UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF LABOR Frances Perkins, Secretary

> BUREAU OF LABOR STATISTICS Isador Lubin, Commissioner

> > +

Statistics of Building Construction, 1920 to 1937

As Shown by Building Permits Issued

PART I

General Trend in Construction

PART II

Residential Building Construction, 1929 to 1935

ŧ

Prepared by DIVISION OF CONSTRUCTION AND PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT HERMAN B. BYER, Chief



Bulletin 650

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE WASHINGTON : 1938

For sale by the Superintendent of Documents, Washington, D. C. - - - Price 10 cents

Digitized for FRASER http://fraser.stlouisfed.org/ Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis

CONTENTS

PART I. GENERAL TREND IN CONSTRUCTION

Introduction	Page 1
Introduction	T
Trend in construction, 1921 to 1937:	
Expenditures and dwelling units	5
Families provided for, by type of dwelling unit	9
Construction in five leading cities	12
Prices of building materials, wages, and rents	13
Volume of residential construction	14

Tables

TABLE A1Estimated expenditures and families provided for in 257	
identical cities, 1921 to 1937	
TABLE A2.—Average cost per family of new dwellings in 257 identical cities, 1921 to 1937.	ſ
TABLE A3 Number and percentage of families provided for in 257	
identical cities 1021 to 1037	(
TABLE A4 Percentage of families provided for by different types of	•
dwallings in 257 identical sities by size of sity 1021 to 1027	14
Type A5 Fine cities loading in total orner ditures 1021 to 1027	11
TABLE AS.—Five cities leading in total expenditures, 1921 to 1937	13
TABLE AO.—Index numbers of building expenditures, material prices,	
union wages, and rents, 1921 to 1937	1:
TABLE A7.—Family-dwelling units provided in total urban area, January	
1936 to December 1937, by quarters	1
TABLE A8.—Estimated family-dwelling units provided by new construc-	
tion in urban areas during 1936 and 1937, by size of city	10
TABLE A9.—Estimated dwelling units constructed in the urban area of	
each State, 1936 and 1937	1
PART II. RESIDENTIAL BUILDING CONSTRUCTION, 1929 TO 1935,	
BY TYPE OF STRUCTURE, COST GROUPS, AND SIZE OF CITY	
Residential building construction:	
Purpose and scope of survey	2
Family-dwelling units provided, by type of structure and geographic	
divisions	2
Family-dwelling units provided, by cost groups and geographic divi-	_
sions	2
Family-dwelling units provided by cost groups and type of structure	2
Family-dwelling units provided, by cost groups and by size of sity	2
Family dwelling units provided, by cost groups and by \$126 of city	ე
Amendia Ottica control barrant	0 0
Appendix—Othes covered by report	3
III	

CONTENTS

Tables

	_
	Page
TABLE B1.—Family-dwelling units, by type of structure, in each geographic division 1929 to 1935	25
	20
TABLE B2.—Family-dwelling units, by estimated cost per unit, in each geographic division, 1929 to 1935	28
TABLE B3 — Family-dwelling units, by estimated cost per unit and by	
twoe of structure, 1929 to 1935	20
	00
TABLE B4.—Family-dweiling units, by type of structure and size of city,	
1929 to 1935	32
TABLE B5.—Family-dwelling units, by estimated cost per unit and size of	99
	99
TABLE B6.—Buildings and family-dwelling units in structures for which	
demolition permits were issued in 149 cities, by type of	
structure, in each geographic division, 1929 to 1935	35
TARLE B7Buildings and family-dwelling units in housekeeping struc-	
TABLE D1. Duratings and tamped within a most in non-activity solution	
tures for which demolition permits were issued in 149 cities,	
by type of structure and size of city, 1929 to 1935	36
TABLE B8.—Dwelling units provided compared with dwelling units	
demolished in housekeeping structures for which permits	
wave issued in 140 identical sities by geographia division	
were issued in 145 identical clues, by geographic division,	
	36

Bulletin No. 650 of the

United States Bureau of Labor Statistics

Statistics of Building Construction, 1920 to 1937

Introduction

Since 1920 the Bureau of Labor Statistics has been collecting data on building permits. These data, published annually from 1920 to 1928 and monthly from 1929 to the present, show the trend of construction as measured by the value of permits issued and are important basic material used in forecasting the probable trend and in estimating total construction. The monthly reports show the number of buildings, permit valuation, and families provided for in new residential buildings, additions, alterations, and repairs, and total building construction. Part I of this bulletin gives the trend of building construction as measured by the value of permits issued. For 1936 and 1937 estimates of the family-dwelling units provided in the total urban area of the United States are shown.

In response to the increasing demand for more detailed information on building construction, in 1935 the Bureau of Labor Statistics undertook the collection of data from the building permit records of more than 800 cities with funds made available by the Works Progress Administration. The Federal Housing Administration, the Home Loan Bank Board, and the Works Progress Administration cooperated with the Bureau in making this study. The building-permit survey covered the 7-year period 1929 to 1935.

Part II of this bulletin summarizes the data compiled in the survey and presents information concerning the number of family-dwelling units provided, classified by cost groups, type of structure, and size of city. The number of family-dwelling units in housekeeping structures for which demolition permits were issued are shown by similar classifications.

Part III of this bulletin presents a review of construction for 1936 and 1937 based on building permits issued in cities reporting to the Bureau. Tables are presented showing the number and cost of each type of building, such as one- and two-family dwellings, apartment houses, amusement buildings, churches, factory buildings, etc.

Digitized for FRASER http://fraser.stlouisfed.org/ Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis

PART I

General Trend in Construction

3

Ξ

Digitized for FRASER http://fraser.stlouisfed.org/ Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis

Trend in Construction, 1921 to 1937

Expenditures and Dwelling Units

Building permit data are available for 257 identical cities since 1921. Information concerning expenditures for the different types of building operations and for the number of families provided for is shown in table A1 for these 257 cities for the years 1921 to 1937, inclusive.

 TABLE A1.—Estimated expenditures for building construction, families provided for, and index numbers thereof, in 257 identical cities, 1921 to 1937

<u> </u>	Total build operation	ing s	New resider building	ıtial 5	New nonresid building	ential s	Additions, altera- tions, and repairs		
Year	Estimated expenditure	Index num- ber	Estimated expenditure	Index num- ber	Estimated expenditure	Index num- ber	Estimated expenditure	Index num- ber	
1921 1922 1923 1924 1925 1926 1927 1928 1929 1930	\$1, 837, 841, 665 2, 767, 782, 634 3, 398, 884, 406 3, 508, 266, 587 4, 028, 066, 479 3, 826, 927, 204 3, 478, 604, 263 3, 304, 699, 712 2, 933, 212, 041 1, 697, 724, 944	100. 0 150. 6 184. 9 190. 9 219. 2 208. 2 189. 3 179. 8 159. 6 92. 4	\$933, 868, 739 1, 614, 891, 486 1, 998, 393, 400 2, 038, 427, 392 2, 390, 390, 182 2, 222, 874, 645 1, 906, 003, 260 1, 859, 423, 751 1, 433, 715, 542 601, 269, 847	100. 0 172. 9 214. 0 218. 3 256. 0 238. 0 204. 1 199. 1 153. 5 64. 4	\$631, 167, 199 869, 512, 807 1, 065, 624, 238 1, 125, 290, 699 1, 300, 494, 326 1, 231, 785, 870 1, 135, 569, 986 1, 147, 796, 781 849, 386, 873	100. 0 137. 8 168. 8 178. 3 206. 0 200. 1 195. 2 179. 9 181. 9 134. 6	\$272, 805, 727 283, 378, 341 334, 866, 768 344, 548, 496 337, 181, 971 341, 314, 531 340, 815, 133 309, 705, 975 351, 699, 718 247, 068, 224	$100.\ 0\\103.\ 9\\122.\ 7\\126.\ 3\\123.\ 6\\125.\ 1\\124.\ 9\\113.\ 5\\128.\ 9\\90.\ 6$	
1931 1932 1933 1934 1935 1936 1936 1937	$1, 237, 449, 888 \\481, 490, 267 \\382, 389, 451 \\379, 227, 689 \\655, 307, 025 \\1, 042, 048, 114 \\1, 165, 731, 758 \\$	67. 3 26. 2 20. 8 20. 6 35. 7 56. 7 63. 4	426, 270, 111 103, 452, 079 91, 298, 433 76, 625, 105 211, 987, 850 472, 655, 095 475, 779, 614	45. 6 11. 1 9. 8 8. 2 22. 7 50. 6 50. 9	622, 830, 444 275, 788, 968 183, 065, 712 166, 360, 507 260, 118, 322 331, 608, 310 412, 559, 299	98. 7 43. 7 29. 0 26. 4 41. 2 52. 5 65. 4	188, 349, 333 102, 249, 230 108, 025, 306 136, 242, 077 183, 200, 853 237, 784, 709 277, 392, 845	69. 0 37. 5 39. 6 49. 9 67. 2 87. 2 101. 7	

[Revised. 1921=100]

	Popul	ation	Families provided for						
Year	Estimated population	Index number	Number	Index number	Ratio to each 10,000 of population	Index number adjusted to population			
1921 1922 1923 1924 1924 1925	37, 409, 471 38, 242, 673 39, 075, 875 39, 909, 077 40, 742, 279	100. 0 102. 2 104. 5 106. 7 108. 9	224, 545 377, 305 453, 673 442, 096 491, 032	100. 0 168. 0 202. 0 196. 9 218. 7	60. 0 98. 7 116. 1 110. 8 120. 5	100. 0 164. 5 193. 5 184. 7 200. 8			
1926 1927 1928 1929 1930	41, 575, 481 42, 408, 683 43, 241, 885 44, 075, 087 ¹ 44, 908, 283	111. 1 113. 4 115. 6 117. 8 120. 0	462, 208 406, 095 388, 678 244, 394 125, 322	205. 8 180. 9 173. 1 108. 8 55. 8	111. 2 95. 8 89. 9 55. 4 27. 9	185. 3 159. 7 149. 8 92. 3 46. 5			
1931 1932 1933 1934 1935	(2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2)		98, 178 27, 381 25, 879 20, 997 55, 522	43. 7 12. 2 11. 5 9. 4 24. 7	21.9 6.1 5.8 4.7 12.4	36.5 10.2 9.7 7.8 20.7			
1936 1937	(2) (2) (2)		115, 365 117, 394	51. 4 52. 3	25. 7 26. 1	42. 8 43. 6			

¹ Actual enumeration.

² No estimate made; ratios based on census of 1930.

87763°-38-2

 $\mathbf{5}$





The value of buildings for which permits were issued during 1937 in these 257 cities was greater than for any year since 1931, but was only 28.9 percent of the indicated expenditures during the peak year, 1925.

Indicated expenditures for residential buildings, while greater than for any year since 1930, were less than 20 percent of the 1925 level. However, during 1937 permit valuations for this type of structure were more than six times as high as during the low point of building construction in 1934.

The index number, on a 1921 base, of estimated costs of new nonresidential construction was greater than for any of the past 5 years, but less than a third of the peak year, 1925.

Expenditures for additions, alterations, and repairs reached the highest point since the peak year of 1929, being only 21 percent less than in that year.

During 1937 in these 257 cities, 26.1 families were provided for to each 10,000 of population. In 1925, 120.5 families were provided for per 10,000 of population, while at the low point, 1934, only 4.7 new family-dwelling units were provided for per 10,000 of population.

The average cost of the one-family dwellings for which permits were issued in these 257 cities during 1937 was \$4,352. This is \$80 less than in 1936, but is higher than for any other year since 1931. (See table A2.)

TABLE	A2.—Average	cost	per	family	of	new	dwellings	in	257	identical	cities,	1921
					τo	1937	(

[Revised.	This table does not show change in cost of erecting identical buildings, but does show change in cost of such buildings as were erected. Does not include land costs]

	Avera	ge cost per r	new dwellin	n g u nit	Index numbers of cost per new dwelling unit (1921=100)					
Year	All types of dwellings	1-family dwellings	2-family dwell- ings ¹	Multi- family dwell- ings ²	All types of dwellings	1-family dwellings	2-family dwell- ings ¹	Multi- family dwell- ings ²		
1921 1922 1922 1924 1924 1926 1926 1927 1927 1928 1928 1928 1929	\$3, 947 4, 016 4, 127 4, 361 4, 445 4, 445 4, 422 4, 449 4, 407 4, 565	\$3, 972 4, 259 4, 189 4, 342 4, 593 4, 593 4, 768 4, 830 4, 937 4, 919	\$3, 762 3, 568 4, 185 4, 350 4, 422 4, 422 4, 465 4, 368 4, 064 4, 011	\$4, 019 3, 950 4, 004 4, 395 4, 271 4, 103 4, 170 4, 129 4, 400	100. 0 101. 7 104. 6 110. 5 112. 6 112. 0 112. 7 111. 7 14.5. 7	100. 0 107. 2 105. 5 109. 3 115. 6 119. 9 121. 6 124. 3 123. 8	100. 0 94. 8 111. 2 115. 6 117. 5 118. 7 116. 1 108. 0 106. 6	100. 0 98. 3 99. 6 109. 4 106. 3 102. 1 103. 8 102. 7 109. 5		
930 1931 1932 1933 1933 1934 1935 1936 1936 1936	4, 385 4, 225 3, 705 3, 494 3, 564 3, 778 4, 073 4, 006	4, 993 4, 834 3, 943 3, 844 4, 059 4, 227 4, 432 4, 352	3, 924 3, 607 3, 250 3, 110 3, 329 2, 958 3, 056 3, 094	3, 857 3, 644 3, 011 3, 040 2, 716 3, 245 3, 752 3, 638	111. 1 107. 0 93. 9 88. 5 90. 3 95. 7 103. 2 101. 5	125. 7 121. 7 99. 3 96. 8 102. 2 106. 4 111. 6 109. 6	104. 3 95. 9 86. 4 82. 7 88. 5 78. 6 81. 2 82. 2	96. 0 90. 7 74. 9 75. 6 67. 6 80. 7 93. 4 90. 5		

¹ Includes 1- and 2-family dwellings with stores. ³ Includes multifamily dwellings with stores.

For 8 of the 17 years for which information is available, the average cost of one-family dwellings was lower than during 1937. During the period 1925 to 1931 and in the year 1936 the average cost was higher. The highest average cost for this type of dwelling was shown during 1930. The average cost of two-family dwellings increased slightly in 1937 over 1936, but was lower than during any other year except 1935. The average cost of family-dwelling units provided in apartment houses was slightly less than during 1936.

Families Provided for, 1921 to 1937

In these 257 cities more family-dwelling units were provided in 1937 than in any year since 1930. More one-family dwellings were erected than in any year since 1929. (See table A3.)

 TABLE A3.—Number and percentage of families provided for in different types of dwellings in 257 identical cities, 1921 to 1937

 [Revised]

Percentage of families provided Number of families provided for infor in-Year All types of dwell-Multi-Multi-1-family 2-family 2-family 1-family family family dwellings 1 dwellings dwellings dwellings¹ ings dwellings² dwellings 3 38, 858 80, 252 96, 444 94, 717 86, 133 1921 224, 545 130, 873 54, 814 58.3 17.3 24.4 377, 305 453, 673 442, 096 179, 364 207, 632 209, 578 225, 222 117, 689 149, 597 137, 801 179, 677 21.3 21.2 21.4 31.2 33.0 31.2 1922_____ 47.5 45.8 47.4 1923_____ 1924_____ 1925_____ 491, 032 45.9 17.5 36.6 462, 208 406, 095 388, 678 64, 131 54, 320 43, 098 40. 7 38. 3 13.9 13.4 11.1 45, 4 48, 3 53, 7 188, 074 210,003 1926__ _____ 155, 512 136, 907 98, 164 57, 318 210, 003 196, 263 208, 673 118, 718 52, 859 1927 1928_____ 35. 2 48.6 42.2 1929_____ 244, 394 27, 512 15, 145 40, 2 $\begin{array}{r}
 11.2 \\
 12.1
 \end{array}$ 1930_____ 125, 322 45.7 98, 178 27, 381 25, 879 38, 538 4, 453 9, 318 49.2 71.3 1931_____ 48, 330 11, 310 11.5 39.3 11.5 12.4 8.2 7.0 19, 528 14, 437 12, 605 3, 400 2, 124 1, 456 16.3 1932_____ 1933..... 55.8 36.0 20, 997 6, 936 21, 461 60, 0 33.0 1934..... 31, 039 1935_____ 55, 522 3, 022 55.9 5.4 38.7 1936_____ 115, 365 117, 394 59, 855 66, 216 5,258 7,372 50, 252 51.9 43.6 1937_____ 43,806 56.4 6.3 37.3

¹ Includes 1- and 2-family dwellings with stores.

Includes multifamily dwellings with stores.

During 1937, 117,394 family-dwelling units were provided in these cities. This compares with 491,032 in the peak year 1925 and with 20,997 at the low point in 1934. For the sixth consecutive year more than 50 percent of the new family-dwelling units were provided in single-family dwellings. Except for the years 1935 and 1936, however, the current year saw a larger proportion of new family-dwelling units in apartment houses than any year since 1931. The percentage of dwelling units provided in two-family dwellings increased slightly over the past year, but, even so, the percentage of families provided for in this type of dwelling was lower than for any year in the 17-year period except for 1935 and 1936.

The percentage of families provided for in the different types of dwellings is given in table A4, by population groups.

	Year	Totel number	Percentage of families provided for in-				
Size of city		of families provided for	1-family dwellings	2-family dwellings 1	Multi- family dwellings ²		
500,000 and over (14 cities)	1921 1922 1923 1924 1925 1926 1927 1928 1929 1930 1931 1932 1933 1934 1935 1936	112, 373 207, 828 257, 565 245, 297 280, 124 281, 172 236, 113 232, 681 139, 007 70, 199 61, 140 13, 487 15, 592 12, 478 32, 876 67, 486 66, 748	44. 2 35. 6 34. 2 35. 6 34. 3 22. 1 25. 8 22. 1 25. 3 32. 0 35. 8 27. 1 25. 3 32. 0 35. 8 44. 0 42. 6 41. 0 41. 4	21. 3 23. 6 24. 1 25. 3 18. 3 13. 9 13. 4 10. 7 10. 3 12. 2 11. 3 15. 5 8. 4 6. 6 4. 4 3. 4 5. 2	$\begin{array}{c} 34.5\\ 40.9\\ 41.7\\ 39.1\\ 47.4\\ 57.9\\ 60.8\\ 67.2\\ 64.4\\ 55.8\\ 53.4\\ 426.3\\ 54.2\\ 49.4\\ 426.3\\ 54.2\\ 49.4\\ 53.0\\ 55.6\\ 53.4\\ 53.4\end{array}$		
100,000 and under 500,000 (75 cities)	1921 1922 1923 1924 1925 1926 1927 1928 1929 1930 1931 1932 1933 1934 1935 1934	$\begin{array}{c} 75,073\\ 113,556\\ 129,138\\ 127,450\\ 140,112\\ 120,554\\ 110,688\\ 102,166\\ 70,664\\ 37,999\\ 25,045\\ 8,990\\ 6,847\\ 5,598\\ 15,240\\ 33,024\\ 33,$	$\begin{array}{c} 72.0\\ 61.5\\ 60.8\\ 63.0\\ 61.1\\ 60.7\\ 55.6\\ 52.9\\ 955.8\\ 59.0\\ 69.0\\ 83.2\\ 80.3\\ 80.9\\ 73.2\\ 63.0\\ 77.3\end{array}$	12.0 18.5 16.6 16.6 16.3 13.0 13.3 11.8 13.1 13.0 13.1 10.2 8.5 8.3 7.1 6.2 8.4	16. 0 20. 0 22. 7 20. 4 22. 6 26. 3 3 31. 1 35. 3 3 31. 1 35. 3 3 31. 1 28. 0 17. 9 6. 6 11. 2 10. 8 19. 7 30. 8 19. 7 30. 8 14. 3		
50,000 and under 100,000 (86 cities)	1921 1923 1923 1924 1925 1926 1927 1928 1929 1930 1931 1932 1933 1934 1935 1934 1935	26,060 39,818 47,916 49,778 49,812 43,155 42,898 38,804 23,365 10,884 7,703 3,008 2,097 1,738 5,099 10,036 11,173	74. 9 63. 7 61. 3 60. 0 61. 6 57. 5 55. 4 65. 3 69. 6 74. 5 84. 4 89. 2 87. 3 74. 6 89. 2 87. 3 73. 9 75. 9	$\begin{array}{c} 15.0\\ 18.5\\ 19.1\\ 14.8\\ 15.3\\ 14.7\\ 12.2\\ 10.7\\ 11.0\\ 9.7\\ 9.5\\ 8.0\\ 7.2\\ 7.0\\ 6.9\\ 8.4\end{array}$	10, 1 17, 8 19, 6 25, 2 23, 1 27, 8 35, 9 23, 7 20, 7 16, 0 7, 6 5, 6 7, 18, 7 19, 2 18, 7 19, 2 16, 7 19, 2 16, 7 19, 2 16, 7 19, 2 16, 7 19, 2 16, 7 19, 2 16, 7 19, 7		

TABLE A4.—Percentage of	families 1	provided	for by	different types	of	dwellings	in
257 iden	ical cities,	by size d	of city,	1921 to 1937	•	-	

[Revised]

¹ Includes 1- and 2-family dwellings with stores. ² Includes multifamily dwellings with stores.

10

		Totel number	Percentage of families provided for in-				
Size of city	Year	of families provided for	1-family dwellings	2-family dwellings	Multi- family dwellings		
25,000 and under 50,000 (82 cities)	1921 1922 1923 1924 1925 1926 1927 1928 1929 1930 1931 1932 1933 1934 1935 1936 1937	$\begin{array}{c} 11, 039\\ 16, 103\\ 19, 054\\ 10, 571\\ 20, 984\\ 17, 327\\ 16, 396\\ 15, 027\\ 11, 358\\ 6, 240\\ 4, 290\\ 1, 896\\ 1, 343\\ 2, 307\\ 4, 819\\ 5, 211\\ \end{array}$	68. 7 63. 8 61. 6 62. 2 60. 8 62. 4 63. 7 65. 8 72. 3 77. 7 86. 7 87. 7 92. 2 90. 3 90. 5 82. 0 90. 8	18. 2 17. 1 19. 5 20. 6 20. 8 18. 5 20. 8 18. 5 15. 9 13. 8 12. 1 9. 4 8. 8 8 7. 9 5. 7 6. 6. 2 3. 7 8. 8	13. 1 19. 1 18. 9 17. 2 18. 4 19. 1 20. 4 12. 4 4 20. 4 4 20. 4 15. 6 12. 9 5. 1 4. 4 2. 1 5. 1 3. 3 14. 3 3 5. 4		
Total (257 cities)	1921 1922 1923 1924 1925 1926 1927 1928 1929 1930 1931 1932 1933 1934 1935 1936 1937	224, 545 377, 305 453, 673 442, 096 491, 032 462, 208 406, 095 388, 678 244, 394 125, 322 98, 178 27, 381 25, 879 20, 997 55, 522 115, 365 117, 394	$\begin{array}{c} 58.3\\ 47.5\\ 45.8\\ 45.9\\ 40.7\\ 38.3\\ 35.2\\ 40.7\\ 49.2\\ 71.3\\ 55.8\\ 60.0\\ 55.9\\ 51.9\\ 56.4\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 17.3\\21.3\\21.2\\21.4\\17.5\\13.9\\13.4\\11.1\\11.2\\12.1\\11.5\\12.4\\8.2\\7.0\\5.4\\4.6\\6.3\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 24.4\\ 31.2\\ 33.0\\ 0\\ 31.2\\ 36.6\\ 45.4\\ 48.3\\ 53.7\\ 48.6\\ 42.2\\ 39.3\\ 16.3\\ 36.0\\ 33.0\\ 38.7\\ 42.3\\ 36.6\\ 37.3\\ 36.0\\ 38.7\\ 37.3\\ 38.7\\ 38$		

TABLE A4.—Percentage	of families	provided	for by	different t	types of	f dwellings	in
257 identical	cities, by si	ze of city,	1921 t	o 1937C	ontinu	ed	

In 1937 the population group containing cities having a population of over half a million was the only one where more new family-dwelling units were provided in apartment houses than in one-family dwellings. In this group 53.4 percent of all new family-dwelling units were provided in apartment houses and 41.4 percent in onefamily dwellings. By contrast, in cities having a population of between 25,000 and 50,000, 90.8 percent of the new family-dwelling units were in one-family dwellings and only 5.4 percent in apartment houses.

Construction in Five Leading Cities, 1921 to 1937

The value of expenditures in the five cities leading in building construction for each year, 1921 to 1937 inclusive, is shown in table A5.

TABLE	A5.—	Five	cities	leading	in	total	expenditi	ire for	building	construction,	each
				•	ye	ar, 19	921 to 193	7 [°]	-		

Year and city	Total expendi- ture	Year and city	Total expendi- ture
1921 New York	\$442, 285, 248	1929-Continued Detroit	\$100, 567, 497
Cleveland	86 680 023	LOS Aligenes	93, 020, 160
Los Angeles	82, 761, 386	1930	
Detroit	58, 086, 053	New York	410, 165, 789
	. ,	Chicago	85, 749, 167
1922 No No No	04F 150 401	Los Angeles	75, 356, 715
New York	045, 176, 481	Woshington	53, 141, 770
Los Angeles	121, 206, 787	washington	40, 020, 891
Philadelphia	114, 190, 525	1931	
Detroit	93, 614, 593	New York	362, 864, 076
		Chicago	66, 693, 556
1923	700 005 005	Washington	52, 588, 151
Chiengo	789, 205, 335	2 LOS Aligeles	41, 421, 685
Los Angeles	200, 133, 181	1 madelpina	35, 205, 210
Detroit	129, 719, 831	1932	
Philadelphia	128, 227, 405	New York	78, 851, 588
		Washington	59, 927, 302
1924 Nort York	826 042 604	Philadelphia	17, 862, 661
Chicago	308 011 150	Los Angeles	17, 785, 627
Detroit	160, 547, 723	San Flancisco	10, 400, 092
Los Angeles	150, 147, 516	1938	
Philadelphia	141, 402, 655	New York	86, 560, 877
1005		San Francisco	58, 198, 282
New York	1 020 604 713	Los Angeles	15, 396, 282
Chicago	373, 803, 571	St. Louis	13,067,666
Detroit	180, 132, 528	1 madelpma	12, 080, 917
Philadelphia	171, 034, 280	1934	
Los Angeles	152, 646, 436	New York	96, 661, 717
1926		Washington	20, 928, 631
New York	1,039,670,572	Los Angeles	14, 968, 164
Chicago	376, 808, 480	Boston	10, 176, 448
Detroit		DOSION	5, 001, 020
	140,093,075	1935	
105 Algeles	120,000,210	New York	153, 883, 860
1927		Washington	47, 216, 408
New York	880, 333, 455	Los Angeles	32, 519, 359
Chicago	365, 065, 042	Chicago	17, 839, 333
	140,000,047		11,000,000
Philadelphia	117, 590, 650	1936	
	,,,	New York	224, 066, 924
1928		Los Angeles	64, 104, 825
New York.	916, 671, 855	Wasnington	47,701,546
Detroit	323, 009, 048	Chicago	35 911 134
Philadelphia	112, 225, 865	(mougo	00,011,101
Los Angeles	101, 678, 768	1987	
-	, ,	New York	314, 604, 086
1929	0.00 000 010	Los Angeles	64, 614, 089
New YORK	942, 297, 219	Detroit.	
Philadelphia	104, 405, 545	Chicago	40, 294, 632
	101, 100, 010		1 00,001,220

. •

Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis

ŧ

Four of the five cities leading in building construction in 1937 showed gains in permit valuations over the preceding year. A decrease was registered in Washington, D. C., however. In Detroit, Mich., the estimated cost of buildings for which permits were issued was higher during 1937 than for any year since 1929; in New York, N. Y., and Chicago, Ill., expenditures were higher than for any year since 1931; in Los Angeles, Calif., higher than for any year since 1930.

Prices of Building Materials, Wages, and Rents, 1921 to 1937

The data shown in table A6 are compiled from the Bureau's monthly publications of wholesale prices of building materials, from annual publications of wage rates of union labor in the building trades, and from semiannual reports of rents in 32 cities.

TABLE A6.-Index numbers of building expenditures, material prices, union wages, and rents, 1921 to 1937

Estimated Union wage expenditures Wholesale rates per hour in the prices of building materials for building Rents (32 Year construction in 257 idencities)² building trades tical cities 1 100. 0 93. 7 103. 7 111. 9 1921_____ 100.0 100. 0 99. 9 100.0 100.0 102.9 105.6 109.3 150.6 111.6 105.0 1923 184.9 190.9 1924 109.8 1925_____ 219.2 104.4 116.2 102.7 97.2 96.6 97.9 92.3 208. 2 189. 3 123.8 1926 108.8 123.8 128.1 128.9 130.5 136.0 107.0 1927_____ 1928_____ 179.8 104.5 159.6 92.4 1929 102.0 1930_____ 99.3 81. 3 73. 3 79. 1 67.3 136. 4 94. 1 84. 4 72. 7 1931_____ 26.2 20.8 20.6 35.7 116.6 113.3 1932..... 1933_____ 88.5 87.6 114. 1 68.1 1935_____ 115.4 68 1 56.7 63.4 89.0 97.7 69.5 72.9 1936_____ 119.6 1937_____ 127.9

[1921 = 100]

Revised.
Cities covered in the Bureau's retail price surveys.

For the 17-year period under discussion, indicated expenditures for building construction reached a peak of 219.2 in 1925. Each of the following 9 years showed a decrease as compared with the preceding The trend turned in 1935, however, and the next 2 years each vear. showed an increase.

Wholesale prices of building materials reached a peak 2 years earlier than total construction. The years 1923 to 1928 were years of declining prices. A slight rise occurred in 1929, to again be followed by 3 years of declining prices. Prices moved up again sharply 87763°-38--3

in 1933 and 1934, declined slightly in 1935, 1936 showed a slight rise, and 1937 a very marked rise.

After declining in 1922 union wage rates in the building trades rose each year until 1931, when a peak of 136.4 was reached. The wages fell sharply during 1932 and 1933, but started upward again in 1934 and reached the highest point since 1931 during 1937.

Rents reached a peak of 109.8 during 1925, but during the following years the trend was steadily downward. The low point was reached during 1934 and 1935. The years 1936 and 1937 each showed increases.

Volume of Residential Construction, 1920-37

In addition to the trend of building construction as shown by the value of permits issued in the 257 cities, the Bureau of Labor Statistics now estimates the number of dwelling units provided for the entire urban area of the United States. Prior to 1936, reports of the Bureau dealt only with data from reporting cities. Since 1936, however, when the coverage of the building-permit inquiry was increased to include cities having a population of 2,500 or more, the Bureau estimates the number of urban family-dwelling units provided.

Dwellings Provided in Urban Areas

Dwelling units were provided in new housekeeping dwellings for 211,265 families in the urban area of the United States during 1937. This was an increase of approximately 12,000 units or 6 percent compared with 1936. These estimates are based on building-permit reports received by the Bureau of Labor Statistics from more than 1,500 cities having a population of approximately 59,000,000 or 85 percent of the entire urban population of the United States. The urban area of the United States, as defined by the Bureau of the Census, consists of 3,165 cities of 2,500 or more population with an aggregate population in 1930 of 68,955,000.

Reports on building permits are received by the Bureau from all cities having a population of 50,000 or over. The cities of 25,000 to 50,000 population reporting to the Bureau include nearly 90 percent of the total population of all cities of this size. For cities of 10,000 to 25,000 the corresponding coverage figure is about 75 percent, for cities of 5,000 to 10,000 it is approximately 45 percent, and for cities of 2,500 to 5,000 it is 35 percent.

The term "city," as used in this report, is synonymous with the census term "urban places," which is defined to mean in general "cities or other incorporated places having a population of 2,500 or more."¹

¹ There are, however, certain exceptions to this definition. See "Fifteenth Census of the United States." Population, vol. II, ch. 1.

The method employed in estimating the number of family-dwelling units provided in the population groups where the Bureau does not have full coverage was as follows: The relationship was computed between the percentage increase in population of the reporting cities between 1920 and 1930, and the number of dwelling units provided in these cities per 10,000 population. The rate of growth in the nonreporting cities between 1920 and 1930 was then used to arrive at an estimated rate of building per 10,000 population at which dwelling units in the nonreporting cities were provided. The number of dwelling units per 10,000 population so derived was then multiplied by the 1930 population of the nonreporting cities. The result shows the estimated total of dwelling units provided in nonreporting areas. The total number of dwelling units was apportioned by type of dwelling in accordance with the distribution shown in the reporting cities.

Satellite 2 and nonsatellite cities were treated as separate groups, in preparing the estimates by the above process. Each population group was also treated separately. Public housing was excluded in estimating for the nonreporting cities, but was, of course, included in the totals.

Totals for each geographic division, each population group, and for the United States were built up on the estimates of construction for satellite and nonsatellite cities by population group, within each individual State.

The above-described method, with slight modification, is the same as used by David L. Wickens and Ray R. Foster, of the National Bureau of Economic Research, in estimating nonfarm residential construction for 1936.³

Table A7, following, shows the number of family-dwelling units provided in the one-family, two-family, and multifamily dwellings in the urban area of the United States, by quarters, in 1936 and 1937.

While there was a substantial gain in the number of dwelling units provided during 1937 as a whole, a reversal of the trend during the third and fourth quarters resulted in a drop of 19 percent in the number of dwelling units provided in this period, as compared with the last two quarters of 1936.

Normally, building-permit figures are higher during the second quarter than during any other period of the year. In 1936, however, permits reached a peak in the third quarter, and even in the fourth quarter there were nearly as many dwelling units provided as in the second quarter. The number of dwelling units provided during the third and fourth quarters of 1937 was not only less than during the second quarter, but even 'lower than during January, February, and March.

² Satellite cities are urban places falling within the metropolitan areas of large cities.

³ See "Number of Dwelling Units Built in Urban and Nonfarm Areas, 1920–1936," Monthly Labor Review, January 1938, p. 254.

	Dwelling units provided in—								
Period	All types	1-family dwellings	2-family dwellings ¹	Multifamily dwellings ³					
1936 First quarter	31, 608 53, 660 62, 398 51, 307	21, 798 36, 360 38, 553 34, 546	1, 826 3, 038 3, 253 3, 046	7, 984 14, 262 20, 592 13, 715					
1937 First quarter	54, 814 64, 505 48, 098 43, 848	34, 192 46, 015 37, 566 27, 930	3, 399 3, 978 3, 239 3, 239	17, 223 14, 512 7, 293 12, 679					

TABLE A7.-Family-dwelling units provided in total urban area, January 1936 to December 1937, by quarters

¹ Includes 1- and 2-family dwellings with stores. ⁹ Includes multifamily dwellings with stores.

A comparison of the dwelling units provided during 1937 with 1936 is shown in table A8 by cities grouped according to size.

TABLE A8.-Estimated family-dwelling units provided by new construction in urban areas during 1936 and 1937, by size of city

Population groups	All t	ypes	1-fai dwel	mily llings	2-fa dwel	mily lings 1	Multifamily dwellings	
- • F ••••••	1937	1936	1937	1936	1937	1936	1937	1936
500,000 and over 100,000 and under 500,000 50,000 and under 500,000 25,000 and under 50,000 10,000 and under 25,000 5,000 and under 10,000 2,500 and under 5,000	69, 748 33, 846 15, 604 18, 226 33, 124 22, 715 18, 002	67, 486 35, 451 13, 878 16, 008 28, 393 21, 674 16, 083	28, 844 25, 963 11, 784 15, 481 28, 596 19, 147 15, 888	27, 671 22, 644 10, 547 13, 111 24, 876 18, 010 14, 398	3, 631 2, 979 1, 539 1, 262 1, 846 1, 387 1, 211	2, 326 2, 236 1, 254 1, 142 1, 722 1, 488 995	37, 273 4, 904 2, 281 1, 483 2, 682 2, 181 903	37, 489 10, 571 2, 077 1, 755 1, 795 2, 176 690
Total Percentage change from 1936_	211, 265 +6. 2	198, 973	145, 703 +11. 0	131, 257	13,855 + 24.1	11, 163	51, 707 8. 6	56, 553

¹ Includes 1- and 2-family dwellings with stores. ² Includes multifamily dwellings with stores.

Except for the 14 cities having a population of over half a million, the cities in all population groups provided more family-dwelling units in one-family dwellings than in apartment houses. Even in the cities having a population of over half a million, there was a decline in the percentage of families provided for in apartment houses. During 1936, 56 percent of all new dwelling units were in apartment houses in the 14 largest cities, whereas during the same period of 1937, 53 percent were in this type of structure.

In 28 of the 48 States, more dwelling units were provided during 1937 than during the preceding year. New York State, with 45,118 new family-dwelling units, provided more new family accommodations than any other State, followed in order by California with 32,311 and Texas with 14,424.

Table A9 shows the number of dwelling units provided in the entire urban area of the United States during 1936 and 1937, by States.

Geographic division and State	1937	1936	Geographic division and State	1937	1936
Total United States	211, 265	198, 973	South Atlantic-Continued.	0 677	0.070
New England	10.403	9,859	Maryland	2,077	2, 372
Connecticut	2,702	2,265	North Carolina	3,843	3, 358
Maine	544	497	South Carolina	1.578	1,840
Massachusetts	5,451	5,624	Virginia	2, 112	2,029
New Hampshire	410	358	West Virginia	1,704	1,396
Rhode Island	1,138	947	East South Central	8,058	9,446
Vermont	158	168	Alabama	1,973	2,071
Middle Atlantic	59, 301	58, 511	Kentucky	1,725	2, 157
New Jersey	5, 117	5,910	Mississippi	1, 598	1,713
New York	45, 118	40, 239	Tennessee	2,762	3, 505
Pennsylvania	9,066	7,362	West South Central	21, 408	19, 240
East North Central	30, 164	30, 320	Arkansas	916	942
Illinois	5, 550	6,442	Louisiana	2,432	2, 310
Indiana.	3,278	1, 961	Oklahoma	3, 636	3, 227
Michigan	9, 749	7,632	Texas	14, 424	12, 761
Ohio	8, 125	9, 270	Mountain	6,459	5, 657
Wisconsin	3,462	5,015	Arizona	646	558
West North Central	11, 885	11,774	Colorado	1,700	1, 343
lowa	1,754	1,749	Idaho	770	921
Kansas	1,766	1,648	Montana	686	715
Minnesota	3, 189	2,687	Nevada	343	301
Missouri	3,462	3,690	New Mexico	792	723
Nebraska	1,082	1, 217	Utan	1, 201	829
North Dakota	232	314	W yoming	321	267
South Dakota	400	469	Pacific	36, 636	31, 725
South Atlantic	26, 951	27,441	California	32, 311	28, 487
Delaware	209	389	Uregon	1,897	1, 140
District of Columbia	0,352	0,379	w asnington	2,428	2,098
Florida	7,436	8,068			

 TABLE A9.—Estimated dwelling units constructed in the urban area of each

 State, 1936 and 1937

The statement below and the preceding chart show the number of dwelling units provided in the entire urban area of the United States for the years 1920 to 1937. The data for the years 1920 to 1935 are estimates made by the National Bureau of Economic Research and those for the years 1936 and 1937 are estimates made by the Bureau of Labor Statistics. These estimates are based on reports of building permits received by the Bureau of Labor Statistics for the years 1920 to 1937.

	Dwelling units provided		Dwelling units provided
1920	196, 000	1929	400, 000
1921	359, 000	1930	224, 000
1922	574, 000	1931	164, 000
1923	698, 000	1932	56, 000
1924	716, 000	1933	40, 000
1925	752, 000	1934	41, 000
1926	681, 000	1935	106, 000
1927	6 43, 000	1936	199, 000
1928	594, 000	1937	211, 000



18

In each of the years 1936 and 1937 approximately as many dwelling units were built in the urban areas of the United States as during 1920. The rate of building in 1936 and 1937 was about five times as great as during 1933 and 1934, the years when building reached its lowest point. The 1937 rate of construction, however, was only slightly more than one-fourth as much as during the peak years of 1924 and 1925.

It is the intention of the Bureau of Labor Statistics to issue these estimates of dwelling units provided in the urban area of the United States, each quarter, in the future. The Bureau also hopes, in the very near future, to continue the series inaugurated and published by the National Bureau of Economic Research⁴ by making dollarvolume estimates of construction in the urban areas. Within another year the Bureau's expanded coverage in the field of building-permit reporting should permit estimates on both dwelling units and dollar volume for the entire nonfarm area of the United States.

⁴ See footnote 3, p. 15.

Digitized for FRASER http://fraser.stlouisfed.org/ Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis

PART II

Residential Building Construction, 1929 to 1935 Type of Structure, Cost Groups, and Size of City

 $\mathbf{21}$

Digitized for FRASER http://fraser.stlouisfed.org/ Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis

Residential Building Construction

Purpose and Scope of Survey¹

The data on building permits compiled monthly by the Bureau of Labor Statistics and summarized for the period 1921 to 1937 in Part I of this bulletin, give a very satisfactory picture of the general trend of building construction in the larger cities of the United States. However, to meet the needs of those immediately concerned with housing programs, such as chambers of commerce, real estate boards, city planning commissions, local building authorities, and various other governmental and quasi governmental agencies, more extended information has long been desired regarding such residential construction characteristics as the type of structure, construction materials, number of rooms, and estimated costs for family-dwelling units. Moreover, until quite recently, the Bureau's reports covered only the larger cities, and there was question whether the experience of the smaller urban communities was the same as that of the larger cities.

In the effort to supply such of this additional information as was available, the Bureau of Labor Statistics in cooperation with the Federal Housing Administration, the Home Loan Bank Board, and the Works Progress Administration made a special study of the building-permit records in 813 cities, representing about 83 percent of all cities in the United States with a population of 10,000 or more. For new residential construction, information was obtained on the number of family-dwelling units provided in each building for which a permit was issued, the permit valuation of each structure, the type of structure, the type of material used in the construction of the building, the cost per dwelling unit, the number of rooms per family-dwelling unit, and the cost per room. Similar data were obtained for demolished buildings.²

¹General offices for the study were in Washington, D. C., under the immediate direction of Dr. Arthur L. Rayhawk. The regional office in Boston, Mass., was under the supervision of Arno Osterhaus; Trenton, N. J., John L. Kelly, Jr.; Washington, D. C., Walter W. Schneider; Indianapolis, Ind., Herbert A. Bornhoft for collection of data and Herbert F. Krane for editing and tabulation; Nashville, Tenn., Leo J. O'Neill; and Salt Lake City, Utah, Daniel Feins. The personnel of the project with the exception of the administrative staff was furnished by the Works Progress Administration from its rolls as a part of the program to provide employment. The funds for carrying out the work were also supplied by the Works Progress Administration.

^a To obtain similar data on new residential construction and demolitions for the years 1936-38, the Bureau is conducting another survey. In addition to bringing the present report up to date, this survey will furnish information on: (1) Building cycles previous to the World War; (2) dwelling units provided for the years 1936 to 1938 in nonincorporated areas falling within the metropolitan areas of cities having a population of 50,000 or over; and (3) permit valuations compared with contract prices and selling prices of dwellings.

The detailed information thus compiled is being published separately for each of the 365 cities with a population of 25,000 or over covered by the study. This report summarizes the data compiled on type of structure and cost groups, by size of city and geographical regions, for the 286 cities ³ of 25,000 population or more, for which the tabulation was completed at the time this summary report was prepared. The 1930 census shows 377 cities in this population group, but the Bureau was able to obtain data from only 354 cities, as in some cities information was not available, either because permits were not required or records had been destroyed.

Data on demolitions by type of structure, geographic divisions, and size of city are shown for 149 cities, the total number of cities of 25,000 and over for which data were available.³ In many places the building code did not require permits for demolition work, and therefore no information on this point was available.

Data on new residential construction and on demolitions were collected directly from municipal building-permit records. The cost figures shown in this report are estimates made by prospective builders when applying for permits to build. The figures cover the cost of erecting the building only, and do not include land and other costs. Types of structure are based on the number of family-dwelling units provided. Material classifications refer primarily to the exterior materials used on the building. Local building permits do not cover public residential construction.

Family-Dwelling Units Provided, by Type of Structure and Geographic Divisions

During the 7 years 1929 to 1935, building permits were issued in the 286 cities covered by this report for structures providing 539,104 family-dwelling units (see table B1). Nearly one-half of these dwelling units were provided in one-family detached houses; more than one-fourth were in apartment houses for five or more families without commercial units; and approximately one-twelfth were in two-family, two-decker houses.

In all geographic divisions with the exception of the Middle Atlantic States, single-family detached houses provided more than one-half of all new family-dwelling units. In the Middle Atlantic division only 22.2 percent of the new dwellings were in one-family detached houses, and one-half were in apartment buildings providing for five or more families and having no space for commercial purposes. One-fourth of all family-dwelling units provided in the Mountain and Pacific geographic divisions were in apartment houses providing for five or more families without commercial units.

³ For a list of the cities covered by this summary, see pp. 37-42.

						-				
There a fighter store	All div (286 ci	isions ties)	New England (53 cities)		Mid Atla (54 ci	dle ntic ties)	East l Cen (66 ci	Iorth tral ties)West Nor Central (19 cities)		North tral ties)
Type of structure	Num- ber	Per- cent- age	Num- ber	Per- cent- age	Num- ber	Per- cent- age	Num- ber	Per- cent- age	Num- ber	Per- cent- age
All types	539, 104	100. 0	36, 226	100. 0	174, 545	100. 0	101, 393	100. 0	32, 467	100. 0
1-family, detached 2-family, attached 2-family, side by side 2-family, 2-decker	255, 839 19, 699 17, 070 44, 998	47.5 3.7 3.2 8.3	21, 858 208 7, 002	60.3 .6 19.3	38, 737 14, 273 3, 060 13, 268	22. 2 8. 2 1. 7 7. 6	54, 969 233 1, 554 11, 922	54.2 .2 1.6 11.8	21, 991 7 838 1, 852	67.7 (¹) 2.6 5.7
I-and 2-family and com- mercial unit 3-family 4-family 3- and 4-family and com-	4, 744 5, 514 13, 588	.9 1.0 2.5	$212 \\ 1,116 \\ 476$.6 3.1 1.3	2, 267 1, 497 2, 364	1.3 .9 1.3	851 1, 845 2, 276	. 8 1. 8 2. 2	126 57 1, 492	.4 .2 4.6
5 or more family with- out commercial unit	1, 230 155, 928	. 2 28. 9	99 4, 819	. 3 13. 3	404 87, 143	.2 50.0	324 21, 122	.3 20.9	91 5, 727	.3 17.6
5 or more family and commercial unit	20, 494	3.8	436	1. 2	11, 532	6.6	6, 297	6. 2	286	. 9
There of standards	South A (37 c	Atlantic ities)	East f Cen (15 ci	South tral ties)	West (Cen (17 ci	South tral ties)	Moun (7 cit	ntain vies)	Pac (18 ci	ific ties)
Type of structure	South A (37 c) Num- ber	Atlantic ities) Per- cent- age	East & Cen (15 ci Num- ber	South tral ties) Per- cent- age	West & Cen (17 ci Num- ber	South tral ties) Per- cent- age	Moun (7 cit Num- ber	ntain ies) Per- cent- age	Pac (18 ci Num- ber	ific ties) Per- cent- age
Type of structure	South A (37 c Num- ber 41, 514	Atlantic ities) Per- cent- age 100. 0	East f Cen (15 ci Num- ber 10, 713	South tral ties) Per- cent- age 100.0	West i Cen (17 ci Num- ber 38, 887	South tral ties) Per- cent- age 100. 0	Mour (7 cit Num- ber 8, 969	ntain ies) Per- cent- age 100. 0	Pac (18 ci Num- ber 94, 390	ific ties) Per- cent- age 100.0
Type of structure All types 1-family, detached 2-family, side by side 2-family, 2-decker	South 4 (37 c Num- ber 41, 514 25, 196 4, 528 1, 740 1, 116	Atlantic ities) Per- cent- age 100. 0 60. 7 10. 9 4. 2 2. 7	East & Cen (15 ci ber 10, 713 8, 324 3 632 310	South tral ties) Per- cent- age 100. 0 77. 7 (1) 5. 9 2. 9	West ; Cen (17 ci Num- ber 38, 887 26, 001 38, 5, 404 2, 726	South tral ties) Per- cent- age 100.0 66.9 .1 13.9 7.0	Moun (7 cit Num- ber 8, 969 5, 560 43 646 86 36	ntain ies) Per- cent- age 100. 0 62. 0 . 5 7. 2 . 4	Pac (18 ci Num- ber 94, 390 53, 203 53, 203 53, 203 6, 766	ific ties) Per- cent- age 100.0 56.4 6 6.3.2 7.2
Type of structure All types	South 4 (37 c Num- ber 41,514 25,196 4,528 1,740 1,116 400 81 668	Atlantic ities) Per- cent- age 100. 0 60. 7 10. 9 4. 2 2. 7 1. 0 . 2 1. 6	East f Cen (15 ci) ber 10,713 8,324 3 632 310 55 15 336	South tral ties) Per- cent- age 100.0 77.7 (1) 5.9 2.9 .5 .2 3.1	West i Cen (17 ci ber 38, 887 26, 001 38 5, 404 2, 726 366 162 1, 740	South tral tites) Per- cent- age 100.0 66.9 .1 13.9 7.0 .9 .4 4.5	Moun (7 cit ber 8,969 5,560 43 646 36 42 22 120	ntain ies) Per- cent- age 100. 0 62. 0 .5 7. 2 .5 1. 3	Pac (18 ci) ber 94, 390 53, 203 574 2, 988 6, 766 419 699 4, 116	iffc ties) Per- cent- age 100. 0 56. 4 6 3. 2 7. 2 7. 2 4 7 4. 4
Type of structure All types	South 4 (37 c Num- ber 41,514 25,196 4,528 1,740 1,116 400 81 668 45	Atlantic ities) Per- cent- age 100. 0 60. 7 10. 9 4. 2 2. 7 1. 0 . 2 1. 6 . 1	East f Cen (15 ci ber 10,713 8,324 3 632 310 55 15 336 20	South tral ties) Per- cent- age 100.0 77.7 (1) 5.9 2.9 .5 .2 3.1 .2	West i Cen (17 ci 38, 887 26, 001 38 5, 404 2, 726 366 162 1, 740 53	South tral tites) Per- cent- age 100.0 66.9 .1 13.9 7.0 .9 .4 4.5 .1	Moun (7 cit ber 8,969 5,560 43 646 36 36 48 42 120 22	ntain ies) Per- cent- age 100.0 62.0 .5 7.2 .2 .4 .5 1.3 .2	Pac (18 ci) ber 94, 390 53, 203 574 2, 988 6, 766 419 699 4, 116 172	ific ties) Per- cent- age 100.0 56.4 .6 3.2 7.2 .4 .7 4.4 .2
Type of structure All types	South 4 (37 c Number 41,514 25,196 4,528 1,740 1,116 400 81 668 45 7,310	Atlantic ities) Per- cent- age 100.0 60.7 10.9 4.2 2.7 1.0 .2 1.6 .1 17.6	East & Cenn (15 ci ber 10,713 8,324 3 632 310 55 15 336 20 968	South tral tites) Per- cent- age 100.0 77.7 (1) 5.9 2.9 .5 2.2 3.1 .2 3.1 .2 9.0	West f Cen (17 ci 38, 887 26, 001 38 5, 404 2, 726 366 162 1, 740 53 2, 323	South tral tites) Per- cent- age 100.0 66.9 .1 18.9 7.0 .9 .4 4.5 .1 6.0	Moun (7 cit) Num- ber 8,969 5,560 43 646 86 636 86 86 86 22 22,318	ntain ies) Per- cent- age 100.0 62.0 5.2 .4 .5 1.3 .2 25.9	Pac (18 ci)))))))))))))))))))	ific ties) Per- cent- age 100.0 56.4 .6 3.2 7.2 .7 4.4 .7 4.4 .2 25.6

 TABLE B1.—Family dwelling units in structures for which building permits were issued in 286 cities, by type of structure, in each geographic division

¹ Less than ¹/10 of 1 percent.

Residential structures were classified by type according to the number of family-dwelling units provided. If for example under the building code of a city "one-family" covered any or all of the three types designated in this study as "one-family detached," "onefamily attached," and one side of a "two-family, side by side structure," the plans filed with the permit were examined so that the particular building covered by the permit would be classified to agree with the definitions set up for this study.

A *family-dwelling unit* is any room or group of rooms designed as the living quarters of one family or household and usually has complete facilities for the comfort and convenience of the family.

Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis

Houses of the *one-family detached* type are single structures intended for occupancy by one family. These houses are detached from neighboring structures.

A single structure providing three or more family-dwelling units in a row without independent side walls is a *one-family attached* or row house.

Two-family, side by side, free-standing structures contain two family-dwelling units, side by side with a party wall separating them.

A two-family, two-decker building contains two family-dwelling units, one above the other with space or independent side walls separating the structure from other buildings.

When a building contains space for commercial purposes in addition to living quarters designated as one-family detached or attached, two-family, side by side or two-decker, the structure is classified as a one- and two-family and commercial unit structure.

Three-family, three-decker structures provide three family-dwelling units, each on separate floors. Space or independent side walls separate the building from other buildings.

A *four-family* house is a single structure with four family-dwelling units, usually arranged with two dwelling units side by side on the first floor and two directly above.

When houses of three- or four-family-dwelling units also have space for commercial purposes, they are classified as *three- and four-family* and commercial unit.

Apartment houses for *five or more families without commercial unit* provide dwelling units for a definite number of families (five or more) under one roof with only party walls between adjacent family units, each unit having its own set of facilities for the comfort and convenience of the family.

When this type of structure contains commercial units, it is classified as *five or more family and commercial unit*. This classification includes apartment hotels.

Family-Dwelling Units Provided, by Cost Groups and Geographic Divisions

One in every eight of the 539,104 family-dwelling units provided in the 286 cities covered by this report had estimated costs ranging from \$3,000 to \$3,500 and 1 in every 10, from \$4,000 to \$4,500. Of the total number of dwelling units provided, 309,209, or 57.4 percent, had estimated costs ranging from \$2,500 to \$5,500; 24.2 percent, less than \$2,500; and only 3.7 percent, \$10,000 or more.

In the New England, Middle Atlantic, East North Central, and South Atlantic geographic divisions, approximately one-fourth of the family-dwelling units provided cost \$5,500 or more. Estimated costs per unit were noticeably higher in these 4 divisions than in any of the others where 1 in every 10 units or less cost \$5,500 or over. In each of three divisions (New England, Middle Atlantic, and East North Central) dwelling units with estimated costs of less than \$2,500 accounted for less than 16 percent of the total, while in the East South Central and West South Central States 60.0 percent and 58.9 percent, respectively, had estimated costs of under \$2,500.

In the New England States there was a higher proportion (6.5 percent) of dwellings costing \$10,000 or more, and a lower proportion (8.7 percent) of those costing less than \$2,500 than in any other division. Approximately two-thirds of the units in this area cost between \$2,500 and \$5,500.

Nearly 7 in every 10 dwelling units provided in the Middle Atlantic States ranged in cost from \$2,500 to \$5,500, the largest proportion in this cost range for any of the geographic divisions. Except for the New England division, the percentage (10.6) of units costing less than \$2,500 in the Middle Atlantic was lower than in all others.

Sixty percent of the dwelling units provided in the East North Central division ranged in cost from \$2,500 to \$5,500. The number of family-dwelling units in this area costing less than \$2,500 was 15.3 percent of the total.

Three of every ten dwelling units in the West North Central States cost less than \$2,500, and 90.1 percent of the total number provided during the 7-year period in this division cost less than \$5,500.

In the South Atlantic division the largest percentage reported for any of the cost classes was 10.4 percent for dwelling units costing under \$1,000. While 77.4 percent of all the dwelling units provided in this geographic division had estimated costs of less than \$5,500, the division had the largest proportion (7.1 percent) costing \$7,500 to \$10,000 and the second largest (4.7 percent) costing \$10,000 or more.

Over one-fourth of the dwelling units provided in the East South Central States cost less than \$1,000. For this division there was a decided concentration of dwelling units in the lowest cost classes, 60 percent having estimated costs of less than \$2,500, and 91.8 percent less than \$5,500.

As in the South Atlantic and East South Central divisions, the West South Central States had a high percentage (25.7) of the total number of dwellings in the group costing under \$1,000. Dwelling units in the lower cost groups were as common in the West South Central States as in the East South Central, 58.9 percent costing under \$2,500 while 92.4 percent cost less than \$5,500.

In both the Mountain and Pacific geographic divisions 4 in every 10 units cost less than \$2,500, while one-half of the units provided cost \$2,500 to \$5,500. Major concentrations of units (from 10.2 to 14.2 percent) were reported in three cost groups between \$2,000 and \$3,500 in each of these divisions. The fourth major group (12.1 percent) in the Mountain States was in the \$4,000 to \$4,500 class, while in the Pacific States it was the \$1,500 to \$2,000 class (11.6 percent).

The number of family-dwelling units for which permits were issued in 286 cities, by estimated cost per unit in each geographic division, for the period 1929 to 1935, is shown in table B2.

 TABLE B2.—Family-dwelling units in structures for which building permits were issued in 286 cities, by estimated cost per unit, in each geographic division, 1929 to 1935

Estimated cost per	All divisions (286 cities)		New England (53 cities)		Middle tic (54	Atlan- cities)	East 1 Centr citi	North al (66 es)	West North Central (19 cities)	
family-dwelling unit	Num- ber	Per- cent- age	Num- ber	Per- cent- age	Num- ber	Per- cent- age	Num- ber	Per- cent- age	Num- ber	Per- cent- age
Total	539 , 1 04	100. 0	36, 226	100. 0	174, 545	100. 0	101, 393	100.0	32, 467	100.0
\$25,000 and over	2, 581	.5	386	1.1	1, 355	.8	304	.3	48	(1)
\$22,500-\$24,999	382	.1	37	.1	185	.1	61	.1	9	(1)
\$20,000-\$22,499	1, 283	.2	163	.5	496	.3	316	.3	25	.1
\$17,500-\$19,999	1, 087	.2	129	.4	478	.3	198	.2	48	.1
\$15,000-\$17,499	2, 637	.5	277	.8	1, 081	.6	483	.5	123	.4
\$12,500-\$14,999	2, 934	.5	$237 \\ 1,063 \\ 129 \\ 418 \\ 289$.7	1, 262	.7	720	.7	98	.3
\$10,000-\$12,499	8, 908	1.7		2.9	2, 742	1.6	1, 984	2.0	336	1.0
\$9,500-\$9,999	1, 495	.3		.4	595	.3	404	.4	42	.1
\$9,000-\$9,499	4, 044	.8		1.2	1, 371	.8	983	1.0	124	.4
\$8,500-\$8,999	3, 471	.6		.8	1, 600	.9	913	.9	77	.2
\$8,000-\$8,499	7, 154	$1.3 \\ 1.2 \\ 2.0 \\ 1.6 \\ 4.1$	811	2.2	2, 081	1.2	1, 917	1.9	251	.8
\$7,500-\$7,999	6, 581		599	1.7	2, 235	1.3	1, 845	1.8	258	.8
\$7,000-\$7,499	10, 908		1, 047	2.9	4, 627	2.6	2, 757	2.7	345	1.1
\$6,500-\$6,999	8, 504		785	2.2	3, 075	1.8	2, 757	2.7	293	.9
\$6,000-\$6,499	22, 313		2, 409	6.6	9, 390	5.4	5, 560	5.5	712	2.2
\$5,500-\$5,999	14, 976	2.8	1, 387	3.8	5, 922	3.4	4, 230	4.2	465	1.4
\$5,000-\$5,499	45, 617	8.5	4, 285	11.8	17, 803	10.2	11, 524	11.4	2, 042	6.3
\$4,500-\$4,999	32, 137	6.0	2, 988	8.2	12, 754	7.3	8, 149	8.0	1, 684	5.2
\$4,000-\$4,499	55, 546	10.3	5, 477	15.1	20, 767	11.9	11, 727	11.6	3, 672	11.3
\$3,500-\$3,999	53, 374	9.9	3, 701	10.2	22, 116	12.7	9, 343	9.2	3, 636	11.2
\$3,000-\$3,499	70, 187	13.0	3, 811	10.5	28, 035	16, 1	12, 266	12.0	5, 058	15.6
\$2,500-\$2,999	52, 348	9.7	2, 598	7.2	15, 895	9, 1	7, 382	7.3	3, 714	11.4
\$2,000-\$2,499	44, 687	8.3	1, 429	3.9	10, 497	6, 0	5, 975	5.9	3, 394	10.5
\$1,500-\$1,999	32, 276	6.0	629	1.7	5, 434	3, 1	4, 023	4.0	2, 844	8.8
\$1,000-\$1,499	23, 250	4.3	412	1.1	1, 446	, 8	2, 888	2.8	1, 393	4.3
Under \$1,000	30, 424	5.6	730	2.0	1, 303	.7	2, 684	2.6	1, 776	5.5

¹Less than ¹/10 of 1 percent.

Estimated cost per	South Atlantic (37 cities)		East South Central (15 cities)		West South Central (17 cities)		Mour (7 cit	ntain ties)	Pac (18 ci	Pacific 18 cities)	
family-dwelling unit	Num- ber	Per- cent- age	Num- ber	Per- cent- age	Num- ber	Per- cent- age	Num- ber	Per- cent- age	Num- ber	Per- cent- age	
Total	41, 514	100. 0	10, 713	100.0	38, 887	100. 0	8, 969	100. 0	94, 390	100. 0	
\$25,000 and over \$22,500-\$24,999 \$20,000-\$22,499 \$17,500-\$19,999 \$15,000-\$17,499	$ \begin{array}{r} 139 \\ 37 \\ 61 \\ 76 \\ 203 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} .3 \\ .1 \\ .1 \\ .2 \\ .5 \\ .5 $	9 1 12 7 25	(1)	$ \begin{array}{r} 101 \\ 25 \\ 44 \\ 34 \\ 125 \end{array} $.3 .1 .1 .1 .3	$21 \\ 2 \\ 14 \\ 9 \\ 40$	(1) (1) (2) (1) (1) (2) (1) (1) (2) (1) (2) (1) (2) (1) (2) (1) (2) (1) (2) (1) (2) (1) (2) (1) (2) (1) (2) (1) (2)	$218 \\ 25 \\ 152 \\ 108 \\ 280$	(1) (1) .2 .1 .3	
\$12,500-\$14,999 \$10,000-\$12,499 \$9,500-\$9,999 \$9,000-\$9,499 \$8,500-\$8,999	$200 \\ 1,234 \\ 113 \\ 657 \\ 264$.5 3.0 .3 1.6 .6	$24 \\ 118 \\ 7 \\ 28 \\ 16$.2 1.1 .1 .3 .1	97 325 61 116 85	.2 .8 .2 .3 .2	28 139 4 47 18	.3 1.5 $(^1)$.5 .2	268 967 140 300 209	.3 1.0 .1 .3 .2	
\$8,000-\$8,499 \$7,500-\$7,999 \$7,000-\$7,499 \$6,500-\$6,999 \$6,000-\$6,499	1, 185 689 871 602 1, 777	$2.9 \\ 1.7 \\ 2.1 \\ 1.4 \\ 4.3$	87 82 92 66 215	.8 .8 .9 .6 2.0	238 262 306 233 572	.6 .7 .8 .6 1.5	91 32 159 43 252	1.0 .4 1.8 .5 2.8	493 579 704 650 1, 426	.5 .6 .8 .7 1.5	
\$5,500-\$5,999 \$5,000-\$5,499 \$4,500-\$4,999 \$4,000-\$4,499 \$3,500-\$3,999	1, 263 3, 437 1, 619 2, 714 2, 764	3.0 8.3 3.9 6.5 6.7	83 489 239 751 420	.8 4.6 2.2 7.0 3.9	$\begin{array}{r} 302\\ 2,100\\ 924\\ 1,580\\ 1,820\end{array}$.8 5.4 2.4 4.1 4.7	$68\\680\\219\\1,083\\652$.8 7.6 2.4 12.1 7.3	1, 256 3, 257 3, 561 7, 775 8, 922	1.3 3.5 3.8 8.2 9.5	
\$3,000-\$3,499. \$2,500-\$2,999. \$2,000-\$2,499. \$1,500-\$1,999. \$1,000-\$1,499	3,921 4,099 3,993 2,792 2,497	9.4 9.9 9.6 6.7 6.0	896 613 1, 357 894 1, 334	8.4 5.7 12.7 8.3 12.4	2, 984 3, 594 4, 099 4, 096 4, 746	7.7 9.2 10.5 10.5 12.2	919 1, 011 1, 232 635 715	10. 2 11. 3 13. 8 7. 1 8. 0	12, 297 13, 442 12, 711 10, 929 7, 819	13.0 14.2 13.5 11.6 8.3	
Under \$1,000	4, 307	10.4	2, 848	26.6	10, 018	25. 7	856	9.5	5, 902	6.3	

 TABLE B2.—Family-dwelling units in structures for which building permits were issued in 286 cities, by estimated cost per unit, in each geographic division, 1929 to 1935—Continued

¹ Less than ¹/₁₀ of 1 percent.

Family-Dwelling Units Provided, by Cost Groups and Type of Structure

The highest percentage (10.8) of one-family detached houses in the 286 cities covered by this report cost \$4,000 to \$4,500, while the greatest number of single-family row houses, roughly one-fifth of the total, had estimated costs of \$5,000 to \$5,500. There was a marked concentration in the lower cost groups of dwelling units in two-family, side by side houses, approximately two-thirds of the dwelling units having estimated costs of less than \$3,000. Over half of the dwelling

units in two-family, two-decker buildings cost from \$2,500 to \$4,500. The highest percentage of units in any of the cost classes for threefamily, three-decker structures and apartment houses providing for five or more families without space for commercial units was in the \$3,000 to \$3,500 class. More of the dwelling units in four-family structures had estimated costs between \$2,500 and \$3,000 than for any other cost group. When residential buildings also provided space for commercial purposes, the greatest number of units in oneand two-family and in three- and four-family structures cost from \$5,000 to \$5,500; and apartments for five or more families and commercial space, \$3,000 to \$3,500. Since the value of the building as shown on permits issued for residential structures containing commercial units covers dwelling units and store space, it was impossible to obtain the estimated costs of the dwelling units only. The estimated costs shown in table B3 are based on the estimated cost of the structure by the prospective builder at the time the permit was applied for.

 TABLE B3.—Family-dwelling units in structures for which building permits were issued in 286 cities, by estimated cost per unit and by type of structure, 1929 to 1935

	All types			1-fai	nily			2-fai	mily			
Estimated cost per family-dwelling			Deta	Detached		ched	Side b	y side	2-decker			
unit	Num- ber	Per- cent- age										
Total	539, 104	100.0	255, 839	100.0	19, 699	100.0	17,070	100.0	44, 998	100.0		
\$25,000 and over	2, 581	. 5	1, 614	. 6	8.	(1)	4	(1)	10	(1)		
\$22,500-\$24,999	382	1.	244	. I			4	1 (2)				
\$20,000-\$22,499	1,200	.4	740				0		10			
\$15,000-\$19,999 \$15,000-\$17,400	2,007	• 4	1 973	. 3	7	<u>(1)</u>	19	⁽⁰)	10			
\$10,000~\$11, 1 99	2,001		1,010		•	()	10		10	(.)		
\$12.500-\$14.999	2.934	5	1.782	.7			14	1	68	2		
\$10,000-\$12,499	8,908	1.7	6,874	2.7	155	.8	82	5	222	.5		
\$9 500-\$9 999	1 495	- 3	1 104		5	ത്	10	l ï	64	i i		
\$0,000 \$0,000 111111	4 044		2 966	12	108	С'я	28		134	3		
\$8 500-\$8 999	3 471		1 907	1.7	33	. 2	30	.2	102			
40,000 40,000	0, 111		1,001	••		• • ~			102	• •		
\$8,000-\$8,499	7, 154	1.3	5.397	2.1	221	1.1	78	.5	276	. 6		
\$7,500-\$7,999	6.581	1.2	4, 109	1.6	128	7	96	. 6	450	1.0		
\$7.000-\$7.499	10, 908	2.0	7, 292	2.9	782	4.0	114	7	388	.9		
\$6.500-\$6.999	8,504	1.6	5,853	2.3	644	3.3	170	1.0	332	.7		
\$6.000-\$6.499	22, 313	4.1	13, 482	5.3	1.692	8.6	274	1.6	1.544	3.4		
+0,000 +0,00000000000000000000000000000	,		,		-,				-,			
\$5,500-\$5,999	14,976	2.8	7,891	3.1	752	3.8	208	1.2	814	1.8		
\$5,000-\$5,499	45, 617	8.5	26,898	10.5	4, 179	21.2	782	4.6	3, 374	7.5		
\$4,500-\$4,999	32, 137	6.0	17,203	6.7	1,505	7.6	484	2.8	3,840	8.5		
\$4,000-\$4,499	55, 546	10.3	27,497	10.8	3, 131	15.9	900	5.3	7,200	16.1		
\$3,500-\$3,999	53, 374	9.9	20, 693	8.1	2,331	11.8	1, 288	7.5	7,432	16.6		
\$3,000-\$3,499	70, 187	13.0	25, 083	9.8	1,956	9.9	1,688	9.9	6,956	15.5		
\$2,500-\$2,999	52, 348	9.7	15, 904	6.2	831	4.2	2,520	14.7	4,832	10.7		
\$2,000-\$2,499	44,687	8.3	14, 154	5.5	499	2.5	2,392	14.0	3, 312	7.4		
\$1,500-\$1,999	32,276	6.0	10, 360	4.1	169	.9	2,226	13.0	2,370	5.3		
\$1,000-\$1,499	23, 250	4.3	10,777	4.2	232	1.2	1,746	10.2	768	1.7		
Under \$1,000	30, 424	5.6	23, 294	9.1	331	1.7	1, 908	11. 2	470	1.0		

¹Less than ¹/10 of 1 percent.

						0 and themile		50	r more	family-	mily			
Estimated cost per family-dwelling	1- and 2 and co cial	-family mmer- unit	3-far 3-de	aily, cker	4-family		o- and 4-family and commer- cial unit		With commo un	out ercial it	Ar comm un	ıd ercial it		
	Num- ber	Per- cent- age	Num- ber	Per- cent- age	Num- ber	Per- cent- age	Num- ber	Per- cent- age	Num- ber	Per- cent- age	Num- ber	Per- cent- age		
Total	4, 744	100. 0	5, 514	100.0	13, 588	100.0	1, 230	100.0	155, 928	100.0	20, 494	100. 0		
\$25,000 and over \$22,500-\$24,999 _ \$20,000-\$22,499 _ \$17,500-\$19,999 _ \$15,000-\$17,499 .	59 9 37 49 87	1.2 .2 .8 1.0 1.8	3 9 6	.1 .2 .1	8 4 4	(¹) ¹ 	3 3 3 7 11	.2 .2 .2 .6 .9	860 115 385 186 501	.6 .1 .2 .1 .3	15 	.1		
\$12,500-\$14,999. \$10,000-\$12,499. \$9,500-\$9,999 \$9,000-\$9,499 \$8,500-\$8,999	115 307 47 96 78	2.4 6.5 1.0 2.0 1.6	$33 \\ 105 \\ 48 \\ 27 \\ 144$.6 1.9 .9 .5 2.6	24 4 24	.2 (1) .2	37 32 7 29 19	3.0 2.6 .6 2.4 1.5	838 838 108 629 898	.5 .5 .1 .4 .6	47 269 102 23 236	.2 1.3 .5 .1 1.2		
\$8,000-\$8,499 \$7,500-\$7,999 \$7,000-\$7,499 \$6,500-\$6,999 \$6,000-\$6,499	154 239 127 81 313	$\begin{array}{c} 3.2 \\ 5.0 \\ 2.7 \\ 1.7 \\ 6.6 \end{array}$	90 93 195 183 78	1.6 1.7 3.5 3.3 1.4	4 52 12 36 140	(1) .4 .1 .3 1.0	47 18 4 39 110	3.8 1.5 .3 3.2 8.9	599 1, 100 1, 535 781 3, 751	.4 .7 1.0 .5 2.4	288 296 459 385 929	1.4 1.4 2.2 1.9 4.5		
\$5,500-\$5,999 \$5,000-\$5,499 \$4,500-\$4,999 \$4,000-\$4,499 \$3,500-\$3,999	81 492 202 450 257	1.7 10.5 4.3 9.6 5.4	291 192 381 561 375	5.3 3.5 6.9 10.2 6.8	$100 \\ 292 \\ 360 \\ 700 \\ 1,264$.7 2.1 2.7 5.2 9.3	55 126 64 44 104	4.5 10.2 5.2 3.6 8.5	3, 540 7, 137 6, 869 12, 833 17, 523	2.3 4.6 4.4 8.2 11.2	1, 244 2, 145 1, 229 2, 230 2, 107	6. 1 10. 4 6. 0 10. 9 10. 3		
\$3,000-\$3,499 \$2,500-\$2,999 \$2,000-\$2,499 \$1,500-\$1,999 \$1,000-\$1,499	331 266 277 181 185	7.0 5.6 5.8 3.8 3.9	1, 014 690 390 264 210	18.3 12.5 7.1 4.8 3.8	1, 820 2, 888 2, 556 1, 572 1, 012	13.4 21.3 18.8 11.6 7.4	95 99 122 55 48	7.7 8.1 9.9 4.5 3.9	28, 640 22, 571 19, 430 13, 926 7, 214	18.4 14.5 12.5 8.9 4.6	2, 604 1, 747 1, 555 1, 153 1, 058	$ \begin{array}{c c} 12.7\\ 8.5\\ 7.6\\ 5.6\\ 5.2 \end{array} $		
Under \$1,000	224	4.7	132	2.4	712	5.2	49	4.0	3, 121	2.0	183	. 9		

TABLE B3.—Family-dwelling units in structures for which building permits were issued in 286 cities, by estimated cost per unit and by type of structure, 1929 to 1935—Continued

1 Less than 1/10 of 1 percent.

Family-Dwelling Units Provided, by Cost Groups and Size of City

The proportion of family-dwelling units provided in one-family detached structures in the 286 cities covered by this report varied inversely with the size of city (see table B4). Single-family detached houses constituted 78.8 percent of all units in cities with a population of 25,000 to 50,000. The proportion decreased to 30.6 percent in cities having a population of 500,000 or more. In contrast, the proportion of all units in structures housing five or more families without commercial units varied directly with the size of city. Only 5.7 percent of all dwelling units in cities of 25,000 to 50,000 population were provided in buildings of this type, 10.4 percent in cities of 50,000 to 100,000 population, 16.3 percent in the 100,000 to 500,000 population group, and 42.2 percent in the largest cities. In cities of all sizes, the third most common type of dwelling was the two-family, twodecker structure which provided from 6.5 percent to 9.5 percent of the total.

	Total, all cities (286 cities)		500,000 and over (14 cities)		100,00 under 4 (72 ci	0 and 500,000 ties)	50,000 under 1 (83 ci) and 100,000 ties)	25,000 under (117 c	and 50,000 ities)
Type of structure	Num- ber	Per- cent- age	Num- ber	Per- cent- age	Num- ber	Per- cent- age	Num- ber	Per- cent- age	Num- ber	Per- cent- age
All types	539, 104	100.0	292, 439	100. 0	152, 249	100.0	48, 613	100.0	45, 803	100.0
1-family, detached 1-family, side by side 2-family, side by side 2-family, 2-decker 1- and 2-family and commercial unit 3-family, 3-decker 4-family 3- and 4-family and commercial unit 5 or more family with- out commercial unit 5 or more family and	255, 889 19, 699 17, 070 44, 998 4, 744 5, 514 13, 588 1, 230 155, 928	47.5 3.7 3.2 8.3 .9 1.0 2.5 .2 28.9	89, 519 17, 537 3, 530 27, 844 2, 714 3, 540 6, 396 513 123, 399	30. 6 6. 0 1. 2 9. 5 . 9 1. 2 2. 2 . 2 42. 2	96, 475 1, 514 9, 906 10, 410 1, 102 1, 431 4, 536 333 24, 831	63. 4 1. 0 6. 5 6. 9 . 7 . 9 3. 0 . 2 16. 3	33, 796 491 2, 010 3, 784 505 273 1, 624 231 5, 074	69.5 1.0 4.1 7.8 1.0 .6 3.4 .5 10.4	36, 049 157 1, 624 2, 960 423 270 1, 032 153 2, 624	78.8 3.5 6.5 .9 .6 2.3 .3 5.7
commercial unit	20, 494	3.8	17, 447	6.0	1, 711	1.1	825	1.7	511	1.1

 TABLE B4.—Family-dwelling units in structures for which building permits were issued in 286 cities, by type of structure and size of city, 1929 to 1935

Family dwellings in the \$3,000 to \$3,500 cost class predominated in all sizes of city groups with the exception of cities with a population of 25,000 to 50,000 where the highest percentage of dwelling units cost less than \$1,000.

Units with estimated costs of less than \$2,500 accounted for approximately one-third of the total in cities of 25,000 to 50,000 and also in cities having a population of 100,000 to 500,000. In cities of 50,000 to 100,000 population, one-fourth, and in the largest cities nearly onefifth of the dwelling units cost less than this amount. In each of the sizes of city groups the proportion of dwelling units costing under \$5,500 was high, ranging from 76.5 percent for cities with a population of 50,000 to 100,000 to 84.1 percent for the cities of 100,000 to 500,000.

Family-dwelling units in structures for which building permits were issued, by estimated cost per unit and size of city, are shown in table B5.

Estimated cost per	Total, a (286 c	ll cities ities)	500,00 over citi	0 and (14 es)	100,000 under (72 ci) and 500,000 ities)	50,000 under (83 ci	and 100,000 ities)	25,000 under (117 c) and 50,000 ities)
family-dwelling unit	Num- ber	Per- cent- age	Num- ber	Per- cent- age	Num- ber	Per- cent- age	Num- ber	Per- cent- age	Num- ber	Per- cent- age
Total	539, 104	100.0	292, 439	100. 0	152, 249	100.0	48, 613	100. 0	45, 803	100. 0
\$25,000 and over \$22,500-\$24,999 \$20,000-\$22,499 \$17,500-\$19,999 \$15,000-\$17,499	2, 581 382 1, 283 1, 087 2, 637	.5 .1 .2 .2 .5	1, 382 187 591 496 1, 133	.5 (¹) .2 .1 .4	513 89 301 243 719	.3 (¹) .2 .1 .4	330 69 241 213 483	.7 .2 .5 .5 1.0	$356 \\ 37 \\ 150 \\ 135 \\ 302$.8 .1 .3 .3 .7
\$12,500-\$14,999. \$10,000-\$12,499. \$9,500-\$9,999. \$9,000-\$9,499. \$8,500-\$8,999.	2, 934 8, 908 1, 495 4, 044 3, 471	.5 1.7 .3 .8 .6	$\begin{array}{c} 1,520\\ 3,658\\ 688\\ 2,005\\ 1,969\end{array}$.5 1.2 .2 .7 .7	694 2, 710 452 1, 114 824	.4 1.8 .3 .7 .5	435 1, 508 215 534 394	1.0 3.1 .4 1.1 .8	$285 \\ 1,032 \\ 140 \\ 391 \\ 284$.6 2.3 .3 .9 .6
\$8,000-\$8,499 \$7,500-\$7,999 \$7,000-\$7,499 \$6,500-\$6,999 \$6,000-\$6,499	$\begin{array}{r} 7.154 \\ 6,581 \\ 10,908 \\ 8,504 \\ 22,313 \end{array}$	1.3 1.2 2.0 1.6 4.1	3, 800 3, 434 6, 614 4, 608 13, 754	1.3 1.2 2.3 1.6 4.7	1, 717 1, 890 2, 435 2, 146 4, 744	1.1 1.2 1.6 1.4 3.1	852 722 898 961 1, 974	1.8 1.5 1.9 2.0 4.1	785 535 961 789 1, 841	1.7 1.2 2.1 1.7 4.0
\$5,500-\$5,999 \$5,000-\$5,499 \$4,500-\$4,999 \$4,000-\$4,499 \$3,500-\$3,999	$14,976 \\ 45,617 \\ 32,137 \\ 55,546 \\ 53,374$	2.8 8.5 6.0 10.3 9.9	8, 986 28, 016 18, 027 32, 245 32, 790	3.1 9.6 6.2 11.0 11.2	3, 314 10, 179 8, 089 14, 066 12, 942	2.8 6.7 5.3 9.2 8.5	$\begin{array}{c} 1,427\\ 3,984\\ 3,162\\ 4,774\\ 4,100 \end{array}$	2.9 8.0 6.5 9.8 8.4	1, 249 3, 438 2, 859 4, 461 3, 542	2.7 7.5 6.2 9.7 7.7
\$3,000-\$3,499. \$2,500-\$2,999 \$2,000-\$2,499 \$1,500-\$1,999 \$1,000-\$1,499	70, 187 52, 348 44, 687 32, 276 23, 250	13. 0 9. 7 8. 3 6. 0 4. 3	42, 590 29, 088 23, 913 16, 397 8, 705	14.6 9.9 8.2 5.6 3.0	18, 044 16, 063 13, 448 10, 834 9, 858	11. 9 10. 5 8. 8 7. 1 6. 4	4, 977 3, 854 3, 852 2, 505 2, 047	10. 2 7. 9 7. 9 5. 2 4. 2	4, 576 3, 343 3, 474 2, 540 2, 640	10.0 7.3 7.6 5.5 5.8
Under \$1,000	30, 424	5.6	5, 843	2.0	14, 821	9.7	4, 102	8.4	5, 658	12.4

 TABLE
 B5.—Family-dwelling units in structures for which permits were issued in 286 cities, by estimated cost per unit and size of city, 1929 to 1935

1 Less than 1/10 of 1 percent.

Family-Dwelling Units Demolished

Regulations concerning demolitions in the cities included in the survey varied considerably from city to city. In some cities, permits were definitely required for a demolition; in others, safety permits were required for the protection of nearby property and passers-by. Where no permit was required, information about a structure to be demolished was frequently entered on the permit for the new building which was replacing the demolished structure. Occasionally the only information concerning demolitions appeared on permits to obstruct sidewalks and highways during the razing. Field agents on the building permit survey were instructed to obtain whatever information was available concerning demolitions.

Data for demolitions of housekeeping structures in 149 cities with a population of 25,000 or more are included in this summary. The number of family-dwelling units contained was reported for most of the structures to be demolished, but for some they were not reported. When the number of dwelling units was known the buildings were classified by type of structure. Sometimes dwelling units were not reported, but sufficient information was given on the permit to justify classification of the structure as housekeeping. Houses under this category were known to contain at least one family-dwelling unit each, but possibly more than one. Counting these structures as one unit each and adding the number of family units reported by type of structure, the minimum number of units demolished, as indicated by permits issued, may be obtained.

In table B6 detailed information concerning housekeeping structures demolished is presented by geographic divisions. In the 149 cities for which demolition data were available, 105,376 familydwelling units, or 33 for each 10,000 population, were demolished.⁴ This ratio was highest in the Middle Atlantic division (50 per 10,000 population) and lowest in the West South Central (11 per 10,000 population).

Of the total number of dwelling units in housekeeping structures for which demolition permits were issued, nearly two-fifths were in apartments having five or more families without commercial units; more than one-fourth were in single-family detached houses; and a tenth of the dwellings were in two-family, two-decker buildings.

Of the 13,855 units razed in the New England division, 23.4 percent were in two-family, two-decker houses; 20.2 percent were in three-family, three-decker houses; 19.5 percent were in apartments housing five or more families without space for commercial purposes; and 15.3 percent were single-family detached dwellings. In all other divisions one or two types accounted for a large majority of the total dwelling units demolished. In the Middle Atlantic States almost two-thirds were in apartments for five or more families without commercial units. In the East North Central and West North Central States one-family detached houses accounted for 58.4 percent and 42.6 percent, respectively, while units in two-family two-decker houses in the same divisions were 21.4 percent and 38.2 percent of the total. Single-family detached dwellings accounted for 74.7 percent of the demolitions in the South Atlantic geographic division; 92.6 percent in the East South Central; 92.0 percent in the West South Central; 64.8 percent in the Mountain; and 88.7 percent in the Pacific. In only one of these latter five divisions did any other one type of structure account for a significant proportion of the total. In the Mountain States, 18.5 percent of all units to be demolished were one-family attached houses.

⁴ Demolished units per 10,000 population are based on the number of family units reported by type of structure.

									a	
Item	All divi- sions	New Eng- land	Mid- dle At- lantic	East North Cen- tral	West North Cen- tral	South At- lantic	East South Cen- tral	West South Cen- tral	Moun- tain	Pacific
Number of cities	149	39	25	20	8	20	7	11	4	15
(census of 1930)	31, 863	3, 467	12,012	5,098	2, 297	2, 814	1,248	1, 457	408	3,062
Demolished units per 10,000				·						
Structures demolished	33	40	50	17	27	21	19	11	26	19
Total	55, 943	6.744	17. 474	9.304	4.436	6.476	2,649	2,257	816	5, 787
Not reporting family	00,040				-,	.,	_,	_,		.,
units	6,765	780	348	2,390	259	1,588	412	731	11	246
Reporting family units.	49, 178	5,964	17, 126	6,914	4, 177	4,888	2, 237	1, 526	805	5, 541
Family units demolished,										1
by type of structure	105, 376	13, 855	59, 798	8,909	6, 176	5,687	2,325	1, 594	1,072	5,960
Percentage of family-dwell-			1				i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i			1
ing units demolished, by							}			
type of structure:										
1-family, detached	28.5	15.3	10.3	58.4	42.6	74.7	92.6	92.0	64.8	88.7
1-family, attached	7.3	1.0	11.7	0.1		6.4	0.1		18.5	
2-family, side by side	2.6	1.7	2.7	3.0	1.0	5.1	3.1	2.8	7.6	1.1
2-family, 2-decker	10.8	23.4	5.0	21.4	38.2	8.4	2.7	3.8	0.6	4.3
1-and 2-family and com-										
mercial unit	2.0	2.8	1.6	4.8	1.8	3.1	0.4	0.2	0.7	0.5
3-family, 3-decker	5.6	20.2	4.1	1.4	6.4	1.1	0.1	0.4	0.3	1.0
4-family	2.9	9.6] 1.1	5.7	5.1	0.5	0.7	0.2	1.7	2.2
3-and 4-family and com-									[
mercial unit	0.7	3.0	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.1			**	(4)
5- or more family with-	00.7	10.0	00.4							
out commercial unit	38.7	19. 5	02.4	4.4	4.2	0.5	0.3	0.0	4.9	1.5
5- or more family and	0.0	9 2	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.1			0.0	07
commercial unit	0.9	ə. 5	0.0	0.3	0.2	0.1			0.9	0.7
	1	11	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1

TABLE B6.—Buildings and family-dwelling units in housekeeping structures for which demolition permits were issued in 149 cities, by type of structure, in each geographic division, 1929 to 1935

¹ Demolished units per 10,000 population are based on the number of family units reported by type of structure. Less than 1/10 of 1 percent.

The number of housekeeping buildings and family-dwelling units in each type of structure are shown by size of city in table B7. The ratio of units demolished per 10,000 population moved directly with the city's In the cities having a population of 500,000 or more, 54.2 persize. cent were in apartments of five or more families without commercial units and 14.4 percent were single-family detached houses. In the cities ranging in size from 100,000 to 500,000, one-family detached houses accounted for 55.5 percent of the units demolished, and twofamily, two-decker structures for 12.5 percent.

One-half of the family-dwelling units demolished in cities with 50,000 to 100,000 inhabitants were one-family detached houses, while nearly one-fifth were in two-family, two-decker structures.

In the smallest cities included in the survey, 25,000 to 50,000, threefifths of the demolished units were in one-family detached houses and one-tenth were in two-family two-decker structures.

In table B8 the number of family-dwelling units provided and demolished in 149 identical cities are presented by geographic divisions for each year from 1929 to 1935, inclusive.

Item	Total	500,000 and over	100,000 and under 500,000	50,000 and under 100,000	25,000 and under 50,000
Number of cities Population in thousands (census of 1930) Demolished units per 10,000 population ' Structures demolished-total. Not reporting family units. Reporting family units. Family units demolished, by type of structure. Percentage of family-dwelling units demolished, by	149 31, 863 33 55, 943 6, 765 49, 178 105, 376	11 16, 863 41 25, 652 2, 249 23, 403 69, 227	50 10, 318 27 23, 402 3, 719 19, 683 27, 724	44 3, 035 18 4, 316 445 3, 871 5, 406	44 1, 647 18 2, 573 352 2, 221 3, 019
type of structure: 1-family, detached	28.5 7.3 2.6 10.8 2.0 5.6 2.9 .7 38.7 .9	14. 4 9. 8 2. 1 9. 5 1. 7 5. 4 2. 1 . 3 54. 2 . 5	55.52.43.112.52.46.24.61.69.81.9	$52.1 \\ 1.4 \\ 3.8 \\ 19.5 \\ 3.4 \\ 6.7 \\ 4.0 \\ 1.1 \\ 7.0 \\ 1.0$	59, 2 7, 0 6, 8 10, 0 2, 4 3, 3 3, 3 6, 8 , 7

 TABLE B7.—Buildings and family-dwelling units in housekeeping structures for which demolition permits were issued in 149 cities, by type of structure and size of city, 1929 to 1935

¹ Demolished units per 10,000 population are based on the number of family units reported by type of structure.

 TABLE B8.—Dwelling units provided compared with dwelling units demolished in housekeeping structures for which permits were issued in 149 identical cities, by geographic divisions, 1929 to 1935

Geographic division	Total	1929	1930	1931	1932	1933	1934	1935
All divisions (149 cities): New Demolished	473, 468 105, 376	181, 065 14, 609	100, 418 19, 247	81, 536 12, 830	22, 017 10, 119	22, 028 11, 987	17,932	48, 472 18, 934
New England (39 cities):	26 707	11 294	5 425	5 113	1 642	1 244	830	1 150
Demolished Middle Atlantic (25 cities):	13, 855	1, 720	1, 611	1, 739	1, 496	1,857	2, 941	2, 491
Demolished East North Central (20 cities):	199, 701 59, 798	9, 315	42,069 13,208	39, 445 7, 170	6, 771 4, 946	5, 617	8, 634 8, 841	19, 143 10, 701
New Demolished West North Central (8 cities):	56, 421 8, 909	28, 570 802	11, 458 725	6, 177 680	1, 651 1, 067	1, 025 1, 498	1, 078 2, 069	6, 462 2, 068
New Demolished South Atlantic (20 cities):	26, 340 6, 176	11, 016 805	5, 290 896	4, 135 788	1, 557 648	1,007 806	$1,049 \\ 1,052$	2, 286 1, 181
New Demolished	37, 948 5, 687	10, 873 631	6, 109 915	7, 527 821	2, 730 759	1, 657 793	1, 707 973	7, 345 795
New	11, 449 2, 325	5, 328 148	2, 719 329	1, 105 315	528 308	396 342	$327 \\ 361$	1, 046 522
New Demolished	23, 845 1, 594	8, 208 303	4, 441 260	3, 862 208	1, 740 132	1, 372 216	1, 196 192	3, 026 283
Mountain (4 cities): New Demolished	7, 056 1, 072	2, 943 105	1, 281 133	1, 403 113	420 149	185 197	196 242	628 133
Pacific (15 cities): New	84,001	30, 556	21,626	12, 769	4,978	3, 780	2,906	7, 386
Demonstied	5, 900	101	1,170	990	014	001	979	/00

In each year of the 7-year period, the total family-dwelling units provided exceeed units demolished in all of the divisions, although in 1934 new units numbered 17,932, or only 282 (1.6 percent) more than the 17,650 units demolished in these 149 cities.

Appendix

Cities Covered by Building-Permit Report

[Dagger indicates city is included in classification]

Cities ¹	Population (census of 1930)	Included in Bureau's monthly reports on building permits	Covered by building- permit survey	Included in this report on new residential construction	Included in this report on demo- lition
New England:					
500,000 and over:					
Boston, Mass	781, 188	Ť	t	Ť	t
100,000 and under 500,000:	140 710	1		1	
Hortford Conn	140, 710	1		1	·····
New Haven Conn	162 655	1	Ļ	4	1
Waterbury, Conn. ²	99, 902	4	i i	ł	ł
Cambridge, Mass	113, 643	i i	i i	ł	i i
Fall River, Mass	115, 274	t t	ŧ	ŧ	t
Lowell, Mass	100, 234	†	ŧ	t	t
Lynn, Mass	102, 320	1 1	†	t	t
New Bedford, Mass	112, 597	t t	1	t t	t
Somerville, Mass	103, 908	l t	1	ţ.	t
Springheid, Mass	149, 900	Ţ	I I	Ī	Ţ
Worcester, Mass	190, 311	I	I	I	T
50 000 to 100 000;	252, 981	T	1	T	
New Britain Conn	68 128	+	+	+	ŧ
Portland, Maine	70 810	- +	ł	÷	i i
Brockton, Mass	63, 797	i i	ł ł	ł	+
Holyoke, Mass	56, 537	i i	ŧ	÷ i	
Lawrence, Mass	85, 068	i t	t t	ŧ	t
Malden, Mass	58, 036	t t	†	t t	t
Medford, Mass	59, 714	t t	t	t t	†
Newton, Mass	65, 276	Ī	Ţ	Ţ	
Quincy, Mass	71,983	I	1	I	l t
Powtucket R I	70, 854	I I	1 1	I I	
25.000 and under 50.000:	11, 110	1	· ·	1	1
Bristol, Conn	28,451	t	†	t	t
Greenwich, Conn	33, 112	i t	t	t	t
Meriden, Conn	38, 481	t t	t	t t	t
New London, Conn	29,640	(3)	l İ	1	1
Norwalk, Conn	36,019	I	II	II	I
Torrington, Conn	26 040	4	4	4	4
West Haven. Conn.	25, 808		, 		1
Bangor, Maine	28, 749	(3)	t t	t	
Lewiston, Maine	34, 948	t t	†		
Arlington, Mass	36,094	1	t t	l İ	l t
Beverly, Mass	25,086	1	I	II	Ī
Chalses Mass	47,490	1 1	1 4		1
Chiconee, Mass	43, 930		4	+	1
Everett. Mass	48, 424	l ł	ł	l +	+
Fitchburg, Mass	40, 692	+	l i	ł ł	
Haverbill, Mass	48, 710	l t	t t	ŧ	1 T
Pittsfield, Mass	49, 677	†	†	†	
Revere, Mass	35, 680	†	1	t t	†
Salem, Mass	43, 353	II	1	I	
Welthem Moss	01,000 20,947	I I	1	Į	I I
Watertown Mass	34 013	4			+
Concord, N. H	25, 228	+	+	4	1
Nashua, N. H	31, 463				
Central Falls, R. I	25, 898	t	†	t	t
Cranston, R. I	42, 911	†	t t	t t	·
East Providence, R. I	29, 995	1	1	1	
Newport, R. I.	27,612	1	I İ	1	1
WOONSOCKET, R. I	49, 376	T T	I T	I T	I T

See footnotes at end of table.

37

Cities	Population (census of 1930)	Included in Bureau's monthly reports on building permits	Covered by building- permit survey	Included in this report on new residential construction	Included in this report on demo- lition
Middle Atlantic: 500,000 and over: Buffalo. N. Y	573, 076	+	+	+	
New York, N. Y Philadelphia, Pa Pittsburgh, Pa	6, 930, 446 1, 950, 961 669, 817	t t	† † †	† † †	† †
100,000 and under 500,000: Camden, N. J. Elizabeth, N. J. Jersey City, N. J	118, 700 114, 589 316, 715	† †	† †	ţ	t
Newark, N. J. Paterson, N. J. Trenton, N. J.	442, 337 138, 513 123, 356			+	ļ
Albany, N. Y Rochester, N. Y Syracuse, N. Y	127, 412 328, 132 209, 326			† † †	‡
Yonkers, N. Y Erie, Pa Reading, Pa	134, 646 115, 967 111, 171			t	
Scranton, Pa 50,000 and under 100.000: Atlantic City, N. J	143, 433 66, 198	† †	t t	† 	t t
East Orange, N. J. Hoboken, N. J. Irvington, N. J.	88, 979 68, 020 59, 261 56, 733		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
Passaic, N. J. Union City, N. J. Binghamton, N. Y.	62, 959 58, 659 76, 662	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	‡
New Rochelle, N. Y Niagara Falls, N. Y Schenectady, N. Y	61, 499 54, 000 75, 460 95, 692		+		 +
Troy, N. Y Allentown, Pa Altoona, Pa	72, 763 92, 563 82, 054		+ + + +		 1
Chester, Pa Harrisburg, Pa Johnstown, Pa	57, 892 59, 164 80, 339 66, 993			ļ	†
Lancaster, Pa McKeesport, Pa Wilkes-Barre, Pa Varia	59, 949 54, 632 86, 626		† †		-
25,000 and under 50,000: Belleville, N. J. Bloomfield, N. J.	26, 974 38, 077				
Clifton, N. J. Garfield, N. J. Kearny, N. J.	46, 875 29, 739 40, 716 42, 017			+ ‡	
New Brunswick, N. J North Bergen, N. J Orange, N. J	34, 555 40, 714 35, 399	+ +	 †	+ 	
Perth Amboy, N. J. Plainfield, N. J. West New York, N. J. Woodbridge, N. J.	43, 516 34, 422 37, 107 25, 266		ļ		†
Amsterdam, N. Y Auburn, N. Y Elmira, N. Y	34, 817 36, 652 47, 397		† 	ļ ļ	+
Jamestown, N. Y Kingston, N. Y Newburgh, N. Y Poughkeensie N V	45, 155 28, 088 31, 275 40, 289			 	
Rome, N. Y. Watertown, N. Y. White Plains, N. Y.	32, 338 32, 205 35, 830	' 	 + +	' 	
Aliquippa, Pa. Easton, Pa. Hazleton, Pa.	27, 116 34, 468 36, 765 25, 561	 † †	+	+	
Lower Merion, Pa Nanticoke, Pa New Castle, Pa	35, 166 26, 043 48, 674	 			

See footnotes at end of table.

38

39

Cities Covered by B	luilding-Permit I	Report—Continued
---------------------	-------------------	------------------

Cities	Population (census of 1930)	Included in Bureau's monthly reports on building permits	Covered by building- permit survey	Included in this report on new residential construction	Included in this report on demo- lition
Middle Atlantic-Continued.					
25,000 and under 50,000—Contd. Norristown, Pa	35, 853	+	+	+	÷
Sharon, Pa.	25, 908	†	l t		
Upper Darby, Pa Wilkinsburg Pa	46, 626	1	+	+	
Williamsport, Pa	45, 729	+	+		
East North Central:					
Chicago, Ill	3, 376, 438	+	+	+	
Detroit, Mich	1, 568, 662	l t	l †	l t	t
Cleveland, Ohio	900, 429	İ	<u>t</u>	1	t
100.000 and under 500.000:	010, 248	1	1	i i	1
Peoria, Ill	104, 969	†			
Evansville, Ind	102, 249	11	I I	+	
Gary, Ind	100, 426	+	l f	+	
Indianapolis, Ind	364, 161	1 t	<u>†</u>	†	+
South Bend, Ind	104, 193	ļĮ	ļĮ	Į	
Grand Rapids, Mich	168, 592	l i	l i	ł ł	
Akron, Ohio	255,040	!	1	l t	
Cincinnati, Ohio	451, 160	ļĮ	ļ	ļ	+
Columbus, Ohio	292, 522	1 †	l †	ł	
Dayton, Ohio	200, 982	<u>t</u>	1 1	<u>t</u>	
Youngstown, Ohio	170,002	4	{ {	+	
50,000 and under 100,000:					,
Decetur III	66, 602 57, 510	[[1	***********
East St. Louis, Ill	74, 347	+	l f	l i	+
Evanston, Ill	63, 338	l t	1 t	1 1	l †
Oak Park, III	63, 982	I	l I	1	
Springfield, Ill	71,864	l ł	ł	+	l †
East Chicago, Ind	54, 784	l t	i t	l t	
Terre Haute. Ind	62,810	ļĮ	T	T	
Dearborn, Mich.	50, 358	†	†		
Hamtramek, Mich	56, 268	<u>t</u>	ļĮ	1	
Jackson, Mich	55, 187	l f	1 +		1
Kalamazoo, Mich	54, 786	l İ	l t	l t	
Pontiae, Mich	64, 928	ļĮ		[+
Saginaw, Mich	80, 715	l †	1 †	†	
Cleveland Heights, Ohio	50,945	ļĮ	1		
Lakewood, Ohio	70, 509	+	1 +	+	†
Springfield, Ohio	68, 743	l t	l t	1	l 1
Madison, Wis	57, 899	[{	[ł
Racine, Wis	67, 542	ļ †	†	†	
25,000 and under 50,000:	30 151	ł +	+		
Aurora, Ill	46, 589	+	+		
Belleville, Ill	28, 425	l t	1		
Bloomington Ill	47,027	[I		
Danville, Ill	36, 765) i	+	}	
Elgin, Ill	35, 929	t †	†	†	
Granite City, Ill	25, 530				
Joliet, Ill	42, 993	1 t	†		
Maywood, Ill	25, 829	1	‡		-•
Quincy, Ill	39, 241		+	+	
Rock Island, Ill	37, 953	1 †	†	†	
Waukegan, Ill	33, 499	1 1	1	-	
Elkhart, Ind	39, 804			1	
Kokomo, Ind	32, 843	†	1 1	†	
LaFayette, Ind	26,240	1	1		
muchigan Oity, mu.	20,130	I I	1		

See footnotes at end of table.

Cities	Population (census of 1930)	Included in Bureau's monthly reports on building permits	Covered by building- permit survey	Included in this report on new residential construction	Included in this report on demo- lition
East North Central—Continued.					
25,000 and under 50,000-Contd.				1	
Misnawaka, Ind	28,630	l I	ļĮ		
New Albany, Ind.	25, 819	·			
Richmond, Ind	32, 493	l t	1	†	t t
Battle Creek, Mich	20,944	ĮĮ	I I	-	
Bay City, Mich	47, 355	 	+	l i	
Muskegon, Mich	41, 390	l t	l t	l t	t
Wyandotte, Mich	28, 368	Ļ	ļĮ	T	
East Cleveland, Ohio	39, 667	ŧ	+	†	†
Elyria, Ohio	25,633	t	l İ	<u>-</u>	
Lorain, Ohio	42, 287		1 4	l I	••••••
Mansfield, Ohio	33, 525	ŧ	l i	+	
Marion, Ohio	31,084	(3)	l İ	†	
Middletown, Ohio	29, 992	1 1	+		
Newark, Ohio	30, 596	ŧ	†	†	
Norwood, Ohio	33, 411	ţ	1		
Steubenville, Ohio	35, 422	∔	ł	'	
Warren, Ohio	41,062	l †	†	t	
Zanesville, Ohio	36,440	l I	ļ Į	†	
Eau Claire, Wis	26, 287	+			
Fond du Lac, Wis	26, 449	†	1 1		
Green Bay, Wis	37,415	†	t t		
Oshkosh, Wis	40,108				
Sheboygan, Wis	39, 251	 	†	+	
Superior, Wis	36, 113	1 t	<u>1</u>	†	
West North Central:	34, 071	i r	1		
500,000 and over:					
St. Louis, Mo	821,960	T T	1 1	l t	Ť
Des Moines, Iowa	142, 559	1 +	†		
Kansas City, Kans	121, 857	l t	l t	†	
Duluth, Minn	101, 463	1 1	[) Į	ļ
Minneapolis, Minn	464, 356	1 1	 	†	t t
St. Paul, Minn	271,606	11	İ	İ	l İ
Omaha, Nebr	214,006	1 +		} +	
50,000 and under 100,000:					
Devenport Jowa	60, 097	I I	I I	l I	-- -
Sioux City, Iowa	79, 183	t t	t t	+	
Topeka, Kans	64, 120	l İ	t t	1	<u>-</u>
St. Joseph, Mo.	57, 527	I I	I I	l t	l t
Lincoln, Nebr	79, 592	+	†	t	
25,000 and under 50,000:	96 755	+	+		
Clinton, Iowa	20, 755	!	1		
Council Bluffs, Iowa	42, 048	t	†	t	
Dubuque, Iowa	41,679	1	†	t t	
Waterloo, Iowa	46, 191	l Ŧ	†	+	†
Hutchinson, Kans	27, 085	†	l t		
Jopin, Mo University City Mo	33,454	ŀ I	1		
Fargo. N. Dak	28, 619	1 1	1 1	 	
Sioux Falls, S. Dak	33, 362	†	†	†	
South Atlantic: 500 000 and over	1				1
Baltimore, Md	804, 874) †	+	t	†
Washington, D. C. ²	619,000	†	j t	†	†
Wilmington Del	106.597	+	+	+	
Jacksonville, Fla	135, 146	1 +	1 1) †	
Miami, Fla	110, 637	· †	1 †		

See footnotes at end of table.

40

Cities	Population (census of 1930)	Included in Bureau's monthly reports on building permits	Covered by building- permit survey	Included in this report on new residential construction	Included in this report on demo- lition
South Atlantic—Continued.					
100,000 and under 500,000-Con.					
Tampa, Fla Atlanta Ga	101, 161	ļĮ	ļĮ		ļ
Norfolk, Va	129, 710	¶ ∔	 	+	ŧ
Richmond, Va	182, 929	ļ †	†	t	t
Augusta, Ga	60, 342	+	+	t	
Macon, Ga	53, 829	l t	(†	t t	†
Savannah, Ga	85,024	I	ļĮ	ļ Į	t
Charlotte, N. C.	82,675		1 +	+	+
Durham, N. C.	52, 037	1 †	<u>†</u>	·	
Winston-Salem N C	53, 569	ļĮ	ļĮ		Ť
Charleston, S. C.	62, 265	1 +	+	l i	†
Columbia, S. C.	51, 581	l t	l 1	l t	
Charleston, W Va	60,200	I I	ļĮ	I	ļ
Huntington, W. Va	75, 572	 	 	+	
Wheeling, W. Va.	61,659	1 1	1 1	†	
Orlando, Fla	27, 330	+	+	+	
Pensacola, Fla	31, 579	†	t t	1 1	t
St. Petersburg, Fla	40, 425	1	1	<u>1</u>	1
Columbus, Ga	43, 131				· ·
Cumberland, Md	37, 747	†	1 1	t t	
Hagerstown, Md	30, 861	1 1	1	l I	t
Raleigh, N. C.	37, 379	1 +	1 +	ł	
Wilmington, N. C.	32, 270	1 t	1 1	l t	
Spartanburg S C	29, 154	1 1	1 1	[Ť
Lynchburg, Va.	40, 661		1 +	+	
Newport News, Va	34, 417	l t	1 †	†	†
Portsmouth Va	28, 504	I	1 1	ļ	+
Clarksburg, W. Va	28, 866	+	+	l +	·
Parkersburg, W. Va	29, 623	†	†	t	
100,000 and under 500,000;					
Birmingham, Ala	259, 678	1 1	t t	†	t
Chattanooga Tenn	307, 745	ļĮ	ļ		Į
Knoxville, Tenn	105, 802	l †	 	 	ŧ
Memphis, Tenn	253, 143	l İ	l İ	l İ	t t
50.000 and under 100.000:	100,000	'		'	'
Mobile, Ala	68, 202	t t	t t	1 1	
Covington, Ky	65, 252	ļĮ	I I		
25,000 and under 50,000:	00, 202				
Ashland, Ky	29,074	t t	1		
Newport, Ky	32, 824	+	+	 	
Paducah, Ky	33, 541	1 1	†		
Jackson, Miss	48, 282	1 1	I I	1	t t
Johnson City, Tenn	25, 080	 	 	l f	
West South Central:					
New Orleans. La	458, 762	+	+	+	+
Oklahoma City, Okla	185, 389	+	+	†	
Tulsa, Okla	141, 258	I I	İ	1	;
El Paso, Tex.	102. 421	+			
Fort Worth, Tex.	163, 447	1 †	t	†	†
Houston, Tex	292, 352	1 1	1		
50,000 and under 100,000:	201, 042	1 '		'	'
Little Rock, Ark	81, 679	l 1	t	t	
Austin. Tex	70,055	ļĮ	[T	[
Beaumont, Tex	57, 732	+	1 +		1 🕴

See footnotes at end of table.

Cities	Population (census of 1930)	Included in Bureau's monthly reports on building permits	Covered by building- permit survey	Included in this report on new residential construction	Included in this report on demo- lition
West South Central—Continued.					_
50,000 and under 100,000-Contd.					
Galveston, Tex.	52,938		1	t	t t
Waco. Tex	52, 848				
25,000 and under 50,000:	,				
Fort Smith, Ark	31, 429	†	†	†	†
Baton Rouge, La	30, 729	+			
Monroe, La.	26, 028	l f	t	†	
Enid, Okla	26, 399	1	l İ	†	
Amarillo. Tex	43, 132	i i		+	+
Corpus Christi, Tex	27, 741	t t	ł	†	†
Laredo, Tex	32, 618	1			
Texarkana, Tex 4	25, 308	T			
Wichita Falls, Tex	43, 690	†	t t	†	
Mountain:					
Denver, Colo	287, 861	+	t t	+	+
Salt Lake City, Utah	140, 267	l t	l †	l t	
50,000 and under 100,000: Pueblo,	E0 006			+	
25,000 and under 50,000:	30,090	'		1	
Phoenix, Ariz	48, 118	t	†	†	<u>†</u>
Tucson, Ariz	32, 506	t t	1		t t
Butte, Mont	39, 532	l i	l i		+
Great Falls, Mont	28, 822	t	t		
Albuquerque, N. Mex	26,570	1 I	† +		
Pacific:	10, 212	'		, ,	
500,000 and over:	1 040 050				
San Francisco, Calif	634, 394	ļ	1		
100,000 and under 500,000:	,				
Long Beach, Calif.	142,032	ļ	ĮĮ	ļ	l I
100,000 and under 500,000:	201,000			1 '	'
San Diego, Calif	147,995	l t	<u>†</u>	l 1	l 1
Seattle, Wash	365, 583		+	l i	ļ
Spokane, Wash	115, 514	†	l t	l t	t t
Tacoma, Wash	106, 817	†	ļ T	T	Т
Berkeley, Calif.	82, 109	+	1 + .	†	†
Fresno, Calif	52, 513	l t	l t		
Pasadena Calif	76 086		-	 +	
Sacramento, Calif	93, 750	l †	†	†	
San Jose, Calif	57, 651	†	†	ļ t	
Alameda, Calif	35, 033	t	+	†	+
Alhambra, Calif	29, 472	1 1	†	†	†
Bakersfield, Calif	26,015	1 1	1		
Riverside, Calif.	29,696	t	†		
San Bernardino, Calif	37, 481	†	l t	†	†
Santa Ana, Calif	30, 322	+	l I		
Santa Monica, Calif	37, 146	+	+		†
Stockton, Calif	47, 963	t t	1 t	†	
Salem, Oreg	26, 266	I			
Everett, Wash	30, 567	i			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· ·	1	1	1	۱ <u> </u>

¹ All cities with a population of 25,000 or more in 1930.
² Classified in this size of city group by the U. S. Bureau of the Census.
³ Reports annually on building permits issued.
⁴ The inclusion of Texarkana in places of 25,000 or more is based upon the combined population (27,366 in 1930) of Texarkana, Tex., and Texarkana, Ark. Ο