermilk and other | (3) <br> (3) | ${ }^{(3)} 0$ | 0 | . 2 | (3) |
| Skimmed, dried | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Evaporated and condensed.. | 1.8 | 2.0 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 1.4 |
| Cheese: American. ...... ... .- | 1.9 | 1.2 | 1.9 | 2.2 | 3.2 |
| Cottage. | . 1 | . 1 | . 1 | . 1 | . 1 |
| Other.-. | . 4 | . 3 | . 4 | .3 | . 5 |
|  | . 6 | . 3 | . 4 | 1.0 | 1.4 |

1 The number of equivalent full-time persons per family in 1 week is computed on the basis of number of persons eating meals at home during the week to which the data apply. If a person ate 21 meals during the week, he was counted as a full-time person for that week. If he ate less than 21 meals, he was counted as the appropriate decimal equivalent full-time person.
${ }^{3}$ Less than 0.05 cent.

Table \%.-Food used at home and purchased for consumption at home during 1 week in fall and winter quarters, by economic level-Continued
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| Item | Number of families using in 1 week |  |  |  |  | A verage quantity purchased per person ${ }^{1}$ in 1 week |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { All } \\ & \text { fam- } \\ & \text { ilies } \end{aligned}$ | Economic level-Families spending per expenditure unit per year- |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { All } \\ & \text { fam- } \\ & \text { ilies } \end{aligned}$ | Economic level-Families spending per expenditure unit per year- |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Un- } \\ & \text { der } \\ & \$ 300 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 300 \\ & \text { to } \\ & \$ 400 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 400 \\ & \text { to } \\ & \$ 500 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 500 \\ & \text { and } \\ & \text { over } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Un- } \\ & \text { der } \\ & \$ 300 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 300 \\ & \text { to } \\ & \$ 400 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 400 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 500 \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 500$ and over |
| Food Used at Home and Purchased for Consumption at Home in 1 Week-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fats, tota | No. | No. | No. | No. | No. | 1.102 | 0.916 | 1. 048 | 1. 245 | 1. 438 |
| Butter. | 948 | 267 | 222 | 216 | 243 | . 543 | . 459 | . 550 | . 623 | . 641 |
| Cream | 237 | 36 | 39 | 56 | 106 | . 057 | . 023 | . 039 | . 071 | . 143 |
| Other table fats | 21 | 11 | 3 | 6 | 1 | . 014 | . 019 | . 010 | . 020 |  |
| Lard | 697 | 215 | 166 | 151 | 165 | . 262 | . 261 | . 224 | . 285 | . 290 |
| Vegetable shortening | 79 | 20 | 17 | 19 | 23 | . 027 | . 014 | . 030 | . 027 | . 051 |
| Table or cooking oils. <br> Mayonnaise and other salad dressing <br> Bacon, smoked <br> Salt side of pork | 37 | 9 | 6 | 7 | 15 | . 013 | . 005 | . 014 | . 006 | . 036 |
|  | 198 | 55 | 35 | 39 | 69 | . 026 | . 020 | . 017 | . 027 | . 049 |
|  | 331 | 68 | 78 | 78 | 107 | . 087 | . 048 | . 087 | . 108 | . 152 |
|  | 315 | 115 | 72 | 67 | 61 | . 073 | . 067 | . 077 | . 078 | . 076 |
| Meat, poultry, fish, and other sea food, total. |  |  |  |  |  | 2. 540 | 2. 088 | 2. 318 | 2.912 | 3. 464 |
| Beef: Fresh: steak, porterhouse, sir- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fresh: steak, porterhouse, sirloin | 181 | 23 | 25 | 47 | 86 | . 081 | . 026 | . 045 | . 106 | 226 |
| top round. | 130 | 25 | 26 | 39 | 40 | . 063 | . 031 | . 052 | . 103 | . 109 |
| other | 383 | 125 | 107 | 70 | 81 | . 177 | . 158 | . 198 | . 183 | . 186 |
| roast, rib. | 169 | 58 | 30 | 41 | 40 | . 174 | . 146 | . 123 | . 222 | . 250 |
| chuck | 89 | 21 | 30 | 20 | 18 | . 089 | . 052 | . 136 | . 111 | . 090 |
| other | 42 | 8 | 14 | 3 | 17 | . 043 | . 01.6 | . 066 | . 028 | . 090 |
| boiling, chuck | 147 | 57 | 44 | 26 | 20 | . 129 | . 147 | . 167 | . 100 | . 068 |
| plate. | 8 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 3 | . 007 | . 010 | . 004 | . 006 | . 006 |
| other | 45 | 20 | 8 | 6 | 11 | . 028 | . 031 | . 020 | . 012 | 051 |
| Canned | 17 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 6 | . 006 | . 005 | . 005 | . 008 | 008 |
| Corned | 53 | 18 | 11 | 13 | 11 | . 037 | . 030 | . 028 | . 049 | 054 |
| Dried | 35 | 9 | 10 | 5 | 11 | . 004 | . 002 | . 004 | . 004 | 008 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Veal: Fresh, steak, chops | 53 | 6 | 14 | 13 | 20 | . 027 | . 007 | . 026 | . 054 | . 045 |
| roast | 49 | 19 | 13 | 10 | 7 | . 042 | . 038 | . 049 | 043 | . 040 |
| stow | 25 | 12 | 5 | 3 | 5 | . 015 | . 021 | . 010 | 010 | . 017 |
| Lamb: Fresh, chops | 96 | 11. | 14 | 26 | 45 | . 040 | . 009 | . 033 | . 048 | . 111 |
| roast | 79 | 12 | 17 | 24 | 26 | . 094 | . 038 | . 085 | . 145 | . 177 |
| stew | 41 | 11 | 12 | 12 | 6 | . 027 | . 015 | . 038 | . 044 | . 020 |
| Pork: Fresh, chops. | 268 | 60 | 65 | 59 | 84 | . 117 | . 073 | . 125 | . 124 | . 197 |
| - loin roast | 216 | 80 | 52 | 46 | 38 | . 212 | . 201 | . 209 | . 234 | . 216 |
| other .-- | 46 | 22 | 8 | 12 | 4 | . 054 | . 089 | . 021 | . 053 | . 018 |
| Smoked ham, slices | 88 | 28 | 18 | 12 | 30 | . 044 | . 046 | . 021 | . 041 | . 074 |
| whole | 69 | 13 | 15 | 22 | 19 | . 077 | . 040 | . 065 | . 127 | 122 |
| pienic----- | 10 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 2 | . 010 | . 004 | . 008 | . 025 | . 011 |
| Pork sausage --..-------- -- -- | 126 | 23 | 28 | 33 | 42 | . 042 | . 020 | . 047 | . 056 | . 073 |
| Other pork | 54 | 11 | 16 | 14 | 13 | . 016 | . 009 | . 017 | . 026 | . 016 |
| Miscellaneous meats, total |  |  |  |  |  | . 555 | . 589 | . 373 | . 547 | . 727 |
| Other fresh meat.-.-.... | 7 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | . 097 | . 235 | 0 | . 012 | . 002 |
| Bologna, frankfurters | 293 | 100 | 81 | 54 | 58 | . 108 | . 109 | . 116 | . 092 | . 109 |
| Cooked: Ham....- | 101 | 27 | 18 | 28 | 28 | . 033 | . 041 | . 017 | . 032 | . 038 |
| Tiver Tongue | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | . 001 | 0 | . 002 | . 003 | 0 |
| Liver -..--------- | 128 | 38 | 36 | 27 | 27 | . 042 | . 033 | . 050 | . 046 | . 048 |
| Other meat products | 34 | 11 | 10 | 6 | 7 | . 011 | . 007 | . 015 | . 014 | . 013 |
| Poultry: Chicken, broiling-..--- | 28 | 6 | 3 | 12 | ${ }^{7}$ | . 027 | . 012 | 0 | . 058 | . 065 |
| - roast.-.-.----- | 86 | 28 | 14 | 14 | 30 | . 097 | . 056 | . 073 | . 079 | . 243 |
| stew | 27 | 5 | 4 | 7 | 11 | . 026 | . 012 | . 016 | . 025 | . 073 |
| Turkey | 52 | 14 | 10 | 14 | 14 | . 109 | . 079 | . 078 | . 183 | . 136 |
| Other | 5 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 | . 004 | . 005 | . 006 | . 003 | 0 . |
| Fish and other sea food, total |  |  |  |  |  | . 330 | . 235 | . 343 | . 403 | . 454 |
| Fish: Fresh | 428 | 101 | 114 | 104 | 109 | . 227 | . 168 | . 247 | . 276 | . 281 |
| Canned | 182 | 51 | 43 | 44 | 44 | . 054 | . 038 | . 057 | . 065 | . 077 |
| Cured. | 41 | 14 | 10 | 9 | ${ }^{8}$ | . 014 | . 016 | . 011 | . 016 | . 009 |
| Oysters.- | 77 | 11 | 14 | 27 | 25 | . 020 | . 008 | . 015 | . 037 | . 035 |
| Other sea food. | 41 | 7 | 14 | 5 | 15 | . 015 | . 005 | . 013 | . 009 | . 052 |

${ }^{1}$ The number of equivalent full-time persons per family in 1 week is computed on the basis of the number of persons eating meals at home during the week to which the data apply. If a person ate 21 meals during the week he was counted as a full-time person for that week. If he ate less than 21 meals he was counted as the appropriate decimal equivalent full-time person.
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| Item | Average expenditure per person ${ }^{1}$ in 1 week |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All families | Economic level-Families spending per expenditure unit per year |  |  |  |
|  |  | Under | $\$ 300 \text { to }$ $\$ 400$ | $\$ 400 \text { to }$ $\$ 500$ | $\$ 500$ and over |
| Food Used at Home and Purchased for Consumption at Home in 1 Week-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Cents | Cents | Cents | Cents | Cents |
|  | 28.6 | 23.1 | 27.3 | 32.7 | 39.0 |
|  | 17.9 | 15.1 | 18.0 | 20.6 | 21.4 |
| Cream | 1.7 | . 5 | 1.1 | 2. 2 | 4.6 |
| Other table fats. | . 2 | . 3 | . 2 | . 3 | 0 |
| Lard. | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.2 | 3.9 | 4.0 |
| Vegetable shortening---------------------------------- | . 4 | . 2 | . 5 | . 5 | . 9 |
|  | .3 | .1 | . 3 | . 2 | . 9 |
| Mayonnaise and other salad dressing . . .-. .-. | . 8 | . 6 | , 5 | . 7 | 1.5 |
| Bacon, smoked.--------------------------- . | 2.4 | 1. 4 | 2.3 | 3.0 | 4. 4 |
| Salt side of pork..-. | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1. 2 | 1.3 | 1. 3 |
| Meat, poultry, fish, and other sea food, total Beef: | 55.2 | 39.5 | 50.1 | 68.0 | 84.2 |
| Beef: Fresh: Steak, porterhouse, sirloin | 3.0 | . 8 | 1. 6 | 4.1 | 9.0 |
| top round....---....- | 1.9 | . 9 | 1.7 | 3.2 | 3.3 |
| other------------- | 3.7 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.5 |
| Roast, rib. | 4. 2 | 3.4 | 2.8 | 5.3 | 6.8 |
| chuck | 1.9 | 1.0 | 2.8 | 2.5 | 2.0 |
| other | 1.0 | . 4 | 1. 5 | . 7 | 2.2 |
| Boiling, chuck | 2. 2 | 2. 3 | 3. 0 | 1.9 | 1.2 |
| plate | .1 | . 2 | . 1 | . 1 | . 1 |
| Other | .4 | . 4 | . 3 | . 2 | . 7 |
| Canned | . 1 | . 1 | . 1 | . 1 | . 2 |
| Corned | . 7 | . 5 | . 6 | . 9 | 1. I |
| Dried | . 1 | . 1 | . 2 | .1 | . 3 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Veal: Fresh, steak, chops | . 7 | . 2 | . 6 | 1.2 | 1.4 |
| roast | . 9 | . 8 | 1.0 | 1.0 | . 9 |
| stew | . 2 | . 3 | . 2 | . 2 | . 3 |
| Lamb: Fresh, chops | 1.1 | . 3 | . 8 | 1.5 | 3.0 |
| roast | 2.0 | . 8 | 1.7 | 3.5 | 3. 5 |
| stew | . 5 | . 2 | . 8 | . 6 | . 4 |
| Pork: Fresh, chops. | 2.9 | 1.7 | 3. 2 | 3.1 | 5. 1 |
| loin roast | 4.5 | 4.1 | 4.7 | 5.0 | 4.5 |
| other. | 1.0 | 1.8 | . 4 | 1.0 | . 3 |
| Smoked ham, slices | 1.1 | 1. 0 | . 6 | . 9 | 2. 0 |
| half or whole. | 1.5 | . 8 | 1.1 | 2.5 | 2.4 |
| picnic | . 2 | . 1 | .1 | . 4 | . 2 |
| Pork sausage.... | 1.0 | . 5 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 1.8 |
| Other pork | . 3 | . 2 | . 3 | . 5 | . 3 |
| Miscellaneous meats, total | 12.4 | 10.2 | 8.9 | 14.9 | 18.2 |
| Other fresh meat. | 1. 0 | 2. 4 | 0 | . 2 | (3) |
| Bologna, frankfurters | 2.1 | 2. 1 | 2.2 | 1.8 | 2.2 |
| Cooked: Ham | . 8 | . 7 | . 5 | 1.0 | 1.1 |
| Tongue | ${ }^{(3)}$ | 0 | . 1 | . 1 | 0 |
| Liver----------- | . 7 | . 5 | . 8 | 1. 0 | . 1 |
|  | . 2 | . 1 | . 3 | . 2 | . 2 |
| Poultry: Chicken, broiling ---------.... | . 8 | . 3 | 0 | 1.6 | 1.8 |
| roast. | 2.6 | 1.4 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 7.2 |
| stew- ----------------- | . 6 | . 3 | . 3 | . 7 | 1.4 |
| Turkey. | 3.5 | 2.3 | 2.6 | 6.3 | 4. 2 |
| Other--.--.... | . 1 | . 1 | . 3 | ${ }^{(3)}$ | 0 |
| Fish and other sea food, total. | 5. 6 | 3.4 | 5.9 | 7. 3 | 8.5 |
| Fish: Fresh.-.-.-........... | 3.5 | 2.2 | 4.0 | 4.5 | 4.9 |
| Canned | 1.0 | . 7 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 1.6 |
| Cured | . 2 | . 2 | . 2 | . 3 | . 2 |
| Oysters..--- | . 6 | . 2 | . 4 | 1.0 | 1.1 |
| Other sea food. | . 3 | . 1 | . 3 | . 2 | . 7 |
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| Item | Number of families using in 1 week |  |  |  |  | Average quantity purchased per person ${ }^{1}$ in 1 week |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { All } \\ & \text { fam- } \\ & \text { ililes } \end{aligned}$ | Economic level-Famiilies spending per expenditure unit per year |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { All } \\ & \text { fam- } \\ & \text { ilies } \end{aligned}$ | Economic level-Families spending per expenditure unit per year |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tn } \\ & \text { der } \\ & \$ 300 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 300 \\ & \text { to } \\ & \$ 400 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 400 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 500 \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 500$ <br> and <br> over |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Un- } \\ & \text { der } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 300 \\ & \text { to } \\ & \$ 400 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 400 \\ & \text { to } \\ & \$ 500 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 500 \\ & \text { and } \\ & \text { over } \end{aligned}$ |
| Food Used at Home and Purchased for Consumption at Home in $t$ Week-Continued | No. | No. | No. | No. | No. | $\begin{gathered} \boldsymbol{L} b . \\ \text { 6. } 466 \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{L b .}{4.882}$ | Lb.5. 772 | $\stackrel{\text { l }}{\text { 7. } 169}$ | $\begin{aligned} & L b . \\ & 10.279 \end{aligned}$ |
| Vegetables and fruits, total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Potatoes.- | 86936 | 2513 | 2037 | 187 | $\begin{array}{r} -228 \\ 15 \end{array}$ | 2. 110 | 1. 920 | $\begin{aligned} & .830 \\ & 1.036 \end{aligned}$ | 1. 907 | L.$\begin{array}{r}\text {. } \\ .069 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |
| Sweetpotatoes, yams .-. |  |  |  |  |  | - 034 | . 003 |  | . 075 |  |
| Dried legumes and nuts, to | 459 |  |  | 1 | 1 | . 343 | . 279 | $0^{.369}$ | $0^{.343}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .069 \\ & .452 \end{aligned}$ |
| Beans: Dry |  | ${ }_{36} 15$ | 11534 | 10030 |  | $\begin{aligned} & .001 \\ & .180 \end{aligned}$ | . 154 | $\xrightarrow{.} 206$ | ${ }^{\text {. }} 182$ | ${ }^{0}$ |
| Canned, dried | 14817 |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} .180 \\ .044 \end{array}$ | . 030 | . 049 | . 040 | . 203 |
| Baked, not canne |  | 4 | 2 | 30 6 | $\begin{array}{r\|r} \hline & 48 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | . 007 | . 004 | . 004 | . 012 | $\begin{array}{r} .014 \\ .014 \end{array}$ |
| Peas: Black-eyed. | 1634 |  | 7 | $\stackrel{2}{5}$ | 77 | . 008 |  |  | . 003 | . 0200 |
| Nuts: ${ }^{\text {Other-1 }}$ |  | 1512 |  |  |  | . 008 | . 010 | . 007 | . 006 |  |
| Nuts: Shelled | 346973 |  | 1416 | 122020 | 31 <br> 23 | $\begin{array}{r} .016 \\ .020 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .005 \\ .007 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .019 \\ & .018 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r}\text {. } 013 \\ .034 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .037 \\ .036 \\ .060 \end{array}$ |
| In shell |  | 1494 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peanut butter-...-.-.-.-.....- | 244 |  | 56 | 46 | 48 | . 059 | $\xrightarrow{.060}$ | . 059 |  |  |
| Other dried legumes and nuts. omatoes: Fresh | 45 | 8 | $\begin{array}{r} 5 \\ 100 \\ 8 \\ 2 \end{array}$ | 9 | 3 |  | ${ }_{\text {( }} \mathbf{0}$ ) | ${ }^{0} .012$ | ${ }^{0} .010$ | $\begin{aligned} & .046 \\ & .166 \\ & .082 \end{aligned}$ |
| Canned | 4185014 | 118118 |  | 93 | 107 | . 116 | . 091 | . 125 | . 115 |  |
| Juice |  |  |  | 5 | 26 | . 025 | . 016 | . 011 | . 007 |  |
| Sauce, paste |  |  |  | 5 |  | . 002 | . 002 |  | . 683 | .001.905 |
| Green and leafy vegetables, total. |  | 8 | 2 |  | ----- | . 543 | .$^{382}$ | . 441 |  |  |
| Brussels sprouts.......-- | 729618 | 0 |  |  |  | .001 .219 | ${ }^{0} .189$ | . 182 |  | .003.295 |
| Cabbage- |  | 93 |  | 58 |  | .$^{.005}$ |  |  | . 260 |  |
| Collards | 18 | 6 0 | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | 0 |  | . 005 | . 003 | $0^{.004}$ | . 009 |
| Kale | 3 |  | 0 | , | 1 |  |  |  | -003 | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ |
| Lettuce. | 217 | 41 | 36 | 54 | 86 | . 058 | $.028$ | . 042 | . 070 | ${ }^{.} 134$ |
| Spinach: Fresh. | 97 | 15 | 13 | 12 | 18 | . 062 | . 027 | . 044 | . 116 |  |
| Canned | 5812 |  |  |  |  | . 020 | $0^{.011}$ | . 018 | .020.003 | .041.015 |
| Other leafy vegetables |  | 0 | 0 | 2 |  | ${ }_{0} .003$ |  |  |  |  |
| Asparagus: Fresh |  |  |  | ${ }_{6}$ | 13 | ${ }^{0}$. 008 |  |  |  |  |
| Lima beans: Fresh | $\stackrel{27}{5}$ | ${ }_{2}^{4}$ | 0 | 6 2 | 13 | . 008 | . 003 | . 007 | . 011 | . 017 |
| Canned | 21 | 3 | 2 | 10 | 6 | . 005 | (1) | . 003 | . 012 | . 013 |
| Beans, snap (string): Fresh | 36 | 13 | 7 | 9 | 7 | . 009 | . 007 | . 007 | . 010 | . 014 |
| Canned. | 248 | 78 | 62 | 56 | 52 | . 048 | . 037 | . 045 | . 052 | . 072 |
| Broccoli.... | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | , | . 001 |  | . 001 | . 002 | . 005 |
| Peas: Fresh | 13 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 0 | . 002 | . 001 | . 002 |  | . 008 |
| Cann | 292 | 73 | 57 | 72 | 90 | . 098 | . 069 | . 084 | . 113 | . 167 |
| Peppers | 14 | $\stackrel{2}{0}$ | 3 <br> 0 | $\stackrel{2}{2}$ | 7 | 0.002 | . 001 | . 001 | . 004 | . 004 |
| Yellow vegetables, | 0 |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{\text {- }} .293$ | ${ }^{-} .219$ | ${ }^{0} .268$ | ${ }^{0} .337$ |  |
| Carrots...- | 486 | 136 | 109 | 108 | 133 | 172 | . 129 | . 142 | . 197 | . 283 |
| Winter squash and pumpkin | 194 | 53 | 37 | 49 |  | . 121 | . 090 | . 126 | . 140 | . 167 |
| Other vegetables, total |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 475 | . 540 | . 783 | 1. 010 |
| Beets: Fresh | $\begin{aligned} & 76 \\ & 87 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18 \\ & 27 \end{aligned}$ |  | 17 22 | 19 20 | . 031 | . 0021 | . 017 | . 016 | . 023 |
| Caulifiower | 16 | 2 | ${ }_{2}^{18}$ | 5 | 7 | . 007 | . 003 | . 004 | . 013 | . 0411 |
| Celery | 233 | 51 | 51 | 62 | 69 | . 070 | . 038 | . 062 | . 092 | . 129 |
| Corn: On ear | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cann | 234 | 63 | 52 | 60 | 59 | . 059 | . 042 | . 044 | . 078 | . 099 |
| Cucumber | ${ }_{3}^{3}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | ${ }_{0}^{3}$ | $0^{(4)}$ |  |  | 001 | . 001 |
| Eggplant |  | $\stackrel{1}{17}$ | 0 | 33 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Onions: Mature | 628 3 | 173 1 | 162 0 | 133 1 | 160 1 | .346 .003 .00 | . 285 | $0^{.310}$ | .406 .008 | .470 .002 |
| Parsnips. | 14 | 5 | 1 | 7 | , | . 006 | . 003 | . 006 | . 019 |  |
| Summer squash | 13 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 4 | . 011 | . 003 | . 012 | . 026 | . 009 |
| White turnips..... | 7 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 0 | . 004 | . 005 |  | . 007 |  |
| Yellow turnips, rut | 178 12 | 42 | 34 | 39 | 63 |  |  | . 072 | $0^{.101}$ | . 214 |
| Pickles and olives |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 006 |
| Citrus fruits, total. Lemons....-- | 104 | 1 | 22 | 23 | 38 | . 982 | . 4014 | . 867 | 1.372 | 1.935 |
| Oranges | 543 | 115 | 113 | 140 | 175 | . 808 | . 396 | . 740 | 1:078 | 1. 550 |
| Grapefruit: Fresh | 118 | 12 | 17 | 41 | 48 | 124 | . 030 | . 084 | 238 | . 270 |
| Canned. | 35 | 6 | 6 | 8 | 15 | . 023 | . 009 | . 023 | . 019 | . 061 |

${ }^{1}$ The number of equivalent full-time persons per family in 1 week is computed on the basis of number of persons eating meals at home during the week to which the data apply. If a person ate 21 meals during the week he was counted as a full-time person for that week. If he ate less than 21 meals he was counted as the appropriate decimal equivalent full-time person.
${ }^{4}$ Less than 0.0005 pound.

Table 7.-Food used at home and purchased for consumption at home during 1 week in fall and winter quarters, by economic level--Continued

10 NEW HAMPSHIRE CITIES-Continued

| Item | A verage expenditure per person ${ }^{1}$ in 1 week |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All families | Economic level-Families spending per expenditure unit per year |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\underset{\$ 300}{\text { Under }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 300 \text { to } \\ \$ 400 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 400 \text { to } \\ \$ 500 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 500 \text { and } \\ & \text { over } \end{aligned}$ |
| Food Used at Home and Purchased for Consumption at Home in 1 Week-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vegetables and fruits, total | 35.1 | 23.2 | 31.8 | 41.3 | 59.9 |
| Potatoes.....--------- | 2.6 | 2.4 | 2.2 | 2.5 | 3.9 |
| Sweetpotatoes, yams. | . 1 | ${ }^{(3)}$ | . 1 | . 3 | 4 |
| Dried legumes and nuts, total | 4.4 | 3.3 | 4. 6 | 4.5 | 6.3 |
| Dried corn | ${ }^{(3)}$ | ${ }^{(3)}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Beans: Dry | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1. 6 | 1.5 | 1.7 |
| Canned, dried | . 6 | . 4 | . 6 | . 5 | . 9 |
| Baked, not canned | . 1 | (3) | . 1 | . 1 | .2 |
| Peas: Black-eyed......... | . 1 | (3) | . 1 | (3) ${ }^{1}$ | .2 |
| Other --.. | . 1 | . 1 | . 1 | . 1 | . 1 |
| Nuts: Shelled. | . 5 | . 1 | .7 | .5 | 1.2 |
| In shell | .5 | . 2 | . 4 | 9 | . 9 |
| Peanut butter | 3. 0 | 1.1 | 1. 0 | 9 | 1.1 |
| Other dried legumes and nuts. | (3) | (3) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Tomatoes: Fresh | .2 | . 1 | . 1 | . 1. | . 6 |
| Canned. | 1.3 | . 9 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.9 |
| Juice.------ | . 3 | . 2 | . 1 | . 1 | . 8 |
| Sauce, paste ----...- | ${ }^{(3)}$ | . 1 | ${ }^{(3)}$ | $\left.{ }^{8}\right)$ | (3) |
| Green and leafy vegetables, total | 4.7 | 3.0 | 3.9 | 5.8 | 8.7 |
| Brussels sprouts. ...... | ${ }^{(3)}$ | 0 | (3) | (3) | . 1 |
| Cabbage..-- | .7 | . 6 | (3) 6 | . 7 | 1.0 |
| Sauerkraut | .1 | . 1 | ${ }^{(3)}$ | .1 | . 1. |
| Collards. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Kale.. | ${ }^{(3)}$ | $\left.{ }^{3}\right)$ | 0 | ${ }^{(3)}$ | 0 |
| Lettuce. | . 8 | . 4 | . 6 | 1.0 | 1.8 |
| Spinach: Fresh. | . 5 | . 2 | . 4 | 1.0 | . 8 |
| Canned.-... | (3) 3 | .$^{2}$ | .3 | . 2 | . 6 |
| Other leafy vegetables. | (3) | 0 | 0 | ${ }^{(3)}$ | . 2 |
| Asparagus: Fresh. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Canned. | . 2 | . 1 | . 1 | . 2 | . 4 |
| Lima beans: Fresh | (3) | (3) | 0 | (3) |  |
| Canned | . 1 | (3) | (3) | . 2 |  |
| Beans, snap (string): Fresh | . 1 |  | . 1 | . 1 | .1 |
| Canned. | . 6 | . 4 | . 6 | . 7 | . 8 |
| Broccoli | (3) | 0 | ${ }^{(3)}$ | (3) | . 1 |
| Peas: Fresh | (3) | ${ }^{(3)}$ | ${ }^{(3)}$ | 0 | . 1 |
| Canned | 1,3 | (3).9 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 2.3 |
| Peppers. | ${ }^{(3)}$ | ${ }^{(3)}$ | ${ }^{(3)}$ | . 1 | . 1 |
| Okra.-- | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Yellow vegetables, total | 1.3 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 2.2 |
| Carrots............. | . 9 | . 7 | . 8 | 1.2 | 1.6 |
| Winter squash and pumpkin | .4 | .3 | . 4 | . 4 | . 6 |
| Other vegetables, total.........-. | 4.5 | 2.9 | 3.7 | 5.5 | 8.0 |
| Beets: Fresh | . 1 |  | . 1 | . 1 | . 1 |
| Canned. | . 2 | ${ }^{(3)}$ |  | .2 | . 6 |
| Cauliflower | .1 | ${ }^{(3)}$ | (3) | . 2 | . 1 |
| Celery -...-- | 1. 0 | .$^{5}$ | . 9 | 1.3 | 1.8 |
| Corn: On ear | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Canned |  | $0^{5}$ | . 5 | . 9 | 1.1 |
| Cucumber. | ${ }^{(3)}$ | 0 | 0 | ${ }^{(3)}$ | (3) |
| Eggplant | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Onions: Mature. | 1.5 | 1.1 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 2.2 |
| Spring. | ${ }^{(3)}$ | ${ }^{3}{ }^{3}$ | 0 | ${ }^{(3)}$ | (3) |
| Parsnips | $\left.{ }^{3}\right)$ | (3) | ${ }^{(3)}$ | . 2 | 0 |
| Summer squash | (8) | (3) | (3) | . 1 | $\left({ }^{(3)}\right.$ |
| White turnips....- | (3) | (3) | 0 | (3) | 0 |
| Yellow turnips, rutabaga. | (3) 4 | . 2 | (3) 3 | . 4 | . 8 |
| Other vegetables..----- | ${ }^{(3)}$ | 0 | (3) | 0 | . 1 |
| Pickles and olives. | . 5 | . 4 | . 3 | . 5 | 1.2 |
| Citrus fruits, total. | 6.4 | 3.0 | 5.7 | 8.8 | 12.8 |
| Lemons...-. | . 4 | . 2 | . 3 | . 6 | . 7 |
| Oranges. | 5.1 | 2.5 | 4.6 | 6.6 | 10.1 |
| Grapefruit: Fresh. | . 7 | . 2 | . 5 | 1.4 | 1. 5 |
| Canned. | . 2 | 1 | . 3 | . 2 | . 5 |

$t$ The number of equivalent full-time persons per family in 1 week is computed on the basis of number of persons eating meals at home during the week to which the data apply. If a person ate 21 meals during the week, he was counted as a full-time person for that week. If he ate less than 21 meals, he was counted as the appropriate decimal equivalent full-time person.

3 Less than 0.05 cent.
$200982^{\circ}-41-6$

Table 7.-Food used at home and purchased for consumption at home during 1 week in fall and winter quarters, by economic level-Continued

10 NEW HAMPSHIRE CITIES-Continued

| Item | Number of families using in 1 week |  |  |  |  | Average quantity purchased per person ${ }^{1}$ in 1 week |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { All } \\ & \text { fam- } \\ & \text { ilies } \end{aligned}$ | Economic level-Famiilies spending per expenditure unit per year |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { All } \\ & \text { fam- } \\ & \text { ilies } \end{aligned}$ | Economic level-Families spending per expenditure unit per year |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Un- } \\ & \text { der } \\ & \$ 300 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 300 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 400 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 400 \\ & \text { to } \\ & \$ 500 \end{aligned}$ | $\$ 500$ and over |  | Under $\$ 300$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 300 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 400 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 400 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 500 \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 500$ and over |
| Food Used at Home and Purchased for Consumption at Home in 1 Week-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vegetables and fruits-Continued. Other fruits, total | No. | No. | No. | No. | No. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Lb. } \\ 1.359 \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{L b .}{1.041}$ | $\stackrel{L b .}{1.271}$ | $\begin{gathered} L b . \\ 1.535 \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{2.018}{\underline{L b} .}$ |
| Apples: Fresh | 433 | 125 | 111 | 86 | 111 | . 712 | . 588 | . 642 | . 737 | 1. 061 |
| Canned | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | . 001 | . 002 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Apricots: Fresh.-.-.-.-------- | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | . 004 | . 001 | . 002 | 0 | . 021 |
| Canned...-.-.-.-.-. | 9 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 5 | . 003 | . 001 | 0 | . 004 | . 009 |
| Bananas. | 292 | 80 | 65 | 76 | 71 | . 281 | . 217 | . 260 | . 385 | . 341 |
| Berries: Fresh | 34 | 15 | 6 | 7 | 6 | . 017 | . 014 | . 017 | . 027 | . 009 |
| Canned | 80 | 33 | 15 | 20 | 12 | . 009 | . 004 | . 007 | . 015 | . 014 |
| Cherries: Fresh | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | (4) | 0 | . 001 | 0 | 0 |
| Canned | 14 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 7 | . 002 | . 001 | 0 | . 002 | . 007 |
| Grapes: Fresh | 93 | 20 | 16 | 26 | 31 | . 054 | . 028 | . 043 | . 076 | . 105 |
| Canned | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |
| Peaches: Fresh. | 6 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 2 | . 001 | . 002 | 0 | 0 | . 002 |
| Canned | 122 | 28 | 23 | 33 | 38 | . 043 | . 026 | . 029 | . 059 | . 086 |
| Pears: Fresh | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | . 001 | 0 | . 001 |  | . 002 |
| Canned | 45 | 10 | 12 | 11 | 12 | . 011 | . 003 | . 023 | . 006 | . 022 |
| Pineapple: Fresh | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | $\left({ }^{4}\right)$ | 0 |  |  | . 001 |
| Canned | 87 | 2 | 23 | 23 | 39 | . 033 | . 016 | . 033 | . 043 | . 061 |
| Melons | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Plums: Fresh | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (4) | . 001 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Canne | 13 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 5 | . 002 | 0 | . 001 | . 003 | . 005 |
| Other fruit | 21 | 7 | 4 | 6 | 4 | . 009 | . 009 | . 004 | . 013 | . 011 |
| Oider | 15 | 4 | 6 | 1 | 4 | . 013 | 0 | . 031 |  | . 034 |
| Grape juice | 11 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 0 | . 003 | . 003 | . 004 | . 006 | 0 |
| Other fruit juices | 10 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 5 | . 004 | . 003 | (4) | . 004 | . 012 |
| Dried: Apricots | 26 | 8 | 7 | 5 | 6 | . 009 | . 008 | . 009 | . 011 | . 011 |
| Peaches | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (4) | . 001 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Prunes | 191 | 53 | 51 | 38 | 49 | . 073 | . 055 | . 092 | . 068 | . 093 |
| Raisins | 197 | 69 | 43 | 37 | 48 | . 052 | . 046 | . 053 | . 046 | . 073 |
| Dates. | 58 | 11 | 13 | 15 | 19 | . 017 | . 010 | . 017 | . 020 | . 029 |
| Figs. | 16 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 8 | . 003 | . 002 | . 002 | . 002 | . 009 |
| Surars and Other--- | 6 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 | . 002 |  |  | . 008 |  |
| Sugars and sweets, totals |  |  |  |  |  | 1. 485 | 1.213 | 1. 350 | 1. 609 | 2. 154 |
| Sugars: White | 920 | 268 | 211 | 207 | 234 | 1. 290 | 1.065 | 1.155 | 1. 403 | 1. 867 |
| Brown. | 123 | 34 | 28 | 34 | 27 | . 047 | . 038 | . 041 | . 058 | . 064 |
| Other sweets: Candy | 162 | 40 | 28 | 44 | 50 | . 054 | . 025 | . 044 | . 068 | . 118 |
| Jellies | 103 | 31 | 23 | 21 | 28 | . 015 | . 010 | . 012 | . 005 | . 040 |
| Molasses, sirups | 201 | 74 | 46 | 39 | 42 | . 076 | . 072 | . 097 | . 073 | . 062 |
| Miscellaneous, total.---- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gelatine.... - | 87 | 30 | 14 | 14 | 29 | . 010 | . 011 | 004 | 013 | . 011 |
| Packaged dessert mixtures.. | 114 | 30 | 22 | 25 | 37 | . 018 | . 013 | . 020 | . 024 | . 023 |
| Tea-.....-...... | 703 | 198 | 163 | 161 | 181 | . 063 | . 042 | . 064 | . 081 | . 093 |
| Coffee | 856 | 242 | 203 | 185 | 226 | . 187 | . 130 | . 188 | . 204 | . 298 |
| Cocoa | 276 | 116 | 75 | 39 | 46 | . 031 | . 036 | . 037 | . 022 | . 023 |
| Chocolate | 41 | 9 | 6 | 10 | 16 | . 006 | . 003 | . 006 | . 008 | . 013 |
| Vinegar--.... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . |
| Salt,------1ing powder, yeast, soda |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bpices and extracts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Catsups, sauces -- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tomato soup. | 182 | 52 | 41 | 46 | 43 | . 069 | . 052 | . 080 | .055 | . 105 |
| Other soups | 103 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 22 | . 037 | . 021 | . 047 | . 041 | . 057 |
| Cod-liver oil | 80 | 26 | 29 | 11 | 14 | . 010 | . 003 | . 015 | . 003 | . 026 |
| Proprietary foods. | 71 | 22 | 15 | 17 | 17 | . 012 | . 008 | . 014 | . 018 | . 009 |
| Other foods | 16 | 7 | 3 | 4 | 2 | . 004 | . 005 | . 001 | . 004 | . 009 |
| Soft drinks consumed at home..- | 31 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 13 | . 040 | . 010 | . 034 | . 099 | . 051 |
| Other drinks consumed at home- | 46 | 9 | 8 | 8 | 21 | . 045 | . 027 | . 020 | . 026 | 138 |

1 The number of equivalent full-time persons per family in 1 week is computed on the basis of the number of persons eating meals at home during the week to which the data apply. If a person ate 21 meals during the week he was counted as a full-time person for that week. If he ate less than 21 meals he was counted as the appropriate decimal equivalent full-time person.
${ }^{4}$ Less than 0.0005 pound.

Table 7.-Food used at home and purchased for consumption at home during 1 week in fall and winter quarters, by economic level-Continued

10 NEW HAMPSHIRE CITIES-Continued

| Item | A verage expenditure per person 1 in 1 week |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\underset{\text { lies }}{\text { All fami- }}$ | Economic level-Families spending per expenditure unit per year |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Under } \\ & \$ 300 \end{aligned}$ | $\$ 300 \text { to }$ | $\$ 400 \text { to }$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 500 \text { and } \\ & \text { over } \end{aligned}$ |
| Food Used at Home and Purchased for Consumption at Home in 1 Week-Continued |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other fruits: total ----.......... | ${ }_{9.3}$ | 6.3 | 8.8 | 10.7 | 14.3 |
| Apples: Fresh | 2.9 | 2.3 | 2.7 | 3.0 | 4.5 |
| Canned | ${ }^{(3)}$ | ${ }^{(3)}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Apricots: Fresh ${ }_{\text {Canned }}$ | ${ }^{(3)}$ | ${ }^{(3)}$ | (a) | 0 | (2) |
| Bananas Canned | ${ }^{(3)} 1.8$ | ${ }^{(3)} 1.3$ | ${ }_{1.8}^{0}$ | 2. 4 | 2. 21 |
| Berries: Fresh | . 2 | . 2 | .2 | ${ }^{-3}$ | 2 |
| Canned |  | .$^{.1}$ |  | $0^{.3}$ | $0^{.2}$ |
| Cherries: Fresh Canned | ${ }_{(3)}^{(3)}$ | ${ }_{(3)}{ }^{0}$ | ${ }_{0}^{(3)}$ | ${ }^{(3)}{ }^{0}$ |  |
| Grapes: Fresh | ${ }_{0} .6$ | ${ }_{0} .3$ | 0.5 | . 8 | 1.3 |
| Canned |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Peaches: Fresh | ${ }^{(8)} .6$ | ${ }^{(3)} .3$ | ${ }^{0} .4$ | ${ }^{0} .8$ | ${ }^{(3)} 1.1$ |
| Pears: Fresh | (3) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $0^{.3}$ | (3) ${ }^{-4}$ | 0 | (3) |
| Canned |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pineapple: Fresh | ${ }^{(3)} .5$ | ${ }^{0}$. | ${ }^{0} .5$ |  | ${ }^{(3)} .9$ |
| Melons --......... | $0^{.5}$ |  | $0^{.5}$ |  | $0^{-9}$ |
| Plums: Fresh | (3) | ${ }^{(3)}$ | ${ }^{0}$ | ${ }^{0}$ |  |
| Other fruit...-- |  |  | $\left({ }^{(3)}\right.$ | ${ }^{(3)} .2$ | . 1 |
| Cider --..... | .1 | $0^{1}$ | ${ }^{\text {a }} .2$ | $0^{2}$ | .2 |
| Grape juice | . 1 |  |  | . 2 | 0 |
| Other fruit juice | . 1 | (3) 1 | (3) 1 | ${ }^{(3)}$ | $\cdot 2$ |
| Dried: Apricots |  |  | 0.1 | $0^{.2}$ | . $0^{2}$ |
| Peaches |  |  | 1.0 | . 8 | 1.2 |
| Raisins. | . 6 | . 5 | . 6 | 5 | . 7 |
| Dates | . 3 |  | . 2 | . 3 | . 5 |
| Figs- | . 1 | ${ }^{(3)}$ | . 1 | ${ }^{(3)}$ | . 2 |
| Other |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sugars and sweets, total | 9.8 | 7.7 | 8.5 | 10.7 | 15.2 |
| Sugars: White | 7.1 | 5.8 | 6.3 | 7.7 | 10.4 |
| Brown | 1.4 | .3 | . 8 | 1.4 | $\stackrel{.5}{9}$ |
| Other sweets: Jellies | 1.3 .3 | .2 | $\stackrel{.8}{2}$ | 1.1 | .6 .6 |
| Molasses, sirups | ${ }^{\text {(3) }} 7$ | . 7 | 9 | . 8 | . 7 |
| Other-.....-. | ${ }^{(3)}$ |  |  |  | . 1 |
| Miscellaneous, total . | 16.6 | 11.5 | 16.1 | 18.4 | 26.1 |
| Cackatine Pach dessert mixtures | ${ }^{.} 3$ | $\stackrel{3}{3}$ | $\stackrel{.}{5}$ | $\stackrel{4}{5}$ | 4 |
| Tea-................--...- | 3.2 | 2.1 | 3.1 | 4.2 | 5.0 |
| Coffee. | 5.2 | 3.3 | 5.1 | 5. 9 | 8.7 |
| Cocoa | . 6 | . 6 |  | 5 | . 5 |
| Ohocolate. | . 2 | . 1 | .1 | 3 | . 3 |
| Vinegar..- | . 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | . 2 |
| Salt.-......-.-.-....-..... | $\cdot 4$ | $\stackrel{4}{9}$ | .5 | 3 5 5 | $\cdot 4$ |
| Baking powder, yeast, soda | . 7 | .9 | .7 .4 | 5 4 4 | . 7 |
| Catsups, sauces.-. | . 5 | . 5 | .3 | . 7 | . 5 |
| Tomato soup. | . 9 | . 7 | .9 | . 9 | 1.2 |
| Other soups- | .5 | $\cdot 3$ | $\cdot 6$ | ${ }^{6}$ | . 6 |
| Proprietary foods. | . 6 | .4 | . 7 | . 9 | . 4 |
| Other foods -......-.-.-......- | .2 | . 1 | $\cdot 1$ | 1 | . 3 |
| Soft drinks consumed at home | $\cdot 4$ | . 1 | .3 | . 7 | . 7 |
| Other drinks consumed at home. | 1.4 | . 8 | . 9 | 1.1 | 3.6 |
| Sales tax on food.- |  |  |  |  |  |

[^15]
## Table 8.-Annual food expenditures, by economic level

NASHUA, CONCORD, AND BERLIN, N. H.

| Item | $\begin{aligned} & \text { All } \\ & \text { families } \end{aligned}$ | Economic level-Families spending per expenditure unit per year |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Under $\$ 300$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 300 \text { to } \\ & \$ 400 \end{aligned}$ | $\$ \$ 400 \text { to }$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 500 \\ & \text { and } \\ & \text { over } \end{aligned}$ |
| Annual Food Expenditures | 2993.30 | 8884.54 | 743.33 | 522.86 | 2. 85 |
| Families in survey |  |  |  |  |  |
| A verage number food expenditure units in 1 year |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of families spending forMeals away from home: |  |  |  |  |  |
| At work.... | $\begin{array}{r}8 \\ 2 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 010 | 1 | 2 | 42 |
| At school... |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| On vacation | 16 |  | 3 | 2 | 102 |
| Board at school.-- |  |  | 0 | 1 |  |
| Candy, ice cream, drinks, etc.-.-.-.-. Number of families reporting food recived as gifts, or pro- | 32 | 10 | 24 | 20 | ${ }^{7}$ |
| duced at home, or meals received as pay | 126 |  |  |  |  |
| A verage expenditure per family for all food | \$435. 57 | \$453.17 | \$436. 82 | \$427. 82 | \$421. 13 |
| Food prepared at home, total --... | 427.88 | 450.61 | 434.50 | 421.59 | 402.55 |
| Food bought and eaten away from home, total | 7.69 | 2.56 | 2.32 | 6. 23 | 18.58 |
| Meals at work | 1.07 | 1.09 | . 34 | . 13 | 2. 27 |
| Meals at school | 18 |  | 0 | 0 | . 64 |
| Other meals, not vacation. | 1. 92 | 25 | . 58 | . 92 | 5.42 |
|  | 1. 26 | .$_{0} 05$ | .$^{.15}$ | 2.58 | 2. 68 |
| Board at school <br> Candy, ice cream, drinks, etc. | 1.60 1.66 | ${ }_{1}^{0} 17$ | 1.25 | 1.06 1.54 | 4.98 2.59 |
| Average estimated value per family of gifts of food and homeproduced food and meals received as pay ${ }^{1}$. | 4. 20 | 7.50 | . 82 | 1.09 | 5. 64 |

1 The aggregates on which these averages are based do not include gifts of food received, food produced at home, and meals received as pay, reported by 68 families but for which they could not estimate the value.

PORTSMOUTE, KEENE, DOVER, LACONIA, AND CLAREMONT, N. H.

| Item | All | Economic level-Families spending per expenditure unit per year |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Under $\$ 300$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 300 \\ \text { to } \$ 400 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 400 \\ \text { to } \$ 500 \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 500$ and over |
| Annual Food Expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |
| Families in survey-- | 485 | 126 | 111 | 125 | 123 |
| Average number food expenditure units in 1 year | 3.08 | 4.42 | 3. 15 | 2. 54 | 2.23 |
| Number of families spending forMeals away from home: |  |  |  |  |  |
| At work--.-- | 25 | 7 | 2 | 6 | 10 |
| At school. | 8 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 1 |
| Other meals, not vacation |  |  |  |  |  |
| On vacation....... | 25 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 14 |
| Board at school | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Candy, ice cream, drinks, etc. | 94 | 27 | 23 | 19 | 25 |
| Number of families reporting food received as gifts, or produced at home, or meals received as pay | 278 | 86 | 71 | 63 | 58 |
| Average expenditure per family for all food | \$425.78 | \$452. 80 | \$406. 56 | 18393. 34 | \$448. 38 |
| Food prepared at home, total. | 413.21 | 447.19 | 397.13 | 384.48 | 422.12 |
| Food bought and eaten away from home, total | 12.57 | 5.61 | 9.43 | 8.86 | 26. 26 |
| Meals at work | 2. 55 | . 64 | . 74 | 1.94 | 6. 76 |
| Meals at school. | . 17 | . 14 | . 07 | . 15 | . 32 |
| Other meals, not vacation | 4.88 | 1.62 | 5.48 | 4.15 | 8.44 |
| Meals on vacation. - | . 75 | . 11 | . 56 | . 87 | 1. 46 |
| Board at school. | . 82 | . 58 |  |  | 2. 64 |
| Candy, ice cream, drinks, etc | 3.40 | 2. 52 | 2.58 | 1.75 | 6.64 |
| A verage estimated value per family of gifts of food and homeproduced food and meals received as pay ${ }^{1} \ldots$ | 5.97 | 7.32 | 10.48 | 3.66 | 2.87 |

[^16]Table 8.-Annual food expenditures, by economic level-Continued JITTLETON AND CONWAY, N. H.

| Item | All | Economic level-Families spending per expenditure unit per year |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Under } \\ & \$ 300 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\$ 400}{\$ 300 \text { to }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 400 \text { to } \\ \$ 500 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 500 \\ & \text { and } \\ & \text { over } \end{aligned}$ |
| Annual Food Expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |
| Families in survey | 197 | 65 | 40 | 49 | 43 |
| A verage number food expenditure units in 1 year | 3. 13 | 4.35 | 2.98 | 2.41 | 2. 24 |
| Meals away from home: |  |  |  |  |  |
| At work | 7 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 1 |
| At school--....--- | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Other meals, not vacation | 10 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 |
| On vacation | 1 | 0 | 3 0 0 | 1 | 3 |
| Candy, ice cream, drinks, eto | 23 | 6 | 5 | 1 | 11 |
| Number of families reporting food received as gifts, or produced at home, or meals received as pay. | 134 | 53 | 23 | 30 | 28 |
| Average expenditure per family for all food | \$391. 18 | \$419.63 | \$385. 27 | \$364.63 | \$386. 78 |
| Food prepared at home, total ....... | 384.66 | 416.06 | 374.56 | 359.88 | 374.82 |
| Food bought and eaten away from home, total. | 7.15 | 3.57 | 10.71 | 4.75 | 11.96 |
| Meals at work --.-.-- | 1. 68 | 1.45 | 4.83 | . 30 | . 67 |
| Meals at school | . 12 |  |  |  | 53 |
| Other meals, not vacation | 2. 21 | 1. 14 | 2.97 | 3.97 | 1. 10 |
| Meals on vacation | 80 | 0 | 1.84 | 12 | 1. 82 |
| Board at school---.-. - | . 96 |  |  |  | 4.39 |
| Candy, ice cream, drinks, etc - | 1. 38 | . 98 | 1.07 | . 36 | 3.45 |
| Average estimated value per family of girts of food and homeproduced food and meals received as pay : | 7.69 | 8.27 | 10. 71 | 7.02 | 4.76 |

1 The aggregates on which these averages are based do not include gifts of food received, food produced at home, and meals received as pay, reported by 71 families but for which they could not estimate the value

Table 8a.-Annual food expenditures
MARQUETTE, MICH.; MODESTO, CALIF.; AND RENO, NEV.


[^17]Table 9.-Housing facilities, by economic level
NASHUA, CONCORD, AND BERLIN, N. H.

| Item | $\underset{\text { All }}{\substack{\text { Alilies }}}$ | Economic level-Families spending per expenditure unit per year |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Under } \\ & \$ 300 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 300 \text { to } \\ \$ 400 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 400 \text { to } \\ \$ 5.00 \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 500$ <br> and <br> over |
| Housing Facilities in Dwelling Occupied at End of Schedule Year <br> I. Families in survey who rented principal home at end of schedule year. $\qquad$ <br> A verage monthly rental rate at end of schedule year |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 201 | 53 | 50 | 34 | 64 |
|  | \$18. 51 | \$13. 94 | \$16.69 | \$19.83 | \$23.03 |
| mber of families living in |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 -family detached house | 31 | 5 | 7 | 11 | 8 |
| 1 -family sernidetached or row house | 13 | 17 | 2 | 5 | 5 |
| 2-family house | 76 81 | 17 | ${ }_{18}^{23}$ | 12 | 24 |
| Multiple dwelling (3-family or more) | 81 | 30 | 18 | ${ }_{6}^{6}$ | 27 |
| Dwelling with elevator --...... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Number of families having- |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Toilet: Inside flush .-...... | 199 | 52 | 49 | 34 | 64 |
| Outside flush |  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other type | , | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Sole use of toilet by household | 199 | 53 | 48 | 34 | 64 |
| Water: Inside dwelling ... | 200 | 52 | 50 | 34 | 64 |
| Running. | 199 | 51 | 50 | 34 | 64 |
| Hot running Not running | 125 | 24 | 27 | 19 | 55 |
| Not running. Outside dwelling only | 1 | 1 1 | 0 0 | 0 0 | 0 |
| Sink .............. | 201 | 53 | 50 | 34 | 4 |
| Electric lights. | 201 | 53 | 50 | 34 | 64 |
| Gas or electricity for cooking | 81 | 11 | 14 | 18 | 38 |
| Refrigerator: Electric | 23 | 1 | 6 | 3 | 13 |
| Other mechanical | - 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ice... | 148 | 37 | 36 | 26 | 49 |
| None... | 30 | 15 | 8 | 5 |  |
| Hot air, hot water, or steam h | 73 | 9 | 16 | 14 | 34 |
| Telephone -- | 51 | 4 | 6 | 9 | 32 |
| Garage | 43 | 8 | ${ }^{6}$ | 6 | $\stackrel{23}{15}$ |
| Garden space | 43 86 | 31 | 12 | 15 | 19 |
| Each of the following items: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inside flush toilet, running hot water, electric light, and gas or electricity for cooking. | 55 | 3 | 10 | 8 | 34 |
| II. Families in survey, who owned principal home at end of schedule year |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 98 | 35 | 24 | 18 | 21 |
| Number of families living in-l-family detached house | 68 | 25 | 18 |  |  |
| 1-family semidetached or row house | 1 | 1 | 18 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 -family house | 29 | 9 | ${ }_{6}^{6}$ | 7 |  |
| Multiple dwelling ( 3 -family or more) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Dwelling with elevator- | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of families having-- |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bathroom in dwelling unit Toilet: Inside flush | 81 | 25 | 21 | 14 | 21 |
| Toilet: Inside flush $\begin{aligned} & \text { Outside flush } \\ & \text {....... }\end{aligned}$ | 94 | 33 0 | 22 1 | 18 | 21 0 |
| Other type. | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Sole use of toilet by household | 97 | 35 | 24 | 17 | 21 |
| Water: Inside dwelling..... | 97 | 35 | 23 | 18 | 21 |
| Running | 95 | 34 | 22 | 18 | 21 |
| Hot running | 75 | 20 |  | 16 | 19 |
| Not running...- | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Outside dwelling only | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |
| Sink. | 98 | 35 | 24 | 18 | 21 |
| Electric lights. | 96 | 34 | 24 | 18 | 20 |
| Gas or electricity for cooking | 37 | 5 | 8 | 9 | 15 |
| Refrigerator: Electric...... | 11 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 7 |
| Other mechanical | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ice.- | 57 | 18 | 14 | 14 | 11 |
| None | 30 | 15 |  | + | 3 |
| Hot air, hot water, or steam heat | 57 | 18 | 12 | 11 | 16 |
| Telephone.- | 47 | 10 | 7 | 15 | 15 |
| Garage | 52 | 14 | 13 | 12 | 13 |
| Garden space | ${ }_{6}^{63}$ | 26 | 14 | 11 | 12 |
| Play space Ef the following items: | 77 | 31 | 21 | 10 | 15 |
| Inside flush toilet, running hot water, electric light, and gas or electricity for cooking. | 35 | 4 | 8 | 9 | 14 |

Table 9.-Housing facilities, by economic level-Continued
PORTSMOUTH, KEENE, DOVER, LACONIA, AND OLAREMONT, N. H.

| Item | All families | Economic level-Families spending per expenditure unit per year |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Under $\$ 300$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 300 \text { to } \\ \$ 400 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 400 \text { to } \\ \$ 500 \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 500$ and over |
| Housing Facilities in Dwelling Occupted at End of Schedule Year <br> I. Families in survey who rented principal home at end of schedule year. |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 307 | 81 | 70 | 69 | 87 |
| A verage monthly rental rate at end of schedule year | \$17.67 | \$15.89 | \$15.70 | \$17.99 | \$20.64 |
| Number of families living in- | 68 | 14 | 19 | 16 | 19 |
| 1-family semidetached or row house | 41 | 19 | 5 | 9 | 8 |
| 2-family house | 132 | 35 | 30 | 28 | 39 |
| Multiple dwelling ( 3 -family or more). | 66 | 13 | 16 | 16 | 21 |
| Dwelling with elevator | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Dwelling with janitor service | 12 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 7 |
| Number of families having--. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bathroom in dwelling unit | 222 | 47 | 50 | 51 | 74 |
| Toilet: Inside flush | 296 | 76 | 68 | 66 | 86 |
| Outside flush | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other type. | 7 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 |
| Sole use of toilet by household. | 292 | 80 | 66 | 65 | 81 |
| Water: Inside dwelling | 304 | 80 | 69 | 68 | 87 |
| Running | 302 | 79 | 68 | 68 | 87 |
| Hot running | 176 | 32 | 35 | 41 | 68 |
| Not running | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Outside dwelling only | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Sink | 304 | 81 | 70 | 69 | 84 |
| Electric lights. | 294 | 74 | 67 | 66 | 87 |
| Gas or electricity for cooking. | 133 | 13 | 26 | 31 | 63 |
| Refrigerator: Electric. | 30 | 2 | 2 | 8 | 18 |
| Other mechanical | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ice... | 215 | 58 | 53 | 47 | 57 |
| None. | 62 | 21 | 15 | 14 | 12 |
| Hot air, hot water, or steam heat | 150 | 27 | 29 | 30 | 64 |
| Telephone.----..... | 71 | 6 | 9 | 20 | 36 |
| Garage...- | 110 | 21 | 27 | 23 | 39 |
| Garden space | 126 | 28 | 33 | 25 | 40 |
| Each of the following items: | 176 | 55 | 43 | 35 | 43 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inside flush toilet, running hot water, electric light, and gas or electricity for cooking | 98 | 10 | 16 | 20 | 52 |
| II. Families in survey who owned principal home at end of schedule year <br> Number of families living in- | 178 | 45 | 41 | 56 | 36 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| 1-family detached house | 132 | 29 | 36 | 43 | 24 |
| 1-family semidetached or row house | 7 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 3 |
| 2-family house | 39 | 14 | 5 | 11 | 9 |
| Multiple dwelling (3-family or more) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Dwelling with elevator-..- | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Dwelling with janitor service | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Number of families having- |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 151 | 33 | 34 | 50 | 34 |
| Toilet: Inside flush ... - | 171 | 42 | 39 | 55 | 35 |
| Outside flush. | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Other type....... | 5 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Sole use of toilet by household | 176 | 44 | 41 | 55 | 36 |
| Water: Inside dwelling | 176 | 44 | 41 | 56 | 35 |
| Running. | 176 | 44 | 41 | 56 | 35 |
| Hot running | 134 | 27 | 33 | 42 | 32 |
| Not running . . | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Outside dwelling only | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Sink. .-.... | 178 | 45 | 41 | 56 | 36 |
| Electric lights | 176 | 43 | 41 | 56 | 36 |
| Gas or electricity for cooking | 89 | 16 | 15 | 32 | 26 |
|  | 21 | 2 | 1 | 10 | 8 |
|  | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 125 | 32 | 34 | 36 | 23 |
|  | 30 | 11 | 6 | 9 | 4 |
| Hot air, hot water, or steam beat | 145 | 28 | 37 | 47 | 33 |
| Telephone. | 78 | 18 | 12 | 27 | 21 |
| Garage | 113 | 24 | 29 | 41 | 19 |
| Garden space | 130 | 33 | 35 | 42 | 20 |
| Play space --.-.-.-.-.-.-. | 139 | 41 | 33 | 40 | 25 |
| Each of the following items: |  |  |  |  |  |
| light, and gas or electricity for cooking | 76 | 13 | 14 | 26 | 23 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2 |

Table 9.-Housing facilities, by economic level-Continued
LITTLETON AND CONWAY, N. H.

| Item | $\underset{\text { All }}{\text { Amilies }}$ | Economic level-Families spending per expenditure unit per year |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\underset{\$ 300}{\text { Under }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 300 \\ \text { to } \$ 400 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 400 \\ & \text { to } \$ 500 \end{aligned}$ | $\$ 500$ and over |
| Housing Facilities in Dwelling Occupied at End of Schedule Year <br> I. Families in survey, who rented principal home at end of schedule year. |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 100 | 31 | 23 | 26 | 20 |
| Average monthly rental rate at end of schedule year....-. | \$15.30 | \$12.75 | \$15.51 | \$17.92 | \$15.60 |
| Number of families living in- |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-family detached house --..--....... | 35 4 | 19 1 1 | 2 | 8 0 | 6 1 |
| 2-family house..---------------1. | 33 | 6 | 11 | 9 | 7 |
| Multiple dwelling (3-family or more) | 28 | 5 | 8 | 9 | 6 |
| Dwelling with elevator | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Dwelling with janitor service | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Number of families having- |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bathroom in dwelling unit | 61 | 13 | 13 | 22 | 13 |
| Toilet: Inside flush .- | 81 | 21 | 20 | 25 | 15 |
| Outside flush | 13 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| Other type | 6 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 0 |
| Sole use of toilet by household | 98 | 30 | 23 | 25 | 20 |
| Water: Inside dwelling. | 99 | 31 | 22 | 26 | 20 |
| Running | 96 | 29 | 22 | 25 | 20 |
| Hot running | 56 | 14 | 13 | 17 | 12 |
| Not running. | 3 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Outside dwelling only | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Sink --------------- | 99 | 30 | 23 | 26 | 20 |
| Electric lights. | 97 | 30 | 22 | 26 | 19 |
| Gas or electricity for cooking | 5 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 |
| Refrigerator: Electric.-.-- | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| Other mechanical | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ice.. | 66 | 25 | 14 | 17 | 10 |
| None. | 31 | 6 | 9 | 7 | 9 |
| Hot air, hot water, or steam heat | 34 | 12 | 5 | 11 | 6 |
| Telephone.-.---..........-.-.-. | 11 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 2 |
| Garage....- | 41 | 12 | 7 | 10 | 12 |
| Garden space | 56 | 22 | 17 | 7 | 10 |
| Each of the following items: | 75 | 26 | 20 | 16 | 13 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inside flush toilet, running hot water, electric light, and gas or electricity for cooking. | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| II. Families in survey, who owned principal home at end of schedule year | 97 | 34 | 17 | 23 | 23 |
| Number of families living in- |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-family detached house..... | 83 | 31 | 13 | 17 | 22 |
| 1 -family semidetached or row house | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2-family house | 14 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 1. |
| Multiple diwelling (3-family or more) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Dwelling with janitor service | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Number of families having- |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bathroom in dwelling unit | 71 | 18 | 12 | 20 | 21 |
| Toilet: Inside flush. | 79 | 25 | 12 | 22 | 20 |
| Outside flush | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other type | 18 | 9 | 5 | 1 | 3 |
| Sole use of toilet by household | 95 | 32 | 17 | 23 | 23 |
| Water: Inside dwelling - | 96 | 33 | 17 | 23 | 23 |
| Running.... | 87 | 30 | 15 | 21 | 21 |
| Hot running | 60 | 20 | 12 | 19 | 9 |
| Not running---- | 8 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sink---------.-.- | 96 | 33 | 17 | 23 | 23 |
| Electric lights | 91 | 30 | 16 | 23 | 22 |
| Gas or electricity for cooking | 5 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| Refrigerator: Electric | 9 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| Other mechanical | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ice. | 55 | 17 | 10 | 15 | 13 |
| None. | 33 | 16 | 5 | 6 | 6 |
| Hot air, hot water, or steam heat | 43 | 6 | 6 | 13 | 18 |
| Telephone.-..-.-.-. | 44 | 7 | 8 | 14 | 15 |
| Garage.----.- | 60 | 18 | 8 | 17 | 17 |
|  | 76 | 26 | 13 | 20 | 17 |
|  | 81 | 33 | 14 | 19 | 15 |
| Each of the following items: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inside flush toilet, running hot water, electric light, and gas or electricity for cooking. | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 |

Table 9a.-Housing facilities
MARQUETTE, MICH.; MODESTO, CALIF.; AND RENO, NEV.

| Item | All families |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Marquette | Modesto | Reno |
| Housing Facilities in Dwelling Occupied at End of Schedule YearL. Families in survey, who rented principal home at end of schedule year |  |  |  |
| I. Families in survey, who rented principal home at end of schedule year | 75 | 90 | 76 |
| Average monthly rental rate at end of schedule year. | \$18.86 | \$19.35 | \$26.78 |
| Number of families living in- <br> 1-family detached house <br> 1 -family semidetached or row house. <br> 2-family house <br> Multiple dwelling (3-family or more) $\qquad$ <br> Dwelling with elevator <br> Dwelling with janitor service. |  |  |  |
|  | 30 | 75 | 51 |
|  | 2 | 4 | 5 |
|  | 33 | 4 | 2 |
|  | 10 0 | 7 0 | 18 |
|  | 0 | 2 | 17 |
| Number of families having- |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Sink | 73 | 90 | 76 |
| Electric lights --.-.-........ | 74 | 90 | 76 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Refrigerator: Electric ${ }^{\text {Other meehanical }}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | 11 | 69 | 51 |
|  | 45 | 5 | 42 |
| Telephone.. | 36 | 23 | 25 |
| Garage | 28 | 79 | 52 |
| Garden space | ${ }^{43}$ | 82 | 72 |
| Play space | 62 | 84 | 72 |
| Each of the following items: Inside fush toilet, running hot water, electric light, and gas or electricity for cooking. |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| 1 -family detached house | 69 | 58 | 72 |
|  | 0 | 3 0 0 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Dwelling with janitor service | 0 | 0 |  |
| Number of families having- |  |  |  |
| Toilet: Inside flush |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Sole use of toilet by household |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Not running | 1 | 0 |  |
| Outside dwelling only | 0 | 59 |  |
| Sink | 72 | 61 | 73 |
| Electric lights | 73 | 61 | 73 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Ice only |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | 50 | 28 | 65 |
| Garage | 58 | 59 | 68 |
| Garden space | 65 | 60 | 73 |
| Play space. <br> Each of the following items: Inside flush toilet. running hot | 73 | 58 | 73 |
|  | 29 | 50 | 39 |

## Table 10.-Housing expenditures, by economic level

NASHUA, CONCORD, AND BERLIN, N. H.

| Item | $\underset{\text { families }}{\text { All }}$ | Economic level-Families spending per expenditure unit per year |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Under } \\ & \$ 300 \end{aligned}$ | $\$ 300 \text { to }$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 400 \text { to } \\ \$ 500 \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 500$ <br> and <br> over |
| Housing Expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. All families in survey 1 | 299 | 88 | 74 | 52 | 85 |
| Average number of persons in economic family | 3.84 | 5.41 | 3.87 | 3.26 | 2.53 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of families investing inPrincipal home. | 44 | 16 | 11 | 7 | 10 |
|  | a | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Number of families having current expenditure forOwned principal home: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Taxes. | 97 | 36 | 23 | 17 | 21 |
| Assessments --.-.....-. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Repairs and replacements | 38 | 8 | 12 | 7 | 11 |
| Fire insurance on home..... | 32 | 9 | 8 | 9 | 6 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Liability insurance on home | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Interest on mortgages | 46 | 17 | 12 | 9 | 8 |
| Refinancing chargesRented principal home: | , | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rent (gross rent less conces | 205 | 53 | 53 | 35 | 64 |
| Repairs by tenant Secondary housing: | 10 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Owned vacation home | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Rent on vacation or trips | 9 | 1 | 2 | 2 |  |
| Rent at school. | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Principal home, total | $\$ 21.84$ 17.12 | \$11.62 | \$24. 16.02 | $\$ 53.58$ 52.05 | \$11. 06 5.53 |
| Improvements on home. | 4.72 | 3.01 | 8.08 | 1.53 | 5.53 |
| Vacation home-......-- | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average current expenditure for-Owned principal home, total.Taxes | 47.01 | 37.94 | 45. 98 | 40. 44 | 61. 34 |
|  | 19.85 | 18.76 |  | 19. 70 | 23.14 |
| Tassessments | 12.13 | 2.70 | $\stackrel{0}{12.98}$ |  |  |
| Repairs and replacemen | 12. 13 | 2.70 | 12. 98 | 4.05 | 26.07 |
| Liability insurance on $h$ | 2. 19 | 2.28 | 2.72 | 2.88 | 1. 23 |
|  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |
| Ground rent | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Interest on mortgages | 12. 26 | 13.90 | 12. 23 | 11.79 | 10.90 |
|  |  |  |  | 2.02 |  |
| Rented principal home, total ---.--Rent (gross rent less concessions) | 148.88 | 102.33 | 138.32 | 146. 20 | 207.90 |
|  | 148. 28 | 102.31 | 138.02 | 145. 25 | 206.65 |
| Repairs by tenant. | . 60 | . 02 | . 30 | . 95 | 1. 25 |
| Secondary housing, total. | 1.39 | .13 | 14 | 1.88 | 3.46 |
|  | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Owned vacation home. Rent on vacation or trips | . 62 | 13 | . 14 | 1.43 | 1.04 |
| Rent at school | . 77 |  | , | . 45 | 2.42 |
| Average number of rooms in dwelling unit... Number of families living in dwellings with | 5.44 | 5.25 | 5.57 | 5.44 | 5.53 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 4 rooms..4 rooms. |  |  | 6 | 0 |  |
|  | 62 | 22 | 12 | 8 | 20 |
| 5 4 rooms... | 86 | 26 | 20 | 19 | 21 |
| 6 rooms 7 rooms or more | 77 | 24 | 19 | 15 | 19 |
|  | 58 | 12 | 17 | 10 | 19 |
| II. Families who owned their principal home for 12 months. A verage number of persons in economic family. | 94 | 35 | 21 | 17 | 21 |
|  | 4.61 | 6.07 | 4.79 | 3.49 | 2.91 |
| Average number of persons in household..........-- -- | 4.82 | 6.15 | 5.04 | 3.99 | 3.06 |
| Number of families who invested during the schedule year in owned principal home | 43 | 16 | 10 | 7 | 10 |
| Average amount invested during schedule year, total Paymt. on principal of mortgage and down paymt | \$53.09 | \$31.19 | \$87.17 | \$5E. 73 | \$53.27 |
|  | 37.35 | 23.63 | 58. 69 | 51.06 | 27. 79 |
|  | 15. 74 | 7.56 | 28.48 | 4. 67 | 25.48 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 148. 25 | 95.40 | 156. 14 | 123.69 | 248.30 |
| Taxes_...-. | 63.01 | 47. 18 | 60.97 | 60.27 | 93.68 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| Repairs and replacements Fire insurance on home | 37.41 | 6. 80 | 40. 55 | 12.39 | 105.52 |
|  | 6. 98 | 5.72 | 9.57 | 8.80 | 5. 00 |
| Fire insurance on home-.... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Liability insurance on home | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Interest on mortgages | 38.99 | 34.95 | 42.97 | 36.06 | 44. 10 |
|  | 1.86 | . 75 | 2.08 | 6. 17 | 0 |

${ }^{1}$ The total number of families surveyed includes those in each of the 4 subgroups shown in this table (i. e. home owners, house renters, apartment renters with heat included in rent, and apartment renters with heat not included in rent) and also families who changed their housing status during the year for whom no separate subgroup is shown.

Table 10.-Housing expenditures, by economic level-Continued
NASHUA, CONCORD, AND BERLIN, N. H.--Continued

| Item | $\underset{\text { families }}{\text { All }}$ | Economic level-Families spending per expenditure unit per year |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Under $\$ 300$ | $\$ 300$ to $\$ 400$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 400 \text { to } \\ \$ 500 \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 500$ and over |
| Housing Expenditures-Continued <br> II. Families who owned their principal home for 12 monthsContinued. <br> A verage estimated annual rental value. Average imputed income from equity in owned principal home.. | $\$ 286.41$ <br> 138. 16 | \$240. 55 | \$271.08 | \$295.88 | \$370. 53 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A verage number of rooms in dwelling unit | 5.99 | 5.85 | 6.19 | 5. 94 | 6.05 |
| Number of families living in dwellings with- |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 4 rooms. .-........... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 rooms. | 9 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| 5 rooms. | 20 | 8 | 2 | 5 | 5 |
| 6 rooms. | 28 | 12 | 7 | 5 | 4 |
| 7 rooms or more | 37 | 11 | 10 | 6 | 10 |
| III. Families who rented house for 12 months | 50 | 7 | 11 | 17 | 15 |
| A verage number of persons in economic family | 3.27 | 4. 00 | 3.88 | 3.21 | 2.55 |
| A verage number of persons in household. | 3. 44 | 4.16 | 4.07 | 3.52 | 2. 57 |
| A verage expenditure for rented principal home, total | \$217. 69 | \$161.14 | \$166. 47 | \$224. 76 | \$273.61 |
| Rent (gross rent less concessions) ....-....----.-. | 215. 68 | 161.14 | 166.47 | 222. 31 | 269.69 |
| Repairs by tenant. | 2.01 | 0 | 0 | 2.45 | 3.92 |
| A verage monthly rental rate | 18.04 | 13.43 | 14.17 | 18.53 | 22. 47 |
| Average number of rooms in dwelling unit | 5.58 | 5. 28 | 5. 36 | 5.71 | 5. 75 |
| Number of families living in dwellings with- | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 rooms. | 9 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| 5 rooms. | 11 | 0 | 3 | 7 | 1 |
| 6 rooms. | 18 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 8 |
|  | 11 | , | 3 | 4 | 3 |
| IV. Families who rented apartment for 12 months with heat included in rent | 17 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 11 |
| A verage number of persons in economic family.-------- | 3.07 | 2.26 | 5.52 | 4.20 | 2. 27 |
| A verage number of persons in household. | 3.26 | 2. 10 | 5. 52 | 4. 20 | 2. 58 |
| A verage expenditure for rented principal home, total | \$412. 41 | \$264. 29 | \$412.62 | \$407. 89 | \$426.63 |
| Rent (gross rent less concessions) .-...........-- | 412.41 | 264.29 | 412.62 | 407.89 | 426.63 |
| Repairs by tenant.-...---...-. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Average monthly rental rat | 34.37 | 22.02 | 34.39 | 33.99 | 35. 55 |
| A verage number of rooms in dwelling unit | 4.65 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 5. 50 | 4.73 |
| Number of families living in dwellings with- |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 4 rooms.-....... | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| 4 rooms. | 6 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 4 |
| 5 rooms. | 4 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 6 rooms | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 7 rooms or more | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| V. Families who rented apartment for 12 months with heat |  |  |  |  |  |
| not included in rent. <br> Averger number of persons in economie family | 135 369 | 5.44 | 37 3 | 16 | +38 |
| Average number of persons in economic family A verage number of persons in household | 3. 69 <br> 3.77 | 5.29 5.28 | 3.42 3.47 | 2.96 <br> 3.02 | 2.39 2.62 |
| Average number of persons in hous |  | 5. 28 | 3. 47 | 3.02 | 2.62 |
| A verage expenditure for ronted principal home, total. | \$194. 97 | \$174. 24 | \$183. 61 | \$185. 56 | \$234.00 |
| Rent (gross rent less concessions) ............-. - | 194.36 | 174.19 | 183.02 | 184.88 | 232.74 |
| Repairs by tenant ..... | . 61 | . 05 | . 59 | . 68 | 1.26 |
| Average monthly rental rate | 16. 21 | 14.52 | 15. 25 | 15.41 | 19.43 |
| Average number of rooms in dwelling unit. Number of families living in dwellings with- | 4.85 | 4. 73 | 4.97 | 4.88 | 4.87 |
| Less than 4 rooms. - .--------....... | 12 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| 4 rooms. | 37 | 13 | 7 | 6 | 11 |
| 5 rooms | 51 | 18 | 14 | 6 | 13 |
| 6 rooms | 29 | 9 | 10 | 4 | 6 |
| 7 rooms or more. | 6 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 |

## Table 10.-Housing expenditures, by economic level-Continued

PORTSMOUTH, KEENE, DOVER, LACONIA, AND CLAREMONT, N. H.

| Item | All families | Economic level-Families spending per expenditure unit per year |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Under $\$ 300$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 300 \text { to } \\ \$ 400 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 400 \text { to } \\ \$ 500 \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 500$ and over |
| Housing Expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |
| I. All families in survey ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 485 | 126 | 111 | 125 | 123 |
| Average number of persons in economic farnily | 3. 54 | 5. 21 | 3.59 | 2.86 | 2.46 |
| A verage number of persons in household. ... | 3. 79 | 5.45 | 3.84 | 3.17 | 2.66 |
| Number of families investing inPrincipal home. | 90 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 18 |
| Vacation home | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Number of families having current expenditure forOwned principal home: |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 176 | 44 | 41 | 55 | 36 |
| Assessments | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Repairs and replacements | 80 | 11 | 23 | 25 | 21 |
| Fire insurance on home. | 64 | 17 | 14 | 19 | 14 |
| Liability insurance on home | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ground rent......... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Interest on mortgages | 90 | 28 | 26 | 24 | 12 |
| Refinancing charges | 2 | 0 | 1. | 1 | 0 |
| Rented principal home: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rent (gross rent less concessions) | 309 | 81 | 70 | 69 | 89 |
| Repairs by tenant-.----------------------------------- | 32 | 7 | 7 | 5 | 13 |
| Secondary housing: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Owned vacation home | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Rent on vacation or trips | 19 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 9 |
| Rent at school.....--. | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| Average amount invested during schedule year in ownedPrincipal home, total | \$22.41 | \$15. 20 | \$30. 02 | \$24. 70 | \$20. 61 |
| Payment on principal of mortgage and down payment. | 13.89 | 8.25 | 18.55 | 15.87 | 13. 44 |
| Improvements on home | 8.52 | 6.95 | 11.47 | 8.83 | 7.17 |
| Vacation home.--.--- | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A verage current expenditure for- |  |  |  |  |  |
| Owned principal home. total -- | 49.26 | 35.90 | 56. 62 | 55.87 | 49.56 |
| Taxes | 22.66 | 17.75 | 23.71 | 29.06 | 20.24 |
| Assessments. | . 14 | 0 | 0 | . 53 | 0 |
| Repairs and replacements | 10.17 | 3.40 | 10.91 | 11.59 | 15. 00 |
| Fire insurance on home- | 2.41 | 2. 32 | 2.82 | 2. 36 | 2. 18 |
| Liability insurance on home | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Ground rent. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Interest on mortgages | 13.80 | 12.43 | 19.01 | 12. 17 | 12. 14 |
| Refinancing charges | . 08 | 0 | . 17 | 16 | 0 |
| Rented principal home, total | 135. 28 | 120.70 | 121.38 | 122.60 | 176. 50 |
| Rent (gross rent less concessions) | 134.21 | 120.22 | 119.53 | 122. 26 | 174.76 |
| Repairs by tenant-- | 1.07 | . 48 | 1. 85 | . 34 | 1.74 |
| Secondary housing, total | 1. 10 | . 43 | . 50 | 1.13 | 2.29 |
| Owned vacation home | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Rent on vacation or trips | . 57 | . 43 | . 50 | . 39 | . 97 |
| Rent at school......-..... | . 53 | 0 | 0 | . 74 | 1.32 |
| A verage number of rooms in dwelling unit | 5.71 | 5.87 | 5.73 | 5.67 | 5.57 |
| Number of families living in dwellings with- |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 4 rooms.- | 32 | 3 | 6 | 10 | 13 |
| 4 rooms. | 60 | 15 | 15 | 18 | 12 |
| 5 rooms | 125 | 34 | 30 | 28 | 33 |
| 6 rooms. | 131 | 40 | 22 | 33 | 36 |
| 7 rooms or more | 137 | 34 | 38 | 36 | 29 |
| II. Families who owned their principal home for 12 months. | 180 | 46 | 42 | 53 | 39 |
| A verage number of persons in economic family. | 3. 65 | 5. 35 | 3.81 | 3.06 | 2.32 |
| A verage number of persons in household -...-...-.-........ | 3.95 | 5.56 | 4. 08 | 3.45 | 2. 59 |
| Number of families who invested during the schedule year in owned principal home. | 87 | 22 | 24 | 24 | 17 |
| A verage amount invested during schedule year, total ....- | \$64. 54 | \$49.44 | \$79.83 | \$62.14 | \$69.14 |
| Payment on principal of mortgage and down payment | 41. 24 | 24. 20 | 49.52 | 41.20 | 52.46 |
| Improvements on home.---.-----.--.- | 23.30 | 25.24 | 30.31 | 20.94 | 16. 68 |
| A verage current housing expenditures on owned principal |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 131. 70 | 98.21 | 149.65 | 131.76 | 151. 72 |
| Taxes.-- | 60.85 | 48.63 | 62.67 | 68.54 | 62.83 |
| Assessments | . 37 | 0 | 0 | 1. 24 | 0 |
| Repairs and replacements | 27.40 | 9.31 | 28.84 | 27. 34 | 47. 29 |
| Fire instrance on home. | 5.72 | 6. 36 | 7.44 | 5. 56 | 3.32 |
| Liability insurance on home. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ground rent.- | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Interest on mortgages. | 37. 14 | 33.91 | 50.24 | 28.71 | 38.28 |
| Refinancing charges....-. | . 22 | 0 | . 46 | . 37 | 0 |

${ }_{1}$ The total number of families surveyed includes those in each of the 4 subgroups shown in this table (i. e., home owners, house renters, apartment renters with heat included in rent, and apartment renters with heat not included in rent) and also families who changed their housing status during the year for whom no separate subgroup is shown.

Table 10.-Housing expenditures, by economic level-Continued
PORTSMOUTH, KEENE, DOVER, LACONIA, AND CLAREMONT', N. H.-Continued

| Item | $\underset{\text { families }}{\text { All }}$ | Economic level-Families spending per expenditure unit per year |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Under $\$ 300$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 300 \text { to } \\ \$ 400 \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 400 \text { to }$ | $\$ 500$ <br> and <br> nver |
| Housing Expenditures--Continued |  |  |  |  |  |
| II. Families who owned their principal home for 12 monthsContinued. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average estimated annual rental vaiue A verage imputed income from equity in owned principal | \$279.90 | \$204. 29 | \$287.07 | \$329. 79 | \$293. 55 |
| home.-. | 148. 20 | 106.08 | 137.42 | 198.01 | 141.83 |
| $\Lambda$ verage number of rooms in dwelling unit. Number of families living in dwellings with- | 6. 36 | 6.39 | 6. 52 | 6. 79 | 5.56 |
| Less than 4 rooms. | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 4 rooms. | 4 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| 5 rooms. | 30 | 5 | 7 | 11 | 7 |
| 6 rooms | 66 | 18 | 12 | 20 | 16 |
| 7 rooms or more | 77 | 21 | 23 | 20 | 13 |
| III. Families who rented house for 12 months | 103 | 31 | 24 | 24 | 24 |
| A verage number of persons in economic family | 3.86 | 5. 55 | 3.46 | 3. 10 | 2. 84 |
| Average number of persons in household. | 4.14 | 5.78 | 3. 63 | 3.57 | 3.09 |
| A verage expenditure for rented principal home, total | \$226.42 | \$209. 73 | \$185. 55 | \$224. 71 | \$299. 58 |
| Rent (gross rent less concessions). | 224.31 | 208.89 | 182. 22 | 223.08 | 287.54 |
| Repairs by tenant. | 2.11 | . 84 | 3. 33 | 1. 63 | 2.99 |
| A verage monthly rental rate | 18. 69 | 17.41 | 15. 18 | 18.59 | 23.96 |
| A verage number of rooms in dwelling unit - | 5.82 | 5.93 | 5.83 | 5.21 | 6. 25 |
| Number of families living in dwellings with- |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 4 rooms | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| 4 rooms. | 19 | 9 | 5 | 5 | 0 |
| 5 rooms. | 20 | 7 | 6 | 4 | 3 |
| 6 rooms. | 22 | 7 | 5 | 2 | 8 |
| 7 rooms or more. | 39 | 8 | 8 | 11 | 12 |
| IV. Families who rented apartment for 12 months with heat |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 27 | 2 | 5 | 8 | 12 |
| A verage number of persons in economic family | 3.05 | 5.82 | 3.01 | 2. 75 | 2. 80 |
| A verage number of persons in household | 3.22 | 5. 82 | 3.07 | 2.95 | 3.02 |
| A verage expenditure for rented principal home, total | \$318.70 | \$343. 82 | \$243.93 | \$325. 20 | \$343. 42 |
| Rent (gross rent less concessions) | 317.92 | 343.82 | 243.93 | 325.05 | 341. 68 |
| Repairs by tenant .- .-....-.-.... | . 78 | 0 | 0 | . 15 | 1. 74 |
| A verage monthly rental rate | 26.49 | 28.65 | 20.33 | 27.09 | 28.47 |
| A verage number of rooms in dwelling unit | 3.96 | 4. 50 | 3.60 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| Number of families living in dwellings with- |  |  |  |  |  |
| Luss than 4 rooms. | 12 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 5 |
| 4 rooms | 6 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 5 rooms | 7 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 7 rooms or more | 0 | $1)$ | 13 | , | 0 |
| V. Families who rented apartment for 12 months with heat |  |  |  |  |  |
| not included in rent.. ... .-. | 171 | $4{ }^{4}$ | 34 | 39 | 46 |
| Average number of persons in economic family | 3.37 | 4, 92 | 3. 60 | 2.45 | 2. 411 |
| A rerage number of persons in hotusehold | 3. 58 | 5. 21 | 3.91 | 2.57 | 2.30 |
| A verage expenditure for rented principal home, total | \$194. 36 | \$174.96 | \$190. 18 | \$183.97 | \$226. 10 |
| Rent (gross rent less concessions). .-. | 193.23 | 174.22 | 189.35 | 183.90 | 223. 44 |
| Repairs by tenant....- .-.-........ | 1.13 | - 74 | . 83 | . 07 | 2. 66 |
| A verage monthly rental rate. | 16.13 | 14. 52 | 15.78 | 15.35 | 18. 69 |
| A verage number of rooms in dwelling unit | 5. 19 | 5.37 | 5.08 | 5.03 | 5. 24 |
| Number of families living in dwellings with- |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 4 rooms. | 13 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 4 |
| 4 rooms. | 31 | 5 | 9 | 9 | 8 |
| 5 rooms. | 67 | 21 | 16 | 10 | 20 |
| 6 rooms. | 38 | 14 | 4 | 10 | 10 |
| 7 rooms or more | 21 | 5 | 7 | 5 | 4 |

## Table 10.-Housing expenditures, by economic level-Continued

LITTLETON AND CONWAY; N. H.

| Item | All | Economic level-Families spending per expenditure unit per year |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Under } \\ & \$ 300 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 300 \text { to } \\ \$ 400 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 400 \text { to } \\ & \$ 500 \end{aligned}$ | $\$ 500$ <br> and <br> over |
| Housing Expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 197 | 65 | 40 | 49 | 43 |
|  | 3.62 | 5. 23 | 3. 41 | 2.74 | 2.40 |
|  | 3.77 | 5.31 | 3. 58 | 2.97 | 2.51 |
| Number of families investing inPrincipal home |  |  |  |  |  |
| Principal hom | 39 | 14 | 9 | 8 | 8 |
| Number of families having current expenditure forOwned principal home: |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 97 | 36 | 16 | 24 | 19 |
| Assessments...-......- | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Repairs and replacement | 42 | 13 | 7 | 9 | 13 |
|  | 40 | 15 | $\stackrel{5}{5}$ | 8 | 12 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ground rent.........-... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Interest on mortgages | 47 | 19 | 10 | 5 | 13 |
| Refinancing charges | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Rent (gross rent lessRepairs by tenant. | 103 | 31 | 24 | 26 |  |
|  | 9 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 |
| Repairs by tenantSecondary housing:Owned vacation hom |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Owned vacation home Rent on vacation or tr | ${ }^{6}$ | 0 | 3 0 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Average amount invested during schedule year in ownedPrincipal home total |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \$21. 92 | \$13. 25 | \$26. 24 | \$21. 12 | \$31. 95 |
| Payment on principal of mortgage and down payment- | 12. 26 | 9.76 | 14.78 | 7.09 | 19.61 |
| Improvements on home. | 9.66 | 3.49 | 11.46 | 14.03 | 12, 34 |
| Vacation home. | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| A verage current expenditure forOwned principal home, total |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 49.91 | 37.86 | 38. 28 | 49. 47 | 79, 41 |
| Owned principal home, total Taxes. | 23.42 | 18.47 | 19.74 | 28. 17 | 28.91 |
| Taxes | . 02 |  |  |  | 08 |
| Repairs and replacements | 9. 26 | 4.11 | 4.05 | 12.78 | 17.86 |
| Fire insurance on home...... | 3.94 | 3. 89 | 2.75 | 2.86 | 6.32 |
|  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Liability insurance on home | 0 | 0 | ${ }^{0}$ |  |  |
| Interest on mortgages | 12.76 | 10.66 | 11.74 | 5. 66 | 24. 99 |
| Refinancing charges. | 51 | 73 | , |  | 1.25 |
| Rented principal home, totalRent (gross rent less concessions) | 92.60 | 72. 86 | 106.94 | 115.51 | 82.98 |
|  | 92.04 | 72. 39 | 105. 72 | 115. 22 | 82.58 |
| Repairs by tenantSecondary housing, total. | 56 | . 47 | 1.22 | 29 | 40 |
|  | 1. 05 |  | 1.67 |  | 3. 24 |
| Secondary housing, total. Owned vacation home Rent on |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Rent on vacation or tripsRent at school...--. | . 57 | 0 | 1.67 | 0 | 1.06 |
|  | 48 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.18 |
| Average number of rooms in dwelling unit. Number of families living in dwellings with | 5.69 | 5.72 | 5.58 | 5.65 | 5.79 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of families living in dwellings withLess than 4 rooms. | 15 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 3 |
| ${ }_{5} 4$ rooms. | 56 | 13 | 8 |  |  |
|  | 51 | 15 | 8 | 15 | 3 |
| 6 rooms. | 47 | 15 | 11 | 13 | 8 |
| 7 rooms or more | 48 | 17 | 8 | 13 | 10 |
| II. Families who owned their principal home for 12 months | 93 | 36 | 16 | 24 | 17 |
| A verage number of persons in household | 4.01 | 5.62 | 3.81 | 2.34 | 3.18 |
|  | 4.23 | 5.71 | 4.15 | 2.69 | 3.37 |
| Average number of persons in household <br> Number of families who invested during the schedule year in owned principal home | 35 | 12 | 9 | 8 | 6 |
| A verage amount invested during schedule year, total..... | \$50.99 | \$45.47 | \$65.61 | \$43.12 | \$60.04 |
| Payment on principal of mortgage and down payment- | 25.98 | 17.62 | 36.95 | 14.47 | 49.61 |
| Improvements on home.- | 25. 01 | 28.85 | 28.66 | 28.65 | 10. 43 |
| A verage current housing expenditures on owned principal home, total |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 104. 65 | 67. 29 | 95. 74 | 101.00 | 197.26 |
| Taxes....-.- | 48.63 | 32.53 | 49.35 | 57.52 | 69.51 |
|  | . 04 |  |  |  | 21 |
| Repairs and replacements | 19.51 | 7.17 | 10. 14 | 26.08 | 45.18 |
| Fire insurance on home | 8.34 | 7.03 | 6.89 | 5.85 | 15.99 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Liability insurance on home | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Interest on mortgage Refinancing charges | 27.04 | 19. 25 | 29.36 | 11.55 | 63.21 |
|  | 1.09 | 1.31 | 0 | 0 | 3.16 |

${ }^{1}$ The total number of families surveyed includes those in each of the 4 subgroups shown in this table (i. e., home owners, house renters, apartment renters with heat included in rent, and apartment renters with heat not included in rent) and also families who changed their housing status during the year for whom no separate subgroup is shown.

Table 10.-Housing expenditures, by economic level-Continued
LITtLETON AND CONWAY, N. H.--Continued

| Item | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { fami- } \\ \text { lies } \end{gathered}$ | Economic level-Families spending per expenditure unit per year |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Under | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 300 \\ & \text { to } \\ & \$ 400 \end{aligned}$ | $\$ 400$ to $\$ 800$ | $\$ 500$ and over |
| Housing Expenditures-Continued | $\$ 232.36$127.71 | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{r} \$ 167.70 \\ 100.41 \end{array}\right.$ | $\$ 244.10$ <br> 148. 37 | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 242.95 \\ 141.94 \end{array}$ | $\$ 343.32$ <br> 146.05 |
| II. Families who owned their principal home for 12 monthsContinued. <br> A verage estimated annual rental value. <br> A verage imputed income from equity in owned principal home |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A verage number of rooms in dwelling unit. | 5.84 | 5. 61 | 5.81 | 6.12 | 5.94 |
| Number of families living in dwelling with- | 3 |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 4 rooms.. |  | 2 |  | 0 | 2 |
| 4 rooms. | 9 | 4 | 1 | 1 |  |
| 5 rooms. | 23 | 11 | 2 <br> 2 |  | 4 |
| 6 rooms | 23 | 8 | 5 | 6 | 4 |
| 7 rooms or more | 35 | 11 | 6 | 11 | 8 |
| III. Families who rented house for 12 months | 36 | 17 | 5 | 6 |  |
| A verage number of persons in economic family. | 4.08 | 5.27 | 2.68 | 4. 26 | 2.29 |
| A verage number of persons in household | 4. 25 | 5.43 | 2.70 | 4.49 | 2.51 |
| A verage expenditure for rented principal home, total | \$166.54 | $\$ 170.27$169.53 | \$95.73 | \$283.76 | \$114.95 |
| Rent (gross rent less concessions). ........ | 165.56 |  | 95.73 | 281.88 | 113.54 |
| Repairs by tenant......-........ | . 48 | 169.53 .74 | $\stackrel{0}{7.98}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.88 \\ 23.49 \end{array}$ | 1.41 |
| Average monthly rental rate | 13.80 | 14.13 |  |  | 9.46 |
| A verage number of rooms in dwelling unit | 5. 44 | 5.41 | 4. 60 | 5.83 | 5.75 |
| Number of families living in dwellings with- | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 4 rooms. |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 4 rooms. | 8 | 1 | 1 | 0 1 | 1 |
| 5 rooms. | 8 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| 6 rooms. | 8 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| 7 rooms or more | 10 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 3 |
| IV. Families who rente $d$ apartment for 12 months with heat included in rent. | 6 | 0 |  | 1 | 41.70 |
| A verage number of persons in conomic family | 2. 10 | 0 | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ \text { 3. } 39 \end{array}$ | 2.36 |  |
| A verage number of persons in household | 2.10 | 0 | 3. 39 | 2.36 | 1. 70 |
| Average expenditure for rented principal home, total.- | $\begin{gathered} \$ 240.68 \\ 240.68 \\ 0 \\ 20.06 \end{gathered}$ | 0 | \$271.03 | $\$ 353.79$353.79 | \$204. 82 |
| Rent (gross rent less concessions). |  | 0 | 271.03 |  | 204.82 |
| Repairs by tenant |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
| A verage monthly rental rat |  | 0 | 22.59 | 29.48 | 17.07 |
| A verage number of rooms in dwelling unit | 4.17 | 0 | 4. 00 | 3.00 | 4. 50 |
| Number of families living in dwellings with- | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 4 rooms.. |  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 03010 |
| 4 rooms. | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |
| 5 rooms | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 6 rooms. | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 7 rooms or more | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| $V$ Families who rented apartment for 12 months with heat |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| not included in rent. | 583.11 | $\begin{array}{r} 10 \\ 4.79 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18 \\ & 3.25 \\ & 3.32 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18 \\ 2.77 \\ 2.87 \end{array}$ | 121.991.99 |
| A verage number of persons in economic family |  |  |  |  |  |
| A verage number of persons in household | 3.16 | 4.79 |  |  |  |
| A verage expenditure for rented principal home, total. | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 184.73 \\ 183.43 \\ 1.30 \\ 15.28 \end{array}$ | $\$ 181.64$ 179.87 14.99 | 1\$196.03 | \$200. 20 | \$147. 13 |
| Rent (gross rent less concessions) |  |  | 193.33 | 200.04 | 146. 64 |
| Repairs by tenant |  |  | 2. 70 | . 16 | 49 |
| Average monthly rental rate |  |  | 16. 11 | 16.67 | 12. 22 |
| A verage number of rooms in dwelling unit | 4.83 | 4.80 | 5.08) | 4.89 | 4.42 |
| Number of families living in dwellings with-- |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| Less than 4 rooms.-- | 8 |  | 2 | 23 |  |
| 4 rooms. | 14 | 4 | 4 | 3 2 <br> 8 6 |  |
| 5 rooms. | 2013 | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| 6 rooms |  | 2 | 52 | 50 | 10 |
| 7 roomsfor more | 3 | 1 |  |  |  |

## Table 10a.-Housing expenditures

MARQUETTE, MICH.; MODESTO, CALIF.; AND RENO, NEV.

${ }^{1}$ The total number of families surveyed includes those in each of the 4 subgroups shown in this table (i. e. home owners, house renters, apartment renters with heat included in rent, and apartment renters with beat not included in rent) and also families whe changed their housing status during the year, for whom no separate subgroup is shown.

Table 10a.-Housing expenditures-Continued
MARQUETTE, MICH.; MODESTO, CALIF.; AND RENO, NEV.-Oontinued

| Item | All families |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Marquette | Modesto | Reno |
| Housing Expenditures-Continued |  |  |  |
| II. Families who owned their principal home for 12 months-Continued. Average estimated annual rental value <br> Average imputed income from equity in owned principal home | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 306.07 \\ 226.23 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 284.11 \\ 156.80 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 400.14 \\ 259.89 \end{gathered}$ |
| Average number of rooms in dwelling unit | 6.36 | 5.07 | 5. 20 |
| Number of families living in dwellings with- |  |  |  |
| Less than 4 rooms | 2 | 5 | 2 |
| 4 rooms. | 5 | 11 | 19 |
| 5 rooms. | 11 | 20 | 27 |
| 6 rooms. | 23 | 17 | 15 |
| 7 rooms or more | 31 | 4 | 8 |
| III. Families who rented house for 12 months | 29 | 78 | 56 |
| A verage number of persons in economic family | 4.38 | 3.40 | 3.37 |
| A verage number of persons in household | 4.69 | 3.54 | 3.41 |
| A verage expenditure for rented principal home, total. | \$223.92 | \$235. 53 | \$301.89 |
| Rent (gross rent less concessions) - .-......- | 222.50 | 234.78 | 301.71 |
| Repairs by tenant. | 1.42 |  |  |
| Average monthly rental rate. | 18.54 | 19.56 | 25.16 |
| Average number of rooms in dwelling unit | 5. 76 | 4.64 | 4.71 |
| Number of families living in dwellings with- Less than 4 rooms |  |  |  |
| Less than 4 rooms | 0 | 14 | 11 |
| 5 5rooms.- | 5 6 | 30 | 14 |
| 6 rooms. | 12 | 14 | 14 |
| 7 rooms or more | 6 | 2 | 3 |
| IV. Framilies who rented apartment for 12 months with heat included in |  |  |  |
| rent <br> Average number of persons in economic family | 16 3 |  | 16 2.58 |
| A verage number or persons in ec | 3. 3.12 3.12 | 4.00 4.00 | ${ }_{2.68}^{2.58}$ |
| A verage expenditure for rented principal home, total | \$282. 33 | 177.50 | \$308. 59 |
| Rent (gross rent less concessions) | 281.64 | 177.50 | 308.59 |
| Repairs by tenant.... |  | 0 |  |
| Average monthly rental rate. | 23. 47 | 14.79 | 25.72 |
| Average number of rooms in dwelling unit, | 4.35 | 2.00 | 3.06 |
| Number of families living in dwellings with- |  |  |  |
| Less than 4 rooms. | 2 | 1 | 13 |
| 4 rooms. | 7 | 0 | 2 |
| 5 rooms | ${ }^{6}$ | 0 | 1 |
| 7 r rooms or more | $\stackrel{1}{0}$ | 0 0 | 0 |
| V. Families who rented apartment for 12 months with heat not included |  |  |  |
|  | 30 | 10 | 4 |
| A verage number of persons in economic family | 4. 17 | 2.40 | 3. 00 |
| A verage number of persons in household. | 4.28 | 2.44 | 2.98 |
| Average expenditure for rented principal home, total | \$204. 09 | \$183. 62 | \$231.75 |
| Rent (gross rent less concessions). | 203.61 | 183.62 | 231.75 |
| Repairs by tenant. |  |  |  |
| Average monthly rental rate | 16.98 | 15. 30 | 19.31 |
| Average number of rooms in dwelling unit | 4.72 | 3.40 | 3.25 |
| Number of families living in dwellings with- |  |  |  |
| Less than 4 rooms. | 3 | 5 | 2 |
| 4 rooms. | 9 | 4 | 2 |
| 5 frooms | 7 | 1 | 0 |
| 7 rooms or more | 0 | 0 | 0 |

[^18]Table 11.-Fuel, light, and refrigeration expenditures, by economic level NASHUA, OONOORD, AND BERLIN, N. H.


1 Less than . 05 cent.

Table 11.-Fuel, light, and refrigeration expenditures, by economic level-Con. NASHUA, CONCORD, AND BERLIN, N. H.-Continued

| Item | All families | Economic level-Families spending per expenditure unit per year |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Under | $\begin{gathered} \$ 300 \text { to } \\ \$ 400 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 400 \text { to } \\ \$ 500 \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 500$ and over |
| Fuel, Light, and Refrigeration Expenditures--Con. |  |  |  |  |  |
| II. Number of families in houses making payments for heat separately from rent | 143 | 42 | 32 | 34 | 35 |
| Electricity | 141 | 41 | 31 | 34 | 35 |
| Anthracite.--- | 75 | 20 | 20 | 16 | 19 |
| Bituminous coal | 10 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| Coke. | 14 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 6 |
| Briquets | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Wood . | 96 | 29 | 24 | 20 | 23 |
| Fuel oil | 80 | 22 | 18 | 22 | 18 |
| Gas. | 55 | 9 | 7 | 16 | 23 |
| Kerosene. | 15 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Gasoline (not for auto) | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 91 | 19 | 19 | 28 | 25 |
| A verage expenditures for fuel, light, and refrigeration, total | \$151. 44 | \$124. 60 | \$133. 49 | \$173. 23 | \$178.92 |
|  | 31. 42 | 28.15 | 29.67 | 32.74 | 35. 67 |
| Anthracite | 35. 28 | 26.66 | 30.35 | 36. 50 | 48. 96 |
| Bituminous coal | 4. 33 | 3.45 | 6.40 | 2.37 | 5. 39 |
| Coke. | 8.72 | 2.65 | 4. 24 | 12.76 | 16. 17 |
| Briquets | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Wood | 18. 60 | 23.37 | 19.69 | 15.07 | 15. 29 |
| Fuel oil | 33.05 | 31.99 | 29.43 | 42. 17 | 28.80 |
| Gas. | 10. 50 | 3.73 | 6.61 | 13. 94 | 18.82 |
| Kerosene | 2.19 | 1. 28 | . 15 | 7.28 | . 20 |
| Gasoline (not for auto) | . 07 | . 25 |  |  | 0 |
| Ice. | 7. 28 | 3.07 | 6.95 | 10.40 | 9.62 |
| III. Number of families in houses not making payments for heat separately from rent ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| IV. Number of families in apartments making paymonts for heat separately from rent ${ }^{2}$ | 135 | 44 | 37 | 16 | 38 |
| Number of families spending for- |  |  |  |  |  |
| Electricity. | 131 | 43 | 35 | 15 | 38 |
| Anthracite. | 45 | 11 | 13 | 7 | 14 |
| Bituminous coal | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Coke. | 6 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| Briquets | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Wood.. | 66 | 27 | 16 | 10 | 13 |
| Fuel oil. | 77 | 22 | 23 | 8 | 24 |
| Gas... | 45 | 8 | 11 | 7 | 19 |
| Kerosene | 11 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 2 |
| Gasoline (not for auto). | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Ice. | 95 | 29 | 26 | 8 | 32 |
| A verage expenditures for fuel, light, and refriger. |  |  |  |  |  |
| ation, total... ..-.-.......... ... .-. | \$114.33 | \$102. 55 | \$111.45 | \$103. 73 | \$134.79 |
| Electricity. | 25. 68 | 24. 89 | 24. 49 | 24. 46 | 28.29 |
| Anthracite..... | 18.92 | 9.71 | 22. 58 | 16. 23 | 27.18 |
| Bituminous coal | 1. 19 | 1.85 | 2.15 | 0 |  |
| Coke... | 2.88 | . 63 | 2. 52 | 5.32 | 4.81 |
| Briquets | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Wood | 16.77 | 23. 30 | 14.81 | 20.18 | 9. 68 |
| Fuel oil | 34.31 | 32.74 | 35.02 | 22. 50 | 4). 41 |
| Gas | 7.41 | 3.42 | 4.49 | 9.88 | 13.82 |
| Kerosene -------- | . 24 | . 34 | . 26 | 0 | 21 |
| Gasoline (not for anto) | . 09 | 0 | (1) | 0 | . 32 |
| Ice-...--- | 6.84 | 5. 67 | 5. 63 | 5.16 | 10.07 |
| V. Number of families in apartments not making |  |  |  |  | 11 |
| Number of families spending for- |  |  |  |  |  |
| Electricity... | 8 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 2 |
| Qas. | 7 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 |
| Ice | 7 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| A verage expenditures for fucl, light, and refrigeration, total | \$86. 77 | \$160.74 | \$75. 58 | \$70.03 | \$86.13 |
|  | 33.79 | 35.76 | 28.91 | 29.64 | 35.70 |
| Gas. | 30.84 | 23. 84 | 35.76 | 12.22 | 33.51 |
|  | 9.61 | 14. 30 | 6.98 | 9.60 | 9.90 |
|  | 12.53 | 86.84 | 3. 93 | 18.57 | 7.02 |

${ }^{1}$ Less than 0.5 cent.
${ }^{2}$ Detailed information not presented because of small number of families in this classification.

Table 11.-Fuel, light, and refrigeration expenditures, by economic level-Con. portsmouth, keene, dover, laconia, and claremont, n. h.

| Item | $\underset{\text { lies }}{\text { All fami- }}$ | Economic level-Families spending per expenditure unit per year |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Under } \\ & \$ 300 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 300 \text { to } \\ \$ 400 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 400 \text { to } \\ & \$ 500 \end{aligned}$ | $\$ 500 \text { and }$ over |
| Fuel, Light, and Refrigeration Expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |
| I. All families in survey ... | 485 | 126 | 111 | 125 | 123 |
| Number of families spending for- Electricity | 460 | 116 | 107 | 118 | 119 |
| Anthracite--............... | 214 | 49 | 41 | 63 | 61 |
| Bituminous coal | 44 | 8 | 11 | 9 | 16 |
| Coke.- | 46 | 10 | 10 | 9 | 17 |
| Briquets | 12 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 3 |
| Wood | 255 | 80 | 62 | 66 | 47 |
| Fuel oil | 236 | ${ }^{56}$ | 49 | 62 | 69 |
| Kerosene | 70 | 27 | 24 | 14 | 5 |
| Gasoline (not for auto) | 8 | 2 | , | 3 | 1 |
| Ice. | 337 | 92 | 80 | 87 | 78 |
| Average expenditures for fuel, light, and refrigeration, total | \$137. 62 | \$115. 20 | \$127. 26 | \$148.40 | \$158. 91 |
|  | 44. 20 | 36.97 | 40.25 | 47.94 | 51.28 |
| Spring | 25.47 | 20. 41 | 21. 96 | 29.35 | 29.83 |
| Summer | 22.11 | 18. 11 | 22.32 | 23. 64 | 24.45 |
| Fall | 45. 84 | 39. 71 | 42.73 | 47.47 | 53.35 |
| Electricity | 29. 20 | 26. 08 | 27.74 | 29. 29 | 33.62 |
| Winter | 8.07 | 7.29 | 7.87 | 8.05 | 9.05 |
| Spring.- | 6. 99 | 6. 30 | 6. 41 | 7.06 | 8. 17 |
| Fummer | 6.41 7.73 | 5.65 6.84 | $\begin{array}{r}5.88 \\ 7.58 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 6. <br> 7 <br> 74 <br> 14 | 7.64 8.76 |
| Anthracite. | 27.56 | 18. 12 | 16. 87 | 37.97 | 36. 29 |
| W inter | 11. 43 | 7.55 | 8.23 | 14.90 | 14.76 |
| Spring | 3.05 | 1. 50 | . 84 | 5.91 | 3.72 |
| Summer | 1. 38 | . 14 | . 80 | 2. 60 | 1.93 |
| Bituminous coal | 11.70 | 8.93 | 7.00 | 14. 56 | 15. 88 |
| Bituminous coal | 5. 21 | 3.83 | 4.31 | 3.97 | 8.71 |
| Spring -- | 2.17 .50 | $\begin{array}{r}\text { 1. } \\ \hline .06 \\ \hline 05\end{array}$ | 1.97 .24 | 1.31 .51 | 3.75 |
| Summer | . 17 |  | 31 | . 33 | ${ }^{.} .07$ |
| Fall | .2.37 | 2. 12 | 1. 79 | 1.82 | 3. 72 |
| Coke | 5.91 | 3. 78 | 4. 66 | 4.76 | 10.34 |
| Briquets.. | ${ }_{16}{ }^{66}$ | -89 | ${ }_{23} .83$ | ${ }^{(1)}$ | . 92 |
| Wood.ind | 16.69 5.37 | 20.09 6.77 | 23. 33 | $\begin{array}{r}15.46 \\ 4.93 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 8. 46 |
| Spring | 2.54 | 3. 22 | 7.19 | 2.66 | 1. 15 |
| Summer | 1. 27 | 1. 28 | 1.72 | 1.39 | . 71 |
| Fall. | 7.51 | 8.82 | 11. 00 | 6. 48 | 4.08 |
| Fuel oil | 30.49 | 25. 32 | 28.15 | 35. 08 | 33.21 |
| Winter. | 11.07 | 9.14 | 10. 23 | 13.02 | 11.80 |
| Spring. | 7. 14 | 5. 69 | 6. 47 | 7.86 | 8.51 |
| Summer | 3. 46 | 3.25 | 3.58 | 3.58 | 3. 42 |
| Fall.-- | 8. 82 | 7.24 | 7.87 | 10.62 | 9. 48 |
| Oas Winter | 12.44 | 7.44 | 10.15 | 13.49 | 18.59 |
| Winter | 2. 69 | 1.54 | 2.21 | 2.96 | 4.03 |
| Spring | 3. 16 | 1. 96 | 2.52 | 3.32 | 4.81 |
| Summer | 3.78 | 2.32 | 3.20 | 4.08 | 5. 50 |
| Fall. | 2.81 | 1. 62 | 2.22 | 3.13 | 4.25 |
| Kerosene araline ( for | 2. 16 | 3.25 | 4.02 | 1.06 | . 48 |
| Gasoline (not for auto) | . 06 | . 06 | . 16 | . 05 |  |
| Ice..... | 7.24 | 6.34 | 7.04 | 7.27 | 8.29 |

[^19]Table 11.-Fuel, light, and refrigeration expenditures, by economic level-Con. PORTSMOUTH, KEENE, DOVER, LACONIA, AND CLAREMONT, N. H.-Con.

| Item | $\underset{\text { lies }}{\text { All fami- }}$ | Economic level-Families spending per expenditure unit per year |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Under $\$ 300$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 300 \text { to } \\ \$ 400 \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 400 \text { to }$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 500 \text { and } \\ & \text { over } \end{aligned}$ |
| Fuel, Light, and Refrigeration Expenitures Con. |  |  |  |  |  |
| II. Number of families in houses making payments for heat separately from rent. | 282 | 77 | 66 | 77 | 62 |
| Number of families spending forElectricity | 258 | 63 | 61 | 77 | 57 |
| Anthracite. | 143 | 30 | 28 | 46 | 39 |
| Bituminous coal | 10 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| Coke. | 24 | 7 | 4 | 8 | 5 |
| Briquets. | 8 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 |
| Wood. | 157 | 44 | 38 | 47 | 28 |
| Fuel | 125 | 31 | 27 | 39 | 28 |
| Gas. | 124 | 22 | 18 | 40 | 44 |
| Kerosene. | 42 | 16 | 13 | 12 |  |
| Gasoline (not for anto) |  | 1 | 1 | 4 |  |
| Ice...-.-.-.-....... | 191 | 52 | 47 | 56 | 36 |
| Average expenditures for fuel, light, and refrigeration total |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 28.92 | 23.43 | 25.73 | 31.68 | 35. 73 |
| Anthracite. | 35. 53 | 20.02 | 20.89 | 48. 12 | 54.75 |
| Bitumincus coal | 7.44 | 5.41 | 6. 42 | 5.75 | 13. 16 |
| Coke. | 5.39 | 4.42 | 1. 64 | 7.11 | 8.43 |
| Briquets | . 60 | 1.96 | - 80 | . 01 | . 68 |
| Wood | 17.23 | 17. 22 | 23.13 | 18. 33 | 9. 58 |
| Fuel oil | 29.13 | 23. 14 | 26. 65 | 35. 19 | 31. 68 |
| Gas. | 11. 98 | 7.96 | 7.59 | 13. 80 | 19.37 |
| Kerosene ( ${ }_{\text {Gasoline }}$ (not for auto | 2.04 | 2. 77 | 3. 43 | 1. 69 | ${ }^{07}$ |
| Gasoline (not for auto) | r <br> 6.31 <br> 6.31 |  | ${ }_{6.21}$ | ¢. 6. | 6. 6.3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| III. Number of families in houses not making payments for heat separately from rent ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of families spending for- | 164 |  |  |  | 46 |
| Anthracite--- | 63 | 16 | 13 | 15 | 19 |
| Bituminous coal | 10 |  |  |  | 4 |
| Coke--- | 24 | 4 | 8 | 0 | 12 |
| Briquets | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Wood-. | -96 | 35 | ${ }_{22}^{26}$ | 16 | 19 |
| Fuel oil | 103 79 | 23 11 | 22 18 | 25 19 | ${ }_{31}^{33}$ |
| Kerosene | 26 | 19 9 | 13 | $\stackrel{1}{2}$ | 2 |
| Gasoline (not for auto) | 4 | 1 | 2 | 1 |  |
| Ice............... | 126 | 38 | 28 | 29 | 31 |
| Average expenditures for fuel, light, and refrig- |  |  |  |  |  |
| Electricity | 29.58 | 30.41 | 30.44 | 23.96 | 32.77 |
| Anthracite | 18.21 | 14.63 | 11.83 | 24.75 | 21.66 |
| Bituminous coal | 2.53 | 1.47 | 1.38 | 1.35 | 5. 56 |
| Coke | 7.57 | 2.97 | 10.27 | 0 | 16. 32 |
| Briquets | 1.87 | . 81 | 1.02 | 0 | 1. 55 |
| Wood. | 17. 30 | ${ }^{26} 20$ | 22.94 | 10. 58 | 9. 32 |
| Fuel oil | 36.80 | 30.24 | 35.05 | 43.10 | 38. 50 |
| Gas | 11. 05 | 5.87 | 11.86 | 9.23 | 17.10 |
| Kerosene ( ${ }^{\text {asoline }}$ ( for auto) | 2.62 | 4. 17 | 5. 29 | . 08 | . 95 |
| Gasoline (not for auto) | . 15 | . 17 | . 44 | . 03 |  |
| Ice. | 7.29 | 6.30 | 6.90 | 7.36 | 8.56 |
| V. Number of families in apartments not making payments for heat separately from rent... 5$\square$ 8 $=$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of families spending for- |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\stackrel{21}{20}$ | 2 | 4 5 | 6 5 | 9 |
| Ice | 19 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 11 |
| Average expenditures for fuel, light, and refrig- |  |  |  |  |  |
| Electricity | 28.62 | 41.18 | 20.19 | 37.08 | 24.00 |
| Gas | 22.71 | 26.86 | 28.60 | 24.53 | 17.94 |
| Ice ---..----- | 8.51 | 5.97 | 8.09 | 3.84 | 12.56 |
| All other fuel .-.-.............. .............. | 14.68 | 9.55 | 8.88 | 20.82 | 13.77 |

[^20]Table 11.-Fuel, light, and refrigeration expenditures, by economic level-Con.

> LITTLETON AND CONWAY, N. H.

| Item | All families | Economic level-Families spending per expenditure unit per year |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Under $\$ 300$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 300 \text { to } \\ \$ 400 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 400 \text { to } \\ \$ 500 \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 500$ and over |
| Fuel, Light, and Refrigeration Expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |
| I. All families in survey | 197 | 65 | 40 | 49 | 43 |
| Number of families spending for- |  |  |  |  |  |
| Electricity | 187 | 59 | 40 | 48 | 40 |
| Anthracite. | 84 | 25 | 16 | 25 | 18 |
| Rituminous coal | 18 | 8 | 3 | 2 | 5 |
| Coke. | 14 | 7 | 2 | 4 | 1 |
| Briquets | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Wood | 146 | 51 | 29 | 37 | 29 |
| Fuel oil | 87 | 25 | 23 | 19 | 20 |
| Gas. | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Kerosene | 48 | 21 | 7 | 10 | 10 |
| Gasoline (not for auto) | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Ice.-...-- --- -- .-. - | 121 | 39 | 23 | 35 | 24 |
| Average expenditures for fuel, light, and refrigeration, total | \$122.46 | \$108. 55 | \$130.94 | \$120.43 | \$138.06 |
|  | 36.77 | 34.44 | 38.51 | 33.98 | 41.88 |
| Spring | 17.04 | 14. 29 | 18.44 | 15.98 | 21.16 |
| Summer | 20.23 | 17. 53 | 24. 24 | 25.62 | 14. 49 |
| Fall | 48.42 | 42. 29 | 49.75 | 44. 85 | 60.53 |
| Electricity | 26. 94 | 24.79 | 26.72 | 28.52 | 28. 60 |
| Winter | 7.47 | 6.91 | 7.57 | 7.90 | 7.75 |
| Spring | 6. 43 | 5. 96 | 6. 41 | 6.65 | 6.91 |
| Summer | 5.76 | 5.29 | 5. 58 | 6.06 | 6.28 |
| Fall | 7.28 | 6.63 | 7.16 | 7.91 | 7.66 |
| Anthracite. | 24.96 | 21. 59 | 24.87 | 25.05 | 30.04 |
| Winter | 9.02 | 8.53 | 11.45 | 6. 60 | 10.27 |
| Spring.- | . 17 | 0 | . 38 | . 19 | . 22 |
| Summer | 3.37 | 2. 80 | 1.61 | 8.51 | 0 |
| Fall - | 12.40 | 10.26 | 11. 43 | 9.75 | 19.55 |
| Bituminous coal | 3.40 | 3.61 | 2.83 | 1.41 | 5.91 |
| Winter | 1.21 | 1.84 | . 80 | . 60 | 1.33 |
| Spring-- | . 14 | 0 | 0 | .20 | . 43 |
| Summer | . 20 | $\begin{array}{r}.42 \\ \hline 135\end{array}$ | . 32 | 0 | ${ }^{0}$ |
| Fall | 1. 85 | 1.35 | 1.71 | . 61 | 4.15 |
| Coke.-- | 2.59 | 3.33 | 2.99 | 3.39 | . 23 |
| Briquets. | . 06 | . 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Wood | 32.69 | 30.57 | 32.60 | 33.62 | 34.91 |
| Winter | 9.61 | 9.95 | 6.63 | 10.64 | 10.68 |
| Spring | 2.85 | 2.69 | 2.05 | 3.07 | 3.58 |
| Summer | 2. 89 | 2.31 | 6.64 | 2.71 | . 50 |
| Fall | 17.34 | 15. 62 | 17. 28 | 17. 20 | 20.15 |
| Fuel oil.--- | 24.18 | 17.73 | 32. 44 | 21. 12 | 29.69 |
| Winter | 7.88 | 5.22 | 10.07 | 6.59 | 11.31 |
| Spring ....- | 6.09 | 4. 58 | 8.03 | 4. 27 | 8.63 |
| Summer | 3.22 | 2.26 | 5. 39 | 3.38 | 2.47 |
| Fall | 6.99 | 5.67 | 8.95 | 6.88 | 7.28 |
| Gas. | . 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.00 |
| Winter | . 05 | 0 | 0 | 0 | . 25 |
| Spring | . 05 | 0 | 0 | 0 | . 25 |
| Summer | . 05 | 0 | 0 | 0 | . 25 |
| Fall. | . 05 | 0 | 0 | 0 | . 25 |
| Kerosene | 1. 96 | 2. 10 | 3. 29 | . 81 | 1.83 |
| Gasoline (not for auto) | . 01 | . 04 | 0 | . 01 | 9 |
| Ice.. | 5.47 | 4.62 | 5. 20 | 6.50 | 5.85 |

Table 11.-Fuel, light, and refrigeration expenditures, by economic level-Con.
LITTLETON AND CONWAY, N. H.-Continued

| Item | All familias | Economic level-Families spending per expenditure unit per year |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Under } \\ & \$ 300 \end{aligned}$ | $\$ 200$ to $\$ 400$ <br> $\$ 400$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 400 \text { to } \\ \$ 500 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 500 \text { and } \\ \text { over } \end{gathered}$ |
| Fuel, Light, and Refrigeration Expenditures-Con. |  |  |  |  |  |
| II. Number of families in houses making payments for heat separately from rent Number of families spending for- | 129 | 53 | 21 | 30 | 25 |
| Electricity ... | 115 | 45 | 18 | 27 | 25 |
| Anthracite. | 58 | 19 | 9 | 14 | 16 |
| Bituminous coal | 15 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 5 |
| Coke | 8 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| Briquets | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Wood.-. | 96 | 37 | 13 | 24 | 22 |
| Fuel oil | 48 | 16 | 11 | 9 | 12 |
| Gas | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | , |
| Kerosene | 31 | 16 | 3 | 5 | 7 |
| Gasoline (not for auto) | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Ice..................... | 73 | 29 | 10 | 16 | 18 |
| A verage expenditures for fuel, light, and refrigeration, total | \$124.63 | \$103. 93 | \$130. 68 | \$116.09 | \$173. 79 |
|  | 26.47 | 23.32 | 25.09 | 28.80 | 31. 50 |
| Anthracite. | 28.56 | 20.27 | 26. 05 | 30.24 | 46.26 |
| Bituminous coal | 4.00 | 2.40 | 5.40 | 2.31 | 8. 25 |
| Coke | 2.38 | 3.67 | 0 | 3.77 | 0 |
| Briquets | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Wood | 34. 32 | 31.99 | 34.37 | 31.48 | 42. 65 |
| Fuel oil | 22. 00 | 15.93 | 33.74 | 14.60 | 33.89 |
| Gas. . | . 33 | 0 | 0 |  | 1. 70 |
| Kerosene | 1.58 | 2. 32 | . 49 | . 79 | 1. 86 |
| Gasoline (not for auto) | . 02 | . 04 | 0 | . 02 | . 07 |
| Ice. | 4.97 | 3.99 | 5. 54 | 4.08 | 7.61 |
| UII. Number of families in houses not making payments for heat separately from rent |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 18 | 12 |
| Number of families spending for- | 57 | 10 | 18 | 18 | 11 |
| Anthracite | 27 | 7 | 6 | 11 | 3 |
| Bituminous coal | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Coke | 5 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| Briquets | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Wood | 45 | 10 | 15 | 14 | 6 |
| Fuel oil | 39 | 9 | 11 | 12 | 7 |
| Gas.--- | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Kerosene | 15 | 5 | 5 | 1 | 4 |
| Gasoline (not for auto) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ice...- | 43 | 10 | 12 | 16 | 5 |
| Average expenditures for fuel, light, and refriger- |  |  |  |  |  |
| ation, total....-.-.....-----..-. .-. . . . | \$124. 23 | \$138. 20 | \$135.78 | \$131. 26 | \$84.78 |
| Electricity | 27.38 | 32.37 | 28. 60 | 28.08 | 20. 33 |
| Anthracite | 20. 30 | 32.88 | 24.89 | 17.82 | 6. 68 |
| Bituminous coal | . 61 | 3.54 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Coke. | 3. 36 | 2. 28 | 6.63 | 2.95 | 0 |
| Briquets. | . 19 | 1. 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Wood | 30.44 | 26.35 | 32.36 | 39.04 | 18.08 |
| Fuel oil | 32. 76 | 30.88 | 32.12 | 33.18 | 34. 66 |
| Gas...- | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Kerosene | 2.84 | 1. 41 | 6.74 | . 36 | 1. 89 |
| Gasoline (not for auto) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Ice......... | 6. 35 | 7.37 | 4. 44 | 9.83 | 3. 14 |
| V. Number of families in apartments not making |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of families spending for- |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| Electricity .-....... .-...... --. | 5 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| Gas .-...- | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ice | 4 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| A verage expenditures for fuel, light, and refriger- |  |  |  |  |  |
| ation, total | \$65.40 | 0 | \$50.08 | \$49.76 | \$73. 14 |
| Electricity | 31.89 | 0 | 27.10 | 28.30 | 33.98 |
| Gas... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1ce. | 7.89 | 0 | 11. 69 | 12. 26 | 5. 84 |
| All other fuel | 25, 62 | 0 | 11. 29 | 9.20 | 33.32 |

Table 11a.-Fuel, light, and refrigeration expenditures
MARQUETTE, MICH.; MODESTO, CALIF., AND RENO, NEV.


Table 11a.-Fuel, light, and refrigeration expenditures-Continued marquette, mich.; modesto calif., and reno, nev.-Continued

| Item | All families |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Marquette | Modesto | Reno |
| III. Number of families in houses not making payments for heat separately from rent. |  |  |  |
| IV. Number of families in apartments making payments for heat separately from rent | 29 | 10 | 4 |
| Number of families spending forElectricity | 29 | 8 | 3 |
|  | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| Bituminous coal | 27 | 1 | 1 |
| Coke | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Briquets | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Fuod oin. | 20 0 | $\stackrel{2}{0}$ | 4 |
| Gas... | 4 | 10 | 2 |
| Kerosene | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| Gasoline (not for auto) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ice.- | 4 | 9 | 3 |
| A verage expenditures for fuel, light, and refrigeration, total | \$86. 22 | \$66. 40 | \$91. 38 |
| Electricity | 26.98 | 14.32 | 21.00 |
| Anthracite | 3. 33 |  |  |
| Bituminous coal | 37.79 | 2.24 | 1.75 |
| Coke-- | 0 |  |  |
| Wrood | 13.60 | 1.71 | 49.38 |
| Fuel oil | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Gas | 3.52 | 35.42 | 4. 50 |
| Kerosene | 10 | 2.72 |  |
| Gasoline (not for auto) |  |  |  |
| Ice... | . 90 | 9.95 | 14.75 |
| V. Number of familips in apartments not making payments for heat separately from rent. <br> Number of families spending for- <br> Electricity <br> Gas $\qquad$ <br> Ice | 16 | 0 | 15 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | 13 | 0 | 11 |
|  | 4 <br> 3 | 0 | 9 9 |
| Average expenditures for fuel, light, and refrigeration, total. | \$38. 32 | 0 | \$64. 30 |
|  | 24.27 | 0 | 29.50 |
| Gas. | 8.66 | 0 | 20.00 |
| Ice. | 3.11 | 0 | 12.07 |
| All other fuel | 2.28 | 0 | 2.73 |

${ }^{1}$ Detailed information not presented because of small number of families in this classification.

Table 12.-Household operation expenditures other than for fuel, light, and refrigeration, by economic level

NASHUA, CONCORD, AND BERLIN, N. H.

| Item | $\underset{\text { All }}{\text { Allies }}$ | Economic level-Families spending per expenditure unit per year |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Under $\$ 300$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 300 \text { to } \\ \$ 400 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 400 \text { to } \\ \$ 500 \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 500$ and over |
| Household Operation Expenditures Other Than for Fuel, Light, and Refrigeration |  |  |  |  |  |
| Families in survey | 299 | 88 | 74 | 52 | 85 |
| Number of families spending for- |  |  |  |  |  |
| Water rent-- | 97 | 34 | 22 | 17 | 24 |
| Telephone | 98 | 14 | 13 | 24 | 47 |
| Domestic service: Full-time | 13 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 5 |
| Part-time | 20 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 9 |
| Laundry out | 54 | 8 | 6 | 11 | 29 |
| Postage, telegrams. | 267 | 75 | 65 | 43 | 84 |
| Moving, express, freight, drayage | 38 | 12 | 15 | 2 | 9 |
| Safe-deposit box. | 5 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 |
| Insurance on furniture. | 63 | 15 | 9 | 15 | 24 |
| Interest on debts. | 13 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 7 |
| Average expenditure per family for household operation other than fuel, light, and refrigeration, |  |  |  |  |  |
| total | \$50.16 | \$35.47 | \$33.69 | \$58.38 | \$74.67 |
| Water rent | 4.82 | 6.01 | 5.00 | 4.62 | 3. 55 |
| Telephone. | 9.02 | 3.88 | 3.99 | 12.36 | 16.66 |
| Domestic service: Full-time | 4.53 | 1. 35 | . 09 | 9.24 | 8.82 |
| Part-time | 2.13 | . 45 | 1. 57 | 2. 70 | 4. 00 |
| Household paper | 2.90 | 2.61 | 2. 71 | 3.81 | 2. 82 |
| Bar soap. | 2.80 | 3. 06 | 2. 93 | 2. 50 | 2.61 |
| Starch, bluing | . 74 | . 82 | 79 | . 64 | . 67 |
| Soap flakes, powder | 5.28 | 5. 68 | 5. 00 | 5. 19 | 5. 18 |
| Cleaning powder, polish, steel wool, etc. | 1. 43 | 1. 48 | 1. 41 | 1. 38 | 1. 41 |
| Matches.- | 2.11 | 2.07 | 2.09 | 1.88 | 2. 31 |
| Laundry out | 6. 80 | 3.07 | 1. 75 | 7.68 | 14. 52 |
| Stationery, pens, pencils, ink. | 1.33 | . 95 | 1.24 | I. 22 | 1. 86 |
| Postage, telegrams | 2.37 | -1. 68 | 1.99 | 2. 10 | 3. 57 |
| Moving, express, freight, drayage | . 91 | . 64 | 1.93 | . 33 | . 66 |
| Safe-deposit box .-......-.-.... | . 05 | 0 | 0 | . 17 | 07 |
| Insurance on furniture. | 1.87 | 1. 43 | 1. 13 | 2. 43 | 2.64 |
| Interest on debts. | 1.06 | . 29 | . 06 | . 10 | 3. 32 |
| Other items..... | . 01 |  | . 01 | . 03 | 0 |

Table 12.- Household operation expenditures other than for fuel, light. and refrigeration, by economic level-Continued
PORTSMOUTH, KEENE, DOVER, LACONIA, AND OLAREMONT, N. H.

| Item | All families | Economic level-Families spending per expenditure unit per year |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Under $\$ 300$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 300 \text { to } \\ \$ 400 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 400 \text { to } \\ \$ 500 \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 500$ and over |
| Household Operation Expenditures Other Than for Fuel, Light, and Refrigeration |  |  |  |  |  |
| Families in survey | 485 | 126 | 111 | 125 | 123 |
| Number of families spending for-- |  |  |  |  |  |
| Water rent | 174 | 43 | 41 | 53 | 37 |
| Telephone... | 149 | 24 | 21 | 47 | 57 |
| Domestic service: Full-time | 16 | 6 | 5 | 3 | 2 |
| Part-time | 30 | 4 | 6 | 10 | 10 |
| Laundry out | 100 | 13 | 16 | 29 | 42 |
| Postage, telegrams | 452 | 112 | 105 | 116 | 119 |
| Moving, express, freight, drayage | 49 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 19 |
| Safe-deposit box | 36 | 2 | 8 | 12 | 14 |
| Insurance on furniture | 112 | 24 | 18 | 34 | 36 |
| Interest on debts. | 37 | 9 | 11 | 5 | 12 |
| A verage expenditure per family for household opera- |  |  |  |  |  |
| tion other than fuel, light, and refrigeration, total. | \$48.81 | \$39.89 | \$38. 01 | \$49.37 | \$67. 10 |
| Water rent........... .... ...-.-.-......... | 3.94 | 4.02 | 4. 13 | 4.27 | 3.34 |
| Telephone...- | 8.65 | 5.27 | 5. 61 | 9.98 | 13.50 |
| Domestic service: Full-time. | 2. 53 | 3.20 | 1.97 | 2.91 | 1. 95 |
| Part-time. | 1.75 | . 55 | 1.12 | 2.42 | 2.86 |
| Household paper.... | 3.15 | 3.22 | 3.08 | 2.94 | 3.36 |
| Bar soap --.---- | 2. 78 | 3.06 | 2. 64 | 2. 59 | 2.79 |
| Starch, bluing -- | . 92 | . 96 | . 83 | . 92 | . 95 |
| Soap flakes, powder -.......... | 5.21 | 6.12 | 4. 64 | 4.60 | 5.43 |
| Cleaning powder, polish, steel wool, etc | 1. 69 | 1. 48 | 1.81 | 1.67 | 1.81 |
|  | 2. 31 | 2. 71 | 2.20 | 2.04 | 2.28 |
| Laundry out.- | 5.71 | 2.58 | 3.45 | 6.20 | 10.46 |
| Stationery, pens, pencils, ink | 1. 59 | 1.29 | 1. 44 | 1. 39 | 2.25 |
| Postage, telegrams.....-- | 2.88 | 1.88 | 2.42 | 3.06 | 4.14 |
| Moving, express, freight, drayage | . 91 | . 49 | . 36 | 1. 00 | 1.72 |
| Safe-deposit box | 19 | . 04 | . 15 | . 24 | . 35 |
| Insurance on furniture | 2.06 | 1. 51 | 1.30 | 1.87 | 3.49 |
| Interest on debts. | 2. 39 | 1. 43 | . 72 | 1.16 | 6. 14 |
| Other items... | . 15 | . 08 | . 14 | . 11 | . 28 |

Table 12.-Household operation expenditures other than for fuel, light, and refrigeration, by economic level-Continued

LITTLETON AND CONWAY, N. H.

| Item | $\stackrel{\text { All }}{\text { families }}$ | Economic level-Families spending per expenditure unit per year |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Under } \\ & \$ 300 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 300 \text { to } \\ \$ 400 \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 400 \text { to }$ | $\$ 500$ and over |
| Household Operation Expenditures Other Than for Fuel, Light, and Refrigeration | 197 | 65 | 40 | 49 | 43 |
| Families in survey . |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of families spending for- | 76 <br> 55 | 26 | 15 | 18 |  |
| Water rent.- |  |  |  |  | 1717 |
| Telephone --.-.-. |  | $\begin{array}{r}8 \\ 8 \\ 3 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 13 | 17 |  |
| Domestic service: Full-time | 10 |  | $\stackrel{1}{2}$ |  | 17 |
| Laundry out........-- | $\begin{array}{r}14 \\ 33 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 3 | 2 6 | 7 | 2 15 |
| Postage, telegrams |  | 63 | 6 39 | 6 47 | 41 |
| Moving, express, freight, drayage. | 41 | 14 | 5 | 10 |  |
| Safe-doposit box | 6 |  | 8 | 1 | 12 |
| Insurance on furniture | 53 | 154 | $\begin{array}{r}8 \\ 2 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 142 | 16 |
| Interest on debts. | 9 |  |  |  |  |
| Average expenditure per family for household opera- |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4.69 | 4. 53 | 3.73 | 4.55 | 6.01 |
| Telephone .-.-..-- | 6. 48 |  |  |  | 8. 91 |
| Domestic service: Full-time | 2.00 | 1.73 | . 75 | - 38 | 5. 40 |
| Part-time | 2.68 | 1. 27 | 1. 45 | 5.41 | 2.85 |
| Household paper | 2.77 | 2. 74 | 2. 95 | 2. 69 | 2.73 |
| Par soap --. | 2.37 | 2. 83 | 2.73 | 1. 77 | 2.01 |
| Starch, bluing--.-. | . 61 | - 74 | . 42 | . 62 | . 58 |
| Soap flakes, powder ---.-.....--.-...... | 4.89 | 5. 10 | 4.80 | 5. 08 | 4. 45 |
| Cleaning powder, polish, steel wool, etc... .- | ${ }_{2} .06$ | 1. 03 | 1. 01 | 1. 03 | 1. 19 |
| Matches-..---------------....... | 2.30 | 2.43 | 2. 23 | ${ }_{2}^{2.11}$ | 2. 38 |
| Staundry out -....-. | 5. 21 <br> 1.48 | 2. 16 | 5. 1.31 | 4. 26 | 10. 27 |
| Stationery, pens, pencils, ink | 3.08 | 2. 59 | 3.18 | 3. 14 | 3. 65 |
| Moving, express, freight, drayage | 1. 25 | 1. 13 | . 57 | 1.66 | 1. 60 |
| Safe-deposit box | 11 | . 03 | 10 | . 08 | 29 |
| Insurance on furniture | 2.04 | 1. 75 | 1.61 | 2. 17 | 2. 72 |
| Interest on debts | . 53 | . 42 | . 52 | . 16 | 1. 14 |
| Other items.. | . 12 | . 05 | . 02 | . 03 | 40 |

Table 12a.-Household operation expenditures other than for fuel, light, and refrigeration

MARQUETTE, MICH.; MODESTO, CALIF.; AND RENO, NEV.

| Item | All families |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Marquette | Modesto | Reno |
| Household Operation Expenditures Other Than for Fuel, Light, and Refrigeration |  |  |  |
| Families in survey | 148 | 151 | 149 |
| Number of families spending for-- Water rent | 102 |  | 90 |
| Telephone. | 81 | 48 | 117 |
| Domestic service: Full-time | 3 | 6 | 1 |
| Part-time | 10 | 16 | 5 |
| Laundry out....... | 145 | $\begin{array}{r}168 \\ 144 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | ${ }_{141}$ |
| Moving, express, freight, drayage. | 23 | 17 | 13 |
| Safe-deposit box - .............- | 15 | 11 | 20 |
| Insurance on furniture-......... | 29 | 32 | 21 |
| Interest on debts. | 3 | 10 |  |
| A verage expenditure per family for household operation other than fuel, |  |  |  |
|  | \$52. 69 | \$58. 15 | \$78.47 |
| Water rent | 8. 56 | 8.33 | 19.40 |
| Telephone. | 13.49 | 7.97 | 15.75 |
| Domestic service: Full-time | ${ }^{-98}$ | 2.32 | . 34 |
| Part-time | 3.17 | 3.32 | 1. 14 |
| Household paper. | 4. 50 | 3.65 | 3. 51 |
| Bar soap-..... | $\begin{array}{r}3.89 \\ \hline 88\end{array}$ | 3.13 <br> 1.20 | 3. 75 |
| Soap flakes, powder | $\begin{array}{r}\text { + } \\ 4.48 \\ \hline 1\end{array}$ | 1.20 5.49 | - 70 4.51 |
| Cleaning powder, polish, steel wool, etc | 1.92 | 2.35 | 2.48 |
| Matches-........---.......... | 1.83 | 1.85 | 1.93 |
| Laundry out. | 1.53 | 6.37 | 16.84 |
| Stationery, pens, pencils, ink | 1.78 | 1.92 | 1. 68 |
| Postage, telegrams. | 2.60 | 3. 13 | 3.33 |
| Moving, express, freight, drayage | . 82 | . 57 | 96 |
| Safe-deposit box- | . 33 | . 25 | 48 |
| Insurance on furniture | 1.29 | 1.56 | 1. 16 |
| Interest on debts | . 18 | 1.29 3.45 | ${ }^{58}$ |
|  |  |  | . 23 |

Table 13.-Transportation expenditures, by economic level
NASHUA, CONCORD, AND BERLIN, N. H.

| [tem | All families | Economic level-Families spending per expenditure unit per year- |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Under } \\ \$ 300 \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 300$ to $\$ 400$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 400 \text { to } \\ \$ 500 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 500 \text { and } \\ & \text { over } \end{aligned}$ |
| Transportation Expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 299 | 88 | 74 | 52 | 85 |
| Number of families spending for transportation..--- | 218 | 46 | 52 | 43 | 77 |
| Number of families owning automobiles. | 126 | 22 | 27 | 24 | 53 |
| Number of automobiles owned: <br> Made: 1936 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1933-35. | 8 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 6 |
| 1930-32. | 37 | 3 | 4 | 8 | 22 |
| 1927-29 | 58 | 10 | 17 | 12 | 10 |
| Before 1927 | 19 | 9 | 4 | 3 | 3 |
| Originally purchased: |  |  |  |  |  |
| New | 52 | 8 | 9 | 11 | 24 |
| Second-hand | 70 | 14 | 18 | 12 | 26 |
| Number of families purchasing automobiles in year: New. | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| Second-hand. | 19 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 10 |
| Number of families purchasing motorcycles in year - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Number of families spending for transportation other than automobile and motorcycle: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trolley ------------------------------------------ | 19 | 14 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| Local bus. | 47 | 10 | 17 | 6 | 14 |
| Taxi | 35 | 2 | 8 | 11 | 14 |
| Bicycle. | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Railroad. | 27 | 3 | 6 | 6 | 12 |
| Interurban bus | 28 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 18 |
| Boat. | 7 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 |
| Airplane. | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| A verage expenditure for all transportation, total .... | \$79.01 | \$26. 50 | \$60. 78 | \$87. 66 | \$143.93 |
| Automobiles and motorcycles-purchase, opera- |  |  |  |  |  |
| tion and maintenance ---- | 71.31 | 22. 59 | 54. 40 | 77.21 | 132.90 |
| Purchase of: Automobiles | 19.76 | 1. 19 | 18.24 | 19.96 | 40.17 |
| Motorcycles. |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Gasoline. | 25.35 | 10.73 | 17.33 | 28.57 | 45.51 |
| Fall | 6.10 | 2.49 | 3.99 | 7.29 | 10.95 |
| Winter | 3.97 | 1.77 | 2.47 | 4.46 | 7.28 |
| Spring | 6. 25 | 2.58 | 4.39 | 6. 62 | 11. 44 |
| Summer | 9.03 | 3.89 | 6.48 | 10.20 | 15. 84 |
| Oil | 2. 66 | 1. 10 | 2.18 | 3.26 | 4.34 |
| Tires | 3.24 | 1.73 | 2.06 | 3.17 | 5. 86 |
| Tubes: | . 37 | . 17 | . 25 | . 40 | . 65 |
| Repairs and maintenance- | 3. 18 | 1.07 | 1.18 | 3.26 | 7.05 |
| Garage rent and parking- | 2. 63 | . 70 | 1.86 | 3. 69 | 4.67 |
| Licenses .............. | 6.21 | 3.12 | 5.10 | 7.24 | 9.74 |
| Taxes.. | 1. 44 | . 59 | 1.29 | 1.56 | 2.39 |
| Insurance | 5. 80 | 1. 69 | 4.18 | 5.31 | 11.79 |
| Fines and damages | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Rent of automobile and/or motorcycle | . 41 | . 38 | . 67 | 0 | . 46 |
| Other automobile and motorcycle transportation expense | . 26 | . 12 | . 06 | . 79 | . 27 |
| Other transportation | 7. 70 | 3. 91 | 6. 38 | 10.45 | 11.03 |
| Trolley | . 57 | 1. 57 | . 05 | (1) | . 33 |
| Local bus | 2.53 | 1.64 | 3.65 | 1.27 | 3.24 |
| Taxi. | 1.06 | . 23 | . 19 | 3.47 | 1.19 |
| Bicycles. | . 05 | . 12 | 0 | . 09 | 0 |
| Railroad | 1. 69 | . 33 | 1.18 | 4.06 | 2.08 |
| Interurban bus. | 1. 36 | . 02 | 1.26 | 1.32 | 2.87 |
| Boat. | . 26 | 0 | 0 | . 24 | . 75 |
| Airplane. | . 07 | 0 | . 05 | 0 | . 20 |
| Other transportation expense...------------- | . 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | . 37 |

[^21]Table 13.-Transportation expenditures, by economic level-Continued
PORTSMOUTH, KEENE, DOVER, LACONIA, AND OLAREMONT, N. H.

| Item | All families | Economic level-Families spending per expenditure unit per year |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Under } \\ \$ 300 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 300 \text { to } \\ \$ 400 \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 400 \text { to }$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 500 \text { and } \\ \text { over } \end{gathered}$ |
| Transportation Expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |
| Families in survey. | 485378226 | $\begin{aligned} & 126 \\ & 108 \end{aligned}$ | 1117753 | 1259066 | $\begin{array}{r} 123 \\ 103 \\ 69 \end{array}$ |
| Number of families spending for transportation. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of families owning automobiles .-.------- |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of automobiles owned: $\text { Made: } 1936$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 16 \\ 64 \\ 117 \\ 34 \end{array}$ |  |  | 66 0 | 0 |
| Made. 1933-35-------------- |  | 0 | 1 | 1 | 14 |
| 1930-32. |  | 9 | 8 | 17 | 30 |
| 1927-29 |  | 19 | 33 | 40 | 25 |
| - Before 1927 |  | 9 | 12 | 9 | 4 |
| Originally purchased: | 80151 | $\begin{aligned} & 13 \\ & 24 \end{aligned}$ | ${ }_{43}^{11}$ |  |  |
| New. <br> Second-hand |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 47 \end{aligned}$ | 36 37 |
| Number of families purchasing automobiles in year: |  |  |  |  | 3 |
|  | 1519350 | 0 | 4 | 1100 |  |
| Second-hand |  |  | 9 |  | 110 |
| Number of families purchasing motorcycles in year |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Number of families spending for transportation other than automobile and motorcycle: |  |  |  | 04 |  |
|  | 7 |  |  |  |  |
| Local bus. | 62 | 16 | 10 | 17 | 19 |
| Taxi | $\begin{array}{r}26 \\ 4 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 16 4 | 6 | $\stackrel{4}{1}$ | 12 |
| Ricycle |  | 11 | 9 | 9 |  |
| Raileroarban bus | 50 | 12 | 14 |  | 21 |
| Boat..... | $\begin{array}{r}53 \\ 8 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 12 | 0 | 3 | 17 3 |
| Airplane |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Average expenditure for all transportation, total | \$78. 24 | \$30. 16 | \$56. 21 | \$75. 02 | \$150. 70 |
| Automobiles and motorcycles-purchase, opera- | 73.64 | 26.59 | 52.01 | 70.27 |  |
| tion, and maintenance |  |  |  |  | 144.77 |
| Purchase of: Automobiles. | $\begin{gathered} 19.89 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | 3.02 0 | $\begin{aligned} & 6.41 \\ & 0 . \end{aligned}$ | 14. 63 | 54. 69 |
| Gasoline Motorcycles. |  | ${ }^{11} 178$ |  |  | 42.029.95 |
| Fall | 25. 42 |  | 21. 17 | 27.22 6.40 |  |
| Winter | ${ }_{4}^{6.34}$ | 2. <br> 1.98 | 3. 40 | 4. 68 | 7.25 |
| Spring | 6. 39 | 2.85 | 4.85 | 8.73 | 11. 13.76 |
| Summer | 8.53 <br> 3.01 | 3.611.381 |  |  |  |
| Oil. |  |  | 7.32 <br> 2.56 | 9.41 3.07 | 5.01 |
| Tires. | $\begin{array}{r}3.25 \\ \hline .29 \\ \hline 1\end{array}$ | 2. 20 | $\begin{array}{r}2.58 \\ \hline .39\end{array}$ | 2.57 | 5.63.49 |
| Tubes. |  | 1. 52 |  | 22 |  |
| Repairs and maintenance. | 4.211.58 |  | 4. 28 | 3. 12 | 8.000 |
| Garage rent and parking. |  | $\begin{array}{r}\text { r } \\ \text { 2. } \\ \text { 23 } \\ \hline 11\end{array}$ | 4.99 | 1. 29 | 3. 96 |
| Licenses. | 5.36 |  |  | 6. 95 | 7.41 3 |
| Taxes | 2.69 | 2. 18 <br> 1.83 | 2. 444.26 | 2.7 | 3. 63 |
| Insurance | 6. 64 |  |  |  | 12. 78 |
| Fines and damages | $\begin{array}{r}1.03 \\ 1.00 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | ${ }^{0} .31$ | $\begin{array}{r}\text { 2. } \\ \text {. } \\ \hline 1 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | ${ }^{\text {. } 53}$ | 1. ${ }^{\text {1. }} 02$ |
| Rent of automobile and/or motorcycle |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other automobile and motoreycle transportation expense | $\begin{array}{r}\text { 4. } 27 \\ \hline 1\end{array}$ | . 25 | -. 06 | . 61 | 12 |
| Other transportation.------...-. |  |  |  | 4.75 | 5.93.13 |
| Trolley.......... | 1. 1.66 | 1.561.56 | . 02 | 4.09 |  |
| Local bus. |  |  | 2.09 | $\begin{array}{r}2.21 \\ .52 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 8365 |
| Taxi | . 40 | $\begin{array}{r} 1.00 \\ .15 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | . 25 |  |  |
| Bicycles. | 1.171.121.12 |  | ${ }^{0} .70$ | $\begin{array}{r}.24 \\ .70 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | - 3.52. 48 |
| Railroad. |  | . 09 |  |  |  |
| Interurban bus. | 1. 10 | . 94 | 1.14 | . 86 | 1.47.02 |
| Boat | ${ }^{0} .03$ | . 09 | 0 | 13 |  |
| Airplane |  | ${ }^{0} .13$ | 00 | 0 |  |
| Other transportation expense. |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 13.-Transportation expenditures, by economic level-Continued
LITTLETON AND CONWAY, N. H.

| Item | All fami- | Economic level-Families spending per expenditure unit per year |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Under $\$ 300$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 300 \text { to } \\ \$ 4900 \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 400 \text { to }$ $\$ 500$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 500 \text { and } \\ & \text { over } \end{aligned}$ |
| Transportation Expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |
| Families in survey- | 197 | 65 | 40 | 49 | 43 |
| Number of families spending for transportation. | 157 | 45 | 36 | 35 | 41 |
| Number of families owning automobiles..-.-..... | 102 | 25 | 22 | 24 | 31 |
| Number of automobiles owned: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Made: 1936 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1933-35 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 7 |
| 1930-32 | 37 | 8 | 4 | 11 | 14 |
| 1927-29 | 49 | 14 | 13 | 11 | 11 |
| Before 1927 | 12 | 4 | 6 | 2 | 0 |
| Originally purchased: |  |  |  |  | 12 |
| New | 29 | ${ }^{6}$ | 2 | 9 | 12 |
| Second-hand Number of families purchasing automobiles in year:------------ | 78 | 20 | 21 | 17 | 20 |
| Number of families purchasing automobiles in year: New | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| Second-hand | 21 | 7 | 2 | 3 | 9 |
| Number of families purchasing motorcycles in year.-- | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Number of families spending for transportation other than automobile and motoreycle: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trolley ----------------------------------------- | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Local bus. | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Taxi | 12 | 6 | 1 | 3 | 2 |
| Bicycle | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Railroad. | 25 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 7 |
| Interurban bus | 6 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| Boat.- | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Airplane | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Average expenditure for all transportation, total..- | \$93.85 | \$55. 74 | \$46. 58 | \$88. 48 | \$201. 48 |
| Automobiles and motorcycles-purchase, opera- |  |  |  |  |  |
| tion and maintenance | 90.43 | 53.95 | 44.96 | 82.06 | 197.37 |
| Purchase of: Automobiles. | 28.26 | 15.21 | 5. 28 | 17.84 | 81.22 |
| Motorcycles.. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Gasoline | 30. 16 | 19.31 | 17. 74 | 32.12 | 55. 87 |
| Fall | 7.79 | 5. 16 | 4. 40 | 8.98 | 13.55 |
| Winter | 4. 86 | 2. 79 | 2.21 | 4.67 | 10.69 |
| Spring | 7.39 | 4.82 | 4. 40 | 7.58 | 13.82 |
| Summer | 10.12 | 6.54 | 6. 73 | 10.89 | 17. 81 |
| Oil | 3.38 | 1.92 | 2. 46 | 2.79 | 7.10 |
| Tires. | 4.61 | 3.66 | 1. 50 | 4.08 | 9.54 |
| Tubes. | . 34 | . 26 | . 12 | . 42 | . 59 |
| Repairs and maintenance | 4.82 | 3.17 | 3.74 | 4.74 | 8. 42 |
|  | 1. 69 | . 21 | 1. 52 | 2.08 | 3. 65 |
| Licenses...---............ | 7.58 | 5. 23 | 6.36 | 7.67 | 12. 17 |
| Taxes.. | 1. 42 | . 81 | 1.10 | 1.46 | 2. 58 |
| Insurance | 6.55 | 2.59 | 2.31 | 7.95 | 14.88 |
|  | . 06 |  | 0 |  | . 28 |
| Rent of automobile and/or motorcycle . . . . - | 1. 49 | 1.58 | 2.83 | . 91 | . 76 |
| Other automobile and motorcycle transportation expense. $\qquad$ | . 07 |  |  |  | . 31 |
|  | 3.42 | 1.79 | 1. 62 | 6. 42 | 4.11 |
| Trolley --------- | . 03 | 0 | 0 | 0 | . 13 |
| Local bus. | . 10 | . 13 |  | . 15 | . 09 |
| Taxi. | . 30 | . 33 | . 03 | . 53 | . 25 |
| Bicvole | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Railroad | 2.72 | 1.28 | 1.15 | 5.24 | 3.47 |
| Interurban bus. | . 23 | . 05 | . 44 | . 44 | . 04 |
| Boat | . 01 | 0 | 0 | . 06 | 0 |
|  | . 03 | 0 | 0 | 0 | . 13 |
| Other transportation expense.-. ------.-.-.-- | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Table 13a.-Transportation expenditures
MARQUETTE, MICH.; MODESTO, CALIF.; AND RENO, NEV.

| Item | All families |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Marquette | Modesto | Reno |
| Families in survey | 148 | 151 | 149 |
| Number of families spending for transportation. | 118 | 151 | 132 |
| Number of families owning automobiles........ | 85 | 132 | 115 |
| Number of automobiles owned: <br> Made: 1936 |  |  |  |
| 1933-35-........ | 4 | 9 | 16 |
| 1930-32... | 17 | 31 | 29 |
| 1827-29 | 39 | 70 | 64 |
| Before 1927 | 24 | 32 | 13 |
| Originally purchased: |  |  |  |
| New. | 29 | 37 | 55 |
| Second-hand | 55 | 105 | 67 |
| Number of families purchasing automobiles in year: New |  |  |  |
| Second-hand.-.-.- | 10 |  | 14 |
| Number of families purchasing motorcycles in year- | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Number of families spending for transportation other than automobile and motorcycle: |  |  |  |
| Trolley - - | 47 | 4 | 1 |
| Local bus. | 1 | 5 | 0 |
| Bicycle. | 13 | 24 | 23 |
| Railroad. | 17 | 18 | 17 |
| Interurban bus. | 8 | 18 | 11 |
| Boat | 1 | 11 | 1 |
| Airplane.. |  |  | 0 |
| Average expenditure for all transportation, total. | \$63. 36 | \$171.53 | \$162.60 |
| Automobiles and motorcycles-purchase,operation, and maintenance. | 57.35 | 165.38 | 157.20 |
| Purchase of: Automobiles. | 18. 53 | 59.64 | 72.49 |
| Motorcycles. | 0 |  |  |
| Gasoline-- | 21.02 | 61.24 | 50.93 |
| Fall | 4.40 | 14.75 | 13.06 |
| Winter- | 3.20 | 14. 51 | 11.86 |
| Spring. | 5.85 | 14.75 | 12.19 |
| Summer | 7.57 | 17.23 | 13. 82 |
| Oil | 2.23 | 6.32 | 5. 59 |
| Tires | 2.68 | 6.68 | 6. 64 |
| Tubes | . 27 | . 73 | . 71 |
| Repairs and maintenance | 3.21 | 13.72 | 8. 10 |
| Garage rent and parking- | . 27 | . 23 | . 49 |
| Licenses and taxes. | 5.23 | 3.24 | 7.41 |
| Insurance. | 3.82 | 11.38 | 4.31 |
| Fines and damages. |  | . 40 | . 03 |
| Rent of automobile and/or motorcycle .-...... | . 03 | 1.44 | . 32 |
| Other automobile and motorcycle transportation expense. | . 06 | . 36 | . 18 |
|  | 6.01 | f. 15 | 5. 40 |
| Trolley - | 2.86 | . 12 | ${ }^{(1)}$ |
| Local bus.. | . 04 | . 05 |  |
| Taxi | . 30 | . 87 | 1.00 |
| Bicycle | . 03 | . 23 | . 24 |
| Railroad | 2. 10 | 2.32 | 1. 90 |
| Interut Boan | . 01 | 1.24 .26 | 1.03 |
| A irplane. | 0 | . 01 |  |
| Other transportation expense. | 0 | 1.05 | . 95 |

## ${ }^{1}$ Less than 0.5 cent.

[^22]Table 14.-Personal care expenditures and medical care expenditures, by economic level

NASHUA, CONCORD, AND BERLIN, N. H.

${ }^{1}$ Less than 0.5 cent.

Table 14.-Personal care expenditures and medical care expenditures, by economic level-Continued
PORTSMOUTH, KEENE, DOVER, LACONLA, AND CLAREMONT, N. H.

| Item | All families | Economic level-Families spending per expenditure unit per year |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Under $\$ 300$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 300 \\ & \text { to } \\ & \$ 400 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 400 \\ & \text { to } \\ & \$ 500 \end{aligned}$ | $\$ 500$ <br> and <br> over |
| Personal Care Expenditures | 485 | 126 | 111 | 125 | 123 |
| Families in survey |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of families spending for personal care: Personal care services: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Haircuts. | 455 | 120 | 104 | 113 | 118 |
| Shaves by barber | 42 | 5 | 6 | 11 | 20 |
| Shampoos. | 30 | 0 | 7 | 10 | 13 |
| Manicures | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Permanent waves. | 159 | 31 | 39 | 38 | 51 |
| Other waves. | 123 | 20 | 21 | 33 | 49 |
| Other personal care services | 4 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| Toilet articles and preparations: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Toilet soap--.------------ | 466 | 123 | 100 | 121 | 122 |
| Tooth powder, tooth paste, mouth washes | 445 | 116 | 104 | 108 | 117 |
| Cosmetic and toilet preparations ........... | 365286 | 9672 | 82 | 88 | 99 |
| Brushes, razor blades, and other toilet articles |  |  | 63 | 66 | 85 |
| A verage expenditure per family for personal care, total.Personal care services | \$24.75 | \$24.94 | \$22. 56 | \$21. 54 | \$29.80 |
|  | 13.84 | 13.89 | 12.50 | 11.67 | 17.23 |
| Haircuts. | 9.55 | 11.49 | 9.41 | 7.68 | 9.61 |
| Shaves by barber | . 51 | . 31 | . 21 | . 44 | 1.07 |
| Shampoos | . 41 | 0 | $0^{.34}$ | . 46 | . 85 |
| Manicures. | . 03 | ${ }^{0}$ |  | 0 | . 10 |
| Permanent waves | 1.87 | 1.20.89 | 1. 82 | 1. 67 | 2. 80 |
| Other waves. | 1. 45 |  | . 72 | 1.39 | 2. 76 |
| Other personal care services | . 02 | ${ }_{11.05}^{0}$ | 0 | . 03 | 12.04 |
| Toilet articles and preparations. | 10.91 |  | 10.06 | 9.87 |  |
| Toilet soap. | 3.92 | 4.19 | 3.93 | 3.72 | 3.824.43 |
| Tooth powder, tooth paste, mouth washes. | $\begin{aligned} & 3.52 \\ & 2.43 \end{aligned}$ | 3.35 | 3.13 | 3. 14 |  |
| Cosmetic and toilet preparations |  | 2.29 | 2.15 | 2.21 | 4.43 3.06 |
| Brushes, razor blades, and other toilet articles. | 1.047.00 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.22 \\ & 4.79 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .85 \\ 6.28 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .80 \\ \mathbf{7 . 5 4} \end{array}$ | 12. 26 |
| A verage expenditure per person for'personal care, total. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Medical Care Expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of families spending for medical care: Services of- |  |  |  |  |  |
| General practitioner: Home---------- | 204 | 4944 | 47 <br> 48 | 5755 | 51 |
| Office |  |  |  |  |  |
| Specialist and other practitioner | 64 | 10 | 27 | 12 | 15 |
| Dentist. | 199 | 47 | 50 |  | 55 |
| Clinic. | 66 |  | 0 | 47 2 2 |  |
| Nurse: In home: Private. |  | 2 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| Visiting | 51 | 0 |  | 2 | 2 |
| In hospital....-- |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |
| Hospital: Private room | 29 | 6 | 106 | 59 | 8 |
| Bed in ward. | 29 | 8 |  |  |  |
| Medicine and drugs. | 381 | 93 | 22 | 98 | 9834620 |
| Eyeglasses. | 96 | 16 |  | 24 |  |
| Medical appliances | 17 | 2 | 6 | 3 |  |
| Accident and health insurance | 67 | 11 | 16 | 20 |  |
| A verage expenditure per family for medical care, total | \$45. 01 | \$36. 11 | \$46. 31 | \$43.65 | \$54. 37 |
| Ger Geral practitioner: Home. | 6.41 | 4. 68 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 5. } 47 \\ & 5.02 \end{aligned}$ | 6.83 | 8.616.86 |
| Office. | 4.616.65 | 2.26 |  | 4.39 |  |
| Specialist and other practitioner. |  | 6.30 | $\begin{array}{r} 5.02 \\ 10.14 \end{array}$ | 4.53 | 6.86 6.03 |
| Dentist.-...-................------ | 4. 64 | 3.60 | 4.23 | 4.67 | 6.03 |
| Clinic. | . 23 | .05.66 |  | . 11 | . 76 |
| Nurse: In home: Private | .61.02 |  | . 56 | .72.07 | .49.01 |
| Nurse Visiting |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| In hospital. . .-. | . 03 |  | . 12 | 0 | 0 |
| Hospital: Private room | 1.97 | 0 2.42 | 2. .98 | 1. 08 | 1.951.79 |
| Bed in ward. | $\begin{aligned} & 2.29 \\ & 8.20 \end{aligned}$ | 2. 35 |  |  |  |
| Medicine and drugs. |  | 5.42 | 8.09 | 9.94 | 9.39 |
| Eyeglasses.-.------ | 2. 73 | 2. 09 | 2.77 | 2. 47 | 3.59 |
| Medical appliances | . 09 | . 02 | . 25 | . 02 | . 09 |
| Accident and health insurance | 3. 10 | 1. 62 | 3.31 | 2.59 | 4. 95 |
| Other medical care. | 3.43 | 4. 64 | 1.85 | 3.25 | 3.82 |
| A verage expenditure per person for medical care, total | 12.73 | 6.93 | 12.90 | 15. 27 | 22. 10 |

Table 14.-Personal care expenditures and medical care expenditures, by economic level-Continued

## LITTLETON AND CONWAY, N. H.


## Table 14a.-Personal care expenditures and medical care expenditures

marquette, mich.; modesto, Calif.; and reno, nev.

| Item | All families |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Marquette | Modesto | Reno |
| Personal Care Expenditures |  |  |  |
| Number of families spending for personal care: | 148 | 151 | 149 |
| Personal care services: |  |  |  |
| Haircuts. | 138 | 144 | 143 |
| Shaves by barber | 11 | 11 | 7 |
| Shampoos.- | 6 <br> 3 | 19 3 | 9 1 |
| Permanent waves | 60 | 97 | 65 |
| Other waves | 22 | 72 | 50 |
| Other personal care services. | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| Toilet articles and preparations: |  |  |  |
| Tooth powder tooth paste, mouth washes | 239 135 | 149 148 | 148 |
| Cosmetic and toilet preparations . .-.... | 136 | 142 | 122 |
|  |  |  |  |
| A verage expenditure per family for personal care, total. | \$27. 37 | \$36.03 | \$33.78 |
| Personal care services, total | 12.28 | 20.13 | 17.54 |
| Haircuts | 8.86 | 12. 13 | 12. 36 |
| Shaves by barber | . 54 | . 51 | . 38 |
| Shampoos. | . 29 | . 80 | 17 |
| Manicures | . 02 | 02 | 02 |
| Permanent waves | 1. 77 | 2.88 | 2. 13 |
| Other waves | . 75 | 3.78 | 2.48 |
| Other personal care services | . 05 | . 01 |  |
| Toilet articles and preparations, total | 15. 09 | 15.90 | 16. 24 |
| Toilet soap ..............-- | 4.51 | 3. 59 | 4. 86 |
| Tooth powder, tooth paste, mouth washes | 4.54 | 4.38 | 5. 10 |
| Cosmetic and toilet preparations | 4. 13 | 2. 91 | 5. 06 |
| Average expenditure per person for personal care, total | 6.82 | 10.85 | 1.22 10.27 |
| Medical Care Expenditures |  |  |  |
| Number of families spending for medical care: Services of- |  |  |  |
| General practitioner: Home | 65 | 46 | 60 |
| Office.- | 59 | 77 | 48 |
| Specialist and other practitioner | 16 | 10 | 24 |
| Dentist | 83 | 94 | 80 |
| Clinic.---...... | 0 | 4 | 2 |
| Nurse: In home: ${ }_{\text {Private }}^{\text {Vicitine }}$ | 0 | 1 | ${ }_{1}$ |
| In hospital | 3 | 3 5 5 | 10 |
| Hospital: Private room | 14 | 20 | 28 |
| Bed in ward | 7 | 1 | 1 |
| Medicine and drugs | 129 | 129 | 132 |
| Eye glasses .-.... | 20 | 32 | 28 |
| Medical appliances | 10 | 16 | 10 |
| Accident and health insurance. | 33 | 27 | 33 |
| Average expenditure per family for medical care, total <br> Services of- - $\$ 52.77$ $\$ 72.21$ $\$ 89.96$ |  |  |  |
| General practitioner: Home ...- -- | 8. 12 | 6. 24 | 10.63 |
| Office | 4. 18 | 19.12 | 6. 59 |
| Specialist and other practitioner | 4.84 | 1.26 | 12. 76 |
| Dentist. | 11.01 | 8. 39 | 16. 03 |
| Clinic. |  | 16 | . 19 |
| Nurse: In home: Private | 0 | . 16 | . 86 |
| Visiting. |  | 17 | . 07 |
| In hospital. | 1.01 | 1. 19 | 6.31 |
| Hospital: Private room | 4. 61 | 6. 10 | 9.88 |
| Bed in ward | 2. 60 | 76 | 52 |
| Medicine and drugs.. | 8.33 | 15. 56 | 13.93 |
| Eyeglasses. | 2.05 | 3.05 | 3.78 |
| Medical appliances | . 02 | . 27 | 08 |
| Accident and health insurance... | 4.49 | 4.06 | 7.80 |
| Other medical care | 1. 1.51 | 51.72 | ${ }^{27} 34$ |
| Average expenditure per person for medical care, total | 13.19 | 21.75 | 27.34 |

Table 15.-Recreation expenditures, by economic level
NASHUA, CONCORD, AND BERLIN, N. H.

| Item | $\underset{\text { Aamilies }}{\text { All }}$ | Economic level-Families spending per expenditure unit per year |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Under } \\ \$ 300 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 300 \text { to } \\ \$ 400 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 400 \text { to } \\ \$ 500 \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 500$ and 0 ver |
| Recreation Expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |
| Families in survey | 299 | 88 | 74 | 52 | 85 |
| Number of families owning radios. | 212 | 45 | 53 | 43 | 71 |
| Number of families spending forReading: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Newspapers, street | 156 | 51 | 39 | 21 | 45 |
| Newspapers, home delivery | 173 | 39 | 42 | 33 | 59 |
|  | 127 | 23 | 23 | 30 | 51 |
| Books purchased (other than school texts) | 8 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Books borrowed from loan libraries | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Tobaceo: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cigars | 29 | 4 | 7 | 5 | 13 |
| Cigarettes | 186 | 52 | 47 | 33 | 54 |
| Pipe tobaceo | 128 | 40 | 37 | 16 | 35 |
| Other tobacco | 13 | 6 | 4 | 1 | 2 |
| Commercial entertainment: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movies (adult admission) | 199 | 45 | 50 | 40 | 64 |
| Movies (child admission) | 73 | 34 | 15 | 17 | 7 |
| Plays and concerts. | 21 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 8 |
| Spectator sports.- | 35 | 7 | 8 | 7 | 13 |
| Recreational equipment: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Musical instruments | ${ }^{3}$ | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Sheet music, records, rolls | 12 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 3 |
| Radio purchase. | 25 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 12 |
| Radio upkeep. | 70 | 9 | 19 | 15 | 27 |
| Cameras, films, and photographic equipment | 37 | 5 | 10 | 3 | 19 |
| A thletic equipment and supplies | 11 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Children's play equipment | 37 | 14 | 14 | 6 | 3 |
| Pets (purchase and care) | 37 | 7 | 7 | 10 | 13 |
| Recreational associations | 84 | 14 | 19 | 11 | 40 |
| Entertaining- |  |  |  |  |  |
| In home, except food and drinks. | 12 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 8 |
| Out of home, except food and drinks. | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| A verage expenditure for recreation, total | \$71. 55 | \$54. 28 | \$65. 35 | \$75. 58 | \$92. 42 |
| Reading, total....-.-- | 14. 58 | 10.89 | 13. 53 | 15. 52 | 18.77 |
| Newspapers, street | 5.67 | 5.45 | 5.75 | 4. 74 | 6.38 |
| Newspapers, home delivery | 6.39 | 4.29 | 6.09 | 7. 58 | 8.11 |
| Magazines | 2.37 | 1.10 | I. 67 | 2.98 | 3. 92 |
| Books purchased (other than school texts) | . 10 | . 02 | . 01 | . 10 | . 28 |
| Books borrowed from loan libraries. | . 05 | . 03 | . 01 | . 12 | . 08 |
| Tobacco, total. | 26.96 | 25. 23 | 29. 52 | 24.81 | 27.88 |
| Cigars | . 89 | . 18 | . 50 | 1. 23 | 1. 77 |
| Cigarettes. | 20.53 | 18. 61 | 22.54 | 20.53 | 20.78 |
| Pipe tobacco. | 4.94 | 5.54 | 5. 99 | 2. 95 | 4.63 |
| Other tobacco | 60 | . 90 | . 49 | . 10 | . 70 |
| Commercial entertainment, total | 12. 69 | 8. 58 | 10.62 | 14. 59 | 17.62 |
| Movies (adult admission). | 10. 53 | 5.93 | 8.80 | 11.81 | 16. 03 |
| Fall | 2. 73 | 1. 49 | 2.30 | 3.01 | 4. 22 |
| Winter. | 2.79 | 1. 56 | 2.33 | 3.07 | 4.30 |
| Spring. | 2.57 | 1.46 | 2.16 | 2. 90 | 3.88 |
| Summer | 2.44 | 1.42 | 2.01 | 2.83 | 3. 63 |
| Movies (child admission) | 1. 43 | 2. 33 | 1.07 | 2.31 | . 29 |
| Fall | . 36 | . 58 | . 28 | . 60 | . 07 |
| Winter | . 36 | . 59 | . 27 | . 59 | . 07 |
| Spring | . 35 | . 58 | . 26 | . 56 | . 07 |
| Summer | . 36 | . 58 | . 26 | . 56 | . 08 |
| Plays and concerts | . 27 | . 11 | . 20 | . 18 | . 55 |
| Spectator sports. | . 46 | . 21 | . 55 | . 29 | . 75 |
| Recreational equipment, total | 10.91 | 7.94 | 6.91 | 12. 22 | 16.67 |
| Musical instruments...-- | 1.30 | 3.91 | 0 | . 87 | 0 |
| Sheet music, records, rolls | . 13 | . 18 | . 04 | . 16 | . 13 |
| Radio purchase. | 6. 16 | 2.40 | 4.02 | 7. 65 | 10.99 |
|  | . 99 | . 31 | . 99 | 1.41 | 1.43 |
| Cameras, filris, and photographic equipment | . 32 | . 05 | . 27 | . 26 | . 70 |
| Athletic equipment and supplies..---...... | . 22 | . 17 | . 35 | . 22 | . 17 |
| Children's play equipment--.-- | . 58 | . 71 | . 95 | . 41 | . 23 |
| Pets (purchase and care) | 1.21 | . 21 | . 29 | 1. 24 | 3. 02 |
| Recreational associations.... | 3. 75 | 1. 15 | 3.14 | 4.41 | 6.49 |
| Entertaining: |  |  |  |  |  |
| In home, except food and drinks .-. | . 35 | . 11 | 0 | . 81 | . 64 |
| Out of home, except food and drinks | . 02 | 0 | 0 | . 14 | 0 |
|  | 2. 29 | . 38 | 1.63 | 3.08 | 4.35 |

Table 15.-Recreation expenditures, by economic level-Continued
PORTSMOUTH, KEENE, DOVER, LACONIA, AND CLAREMONT, N. H.


1 Less than 0.5 cent.

## Table 15.-Recreation expenditures, by economic level--Continued

LITTLETON AND CONWAY,N. H.

| Item | $\underset{\text { families }}{\text { All }}$ | Economic level-Families spending per expenditure unit per year |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Under $\$ 300$ | $\$ 300$ to $\$ 400$ | $\$ 4000$ to $\$ 500$ | $\$ 500$ and over |
| Recreation Expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |
| Families in survey .... | 197 | 65 | 40 | 49 | 43 |
| Number of families owning radios. | 138 | 43 | 25 | 38 | 32 |
| Number of families spending forReading: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Newspapers, street | 137 | 44 | 29 | 34 | 30 |
| Newspapers, home delivery | 69 | 21 | 13 | 18 | 17 |
| Magazines | 119 | 35 | 28 | 29 | 27 |
| Books purchased (other than school texts) | 10 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 4 |
| Books borrowed from loan libraries. | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Tobaceo: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cigars | 30 | 7 | 5 | 8 | 10 |
| Cigarettes. | 114 | 31 | 22 | 28 | 33 |
| Pipe tobacco | 90 | 35 | 23 | 19 | 13 |
| - Other tobacco. | 16 | 6 | 2 | 5 | 3 |
| Oommercial entertainment: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movies (adult admission) | 137 | 46 | 28 | 32 | 31 |
| Movies (child admission) | 46 | 23 | 14 | 3 | 6 |
| Plays and concerts. | 13 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 5 |
| Spectator sports. | 37 | 10 | 6 | 7 | 14 |
| Recreational equipment: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Musical instruments | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sheet music, records, rolls | 10 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| Radio purchase. | 30 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 8 |
| Radio upkeep. | 45 | 10 | 12 | 11 | 12 |
| Cameras, films, and photographic equipment | 32 | 11 | 8 | 6 | 9 |
| Atbletic equipment and supplies.- . . | 11 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Children's play equipment -..-... | 36 | 15 | 10 | 7 | 4 |
| Pets (purchase and care)... | 25 | 6 | 5 | $\theta$ | 5 |
| Recreational associations. .-. | 61 | 11 | 12 | 14 | 24 |
| Entertaining- |  |  |  |  |  |
| In home, except food and drinks.......... | 5 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| Out of home, except food and drinks | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| A verage expenditure for recreation, total | \$63. 33 | \$49. 22 | \$59.38 | \$62. 40 | \$89.61 |
| Reading, total..--.....-.-...---...- | 11.88 | 10.02 | 12. 22 | 12. 43 | 13.76 |
| Newspapers, street | 6. 28 | 5. 64 | 5.73 | 7.20 | 6.72 |
| Newspapers, home delivery | 2.63 | 2. 77 | 2.24 | 2.83 | 2.52 |
| Magazines | 2.83 | 1. 60 | 4.05 | 2.35 | 4.12 |
| Books purchased (other than school texts) | . 12 | . 01 | . 11 | . 05 | . 37 |
| Books borrowed from loan libraries. | . 02 | 0 | . 09 |  | . 03 |
| Tobacco, total | 26.37 | 22.99 | 24.97 | 23.87 | 35.62 |
| Cigars .- | 1.11 | . 69 | . 95 | 1.63 | 1.31 |
| Cigarettos. | 19.02 | 13.41 | 17.58 | 16. 21 | 32.02 |
| Pipe tobacco. | 5. 39 | . 1.31 | 6.21 | 4.83 | 1.94 |
| Other tobacco. | . 94 | 1. 58 | . 23 | 1. 20 | . 35 |
| Commercial entertainment, total | 10.89 | 8.49 | 8.92 | 11.38 | 16.04 |
| Movies (adult admission) | 8.40 | 5.56 | 6.30 | 9.95 | 12.90 |
| Fall. | 2.12 | 1.36 | 1.71 | 2. 44 | 3.32 |
| Winter | 2.09 | 1.32 | 1.45 | 2.84 | 3.34 |
| Spring | 2.09 | 1. 43 | 1.53 | 2. 37 | 3.26 |
| Summer | 2. 10 | 1. 45 | 1. 60 | 2. 60 | 2.98 |
| Movies (child admission) | 1.57 | 2. 50 | 2.36 | . 60 | . 75 |
| Fall | . 42 | . 63 | . 59 | . 15 | . 22 |
| Winter | . 41 | . 63 | . 59 | . 15 | . 22 |
| Spring | . 41 | . 62 | . 57 | . 15 | . 22 |
| Summer | . 33 | . 62 | . 61 | . 15 | . 09 |
| Plays and concerts. | . 09 | . 05 | . 06 | . 08 | . 22 |
| Spectator sports.- | . 83 | . 38 | . 20 | . 75 | 2.17 |
| Recreational equipment, total | 9. 59 | 5. 68 | 9.66 | 11.05 | 13. 77 |
| Musical instruments. .-. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sheet music, records, rolls. | . 13 | . 09 | . 26 | . 06 | . 16 |
| Radio purchase.. | 5. 72 | 3.80 | 5. 74 | 6. 70 | 7.51 |
|  | 1.02 | . 46 | 1. 20 | . 87 | 1. 87 |
| Cameras, films, and photographic equipment. | . 23 | . 20 | . 26 | . 13 | . 36 |
| A thletic equipment and supplies.---------- | . 47 | . 11 | . 32 | . 58 | 1.02 |
| Children's play equipment... | . 84 | . 71 | 1. 17 | . 97 | . 51 |
| Pets (purchase and care).. | 1. 18 | . 28 | . 71 | 1. ${ }^{2} 4$ | 2. 34 |
| Recreational associations.- | 1. 99 | . 81 | 2. 33 | 1.60 | 3.90 |
| Entertaining- |  |  |  |  |  |
| In home, except food and drinks | . 22 |  | .$^{.18}$ | . 12 | . 68 |
| Out of home, except food and drinks. | $\begin{array}{r}.09 \\ \hline .30\end{array}$ | ${ }^{0}$ | 0 | . 32 | .06 5.78 |
|  | 2.30 | 1. 23 | 1.10 | 1.63 | 5. 78 |

Table 15a.--Recreation expenditures
MARQUETTE, MICH.; MODESTO, CALIF.; AND RENO, NEV.

| Item | All families |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Marquette | Modesto | Reno |
| Recreation Expenditures |  |  |  |
| Families in survey --.--------- | 148 | 151 | 149 |
| Number of families owning radios. | 129 | 135 | 134 |
| Number of families spending for- |  |  |  |
| Reading: |  |  |  |
| Newspapers, street | 64 | 53 | 87 |
| Newspapers, home delivery | 129 | 128 | 106 |
| Magazines. | 74 | 104 | 96 |
| Books purchased (other than school texts) | 2 | 12 | 5 |
| Books borrowed from loan libraries...... | 2 | 8 | 2 |
| Tobacco: |  |  |  |
| Cigars | 12 | 18 | 7 |
| Cigarettes | 74 | 74 | 95 |
| Pipe tobacco | 46 | 39 | 31 |
| Other tobacco. | 15 | 9 | 7 |
| Commercial entertainment: |  |  |  |
| Movies (adult admission) | 99 | 126 | 125 |
| Movies (child admission) | 41 | 31 | 26 |
| Plays and concerts. | 19 | 8 | 0 |
| Spectator sports...- | 26 | 47 | 22 |
| Recreational equipment: |  |  |  |
| Musical instruments... | 6 | 9 | 2 |
| Sheet music, records, rolls | 14 | 20 | 8 |
| Radio purchase. | 20 | 14 | 11 |
| Radio upkeep. | 26 | 57 | 24 |
| Cameras, films, and photographic equipment | 29 | 56 | 39 |
| Athletic equipment and supplies | 10 | 20 | 6 |
| Children's play equipment | 42 | 20 | 14 |
| Pets (purchase and care) | 14 | 37 | 36 |
| Recreational associations. | 41 | 41 | 51 |
| Entertaining- |  |  |  |
| In home, except food and drinks | 22 | 13 | 9 |
| Out of home, except food and drinks | 0 | 24 | 9 |
| A verage expenditure for recreation, total | \$54.87 | \$84. 34 | \$77. 84 |
| Reading, total. | 12.05 | 15. 54 | 16. 10 |
| Newspapers, street | 1.83 | 2.05 | 4.06 |
| Newspapers, home delivery | 7.30 | 8.10 | 7.08 |
| Magazines.-- | 2.89 | 4.05 | 4.01 |
| Books purchased (other than school texts) | . 02 | 1.27 | . 95 |
| Books borrowed from loan libraries . . . . . . | . 01 | . 07 | 0 |
| Tobacco, total | 20.98 | 22. 57 | 27.17 |
| Cigars | 1.41 | 2.03 | . 81 |
| Cigarettes. | 15.32 | 17. 33 | 23.42 |
| Pipe tobacco | 3.09 | 2. 29 | 2.89 |
| Other tobacco. | 1. 16 | . 92 | . 05 |
| Commercial entertainment, total | 7.50 | 21. 66 | 18. 74 |
| Movies (adult admission) --- | 5. 48 | 17. 81 | 15. 38 |
| Fall | 1. 34 | 4. 53 | 3. 96 |
| Winter | 1.36 | 4.45 | 3.97 |
| Spring | 1.31 | 4.45 | 3. 74 |
| Summer | 1.47 | 4.38 | 3.71 |
| Movies (child admission) | 1.21 | . 96 | 2. 18 |
| Fall | . 32 | . 24 | . 55 |
| Winter. | . 30 | . 24 | . 55 |
| Spring -- | . 30 | . 24 | . 55 |
| Summer. | . 29 | . 24 | . 53 |
| Plays and concerts. | 27 | . 16 |  |
| Spectator sports | 54 | 2. 73 | 1.18 |
| Recreational equipment, total | 12.15 | 16.58 | 8.86 |
| Musical instruments | 71 | 4.49 | . 80 |
| Sheet music, records, rolls | 22 | 41 | . 13 |
| Radio purchase. | 7.72 | 4.24 | 2. 22 |
| Radio upkeep. | . 68 | 1.38 | . 80 |
| Cameras, films, and photographic equipment | . 46 | 1. 24 | . 81 |
| Athletic equipment and supplies... | . 31 | 1.94 | . 28 |
| Children's play equipment | 1. 71 | . 72 | . 56 |
| Pets (purchase and care) | . 34 | 2.16 | 3. 26 |
| Recreational associations.... | . 19 | 2. 76 | 5. 98 |
| Entertaining- |  |  |  |
| In home, except food and drinks --- | . 28 | - 57 | . 36 |
| Out of home, except food and drinks | . 09 | 2.76 | . 50 |
| Other recreation-............ .... | 1.63 | 1.90 | . 13 |

Table 16.-Formal education, vocation, community welfare, gifts and contributions, and miscellaneous expenditures, by economic level

NASHUA, CONCORD, AND BERLIN, N. H.

| Item | All families | Economic level-Families spending per expenditure unit per year |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Under $\$ 300$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 300 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 400 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 400 \\ & \text { to } \\ & \$ 500 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 500 \\ & \text { and } \\ & \text { over } \end{aligned}$ |
| Formal Education Expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |
| Families in survey | 299 | 88 | 74 | 52 | 85 |
| Number of families spending for- | 7 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| Members at home. | 46 | 21 | 11 | 6 | 8 |
| A verage expenditure per family for formal education, total | \$4.51 | \$4. 59 | \$1.94 | \$3.15 | \$7. 52 |
| For members at home. --.- | 2. 2.44 | .10 4.49 | 1.85 1.89 | 1.10 2.05 | 6.46 1.06 |
| Vocation Expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of families spending for- |  |  |  |  |  |
| Union dues or fees. | 64 | 19 | 13 | 10 | 22 |
| Professional association dues or fees | 18 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 8 |
| Technical literature | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Average expenditure per family for vocational items, total | \$3. 51 | \$2. 02 | \$3.79 | \$2. 34 | \$5. 51 |
| Union dues or fees. | 2. 73 | 1.87 | 3.35 | 1.93 | 3.57 |
| Professional association dues or fees | . 54 | . 15 | . 44 | . 41 | 1.11 |
| Technical literature | . 24 | 0 |  |  | . 83 |
| Other items of vocational expens | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Community Welfare Expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of families spending for- |  |  |  |  |  |
| Religious organizations. | 264 | 80 | 65 | 47 | 72 |
| Community chest and other organizations. | 69 | 16 | 10 | 9 | 34 |
| Taxes: Poll, income, and personal property | 292 | 83 | 72 | 50 | 87 |
| Average expenditure per family for community welfare, total | \$26. 79 | \$28. 14 | \$24. 74 | \$29.54 | \$25. 50 |
| Religious organizations | 22.05 | 23.81 | 20.41 | 24.55 | 20.12 |
| Community chest and other organizations | . 87 | . 46 | . 36 | 1. 28 | 1. 48 |
| Taxes: Poli, income, and personal property | 3.87 | 3.87 | 3.97 | 3. 71 | 3. 90 |
| Gifts and Contributions |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of families spending for- |  |  |  |  |  |
| Christmas, birthday, etc., gifts | 78 | 24 | 15 | 17 | 22 |
| Support of relatives.... | 31 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 19 |
| Support of other persons | 11 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 6 |
| A verage expenditure per family for contributions and gifts |  |  |  |  |  |
| to persons outside economic family, total ------------ | \$20.93 | \$7.47 | $\$ 10.76$ 9.30 | \$23.63 | \$42.06 |
| Support of relatives .-.......- | 7.54 | 1.41 | .50 .50 | 9.93 | 18. 56 |
| Support of other persons. | 1. 04 | . 02 | . 96 | . 53 | 2. 47 |
| Miscellaneous Expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of families spending for- |  |  |  |  |  |
| Funerals.-- | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Legal costs | 6 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| Gardens | 76 | 20 | 29 | 13 | 14 |
| Losses | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Average expenditure per family for miscellaneous items, total | \$5. 04 | \$4.43 | \$3. 40 | \$1.56 | \$9. 23 |
| Funerals | 1.60 | + 62 | 0 | 0 | 4.98 |
| Legal costs | . 61 | . 25 | 1.64 | . 11 | . 40 |
| Gardens. - | 1. 58 | 1.83 | 1. 63 | 1. 38 | 1. 40 |
| Losses. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 1. 25 | 1.73 | . 13 | . 07 | 2. 45 |

Table 16.-Formal education, vocation, community welfare, gifts and contributions, and miscellaneous expenditures, by economic level-Continued

PORTSMOUTH, KEENE, DOVER, LACONIA, AND CLAREMONT, N. H.

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow{2}{*}{Item} \& \multirow{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { All } \\
\& \text { fami- } \\
\& \text { lies }
\end{aligned}
\]} \& \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Economic level-Families spending per expenditure unit per year} \\
\hline \& \& Under \(\$ 300\) \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \$ 300 \\
\& \text { to } \\
\& \$ 400
\end{aligned}
\] \& \[
\begin{gathered}
\$ 400 \\
\text { to } \\
\$ 500
\end{gathered}
\] \& \(\$ 500\) and over \\
\hline Formal Education Expenditures \& \& \& \& \& \\
\hline Families in survey \& 485 \& 126 \& 111 \& 125 \& 123 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Number of families spending for- \\
Members away from home. \\
Members at home.
\end{tabular}} \& \& \& \& \& \\
\hline \& 29 \& 14 \& 6 \& 6 \& 3 \\
\hline \& 66 \& 26 \& 23 \& 8 \& 9 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
A verage expenditure per family for formal education, total. For members away from home. \\
For members at home.
\end{tabular}} \& \$4. 04 \& \$3. 51 \& \$4. 68 \& \$3.16 \& \$4.90 \\
\hline \& 1.40 \& 1.43 \& . 10 \& 2.02 \& 1.92 \\
\hline \& 2.64 \& 2.08 \& 4. 58 \& 1. 14 \& 2.98 \\
\hline Vocation Expenditures \& \& \& \& \& \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Number of families spending for-} \\
\hline Union dues or fees \& 68 \& 15 \& 15 \& 18 \& 20 \\
\hline Professional association dues or fees \& 28 \& 2 \& 6 \& 4 \& 16 \\
\hline Technical literature \& 4 \& 1 \& 0 \& 1 \& 2 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{A verage expenditure per family for vocational items, total Union dues or fees.} \& \$2. 32 \& \$1.30 \& \$2.01 \& \$2.42 \& \$3.55 \\
\hline \& 1.45 \& 1.02 \& 1. 23 \& 1. 54 \& 2.00 \\
\hline Professional association dues or fees \& . 71 \& . 03 \& . 74 \& . 69 \& 1. 40 \\
\hline Technical literature \& . 05 \& . 01 \& 0 \& 12 \& . 07 \\
\hline Other items of vocational expense. \& . 11 \& 24 \& . 04 \& . 07 \& 08 \\
\hline Community Welfare Expenditures \& \& \& \& \& \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Number of families spending for-} \\
\hline Religious organizations ....-. \& 410 \& 108 \& 93 \& 106 \& 103 \\
\hline Community chest and other organizations. \& 107 \& 13 \& 22 \& 29 \& 43 \\
\hline Taxes: Poll, income, and personal property \& 475 \& 123 \& 110 \& 121 \& 121 \\
\hline Average expenditure per family for community welfare, total. \& \$22.35 \& \$19.94 \& \$22.94 \& \$20.05 \& \$26.62 \\
\hline Religious organizations. \& 17. 59 \& 15. 80 \& 18.08 \& 15. 56 \& 21.05 \\
\hline Community chest and other organizations. \& . 77 \& . 28 \& . 63 \& . 65 \& 1. 52 \\
\hline Taxes: Poll, income, and personal property \& 3.99 \& 3.86 \& 4.23 \& 3.84 \& 4.05 \\
\hline Gifts and Contributions \& \& \& \& \& \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{} \\
\hline Christmas, birthday, ete., gifts \& 367 \& 78 \& 83 \& 101 \& 105 \\
\hline Support of relatives.-.-.
Support of other persons \& 58
8 \& 8
3 \& 12 \& 11 \& 27
1 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{A verage expenditure per family for contributions and gifts to persons outside economic family, total} \& \& \& \& \& \\
\hline \& \$23. 24 \& \$11.44 \& \(\$ 18.21\)
10.55 \& 19.58
15.88 \& \$43.55

25.24 <br>
\hline Support of relatives ............ \& 8.01 \& 3.62 \& 7.53 \& 3. 09 \& 17.91 <br>
\hline Support of other persons \& . 31 \& . 09 \& 13 \& . 61 \& . 40 <br>
\hline Miscellaneous Expenditures \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Number of families spending for-} <br>

\hline | Funerals |
| :--- |
| Legal costs | \& 6 \& 0 \& 1 \& 2 \& 3 <br>

\hline Gardens. \& 153 \& 39 \& 46 \& 40 \& 28 <br>
\hline Losses. \& 2 \& 1 \& 1 \& 0 \& 0 <br>
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Average expenditure per family for miscellaneous items,} <br>
\hline Funerals \& 1. 39 \& 0 \& . 64 \& 4.62 \& . 20 <br>
\hline Legal costs \& . 42 \& 0 \& 0 \& . 44 \& 1.21 <br>
\hline Gardens. \& 2.02 \& 1. 71 \& 1. 89 \& 1.89 \& 2. 59 <br>
\hline Losses. \& . 02 \& . 02 \& . 07 \& \& 0 <br>
\hline Other \& 1. 98 \& 1.83 \& 2.37 \& 2. 64 \& 1. 11 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 16.--Formal education, vocation, community welfare, gifts and contributions, and miscellaneous expenditures, by economic level-Continued

LITTLETON AND CONWAY, N. H.

| Item | All families | Economic level--Families spending per expenditure unit per year- |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Under | $\begin{gathered} \$ 300 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 400 \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 400$ to $\$ 500$ | $\$ 500$ and over |
| Formal Education Expenditures <br> Families in survey. $\qquad$ <br> Number of families spending for- <br> Members away from home $\qquad$ <br> Members at home $\qquad$ <br> Average expenditure per family for formal education, total For members away from home $\qquad$ <br> For members at home $\qquad$ <br> Vocation Expenditures | 197113 | 65 | 40 | 49 | 43 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 0 | 02 | 111 |
|  |  | 0 9 |  |  |  |
|  | $\$ 2.23$ .12 | \$3.55 | \$2. 23 | $\underset{0}{\$ 0.74}$ | $\$ 1.93$ .55 |
|  | 2.11 | 3.55 | 2.23 | . 74 | 1. 38 |
|  | 4014 | 1602 | 400 | 600 | 1412 |
| Number of families spending for- <br> Union dues or fees. <br> Professional association dues or fees <br> Technical literature. |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A verage expenditure per family for vocational items, total. | \$3.78 | \$4. 63 | \$1.17 | \$1.96 | \$6.99 |
| Union dues or fees .-.... | 3.75 | 4. 60 | 1.17 | 1. 96 | 6.91 |
| Professional association dues or fees | (1) | 0 | 0 | 0 | . 02 |
| Technical literature | . 02 | . 03 | 0 | 0 | . 03 |
| Other items of vocational expense | . 01 | 0 | 0 | 0 | . 03 |
| Community Welfare Expenditures | 15347194 | $\begin{array}{r} 46 \\ 7 \\ 63 \end{array}$ | 34940 |  | 312142 |
| Number of families spending for- |  |  |  |  |  |
| Religious organizations .-.... |  |  |  | 42 |  |
| Community chest and other organizations. |  |  |  | 10 |  |
| Taxes: Poll, income, and personal property |  |  |  | 49 |  |
| Average expenditure per family for community welfare, total <br> Religious organizations. $\qquad$ <br> Community chest and other organizations. <br> Taxes: Poll, income, and personal property | \$19.95 | \$15.75 | \$20. 97 |  | \$19.82 |
|  | 15.12 | 11.64 | 16.71 |  | 13.71 |
|  | +66 | . 09 | . 58 | $\begin{array}{r} 19.71 \\ \quad .59 \end{array}$ | 1.684.43 |
|  | 4.17 | 4.02 | 3.68 | 4.53 |  |
|  |  |  | 3340 | 3650 | 3792 |
| Number of families spending for- <br> Christmas, birthday, etc., gifts <br> Support of relatives. <br> Support of other persons. |  | 40 <br> 3 <br> 2 |  |  |  |
|  | 146 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 21 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4 |  |  |  |  |
| Average expenditure per family for contributions and gifts |  | \$8. 01 | \$14.89 | \$18.63 | \$44.00 |
| to persons outside economic family, total-...----... | \$19.90 |  |  |  |  |
| Christmas, birthday, ete., gifts. | 13. 02 | 7. 54 | 11.22 | 14.29 | 21. 55 |
| Support of relatives. | 6.77 | .43.04 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 3. } 67 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.34 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 22.00.45 |
| Support of other persons | . 11 |  |  |  |  |
| Miscellaneous Expenditures | $\begin{array}{r}7 \\ 2 \\ 98 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 10430 | 11250 | 1 <br> 1 <br> 23 <br> 1 | 4070 |
| Number of families spending for- |  |  |  |  |  |
| Funerals... |  |  |  |  |  |
| Legal costs |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gardens. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Losses. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average expenditure per family for miscellaneous items, total | \$13. 51 | \$16.02 | \$7.98 | \$9.91 | \$18.90 |
| Funerals | 3. 43 | . 35 | 2.21 | 1. 67 | 11.22 |
| Legal costs | . 23 | 0 | . 38 | . 62 |  |
| Gardens. . | 3. 69 | $\begin{aligned} & 4.35 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | ${ }_{0}^{4 .} 4$ | 2.83.14 | 0 2.90 |
| Losses. | . 04 |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 4.78 \end{aligned}$ |
| Other. | 6. 12 | 11. 32 | . 90 | 4.65 |  |

${ }^{1}$ Less than 0.5 cent.

Table 16a.--Formal education, vocation, community welfare, gufts and contributions, and miscellaneous expenditures

MARQUETTE, MICH.; MODES'TO, CALIF.; AND RENO, NEV.

| Item | All families |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Marquette | Modesto | Reno |
| Formal Education Expenditures |  |  |  |
| Families in survey | 148 | 151 | 149 |
| Number of families spending for- |  |  |  |
| Members away from home. | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Members at home. | 74 | 43 | 37 |
| Average expenditure per family for formal education, total. | \$10. 30 | \$9.19 | \$2. 46 |
| For members away from home. | . 93 | . 24 |  |
| For members at home...... | 9.37 | 8.95 | 2. 46 |
| Vocation Expenditures |  |  |  |
| Number of families spending for- |  |  |  |
| Union dues or fees.-.-.....-. | 30 | 23 | 53 |
| Professional association dues or fees | 2 | 1 | 5 |
| Technical literature | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| Average expenditure per family for vocational items, total | \$4. 53 | \$3.83 | \$7.01 |
|  | 4. 39 | 3. 59 | 6. 76 |
| Professional association dues or fees | $0^{.13}$ | . 10 | . 16 |
| Technical literature | ${ }^{0} .01$ | .02 .12 | .$_{0} 09$ |
| Community Welfare Expenditures |  |  |  |
| Number of families spending for- |  |  |  |
| Religious organizations. | 121 | 61 | 65 |
| Community chest and other organizations | 131 | 42 | 43 |
| Taxes: Poll, income, and personal property | 3 | 102 | 126 |
| A verage expenditure per family for community welfare, total | \$15. 49 | \$12. 62 | \$9.49 |
| Religious organizations | 13.12 | 9.73 | 6.05 |
| Community chest and other organizations | 2.28 | . 94 | . 66 |
| Taxes: Poll, income, and personal property | . 09 | 1.95 | 2. 78 |
| Gifts and Contributions |  |  |  |
| Number of families spending for-- |  |  |  |
| Christmas, birthday, etc., gifts | 107 | 132 | 134 |
| Support of relatives...-.-....... | 19 | 36 | 25 |
| Support of other persons | 3 | 4 | 38 |
| A verage expenditure per family for contributions and gifts to persons outside economic family, total |  |  |  |
|  | $\$ 21.59$ 13.70 | $\$ 35.89$ 19.72 | $\$ 44.42$ 24.30 |
| Support of relatives. .-. | 7.81 | 15.98 | 18. 48 |
| Support of other persons. | . 08 | 19 | 1.64 |
| Miscellaneous Expenditures |  |  |  |
| Number of families spending for-.. |  |  |  |
| Funerals........... | 3 | 9 | 3 |
| Legal costs | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| Gardens. | 76 | 19 | 24 |
| Family losses ..... | 3 | 4 | 2 |
| A verage expenditure per family for miscellaneous items, total.... | \$14. 65 | \$12. 24 | \$7.81 |
| Funerals. | 8.72 | 6.39 | 3.74 |
| Legal costs. | . 32 | . 31 | . 15 |
| Gardens. | 2.37 | . 99 | . 76 |
| Family losses | . 36 | 1. 12 | 1. 70 |
| Other | 2. 88 | 3. 43 | 1.46 |
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Table 17.--Clothing expenditures, by economic level
10 NEW HAMPSHIRE CITIES

| Item | $\begin{aligned} & \text { All } \\ & \text { fami- } \\ & \text { lies } \end{aligned}$ | Economiclevel-Familiesspending per expenditure unit per year |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Under | $\begin{gathered} \$ 300 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 400 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 400 \\ & \text { to } \\ & \$ 500 \end{aligned}$ | $\$ 500$ and over |
| Clothing Expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |
| I. Number of families in survey | 981 | 279 | 225 | 226 | 251 |
| Average number of clothing expenditure units per family. | 2.79 | 3.83 | 2.82 | 2.31 | 2.04 |
| Number of families spending for-Ready-made clothing, dry cleaning, and accessories. | 981 | 279 | 225 | 226 | 251 |
| Yard goods and findings. | 589 | 188 | 133 | 118 | 150 |
| Paid help for sewing... | 52 | 12 | 9 | 11 | 20 |
| Number of families reporting clothing received as gifts | 487 | 156 | 117 | 104 | 110 |
| Average expenditure per family for clothing | \$132.12 | \$120.60 | \$125. 84 | \$125. 36 | \$156.62 |
| Ready-made clothing, dry cleaning, and accessories. | 128.60 | 116. 73 | 122.44 | 122.17 | 153.07 |
| Yard goods and findings. | 3.33 | 3.74 | 3.24 | 3.09 | 3.17 |
| Paid help for sewing. | . 19 | . 13 | 16 | 10 | . 38 |
| Average value per family of clothing received as gifts : | 4. 96 | 4.81 | 5.84 | 4. 73 | 4. 55 |
| II. Number of families having men and boys 18 years of age and over ${ }^{2}$ | 936 | 268 | 218 | 211 | 239 |
| Number of men and boys 18 years of age and over ${ }^{2}$ _-.... | 1,086 | 330 | 259 | 235 | 262 |
| A verage number of men and boys 18 years of age and over per family having such men and boys ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.16 | 1. 23 | 1. 19 | 1.11 | 1.10 |
| Number of families having boys 12 through 17 years of age ${ }^{2}$... | 162 | 93 | 38 | 20 | 11 |
| Number of boys 12 through 17 years of age ${ }^{2}$ | 210 | 127 | 49 | 23 | 11 |
| A verage number of boys 12 through 17 years of age per family having such boys ${ }^{2}$ | 1.30 | 1.37 | 1. 29 | 1. 15 | 1.00 |
| Number of families having boys 6 through 11 years of age ${ }^{2}$.--- | 197 | 102 | 47 | 29 | 19 |
| Number of boys 6 through 11 years of age ${ }^{2}$...........-.- | 240 | 136 | 53 | 30 | 21 |
| Average number of boys 6 through 11 years of age per family having such boys ${ }^{2}$ | 1.22 | 1. 33 | 1. 13 | 1.03 | 1.11 |
| Number of families having boys 2 through 5 years of age ${ }^{2} \ldots \ldots$ | 124 | 56 | 37 | 22 | 9 |
| Number of boys 2 through 5 years of age 2.............. | 131 | 63 | 37 | 22 | 9 |
| Average number of boys 2 through 5 years of age per family having such boys ${ }^{2}$ | 1.06 | 1. 12 | 1. 00 | 1. 00 | 1. 00 |
| Number of families having women and girls 18 years of age and over ${ }^{2}$ | 967 | 276 | 224 | 218 | 249 |
| Number of women and girls 18 years of age and over ${ }^{2}$ - $\ldots$.-.... | 1,185 | 363 | 276 | 265 | 281 |
| Average number of women and girls 18 years of age and over per family having such women and girls ${ }^{2}$ | 1. 23 | 1. 32 | 1. 23 | 1. 22 | 1. 13 |
| Number of families having girls 12 through 17 years of age ${ }^{2}$ - | 158 | 97 | 31 | 17 | 13 |
| Number of girls 12 through 17 years of age ${ }^{2}$....... | 200 | 133 | 36 | 18 | 13 |
| Average number of girls 12 through 17 years of age per family having such girls ${ }^{2}$ | 1. 27 | 1. 37 | 1. 16 | 1. 06 | 1. 00 |
| Number of families having girls 6 through 11 years of age ? | 165 | 91 | 40 | 22 | 12 |
| Number of girls 6 through 11 years of age ${ }^{2} \ldots$ | 210 | 130 | 45 | 23 | 12 |
| Average number of girls 6 through 11 years of age per family having such girls ${ }^{2}$. | 1.27 | 1.43 | 1. 12 | 1. 05 | 1.00 |
| Number of families having girls 2 through 5 years of age 2 | 139 | 85 | 32 | 16 | 6 |
| Number of girls 2 through 5 years of age ${ }^{2}$..-.-...--..... | 170 | 105 | 41 | 18 | 6 |
| A.verage number of girls 2 through 5 years of age per family having such girls ${ }^{2}$ | 1. 22 | 1. 24 | 1. 28 | 1. 12 | 1. 00 |
| Number of families having infants under 2 years of age ${ }^{3}$ | 95 | 47 | 26 | 10 | 12 |
| Number of infants under 2 years of age ${ }^{3}$.............. | 101 | 50 | 29 | 10 | 12 |
| Average number of infants under 2 years of age per family having infants ${ }^{3}$ | 1.06 | 1.06 | 1.12 | 1. 00 | 1.00 |
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| Item | A verage number of articles purchased per person |  |  |  |  | Average expenditure per person |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { fami- } \\ \text { lies } \end{gathered}$ | Economic levelFamilies spending per expenditure unit per year |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { AII } \\ \text { fami- } \\ \text { lies } \end{gathered}$ | Economic levelFamilies spending per expenditure unit per year |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Un- } \\ & \text { der } \\ & \$ 300 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 300 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 400 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 400 \\ & \text { to } \\ & \$ 500 \end{aligned}$ | $\$ 500$ and over |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Un- } \\ \text { der } \\ \$ 300 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 300 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 400 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 400 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 500 \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 500$ and over |
| III. Clothing, men and boys, 18 years of age and over: ${ }^{2}$ <br> Total. | No. | No. | No. | No. | No. | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Dol. } \\ 38.47 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dol } \\ 27.07 \end{gathered}$ | Dol. $33.48$ | Dol. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dol. } \\ & 57.93 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 0.32 | 0.22 | 0.27 | 0.34 | 0.47 | 1.02 | . 63 | . 78 | 1.08 | 1.70 |
| Straw | . 12 | . 05 | . 08 | . 14 | . 20 | . 21 | . 11 | . 15 | . 21 | . 39 |
| Caps: Wool | . 33 | . 32 | . 29 | . 36 | . 35 | . 35 | . 32 | . 31 | . 39 | . 37 |
| Other | . 10 | . 11 | . 10 | . 07 | . 11 | . 09 | . 09 | . 10 | 05 | . 12 |
| Overcoats | . 10 | . 07 | . 09 | . 13 | . 12 | 2.05 | 1.28 | 1.61 | 2.69 | 2.88 |
| Topcosts | . 05 | . 03 | . 04 | . 04 | . 09 | . 89 | . 54 | . 69 | . 45 | 1.92 |
| Raincoats | . 03 | . 01 | . 05 | . 02 | . 05 | 16 | . 05 | . 25 | . 11 | . 24 |
| Jackets: Heavy fabric | . 13 | . 14 | . 13 | . 10 | . 14 | 59 | . 60 | 59 | . 49 | . 69 |
| Leather. | . 04 | . 03 | . 04 | . 04 | . 06 | 27 | . 18 | . 27 | . 24 | 39 |
| Other | . 03 | . 64 | . 03 | . 01 | . 02 | 12 | . 14 | . 15 | . 04 | . 13 |
| Sweaters: Heavy | . 15 | . 12 | . 20 | . 14 | . 14 | 53 | . 37 | . 65 | . 58 | . 57 |
| Light | . 17 | . 15 | . 18 | . 14 | . 22 | 35 | 26 | . 35 | . 30 | 51 |
| Suits: Heavy wool | . 19 | 12 | . 15 | . 19 | . 32 | 4.76 | 2.64 | 3.70 | 4. 73 | 8. 49 |
| Lightweight wool | . 16 | 12 | . 14 | 15 | . 23 | 3.51 | 2. 65 | 2.77 | 3. 51 | 5. 34 |
| Cotton, linen. | (4) 01 | . 01 | 0 | ${ }^{(4)}$ | (4) 02 | . 10 | .$_{0} .16$ | 0 | $0^{.03}$ | 17 |
| Palm Beach | (4) | 0 | 0 | - | (4) | . 01 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 05 |
| Other | (4) ${ }^{4}$ | (4) | . 01 | 0 | . 01 | +111 | . 08 | . 20 | 0 | +. 17 |
| Trousers: Wool | . 53 | . 46 | . 48 | . 62 | . 58 | 1.81 | 1.38 | 1.52 | 2.17 | 2.34 |
| Cotton | . 31 | . 31 | . 40 | . 29 | . 25 | . 56 | . 53 | . 71 | . 55 | 46 |
| Other | . 06 | . 04 | . 05 | . 08 | . 06 | . 16 | . 10 | . 13 | . 17 | 25 |
| Overalls, coveralls | . 60 | 54 | 53 | . 66 | . 71 | . 83 | 65 | . 77 | 92 | 1. 05 |
| Shirts and blouses: Cotton, work | 1.42 | 1.44 | 1. 29 | 1.49 | 1. 45 | 1.21 | 1.13 | 1.10 | 1.29 | 1.36 |
| Cotton and other, dress. | 1.51 | 1.12 | 1. 27 | 1.55 | 2. 20 | 1.96 | 1.28 | 1. 1.56 | 1.92 | 3. 24 |
| Wool ---------------. | . 08 | . 05 | . 12 | . 04 | . 13 | . 15 | . 09 | . 19 | 09 | . 24 |
| Underwear: Suits, cotton, knit | . 68 | . 67 | . 54 | . 73 | . 77 | . 73 | 71 | . 58 | 78 | . 86 |
| woven- | . 29 | . 27 | . 30 | . 25 | . 33 | . 31 | 28 | . 27 | 29 | . 40 |
| cotton and wool | 40 | . 24 | . 46 | . 41 | . 54 | . 49 | . 30 | . 51 | 49 | . 73 |
| $\xrightarrow[\text { rayon and silk }]{ }$ | . 04 | . 01 | . 07 | . 04 | . 06 | . 04 | . 01 | . 08 | . 04 | . 06 |
| Undershirts, cotton..........- | . 68 | . 51 | . 76 | . 70 | . 78 | . 25 | . 18 | . 27 | 26 | . 33 |
| cotton and wool. | . 28 | . 20 | . 26 | . 27 | . 39 | . 16 | . 08 | . 15 | . 18 | . 24 |
| rayon and silk .-. | . 06 | . 03 | . 07 | . 07 | . 08 | . 03 | . 01 | . 03 | . 03 | . 04 |
| Shorts, cotton-.-.-- | . 85 | . 72 | . 94 | . 79 | . 97 | . 35 | . 28 | . 36 | . 34 | . 43 |
| - rayon and silk .-- | . 05 | . 03 | . 06 | . 06 | . 04 | . 02 | . 01 | . 03 | . 02 | . 02 |
| Drawers, cotton and wool | . 17 | . 07 | . 18 | . 20 | . 27 | . 11 | . 04 | . 10 | . 14 | . 17 |
| Pajamas and nightshirts.- | .39 <br> 1.05 | . 19 | . 25 | + 49 | $\begin{array}{r}.68 \\ \hline 130\end{array}$ | .50 3 | . 21 | . 30 | +63 | .95 5.96 |
|  | 1.05 | . 92 | . 94 | 1.06 | 1.30 | 3.96 | 3.13 | 3. 34 | 4.14 | 5. 46 |
| Work. | . 49 | . 50 | . 50 | 44 | . 52 | 1. 49 | 1.39 | 1. 60 | 1.32 | 1.67 <br> 04 |
| Canvas | . 03 | . 02 | . 06 | 04 | 03 | 05 | . 02 | . 11 | . 06 | . 04 |
| Other | . 02 | . 02 | . 02 | 04 | 03 | 06 | 04 | 04 | . 10 | . 07 |
| Boots: Rubber | . 08 | . 10 | . 09 | . 06 | . 07 | 25 | . 30 | 29 | 23 | . 18 |
| Leather | . 02 | . 02 | . 03 | . 02 | . 02 | 10 | . 07 | . 09 | 07 | 17 |
| Arctics.- | 13 | . 09 | . 12 | 12 | . 20 | 35 | . 20 | . 32 | 36 | 57 |
| Rubbers | . 50 | . 36 | .47 | . 50 | . 69 | . 57 | . 42 | 51 | 55 | 84 |
| Shoe: Repairs. |  |  |  |  |  | 81 | . 44 | 80 | 75 | 1.34 |
| Shines. |  |  |  |  |  | 12 | . 03 | 03 | 08 | 38 |
| Hose: Cotton, heavy | 3.92 | 3.20 | 4.36 | 4.79 | 3.59 | 87 | . 85 | . 92 | . 97 | 78 |
| dress. | 3.65 | 3.02 | 4.04 | 3.34 | 4.34 | 82 | . 71 | 80 | 77 | 1.04 |
| Rayon. | 1.17 | . 52 | 1.17 | 1. 08 | 2. 06 | 28 | . 12 | 26 | . 27 | . 53 |
| Silk | . 43 | 11 | . 19 | . 27 | 1.20 | 15 | . 03 | . 07 | . 10 | . 41 |
| Wool. | . 52 | . 36 | . 52 | . 41 | . 83 | 25 | . 18 | . 20 | . 22 | . 42 |
| Gloves: Work, cotton | 1.56 | 1. 43 | 1.65 | 1.47 | 1.73 | 41 | . 38 | . 40 | . 33 | . 53 |
| Street other-- | . 19 | . 16 | . 17 | . 12 | . 38 | .11 | . 07 | . 07 | 09 37 | . 19 |
| Street, leather | $\begin{array}{r}.20 \\ .07 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | . 12 | . 17 | .21 .10 | $\begin{array}{r}.33 \\ .04 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | .36 <br> .08 | . 19 | . 25 | .37 .11 | .66 .07 |
| Ties.-.-.-.--------- | $\begin{array}{r}.07 \\ 1.55 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | . 06 | .09 1.49 | .10 1.43 | 2. 46 | . 83 | .06 <br> .45 | . 07 | . 71 | 1. 58 |
| Collars. | . 07 | . 06 | . 04 | . 09 | . 12 | . 02 | . 02 | . 01 | . 02 | . 03 |
| Bathing suits, sun suits | . 05 | . 01 | . 07 | . 06 | . 08 | 12 | 02 | . 14 | . 15 | . 21 |
| Handkerchiefs. | 3.14 | 2. 28 | 3.08 | 3.34 | 4.11 | . 25 | . 16 | . 22 | . 25 | . 39 |
| Accessories. |  |  |  |  |  | . 06 | . 03 | . 07 | . 05 | 11 |
| Bathrobes...-.-.-. | . 02 | . 02 | . 02 | . 02 | . 04 | . 09 | . 05 | . 03 | . 10 | . 20 |
| Cleaning, repairing |  |  |  |  |  | 1.04 | . 28 | . 79 | . 90 | 2.36 |
| Other.....---... |  |  |  |  |  | . 18 | 06 | . 17 | 13 | . 40 |

${ }^{2}$ Includes only persons dependent on family funds for 52 weeks.
${ }^{4}$ Less than 0.005 article.

$$
200982^{\circ}-41-9
$$

Table 17.-Clothing expenditures, by economic level-Continued
10 NEW HAMPSEIRE CITIES-Continued

| Item | Persons purchasing |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\underset{\substack{\text { fami- } \\ \text { lies }}}{\text { All }}$ | Economic level-Families spending per expenditure unit per year |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Under } \\ & \$ 300 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 300 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 400 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 400 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 500 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 500 \\ & \text { and } \\ & \text { over } \end{aligned}$ |
| IV. Olothing, boys 12 through 17 years of age: ${ }^{2}$ Hats: Felt | Num ber <br> 11 | Num- | Number | $\underset{\text { ber }}{\text { Num- }}$ | Number |
|  |  | 6 0 | $\begin{aligned} & 9 \\ & \mathbf{1} \end{aligned}$ | 5 0 0 | ${ }_{0}^{5}$ |
| Caps: Wool.-- |  | 59 | 19 | 10 | 5 |
| Other |  | 22 | 5 | 3 | 0 |
| Overcoats. |  | 8 | ${ }_{6}$ | 0 | 4 |
| Topooats...- |  | 0 7 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Jackets: Heavy fabric |  | 47 | 17 | 4 | 4 |
| Leather-...- |  | 4 | 6 | 5 | 2 |
| Other-.. |  | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| Sweaters: Heavy |  | 31 | 21 | 7 | 4 |
| Luits Light-- |  | 50 | 23 | 10 | 5 |
| Suits: Heavy wool -.---- |  | 20 | ${ }^{7}$ | 7 8 | 5 |
| Cotton, linen.... |  | 0 | ${ }_{0}$ | 8 | 1 |
| Palm Beach. |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other-- |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Trousers: Wool. |  | 65 | 28 | 12 | 7 |
| Cotton |  | 37 | 18 | 3 | 4 |
| Other |  | 8 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| Overalls, coveralls.-.-.-.-.-.-- |  | 34 | 9 | 3 | 1 |
| Shirts and blouses: Cotton, work |  | 19 | 9 | 4 | 3 |
| Cotton and other, dress. |  | 87 6 | 36 4 | 15 0 | 9 |
| Underwear: Suits, cotton, knit.-... |  | 36 | 13 | 6 |  |
| woven. |  | 26 | 6 | 3 | 1 |
| cotton and wool |  | 10 | 9 | 7 | 2 |
| rayon and silk |  | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 |
| Undershirts, cotton cotton and wool |  | 35 | 21 | 9 | 6 |
| cotton and wool rayon and silk |  | 10 <br> 3 | 4 | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ | 0 |
| Shorts, cotton.... |  | 37 |  | 10 | 6 |
| , rayon and silk. |  | $\stackrel{3}{3}$ | $\stackrel{1}{28}$ | 0 | 6 |
| Drawers, cotton and wool. |  | ${ }^{6}$ | 2 | 1 |  |
| Shoes: Street Pajamas and nightshirts. | 39 183 | 111 | 8 | 9 | ${ }^{6}$ |
| Shoes: Street- | 183 22 | 111 | 41 | 20 | 11 |
| Canvas... | 70 | 47 | 10 | 4 | ${ }_{3}$ |
| Other--. | 20 | 7 | 7 | 4 | 2 |
| Boots: Rubber-- | 18 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 2 |
| Leather. | 13 | 10 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| Arctics...---- | 17 | 6 | 5 | 1 | 5 |
| Rubbers--.-. | 87 | 49 | 22 | 11 | 5 |
| Shoe: Repairs | $\stackrel{57}{1}$ | 27 1 | 17 0 | 8 0 | 5 0 |
| Hose: Cotton, heavy. | 107 | 66 | 26 | 13 | 2 |
| dress | 93 | 60 | 23 | 5 | 5 |
| Rayon. | 27 | 8 | 7 | 2 | 3 |
| Silk | 7 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| Wool.... | 48 | 31 | 11 | 4 | 2 |
| Gloves: Work, cotton | 20 | 12 | 3 | 2 | 3 |
| ( | 16 | 10 | 1 | 3 | 2 |
| Street, leather | 40 | 15 | 15 | 4 | 6 |
| other- | 52 | 35 | 8 | 5 | 4 |
| Ties....... | 112 | 63 | 24 | 15 | 10 |
| Collars. | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Bathing suits, sun suits. | 29 | 12 | 10 | 4 | 3 |
| Handkerchiefs.. | 50 | 25 | 13 | 8 | 4 |
| Accessories... | 9 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| Bathrobes.....-.... | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Otheaning, repairing. | 27 4 | 111 | 1 | 3 1 | $\stackrel{4}{0}$ |
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${ }_{4}^{2}$ Includes only persons dependent on family funds for 52 weeks.
${ }^{4}$ Less than 0.005 article.
${ }^{5}$ Less than 0.5 cent.
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| Item | Average number of articles purchased per person |  |  |  |  | $\underset{\substack{\text { person }}}{\text { A verage }}$ expenditure per |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { All } \\ \text { fami- } \\ \text { lies } \end{array}\right\|$ | Economic levelFamilies spending per expenditure unit per year |  |  |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { All } \\ & \text { fami- } \\ & \text { lies } \end{aligned}\right.$ | Economic levelFamilies spending per expenditure unit per year |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Un- } \\ & \text { der } \\ & \$ 300 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \$ 300 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 400 \end{array}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \$ 400 \\ \mathbf{t o} \\ \$ 500 \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\left.\begin{aligned} & \$ 5000 \\ & \text { and } \\ & \text { over } \end{aligned} \right\rvert\,$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Un- } \\ & \text { der } \\ & \$ 300 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 300 \\ & \text { to } \\ & \$ 400 \end{aligned}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} \$ 400 \\ 10 \\ \$ 500 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \$ 500 \\ & \text { and } \\ & \text { over } \end{aligned}\right.$ |
| V. Clothing, boys 6 through 11 years of age: Total | No. | No. | No. | No. | No. | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} D o l . \\ 24.08 \end{gathered}\right.$ | Dol. $17.58$ | Dol. $26.78$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dol. } \\ 36.53 \end{gathered}$ | Dol. $41.83$ |
| Hats: Felt | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 01 | . 01 | 01 | . 02 | . 04 |
| Caps: Straw | (4) 69 |  | . 02 |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{(5)}$ |  |  |
| Caps: Wool | . 25 | 54 16 | 66 39 | 1.13 .24 | $\begin{array}{r}1.02 \\ .46 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | . 41 | . 31 | + 40 | . 14 | 73 22 |
| Overcoats. | 19 | 19 | 12 | 23 | 35 | 88 | . 70 | . 66 | 1.47 | 1. 74 |
| Topeoats. | . 02 | . 02 | . 04 |  | 03 | 07 | . 07 | . 10 |  | 11 |
| Raincoats | . 06 | . 01 | 09 | . 06 | 25 | 12 | . 02 | . 09 | 19 | 74 |
| Jackets: Heavy fab | . 29 | 26 | 32 | . 49 | 16 | 87 | 67 | . 97 | 1. 81 | 55 |
| Leather | . 08 | 04 | 16 |  | 20 | ${ }^{23}$ | 10 | . 44 |  | 88 |
| Other | 05 | 05 | 03 | . 07 | 03 | 14 | 12 | . 14 | . 27 | 15 |
| Sweaters: Heavy | 42 | 26 | 54 | . 64 | 78 | 77 | 51 | . 99 | 1.23 | 1. 28 |
| Light | . 10 | . 39 | ${ }^{57}$ | .97 | . 73 | ${ }_{34}^{65}$ | 43 | . 61 | 1.34 | 1. 19 |
| Play suits: Wool knit | . 10 | . 04 | 17 10 | . 11 | $0^{.29}$ | 34 <br> 05 | 08 <br> 03 | 49 | ${ }^{63}$ | 1. 22 |
| Other | 24 | 08 | 41 | 43 | . 57 | 30 | 08 | 67 | 4 |  |
| Suits: Heavy wool | 09 | 05 | 15 | 14 | 16 | 75 | 45 | 1. 15 | 1.03 | 1. 31 |
| Lightweight wool | 17 | . 13 | 11 | . 19 | 49 | 1.05 | 83 | . 33 | 1. 32 | 3.92 |
| Cotton, linen | 33 | . 19 | . 37 | . 21 | 1. 30 | . 35 | 14 | . 38 | . 28 | 1.70 |
| Palm Beach. | 02 | 0 | 02 | . 04 | . 14 | . 03 |  | . 02 | 11 | . 16 |
| Other | 06 | . 03 | 12 | 15 |  | 05 | 03 | . 07 | 17 |  |
| Trousers: Wool | 97 | 89 | 1.08 | 1. 23 | . 99 | 1. 31 | 1.05 | 1. 46 | 2.02 | 1. 63 |
| Cotton | 49 | 41 | 70 | 43 | 61 | . 55 | 41 | . 79 | . 75 | . 57 |
| Other | 25 | 16 | ${ }^{28}$ | 25 | . 69 | 31 | 21 | - 33 | . 40 | . 71 |
| Overalls, coveralls | . 75 | 60 | 1. 17 | 63 | . 86 | . 50 | 38 | . 78 | . 48 | . 58 |
| Shirts and blouses: Cotton and other, except wool | 2.61 | 2.14 | 2. 93 | 3.67 | 3.41 | 1.64 | 1. 26 | 1.96 | 2.22 | 2.49 |
| Wool | 04 | . 04 | . 06 |  | . 05 | . 03 | 03 | . 05 |  | . 03 |
| Underwear: Suits, cotton, knit- | 1. 13 | 96 | . 96 | 1. 58 | 2.08 | . 71 | 59 | . 50 | 1. 13 | 1. 43 |
| woven | 31 |  | 42 | 23 | . 45 | . 21 | 14 | 26 | 25 | 43 |
| cotton, and wool | 65 | 58 | 55 | 1.08 | . 69 | . 45 | 35 | . 35 | 86 | . 78 |
| rayon, and silk | . 02 | 0 | ${ }^{06}$ | 0 | .05 | . 01 |  | . 04 |  | . 03 |
| Undershirts, cotton .........- | . 35 | . 24 | ${ }^{65}$ |  | . 46 | . 08 | . 06 | . 15 | . 05 | . 10 |
| cotton and wool. rayon and silk. | -06 | $0.04$ | . 04 | $0^{.17}$ |  | . 03 |  | . 02 |  | $0^{.02}$ |
| Shorts, cotton....-......... | . 40 | . 39 | 53 | .$^{24}$ | . 34 | . 11 | . 11 | 13 | . 08 | . 09 |
| rayon and silk... | . 01 | 0 | . 04 |  |  | . 01 |  | . 04 |  |  |
| Drawers, cotton and wool Pajamas and nightshirts | . 03 | . 01 | . 04 | 1. 11 | . 1.05 | . 02 | ${ }^{(5)}$ | . 02 | . 04 | . 1.05 |
| Pajamas and nightshirts <br> Shoes: Street | - ${ }^{4 .} 88$ | 2. 17 | 2.78 | ${ }^{1.11} 6$ | -1.05 | - 38 <br> 4 <br> 8 | $\stackrel{11}{4.00}$ | 5.06 | 6. 83 | 1.05 |
| Shoes. Canvas. | . 51 | ${ }^{2} .47$ | . 69 | . 32 | . 63 | ${ }^{4} .4$ | ${ }^{\text {r }}$. 36 | . 58 | ${ }_{\text {+ }} \times 3$ | . 46 |
| Other | . 18 | . 09 | . 34 | . 31 | 16 |  | 12 | . 44 | . 66 | . 19 |
| Boots: Rubber | . 14 | .14 | . 17 | . 14 | . 11 | . 31 | . 34 | . 29 | . 24 | . 26 |
| Leather | 11 | . 11 | . 06 | . 17 | . 15 | . 29 | 27 | . 12 | . 54 | . 50 |
| Arctics | . 25 | . 13 | . 39 | . 41 | . 43 | . 43 | 20 | 64 | . 86 | . 77 |
| Rubbers | . 57 | . 49 | . 68 | . 52 | . 85 | . 49 | 44 | 56 | 47 | . 72 |
| Shoe: Repairs |  |  |  |  |  | . 27 | 11 | . 37 | 84 | 24 |
| Hose: Shines Sh, heavy | 4.79 | 4. 35 | 4. 61 | 8.03 | 3.44 | + 1.06 | ${ }^{(3)}$ | - 1.02 | .02 1.91 | . 85 |
| dress | 2.61 | 2.16 | 3. 70 | 2.46 | 2. 93 | . 59 | 48 | . 81 | 1.9 | 65 |
| Rayon | . 13 | 0 | . 15 | . 33 | . 58 | . 03 | 0 | . 03 | . 09 | . 17 |
| Silk | . 02 | 0 | . 07 | 0 | 0 | (5) | 0 | . 01 |  |  |
| Wool.... | . 73 | 49 | . 84 | 1.49 | . 89 | . 32 | ${ }^{23}$ | . 30 | . 74 | . 31 |
| Gloves: Cotton | . 09 | 07 | . 11 | . 03 | 27 | . 05 | 03 | . 05 | . 01 | 21 |
| Leather | 11 | 08 | 14 | 11 | 21 | 09 | 08 | . 10 | . 08 | 16 |
| Other | . 81 | 74 | 70 | 1.14 | 1.08 | 40 | 36 | . 35 | 54 | 61 |
| Ties | 1.41 | 92 | 1.81 | 2.47 | 2.06 | 21 | 13 | 27 | 33 | 36 |
| Collars | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Bathing suits, sun suits | . 18 | . 09 | . 33 | . 31 | . 2.29 | ${ }^{20}$ | 08 | . 25 | . 40 | . 55 |
| Handkerchiefs | 1.45 | . 80 | 1.00 | 1.57 | 6. 57 | . 08 | 05 | . 05 | 08 | . 36 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | . 03 | 01 |  | . 03 | 04 40 |
| $\xrightarrow{\text { Cathrobes }}$ Cleaning, repairing | . 04 | . 01 | 0 | 12 | . 20 | . 03 | . 01 |  | . 27 | - 19 |
| Other-... |  |  |  |  |  | . 01 | 01 | 02 |  |  |

3 Includes only persons dependent on family funds for 52 weeks.
${ }^{4}$ Less than 0.005 article.
${ }^{5}$ Less than 0.5 cent.
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| Item | A verage number of articles purchased per person |  |  |  |  | A verage expenditure perperson |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { fami- } \\ \text { lies } \end{gathered}\right.$ | Economic levelFamilies spending per expenditure unit per year |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { fami- } \\ \text { lies } \end{gathered}$ | Economic levelFamilies spending per expenditure unit per year |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Un- } \\ & \text { der } \\ & \$ 300 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 300 \\ 10 \\ \$ 400 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 400 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 500 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 500 \\ & \text { and } \\ & \text { over } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Un } \\ & \text { der } \\ & \$ 300 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 300 \\ & \text { to } \\ & \$ 400 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 400 \\ & 10 \\ & \$ 500 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8500 \\ & \text { and } \\ & \text { over } \end{aligned}$ |
| VII. Clothing, women and girls 18 years of age and over: ${ }^{2}$ <br> Total | No. | No. | No. | No. | No. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dol. } \\ & \mathbf{4 5 . 5 0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dol. } \\ 28.2 \end{gathered}$ | Dol. <br> 39.18 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dol. } \\ 49.39 \end{gathered}$ | Dol. |
|  | 0.76 | 0.54 | 0.69 | 0.88 | 1.02 | 1.45 | 78 | 1. 14 | 1.73 | 2.36 |
|  | 50 | . 32 | 46 | . 56 | . 70 | . 95 | 50 | . 78 | 1.13 | 1. 53 |
| Fabric-...- Caps and berets: | . 21 | . 15 | . 16 | . 22 | . 34 | . 33 | 19 | . 18 | . 34 | . 66 |
| Oaps and berets. Other | . 02 | .01 | 02 | .02 | . 03 | . 01 | 01 | .01 | . 01 | . 03 |
| Coats: Heavy, plain | . 10 | . 11 | . 07 | . 10 | . 13 | 1.70 | 1.61 | 1.11 | 1. 69 | 2. 41 |
|  | . 11 | . 06 | . 12 | 13 | . 14 | 2.77 | 1. 40 | 2. 47 | 3. 99 | 3. 70 |
| Fur- fur- | 01 |  | . 11 | . 01 | . 04 | 1.71 |  | 1.11 | 52 | 5. 61 |
| Light, wool | 15 | . 10 | 14 | 19 | 19 | 2.00 | 1.01 | 1.75 | 2.58 | 2. 94 |
| cotton. <br> silk, rayon | ( ${ }^{01}$ | . 01 | (4) ${ }^{2}$ | (4) ${ }^{2}$ |  | . 12 | . 107 | . 11 | . 04 | $0^{.21}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wool knit | . 04 | .05 | . 05 | . 03 | .03 | 09 | 12 | . 11 | . 07 | ${ }_{06}^{55}$ |
| Wool fabric-i-lt | 01 | 01 | 01 | . 01 | (4) | 04 | 05 | . 07 | . 03 | 03 |
| Other-.. | 01 | . 01 | . 01 | . 02 | . 01 | 03 | 05 | 01 | . 04 | 02 |
| Suits: Wool | 10 | . 06 | 10 | . 09 | . 18 | 1.29 | 72 | 1.01 | . 93 | 2. 65 |
| Silk, rayon | . 04 | . 02 | . 03 | . 06 | . 06 | . 35 | . 09 | . 23 | . 47 | . 69 |
| Waists and middies: Silk, rayon | . 02 | . 02 | . 01 | 03 | . 03 | 14 | 07 | . 08 | . 15 | 26 |
|  | 14 | . 07 | 16 | 14 | 22 | 24 | 10 | 23 | 28 | 39 |
| Silk, rayon | 04 | . 04 | 04 | 05 | . 03 | 04 | 103 | . 05 | 04 | 05 |
| Cotton Other Onds. | 01 | (4) | 02 | (4) | . 01 | 01 | (3) | 03 | (5) | . 02 |
| Skirts: Wool | 13 | . 12 | 12 | . 15 | 14 | 31 | 27 | 26 | . 37 | . 37 |
|  | 02 | 01 | 02 | . 02 | 02 | 03 | 02 | . 04 | .03 | . 03 |
| Dresses: Cotton, house | 1. 38 | 1.06 | 1.49 | 1.47 | 1.61 | 1.41 | 1.00 | 1.45 | 1.56 | 1.76 |
|  | . 49 | . 44 | . 44 | . 43 | . 66 | 89 | 62 | . 74 | . 89 | 1. 39 |
| Silk, rayon. | 91 | . 65 | . 84 | 1.00 | 1. 22 | 5.08 | 2.97 | 4.27 | 6. 00 | 7.75 |
|  | . 17 | . 11 | 15 | . 24 | . 20 | 94 | . 18 | 72 | 1.40 | 1. 29 |
|  | 61 | . 44 | 59 | ${ }_{56} 6$ | 88 | 23 | 15 | ${ }_{21}$ | ${ }_{24}^{29}$ | 73 35 |
| Aprons | 03 | 02 | . 05 | . 04 | . 02 | . 03 | . 02 | 06 | 03 | 02 |
| Knickers, breeches, shorts | . 02 | ${ }_{21}^{01}$ | . 01 | (4) | . 06 | . 03 | (5) | 01 | (3) | 10 |
| Underwear: Slips, cotton silk | 26 | 23 | 36 | 23 | 24 | 19 | . 15 | 24 | . 18 | 20 |
|  | 59 | 36 | 47 | 71 | . 90 | 75 | 38 | 54 | 90 | 1.30 |
| rayon | 46 | 41 | 40 | 42 | 61 | 42 | 33 | 36 | 40 | 63 |
|  | ${ }_{6}^{67}$ | 54 | ${ }^{68}$ | 63 | 88 | 1.80 | 1. 24 | 1. 57 | 1.84 | 2. 72 |
|  | 81 | 75 | 81 | . 92 | . 79 | 33 | 27 | . 30 | . 39 | . 38 |
| Brassieres <br> Union suits and combinations: <br> Cotton <br> Wool | . 13 | . 12 | 16 | 11 | . 14 | . 08 | 06 | 11 | . 06 | 12 |
|  | 11 | 07 | 09 | 13 | . 14 | 12 | 08 | 10 | . 14 | 17 |
|  | 15 | 06 | 17 | 15 | 24 | 14 | 06 | 14 | . 15 | 26 |
|  | 58 | 46 | 57 | 65 | 70 | 27 | 19 | 25 | . 30 | . 34 |
| Bloomers and panties: Cotton.- | 11 | 13 | 13 | 10 | . 06 | 05 | 05 | 05 | . 04 | 04 |
|  | 1. 60 | 1.34 | 1. 68 | 1. 516 | 1.94 | 84 | 66 | 72 | 1.00 | 1.04 |
|  | 34 | 24 | 28 | 31 | 55 | 21 | 12 | 15 | 20 | 39 |
| Nightgowns and sleeping pajamas: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | . 37 | . 30 | . 37 | . 33 | . 50 | 33 | 24 | . 29 | . 32 | 49 |
|  | . 24 | . 19 | 30 | . 22 | . 27 | 23 | 17 | 27 | 21 | 27 |
| Silk, rayon <br> Pajamas, lounging and beach Cotton | 15 | . 08 | 09 | 19 | . 27 | 20 | 09 | 12 | 26 | 36 |
|  | . 02 |  | 02 | 01 | . 01 | . 02 |  |  |  |  |
| Silk, rayon----- | 02 | (4) | 01 | 04 | . 04 | . 04 | (3) | . 03 | . 04 | 11 |
| Other | (4) | (6) | 0 | 0 |  | (b) | . 01 | $0{ }^{\circ}$ |  |  |
| Bathrobes....-.-- | . 04 | . 02 | . 06 | . 03 | . 05 | . 14 | 06 | . 19 | 11 | 23 |
|  | . 02 | . 01 | . 01 | 02 | 04 | . 06 | 02 | . 02 | . 06 | 14 |
| Kimonos, ne | 6. 02 | 3. 52 | 5.71 | 7.08 | 8. 54 | 4. 84 | 3. 25 | 4.06 | 5. 60 | 6. 95 |
| Rayon. | 1.03 | 1.21 | 1.12 | . 58 | 1.11 | 54 | 55 | . 62 | . 37 | ${ }^{61}$ |
| Cotton Wool. | 72 | . 81 | 85 | . 59 | . 61 | . 18 | 19 | . 19 | 17 | 17 |
|  | 15 | . 10 | . 15 | . 21 | . 18 | . 09 | . 05 | . 10 | 13 | . 11 |

${ }^{2}$ Includes only persons dependent on family funds for 52 weeks.
4 Less than 0.005 article.
${ }^{5}$ Less than 0.5 cent.

## Table 17.-Clothing expenditures, by economic level-Continued

10 NEW HAMPSHIRE CITIES-Continued
Coveralls
Knickers, breeches, shorts


| Persons purchasing |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { fami- } \\ \text { lies } \end{gathered}$ | Economic level-Families spending per expenditure unit per year |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Under } \\ & \$ 300 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 300 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 400 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 400 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 500 \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 500$ and over |
| Number 881 | Number 263 | Number 198 | Number 196 | Number 22 |
| 361 | 100 | 78 | 88 | 95 |
| 252 | 66 | 66 | 52 | - 68 |
| 293 | 76 | 76 | 63 | 78 |
| 374 | 89 | 93 | 87 | 105 |
| 25 | 8 | 6 | 3 | 8 |
| 364 | 85 | 85 | 98 | 96 |
| 294 | 90 | 65 | 47 | 92 |
| 250 | 59 | 66 | 53 | 72 |
| 249 | 42 | 42 | 72 | 93 |
| 134 | 32 | 27 | 39 | 36 |
| 69 | 14 | 18 | 17 | 20 |
| 274 | 73 | 66 | 60 | 75 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 104 | 21 | 26 | 27 | 30 |
| 342 | 74 | 74 | 88 | 106 |
| 69 | 18 | 19 | 13 | 19 |
| 383 | 101 | 86 | 92 | 10 |
| 337 | 54 | 63 | 96 | 12 |
| 23 | 4 | 6 | 3 | 10 |
| 69 | 31 | 16 | 13 | 9 |
| 43 | 19 | 15 | 9 | 5 |
| 23 | 14 | 5 | 3 | 1 |
| 78 | 49 | 15 | 7 | 7 |
| 19 | 14 | 3 | 2 | 0 |
| 41 | 24 | 10 | 4 | 3 |
| 13 | 5 | 5 | 1 | 2 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 30 | 13 | 6 | 6 | 5 |
| 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| 26 | 11 | 9 | 3 | 3 |
| 58 | 32 | 11 | 8 | 7 |
| 27 | 17 | 3 | 4 | 3 |
| 12 | 7 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| 6 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 1 |
| 19 | 6 | 6 | 4 | 3 |
| 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 9 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| 25 | 12 | 6 | 4 | 3 |
| 26 | 16 | 7 | 1 | 2 |
| 4 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| 69 | 38 | 15 | 8 | 8 |
| 9 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 1 |
| 42 | 23 | 10 | 6 | 3 |
| 80 | 57 | 12 | 7 | 4 |
| 88 | 46 | 21 | 14 | 7 |
| 41 | 21 | 8 | 7 | 5 |
| 11 | 5 | 5 | 1 | 0 |
| 7 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 7 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 1 |

${ }^{3}$ Includes only persons dependent on family funds for 52 weeks.
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${ }^{2}$ Includes only persons dependent on family funds for 52 weeks.
${ }^{4}$ Less than 0.005 article.
${ }^{5}$ Less than 0.5 cent.

Table 17.-Clothing expenditures, by economic level--Continued 10 NEW HAMPSHIRE CITIES-Continued

| Item | Persons purchasing |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { All } \\ & \text { fami- } \\ & \text { lies } \end{aligned}$ | Economic level-Families spending per expenditure unit per year |  |  |  |
|  |  | Under $\$ 300$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 300 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 400 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 400 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 500 \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 500$ <br> and <br> over |
| VIII. Clothing, girls 12 through 17 years of age 2 Continued. <br> Underwear: Slips, cotton. <br> silk. <br> rayon <br> Corsets, girdles <br> Brassieres. <br> Union suits and combinations: Cotton. Wool. <br> Underwaists, shirts Silk, rayon <br> Bloomers and panties: Cotton <br> Rayon $\qquad$ <br> Silk | Number | Number | $\underset{\text { Num- }}{\text { Num }}$ | Number | Num- |
|  | 41 | 29 | 9 | 1 | 2 |
|  | 43 | 24 | 6 | 8 | 5 |
|  | 54 | 35 | 10 | 3 | 6 |
|  | 43 | 18 | 15 | 6 | 4 |
|  | 50 | 28 | 10 | 4 | 8 |
|  | 10 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 4 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 11 | 6 | 4 | 1 | 0 |
|  | 36 | 20 | 9 | 4 | 3 |
|  | 19 | 13 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 116 | 80 | 18 | 9 | 9 |
|  | 16 | 9 | 3 | 3 | 1 |
| Nightgowns and sleeping pajamas: Cotton, light flannel |  |  |  |  | 1 |
|  | 36 | 22 | 7 | 4 | 3 |
|  | 25 | 15 | 3 | 2 | 5 |
|  | 15 | 5 | 2 | 5 | 3 |
| Pajamas, lounging and beach: Cotton. | 8 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 2 |
| Silk, rayon | 4 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Other----- | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1. | 0 |
| Bathrobes | 12 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 4 |
| Kimonos, negligees | ${ }^{2}$ | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Hose: Silk | 121 | 66 | 28 | 17 | 10 |
| Rayon- | 47 | 34 | 8 | 2 | 3 |
| Cotton | 103 | 78 | 13 | 5 | 7 |
| Wotton | 40 | 26 | 11 | 1 | 2 |
| Shoes: Street | 163 | 112 | 25 | 14 | 12 |
| Shoes. Dreet | 74 | 43 | 18 | 10 | 3 |
| Sport | 88 | 56 | 18 | 10 | 4 |
| House slippers. | 26 | 12 | 7 | 5 | 2 |
|  | 62 | 36 | 16 | 6 | 4 |
| Shoe: Repairs. | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Rubbers | 77 | 50 | 12 | 9 | 6 |
| Arctics, gaiters | 79 | 50 | 17 | 5 | 7 |
| Gloves: Cotton | 30 | 15 | 12 | 1 | 2 |
| Leather | 23 | 5 | 9 | 5 | 4 |
| Other | 65 | 44 | 11 | 7 | 3 |
| Bathing suits, sun suits | 32 | 15 | 4 | 8 | 5 |
| Handkerchiefs | 43 | 18 | 15 | 6 | 4 |
| Furs | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Muffers, scarfs | 29 | 15 | 7 | 3 | 4 |
| Muffers, scarfs Handbags, purses | 36 | 15 | 11 | 5 | 5 |
| Handbags, purses | 8 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 0 |
| Umbrellas Garters, belts, hairpins, | 54 | 33 | 11 | 6 | 4 |
| Garters, belts, hairpins, | 31 | 9 | 11 | 5 | 6 |
| Other--........... | 3 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| IX. Clothing, girls 6 through 11 years of age: ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hats: Felt | 20 | 9 | 4 | 4 | 3 |
| Straw | 23 | 13 | 5 | 3 | 2 |
| Fabric | 8 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Caps and berets: Wool- | 115 | 76 | 19 | 13 | 7 |
|  | 26 | 20 | 4 | 0 | 2 |
| Coats: Heavy, plain | 40 | 18 | 16 | 2 | 4 |
|  | 11 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 1 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Light, wool | 38 | 21 | 7 | 5 | 5 |
| cotton | 4 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| silk, rayon | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
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| Item | Average number of articles purchased per person |  |  |  |  | Average expenditure per person |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { fami- } \\ \text { lies } \end{gathered}$ | Economic levelFamilies spending per expenditure unit per year |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { fami- } \\ \text { lies } \end{gathered}$ | Economic levelFamilies spending per expenditure unit per year |  |  |  |
|  |  | Under $\$ 300$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 300 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 400 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 400 \\ & \text { to } \\ & \$ 500 \end{aligned}$ | $\$ 500$ and over |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Un- } \\ & \text { der } \\ & \$ 300 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 300 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 400 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 400 \\ & \text { to } \\ & \$ 500 \end{aligned}$ | $\$ 500$ and over |
| VIII. Clothing, girls 12 through 17 years of age ${ }^{2-C o n t i n u e d . ~}$ <br> Underwear: Slips, cotton | No. | No. | No. | No. | No. | Dol. | Dol. | Dol. | Dol. | Dol. |
|  | 0.46 | 0. 50 | 0.59 | 0.13 | 0. 25 | 0.28 | 0.28 | 0.39 | 0.05 | 0.16 |
|  | . 50 | . 42 | . 42 | 1.06 | . 79 | . 50 | . 38 | . 48 | 1.09 | . 92 |
| rayon | . 56 | 44 | . 77 | . 30 | 1.54 | 44 | . 29 | . 69 | . 31 | 1. 48 |
| Corsets, girdles | . 34 | . 24 | . 52 | . 47 | . 68 | . 34 | . 19 | . 48 | . 92 | . 56 |
| Brassieres.-. | . 93 | . 87 | 1.01 | . 43 | 1.93 | . 25 | . 22 | . 28 | . 11 | . 60 |
| Union suits and combinations: Cotton | . 10 | . 14 | . 06 | 0 | 0 | . 08 | . 10 | . 06 | 0 | 0 |
| Wool | . 04 | . 03 | . 09 | 0 | 0 | . 08 | . 03 | . 03 | 0 | 0 |
| Silk, rayon | . 16 | . 12 | . 37 | . 20 | 0 | 10 | . 05 | . 27 | 20 | 0 |
| Underwaists, shirts- | . 58 | . 47 | . 76 | . 72 | 1.00 | 19 | . 12 | . 29 | . 44 | . 30 |
| Bloomers and panties: Cotton | . 30 | 30 | . 17 | 27 | 69 | 09 | . 09 | . 64 | 12 | 23 |
| Rayon | 2. 11 | 2.02 | 1. 67 | 2. 54 | 3. 57 | 79 | . 66 | . 65 | 1. 26 | 1.86 |
| Silk | . 31 | . 17 | . 60 | . 49 | . 71 | . 14 | . 09 | . 23 | . 18 | . 36 |
| Nightgowns and sleeping pajamas: <br> Cotton, light | . 32 | 30 | . 37 | 37 | 28 | 28 | 25 | 32 | 39 | . 33 |
| dannel. | . 21 | . 17 | . 16 | . 19 | . 81 | . 19 | . 15 | . 12 | 23 | . 75 |
| Silk, rayon. | . 14 | . 06 | . 17 | . 45 | . 33 | . 17 | . 08 | . 25 | 50 | . 40 |
| Pajamas, lounging and beach: Cotton | . 05 | . 02 | . 12 | 0 | . 12 | . 05 | . 02 | . 16 | 0 | . 16 |
| Silk, rayon | . 04 | 0 | . 14 | . 06 | . 08 | . 04 | 0 | . 13 | . 07 | . 17 |
| Other | . 01 | 0 | 0 | . 06 | 0 | . 02 | 0 |  | . 19 | 0 |
| Bathrobes_ | . 06 | . 02 | . 14 | . 07 | . 29 | . 15 | . 03 | . 30 | 27 | . 83 |
| Kimonos, negligees | . 02 | . 03 | . 02 | 0 |  | . 01 | . 02 | . 02 |  | 0 |
| Hose: Silk | 4.63 | 3. 28 | 6.00 | 8.16 | 9.80 | 2. 69 | 1.71 | 3. 82 | 5.85 | 5.20 |
| Rayon | 1.85 | 1. 77 | 1.14 | 4.03 | 1. 70 | . 69 | . 62 | . 37 | 1. 49 | 1. 18 |
| Cotton | 3.84 | 4. 36 | 2. 56 | 2.24 | 4. 29 | . 89 | . 99 | . 67 | . 60 | . 98 |
| Wool | . 58 | . 59 | . 74 | . 07 | . 69 | . 21 | . 21 | . 32 | . 05 | . 19 |
| Shoes: Street | 1.62 | 1. 58 | 1.18 | 1.75 | 3.16 | 4.19 | 3.59 | 3. 79 | 5.97 | 8.98 |
| Dress | . 55 | . 45 | . 84 | . 74 | . 43 | 1. 46 | 1.03 | 2. 52 | 3.15 | . 64 |
| Sport | . 73 | . 72 | . 69 | . 87 | . 68 | 1. 69 | 1.51 | 1.90 | 2. 37 | 1.95 |
| House slippers | . 13 | . 09 | . 19 | . 32 | 13 | . 11 | . 06 | . 17 | . 32 | . 12 |
| Shoe: Repairs |  |  |  |  |  | 65 | . 51 | . 77 | . 89 | 1. 37 |
| Shines |  |  |  |  |  | . 04 | . 01 | . 19 | 0 | 0 |
| Rubbers | . 42 | . 40 | . 36 | . 57 | 59 | . 37 | . 33 | . 34 | . 57 | . 60 |
| Arctics, gaiters | . 44 | . 44 | . 46 | . 29 | . 61 | 55 | . 47 | . 73 | . 54 | . 82 |
| Gloves: Cotton. | . 20 | . 16 | . 44 | . 06 | . 18 | 12 | . 09 | . 30 | . 03 | . 14 |
| Leather | . 15 | . 05 | . 27 | . 48 | . 30 | 16 | . 06 | . 30 | . 52 | . 37 |
| Other. | . 39 | . 37 | . 34 | . 67 | . 30 | 25 | . 22 | . 22 | . 54 | . 22 |
| Bathing suits, sun suits | . 19 | . 14 | 13 | . 47 | . 46 | . 38 | . 25 | . 29 | 1. 22 | . 78 |
| Handkerchiefs..... | 2.16 | 1. 35 | 4.27 | 3.55 | 2. 74 | . 16 | . 07 | . 36 | . 44 | . 16 |
| Furs. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mufflers, scarfs. | . 19 | . 14 | . 31 | . 19 | . 42 | . 12 | . 07 | . 20 | . 15 | . 31 |
| Handbags, purses. | . 22 | . 14 | . 35 | . 28 | .$^{.61}$ | . 13 | . 07 | . 24 | . 25 | . 33 |
| Umbrellas .-.--- | . 04 | . 04 | . 07 | . 07 | 0 | . 07 | . 05 | . 15 | . 07 |  |
| Garters, belts, hairpins, etc |  |  |  |  |  | . 14 | . 09 | . 23 | . 18 | . 32 |
| Cleaning, repairing -,... |  |  |  |  |  | . 32 | . 11 | . 62 | . 62 | 1. 28 |
| Other----------- |  |  |  |  |  | . 49 | . 49 | . 91 | 0 | 0 |
| IX. Clothing, girls 6 through 11 years of age: ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hats: Felt | 12 | . 07 |  |  |  | 21.38 | 15. 74 | 26.63 | 34.24 .19 | 37.44 .26 |
|  | 11 | . 10 | . 14 | . 19 | . 18 | .11 | . 08 | . 19 | .19 .20 | . 26 |
| Fabric | . 05 | . 06 | . 05 | 0 | 0 | . 04 | . 04 | . 05 | 0 | 0 |
| Caps and berets: Wool | . 77 | . 68 | . 73 | 1.17 | 1.08 | . 37 | . 29 | . 39 | . 60 | . 73 |
| Other | . 13 | . 17 | . 11 | 0 | . 17 | . 06 | . 06 | . 06 |  | . 10 |
| Coats: Heavy, plain. | 26 | . 16 | 43 | . 17 | . 90 | 1. 56 | 1.06 | 2. 56 | 1. 64 | 3.05 |
| fur-trimmed | .05 | 03 | 05 | 16 | 08 | . 42 | 18 | . 46 | 1. 55 | . 69 |
| Fur- | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ${ }^{0}$ | 0 |
| Light, wool | . 21 | . 16 | . 27 | .$^{23}$ | . 42 | . 98 | . 70 | 1. 22 | 1. 38 | 2.41 |
| cotton | . 03 | . 02 | . 03 | 0 | . 08 | .10 | . 05 | . 27 | 0 | . 19 |
| silk, rayon | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |

${ }^{2}$ Includes only persons dependent on family funds for 52 weeks.
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| Item | Average number of articles purchased per person |  |  |  |  | Average expenditure per person |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { All } \\ \text { fami- } \\ \text { lies } \end{array}$ | Economic levelFamilies spending per expenditure unit per year |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { fami- } \\ \text { lies } \end{gathered}$ | Economic levelFamilies spending per expenditure unit per year |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Un- } \\ & \text { der } \\ & \$ 300 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 300 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 400 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 400 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 500 \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 500$ and over |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Un- } \\ & \text { der } \\ & \$ 300 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 300 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 400 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 400 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 500 \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 500$ and over |
| IX. Clothing, girls 6 through 11 years of age ${ }^{2}$ Continued. <br> Play suits: Wool knit. <br> Cotton suede <br> Other | No. | No. | No. | No. | No. | Dol. | Dol. | Dol. | Dol. | Dol. |
|  | 0.11 | No. | ${ }^{1} 0.16$ | ${ }^{N} .27$ | 0.22 | 0. 54. | 0.22 | 0.67 | 1. 65 | 1. 30 |
|  | . 05 | . 05 | . 05 | . 05 | 0 | . 09 | . 07 | . 23 | . 04 | 0 |
|  | 10 | . 03 | . 20 | 0 | . 56 | . 25 | . 01 | 1.05 | 0 | 28 |
| Raincoats. | . 14 | . 09 | . 25 | . 20 | . 18 | . 18 | . 09 | . 24 | . 26 | . 67 |
| Sweaters and jackets: |  | . | . 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wool knit.-...----- | . 33 | . 30 | . 37 | . 39 | . 32 | . 41 | . 35 | . 48 | . 53 | . 56 |
|  | . 17 | . 13 | . 29 | . 26 | 0 | . 20 | . 14 | . 34 | . 37 | 0 |
|  | . 02 | . 02 | 0 | . 03 | $0^{.08}$ | . 03 | . 04 | 0 | . 05 | . 06 |
| Other- | . 03 | . 01 | . 07 | . 13 | 0 | . 03 | . 01 | . 08 | . 05 | 0 |
| Suits: Wool. | . 03 | . 05 | 0 | 0 | 0 | . 10 | . 16 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Silk, rayon | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | . 03 | . 04 | . 04 | 0 | 0 | 11 | . 07 | .33 | 0 | 0 |
| Waists and middies: Silk, rayon | 0 | $0$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
| Cotton. Other.- | (4) $^{13}$ | $0^{10}$ | $0^{.25}$ | . 04 | $0_{0} .^{25}$ | . 10 | $0^{.05}$ | $0^{.22}$ | . 05 | $0^{.14}$ |
| Skirts: Wool..-------..-- | . 19 | . 11 | . 34 | . 30 | . 21 | . 23 | . 17 | . 35 | . 33 | . 23 |
| Other- |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dresses: Cotton | 2. 08 | 1. 58 | 2.31 | 3. 36 | 4.15 | 1.93 | 1. 26 | 2.05 | 3.95 | 4. 89 |
| Silk, ray | . 21 | . 14 | . 27 | . 37 | . 55 | . 46 | . 31 | . 51 | . 99 | . 93 |
| Wool... | . 15 | 09 | . 25 | . 32 | 10 | 37 | . 20 | . 56 | . 88 | 50 |
| Other | . 02 | 01 | . 03 | . 08 |  | 03 | 01 | . 08 | 09 |  |
| Aprons | . 07 | 05 | . 09 | . 09 | . 17 | 02 | 01 | 03 | 02 | . 09 |
| Coveralls | . 01 | 0 | . 05 | 0 | 0 | . 01 | 0 | . 03 | 0 |  |
| Kniekers, breeches, shorts | . 07 | . 06 | . 06 | . 15 | 0 | . 04 | . 02 | . 05 | . 15 |  |
| Underwear: Slips, cotton. | . 47 | . 45 | .46 | ${ }^{.35}$ | . 98 | . 19 | . 17 | . 18 | . 17 | $0^{.47}$ |
| silk. | . 04 | . 05 | . 02 | 0 | 0 | . 02 | 02 | . 01 | 0 | 0 |
| rayon. <br> Union suits and combinations: | . 13 | . 08 | . 13 | . 52 | 0 | . 08 | 02 | . 14 | . 30 | 0 |
| Union suits and combinations: Cotton. | . 71 | 67 | 1.04 | . 14 | 1. 02 | . 35 | 33 | . 54 | . 09 | 28 |
| Wool. | . 34 | . 21 | . 49 | . 64 | . 61 | . 28 | . 15 | . 39 | . 69 | . 50 |
|  | . 08 | . 03 | . 23 |  | . 25 | . 06 | . 02 | . 14 |  | . 20 |
| Underwaists, shirts | . 84 | . 65 | 1. 23 | 1.00 | 1. 17 | . 21 | .16 | . 30 | . 28 | 29 |
| Bloomers and panties: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cotton <br> Rayon | + 60 | . 43 | 1.19 1.29 | .14 2.02 | 1.00 1.89 | .15 | . 11 | .33 .35 | . 03 | .25 .66 |
| Silk. | 1.13 | . 12 | 1.29 .26 | 0.02 | 0 | . 05 | . 05 | . 09 | $0^{.64}$ |  |
| Nightgowns and sleeping pajamas: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cotton, light.------..........- | . 32 | . 27 | . 23 | . 51 | . 85 | . 19 | 12 | . 14 | . 44 | . 68 |
| flannel | . 39 | . 35 | . 38 | . 56 | . 45 | . 28 | 23 | . 28 | . 48 | . 46 |
| Silk, rayon. | . 04 | 0 | . 09 | . 19 | 0 | . 02 | 0 | . 04 | . 07 | 0 |
| Pajamas, lounging and beach: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cotton | . 03 | . 02 | . 07 | 0 | . 08 | . 03 | . 01 | . 10 | 0 | . 08 |
| Silk, rayon | . 01 | 0 | . 05 | . 04 | 0 | . 01 | 0 | . 07 | . 05 |  |
| Other- | . 01 | . 02 | 0 | 0 | 0 | . 01 | . 01 |  |  |  |
| Bathrobes. | . 04 | . 02 | . 08 | . 08 | . 10 | . 08 | . 05 | . 09 | . 21 | 10 |
| Kimonos, negligees | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Hose: Silk | . 48 | . 30 | . 53 | 1.22 | . 75 | . 12 | . 08 | . 11 | . 34 | . 24 |
| Rayon | . 96 | 1. 08 | . 80 | . 49 | 1. 08 | . 22 | 23 | . 19 | . 13 | . 30 |
| Cotton | 7.39 | 7.05 | 7.77 | 7.79 | 8.86 | 1. 59 | 1. 42 | 1. 74 | 1.80 | 2.49 |
| Wool | 1. 20 | . 81 | 1.56 | 2. 58 | 1. 30 | . 41 | . 30 | . 45 | . 88 | . 49 |
| Shoes: Street and dress | 2.58 | 2. 39 | 2.82 | 2.80 | 3. 27 | 4.37 | 3.78 | 4. 59 | 6.24 | 6.32 |
| Sport | . 56 | . 48 | . 64 | . 72 | . 81 | . 94 | . 68 | . 95 | 1.49 | 2. 69 |
| House slippers | . 16 | . 08 | . 21 | . 40 | . 41 | . 10 | . 05 | . 13 | . 22 | . 23 |
| Shoe: Repairs. |  |  |  |  |  | . 19 | (18) | . 09 | . 34 | 43 |
| Shines.- |  |  |  |  |  | . 01 | ${ }^{(5)}$ | 0 | . 03 | 0 |
| Rubbers | . 41 | . 38 | . 33 | . 77 | . 40 | . 37 | . 30 | . 38 | . 66 | . 49 |
| Arctics, gaiters. | . 61 | . 52 | . 80 | . 79 | . 56 | . 75 | . 56 | 1.05 | 1.15 | . 87 |
| Gloves: Cotton. | . 14 | 12 | . 21 | . 22 | . 07 | . 06 | . 05 | 09 | . 09 | . 02 |
| Leather | . 01 | 0 | 0 | . 05 | . 08 | (5) | 0 | 0 | . 02 | . 03 |
| Other | . 60 | 52 | . 68 | . 85 | . 63 | . 28 | . 22 | . 33 | . 44 | . 38 |

${ }^{2}$ Includes only persons dependent on family funds for 52 weeks.
${ }_{6}$ Less than 0.005 article.
${ }^{6}$ Less than 0.5 cent.
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${ }^{2}$ Includes only persons dependent on family funds for 52 weeks.
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[^29]${ }^{5}$ Less than 0.5 cent.
200982 ${ }^{\circ}-41 — 10$

## Table 17.-Clothing expenditures, by economic level-Continued <br> 10 NEW HAMPSHIRE CITIES-Continued

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow{3}{*}{Item} \& \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{Persons purchasing} \\
\hline \& \multirow{2}{*}{\[
\begin{gathered}
\text { All } \\
\underset{\text { fami- }}{\text { fies }}
\end{gathered}
\]} \& \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Economic level-Families spending per expenditure unit per year} \\
\hline \& \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { Under } \\
\& \$ 300
\end{aligned}
\] \& \[
\begin{gathered}
\$ 300 \\
\text { to } \\
\$ 400
\end{gathered}
\] \& \[
\begin{gathered}
\$ 400 \\
\text { to } \\
\$ 500
\end{gathered}
\] \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \$ 500 \\
\& \text { and } \\
\& \text { over }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
X. Clothing, girls 2 through 5 years of age \({ }^{2}\) Continued. Pajamas, lounging and beach: \\
Cotton \\
Silk, rayon Other
\end{tabular}} \& \multirow[t]{27}{*}{Num
ber

1
2
12
4
14
3

1} \& $$
\underset{\text { ber }}{\text { Num- }}
$$ \& Num-

ber \& $$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Num- } \\
\text { ber }
\end{gathered}
$$ \& \[

\underset{ber}{Num-}
\] <br>

\hline \& \& 4 \& 0 \& \& 0 <br>
\hline \& \& 0 \& 0
0
0 \& 0 \& 0 <br>
\hline  \& \& 4 \& 1 \& 1 \& 1 <br>
\hline Kimonos, negligees. \& \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 <br>
\hline Hose: Silk.-....... \& \& 16 \& 2 \& 1 \& 0 <br>
\hline Rayon.- \& \& 12 \& 8 \& 2 \& 2 <br>
\hline Cotton. \& \& 70 \& 30 \& 15 \& 6 <br>
\hline Shoes: Street and dress \& \& ${ }^{27}$ \& ${ }^{6}$ \& ${ }^{6}$ \& 1 <br>
\hline Shoes: Street and dress \& \& 91 \& 34 \& 17 \& 6
3 <br>
\hline House splippers------------- \& \& 9 \& 11
2 \& 4 \& 3
2
2 <br>
\hline Shoe: Repairs-- \& \& 3 \& 1 \& 3 \& ${ }_{1}$ <br>
\hline Shines... \& \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 <br>
\hline Rubbers. \& \& 20 \& 8 \& 7 \& 2 <br>
\hline Arctics, gaiters. . \& \& 29 \& 13 \& 11 \& 2 <br>
\hline Gloves: Cotton. \& \& 2 \& 2 \& 0 \& 0 <br>
\hline Leather \& \& 1 \& 1 \& 0 \& 1 <br>
\hline Other \& \& 29 \& 14 \& 10 \& 3 <br>
\hline Bathing suits, sun suits. \& \& 7 \& 10 \& 4 \& 2 <br>
\hline Handerchiefs. \& \& 3 \& 1 \& 0 \& 1 <br>
\hline Furs. \& \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 \& 0 <br>
\hline Mufflers, scarfs..-- \& \& 3 \& 2 \& 0 \& 1 <br>
\hline Handbags, purses. \& \& 7 \& 5 \& 0 \& 1 <br>
\hline Umbrellas ---.---........ \& \& 1 \& 0 \& 1 \& 0 <br>
\hline Garters, belts, hairpins, etc. \& \& 14 \& 6 \& 0 \& 1 <br>
\hline Cleaning, repairing-.. \& \& 1 \& 1 \& 1 \& 0 <br>
\hline XI. Other Clothing infants: ${ }^{\text {a }}$ \& \& 1 \& 1 \& 0 \& 0 <br>
\hline XI. Caps, hoods, bonnets. \& 46 \& 16 \& 11 \& 10 \& 9 <br>
\hline Coats \& 28 \& 10 \& 10 \& 5 \& 3 <br>
\hline Sweaters, sacques. \& 40 \& 13 \& 14 \& 10 \& 3 <br>
\hline Sweater suits-... \& ${ }_{48}^{28}$ \& 7 \& 9 \& 8 \& 4 <br>
\hline Dresses, rompers. \& 48 \& 18 \& 14 \& 10 \& ${ }_{3}^{6}$ <br>
\hline Skirts, gertrudes \& 29 \& ${ }_{9}^{9}$ \& 9 \& 8 \& 3 <br>
\hline Shirts, bands.- \& 61 \& 28 \& 15 \& 10 \& 10 <br>
\hline Sleeping garments. \& 43. \& 15 \& 14 \& 9 \& 5 <br>
\hline Stockings. \& 80 \& 34 \& 27 \& 10 \& 9 <br>
\hline Bootees, shoes. \& 70 \& 29 \& -24 \& 10 \& 7 <br>
\hline Layettes...... \& 1 \& 1 \& - 0 \& 0 \& 0 <br>
\hline Other........ \& 101 \& 50 \& 29 \& 10 \& 12 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

[^30]Table 17.-Clothing expenditures, by economic level-Continued
10 NEW HAMPSHIRE OITIES-Continued


[^31]


Table 18.-Furnishings and equipment expenditures, by economic level-Continued
10 NEW HAMPSHIRE CITIES-Continued

| Item | Number of families spending |  |  |  |  | A verage number of articles purchased per family |  |  |  |  | Average expenditure per family |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { All } \\ & \text { fam- } \\ & \text { ilies } \end{aligned}$ | Economic level-Families spending per expenditure unit per year |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { All } \\ & \text { fami- } \\ & \text { lies } \end{aligned}$ | Economic level-Families spending per expenditure unit per year |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { All } \\ & \text { fami- } \\ & \text { ilies } \end{aligned}$ | Economic level-Families spending per expenditure unit per year |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Un- } \\ & \text { der } \\ & \$ 300 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 300 \\ \text { to } \\ 400 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 400 \\ \text { to } \\ 500 \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 500$ and over |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Under } \\ \$ 300 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 300 \\ \text { to } \\ 400 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 400 \\ \text { to } \\ 500 \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 500$ <br> and <br> over |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Under } \\ & \$ 300 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 300 \\ \text { to } \\ 400 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 400 \\ \text { to } \\ 500 \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 500$ and over |
| Furnishings and Equipment Expenditures-Continued. Silverware, china, and giassware | No. | No. | No. | No. | No. | No. | No. | No. | No. | No. | Dol. 1.06 | Dol. 0.68 | Dol. 1.09 | Dol. 0.88 0. | Dol. |
| China or porcelain, table----- | 133 | 40 | 32 | 23 | 38 |  |  |  |  |  | . 65 | . 36 | . 60 | . 46 | 1. 17 |
| Glassware---....- | 151 | 56 | 33 | 26 | 36 |  |  |  |  |  | . 12 | . 14 | . 08 | . 12 | . 15 |
| Tableware: Silver | 21 7 | 5 3 3 | 5 1 | 4 2 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  | . 27 | . 15 | (4) $^{41}$ | . 27 | (4) 29 |
| Other-...-......- | 13 | 6 | 1 | 4 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | . 01 | . 02 | (4) | . 02 | ${ }^{\text {(1) }} .01$ |
| Electrical equipment, total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 13.04 | 6. 67 | 13.87 | 11. 76 | 20.49 |
| Vacuum cleaners-- | 20 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 12 | 0.019 | 0.004 | 0.009 | 0.018 | 0.048 | 1.05 | . 16 | . 45 | 1.00 | 2.61 |
| Refrigerators (electric) | 30 | 1 | 6 | 9 | 14 | . 030 | . 004 | . 022 | . 040 | . 056 | 4. 35 | . 64 | 3.82 | 5.11 | 8. 28 |
| Electric stoves, hotplates | 17 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 3 | . 017 | . 011 | . 018 | . 027 | . 016 | . 88 | . 42 | . 60 | 1.11 | 1. 44 |
| Washing machines..-... | 77 | 19 | 28 | 15 | 15 | . 075 | . 068 | . 120 | . 058 | . 060 | 4. 54 | 3. 74 | 7.39 | 3.37 | 3. 92 |
| Irons - --------. | 53 | 15 | 13 | 9 | 16 | . 055 | . 061 | . 053 | . 049 | . 056 | . 16 | 18 | . 14 | . 13 | . 18 |
| Ironers, mangles. | 5 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | . 005 | . 004 | . 004 | 0 | . 012 | . 18 | (4) | . 05 |  | . 64 |
| Heaters, fans.- | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | . 002 | 0 | . 004 | 0 | . 004 | . 01 | 0 | . 01 | 0 | . 03 |
| Light bulbs. | 537 | 150 | 115 | 118 | 154 | 3.818 | 3.620 | 3. 609 | 3. 341 | 4. 653 | . 72 | . 65 | . 68 | . 65 | . 89 |
| Lamps. | 82 | 12 | 18 | 12 | 40 | . 099 | . 047 | . 093 | . 071 | . 187 | . 27 | . 11 | . 22 | . 17 | . 57 |
| Toasters. | 29 | 5 | 4 | 11 | 9 | . 031 | . 014 | . 022 | . 053 | . 036 | . 04 | . 02 | . 03 | . 07 | . 05 |
| Sewing machines (electric) | 7 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 5 | . 007 | . 004 | . 004 | 0 | . 020 | 71 | . 55 | . 46 | 0 | 1.76 |
| Other.... | 27 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  | . 13 | . 20 | . 02 | . 15 | . 12 |
| Miscellaneous equipment, total. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7.84 | 5.58 | 6. 22 | 6. 60 | 12.93 |
| Mirrors, pictures, clocks, ornaments | 57 | 14 | 15 | 10 | 18 | ---- | ------ | ---- | ---- |  | . 13 | . 05 | . 13 | . 15 | . 20 |
| Carpet sweepers | 11 | 3 | 1 | 6 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | . 03 | . 02 | . 01 | . 09 | . 01 |
| Brooms, brushes, mops | 577 | 173 | 136 | 127 | 141 |  |  |  |  |  | . 66 | . 70 | . 62 | . 61 | . 68 |
| Dustpans, pails, etc.. | 94 | 33 | 22 | 15 | 24 |  |  |  |  |  | ( 04 | (i) 05 | . 02 | . 02 | . 08 |
| Gas refrigerators.. | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | (4) | (4) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ice boxes. | 27 | 6 | 4 | 6 | 11 |  |  |  |  |  | . 42 | . 25 | . 35 | . 29 | . 77 |
| Stoves and ranges (not electric) | 76 | 20 | 10 | 18 | 28 |  |  |  |  |  | 3.27 | 1. 68 | 2. 29 | 2. 29 | 6.79 |
| Canning equipment, cookers | 106 | 34 | 25 | 22 | 25 |  |  |  |  |  | . 28 | . 55 | . 15 | . 20 | . 15 |
| Pots, pans, cutlery-... | 246 | 69 | 64 | 56 | 57 |  |  |  |  |  | . 48 | .31 | . 77 | . 40 | . 50 |
| Tubs, boards, wringers. | 27 | 10 | 6 | 6 | 5 |  |  |  |  | ------ | . 03 | . 03 | . 04 | . 03 | . 03 |

Ironing boards, racks, baskets Sewing machines (not eleciric) $\qquad$ Baby carriages, gocarts
Trunks, hand baggage-------
Household tools, ladders, cans.-.
Window shades, wire screens, awnin
Lawn mowers, gar
Repairs


.04
.29
.37
.05
.09
.41
.14
.17
.94
.05
.14
.37
.02
.07
.28
.10
.16
.75
जnisixisiog
4 Less than 0.5 cent.
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## Appendix A

## Notes on Tabular Summary

## General

Economic family.-An "economic family" as defined for this study consists of two or more persons living together and sharing their economic resources. In most cases, the members of an economic family are related by ties of blood, marriage, or adoption, but in some cases, an unrelated member was found to share income and family living. Persons related by blood, marriage, or adoption were not treated as members of the economic family if they lived as boarders and kept their funds separate from family funds, unless they gave a complete record of their incomes and expenditures. Persons who were members of the economic family for an entire year were not necessarily members of the household for the year. A member supported by the family in school, college, or hospital for all or part of the year, or a member working away from home for part of the year, sharing his income with the family for that period and able to report all his expenses for that period would be treated as a member of the economic family but not of the household for the entire year. In computing the number of persons who were part of the economic family for a year, the number of weeks each member had shared his income with the family was listed, the numbers summed, and the total divided by 52 . This procedure yielded the number of equivalent persons who had made up the family for 1 year.

Household.-The household has been defined to include the members of the economic family living together in one dwelling, plus roomers and guests sleeping in the family dwelling, plus boarders and guests eating with the family. The number of persons in the household was computed by adding the number of weeks each member of the family had been part of the family's housekeeping establishment, the number of weeks each boarder, lodger, and guest had been with them, and dividing the total by 52 in order to secure the number of equivalent persons making up the household for 1 year.

Net family income or net money income.-Net family income has been defined to mean the sum of earnings (including net receipts from boarders and lodgers), net rent, interest, dividends, gifts, pensions, insurance annuities received during the schedule year, minus business losses and expenses. The money value of income received in kind,
whether from services of the housewife, use of owned home, or from other sources, has not been included in computing income in this investigation. No funds received as a result of the depletion of assets have been treated as income. Similarly, inheritances have not been regarded as income.

Current expenditures.-Throughout the report the term "current expenditures" is used to mean expenditures for ultimate consumer goods, including relatively durable consumption goods. Interest on money borrowed for family use has been included in such expenditures, but savings and investments have not. The time and funds available for the investigation have not made possible the presentation of separate totals distinguishing expenditures for the more slowly consumed, as distinguished from quickly consumed, goods. Indeed, the data on depreciation rates for relatively durable consumer goods are so fragmentary that it would be extremely difficult to do so. Expenditures for such durable goods as automobiles, mechanical refrigerators, and other furnishings and equipment have been classified with expenditures for food and carfare and other quickly consumed goods as "current expenditures," while money spent for permanent improvements on owned homes and other real estate or as payment on the principal of mortgages has been classified as savings. The total cost of consumer goods purchased on credit was included in current expenditures and the amount of the obligations outstanding at the end of the year was taken into account when computing changes in liabilities over the 12 -month period. Money paid out for life-insurance premiums has been classified as savings.

The total obligations incurred in the year covered by the schedule for consumer goods purchased on credit was included in current expenditures and the amount of the obligation outstanding at the end of the year was taken into account when computing changes in liabilities over the 12 -month period. For example, the total amount incurred for an item purchased on the installment plan (original price plus carrying charges) is entered as the amount of the expenditure, though the amount paid in the schedule year may be considerably less than the total contracted for; and the total amount of rents, taxes, etc., falling due in a year are entered as though paid, though they have been paid in part or not at all. The unpaid balances owing at the end of the schedule year on debts contracted during the schedule year are entered as increases in debts on table 4. Amounts paid during the schedule year on goods purchased on the installment plan before the beginning of that year are treated as savings. (See "Surplus," p. 145; "Payment of debts to: Firms selling on installment plan," p. 149; and "Increase in debts: Payable to firms selling on installment plan," p. 150.)

Expenditures as entered on tables are purchase price less trade-in allowance, in cases where such trade-in allowances were made, for example, automobile and furniture purchases.

Surplus or deficit.-Surplus or deficit represents the difference between current income and current expenditures. Since the figures on both these amounts as secured by the schedule method are subject to an undetermined error of estimate (see "Balancing difference," p. 146), the surplus and deficit data were obtained by asking in detail changes in individual asset and liability items over the schedule year. Net surplus or net deficit was computed as the net difference between the funds received during the schedule year not used for current expenditures and the funds made available for family use from sources other than money income (table 4 ; see also p. 18 of schedule facsimile. ${ }^{1}$ ) It should be noticed that the emphasis is placed on net rather than gross figures for changes in assets and liabilities. That is, for an individual family, only net entries were made for an individual transaction such as a trade of property involving a net payment of $\$ 500$. Thus, the net change item is more significant than the absolute amount either of increase or decrease in assets or increase or decrease in liabilities.

Surplus.-Surplus, savings, and investment are terms used to mean net decrease in liabilities and/or increase in assets. This figure appears as the net change in assets and liabilities (when the total increase in assets and/or decrease in liabilities is greater than the total decrease in assets and/or increase in liabilities.) Money paid on the principal of real estate mortgages or spent for permanent improvements to real estate (such as the addition of a room to a house) has been treated as an increase in assets and hence as investment, as has also money spent for the purchase of stocks and bonds, building and loan shares, and other forms of permanent assets. Money used, for example, for the payment of installment obligations for an automobile purchased prior to the schedule year, is treated as decrease in liabilities and hence as a part of savings. Thus, money used for decreasing the liabilities of a preceding year, as well as that used to increase assets, has been treated as savings.

Deficit.--Deficit or negative savings is the term used to mean net increase in liabilities and/or net decrease in assets. This figure appears as the net change in assets and liabilities (when the total decrease in assets and/or increase in liabilities is greater than total increase in assets and/or decrease in liabilities) and is shown in tables 2 and 5, of the Tabular Summary. Money received through the sale of property, from the settlement or surrender of life-insurance or endowment policies, and repayments by others of the loans made to

[^32]them have been treated as money resulting from decreases in assets or changes in their form and thus may form part of deficits or negative savings, but not of money income. Money borrowed either directly or as book credit is a form of increase in liabilities and hence may comprise a part of deficits or negative saving but is definitely not a part of money income.

Inheritance.-Money received by inheritance, essentially a windfall, cannot be treated as income because it is nonrecurring, nor as investment, because it may be spent during the year, nor can it be regarded as a decrease in assets in the same sense as withdrawal of savings or sale of property. Inheritance has, therefore, been treated as an independent item, forming a part of total receipts and coordinate with but not a part of current income and net change in assets and liabilities. It is shown in tables 2, 4, and 5. It includes only cash inheritances received during the schedule year. Inheritances of property not sold during the schedule year are not recorded.

Total money receipts.-The term "total money receipts" has been used to describe total money income plus deficits or net negative savings wherever they occur, plus money received through inheritance. This item is not shown as such in the Tabular Summary but it may be computed by adding for any desired group of families the following items: annual money income, net changes in assets and liabilities where the sign of the net change is minus ( - ), and inheritance.

Total money disbursements.-The term "total money disbursements" has been used to describe total current expenditures plus surpluses or net savings or investment wherever they occur. This item is not shown as such in the Tabular Summary but it may be computed by adding for any desired group of families the following items: annual current expenditure and net change in assets and liabilities where the sign of the net change is plus ( + ).

Balancing difference.-The difference between total disbursements and total receipts on any schedule was the balancing difference, and represented discrepancies due to inability of families to recall to the last penny every financial transaction of the year. No schedule was accepted in which this difference was greater than 5 percent of the larger figure.

Schedule year.-All data shown in the tables are for a 12 -month period unless otherwise specified. The data secured from each family apply to the schedule year prior to the visit of the field investigator, ending with any one of four specified quarters: the winter quarter ending February 28, the spring quarter ending May 31, the summer quarter ending August 31, and the fall quarter ending November 30.

Averages based on all families.--All averages unless otherwise specified in the table are computed by dividing the appropriate aggregate by the total number of families in the group to which the aggregate
applies and not by the smaller number of families in that group actually making the expenditure for or having the indicated item. The average expenditure per family purchasing a given item may be readily computed by multiplying the average for all families in the group by the number of families in the group to obtain the aggregate expenditure and dividing this figure by the number of families purchasing.

Sales tax.-See appendix A, page 157, for method of handling sales tax.
Notes on Individual Tables.
Table 1.-Distribution of families, by economic level and income level
Families have been classified by economic level according to the amount of current expenditures per expenditure unit, a measure which takes into account total family expenditure and family size and composition. The explanation of this measure and its use will be found on page 166.

Families have been classified by income level according to the net family income, i. e., total family income minus nonfamily losses and business expense.

## Table 2.-Description of families studied, by economic level

(See also table 5 for the same data by income classification)
For tabulation the classification of occupations was made on the basis of the Works Progress Administration occupational classification. See appendix B, page 160 .

The homemaker is the person reporting herself responsible for the management of the household, or in the case of two-generation families, the wife of the "head of the family."

Household and economic family are defined on page 143. In this table, a person in the household or economic family for less than a year is treated as the appropriate decimal equivalent of a person.

The equivalent number of persons in the household is computed by adding the total number of weeks spent in the household by each member of the household, and dividing the resulting aggregate by 52 (the number of weeks in a year). Number of equivalent persons in other categories listed in the table are computed in the same manner.

Earnings.-Earrings include wages, salaries, tips, industrial bonuses, and commissions. Amounts deducted by employers for pensions, insurance, annuities, etc., are included in earnings, and also entered in table 4 as payment of premiums for insurance policies. If a worker, as for example, in the building trades, pays a helper, the workers' earnings are entered as net, i. e., the pay to the helper is deducted.

Net earnings from boarders and lodgers are gross payments for board and lodging minus the calculated cost of food for boarders. The cost of boarders' food is computed by dividing each family's annual food expenditure by the number of food-expenditure units for all the persons eating in the household; the resulting food expenditure per food-expenditure unit is then multiplied by the number of food-expenditure units for boarders.

Other net rents are gross rents received from tenants less current expenditures paid out by the scheduled family in connection with the rented property.

Pensions and insurance annuities include veterans' pensions, pensions from employers, compensation received under workmen's compensation laws, unem-
ployment benefits from trade-unions, etc., income from annuities purchased, and benefits from sickness, accident, theft, and fire insurance.

Gifts include money received from persons not members of the economic family. Such persons include persons members of the natural but not the economic family.

Other sources of income include net receipt from sale of home produce, winnings from gambling, court settlements, payment for child support, rebates, and dividend checks received on purchases bought through cooperative organizations, etc. In those cases in which a worker away from home received a cash subsistence allowance, the allowance was entered as other money income and the expenditures for meals, lodging, ete., were included in the proper expenditure tables. This case would be distinguished from that in which a salesman, for example, received an allowance for mileage; in such a case the travel expenditure was considered business expense and no entry was made either for expenditure or corresponding income with the exception that net gains on such an account are entered as other money income.

Deductions from income (business losses and expenses) includes nonfamily losses, i. e., net losses on rented property (current expenditures for property minus gross rents received) and current expenditures on any unrented real estate other than own home, etc., and net losses on traveling or subsistence allowance, and any other business expenses.

Nonbusiness losses, i. e., family losses, are not deducted from income but are treated as other family expenditures in table 16. For example, contents of a purse lost, or bail forfeited which had been posted for a friend, are treated as miscellaneous family expenditure.

Surplus or deficit.-See page 145.
Inheritance.-See page 146.
The number of gainful workers per family is the total number of persons gainfully employed at any time during the year. Each person is counted as one regardless of the length of his employment. The definition differs from that of the "gainfully occupied" as used by the census in that a person continuously unemployed throughout the year is not counted as a gainful worker. On the other hand, a person is so counted even if his earnings were occasional and he does not necessarily regard himself as regularly in the labor market.

The chief earner is the member with the largest money earnings.
Table 3.-Expenditures for groups of items, by economic level
(See table 6 for same data by income classification)
For the items included in each group of expenditures see table 8, Food; table 17, Clothing; table 10, Housing; table 11, Fuel, light, and refrigeration; table 12, Other household operation; table 18, Furnishings and equipment; table 13; Automobile and motorcycle purchase, operation, and maintenance, and other transportation; table 14, Personal care, medical care; table 15, Recreation; table 16, Education, vocation, community welfare, gifts and contributions to persons outside economic family, and other items.

Housing includes water, fuel, light, and refrigeration for families not making payment for these items separately from rent. (See tables 11 and 12.)

Percentages are based on rounded dollar averages.
Table 4.-Disposition of money received during the schedule year not used for current family expenditure, and funds made available for family use from sources other than family income, by economic level

In these tables only the cash or credit changes in assets or liabilities as shown in transactions during the schedule year are entered. That is, purchase of a
share of stock is entered as "Increase in assets: Investment in: Stocks and bonds," but increase in the value of a share owned but not sold during the year is not recorded.

For each individual family only the net amount of the change in any individual item, where the items correspond in the two tables, is entered on the schedule. For instance, if a family deposits $\$ 200$ in a savings account during the year and withdraws $\$ 250$, an entry of $\$ 50$ is made under "Decrease in assets: Reduction in cash: In savings account," and no entry is made under "Increase in assets: Increase in cash: In savings account." The aggregate upon which the average for the item "Decrease in assets: Reduction in cash: In savings account," in table 4 , is based is then the sum of the net reductions of savings account balances of all families having net reductions in their savings account balances. Similarly the aggregate upon which the average for the item "Increase in assets: Increase in cash: In savings account" is based is the sum of the net increase in savings account balances of all families having net increases in their savings account balances.

A transaction affecting two different items, such as the payment of a mortgage and the receipt of a loan with which to make the payment, is generally not entered as a single net amount, but the total amounts of both the payment and the loan are entered separately.

It should be noted that not all items in the two sections of the table correspond. Payments of premiums of insurance policies are listed under "Average amount of funds disposed in: Increase in assets." The corresponding item, receipts in the form of annuities, is not listed under "Average amount of funds received from: Decrease in assets," but is entered in tables 2 and 5, under "Receipts." Money from the sale of goods and chattels is entered in table 4, but purchases of goods and chattels are entered in the appropriate expenditure tables. Money from inheritances is entered in table 4, but contrasting payments by the family scheduled (bequests to nonmembers) are listed under current expenditures as "Gifts and contributions" (table 16).

Investment in: Improvement in own home includes permanent improvements such as the addition of a porch or room, or installation of wiring for electricity. Repairs and replacements are not considered improvements, and are entered in table 10 as current housing expenditures.

Investment in: Other real estate including real estate mortgages includes both improvements made on and purchase of real estate other than owned home. This item also includes purchase by the scheduled family of real estate mortgages.

Payment of premiums for insurance policies includes amounts deducted from wages for company insurance; trade-union dues when these entitled the payer to insurance benefits; payments to other organizations when they entitled the payer to insurance benefits; payments for combined life and sickness and disability insurance when the amounts assignable to sickness and disability insurance are considered the smaller part of the total; "burial insurance" and payments to burial societies; and payments for life-insurance premiums for persons not members of the economic family.

Increase in outstanding loans to others includes increase in loans to any persons not members of the economic family.
Payment of debts to: Firms selling on installment plan includes only payments for purchases made prior to the beginning of the schedule year. The entire amounts due for goods purchased on installment during the schedule year are entered in the appropriate expenditure tables.

Payment of debts to: Individuals includes payment of debts incurred prior to the schedule year to tradesmen, physicians, landlords, and other persons.

Payment of debts to: Other includes payment of debts incurred prior to the schedule year on charge accounts, taxes, assessments, etc.

Sale of property: Goods and chattels does not include articles "traded in" on the purchase. When, for instance, an allowance was made for the trade-in of an old ice box in the purchase of a refrigerator, the amount of the allowance is not entered in any of the tables and the expenditure shown for the refrigerator (in table 18) is the price less the allowance. The same procedure was followed for automobiles, radios, and other items for which articles were "traded in" for credit.

Increase in liabilities includes debts incurred during the schedule year even though these were later wiped out by bankruptcy proceedings.

Increase in debts: Payable to firms selling on installment plan includes balances due at the end of the schedule year on goods purchased on installment during the schedule year. For example, if a family incurred a bill of $\$ 250$ during the schedule year for a suite of furniture purchased on the installment plan and paid installments totaling $\$ 150$ during the year, having a balance still owing of $\$ 100$ at the end of the schedule year, the following entries would appear: In table 18, current expenditure for furniture suite, $\$ 250$; in table 4 , increase in debts payable to firms selling on installment plan, $\$ 100$.

Increase in debts: Payable to individuals includes unpaid debts incurred during the schedule year to tradesmen, physicians, landlords, and other persons.

Increase in debts: Other debts includes unpaid debts incurred during the schedule year on charge accounts, taxes, assessments, etc.

Inheritance.-See page 146.

## Table 5.-Description of families studied, by income level

(See table 2 for same data by other classification)
Data presented in this table are the same as those in table 2, except that the families have been classified by net family income level rather than by economic level. (See notes on table 2.)

Table 6.-Expenditures for groups of items, by income level
(See table 3 for same data by other classification)
Data presented in this table are the same as those in table 3, except that the families have been classified by net family income level rather than by economic level. (See notes on table 3.)

Table 7.-Food used at home and purchased for consumption at home during 1 week, by economic level

In order to avoid overburdening the housewives who cooperated in the study the details of food purchases were requested only for the week preceding the agent's visit. In most cities the field work extended over more than one season. The differences between the averages secured in the several quarters in such instances reflect not only seasonal differences in food purchases, but also accidental differences in the economic level and the national backgrounds of the families in the subsamples interviewed in the different quarters. It was therefore decided to publish in full for each city only the estimates for that season in which data were secured from the largest number of families.

The number of equivalent full-time persons shown in table 7 is obtained by counting the total number of persons eating 21 meals at home during the week as the appropriate decimal equivalent of a person. A lunch prepared at home but carried and eaten away from home is included as a meal eaten at home.

For the method of obtaining the number of food-expenditure units, see appendix D, page 166 .

The quantities and expenditures entered in table 7 are for all food purchased for use by the household at home or prepared at home to be taken out during the week, including that for boarders, guests, and servants.

Data were obtained for each of the following items-""number of families purchasing," "number of families using," "average quantity purchased," "average quantity used"-as well as "expenditure." Since the quantities purchased and quantities used in 1 week were in the great majority of cases identical, only data on quantities purchased are presented in table 7. These figures may be compared with the figures on expenditure. Likewise, for most, although not all of the food items, the number of families purchasing and the number using was the same. Because of limitation of printing space, only the figures for families using are presented, they being needed to obtain a complete picture of food consumption habits of the families studied.

If comparisons are made between expenditures for quantities of foods at different economic levels, it should be noted that the composition of the families changes from one level to another. There are in general a larger proportion of adults at the higher economic levels. Since human needs for and customary consumption of various specific foods vary considerably for persons of different age and sex and occupation, it becomes impossible to make a strict comparison of food consumption with needs at the different economic levels. Thus the needs for carbohydrates, protein, vitamins, etc., increase in differing ratios for different sex-age groups; children's need for milk is approximately twice as great as that for adults, while the need of heat-producing foods (starches and sugars) of adults is about twice as great as that of children. Children's meat consumption varies from that of adults at a still different rate. In order to secure figures on quantities of and expenditures for individual foods purchased which would provide a reasonably satisfactory basis for comparison and yet not present a misleading appearance of refinement, data on family purchases of individual foods have been converted to a per capita basis.

Expenditures or quantity per food-expenditure unit may be computed by multiplying the average expenditure or quantity per person shown by the average number of persons per family and dividing the product by the average number of food-expenditure units per family. However, in interpreting such results, the factor pointed out in the preceding paragraph must be considered, namely that a single food relative scale cannot be correctly descriptive of needs of persons of different sex and age for varying types of specific foods.

In considering quantities purchased it must be remembered that "pounds" are a measure of weight only, not of nutritional equivalence.

## Table 8.-Annual food expenditures, by economic level

The figures for average annual expenditures for food exclude the computed cost of food consumed by boarders.

For the method of obtaining the number of food-expenditure units see appendix D, page 166 .

Meals bought and eaten away from home include tips.
Candy, ice cream, drinks, etc., bought and eaten away from home includes expenditure for all between-meal food and drink consumed away from home.

## Table 9.-Housing facilities, by economic level

The facilities described in this table apply to the dwelling occupied by the family at the end of the schedule year, and which may or may not have been
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the family's dwelling unit during the entire schedule year. No attempt was made by the field agents to determine whether or not a facility met any defined standard either as to quality or as to state of repair.

Average monthly rental rate is the rental rate for the house inhabited by the family at the end of the schedule year. (Note that this rate is not necessarily the same as that shown in table 10, Housing expenditures, which is the average rate paid throughout the year.)

A detached house is free, standing with open space on all sides; a semidetached house has open space on three sides; a row house has open space on two sides; a two-family house is one designed for occupancy by two families, one above the other.

Multiple dwelling.-A building designed for occupancy by three or more families has been classed as a multiple dwelling.

Garden space is defined as space actually devoted to a garden or to a lawn on the premises or belonging to the dwelling.

## Table 10.--Housing expenditures, by economic level

The type and amount of expenditure by a family for housing necessarily vary with type of tenure, dwelling, and manner of payment for heating. Separate sections of the table are therefore presented for families who can be classified into homogeneous groups with respect to housing expenditures. These are: II, "Home owners"; III, "House renters"; IV, "Apartment renters with heat included in rent"; V, "Apartment renters with heat not included in rent." Families whose housing arrangements changed during the year are not shown separately, but are included in section I, "All families in survey." Section I therefore includes families shown in sections II, III, IV, and V, and families with the following housing arrangements: Owning a principal home during part of the year and renting a principal home during part of the year; having as a principal home a house for a part of the year and an apartment for a part of the year; renting as principal home an apartment with heat included in rent for a part of the year and an apartment with heat not included in rent for a part of the year.

A vacation home is one which the family has for vacation purposes, and rents or owns simultaneously with its principal home. Simultaneous rental or ownership of a vacation home does not exclude families in sections II, III, IV, and V.

Investment in home includes down payments, payment of principal on mortgages, and permanent improvements (not repairs) on home.

In cases where the home owned and occupied by the family was a two-family house, only that portion of the investment or expenditure which applied to the owner's own living quarters has been included under "Average amount invested" or "Average current housing expenditure." The proportions used in making this allocation were based on the comparative monthly rental values of the two dwellings under consideration. The amount of housing expenditure allocated to the rented portion of the house was deducted from the gross rent received from the tenant to arrive at "Other net rents" (tables 2, and 5). The amount of the investment allocated to the rented portion of the house was entered as "Investment in other real estate" (table 4).

Taxes.-Amounts due on "taxes" or "rent" are entered under these respective headings even if unpaid at the end of the schedule year. The amounts unpaid at the end of the schedule year are also entered in table 4 as "Increase in debts: Other debts."

Fire insurance and liability insurance.--No attempt was made to prorate payments for previous or coming years. The total amount paid during the year was considered current expenditure.

Rent.-The rent reported covered the use of such facilities as heat, light, furniture, and water when these were provided by the landlord.

Families living in rented homes and subrenting portions as apartments were scheduled provided there were separate meters for gas and electricity, that heat costs could be estimated, and that not more than two families (including the scheduled family) had dwellings there. In such cases only that amount of the rent, operating expenditures, and expenditure for repairs which applied to the portion of the house occupied by the scheduled family was entered. The remaining expenditures for rent, operating, and repairs were deducted from the gross rent received from the tenant to arrive at "Other net rents" (tables 2 and 5). The proportions used in allocating housing expenditure between the two families were based on the comparative rental rates of the two dwellings.

No attempt was made to allocate the cost of space rented to roomers.
Concessions are defined as the money value of rent given free by the landlord for a short time as an inducement to the family to rent the living quarters or to offset the expense of moving.

Apartments are defined as dwellings in multiple dwellings or in two-family houses designed for occupancy by two families, one above the other. (See notes on table 9, p. 152.) A dwelling consisting of rooms set apart for the use of the scheduled family within a house originally designed for occupancy by one family was also classed as an apartment.

Rooms.-In the count of the number of rooms, dining rooms, living rooms, bedrooms, libraries, sewing rooms, kitchens, kitchenettes, and enclosed porches are included. Halls, closets, storerooms, pantries, open porches, laundries, alcoves, rooms used for business purposes, dinettes, and bathrooms are not included.

Table 11.-Fuel, light, and refrigeration expenditures, by economic level
The type and amount of expenditure by a family for fuel, light, and refrigeration necessarily vary with type of dwelling and manner of payment for heating. Separate sections of the table are therefore presented for families whose expenditures for fuel, light, and refrigeration may be considered homogeneous. Families whose type of dwelling or arrangement for payment for heating changed during the year are not shown separately but are in section I, "All families in survey." Section I therefore includes families shown in sections II, III, IV, and V and families with the following arrangements for type of dwelling or payment for heating: Having a house part of the year and an apartment for part of the year; having heat included in rent or ownership payments during part of the year and not so included during part of the year; renting a home for part of the year and owning a home for part of the year.

It should be noted that several items listed in this table might have been used for purposes other than heating, cooking, lighting, and refrigeration. For instance, electricity is used for radios, curling irons, etc.; gasoline is used for cleaning.

Table 12.-Household operation expenditures other than for fuel, light, and refrigeration, by economic level

Water rent includes only water rent paid separately from rent for dwelling.
Telephone includes both subscription and pay-station costs.
Domestic service includes maid service, laundress, furnace man, ete.
Household paper includes toilet paper, wax paper, shelf paper, etc.
Stationery, pens, pencils, ink.-Expenditures for school supplies are entered in table 16 under "Formal education."

Insurance on furniture is generally paid for a 3 -year period. © The entire expenditure was entered without regard to the period covered.

Interest on debts does not include interest paid on mortgages on home (table 10).
Other items includes shoe polish, candles, clothespins, clotheslines, grass cutting, snow shoveling, and tips to maids, janitors, elevator boys, and garbage collectors, etc.

> Table 13.-Transportation expenditures, by economic level

The expenditure shown for purchase of automobiles and motorcycles is the purchase price less allowances for the trade-in of old cars and includes carrying charges for installment purchases.

Garage rent and parking includes in some cities a special tax levied on private garages for purposes of fire inspection.

Rent of automobile and/or motorcycle includes expenditures for gasoline, oil, etc., for a vehicle not owned by the family. It usually covered payment to a neighbor for rides to work in his car, or payment for gasoline and oil for operation of a borrowed car.

Other transportation expense includes dues for membership in automobile clubs.
Table 14.-Personal care expenditures and medical care expenditures, by economic level

Personal care services.-A combination beauty or barber service charge was prorated among the services supplied.

Other waves includes marcel, water, or finger wave.
Other personal care services includes facial treatments, massage, etc.
Toilet soap does not include laundry soap used for personal care.
Tooth powder, tooth paste, mouth washes does not include soda and salt used for the cleaning of teeth.

Cosmetics and toilet preparations includes paper handkerchiefs and sanitary napkins as well as face powder, rouge, perfume, etc.

Under "Brushes, razor blades, and other toilet articles" are included compacts and mirrors.

Medical care.-Frequently families knew definitely the total expenditure incurred for an illness but were not sure of the correct allocation among such items as physicians' services, hospital room, operating room charges, and medicines. Whenever possible flat rate charges for such services as obstetrical care or tonsillectomy were prorated among the services received. Where information for such prorating could not be furnished, the entire charge was entered as expenditure for general practitioner, specialist, or clinic, as the case might be. Therefore expenditures for total medical care are probably more accurate than expenditures for individual items of medical service.

Specialist and other practitioner includes heart specialists, pediatricians, eye, ear, nose, and throat specialists, osteopaths, chiropractors, midwives, Christian Science practitioners, etc.

Medicine and drugs includes the cost of filling prescriptions, home remedies, patent medicines, adhesive tape, bandage, etc. Cod-liver oil was classified as a food. (See table 7.)

Eyeglasses includes the cost of examination when the examination was given by the same person or firm from whom glasses were purchased.

Medical appliances includes hot-water bottles, crutches, etc.
Accident and health insurance.-When accident and health insurance premium payments are included with payments for life insurance and the life insurance
portion is considered the greater, the expenditures are not entered in table 14 but in table 4 under "Payment of premiums for insurance policies: Life insurance."

Other medical care includes expenditures for X-ray, operating room, blood transfusions, circumcision, etc.

Table 15.-Recreation expenditures, by economic level
Cameras, films, and photographic equipment includes cost of films and developing. Athletic equipment and supplies does not include clothes for sports. Expenditures for sport clothes are entered in table 17.

Pets (purchase and care) includes dog licenses and food purchased especially for pets.

Entertaining: In home, except food and drinks, includes bridge prizes, etc.
Other recreation includes amusement park and dance hall admissions, 1-day boat excursions, losses at cards, gambling and betting, cost of lottery tickets, Christmas-tree decorations, expenditures for hobbies not elsewhere classified, admission to fairs, spending money assigned to individual family members of which no account could be given.

Table 16.-Formal education, vocation, community welfare, gifts and contributions, and miscellaneous expenditures, by economic level

Formal education
Expenditures for members away from home include tuition and school supplies but not the cost of board and lodging or school uniforms for members attending school away from home.

Expenditures for members at home include tuition, books, stationery and supplies, fees for music and dancing lessons, etc., paid for by the economic family.

## Vocation

Union dues or fees.-Payments to trade-unions with life insurance plans, where dues and life insurance premium payments cannot be separated, are entered on table 4 as "Payments of premium for insurance policies: Life insurance."

Other items of vocational expense include chauffeurs' licenses, tools, fees to employment offices, etc.

## Community welfare

Taxes.-Poll, income, and personal property. Does not include taxes on owned home (see p. 152) or on other real estate (see p. 147), or sales tax (see p. 157), or indirect taxes, or automobile licenses and taxes.

## Gifts and contributions

This section includes only gifts to persons not members of the economic family. Gifts to organizations or institutions are not included, but are listed under "Community welfare."

Christmas, birthday, etc., gifts include money gifts, and purchase price of items given to nonmembers of the economic family.

Support of relatives includes money sent to relatives whether living in the United States or in foreign countries. It also includes contributions to the funeral expense of a relative not a member of the economic family.

Losses includes only family or nonbusiness losses. Examples of such losses are payment of notes of personal friends endorsed by members of the economic family; bail forfeited which had been posted for a friend; premiums paid during the schedule year on insurance or annuities forfeited through failure to keep policies up to date; accidental loss of cash; payments on items bought on the installment plan and lost for nonpayment on installments, without recompense
for amounts paid. Business losses are deducted from family income, see page 148, Other includes dues and contributions to political organizations, expenses for marriage licenses, christenings, bail, fines not connected with traffic violations, etc.

Table 17.-Clothing expenditures, by economic level
All figures in table 17 with the exception of those in section I are based upon data for persons dependent on family funds for 52 weeks. Expenditures for persons dependent on family funds for less than 52 weeks are not shown in the sections for different sex and age groups but are included in the total shown in section I. These expenditures have been excluded from the detailed sections for the reason that clothing expenditures for a person dependent on family funds for only part of the year would be unrepresentative, since the family might buy all or none of the year's clothing supply for that person during the period he was dependent on family funds.

For computation of averages other than those presented in this table, for example, "average number of articles purchased per person purchasing" or "average expenditure per person purchasing" see appendix A-Notes on table 17, Bureau of Labor Statistics Bulletins Nos. 636, 637, and 639 to 641, inclusive.

Section I.-For method of computation of number of clothing expenditure units per family see appendix D , page 168 . This computation is based on all members of the economic family, whether or not they were dependent on the family fund for 52 weeks.

Ready-made clothing, dry cleaning, and accessories includes all items listed in sections III through XI for persons dependent on family funds for 52 weeks plus such expenditures for persons dependent on family funds for less than 52 weeks.

Yard goods and findings.-Findings include thread, needles, scissors, buttons, etc.
Clothing received as gifts includes neckties, stockings, etc., received as Chrsitmas and birthday gifts from persons outside the economic family. It also includes second-hand clothes given to the family.

Expense for uniforms, etc., for work are included in clothing expenditures (not as vocational expense in table 16 nor as losses or business expense in tables 2 and 5).

Section II.-The figures in section II are based on data only for persons dependent on the family funds for 52 weeks.

Sections III through XI.-In these sections the figures are based on data only for persons dependent on the family funds for 52 weeks. Total for each sex and age group does not include expenditures for yard goods and findings and paid help for sewing. These are presented only in section I for all sex-age groups combined.

Boys: Play suits.-Are heavy suits for children's outdoor wear.
Men and Boys: Shoes, work.-Are shoes for heavy work, not, for example, shoes worn to work by a white-collar employee.

Men and Boys: Shoes, other.-Includes bedroom slippers.
Men and Boys: Accessories.-Includes belts, suspenders, collar buttons, shoestrings, etc.

Men and Boys: Other.-Includes jewelry and watches, and rental charges for uniforms and aprons. In cases where rental and laundering charges for uniforms and aprons could not be separated, the entire amount is entered here.

Women and Girls: Dresses, cotton, house.-Are defined as cotton dresses bought for housework.

Women and Girls: Accessories.-Includes hairpins, hairnets, belts, sanitary belts, dress ornaments, etc.

Women and Girls: Other.-Includes jewelry and watches, and rental charges for uniforms and aprons. In cases where rental and laundering charges for uniforms and aprons could not be separated the entire amount is entered here.

## Table 18.-Furnishings and equipment expenditures, by economic level

For the method of entry of expenditures for items bought on the installment plan and with trade-in allowances see page 144.

For computation of averages other than those presented in this table, for example, "average number of articles purchased per family purchasing" or "average expenditure per family purchasing," see Appendix A-Notes on table 18, Bureau of Labor Statistics Bulletins 636, 637, and 639 to 641, inclusive.

Textile furnishings: Other.--Includes paid help for making curtains, furniture covers, etc., and yard goods used in making home furnishings.

Miscellaneous equipment: Other.-Includes paint and lumber for repair of household equipment, play pens for children, and typewriters.

Note that radios are not classed "Furnishings and equipment" but as "Recreation," table 15.

## Sales Tax

California.-A State sales tax of $2 \not \boxed{2}$ percent on all commodity sales at retail was in effect throughout the schedule year in Modesto. Services such as barbering, cleaning, dyeing, and shoe repairing were exempt. Occasional sales between private persons, as sales of used furniture, were exempt. All commodities sold by established retail dealers, such as food, cigarettes, clothing, furniture, etc., were taxable.

The tax was levied on small sales as follows:

```
Sales of- Amount of tax
```



```
    $0.15-$0.59-----------------------------------------
```





```
    $1.91-$2.30---------------------------------------
    Etc.
```

Michigan.-A tax of 3 percent on "sales at retail" was in effect from July 1, 1933, through the entire period of scheduling in Marquette. The tax was levied on purchases of all gooods including sales of electricity for light, heat, and power, natural and artificial gas, and water. There was, however, no tax on municipally owned public utilities (electricity, gas, and water). Newspapers were not taxable, but the tax did apply to the sale of magazines. Services were not taxable.

Nevada.-There was no general sales tax in effect during the period of the investigation.

New Hampshire.-There was no general sales tax in effect during the period of the investigation.

In the expenditure data for Marquette and Modesto presented in this report, the sales tax is included as part of the expenditure item on which it is paid.

## Appendix B

## Selection of Families to be Interviewed

The Method of Choosing the Sample

The method to be used in choosing the sample to be included in a study of the families of employed wage earners and clerical workers presents a serious problem in the absence of a recent census giving for every family, at each address, the occupation of all the earners in the family, and the relief status of the family in the last year. Since no census data were available for use in this investigation, it was decided to use employers' current lists of employees as the basis for sampling in all the cities where the study was carried on. The methods used differed from city to city only insofar as the available data on the names and addresses of employers, and the number of their employees differed from city to city.

In order to provide for an adequate representation of establishments of all types the number of employees in an organization was taken into account in drawing the sample. Wherever possible a complete list of the employers within the city area with the number of their employees was secured, including industrial establishments, banks, insurance companies, wholesale and retail distributors, hotels and restaurants, transportation companies, public utilities, and Federal, State, county, and city Governments. The names of the employers with the numbers of their employees were arranged by industry, and the numbers of employees were added in such a way as to secure cumulative totals.

In cities where it was possible to obtain complete lists of employers, the grand total of employees was divided by the number of families planned for the survey in the given city, to obtain a sampling ratio. This ratio was applied to the cumulative totals of employees on the employer list (beginning with a number chosen at random) to secure a new list of employer names, selected at random, and the number of sample employee names to be drawn from the personnel lists of each selected employer. In cities where complete lists of employers were not available, separate sampling ratios were derived for each industrial or trade group, following the same procedure for each group as outlined above for the city as a whole. This procedure was adopted to prevent underrepresentation of a given industry or trade group due to
the fact that its list of employers was incomplete, and permitted a reasonable distribution of the sample among the various lines of business on the basis of 1930 census data adjusted to take account of known changes in business conditions since 1930.

Since the employer lists did not give the information necessary to determine whether employees were members of families, whether their families had been on relief during the past year, and what was the amount of the family income, at least five names listed directly after the name of the "sample employee" were drawn, to provide for substitutes in case the first employee whose name was drawn had no family or his famliy was not eligible for the sample. (See p. 159 for rules for determining eligibility.) If the name of the "sample" employee to be chosen from a given employer's list was drawn too near to the end of the list to secure the five or more substitute names in the usual way, the quota of substitutes was completed by taking the names at the beginning of the list of employees in the same establishment.

The necessity for having a file of substitute names made it seem advisable to limit the sampling to employers of five or more workers in the smaller cities and 10 or more in the larger cities, since the time and funds available for drawing the sample were limited, and visiting employers for the purpose of drawing sample names was a very time-consuming process.

## Rules for Determining Eligibility of Families

After the sample names had been drawn in the manner outlined above, field agents were sent to visit the families of all the employees whose names were the first drawn for each number ascertained by application of the sampling ratios. A schedule was obtained from that family if it was willing and able to furnish the information and met the requirements specified below. If the family failed to meet any of the following specifications, or was for some reason unwilling to give the information, the family of the employee whose name had occurred immediately next on the list of the same employer was visited. If the family of the second employee proved ineligible, the third was visited, and so on.

1. Contact through chief earner.-When families are selected by names of individuals from lists of employees, multiple-earner families would be overrepresented unless some measure was taken to prevent it. A family with three earners on the lists of employees would have approximately three chances ${ }^{1}$ to be drawn in the sample as against one chance for the family with one earner. Since families with several earners are more likely to have higher incomes and less likely to

[^33]have young children than are families with only one earner, such overexposure would have impaired the representativeness of the sample.

In order to prevent such overrepresentation in the cities studied, two methods were employed, either of which reduced to equality the chance of each family to be included in the final sample. In Modesto and Reno, schedules were secured only from families in which the name of the employee drawn from the lists of employees was the name of the chief earner in the family, i. e., member earning the largest amount of money during the year. If a visit to the family disclosed that a name of a member other than that of the chief earner had been drawn, the family was not scheduled. Since a family can have only one chief earner, this procedure effectively equalized the chances of inclusion in the sample for all families. By this method the number of families with more than one earner in the sample was kept to a number proportionate to the number of multiple-earner families in the entire wage earner and lower-salaried clerical group. In the New Hampshire cities and Marquette, the alternative procedure was used: a family was scheduled regardless of whether the name drawn from the employee list was that of the chief earner and an adjustment was made in the course of tabulation of the data to correct for this possible overrepresentation. ${ }^{2}$
2. Occupation of chief earner.-In each family included in the survey, the chief earner is either a wage earner or a lower-salaried clerical worker. The classification of occupations according to economic groups presented by A. M. Edwards ${ }^{3}$ in an article based on census data was used in determining whether a person of given occupation should be considered a wage earner or a clerical worker. An exception was made in that the chief earner might not be a foreman, overseer, or domestic servant in private families, although these occupations are treated as wage earners in the Edwards classification. As the study progressed, the need for a more detailed classification of jobs became urgent. When the Works Progress Administration issued a manual giving more detailed specifications adapted from definitions of the census of 1930 , the new classifications ${ }^{4}$ were employed to assist in the problem of determining whether a specific type of work should properly be treated as part of the occupational group being covered by this investigation. The investigation included families of chief earners in the groups classified as office workers, salesmen, and kindred workers; skilled and semiskilled workers in building and

[^34]construction; skilled and semiskilled workers in manufacturing and other industries; and unskilled laborers and domestic and personal service workers (except in private families). In a few instances where the chief earner was found to have pursued two occupations during the year prior to the visit of the field investigator, one an occupation classified as wage earner or clerical, and the other as a profession or as the proprietor of owned business, it was ruled that the family was to be included in the study unless the earnings from the profession exceeded the earnings from the wage-earner or clerical occupation, or unless the income from the owned business was more than one-half the earnings from the wage-earner or clerical occupation, or the expenses of the business could not be separated from the family expenses.
3. Earnings of chief earner.-No family in which the chief earner had not earned at least $\$ 300$ during the schedule year was included. The family of a chief earner classified as a clerical worker, who earned $\$ 2,000$ or over during the schedule year, or $\$ 200$ or over during any one month, was excluded. No upper limit was placed on the earnings of wage earners, nor on total earnings of all members of the family combined, i. e., on family income as such.
4. Occupation and income of subsidiary earners.-No restriction was placed upon the occupation of subsidiary earners with one exception noted below. A family in which there was a subsidiary earner who was, for example, a professional worker or domestic servant in a private home was included provided the annual earnings of this worker were less than those of the chief earner. Families were excluded if a subsidiary earner was in business for himself and his business income and expenditure were so intermingled with the family's that it was impossible to separate them (as for example, a family where the wife carried on a small hair-dressing business in or adjacent to the family's living quarters and used for the business, gas and electricity recorded on the same meters as those recording the gas and electricity used for the operation of the household). Families in which a subsidiary earner was in business for himself were included if the business income and expenditure could be separated from that of the family and the net income from the owned business was less than half of the chief earner's earnings.

If a subsidiary earner was a clerical worker and earned over $\$ 2,000$ during the schedule year or over $\$ 200$ during any one month, the family was ineligible for inclusion in the sample.
5. Employment minimum.-In order to avoid the distortion of spending which results from prolonged unemployment and unanticipated curtailment of employment the Study was limited to employed wage earners and lower-salaried clerical workers. Figures as to the number of days of employment characteristic of wage earners and
clerical workers not being available, it was necessary to set limits below which a worker could not be regarded as having been employed for the schedule year. Only those families were included in which one wage earner or lower-salaried clerical worker within the economic family had worked a minimum of 1,008 hours spread over a minimum of 36 weeks during the year. (This minimum was chosen to represent an average employment of $3 \frac{1 / 2}{2}$ days of 8 hours in each of 36 weeks.) Families in which the chief earner was employed in distinctly seasonal industries as clothing manufacturing and building were scheduled if the chief earner had employment for as much as 28 hours in each of 30 weeks. These minima, however, are not to be regarded as criteria representing adequate employment.
6. Definition of family.-The Study was limited to private economic families of two or more persons sharing their incomes and living together, sharing the same table, eating not less than two meals a day prepared at home for at least 11 months. In addition families whose situation during the year just previous to the visit of the Bureau's investigator was difficult or impossible to analyze on a statistical basis were excluded from the survey. Specific reasons for such exclusion were as follows:
(a) The homemaker worked away from home both day and night for more than 78 days in the year.
(b) Families boarding for more than 1 month.
(c) Presence of more than the equivalent of two boarders and/or lodgers, i. e., more than 104 boarder and/or lodger weeks (not including related persons giving complete records of their incomes and expenditures).
(d) Families having guests for more than the equivalent of 26 guest weeks. ${ }^{6}$
(e) Families having another family or two unrelated dependent persons over 21 years of age living with them and completely dependent on them (unless the dependents were parents of the homemaker, husband, or chief earner).
7. Families not on relief.-No families who received direct relief or work relief during the schedule year were included.
8. Family income.-(a) No family was included which had an annual family income less than $\$ 500$ during the schedule year.
(b) No upper limit was placed upon family income as such but no families were included who received more than one-fourth of their incomes from interest, dividends, royalties, speculative gains, or rents (not including net receipts from boarders and lodgers).
(c) No family which received income from an owned business equal to more than half of the chief earner's earnings was scheduled.

[^35](d) No family which received gifts or income in kind of a value equal to a fourth of its total money income was scheduled.
(e) No family which received rent in payment for services was scheduled.
(f) No family which received 3 months or more free rent was scheduled.
9. Residence.-Families must have resided in the area of the investigation for 9 months or more.

## Appendix C

## Field Procedure

## Interview Method of Securing Data

The original data for this report came from families who estimated and calculated their various expenditures, with the help of special forms interpreted by trained field workers. The investigators were provided with a schedule which called for the entry of data on income and outgo in considerable detail, partly because the details themselves are of value and partly because it is easier for informants to remember the individual items of their family transactions than it is to provide the investigator with summary figures. This method was used rather than asking the families to keep records of disbursements at the time disbursements were made, because the procedure followed makes possible the inclusion of many families who would have been unwilling or unable to keep regular household accounts. (For facsimile of schedule used, see Bureau of Labor Statistics Bulletins 636, 637, and 639 to 641. )

No schedule was accepted for summarization in the present study unless the figures on total money receipts and total money disbursements agreed at least within 5 percent of the larger figure. It is not to be hoped that all the figures in each schedule were accurate to that extent, but there is no reason to suppose any persistent bias in the estimates has appeared in the course of the survey.

## Check-Interviewing

At the beginning of the field work one out of every five schedules submitted by each agent was checked by one of the supervisors in an interview with the family having originally provided the schedule data. The Washington office provided the field office with specific instructions concerning the methods of handling the check-interviews. The schedules to be checked were chosen at radom. The name of the agent making the first call was not known to the check-interviewer, thus eliminating any possible personal bias. In returning to the family for a check-interview, the investigator provided the informant, usually the homemaker, with a brief summary of the family expenditures and the balance of receipts and disursements, checked it with her, and checked also the details of some section of the schedule, selected at random.

Later in the course of the field work, when the check-interviews disclosed that certain agents were having difficulty in obtaining accurate information, a larger proportion of the schedules collected by these particular agents was checked. When the check showed that the work of certain agents was very accurate, only 1 out of 10 schedules was verified by a return interview. As the work progressed, relief workers whose schedules had proven wholly reliable were advanced to the position of check-interviewers.

## Food Check Lists for 1 Week

Previous experience in collecting statistics on the details of annual food expenditures has shown the difficulty of securing reliable estimates of the year's purchases. The schedule for this investigation was, therefore, prepared with two sections dealing with food expenditures. The first was in effect a check list providing in detail for the entry of the quantities of foods purchased and used, and expenditures for foods in the week immediately preceding the interview. The second section was prepared to contain a summary of food expenditures during the year. The section for entry of foods during 1 week (which listed over 194 foods) served two purposes. It secured from each family detailed estimates of food purchases with as high a degree of accuracy as is possible unless supervised records of purchases are obtained, and it served to remind the homemaker of her customary expenditures, thus making it easier to estimate the family's total outlay for food in the four quarters of the year covered by the schedule.

## Appendix D

Analytical Procedure

## Income Classification

Data on family composition, earnings and income, and group expenditures are summarized by income levels at $\$ 300$ intervals to provide a basis for comparison of the results from this investigation with earlier studies.

## Classification by Economic Level

The analysis of data on family expenditure is complicated by the fact that, although, in general, the family spends as a unit, families are not comparable units, because of variation in size and age composition. A family of two adults with an income of $\$ 1,200$ is obviously on an economic plane considerably higher than a family of six adults with the same income. Statistical analyses of the expenditures of a sample of families chosen at random is further complicated by the fact that in most cities the size of the family among wage earners and clerical workers increases as income increases, as larger family incomes are more apt to come from increases in the number of earners, than from the higher wages of the husband.

To meet these difficulties as well as to take into account the fact that consumer demand varies with age, sex, and occupation, the families were sorted according to economic level as measured by amount spent per total-expenditure unit. To ascertain the economic level of each family the first difficulty arose in the necessity of taking into account the variations in consumer demand within each family due to differences in family composition.

## Expenditure Unit-Food Relatives

The problem was met in the present investigation by computing separately for food, clothing, and for other items, the customary expenditure of persons of different age, sex, and occupation. The scale used in computing the relative expenditure for individuals for food was based on data secured from the Bureau of Home Economics, showing quantities of food estimated as actually consumed by persons of different age, sex, and physical activity. These estimates were based partly on the energy requirements of persons of different age, sex, and occupation, and partly on data on actual food consumption of families of urban wage earners and clerical workers. (To have secured an adequate number of records of the actual food consumption of individuals for this purpose would have required an expenditure far beyond the resources of either of the Bureaus concerned.)

It was necessary to convert estimated quantities of food consumed by persons of different age and sex into estimated food costs. This was done by multiplying these quantities of food by retail food prices to find the ratio which expenditures for women and boys of various age groups bore to expenditures for full-time employed men aged 20 and over. The problem arose as to whether the prices used as multipliers should be individual city prices or averages for the United States. A test was conducted on a sample of 12 cities where the Study was in process in the winter of 1935 . For each of these cities, the same quantities of food were multiplied by the respective retail food prices for the given city. It was found that, despite the use of varying prices from city to city, the relative expenditures for women and children bore approximately the same ratio to those for employed men in each city. It was therefore decided to use, for all 12 of these cities, a scale of consumer expenditure for food based on United States average prices in 1934. The same procedure was followed for all other cities, with adjustment for changes in food prices as the investigation advanced. The food expenditure scales were recalculated on the basis of average prices in the year ending May 31, 1935, and on average prices in the calendar year 1935, and these food relatives were used for schedules in cities where the field work was completed later. Within a given city, where field work extended over a considerable period, each schedule was coded with the food relatives for the period most nearly corresponding to the schedule year.

Table A.-Relative food expenditures for persons of different age, sex, and occupation ${ }^{1}$

| Age-Sex-occupation group | Calculated on the basis of- |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A verage prices, calendar year 1934 | A verage prices, year ending May 31, 1935 | Average prices, calendar year 1935 |
| Male |  |  |  |
| Boys under 2. | 0.51 | 0.48 | 0.48 |
| Boys 2 and under 4. | . 54 | . 52 | . 51 |
| Boys 4 and under 7. | . 61 | . 59 | . 58 |
| Boys 7 and under 9 | . 79 | . 77 | . 76 |
| Boys 9 and under 11 | . 86 | . 84 | . 84 |
| Boys 11 and under 13. | . 92 | . 91 | . 90 |
| Boys 13 and under 16. | 1.01 | 1. 00 | 1. 00 |
| Boys 16 and under 20 | 1.02 | 1. 02 | 1. 02 |
| Men, 20 and over, unemployed and part-time e | . 90 | . 90 | . 89 |
| Men, 20 and over, full-time employed. | 1.00 | 1. 00 | 1.00 |
| Female |  |  |  |
| Girls under 2.-.-- | . 51 | . 48 | . 48 |
| Girls 2 and under 4 | . 54 | . 52 | . 51 |
| Girls 4 and under 8 | . 61 | . 59 | . 58 |
| Girls 8 and under 11 | . 79 | . 77 | . 76 |
| Girls 11 and under 14 | . 86 | . 84 | . 84 |
| Girls 14 and under 20 | . 92 | . 91 | . 90 |
| Women, 20 and over, moderately active. | . 83 | . 83 | . 82 |
| Women, 20 and over, active...-.-. | . 92 | . 92 | . 92 |

[^36]$200982^{\circ}$ - $41 — 12$

## Expenditure Unit-Clothing Relatives

The data secured in the present investigation were used as the basis for computing the scales of expenditure for clothing of persons of different age, sex, and occupation. The average annual clothing expenditures of white men wage earners and clerical workers, 21 through 35 years old, in all the large cities covered in the present study were $\$ 56.68$. This amount is regarded as unity (1.00) in arriving at standard scales of expenditure for persons of other ages, sex, or occupation. In the following table average clothing expenditures of each class of persons are expressed as percentages of the average clothing expenditures of this group of employed men.

Table B.-Relative clothing expenditures for persons of different age, sex, and occupation ${ }^{1}$
$[1.00=(\$ 56.68)$, expenditure of male wage earners and clerical workers aged 21 and under 36 in large cities $]$

| Age | Male |  |  |  | Fernale |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Under 5, and at school | At home | Clerical | Wage earner | Under 5 . and at school | At home | Clerical | Wage earner |
| Under 2 | 0.19 |  |  |  | 0.19 | --- | -- |  |
| 2 and under 6 | . 34 |  |  |  | . 38 | ------ |  |  |
| 6 and under 9 | . 48 |  | - |  | . 47 | --------- |  |  |
| 9 and under 12 | . 53 |  |  |  | . 56 |  |  |  |
| 12 and under 15 | . 63 |  |  |  | . 77 |  |  |  |
| 15 and under 18 | . 88 | 0.74 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.01 | 0.94 | 1.08 | 1.08 |
| 18 and under 21. | 1.01 | . 80 | 1. 14 | 1.13 | 1. 28 | 1.05 | 1. 60 | 1. 63 |
| 21 and under 24 |  | . 57 | 1. 14 | 1.07 |  | 1.04 | 1. 66 | 1. 60 |
| 24 and under 27. |  | . 48 | 1.13 | 1. 00 |  | 1.02 | 1. 64 | 1. 46 |
| 27 and under 30 |  | . 46 | 1. 10 | . 96 |  | 1. 00 | 1. 62 | 1.36 |
| 30 and under 36. |  | . 44 | 1. 04 | . 92 |  | . 96 | 1.58 | 1. 23 |
| 36 and under 42 |  | . 43 | . 94 | . 87 |  | . 88 | 1. 48 | 1.07 |
| 42 and under 48. |  | . 41 | . 87 | . 81 |  | . 78 | 1. 35 | . 94 |
| 48 and under 54 |  | . 39 | . 80 | . 75 |  | . 68 | 1. 18 | . 84 |
| 54 and under 60 |  | . 37 | . 75 | . 69 |  | . 58 | 1.03 | . 76 |
| 60 and over. |  | . 35 | . 65 | . 60 |  | . 40 | . 78 | . 67 |

${ }^{1}$ Data based on white families in 42 cities combined.
Since it was necessary to begin the coding and the tabulation of the schedules before the field work was completed, the computations of unit clothing expenditure ${ }^{1}$ were at first made on the basis of preliminary scales computed from the clothing-expenditure data in the schedules available at the time for the given city or group of cities within a region. After the field work had been terminated and the tabulation completed for all 42 cities, the scales given in the foregoing table were constructed on the basis of data for all these cities, all of which had populations over 50,000 .

It was desired that the scales should represent only those differences in clothing expenditure which are due to the three factors (age, sex, and occupation) which were being measured in the computation of the scales. To compute the scales, after elimination of the influence of

[^37]the other variables of family income and family size in the computations, the following steps were taken.
(1) Cards representing each person in all the families studied in cities with populations over 50,000 were sorted into cells such that all persons classified in a given cell were from families with the same income and of the same size; and the group of persons whose clothing expenditure was being studied were of the same age, sex, and occupation.
(2) The average clothing expenditure for all the persons in each cell was then computed.
(3) These averages were then combined for each age-sex-occupation group. In making this combination, each age-sex-occupation group within each family-income family-size class was given the same weight. These weights were determined by the number of persons in each family-income family-size cell for all age-sex-occupation groups combined. The resulting averages for each age-sex-occupation group are the averages that would have been obtained if the distribution of persons by family income and family size had been the same in each age-sex-occupation group. The procedure followed in combining averages by the use of constant weights is similar to the procedure of a standard population frequently used by biologists in attempting to compare rates while eliminating differences caused by differing distributions by sex, age, marital status, etc. (cf. Raymond Pearl, Medical Biometry and Statistics, Philadelphia and London, 1930, ch. IX, "Standardized and corrected death rates," pp. 265-277).
(4) For each sex-occupation group there was now a series of average clothing expenditures for each age. These results were smoothed to eliminate minor irregulatities.
(5) Absolute clothing expenditures at each age were converted to relatives by dividing the smoothed averages for each sex-occupation group for each age by the smoothed average expenditure for employed men aged 21 through 35.

The revised scales developed on this basis are still subject to the limitations of the nature of the population sampled, but they represent what seem to be the most definitive scales yet available showing effective demand for clothing among families of wage earners and clerical workers.

The revised scales were used to recode and reclassify the schedules for unit expenditure ${ }^{2}$ in order to test the magnitude of the differences

[^38]in final results which would have been obtained had the revised scales been used at the outset. The new distribution of families was not substantially different from the distribution for which the preliminary clothing scales were used. Since clothing expenditures represent a fairly small proportion, ranging from one-eighth to one-tenth, of family expenditures, it will be seen that even a fairly large revision in the clothing expenditure scales would yield only a slight change in total unit expenditure. The nature of the process will be clear from the sample code sheet, p. 172. Consequently, the distribution of families by economic level did not shift much with the introduction of revised relative clothing-expenditure scales. Since, upon analysis, the changes were not found to be important, in all tables in the Tabular Summary and in the text, the distribution of families by economic level remains as it was established by the coding based, among other elements (see sample code sheet, p.172), on the preliminary relative clothing-expenditure scales.

The revised clothing scales did, however, produce a more pronounced change in the item "average number of clothing-expenditure units per family," and correspondingly in the figure "unit clothing expenditure." ${ }^{3}$ The figure for "average number of clothing-expenditure units per family," based on the revised scales, is therefore used throughout in the Tabular Summary. Correspondingly the figure "average number of expenditure units" ${ }^{4}$ per family, whenever it appears in the Tabular Summary, is based, among other elements including food and "other" expenditure, upon unit clothing expenditure computed from the revised relative clothing-expenditure scales.

The item "average number of clothing-expenditure units" or "average number of expenditure units" or both, occurs in tables 2, 3, 5, and 6 of the Tabular Summary.

The change in "average number of expenditure units" resulting from use of the revised scales was much smaller than the change in "average number of clothing-expenditure units" for the reason discussed in a preceding paragraph on the small change in the distribution of cases according to unit expenditure. Nevertheless, the figure on the revised basis appears in the Tabular Summary since it is consistent with the revised figure for clothing expenditure units. The latter figure is published rather than the preliminary figure since it is believed, after extensive analysis and experimentation, that it represents a better approximation, based on more complete data and a more satisfactory summary technique, to a definitive answer to the question: How does the clothing demand or customary clothing

[^39]need of one family of given composition compare with that of another family of different composition? Lacking any absolute scale of clothing need, any such scale of clothing expenditure can, at best, only be an approximation. Nevertheless, the figures set forth on the basis of the revised scales are presented as the result of considerable study and experimentation as the most definitive yet available (granted the assumptions that age, sex, and occupation do create real differences in the social situation of individuals, which in turn affect their need to make clothing expenditures as much or more to meet social custom as for reasons of health). A further reason for presenting the revised clothing expenditure unit figures is that the figures stand as such independently of any other figures in the tables. That is, since the preliminary figures were used simply as a means to classification of cases and nowhere in the tabulations as an end product, the presentation of revised figures for this one item in no way disturbs the usefulness of the other items not affected by the revision.

## Expenditure Unit-Other Items

Any attempt to compute customary expenditures on items other than food and clothing for persons of different age, sex, and occupation in the wage-earner and clerical groups would have required securing data on the expenditures for individuals in greater detail than seemed practical. It was, therefore, decided to compute expenditure per adult male equivalent for "other items" on the assumption that in actual practice with a given income a family divides the use of its goods, and its expenditures for all items other than food and clothing, about equally among the members of the family.

## Total Expenditure Unit

In finally computing the amount spent per total-expenditure unit for a given family, three measures of family size were calculated, one in terms of food-expenditure units (i. e., food relatives), another in terms of clothing-expenditure units (i. e., clothing relatives,) and a third in terms of equivalent full-time members of the economic family (i. e., a relative of 1.00 for each member in the economic family for 52 weeks). In computing each of these measures for an individual, the length of time the individual was dependent on the family funds was taken into account. This was done by multiplying the appropriate relative by the decimal equivalent of a year during which the person was dependent on family funds.

Expenditures by the given family for food were then divided by the number of food-expenditure units in the economic family, expenditures for clothing by the number of clothing-expenditure units in the economic family, and expenditures for other items by the number of
equivalent full-time persons in the economic family. The number of dollars spent per total-expenditure unit was secured by adding together the-three quotients and this sum was used as a measure of the economic level of the family. The following sample code sheet shows the method of coding for a specific family:

Figure A.
Sample code sheet


It should be noted that the computation of family size in terms of expenditure units is based on estimates of customary relative expenditures without regard to the adequacy of the goods consumed to meet physical or psychological needs. These units are not adapted for use in setting up or in revising ideal or normal budgets. That is, it is impossible to use these units in calculating the family budget needed if a child is to be added to the family without disturbing the level which the family budget was originally prepared to meet, nor may they be used to determine the subtraction possible if a budget computed for a family of given size is to be applied to a family with one less child, but without disturbing the standard which the budget was calculated to purchase. Such additions or subtractions can properly be made for the purpose indicated with these expenditure units only if the standard by which the budget was originally computed was in terms of these same units. Thus, if a budget for a family of given size has been calculated on the basis of a given number of expenditure units, using the relatives described in this study, along with some predetermined sum taken as meeting the needs of an adult man, the budget may be increased to care for the addition of a person to the family by use of this series of units. But if the original budget for, let us say, a family of two adults and two children was calculated on
some other basis, the increase of the total budget by the decimal equivalent represented by an expenditure unit from this study corresponding to a child of specified age will not assure the continuance at the same standard of living of the family when a third child is added.

The measures used in calculating size of family in expenditure units in the present study are intended to represent customary behavior in families where the addition of a child is not as a rule accompanied by any addition to income, and the family must adapt its expenditures to meet the new situation. In arriving at the scales used to measure family size, no adjustments were included for possible differences in the economy of purchasing for larger as compared with smaller families.

## Adjustment for Contact With Families Through Other Member Than Chief Earner

In a substantial number of families included in the sample were persons whose names had been drawn from employee lists in the usual manner, but who proved to be subsidiary rather than chief earners. This method of scheduling required that the resulting overrepresentation of multiple-earner families be eliminated by a process of adjustment during the course of tabulation. Throughout this report, all data for the 10 New Hampshire cities and Marquette have been adjusted in accordance with the procedure set forth in appendix G of Bureau of Labor Statistics Bulletins Nos. 636, 637, and 639 to 641, inclusive. In Modesto and Reno, since the ruling of contact only through the chief earner was followed in scheduling, the data could be tabulated without adjustment.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Williams, Faith M., and Hansen, Alice C.: Money Disbursements of Wage Earners and Clerical Workers, 1934-36 U.S. Department of Labor. Bureau of Labor Statistics. Bulls. No. 636 through 641.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Intercity Differences in Costs of Living, March 1935, 59 Cities, Works Progress Administration, Research Monograph XII, 1937.
    $2^{2}$ "Differences in living costs in northern and southern Cities," Monthly Labor Review, July 1939 (p. 22), U. S. Department of Labor.

[^2]:    ${ }^{2}$ The importance of obtaining data on the consumer purchases of higher-selaried clerical workers, professional workers, managers, and officials, and those in business for themselves was generally recognized at the time when the study was begun, but the limited funds then available made it necessary to confine this investigation to the groups for which the Bureau's cost-of-living index is computed. Later, in 1936, the Bureau of Labor Statistics undertook a study of consumer purchases which covers all economic groups, in 32 different cities. Funds were allotted to the project by the Works Progress Administration. At the same time a coordinated study was undertaken by the Bureau of Home Economics in 66 farm counties, in 140 villages, and in 19 small cities. Both of these investigations were made in cooperation with the National Resources Committee and the Central Statistical Board.
    ${ }^{4}$ The study of the living of single individuals presents a separate and distinct problem which will be covered by the Bureau at a later date. At the request of the Emergency Relief Board in Philadelphia, the Bureau of Labor Statistics undertook a study of the incomes and money dishursements of employed wage earners and clerical workers living as single individuals in that city in the year 1934-35. The results of that investigation will be published in a subsequent report.

[^3]:    ${ }^{5}$ For purposes of this study, changes in assets and liabilities are computed on the basis of changes which occurred as the result of the transier of property or funds. Changes in the market value of real estate or personal property remaining in the hands of the families studied are not included in these figures. For a more detailed explanation, see appendix A, notes on table 4.

[^4]:    - The figures just cited have been computed from the families' own statements about changes in their assets and liabilities and do not represent a balancing difference between reported incomes and reported current expenditures. (See appendix A, pp. 145-146.) Most families were not able to present a statement of total receipts and total disbursements which balanced exactly. No schedule was accepted for use from a family which could not supply a statement of total receipts and total disbursements which balanced within 5 percent.
    ${ }^{7}$ In a study among Federal employees carried on by the Bureau of Labor Statistics just prior to the initiation of this investigation, the schedule provided for securing information on the type of insurance covered by the premiums reported. It was found that very frequently informants were unable to provide the information and the question was not included in the present schedule. It is, therefore, impossible to estimate how much of the amount paid in life insurance premiums represents savings and how much was paid for insurance protection during the schedule year.

[^5]:    ${ }^{3}$ The amounts devoted to outright purchase of homes, down payments, or to payment of principal of mortgage were considered an investment for the purposes of this study, and do not appear as part of current housing expense.

[^6]:    Q See appendix $D$ for explanation of food-expenditure units.

[^7]:    10 Stiebeling, Hazel K., and Phipard, Esther F.: Diets of Families of Employed Wage Earners and Clerical Workers in Cities. U. S. Department of Agriculture. Circular No. 507. Washington, January 1939.

[^8]:    1 These are tentative allowances toward which to aim in planning practical dietaries. These allowances can be met with a good diet of natural food. Such a diet would also provide other minerals and vitamins, the requirements of which are less well known.
    ${ }^{2}$ Requirements may be less than these amounts if provided as vitamin A, greater if chiefly as the provitamin carotene.
    ${ }^{5}$ One milligram thiamin equals 333 international units; one milligram ascorbic acid equals 20 international units; and 1 international unit of ascorbic acid equals 1 U. S. P. unit.

[^9]:    ${ }^{11}$ stiebeling, Hazel K., and Phipard, Esther F.: Diets of Families of Employed Wage Earners and Clerical Workers in Cities. U. S. Department of Agriculture. Circular No. 507. Washington, January 1939, p. 100.

[^10]:    1 Nashua, Concord, and Berlin.
    Portsmouth, Keene, Dover, Laconia, and Claremont.
    ${ }^{3}$ Littleton and Conway

[^11]:    ${ }^{1}$ Less than $\$ 0.50$.

[^12]:    ${ }^{1}$ Less than $\$ 0.50$.

[^13]:    ${ }^{1}$ Less than $\$ 0.50$.

[^14]:    ${ }^{1}$ The number of equivalent full-time persons per family in 1 week is computed on the basis of number of persons eating meals at home during the week to which the data apply. If a person ate 21 meals during the week, he was counted as a full-time person for that week. If he ate less than 21 meals, he was counted as the appropriate decimal equivalent full-time person.
    ${ }^{3}$ Less than 0.05 cent.

[^15]:    1 The number of equivalent full-time persons per family in 1 week is computed on the basis of number of persons eating meals at home during the week to which the data apply. If a person ate 21 meals during the week, he was counted as a full-time person for that week. If he ate less than 21 meals, he was counted as the appropriate decimal equivalent full-time person.

    3 Less than 0.05 cent.

[^16]:    ${ }^{1}$ The aggregates on which these averages are based do not include gifts of food received, food produced at home, and meals received as pay, reported by 168 families but for which they could not estimate the value.

[^17]:    1 The aggregate on which these averages are based do not include gifts of food received, food produced at home, and meals received as pay, reported by 22 families in Marquette and 1 family in Modesto.
    ${ }^{2}$ Reno complete.

[^18]:    $200982^{\circ}-41-7$

[^19]:    ${ }^{1}$ Less than 0.5 cent.

[^20]:    ${ }^{1}$ Less than 0.5 cent.
    2 Detailed information not presented because of small number of families in this classification.

[^21]:    1 Less than 0.5 cent.

[^22]:    $200982^{\circ}-41-8$

[^23]:    ${ }_{1}$ The aggregates on which these averages are based do not include gifts of clothing reported received by 235 families, but for which they could not estimate the value.
    ${ }^{2}$ Includes only persons dependent on family funds for 52 weeks.
    ${ }^{3}$ Infants 1 to 2 years of age are included only if dependent on family funds for 52 weeks; those under 1 year of age are included regardless of number of weeks dependent on family funds.

[^24]:    ${ }^{2}$ Includes only persons dependent on family funds for 52 weeks.

[^25]:    ${ }^{2}$ Includes only persons dependent on family funds for 52 weeks.

[^26]:    ${ }^{2}$ Includes only persons dependent on family funds for 52 weeks.

[^27]:    ${ }^{2}$ Includes only persons dependent on family funds for 52 weeks.
    5 Less than 0.5 cent.

[^28]:    ${ }^{2}$ Includes only persons dependent on family funds for 52 weeks.

[^29]:    ${ }^{2}$ Includes only persons dependent on family funds for 52 weeks

[^30]:    ${ }^{2}$ Includes only persons dependent on family funds for 52 weeks.
    ${ }^{3}$ Infants 1 to 2 years of age are included only if dependent on family funds for 52 weeks; those under 1 year of age are included regardless of number of weeks dependent on family funds.

[^31]:    ${ }^{2}$ Includes only persons dependent on family funds for 52 weeks.
    ${ }^{3}$ Infants 1 to 2 years of age are included only if dependent on family funds for 52 weeks; those under 1 year of age are included regardless of number of weeks dependent on family funds.
    ${ }^{5}$ Less than 0.5 cent.

[^32]:    ${ }^{1}$ The schedule facsimile may be found in Bureau of Labor Statistics Bulls. 636, 637, and 639 to 641 inclusive.

[^33]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Bureau of Labor Statistics Bull. No. 641, appendix G, p. 384, footnote'8.

[^34]:    ${ }^{2}$ See appendix D, p. 173.
    ${ }^{3}$ A Socio-Economic Grouping of the Gainful Worker in the United States. Journal of the American Statistical Association, 1933, vol. 28, pp. 377-387.

    4 Works Progress Administration Circular No. 2: Occupational classification and code, July 1935; and Works Progress Administration Circular No. 2A: Index of occupations (alphabetical arrangement), occupational classification and code, September 1935.

[^35]:    ${ }^{5}$ Guests were defined as persons not entirely dependent on the family funds who received board and lodging from the family without money payment but from whom it was impossible to secure data on income and expenditures.

[^36]:    ${ }^{1}$ Based on estimated actual diets of urban wage earners and lower-salaried clerical workers prepared by the Bureau of Home Economics, U. S. Department of Agriculture.

[^37]:    ${ }^{1}$ By unit clothing expenditure is meant the annual amount spent for clothing per clothing-expenditure unit.

[^38]:    3 By unit expenditure is meant total amount of family expenditure per expenditure unit. The unit expenditure, which is used as synonymous with "economic level" and with 'amount spent per expenditure unit," is the sum of the following three items:

    1. Unit food expenditure, or total family food expenditure per food-expenditure unit;
    2. Unit clothing expenditure, or total family clothing expenditure per clothing-expenditure unit;
    3. Per capita "other" expenditure, or total family expenditure for all items, save food and clothing, per person in the economic family for 52 weeks.
[^39]:    ${ }^{3}$ This figure is not published in the Tabular Summary but the reader may compute it by dividing the average total family clothing expenditure for any group by the average number of clothing-expenditure units per family in that group.
    ${ }^{4}$ This figure is derived by dividing total family expenditure by total unit expenditure. The computation of this latter figure was explained in footnote 2, p. 169. Also see sample code sheet, p. 172.

