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## PREFACE

Housing expenditures occupy a very different place in the budgets of urban families in different sections of the United States, in cities of various sizes, and in high and low income groups. Families living in Northeastern cities have comparatively high expenditures for housing, while those on the Pacific coast and in Southeastern cities have relatively low expenses. These regional differences reflect not only lower rents and rental values in some regions but also differences in types of dwellings, in heating equipment and costs, as well as in other facilities provided in the homes.

In most regions, residents of large cities spend more for housing than do families in middle-sized and small cities at comparable income levels. Within each of the cities surveyed, however, the expenditures for housing follow a definite pattern-as family income rises, the amount spent on housing rises also, but at a slower rate. Thus, with increases in income, a decreasing proportion of the family budget is devoted to housing the family.

The Study of Consumer Purchases does not attempt to portray the housing situation as a whole in the communities surveyed. To do so would have required greater concentration upon the most poorly housed-the relief groups in the population. Rather, this study aims to discover the interrelationships between family income and expenditures for housing, and to determine the extent to which region, city size, occupation, family composition, race, and home tenure were associated with differences in housing expenditures. Relatively little data were secured in this study on the adequacy of housing. Other investigations such as the real property inventories and financial surveys, conducted as work projects, have covered more thoroughly the quality of housing obtained by all groups of the population.

The present volume on expenditures for housing is one of a number of publications prepared by the Bureau of Labor Statistics from data obtained in the Study of Consumer Purchases. The results of this study are presented in three series of reports, of which the present constitutes the third. The first series was concerned with an analysis of the distribution by income class, occupational group, family type, nativity, and home tenure, of families studied in selected communities in different parts of the country. Each volume in that series pertained to a specific geographic region. The second series
comprised reports for the same regions on the size and relative importance of expenditures for the main categories of family living, with only incidental reference to the constituent items in those categories. The third series presents detailed data collected in all regions covered by the Study for each of the more important of these categories.

The study conducted by the Bureau of Labor Statistics was paralleled by a study of small-city, village, and farm families conducted by the Bureau of Home Economics of the United States Department of Agriculture. Both surveys, which together constitute the Study of Consumer Purchases, were administered under a grant of funds from the Works Progress Administration. The National Resources Committee and the Central Statistical Board cooperated in the Nation-wide study. The plans for the project were developed and the administration was coordinated by a technical committee composed of representatives of the following agencies: National Resources Committee, Hildegarde Kneeland, chairman; Bureau of Labor Statistics, Faith M. Williams; Bureau of Home Economics, Day Monroe; Works Progress Administration, Milton Forster; and Central Statistical Board, Samuel J. Dennis.

In view of the fact that a number of persons outside the Bureau's regular staff took part in the investigation, the Bureau of Labor Statistics wishes to acknowledge the services of the following persons who served as regional or metropolitan directors of field work: Ruth Ayres, LeRoy Clements, Rachel S. Gallagher, Forest R. Hall, Sybil Loughead, Glenn W. Sutton, Margaret D. Thompson, Georges M. Weber, and Erika Hartmann Wulff.

Acknowledgement is also made to Frances W. Valentine, Jesse R. Wood, Jr., and William Loudon, who were in charge of computation and tables; Joseph A. Smith, in charge of machine tabulation; Dorothy McCamman, who served as chief check editor; Frank Strohkarck, Marie Bloch, Ethel Cauman, Verna Mae Feuerhelm, Lenore A. Epstein, Trusten P. Lee, Mary Wiatt Chace, and Allan M. Winsor, who were in charge of editing and reviewing.

The present study of family expenditures for housing permits comparisons among different sections of the country, among communities of varying degrees of urbanization, and between white and Negro families in the same community. It covers a wide range of family incomes, from those just above the relief level to incomes of more than $\$ 10,000$. It was planned, moreover, so as to supply a sample that would allow comparison of different occupational groups and of families of varying composition.

Individuals and agencies have long been interested in the economic advantages or disadvantages of owning a home. The present report, showing the current expenses of home ownership by income levels,
provides certain basic information which, when supplemented by the data on the relation between rental values and capital investments in the home, should shed considerable light on this problem.

What families get in the way of basic facilities in their homes for the expenditures shown in this report forms the subject matter of the last sections.

Isador Lubin, Commissioner of Labor Statistics.
June 1939.
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## Expenditures for Housing in Relation to Income
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# Family Expenditures in Selected Cities, 1935-36 HOUSING 

## Chapter I <br> Total Expenditures for Housing

The expense of housing a family is second only to food as a channel of family expenditure. In order to provide the family with living quarters (including expenses for fuel, light, and refrigeration), families in the large cities surveyed apportioned as much as one-third of their expenditures to housing. ${ }^{1}$ As total family expenditures rise, the money value of housing also increases but at a slower rate. Thus as the higher income levels are reached, expenditures for housing become a progressively smaller proportion of the cost of maintaining a family. The lowest percentage of the total is found in the top income brackets, where expenditures for housing represented one-fifth or less of the total expenditures of families in metropolises and large cities.

In explanation of the fact that even among families with incomes of $\$ 5,000$ or more, expenditures for housing represent as much as one-fifth of the total of family expenditures, it should be pointed out that the housing expenses of high-income families frequently include outlays for items in addition to the family home. Expenses for a vacation home, for lodging while traveling or on vacation, and for room rent at school are more common in the budgets of families with high incomes than they are among low-income families.

Pronounced intercity differences exist in the proportion of family expenditures allocated to housing at certain income levels. New York City and Providence families devoted a larger share of their living expenditures to housing than did families in the other communities surveyed. In the income levels up to $\$ 2,250$, New York families had the highest relative expenditures, while above this level the housing of Providence families took the lead up to the $\$ 7,500$ income level. The other extreme in housing expenditures is found in Atlanta and

[^1]Portland. (See fig. 1.) New York families with incomes of less than $\$ 1,250$ allocated slightly more than one third of their total expenditures to housing, while in Atlanta and Portland approximately one-quarter was so spent. Housing of families in the income levels below $\$ 1,500$ formed a smaller percentage of total family expenditure in Atlanta than in any of the other large cities. Above this point in the income scale, families in Portland had the lowest relative housing costs. As later analysis will reveal, low housing expenditures in these two cities are due both to lower rents of rented dwellings and rental values of owned homes, and to relatively low expenditures for fuel and light.

Data from families in the middle-sized cities provide further evidence on regional differences in housing expenditures. Among families with incomes below $\$ 2,250$ in the middle-sized communities, the New England residents allocated a larger share of their expenditures to housing than did similar income groups in other regions. Families in the East Central region having incomes above $\$ 2,250$ consistently devoted more of their expenditures to housing than did families in comparable income classes in the other regions up to the $\$ 5,000$ income level. On the other hand, low relative housing expenditures in this group of communities prevailed in the Pacific Northwest and in the Southeast regions-just as in the case of the large cities.

In small cities also, New England families stood out with high housing expenditures. At every income level a larger portion of total expenditures was represented by the housing expense among families in New England than in East Central cities.

Table 1.-Total expenditure for housing ${ }^{1}$ as a percentage of total expenditure for family living, by income class
A. WHITE FAMILIES IN METROPOLISES AND LARGE CITIES

| Income class | $\begin{aligned} & \text { New } \\ & \text { York } \end{aligned}$ | Chicago | Providence | Colum- bus | Atlanta | OmahaCouncil Bluffs | Denver | Portland |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under \$250. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 34.7 | 34.9 | 32.0 | 33.1 | 26.3 | 31.8 | 32.6 | 27.2 |
| \$750-\$999. | 35.4 | 31.9 | 30.3 | 32.8 | 25.3 | 30.4 | 30.1 | 25.7 |
| \$1,000-\$1.249 | 33.3 | 30.2 | 29.2 | 29.9 | 23.8 | 30.0 | 26.2 | 24.2 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 30.5 | 29.3 | 27.4 | 28.2 | 23.9 | 29.2 | 24.8 | 24.2 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 29.6 | 27.9 | 26.7 | 27.6 | 23.1 | 28.9 | 23.8 | 22.1 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 28.0 | 27.2 | 25.4 | 25.8 | 22.9 | 27.7 | 25.6 | 22.3 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 27.2 | 25.9 | 25.8 | 24.9 | 21.6 | 26.9 | 23.7 | 21.0 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 26.5 | 25.1 | 25.3 | 23.8 | 22.0 | 27.1 | 24.4 | 21.2 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 25.3 | 24.3 | 26.0 | 23.2 | 21.0 | 25.5 | 23.3 | 20.6 |
| \$3,000-\$3.499 | 23. 4 | 22.9 | 26.1 | 23.3 | 21.7 | 24.7 | 25.5 | 21.6 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 22.4 | 22.6 | 24.9 | 23.1 | 22.0 | 23.4 | 22.6 | 20.1 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 23.3 | 20.5 | 25.3 | 22.6 | 21.1 | 24.8 | 24.2 | 19.9 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 21.5 | 20.4 | 24.0 | 21.7 | 18.6 | 19.8 | 21.8 | 19.1 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | 22.7 | 18.8 | ${ }^{2} 20.9$ | 217.9 | ${ }^{2} 18.3$ | 116.1 | ${ }^{2} 20.6$ | ${ }^{2} 17.5$ |
| \$10,000 and over | 19.3 | 17.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^2]Table 1.-Total expenditure for housing as a percentage of total expenditure for family living, by income class-Continued
B. WHITE FAMILIES IN MIDDLE-SIZED AND SMALL CITIES

| Income class | New Eng- land- Haverhill and New Britain | South-eastMobile and Columbia | East Cen-tral-Springfield, Ill., Muncie, and New Castle | West Central- Spring- field, Mo. and Dubuque | Rocky Moun-tainButte and Pueblo | Pacific North-westAberdeen, Bellingham, and Everett | New England, small cities $^{3}$ | East Central, small cities ${ }^{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under \$250. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 | 38.3 | 32.0 | 33.6 | 32.0 | 27.8 | 26.3 | 39.2 | 34.5 |
| \$500-\$749. | 35.2 | 27.9 | 33.7 | 33.3 | 27.3 | 30.0 | 35.1 | 31.9 |
| \$750-\$999. | 32.3 | 27.2 | 30.0 | 27.1 | 26.4 | 23.6 | 30.7 | 27.3 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 29.9 | 25.5 | 30.0 | 26.9 | 24.1 | 23.1 | 31.0 | 26.4 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 29.0 | 24.1 | 27.4 | 26.7 | 23.3 | 19.3 | 28.1 | 24.2 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 26.9 | 24.3 | 26.8 | 25.0 | 23.0 | 18.5 | 28.8 | 24.4 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 26.3 | 23.5 | 26.0 | 24.7 | 22.9 | 20.1 | 26.3 | 23.9 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 26.2 | 22.8 | 25.2 | 23.8 | 21.8 | 18.8 | 25.8 | 22.1 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499. | 25.3 | 23.0 | 25.4 | 25. 2 | 22.2 | 19.2 | 24.5 | 21.1 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999. | 23.5 | 24.1 | 25.7 | 24.2 | 23.3 | 20.4 | 24.9 | 21.0 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 23.5 | 22.3 | 24.4 | 23.5 | 22.8 | 19.0 | ${ }^{5} 23.6$ | 820.2 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 23.3 | 22.1 | 24.9 | 22.3 | 21.8 | 18.7 |  |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 21.2 | 21.5 | 24.4 | 23.3 | 19.7 | 17.6 |  |  |
| \$5,000 and over.. | 29.0 | 21.0 | 22.0 | 20.0 | 17.2 | 17.4 |  |  |

C. NEGRO FAMILIES

| Income class | New York | Columbus | Atlanta | Mobile and Columbia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under $\$ 250$ |  |  | 40.5 | 40.5 |
| \$250-\$499 |  | 40.2 | 34.0 | 33.0 |
| \$500-\$749. | 49.0 | 37.8 | 27.7 | 25.8 |
| \$750-\$999 | 35.7 | 33.1 | 24.7 | 23.5 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 36.1 | 29.2 | 24. 1 | 23.3 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 31.1 | 29.3 | 23.0 | 19.2 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 30.0 | 26. 0 | 22.6 | 21.9 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 28.4 | 25. 6 | 24.1 | 22.3 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 29.6 | 26.5 | 24. 1 | 21. 2 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499. | 30.9 | 27.1 | 23.7 | 22. 1 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 26.8 | 24.5 | 22.8 | ${ }^{6} 20.2$ |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | ${ }^{53} 3.2$ |  | 19.6 | --------- |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 |  |  | 16.3 | - |
| \$4,000 and over. |  |  | 17.0 |  |

3 Wallingford, Willimantic, Greenfield, and Westbrook.
4 Beaver Falls, Connellsville, Logansport, Mattoon, and Peru.
${ }^{5}$ Incomes of $\$ 3,000$ and over. Incomes of $\$ 2,500$ and over.
Regional differences in housing expense also appear in the data for Negro families in the North and South. Negro families living in New York and Columbus had housing expenditures which were consistently higher, at all income levels, than those of Negro families in Atlanta and Columbia-Mobile. Aside from the lower rents in the Southeast, the cost of providing heat is smaller in this region, so the housing expense as a whole is correspondingly less.

In New York, housing expenditures made up a larger proportion of the total expenditures of Negro families than of white families at comparable income levels. This tendency was also apparent in Columbus. Living accommodations available to Negroes in New York City, particularly, are relativeiy limited; quarters with low rents are scarce, and housing costs to this group are apparently fairly rigid.

In the middle-sized cities of the Southeast the opposite situation existed. Not only was the ratio of housing expenditures to total ex-

```
Fig. 1
```
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penditures lower for Negro than for white families in these Southern cities, but the average expenditures for housing were also below those of white families in comparable income groups. As later analysis will indicate, part of this difference in housing expenditures of racial groups in the South is accounted for by the fact that the dwellings occupied by Negroes are less well-equipped than those occupied by whites.

Occupational differences in ratio of housing to total expenditures.Families which derived their earnings chiefly from wage-earner pursuits allocated a smaller percentage of their expenditures to housing than did families in the white-collar groups with similar incomes. (See table B of supplement to Tabular Summary.) Data on facilities and number of rooms in the quarters occupied by the various occupational groups indicate that the wage earners lived in less desirable dwellings. This has further significance because the families of wage earners at any given income level tend to be larger than those of the other occupational groups. ${ }^{2}$

The housing expenditures of families in the independent business and professional groups tend to be higher than those of families deriving their major earnings from other occupations. Professional persons maintaining their own practices frequently have their offices in their homes and may therefore incur expenses for larger or more elaborate quarters than would ordinarily be needed for the family home. Many families also operate small businesses such as beauty shops or carpentry shops in the home. Moreover, both professional and business persons working independently may find it advantageous to maintain more expensive homes, particularly when they use their residences for entertaining business contacts.

Family type differences in ratio of housing to total expenditures.Housing is one of the few items of family consumption which is a purchase of the family as a unit rather than a summation of individual purchases. When it comes to food and clothing expenditures, the more family members the greater the outlay for family maintenance. But with housing, the expenditure may remain relatively constant with the addition of family members. To be sure, housing also may become more costly if additional rooms are to be secured, but it appears from this study that when the family adds a member, it is more likely to crowd into the rooms available than to seek larger quarters. The family type analysis presented in table C of the supplement to the Tabular Summary for the cities of the East Central Region illustrates this point.

Families of types V, VI, and VII, which contain five to eight persons (see pictogram of family types, p. 9), have housing expenditures which quite consistently absorb a relatively low proportion of

[^3]their total living expenses as compared with other families. On the other hand, the families of husband and wife only, tend to have housing of a relatively high value. Of the families which contain three or four members (types II, III, and IV), those with at least one adult in addition to the married couple had rather high housing expendituresprobably because overcrowding is more common among families with young children than among families comprised largely of adults. Variation among families of a given size and at given income levels is, of course, to be expected, since tastes differ and housing requirements differ with the sex and specific age of the members.

Average expenditure for housing.-Not only does the proportion of all family expenditures allocated to housing vary by city size and region, but the average expense of housing per family also varies in much the same manner. The total housing expenditure of families in the large Pacific Northwest city, Portland, was only about threefifths to four-fifths as much as the money value of family housing in New York City among families with comparable incomes. Both regional and city-size factors are associated with this difference. When the comparison is made between a metropolis and a large city situated within the same geographic region (Chicago and Columbus), the money value of housing is found to be greater in the metropolis. The figures in table D of the supplement to the Tabular Summary permit a comparison between housing expenditures of families living in cities of different size in seven regions of the United States. In the Pacific Northwest, Rocky Mountain, and West Central regions, families living in middle-sized cities had lower housing expenditures than did families in large cities. Similarly in the East Central region, families in the small cities spent less for housing than did families in the middle-sized and large cities of this section of the country. In the other regions, however, differences associated with size of city were less consistent.

Regional differences in the money value of family housing are more striking than city-size variations. Housing expenditures of families living in Portland and in the middle-sized cities of the Pacific Northwest region ranked lowest. At the same income levels, Portland families tended to spend about $\$ 150$ a year less for housing than did the New York City families. Total expenditures for housing by white families in the Southeastern region were relatively low-particularly in Atlanta-in comparison with the other large cities. The average for white families as a whole in this large southern city was $\$ 453$ for the year. Expenditures for housing in New England stood out as being fairly high. Providence families with incomes of $\$ 2,000$ or more ranked close to New York families in the costs of their housing, while the housing expenditures of Haverhill-New Britain families with in-

Fig. 2
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(1) age alternative
comes between $\$ 250$ and $\$ 2,500$ were consistently higher than for families with comparable incomes in the middle-sized cities of the other regions.

In all regions and in cities of all sizes, expenditures for housing increased with rises in the income scale. Whereas families receiving current incomes between $\$ 750$ and $\$ 1,000$ had housing expenditures which ranged between $\$ 200$ and $\$ 400$, families with incomes between $\$ 2,500$ and $\$ 3,000$ spent about $\$ 500$ to $\$ 700$ for housing. The expenditures for housing of families with annual incomes of $\$ 5,000$ or more were approximately double those of families having incomes between $\$ 2,500$ and $\$ 3,000$.

Average housing expenditures per month.-The above data on housing expenditures are more easily understood if expressed in terms of monthly averages, despite the fact that some expenses such as for fuel are usually incurred only during certain seasons. The average monthly expenditures for family housing ranged between $\$ 15$ (in Atlanta) and $\$ 31$ (in New York City) for white families in the income bracket $\$ 500-\$ 750$. Rising to the $\$ 1,000$ income group, the average housing expenditure had increased to between $\$ 22$ and $\$ 35$. Families with twice the income ( $\$ 2,000-\$ 2,250$ ) spent less than twice as much, or from $\$ 32$ to $\$ 49$ per month, for the housing item. At the $\$ 4,000-\$ 5,000$ level expenditures for housing varied from $\$ 51$ to $\$ 85$ per month. (See table 2.)

Table 2.-Average monthly expenditure for housing, by income class ${ }^{1}$
A. WHITE FAMILIES IN METROPOLISES AND LARGE CITIES

| Income class | New <br> York | Chicago | Providence | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Colum } \\ & \text { bus } \end{aligned}$ | Atlanta | OmahaCouncil Blufis | Denver | Portland |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under $\$ 250$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749.- | \$31 | \$26 | \$22 | \$22 | \$15 | \$24 | \$22 | \$19 |
| \$750-\$999. | 33 | 28 | 24 | 26 | 21 | 25 | 24 | 21 |
| \$1,000-\$1, 249 | 35 | 30 | 29 | 29 | 24 | 31 | 26 | 23 |
| \$1, 250-\$1, 499 | 38 | 34 | 32 | 33 | 28 | 35 | 30 | 28 |
| \$1, 500-\$1, 749 | 42 | 38 | 37 | 36 | 32 | 38 | 33 | 29 |
| \$1,750-\$1, 999 | 45 | 42 | 40 | 38 | 36 | 41 | 39 | 34 |
| \$2,000-\$2, 249 | 49 | 44 | 46 | 42 | 37 | 44 | 41 | 36 |
| \$2, 250-\$2, 499. | 52 | 48 | 48 | 44 | 42 | 48 | 45 | 40 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999. | 57 | 52 | 55 | 47 | 44 | 51 | 49 | 43 |
| \$3,000-\$3, 499. | 62 | 54 | 65 | 54 | 53 | 59 | 61 | 51 |
| \$3, 500-\$3, 999 | 67 | 61 | 66 | 60 | 61 | 64 | 64 | 55 |
| \$4, 000-\$4, 999 | 85 | 66 | 83 | 66 | 67 | 78 | 74 | 61 |
| \$5, 000-\$7, 499 | 101 | 81 | 101 | 86 | 77 | 80 | 88 | 74 |
| \$7, 500-\$9,999 | 151 | 110 | ${ }^{2} 145$ | ${ }^{2} 152$ | ${ }^{2} 115$ | 2108 | 2136 | ${ }^{2} 112$ |
| \$10,000 and over. | 239 | 157 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^4]Table 2.-Average monthly expenditure for housing, by income class-Continued B. WHITE FAMILIES IN MIDDLE-SIZED AND SMALL CITIES

${ }^{3}$ Wallingford, Willimantic, Greenfield, and Westbrook.
Beaver Falls, Connellsville, Logansport, Mattoon, and Peru.
5 Incomes of $\$ 3,000$ and over.
6 Incomes of $\$ 2,500$ and over.
Expense of housing other than family home as a proportion of total expenditure for housing.-Particularly among families with relatively low incomes, housing expense consists mainly of the cost of maintaining the home in which the family ordinarily lives. But in the course of a year, families frequently incur expense for other housing such as a vacation home which the family either owns or rents, a hotel room rented at the seashore or while traveling, or perhaps a room occupied by a son or daughter living away from home while attending school. Even among families which cannot afford hotel accomodations while traveling or vacationing, expenses for lodging in tourist homes or fees for the use of camp grounds may form a portion of the housing budget.

The tendency for the proportion of total housing expenditure which is allotted to housing other than the family home to increase with
rise in income level is apparent in the figures of table $3 .{ }^{3}$ Except in Portland and in the small cities of the East Central region, expenses for housing during vacations and trips had no place in the budget of families with incomes of less than $\$ 1,000$. Not until the family income reached $\$ 3,000$ or more did the value of housing other than the family home exceed 4 percent of total housing expenditures. New York families with incomes of $\$ 10,000$ and over apportioned as much as one-fifth of their housing expenditures to housing in addition to the usual family residence. Of the large cities, the proportion allotted to other housing in Portland ran high and in Atlanta low.

Table 3.-Expenditure for housing other than family home ${ }^{1}$ as a proportion of total expenditure for housing, by income class
A. WHITE FAMILIES

| Income class | Metropolises |  | Large cities |  |  |  |  |  | East Central cities |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | New York | Chicago | Providence | Colum- bus | Atlanta | OmahaCouncil Bluffs | Denver | Portland | Middlesized ${ }^{2}$ | Small ${ }^{2}$ |
| Under \$250. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.4 |  | 0.8 |
| \$750-\$999 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 4 |  |  |
| \$1,090-\$1,249 |  |  |  |  | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 1.1 |  | . 3 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 0.2 |  |  |  |  | . 2 | . 5 | . 6 | 0.3 | . 3 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | . 6 | 0.4 |  | 0.5 | . 3 | . 2 | . 5 | . 8 |  | . 3 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | . 6 | . 2 | 0.6 | . 4 | . 5 | . 8 | .8 | 1.0 | . 4 | . 9 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | . 7 | . 4 | .7 1.8 | . 6 | . 4 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1. 6 | . 4 | . 9 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 2.1 | $\begin{array}{r}.7 \\ 1.5 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 1. 8 | . 8 | . 4 | 1. 0 | . 7 | 2. 1 | +989 | +9 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 1. 9 | 1. 5 | 3.0 | + 9 | .9 | 2.6 | 1.7 | 2. 9 | 1. 7 | 1.5 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 2.6 | 1. 4 | 2. 3 | 1. 4 | 1.1 | 1.5 | 2. 7 | 4. 2 | 2. 5 | 44.8 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 3.8 | 2.3 | 2. 2 | 2. 1 | 1.5 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 6.6 | 2.0 | ------- |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 5. 0 | 3.1 | 3. 6 | 2.8 | 2.0 | 5.4 | 4.0 | 4. 8 | 3.0 | ------ |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 7.4 | 4.4 | 7.5 610.7 | 3.7 68 | 3.0 65.1 | 4.8 6 | 6.6 6.6 | 5.8 611.4 | ${ }^{5} 4.3$ | ---...- |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 \$10,-100 and over | 13.3 19.5 | 3.9 10.0 | ${ }^{6} 10.7$ | ${ }^{6} 8.8$ | ${ }^{6} 5.1$ | ${ }^{6} 11.9$ | ${ }^{6} 7.3$ | ${ }^{6} 11.4$ |  | -------- |
| \$10,00 and over |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

B. NEGRO FAMILIES

| Income class | New York | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Colum- } \\ & \text { bus } \end{aligned}$ | Atlanta | Income class | New York | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Colum- } \\ & \text { bus } \end{aligned}$ | Atlanta |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under \$250 |  |  |  | \$1,750-\$1,999.. | 0.4 |  | 0.3 |
| \$250-\$499- |  |  |  | \$2,000-\$2,249 . | . 5 |  | . 2 |
| \$500-\$749 |  |  |  | \$2,250-\$2,499. | . 4 | 1.0 | . 6 |
| \$750-\$999 |  |  |  | \$2,500-\$2,999 | . 7 | . 8 | . 9 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 |  |  |  | \$3,000-\$3,499 | . 3 |  | 1.8 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 |  |  | 0.3 | \$3,500-\$3,999. | 3.2 |  |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 0.2 |  | . 3 | \$4,000 and over | 4.8 |  | 1.5 |

[^5]Expenses for housing other than the family home made up an even smaller portion of the housing values of Negro families than of white. Only in New York among families receiving incomes of $\$ 3,500$ or more was the proportion higher than 2 percent. In each of the three cities, the income level at which expenditures for housing during vacations, traveling, etc., entered the housing budget of Negro families was higher than the level at which white families first reported this type of expense.

Rent as gift or pay as a proportion of total expenditure for housing.Persons following certain occupations commonly receive a portion of their remuneration in the form of dwelling quarters which they occupy rent-free. Such payment for services is frequently made to janitors, apartment house managers, ministers, and superintendents of schools and institutions. In other instances, a family may occupy without paying rent a dwelling which is owned by a relative or friend. ${ }^{4}$

No consistent regional or city-size differences are apparent from the figures in table 4 on the proportion of total value of housing which was received in the form of rent as gift or pay. In general, however, free rent amounted to less than 5 percent of the value of all housing and at many income levels was negligible.

Rent as gift or pay is a more important source of the housing value of Negro families than of native white families in New York and Atlanta. About four-tenths of the housing value of New York Negro families receiving incomes of $\$ 500$ to $\$ 750$ is in the form of rent as gift or pay; at the income levels of $\$ 3,000$ to $\$ 3,500$ and $\$ 4,000$ and over, free rent amounts to almost five-tenths of the total money value of housing.

When data are combined for families of different occupational groups, we do not find a definite relationship between rent as pay and family income. The occupational analysis presented in table E of the supplement to the Tabular Summary for native white families in New York City, however, reveals at what income levels rent as gift or pay is important to families in the different occupational groups. Among families in the wage-earner classification, for instance, free rent made up a larger portion of total housing value in the income classes under $\$ 1,500$ than at succeeding levels. The wage-earner occupation with which rent as pay is most frequently associated is that of janitor or caretaker. Since the total remuneration for such work is ordinarily rather low, it is to be expected that rent as pay would be most important among wage-earner families at the bottom of the income scale. On the other hand, in the salaried professional

[^6]group it is at the $\$ 5,000$ to $\$ 10,000$ levels that free rent constitutes the largest percentage of total housing value. Salaried professional workers receiving a portion of their remuneration in the form of free rent are frequently clergymen and resident heads of hospitals, schools, and other institutions, and their cash salaries may also be relatively high.

Table 4.-Rent received as pay or gift, as a proportion of total expenditure for housing, by income class
A. WHITE FAMILIES

| Income class | Metropolises |  | Large cities |  |  |  |  |  | East Central cities |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { New } \\ & \text { York } \end{aligned}$ | Chicago | Providence | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Colum- } \\ & \text { bus } \end{aligned}$ | Atlanta | OmahaBluffs | $\begin{gathered} \text { Den- } \\ \text { ver } \end{gathered}$ | Portland | Middle- <br> sized ${ }^{1}$ | Small ${ }^{2}$ |
| \$250-\$499. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.5 | 1.8 |
| \$500-\$749 | 4.2 |  | 5.3 |  |  |  | 0.8 | 0.4 | . 8 | 5.8 |
| \$750-\$999 | 5.3 | 1.2 |  | 0.6 |  | 2.7 | 9.9 | 3.5 | 1.4 | 1.2 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 4.6 | 2.7 |  | ${ }^{.} 6$ |  | 1.6 | 6.4 | 1.4 | . 9 | 1.9 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 3.6 | 4.4 | 1.6 .7 | 3.1 |  | 3.3 | 3.3 <br> 5.5 <br> 1 | 3.5 1.7 | 1. 5 | 1.3 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 1.5 | 2.4 | . 8 |  | 0.5 | 1.0 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 1.1 |  |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | . 5 | 2.3 | . 5 | . 2 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 1.2 | .9 | . 8 | 1.8 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 1.1 | 2.1 | . 4 | .6 | .2 | 1.4 | 4.2 | 1.0 | 4 | ${ }^{2} .2$ |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 1.2 | 2.1 4.6 | .$_{9}$ | . 2 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 1.9 | . 4 | 1.0 1.9 | 3 3.7 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 |  | 4.5 | 1.5 | 2.8 | . 5 |  |  | . ${ }^{-1}$ |  |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 |  | 1.0 | 2.3 | 1.0 | . 6 |  | . 4 | . 5 | 1.4 |  |
| \$5,000- 77,499 | 2.3 | 1.2 |  | . 7 | $\begin{array}{r}3.8 \\ 4 \\ \hline 14\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$10,000 and over | 1.5 .1 | $\stackrel{.}{2.1}$ | ${ }^{3} .2$ |  | ${ }^{1} 1.4$ | 4.9 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

B. NEGRO FAMILIES

| Income class | New York | $\begin{gathered} \text { Colum- } \\ \text { bus } \end{gathered}$ | Atlanta | Income class | New York | $\begin{gathered} \text { Colum- } \\ \text { bus } \end{gathered}$ | Atlanta |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under \$250 |  |  | 2.2 | \$1,750-\$1,999 | 4.8 |  | 3.5 |
| \$250-\$499 |  |  | 1.2 | \$2,000-\$2,249 |  |  | 2.5 |
| \$500-\$749 | 37.6 | 0.8 | 5 | \$2,250-\$2,499- | 3.9 | 6.6 |  |
| \$750-\$999, | 10.8 10.6 | 2.3 .8 | .9 1.9 | \$2,500-\$2,999. | 47.8 |  | 8.6 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 2.2 |  | 1.7 | \$3,500-\$3,999 |  |  |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,748 | 2.6 |  | 4.3 | \$4,000 and over | 48.6 |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ Springfield, Ill., Muncie, and New Castle.
${ }^{2}$ Beaver Falls, Connellsville, Logansport, Mattoon, and Peru.
${ }^{3}$ Incomes of $\$ 3,000$ and over.
4 Incomes of $\$ 7,500$ and over.
From the data presented in table E of the supplement to the Tabular Summary it is evident that the free rent reported in the lower income levels was received by wage-earner families, and that in the higher levels by families in the salaried business and professional group.

## Chapter II

## Home Ownership and Rent in Relation to Income

In order to interpret differences in amount and types of housing expenditures made by families in various groups of the population in different communities as well as in various sections of the country, a knowledge of the home tenure of the families under consideration is essential. Not only are the expenses of home owners quite different from rent of renting families but estimates on the total expense of certain items such as fuel, light and refrigeration cannot always be obtained from renters since such expenses are not infrequently covered by the rental charge for the dwelling.

The analysis of the present chapter will bring together data on home tenure and income gathered in seven different regions, in order to facilitate regional and city-size comparisons. ${ }^{1}$

Since families receiving relief at any time during the year 1935-36 were studied in the random sample of families in each city, the use of the random sample rather than the controlled sample of families giving detailed expenditures provides a basis for comparing the housing level of the relief with the nonrelief families.

Proportion of home owners.-Regional factors and city-size differences produced wide variation in the proportion of families which owned their homes at the time of the survey. Among the families living in the metropolises and large cities, home ownership was found to be most prevalent in Portland, the large city of the Pacific Northwest, and least frequent in New York City, where the concentration of 10 million people about New York harbor has made home ownership relatively difficult.

Between the extremes of Portland and New York, we find ownership of the family dwelling to be relatively common in Omaha-Council Bluffs, with Denver and Columbus ranking next and then Atlanta. (See table 5.) Among families with annual incomes between $\$ 500$ and $\$ 1,750$, the proportion reporting home ownership in Providence was

[^7]almost as low as in New York and was lower than in the other metropolis included in the survey, Chicago; above this income level, Providence families were home owners much more frequently, proportionately, than were families living in the two metropolitan cities.

Table 5.-Proportion of families reporting ownership of family home, by income class ${ }^{1}$
[White families containing husband and wife, both native born]
A. METROPOLISES AND LARGE CITIES

| Income class | New York | Chicago | Provi- <br> dence | Colum- bus | Atlanta | OmahaCouncil Blufis | Denver | Portland |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All families... | 17 | 21 | 20 | 37 | 31 | 44 | 38 | 47 |
| Relief | 6 | 9 | 3 | 17 | 9 | 25 | 19 | 27 |
| Nonrelief | 19 | 22 | 22 | 40 | 34 | 48 | 41 | 51 |
| Under \$250. | 15 | 15 | 16 | 31 | 22 | 43 | 30 | 62 |
| \$250-\$499 | 24 | 24 | 25 | 40 | 20 | 42 | 35 | 50 |
| \$500-\$749 | 14 | 18 | 12 | 30 | 12 | 35 | 30 | 41 |
| \$750-\$999. | 9 | 13 | 9 | 24 | 18 | 26 | 29 | 37 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 ....- | 9 | 14 | 10 | 24 | 16 | 31 | 27 | 35 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 10 | 17 | 13 | 33 | 24 | 44 | 37 | 49 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 ....- | 12 | 19 | 16 | 34 | 27 | 45 | 35 | 47 |
| \$1,750-\$1,989 | 13 | 19 | 20 | 38 | 28 | 45 | 34 | 48 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249..... | 16 | 22 | 25 | 45 | 42 | 56 | 49 | 62 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 23 | 26 | 39 | 47 | 39 | 56 | 51 | 57 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 $\ldots \ldots$ | 24 | 34 | 38 | 56 | 47 | 60 | 56 | 67 |
| \$3,000-\$3,999 | 29 | 34 | 44 | 54 | 52 | 60 | 55 | 62 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 ....- | 38 | 38 | 50 | 86 | 60 | 69 | 62 | 73 |
| \$5,000 and over - | 31 | 37 | 69 | 71 | 65 | 78 | 71 | 77 |

B. MIDDLE-SIZED AND SMALL CITIES, EAST CENTRAL REGION

| Income class | Muncie | New Castle | Springfield, Ill. | Beaver Falls | $\begin{gathered} \text { Connells- } \\ \text { ville } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Logans- } \\ \text { port } \end{gathered}$ | Mattoon | Peru |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All families..----.........- | 42 | 42 | 41 | 39 | 39 | 44 | 46 | 46 |
|  | 23 | 26 | 17 | 21 | 24 | 25 | 24 | 22 |
| Relief ${ }^{\text {Nonrelief }}$------------------- | 44 | 46 | 43 | 42 | 43 | 47 | 54 | 50 |
| Under \$250. | (2) | (2) | 39 | (2) | ( ${ }^{\text {) }}$ | 42 | 53 | 50 |
| \$250-\$499 . | 51 | ( 54 | 38 | 60 | 43 | 40 | 52 | 50 |
| \$500-\$749. | 37 | 36 | 33 | 40 | 34 | 34 | 41 | 46 |
| \$750-\$999 | 29 | 27 | 32 | 31 | 31 | 37 | 44 | 33 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 34 | 30 | 33 | 28 | 31 | 43 | 44 | 37 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 37 | 45 | 43 | 37 | 37 | 46 | 49 | 47 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 44 | 43 | 36 | 45 | 42 | 46 | 51 | 55 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 48 | 42 | 44 | 44 | 46 | 63 | 61 | 50 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 49 | 59 | 44 | 56 | 61 | 56 | 58 | 68 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 $\ldots$ | 59 | 67 | 50 | 46 | 58 | 66 | 62 | 68 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 64 | 67 | 60 | 52 | 67 | 64 | 76 | 78 |
| \$3,000-\$3,999. | 63 | 71 | 53 | 66 | 56 | 71 | 70 | 83 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 ....- | 79 | 61 | 64 | (2) | (2) | (2) | ${ }^{(2)}$ | ${ }^{(2)}$ |
| \$5,000 and over-- | 75 | 90 | 70 | (3) | (2) | (2) | ${ }^{(2)}$ | (3) |

1 These figures are based upon information given by a random sample of families and ar e published in the seven regional reports on Family Income and Expenditure, vol. I. (See pt. II, p. 371.) This sample differs from the controlled samples of families supplying the detailed data on expenditures.
${ }^{2}$ Percentages not computed for fewer than 30 cases.
Within the East Central region, it is possible to compare the frequency of home ownership in cities which vary widely with respect to population. Here we find that ownership is related inversely to city size, being most frequent in the small cities and least frequent in Chicago.

In general, the proportion of families which owned their homes increased quite consistently with rise in income level above the $\$ 750$ point. The relatively high frequency of home ownership among families having current incomes of less than $\$ 500$, together with internal evidence presented by the schedules as to the character of the earnings, occupation, and age of the head, suggests that such families had been more prosperous in previous years, during which the purchase of a home was undertaken. When the current income reported is more nearly representative of the usual annual income, we should expect home ownership to increase with rise in income since the purchase of a home is not undertaken until families have attained sufficient income to make the initial down payment and to give some assurance that subsequent payments can be met. Using Columbus data to illustrate this point, we see that only one out of every four families with incomes of $\$ 750$ to $\$ 1,000$ reported home ownership; of families receiving current incomes between $\$ 2,000$ and $\$ 3,000$, about two out of four were home owners; while almost three-fourths of the families which secured incomes amounting to at least $\$ 5,000$ owned their dwelling quarters. In New York the increase in proportion of home owners with rise in income level was, of course, less rapid. Even at the highest income level fewer than one out of three families owned their home.

The percentage of home owners among families receiving relief during the year was relatively low in each community. It will be recalled that in some cities, during the first year of the depression particularly, the possession of property such as a home would have made it impossible for the relief recipient to pass the "means test" necessary before receipt of public assistance. The small number of home owners among families having received relief during 1935-36 may be taken to represent those few that had not used up all resources, including the investment in the home, before joining the relief ranks. Among those that retained their homes, it may be assumed that normal repairs and taxes on the home were smaller than the rent which would have been necessary to shelter the family. In many cases such expenses may not have been cared for during the current year.

Home ownership among occupational groups.-Ownership of the family home is relatively more prevalent among families of business and professional persons than among those of wage earners and clerical persons in the lower income levels in most cities. (See table F of the supplement to the Tabular Summary.) But as wage earners reach the income levels of $\$ 1,750$ or above, they tend to own their homes relatively more frequently than do the other occupational groups.

It is probable that the irregularity of income which characterizes many of the wage-earner occupations makes it difficult to accumulate sufficient reserve for the down payment on a home and that home ownership is undertaken only when the family has achieved a certain de-
gree of security. It has already been pointed out that families of wage earners at the upper income levels are, as a rule, larger and of older age composition than families in the other occupational groups. In cities where rented quarters other than apartments are relatively scarce, wage earners may sometimes find it necessary to purchase their homes in order to obtain quarters which are large enough to accomodate all members, yet which are within reach of the housing budget.

Of the business and professional families, those receiving their earned income from independent business and independent professional pursuits were most likely to own their homes. ${ }^{2}$ Several factors combine to favor ownership of the family home in the entrepreneurial groups. The time required to establish a business or a professional career in a community tends to discourage mobility among families of the independent business and professional groups. The incidence of home ownership may be expected to be greater among the more stable elements of the urban population. Furthermore many families in the independent business and professional groups use the home as a place of business-such as, for instance, the physician who has an office in his home or the tailor who sets up his shop in his dwelling quarters. Since landlords frequently place restrictions on the use of premises, ownership may permit greater freedom in the operation of a business or profession in the home.

Family type comparison of proportion of home owners.-The relation between home ownership and age of the family head has already been pointed out in the bulletin Family Income in Chicago. ${ }^{3}$ A brief summary of the findings will be helpful in the present analysis. In Chicago, the median age of the head of native white complete families which owned their dwelling quarters was 48 years as compared with 38 for renting families. While nearly three-fifths of the husbands in renting families had not yet reached 40 years of age, about one-fifth in the owning families were less than 40 years old. These figures do not, of course, take into account the age at which the home was purchased and hence are in a sense cumulative-that is, the figures on home owners at the older ages include all those who purchased homes at earlier ages and had not in the interval given up ownership. The increasing proportion of owners at the older age is, in part, a result of increasing income. Other factors are involved, however, as indicated by the fact that, at each income level, these age differences are apparent. Thus, the variations in proportion of home ownership among the family types shown in table $G$ of the supplement to the Tabular Summary are probably associated with differences in the age of the family head.

[^8]Home ownership is most frequent, proportionately, among families which include one adult and perhaps one to three other members in addition to the husband and wife (types IV and V). Inasmuch as the additional adult is usually a grown child, the head of such families has attained an age at which home ownership is relatively common. The size of some of the families included in this classification, as well as the age of the head, may account for the frequency of ownership.

Among the families with low incomes in Columbus, those consisting of only a husband and wife (type I) owned their homes more frequently than did larger families. At the higher income levels, however, home ownership among families containing additional adult members was more frequent. The ages of the heads of families classified as type I vary widely; older couples whose children have grown up and left the family home as well as newly married couples are included here.

The relatively young families--those with one or two children under 16 years of age-ranked low in proportion of home owners.

Rental value of owned homes.-The rental value reported for owned homes represents an estimate of the amount for which such homes would rent, in the light of rents paid for similar accommodations in the same neighborhood. The estimate was made by the family and checked by the investigator. Comparing the data of tables 6 and 7 (see fig. 3), it may be seen that rental values of owned homes tend to be higher than rents of tenant families at practically every income level in the cities surveyed. Since rental value is an estimate, however, it is possible that home owners tend to overevaluate their dwellings. It is also possible that owned quarters are superior to rented quarters occupied by families of the same income level. Data from another study seem to indicate that at comparable income levels the dwelling quarters of home owners contain more rooms than do homes of renting families. ${ }^{4}$

[^9]From Consumer Use of Selected Goods and Services, by Income Classes, Market Research, Series Nos. 5-10, Feb. 1937, pp. 20-21, Bureau of Foreign and Domestic Commerce.

In every city covered in the urban series of the Study of Consumer Purchases rental values of owned homes tended to increase with rises in family income. In the cities shown in table 6, families whose current incomes were below $\$ 250$ lived in homes which would rent, on the average, for no more than $\$ 28$ (in New York City) and as little as $\$ 19$ (in Portland). ${ }^{5}$ At the $\$ 1,000-\$ 1,250$ income level, rental values of owned homes had risen to $\$ 40$ and $\$ 22$ in these same cities, and at the $\$ 5,000$ and over income brackets monthly rental values mounted to $\$ 65$ (in Portland) and $\$ 99$ (in New York City). These two cities represented the extremes in rental values at every income level.

Table 6.-Average monthly rental value of owned homes, by income class ${ }^{1}$
[White families containing husband and wife, both native born]
A. METROPOLISES AND LARGE CITIES

| Income class | New York | Chicago | Providence | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Colum- } \\ & \text { bus } \end{aligned}$ | Atlanta | OmahaCouncil Bluffs | Denver | Portland |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All families <br> Relief $\qquad$ <br> Nonrelief | \$53.80 | \$38.40 | \$50. 20 | \$39.20 | \$37.40 | \$34. 70 | \$37.50 | \$28.50 |
|  | 38.70 | 27.30 | 29.30 | 24.90 | 22.00 | 18. 50 | 20.50 | 17.60 |
|  | 54.80 | 38.90 | 50.70 | 40.00 | 38.00 | 36. 10 | 38.70 | 29.40 |
| Under \$250. | 28.30 | 25. 40 | 22.60 | 22. 40 | 21.80 | 20. 20 | 23.20 | 18.80 |
| \$250-\$499.. | 37.70 | 27.60 | 33. 10 | 28.40 | 21. 10 | 26.90 | 22.90 | 22.00 |
| \$500-\$749 | 41. 30 | 28. 90 | 32.80 | 28. 60 | 22. 40 | 23.40 | 26.80 | 20.30 |
| \$750-\$999 | 40.20 | 29.10 | 31.00 | 27.80 | 25.40 | 24. 30 | 26. 50 | 21.30 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 40. 10 | 30. 00 | 32. 20 | 29. 20 | 26.40 | 24. 60 | 26.00 | 22. 20 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 42. 50 | 32. 70 | 32.40 | 30.70 | 27. 60 | 27.60 | 27.50 | 23. 30 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 41.90 | 33.60 | 37.40 | 30. 20 | 28. 20 | 29. 50 | 30.80 | 25. 50 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 44. 50 | 34. 40 | 37.00 | 34. 60 | 30.60 | 32. 50 | 32. 20 | 26. 70 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 46. 60 | 35. 90 | 37.00 | 36. 60 | 32. 40 | 35.10 | 33.80 | 29. 30 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 47. 60 | 38.10 | 41. 50 | 39. 60 | 35. 30 | 36. 70 | 38. 60 | 30.30 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 49.10 | 41. 10 | 45. 50 | 41. 50 | 40. 50 | 39.90 | 40.90 | 33.80 |
| \$3,000-\$3,999 | 56. 20 | 44. 60 | 56.80 | 48. 20 | 45. 00 | 46. 90 | 49.70 | 38. 60 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 ....- | 69. 10 | 51. 40 | 69.80 | 56.80 | 51. 00 | 55. 40 | 56. 50 | 47. 90 |
| \$5,000 and over - - | 99.30 | 71. 30 | 108. 30 | 79.40 | 65.70 | 70.40 | 83.30 | 65. 40 |

B. MIDDLE-SIZED AND SMALL CITIES, EAST CENTRAL REGION

| Income class | Muncie | New Castle | Springfield, In. | Beaver Falls | $\begin{gathered} \text { Connells- } \\ \text { ville } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Logans- } \\ & \text { port } \end{aligned}$ | Mattoon | Peru |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All families | \$30.00 | \$32. 20 | \$34. 20 | \$28.60 | \$27. 30 | \$20.30 | \$23.00 | \$20. 80 |
| Relief | 16.90 | 24. 00 | 18.90 | 21.30 | 19.10 | 10.60 | 11.70 | 12.90 |
| Nourelief | 30.70 | 33.50 | 34.90 | 29.30 | 28.70 | 21.20 | 24.70 | 21. 50 |
| Under \$250. | 17.00 | 19.80 | 19.00 | 20.00 | 36. 20 | 14. 70 | 16.00 | 17.30 |
| \$250-\$499. | 22. 90 | 27.40 | 23.10 | 25. 20 | 21. 50 | 15. 30 | 17.40 | 17.80 |
| \$500-\$749. | 20.50 | 24.60 | 23.70 | 25. 30 | 25. 40 | 15. 30 | 18.00 | 17.00 |
| \$750-\$999 | 24. 10 | 24.90 | 25.00 | 23. 50 | 24.60 | 15.90 | 18.60 | 16. 90 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 23. 40 | 26. 20 | 26.70 | 25. 40 | 24.00 | 18. 20 | 18. 90 | 17. 40 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 25. 30 | 28.90 | 27. 10 | 25. 50 | 25.90 | 19.40 | 22.70 | 19.40 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 29.40 | 31.20 | 28.80 | 29.00 | 26.90 | 20.70 | 23.30 | 21. 70 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 30.20 | 33.30 | 31.80 | 28.80 | 29.80 | 22.40 | 26.90 | 22. 70 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 32. 50 | 33. 40 | 33.80 | 33.30 | 31.70 | 23.80 | 26.20 | 23. 90 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 34.90 | 34.40 | 37.00 | 29.40 | 29. 50 | 24.80 | 29.30 | 25. 50 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 37.60 | 39.60 | 40.00 | 32. 70 | 33.70 | 28.70 | 31.30 | 25.70 |
| \$3,000-\$3,999 | 43.70 | 43. 20 | 44.80 | 38.50 | 33.20 | 30.60 | 35.80 | 30.20 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 46. 20 | 50.00 | 54.30 | 40.00 | 33. 60 | 41.20 | 38.00 | 38.30 |
| \$5,000 and over-- | 60.50 | 66. 60 | 65.00 | 42.30 | 52.20 | 43.80 | 45. 50 | 31.00 |

[^10][^11]

Size of city and rental value.-Rental values of owned homes tend to be lower in the small cities than in the middle-sized communities among families of comparable incomes. Similarly, homes in the mid-dle-sized communities would rent for less than homes in the large cities of Columbus and Chicago. Since land values are probably higher in the large cities, it it possible that rental values which are partly determined by ground rents are correspondingly higher. The differences in rentals of Columbus and Chicago homes among families in the income groups above $\$ 2,000$, however, do not fall in line with this hypothesis. Columbus families in the higher income brackets placed a higher rental value on their homes than did Chicago families in the same income classes. This may be due to the fact that, when income permits, home owners whose work is located in Chicago purchase suburban rather than city dwellings, while those families who do remain within the boundaries of the city may live in homes either with smaller grounds or of less recent construction and hence of lower rental value. In Columbus, on the other hand, there are newly developed sections within the city limits where homes with high rental values are likely to be found.
Regional differences in rental values, by income class.-Wide intercity differences in monthly rental values of comparable income groups may be noted from the data of table 6. Among the six large cities, for example, rental values of owned homes in Portland were consistently below those of the other cities at almost every income level, while the rental value of Providence homes tended to exceed those of the other five communities. These differences in rental values may be attributed in part to the fact that the climate of Providence is more severe than that of Portland so the houses must be more substantially constructed to be equally comfortable and housing construction may in general be more expensive in Providence. The difference in cost may also be due to the difference in cost of lumber, which is so commonly used for home construction. ${ }^{6}$
in the large southern city studied, rental values tended to be below those of most of the other cities, among families of comparable incomes. Here again, the less substantial buildings required for the southern climate probably account in part for the lower rental values. Furthermore, as will be pointed out in later analysis, at comparable income levels the housing facilities in this southeastern city tended to be poorer than in the large cities of the other regions.
Rent of renting families.-The analysis of rent in relation to income is complicated by the fact that the rent charge frequently includes charges for items of household operation and for services. Generally speaking, it is difficult to obtain estimates on the charge for the dwell-

[^12]ing as distinct from these other items where they are billed together. The inclusion of items of household operation is more common in apartment houses than in private residences; furthermore, apartment dwellers are relatively more prevalent in some cities than in others, and at certain income levels rather than at others. Therefore, comparisons of rents paid at different income levels must take into account the fact that the rent figures have not been tabulated according to the kinds of dwellings rented or by the kinds of services covered by rent. In comparing data on rental value of owned homes and rents paid in comparable income groups as well as family expenditures for fuel, light, and refrigeration and other items of general household operation, account must be taken of the items included in the rent charge. Figures are available, however, on the types and frequency of items included in rent at each income level and they will be presented in chapter IV.

Average monthly rent of renting families.-As was true for rental values of owned homes, monthly rents of rented dwellings were highest in New York City and lowest in Portland at almost every income level in the metropolitan and large cities studied. Families in the former city reported monthly rents which were from one and one-half to two times as high as those of tenants in the latter city. Chicago families had the second highest rents. Atlanta renting families (white) occupied a mixed position; in the income brackets below $\$ 1,000$ they had even lower rents than did Portland families, while among families at the upper income levels, rents in Atlanta ranked higher than in some of the other cities.

Table 7.-Average monthly rent reported by renting families, by income class ${ }^{1}$
[White families containing husband and wife, both native born]
A. METROPOLISES AND LARGE CITIES

| Income class | New York | Ohicago | Providence | Colum- bus | Atlanta | OmahaCouncil Blufis | Denver | Port- <br> land |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All families | \$40.60 | \$32.80 | \$24.80 | \$24.40 | \$23.30 | \$24.90 | \$25. 20 | \$20.40 |
|  | 25.90 | 18.10 | 15. 20 | 15. 40 | 12.60 | 14.60 | 15.00 | 12. 20 |
|  | 43.90 | 34.60 | 26.50 | 26.10 | 25.60 | 27.40 | 27.40 | 22.20 |
|  | 34.00 | 30.30 | 21.00 | 24.50 | 15. 10 | 21. 90 | 20. 40 | 20.90 |
|  | 26.00 | 22.90 | 18. 60 | 19.30 | 12.80 | 17.00 | 18. 50 | 14.90 |
|  | 26.60 | 22.90 | 18. 20 | 18. 60 | 13.60 | 17.60 | 18.30 | 15. 80 |
|  | 27.40 | 22.70 | 18.50 | 18.30 | 14.90 | 18.10 | 17.90 | 16. 30 |
|  | 29.60 | 26.00 | 21.10 | 21.00 | 18. 40 | 21.30 | 22. 00 | 17. 80 |
|  | 31.80 | 28.00 | 22. 80 | 22.30 | 19.40 | 24.20 | 23.10 | 19.40 |
|  | 35.20 | 30.80 | 25. 20 | 24.90 | 22.80 | 26.40 | 25.90 | 21.50 |
|  | 37.90 | 34.10 | 28. 20 | 26.90 | 27.10 | 29.50 | 28.40 | 24.00 |
|  | 41.60 | 36.70 | 31.40 | 28. 20 | 28. 30 | 31.00 | 30.40 | 25. 90 |
|  | 44. 20 | 40.40 | 32. 80 | 31.70 | 32.30 | 35. 10 | 35. 20 | 28. 80 |
|  | 48.40 | 42.00 | 37.60 | 32.20 | 34.60 | 36.30 | 34. 70 | 29.40 |
|  | 55.70 | 49.70 | 44. 20 | 39. 50 | 40.90 | 43.90 | 42. 40 | 34.30 |
|  | 66.40 | 57.00 | 51.80 | 44. 10 | 46. 20 | 49.60 | 50.50 | 43.80 |
|  | 115.40 | 79.20 | 77.20 | 58.50 | 62.60 | 60.80 | 65.50 | 52. 90 |

[^13] the seven regional reports on Family Income and Expenditure, vol. I. (See pt. II, p. 371.) This sample differs from the controlled sample of families supplying the detailed data on expenditures.
$$
153119^{\circ}-41-3
$$

Table 7.-Average monthly rent reported by renting families, by income class ${ }^{1-}$
Continued
B. MIDDLE-SIZED AND SMALL CITIES, EAST CENTRAL REGION

| Income class | Muncie | $\begin{aligned} & \text { New } \\ & \text { Castle } \end{aligned}$ | Springfield, Ill. | Beaver Falls | $\begin{gathered} \text { Connells: } \\ \text { ville } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Logans- } \\ \text { port } \end{gathered}$ | Mattoon | Peru |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \$19.40 | \$19.70 | \$25.00 | \$18.90 | \$18.00 | \$13.90 | \$14. 10 | \$13.30 |
|  | 11.80 | 14.30 | 12.60 | 12.40 | 12. 20 | 8.10 | 9.10 | 8.60 |
|  | 20.40 | 21.70 | 27.00 | 20.40 | 20.40 | 15.40 | 16.90 | 14.60 |
|  | 16.30 | 18.00 | 16.70 | 12.70 | 13.30 | 9. 30 | 13. 20 | 11.90 |
|  | 15.00 | 16.40 | 17.40 | 16. 20 | 14.90 | 10.80 | 14.00 | 9.80 |
|  | 13. 90 | 16. 90 | 16.90 | 14. 50 | 16. 20 | 11.00 | 12.70 | 11.60 |
|  | 14. 50 | 16. 60 | 18. 10 | 16. 30 | 14. 70 | 11.80 | 12.90 | 12.60 |
|  | 17. 80 | 18. 50 | 21. 00 | 17.40 | 17.10 | 13.90 | 13. 90 | 13.60 |
|  | 19.00 | 20.30 | 24.40 | 19. 10 | 19.30 | 15. 20 | 16. 60 | 14.30 |
|  | 21. 50 | 23.00 | 26.90 | 21.10 | 22.00 | 18.30 | 20.20 | 17.00 |
|  | 23.80 | 24.60 | 28.80 | 24.40 | 24.30 | 20.20 | 21.20 | 16.40 |
|  | 26.20 | 26.40 | 31.30 | 26.00 | 23.10 | 21. 20 | 21.20 | 20.20 |
|  | 28.00 | 28.20 | 34.70 | 25.30 | 26.80 | 23.70 | 25.10 | 20.20 |
|  | 29.50 | 31.30 | 36.50 | 28.60 | 28.70 | 24.00 | 24.90 | 23.40 |
|  | 35.70 | 37.70 | 41.80 | 32.70 | 31.60 | 22. 40 | 26.90 | 27.50 |
|  | 39.00 | 42.80 | 47.20 | 36. 20 | 28.30 | 35.60 | (2) | 23.40 |
|  | 40.80 | 55.00 | 60.60 | 33.60 | 38.80 | 34.20 | 28.30 | (3) |

## 2 Averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

Size of city and rents of rented dwellings.-In the East Central region rents were consistently highest in the metropolis, Chicago, and lowest in the small cities. Except for rents of Springfield families in the income brackets above $\$ 1,250$, the rents in middle-sized cities were below those of Columbus, which in turn had lower rents than did Chicago. As later analysis will show (ch. IV) a relatively high percentage of families in the combined middle-sized cities (Muncie, New Castle, and Springfield, Ill.) had heat included in the rent bill. It is quite possible that Springfield families alone accounted for this large percentage but no tabulation of this item by individual cities is available.

Table 8.-Rent of renting families as a percentage of income, by income class ${ }^{1}$ [White families containing husband and wife, both native born]

| Income class | New York | Chicago | Providence | Colum- bus | Atlanta | OmahaCouncil Bluffs | Denver | Portland |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All families | 23.3 | 22.3 | 20.0 | 18.2 | 17.0 | 19.8 | 19.0. | 16.9 |
| Relief | 44.6 | 50.3 | 29.7 | 27.5 | 23.7 | 30.7 | 31.1 | 26.0 |
| Nonrelief | 22.2 | 21.5 | 19.3 | 17.6 | 16.5 | 19.0 | 19.0 | 16.2 |
| Under \$250. | $\left.{ }^{2}\right)$ | $\left.{ }^{2}\right)$ | ${ }^{2}$ | $\left.{ }^{2}\right)$ | (2) | $\left.{ }^{2}\right)$ | $\left.{ }^{2}\right)$ | $\left.{ }^{2}\right)$ |
| \$250-\$499.- | 81.4 | 72.1 | 58.1 | 59.2 | 40.5 | 51.5 | 56.8 | 45.2 |
| \$500-\$749.. | 55.6 | 43.9 | 34.9 | 35.0 | 25.9 | 32.8 | 34.0 | 29.7 |
| \$750-\$999 | 38.6 | 31. 2 | 25.6 | 25.0 | 20.6 | 24.4 | 24.6 | 22.2 |
| \$1,000-\$1, 249 | 32.7 | 28.0 | 22.7 | 22.5 | 19.6 | 22.7 | 23.4 | 18.9 |
| \$1, 250-\$1, 499 | 28.6 | 24.8 | 20.3 | 19.7 | 17.1 | 21.3 | 20.4 | 17.0 |
| \$1,500-\$1, 749 | 26.5 | 23.2 | 18.9 | 18.7 | 17.1 | 19.8 | 19.4 | 16.2 |
| \$1, 750-\$1, 999 | 24.5 | 22.1 | 18.3 | 17.4 | 17.5 | 19.2 | 18.3 | 15.6 |
| \$2, 000-\$2, 249. | 23.8 | 20.9 | 17.9 | 16.1 | 16.0 | 17.6 | 17.4 | 14.7 |
| \$2, 250-\$2,499. | 22.6 | 20.4 | 16.6 | 16.0 | 16.3 | 17.7 | 17.8 | 14.5 |
| \$2, 500-\$2, 999 $\ldots$ | 21.4 | 18.8 | 16.9 | 14.3 | 15.3 | 16. 1 | 15.5 | 13. 1 |
| \$3,000-\$3, 999 | 19.9 | 17.8 | 15.8 | 14. 2 | 14. 6 | 15. 7 | 15. 1 | 12.3 |
| \$4,000-\$4, 999 ...- | 18.3 | 15.6 | 14.3 | 12.1 | 12.6 | 13.5 | 13.8 | 11.7 |
| \$5,000 and over | 15.7 | 13.5 | 12.2 | 10.2 | 11.2 | 10.6 | 10.9 | 9.6 |

[^14]In view of the differences in rental values of owned homes by size of city, it seems likely that differences in rent of rented dwellings are probably also indicative of more than mere differences in the items included in the rent charge.

Ratio of rent to income.-Although average rents increased substantially with rises in the income level, the ratio of rent to income declined steadily in each city as income rose. Thus, the rent for which families in the income class of $\$ 250$ to $\$ 500$ had contracted amounted to between four-tenths and eight-tenths of their current incomes. Families having incomes of $\$ 5,000$ or more allocated only slightly more than one-tenth of their income to the rent item.

In interpreting the high ratio of rent to income among nonrelief families at the bottom of the income scale, several qualifications must be kept in mind. The current income of many of the families at the lowest income levels does not represent the value of their living as measured by total expenditures, because borrowing, withdrawals from savings and the like were not included. Families which had had higher incomes may have been reluctant to move from their accustomed neighborhoods and living quarters even when their incomes did not warrant their remaining. Furthermore the rental rate reported represents the amount which tenant families contracted to pay and not necessarily the amount which they actually paid during the year; obviously in some of these cases, rental payments were allowed to lapse.

The portion of current income which relief families allotted to rent was lower than that for nonrelief families having incomes of less than $\$ 750$. Families receiving relief have, as a rule, fewer resources upon which to draw; moreover the agency administering assistance frequently encourages the family to adjust expenditures for rent to their reduced income.

Rents of Negro renting families.-Rents paid by Negro families in New York were substantially higher than those of Negro tenant families in either Columbus or Atlanta and were higher, at most income levels, than rents for white families in the metropolis. As a partial explanation, we see that nine-tenths or more of the New York Negro renting families with incomes between $\$ 500$ and $\$ 3,500$ lived in multi-ple-family dwellings, which are more likely to include such household operation items as heat and light in the rent payment than are singlefamily dwellings. The proportion of Negro families living in such dwellings was higher than for white families in this metropolis at comparable income levels. The dwelling which houses from two to four families was even less prevalent among Negro renters than among white tenants in New York City; the characteristic type of multiplefamily structure among the Negroes was the apartment for five or more families.

Table 9.-Average monthly rent reported by Negro renting families, by income class ${ }^{1}$
[Families containing husband and wife, both native born]

| Income class | New York ${ }^{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Colum- } \\ & \text { bus } \end{aligned}$ | Atlanta | Income class | New York | Colum bus | Atlanta |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All familiesReliefNonrelief.UnderU | \$31.70 | \$14.70 | \$10.70 | All familes-Continued. Nonrelief-Con. $\$ 1,250-\$ 1,499$ | \$36.90 | \$17.60 | \$15. 20 |
|  | 25. 70 | 12.50 | 9.20 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 36.60 | 16. 40 | 11. 50 | \$1,500-\$1,749 $\ldots$ | 38.20 | 19.50 | 16.60 |
|  |  |  |  | \$1,750-\$1,999 | 40.10 | 19.20 | 17.50 |
|  | 30.30 | 13.20 | 8.90 | \$2, $000-\$ 2,249 \ldots$ | 43.00 | 24.30 | 18.60 |
|  | 22. 60 | 12.40 | 9.80 | \$2, $250-\$ 2,490 \ldots$ | 43.40 | 23.80 | 21.00 |
|  | 30.50 | 14.00 | 10.60 | \$2,500-\$2,900 | 47.90 | $\left.{ }^{3}\right)$ | 24.60 |
|  | 29.90 | 15.80 | 11.80 | \$3,000-\$4, 999 | 60.40 | 23.00 | 23.80 |
|  | 33.60 | 17.00 | 14.20 | \$5, 000 and over - | 45.70 | ${ }^{(3)}$ | ${ }^{(3)}$ |

${ }^{1}$ These figures are based upon information given by a random sample of families and are published in the seven regional reports on Family Income and Expenditure, vol. I. (See pt. II, p. 371.) This sample differs from the controlled sample of families supplying the detailed data on expenditures.
${ }^{2}$ Data are for Negro families residing in areas where one-third or more of the family heads were born in the United States according to the 1930 census.
${ }^{3}$ Insufficient number of renters in sample for computation of average.
Negro families in Columbus paid slightly higher rents than did Negroes in Atlanta. (See table 9.) Unlike the situation in New York, the rents of Negro families in each of these cities were lower than the corresponding rents of white families. In both of these cities, the type of multiple-family dwelling which accommodates five or more families was used by a smaller proportion of Negro tenants than of white at comparable income levels.

Rents and rental values among occupational groups.-Dwellings occupied by families whose earnings are derived from wage-earner occupations have lower rents and rental values than do the homes of families in the white-collar occupations. (See table H of the supplement to the Tabular Summary.) In Chicago, for example, families in the business and professional groups maintained rent levels which averaged about one-fourth higher than those paid by wage-earner families in parallel income groups. Although part of this difference may be attributed to the fact that rent is less likely to include items such as heat, light, and refrigeration among wage-earner families since they tend to occupy apartments less frequently than do the business and professional groups, part of the difference represents lower dwelling rent. This difference among occupational groups is corroborated by the rental-value data for owned homes-figures which, by definition, do not include any operation charges. At every income level, the wage-earner group lived in dwellings having a lower rental value than that of the other occupational groups. Families of clerical workers tended to rent or own homes having rents or rental values between those of the other two occupational groups. Analyses in chapter VI of the number of rooms and facilities with which homes are equipped indicate that the quarters occupied by the wage-earner groups were smaller, on the average, and less well-equipped with modern facilities than were those of the other occupational groups.

Rents and rental values among family types.-As would be expected from our previous discussion of the value of housing of families of different composition, ${ }^{7}$ the larger families of types VI and VII tended to pay the lowest monthly rents. Although no analysis is available on the type of dwellings occupied by renting families of the various types, it seems probable that the largest families are less apt to live in apartments where items such as heat are covered by rent. It must be remembered, however, that even when a figure is obtained for total housing expenditures including fuel, light, and refrigeration, families of types VI and VII rank low. Furthermore, as may be seen from the Chicago data (see table I of the supplement to the Tabular Summary) rental values of owned homes among these large families of types VI and VII tended to be lower, at comparable income levels, than were rental values of the other family types.

Families composed of only a husband and wife (type I), on the other hand, lived in homes with higher rents and rental values than did the other types at most income levels. In terms of per capita income the two-person family is better situated financially than the other types with the same family income; thus it is not surprising to find them allocating more for their living quarters.

[^15]
## Chapter III

## Expenses of Home Ownership

Since families that own the homes in which they live have quite different types of expenditures from tenant families, a separate treatment of the expenditures attributable to home ownership is presented. In addition to the current expenses on the owned home such as taxes, interest on mortgage, expense for repairs and replacements, and insurance on the dwelling, a nonmoney expense of home ownership is also shown. This last item is the difference between the rental value of the dwelling and the current money expenses. Theoretically, home owners could rent out their homes and make other living arrangements if circumstances required. Thus, although the difference between the money cost of owning a home and the rental value of the home is regarded as nonmoney income resulting from home ownership, this imputed income may also be regarded as a nonmoney expense of home owners.

Money expenses of home ownership.-The money expenses of ownership such as taxes, repairs, replacements, mortgage interest, insurance, etc., amount to about half of the rental value. ${ }^{1}$ (See fig. 4.) In 1935-36, the year of this survey, many families were just beginning to get on their feet financially and were probably undertaking to make repairs, etc., which had been needed for several years. Whether the expenses on owned homes would be as large following a period of several years of prosperity is an open question.
Disregarding differences in the income distribution of home owners in the large cities, average money expenses on owned homes ranged from $\$ 176$ in Omaha to $\$ 311$ in Providence. In New York the average was $\$ 459$, while in Chicago the average amounted to $\$ 272$. For intercity comparison, however, only families with the same incomes should be compared. (See pt. II, table 6.) At each income level, home owners in New York City had higher average expenses on their owned homes than did families in the other cities surveyed. Chicago home owners tended to have the second highest expenses although in a number of income brackets exceptions to this statement may be noted. Of the six large cities, current expenses on owned homes seemed to be greatest in Providence and least in Portland.

[^16]

Only at the low income levels did home owners in the cities surveyed spend as little as $\$ 100$ or less, on the average, for the current expenses of home ownership. As the higher income levels are reached, the expenses on the owned homes rose until at top brackets the money outlay on the home was very large. As a percentage of rental values of the dwellings, however, money expenses of home owners at the extremes of the income scale did not differ very materially in most cities.

In the following pages, the specific expenses entailed by home ownership and the capital investments made during the year will be discussed.

Taxes during 1935-86 on owned homes.-Data on taxes refer to the amounts incurred during the year but not necessarily the amounts actually paid. The rate of taxation for real estate differs significantly among various cities. In some cities the taxes are assessed on a proportion of market value; while in other instances taxes are in terms of the full market value. The types of items for which the general real-estate tax is levied also vary from community to community. Whereas the real estate tax may be separated from the taxes for paving, upkeep of streets, building of storm sewers, etc., in some cities, provision for these same items may be included in the general realestate tax in other communities. Furthermore, the tax rate may be uniform throughout the community or different in the various sections of the city. Taxation for improvements may be shared throughout the city or may be assessed against the property affected by the improvement. For these reasons therefore, it is felt that the combination of taxes with special assessments more accurately portrays the taxation item of expenditure for home owners than does the tax item alone.

Average expenditures for taxes and special assessments on owned homes.-Since taxes are based upon value of the home, we should expect to find a more or less consistent increase in average amount of taxes with rises in income level in all communities surveyed. In most of the cities the yearly taxes and special assessments averaged between $\$ 40$ and $\$ 100$ for home owners whose incomes for the year $1935-36$ were below $\$ 3,000$. (See table 10.) In New York City the averages were considerably higher; at no income level did the average fall below $\$ 125$, and in most levels it ranged between $\$ 130$ and $\$ 175$ for the year. Since rental values tended to be higher in New York City, however, larger taxes are to be expected. But this does not entirely account for the difference, because when shown as a percentage of rental value the taxes and special assessments of home owners in New York City rank above those of the other cities. (See table 11.)

Table 10.-Average expenditures for current taxes and assessments, by income class
A. WHITE FAMILIES

| Income class | Metropolises |  | Large cities |  |  |  |  |  | East Central cities |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | New York | Ohicago | Providence | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Colum- } \\ & \text { bus } \end{aligned}$ | Atlanta | OmahaCouncil Bluffis | $\underset{\text { ver }}{\text { Den- }}$ | Portland | Middlesized : | Small ${ }^{2}$ |
| Under \$250 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$490. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$52. 40 | \$54. 30 |
| \$500-\$749. | \$181. 00 | \$76.80 |  | \$78. 00 | \$56. 00 | \$26.90 | \$37.30 | \$ 88.50 | 46.90 | 45. 70 |
| \$750-\$999. | 165. 10 | 50.50 | \$45. 30 | 53.50 | 40.00 | 49.80 | 62.30 | 39.10 | 52.40 | 45. 40 |
| \$1, 000-\$1, 249 | 166. 20 | 77.50 | 54.40 | 40.60 | 45.80 | 50.70 | 53.80 | 43.80 | 59.60 | 55.40 |
| \$1, 250-\$1, 499 | 125. 30 | 72.10 | 77.70 | 50.70 | 47.50 | 54.50 | 49.50 | 53.50 | 66.40 | 52.00 |
| \$1, 500-\$1,749 | 140.80 | 80.20 | 74. 40 | 48. 00 | 57.00 | 62.20 | 71. 20 | 51.50 | 68. 00 | 58.30 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 134. 40 | 80.60 | 94. 40 | 54.00 | 58. 00 | 67.90 | 78.00 | 63.60 | 67. 20 | 68.20 |
| \$2, 000-\$2, 249 | 140.30 | 81.40 | 105.10 | 60.40 | 68. 40 | 69.20 | 84. 40 | 61.30 | 76. 50 | 67.60 |
| \$2, 250-\$2, 499 | 129.90 | 90.90 | 103. 90 | 59.20 | 81.30 | 75. 20 | 85.40 | 71. 50 | 72. 40 | 64.70 |
| \$2, 500-\$2, 999 | 143.80 | 106.20 | 101. 00 | 65.90 | 68. 60 | 84. 10 | 111.30 | 69.70 | 94.00 | 76.30 |
| \$3, 000-\$3, 499 | 150. 80 | 89.80 | 136. 40 | 73. 00 | 81.40 | 95.70 | 138.10 | 97.00 | 108.70 | 392.20 |
| \$3, 500-\$3,999 | 169. 30 | 115. 60 | 123.60 | 87.00 | 100.70 | 97.00 | 140.00 | 82.20 | 116.90 |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 209.50 | 110. 10 | 214. 20 | 91.00 | 108.70 | 119.90 | 149.00 | 111.80 | 130. 30 |  |
| \$5, 000-\$7, 499 | 245.00 | 170.00 | 208.90 | 100. 20 | 121. 60 | 149.30 | 226. 00 | 126. 50 | ${ }^{4} 183.20$ |  |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | 342. 10 | 197. 50 | 1364. 60 | ${ }^{5} 494.60$ | ${ }^{5} 191.30$ | ${ }^{6} 185.20$ | 287. $70{ }^{5}$ | $213.80{ }^{\text {5 }}$ |  |  |
| \$10,000 and over | 497. 40 | 345.10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

B. NEGRO FAMILIES

| Income class | Columbus | Atlanta | Income class | Columbus | Atlanta |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under \$250. |  | \$18.00 | \$1,750-\$1,999. | \$45. 60 | \$53. 70 |
| \$250-\$499 | \$24. 70 | 28. 40 | \$2, 000-\$2, 249. | 64. 30 | 63.00 |
| \$500-\$749 | 41.60 | 27.10 | \$2, 250-\$2, 499 | 44.30 | 54.00 |
| \$750-\$999 | 31.40 | 37.80 | \$2, 500-\$2,999. | 49.40 | 50.30 |
| \$1, 000-\$1, 249 | 31.10 | 33.30 | \$3, 000-\$3, 499. |  | 45.40 |
| \$1, 250-\$1, 499 | 35. 90 | 36. 30 | \$3,500-\$3,999. |  | 100.50 |
| \$1, 500-\$1, 749 | 32.60 | 44.60 | \$4, 000 and over |  | 86.60 |

1 Springfield, Ill., Muncie, and New Castle.
2 Beaver Falls, Connellsville, Logansport, Mattoon, and Peru.
3 Incomes of $\$ 3,000$ and over.
4 Incomes of $\$ 5,000$ and over.
8 Incomes of $\$ 7,500$ and over.
In the East Central region the average taxes and assessments in small cities tended to be less than those in the middle-sized communities at every income level except the lowest. However, since rental values were lower in the small cities, taxes represented a slightly larger fraction of the rental value than in the middle-sized cities at every income group. (See table 11.)

Taxes in Columbus fell below those of the middle-sized and smaller communities both in terms of averages and as percentages of rental value. In Chicago on the other hand, the average amount of taxes at given income levels exceeded that of families in the other East Central cities. But the ratio of taxes to rental values in Chicago was not consistently larger or smaller than in the other communities. Thus it cannot be concluded that taxes vary with city size in the region discussed.

Taxes and assessments as a percentage of rental value.-Although wide intercity differences are found in the ratio of expenditures for taxes on owned homes, within each city the percentage is quite uni-
form throughout most of the income range. (See table 11.) In Chicago, Providence, and Denver taxes generally formed about 20 percent of the rental value, while in Atlanta and Omaha, taxes amounted to between 15 and 20 percent of the rental value. In Columbus at most income levels taxes constituted slightly less than 15 percent of the rental value. The ratios in New York City, where home owners were distributed in any one of five boroughs, were not so uniform-due to fluctuations in rental values as well as in taxes at given income levels. Taxes in this metropolis represented roughly between 23 and 33 percent of the rental value.

Taxes and assessments of homes of Negroes.-Since Negroes tend to be more or less restricted to certain districts, it is not surprising to find that their taxes differ from those of white families at comparable income levels in Columbus and in Atlanta. If taxes are shown as a percentage of rental values, the taxes of the Negro group represent a smaller fraction of the rental value than is found of white home owners in most income brackets in these two cities.

Table 11.-Average expenditures for current taxes and assessments as a percentage of total rental value, by income class
A. WHITE FAMILIES

| Income class | Metropolises |  | Large cities |  |  |  |  |  | East Central cities |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | New York | Chicago | Providence | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Colum- } \\ & \text { bus } \end{aligned}$ | Atlanta | OmahaCouncil Bluffis | Denver | Portland | Middlesized 1 | Small ${ }^{2}$ |
| Under \$250 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 23.9 | 29.5 |
| \$500-\$749. | 62.2 | 27.0 |  | 32.8 | 23.2 | 12. 1 | 18.6 | 32.5 | 20.0 | 25.1 |
| \$750-\$999 | 23. 2 | 28.5 | 22.0 | 18.8 | 20.3 | 22.3 | 21.0 | 18.2 | 20.7 | 27.2 |
| \$1, 000-\$1, 249 | 33.4 | 22.3 | 20.9 | 13.2 | 18.6 | 20.2 | 20.0 | 18.7 | 20.9 | 21.9 |
| \$1, 250-\$1, 499 | 22.5 | 20.5 | 23.5 | 16.4 | 17.1 | 17.8 | 17.1 | 20.1 | 21.8 | 23.0 |
| \$1, 500-\$1, 749 | 28.7 | 20.3 | 19.4 | 13. 2 | 18. 0 | 18.2 | 20. 2 | 18. 3 | 19.9 | 22.4 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 28.9 | 19.8 | 20.4 | 13.7 | 15.9 | 17.4 | 20.9 | 20.9 | 18.3 | 21.2 |
| \$2, 000-\$2, 249 | 24.4 | 19.0 | 22.3 | 14.3 | 19.9 | 16.9 | 22.4 | 19.3 | 19.2 | 19.8 |
| \$2, 250-\$2, 499. | 24.9 | 20.1 | 22.4 | 14.1 | 19.5 | 17.7 | 21.0 | 19.6 | 17.7 | 19.7 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 28.0 | 21.4 | 21.0 | 14.2 | 16.5 | 19.3 | 22.5 | 17.9 | 18.9 | 21.1 |
| \$3, 000-\$3, 499 | 22.7 | 19.2 | 17.6 | 13.7 | 17.5 | 19.5 | 23.2 | 20.0 | 20.0 | ${ }^{3} 21.8$ |
| \$3, 500-\$3, 999 | 27.0 | 21.3 | 20.8 | 13.6 | 18.3 | 17.0 | 23.0 | 17.7 | 19.7 |  |
| \$4, 000-\$4, 999 | 25.6 | 19.0 | 23.9 | 14.2 | 17.1 | 17.3 | 22.7 | 21.0 | 19.5 |  |
| \$5, 000-\$7, 499 | 32.0 | 23.2 | 20.7 | 11.7 | 17.6 | 21.3 | 26.5 | 18.8 | 424.3 |  |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | 24.1 | 25.1 | ${ }^{5} 28.1$ | ${ }^{5} 30.8$ | 517.0 | ${ }^{5} 22.8$ | ${ }^{5} 20.9$ | ${ }^{1} 22.4$ |  |  |
| \$10, 000 and over | 33.2 | 26.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

B. NEGRO FAMILIES

| Income class | Oolumbus | Atlanta | Income class | Oolumbus | Atlanta |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under \$250. |  | 14.9 | \$1, 750-\$1, 999. | 11.5 | 18.6 |
| \$250-\$499. | 10.2 | 20.9 | \$2, 000-\$2, 249. | 19.5 | 20.1 |
| \$500-\$749 | 18.4 | 17.4 | \$2, 250-\$2, 499. | 10.2 | 16.3 |
| \$750-\$999 | 11.5 | 18.7 | \$2, $500-\$ 2,909$ | 13.8 | 14.5 |
| \$1, 000-\$1, 249 | 13.6 | 13.9 | \$3, 000-\$3, 499 |  | 14.9 |
| \$1,250-\$1, 499 | 12.8 | 15.9 | \$3, 500-\$3,989. |  | 29.2 |
| \$1,500-\$1, 749 ........ | 12.0 | 17.8 | \$4, 000 and over |  | 18.2 |

[^17]The average amount spent for taxes and assessments tends to be slightly higher in Atlanta than in Columbus for families of the same income level.

Percentage of home owners having expenditures for repairs and replace-ments.-Included as repairs and replacements were those expenditures which replaced or renovated worn parts and which, therefore, maintained rather than added to the value of the home. Structural additions to the home were considered as improvements and not classified as current expenses, but as increases in assets. It was often difficult to differentiate between repairs and improvements, particularly if the material used in making the repair differed from that originally in the home. However, in order to simplify the field interviews and editorial procedure, replacements, even though with more costly material than that originally used, were regarded as repairs.

The proportion of home owners having expenditures for repairs and replacements in the year $1935-36$ tended to rise with income level, especially among families having incomes of $\$ 1,000$ or more during the year. (See pt. II, table 6.) In the metropolises and large cities from 23 to 36 percent of the families with $\$ 1,000$ to $\$ 1,250$ income reported expenditures for repairs or replacements. At the $\$ 2,000-\$ 2,250$ income level, from 36 to 61 percent of the owners made repairs or replacements, while at the $\$ 5,000-\$ 7,500$ level from 46 to 77 percent reported expenditures of this sort.

In practically every income class a lower percentage of families in the Southeastern cities had expenditures for repairs and replacements than in the Northern and Central cities of comparable size. ${ }^{2}$ Home owners in New York City represented the other extreme; they tended to have expenses for these items more frequently than did families which possessed their homes in the other communities.

Average expenditures for repairs and replacements.-The extensiveness of repairs made by home owners may vary greatly from year to year and from owner to owner. During a period of great depression, such as occurred in the early thirties, the upkeep of many homes was neglected, and thus in the year 1935-36 major repairs may have been more frequent than would have been the case in a year following a period of prosperity.

The average expenditures for repairs or replacements of those home owners who had such expenditures rarely fell below $\$ 50$ for the year in any income class and seldom exceeded $\$ 200$ in the income

[^18]levels under $\$ 5,000$. The expenditures for such items tended to be larger at the upper than at the lower income levels, but extreme fluctuations in the averages were found in every income class in the cities surveyed. (See table 12.) Among home owners as a whole, the average expense for repairs and replacements amounted to between $\$ 62$ and $\$ 113$, for the metropolises and larger cities. These averages represent roughly one-tenth of rental value.

Improvements on owned homes.-As was pointed out above, the cost of improvements or structural additions to the home was a capital investment and as such was treated as an increase in assets rather than as a current expense of home ownership. The proportion of home owners who improved their homes was, in each city and at every income level, considerably lower than the proportion who merely made repairs. Omaha and Atlanta had the most owners,

Table 12.-Average expenditures for repairs and replacements for families reporting repairs or replacements, by income class
A. WHITE FAMILIES

| Income class | Metropolises |  | Large cities |  |  |  |  |  | East Central cities |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | New York | Ohicago | Providence | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Colum- } \\ & \text { bus } \end{aligned}$ | Atlanta | OrnahaCouncil Blufts | $\underset{\text { ver }}{\text { Den- }}$ | Portland | Middlesized 1 | Small ${ }^{2}$ |
| Under \$250. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$28 | \$101 |
| \$500-\$749 | \$104 | \$18 |  | \$15 | \$50 | \$69 | \$12 |  | 42 | 30 |
| \$750-\$999 | 48 | 138 | \$6 | 29 | 29 | 57 | 4 | \$81 | 38 | 42 |
| \$1,000-\$1, 249 | 15 | 39 |  | 65 | 89 | 110 | 41 | 140 | 53 | 56 |
| \$1, 250-\$1, 499 | 108 | 38 | 87 | 114 | 183 | 75 | 39 | 94 | 72 | 200 |
| \$1, 500-\$1, 749 | 60 | 172 | 178 | 111 | 69 | 141 | 53 | 101 | 58 | 74 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 110 | 74 | 150 | 93 | 86 | 80 | 103 | 75 | 104 | 75 |
| \$2, 000-\$2, 249 | 72 | 72 | 176 | 105 | 58 | 69 | 42 | 80 | 60 | 69 |
| \$2, 250-\$2, 499 | 123 | 84 | 88 | 81 | 90 | 46 | 67 | 78 | 84 | 75 |
| \$2, 500-\$2,999- | 103 | 77 | 71 | 98 | 57 | 77 | 74 | 88 | 81 | 123 |
| \$3,000-\$3, 499. | 185 | 102 | 358 | 150 | 89 | 97 | 99 | 112 | 97 | ${ }^{3} 110$ |
| \$3, 500-\$3,999. | 122 | 83 | 121 | 88 | 129 | 232 | 151 | 143 | 122 |  |
| \$4, 000-\$4, 909 | 181 | 92 | 164 | 83 | 118 | 100 | 89 | 132 | 75 |  |
| \$5, 000-\$7, 499 . | 167 | 122 | 215 | 133 | 138 | 204 | 158 | 215 | ${ }^{4} 157$ |  |
| \$7,500-\$9,999. | 116 | 115 | \$220 | ${ }^{5} 715$ | ${ }^{6} 205$ | 8112 | ${ }^{3} 216$ | 8121 |  |  |
| \$10,000 and over | 872 | 326 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average ${ }^{6}$....... | 113 | 87 | 101 | 97 | 87 | 92 | 62 | 95 | 68 | 87 |

B. NEGRO FAMILIES

| Income class | Columbus | Atlanta | Income class | Columbus | Atlanta |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under $\$ 250$. |  |  | \$2, 000-\$2, 249 | \$70 | \$77 |
| \$250-\$499. |  | \$21 | \$2, 250-\$2, 499.. | 144 | 186 |
| \$500-\$749 |  | 47 | \$2, 500-\$2, 999. | 100 | 128 |
| \$750-\$999 | \$184 | 109 | \$3, 000-\$3, 499 |  | 170 |
| \$1, 0000-\$1, 249 | 163 | 81 | \$3, 500-\$3, 999 |  | 325 |
| \$1, 250-\$1, 499 | 32 | 61 | \$4, 000 and over |  | 48 |
| \$1, 500-\$1, 749 | 72 | 84 |  |  |  |
| \$1, 750-\$1,999. | 61 | 264 | Average ${ }^{3}$ | 109 | 68 |

${ }^{1}$ Springfield, Ill, Muncie, and New Castle.
Beaver Falls, Connellsville, Logansport, Mattoon, and Peru.
3 Incomes of $\$ 3,000$ and over.
4 Incomes of $\$ 5,000$ and over.
5 Incomes of $\$ 7,500$ and over.
8 Weighted by number of eligible families.
proportionately, who increased the value of their homes by improvements while Chicago and Columbus had the fewest. Throughout the income range, however, no city stood out as having a consistently high proportion of home owners who made improvements on their dwellings. (See table K of the supplement to the Tabular Summary.) Neither does there appear to be a relationship between income level and the percentage of owners who made structural additions to the home or improved it in some other way.

Table 13.-Average expenditure for home owners reporting improvements on their homes, by income class ${ }^{1}$

WHITE FAMILIES

| Income class | Metropolises |  | Large cities |  |  |  |  |  | East Central cities |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { New } \\ & \text { York } \end{aligned}$ | Chicago | Providence | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Colum- } \\ & \text { bus } \end{aligned}$ | Atlanta | Omaha- <br> Council <br> Blufis | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Den- } \\ & \text { ver } \end{aligned}$ | Port- | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Middle- } \\ & \text { sized }^{2} \end{aligned}$ | Small ${ }^{3}$ |
| Under $\$ 250$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \$ 250-\$ 499 \\ & \$ 500-\$ 749 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$25 | \$38 | \$29 |
| \$750-\$999 |  |  |  |  | \$127 | $\$ 200$ |  | 16 | 50 | 5 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | \$11 | \$55 |  | \$100 | 112 | 193 | \$400 | 75 | 129 | 86 |
| \$1,250-\$1, 499 |  | 100 | \$104 | 29 | 48 | 212 | 258 | 105 | 70 | 130 |
| \$1, 500-81, 749 | 50 | 17 | 10 | 268 | 58 | 211 | 45 | 45 | 13 | 191 |
| \$1,750-\$1, 999 | 223 | 600 | 735 | 53 | 122 | 44 | 166 | 37 | 146 | 142 |
| \$2,000-\$2, 249 | 312 | 245 | 247 | 291 | 58 | 132 | 147 | 171 | 117 | 161 |
| \$2, 250-\$2, 499 |  | 178 |  | 167 | 67 | 412 | 165 | 154 | 331 | 153 |
| \$2, 500-\$2, 999 | 205 | 229 | 88 | 130 | 140 | 331 | 110 | 172 | 198 | 169 |
| \$3,000-\$3, 489 | 257 | 180 | 430 | 154 | 240 | 266 | 466 | 107 | 76 | ${ }^{1} 343$ |
| \$3, 500-\$3, 999. | 245 | 197 |  | 116 | 120 | 189 | 108 | 314 | 54 |  |
| \$4,000-\$4, 999 | 562 | 417 |  | 283 | 105 | 227 | 422 | 108 | 320 |  |
| \$5,000-\$7, 499 | 6 | 617 |  | 139 | 177 | 93 | 453 | 232 | ${ }^{6} 273$ |  |
| \$7, 500-\$9,909 |  | 649 | ${ }^{6} 716$ | ${ }^{6} 134$ | ${ }^{6} 593$ |  | ${ }^{6} 254$ | ${ }^{6} 457$ |  |  |
| \$10, 000 and over | 1,491 | 273 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average-.--- | 220 | 223 | 266 | 146 | 107 | 71 | 214 | 100 | 113 | 128 |

${ }^{1}$ The proportions of home owners used in deriving these figures are shown in pt. II, table 5. They exclude families which were home owners during only a portion of the year. Thus the averages shown are only approximate for those income levels where families of mixed tenure were found.
${ }^{2}$ Springfield, Ill., Muncie, and New Castle.
${ }_{3}{ }^{2}$ Beaver Falls, Connellsville, Logansport, Mattoon, and Peru.
4 Incomes of $\$ 3,000$ and over.
6 Incomes of $\$ 5,000$ and over.
${ }^{8}$ Incomes of $\$ 7,500$ and over.
The amount spent for improvements by owners having such expenditures tended to be higher at the top income levels than at the bottom but there was no consistent increase with rise in income level. With reference to table 13, we find that Providence home owners spent the most, on the average, for improvements (\$266) and Omaha owners, the least (\$71). In the metropolises and most of the large cities home owners who reported such expenditures spent, on the average, two to three times as much for improvements as did those owners making repairs and replacements. But at given income levels within each city, improvements sometimes cost more and sometimes less than repairs among families reporting these items.

Interest on mortgage.-Next to taxes, repairs, and replacements, interest on mortgage constitutes one of the major current expenses
of home ownership. ${ }^{3}$ As would be expected, the amount of interest payment tended to increase with rise in income level since rental value figures indicate that homes are more expensive as the higher income levels are reached. (See pt. II, table 6.)

The average amount of interest on mortgages at a given income level is, in part, a function of difference in the proportion of homes having mortgages. Although a tabulation of the percentage of mortgaged homes was not prepared from the controlled sample, such a tabulation is available for the random sample of families giving the short interview. ${ }^{4}$ These percentages are presented in table $L$ of the supplement to the Tabular Summary although they are not based on the same sample of families as those giving the detailed expenditure data. From these figures it may be noted that mortgages are slightly less prevalent, proportionately, at the extremes of the income range. In Chicago, for instance, whereas 67 percent of all nonrelief native white complete families which were home owners had mortgaged homes, the proportion of mortgaged homes fell below this percentage at every income level up to $\$ 750$ and at each interval above $\$ 3,000$. At the $\$ 10,000$ and over level only 35 percent of the owned homes were mortgaged.

The average amount paid as interest on mortgage tended to be higher in New York City than in the other cities surveyed. Home owners in this metropolis were paying an average of between $\$ 150$ and $\$ 250$ as mortgage interest in most of the income brackets. At the extremes of the income scale-under $\$ 750$ and $\$ 7,500$ and over-the interest payments deviated from these averages. Chicago home owners ranked close to those in New York City in the size of their interest expenditures, and Providence owners quite consistently had larger expenditures for this item than did home owners in the other five large communities. In general, however, home owners of given incomes had very similar mortgage interest expenses in the different large cities. As would be expected from rental value data, interest on mortgages tends to rise with income. In no city did the average interest due among home owners with incomes of $\$ 500-\$ 750$ for the year average as much as $\$ 40$. At the next higher income class the average did not exceed $\$ 75$ in any city. The average interest continued to rise with income, until at the $\$ 5,000-\$ 7,500$ level home owners had interest charges of no less than $\$ 65$ (in Omaha) and as much as $\$ 237$ (in New York City).

[^19]Interest on mortgage expressed as a percentage of rental value of owned homes appears in table 14. New York City home owners lead the owners in other cities in size of interest payments at most income levels according to these figures also. Interest on mortgage for families which owned their homes in this metropolis, represented roughly from 25 to 35 percent of the rental value of homes in all but a few income levels. In Chicago, Providence, Columbus, and Atlanta the comparable percentages were slightly lower-between 20 and 30 percent as a rule-while in the other cities surveyed the most commonly occurring ratios were between 15 and 20 percent.

Table 14.-Expenditure for interest on mortgage as a percentage of total rental value, by income class
A. WHITE FAMILIES

| Income class | Metropolises |  | Large cities |  |  |  |  |  | East Central cities |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | New | Chicago | Providence | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Colum- } \\ & \text { bus } \end{aligned}$ | Atlanta | OmahaCouncil Bluffis | $\begin{gathered} \text { Den- } \\ \text { ver } \end{gathered}$ | Port- | Middlesized ${ }^{1}$ | Small ${ }^{2}$ |
| Under |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3. 3 | 18.8 |
| \$500-\$749 | 5.6 | 13.1 |  | 9.0 | 14.5 | 3.1 | 4.0 | 9.6 | 24.0 | 12.4 |
| \$750-\$999 | 8.7 | 24.8 | 9.7 | 15.0 | 34.5 | 10.6 | 25. 2 | 24. 9 | 12.9 | 27.6 |
| \$1,000- \$1,249 | 36.3 | 38.6 26.0 | 25.1 18.3 | 26.1 | 22.3 <br> 21.0 | 15.1 8.7 | 8.7 11.2 | 11.5 21.9 | 16.4 <br> 14.5 | 12.2 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 32.1 <br> 39.8 | 26.0 23.2 | 18.3 22.9 | 25.8 21.6 | 21.0 17.6 | $\begin{array}{r}8.7 \\ 13.8 \\ \hline 8\end{array}$ | 11.2 20.1 | 21.9 17.7 | 14.5 14.6 | 20.7 23.5 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 25.5 | 33.9 | 27.0 | 20.2 | 22.3 | 10.3 | 15. 4 | 21.4 | 16.2 | 19.7 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 27.9 | 29.1 | 21.4 | 20.0 | 18.5 | 15.3 | 18.3 | 22.0 | 16.9 | 14.7 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499. | 32.8 | 26.3 | 21.2 | 23.4 | 25.7 | 11.7 | 13.4 | 19.6 | 14.9 | 17.3 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 33.4 | 26.2 | 19.8 | 20.5 | 18.3 | 13.3 | 17.2 | 17. 1 | 15.8 | 14.9 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 33.4 | 18.7 | 22.7 | 20.2 | 23.3 | 7.6 | 16.6 | 19.3 | 16.1 | ${ }^{3} 14.7$ |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 26.6 | 21.3 | 19.0 | 17.0 | 19.1 | 10.7 | 18.1 | 20.6 | 10.8 |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 28.9 | 20.0 | 11.6 | 18.1 | $\begin{array}{r}23.7 \\ \hline 178\end{array}$ | 9.6 | 14.8 | 22.1 | 7.8 4168 |  |
| $\$ 5,000-\$ 7,499$ $\$ 7,500-\$ 9,999$ | 31.0 19.6 | 20.5 7.7 | 17.7 813.5 | 17.1 86.0 | 17.8 815.7 | 9.3 56.6 | 9.4 811.0 | 19.5 515.0 | 416.0 |  |
| \$7,500-\$9,999.... <br> $\$ 10,000$ and over | 19.6 30.4 | $\begin{array}{r}7.7 \\ 21.6 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | ${ }^{13.5}$ | ${ }^{5} 6.0$ | ${ }^{17.7}$ | ${ }^{5} 6.6$ | ${ }^{1} 1.0$ | ${ }^{1} 15.0$ |  |  |

B. NEGRO FAMILIES

| Income class | Columbus | Atlanta | Income class | Columbus | Atlanta |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under \$250. |  |  | \$1,750-\$1,999 | 23.7 | 29.0 |
| \$250-\$499 | 38. 1 | 11.1 | \$2,000-\$2,249. | 33.0 | 18.3 |
| \$500-\$749 | 20.7 | 8.7 | \$2,250-\$2,499 | 32.2 | 19.5 |
| \$750-\$999 | 21.2 | 14.0 | \$2,500-\$2,999 | 22.1 | 18.7 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 18.8 | 19.2 | \$3,000-\$3,499. |  | 14.0 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 17.7 | 17.0 | \$3,500-\$3,999. |  |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 31.8 | 15.8 | \$4,000 and over | - | 14.2 |

${ }^{1}$ Springfield, Ill., Muncie, and New Castle.
${ }^{2}$ Beaver Falls, Connellsville, Logansport, Mattoon, and Peru.
${ }^{3}$ Incomes of $\$ 3,000$ and over.
4 Incomes of $\$ 5,000$ and over.
${ }^{5}$ Incomes of $\$ 7,500$ and over.
Decrease in mortgage. ${ }^{5}$-The amount by which a family decreased the principal of the mortgage on its home was not considered as a cur-

[^20]rent expense of home ownership but was classified rather as a decrease in the family's liabilities. As seen in table $L$ of the supplement to the Tabular Summary, the proportion of owned homes which were mortgaged was higher in New York than in the other large cities. But a smaller proportion (15 percent) of New York home owners, as compared with owners in the other cities for which data are presented in table $\mathbf{M}$ of the supplement to the Tabular Summary, decreased their mortgages by making payments on the principal. No one city stands out as having a consistently high proportion of owners which decreased mortgages, but Atlanta, Columbus, Portland, and Omaha tended to rank high. In each of these cities approximately one-half of the home owners paid off part of the principal of the mortgage; this proportion is almost equal to the percentage of home owners which reported mortgages in the random samples for these four cities (table L of the supplement to the Tabular Summary).

Table 15.-Average amount of decrease in mortgage for home owners reporting decrease, by income class ${ }^{1}$
A. WHITE FAMILIES

| Income class | Metropolises |  | Large cities |  |  |  |  |  | East Central cities |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | New | Ohicago | Providence | $\begin{gathered} \text { Colum- } \\ \text { bus } \end{gathered}$ | Atlanta | Omaha- <br> Council <br> Bluffs | $\underset{\text { ver }}{\text { Den- }}$ | Portland | Middlesized ${ }^{2}$ | Small ${ }^{3}$ |
| Under \$250. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$38- |  |
| \$500-\$749 |  |  |  | \$29 | \$505 |  | \$38 | ${ }^{\$ 87}$ | 70 |  |
| \$750-\$999 <br> $\$ 1,000-\$ 1,249$ | \$144 | $\$ 80$ 140 | \$118 | 88 93 | 116 110 | 187 125 | $\begin{array}{r}141 \\ 58 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 105 | 93 114 | 67 125 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 |  | 133 | 100 | 169 | 107 | 120 | 103 | 148 | 100 | 94 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 122 | 243 | 233 | 152 | 168 | 135 | 146 | 166 | 139 | 135 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 83 | 206 | 147 | 154 | 162 | 211 | 201 | 145 | 176 | 148 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 2,475 | 250 | 136 | 170 | 179 | 159 | 164 | 174 | 185 | 138 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 173 | 193 | 152 | 198 | 238 | 194 | 191 | 177 | 194 | 167 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999. | ${ }_{266}$ | 291 | 178 | 228 | 214 | 171 | 307 | 197 | 230 | 195 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 275 | 229 | 253 | 290 | 232 | 226 | 805 | 295 | 227 | 4339 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 311 | 317 | 215 | 313 | 316 | 254 | 665 | 338 | 370 |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 455 | 324 | 164 | 374 | 408 | 606 | 390 | 335 | 437 |  |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 962 | 411 | 297 | 360 | 340 | 209 | 455 | 437 | ${ }^{5} 565$ |  |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | 403 | 530 | ${ }^{\circ} 1,002$ | ${ }^{6} 942$ | ${ }^{6} 437$ | - 360 | ${ }^{\circ} 748$ | ${ }^{6} 713$ |  |  |
| \$10,000 and over | 207 | 887 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average | 557 | 214 | 172 | 182 | 201 | 173 | 213 | 169 | 155 | 133 |

B. NEGRO FAMILIES

| Income class | Columbus | Atlanta | Income class | Columbus | Atlanta |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under \$250. |  |  | \$2,000-\$2,249 | \$223 | \$137 |
| \$250-\$499 | \$76 | \$17 | \$2,250- $\$ 2,499$ | 195 | 231 |
| \$500-\$749 |  | 46 | \$2,500-\$2,999 | 210 | 253 |
| \$750-\$999 | 76 | 116 | \$3,000-\$3,499 |  | 215 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 122 | 100 | \$3,500-\$3,999 |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 177 | 130 | \$4,000 and over. |  | 683 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 118 216 | 176 180 | Average. | 116 |  |
| 1, |  |  | Average...- | 116 | 81 |

[^21]Although the proportion of home owners which decreased their mortgages does not appear to be related to income level, the amount which they paid on the principal shows a tendency to increase with rise in income level. (See table 15.) New York home owners reported the largest average payments on the principal (\$557) while Portland's owners averaged the lowest in the large cities (\$169). ${ }^{6}$

In the East Central region the average amount paid off on mortgages was highest in the metropolis and lowest in the small cities.

Table 16.-Average amount of increase in mortgage for home owners reporting increase, by income class ${ }^{1}$
A. WHITE FAMILIES

| Income class | Metropolises |  | Large cities |  |  |  |  |  | East Central cities |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { New } \\ & \text { York } \end{aligned}$ | Chicago | Providence | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Colum- } \\ & \text { bus } \end{aligned}$ | Atlanta | OmahsCouncil Blufis | $\begin{gathered} \text { Den- } \\ \text { ver } \end{gathered}$ | Portland | Middlesized ${ }^{2}$ | Small ${ }^{8}$ |
| Under $\$ 250$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$162 |
| \$750-6099 |  | \$1,420 |  |  |  | \$500 | \$4,800 | \$1, 520 | 800 | 208 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. |  |  | \$540 | \$2, 100 |  | 1,232 | 508 |  | 980 |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | ${ }^{1150}$ |  | 200 |  | \$1, 727 | 1, 600 | 10,333 | 1,879 | 1,333 | 1,181 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 2, 050 | 880 |  | 1,968 | 1,745 | ${ }_{761}^{861}$ | ${ }^{967}$ | 1,348 | 2,700 | 1,558 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 308 | 838 | 1,261 | 1, 1,643 | 1,209 1,850 | 761 606 | 800 |  | 1,372 410 | $\begin{array}{r}2,078 \\ \mathbf{2} \\ \hline\end{array}$ |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 |  | 3, 033 |  | 2, 470 | 1,936 | 1,350 | 2,052 | ${ }_{2}^{1,092}$ | 3, 025 | 1,958 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 100 | 1, 304 |  | 2, 250 | 2,286 | 1,033 |  | 2,600 | 1,640 |  |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 |  | 2, 800 |  | 4,507 | 3, 011 |  | 206 | 2, 262 |  | 42,265 |
| \$3,500- $\$ 3,999$. |  | 3, 736 |  | 3, 120 | 4, 361 |  | 3,200 | 3,183 | 4,840 |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999. |  | 3,683 |  | 2,275 | 4,721 | 5,024 | 5,027 | 4,710 | 1,715 |  |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 |  |  |  | 2,615 |  | 6,146 | 1,975 | 2,725 |  |  |
| \$10,000 and over-.... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A verage. | ${ }^{(6)}$ | 1, 556 | ${ }^{(6)}$ | 2, 297 | 2,065 | 1,214 | 2,812 | 2,088 | 1, 491 | 1,304 |

B. NEGRO FAMILIES

| Income class | Columbus | Atlanta | Income class | Columbus | Atlanta |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under \$250. |  |  | \$2,000-\$2,249 | (4) | \$1,869 |
| \$250-\$499. |  | \$500 | \$2,250-\$2,499. | (1) | 2,982 |
| \$500-\$749. |  | 500 | \$2,500-\$2,999. | (1) | 2,334 |
| \$750-\$999 |  | 725 | \$3,000-\$3,499. | (1) |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 |  | 1,200 | \$3,500-\$3,999. | (4) |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. |  | 480 | \$4,000 and over | ( ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 |  | 2,366 |  |  |  |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | \$3, 96 | 2,519 | Average.-------- | ( ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 735 |

[^22]Increase in mortgage.-Net increases in the amount of mortgage, whether through borrowing money on a newly purchased home or through refinancing a mortgage, were reported less commonly than were decreases. Of the home owners in the large cities, as few as 3 percent (Portland) and no more than 5 percent (Omaha) reported a net increase in mortgage. (See table N of the supplement to the Tabular Summary.) The amounts borrowed on their homes by families increasing their liabilities in the form of a mortgage were of course significantly larger than the amounts which other home owners paid on their principal. Among home owners increasing their mortgages, those in Denver reported the largest average increase for the year, $\$ 2,812$. Omaha represented the other extreme with an average increase of only $\$ 1,214$. The variations between cities and at different income levels were large and followed no consistent pattern as may be seen from the figures in table 16.

Insurance on owned homes.-Insurance on the owned home is one of the minor expenses of ownership. In the East Central cities surveyed, the average expenditure for insurance ranged from a few dollars at the lowest income levels where rental values of the homes were also low, to as much as $\$ 87$ for homes in which the rental value amounted to over $\$ 1,300$ for the year of the survey. In each city there was a progressive rise in the size of the insurance payment with each increase in income level. If expressed as a percentage of rental value, however, insurance payments on the owned homes showed no consistent variation from income level to income level. During the year of the survey, expenditures for insurance represented from 1 to 4 percent of the rental value of the owned homes.

Insurance in middle-sized and small cities tends to be somewhat higher than in Columbus and Chicago at comparable income levels, particularly if expressed as a percentage of rental value. Since fire insurance forms the major portion of the home insurance expense, differences in rates for this type of insurance probably account for the correlation between insurance expenditures and city size.
The average payments for insurance shown in part II, table 6, are based upon all home owners-those having insurance payments during the year as well as those who did not have insurance expenditures in 1935-36. As a rule, fire insurance on owned homes in most regions tends to be on a 3 -year basis, although some insurances are written for longer or shorter periods. No attempt was made to prorate payments for previous or coming years as an allocation of expense for the schedule year. It is quite possible that many home owners had not paid insurance during the years immediately preceding 1935-36, so although most of the policies may have covered 3 years, it does not necessarily follow that only one-third of the families would have made insurance payments during the year of survey.

Percentage of home-owning families having expenditures for insurance on owned home. -The relatively large average expenditure for insurance on owned homes made by home owners in New York City and Atlanta was due to a larger percentage of families having insurance due during the year. In these two cities well over half of the families in most income brackets had insurance expense. As a general rule, the proportions having insurance charges tended to be somewhat lower in the other communities, but in only rare instances did fewer than one-third of the home owners report on insurance payment.

There is no consistent relation between income and proportion of families making insurance payments in the various communities.

Average insurance expense on owned homes for families reporting this item.-While these averages may be influenced by the number of years customarily covered by the insurance as well as by the insurance rates in different communities during a given year the figures shown in table 17 indicate how much insurance took out of the budget of home owners who made payments.

Table 1\%.-Average expenditures for insurance premiums on owned homes for families having insurance expenditures, by income class
A. WHITE FAMILIES

| Income class | Metropolises |  | Large cities |  |  |  |  |  | East Central cities |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | New | Chicago | Providence | $\begin{gathered} \text { Colum- } \\ \text { bus } \end{gathered}$ | Atlanta | Omaha- <br> Council <br> Bluffs | $\begin{gathered} \text { Den- } \\ \text { ver } \end{gathered}$ | Portland | Middlesized | Small ${ }^{2}$ |
| Under \$250.. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\$ 10$ | \$8 |
| \$550-8749 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 14 |
| \$750-\$999 | ${ }^{(3)} 28$ | 13 15 | $\$ 3$ 6 | 116 | ${ }^{\$ 19}$ | 5 12 | 5 10 | ${ }^{5}$ | 10 | 15 |
| \$1, 250-\$1, 499. | 28 | ${ }_{9}$ | 11 | 11 | 13 | 9 | 9 | 14 | 17 | 18 |
| \$1, 500-81,749 | 27 | 20 | 5 | 13 | 15 | 18 | 14 | 13 | 21 | 17 |
| \$1,750-\$1, 990 | 18 | 19 | 12 | 11 | 13 | 22 | 14 | 13 | 22 | 16 |
| \$2, $000-\$ 2,249$ | 26 | 28 | 10 | 16 | 13 | 20 | 14 | 17 | 16 | 20 |
| \$2, 250-\$2, 499 | 16 | 25 | 12 | 17 | 26 | 24 | 18 | 18 | 23 | 19 |
| \$2, $500-\$ 2,999$ | 19 | 32 | 18 | 19 | 17 | ${ }^{26}$ | 18 | ${ }^{17}$ | 29 | ${ }^{25}$ |
| \$3, 000-\$3, 499 | 18 | 22 | 16 | 20 | 27 | 22 | 15 | 24 | 31 | 429 |
| \$3, 500-\$3, 999 | ${ }^{23}$ | 26 | 11 | ${ }_{2}^{25}$ | 29 | 23 | 20 | 28 | 35 |  |
| \$4, 000-\$4, 999. | 30 | 18 | 30 | 21 | 33 | 43 | 20 | 24 | 42 |  |
| \$5, 000-87, 499 | 40 | 32 | 28 | 29 | 28 | 68 | 39 | 33 | ${ }^{6} 45$ |  |
| \$7,500-\$9, 999 | 41 | 37 | ${ }^{8} 41$ | ${ }^{6} 72$ | ${ }^{6} 44$ | ${ }^{6} 69$ | ${ }^{6} 45$ | 645 |  |  |
| \$10, 000 and over | 27 | 108 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

B. NEGRO FAMILIES

| Income class | Columbus | Atlanta | Income class | Columbus | Atlanta |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under \$250. |  | (3) | \$1, 750-\$1, 999. | \$26 | \$17 |
| \$250-\$499. | $\$ 9$ | \$12 | \$2, 000-\$2, 249 | 10 | 24 |
| \$500-\$749 | 12 | 9 | \$2, 250-\$2, 499. | 19 | 21 |
| \$750-\$999 | 13 | 9 | \$2, 500-\$2, 999 | 10 | 17 |
| \$1,000-\$1, 249. | 11 | 12 | \$3, 000-\$3, 499 |  | 18 |
| \$1, 250-\$1, 499 | 9 | 14 | \$3, 500-\$3, 999. |  | 10 |
| \$1, 500-\$1, $749 \ldots$ | 8 | 22 | \$4,000 and over. |  | 29 |

[^23]As would be expected, the amount of insurance paid bears a definite relation to rental value of dwellings. Since rental values rise with increases in income, so does the insurance expense. Within a given income level, however, wide intercity differences exist in amount spent for insurance. Chicago and Omaha home owners tended to spend a few dollars more for insurance than did families in New York City and in the other large cities; but there are exceptions to this generalization.

Among home owners in cities of the East Central region those living in middle-sized cities tended to have higher insurance premiums than did home owners in Columbus; and at a number of income levels the payments in these smaller communities exceeded those of home owners in Chicago. Thus it appears that expenditures for insurance on homes are, as a rule, slightly higher in the smaller than in the larger cities. This correlation with city size is not, however, without exceptions.

Average nonmoney expense of (or imputed income from) owneroccupied homes.-As the above analysis has shown, current money expenses of home ownership tend to fall below rental values. The difference between the money expenses of home ownership and the rental value of the owner-occupied dwelling was treated as nonmoney income from home ownership in arriving at family income. But, as was pointed out above, this difference must also be considered a nonmoney expense to home owners, because they could be renting their dwellings to other families and receiving the full rental value from tenants. Since, however, the owners prefer to occupy the dwellings themselves, they should regard the rental value as a whole as their expense. Part of this expense is paid in the form of taxes, interest on mortgage, repairs and replacements, and other items discussed above, while the other portion of rental value may be represented as a nonmoney expense to home owners. In one sense, this nonmoney expense represents the consumption of the interest return on the money invested in the owned home. If the family had invested its capital in stocks: or bonds or in some business enterprise instead of in the purchase of a home, the family would probably be receiving an interest or profit; return which could be applied on the rent of a dwelling.

Whether the return to the home owner exceeds the return which could be received from the investment of the capital elsewhere cannot be ascertained from the data of the present study since figures are lacking on the amount invested and date of purchase of the home. Thus the present analysis will be confined to the amount of nonmoney expense (or imputed income) secured by families which were home owners and the relation of this expense to the rental value of the dwelling.

During the year 1935-36, the difference between rental value and money expenses of home ownership amounted to, on the average, from $\$ 150$ to $\$ 217$ for home owners in the metropolitan and large cities surveyed. Except for an occasional income group in which an extreme deviation or two was noticeably reflected in the average, nonmoney expense of home ownership increased with rise in income level. (See table 18.) In a few instances, as in two income classes in New York City, the money expenses of home ownership exceeded the rental value of the home, with a resultant deficit or negative nonmoney expense for the owned home.

Table 18.-Average nonmoney expense of home ownership, for home owners, by income class
A. WHITE FAMILIES IN METROPOLISES AND LARGE CITIES

| Income class | New York | Chicago | Provi- <br> dence | Colum- bus | Atlanta | OmahaCouncil Bluffis | Denver | Portland |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under \$250. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | -\$23 | \$162 |  | \$127 | \$100 | \$130 | \$151 | \$101 |
| \$750-\$999 | 457 |  | \$132 | 173 | 69 | 112 | 157 | 98 |
| \$1,000-\$1, 249 | 131 | 116 | 136 | 164 | 107 | 118 | 171 | 125 |
| \$1, 250-\$1, 499. | 196 | 170 | 153 | 130 | 117 | 191 | 184 | 112 |
| \$1,500-\$1, 749 | 99 | 149 | 152 | 177 | 166 | 160 | 177 | 122 |
| \$1, 750-\$1,999. | 111 | 145 | 158 | 214 | 175 | 238 | 181 | 149 |
| \$2, 000-\$2, 249 | 223 | 146 | 154 | 216 | 182 | 231 | 198 | 142 |
| \$2, 250-\$2, 499 | 138 | 164 | 209 | 214 | 190 | 257 | 217 | 176 |
| \$2, 500-\$2, 999 | 113 | 180 | 235 | 242 | 231 | 239 | 260 | 208 |
| \$3, 000-\$3, 499 | 121 | 217 | 255 | 271 | 222 | 292 | 306 | 219 |
| \$3,500-\$3, 909 | 184 | 227 | 281 | 386 | 286 | 308 | 277 | 178 |
| \$4, 000-\$4,999. | 222 | 279 | 485 | 372 | 279 | 426 | 371 | 225 |
| \$5,000-\$7, 499 | 117 | 330 | 483 | 514 | 359 | 355 | 445 | 284 |
| \$7, 500-\$0,999 | 313 | 422 | ${ }^{1} 549$ | 1586 | 1627 | 1462 | 1808 | 1511 |
| \$10,000 and over | -238 | 328 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average. | 150 | 169 | 175 | 213 | 179 | 204 | 217 | 147 |

B. WHITE FAMILIES IN MIDDLE-SIZED AND SMALL CITIES

| Income class Sten | $\begin{aligned} & \text { New } \\ & \text { Eng- } \\ & \text { land- } \\ & \text { Haverhill } \\ & \text { and New } \\ & \text { Britain } \end{aligned}$ | South-eastMobile and Columbia | East Central-Springfield, Muncie, and New Castle | West Central Springfield and Dubuque | Rocky <br> Moun- <br> tain- <br> Butte <br> and <br> Pueblo | Pacific North-west-Aberdeen Bellingham, and Everett | $\begin{gathered} \text { New } \\ \text { England, } \\ \text { small } \\ \text { cities }^{2} \end{gathered}$ | East Central, small cities ${ }^{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under \$250 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 | \$182 | \$82 | \$134 | \$75 | \$67 | \$105 | \$153 | \$80 |
| \$500-\$749 | 108 | 114 | 114 | 154 | 82 | 127 | 136 | 102 |
| \$750-\$909 | - 77 | -121 | 149 | 104 | 131 | 129 | 116 | 68 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 150 | 139 | 161 | 121 | 105 | 116 | 152 | 140 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 148 | 149 | 165 | 154 | 150 | 141 | 134 | 60 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 132 | 166 | 196 | 163 | 121 | 143 | 148 | 122 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 105 | 199 | 183 | 159 | 167 | 147 | 173 | 158 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 156 | 199 | 214 | 155 | 167 | 166 | 173 | 164 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 197 | 212 | 235 | 260 | 195 | 192 | 148 | 161 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 112 | 242 | 270 | 249 | 241 | 216 | 102 | 164 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 123 | 257 | 278 | 201 | 234 | 251 | 4266 | 4206 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 195 | 248 | 322 | 231 | 222 | 191 |  |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 254 | 294 | 422 | 454 | 298 | 186 |  |  |
| \$5,000 and over - | 377 | 449 | 330 | 332 | 303 | 354 |  |  |
| Average.....--- | 139 | 186 | 192 | 155 | 152 | 148 | 155 | 124 |

[^24]Table 18.-Average nonmoney expense of home ownership, for home owners, by income class-Continued
C. NEGRO FAMILIES

| Income class | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Colum- } \\ & \text { bus } \end{aligned}$ | Atlanta | Mobile and Columbia | Income class | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Colum- } \\ & \text { bus } \end{aligned}$ | Atlanta | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Mobile } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { Columbia } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under \$250. |  | \$103 | \$100 | \$2,000-\$2,249 | \$106 | \$150 | \$93 |
| \$250-\$499 | \$122 | 82 | 111 | \$2,250-\$2,499 | 141 | 149 | 220 |
| \$500-\$749 | 133 | 104 | 92 | \$2,500-\$2,999 | 189 | 179 | 93 |
| \$750-\$999 | 97 | 116 | 98 | \$3,000-\$3,499 |  | 129 | 202 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 130 | 142 | 121 | \$3,500-\$3,999. |  | 76 | -178 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 186 | 124 | 134 | \$4,000 and over |  | 286 | ----------- |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 124 | 130 | - 122 | Aver | 129 | 110 | 103 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999...- | 219 | 16 | -22 | Average | 129 | 110 | 103 |

In most income levels, home owners in New York City had lower nonmoney expenses on their homes than did home owners in the other large cities, due to relatively high current expenses of home ownership in this metropolis. Home owners in no other large city consistently ranked high or low with respect to these nonmoney expenses. Furthermore, these expenses do not appear to be related to city size. In the New England region home owners in the middle-sized cities had lower nonmoney expenses than did Providence families, while in some income brackets the expenses in small cities exceeded those of the large city in this region.

Nonmoney expenses of home ownership as a percentage of rental value.Although the amount of nonmoney expense of home ownership tends to become greater with each rise in income and rental level, the ratio of this figure to rental value does not rise with income. Instead, the ratio tends to vary around 50 percent of the rental value. Due to the fact that this is a survey of expenses during a single year, some families were found making major repairs on their homes and having extremely high expenses. Thus, fluctuations in the averages are to be expected in this relatively small controlled sample.

There does not appear to be any consistent difference by city size in the percentage which nonmoney home ownership expense forms of rental value in cities of the various regions. At most income levels, however, this ratio was lower for home owners in New York and Chicago than in the six large cities. (See table 19.) In New York, for example, the nonmoney expense constituted less than 35 percent of the rental value in practically every income level, and in two income groups money expenses exceeded the rental value thus leaving a negative return on home ownership for the year. Obviously this negative return cannot be regarded as typical of every year or even of the year of the survey since a larger sample would probably have yielded enough families having a positive return to offset the extreme expenditures made by a family or two at these income levels.

Table 19.-Nonmoney expense of home ownership as a percentage of total rental value of owned homes, by income class
A. WHITE FAMILIES

| Income class | Metropolises |  | Large cities |  |  |  |  |  | East Central cities |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | New York | Ohicago | Providence | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Colum- } \\ & \text { bus } \end{aligned}$ | Atlanta | OmahaBluffs | Den- | Portland | Middlesized ${ }^{1}$ | Small ${ }^{2}$ |
| Under \$250 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 61.2 | 43.5 |
| \$500-\$749 | ${ }^{3}-8.0$ | 57.0 |  | 53.4 | 41.5 | 58.6 | 75.5 | 56.1 | 48.5 | 56.0 |
| \$750-\$999 | 64.3 | 1.7 | 64. 1 | 60.7 | 35.0 | 50.2 | 52.9 | 45.6 | 58.9 | 40.7 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 20.2 | 37.7 | 39.6 | 48.8 | 52.4 | 46.8 | 50.3 | 43.4 | 57.3 | 26.5 43.7 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 23.9 | 35.5 | 34.1 | 54.3 | 47.9 | 60.9 | 48.5 | 48.9 | 49.7 | 51.8 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 38.9 | 34.0 | 32.7 | 51.3 | 53.1 | 56.5 | 52.7 | 44.8 | 53.8 | 51.4 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 26.5 | ${ }^{36.3}$ | 45.1 | 50.8 | 45. 6 | 60.6 | 53.4 | 48.4 | 57.3 | 49.2 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 22.0 | 36.2 | 48.8 | 52.2 | 55.7 | 54.8 | 52.5 | 53.6 | 54.2 | 45.3 |
| \$3,000- \$3,499 | 18.2 | 46.5 | 33.0 | 50.8 | 47.7 | 59.3 | 51.5 | 45.2 | 51.1 | ${ }^{6} 48.7$ |
| \$3,500-\$3,999. | 29.3 | 41.8 | 47.4 | ${ }_{67}^{60.3}$ | 52.1 | 53.9 | 45.5 | 38.6 4.3 4. | ${ }_{63} 54.4$ |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999. | 27.1 | 48.1 | 54.1 | 57.9 | 44.0 | ${ }_{50}^{61.6}$ | 56.5 | 42.3 | 63.3 |  |
| \$5,000-87,499 | 15. 3 | 45.0 | 47.9 642.3 | 59.8 | $\begin{array}{r}52.0 \\ 855 \\ \hline 5.8\end{array}$ | ${ }^{50.6}$ | ${ }_{5}^{52.1}$ | ${ }_{6} 42.2$ | 43.8 |  |
| \$7,500- 89,999 | 22.0 | 53.6 | ${ }^{6} 42.3$ | ${ }^{6} 3.5$ | ${ }^{6} 55.8$ | ${ }^{5} 56.8$ | 658.6 | 653.4 |  |  |
| \$10,000 and over | 3-15.9 | 25.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

B. NEGRO FAMILIES

| Income class | Columbus | Atlanta | Income class | Columbus | Atlanta |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under \$250. |  | 85.1 | \$1,750-\$1,999 | 55.4 | 5. 6 |
| \$250-\$499. | 50.2 | 60.3 | \$2,000-\$2,249. | 32.1 | 47.9 |
| \$500-8749 | 58.8 | 66.7 | \$2,250-\$2,499 | 32.4 | 45.0 |
| \$750-\$999 | 35.5 | 57.4 | \$2,500-\$2,999 | 52.6 | 51.4 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 56.8 | 59.2 | \$3,000-\$3,499 |  | 42.4 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 66.2 | 54.1 | \$3,500-\$3,999. |  | 22.1 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 45.6 | 52.0 | \$4,000 and over..... |  | 60.2 |

${ }^{1}$ Springfield, Ill., Muncie, and New Castle.
${ }^{2}$ Beaver Falls, Connellsville, Logansport, Mattoon, and Peru.
${ }^{2}$ Money expenses for the year on the home exceeded rental value.
${ }_{5}$ Incomes $\$ 3,000$ and over.
${ }^{5}$ Incomes of $\$ 5,000$ and over.
${ }^{6}$ Incomes of $\$ 7,500$ ond over.
In Columbus, Denver, Omaha, and Atlanta the ratio of nonmoney expense to rental value at most income levels was above 50 percent. In Portland and Providence, however, the percentages were somewhat lower than 50 percent in the majority of income classes.

## Chapter IV

## Items Covered by the Rent Payment

Rents paid by tenant families vary widely from region to region as well as among cities of different size. Many of these differences which were indicated in chapter II may now be explained, at least in part, on the basis of what the rental payment includes. Before turning to a consideration of the proportion of renting families which have such facilities as heat and light included in the rent, an examination of the prevalence of multiple-family rented dwellings in the various cities will serve as an indication of the relative incidence of rents which may be high because they include operation items. ${ }^{1}$

The type of dwelling which the family rents determines, to a large extent, what facilities will be included in rent. In apartment houses which accommodate at least five families, heat is commonly covered by rental payments. The expense for water rent is frequently met by the landlord and hence covered by the monthly payment made by the tenant. Separate gas and electric meters are often installed for each unit in a large apartment house so that the tenant pays for these utilities directly; even in such cases refrigeration may be provided by the management. Because a significant proportion of the larger apartment houses are located fairly close to the business sections of the town where space is limited, garages are provided less frequently in connection with such dwellings than in cases where the building houses fewer families.

Tenants renting flats or one-half of a two-family house frequently pay for their own heat and other utilities but are likely to have a garage included in the rent. When the landlord occupies one unit in the dwelling, however, separate meters are not always installed and thus the rent payment may include light, gas, heat, etc. This is particularly true in the case of families which occupy what are commonly called light-housekeeping apartments in the home of the landlord; such quarters are frequently furnished and the rent covers, in addition, linens and dishes.

At the other extreme are the tenants which occupy one-family houses. Aside from the usual inclusion of a garage, their rent payment commonly covers only the actual occupancy of the dwelling

[^25]and their separate expenses for heat, light, water, etc., are comparable to those of home owners.
The high rents in New York are associated with the fact that not more than two-tenths and as few as one-tenth of the renting families occupied single-family dwellings. Apartments in buildings housing five or more families were the most common type of dwelling unit at all income levels. (See table $\mathbf{O}$ of the supplement to the Tabular Summary.) The predominance of this type of dwelling increased with rise in income level while the proportion of renting families living in houses which accommodated two, three, or four families declined with increasing income.

As in New York between eight- and nine-tenths of the Chicago renters occupied multiple-family dwellings. Among Chicago families with incomes between $\$ 500$ and $\$ 1,500$, however, the most prevalent types of dwelling were those buildings which accommodated from two to four families; above this income level, apartments in large buildings were the most popular.

It is interesting to note that the proportion of Providence families which rented multiple-family dwellings was as large or larger than the corresponding proportions in New York and Chicago. This observation is applicable only to families having incomes between $\$ 500$ and $\$ 3,500$; a rapidly increasing proportion of Providence tenants with family incomes of $\$ 3,500$ or more rented single-dwelling units. Quite unlike the situation in New York and Chicago, however, a rather small proportion of families in Providence-where rents ranked relatively low-rented apartments in buildings for five or more families. The overwhelming majority of these renters (about eight-tenths of all renting families with incomes from $\$ 500$ to $\$ 2,500$ ) occupied two- or three-family houses. Thus for the three cities in which equally high proportions of renting families are found in multiple dwellings, the lowest average rents were found in Providence, where most of the renters lived in relatively small buildings, and the highest average rents were found in New York which is characterized by larger apartment buildings.

In Columbus, where one-fourth to more than one-half of the renting families at different income levels occupied multiple-family dwellings, flats and two-family houses predominated over the larger dwellings. The proportion of renters living in multiple-family dwellings was somewhat higher in Atlanta ( 38 to 53 percent); among the lower income groups here, also, flats and two-family dwellings predominated but at the higher levels the proportion of apartments for five or more families is greater.

Among tenant families with incomes of $\$ 500$ to $\$ 2,000$, single-family dwellings were most prevalent in the large West Central community covered in the survey, Omaha-Council Bluffs. Only about one-fourth
of the renters in this city occupied multiple-family dwellings; units for two, three, and four families were about as common as larger apartment houses. Starting at the $\$ 2,000$ income class, the proportion of families in this community which lived in dwellings that accomodated more than one family exceeded that found in Portland.

Only between 19 and 35 percent of the renting families in Port-land-where average rents were low-occupied multiple-family dwellings. At all income levels in this Pacific Northwest city, the proportion of renting families which lived in apartments housing five or more families was considerably larger than the proportion in dwellings which accommodated from two to five families.

The proportion of renting families which occupy one-family homes increases with decreasing city size in the East Central region. In the middle-sized and small cities, not more than about one out of every four tenant families resided in a multiple-family dwelling. Almost all of these multiple-family dwellings house from two to four families.

Inclusion of heat in rent payment.-As we should expect from our preceding analysis of type of dwelling, heat is covered by the rental payment of the majority of tenants in New York City and in Chicago. No fewer than six-tenths of the New. York tenant families rented homes which were heated. (See pt. II, table 5.) The proportion of rented dwellings heated by the landlord tended to rise with increase in income along with the proportion of renting families living in the large apartment houses. At each income level, however, the percentage of heated dwellings exceeded the proportion of renting families occupying quarters in apartment houses for five or more families, indicating that the rental payment for some of the smaller multiplefamily dwellings included heat.

Next to New York, Chicago had the highest proportion of dwellings which were rented with heat included in the rent charge. (See fig. 5.) But some of the renting families with incomes of $\$ 500$ to $\$ 1,000 \mathrm{had}$ to provide their own heat, although they lived in buildings which accommodated at least five families.

Although the incidence of multiple-family dwellings in Providence was about as great as in the metropolises, the proportion of dwellings where heat was covered by the rent bill was strikingly lower. The characteristic multiple-family dwelling in Providence, however, accommodated fewer than five families.

In general, we find more families whose rent payment covered heat than there were living in the relatively large dwelling units. Thus a portion of the families which rented two-, three-, or four-family houses had central heat for the entire building. This is particularly true in the middle-sized and small cities of the East Central region. Inasmuch as the proportion of rented heated dwellings exceeds, in a

few instances, the proportion of multiple-family dwellings, the rent paid for some one-family houses may include the expense for heat.

Negro families rented heated dwellings in New York with greater frequency than did white families, but the inclusion of heat in the rent payment of Negroes in Columbus and Atlanta was rare. This is in accordance with the findings that the bulk of all Negro renting families in New York occupied apartments in buildings for five or more families, while those in Columbus and Atlanta lived in smaller multiple-family dwellings or in single-family houses.

Inclusion of light in rent payment.-The inclusion of the expense for electricity in the rent charge is much less common than is the inclusion of heat. In New York, for instance, 16 percent of the renting families having incomes between $\$ 500$ and $\$ 750$ and not more than 6 percent of the families with larger incomes occupied dwellings in which the landlord paid the light bill. The proportion of rented homes which included light was slightly higher in some of the other cities, but at no income level did it approximate the percentage of rented multiple-family dwellings. This bears out the observation that the electricity used by a renting family, particularly by those living in large apartment houses, is usually registered on a separate meter.

The inclusion of light in the rent payment tended to be more common for Negro than for white families in New York. It is probable that a larger proportion of the Negro than of the white families were subletting quarters rented on a "light-housekeeping" basis. In Columbus and Atlanta electricity was provided by the landlord very infrequently except among Negro families having incomes between $\$ 2,000$ and $\$ 2,250$; the bulk of these renting families lived in buildings housing less than five families.

Inclusion of garage in rent payment.-Wide regional and city-size differences are apparent from the figures in part II, table 5, on the percentage of tenant families whose rental payment covers garage expense. There is a consistent tendency, however, for the inclusion of a garage to be more common at the higher income levels than at the low. As might be expected, the garage is included infrequently in the metropolises and in Providence where most of the renting families occupy multiple-family structures. In the other cities the rents for at least one-half of the dwellings rented by families with incomes of $\$ 1,250$ or more were quoted to cover the expense for garage.

In spite of the prevalence of single-family rented houses in the middle-sized and small cities of the East Central region, the inclusion of a garage tends to be less common at the middle income levels than in the large city covered in this region, Columbus. In explanation, we find that automobile ownership is slightly more frequent in Colum-
bus. ${ }^{2}$ This city-size difference in the frequency with which garage is included in rent must not be considered as typical of the country as a whole. In fact, in the regions in which automobiles are owned by a larger proportion of the families in the middle-sized than in the large cities the inclusion of the expense for garage in the rent payment occurs with greater frequency in the former than in the latter cities.

At only one income level, do we find garage included in the rent payment of New York City Negro families. Garage expense was covered more frequently by the rent payments of Negroes in Columbus and Atlanta, but in these cities Negro families were less likely to receive this service with their rent than were white families.

Inclusion of water in rent payment.-The inclusion of expense for water in the rent charge reflects regional differences in custom rather than type of dwelling. In New York, Chicago, Providence, Columbus, and Denver, the rental payment of practically all tenant families covered the cost of water. The type of dwelling most prevalent among renting families varied widely in these five cities. In the remaining cities there is a tendency for water to be included less frequently at the upper income levels than at the lower. This tendency is apparent even in Omaha-Council Bluffs where at the upper income levels we found an increase with income in the proportion of renting families occupying multiple-family dwellings, and particularly quarters in apartment houses for five or more families.

Although about nine-tenths or more of the tenant families in the metropolis and large city of the East Central region rented dwellings where water was furnished by the landlord, only from one- to fivetenths of the renting families in the middle-sized cities of this region received this service. The proportion was higher in the small cities, ranging from four-tenths to more than seven-tenths.

Like the white families, practically all Negro renting families in New York and Columbus had water included in their rent payment. In Atlanta, where the custom of including water rent with the rent of the dwellings was less universal, the rents of Negro families were more likely to cover the expense of this item than were the payments of white families.

Inclusion of furnishings in rent payment.-A larger proportion of the families living in the three large western cities (Omaha-Council Bluffs, Denver, and Portland) rented furnished dwellings than in cities in the other sections of the country covered by this study. ${ }^{3}$ In view of the infrequency of apartments in these western cities, as compared with New York and Chicago for instance, this finding at first appears inconsistent. The explanation is undoubtedly related to the greater

[^26]mobility of tenant families in the western United States ${ }^{4}$ and the larger demand for furnished dwellings which such mobility creates.

4 The duration of occupancy of urban rented dwelling units in various geographical regions is shown as follows in the Works Progress Administration publication Urban Housing, A Summary of Real Property Inventories Conducted as Work Projects, 1934-36 (table O, p. 21):

| Duration of present occupancy | All regions* | Northeast | Southeast | Northwest | Southwest | California |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage distribution of units |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Less than 1 year | 39.6 | 34.3 | 45.7 | 51.2 | 62.3 | 47.5 |
| 1 to 2 years. | 17.8 | 18.5 | 16.1 | 17.0 | 15.1 | 17.0 |
| 2 to 5 years. | 24.7 | 26.8 | 22.3 | 20.0 | 14.7 | 22.9 |
| 5 years or more. | 17.9 | 20.4 | 15.9 | 11.8 | 7.9 | 12.6 |

* Excludes New York City.


## Chapter V

## Expenditures for Household Operation

Expenditures for general household operation such as for telephone, laundry, stationery and stamps, water rent, etc., were not included in the analysis of money value of housing discussed in chapter I. Costs of these items, together with expenditures for paid household help and for home furnishings and equipment, must also be considered when ascertaining how much it costs to maintain a home. The reports on Family Expenditure, volume II, ${ }^{1}$ have combined the above mentioned items with the total value of family housing to obtain total expenditures for home maintenance. The analysis of the detailed expenditures for general household operation, for fuel, light, and refrigeration, and for paid household help form the subject matter of the present chapter. ${ }^{2}$ Expenditures for household furnishings and equipment are considered in a separate publication. ${ }^{3}$

## Expenditures for Fuel, Light, and Refrigeration

Fuel, light, and refrigeration as a percentage of money value of housing.As pointed out in chapter IV, the expense for fuel, light, and refrigeration is quite frequently covered by the dwelling rent payment. The figures in table $20,{ }^{4}$ which show the proportion of total housing expense allocated to fuel, light, and refrigeration, are consequently an underevaluation since it is impossible to ascertain what portion of the rent payment for heated quarters, for instance, should be deducted from rent and included as heat. Expenditures for fuel, light, and refrigeration as such will therefore tend to form a smaller proportion of total housing expense in those cities and in those income groups where home ownership is relatively infrequent and particularly where a

[^27]large proportion of renting families occupy apartments rather than single-family dwellings. For this reason, the expenditures for fuel, light, and refrigeration made by New York families form a consistently low proportion of their total value of housing (between 6 percent and 20 percent). The same situation is found in Chicago, only to a slightly less marked degree.

Table 20.-Expenditures for fuel, light, and refrigeration ${ }^{1}$ which are made separately from rent as a proportion of total expenditure for housing, by income class
A. WHITE FAMILIES

| Income class | Metropolises |  | Large cities |  |  |  |  |  | East Central cities |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | New | Chicago | Providence | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Colum- } \\ & \text { bus } \end{aligned}$ | Atlanta | Omaha- Council Bluffis | $\begin{gathered} \text { Den- } \\ \text { ver } \end{gathered}$ | Port | Middle- <br> sized ${ }^{2}$ | Small ${ }^{3}$ |
| Under \$250.. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 26.9 | 34.7 |
| \$500-\$749 | 15. 4 | 27.2 | 30.6 | 29.4 | 38.8 | 31. 2 | 25.2 | 29.6 | 29.5 | 32.0 |
| \$750-\$999 | 19.7 | 26.1 | 32.1 | 30.5 | 34.5 | 29.1 | 23.8 | 27.3 | 30.3 | 37.2 |
| \$1,000-\$1, 249 | 20.1 | 25.2 | 33.4 | 29.7 | 29.9 | 32.3 | 26.0 | 28.9 | 29.6 | 33.1 |
| \$1, 250-\$1, 499 | 17.8 | 21.5 | 31.9 | 29.2 | 29.5 | 31.6 | 27.0 | 25.9 | 28.9 | 36.1 |
| \$1, 500-81, 749 | 16.9 | $\begin{array}{r}20.9 \\ \hline 1\end{array}$ | 31.4 | 25.4 | 27.2 | 29.4 | 24.4 | 26.6 | 27.0 | 33.4 |
| \$1, 750-\$1, 999 | 16.5 15.5 | 21.3 19.2 | 32.0 30.9 | 26.6 24.9 | 24.6 <br> 24.8 <br> 2.8 | 28.0 | ${ }_{25}^{24.6}$ | 26.3 | 25.7 | 31.6 |
| \$2, $2500-\$ 2,492$ | 16.5 14.0 | 19.2 20.0 | 30.9 29.6 | 24.9 24.5 | 24.8 24 | 26.7 26.8 | 25.6 24.2 | 27.1 25.0 | 25.0 24.5 | 31.8 31.3 |
| \$2, 500-\$2, 999 | 16.8 | 18.1 | 29.2 | 23.8 | 24.2 | 26.5 | 22.5 | 24.2 | 22.5 | 29.7 |
| \$3, 000-\$3, 499 | 13.7 | 17.9 | 24.6 | 22.1 | 21.5 | 25.7 | 22.1 | 24.4 | 21.8 | ${ }^{+27.3}$ |
| \$3, 500-83, 999 | 14.9 | 16.0 | 26.1 | 20.1 | 19.3 | 26.6 | 23.3 | 22.5 | 20.7 |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 12.2 | 16.3 | 23.7 | 19.4 | 20.0 | 22.7 | 20.3 | 22.6 | 19.9 |  |
| \$5, 000- \$7, 499 | 10.8 | 15.4 | 20.9 | 18.9 | 20.2 | 24.0 | 18.5 | 21.3 | ${ }^{20.7}$ |  |
| \$7, $500-\$ 9,999$ | 8.9 | 11.4 | ${ }^{21.6}$ | ${ }^{12} 12$ | ${ }^{\circ} 16.7$ | ${ }^{24.9}$ | ${ }^{6} 15.3$ | ${ }^{0} 19.0$ |  |  |
| \$10,000 and over | 5.6 | 11.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

B. NEGRO FAMILIES

| Tncome class | New York | $\underset{\text { bus }}{\text { Colum- }}$ | Atlanta | Income class | New York | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Colum } \\ & \text { bus } \end{aligned}$ | Atlanta |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under \$250 |  |  | 33.6 | \$1, 750-\$1,999 | 14.6 | 30.1 | 30.7 |
| \$250-\$499 |  | 25.1 | 30.7 | \$2, 000-\$2, 249 . | 12.7 | 31.0 | 28.3 |
| \$500-\$749 | 15.9 | 33.3 | 33.2 | \$2, 250-\$2, 499 | 14.0 | 25.2 | 28.9 |
| \$750-\$999 | 18.5 | 33.3 | 31.2 | \$2, 500-\$2,909 | 13.8 | 29.5 | 27.3 |
| \$1,000-\$1, 249 | 15.2 | 33.8 | 29.9 | \$3,000-\$3, 499. | 10.7 |  | 35.4 |
| \$1, 250-\$1, 499 | 17.5 | 32.0 | 30.5 | \$3, 500-\$3,999. | 17.2 |  | 29.3 |
| \$1,500-\$1, 749 | 16.3 | 35.1 | 31.4 | \$4,000 and over | 5.8 |  | 27.1 |

1 Includes value of fuel received without direct expense to the family.
${ }^{1}$ Springfield, Ill., Muncie, and New Castle.
a Beaver Falls, Connellsville, Logansport, Mattoon, and Peru.
${ }^{4}$ Incomes of $\$ 3,000$ and over.
${ }^{5}$ Incomes of $\$ 5,000$ and over.
${ }^{6}$ Incomes of $\$ 7,500$ and 0 ver.
But also of importance in determining the proportion of housing expenditures which will be allocated to fuel, light, and refrigeration are climatic conditions. Expense for these items constitutes a larger proportion of the total value of housing in Providence than in the other large cities even though home ownership is less frequent there. And the relative mildness of the climate in Portland results in a lower proportion of housing value going to fuel, light, and refrigeration, despite the prevalence of home ownership in this Pacific Northwest city.

Comparing cities of different size in the East Central region, we find that fuel, light, and refrigeration claims about the same proportion of total housing expenditure in Columbus that it does in the middle-sized cities. Although renting families are more numerous proportionately in Columbus than in the middle-sized cities, the most prevalent type of rented dwelling is the one- or two-family house (see table $O$ of the supplement to the Tabular Summary); the rent payment for such dwellings does not usually cover heat and light. The proportion of total housing expenditures which families living in the small East Central cities allotted to fuel, light, and refrigeration was definitely larger than the proportion in Columbus and in the middlesized cities.

Although expenditures for fuel, light, and refrigeration increase with rise in income level, they do not keep pace with total housing expenditures and consequently form a decreasing proportion of the total money value of housing in each city.

In New York, where one-family dwellings were relatively less numerous in the Negro group than in the native white group, the proportion of total housing expenditures allocated to fuel, light, and refrigeration was lower for Negro families than for white. In Columbus and Atlanta, the expense for these utilities represented a larger portion of the money value of housing of Negro families at given income levels than for families of the white race, as relatively few of them lived in multiple-family dwellings. Of the Negro families in the three cites, those in New York spent the lowest proportion of total housing expenses on fuel, light, and refrigeration.

Expenditures for fuel, light, and refrigeration form a consistently high proportion of the total housing expenditures of wage earners, as compared with families in the other occupational groups in Chicago. This difference may be observed even at income levels where home owners are relatively less frequent in the wage-earner classification than in the other groups. (See table P of the supplement to the Tabular Summary.) A special analysis of the types of dwelling occupied by Chicago renting families in the various occupational groups reveals that the proportion of wage-earner families which reside in apartments and particularly in apartment buildings which house five or more families is lower than the proportion found in the other occupational groups. Thus, even when wage-earner families rent their living quarters, they usually live in the types of dwellings where it is necessary to incur expenses for fuel, light, and refrigeration.

Average expenditures for fuel, light, and refrigeration.-Families living in New York City have smaller expenditures for fuel, light, and refrigeration, on the average, than do families in Chicago or in the six large cities surveyed throughout the country. (See pt.II, table 7.) These averages, however, are based on all families rather than on only those
families purchasing the specified item and therefore reflect the incidence of home ownership.

The average outlays made by all New York families for fuel, light, and refrigeration are low because a majority of the families in this metropolis pay rents which cover the cost of heated dwellings. Since fuel is the most expensive of the items in this category, the frequency with which heat is covered by rent payments serves as a rough index of city differences in expenditures for total fuel, light, and refrigeration.

Chicago families also had relatively low expenditures for these items of home maintenance. This is particularly true of families receiving incomes of more than $\$ 1,250$, a large proportion of whom were renting heated apartments.

Providence families spent the largest amounts, on the average, for fuel, light, and refrigeration. In chapter IV we saw that only a small proportion of tenant families in this city paid rents which covered the expense for heat. Moreover, the relative severity of the climate in this city, as compared with Portland, Oreg., and Atlanta, makes fuel a more important item in the family budget. Columbus, which resembles Providence with respect to the infrequency of the inclusion of heat in the rent charge, nevertheless had lower average expenditures for fuel, light, and refrigeration. But data to be presented below will indicate that the cost of heating a dwelling is lower in Columbus than in Providence.

Climatic conditions account, in part, for the high average expenditures in Omaha-Council Bluffs for the items in this category. But also of importance is the prevalence of home ownership and the infrequency with which heat was included in rent.

Although Portland had the highest proportion of families living in one-family dwellings, the average expenditures of all Portland families for fuel, light, and refrigeration tended to be rather low. The low cost is related to the mildness of climate on the Pacific coast and to a difference in the type of fuel used for heat in this section of the country. Similarly, climatic conditions are partly responsible for the relatively low average expenditures for these items in Atlanta. In addition, the inclusion of heat with rented quarters tends to be more common in Atlanta than in the other cities of comparable size.

Within the East Central region, the average expenditures for fuel, light, and refrigeration which were made by families in the middlesized and small cities were definitely higher than in Chicago but did not differ consistently from the expenditures of Columbus families. At the higher income levels where home ownership is most common, particularly in the smaller cities, families in Columbus spent the least for these items. At the bottom of the income scale, the expenditures of Columbus families exceeded those of families in the smaller cities,
partly because heat was included less frequently in the rent payment of Columbus tenants.

With almost all New York Negro families renting heated dwellings it is not surprising that expenditures for fuel, light, and refrigeration average lower for Negro families than for white families at comparable income levels. In Columbus, where the incidence if home ownership is greater for the Negroes than for the white families and where heat is included less frequently in the rented dwellings of the Negro families, the Negroes had higher average expenditures for these home-maintenance items than did the white families. This same racial difference was found in Atlanta among families receiving incomes of $\$ 1,500$ or more.

Within the Negro group, the lowest expenditures were made by families in New York because of the large proportion of apartmentdwellers, and the highest, in Columbus. The difference between Columbus and Atlanta, where the proportions of home owners are roughly the same, may be accounted for on the basis of climate.

Expenditures for coal.-The average expenditures to be discussed in succeeding sections of this chapter are based on the number of families reporting purchases of the commodities under consideration and therefore do not reflect the frequency of home ownership nor the inclusion of certain items in rent.

The proportions of families which purchased coal varied widely from region to region. (See pt. II, table 7.) The use of this fuel was most general in the East Central region, and least common in the Pacific Northwest where lumber is plentiful. The proportion of metropolitan families purchasing coal tended to be low because of the frequency with which such families rented heated dwellings. In Providence fewer than half of the families bought coal during the year; many of these New England homes are equipped for the use of fuel oil.

Of the families which purchased coal, those living in Providence had the highest average expenditures and those in Portland and Atlanta, the lowest. (See table 21.) It is to be expected that the cost of heating the dwelling quarters would be relatively low in the Southeast and on the Pacific Coast where the climate is comparatively mild, but the high expenditures of Providence families in comparison with families in such cities as Columbus, New York, and Chicago, requires further explanation. In Columbus, situated as it is in the heart of the coal-producing area of the United States, the expense per unit of coal is lower than in the other regions. New York and Chicago families probably purchase less coal than do families in Providence since the average number of rooms per dwelling unit among the families surveyed is lower in the metropolises than in Providence. (See pt. II, table 3.) In addition, coal prices are somewhat lower in New York
and Chicago than in Providence. Expenditures for coal are larger in all cities at the higher income levels among families purchasing coal, an increase which is probably accounted for, at least in part, by the increased size of dwelling quarters at the higher income levels.

Table 21.-Average expenditure for coal for families purchasing, by income class A. WHITE FAMILIES


1 Springfield, Ill., Muncie, and New Castle.
2 Beaver Falls, Connellsville, Logansport, Mattoon, and Peru.
3 Incomes of $\$ 3,000$ and over.

4 Incomes of $\$ 5,000$ and over.
5 Incomes of $\$ 7,500$ and over.

In comparison with Columbus, families living in the middle-sized and small cities of the East Central region reported high expenditures for coal. Families in the small cities have more rooms to heat than do Columbus families; however, this explanation is not tenable with respect to the middle-sized cities. But in both the middle-sized and small cities, a lower proportion of the families reported the use of gas or electricity as kitchen fuel than was true among Columbus families. Part of the additional cost of coal in these smaller East Central communities is undoubtedly attributable to the use of this type of fuel for cooking purposes.

In New York City, Negro families receiving annual incomes between $\$ 1,000$ and $\$ 2,500$ spent more for coal, per family purchasing, than did white families. There is a tendency for the dwellings of Negro fam-
ilies having incomes within this range to be larger than those of white families. Moreover, a larger proportion of the Negro families used some fuel for cooking other than gas or electricity. These conditions are also present in Columbus and Atlanta to account for the higher coal expenditures of Negro families, as compared with the white group. The dwelling quarters of Atlanta Negro families with incomes of $\$ 3,000$ to $\$ 4,000$, however, are no larger than those of white families and the use of gas or electricity for cooking is universal in both groups; at this income level the cost of coal purchased by Negro families drops below the expenditures of white families.
Expenditures for coke and briquets.-The use of coke and briquets as a heating fuel is infrequent in these metropolitan and large cities except for Providence, Omaha, Council-Bluffs, and Portland. Of the families which purchased this fuel, those living in New York, Chicago, and Providence tended to have the highest expenditures. The amounts spent for coke and briquets tended to average lower, however, than the expenditures for coal. It is probable that some of the families purchasing this type of fuel used it to supplement coal or wood. (See pt. II, table 7, for statistics on such purchases.)

Expenditures for wood and kindling.-Except in Portland, wood was used primarily as a supplement to coal, coke and briquets, or gas. One-tenth or fewer of the Portland families, however, reported the purchase of coal while as many as eight- or nine-tenths bought wood and kindling. (See pt. II, table 7.) The proportion of families in this Oregon city purchasing wood and kindling tended to decrease as the upper income levels were reached. Whereas well over threefourths of the Portland families with incomes below $\$ 3,500$ bought wood and kindling, only slightly more than one-fourth in the top income bracket ( $\$ 7,500$ and over) purchased this type of fuel. The location of Portland in the center of a lumber-producing region makes wood cheaper per unit than in the other cities under consideration. During the year of the survey, expenditures of families buying wood and kindling amounted to between $\$ 30$ and $\$ 40$ at most income levels. In the other cities, the average amount spent for this item seldom exceeded $\$ 5$ for the year.

Wood and kindling were purchased by the majority of Negro famlies in Atlanta. Their expenditures for this type of fuel averaged between $\$ 10$ and $\$ 15$ during the year in most of the lower and middle income levels.

Expenditures for fuel oil.-The use of fuel oil for heating purposes was most common in Providence, Omaha-Council Bluffs, and Portland but in these cities only families at the higher income levels used fuel oil to any extent. Of families in these three cities, Portland families reported the lowest expenditures for this item. (See pt. II, table 7.) Among families purchasing fuel oil, the amount spent gen-
erally increased with rise in income level in each city-due undoubtedly to the greater number of rooms to be heated at the upper than at the lower income levels. ${ }^{5}$

Expenditures for kerosene and gasoline.-Kerosene and gasoline were used quite frequently by Providence families for cooking purposes. This tendency was most marked among families with incomes of less than $\$ 2,000$. At the lower income levels, white families in Atlanta and in the small East Central cities and Negro families in Atlanta reported the use of this type of fuel with considerable frequency. But the expenditures of these families for kerosene and gasoline were low compared with those of Providence families. It is probable that the function of these fuels is primarily to supplement other fuels except in the New England cities. (See pt. II, table 7.)

Expenditures for electricity.-A family's consumption of electricity is determined only in part by the number of rooms which must be lighted. The additional uses of electricity, such as for cooking, mechanical refrigeration, and the operation of various articles of household equipment combine to make the expenditures for electricity about three to four times as high among families having incomes of $\$ 5,000$ to $\$ 7,500$ as among families receiving $\$ 500$ to $\$ 750$.
The average expenditures for electricity which are shown in table 22 do not necessarily reflect intercity differences in the rates charged for electricity. When rates are low, families may find more uses for this utility so that their consumption is high and when electricity is relatively expensive, substitutes may be found.

Families in Portland and Atlanta reported the highest expenditures for electricity. In Portland, electricity was a comparatively cheap fuel and was consequently used for more purposes. The use of electricity as a cooking fuel was relatively common in Portland ${ }^{6}$ since the cost of coal and gas is high in the Pacific Northwest city. Moreover the number of rooms for which electricity must be provided tended to be high in Portland.

In view of the small number of rooms to be lighted, the relatively low expenditures for electricity in New York and Chicago are understandable even though the average rate for electricity was higher in New York than in most of the large cities studied. In the East Central region, too, the expenditure for electricity among the small-city families undoubtedly reflects, at least in part, their larger dwelling quarters.

[^28]Table 22.-Average expenditure for electricity for families purchasing, by income class
A. WHITE FAMILIES

| Income class | Metropolises |  | Large cities |  |  |  |  |  | East Central cities |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { New } \\ & \text { York } \end{aligned}$ | Chicago | Providence | $\begin{gathered} \text { Colum- } \\ \text { bus } \end{gathered}$ | Atlanta | OmahaCouncil Bluffs | $\underset{\text { Der }}{\text { Den }}$ | Port | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Middle } \\ & \text { sized } \end{aligned}$ | Small ${ }^{\text {2 }}$ |
| Under \$ 250 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 | \$24.00 | \$20.80 | \$20.30 | \$16.10 | \$17.30 | \$18.00 | \$16.70 | \$23.10 | $\begin{array}{r}\$ 18.30 \\ 20.40 \\ \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\$ 20.00$ 20.90 |
| \$750-\$999 | $\stackrel{4}{24.30}$ | 23.00 | ${ }_{22.80}$ | 21.90 | 22.00 | 21. 20 | 22.00 | 23.40 | 23.90 | 23. 50 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 29.20 | 27.50 | 26.50 | 28.30 | 23. 60 | 28.10 | 21.60 | 26.80 | 27.10 | 26.30 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 29.00 | 28.30 | 27.90 | 31.30 | 32.40 | 30.40 | 27.10 | 33.60 | 29.10 | 33.60 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 31.80 | 33.40 | 31.40 | 32.60 | 37.40 | 34. 20 | 28.70 | 34. 20 | 32.50 | 35. 80 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 37.10 | 34.50 | 34.50 | 35.80 | 38.70 | 36. 30 | 33. 50 | 40.10 | 34.30 | 40.30 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 38.00 | 37.80 | 40.50 | 36.70 | 38.70 | 39. 20 | 35.60 | 45.00 | 37.40 | 40.80 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 38.10 | 38.80 | 41.90 | 40.70 | 43. 60 | 42.90 | 39.40 | 45.70 | 42.50 | 44.00 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 42.70 | 41.60 | 45. 40 | 42.80 | 46.70 | 45. 00 | 40. 10 | 50.90 | 46.90 | 45. 40 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 45.90 | 42.70 | 49.70 | 48.00 | 51.80 | 53.10 | 45.70 | 54.80 | 48.70 | ${ }^{3} 50.90$ |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 45.90 | 45. 10 | 48.30 | 48.20 | 56.50 | 50.10 | 57.80 | 58.20 | 52.50 |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 53.10 | 50.00 | 60.40 | 55.60 | 60.90 | 58.30 | 53.40 | 70.80 | 50.20 |  |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 60.80 | 55.80 | 63.70 | 64.90 | 67.20 | 64.10 | 58. 30 | 73.30 | 456.80 |  |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | 66.50 | 69.10 | s97. 30 | ${ }^{6} 71.80$ | ${ }^{5} 82.80$ | 685.10 | ${ }^{5} 72.70$ | 889.60 |  |  |
| \$10,000 and over | ${ }^{8} 82.00$ | 581. 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B. NEGRO FAMILIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Income class | New York | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Colum - } \\ & \text { bus } \end{aligned}$ | Atlanta |  | Income class |  | New York | $\begin{gathered} \text { Colum- } \\ \text { bus } \end{gathered}$ |  | Atlants |
| Under $\$ 250$. \$250-\$499 |  | \$14.40 | - $\begin{array}{r}\$ 13.30 \\ 17.10\end{array}$ |  | \$1,750-\$1,999.......- |  | $\$ 32.90$ <br> 37.50 |  | \$36.90 | \$37.00 |
|  |  | 43.70 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | \$19.70 |  | 18.50 | 18.80 |  | \$2,250-\$2,499. |  | 38.9040.80 |  | 36.5042.90 | 50.6037.80 |
| \$750-8999 | 20.40 | 24.3025.60 | 19.30 <br> 24.10 |  | \$2,500-\$2,999 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 22.10 |  |  |  | 47. 40 |  |  | 43.2059.00 |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 28.50 |  |  |  |  |  | \$4,000 and over. |  |  |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 29.20 | 35. 40 | - 29.00 |  | --------- |  |  |  |  |  | 55.00 |

Springfield, Ill., Muncie, and New Castle
Beaver Falls, Connellsville, Logansport, Mattoon, and Peru.
Incomes of $\$ 3,000$ and over.
${ }^{4}$ I Incomes of $\$ 5,000$ and over.
Expenditures for gas.-Gas rates vary considerably from city to city, depending primarily upon whether natural gas or manufactured gas is used. In cities where gas is expensive some of the families will naturally substitute other types of fuel whenever possible. Thus we find that electricity is a relatively common cooking fuel in Portland. However, during the colder months of the year, coal or wood may be used as the cooking and water-heating fuel. The lower gas expenditures of families in the small and middle-sized East Central cities, as compared with Columbus, are related to the more widespread use of fuels other than gas for cooking. In a Southeastern city such as Atlanta, there are fewer months during which this type of substitution may be made comfortably; thus the Atlanta families which used any gas during the year reported rather high expenditures.

In Denver the availability of natural gas at favorable rates encourages its use for room heating as well as for cooking. The expenditures of Denver families for this utility are consequently high.

Table 23.-Average expenditure for gas for families purchasing, by income class A. White families

| Income class | Metropolises |  | Large cities |  |  |  |  |  | East Central cities |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { New } \\ & \text { York } \end{aligned}$ | Chicago | Providence | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Colum- } \\ & \text { bus } \end{aligned}$ | Atlanta | OmahaCouncil Blufis | $\begin{gathered} \text { Den- } \\ \text { ver } \end{gathered}$ | Portland | Middlesized 1 | Small ${ }^{2}$ |
| Under \$250. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \$ 250-\$ 499 . \\ & \$ 500-\$ 749 . \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$21.00 | \$22.80 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \$ 500-8749 \\ & \$ 750-\$ 999 \end{aligned}$ | \$2.70 | ${ }^{\$ 2} 8.70$ | $\$ 18.60$ | $\$ 27.90$ 27 | $\$ 29.40$ 27.50 | \$19.00 | \$19.70 | 22.00 | 21.20 21.80 | 19.60 24.40 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 21.80 | 23.50 | 22.70 | 30.20 | 29.10 | 26.40 | 20.60 | 27.00 | 24.90 | 28.70 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 22.30 | 23.30 | 23.60 | 32.90 | 33.90 | 24.50 | 28.00 | 27.40 | 26.80 | 32.10 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 25.20 | 24.00 | 28.20 | 30.40 | 33.80 | 23.90 | 26. 20 | 26.70 | 27.00 | 32.30 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 24. 60 | 27.10 | 30.00 | 32.80 | 36.70 | 28.10 | 36. 40 | 30. 50 | 28.10 | 36. 30 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 29.10 | 25. 00 | 35. 50 | 32.90 | 37.80 | 27.10 | 41. 10 | 32.00 | 31.00 | 35. 70 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499- | 26.90 | 34.50 | 36. 60 | 33.80 | 36.90 | 29.10 | 46. 40 | 33.70 | ${ }^{30} 00$ | 34.80 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 29.30 30.10 | 34. 30 35. 20 | ${ }^{44.30} 4$ | 35.90 40.20 | 39.40 45.20 | 33.80 40.50 | 45. 40 | 40. 00 | 32. 50 | 39.10 346 |
| \$3,500- $\$ 3,999$ | 30.70 | 42. 00 | 38. 60 | 40.20 | 45.60 | 63.00 | 80.20 | 44. 20 | 35.60 | ${ }^{36.60}$ |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 36.30 | 46.70 | 51. 60 | 41.80 | 52.40 | 72. 60 | 92.80 | 61.00 | 43.00 |  |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 33.80 | 53.90 | 53.40 | 70.80 | 70.00 | 41.30 | 108.80 | 63. 10 | ${ }^{4} 71.60$ |  |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | 39.80 | 61.40 | ${ }^{5} 154.60$ | ${ }^{6} 95.50$ | 597.00 | ${ }^{599.50}$ | '151. 10 | 884. 50 |  |  |
| \$10,000 and over. | 57.20 | 90.90 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

B. NEGRO FAMILIES

| Income class | New York | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Colum } \\ & \text { bus } \end{aligned}$ | Atlanta | Income class | New York | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Colum- } \\ & \text { bus } \end{aligned}$ | Atlanta |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under \$250 |  |  | \$17.50 | \$1,750-\$1,999 | \$25. 00 | \$38.30 | \$42. 60 |
| \$250-\$499. |  | \$19.30 |  | \$2,000-\$2,249 | 22.00 | 43.20 | 35.10 |
| \$500-\$749 | \$32. 20 | 23. 70 | 30.00 | \$2,250-\$2,499 | 32.40 | 28.10 | 40.60 |
| \$750-\$999 | 18. 10 | 30. 60 | 20.00 | \$2,500-\$2,999 | 27.80 | 28.10 | 41.80 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 20. 70 | 31. 40 | 26.70 | \$3,000-\$3,499 | 28.30 |  | 63.50 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 20. 70 | 30.40 | 29.70 | \$3,500-\$3,999 |  |  | 51. 00 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 21. 70 | 34.80 | 37.10 | \$4,000 and over |  |  | 64. 40 |

1 Springfield, Ill., Muncie, and New Castle.
Beaver Falls, Connellsville, Logansport, Mattoon, and Peru.
a Incomes of $\$ 3,000$ and over.
4 Incomes of $\$ 5,000$ and over.

- Incomes of \$7,500 and over.

Expenditures for ice.-With increasing income which makes possible the possession of a mechanical refrigerator, the proportion of families purchasing ice drops rapidly.

Of the families using ice, those in Portland and Denver spent the least. Their smaller expenditures are readily understood since the relatively low summer temperature in these two cities makes refrigeration less essential than in the other cities studied. Atlanta, with the longest period of warm weather, ranked highest in expense for ice; New York was second.

Table 24.-Average expenditure for ice for families purchasing, by income class
a. White families

| Income class | Metropolises |  | Large cities |  |  |  |  |  | East Central cities |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { New } \\ & \text { York } \end{aligned}$ | Chicago | Providence | $\begin{gathered} \text { Colum- } \\ \text { bus } \end{gathered}$ | Atlanta | Omaha- <br> Council <br> Bluffs | $\begin{gathered} \text { Den- } \\ \text { ver } \end{gathered}$ | Portland | Middle | Small ${ }^{2}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | \$13.30 | \$8.00 | \$10.60 | \$14.00 | \$10.30 | \$12.60 | \$7.00 | \$5.00 | 8.70 | 8. 30 |
| \$1, $0000-\$ 1,249$ | 15.70 | 10.80 | 13. 30 | 15.40 | 18.00 | 12.80 | 8.30 8.20 | 5.90 6.00 | $\begin{array}{r}8.70 \\ 12.70 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 11. 10 |
| \$1, 250-\$1, 499 | 20.00 | 13.20 | 14.50 | 16.10 | 20.90 | 16. 30 | 11. 10 | 6. 20 | 13.30 | 13.10 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 21.90 | 16. 20 | 18.30 | 17.70 | 22.30 | 14.80 | 13.30 | 6.70 | 13.80 | 13.50 |
| \$1,750-\$1, 999 | 23.20 | 17.20 | 20.00 | 18.80 | 24.90 | 17.90 | 11. 50 | 9.40 | 14.30 | 15. 20 |
| \$2, 000-\$2, 249 | 22.00 | 16. 70 | 20. 20 | 19.60 | 20.00 | 16.00 | 11. 60 | 7.90 | 12.80 | 15.70 |
| \$2, 250-\$2, 499 | 26.40 | 18.70 | 22.80 | 20.60 | 24. 20 | 26.90 | 12. 40 | 10.00 | 14.80 | 15. 50 |
| \$2, 500-\$2,999 | ${ }^{26.40}$ | ${ }^{19} 50$ | 21.80 | 19. 40 | ${ }^{26.30}$ | ${ }^{20.00}$ | 13. 50 | 8.40 | 17. 50 | 18.00 |
| \$3, 000-\$3,499 | 26. 40 | 21.20 | 18.70 | 21.30 | 34. 20 | 24.20 | ${ }^{16.20}$ | 10.00 | 20.00 | ${ }^{3} 17.50$ |
| \$3, $500-\$ 3,999$ | 36. 10 | ${ }^{26.20}$ | 33.50 | 19.60 | ${ }^{22.70}$ | 28.70 | 21.00 | 16.00 | 26.70 |  |
| \$4, 000-\$4, 999 | 45. 00 | 23.70 | 21.50 | 30.00 | 23.30 | 12.50 | 23.30 | 12. 30 | 26.00 |  |
| \$5, $000-87,499$ | 15. 70 | 30.00 | 31.00 | 36.00 | 36.20 | 24.00 | 18.00 | 70.00 | ${ }^{4} 20.80$ |  |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | 22.70 | () | ${ }^{\circ} 28.00$ | ${ }^{3} 30.00$ | -53.30 |  | -20.00 | ${ }^{6} 15.00$ |  |  |
| \$10,000 and over_-.- 20.70 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B. NEGRO FAMILIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Income class | New York | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Colum- } \\ & \text { bus } \end{aligned}$ | Atlanta |  | Income class |  | New York |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Colum- } \\ & \text { bus } \end{aligned}$ | Atlanta |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Under } \$ 250 . \\ & \$ 250-\$ 499 \end{aligned}$ |  | \$4.80 |  $\begin{array}{r}\$ 5.00 \\ 8.00\end{array}$ |  | \$1,750-\$1, 999 |  | \$26.40 |  | \$15.70 | \$21. 10 |
|  |  | 10. 30 |  |  | 18. 60 |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | $\$ 8.30$18.20 |  | 8.00 | (12.00 |  | \$2, 250-\$2, 499 |  | ${ }_{31.90}^{23.70}$ |  | 17.7018.40 | 20. 50 |
| \$750-\$999 |  | 10.70 | \$3, $000-83,499$ |  |  |  | 21.30 <br> 24.70 <br>  <br> 1.00 |  |  |  |
| \$1, 000-\$1, 249 | 20.70 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 31.9030.50 |  | 18.40 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 29.00 | 13.50 | 18.60 |  | \$4,000 and over |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1, 500-\$1,749 |  |  |  |  |  | -------- |  | 14.00 |  |  |  |  |

${ }_{2}$ Springfield, Ill., Muncie, and New Castle.
${ }_{3}^{2}$ Beaver Falls, Connellsville, Logansport, Mattoon, and Peru.
${ }^{3}$ Incomes of $\$ 3,000$ and over.
4 Incomes of $\$ 5,000$ and over.
${ }^{5}$ Average amounts of less than $\$ 0.05$ are not shown.
${ }^{8}$ Incomes of $\$ 7,500$ and over.
Expenditures for general household operation.-The running expenses of the home classified in this category include amounts spent for telephone, laundry supplies, laundry sent out, water, stationery, postage, telegrams, moving and express charges, and other miscellaneous expenses such as furniture and metal polishes, matches, scouring pads, and garden supplies.

Expenditures for the miscellaneous items of general household operation, shown in table 8 of the Tabular Summary, become greater as income rises but do not increase at the same rate as income. At the $\$ 500-\$ 750$ income level the expense ranged from $\$ 17$ in Columbus to $\$ 36$ in New York City while at the $\$ 5,000$ level with income ten times as high the corresponding figures were only 6 to 8 times greater ( $\$ 143$ and $\$ 205$ respectively).
Families in Columbus consistently expended less for general household operation than did families in the other cities at comparable income levels due primarily to the fact that expenditures for laundry sent out in Columbus were considerably below such expenditures
in the other communities. ${ }^{7}$ In Atlanta, where the laundry expense runs high, the cost of general household operation is also large relative to that of the other cities.

Negro families consistently had lower home-operation costs than did white families at comparable income levels-both in the Northern and in the Southern cities surveyed.

General household operation as a percentage of total housing expense.Although the cost of these miscellaneous goods and services which are needed for running a home have not been included in the total housing expense discussed in chapter I, it is interesting to note that had such expenses been included the averages would have been about $\$ 100$ higher on the average. These items of household operation represented roughly between 10 and 20 percent of the total money value of housing. Although some irregularities in the pattern are found in the various cities, the ratio of expenses for household operation to total housing tends to increase with income.

Table 25.-Expenditure for general household operation as a percentage of total housing expenditure, by income class
A. WHITE FAMILIES

| Income class | Metropolises |  | Large cities |  |  |  |  |  | East Central cities |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { New } \\ & \text { York } \end{aligned}$ | Chicago | Providence | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Colum- } \\ & \text { bus } \end{aligned}$ | Atlanta | OmahaCouncil Bluffs | $\begin{gathered} \text { Den- } \\ \text { ver } \end{gathered}$ | Port- | Middle- | Small ${ }^{2}$ |
| Under \$250. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8.9 | 13.1 |
| \$500-\$749 | 9.6 | 8.4 | 7.9 | 6.6 | 11.1 | 9.3 | 10.8 | 13.6 | 9.0 | 10.6 |
| \$750-\$999 | 7.3 | 9.2 | 8.8 | 7.7 | 15.1 | 11.2 | 10.8 | 11.5 | 9.6 | 10.5 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 10.6 | 12.3 | 13.3 | 10.3 | 19.0 | 13.1 | 15.1 | 15.7 | 11.7 | 114.4 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 13.6 | 12.9 | 14.4 | 11.8 | 19.9 | 15.5 | 14.2 | 16.1 | 12.9 | 13.8 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 14.5 | 14.3 | 15.0 | 12.6 | 18.1 | 14.2 | 16.5 | 16.2 | 13.3 | 16. 1 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 15.4 | 15.4 | 16.9 | 14.9 | 20.5 | 14.8 | 14.6 | 15.8 | 13. 5 | 15.3 |
| \$2,500- \$2, 999 | 15.9 | 15.4 | 16.7 | 15.1 | 20.9 | 15.6 | 15.6 | 16.9 | 15.7 | 16.3 |
| \$3,000-93,499 | 17.4 | 16.0 | 16.8 | 14.8 | 18.9 | 17.3 | 14.6 | 15.9 | 15. 1 | ${ }^{816.5}$ |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 18.2 | 15. 1 | 17.5 | 14.2 | 18.0 | 16.0 | 17.0 | 18.7 | 13.8 |  |
| 84,0010-\$4,999 | 18.8 | 17.6 | 15.6 | 13.8 | 19.0 | 14.2 | 14.4 | 17.3 | 15.3 |  |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 16.9 | 16.6 | 17.1 | 13.9 | 19.3 | 17.4 | 15.9 | 17.8 | 113.7 |  |
| \$7,500-\$9,999.... | 14.4 | 17.4 | ${ }^{6} 17.0$ | ${ }^{6} 10.6$ | ${ }^{517.6}$ | ${ }^{1} 19.9$ | ${ }^{13.5}$ | 815.9 |  |  |
| \$10,000 and over. | 14.4 | 12.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

B. NEGRO FAMLLIES

| Income class | New York | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Colum- } \\ & \text { bus } \end{aligned}$ | Atlanta | Income class | New York | Columbus | Atlanta |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under \$250. |  |  | 5.2 | \$1,750-\$1,999. | 14.3 | 13.2 | 17.6 |
| \$250-\$499. |  | 5.3 | 7.0 | \$2,000-\$2,249. | 12.4 | 12.2 | 19.1 |
| \$500-\$749. | 5.7 | 6.2 | 9.5 | \$2,250-\$2,499 | 14.4 | 8.1 | 18.2 |
| \$750-\$999 | 7.0 | 7.3 | 11.2 | \$2,500-\$2,999 | 15.3 | 12.6 | 21.8 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 9.2 | 10.4 | 11.8 | \$3,000-\$3,499 | 12.1 |  | 27.8 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 8.7 | 11.2 | 18.6 | \$3,500-\$3,999 |  |  | 24.7 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 14.4 | 12.0 | 19.0 | \$4,000 and over |  |  | 23.4 |

[^29]${ }^{7}$ See table 8, pt. II.

Expenditures for laundry sent out.-The largest expense of general household operation is that for laundry. This item comprises, on the average, as much as two-fifths of the general household operation expense in Atlanta. In the other cities the percentage is somewhat lower, but is nevertheless significant. Housing expenditures for laundry sent to a commercial laundry or laundress become consistently larger with increases in family income in the cities studied. ${ }^{8}$ At the $\$ 500-\$ 750$ income level expenditures for laundry not done in the home amounted to an average of as little as $\$ 0.70$ for the year (in Columbus) if averaged over all families in the income bracket, and no more than $\$ 8.10$ (in New York City). At the $\$ 5,000$ level, the cost of laundry sent out was $\$ 41$ and $\$ 91$ respectively in these two cities.

At most income levels, families in New York City had higher expenditures for laundry sent out than did the residents of the other cities. This is to be explained largely by the lack of space for laundering and drying in the multiple-family dwellings of New York City. In Chicago and Providence also, multiple-family dwellings with their limited space per family are relatively frequent so the laundry is sent out. In cities with many one-family dwellings, average expenditures for laundry sent out are relatively low.

In Atlanta, where more families have household help than is the case in northern cities, one would expect laundering to be done in the home. Such is not the case, however, as the expenditures for laundry sent out in this city almost equal or exceed those found in the metropolises and Providence at practically every income level. The explanation probably lies in the prevalence of Negro laundresses in the South who do the laundering in their own homes rather than in the homes of their employers. Due to climatic differences-clothes worn in the South are more frequently made of material which can be laundered instead of dry cleaned, so the laundry expense as a whole--including laundry supplies as well as cost of laundry sent out-tends to be higher in Atlanta than in the Northern cities.

In the middle-sized and small cities of the East Central region expenditures for laundry sent out exceed those of families in the larger city of Columbus, in the income brackets below $\$ 2,250$. The laundry expenses are not, however, so large in the smaller cities as in Chicago for this item. Thus there cannot be said to be a significant difference by city size in the average expenditures for laundry at specified income levels.

Expenditures for laundry supplies.-The expense for laundry supplies such as laundry soap, cleaning powders, flakes, ammonia, starch, bluing, etc., amounted, on the average, to slightly more than $\$ 10$ during the year per family in most of the large cities surveyed. In Atlanta

[^30]and Portland the expenditures for these items fell below $\$ 10$ at most income levels. In all cities, the average disbursements for these supplies tended to increase with rise in income level, but the increase in such expenditures was not proportional to the income rise. With a tenfold increase in family income, $\$ 500-\$ 750$ to $\$ 5,000-\$ 7,500$, the increase in expenditures for cleaning supplies was not even doubled.

In Atlanta, the fact that laundry is frequently sent out seems to be associated with a smaller expenditure for laundry supplies. This Southern city ranks next to the lowest in the average expense for laundry supplies purchased by families at each income level while expense for laundry sent out in this city exceeded that of families in all cities except New York.

Portland families not only had low expenditures for laundry sent out, but they spent less for laundry supplies, on the average, than in other cities. It is quite possible that Portland does not have so much soot and dirt in the air as do the industrial cities of the East, since there is relatively little coal on the west coast and the prevalence of water power is conducive to the use of electricity for manufacturing.

While Negro families at each income bracket tend to spend less for laundry sent out and laundry supplies than do white families in Atlanta, Columbus, and New York City, this relationship does not hold if families of the same composition are compared. In New York City and Columbus for example, Negro families with husband and wife only (type I) at some income levels have higher expenditures for laundry and laundry supplies than do the white group. (See table $\mathbf{Q}$ of the supplement to the Tabular Summary.) In Atlanta, however, the expenditures for laundry of the white families consisting of a husband and wife only, were somewhat higher than for Negroes of comparable income and family composition. It is possible that Negroes purchased cheaper supplies or that they obtained some laundry supplies from their employers.

Expenditure for telephone service.-Next to laundry expense, telephone service is the most important expenditure of general household operation. The figures shown in part II, table 8, do not include estimates of the charge for telephone service included in the rent bill, but they do include coin box calls by all members of the family and long distance calls as well as the monthly service charges not billed as part of rent. Families in the more expensive apartments are most likely to have telephone included in the rent charge so the expenditures for telephone presented in part II, table 8, are lower at the middle and upper income levels than would be the case had all telephone service charges been shown. However, despite this underevaluation of telephone expenses, the amount spent for telephone service increases consistently with rise in income level in all cities.

At the $\$ 500-\$ 750$ income bracket an average of $\$ 11$ or less per family was spent for telephone in the metropolises and large cities. At the $\$ 2,000-\$ 2,250$ level, the averages ranged between $\$ 16$ and $\$ 29$ for the different cities, while at the $\$ 5,000-\$ 7,500$ income class telephone expenditures averaged between $\$ 41$ and $\$ 71$ for the year. Intercity differences must be viewed in relation to the relative prevalence of multi-family dwellings in which telephone service is included in and inseparable from the rent bill. Since such data are lacking the intercity differences cannot be adequately interpreted.

A definite occupational difference exists in the average expenditure for telephone service. (See table $R$ of the supplement to the Tabular Summary.) In Chicago, for example, wage earners spent less than did the other occupational groups at almost every income level. On the other hand, families of professional persons maintaining private practices had the largest expenditure for telephone at every income level except one. Families in this occupational group frequently have their offices in their own homes, and thus maintenance of a telephone is essential to their professional work.

Expenditure for water.-The cost of water used for household and lawn maintenance is borne almost entirely by the landlord in some communities while in others the tenant pays for the water consumed. Thus the intercity differences in the average amount spent for water may be due to the relative frequency of owner-occupied dwellings, differences in policy with respect to charging tenants for water, variations in water rates or to different amounts of water used. ${ }^{9}$

In all the large cities except Atlanta, Omaha, and Portland, among tenant families the dwelling rent charge tends to include the cost of water consumed, particularly at the lower and middle income levels. Thus the average expense for water rent shown in part II, table 8, is primarily that of home owners although the base used in computing the average includes tenants as well as owners. The average expense in New York City, Chicago, and Providence amounted to less than $\$ 6$ for the year-in all income brackets up to $\$ 5,000$, and generally only a dollar or two was spent for water rent as such. In the cities of Atlanta, Omaha, and Portland, the average increased from several dollars at the low income level to $\$ 20$ or $\$ 30$ at the highest income brackets. Although Denver renting families tended to have the water cost included in the dwelling rent, home ownership was sufficiently prevalent to bring up the average water expense to equal or exceed that of families in the other cities. At the top income bracket of $\$ 7,500$ and over, in this city, an average of $\$ 36$ was spent for water during the year.

Percentage of families employing paid household help.-At every income level higher proportions of white families in the Southeast

[^31]than in any of the other regions surveyed had hired help. ${ }^{10}$ Even at the lowest income level studied- $\$ 500-\$ 750-8$ percent of the families in Atlanta had paid help. The percentage rises rapidly until at the $\$ 1,750-\$ 2,000$ level approximately half the families in this large Southern city hired some household assistance; and in the classes of $\$ 5,000$ and over, practically every family employed household help. In the middle-sized cities studied in the Southeast the percentage having paid help was even greater than in Atlanta at comparable income groups. Among families having incomes of $\$ 3,000$ or over during the year over 90 percent in Columbia and Mobile, the two middle-sized cities in this region, reported having paid servants in the home.

Pronounced fluctuations were found in the percentage of families employing help-particularly in the lower and middle income ranges. In the income brackets of $\$ 5,000$ and up, families with paid household help comprised well over three-fourths of the total families at the upper levels.
Table 26.-Average weeks help employed for families having help, by income class WHITE FAMILIES

| Income class | Metropolises |  | Large cities |  |  |  |  |  | East Central cities |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | New York | Chicago | Providence | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Colum- } \\ & \text { bus } \end{aligned}$ | Atlanta | OmahaCouncil Bluffs | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Den- } \\ & \text { ver } \end{aligned}$ | Portland | Middle <br> sized ${ }^{1}$ | Small ${ }^{2}$ |
| Under \$250 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2. 5 |
| \$500-\$749. |  |  |  | 26.0 | 55.0 |  |  |  | 5. 0 | 6.7 |
| \$750-\$999 |  |  | 20.0 | 12.0 | 34.5 | 4.0 |  | 5. 0 | 15.0 | 12.5 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 6.7 | 18.0 |  | 20.0 | 38.2 | 3.3 | 5. 0 | 23.3 | 15. 0 | 13.3 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 25.0 | 15.0 | 40.0 | 25.0 | 31.5 | 18.4 | 25.0 | 20.0 | 17.0 | 24.2 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 21.7 | 20.0 | 22.5 | 26.4 | 40.7 | 24.4 | 11.0 | 20.0 | 26.2 | 23.8 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999... | 33.8 | 21.7 | 15. 0 | 28.1 | 43.5 | 21.4 | 25.6 | 25.0 | 30.0 | 21.1 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 33.2 | 29.2 | 38.9 | 26.2 | 44.4 | 18.4 | 24. 3 | 22.5 | 26.0 | 34.4 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 32.3 | 31. 0 | 25.3 | 26.4 | 48.8 | 25.8 | 33.0 | 29.0 | 23.8 | 30.8 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 36.3 | 33. 0 | 40.0 | 31.2 | 46.5 | 26.4 | 34. 2 | 29.6 | 30.0 | 35.0 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 38.8 | 40.5 | 40.2 | 36. 7 | 52.8 | 36.3 | 33. 5 | 28.1 | 43.1 | ${ }^{3} 37.4$ |
| \$3,500-\$3,909. | 38.3 | 44.3 | 44.1 | 48.2 | 55.7 | 46.0 | 43.3 | 30.6 | 40.9 |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 48.0 | 49.0 | 41.4 | 45.1 | 62.8 | 49.4 | 31.5 | 38.4 | 46.6 |  |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 56.3 | 58.6 | 57.1 | 58.2 | 64.3 | 45.5 | 55. 2 | 46.3 | 460.0 |  |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | 74.1 | 68.4 | ${ }^{6} 76.3$ | ${ }^{6} 86.7$ | ${ }^{5} 90.2$ | ${ }^{5} 44.4$ | 562.5 | 573.2 |  |  |
| \$10,000 and over. | 110.3 | 79.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ Springfield, Ill., Muncie, and New Castle.
${ }^{4}$ Incomes of $\$ 5,000$ and over.
: Beaver Falls, Connellsville, Logansport, Mattoon, and Peru. ${ }^{\text {B Incomes of } \$ 7,500 \text { and over. }}$
${ }^{3}$ Incomes of $\$ 3,000$ and over.
Full-time and part-time help.-The above percentages do not take into account differences in the employment of full-time and part-time help. A rough indication of the relative importance of the two types of employment may be obtained from the average number of weeks during which families had part-time and full-time help. ${ }^{11}$ (See pt. II, table 8.)

[^32]It may be noted that whereas the help employed by families at the lower income brackets in the various cities tends to be part-time help, the reverse is true at the upper levels.

It is interesting to note that the nonrelief Negro families studied also employed paid help with relative frequency. Although Negro families in Atlanta had paid household help to a lesser extent than did white families in this city in comparable income classes, the percentage of Negroes employing household assistance in Atlanta did not differ significantly from that found for white families in some of the northern cities. Furthermore, the average expenditure of Negro families in Atlanta having paid help tended to equal or exceed that of white families in comparable income levels in the West Central and Pacific Coast large cities surveyed. ${ }^{12}$

Average expense for household help per family employing paid household help.-Total expenditures for household help-nonmoney as well as money-cannot be ascertained, since no evaluation was placed upon the food or rooms furnished to the help. ${ }^{13}$

Among families employing household assistance the average expenditures for paid help during the year tended to increase with rise in income level. (See table 27.) But at each income level there was considerable variability in the averages of the different cities. Generally speaking, the average amounts spent per family for paid household help in Atlanta were high, while those of Omaha families were low, in comparison to the other cities. It cannot be concluded, however, that wages per hour or week were greater in one community than another, since in addition to including tips, etc., these averages do not take into account differences in the number of paid servants as well as in the hours or period employed.

In the income classes of $\$ 4,000$ and above, New York City families had higher expenditures for paid help than did those in Atlanta. This may have been due to the fact that relatively more of these upper income New York City families lived in apartments where the money outlays for wages, tips, and gifts to help was greater because the help did not receive meals or room with the family.
No city-size differences were found to be consistently true either for the percentage of families employing paid household help or in the average expenditures per family having paid help.

[^33]Table 27.-Average money expenditure for paid household help by families employing such help, by income class
A. WHITE FAMILIES

| Income class | Metropolises |  | Large cities |  |  |  |  |  | East Central cities |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { New } \\ & \text { York } \end{aligned}$ | Chicago | Providence | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Colum- } \\ & \text { bus } \end{aligned}$ | Atlanta | OmahaCouncil Bluffs | $\begin{gathered} \text { Den- } \\ \text { ver } \end{gathered}$ | Port land | Middlesized ${ }^{1}$ | Small ${ }^{3}$ |
| Under \$250 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (3) | \$5 |
| \$500-\$749- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$5 | 33 |
| \$750-\$999 |  |  |  | 20 | 30 | \$20 |  | \$17 | 50 | 25 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | \$33 | \$40 |  | 20 | 41 | 3 | \$8 | 33 | 50 | 33 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 50 | 25 | \$200 | 50 | 44 | 16 | 38 | 25 | 30 | 58 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 67 | 100 | 50 | 82 | 93 | 56 | 20 | 33 | 62 | 54 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 62 | 50 | 25 | 81 | 96 | 41 | 69 | 58 | 83 | 67 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 64 | 75 | 111 | 75 | 100 | 42 | 62 | 45 | 65 | 78 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 82 | 89 | 73 | 71 | 115 | 50 | 80 | 53 | 62 | 83 |
| \$2,500-\$3,999 | 116 | 104 | 122 | 85 | 114 | 64 | 85 | 93 | 94 | 100 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 138 | 123 | ${ }^{163}$ | 115 | 170 | 106 | 111 | 91 | 138 | ${ }^{4} 122$ |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 168 | 167 | 133 | 153 | 198 | 130 | 144 | 106 | 141 |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 255 | 189 | 205 | 174 | 244 | 186 | 138 | 137 | 145 |  |
| \$5,000-\$7,499. | 415 | 314 | -284 | - 283 | $\begin{array}{r}345 \\ 8500 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | -236 | - 225 | -195 | ${ }^{5} 280$ |  |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 ..... | 624 | 486 | ${ }^{6} 715$ | ${ }^{6} 576$ | ${ }^{6} 500$ | ${ }^{8} 413$ | ${ }^{6} 386$ | ${ }^{6} 438$ |  |  |
| \$10,000 and over | 1,294 | 779 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

B. NEGRO FAMILIES

| Income class | New <br> York | Volumbus | Atlanta | Income class | New York | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oolum } \\ & \text { bus } \end{aligned}$ | Atlanta |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under \$250. |  |  |  | \$1,750-\$1,999. | \$72 | \$130 | \$67 |
| \$250-\$499 |  |  | \$1 | \$2,000-\$2,249. | 8 | 55 | 63 |
| \$500-\$749 | \$5 |  | 10 | \$2,250-\$2,499 |  | 67 | 53 |
| \$750-\$999 | 80 | \$5 | 10 | \$2,500-\$2,999 | 16 | 395 | 75 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 64 |  | 33 | \$3,000-\$3,499. | 186 |  | 172 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 |  |  | 33 | \$3,500-\$3,999. |  |  | 170 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 235 | 25 | 92 | \$4,000 and over |  |  | 165 |

${ }^{1}$ Springfield, Inl., Muncie, and New Castle.
${ }_{2}$ Beaver Falls, Connellsville, Logansport, Mattoon, and Peru.
${ }^{3}$ Less than $\$ 1.00$.
${ }^{4}$ Incomes of $\$ 3,000$ and over.
${ }^{3}$ Incomes of $\$ 5,000$ and over.

- Incomes of $\$ 7,500$ and over


## Chapter VI

## Number of Rooms and Facilities With Which Homes Are Equipped

Although the Study of Consumer Purchases did not aim to throw light on the qualitative characteristics of goods purchased by families, a few measures of the type of housing secured by families at different income levels can be obtained from the data collected. The reader should bear in mind, however, that the most undesirable housing conditions in the various cities surveyed are not depicted by the figures of the Study of Consumer Purchases since data on the housing of the lowest economic groups-those receiving public assistance in all cities and those in the larger cities whose incomes were below $\$ 500$ for the year-were not obtained in this study of expenditures.

Average number of rooms. ${ }^{1}$--The dwelling quarters of the families surveyed in Columbus contained more rooms than did those of famlies in the other large cities and metropolises. Since family types VI and VII, which represent large families of no less than five persons and usually more, were studied in the East Central region only, the average number of rooms would be expected to be larger there than in the other regions. Later analysis of the number of persons per room by family type will show, however, that the additional size of dwelling in this region is not sufficiently great to prevent overcrowding among the largest families.

Although these larger families were included in the Chicago sample also, we should expect the average number of rooms to be lower than in large cities because of the high proportion of apartment dwellers in the metropolis. As a matter of fact, the average number of rooms was lower in Chicago than in the six large cities studied. (See part II, table 3.) In comparison with New York, however, where apartments are even more prevalent as a type of dwelling, Chicago families had more rooms.

Of the large cities other than Columbus, Providence stood out as having the highest average number of rooms per dwelling, particularly at the top income levels. Dwellings in Atlanta and Denver tended to be relatively small. The increase in size of dwelling with rises in the income level was such that large city families receiving incomes of $\$ 7,500$ or more had homes which contained about twice as many

[^34]rooms as the homes of families with $\$ 500$ to $\$ 750$ incomes. This increase in size of home reflects the larger families found at the upper income levels among these nonrelief families as well as the expansion which is permitted by higher incomes.

Within the East Central region, families living in the smallest cities had the highest number of rooms as compared with families at the same income levels in larger cities. Dwellings in the middlesized cities averaged slightly smaller than in Columbus.

The average size of dwellings of Negro families tended to exceed the size of quarters (measured by number of rooms) occupied by white families at comparable income levels. In Atlanta this tendency was not apparent at the lowest income levels.

Since the above differences may be due in part to differences in family size among the various income and racial groups, the following analysis will deal with the number of persons per room.

Average number of persons per room. ${ }^{2}$--In the large cities and the two metropolises surveyed, families at all income levels except the $\$ 500$ to $\$ 750$ class in Atlanta averaged less than one person per room among nonrelief native white complete families. ${ }^{3}$
Homes of families residing in the metropolises tend to have more persons per room, on the average, than do those of families in the other communities. (See pt. II, table 3.) Comparing New York City and Chicago families with those of the six large cities, the average number of persons per room in the metropolises is consistently

[^35]| Income class | Percentage of households with two or more persons per room ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Chicago, } \\ \text { Ill. } \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\text { Ohio }}{\text { Columbus, }}$ | Atlanta, Ga. | Portland, Oreg Oreg. | Springfield, Mo. |
| All white families.. | 3.5 | 1.8 | 6.4 | 1.3 | 6.8 |
| Relief. | 6.2 | 9.0 | 23.0 | 3.1 | 24.0 |
| Nonrelief |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$1,000. | 4.2 | 2.0 | 9.2 | 1. 7 | 7.4 |
| \$1,000-\$2,000. | 3. 3 | . 5 | 2.3 | . 6 | 1.8 |
| \$2, 000-\$3,000- | 2.1 | . 2 | . 4 | .3 | . 6 |
| \$3,000 and over. | 1.6 |  | 1. 2 | . 3 | . 3 |

${ }^{1}$ From National Health Survey, 1935-36, Preliminary Report, Sickness and Medical Care Series, Bull. No. 5.
greater at every income level above $\$ 1,500 .{ }^{4}$ Below $\$ 1,500$, families in Atlanta live in more congested quarters. ${ }^{5}$ Least crowding among families with incomes below the average seems to exist in Portland and Columbus. In the income groups above $\$ 2,000$ in these six cities, however, Providence families seem to be most favorably housed, according to this standard. The differences are not large, however, so the generalizations are not to be taken as conclusive. What intercity differences appear to exist may be associated with differences in the percentage of home owners. It is quite possible that home owners have more rooms than do renters, but data on this point were not tabulated in the present study. ${ }^{6}$

In the city groups for which data are available, a tendency for the average number of persons per room to decline with rises in income level may be noted. But the decrease is marked in only a few cities. Since paid household help and guests become more numerous as income rises the net effect is to offset the advantage gained by more rooms per dwelling. The greatest decrease in average number of persons per room with increase in income level may be noted among Atlanta white families. In the income bracket of $\$ 500-\$ 750$, an average of 1.10 persons per room was found, while at the highest income bracket of $\$ 7,500$ and over Atlanta families had, on the average, somewhat more than two rooms per person.

As compared with white families at comparable income levels in New York City, Columbus, and Atlanta, the number of persons per room among Negroes either is about the same or less than that among white groups. To be sure, the Negro families actually are more crowded, but this is attributable to their greater frequency in the lower-income levels where crowding is more prevalent. ${ }^{7}$

Occupational differences in number of persons per room.-Since wage earners tend to have more family members than the other occupational groups with comparable incomes, it is not surprising to find that the number of persons per room for this occupational group tends to be somewhat greater than for the white collar groups. In the large cities shown in part II, table 3, the occupational difference is quite consistent between wage earners and the other groups, but in the two metropolises no uniform pattern is apparent. At all events the differences are small. The white-collar occupational groups more fre-

[^36]quently have nonfamily members such as guests and paid help in their households.
Average number of persons per room by family type.-As would be expected, among families of comparable incomes, the more persons in the family, the greater the crowding. While the number of persons living in the home at the end of the schedule year may differ from the number in the economic family during the year as a whole, the two sets of figures are sufficiently similar to reveal family type differences in number of persons per room. In each of the East Central communities, families of type VII, consisting of 7 or 8 persons, were most crowded in terms of persons per room. (See pt. II, table 3.) Family types V and VI, consisting of 5 or 6 persons, shared the second and third most crowded quarters, while type I with only two persons in the economic family was least crowded in each community and at each income level. For example, this last mentioned type averaged 0.38 to 0.45 persons per room at the $\$ 1,500-\$ 1,750$ income level; types V and VI averaged between 0.85 and 1.02 persons per room; while type VII had 1.16 to 1.31 persons sharing each room at this income level in the East Central cities.
Housing facilities.-The percentage of dwellings equipped with the three facilities of electric lights, running hot and cold water, and flush toilets inside the dwelling may be used as an index of the modernization of homes occupied by families at different income levels and in different occupational groups. As may be seen from the figures of table 28, and from the graphic presentation in figure 6, wide intercity and inter-regional differences exist in the percentage of homes with the combined facilities mentioned above. Whereas 91 percent of the homes of families in Portland in the income bracket $\$ 500-\$ 750$ had such facilities, only 6 percent in Providence were so equipped. At the income levels above the lowest, however, Columbus and New York led in the proportion of homes equipped with these modern facilities.

Within the East Central region, the proportion of houses with electric lights, running hot and cold water, and inside flush toilets was highest at each income level in the large city, Columbus, and lowest in the small cities.

In all the city groups covered, the percentage of homes with these facilities tended to increase with rises in income level. At the top income brackets practically every home was equipped with electric lights, running hot and cold water, and inside flush toilet.

Table 28.-Percentage of families having living quarters equipped with running hot and cold water, inside fush toilet, and electric lights, by income class
A. WHITE FAMILIES

| Income class | Metropolises |  | Large cities |  |  |  |  |  | East Central cities |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { New } \\ & \text { York } \end{aligned}$ | Chicago | Providence | $\begin{gathered} \text { Colum- } \\ \text { bus } \end{gathered}$ | Atlanta | Omaha- <br> Council <br> Bluffis | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Den- } \\ & \text { ver } \end{aligned}$ | Portland | Middlesized ${ }^{1}$ | Small ${ }^{2}$ |
| Under \$ 250 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 48 |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 75 | 46 | 6 | 77 | 24 | 39 | 75 | 91 | 41 | 27 |
| \$750-\$999 | 90 | 61 | 19 | 87 |  | 76 | 82 | 81 | 50 | ${ }_{5}^{33}$ |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 90 | 65 | 40 | 89 | 58 | 63 | 81 | 86 | 63 | 50 |
| \$1, 250-\$1,499 | 93 95 | 85 88 | 43 62 | 96 <br> 95 | 66 85 | 82 86 | 85 <br> 93 <br> 8 | 88 90 | 61 80 | 58 71 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 94 | 89 | 69 | 99 | 92 | 95 | 96 | 94 | 85 | 72 |
| \$2,000-\$2,240 | 97 | 94 | 81 | 98 | 89 | 95 | 97 | 94 | 88 | 83 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 99 | 94 | 84 | 100 | 96 | 98 | 98 | 98 | 94 | 79 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999... | 99 | 96 | 92 | 100 | 98 | 98 | 99 | 97 | 98 | 88 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 100 | 97 | 97 | 99 | 98 | 100 | 100 | 97 | 97 | ${ }^{392}$ |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 99 | 99 | 98 | 100 | 98 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 91 |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 99 |  | 100 | 100 |  | 98 | 100 | 98 | 98 |  |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 100 |  |  | 100 | 99 | 100 | 100 |  | 4100 |  |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | 100 |  | ${ }^{8} 100$ | ${ }^{1} 100$ |  | ${ }^{1} 100$ | ${ }^{5} 100$ |  |  |  |
| \$10,000 and over- | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

B. NEGRO FAMILIES

| Income class | New <br> York | $\begin{gathered} \text { Colum } \\ \text { bus } \end{gathered}$ | Atlanta | Income class | New York | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Colum- } \\ & \text { bus } \end{aligned}$ | Atlanta |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under \$250 |  |  |  | \$1,750-\$1,999 | 100 | 100 | 57 |
| \$250-\$499 |  | 56 | 2 | \$2,000-\$2,249 | 100 | 100 | 80 |
| \$500-\$749 | 94 | 52 | 3 | \$2,250-\$2,499. | 100 | 100 | 82 |
| \$750-\$999 | 92 | 70 | 12 | \$2,500-\$2,999. | 100 | 100 | 76 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 97 | 89 | 23 | \$3,000-\$3,490 | 100 |  | 92 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 99 97 | 81 | 31 | \$3,500-\$3,999... |  |  | 80 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 97 | 95 | 53 | \$4,000 and over | ---- | ------- | 90 |

${ }^{1}$ Springfield, ml ., Muncie, and New Castle.
4 Incomes of $\$ 5,000$ and over.
${ }^{2}$ Beaver Falls, Connellsville, Logansport, Mattoon, and Peru. ${ }^{5}$ Incomes of $\$ 7,500$ and over.
8 Incomes of $\$ 3,000$ and over.
In New York, Negro families-the bulk of which occupy apartment houses-had homes equipped with the specified facilities even more frequently, proportionately, than did white families. In Columbus and Atlanta, however, relatively fewer homes occupied by Negro than by white families were equipped with the combined modern facilities of electric lights, running hot water, and inside flush toilets. The difference is particularly striking in the South. Part of the difference may be explained on the basis of lower rents of Negroes at comparable income levels. However, even if Negro families with equal or higher average rents are compared with white families in Atlanta, the percentage occupying homes with the combined modern facilities falls below that of white families.

Housing facilities of occupational groups.-Correlated with the lower rents and rental value of wage earners as compared with other occupational groups is a lower percentage of dwelling quarters with the three modern facilities under discussion. At practically every income level, in each of the large cities for which data are shown in

## Fig. 6 A

PROPORTION OF DWELLING UNITS EQUIPPED WITH ELECTRIC LIGHTS, RUNNING HOT AND COLD WATER AND INSIDE FLUSH TOILET IN SIX CITIES AT SELECTED INCOME LEVELS

1935-1936
NONRELIEF WHITE FAMILIES INCLUDING HUSBAND AND WIFE BOTH NATIVE BORN


table $S$ of the supplement to the Tabular Summary, the percentage of wage-earner homes having these facilities is less than that found for all occupational groups combined.
Specific housing facilities.-When the various housing facilities are considered separately rather than in combination, the regional and city size differences indicated above may be analyzed in terms of the presence or absence of speciflc types of equipment.

Electric lights.-Of the native white families receiving incomes of $\$ 500$ to $\$ 1,000$, a larger proportion of those living in Atlanta than in the other large and metropolitan cities occupied quarters which were not equipped with electric lights. In general, however, electricity for lighting purposes was used by almost all of the families studied in these metropolitan and large cities.

Within the East Central region, the frequency with which quarters are equipped with electric lights appears to bear some relationship to city size. All dwellings surveyed in Chicago, even those occupied by families receiving incomes between $\$ 500$ and $\$ 750$, were lighted by electricity; in the small cities the use of electricity was not so universal until above the income level of $\$ 2,250$.

Racial differences in the occupancy of quarters with electric lights were apparent in Columbus and Atlanta but not in New York, where the majority of Negroes are apartment dwellers. Fewer than half of the Atlanta negroes with incomes of less than $\$ 750$ lived in quarters equipped with electric lights. In both Columbus and Atlanta, the income required before all Negro families became users of electric lights was considerably higher than the corresponding income for white families.

Running hot and cold water.-The inclusion of the facility of running hot water as one of the indices of a modern dwelling accounts for the poor showing of Providence in the earlier analysis. Only 5 percent of the families with incomes of $\$ 500$ to $\$ 750$ lived in quarters with running hot water. Although the proportion of dwellings equipped with running hot water tended to increase with rise in income level, some Providence families with annual incomes of over $\$ 3,500$ lacked this facility. The proportion of Atlanta families, particularly those at the lower income levels, which did not have running hot water was relatively high except when compared with Providence, but in both of these cities, almost all families reported the inclusion of running cold water in their dwellings.

More than 95 percent of Portland's families, even at the lowest income levels, occupied living quarters which were equipped with running hot water.

Of the East Central cities in four different size groups, the large city, Columbus, had the highest proportion of dwelling quarters with running hot water. Chicago ranked second, the proportions in
the metropolis being only slightly higher than in the middle-sized cities. Homes in the small cities were least apt to be equipped with running hot water.

A larger proportion of Negro families in New York City, as compared with the white, occupied quarters with running hot water. Among families with annual incomes of $\$ 500$ to $\$ 750$, for instance, 94 percent of the Negro group but only 81 percent of the white lived in dwellings equipped with this facility. The explanation of this racial difference is undoubtedly related to the greater frequency with which Negro families reside in large multiple-family dwellings. At this same income level of $\$ 500$ to $\$ 750,75$ percent of the renting families in the Negro group and 58 percent in the white.group lived in buildings housing five or more families. (See table O of the supplement to the Tabular Summary.) ${ }^{8}$ In Columbus and Atlanta, on the other hand, the inclusion of running hot water in the living quarters was less common among Negro families than among white.

Inside flush toilet.-The dwelling quarters of almost all of the native white families included in this study were equipped with flush toilets inside the dwelling. Of the large cities, Denver and Omaha were the most notable exceptions to this generalization. In Columbus, too, a few families with incomes as large as $\$ 3,000$ did not have flush toilets in their living quarters. This facility was lacking in a higher proportion of the homes in the middle-sized and smaller cities than in the large city of the East Central region.

The dwellings of Negro families in New York were as well equipped as those of white families in this respect also. But the frequency with which living quarters included a flush toilet was slightly less for the Negro than for the white families in Columbus, and in Atlanta, the difference was marked.

Gas or electricity as kitchen fuel.-A relatively large proportion of the families living in Atlanta, Providence, and Portland used cooking fuel other than gas or electricity. The use of a substitute for gas or electricity was much more common at the lowest income levels than at succeeding levels. In Portland wood was frequently used for cooking by the low income families; in Providence, the popular fuel was kerosene or gasoline while lower income families in Atlanta generally used both wood and kerosene rather than gas or electricity.

In the East Central region, gas or electricity was used for cooking less frequently in the middle-sized cities, and particularly in the small cities, than in Columbus. The use of coal ranges and of stoves operated by kerosene and gasoline is more prevalent among families living in smaller communities.

[^37]Cooking fuel other than gas or electricity was used relatively more by Negro families than by white families. This difference was particularly marked in the Southeastern city, Atlanta. Fewer than onetenth of the Atlanta Negro families which received incomes between $\$ 250$ and $\$ 1,250$ lived in quarters equipped with gas or electricity as a kitchen fuel. The common substitutes were kerosene or gasoline and coal, depending primarily upon the season of the year.

Furnaces.-In the two metropolises and in the large cities other than in Providence and Atlanta, more than nine-tenths of the families with incomes of $\$ 2,000$ or more occupied quarters which were heated by furnaces. The proportion of families with lower incomes which had.furnace equipped dwellings varied widely from city to city. This facility was most frequent in Omaha and New York and least frequent in Atlanta and Providence. The climate of Atlanta makes a furnace less essential than in Northern cities. In Providence many of the dwellings are not of recent construction and hence are not so modernly equipped as those in Omaha and Portland, for instance. In metropolitan centers such as New York and Chicago the high proportion of families living in quarters heated by furnaces is related to the frequency of apartment buildings.

Families in the East Central middle-sized cities had furnace-heated dwellings more often than did families in the small cities.

In New York City the dwellings of Negro families with annual incomes greater than $\$ 1,000$ were equipped with furnaces more frequently, proportionately, than the quarters of white families. This again reflects the greater incidence of apartment dwellers among the negroes than among the white families. In Columbus and Atlanta, however, the homes of Negro families were less apt to be heated by furnaces than were the dwellings occupied by white families. This was particularly true in Atlanta.

## Chapter VII

## Summary

The average expenditures of families for housing, are in general, more closely correlated with income than with any other factor. Although the amount spent for housing increased consistently with rises in the income level, housing expenditures absorbed a lower proportion of the total budget of families at the top of the income scale than at the bottom.

Regional differences also exist, with housing costs in the Pacific Northwest lower than in the other six regions covered. Within each region, too, housing expenditures of families living in middle-sized and small cities tended to be lower than those of families residing in large cities or metropolises.

There appears to be some relationship between occupation and housing costs, wage earner families having the lowest expenditures at given income levels and independent professional and business families, the highest. The influence of family type on housing costs is such that large families tend to spend less than do families composed of fewer members.

Among families living in the metropolises and large cities included in this report, home ownership was most common in Portland and least prevalent in New York City. Families residing in middle-sized and small cities owned their dwellings more frequently, proportionately, than did families in the large cities. In all city groups of all regions it was apparent that, with rising income, families tend to become owners of the family dwelling rather than renters. At comparable income levels, the rental value of an owner-occupied dwelling is higher than the rent paid by a tenant family.

The families surveyed in the Study of Consumer Purchases are not adequately representative of the lowest incomes. Furthermore, the present volume deals only with urban families. It is significant, nevertheless, that families with incomes as low as $\$ 750$ average less than one person per room in all cities covered.

The inadequacies of housing at lower income levels among nonrelief families in the cities studied appear more sharply in terms of the quality of the housing than in terms of congestion. Thus in every city except Portland at least one quarter of the dwellings occupied by nonrelief families with incomes of $\$ 500$ to $\$ 750$ were without one or another
of the basic facilities associated with modern urban housing-running hot and cold water, flush toilets inside the dwelling, and electric lights. In fact, even in the middle-sized and large cities, 1 to 3 percent of the families with incomes as high as $\$ 3,000$ lack one or another of these facilities. It is reasonable to suppose that the inadequacy of basic facilities at low incomes is associated with housing which is inadequate in other respects as well, though no effort was made to study the state of repair of the dwelling, the character of the neighborhood or the accessibility of open spaces.

Since large families appear to spend less for housing than do smaller ones with the same income, it follows that congestion or the quality of housing is related to family type. On the latter point no tabulations were made. As regards congestion, however, it appears that the family accommodates itself to living quarters; there is little evidence that living space is adapted to the changing composition of the family. Thus, husband-and-wife families even at low incomes average about two rooms per person. Families with one child under 16 tend also to live in 4-room dwellings. The families with two children under 16 with incomes of less than $\$ 750$ average less than 4 rooms in some cities, while in others the average is somewhat greater than 4 rooms. However, the family with three or four children under 16 (type VI) averaged more than 1 person per room at all income levels below $\$ 2,500$ in Chicago and at all but one income level below $\$ 2,000$ in the middlesized cities surveyed in the East Central region. In the largest families studied, those with seven or eight people, the standard of one room per person was not attained, on the average, in the East Central region by families with incomes of less than $\$ 4,000$. Congestion in other words is present among large urban families of low and moderate income, and fails to appear in averages for all families at low income levels only because of the relative infrequency of families of 5 or more persons.

## Part II

## Tabular Summary and Appendixes

Table 1.-Number of families: Total number of nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native-born, eligible for the expenditure study in the areas covered; and number of families reporting on expenditures; by family type, occupation, and income, in 1 year, $1935-36$

NEW YORK CITY


${ }^{1}$ See explanation of tables for definition of this item.
This group not covered in expenditure study.

Table 1.-Number of families: Total number of nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born, eligible for the expenditure study in the areas covered; and number of families reporting on expenditures; by family type, occupation, and income, in 1 year, 1995-96Continued

CHICAGO, ILL.: WHITE FAMILIES

B. Number of families reporting on expenditures


1 See explanation of tables for definition of this item. *This group not covered in expenditure study.

Table 1.-Number of families: Total number of nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born, eligible for the expenditure study in the areas covered; and number of families reporting on expenditures; by family type, occupation, and income, in 1 year, 1935-96Continued

PROVIDENCE, R. I.: WHITE FAMILIES

| Pncome class | Total num- ber of families <br> (2) | Family type |  |  |  | Occupational group |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | (3) |  | II and III <br> (4) | IV and $V$ <br> (5) | Wage earner <br> (6) | Clerical <br> (7) | Independent business and professional <br> (8) | Salaried |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Business <br> (9) | Professional <br> (10) |
|  | A. Total number of eligible families ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | r$\begin{array}{r}160 \\ 1,236 \\ 1,498 \\ 1,660 \\ 1,254\end{array}$1,29 | $\begin{aligned} & 2049 \\ & 392 \\ & 490 \\ & 520 \\ & 412 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 252 \\ & 574 \\ & 642 \\ & 654 \\ & 436 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 104 \\ & 270 \\ & 366 \\ & 486 \\ & 406 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 560 \\ 960 \\ 1,124 \\ 1,014 \\ 684 \end{array}$ | (*) 276374428358 | $\begin{array}{lll}\text { (*) } & \\ \text { (*) } & \\ \text { (*) } & \\ & \\ & \\ & 114 \\ & 90\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lll}\text { (*) } & \\ \text { * }^{*} & \\ \\ & \\ & & 48 \\ & & 68 \\ & & \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll}\text { (*) } & \\ \text { (*) } & \\ \text { (*) } & \\ & \\ & 56 \\ & 54\end{array}$ |
| 8750-\$999 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249-- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | $\begin{array}{r} 1,294 \\ 946 \\ 604 \\ 774 \\ 342 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 372 \\ & 312 \\ & 160 \\ & 232 \\ & 100 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 546 \\ & 312 \\ & 198 \\ & 234 \\ & 112 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 376 \\ & 322 \\ & 246 \\ & 308 \\ & 130 \end{aligned}$ | 700366212(*)178 | 330318180$(*)$ | $\begin{gathered} 114 \\ 108 \\ 60 \\ 136 \\ 80 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r}82 \\ 90 \\ 96 \\ 150 \\ 152 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 6870569494110 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$2,250- 82,499 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$3,500-83,999... | $\begin{aligned} & 196 \\ & 210 \\ & 244 \\ & \hline 160 \end{aligned}$ | 5648486432 |  | 6466868838 | 76969898 | $(*)$$(*)$$(*)$$(*)$ | $(*)$$(*)$$(*)$ | $\begin{array}{r} 52 \\ 66 \\ 102 \\ 62 \end{array}$ | 104969282 | 40485016 |
| \$4,000-84,999 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$7,500 and over-.. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$7,500 and over.- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



Table 1.-Number of families: Total number of nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born, eligible for the expenditure study in the areas covered; and number of families reporting on expenditures; by family type, occupation, and income, in 1 year 1985-96-

COLUMBUS, OHIO


: See explanation of tables for definition of this item.

* This group not covered in expenditure study.

Table 1.-Number of families: Total number of nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born, eligible for the expenditure study in the areas covered; and number of families reporting on expenditures; by family type, occupation, and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-
Continued
atLANTA, GA.



Table 1.-Number of families: Total number of nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born, eligible for the expenditure study in the areas covered; and number of families reporting on expenditures; by family type, occupation, and income, in 1 year, $1935-36-$ Continued


|  | B. Number of families reporting on expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | B. Number of families reporting on expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$500-\$749-- | 14 | 4 | 7 | 3 | 14 | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | \$500-8749 -.-.-.----- | 19 | 7 | 8 | 4 | 19 | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) |
| \$750-\$999. | 50 | 14 | ${ }^{23}$ | ${ }^{13}$ | 31 | 19 | (*) | (*) | (*) | 8750-8999 | 58 | 12 | 33 | 13 | 38 | 20 |  |  | **) |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 75 107 | ${ }_{29}^{21}$ | 31 42 | 23 36 | ${ }_{31}^{46}$ | ${ }_{36}^{29}$ | ${ }^{(*)}$ | ${ }^{(*)} 8$ | ${ }^{(*)} 8$ | \$1,000-\$1,249 | $\begin{array}{r}81 \\ 132 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\stackrel{27}{32}$ | 30 57 | $\stackrel{24}{43}$ | 49 49 | 32 <br> 34 |  | ${ }^{(*)}$ |  |
| \$1,500-81,749 ........- | 133 | 29 | 57 | 47 | 40 | 36 | 23 | 20 | 14 | \$1,500-\$1,749 | 144 | 32 | 58 | 54 | 47 | 35 | 29 | 16 | 17 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 119 | 38 | 44 | 37 | 25 | 32 | 22 | 21 | 19 | \$1,750-\$1,999 | 166 | 41 | 73 | 52 | 38 | 39 | 35 | 27 | 27 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 122 | 37 | 44 | 41 | 33 | 33 | 20 | 18 | 18 | \$2,000-\$2,249 | 141 | 34 | 60 | 47 | 32 | 33 | 25 | 24 | 27 |
| \$2,250-\$2,493 | 98 | 24 | 39 | 35 | 18 | 32 | 16 | 19 | 13 | \$2,250-\$2,499. | 147 | 33 | 59 | 55 | 32 | 35 | 31 | 22 | 27 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 126 | 23 | 41 | 62 | 19 | 32 | 26 | 23 | 26 | \$2,500-\$2,999 | 170 | 31 | 74 | 65 | 28 | 43 | 27 | 33 | 39 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 51 | 12 | 20 | 19 | (*) | (*) | 15 | 23 | 13 | \$3,000-\$3,499 | 77 | 14 | 30 | 33 | (*) | (*) | 30 | 23 | 24 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 37 | 11 | 14 | 12 | ${ }^{*}$ ) | (*) | 13 | 13 | 11 | \$3,500-\$3,999 | 54 | 8 | 20 | 26 | (*) | * | 23 | 18 |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 49 | 14 | 11 | 24 | ** | (*) | 21 | 20 | 8 | \$4,000-\$4,999.. | 81 | 21 | ${ }_{19}^{26}$ | 34 | (*) | (*) | 29 | 24 | 28 |
| \$8,000-87,499........ | 31 11 | $\stackrel{1}{2}$ | 10 4 | $\begin{array}{r}20 \\ 55 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | ${ }^{(*)}$ | ${ }^{(*)}$ | ${ }_{4}^{13}$ | 13 7 | 5 | \$5,000-\$7,499.-.....- | $\stackrel{51}{25}$ | 8 | 19 7 | 24 12 | ${ }^{(*)}$ | (*) | ${ }_{13}^{21}$ | 17 8 | $\begin{array}{r}13 \\ 4 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |

${ }^{1}$ See explanation of tables for definition of this item.
*This group not covered in expenditure study.

Table 1.-Number of families: Total number of nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born, eligible for the expenditure study in the areas covered; and number of families reporting on expenditures; by family type, occupation, and income, in 1 year, $1985-36$ Continued

PORTLAND, OREG.: WHITE FAMILIES


## B. Number of families reporting on expenditures

|  | B. Number of families reporting on expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$500-\$749.. | 29 | 5 | 17 | 7 | 29 | (*) | (*) |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$999 | 85 | 25 | 34 | 26 | 52 | () 33 | (*) | (*) | (*) |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 86 | 16 | 42 | 28 | 50 | 36 |  |  | (*) |  |
| :\$1,250-\$1,499 | 169 | 46 | 70 | 53 | 52 | 41 | 34 | 16 |  | ${ }_{30}^{26}$ |
| \% $\$ 1,500-\$ 1,749$ | 197 | 46 | 83 | 68 | 42 | 62 | 34 | 29 |  | 30 |
| : $81,750-81,999$ | 211 | 49 | 85 | 77 | 49 | 59 | 39 | 30 |  | 34 |
| : $\$ 2,000-82,249$ | 208 | 43 | 88 | 77 |  |  | 36 | 37 |  | 42 |
| \$2, 250-\$82.499 | 186 | $\stackrel{37}{47}$ | 79 76 | $\begin{array}{r}70 \\ 85 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 44 40 | 42 44 | 32 40 40 | 33 <br> 38 |  | 35 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \$ 2,500-\$ 2,099 \\ & \$ 3,000-\$ 3,499 \end{aligned}$ | 202 | 41 22 | 76 43 | 85 39 | (*) ${ }^{40}$ | (*) ${ }^{44}$ | 40 <br> 35 | 38 36 |  | 40 30 |
| :\$3,500-\$3,999 | 74 | 19 | 35 | 20 | (*) | (*) |  | 22 |  |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 97 | 18 | 35 | 44 |  |  | 40 | 35 |  | 22 |
| \$5,000-87,499 | 57 | 10 | 21 | ${ }^{26}$ | ${ }^{(*)}$ | (*) | 30 | 19 |  | 8 |
| \$7,500 and over.- | 33 | 7 | 6 | 20 | (*) | (*) | 21 | 8 |  | 4 |

${ }^{1}$ See explanation of tables for definition of this item.
*This group not covered in expenditure study.

Table 1.-Number of families: Total number of nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born, eligille for the expenditure study in the areas covered; and number of families reporting on expenditures; by family type, occupation, and income, in 1 year, $1935-36-$ Continued

NEW ENGLAND, 2 MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES: WHITE FAMILIES
EAST CENTRAL, 3 MHDDLE-SIZED CITIES: WHITE FAMILIES


|  | B. Number of families reporting on expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$250-\$499. | B. Number of families reporting on expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$250-\$499 | 7 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 7 | (*) | (*) | (*) |  | 28 | 9 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 3 |  | 28 | (*) | (*) | (*) |
| \$500-\$749 | 35 | 13 | 17 | 5 | 26 | ( 9 | (*) | (*) | \$500-\$749. | 99 | 23 | 16 | 16 | 17 | 11 | 10 | 6 | 79 | - 20 | (*) | (*) |
| \$750-\$999 | 71 | 14 | 35 | 22 | 50 | 21 | (*) | (*) | \$750-\$999. | 133 | 27 | 20 | 17 | 23 | 16 | 18 | 12 | 84 | 49 | (*) | (*) |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 114 | 30 | 56 | 28 | 50 | 33 | 19 | 12 | \$1,000-\$1,249 | 248 | 50 | 46 | 34 | 37 | 38 | 27 | 16 | 105 | 61 | 53 | 29 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 119 | 27 | 52 | 40 | 51 | 37 | 10 | 21 | \$1,250-\$1,499 | 260 | 50 | 51 | 36 | 42 | 32 | 25 | 24 | 99 | 68 | 47 | 46 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 115 | 19 | 61 | 35 | 41 | 27 | 23 | 24 | \$1,500-\$1,749 | 233 | 40 | 46 | 36 | 41 | 23 | 27 | 20 | 72 | 68 | 49 | 44 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 106 | 22 | 49 | 35 | 37 | 32 | 19 | 18 | \$1,750-\$1,999 | 255 | 45 | 35 | 39 | 50 | 29 | 32 | 25 | 76 | 72 | 53 | 54 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 96 | 24 | 39 | 33 | 36 | 23 | 10 | 27 | \$2,000-\$2,249. | 228 | 38 | 39 | 36 | 39 | 31 | 26 | 19 | 78 | 65 | 33 | 52 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 68 | 16 | 23 | 29 | 23 | 23 | 9 | 13 | \$2,250-\$2,499. | 193 | 38 | 36 | 28 | 31 | 25 | 24 | 11 | 45 | 55 | 36 | 57 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 52 | 7 | 20 | 25 | (*) | (*) | 18 | 34 | \$2,500-\$2,999 | 130 | 24 | 19 | 20 | 23 | 17 | 19 | 8 | (*) | (*) | 60 | 70 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 29 | 5 | 12 | 12 | (*) | (*) | 12 | 17 | \$3,000-\$3,499 | 87 | 17 | 16 | 13 | 17 | 11 | 8 | 5 | (*) | (*) | 35 | 52 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 15 | 2 | 4 | 9 | (*) | (*) | 1 | 14 | \$3,500-\$3,999. | 63 | 19 | 7 | 8 | 13 | 12 | 3 |  | (*) | (*) | 25 | 38 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 15 | 3 | 6 | 6 | (*) | ${ }^{*}$ ) | 8 | 7 | \$4,000-\$4,999. | 76 | 12 | 13 | 13 | 19 | 11 | 8 |  | (*) | (*) | 25 | 51 |
| \$5,000 and over | 12 | 2 | 7 | 3 | (*) | (*) | 6 | 6 | \$5,000 and over | 62 | 11 | 4 | 10 | 22 | 5 | 5 | 5 | (*) | (*) | 33 | 29 |

1 See explanation of tables for definition of this item.
*This group not covered in expenditure study.

Table 1.-Number of families: Total number of nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born, eligible for the expenditure study in the areas covered; and number of families reporting on expenditures; by family type, occupation, and income, in 1 year, $1985-36$ Continued

SOUTHEAST, 2 MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES


${ }^{1}$ See explanation of tables for definition of this item.
*This group not covered in expenditure study.

Table 1.-Number of families: Total number of nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born, eligible for the expenditure study in the areas covered; and number of families reporting on expenditures; by family type, occupation, and income, in 1 year, $1935-36-$ Continued

WEST CENTRAL, 2 MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES: WHITE FAMILIES
ROCKY MOUNTAIN, 2 MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES: WHITE FAMILIES

| Income class | Total <br> num- <br> ber of <br> families | Family type |  |  | Occupational group |  |  |  | Income class | Total number of families | Family type |  |  | Occupational group |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | I | $\begin{gathered} \text { II } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { III } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IV } \\ & \text { and } \\ & \text { V } \end{aligned}$ | Wage earner | Clerical | Inde-pendent business professional | Salaried business and pros sional |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Inde- |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | pend- | Sala- ried |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | II | IV |  |  | ent | busi- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I | and | and | Wage | Cler- | busi- | ness |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | III | V |  |  | and | and |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | profes- | professional |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | sional |  |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499.-.......-...........- | A. Total number of eligible families ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$250-\$499...--.----...-....... | A Total number of eligible families ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 326 | 143 | 110 | $\begin{array}{r}73 \\ 286 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 326 | (*) |  |  |  | 49233 | 23115 | 1786 | 32 | 49196 | (*) | (*) | (*) |
| \$500-\$749 | 924 | 317 | 321 |  | 767 | 157 | (*) | (*) | $\$ 500-\$ 749$ |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{73}$ |  |  |
| \$750-\$899. | 1,362 | 402 | 574 | 386 | 1,082 | 280 | (*) | (*) |  | 429 | 149 | 167 | 113 | 356 |  | (*) | ${ }^{(*)} 23$ |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 1,547 | 471 | 571 | 505 | -946 | 314 | 199 | 88 | \$1,000-\$1,249. | 775 | 283 | 297 | 195 | 502 | 152 | 98 |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 1,226 | 323 | 453 | 450 | 677 | 319 | 146 | 84 | \$1,250-\$1,499 | 866 | 287 | 327 | 252 | 591 | 144 | 77 | 54 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 1,080 | 268 | 362 | 450 | 551 | 273 | 141 | 115 | \$1,500-\$1,749.. |  | 267239 | 325 | 2273 | 449 | 230 | 60 | 80 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999.. | 1,013 | 301 | 329 | 383 | 473 | 302 | 115 | 123 | \$1,750-\$1,999....-----......-- | 786 |  |  |  | 394 | 223 | 70 | 99 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 679 | 188137 | 201 | 2290 | $\begin{array}{r} 270 \\ 155 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 221 \\ 145 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 74 \\ 82 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}114 \\ 80 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | \$2,000-\$2,249 | 519415 | 162134 | 172114 | 185 | 239163 | 143124 | 5955 | 78 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 462 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 247 | 59 | 65 | 123 | (*) | (*) | 102 | 145 | \$2,500-\$2,999 | 155 | 37 | 46 | 72 | (*) | (*) | 62 | 93 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499. | 151 | 3730 | 371920 | 775852 | (*) | *) | 43 | 108 | \$3,000-\$3,499..--------...--- | 135 | 38 | 36 | 61 | (*) | (*) | 45 | 90474642 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999. | 107 |  |  |  | (*) | (*) | 46 | 61 | \$3,500-\$3,999. | 79 | 22 | 26 | 31 |  |  | 32 |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999. | 99 | 27 | 20 |  | (*) | (*) | 35 | 64 | \$4,000-\$4,999. | 79 | 21 | 20 | 38 | (*) | (*) | 33 |  |
| \$5,000 and over. | 116 | 28 | 29 | 59 | (*) | (*) | 65 | 51 | \$5,000 and over | 101 | 36 | 20 | 45 | ${ }^{*}$ ) | (*) | 59 |  |

B. Number of families reporting on expenditures
\$250-\$499
豦 $\begin{array}{r}\$ 500-\$ 749 \\ \hline\end{array}$


- $\$ 1,500-\$ 1,749$
\$1,500-\$1,749 \$1,750-\$1,999 $\$ 2,000-\$ 2,249$

$\infty$
$\$ 3,000-\$ 3,499$
$\$ 3,500-\$ 3,999$
$\$ 4,000-\$ 4,999$.
\$5,000 and over

1 See explanation of tables for definition of this item
*This group not covered in expenditure study.
B. Number of families reporting on expenditures

| 16 | 4 | 8 | 4 | 16 | (*) | (*) | (*) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 37 | 11 | 18 | 8 | 25 | 12 | (*) | (*) |
| 66 | 17 | 25 | 24 | 43 | 23 | (*) | (*) |
| 127 | 30 | E5 | 42 | 48 | .41 | 24 | 14 |
| 136 | 28 | 60 | 48 | 51 | 31 | 29 | 25 |
| 133 | 32 | 58 | 43 | 41 | 36 | 30 | 26 |
| 151 | 35 | 63 | 53 | 49 | 39 | 27 | 36 |
| 148 | 29 | 62 | 57 | 40 | 41 | 33 | 34 |
| 120 | 24 | 44 | 52 | 28 | 37 | 22 | 33 |
| 76 | 18 | 27 | 30 | (*) | ${ }^{*}$ ) | 42 | 34 |
| 58 | 12 | 19 | 27 | ${ }^{*}$ ) | (*) | 20 | 38 |
| 47 | 10 | 21 | 16 | (*) | (*) | 18 | 29 |
| 37 | 7 | 11 | 19 | (*) | (*) | 16 | 21 |
| 35 | 9 | 11 | 15 | (*) | (*) | 19 | 16 |

Table 1.-Number of families: Total number of nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born, eligible for the expenditure study in the areas covered; and number of families reporting on expenditures; by family type, occupation, and income, in 1 year, 1995-36Continued

PACIFIC NORTHWEST, 3 MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES: WHITE FAMILIES

| Income class | Total number of families | Family type |  |  |  | Occupational group |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | I (3) |  | II and III <br> (4) | IV and V <br> (5) | Wage earner <br> (6) | Clerical <br> (7) | Independent business and professional <br> (8) | Salaried business and professional <br> (9) |
| (1) | A. Total number of eligible families ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 | $\begin{array}{r} 93 \\ 257 \\ 573 \\ 1,070 \\ 1,070 \end{array}$ |  | 58 | $\begin{array}{r} 16 \\ 78 \\ 786 \\ 244 \\ 434 \\ 334 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 19 \\ 67 \\ 140 \\ 284 \\ 256 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 93 \\ 930 \\ 2305 \\ 473 \\ 764 \end{array}$ | (*) 27 |  | $\stackrel{(*)}{(*)}$ |
| \$500-\$749 |  |  | 112 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$999 |  |  | 217 |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 27 \\ 787 \\ 143 \\ 133 \end{array}$ |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 |  |  | 342 303 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749........ | 801 |  | 218 | 329 | 254 | 459 | 144 | 117 | 81 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 660 |  | 189 | 250 | 221 | 325 | 169 | 77 | 89 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 428 |  | 115 | 152 | 161 | 169 | 94 | 73 | 92 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 352 |  | ${ }^{96}$ | 128 | 128 | 133 | 95 | $\stackrel{63}{7}$ | ${ }_{6} 1$ |
| \$2,500-\$2,999.............. | 171 |  | 53 | 58 | 60 |  |  | 79 | 92 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 97 |  | 30 | 26 |  | (*) | ** | 56 |  |
| \$8,500-\$3,999 | ${ }_{66}^{62}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r}15 \\ 9 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 20 22 | 27 <br> 35 | (*) | (*) | 32 33 | 30 33 |
| \$5,000 and over.-- | 86 |  | 20 | 18 | 48 | (*) | (*) | ${ }_{52}^{33}$ | ${ }_{34}$ |

## B. Number of families reporting on expenditures

|  | B. Number of tamilies reporting on expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 94443116118 | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \\ & 15 \\ & 13 \\ & 25 \\ & 37 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ 18 \\ 23 \\ 49 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2 \\ 11 \\ 17 \\ { }_{32}^{2} \\ 34 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 92 \\ & 34 \\ & 34 \\ & 46 \\ & 43 \end{aligned}$ |  | (*) | $\begin{aligned} & 12 \\ & 19 \\ & 34 \\ & 28 \\ & 28 \end{aligned}$ | ${ }^{(8)}$ | $8$ |  |
| \$ $\$ 7500-5749$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,000-51,249. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{13}$ |
| \$1,250-81,499 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 25 |  |  | 22 |
| \$1,500- 51,749 - | ${ }^{129}$ | ${ }^{23}$ | $\stackrel{59}{59}$ | ${ }^{47}$ |  | ${ }^{42}$ |  |  | ${ }_{32}^{27}$ | ${ }_{38}^{39}$ |  |  |
| \$2, $2,00-92,449$. | ${ }^{115}$ | 22 | 48 | 45 |  | 32 |  |  | ${ }^{27}$ | 26 |  | 30 |
| \$2,50-82,999.... | 88 59 | ${ }_{13}^{20}$ | ${ }_{23}$ | ${ }_{23}^{37}$ | (*) |  | (*) | 20 | ${ }_{27}^{25}$ |  | ${ }_{32}^{23}$ |
| \$3,00- 88,499 | ${ }^{28}$ | 7 | ${ }_{11}^{11}$ | 10 | (*) |  | (*) |  | 15 |  |  |
| \$4,000-94,999- | $\begin{array}{r}28 \\ \hline 17\end{array}$ | 4 | \% 6 | 18 | $8$ |  | © |  | 15 |  | ${ }_{13}^{20}$ |
| \$5,000 and over | 17 | 4 | 6 | 7 | (*) |  | ** |  | 9 |  |  |

1. See explanation of tables for definition of this item.

This group not covered in expenditure study.

Table 1.-Number of families: Totai number of nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born, eligible for the expenditure study in the areas covered; and number of families reporting on expenditures; by family type, occupation, and income, in 1 year, 1935-36Continued

NEW ENGLAND, 4 SMALL CITIES: WHITE FAMILIES

| Income class | Total <br> num. <br> ber of <br> families | Family type |  |  | Occupational group |  |  | Income class | Total number of families | Family type |  |  |  |  |  |  | Occupational group |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 | $\begin{gathered} \text { II } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { III } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IV } \\ & \text { and } \\ & V \end{aligned}$ | Wage earner | Clerical | Busi- ness and profes- sional |  |  | I | II | III | IV | V | VI | VII | Wage earner | Clerical | Business and professional |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) |
|  | A. Total number of eligible families ${ }^{\text {2 }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | A. Total number of eligible families ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499. | 21 | 12 | 5 | 4 | 21 | (*) | (*) | \$250-\$499. | 181 | 78 | 24 | 19 | 35 | 9 | 9 | 7 | 181 | (*) | (*) |
| \$500-\$748. | 117 | 56 | 43 | 18 | 101 | 16 | (*) | \$500-\$749. | 527 | 186 | 98 | 45 | 111 | 37 | 34 | 16 | 463 | 64 | (*) |
| \$750-\$999 | 340 | 134 | 155 | 51 | 306 | 34 | (*) | \$750-\$999 | 945 | 234 | 189 | 125 | 189 | 67 | 81 | 60 | 783 | 162 | (*) |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 460 | 175 | 174 | 111 | 351 | 69 | 40 | \$1,000-\$1,249 | 1,222 | 344 | 234 | 155 | 222 | 116 | 102 | 49 | 850 | 190 | 182 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 466 | 158 | 188 | 120 | 323 | 85 | 58 | \$1, 250-\$1,499 | 1, 009 | 273 | 152 | 95 | 242 | 106 | 93 | 48 | 653 | 190 | 166 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 376 | 118 | 145 | 113 | 242 | 70 | 64 | \$1,500-\$1,749.. | 877 | 216 | 128 | 97 | 227 | 97 | 69 | 43 | 477 | 208 | 192 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999... | 316 | 97 | 111 | 108 | 190 | 59 | 67 | \$1,750-\$1,999. | 768 | 169 | 119 | 84 | 235 | 86 | 45 | 30 | 414 | 167 | 187 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249.. | 252 | 84 | 66 | 102 | 128 | 57 | 67 | \$2,000-\$2,249. | 500 | 126 | 56 | 45 | 150 | 55 | 30 | 38 | 276 | 99 | 125 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 156 | 52 | 45 | 59 | ${ }^{53}$ | (40 | 63 | \$2,250-\$2,499 | 404 | 104 | 49 | 27 | 127 | 57 | 14 | ${ }_{20}^{26}$ | 213 | 82 | 109 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 90 | 35 | 27 | 28 | (*) | (*) | 90 | \$2,500-\$2,990. | 452 | 96 | 50 | 39 | 162 | 62 | 17 | 26 | 212 | 78 | 162 |
| \$3,000 and over- | 221 | 63 | 76 | 82 | (*) | (*) | 221 | \$3,000 and over. | 510 | 127 | 52 | 26 | 194 | 55 | 26 | 30 | 169 | 64 | 277 |


|  | B. Number of families reporting on expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$250-\$499. | B. Number of families reporting on expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$250-\$499 | 11 | 7 | 2 | 2 | 11 | (*) | (*) |  | 28 | 9 | 3 | 4 | 7 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 28 | (*) | (*) |
| \$500-\$749 | 50 | 16 | 22 | 12 | 40 | 10 | (*) | \$500-\$749. | 85 | 12 | 14 | 14 | 18 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 62 | ${ }^{23}$ | (*) |
| \$750-\$999 | 96 | 33 | 45 | 18 | 78 | 18 | (*) | \$750-\$999 | 108 | 19 | 16 | 21 | 17 | 14 | 12 | 9 | 65 | 43 | (*) |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 151 | 46 | 58 | 47 | 89 | 36 | 26 | \$1,000-\$1,249 | 182 | 31 | 29 | 33 | 26 | 25 | 21 | 17 | 79 | 54 | 49 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 150 | 47 | 50 | 53 | 81 | 38 | 31 | \$1,250-\$1,499. | 179 | 23 | 34 | 21 | 32 | 27 | 24 | 18 | 70 | 55 | 54 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 154 | 38 | 67 | 49 | 80 | 41 | 33 | \$1,500-\$1,749 | 189 | 28 | 28 | 28 | 28 | 29 | 29 | 19 | 77 | 59 | 53 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 131 | 34 | 38 | 59 | 60 | 36 | 35 | \$1,750-\$1,999.. | 180 | 28 | 31 | 27 | 31 | 25 | 21 | 16 | 70 | 50 | 60 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 97 | 21 | 36 | 40 | 41 | 27 | 29 | \$2,000-\$2,249. | 176 | 34 | 22 | 24 | 26 | 30 | 20 | 20 | 72 | 49 | 55 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 ........ | 82 | 20 | 32 | 30 | 28 | 24 | 30 | \$2,250-\$2,499.. | 140 | 25 | 28 | 19 | 27 | 22 | 7 | 12 | 52 | 37 | 51 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 41 | 9 | 16 | 16 | (*) | (*) | 41 | \$2,500-\$2,999. | 161 | 26 | 27 | 17 | 30 | 28 | 14 | 19 | 60 | 41 | 60 |
| \$3,000 and over...-- | 71 | 14 | 23 | 34 | (*) | (*) | 71 | \$3,000 and over | 142 | 20 | 16 | 12 | 35 | 25 | 11 | 23 | 44 | 28 | 70 |

1 See explanation of tables for definition of this item.
*This group not covered in expenditure study.

Table 2.-Adjusted income and expenditure: Average adjusted income and total expenditure, by family type, occupation, and income, in 1 year, 1935-96
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
NEW YORK CITY

| White families |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Negro families |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Income elass | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { fami- } \\ \text { lies } \end{gathered}$ | Family type |  |  | Occupational group |  |  |  |  |  | Income class | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { fami- } \\ \text { lies } \end{gathered}$ | Family type |  |  | Occupational group |  |  |
|  |  | I | $\begin{gathered} \text { II } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { III } \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\text { IVd }}{\text { IV }}$ | Wage earner | Clerical | Independent |  | Salaried |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Busi- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Busi- | Pro- | Busi- | Pro- fes- |  |  | I | III | and | earner | ical | and |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
|  | A. Income ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | A. Income ${ }^{\text {1 }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | \$670 | \$663 | \$683 | \$673 | \$670 | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | \$500-\$749 | \$681 | \$665 | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ | \$681 | (*) | (*) |
| \$750-\$999 | 916 | 928 | 912 | 902 | 922 | \$897 | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | \$750-\$999 | 968 | 999 | $\$ 915$ | \$943 | 959 | \$927 | \$1, 134 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 1,145 | 1,139 | 1,141 | 1, 161 | 1, 140 | 1,155 | ${ }^{(*)}$ | ${ }^{(*)}$ | (*) | ${ }^{(*)}$ | \$1,000-\$1,249 | 1,149 | 1,140 | 1,141 | 1,198 | 1,151 | 1,142 | 1, 143 |
| \$1,250-\$1,489. | 1,381 | 1,387 | 1,369 | 1, 397 | 1, 374 | 1,385 | \$1,399 | \$1,399 | (t) | \$1, 444 | \$1,250-\$1,499 | 1, 431 | 1, 432 | 1,387 | 1,464 | 1,429 | 1,476 | 1,390 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 1,629 | 1,631 | 1,626 | 1,634 | 1,635 | 1,620 | 1,609 | 1,600 | \$1,659 | 1,685 | \$1,500-\$1,749. | 1,675 | 1,688 | 1,686 | 1,644 | 1,679 | 1,655 | 1,670 |
| \$1,750-\$1, 2999 | 1,883 | 1,901 | 1,868 | 1,886 | 1,884 | 1,887 | 1,859 | 1,843 | 1,860 | 1,901 | \$1,750-\$1,999 | 1,899 | 1,870 | 1,925 | 1,903 | 1,902 | 1,881 | 1,906 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 2,137 | 2, 116 | 2, 140 | 2,154 | 2, 151 | 2,128 | 2, 144 | 2, 139 | 2,117 | 2, 128 | \$2,000-\$2,249 | 2,119 | 2, 115 | 2, 104 | 2, 134 | 2, 149 | 2,110 | 2,088 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499. | 2, 369 | 2,368 | 2,361 | 2,379 | 2,357 | 2,365 | 2,360 | 2, 406 | 2, 435 | 2,375 | \$2,250-\$2,499 | 2,386 | 2,379 | 2,401 | 2,389 | 2, 408 | 2,333 | 2,426 |
| \$2,500-\$2,099 | 2,751 | 2, 704 | 2,735 | 2, 800 | 2,777 | 2, 730 | 2,762 | 2,763 | 2,751 | 2, 696 | \$2,500-\$2,999.. | 2, 729 | 2, 647 | (t) | 2, 810 | 2,739 | 2,688 | 2,795 |
| \$3,000-\$3,409 | 3,224 | 3,247 | 3,215 | 3,215 | 3, 203 | 3,253 | 3,126 | 3,194 | 3,224 | 3,281 | \$3,000 and over. | 4,020 | 4, 104 |  | 3,915 | (*) | (*) | 4, 020 |
| 83,500-\$3,999 | 3,735 | 3,740 | 3,735 | 3,733 | 3,705 | 3,698 | 3,798 | 3, 691 | 3, 826 | 3,738 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 4,472 | 4,511 | 4,515 | 4,407 | (*) | (*) | 4,381 | 4,582 | 4, 493 | 4,446 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 5,889 | 5,916 | 5,888 | 5,865 | (*) | (*) | 5,748 | 5, 926 | 5,893 | 5,966 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$7,500-\$9,989 | 8,453 | 8,528 | 8,483 | 8,377 | (*) | (*) | 8,549 | 8, 579 | 8,354 | 8,387 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$10,000 and over. | 18, 481 | 20,616 | 16, 297 | 19,111 | (*) | (*) | 14, 528 | 23, 487 | 18,027 | 14, 980 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | B. Expenditure ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$500-\$749.........-. - | B. Expenditure ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$500-\$749 | \$1,085 | \$1,020 | \$1,105 | \$1,232 | \$1,085 | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) |  | \$695 | \$692 | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | \$695 | (*) | (*) |
| \$750-\$990 | 1,120 | 1,067 | 1,035 | 1,381 | 1,041 | \$1,367 | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | \$750-\$999 | 1,043 | 1,059 | \$944 | \$1,287 | 1,045 | \$932 | \$1,123 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 1,254 | 1,189 | 1,225 | 1, 393 | 1,185 | 1,403 | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | \$1,000-\$1,249 | 1, 184 | 1, 160 | 1,203 | 1,238 | 1, 182 | 1,255 | 1,158 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 1,475 | 1, 463 | 1,431 | 1, 559 | 1, 424 | 1,570 | \$1, 392 | \$2, 092 | ( $\dagger$ ) | \$1,546 | \$1,250-\$1,499. | 1,450 | 1, 434 | 1,442 | 1, 491 | 1, 437 | 1,537 | 1,528 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749.. | 1,692 | 1,655 | 1,675 | 1,760 | 1,633 | 1,743 | 1,752 | 2,046 | \$1,813 | 1,828 | \$1,500-\$1,749. | 1,636 | 1,598 | 1,725 | 1,643 | 1,673 | 1,500 | 1,600 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 1,926 | 1,911 | 1,933 | 1,932 | 1,959 | 1,875 | 1,924 | 2, 255 | 1,891 | 1,949 | \$1,750-\$1,999...........- | 1,976 | 1,956 | 1,994 | 1,977 | 1,980 | 1,942 | 1,974 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 2,165 | 2, 141 | 2, 131 | 2, 235 | 2,231 | 2,088 | 2, 228 | 2, 177 | 2, 099 | 2,294 | \$2,000-\$2,249 | 2,018 | 2,034 | 2,142 | 1,913 | 1,881 | 2, 119 | 2,002 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 2, 342 | 2, 279 | 2, 317 | 2, 421 | 2,345 | 2, 304 | 2,476 | 2, 490 | 2,437 | 2, 292 | \$2,250-\$2,499 | 2,165 | 2, 189 | 2,060 | 2, 236 | 2, 094 | 2,215 | 2,175 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 2,707 | 2,629 | 2,646 | 2, 822 | 2,721 | 2, 679 | 2,854 | 2,784 | 2, 611 | 2, 658 | \$2,500-\$2,999. | 2,573 | 2, 590 | ( $\dagger$ | 2, 519 | 2,547 | 2, 647 | 2,473 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 3,191 | 3, 171 | 3,234 | 3, 169 | 3,295 | 3,162 | 3,211 | 3, 130 | 3,165 | 3, 082 | \$3,000 and over... | 3,559 | 3,062 |  | 4,182 | (*) | (*) | 3,560 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 3,594 | 3,440 | 3, 753 | 3, 572 | 3,484 | 3,569 | 3,750 | 3, 844 | 3,486 | 3, 684 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999. | 4,367 | 4, 203 | 4, 453 | 4, 408 | (*) | (*) | 4, 296 | 5, 056 | 4,273 | 4, 174 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$5,000-\$7,499. | 5,650 | 5,444 | 5, 404 | 6,061 | (*) | (*) | 5,894 | 5,347 | 5, 805 | 5, 490 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | 7,951 | 8,427 | 7,510 | 7,938 | (*) | ${ }^{*}$ ) | 7,271 | 10, 233 | 7,653 | 6,572 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$10,000 and over .......... | 14,851 | 15,805 | 13,868 | 15, 138 | (*) | (*) | 14,155 | 17, 187 | 13, 499 | 12, 460 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 2.-Adjusted income and expenditure: Average adjusted income and total expenditure, by family type, occupation, and income in 1 year, 1995-96-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
CHICAGO, ILL.: WHITE FAMILIES

| Income class | $\underset{\text { lies }}{\text { All fami. }}$ | Family type |  |  |  |  |  |  | Occupational group |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | II | III | IV | V | VI | VII | Wage earner | Clerical | Independent |  | Salaried |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Busi- } \\ \text { ness } \end{gathered}$ | Professional | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Busi- } \\ & \text { ness } \end{aligned}$ | Professional |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) | (14) | (15) |
|  | A. Income ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749. | \$684 | \$687 | $\$ 693$ | \$670 | \$670 | \$638 | \$736 | $\$ 714$ | \$684 | (*) | ${ }^{*}$ * | (*) | ${ }^{*}{ }^{*}$ | ${ }^{*}{ }^{*}$ |
| \$750-\$999 |  | 893 | 917 | 922 | 911 | 881 | ${ }^{946}$ | 916 |  | \$915 | ${ }^{(*)}$ | (*) |  | ${ }^{(*)}$ |
| \$1.000-\$1,249. | 1,132 | 1,098 | 1,182 | 1,112 | 1,137 | 1,128 | 1,113 | 1,194 | 1, 1,125 | 1,148 <br> 1,375 | \$1, 371 | ${ }_{\$ 1,391}$ |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499.. | 1,369 1,621 | 1, 1,665 | 1,342 1,619 | 1,392 | 1,379 1,635 | 1,393 1,618 | 1,370 1,594 | 1,387 1,643 | 1, 1,624 | 1,375 1,622 | \$ $\begin{array}{r}\$ 1,371 \\ 1,590\end{array}$ | $\$ 1,391$ 1,605 | $\$ 1,325$ 1,608 | $\$ 1,411$ 1,623 |
| \$1,750-81,899. | 1,876 | 1,849 | 1,875 | 1,888 | 1,895 | 1,876 | 1,888 | 1,912 | 1,889 | 1,862 | 1,858 | 1,877 | 1,889 | 1,850 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 2, 113 | 2,104 | 2,103 | 2,122 | 2, 110 | 2,132 | 2,132 | 2,109 | 2, 118 | 2,109 | 2,116 | 2, 139 | 2,078 | 2,120 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499.. | 2,372 | 2,373 | 2,385 | 2, 366 | 2,363 | 2,375 | 2,369 | 2,363 | 2, 361 | 2, 369 | 2,396 | 2,402 | 2,387 | 2, 394 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 2,735 | 2,715 | 2,723 | 2,735 | 2,767 | 2,679 | 2,732 | 2,818 | 2,746 | 2,720 | 2,743 | 2,769 | 2,756 | 2,712 3,234 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 3, 238 | 3,256 | 3, 210 | 3,215 | 3, 257 | 3, 224 | 3,200 | 3, 232 | 3,238 | 3,262 | 3,267 | 3, 170 | 3,184 | 3,234 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999- | 3,731 | 3,776 | 3,720 | 3,702 | 3,731 | 3,710 | 3,644 | 3,777 | 3,733 | 3,716 | 3,728 | 3,778 | 3,741 | 3,740 |
| \$4,000-84,999 | ${ }^{4,453}$ | 4,402 | 4,424 | 4,540 5 5 | 4,467 | 4,499 5 5 |  | 4,431 6,211 | ${ }_{\text {4, }}^{4} \mathbf{4} \mathbf{4}$ |  |  |  | 4, 512 58.837 8 | 4,403 6099 |
| ${ }^{\$ 5} \mathbf{\$ 7}, 5000-8789,999$. | 5,966 8,643 | 6, 111 <br> 8,328 | 5,822 8800 | 5,705 88 8 | 6,080 8,914 | $\begin{array}{r}\text { 5,908 } \\ 7 \\ \hline, 957\end{array}$ | 5,684 8,706 | 6,211 8868 | ${ }_{(*)}{ }^{*}$ | $\stackrel{*}{*}{ }^{(*)}$ | 5,931 8858 | 6,147 88 8 | 5.837 88872 | 6, 099 8,355 |
| \$10,000 and over-................ | 16, 277 | 13, 082 | ( $\dagger$ ) | 13,313 | 13,890 | ( $\dagger$ ) | 11, 570 | ( $\dagger$ ) | (*) | (*) | 14, 044 | 12, 374 | 18,941 | 12, 240 |


|  | B. Expenditure 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$500-\$749 | \$893 | \$771 | \$780 | \$956 | \$1, 170 | \$1, 129 | \$1,027 | \$936 | \$893 | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) |
| \$750-\$999 | 1,036 | 955 | 983 | 1,036 | 1,119 | 1, 402 | 1, 135 | 1,141 | 1,046 | \$1,011 | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 1, 207 | 1,173 | 1,210 | 1,143 | 1,284 | 1,259 | 1,115 | 1,605 | 1,168 | 1,297 | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 1,408 | 1,423 | 1,315 | 1,435 | 1, 458 | 1,393 | 1, 451 | 1,530 | 1,406 | 1, 416 | \$1,388 | \$1,497 | \$1,418 | \$1,397 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 1,637 | 1, 577 | 1,612 | 1,662 | 1, 688 | 1,642 | 1,656 | 1,816 | 1,656 | 1, 628 | 1,558 | 1,674 | 1,615 | 1,569 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 1, 842 | 1,772 | 1,785 | 1,886 | 1, 864 | 1,978 | 1,913 | 1,948 | 1,818 | 1,845 | 1,923 | 1, 862 | 1,888 | 1,916 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 2,036 | 2,002 | 2,002 | 2,081 | 2,038 | 2,043 | 2,089 | 2,165 | 2,015 | 2,027 | 2,071 | 2,413 | 2, 162 | 2,028 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 2, 283 | 2, 244 | 2,285 | 2,280 | 2,315 | 2, 282 | 2,296 | 2,311 | 2,278 | 2, 292 | 2, 285 | 2, 394 | 2,312 | 2, 202 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 2, 556 | 2,432 | 2,490 | 2,725 | 2,557 | 2,642 | 2,559 | 2,676 | 2, 609 | 2, 474 | 2,496 | 2,673 | 2,694 | 2,565 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 2,858 | 2,859 | 2,893 | 2,829 | 2,740 | 3,022 | 2,891 | 3,009 | 2,783 | 2,763 | 3,070 | 2,982 | 2,982 | 2,916 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999. | 3,241 | 3, 195 | 3,361 | 3,228 | 3,067 | 3,429 | 3,379 | 3,485 | 3,136 | 3,161 | 3,340 | 3,406 | 3,324 | 3, 352 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 3, 879 | 3, 665 | 3,851 | 4, 069 | 3, 826 | 4, 121 | 3,953 | 3,994 | 3, 832 | 3,940 | 3,741 | 3, 867 | 4,047 | 3, 730 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499. | 4,776 | 4,307 | 5,096 | 4,776 | 4,746 | 5,057 | 4,274 | 6,621 | (*) | (*) | 4,786 | 4,749 | 4,859 | 4,634 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | 6,989 | 6,927 | 6,705 | 7,006 | 7,050 | 6,492 | 6,473 | 8,036 | ${ }^{*}$ ( ${ }^{\text {( }}$ ) | (*) | 6,390 | 7, 326 | 7,323 | 6, 386 |
| \$10,000 and over | 10,552 | 9,056 | ( $\dagger$ | 9,067 | 10, 161 | ( $\dagger$ | 9,287 | ( $\dagger$ ) | (*) | (*) | 11,803 | 9, 521 | 10,905 | 8,662 |

1 See explanation of tables for definition of this item.
$\dagger$ A verages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

Table 2.-Adjusted income and expenditure: Average adjusted income and total expenditure, by family type, occupation, and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
providence, r. I.: white families

| Income class | All families | Family type |  |  | Occupational group |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | I <br> (3) | II and III <br> (4) | IV and V <br> (5) | Wage earner <br> (6) | Clerical <br> (7) | Independent business and professional <br> (8) | Salaried |  |
| Income class | (2) |  |  |  |  |  |  | Business <br> (9) | Professional <br> (10) |
|  | A. Income ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749. | \$700 | \$673 | \$735 | \$668 | \$700 | (*) | (*) | (*) | *) |
| \$750-\$999 | 873 | 881 | 857 | 900 | 874 | (\$885 | (*) | (*) | (*) |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 1,125 | 1,127 | 1,212 | 1,133 | 1,129 | 1,115 | (*) | (*) |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 1, 374 | 1,364 | 1,373 | 1,383 | 1,375 | 1,363 | \$1,360 | \$1,395 | \$1,423 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 1,613 | 1,627 | 1,605 | 1,611 | 1,621 | 1,599 | 1,611 | 1,611 | 1,624 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 1,886 | 1,871 | 1,892 | 1,895 | 1,882 | 1, 895 | 1, 889 | 1,889 | 1,888 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 2, 140 | 2,113 | 2,165 | 2,143 | 2,147 | 2,150 | 2, 100 | 2, 134 | 2, 131 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 2,389 | 2,418 | 2,392 | 2,367 | 2,367 | 2,403 | 2, 400 | 2,391 | 2,408 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 2,709 | 2,697 | 2,724 | 2,706 | (*) ${ }^{\text {2, }} 738$ | (*) ${ }^{\text {2, }}$ ( ${ }^{\text {2 }}$ | 2, 713 | 2, 727 | 2,747 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 3,223 | 3,280 | 3,172 | 3,226 | (*) |  | 3, 250 | 3,208 | 3,228 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999. | 3,771 | 3,784 | 3,775 | 3,757 | (*) | (*) | 3, 748 | 3,792 | 3,747 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 4,455 | 4,687 | 4,384 | 4,389 | (*) | (*) | 4,431 | 4,522 | 4,356 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 6,006 | 5,756 | 6,166 | 6,039 | (*) | (*) | 5,888 | 6,014 | 6,239 |
| \$7,500 and over. | 10,215 | Q1, 002 | 9,051 | 10,426 | (*) | (*) | 11,050 | 8,971 | 13,350 |


|  | B. Expenditure 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$500-\$749 | \$829 | \$770 | \$864 | \$859 | \$829 | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) |
| \$750-\$999 | 946 | 888 | 895 | 1,143 | 930 | \$1,005 | (*) | (*) | (*) |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 1,198 | 1,201 | 1,191 | 1,211 | 1,211 | 1,161 | (*) | (*) | (*) |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 1,398 | 1,361 | 1, 420 | 1,406 | 1,370 | 1,456 | \$1,400 | \$1,401 | \$1,436 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 1,658 | 1,618 | 1,572 | 1,793 | I, 678 | 1,602 | 1,657 | 1,729 | 1,697 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 1,899 | 1,925 | 1,895 | 1,881 | 1,868 | 1,930 | 1,991 | 1,904 | 1,916 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 2,132 | 2,126 | 2,145 | 2, 128 | 2, 193 | 2, 130 | 2, 020 | 2,211 | 2,036 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 2,256 | 2,320 | 2,257 | 2,214 | 2,213 | 2,261 | 2, 198 | 2,406 | 2, 202 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 2,538 | 2,530 | 2,458 | 2, 604 | 2,512 | 2,557 | 2, 474 | 2,640 | 2,472 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499. | 2,984 | 2,791 | 2,911 | 3, 199 | (*) | (*) | 3, 205 | 2,907 | 2,934 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999. | 3,164 | 3,226 | 3, 311 | 2,993 | (*) | (*) | 3, 189 | 3,220 | 2,985 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 3, 931 | 3,947 | 3,942 | 3,917 | (*) | (*) | 3,820 | 4,085 | 3,781 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 5, 043 | 4,711 | 5,080 | 5,206 | (*) | (*) | 4,850 | 5,076 | 5,331 |
| \$7,500 and over-- | 8,317 | 8,094 | 7, 170 | 8,881 | (*) | (*) | 7,858 | 7,908 | 12,195 |

Table 2.-Adjusted income and expenditure: Average adjusted income and total expenditure, by family type, occupation, and income, in 1 year, 1995-36-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
COLUMBUS, OHIO


${ }^{1}$ See explanation of tables for definition of this item.
$\dagger$ Averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.
*This group not covered in expenditure study.

Table 2.-Adjusted income and expenditure: Average adjusted income and total expenditure, by family type, occupation, and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
atLANTA, GA.


${ }^{1}$ See explanation of tables for definition of this item.
A verages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.
This group not covered in expenditure study.

Table 2.-Adjusted income and expenditure: Average adjusted income and total expenditure, by family type, occupation, and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]



1 See explanation of tables for definition of this item.
$\dagger$ A verages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.
This group not covered in expenditure study.

Table 2.-Adjusted Income and expenditure: Average adjusted income and total expenditure, by family type, occupation, and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
PORTLAND, OREG.: WHITE FAMILIES


|  | B. Expenditure 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$500-\$749 | \$858 | \$747 | \$862 | \$1,116 | \$858 | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) |
| \$750-\$999 | 985 | 969 | 949 | 1,085 | 966 | \$1,047 | (*) | (*) | (*) |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 1,159 | 1,086 | 1,193 | 1,241 | 1,121 | 1,240 | (*) | (*) | (*) |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 1,405 | 1,414 | 1,404 | 1,392 | 1,391 | 1,441 | \$1,387 | \$1,457 | \$1,366 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 1,597 | 1,552 | 1,606 | 1,646 | 1,549 | 1,635 | 1,629 | 1,670 | 1,660 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 1,882 | 1,774 | 1,865 | 1,836 | 1,792 | 1,843 | 1,861 | 1,808 | 1,859 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 2,069 | 2,082 | 2,056 | 2,064 | 2,013 | 2,152 | 2, 119 | 2,002 | 1,975 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 2,260 | 2,208 | 2,291 | 2,274 | 2,222 | 2,218 | 2,428 | 2,318 | 2,240 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999.. | 2,528 | 2,461 | 2,560 | 2,552 | 2,474 | 2,576 | 2,627 | 2,379 | 2,565 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499.. | 2,853 | 2,849 | 2,789 | 2,898 | (*) | (*) | 2,989 | 2,791 | 2,745 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 3,276 | 3,171 | 3,189 | 3,432 | (*) | (*) | 3,228 | 3,406 | 3,120 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 3,688 | 3,238 | 3,961 | 3,740 | $\left({ }^{*}\right)$ | (*) | 3,781 | 3,585 | 3,718 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 4,635 | 4,054 | 5,071 | 4,720 | $\left({ }^{*}\right)$ | (*) | 4,565 | 4,719 | 4,586 |
| \$7,500 and over. | 7,688 | 5,934 | 5,690 | 8,710 | (*) | (*) | 7,742 | 7,556 | 7,603 |

${ }^{1}$ See explanation of tables for definition of this item.

* This group not covered in expenditure study.

Table 2.-Adjusted income and expenditure: Average adjusted income and total expenditure, by family type, occupation, and income, in 1 year, 1935-86-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
new england, 2 middle-sized cities: white families
EAST CENTRAL, 3 MIDDLE-SIZED CITILS: WHITE FAMILIES


|  | B. Expenditure ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$250-\$499 | B. Expenditure ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$250-\$499 | \$789 | \$698 | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | \$789 | (*) | (*) | (*) |  | \$642 | \$504 | \$587 | \$539 | 1,130 | \$975 | \$829 |  | \$642 | (*) | (*) | (*) |
| \$500-\$749 | 847 | 809 | \$862 | \$906 | 825 | \$994 | (*) | (*) | \$500-\$749- | 775 | 700 | 783 | 815 | 818 | 787 | 838 | \$880 | 768 | \$836 | (*) | (*) |
| \$750-\$999 | 1,009 | 956 | 1, 025 | 1,059 | 1,004 | 1,027 | (*) | (*) | \$750-\$999 | 956 | 885 | 959 | 1,017 | 1,001 | 941 | 994 | 1,012 | 953 | 981 | (*) | (*) |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 1, 224 | 1,214 | 1, 199 | 1.285 | I, 209 | 1, 273 | \$1, 215 | \$1,246 | \$1,000-\$1,249 | 1,160 | 1,127 | 1, 161 | 1,221 | 1, 147 | 1,217 | 1,146 | 1,186 | 1, 124 | 1,270 | \$1,193 | \$1, 258 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 1,401 | 1,338 | 1, 442 | 1,406 | 1,408 | 1,379 | 1, 368 | 1,432 | \$1,250-\$1,499. | 1,367 | 1,390 | 1,335 | 1,357 | I, 369 | 1, 364 | 1,355 | 1,409 | 1,341 | 1, 403 | 1,467 | 1,385 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 1,670 | 1,659 | 1,647 | 1,708 | 1,660 | 1,704 | 1,708 | 1,621 | \$1,500-\$1,749. | 1,548 | 1,428 | 1, 580 | 1,649 | 1,582 | 1,572 1 | 1,548 | 1,659 | 1, 502 | 1,619 | 1,648 | 1,563 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 1,970 | 1,998 | 1,977 | 1,942 | 1,975 | 1, 801 | 1,912 | 2,189 | \$1,750-\$1,999. | 1,748 | 1,702 | 1,796 | 1,818 | 1,678 | 1,825 1 | 1, 720 | 1,873 | 1,716 | 1, 791 | 1,689 | 1,840 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 2,014 | 2, 022 | 2, 027 | 1,994 | 2,003 | 1,992 | 1,868 | 2,168 | \$2,000-\$2,249.- | 1,9671 | 1,931 | 1,954 | 1,910 | 1,928 | 2.042 | 2, 050 | 2, 191 | 1,901 | 1,950 | 2,038 | 2, 107 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 2,218 | 2,098 | 2, 186 | 2,334 | 2,201 | 2,195 | 2, 254 | 2, 268 | \$2,250-\$2,499. | 2, 109 | 2, 044 | 2, 123 | 2, 113 | 2, 153 | 2,046 2 | 2, 252 | 2,062 | 2,063 | 2, 126 | 2, 051 | 2, 185 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 2, 594 | 2,611 | 2, 649 | 2,532 | (*) | (*) | 2,688 | 2,535 | \$2,500-\$2,999. | 2, 436 | 2, 270 | 2,370 | 2,625 | 2, 450 | 2, 609 | 2, 493 | 2, 455 | (*) | (*) | 2, 463 | 2,419 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 3,068 | 2,751 | 2,944 | 3,259 | (*) | (*) | 3,113 | 3, 034 | \$3,000-\$3,499. | 2,767 | 2, 857 | 2,664 | 2,688 | 2,744 | 2,803 | 2, 836 | 3,132 | (*) | (*) | 2,739 | 2,777 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 3,153 | ( $\dagger$ ) | 3, 583 | 3, 035 | (*) | (*) | ( $\dagger$ ) | 3,120 | \$3,500-\$3,999. | 3.070 | 2, 804 | 3, 142 | 3, 059 | 3, 186 | 3, 2033 | 3, 103 | ( $\dagger$ ) | (*) | (*) | 3, 168 | 3, 023 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999. | 3,547 | 2,777 | 4,098 | 3, 631 | (*) | ${ }^{*}$ *) | 3,271 | 3,774 | \$4,000-\$4,999. | 3,392 | 2,976 | 3, 604 | 3, 537 | 3, 556 | 3,5043 | 3, 618 |  | (*) | (*) | 3,453 | 3, 353 |
| \$5,000 and over .......-- | 5,430 | (i) | 4,937 5 | 5,988 | (*) | (*) | 4,260 | 6,210 | \$5.000 and ove | 4,331 | 4,046 | 4,361 | 4, 308 | 4, 426 | 3,9534 | 4,581 | 5,915 | (*) | (*) | 4,446 | 4,219 |

1 See explanation of tables for definition of this item.
This group not covered in expenditure study.

Table 2.-Adjusted income and expenditure: Average adjusted income and total expenditure, by family type, occupation, and income, in 1 year, 1985-36-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
SOUTHEAST, 2 MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES

| White families |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Negro families |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\underset{\text { families }}{\text { All }}$ | Family type |  |  | Occupational group |  |  |  | Income class | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{\|c} \text { All } \\ \text { families } \end{array}\right.$ | Family type |  |  | Occupational group |  |
| Income class |  | I | $\begin{aligned} & \text { II and } \\ & \text { III } \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\mathbf{V}}{\mathrm{IV}}$ | Wage earner | Clerical | Inde-pendent business and $\underset{\substack{\text { profes- } \\ \text { sional }}}{ }$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Salaried } \\ \text { busi- } \\ \text { ness } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { profes- } \\ \text { sional } \end{array}$ |  |  | I | $\begin{gathered} \text { II and } \\ \text { III } \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\mathrm{V}}{\text { IV }}$ | Wage earner | Clerical, business and professional |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) |
|  | A. Income ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | A. Income ${ }^{\text {1 }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Under $\$ 250$. | \$238 | \$226 | \$256 | \$243 | \$241 | \$193 |
| \$250-\$499. | \$469 | \$458 | \$448 | \$505 | \$469 | (*) | (*) | (*) | \$250-\$499 | 403 | 391 | 393 | 440 | 404 | 388 |
| \$500-\$749. | 658 | 684 | 639 | 658 | 660 | \$647 | (*) | (*) | \$500-\$749 | 624 | 633 | 606 | 627 | 622 | 645 |
| \$750-\$999. | 913 | 895 | 921 | 910 | 913 | 913 | (*) | (*) | \$750-\$999 | 875 | 850 | 862 | 904 | 874 | 875 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 1, 140 | 1,120 | 1,145 | 1,152 | 1,142 | 1,132 | \$1,138 | \$1, 154 | \$1,000-\$1,249 | 1,131 | 1,144 | 1,110 | 1.131 | 1,127 | 1,147 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 1,379 | 1,356 | 1,385 | 1,387 | 1,384 | 1,370 | 1.387 | 1,370 | \$1,250-\$1,499 | 1,428 | 1,403 | 1,435 | 1,432 | 1,425 | 1,436 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 1,635 | 1,645 | 1, 623 | 1,642 | 1,638 | 1,635 | 1,610 | 1,643 | \$1,500-\$1,749 ...... | 1,628 |  |  |  | $)^{(*)}$ | 1,628 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 1, 881 | 1, 879 | 1, 8137 | 1, 895 | 1, 8144 | 1, 892 | 1,878 | 1,888 | \$1,750-\$1,999 | 1, 898 | 1,914 | 1,846 | 1,957 |  | 1,893 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 2, 145 | 2,146 | 2, 138 | 2,150 | 2. 141 | 2, 145 | 2,127 | 2, 159 | \$2,000-\$2,249 | 2, 158 |  |  |  | ( ${ }^{*}$ ) | 2,158 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 2,379 | 2,384 | 2,405 | 2,359 | 2,352 | 2,379 | 2,378 | 2, 406 | $\$ 2,250-\$ 2,499$ <br> $\$ 2,500$ and over- | 2,384 3,170 | 2,500 | 2,385 | 2, 802 | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\left({ }^{*}\right) \\ (*)\end{array}\right.$ | 2,384 3,170 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999. | 2,750 | 2,810 | 2, 704 | 2, 763 | (*) | (*) | 2, 763 | 2,745 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$3,000-\$3,499. | 3,245 | 3,248 | 3, 236 | 3, 249 | (*) | (*) | 3,252 | 3,242 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 3, 746 | 3,791 | 3,738 | 3,729 | (*) | (*) | 3,747 | 3,746 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 4,411 | 4,385 | 4,436 | 4,410 | (*) | (*) | 4, 307 | 4, 451 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$5,000 and over. | 7,473 | 6,689 | 7,526 | 7,709 | (*) | (*) | 8,177 | 6,825 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



1 See explanation of tables for definition of this item.
*This group not covered in expenditure study.

Table 2.-Adjusted income and expenditure: Average adjusted income and total expenditure, by family type, occupation, and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
WEST CENTRAL, 2 MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES: WHITE FAMILIES
ROCKY MOUNTAIN, 2 MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES: WHITE FAMILIES


|  | B. Expenditure ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | B. Expenditure ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$250-\$499 | \$690 | \$563 | \$752 | \$843 | \$690 | (*) | (*) | (*) | \$250-\$499 | \$733 | \$633 | \$732 | \$988 | \$733 | (*) | (*) | (*) |
| \$500-\$749 | 736 | 630 | 717 | 876 | 722 | \$803 | (*) | (*) | \$500-\$749 | 890 | 824 | 975 | 900 | 903 | \$829 | (*) | (*) |
| \$750-\$999 | 932 | 836 | 915 | 1,057 | 909 | 1,017 | (*) | (*) | \$750-\$999 | 1,051 | 981 | 1,047 | 1151 | 1,058 | 1,020 | (*) | (*) |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 1,555 | 1,169 | 1,116 | 1, 186 | 1,123 | 1,165 | \$1,244 | \$1,256 | \$1,000-\$1,249 | 1, 287 | 1,207 | 1, 297 | 1,388 | 1,296 | 1, 268 | \$1,282 | \$1,246 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 1,350 | 1,303 | 1,352 | 1,381 | 1,306 | 1,319 | 1,567 | 1, 448 | \$1,250-\$1,499 | 1,509 | 1,471 | 1,515 | 1,543 | 1,516 | 1,567 | 1,352 | 1, 502 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 1,576 | 1,416 | 1,639 | 1,621 | 1,492 | 1,708 | 1,608 | 1,622 | \$1.500-\$1,749 | 1,605 | 1,626 | 1,554 | 1,654 | 1,607 | 1,601 | 1,555 | 1,653 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 1,733 | 1,677 | 1,669 | 1,833 | 1,675 | 1,733 | 1,780 | 1,915 | \$1.750-\$1,999 | 1,917 | 1,908 | 1,887 | 1,962 | 1,907 | 1,805 | 2, 263 | 1,961 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 1,953 | 2,013 | 1,936 | 1,927 | 1,776 | 1,964 | 2,182 | 2.020 | \$2,000-\$2,249 | 2, 079 | 2,046 | 2,020 | 2,162 | 2,056 | 2,073 | 2,142 | 2, 112 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 2,070 | 1, 893 | 2,049 | 2, 188 | 1,902 | 2,127 | 2, 113 | 2, 247 | \$2,250-\$2,499 | 2, 232 | 2,053 | 2,280 | 2, 339 | 2,226 | 2,177 | 2, 216 | 2, 344 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 2,496 | 2,301 | 2,415 | 2, 632 | (*) | (*) | 2,547 | 2, 461 | \$2,500-\$2,999. | 2,653 | 2,461 | 2,526 | 2, 830 | (*) | (*) | 2,781 | 2,567 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499. | 2, 674 | 2,577 | 2,543 | 2,783 | (*) | (*) | 2, 505 | 2, 741 | \$3,000-\$3,499 | 2, 818 | 2,685 | 2,986 | 2,802 | ${ }^{*}$ ) | (*) | 2,799 | 2,828 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999. | 2, 929 | 2,520 | 3,016 | 2, 109 | (*) | (*) | 2,748 | 3, 066 | \$3,500-\$3,999 | 3, 287 | 2, 910 | 3,156 | 3, 663 | (*) | (*) | 3,477 | 3,158 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 3,446 | 2,961 | 3, 501 | 3, 676 | (*) | (*) | 3,344 | 3, 502 | \$4,000-\$4,999 | 3, 793 | 3,814 | 3, 670 | 3,847 | (*) | (*) | 3,778 | 3, 804 |
| \$5,000 and over. | 4,560 | 4.172 | 4,758 | 4,644 | (*) | (*) | 4,529 | 4,598 | \$5,000 and over | 5,414 | 4,433 | 5,388 | 6,209 | (*) | (*) | 5,167 | 5,761 |

1 See explanation of tables for definition of this item.

Table 2.-Adjusted income and expenditure: Average adjusted income and total expenditure, by family type, occupation, and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued

# [Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born] 

PACIFIC NORTHWEST, 3 MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES: WHITE FAMILIES

| Income class | All families | Family type |  |  | Occupational group |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | I (3) | II and III <br> (4) | IV and V <br> (5) | Wage earner <br> (6) | Clerical <br> (7) | Independent business and professional <br> (8) | Salaried business and professional <br> (9) |
|  | A. Income ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-4499... | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 469 \\ 693 \\ 938 \\ 1,159 \\ 1,392 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 466 \\ 652 \\ 927 \\ 1,147 \\ 1,367 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 564 \\ 670 \\ 955 \\ \mathbf{1}, 162 \\ \mathbf{1}, 396 \end{array}$ | ( $\dagger$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 469 \\ 692 \\ 943 \\ \mathbf{1}, 156 \\ \mathbf{1}, 386 \end{array}$ | (*)$\begin{array}{r} \$ 699 \\ 917 \\ 1,177 \\ 1,411 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (*) \\ & \stackrel{*}{*}) \\ & \stackrel{* 1,157}{1,413} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{* *)}{(*)}{ }_{(0)}{ }^{(1,133}$ |
| \$500-\$749 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$999 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,390 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 1,6561,6561,8802,1242,3922,38 | 1,666 <br> $\mathbf{1}, 873$ <br> $\mathbf{2}, 116$ <br> 18 | 1,848$\mathbf{1}, 878$2,129 | 1,660 | $\begin{aligned} & 1,655 \\ & 1,865 \\ & 2,865 \end{aligned}$ | 1,6591,8902,114 | 1,6661,885 | 1,642 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$2,250-\$2,499... |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{(*)}{ }^{2} 357$ | 2, 2,407 |  | 2,4052,740 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 2,392 2,750 | 2,337 2,772 | 2,430 2,744 | 2,395 2,736 |  | (*) ${ }^{2,407}$ | 2,429 2,762 |  |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3,275 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999.- | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{3}, 769 \\ & 4,384 \\ & \mathbf{4}, \mathbf{4 3 6} \end{aligned}$ | 3,$\mathbf{3}, 766$4,260 | 3,1784,3284,328 | 3,882$\mathbf{3 , 4 5 1}$4,4 | (*) | (*) | 3,777 | 3,7624,3508 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999.. |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4,418 |  |
| \$5,000 and over.. |  | 7,272 | 7,789 | 7,372 | (*) | (*) | 6,547 | $\begin{array}{r}4,795 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |


|  | B. Expenditure ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$250-\$499 | \$729 | \$770 | \$832 | ( $\dagger$ | \$730 | (*) | (*) | (*) |
| \$500-\$749 | 818 | 737 | 759 | \$1, 021 | 795 | \$1,011 | (*) | (*) |
| \$750-\$999 | 940 | 882 | 998 | 942 | 941 | 944 | (*) | (*) |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 1,162 | 1,081 | 1,188 | 1,222 | 1,142 | 1,241 | \$1,172 | \$1, 187 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 1,387 | 1,315 | 1,427 | 1,422 | 1,368 | 1,469 | 1,385 | 1,410 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 1, 666 | 1,550 | 1,659 | 1,772 | 1, 688 | 1,611 | 1,623 | 1,698 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 1, 864 | 1,776 | 1,880 | 1, 920 | 1,843 | 1,916 | 1,821 | 1,879 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 2,039 | 2, 035 | 2,033 | 2, 049 | , 2,077 | 1,994 | 1,998 | 2,048 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499. | 2,219 | 2,269 | 2,191 | 2,210 | (*) 2,351 | 2,115 | 2, 257 | 2, 054 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999. | 2,411 | 2,288 | 2,426 | 2,504 | (*) | (*) | 2,450 | 2,377 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499. | 2,968 | 2, 789 | 2, 795 | 3,210 | (*) | ${ }^{*}$ ) | 3,042 | 2,870 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 3,433 | 3, 263 | 3,539 | 3,450 | (*) | (*) | 3, 382 | 3,489 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 3,463 | 3,023 | 3,422 | 3, 602 | (*) | (*) | 3,491 | 3,437 |
| \$5,000 and over. | 6,031 | 5,436 | 4,950 | 6, 685 | (*) | (*) | 5,544 | 6,777 |

Table 2.-Adjusted income and expenditure: Average adjusted income and total expenditure, by family type, occupation, and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
NEW ENGLAND, 4 SMALL CITIES: WHITE FAMILIES
east central, 5 small cities; white families

| Income class | $\underset{\substack{\text { All } \\ \text { fies } \\ \text { lies }}}{\text { and }}$ | Family type |  |  | Occupational group |  |  | Income class | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { fami- } \\ \text { lies } \end{gathered}$ | Family type |  |  |  |  |  |  | Occupational group |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | - I | $\begin{gathered} \text { II } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { III } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IV } \\ & \underset{\text { and }}{V} \end{aligned}$ | Wage earner | Clerical | Busi- ness and profes- sional |  |  | I | II | III | IV | V | VI | VII | Wage earner | Clerical | Business and professional |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) |
|  | A. Income : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | A. Income ${ }^{\text {I }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 | \$473 | \$443 | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ | \$473 | (*) | (*) | \$250-\$499 | \$449 | \$443 | \$459 | \$506 | \$406 | ( $\dagger$ | (i) | ( $\dagger$ ) | \$449 | (*) | (*) |
| \$500-\$749 | 683 | 657 | \$708 | \$701 | 679 | \$704 | (*) | \$500-\$749 | 680 | 675 | 715 | 660 | 656 | \$730 | \$652 | \$703 | 685 | \$652 | (*) |
| \$750-\$999 | 926 | 928 | 926 | 920 | 930 | 886 | (*) | \$750-\$999 | 886 | 913 | 862 | 869 | 861 | 885 | 923 | 894 | 879 | 910 | (*) |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 1,141 | 1,135 | 1,148 | 1,143 | 1,143 | 1,140 | \$1,143 | \$1,000-\$1,249 | 1,134 | 1,137 | 1,125 | 1,137 | 1,132 | 1, 134 | 1,134 | 1,133 | 1, 122 | 1,152 | \$1, 161 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 1,391 | 1,397 | 1,390 | 1,386 | 1,387 | 1,388 | 1, 421 | \$1,250-\$1,499 | 1,391 | 1,402 | 1,380 | 1,391 | 1,403 | 1,387 | 1,358 | 1,394 | 1, 401 | 1,361 | 1,394 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 1,631 | 1,625 | 1,634 | 1,634 | 1,634 | 1,609 | 1,644 | \$1,500-\$1,749. | 1,633 | 1,645 | 1,605 | 1,609 | 1,610 | 1,695 | 1,638 | 1,661 | 1,642 | 1,617 | 1,624 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 1, 885 | 1,889 | 1, 877 | 1, 889 | 1, 873 | 1,902 | 1,905 | \$1,750-\$1,999 | 1, 882 | 1,891 | 1, 878 | 1,876 | 1,879 | 1,885 | 1,866 | 1, 919 | 1, 878 | 1,887 | 1, 889 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 2, 140 | 2, 112 | 2,158 | 2, 153 | 2,128 | 2, 200 | 2, 115 | \$2,000-\$2,249 | 2, 128 | 2, 129 | 2, 183 | 2, 116 | 2, 111 | 2,134 | 2, 117 | 2, 126 | 2, 128 | 2,163 | 2,101 213 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499- | 2, 392 | 239222711 | 2,397 <br> 2,838 | 2, 390 | 2,378 | 2, ${ }_{(*)}$ | 2,414 2,769 | \$2,250-\$2,499 | 2, 384 | 2, 423 | 2,387 2,730 | 2,356 | 2,347 2,707 | 2,392 2,755 | 2, 426 | 2, 386 | 2, 370 | 2, 380 | 2,413 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 2,769 4,002 | 2,711 | 2, 8388 | 2,774 4,249 | ${ }^{(*)}$ | ${ }^{(*)}$ | 2,769 4,002 | \$2,500-\$2,999 | 2, 732 | $\xrightarrow{2,777}$ | 2,730 4,242 | 2,698 4,567 | 2,707 3,672 | 2,755 3,773 | 2, 711 3,555 | 2,762 4,035 | 2,739 3,536 | 2,739 $\mathbf{3 , 4 2 0}$ | 2,722 4,102 |


|  | B. Expenditure ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$250-\$499. | B. Expenditure ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$250-\$499 | \$684 | \$699 | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ | \$684 | (*) | (*) |  | \$64 | \$579 | \$602 | \$660 | \$704 | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ ) | (i) | \$641 | (*) | (*) |
| \$500-\$749 | 920 | 931 | \$863 | \$1,019 | 936 | \$817 | (*) | \$500-8749 | 756 | 667 | 815 | 773 | 752 | \$959 | \$724 | \$1,012 | 749 | \$811 | (*) |
| \$750-\$899 | 1,013 | 1,020 | 988 | 1, 065 | 1,018 | 961 | (*) | \$750-\$999 | 916 | 875 | 905 | 950 | 893 | 952 | 990 | 944 | 911 | 933 | (*) |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 1,210 | 1,168 | 1,226 | 1, 252 | 1,211 | 1,259 | \$1,124 | \$1,000-\$1,249 | 1, 178 | 1,197 | 1,208 | 1,204 | 1,089 | 1,201 | 1,198 | 1,117 | 1,164 | 1,167 | \$1,248 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 1,390 | 1,363 | 1,385 | 1,434 | 1,363 | 1,462 | 1,438 | \$1,250-\$1,499 | 1, 374 | 1,350 | 1,337 | 1,317 | 1,407 | 1,421 | 1,384 | 1, 462 | 1,358 | 1,363 | 1,456 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 1,677 | 1,627 | 1,684 | 1,722 | 1,666 | 1,684 | 1,715 | \$1,500-\$1,749. | 1,581 | 1,547 | 1,569 | 1,535 | 1,575 | 1,693 | 1,590 | 1,647 | 1,586 | 1,560 | 1,591 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 1,871 | 1,831 | 1,841 | 1,938 | 1,851 | 1,890 | 1,913 | \$1,750-\$1,999. | 1,773 | 1,630 | 1,781 | 1,798 | 1,780 | 1,882 | 1,846 | 2,020 | 1, 766 | 1, 777 | 1,786 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 2,042 | 1,965 | 2, 151 | 2,035 | 1,985 | 2,054 | 2,142 | \$2,000-\$2,249. | 2,005 | 1,899 | 2,131 | 1,988 | 2,036 | 1,997 | 1,969 | 2, 108 | 2,042 | 1,989 | 1,938 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 2,317 | 2, 320 | 2,321 | 2, 312 | 2,326 | 2, 232 | 2, 364 | \$2,250-\$2,499 | 2, 168 | 2,141 | 2,181 | 2, 100 | 2,121 | 2,313 | 2,263 | 2, 181 | 2, 191 | 2, 151 | 2,135 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 2, 622 | 2,502 | 2,672 | 2, 722 | (*) | (*) | 2, 622 | \$2,500-\$2,999 | 2, 477 | 2,241 | 2,434 | 2,361 | 2, 576 | 2, 614 | 2, 515 | 2, 644 | 2, 496 | 2,425 | 2,476 |
| \$3,000 and over | 3,432 | 3,169 | 3,439 | 3, 627 | (*) | (*) | 3, 432 | \$3,000 and over- | 2,996 | 2, 533 | 3,124 | 3,805 | 2,998 | 3,222 | 3, 148 | 3,473 | 2,904 | 2,812 | 3,094 |

${ }^{1}$ See explanations of tables for definition of this item.
$\dagger$ Averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

* This group not covered in expenditure study.

Table 3.-Living quarters occupled: Average number of rooms in living quarters, average number of persons per room, and percentage of families having living quarters with certain modern facilities, by family type, occupation. and income, in 1 year, 1935-96
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
NEW YORK CITY: WHITE FAMILIES

| Family type and income class | A verage number ining quarters | A verage of persons in living ters ${ }^{1}$ (3) | Average number of persons per room | Percentage of families having living quarters with specified combinations of modern facilities |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Ruming hot and cold water, inside flush toilet, and electric lights |  |  | Running cold water only, inside flush toilet, and electric lights <br> (8) | Other combinations of facilities |
|  |  |  |  | Gas or electricity as kitchen fuel |  | $\|$No kitch- <br> en gas or <br> electricity <br> and no <br> furnace <br> (7) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Central furnace <br> (5) | No central furnace <br> (6) |  |  |  |
| Allfamilies |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749-...---- | 4.5 | 2.9 | 0.65 | 62 | 13 |  | 19 | 6 |
| \$750-\$999 | 4.0 | 3.1 | . 77 | 67 | 17 | 6 | 10 |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 4.0 | 3.2 | . 81 | 65 | 24 |  | 7 | 3 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 4.1 | 3.3 | . 80 | 72 | $\stackrel{21}{9}$ | (*) | 7 |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 4.1 | 3. 3 | . 78 | 86 87 | 9 6 | (*) | 4 | 1 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 4.2 | 3.4 | . 81 | 91 | 5 |  | $\stackrel{4}{3}$ |  |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 4.3 | 3.4 | . 80 | 96 | 2 | 1 | 1 |  |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 4.7 | 3. 5 | . 74 | 97 | 2 |  | 1 |  |
| \$3,000-83,499 | 4.6 | 3. 6 | . 78 | 99 | 1 |  |  | (*) |
|  | 4.9 | 3. ${ }^{3}$ | . 71 | 99 99 |  |  | 1 |  |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 5.4 | 3.7 | . 69 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$7,500-\$9,999............. | 6.1 | 3.8 | . 62 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$10,000 and over......... | 6.8 | 4.3 | . 64 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 Fily type I | 4.3 | 2.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$999 | 3.5 | 2.1 | . 60 | 79 | 7 | 7 | 12 |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 3.3 | 2.2 | . 66 | 79 | 21 |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 3.7 | 2.2 | ${ }^{58}$ | 81 | 19 |  |  |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 3.7 3.5 | 2.3 | . 63 | 94 97 | 3 |  | 3 |  |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 3.2 | 2.2 | . 68 | 98 | 2 |  |  |  |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 3.4 | 2.1 | . 62 | 99 |  | 1 |  |  |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 3.6 3.5 3 | 2.1 | . 60 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$3,500-83,999 | 3.7 | 2.1 | . 58 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 4.1 | 2.3 | . 56 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$5,000-87,499 | 4.3 | 2.5 | . 58 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | 4.8 | 2.3 | . 48 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$10,000 and over-...-- | 6.6 | 3.0 | .45 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| Family fypes II and III | 3.8 | 4.0 | 1.05 | 60 |  |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$999. | 3.7 | 3. 4 | . 92 | 62 | 19 | 5 | 14 | 20 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 .............. | 4.2 | 3.6 | . 85 | 53 | 29 |  | 14 | 4 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499.............. | 3.9 | 3.5 | . 89 | 69 | 18 | 1 | 12 |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 4.0 | 3. 5 | . 88 | 89 | 7 |  | 4 |  |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 4.4 | 3.6 | ${ }_{82}^{82}$ | 8 | 7 |  | 5 | 3 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 4.3 | 3.6 | . 84 | 98 |  |  | 2 |  |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 4.8 | 3.7 | . 78 | ${ }_{98}^{96}$ | 2 |  | 2 |  |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 4.7 | 3.7 3 | . 77 | 98 | 1 |  |  |  |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 5.0 5.3 5 | 3.8 <br> 3.9 | . 77 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$5,000-\$7,499.................. | 5.4 | 4.1 | .75 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | 6.4 | 4.5 | . 70 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$10,000 and over .........- | 7.0 | 4.7 | . 68 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| Family types IV and V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 6.0 | 3. 7 | . 62 | 34 | 33 |  | 33 |  |
| $\$ 750-\$ 999$ | 5.4 4.7 | 4.3 4.3 4 | . 79 | 51 64 | ${ }_{22}^{33}$ |  | 8 6 |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 4.9 | 4.3 | . 89 | 64 | 28 |  | 8 |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 4.8 | 4.4 | . 93 | 70 | 19 | 1 | 5 |  |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 4.7 | 4.1 | . 87 | 80 | 9 | 2 |  | 3 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 5.1 | 4.4 | . 86 | 87 | 5 | 2 | 6 |  |
| \$2,250-\$2,499-...---.....- | 5.1 | 4.3 | . 84 | 94 | 5 | 1 |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \$ 2,500-\$ 2,999 \\ & \$ 3,000-\$ 3,499 \end{aligned}$ | 5. 5.4 | 4.2 4.6 | . 78 | 95 100 | 5 |  |  |  |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 $\ldots$.-.........- | 5.6 | 4.1 | . 72 | 97 |  |  | 3 |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999.............. | 6.2 | 4.3 | . 69 | 98 |  |  |  | 2 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 --------...- | 6.4 | 4.4 | . 69 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | 6.8 6.7 | 4.2 4.7 | . 62 | 100 |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ See explanation of tables for definition of this item.
*Percentages of less than 0.5 are not shown.

Table 3.-Living quarters occupied: Average number of rooms in living quarters, average number of persons per room, and percentage of families having living quarters with certain modern facilities, by family type, occupation, and income, in 1 year, 1935- 86 -Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
NEW YORK CITY: WHITE FAMILIES

| Occupational group and income class <br> (1) | Average number of rooms in living quarters | Average number of persons in living quarters 1 | Aver-number of persons room ${ }^{1}$ | Percentage of families having living quarters with specified combinations of modern facilities |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Running hot and cold water, inside flush toilet, and electric lights |  |  | Running cold water only, inside flush toilet, and electric lights | Other combinations of facilities <br> (9) |
|  |  |  |  | Gas or electricity as kitchen fuel |  | Nokitchen gas or electricityand no furnace <br> (7) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Central furnace <br> (5) | No central furnace <br> (6) |  |  |  |
| Wage earner |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749. | 4.5 | 2.9 | 0.65 | 62 | 13 |  | 19 | 6 |
| \$750-\$999 --.-------------- | 4.0 | 3.1 | . 78 | 57 | 22 | 8 | 13 |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 3.9 | 3.3 | . 83 | 62 | 26 | 1 | 9 | 2 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 4.1 | 3.4 | . 83 | 63 | 29 |  | 8 |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 4.2 | 3.4 | . 81 | 84 | 9 |  | 5 | 2 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 4.6 | 3.5 | . 75 | 82 | 8 |  | 5 | 5 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 4.4 | 3.6 | . 83 | 93 | 7 |  |  |  |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 $\ldots \ldots . . . . . . . .---$ | 4.4 | 3.7 | . 84 | 95 | 2 |  | 3 |  |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 5.0 | 3.5 | . 70 | 94 | 4 |  | 2 |  |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 5.2 | 4.0 | . 78 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$3,500-\$3,099 | 5.2 | 3.8 | . 73 | 94 |  |  | 6 | - |
| Clerical |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$999 | 3.9 | 2.9 | . 75 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249_............ | 4.1 | 3.2 | . 77 | 71 | 21 |  | 5 | 3 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 4.2 | 3.2 | . 76 | 78 | 15 |  | 7 |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 $\ldots$..........- | 4.0 | 3.5 | . 88 | 87 | 9 |  | 4 |  |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 3.8 | 3.1 | . 84 | 88 | 7 | 2 | 4 | -------- |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 4.1 | 3.3 | . 80 | 89 | 4 | 1 | 6 |  |
| \$2,250-\$2,499..............- | 4.3 | 3.3 | . 77 | 98 | 2 |  |  |  |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 $\ldots$. . . . . . | 4.5 | 3.5 | . 77 | 98 | 2 |  |  |  |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 $\ldots$. . . . . . . . | 4.3 | 3. 6 | . 84 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$3,500-\$3,999------------- | 5.0 | 3.4 | . 67 | 100 |  |  |  | ---....- |
| Independent business |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 4.8 | 3.5 | . 73 | 97 |  | 3 |  |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749-.......------ | 4.2 | 3. 2 | . 75 | 90 | 10 |  |  |  |
| \$1,750-\$1,999_............- | 4.6 | 3. 3 | . 72 | 98 | 5 |  |  |  |
| \$2,000-\$2,249.............- | 4.0 | 3.1 | . 78 | 92 | 5 |  | 3 |  |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 4.7 | 3.4 | .72 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 4. 9 | 3.5 | . 71 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 5.5 | 3.9 | .72 | 96 |  |  |  | 4 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 5.2 | 3.8 | . 72 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 5.4 | 4.2 | . 77 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 5.0 | 3.8 | . 76 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | 6.0 | 3.8 | . 63 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$10,000 and over-.....--- | 6.7 | 4.8 | . 71 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| Independent professional |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 4.2 | 3.5 | . 82 | 75 | 25 |  |  |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 4. 1 | 3. 1 | . 76 | 87 |  |  | 13 | -------- |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 3.7 | 3. 3 | . 90 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 4.4 | 2.7 | . 62 | 93 | 7 |  |  |  |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 4.2 | 3.2 | . 77 | 92 |  | 8 |  |  |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 4.8 | 3.3 | . 70 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$3,000-\$3,499......-. | 4.6 | 3.5 | . 76 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$3,500-\$3,999...---------- | 4.5 | 3.8 | . 84 | 95 |  |  | 5 |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 5.1 | 4.1 | . 80 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 5.0 | 3.8 | . 75 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 .............. | 6.8 | 4.9 | . 71 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$10,000 and over-.......-- | 7.0 | 4.6 | . 66 | 100 |  |  |  |  |

[^38]Table 3.-Living quarters occupled: Average number of rooms in living quarters, average number of persons per room, and percentage of families having living quarters with certain modern facilities, by family type, occupation, and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
NEW YORK CITY: WHITE FAMILIES

| Occupational group and income class <br> (1) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aver- } \\ & \text { age } \\ & \text { num- } \\ & \text { ber of } \\ & \text { rooms } \\ & \text { in } \\ & \text { living } \\ & \text { quar- } \\ & \text { ters } \end{aligned}$ | Aver-agenum-berof per-sons inlivingquar-ters 1 | A verage ber of persons room | Percentage of families having living quarters with specified combinations of modern facilities |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Running hot and cold water, inside flush toilet, and electric lights |  |  | Running cold water only, inside fush toilet, and electric lights <br> (8) | Other combinations oilities cill <br> (9) |
|  |  |  |  | Gas or electricity as kitchen fuel |  | Nokitchen gas or electricityandno furnace <br> (7) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Central surnace <br> (5) | No central furnace <br> (6) |  |  |  |
| Salaried business |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,409 | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 4.4 | 2.9 | 0.67 | 96 | 4 |  |  |  |
| \$1,750- 81,999 | 4.2 | 3. 2 | . 76 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| 2,000- 82,249 | 4.0 | 3. ${ }^{\text {3 }}$ |  | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \$ 2,250-\$ 2,499 \\ & \$ 2,500-\$ 2,999 \end{aligned}$ | 4.2 <br> 4.3 | 3.5 3.4 | 84 79 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$3,000-\$3,499. | 4.4 | 3.4 | 76 | 96 | 4 |  |  |  |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 5.1 | 3. 5 | . 69 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| 4, $0000-84,999$ | ${ }_{5}^{5.6}$ | 3. ${ }^{\text {3. }}$ - | . 64 | ${ }^{96}$ |  |  |  | 4 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | 5.2 | 3. 3 | . 60 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$10,000 and over- .-...... | 6.0 | 3.4 | . 57 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| Salaried professional |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499- | 3. 2 | 2.9 | . 89 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,750-81,999- | 4.2 | 3. 2 | .76 | 96 |  | 7 | 4 | 7 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 3.9 | 3.0 | 76 | 96 | 4 |  |  |  |
| \$2,250-\$2,499. | 3. 9 | 3. 1 | . 80 | 96 |  | 4 |  |  |
| 3,000-\$3,499 | 4.0 | 2.9 | 72 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 4.5 | 3.4 | . 74 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| 84,000-\$4,999 | 5.2 | 3. 2 | 62 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 5,000-\$ 7,499$ | 6.2 <br> 6.8 | 3. ${ }^{\text {3. } 6}$ | . 64 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$10,000 and over | 9.2 | 4.8 | . 52 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^39]Table 3.-Living quarters occupied: Average number of rooms in living quarters, average number of persons per room, and percentage of families having living quarters with certain modern facilities, by family type, occupation, and income, in 1 year, 1935-96-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
NEW YORK CITY: NEGRO FAMILIES

| Family type and income class <br> (1) | A verage number ofrooms in living quarters | Average number of persons in living quarters ${ }^{1}$ | Average number of persons per room ${ }^{1}$ | Percentage of families having living quarters with specifled combinations of modern facilities |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Running hot and cold water, inside flush toilet, and electric lights |  |  | Running cold water only, inside flush toilet, and electric lights | Other combinations of facilities <br> (9) |
|  |  |  |  | Gas or electricity as : kitchen fuel |  | No kitchen gas or electricity and no furnace <br> (7) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Central furnace <br> (5) | No central furnace <br> (6) |  |  |  |
| All families |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749. | 4.0 | 3.2 | 0.79 | 49 | 23 | 22 | 6 |  |
| \$750-\$999. | 4.2 | 2.9 | . 68 | 64 | 18 | 8 | 8 | 2 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 3.8 | 2.9 | . 76 | 87 | 1 | 7 | 3 | 2 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 4.1 | 3.1 | 76 | 83 | 11 | 5 | 1 |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 4.1 | 3. 0 | 73 | 91 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 4.3 | 3.4 | 78 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 4.3 | 3.2 | 74 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 4.7 | 2.7 | 58 | 94 | 6 |  |  |  |
| \$2,500-\$2,999. | 4.4 | 3.1 | . 69 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$3,000 and over | 6.6 | 3.1 | .47 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| Family type I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749. | 4.3 | 2.6 | . 61 | 20 | 40 | 40 |  |  |
| \$750-\$999. | 4.4 | 2.2 | .49 | 51 | 19 | 13 | 13 | 4 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 3.4 | 2.2 | . 64 | 94 |  | 6 |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 3.9 | 2.5 | . 64 | 78 | 15 | 7 |  |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 3.7 | 2.4 | . 64 | 96 | 4 | --.------- |  |  |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 3.7 | 2.3 | . 63 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 3.8 | 2.3 | . 61 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$2,250-\$2,499. | 3.7 | 2.1 | . 56 | 89 | 11 | --------- |  |  |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 4. 1 | 2.2 | . 52 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$3,000 and over | 4. 4 | 2.4 | . 54 | 100 |  | -------- |  |  |
| Family types II and III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ${ }^{\dagger} \dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ ) |  |  | ( $\dagger$ ) |  |
| \$750-\$999 - | 3.8 | 3.9 | 1.03 | 79 |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 4.4 | 3.8 | . 85 | 73 | 5 | 11 | 5 | 6 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 4. 2 | 3.8 | .90 .79 | 85 | 15 | -----..-- |  |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 4.3 | 3.4 | .79 .92 | 91 100 |  |  | 9 |  |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 4.1 4.3 | 3.8 4.0 | . 92 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 4. 3 5. 2 | 4.0 3.0 | . 92 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | ${ }_{(\dagger)}^{5.2}$ | $\left({ }_{( }{ }^{\text {( }} 0\right.$ | $\left(\dagger^{57}\right.$ | ( $\dagger)^{100}$ |  |  |  |  |
| \$2,500-\$2,999. | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| Family types IV and V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$999 | 4.7 | 4.3 | . 91 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 4.1 | 3.8 | . 91 | 90 |  |  | 10 |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499............... | 4.6 | 4.0 | . 87 | 90 |  | 6 | 4 |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 .-..............- | 4.6 | 3.8 | . 84 | 83 |  | 6 | 5 | 6 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 5.3 | 4.2 | . 80 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 ...........-. - | 5.0 | 3.8 | . 75 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 7.0 | 4.3 | 62 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 ..............- | 4.8 | 3.8 | . 79 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$3,000 and over..........- | 9.2 | 4.0 | . 43 | 100 |  |  |  |  |

1 See explanation of tables for deflnition of this item.
$\dagger$ Averages and percentages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.
$153119^{\circ}-41-10$

Table 3.-Living quarters occupied: Average number of rooms in living quarters, average number of persons per room, and percentage of families having living quarters with certain modern facilities, by family type, occupation, and income, in 1 year, 1935-86-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
NEW YORK CITY: NEGRO FAMILIES

| Occupational group and income class <br> (1) | Average number of rooms in living quarters | Average number of persons in living quarters ${ }^{1}$ |  | Percentage of families having living quarters with specified combinations of modern facilities |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Running hot and cold water, inside flush toilet, and electric lights |  |  | Running cold water only, inside flush toilet, and electric lights <br> (8) | Other combinations of facilities <br> (9) |
|  |  |  |  | Gas or electricity as kitchen fuel |  | Nokitchen gas or electricity and no furnace <br> (7) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Central furnace <br> (5) | No central furnace <br> (6) |  |  |  |
| Wage earner |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 4.0 | 3.2 | 0. 79 | 49 | 23 | 22 | 6 |  |
| \$750-\$999. | 4.3 | 2.8 | . 65 | 61 | 21 | 9 | 9 | ----- |
| \$1,000-\$1,249..............- | 3.8 | 2.9 | . 77 | 84 | 2 | 8 | 4 | 2 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 $\ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 4.2 | 3.2 | . 75 | 82 | 12 | 6 |  |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 4.1 | 3.1 | . 75 | 91 |  | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 ..............- | 4.4 | 3.4 | . 77 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 ............. | 4.6 | 3.3 | . 73 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$2,250-\$2,499. | 4. 8 | 2.8 | . 58 | 80 | 20 |  |  |  |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 3.9 | 3.3 | . 84 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| Clerical |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$999 . .-.---...------ | 3.4 | 3.6 | 1.06 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 .............-- | 3.8 | 2.5 | . 66 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499..--....------ | 3.5 | 3.0 | . 86 | 89 | 11 |  |  |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749..............- | 4.0 | 2.3 | . 57 | 85 | 15 |  |  |  |
| \$1,750-\$1,999.............-- | 3. 6 | 3.0 | . 84 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 $\ldots$...........- | 4. 4 | 3.0 | . 68 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$2,250-\$2,499-...-.-.-...-- | 4.5 | 3.0 | . 66 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 ............-- | 4.6 | 2.7 | . 58 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| Business and professional |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$999 . .-. ---------- - - - | 4.2 | 3.8 | . 90 | 60 |  |  |  | 40 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 4.1 | 2.8 | . 69 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 4.2 | 3.5 | . 82 | 75 |  |  | 25 | -------- |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 3. 9 | 3.1 | $\begin{array}{r} \\ . \\ \hline 9\end{array}$ | 93 100 |  |  | 6 | -...-.... |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 $\ldots$..........-- | 4.7 | 3.7 3.4 | .79 .89 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 3.8 4.9 | 3.4 2.4 | . 89 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 5.0 | 3.5 | . 70 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$3,000 and over..--.-.-. -- | 6.6 | 3.1 | . 47 | 100 |  |  |  |  |

1 See explanation of tables for definition of this item.

Table 3.-Living quarters occupied: Average number of rooms in living quarters, average number of persons per room, and percentage of families having living quarters with certain modern facilities, by family type, occupation, and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
CHICAGO, ILL.: WHITE FAMILIES

| Family type and income class <br> (1) | $\left.\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { A verage } \\ \text { number } \\ \text { ofrooms } \\ \text { in } \\ \text { living } \\ \text { quar- } \\ \text { ters } \\ \\ \text { (2) } \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ |  | Average number of persons per room ${ }^{1}$ | Percentage of families having living quarters with specified combinations of modern facilities |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Running hot and cold water, inside flush toilet, and electric lights |  |  | Running cold water only, inside flush toilet, and electric lights <br> (8) | Other combinations of facilities |
|  |  |  |  | Gas or electricity as kitchen fuel |  | No kitchen gas or electricity and no furnace <br> (7) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Central furnace (5) | No central furnace $(6)$ |  |  |  |
| All families |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-8749 - -- - - - | 4.4 | 3.2 | 0.73 | 36 | 8 | 2 | 51 | 3 |
| \$750-\$999.-- | 4.3 | 3.4 | . 79 | 35 50 | 26 13 | 2 | 34 35 3 | (*) ${ }^{5}$ |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 4.4 | 3.6 | . 82 | 66 | 19 |  | 15 | (*) |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 4.6 | 3.6 | . 78 | 75 | 12 | 1 | 12 | *) |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 4.8 | 3.7 | . 77 | 82 | 7 |  | 11 |  |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 4.9 | 3.7 | . 76 | 90 | 4 |  | 5 | 1 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 5.0 | 3.7 | . 74 | 93 |  |  | 6 |  |
| \$2,500-\$2,999- | 5.1 | 3.8 | . 75 | 92 | 3 | 1 | 4 |  |
| \$3,000-\$3,499- | 5.3 5.4 5.4 | 3.8 3.9 | . 72 | ${ }_{99}^{96}$ | 1 |  | 1 |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 5.5 | 4.0 | . 73 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 5.9 | 4.0 | . 68 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | 6.3 | 4.5 | . 71 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$10,000 and over. | 7.0 | 4.7 | . 67 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| Family type I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749... | 4.4 | 2.0 | .45 | 38 |  |  | 62 |  |
| \$750-\$999. | 4.0 | 2.3 | . 51 | 43 | 15 |  | 42 |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 4.1 | 2.1 | 51 | 72 | 14 |  | 14 |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 4. 1 | 2.3 2.1 | . 54 | ${ }_{76} 7$ | 13 | 1 | 10 | 1 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 4.1 | 2.2 | . 53 | 92 | 8 |  |  |  |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 4.2 | 2.1 | . 50 | 89 | 5 |  | 6 |  |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 4. 5 | 2.1 | . 48 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 4.1 | 2.2 | 53 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 4.3 | 2.1 | . 49 | 98 | 2 |  |  |  |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 4.4 | 2.1 | 48 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 4.7 | 2.4 | 50 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$7,500-\$9,999- | 5.3 5.0 | 2.3 2.9 | . 58 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$10,000 and over. | 5.3 | 2.4 | 46 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| Family type II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749.... | 3.9 | 3.1 | . 79 | 25 | 13 |  | 50 | 12 |
| \$750-\$999 | 3.9 | 3.0 | . 78 | 19 | 43 |  | 27 | 11 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 3.7 | 3.3 | . 88 | 45 | 15 |  | 40 |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 4.0 | 3.2 <br> 3.1 <br>  <br>  <br>  | . 80 | 76 78 | 16 |  | 13 |  |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 4.4 | 3.2 | . 72 | 72 | 9 |  | 18 | i |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 5.0 | 3.2 | . 64 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 4.5 | 3.3 | . 72 | 94 |  |  | 6 |  |
| \$2,500-\$2,999- | 4.9 5.2 | 3.2 3.2 2 | . 66 | ${ }_{99}^{97}$ | 1 |  | 3 |  |
| \$3,500-\$3,999- | 5.0 | 3.3 | .66 | 96 |  |  | 4 |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 5.0 | 3.3 | . 66 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$5,000-\$7,499. | 5. 5 | 3.6 | .66 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$7,500-\$9,993 | 6.0 | 3.7 | 61 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$10,000 and over......... | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| Family type III | 4.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4.7 | 4.1 | 88 | 25 | 20 |  | 55 |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 4.3 | 4.1 | . 95 | 14 | 22 | 6 | 58 |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 4.6 | 4. 1 | . 89 | 43 | 32 |  | 22 | 3 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 4.8 | 4.1 | . 86 | 70 | 16 |  | 14 |  |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 5.0 | 4.2 | . 85 | 80 | 4 |  | 16 |  |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 4.7 | 4.2 | . 90 | 91 | 5 | --..... | 4 |  |
| \$3,000-\$3,499- | 5.5 | 4.1 | . 75 | 94 |  |  | 6 |  |
| \$3,500- $\$ 3,999$ | 5.8 | 4.3 | . 75 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999.............. | 6.0 | 4.4 | . 74 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$5,000-\$7,499-...-...-..... | 5.5 | 4.5 | . 81 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$7,500-\$9,999-...........- | 7.0 7.7 | 5.0 4.9 | . 61 | 100 |  |  |  |  |

[^40]Table 3.-Living quarters occupied: Average number of rooms in living quarters, average number of persons per room, and percentage of families having living quarters with certain modern facilities, by family lype, occupation, and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
Chicago, ill.: white families

| Family typeand income class | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Average } \\ \text { number } \\ \text { ofrooms } \\ \text { inliving } \\ \text { quar- } \\ \text { ters } \end{array}$ | Average number sons in living quarters ${ }^{1}$ | A verage number of persons per | Percentage of families having living quarters with specified combinations of modern facilities |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Running hot and cold water, inside flush toilet, and electric lights |  |  | Running cold water only, inside flush toilet, and electric lights <br> (8) | Other combinations of faci-ities ities |
|  |  |  |  | Gas or electricity as kitchen fuel |  | No titehen gas or electricity and no furnace <br> (7) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Central furnace <br> (5) | No central furnace <br> (6) |  |  |  |
| Family type IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 5.2 | 3.2 | 0.62 | ${ }^{66}$ | 17 |  | 17 |  |
| \$750-\$999. | 4. 5 | 3.6 | . 88 | 50 54 | 27 |  | 9 40 | 14 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 5.1 4.6 | 4.0 3.6 | . 78 | $\begin{aligned} & 54 \\ & 81 \end{aligned}$ | 11 | 6 | $\begin{array}{r}40 \\ 8 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 4.7 | 3.4 | . 72 | 80 | 2 |  | 18 |  |
| \$1,750-\$1,999... | 5.3 | 3.7 | . 70 | 80 | 9 |  | 11 |  |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 5.0 | 3.7 | . 74 | 98 | 5 |  | 5 |  |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 5.3 5.4 5. | 3. 3 | . 68 | 88 | 7 |  | 8 |  |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 5.4 | 3.6 | . 66 | 95 |  |  | 5 |  |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 5.7 | 3.6 | . 62 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 5.5 | 3. 7 | . 67 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$5,000-\$7,499- | 6.2 | 3.9 | . 63 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$7,500-\$9,999..... | 6.2 | 4. 2 | . 68 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 10,000$ and over--...... Family type | 6.8 | 4.4 | . 66 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 4.6 | 5.4 | 1. 17 |  | 14 | 14 | 72 |  |
| \$750-\$999 | 5.6 | 5. 4 | . 96 | 47 | 29 |  | 13 | 11 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 5.2 | 5.5 | 1. 06 | 52 | 3 |  | 45 |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 5.2 | 5.5 | 1.06 | 60 78 | 22 |  | 18 |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 5.9 5.5 5.5 | 5. 6 | $\begin{array}{r}1.96 \\ 1.02 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 78 | 11 |  | 11 |  |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 6.1 | 5. 5 | 1.90 .90 | 82 | 4 |  | 14 |  |
| \$2,250-\$2,499. | 5.7 | 5.5 | . 97 | 93 |  |  |  |  |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 5.8 | 5. 6 | . 97 | 87 |  | 5 | 3 |  |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 6.1 6.1 | 5. 5 | . 89 | 97 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999. | 5.9 | 5. 6 | 95 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 7.1 | 5. 6 | 78 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | 7.4 | ${ }_{(\dagger)}^{5.5}$ |  | ${ }^{(\dagger)} 100$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 10,000$ and over- Family type $\bar{V} I$ | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 4.7 | 5.4 | 1. 15 | 44 |  | 11 | 45 |  |
| \$750-\$999 | 4.7 | 5. 5 | 1.17 |  | 22 |  |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 4.4 | 5.1 | 1.15 | 42 | 29 |  | 29 |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499- | 4.7 5.4 | 5. ${ }^{5}$ | 1.09 1.02 | ${ }_{66}^{66}$ | 17 | 6 | 12 |  |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 5.0 | 5.4 | 1.08 | 90 | 6 |  |  |  |
| \$2,000-\$2,249- | 5.3 | 5. 5 | 1.05 | 89 | 3 |  | 5 | 3 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 5.2 | 5.3 | 1.02 | 79 |  |  | 21 |  |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 5.8 | 5.6 | . 96 | 92 | 4 |  | 4 |  |
| \$3,000-\$3,499. | 5. 6 | 5.6 | 1.00 | 96 | 4 |  |  |  |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 5.7 | 5.7 | 1.00 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$5,000-\$7,499. | 5. 9 | 5.7 | . 96 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | 7.7 | 6.3 | . 82 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 10,000$ and over $\qquad$ | 8.6 | 7.1 | 83 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749... | 5. 3 | 7.0 | 1. 32 | 25 |  |  | 75 |  |
| \$750-\$999. | 4.6 | 7.2 | 1.56 | 26 | 26 |  | 48 |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 5.7 | 7.7 | 1. 35 | 50 | 8 |  | 33 | 9 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749- | 5.4 5.7 | 7.1 | 1. 1.27 | 53 <br> 81 <br> 8 | 7 |  | 17 | 9 |
| \$2,000-\$2,240 | 5. 9 | 7.3 | 1. 23 | 69 | 15 |  | 5 | 11 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 5.9 | 7.4 | 1. 26 | 86 | 5 |  | 9 |  |
| \$ $\$ 3,000-\$ 3,499$ | 6.4 | 7.4 | 1.15 <br> 1.15 <br> 1.15 | 90 90 | 2 |  | 10 |  |
| \$3,500-83,999 | 6. 3 | 7.3 | 1.17 | 96 |  |  | 4 |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 6. 3 | 7.3 | 1.17 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \$ 5,000-\$ 7,499 \\ & \$ 7,500-\$ 9,999 \end{aligned}$ | 7.0 7.4 | 7.7 8.4 | 1.10 1.14 | 100 100 | --------- |  |  |  |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 .-.- | ${ }_{(0)}^{7}$ | ( $\dagger$ ( ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ | ${ }_{(+)}{ }^{1}$ | (t) ${ }^{100}$ |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ See explanation of tables for definition of this item.
$\dagger$ Averages and percentages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

Table 3.-Living quarters occupied: Average number of rooms in living quarters, average number of persons per room, and percentage of families having living quarters with certain modern facilities, by family type, occupation, and income, in 1 year, 1935-86-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
CHICAGO, LLL: WHITE FAMILIES

| Occupational group and income class <br> (1) | Aver-agenum-ber ofroomsinlivingquar-ters | Avernum. ber of persons in quarters ${ }^{1}$ | Aver-agenum-berof per-sonsperroom 1 | Percentage of families having living quarters with specified combinations of modern facilities |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Running hot and cold water, inside flush toilet, and electric lights |  |  | Running cold water only, inside flush toilet, and electric lights <br> (8) | Other combinations of facilities |
|  |  |  |  | Gas or electricity as kitchen fuel |  | Nokitchen gas or electricity and no furnace <br> (7) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Central furnace <br> (5) | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { No central } \\ \text { furnace } \end{array}$ |  |  |  |
| Wage earner |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-8749. | 4.4 | 3.2 | 0.73 | 37 | 8 | 1 | 50 |  |
| \$1,000- $\$ 1,249$ | 4. 5 | 3.5 3.7 | . 82 | 42 | 25 16 | 3 | 37 39 | (*) ${ }^{6}$ |
| \$1,250-81,409 | 4.5 | 3.6 | . 80 | 55 | 25 |  | 20 |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 4.6 | 3.6 | . 78 | 75 | 8 | 1 | 16 | (*) |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 4.8 | 3.7 | . 77 | 76 | 14 |  | 10 |  |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 5.0 | 3. 9 | . 78 | 85 | 7 |  | 7 | 1 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 5.2 | 3.7 | . 72 | 87 | 3 |  | 10 |  |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 5.2 | 4.1 | . 78 | ${ }_{94}^{86}$ | 7 | 2 | 5 |  |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 5.3 5.8 | 4.2 | . 78 | 94 98 |  |  | $\stackrel{3}{2}$ |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999. | 5.4 | 4.5 | . 83 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| Clerical |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$999 | 4.1 | 3.1 | . 76 | 46 | 30 |  |  | 3 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 4.1 | 3.4 3.5 | . 82 | 68 83 | ${ }^{7}$ |  | 25 6 | 1 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 4.5 | 3.5 | . 79 | 73 |  |  | 9 |  |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 4.7 | 3.6 | . 76 | 86 |  |  | 14 |  |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 4.9 | 3.6 | . 74 | 94 | 2 |  | 4 | (*) |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 4.9 <br> 5.0 | 3. 8 | . 77 | 98 98 | ${ }^{*}{ }^{*}$ |  | $\stackrel{2}{5}$ |  |
| \$3,000-\$3,499- | 5.2 | 3. 7 | . 70 | 94 | (*) |  | 6 |  |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 5.3 | 3.9 | . 73 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 ......... | 5.3 | 4.1 | . 78 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| Independent businesa |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 4.7 | 3.6 | . 75 | 84 | 11 |  | 5 | -..... |
| \$1,500 \$1,749 | 5. 1 | 3. 5 | . 69 | 75 | 16 | 5 | 4 | -......... |
| \$1,750-\$1,999- | 4.9 4.8 | 3. ${ }^{3} \mathrm{6}$ | . 74 | 90 98 |  | -........ | 8 | --..... |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 5.0 | 3.6 | . 72 | 24 |  |  | 6 |  |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 4.9 | 3.8 | . 77 | 90 | 3 |  | 7 |  |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 6. 0 | 3.8 | . 64 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$3,500-\$3.999 | 5.2 | 3.7 | . 71 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 6. 1 | 4.2 | . 69 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | 7.0 | 5.2 | . 74 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$10,000 and over .......... | 6.6 | 5.0 | . 75 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| Independent professional |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 5.1 | 3.4 | . 67 | 72 | 21 |  | 7 |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749......-...... | 4. 3 | 28 | . 65 | 95 | 5 |  |  |  |
| \$1,750-\$1,999..........- | 4.5 4.5 | 3.2 2.8 | . 72 | 97 100 |  |  | 3 |  |
| \$2,250-\$2,499- | 5.2 | 3.4 | . 65 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 5.2 | 3.4 | . 65 | 98 |  |  | 2 | --..--- |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 5.4 | 3.7 | . 69 | 98 |  |  | 2 |  |
| \$3,500- $\$ 8,999$ | 5.3 | 4.2 | . 79 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 6.0 | 3.9 | .64 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 .............. | 6.0 | 4.3 | 72 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$10,000 and over - .-..... | 6.7 | 5.0 | . 74 | 100 |  |  |  |  |

[^41]Table 3.-Living quarters occupled: Average number of rooms in living quarters, average number of persons per room, and percentage of families having living quarters with cortain modern facilities, by family type, occupation, and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
CHICAGO, ILL.: WHITE FAMILIES

| Occupational group and income class <br> (1) | Average number of rooms in living quarters | Average number of persons in living quarters ${ }^{1}$ | Average ber of persons per room ${ }^{1}$ | Percentage of families having living quarters with specified combinations of modern facilities |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Running hot and cold water, inside flush toilet, and electric lights |  |  | Running cold water only, in side flush toilet, and electric lights | Other combinations of facilities <br> (9) |
|  |  |  |  | Gas or electricity as kitchen fuel |  | Nokitchen gas or electricity and no furnace <br> (7) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Central furnace <br> (5) | No central furnace <br> (6) |  |  |  |
| Salaried business |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.94 | 91 | 5 |  | 4 |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 4. 4 | 3. 6 | . 81 | 86 | 8 |  |  |  |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 4.4 | 3.5 | . 80 | 90 | 3 |  | 3 |  |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 4. 7 | 3.5 | . 74 | 94 | 2 |  | 4 |  |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 4.2 | 3.3 | . 78 | 99 | 1 |  |  |  |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 5.1 | 3.7 | . 73 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 5. 0 | 3. 6 | . 71 | 99 |  |  | 1 |  |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 5. 6 | 3.8 | . 68 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 5. 5 | 3.8 | . 69 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 5.8 | 4. 0 | . 68 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$7,500-\$9,993 | 5. 8 | 4.2 | . 72 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$10,000 and over | 7.3 | 4.8 | . 65 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| Salaried professional |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 ............. | 4.0 | 3.0 | . 75 | 76 | 8 | - --.----- | 16 |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 4.3 | 3.4 | . 78 | 80 | 15 |  | 5 | ------- |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 4.2 | 3.2 | . 76 | 94 | 3 |  | 3 |  |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 . . . . . | 5.0 | 3.4 | . 68 | 97 | 3 |  |  |  |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 4.9 | 3. 2 | . 65 | 91 |  |  | 9 |  |
| \$2,500-\$2,999--.---...---- | 5.3 | 3.5 | . 68 | 100 99 |  |  |  |  |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 .............. | 5. 1 | 3.4 | . 67 | 99 97 |  |  | 1 |  |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 .............. | 5.3 | 3. 6 | . 68 | 97 100 |  |  | 3 |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999.............. | 5. 5 | 3.8 | . 69 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \$ 5,000-\$ 7,499 \\ & \$ 7,500-\$ 9,999 \end{aligned}$ | 5.6 7.3 | 3.8 4.8 | . 67 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$10,000 and over .........-- | 6.8 | 3.8 | . 55 | 100 |  |  |  |  |

: See explanation of tables for definition of this item.

Table 3.-Living quarters occupied: Average number of rooms in living quarters, average number of persons per room, and percentage of families haning living quarters with certain modern facilities, by family type, occupation, and income, in 1 year, 1985-86-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
PROVIDENCE, R. I.: WHITE FAMILIES

| Family type and income class <br> (1) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Aver- } \\ \text { age } \\ \text { num- } \\ \text { ber of } \\ \text { rooms } \\ \text { inliving } \\ \text { quar- } \\ \text { ters } \end{gathered}$ | A verage number of persons in living quarters 1 | A verage number of persons per room ${ }^{1}$ | Percentage of families having living quarters with specifled combinations of modern facilities |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Running hot and cold water, inside flush toilet, and electric lights |  |  | Running cold water only, inside flush toilet, and electric lights <br> (8) | Other combinations of facilities |
|  |  |  |  | Gas or electricity as kitchen fuel |  | No kitchon gas or electricity and no furnace <br> (7) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Central furnace <br> (5) | No central furnace <br> (6) |  |  |  |
| All families |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749. | 4.2 | 3.1 | 0.75 | 4 | 2 |  | 94 |  |
| \$750-\$999 | 4.6 | 3.3 | . 72 | 9 | 5 | 5 | 78 | 3 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 4.7 | 3.4 | . 72 | 25 | 10 | 5 | 59 | 1 |
| \$1,250-\$1,490 | 4.8 | 3.4 | . 70 | 32 | 7 | 4 | 54 | 3 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 5.1 | 3.5 | . 68 | 53 | 7 | 2 | 36 | 2 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 5.5 | 3. 5 | . 64 | 58 | 9 | 2 | 30 | 1 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 5.5 | 3. 4 | . 62 | 76 | 3 | 2 | 19 |  |
| \$2,250-\$2,499. | 5.8 | 3. 4 | . 58 | 76 | 8 |  | 16 |  |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 5.9 | 3. 5 | . 60 | 90 | 1 | 1 | 8 |  |
| \$3,000-\$3,499. | 6.4 | 3.4 | . 54 | 96 | 1 |  | 3 |  |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 6.7 | 3. 7 | . 55 | 98 |  |  | 2 |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 6.5 | 3. 4 | . 52 | 96 | 4 |  |  |  |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 7.8 | 3.9 | . 50 | 96 |  |  | 4 |  |
| \$7,500 and over | 9.9 | 4.8 | . 48 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| Family type I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 4.3 | 2. 2 | . 51 | 10 |  |  | 90 |  |
| \$750-\$999 | 4.6 | 2.0 | . 43 | 22 | 3 |  | 66 | 9 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 4.5 | 2. 3 | . 50 | 35 | 10 | 6 | 48 | 1 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 4.7 | 2. 1 | . 45 | 43 |  |  | 49 | 8 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 4.8 | 2.3 | . 48 | 56 | 7 |  | 37 |  |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 5.4 | 2. 2 | . 41 | 61 | 15 |  | 24 |  |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 5.0 | 2.1 | . 42 | 75 | 3 | 4 | 18 | ---- |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 5. 5 | 2.1 | . 38 | 71 | 16 |  | 13 | ----- |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 5.7 | 2.3 | . 40 | 82 |  |  | 18 |  |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 6.4 | 2. 2 | . 35 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 6.0 | 2.3 | . 38 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 5.7 | 2.2 | . 39 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$5,000-\$7,499. | 6.6 | 2.1 | . 32 | 93 |  |  | 7 |  |
| \$7,500 and over... | 8.0 | 2.9 | .36 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| Family types II and III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749. | 3.9 | 3. 4 | . 87 |  |  |  | 100 |  |
| \$750-\$999 | 4.3 | 3.5 | . 81 |  | 8 | 7 | 90 |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249.............- | 4.6 | 3.6 | . 78 | 20 | 8 | 5 | 65 | 2 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 4. 6 | 3.5 | . 76 | 31 | 8 | 4 | 57 | -...-.-. |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 5.1 | 3.5 | . 69 | 54 | 7 | 4 | 35 |  |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 5.1 | 3.6 | . 71 | 82 | 6 | --------- | 31 | 1 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 5.6 | 3.6 | . 64 | 84 | 1 |  | 15 | -...-...- |
| \$2,250-\$2,499. | 5.8 | 3.6 | . 62 | 90 | 5 |  | 5 | ---. |
| \$2,500-\$2,999. | 5.8 | 3.6 | . 62 | 92 | 2 |  | 6 |  |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 6. 0 | 3.7 | . 62 | 98 | 2 | ---- |  |  |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 6.8 | 4.1 | . 68 | 95 |  |  | 5 | ---.--- |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 6.1 | 3.5 | . 58 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 7. 6 | 4.3 | . 56 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$7,500 and over ......-.-- | 8.7 | 4.6 | . 53 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| Family types IV and V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749--....------..... | 4. 6 | 4.3 | . 93 |  | 9 |  | 91 |  |
| \$750-\$999 | 4. 9 | 4.6 | . 93 | 10 | 13 | 5 | 72 | -----... |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 5.3 | 4.5 | . 85 | 22 | 11 | 5 | 62 | -------- |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 5. 2 | 4. 5 | . 86 | 22 | 14 | 9 | 55 |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 5. 5 | 4.6 | . 84 | 49 | 7 |  | 38 | 6 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 6. 2 | 4.7 | . 78 | 51 | 8 | 6 | 35 |  |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 6. 0 | 4.7 | . 78 | 70 | 7 | 1 | 22 | ---.-.-- |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 6.0 | 4. 5 | . 75 | 68 | 7 |  | 25 | -------- |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 6.1 | 4.2 | . 69 | 94 | 2 | 2 | 2 | -------- |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 6.7 | 4.2 | . 62 | 93 |  |  | 7 | --.----- |
| \$3,500-\$3,999. | 7.2 | 4.3 | . 60 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 7. 1 | 3.8 | . 54 | 92 | 8 |  |  |  |
| \$5,000-\$7,499. | 8. 6 | 4.7 | . 54 | 94 |  |  | 6 | -------- |
| \$7,500 and over | 11.0 | 5.6 | . 50 | 100 |  |  |  | ------- |

${ }^{1}$ See explanation of tables for definition of this item.

Table 3.-Living quarters occupied: Average number of rooms in living quarters, average number of persons per room, and percentage of families having living quarters with certioin modern facilities, by family type, occupation, and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
PROVIDENCE, R. I.: WHITE FAMILIES

| Occupational group and income class <br> (1) | Average number of rooms in living quarters | Average number of persons in living quarters ${ }^{1}$ | Average number of persons per room ${ }^{1}$ | Percentage of families having living quarters with specified combinations of modern facilities |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Running hot and cold water, inside fush toilet, and electric lights |  |  | Running cold water only, inside flush toilet, and electric lights <br> (8) | Other combinations of facilities <br> (9) |
|  |  |  |  | Gas or electricity as kitchen fuel |  | No kitchen gas or electricity and no furnace <br> (7) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Central furnace <br> (5) | No central furnace <br> (6) |  |  |  |
| Wage earner |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749. | 4. 2 | 3.1 | 0.75 | 4 | 2 |  | 94 |  |
| \$750-\$999. | 4.5 | 3.3 | . 74 | 10 | 3 | 6 | 80 | 1 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 4.8 | 3.4 | . 71 | 27 | 10 | 6 | 57 |  |
| \$1,250-\$1;499 | 4.8 | 3.4 | .71 | 25 | 8 | 6 | 57 | 4 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 5.1 | 3.6 | . 71 | 48 | 4 | 3 | 42 | 3 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 5.4 | 3.6 | . 66 | 48 | 9 | 3 | 40 |  |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 5.5 | 3.7 | . 66 | 70 | 8 |  | 22 |  |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 5.3 | 3.5 | . 67 | 56 | 13 |  | 31 |  |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 .... | 5.6 | 3.8 | . 68 | 75 | 4 | 4 | 17 |  |
| Clerical |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$999. | 4. 6 | 3. 0 | . 65 | 4 | 13 |  | 83 |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 4. 5 | 3.4 | . 74 | 22 | 8 | 3 | 65 | 2 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 5.1 | 3.4 | . 66 | 43 | 7 |  | 50 |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 $\ldots$........... | 5.1 | 3.3 | . 65 | 56 | 13 |  | 30 | 1 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 5. 4 | 3.6 | . 66 | 65 | 16 |  | 19 |  |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 .............. | 5. 6 | 3. 4 | . 60 | 84 |  |  | 16 |  |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 5. 9 | 3. 7 | . 62 | 83 | 10 |  | 7 |  |
| \$2,500-\$2,998.........-...-- | 5.8 | 3.2 | . 55 | 89 |  |  | 11 |  |
| Independent business and professional |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 4. 9 | 3.1 | . 64 | 45 | 7 | 3 | 45 |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 5.1 | 3.5 | . 68 | 57 | 8 |  | 35 |  |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 6. 1 | 3. 2 | . 52 | 76 |  |  | 20 | 4 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 5.2 | 3.2 | . 62 | 59 |  | 12 | 29 |  |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 ............... | 6.4 | 3.9 | . 60 | 83 |  |  | 17 |  |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 .........-.-.-- | 5.9 | 3. 4 | . 57 | 97 |  |  | 3 |  |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 6. 4 | 3.6 | . 57 | 97 | 3 |  |  |  |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 7.0 | 3.4 | . 49 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 7.4 | 3.2 | . 44 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$5,000-\$7,498 | 8.1 | 4.0 | . 49 | 90 |  |  | 10 |  |
| \$7,500 and over...--------- | 10.3 | 4.8 | . 47 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| Salaried business |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 4. 0 | 2.9 | . 73 | 35 | 13 |  | 52 |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 5.2 | 3.1 | . 59 | 64 | 11 |  | 25 |  |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 5. 4 | 3.2 | . 60 | 73 | 9 |  | 18 |  |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 5.4 | 3.1 | . 57 | 86 | 7 |  | 7 |  |
| \$2,250-\$2,499............... | 5.9 | 3.2 | . 54 | 92 | 3 |  | 5 |  |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 5.9 | 3.6 | . 60 | 97 | 3 |  |  |  |
| \$3,000-83,499 .............---- | 6.0 | 3.3 | . 56 | 97 |  |  | 3 |  |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 6.2 | 3.8 | . 60 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,990 | 5.3 | 3.4 | . 64 | 92 | 8 |  |  |  |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 7.2 | 3.7 | . 51 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$7,500 and over.-........ | 9.3 | 4.8 | . 61 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| Salaried professional |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 4.7 | 3.2 | . 68 | 63 |  |  | 37 |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 5. 1 | 3. 0 | . 59 | 74 | 5 |  | 21 |  |
| \$1,750-81,999 | 5. 5 | 3. 6 | . 65 | 88 |  |  | 12 |  |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 5.7 | 3. 4 | . 60 | 80 |  | 6 | 14 | -------- |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 $\ldots \ldots . . . . . . . .$. | 6.2 | 3. 4 | . 55 | 93 | 7 | - |  |  |
| \$2,500-\$2,999................ | 6.3 | 3.2 | . 51 | 96 |  |  | 4 | --..-..-- |
| \$3,000-\$3,499. | 6.9 | 3.5 | . 50 | 96 |  |  | 4 |  |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 7.5 | 3.8 | . 50 | 92 |  |  | 8 |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 .-............ | 7.5 | 3.5 | . 47 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 ------.----- | 8. 2 | 4.0 | . 49 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$7.500 and over .....-..... | 11.3 | 4.9 | . 43 | 100 | -...- |  |  | ---- |

${ }^{1}$ See explanation of tables for definition of this item.

Table 3.-Living quarters occupied: Average number of rooms in living quarters, average number of persons per room, and percentage of familics having living quarters with certain modern facilities, by family type, occupation, and income, in 1 year, 1995-36-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
COLUMBUS, OHIO: WHITE FAMILIES

| Family type and income class <br> (1) | A verage number of rooms inliving quarters | A verage number of persons in living quarters 1 | Average number of persons per room ${ }^{1}$ | Percentage of families having living quarters with specified combinations of modern facilities |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Running hot and cold water, inside flush toilet, and electric lights |  |  | Running cold water only, inside flush toilet, and electric lights <br> (8) | Other combinations of facil- |
|  |  |  |  | Gas or electricity as kitchen fuel |  | No kitchen gas or electricity and no furnace <br> (7) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Central furnace <br> (5) | No central furnace <br> (6) |  |  | ities |
| All families |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 4.5 | 3.0 | 0.66 | 47 | 30 |  | 10 | 13 |
| \$750-\$999 | 5. 0 | 3.4 | . 68 | 46 | 40 | 1 | 6 | 7 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 5.3 | 3.5 | . 65 | 63 | 26 |  | 5 | 6 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 5.4 | 3.4 | . 63 | 80 | 15 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 5.6 | 3.5 | . 63 | 81 | 14 |  | 1 | 4 |
| \$1,750-\$1,990 | 5. 6 | 3. 6 | . 64 | 86 | 13 |  | (*) | 1 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 5.8 | 3.6 | 62 | 90 | 8 |  | (*) 1 | 1 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499. | 6.0 | 3. 6 | . 60 | 97 | 3 |  | ${ }^{*}$ ) | (*) |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 6.0 | 3.6 | . 60 | 96 | 4 | (*) | (*) | (*) |
| \$3,000-\$3,499. | 6.1 | 3.8 | . 62 | 95 | 4 |  |  | 1 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 6.5 | 3.8 | . 59 | 97 | 3 |  |  |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 6.8 | 3.7 | . 54 | 99 | 1 |  |  |  |
| \$5,000-\$7,499. | 7.6 | 4.0 | . 52 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$7,500 and over-.-.------ | 8.8 | 3.8 | . 43 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| Family type I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 4.6 | 2.0 | . 43 | 45 | 33 |  | 11 | 11 |
| \$750-\$999 | 4.8 | 2.2 | . 46 | 57 | 29 |  | 10 | 4 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 5.3 | 2.1 | . 40 | 76 | 17 |  |  | 7 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 5.4 | 2.3 | . 43 | 77 | 14 | 3 | 2 | 4 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 5.3 | 2.4 | . 45 | 85 | 15 |  |  |  |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 5.2 | 2.2 | . 42 | 89 | 11 |  |  |  |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 5.3 | 2. 1 | . 40 | 91 | 9 |  |  |  |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 5.4 | 2.2 | . 41 | 96 | 4 |  |  |  |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 5.4 | 2. 1 | . 39 | 93 | 7 |  |  |  |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 5.7 | 2. 1 | . 37 | 89 | 11 |  |  |  |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 6.4 | 2.0 | . 32 | 97 | 3 |  |  |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 $\ldots$............ | 6.0 | 2. 1 | . 35 | 96 | 4 |  |  |  |
| \$5,000-\$7,499. | 7.7 | 2.6 | . 34 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$7,500 and over | 8.1 | 2.6 | . 32 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| Family type II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 4.0 | 3.4 | . 85 | 38 | 49 |  | 13 |  |
| \$750-\$999 | 4. 9 | 3.2 | . 66 | 34 | 58 | --.. | 6 | 2 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 5.1 | 3.1 | . 61 | 56 | 25 |  | 9 | 10 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 5.4 | 3. 2 | . 59 | 85 | 15 |  |  |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 5.4 | 3.3 | . 61 | 78 | 13 |  | 4 | 5 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 5.5 | 3.2 | . 58 | 84 98 | 13 |  |  | 3 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 5.8 | 3.2 | . 55 | 98 92 | 2 6 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 5.8 | 3. 2 | . 55 | 92 100 | 6 | 2 |  |  |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 $\$ 3,000-\$ 3,499$ | 5.8 | 3. 5 | $\begin{array}{r}\text {. } 60 \\ .53 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 100 91 |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 3,000-\$ 3,499$ $\$ 3,500-\$ 3,999$ | 6. 0 | 3.2 | .53 .51 .4 | 91 100 | 3 |  |  | 6 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 5. 8 | 3.0 | . 51 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 6.8 | 3. 0 | . 44 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$5,000-\$7,499..... | 7.0 | 3.8 | $\sim_{(\dagger)} 54$ | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$7,500 and over ........... | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| Family type III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749. | 3.6 | 4. 0 | 1.11 | 40 | 40 |  |  | 20 |
| \$750-\$999 | 5.2 | 4.3 | . 82 | 36 | 36 |  | 8 | 20 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 5.2 | 4.1 | . 79 | 52 | 43 |  | 5 |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 5. 6 | 4.1 | . 73 | 89 | 9 |  | 2 |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 5.8 | 4. 2 | . 72 | 83 | 13 |  |  | 4 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 5.6 | 4.2 | . 74 | 92 | 7 |  | 1 |  |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 5. 8 | 4. 1 | . 70 | 90 | 10 |  |  |  |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 5.7 | 4. 2 | . 73 | 97 | 3 |  |  |  |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 6.1 | 4. 2 | . 69 | 94 | 4 |  |  | 2 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 --..--------- | 6.2 | 4. 5 | . 72 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 6.7 | 4.8 | . 71 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999. | 6. 7 | 4.4 | . 66 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 ............... | $\underset{(\dagger)}{6.7}$ | (f) 4.4 | $(\dagger){ }^{66}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$7,500 and over .-....-.-. - | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) |  | ( $\dagger$ ) |  |  |

[^42]Table 3.-Living quarters occupied: Average number of rooms in living quarters, average number of persons per room, and percentage of families having living quarters with certain modern facilities, by family type, occupation, and income, in 1 year, 1935-86-Continued
[Nomrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
COLUMBUS, OHIO: WHITE FAMILIES

| Family type and income class | Average number of rooms inliving ters | A verage number sons in living quarters 1 | Average number of persons per room ${ }^{1}$ | Percentage of families having living quarters with specified combinations of modern facilities |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Running hot and cold water, inside flush toilet, and electric lights |  |  | Running cold water only, inside flush toilet, and electric lights <br> (8) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Other } \\ \text { combi- } \\ \text { nations } \\ \text { of facil- } \\ \text { ities } \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  |  | Gas or electricity as kitchen fuel |  | No kitchen gas or electricity and no furnace <br> (7) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Central furnace (5) | No central furnace <br> (6) |  |  |  |
| Family type IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 5.0 | 3.2 | 0.64 | 80 |  |  |  | 20 |
| \$750-\$999 | 5.4 5.8 5.8 | 3.4 <br> 3.6 |  | 62 57 |  |  | 10 |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 5.7 | 3.5 | . 62 | 91 | 8 |  | 10 |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 5.8 | 3.5 | . 60 | 78 | 14 |  |  | 8 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 5.8 | 3.7 | . 64 | 80 | 20 |  |  |  |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 6.0 | 3.7 | . 61 | 89 | 9 |  |  | 2 |
| \$2, $\$ 2,500-\$ 2,999$ | 6.5 6.2 | 3.6 <br> 3.5 | . 57 | 100 | 1 |  |  |  |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 6.2 | 3.8 | 62 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 6.8 | 3.9 | . 57 | 95 | 5 |  |  |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999. | 7.4 | 3.6 | . 48 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$5,000-\$7,499. | 7.4 | 3.9 | . 53 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$7,500 and over. | 9.2 | 3.5 | . 38 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| Family type V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-8749 | 5.7 | 5.3 | 93 | 33 | 33 |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$999 | 5. 6 | 5.3 | . 95 | 29 | 57 |  |  | 14 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 5.7 | 5.5 | . 96 | 59 | 30 |  |  | 11 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 5.5 | 5.4 | . 99 | 62 | 28 |  | 10 |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 6.2 | 5.3 | ${ }^{.85}$ | 79 93 | 10 |  | 3 | 8 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249- | 6. 2 | 5.3 | . 86 | 79 | 8 |  | 6 | 7 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 6.2 | 5.3 5.2 | . 86 | 94 | 3 | 4 |  | 3 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 6.6 | 5.5 | . 83 | 96 | 4 |  |  |  |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 6.7 | 5.4 | . 80 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 7.5 | 5.8 | . 77 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$7,500 and over. | 8.2 8.4 | 5.6 6.1 | . 68 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| Family type VI |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749- | 4.8 | 5.2 | 1.08 | 20 | 20 |  | 40 | 20 |
| \$750-\$999 | 5.4 5.4 | 5.5 5.4 | 1.02 1.00 | 37 65 | 41 21 | 11 | 7 | 11 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 5.5 | 4.4 | . 80 | 62 | 27 |  | 5 | 6 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 5.9 | 5.4 | . 91 | 82 | 14 |  |  |  |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 6.3 | 5.4 | . 86 | 94 | 6 |  |  |  |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 5.8 | 5. 2 | . 89 | 91 | 6 |  | 3 |  |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 6.5 6.4 | 5. 5 | . 86 | 94 | 8 |  |  |  |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 6. 6 | 5.6 | . 85 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 6.3 | 5.8 | 92 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999... | ${ }_{\text {¢ }}^{6.5}$ | 5.2 | ${ }^{80}$ | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | (t) | (t) | (t) | ( $\dagger$ |  |  |  |  |
| Family type VII |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$999 | 5.3 | 7.4 | 1.40 |  | 49 | 13 | 13 |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 5.5 | 7.4 | 1.34 | 65 | 7 |  |  | 28 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 6.2 | 7.6 | 1.22 | 71 | 13 |  | 8 | 8 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 6.2 | 7.2 | 1.16 | 80 | 20 |  |  |  |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | ${ }_{6.1}^{6.1}$ | 7.1 | 1.17 1.19 | 64 80 80 | 36 6 |  | 3 |  |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 6.7 | 7.2 | 1.07 | 85 | 11 |  |  | 4 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 7.0 | 7.5 | 1.07 | 84 | 13 |  |  | 3 |
| \$3,000-83,499 | 7.0 | 7.4 | 1.06 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 6.2 | 7.4 | 1. 19 | 67 | 33 |  |  |  |
| \$4,000-84,999 | 6.9 | 6.6 | ${ }^{.95}$ | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 5,000-\$ 7,499$ <br> \$7,500 and over | 7.7 | 7.0 | . 91 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^43]Table 3.-Living quarters occupied: Average number of rooms in living quarters, average number of persons per room, and percentage of families having living quarters with certain modern facilities, by family type, occupation, and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
COLUMBUS, OHIO: WHITE FAMILIES

| Occupational group and income class <br> (1) | Average number of rooms in living quarters | Average number of persons in living quarters ${ }^{1}$ |  | Percentage of families having living quarters with specified combinations of modern facilities |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Running hot and cold water, inside flush toilet, and electric lights |  |  | Running cold water only, inside flush toilet, and electric lights <br> (8) | Other combinations of facilities <br> (9) |
|  |  |  |  | Gas or electricity as kitchen fuel |  | No kitchen gas or electricity and no furnace <br> (7) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Central furnace <br> (5) | No central furnace <br> (6) |  |  |  |
| Wage earner |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 4. 5 | 3.0 | 0.66 | 47 | 30 |  | 10 | 13 |
| \$750-\$999 | 5.1 | 3. 6 | . 70 | 48 | 38 | 2 | 7 | 5 |
| \$1,000-\$1, 249 | 5.4 | 3.6 | . 66 | 57 | 30 |  | 6 | 7 |
| \$1,250-\$1, 499 | 5. 6 | 3.6 | . 65 | 81 | 14 |  | 2 | 3 |
| \$1,500-\$1, 749. | 5. 6 | 3. 6 | . 65 | 72 | 20 |  | 2 | 6 |
| \$1, 750-\$1,999. | 5.6 | 3.7 | . 66 | 79 | 21 |  |  |  |
| \$2, 000-\$2. $249 \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 5. 6 | 3.8 | . 68 | 81 | 15 |  | 2 | 2 |
| \$2, 250-\$2, 499 $\ldots$..........- | 5.8 | 3.7 | . 64 | 96 | 2 | 1 |  | 1 |
| \$2, 500-\$2,999 $\ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 6.0 | 3.7 | . 62 | 96 | 3 | 1 | (*) | (*) |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 6.1 | 4.3 | . 70 | 94 | 6 |  |  |  |
| \$3, 500-\$3,999 . .........-- | 6.2 | 4.5 | . 73 | 83 | 17 |  |  |  |
| Clerical |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$999 --.---- | 4.9 | 3.0 | . 60 | 37 | 49 |  | 3 | 11 |
| \$1,000-\$1, 249 . | 5.2 | 3.3 | . 63 | 82 | 14 |  |  | 4 |
| \$1, 250-\$1, 499 | 5.4 | 3.3 | . 61 | 75 | 20 | 4 | 1 | (*) |
| \$1,500-\$1,749.....-.....- | 5.6 | 3. 6 | . 64 | 91 | 7 |  | 1 | 1 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 5.4 | 3.5 | . 65 | 91 | 7 |  |  | 2 |
| \$2,000-\$2, 249 | 5.8 | 3.4 | . 59 | 97 | 3 |  |  |  |
| \$2, 250-\$2,499............ | 6.0 | 3.5 | . 58 | 96 | 4 |  |  |  |
| \$2, 500-\$2, $999 . \ldots . .$. | 6.0 | 3.7 | . 62 | 95 | 4 |  |  | 1 |
| \$3, 000-\$3,499 $\ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 6.1 | 3.9 <br> 3.8 | . 64 | 97 100 |  |  |  | 3 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999-.---...---- | 6.8 6.5 | 3.8 3.4 | .56 .52 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$4, 000-\$4, 999 .-......----- | 6.5 | 3.4 | . 52 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| Independent business and professional <br> \$1,250-\$1,499. | 5.7 | 3.0 | . 53 | 86 | 8 |  | 6 |  |
| \$1,500-\$1, 749 .............. | 5.9 | 3.1 | . 53 | 95 | 4 |  |  | 1 |
| \$1, 750-\$1, 999..............- | 6.1 | 3.5 | . 57 | 93 | 6 |  | 1 |  |
| \$2, 000-\$2, 249 | 5.9 | 3.7 | . 63 | 90 | 3 |  | 1 | 6 |
| \$2, 250-\$2, 499 | 6.4 | 3.5 | . 54 | 98 |  |  |  | 2 |
| \$2, 500-\$2, 999 | 6.0 | 3.7 | . 61 | 87 | 13 |  |  |  |
| \$3, 000-\$3, 499 | 6.2 | 3.6 | . 57 | 95 | 5 |  |  |  |
| \$3, 500-\$3, 990 | 6.9 | 3.7 | . 53 | 96 | 4 |  |  |  |
| \$4, 000-\$4, 999 | 7.0 | 3.8 | . 54 | 96 | 4 |  |  |  |
| \$5, 000-\$7, 499 | 7.9 | 4.1 | . 52 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$7, 500 and over. | 9.1 | 4.4 | . 48 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| Salaried business <br> \$1, 250-\$1, 499 | 5.0 | 3.0 | . 60 | 73 | 18 |  |  | 9 |
| \$1, 500-\$1,749 | 5.8 | 3.4 | . 59 | 99 | 1 |  |  |  |
|  | 5.8 | 3.4 | - 59 | 100 |  | --------- | --- |  |
| \$2,000-\$2, $249 \ldots \ldots$ | 6. 6 | 3.5 | . 53 | 94 | 4 | --------- |  | 2 |
| \$2, 250-\$2, 499. | 5.9 | 3.5 | . 59 | 94 | 6 | ---- |  |  |
| \$2, 500-\$2,999..... | 5. 9 | 3.3 3 | - 56 | 100 93 |  |  |  |  |
| \$3,000-\$3,499- | 5.8 6.3 | 3.4 3.7 | .59 .59 | 93 100 | 7 |  |  |  |
| \$4,000-\$4, 999 | 6.9 | 3.8 | . 55 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$5,000-\$7, $499 \ldots$ | 7.3 | 3.9 | . 53 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$7,500 and over ........--- | 8.8 | 3.2 | . 36 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| Salaried professional |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499.- | 4.5 | 3.0 3.4 | . 67 | 94 88 | 12 |  |  | 4 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 5.2 | 3.4 | - 65 | 88 98 | 12 |  |  |  |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 5.3 | 2.8 | . 53 | 98 | 2 |  |  |  |
| \$2,000-\$2, 249. | 5. 9 | 3.3 | . 56 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$2, 250-\$2, 499. | 5.8 | 3.3 | . 57 | 96 | 4 |  |  |  |
| \$2, 500-\$2, 999 .-............. | 6.2 | 3. 5 | . 56 | 98 | 2 |  |  |  |
| \$3,000-\$3, 499. | 6.5 | 3.5 | . 54 | 99 | 1 |  |  |  |
| \$3, 500-\$3,999 ............ | 6.6 | 3.6 | . 54 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999..........-- | 6.8 | 3.6 | . 53 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$5, 000-\$7,499............. | 7.5 | 3.9 | . 52 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$7, 500 and over........... | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ ) |  |  |  |  |

[^44]$\dagger$ A verages and percentages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

Table 3.-Living quarters occupied: Average number of rooms in living quarters, average number of persons per room, and percentage of families having living quarters with certain modern facilities, by family type, occupation, and income, in 1 year, 1935-96-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
COLUMBUS, OHIO: NEGRO FAMILIES

| Family type and income class <br> (1) | A veragenumberofroomsinlivingquar-ters | A.verage number of persons in quarters 1 | A verage number of perroom ${ }^{1}$ | Percentage of families having living quarters with specified combinations of modern facilities |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Running hot and cold water, inside flush toilet, and electric lights |  |  | Running cold water only, inside flush toilet, and electric lights <br> (8) | Other combinations of facilities |
|  |  |  |  | Gas or electricity as kitchen fuel |  | No kitchen gas or electricity and no furnace <br> (7) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Central furnace <br> (5) | No central furnace <br> (6) |  |  |  |
| All families |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 | 5.0 | 2.8 | 0.56 |  | 33 | 19 | 5 | 39 |
| \$500-\$749 | 4.9 | 3.1 | . 62 | 33 | 19 |  | 11 | 37 |
| \$750-\$999 | 5.3 | 3.2 | . 60 | 46 | 24 |  | 7 | 23 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249..........---- | 5.2 | 2.9 | . 55 | 43 59 | 46 | (*) | ${ }^{5}$ | 6 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499-...........-- | 5.7 | 3.3 | . 58 | 59 | 22 |  | 15 | 4 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749- | 5.7 5.9 | 3.8 | . 59 | 88 | 18 |  |  |  |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 5.9 | 2.8 | 47 | 78 | 22 |  |  |  |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 6.6 | 2.8 | . 42 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 6.0 | 3.2 | .54 | 89 | 11 |  |  |  |
| Family type I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499--.--------...- | 4.9 | 2.3 | . 46 |  | 31 | 23 |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 ...............-- | 4.8 5.2 | 2.0 2.1 | . 42 | 51 49 | $\stackrel{2}{9}$ |  | $\stackrel{2}{8}$ | 45 34 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 5.0 | 2.0 | . 41 | 38 | 46 | 1 | 7 | 8 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 5.8 | 2.0 | . 35 | ${ }_{85}^{35}$ | 28 |  | 37 |  |
|  | ${ }_{(j)}^{5}{ }^{5}$ | $\stackrel{2.2}{(t)}$ | $(\dagger){ }^{37}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 5.8 | 2.2 | (37 |  | 20 |  |  |  |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | ( ${ }^{\text {) }}$ | (t) | (t) | ( $\dagger$ |  |  |  |  |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | ( $\dagger$ | ( ${ }^{\text {) }}$ | (t) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Family types II and III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 | 5.3 | 3.5 | 66 |  | 50 | 25 | ${ }_{23}^{25}$ |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 4.8 | 3.6 | . 75 |  | 52 |  | 23 | 22 |
| $\$ 750-\$ 999-\overline{-} \mathbf{\$ 1 , 0 0 0 - 2 4 9}$ | 5.0 5.3 5. | 3.6 <br> 3.5 <br> 3.5 | . 66 | 51 46 | 43 |  | 6 | ${ }_{12}^{6}$ |
| \$1,250-\$1,499.-............. | 5.1 | 3.6 | .71 | 63 | 37 |  |  |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749.------------ | 5.3 | 3.6 | ${ }^{69}$ |  | 46 |  |  |  |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | ( $\dagger$ ) | (t) | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ | (t) |  |  |  |
| $\$ 2,00-\$ 2,249-$ | ( $\dagger$ | (t) | (t) |  | ( ${ }^{\text {( }}$ |  |  |  |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 .............- | 7.0 | 3.7 | . 52 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| Family types IV and V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499. | 5.1 | 3.9 | 75 | 22 | 22 |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 5.2 | 4.4 | 88 | 31 | 18 |  | 11 | 35 |
| \$1.000-\$1,249 | 5.6 | 3.9 | 70 | 49 | 51 |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 5.8 | 4.5 | . 77 | 80 | 11 |  |  | 9 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 5.6 | 4.1 | . 73 | 78 | 11 |  |  | 11 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 5.8 | 4.2 | $\mathrm{c}^{73}$ |  | 17 |  |  |  |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | $\stackrel{(\dagger)}{+}$ | (t) | (t) | (t) |  |  |  |  |
|  | 5.2 | 3.5 | . 67 | 75 | 25 |  |  |  |

[^45]Table 3.-Living quarters occupied: Average number of rooms in living quarters, average number of persons per room, and percentage of families having living quarters with certain modern facilities, by family type, occupation, and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
COLUMBUS, OHIO: NEGRO FAMILIES

| Occupational group and income class <br> (1) | Average num. ber of rooms in living quarters | Average num. ber of persons in living quarters 1(3) | Average number of persons per room | Percentage of families having living quarters with specified combinations of modern facilities |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Running bot and cold water, inside flush toilet, and electric lights |  |  | Running cold water only, in side flush toilet, and electric lights | Other combinations of facilities |
|  |  |  |  | Gas or electricity as kitchen fuel |  | Nokitchen gas or electricity and no furnace <br> (7) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Central furnace <br> (5) | No central furnace <br> (6) |  |  |  |
| Wage earner |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 | 5.0 | 2.9 | 0.58 | 5 | 30 | 20 | 5 | 40 |
| \$500-\$749 | 4.9 | 3.1 | . 63 | 33 | 20 |  | 10 | 37 |
| \$750-\$999 | 5.2 | 3.2 | . 61 | 45 | 24 |  | 7 | 24 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 5.2 | 2.9 | . 56 | 39 | 49 |  | 5 | 7 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 5. 7 | 3.5 | . 61 | 56 | 21 |  | 19 | 4 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 5.7 | 3.3 | 58 | 77 | 17 |  |  | 6 |
| Clerical |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$999 .-.-.-.-........ | 5.0 | 2.4 | . 48 | 54 | 46 |  |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 5. 6 | 2.5 | . 44 | -64 | 36 | ---.-.---- |  | -------- |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 5. 6 | 2.3 | (i) ${ }^{41}$ | ${ }^{+} 86$ | 14 |  |  | ------- |
| \$1,500-\$1,749......---.-.- | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 5.9 | 3.5 | . 59 | 89 | 11 |  |  |  |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 5.5 | 2.5 | . 45 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 $\ldots$........... | 6.5 | 2.8 | . 44 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$2,500-\$2,999_...-........ | 4.8 | 2.5 | . 52 | 75 | 25 | --------- |  |  |
| Business and professional |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499. | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ ) |  | ( $\dagger$ |  |  | ( $\dagger$ ) |
| \$500-\$749 | 4.8 | 2.9 | . 59 | 34 | 18 |  | 13 | 35 |
| \$750-\$999 | 5.9 | 3.7 | . 46 | 60 | 21 |  |  | 19 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 ............. | 5.2 | 3.0 | . 57 | 92 |  | 8 |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 6. 0 | 2.9 | . 48 | 62 | 38 |  |  |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 --.......----- | 5.5 | 3.3 | ( 59 | 82 | 18 |  |  |  |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | ( $\dagger$ ) | (t) | (t) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) |  |  |  |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | $\stackrel{6.2}{ }$ | 3.0 | ( $^{48}$ | $60$ | 40 |  |  |  |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | ( ${ }_{7} 0$ | $\stackrel{(\dagger)}{3.8}$ | ( $)^{54}$ | ${ }^{(\dagger)} 100$ |  |  |  |  |
| \$2,500-\$2,989 ...........--- | 7.0 | 3.8 | . 54 | 100 |  |  |  |  |

1 See explanation of tables for definition of this item.
$\dagger$ Averages and percentages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

Table 3.-Living quarters occupied: Average number of rooms in living quarters, average number of persons per room, and percentage of families having living quarters with certain modern facilities, by family type, occupation, and income, in 1 year, 1935-96-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born] ATLANTA, GA.: WHITE FAMILIES

| Family type and income class | Averagenumberofromsinlivingquar.ters | Average number of perliving quarters ${ }^{1}$ | Average number sons pe: room ${ }^{1}$ | Percentage of families having living quarters with specified combinations of modern facilities |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Running hot and cold water, inside flush toilet, and electric lights |  |  | Running cold water only, inside flush toilet, and electric lights | Other combinations of facilities |
|  |  |  |  | Gas or electricity as kitchen fuel |  | No kitchen gas or electricity and no furnace <br> (7) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Central furnace <br> (5) | No central furnace <br> (6) |  |  |  |
| All families |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 3.24.0 | 3.5 | 1. 10 |  | 16 | 8 | 50 | 26 |
| \$750-\$999 |  | 3.6 | . 90 | 10 | 39 | 2 | 43 | 6 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 4.2 | 3.4 | . 82 | 15 | 37 | 6 | 37 | 5 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 4.3 | 3.5 | . 82 | 29 | 35 | 2 | 32 | 2 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 4.3 | 3.0 | . 64 | 41 | 43 | 1 | 14 | 1 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 |  | 3.5 | . 73 | 50 | 41 |  | 8 |  |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 4.8 4.9 | 3.6 | . 73 | 47 | 41 |  | 11 |  |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { T. } \\ & 5.2 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | 3.4 | . 66 | 60 65 | 36 33 | (*) | 3 | 1 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 5.5 5.8 | 3.7 | . 64 | 72 | 26 |  | 2 |  |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 |  | 3.7 | . 64 | 97 | 1 |  | 2 |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999. | 6.2 | 3.7 | . 60 | 89 | 11 |  |  |  |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 6.58.4 | 3.9 | . 60 | 83 | 16 |  |  | 1 |
| \$7,500 and over. |  | 4.0 | . 48 | 78 | 22 |  |  |  |
| Family type I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-8749. | 3. 33. 2 | 2.6 | . 79 |  | 14 | 14 | 57 | 15 |
| \$870-\$999 |  | 2.2 | $\stackrel{67}{ }{ }_{5}$ | 21 | 47 |  | 32 | 6 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 3.9 4.0 | 2.2 | .55 | 31 | 42 |  | 27 |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 4.0 4.2 | 2.4 | . 56 | 67 | 32 |  |  | 1 |
| \$1,750-81,999 | 4.2 4.1 4.3 | 2. 2 | . 54 | 75 | 19 |  | 6 |  |
| \$ $\$ 2,250-\$ 2,499$ | 4.3 <br> 4.3 | 2. 2 | . 51 | 59 68 | 33 32 |  | 8 |  |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 4.7 <br> 5.2 | 2.2 | . 47 | 67 | 30 | ---....- | 3 |  |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 |  | 2.0 | . 39 | 71 | 29 |  |  |  |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 4.55.55.2 | 2.0 | . 45 | 96 | 4 |  |  |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 |  | 2.2 | . 41 | 86 | 14 |  |  |  |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 5.25.88.6 | 2.3 | . 40 | 92 | 8 |  |  |  |
| \$7,500 and over- |  | 2.2 | . 26 | 54 | 46 |  |  |  |
| Family types II and III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 |  | 3.4 | 1.13 |  | 22 | 11 | 44 | 23 |
| \$750-\$999. | 3.0 <br> 3.6 | 3.7 | 1.03 | 3 | 30 |  | 46 | 17 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 ------------ | - $\begin{aligned} & 4.1 \\ & 4.2\end{aligned}$ | 3.6 | . 88 | ${ }^{6}$ | 43 |  | 36 | ${ }_{3}^{6}$ |
| \$1,250-\$1,499-............- |  | 3.6 <br> 3.5 | . 86 | 33 37 | 42 | 5 <br> 3 | 37 18 |  |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 |  | 3.5 | . 77 | 52 | 47 |  | 1 |  |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | $\begin{aligned} & 4.0 \\ & 4.9 \end{aligned}$ | 3.6 | . 73 | 37 | 51 |  | 12 |  |
| \$2,250-\$2,499. |  | 3. 6 | . 69 | 60 | 37 |  | 3 |  |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | $\begin{array}{r}5.4 \\ \hline 5.5 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 3. 6 | . 67 | 71 |  | --- | (*) 2 |  |
| \$3,000-\$3,499-...---------- |  | 3.5 3.8 3.8 | . 64 | 76 98 | 22 |  | 2 |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999--.....-.......- | 6.2 <br> -5.8 | 3.6 | . 62 | 90 | 10 |  |  |  |
| \$5,000-\$7,499. | 5.8 <br> 6.4 <br> 8.7 | 3.8 | . 59 | 93 | 7 |  |  |  |
| \$7,500 and over..........- |  | 3.8 | . 44 | 94 | 6 |  |  |  |
| Family types IV and V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749-................ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 3.4 } \\ & \text { 5.3 } \end{aligned}$ | 4.5 | 1.32 |  | 11 |  | 50 | 39 |
| \$750-\$999 |  | 4. 8 | . 90 | 11 | 42 |  | 47 |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 5.3 4.5 | 4.7 4.6 | 1.04 | ${ }^{16}$ | 48 |  | 50 27 | 3 |
|  | 4.9 5.9 5.2 | 3.0 | . 59 | 21 | 55 | (*) | 21 | 3 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | $\begin{array}{l\|l} -5.6 \\ - & 5.3 \end{array}$ | 4.5 | . 80 | 28 | 51 |  | 18 |  |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 ....-.......- |  | 4. 5 | . 84 | ${ }_{55}^{46}$ | 37 |  | 14 |  |
| \$2,500-\$2,999. | $\begin{gathered} 6.0 \\ 6.4 \end{gathered}$ | 4.7 | . 77 | $\stackrel{50}{59}$ | 38 |  | $\stackrel{4}{3}$ |  |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 |  | 4.9 | . 78 | 70 | 27 |  | 3 |  |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 -.-.......... | 6. 4 | 4.7 | . 74 | 96 |  |  | 4 |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 |  | 4.5 | . 66 | 90 | 10 |  |  |  |
| \$7,500 and over-..........-- | $\begin{gathered} 6.8 \\ 6.9 \end{gathered}$ | 4.5 5.0 | . 66 | 75 83 | 17 |  |  | 3 |

[^46]Table 3.-Living quarters occupied: Average number of rooms in living quarters, average number of persons per room, and percentage of families having living quarters with certain modern facilities, by family type, occupation, and income, in 1 year, 1935-86-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
ATLANTA, GA.: WHITE FAMILIES

| Occupational group and income class <br> (1) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aver- } \\ & \text { age } \\ & \text { num } \\ & \text { ber of } \\ & \text { rooms } \\ & \text { in } \\ & \text { living } \\ & \text { quar- } \\ & \text { ters } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Aver- } \\ \text { age } \\ \text { num- } \\ \text { ber } \\ \text { of per- } \\ \text { sons in } \\ \text { living } \\ \text { quar- } \\ \text { ters } 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aver- } \\ & \text { age } \\ & \text { num- } \\ & \text { ber } \\ & \text { of per- } \\ & \text { sons } \\ & \text { per } \\ & \text { room } 1 \end{aligned}$ | Percentage of families having living quarters with specified combinations of modern facilities |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Running hot and cold water, inside flush toilet, and electric lights |  |  | Running cold water only, inside flush toilet, and electric lights <br> (8) | Other combinations of facilities |
|  |  |  |  | Gas or electricity as kitchen fuel |  | Nokitchen gas or electricity and no furnace <br> (7) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Central furnace <br> (5) | No central furnace <br> (6) |  |  |  |
| Wage earner |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 3.2 | 3.5 | 1.10 |  | 16 |  | 50 |  |
| \$750-\$999 | 4.1 | 3.6 | . 89 | 9 | 31 | 2 | 49 | 9 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 4.1 | 3.4 | . 83 | 14 | 32 | 6 | 39 |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 4.3 | 3.6 | . 84 | 19 30 | 30 52 | 4 | 43 18 18 | 4 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 4.9 | 3. 5 | $\cdot .71$ | 32 | 52 | 2 | 14 |  |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 4.9 | 3. 6 | . 74 | 29 | 56 | 3 | 12 |  |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 5.4 | 3.6 | . 66 | 54 | 37 |  | 4 | 5 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999. | 5.3 | 3.8 | . 72 | 56 | 37 |  | 7 |  |
| Clerical |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$999----------..----- | 3.8 | 3.5 | . 92 | 14 | 56 |  | 27 | 3 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249.. | 4.3 | 3.4 | . 80 | 16 | 46 | 5 | 33 |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749.. | 4.8 | 3.6 | . 75 | 45 | 38 | 4 | 10 | 3 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 4.8 | 3.5 | . 74 | 61 | 35 |  | 4 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \$ 2,000-\$ 2,249 \\ & \$ 2,250-\$ 2,499 \end{aligned}$ | 4.9 4.9 | 3.7 3.3 3.8 | . 76 | 55 59 | 31 |  | 14 |  |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 5.6 | 3.8 | .67 | 61 | 39 |  | 2 |  |
| Independent business and projessional |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 4.8 | 3.4 | . 71 | 16 | 57 |  | 27 |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 4.9 | 3.3 | . 68 | 55 | 35 |  | 10 |  |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 5. 6 | 3. 3 | . 66 | ${ }_{65}^{49}$ | 35 | 2 | 14 |  |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 5.9 | 3.7 | . 63 | 63 | 37 |  |  |  |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 5.8 | 3.7 | . 64 | 77 | 23 |  |  |  |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 6.3 | 3. 9 | . 62 | 70 | 25 |  | 5 |  |
| \$4,000- $\$ 4,999$ | 6.5 | 3.8 | .58 | 84 | 16 |  |  |  |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 6.8 | 4.0 | . 58 | 91 | 5 |  |  | 4 |
| \$7,500 and over... | 8.3 | 4.4 | . 53 | 70 | 30 |  |  |  |
| Salaried business |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 4.7 | 3.6 | . 76 | 41 | 38 |  |  |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749-...---------- | 5.0 4.6 | 3. 5 | . 70 | ${ }_{61}^{53}$ | 26 37 |  | 13 | 6 |
|  | 4.9 | 3. 4 | . 69 | 53 | 36 |  | 11 |  |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 5.1 | 3. 6 | . 71 | 71 | 27 |  | 2 |  |
| \$2,500-\$2,999.- | 5. 4 | 3. 4 | . 63 | 71 | 26 31 |  | 3 |  |
| \$ $\$ 3,000-500-83,4999$. | 5.3 5.6 | 3.7 3.8 3.8 | . 70 | 69 97 | 31 |  | 3 |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 6.0 | 3.7 | .61 | 93 | 7 |  |  |  |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 6.4 | 3. 8 | . 60 | 80 | 20 |  |  |  |
| \$7,500 and over-..........- | 8.4 | 3.7 | . 44 | 80 | 20 |  |  |  |
| Salaried protessional |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499.. | 4.4 | 3.3 | . 75 | 56 | 28 |  | 16 |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749...........--- | 4.5 | 3.3 | . 74 | 61 | 34 |  | 5 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1,750-\$ 1,999 \\ & \$ 2000-\$ 2.249 \end{aligned}$ | 4. 8 | 3. 5 | . 73 | 69 | 23 |  | 8 |  |
| \$2,250-\$2,499. | 5.3 | 3. 3 | . 62 | 69 | 29 | 2 | 2 |  |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 5.2 | 3.7 | . 71 | 70 | 25 |  | 5 |  |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 6.2 | 3. 5 | . 56 | 85 | 12 |  | 3 |  |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 5.9 | 3.9 | . 66 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999. | 6.3 | 4.0 | . 63 | 80 | 20 |  |  |  |
| \$5,000-\$7,499.-7 | 6.2 8.8 | 4.0 | . 65 | 68 92 | ${ }_{8}^{32}$ |  |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ See explanation of tables for definition of this item.

Table 3.-Living quarters occupled: Average number of rooms in living quarters, average number of persons per room, and percentage of families having living quarters with certain modern facilities, by family type, occupation, and income, in 1 year, 1985-86-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
ATLANTA, GA.: NEGRO FAMILIES

| Family type and income class <br> (1) | Average number of rooms inliving quarters | Average number of persons in living quarters ${ }^{1}$ <br> (3) | Average number of persons per room ${ }^{1}$ | Percentage of families having living quarters with specified combinations of modern facilities |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Running hot and cold water, inside flush toilet, and electric lights |  |  | Running cold water only, inside flush toilet, and electric lights | Other combinations of facilities |
|  |  |  |  | Gas or electricity as kitchen fuel |  | No kitchen gas or electricity and no furnace <br> (7) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Central furnace <br> (5) | No central furnace <br> (6) |  |  | (9) |
| All families |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250 | 3.1 | 3.0 | 0.99 |  |  |  | 9 | 91 |
| \$250-\$499 | 3.0 | 3.3 | 1. 10 |  |  | 2 | 21 | 77 |
| \$500-\$749 | 3. 2 | 3. 6 | 1.12 | (*) |  | 3 | 35 | 62 |
| \$750-\$999 | 3.6 | 3.7 | 1.02 |  |  | 6 | 42 | 46 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 4.1 | 4. 0 | . 98 | 2 | 4 | 17 | 48 | 29 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 4.9 | 4.0 | . 81 | 8 | 13 | 10 | 44 | 25 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 5.1 | 4.1 | . 81 | 3 | 28 | 22 | 33 | 14 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 5.5 | 3.5 | . 64 | 9 | 20 | 28 | 38 | 5 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 5.9 | 4. 2 | . 72 | 21 | 39 | 20 | 16 | 4 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 6.0 | 4.4 | . 74 | 22 | 47 | 13 | 8 | 10 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 6.5 | 4. 0 | . 61 | 44 | 32 |  | 24 |  |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 5.8 | 4.0 | . 69 | 54 | 38 |  | 8 |  |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 5. 6 | 3.4 | . 61 | 40 | 40 |  | 20 |  |
| \$4,000 and over-.......... | 7.8 | 4.9 | . 63 | 60 | 20 | 10 |  | 10 |
| Family type I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250-----------.-- | 3.0 | 2. 0 | . 67 |  |  |  |  | 100 |
|  | 3. 0 | ${ }_{2.3}^{2.1}$ | . 70 |  |  | ${ }^{*}{ }^{*} 6$ | 32 | 68 64 |
|  | 3.0 3.4 | 2.4 | . 70 |  | (*) 5 | 6 | 42 | 47 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 4.0 | 2.4 | . 60 | 8 | 4 | 28 | 50 | 10 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 4.9 | 2.4 | . 49 | 14 | 26 | 2 | 39 | 19 |
| \$1,500-\$2,249 $\ldots . . . . . . . . . . .$. | 4.5 | 2.2 | . 48 | 9 | 16 | 27 | 36 | 12 |
| \$2,250 and over.------.-. | 5.8 | 2.6 | . 44 | 65 | 21 |  | 14 |  |
| Family type II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250. | 2.3 | 3.0 | 1. 30 |  |  |  |  | 100 |
|  | 2.7 | 3.0 | 1. 10 |  |  | 8 | 16 | 76 |
| \$500-\$749 --..-.-. | 3.2 | 3.2 | 1.00 |  |  |  | 38 | 62 |
| \$750-\$999 | 3.3 | 3.2 | . 97 |  |  | 1 | 53 | 46 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 3.8 | 3.2 | . 83 |  | 16 | 4 | 44 | 36 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 $\ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 4.3 | 3.4 | . 79 |  | 9 |  | 73 | 18 |
| \$1,500-\$2,249 | 5.4 | 3.1 | . 57 | 25 | 50 | 8 | 17 |  |
| \$2,250 and over-.-.------ | 5.2 | 3.5 | . 67 | 15 | 54 | ---------- | 15 | 16 |
| Family type III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250. | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | $\left.{ }^{( } \dagger\right)$ |  |  |  |  | ( $\dagger$ ) |
| \$250-\$499. | 2.9 | 4.1 | 1.41 |  |  |  | 15 | 85 |
| \$500-\$749 | 2.9 | 4.0 | 1.37 |  |  |  | 56 | 44 |
| \$750-\$999 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 1. 06 |  |  |  | 57 | 43 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 4. 2 | 4.0 | . 96 |  | 4 | 13 | 42 | 41 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 ...........--- | 6.2 | 4.4 | . 71 |  | 40 | 60 |  |  |
| \$1,500-\$2,249 --...-------- | 6.1 | $\stackrel{4.1}{ }$ | $\dot{(i)}^{67}$ |  |  |  | 36 | --- |
| \$2,250 and over.....-----. | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ ) |  |  |  |

[^47]Table 3.-Living quarters occupied: Average number of rooms in living quarters, average number of persons per room, and percentage of families having living quarters with certain modern facilities, by family type, occupation, and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
ATLANTA, GA.: NEGRO FAMHLIES

| Family type and income class <br> (1) | Average number of rooms inliving quarters | Average number of persons in living quarters ${ }^{1}$ <br> (3) | Average number of persons per room ${ }^{1}$ <br> (4) | Percentage of families having living quarters with specified combinations of modern facilities |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Running hot and cold water, inside flush toilet, and electric lights |  |  | Running cold water only, inside flush toilet, and electric lights | Other combinations of facilities <br> (9) |
|  |  |  |  | Gas or electricity as kitchen fuel |  | No kitchen gas or electricity and no furnace <br> (7) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Central furnace <br> (5) | No central furnace <br> (6) |  |  |  |
| Family type IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under $\$ 250$ | 3.0 | 3.6 | 1. 20 |  |  |  |  | 100 |
| \$250-\$499 | 3.1 | 3.5 | 1.13 |  |  | 2 | 8 | 90 |
| \$500-\$749 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 1.00 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 41 | 56 |
| \$750-\$999 | 4.0 | 3.6 | . 89 |  | 16 | 11 | 39 | 34 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 4.5 | 4.0 | . 88 |  | 2 | 24 | 48 | 26 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 5.0 | 3.9 | . 77 | 8 | 10 | 10 | 42 | 30 |
| \$1,500-\$2,249 | 5.9 | 3.9 | . 67 | 12 | 37 | 18 | 25 | 8 |
| \$2,250 and over | 6.0 | 3.8 | . 62 | 33 | 49 | 8 | 10 |  |
| Family type V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under $\$ 250$ | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ | (1) |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499. | 3.1 | 5.4 | 1.75 |  |  |  | 19 | 81 |
| \$500-\$749. | 3.4 | 5.6 | 1. 66 |  |  | 1 | 18 | 81 |
| \$750-\$999. | 3.3 | 5.4 | 1. 63 |  |  |  | 39 | 61 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 3.7 | 5.7 | 1. 52 |  |  |  | 29 | 71 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 4.6 | 5.0 | 1. 10 |  |  | 20 | 40 | 40 |
| \$1,500-\$2,249 | 5.8 | 5.4 | . 94 |  | 15 | 44 | 35 | 6 |
| \$2,250 and over-.-.-.-...- | 8.0 | 5.6 | . 70 | 63 | 8 |  | 12 | 17 |
| Family type VI |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250..-...---...--- | $\left.{ }^{( }\right)^{\text {a }}$ | (f) | $\left.{ }^{( }\right)^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499---------------- | 2.8 | 5.3 | 1. 90 | ------ |  |  | 20 | 80 |
| \$500-\$749 | 3.2 | 5.3 | 1. 65 |  | 1 | 12 | 14 | 73 |
| \$750-\$999 --------------- | 3.8 | 5.4 | 1. 40 |  |  | 2 | 32 | 66 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 4.3 | 5. 1 | 1.19 |  | 8 | 8 | 58 | 26 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 5.0 | 5.3 | 1.06 | 9 |  | 18 | 55 | (t) 18 |
| \$1,500-\$2,249...---------- | ( $\dagger$ ) | ${ }^{(\dagger)}$ | $\stackrel{\dagger}{+}^{+}$ |  |  |  |  | ( $\dagger$ ) |
| \$2,250 and over--.-.-....- | 6.0 | 5.3 | .88 | - | 100 | --.------- |  |  |
| Family type VII |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under $\$ 250$ | ( ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ ) | (t) ${ }_{7}$ | ${ }^{( }+{ }_{5}$ |  |  |  |  | ( $\dagger$ ) 100 |
| \$250-\$499 | 3. 0 | 7.5 | 2. 50 |  |  |  |  | 100 |
| \$500-\$749 | 4. 4 | 7.6 | 1.73 |  |  |  | 46 | 54 |
| \$750-\$999 | 4. 2 | 7.0 | 1.67 |  |  | 4 | 42 | 54 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 3. 8 | 7.3 | 1.92 |  |  |  | 74 | 26 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 4.8 | 7.7 | 1.60 |  |  | 12 | 59 | 29 |
| \$1,500-\$2,249 ......-.-.... | 5.8 | 7.3 | 1.25 |  | 33 | 33 | 17 | 17 |
| \$2,250 and over........... | 7.1 | 7.2 | 1.02 | 25 | 25 | 38 | 12 | -......-- |

1 See explanation of tables for definition of this item.
tAverages and percentages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

Table 3.-Living quarters occupied: Average number of rooms in living quarters, average number of persons per room, and percentage of families having living quarters with certain modern facilities, by family type, occupation, and income, in 1 year, 1935-86-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
athanta, GA.: NEGHO FAMILIES

| Occupational group and income class <br> (1) | Average number of rooms in living quarters | Average number of persons in living quarters ${ }^{1}$ | Average number of persons per | Percentage of families having living quarters with specified combinations of modern facilities |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Running hot and cold water, inside flush toilet, and electric lights |  |  | Running cold water only, inside flush toilet, and electric lights <br> (8) | Other combinations of facilities <br> (9) |
|  |  |  |  | Gas or electricity as kitchen fuel |  | Nokitchen gas or electricity and no furnace <br> (7) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Central furnace <br> (5) | No central furnace <br> (6) |  |  |  |
| Wage earner. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250_ | 3.1 | 3.1 | 0.99 |  |  |  | 9 | 91 |
| \$250-\$499. | 2.9 | 3.3 | 1.13 |  |  | 1 | 20 | 79 |
| \$500-\$749 | 3.2 | 3.6 | 1.13 |  |  | 3 | 34 | 63 |
| \$750-\$999 | 3.6 | 3.7 | 1.04 |  | 6 | 5 | 41 | 48 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 4.0 | 4.1 | 1.01 | 3 | 1 | 18 | 47 | 31 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 4.7 | 4.0 | . 85 | 7 | 12 | 8 | 46 | 27 |
| Clerical |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 | 2.4 | 3.0 | 1.25 |  |  | 20 |  | 80 |
| \$500-\$749 | 3.3 | 3.2 | . 98 |  | 7 | 4 | 25 | 64 |
| \$750-\$999 | 4.4 | 3.5 | . 79 |  |  | 9 | 55 | 36 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 4.6 | 4.0 | . 86 |  | 22 | 4 | 70 | 4 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499.-.-.........- | 5.2 | 3.7 | . 70 |  | 8 | 33 | 50 | 9 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 .-...-........ | 5.5 | 3.4 | . 62 | 10 | 32 | 12 | 34 | 12 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 ................. | 5.8 | 4.0 | . 69 | 9 | 9 | 55 | 27 |  |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 5.7 | 4.7 | . 82 |  | 36 | 39 | 25 |  |
| \$2,250 and over---------- | 5.8 | 4.3 | . 75 | 37 | 30 | 15 | 9 | 9 |
| Independent business and professional |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499-.-------------- | 3.2 | 2.9 | . 90 |  |  | 5 | 28 | 67 |
| \$500-\$749-.-. ------------- | 3.4 | 3.3 | . 98 |  |  | 6 | 44 | 50 |
| \$750-\$999 | 4.0 | 3.8 | . 95 |  | 6 | 10 | 50 | 34 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 ............. | 4.4 | 3.6 | . 83 |  | 22 | 16 | 39 | 23 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 5.3 | 3.6 | . 68 | 15 | 23 | 15 | 8 | 39 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 4.4 | 4.3 | . 99 |  | 25 | 45 | 10 | 20 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 4. 9 | 3.0 | . 60 | 18 | 14 | 14 | 54 |  |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 5.2 | 3.4 | . 65 | 20 | 60 |  |  | 20 |
| \$2,250 and over . .-........ | 9.7 | 3.9 | . 54 | 42 | 33 |  | 17 | 8 |
| Salaried business and professional |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499-..............-- | 3.1 | 2.9 | . 92 |  |  | 12 | 50 | 38 |
| \$500-\$749------------------ | 3.5 | 3.6 | 1.02 | 7 | 7 | 13 | 13 | 60 |
| \$750-\$999 -....-.-.-......-- | 4.7 | 2.9 | . 62 |  | 25 | 6 | 50 | 19 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249.............- | 4. 6 | 3.2 | . 69 |  | 9 | 18 | 55 | 18 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499...-.-.-------- | 6.0 | 3.8 | . 63 | 14 | 22 |  | 43 | 21 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 5.4 | 4. 6 | . 86 |  | 27 | 9 | 55 | 9 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 5.9 | 3.7 | . 62 |  | 40 | 15 | 30 | 15 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 6.4 | 3. 9 | . 60 | 56 | 33 |  | 11 |  |
| \$2,250 and over.-.------- | 6.4 | 4.2 | . 66 | 40 | 48 |  | 12 |  |

1 See explanation of tables for definition of this item.

Table 3.-Living quarters occupied: Average number of rooms in living quarlers, average number of persons per room, and percentage of families having living quarters with certain modern facilities, by family type, occupation, and income, in 1 year, 1935-86-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
OMAHA, NEBR.-COUNCIL BLUFFS, IOWA: WHITE FAMILIES

| Family type and income class | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { A verage } \\ \text { number } \\ \text { ofrooms } \\ \text { in } \\ \text { living } \\ \text { quar- } \\ \text { ters } \end{array}$ | A verage number sons in living ters ${ }^{1}$ | A verage number of perroom 1 | Percentage of families having living quarters with specified combinations of modern facilities |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Rumning hot and cold water, inside flush toilet, and electrie lights |  |  | Running cold water only, inside flush toilet, and electric lights <br> (8) | Other combinations of facilities |
|  |  |  |  | Gas or electricity as kitchen fuel |  | $\|$No kitch- <br> en gas or <br> electricity <br> and no <br> furnace <br> $(7)$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Central furnace <br> (5) | No central furnace (6) |  |  |  |
| All families |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 4.3 | 3.1 | 0.71 | 34 | 5 |  | 38 | 23 |
| \$750-\$999 | 4.1 | 3.1 | . 76 | 65 | 9 | 2 | 13 | 11 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 4.8 | 3.4 | 71 | 60 | 3 |  | 23 | 14 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 5.0 | 3.4 | . 68 | 78 | 4 |  | 12 | 6 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 5.1 5.1 | 3.3 3.4 3 | . 65 | 82 95 | 2 | 2 | 8 | ${ }_{5}^{6}$ |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 5. 4 | 3.5 | 65 | 95 |  |  | 5 |  |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 5.4 | 3.5 | . 65 | 98 |  |  |  | 2 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 5.8 | 3.6 | . 63 | 96 | 2 |  | 2 |  |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 6.2 | 3.8 | . 61 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$ $\$ 4,000-\$ 4,999$ | ${ }_{6.6}^{6.5}$ | 3.6 4.0 | . 60 | 98 | 4 |  |  | 2 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 7.0 | 4.4 | . 62 | 97 | 3 |  |  |  |
| \$7,500 and over- | 8.6 | 3.7 | . 43 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| Family type I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 4.0 | 2.3 | . 58 | 50 |  |  | 25 | 25 |
| \$750-\$999-- | 3.4 | 2.1 | . 63 | 87 | 5 |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1,000-\$ 1,249 \\ & \$ 1,250-\$ 1,499 \end{aligned}$ | 4.4 4.7 | 2.2 2.1 | . 50 | 78 89 | 3 |  | 13 5 | 9 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749- | 4.9 | 2.1 | . 44 | 80 |  | 6 | 7 | 7 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 4.7 | 2.1 | . 45 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 4.8 | 2.1 | . 43 | 92 |  |  | 8 |  |
| \$2,250-\$2,499. | 4.8 | 2.3 | . 48 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 6.1 | 2.1 | .35 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 6.2 | 2.1 | . 33 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,099 | 6.7 | 2.5 | 37 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | (t) | (f) | (t) | ( $\dagger$ |  |  |  |  |
| \$7,500 and over-.........- <br> Family types II and III |  | ( ${ }^{\text {) }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 3.9 | 3.7 | . 95 | 14 | 14 |  | 58 | 14 |
| \$750-\$999. | 4.3 | 3.5 | . 81 | 51 | 11 | 5 | 16 | 17 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 4.7 <br> 4.9 | 3.6 3.6 3 | . 76 | $\stackrel{57}{83}$ | 7 |  | 24 11 | 12 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 5.0 | 3.5 | . 71 | 77 | 2 |  | 13 | 8 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 5.2 5.5 5 | 3.7 3.8 3 | . 71 | 97 94 |  |  |  | 3 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \$ 2,000-\$ 2,249 \\ & \$ 2,250-\$ 2,499 \end{aligned}$ | 5.5 5.2 | 3.8 <br> 3.5 | . 68 | 94 100 |  |  | 6 |  |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 5.6 | 3.9 | . 68 | 95 | 1 |  | 4 |  |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 5.7 | 4.2 | . 73 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$3,500-\$3,999- | 6.4 | 4.0 | . 63 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 6.5 | 4.2 | . 65 | 92 | 10 |  |  | 8 |
| \$7,500 and over........... | 7.9 | 3.8 | . 48 | 100 | 10 |  |  |  |
| Family types IV and V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 5.7 | 3.7 | . 65 | 33 |  |  | 33 | 34 |
| \$750-\$999. | 4.9 | 4.0 | . 82 | 58 | 9 |  | 33 |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 5.4 | 4.6 | . 83 | 43 |  |  | 34 | 16 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 5.7 | 4.4 | $\stackrel{.76}{ }$ | 91 | 4 |  | 4 | 16 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 5.5 | 4.4 | . 80 | 89 |  |  |  |  |
| \$2,000-\$2,249- | 5.6 | 4.3 | . 77 | 97 |  |  | 3 |  |
|  | 5.9 6.2 | 4.4 4.4 | .74 | ${ }_{94}^{95}$ | 3 |  | 3 | 5 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499. | 6.8 | 4.4 | . 65 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 6.7 | 4.4 | . 68 | 90 | 10 |  |  |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 6.7 | 4.8 | . 72 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 7.6 | 5.1 | .67 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$7,500 and ove | 8.6 | 3.6 | 42 | 100 |  |  |  |  |

[^48]Table 3.-Living quarters occupied: Average number of rooms in living quarters, average number of persons per room, and percentage of families having living quarters with certain modern facilities, by family type, occupation, and income, in 1 year, 1995-36-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
OMARA, NEBR.-COUNCIL BLUFFS, IOWA: WHITE FAMILIES

| Occupational group and income class <br> (1) | Average number of rooms in living quarters | Average number of persons in living quarters 1 | Average number of persons per room ${ }^{1}$ | Percentage of families having living quarters with specified combinations of modern facilities |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Running hot and cold water, inside flush toilet, and electric lights |  |  | Running cold water only, inside flush toilet, and electric lights <br> (8) | Other combinations of facilities <br> (9) |
|  |  |  |  | Gas or electricity as kitchen fuel |  | Nokitchen gas or electricity and no furnace <br> (7) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Central furnace <br> (5) | No central furnace <br> (6) |  |  |  |
| Wage earner |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749. | 4.3 | 3.1 | 0.71 | 34 | 5 |  | 38 | 23 |
| \$750-\$999 | 4.0 | 3.1 | . 77 | 64 | 9 | 3 | 13 | 11 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 4.7 | 3.3 | . 70 | 52 | 5 |  | 30 | 13 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 5.1 | 3.4 | . 66 | 84 |  |  | 9 | 7 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 5.0 | 3. 4 | . 69 | 73 | 2 | 4 | 14 | 7 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 4.9 | 3.4 | . 69 | 89 |  |  |  | 11 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 5.2 | 3. 5 | . 67 | 97 |  |  | 3 |  |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 5.4 | 3.6 | . 67 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$2,500-\$2,999. | 5.9 | 3.8 | . 65 | 90 | 5 |  | 5 |  |
| Clerical |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$999 | 4.0 | 3.0 | . 75 | 70 | 7 |  | 14 | 9 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 4.8 | 3. 5 | . 73 | 76 |  |  | 9 | 15 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 4. 7 | 3.4 | . 72 | 72 | 9 |  | 14 | 5 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 5.3 | 3.2 | . 61 | 90 | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 5.1 | 3.4 | . 67 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 5.3 | 3.5 | . 66 | 94 |  |  |  | 6 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499. | 5.4 | 3. 6 | . 66 | 97 |  |  |  | 3 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 6.1 | 3. 6 | . 59 | 97 |  |  | 3 |  |
| Independent business and professional |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 5. 6 | 3.6 | . 64 | 68 | 9 |  | 20 | 3 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 5. 5 | 3. 1 | . 56 | 87 |  |  | 5 | 8 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 5.4 | 3. 2 | . 60 | 92 |  |  |  | 8 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 5. 2 | 3. 1 | . 60 | 96 |  |  | 4 |  |
| \$2,250-\$2,499. | 5. 2 | 3. 6 | . 69 | 94 |  |  |  | 6 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 5.9 | 3.8 | . 64 | 97 | 3 |  |  |  |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 6.3 | 3. 6 | . 57 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$3,500-\$3,999. | 6.9 | 3.6 | . 52 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999. | 6.9 | 3.9 | . 57 | 94 |  |  |  | 6 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499. | 7.4 | 5. 2 | . 70 | 91 | 9 |  |  |  |
| \$7,500 and over --.-.-..-- | 9.3 | 3.5 | . 38 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| Salaried business |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 4. 3 | 2. 9 | . 67 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 5.3 | 3.5 | . 66 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 5.2 | 3.2 | . 62 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 5.6 | 3.6 | . 64 | 87 |  |  | 13 | -------- |
| \$2,250-\$2,499. | 5.3 | 3.2 | . 60 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 5.2 | 3.5 | . 67 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 6.2 | 3.8 | . 62 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 6. 4 | 3.5 | . 65 | 92 | 8 |  |  |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 6.4 | 3.9 | . 61 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 .-.-.------- | 6.8 | 3.8 | . 56 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$7,500 and over .-......... | 8.1 | 3.8 | . 47 | 100 | ---.----... |  |  |  |
| Salaried professional |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499...-....... | 4. 0 | 2.6 | . 65 | 86 |  |  | 14 |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 5. 1 | 3.3 | . 65 | 92 |  |  | 8 |  |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 5. 3 | 3. 5 | . 66 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 5.6 | 3. 3 | . 59 | 95 |  |  | 5 | -------- |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 6. 1 | 3.3 | . 54 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$2,500-\$2,999..---......... | 5. 5 | 3.5 | . 64 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 5.8 | 3.8 | . 65 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$3,500-\$3,999------------- | 6.0 | 3.7 | . 62 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999. | 6.8 | 4. 6 | . 67 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 6.5 | 5.2 | . 80 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$7,500 and over . .-. --..-- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ----.-- |

${ }^{1}$ See explanation of tables for definition of this item.

Table 3.-LIving quarters occupled: Average number of rooms in living quarters, average number of persons per room, and percentage of families having living quarters with certain modern facilities, by family type, occupation, and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
DENVER, COLO.: WHITE FAMILIES

| Family type and income class <br> (1) | Average number of rooms in living quarters | A verage number of persons in living quarters ${ }^{1}$ | A verage number of persons per room ${ }^{1}$ | Percentage of families having living quarters with specified combinations of modern facilities |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Running hot and cold water, inside flush toilet, and electric lights |  |  | Running cold water only, inside flush toilet. and electric lights | Other-combinations of facilities <br> (9) |
|  |  |  |  | Gas or electricity as kitchen fuel |  | No kitchen gas or electricity and no furnace <br> (7) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Central furnace <br> (5) | No central furnace <br> (6) |  |  |  |
| All families |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749.................... | 4.1 | 2.9 | 0.71 | 57 | 13 | 5 | 5 | 20 |
| \$750-\$999. | 4.0 | 3.1 | . 78 | 53 | 25 | 4 | 5 | 13 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 4.4 | 3.2 | . 74 | 56 | 24 | 1 | 9 | 10 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 4.5 | 3.2 | . 71 | 69 | 15 | 1 | 7 | 8 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 4.6 | 3.3 | . 71 | 72 | 19 | 2 | 4 | 3 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 4.8 | 3, 3 | . 69 | 87 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 5.2 | 3.4 | . 64 | 92 | 5 |  | 2 | 1 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499. | 5.2 | 3.4 | . 66 | 93 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999. | 5.3 | 3.5 | . 66 | 95 | 4 |  | 1 |  |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 5.3 | 3.6 | . 67 | 95 | 5 |  |  |  |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 6.1 | 3.6 | . 59 | 98 | 2 |  |  |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 6.2 | 3.7 | . 60 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 7.0 | 3.7 | . 53 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$7,500 and over-.-------- | 8.0 | 4.1 | . 52 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| Family type I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749----------------- | 3.9 | 2.1 | . 54 | 57 | 29 |  |  | 14 |
| \$750-\$999 | 3.4 | 2.1 | . 61 | 91 | 9 |  |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 3.9 | 2.0 | . 51 | 67 | 21 |  | 4 | 8 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 3.7 | 2. 1 | . 56 | 74 | 12 |  | 7 | 7 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 4.1 | 2.2 | . 53 | 79 | 13 |  | 7 | 1 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 4.2 | 2.2 | . 52 | 89 | 8 |  |  | 3 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 4.8 | 2. 0 | . 42 | 95 | 5 |  |  |  |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 4.5 | 2.1 | . 47 | 89 | 4 | 4 | 3 | ------ |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 $\ldots$............ | 4.8 | 1. 9 | . 40 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 ------------ | 5.3 | 2. 2 | . 42 | 83 | 17 |  |  |  |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 5.5 | 2.4 | . 44 | 93 | 7 |  |  |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 5.8 | 2. 2 | . 38 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$5,000-\$7,499. | 5.8 | 2. 2 | . 38 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$7,500 and over.---.-...- | 7.8 | 2.7 | . 35 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| Family types II and III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 3.9 | 3.5 | . 90 | 49 |  | 13 | 13 | 25 |
| \$750-\$999 | 4.2 | 3. 6 | . 86 | 24 | 45 | 9 |  | 22 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 4.2 | 3. 5 | . 83 | 44 | 24 | ---------- | 17 | 15 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 4.6 | 3.7 | . 79 | 65 | 18 |  | 5 | 12 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 4.7 | 3. 6 | . 76 | 76 | 19 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 4.9 | 3. 6 | . 72 | 87 | 11 | --------- | ----.------ | 2 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 5.0 | 3. 7 | . 74 | 91 | 7 |  | ---------- | 2 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 5.2 | 3.6 | . 79 | 98 | 2 | --------- |  |  |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 5.3 | 3.7 | . 70 | 98 | 2 | -------- |  |  |
| \$3,000-\$3,499. | 5.0 | 3.9 | . 77 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 5.9 | 4.0 | . 68 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,998. | 6. 0 | 3.9 | . 65 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 7.1 | 3. 7 | . 52 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$7,500 and over-.------- | 6.5 | 4.0 | . 61 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| Family types IV and V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749. | 4.8 | 3.5 | . 73 | 75 |  |  |  | 25 |
| \$750-\$999..................- | 4.7 | 4.0 | . 86 | 46 | 14 |  | 23 | 17 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249....--.-....- | 5.3 | 4.7 | . 89 | 58 | 26 | 3 | 5 | 8 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 5.3 | 3.9 | . 74 | 68 | 14 | $\frac{4}{5}$ | 10 | 4 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 5.2 | 4.3 | . 82 | 60 | 25 | 5 | 4 | 6 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 5.4 | 4.5 | . 83 | 83 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 6 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 5.9 | 4.2 | . 72 | 90 | 3 |  | 7 |  |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 ............. | 5.7 | 4.4 | . 78 | 91 | 5 |  |  | 4 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999.............. | 5. 6 | 4.5 | . 80 | 89 | 9 |  | 2 |  |
| \$3,000-\$3,499.............. | 5. 6 | 4.3 | . 77 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$3,500-\$3,999-...-....-. -- | 6.6 | 4.1 | . 62 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999. | 6.5 | 4.6 | . 70 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 .............. | 7.4 | 4.4 | . 59 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$7,500 and over ........... | 8.7 | 4.8 | . 56 | 100 | - | -- | ---------- | - |

[^49]Table 3.-Living quarters occupied: Average number of rooms in living quarters, average rumber of persons per room, and percentage of families having living quarters with certain modern facilities, by family type, occupation, and income, in 1 year, 1935-98-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
DENVER, COLO.: WHITE FAMILIES

| Occupational group and income class <br> (1) | Average number of rooms in living quarters | Average number of persons in living quarters 1 | Average number of persons per room ${ }^{1}$ | Percentage of families having living quarters with specified combinations of modern facilities |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Running hot and cold water, inside flush toilet, and electric lights |  |  | Running cold water only, inside flush toilet, and electric lights | Other combinations of facilities <br> (9) |
|  |  |  |  | Gas or electricity as kitchen fuel |  | Nokitchen gas or electricity and no furnace <br> (7) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Central furnace <br> (5) | No central furnace <br> (6) |  |  |  |
| Wage earner |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749. | 4.1 | 2.9 | 0.71 | 57 | 13 | 5 | 5 | 20 |
| \$750-\$999 | 4.1 | 3. 2 | . 78 | 49 | 25 | 4 | 6 | 16 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 4.4 | 3. 2 | . 73 | 53 | 22 |  | 12 | 13 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 4.6 | 3. 2 | . 71 | 58 | 22 | 2 | 9 | 9 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 4.4 | 3.3 | . 76 | 61 | 27 | 2 | 7 | 3 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 4. 6 | 3.3 | . 72 | 84 | 11 | -....-.-. | 3 | 2 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 5. 5 | 3. 4 | . 61 | 90 | 5 | ---.------ | 5 |  |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 5. 2 | 3.7 | . 71 | 85 | 8 | --------- | 4 | 3 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999...-..........- | 5.1 | 3.8 | . 74 | 86 | 10 |  | 4 |  |
| Clerical |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$999..-..........-...- | 3.8 | 2.9 | . 77 | 67 | 25 | 3 | 5 |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249..............- | 4.3 | 3. 2 | . 75 | 66 | 26 | 3 |  | 5 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499.............. | 4.5 | 3. 2 | . 71 | 86 | 7 |  | 2 | 5 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 4.8 | 3.2 | . 68 | 76 | 17 | 4 | 3 |  |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 5. 0 | 3.4 | . 68 | 90 | 6 | 2 |  | 2 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 4.9 | 3. 2 | . 66 | 96 | 2 |  | 2 |  |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 5. 0 | 3. 2 | . 65 | 90 | 4 | 4 |  | 2 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999.----------- | 5.1 | 3.6 | . 70 | 95 | 5 |  |  |  |
| Independent business and professional |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 .............- | 4. 2 | 3.2 | . 76 | 62 | 10 |  | 14 | 14 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 5.2 4.8 | 3.6 3.1 | . 68 | 87 80 | 11 |  | 3 | 7 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 5. 8 | 3.8 | . 65 | 91 | 6 |  |  | 3 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 5.5 | 3. 5 | . 64 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 5. 5 | 3.1 | . 57 | 98 | 2 |  |  |  |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 5. 4 | 3.6 | . 66 | 90 | 4 |  |  |  |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 6.8 | 3.9 | . 57 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 6.4 | 3.8 | . 60 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 6.8 | 3. 6 | . 53 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$7,500 and over .-......... | 7.4 | 4.3 | . 58 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| Salaried business |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 5.9 | 3.2 | . 65 | 94 |  |  |  | 6 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 4.8 | 3.0 | . 63 | 77 | 23 |  |  |  |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 4.8 | 3.3 | . 69 | 83 | 9 |  | 3 | 5 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 5.0 | 3.3 | . 65 | 93 | 7 |  |  |  |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 4.8 | 3.3 | . 69 | 96 | 4 |  |  |  |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 5. 4 | 3.4 | . 63 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 5. 0 | 3.4 | . 68 | 90 | 10 |  |  |  |
| \$3,500-\$3,999. | 5. 4 | 3.4 | . 63 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999. | 6.0 | 3.6 | . 61 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 6.6 | 3.6 | . 55 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$7,500 and over....-...... | 9.4 | 3.6 | . 39 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| Salaried professional |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 4. 2 | 2.9 | . 68 | 84 | 10 |  |  | 6 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 4. 2 | 2.7 | . 63 | 84 | 7 |  |  | 9 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 4.6 | 3.1 | . 66 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 4. 9 | 3. 4 | . 69 | 89 | 11 |  |  |  |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 5. 6 | 3. 3 | . 58 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$2,500-\$2,999. | 5.6 | 3.3 | . 58 | 98 | 2 | --... |  |  |
| \$3,000-\$3,499. | 5.6 | 3.7 | . 67 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$3,500-\$3,999. | 5.9 | 3.5 | . 59 | 87 | 13 |  |  |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999. | 6. 2 | 3.6 | . 58 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$5,000-\$7,499. | 8.4 | 4.0 | . 48 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$7,500 and over -.......... | 7.3 | 4.4 | . 60 | 100 |  |  |  |  |

1 See explanation of tables for definition of this item.

Table 3.-Living quarters occupied: Average number of rooms in living quarters, average number of persons per room, and percentage of families having living quarters with certain modern facilities, by family type, occupation, and income, in 1 year, 1935- 96 -Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
PORTLAND, OREG.: WHITE FAMILIES

| Family type and income class <br> (1) | A veragenumberofromsinlivingquar-ters | A verage of persons in living quarters ${ }^{1}$ | A verage number of perroom 1 | Percentage of families having living quarters with specified combinations of modern facilities |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Running hot and cold water, inside flush toilet, and electric lights |  |  | Running cold water only, inside flush toilet, and electric lights <br> (8) | Other combinations of facilities |
|  |  |  |  | Gas or electricity as kitchen fuel |  | No kitch en gas or electricity and no furnace <br> (7) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Central furnace <br> (5) | No central furnace <br> (6) |  |  |  |
| All families |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 4.6 | 2.9 | 0.63 | 39 | 24 | 28 |  |  |
| \$750-\$999 | 4.4 | 3.1 | . 69 | 37 | 24 | 20 | 4 | 15 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 4.6 | 3.1 | . 67 | 58 | 12 | 16 | 4 | 10 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 4.9 | 3.2 | . 65 | 71 | 10 | 7 | 1 | 11 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 5.0 | 3.3 | . 66 | ${ }^{73}$ | 7 | 10 |  | 10 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999- | 5.2 | 3.2 | . 61 | 86 87 | 6 5 | 2 |  | 5 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499. | 5.4 | 3.4 | .63 | 95 | 1 | 2 |  | ${ }_{2}^{6}$ |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 5.7 | 3.6 | . 63 | 93 | 2 | 2 |  |  |
| \$3,000- $\$ 3,499$ | 6.1 | 3.4 | . 56 | 97 |  |  |  | 3 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 6.2 | 3.5 | . 56 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999- | 6. 6 | 3.8 | . 58 | 97 96 | 1 |  |  | 2 |
| \$7,500 and over--.-.-.-.---- | 8.1 | 4.3 | . 52 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| Family type I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749.....---.......-- | 4.6 | 2.2 | . 48 | 40 | 40 | 20 |  |  |
| \$750-\$999 | 4.3 | 2.1 | . 51 | 42 | 24 | 21 | 5 | 8 |
| \$1,000- \$1,249 | 4.1 | ${ }_{2}^{2.1}$ | . 51 | ${ }_{91}^{62}$ | 12 | 12 | 7 | 7 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 4.3 <br> 4.5 | 2.0 2.2 | . 46 | 75 | 2 | 15 |  | ${ }_{8}^{6}$ |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 5.0 | 2.1 | 42 | 88 | 7 | 1 | 3 | 1 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 4.7 | 2.1 | . 44 | 94 | 5 |  |  |  |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 4.6 | 2.0 | . 44 | ${ }_{96}^{99}$ |  |  |  |  |
| \$3,000-\$3,499. | 5.5 | 2.4 | . 43 | 100 |  |  |  | 4 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 5.1 | 2.1 | 42 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 5.5 | 2.3 | . 42 | 93 |  |  |  | 7 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 5.6 | 2.3 | . 41 | 92 | 8 |  |  |  |
| \$7,500 and over .-.......-- | 6.0 | 2.3 | . 38 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| Family types II and III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749. | 4.1 | 3.6 | . 88 | 24 | 12 | 52 |  | 12 |
| \$750-\$999.. | 4.2 | 3.6 <br> 3.6 | . 85 | 29 60 | 23 20 | 11 | 6 | 9 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 4.8 | 3.6 | . 75 | 59 | 13 | 13 |  | 15 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749.............. | 4.8 | 3.6 | . 75 | 75 | 14 | 5 |  |  |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 5.1 | 3.6 | . 71 | 92 |  | 2 |  | 4 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 5.5 | 3. 6 | . 66 | 90 | 3 | 1 |  |  |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 5.6 | 3.7 | . 66 | 93 | 4 | 2 |  |  |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 6.1 | 3.6 | . 59 | 98 |  |  |  | 2 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999. | 6.2 | 3.9 | . 62 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999. | 6.8 | 3.8 | . 55 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 8.0 | 4.2 | . 52 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$7,500 and over ......-.-. | 7.6 | 3.9 | . 51 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| Family types IV and V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-8749 | 5.1 | 3.6 | . 70 | 57 |  | 14 |  |  |
| \$750-\$999 | 5.2 | 4.2 | . 81 | 40 | 26 | 20 |  | 14 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 5.5 | 4.3 | . 79 | 47 | 2 | 29 | 4 | 18 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 ------......- | 5.7 | 4.4 | . 77 | 56 | 17 | 10 | 3 | 14 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 5.9 5.7 | 4.4 4.2 | . 74 | 68 79 | 7 <br> 8 | 8 | 1 | 17 8 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 6.0 | 4.4 | . 74 | 80 | 6 | 5 |  | 9 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 .............. | 5.9 | 4.4 | . 74 | 95 |  | 3 |  | 2 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 6.2 | 4. 3 | . 70 | 92 | 2 | 3 |  | 3 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 6.7 | 4.2 | . 62 | 94 |  |  |  |  |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 7.1 | 4. 4 | . 68 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$5,000-\$7,499------------------- | 6.5 | 4.5 | . 58 | ${ }_{96}^{98}$ | 4 |  |  |  |
| \$7,500 and over-----------1 | 9 | 5.0 | - $\quad .55$ | 100 | 4 |  |  |  |

1 See explanation of tables for definition of this item.

Table 3.-Living quarters occupled: Average number of rooms in living quarters, average number of persons per room, and percentage of families having living quarters with certain modern facilities, hy family type, occupation, and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
PORTLAND, OREG.: WHITE FAMILIES

| Occupational group and income class | Average number of rooms in living quarters | A verage number of persons in living quarters ${ }^{1}$ | Aver- age <br> num- <br> ber <br> of persons per room ${ }^{1}$ | Percentage of families having living quarters with specified combinations of modern facilities |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Running hot and cold water, inside flush toilet, and electric lights |  |  | Runaing cold water only, inside fiush toilet, and electric lights <br> (8) | Other combinations of facilities <br> (9) |
|  |  |  |  | Gas or electricity as kitchen fuel |  | Nokitchen gas or electricity and no furnace <br> (7) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Central furnace | No central furnace <br> (6) |  |  |  |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |  |  |  |  |
| Wage earner |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 4. 6 | 2.9 | 0.63 | 39 | 24 | 28 |  | 9 |
| \$750-\$999 | 4.5 | 3.1 | . 69 | 31 | 28 | 21 | 5 | 15 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 4.7 | 3.1 | . 66 | 53 | 11 | 19 | 6 | 11 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 4.7 | 3. 2 | . 69 | 67 | 8 | 9 | 2 | 14 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 5.1 | 3.4 | . 66 | 64 | 7 | 17 |  | 12 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 5.1 | 3.2 | . 63 | 85 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 5 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 5.3 | 3.4 | . 65 | 82 | 7 | 4 |  | 7 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 5.0 | 3.4 | . 68 | 93 | 3 | 4 |  |  |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 5.7 | 3.7 | . 65 | 96 |  |  |  | 4 |
| Clerical |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$999. | 4.3 | 3.0 | . 69 | 55 | 13 | 14 | 2 | 16 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 4.5 | 3.2 | . 70 | 68 | 15 | 9 |  | 8 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 5.1 | 3.1 | . 61 | 79 | 12 | 4 |  | 5 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 4.9 | 3.3 | . 68 | 83 | 6 | 4 |  | 7 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 5. 2 | 3.2 | . 62 | 87 | 10 | 1 |  | 2 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 5.3 | 3.2 | . 61 | 98 | 2 |  |  |  |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 5.3 | 3.3 | . 62 | 98 |  |  |  | 2 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 5.6 | 3.6 | . 64 | 96 | 2 | 2 |  |  |
| Independent business and professional |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 5. 4 | 3.2 | . 59 | 72 | 12 | 10 |  | 6 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749..---........ | 5.0 | 3. 2 | . 64 | 76 | 12 | 2 |  | 10 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 5.8 | 3.2 | . 55 | 87 | 3 | 3 |  | 7 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 5. 6 | 3.5 | . 62 | 83 | 4 | 1 |  | 12 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 5. 9 | 3.9 | . 67 | 94 |  | 3 |  | 3 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 6.0 | 3.6 | . 61 | 88 | 7 | 5 |  |  |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 6. 7 | 3.5 | . 52 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 6.0 | 3.4 | . 58 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 6. 6 | 4.0 | -60 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 ----...------ | 7.0 | 3.7 | . 53 | 91 | 9 |  |  |  |
| \$7,500 and over.-......--- | 8.1 | 4.2 | . 51 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| Salaried business |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 4.7 | 3.5 | . 74 | 84 | 6 |  |  | 10 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749....-.........- | 5.0 | 2.9 | . 59 | 85 | 3 | 6 |  | 6 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 5.0 | 3.0 | . 60 | 93 | 2 |  |  | 5 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249...-.-.-.-.-. | 5.4 | 3.2 | . 60 | 81 | 4 | 2 |  | 13 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 5.6 | 3.0 | . 54 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 5. 8 | 3.5 | . 60 | 87 | 2 | 2 |  | 9 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 $\ldots \ldots . . . . . . . .$. | 5.7 | 3.4 | . 60 | 94 |  |  |  | 6 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 6. 4 | 3. 6 | . 57 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999-.-....-. | 6. 1 | 3.7 | . 61 | 93 | 3 |  |  | 4 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 . . . . . . . . . . | 7.2 | 3.8 | . 52 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$7,500 and over-.-.-..--- | 8.3 | 4.3 | . 52 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| Salaried professional |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 5.0 | 3.0 | . 60 | 64 | 14 | 10 | 3 | 9 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 .............- | 4. 8 | 3.0 | . 63 | 86 | 11 |  |  | 3 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 5. 4 | 3.0 | . 56 | 92 | 5 |  | 3 |  |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 ............ | 5.8 | 3.4 | . 58 | 88 | 6 |  |  | 6 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 6. 0 | 3.4 | . 57 | 90 | 5 |  |  | 5 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 6.1 | 3. 5 | . 57 | 93 |  | 2 |  | 5 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 6.1 | 3. 3 | . 54 | 97 |  |  |  | 3 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 6. 4 | 3.4 | . 53 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 7.3 | 3. 6 | . 49 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 7.1 | 3.7 | . 52 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$7,500 and over ....--..-- | 8.8 | 5.3 | . 60 | 100 | --.---.----- |  |  |  |

I See explanation of tables for definition of this item.

Table 3.-Living quarters occupied: Average number of rooms in living quarters, average number of persons per room, and percentage of families having living quarters with certain modern facilities, by family type, occupation, and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
NEW ENGLAND, 2 MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES: WHITE FAMILIES

| Family type and income class <br> (1) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Average } \\ \text { number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { rooms } \\ \text { inliving } \\ \text { quar- } \\ \text { ters } \end{gathered}$ | A verage number of personsing quarters ${ }^{1}$ | A verage number of persons perroom 1 | Percentage of families having living quarters with specified combinations of modern facilities |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Running hot and cold water, inside flush toilet, and electric lights |  |  | Running cold water only, inside flush toilet, and electlights lights | Other combinations of facilities |
|  |  |  |  | Gas or electricity as kitchen fuel |  | No kitchen gas or electricity and no furnace <br> (7) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Central furnace <br> (5) | No central furnace <br> (6) |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { All families } \\ \$ 250-\$ 499 . . . . . . ~ \end{array}$ | 4.4 | 3.2 | 0.72 | 12 | 39 |  | 49 |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 4.4 | 2.9 | . 66 | 21 | 34 | 2 | 33 | 10 |
| \$750-\$999 | 4.6 | 3.2 | 69 | 27 | 30 | 4 | 33 | 6 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 5.0 | 3.3 | 66 | 30 | 38 |  | 24 | 5 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 5.0 | 3.5 | 69 | 54 | 21 | 2 | 19 | 4 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 5.4 | 3. 5 | . 64 | 59 | 15 |  | 23 | 3 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 5.6 | 3.5 | . 63 | 77 | 10 | 3 | 8 | 2 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 5.6 5.6 | 3.6 3.5 3.5 | . 65 | 77 61 | 9 12 |  | 10 20 | $\stackrel{4}{5}$ |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 6.0 | 3.5 | . 59 | 87 | 12 | 2 | 7 | 1 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 6.4 | 3.6 | 57 | 86 |  |  | 7 |  |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 6.3 | 3.9 | 62 | 80 | 12 | 8 |  |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999_..........- | 6.9 | 3.4 | 49 | 84 |  |  | 7 | 9 |
| $\$ 5,000$ and over-...----- | 7.6 | 3.6 | . 47 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499-- | 4.0 | 2.3 | . 58 | 25 | 25 |  | 50 |  |
| \$750-\$999- | 4.5 | 2.0 2.2 | . 48 | 38 | 23 | 8 | 16 31 | 10 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 4.6 | 2.2 | 48 | 35 | 41 |  | 24 |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 4.7 | 2.2 | 46 | 51 | 26 | 5 | 18 |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 5.2 | 2. 3 | 44 | 58 | 7 |  | 33 | 2 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 5.4 | 2.4 | 44 | 78 | 10 |  |  |  |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 5.0 | 2.3 | 46 | 76 | 17 |  | 7 | (*) |
| \$2, $\$ 2500-\$ 2,999$. | 6.7 5.2 | 2.2 2.0 | 39 38 | 74 100 | 13 |  |  |  |
| \$3,000-\$3,499. | 7.2 | 2.0 | 28 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | (t) | ( ${ }^{\text {( })}$ | ( ${ }^{\text {( })}$ | (b) |  |  |  |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 ...........-- | ${ }_{\text {( }}^{5}$ ) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | ( ${ }^{2.0}$ | (i) ${ }^{40}$ |  |  |  | 29 |  |
| $\$ 5,000$ and over Family types $I \bar{I}$ and $\bar{I} I I$ | ( $\dagger$ | ( ${ }^{(1)}$ | (t) |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499-- | $\stackrel{(t)}{4.3}$ | $\stackrel{(\ddagger)}{3.5}$ | $\stackrel{( }{+}{ }^{\text {¢ }} 81$ | 6 | 34 | 6 | 43 | 11 |
| \$750-\$999 | 4.6 | 3.6 | . 79 | 24 | 34 | 2 | 29 | 11 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 4.8 | 3.7 3 | . 78 | ${ }_{60}^{23}$ | 35 | 4 | 31 | 7 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 4.8 | 3.8 <br> 3.7 | . 78 | 60 60 | ${ }_{21}^{18}$ |  | 18 | $\stackrel{4}{6}$ |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 5.3 | 3.6 | . 68 | 82 | 6 | 5 | 7 | (*) |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 5.5 | 3.6 | 66 | 81 | 8 |  | 11 |  |
| \$2,250-\$2,499- | 5.4 | 3. 6 | ${ }_{60}^{65}$ | ${ }_{90}^{65}$ | ${ }^{7}$ |  | 22 | 6 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 6.3 5.9 | 3.8 3.8 3.8 | . 60 | 90 83 |  |  |  |  |
| \$3, $\mathbf{\$ 3 , 5 0 0 - \$ 3 , 9 3 9}$ | 5.9 5.5 | 3.8 3.5 3. | . 64 | ${ }_{75}^{83}$ | 25 |  | 8 | () |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 7.0 | 3.5 | . 49 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$5,000 and over. | 7.8 | 4.6 | . 58 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| Family types IV and V \$250-\$499 | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ |  |  |  |  | ( $\dagger$ ) |  |
| \$500-\$749.- | 5.1 | 3.8 | . 75 | 7 | 28 |  | 57 | 8 |
| \$750-\$999 | 5.0 | 4.0 | . 79 | 13 | 32 | 4 | 45 | ${ }^{5}$ |
| \$1,500-\$1,749- | 5.8 | 4.1 | . 71 | 59 | 14 |  | 27 |  |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 6. 1 | 4.3 | . 70 | 70 | 15 | 3 | 12 |  |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 6. 1 | 4.6 | . 75 | 72 | ${ }^{6}$ |  |  |  |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 5.8 6.4 | 4.4 4.4 | .76 .69 | 78 | 17 |  |  | ${ }_{3}^{8}$ |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 6.5 | 4.0 | 62 | 84 | 8 |  | 8 |  |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 6.7 | 4.2 | . 64 | 78 80 | 11 | 11 |  |  |
| \$ $\$ 5.0000-\$ 4,999$ | 7.9 8.5 | 4.1 3.5 | . 52 | 80 100 |  |  |  | 20 |

[^50]Table 3.-Living quarters occupied: Average number of rooms in living quarters, average number of persons per room, and percentage of families having living quarters with certain modern facilities, by family type, occupation, and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
NEW ENGLAND, 2 MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES: WHITE FAMILIES

| Occupational group and income class <br> (1) | Average number of rooms in living quarters | Average number of persons in living quarters ${ }^{1}$ | Aver- age number of persons per <br> room ${ }^{\text {1 }}$ | Percentage of families having living quarters with specified combinations of modern facilities |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Running hot and cold water, inside flush toilet, and electric lights |  |  | Running cold water only, in side flush toilet, and electric lights | Other combinations of facilities <br> (9) |
|  |  |  |  | Gas or electricity as kitchen fuel |  | Nokitchen gas or electricity and no furnace <br> (7) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Central furnace <br> (5) | No central furnace <br> (6) |  |  |  |
| Wage earner |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 | 4. 4 | 3.2 | 0.72 | 12 | 39 |  | 49 |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 4.3 | 2.9 | . 67 | 23 | 31 | 3 | 35 | 8 |
| \$750-\$999 | 4.6 | 3.2 | . 69 | 22 | 30 | 4 | 37 | 7 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 5.1 | 3.4 | . 68 | 24 | 42 | 2 | 26 | 6 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 5. 0 | 3.6 | . 71 | 49 | 20 | 2 | 24 | 5 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 5. 4 | 3.5 | . 64 | 44 | 21 |  | 31 | (*) 4 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 5. 5 | 3.8 | . 69 | 64 | 17 | 7 | 12 | (*) |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 5. 4 | 3. 6 | . 67 | 67 | 14 |  | 14 |  |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 ..----...-- | 5.7 | 3.6 | . 64 | 44 | 12 | 4 | 33 | 7 |
| Clerical |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749. | 4.5 | 2.8 | . 63 | 10 | 47 |  | 20 | 23 |
| 8750-\$999 | 4. 6 | 3.1 | . 69 | 48 | 32 | 4 | 16 | (*) |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 4.7 | 3.1 | . 66 | 34 | 36 | 7 | 21 | 2 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 4. 9 | 3.3 | . 68 | 62 | 21 |  | 17 |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 5. 3 | 3.4 | . 64 | 75 | 11 |  | 14 |  |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 5. 6 | 3.3 | . 58 | 85 | 7 |  | 8 |  |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 5. 5 | 3.7 | . 68 | 80 | 4 |  | 10 | 6 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 . ----------- | 5. 5 | 3.3 | . 60 | 70 | 13 |  | 8 | 9 |
| Independent business and professional |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 5. 7 | 3.2 | . 56 | 66 | 22 |  | 12 | (*) |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 .............. | 5. 3 | 3.4 | . 64 | 67 | 24 | - | 9 |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749-..---.-.......- | 5. 7 | 3.5 | . 62 | 79 | 4 |  | 9 | 8 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 ----------------- | 5.7 | 3.6 | . 63 | 87 | 5 |  | 8 | -.-.---- |
| \$2,000-\$2,249--...---....... | 6. 3 | 3.4 | . 54 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 5. 6 | 3.7 | . 65 | 84 |  |  | 16 | -------- |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 6.1 | 3.7 | . 60 | 91 | 4 | 5 |  |  |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 ............. | 6.9 | 3.9 | ${ }_{(1)} 56$ | 91 | 9 |  |  |  |
| \$3,500-\$3,999...-...........- | (i) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | .- |  |  |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 .............- | 6.8 | 3.4 | . 49 | 83 | -- |  | 17 |  |
| \$5,000 and over .-..........- | 7.2 | 3.6 | . 50 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| Salaried business and professional |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249..............- | 5. 1 | 3.1 | . 61 | 43 | 29 |  | 28 |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 5.5 | 3.5 | . 64 | 56 | 27 |  | 9 | 8 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 5. 2 | 3.5 | . 67 | 82 | 5 |  | 10 | 3 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 5. 6 | 3.2 | . 58 | 87 | 4 |  |  | 9 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 5. 6 | 3.5 | . 63 | 81 | 11 |  | 8 | (*) |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 5. 7 | 3. 4 | . 60 | 71 | 22 |  | 7 |  |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 .............. | 6. 0 | 3.5 | . 58 | 84 | 3 |  | 11 | 2 |
| \$3,000-83,499 .............- | 6.0 | 3.5 | . 58 | 83 | 5 |  | 12 |  |
| \$3,500-\$3.999 | 6.2 | 4.0 | . 65 | 78 | 14 | 8 |  |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 ..............- | 6.9 | 3.4 | . 49 | 84 |  |  |  | 16 |
| \$5,000 and over-------.--- | 8.0 | 3.6 | . 45 | 100 |  |  |  |  |

[^51]Table 3.-Living quarters occupied: Average number of rooms in living quarters, average number of persons per room, and percentage of families having living quarters with certain modern facilities, by family type, occupation, and income, in 1 year, 1995-36-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
EAST CENTRAL, 3 MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES: WHITE FAMIIIES

| Family type and income class <br> (1) | Average number of rooms inliving quarters | A verage number of persons in living quarters ${ }^{1}$ | A verage number of persons per room ${ }^{1}$ | Percentage of families having living quarters with specified combinations of modern facilities |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Running hot and cold water, inside flush toilet, and electric lights |  |  | Running cold water only, inside flush toilet, and electric lights <br> (8) | Other combi-nationsof facilities(9) |
|  |  |  |  | Gas or electricity as kitchen fuel |  | No kitch en gas or electricity and no furnace <br> (7) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Central furnace <br> (5) | No central furnace <br> (6) |  |  |  |
| All families |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499. | 3. 6 | 2.8 | 0.78 | 45 |  | 3 | 38 | 14 |
| \$500-\$749 | 4. 5 | 3.5 | . 78 | 33 | 7 | 1 | 29 | 30 |
| \$750-\$999 | 4.6 | 3.6 | . 78 | 41 | 7 | 2 | 33 | 17 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 5.1 | 3.6 | . 70 | 53 | 7 | 3 | 23 | 14 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 5.1 | 3.6 | . 70 | 55 | 5 | 1 | 26 | 13 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 5. 3 | 3.6 | . 68 | 74 | 6 | (*) | 15 | 5 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 5.5 | 3.8 | . 69 | 82 | 3 | (*) | 10 | 5 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 5.8 | 3.8 | . 66 | 82 | 4 | 2 | 9 | 3 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 5.8 | 3.7 | . 64 | 86 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 2 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 6.0 | 3.4 | . 57 | 96 | 2 |  | 1 | 1 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 6.4 | 3.7 | . 58 | 96 | 1 |  | 2 | 1 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 6.8 | 3.6 | . 53 | 91 |  |  | 6 | 3 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 6.8 | 3.6 | . 53 | 97 | 1 |  | 2 |  |
| \$5,000 and over. | 7.3 | 3.9 | . 53 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| Family type I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 | 3.0 | 2.1 | . 70 | 56 |  |  | 44 |  |
| \$500-\$749. | 4.2 | 2.1 | . 50 | 44 | 1 |  | 34 | 21 |
| \$750-\$999 | 4.3 | 2. 2 | . 51 | 49 | 10 |  | 33 | 8 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 5.1 | 2.1 | . 41 | 62 | 9 | 1 | 20 | 8 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 4.8 | 2.0 | . 42 | 55 | 7 |  | 29 | 9 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 4.9 | 2.2 | . 45 | 95 |  |  | 5 |  |
| \$1,750-81,999 | 4.8 | 2.3 | 48 | 85 | 4 |  | 8 | 3 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 5.5 | 2.0 | . 36 | 78 | 14 |  | 8 |  |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 5.4 | 2.1 | 39 | 88 | 8 |  |  | 4 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 5.1 | 2.2 | . 43 | 95 | 5 |  |  |  |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 6.4 | 2.2 | . 34 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 6.7 | 2.4 | . 36 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999. | 6.6 | 2.2 | . 33 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$5,000 and over | 6.8 | 2.3 | . 34 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| Family type II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 | 4.0 | 3.0 | . 75 | 20 |  |  | 60 | 20 |
| \$500-\$749. | 4.2 | 3.1 | . 74 | 42 | 6 | 6 | 26 | 20 |
| \$750-\$999 | 4.2 | 3.1 | . 74 | 39 | 0 | 3 | 36 | 13 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 ....--.......- | 4. 6 | 3.2 | . 70 | 49 | 4 | 1 | 27 | 18 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 .............- | 4.9 | 3.2 | . 65 | 56 | 6 | 1 | 21 | 10 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 5.1 | 3.1 | . 61 | 72 | 1 | ---.-.-... | 19 | 8 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 .............. | 5.5 | 3.2 | . 58 | 80 | 9 |  | 5 | 6 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 5. 5 | 3.1 | . 56 | 94 |  |  | 6 |  |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 $\ldots$........... | 5. 7 | 3.1 | . 54 | 89 | 3 |  | 8 |  |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 5.9 | 1.5 | . 25 | 98 | 2 | ------ |  |  |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 6.2 | 3.2 | . 52 | 89 | 5 |  |  | 6 |
|  | 6.8 | 3.7 | . 54 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 ....-........ | 6. 5 | 3.2 | . 49 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$5,000 and over ............ | 7.2 | 4.0 | . 56 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| Family type IIT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499. | 3.3 | 4.0 | 1.21 | 67 |  |  | 33 |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 4.0 | 4.1 | 1.02 | 29 |  |  | 24 | 47 |
| \$750-\$899 | 4.4 | 4.0 | . 91 | 37 | 10 |  | 9 | 44 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 5. 1 | 4.2 | . 82 | 34 | 18 | 9 | 24 | 15 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 5. 0 | 4.2 | . 84 | 43 | 10 |  | 26 | 21 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 5. 2 | 4.1 | . 79 | 54 | 14 | 1 | 23 | 8 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 5. 7 | 4.0 | . 70 | 86 |  |  | 7 | 7 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 ............... | 5.6 | 4.2 | . 75 | 76 | 2 | 7 | 15 |  |
| \$2,250-\$2,499-----...---. | 5. 7 | 4.0 | + 70 | 96 | 4 |  |  |  |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 5.8 | 4.2 | . 72 | 95 |  |  |  | 5 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 6. 6 | 4.3 | . 65 | 88 |  |  | 12 |  |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 6. 3 | 4.2 | . 67 | 75 |  |  | 25 |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 6.8 | 4.2 | . 62 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$5,000 and over | 7.0 | 4.8 | . 68 | 100 |  |  |  |  |

[^52]Table 3.-Living quarters occupied: Average number of rooms in living quarters, average number of persons per room, and percentage of families having living quarters with certain modern facilities, by family type, occupation, and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
EAST CENTRAL, 3 MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES: WHITE FAMILIES

| Family type and income class <br> (1) | A veragenumberofromsinlivingquar-ters | A verage of persons in living quar-ters 1 | A verage number of persons perroom 1 room ${ }^{1}$ | Percentage of families having living quarters with specified combinations of rodern facilities |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Running hot and cold water, inside flush toiiet, and electric lights |  |  | Running cold water only, inside flush toilet, and electric lights <br> (8) | Other combinations of facilities |
|  |  |  |  | Gas or electricity as kitchen fuel |  | No kitch-on gas orelectricityand nofurnace(7) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Central furnace (5) | No central furnace <br> (6) |  |  |  |
| Family type IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499.. | 5.0 | 3.5 | 0.70 | 25 |  | 25 |  | 50 |
| \$500-\$749 | 5.0 | 3.6 | . 72 | 31 | 17 |  | 35 | 17 |
| \$750-\$999 | 5.4 | 3.5 <br> 3.5 | .$_{67}^{65}$ | 52 66 | 7 | 7 |  | 13 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 5.4 | 3.7 | . 68 | 72 | 2 |  | 16 | 10 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 5.6 | 3.5 | . 62 | 73 | 7 |  | 14 | 6 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 5.8 | 3.6 | . 62 | 96 |  |  | 4 |  |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 6.0 | 3.7 | . 62 | 86 | 2 |  | 3 | 9 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 6.3 | 3.8 3.4 | $\stackrel{.}{54}$ | ${ }_{95}^{88}$ |  | 4 | $\stackrel{4}{5}$ |  |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 6.5 | 3.6 | . 55 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 6.6 | 3.4 | . 52 | 86 |  |  | 9 | 5 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999. | 6. 9 | 3. 6 | . 52 | 96 |  |  | 4 |  |
| \$5,000 and over ---------- | 7.7 | 3.7 | . 48 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| Family type V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 | 6.0 | 5.8 | . 97 | 50 |  |  |  | 50 |
| \$500-\$749 | 4.6 | 5. 2 | 1.13 |  | 10 |  | 20 | ${ }_{61}^{61}$ |
| \$750-\$999-- | 5.1 5.8 | 5.5 <br> 5.4 | 1.08 .93 | $\stackrel{26}{50}$ | 9 | 4 | 40 20 | 34 17 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 5.6 | 5.4 | 96 | 46 |  |  | 44 | 10 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 5.6 | 5.6 | 1.00 | 62 | 13 |  | 24 | 1 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 6.3 | 5.7 | . 90 | 68 |  |  | 22 | 10 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499. | 6.3 6.0 | 5. 5 | . 97 | 63 | 14 | ${ }_{3}^{4}$ | 11 | 9 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 7.0 | 5.5 | . 78 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 6.7 | 5.1 | . 71 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$3,500- \$3,999. | 7.2 | 5.1 | . 71 | 84 |  |  | 8 | 8 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999.... | 7.3 | 5.3 | . 73 | 88 100 | 12 |  |  |  |
| \$5,000 and over -...-. | 6.6 | 5.2 | . 79 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| Family type VI |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499. | 3.3 | 5.0 | 1.52 | 33 |  |  | 33 | 34 |
| \$500-\$749 | 5.4 4.7 | 5.6 | 1.04 | 19 <br> 38 | 19 |  | ${ }_{41}^{22}$ | 40 21 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \$ 750-\$ 999 \\ & \$ 1,000-\$ 1,249 \end{aligned}$ | 4.7 5.3 | 5.3 <br> 5.3 | 1.13 1.00 | 38 44 |  | 9 | 41 23 | 18 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 4.9 | 5.2 | 1. 06 | 45 | 3 | 7 | 40 | 5 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 5. 5 | 5.4 | . 98 | 57 |  |  | 13 | 8 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 5.4 5.5 | 5.5 5.2 | 1.02 .94 | 57 80 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | 32 12 | 5 4 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 5.7 | 5.4 | . 95 | 88 | 5 |  | 7 |  |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 6.5 | 5.2 | . 80 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 9.0 | 6.0 | . 67 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 7.1 | 5.9 | . 83 | 90 |  |  | 10 |  |
| \$5,000 and over .-........ | 9.4 | 6.6 | . 70 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| F250-\$499ily type VII |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500- 8749 | 3.8 | 7.5 | 1.97 |  |  |  | 17 | 83 |
| \$750-\$999 | 5.0 | 7.5 | 1.50 | 9 |  |  | 47 | 44 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 4.9 | 7.2 | 1.47 | 34 |  |  | 59 | 7 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 6.0 6.0 | 7.4 | 1.23 1.28 | 63 52 |  |  | 10 30 | 18 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 6.4 | 7.4 | 1.16 | 43 | 8 | 2 | 29 | 18 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 6.7 | 7.3 | 1. 09 | ${ }^{63}$ |  | - 8 | 18 | 11 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 6.2 | 6.3 | 1.02 | 87 |  |  | 11 | 12 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 6.8 | 7.0 | 1.03 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( 1 ) | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ ) |  |  |  |  |
|  | 8.0 | 7.9 |  | 100 |  |  |  |  |

1 See explanation of tables for definition of this item.
tAverages and percentages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

Table 3.-Living quarters oceupied: Average number of rooms in living quarters, anerage number of persons per room, and percentage of families having living quarters with certain modern facilities, by family type, occupation, and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
EAST CENTRAL, 3 MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES: WHITE FAMILIES

| Occupational group and income class <br> (1) | Average number of rooms in living quarters | Average number of persons in living quarters ${ }^{1}$ | Average ber of persons per <br> room ${ }^{1}$ | Percentage of families having living quarters with specified combinations of modern facilities |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Running hot and cold water, inside flush toilet, and electric lights |  |  | Running cold water only, inside flush toilet, and electric lights | Other combinations of facilities <br> (9) |
|  |  |  |  | Gas or electricity as kitchen fuel |  | Nokitchen gas or electricity and no furnace <br> (7) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Central furnace <br> (5) | No central furnace <br> (6) |  |  |  |
| Wage earner |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499. | 3.6 | 2.8 | 0.78 | 45 |  | 3 | 38 | 14 |
| \$500-\$749. | 4. 5 | 3.5 | . 78 | 31 | 7 | 1 | 29 | 32 |
| \$750-\$999. | 4. 6 | 3.6 | 78 | 41 | 8 | 1 | 32 | 18 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 4.9 | 3.6 | 73 | 49 | 7 | 2 | 27 | 15 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 5.1 | 3.7 | . 73 | 51 | 4 | 1 | 27. | 17 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 ....-.-...... | 5. 2 | 3.7 | 72 | 67 | 8 |  | 18 | 7 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 . . .-.-.-. -- | 5. 5 | 4.0 | . 72 | 73 | 6 | 1 | 15 | 5 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 $\ldots$----...... | 5. 7 | 3.9 | . 69 | 74 | 5 | 3 | 12 | 6 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 .-........... | 5.8 | 3.9 | . 67 | 78 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 6 |
| Clerical |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749. | 4.4 | 3.3 | . 74 | 50 | 12 |  | 29 | 9 |
| \$750-\$999. | 4.5 | 3.5 | . 78 | 47 | 4 | 4 | 34 | 11 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 5. 2 | 3.6 | . 68 | 65 | 12 | 4 | 10 | 9 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 ............. | 5.1 | 3.6 | . 70 | 58 | 7 |  | 27 | 8 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 ............- | 5.2 | 3.4 | . 66 | 83 | 3 |  | 12 | 2 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 5. 5 | 3.5 | . 65 | 94 | (*) | (*) | 2 | 4 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 5.8 | 3.7 | . 64 | 88 |  | 1 | 7 | 2 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 ---------..- | 5.7 | 3.9 | . 68 | 88 |  | 1 | 7 |  |
| Independent business and professional |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249......-.-.... | 5.5 | 3.2 | . 59 | 50 | 5 | 4 | 20 | 21 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 ............... | 5.3 | 3.5 | . 67 | 68 | 9 | 2 | 18 | 3 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 6. 1 | 3.5 | . 57 | 84 | 2 | 1 | 8 | 5 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 5.7 | 3. 6 | . 63 | 81 |  |  | 11 | 8 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 6. 0 | 3.8 | . 62 | 81 | 11 |  | 8 |  |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 6.0 | 3. 6 | . 60 | 95 | 4 |  | 1 |  |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 6.0 | 3.8 | . 62 | 98 | 2 |  |  |  |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 6.5 | 4.0 | . 61 | 97 | 3 |  |  |  |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 7.4 | 3.6 | . 49 | 89 |  |  | 6 | 5 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 7.0 | 3.8 | . 53 | 95 | 3 |  | 2 | ....- |
| \$5,000 and over -...---... | 7.5 | 4.0 | . 54 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| Salaried business and professional |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 4.8 | 3.1 | . 66 | 67 | 7 | 5 | 17 | 4 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 $\ldots . . . . .-\ldots . .$. | 5.0 | 3.4 | . 68 | 80 |  | 2 | 17 | 1 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749.............. | 5.0 | 3.3 | . 66 | 90 |  |  | 9 | 1 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 5.6 | 3. 6 | . 63 | 89 |  |  | 11 |  |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 5.9 | 3.7 | . 62 | 93 | 3 | --.-.----- | 4 |  |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 5. 6 | 3.4 | . 60 | 89 | 7 |  | 3 | 1 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 5.9 | 3.1 | 52 | 95 | 2 |  | 2 | 1 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 .............. | 6. 4 | 3.6 | . 57 | 96 |  |  | 3 | 1 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 6.5 | 3.6 | . 55 | 92 |  |  | 7 | 1 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 $\ldots$.------. | 6. 6 | 3.4 | . 52 | 98 | ---------- |  | 2 |  |
| \$5,000 and over .......---- | 7.2 | 3.7 | . 52 | 100 |  |  |  |  |

[^53]Table 3.-Living quarters occupied: Average number of rooms in living quarters, average number of persons per room, and percentage of families having living quarters with certain modern facilities, by family type, occupation, and income, in 1 year, 1935-96-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
SOUTHEAST, 2 MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES: WHITE FAMILIES

| Family type and income class | Average number of rooms inliving quarters | Average number of persons in living quarters ${ }^{1}$ | A verage number of persons per room ${ }^{1}$ | Percentage of families having living quarters with specified combinations of modern facilities |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Running hot and cold water, inside flush toilet, and electric lights |  |  | Running cold water only, inside flush toilet, and electric lights <br> (8) | Other combinations of facilities <br> (9) |
|  |  |  |  | Gas or electricity as kitchen fuel |  | No kitchen gas or electricity and no furnace <br> (7) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Central furnace <br> (5) | No central furnace <br> (6) |  |  |  |
| All families |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499. | 3.7 | 3.5 | 0.94 |  | 6 | 6 | 55 | 33 |
| \$500-\$749. | 4.0 | 3.3 | . 83 |  | 7 | 12 | 66 | 15 |
| \$750-\$999 | 4.5 | 3.7 | . 82 |  | 8 | 4 | 67 | 21 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 3.8 | 3.4 | . 89 | 2 | 31 | 3 | 57 | 7 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 4.8 | 3.5 | . 72 | 4 | 30 | 2 | 60 | 4 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 5.1 | 3.8 | . 74 | 3 | 48 | 4 | 40 | 5 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 5.2 | 3.7 | . 72 | 6 | 50 | 2 | 40 | 2 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 5.4 | 3.7 | . 69 | 9 | 59 | 3 | 26 | 3 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 5.5 | 3.8 | . 68 | 18 | 56 | 2 | 21 | 3 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 5.9 | 3.8 | . 64 | 22 | 53 | 3 | 14 | 3 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 5.9 | 3.6 | . 61 | 28 | 55 | 3 | 12 | 2 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 6.4 | 3.6 | . 57 | 37 | 56 | 2 | 3 | 2 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 6.6 | 3.9 | . 59 | 46 | 43 | 4 | 5 | 2 |
| \$5,000 and over-.--......- | 7.7 | 4.0 | . 52 | 58 | 37 | 1 | 4 | (*) |
| Family type I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499. | 2.8 | 2.3 | 82 |  |  |  | 67 | 33 |
| \$500-\$749. | 3.8 | 2.3 | . 60 |  | 14 | 26 | 57 | 3 |
| \$750-\$999 | 3.8 | 2.2 | . 58 |  | 10 | 3 | 24 | 63 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 2.2 | 2.2 | . 99 |  | 46 | 11 | 42 | 1 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 4. 7 | 2.1 | . 44 | 6 | 35 | 1 | 55 | 3 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 4. 7 | 2.5 | . 53 | 7 | 52 | 5 | 30 | 6 |
| \$1,750-81,999 | 5. 1 | 2.4 | . 46 | 2 | 51 |  | 41 | 6 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 5.0 | 2.0 | . 40 | 14 | 68 | 5 | 13 | (*) |
| \$2,250-\$2,499. | 5. 1 | 2.3 | . 45 | 25 | 67 |  | 2 | ( 6 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 5.2 | 2.1 | . 40 | 46 | 45 |  | 9 |  |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 5.9 | 2.3 | . 38 | 31 | 64 |  | 5 |  |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 6. 2 | 2. 1 | . 34 | 37 | 50 |  | 6 | 8 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 5.7 | 2.1 | . 37 | 68 | 32 |  |  |  |
| \$5,000 and over- | 8.0 | 2.2 | . 28 | 90 | 10 |  |  |  |
| Family types II and III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 | 4. 1 | 3.7 | . 90 |  | 8 | 8 | 58 | 26 |
| \$500-\$749 | 3.8 | 3.5 | . 92 |  |  | 6 | 77 | 17 |
| \$750-\$999 | 4.6 | 3.7 | . 81 |  | 9 | 4 | 79 | 8 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 ..-.........-- | 4.1 | 3.5 | . 86 | 4 | 28 | 1 | 61 | 6 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 ............- | 4.6 | 3. 7 | . 81 | 4 | 26 | 5 | 59 | 6 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 5.0 | 3. 8 | . 76 | 4 | 53 | 2 | 37 | 4 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999-....-.------ | 5.1 | 3.7 | . 72 | 7 | 58 | 3 | 31 | 1 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 5.2 | 3. 6 | . 69 | 11 | 68 | 2 | 10 | 9 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 $\ldots$............ | 5.4 | 3.7 | . 68 | 13 | 69 | 2 | 13 | 3 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 5.8 | 3. 6 | . 63 | 23 | 50 | 5 | 17 | 5 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 5. 7 | 3.5 | . 62 | 41 | 45 |  | 12 | 2 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 6.3 | 3.8 | . 60 | 46 | 54 |  |  |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,989 | 6.4 | 3. 7 | . 58 | 38 | 49 |  | 13 | (*) |
| \$5,000 and over-...------ | 7.0 | 3.9 | . 56 | 43 | 53 | 4 |  |  |
| Family types IV and V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499-..-........---- | 4.2 | 4. 4 | 1.05 |  | 10 | 10 | 40 | 40 |
| \$500-\$749 ......--.-.-.-.--- | 4.3 | 3.9 | . 91 |  | 10 | 7 | 60 | 23 |
| \$750-\$999..- | 4.9 | 4.6 | . 93 |  | 5 | 5 | 76 | 14 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 4. 9 | 4.3 | . 88 |  | 20 |  | 67 | 13 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 5. 2 | 4.2 | . 81 | 2 | 29 |  | 65 | 4 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 5.4 | 4.5 | . 84 |  | 40 | 4 | 50 | 6 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 5. 2 | 4.5 | . 87 | 8 | 41 | 3 | 46 | 2 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 5. 6 | 4.7 | . 83 | 5 | 46 | 4 | 44 | 1 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 5.7 | 4.5 | . 79 | 17 | 41 | 4 | 36 | 2 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999. | 6. 4 | 4.7 | . 73 | 10 | 70 | 2 | 13 | 5 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 6.1 | 4.4 | . 72 | 16 | 58 | 7 | 16 | 3 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 6. 5 | 4.3 | . 66 | 32 | 60 | 5 | 3 |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999. | 7. 1 | 4. 7 | . 67 | 41 | 45 | 7 | 4 | 3 |
| \$5,000 and over............ | 8.0 | 4.7 | . 59 | 54 | 39 | ---------- | 7 | (*) |

[^54]*Percentages of less than 0.5 are not shown.

Table 3.-Living quarters occupled: Average number of rooms in living quarters, average number of persons per room, and percentage of families having living quarters with certain modern facilities, by family type, occupation, and income, in 1 year, 1985-96-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
SOUTHEAST, 2 MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES: WHITE FAMILIES

| Occupational group and income class <br> (1) | Average number of rooms in living quarters | Average number of persons in living quarters ${ }^{1}$ | Aver-age number of persons per room | Percentage of families having living quarters with specified combinations of modern facilities |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Running hot and cold water, inside flush toilet, and electric lights |  |  | Running cold water only, inside fiush toilet, and electric lights | Other combinations of facilities |
|  |  |  |  | Gas or electricity as kitchen fuel |  | Nokitchen gas or electricity and no furnace <br> (7) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Central furnace <br> (5) | No central furnace <br> (6) |  |  |  |
| Wage earner |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 0.94 |  | 6 | 6 | 55 | 33 |
|  | 4. 0 | 3.3 | . 82 |  | 8 | 12 | 66 | 14 |
| \$750-\$999 | 4. 5 | 3. 6 | . 80 |  | 5 | 1 | 67 | 27 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 3.1 | 3.4 | 1. 10 | 2 | 21 | 4 | 66 | 7 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499.............. | 4. 6 | 3.5 | . 77 |  | 14 | 2 | 80 | 4 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 4.8 | 3.8 | . 80 |  | 32 | 2 | 56 | 8 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 5.0 | 3.8 | . 76 | 5 | 24 | 5 | 61 | 5 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 5.4 | 3.8 | . 70 | 5 | 58 | -...- | 34 | 3 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 5.6 | 3.8 | . 68 | 17 | 53 |  | 30 | (*) |
| Clerical |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749..................- | 3.7 | 3. 6 | . 97 |  | 4 | 5 | 73 | 18 |
| \$750-\$999 ---------------- | 4. 5 | 3.7 | . 82 |  | 17 | 13 | 68 | 2 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249........---. - | 4. 5 | 3. 4 | . 76 |  | 50 |  | 44 | 6 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499............-- | 5. 2 | 3.5 | . 67 |  | 41 | 2 | 44 | 5 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 5.3 | 3.8 | . 72 | 4 | 60 | 8 | 26 | 2 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 5.2 | 3. 6 | . 70 | 7 | 64 |  | 29 | (*) |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 5. 4 | 3. 8 | . 71 | 12 | 53 | 5 | 27 | 3 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499. | 5. 3 | 3.8 | . 72 | 12 | 61 | 5 | 15 | 7 |
| Independent business and professional |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 5. 1 | 3. 4 | . 66 | 3 | 23 | 10 | 54 | 10 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 .............. | 5. 3 | 3. 4 | . 64 | 6 | 38 |  | 47 | 9 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 5. 2 | 3.5 | . 67 | 7 | 45 |  | 44 | 4 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999...-----.-.-.--- | 5. 2 | 3.9 | . 74 |  | 51 | 2 | 45 | 2 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 5. 6 | 3. 2 | . 58 | 7 | 69 | 5 | 11 | 8 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 5.9 | 3.7 | . 62 | 9 | 55 | 5 | 23 | 8 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 5.8 | 3.8 | . 64 | 18 | 59 | 3 | 17 | 3 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 5.9 | 3.6 | . 61 | 36 | 40 | 5 | 10 | 9 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999. | 6.8 | 3.8 | . 56 | 56 | 42 | 2 |  |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 6. 6 | 3.7 | . 56 | 47 | 39 | 7 | 7 |  |
| \$5,000 and over. | 7.9 | 4.1 | . 52 | 67 | 31 | 2 |  |  |
| Salaried business and professional |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 4.2 | 3.1 | . 73 | 3 | 39 | 8 | 48 | 2 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 4. 3 | 3. 2 | . 75 | 3 | 61 | 3 | 30 | 3 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 5. 1 | 3. 5 | . 69 | 2 | 65 |  | 29 | 4 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 5. 3 | 3. 6 | . 68 | 9 | 64 | 2 | 23 | 2 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 5. 2 | 3.7 | . 71 | 9 | 63 | 4 | 20 | 4 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 5.5 | 3. 7 | . 68 | 28 | 53 |  | 19 |  |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 6. 0 | 3.8 | . 64 | 24 | 57 | 3 | 12 | 4 |
| \$3,000- $\$ 3,499$ | 6. 0 | 3. 6 | . 61 | 26 | 59 | 2 | 13 |  |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 6.2 | 3. 6 | . 58 | 29 | 62 | 2 | 4 | $\overline{3}$ |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 ........------- | 6. 6 | 4.0 | . 60 | 46 | 44 | 3 | 4 | 3 |
| \$5,000 and over .-........- | 7.5 | 4.0 | . 53 | 51 | 42 |  | 7 | (*) |

[^55]Table 3.-Iiving quarters occupied: Average number of rooms in living quarters, average number of persons per room, and percentage of families having living quarters with certain modern facilities, by family type, occupation, and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
SOUTHEAST, 2 MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES: NEGRO FAMILIES

| Family type, occupational group, and income class <br> (1) | A verage number of rooms inliving quarters | A verage number of persons in living quar: ters 1 | A verage number of persons per room ${ }^{1}$ <br> (4) | Percentage of families having living quarters with specifled combinations of modern facilities |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Running hot and cold water, inside flush toilet, and electric lights |  |  | Running cold water only, inside flush toilet, and electric lights <br> (8) | Other combinations of facilities <br> (9) |
|  |  |  |  | Gas or electricity as kitchen fuel |  | No kitchen gas or electricity and no furnace <br> (7) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Central furnace <br> (5) | No central furnace <br> (6) |  |  |  |
| All families |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250. | 3.0 | 3.1 | 1.03 |  |  | 3 | 9 | 88 |
| \$250-\$499 | 3.1 | 3.0 | . 97 |  |  | (*) | 6 | 94 |
| \$500-\$749 | 3. 4 | 3.2 | . 95 |  |  | 3 | 13 | 84 |
| \$750-\$999 | 3.9 | 3.4 | . 86 | (*) | 5 | 3 | 37 | 55 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 4. 5 | 3.5 | . 78 |  | 8 | 10 | 34 | 48 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 5. 2 | 4. 0 | . 76 |  | 10 | 5 | 50 | 35 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 5. 4 | 3.4 | . 62 | 7 | 14 | 14 | 52 | 13 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 5. 2 | 4. 2 | . 80 |  | 14 | 50 | 36 |  |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 5. 2 | 3. 8 | . 73 |  | 49 | 20 | 31 |  |
| \$2,250-\$2,499. | 5.8 | 3. 7 | . 63 |  | 75 |  | 18 | 7 |
| \$2,500 and over | 6.4 | 3.9 | . 61 | 18 | 46 | 9 | 27 |  |
| Family type I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250 | 2. 9 | 2. 4 | . 82 |  |  |  | 11 | 89 |
| \$250-\$499 | 3.0 | 2.0 | . 66 |  |  | 1 | 5 | 94 |
| \$500-\$749 | 3.3 | 2.3 | . 69 |  |  | (*) | 15 | 85 |
| \$750-\$999. | 3.5 | 2. 2 | . 62 |  | 6 |  | 39 | 48 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 4. 5 | 2. 1 | . 47 |  | 10 | 9 | 45 | 36 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 4. 9 | 2.0 | . 41 |  | 28 |  | 29 | 43 |
| \$1,500-\$2,249. | 5. 7 | 2.3 | . 40 | 14 | 57 |  | 29 | -- |
| \$2,250 and over-......... | 6.3 | 2.0 | . 32 | 33 | 67 |  |  | -... |
| Family types II and III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250. | 3.3 | 3. 6 | 1.09 |  |  | 10 | 11 | 79 |
| \$250-\$499. | 2.9 | 3. 5 | 1. 20 |  |  | (*) | 8 | 92 |
| \$500-\$749. | 3.2 | 3.5 | 1.08 |  |  |  | 12 | 88 |
| \$750-\$999. | 3.9 | 3. 4 | . 86 | 1 | 4 |  | 37 | 57 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 4. 1 | 3.5 | . 85 |  | 6 |  | 21 | 60 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 5. 2 | 3.9 | . 75 |  |  | 7 | 46 | 47 |
| \$1,500-\$2,249 | 5.1 | 3. 5 | . 68 |  | 31 | 25 | 33 | 11 |
| \$2,250 and over-------.. | 5. 4 | 3.2 | . 59 |  | 80 |  | 20 |  |
| Family types IV and V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250 | 3.0 | 4. 5 | 1. 50 |  |  |  |  | 100 |
| \$250-\$499 | 3. 6 | 4. 4 | 1. 21 |  |  | (*) | 4 | 96 |
| \$500-\$749 | 3.7 | 4. 3 | 1.15 |  |  | (*) 8 | 12 | 80 |
| \$750-\$999 | 4.3 | 4.5 | 1.04 | (*) | 6 |  | 35 | 59 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 4. 6 | 4. 6 | 1. 00 |  | 8 |  | 32 | 51 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 5. 3 | 4. 5 | . 85 |  | 11 | 5 | 58 | 26 |
| \$1,500-\$2,249.. | 5. 3 | 4.5 | . 85 |  | 15 | 38 | 47 |  |
| \$2,250 and over | 6.1 | 4.5 | . 73 |  | 59 | 5 | 29 | 7 |
| Wage earner |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250. | 3.0 | 3.1 | 1.04 |  |  | 3 | 10 | 87 |
| \$250-\$499 | 3. 1 | 3.1 | . 99 |  |  |  | 5 | 95 |
| \$500-\$749 | 3.4 | 3.3 | . 96 |  |  | 2 | 13 | 85 |
| \$750-\$999 | 3.9 | 3. 4 | . 88 |  | 6 | 2 | 38 | 54 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 4. 4 | 3.7 | . 84 |  | 6 | 9 | 34 | 51 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 5.0 | 4.1 | . 82 |  | 7 | 4 | 59 | 30 |
| Clerical, business, and professional |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250........... | 2.8 | 2.4 | . 84 |  |  |  |  | 100 |
| \$250-\$499.. | 3.5 | 2.7 | . 78 |  |  | 8 | 9 | 83 |
| \$500-\$749 | 3.7 | 3. 1 | . 84 |  |  | 7 | 19 | 74 |
| \$750-\$999 | 4. 6 | 3.4 | . 75 | 5 | 2 | 9 | 29 | 55 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 4. 9 | 3.0 | . 61 |  | 15 | 15 | 37 | 33 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 5. 8 | 3.4 | . 59 |  | 20 | 7 | 25 | 48 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 5. 4 | 3.4 | . 62 | 7 | 14 | 14 | 39 | 26 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 5. 2 | 4.2 | . 80 |  | 14 | 50 |  | 36 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 5. 2 | 3. 8 | . 73 |  | 49 | 20 |  | 31 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499. | 5.8 | 3.7 | . 63 |  | 75 |  | 22 | 3 |
| \$2.500 and over.-.---. | - 6.4 | 3.9 | . 61 | 18 | 46 | 9 |  | 27 |

[^56]Table 3.-Living quarters occupied: Average number of rooms in living quarters, average number of persons per room, and percentage of families having living quarters with certain modern facilities, by family type, occupation, and income, in 1 year, 1935-35-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
WEST CENTRAL, 2 MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES: WHITE FAMILIES

| Family type and income | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { Average } \\ \text { number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { rome } \\ \text { inoming } \\ \text { quirar- } \\ \text { ters } \end{array}$ | A verage number sons in living quarters1 |  | Percentage of families having living quarters with specified combinations of modern facilities |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Running hot and cold water, inside flush toilet, and electric lights |  |  | Running <br> cold water only, inside flush toilet, and electri lights <br> (8) | Other combinof facil ities |
|  |  |  |  | Gas or electricity as kitchen fuel |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { No kitch- } \\ \text { en qas or } \\ \text { electricity } \\ \text { and no } \\ \text { furnace } \\ \text { (7) } \end{array}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Central furnace (5) | No central furnace <br> (6) |  |  |  |
| All families |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-8499 | 4.4 | 3.1 | 0.71 |  | 2 | $3^{3}$ | 46 | 41 |
| ${ }_{\$ 750-5999}$ | 4.3 <br> 4.6 <br>  <br> 1 |  | 81 .83 .80 |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,000-41,249 | 5.0 | 3.5 | ${ }_{70}$ | 24 |  | (*) | 55 | 4 |
| \$1,250-81,499 | 5.2 | 3. 5 | ${ }^{68}$ | 29 |  |  | ${ }_{5}^{53}$ |  |
| ( $\$ 1.500-81,749$ | 5.4 | 3. 7 | ${ }^{69}$ | 48 | ${ }^{5}$ |  | 44 | 11 |
| - ${ }^{\$ 1,750-81,900}$ | 5.3 5.5 5.8 | 3.5 <br> 3.5 | ${ }_{63}^{66}$ | 48 59 | 12 |  | 37 28 28 |  |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 5.8 | 3.6 | ${ }_{62}$ | 59 |  |  | ${ }^{29}$ | 1 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999- | 5.8 <br> 6.3 <br> .8 | 3.8 <br> 3.7 | 64 <br> 59 <br> 8 | 85 89 |  |  | ${ }_{7}^{10}$ |  |
| 83,500-83,999 | 6.1 | 3.5 | 57 | 91 | 7 |  | 2 |  |
|  | 6.5 7.4 | ${ }_{4.2}^{3.8}$ | 59 57 | 86 | 3 |  | 11 |  |
| Family type I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-4499 | 4.3 | 2.2 | 51 |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500- 8749. | 3. 5 |  |  | 18 |  |  |  | ${ }_{4}^{40}$ |
|  | 4.0 5.1 | ${ }_{2.2}^{2.0}$ | 51 <br> 44 |  | 3 |  | $\begin{array}{r}46 \\ 44 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |  |
| \$1,250-81,499 | 4.8 | 2.0 | ${ }_{4}^{42}$ | 26 48 | 7 |  |  |  |
| \$1,750-s1,999 | 4.8 | ${ }_{2.3}^{2.1}$ | 43 <br> 48 | 42 | 20 |  | 54 <br> 35 <br> 17 |  |
| \$2,000-\$2,249- | S. ${ }_{\text {5. }}^{5}$ | 2.1 | ${ }_{38}^{41}$ | ${ }_{65}^{68}$ | ${ }_{18}{ }^{9}$ |  | 20 17 |  |
| \$2,500-¢2,999 | 5.5 | 2.3 | ${ }_{42}$ | 81 |  |  | 13 |  |
| \$ $83,000-83.499$ | -6.3 | 2.1 | ${ }_{44}^{33}$ | ${ }_{81}^{92}$ | 10 |  | 9 |  |
| 84,000-44,999 | 5.6 | 2.3 | 41 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$, 000 and over |  |  |  |  | 14 |  |  |  |
| Family types II and III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-4499- | 4.1 | 3.5 <br> 3.6 |  | 8 |  | 8 |  | ${ }_{46}^{42}$ |
| $8750-9999$ | 4.4 | 3.6 | 82 | 13 | 3 | 3 | 59 | ${ }_{2}^{22}$ |
| \$1,000-\$1, 249 | 4.6 | ${ }^{3.6}$ | ${ }_{79} 7$ | 14 | 8 |  | 57 |  |
| \$81,250-\$1,499- | 5.2 <br> 5.1 <br> 1 | 3.6 <br> 3.8 | 69 <br> 74 | 23 47 47 | ${ }_{9}^{9}$ |  | 62 <br> 31 <br> 1 | 13 |
| \$1,750-81,999- | 4.9 | 3.5 | 70 | ${ }_{61}^{50}$ | 7 |  | $\stackrel{43}{44}$ |  |
|  | 5.6 |  | ${ }_{6}^{65}$ | 69 69 |  |  |  |  |
| \$2,500-\$4,9999-9, | 5.6 |  | . 70 | 100 85 | 9 |  | 6 |  |
| \$3,500-88,999 | 5.9 | 4.1 | . 70 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 6.3 6.9 | 3.9 4.2 | . 61 | 100 88 |  |  | 12 |  |
| Family types IV and V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-s499 | 5.0 |  |  |  |  |  | 46 | ${ }^{23}$ |
| \% $7750-\$ 9999$ | 5.5 | 4, ${ }_{4}^{4,5}$ | . 78 | ${ }_{6}$ |  | 6 | 75 |  |
| 81,000-81, 249 | 5.4 | ${ }^{4.6}$ | 85 | 18 | 10 | 1 | ${ }^{61}$ | 10 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499- | 5.4 | 4.4 4.6 4 | $\stackrel{82}{88}$ | 36 32 36 | 5 |  | 49 |  |
| \$1,750-81,999 | 5.9 | 4.4 | 74 | 52 | 10 |  | 32 |  |
| \$2,000-\$2.249 | 6.0 | 4.4 | 74 | 5 |  | 3 | ${ }_{38}^{38}$ |  |
|  | 6.0 6.1 | 4.4 4 | 74 | ${ }_{79} 7$ | 5 | 3 | ${ }_{13}$ |  |
| 83, $83000-43,4999$ | 6.4 | 4.5 | 70 | 90 |  |  | 10 |  |
|  | ${ }^{6.9}$ | 4.0 4.6 | . 68 | 92 | 8 |  |  |  |
| \$5,000 and over. | 7.8 | 5.0 | ${ }_{64} 6$ | 84 |  |  | 16 |  |

[^57]$$
153119^{\circ}--41-12
$$

Table 3.-Living quarters occupied: Average number of rooms in living quarters, average number of persons per room, and percentage of families having living quarters with certain modern facilities, by family type, occupation, and income, in 1 year, 1995-36-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
WEST CENTRAL, 2 MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES: WHITE FAMILIES

| Occupational group and income class <br> (1) | Average number of rooms in living quarters | Average number of persons in living quarters ${ }^{1}$ | Average number of persons per room ${ }^{1}$ | Percentage of families having living quarters with specified combinations of modern facilities |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Running hot and cold water, inside fush toilet, and electric lights |  |  | Running cold water only, inside flush tollet, and electric lights <br> (8) | Other combinations of facilities |
|  |  |  |  | Gas or electricity as kitchen fuel |  | Nokitchen gas or electricity and no furnace <br> (7) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Central furnace <br> (5) | No central furnace <br> (6) |  |  |  |
| Wage earner |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499. | 4.4 | 3.1 | 0.71 | 8 | 2 | 3 | 46 | 41 |
| \$500-\$749 | 4.2 | 3.4 | . 82 | 12 | 2 |  | 41 | 45 |
| \$750-\$999 | 4.6 | 3.3 | . 73 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 60 | 27 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 4.8 | 3.6 | . 75 | 12 | 5 |  | 62 | 21 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 5.1 | 3.4 | . 67 | 20 | 8 |  | 58 | 14 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 5.2 | 3.8 | . 73 | 33 | 4 |  | 47 | 16 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 5. 2 | 3.5 | . 68 | 42 | 14 |  | 42 | 2 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 5. 5 | 3.6 | . 65 | 37 | 11 |  | 40 | 12 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 $\ldots$.-.-.-.----- | 5.7 | 3.7 | . 64 | 49 | 20 | 4 | 23 | 4 |
| Clerical |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 4.6 | 3.1 | . 68 | 9 |  |  | 71 | 20 |
| \$750-\$999 | 4.8 | 3.5 | . 73 | 25 | 3 | 5 | 56 | 11 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249.............- | 5.4 | 3.4 | . 62 | 29 | 14 |  | 55 | 2 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 5.2 | 3.7 | . 71 | 34 | 9 |  | 51 | 6 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 5.7 | 3.8 | . 65 | 44 | 5 |  | 46 | 5 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999........--- | 5.1 | 3.3 | . 65 | 54 | 6 |  | 38 | 2 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 $\ldots$......-.... | 5.4 | 3.4 | . 62 | 75 | 6 |  | 19 | (*) |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 ............ | 5.6 | 3.6 | . 63 | 61 |  |  | 39 |  |
| Independent business and professional |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 $\ldots$...-....... | 5.2 | 3.2 | . 63 | 60 | 4 | 2 | 27 | 7 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 $\ldots . . . . . . . . . .$. | 5.3 | 3.4 | . 64 | 47 | 8 | --. 2 | 44 | 1 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 $\ldots . . . . . .-. . .-$ | 5. 4 | 3.5 | . 65 | 48 | 9 |  | 40 | 3 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 5.1 | 3.4 | . 67 | 61 | 16 |  | 17 | 6 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 5.8 | 3.6 | . 62 | 82 |  |  | 10 | 8 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 6.1 | 3.4 | . 56 | 79 | 9 |  | 12 |  |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 5.7 | 3.7 | . 65 | 79 |  |  | 14 | 7 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 6.9 | 3.9 | . 57 | 92 | 8 |  |  |  |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 6. 2 | 3.5 | . 57 | 84 | 10 |  | 6 | -----.-- |
| \$4,000-\$4,999. | 7.5 | 4.2 | . 56 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$5,000 and over . . . .-.-.-- | 7.5 | 4.5 | . 60 | 80 |  |  | 20 | ------- |
| Salaried business and professional |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 5.4 | 3.3 | . 62 | 60 | 4 |  | 30 | 6 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 5. 5 | 3. 4 | . 61 | 51 | 4 | -----. | 45 | (*) |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 5. 7 | 3. 4 | . 61 | 59 | 2 | -----. | 33 | 6 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 5.9 | 3.7 | . 62 | 52 | 12 |  | 32 | 4 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 5.7 | 3.4 | . 60 | 62 | 8 |  | 30 |  |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 5.9 | 3. 6 | . 60 | 55 | 4 |  | 41 |  |
| \$2,500-\$2,999. | 6.0 | 3.8 | . 64 | 89 | 4 |  | 7 |  |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 6.0 | 3. 6 | . 60 | 88 | 3 |  | 9 |  |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 6. 0 | 3.5 | . 58 | 95 | 5 |  |  |  |
| \$4,000- \$4,999 | 6.0 | 3.7 | . 62 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$5,000 and over. | 7.2 | 3.8 | . 54 | 92 | 8 |  |  |  |

[^58]Table 3.-Living quarters occupied: Average number of rooms in living quarters, average number of persons per room, and percentage of families having living quarters with certain modern facilities, by family type, occupation, and income, in 1 year, 1995-36-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
ROCKY MOUNTAIN, 2 MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES: WHITE FAMILIES

| Family type and income class <br> (1) | A veragenumberofroomsin livingquar-ters | Average number of persons in living quarters ${ }^{1}$ | A verage aumber of persons per room 1 | Percentage of families having living quarters with specified combinations of modern facilities |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Running hot and cold water, inside flush toilet, and electric lights |  |  | Running cold water only, inside flush toilet, and electric lights <br> (8) | Other combinations of facilities <br> (9) |
|  |  |  |  | Gas or electricity as kitchen fuel |  | No kitchen gas or electricity and no furnace <br> (7) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Central furnace <br> (5) | No central furnace (6) |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | (4) | (5) | (6) |  |  |  |
| All families |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499. | 4.0 | 3.1 | 0.79 | 4 | 30 | 16 | 25 | 25 |
| \$500-\$749 | 3.8 | 2.8 | . 74 | 36 | 24 | 13 | 6 | 21 |
| \$750-\$999 | 3.9 | 3.3 | . 85 | 21 | 14 | 21 | 14 | 30 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 4. 2 | 3.3 | . 78 | 36 | 24 | 22 | 7 | 11 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 4.5 | 3.3 | . 74 | 42 | 30 | 18 | 6 | 4 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 4.3 | 3.2 | . 76 | 56 | 16 | 15 | 4 | 9 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 4.4 | 3.4 | . 77 | 61 | 22 | 11 | 2 | 4 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 4.7 | 3.4 | . 72 | 65 | 18 | 9 | 1 | 7 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 4. 9 | 3.4 | . 70 | 73 | 18 | 7 |  | 2 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 5. 4 | 3.7 | . 68 | 83 | 11 |  | 2 | 4 |
| \$3,000-\$3,409 | 5. 6 | 3.7 | . 65 | 93 | 7 |  |  |  |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 5. 4 | 3.3 | . 62 | 87 | 4 | 5 |  | 4 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 5.9 | 3. 7 | . 63 | 95 | 5 |  |  |  |
| \$5,000 and over.........-- | 6.3 | 4.0 | . 63 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| Family type I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499. | 3.8 | 2.5 | . 66 |  | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 |
| \$500-\$749. | 3.2 | 2.1 | . 65 | 52 | 14 | 13 |  | 21 |
| \$750-\$999. | 3.8 | 2. 1 | . 55 | 32 | 10 | 15 | 25 | 18 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 3.8 | 2. 2 | . 58 | 36 | 35 | 14 | 4 | 11 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 4.2 | 2. 1 | . 50 | 41 | 30 | 25 |  | 4 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 3.9 | 2. 1 | . 53 | 77 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 4.1 | 2. 1 | . 51 | 75 | 17 | 4 | 2 | 2 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 4.0 | 2. 2 | . 55 | 73 | 17 | 6 |  | 4 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 5.0 | 2.3 | . 46 | 78 | 18 | 4 |  |  |
| \$2,500- $\$ 2,999$ | 5.0 | 2.1 | . 42 | 89 | 3 |  | 8 | (*) |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 5.3 | 2.1 | . 41 | 91 | 9 |  |  |  |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 4.9 | 2.1 | . 43 | 66 | 13 | 13 |  | 8 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999.............. | 5.0 | 2.2 | . 44 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$5,000 and over ........-. | 5.3 | 2.5 | . 48 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| Family types II and III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499. | 4.0 | 3.4 | . 85 | 13 | 25 | 13 | 25 | 24 |
| \$500-\$749. | 4.3 | 3.4 | . 79 | 17 | 33 | 10 | 15 | 25 |
| \$750-\$999 | 3. 6 | 3.6 | 1. 00 | 21 | 14 | 17 | 5 | 43 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 4.3 | 3. 6 | . 84 | 31 | 22 | 27 | 11 | 9 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 4.1 | 3.4 | . 83 | 46 | 31 | 13 | 7 | 3 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 4.2 | 3. 6 | . 85 | 46 | 21 | 18 | 3 | 12 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 4.4 | 3. 6 | . 81 | 49 | 31 | 15 | 1 | 4 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 4.7 | 3. 6 | . 77 | 67 | 16 | 10 | 3 | 4 |
| \$2, 250-\$2,499 | 4.5 | 3. 6 | . 80 | 76 | 17 | 5 |  | 2 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 5. 6 | 3.7 | . 66 | 76 | 16 |  |  | 8 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 5.9 | 4. 1 | . 69 | 90 | 10 |  |  |  |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 5.5 | 3.6 | . 66 | 95 |  | 5 |  |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999. | 6.1 | 3.8 | . 62 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$5,000 and over | 6.2 | 4.1 | . 66 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| Family types IV and V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499. | 4.5 | 4.3 | . 96 |  | 50 |  | 25 | 25 |
| \$500-\$749. | 4. 6 | 3.9 | . 85 | 25 | 37 | 25 |  | 13 |
| \$750-\$999 | 4. 6 | 4.6 | 1. 00 | 9 | 18 | 34 | 12 | 27 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 4.7 | 4.4 | . 93 | 43 | 11 | 26 | 5 | 15 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499.............. | 5. 2 | 4.4 | . 85 | 39 | 28 | 17 | 10 | 6 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 4.8 | 4.2 | . 88 | 46 | 24 | 20 | 3 | 7 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999..............- | 4.8 | 4.5 | . 94 | 62 | 14 | 14 | 5 | 5 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 5.4 | 4.3 | . 79 | 56 | 20 | 10 |  | 14 |
| \$2,250-\$2,490 | 5.2 | 4.3 | . 82 | 67 | 19 | 11 |  | 3 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 5. 5 | 4.4 | . 81 | 84 | 11 |  |  | 5 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 5.8 | 4.4 | . 76 | 96 | 4 |  |  |  |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 5. 6 | 3.9 | . 70 | 95 |  |  |  | 5 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 6. 4 | 4. 5 | . 71 | 90 | 10 |  |  |  |
| \$5,000 and over $\ldots \ldots \ldots$ | - 7.2 | 5.1 | . 70 | 100 | --- | --.-.-- | - -----... | ------- |

${ }^{1}$ See explanation of tables for definition of this item. *Percentages of less than 0.5 are not shown.

Table 3.-Living quarters occupied: Average number of rooms in living quarters, average number of persons per room, and percentage of families having living quarters with certain modern facilities, by family type, occupation, and income, in 1 year, 1985--86--Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
ROCKY MOUNTAIN, 2 MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES: WHITE FAMILIES

| Occupational group and income class <br> (1) | Aver-agenum-ber ofroomsinlivingquar-ters |  | Aver age ber of persons per room ${ }^{1}$ | Percentage of families having living quarters with specified combinations of modern facilities |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Running hot and cold water, inside flush toilet, and electric lights |  |  | Running cold water only, inside flush toilet, and electric lights <br> (8) | Other combinations of facilities |
|  |  |  |  | Gas or electricity as kitchen fuel |  | Nokitchen gas or electricity and no furnace <br> (7) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Central furnace <br> (5) | No central furnace <br> (6) |  |  |  |
| Wage earner |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499. | 4.0 | 3.1 | 0.78 | 4 | 30 | 16 | 25 |  |
| \$500-8749 | 3.8 | 2.8 | . 74 | 31 | 27 | 15 | 7 | 20 |
| \$750-\$999 | 3.8 | 3.4 | . 88 | 16 | 12 | 24 |  | 33 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 4.4 | 3.3 | . 76 | 35 | 20 | 29 | 4 | 12 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 4.4 | 3.3 | . 76 | 34 | 33 | 24 | 7 | 2 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 6.4 | 4.8 | . 75 | 51 | 11 | 17 | 6 | 15 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 4.4 | 3. 4 | . 78 | 55 | ${ }_{20}^{27}$ | 12 | 2 | 4 |
|  | 4.5 | 3.5 3.4 | . 78 | 57 64 | 20 26 |  | 2 |  |
| Clerical |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749.. | 3.5 | 2.7 | . 76 | 60 | 5 | 5 |  | 30 |
| \$750-\$999 | 4.2 3.9 | 3. 1 | . 73 | 47 32 | 26 30 | 7 17 | $\stackrel{9}{13}$ | ${ }_{8}^{11}$ |
| \$1,250-81,499..............-- | 4.5 | 3.3 | .73 | 56 | 25 | 6 | 3 | 10 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 3.9 | 3.1 | . 80 | 58 | 21 | 16 | 3 | 2 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 4.3 | 3.4 | . 80 | 60 | 22 | 11 | 2 | 5 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \$ 2,000-\$ 2,249 \\ & \$ 2,250-\$ 2,499 \end{aligned}$ | 4.7 4.8 | 3. 3 | . 75 | 77 | 20 17 | ${ }_{3}^{8}$ |  | 3 |
| Independent business and professional |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 4.1 | 3.3 | . 80 | 36 | 38 |  | 13 |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 4.3 | 3.1 | . 72 | 64 | 18 |  | 3 | 15 |
| \$1,500- \$1,749 | 4.5 | 3. 3 | . 72 | ${ }^{61}$ | 29 | 6 |  | 4 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 5.3 | 3. 3 | . 62 | 84 <br> 75 | $\begin{array}{r}8 \\ 14 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 3 |  | 5 |
| \$2,250- 22,499 | 5.7 | 3.9 | . 68 | 77 | ${ }_{3}$ | 16 |  |  |
| \$2,500-\$2,999.............. | 5.9 | 3.9 | . 67 | 91 | 7 |  |  | 2 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499-- | 6.0 | 3.6 | . 60 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$3,500-\$3,999....-.-....... | 5.5 | 3.1 | . 57 | 94 |  |  |  | 6 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 5.9 | 3.7 | . 63 | 94 | 6 |  |  |  |
| \$5,000 and over-....-.... | 5.9 | 3.9 | . 66 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| Salaried business and professional |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 ...........- | 3.8 | 3.1 | . 81 | 66 | 21 |  | 6 | 7 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 4.6 | 3.2 | . 69 | 68 | 17 | 12 | 3 |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 4.5 | 3.2 | . 71 | 68 | 27 | 5 |  |  |
| \$1,750-\$1,999-....-...--- | 4.3 4.9 | 3.4 | . 79 | 74 <br> 69 <br> 8 | 10 12 | 9 3 | 7 |  |
| \$2,250-\$2,499. | 5. 2 | 3.3 | . 63 | 84 | 14 |  |  | 2 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 5.0 | 3.4 | . 68 | 78 | 13 |  | 3 | 6 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499-- | 5.4 | 3.7 | . 68 | 90 | 10 | 9 |  | 3 |
| \$84,000-\$4,999. | 5.3 6.0 | 3.4 <br> 3.8 <br> 1 | . 63 | ${ }_{96}$ | 4 | 9 |  |  |
| \$5,000 and over-..........-- | 6.8 | 4.1 | . 60 | 100 |  |  |  |  |

[^59]Table 3.-Living quarters occupled: Average number of rooms in living quarters, average number of persons per room, and percentage of families having living quarters with certain modern facilities, by family type, occupation, and income, in 1 year, 1935-96-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
PACIFIC NORTHWEST, 3 MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES: WHITE FAMILIES

| Family type and income class | $\begin{gathered} \text { A verage } \\ \text { number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { rooms } \\ \text { inliving } \\ \text { quar- } \\ \text { ters } \end{gathered}$ | Average of persons in living quarters ${ }^{2}$ | Average number of persons perroom 1 | Percentage of families having living quarters with specified combinations of modern facilities |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Running hot and cold water, inside flush toilet, and electric lights |  |  | Running cold water only, inside flush toilet, and electric lights <br> (8) | Other combinations of facilities |
|  |  |  |  | Gas or electricity as kitchen fuel |  | No kitchPn gas or electricity and no furnace <br> (7) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Central furnace (5) | No central furnace <br> (6) |  |  |  |
| All families |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 | 4.2 | 3.8 | 0.90 |  |  | 90 | 10 |  |
| \$500-8749 | 5.0 | 4.2 | 84 | 4 <br> 3 | 4 | 70 83 |  | 20 |
| \$1,000- 81,249 | 5.0 | 4.4 | .87 | 3 | 4 | 76 | 2 | 15 |
| \$1,250- $\$ 1,499$ | 4.9 | 4.6 | 94 | 12 | 5 | 75 | 2 | 6 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 5.2 | 4.3 | 82 | 13 | 10 | 62 | 1 | 14 |
| \$1,750-\$1,099 | 5.1 | 4.4 | . 95 | 26 | 7 | 51 |  | 16 |
| \$2,000-\$2, 249-_ | 5.4 5.7 | 4.4 | . 82 | 34 | $\begin{array}{r}8 \\ 13 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 50 28 |  | ${ }_{24}^{18}$ |
| \$2,500- \$2,999 | 5.4 | 4.8 | .90 | 49 | 11 | 23 |  | 17 |
| \$3,000-\$3.499 | 5.8 | 6.0 | 1.04 | 60 | 6 | 6 |  | 28 |
| \$3,500-83,999 | 6.3 | 5.9 | . 93 | 75 | 14 | 2 |  | 9 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999..........--- | 6.6 | 6.2 | . 94 | 64 100 | 6 | 9 |  | 21 |
| \$5,000 and over ---------- | 8.3 | 7.6 | . 92 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| Family type I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499.... | 4.5 | 3.0 | . 67 |  |  | 100 |  |  |
| \$500-\$749- | 5.2 | $\stackrel{2.9}{2}$ | . 56 | 8 | 3 | 70 |  | 24 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 5.2 | 2.5 | . 48 | 1 | 6 | 73 | ${ }_{3}$ |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 4.2 | 3.0 | . 72 | 20 | 9 | 69 |  | 2 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 4.5 | 2.6 | . 58 | 20 | 16 | 58 |  | 6 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 4.5 | 2.4 | . 53 | 45 |  | 47 |  | 8 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 4.7 | 2.2 | . 48 | 22 | 9 | 53 |  | 16 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 4.6 | 2.9 | . 62 | 46 | 8 | 17 |  | 29 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999-..........- | 4.8 4.9 | 3. 3.2 | . 74 | 62 56 | ${ }_{11}^{8}$ | 11 |  | 8 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 5.2 | 3.6 | . 68 | 60 | 40 |  |  |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 5.2 | 4.5 | .86 | 60 |  |  |  | 40 |
| \$5,000 and over-........-- | 8.3 | 2.7 | . 32 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| Family types II and III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 1.00 |  |  | 100 |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 4.6 | 5.4 | 1.18 |  | 9 | 67 |  | 17 |
| $\$ 750-\$ 999$ <br> \$1,000-\$1,249 | 4.7 4.7 | 4.9 4.9 | 1.04 1.04 |  | 3 | 96 75 | 3 | 1 |
| \$ $\$ 1,250-81,499$. | 4.8 | 4.8 | 1.00 | 7 | 1 | 87 |  | 5 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 5.0 | 4.3 | . 86 | 15 | 5 | 67 |  | 13 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 5.1 | 4.9 | . 96 | 16 | 9 | 60 |  | 15 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 5.0 | 4.8 | . 98 | 31 | 9 | 44 |  | 16 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999. | 5.4 5.4 | 4.2 5.7 | .78 1.06 | 35 45 | 17 | 24 |  | 14 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 6.1 | 5.6 | . 92 | 65 | 8 | 8 |  | 19 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999. | 6.3 | 5.8 | . 92 | 92 |  |  |  | 8 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999. | 6.2 | 5.2 | . 83 | 68 |  | 16 |  | 16 |
| \$5,000 and over- | 6.5 | 8.4 | 1. 28 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Family ypes IV and V } \\ & \$ 250-\$ 499 \end{aligned}$ | ( $\dagger$ | (t) | ( $\dagger$ ) |  |  | ( $\dagger$ ) |  | ( $\dagger$ |
| \$500-\$749 | 5. 4 | 5.2 | . 96 | 9 |  | 76 |  |  |
| \$750-\$999.-. | 5.8 5.3 | 5.3 5.9 | . 91 | 4 | 5 | 87 80 | 7 5 | 6 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 5.8 | 6.2 | 1.08 | 10 | 6 | 65 | 5 | 14 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749..... .-....-- | 6.1 | 5.7 | . 93 | 4 | 9 | 60 | 2 | 25 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 5.7 | 5.5 | . 96 | 21 | 11 | 44 |  | 24 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 6.3 6.7 | 5.6. | .88 1.03 | 18 25 | $\begin{array}{r}6 \\ 15 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 55 38 |  | 21 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 6.0 | 5.2 | . 86 | 42 | 9 | 23 |  | 26 |
| \$3,000- $\$ 3,499$ | 6.3 | 8.4 | 1.34 | 62 |  |  |  | 38 |
| \$8, $84,000-\$ 3,94,9999$ | 7.0 7.3 | 7.3 | 1.05 1.00 | 70 62 | 11 | 4 |  | 15 18 |
|  | 8.9 | 9.4 | 1. 06 | 100 | 12 | 7 |  | 18 |

[^60]Table 3.-Miving quarters occupied: Average number of rooms in living quarters, average number of persons per room, and percentage of families having living quarters with certain modern facilities, by family type, occupation, and income, in 1 year, 1935- 96 -Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
PACIFIC NORTHWEST, 3 MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES: WHITIE FAMIHIES

| Occupational group and income class <br> (1) | Average number of rooms in living quarters | Average number of persons in living quarters 1 | Aver-number of persons per room ${ }^{1}$ | Percentage of families having living quarters with specified combinations of modern facilities |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Running hot and cold water, inside flush toilet, and electric lights |  |  | Running cold water only, inside flush toilet, and electric lights <br> (8) | Other combinations of facilities <br> (9) |
|  |  |  |  | Gas or electricity as kitchen fuel |  | Nokitchen gas or electricity and no furnace <br> (7) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Oentral furnace <br> (5) | No central furnace <br> (6) |  |  |  |
| Wage earner |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499. | 4.2 | 3.8 | 0.90 |  |  | 90 | * 10 |  |
| \$500-\$749....---...........- | 4.9 | 4.3 | . 87 |  | 3 | 75 | 2 | 20 |
| \$750-\$999 .-......-. | 4.8 | 4.2 | . 88 |  |  | 86 | 7 | 7 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 5.1 | 4.3 | . 85 |  | 1 | 82 | 1 | 16 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 .................. | 4.9 | 4.5 | . 92 | 8 | 3 | 82 | 2 | 5 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 5.3 | 3.9 | . 73 | 5 | 6 | 76 |  | 13 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 4.9 | 4.0 | . 83 | 22 | 5 | 62 |  | 11 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 5.4 | 4.7 | . 87 | 7 |  | 77 |  | 16 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 5.5 | 4.6 | . 84 | 27 | 12 | 27 |  | 34 |
| Clerical |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 . .-. --........... | 5.9 | 3.9 | . 66 | 34 | 16 | 32 |  | 18 |
| \$750-\$999 - ------------- | 5.1 | 4.2 | . 82 | 22 |  | 68 | 7 | 3 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 ..........-. -- | 4.8 | 4.6 | . 96 | 10 | 12 | 62 | 5 | 11 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 4.7 | 4.7 | 1. 00 | 23 | 7 | 61 |  | 9 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 ----.-------- | 4.9 | 4.0 | . 82 | 35 | 19 | 32 | 3 | 11 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 5.4 | 4.3 | . 80 | 30 | 8 | 47 |  | 15 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 5.0 | 4.0 | . 80 | 42 | 10 | 36 |  | 12 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499. | 6.1 | 4.9 | . 80 | 35 | 16 | 35 |  | 14 |
| Independent business and professional |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 5.0 | 4.5 | . 91 | 7 | 11 | 64 |  | 18 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499.............. | 5.0 | 4.8 | . 95 | 11 | 21 | 52 |  | 16 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749...........-. | 5.5 | 5.6 | 1.00 | 11 | 7 | 60 | ----- | 22 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999...-----...- | 5.4 | 4.9 | . 90 | 24 | 12 | 40 |  | 24 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 -------.-.- | 5.1 | 4.5 | . 88 | 34 | 24 | 19 | ----- | 23 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 5.6 | 5.4 | . 97 | 29 | 12 | 39 |  | 20 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 5.4 | 4.5 | . 83 | 56 | 9 | 15 |  | 20 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499....---.-.-. | 5.6 | 6.8 | 1. 21 | 60 | 4 | 4 | --------- | 32 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999.............. | 6.7 | 5.8 | . 86 | 72 | 19 |  |  | 9 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999.............. | 6.9 | 6.5 | . 95 | 71 | 5 |  |  | 24 |
| \$5,000 and over....-....-- | 8.4 | 7.7 | . 92 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| Salaried business and professional |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 .............- | 5.2 | 4.9 | . 94 | 14 | 6 | 59 | 10 | 11 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 4.2 | 4.7 | 1. 13 | 34 | 4 | 58 |  | 4 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 4.9 | 5.2 | 1. 06 | 22 | 20 | 38 |  | 20 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 5.3 | 5.2 | . 98 | 34 | 8 | 26 |  | 32 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 ............. | 5.9 | 4.1 | . 70 | 28 | 7 | 39 | ----------- | 26 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 5.3 | 4.5 | . 85 | 57 | 12 | 10 |  | 21 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 5.4 | 5.1 | . 95 | 44 | 13 | 30 | ----------- | 13 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 --.-.---...-- | 6.0 | 4.9 | . 82 | 61 | 8 | 8 | -....... | 23 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 ....-.-......- | 6. 0 | 6. 1 | 1.02 | 77 | 10 | 4 |  | 9 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999..............- | 6.4 | 5.9 | . 92 | 57 | 7 | 18 | ------------ | 18 |
| \$5,000 and over-.-.-.....- | 8.1 | 7.5 | . 93 | 100 |  |  |  |  |

[^61]Table 3.-Living quarters occupied: Average number of rooms in lining quarters, average number of persons per room, and percentage of families having living quarters with certain modern facilities, by family type, occupation, and income, in 1 year, 1935-96-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
NEW ENGLAND, 4 sMALL CITIES: WHITE FAMILIES

| Family type and income class(1) | A verage number of rooms inliving quarters <br> (2) | A verage number of persons in living quarters 1(3) | A verage number of persons per room ${ }^{1}$ <br> (4) | Percentage of families having living quarters with specified combinations of modern facilities |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Running hot and cold water, inside flush toilet, and electric lights |  |  | Running cold water only, inside flush toilet, and electric lights | Other combin ations of facilities <br> (9) |
|  |  |  |  | Gas or electricity as kitchen fuel |  | No kitchen gas or electricity and no furnace <br> (7) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Central furnace <br> (5) | No central furnace <br> (6) |  |  |  |
| Allfamilies |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 | 4.7 | 2.5 | 0. 54 | 20 | 8 | 10 | 21 | 41 |
| \$500-\$749 | 4.6 | 3.0 | . 66 | 21 | 3 | 18 | 37 | 21 |
| \$750-\$999 | 4.9 | 3.2 | . 65 | 14 | 3 | 16 | 49 | 18 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 5.1 | 3.2 | . 62 | 23 | 8 | 11 | 33 | 25 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 5. 2 | 3.4 | . 64 | 34 | 9 | 11 | 24 | 22 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 5. 6 | 3.5 | . 61 | 47 | 12 | 10 | 13 | 18 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 5.6 | 3.5 | . 61 | 53 | 7 | 6 | 18 | 16 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 5.9 | 3.5 | . 60 | 59 | 7 | 3 | 7 | 24 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 6.4 | 3.6 | . 56 | 70 | 5 | 3 | 7 | 15 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 6.5 | 3.2 | . 50 | 78 | 4 |  | 4 | 14 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 7.0 | 3.5 | . 50 | 83 |  | 3 | 2 | 12 |
| Family type I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499. | 4.7 | 2.0 | . 42 | 14 | 14 |  |  | 72 |
| \$500-\$749 | 4.4 | 2.2 | . 51 | 37 |  | 23 | 26 | 14 |
| \$750-\$999. | 5.0 | 2.1 | . 42 | 14 |  | 17 | 42 | 27 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 4.6 | 2.2 | . 48 | 37 | 8 | 7 | 30 | 18 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 4. 9 | 2.2 | . 45 | 39 | 10 | 3 | 21 | 27 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 5.0 | 2.3 | . 45 | 48 | 21 | 6 | 4 | 21 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 5.2 | 2.3 | . 44 | 56 | 10 |  | 23 | 11 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 5.4 | 2.1 | . 40 | 55 | 10 | 5 | 6 | 24 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 5.9 | 2.2 | . 37 | 86 | 4 |  |  | 10 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 5.8 | 2.0 | . 34 | 78 |  |  | 11 | 11 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499. | 6.1 | 2.1 | . 34 | 79 |  | 7 |  | 14 |
| Family types II and III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | $\left.{ }^{( } \dagger\right)$ | ( $\dagger$ ) |  |  | ( $\dagger$ ) |  |
| \$500-\$749 - | 4.4 | 3.6 | . 81 | 5 | 8 | 9 | ( 54 | 24 |
| \$750-\$999 | 4.6 | 3.7 | . 80 | 10 | 6 | 18 | 58 | 8 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 ----------- | 5. 1 | 3.5 | . 68 | 17 | 6 | 13 | 27 | 37 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 5.0 | 3.6 | . 73 | 34 | 13 | 13 | 25 | 15 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749-............. | 5.5 | 3. 5 | . 64 | 49 | 5 | 12 | 15 | 19 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999------------ | 5. 6 | 3.6 | . 64 | 57 | 4 | 11 | 16 | 12 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 5.8 | 3.8 | . 65 | 56 | 11 | 4 | 12 | 17 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499. | 6. 1 | 3.5 | . 58 | 75 | 6 |  | 7 | 12 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999--------..-- | 7.1 | 3. 6 | . 51 | 81 |  |  |  | 19 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499. | 7.0 | 3.7 | . 53 | 91 |  |  | 4 | 5 |
| Family types IV and V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 | (t) | ${ }^{\dagger}{ }^{\text {¢ }}$ | $\left.{ }^{+}\right)_{73}$ |  |  | ( ${ }^{(1)}$ |  | ( $\dagger$ ) |
| \$500-\$749 | 5.3 | 3.9 | . 73 | 8 |  | 27 | 32 | 33 |
| \$750-\$999 | 5. 5 | 4.4 | . 79 | 27 | 6 | 6 | 39 | 22 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249------------ | 5.7 | 4.2 | . 73 | 10 | 12 | 15 | 45 | 18 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499----------- | 6.1 | 4.5 | . 74 | 27 | 1 | 18 | 28 | 26 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749.............. | 6.4 | 4.6 | . 72 | 45 | 10 | 14 | 18 | 13 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999------------ | 6.1 | 4.3 | . 71 | 47 | 6 | 5 | 16 | 26 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249.-.-.-.-.-. -- | 6.4 | 4.5 | . 70 | 65 | 2 |  | 5 | 28 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 7.0 | 4.8 | . 69 | 53 | 4 | 7 | 14 | 22 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 6.9 | 4.5 | . 65 | 74 | 13 |  |  | 13 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 7. 7 | 4.5 | . 58 | 79 |  | 3 | -------- | 18 |

[^62]Table 3.--Living quarters occupied: Average number of rooms in living quarters, average number of persons per room, and percentagc of families having living quarters with certain modern facilities, by family type, occupation, and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
NEW ENGLAND, 4 SMALL CITIES: WHITE FAMILIES

| Occupational group and income class <br> (1) | Aver- <br> age <br> num- <br> ber of <br> rooms <br> in <br> living <br> quar- <br> ters | Average number of persons in living quarters ${ }^{1}$ | Average number of persons per room | Percentage of families having living quarters with specified combinations of modern facilities |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Running hot and cold water, inside fush toilet, and electric lights |  |  | Running cold water only, in side flush toilet, and electric lights <br> (8) | Other combinations of facilities <br> (9) |
|  |  |  |  | Gas or electricity as kitchen fuel |  | Nokitchen gas or electricity and no furnace <br> (7) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Central furnace (5) | No central furnace <br> (6) |  |  |  |
| Wage earner |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499. | 4. 7 | 2.5 | 0. 54 | 20 | 8 | 10 | 21 | 41 |
| \$500-\$749 | 4.5 | 3.0 | . 66 | 22 | 2 | 10 | 43 | 23 |
| \$750-\$999 | 4.9 | 3.2 | . 65 | 13 | 3 | 16 | 49 | 19 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 5.0 | 3.2 | . 64 | 18 | 10 | 12 | 34 | 26 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 5. 2 | 3. 4 | . 65 | 28 | 9 | 11 | 32 | 20 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 5.6 | 3.6 | . 64 | 44 | 15 | 10 | 14 | 17 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 5. 5 | 3.4 | . 62 | 47 | 7 | 6 | 22 | 18 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 5.8 | 3. 5 | . 60 | 60 | 7 | 5 | 6 | 22 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499. | 6.1 | 3.9 | . 63 | 64 | 8 | 4 | 11 | 13 |
| Clerical, business, and professional |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 4. 7 | 3. 1 | . 65 | 17 | 6 | 71 |  | 6 |
| \$750-\$999 | 4. 4 | 2.9 | . 66 | 22 | 5 | 15 | 46 | 12 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 5.4 | 3.2 | . 58 | 36 | 3 | 11 | 28 | 22 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 5. 4 | 3.4 | . 63 | 46 | 9 | 11 | 9 | 25 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 5. 8 | 3.3 | . 57 | 54 | 5 | 11 | 11 | 19 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 5.8 | 3.5 | . 61 | 63 | 6 | 5 | 13 | 13 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 ............. | 6. 0 | 3. 6 | . 59 | 58 | 8 |  | 8 | 26 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 6.5 | 3.4 | . 52 | 73 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 17 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 6.5 | 3. 2 | . 50 | 78 | 4 |  | 4 | 14 |
| \$3,000 and over---------- | 7.0 | 3.5 | . 50 | 83 |  | 3 | 2 | 12 |

[^63]Table 3.-Living quarters occupied: Average number of rooms in living quarters, average number of persons per room, and percentage of families having living quarters with certain modern facilities, by family bype, occupation, and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
EAST CENTRAL, 5 SMALL CITIES: WHITE FAMILIES

| Family type and income class <br> (1) | Average number of rooms inliving quarters | Average number of persons in living quarters 1(3) | A verage number of persons per room ${ }^{1}$ <br> (4) | Percentage of families having living quarters with specified combinations of modern facilities |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Running hot and cold water, inside flush toilet, and electric lights |  |  | Running cold water only, inside flush toilet, and electric lights | Other combin-ationsof facilities <br> (9) |
|  |  |  |  | Gas or electricity as kitchen fue] |  | No kitchen gas or electricity and no furnace <br> (7) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Central furnace <br> (5) | No central furnace <br> (6) |  |  |  |
| All families |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499_ | 5.7 | 3.2 | 0.56 | 30 | 3 |  | 34 | 33 |
| \$500-\$749. | 4. 8 | 3.2 | . 66 | 24 | 3 | (*) | 53 | 20 |
| \$750-\$999. | 5. 3 | 3.6 | . 68 | 26 | 6 |  | 30 | 37 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 5.3 | 3.6 | . 69 | 45 | 3 | 2 | 31 | 19 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 5.6 | 3.7 | . 66 | 52 | 5 | 1 | 22 | 20 |
| 1,500-\$1,749 | 5. 8 | 3.8 | . 66 | 63 | 6 | 2 | 19 | 10 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 5. 8 | 3.7 | . 64 | 65 | 6 | 1 | 15 | 13 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 5. 8 | 3.9 | . 66 | 74 | 8 | , | 11 | 6 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 5. 7 | 3.9 | . 68 | 75 | 2 | 2 | 9 | 12 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 6.3 | 3.8 | . 60 | 87 | 1 |  | 10 | 2 |
| \$3,000 and over. | 6.5 | 3.8 | . 58 | 91 | 1 | (*) | 3 | 5 |
| Family type I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499. | 5. 8 | 2. 1 | - . 36 | 33 |  |  | 44 | 23 |
| \$500-8749 | 4. 1 | 2.0 | . 49 | 47 |  |  | 40 | 13 |
| \$750-\$999 | 5. 1 | 2.3 | . 45 | 37 | 2. |  | 26 | 35 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 5.3 | 2.3 | . 43 | 74 | 3 |  | 13 | 10 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 5.4 | 2. 1 | . 38 | 56 |  |  | 15 | 29 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 5. 4 | 2.1 | . 38 | 64 | 11 |  | 25 |  |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 5. 5 | 2.1 | . 38 | 57 | 7 | 3 | 14 | 19 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 5.7 | 2.2 | . 38 | 93 | 5 |  | 2 |  |
| \$2,250-\$2,499. | 5.9 | 2.0 | . 35 | 94 |  |  | 6 |  |
| \$2,500-\$2,999. | 5.9 | 2.1 | . 35 | 93 |  |  | 7 | - |
| \$3,000 and over .-.-......- | 5.9 | 2.0 | . 34 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| Family type II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499. | 5. 0 | 3.0 | . 60 | 33 |  |  | 33 | 34 |
| \$500-\$749 | 4.5 | 3. 0 | . 67 | 3 | 11 |  | 55 | 31 |
| \$750-\$999. | 4.8 | 2.5 | . 52 | 23 | 9 |  | 29 | 39 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 5. 0 | 3. 2 | . 64 | 40 |  | 5 | 41 | 14 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 5.1 | 3. 2 | . 62 | 58 | 12 | 1 | 19 | 10 |
| \$1,500-81,749 | 5.5 | 3.0 | . 56 | 75 | 2 |  | 18 | 5 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 5. 5 | 3.1 | . 57 | 74 | 7 |  | 12 | 7 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 5. 6 | 3. 2 | . 58 | 74 | 11 |  | 9 | 6 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 5.9 | 3.2 | . 54 | 79 |  |  | 4 | 17 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 6.2 | 3. 2 | . 53 | 93 |  |  | 7 |  |
| \$3,000 and over ---------- | 7.2 | 3.4 | . 48 | 86 |  |  | 14 |  |
| Family type III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 | 5.0 | 4.0 | . 80 |  |  |  | 25 | 75 |
| \$500-\$749 | 5. 2 | 4. 0 | . 76 | 8 |  | 4 | 64 | 24 |
| 750-\$899 | 5. 3 | 4. 2 | . 78 | 16 | 8 | 7 | 45 | 24 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 5. 2 | 4.0 | . 77 | 42 |  |  | 33 | 25 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 5. 7 | 4. 1 | . 72 | 55 | 2 |  | 32 | 11 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 ...........-. -- | 5. 5 | 4.3 | . 78 | 72 | 3 |  | 20 | 5 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 ............... | 5. 6 | 4. 1 | . 73 | 73 | 3 |  | 18 | 6 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 6.1 | 4. 1 | . 68 | 80 |  |  | 14 | 6 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 5. 8 | 4. 1 | . 70 | 95 |  |  |  | 5 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 6.3 | 4. 1 | . 66 | 91 |  |  | 9 |  |
| \$3,000 and over . .-.-.-.-- | 7.0 | 4.6 | . 66 | 109 | ----------- |  |  |  |

[^64]Table 3.-Living quarters occupied: Average number of rooms in living quarters, average number of persons per room, and percentage of families having living quarters with certain modern facilities, by family type, occupation, and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
EAST CENTRAL, 5 SMALL CITIES: WHITE FAMILBES

| Family type and income class <br> (1) | A verage number of rooms inliving quarters | A verage number of persons in living quarters 1(\$) | Average number of persons per room 1 <br> (4) | Percentage of families having living quarters with specifled combinations of modern facilities |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Running hot and cold water, inside flush toilet, and electric lights |  |  | Running cold water only, inside flush toilet, and electric lights | Other combinations of facilities |
|  |  |  |  | Gas or electricity as kitchen fuel |  | No kitchen gas or electricity and no furnace <br> (7) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Central furnace <br> (5) | No central furnace <br> (6) |  |  | (9) |
| Family type IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499. | 6.6 | 3.4 | 0.52 | 14 | 14 |  | 29 | 43 |
| \$500-\$749. | 5.7 | 3.0 | . 53 | 13 | 7 |  | 70 | 10 |
| \$750-\$999 | 5.4 | 3.3 | . 62 | 23 | 11 | 2 | 32 | 32 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 5.5 | 3.7 | . 67 | 40 | 6 |  | 32 | 22 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 5.8 | 3.7 | . 63 | 61 | 6 |  | 16 | 17 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 6.1 | 3.7 | . 61 | 56 | 3 | 6 | 21 | 14 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 5.9 | 3. 6 | .61 | 66 | 3 |  | 15 | 16 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 5. 7 | 3. 6 | . 64 | 74 | 9 |  | 11 | 6 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 6.2 | 3.8 | . 61 | 59 |  | 5 | 16 | 20 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 6.4 | 3. 6 | . 56 | 86 |  |  | 14 |  |
| \$3,000 and over | 6.5 | 3.6 | . 55 | 92 | 1 |  |  | 7 |
| Family type V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | (t) | ( $\dagger$ ) |  |  | ( $\dagger$ ) |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 5.7 | 5.6 | . 97 | 29 |  |  | 32 | 39 |
| \$750-\$999. | 6.8 | 5.5 | . 81 | 24 |  |  | 22 | 54 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 5.6 | 5. 3 | . 94 | 18 | 6 | 7 | 45 | 24 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 6. 0 | 5.4 | . 91 | 36 | 3 |  | 41 | 20 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 6.0 | 5.7 | . 94 | 59 | 9 |  | 7 | 25 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 6.4 | 5.3 | . 83 | 72 | 9 |  | 9 | 10 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 6.3 | 5.5 | . 87 | 55 | 12 | 4 | 19 | 10 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 3. 2 | 5. 6 | 1.80 | 68 | 16 | -----.--- | 5 | 11 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 6. 8.2 | 5.5 5. 5 | .80 | 87 76 | 4 |  | ${ }^{3}$ | 10 8 |
| Family type VI |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) |  |  | ( $\dagger$ ) |  |
| \$500-\$749. | 4.9 | 5.6 | 1.14 | 11 |  |  | ( 78 | 11 |
| \$750-\$999. | 5.3 | 5.1 | . 97 | 31 |  |  | 38 | 31 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 5. 2 | 5.0 | . 96 | 25 |  | 1 | 39 | 35 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 5.9 | 5.1 | . 87 | 48 | 7 |  | 13 | 32 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 5.8 | 5.4 | . 92 | 71 | 9 | 1 | 16 | 3 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 5.6 | 5.5 | . 99 | 54 | 8 | 4 | 34 |  |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 6.2 | 5.3 | . 85 | 62 | 7 |  | 17 | 14 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 4.9 | 5.7 | 1.16 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 5.8 | 5.5 | . 94 | 88 |  |  | 12 |  |
| \$3,000 and over . . . . .-. -- | 6.9 | 5.7 | . 83 | 100 | ---7---- |  |  |  |
| Family type VII |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499. | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749. | 6.6 | 7.1 | 1.08 | 13 |  |  | 25 | 62 |
| \$750-\$999 | 5.1 | 7.4 | 1.45 | 12 |  |  | 23 | 65 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 5. 7 | 7.3 | 1. 29 | 25 |  |  | 57 | 18 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 .............-. | 5.8 | 7.7 | 1. 34 | 16 | 8 | 8 | 49 | 19 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 6. 6 | 7.7 | 1. 17 | 43 | 11 |  | 6 | 40 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 .-. --- --. -- | 6.6 | 7.5 | 1.13 | 50 | 4 |  | 15 | 31 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249-...........-- | 6.4 | 7.5 | 1.18 | 43 | 12 | 6 | 17 | 22 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 6.9 | 7.5 | 1. 08 | 48 |  |  | 17 | 35 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999.......---...- | 7.0 | 7.5 | 1. 07 | 54 | 9 |  | 21 | 16 |
| \$3,000 and over-.-.------1 | 7.2 | $7: 4$ | 1. 02 | 67 | 7 | 5 | 9 | 12 |

[^65]Table 3.-Living quarters occupied: Average number of rooms in living quarters, average number of persons per room, and percentage of families having living quarters with certain modern facilities, by family type, occupation, and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
EAST CENTRAL, 5 SMALL CITIES: WHITE FAMILIES

| Occupational group and income class <br> (1) | Average number of rooms in living quarters | Average number of persons in living quarters ${ }^{1}$ | Average number of persons per <br> room ${ }^{1}$ | Percentage of families having living quarters with specified combinations of modern facilities |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Rumning hot and cold water, inside flush toilet, and electric lights |  |  | Running cold water only, inside flush toilet, and electric lights <br> (8) | Other combinations of facilities <br> (9) |
|  |  |  |  | Gas or electricity as kitchen fuel |  | Nokitchen gas or electricity and no furnace <br> (7) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Central furnace <br> (5) | No central furnace <br> (6) |  |  |  |
| Wage earner |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 | 5.7 | 3.2 | 0.56 | 30 | 3 |  | 32 | 35 |
| \$500-\$749. | 4.8 | 3.2 | . 67 | 21 | 4 |  | 53 | 22 |
| \$750-\$999 | 5.3 | 3.8 | . 71 | 25 | 5 | 1 | 31 | 38 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 5.2 | 3.7 | . 71 | 39 | 3 | 2 | 33 | 38 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 5.5 | 3.8 | . 68 | 47 | 6 | 1 | 22 | 24 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 5.7 | 4.0 | . 69 | 56 | 8 | 3 | 19 | 14 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 5.7 | 3.7 | . 64 | 62 | 5 | (*) | 17 | 16 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 5.8 | 3.8 | . 66 | 69 | 11 |  | 13 | 5 |
| \$2,250- \$2,499 | 5.3 | 3.9 | . 73 | 67 | 4 | 3 | 13 | 13 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 6.4 | 3.8 | . 59 | 83 | 1 |  | 13 | 3 |
| \$3,000-\$4,999 ------------- | 6.5 | 3.7 | . 57 | 87 | 3 |  | 5 | 5 |
| Clerical, business, and professional |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749. .-..-.-.------ | 5.0 | 3.0 | . 60 | 40 |  | 3 | 52 | 5 |
| \$750-\$999--------...- | 5.3 | 2.8 | . 53 | 28 | 7 | 3 | 31 | 31 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 ................ | 5.4 | 3.4 | . 63 | 59 | 3 | 1 | 28 | 9 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 5.8 | 3.6 | . 63 | 63 | 2 | 1 | 21 | 13 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749.........----- | 5.8 | 3.6 | . 62 | 73 | 4 | (*) | 18 | 5 |
| \$1,750-\$1,990..............-- | 5.8 | 3.8 | . 64 | 70 | 6 | 1 | 13 | 10 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 5.9 | 3.9 | . 66 | 81 | 4 |  | 7 | 8 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 6.0 | 3.8 | . 63 | 84 |  |  | 4 | 12 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 6.3 | 3.9 | . 61 | 91 | 1 |  | 7 | 1 |
| \$3,000-\$3,999 | 6.5 | 3.8 | . 58 | 93 | 1 | (*) | 3 | 3 |

${ }^{1}$ See explanation of tables for definition of this item.
*Percentages of less than 0.5 are not shown.

Table 4.-Housing: Average value of housing secured with and without money expenditure, by income, in 1 year, 1935-36
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
NEW YORK CITY: WHITE FAMILIES

| Income class | $\underset{\text { All }}{\text { hous. }}$ ing, plus fuel, light and refrigeration | Fuel, light, and refrigeration | Value of housing 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Total | Money expenditure |  |  | Without money expenditure |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Rent |
|  |  | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) |
| \$500-\$749 | \$374 | \$55 | \$319 | \$306 | \$306 |  | \$13 | -\$3 | \$16 |
| \$750-\$999 | 396 | 78 | 318 | 276 | 276 |  | 42 | 21 | 21 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 416 | 83 | 333 | 303 | 303 | (*) | 30 | 11 | 19 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 450 | 80 | 370 | 345 | 344 | \$1 | 25 | 9 | 16 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 502 | 85 | 417 | 398 | 395 | 3 | 19 | 10 | 9 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 539 | 89 | 450 | 428 | 425 | 3 | 22 | 14 | 8 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 588 | 91 | 497 | 471 | 467 | 4 | 26 | 23 | 3 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 621 | 87 | 534 | 506 | 495 | 11 | 28 | 21 | 7 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 683 | 114 | 569 | 536 | 524 | 12 | 33 | 25 | 8 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 747 | 102 | 645 | 615 | 596 | 19 | 30 | 22 | 8 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 805 | 120 | 685 | 640 | 611 | 29 | 45 | 45 |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 1,017 | 124 | 893 | 841 | 791 | 50 | 52 | 52 | (*) |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 1,212 | 131 | 1,081 | 1,032 | 943 | 89 | 49 | 21 | 28 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | 1,807 | 160 | 1,647 | 1,527 | 1,307 | 220 | 120 | 92 | 28 |
| \$10,000 and over | 2,868 | 161 | 2,707 | 2,738 | 2,177 | 561 | -31 | -34 | 3 |

## NEW YORK CITY: NEGRO FAMILIES

| \$500-\$749 | \$339 | \$53 | \$286 | \$158 | $\$ 158$ |  | \$128 |  | \$128 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$750-\$999 | 371 | 68 | 303 | 260 | 260 |  | 43 | \$3 | 40 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 427 | 65 | 362 | 316 | 316 |  | 46 | 1 | 45 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 450 | 78 | 372 | 361 | 361 | (*) | 11 | 1 | 10 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 491 | 80 | 411 | 396 | 395 | \$1 | 15 | 2 | 13 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 562 | 82 | 480 | 468 | 466 | 2 | 12 | -15 | 27 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 598 | 76 | 522 | 506 | 503 | 3 | 16 | 16 |  |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 670 | 94 | 576 | 542 | 539 | 3 | 34 | 8 | 26 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 688 | 95 | 593 | 561 | 556 | 5 | 32 | 32 |  |
| \$3,000 and over | 1, 180 | 118 | 1,062 | 358 | 336 | 22 | 704 | 197 | 507 |

CHICAGO, HLL: WHITE FAMILIES

| \$500-\$749. | \$310 | \$83 | \$227 | \$203 | \$203 |  | \$24 | \$24 | (*) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$750-\$999. | 329 | 85 | 244 | 240 | 240 |  | 4 | (*) | \$4 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 365 | 92 | 273 | 243 | 243 | (*) | 30 | 20 | 10 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 413 | 89 | 324 | 277 | 277 | (*) | 47 | 29 | 18 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 456 | 95 | 361 | 333 | 331 | \$2 | 28 | 17 | 11 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 501 | 107 | 394 | 358 | 357 | 1 | 36 | 34 | 2 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 527 | 101 | 426 | 380 | 377 | 3 | 46 | 34 | 12 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 574 | 115 | 459 | 402 | 397 | 5 | 57 | 45 | 12 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 622 | 113 | 509 | 441 | 433 | 8 | 68 | 55 | 13 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 654 | 117 | 537 | 428 | 419 | 9 | 109 | 79 | 30 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 734 | 117 | 617 | 534 | 521 | 13 | 83 | 79 | 4 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 793 | 129 | 664 | 559 | 537 | 22 | 105 | 97 | 8 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 976 | 150 | 826 | 692 | 653 | 39 | 134 | 122 | 12 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | 1,317 | 150 | 1,167 | 1,041 | 1,000 | 41 | 126 | 118 | 8 |
| \$10,000 and over. | 1,885 | 224 | 1,661 | 1, 439 | 1,278 | 161 | 222 | 182 | 40 |

PROVIDENCE, H. I.: WHITE FAMILIES

| \$500-\$749. | \$264 | \$80 | \$184 | \$170 | \$170 |  | \$14 |  | \$14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$75C-\$999 | 285 | 90 | 195 | 190 | 190 | (*) | 5 | \$5 | (*) |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 350 | 117 | 233 | 224 | 224 | (*) | 9 | 9 |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 382 | 121 | 261 | 237 | 237 | (*) | 24 | 18 | 6 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 442 | 139 | 303 | 278 | 278 | (*) | 25 | 22 | 3 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 482 | 154 | 328 | 299 | 297 | \$2 | 29 | 25 | 4 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 548 | 169 | 379 | 340 | 336 | 4 | 39 | 36 | 3 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 571 | 169 | 402 | 334 | 324 | 10 | 68 | 66 | 2 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 660 | 192 | 468 | 384 | 366 | 18 | 84 | 83 | 1 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 780 | 192 | 588 | 501 | 483 | 18 | 87 | 80 | 7 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999. | 787 | 206 | 581 | 452 | 435 | 17 | 129 | 117 | 12 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999. | 994 | 235 | 759 | 536 | 506 | 30 | 223 | 200 | 23 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499. | 1,208 | 252 | 956 | 663 | 575 | 88 | 293 | 288 | 5 |
| \$7,500 and over | 1,739 | 375 | 1,364 | 903 | 752 | 151 | 461 | 405 | 56 |

${ }^{1}$ See explanation of tables for definition of this item.
Average amounts of less than $\$ 0.50$ are not shown.

Table 4.-Housing: Average value of housing secured with and without money expenditure, by income, in 1 year, 1935-86-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
COLUMBUS, OHIO: WHITE FAMHLIES


## COLUMBUS, OHIO: NEGRO FAMILIES

| \$250-\$499 | \$215 | \$51 | \$164 | \$135 | \$135 |  | \$29 | \$29 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$500-\$749 | 260 | 86 | 174 | 148 | 148 |  | 26 | 24 | \$2 |
| \$750-\$999 | 298 | 99 | 199 | 177 | 177 | (*) | 22 | 15 | 7 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 314 | 106 | 208 | 177 | 177 | (*) | 31 | 28 | 3 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 340 | 109 | 231 | 162 | 162 |  | 69 | 69 |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 373 | 131 | 242 | 197 | 197 | (*) | 45 | 45 |  |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 471 | 142 | 329 | 183 | 183 |  | 146 | 146 |  |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 473 | 146 | 327 | 256 | 256 |  | 71 | 71 |  |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 576 | 145 | 431 | 270 | 264 | \$6 | 161 | 123 | 38 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 485 | 143 | 342 | 174 | 170 | , | 168 | 168 |  |

ATLANTA, GA.: WHITE FAMILIES

| \$500-\$749 | \$177 | \$68 | \$109 | \$105 | \$105 |  | \$4 | \$4 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$750-\$999. | 249 | 84 | 165 | 158 | 158 | (*) | 7 | 7 |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 289 | 85 | 204 | 185 | 184 | \$1 | 19 | 19 | (*) |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 337 | 98 | 239 | 215 | 215 | (*) | 24 | 24 | (*) |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 376 | 101 | 275 | 229 | 228 | 1 | 46 | 46 |  |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 434 | 106 | 328 | 278 | 276 | 2 | 50 | 48 | \$2 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 444 | 110 | 334 | 270 | 267 | 3 | 64 | 63 |  |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 507 | 115 | 392 | 322 | 320 | 2 | 70 | 69 |  |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 528 | 125 | 403 | 295 | 290 | 5 | 108 | 96 | 12 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 634 | 135 | 499 | 392 | 385 | 7 | 107 | 103 |  |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 735 | 141 | 594 | 434 | 423 | 11 | 160 | 156 |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 797 | 158 | 639 | 465 | 449 | 16 | 174 | 169 | , |
| \$5,000-\$7,490 | 918 | 184 | 734 | 462 | 434 | 28 | 272 | 237 | 35 |
| \$7,500 and over | 1,379 | 229 | 1,150 | 576 | 505 | 71 | 574 | 554 | 20 |

ATLAN'RA, GA.: NEGRO FAMILIES

| Under \$250 | \$123 | \$32 | \$91 | \$77 | $\$ 77$ |  | \$14 | \$11 | \$3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$250-\$499. | 159 | 46 | 113 | 101 | 101 | (*) | 12 | 10 | 2 |
| \$500-\$749. | 186 | 61 | 125 | 114 | 114 | (*) | 11 | 10 | 1 |
| \$750-\$999 | 219 | 67 | 152 | 123 | 123 | (*) | 29 | 27 | 2 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 271 | 79 | 192 | 140 | 140 | (*) | 52 | 47 | 5 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 303 | 91 | 212 | 141 | 140 | \$1 | 71 | 69 | 2 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 348 | 108 | 240 | 151 | 150 | 1 | 89 | 74 | 15 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 399 | 121 | 278 | 253 | 252 | 1 | 25 | 11 | 14 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 444 | 125 | 319 | 201 | 200 | 1 | 118 | 107 | 11 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 464 | 134 | 330 | 203 | 200 | 3 | 127 | 127 |  |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 462 | 125 | 337 | 171 | 167 | 4 | 166 | 126 | 40 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 482 | 169 | 313 | 204 | 195 | 9 | 109 | 109 |  |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 466 | 134 | 332 | 271 | 271 |  | 61 | 61 |  |
| \$4,000 and over | 664 | 178 | 486 | 229 | 219 | 10 | 257 | 257 |  |

[^66]Table 4.-Housing: Average value of housing secured with and without money expenditure, by income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
OMAFA, NEBR.-COUNCLL BLUFFS, IOWA: WHITE FAMILIES

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{5}{*}{Income class

(1)} \& \multirow[t]{5}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
All housing, plus fuel, light and refrigeration <br>
(2)

} \& \multirow[b]{5}{*}{

Fuel, light, and refrigeration <br>
(3)
\end{tabular}} \& \multicolumn{7}{|c|}{Value of housing ${ }^{1}$} <br>

\hline \& \& \& \multirow[b]{4}{*}{| Total |
| :--- |
| (4) |} \& \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Money expenditure} \& \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Without money expenditure} <br>


\hline \& \& \& \& \multirow[b]{3}{*}{| Total |
| :--- |
| (5) |} \& \multirow[b]{3}{*}{| Family home |
| :--- |
| (6) |} \& \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Other housing} \& \multirow[b]{3}{*}{| Total |
| :--- |
| (8) |} \& \multirow[b]{3}{*}{| Owned home |
| :--- |
| (9) |} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{Rent received as pay or gift (10)} <br>

\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline \$500-\$749. \& \$287 \& $\$ 89$ \& \$198 \& \$159 \& \$159 \& \& \$39 \& \$39 \& <br>
\hline \$750-\$999 \& 298 \& 86 \& 212 \& 189 \& 189 \& \& 23 \& 15 \& \$8 <br>
\hline \$1,000-\$1,249 \& 368 \& 118 \& 250 \& 200 \& 199 \& \$1 \& 50 \& 44 \& 6 <br>
\hline \$1,250-\$1,499 \& 417 \& 131 \& 286 \& 201 \& 200 \& 1 \& 85 \& 71 \& 14 <br>
\hline \$1,500-\$1,749 \& 460 \& 134 \& 326 \& 246 \& 245 \& 1 \& 80 \& 80 \& <br>
\hline \$1,750-\$1,999 \& 495 \& 138 \& 357 \& 251 \& 247 \& 4 \& 106 \& 101 \& 5 <br>
\hline \$2,000-\$2,249 \& 531 \& 141 \& 390 \& 253 \& 247 \& 6 \& 137 \& 131 \& 6 <br>
\hline \$2,250-\$2,499 \& 578 \& 154 \& 424 \& 273 \& 267 \& 6 \& 151 \& 143 \& 8 <br>
\hline \$? $500-\$ 2,999$ \& 614 \& 162 \& 452 \& 284 \& 268 \& 16 \& 168 \& 160 \& 8 <br>
\hline \$3,000-\$3,499 \& 712 \& 183 \& 529 \& 353 \& 342 \& 11 \& 176 \& 176 \& <br>
\hline \$3,500-\$3,999 \& 764 \& 203 \& 561 \& 375 \& 358 \& 17 \& 186 \& 188 \& <br>
\hline \$4,000-\$4,999 \& 937 \& 213 \& 724 \& 458 \& 407 \& 51 \& 266 \& 266 \& <br>
\hline \$5,000-87,499 \& 965 \& 232 \& 733 \& 450 \& 404 \& 46 \& 283 \& 283 \& <br>
\hline \$7,500 and over \& 1,319 \& 322 \& 997 \& 609 \& 430 \& 179 \& 388 \& 376 \& 12 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

DENVER, COLO.: WHITE FAMILIES

| \$500-\$749 | \$264 | \$65 | \$199 | \$154 | \$154 | (*) | \$45 | \$43 | \$2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$750-\$999 | 290 | 66 | 224 | 168 | 168 |  | 56 | 27 | 29 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 309 | 79 | 230 | 175 | 174 | \$1 | 55 | 35 | 20 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 363 | 97 | 266 | 203 | 201 | 2 | 63 | 51 | 12 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 399 | 96 | 303 | 234 | 232 | 2 | 69 | 47 | 22 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 467 | 114 | 353 | 274 | 270 | 4 | 79 | 71 | 8 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 495 | 125 | 370 | 259 | 255 | 4 | 111 | 105 | 6 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 542 | 130 | 412 | 275 | 271 | 4 | 137 | 114 | 23 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 586 | 131 | 455 | 325 | 315 | 10 | 130 | 130 |  |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 730 | 161 | 569 | 380 | 361 | 19 | 189 | 175 | 14 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 771 | 179 | 592 | 428 | 410 | 18 | 164 | 154 |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 892 | 180 | 712 | 452 | 416 | 36 | 260 | 256 | 4 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 1,058 | 196 | 862 | 558 | 491 | 67 | 304 | 304 |  |
| \$7,500-\$9,999. | 1,634 | 250 | 1,384 | 685 | 565 | 120 | 699 | 699 |  |

PORTLAND, OREG.: WHITE FAMILIES

| \$500-\$749 | \$232 | \$68 | \$164 | \$123 | \$122 | \$1 | \$41 | \$40 | \$1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$750-\$999 | 253 | 69 | 184 | 147 | 146 | 1 | 37 | 28 | 9 |
| \$1,400-\$1,249. | 280 | 80 | 200 | 150. | 149 | 1 | 50 | 46 | 4 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 339 | 87 | 252 | 185 | 183 | 2 | 67 | 55 | 12 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 352 | 93 | 259 | 197 | 194 | 3 | 62 | 56 | 6 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 405 | 106 | 299 | 212 | 209 | 3 | 87 | 81 | 6 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 434 | 117 | 317 | 220 | 214 | 6 | 97 | 93 | 4 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499. | 480 | 120 | 360 | 256 | 249 | 7 | 104 | 99 | 5 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999. | 522 | 126 | 396 | 262 | 249 | 13 | 134 | 132 | 2 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499. | 614 | 150 | 464 | 309 | 284 | 25 | 155 | 155 | (*) |
| \$3,500-\$3,999. | 658 | 148 | 510 | 393 | 354 | 39 | 117 | 116 | 1 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 734 | 166 | 568 | 406 | 375 | 31 | 162 | 158 | 4 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499. | 886 | 189 | 697 | 502 | 457 | 45 | 195 | 195 |  |
| \$7,500 and over | 1,342 | 255 | 1,087 | 598 | 453 | 145 | 489 | 489 |  |

NEW ENGLAND, 2 MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES: WHITE FAMILIES

| \$250-\$499. | \$300 | \$74 | \$226 | \$204 | \$204 |  | \$22 | \$22 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$500-\$749. | 295 | 82 | 213 | 166 | 166 |  | 47 | 21 | \$26 |
| \$750-\$999 | 325 | 99 | 226 | 214 | 213 | \$1 | 12 | 8 | 4 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 363 | 109 | 254 | 223 | 222 | 1 | 31 | 21 | 10 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 404 | 126 | 278 | 256 | 256 | (*) | 22 | 21 | 1 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 449 | 139 | 310 | 273 | 272 | 1 | 37 | 35 | 2 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 517 | 157 | 360 | 327 | 323 | 4 | 33 | 33 |  |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 527 | 156 | 371 | 308 | 303 | 5 | 63 | 52 | 11 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 562 | 166 | 396 | 314 | 310 | 4 | 82 | 78 | 4 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 609 | 182 | 427 | 342 | 327 | 15 | 85 | 74 | 11 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 721 | 206 | 515 | 403 | 380 | 23 | 112 | 85 | 27 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999. | 734 | 199 | 535 | 456 | 438 | 18 | 79 | 79 |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999_ | 750 | 202 | 548 | 388 | 373 | 15 | 160 | 160 |  |
| \$5,000 and over | 1,577 | 345 | 1,232 | 925 | 737 | 188 | 307 | 307 |  |

[^67]Tabie 4.-Housing: Average value of housing secured with and without money expenditure, by income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
EAST CENTRAL, 3 MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES: WHITE FAMILIES

| Income class | All housing, plus fuel. light and refrigeration | Fuel, light, and refrigeration <br> (3) | Value of housing ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Total <br> (4) | Money expenditure |  |  | Without money expenditure |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Total | Family home | Other housing | Total | Owned home | Rent received as pay or gift |
|  |  |  |  | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) |
| \$250-\$499 | \$215 | \$57 | \$158 | \$130 | \$130 |  | \$28 | \$27 | \$1 |
| \$500-\$749. | 259 | 75 | 184 | 145 | 145 |  | 39 | 37 | 2 |
| \$750-\$999 | 286 | 86 | 200 | 159 | 159 | (*) | 41 | 37 | 4 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 348 | 103 | 245 | 188 | 188 |  | 57 | 54 | 3 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 374 | 108 | 266 | 202 | 201 | \$1 | 64 | 62 | 2 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 415 | 112 | 303 | 206 | 206 | (*) | 97 | 92 | 5 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 455 | 117 | 338 | 248 | 246 | 2 | 90 | 85 | 5 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 496 | 124 | 372 | 265 | 263 | 2 | 107 | 103 | 4 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 534 | 130 | 404 | 267 | 262 | 5 | 137 | 135 | 2 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 625 | 140 | 485 | 323 | 313 | 10 | 162 | 156 | 6 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 677 | 147 | 530 | 371 | 356 | 15 | 159 | 146 | 13 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 763 | 158 | 605 | 400 | 385 | 15 | 205 | 205 |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 828 | 165 | 663 | 364 | 339 | 25 | 299 | 287 | 12 |
| \$5,000 and over. | 952 | 197 | 755 | 522 | 481 | 41 | 233 | 233 |  |

SOUTHEAST, 2 MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES: WHITE FAMLLIES


SOUTHEAST, 2 MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES: NEGRO FAMILIES

| Under \$250 | \$106 | \$20 | \$86 | \$72 | \$72 |  | \$14 | $\$ 9$ | \$5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$250-\$499 | 133 | 39 | 94 | 80 | 80 |  | 14 | 13 | 1 |
| \$500-\$749 | 155 | 50 | 105 | 88 | 88 |  | 17 | 17 |  |
| \$750-\$999 | 197 | 64 | 133 | 101 | 100 | \$1 | 32 | 32 | (*) |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 252 | 79 | 173 | 102 | 101 | 1 | 71 | 60 | 11 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 268 | 78 | 190 | 95 | 89 | 6 | 95 | 64 | 31 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 329 | 114 | 215 | 130 | 127 | 3 | 85 | 67 | 18 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 358 | 110 | 248 | 265 | 258 | 7 | -17 | -17 |  |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 351 | 115 | 236 | 143 | 140 | 3 | 93 | 93 |  |
| \$2,250-\$2,499. | 457 | 115 | 342 | 133 | 123 | 10 | 209 | 179 | 30 |
| \$2,500 and over | 429 | 123 | 306 | 243 | 240 | 3 | 63 | -2 | 65 |

WEST CENTEAL, 2 MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES: WHITE FAMILIES

| \$250-\$499 | \$215 | \$64 | \$151 | \$119 | \$119 |  | \$32 | \$32 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$500-\$749 | 237 | 74 | 163 | 120 | 120 | (*) | 43 | 35 | \$8 |
| \$750-\$999 | 251 | 92 | 159 | 126 | 126 |  | 33 | 26 | 7 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 309 | 105 | 204 | 156 | 156 | (*) | 48 | 47 |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 359 | 126 | 233 | 160 | 160 | (*) | 73 | 70 | 3 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 393 | 128 | 265 | 194 | 193 | \$1 | 71 | 68 | 3 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 426 | 137 | 289 | 220 | 218 | 2 | 69 | 63 | 6 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 465 | 141 | 324 | 229 | 222 | 7 | 95 | 95 |  |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 519 | 151 | 368 | 225 | 221 | 4 | 143 | 137 | ${ }^{6}$ |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 602 | 175 | 427 | 270 | 261 | 9 | 157 | 141 | 16 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 627 | 167 | 460 | 363 | 356 | 7 | 97 | 97 |  |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 654 | 150 | 504 | 326 | 316 | 10 | 178 | 163 | 15 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 805 | 185 | 620 | 308 | 293 | 15 | 312 | 312 |  |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 912 | 225 | 687 | 422 | 383 | 39 | 265 | 265 |  |

[^68]Table 4.-Housing: Average value of housing secured with and without money expenditure, by income, in 1 year, 1995-36-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
ROCKY MOUNTAIN, 2 MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES: WHITE FAMILIES


PACIFIC NORTHWEST, 3 MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES: WHITE FAMILIES

| \$25n-\$499 | \$181 | \$42 | \$139 | \$84 | \$84 |  | \$55 | \$49 | \$6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$500-\$749 | 233 | 55 | 178 | 115 | 115 | (*) | 63 | 58 | 5 |
| \$750-\$999 | 214 | 52 | 162 | 108 | 108 | (*) | 54 | 50 | 4 |
| \$1,090-\$1.249 | 261 | 62 | 199 | 136 | 136 | (*) | 63 | 58 | 5 |
| \$1.250-\$1.499 | 262 | 66 | 196 | 122 | 121 | \$1 | 74 | 72 | 2 |
| \$1,500-\$1.749. | 306 | 78 | 228 | 156 | 153 | 3 | 72 | 68 | 4 |
| \$1.750-\$1.999. | 373 | 89 | 284 | 210 | 204 | 6 | 74 | 71 | 3 |
| \$2.m0-\$2.249 | 380 | 97 | 283 | 182 | 176 | 6 | 101 | 97 | 4 |
| \$2.250-\$2.499 | 423 | 100 | 323 | 207 | 200 | 7 | 116 | 106 | 10 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999. | 492 | 112 | 380 | 229 | 220 | 9 | 151 | 149 | 2 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499. | 563 | 147 | 416 | 283 | 262 | 21 | 133 | 133 |  |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 638 | 156 | 482 | 310 | 293 | 17 | 172 | 165 | 7 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 608 | 154 | 454 | 283 | 255 | 28 | 171 | 171 |  |
| \$5,000 and over | 1,050 | 249 | 801 | 502 | 336 | 166 | 299 | 299 |  |

NEW ENGLAND, 4 SMALL CITIES: WHITE FAMILIES

| \$250-\$499 | \$255 | \$67 | \$188 | \$135 | \$135 |  | \$53 | \$27 | \$26 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$500-\$749 | 319 | 96 | 223 | 194 | 194 |  | 29 | 28 | 1 |
| \$750-\$999. | 306 | 100 | 206 | 177 | 177 |  | 29 | 25 | 4 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 373 | 121 | 252 | 204 | 203 | \$1 | 48 | 48 |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 389 | 132 | 257 | 221 | 218 | 3 | 36 | 33 | 3 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 480 | 159 | 321 | 264 | 261 | 3 | 57 | 52 | 5 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 490 | 173 | 317 | 264 | 256 | 8 | 53 | 51 | 2 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 525 | 180 | 345 | 277 | 271 | 6 | 68 | 68 |  |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 568 | 186 | 382 | 307 | 295 | 12 | 75 | 62 | 13 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 652 | 186 | 466 | 392 | 369 | 23 | 74 | 57 | 17 |
| \$3,000 and over | 812 | 237 | 575 | 407 | 310 | 97 | 168 | 148 | 20 |

EAST CENTRAL, 5 SMALL CITIES: WHITE FAMILIES


See explanation of tables for definition of this item.

* Average amounts of less than $\$ 0.50$ are not shown.

Table 5.-Family housing and facilities included in rent: Distribution of familics reporting expenditures, by tenure; average housing expenditure; and percentage of renting families having specified facilities included in rent; by income, in 1 year, $1935-86$
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]

| Income class | Number of eligible families 1 |  |  | Distribution of families reporting expenditures ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  | Housing expenditure |  |  |  | Percentage of renting families having specified facilities included in rent ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Rent | Own |  | Ren | ers |  |  |  |  |  | Me- |  | None |
|  | Total 1 | ers | Renters | ors | Renters | tenure | ceived <br> as gift <br> or pay | Family home | Other ${ }^{1}$ | Family home | Other ${ }^{1}$ | age | ishings | Heat | Wat | Light | cal re- friger- ator | eration | $\begin{gathered} \text { facili- } \\ \text { ties } \\ \text { listed } \end{gathered}$ |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) | (14) | (15) | (16) | (17) | (18) | (19) | (20) |

NEW YORK CITY: WHITE FAMILIES

| \$500-\$749 |  | 239 |  | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent ${ }_{6}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16 |  | 8 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \$ 500-\$ 749 \\ & \$ 750-\$ 999 \end{aligned}$ | 9, ${ }^{3}, 531$ | 866 | 8, 838 | 13 | 818 |  | ${ }_{6}^{6}$ | (t) | (f) | $\$ 311.70$ 295.30 |  | 2 |  | 65 58 | 100 98 | 16 | 17 | 8 | 2 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 18,895 | 1.612 | 17, 194 | 8 | 82 |  | 10 | \$366.30 |  | 323.50 | \$0.20 |  | 2 | 60 | 100 | 3 | 25 | 2 |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 25, 522 | 2,925 | 22, 537 | 5 | 92 |  | 3 | 361. 70 |  | 351.00 | 1.20 | 3 | 1 | 67 | 100 | 5 | 39 | 2 | --....... |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 30, 299 | 3,940 | 26, 209 | 9 | 86 | 1 | 4 | 391.10 | \$4.60 | 404.90 | 2. 40 | 5 | (*) | 74 | 100 | 6 | 44 | 4 |  |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 32, 477 | 4,657 | 27, 701 | 13 | 85 |  | 2 | 354.50 | . 20 | 437.90 | 3.60 | 8 | (*) | 82 | 99 | 2 | 56 | 1 |  |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 31,671 | 5, 164 | 26, 448 | 9 | 89 | 1 | 1 | 351.30 | 1.30 | 479.00 | 4.40 | 9 | (*) | 82 | 99 | 1 | 63 | 1 | (*) |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 22, 239 | 5, 463 | 16,657 | 14 | 85 |  | 1 | 382.80 | 6. 70 | 514.60 | 11. 60 | 8 | 2 | 91 | 99 | 4 | 69 | 4 | (*) |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 39,075 | 9,791 | 29, 164 | 21 | 77 | 1 | 1 | 400.80 | 6.50 | 553.90 | 14. 20 | 17 | 2 | 79 | 99 | 3 | 60 | 4 |  |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 22, 269 | 6, 358 | 15, 761 | 17 | 82 |  | 1 | 541.70 | 6. 30 | 618.70 | 23.10 | 6 | , | 93 | 99 | 4 | 82 | 3 |  |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 12,955 | 4, 090 | 8,806 | 23 | 77 |  |  | 444. 50 | 18.20 | 666.30 | 33. 30 | 11 |  | 85 | 97 | 3 | 70 | 5 | 2 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 7,015 | 2, 209 | 4,806 | 23 | 77 |  |  | 596.70 | 30.40 | 844.60 | 55.30 | 8 |  | 92 | 100 |  | 86 | 3 |  |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 9, 164 | 2, 209 | 6,836 | 18 | 81 |  | 1 | 647.80 | 75. 30 | 1,018.40 | 92. 60 | 17 | 4 | 86 | 100 | 2 | 77 |  |  |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | 2, 866 | 776 | 2, 060 | 23 | 75 |  | 2 | 1, 106.40 | 287.70 | 1, 418.70 | 195.90 | 4 |  | 91 | 96 | 12 | 94 | 12 |  |
| \$10,000 and over | 3,941 | 985 | 2,925 | 13 | 87 |  |  | 1,733.90 | 164.40 | 2,270.90 | 567.40 |  |  | 100 | 100 |  | 98 | 4 |  |

NEW YORK CITY: NEGRO FAMILIES

| \$500-\$749 | 428 |  | 428 |  | 58 |  | 42 |  |  | \$273.90 |  |  |  | 42 | 100 | 16 | 16 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$750-\$999 | 2,139 | 80 | 2,059 | 5 | 81 |  | 14 | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ | 291.90 |  |  |  | 59 | 92 | 8 | 1 |  | 4 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 3,209 | 53 | 3,156 | 1 | 89 |  | 10 | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ | 354.60 |  |  | 4 | 89 | 97 | 8 | 7 | -----.-- | ---..... |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 2,460 | 107 | 2,326 | 1 | 96 |  | 3 | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ | 373.10 | \$0. 30 |  |  | 84 | 100 | 4 | 7 |  |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 1,952 | 53 | 1,898 | 2 | 94 |  | 4 | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ | 412.60 | 1.20 | 2 | 4 | 87 | 96 | 2 | 16 | 4 |  |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 936 | 27 | 909 | 3 | 93 |  | 4 | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ | 466.10 | 1.90 |  |  | 94 | 100 | 3 | 22 |  |  |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 722 | 27 | 695 | 4 | 96 |  |  | ( $\dagger$ ) | (t) | 508.50 | 2.80 |  |  | 100 | 100 | 8 | 35 |  |  |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 427 | 53 | 348 | 12 | 81 |  | 7 | (t) | ( $\dagger$ ) | 598.00 | 4. 30 |  |  | 85 | 100 |  | 35 |  |  |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 508 | 107 | 401 | 21 | 79 |  |  | \$281. 30 | \$3. 80 | 628.80 | 6.10 |  |  | 100 | 100 |  | 73 |  |  |
| \$3,000 and over | 241 | 80 | 107 | 22 | 45 |  | 33 | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ | 456.00 | 9. 40 |  |  | 89 | 89 | 19 | 54 | 19 | 11 |

${ }^{1}$ See explanation of tables for definition of this item.
*Percentages less than 0.5 are not shown.
tA verages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

Table 5.-Family housing and facilities included in rent: Distribution of families reporting expenditures, by tenure; average housing expenditure; and percentage of renting families having specified facilities included in rent; by income, in 1 year, 1935- 36 -Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]

| Income class | Number of eligible families I |  |  | Distribution of families reporting expenditures ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  | Housing expenditure |  |  |  | Percentage of renting families having specified facilities included in rent ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total 1 | Owners | Renters | Owners | Renters | Mixed tenure | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rent } \\ & \text { re- } \\ & \text { ceived } \\ & \text { as gift } \\ & \text { or pay } \end{aligned}$ | Owners |  | Renters |  | Garage | Furnishings | Heat | Water | Light | Me-chanical re-frigerator | Refrigeration | None of the facilities listed |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\underset{\text { Family }}{\text { home }}$ | Other ${ }^{1}$ | Family home | Other ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) | (14) | (15) | (16) | (17) | (18) | (19) | (20) |

CHICAGO, ILL.: WHITE FAMILIES

|  |  |  |  | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$500-8749. | 5,940 | 770 | 5,090 | 15 | 84 |  |  | \$122. 30 |  | \$214. 00 |  | 5 | 10 | 18 | 100 | 11 | 5 |  |  |
| \$750-\$999 | 15, 480 | 1,590 | 13,770 | 7 | 92 | 1 |  | 177.30 |  | 240.60 |  | 14 | 11 | 27 | 97 | 16 | 16 | 4 | 2 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 22, 040 | 2,650 | 19, 290 | 16 | 82 | 1 | 1 | 231. 40 |  | 253.30 | \$0. 20 | 8 | 13 | 38 | 98 | 13 | 14 | 7 | 2 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 25, 150 | 3,900 | 21, 100 | 16 | 80 | 1 | 3 | 181.20 |  | 307.00 | . 30 | 11 | 9 | 55 | 97 | 11 | 34 | 12 |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 27, 330 | 4,720 | 22, 500 | 11 | 87 | 1 | 1 | 246.00 |  | 343.90 | 2.10 | 20 | 6 | 54 | 96 | 8 | 39 | 10 | 2 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 27,590 | 5,020 | 22,300 | 23 | 74 | 2 | 1 | 262.80 | \$0.70 | 386.90 | 1.60 | 22 | 6 | 61 | 97 | 6 | 43 | 9 | 2 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 23, 110 | 4, 810 | 18, 140 | 25 | 74 |  | 1 | 282.60 | . 80 | 414.10 | 4. 20 | 28 | 8 | 64 | 96 | 8 | 48 | 9 |  |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 17,960 | 4,490 | 13,370 | 27 | 71 | 1 | 1 | 287.60 | 2.60 | 442.00 | 6.60 | 20 | 9 | 71 | 94 | 8 | 61 | 14 |  |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 19,700 | 6,390 | 13, 220 | 30 | 68 | 1 | 1 | 317.00 | 7.60 | 502.20 | 7.60 | 24 | 9 | 76 | 96 | 10 | 60 | 17 | (*) |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 11, 730 | 3, 860 | 7,780 | 36 | 61 | 1 | 2 | 250. 40 | 4.00 | 537.20 | 13.40 | 32 | 8 | 82 | 95 | 12 | 73 | 25 |  |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 7, 440 | 2,310 | 5, 000 | 32 | 66 | 1 | 1 | 315.90 | 15.00 | 619.70 | 10. 20 | 34 | 2 | 92 | 97 | 6 | 79 | 14 | 2 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999. | 6,700 | 2,460 | 4, 080 | 33 | 65 | 1 | 1 | 300.90 | 32. 90 | 667.80 | 19. 20 | 31 | 7 | 85 | 96 | 7 | 82 | 21 |  |
| \$5,000-\$7,499. | 4,220 | 1,400 | 2, 710 | 36 | 63 |  | 1 | 402. 90 | 56. 70 | 797. 10 | 47.00 | 37 | 7 | 86 | 94 | 12 | 82 | 27 | (*) |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | 810 | 340 | - 460 | 24 | 75 | 1 |  | 366.30 | 36.60 | 1,159. 10 | 51.30 | 45 | 10 | 91 | 94 | 20 | 89 | 39 |  |
| \$10,000 and over..- | 670 | 230 | 350 | 47 | 53 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 54 | 38 | 100 | 100 | 24 | 94 | 38 | ----- |


| PROVIDENCE, R. I.: WHITTE FAMILIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$500-\$749 | 560 | 40 | 520 |  | 95 |  | 5 | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ | \$175.00 |  |  | 4 | 4 | 100 | 4 |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$999 .-.-...--- | 1,236 | 66 | 1,166 | 4 | 92 |  | 4 | ( $\dagger$ ) | (f) | 195.30 | (*) | 1 |  | 1 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249....... | 1,498 | 110 | 1, 378 | 7 | 93 |  |  | \$123.60 |  | 230.90 | \$0.30 |  |  |  | 99 |  |  |  | 1 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499....... | 1,660 | 210 | 1,442 | 12 | 86 |  | 2 | 177.00 | \$1.40 | 244.80 | . 50 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 100 | 2 | (*) | 2 |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 1,254 | 172 | 1,080 | 14 | 84 | 1 | 1 | 232.20 |  | 285.20 | . 60 | 1 | (*) | 7 | 100 |  | 3 |  |  |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 $\ldots$..... | 1,294 | 256 | 1,032 | 15 | 83 |  | 2 | 304.90 | . 20 | 300.00 | 2.30 | 7 | 2 | 4 | 100 | 2 | 1 | (*) |  |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 $\ldots$.-. | 946 | 230 | 714 | 22 | 76 | 1 | 1 | 317.30 |  | 349.50 | 17.50 | 4 | 3 | 10 | 100 | 1 | 6 | 3 | (*) |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 $\ldots \ldots$. | 604 | 222 | 374 | 32 | 67 |  | 1 | 254. 30 | 10. 60 | 364.90 | 10.00 | 5 |  | 6 | 100 | 1 | 3 | 1 |  |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 $\ldots . . .$. | 774 | 272 | 502 | 34 | 66 |  |  | 246.60 | 32.10 | 425. 50 | 8.50 | 10 | 1 | 8 | 99 |  | 5 | 1 | 1 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 $\ldots$....- | 342 | 130 | 216 | 31 | 67 | -- | 2 | 517.60 | 16.80 | 490.30 | 16.90 | 9 | 4 | 13 | 100 |  | 9 | 7 |  |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 196 | 86 | 108 | 42 | 57 |  | 1 | 311.60 | 12.40 | 535. 50 | 17.90 | 24 | 8 | 9 | 100 |  | 4 |  |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 210 | 102 | 108 | 40 | 55 |  | 5 | 411. 70 | 16. 40 | 628.70 | 35.00 | 18 | 6 | 23 | 90 | 17 | 14 | 17 | 10 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499..--.-- | 244 | 152 | 92 | 59 | 41 |  |  | 525. 10 | 66.90 | 659.30 | 93.00 |  |  | 19 | 95 |  | 19 | 14 | 5 |
| \$7,500 and over... | 160 | 118 | 42 | 59 | 29 |  | 12 | 750.00 | 124.40 | 971.40 | 206.70 | 22 |  | 15 | 100 | .-.---- |  |  | -.. |

${ }^{1}$ See explanation of tables for definition of this item. *Percentages less than 0.5 and averages less than $\$ 0.05$ are not shown. †Averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

Table 5.-Family housing and facilities included in rent: Distribution of families reporting expenditures, by tenure; average housing expenditure; and percentage of renting families having specified facilities included in rent; by income, in 1 year, 1985-36-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Income class

(1)} \& \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Number of eligible families ${ }^{1}$} \& \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Distribution of families reporting expenditures ${ }^{1}$} \& \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{Housing expenditure} \& \multicolumn{8}{|c|}{Percentage of renting families having specified facilities included in rent ${ }^{1}$} <br>
\hline \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Total ${ }^{\text {t }}$} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Own-

ers} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Renters} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{$$
\underset{\text { Ors }}{\text { Own- }}
$$} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Renters} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Mixed tenure} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Rent received as gift or pay} \& \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{Owners} \& \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{Renters} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\[

\underset{age}{Gar-}

\]} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{| Fur. nishings |
| :--- |
| (14) |} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{| Heat |
| :--- |
| (15) |} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{| Water |
| :--- |
| (16) |} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{| Light |
| :--- |
| (17) |} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Mechani cal re-frigerator} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{| Refrig eration |
| :--- |
| (19) |} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{None of the facilities listed} <br>


\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& Family home (9) \& | Other ${ }^{1}$ |
| :--- |
| (10) | \& | Family home |
| :--- |
| (11) | \& | Other ${ }^{1}$ |
| :--- |
| (12) | \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>

\hline \& \multicolumn{19}{|c|}{COLUMBUS, OHIO: WHITE FAMILIES} <br>
\hline \$500-8749 \& 878 \& 195 \& 672 \& Percent
10 \& Percent
90 \& Percent \& Percent \& ( $\dagger$ ) \& ( $\dagger$ \& \$178.00 \& \& 28 \& \& \& \& 5 \& \& \& <br>
\hline \$750-\$999 \& 2, 578 \& 485 \& 2,058 \& 16 \& 83 \& \& 1 \& \$112.40 \& \& 203.30 \& \& 43 \& 5 \& 4 \& 95 \& 4 \& \& 2 \& <br>
\hline \$1,000-\$1,249 \& 3,650 \& 740 \& 2,872 \& 23
31

3 \& 75 \& 1 \& 1 \& 143.40 \& \$0.10 \& ${ }_{227600}^{227}$ \& \& 56 \& 7 \& 4 \& 94 \& $$
\underset{0}{3}
$$ \& 3 \& \& 1 <br>

\hline \$1,500-\$1,749 \& 4,065 \& 1, 302 \& 2, 722 \& 34 \& 62 \& 3 \& 2 \& 186.00 \& 1.90 \& 300.80 \& 1. 80 \& 68 \& 3 \& 12 \& 93 \& 9 \& 2 \& \& (*) <br>
\hline \$1,750-\$1,999 \& 4,073 \& 1,508 \& 2,530 \& 38 \& ${ }^{60}$ \& 1 \& 1 \& 180.20 \& . 80 \& 293. 40 \& 1.70 \& 63 \& 1 \& ${ }_{8}^{4}$ \& ${ }_{97}^{96}$ \& 1 \& 7 \& \& ${ }_{1}^{2}$ <br>
\hline \$2,000-\$2,249 \& 3, 235
2
3 \& 1,330
1,078
1 \& 1,678
1,248
1 \& 45

44 \& \begin{tabular}{l}
53 <br>
53 <br>
\hline

 \& 

1 <br>
2 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular} \& 1 \& 205.30

208.20 \& 7.20

1.90 \& $\begin{array}{r}324.80 \\ 363.40 \\ \hline\end{array}$ \& | 1.20 |
| :--- |
| 3.10 | \& 70 \& 4

4
4 \& 6

6 \& | 97 |
| :--- |
| 99 | \& $\stackrel{2}{1}$ \& 12 \& ${ }^{(*)} 1$ \& \[

1
\] <br>

\hline \$2,500-\$2,999.- \& 3, 190 \& 1, 818 \& 1, 360 \& 52 \& 44 \& 3 \& 1 \& 221.70 \& 5.60 \& 365.10 \& 3. 50 \& 69 \& 1 \& 6 \& 97 \& 2 \& 13 \& 1 \& 2 <br>
\hline \$3,000- 83,499 \& 1,998 \& 1,092 \& 955 \& 55 \& 44 \& 1 \& \& 261.80 \& 8.90 \& 416.30 \& 10. 10 \& ${ }^{66}$ \& 2 \& 11 \& 82 \& \& 8 \& \& 12 <br>

\hline \$3,500-\$3,999 \& 1,095 \& ${ }_{555}^{628}$ \& 450 \& ${ }_{63}^{56}$ \& | 42 |
| :--- |
| 34 | \& 1 \& \& | 254.50 |
| :--- |
| 263 | \& 17.50 \& 450.50

544.90 \& 8.20
30.00 \& 79
77 \& 9
3 \& 19
12 \& 96
96 \& 10 \& ${ }_{11}^{26}$ \& 2 \& 3 <br>
\hline - $\$ 4,0000$ \$4,999 \& 830
623 \& 555
442 \& ${ }_{168}^{268}$ \& 63
69 \& 34
26
26 \& 1 \& $\stackrel{1}{2}$ \& 345. 30 \& ${ }_{39.80}^{21}$ \& 544.90
602.90 \& 34.90 \& 77 \& \& ${ }_{1}^{12}$ \&  \& \& ${ }_{6}$ \& \& <br>
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\$7,500 and over.} \& 267 \& 208 \& 58 \& 74 \& 23 \& 3 \& \& 1,019. 20 \& 163.50 \& 723.20 \& 13. 70 \& 100 \& \& \& 95 \& \& \& 10 \& <br>
\hline \& \multicolumn{19}{|c|}{COLUMBUS, OHIO: NEGRO FAMMLIES} <br>
\hline \$250-\$499. \& 100 \& 25 \& 72 \& 24 \& 76 \& \& \& \$120. 70 \& \& \$144. 20 \& \& \& \& \& 100 \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline \$500- $\$ 749$ \& 328
625 \& $\begin{array}{r}40 \\ 105 \\ \hline\end{array}$ \& 285
520 \& 18
15 \& 81
80 \& \& ${ }_{5}^{1}$ \& 93.10
176.40 \& \$16.00 \& $\begin{array}{r}167.70 \\ 188.90 \\ \hline\end{array}$ \& \& 42 \& \& 7 \& ${ }_{95}^{98}$ \& 5 \& \& \& 1 <br>
\hline \$1,000-\$1,249 \& 543 \& 115 \& 425 \& 21 \& 78 \& \& 1 \& 99.30 \& \& 194. 50 \& \$0.70 \& 37 \& ------4 \& \& 100 \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline \$1,250-\$1,499 \& ${ }^{283}$ \& 112 \& 170 \& 37 \& 63 \& \& \& 95. 20 \& \& 205. 80 \& \& 16 \& \& \& 93 \& \& \& \& 7 <br>
\hline \$11,500-\$1,749 \& 150 \& 50 \& 98 \& ${ }^{36}$ \& ${ }_{3}^{64}$ \& \& \& 147. 60 \& . 20 \& 226.40 \& \& 28 \& \& \& 89 \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline \$1,750-\$1,999 \& 37 \& 17 \& 10 \& ${ }_{67}^{67}$ \& ${ }_{33}^{33}$ \& \& \& 178.00 \& \& 212.00
320 \& \& 33 \& \& \& 100 \& 33 \& \& \& <br>

\hline $$
\begin{aligned}
& \$ 2,000-\$ 2,249 \\
& \$ 2,250-\$ 2,499
\end{aligned}
$$ \& 22

20 \& 15
15 \& 7 \& 75 \& \& \& 25 \& ${ }_{296.00}$ \& \& $\stackrel{(9)}{ }$ \& (t) \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& (t) <br>
\hline \$2,500-\$2,999 \& 23 \& 20 \& 3 \& 89 \& 11 \& \& \& 170.50 \& 5.00 \& ( $\dagger$ ) \& ( $\dagger$ \& \& \& \& ( $\dagger$ \& \& \& \& ( $\dagger$ ) <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

${ }^{1}$ See explanation of tables for definition of this item.
*Percentages less than 0.5 are not shown.

Table 5.-Family housing and facilities included in rent: Distribution of families reporting expenditures, by tenure; average housing expenditure; and percentage of renting families having specified facilities included in rent; by income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]

| Income class | Number of eligible families ${ }^{1}$ |  |  | Distribution of families reporting expenditures ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  | Housing expenditure |  |  |  | Percentage of renting families having specified facilities included in rent ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total 1 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Own- } \\ \text { ers } \end{gathered}$ | Renters | Owners | Renters | Mixed tenure | Rent received as gift or pay | Owners |  | Renters |  | Garage | Furnishings | Heat | Water | Light | Me-chanical re-frigerator | Refrigeration | None of the facilities listed |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Family home | Other ${ }^{1}$ | Family home | Other ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) | (14) | (15) | (16) | (17) | (18) | (19) | (20) |
|  | ATLANTA, GA.: WHITE FAMILIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$000-\$749- | 608 | 36 | 566 |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{10}^{(\dagger)} 50$ | ( $\dagger$ ) | \$128. 50 |  | 26 | 5 |  | 57 | 15 | 5 | 5 | 25 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 1,392 | 174 | 1, 188 | 1888989 | 98 | 1 |  | \$128.50 | \$2.20 | 164.60 190.50 | $\$ 0.10$ .30 | 47 | 4 | 10 | 60 | 12 | ${ }_{6}^{4}$ | 6 | 19 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 1,512 | 330 | 1,160 | 18 | 79 | 2 | 1 | 159.90 | 22 .20 | 226. 60 | .$_{.60}$ | 52 | 6 | 22 | 53 | 8 | 9 | 2 | 20 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 1,836 | 452 | 1,364 | 26 | 72 | 2 |  | 150.60 |  | 256.20 | 1. 30 | 70 | 8 | 26 | 47 | 10 | 15 | 6 | 12 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 1,923 | 510 | 1,382 | 27 | 71 | 1 | 1 | 189.80 | 6.00 | 310.20 | . 60 | 72 | 10 | 37 | 53 | 2 | 20 | 6 | 12 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 1,636 | 652 | 970 | 33 | 64 | 2 | 1 | 161.40 | 4.40 | 311.50 | 2. 60 | 71 | 2 | 30 | 50 | 10 | 9 | 3 | 8 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 1,408 | 524 | 864 | 35 | 63 | 1 | 1 | 227.20 | 1. 40 | 363.80 | 2.80 | 67 | 6 | 39 | 53 | 4 | 28 | 2 | 4 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 1,818 | 824 | 966 | 40 | 57 | 1 | 2 | 183. 80 | 7.80 | 371.70 | 3.20 | 78 | 5 | 32 | 42 | 6 | 21 | 10 | 2 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 538 | 226 | 304 | 43 | 53 | 3 | 1 | 242.90 | 7.60 | 494. 60 | 5. 40 | 92 | 8 | 39 | 46 | 3 | 37 | 8 |  |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 492 | 240 | 242 | 51 | 44 | 4 | 1 | 263.00 | 9.00 | 611. 50 | 11. 10 | 77 | 6 | 54 | 61 |  | 42 | 2 | 2 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 466 | 258 | 200 | 59 | 38 | 2 | 1 | 355.40 | 16.90 | 584. 80 | 15. 30 | 80 | 8 | 55 | 56 |  | 35 | 16 |  |
| \$7,000-\$7,499.... | 426 184 | 252 142 | 170 40 | 88 | $\stackrel{32}{9}$ |  | 2 3 | 331.60 496.60 | 31.80 82.40 | 679.00 846.80 | 28.10 6.70 | 87 | 4 | 38 39 | 38 |  | 35 |  |  |
| \$7,500 and over. | 184 | 142 | 40 | 88 | 9 |  | 3 | 496.60 | 82.40 | 846.80 | 6.70 | 61 |  | 39 | 39 |  |  |  | 39 |
|  | ATLANTA, GA.: NEGRO FAMILIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250. | 182 | 14 | 168 | 11 | 82 |  | 7 | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ | \$84. 20 |  |  |  |  | 95 |  |  |  | 5 |
| \$250-\$499 | 980 | 76 | 890 | 12 | 85 |  | 3 | \$53. 50 |  | 109.00 | \$0.40 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 94 |  | ------ | -------- | 5 |
| \$500-\$749 | 1,926 | 204 | 1,714 | 9 | 89 |  | 1 | 52.20 |  | 121. 80 | ${ }^{(*)}$ | 4 | (\%) | 1 | 86 |  | -...-- |  | 12 |
| \$750-\$909 | 1,508 | 312 | 1, 182 | 22 | 75 | 1 | 2 | 86.40 | \$0. 10 | 135.80 | (*) | 8 | (*) | 2 | 85 | (*) | ------- |  | 14 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 698 | 228 | 458 | 32 | 64 | 1 | 3 | $\begin{array}{r}97.90 \\ \hline 104\end{array}$ | ${ }_{(4)} 30$ | 169.70 |  | 2 | (*) | 4 | 58 | 4 |  |  | 22 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 376 | 206 | 166 | 55 | 43 | 1 | 1 | 104.70 | ${ }^{(*)}$ | 179.80 | 2.60 1.60 | 4 |  | 1 | 54 | ------- |  |  | 46 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 62 | 36 | 24 | 54 | 37 |  | 9 | 120.00 | . 50 | 200.00 | 1.60 | 18 |  | - | 45 | ------- |  |  | 55 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 64 56 | 40 38 | 20 | 66 | 28 | 3 4 | 3 3 | 272.50 163.40 | 1.40 | 210.60 312.00 |  | 33 40 | 30 | 20 | 67 40 | 20 |  |  | 60 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 60 | 52 | 6 | 82 | 15 | 3 |  | 182.30 | I. 30 | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | (t) |  |  | ( $\dagger$ ) |  |  |  | ( $\dagger$ ) |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 36 | 26 | 10 | 70 | 18 |  | 12 | 168.90 | 4.90 | 252.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 26 | 18 | 8 | 85 | 15 |  |  | 175. 20 | 4.10 | (t) | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ ) |  |  | (i) |  |  |  | ( $\dagger$ |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 10 | 8 | 2 | 80 | 20 |  |  | 268.20 |  | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ |  |  | ( $\dagger$ ) | - |  |  | ( $\dagger$ ) |
| \$4,000 and over. | 20 | 18 | 2 | 90 | 10 |  |  | 189.40 | 12.10 | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ( $\dagger$ ) |

See explanation of tables for definition of this item.

* Averages less than $\$ 0.05$ and percentages less than 0.5 are not shown.

Table 5.-Family housing and facilities included in rent: Distribution of families reporting expenditures, by tenure; average housing expenditure; and percentage of renting families having specified facilities included in rent; by income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued

iSee explanation of tables for definition of this item.

Table 5.-Family housing and facillties included in rent: Distribution of families reporting expenditures, by tenure; average housing expenditure; and percentage of renting families having specified facilities included in rent; by income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued

| Income class(1) | Number of eligible families ${ }^{1}$ |  |  | Distribution of families reporting expenditures ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  | Housing expenditure |  |  |  | Percentage of renting families having specified facilities included in rent ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Own- } \\ & \text { ers } \end{aligned}$ | Renters | $\underset{\text { ers }}{\text { Own- }}$ | Renters | Mixed tenure | Rentre-ceivedas giftor pay | Owners |  | Renters |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gar- } \\ & \text { Gge } \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Fur- } \\ \text { nishings } \end{gathered}\right.$ | Heat | Water(16) | Light <br> (17) | Me-chanical re-frigerator (18) | Refrigeration <br> (19) | None of the facdities listed <br> (20) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Family home | Other ${ }^{1}$ | Family home | Other ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) | (14) | (15) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | PORTLAND, OREG.: WHITE FAMILIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | $\begin{array}{r} 891 \\ 2,220 \end{array}$ | 324 642 | r 555 |  |  |  |  | \$78.90 |  | \$149.90 |  | 31 56 | ${ }_{23}^{55}$ | 29 20 | 58 <br> 37 | 8 | 14 | 3 8 8 | 14 15 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 3,432 | 1,110 | 2,235 | 35 | 62 | 1 | 5 | 109.10 | $\stackrel{1}{1.00}$ | 174.40 | $\stackrel{30}{ }$ | 56 | 29 | 17 | 34 | 8 | 17 | 6 | 18 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 3,966 | 1,881 | 1,992 | 47 | 47 | 3 | 3 | 153.90 | 2.80 | 229.60 | ${ }^{\text {- }} 40$ | 51 | ${ }^{9}$ | 32 | ${ }_{35}^{36}$ | 11 | 30 | 14 | 11 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 4, 263 | 1,944 | $\xrightarrow{2,232}$ | 41 | 51 43 | $\stackrel{4}{2}$ | $\stackrel{4}{4}$ | 158.90 | 4.20 360 | ${ }_{279}^{225} 90$ | 2. 10 | 59 | 10 | $\begin{array}{r}16 \\ 18 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | ${ }^{35}$ | 2 | 15 | 5 <br> 3 | 21 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999- | ${ }_{3,066}^{3,996}$ | ${ }_{1}^{1,836}$ | 2,082 1,098 | 52 65 | 43 33 | $\stackrel{2}{1}$ | 3 | 155.60 174.80 | 3.60 6.70 | 279.90 <br> 289 <br> 80 | 3.00 5 5 | 72 | 14 20 | 18 <br> 24 | 28 28 | 2 | 19 24 | 3 1 1 | 10 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 2,211 | 1, 209 | ${ }^{1} 959$ | 53 | 45 | 1 | 1 | 188. 50 | 7.60 | ${ }^{2817.60}$ | 5.60 | 72 | 23 | 19 | 25 | 5 | 19 | 6 | 7 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 2,655 | 1,752 | 846 | 59 | 37 | , | 1 | 181.30 | 13.00 | ${ }^{351.10}$ | 11. 30 | 74 | 22 | 22 | 35 | 6 | 17 | 8 | 7 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499. | ${ }_{6}^{687}$ | 411 | 267 | ${ }^{69}$ | 29 | 2 |  | 264.80 | 2. 10 | ${ }^{336.90}$ | 40.70 | 72 | $\stackrel{24}{28}$ | ${ }^{5}$ | 10 |  | 8 |  | ${ }^{23}$ |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 573 636 | 327 456 | 234 174 17 | 59 68 | 37 31 31 | 4 | 1 | 284.60 307.10 | 37.40 51.30 | 448.60 527.00 | 40.90 29.10 | 65 78 | 28 | $\stackrel{23}{32}$ | 28 38 |  | ${ }_{42}^{32}$ | 3 <br> 6 | 14 3 |
| \$5,000-87,499 | 471 | 342 | 126 | 66 | 34 |  |  | 389.10 | 50.40 | 587.30 | 34.00 | 85 |  | 22 | 32 |  | ${ }_{38}^{42}$ |  |  |
| \$7,500 and over-. | 219 | 198 | 21 | 94 | 6 |  |  | 445.20 | 151. 10 | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) |  |  |  |  | ( $\dagger$ ) |  | ( $\dagger$ ) |
|  | NEW ENGLAND, 2 MIDIDLE-SIZED CITIES: WHITE FAMILIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 | 120 | 28 | 92 | 12 | 88 |  |  |  | ( $\dagger$ | \$221. 20 |  |  |  | 15 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749- | 314 | 76 | 238 | 19 | 70 |  | 11 | \$128. 10 |  | 204.70 |  | 6 |  | 9 | 100 | 9 |  | 6 |  |
| \$750-\$999-- | 700 944 | 100 | 592 | $\begin{array}{r}9 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 89 | $1-$ | 1 3 | 178.10 |  | 218.10 | \$0. 60 | 5 | ${ }^{-}$ | 9 | 100 | 3 | 8 | 3 |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 950 | 154 | 792 | 14 | 85 |  | 3 | ${ }_{216.50}^{169.60}$ | \$0.70 | ${ }_{262.10}^{234}$ | 1.20 .40 | 12 | 2 | 11 | ${ }^{100} 9$ | 4 | 3 |  |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 708 | 192 | 508 | 25 | 71 | 2 | 2 | 244.50 | 1.30 | 286.40 | . 80 | 12 |  | 11 | 99 |  | 4 |  | 1 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | ${ }_{648}^{648}$ | 176 | 466 | ${ }^{26}$ | 71 | 3 |  | 294.00 | 4. 40 | 323. 20 | 3.90 | 20 |  | 17 | 100 |  | 7 |  |  |
| \$2,000- $82,249$. | 528 <br> 286 | 198 138 1 | 324 146 | 32 38 | 65 |  | 3 2 | 266.50 277.00 | 2. 80 5.00 | 335.20 347.90 | 6. 00 3.60 | 13 <br> 34 |  | 17 14 | 99 <br> 98 | 2 | 4 |  | 2 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 228 | 114 | 112 | 48 | 45 | 6 |  | ${ }^{316.60}$ | 23. 70 | 365.20 | 10.20 | 34 | 5 | 26 | 100 | 8 |  |  |  |
| \$3,000-83,499 | 132 | 78 | 52 | 69 | 28 |  | 3 | 354.10 | 5. 50 | 474. 10 | 82.00 | 58 |  | 32 | 100 |  | 11 |  |  |
| \$3,500-83,999 | 52 106 | 78 | 24 28 | 40 63 | $\begin{array}{r}60 \\ 37 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |  |  | 470.50 315.10 | 18.40 | 417.50 468.30 | 14.90 34.00 | 33 |  | 58 | 100 |  | 9 |  |  |
| \$5,000 and over- | 90 | 62 | 28 | 52 | 48 |  |  | 469.30 | 24.00 | 918.60 | 192. 10 | 50 |  | 57 | 71 | 36 | 21 |  |  |

Table 5.-Family housing and facilities included in rent: Distribution of families reporting expenditures, by tenure; average housing expenditure; and percentage of renting families having specified facilities included in rent; by income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]

| Income class | Number of eligible families : |  |  | Distribution of families reporting expenditures |  |  |  | Housing expenditure |  |  |  | Percentage of renting families having specified facilities included in rent ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total 1 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Own- } \\ \text { ers } \end{gathered}$ | Renters | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Own- } \\ & \text { ers } \end{aligned}$ | Renters | Mixed tenure | Rent received as gift or pay | Owners |  | Renters |  | Garage | Furnishings | Heat | Water | Light | Me-chanical re-frigerator | Refrigeration | None of the facilities listed |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Family home | Other ${ }^{1}$ | Family home | Other ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) | (14) | (15) | (16) | (17) | (18) | (19) | (20) |



| SOUTHEAST, 2 MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES: WHITE FAMILIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$250-\$499 | 96 | 13 | 83 | 6 | 90 | 4 |  | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | \$132.90 |  | 12 |  |  | 59 | 15 | 3 | 3 | 30 |
| \$500-\$749 | 356 | 48 | 303 | 9 | 86 |  | 5 | \$87.80 |  | 123.40 |  | 33 | 2 |  | 46 | 8 | 6 | 5 | 35 |
| \$750-\$999. | 623 | 93 | 528 | 14 | 83 |  | 3 | 65.00 |  | 163.90 |  | 45 |  |  | 38 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 37 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 705 | 154 | 543 | 21 | 79 |  |  | 129.60 | \$2. 10 | 183. 50 | \$0. 60 | 56 | 3 |  | 40 | 4 |  | 1 | 23 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 579 | 191 | 379 | 29 | 67 | 1 | 3 | 121.00 | . 10 | 212.10 | 4.50 | 74 | 3 | 2 | 35 | 8 | 1 | 2 | 12 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 679 | 202 | 471 | 43 | 56 | 1 |  | 152.60 | . 50 | 257.00 | . 79 | 73 | 4 |  | 35 | 2 |  |  | 12 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 652 | 209 | 434 | 33 | 65 | 1 | 1 | 176.80 | 1. 10 | 276. 10 | . 20 | 74 | 1 | 1 | 29 | 3 | 1 |  | 15 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 447 | 202 | 239 | 41 | 58 | 1 |  | 195. 40 | 3.10 | 300.10 | 2.60 | 80 | 6 | 5 | 30 | 8 |  | 3 | 8 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499.- | 440 | 212 | 227 | 47 | 51 |  | 2 | 175.90 | 1. 60 | 347.90 | 2.00 | 83 | 4 | 2 | 28 |  | 4 | 2 | 12 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999. | 253 | 148 | 99 | 49 | 45 | 2 | 4 | 252.50 | 16. 20 | 402.70 | 3.80 | 92 | 18 | 12 | 38 | 10 | 16 | 5 | 6 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 222 | 104 | 115 | 51 | 42 | 2 | 5 | 221.80 | 3.10 | 423. 20 | 5.00 | 81 | 4 | 10 | 23 | ----- | 1 | 1 | 13 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 178 | 93 | 85 | - 60 | 38 | 1 | 1 | 301. 20 | 4.80 | 478.80 | 17.30 | 81 | 3 | 12 | 35 |  | 4 |  | 7 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999.. | 178 | 114 | 61 | 55 | 43 |  | 2 | 358.80 | 20.10 | 500. 80 | 17.90 | 90 | 11 | 20 | 35 |  | 14 | 6 | 7 |
| \$5,000 and over ....- | 261 | 203 | 57 | 81 | 19 |  |  | 471.50 | 40.20 | 643.00 | 49.80 | 94 |  | 18 | 40 |  | 18 | -6 |  |

Table 5.-Family housing and facilities included in rent: Distribution of families reporting expenditures, by tenure; average housing expenditure; and percentage of renting families having specified facilities included in rent; by income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]

| Income class | Number of eligible families ${ }^{1}$ |  |  | Distribution of families reporting expenditures 1 |  |  |  | Housing expenditure |  |  |  | Percentage of renting families having specified facilities included in rent ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total ${ }^{1}$ <br> (2) | Owners <br> (3) | Renters <br> (4) | Owners(5) | Renters <br> (6) | Mixed tenure <br> (7) | Rent <br> received as gift or pay <br> (8) | Owners |  | Renters |  | Garage <br> (13) | Furnishings <br> (14) | Heat <br> (15) | Water <br> (16) | Light <br> (17) | $\mathrm{Me}-$ chanical re-frigerator (18) | Refrigeration <br> (19) | None of the facilities listed (20) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Family home | Other ${ }^{1}$ | Family home | Other ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SOUTHEAST, 2 MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES: NEGRO FAMILIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250. | 280 | 39 | 238 |  |  |  |  | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | \$76. 60 |  | 7 |  |  | 82 |  |  |  | 10 |
| \$250-\$499 | 1,041 1,095 | 149 | 888 909 | 118 | 88 82 |  | 1 | $\$ 31.60$ 38.90 |  | 86.40 98.70 |  | 1 | ${ }^{(*)}$ |  | 85 83 | ${ }^{*}{ }^{*}$ | (*) | (*) | 15 |
| \$500-\$749-- | $\begin{array}{r}1,095 \\ \hline 518 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 182 | 909 348 | 18 | 82 <br> 67 | 1 | 1 | 38.90 78.10 | \$2.20 | 98.70 111.30 | \$0.30 | 4 | (*) | ${ }^{(*)} 4$ | 83 | 1 | (*) | ${ }^{*}{ }^{4}$ | 16 34 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 218 | 85 | 131 | 47 | 49 | 3 | 1 | 85. 20 | 1. 20 | 120.60 | 1.20 | 11 | 3 | 4 | 75 | 6 |  | 1 | 24 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 75 | 32 | 33 | 48 | 47 |  | 5 | 67.00 | 7.70 | 122.40 | 3.90 | 36 | 9 |  | 52 | 8 |  | 4 | 24 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 14 | 8 | 6 | 55 | 33 |  | 12 | 140.80 |  | 152.00 | 13.30 | 42 | 25 | 17 | 42 |  |  |  | 17 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 14 | 9 | 5 | 79 | 21 |  |  | 245. 60 | 8. 00 | 204.30 |  |  |  |  | 67 |  |  |  | 33 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249.- | 17 | 15 | 2 | 100 |  |  |  | 140.50 | 3. 60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 20 | 15 | 5 | 81 | 12 |  | 7 | 103.00 | 3. 70 | 312.00 | 11.00 | 60 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 |  | 30 | 40 |
| \$2,500 and over | 11 | 10 |  | 82 |  |  | 18 | 293.90 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | EST C | ENTRA | L, 3 M | DLE-S | IZED | ITIES: | WHITE | FAM | LIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 | 326 | 134 | 183 | 42 | 58 |  |  | \$105. 60 |  | \$126. 80 |  | 58 |  |  | 61 |  |  | 4 | 18 |
| \$500-\$749- | 924 | 311 | 590 | 23 | 71 |  | 6 | 64.60 |  | 145.10 | (*) | 56 | 4 | 11 | 46 | 6 |  |  | 22 |
| \$750-\$999 | 1,362 | 442 | 901 | 25 | 70 |  | 5 | 94.50 |  | 144.90 |  | 57 | 6 | 3 | 42 | 4 |  | ${ }^{*}{ }^{3}$ | 20 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 1,547 | 623 | 893 | 38 | 59 | 1 | 2 | 109.90 |  | 179.60 | \$0. 10 | 53 | 2 | 5 | 32 | 1 | (*) | (*) | 28 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 1,226 | 629 | 568 | 45 | 52 | 2 | 1 | 102. 00 | (*) | 214.60 | . 10 | 84 | 3 | 6 | 22 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 12 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 1,080 | 548 | 516 | 43 | 56 |  | 1 | 142.30 | (*) | 241.80 | 1. 30 | 73 | 4 | 9 | 31 | 2 |  | 1 | 14 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 1,013 | 518 | 481 | 37 | 58 | 4 | 1 | 143. 20 | \$1. 70 | 277.90 | 1. 40 | 63 | 8 | 12 | 34 | 5 | (*) | 2 | 26 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 679 | 420 | 254 | 61 | 39 |  |  | 154.20 | 10.20 | 323.20 | 4. 40 | 62 | 5 | 24 | 36 | 8 | 6 | 4 | 21 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 462 | 295 | 158 | 52 | 46 | 1 | 1 | 124.40 | 3. 60 | 323.00 | 4. 70 | 76 | ${ }^{2}$ | 19 | 30 | 8 | ${ }^{5}$ | 7 | 15 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 247 | 178 | 69 | 57 | 38 |  | 5 | 216. 80 | 13. 20 | 383.00 | 5. 40 | 89 | 16 | 14 | 33 | 3 5 | 16 | 3 7 | ${ }^{3}$ |
| \$3,000-\$3,499. | 151 | 83 | 65 | 48 | 52 |  |  | 334. 10 | 3.20 | 417. 50 | 9. 30 | 81 | 5 | 15 | 22 | 5 | 7 | 7 | 11 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 107 | 81 | 26 | 67 | 26 | 5 | 2 | 253. 50 | 8. 30 | 513. 70 | 19.30 | 100 | 24 | 51 | 51 | 19 | 26 | 19 |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999. | 99 | 71 | 27 | 69 | 31 |  |  | 176. 40 | 5. 80 | 539. 10 | 40.20 | 74 |  | 34 | 45 |  |  | 6 | 6 |
| \$5,000 and over. | 116 | 86 | 29 | 75 | 20 | 5 |  | 303. 10 | 42.70 | 583.70 | 12.40 | 78 |  | 52 | 24 |  | 6 | 6 |  |

${ }^{ \pm}$See explanation of tables for definition of this item. *Averages less than $\$ 0.05$ and percentages less than 0.5 are not shown. †Averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

Table 5.-Family housing and facilities included in rent: Distribution of families reporting expenditures, by tenure; average housing expenditure; and percentage of renting families having specified facilities included in rent; by income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued
[Nonrelief famiiies including husband and wife, both native born]

| Income class | Number of eligible families ${ }^{1}$ |  |  | Distribution of families reporting expenditures ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  | Housing expenditure |  |  |  | Percentage of renting families having specified facilitios included in rent ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total 1 | Owners | Renters | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Own- } \\ & \text { ers } \end{aligned}$ | Renters | Mixed tenure | Rent received as gift or pay | Owners |  | Renters |  | Garage | Furniskings | Heat | Water | Light | Me -chanical re-frigerator | Refrigeration | None of the facilities listed |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Family home | Other ${ }^{1}$ | Family home | Other ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) | (14) | (15) | (16) | (17) | (18) | (19) | (20) |



| \$250-\$499 | PACIFIC NORTHWEST, 3 MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES: WHITE FAMILIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 93 | 44 | 45 | 47 | 48 |  | 5 | \$34.00 |  | \$140.60 |  | 26 | 66 | 10 | 84 | 10 |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 257 | 99 | 153 | 44 | 54 |  | 2 | 71.10 | \$0.20 | 149.80 |  | 58 | 8 | 2 | 48 | 2 | 1 |  | 13 |
| \$750-\$999 | 573 | 190 | 350 | 33 | 55 | 3 | 9 | 74. 10 |  | 130.60 | \$0.90 | 69 | 19 | 3 | 61 | 2 |  |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 1,070 | 391 | 638 | 46 | 44 | 3 | 7 | 116. 20 | 30 | 154.20 | . 20 | 80 | 20 | 8 | 44 | 10 | 3 | 1 |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 893 | 430 | 418 | 47 | 48 | 4 | 1 | 79. 30 | 1. 60 | 162.00 | . 80 | 77 | 21 | 12 | 50 | 14 |  | 2 | 13 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 801 | 417 | 348 | 45 | 50 | 3 | 2 | 113.40 | 3.40 | 210.70 | 2. 10 | 83 | 16 | 14 | 57 | 6 | 8 | 4 |  |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 660 | 320 | 326 | 46 | 49 | 2 | 3 | 132.10 | 6. 50 | 274.00 | 5.40 | 78 | 10 | 28 | 46 | 3 | 22 | 4 |  |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 428 | 243 | 162 | 53 | 41 | 3 | 3. | 120.90 | 5.40 | 248.00 | 6.00 | 90 | 22 | 8 | 56 |  | 7 | 4 |  |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 352 | 222 | 117 | 51 | 41 | 4 | 4 | 125. 50 | 9.70 | 294. 40 | 5. 00 | 92 | 5 | 19 | 48 | 10 | 8 | 6 |  |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 171 | 101 | 62 | 65 | 29 | 3 | 3 | 176. 10 | 7.80 | 327.70 | 18.50 | 90 | 34 | 41 | 55 |  | 19 |  | 3 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 ...... | 97 | 60 | 33 | 48 | 50 | 2 |  | 184.80 | 39.30 | 328.70 | 5. 20 | 82 | 24 | 24 | 46 |  | 6 | 9 | 18 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 62 | 46 | 15 | 82 | 14 | 2 | 2 | 267. 30 | 15. 20 | 402.30 | 30.30 | 100 | 10 | 10 | 10 |  | 10 |  |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999....... | 66 | 51 | 11 | 84 | 16 |  |  | 224. 20 | 33. 20 | 353.90 |  | 67 |  | 44 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$5,000 and over | 86 | 63 | 21 | 73 | 23 | 4 |  | 253.70 | 205.30 | 574.10 | 73.00 | 100 | 31 | 10 | 41 |  |  |  |  |

See explanation of tables for definition of this item.

Table 5.-Family housing and facilities Included in rent: Distribution of families reporting expenditures, by tenure; average housing expenditure; and percentage of renting families having specified facilities included in rent; by income, in 1 year, $1935-36-\mathrm{Continued}$

| Income class | Number of eligible families ${ }^{1}$ |  |  | Distribution of families reporting expenditures 1 |  |  |  | Housing expenditure |  |  |  | Percentage of renting families having specified facilities included in rent ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total 1 <br> (2) | Owners <br> (3) | Renters <br> (4) | Owners <br> (5) | Renters <br> (6) | Mixed tenure <br> (7) | Rent received as gift or pay <br> (8) | Owners |  | Renters |  | Garage <br> (13) | Furnishings <br> (14) | Heat <br> (15) | Water <br> (16) | Light <br> (17) | $\mathrm{Me}-$ chanical re-frigerator <br> (18) | Refrigeration <br> (19) | None of the facilities listed (20) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Family home | Other ${ }^{\text {2 }}$ | Family home | Other ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | NEW ENGLAND, 4 SMALL CITIES: WHITE FAMILIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499. | 21 | 4 | 17 |  | 70 |  |  | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ ) | \$170.80 |  |  | 15 | 15 | 100 | 15 | 15 |  |  |
| \$750-\$999 | 340 | 43 | 295 | 20 | 77 | 1 | 2 | $\$ 192.30$ 205.70 |  | 192.70 181.80 |  | 92 | 8 | 11 | 98 99 | 4 1 | 4 1 | 3 |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 460 | 112 | 343 | 30 | 68 | 2 |  | 163. 00 | \$2. 30 | 218.40 | \$0. 50 | 33 |  | 8 | 100 | 1 |  | 1 |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 466 | 122 | 341 | 24 | 74 | 1 | 1 | 175. 30 | 1.80 | 228.40 | 4.60 | 32 | 4 | 7 | 98 | 3 | 1 |  | 1 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 376 | 119 | 257 | 34 | 63 |  | 3 | 241. 90 | . 50 | 276.60 | 3.90 | 38 | 5 | 12 | 100 | 6 | 3 | 3 |  |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 316 | 117 | 198 | 29 | 69 | 1 | 1 | 213.60 | 11.00 | 271.40 | 7.40 | 48 | 1 | 5 | 99 |  |  |  | 1 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 252 | 112 | 138 | 39 | 61 |  |  | 226. 60 | 2.30 | 307.00 | 7. 40 | 48 |  | 4 | 98 |  |  |  | 2 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 156 | 79 | 75 | 39 | 57 | 2 | 2 | 273. 50 | 31.60 | 320.90 | 6.20 | 50 | 3 | 11 | 96 | 1 |  |  | 4 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 90 | 41 | 49 | 54 | 44 | 1 | 1 | 398. 60 | 19.30 | 384.60 | 18.10 | 75 |  | 11 | 83 | 5 | 5 |  | 5 |
| \$3,000 and over. | 221 | 142 | 78 | 65 | 32 |  | 3 | 264.90 | 48.60 | 423.80 | 73. 20 | 78 | 7 | 13 | 100 |  | 10 |  |  |
|  | EAST CENTRAL, 5 SMALL CITHES: WHITE FAMILIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499. | 181 | 68 | 108 | 40 | 57 |  | 3 | \$104. 20 |  | \$116.30 |  | 43 |  |  | 63 |  |  |  | 18 |
| \$500-\$749 | 527 | 187 | 335 | 34 | 60 | 4 | 2 | 80.30 |  | 135.90 | \$2. 50 | 44 |  | 16 | 75 | 8 |  |  | 12 |
| \$750-\$999 | 945 | 281 | 642 | 30 | 67 | 1 | 2 | 98. 70 |  | 147. 20 |  | 51 | 1 | 6 | 62 | 1 |  |  | 11 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 1,222 | 443 | 763 | 40 | 57 | 1 | 2 | 113.40 | \$1. 50 | 174.60 | . 20 | 43 | 2 | 13 | 57 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 22 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 1, 009 | 439 | 558 | 43 | 54 | 2 | 1 | 166.70 | 2.10 | 187.30 | . 30 | 56 | 4 | 9 | 49 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 19 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 877 | 408 | 456 | 49 | 46 | 3 | 2 | 157. 10 | 1. 20 | 227.50 | 2.40 | 59 | 1 | 6 | 41 |  | 1 | 1 | 24 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 768 | 393 | 367 | 56 | 42 | 1 | 1 | 155.30 | 5.20 | 246.60 | 3.30 | 61 | 2 | 16 | 43 | 4 | -......- | 2 | 15 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 500 | 289 | 207 | 61 | 37 | 1 | 1 | 153. 80 | 4. 50 | 247. 20 | 2. 80 | 62 | 2 | 12 | 38 | 4 |  |  | 22 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 404 | 246 | 153 | 59 | 38 | 1 | 2 | 168.20 | 3. 50 | 272.30 | 1. 80 | 54 | 3 | 10 | 55 | 2 |  | 2 | 22 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999... | 452 510 | 306 358 | 140 145 | 70 72 | 26 23 | 4 | ${ }_{4}^{4}$ | 197.70 216.60 | 6.70 26.80 | 322.80 340.10 | 5. 00 5.20 | 75 56 | 2 | 12 | 46 47 | 2 |  | 2 5 | 115 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 216.60 | 20.80 | 34.10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15 |

${ }^{1}$ See explanation of tables for definition of this item.
$\dagger$ Averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

Table 6.-Housing for home-owning families: Percentage of home-owning families reporting expenditure for selected items and average expenditure for all items of housing, by income, in 1 year, 1935-96
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]

| Income class | Percentage of families reporting expenditure |  | Average expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | All housing | Owned family homes ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Otherhousing |
|  | Repairs, replacements | Insurance premiams |  | Allitems <br> (5) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Interest } \\ \text { on } \\ \text { mortgage } \\ (6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Refinanc- } \\ \text { ing } \\ \text { charges } \end{gathered}$ | Current taxes <br> (8) | Assessments <br> (9) | Repairs, replacements <br> (10) | Insurance premiums <br> (11) | Other <br> (12) |  |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (13) |

## NEW YORE CLTY: WHITE FAMILIES

| \$500-\$749 | 100 | 83 | \$313.90 | \$313.90 | \$16. 20 |  | \$56.00 | \$125.00 | \$104. 20 | \$12.50 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$750-\$999 | 56 |  | 253.60 | 253.60 | 61.80 |  | 140.70 | 24.40 | 26. 70 |  |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 25 | 57 | 366.30 | 366.30 | 180.60 |  | 166.20 |  | 3.70 | 15.80 |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 29 | 91 | 361.70 | 361.70 | 178.90 |  | 125.30 |  | 31.30 | 25.40 | \$0.80 |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 71 | 41 | 395.70 | 391.10 | 194.90 | \$1.80 | 140.80 | (*) | 42.30 | 11.00 | . 30 | \$4. 60 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 82 | 61 | 354.70 | 354.50 | 118.60 | . 40 | 125.10 | 9.30 | 90.00 | 11. 10 |  | 20 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 48 | 62 | 352.60 | 351.30 | 160.10 |  | 124.00 | 16. 30 | 34.60 | 16.30 | (*) | 1. 30 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 58 | 65 | 389.50 | 382.80 | 170.80 |  | 125.30 | 4.60 | 71. 50 | 10.60 |  | 6.70 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 72 | 55 | 407.30 | 400.80 | 171.80 |  | 123.30 | 20.50 | 74. 50 | 10.70 | (*) | 6. 50 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 85 | 68 | 548.00 | 541.70 | 221.40 |  | 147.00 | 3.80 | 157.10 | 12.30 | . 10 | 6. 30 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 76 | 67 | 462.70 | 444. 50 | 167.30 |  | 161.50 | 7.80 | 92.60 | 15. 10 | 20 | 18. 20 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 72 | 68 | 627.10 | 596.70 | 236.90 |  | 209.10 | . 40 | 130.10 | 20.20 |  | 30.40 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 77 | 55 | 723.10 | 647.80 | 236.80 | . 30 | 245.00 |  | 128.30 | 22.10 | 15.30 | 75. 30 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | 83 | 73 | 1,394.10 | 1, 106. 40 | 278.20 |  | 341.60 | 50 | 96. 70 | 29.90 | 359.50 | 287.70 |
| \$10,000 and over | 87 | 83 | 1,898.30 | 1,733.90 | 455.20 |  | 435.00 | 62.40 | 758.90 | 22.40 |  | 164.40 |

## 1. See explanation of tables for definition of this item.

Note-Of the Negro families in New York City which furnished data on expenditures, there was an insufficient number of home owners to permit computation of reliable averages by income class for this table.

Table 6.-Housing for home-owning families: Percentage of home-owning families reporting expenditure for selected items and average expenditure for all items of housing, by income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]

| Income class | Percentage of families reporting expenditure |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { All hous- } \\ \text { ing } \end{gathered}$ | Average expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Owned family homes ${ }^{1}$ | Other housing ${ }^{1}$ <br> (13) |
| (1) | Repairs, |  |  |  |  | Interest | Refinanc- |  |  | Repairs, |  |  |
|  | (2) | (3) |  |  | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) |
|  | CHICAGO, ILL.: WHITE FAMILIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749- | 32553636353748615862595469507665 | 15 | \$122.30 | \$122.30 | \$37.10 |  | \$76.80 |  | \$5.90 | \$2.50 |  |  |
| \$750-\$999- |  | 55 | ${ }^{177.30}$ | ${ }^{177}$ 230 | 43.90 |  | 44. 70 | \$5.80 | 75.70 | 7.20 |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 |  | 28 | 231.40 181.20 | ${ }_{181.20}^{231.40}$ | 133.80 91.10 | \$2.40 | 67.40 | 4.70 | 14.20 13.20 | 2.40 |  |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 |  | 39 | 246.00 | 246.00 | 91.50 | 2.90 | 74.00 | 6.20 | 63.60 | 7.80 |  |  |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. |  | 36 | 263.50 | 262.80 | 138. 20 | 2.00 | 79.40 | 1.20 | 35.30 | 6.70 |  | \$0.70 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. |  | 57 | 283.40 | 282.60 | 124.80 | 16. 60 | 81.20 | . 20 | ${ }_{4}^{44.10}$ | 15. 70 | ---- | ${ }^{.80}$ |
| \$2,250-\$2,499. |  | 44 54 | 290. 20 324.60 | 287.60 317.00 | 132.70 130.50 | 3.80 15.20 | 89.50 104.60 | 1.40 1.60 | 49.00 47.90 | 11.20 17.20 | -...-. | 2.60 7.60 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 |  | 41 | 254.40 | 250.40 | 87.70 | 3. 70 | 87.20 | 2.60 | 60.20 | 9.00 |  | 4.00 |
| \$8,500-83,999 |  | 50 | 330.90 | 315.90 | 121. 30 | 20.60 | 112.70 | 2.90 | 44. 60 | 12.80 | \$1.00 | 15.00 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 |  | 46 | 333.80 | 300.90 | 115.80 | 2.30 | 109.00 | 1.10 | ${ }^{63.50}$ | 8.20 | 1.00 | 32. 90 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 |  | 47 | 459.60 | ${ }^{402.90}$ | 150.60 | 6.50 | 160.60 | 9.40 | ${ }^{60.90}$ | 14.90 |  | 56.70 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 |  | 55 80 | 402.90 $1,106.90$ | 366.30 981.30 | 60.80 282.60 |  | 197.50 345.10 |  | 87.40 211.80 | 20.60 86.60 | 55.20 | 36.60 125.60 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5.20 |  |
| PROVIDENCE, R. I.: WHITE FAMILIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$999 | 92 | ${ }_{22}^{92}$ | $\$ 73.60$ <br> 123.60 | $\$ 73.60$ 123.60 | $\$ 20.00$ 65.20 |  | \$45.30 |  | \$5. 50 | $\$ 2.80$ 1.30 | ------- |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 39 | 40 | 178.40 | 173.00 | 60.50 | \$2. 20 | ${ }_{77} 940$ |  | 34.10 | 1.50 |  | \$1.40 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 39 | 11 | 232.20 | 232.20 | 87.90 |  | 74.40 |  | 69.30 | . 60 |  |  |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 52 | 56 | 305.10 | 304.90 | 124.90 | . 90 | 91.10 | \$3.30 | 78.00 | 6.70 |  | . 20 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | ${ }_{55}^{60}$ | 48 | 317.30 | 317.30 | 100.90 | 1.10 | 104.90 | . 20 | 105.30 | 4.90 |  |  |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 55 | 32 | 264.90 | 254.30 | 98.10 |  | 103.90 |  | 48. 60 | 3.70 |  | 10.60 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 62 56 | ${ }_{26}^{36}$ | 278.70 534.40 | 246.60 517.60 | $\begin{array}{r}95.20 \\ 175.50 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 1.00 | 101.00 |  | 43.80 200.60 | 6.60 4.10 |  | 32.10 16.80 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 60 | 28 | 324.00 | 311. 60 | 112.40 |  | 123.60 |  | 72.40 | 3.20 |  | 12.40 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 50 | 40 | 428.10 | 411.70 | 103.90 |  | 214.20 |  | 81.80 | 11. 80 |  | 16. 40 |
| \$5,000- $\$ 7,499$ | 58 | 48 | 592.00 | 525.10 | 178.00 |  | 208.90 |  | 124.70 | 13.50 |  | 66.90 |
| \$7,500 and over- | 86 | 50 | 874.40 | 750.00 | 175.50 |  | 359.90 | 4. 70 | 189.60 | 20.30 |  | 124.40 |

[^69]Table 6.-Housing for home-owning familles: Percentage of home-owning families reporting expenditure for selected items and average expenditure for all items of housing, by income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]


1 See explanation of tables for definition of this item.
*Average amounts of less than $\$ 0.05$ are not shown.

Table 6.-Housing for home-owning families: Percentage of home-owning families reporting expenditure for selected items and average expenditure for all items of housing, by income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]


ATLANTA, GA.: WHITE FAMLLIES


[^70]Table 6.-Housing for homeowning families: Percentage of home-owning families reporting expenditure for selected items and average expenditure for all items of housing, by income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
Lncome class $\quad$ [Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]

## OMAFA, NEBR.-COUNCIL BLUFFG, IOWA: WHITE FAMILIES

| \$500-\$749 | 69 | 78 | \$91. 70 | \$91. 70 | \$6.90 |  | \$26.90 |  | \$47. 40 | \$10. 50 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$750-\$999 | 61 | 52 | 110.80 | 110.80 | 23.60 | \$0.30 | 46.80 | \$3.00 | 34.60 | 2.50 |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 34 | 54 | 133.10 | 132. 60 | 38.00 |  | 49.10 | 1. 60 | 37.40 | 6. 50 |  | \$0. 50 |
| \$1,250-81,499 | 36 | 37 | 115.70 | 115. 60 | 26. 60 | 50 | 50.00 | 4. 50 | 27.00 | 7.00 |  | . 10 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 44 | 50 | 182.69 | 182.00 | 47.10 | 1. 60 | 56.50 | 5. 70 | 62.00 | 9.10 | (*) | . 60 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 45 | 37 | 156. 40 | 152. 50 | 40.20 | 20 | 66. 20 | 1.70 | 36. 00 | 8.20 |  | 3. 90 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 52 | 48 | 182.70 | 178.40 | 62. 50 | 1. 30 | 67.90 | 1.30 | 35. 70 | 9.70 |  | 4. 30 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 52 | 71 | 173.20 | 166.80 | 49.50 | 1. 20 | 72. 00 | 3.20 | 24.10 | 16.80 |  | 6. 40 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 56 | 46 | 218. 20 | 197.20 | 58.00 | . 10 | 82.20 | 1.90 | 42.90 | 12.10 |  | 21.00 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499. | 53 | 65 | 214. 60 | 200. 20 | 37.30 | 1. 40 | 94. 30 | 1. 40 | 51.50 | 14.10 | \$0. 20 | 14.40 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 41 | 41 | 285.40 | 282.70 | 60.90 | . 20 | 97.00 |  | 95.20 | 9.40 |  | 22.70 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999. | 57 | 46 | 308. 40 | 265. 50 | 66.30 | 2. 60 | 118. 60 | 1. 30 | 57.00 | 19.70 |  | 42.90 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499. | 46 | 56 | 400.40 | 346.40 | 65. 10 |  | 148. 70 | . 60 | 93.90 | 38.10 |  | 54. 00 |
| \$7,500 and over | 44 | 88 | 527.20 | 351.90 | 54.00 |  | 185. 20 |  | 49.20 | 60.50 | 3. 00 | 175. 30 |

DENVER, COLO.: WHITE FAMILIES

| DENVER, COLO.: WHITE FAMHLIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$500-\$749 | 21 | 21 | \$49. 20 | \$49. 20 | \$8.10 |  | \$37. 30 |  | \$2. 50 | \$1.30 |  |  |
| \$750-\$990 | 48 | 27 | 140.50 | 140. 50 | 75. 00 |  | 56.90 | \$5. 40 | 1.90 | 1.30 |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 26 | 53 | 97. 70 | 97.70 | 23.40 | \$4.30 | 42. 00 | 11.80 | 10.70 | 5. 50 |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 46 | 45 | 106.90 | 104.70 | 32.50 | . 60 | 41. 70 | 7.80 | 18.10 | 4.00 |  | \$2. 20 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 43 | 42 | 175.70 | 175.00 | 70.60 | 3. 10 | 64. 70 | 6.50 | 23. 00 | 5. 70 | \$1. 40 | . 70 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 47 | 45 | 198. 00 | 192.00 | 57.60 | 1. 90 | 71.50 | 6.50 | 48. 40 | 6. 10 |  | 6. 00 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 45 | 31 | 182. 70 | 178.10 | 68.90 | 1. 60 | 76. 60 | 7.80 | 19.00 | 4.20 |  | 4. 60 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 58 | 38 | 192.10 | 188.60 | 54.60 | 2.90 | 78. 40 | 7.00 | 38.60 | 7.00 | 10 | 3.50 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999- | 40 | 38 | 246.60 | 234.60 | 85. 10 | 1. 40 | 103.50 | 7.80 | 29.80 | 7.00 |  | 12.00 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 43 | 41 | 309.90 | 287.50 | 98.60 | 1. 90 | 128.60 | 9.50 | 42. 50 | 6.20 | . 20 | 22. 40 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999. | 45 | 41 | 348.40 | 331.80 | 110. 20 | 4.50 | 129.80 | 10.20 | 68. 00 | 8.10 | 1. 00 | 16. 60 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 38 | 30 | 317.70 | 286.00 | 97.00 | . 40 | 140.70 | 8. 30 | 33.70 | 5. 90 |  | 31.70 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 55 | 39 | 486. 70 | 409. 40 | 80.50 | . 90 | 204.70 | 21.30 | 86.70 | 15.30 |  | 77. 30 |
| \$7,500 and over | 52 | 42 | 697.60 | 570.90 | 151.70 |  | 272.60 | 15.10 | 112.40 | 19. 10 |  | 126.70 |

${ }^{1}$ See explanation of tables for definition of this item.

* Average amounts of less than $\$ 0.05$ are not shown.

Table 6.-Housing for home-owning families: Percentage of home-owning families reporting expenditure for selected items and average expenditure for all items of housing, by income, in 1 year, 1995-36-Continued


[^71]Table 6.-Housing for homemowning families: Percentage of home-owning families reporting expenditure for selected items and average expenditure for all items of housing, by income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued

EAST CENTRAL, 3 MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES: WHITE FAMILIES

| \$250-\$499 | 68 | 64 | \$84.90 | \$84.90 | \$7.30 |  | \$52. 40 |  | \$18.70 | \$6. 50 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$500-8749 | 24 | 36 | 121.30 | 121.30 | 56.30 | \$2. 70 | 44.50 | \$2. 40 | 10. 10 | 5.30 |  |  |
| \$750-\$999 | 18 | 55 | 103.70 | 103. 70 | 32. 60 | 6. 50 | 52. 40 |  | 6.80 | 5.40 |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 21 | 38 | 124.40 | 124.40 | 46. 60 | . 30 | 58. 40 | 1. 20 | 11. 10 | 6.80 |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 30 | 46 | 140.80 | 140. 40 | 44.30 | . 20 | 62. 40 | 4. 00 | 21. 50 | 8.00 |  | \$0.40 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 35 | 40 | 147.30 | 146. 50 | 50.00 |  | 67.60 | 40 | 20.20 | 8.30 |  | . 80 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 43 | 52 | 187.80 | 185.10 | 59.50 | . 20 | 65. 20 | 2.00 | 44.90 | 11.60 | \$1.70 | 2.70 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 55 | 44 | 187.20 | 184.00 | 67.20 | . 30 | 73.20 | 3.30 | 33.10 | 6.90 |  | 3.20 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 33 | 80 | 179.40 | 175. 20 | 61. 20 | . 30 | 69.80 | 2. 60 | 27.80 | 13.50 |  | 4. 20 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 46 | 58 | 238.80 | 227.80 | 78.90 | . 70 | 93.30 | . 70 | 37.30 | 16.80 | 10 | 11. 00 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 54 | 55 | 280.30 | 266.10 | 87.50 | . 50 | 106. 60 | 2.10 | 52.40 | 17.00 |  | 14. 20 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 60 | 46 | 287.10 | 270.40 | 64. 20 |  | 114.00 | 2.90 | 73. 40 | 15.90 |  | 16. 70 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 53 | 54 | 263.40 | 244.80 | 52. 30 |  | 122.80 | 7.50 | 39.70 | 22. 50 |  | 18. 60 |
| \$5,000 and over | 58 | 62 | 458.20 | 422. 70 | 120.60 |  | 180.10 | 3.10 | 90.90 | 28.00 |  | 35. 50 |


| \$250-\$499. | 50 |  | \$73.50 | \$73.50 | \$27.00 |  | \$39.00 |  | \$7. 50 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$500-\$749 | 10 | 42 | 87.80 | 87.80 | 29.70 | \$1. 10 | 37. 50 |  | 11.90 | \$7.60 |  |  |
| \$750-\$999 | 16 | 30 | 65.00 | 65. 00 | 16. 50 |  | 36. 50 |  | 8.80 | 3.20 |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 19 | 82 | 131.70 | 129.60 | 32. 60 | 2. 20 | 45. 20 | \$1.40 | 29.50 | 18. 70 |  | \$2. 10 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 8 | 52 | 121. 10 | 121.00 | 51.50 | . 90 | 53.50 | . 20 | 5. 40 | 9.50 |  | . 10 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 20 | 74 | 153.10 | 152. 60 | 58.40 | . 70 | 61. 50 |  | 14. 50 | 14. 20 | \$3.30 | . 50 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 12 | 75 | 177.90 | 176.80 | 69.00 | 5.80 | 63.20 | 7.50 | 15.00 | 15.90 | . 40 | 1. 10 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 23 | 76 | 198.50 | 195. 40 | 59.40 |  | 86.50 | . 30 | 21.50 | 27. 60 | . 10 | 3.10 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499. | 16 | 87 | 177.50 | 175.90 | 68.50 |  | 77. 10 | . 10 | 10.30 | 19.90 |  | 1. 60 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 27 | 89 | 268.70 | 252. 50 | 98. 20 |  | 99.30 | 1. 50 | 32. 60 | 20.40 | 50 | 16. 20 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 22 | 84 | 224.90 | 221.80 | 76. 40 |  | 98.00 | 2. 60 | 16. 60 | 28.20 |  | 3.10 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 34 | 92 | 306.00 | 301.20 | 103.70 |  | 120.80 | 2.30 | 44.00 | 29. 50 | . 90 | 4.80 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 45 | 83 | 378.90 | 358.80 | 98.80 | 1. 10 | 129.00 | 3.80 | 92.60 | 33.50 |  | 20.10 |
| \$5,000 and over | 31 | 91 | 511.70 | 471.50 | 111.40 |  | 184.50 | . 60 | 106. 70 | 68.30 |  | 40.20 |

${ }^{1}$ See explanation of tables for definition of this item.

Table 6.-Housing for home-owning families: Percentage of home-owning families reporting expenditure for selected items and average expenditure for all items of housing, by income, in 1 year, 1985-36-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow{3}{*}{Income class} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{Percentage of families reporting expenditure}} \& \multicolumn{10}{|c|}{Average expenditure} \\
\hline \& \& \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{gathered}
\text { All hous- } \\
\text { ing }
\end{gathered}
\]} \& \multicolumn{8}{|c|}{Owned family homes \({ }^{1}\)} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Other housing \({ }^{1}\) \\
(13)
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline \& Repairs, replace-
ments \& Insurance premiums \& \& \begin{tabular}{l}
All items \\
(5)
\end{tabular} \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Interest mortgag \(\theta\) \\
(6)
\end{tabular} \&  \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Current taxes \\
(8)
\end{tabular} \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Assessments \\
(9)
\end{tabular} \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Repairs, replace-
ments \\
(10)
\end{tabular} \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Insurance premiums \\
(11)
\end{tabular} \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Other \\
(12)
\end{tabular} \& \\
\hline (1) \& \multicolumn{12}{|l|}{SOUTHEAST, 2 MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES: NEGRO FAMILIES} \\
\hline Under \$250 \& \& 70 \& \$35. 00 \& \$35. 00 \& \& \& \$30.80 \& \& \& \$4. 20 \& \& \\
\hline \$250-\$499- \& \& 52 \& 31.60 \& 31.60 \& \$5. 20 \& \& 21.90 \& \& \& 4. 50 \& \& \\
\hline \$750-\$999- \& 8 \& 54 \& 88.30 \& 78.10 \& 24.90 \& \& 34.90 \& \& 12.60 \& 5.70 \& \& 82.20 \\
\hline \$1,000-\$1,249 \& 8 \& 70 \& 86.40 \& 85.20 \& 23. 50 \& \& 37.60 \& \$0. 30 \& 13. 10 \& 10.70 \& \& 1. 20 \\
\hline \$1,250-\$1,499- \& 16 \& 66 \& 74.70 \& 67.00 \& 14.50 \& \& 41.80 \& \& 2. 50 \& 8.20 \& \& 7.70 \\
\hline \$1,500-\$1,749. \& 12 \& 100 \& \(\begin{array}{r}140.80 \\ 253 \\ \hline 10\end{array}\) \& 140.80 \& 41.50 \& \& 70.30 \& \& 8. 00 \& 16.00 \& \& \\
\hline \$1,000-\$2, 249 \& \(\stackrel{67}{23}\) \& \({ }_{93}^{78}\) \& - 253.60 \& \begin{tabular}{l}
245.60 \\
140.50 \\
\hline
\end{tabular} \& 46.10 \& \& 36.00
560 \& \& 188.70
19.00
18 \& 18.80 \& \& 3. 60 \\
\hline \$2,500 and over \& 56 \& 67 \& 293.90 \& 293.90 \& 55.70 \& \& 63.20 \& \& 144.40 \& 30.60 \& \& \\
\hline \multicolumn{13}{|c|}{WEST CENTRAL, 2 MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES: WHITE FAMILIES} \\
\hline \$250-\$499 \& 7 \& 66 \& \$105.60 \& \$105. 60 \& \$50.00 \& ------ \& \$39.00 \& \$1.80 \& \$7. 50 \& \$7. 30 \& ------ \& --------- \\
\hline - \(8750-\$ 999\) \& 12 \& 40 \& 64.60
94.50 \& 61.60

94.50 \& 10.80
33.20 \& ---------- \& 49.90
45.70 \& \& 10.30 \& 3.90
5.30 \& \& <br>
\hline \$1,000-\$1,249 \& 38 \& 71 \& 109.90 \& 109.90 \& 23.30 \& \& 49.40 \& 10 \& 27.70 \& 9. 40 \& \& <br>
\hline \$1,250-81,499. \& 37 \& 71 \& 102. 30 \& 102. 00 \& 21.40 \& \& 55.80 \& 1. 40 \& 12. 50 \& 10.90 \& \& \$0. 30 <br>

\hline \$1,500-\$1,749. \& 35 \& ${ }_{56}^{64}$ \& | 142.80 |
| :--- |
| 144 |
| 90 | \& ${ }_{143} 1420$ \& ${ }_{47}^{43} 00$ \& \$0.30 \& ${ }_{64}^{58.80}$ \& 3. 90

3
3 \& 24.30 \& 12.00 \& \& - 70 <br>
\hline \$1,750-\$1,999- \& 26
47 \& 56

76 \& \begin{tabular}{l}
144.90 <br>
164.40 <br>
\hline

 \& 

143.20 <br>
154.20 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular} \& 47.90

32.10 \& \& 64.30
69.30 \& 3.00
.80 \& 16.20
34.10 \& 114.80 \& \$3.40 \& 10. 20 <br>
\hline \$2,250-\$2,499 \& 20 \& 76 \& 128.00 \& 124.40 \& 20.20 \& \& 73.20 \& 60 \& 13.00 \& 17.40 \& \& 3. 60 <br>
\hline \$2,500-\$2,999- \& 32 \& 70 \& 230.00 \& 216. 80 \& 70.00 \& \& 102. 90 \& 2.60 \& 26.40 \& 14.90 \& \& 13. 20 <br>
\hline \$3,000-\$3,499- \& 71

54 \& ${ }_{75}^{66}$ \& | 337.30 |
| :--- |
| 26180 | \& -334. 10 \& 40. 40 \& \& 1107.70 \& 6. ${ }_{\text {6. }} 10$ \& 154.00

51.60 \& 25. 60 \& \& 3. 20 <br>

\hline $$
\begin{aligned}
& \$ 3,500-\$, 999 \\
& \$ 4,000-\$ 4,999
\end{aligned}
$$ \& $\begin{array}{r}54 \\ 22 \\ \hline\end{array}$ \& 75 \& 261.80

182.20 \& 253.50
176.40 \& 68.30
8.30 \& \& 111.10
119.10 \& 5. 10 \& 51.60
21.40 \& 17. 40 \& 2.10 \& 8.30
5.80 <br>
\hline \$5,000 and over. \& 39 \& 87 \& 345.80 \& 303. 10 \& 44. 10 \& \& 152.40 \& \& 61.70 \& 44.90 \& \& 42.70 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

1 See explanation of tables for definition of this item.

Table 6.-Housing for homeowning families: Percentage of home-owning families reporting expenditure for selected items and average expenditure for all items of housing, by income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]

| Income class | Percentage of families reporting expenditure |  | A verage expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | All housng | Owned family homes 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Other } \\ \text { housing } 1 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Repairs, replacements | Insurance |  | All items | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Interest } \\ \text { on } \\ \text { ortgage } \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Refinanc- } \\ \text { ing } \\ \text { charges } \end{gathered}$ | Current taxes | Assess ments | Repairs, replace | Insurance premiums | Other |  |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) |

ROCKY MOUNTAIN, 2 MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES: WHITEE FAMIEXES

| \$250-\$499 | 15 | 42 | \$99.00 | \$99.00 | \$43. 50 |  | \$52. 70 |  | \$0.30 | \$2. 50 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$500-\$749 | 16 | 71 | 61.00 | 61.00 | 8.50 |  | 40.60 |  | . 30 | 11.60 |  |  |
| \$750-\$999. | 5 | 29 | 96.50 | 96.00 | 21.80 |  | 62.40 | \$4. 70 | 2.80 | 4.30 | ---- | \$0. 50 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 37 | 46 | 122.50 | 122.40 | 43.70 | \$0.70 | 51.30 | 2.30 | 16.70 | 7.70 |  | . 10 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 29 | 28 | 120.20 | 116.30 | 33. 40 | . 50 | 57.40 | 1.70 | 18. 50 | 4.80 |  | 3.90 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 51 | 45 | 133.50 | 132.80 | 20.90 | . 40 | 65.00 | 5.10 | 35. 30 | 6.10 |  | 70 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 36 | 49 | 158.70 | 154.20 | 36. 30 | 2.00 | 75.50 | 5.10 | 26.70 | 8.60 |  | 4.50 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 40 | 51 | 165. 70 | 160.70 | 34.50 | . 20 | 80.00 | 7.10 | 30.40 | 8.30 | \$0.20 | 5.00 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 39 | 54 | 153.20 | 146. 20 | 39.50 | 1.50 | 69.00 | 3.60 | 24.40 | 8.20 |  | 7.00 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 41 | 67 | 295.30 | 270.30 | 55.60 |  | 127.70 | 15.10 | 55.10 | 16.70 | . 10 | 25.00 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 30 | 32 | 249.30 | 226.10 | 28.80 |  | 126. 20 | 15. 20 | 47.80 | 7.70 | . 40 | 23. 20 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 28 | 75 | 283.70 | 245.10 | 35.50 |  | 125. 70 | 6.80 | 54.30 | 22.80 |  | 38. 60 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 44 | 37 | 291.70 | 248.50 | 51.20 | 4.20 | 138.70 | 12. 40 | 33.50 | 8. 50 |  | 43. 20 |
| \$5,000 and over | 51 | 43 | 457.60 | 351.50 | 44.30 |  | 167.20 | 9.80 | 112. 70 | 17. 20 | 30 | 106. 10 |

PACIFIC NORTHWEST, 3 MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES: WHITE FAMILIES


[^72][^73]Table 6.-Housing for home-owning families: Percentage of home-owning families reporting expenditure for selected items and average expenditure for all items of housing, by income, in 1 year, 1985-36--Continued

| Income class | Percentage of families reporting expenditure |  | A verage expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | wned fam | $y$ homes |  |  |  |  |
|  | Repairs, replacements | Insurance premiums | $\begin{array}{\|} \text { All hous- } \\ \text { ing } \end{array}$ | All items | $\begin{gathered} \text { Interest } \\ \text { on } \\ \text { mortgage } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Refinanc- } \\ \text { ing } \\ \text { charges } \end{gathered}$ | Current taxes | Assess- | Repairs, replace- ments | Insurance premiums | Other | ( $\begin{gathered}\text { Other } \\ \text { housing 1 }\end{gathered}$ |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) |

NEW ENGLAND, 4 SMALL CITIES: WHITE FAMILIES

| \$250-\$499 |  | 100 | \$59.30 | \$59.30 |  |  | \$51. 80 |  |  | \$7. 50 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$500-\$749 | 36 | 47 | 192.30 | 192.30 | \$67.70 |  | 85.00 |  | \$36.10 | 3.50 |  |  |
| \$750-\$999 | 54 | 74 | 205. 70 | 205.70 | 78.60 |  | 77.20 | \$16.60 | 19.50 | 13.80 |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 49 | 55 | 165.30 | 163.00 | 44.80 |  | 74. 30 | . 70 | 36. 20 | 7.00 |  | \$2 30 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 38 | 57 | 177.10 | 175. 30 | 64.60 | \$1.80 | 84. 20 | . 20 | 15.80 | 8.70 |  | 1. 80 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 50 | 53 | 242.40 | 241.90 | 91.80 | . 70 | 92. 90 | 1. 10 | 46. 80 | 7.80 | \$0.80 | . 50 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 58 | 52 | 224.60 | 213.60 | 71.50 | . 70 | 104.70 |  | 25. 10 | 11.60 |  | 11. 00 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 50 | 27 | 228.90 | 226. 60 | 99.40 | 1.40 | 84.40 |  | 36. 30 | 5. 10 |  | 2. 30 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 56 | 55 | 305.10 | 273.50 | 89.20 |  | 102.60 | . 60 | 67.90 | 13. 20 |  | 31.60 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 59 | 56 | 417.90 | 398. 60 | 106. 60 |  | 133.30 |  |  |  |  | 19.30 |
| \$3,000 and over- | 50 | 51 | 313.50 | 264.90 | 71. 10 |  | 125.80 |  | 55. 60 | 12.40 |  | 48.60 |

EAST CENTRAL, 5 SMALL CITIES: WHITE FAMILIES

| \$250-\$499 | 12 | 34 | \$104. 10 | \$104. 10 | \$34. 50 | \$0.50 | \$53. 10 | \$1. 20 | \$12.10 | \$2. 70 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$500-8749 | 24 | 36 | 80.30 | 80.30 | 22.50 |  | 40. 20 | 5. 50 | 7.10 | 5.00 |  |  |
| \$750-\$999 | 9 | 33 | 98.70 | 98.70 | 46.10 | . 10 | 44.80 | . 60 | 3.80 | 2. 60 | \$0.70 |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 34 | 53 | 114.90 | 113.40 | 30.80 |  | 53.20 | 2. 20 | 19.10 | 8.10 |  | \$1. 50 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 29 | 53 | 168.80 | 166.70 | 47.10 | . 30 | 50.10 | 1.90 | 58.00 | 9.30 |  | 2. 10 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 33 | 51 | 158.30 | 157.10 | 65.50 |  | 55.80 | 2.50 | 24.40 | 8.90 |  | 1. 20 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 28 | 39 | 160.50 | 155.30 | 60.00 |  | 67.80 | . 40 | 21.00 | 6.10 |  | 5. 20 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 40 | 57 | 158. 30 | 153. 80 | 46.80 |  | 64.80 | 2.80 | 27.50 | 11.70 | . 20 | 4.50 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 46 | 57 | 171.70 | 168.20 | 56.50 | 1. 30 | 63. 40 | 1.30 | 34. 40 | 11. 00 | ${ }^{*} 30$ | 3. 50 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999. | 44 | 53 | 204.30 | 197.60 | 54.00 |  | 73.90 | 2. 40 | 54.10 | 13. 20 | (*) | 6. 70 |
| \$3,000 and over. | 43 | 51 | 243.40 | 216.60 | 62.20 |  | 91.40 | . 80 | 47.50 | 14.70 |  | 26. 80 |

1 See explanation of tables for definition of this item.
*Average amounts of less than $\$ 0.05$ are not shown.

Table \%.-Household operation-Fuel, light, and refrigeration: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for fuel, light, and refrigeration, and average expenditure for such items, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1935-36
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
NEW YORK CITY: WHITE FAMILIES, 5 TYPES

| Income class | Total fuel light, and refrigeration | Coal |  |  | Coke and briquets <br> (6) | Wood and kindling ${ }^{1}$ <br> (7) | Kerosene and gasoline <br> (8) | Fuel oil <br> (9) | Electricity <br> (10) | Gas <br> (11) | Ice <br> (12) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Anthracite | Bitumi- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | A. Percentage of families reporting expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 94 | 32 | 26 | 6 |  | 6 | 12 |  | 81 | 87 | 57 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 100 | 39 | 38 | 1 |  | 5 | 6 | 9 | 98 | 92 | 61 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 99 | 32 | 30 | 2 | 1 | 7 | 5 | 5 | 96 | 96 | 52 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 99 | 21 | 20 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | 6 | $\stackrel{3}{3}$ | 4 | ${ }_{99}$ | 98 | ${ }_{31}^{43}$ |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 100 | 22 | 20 | 2 | $4$ | 5 | 3 | 1 | 100 | 98 | 24 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999- | 97 100 | $\stackrel{16}{29}$ | $\stackrel{14}{26}$ | $\stackrel{2}{3}$ | ${ }_{9}^{4}$ | $\stackrel{2}{4}$ | ${ }^{*} 1$ | $\stackrel{4}{3}$ | 97 98 | 94 98 98 | ${ }_{22}^{22}$ |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 99 | 17 | 16 | 1 | $4$ | 1 | 2 | 5 | 99 | 97 | 11 |
| \$3,500-83,999. | 100 | ${ }_{20}^{28}$ | 28 |  | $5$ | 7 | 1 | 3 | 100 | 97 |  |
| \$5,000-\$7,499- | $\begin{array}{r}100 \\ 98 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 20 | 20 | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | 6 | 1 | 11 | ${ }_{98}$ | ${ }_{91}^{97}$ | 8 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999.. | 100 | 18 | 14 | 4 | 3 | 13 | 4 | 17 | 100 | 95 | 11 |
| \$10,000 and over | 100 | 11 | 11 |  |  | 16 | 2 | 12 | 100 | 96 | 14 |
|  | B. Average expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-8749 | \$54.90 | \$9.70 | \$8. 40 | \$1.30 |  |  | \$0. 90 |  | \$19.40 | \$17. 30 | \$7. 60 |
| \$750-\$999 | 77.80 | 19. 50 | 14.10 | 5. 40 | -------1 | \$0. 40 | . 30 | \$3. 30 | 24.30 | 19.50 | 10.50 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 82.70 | 19.30 | 19.20 | . 10 |  | . 30 | 1. 50 | 3.30 | 28. 60 | 20.10 | 9. 60 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499- | 80.00 85.10 | 16.50 18.10 | 15.90 17.00 | .60 1.10 | $\$ 0.60$ 1.00 | $\begin{array}{r}.70 \\ .20 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 1.10 . 50 | $\begin{array}{r}1.50 \\ .30 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 27.80 31.20 | 21.40 24.40 | 10.40 9.40 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 89.10 89.10 | ${ }_{13 .}^{180}$ | 13.20 | 1.50 .50 | ${ }_{3.60}$ | . 40 | 1.40 | $\stackrel{.30}{2.00}$ | 36.70 | 24.10 | 7.20 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 91.00 | 15.20 | 13.60 | 1.60 | 3.20 | 30 |  | . 10 | 38.00 | 28.50 | 5.30 . |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 87.00 | 12.60 | 10.80 | 1.80 | 3.90 | 10 | (*) | 2. 30 | 37.00 | 25. 30 | 5.80 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 113.90 | 24.40 | 22.20 | 2.20 | 8.80 | . 10 | . 80 | 3.50 | 41.80 | 28.70 | 5.80 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 102.50 | 14.90 | ${ }^{13.50}$ | 1.40 | 3. 20 | ${ }^{*}$ ) |  | 6. 50 | 45.40 | 29. 20 | 2.90 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 119.60 | 29.70 | 28.90 | . 80 | 5.10 | (*) 20 | (*) | 2.40 | 45.90 | 29.80 | 6. 50 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 123.80 | 20.40 | 18.30 | 2.10 | 1. 20 | ${ }^{*}{ }^{\text {) }} 60$ | . 10 | 110.20 | ${ }_{59}^{53.10}$ | 35.20 3080 | 3.60 1.10 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499-- | 131.40 159.70 19. | 23.00 26.60 | 23.00 26.20 | . 40 | 1.60 2.50 | .60 .70 | 1.30 | 14.70 21.80 | 59.60 66.50 | 30.80 37.80 | 1.10 2.50 |
| \$10,000 and over. | 161.40 | 10.50 | 10.50 |  |  | 1. 60 | . 30 | 9.20 | 82.00 | 54.90 | 2.90 |

Table \%,-Household operation-Fuel, light, and refrigeration: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for fuel, light, and refrigeration, and average expenditure for such items, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
NEW YORK CITY: WHITE FAMILIES, TYPE I

| Income class | Total fuel, light, and refrigeration | Coal |  |  | Coke and briquets <br> (6) | Wood and kindling 1 <br> (7) | Kerosene and gasoline <br> (8) | Fuel oil <br> (9) | Electricity <br> (10) | Gas <br> (11) | Ice <br> (12) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Anthracite | Bitumi- nous |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | A. Percentage of families reporting expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749. | 87 | 38 | 38 |  |  | 12 | 12 |  | 87 | 87 | 50 |
| \$750-\$999 | 100 | 21 | 7 | 14 | ----- | 14 |  | 7 | 100 | 93 | 56 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 100 | 32 | 32 |  | ------ | 5 |  | 5 | 100 | 95 | 45 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 100 | 27 | 24 | 3 | (*) | (*) 3 |  | --- | 100 | 100 | 41 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 100 | 15 | 15 | ------- | (*) | (*) |  | 2 | 100 | 99 | 22 |
| \$1,7000-\$2,249 | 100 100 | 6 | 9 |  |  |  | --- | 3 | 100 | 100 98 | 10 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 100 | 10 | 10 |  |  | 5 |  |  | 100 | 94 | 15 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999. | 100 | 8 | 8 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 95 | 100 | 4 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499. | 100 | 3 | 3 |  |  | 1 | 1 | 4 | 100 | 94 | 4 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 100 | 3 | 3 |  | 9 |  |  |  | 100 | 93 |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999. | 100 | 12 | 12 |  |  |  |  | 6 | 100 | 90 |  |
| \$5,000-\$7,499. | 100 | 12 | 12 |  |  | 12 |  | 3 | 100 | 88 |  |
| \$7,500-\$9,999. | 100 | 7 | 7 |  |  | 13 |  | 4 | 100 | 89 |  |
|  | 100 |  |  |  |  | 20 |  |  | 100 | 100 | --- |
|  | B. Average expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749. | \$53. 40 | \$8.60 | \$8 60 |  |  | \$0.10 | \$0. 40 |  | \$21. 10 | \$14.90 | \$8.30 |
| \$750-\$999 | 62.50 | 14. 50 | 3.10 | \$11.40 |  | . 50 | -.......- | \$2. 60 | 21.00 | 16.70 | 7.20 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 69.80 | 14. 40 | 14. 40 |  |  | . 40 |  | 1.20 | 27.70 | 20.00 | 6.10 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 73.60 | 13. 50 | 12.70 | . 80 |  | 1.00 | .30 |  | 28. 20 | 21.00 | 9. 60 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 69.80 | 11. 10 | 11. 10 |  | \$0.30 | (*) 10 |  | . 20 | 32.70 | 21. 30 | 4. 20 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | ${ }^{67.20}$ | 6. 90 | 6. 90 |  |  | . 10 |  | 2.20 | 36. 00 | 20.30 | 1.70 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 67.70 | 4. 50 | 4.50 | ---...... |  |  |  |  | 37.20 | 24.00 | 2. 00 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499. | 66. 00 | 8.50 | 8.50 | -----.--- |  | . 30 |  | ------ | 31.00 | 20.00 | 6. 20 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 70. 30 | 8.50 | 8. 50 | -------- |  | ${ }_{(*)} .10$ | (*) 10 | 5.10 | 39.70 40.50 | 21. 30 | .60 1.50 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 72.30 66.90 | 2.90 .60 | 2.90 |  | 8.20 | (*) | (*) | 6. 10 | 40.50 39.60 | 22.30 17.00 | 1.50 1.50 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999. | 88.30 | 17.90 | 17.90 |  |  |  |  | 1.20 | 49.70 | 19.50 |  |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 90.30 | 11.00 | 11.00 |  |  | 1.60 |  | . 20 | 54.10 | 22. 20 | 1.20 |
| \$7,500-\$9,099 | 119.90 | 24.00 | 24.00 |  |  | 1.00 2.00 |  | 4.00 | 60.40 86.70 | 28.60 6 6.10 | 1.90 |
| \$10,000 and over | 154.80 |  |  |  |  | 2.00 |  |  | 86.70 | 66.10 | --- |

[^74][^75]Table \%.-Household operation-Fuel, light, and refrigeration: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for fuel, light, and refrigeration, and average experditure for such items, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1985-86-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
NEW YORK CITY: WHITE FAMILIES, TYPES II AND III


1 See explanation of tables for definition of this item.

* A verage amounts of less than $\$ 0.05$ are not shown.

Table $\%$.-Household operation-Fuel, light, and refrigeration: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for fuel, light, and refrigeration, and average expenditure for such items, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1935-86-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
NEW YORK CITY: WHITE FAMILIES, TYPES IV AND $V$

| Income class | Total fuel, light, and refrigeration | Coal |  |  | Coke and briquets <br> (6) | Wood and kindling ${ }^{1}$ <br> (7) | Kerosene and gasoline <br> (8) | Fuel oil <br> (9) | Electricity <br> (10) | Gas <br> (11) | Ice <br> (12) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Antbracite | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bitumi- } \\ & \text { nous } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 500-\$ 749$ <br> $\$ 1,000-\$ 1,249$ <br> $\$ 1,250-\$ 1,499$ <br> \$1, $750-\$ 1,749$ <br> $\$ 2,000-\$ 2,249$ <br> $\$ 2,250-\$ 2,499$ <br> $\$ 3,000-\$ 3,499$ <br> \$3, 500-\$3, 999 <br> \$4, 000-\$4, 999 <br> $\$ 5,000-\$ 7,499$ <br> \$7,500-\$9,999 <br> $\$ 10,000$ and over. | A. Percentage of families reporting expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 100 | 66 | 33 | 33 |  |  |  |  | 67 | 67 | 100 |
|  | 100 | 54 57 | 46 | 8 |  |  | 8 | 24 | $\stackrel{100}{97}$ |  |  |
|  | 98 | 41 | 39 | 2 | 2 | 9 | 7 | 7 | 98 | 95 | 62 |
|  | 98 | 50 | 46 | 4 | 5 | 9 | 8 | 5 | 96 | 95 | 65 |
|  | 0 | 37 | ${ }_{3}^{30}$ | 1 | 1 | 10 | ${ }_{6}$ | 5 | 96 |  | 46 |
|  | 97 | 17 | 16 | 1 | 6 | 1 |  | 7 | 97 | 93 | 33 |
|  | 100 | 42 | 36 | 6 | 14 | 8 | 4 | 5 | 100 | 97 | 36 |
|  | 98 100 | $\stackrel{29}{4}$ | 43 |  | ${ }_{2}^{6}$ | 15 | 4 | ${ }_{3}^{6}$ | 98 100 108 | ${ }_{99}^{98}$ | 16 27 |
|  | 100 | 30 | 30 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 12 | 100 | 100 | 16 |
|  | $\begin{array}{r}97 \\ 100 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | ${ }^{28}$ | 28 17 | 9 |  |  |  | ${ }_{26}^{19}$ | 97 | 93 | 4 |
|  | 100 | 7 | 7 |  |  | 13 | 2 | 13 | 100 | 93 | 10 |
|  | B. Average expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-8749-- | \$80.40 | \$27.40 | \$20.70 | \$6.70 |  |  |  |  | \$17.30 | \$24.00 | \$11.70 |
| \$750-\$999 -- | 103.80 | 31.90 | 28.10 | 3.80 |  |  | \$0.90 | \$4.70 | 29.50 | 24.70 | 12.10 |
| \$1,000-\$1, 249 | 106.80 | 32.30 | 32. 40 | . 40 |  | \$0.60 | 2. 50 | 5.10 | 34.20 | ${ }^{21 .} 20$ | 10. 40 |
| \$1, 250-\$1, 499 | 94. 50 | 20.70 | 20.30 | . 40 | \$1.90 | . 40 | 1. 70 | 2.90 | 30.00 | 24. 50 | 12.40 |
| \$1,50-\$1, 749 | 105.20 | 30. 20 | 26. 20 | 4.00 | 3. 10 | . 50 | 1.90 2 2 | $\begin{array}{r}1.00 \\ 3.50 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | ${ }_{35}^{29.10}$ | 25.90 25 250 | 13.50 980 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 99.00 110.00 | 20. 30 | 19.90 18.20 | - ${ }^{.} 20$ | .80 6.40 | . 90 | $\begin{array}{r}2.70 \\ \hline 80\end{array}$ | 3.50 | 35.70 38.90 | 25. 30 31.60 | 9.80 8.10 |
| \$2, 250-\$2, 499- | 99. 90 | 123.50 | ${ }_{11.20}^{18.20}$ | 1.30 | 6. 20 | .20 |  | 6.00 | 39.90 | 27. 40 | 7.70 |
| \$2, 500-\$2,999 | 146.90 | 37.50 | 31.70 | 5. 80 | 13.90 | . 20 | 2.00 | 6.40 | ${ }^{43} 70$ | 34.00 | 9. 20 |
| \$3,000-\$3, 499- | 125.70 | 26. 60 | 26. 60 |  | 5.40 | ${ }^{*}$ * | . 20 | 6. 60 | 49.60 | 33. 90 | 3.40 |
| \$3, 500-\$3, 999 | 143.30 | 45. 50 | 43.70 | 1.80 | . 60 | . 50 |  | 1.10 | 49.00 | 34. 70 | 11.90 |
| \$4, 000-\$4,999 | 160.90 | 29.40 | 25.80 | 3.60 | 3. 10 | . 10 | 20 | 18. 20 | 56. 20 | ${ }^{46.79}$ | 7.00 |
| \$5.000- 87,499 | 169.80 | 35.60 | 35. 60 |  | 1.40 |  |  | ${ }^{33.10}$ | 65.50 | 32.90 | 1.30 2.40 |
| \$ $\$ 7,500-\$ 9,999 \ldots$ | 184.90 130.40 | 36.00 8.20 | 35.10 8.20 | . 90 |  | .20 1.80 | 2.60 .60 | 36.50 1.70 | 67.30 67.50 | 39.90 50 | 2.40 .10 |

I See explanation of tables for definition of this item.
*A verage amounts of less than $\$ 0.05$ are not shown.

Table 7.-Household operation-Fuel, light, and refrigeration: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for fuel, light, and refrigeration, and average expenditure for such items, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1935-96-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
NEW YORK CITY: NEGRO FAMILIES, 5 TYPES

| Income class | Total fuel, light, and refrigeration <br> (2) | Coal |  |  | Coke and briquets <br> (6) | Wood and kindling ${ }^{1}$ <br> (7) | Kerosene and gasoline <br> (8) | Fuel oil <br> (9) | Electricity <br> (10) | Gas <br> (11) | Ice <br> (12) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Anthracite | $\underset{\text { nous }}{\text { Bitumi- }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1) |  | (3) | (4) | (5) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | A. Percentage of families reporting expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 100 | 40 | 40 |  |  | 11 | 6 |  | 58 | 60 | 89 |
| \$750-\$999 | 100 | 40 | 36 | 4 |  | 8 | 8 |  | 93 | 86 | 90 |
| \$1,000-\$1, 249 | 99 | 14 | 10 | 4 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 91 | 85 | 87 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 100 | 18 | 14 | 4 |  | 12 | 11 | 5 | 97 | 92 | 82 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 100 | 11 | 11 |  |  | 8 | 2 | 2 | 98 | 89 | 72 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 100 | 9 | 9 |  |  | 6 |  | 3 | 100 | 94 | 47 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 100 | 4 | 4 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 93 | 100 | 44 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 100 | 19 | 19 |  | 6 | 6 |  |  | 94 | 100 | 19 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 100 | 16 | 11 | 5 | 5 | 10 |  |  | 100 | 100 | 26 |
| \$3,000 and over-...-..........- | 78 | 22 | 22 |  |  |  |  | 11 | 78 | 78 | 22 |
|  | B. A verage expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | \$52.90 | \$11. 50 | \$11. 50 |  |  | \$2.90 | \$0. 40 |  | \$11.40 | \$19.30 | \$7. 40 |
| \$750-\$999 | 68.50 | 15.70 | 13.80 | \$1.90 |  | . 70 | 1.50 |  | 19.00 | 15.60 | 16. 00 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 65.10 | 8.20 | 6.30 | 1. 90 | ( $)$ | . 70 | . 30 | \$0. 20 | 20.10 | 17.60 | 18.00 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 77.70 | 9.70 | 7.20 | 2.50 |  | 1.00 | 2.80 | . 30 | 27.60 | 19.00 | 17.30 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 79.70 | 9. 10 | 9.10 |  |  | . 80 | . 90 | . 10 | 28. 60 | 19.30 | 20. 90 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 82. 10 | 12. 60 | 12. 60 |  |  | (*). 20 |  | . 50 | 32.90 | 23. 50 | 12. 40 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 75.80 | 4. 70 1580 | 4. 70 |  |  | (*) 10 |  |  | 34.90 | 22.00 | 14. 20 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 94.00 | 15.80 | 15. 80 | 480 | \$4. 60 | . 10 | ------ |  | 36. 60 | 32. 40 | 4. 50 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 95.10 | 14. 10 | 9.30 34.70 | 4.80 | 3.80 | . 30 |  |  | 40.80 37.00 | 27.80 | 8.30 |
| \$3,000 and over. | 117.80 | 34. 70 | 34.70 |  |  |  |  | 17.30 | 37.00 | 22. 10 | 6.70 |

${ }^{1}$ See explanation of tables for definition of this item.
No family in this group reported an expenditure of more than $\$ 0.49$ for this item.
*Average amounts of less than $\$ 0.05$ are not shown.

Table 7.-Household operation-Fuel, light, and refrigeration: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for fuel, light, and refrigeration, and average expenditure for such items, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
NEW YORK CITY: NEGRO FAMILIES, TYPE I

| Income class | Total fuel. light, and refrigeration | Coal |  |  | Coke and briquets <br> (6) | Wood and kindling ${ }^{1}$ <br> (7) | Kerosene and gasoline <br> (8) | Fuel oil <br> (9) | Electricity <br> (10) | Gas(11) | Ice <br> (12) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Anthracite | $\underset{\text { nous }}{\text { Bitumi- }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | A. Percentage of families reporting expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 100 | 60 | 60 |  |  | 20 |  |  | 80 | 40 | 80 |
| \$750-8999 | 100 | 56 | 50 | 6 |  | 13 | 13 | ------ | 93 | 76 | 96 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 98 100 | 8 8 8 | 7 15 | 1 |  |  | -15- | ---7 | 84 100 | 78 93 | 90 81 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 100 100 | 82 4 | 15 | 7 |  | 15 | 15 | 7 | 100 100 | 93 89 | 81 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 100 | 18 | 18 |  | ----- | 9 |  | ---- | 100 | 91 | 32 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 92 | 100 | 42 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 100 | 11 | 11 |  | ----- | 11 |  |  | 100 | 100 |  |
| \$3,000 and over............... | 100 80 | 12 |  | 12 |  |  |  |  | 100 80 | 100 80 | 12 20 |
|  | B. Average expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | \$55. 60 | \$16. 40 | \$16. 40 |  |  | \$5. 20 |  |  | \$15. 80 | \$12.40 | \$5.80 |
| \$750-\$999 | 72. 90 | 23.80 | 20.70 | \$3. 10 | --------- | 1.10 | \$2. 60 |  | 16. 20 | 11.80 | 17. 40 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 57. 10 | 5.30 | 4. 30 | 1.00 | ------- |  |  |  | 16.20 | 15.00 | 20.60 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 78. 20 | 11. 00 | 6. 40 | 4.60 | --------- | . 60 | 4.00 | \$0. 20 | 27.80 | 17. 20 | 17.40 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 72. 20 | 3.30 30.60 | 3.30 30.60 | .........- | ....---.-- | . 10 |  |  | 29.80 | 17.20 | 21. 80 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 96. 10 71.80 | 30.60 | 30.60 |  |  | . 10 |  |  | 33.60 35.60 | 22. 30 | 9.50 14.40 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 79.70 | 13.70 | 13.70 |  |  | . 20 |  |  | 39.70 | 26.10 | 14. 40 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999...- | 84. 40 | 11. 50 |  | 11. 50 |  |  |  |  | 45. 70 | 20.60 | 6. 60 |
| \$3,000 and over | 65.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 33.40 | 20.60 | 11.00 |

1 See explanation of tables for definition of this item.

Table $\%$.-Household operation-Fuel, light, and refrigeration: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for fuel, light, and refrigeration, and average expenditure for such items, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1985-86-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
NEW YORK CITY: NEGRO FAMILIES, TYPES II AND III

${ }^{1}$ See explanation of tables for definition of this item.
${ }^{2}$ No family in this group reported an expense of more than $\$ 0.49$ for this item.
$\dagger$ Percentages and averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

Table 7.-Household operation-Fuel, light, and refrigeration: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for fuel, light, and refrigeration, and average expenditure for such items, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1935-86-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
NEW YORK CITY: NEGRO FAMILIES, TYPES IV AND $V$

| Income class | Total fuel, light, and refrigeration | Coal |  |  | Coke and briquets <br> (6) | Wood and kindling ${ }^{1}$ <br> (7) | Kerosene and gasoline <br> (8) | Fuel oil <br> (9) | Electricity <br> (10) | Gas <br> (11) | Ice <br> (12) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Anthracite | $\begin{gathered} \text { Bitumi- } \\ \text { nous } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | A. Percentage of families reporting expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | ( $\dagger$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ( $\dagger$ ) |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 100 100 |  | 10 |  |  |  |  |  | 100 100 | 100 90 | 72 72 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 100 | 14 | 14 |  |  | 10 | 6 |  | 96 | 90 | 78 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 100 | 17 | 17 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 94 | 87 | 84 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 100 | 10 | 10 |  |  | 10 | -- | 10 | 100 | 90 | 65 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 100 100 | 11 | 11 |  | 33 | 11 |  |  | 100 | 100 | ${ }^{56}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \$ 2,500-\$ 2,999 \\ & \$ 3,000 \text { and over. } \end{aligned}$ | 100 | 20 | 20 |  | 10 | 20 |  |  | +00 | 100 | 40 |
|  | 75 | 50 | 50 |  |  |  |  | 25 | 75 | 75 | 25 |
|  | B. Average expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749. | (t) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$999 | \$67. 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$28. 60 | \$21.90 | \$16. 60 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 60. 70 | \$6. 00 | \$6. 00 |  |  | \$1. 10 |  |  | 23. 40 | 19.00 | 11. 20 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499.. | 79.60 80.80 | 9.80 11.00 | 11. 80 |  |  | 1.60 1.00 | \$1. 40 | -......... | 27.30 27.40 | 23.00 21.70 | 16.50 19.70 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 85.00 | 4.40 | 4. 40 |  |  | . 60 |  | \$1.70 | 33.80 | 27.80 | 16.70 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 83.60 | 14. 10 | 14. 10 |  |  | . 10 |  |  | ${ }^{30} 70$ | 21.50 | 17.20 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 141.70 | 9.70 | 17. 70 17.70 |  | $\$ 24.70$ 7.20 | . 50 |  |  | 42.30 38.90 | ${ }_{32} 48.00$ | 17.00 |
| \$3,000 and over. | 106.90 183 | 78.00 | 78.00 |  |  | . 50 |  | 39.00 | 41.50 | 24.00 | 10.40 1.20 |

1 See explanation of tables for definition of this item.
$\dagger$ Percentages and averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

Table $_{\text {7.-Household operation-Fuel, light, and refrigeration: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for fuel, light, and }}$ refrigeration, and average expenditure for such items, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1985-86-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
ChICAGO, ILL.: WHITE FAMILIES, 7 TYPES

${ }^{1}$ See explanation of tables for definition of this item.
*Percentages of less than 0.5 and average amounts of less than $\$ 0.05$ are not shown.

Table 7.-Household operation-Fuel, light, and refrigeration: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for fuel, light, and refrigeration, and average expenditure for such items, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1955-86—Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
CHICAGO, ILL.: WHITE FAMLLIES, TYPE I

| Income class | Total fuel, light, and refrigeration | Coal |  |  | Coke and briquets <br> (6) | Wood and kindling ${ }^{1}$ <br> (7) | Kerosene and gasoline <br> (8) | Fuel oil(9) | Electricity <br> (10) | Gas <br> (11) | Ice <br> (12) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Anthracite | Bitumi- nous |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | A. Percentage of families reporting expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 100 | 75 |  | 75 |  | 12 |  |  | 88 | 88 | 75 |
| \$750-\$999 | 91 | 51 | 6 | 45 | 6 | 9 |  | 15 | 82 | 82 | 52 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 89 | 50 | 24 | 33 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 12 | 81 | 72 | 65 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 87 | 35 | 21 | 14 | 7 |  |  | 13 | 87 | 82 | 35 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 93 | 42 | 4 | 38 |  | 9 |  | 12 | 88 | 82 | 19 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 86 | 22 | 4 | 18 | 1 |  | 1 | 4 | 85 | 82 | 13 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 97 | 26 | 5 | 21 | 8 |  |  | 5 | 97 | 92 | 16 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 94 | 33 | 6 | 27 | 10 | 3 |  | 1 | 94 | 89 | 14 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 80 | 20 |  | 20 | 3 |  |  |  | 76 | 72 | 4 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 85 | 23 | 5 | 18 | 5 | 2 | 2 |  | 85 | 82 | 5 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999. | 96 | 7 | 5 | ${ }^{2} 2$ | 6 |  |  | 8 | 96 | 91 |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999. | 95 95 | 12 |  | 12 | 10 |  |  | 4 | 95 | 95 | 10 |
| \$7,500-\$9,990 <br> $\$ 10,000$ and over | 95 | 24 | 9 | 15 | 10 |  |  | $\theta$ | 95 62 | 65 | 4 |
|  | 65 | 10 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  | 65 | 65 |  |
|  | B. Average expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | \$60. 20 | \$25.90 |  | \$25.90 |  | \$0.30 |  |  | \$18.00 | \$13.40 | \$2.60 |
| \$750-\$999 | 70.40 | 26. 30 | \$4. 10 | 22.20 | \$1. 70 | . 60 |  | \$6. 60 | 16. 50 | 11.90 | 6. 80 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 87.10 | 36.90 | 19. 10 | 17.80 | . 70 | . 30 | \$0.90 | 3. 60 | 22. 50 | 15. 70 | 6. 50 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 71.40 | 17. 10 | 9.30 | 7.80 | 1.80 |  |  | 7.60 | 23. 30 | 16. 10 | 5. 50 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 79.00 | 24.80 | 1. 50 | 23. 30 |  | . 30 |  | 5. 50 | 27.60 | 18. 10 | 2. 70 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 67.70 | 16. 40 | 2.80 | 13.60 | . 70 |  | . 10 | 2. 50 | 28. 10 | 17.70 | 2. 20 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 76. 00 | 16. 10 | 1. 40 | 14. 70 | 4.80 |  |  | 2.30 | 31.40 | 19.30 | 2. 10 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499. | 97.50 | 27.40 | 5. 30 | 22. 10 | 7.20 | . 20 |  | 1.90 | 31. 90 | 26. 10 | 2. 80 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 65. 70 | 16. 10 |  | 16. 10 | 2. 20 |  |  |  | 28.80 | 17.80 | . 80 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 75.10 | 19.10 | 3. 30 | 15. 80 | 2.90 | . 20 | 1.10 |  | 31.40 | 19.60 | . 80 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999.. | 75. 90 | 8.10 | 5. 60 | 2. 50 | 1.30 | -..-.-....- |  | 8. 80 | 35. 40 | 22.30 |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999... | 84.40 128.20 | 9.80 |  | 9. 80 | .60 8.90 | -------- |  | 5.90 | 37. 80 | 26.90 | 3.40 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499... | 128.20 | 20.00 | 7.20 | 12.80 | 8.90 |  |  | 15.90 | 44. 20 | 37.30 | 1.90 |
|  | 44. 70 <br> 98 | 31.40 | 31.40 |  |  |  |  |  | 28. 50 | 16.20 21.20 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

1 See explanation of tables for definition of this item.

Table 7.-Household operation-Fuel, light, and refrigeration: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for fuel, light, and refrigeration, and average expenditure for such items, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1985-\$6—Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
CHICAGO, ILL.: WHITE FAMILIES, TYPE II

*Average amounts of less than $\$ 0.05$ are not shown.
$\dagger$ Percentages and averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

Table 7,-Household operation-Fuel, light, and refrigeration: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for fuel, light, and refrigeration, and average expenditure for such items, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1985-96-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
CHICAGO, ILL.: WHITE FAMILIES, TYPE III

| Income class | Total fuel, light, and refrigeration <br> (2) | Coal |  |  | Coke and briquets <br> (6) | Wood and kindling 1 <br> (7) | Kerosene and gasoline <br> (8) | Fuel oil <br> (9) | Electricity <br> (10) | Gas <br> (11) | Ice <br> (12) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Anthracite | $\underset{\text { Bous }}{\text { Bitumi- }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1) |  | (3) | (4) | (5) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | A. Percentage of families reporting expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 100 | 87 | 25 | 75 |  |  | 12 |  | 100 | 100 | 75 |
| \$750-\$999 --- | 98 | 76 | 18 | 76 |  | 16 |  | 2 | 88 | 96 | 93 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 100 | 72 | 18 | 56 |  |  | 13 | 14 | 98 | 92 | 53 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 100 | 59 | 24 | 36 | 9 | 1 | 13 | 11 | 100 | 88 | 56 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 100 | 41 | 5 | 36 | ${ }_{5}^{5}$ | 5 | 5 | (*) 9 | 100 | 95 | 43 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 99 99 | 34 | 11 1 | 27 | 19 | 7 | 3 | (*) 7 | 99 | 99 | 35 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 99 100 | 34 29 | 1 | 34 29 | 13 |  | $\cdots$ |  | 95 97 | 91 | 14 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 100 95 | 29 45 | 10 | 29 35 | 11 | --------- | 1 | 2 | 97 95 | 100 95 | 20 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 100 | 30 |  | 30 | 2 |  |  | 10 | 96 | 98 | 3 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 100 | 15 |  | 15 | 4 |  | 3 | 5 | 100 | 100 | 11 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 98 | 17 | 5 | 12 | 3 |  |  | 20 | 98 | 98 | 3 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 92 | 17 |  | 17 | 6 |  |  |  | 92 | 94 | 6 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | 81 | 12 |  | 12 | 7 |  |  |  | 81 | 81 |  |
| \$10,000 and over............. | 100 | 50 |  | 50 |  |  |  |  | 100 | 100 |  |
|  | B. Average expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | \$94. 70 | \$43. 50 | \$15. 50 | \$28.00 |  |  | \$0. 40 |  | \$20. 40 | \$19.40 | \$11. 00 |
| \$750-\$999 | 93.90 | 34.50 | 1. 50 | 33.00 | \$4.30 | \$1.00 |  | \$0.60 | 22.60 | 22. 20 | 8. 70 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 101. 70 | 39.50 | 7.80 | 31.70 | 7.80 |  |  | 5. 50 | 24.00 | 19.40 | 5. 50 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 104.00 | 33.20 | 11.80 | 21.40 | 4.40 | (*) | 1.10 | 6. 10 | 29.50 | 23.30 | 6.40 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 89.50 | 22.00 | 2.40 | 19.60 | 1.50 | . 30 | . 40 | 1. 10 | 34.20 | 22.90 | 7. 10 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 106.30 | 26.80 | 10.50 | 16. 30 | 11.90 | . 20 | . 10 | . 30 | 35.60 | 24.50 | 6.90 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 92. 10 | 23.30 | . 60 | 22.70 | 3.90 | . 10 |  | 2.30 | 37.60 | 22. 20 | 2. 70 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 105. 50 | 22.90 |  | 22.90 | 9.10 |  | (*) | . 70 | 39.70 | 29.40 | 3.70 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999. | 135. 30 | 38.90 | 9.40 | 29.50 | 6. 90 | . 10 | (*) | 5.40 | 42.80 | 36.30 | 4.90 |
| \$3,000-83,499 | 125.40 | 24.40 |  | 24.40 | 2. 20 |  |  | 5.70 | 44. 20 | 47.90 | 1. 00 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 144.50 | 17.10 |  | 17. 10 | 6. 20 |  | 3.40 | 16. 60 | 46. 50 | 49. 60 | 5. 10 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 133.40 | 13.50 | 6.20 | 7.30 | 1.50 | ------..-- |  | 26.70 | 50.10 | 41. 30 | . 30 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 119.60 | 16. 60 |  | 16. 60 | 6. 20 |  |  |  | 46. 80 | 49.00 | 1. 00 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 .... | 165.70 180.00 | 33.30 80 |  | 33.30 80.90 | 6. 40 |  |  |  | 57.60 53.70 | 68. 40 | ---- |
| \$10,000 and over. | 180.00 | 80.90 |  | 80.90 |  |  |  |  | 53.70 | 45.40 | ------ |

[^76]*Percentages of less than 0.5 and average amounts of less than $\$ 0.05$ are not shown.

Table 7.-Household operation-Fuel, light, and refrigeration: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for fuel, light, and refrigeration, and average expenditure for such items, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1995-36-Continued


[^77]*Percentages of less than 0.5 and average amounts of less than $\$ 0.05$ are not shown.

Table 7.-Household operation-Fuel, light, and refrigeration: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for fuel, light, and refrigeration, and average expenditure for such items, by family type and income, in 1 year, $1995-96$-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
Chicago, rll.: white families, type V

| Income class | Total fuel, light, and refrigeration | Coal |  |  | Coke and briquets <br> (6) | Wood and kindling 1 <br> (7) | Kerosene and gasoline(8) | Fuel oil <br> (9) | Electricity <br> (10) | Gas <br> (11) | Ice <br> (12) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Anthracite | $\underset{\text { Bitumi- }}{\text { nous }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | A. Percentage of families reporting expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749. | 100 | 85 | 14 | 71 | 29 |  | 14 | 14 | 100 | 71 | 86 |
| \$750-\$999 | 100 | 87 |  | 87 | 13 | 3 |  |  | 97 | 87 | 63 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 100 | 77 | 15 | 62 | 7 | 12 |  |  | 91 | 83 | 79 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 100 | 64 | 25 | 44 | 12 | 15 |  | 8 | 95 | 100 | 70 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 100 | 73 | 15 | 66 |  | 18 | ------- | 7 | 100 | 100 | 84 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 98 100 | 56 52 | 9 5 | 48 |  | 4 | 6 | 4 <br> 8 | 98 100 | 97 99 | 57 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 100 | 52 | 5 | 47 | 14 | 8 | 1 | 6 | 100 | 99 | 56 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 100 | 63 | 4 | 59 | 15 | 10 |  |  | 100 | 100 | 42 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 100 | 59 | 16 | 54 | 10 | 5 | 5 | 8 | 100 | 93 | 47 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 100 | 43 | 11 | 34 | 10 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 100 | 100 | 18 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 100 | 46 | 3 | 43 | 15 | 13 | 3 |  | 100 | 100 | 17 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 100 | 40 |  | 40 | 12 | 11 |  | 7 | 100 | 100 | 9 |
| $\$ 5,000-\$ 7,499$ | 100 | 26 | 8 | 18 | 8 | 15 |  | 46 | 100 | 100 |  |
|  | ( $\dagger$ ) |  |  |  | 12 |  | 25 |  | $(\dagger)^{75}$ | ( $)^{62}$ | -...--- |
| \$10,000 and over............- | B. Average expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \$117.90 | \$41. 30 | \$8. 10 | \$33. 20 | \$4.60 | \$0.10 | \$1. 70 | \$10.90 | \$26. 70 | \$21. 30 | \$11.40 |
| \$750-\$999 | 126. 40 | 56.40 |  | 56.40 <br> 39.90 |  |  | ------------------- | -...-.-.-.---- | 26. 40 | 27.30 | 5. 80 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 116. 50 | 48. 30 | 8.40 |  | 5.10 | \$0. 10 .70 |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1, 250-\$1,499 | 123.60 | 42.90 | $16.90$ | 26.00 | 9.10 | . 80 | --.-.-.---..-- | - $\begin{array}{r}\text { 4. } 20 \\ \text { 5. } \\ \text { 4 }\end{array}$ | 29.90 | 28.30 | 8.4014.50 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 137.90 | 55.30 | $\begin{aligned} & 9.00 \\ & 6.90 \end{aligned}$ | 46. 30 |  |  | -------------- |  | 34.7039.90 | 26.90 |  |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 142. 80 | 41.40 |  | 34.50 | 15. 50 | . 20 | 3. 20 | $\mathbf{4 . 0 0}$ <br> 4.00 |  | 30.90 | 14.50 7.70 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 154. 40 | $\begin{aligned} & 45.40 \\ & 52.60 \end{aligned}$ | 5. 30 | 40. 10 | 12. 30 | . 30 | . 20 | 7.40 | 39.0042.10 | 39.50 | 10.30 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 148.60 |  | 8.90 | 49. 20 | 8. 60 | . 40 |  |  |  | 36.50 | 8. 40 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 146. 10 | 47.20 |  | 38. 30 | 3. 50 | . 20 | 1.50 | 4.10 | 41.30 | 38.30 | 10.00 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499. | 150.40 | 40.70 | $7.60$ | 33. 10 | 7.1016.80 | - 20 | 2. 10 | 1.80 | 51.50 | 43. 10 | 3. 90 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999. | 169.80 | 39.90 | $\begin{array}{r} .50 \\ .50 \end{array}$ | 39.4037.30 |  | . 50 | . 40 | 10.7- | 52.40 | 55.90 | 3. 90 |
| \$4,000-84,999 | 1678.60 <br> 248 <br> 180 | 37.30 37.50 |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 10.20 \\ & 48.40 \end{aligned}$ | 49.30 69.00 48.40 ( $\dagger$ ) | 53.90 <br> 81.80 <br> 51. 00 <br> ( $\dagger$ ) | 1. 70 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 248.80 113.60 | 37.50 | 12. 50 | 25.00 | $\begin{aligned} & 11.40 \\ & 12.20 \end{aligned}$ | . 70 | 2.00 |  |  |  |  |
| \$10,000 and over | ( $\dagger$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^78]$\dagger$ Percentages and averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

Table 7.-Household operation-Fuel, light, and refrigeration: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for fuel, light, and refrigeration, and average expenditure for such items, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1985-36-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
CHICAGO, ILL.: WHITE FAMILIES, TYPE VI


1 See explanation of tables for definition of this item.
*Percentages of less than 0.5 and average amounts of less than $\$ 0.05$ are not shown.

Table 7.-Household operation-Fuel, light, and refrigeration: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for fuel, light, and refrigeration, and average expenditure for such items, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1985-86-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
Chicago, ill.: white families, type vii


[^79]Table 7.-Household operation-Fuel, light, and refrigeration: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for fuel, light, and refrigeration, and average expenditure for such items, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1995-36-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
PROVIDENCE, R. I.: WHITE FAMILIES, 5 TYPES

| Income class | Total fuel, <br> light, and refrigeration | Coal |  |  | Coke and briquets <br> (6) | Wood and kindling 1 <br> (7) | Kerosene and gasoline <br> (8) | Fuel oil <br> (9) | Electricity <br> (10) | Gas <br> (11) | Ice <br> (12) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Anthracite | Bitumi. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. Percentage of families reporting expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 100 | 38 | 38 |  | 10 | 18 | 67 |  | 91 | 59 | 83 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 100 | 31 | 28 |  | 22 | ${ }_{22}$ | 63 | ${ }^{-\cdots}$ | 100 | 82 | 88 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 99 | 31 | 29 | 2 | 20 | 20 | 68 | (*) | 96 | 78 | 82 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 100 | 34 | 34 | (*) | 36 | ${ }^{23}$ | 42 |  | 98 | 93 | 66 |
| \$1750-\$1,999 | 100 | 45 | $\stackrel{42}{46}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r}36 \\ 38 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 34 | 45 | 1 | 98 | 91 | ${ }_{54}^{64}$ |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 99 | 39 | 36 | 4 | 41 | 45 | 26 | ${ }_{6}$ | 99 | 90 | $\stackrel{54}{46}$ |
| \$2,500-\$2,999. | 100 | 47 | 43 | 5 | 43 | 49 | 16 | 6 | 100 | 83 | 38 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 100 | 38 | 38 |  | 42 | 59 | 6 | 15 | 100 | 99 | ${ }^{16}$ |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 91 | ${ }_{34}$ | 28 | 6 | ${ }_{21}$ | 47 47 | 14 | 33 | 91 | 87 | ${ }_{26}^{26}$ |
| \$5,000-\$7,499. | 100 | 41 | 41 |  | 12 | 54 | 7 | 54 | 100 | 98 | 21 |
| \$7,500 and over | 100 | 15 | 12 | 4 | 7 | 18 | 9 | 62 | 100 | 71 | 15 |
|  | B. A verage expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | \$79.90 | \$14.50 | \$14. 50 |  |  |  |  |  | \$18. 50 | \$11.00 |  |
| \$750-\$999 | 90.10 | 13.80 | 12.60 | \$1. 20 | 3.50 | 1.20 | 31.50 |  | 22.10 | 11.00 | 7.00 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 117.50 | 19.60 | 17.10 | 2. 50 | 12. 10 | . 80 | 27.50 | \$1. 40 | 26.50 | 18.60 | 11.00 |
| \$1,250-81,499 | 121. 40 | 16. 60 | 16.00 | . 60 | 10. 70 | 1. 20 | 35. 60 | . 20 | 26.80 | ${ }^{18.40}$ | 11. 90 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 138.70 | 25.90 | 25. 50 | +.40 | ${ }_{23}^{23.50}$ | ${ }_{1}^{1.60}$ | 19.20 |  | 30.80 33.80 | 26.20 27.30 | 12.10 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999.. | 154.40 168.70 | 33.50 37.50 | 31.40 34.60 | 2.10 2.90 | 23.80 31.00 | 1.60 1.70 | 19.60 11.10 | 2. 3. 40 | 33.80 39.70 | 27.30 <br> 33.40 | 12.80 10.90 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 168.80 | 34.90 | 32. 60 | 2. 30 | 32.90 | 1.30 | 10.30 | 4. 50 | 41. 50 | 32.90 | 10.50 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 191.90 | 50.20 | 45.70 | 4.50 | 34.70 | 1.90 | 7.90 | 6.70 | 45. 40 | 36.80 | 8.30 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 192.30 | 40.10 | 40.10 |  | 35.90 | 2.50 | 1.60 | 18.70 | 49.70 | 40.80 | 3. 00 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999. | 206. 10 | 52.90 | 52.90 |  | 36. 90 | 2.00 | . 10 | 18.60 | 48.30 | 38.60 | 8.70 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999.-. | 235.00 252.10 | 44.90 59.40 | 38.70 59.40 | 6.20 | 21. 40 | 2.40 2.30 | 1.40 1.10 | 59.40 53.90 | 55.00 63.70 | 44.90 52.30 | 5.60 6.50 |
| \$7,500 and over | 375.40 | 30.60 | 19.40 | 11.20 | 13. 20 | 3.50 | . 1.60 | 116.20 | 97.30 | 109.80 | 4.20 |

1 See explanation of tables for definition of this item.

* Percentages of less than 0.5 are not shown.

Table 7.-Household operation-Fuel, light, and refrigeration: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for fuel, light, and refrigeration, and average expenditurc for such items, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
PROFIDENCE R. I.: WHITE FAMILIES, TYPE I

| Income class | Total fuel, light, and refrigeration | Coal |  |  | Coke and briquets <br> (6) | Wood and kindling ${ }^{1}$ <br> (7) | Kerosene and gasoline(8) | Fuel oil <br> (9) | Electricity <br> (10) | Gas <br> (11) | I $\infty$ <br> (12) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Anthracite | Bitumi- nous |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | A. Percentage of families reporting expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 100 | 50 | 50 |  | 10 | 40 | 50 |  | 90 | 60 | 90 |
| \$750-\$999 | 100 | 58 | 58 |  | 3 | 18 | 61 |  | 90 | 62 | 80 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 100 | 29 | 23 | 6 | 18 | 24 | 65 |  | 100 | 89 | 77 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 100 | 29 | 29 | ---........ | 18 | 25 | 69 | --------- | 92 | 89 | 75 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 100 | 20 | 20 |  | 43 <br> 17 | 13 | 30 |  | 99 | 99 | 54 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 100 100 | 55 | 52 | 3 | 17 27 | 47 <br> 35 | 31 | 2 | 99 | 99 | 45 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 100 | 34 | 34 |  | 27 32 | 35 | 28 | 4 | 100 | 96 | 55 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 100 | 41 | 41 |  | 32 | 52 | 18 | 3 | 100 | 100 | 46 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 100 | 52 | 40 | 12 | 27 | 43 | 19 | 9 | 100 | 100 | 26 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 100 | 40 | 40 |  | 46 | 66 | 10 | 10 | 100 | 100 | 10 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999. | 100 | 51 | 51 |  | 30 | 37 |  | 6 | 100 | 100 | 40 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999......------.... | 77 | 27 | 16 | 11 | 4 | 16 | 11 | 57 | 77 | 77 | 23 |
| $\$ 5,000-\$ 7,499$ <br> $\$ 7,500$ and over- | 100 | 35 | 35 |  | 11 | 66 | 7 | 41 | 100 | 93 | 14 |
|  | 100 |  |  |  |  | 31 | 12 | 52 | 100 | 100 | 17 |
|  | B. Average expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749.. | \$75. 10 | \$16.80 | \$16.80 |  | \$1. 40 | \$0.60 | \$15. 70 |  | \$18. 40 | \$11.90 | \$10.30 |
| \$750-\$999 | 87.90 | 25. 10 | 25.10 |  | 2. 90 | 1. 20 | 23. 10 |  | 18.50 | 10.50 | 6. 60 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249.- | 115. 50 | 18.80 | 15.90 | \$2. 90 | 10. 10 | . 90 | 28.80 | ---*------ | 25.70 | 20. 20 | 11.00 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 115. 90 | 16.00 | 16. 00 | -...------- | 11.40 | 1.30 | 34.30 | ---------- | 24.10 | 17. 50 | 11.30 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 130. 30 | 18.60 | 18. 60 |  | 30.80 | 1.40 | 13. 10 |  | 28.90 | 27.70 | 9.80 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 151. 10 | 46.90 | 45.40 | 1. 50 | 11.60 | 1.90 | 14.50 | \$4. 50 | 33.60 | 27.70 | 10.40 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 159. 60 | 34. 50 | 34.50 |  | 23. 60 | 1.00 | 15. 40 | 2.70 | 39.80 | 32.00 | 10.60 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499. | 155.90 | 35. 90 | 35.90 |  | 29.50 | 1. 20 | 4.80 | 2.10 | 39.20 | 29.90 | 13.30 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999. | 178.60 | 55. 40 | 43. 40 | 12.00 | 21.60 | 1.70 | 9.80 | 8.40 | 43.80 | 34. 90 | 3. 00 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499. | 185. 40 | 42.50 | 42. 50 |  | 42. 40 | 3. 20 | 2. 10 | 12.00 | 44.40 | 36.40 | 2. 40 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999. | 205. 40 | 76.80 | 76.80 |  | 26. 10 | 1. 10 |  | 9.30 | 41.10 | 36.60 | 14. 40 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999. | 165. 60 | 41.00 | 25.90 | 15. 10 | 3.90 | . 70 | . 50 | 46. 50 | 43. 60 | 26.00 | 3.40 |
|  | 198. 10 | 46.30 | 46.30 |  | 9.70 | 2.40 | 2.60 | 33. 50 | 60.20 | 38.40 | 6. 00 |
|  | 307.40 |  |  |  |  | 7.20 | 1.90 | 77.90 | 68.40 | 148.60 | 3.40 |

[^80]Table \%.-Household operation-Fuel, light, and refrigeration: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for fuel, light, and refrigeration, and average expenditure for such items, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1995-36-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
PROVIDENCE, R. I.: WHITE FAMILIES, TYPES II AND III


1 See explanation of tables for definition of this item.

Table 7.-Household operation-Fuel, light, and refrigeration: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for fuel, light, and refrigeration, and average expenditure for such items, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1935-86-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
PROVIDENCE, R. I.; WHITE FAMILIES, TYPES IV AND $V$

| Income class | Total fuel, light, and refrigeration | Coal |  |  | Coke and briquets <br> (6) | Wood and kindling <br> (7) | Kerosene and gasoline <br> (8) | Fuel oil <br> (9) | Electricity <br> (10) | Gas <br> (11) | Ice <br> (12) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Anthracite | Bitumi- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | A. Percentage of families reporting expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 100 | 27 | 27 |  | 9 | 18 | 73 |  | 100 | 64 | 82 |
| \$750-\$999.-. | 100 100 | 42 48 | 44 | 4 | 12 28 | 24 26 | 59 <br> 48 | 6 | 100 100 | 52 76 | 86 100 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 100 | 43 | 37 | 6 | 13 | 23 | 65 | 1 | 100 | 72 | 88 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 100 | 49 | 47 | 2 | 30 | 29 | 52 |  | 95 | 96 | 75 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 100 94 | 45 | 46 | 4 | 32 | 54 | 38 |  | 94 | 88 | 74 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 100 | 36 | 33 | 3 | 47 | 41 | 39 | 2 | 100 | 96 | 59 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 100 | 43 | 43 | 2 | 49 | 52 | 20 | 2 | 100 | 59 | 50 |
| \$3,000- 83,499 | 100 | ${ }_{50}^{34}$ | ${ }_{50}^{34}$ |  | ${ }_{36}^{28}$ | ${ }_{68}^{45}$ | 7 | ${ }_{22}^{28}$ | 100 | 96 | 21 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999. | ${ }_{92}$ | 39 | 31 |  | $\stackrel{38}{28}$ | 61 | 21 | ${ }_{27}^{22}$ | ${ }_{92}$ | 100 88 | $\stackrel{15}{39}$ |
| \$7,500 and over-------------1.- | 100 | $\stackrel{52}{57}$ | 5 |  | 4 | 52 | 8 | ${ }_{51}^{51}$ | 100 | 100 | 30 |
|  | 100 | 27 | 20 | 7 | 12 | 16 | 12 | 61 | 100 | 49 | 16 |
|  | B. Average expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749. | \$82. 60 | \$14.40 | \$14.40 |  | \$3.60 | \$0.70 | \$29. 10 |  | \$19.60 | \$10.80 | \$4.40 |
| \$750-8999 | 97. 20 | 16. 20 | 16.20 |  | 9.00 | 1. 10 | 22.90 |  | ${ }^{25.00}$ | 13.20 | 9.80 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 130.60 <br> 131.20 | 323. 40 | 25.90 21.30 | $\$ 6.40$ 2.10 | 15.20 9.50 | .70 1.40 | 17.50 34.40 | $\$ 2.00$ .50 | 27.50 29.40 | 21.90 19.40 | 13.50 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 157.00 | 37.90 | 36.70 | 1.20 | 18.50 | 1.80 | ${ }_{21} \mathbf{3 1} 60$ |  | 32.90 | 28.70 | 16.60 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 154.40 | 32. 30 | 29.30 | 3.00 | 22.90 | 1.50 | 23.60 |  | 34.70 | 26.60 | 12.80 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 169. 20 | ${ }_{31}^{44.00}$ | 44. 00 |  | - 25.90 | 3.00 | 11.30 |  | 36. 50 | 33.80 | 15.70 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 171.90 | 31. 30 | 28.60 | 2.70 | 35. 20 | 1.40 | 18.30 | . 90 | 40.10 | 33. 60 | 11.10 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999. | 197. 30 | 46. 60 | 45. 80 | . 80 | 39.70 | 1.90 | 11. 90 | 2.80 | 45. 00 | 38.30 | 11. 10 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | ${ }^{203.20}$ | 39.20 | ${ }_{51} 30$ |  | ${ }^{23.30}$ | 1.70 28 20 | 2.60 | 34. 80 | 55.40 | 42.70 | 3. 50 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 199.40 | 51.90 | 51.90 |  | ${ }^{25.00}$ | 2.80 | ${ }^{.20}$ | 23.20 | 49.70 | ${ }_{5}^{41} 20$ | 5. 40 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 282.60 281.70 | 46.90 77 | 41.60 77.90 | 5.90 | 26.90 4.60 | 3. <br> 2 <br> 2.70 | $\begin{array}{r}\text { 2. } \\ \text {. } 30 \\ \hline 0\end{array}$ | 79.20 58.50 | 61.80 67.00 | 53.00 62.40 | 8.80 8.30 |
| \$5,000- $\$ 7,499 . \ldots$. | 392. 50 | 54.30 | 34.40 | 19.90 | 23.50 | 2.30 2.3 | . 30 | 120.60 | 115.60 | 69.90 | 8.80 5.80 |

[^81]Table 7.-Household operation-Fuel, light, and refrigeration: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for fuel, light, and refrigeration, and average expenditure for such items, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
COLUMBUS, OHIO: WHITE FAMILIES, 7 TYPES

| Income class | Total fuel, light, and refrigeration(2) | Coal |  |  | Coke and briquets <br> (6) | Wood and kindling 1 <br> (7) | Kerosene and gasoline <br> (8) | Fuel oil <br> (9) | Electricity <br> (10) | Gas <br> (11) | Iee <br> (12) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Anthracite | $\underset{\text { nous }}{\text { Bitumi- }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1) |  | (3) | (4) | (5) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | A. Percentage of families reporting expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749. | 100 | 91 |  | 91 |  |  | 3 |  | 93 | 91 | 89 |
| \$750-\$999 | 98 | 91 | (*) | 90 |  | 1 | 3 |  | 96 | 91 | 76 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 99 | 92 |  | 91 | 1 | (*) | 2 |  | 98 | 97 | 71 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 99 | 93 | 3 | 90 | 1 | (*) | 3 | 1 | 99 | 96 | 67 56 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | -99 | 89 | 1 | 88 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | -96 | -96 | 56 50 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 100 | 88 | 2 | 86 | 5 | 2 | (*) |  | 100 | 100 | 47 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499. | 100 | 90 | 2 | 88 | 6 | 5 | (*) |  | 100 | 98 | 32 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 100 | 90 | 4 | 86 | 4 | 3 | (*) | (*) | 99 | 99 | 31 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 100 | 82 | 1 | 81 | 5 | 7 |  |  | 100 | 100 | 23 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 99 | 75 | 2 | 73 | 9 | 10 |  |  | 99 | 99 | 28 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 100 | 74 | 3 | 71 | 19 | 10 | 1 |  | 99 | 99 | 11 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 100 | 75 | 2 | 73 |  | 7 |  |  | 100 | 100 | 10 |
| \$7,500 and over...--.-.---- | 100 | 70 | 4 | 66 | 5 | 32 | 8 |  | 100 | 100 | 7 |
|  | B. Average expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | \$77.00 | \$27. 20 |  | \$27. 20 |  |  | \$0.60 |  | \$15.00 | \$21. 70 | \$12.50 |
| \$750-\$999 | 93.00 | 34.90 | \$0.10 | 34.80 |  | (*) | . 20 |  | 21.00 | 25. 40 | 11. 50 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 103.90 | 35. 30 | . 20 | 35.10 | \$0.30 | (3) | . 40 |  | 27.70 | 29.30 | 10.90 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 114.00 | 39.60 | 1.60 | 38.00 | . 30 | (*) | . 60 | \$0.10 | 31.00 | 31. 60 | 10.80 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 109.80 | 38.80 | . 40 | 38.40 | . 20 | (*) | . 10 | . 30 | 31.30 | 29. 20 | 9. 90 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 121.30 | 41.90 | . 40 | 41.50 | 1.30 | \$0. 10 |  |  | 35.80 | 32.80 | 9.40 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 124.60 | 42. 60 | 1.00 | 41. 60 | 3.10 | . 10 | ${ }^{2}$ ) |  | 36. 70 | 32.90 | 9.20 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 129.90 | 47.70 | 1.20 | 46. 50 | 1.70 | . 10 | (*) |  | 40.70 | 33. 10 | 6. 60 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 133. 30 | 47. 70 | 1.90 | 45.80 | 1.60 | . 10 | (*) | (*) | 42. 40 | 35.50 | 6.00 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 142.70 | 46. 50 | . 70 | 45.80 | 2.80 | . 30 |  |  | 48. 00 | 40.20 | 4. 90 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 143. 30 | 42.80 | 1. 20 | 41. 60 | 7.40 | . 10 |  |  | 47.70 | 39.80 | 5. 50 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999. | 153. 20 | 41.90 | 2.10 | 39.80 | 11. 20 | . 30 | . 10 |  | 55.00 | 41. 40 | 3.30 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499. | 193. 60 | 53.50 | 2. 30 | 51.20 |  | . 80 |  |  | 64. 90 | 70.80 | 3. 60 |
| \$7,500 and over. | 234.00 | 55.90 | 3.00 | 52.90 | 3. 60 | 2.00 | 3. 10 | ---------- | 71.80 | 95.50 | 2. 10 |

1 See explanation of tables for definition of this item.
${ }^{2}$ No family in this group reported an expenditure of more than $\$ 0.49$ for this item.

Table 7.-Household operation-Fuel, light, and refrigeration: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for fuel, light, and refrigeration, and average expenditure for such items, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1985-96-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
COLUMBUS, OHIO: WHITE FAMILIES, TYPE I

| Income class | Total fuel, light, and refrigeration <br> (2) | Coal |  |  | Coke and briquets <br> (6) | Wood and kindling 1 <br> (7) | Kerosene and gasoline(8) | Fuel oil(9) | Electricity <br> (10) | Gas <br> (11) | lee <br> (12) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Anthracite | Bituminous |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1) |  | (3) | (4) | (5) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | A. Percentage of families reporting expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 100 | 89 |  | 89 |  |  |  |  | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| \$750-\$999 | 100 | 96 |  | 96 |  |  |  |  | 100 | 98 | 59 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 98 | 88 |  | 88 | 2 |  |  |  | 96 | 96 | 50 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 97 | 87 |  | 87 | 2 |  | 6 | 4 | 97 | 93 | ${ }_{5}^{61}$ |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 99 | 81 |  | 81 | 2 | 1 |  | 5 | 91 | 91 | 52 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 100 | 85 | 1 | 84 | 4 | 5 |  |  | 100 | 100 | 31 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 100 | 78 82 | - | 78 | ${ }^{7}$ | 1 |  |  | 100 | 100 99 | ${ }_{21}$ |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 100 | 81 |  | 81 |  | 4 |  |  | 100 | 100 | 20 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499. | 100 | 55 |  | 55 | 10 | 10 |  |  | 100 | 100 | 8 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 100 | 72 |  | 72 | 15 | 12 |  |  | 100 | 100 | 9 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999. | 100 | 66 | 4 | 62 | 13 | 8 | 5 | - | 100 | 98 | 18 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499. | 100 | 48 | ---------- | 48 | .-.---. | ${ }^{6}$ |  |  | 100 | 100 | 21 |
| \$7,500 and over-..-----...- | 100 | 63 | -------- | 63 | ---- | 37 |  |  | 100 | 100 | 26 |
|  | B. Average expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749. | \$87. 10 | \$26.70 | ----------- | \$26. 70 |  |  |  |  | \$17.00 | \$26. 10 | \$17.30 |
| \$750-\$999 | 92. 40 | 37.70 | --1---....-- | 37.70 |  |  |  |  | 18. 20 | 25. 20 | 11. 30 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 103. 50 | 33.90 |  | 33.90 | \$0.70 |  |  |  | 31.50 | 29.40 | 8.00 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 105. 10 | 36.30 |  | 36.30 | . 80 |  | \$1. 40 | \$0. 20 | 27.40 | 29. 10 | 9.90 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 98.70 | 33. 20 |  | 33. 20 | - 20 |  |  | 1.10 | 27.90 | 26.30 | 10.00 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 108.90 | 35. 90 | \$0. 30 | 35. 60 | 2. 70 | \$0. 20 |  |  | 35. 60 | 29.10 | 5. 40 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 111.90 | 35. 20 |  | 35. 20 | 4. 40 | (*) |  |  | 33.70 | 30. 50 | 8. 10 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499. | 119.50 | 41. 40 | 1.60 | 39.80 | 2.00 | ${ }_{(*)} .20$ |  |  | 38.50 | 33. 20 | 4. 20 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999. | 116.80 | 39. 10 |  | 39. 10 |  | (*) 70 |  |  | 37.20 | 36.00 | 4. 50 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 127. 20 | 32. 10 |  | 32. 10 |  | .70 .10 |  |  | 47.40 40.80 | 38.90 35.20 | 2.40 4.20 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 127.80 129.90 | 36.90 35.20 | 4.50 | 36.90 30.70 | 10.60 8.50 | .10 .40 | . 20 |  | 40.80 47.70 | 35.20 33.60 | 4. 20 4.30 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 206. 30 | 34.30 |  | 34.30 |  | . 70 |  |  | 61.50 | 102. 70 | 7.10 |
| \$7,500 and over. | 213. 50 | 46. 20 |  | 46. 20 | --------- | 3.20 |  |  | 73.50 | 82.90 | 7. 70 |

[^82]Table 7.-Household operation-Fuel, light, and refrigeration: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for fuel, light, and refrigeration, and average expenditure for such items, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
COLUMBUS, OHIO: WHITE FAMILIES, TYPE II

| Income class | Total fuel, light, and refrigeration <br> (2) | Coal |  |  | Coke and briquets <br> (6) | Wood and kindling <br> (7) | Kerosene and gasoline <br> (8) | Fuel oil <br> (9) | Electricity <br> (10) | Gas <br> (11) | Ice <br> (12) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Anthracite | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bitumi- } \\ & \text { nous } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1) |  | (3) | (4) | (5) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | A. Percentage of families reporting expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749. | 100 | 87 |  | 87 |  |  |  |  | 87 | 87 | 62 |
| \$750-\$999 | 94 | 78 |  | 78 |  | 2 |  |  | 92 | 79 | 93 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 100 | 91 |  | 91 |  | 2 |  |  | 98 | 98 | 86 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 100 | 98 |  | 98 |  |  |  |  | 100 | 100 | 67 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 100 | 86 | 3 | 83 |  | 1 | 4 | -----..- | 100 | 100 | 51 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 100 | 93 |  | 93 | 2 | 4 |  |  | 100 | 100 | 57 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 100 | 83 | 8 | 85 | 9 | 4 |  |  | - 100 | 100 | 42 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | $\begin{array}{r}97 \\ 100 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 87 88 |  | 87 | 2 | 4 |  |  | 97 | ${ }^{93}$ | 28 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 100 | 88 87 |  | 88 |  | 3 |  |  | 100 100 | 100 | 33 12 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 100 100 | 87 | ${ }_{11}^{6}$ | 81 | 7 | 30 |  |  | 100 100 | 100 100 | 12 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 100 | 64 | 5 | 59 | 12 | 41 |  |  | 100 | 100 |  |
| $\$ 7,500$ and over | 100 |  |  | 60 |  | 40 |  |  | (t) 100 | 100 | 20 |
|  | ( ${ }^{\text {) }}$ | ( $\dagger$ ) |  | ( $\dagger$ ) | ------- |  |  |  |  | ( $\dagger$ ) |  |
|  | B. Average expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | \$58.20 | \$25.90 |  | \$25. 90 |  |  |  |  | \$11. 50 | \$15.30 | \$5. 50 |
| \$750-\$999 | 84.90 | 28.20 |  | 28.20 |  | \$0.10 |  |  | 19.00 | 24. 00 | 13. 60 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 93.90 | 29.80 |  | 29.80 |  |  |  |  | 23.70 | 27.20 | 13. 20 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 112.40 | 42.90 |  | 42.90 |  |  |  |  | 30.00 | 29. 20 | 10. 30 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 102. 60 | 34. 60 | \$1. 40 | 33. 20 |  | 0. 10 | (*) | ---3 | 28. 20 | 30. 80 | 8.90 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 122.90 | 41.40 |  | 41.40 | \$1.00 | (*) |  |  | 36. 40 | 33. 50 | 10. 60 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 125.00 | 42. 50 | 2. 60 | 39.90 | 4. 50 | ${ }_{(*)} .10$ |  |  | 36.80 | 32.30 | 8.80 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 117.10 | 44. 80 |  | 44.80 | . 50 | (*) | -----7-- |  | 38. 90 | 26. 50 | 6. 40 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 144. 30 | 50.00 |  | 50.00 44.70 |  | . 30 |  |  | 44.30 | 40.70 | 9. 00 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 140. 30 | 48. 80 | 4. 10 | 44.70 |  |  |  |  | 50.20 <br> 48 | 39.30 | 2. 2.00 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 132.90 136.40 | 42.60 17.40 | 6.70 2.10 | 35.90 15.30 | 6.30 7.10 | . 40 |  |  | 48.70 | 32.20 48.90 | 2. 70 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499. | 177. 20 | 133.40 | 2.10 | 13. 40 | 7.10 | 2.80 |  |  | 58.40 | 77.40 | 5.20 |
| \$7,500 and over. |  | ( $\dagger$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  | ( $\dagger$ |  |  |

[^83][^84]Table 7.-Household operation-Fuel, light, and refrigeration: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for fuel, light, and refrigeration, and average expenditure for such items, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1995-36-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
COLUMBUS, OHIO: WHITE FAMILIES, TYPE III


Table 7.-Household operation-Fuel, light, and refrigeration: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for fuel, light, and refrigeration, and average expenditure for such items, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
COLUMBUS, OHIO: WHITE FAMILIES, TYPE IV

| lncome class | Total fuel, light, and refrigeration | Coal |  |  | Coke and briquets <br> (6) | Wood and kindling 1 <br> (7) | Kerosene and gasoline(8) | Fuel oil <br> (9) | Electricity <br> (10) | Gas <br> (11) | Ice <br> (12) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Anthracite | Bitumi- <br> nous |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | A. Percentage of families reporting expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-8749 | 100 | 100 |  | 100 |  |  | 20 | -------- | 80 | 80 | 80 |
| \$750-\$999 | 100 | 93 |  | 93 |  |  |  |  | 93 | 93 | 85 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 100 | 90 | 5 | 85 |  | - | 5 |  | 100 | 95 | 67 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 100 | 94 | 16 | 78 |  |  |  |  | 100 | 100 | 73 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 96 | 92 |  | 92 |  | 3 |  |  | 95 | 96 | 55 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 100 | 95 |  | 95 | 1 | 3 |  |  | 100 | 100 | 56 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 100 | 89 |  | 89 | 6 | 3 |  |  | 100 | 99 | 60 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 100 | 96 |  | 92 | 7 | 6 |  |  | 100 | 100 | 38 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 100 | 92 | 9 | 83 | 9 |  |  |  | 98 | 100 | 34 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499. | 100 | 94 | .----...- | 94 | 6 | 6 |  |  | 100 | 100 | 31 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999. | 97 | 73 |  | 73 | 10 | 6 |  |  | 97 100 | 97 100 | 44 |
| \$4,000-\$4,499 | 100 | 78 | 3 6 | 76 | 24 | 5 |  |  | 100 | 100 | 12 |
| \$7,500 and over | 100 | 76 |  | 76 | 6 | 42 | 18 |  | 100 | 100 |  |
|  | B. A verage expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749.- | \$75.00 | \$32.00 |  | \$32.00 |  |  | \$3.60 |  | \$11. 80 | \$18.80 | \$8.80 |
| \$750-\$999 | 103. 90 | 38.20 |  | 38. 20 |  |  |  |  | 24.40 | 28. 60 | 12.70 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 117.30 | 46.60 | \$1. 10 | 45. 50 |  |  | 1.30 |  | 27.20 | 30.70 | 11. 50 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 124.80 | 41.30 | 8.60 | 32.70 |  |  |  |  | 34.40 | 37.00 | 12. 10 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 117. 80 | 42.50 |  | 42. 50 |  | (*) |  |  | 34.80 | 30.60 | 9. 90 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 125.70 | 42. 50 |  | 42. 50 | \$0.60 | $\$ 0.10$ |  |  | 35.60 | 36.00 | 10.90 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 132.40 | 44.30 |  | 44. 30 | 3.60 | . 10 |  |  | 38. 20 | 35. 40 | 10.80 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 142. 90 | 54.00 | 2. 40 | 51.60 | 2. 60 | . 20 |  |  | 43.20 | 35.40 | 7. 50 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 138.90 | 50.90 | 3.80 | 47. 10 | 3. 20 |  |  |  | 44.80 | 34. 40 | 5. 60 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 148.20 | 52.50 |  | 52.50 | 2. 90 | . 10 |  |  | 48. 60 | 38.30 | 5.80 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999. | 149.60 168.40 | 44.30 51.00 | 2.30 | 44.30 48.70 | 9.90 14.60 | . 20 |  |  | 45.80 58.60 | 42.40 40.30 | 7. 300 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 188.60 | 52.50 | 5. 70 | 46.80 |  | (*) |  |  | 70.90 | 65.20 | - |
| \$7,500 and over. | 217.60 | 65.40 |  | 65.40 | 3.30 | 2.30 | 6. 60 |  | 68.30 | 71.70 |  |

Table 7.-Household operation-Fuel, light, and refrigeration: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for fuel, light, and refrigeration, and average expenditure for such items, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
columbus, ohio: white families, type $\mathbf{v}$

| Income class | Total fuel, light, and refrigeration <br> (2) | Coal |  |  | Coke and briquets <br> (6) | Wood and kindling ${ }^{1}$ <br> (7) | Kerosene and gasoline <br> (8) | Fuel oil <br> (9) | Electricity <br> (10) | Gas <br> (11) | Ice <br> (12) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Anthracite | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bitumi- } \\ & \text { nous } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1) |  | (3) | (4) | (5) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | A. Percentage of families reporting expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749. | 100 | 100 |  | 100 |  |  |  |  | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| \$750-\$999 | 100 | 86 |  | 86 |  |  |  |  | 100 | 100 | 86 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 100 | 93 |  | 93 |  |  |  |  | 100 | 100 | 74 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 100 | 87 |  | 87 | 2 |  |  |  | 100 | 100 | 51 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 100 | 100 |  | 100 |  |  | 1 | ---- | 100 | 100 | 65 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 100 | 96 | 8 | 90 |  | 2 |  |  | 100 | 100 | 70 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 100 100 | 99 98 | 8 | 91 98 |  | 2 |  |  | 100 100 | 100 100 | 53 32 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 99 | 99 | ${ }^{-}$ | 93 | 2 | 9 |  |  | 99 | 95 | 50 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 100 | 97 | ------- | 97 |  | 9 |  |  | 100 | 100 | 33 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 100 | 100 | --------- | 100 | 4 |  |  |  | 100 | 100 | 31 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 100 | 69 | ---------- | 69 | 29 |  |  |  | 94 | 100 | 6 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 100 | 100 |  | 100 |  | 10 |  |  | 100 | 100 |  |
| \$7,500 and over-..-............ | 100 | 64 |  | 64 |  |  |  |  | 100 | 100 | --- |
|  | B. Average expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749. | \$94.70 | \$29.30 | - | \$29.30 |  |  |  |  | \$25.00 | \$33. 70 | \$6.70 |
| \$750-\$999 | 101. 60 | 40.90 | .-.-.-.--- | 40. 90 |  |  |  |  | 26.00 | 25.30 | 9.40 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 106.00 | 34. 50 |  | 34. 50 |  |  |  |  | 26.80 | 34.70 | 10.00 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 111. 10 | 28.70 |  | 28. 70 | \$1.00 |  |  |  | 35. 20 | 37.10 | 9. 10 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 125. 70 | 45. 60 |  | 45. 60 |  |  | (2) | ---2- | 35.30 | 31.60 | 13. 20 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 134. 90 | 48. 00 | \$2. 60 | 45. 40 |  | \$0. 10 |  |  | 36. 60 | 37. 50 | 12. 70 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 135. 20 | 49.70 | \$4.30 | 45. 40 |  | . 10 |  |  | 39. 60 | 36. 30 | 9. 50 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 135.30 | 52.70 |  | 52.70 47.50 |  | . 20 | --------- |  | 42. 50 | 33.70 33.70 | 6. 20 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 137.80 143.60 | 51.30 53.80 | 3.80 | 47.50 53.80 | . 50 | .10 .40 |  |  | 43. 80 44.50 | 33.70 39.60 | 8. 40 5. 30 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 155. 50 | 57. 60 |  | 57.60 | 1. 10 | , |  |  | 53.90 | 35.40 | 7. 50 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 171. 30 | 43. 10 |  | 43.10 | 15. 10 |  |  |  | 56.70 | 54.70 | 1. 70 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499. | 209. 90 | 84.60 |  | 84.60 |  | 3.60 |  |  | 65. 50 | 56. 20 | ---- |
| \$7,500 and over.. | 312.00 | 40.30 |  | 40.30 |  |  |  |  | 79.30 | 192.40 |  |

${ }^{1}$ See explanation of tables for definition of this item.
${ }^{2}$ No family in this group reported an expenditure of more than $\$ 0.49$ for this item.

Table 7.-Household operation-Fuel, light, and refrigeration: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for fuel, light, and refrigeration, and average expenditure for such items, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1935-86-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
COLUMBUS, OHO; White families, type vi

| Income class | Total fuel, light, and refrigeration <br> (2) | Coal |  |  | Coke and briquets <br> (6) | Wood and kindling 1 <br> (7) | Kerosene and gasoline <br> (8) | Fuel oil <br> (9) | Electricity <br> (10) | Gas <br> (11) | Ice <br> (12) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Anthracite | Bitumi- nous |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1) |  | (3) | (4) | (5) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | A. Percentage of families reporting expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 100 | 100 | ------.---- | 100 |  |  |  |  | 100 | 80 | 80 |
| \$750-\$999 | 100 | 100 |  | 100 |  | 4 |  |  | 100 | 89 | 74 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 100 | 94 |  | 94 | 2 |  |  |  | 98 | 98 | 84 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 100 | 99 |  | 99 |  | 1 | 5 |  | 99 | 94 | 91 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 100 | 98 | 2 | 96 |  | 2 |  |  | 100 | 100 | 63 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 100 | 96 |  | 96 | 9 |  |  |  | 98 | 98 | 56 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 98 | 89 |  | 89 | 5 | 3 | 4 | -.------ | 98 | 98 | 56 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 100 | 88 |  | 89 | 12 |  |  |  | 100 | 100 | 64 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999. | 100 | 100 100 |  | 100 | 8 | 3 | 6 | -.-.--- | 100 | 100 | 28 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999. | 100 | 100 83 |  | 100 83 | 14 |  |  |  | 100 | 100 | 27 29 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 100 | 72 |  | 72 | 22 | 26 |  |  | 100 | 100 | 8 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 ........-. | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ ) |  | ( $\dagger$ ) |  |  |  |  | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ ) |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | B. Average expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | \$69.00 | \$26. 20 |  | \$26. 20 |  |  |  |  | \$17. 20 | \$17.40 | \$8. 20 |
| \$750-\$999 | 88.40 | 29.80 |  | 29.80 |  | \$0. 40 |  |  | 24.60 | 24.40 | 9. 20 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 106.90 | 33.60 |  | 33.60 | \$1.00 |  |  |  | 29.50 | 30.00 | 12. 80 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 116.80 | 45.50 |  | 45.50 |  | (*) | \$0.70 | - | 27.80 | 30.00 | 12.80 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 119.10 | 42.00 | \$0.80 | 41.20 |  | (2) |  |  | 36. 10 | 32.80 | 8.20 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 132. 50 | 53.40 |  | 53.40 | 2.30 |  |  |  | 34. 80 | 31.40 | 10.60 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 125.90 | 43.30 |  | 43.30 | 2. 40 | . 10 | (2) | - | 36. 60 | 32.60 | 10.90 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 147.80 | 43.80 |  | 43.80 | 7.30 |  |  |  | 41. 50 | 43.60 | 11. 60 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 139.70 | 50.10 |  | 50.10 | 3.40 | (*) | . 90 | ------- | 44. 20 | 32.50 | 8. 60 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499. | 153.60 | 60.80 |  | 60.80 | 2.90 |  |  |  | 39.40 | 33.80 | 16. 70 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 134.00 | 44.60 | -.-.-.----- | 44. 60 | 9.00 |  |  | - | 47.50 | 28.50 | 4. 40 |
|  | $\underset{(\dagger)}{155.40}$ | $\xrightarrow[(\dagger)]{ }$ |  | $\stackrel{38.50}{(\dagger)}$ | 8.30 | . 80 |  | --.---- | $\stackrel{50.90}{(\dagger)}$ | ( $\dagger 2.80$ | 4.10 |
| \$7,500 and over |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^85][^86]Table 7.-Household operation-Fuel, light, and refrigeration: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for fuel, light, and refrigeration, and average expenditure for such items, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]

| Income class | Total fuel, <br> light, and refrigeration | Coal |  |  | Coke and briquets <br> (6) | Wood and kindling ${ }^{1}$ <br> (7) | Kerosene and gasoline <br> (8) | Fuel oil <br> (9) | Electricity <br> (10) | Gas <br> (11) | Ice <br> (12) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Anthracite | $\begin{gathered} \text { Bitumi- } \\ \text { nous } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | A. Percentage of families reporting expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$999 | 100 | 100 |  | 100 |  |  | 12 |  | 88 | 75 |  |
| \$1,000-81,249. | 100 | 100 |  | 100 | --------- |  | 14 |  | 100 | 85 | 93 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 100 100 | 94 100 |  | 109 |  |  |  |  | 100 100 | 100 100 | 79 84 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999- | 100 | 94 |  | 94 | --. |  |  |  | 100 | 100 | 72 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249- | 100 | 100 |  | 100 |  | 6 |  |  | 100 | 100 | 58 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 100 | 100 | 4 | 96 |  |  | 4 |  | 100 | 96 | 80 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 100 100 | 96 70 |  | ${ }_{70}^{96}$ | 6 |  |  |  | 100 100 | 100 | 48 86 |
| \$3,500-83,999- | 100 | 100 |  | 100 |  |  |  |  | 100 | 100 | ${ }_{17}$ |
| \$4,000-84,999 | 100 | 100 |  | 100 |  |  |  |  | 100 | 100 | 36 |
| \$7,500 and over- | 100 | 82 |  | 82 |  | 18 |  |  | 100 | 100 | 18 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | B. Average expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$999 | \$83.80 | \$31.00 |  | \$31.00 |  |  | \$3. 90 | ------ | \$20. 80 | \$20.10 | \$8.00 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 113.00 | 42.10 |  | 42.10 |  |  | 3.20 |  | ${ }_{2}^{27.00}$ | 27. 30 | 13. 40 |
|  | 124.40 136.00 | 47.70 49.80 |  | 47.70 49.80 |  |  |  |  | 29.80 | 37.10 39.10 | 9.80 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 131.20 | 43.70 |  | 43.70 |  |  |  |  | 38. 30 | 35.90 | 13.30 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 123.60 | 51.60 |  | 51.60 |  | \$0. 20 |  |  | 34.30 | 29.50 | 8.00 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 148.10 | 53.40 | \$1. 40 | 52.00 |  |  | 1. 20 |  | 40. 10 | 34. 20 | 19. 20 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 144.40 161.20 | ${ }_{41}^{49} 20$ |  | 49.20 41.20 | \$2. 20 |  |  |  | 45.50 49.20 | 39.40 <br> 57.50 | 13. 10 |
| \$3,500-\$8,999- | 169.70 | 65.90 |  | 65.90 |  |  |  |  | 64.00 | 32.90 | 6. 90 |
| \$4,000-84,999 | 191. 30 | 69.50 |  | 69.50 |  |  |  |  | 54.50 | 47.30 | 20. 00 |
|  |  | 51.60 |  | 51.60 |  | . 20 |  |  | 59.40 | 52.70 | 9. 50 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^87]Table 7.-Household operation-Fuel, light, and refrigeration: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for fuel, light, and refrigeration, and average expenditure for such items, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued


[^88]Table 7.-Household operation-Fuel, light, and refrigeration: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for fuel, light, and refrigeration, and average expenditure for such items, by family type and income, in 1 year, $1935-36$-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
Columbus, ohio: negro familles, type I


1 See explanation of tables for definition of this item.
$\dagger$ Percentages and averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

Table 7.-Household operation-Fuel, light, and refrigeration: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for fuel, light, and refrigeration, and average expenditure for such items, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1995-96-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
COLUMBUS, OHIO: NEGRO FAMILIES, TYPES II AND II

| Income class | Total fuel, light, and refrigeration <br> (2) | Coal |  |  | Coke and briquets <br> (6) | Wood and kindling 1 <br> (7) | Kerosene and gasoline(8) | Fuel oil <br> (9) | Electricity <br> (10) | Gas <br> (11) | Ice <br> (12) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Anthracite | $\underset{\text { nous }}{\text { Bitumi- }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1) |  | (3) | (4) | (5) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | A. Percentage of families reporting expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 | 100 | 100 |  | 100 |  |  |  |  | 100 | 75 | 100 |
| \$500-\$749 | 100 | 100 |  | 100 |  | 22 |  |  | 100 | 87 | 100 |
| \$750-\$999 | 100 | 94 |  | 94 |  | 16 | 6 |  | 94 | 94 | 94 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 100 | 100 | 6 | 94 |  | 17 |  |  | 100 | 100 | 94 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 100 | 100 |  | 100 |  | 24 |  |  | 100 | 100 | 95 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 100 | (t) 71 | ----------- | (t) 71 |  |  |  |  | (t) 100 | 100 | 64 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) |  | ( $\dagger$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  | ( $\dagger$ ) |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$2,500-\$2,999. | 100 | 100 |  | 100 |  | 33 |  |  | 100 | 100 | 33 |
|  | B. Average expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 | \$61. 10 | \$29. 50 |  | \$29.50 |  |  |  |  | \$13. 80 | \$14.00 | \$3.80 |
| \$500-\$749. | 77. 70 | 32.30 |  | 32.30 |  | \$1.80 |  |  | 16.00 | 19.80 | 7.80 |
| \$750-\$999 | 94. 60 | 39.40 |  | 39.40 |  | . 10 | \$0. 20 | ------ | 18. 80 | 27. 20 | 8.90 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 117.90 | 47.10 | \$3.00 | 44. 10 |  | . 40 |  |  | 24. 70 | 36.50 | 9. 20 |
| \$1,250- \$1,499 | 116.90 | 45.90 |  | 45. 90 |  | . 40 |  |  | 24.90 | 31.90 | 13.80 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 102. 40 | ${ }_{(\dagger)}^{34.60}$ |  | (t) 34.60 |  |  |  |  | $\underset{(\dagger)}{31.00}$ | 29. 10 | (†) 7.70 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \$ 2,000-\$ 2,249 \\ & \$ 2,250-\$ 2,499 \end{aligned}$ | ( $\dagger$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ( $\dagger$ ) |  |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 149.30 | 76.00 |  | 76.00 |  | . 30 |  |  | 44.00 | 25.70 | 3.30 |

1 See explanation of tables for definition of this item
$\dagger$ Percentages and averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

Table 7.-Household operation-Fuel, light, and refrigeration: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for fuel, light, and refrigeration, and average expenditure for such items, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1935-36—Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
COLUMBUS, OHIO: NEGRO FAMILIES, TYPES IV AND V

| Income class | Total fuel, light, and refrigeration | Coal |  |  | Coke and briquets <br> (6) | Wood and kindling 1 <br> (7) | Kerosene and gasoline <br> (8) | Fuel oil <br> (9) | Electricity <br> (10) | Gas <br> (11) | Ice <br> (12) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Anthracite | Bitumi- nous |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | A. Percentage of families reporting expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 100 | 100 |  | 100 |  | 22 | 44 |  | 78 | 56 | 100 |
|  | 100 | 100 |  | 100 |  | ${ }^{23}$ | $\stackrel{24}{2}$ |  | 80 |  | 92 |
|  | 100 | 100 |  | 100 |  | 26 |  |  | 100 | 100 | 74 |
|  | 100 | 98 |  | 98 |  | 2 |  |  | 100 | 100 | 63 |
|  | 100 | 100 |  | 100 |  | 28 |  |  | 100 | 100 | 75 |
|  | ( $\dagger$ ) | (t) |  | (t) |  |  |  |  | (t) | (t) |  |
|  | ${ }^{100}$ | () 75 |  | (7) 75 |  | () 50 |  |  | 100 | 100 | 75 |
|  | B. A verage expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499.. | \$59.50 | \$31. 30 |  | \$31. 30 |  |  | \$2. 60 |  | \$9. 70 | \$10. 20 | \$5.40 |
| \$500- $\$ 749$ | 90.20 | 39.40 |  | 39.40 |  | 2.00 | 1.40 |  | 17.40 | 21.70 | 8.30 |
| \$750-\$999 | 117.30 | 52.60 |  | 52.60 |  | (*) | 0.80 |  | ${ }^{25.20}$ | 27. 50 | 11.20 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 111.10 | 38.70 |  | 38.70 |  | . 40 |  |  | 30. 00 | 34.90 | 7.10 |
| \$1,250- $81,499-$ | 113.70 131.10 | 49.30 56.80 |  | 49.30 56.80 |  | . 10 |  |  | 28.80 31.90 | 30.40 31.40 | 5. 10 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 144. 70 | 61.60 |  | 61.60 |  | . 20 |  |  | 43. 40 | 35. 50 | 4.00 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249- | (t) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$2,500-\$2,999.. | 149.80 | ( 51.70 |  | ( 51.70 |  | (t) . 80 |  |  | 45.50 | +36.00 | ${ }^{15.80}$ |

1 See explanation of tables for definition of this item
$\dagger$ Percentages and averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.
*A verage amounts of less than $\$ 0.05$ are not shown.

Table ${ }^{\prime}$.-Household operation-Fuel, light, and refrigeration: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for fuel, light, and refrigeration, and average expenditure for such items, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
atlanta, ga.: White families, 5 TYPES

| Income class | Total fuel. light, and refrigeration <br> (2) | Coal |  |  | Coke and briquets <br> (6) | Wood and kindling 1 <br> (7) | Kerosene and gasoline(8) | Fuel oil <br> (9) | Electricity <br> (10) | Gas <br> (11) | Ice <br> (12) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Anthracite | Bituminous |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1) |  | (3) | (4) | (5) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | A. Percentage of families reporting expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 100 | 88 |  | 88 |  | 53 | 61 |  | 59 | 32 | 79 |
| \$750-\$999 | 100 | 91 |  | 91 |  | 38 | 25 |  | 82 | 73 | 78 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 100 | 87 |  | 87 |  | 42 | 27 |  | 87 | 70 | 68 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 99 | 72 | 1 | 71 | (*) 1 | 28 | 15 |  | 94 | 87 | 55 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 100 | 72 |  | 72 | (*) | 28 | 9 | - | 93 | 89 | 35 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 100 | 63 | ---------- | 63 | 1 | 27 | 1 |  | 99 | 92 | 25 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 100 | 71 |  | 71 | 1 | 36 | 6 |  | 97 | 92 | 33 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 100 | 68 74 |  | 68 |  | 30 | 2 |  | 97 | 94 | 24 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 100 | 74 |  | 74 | 1 | 35 | 6 |  | 96 | 94 | 19 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 100 | 67 |  | 67 | 1 | 29 | (*) |  | 98 | 90 | 12 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999. | 100 | 67 | -------- | 67 | 2 | 25 |  | 2 | 100 | 90 | 11 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999. | 100 | 70 |  | 70 | 2 | 26 | 2 | 1 | 100 | 93 | 12 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 100 | 63 |  | 63 | 4 | 29 |  | 2 | 100 | 94 | 8 |
|  | 100 | 48 |  | 48 | 5 | 27 |  | 12 | 99 | 88 | 3 |
|  | B. Average expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | \$68. 40 | \$23. 60 |  | \$23.60 |  | \$6.10 | \$11.00 |  | \$10. 20 | \$9. 40 | \$8. 10 |
| \$750-\$999 | 83.80 | 25.20 |  | 25. 20 |  | 3. 40 | 3.80 |  | 18.00 | 20. 10 | 13. 30 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 85.20 | 25. 20 |  | 25. 20 |  | 2.90 | 3.90 |  | 20.50 | 20.40 | 12. 30 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 98. 30 | 23.50 | \$0. 40 | 23. 10 | \$0. 20 | . 90 | 2.40 |  | 30.50 | 29.50 | 11. 30 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 101. 30 | 25. 60 | ------...- | 25. 60 | (*) | 1.30 | 1. 70 |  | 34. 80 | 30.10 | 7.80 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 106. 20 | 26. 80 | --- | 26. 80 | (*) | . 80 | . 30 |  | 38.30 | 33.80 | 6. 20 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 109.60 | 28.70 | ---------- | 28.70 | . 40 | . 80 | . 80 |  | 37.50 | 34. 80 | 6. 60 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 115. 10 | 31.30 | ------------ | 31. 30 |  | . 90 | . 10 |  | 42. 30 | 34.70 | 5. 80 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | $\begin{array}{r}2 \\ 125.30 \\ 135.10 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 36. 30 |  | 36.30 38.00 | $\begin{array}{r}.50 \\ .40 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 1. 40 | . 20 |  | 44. 80 | 37.00 | 5. 00 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 135.10 141.00 | 38.00 38.00 | ----------- | 38.00 38.00 | . 40 | 1. 00 | . 10 |  | 50.80 | 40.70 | 4. 10 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999. | 141.00 158.00 | 38.00 43.30 |  | 38.00 43.30 | .90 .60 | .70 .70 |  | \$1. 40 | 56.50 | 41.00 | 2. 50 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999. | 158.00 184.20 | 43.30 44.30 |  | 43.30 44.30 | .60 1.50 | .70 1.10 | (*) | 1. 00 1. 40 | 60.90 67.20 | 48. 70 | 2.80 2.90 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499... | 184.20 228.60 | 44.30 37.00 |  | 44.30 37.00 | 1.50 2. 70 | 1.10 1.30 |  | 1. 40 18. 60 | 67.20 82.00 | 65.80 85.40 | 2.90 1.60 |
| \$7,500 and over |  |  |  | 37.00 |  |  |  | 18.60 | 82.00 | 85.40 | 1.60 |

Table 7.-Household operation-Fuel, light, and refrigeration: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for fuel, light, and refrigeration, and average expenditure for such items, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
ATLANTA, GA.: WHITE FAMILIES, TYPE I


1 See explanation of tables for definition of this item.

[^89]Table \%-Household operation-Fuel, light, and refrigeration: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for fuel, light, and refrigeration, and average expenditure for such items, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]

| Income class | Total fuel, light, and refrigeration | Ooal |  |  | Coke and briquets <br> (6) | Wood and kindling ${ }^{1}$ <br> (7) | Kerosene and gasoline <br> (8) | Fuel oil <br> (9) | Electricity <br> (10) | Gas <br> (11) | Ice <br> (12) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Anthracite | $\begin{gathered} \text { Bitumi- } \\ \text { nous } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | A. Percentage of families reporting expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 100 | 89 | ----.-.-.- | 89 | --------- | 56 | 67 | ------- | 67 | 33 | 67 |
| \$750-\$999 | 100 | 90 | ---------- | 90 | ---------- | 46 | 40 | -------- | 78 | 54 | 82 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 100 | 88 |  | 88 |  | 44 | 27 | -------- | 83 | 72 | 79 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 100 | 76 | 3 | 73 | 2 | 25 | 23 |  | 97 | 80 | 48 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 100 | 78 |  | 78 |  | 29 | 14 | --- | 94 | 92 | 35 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 100 | 65 |  | 65 |  | 41 |  |  | 99 | 96 | 18 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 100 | 78 |  | 78 | 3 | 34 | 6 |  | 93 | 93 | 27 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 100 | 77 | ---------- | 77 |  | 36 | 1 |  | 97 | 92 | 26 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 99 | 79 |  | 79 | 3 | 42 | 4 |  | 98 | 94 | 25 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 100 | 52 |  | 52 | 2 | 29 |  |  | 94 | 88 | 15 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 100 | 68 | --------- | 68 | 6 | 25 |  | 5 | 100 | 84 | 11 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 100 | 66 | ---------- | 66 |  | 45 |  |  | 100 | 96 | 9 |
| \$7,500 and over------...---- | 100 | 52 39 |  | 52 39 | 6 | 41 |  | 17 | 100 | 94 | 13 |
|  | 100 |  |  | 39 | 6 | 28 |  | 17 |  | 94 | 6 |
|  | B. Average expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | \$66.90 | \$21. 80 | --------- | \$21.80 |  | \$3. 40 | \$13.40 | -----.-- | \$10. 30 | \$11.00 | \$7.00 |
| \$750-\$999 | 78. 80 | 25. 10 |  | 25. 10 |  | 4. 60 | 6.40 | --.------- | 14.10 | 16. 10 | 12. 50 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 86. 70 | 25. 30 |  | 25. 30 |  | 1. 90 | 3.30 | --------- | 19.20 | 22. 60 | 14. 40 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 103. 30 | 25.00 | \$0.90 | 24. 10 | \$0. 50 | 1.10 | 5. 20 |  | 32. 60 | 28.10 | 10. 80 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 105. 70 | 27.90 |  | 27.90 |  | . 40 | 3. 70 |  | 32.80 | 32.00 | 8. 90 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 106. 70 | 27.80 |  | 27.80 |  | I. 10 |  |  | 37. 60 | 34. 90 | 5.30 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 116. 20 | 25.80 |  | 25.80 | 1.10 | . 60 | . 60 |  | 41. 80 | 39.70 | 6. 60 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 122. 50 | 34.90 |  | 34.90 |  | . 90 | . 10 |  | 46. 10 | 35. 10 | 5.40 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 125. 90 | 36. 10 |  | 36. 10 | 1. 50 | 1.50 | . 30 |  | 43. 70 | 35. 80 | 7.00 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499. | 115.90 | 28.70 |  | 28. 70 | + 10 | 1.00 |  |  | 46. 20 | 35. 40 | 4. 50 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 151. 50 | 34. 40 |  | 34. 40 | 2.60 | . 80 |  | \$4. 40 | 62. 80 | 44. 70 | 1.80 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999. | 156. 80 | 44. 10 |  | 44. 10 |  | 1.10 |  |  | 54. 60 | 54.80 | 2. 20 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499.- | 184. 40 | 36. 50 |  | 36. 50 |  | 1. 60 |  |  | 64. 60 | 78. 30 | 3. 40 |
| \$7,500 and over. | 259.80 | 30.30 |  | 30.30 | 3.80 | 2.20 |  | 26. 30 | 97. 50 | 97.40 | 2.30 |

Table 7.-Household operation-Fuel, light, and refrigeration: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for fuel, light, and refrigeration, and average expenditure for such items, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
ATLANTA, GA: WHITE FAMILIES, TYPES IV AND $V$

| Income class | Total fuel, light, and refrigeration | Coal |  |  | Coke and briquets <br> (6) | Wood and kindling ${ }^{1}$ <br> (7) | Kerosene and gasoline <br> (8) | Fuel oil <br> (9) | Electricity <br> (10) | Gas(11) | Ice <br> (12) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Anthracite | Bituminous |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 500-\$ 749$ <br> $\$ 1,000$ <br> \$1,250-\$1,499 <br> \$1,500-\$1,749 <br> \$1,750-\$1,999 <br> $\$ 2,000-\$ 2,249$ <br> $\$ 2,250-\$ 2,499$ <br> $\$ 2,500-\$ 2,999$ <br> $\$ 3,000-\$ 3,499$ <br> $\$ 3,500-\$ 3,999$ <br> $\$ 5,000-\$ 7,499$ <br> $\$ 7,500$ and over. | A. Percentage of families reporting expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 100 | 100 |  | 100 |  | 60 | 70 |  | 40 | 20 | 100 |
|  | 100 | 97 |  | ${ }_{94}^{97}$ |  | 31 | 28 |  |  | 72 55 |  |
|  | ${ }_{96}$ | 73 |  | 73 |  | 30 | 14 |  | ${ }_{93}$ | 86 | 74 |
|  | 100 | 86 |  | 86 |  | 42 | 10 |  | 93 | 82 | 41 |
|  | 100 100 | 79 92 |  | 79 92 | (*) ${ }^{2}$ | $\begin{array}{r}28 \\ 50 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\stackrel{4}{10}$ | - | 100 100 | 86 88 | $\stackrel{45}{42}$ |
|  | 100 | 86 |  | 86 |  | ${ }_{37}$ | 15 |  | 100 97 | ${ }_{96}^{88}$ | $\stackrel{42}{37}$ |
|  | 100 | 82 |  | 82 |  | 35 | 5 |  | 96 | 91 | 24 |
|  | 100 | 92 |  | 92 |  | ${ }_{31}^{35}$ | 1 |  | 100 | 88 | 11 |
|  | 100 | 85 |  | 85 | 3 | 19 | 3 | 1 | 100 | 105 98 | 14 |
|  | 100 | 82 |  | 82 |  | 33 |  | 3 | 100 | 94 | 8 |
|  | 100 | 67 |  | 67 | 4 | 39 |  |  | 98 | 81 |  |
|  | B. Average expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | $\$ 66.10$ <br> 100.00 <br> 102. 40 <br> 126.00 <br> 128. 30 <br> $\begin{array}{r}139.70 \\ 2139.80 \\ \\ \hline\end{array}$ <br> 159.50 156.90 <br> 173. 40 <br> 198.90 216.30 | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 26.30 \\ & 31.00 \\ & 29.40 \\ & 26.40 \\ & 29.60 \\ & 29.80 \\ & 33.30 \\ & 43.40 \\ & 42.60 \\ & 42.60 \\ & 54.60 \\ & 54.60 \\ & 50.80 \\ & 50.50 \\ & 59.60 \\ & 52.50 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\$ 26.30$31.0029.4026.6029.8033.3043.4042.6042.6054.6054.805050.5059.6052.50 | - | \$8. 70 | \$11. 30 |  | \$7.00 | \$6. 00 | \$6. 80 |
| \$750-\$999 |  |  |  |  |  | 4. 00 | 3. 70 |  | ${ }^{24.60}$ | 24.50 | 12. 20 |
| \$1,000-\$1.249 |  |  |  |  |  | 4. 50 | 6. 40 | ---.--- | ${ }^{20} 60$ | 19.50 | 11. 80 |
| \$1,250- $\$ 1,499$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | +60 |  | 29.30 37.70 |  |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 |  |  |  |  | $\$ 0.10$ .10 | 3. 10 1. 00 | 1.00 .80 |  | 37.70 42.20 | 31.70 38.80 | 8. 40 9.80 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 |  |  |  |  |  | 1. 30 | 1. 40 | ---- | 39. 60 | 34.10 | 8.50 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 |  |  |  |  |  | 1. 20 |  |  | 44.10 | ${ }^{42.10}$ | 9. 60 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 |  |  |  |  |  | 1. 90 | (*) |  | 47.30 | 41. 50 | 6. 30 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 |  |  |  |  |  | 1.30 .90 | . 20 |  | 56.10 54.60 | ${ }_{42}{ }^{41} 20$ | 4. 10 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 |  |  |  |  | 1. 10 | . 50 | (*) | \$0.70 | 68.90 | 49.70 | 2. 00 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499.. |  |  |  |  |  | 1.20 |  | 2. 50 | 71.80 | 60.60 | 3. 20 |
| \$7,500 and over- |  |  |  |  | 2.80 | 1.70 |  | 22. 30 | 85.90 | 48.90 | 2. 20 |

${ }^{1}$ See explanation of tables for definition of this item.
*Percentages of less than 0.5 and average amounts of less than $\$ 0.05$ are not shown.
${ }^{2}$ Includes $\$ 0.20$ for items reported as other fuel, light, and refrigeration.

Table 7.-Household operation-Fuel, light, and refrigeration: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for fuel, light, and refrigeration, and average expenditure for such items, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1935-86-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
ATLANTA, GA.: NEGRO FAMILIES, 7 TYPES

| Income class | Total fuel, light, and refrigeration <br> (2) | Coal |  |  | Coke and briquets <br> (6) | Wood and kindling 1 <br> (7) | Kerosene and gasoline <br> (8) | Fuel oil$(9)$ | Electricity <br> (10) | Gas <br> (11) | Ice <br> (12) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Anthracite | $\begin{gathered} \text { Bitumi- } \\ \text { nous } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1) |  | (3) | (4) | (5) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | A. Percentage of families reporting expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250. | 100 | 84 |  | 84 |  | 70 | 85 |  | 15 | 8 | 84 |
| \$250-\$499 | 100 | 99 | 3 | 96 | (*) | 95 | 83 | .-.-. | 24 |  | 98 |
| \$500-\$749 | 100 | 99 | 1 | 98 |  | 98 | 68 |  | 43 | 3 | 99 |
| \$750-\$999 | 100 | 98 |  | 98 |  | 94 | 58 |  | 58 | 9 | 98 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 100 | 96 | 4 | 92 | 1 | 87 | 36 |  | 73 | 15 | 90 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 100 | 97 |  | 97 |  | 91 | 35 | (*) | 82 | 31 | 77 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 100 | 97 | - | 97 |  | 75 | 27 |  | 90 | 31 | 85 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 100 | 95 |  | 95 |  | 70 | 22 |  | 100 | 58 | 55 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 100 | 93 | 4 | 89 | --- | 73 | 21 |  | 93 | 59 | 77 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 100 | 90 |  | 90 |  | 73 | 7 |  | 97 | 67 | 40 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999. | 100 | 89 |  | 89 |  | 65 | 11 |  | 94 | 78 | 39 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499. | 100 | 92 |  | 92 |  | 69 | 8 |  | 100 | 100 | 54 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 . | 100 | 60 |  | 60 |  | 20 |  |  | 100 | 80 | 20 |
|  | 100 | 90 | 10 | 90 |  | 70 |  |  | 100 | 70 | 10 |
|  | B. Average expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250. | \$31. 70 | \$12.70 |  | \$12. 70 |  | \$6.30 | \$5. 10 |  | \$2.00 | \$1. 40 | \$4. 20 |
| \$250-\$499. | 45.90 | 17.90 | \$0.30 | 17.60 | (3) | 11.20 | 4.90 |  | 4. 10 |  | 7.80 |
| \$500-\$749 | 61. 20 | 23.00 | . 20 | 22.80 |  | 14.40 | 3.70 |  | 8.10 | . 90 | 11. 10 |
| \$750-\$999 | 67. 40 | 25.90 |  | 25.90 |  | 13.60 | 3.10 |  | 11. 20 | 1. 80 | 11. 80 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 78.60 | 29.50 | 1.70 | 27.80 | \$0.10 | 13.10 | 1.80 |  | 17.60 | 4.00 | 12. 50 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 90.60 | 34.00 |  | 34.00 |  | 9.60 | 2.40 | (*) | 22.90 | 9.20 | 12. 50 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 107.60 | 41.30 |  | 41.30 |  | 11. 80 | 1. 10 |  | 26. 10 | 11. 50 | 15. 80 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 121.00 | 39.50 |  | 39. 50 |  | 7.30 | . 90 |  | 37.00 | 24.70 | 11. 60 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 124. 70 | 45.80 | 1. 20 | 44. 60 |  | 9. 40 | . 50 |  | 34. 00 | 20.70 | 14. 30 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 133. 50 | 43.00 |  | 43.00 |  | 5. 60 | . 40 |  | 49. 10 | 27.20 | 8. 20 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 125. 30 | 44.70 |  | 44.70 |  | 4. 00 | . 10 |  | 35. 50 | 32. 60 | 8. 40 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499. | 168. 90 | 43.80 |  | 43. 80 |  | 6. 70 | . 50 |  | 43.20 | 63.50 | 11. 20 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999. | 134. 20 | 29.20 |  | 29. 20 |  | 5. 40 |  |  | 59.00 | 40.80 | 4. 80 1. 40 |
| \$4,000 and over. | 177.70 | 71.10 | 5. 30 | 65. 80 |  | 5. 10 |  |  | 55.00 | 45. 10 | 1. 40 |

[^90]Table 7.-Household operation-Fuel, light, and refrigeration: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for fuel, light, and refrigeration, and average expenditure for such items, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1995-36-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
ATLANTA, GA.: NEGRO FAMILIES, TYPE I

| Income class | Total fuel, light, and refrigeration <br> (2) | Coal |  |  | Coke and briquets <br> (6) | Wood and kindling : <br> (7) | Kerosene and gasoline <br> (8) | Fuel oil <br> (9) | Electricity <br> (10) | Gas <br> (11) | Ice <br> (12) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Anthracite | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bitumi- } \\ & \text { nous } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1) |  | (3) | (4) | (5) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | A. Percentage of families reporting expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250 | 100 | 78 |  | 78 |  | 56 | 89 |  | 11 |  | 67 |
| \$250-\$499 | 100 | 99 | 4 | 95 | ---------- | 96 | 81 | -------- | 32 |  | 98 |
| \$500-\$749 | 100 | 98 | 2 | 96 | .-......-- | 94 | 72 | -- | 41 |  | 98 |
| \$750-\$999 | 100 | 96 | 8 | 96 | - | 99 | 53 | ----- | 60 | 7 | 98 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 100 | 89 | 8 | 89 |  | 81 | 12 |  | 89 | 83 | 79 65 |
| \$1,500-\$2,249 | 100 | 100 |  | 100 |  | 77 | 20 |  | 100 | 52 | 57 |
| \$2,250 and over .-.-.---..... | 100 | 93 |  | 93 |  | 86 |  |  | 100 | 93 | 57 |
|  | B. Average expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250 | \$33.10 | \$13.40 |  | \$13. 40 |  | \$7. 10 | \$7. 30 |  | \$1. 70 |  | \$3. 60 |
| \$250-\$499 | 48. 20 | 19.20 | \$0. 50 | 18. 70 |  | 10. 30 | 5. 10 |  | 5. 70 |  | 7. 90 |
| \$500-\$749 | 56.00 | 20.90 | . 30 | 20.60 |  | 13. 50 | 4. 40 |  | 6.40 | \$0. 10 | 10. 70 |
| \$750-\$999 | 60.70 | 23.10 |  | 23. 10 |  | 11.90 | 2.60 |  | 10. 40 | 1.60 | 11. 10 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 70.50 | 25. 20 | 3.40 | 21. 80 |  | 8. 30 | . 20 |  | 20. 30 | 6.90 | 9. 60 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 90.60 | 32. 10 |  | 32. 10 | ..-.------ | 5. 10 | 1.60 | ------1 | 22.60 | 17.90 | 11. 30 |
| \$1,500-\$2,249 | 109.90 | 40. 20 |  | 40. 20 | --.--.-.- | 8. 20 | . 70 |  | 28. 40 | 19.30 | 13. 10 |
| \$2,250 and over....- | 148.90 | 56.70 |  | 56.70 |  | 3.40 |  |  | 38.80 | 40.90 | 9. 10 |

1 See explanation of tables for definition of this item.

Table 7.-Household operation-Fuel, light, and refrigeration: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for fuel, light, and refrigeration, and average expenditure for such items, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
atlanta, ga.: negro families, type in

| Income class | Total fuel, light, and refrigeration | Coal |  |  | Coke and briquets | Wood and kindling ${ }^{1}$ | Kerosene and gasoline | Fuel oil | Electricity | Gas | Ise |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Anthracite | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bitumi- } \\ & \text { nous } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) |
|  | A. Percentage of families reporting expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250 <br> \$250-\$499 <br> \$500-\$749 <br> \$750-\$999 <br> $\$ 1,000-\$ 1,249$ <br> \$1,250-\$1,499 <br> \$1,500-\$2,249 <br> $\$ 2,250$ and over | 100 | 100 |  | 100 |  | 100 | 100 |  |  |  | 100 |
|  | 100 | 100 | 7 | 93 | ---- | 97 | 82 |  | ${ }_{45}^{26}$ | 7 | 100 99 |
|  | 100 | 100 |  | 100 |  | 91 | 64 |  | 72 |  | 100 |
|  | 100 | 100 |  | 100 |  | 71 73 | 40 | 9 | 83 | $\stackrel{29}{54}$ | -93 |
|  | 100 100 | 100 | 8 | 92 |  | 67 | 33 |  | 100 | 75 | 67 |
|  | 100 | 69 |  | 69 |  | 38 |  |  | 100 | 77 |  |
|  | B. Average expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250 | \$27. 80 | \$12.00 | \$0.40 | \$12.00 |  | \$7.00 | \$3.80 |  | -190 | .-.-------- | $\$ 5.00$ 8.30 |
| \$250-\$499----- | 42.20 66.40 | 15.60 24.70 |  | 15. 20 | -----7-1 | 9. 16.10 | 5.00 2.80 |  | \$4. 20 | \$2.70 | 8.30 11.70 |
| \$750-\$999 | 73. 10 | 28.8031.30 |  | 28.8031.30 |  | 15.60 | 2. 80 |  | 14.00 |  | 11.90 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 78.60 |  |  |  |  | 13. 20 | 2. 20 |  | 14. 80 | 6.30 | 10.80 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | ${ }^{91.50}$ | 30.70 |  | $\begin{array}{r} 31.70 \\ 30.70 \end{array}$ |  | 3. 50 | 2. 10 | $\$ 0.80$ | 23.80 | 16. 70 | 13. 90 |
| \$1,500-\$2,249-1. | 133.40 125.00 | 43.3023.80 | 2.90 |  | - | 3.90 3.30 | 1.70 |  | 41.80 48.20 | 30.30 47.50 | 12. 20 |
| \$2,2.0 and over. |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 40.40 \\ & 23.80 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ See explanation of tables for definition of this item.

Table 7.-Household operation-Fuel, light, and refrigeration: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for fuel, light, and refrigeration, and average expenditure for such items, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1935- $36-\mathrm{Contin}$,
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
atlanta, ga:: negro families, type in

| Income class | Total fuel, light, and refrigeration | Coal |  |  | Coke and briquets <br> (6) | Wood and kindling ${ }^{1}$ <br> (7) | Kerosene and gasoline <br> (8) | Fuel oil <br> (9) | Electricity <br> (10) | Gas <br> (11) | Ice <br> (12) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Anthrarite | Bituminous |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | A. Percentage of families reporting expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under $\$ 250$ <br> $\$ 250-\$ 499$ <br> \$500-\$749 <br> \$750-\$999 <br> $\$ 1,000-\$ 1,249$ <br> $\$ 1,250-\$ 1,499$ <br> $\$ 1,500-\$ 2,249$ <br> \$2,250 and over | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) |  | ( $\dagger$ ) |  | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) |  | ( $\dagger$ ) 15 |  |  |
|  | 100 | 100 |  | 100 |  | 100 | 84 |  | 56 |  | 100 100 |
|  | 100 | 100 |  | 100 |  | 82 | 49 |  | 63 | 6 | 100 |
|  | 100 | 100 | $\cdots$ | 100 | 5 | 80 | 20 |  | 100 | 40 | 90 80 |
|  |  | 71 |  |  |  | 50 | ( ${ }^{50}$ |  | $\text { (†) } 71$ | ( $\dagger$ ) 71 |  |
|  | B. Average expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | ( $\downarrow$ )$\$ 15.20$27.30 |  | ( $\dagger$ ) <br> $\$ 15$. <br> 27.30 <br> 20 |  | (t) $\$ 12.50$ | $\stackrel{\text { ( }}{ }+3.90$ |  | $\stackrel{(1)}{\$ 2.80}$ |  | (t) $\$ 7.60$ |
|  | \$9.40 |  | - |  |  | \$13. 10 | $\begin{array}{r}\text { \$3. } \\ 1.80 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$999 | 77.00 | 33. 30 |  | 33.30 |  | 12. 90 | 3.50 |  | 12. 60 | \$1. 30 | 13.40 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 78. 20 | 25.80 42.20 |  | 42. 2025.90 | \$0. 20 | 8.40 | 2.70 |  | 19.60 | 8.50 | 13. 00 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499- | 118.60 92.10 | 25.90 |  |  |  | 6.00 2.00 | 1.80 2.30 |  | 37.60 21.60 |  | 19.20 10.70 |
| \$2,250 and over | ( $\dagger$ ) |  |  |  |  |  | ( $\dagger$ ) |  |  | ( $\dagger$ ) |  |

${ }^{1}$ See explanation of tables for definition of this item.
$\dagger$ Percentages and averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

Table 7.-Household operation-Fuel, light, and refrigeration: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for fuel, light, and refrigeration, and average expenditure for such items, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
ATLANTA, GA.: NEGRO FAMILIES, TYPE IV


Table 7.-Household operation-Fuel, light, and refrigeration: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for fuel, light, and refrigeration, and average expenditure for such items, by family type and income, in 1 year, $1935-36-\mathrm{Continued}$
[Nonrelie[ families including husband and wife, both native born]
ATLANTA, GA.: NEGRO FAMILIES, TYPE $\nabla$

| Income class | Total fuel, light, and refrigeration <br> (2) | Coal |  |  | Coke and briquets <br> (6) | Wood and kindling ${ }^{1}$ <br> (7) | Kerosene and gasoline <br> (8) | Fuel oil <br> (9) | Electricity <br> (10) | Gas <br> (11) | Ice <br> (12) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Anthracite | Bituminous |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1) |  | (3) | (4) | (5) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | A. Percentage of families reporting expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250. | ( $\dagger$ | ( ${ }^{\text {( }}$ |  | ( ${ }^{\text {) }}$ |  | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ |  |  |  | ( $\dagger$ ) |
| \$250-\$499. | 100 | 91 | .-.. | 91 |  | 81 | 81 |  | 19 |  | 100 |
| \$500-\$749 | 100 | 100 |  | 100 |  | 100 97 | 89 |  | 35 | 8 | 100 |
| \$750-\$999 | 100 | 97 100 |  | 97 100 | - | 97 100 | 79 |  | 39 31 | 2 | 100 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 100 | 100 |  | 100 |  | 100 | 60 |  | 60 | 10 | 80 |
| \$1,500-\$2,249. | 100 | 91 |  | 91 | ------ | 85 | 12 |  | 94 | 26 | 91 |
| \$2,250 and over.........--.... | 100 | 92 |  | 92 |  | 75 | 8 | --. | 92 | 83 | 42 |
|  | B. Average expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250 | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) |  | ( $\dagger$ ) |  | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) |  |  |  | ( $\dagger$ ) |
| \$250-\$499 | \$48. 10 | \$18.00 | ----------- | \$18.00 | --------- | \$13.90 | \$4.70 | --200 | \$2. 40 |  | \$9. 10 |
| \$500-\$749 | 54. 80 | 20.70 | -.-.-------- | 20.70 |  | 15.00 | 3.90 | ------- | 6.50 | \$0.20 | 8. 50 |
| \$750-\$999 | 60.50 | 25.20 | --.-.-......- | 25. 20 |  | 12. 20 | 5.50 |  | 8.30 |  | 9.30 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 77. 60 | 28.20 |  | 28.20 |  | 20.30 | 4.30 |  | 11. 50 | . 60 | 12.70 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 81.70 | 33. 20 |  | 33.20 |  | 12.60 | 4.20 |  | 15. 90 | 2.20 | 13. 60 |
| \$1,500-\$2,249. | 116. 30 | 40.40 |  | 40.40 |  | 17. 90 | . 80 | ----- | 30.70 | 10. 10 | 16. 40 |
| \$2,250 and over | 153.10 | 60.60 | --.-...-.- | 60.60 | ---------- | 4.50 | . 70 | ------- | 44.00 | 32.90 | 10.40 |

1 See explanation of tables for definition of this item.
$\dagger$ Percentages and averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

Table $\%$.-Household operation-Fuel, light, and refrigeration: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for fuel, light, and refrigeration, and average expenditure for such items, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1935-96-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
ATLANTA, GA.: NEGRO FAMILIES, TYPE VI

${ }^{1}$ See explanation of tables for definition of this item.
Percentages and averages not computed for fewer than 3 eases.

Table \%-Household operation-Fuel, light, and refrigeration: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for fuel, light, and refrigeration, and average expenditure for such items, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
ATLANTA, GA.: NEGRO FAMILIES, TYPE VII

| Income class | Total fuel, light, and refrigeration <br> (2) | Coal |  |  | Coke and briquets <br> (6) | Wood and kindling ! <br> (7) | Kerosene and gasoline (8) | Fuel oil <br> (9) | Electricity <br> (10) | Gas <br> (11) | Ice <br> (12) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Anthracite | Bituminous |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1) |  | (3) | (4) | (5) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | A. Percentage of families reporting expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250 | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) |  | ( $\dagger$ ) |  |  | ( $\dagger$ ) |  |  |  | ( $\dagger$ ) |
| \$250-\$499 | 100 | 100 |  | 100 |  | 100 | 88 |  | 12 |  | 100 |
| \$500-\$749 | 100 | 100 |  | 100 | ....- | 100 | 77 |  | 57 |  | 98 |
| \$750-\$999 | 100 | 98 | ------.--- | 98 |  | 98 | 72 |  | 44 | 9 | 100 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 100 | 100 |  | 100 | 9 | 91 | 47 | ---- | 74 |  | 80 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 100 | 100 |  | 100 |  | 94 83 | 41 17 |  | 71 83 | 6 33 | 88 |
|  | 100 | 100 | 12 | 100 |  | 88 |  |  | 100 | 50 | 50 |
|  | B. Average expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250. | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) 0 |  | (i) 0 |  | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) |  |  |  | (t) |
| \$250-\$499. | \$45.70 | \$19.00 |  | \$19.00 | -- | \$11.60 | \$5.80 | ------ | \$2.00 | -- | \$7. 30 |
| \$500-\$749 | 59.80 | 21. 10 | ----.------- | 21. 10 | --- | 10.80 | 3.20 | ----..-- | 15. 40 | 30 | 9.30 |
| \$750-\$999 | 79.80 | 33.40 | ---------- | 33.40 |  | 14. 60 | 3.20 | ----- | 9.90 | \$3.00 | 15. 70 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 84. 60 | 31.50 |  | 31. 50 | \$0.80 | 19.70 | 1.40 | ......-- | 17.30 |  | 13. 90 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 98.20 | 40.80 |  | 40.80 |  | 16. 40 | 1.90 1.30 | ------ | 21.10 31 | 2.70 10 | 15.30 |
| \$2,250 and over. | 141.80 | 57.10 | \$6. 60 | 50.50 |  | 11. 70 |  |  | 47.50 | 18. 60 | 6. 90 |

1 See explanation of tables for definition of this item.
$\dagger$ Percentages and averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.
 refrigeration, and average expenditure for such items, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1985-36-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]

${ }^{1}$ See explanation of tables for definition of this item.

Table 7.-Household operation-Fuel, light, and refrigeration: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for fuel, light, and refrigeration, and average expenditure for such items, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
OMAHA, NEBE.-COUNCLL BLUFFS, IOWA: WHITE FAMILIES, TYPE I

| Income class | Total fuel, light, and refrigeration | Coal |  |  | Coke and briquets <br> (6) | Wood and kindling <br> (7) | Kerosene and gasoline <br> (8) | Fuel oil <br> (9) | Electricity <br> (10) | Gas <br> (11) | Ice <br> (12) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Anthracite | $\underset{\text { nous }}{\text { Bitumi- }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | A. Percentage of families reporting expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749. | 100 | 75 | 25 | 50 |  | 25 | 25 |  | 75 | 50 | 100 |
| \$750-\$999 | 100 | 43 | 30 | 19 | 8 | 19 |  | 13 | 70 | 70 | 70 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 100 | 77 | 62 | 29 |  | 28 |  |  | 91 | 87 | 58 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 100 | 72 | 53 | 19 |  | 37 | 3 | 7 | 100 | 97 | 74 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 100 | 71 | 68 | 8 | 20 | 30 |  | 2 | 100 | 93 | 64 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 99 | 44 | 36 | 8 | 34 | 35 | 2 | 1 | 96 | 95 | 36 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 100 | 52 | 46 | 10 | 26 | 35 | 4 | 14 | 92 | 100 | 41 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 100 | 58 | 57 | 1 | 18 | 15 |  | 7 | 100 | 100 | 22 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 100 | 40 | 29 | 11 | 36 | 24 |  | 16 | 100 | 100 | 23 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499. | 100 | 18 | 18 |  | 7 | 11 |  | 48 | 100 | 100 | 20 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 100 | 17 | 17 |  | 24 | 30 |  | 37 | 100 | 100 | 24 |
| $\$ 4,000-\$ 4,999$ $\$ 5,000-\$ 7,499$ <br> \$7,500 and over. | 100 | 14 | 14 |  | 4 | 22 |  | 58 | 100 | (t) 92 | 8 |
|  | (t) |  |  |  | ( $\dagger$ |  |  | $(t)$ |  | $(\dagger)$ |  |
|  | B. A verage expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \$74.30 | \$39.00 | \$17.00 | \$22.00 | \$5.00 | \$0. 50 | \$2.00 | -----\%-9.80 | \$11. 50 | \$7.80 | \$13.50 |
| \$750-\$999 | 67. 50 | 14. 10 | 6.90 | 7.20 |  | + 90 | \$2.00 |  | 18.1026.80 | 12. 7021. 50 | 9.90 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 108. 70 | 50.40 | 40.70 | 9.70 |  | 2. 20 | . 90 |  |  |  | 21. 50 6.90 <br> 22.20 11.30 |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | $\begin{aligned} & 120.10 \\ & 120.50 \end{aligned}$ | 48.70 | 35.90 | 12.80 | 3.80 | 1.00 | . 30 | 7.80 | 25.00 |  |  |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 |  | 50.60 | 48.00 | 2. 60 | 7.00 | 1.40 | 1.00 | 2.90 | 30.00 | 22.20 | 11.30 8.40 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 114.70 | 28.90 | 27.00 | 1.90 | 22. 00 | 2.10 | . 20 | 1. 40 | 28.80 | 25.00 | 6. 30 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | $\begin{aligned} & 120.20 \\ & 130.60 \end{aligned}$ | 35. 80 | 31. 70 | 4.10 | 13.80 | 1.30 |  | 11.90 | 29. 60 | 20.60 | 7. 20 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 |  | 45. 20 | 44. 70 | . 50 | 10.80 | . 30 |  | 8. 00 | 35. 10 | 27.6022.90 |  |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | $\begin{aligned} & 147.10 \\ & 181.60 \end{aligned}$ | 27.90 | 20.50 | 7.40 | 24. 50 | 1.30 |  | 23. 10 | 42.00 |  | 5.406.60 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499. |  | 16.40 | 16. 40 | ------. | 4. 60 | . 30 | ----------- | 49.40 | 50.80 | 53.50 |  |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | $\begin{array}{r} 202.70 \\ 234.70 \end{array}$ | 13. 00 | 13.00 | ........- | 26.20 | 1.80 | --------- | 36. 50 | 43.70 | 76.20 | 5.30.20 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 |  |  | 13.80 | ---- | 3.40 | 2.70 | ----------- | 77.70 | $\stackrel{56.00}{ }$ | 80.90 |  |
| \$5,000-\$7,499.....- $\mathbf{\$ 7 , 5 0 0}$ and over... | $\begin{aligned} & (t) \\ & (t) \end{aligned}$ | ( $\dagger$ ) |  |  | ( $\dagger$ |  | ----------- | $(t)$ | ( $\dagger$ ) | (t) | -------------------- |

1 See explanation of tables for definition of this item.
$\dagger$ Percentages and averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

Table 7.-Household operation-Fuel, light, and refrigeration: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for fuel, light, and refrigeration, and average expenditure for such items, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1985-86-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
OMAHA, NEBR.-COUNCIL BLUFFS, IOWA: WHITE FAMILIES, TYPES II AND III

| Income class | Total fuel, light, and refrigeration | Coal |  |  | Coke and briquets <br> (6) | Wood and kindling 1 <br> (7) | Kerosene and gasoline(8) | Fuel oil <br> (9) | Electricity <br> (10) | Gas <br> (11) | Ice <br> (12) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Anthracite | $\underset{\text { nous }}{\text { Bitumi- }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | A. Percentage of families reporting expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 100 | 100 | 57 | 43 |  | 29 | 14 |  | 100 | 86 | 100 |
| \$750-\$999 | 100 | 84 | 38 | 46 | 8 | 32 | 27 |  | 92 | 65 | 84 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 100 | 81 | 52 | 33 | 13 | 27 | 20 | 6 | 97 | 77 | 74 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 99 | 87 | 73 | 18 | 7 | 34 | 7 | 1 | 97 | 92 | 51 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 100 | 78 | 69 | 9 | 14 | 36 | 8 |  | 100 | 89 | 39 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 100 | 53 | 45 | 8 | 30 | 32 |  | 8 | 96 | 93 | 38 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 100 | 74 | 67 | 8 | 23 | 48 | 4 | 7 | 96 | 96 | 39 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 100 | 59 | 56 | 3 | 35 | 40 |  | 7 | 98 | 98 | 15 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 100 | 56 | 44 | 12 | 30 | 29 |  | ${ }^{9}$ | 98 | 97 | 27 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 100 | 50 | 50 |  | 45 | 44 |  | 15 | 100 | 100 | 15 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 100 | 32 | 32 |  | 9 | 14 | ---------- | 27 | 100 | 100 | 4 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999. | 100 |  |  |  | 8 | 8 | .---.-.-.-. | 26 | 100 | 100 | 8 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 100 | 20 | 20 | ------- | 11 | 20 | ..-------.- | 80 50 | 100 | 100 | 10 |
|  | 100 |  |  |  | 38 | 38 | -.----.-.--- | 50 | 100 | 100 |  |
|  | B. Average expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749. | \$102. 60 | \$48.60 | \$32. 50 | \$16. 10 |  | \$1.70 | \$1. 70 |  | \$19.00 | \$17. 70 | \$13.90 |
| \$750-\$999 | 93.50 | 46.10 | 23.60 | 22.50 | \$5. 00 | . 90 | 2.50 | ---10 | 16.80 | 12.60 | 9. 60 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 - | 119. 20 | 49.70 | 31.80 | 17.90 | 7. 60 | . 70 | 3.00 | \$2. 10 | 25. 80 | 21. 20 | 9. 10 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 132. 50 | 62.90 | 52. 60 | 10. 30 | 3. 30 | 1. 10 | . 60 | 1.00 | 32. 60 | 22. 80 | 8. 20 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 134.00 | 59. 10 | 52.70 | 6. 40 | 8.70 | 1.80 | . 90 |  | 35.90 | 21.60 | 6. 00 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 150.00 | 40. 50 | 34.80 | 5.70 | 24.70 | . 90 |  | 9.60 | 40.30 | 26. 40 | 7.60 6.70 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 145. 80 | 57.40 | 52.10 | 5. 30 | 15.80 | 2. 30 | . 40 | 4. 10 | 34.50 | 24. 60 | 6.70 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 153. 30 | 42.90 | 40.40 | 2. 50 | 26. 20 | 1.80 |  | 7. 50 | 42. 80 | 28.50 | 3.60 4.50 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 161.90 | 47.70 | 33.30 | 10. 40 | 22. 40 | 1.20 |  | 8. 60 | 41.90 | 35. 60 | 4. 50 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499. | 182.50 | 44.50 | 44. 50 |  | 33.40 9.90 | 1. 70 |  | 16.40 39.40 | 50.90 50.10 | 32. 00 | 3.60 2.40 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 197.40 200.50 | 3000 | 30.00 |  | 9.90 4.70 | .30 .40 |  | 39.40 33.10 | 50.10 | 65.30 99.50 | 2. 40 1.50 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 200.50 213.20 | 21.80 | 21.80 |  | 4. 70 6. 50 | . 40 |  | 33.10 85.60 | 61.30 60.10 | 99.50 37.00 | 1.50 1.70 |
| \$7,500 and over - | 273.10 |  |  |  | 56. 20 | 3.00 |  | 83.10 | 78.90 | 51.90 |  |

[^91]Table 7.-Household operation-Fuel, light, and refrigeration: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for fuel, light, and refrigeration, and average expenditure for such items, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1995-86-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
omaha, nebre-councll bluffs, iowa: white families, types iv and v

| Income class | Total fuel, light, and refrigeration | Coal |  |  | Coke and briquets <br> (6) | Wood and kindling 1 <br> (7) | Kerosene and gasoline <br> (8) | Fuel oil <br> (9) | Electricity <br> (10) | Gas <br> (11) | Ice(12) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Anthracite | Bituminous |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | A. Percentage of families reporting expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 33 |  |  | 33 |  | 100 | 67 | 100 |
| \$750-\$999 | 100 | 95 | 53 | 51 |  | 33 | 14 | 5 | 100 | 91 | 100 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 100 | 94 | 46 | 54 | 6 | 46 | 23 |  | 100 | 72 | 80 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 100 | 96 | 72 | 27 | 3 | 43 | 13 |  | 100 | 86 | 65 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 100 | 78 | 69 | 12 | 25 | 20 | 5 | 6 | 100 | 98 | 50 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 100 | 89 | 71 | 27 | 17 | 41 | 4 | 2 | 100 | 92 | 56 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 96 | 72 | 62 | 11 | 15 | 16 | 6 | 3 | 88 | 85 | 56 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499.. | 100 | 67 | 64 | 3 | 16 | 8 | 5 | 6 | 98 | 91 | 45 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 100 | 70 | 59 | 11 | 19 | 30 |  | ${ }^{6}$ | 100 | 94 | 24 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 100 | 34 | 30 | 4 | 15 | 15 |  | 51 | 100 | 96 | 23 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 100 | 44 | 44 |  |  | 20 |  | 44 | 100 | 100 |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 100 | 62 | 62 |  | 3 | 40 |  | 17 | 100 | 100 | 8 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 100 100 | 26 | 26 |  | 16 | 28 |  | 64 | 100 | 100 | 3 |
| \$7,500 and over. | 100 | 21 |  | 21 |  |  |  | 60 | 100 | 100 |  |
|  | B. Average expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | \$97.90 | \$53.30 | \$40.00 | \$13.30 |  |  | \$2. 70 |  | \$20. 30 | \$13.30 | \$8.30 |
| \$750-\$999 | 103. 70 | 49.70 | 27.40 | 22. 30 |  | \$1. 10 | . 10 | \$5. 20 | 21.30 | 18.00 | 8.30 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 128.60 | 58.10 | 25.90 | 32. 20 | \$2. 90 | 2.00 | 5.30 |  | 29.00 | 19. 40 | 11.90 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 143. 30 | 72.00 | 55.60 | 16. 40 | 1. 10 | 1.10 | 2. 20 |  | 32.40 | 22.40 | 12. 10 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 150.40 | 58.90 | 52.20 | 6. 70 | 15. 20 | . 80 | . 30 | 4. 40 | 36. 30 | 26. 30 | 8. 20 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 149.80 | 64.00 | 50.60 | 13. 40 | 9.30 | 1.80 | 1.10 | 1. 40 | 36. 30 | 26.90 | 9.00 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 155. 30 | 61.30 | 55.10 | 6.20 | 10. 30 | . 30 | . 30 | 2. 50 | 42. 90 | 29. 40 | 8. 30 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499. | 171.40 | 61.30 | 57.60 | 3.70 | 13. 30 | . 20 | ${ }^{(3)}$ | 7.50 | 47. 40 | 27.60 | 14.10 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 171. 20 | 59.20 | 47.80 | 11. 40 | 14. 50 | 1.10 |  | 7. 90 | 47.70 | 36. 10 | 4. 70 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 185. 30 | 22.10 | 17.70 | 4.40 | 13.10 | . 50 |  | 48. 50 | 57.00 | 39.70 | 4.40 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 207.40 | 30.80 | 30.80 |  |  | 1.00 |  | 69.00 | 54.60 | 52.00 |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999. | 206. 10 | 61.70 | 61.70 |  | 2.70 | 1.80 | --------- | 33.30 | 58.10 | 47. 40 | 1.10 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499... | 241. 50 | 26.60 | 26.60 |  | 19.00 | 1.00 |  | 82. 80 | 68. 00 | 43.00 | 1. 10 |
| \$7,500 and over. | 304.30 | 24.80 |  | 24.80 |  |  |  | 73.10 | 86.20 | 120.20 |  |

[^92][^93]Table \%.-Household operation-Fuel, light, and refrigeration: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for fuel, light, and refrigeration, and average expenditure for such items, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1935-86-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
DENVER, COLO.: WHITE FAMILIES, 5 TYPES

| Income class | Total fuel, light, and refrigeration | Coal |  |  | Coke and briquets <br> (6) | Wood and kindling ${ }^{1}$ <br> (7) | Kerosene and gasoline <br> (8) | Fuel oil <br> (9) | Electricity <br> (10) | Gas <br> (11) | Ice <br> (12) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Anthracite | Bitumi- nous |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | A. Percentage of families reporting expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 95 | 75 |  | 75 |  | 37 | 11 | 5 | 86 | 66 | 53 |
| \$750-\$999 | 89 | 71 | 1 | 70 |  | 20 | 2 | -..--- | 81 | 60 | 62 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 97 | 79 |  | 79 |  | 16 | 3 |  | 89 | 80 | 78 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 95 | 82 |  | 82 | (*) | 26 | 3 |  | 92 | 84 | 70 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 92 | 77 |  | 77 |  | 25 | 1 |  | 89 | 80 | 57 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 92 | 75 | (*) | 75 |  | 17 | 2 | (*) | 91 | 89 | 54 |
| \$2,000- \$2,249 | 99 | 78 |  | 78 |  | 23 | 1 | 1 | 97 | 94 | 58 |
| \$2,250- \$2,499. | 98 | 75 | 1 | 74 |  | 34 | 1 |  | 96 | 89 | 41 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 98 | 71 | 3 | 69 56 |  | 16 | ---- | 2 | 98 | 94 | 30 29 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 99 98 | 56 49 |  | 46 | 1 | 18 | --------- | $\stackrel{4}{5}$ | 99 | 87 | 29 20 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 98 | 41 |  | 41 |  | 11 |  | 3 | 98 | 96 | 15 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499. | 98 | 22 | 2 | 20 |  | 20 |  | 8 | 98 | 91 | 15 |
| \$7,500 and over | 100 | 10 |  | 10 |  | 5 |  | 5 | 100 | 100 | 4 |
|  | B. Average expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749.- | \$65. 10 | \$33.00 |  | \$33.00 | ---.-.-.- | \$0.80 | \$0.10 | \$1. 10 | \$14. 40 | \$12.00 | \$3.70 |
| \$750-\$999 | 66.40 | 30.50 | \$0.30 | 30.20 |  | . 90 | . 30 |  | 17.80 | 11.80 | 5. 10 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | ${ }^{2} 79.20$ | 36.30 |  | 36.30 |  | . 50 | . 10 |  | 19.20 | 16.50 | 6. 40 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 97.00 | 39.50 |  | 39.50 | \$0.10 | . 80 |  |  | 24. 90 | 23.50 | 7.80 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 95.90 | 40.70 |  | 40.70 | -.------- | 1. 10 |  |  | 25. 50 | 21.00 | 7. 60 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 114.00 | 44.00 | . 10 | 43.90 |  | . 70 | (*). 10 | . 10 | 30. 50 | 32.40 | 6. 20 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 124.80 | 44. 20 |  | 44. 20 |  | - 50 | (*) | . 30 | 34.50 | 38. 60 | 6. 70 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 130. 40 | 45.00 | . 70 | 44.30 |  | 1. 20 | (*) |  | 37.80 | 41.30 | 5. 10 |
| \$2,500-\$2,909 | 131.20 | 44. 60 | 2.10 | 42.50 | --------- | . 50 |  | . 10 | 39. 30 | 42.70 | 4. 00 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 161. 30 | 39. 10 |  | 39.10 | . 20 | . 70 |  | 4. 60 | 45. 20 | 66.80 | 4. 70 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 178.80 | 37.90 |  | 37.90 |  | 1. 10 |  | 7.60 | 56. 60 | 71.40 | 4. 20 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 180.40 | 32.00 |  | 32.00 |  | . 50 |  | 3.00 | 52.30 | 89.10 | 3. 50 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499. | 196. 10 | 23.60 | 6.00 | 17.60 |  | 1. 20 |  | 12. 50 | 57.10 | 99.00 | 2. 70 |
| \$7,500 and over- | 250.20 | 11. 20 |  | 11. 20 |  | . 20 |  | 14. 20 | 72. 70 | 151.10 | . 80 |

[^94]${ }^{3}$ No family in this group reported an expenditure of more than $\$ 0.49$ for this item.

* Percentages of less than 0.5 and average amounts of less than $\$ 0.05$ are not shown.

Table 7.-Household operation-Fuel, light, and refrigeration: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for fuel, light, and refrigeration, and average expenditure for such items, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1995-96-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
denver, colo: white families, type i

| Income class | Total fuel, light, and refrigeration | Coal |  |  | Coke and briquets <br> (6) | Wood and kindling <br> (7) | Kerosene and gasoline(8) | Fuel oil <br> (9) | Electricity <br> (10) | Gas <br> (11) | Ice <br> (12) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Anthracite | $\underset{\text { nous }}{\text { Bitumi- }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | A. Percentage of families reporting expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749. | 100 | 86 |  | 86 |  | 43 | 14 |  | 100 | 86 | 57 |
| \$750-\$999 | 84 | 44 | ------------- | 44 |  |  |  |  | 68 | 50 | 44 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 96 | 70 |  | 70 |  | 7 |  |  | 84 | 88 | 78 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 89 | 74 | --.------ | 74 | ------- | 20 |  |  | 86 | 83 | 58 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 81 | 59 | ---------- | 59 | ------- | 21 |  |  | 81 | 70 | 43 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 80 | 56 | ---------- | 56 | -.-.---.-- | 9 | ------ |  | 80 | 78 | 37 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 98 | 58 |  | 58 | ---.... | 14 |  | 2 | 92 | 83 | 55 |
| \$2, 250-\$2,499 | 91 | 61 | 5 | 57 | --.-.---- | 33 |  | 5 | 919 | 83 88 | 34 16 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499. | 100 | 52 | 6 | 52 | ---------- | 12 |  | 12 | 98 100 | 88 93 | $\stackrel{16}{24}$ |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 100 | 40 | -----0---- | 40 | ------ | 24 | - | 10 | 100 | 76 | 15 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999. | 93 | 32 | ---.-...--- | 32 |  | 7 |  | 4 | 93 | 93 | 7 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499. | 100 | 5 |  | 5 |  | 5 |  |  | 100 | 80 |  |
| \$7,500 and over | 100 | 17 | --..--...- | 17 |  |  |  |  | 100 | 100 | 17 |
|  | B. Average expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | \$73. 60 | \$33. 60 | ----------- | \$33.60 |  | \$0.60 | \$0. 10 |  | \$17.30 | \$17.70 | \$4. 30 |
| \$750-\$999 | 47.60 | 19.50 | --...------- | 19. 50 |  |  |  |  | 15. 30 | 9.30 | 3. 50 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 273.80 | 32. 70 | --.-.-....--- | 32. 70 | --.----- | . 20 |  |  | 16. 20 | 17.00 | 7. 20 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 79. 10 | 30.70 | -.-.-.-.-.-- | 30.70 | -.......... | . 60 |  |  | 20.40 | 20. 60 | 6.80 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 74. 00 | 30.00 | -.-.-------- | 30.00 | ......... | 1.00 |  |  | 20.20 | 14. 60 | 8. 20 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 92. 60 | 32.00 |  | 32.00 | --...-. | . 40 |  |  | 24.10 | 31.80 | 4. 30 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249.- | 93.40 | 26. 60 |  | 26. 60 | - | + 30 |  | \$0.90 | 27. 90 | 32. 20 | 5. 50 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 100.50 | 28.60 | \$2. 10 | 26. 50 | ---------- | 1.50 | ------ |  | 31.70 | 35. 60 | 3. 10 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 105. 90 | 34.80 37.70 | . 70 | 34.10 37.70 | --.......- | . 20 | ---------- | 13. 20 | 33.80 40.10 | 34.00 50.10 | 2. 90 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999. | 183.30 | 36.80 |  | 36.80 | - | . 50 |  | 15. 30 | 63.80 | 63.50 | 3. 40 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999. | 151.10 | 22.00 |  | 22.00 |  | (*) |  | 3.70 | 42.00 | 81.40 | 2.00 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499. | 122. 30 | 2. 70 |  | 2.70 |  | . 20 |  |  | 41.60 | 77.80 |  |
| \$7,500 and over | 235. 40 | 19.50 |  | 19.50 |  |  |  |  | 72.50 | 140.20 | 3. 20 |
| 1 See explanation of tables for definition of this item. <br> ${ }^{2}$ Includes $\$ 0.50$ for items reported as other fuel, light, and refrigeration. <br> *A verage amounts of less than $\$ 0.05$ are not shown. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 7.-Household operation-Fuel, light, and refrigeration: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for fuel, light, and refrigeration, and average expenditure for such items, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1995-s8-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
DENVER, COLO.: WHITE FAMILIES, TYPES II AND III

| Income class | Total fuel, light, and refrigeration | Coal |  |  | Coke and briquets <br> (6) | Wood and kindling ${ }^{1}$ <br> (7) | Kerosene and gasoline(8) | Fuel oil <br> (9) | Electricity <br> (10) | Gas <br> (11) | Iee <br> (12) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Anthracite | Bitumi- nous |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | A. Percentage of families reporting expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749. | 100 | 62 |  | 62 |  | 38 | 12 | 12 | 75 | 50 | 50 |
| \$750-\$999. | 93 | 85 | 2 | 83 |  | 22 | 6 |  | 87 | 65 | 83 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 100 | 79 |  | 79 |  | 26 |  |  | 89 | 72 | 81 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 98 | 84 |  | 84 | 1 | 24 | 5 |  | 95 | 83 | 75 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 97 | 84 |  | 84 |  | 29 | 2 |  | 90 | 87 | 68 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 99 | 85 |  | 84 | ----- | 16 | 2 | 1 | 99 | 98 | 62 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 99 | 86 | -----.-.- | 86 | -------- | 26 | 2 |  | 99 | 96 | 54 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499. | 100 | 78 | --------- | 78 |  | 44 |  |  | 100 | 95 | 37 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 98 | 74 | ----------- | 74 | -.----- | 21 |  |  | 98 | 97 | 21 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499. | 95 | 71 | ------------ | 71 | -.------ | 30 | - | 2 | 95 | 95 | 8 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 100 | 55 | --------- | 55 | -----. | 42 |  |  | 100 | 93 | 19 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 100 | 41 | ---------- | 41 | ------- | 16 |  | 8 | 100 | 100 | 7 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 100 100 | 27 | - | 27 |  | 30 |  | 15 | 100 | 100 | 7 |
| \$7,500 and over .-............ |  | 7 |  | 7 |  |  |  |  | 100 | 100 |  |
|  | B. Average expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | \$54.30 | \$29.60 |  | \$29.60 |  | \$1.30 | \$0.30 | \$2.90 | \$11. 60 | \$4. 60 | \$4.00 |
| \$750-\$999. | 72.10 | 34. 40 | \$0.70 | 33.70 |  | 1.40 | . 80 | -..--..-- | 17.10 | 11.40 | 7.00 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 77.80 | 34. 80 |  | 34.80 | ------- | . 60 |  |  | 21. 10 | 14.80 | 6. 50 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | ${ }^{2} 101.60$ | 42. 20 |  | 42. 20 | \$0. 20 | . 70 | . 90 | --------- | 26. 90 | 22. 20 | 8.40 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 108. 40 | 45. 60 |  | 45. 60 | -...-...- | . 80 | . 10 |  | 28. 30 | 25. 00 | 8. 60 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 126. 80 | 50.20 | . 30 | 49. 90 | ----------- | . 40 | (*). 10 | . 40 | 35. 10 | 33. 50 | 7. 10 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 139.50 149.90 | 48.90 48.80 | --....------ | 48.90 48.80 | -------...- | .60 1.30 |  |  | 37.10 41.80 | 46. 10 52.10 | 6.80 5.90 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 147.10 | 48. 20 |  | 48.20 |  | . 70 |  |  | 42.80 | 51.80 | 3. 60 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499. | 158.70 | 48. 30 |  | 48.30 |  | . 90 |  | 2.50 | 50.30 | 55.30 | 1. 40 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 172.60 | 36. 60 |  | 36.60 |  | 2.00 |  |  | 57. 50 | 73. 40 | 3. 10 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 190.70 | 31.30 |  | 31. 30 |  | 1.00 |  | 7. 40 | 55. 50 | 94.00 | 1. 50 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 212.60 | 18.80 |  | 18. 80 |  | 2.40 |  | 12. 30 | 65.10 | 113.40 | . 60 |
| \$7,500 and over. | 264.10 | 5.80 |  | 5.80 |  |  |  |  | 85.80 | 172.50 |  |

Table \%.-Household operation-Fuel, light, and refrigeration: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for fuel, light, and refrigeration, and average expenditure for such items, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
DENVER, COLO.: WHITE FAMILIES, TYPES IV AND $V$

| Income class | Total fuel, light, and refrigeration | Coal |  |  | Coke and briquets <br> (6) | Wood and kindling ${ }^{1}$ <br> (7) | Kerosene and gasoline <br> (8) | Fuel oil <br> (9) | Electricity <br> (10) | Gas <br> (11) | Ice <br> (12) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Anthracite | Bitumi- nous |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | A. Percentage of families reporting expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 75 | 75 |  | 75 |  | 25 |  |  | 75 | 50 | 50 |
| \$750-\$999 | 91 | 91 | .-.------- | 91 | - | 49 |  |  | 91 | 68 | 51 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 95 | 92 | ---------- | 92 | ---.-- | 18 | 11 |  | 95 | 79 | 71 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 98 | 87 | ---------- | 87 | ------- | 36 | 2 |  | 96 | 87 | 77 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 100 | 89 |  | 89 | ------. | 27 | 2 |  | 96 | 82 | 62 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 99 | 89 | --..------- | 89 | --------- | 28 | 4 |  | 97 | 91 | 65 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 100 | 89 | ----------- | 89 | ----- | 27 |  |  | 100 | 100 | 65 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499. | 98 | 86 | 4 | 86 | ---------- | 26 | 2 |  | 96 | 87 | 51 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999. | 99 100 | 81 |  | 77 | 3 | 16 |  |  | 99 100 | 96 100 | 45 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 96 | 49 |  | 49 |  | 26 |  | 6 | 96 | 196 | 26 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 100 | 48 |  | 48 |  | 12 |  |  | 100 | 95 | 25 |
| $\$ 7,500$ and over | 96 |  |  | 22 |  | 22 |  | 8 | 96 | 92 | 26 |
|  | 100 | 9 | -------- | 9 |  | 9 |  | 9 | 100 | 100 | -- |
|  | B. Average expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | \$66. 50 | \$38.20 | ------- | \$38. 20 | --1--10 | \$0. 50 |  |  | \$13.00 | \$12.80 | \$2.00 |
| \$750-\$999. | 87.30 | 41.50 | --------- | 41. 50 | - | 1. 60 |  |  | 23.10 | 16.60 | 4.50 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 89.40 | 43.90 | -- | 43.90 | ------ | . 60 | \$0.20 | --...-- | 21.20 | 18. 30 | 5. 20 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 111.70 | 46. 30 | --.-.-.-------- | 46.30 |  | 1.30 | . 20 |  | 27.40 | 28. 40 | 8. 10 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 108.20 | 47.70 | -- | 47.70 |  | 1.40 | . 10 |  | 28. 50 | 24.40 | 6.10 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 126.20 | 52.30 | ----- | 52. 30 | ---------- | 1.30 | . 30 |  | 32.90 | 31.80 | 7.60 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 138.80 | 55.40 | -...-------- | 55.40 | -...-. | . 60 |  |  | 37.80 | 37.40 | 7.60 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 139.00 | 56.50 |  | 56. 50 | --- | . 90 | (*) |  | 39.50 | 36. 10 | 6.00 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999. | 140. 50 | 49.90 | \$4. 60 | 45.30 | , | . 60 |  |  | 41. 30 | 43.60 | 5.10 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 174.00 | 33. 90 |  | 33.90 | \$0. 40 | . 40 |  |  | 45.50 | 85. 90 | 7. 90 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 180.90 | 39.90 |  | 39.90 | ----------- | . 70 |  | \$8. 60 | 50.40 | 75.40 | 5. 90 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999.. | 195. 40 | 39.60 |  | 39. 60 | -.-.------ | . 50 |  |  | 57.70 | 91.80 | 5.80 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499.... | 222.70 251.10 | 36. 50 | 12.30 | 24. 20 | -....-.-.-. | 1.00 | ---------- | 18.70 | 60. 10 | 101. 10 | 5.30 |
| \$7,500 and over. | 251.10 | 9.70 |  | 9.70 |  | . 40 |  | 27.60 | 66.80 | 146. 60 | ---- |

${ }^{1}$ See explanation of tables for definition of this item.
Average amounts of less than $\$ 0.05$ are not shown.

Table 7.-Household operation-Fuel, light, and refrigeration: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for fuel, light, and refrigeration, and average expenditure for such items, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1995-36-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
PORTLAND, OREG.: WHITE FAMILIES, 5 TYPES


[^95]*Percentages of less than 0.5 and average amounts of less than $\$ 0.05$ are not shown.

Table 7.-Household operation-Fuel, light, and refrigeration: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for fuel, light, and refrigeration, and average expenditure for such items, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
PORTLAND, OREG.: WHITE FAMILIES, TYPE I

| Income class | Total fuel, light, and refrigeration | Coal |  |  | Coke and briquets <br> (6) | Wood and kindling ${ }^{1}$ <br> (7) | Kerosene and gasoline <br> (8) | Fuel oil <br> (9) | Electricity <br> (10) | Gas <br> (11) | Ice <br> (12) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Anthracite | $\begin{gathered} \text { Bitumi- } \\ \text { nous } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 <br> \$1,000-\$1,249 <br> \$1,250-\$1,499 <br> $\$ 1,500-\$ 1,749$ <br> \$1,750-\$1,999 <br> $\$ 2,000-\$ 2,249$ $\$ 2,250-\$ 2,499$ <br> \$2,500-\$2,999 <br> \$3,000-\$3,499 <br> $\$ 4,000-\$ 4,999$ <br> $\$ 5,000-\$ 7,499$ <br> $\$ 7,500$ and over | A. Percentage of families reporting expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 100 |  |  |  |  | 80 |  |  | 100 |  |  |
|  | 100 | 8 | 5 | 3 | ${ }_{26}^{23}$ | 86 88 |  |  | 100 100 | 64 76 | 35 17 |
|  | 98 | 12 |  |  | 18 | 60 | -------- | 2 | 92 | 53 | 35 |
|  | 100 | 6 | $\stackrel{2}{2}$ | 4 | 15 | 78 |  | 8 | 99 | 47 | 14 |
|  | 100 | 11 | 1 | 10 | ${ }_{23}$ | 60 | ------->.--- | 22 | 100 | 68 | 5 |
|  | 100 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 8 | 55 |  | 21 | 99 | 68 | 25 |
|  | 100 | 12 | 8 | 4 | 19 | 83 | --.-.-.-.-.-- | ${ }_{6}^{9}$ | 100 | ${ }_{92}^{44}$ | 6 |
|  | 100 |  |  |  | 16 | 30 |  | 16 23 | 100 100 | 57 69 | 11 |
|  | 100 |  |  |  |  | 27 | --.....-- |  |  |  |  |
|  | B. A verage expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | \$61. 20 | \$1.30 | \$1.20 | \$0.10 | \$4. 603.907.90 | \$26. 60 | --------------- | -- | \$19.40 | \$15. 20 |  |
| \$750-8999 --7 | 74.90 |  |  |  |  | 22.8020.7017 |  |  | 23. 30 | 19.80 |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249- |  | 6.70 | 2.60 | 4.10 |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{2}^{1.20}$ |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | $\begin{array}{r}84.50 \\ 100.80 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 2.70 | $\begin{array}{r}.30 \\ .30 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 2.405.70 | 66.60 10.40 | 26. 20 | --.....------- | 3.40 | 33.00 | 11.40 | 1.001.30 |
| \$1,750- \$1,999 |  | ${ }_{5}^{6.00}$ |  |  | 10. 40 |  | --.-------- | 1.9016.80 | 36.4044.70 | ${ }^{19} 300$ |  |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 111.40 99 | 5.00 .80 | .20 | 4.70 .60 | 7.80 4.30 | 16.80 |  |  |  | 20.00 16.80 | +30 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 104.90 | .904.10 |  | $\begin{array}{r}1.80 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 6. 90 | 21.80 | ----...--- | 6. 40 | 47.40 | 20.50 | 1.00 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 144.20 |  | 2.30 |  | 7. 30 | 27.70 |  | 6.10 | 48. 50 | 50.50 |  |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | $\begin{aligned} & 133.80 \\ & 151.80 \end{aligned}$ | --.......- |  |  | 6. 30 | 17.70 |  | 16.10 | 53.50 | 12. 10 | . 90 |
| \$5,000-77.499 |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 4.70 \\ & 9.40 \\ & 5.70 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7.50 \\ 8.50 \\ 14.30 \end{array}$ |  | 18.4019857.20 | 59.1066.50 | $\begin{aligned} & 40.40 \\ & 55.00 \end{aligned}$$71.30$ |  |
| \$7,500 and over. | 215.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

1 See explanation of tables for definition of this item.

Table 7.-Household operation-Fuel, light, and refrigeration: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for fuel, light, and rejrigeration, and average expenditure for such items, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1935-86-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
PORTLAND, OREG.: WHITE FAMILIES, TYPES II AND III

| Income class | Total fuel, light, and refrigeration | Coal |  |  | Coke and briquets <br> (6) | Wood and kindling : <br> (7) | Kerosene and gasoline <br> (8) | Fuel oil <br> (9) | Electricity <br> (10) | Gas(II) | Ice <br> (12) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Anthracite | $\underset{\substack{\text { Bitumi- } \\ \text { nous }}}{ }$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | A. Percentage of families reporting expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749. | 94 |  |  |  | 12 | 82 |  | 6 | 88 | 29 | 12 |
| \$750-\$999 | 100 | 6 |  | 6 | 6 | 90 | --.--- | 7 | 90 | 49 | 11 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 100 | 3 |  | 3 | 24 | 82 | 2 | 6 | 97 | 69 | 21 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 96 | 9 |  | 3 | 15 | 86 |  | 2 | 93 | 56 | 12 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 98 | 12 | 5 | 7 | 14 | 90 | -...- |  | 96 | 72 | 15 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 99 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 16 | 85 | ----- | 10 | 99 | 57 | 19 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 109 | 7 | 1 | ${ }^{6}$ | 14 | 92 | -----.--- | 3 | 100 | 61 | 15 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 98 | 2 | --------- | 2 | 20 | 80 | - | 15 | 98 | 78 | 11 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999. | 99 | 4 | --------- | 4 | 26 | 79 | 1 | 13 | 99 | 66 | 13 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499. | 100 | 4 | -..----.-- | 4 | 12 | 73 |  | 31 | 100 | 76 | 12 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 100 | 4 | .-.-...-- | 4 | 26 | 68 | -.---- | 24 | 100 | 75 | 9 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 100 100 | 2 | --- | 2 <br> 3 | 5 2 | 49 | .------ | 50 | 100 | 71 | 12 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 100 100 | 3 |  | 3 | 2 | 20 | - | 74 | 100 | 77 | -- |
| \$7,500 and over--------------1- | 100 |  |  |  |  | 35 | -------- | 45 | 100 | 70 |  |
|  | B. A verage expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | \$59. 10 |  |  |  | \$1. 10 | \$30. 50 |  | \$1. 50 | \$17.90 | \$7.00 | \$1. 10 |
| \$750-\$999 | 70.00 | \$0. 40 |  | \$0.40 | 1.80 | 31.90 |  | 2.00 | 22. 50 | 11. 10 | . 30 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 87.50 | . 30 |  | . 30 | 7. 50 | 27. 60 | (*) | 2.00 | 29.70 | 19.10 | 1. 30 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 84.70 | 1. 90 | \$0. 90 | 1.00 | 4.90 | 32. 60 | \$0. 20 | . 80 | 29.50 | 14. 10 | . 70 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 292.30 | 2. 30 | 1.10 | 1. 20 | 3. 10 | 34.00 |  |  | 32.90 | 18.90 | 1.00 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 106. 20 | . 20 | . 10 | . 10 | 5.80 | 33.30 |  | 4. 50 | 42.30 | 17.90 | 2. 20 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 114.40 | 2. 50 | . 60 | 1.90 | 5.70 | 34.80 |  | 1. 80 | 49.80 | 18. 60 | 1.20 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 126.30 | . 80 |  | . 80 | 9.20 | 27.90 |  | 9.10 | 48. 60 | 29.50 | 1.20 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999. | 133.20 | 1.90 |  | 1. 90 | 12. 20 | 24.20 | (\%) 20 | 9.10 | 57.20 | 27. 20 | 1. 20 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 150.60 | 1. 90 |  | 1.90 | 4. 30 | 24. 10 | (*) | 20.90 | 56.30 | 41.40 | 1. 70 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 156. 70 | 3. 10 |  | 3.10 | 12.70 | 25.60 |  | 17.80 | 58.80 | 37.90 | . 80 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 173.40 | . 90 |  | . 90 | 3.40 | 17.60 | -- | 32.60 | 70.40 | 46. 50 | 2.00 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499. | 220.60 | . 90 |  | . 90 | 1.10 | 11. 10 | - | 69.30 | 81.40 | 56.80 |  |
| \$7,500 and over | 251.60 |  |  |  |  | 8.90 |  | 51. 30 | 103.20 | 88.20 |  |

[^96]${ }^{1}$ Includes $\$ 0.10$ for items reported as other fuel, light, and refrigeration.

Table 7.-Household operation-Fuel, light, and refrigeration: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for fuel, light, and refrigeration, and average expenditure for such items, by family type and income, in 1 year, $1935-36-C o n t i n u e d$
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
PORTLAND, OREG.: WHITE FAMILIES, TYPES IV AND $\nabla$

| Income class | Total fuel, <br> light, and refrigeration | Coal |  |  | Coke and briquets <br> (6) | Wood and kindling t <br> (7) | Kerosene and gasoline <br> (8) | Fuel oil <br> (9) | Electricity <br> (10) | Gas <br> (11) | Ice <br> (12) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Anthracite | Bitumi- nous |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | A. Percentage of families reporting expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 100 | 42 | 14 | 29 |  | 86 | ---- |  | 100 | 43 | 14 |
| \$750-\$999 | 93 | 11 |  | 11 | 7 | 86 | ----- | - | 90 | 50 | 13 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 100 | 8 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 82 |  |  | 98 | 45 | 23 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 100 | 2 | --- | 2 | 13 | 90 | --- | ${ }_{8}^{6}$ | 100 | 66 | 20 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 100 | 9 | 5 | 4 | 16 | 89 | 3 | 8 | 97 | 67 | 28 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 99 | 8 | 1 | 7 | 14 | 89 | ......... | 5 | 99 | 64 | 23 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 100 | 12 | 4 | 8 | 28 | 87 |  | 4 | 100 | 77 | 36 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499. | 100 | 11 | 6 | 5 | 17 | 86 |  | 14 | 100 | 72 | 18 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 100 | 16 | 5 | 11 | 13 | 87 |  | 12 | 100 | 76 | 30 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499. | 100 | 9 | 6 | 3 | 14 | 73 | -------.-- | 25 | 100 | 67 | 11 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999. | 100 | 8 | 8 |  | 3 | 60 | -------- | 32 | 100 | 95 | 28 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 100 | 5 |  | 5 | 2 | 58 | ---.------ | 41 | 100 | 70 | 14 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 100 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 9 | 51 | - | 56 | 100 | 76 | 4 |
| \$7,500 and over-.............. | 100 |  |  |  |  | 28 | -----...- | 76 | 100 | 93 | 5 |
|  | B. A verage expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | \$98. 30 | \$17.70 | \$16.00 | \$1. 70 |  | \$35. 10 |  | ----.-- | \$35. 70 | \$9.70 | \$0. 10 |
| \$750-\$999 | 71. 20 | 6.20 |  | 6. 20 | \$1. 30 | 29.00 | ----...-..- |  | 23.70 | 10. 30 | . 70 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 79.20 | 1.80 | . 50 | 1.30 | 1.00 | 35. 90 |  |  | 27.10 | 12. 10 | 1. 30 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 95.70 | . 40 |  | . 40 | 4.10 | 36. 50 | - | \$2.10 | 30.80 | 20.50 | 1. 30 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 105. 10 | 3.10 | 2.10 | 1.00 | 5. 60 | 36. 50 | \$0. 10 | 3.90 | 34.80 | 19.30 | 1.80 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 112. 60 | 2.40 | . 70 | 1. 70 | 5. 10 | 36.30 | -...------- | 2. 20 | 41.30 | 23.50 | 1. 80 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 126. 20 | 2.50 | 1.70 | . 80 | 15.90 | 34.00 | --------- | 2.30 | 41. 40 | 27.20 | 2. 90 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499. | 130. 70 | 6.40 | 4.00 | 2.40 | 8.10 | 32.60 | ----------- | 9.80 | 45.30 | 26.50 | 2.00 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999. | 134. 70 | 4. 40 | 2. 80 | 1. 60 | 5.60 | 37.60 | - | 7.80 | 49. 10 | 27.90 | 2.30 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 154.00 | 6. 10 | 2. 60 | 3. 50 | 7.40 200 | 29. 50 | -.....-.-.-. | 23.80 2200 | 59.60 | 26.80 49.70 | 5. 80 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 173.10 | 2. 20 | 2.20 |  | 2.00 80 | 30.60 20.80 | -.......-. | 22.00 | 61. 20 | 49.70 34.70 | 5.40 1.80 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 177.00 191.40 | 3.70 4.40 | 1.40 | 3.70 3.00 | .80 7.20 | 20.80 16.80 |  | 36.70 47.90 | 78.50 76.80 | 34.70 35.20 | 1.80 3.10 |
| \$7,500 and over. | 269.60 |  |  |  |  | 4.50 |  | 98.40 | 94.60 | 71.10 | 1. 00 |

[^97]Table 7.-Household operation-Fuel, light, and refrigeration: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for fuel, light, and. refrigeration, and average expenditure for such items, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
NEW ENGLAND, 2 MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES: WHITE FAMILIES, 5 TYPES

| Income class | Total fuel, light, and refrigeration <br> (2) | Ooal |  |  | Coke and briquets <br> (6) | Wood and kindling 1 <br> (7) | Kerosene and gasoline <br> (8) | Fuel oil <br> (9) | Electricity <br> (10) | Gas <br> (11) | Ice <br> (12) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Anthracite | $\underset{\substack{\text { Bitumi- } \\ \text { nous }}}{ }$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1) |  | (3) | (4) | (5) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | A. Percentage of families reporting expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 | 100 | 24 | 24 |  | 12 | 12 | 63 |  | 100 | 76 | 49 |
| \$500-\$749. | 100 | 44 | 35 |  | 11 | 16 | 54 | 8 | 87 | 75 | 60 |
| \$750-\$999. | 100 | 39 | 35 | 5 | 6 | 11 | 68 | 6 | 100 | 80 | 80 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 100 | 38 | 35 | 3 | 7 | 10 | 64 | 6 | 97 | 88 | 71 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 100 | 34 | 28 | 6 | 22 | 11 | 67 | 6 | 98 | 93 | 65 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 100 | 53 | 48 | 5 | 12 | 25 | 59 | 4 | 98 | 96 | 62 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 100 | 55 | 49 | 6 | 21 | 20 | 48 | 4 | 100 | 96 | 52 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 100 | 47 | 44 | 3 | 21 | 12 | 58 | 7 | 100 | 96 | 50 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499. | 100 | 61 | 58 | 6 | 17 | 20 | 58 | 5 | 100 | 88 | 48 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999. | 100 | 50 | 46 | 4 | 13 | 19 | 32 | 28 | 98 | 82 | 35 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499. | 100 | 60 | 51 | 13 | 9 | 17 | 43 | 16 | 100 | 97 | 25 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 100 | 70 | 51 | 19 |  | 28 | 44 | 20 | 100 | 93 | 14 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999. | 100 | 32 | 24 | 8 | 21 | 20 | 57 | 18 | 100 | 91 | 18 |
| \$5,000 and over---.......... | 100 | 39 | 39 |  |  | 12 | 26 | 22 | 100 | 100 | ----- |
|  | B. Average expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 | \$73. 50 | \$7. 70 | \$7. 70 |  | \$9.60 | \$0. 20 | \$19.60 |  | \$21. 40 | \$10. 40 | \$4.60 |
| \$500-\$749 | 82.10 | 13. 50 | 9.60 | \$3.90 | 4.80 | 1. 20 | 18. 10 | \$3. 10 | 19.50 | 18. 20 | 3. 70 |
| \$750-\$999. | 99. 20 | 20.20 | 18.00 | 2. 20 | 2. 50 | . 70 | 26. 40 | 2. 40 | 25. 60 | 14.00 | 7. 40 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249.. | 108.60 | 18. 20 | 16. 80 | 1. 40 | 3. 40 | 1. 20 | 27.10 | 2.80 | 28. 70 | 19.90 | 7. 30 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 126.30 | 18.90 | 15. 40 | 3.50 | 12.90 | 1. 70 | 26.80 | 3.10 | 31. 10 | 23. 20 | 8. 60 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 138. 90 | 34.90 | 30.90 | 4. 00 | 8. 00 | 1. 30 | 23. 70 | 1.90 | 35. 40 | 26. 50 | 7. 20 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 156. 50 | 38. 60 | 34.30 31.80 | 4.30 1 | 11. 70 | 1. 70 | 21. 70 | 2. 40 | 40.10 37 | 32. 40 | 7. 90 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 155.60 | 33. 10 | 31.80 43.60 | 1. 30 5.70 | 16.10 9.90 | .70 .90 | 26.10 22.40 | 3. 20 5.80 | 37.90 40.80 | 32.30 30.20 | 6. 20 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 166.40 181.90 | 49.30 45.40 | 43.60 42.80 | 5. 70 2. 60 | 9.90 10.30 | .90 1.40 | 22.40 15.30 | 5.80 25.40 | 40.80 49.50 | 30.20 29.60 | 7. 10 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999. | 181.90 205.90 | 45. 40 54.60 | 42.80 44.00 | 2.60 10.60 | 10.30 7.00 | 1.40 1.90 | 15.30 35.90 | 25.40 17.90 | 49.50 48.00 | 29.60 37.10 | 5. 00 3. 50 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 199.40 | 70.70 | 49.30 | 21. 40 |  | 2. 60 | 22.20 | 11. 80 | 47.90 | 41. 90 | 2. 30 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 202. 50 | 28. 40 | 23.90 | 4.50 | 15.80 | 2. 10 | 40.20 | 18.80 | 57. 10 | 37.60 | 2. 50 |
| \$5,000 and over. | 345. 10 | 33.20 | 33. 20 |  |  | . 80 | 40.10 | 38.60 | 78.10 | 154.30 | - .-- |

$N$
0
0

[^98]Table 7.-Household operation-Fuel, light, and refrigeration: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for fuel, light, and refrigeration, and average expenditure for such items, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1995-36-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]

| Income class | Total fuel, light, and refrigeration <br> (2) | Coal |  |  | Coke and briquets <br> (6) | Wood and kindling ${ }^{1}$ <br> (7) | Kerosene and gasoline(8) | Fuel oil <br> (9) | Electricity <br> (10) | Gas <br> (11) | Ice <br> (12) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Anthracite | Bituminous |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1) |  | (3) | (4) | (5) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | A. Percentage of families reporting expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499. | 100 | 50 | 50 |  |  |  | 50 |  | 100 | 50 | 50 |
| \$500-\$749. | 100 | 49 | 29 | 20 | 10 | 20 | 38 | 13 | 80 | 70 | 61 |
| \$750-\$999 | 100 | 41 | 41 |  |  | 8 | 67 | 5 | 100 | 85 | 74 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 100 | 25 | 23 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 70 | 3 | 95 | 95 | 64 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 100 | 26 | 20 | 6 | 12 | 5 | 58 | 7 | 100 | 95 | 55 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 100 | 52 | 46 | 6 | 4 | 11 | 78 |  | 100 | 98 | 59 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 100 | 60 | 58 | 5 | 27 | 11 | 42 |  | 100 | 90 | 59 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 100 | 34 | 27 | 7 | ${ }_{6}^{6}$ | 11 | 43 | 8 | 100 | 100 | 26 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 100 | 48 | 47 | 13 | 13 | 9 | 81 | 12 | 100 | 87 | 39 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 100 | 22 | 22 |  |  |  | 11 | 56 | 100 | 67 | 11 |
| $\$ 3,000-\$ 3,499$$\$ 3,500-\ldots, \ldots,-$$\$ 4,000-$$\$ 4,999$ | (f) 100 |  |  |  | 18 | (t) 18 |  |  | (f) 100 | (f) 100 | 18 |
|  | ( $\dagger$ ) 100 | (t) 29 | (t) | 29 |  | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( ${ }^{\text {) }} 57$ | (t) | (f) 100 | (t) 100 | 29 |
|  | ( $\dagger$ ) |  | ( $\dagger$ ) |  |  |  |  |  | (f) | (t) |  |
| \$5,000 and over - .-.......... | B. Average expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 | \$56. 50 | \$16.00 | \$16.00 |  |  |  | \$11.30 |  | \$19. 10 | \$5. 80 | \$4. 30 |
| \$500-\$749 | 76. 60 | 16.10 | 7.30 | \$8.80 | \$5.00 | \$1.60 | 9.70 | \$3. 80 | 15.90 | 20.60 | 3.90 |
| \$750-\$999. | 100. 40 | 27.70 | 27. 70 |  |  | . 70 | 22. 10 | 2. 90 | 25. 80 | 14. 10 | 7. 10 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 90. 90 | 8. 20 | 7. 40 | . 80 | 2.60 | 2. 30 | 24. 50 | 1. 00 | 25.70 | 20. 20 | 6. 40 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 112. 10 | 16. 70 | 13. 40 | 3. 30 | 9.20 | . 30 | 23. 10 | 2.30 | 29.60 | 23. 10 | 7. 80 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 125. 90 | 29.10 | 22. 30 | 6.80 | 3.00 | . 60 | 27.50 |  | 34. 50 | 24.50 | 6.70 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 144.60 | 36. 90 | 35.80 | 1. 10 | 10.00 | 1. 10 | 20. 40 |  | 35. 50 | 29.70 | 11.00 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 128. 40 | 24. 20 | 21.60 | 2. 60 | 3. 40 | . 90 | 18. 40 | 5. 60 | 35. 20 | 36. 80 | 3. 90 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 151. 50 | 41. 10 | 27.50 | 13. 60 | 5.00 | . 30 | 17. 50 | 15. 00 | 38. 60 | 25.90 | 8. 10 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 .. | 179.60 | 20. 20 | 20.20 |  |  |  | 3. 30 | 63. 80 | 60.30 | 30.00 | 2. 00 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | ( ${ }_{\text {( })} 10.40$ | $\stackrel{105.80}{\text { ( })}$ | $\stackrel{105.80}{ }{ }^{\dagger}$ ) |  | 14.70 | (t) 1.00 |  | ( $\dagger$ ) | ${ }_{(\dagger)}^{50.40}$ | $\stackrel{36.70}{(\dagger)}$ | 1. 80 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 177.80 | 17. 10 |  | 17.10 |  |  | (1) 77.10 |  | (15.00 | ${ }_{36.60}$ | 2.00 |
| \$5,000 and over. | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) |  |  |  |  |  | (t) | ( $\dagger$ ) | .-....... |

[^99]$\dagger$ Percentages and averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

Table 7.-Household operation-Fuel, light, and refrigeration: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for fuel, light, and refrigeration, and average expenditure for such items, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
NEW ENGLAND, 2 MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES: WHITE FAMILIES, TYPES II AND III

| Income class | Total fuel, light, and refrigeration <br> (2) | Coal |  |  | Coke and briquets <br> (6) | Wood and kindling ${ }^{\text {l }}$ <br> (7) | Kerosene and gasoline <br> (8) | Fuel oil <br> (9) | Electricity <br> (10) | Gas <br> (11) | Ice <br> (12) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Anthracite | $\underset{\text { nous }}{\text { Bitumi- }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1) |  | (3) | (4) | (5) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | A. Percentage of families reporting expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 | ( $\dagger$ ) |  |  |  |  |  | ( $\dagger$ ) |  | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) |  |
| \$500-\$749. | 100 | 44 | 44 |  |  | 17 |  | 6 | 88 | 71 | 71 |
| \$750-\$999 | 100 | 36 | 35 | 5 | 10 | 7 | 76 | 5 | 100 | 76 | 87 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 100 | 34 | 34 |  | 13 | 16 | 68 | 7 | 99 | 86 | 77 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 100 | 31 | 24 | 7 | 25 | 14 | 73 | 8 | 97 | 90 | 67 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 100 | 43 | 38 | 5 | 22 | 20 | 57 | 8 | 96 | 92 | 58 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 100 | 41 | 34 | 7 | 22 | 16 | 54 | 7 | 100 | 97 100 | 49 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 100 100 | 56 77 | 66 | 8 | 22 | $\begin{array}{r}4 \\ 28 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 62 45 | 6 | 100 | 100 94 | 47 52 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 100 | 55 | 65 | 8 | 20 | 28 25 | 45 30 | 20 | 100 95 | 94 95 | 30 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 100 | 33 | 8 | 25 | 17 | 17 | 50 | 33 | 100 | 92 | 17 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 100 | 50 | 50 |  |  |  | 50 |  | 100 | 100 |  |
| $\$ 4,000-\$ 4,999$ $\$ 5,000$ and over | 100 | 33 | 33 |  | 52 | 19 | 52 |  | 100 | 100 | 33 |
|  | 100 | 20 | 20 |  |  | 39 | 41 | 27 | 100 | 100 |  |
|  | B. Average expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499. | ( $\dagger$ ) |  |  |  |  |  | ( $\dagger$ |  | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) |  |
| \$500-\$749 | \$77. 50 | \$11.60 | \$11.60 |  |  | \$0.90 | \$22.70 | \$3.70 | \$20.60 | \$13.60 | \$4. 40 |
| \$750-\$999 | 104.60 | 17. 50 | 15. 30 | \$2. 20 | \$4. 40 | . 40 | 33. 90 | 1. 50 | 25. 10 | 13.60 | 8. 20 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 115. 50 | 17. 70 | 17. 70 |  | 5. 70 | . 70 | 32.70 | 3.10 | 29. 40 | 18.50 | 7.70 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 129.50 | 16. 70 | 12. 40 | 4.30 | 14. 00 | 1. 50 | 30. 10 | 4. 20 | 32. 00 | 22. 00 | 9.00 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 137.80 | 27.50 | 24.10 | 3. 40 | 13. 70 | 1. 10 | 24. 70 | 4. 30 | 34. 60 | 25. 10 | 6. 80 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 154. 10 | 28.00 | 21.80 | 6. 20 | 15. 50 | . 60 | 23.70 | 4.60 | 40.80 | 35.10 | 5.80 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 168. 70 | 40. 60 | 40.60 |  | 18. 90 | $\pm 10$ | 25. 60 | 3.10 | 38.70 | 35. 70 | 6. 00 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 174. 10 | 60.70 | 55.10 | 5. 60 | 14. 60 | 1.10 | 19.80 |  | 39.30 | 31.30 | 7.30 5.60 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 182.00 192.20 | 55. 20 24. 80 | 55.20 3.40 | 21.40 | 16.50 13.00 | 2.10 1.90 | 14.00 20.20 | 9.20 43. 90 | 46.80 50.80 | 32.60 34.80 | 5.60 2.80 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 148.10 | 46.30 | 46.30 | 21.40 | 13.0 | 1.00 | 27. 30 |  | 46.00 | 28.50 |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999. | 189.50 | 22.60 | 22.60 |  | 38.20 | 3.80 | 17. 50 |  | 49.30 | 51.70 | 6. 40 |
| \$5,000 and over. | 272.60 | 25.00 | 25.00 |  |  | 2.60 | 60.40 | 52.80 | 73.30 | 58.50 |  |

t See explanation of tables for definition of this item.

Table 7.-Household operation-Fuel, light, and refrigeration: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for fuel, light, and refrigeration, and average expenditure for such items, by famil: type and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
NEW ENGLAND, 2 MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES: WHITE FAMILIES, TYPES IV AND $\nabla$

| Income class | Total fuel, light, and refrigeration | Coal |  |  | Coke and briquets | Wood and kindling : | Kerosene and gasoline |  |  | Gas | Iee |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Anthracite | Bitumi- |  |  |  | Fuel oil | Electricity |  |  |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) |
|  | A. Percentage of families reporting expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | ( $\dagger$ ) 36 | ( ${ }^{\text {) }}$ | ( $\dagger$ ) |  | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( ${ }^{\text {) }}$ | ( $\dagger$ ) |
|  | ( 100 |  | 265858 |  | $\begin{array}{r}36 \\ 5 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | ${ }^{7}$ | 71 53 | 8 | 100 100 | 93 82 8 | 36 74 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 100 100 | 39 65 |  | $\stackrel{5}{5}$ | 5 <br> 1 | $\begin{array}{r}8 \\ 14 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 53 46 | 6 | 100 99 | $\begin{aligned} & 83 \\ & 03 \\ & 03 \end{aligned}$ | 68 |
| \$1, 5000 - 81749 | 100100100 | $\begin{array}{r}50 \\ 64 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 45 61 |  | 27 7 | 44 | 69 44 | $\stackrel{4}{2}$ | $\begin{array}{r}96 \\ +100 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 94 <br> 98 <br> 18 | 74 |
| \$1.750-\$1,999. |  | 6847 | 624343 | 644 | 14 <br> 31 <br> 1 | 30 | 4665 | 5 <br> 8 | 100100 | 10089 | 72 52 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 100 100 100 |  |  |  |  | 22 |  | $\begin{array}{r}5 \\ 17 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |  |  | 70 |
| \$2,500- 82,999 | 100 100 | 56 <br> 66 <br> 6 | $\begin{aligned} & 43 \\ & 56 \\ & 54 \end{aligned}$ | 12 | 15 16 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 65 \\ & 55 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \\ & 100 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r}83 \\ 81 \\ \hline 10\end{array}$ | 515834 |
| \$3,000- $\$ 3,499$. | 100 100 | 66 67 79 | 54 67 57 | 12 8 27 |  | 173133 | 52 42 | ${ }_{21}^{8}$ | 100 100 | 100 90 |  |
| \$ $\$ 4,0000$ - $\$ 4,9999$ | 100 100 | 33 50 | 33 50 |  | 13 |  | $\begin{array}{r}59 \\ 25 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | ${ }_{25}^{41}$ | 100 100 | 100 | 21 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | B. A verage expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499....-.............................- | $\stackrel{(+)}{\$ 106.30}$ | \$10.90 | \$10.90 |  | $\stackrel{( }{+}{ }^{\text {P }}$ |  | (t) |  | $\stackrel{(\downarrow)}{\$ 26.40}$ | ${ }^{(+)}$ | (t) $\$ 2.40$ |
| \$500-\$749. |  |  |  |  |  | $\$ 0.80$1.60 | \$16. 60 |  |  |  |  |
| \$750-8999 | 85.00 | 14.00 | 30.80 | \$5. 80 | 2.00 |  |  | \$3. 50 | 26. 50 | 14.50 <br> 22.20 | 6.307.90 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 124.70 | 36.20 24.90 |  | 5. 40 | -15. 30 | + 50 | 20.10 25.60 | 5.30 | 32.40 31.20 | 25. 20 |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 151.70 | 年2.90 | 47.20 <br> 48.30 | 2.20 <br> 4.60 <br> 1 | 4.908.40 | 2.20 <br> 3.40 | 19.3020.10 | + 1.60 | 37.3042.90 |  | 9. 00 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 168.60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 31. 20 | 8. 10 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 158. 60 | 30.00 | 28.1044.00 | 1.90 | 81.709.0011.70 | 1.30 | $\begin{aligned} & 32.20 \\ & 28.60 \end{aligned}$ | 1.60 | 38.90 <br> 43 <br> 10 | 24.80 32.20 | 8.106.20 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499- | 169.70 183.30 | 44. 00 580 |  |  |  | 1.20 1.60 |  | 4.80 14.00 | 43.70 44.40 | 32.20 26.60 |  |
| \$3,000-83,499 | 214.80 | 61.10 | 55. 3051.4039 | $\begin{array}{r} 5.80 \\ 30.90 \end{array}$ |  | 2.203.002.20 |  | 4.00 | 45. 10 | 38.90 | 3. 30 |
| \$3,500-83,999. | 214.60 | 82.30 |  |  |  |  | 21.20 <br> 33.60 | 9.5043.2041.50 |  | 47.6028.50243.50 |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999.... | 226.40 450.50 |  | 34.50 |  | 9.80 |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 69.90 \\ & 91.00 \end{aligned}$ |  | ............. |
|  |  | 34.50 |  |  |  |  | 40.00 | 41.50 | 91.00 |  |  |

1 See explanation of tables for definition of this item.
$\dagger$ Percentages and averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

Table 7.-Household operation-Fuel, light, and refrigeration: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for fuel, light, and refrigeration, and average expenditure for such items, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
EAST CENTRAL, 3 MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES: WHITE FAMILIES, 7 TYPES

${ }^{1}$ See explanation of tables for definition of this item
${ }^{2}$ Includes $\$ 0.10$ for items reported as other fuel, light, and refrigeration
${ }^{3}$ Includes $\$ 5.90$ for items reported as other fuel, light, and refrigeration.
${ }^{4}$ Includes $\$ 2.60$ for items reported as other fuel, light, and refrigeration.
*Percentages of less than 0.5 and average amounts of less than $\$ 0.05$ are not shown.

Table 7.-Household operation-Fuel, light, and refrigeration: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for fuel, light, and refrigeration, and average expenditure for such itcms, by family type and income, in 1 year, $1935-36$-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]

| Income class | Total fuel, light, and refrigeration | Coal |  |  | Coke and briquets <br> (6) | Wood and kindling ${ }^{\text {: }}$ <br> (7) | Kerosene and gasoline <br> (8) | Fuel oll(9) | Electricity <br> (10) | Gas <br> (11) | Ice <br> (12) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Anthracite | Bitumi- nous |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | A. Percentage of families reporting expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 | 100 | 67 | -.---.---- | 67 |  |  | 22 |  | 56 | 56 | 33 |
| \$500-\$749 | 100 | 82 |  | 82 | ---.-.--- | 7 | 16 |  | 90 | 67 | 57 |
| \$750-\$999 | 100 | 81 |  | 81 | - | 5 | 6 | 1 | 88 | 77 | 50 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 100 | 90 |  | 90 |  | 7 6 | 12 |  | 97 99 | 82 91 | 50 46 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 100 | 84 |  | 84 |  | 6 | 5 | 4 | 99 | 91 | 36 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 100 | 81 |  | 85 |  | 8 | 1 |  | 93 | 82 | 19 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 100 | 81 |  | 81 |  |  |  |  | 99 | 97 | 20 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 98 | 84 |  | 84 | 2 | 2 | 6 |  | 98 | 80 | 14 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999. | 100 | 81 |  | 81 |  | 18 |  |  | 95 | 87 | 8 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499. | 100 | 74 | - | 74 |  | 15 |  | 4 | 100 | 89 | 8 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999. | 100 | 84 | - | 84 |  | 10 |  |  | 95 | 74 | 10 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999. | 100 | 83 |  | 83 |  |  |  | 9 | 100 | 100 | 9 |
| \$5,000 and over...........-. | 100 | 86 |  | 86 |  |  |  |  | 100 | 100 | 13 |
|  | B. A verage expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 | \$48. 70 | \$26. 10 |  | \$26. 10 |  |  | \$1. 10 |  | \$8.90 | \$11.00 | \$1.60 |
| \$500-\$749. | 65. 10 | 30.70 | -.--------- | 30.70 |  | \$0. 20 | 1. 20 |  | 16.00 | 11. 40 | 5. 60 |
| \$750-\$999. | 80.50 | 37.90 | ----------- | 37.90 |  | . 10 | . 50 | \$1. 10 | 20.80 | 15.50 | 4. 60 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249... | 100.90 | 45. 30 | ----------- | 45.30 | \$1. 50 | . 20 | 1. 70 |  | 26.50 | 18.90 | 6.80 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 105.80 | 43.00 | -.-........- | 43.00 | 4. 30 | . 50 | . 40 | . 50 | 27.10 | 23.60 | 6. 40 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 101.70 | 42. 50 |  | 42. 50 | 1.30 |  | 1. 10 |  | 29. 30 | 23.60 | 3. 90 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 102.00 | 46. 30 |  | 46. 30 |  | . 20 | . 10 |  | 30. 90 | 21.80 | 2. 70 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | ' 111.90 | 48. 70 |  | 48. 70 |  | . 20 |  |  | 32. 10 | 28.30 | 2. 10 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499. | 115.80 | 48. 30 |  | 48. 30 | 1. 40 | . 10 | . 30 |  | 41. 60 | 22.70 | 1. 40 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 122.00 | 54.40 |  | 54.40 |  | . 40 |  |  | 42.80 | 24.00 | . 40 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 122.30 | 47. 50 |  | 47. 50 |  | . 60 |  | 3.20 | 47.00 | 24.00 |  |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | ${ }^{1} 144.30$ | 62. 10 |  | 62. 10 |  | . 30 |  |  | 43. 20 | 21.10 | 3.00 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 170. 70 | 58. 80 |  | 58.80 |  |  |  | 17.00 | 44.80 47.80 | 46.90 54.90 | 3.20 1.40 |
| \$5,000 and 0ver-.. | 170.20 | 66. 10 |  | 66. 10 |  |  |  |  | 47.80 | 54.90 | 1.40 |

[^100]Table 7.-Household operation-Fuel, light, and refrigeration: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for fuel, light, and refrigeration, and average expenditure for such items, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
EAST CENTRAL, 3 MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES: WHITE FAMILIES, TYPE II

${ }^{1}$ See explanation of tables for definition of this item.
*Average amounts of less than $\$ 0.05$ are not shown.

Table 7.-Household operation-Fuel, light, and refrigeration: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for fuel, light, and refrigeration, and average expenditure for such items, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
east central, 3 middle-sized cities: white families, type ill


1 See explanation of tables for definition of this item.

* Percentages of less than 0.5 and average amounts of less than $\$ 0.05$ are not shown.

Table 7.-Household operation-Fuel, light, and refrigeration: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for fuel, light, and refrigeration, and average expenditure for such items, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1935-35-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
EAST CENTRAL, 3 MIDDLE-SIZED CITIIES: WHITE FAMILIES, TYPE IV

| Income class | Total fuel, light, and refrigerstion | Coal |  |  | Coke and briquets <br> (6) | Wood and kindling ${ }^{l}$ <br> (7) | Kerosene and gasoline <br> (8) | Fuel oil <br> (9) | Electricity <br> (10) | Gas(II) | Ice <br> (12) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Anthracite | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bitumi- } \\ & \text { nous } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | A. Percentage of families reporting expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$490 | 100 | 100 | ------ | 100 |  |  | 50 | ------- | 75 | 50 | 50 |
| \$500-\$749. | 100 | 100 | --------- | 100 |  | 5 | 18 | --------- | 100 | 71 | 83 |
| \$750-\$999 | 100 | 97 | ....-....- | 97 |  |  | 14 | -- | 100 | 83 | 56 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 100 | 91 | -...----- | 91 |  | 6 | 14 | -.-.-.-.-- | 100 | 81 | 85 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 100 | 98 |  | 98 | 4 | 5 | 5 | --.---.... | 100 | 85 | 47 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 100 | 89 |  | 89 | 5 | 3 |  |  | 100 | 94 | 37 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 100 | 88 | 1 | 87 | 1 | 7 | 2 |  | 79 | 86 | 42 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 100 | 90 | .-.------ | 90 | 4 | 11 | 6 |  | 93 | 81 | 44 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499. | 100 | 90 |  | 90 |  | 13 |  |  | 100 | 87 | 31 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 100 | 92 | ....-...-- | 92 | 4 | 15 |  |  | 100 | 90 | 9 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499. | 100 | 75 | ---------- | 75 | 7 | 4 |  |  | 100 | 96 | 18 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 100 | 68 |  | 68 | 18 | 9 | 5 | 5 | 100 | 86 |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999. | 100 | 96 |  | 96 |  | 9 |  | 4 | 100 | 92 | 13 |
| \$5,000 and over- | 100 | 63 |  | 59 | 4 | 18 |  | 9 | 100 | 91 | 18 |
|  | B. A verage expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 | \$95. 80 | \$49.50 | ---------- | \$49. 50 |  |  | \$5. 50 |  | \$23.30 | \$15.00 | \$2. 50 |
| \$500-\$749. | 98.80 | 44.80 | ---------- | 44.80 |  | (*) | 3.90 | ---------- | 25. 00 | 18.70 | 6. 40 |
| \$750-\$999 | 97. 50 | 43.30 | ......-.-. | 43.30 |  |  | 2. 10 | ----. | 27.50 | 20.20 | 4.40 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 113.80 | 48.70 | ........... | 48.70 |  | \$0.10 | 2. 60 | -------- | 29.00 | 24.00 | 9. 40 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 109. 10 | 47.90 | -....-......- | 47.90 | \$1. 60 | . 10 | 1.50 | ------ | 29. 50 | 22. 60 | 5. 90 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 115.30 | 51.00 |  | 51.00 | 2. 30 | (*) |  | ------ | 31.00 | 24. 50 | 6.50 |
| \$1,750-\$1,989 | 118. 00 | 55.70 | \$0.30 | 55.40 | . 10 | . 20 | (*) | --------- | 30.90 | 24.70 | 6. 40 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 123.10 | 52.10 | -----......- | 52.10 | 1.90 | . 20 | 1.60 |  | 34.00 | 26.90 | 6. 40 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 131.30 | 57.20 |  | 57.20 |  | . 90 |  |  | 42.60 | 26. 70 | 3.90 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 132.10 | 62.90 |  | 62.90 | 3.30 | . 50 |  |  | 40.80 | 30.30 | 1. 30 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499. | 141.30 | 45.60 |  | 45. 60 | 5. 20 | . 20 |  |  | 48.80 | 37.70 | 3.80 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | ${ }^{2} 164.60$ | 40. 20 |  | 40. 20 | 17. 40 | . 10 | . 10 | \$10. 30 | 55.50 | 33. 20 |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 146. 60 | 67. 30 |  | 67.30 |  | . 20 |  | 2. 90 | 42. 20 | 30.50 | 3.50 |
| \$5,000 and over | 217.10 | 53.80 | 4.50 | 49.30 | 3.00 | . 80 |  | 15.10 | 62, 50 | 78.90 | 3.00 |

1. See explanation of tables for definition of this item

2 Includes $\$ 7.80$ for items reported as other fuel, light, and refrigeration.

[^101]Table 7.-Household operation-Fuel, light, and refrigeration: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for fuel, light, and refrigeration, and average expenditure for such items, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native.born]
EAST CENTRAL, 3 MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES: WHITE FAMILIES, TYPE $\nabla$

| Income class | Total fuel, light, and refrigeration <br> (2) | Coal |  |  | Coke and briquets <br> (6) | Wood and kindling 1 <br> (7) | Kerosene and gasoline <br> (8) | Fuel oil <br> (9) | Electricity <br> (10) | Gas <br> (11) | Ice(12) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Anthracite | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bitumi- } \\ & \text { nous } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1) |  | (3) | (4) | (5) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | A. Percentage of families reporting expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 | 100 | 100 |  | 100 |  |  | 25 |  | 100 | 50 | 50 |
| \$500-\$749 | 100 | 95 | --------- | 95 |  | 10 | 40 |  | 100 | 29 | 20 |
| \$750-\$999 | 100 | 100 |  | 100 |  | 11 | 32 | ----- | 100 | 65 | 74 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 100 | 90 | 1 | 89 |  | 10 | 18 | --10 | 100 | 70 | 54 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 100 | 97 | -...----- | 97 | ----- | 2 | 11 | ------ | 100 | 76 | 42 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 100 | 89 | .....-..--- | 89 |  | 11 | 9 | ----- | 100 | 91 | 45 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 100 | 98 | .......-.-- | 98 |  | 15 | 9 | ----- | 100 | 74 | 30 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 100 | 100 |  | 100 |  | 10 | 13 |  | 100 | 87 | 38 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 100 | 100 |  | 100 |  | 24 |  |  | 100 | 76 | 48 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 100 | 90 |  | 90 |  | 12 |  |  | 100 | 90 | 26 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 100 | 81 |  | 81 |  |  |  |  | 88 | 100 | 12 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 100 | 92 |  | 92 |  |  |  |  | 100 | 100 | 42 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 ... | 100 | 100 | 25 | 88 |  |  |  |  | 100 | 88 | 12 |
| \$5,000 and over................ | 100 | 83 | .-.-.--- | 83 | 17 |  |  |  | 100 | 100 | -- |
|  | B. Average expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499.. | \$68.40 | \$37.00 | - | \$37.00 |  |  | \$1. 50 | ------ | \$15.30 | \$9.80 | \$4. 80 |
| \$500-\$749- | 74.30 | 37.10 | ----------- | 37.10 | ---------- | \$0. 20 | 6.30 | ------- | 22.30 | 7. 60 | . 80 |
| \$750-\$999 | 97.80 | 50.00 |  | 50.00 |  | . 20 | 4.50 | ------- | 21.40 | 15. 70 | 6. 00 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 101. 10 | 46. 20 | \$0. 40 | 45.80 | ---0, | (*). 10 | 1. 40 | ------- | 26. 60 | 20. 40 | 6. 40 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 116. 10 | 57.00 | ----.----- | 57.00 |  | (*) 30 | 2.20 | --------- | 30. 30 | 21.30 | 5. 30 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 124.60 | 49.60 | - | 49.60 | -------- | . 30 | 1.30 | ---.-.--- | 36.40 | 30.90 | 6. 10 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 133.10 | 64.00 60.40 | -.---------- | 64.00 60.40 | ------- | . 20 | 2.30 3.20 | --..---- | 39. 20 | 22.60 26.10 | 4. 80 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 130.20 146.60 | 60.40 67.60 | --...-.----- | 60.40 67.60 | ---------- | . 20 | 3.20 | ------- | 35.20 45.30 | 26.10 25.30 | 5.10 7.90 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 150.60 | 68.80 |  | 68.80 |  | . 30 |  |  | 48.00 | 28.20 | 5.30 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 159.60 | 70.80 |  | 70.80 |  |  |  |  | 46.80 | 38.90 | 3.10 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999. | 166. 20 | 60.30 |  | 60.30 |  |  |  |  | 51.50 | 43. 80 | 10.60 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999.. | 178.30 | 81. 10 | 26.90 | 5420 |  |  |  |  | 63.70 | 31.70 | 1.80 |
| \$5,000 and over. | 174.80 | 51.00 |  | 51.00 | \$15. 20 |  |  |  | 47.10 | 61.50 | -- |

${ }^{1}$ See explanation of tables for definition of this item.
-A verage amounts of less than $\$ 0.05$ are not shown.

Table 7.-Household operation-Fuel, light, and refrigeration: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for fuel, light, and refrigeration, and average expenditure for such items, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
EAST CENTRAL, 3 MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES: WHITE FAMILIES, TYPE VI

| Income class | Total fuel, light, and refrigeration | Coal |  |  | Coke and briquets <br> (6) | Wood and kindling ${ }^{1}$ <br> (7) | Kerosene and gasoline <br> (8) | Fuel oil <br> (9) | Electricity <br> (10) | Gas <br> (11) | Ice <br> (12) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Anthracite | Bitumi- nous |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | A. Percentage of families reporting expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 | 100 | 100 | ------- | 100 |  |  | 33 |  | 67 |  | 33 |
| \$500-\$749. | 100 | 90 | .....-- | 90 |  |  | 29 |  | 80 | 49 | 58 |
| \$750-\$999 | 100 | 96 | .-.-.-- | 96 | ------ | 7 | 36 |  | 100 | 50 | 64 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 100 | 100 | --- | 100 |  | 5 | 23 | 3 | 93 | 60 | 48 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 100 | 100 |  | 100 |  |  | 15 |  | 100 | 64 | 52 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 100 | 100 |  | 100 |  |  | 1 |  | 100 | 85 | 55 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 100 | 97 |  | 97 |  | 17 | 18 |  | 100 | 84 | 47 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 100 | 96 | 4 | 92 |  | 16 | 8 |  | 100 | 92 | 23 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 100 | 100 |  | 100 | 3 | 3 | 7 |  | 98 | 96 | 39 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 100 | 84 |  | 84 | .------- | 6 | 10 | 6 | 100 | 88 | 8 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 100 | 100 | 11 | 89 |  | 11 | -- |  | 100 | 100 | 11 |
| \$3,500. \$3,999 | 100 | 100 | --------- | 100 |  |  |  |  | 100 | 100 | - |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 100 | 83 | ---------- | 83 |  | 17 |  |  | 100 | 83 | -- |
| \$5,000 and over.------------ | 100 | 57 | ------...- | 57 | 14 | 14 |  | --...- | 100 | 86 | -- |
|  | B. Average expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 | \$30.60 | \$13.90 |  | \$13.90 |  |  | \$3.70 |  | \$6.30 |  | \$6. 70 |
| \$500-\$749. | 67. 60 | 35.80 |  | 35. 80 |  |  | 3.30 |  | 16. 20 | \$9. 10 | 3. 20 |
| \$750-\$999 | 93. 20 | 43.30 | ----.-.----- | 43. 30 | - | \$0.20 | 6. 90 |  | 24. 50 | 12.40 | 5. 90 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 113.40 | 55.50 | ----------- | 55.50 | - | (*) | 8. 10 | (*) | 27. 10 | 16.90 | 5. 80 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 107. 20 | 48.40 | -.-------- | 48. 40 |  |  | 4.70 | -...---.-- | 32. 10 | 16. 80 | 5. 20 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 127. 20 | 60.90 |  | 60.90 |  |  | . 30 |  | 35.50 | 23. 50 | 7.00 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 132.90 | 58.50 |  | 58. 50 |  | . 30 | 3.00 |  | 38. 40 | 24.00 | 8.70 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 145. 30 | 65.00 | \$1. 50 | 63.50 | \$2. 80 | . 50 | 1. 50 |  | 41.20 | 30.70 | 3. 60 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499. | 126.90 | 55.00 |  | 55.00 | 2.50 | . 10 | . 50 |  | 39.00 | 25.70 | 4. 10 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 148.30 | 55.00 |  | 55.00 |  | . 10 | 3.30 | \$5. 90 | 51.40 | 31.70 | . 90 |
| \$3,000-83,499. | 164.80 | 71.80 | 10.90 | 60.90 |  | . 20 |  |  | 44.90 | 44. 50 | 3.40 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 169.00 | 68.30 |  | 68.30 |  |  |  |  | 58.70 | 42.00 |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 161.50 | 60.40 |  | 60. 40 |  | . 70 |  |  | 47.60 | 52.80 |  |
| \$5,000 and over - | ${ }^{2} 260.00$ | 52.00 |  | 52.00 | 30.60 | . 30 |  |  | 104.00 | 34.80 | - |

${ }^{2}$ Includes $\$ 38.30$ for items reported as other fuel, light, and refrigeration.

Table 7.-Household operation-Fuel, light, and refrigeration: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for fuel, light, and refrigeration, and average expenditure for such items, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1935-96-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
EAST CENTRAL, 3 MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES: WHITE FAMILIES, TYPE VII

| Income class | Total fuel. light, and refrigeration <br> (2) | Coal |  |  | Coke and briquets <br> (6) | Wood and kindling ${ }^{1}$ <br> (7) | Kerosene and gasoline(8) | Fuel oil <br> (9) | Electricity <br> (10) | Gas <br> (11) | Ice <br> (12) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Anthracite | Bitumi- nous |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1) |  | (3) | (4) | (5) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | A. Percentage of families reporting expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 100 | 100 |  | 100 |  | 17 | 50 |  | 67 | 33 | 33 |
| \$750-\$999. | 100 | 100 |  | 100 |  | 9 | 63 |  | 100 | 28 | 47 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 100 | 100 |  | 100 |  | 4 | 47 |  | 98 | 48 | 63 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 100 | 100 | ---------- | 100 |  | 5 | 24 |  | 100 | 52 | 50 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 100 | 100 |  | 100 94 |  |  | 20 |  | 100 | 72 | 54 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 100 | 94 |  | 94 |  | 8 | 32 | 6 | 100 | 62 | 57 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 100 | 100 | -----.....- | 100 |  | 8 | 16 |  | 100 | 66 | 40 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499. | 100 100 | 100 87 |  | 100 87 |  | 18 | 18 |  | 100 | 77 | 63 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999. | 100 100 | 87 100 |  | 87 100 |  |  |  |  | 100 | ${ }^{62}$ | -- |
| \$3,500-\$3,999. | (t) 100 | 100 |  | 100 |  |  |  | ( $\dagger$ ) | (t) 100 | (t) 100 |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 100 | 83 | 17 | 67 | --------- |  |  |  | 100 | --------- | 17 |
|  | B. Average expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | \$64. 00 | \$34. 20 |  | \$34. 20 |  | \$5.00 | \$2. 50 |  | \$13.70 | \$5. 80 | \$2. 80 |
| \$750-\$999 | 95. 30 | 50.60 | .-........- | 50.60 | --7.-.-. | * .20 | 12. 50 |  | 20.90 | 7.10 | 4.00 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 108. 70 | 54.10 | -.-...-.-.--- | 54.10 | -1-2---- | (*) | 8.00 | ---7.-. | 25.60 | 12.90 | 8.10 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 117.60 | 55.80 | ---.......-. | 55.80 |  | . 10 | 3.90 |  | 31.60 | 18.90 | 7. 30 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 119.50 | 56.10 | --.-------- | 56.10 |  |  | 4.60 |  | 31.80 | 21.70 | 5. 30 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 126. 80 | 56.50 |  | 56.50 | --- | . 20 | 4.60 | \$7. 10 | 28.90 | 21.80 | 7. 70 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 137. 20 | 63.90 | --.--- | 63.90 | -------- | . 10 | 4.00 | ---------- | 40.90 | 24. 10 | 4. 20 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 122.80 | 71.70 |  | 70.00 51.70 |  | . 50 | 3.90 |  | 40.90 46.00 | 34. 90 25.10 | 10.70 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 176.60 | 75.00 |  | 75.00 |  |  |  |  | 55. 20 | 46. 40 |  |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | ( $\dagger$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) |  |
| \$5,000-\$4,999.... | ${ }^{2} 225.80$ | 56.60 | \$11.30 | 45. 30 |  |  |  |  | 70.00 | 55.30 | 4.90 |
| 1 See explanation of tables for definition of this item. Percentages and averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases. <br> and <br> Includes $\$ 99.00$ for items reported as other fuel, light, and refrigeration. <br> Average amounts of less than $\$ 0.05$ are not shown.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 7.-Household operation-Fuel, light, and refrigeration: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for fuel, light, and refrigeration, and average expenditure for such items, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
SOUTHEAST, 2 MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES: WHITE FAMILIES, 5 TYPES

| Income class | Total fuel, light, and refrigeration | Coal |  |  | Coke and briquets <br> (6) | Wood and kindling ${ }^{1}$ <br> (7) | Kerosene and gasoline <br> (8) | Fuel oil <br> (9) | Electricity <br> (10) | Gas <br> (11) | Ice <br> (12) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Anthracite | Bitumi- nous |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | A. Percentage of families reporting expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 | 100 | 66 | 3 | 66 |  | 63 | 66 |  | 64 | 14 | 72 |
| \$500-\$749 | 100 | 88 | 2 | 86 |  | 73 | 71 |  | 79 | 21 | 71 |
| \$750-\$999 | 100 | 90 | 3 | 87 |  | 60 | 59 | 1 | 94 | 40 | 78 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 100 | 81 | 1 | 80 |  | 62 | 35 |  | 92 | 59 | 68 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 100 | 87 | 7 | 80 | (*) | 70 | 32 |  | 95 | 65 | 63 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 100 | 88 | 1 | 87 |  | 61 | 30 |  | 97 | 73 | 42 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 100 | 81 | 2 | 79 | 4 | 63 | 17 | (*) | 99 | 80 | 36 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 100 | 79 | 1 | 78 | 4 | 67 | 16 | 4 | 96 | 81 | 44 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 100 | 82 | 1 | 81 | 5 | 66 | 9 | 1 | 100 | 89 | 26 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 100 | 73 | 1 | 72 | 2 | 61 | 21 | 1 | 98 | 91 | 30 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 100 | 74 | 1 | 73 | 7 | 51 | 9 | 4 | 100 | 88 | 14 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 100 | 80 | 2 | 78 | 5 | 63 |  | 6 | 100 | 95 | 11 |
|  | 100 | 80 |  | 80 | 5 | 68 | 4 | 3 | 100 | 95 | 17 |
|  | 100 | 59 |  | 59 | 19 | 58 | 11 | 3 | 100 | 97 | 7 |
|  | B. Average expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 | \$47. 70 | \$7. 70 | \$0. 40 | \$7. 30 |  | \$7.90 | \$7. 30 |  | \$10. 40 | \$4.80 | \$9.60 |
| \$500-8749 | 63.80 | 15. 10 | . 10 | 15. 00 |  | 10. 70 | 9.40 |  | 12.00 | 4.70 | 11.90 |
| \$750-\$999 | 79.30 | 16.70 | . 40 | 16. 30 |  | 5. 20 | 10. 10 | \$0. 10 | 20.50 | 12.80 | 13.90 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 90.00 | 17. 20 | . 10 | 17. 10 |  | 5. 20 | 5.60 |  | 24.50 | 23.50 | 14.00 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 100. 50 | 21. 70 | 1. 20 | 20.50 | (*) | 6. 50 | 5.30 |  | 26. 20 | 25.70 | 15.10 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 109.40 | 23. 70 | . 50 | 23. 20 | \$0. 10 | 2. 90 | 4.90 |  | 35.30 | 32. 20 | 10.30 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 118.90 | 22.80 | . 50 | 22. 30 | 1. 10 | 5. 60 | 3. 10 | . 10 | 40.60 | 36.50 | 9.10 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 123.00 | 26.00 | . 40 | 25.60 | 1. 50 | 3.80 | 2.80 | . 60 | 38.80 | 37.50 | 12.00 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 130.20 | 28.80 | . 30 | 28. 50 | 1. 10 | 2.90 | 1. 10 | . 40 | 44.80 | 43.30 | 7.80 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999. | 143.70 | 27.80 | . 50 | 27.30 | . 60 | 4. 20 | 3. 30 | . 30 | 49. 20 | 50.10 | 8.20 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 148.00 | 31.30 | . 40 | 30.90 | 1.80 | 4. 20 | . 70 | 1. 90 | 54. 10 | 48. 40 | 5.60 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 164.00 | 40.00 | 1.10 | 38.90 | 1. 00 | 4. 10 |  | 5. 10 | 56. 40 | 53. 60 | 3.80 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 175.80 | 38.60 36.40 |  | 38.60 36.40 | 3. 90 7.00 | 3.90 6.60 | .60 1.00 | 2.90 4.00 | 60.30 73.90 | 60.60 80.50 | 5.00 3.20 |
| \$5,000 and over. | 212.60 | 36.40 |  | 36. 40 | 7.00 | 6. 60 | 1.00 | 4.00 | 73.90 | 80.50 | 3.20 |

Table 7.-Household operation-Fuel, light, and refrigeration: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for fuel, light, and refrigeration, and average expenditure for such items, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
SOUTHEAST, $\mathbf{2}$ MIDDLE-SIZED CLTIES; WHITE FAMILIES, TYPE I

| Income class | Total fuel, light, and refrigeration <br> (2) | Coal |  |  | Coke and briquets <br> (8) | Wood and kindling ${ }^{1}$ <br> (7) | Kerosene and gasoline <br> (8) | Fuel oil <br> (9) | Electricity <br> (10) | Gas <br> (11) | Ice <br> (12) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Anthracite | $\underset{\substack{\text { Bitumi- } \\ \text { nous }}}{ }$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1) |  | (3) | (4) | (5) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | A. Percentage of families reporting expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499. | 100 | 83 | -----7------ | 83 | -..-.---.-- | 33 | 100 |  | 67 | 17 | 100 |
| \$500-\$749. | 100 | 87 | -...-...-...- | 87 | ----------- | 71 | 84 |  | 86 | 30 | 73 |
| \$750-\$999 | 100 | 84 | ............... | 84 |  | 61 | 42 |  | 90 | 58 | 84 |
| \$1,000-\$1,248 | 100 | 86 | ............-- | 86 |  | 52 | 32 |  | 100 | 68 | 84 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 100 | 84 |  | 84 | 1 | 49 | 30 |  | 98 | 82 | 77 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 100 | 93 |  | 93 |  | 54 | 32 |  | 90 | 72 | 34 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 100 | 69 |  | 69 | 9 | 52 | 15 |  | 99. | 82 | 32 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 100 | 88 |  | 68 | 6 | 51 | 14 | 10 | 92 | 80 | 37 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 100 | 75 | 2 | 73 | 2 | 60 | 2 |  | 100 | 100 | 23 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 100 | 58 | .-.-......- | 58 |  | 58 | 42 | -------- | 100 | 90 | 19 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499. | 100 | 82 | ----------- | 82 | 9 | 55 | 13 | --------- | 100 | 92 | 13 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 100 | 87 |  | 87 |  | 75 | --------- |  | 100 | 94 | ${ }^{6}$ |
|  | 100 | 68 63 |  | ${ }_{6}^{68}$ | 16 | 74 |  | 10 | 100 | 94 100 | 16 10 |
|  | B. Average expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 | \$52. 80 | \$8. 30 | --------.-- | \$8. 30 |  | \$4.00 | \$9.20 |  | \$10.00 | \$6. 30 | \$15.00 |
| \$500-\$749 | 59.40 | 14. 60 | ----------- | 14. 60 | ----------- | 3. 60 | 10. 20 | ---------- | 14.60 | 4. 90 | 11. 50 |
| \$750-\$999 | 73. 40 | 13. 70 | ------------ | 13.70 | ----------- | 4. 10 | 5. 90 | --------- | 18.80 | 16. 50 | 14.40 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 85.70 | 17. 20 | ............- | 17.20 |  | 1.30 | 5.40 | .......-.-- | 22. 60 | 23.10 | 16. 10 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 99.80 | 19.80 | .....-.....- | 19.80 | (*) | 1. 60 | 4.00 | .-...--- | 25. 30 | 31.10 | 18.00 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 95. 80 | 23.00 |  | 23.00 |  | 2.00 | 3. 30 |  | 32. 70 | 28. 30 | 6. 50 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 116.70 | 20.00 |  | 20.00 20.00 | $\$ 2.40$ 1.70 | 6.30 3.00 | 1. 10 |  | 40. 10 | 37.60 | 9. 20 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 117.40 | 20.00 |  | 20.00 | 1.70 | 3.00 | 2. 90 | \$2. 00 | 36.30 | 33. 40 | 18. 10 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499. | 124. 30 | 27.20 | \$0.70 | 26. 50 | 1.40 | 2.60 | . 20 |  | 41.70 | 42.80 | 8.40 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999. | 137.30 | 24. 20 |  | 24. 20 |  | 4.70 | 9.80 |  | 44. 50 | 50.70 | 3. 40 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 145. 40 | 34.10 |  | 34.10 | 2.10 | 5.30 | . 20 |  | 48.90 | 48. 40 | 6. 40 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 136. 10 | 43.00 |  | 43.00 |  | 3. 60 |  |  | 43.40 | 45. 20 | . 90 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 157.40 | 34.40 |  | 34.40 |  | 1. 60 |  | 6.60 | 56.90 | 53. 40 | 4. 50 |
| \$5,000 and over...- | 259.20 | 47. 70 |  | 47.70 | 5. 50 | 6.20 | ------- | 16.80 | 72. 10 | 105.30 | 5. 60 |

1See explanation of tables for definition of this item.
*Average amounts of less than $\$ 0.05$ are not shown.

Table 7.-Household operation-Fuel, light, and refrigeration: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for fuel, light, and refrigeration, and average expenditure for such items, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
SOUTHEAST, a MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES: WHITE FAMILIES, TYPES II AND III

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Income class} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Total fuel, light, and refrigeration} \& \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Coal} \& \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Coke and briquets \\
(6)
\end{tabular}} \& \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Wood and kindling \({ }^{1}\) \\
(7)
\end{tabular}} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Kerosene and gasoline \\
(8)
\end{tabular}} \& \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Fuel oil \\
(9)
\end{tabular}} \& \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Electricity \\
(10)
\end{tabular}} \& \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Gas \\
(11)
\end{tabular}} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Ice \\
(12)
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline \& \& Total \& Anthracite \& Bitumi- \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \\
\hline (1) \& (2) \& (3) \& (4) \& (5) \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \\
\hline \multirow{12}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
\(\$ 250-\$ 499\) \\
\$750-8909 \\
\$1,000-\$1,249 \\
\(\$ 1,250-\$ 1,499\) \\
\$1,500-\$1,749 \\
\$1,750-\$1,999 \\
\$2,000-\$2,249 \\
2,250-\$2,499 \\
\(\$ 2,500-\$ 2,999\)
\(\$ 3,000-\$ 3,499\) \\
\(\$ 3,500-\$ 3,999\) \\
\$4,000-\$4,999 \\
\(\$ 5,000\) and over
\end{tabular}} \& \multicolumn{11}{|c|}{A. Percentage of families reporting expenditure} \\
\hline \& 100 \& 83 \& 8 \& 83 \& \& 83 \& 58 \& \& 75 \& 17 \& \\
\hline \& 100 \& 93 \& 5 \& 88 \& \& 77 \& 72 \& \& 76 \& 12 \& 73 \\
\hline \& 100 \& 69 \& \& 69 \& \& \({ }_{60}^{55}\) \& \({ }_{32}\) \& \& 95
88 \& \({ }_{66}^{41}\) \& 74
58 \\
\hline \& 100 \& 88 \& \({ }^{6}\) \& 82 \& \& 80 \& 34 \& \& 94 \& 59 \& \({ }_{53}^{59}\) \\
\hline \& 100 \& \(\begin{array}{r}76 \\ 88 \\ \hline 8\end{array}\) \& \(\stackrel{1}{2}\) \& 86 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& 1 \\
\& 1
\end{aligned}
\] \& \({ }_{62}^{55}\) \& 16 \& 1 \& 100 \& 76
85 \& \(\stackrel{33}{23}\) \\
\hline \& 100 \& 77 \& 2 \& 75 \& 5 \& 71 \& 14 \& \& 97 \& 86 \& 33 \\
\hline \& 100 \& 78
80 \& \& 78
80 \& 6
2
2 \& 72 \& 11 \& \& \(\begin{array}{r}100 \\ 95 \\ \hline\end{array}\) \& \(\begin{array}{r}86 \\ 95 \\ \hline\end{array}\) \& 15
29 \\
\hline \& 100 \& 62 \& \& 62 \& 8 \& 46 \& 6 \& 6 \& 100 \& 89 \& 18 \\
\hline \& 100
100 \& 69
69 \& \& 69
69 \& 5
5 \& 54 \& \& 10
9 \& 100
100 \& 100
100 \& 15 \\
\hline \& 100 \& 52 \& \& 52 \& 15 \& 55 \& 4 \& \& \& 86 \& \\
\hline \& \multicolumn{11}{|c|}{B. Average expenditure} \\
\hline \$250-\$499 \& \$54. 60 \& \$10.80 \& \$1. 20 \& \$9.60 \& \& \$4. 30 \& \$9.80 \& \& \$11.90 \& \$5. 70 \& \$12.10 \\
\hline \$500-8749 \& 61.10 \& 14.80 \& . 40 \& 14.40 \& (1) \& 11. 80 \& 9.80 \& \& 9.50 \& 2.80 \& 12.30 \\
\hline \$750-\$999-2 \& 79.20 \& 18.50 \& . 70 \& 17.80 \& \& 4. 60 \& 10.00 \& \& 20.20 \& 13. 70 \& 12. 20 \\
\hline \$1,000-\$1,249. \& 99.40 \& \({ }^{14.30}\) \& 130 \& 14. 30 \& \& 4.10
6
60 \& 5.00
6.80 \& \& 25. 80 \& 28. 70 \& 12.50 \\
\hline \$1,500-\$1,749 \& 112.50 \& 21.30 \& 1.40 \& 20.90 \& \$0.30 \& 3.80 \& 4.30 \& \& 38.70 \& 33.20 \& 7.90 \\
\hline \$1,750-\$1,999 \& 124.40 \& 25.40 \& . 50 \& 24.90 \& . 50 \& 3.20 \& 4.60 \& \$0.30 \& 45. 10 \& 41.10 \& 4. 20 \\
\hline \$2,000-\$2,249. \& 1270 40 \& 29. 10 \& 1.30 \& 27. 80 \& 2. 10 \& 3.800 \& 1.80 \& \& 40.50 \& 42.70 \& 7.40 \\
\hline \({ }_{\text {\$ }}^{\$ 2,250-\$ 20-\$ 2,999 .}\) \& 130.10 \& 31.00 \& \& 28.20 \& 1.20

40
10 \& 3.60 \& $\begin{array}{r}1.30 \\ .90 \\ \hline\end{array}$ \& \& 47.30
50.40 \& 55. 20 \& 4. 80 <br>
\hline \$3,000- 83,499 \& 154. 50 \& 30. 10 \& \& 30.10 \& 1. 50 \& 2.10 \& .20 \& 2.30 \& 60.00 \& 51. 20 \& 7. 10 <br>
\hline \$3,500-\$3,999 \& 170.10
167.20 \& 34.30
3170 \& \& 34.30
3170 \& $\stackrel{8}{80}$ \& 4.00 \& \& 7.40 \& ${ }_{560}^{60.10}$ \& ${ }^{63.50}$ \& <br>

\hline \$5,000 and over. \& 188.10 \& 29.40 \& \& 29.40 \& 5.20 \& | 3.00 |
| :--- | \& (*) \& 6.20

3.30 \& ${ }_{77.50}$ \& 66.70 \& 3.10 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

1 See explanation of tables for definition of this item.
*A verage amounts of less than $\$ 0.05$ are not shown.

Table 7.-Household operation-Fuel, light, and refrigeration: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for fuel, light, and refrigeration, and average expenditure for such items, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1985-96-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
SOUTHEAST, 2 middle-sized cities: white families, types iv and $\mathbf{v}$

| Income class | Total fuel, light, and refrigeration | Coal |  |  | Coke and briquets <br> (6) | Wood and kindling ${ }^{1}$ <br> (7) | Kerosene and gaso- line line <br> (8) | Fuel oil <br> (9) | Electricity <br> (10) | Gas <br> (11) | Ice <br> (12) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Anthracite | Bitumi- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | A. Percentage of families reporting expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 100 | 30 |  | 30 |  | 70 | 40 |  | 50 | 10 |  |
|  | 100 | 85 |  | 85 |  | 68 | 71 | $\because$ | ${ }_{96}^{76}$ | ${ }_{26}^{24}$ | 88 |
|  | 100 | 91 | 2 | 89 |  | 74 | 43 |  | 92 | 41 | 67 |
|  | 100 | 88 | 13 | 75 |  | 74 | 31 |  | 94 | 59 | 56 |
|  | 100 | 96 | 2 | 94 | 2 | 72 | 31 |  | 100 | ${ }^{69}$ | 58 |
|  | 100 | 81 | 2 | 79 87 | ${ }_{3}^{3}$ | 72 | 20 | 1 | 100 | 73 78 | 53 56 |
|  | 100 | 88 | 1 | 87 | 5 | 63 | 12 | 1 | 100 | 85 | 36 |
|  | 100 | 75 | 3 | 72 | 2 | 52 | 21 | 2 | 100 | 88 | 35 |
|  | 100 100 | 80 83 | 3 <br> 3 | 87 | $\stackrel{4}{7}$ | $\stackrel{52}{54}$ | 9 | $\stackrel{6}{7}$ | 100 100 | 86 91 | $\stackrel{12}{21}$ |
|  | 100 | 89 |  | 89 | 7 | 74 | 7 |  | 100 | 93 | 18 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 54 | 17 |  |  |  | 9 |
|  | B. Average expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 | \$35. 30 | \$3. 70 |  | \$3.70 |  | \$15.90 | \$2. 70 |  | \$9.00 | \$2. 40 | \$1.60 |
| \$500-\$749 | 71.10 | 16. 10 |  | 16. 10 |  | 15. 50 | 8.20 |  | 12.80 | 6. 70 | 11. 80 |
| \$750-\$999 | 83.40 | 15.30 |  | 15. 30 |  | 7.10 | 13. 20 | \$0.40 | 22.00 | 8.80 | 16.60 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 93.40 | 21. 10 | \$0.40 | 20.70 |  | 10. 50 | 6. 50 |  | ${ }^{24.40}$ | 16. 90 | 14.00 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499.. |  | 20.60 26.70 | 2.00 1.00 | ${ }_{25.70}^{18.60}$ |  | 10.30 2.60 | 4. 70 6.90 |  | 28.10 33.50 | 24.80 30.60 | 13.50 15.40 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 115.40 | 22.40 | . 90 | 21.50 | \$0.90 | 7.30 | 2.90 | . 10 | ${ }_{36.90}$ | 31.60 <br> 10 | 13. 20 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 122.20 | 27.00 |  | 27.00 | . 90 | 4.30 | 3.50 | . 20 | 38.70 | 35.50 | 12.10 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 133.10 | 30. 00 | . 40 | 29.60 | . 90 | 2.80 | 1.50 | 1. 00 | 44.40 | 42.50 | 10.00 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 142.10 | 26.70 | 1.30 | 25.40 | 1.00 | 5.30 | 2.50 | . 80 | 50.30 | 45.40 | 10.10 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499. | 144. 10 | 30. 90 | 1.00 | 29.90 | 1.90 | 5. 20 | 1.30 | 2. 70 | 52.00 | ${ }^{46.00}$ | 4.10 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 186.90 | 43.20 | 2.40 | 43.10 43 | 6. 10 | 5. 10 | 1.20 | 6.00 | 63.00 | 62. 30 | 8. 60 |
| \$5,000 and over. | 207.80 | 35.80 |  | 35.80 | 8.20 | 7.00 | 1.70 |  | 73.00 | 78.30 | 3.80 |

1 See explanstion of tables for definition of this item.
*Average amounts of less than $\$ 0.05$ are not shown.

Table 7.-Household operation-Fuel, light, and refrigeration: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for fuel, light, and refrigeration, and average expenditure for such items, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
sOUTHEAST, 2 MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES: NEGRO FAMILIES, $\tilde{\boldsymbol{s}}$ TYPES


I See explanation of tables for definition of this item.

* Percentages of less than 0.5 and average amounts of less than $\$ 0.05$ are not shown.

Table 7.-Household operation-Fuel, light, and refrigeration: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for fuel, light, and refrigeration, and average expenditure for such items, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1935- 86 -Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
SOUTHEAST, 2 MIDDLE-SIZED CITLES: NEGRO FAMILIES, TYPE I

| Income class | Total fuel, light, and refrigeration <br> (2) | Coal |  |  | Coke and briquets <br> (6) | Wood and kindling 1 <br> (7) | Kerosene and gasoline <br> (8) | Fuel oil <br> (9) | Electricity <br> (10) | Gas <br> (11) | Iee <br> (12) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Anthracite | $\underset{\text { nous }}{\text { Bitumi- }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1) |  | (3) | (4) | (5) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | A. Percentage of families reporting expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250 | 100 | 59 |  | 59 |  | 95 | 89 |  | 11 |  | 75 |
| \$250-\$499 | 100 | 52 |  | 52 |  | 100 | 81 | ------ | 23 | (*) | 96 |
| \$500-\$749 | 100 | 74 | 6 | 68 |  | 99 | 86 | ---.-- | 31 | (*) | 100 |
| \$750-\$999 | 100 | 100 |  | 100 | -----..- | 99 | 61 | ----. | 54 |  | 98 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 100 | 100 | --- | 100 | --- | 88 | 47 | --- | 71 | 14 | 78 |
| \$1,500-\$2,249 | 100 | 100 |  | 100 |  | 100 |  |  | 100 | 86 | 57 |
| \$2,250 and over | 66 | 66 |  | 66 |  | 66 |  |  | 66 | 66 | 22 |
|  | B. Average expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250 | \$19. 10 | \$4. 20 |  | \$4. 20 |  | \$8.40 | \$1. 50 |  | \$1. 40 |  | \$3.60 |
| \$250-\$499. | 41.40 | 6.00 |  | 6. 00 |  | 21.20 | 3. 40 |  | 2. 70 | \$0.10 | 8.00 |
| \$500-\$749. | 50.10 | 11. 30 | \$0.60 | 10. 70 | \$0.10 | 19.20 | 3.40 | --- | 5.40 | . 10 | 10.60 |
| \$750-\$999 | 66.20 | 19.20 |  | 19. 20 |  | 18.80 | 4.50 |  | 11.90 | 1.10 | 30. 70 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 77.40 | 19.10 | ----- | 19. 10 | ---- | 24.50 | 3.10 |  | 15.90 | 3.10 | 11. 70 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 81.50 | 22. 50 |  | 22. 50 |  | 7. 20 | 11. 30 |  | 17.80 | 9. 60 | 13. 10 |
| \$1,500-\$2,249. | 118.90 | 30.50 |  | 30. 50 |  | 18.70 |  |  | 27.30 | 29. 40 | 13.00 |
| \$2,250 and over. | 74.20 | 19.30 |  | 19.30 | -- | 14.90 |  |  | 17.10 | 18. 40 | 4. 50 |

${ }^{1}$ See explanation of tables for definition of this item.

* Percentages of less than 0.5 are not shown.

Table 7.-Household operation-Fuel, light, and refrigeration: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for fuel, light, and refrigeration, and average expenditure for such items, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1985-86-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
southeast, 2 middle-sized cities: negro families, types il and ili

| Income class | Total fuel, light, and refrigeration <br> (2) | Coal |  |  | Coke and briquets <br> (6) |  | Kerosene and gasoline <br> (8) | Fuel oil <br> (9) | Electricity(10) | Gas <br> (11) | Ice <br> (12) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Anthracite | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bitumi- } \\ & \text { nous } \end{aligned}$ |  | Wood and kindling 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1) |  | (3) | (4) | (5) |  | (7) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | A. Percentage of families reporting expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250. | 100 | 21 |  | 21 |  | 100 | 79 |  | 21 |  | 95 |
| \$250-\$499 | 100 | 76 | ...- | 76 | 2 | 97 | 92 | ----- | 8 |  | 85 |
| \$500-\$749. | 100 | 76 |  | 76 |  | 97 | 77 |  | 26 |  | 97 |
| \$750-\$999 | 100 | 99 |  | 99 |  | 98 | 50 | ---- | 61 | 8 | 94 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 97 | 85 |  | 85 | 7 | 91 | 54 |  | 54 | 13 | 84 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 100 100 | 94 100 |  | 94 100 |  | 94 100 | 33 29 | 19 | 69 100 | 15 38 | 77 63 |
| \$2,250 and over...--..........- | 100 | 60 |  | 60 |  | 40 | 40 |  | 100 | 60 | 20 |
|  | B. Average expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250. | \$25. 40 | \$1. 20 |  | \$1. 20 |  | \$16. 20 | \$1.90 |  | \$2.70 |  | \$3.40 |
| \$250-\$499 | 37.30 | 7.50 |  | 7. 50 | \$0. 10 | 18. 10 | 3.70 |  | 1. 40 |  | 6.50 |
| \$500-\$749 | 48. 50 | 10.30 | .-......-- | 10.30 |  | 19.70 | 4.30 |  | 5. 00 |  | 9. 20 |
| \$750-\$999 | 63. 60 | 17.80 |  | 17. 80 |  | 19.80 | 3. 40 |  | 10.70 | \$1.00 | 10.90 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 67.70 | 15. 70 |  | 15. 70 | . 80 | 20. 20 | 2.10 |  | 12. 50 | 4.20 | 12. 20 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 69.50 | 18. 20 |  | 18. 20 |  | 16.90 | . 70 |  | 19.00 | 4.00 | 10. 70 |
| \$1,500-\$2,249 | 117.10 | 30.60 |  | 30.60 | ----- | 21.00 | 3. 10 | \$0.90 | 32.70 | 10.30 | 18. 50 |
| \$2,250 and over...... | 135.60 | 15.60 | ---------- | 15. 60 | --.-.-.-.- | 3.80 | 2.80 |  | 56.20 | 52. 20 | 5.00 |

${ }^{1}$ See explanation of tables for deflnition of this item.

Table \%.-Household operation-Fuel, light, and refrigeration: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for fuel, light, and refrigeration, and average expenditure for such items, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1995- $\$ 6$-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
southeast, $\mathbf{2}$ middle-sized cities: negro families, types iv and $\mathbf{v}$


[^102]*Percentages of less than 0.5 are not shown.

Table 7.-Household operation-Fuel, light, and refrigeration: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for fuel, light, and refrigeration, and average expenditure for such items, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]


[^103]Table 7.-Household operation-Fuel, light, and refrigeration: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for fuel, light, and refrigeration, and average expenditure for such items, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1985-36-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
West centeal, 2 Middle-sized cities: White families, TYpe i

| Income class | Total fuel, light, and refrigeration | Coal |  |  | Coke and briquets | Wood and kindling ${ }^{1}$ | Kerosene <br> and gaso- <br> line | Fuel oil | Electricity | Gas | Ice |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Anthracite | Bitumi- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) |
|  | A. Percentage of families reporting expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499. | 100 | 33 | 17 | 33 |  | 67 | 50 | 17 | 83 |  |  |
|  | 100 | 74 |  | ${ }_{54}^{42}$ | 3 | 57 30 | ${ }_{76} 3$ |  | 83 | ${ }_{37} 88$ |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 99 | 69 | 16 | 53 | 12 | 60 | 31 | 6 | 99 | ${ }_{5}^{54}$ | 58 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 100 | 64 <br> 55 | 18 | 48 | ${ }_{12}^{4}$ | 4 | 30 |  | 100 | ${ }_{88}^{66}$ | 57 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 100 | 70 | 37 | 36 | 6 | 52 | 3 | 8 | 92 | 94 | 30 |
| \$2,000- \$2,249- | ${ }_{94}^{89}$ | 4 | 12 | ${ }_{20} 35$ | 19 | ${ }_{3}^{34}$ | 8 | 7 | ${ }_{04}^{86}$ | 88 | 15 |
| \$2, $\$ 2,500-\$ 2,9999$ | $\begin{array}{r}89 \\ 100 \\ \hline 104\end{array}$ | 46 37 | $\stackrel{26}{26}$ | 12 | 13 | ${ }_{26}^{28}$ | ${ }^{6} 8$ | +989 | $\begin{array}{r}94 \\ 100 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 888 | 4 |
| \$3,000-83,499- | 84 | 49 | 31 | 18 |  | 26 | 8 |  | 84 | 77 | $3 \overline{3}$ |
| \$4,000-\$4,999-................ | $\begin{array}{r}91 \\ 100 \\ \hline 100\end{array}$ | 44 16 | 35 88 | $\stackrel{9}{8}$ |  | 36 15 |  | 54 | 91 100 | ${ }_{91}^{73}$ | ------ |
|  | 100 | 26 |  | 26 |  |  |  | 46 | 100 | 100 |  |
|  | B. Average expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 | \$51. 20 | \$10.60 | \$5. 80 | \$4. 80 |  | \$17.30 | \$5. 20 | \$2.70 | \$8.70 | \$5.50 | \$1.20 |
| \$500-\$749 | 66.40 89.20 | 15.80 41.90 |  | 15.80 | \$2. 70 | 10. 20 | 4.50 | 3.60 | 15. 10 | 10.60 | 3. 90 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 104.60 | 36.50 | 8.60 | 28.00 | 5.30 | 6. 20 | 2.30 | 5.40 | 27.10 | 14.60 | 7. 20 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 117.90 | 44.40 | 7.50 | 36.90 | 3.70 | 8.20 | 4.00 |  | 28.40 | 19.10 | 10. 10 |
| \$1,500-81,749 | 114.50 | 33.10 | 4. 50 | 28. 60 | 10. 90 | 4.80 | . 80 | . 50 | 34. 00 | ${ }^{26.00}$ | 4.40 |
| \$1,750-81,999 | 130.70 | 47.90 | 25. 00 | 22. 90 | 4.00 | 4.00 180 |  | 7. 20 | ${ }^{34.70}$ | 28. 40 | 4. 20 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 117.50 124.40 | 31.10 28.00 | 8.30 15.50 | 22.80 12.50 | 18.70 15.70 | 1.80 2.80 | $\begin{array}{r}\text { ¢ } \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 6.00 10.50 | 29.70 41.70 | 27.10 21.90 | 2. 20 |
| \$2,500- \$2,999 | 152.90 | 24.40 | 18.70 | 5. 70 | 11.90 | 1. 80 | 2.00 | 42.70 | 36.30 | 33.80 |  |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 117.80 | 34. 20 | 21.40 | 12.80 |  | 2.00 | . 40 |  | 34. 30 | 39.90 | 7.00 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 117.70 | 26. 30 | 17.40 | 8.90 |  | 2.40 |  | 4.70 | 51.90 | 32. 40 |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999-a | ${ }^{141.40}$ | 10.70 19.60 | 6.60 | 4. 19 |  | . 80 |  | 39.00 98.40 | 48.00 67.70 | 42.90 48.60 | -------- |
| \$5,000 and over- | 234.30 | 19.60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^104]Table 7.-Household operation-Fuel, light, and refrigeration: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for fuel, light, and refrigeration, and average expenditure for such items, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1935-96-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
WEST CENTRAL, 2 MIDDLe-SIZED CITIES: WHITE FAMILIES, TYPES II AND III

| Income class | Total fuel, light, and refrigeration | Coal |  |  | Coke and briquets | Wood and kindling | Kerosene and gasoline | Fuel oil | Electricity | Gas | Ice |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Anthracite | $\begin{gathered} \text { Bitumi- } \\ \text { nous } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) |
|  | A. Percentage of families reporting expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 | 100 | 67 | 17 | 58 |  | 50 | 67 |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-8749 | 100 | 55 | 20 | 41 | 12 | 34 | 70 |  | 80 | 17 | 69 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 98 100 108 | 70 79 | ${ }_{29}^{22}$ | 48 50 | 8 13 | 38 40 | 42 | $\stackrel{5}{2}$ | 95 97 | 40 55 | ${ }_{53}^{48}$ |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 100 | 68 | 25 | 43 | 6 | 54 | 24 | 6 | 98 | 66 | 42 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 100 | 68 | 15 | 53 | 15 | 40 | $\stackrel{25}{25}$ | 9 | 98 | 72 | $\stackrel{47}{ }$ |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | ${ }_{98}$ | 51 | 27 | ${ }_{24}$ | 32 | 50 | 14 | ${ }_{6}$ | $\stackrel{95}{99}$ | 79 | ${ }_{26}$ |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 100 | 72 | 26 | 46 | 17 | 50 | 7 | 3 | 97 | 93 | 34 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | ${ }^{96}$ | 74 | ${ }^{39}$ | ${ }_{20}^{35}$ | 7 | ${ }_{39}^{39}$ | -- | 11 | 96 | ${ }_{94}^{96}$ | 4 |
| \$83,500-\$3,999- | 100 100 | 49 | 29 40 | 20 5 | ${ }_{20}^{18}$ | 39 80 | 15 | $\stackrel{17}{24}$ | 100 100 | ${ }_{90}^{94}$ | 11 |
| \$5,000 \$nd over--.-..........-- | 100 | 44 | 13 | 31 | 13 | 38 |  | ${ }_{23}$ | 100 | 100 |  |
|  | 100 | 33 | 14 | 19 |  | 26 | 12 | 56 | 100 | 94 | 6 |
|  | B. Average expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 | \$72. 50 | \$26. 10 | \$1.30 | \$24.80 | \$2.60 | \$10.80 | \$6.90 |  | \$14.00 | \$6.90 | \$5. 20 |
| \$500-\$749 | 72.00 | ${ }^{21.30}$ | 5.30 | 16. 00 | 9.50 | 7.80 | 5. 90 |  | 18.20 | 4.70 | 4. 60 |
| \$750-\$999 | 88.60 | 31.30 | 7.60 | 23.70 | 2.10 | 8.00 | 8.50 | \$2.10 | 21.70 | 10.60 | 4.30 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 102.60 | 37.90 | 12.70 | 25. 20 | 9.30 | 3.60 | 5. 80 | 1. 40 | 24.00 | 13. 80 | 6. 80 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 127. 10 | 49.40 | 13. 90 | 35. 50 | 4.60 | 7.20 | 3. 60 | 4.00 | 32.90 | 18.90 | 6. 50 |
| \$1,500-\$8,749 | 127. 120 | ${ }^{42} 60$ | 8. 30 |  |  | 4. 80 |  | 6. 10 | ${ }^{32} 20$ | ${ }^{20.60}$ |  |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 131.40 140.50 | 37.50 31.40 | 15. 00 15.50 | 22.50 15.90 | 15.30 27.00 | 5.80 <br> 3.30 | 2.90 .70 | 3.40 4.50 | 37.90 41.50 | 22.70 28.10 | 5. 90 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 149.80 | 53.10 | 18.90 | 34.20 | 16.00 | 2.50 | 1. 40 | 2.90 | 39.90 | 28.30 | 5. 70 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 158.90 | 52.60 | 23.60 | 29.00 | 6. 20 | 1.80 |  | 21. 00 | 48.20 | 28.30 | . 80 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499- | 172.60 | 35. 60 | 20.10 | 15. 50 | 22.30 | 3.00 | 2.30 | 20.70 | 46.60 | ${ }^{40.00}$ | 2. 10 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 191.30 | 29.40 | 24.00 |  | 23. 60 |  | 3.80 |  |  |  | 8. 50 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999-.. | 176.10 235.80 | 44.30 27.10 | 16.00 8.80 | 28.30 18.30 | 13.30 | 2. 30 1.40 |  | 17.80 86.80 | 52.40 74.10 | 46.00 44.80 | 1.60 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^105]Table 7.-Household operation-Fuel, light, and refrigeration: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for fuel, light, and refrigeration, and average expenditure for such items, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
WEST CENTRAL, 2 MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES: WHITE FAMILIES, TYPES IV AND V

| Income class | Total fuel, light, and refrigeration | Coal |  |  | Coke and briquets <br> (6) | Wood and kindling ${ }^{1}$ <br> (7) | Kerosene and gasoline <br> (8) | Fuel oil <br> (9) | Electricity <br> (10) | Gas <br> (11) | Ice <br> (12) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Anthracite | Bitumi- nous |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | A. Percentage of families reporting expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 | 100 | 62 | 8 | 54 | 8 | 62 | 38 |  | 85 | 38 | 62 |
| \$500-\$749 | 94 | 45 | 3 | 42 | 11 | 73 | 53 |  | 94 | 25 | 52 |
| \$750-\$999 | 100 | 67 | 11 | 63 | 7 | 57 | 41 |  | 100 | 52 | 65 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 100 | 67 | 14 | 54 | 14 | 60 | 24 |  | 98 | 72 | 61 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 100 | 75 | 28 | 47 | 22 | 55 | 38 | 4 | 100 | 70 | 54 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 99 | 72 | 30 | 42 | 12 | 59 | 19 | 3 | 99 | 67 | 52 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 100 | 69 | 27 | 42 | 6 | 52 | 16 | 8 | 100 | 82 | 39 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 99 | 64 | 26 | 44 | 17 | 57 | 17 | 10 | 99 | 77 | 41 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 97 | 66 | 15 | 51 | 20 | 44 | 9 | 9 | 97 | 84 | 43 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 100 | 41 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 41 | ....-...-. | 27 | 100 | 94 | 30 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 100 | 66 | 30 | 36 | 13 | 52 |  | 21 | 100 | 94 | 18 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 95 100 | 43 | 16 | 31 | 10 | 36 | 11 | 28 | 95 100 | 95 | 4 |
| \$5,000 and over | 100 | 34 31 | 23 | 178 | 111 | 34 15 | 11 | 33 47 | 100 | 94 | 5 |
|  | B. Average expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 | \$78. 30 | \$22.00 | \$2. 80 | \$19.20 | \$1.90 | \$11.20 | \$5. 30 |  | \$19. 10 | \$14. 20 | \$4. 60 |
| \$500-\$749. | 85.40 | 19.80 | 1.40 | 18. 40 | 8.40 | 17.30 | 7.30 | ------------- | 20.80 | 6.20 | 5. 60 |
| \$750-\$999. | 99.50 | 31. 20 | 1.90 | 29.30 | 3.80 | 12.60 | 5.70 |  | 26.10 | 12.90 | 7.20 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 106. 60 | 35. 20 | 4.60 | 30. 60 | 6.70 | 9.50 | 1. 90 |  | 27. 10 | 19.00 | 7.20 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 131.20 | 43.30 | 16. 60 | 26.70 | 14.90 | 5.80 | 5. 50 | \$0.90 | 31. 70 | 21. 50 | 7.60 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 137.00 | 48.60 | 17.70 | 30.90 | 11. 50 | 8.30 | 2. 30 | 3. 80 | 33. 90 | 22.30 | 6.30 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | ' 146.30 | 51. 80 | 18. 20 | 33. 60 | 2. 70 | 3.70 | 1.90 | 8.00 | 42. 70 | 27. 20 | 6.80 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 157.60 | 48. 80 | 14.90 | 33.90 | 14. 30 | 6.50 | 2.30 | 9.50 | 42. 00 | 28. 20 | 6.00 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 167. 10 | 52. 10 | 10.00 | 42. 10 | 18.80 | 4.40 | 1.00 | 9.10 | 44. 90 | 29. 30 | 7.50 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999- | 193. 40 | 38.20 | 20.30 | 17.90 | 26. 60 | 3. 80 |  | 33. 80 | 52. 50 | 33. 00 | 5. 50 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 187.50 | 51.80 | 25.90 | 25. 90 | 11. 90 | 2.10 |  | 27.40 | 55. 20 | 35. 60 | 3. 50 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 154. 10 | 34. 30 | 11. 20 | 23. 10 | 10. 40 | 1. 90 |  | 24. 10 | 46. 20 | 36. 60 | . 60 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999.- | 211.10 | 35. 30 | 18.00 | 17.30 | 11. 60 | 2.00 | . 10 | 34. 90 | 72.00 | 54.10 | 1.10 |
| \$5,000 and over- | 215.40 | 27.20 | 21.10 | 6.10 | 12. 70 | 1.00 |  | 58.30 | 67.60 | 48.60 |  |

1 See explanation of tables for definition of this item.
${ }^{2}$ Includes $\$ 1.50$ for items reported as other fuel, light, and refrigeration.

Table 7.-Household operation-Fuel, light, and refrigeration: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for fuel, light, and refrigeration, and average expenditure for such items, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1935-96--Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
ROCKY MOUNTAIN, 2 MIDDLD-SIZED CITLES: WHITE FAMILIES, 5 TYPES

| Income class | Total fuel, light, and refrigeration <br> (2) | Coal |  |  | Coke and briquets <br> (6) | Wood and kindling 1 <br> (7) | Kerosene and gasoline <br> (8) | Fuel oil <br> (9) | Electricity <br> (10) | Gas <br> (11) | Ice <br> (12) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Anthracite | $\begin{gathered} \text { Bitumi- } \\ \text { nous } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1) |  | (3) | (4) | (5) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | A. Percentages of families reporting expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 | 100 | 91 |  | 91 |  | 64 | 37 |  | 87 | 4 | 35 |
| \$500-\$749 | 93 | 82 |  | 82 |  | 22 | 8 |  | 91 | 30 | 51 |
| \$750-\$999 | 98 | 88 | 1 | 87 | - | 33 | 10 |  | 92 | 22 | 54 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 100 | 72 |  | 72 | (*) | 41 | 9 | (*) | 94 | 43 | 61 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 99 | 70 | 3 | 67 |  | 38 | 5 |  | 95 | 45 | 59 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 96 | 69 | 2 | 67 | ${ }^{-1}$ | 41 | 5 | -- | 9 | 47 | 41 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 99 | 68 | 3 | 66 | 1 | 39 | 2 | - | 98 | 61 | 48 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 98 | 64 | 1 | ${ }_{58}^{63}$ |  | 35 | 2 | 1 | $\stackrel{98}{9}$ | 57 | 38 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 100 98 | 68 |  | 58 58 |  | 39 <br> 35 | 1 |  | 100 | 62 60 | 37 20 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 98 | 61 | 3 <br> 2 | 68 |  | 35 30 | 1 | 2 | 98 | 76 | 21 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 100 | 43 |  | 43 |  | 16 | 2 | 3 | 100 | 68 | 17 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999. | 100 | 49 |  | 49 |  | 28 |  |  | 100 | 81 | 6 |
| \$5,000 and over.................. | 100 | 28 | 2 | 26 |  | 8 |  | 4 | 100 | 85 | 20 |
|  | B. A verage expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499.. | \$65. 10 | \$41.50 |  | \$41. 50 | ---------- | \$3.70 | \$3. 70 | ---------- | \$13. 70 | \$0.80 | \$1. 70 |
| \$500-\$749 | 61.50 | 29.20 |  | 29.20 | -------- | 1. 20 | . 20 | ---------- | 17.70 | 10.70 | 2. 50 |
| \$750-\$999 | 86.20 | 48.60 | \$0.80 | 47.80 |  | 3.10 | . 50 | ------ | 22.80 | 6.90 | 4.30 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 94. 30 | 39.80 |  | 39.80 | \$0. 10 | 3.10 | . 70 | (*) | 26. 60 | 18.30 | 5. 70 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 105. 50 | 40.50 | 2.00 | 38.50 |  | 2. 20 | . 20 |  | 31.00 | 25.80 | 5.80 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 108.50 | 42. 60 | 2.00 | 40.60 |  | 2.90 | . 70 |  | 32.90 | 24.40 | 5.00 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 131.40 | 40.50 | 2.30 | 38.20 | . 20 | 2.60 | . 10 |  | 43.00 | 39. 40 | 5. 60 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 131.60 | 38.00 | . 10 | 37.90 |  | 1. 90 | ${ }^{*}$ *) | \$0.90 | 44.80 | 40.80 | 5. 20 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 144.60 | 34. 30 |  | 34.30 | . 20 | 2. 40 | (*) |  | 52.40 | 50.10 | 5. 20 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 142.50 | 40.00 | . 80 | 39.20 |  | 2.30 | (*) |  | 56.60 | 41. 20 | 2. 40 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 166. 20 | 42. 30 | 1. 20 | 41.10 | -------- | 1.40 | - | 2.70 | 61.70 | 55.30 | 2. 80 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999. | 159.50 | 24. 30 |  | 24.30 | ------- | . 80 | . 20 | 5.10 | 61.80 | 63.80 | 3. 50 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 187.30 230.20 | 26.90 20.10 | 1.20 | 26.90 18.90 |  | .80 .40 |  | 9.80 | 71.30 85.80 | 87.50 109.00 | 8. 80 5.10 |
| \$,00 and over. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ See explanation of tables for definition of this item.
*Percentages of less than 0.5 and average amounts of less than $\$ 0.05$ are not shown.

Table 7.-Household operation-Fuel, light, and refrigeration: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for fuel, light, and refrigeration, and average expenditure for such items, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
ROCKY MOUNTAIN, 2 MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES: WHITE FAMILIES, TYPE I

| Income class | Total fuel, light, and refrigeration | Coal |  |  | Coke and briquets <br> (6) | Wood and kindling : <br> (7) | Kerosene and gasoline <br> (8) | Fuel oil(9) | Electricity <br> (10) | Gas <br> (11) | Ice <br> (12) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Anthracite | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bitumi- } \\ & \text { nous } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | A. Percentage of families reporting expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 | 100 | 100 |  | 100 |  | 100 | 50 |  | 100 |  |  |
| \$500-\$749. | 87 | 75 |  | 75 | -------- | 31 | 4 | --.---- | 83 | 31 | 39 |
| \$750-\$999 | 100 | 76 | 3 | 73 | -..------ | 32 | 7 | ----- | 90 | 30 | 49 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 100 | 63 |  | 63 | --- | 30 | 9 | ------- | 89 | 52 | 59 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 100 | 64 | 6 | 58 | ------- | 32 | 10 | -- | 92 | 41 | 55 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749- | 92 100 | $\stackrel{57}{61}$ | 1 | 56 61 | ---------------- | 35 35 | 2 | -.... | 92 100 | 74 | 33 40 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 98 | 52 |  | 52 |  | 26 | 2 |  | 98 | 62 | 41 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499. | 100 | 61 |  | 61 | 10 | 43 | --------- |  | 100 | 53 | 33 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 100 | 52 |  | 52 | ----------- | 37 |  |  | 100 | 58 | 19 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499. | 100 | 67 | 9 | 58 | --------- | 17 |  |  | 100 | 76 | 16 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999. | 100 | 46 | ........... | 46 | -..--..... | 12 |  |  | 100 | 75 | 29 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999.. | 100 | 49 |  | 49 |  | 19 |  |  | 100 | 81 |  |
| \$5,000 and over......-.-. -- | 100 | 33 |  | 33 |  |  |  |  | 100 | 77 | 33 |
|  | B. Average expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499. | \$69.10 | \$44.30 | ------------ | \$44.30 | -------- | \$5.50 | \$4. 50 | ------ | \$14.80 |  |  |
| \$000-\$749 | 55. 10 | 26.20 | , | 26. 20 | -------- | 2. 10 | (*) | ----... | 15. 00 | \$10.30 | \$1. 50 |
| \$750-8999 | 85.10 | 44.90 | \$2.40 | 42. 50 | ---------- | 4. 50 | . 20 | -------- | 19.60 | 11. 40 | 4. 50 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 75.90 | 31.40 |  | 31. 40 | ---------- | 1. 70 | . 30 | -------- | 23.40 | 13.40 | 5. 70 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 104.10 | 34.70 | 1. 10 | 33. 60 | --------- | 1. 270 | . 30 | ------- | 29.60 | 30.90 15.60 | 7.20 3.80 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 86.80 | 32.20 | . 70 | 31. 50 | --------- | 2. 1. 1 |  | ----- | 32.50 40.00. | 15. 60 | 3.80 5.00 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 122.20 127.40 | 31.00 33.80 |  | 31.00 33.80 | ------------- | 1. 1.10 | . 10 | ---- | 40.00 43.50 | 44.20 42.10 | 5. 00 6.90 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 141.40 | 34.30 |  | 34.30 | $\$ 0.60$ | 3. 20 |  |  | 51.00 | 46. 30 | 6.00 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 133.70 | 26.90 |  | 26.90 |  | 2.80 |  |  | 58.70 | 42. 50 | 2.80 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 139.40 | 37.60 | 4. 30 | 33. 30 |  | . 20 |  |  | 55.90 | 43.90 | 1.80 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999. | 140. 50 | 24. 70 |  | 24. 70 |  | 1. 20 |  |  | 54. 60 | 57. 00 | 3.00 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999. | 143.70 | 13. 80 | .....-...-. | 13.80 | -------- | . 40 |  |  | 67.50 66.30 | 62.00 55.20 |  |
| \$5,000 and over.-. | 151.20 | 18.40 | ...........-- | 18. 40 |  |  |  |  | 66.30 | 55.20 | 11.30 |

${ }^{1}$ See explanation of tables for definition of this item.
*Average amounts of less than $\$ 0.05$ are not shown.

Table 7.--Household operation-Fuel, light, and refrigeration: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for fuel, light, and refrigeration, and average expenditure for such items, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1935-96-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
ROCKY mountain, $\boldsymbol{2}$ middle-sized cities: white families, types il and iil

| Income class | Total fuel, light, and refrigeration | Coal |  |  | Coke and briquets <br> (6) | Wood and kindling ${ }^{1}$ <br> (7) | Kerosene and gasoline <br> (8) | Fuel oil <br> (9) | Electricity <br> (10) | Gas <br> (11) | Ice <br> (12) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Anthracite | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bitumi- } \\ & \text { nous } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 250-\$ 499$ <br> \$750-\$999 <br> \$1,000-\$1,249 <br> $\$ 1,250-\$ 1,499$ <br> \$1,500-\$1,749 <br> \$1,750-\$1,999 <br> $\$ 2,000-\$ 2,249$ <br> $\$ 2,250-\$ 2,499$ <br> $\$ 2,500-\$ 2,999$ <br> $\$ 3,000-\$ 3,499$ <br> \$3,500-\$3,999 <br> $\$ 4,000-\$ 4,999$ <br> $\$ 5,000$ and over | A. Percentage of families reporting expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 100 | 75 |  | 75 |  | 37 | 25 |  | 62 | 12 |  |
|  | 100 | ${ }_{01}^{90}$ |  | 90 |  | ${ }_{28}^{20}$ | 15 | --------- | ${ }_{92}^{98}$ | ${ }_{18} 3$ | ${ }_{47}$ |
|  | 100 | 82 |  | 82 | 1 | 45 | 10 | 1 | 96 | 30 | 55 |
|  | 97 | 61 |  | 61 |  | 32 |  |  | 94 | 53 | 56 |
|  | ${ }^{97}$ | 73 | ${ }_{8}^{3}$ | 70 |  | ${ }_{38}^{39}$ | 10 |  | 93 | ${ }_{48}^{43}$ | 44 |
|  | 100 | 68 |  | 68 |  | 40 | 4 |  | ${ }_{98}$ | 55 | 40 |
|  | 98 | 46 |  | 46 |  | 28 |  |  | 98 | 75 | 36 |
|  | 91 | ${ }_{58}^{63}$ | 9 | 54 |  | ${ }_{32}$ | 4 |  | ${ }_{94}^{91}$ | 60 | 22 |
|  | 100 | 35 |  | 35 |  | 14 |  | 9 | 100 | 75 | 5 |
|  | 100 | ${ }_{5}^{53}$ |  | 53 |  | 30 |  |  | 100 | 88 | 12 |
|  | 100 | 36 | 9 |  |  |  |  | 9 | 100 | 91 |  |
|  | B. A verage expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 | \$47. 10 | \$25. 80 |  | \$25. 80 |  | \$1. 40 | \$4. 10 |  | \$9. 40 | \$2. 40 |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 69.20 | 34.3046.304.40 | --.------ | $\$ 34.30$ 34 46 40 |  | .401.80 | .50.30.00 |  | 19.5023.60 | 11.30 4.70 |  |
| \$750-\$999-1. | ${ }_{80}^{80.00}$ |  |  | 47.4047 |  |  |  |  |  | 14. 60 | 3. ${ }^{3} 20$ |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 99.70 | 46.40 47.30 |  |  | \$0. 30 | 3. 20 2. 50 |  | \$0.10 | 29. 20 |  | 4. 00 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 96.60 113.10 10 | $46.20$ | \$1.80 | 44.40 |  | 3.00 | 1.80 |  | 32.80 | 24.00 | 5. 30 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 130.70 |  | 5.40 | 33.1037.60 | 40 | 2.40 | .30.10 |  | 44.0044.60 | 39.70 | 5. 40 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 124. 10 | 37.6027.90 |  |  |  | 2.00 |  |  |  | 34. 70 | 5. 10 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | ${ }^{142.10}$ |  |  | 27.90 35.10 |  | 1.602.002 | . 10 |  | 51.70 | 56.60 | 4. 30 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 144.20 | 37.90 | 2.80 | 42.60 |  |  |  |  |  | 49.80 | 2. 90 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 167.30 <br> 172.10 | 18. 20 |  |  |  | 1.40 .60 |  | 15.40 |  | 57.70 | 2. 40 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999. | 196.80 | 39.30 |  | 39.30 30 |  | $\begin{array}{r}1.20 \\ . \\ \hline\end{array}$ |  |  | 70.9097.70 | 82.90114.20 | $\begin{array}{r}2.50 \\ \\ \hline\end{array}$ |
| \$5,000 and over- | 254.00 | 26.70 | 6.30 | 20.40 |  |  |  | 13.80 |  |  |  |

[^106]Table 7.-Household operation-Fuel, light, and refrigeration: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for fuel, light, and refrigeration, and average expenditure for such items, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1935- 86 -Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
ROCKY MOUN'RAIN, 2 MIDDLE-SIZED CLTIES: WHITE FAMILIES, TYPES IV AND $\nabla$

${ }^{1}$ See explanation of tables for definition of this item.
*A verage amounts of less than $\$ 0.05$ are not shown.

Table 7.-Household operation-Fuel, light, and refrigeration: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for fuel, light, and refrigeration, and average expenditure for such items, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
PACIEIC NORTHWEST, 3 MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES: WHITE FAMILIES, 5 TYPES

| Income class | Total fuel, light, and refrigeration <br> (2) | Coal |  |  | Coke and briquets <br> (6) | Wood and kindling 1 <br> (7) | Kerosene and gasoline <br> (8) | Fuel oil <br> (9) | Electricity <br> (10) | Gas <br> (11) | Ice <br> (12) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Anthracite | $\begin{gathered} \text { Bitumi- } \\ \text { nous } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1) |  | (3) | (4) | (5) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | A. Percentage of families reporting expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 | 100 | 16 |  | 16 |  | 68 |  |  | 90 | 10 | 16 |
| \$500-\$749 | 100 | 11 |  | 11 |  | 86 | 4 | (*) | 98 | 8 | 12 |
| \$750-\$999 | 100 | 18 |  | 18 |  | 90 | 2 |  | 97 | 2 | 8 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 96 | 16 |  | 16 | 2 | 88 | (*) | (*) | 95 | 3 | 19 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 99 | 13 | 1 | 12 | 3 | 90 |  |  | 93 | 10 | 19 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 100 | 15 | 1 | 15 | (*) | 89 |  | 6 | 99 | 17 | 22 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 99 | 21 | 1 | 21 | (*) | 84 | 2 | 9 | 99 | 21 | 22 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 100 | 18 | 3 | 15 |  | 94 | (*) | 9 | 100 | 21 | 29 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 99 | 23 | 2 | 21 | 1 | 82 |  | 9 | 99 | 14 | 23 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 100 | 21 | 2 | 19 |  | 78 | 2 | 12 | 100 | 33 | 20 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 100 | 8 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 79 | .-....-. | 48 | 100 | 35 | 8 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 100 | 22 | 4 | 18 | 4 | 88 | .-....-.-- | 26 | 100 | 40 | 29 |
| \$5,000 and over.---.............. | 100 | 10 |  | 10 |  | 70 |  | 40 | 100 | 42 | 11 |
|  | 100 | 18 | 10 | 8 | 4 | 69 |  | 83 | 100 | 37 | 36 |
|  | B. Average expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 | \$42. 40 | \$0. 20 |  | \$0.20 |  | \$26.80 |  |  | \$12.40 | \$2. 40 | \$0.60 |
| \$500-\$749 | 54. 60 | 2. 30 |  | 2.30 |  | 31.80 | \$0.20 | \$0.20 | 17.70 | 1. 70 | . 70 |
| \$750-\$999 | 51.70 | 2.20 |  | 2.20 |  | 31. 40 | (*). 20 |  | 16.90 | . 50 | . 50 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 62.10 | 1. 80 |  | 1.80 | (*) | 36. 60 | (*) | . 20 | 22.00 | . 60 | . 90 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 65.60 | 1.90 |  | 1. 90 | \$0.40 | 35. 30 | . 10 | 1. 30 | 23. 50 | 2. 00 | 1. 10 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 78.00 | 4.40 | \$0. 30 | 4. 10 | . 10 | 38.30 | . 20 | 1. 90 | 27. 40 | 4. 50 | 1. 20 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 89. 10 | 4.00 | ${ }^{(*)}$ | 4.00 | . 10 | 40.60 | . 50 | 2. 50 | 32. 40 | 7.40 | 1. 60 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | $\begin{array}{r}97.30 \\ 9100.30 \\ \hline 111.50\end{array}$ | 5. 60 | 1.40 | 4. 20 | . 20 | 44.00 | . 10 | 5. 40 | 35. 30 | 4. 50 4.30 | 2. 20 2.00 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | ${ }^{9} 100.30$ | 4. 00 | . 30 | 3.70 5.00 | . 30 | 41. 60 | +60 +120 | 4. 60 10.70 | 42.40 46.90 | 4.30 9.20 | 2.00 1.60 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 111.50 | 5. 60 2. 80 | . 60 | 5.00 2.50 |  | 36.30 33.20 | 1. 20 | 10.70 42.70 | 46.90 58.80 | 9.20 9.50 | 1.60 .40 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 155.60 | 10.50 | 1.40 | 9.10 | (). 80 | 35. 90 |  | 26. 70 | 65.00 | 12. 20 | 4. 50 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999.- | 154.50 | 3.10 |  | 3.10 |  | 33.30 |  | 36.90 | 63.90 | 15.90 | 1. 40 |
| \$5,000 and over | 249.20 | 6. 00 | 1.20 | 4.80 | . 30 | 16. 10 |  | 114.80 | 89.90 | 18.10 | 4.00 |

[^107]*Percentages of less than 0.5 and average amounts of less than $\$ 0.05$ are not shown

Table 7.-Household operation-Fuel, light, and refrigeration: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for fuel, light, and refrigeration, and average expenditure for such items, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1995-96-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]

| Income class | Total fuel, light, and refrigeration | Coal |  |  | Coke and briquets <br> (6) | Wood and kindling ${ }^{1}$ <br> (7) | Kerosene and gasoline <br> (8) | Fuel oil <br> (9) | Electricity <br> (10) | Gas <br> (11) | Ice <br> (12) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Anthracite | Bituminous |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | A. Percentage of families reporting expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499. | 100 | 25 |  | 25 |  | 100 |  |  | 100 |  | 25 |
| \$500-8749 | 100 | 14 |  | 14 |  | 94 | 8 |  | 97 | 11 | 11 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | ${ }_{90}$ | 23 |  | 23 |  | 84 |  | 1 | 90 | 3 | 20 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 98 | 17 |  | 17 | 8 | 78 | 8 | 6 | 81 | 17 | 16 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999.. | 100 | ${ }_{9}$ | -...-......- | ${ }_{9}$ |  | 62 |  | 4 | 100 | 41 | 32 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 100 | 14 | 4 | 10 | - | 86 |  | 16 | 100 | 23 | 24 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 100 | 15 | - | 15 | 3 | 69 | 7 | 17 | 98 100 | 47 | ${ }_{23}^{26}$ |
|  | 100 100 | 111 | --------- | 40 |  | 56 |  | ${ }^{33}$ | 100 | 34 | 11 |
|  | 100 |  |  | 40 |  | 109 |  | 41 | 100 | 30 30 | 40 |
| $\$ 5,000$ and over | 100 | 20 |  | 20 |  | 50 | -----....-- | 100 | 100 | 70 | 20 |
|  | B. Average expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-499 | \$52. 10 | \$0.30 |  | \$0. 30 |  | \$37.00 |  |  | \$13.80 |  | \$1.00 |
| \$500-\$749 | 53.60 50.00 | 2. 70 | --............- | 2.90 |  | 35. 30 30 | \$0.40 |  | 15.20 15.30 | $\$ 1.50$ 1.30 | . 30 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 54.50 | 2.20 |  | 2. 20 |  | 30.70 |  | \$0.70 | 19.90 | ${ }^{*}{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 1. 00 |
| \$1,250-\$1, 499 | ${ }_{59}^{56.60}$ | 1.30 |  | 1. 30 | \$1. 10 | ${ }_{2}^{26.10}$ | . 20 | 2. 80 | 21. 20 | $\begin{array}{r}3.30 \\ 5.60 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | ${ }^{.60}$ |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 59.90 | 2. 30 |  | 2.40 3 |  | 29.80 28.60 |  | - ${ }^{60}$ | 20.40 | 5. 60 | 1. 20 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 77.20 80.10 | 3. 80 | \$0.90 | 2.90 |  | 31.70 |  | 8.90 | 29.60 | 3. 70 | 2. 40 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 85.00 | 2. 20 |  | 2.20 | 1.20 | 24.30 | 2.00 | 7.00 | 42.80 | 2.30 | 3. 20 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 87.80 | 2.30 |  | 2.30 |  | ${ }^{23.20}$ | 3. 80 | 10.70 | ${ }^{34 .} 20$ | 12. 80 | . 80 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 121.20 | 4.80 18.40 |  | 4.80 18.40 |  | 22.00 21.80 |  | 23. 220 | 61.10 50.80 | 9. 11.80 | .70 4.00 |
| \$4,000-\$1,999 | 121.90 |  |  |  |  | 20.70 |  | 48.90 | 47.00 | 5.30 |  |
| \$5,000 and over-............. | 357. 50 | 3.00 |  | 3.00 |  | 20.80 |  | 183.00 | 106. 50 | 40.20 | 4.00 |

${ }^{1}$ See explanation of tables for defintion of fhis item.
*Average amounts of less than $\$ 0.05$ are not shown.

Table 7.-Household operation-Fuel, light, and refrigeration: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for fuel, light, and refrigeration, and average expenditure for such items, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1995-36-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
PACIFIC NORTHWEST, 3 MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES: WHITE FAMILIES, TYPES II AND III


[^108]${ }^{2}$ Includes $\$ 1.40$ for items reported as other fuel, light, and refrigeration.

Table 7.-Household operation-Fuel, light, and refrigeration: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for fuel, light, and refrigeration, and average expenditure for such items, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1995-96-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
PACIFIC NORTHWEST, 3 middle-sized Cities: White families, types iv and v

| Income class | Total fuel, light, and refrigeration <br> (2) | Coal |  |  | Coke and briquets <br> (6) | Wood and kindling ${ }^{1}$ <br> (7) | Kerosene and gasoline <br> (8) | Fuel oil <br> (9) | Electricity <br> (10) | Gas <br> (11) | Ice <br> (12) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Anthracite | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bitumi- } \\ & \text { nous } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1) |  | (3) | (4) | (5) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | A. Percentage of families reporting expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$489 | ( $\dagger$ ) |  |  |  |  | ( $\dagger$ ) |  |  | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100 | 4 | 20 |
| \$750-\$999 | 100 | 13 |  | 13 |  | 78 | 7 | -..----.- | 93 |  | 3 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 99 | 6 |  | 6 |  | 91 |  |  | 99 | 3 | 10 |
| \$1,250 \$1,499. | 100 | 4 |  | 4 |  | 96 | 3 | 2 | 99 | ${ }^{6}$ | 23 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 100 | 13 |  | 13 | 2 | 97 | 3 | 4 | 100 | 11 | 26 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 99 | 28 | 2 | 28 | 1 | 90 | 3 | 5 | 99 | 16 | 23 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 100 | 17 | 4 | 13 | 4 | 97 | ---- | 8 | 100 | 22 | 31 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 100 | 29 30 | $\stackrel{6}{5}$ | 23 | - | 90 | - | 8 | 100 | 12 | 17 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 100 | 30 | 5 | 25 |  | 82 | ------- | 19 | 100 100 | 28 | 12 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 100 | 27 | 8 | 19 | 8 | 96 |  | 19 | 100 | 24 | 27 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999. | 100 | 10 |  | 10 |  | 71 |  | 34 | 100 | 50 | 12 |
| \$5,000 and over. | 100 | 18 | 18 |  |  | 71 |  | 82 | 100 | 18 | 35 |
|  | - B. A verage expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499.. | ( $\dagger$ ) |  |  |  |  | ( $\dagger$ |  |  | (†) | (t) |  |
| \$500-\$749 | \$56. 70 |  |  |  |  | \$28. 50 |  |  | \$24. 70 | \$1.90 | \$1.60 |
| \$750 \$999 | 52.70 | \$0.90 |  | \$0.90 |  | 33. 60 | \$0. 70 |  | 17. 40 |  | . 10 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 67. 10 | . 60 |  | . 60 |  | 40. 20 |  |  | 24. 50 | 1. 50 | . 30 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 67. 50 | . 20 |  | . 20 |  | 40.40 |  | \$0.70 | 23.40 | 1. 40 | 1. 40 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749.. | 89.50 | 3.30 |  | 3.30 | \$0. 20 | 48.00 | ${ }^{*}{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 3.30 | 30.80 | 2. 70 | 1. 20 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999.- | 99.20 | 5. 20 | \$0.10 | 5. 10 | . 20 | 46. 60 | 1.00 | 2.40 | 37. 90 | 4. 70 | 1. 20 |
| \$2,000-\$2, 249 | 107.40 | 4.90 | 1.10 | 3.80 | . 50 | 53.90 | -..-.-.-.-. | 3. 80 | 37. 10 | 5. 10 | 2. 10 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 104.00 136.00 | 5.50 8.90 | 1.00 1.60 | 4.50 7.30 | ----------- | 47.70 41.00 | --------- | 3.10 21.20 | 43. 10 54.50 | 3.80 9.10 | .80 1.30 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | $\begin{aligned} & 136.00 \\ & 183.50 \end{aligned}$ | 8.90 | 1.60 | 7.30 |  | 41.00 36.30 |  | 21. 20 | 54.50 67.40 | 9. 10 5.50 | 1. 30 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | $183.50$ |  |  |  |  | 36.30 38.90 |  | 74. 30 18. 90 | 67.40 67.30 | 5.50 10.40 |  |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | $\begin{aligned} & 156.10 \\ & 148.50 \end{aligned}$ | 14.00 5.60 | 3.30 | 10.70 5.60 | 1.80 | 38.90 33.00 |  | 18.90 25.10 | 67.30 60.90 | 10. 23. 20 | 4.80 .70 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999..-- | 148.50 214.20 | 5.60 2.10 | 2.10 | 5.60 |  | 33.00 17.70 |  | 25.10 99.10 | 60.90 86.60 | 23.20 7.40 | .70 1.30 |

1 See explanation of tables for definition of this item. $\dagger$ Percentages and averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases

Table 7.-Household operation-Fuel, light, and refrigeration: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for fuel, light, and refrigeration, and average expenditure for such items, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1935-86-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
new england, 4 small cities: white families, 5 Types

| Income class | Total fuel, light, and refrigeration | Coal |  |  | Coke and briquets <br> (6) | Wood and kindling ${ }^{1}$ <br> (7) | Kerosene and gasoline <br> (8) | Fuel ofl <br> (9) | Electricity <br> (10) | Gas <br> (11) | Ice <br> (12) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Anthracite | $\begin{gathered} \text { Bitumi- } \\ \text { nous } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | A. Percentage of families reporting expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 100 | 32 | 16 | 16 |  | 33 | 64 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 100 | ${ }_{29}^{26}$ | 25 | 1 | 6 | 43 | 69 | 5 |  |  |  |
|  | 100 | 43 | 41 | 2 | 18 | 38 | 73 | 8 | 98 | 38 | 75 |
|  | 100 | $\stackrel{45}{55}$ | 41 | 4 | 15 | 41 | $\stackrel{64}{55}$ | 7 | 96 | ${ }_{6}^{53}$ | 70 |
|  | 100 | 63 | 57 | 8 | 13 | 38 | ${ }_{65}$ | 11 | 100 | ${ }_{71}^{66}$ | 60 |
|  | 100 | 62 | 58 | 4 | 24 | 37 | 62 | 10 | 97 | 71 | 47 |
|  | 100 | 58 | 53 50 | 5 | 21 | $\stackrel{42}{42}$ | ${ }_{30}^{59}$ | 14 | 100 100 | 75 | ${ }_{26}^{41}$ |
|  | 100 | 48 | ${ }_{38}$ | 10 | 11 | ${ }_{33}$ | 32 | 35 | 100 100 | ${ }_{72}^{64}$ | ${ }_{28}^{26}$ |
|  | B. Average expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 | \$66. 50 | \$16. 70 | \$6. 60 | \$10. 10 |  | \$6.50 | \$22. 10 |  | \$12. 20 | \$1.30 | \$7. 70 |
| \$500-\$749 | 95. 90 | 16. 60 | 16. 50 | . 10 | \$3. 10 | 12. 20 | 28.40 | \$1. 40 | ${ }_{2}^{21.70}$ | 7.00 | 5. 50 |
| \$750-\$999-2- | 99.50 | 15.20 | 13.10 | 2. 10 |  | 8. 80 | 30.00 33.90 | 2.70 4.30 | 22.30 26.90 | 6. 60 | 6.40 7.70 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 120.70 131.80 | 250. 40 | 24.20 27.90 | 1. 2.00 | 8.20 7.70 | 6.40 8.20 | 39.90 29.9 | 4. 30 2.60 | -28.90 | 8.10 16.40 | 8. 10 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 159.30 | 39.10 | 37.70 | 1.40 | 9. 10 | 8.00 | 29.80 | 10. 10 | 35.70 | 20.80 | 6. 70 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 173. 30 | 49.50 | 44. 50 | 5.00 | 8.20 | 7.70 | 31.40 | 7.60 | 36.60 | 22.80 | 9. 50 |
| \$2,000-\$2.249 | 180.20 | 51.50 | 49.30 | 2. 20 | 16. 60 | 6. 40 | 29.80 | 4.50 | 40.00 | 23.80 | 7. 60 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 185.80 | 49.80 | 47.00 | 2.80 | 13. 30 | 8. 20 | 24. 80 | 13. 60 | 44.40 | ${ }^{25.80}$ | 5. 90 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999... | 186.50 237.00 | 48.00 48.30 | 40.00 40.90 | 8.00 7.40 | 11.40 11.70 | 4.70 5.20 | 14.10 16.00 | 25.50 46.70 | 50.70 61.20 | 27.00 43.50 | 5.10 4.40 |
| 0,00 ana |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ See explanation of tables for definition of this item.

Table 7.-Household operation-Fuel, light, and refrigeration: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for fuel, light, and refrigeration, and average expenditure for such items, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1995-96-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
NEW ENGLAND, 4 SMALL CITIES: WHITE FAMILIES, TYPE I

| Income class | Total fuel, light, and refrigeration | Coal |  |  | Coke and briquets <br> (6) | Wood and kindling ${ }^{1}$ <br> (7) | Kerosene and gasoline <br> (8) | Fuel oil <br> (9) | Electricity <br> (10) | Gas <br> (11) | Ice <br> (12) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Anthracite | Bituminous |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | A. Percentage of families reporting expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499. | 100 | 57 | 28 | 29 |  | 57 | 57 |  | 57 |  |  |
| \$500- 8749 | 100 | 31 | 31 |  | 7 | ${ }_{38}^{38}$ | 51 | 7 | 89 | 58 | ${ }^{60}$ |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 100 | 46 | 40 | 6 | 19 | 35 | 62 | 6 | 95 | 58 | 64 |
| \$1,200-\$1,499- | 100 | ${ }_{49}$ | ${ }_{46}^{46}$ | $\stackrel{4}{3}$ | 14 | ${ }_{25}$ | ${ }_{42}$ | ${ }^{21}$ | 89 | ${ }^{64}$ | 58 38 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 100 | 64 | 58 | 8 |  | 32 | 67 | 13 | 100 | 79 | 58 |
| \$2,000- \$2,249 | 100 | $6_{6}^{66}$ | 60 | ${ }_{8}^{6}$ | ${ }^{12}$ | ${ }^{36}$ | 55 | 19 | 100 | 77 | 28 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499- | 100 100 | 56 <br> 55 | ${ }_{33}^{48}$ | $\stackrel{8}{22}$ | ${ }_{11}^{21}$ | ${ }_{33}^{29}$ | $\stackrel{62}{22}$ | 30 | 100 100 | 90 67 | ${ }_{33}^{37}$ |
| \$3,000 and over--..-.-........... | 100 | 64 | 50 | 14 | 7 | 36 | 29 | 29 | 100 | 71 | 36 |
|  | B. Average expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499. | \$80. 70 | \$29.30 | \$11. 60 | \$17.70 |  | \$11. 40 | \$17.70 |  | \$10. 30 | \$2. 30 | \$9.70 |
| \$500-\$749 | 100.90 | 27. 90 | 27.90 |  | \$3. 20 | 10:40 | 18. 80 | \$2.90 | 20. 40 | 11.60 | 5. 70 |
| \$750-\$999 | 99. 50 | 19.70 | 18.00 | 1.70 | 9.90 | 9. 40 | 23. 10 | 1. 60 | $\begin{array}{r}21.00 \\ \hline 26.80\end{array}$ | 8. 40 | 6. 40 |
| \$1,000- $\$ 1,249$ | 121.00 | 30.70 38 | 28.40 | 2.30 | 9. 90 | 5. 200 |  |  | $\begin{array}{r}26.80 \\ -\quad 27.00 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 10.70 20.20 | 6. 70 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 135.20 149.50 | 38.70 36.80 | 36.40 35.50 | 2.30 1.30 | 7.50 10.60 | 2.90 4.60 | 28.70 18.10 | 2.20 16.50 | - $\begin{array}{r}27.00 \\ \hline 31.80\end{array}{ }^{\text {a }}$ ( | 20.20 26.40 | 8. 4.70 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999- | 163. 30 | 47. 60 | 42.30 | 5. 30 |  | 5. 10 | 32.10 | 12. 20 | 34.70 | 23.50 | 8.10 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 166. 20 | 56.40 | 53. 20 | 3. 20 | 8. 80 | 2. 20 | 20.40 | 7.70 | 39. 50 | 24.50 | 6. 70 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 190. 80 | 49.80 | ${ }^{45.10}$ | 4. 70 | 13.80 | 2. 90 | 20. 70 | ${ }^{27.10}$ | 38. 60 | 31.60 | 6. 30 |
| \$3,000 and ove | 162.30 202.90 | 29.60 | ${ }_{48.20}$ | +13. 40 | 11.90 8.20 | 5. 40 | 12.30 | 25. 20 40.90 | 51. 40 | 23.60 | 5. 50 |

[^109]Table 7.-Household operation-Fuel, light, and refrigeration: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for fuel, light, and refrigeration, and average expenditure for such items, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1935-s6-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
NEW ENGLAND, 4 SMALL CITIES: WHITE FAMILIES, TYPES II AND III

| Income class | Total fuel, light, and refrigeration | Coal |  |  | Coke and briquets <br> (6) | Wood and kindling ${ }^{1}$ <br> (7) | Kerosene and casoline <br> (8) | Fuel oil <br> (9) | Electricity <br> (10) | Gas <br> (11) | Ice <br> (12) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Anthracite | Bitumi- nous |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | A. Percentage of families reporting expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499. | ( $\dagger$ ) |  |  |  |  |  | ( $\dagger$ ) |  | ( $\dagger$ ) |  | ( $\dagger$ ) |
| \$500-\$749. | 100 | 21 | 19 | 2 | 5 | 48 |  |  | 89 |  |  |
| \$750-\$999 | 100 | 17 | 15 | 2 | 8 | 34 | 76 | 9 | 100 | 27 | 67 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 100 | 32 | 31 | 1 | 24 | 38 | 88 | 8 | 100 | 24 | 79 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 100 | 39 | 37 | 2 | 14 | 47 | 63 | $\stackrel{3}{1}$ | 96 | 54 | 72 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 100 | 59 | 58 | 1 | 15 | 47 | 56 | 12 | 98 | 62 | 58 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 100 | 65 | 62 | 3 | 17 | 39 | 57 | 15 | 100 | 80 | 63 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 100 | 62 | 54 | 8 | 17 | ${ }_{50}^{33}$ | 67 | ${ }^{6}$ | 88 | ${ }^{67}$ | 54 |
| \$2, 2 ,500-\$2,999 | 100 | $\stackrel{64}{63}$ | 64 50 | 13 | 16 | 50 38 | 4 | 19 | 100 | 78 56 | 12 |
| \$3,000 and over | 100 | 44 | 35 | 9 | 13 | 26 | 30 | 43 | 100 | 74 | 17 |
|  | B. Average expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 250-\$ 499$ | (t) | \$4. 10 | \$3. 70 | \$0. 40 | \$2. 60 | \$12.30 | $\stackrel{(\dagger)}{\$ 33.30}$ |  |  | \$1.00 | ( $\dagger$ ) |
|  | \$81. 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$5.60 |
| \$750-\$999 | 94. 20 | 6.70 | 4.60 | 2.10 | 4.00 | 6.40 | 39.20 | $\cdots$$\cdots+\cdots$.$\$ 4.50$4.201.309.608.003.106.2017.6050.00 | $\$ 22.40$ 22.50 | 4.20 | 6. 70 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 115.10 | 17.40 | 17.00 | . 40 | 10.30 | 6. 40 | 38. 10 |  | 25.60 | 5.20 | 7.90 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 121. 70 | 21.50 | 20.60 | . 90 | 8. 80 | 9.50 | 27.20 |  | 28.10 | 17.50 | 7.80 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 162.30 | 39.50 | 39. 00 | . 50 | 7.60 | 9.50 | 32.40 |  | 39.20 | 16.70 | 7.80 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 175. 10 | 51.40 | 48. 70 | 2. 70 | 11. 10 | 6.00 | 25. 60 |  | 35.50 | 27.10 | 10. 40 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 179.50 | 50.50 | 46.20 | 4.30 | 10. 20 | 4. 30 | 36. 70 |  | 40.20 | 25. 80 | 8.70 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 177. 40 | 51.80 | 51. 80 |  | 11.20 | 6.10 | 23.40 |  | 49.80 | 24.90 | 4. 00 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999. | 208. 30 | 65.40 | 55.90 | 9.50 | 17.20 | 4.10 | 21.00 |  | 53.80 | 26.60 | 2. 60 |
| \$3,000 and over- | 230.40 | 44. 70 | 38.30 | 6.40 | 18.30 | 3.90 | 17.80 |  | 59.00 | 34.40 | 2.30 |

${ }^{1}$ See explanation of tables for definition of this item.
$\dagger$ Percentages and averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

Table 7.-Household operation-Fuel, light, and refrigeration: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for fuel, light, and refrigeration, and average expenditure for such items, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1935-96-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
NEW ENGLAND, 4 SMALL. CITIES: WHITE FAMILIES, TYPES IV AND $V$

| Income class | Total fuel, light, and refrigeration | Coal |  |  | Coke and briquets <br> (6) | Wood and kindling 1 <br> (7) | Kerosene and gasoline <br> (8) | Fuel oil <br> (9) | Electricity <br> (10) | Gas <br> (11) | Ice <br> (12) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Anthracite | $\underset{\substack{\text { Bitumi- } \\ \text { nous }}}{ }$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | A. Percentage of families reporting expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499.. | ( $\dagger$ ) |  |  |  |  |  | ( $\dagger$ ) |  | ( ${ }^{\text {) }}$ |  | ( $\dagger$ ) |
| \$500-\$749 | 100 | 24 | 24 |  | 8 | 48 |  |  |  | 32 | 76 |
| \$750-\$999 | 100 | 55 | 50 | 5 | 17 | 44 | 67 |  | 94 | 50 | 61 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 100 | 57 | 57 |  | 6 | 44 | 71 | 11 | 100 | 30 | 86 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 100 | 51 | 42 |  | 15 | 53 | 81 | 10 | 98 | 40 | 85 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 100 | 56 | 51 | ${ }^{5}$ | 14 | 44 | 67 | 9 | 100 | 64 | 64 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 100 | 60 | 50 | 11 | 20 | 42 | 71 | 6 | 100 | 54 | 60 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 100 | 59 | 59 |  | 38 | 40 | 66 | 5 | 95 | 70 | 58 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 $\$ 2,500-\$ 2,999$ | 100 | 56 | 50 | 6 | 25 | 47 | 69 | 10 | 100 | 60 | 58 |
| \$ $\$ 3,000$ and over | 100 | 41 | 32 | 9 | 12 | 38 | 35 | 32 | 100 | 70 | 32 |
|  | B. Average expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 | ( $\dagger$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ( $\dagger$ ) |  | ( $\dagger$ ) |
| \$500-\$749 | \$115. 50 | \$11. 10 | \$11.10 |  | \$3.90 | \$17.70 | \$46.90 | --.-.------- | \$24.00 | \$7.00 | \$4.90 |
| \$750-\$999. | 115.60 | 29.40 | 26.00 | \$3.40 | 11. 50 | 14.40 | 20.50 |  | 25. 50 | 9. 00 | 5. 30 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 129.20 | 28.80 | 28.80 |  | 2.20 | 8.40 | 37.00 | \$6. 10 | 29.10 | 8.70 | 8. 90 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 143. 10 | 33.40 | 28.30 | 5. 10 | 6. 10 | 13.30 | 36. 00 | 5. 20 | 30.90 | 9.60 | 8.60 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 165. 70 | 41.00 | 38.30 | 2. 70 | 9.50 | 9.70 | 38. 60 | 4. 00 | 35. 20 | 20.20 | 7.50 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 180.50 | 49.10 | 42. 20 | 6.90 | 12. 70 | 11.70 | 36.70 | 3.10 | 39.50 | 17.80 | 9. 90 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 192.30 | 48.10 | 48.10 |  | 27.30 | 11. 20 | 33.00 | 2. 80 | 40.40 | 22.00 | 7. 50 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499. | 188.00 | 48. 40 | 45.20 | 3.20 | 14. 50 | 14.40 | 29.50 | 7. 40 | 45.40 | 21.50 | 6. 90 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 195. 60 | 54.90 | 54.90 |  | 5. 10 | 5.09 | 8.10 | 33. 40 | 56. 50 | 28.70 | 3. 90 |
| \$3,000 and over- | 269.20 | 46.10 | 37.80 | 8. 30 | 8.30 | 6.20 | 17.20 | 48.00 | 70.80 | 67.20 | 5. 40 |

[^110]Table 7.--Household operation-Fuel, light, and refrigeration: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for fuel, light, and refrigeration, and average expenditure for such items, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued

## [Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]



1 See explanation of tables for definition of this item.
*Percentages of less than 0.5 and average amounts of less than $\$ 0.05$ are not shown.
${ }^{1}$ See explanation of tables for definition of this item.


Table 7.-Household operation-Fuel, light, and refrigeration: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for fuel, light, and refrigeration, and average expenditure for such items, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1985-96-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
EAST CENTRAL, 5 SMALL CITIES: WHITE FAMILIES, TYPE I

| Income class | Total fuel, light, and refrigeration | Coal |  |  | Coke and briquets <br> (6) | Wood and kindling ${ }^{1}$ <br> (7) | Kerosene and gasoline <br> (8) | Fuel oil <br> (9) | Electricity <br> (10) | Gas <br> (11) | Ice <br> (12) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Anthracite | Bitumi- nous |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | A. Percentage of families reporting expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499. | 100 | 100 |  | 100 |  |  | 33 |  | 89 | 44 | 22 |
| \$500-\$749. | 100 | 60 | 13 | 60 |  | 26 | 40 |  | 87 | 60 | 83 |
| \$750-\$999 | 100 | 100 |  | 100 | 2 | 2 | 33 |  | 81 | 67 | 55 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 100 | 86 | --- | 86 | .-------- | 10 | 10 | 2 | 100 | 88 | 63 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 100 | 90 |  | 90 | - | 22 | 22 | ------- | 100 | 69 | 46 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 100 | 86 | 3 | 83 | - | 8 | 11 | --.--- | 100 | 93 | 42 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 95 100 | 78 98 | 3 5 5 | 75 | 3 | 5 | 31 |  | 95 | 71 | 60 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 100 96 | 96 85 | 5 4 | 91 81 | ------- | 12 | 2 | - | 100 | 94 | 25 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999. | 95 | 88 | 4 | 88 |  | 3 |  |  | 95 | 89 | 11 |
| \$3,000 and over | 95 | 71 | 5 | 66 |  |  |  | 5 | 95 | 90 | 16 |
|  | B. Average expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499. | \$72. 70 | \$42.00 |  | \$42.00 |  |  | \$2.00 |  | \$17.30 | \$10.00 | \$1.40 |
| \$500-\$749 | 56. 70 | 20.90 | \$1.90 | 19.00 | --------- | \$2.80 | 2.80 | ------ | 14.60 | 8.80 | 6. 80 |
| \$750-\$999 | 86. 20 | 43.60 |  | 43.60 | \$1.00 | (*) | 3.30 | --7-- | 16. 40 | 15.00 | 6. 90 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 97. 80 | 41. 40 |  | 41. 40 | -...------ | . 40 | . 90 | \$0.70 | 24. 70 | 22.80 | 6. 90 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 113.50 | 52.90 |  | 52.90 |  | 1. 20 | 1. 60 |  | 32. 50 | 19. 60 | 5. 70 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 121.80 | 50.60 | 1. 40 | 49.20 | 2-70 | 1.30 | . 70 | ---------- | 36. 10 | 27. 20 | 5. 90 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 115.50 | 45. 70 | 1. 70 | 44.00 | 2. 70 | 20 | 4. 90 | -------- | 31.80 | 20.70 | 9. 50 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 137.50 | 66. 10 | 3. 10 | 63.00 | ---..-.... |  |  | --------- | 39. 20 | 26.50 | 5. 70 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 126. 90 | 53. 30 | . 40 | 52.90 | -...- | . 50 | (*) | ----------- | 38. 60 | 32.00 30.00 | 2. 50 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999... | 136.00 142.00 | 62.60 49.40 | 1. 10 | 61. 50 | .----.--- | . 10 | .-......... | 5. 70 | 40.90 | 30.00 41.40 | 2. 40 |
| \$3,000 and over | 142.00 | 49.40 | 3.70 | 45. 70 |  |  |  | 5. 70 | 42.80 | 41. 40 | 2.70 |

[^111]Table 7.-Household operation-Fuel, light, and refrigeration: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for fuel, light, and refrigeration, and average expenditure for such items, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1935-86-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
EAST CENTRAL, 5 SMALL CItIES: WHITE FAMILIES, TYPE II

| Income class | Total fuel, light, and refrigeration <br> (2) | Coal |  |  | Coke and briquets <br> (6) | Wood and kindling ${ }^{1}$ <br> (7) | Kerosene and gasoline <br> (8) | Fuel oil <br> (9) | Electricity <br> (10) | Gas <br> (11) | Ice <br> (12) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Anthracite | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bitum- } \\ & \text { inous } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1) |  | (3) | (4) | (5) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | A. Percentage of families reporting expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 | 100 | 100 |  | 100 |  |  | 33 |  | 100 | 33 | 33 |
| \$500-\$749 | 100 | 100 |  | 100 |  |  | 74 |  | 97 | 37 | 40 |
| \$750-\$999 | 100 | 88 | 5 | 86 |  | 20 | 47 |  | 97 | 53 | 65 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 95 | 93 |  | 93 |  | 2 | 29 |  | 95 | 71 | 47 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 93 | 78 |  | 78 | ----- | 8 | 25 | 4 | 92 | 59 | 45 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 100 | 92 |  | 92 |  | 9 | 9 |  | 100 | 95 | 50 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 100 | 80 |  | 80 |  | 14 | 7 |  | 98 | 90 | 29 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 100 | 88 | 6 | 88 |  | 6 | 6 |  | 100 | 94 | 32 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499. | 97 | 90 | 6 | 84 |  | 11 | 12 |  | 97 | 76 | 12 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999. | 100 | 81 |  | 81 |  |  |  | 2 | 100 | 100 | 12 |
| \$3,000 and over- | 100 | 82 |  | 82 |  |  |  | 9 | 100 | 91 | 9 |
|  | B. A verage expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 | \$60. 70 | \$32. 30 |  | \$32.30 |  |  | \$6. 70 |  | \$15.00 | \$5. 70 | \$1.00 |
| \$500-\$749 | 74. 00 | 38. 10 |  | 38.10 |  |  | 5.40 |  | 22.00 | 5.70 | 2. 80 |
| \$750-\$999 | 87.70 | 46. 90 | \$1.60 | 45.30 |  | \$1.40 | 4. 80 |  | 15.80 | 12.30 | 6. 50 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 97. 60 | 43. 10 |  | 43. 10 |  | . 20 | 5. 60 |  | 24.90 | 17.50 | 6. 30 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 100.60 | 42.50 |  | 42. 50 |  | . 30 | 2.60 | \$2. 50 | 28. 90 | 17.00 | 6. 80 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 129.50 | 54.40 |  | 54.40 |  | . 30 | . 50 |  | 35.50 | 31. 90 | 6. 90 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 138. 80 | 47.80 |  | 47.80 |  | . 30 | . 60 |  | 44. 10 | 40.60 | 5. 40 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | ${ }^{2} 122.50$ | 49.80 | 1. 90 | 47.90 |  | . 10 | . 50 |  | 37.80 | 30.10 | 4. 10 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 136.70 | 59.30 | 6. 20 | 53.10 |  | . 20 | 1. 40 |  | 44.80 | 29.50 | 1. 50 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 156.90 | 53.80 58.70 | ---------- | 53.80 58.70 |  |  |  | 7. 90 | 44. 20 | 45. 50 | 5. 50 |
| \$3,000 and over... | 193.60 | 58.70 |  | 58.70 |  |  |  | 21.80 | 55.70 | 56.40 | 1. 00 |

[^112]Table 7.-Household operation-Fuel, light, and refrigeration: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for fuel, light, and refrigeration, and average expenditure for such items, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
EAST CENTRAL, 5 SMALL CITIES: WHITE FAMILIES, TYPE III

| Income class | Total fuel, <br> light, and <br> refrigera- <br> tion | Coal |  |  | Coke and briquets | Wood and kindling ${ }^{1}$ | Kerosene <br> and gaso- <br> line | Fuel oil | Electricity | Gas | Ice |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Anthracite | Bitumi- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) |
|  | A. Percentage of families reporting expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 | 100 | 100 |  | 100 |  | 25 | 75 |  | 100 | 25 |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 100 | 96 | 8 | 96 |  | 8 | 68 | 8 | 100 | ${ }^{24}$ | ${ }_{57}^{48}$ |
| \$1,000-81,249 | 100 | 92 | 6 | 92 |  | 2 | 33 | -- | 98 | 64 | 65 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499- | 100 | 95 |  | 95 |  |  | 23 |  | 100 | 77 | $\stackrel{34}{57}$ |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 100 97 | 100 |  | 100 |  | 3 | 15 | -- | 100 | 88 | $\stackrel{57}{28}$ |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 100 | 100 | 7 | 93 |  | 7 | 7 |  | 100 | 97 | 22 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 100 | ${ }_{93}^{95}$ |  | 95 |  | 6 | 5 |  | ${ }^{95}$ | 81 | 10 |
|  | 100 | 88 |  | 68 |  | 16 | ${ }_{8}$ | 16 | 100 | 88 | 8 |
|  | B. Average expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 | \$67.40 | \$36. 20 |  | \$36. 20 |  | \$1.00 | \$8.30 |  | \$16. 80 | \$3.80 | \$1.30 |
| \$500-\$749 | 86.50 84.30 | 47.90 41.90 | \$2. 30 | 45.60 41.90 |  |  | 8.30 4.00 | \$0.30 | ${ }_{22}^{21.70}$ | 3.90 | 4. 20 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249- | 103.40 | 50.10 | 4.30 | 45.80 |  | (*) | 4.00 | -...... | 23. 50 | 18.40 | 7.40 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 103.90 | 41.00 |  | 41.00 |  |  | 3. 100 | -.----...- | 34.40 | 198.40 | 6. 10 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 134. 50 | 54.90 |  | 54.90 |  | . 30 | 1.40 .90 |  | 35.00 42.90 | 31.60 | 9. 30 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 143.30 | 65.70 | 4.80 | 60.90 |  | .10 | . 60 |  | 40.50 | 32. 60 | 3.80 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 133.50 | 58.40 |  | 58.40 86.90 |  | . 10 | .70 1.10 |  | 45.70 48.10 | ${ }_{3}^{27.80}$ | .80 +10 |
| \$3,000 and over. | 247. 50 | ${ }_{71 .}^{60} 70$ |  | 71.70 |  | 1.60 | 1.20 .20 | 42.00 | 48.90 | 58.60 | 1.40 .50 |

${ }^{1}$ See explanation of tables for definition of this item.

* Average amounts of less than $\$ 0.05$ are not shown.

Table 7.-Household operation-Fuel, light, and refrigeration: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for fuel, light, and refrigeration, and average expenditure for such items, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1985-86-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
east central, 5 Small cities: white families, type iv

| Income class | Total fuel, light, and refrigeration | Coal |  |  | Coke and briquets | Wood and kindling : | Kerosene and gasoline | Fuel oil | Electricity | Gas | Ice |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Anthracite | $\underset{\text { Bitumi- }}{\text { nous }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) |
|  | A. Percentage of families reporting expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 100 | 86 |  | 86 |  | 14 | 43 |  | 100 |  |  |
|  | 100 | 100 |  | 100 |  | 11 |  |  | 100 |  | 32 |
|  | 100 | 98 |  | 98 |  | 15 | 24 |  | 100 | ${ }_{6}^{65}$ | ${ }_{56}$ |
|  | 100 100 | ${ }_{98}^{99}$ |  | 99 | 1 | $\stackrel{4}{4}$ | 24 | 2 | $\begin{array}{r}94 \\ \hline 100\end{array}$ | 84 | 60 59 |
|  | 100 | 100 |  | 100 | 2 | 3 | 12 |  | 100 | 84 | 58 |
|  | 100 | 95 |  | 95 | 5 | 14 | 41 |  | 100 | 88 | 58 60 |
|  | 100 100 | ${ }_{91}^{97}$ | 1 | $\stackrel{96}{91}$ |  | 14 | 3 | 3 | 100 100 | 100 | 48 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | B. A verage expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 | $\$ 75.00$ <br> 87.20 <br> 98.10 <br> 103.20 <br> 123.70 <br> 132.20 <br> 135.90 <br> 141.90 <br> 1147 <br> 108 <br> 158.30 <br> 157.80 | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 3.00 .00 \\ 44.50 \\ 49.30 \\ 45.30 \\ 45.60 \\ 54.50 \\ 61.30 \\ 56.10 \\ 55.30 \\ 65.40 \\ 66.40 \\ 63.00 \end{array}$ |  | \$34.00 |  | \$0. 70 | \$2.00 |  | \$23.30 | \$10.00 | \$5.00 |
| \$500- 8749 |  |  | --.-.------ | 44.50 49.30 | ---------- | . 20 | 1. 60 | \$0.20 | ${ }^{22} \mathbf{2 0}$ | 16.00 | ${ }_{5}^{2.60}$ |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 |  |  | , | 45.60 |  | 1. 80 | 1. 40 |  | ${ }_{27.10}^{28.30}$ | 13.70 19.40 | 5. 90 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 |  |  |  | 54.50 | (*) | . 10 | 2.20 | 2.10 | 33.50 | 30. 60 | 6. 70 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 |  |  |  | 61.30 |  | 3.30 | 2.00 |  | 35. 20 | 22. 70 | 7.70 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999.- |  |  |  |  | \$0. 10 | . 20 | 1.00 |  | ${ }_{31}^{41} 20$ | 28.70 | 8. 60 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249.- |  |  |  | 55.30 65.40 | 3.60 | . 10 | 30 4.20 | . 50 | 39.20 41.00 | 39.10 24.20 | 7. 80 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 |  |  | \$1.00 | 65.60 |  | .30 | . 10 | 4.30 | 42.50 | 37. 70 | 6. 80 |
| \$3,000 and over |  |  |  | 63.00 |  | . 40 | . 50 | 6. 20 | 46.10 | 38.00 | 3. 60 |

[^113]*Average amounts of less than $\$ 0.05$ are not shown.

Table 7.-Household operation--Fuel, light, and refrigeration: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for fuel, light, and refrigeration, and average expenditure for such items, by jamily type and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
EAST CENTRAL, 5 SMALL CITIES: WHITE FAMILIES, TYPE $\mathbf{V}$

| Income class | Total fuel, light, and refrigeration <br> (2) | Coal |  |  | Coke and briquets <br> (6) | Wood and kindling 1 <br> (7) | Kerosene and gasoline <br> (8) | Fuel oil <br> (9) | Electricity <br> (10) | Gas <br> (11) | Ice <br> (12) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Anthracite | Bituminous |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1) |  | (3) | (4) | (5) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | A. Percentage of families reporting expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ |  | ( $\dagger$ ) |  |  | ( $\dagger$ ) |  | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) |
| \$500-\$749 | 100 | 100 |  | 100 |  | 42 |  | .-...- | 87 |  |  |
| \$750-\$999-20-29 | 100 100 | 90 | 2 | 90 |  | 20 | 56 |  | 100 | 24 | 58 58 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 100 | 100 |  | 100 | 5 | 15 | 33 |  | 95 | 62 | 66 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 100 | 92 |  | 92 |  | 19 | 29 |  | 100 | 79 | 46 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 100 | 100 | 2 | 98 |  | 10 | 5 |  | 100 | 90 | 58 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 100 | 100 | 4 | 100 | - | 17 | 10 |  | 100 | 90 | 53 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499. | 100 | 100 | 2 | 98 |  | 18 | 13 |  | 100 | 84 | 62 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 100 | 100 | 5 | 95 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 100 | 87 | 43 |
| \$3,000 and over-.................... | 100 | 96 | - | 96 | --------- | 20 | 12 | ---- | 100 | 84 | 36 |
|  | B. Average expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | (t)$\$ 86.50$ | (t)$\$ 40.80$ |  | $\stackrel{(\dagger)}{\$ 40.80}$ |  | \$2.00 | $(\dagger)$ |  | ( $\dagger$ )$\$ 20.40$ | ( $\dagger$ )$\$ 12.00$ | ( $\dagger$ ) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ---------- |  |  | \$3.20 |
| \$750-\$999 | 90. 60 | 47.00 |  | 47.00 |  | 1. 60 | 5.00 | --------- | 25.10 | 8. 30 | 3. 60 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 112. 50 | 54. 20 | \$0.60 | 53.60 | \$1.00 | . 20 | 7.20 | -........ | 29.80 | 15. 50 | 4. 60 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | ${ }^{2} 1143.20$ | 60.70 |  | 60.70 | 2. 10 | . 90 | 4. 50 |  | 37. 40 | 26. 40 | 11. 10 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 124.70 | 52.10 |  | 52.10 |  | . 70 | 3. 10 |  | 37.10 | 27.20 | 4. 50 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 154.90 | 67.60 | . 90 | 66.70 |  | . 80 | 2.00 |  | 40.80 | 34.90 | 8. 80 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 159.20 | 67.40 | 1.90 | 65.50 |  | . 30 | 1.60 |  | 46. 30 | 34.90 | 8.70 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 156. 80 | 63.00 | 1. 50 | 61.50 |  | . 40 | 1.90 |  | 45. 30 | 36. 30 | 9. 90 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999.. | 163.40 | 68.00 | 2.30 | 65.70 |  | . 10 | 1. 00 |  | 48. 20 | 36. 20 | 9. 90 |
| \$3,000 and over.. | 172.00 | 71.00 | , | 71.00 |  | . 90 | 1.30 |  | 53.30 | 37.40 | 8.10 |

[^114]Table 7.-Household operation-Fuel, light, and refrigeration: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for fuel, light, and refrigeration, and average expenditure for such items, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1935-\$6-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
east central, 5 small cities: white families, type vi

| Income class | Total fuel, light, and refrigeration | Coal |  |  | Coke and briquets <br> (6) | Wood and kindling ${ }^{1}$ <br> (7) | Kerosene and gasoline <br> (8) | Fuel oil <br> (9) | Electricity <br> (10) | Gas <br> (11) | Iee <br> (12) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Anthracite | Bitumi- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | A. Percentage of families reporting expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499-- | ( $\dagger$$\begin{aligned} & 100 \\ & 100 \\ & 100 \\ & 100 \\ & 100 \\ & 100 \\ & 100 \\ & 100 \\ & 100 \\ & 100 \end{aligned}$ | ( $\dagger$$\begin{gathered} 78 \\ 91 \\ 96 \\ 100 \\ 100 \\ 96 \\ 94 \\ 89 \\ 94 \\ 90 \end{gathered}$ | (t) $\begin{array}{r}78 \\ 91 \\ 96 \\ 100 \\ 100 \\ 96 \\ 88 \\ 89 \\ 94 \\ 90\end{array}$ | ( $\dagger$ )$\begin{array}{r} 78 \\ 91 \\ 96 \\ 100 \\ 100 \\ 96 \\ 88 \\ 89 \\ 94 \\ 90 \end{array}$ |  |  | ( $\dagger$ ) $\begin{array}{r}56 \\ 31 \\ 38 \\ 38 \\ 38 \\ 18 \\ 20 \\ 20 \\ \hline-\quad 12\end{array}$ |  | ( $\dagger$$\begin{aligned} & 100 \\ & 100 \\ & 100 \\ & 100 \\ & 100 \\ & 100 \\ & 100 \\ & 100 \\ & 100 \\ & 100 \end{aligned}$ | ( $\dagger$$\begin{aligned} & 33 \\ & 69 \\ & 51 \\ & 62 \\ & 79 \\ & 79 \\ & 68 \\ & 89 \\ & 79 \\ & 92 \end{aligned}$ | (t) 33 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{50}^{33}$ |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 67 |
| \$1,250- $\$ 1,500-499$ |  |  |  |  |  | 9 |  |  |  |  | 77 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999.. |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{9}$ |  |  |  |  | 42 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. |  |  |  |  | 6 | 15 |  |  |  |  | 38 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |  | 1 |  |  | 12 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | B. Average expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | ( $\dagger$ ) |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$(\ddagger) .60 \\ & \$ 39.6 \end{aligned}$ | -----.--- |  |  | ----- |  |  |  |
|  | \$89.50 | \$39.60 |  |  |  | ----.-....-. | $\stackrel{(+)}{\$ 9.50}$ | -------- | $\$ 27.80$ 26.40 | \$9.70 | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 2.90 \\ 6.30 \end{array}$ |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 112.50 | 45.20 |  | 37.9045.2060.80 | -------------- |  | 4. 2.10 |  | 30.1029.00 | 25. <br> 20. 30 | 9. 308. 4080 |
| \$1,250-81,499.- | 124.50 | 60.80 |  |  | ---- | 30.40.20.20 |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749.. | 129.30 | 56. 20 |  | 56. 20 |  |  | 3. 300 |  | 36.90 | 26. 50 | 6. 50 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 132.40 | 57.30 |  |  |  | - 20 | 2. <br> 3 <br> 3.20 <br> 1 |  |  | 30. 80 |  |
| \$2,000-\$2,249.- | 143.70 149 | 60.70 46.20 | \$0. 80 | 59.90 46.20 | \$2.50 |  |  | \$13.90 | 46. 60 58.40 | ${ }^{25} 900$ | 4.603.504.50 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 146.20 | 50.70 |  | 50.7059.80 |  | 10 | 1. 50 |  | 56. 6067.40 | 33.1044.60 |  |
| \$3,000 and over------ | 175. 20 | 59.80 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4. 20 |

See explanation of tables for definition of this item
$\dagger$ Percentages and averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

Table 7.-Household operation-Fuel, light, and refrigeration: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for fuel, light, and refrigeration, and average expenditure for such items, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1935-86-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
east central, 5 Small cities: white families, type vi


1 See explanation of tables for definition of this item.
$\dagger$ Percentages and averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

Table 8.-Other household operation: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for telephone, average expenditure for items of general household operation, percentage of families reporting expenditure for paid household help and average amount of such expenditure, average number of weeks help employed for all families, and average number of meals per week furnished help, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1995-35
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
NEW YORK CITY: WHITE FAMILIES

| Family type and income class <br> (1) | General household operation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Paid household belp 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage of families reporting expenditure for telephone (2) | A verage expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Percentage of families reporting expenditure <br> (11) | A verage expenditure |  |  |  | Average weeks help employed |  |  | Average meals furnished per week |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Laun- | Laun- | Sta- |  |  |  |  |  | Full- | Part- |  | All | Full- | Part- | All | Full- |  |
|  |  | All items | Telephone |  | dry sent | tion- |  | Water rent | Other |  |  | time |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { All } \\ & \text { paid } \end{aligned}$ | Full- | Part- | All | Fuil- |  |
|  |  | items | phone | sup- <br> plies | sent out | ery, ete. | etc. | rent | Other |  | help | help | help | help | paid | time | time | $\begin{aligned} & \text { paid } \\ & \text { help } \end{aligned}$ | time | time help |
|  |  | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) |  | (12) | (13) | (14) | (15) | (16) | (17) | (18) | (19) | (20) | (21) |
| All families |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 56 | \$36. 40 | \$11.00 | \$11. 10 | \$8. 10 | \$1. 50 | \$0. 50 | \$1. 20 | \$2.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$999 | 43 | 29.00 | 2.60 | 9.50 | 11. 90 | 1. 40 | . 50 | . 50 | 2.60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 43 | 39.70 | 5.60 | 10.70 | 15. 70 | 1. 60 | 1. 50 | 1.20 | 3.20 | 3 | \$1. 50 | \$1. 40 | \$0. 10 |  | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 70 | 49.90 | 9.20 | 10.70 | 21. 60 | 2.30 | 2. 10 | . 40 | 3.60 | 2 | 1.40 | . 50 | . 90 |  | . 5 | . 1 | . 4 | . 1 | (**) | (**) |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 62 | 53.30 | 6.00 | 12. 60 | 24.90 | 2. 50 | 1.50 | 1.00 | 4.80 | 6 | 3.50 | 1. 50 | 2. 00 | (*) | 1.3 | .3 | 1.0 | . 7 | . 3 | 0.4 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 76 | 73.60 | 13. 40 | 11. 10 | 36.20 | 3.40 | 2.80 | 1. 50 | 5. 20 | 8 | 5. 40 | 1. 60 | 3. 80 | (*) | 2.7 | .2 | 2.5 | . 1 | . 1 | (**) |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 69 | 85.40 | 16. 20 | 11. 50 | 44. 20 | 4. 00 | 3.00 | 1.20 | 5. 30 | 22 | 13. 50 | . 60 | 12.80 | \$0. 10 | 7.3 | . 1 | 7.2 | . 4 | . 1 | . 3 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 80 | 95.80 | 23. 10 | 13. 20 | 44. 30 | 4. 40 | 2.20 | 1.70 | 6.90 | 22 | 18. 40 | 4.40 | 13.80 | . 20 | 7.1 | . 9 | 6. 2 | 1.5 | . 9 | . 6 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 82 | 108.80 | 28. 10 | 12.50 | 51. 40 | 4. 40 | 3.40 | 3.10 | 5.90 | 19 | 21.90 | 6. 70 | 14.80 | . 40 | 6.9 | 1.1 | 5.8 | 1. 2 | . 9 | . 3 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 91 97 | 129.90 146.60 | 36.80 47.10 | 13.30 | 62.00 63.30 | 5. 70 | 2.00 90 | 2.70 3.30 | 7.40 9.90 | 42 | 57.80 91.30 | 20.30 | 36.50 | 1.00 | 16.3 | 3.0 | 13.3 | 2.4 | 1.7 | . 7 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 97 99 | 146.60 191.30 | 47.10 62.00 | 15.90 14.70 | 63.30 83.20 | 12. 20 | .90 5.00 | 3.30 3.40 | 9.90 10.70 | 74 | 91.30 181.30 | 53.50 98.70 | 35.70 78.30 | 2. 10 4.30 | 20.7 | 8.0 11.7 | 12.7 22.4 | 4.8 7.6 | 3.9 5.9 | $\begin{array}{r}1.9 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 98 | 205.40 | 70.80 | 14.90 | 90.80 | 9. 60 | 4.90 | 3.50 | 10.90 | 89 | 368.80 | 263.00 | 92.60 | 13.20 | 50.1 | 27.5 | 22.6 | 13.0 | 11.3 | 1.7 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | 94 | 259.60 | 89.90 | 16. 30 | 99.80 | 18.40 | 9.60 | 7.40 | 18.20 | 87 | 543.40 | 351.00 | 172.80 | 19.60 | 64.5 | 34.6 | 29.9 | 17.8 | 16.3 | 1.5 |
| \$10,000 and over--- | 100 | 412.20 | 179.40 | 29.60 | 101.20 | 28.40 | 24.70 | 5.50 | 43.40 | 91 | 1, 254. 70 | 855.40 | 340.00 | 59.30 | 107.0 | 72.8 | 34.2 | 32.1 | 29.0 | 3.1 |
| Family type I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749. | 50 | 35. 40 | 13.50 | 9.10 | 5.90 | 1.50 | 1.00 | 1.30 | 3.10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$999 | 36 | 25. 10 | 1. 40 | 6.70 | 12.90 | 1. 40 |  |  | 2.70 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 37 | 39.50 | 4.00 | 10.20 | 18. 10 | 1.40 | 1.20 | . 80 | 3.80 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 79 | 51. 10 | 10.90 | 10.20 | 20.10 | 2.70 | 2.60 | . 80 | 3.80 | 4 | . 80 |  | . 80 |  | . 3 |  | . ${ }^{-}$ | (**) |  | (**) |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 72 | 48.50 | 7.50 | 10.10 | 22. 30 | 2.90 | 1. 20 |  | 4.50 | 7 | 2.70 | 1.20 | 1.50 | (*) | . 8 | . 3 | . 5 | 1.3 | . 1 | 1.2 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 79 | 83.00 | 15. 00 | 9.90 | 43.70 | 4. 60 | 3.70 | 1. 10 | 5.00 | 10 | 7.30 |  | 7. 30 |  | 3.1 |  | 3.1 | 1 |  | . 1 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 67 | 95.10 | 19.20 | 7.40 | 53.80 | 4. 30 | 4.40 | . 90 | 5. 10 | 38 | 14. 40 |  | 14. 10 | . 30 | 9.3 |  | 9.3 | . 6 |  | . 6 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 78 | 94.00 | 25.00 | 11.90 | 44.20 | 5.00 | 2. 40 | . 80 | 4.70 | 23 | 20.80 | 2. 10 | 18.00 | . 70 | 8.5 | . 2 | 8.3 | 1.8 | 1.1 | . 7 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 84 | 122.80 | 35.90 | 8.80 | 61. 30 | ¢. 10 | 5.10 | 1.00 | 4. 60 | 18 | 16.30 | 2. 80 | 13. 20 | . 30 | 7.2 | . 3 | 6.9 | ${ }^{.} 2$ | 1 | . 1 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | $\begin{array}{r}94 \\ 100 \\ \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 122.50 | 30.80 | 10.50 | 63.70 | 8.00 | 1.90 | . 90 | 6.70 | 57 | 68. 70 | 18. 60 | 48. 30 | 1.80 | 22. 7 | 2.7 | 20.0 | 1.3 | . 8 | . 5 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 100 | 168.50 | 54.80 58.10 | 10.50 10.90 | 70.90 | 11.80 | $\begin{array}{r}\text { 1. } \\ 4 \\ 4.40 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | .50 1.30 | 7.80 10.00 | 73 | 89.00 181.00 | 30.30 83.90 | 56.50 92.40 | 2. 4.70 | 26.7 39.5 | 4.0 9.3 | 22.7 30.2 | 3.8 5.4 | 2.5 3.8 | 1.3 1.6 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499. | 100 | 212. 40 | 82.10 | 10.40 | 91.80 | 9.60 | 7.10 | 2.80 | 8.60 | 94 | 371.60 | 228.10 | 137.40 | 6.10 | 51.6 | 22.2 | 29.4 | 9.4 | 6.8 | 2.6 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999. | 100 | 297.50 | 93.70 | 12.00 | 116.90 | 27.90 | 16. 40 | 7.00 | 23.60 | 89 | 416.50 | 214.70 | 176.60 | 25.20 | 47.4 | 25.0 | 22.4 | 11.2 | 10.0 | 1.2 |
| \$10,000 and over... | 100 | 368.40 | 143.80 | 26.40 | 110.10 | 33.10 | 9.00 | 3.20 | 42.80 | 100 | 1,446.00 | 678.80 | 710.20 | 57.00 | 109.3 | 76.9 | 32.4 | 30.0 | 29.2 | . 8 |

[^115]Table 8.-Other household operation: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for telephone, average expenditure for items of general household operation, percentage of families reporting expenditure for paid household help and average amount of such expenditure, average number of weeks help employed for all families, and average number of meals per week furnished help, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1985-36-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native-born]
NEW YORK CITY: WHITE FAMILIES

| Family type and income class <br> (1) | General household operation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Paid household help i |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage of families reporting expenditure for telephone <br> (2) | Average expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Percentage of families reporting expenditure <br> (11) | A verage expenditure |  |  |  | Average weeks help employed |  |  | A verage meals furnished per week |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Laun- | Laun- | Sta- |  |  |  |  |  | Full- | Part- | Aprons, | All | Full- | Part- | All | Full- | Part- |
|  |  | All <br> items | Telephone | dry | dry sent | tionery, | press, | Water rent | Other |  | paid | time | time | Aprons, | paid | time | time | paid | Fime | Part- |
|  |  | items | phone | supplies | sent out | ery, etc. | etc. | rent | Other |  | help | help | help | help | help | help | help | help | help | help |
|  |  | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) |  | (12) | (13) | (14) | (15) | (16) | (17) | (18) | (19) | (20) | (21) |
| Family types II and III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749. | 60 | \$24.00 | \$1.40 | \$12.80 | \$6.00 | \$1.00 |  |  | \$2.80 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$999 | 43 | 25.70 | . 40 | 11. 00 | 9.00 | 1. 20 | \$1.30 | \$0.50 | 2. 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 42 | 34.60 | 2.90 | 10.40 | 14.60 | 1.30 | 2.20 | . 50 | 2. 70 | 2 | \$0. 20 |  | \$0.20 |  | 0.2 |  | 0.2 |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 62 | 44.80 | 4.60 | 10.30 | 22.30 | 2.50 | 1.70 | . 10 | 3. 30 | (*) | 1.10 | \$1.10 |  |  | . 2 | 0.2 |  | 0.1 | 0.1 |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 47 | 57.70 | 4.50 | 13. 20 | 30.50 | 2.40 | 2.10 | . 80 | 4.20 | ( | 2.50 |  | 2.50 |  | 1. 1 |  | 1.1 | (**) |  | (**) |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 73 | 69.70 | 10.00 | 11.90 | 37.00 | 3.00 | 2.00 | 1.20 | 4.60 | 7 | 6.20 | 3.90 | 2.20 | \$0. 10 | 3.0 | . 6 | 2.4 | . 3 | . 3 | (**) |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 72 | 87.50 | 14.90 | 13.80 | 46. 10 | 3.60 | 2.00 | 1.30 | 5.80 | 22 | 17. 30 | 1.30 | 16.00 | (*) | 9.0 | . 3 | 8.7 | . 4 | . 1 | 0.3 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 78 | 100.20 | 23.30 | 13.70 | 45. 60 | 4.60 | 2.80 | 1.90 | 8.30 | 29 | 25. 70 | 9.20 | 16. 40 | . 10 | 9.3 | 2.1 | 7.2 | 2.0 | 1.1 | 9 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 84 | 106.90 | 24. 70 | 11. 60 | 53.80 | 3.80 | 3.90 | 2.80 | 6.30 | 27 | 41.30 | 14. 50 | 26.10 | 70 | 10.1 | 2.3 | 7.8 | 2.9 | 2.3 | 6 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 89 | 145. 70 | 45.30 | 14. 30 | 66.70 | 5.20 | 2.80 | 3.60 | 7.80 | 54 | 87.30 | 40.00 | 46.10 | 1. 20 | 21.1 | 5.6 | 15.5 | 4.7 | 3.6 | 1.1 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 100 | 151.70 | 53.40 | 17.70 | 56.30 | 6. 40 | 2.10 | 3.50 | 12.30 | 79 | 180.00 | 142.80 | 33.10 | 4. 10 | 33.0 | 22.1 | 10.9 | 11.4 | 10.4 | 1.0 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 100 | 201.40 | 70.70 | 15. 70 | 84.30 | 10.70 | 5.20 | 3.30 | 11.50 | 88 | 272.80 | 173.50 | 91. 60 | 7.70 | 44.6 | 19.7 | 24.9 | 12.2 | 10.1 | 2.1 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 100 | 192.00 | 66. 30 | 16. 10 | 84.00 | 9.00 | 5.30 | 1.20 | 10.10 | 100 | 417.10 | 308.90 | 85. 20 | 23.00 | 54.7 | 33.3 | 21.4 | 18. 1 | 16.3 | 1.8 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | 100 | 247.50 | 97. 80 | 17.00 | 83.00 | 14. 40 | 11.50 | 7.40 | 16.40 | 86 | 703.40 | 610.90 | 69.50 | 23. 00 | 77.9 | 56.7 | 21.2 | 29.6 | 29.2 | . 4 |
| \$10,000 and over. | 100 | 397.00 | 161.80 | 37.00 | 93.10 | 22.40 | 31.40 | 10.40 | 40.90 | 90 | 1,371,60 | 1,036.80 | 271.10 | 63.70 | 104.9 | 82.5 | 22.4 | 42.1 | 36.9 | 5.2 |
| Family types IV and V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 67 | 58.00 | 18.70 | 13.70 | 17.30 | 2.30 |  | 3.00 | 3.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7750-\$999 | 59 | 42.50 | 8.70 | 12. 30 | 15. 50 | 1.60 | . 10 | 1.60 | 2.70 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 54 | 48.60 | 12. 20 | 12. 10 | 14. 40 | 2. 20 | . 80 | 2.80 | 4.10 | 7 | 5.80 | 5. 70 | . 10 |  | . 7 | . 6 | . 1 | ${ }^{8}$ | . 8 |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 71 | 56.60 | 14. 10 | 12.00 | 22.50 | 1. 50 | 2.10 | . 60 | 3.80 | 4 | 2. 40 |  | 2.40 |  | 1.1 |  | 1.1 | (**) |  | (*) |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 72 | 51. 40 | 6.50 | 14. 10 | 19.80 | 2. 10 | . 90 | 2.30 | 5.70 | 7 | 5.50 | 3.90 | 1.50 | ${ }^{(4)}$ | 1.9 | . 6 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 1.0 | (**) |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 78 | 69.40 | 16.30 | 11. 10 | 27.60 | 2. 70 | 3.10 | 2.40 | 6. 20 | 6 | 3.00 |  | 3.00 | (*) | 2.0 |  | 2.0 | (**) |  | (**) |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 69 | 76.20 | 15. 70 | 13.00 | 33.90 | 4. 50 | 2.90 | 1.30 | 4.90 | 9 | 5. 10 |  | 5. 10 | (*) | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | . 1 |  | 1 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 84 | 91.80 | 21. 40 | 13.70 | 42.80 | 3.70 | 1.20 | 2.10 | 6.90 | 12 | 7.70 | . 50 | 7.20 |  | 3.4 | (**) | 3.4 | . 6 | 5 | 1 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 79 | 100.90 | 26. 10 | 15.80 | 42.20 | 3.90 | 1.80 | 4.90 | 6.20 | 12 | 6. 40 | 1.60 | 4. 70 | 10 | 3.4 | . 4 | 3.0 | . 3 | . 2 | 1 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 91 | 121. 60 | 33.90 | 14.40 | 56.80 | 4.40 | 1.50 | 3.10 | 7.50 | 23 | 25. 10 | 4.90 | 20.00 | . 20 | 7.8 | 1.0 | 6.8 | 1.0 | 6 | 4 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 94 | 139.50 | 38.70 | 17.20 | 63.50 | 5. 50 | . 40 | 4.70 | 9. 50 | 28 | 34.40 | 7.30 | 26.40 | . 70 | 9.6 | 1.0 | 8.6 | 1.0 | . 4 | 6 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 96 | 198.00 | 57.00 | 16.50 | 89.90 | 13.90 | 5. 30 | 5.00 | 10.40 | 52 | 101.10 | 43.40 | 56.60 | 1.10 | 21.1 | 6.3 | 14.8 | 5.0 | 3.7 | 1.3 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 94 | 213.10 | 66.20 | 17.30 | 97.50 | 9.70 | 2.60 | 6.20 | 13.60 | 74 | 318.90 | 247.10 | 62.30 | 9.50 | 44.4 | 26.2 | 18.2 | 10.8 | 10.1 | 7 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | 86 | 241.50 | 81.40 | 18.80 | 100. 10 | 14.50 | 3.40 | 7.70 | 15.60 | 86 | 515.40 | 255.50 | 246.80 | 13.10 | 66.7 | 25.0 | 41.7 | 13.7 | 11.2 | 2.5 |
| \$10,000 and over........ | 100 | 442.40 | 207.80 | 25.70 | 103.10 | 30.60 | 26.80 | 3.10 | 45.30 | 100 | 1,085. 80 | 802.50 | 226. 10 | 57.20 | 107.6 | 63.9 | 43.7 | 25.9 | 23.2 | 2.7 |

1 See explanation of tables.

Tabie 8.-Other household operation: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for telephone, average expenditure for items of general household operation, percentage of families reporting expenditure for paid household help and average amount of such expenditure, average number of weeks help employed for all families, and average number of meals per week furnished help, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
NEW YORK CITY: NEGRO FAMILIES

| Family type and income class <br> (1) | General household operation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Paid household help : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage of families reporting expenditure for telephone <br> (2) | Average expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Percentage of families reporting expenditure <br> (11) | A verage expenditure |  |  |  | Average weeks help employed |  |  | A verage meals furnished per week |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Laun- | Laun- | Sta- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Part- |  | Full- |  |
|  |  |  |  | dry | dry | tion- | Ex- press, | Water |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { All } \\ & \text { paid } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Full- } \\ & \text { time } \end{aligned}$ | Part- | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Aprons, } \\ \text { gifts to } \end{array}\right\|$ | paid | Fime | Part- | paid | Fime | Part- |
|  |  | items | phone | supplies | sent out | ery, etc. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { press, } \\ & \text { etc. } \end{aligned}$ | rent | Other |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { paid } \\ & \text { help } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { time } \\ & \text { help } \end{aligned}$ | time | help | paid | time | time | palp | help | help |
|  |  | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) |  | (12) | (13) | (14) | (15) | (16) | (17) | (18) | (19) | (20) | (21) |
| All families |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 40 | \$19.50 | \$6. 30 | \$7.30 | \$2.50 | \$0. 20 | \$0.30 |  | \$2.90 | 6 | \$0.30 |  | \$0.30 |  | 0.1 |  | 0.1 |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$999 | 48 | 25.90 | 5.70 | 9.20 | 4.20 | 1.30 | . 70 | \$0.50 | 4.30 | 2 | 1.60 |  | 1. 60 |  | . 5 |  | . 5 | 0.3 |  | 0.3 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 62 | 39.10 | 5. 40 | 9.40 | 16.50 | 2. 40 | 2.00 | . 10 | 3.30 | 5 | 3. 20 |  | 3.20 |  | 1.9 |  | 1.9 | . 1 |  | . 1 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 67 | 39.10 | 6.00 | 10.50 | 14. 20 | 2.10 | 2.10 | . 20 | 4.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 82 | 70.90 | 17.00 | 10.00 | 34. 30 | 3.70 | 1.10 | . 20 | 4. 60 | 2 | 4.70 |  | 4. 60 | \$0.10 | 1.2 |  | 1.2 | . 3 |  | . 3 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 78 | 80.50 | 15.80 | 9.70 | 43.70 | 4.80 | . 80 | . 80 | 4.90 | 9 | 6. 50 | \$1.80 | 4.70 |  | 3.3 | 0.2 | 3.1 | . 5 | 0.5 | ---.-- |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 85 | 74. 40 | 14.30 | 12.30 | 33.90 | 5.70 | . 60 | . 40 | 7.20 | 7 | . 60 |  | . 60 |  | . 5 |  | . 5 |  |  |  |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 88 | 96.20 | 24. 20 | 11.30 | 46. 50 | 4.90 | 1.60 | 1. 50 | 6. 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 84 | 105. 00 | 30.50 | 13.20 | 45. 60 | 5. 30 | 1. 90 | 2. 20 | 6.30 | 5 | 81.80 |  | . 50 | . 30 | 1.38 |  | - 3 |  |  |  |
| \$3,000 and over | 78 | 142.30 | 38.10 | 10.30 | 72.90 | 7.40 | 2.60 | 3.60 | 7.40 | 44 | 81.80 | 61.30 | 19.20 | 1.30 | 19.8 | 11.6 | 8.2 | 5.2 | 4.7 | . 5 |
| Family type I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749. | 60 | 21.80 | 10.80 | 7.80 |  | . 20 |  |  | 3.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$999 | 52 | 27.40 | 9.00 | 8. 70 | 3. 20 | 1. 10 | . 10 | . 70 | 4. 60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 59 | 39.50 | 6. 00 | 8.40 | 15.80 | 3.00 | 3.20 | . 20 | 2.90 | 9 | 5.80 |  | 5.80 |  | 3.5 |  | 3.5 | 2 |  | . 2 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 56 | 34.50 | 7. 80 | 8.90 | 10.60 | 1. 80 | 2.20 |  | 3. 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 84 | 78. 40 | 20.70 | 10.00 | 37. 50 | 4.50 | . 80 | . 40 | 4. 50 | 4 | 9.30 |  | 9.10 | . 20 | 2.3 |  | 2.3 | . 6 |  | . 6 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 83 | 83.10 | 14.90 | 9.70 | 44.60 | 6. 70 | . 70 | 2.30 | 4. 20 | 9 | 6.80 |  | 6.80 |  | 4.6 |  | 4.6 |  |  |  |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 83 | 91.10 | 17.50 | 9.90 | 49.60 | 6. 30 | . 10 |  | 7.70 | 17 | 1.40 |  | 1.40 |  | 1.1 |  | 1.1 |  |  |  |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 100 | 117.20 | 24.20 | 9.90 | 69. 20 | 6.00 |  |  | 7.90 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$2,500-\$2,999... | 88 80 | 105.50 123.20 | 40.90 29.40 | 9.80 9.20 | 44.40 68.80 | 3.50 6.80 | 4.60 | 1. 50 | 5.40 4.40 | 40 | 61.80 | 48.00 | 13.80 |  | 14.8 | 10.4 | 4.4 | 4.4 | 4.2 | . 2 |
| +000 andover |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ See explanation of tables.

Table 8.-Other household operation: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for telephone, average expenditure for items of general household operation, percentage of families reporting expenditure for paid household help and average amount of such expenditure, average number of weeks help employed for all families, and average number of meals per week furnished help, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1935-86-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
NEW YORK CITY: NEGRO FAMILIES


Table 8.-Other household operation: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for telephone, average expenditure for items of general household operation, percentage of families reporting expenditure for paid household help and average amount of such expenditure, average number of weeks help employed for all families, and average number of meals per week furnished help, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1985-36-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
CHICAGO, HLL.: WHITE FAMILIES

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Family type and income class \\
(1)
\end{tabular}} \& \multicolumn{9}{|c|}{General household operation} \& \multicolumn{11}{|c|}{Paid household help 1} \\
\hline \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Percent-
age of
families
reporting
expendi-
ture for
tele--
phone
(2)} \& \multicolumn{8}{|c|}{A verage expenditure} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Percent-
age of
families
reporting
expendi-
ture
(11)} \& \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{A verage expenditure} \& \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{A verage weeks help employed} \& \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{A verage meals furnished per week} \\
\hline \& \& \begin{tabular}{l}
All items \\
(3)
\end{tabular} \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Telephone \\
(4)
\end{tabular} \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Laundry supplies \\
(5)
\end{tabular} \& Laundry sent out (6) \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Sta. tionery, etc. \\
(7)
\end{tabular} \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Express, etc. \\
(8)
\end{tabular} \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Water rent \\
(9)
\end{tabular} \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Other \\
(10)
\end{tabular} \& \& \begin{tabular}{l}
All \\
paid \\
help \\
(12)
\end{tabular} \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Fulltime help \\
(13)
\end{tabular} \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Part- \\
time \\
help \\
(14)
\end{tabular} \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Aprons, gifts to help \\
(15)
\end{tabular} \& All paid help (16) \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Fulltime help \\
(17)
\end{tabular} \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Part time help \\
(18)
\end{tabular} \& \begin{tabular}{l}
All paid help \\
(19)
\end{tabular} \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Fulltime help \\
(20)
\end{tabular} \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Parttime belp \\
(21)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline All families \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \\
\hline \$500-\$749 \& 44 \& \$26. 20 \& \$5. 80 \& \$9.30 \& \$6. 10 \& \$0.90 \& \$0. 30 \& \[
\$ 1.00
\] \& \[
\$ 2.80
\] \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \\
\hline \$750-\$999 \& 42
34 \& 30.50
34.30 \& 3.90
6.40 \& 10.70
11.10 \& 9.90
9.80 \& 1.50
1.90 \& .20
.40 \& \[
\begin{array}{r}
.70 \\
1.40
\end{array}
\] \& \[
\begin{array}{r}
2.60 \\
3.30 \\
3.30
\end{array}
\] \& 5 \& \$2. 10 \& \$0. 30 \& \$1. 80 \& \& 0.9 \& 0.1 \& 0.8 \& 0.5 \& 0.5 \& (**) \\
\hline \$1,250-\$1,499 \& 58 \& 46. 50 \& 12. 10 \& 12. 40 \& 13.60 \& 2.50 \& .60 \& 1. 60 \& 3. 70 \& 4 \& 1.20 \& +0.30 \& \({ }^{1.10}\) \& (*) \& 0.9
.6 \& (**) \& 0.8
.6 \& 0.5
.2 \& . 1 \& 0.1 \\
\hline \$1,500-\$1,749 \& 62 \& 56. 20 \& 16. 30 \& 11. 10 \& 19.50 \& 2.70 \& 1.00 \& 1. 00 \& 4.60 \& 1 \& . 60 \& \& . 60 \& \& . 2 \& \& . 2 \& (**) \& \& (**) \\
\hline \$1,750-\$1,999 \& 77 \& 64. 50 \& 21.50 \& 12. 60 \& 19.70 \& 3. 00 \& . 90 \& 2. 10 \& 4.70 \& 6 \& 3. 00 \& 1.80 \& 1. 20 \& (*) \& 1.3 \& . 4 \& .9 \& . 5 \& . 4 \& .1 \\
\hline \$2,000-\$2,249 \& 80 \& 75. 40 \& 22.00 \& 12. 30 \& 29.10 \& 3. 70 \& 1. 20 \& 2. 20 \& 4. 90 \& 12 \& 8.70 \& 4.50 \& 4. 20 \& (*) \& 3.5 \& 1. 0 \& 2.5 \& . 7 \& . 5 \& . 2 \\
\hline \$2,250-\$2,499 \& 87 \& 88.30 \& 27.60 \& 13. 20 \& 33. 40 \& 4.50 \& 1. 20 \& 2. 70 \& 5.70 \& 19 \& 17. 20 \& 10. 00 \& 7. 20 \& \({ }^{(*)}\) \& 5.9 \& 2.0 \& 3.9
5.8 \& 1. 1 \& +.8 \& \({ }^{3}\) \\
\hline \$2,500-\$2,999 \& 94 \& 95.80 \& 31. 80 \& 12. 50 \& 35.90 \& 4. 90 \& 2. 30 \& 2. 90 \& 5. 50 \& 27 \& 28.40 \& 15.90 \& 12. 40 \& \$0.10 \& 8.9
15.8 \& 3.1 \& 5.8
12.7 \& 2.0
3.0 \& 1.6
1.9 \& .4
1.1 \\
\hline \$3,000-\$3,499 \& 95 \& 104. 60 \& 34. 00 \& 12. 70 \& 39.90 \& 6. 30 \& 2. 10 \& 3. 40 \& 6.20 \& 39 \& 48.50 \& 18. 10 \& 30.30 \& . 10 \& 15.8 \& 3.1 \& 12.7 \& 3.0 \& 1.9 \& 1.1 \\
\hline \$3,500-\$3,999 \& 98 \& 110.70 \& 36. 70 \& 13. 80 \& 41. 10 \& 6. 40 \& 2. 40 \& 3. 30 \& 7.00 \& 42 \& 69.80 \& 38.60 \& \({ }^{30.90}\) \& .30 \& 18.6 \& 6.5 \& 12. 1 \& 3.4 \& 2.8 \& \({ }^{.6}\) \\
\hline \$4,000-\$4,999 \& 99 \& 140.20 \& 43.40 \& 15.00 \& 58.50 \& 9.00 \& 2.20 \& 3. 40 \& 8. 70 \& 63 \& 119.00 \& 59. 20 \& 59. 00 \& . 80 \& 30.9 \& 10.6 \& 20.3 \& 6.8 \& 5. 2 \& 1.6 \\
\hline \$5,000-\$7,499 \& 100 \& \({ }_{2} 162.30\) \& 54.70 \& 15.30 \& 63.80 \& 10.10 \& 5. 90 \& 4. 30 \& 8. 20 \& 78 \& 245. 10 \& 168.60
304 \& 75.40 \& 1. 10 \& 45.7 \& 23.1 \& 22.6 \& 9.0
17.6 \& 7.9 \& 1.1 \\
\hline \$7,500-\$9,999 ...- \& 100
100 \& 229.80 \& 78.40 \& 21.30 \& 91. 40 \& 13.80 \& 6. 10 \& 3.40 \& 15. 40 \& 90 \& 451.70 \& 304. 70 \& 141.10 \& 5.90
9.60 \& 61.6
72.1 \& 34.3
46.4 \& 27.3
25.7 \& 17.6
17.9 \& 13.7
16.3 \& 1.9
1.6 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
\(\$ 10,000\) and over . . \\
Family type I
\end{tabular} \& 100 \& 241.50 \& 81.00 \& 18.00 \& 85.60 \& 19.80 \& 13.10 \& 9. 10 \& 14.90 \& 91 \& 709.00 \& 530.30 \& 169.10 \& 9.60 \& 72.1 \& 46.4 \& 25.7 \& 17.9 \& 16.3 \& 1.6 \\
\hline \$500-\$749. \& 62 \& 26. 50 \& 12.00 \& 7.80 \& 2.30 \& . 80 \& \& 1.00 \& 2.60 \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \\
\hline \$750-\$999 \& 33 \& 28. 50 \& 1.00 \& 8.40 \& 14.30 \& 1. 10 \& . 20 \& . 20 \& 3. 30 \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \\
\hline \$1,000-\$1,249 \& 30 \& 36. 70 \& 7.90 \& 7.80 \& 14. 20 \& 2. 10 \& . 40 \& 2.00 \& 2. 30 \& 2 \& 4. 30 \& \& 4. 30 \& \& 1.3 \& \& 1.3 \& (**) \& \& (**) \\
\hline \$1,250-\$1,499 \& 59 \& 50.20 \& 15.10 \& 10.40 \& 16. 00 \& 3. 40 \& . 50 \& 1. 90 \& 2. 90 \& 7 \& 1. 20 \& \& 1.20 \& (*) \& \({ }_{(0 \times 1} 1.0\) \& \& (**) \& \& \& \\
\hline \$1,500-\$1,749 \& 63 \& 61.60 \& 15.90 \& 8.30 \& 28.10 \& 3. 10 \& 1. 40 \& . 60 \& 4. 20 \& 1 \& \({ }^{*}{ }^{\text {( }}\) 90 \& \& \({ }^{*}{ }^{\text {a }}\) ) \& \& (**) \& \& (**) \& (**) \& \& (**) \\
\hline \$1,750-\$1,999 \& 92 \& 72. 10 \& 25. 30 \& 8.10 \& 28. 50 \& 3.70 \& 1. 10 \& \(\begin{array}{r}.90 \\ \hline\end{array}\) \& 4. 50 \& 3 \& 1.90
11.30 \& \& 1. 90 \& \& 1.1 \& \& 1.1 \& \({ }^{(* *)}\) \& \& \({ }^{(* *)}\) \\
\hline \$2,000-\$2,249 \& 77
86 \& 86.70
98.80 \& 21. 40 \& 9.40
11.00 \& 45.70
44.00 \& 4. 50 \& .40
70 \& 1.40
3.30 \& 3.90
5.70 \& 12 \& 11. 30 \& 13. 60 \& 3.
5. 10

14 \& (*) \& 4.7
4.8 \& 1.9 2.2 \& 2.8
2.6 \& 1. 5 \& .4 \& .1 <br>
\hline \$2,500-\$2,999. \& 95 \& 102.50 \& 32.60 \& 11.0
8.80 \& 4.00
4.00 \& 5.00
5.0 \& 4.00 \& 2. 30 \& 5.
4.80 \& 26 \& 18.10
21.60 \& 13.40
7.40 \& 14. 20 \& (v) \& 4.8
7.3 \& 1.5 \& 5.8 \& 1.5
.5 \& .7 \& 3 <br>
\hline \$3,000-\$3,499. \& 91 \& 108. 50 \& 32.00 \& 10.00 \& 49.00 \& 7.50 \& 2. 60 \& 1. 80 \& 5. 60 \& 49 \& 51.60 \& 16. 70 \& 34.80 \& 10 \& 21.8 \& 3.7 \& 18.1 \& 3. 6 \& 3.0 \& . 6 <br>
\hline \$3,500-\$3,999. \& 100 \& 119.00 \& 38.60 \& 12.40 \& 48. 20 \& 8.30 \& 2.70 \& 1.40 \& 7.40 \& 60 \& 74. 50 \& 16. 40 \& 58. 10 \& \& 24.6 \& 2.4 \& 22.2 \& 2.4 \& 1.3 \& 1. 1 <br>
\hline \$4,000-\$4,999 \& 99 \& 145.30 \& 39. 20 \& 12.30 \& 75. 20 \& 8. 60 \& 2.70 \& 1. 30 \& 6. 00 \& 77 \& 111.00 \& 57.30
105 \& 52. 20 \& 1. 50 \& 31.5 \& 9.1 \& 22.4 \& 6.6 \& 4. 2 \& 2.4 <br>
\hline \$5,000-\$7,499 \& 100 \& 161.00 \& 52.60 \& 14.10 \& 58. 70 \& 10.50 \& 12.30 \& 5.00 \& 7.80 \& 84 \& 264. 50 \& 195. 10 \& 68.50 \& . ${ }^{\text {. } 90}$ \& 52.3 \& 30.2 \& 22.1 \& 9.4
19 \& 8.6
19.9 \& . 8 <br>
\hline \$7,500-\$9,999
$\mathbf{\$ 1 0 , 0 0 0}$ and over \& 100 \& 287.50
232.50 \& 77.60
77.40 \& 31.60
21.60 \& 133.30
84.10 \& 15.00
29.90 \& 3.80
7.50 \& \& 26.20
10.20 \& 100
68 \& 538.20
489.90 \& 520.00
436.90 \& \& 18.20
8.80 \& 52.0
51.9 \& 52.0
36.4 \& \& 19.9 \& 19.9
13.4 \& <br>
\hline \$10,000 and over...- \& 100 \& 232.50 \& 77.40 \& 21.60 \& 84.10 \& 29.90 \& 7.50 \& 1.80 \& 10.20 \& 68 \& 489.90 \& 436.90 \& 44.20 \& 8.80 \& 51.9 \& 36.4 \& 15.5 \& 14.3 \& 13.4 \& . 9 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 8.-Other household operation: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for telephone, average expenditure for items of general household operation, prrcentage of families reporting expenditure for paid household help and average amount of such expenditure, average number of weeks help employed for all families, and average number of meals per week furnished help, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]

CHICAGO, ILL.: WHITE FAMILIES

| Family type and income class <br> (1) | General household operation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Paid household help ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  <br> Percent- <br> age of <br> familise <br> reporting <br> expendi- <br> ture for <br> tele- <br> phone <br> (2) | Average expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Percentage of families reporting expenditure <br> (11) | Average expenditure |  |  |  | Average weeks help employed |  |  | A verage meals furnished per week |  |  |
|  |  | $\underset{\text { items }}{\text { All }}$ <br> (3) | Telephone <br> (4) | Laundry supplies (5) | Laundry sent out (6) | Sta-tionery, etc. (7) | Express, ete. (8) | Water sent | Other <br> (10) |  | All paid (12) | Fulltime help <br> (13) | Parttime help <br> (14) | Aprons, gifts to help (15) | All paid <br> (16) | Full <br> time <br> help <br> (17) | Parttime help <br> (18) | All <br> paid <br> help <br> (19) | Fulltime help (20) | Parttime help <br> (21) |
| Family type II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 25 | \$25.90 | \$0. 30 | \$10.50 | \$10.90 | \$0. 50 | \$0. 80 | \$0.80 | \$2. 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$999 | 30 | 28.40 | . 40 | 11. 50 | 10. 50 | 2. 20 |  |  | 3. 80 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 21 | 29.40 | 2. 50 | 12. 10 | 8. 30 | 2. 50 | . 30 | 10 | 3. 60 | 12 | \$1. 70 |  | \$1. 70 |  | 1.7 |  | 1.7 | 0.1 |  | 0.1 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 69 | 51.40 | 11.90 | 12.50 | 19.80 | 2.10 | . 60 | 1.00 | 3. 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 58 | 59.50 | 15.70 | 9. 70 | ${ }^{25.80}$ | 2.90 | . 70 | . 40 | 4. 30 | 1 | . 40 |  | . 40 |  | . 2 |  | . 2 | (**) |  | (**) |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 58 | 61.80 | 17.60 | 12. 40 | ${ }^{21.80}$ | 2. 40 | 1.50 | 2. 00 | 4. 10 | 7 | 3. 80 | \$1. 10 | 2.70 |  | 2.7 | 0.2 | 2.5 | . 4 | 0.2 | . 2 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249- | 87 | 70.50 | $\stackrel{23.60}{ }$ | 12. 70 | ${ }^{22} 2.90$ | 3. 70 | 1.70 | 1. 00 | 4.90 | 18 | 13. 50 | 5. 80 | 7. 70 | (*) | 5.9 | 1.4 | 4.5 | 1.2 | 1.0 | . 2 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499- | 93 | 95.40 106 | 27.50 <br> 33 <br> 20 | 13. 60 | 40.20 47 | 4. 40 | 1.70 2 2 | 1.50 1.50 | 6. 50 | 32 37 | 30.70 43.70 | 22.50 | 8. 20 | \$0. 20 | 9.9 | 5. 1 | 4.8 4 | 2.1 | 1. 9 | . 2 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 96 | 116. 70 | 39.00 | 11. 90 | 49.70 | 5. 70 | 2.70 | 1. 60 | 6. 10 | 51 | 90.80 | 48. 60 | 41.90 | $\$ 0.30$ .30 | 20.6 | 8.7 | 12.9 | 4.5 4.3 | 4. 4 | 9 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 98 | 124.60 | 42.00 | 15.00 | 49.80 | 7.50 | 2.30 | 1. 60 | 6.40 | 52 | 131.30 | 91.50 | 38. 20 | 1.60 | 25.8 | 13.6 | 12.2 | 6.4 | 5.8 | 6 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 92 | 161.70 | 51.20 | 16. 40 | 69.10 | 8. 80 | 4.90 | 1. 40 | 9.90 | 80 | 189. 10 | 105. 00 | 82.90 | 1. 20 | 40.6 | 21.0 | 19.6 | 11.2 | 9.9 | 1.3 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 100 | 127. 70 | 57.80 | 14. 70 | 37. 70 | 7.70 | . 40 | . 70 | 8.70 | 97 | 353.10 | 250.30 | 99. 60 | 3. 20 | 68.5 | 33.5 | 35.0 | 14.8 | 12.8 | 2.0 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | 100 | 209.30 | 67.00 | 8.00 | 108.30 | 11.70 |  | 3.30 | 11.00 | 100 | 421.00 | 329. 30 | 86.70 | 5.00 | 52.0 | 34.7 | 17.3 | 15.0 | 14.0 | 1.0 |
| \$10,000 and over | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) |  |  | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ |  | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ |  | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\ddagger$ |  |
| Family type III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749. | 50 | 19.40 | 1.30 | 6. 60 | 7.60 | 1. 10 |  |  | 2.80 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$799 | 56 | 35. 40 | 3. 70 | 11.90 | 12.80 | 2.10 | . 90 | . 40 | 3.60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 27 | 33. 10 | 4.20 | 12.00 | 9.20 | 1. 70 | 1.00 | . 90 | 4.10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 46 | 40. 60 | 7.10 | 12. 10 | 13.10 | 1.80 | 1. 10 | . 80 | 4. 60 | 10 | 5. 10 | 10 | 5. 00 |  | 2.0 | (**) | 2.0 | . 4 | . 2 | . 2 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 54 | 47.70 | 13.30 | 10. 40 | 13. 30 | 3.10 | 2.10 | 20 | 5.30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,750-\$1.999 | 70 | 60.40 | 19.90 | 15. 80 | 13. 10 | 3. 40 | . 80 | 1.80 | 5. 60 | 16 | 8. 60 | 8. 50 | . 10 |  | 2.2 | 2.2 | (**) | 1.8 | 1.8 |  |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 83 | 80.60 | 22.30 | 15. 50 | 29. 50 | 3.90 | 2. 60 | 1.60 | 5. 20 | 21 | 12. 50 | 3. 20 | 9. 30 |  | 6.0 | . 6 | 5.4 | . 9 | . 3 | 6 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 90 | 85.50 | 25.60 | 14.90 | 31.00 | 4.20 | 2.90 | 1. 40 | 5.50 | 11 | 12.30 | 8.40 | 3.90 |  | 3.8 | 1.5 | 2.3 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 92 | 86.90 | 32.00 | 14. 90 | 24.40 | 5. 10 | . 80 | 3.90 | 5.80 | 44 | 66. 10 | 50.60 | 15.00 | . 50 | 16.2 | 7.8 | 8.4 | 6.2 | 5.4 | . 8 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 88 | 99.70 | 29.80 | 14. 40 | 37.80 | 6.80 | 1.00 | 3. 60 | 6. 30 | 31 | 38.80 | 28.70 | 10. 10 |  | 9.5 | 5. 0 | 4.5 | 2.7 | 1.6 | 1.1 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 100 | 116.80 | 45. 30 | 14. 10 | 39.90 | 6.00 | 2. 60 | 2.90 | 6.00 | 62 | 139.40 | 113.60 | 25. 10 | 70 | 29.8 | 19.6 | 10.2 | 9.6 | 8.3 | 1.3 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 100 | 133.50 | 51.70 | 15. 50 | 41.30 | 8.30 | 1.10 | 4.00 | 11. 60 | 77 | 236. 40 | 148.70 | 85. 50 | 2. 20 | 46.0 | 22.2 | 23.8 | 11.9 | 9.9 | 2.0 |
| \$5,000-\$7.499 | 100 | 146. 20 | 50.00 | 13.30 | 61.30 | 8.30 | 6.10 | . 70 | 6. 50 | 78 | ${ }^{236.70}$ | 207. 30 | 28.40 | 1.00 | 41.1 | 31.5 | 9.6 | 13.6 | 13.5 | . 1 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | 100 | 218. 10 | 65.90 | 22.30 | 82.90 | 17.90 | 8. 40 | 5.30 | 15. 40 | 100 | 535.80 | 400. 20 | 128.70 | 6. 90 | 95.5 | 51.8 | 43.7 | 22.9 | ${ }^{21.4}$ | 1.5 |
| \$10,000 and over...- | 100 | 235.70 | 83.10 | 17.20 | 87.20 | 14.00 | 22.80 | 4.20 | 7.20 | 100 | 783.30 | 630.10 | 63.00 | 10.20 | 73.0 | 58.0 | 15.0 | 21.9 | 21.3 | . 6 |

$\dagger$ Averages and percentages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.
*A verages of less than $\$ 0.05$ are not shown.
$* *$ Averages of less than 0.05 are not shown.

Table 8.-Other household operation: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for telephone, average expenditure for items of general household operation, percentage of families reporting expenditure for paid household help and average amount of such expenditure, averaye number of weeks help employed for all families, and average number of meals per week furnished help, by family type and income, in 1 yєar, 1985-36-Continued
[Nomrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
Chicago, ill.: White families

| Family type and income class <br> (1) | General household operation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Paid household help ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage of families reporting expenditure for telephone (2) | Average expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Percent-age offamiliesreportingexpendi-ture(11) | Average expenditure |  |  |  | Average weeks help employed |  |  | A verage meals furnished per week |  |  |
|  |  | All items <br> (3) | Telephone <br> (4) | Laundry supplies (5) | Laundry sent out (6) | Sta-tionery, etc. (7) | Express, etc. (8) | Water rent (9) | Other (10) |  | All paid help <br> (12) | Full- <br> time help <br> (13) | Parttime help <br> (14) | Aprons, gifts to help <br> (15) | All paid help <br> (16) | Fulltime help <br> (17) | Parttime help <br> (18) | All paid <br> (19) | Full- <br> time <br> help <br> (20) | Parttime help <br> (21) |
| Family type IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \$ 500-\$ 749 \\ & \$ 750-\$ 999 . \end{aligned}$ | 33 59 | $\$ 31.30$ 32.10 | \$6. 30 | $\$ 9.70$ 11.00 | $\$ 7.00$ 2.30 | $\$ 1.50$ 1.10 |  | $\begin{array}{r}\$ 3.30 \\ 1.80 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\$ 3.50$ 3.80 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1, 249 | 54 | 38.30 | 10.10 | 11. 70 | 9.10 | 1.60 |  | 2.60 | 3. 20 | 6 | \$1.70 | \$1.70 |  |  | 0.3 | 0.3 |  | 2.6 | 2.6 |  |
| \$1, 250-\$1,499 | 64 | 45.40 | 16.00 | 14. 30 | 5.80 | 2.70 | \$0.20 | 2.60 | 3.80 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1, 500-\$1, 749 | 77 | 59.50 | 22.30 | 13.70 | 14.70 | 2. 10 | . 50 | 1.80 | 4. 40 | (*) | (*) |  | (*) |  | (**) |  | (**) | (**) |  | (**) |
| \$1, 750-\$1, 999 | 85 | 61. 60 | 25. 80 | 13. 80 | 10. 60 | 2. 90 | . 10 | 3. 90 | 4. 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$2, 000-\$2, 249 | 82 86 | 74.60 83.40 | 24. 40 29.50 | 9.50 12.20 | 29.30 28.70 | 3.40 <br> 4.00 | .40 .80 | 3. 40 3.60 | 4.20 4.60 | 4 20 | .50 13.10 |  | $\$ 0.50$ 12.10 |  | 6. ${ }^{2}$ |  | 0.2 | ${ }^{2}$ |  | 0.2 |
| \$2, 250-\$2, 499- | 86 98 | 83.40 96.20 | 29.50 32.70 | 12.20 | 28.70 37.10 | 4.00 3.90 | .80 2.60 | 3.60 2.50 | 4. 60 5.20 | 20 25 | 13.10 22.20 | 1. 5.30 | 12.10 16.80 | \$0.10 | 6.5 8.5 | .1 1.0 | 6.4 7.5 | . 3 | $\stackrel{\text { **) }}{ } \stackrel{1}{ }$ | . 3 |
| \$3, 000-\$3, 499 | 100 | 104.10 | 35.20 | 11.80 | 40.00 | 5.60 | 1. 10 | 4.50 | 5. 90 | 31 | 38.00 | 8. 70 | 29.30 | (*) | 14.7 | 1.1 | 13.6 | 1.4 | 8 | . 6 |
| \$3, 500-\$3,999. | 99 | 96. 60 | 31. 70 | 12. 90 | 33.80 | 4.80 | 2.80 | 4.00 | 6.60 | 29 | 23.30 | 1.20 | 22.10 |  | 10.4 | . 9 | 9.5 | . 6 | . 2 | . 4 |
| \$4, 000-\$4, 999. | 100 | 134.80 | 41.80 | 13. 60 | 57. 30 | 10.40 | ${ }^{*}$ ) | 2.90 | 8.80 | 57 | 74.90 | 24. 50 | 50.30 | . 10 | 26.0 | 5.7 | 20.3 | 5.5 | 3.9 | 1. 6 |
| \$5, 000- \$7, 499 | 100 | 175. 20 | 55.80 | 15. 60 | 74. 30 | 12.20 | 3. 60 | 5. 20 | 8. 50 | 77 | 210.00 | 137.00 | 72.50 | . 50 | 37.7 | 14.0 | 23.7 | 6.2 | 4. 9 | 1.3 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | 100 | 232.00 | 95.10 | 17.20 | 81. 70 | 14.20 | 8. 90 | 2.20 | 12. 70 | 94 | 419.50 | 173.60 | 245.50 | .40 | ${ }_{67.5}^{55}$ | 18. 1 | 37.4 30.0 | 14.4 | 7.5 | 6.9 1.6 |
| $\$ 10,000$ and over ... Family type V | 100 | 252.10 | 84.80 | 11.80 | 114. 20 | 16.00 | . 20 | 13.60 | 11. 50 | 96 | 551.70 | 504. 20 | 41.20 | 6. 30 | 67.3 | 37.3 | 30.0 | 13.4 | 11.8 | 1.6 |
| \$500-\$749 | 43 | 31.90 | 7.70 | 13.00 | 6. 10 | 1.30 | . 40 |  | 3.40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$999-- | 50 | 29.80 | 7.30 | 12.80 |  | 1. 20 |  | 3. 90 | 4.60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1, 000-\$1, 249. | 50 | 30.50 | 8. 50 | 11. 50 | 2. 60 | . 80 | . 50 | 2.30 | 4. 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |
| \$1, 250-\$1,499. | 51 | 44. 20 | 10.60 | 15.90 | 7.90 | 2.10 | 1.00 | 2. 50 | 4.20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1, 500-\$1,749 | 61 | 47. 20 | 16. 00 | 13. 60 | 7.60 | 2. 70 | (*) | 2. 40 | 4. 90 | (*) | (*) |  | (*) |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 80 | 69.40 | 22.20 19.10 | 15.40 | 20.40 15 | 2. 20 | - 30 | 2.70 4.10 | 5. 50 | 2 | . 80 |  | . 80 |  | . 8 |  | 8 | (**) |  | ${ }^{(* *}$ **) |
| \$2, $250-\$ 2,499$ | 87 | 62.60 77.40 | 19.10 26.60 | 13.60 17.00 | 15.40 | 2.50 4.10 | 1.20 .40 | 4.10 4.00 | 6. 70 6.20 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1.30 1.00 |  | 1.30 |  | . 2 |  | $\stackrel{2}{5}$ | (**) |  | (**) |
| \$2, 500-\$2,999. | 91 | 86.20 | 30.60 | 14. 10 | 24.50 | 6.70 | . 30 | 4. 60 | 5. 40 | 12 | 9. 50 | 1.00 | 8.50 |  | 4.7 | .3 | 4.4 | . 5 | . 3 | . 2 |
| \$3,000-\$3, 499 | 94 | 94.00 | 33.90 | 17.00 | 21. 10 | 6.80 | 3.00 | 4.80 | 7.40 | 32 | 30.30 | 1. 10 | 28.90 | . 30 | 10.2 | . 2 | 10.0 | 3.5 | 1.1 | 2.4 |
| \$3, 500-\$3,999. | 97 | 110.50 | 34.30 | 15.00 | 37.90 | 6.90 | 2. 70 | 5. 80 | 7. 90 | 24 | 33. 80 | 13.70 | 20.10 |  | 11.5 | 2.3 | 9.2 | 1.7 | 1.3 | . 4 |
| \$4, 000-\$4, 999. | 100 | 144.60 | 41.80 | 14.30 | 61.90 | 6.50 | 3.40 | 7.40 | 9.30 | 43 | 120.20 | 51.30 | 67.80 | 1. 10 | 30.3 | 7.8 | 22.5 | 4.1 | 3.0 | 1.1 |
| \$5, 000-\$7, 499 | 100 | 205.40 | 55.50 | 14.00 | 102.70 | 9.30 | 9.80 | 7.30 | 6.80 | 55 | 140.60 | 78. 20 | 61.90 | . 50 | 29.3 | 9.8 | 19.5 | 2.8 | 2. 2 | . 6 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999..... | $(\mathrm{i}){ }^{100}$ | ${ }_{\text {ct }}^{160.00}$ | ${ }_{\text {47. }}^{\text {4 }}$ ( 60 | ${ }_{\text {ct }}^{19.00}$ | ${ }_{(1)}^{\text {71. } 20}$ | $\stackrel{8.70}{(\dagger)}$ | ${ }_{(\dagger)} 3.80$ | ${ }_{(\dagger)}^{1.00}$ | ${ }_{(t)}^{8.70}$ | $\left({ }_{(\dagger)}{ }^{69}\right.$ | ${ }_{(\dagger)}^{210.00}$ | $\begin{gathered} 148.00 \\ (\dagger) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 62.00 \\ (\dagger) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | ( $\dagger$ ) | $\underset{(\dagger)}{36.1}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17.9 \\ (\dagger) \end{gathered}$ | ${ }_{(t)}^{18.2}$ | $\underset{(\dagger)}{13.3}$ | ${ }_{(i)}^{7.9}$ | $\underset{(\dagger)}{5.4}$ |

Table 8.-Thther household operation: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for telephone, average expenditure for items of general household operation, percentage of families reporting expenditure for paid household help and average amount of such expenditure, average number of weeks help employed for all families, and average number of meals per week furnished help, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1985-96-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
CHICAGO, ILL.: WHITE FAMILIES

| Family type and income class <br> (1) | General household operation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Paid household help ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent-age offamiliesreportingexpendi-ture fortele-phone(2) | Average expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Percent- } \\ \text { age of } \\ \text { families } \\ \text { reporting } \\ \text { expendi- } \\ \text { ture } \\ \text { (11) } \end{gathered}\right.$ | Average expenditure |  |  |  | Average weeks help employed |  |  | A verage meals furnished per week |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Laun- | Laun- | Sta- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\underset{\text { itims }}{\text { All }}$ | Tele- |  |  | tion- | Ex-ess, |  |  |  | paid |  | Part- | Aprons, gifts to | paid | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Full- } \\ & \text { time } \end{aligned}$ | Part- | paid | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Full- } \\ & \text { time } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Part- } \\ & \text { time } \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | items | phone | supplies | sent out | ery, etc. | press, | rent |  |  | help | $\begin{aligned} & \text { time } \\ & \text { help } \end{aligned}$ | help | $\underset{\text { help }}{ }$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { paid } \\ & \text { help } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { buelp } \\ & \text { help } \end{aligned}$ | help | haid | help | help |
|  |  | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) |  | (12) | (13) | (14) | (15) | (16) | (17) | (18) | (19) | (20) | (21) |
| Family type VI |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749. | 44 | \$25. 10 | \$7.20 | \$12. 20 |  | \$0. 70 | \$1.10 |  | \$3.90 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$999. | 40 | 33.90 | 8.20 | 13.60 | \$7.00 | 1.20 | . 20 | \$0. 60 | 3. 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1, 000-\$1, 249 | 44 | 31.40 | 6. 30 | 12.30 | 6.30 | 1. 60 | 1.10 |  | 3.80 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1, 250-\$1, 499 | 38 | 41.40 | 8.00 | 13.60 | 11.40 | 2.60 | . 60 | 1.10 | 4. 10 | 2 | \$1. 20 | \$1. 10 |  | \$0.10 | 0.4 | 0.4 |  | 0.5 | 0.5 |  |
| \$1, 500-\$1,749 | 52 | 51.10 | 12.30 | 15.00 | 14.00 | 2.40 | 1.80 | :60 1.60 | 5.00 | 6 | 6. 40 |  | \$6.40 |  | 1.6 |  |  | . 3 |  | 0.3 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 67 | 62.30 | 16.20 | 14.60 | 22.10 | 2. 30 | 1.40 | 1.60 2.10 | 4.10 | 16 | 5.90 16.40 | 5.40 14.90 | . 50 |  | 1.5 | 1. 5 | $\left({ }^{* *}\right)$ | 1.4 | 1.4 |  |
| \$2, 000-\$2, 249 | 78 | 75. 50 | 21.90 | 18.90 | 20.30 | 4.30 | 2.10 | 2.10 | 5. 90 | 11 | 16.40 | 14. 90 | 1.50 |  | 3.0 | 2.3 | . 7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | (**) |
| \$2, 250-\$2, 499 | 77 | 72.50 | 24.10 | 11. 70 | 25.10 | 2.70 | 1.30 | 1. 90 | 5. 70 | 34 | 29.90 | 16.80 | 12.80 | . 30 | 10.4 | 4.2 | 6.2 | 3.8 | 2.7 | 1.1 |
| \$2, 500-\$2, 999 | 97 | 90. 20 | 32.30 | 15.00 | 26.20 | 4. 90 | 1.40 | 3.70 | 6. 70 | 26 | 26. 70 | 19.80 | 6.90 |  | 7.4 | 3.5 | 3.9 | 3.4 | 2.9 | . 5 |
| \$3, 000-\$3, 499 | 98 | 112.90 | 31.20 | 14. 20 | 49.00 | 5. 60 | . 80 | 4. 80 | 7.30 | 53 | 68. 50 | 40.40 | 27.90 | . 20 | 17.6 | 7.2 | 10.4 | 6.4 | 4.3 | 2.1 |
| \$3, 500-\$3, 999 | 88 | 89.50 | 34.80 | 14. 20 | 23.30 | 5. 50 | . 30 | 3.60 | 7.80 | 66 | 173.40 | 147. 90 | 25.50 |  | 38.4 | 25.4 | 13.0 | 10.2 | 9.6 | . 6 |
| \$4, 000-\$4, 999 | 100 | 153.40 | 46. 60 | 21. 20 | 57.50 | 9.80 | 6.30 | 4.80 | 7. 20 | 83 | 172.70 | 104. 40 | 68.30 |  | 38.1 | 17. 17 | 21.0 | 8.9 | 7.0 | 1.9 |
| \$5,000-\$7, 499... | 100 | 138.50 | 52.10 | 18. 20 | 52.00 | 7.60 | -.----- | 3.50 | 5. 10 | 58 | 194. 70 | 74. 30 | 119.90 | . 50 | 32.5 | 10.7 | ${ }^{21.8}$ | 7.2 | 4.4 | 2.8 |
| \$7,500-\$9, 999 | 100 | 140.60 | 74. 90 | 16.30 | 18.30 | 8.70 |  | 8.70 | 13. 70 | 100 | 727.30 | 624.00 | 95. 30 | 8.00 | 104.0 | 69.3 | 34.7 | 28.7 | 28.0 | . 7 |
| \$10,000 and over.. | 100 | 211.00 | 85.30 | 33.40 | 36.80 | 26.60 | . 30 | 4.00 | 24.60 | 100 | 1, 640.80 | 700.30 | 939.80 | . 70 | 115.9 | 96.6 | 19.3 | 42.0 | 38.1 | 3.9 |
| Family type VII |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 50 | 20.50 | 1.20 | 12.00 |  | 1. 30 |  | 1.00 | 5.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$999 | 26 | 29.40 | . 50 | 12.30 | 7.60 | . 80 |  | 2.10 | 6.10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1, 249 | 50 | 51.50 | 9.40 | 21.40 | 11.10 | 1.80 |  | 2.40 | 5.40 | 8 | 11.40 |  | 11.40 |  | 3.3 |  | 3.3 | . 1 |  | . 1 |
| \$1, 250-\$1, 499. | 49 | 40.50 | 6.50 | 14.30 | 9.80 | 1. 50 | . 40 | 2.60 | 5.40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 53 | 41.30 | 9.90 | 14. 90 | 5.00 | 2. 00 | . 10 | 3.00 | 6. 40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1, 750-\$1, 999 | 69 | 66.40 | 15. 50 | 16. 30 | 20.70 | 2. 30 | 2.80 | 2.80 | 6.00 | 7 | . 80 |  | . 60 | . 20 | . 1 |  | .1 | . 5 |  | ** 5 |
| \$2, 000-\$2, 249 | 65 | 53. 90 | 13. 40 | 15.70 | 11.80 | 3. 10 |  | 4.30 | 5. 60 | 7 | 3. 20 | 1.80 | 1. 40 |  | 1. 5 | . 3 | 1. 2 | . 9 | . 9 | ${ }^{* *}$ ) |
| \$2, 250-\$2,499 | 79 | 73. 50 | 24.40 | 18.40 | 15.40 | 4. 30 | . 20 | 3.40 | 7. 40 | 3 | 3.40 |  | 2.90 | . 50 | 1.5 |  | 1.5 | .7 |  | . 7 |
| \$2, 500-\$2,999 | 78 | 78.80 | 20.00 | 20.30 | 17.40 | 4.80 | 1.80 | 5.80 | 8. 70 | 2 | 1. 20 |  | 1. 20 |  | . 4 |  | . 4 | . 2 |  | . 2 |
| \$3, $000-\$ 3,499$ | ${ }^{93}$ | 83.50 | 28.90 | 18.30 | 21.00 | 4. 30 | 1.20 | 3.50 | ${ }^{6.30}$ | 21 | 26.10 | 3. 60 | 22. 40 | . 10 | 5.9 | . 7 | 5.2 | 1.3 | . 1 | 1.2 |
| \$3, 500-\$3, 999 | 100 | 126.90 | 35.10 | 16.50 | 51.40 | 4. 50 | 5. 40 | 5.80 | 8.20 | 14 | 11.00 | 10.80 | . 20 |  | 4.0 | 3.8 | . 2 | 1. 9 | 1.9 |  |
| \$4, 000-\$4, 999 | 100 | 97.40 | 36. 10 | 22.80 | 11.60 | 9.00 | 1.60 | 6. 40 | 9.90 | 21 | 26.60 | 13. 40 | 13.00 | . 20 | 10. 1 | 3.1 | 7.0 | 1. 4 | 1. 1 | . 3 |
| \$5,000-\$7, 499 | 100 | 171.80 | 62.50 | 29.40 | 31.20 | 13.90 |  | 13.10 | 21.70 | 63 | 349.30 | 142.10 | 206. 90 | . 30 | 54.1 | 29.5 | 24.6 | 8.3 | 6.4 | 1.9 |
| \$7, $500-\$ 9,999 \ldots$ $\$ 10,000 ~ a n d ~ o v e r ~$ | ${ }_{(\dagger)} 100$ | ${ }_{(\dagger)}^{233.70}$ | ${ }_{(\dagger)}^{62.60}$ | $\underset{(1)}{24 .}$ | $\underset{(\dagger)}{109.20}$ | ${ }_{(t)}^{9.00}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {( } \dagger \text { ) }}^{16.40}$ | 12.30 | $(\dagger)^{70}$ | $\underset{(\dagger)}{385.00}$ | ${ }_{(\dagger)}^{231.40}$ | $\underset{(\dagger)}{145.60}$ | 8.00 | ${ }_{\text {( }}^{57}{ }_{\text {( }}{ }^{2}$ |  | ${ }_{(\dagger)}^{20.8}$ | ${ }_{\text {( } \dagger \text { ) }}{ }^{2}$ | ${ }_{(\dagger)}^{13.2}$ | 5.0 |

${ }^{1}$ See explanation of tables.
$\dagger$ Averages and percentages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

Table 8.-Other houschold operation: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for telephone, average expenditure for items of general household opcration, percentage of families reporting expenditure for paid household help and average amount of such expenditure, average number of weeks help employed for all families, and average number of meals per week furnished help, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1935-36--Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
PROVIDENCE, R. I.: WHITE FAMILIES

| Family type and income class <br> (1) | General household operation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Paid household help ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage of families reporting expenditure for telephone <br> (2) | Average expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Percentage of families reporting expenditure <br> (11) | Average expenditure |  |  |  | A verage weeks help employed |  |  | Average meals furnished per week |  |  |
|  |  | All items <br> (3) | Telephone <br> (4) | Laundry supplies <br> (5) | Laundry sent out <br> (6) | Sta-tionery, etc. | Express, etc. <br> (8) | Water rent <br> (9) | Other (10 |  | All paid help <br> (12) | Fulltime help <br> (13) | Parttime help | Aprons. gifts to help (15) | All paid help (16) | Fulltime help | Parttime help <br> (18) | All <br> paid help <br> (19) | Full- <br> time <br> help <br> (20) | Parttime help <br> (21) |
| All families |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 22 | \$20.90 | \$0.10 | \$8. 10 | \$6.90 | \$1.10 | \$0. 10 |  | \$4. 60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$999 | 22 | 25. 20 | 2. 40 | 7.90 | 9.30 | 1.00 | . 10 | \$0.20 | 4.30 | 1 | (*) |  | (*) |  | 0.2 |  | 0.2 |  |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 39 | 36.70 | 6.00 | 9.70 | 13.30 | 1.80 | . 50 | . 60 | 4. 80 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 40 | 46.60 | 7.70 | 10.00 | 18.80 | 2.80 | . 30 | 1.40 | 5. 60 | 1 | \$2. 20 | \$2. 10 | \$0.10 |  | 4 | 0.4 | (**) | 0.1 | 0.1 | (**) |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 60 | 58.90 | 13.40 | 9.50 | 24.80 | 2.90 | . 60 | 1.40 | 6.30 | 4 | 2.40 | 1. 60 | . 80 |  | . 9 | 2 | . 7 | . 2 | . 1 | 0.1 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 74 | 69.50 | 19.10 | 9. 90 | 28.00 | 3.60 | 1. 00 | 1.40 | 6. 50 | 4 | 1. 40 | 20 | 1. 20 |  | . 6 | (**) | . 6 | . 2 | . 1 | 1 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 80 | 82.50 | 23. 20 | 10.10 | 34.50 | 4.40 | . 50 | 2. 50 | 7.30 | 9 | 10.40 | 2.90 | 7.50 |  | 3.5 | . 7 | 2.8 | . 5 | . 3 | 2 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 87 | 96.50 | 25. 20 | 9. 10 | 44.30 | 4.90 | 90 | 3.30 | 8.80 | 15 | 10.70 | 4. 50 | 6. 20 |  | 3.8 | . 6 | 3.2 | . 4 | 2 | 2 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 92 | 110.10 | 34.40 | 9.10 | 47. 20 | 5.30 | 1. 00 | 4.40 | 8. 70 | 27 | 32. 60 | 11. 80 | 20.70 | \$0. 10 | 10.8 | 2.5 | 8.3 | 1.8 | 1.3 | 5 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 98 | 131.30 | 41.30 | 9.70 | 56.80 | 7.80 | 1. 60 | 4.00 | 10.10 | 41 | 67.40 | 41.50 | 25.40 | . 50 | 16.5 | 6.7 | 9.8 | 3.9 | 2. 9 | 1.0 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 100 | 137.50 | 39.10 | 12.00 | 60.80 | 8.60 | 1.40 | 4. 70 | 10.90 | 49 | 64.70 | 28.60 | 35. 10 | 1. 00 | 21.6 | 5.7 | 15.9 | 2.9 | 2.3 | . 6 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 89 | 154. 80 | 45.60 | 12.20 | 69.70 | 11.00 | 1. 50 | 5.20 | 9.60 | 44 | 90.00 | 53.40 | 35. 10 | 1. 50 | 18.2 | 7.3 | 10.9 | 4. 1 | 3.0 | 1.1 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 100 | 206. 80 | 54.60 | 10.50 | 96.80 | 14.10 | . 90 | 11.00 | 18.90 | 82 | 233.60 | 134. 20 | 93.20 | 6. 20 | 46.8 | 18.7 | 28.1 | 12.5 | 10.5 | 2.0 |
| \$7,500 and over | 100 | 295.50 | 59.60 | 12.80 | 136. 60 | 29.10 | 5.30 | 17.20 | 34.90 | 97 | 693.90 | 496.00 | 186.40 | 11.50 | 74.0 | 54.8 | 19.2 | 26.6 | 26. 2 | . 4 |
| Family type I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 10 | 12.90 | . 20 | 6. 00 | 2.30 | . 90 |  |  | 3.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$999 | 20 | 21.40 | 4.60 | 5. 50 | 5. 50 | 1. 70 |  | . 60 | 3.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 27 | 34.30 | 8.00 | 10.50 | 8.50 | 1.90 | . 50 | 1.00 | 3.90 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 38 | 53. 00 | 10.40 | 11. 20 | 21.40 | 3. 20 | . 30 | 1. 20 | 5. 30 | 2 | 2. 10 | 2.00 | . 10 |  | . 4 | . 4 | (**) | . 2 | 2 | (**) |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 55 | 69.50 | 16.50 | 8.40 | 33.70 | 3.40 | . 30 | . 90 | 6. 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 89 | 79.90 | 27.70 | 7.40 | 33. 10 | 4.40 | . 30 | 1. 50 | 5. 50 | 7 | . 10 |  | . 10 |  | (**) |  | (**) | . 2 |  | 2 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 76 | 88.80 | 24.40 | 9. 00 | 40. 20 | 5.00 | . 20 | 2.30 | 7.70 | 12 | 17.40 | 3.70 | 13. 70 |  | 5. 6 | . 6 | 5. 0 | . 6 | . 2 | 4 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 96 | 107. 10 | 30.30 | 7.30 | 54.60 | 4.90 | 1. 40 | 2.10 | 6. 50 | 16 | 1. 00 |  | 1. 00 |  | 2.1 |  | 2.1 |  |  |  |
| \$2,500-\$2,999. | 100 | 113.50 | 35.00 | 7. 00 | 50.90 | 6. 20 | 2. 10 | 3.80 | 8.50 | 38 | 26. 20 | 3. 20 | 23.00 |  | 11.9 | . 8 | 11. 1 | 1.4 | 1. 1 | . 3 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 100 | 132.30 | 41.40 | 8. 40 | 56.50 | 11. 00 | 1. 50 | 4.60 | 8. 90 | 63 | 79.70 | 44. 80 | 34. 20 | . 70 | 22.5 | 7.1 | 15.4 | 4.8 | 3. 2 | 1.6 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 100 | 138. 10 | 37.70 | 13.70 | 54.80 | 14. 90 | . 50 | 3.30 | 13.20 | 44 | 60.40 | 16. 20 | 42.00 | 2. 20 | 21.7 | 3.2 | 18.5 | 1.9 | 1.3 | . 6 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 77 | 179.30 | 43.80 | 10. 10 | 99.90 | 12.30 | . 10 | 7.10 | 6. 00 | 61 | 121.90 | 44. 70 | 77. 10 | . 10 | 32.2 | 6.0 | 26.2 | 2. 7 | 2. 1 | . 6 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499... | 100 | 155.40 | 47.40 | 10. 20 | 66.40 | 13.00 | . 70 | 4. 90 | 12.80 | 93 | 225. 60 | 71. 10 | 146. 00 | 8. 50 | 64.4 | 9.4 | 55.0 | 11.8 | 9.1 | 2.7 |
| \$7,500 and over- | 100 | 269.20 | 55.60 | 13.80 | 119.40 | 36. 30 | 4.60 | 12.80 | 26.70 | 83 | 648.60 | 453.70 | 177.30 | 17.60 | 64.9 | 46.5 | 18.4 | 17.4 | 17.1 | .3 |

[^116][^117][^118]Table 8.-Other houschold operation: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for telephone, average exponditure for items of general household operation, percentage of families reporting expenditure for paid household help and average amount of such expenditure, average number of weeks help employed for all families, and average number of meals per week furnished help, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
PROVIDENCE, R. I.: WHITE FAMILIES

| Family type and income class <br> (1) | General household operation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Paid household help ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage of families reporting expenditure for telephone (2) | A verage expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Percentage of families reporting expenditure <br> (11) | Average expenditure |  |  |  | A verage weeks help employed |  |  | Average meals furnished per week |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Sta- |  |  |  |  | All | Full- | Part- | Aprons, | All | Full- | Part- | All | Full- | Part- |
|  |  | All items | Telephone | dry | dry | tion- | press, | Water | Other |  | paid | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Full- } \\ & \text { time } \end{aligned}$ | time | gifts to | paid | time | time | paid | time | time |
|  |  | items | phone | sup- <br> plies | $\begin{aligned} & \text { sent } \\ & \text { out } \end{aligned}$ | ery, etc. | etc. | rent | Other |  | help | help | help | help | help | help | help | help | help | help |
|  |  | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) |  | (12) | (13) | (14) | (15) | (16) | (17) | (18) | (19) | (20) | (21) |
| Family types II and III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749. | 33 | \$26. 40 | \$0. 10 | \$10.60 | \$8.30 | \$1.40 | \$0.30 |  | \$5. 70 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$999 | 20 | 26.60 | 1.10 | 8.40 | 11.90 | . 60 |  |  | 4. 60 | 1 | \$0.10 |  | \$0.10 |  | 0.3 |  | 0.3 |  |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 43 | 36.70 | 4.70 | 9.30 | 14.80 | 1.80 | . 80 |  | 5. 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 34 | 40.60 | 4.30 | 9.90 | 16.70 | 2. 40 | . 50 | \$1.40 | 5. 40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 62 | 55. 00 | 11. 10 | 9.90 | 23.30 | 2. 60 | 1.10 | . 60 | 6. 40 | 6 | 6. 60 | \$4. 20 | 2. 40 |  | 2.5 | 0.5 | 2.0 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 64 | 69.70 | 15. 20 | 10.30 | 31. 20 | 3. 30 | 1. 90 | . 90 | 6. 90 | 5 | 3. 40 | . 40 | 3.00 |  | 1.4 | . 1 | 1. 3 | ${ }^{3}$ | $\cdot 1$ | . 2 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 82 | 83.30 | 23.40 | 10.30 | 34.30 | 4. 40 | . 80 | 3.40 | 6.70 | 12 | 12.80 | 5.00 | 7.80 |  | 4.3 | 1.6 | 2.7 | . 9 | .7 | . 2 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 92 | 102. 10 | 27.80 | 9.90 | 48.50 | 4. 80 | 1.00 | 1.90 | 8. 20 | 27 | 27.30 | 13. 80 | 13. 50 |  | 8.1 | 1.8 | 6.3 | 1.3 | . 7 | . 6 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 96 | 116.40 | 38.70 | 10.00 | 49.90 | 5.40 | . 50 | 3.30 | 8. 60 | 35 | 51.90 | 24.60 | 27. 20 | \$0.10 | 17.0 | 5.9 | 11.1 | 3.6 | 2.6 | 1.0 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 93 | 124.00 | 35. 10 | 9.10 | 56.80 | 6. 10 | 2.20 | 1.90 | 12.80 | 48 | 114.60 | 83.00 | 30.70 | . 90 | 22.7 | 13.6 | 9.1 | 6.6 | 5.2 | 1.4 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 100 | 148. 70 | 45.80 | 11. 40 | 68.20 | 7.10 | 2.80 | 3.30 | 10.10 | 82 | 123.00 | 73.50 | 48.90 | . 60 | 37.8 | 14.6 | 23.2 | 6.4 | 6.0 | 4 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 94 | 154.70 | 47.20 | 10.70 | 67.00 | 13.10 | 1.40 | 2.50 | 12.80 | 58 | 113.90 | 84.60 | 27.90 | 1.40 | 22.8 | 14.6 | 8.2 | 7.2 | 6.3 | . 9 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 100 | 217.60 | 58. 70 | 10.80 | 103.30 | 12.20 | 1.30 | 8.00 | 23.30 | 89 | 345. 30 | 242.70 | 96. 60 | 6.00 | 51.0 | 28.9 | 22.1 | 16.4 | 13.5 | 2.9 |
| \$7,500 and over-...-....- | 100 | 331.30 | 53.90 | 12.50 | 182.70 | 18.80 | 9.20 | 21.90 | 32. 30 | 100 | 725.90 | 519.00 | 203.00 | 3.90 | 79.9 | 52.0 | 27.9 | 21.5 | 21.0 | . 5 |
| ,Family types IV and V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 18 | 23.50 | . 10 | 5. 90 | 12.50 | 1.00 |  |  | 4.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$999 | 27 | 28.00 | 2.10 | 10.00 | ${ }^{9.10}$ | 1. 10 | . 40 |  | 5. 30 | 3 | . 10 |  | . 10 |  | . 4 |  | . 4 |  |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 46 | 40.10 | 5.60 | 9. 30 | 17.20 | 1.80 |  | . 90 | 5. 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 48 | 47. 20 | 9.30 | 8. 90 | 19.00 | 2. 50 |  | 1.50 | 6.00 | 2 | 5. 20 | 5.20 |  |  | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | . 2 | . 2 |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 61 | 52. 60 | 12. 70 | 10. 20 | 17.30 | 3.30 | . 30 | 2.60 | 6. 20 | 4 | . 30 | . 30 |  |  | . 2 | . 2 |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 74 | 58. 20 | 16.30 | 11. 60 | 17.60 | 3. 30 | . 30 | 2.20 | 6.90 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 82 | 75. 50 | 21.80 | 10.90 | 29.30 | 3.80 | . 40 | 1.80 | 7.50 | 3 | 1. 20 |  | 1. 20 |  | . 6 |  | . 6 | (**) |  | (*) |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 77 | 85.40 | 19.80 | 9. 60 | 34. 60 | 5.00 | . 40 | 5.10 | 10.90 | 4 | 3. 70 |  | 3. 70 |  | 1. 6 |  | 1.6 | . 1 |  | 1 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 84 | 102. 50 | 30.70 | 9.70 | 42. 70 | 4.50 | . 50 | 5.60 | 8. 80 | 12 | 22.70 | 8.50 | 14.10 | 10 | 5. 2 | 1.2 | 4.0 | . 8 | . 5 | . 3 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 100 | 135. 60 | 46. 60 | 11. 30 | 55.80 | 6.80 | 1.00 | 5. 30 | 8.80 | 17 | 17.20 | 3.20 | 14. 00 |  | 6.5 | . 5 | 6. 0 | . 9 | . 7 | 2 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 100 | 127.60 | 34. 40 | 11. 10 | 59.00 | 5.30 | . 90 | 7.10 | 9. 80 | 24 | 18.90 |  | 18.60 | . 30 | 7.9 |  | 7.9 | . 7 |  | . 7 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 92 | 142.80 | 45.40 | 14. 20 | 56.50 | 9.00 | 2. 20 | 6. 20 | 9. 30 | 27 | 57.80 | 36. 40 | 19.00 | 2.40 | 8. 0 | 3.0 | 5. 0 | 2.8 | 1.2 | 1.6 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 100 | 231.10 | 56. 00 | 10.30 | 111. 10 | 16.30 | . 70 | 17. 60 | 19. 10 | 68 | 145. 40 | 84.80 | 55. 70 | 4. 90 | 31.7 | 16.1 | 15.6 | 9.7 | 8.9 | - 8 |
| \$7,500 and over | 100 | 289.40 | 63.60 | 12.60 | 123.10 | 30.80 | 3.80 | 16.70 | 38.80 | 100 | 696.60 | 501.40 | 182. 60 | 12.60 | 74.6 | 58.8 | 15. 8 | 32.1 | 31.7 | -4 |

Table 8.-Other household operation: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for telephone, average expenditure for items of general household operation, percentuge of families reporting expenditure for paid housthold help and average amount of such expenditure, average number of weeks help employed for all families, and average number of meals per week furnished help, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
COLUMBUS, OHIO: WHITE FAMILIES

| Family type and income class <br> (1) | General household operation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Paid household help ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage of families reporting expenditure for telephone <br> (2) | Average expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Percentage of families reporting expenditure <br> (11) | A verage expenditure |  |  |  | A verage weeks help employed |  |  | Average meals furnished per week |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Laun- | Laun- | Sta- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | All | Tele | dry | $\begin{gathered} \text { Laun } \\ \text { dry } \end{gathered}$ | tion- | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ex- } \\ & \text { press, } \end{aligned}$ | Water | Other |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { paid } \end{gathered}$ | Fulltime | Part- | Aprons, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { All } \\ & \text { paid } \end{aligned}$ | Fulltime | Parttime | All | Fulltime | Parttime |
|  |  | items | phone | sup- | sent | ery, | etc. | sent | Other |  | help | help | help | help | help | help | help | help | help | help |
|  |  | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) |  | (12) | (13) | (14) | (15) | (16) | (17) | (18) | (19) | (20) | (21) |
| All jamilies |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749. | 5 | \$17.20 | \$0.30 | \$10.40 | \$0.70 | \$1.40 | \$0. 40 | \$0.50 | \$3. 50 | 5 | \$1.30 |  | \$1. 30 |  | 1.3 |  | 1.3 |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$999 | 20 | 23.60 | 4.40 | 9.70 | 1.90 | 1. 50 | . 50 | 1.30 | 4.30 | 5 | 1.40 | \$1.00 | . 40 |  | . 6 | 0.4 | . 2 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.2 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 23 | 31. 80 | 7.80 | 11. 60 | 2.90 | 2. 50 | . 30 | 2.00 | 4.70 | 5 | 1. 40 | . 30 | 1.10 |  | 1.0 | . 1 | . 9 | . 7 | . 4 | . 3 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 36 | 38. 60 | 12. 10 | 12.30 | 3.20 | 2.70 | . 80 | 2.70 | 4.80 | 8 | 4.10 | 1. 70 | 2.40 |  | 2.0 | 4 | 1.6 | . 8 | . 4 | . 4 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 44 | 44. 90 | 14.00 | 11. 60 | 7.60 | 2.60 | 1. 40 | 3.00 | 4.70 | 11 | 8.90 | 4. 70 | 4.10 | \$0. 10 | 2.9 | 1.7 | 1.2 | 1.3 | . 9 | . 4 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 54 | 54. 20 | 19.40 | 12.80 | 8.30 | 3.40 | . 80 | 3. 60 | 5.90 | 16 | 12. 60 | 8.00 | 4. 60 | ${ }^{(*)}$ | 4.5 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 1.4 | 1.2 | . 2 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 62 | 63.00 | 23.20 | 12. 20 | 11.90 | 3. 80 | . 90 | 4.30 | 6. 70 | 16 | 12. 20 | 6.30 | 5. 70 | . 20 | 4.2 | 1.6 | 2. 6 | 1. 4 | 1.2 | . 2 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 80 | 78. 70 | 32.00 | 12. 40 | 17.10 | 4. 50 | 1. 60 | 4.40 | 6.70 | 28 | 20.40 | 9.50 | 10.90 | (*) | 7.4 | 2.0 | 5.4 | 2.5 | 1.9 | . 6 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 79 | 84. 70 | 32.20 | 12.90 | 21.20 | 4. 50 | 1. 40 | 5.50 | 7.00 | 33 | 28.10 | 8.70 | 19.40 | (*) | 10.3 | 2.4 | 7.9 | 2.7 | 1.7 | 1.0 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 85 | 95.30 | 39. 20 | 12.50 | 21.10 | 6.80 | . 50 | 6.70 | 8.50 | 33 | 38. 20 | 22. 90 | 15. 00 | . 30 | 12.1 | 5.1 | 7.0 | 3.7 | 2.9 | . 8 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 88 | 101.90 | 42.30 | 12.50 | 22. 40 | 7.00 | . 50 | 6.50 | 10.70 | 38 | 58.50 | 33.10 | 25.00 | . 40 | 18.3 | 6.9 | 11.4 | 4.0 | 3.4 | + 6 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 96 | 108.80 | 48.50 | 15.30 | 19.10 | 8.40 | 1.10 | 7.80 | 8.60 | 69 | 120.10 | 72.90 | 46. 10 | 1. 10 | 31.1 | 11.0 | 20.1 | 6.7 | 5. 5 | 1. 2 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499. | 100 | 143.00 | 54.50 | 14.30 | 41. 20 | 10.00 | 1. 20 | 10.30 | 11. 50 | 88 | 249.60 | 151.80 | 95.30 | 2.50 | 51.2 | 23.4 | 27.8 | 11.3 | 8.7 | 2.6 |
| \$7,500 and over. | 100 | 192. 10 | 67.60 | 16.00 | 34.10 | 33.50 | 1.30 | 22.70 | 16.90 | 91 | 523.90 | 439.20 | 76. 20 | 8.50 | 78.9 | 54. 1 | 24.8 | 14.1 | 12.9 | 1. 2 |
| Family type I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 |  | 15. 30 |  | 9.20 | . 70 | 1. 60 |  | 1. 10 | 2.70 | 11 | 2.90 |  | 2.90 |  | 2.9 |  | 2.9 |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$999 | 16 | 21. 50 | 3.70 | 7. 50 | 2.50 | 1. 60 | 1.00 | 1. 10 | 4.10 | 8 | 3.50 | 2. 50 | 1.00 |  | 1.5 | 1.0 | 5 | 2.1 | 1.6 | . 5 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 31 | 37.20 | 13.10 | 9.70 | 4.60 | 2.90 |  | 3.20 | 3.70 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 42 | 40.60 | 16. 30 | 10.30 | 2.20 | 2. 70 | 1.00 | 3.70 | 4.40 | 7 | 2. 40 |  | 2.40 |  | 2.3 |  | 2.3 | . 6 |  | 6 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 52 | 47.00 | 16.00 | 9.20 | 8.90 | 2.30 | 3.10 | 3.40 | 4. 10 | 14 | 13.30 | 3. 60 | 9.40 | . 30 | 3. 2 | 1.2 | 2.0 | . 8 | . 2 | 6 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 61 | 66.30 | 25.40 | 10.70 | 18. 20 | 4.00 | . 60 | 2.50 | 4.90 | 18 | 10. 20 | 1. 40 | 8.80 |  | 5.0 | . 7 | 4. 3 | ${ }^{.} 6$ | . 5 | .1 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 58 | 75.40 | 25. 20 | 10.00 | 24.30 | 4.40 | 1. 60 | 3.80 | 6.10 | 22 | 13.90 | 9.10 | 4.30 | . 50 | 4.0 | 1.8 | 2.2 | 1.0 | . 8 | . 8 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 78 | 92.50 | 31.80 | 10.40 | 32.90 | 5.50 | 3. 10 | 2. 30 | 6.50 | 39 | 20.60 | 3.40 | 17.20 |  | 10.7 | . 7 | 10.0 10.2 | 1.3 | . 5 | . 8 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 87 | 91.30 | 36. 30 | 11.00 | 24.00 | 4.30 | 2. 70 | 5. 40 | 7.60 | 29 | 20.80 |  | 20.80 14.60 |  | 10.8 13.9 | .6 4.9 | 10.2 9.0 | 2.6 |  | 6 <br> 3 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 89 | 109.20 104.60 | 45.30 33.60 | 10.30 7.20 | 34.30 41.00 | 7.20 7.50 | .10 .70 | 4. 60 6.40 | 7.40 8.20 | 36 27 | 39.90 31.00 | 25. 20 2. 10 | 14.60 28.90 | 10 | 13.9 | 4.9 .7 | 9.0 11.1 | 2.6 1.1 | $\begin{array}{r}2.3 \\ .5 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 3 6 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 96 | 108. 50 | 49.80 | 10.90 | 21.70 | 10.30 | . 20 | 7.70 | 7.90 | 84 | 149.80 | 81.40 | 67.00 | 1. 40 | 40.8 | 11.6 | 29.2 | 5. 8 | 4.1 | 1.7 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499. | 100 | 141.70 | 56.60 | 14.00 | 35. 10 | 11.60 | 1. 20 | 12.20 | 11.00 | 100 | 329.00 | 218.20 | 108.30 | 2.50 | -61. 1 | 24.5 | 36.6 | 10.6 | 8. 3 | 2.3 |
| \$7,500 and over | 100 | 211.70 | 70. 20 | 15.30 | 13.90 | 65.40 | 4.60 | 22.20 | 20.10 | 100 | 576.40 | 491.00 | 79.00 | 6.40 | 90.5 | 68.1 | 22.4 | 16.8 | 16.1 | . 7 |

1 See explanation of tables.
*A verages of less than $\$ 0.05$ arc not shown.

Tabte 8.-Other household operation: Percentage of families seporting expenditure for telephone, average expenditure for items of general household operation, percentage of families reporting expenditure for paid household help and average amount of such expenditure, average number of weeks help employed for all families, and average number of meals per week furnished help, by family type and income, in 1
number of weeks help employed for all families, and average number of meals per week furn
$y \in a r, 1935-36-C o n t i n u e d$
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
COLUMBUS, OHIO: WHITE FAMILIES

| Family type and income class <br> (1) | General household operation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Paid household help ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage of families reporting expenditure for telephone <br> (2) | Average expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Percentage of families reporting expenditure <br> (11) | Average expenditure |  |  |  | A verage weeks help employed |  |  | A verage meals furnished per week |  |  |
|  |  | All items <br> (3) | Telephone <br> (4) | Laundry supplies <br> (5) | Laundry sent out (6) | Sta-tionery, etc. <br> (7) | Express, etc. | Water rent <br> (9) | Other |  | All <br> paid help (12) | Fulltime help | Parttime help <br> (14) | Aprons, gifts to help | All paid help(16) | Fulltime help | Parttime help <br> (18) | All paid help(19) | Full- <br> time <br> help <br> (20) | Parttime help (21) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (10) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Family type II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749. | 12 | \$16.10 | \$1. 50 | \$8. 50 |  | \$0.90 | \$0.80 |  | \$4.40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$999 | 17 | 21.70 | 3.50 | 11.90 | \$0.50 | 1. 10 | . 40 | \$1.10 | 3.20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 19 | 31.20 | 5.40 | 11. 50 | 4.10 | 3. 40 | . 10 | . 90 | 5.80 | 12 | \$1.80 | \$0.90 | \$0.90 |  | 2.9 | 0.4 | 2.5 | 1.8 | 0.9 | 0.9 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 33 | 36. 40 | 10.80 | 13.10 | 2.80 | 2.90 | . 50 | 2.00 | 4.30 | 19 | 11. 50 | 3.90 | 7.60 |  | 4. 6 | . 9 | 3.7 | 1.8 | . 9 | . 9 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 34 | 42.90 | 9.30 | 12. 40 | 12. 60 | 2. 10 | . 50 | 1. 60 | 4.40 | 8 | 8.70 | 7.80 | . 80 | \$0.10 | 2.1 | 1.6 | . 5 | 1.4 | 1.4 |  |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 52 | 47.10 | 16.70 | 12.40 | 4.60 | 2.80 | 1.30 | 3.40 | 5. 90 | 27 | 18. 60 | 11. 70 | 6. 80 | . 10 | 7.7 | 4.3 | 3.4 | 2.3 | 2.0 | 2.3 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 66 | 57.60 | 25. 20 | 11.70 | 7.20 | 3.60 | 1.50 | 3.40 | 5.00 | 23 | 17. 10 | 11. 50 | 5. 50 | . 10 | 6.8 | 4.0 | 2.8 | 4.2 | 3.9 | . 3 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 82 | 71.50 | 33.50 | 12.80 | 9.60 | 4. 50 | 1.10 | 3.60 | 6.40 | 46 | 41. 40 | 25. 50 | 15. 70 | . 20 | 13.1 | 5.6 | 7.5 | 6.1 | 5.8 | . 3 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 85 | 99.40 | 33.40 | 16. 80 | 30.10 | 5.80 | 2.40 | 3.90 | 7.00 | 51 | 53.70 | 28.00 | 25.70 |  | 20.3 | 7.0 | 13.3 | 6.5 | 4.0 | 2.5 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 93 | 108.10 | 42.80 | 12. 20 | 30.80 | 7.70 | . 50 | 4.90 | 9.20 | 57 | 48. 30 | 30.00 | 17.80 | . 50 | 18.1 | 9.3 | 8.8 | 10.2 | 8.0 | 2.2 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999. | 100 | 121.10 | 45. 30 | 13. 50 | 38.80 | 8.30 | 1.80 | 2.80 | 10.60 | 73 | 76.60 | 27.50 | 47. 10 | 2.00 | 36. 2 | 7.4 | 28.8 | 5.6 | 4.4 | 1.2 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999. | 100 | 126.60 150.80 | 53.40 60.20 | 32.90 15.80 | 13.90 37.20 | 10.30 8.80 | . 60 | 9.30 11.00 | 6.80 17.20 | 86 100 | 101. 20 232.40 | 88.50 157.80 | 12.70 66.40 | 8.20 | 16.2 71.8 | 9.7 36.2 | 6.5 35.6 | 11.6 21.4 | 11.3 20.8 | .3 |
| \$7,500 and over | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) |  | ( $\dagger$ ) |  | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) |  | ( $\dagger$ ) |  | ( $\dagger$ ) |  | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) |  | $(t)$ |
| Family type III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 20 | 24. 20 | . 60 | 16.00 |  | 1. 20 | 1.80 |  | 4. 60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$999 | 16 | 25.40 | . 20 | 11. 20 | 4.60 | 2.10 | . 20 | 40 | 6.70 | 8 | . 60 | . 60 |  |  | 1 | . 1 |  | 1.7 | 1. 7 |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 13 | 27.00 | 2.00 | 13.40 | 2.10 | 1. 70 | 1. 10 | 1.40 | 5.30 | 5 | . 40 | . 40 |  |  | . 1 | . 1 |  | 1.1 | 1.1 |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 24 | 33.00 | 5. 20 | 14.00 | 5.10 | 2.00 | . 70 | 1. 30 | 4.70 | 13 | 4.20 | 2. 90 | 1.30 |  | 2.4 | 1.1 | 1. 3 | 1.8 | 1.2 | . 6 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 40 | 43.40 | 14. 30 | 14. 30 | 3.00 | 2.80 | . 30 | 3. 10 | 5. 60 | 12 | 13.90 | 13. 60 | . 20 | . 10 | 4.7 | 4.3 | . 4 | 1.3 | . 8 | . 5 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 54 | 48.80 | 17. 50 | 14.80 | 2.80 | 3. 50 | 1.10 | 2.60 | 6. 50 | 24 | 19. 20 | 17.00 | 2. 20 |  | 5.9 | 4.5 | 1. 4 | 4.2 | 3.7 | 5 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 64 | 56. 90 | 22. 20 | 11. 50 | 7.90 | 3.90 | 1. 30 | 2. 40 | 7.70 | 20 | 16. 40 | 5. 50 | 10.90 3.70 |  | 8.3 | 1.3 | 1.0 1.2 | 1.2 | .8 4.3 | . 1 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 94 85 | 76.30 69.40 | +34.10 31.90 | 12.90 11.20 | 13.40 9.40 | 3.70 3.70 | . 40 | 4.10 5.90 | 7.70 6.70 | 27 32 | 32.70 32.80 | 29. 00 11.80 | 3.70 20.90 | . 10 | 7.2 15.3 | 6.0 3.9 | 11.2 | 4.4 4.6 | 4.3 3.4 | 1. 1 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 81 | 89.20 | 36.80 | 13. 30 | 15.00 | 5.60 | 2.70 | 6.80 | 9.00 | 78 | 124.80 | 98.00 | 25.30 | 1. 50 | 30.2 | 19.5 | 10.7 | 11.0 | 9.3 | 1.7 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 96 | 108. 50 | 55. 40 | 13.50 | 12.50 | 11. 10 |  | 8.20 | 7.80 | 91 | 216.10 | 188.60 | 26.90 | . 60 | 48.6 | 37.5 | 11. 1 | 17.0 | 15.9 | 1.1 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 92 | 113.00 | 42.60 | 13.20 | 32.90 | 8.10 | 2.30 | 5.00 | 8.90 | 81 | 122.30 | 94.70 | 26. 70 | . 90 | 33.3 | 19.2 | 14.1 | 12.2 | 11.3 | . 9 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | ${ }^{100}$ | 167.70 | 56.70 | 12. 50 | 69.50 | 12.60 | 2. 20 | 7.30 | 6.90 | ${ }^{(t)} 89$ | 310.10 | 244.00 | 62.90 | 3. 20 | 63.7 | 41.1 | 22.6 | 15.8 | 14.6 | 1.2 |
| \$7,500 and over | (t) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) |  | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | . | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ | (1) | ( $\dagger$ ) |

Table 8.-Other household operation: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for telephone, average expenditure for itcms of general household operation, percentage of families reporting expenditure for paid household help and average amount of such expenditure, average number of weeks help employed for all families, and average number of meals per week furnished help, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
COLUMBUS, OHIO: WHITE FAMILIES

| Family type and income class | General household operation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Paid household help ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage of families reporting expenditure for telephone <br> (2) | A verage expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Percentage of families reporting expenditure <br> (11) | Average expenditure |  |  |  | Average weeks help employed |  |  | Average meals furnished per week |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Laun- | Laun- | Sta- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Aprons, |  | Full- | Part- | All | Full- |  |
|  |  | $\underset{\text { Athms }}{\text { All }}$ |  | dry |  | tion- | press, | Water | Other |  | paid | time | Part- | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Aprons, } \\ \text { gifts to } \end{array}\right\|$ | paid | time | time | paid | Fime | time |
|  |  | items | phone | sup- <br> plies | sent out | ery, etc. | etc. | rent | Other |  | help | help | help | help | help | help | help | help | help | help |
|  |  | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) |  | (12) | (13) | (14) | (15) | (16) | (17) | (18) | (19) | (20) | (21) |
| Family type IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 |  | \$14.80 |  | \$9.60 |  | \$1. 20 | \$0.80 |  | \$3. 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$750-8999 | 37 | 34.50 | \$14. 60 | 8.50 | \$1.90 | 1.60 | . 40 | \$3.50 | 4.00 | 4 | \$0. 10 |  | \$0.10 |  | 0.1 |  | 0.1 |  |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 16 | 26. 60 | 6. 10 | 12.70 | -70 | 1.60 | . 20 | 1.90 | 3. 40 | 5 | 5. 10 |  | 5.10 |  | 1.2 |  | 1.2 | 0.1 |  | 0.1 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 56 <br> 53 | 51.60 52.30 | 19.50 19.00 | 11.40 10.50 | 7.20 9.10 | 3.50 3.50 | .90 1.20 | 3.40 4.20 | 5.70 4.80 | 8 | 1.10 | \$0.40 | 70 |  | 5 | 0.2 | . 3 | 1.0 | 0.7 |  |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | ${ }_{56}^{58}$ | 51.40 | 19.00 | 10.50 13.00 | 9.10 3.10 | 3.50 3.20 | 1.20 .90 | 4. 20 5.60 | 4. 80 6.60 | 8 | 1.80 1.80 | \$0.40 | .80 1.80 |  | .8 | 0.2 | . 8 | (**) | 0.7 | (**) ${ }^{\text {² }}$ |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 59 | 60.50 | 23.50 | 12.90 | 6.30 | 3.80 | . 30 | 5.70 | 8.00 | 4 | 6.20 |  | 6.20 |  | 1.1 |  | 1.1 | . 2 |  | . 2 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 93 | 78.10 | 38.30 | 11.90 | 10.40 | 4.00 | . 70 | 6.90 | 5.90 | 14 | 7.70 | 1.90 | 5.80 |  | 3.0 | . 4 | 2.6 | 1.2 | .8 | . 4 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 71 | 82.20 | 28. 60 | 12.60 | 23.50 | 4.80 | . 60 | 5.90 | 6.20 | 30 | 31.00 | 10.60 | 20.40 |  | 7.9 | 2.5 | 5.4 | 2.4 | 1.4 | 1. 0 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 81 | 93.40 | 36. 90 | 11.60 | 18.90 | 7.50 |  | 9.90 | 8.60 | 19 | 17.00 | 5. 20 | 11.80 |  | 5.7 | 1.7 | 4.0 | 1.2 | . 9 | . 3 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 89 | 94.90 | 42. 60 | 13.20 | 14.80 | 5.50 | . 30 | 6.20 | 12.30 | 24 | 40.30 | 21.70 | 18.60 |  | 12.6 | 4.7 | 7.9 | 2.0 | 1.8 | 2 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 97 | 105. 20 | 48. 80 | 12.60 | 18.70 | 6.10 | 2.10 | 8.80 | 8.10 | 47 | 103.00 | 61.10 | 40.30 | \$1.60 | 24.3 | 8.4 | 15.9 | 4.0 | 3.1 | . 9 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 100 | 152. 70 | 53. 70 | 13.60 | 51.40 | 9.80 | 1.00 | 10.70 | 12. 50 | 84 | 199.80 | 119.10 | 79.30 | 1.40 | 48.3 | 23.5 | 24.8 | 9.7 | 8.7 | 1.0 |
| \$7,500 and over---- | 100 | 209.10 | 74.30 | 17.60 | 55.90 | 26.30 |  | 22.90 | 12. 10 | 94 | 511.40 | 426.00 | 77.50 | 7.90 | 85.9 | 55.5 | 30.4 | 11.4 | 9.8 | 1.6 |
| Family type V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 |  | 27.90 |  | 20.30 |  | 2.30 |  |  | 5.30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$999 | 29 | 20. 60 | 10 | 10.70 |  | 1.30 |  | 1.60 | 6.90 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 33 | 33. 50 | 11.70 | 10.70 |  | 2.10 | . 20 | 3.40 | 5. 40 | 7 | . 50 | . 50 |  |  | . 2 | . 2 |  | 1.6 | 1.6 |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 13 | 25.60 | 3.50 | 13. 70 | 10 | 2.80 | . 30 | 2. 10 | 3. 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 25 | 37.40 | 8. 50 | 14.80 | 2.00 | 2. 40 | . 40 | 3.10 | 6. 20 | 3 | . 70 |  | . 70 |  | 3.8 | 3.6 | . 2 | 2.0 | 1.4 | . 6 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 57 | 50. 50 | 18.00 | 16. 60 | 2.90 | 3.20 | . 50 | 3. 20 | 6. 10 | 2 | 3.80 | 3.80 |  |  | 1.3 | 1.3 |  | . 5 | . 5 |  |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 74 | 56. 50 | 21. 20 | 14.30 | 7.90 | 2.60 |  | 4.90 | 5.60 | 14 | 12.70 | 8.00 | 4.50 | . 20 | 4.2 | 1.6 | 2.6 | 1.1 | 1.0 | . 1 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 38 | 63.50 | 14.40 | 15.80 | 14. 10 | 3.90 | 1.20 | 4.70 | 9. 40 | 19 | 9.30 | 6.30 | 3.00 |  | 2.4 | 1.6 | . 8 | 2.1 | 1.4 | . 7 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 73 | 75. 40 | 30.60 | 13. 70 | 12.90 | 3.70 | . 40 | 6.50 | 7.60 | 14 | 5.80 | 1.70 | 4. 10 |  | 1.6 | . 2 | 1.4 | 2.1 | 1.6 | . 5 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 82 | 75. 50 | 33.50 | 15.80 | 6.40 | 4. 10 | 1.90 | 5.40 | 8.40 | 6 | 10. 40 | 9.30 | 1. 10 | (*) | 3.0 | 1. 5 | 1. 5 | . 6 | . 6 |  |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 95 | 92.90 | 42.90 | 15.10 | 9.70 | 4.40 |  | 9.30 | 11. 50 | 28 | 32.50 | . 30 | 31.90 | . 30 | 13.4 | ${ }^{10} 1$ | 13.3 | 2.7 | 1.3 | 1.4 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 100 | 106. 40 | 44.50 | 16. 00 | 13.50 | 10.40 | . 60 | 9.20 | 12. 20 | 73 | 158.70 | 61.10 | 97. 10 | . 50 | 51.5 | 10.2 | 41. 3 | 6.7 | 5.4 | 1.3 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 100 | 94. 70 | 46.20 | 18.80 | 5. 20 | 6.40 | . 40 | 7.00 | 10.70 | 77 | 238.90 | 81.50 | 152.60 | 4.80 | 35.8 | 10.2 | 25. 6 | 12.8 | 4.1 | 8.7 |
| \$7,500 and over. | 100 | 128.30 | 49.70 | 13.60 | 14.10 | 8.20 |  | 29.40 | 13.30 | 64 | 548.00 | 469.40 | 60.60 | 18.00 | 52.0 | 37.6 | 14.4 | 15.7 | 15.2 | . 5 |

${ }^{1}$ See explanation of tables.
*Averages of less than $\$ 0.05$ are not shown.
**A verages of less than 0.05 are not shown.

Table 8.-Other household operation: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for telephone, average expenditure for items of general household operation, percentage of families reporting expenditure for paid household help and average amount of such erpenditure, average number of weeks help employed for all families, and average number of meals per week furnished help, by family type and income, in 1 year. 1985-96-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
columbus, ohio: white families

${ }^{1}$ See explanation of tables.
$\dagger$ A verages and percentages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.
**A verages of less than 0.05 are not shown

Table 8.-Other household operation: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for telephone, average expenditure for items of general household operation, percentage of families reporting expenditure for paid household help and average amount of such expenditure, average number of weeks help employed for all families, and avcrage number of meals per week furnished help, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
COLUMBUS, OHIO: NEGRO FAMILIES

| Family type and income class <br> (1) | General household operation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Paid household help ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage of families reporting expenditure for telephone <br> (2) | A verage expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Percent-age offamiliesreportingexpendi-ture | A verage expenditure |  |  |  | Average weeks help employed |  |  | Average meals furnished per week |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Laun- | Laun- | Sta- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | All |  | dry | dry | tion- | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ex- } \\ & \text { press, } \end{aligned}$ | Water | Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | items | phone | supplies | sent out | ery, etc. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { press, } \\ & \text { ete. } \end{aligned}$ | rent | Other |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { paid } \\ & \text { help } \end{aligned}$ | help | $\begin{aligned} & \text { time } \\ & \text { help } \end{aligned}$ | help | $\begin{aligned} & \text { paid } \\ & \text { help } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { time } \\ & \text { help } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { time } \\ & \text { help } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { paid } \\ & \text { help } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { time } \\ & \text { help } \end{aligned}$ | time |
|  |  | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) |  | (12) | (13) | (14) | (15) | (16) | (17) | (18) | (19) | (20) | (21) |
| All fomilies |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499. | 4 | \$11. 70 |  | \$6.80 |  | \$0. 60 | \$0.10 | \$1.30 | \$2.90 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 17 | 16.10 | \$1.50 | 7.60 | \$0. 70 | . 80 | . 40 | 1.50 | 3. 60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$999 | 16 | 21.80 | 1.30 | 8.80 | 4.60 | 1.20 | . 40 | 1. 10 | 4.40 4 4 | 2 | \$0. 10 |  | \$0. 10 |  | (**) |  | (**) | (*) |  | (**) |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 45 | 32. 50 | 8.50 | 10.30 | 6. 50 | 1. 40 | . 20 | 1. 30 | 4.30 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 39 | 38. 10 | 12.50 | 10.30 | 3.80 | 3. 30 | . 50 | 2. 80 | 4. 90 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 52 | 44. 90 | 18. 30 | 10.10 | 6. 40 | 2.50 | . 20 | 2.90 | 4.50 | 8 | 1.80 |  | 1. 80 |  | 1.1 |  | 1. 1 | 0.1 |  | 0.1 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 61 | 62.30 | 20.80 | 11.80 | 17. 50 | 2.80 | ------ | 4. 60 | 4.80 | 27 | 35. 40 |  | 35. 40 |  | 7.1 |  | 7.1 | . 3 |  | . 3 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 100 | 57. 80 | 28.90 | 13.40 | . 40 | 3.60 |  | 6. 30 | 5.20 | 33 | 18. 30 | \$13.90 | 4.40 |  | 6.5 | 5.6 | . 9 | 3.9 | 2.3 | 1.6 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 56 | 46. 80 | 11.10 | 14.80 |  | 9.20 |  | 6. 80 | 4. 90 | 12 | 7. 80 |  | 7.80 |  | 6.2 |  | 6.2 | 4 |  | . 1 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 . . . . . . | 78 | 61.00 | 17.00 | 10.20 | 15. 70 | 4.10 | ------- | 8.40 | 5.60 | 22 | 86.70 | 86.70 |  |  | 11.6 | 11.6 | -- | 4.7 | 4.7 | ------ |
| Family type I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 |  | 10. 80 |  | 7.00 |  | . 30 |  | 40 | 3.10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 4 | 14.70 | (*) | 7.00 |  | . 80 | . 70 | 2.30 | 3.90 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$999 | 4 | 22. 80 | . 10 | 8.00 | 8.80 | 1.30 | ( 50 | 1.40 | 2.70 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 56 | 36.20 | 10.70 | 9.60 | 9.50 | 1.30 | (*) | 1.10 | 4. 00 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 34 | 37.80 | 14.30 | 7.40 | 2. 50 | 5.20 | 1.30 | 3. 00 | 4. 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 42 | 55.20 | 21. 40 | 11.40 | 13.10 | 2.90 |  | 3.00 | 3.40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 100 100 | ( $\dagger$ ) 60 | ${ }_{34}(\dagger)$ | ${ }_{14}(\dagger)$ | ( $\dagger$ ) 80 | $(\dagger)$ |  | ${ }_{4}{ }^{(t)}$ | ( ${ }^{\text {¢ }} 4$ | ${ }^{(t)}$ | ( ${ }^{\text {2 }}$ ) 00 |  | ( $\dagger$ ) |  | (†) |  | ( $\dagger$ ) | (t) | $4{ }^{-1}$ | (t) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \$ 2,000-\$ 2,249 \\ & \$ 2,250-\$ 2,499 \end{aligned}$ | ${ }_{(\dagger)}^{100}$ | ${ }_{(i)}^{63.60}$ | $\underset{\substack{34.40 \\(\dagger)}}{ }$ | ${ }_{\text {14. }}^{\text {( }}$ ( 40 | . 80 | ( 3.00 |  | ${ }_{\text {4. }}^{(t)}$ | ( ( $\dagger$ ) | 20 | 25.00 | 25.00 |  |  | 10.0 | 10.0 |  | 4.2 | 4.2 |  |
| \$2,500-\$2,999. | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) |  | ( $\dagger$ |  | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 8.-Other household operation: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for telephone, average expenditure for items of general household operation, percentage of families reporting expenditure for paid household help and average amount of such exponditure, average number of weeks help employed for all families, and average number of meals per week furnished help, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
COLUMBUS, OHIO: NEGRO FAMILIES


[^119]$\dagger$ A verages and percentages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

Table 8.-Other household operation: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for telephone, average expenditure for items of general household operation, percentage of families reporting expenditure for paid household help and average amount of such expenditure, average number of weeks help employed for all families, and average number of meals per week furnished help, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
ATLANTA, GA.: WHITEE FAMILHES

| Family type and income class <br> (1) | General household operation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Paid household help ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage of families reporting expenditure for telephone <br> (2) | Average expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Percentage of families reporting expenditure <br> (11) | Average expenditure |  |  |  | A verage weeks help employed |  |  | A verage meals furnished per week |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Laun- | Laun- | Sta- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | All | Tele- | dry | dry | tion- | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ex- } \\ & \text { press, } \end{aligned}$ | Water | Other |  | All | Full- <br> time | Parttime | Aprons, gifts to | All | Full- | Parttime | $\begin{aligned} & \text { All } \\ & \text { paid } \end{aligned}$ | Full- <br> time | Parttime |
|  |  |  | phone | sup- <br> plies | sent out | ery, etc. | etc. |  |  |  | help | help | help | help | help | help | help | help | help | help |
|  |  | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) |  | (12) | (13) | (14) | (15) | (16) | (17) | (18) | (19) | (20) | (21) |
| All families |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749. |  | \$19.70 |  | \$6. 20 | \$5.00 | \$1.00 | \$0.50 | \$4.00 | \$3.00 | 8 | \$7. 40 |  | \$7. 40 |  | 4.4 |  | 4.4 | 0.2 |  | 0.2 |
| \$750-\$999 | 16 | 38. 10 | \$4.60 | ¢ 7.90 | 10.70 | 2.10 | 1.00 | 6. 50 | 5.30 | 20 | 6. 50 | \$1.70 | 4. 80 |  | 6.9 | 0.8 | 6. 1 | 1. 4 | 1.3 | . 1 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 33 | 47. 30 | 8.50 | 7.60 | 17.40 | 2.30 | 1.00 | 5.50 | 5.00 | 17 | 6.60 | 1.50 | 5.10 |  | 6. 5 | 1.0 | 5.5 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 37 | 62.90 | 10.90 | 8.00 | 26.80 | 3.00 | 1.20 | 7.10 | 5.90 | 27 | 11. 60 | 3.00 | 8. 50 | \$0. 10 | 8.5 | 1.1 | 7.4 | 2.4 | 1. 5 | 9 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 48 | 71. 80 | 14. 40 | 7.20 | 31. 20 | 3.60 | . 70 | 8.00 | 6.70 | 30 | 27. 50 | 15.00 | 12.50 | (*) | 12.2 | 4.0 | 8.2 | 2.4 | 1.8 | . 6 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 73 | 86.50 | 22.70 | 8.20 | 34.90 | 4.20 | . 90 | 8.00 | 7. 60 | 49 | 46.80 | 24.60 | 21. 90 | . 30 | 21.3 | 6.9 | 14.4 | 4.1 | 2.4 | 1.7 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 67 | 80.80 | 19.80 | 8.30 | 31.70 | 3.70 | 1. 50 | 8.90 | 6. 90 | 52 | 50.90 | 27.70 | 22.90 | . 30 | 23. 1 | 7.3 | 15.8 | 4.1 | 2.9 | 1.2 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 75 | 104. 30 | 24.60 | 8.10 | 50.10 | 5.40 | . 70 | 8.20 | 7.20 | 52 | 59.70 | 35. 20 | 24. 30 | . 20 | 25.4 | 8.9 | 16.5 | 4.3 | 3. 5 | . 8 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 89 | 110.20 | 30. 10 | 8.70 | 46.80 | 5.60 | 1. 10 | 9.70 | 8.20 | 72 | 83. 10 | 48.80 | 33.80 | . 50 | 33.5 | 11.7 | 21.8 | 6.9 | 5. 1 | 1.8 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 93 | 120.20 | 33.90 | 9. 20 | 50.20 | 6.60 | . 40 | 10.70 | 9.20 | 86 | 146.10 | 104.00 | 41. 50 | . 60 | 45.4 | 23.1 | 22.3 | 11.2 | 9.0 | 2.2 |
| \$3,500-83,999 | 96 | 132.60 | 35.00 | 9.70 | 56.80 | 9.10 | 1. 10 | 10.30 | 10.60 | 81 | 160.30 | 114.90 | 44. 40 | 1. 00 | 45.1 | 23.6 | 21.5 | 10.9 | 8. 2 | 2.7 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 99 | 151. 70 | 37. 60 | 11.40 | 70.10 | 8.90 | . 30 | 13.70 | 9.70 | 88 | 214.80 | 159.60 | 53.30 | 1.90 | 55.3 | 28.5 | 26.8 | 13.7 | 10.4 | 3.3 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 97 | 177.70 | 43.10 | 11.70 | 82.70 | 10.00 | . 50 | 18.30 | 11.40 | 99 | 342.00 | 275.60 | 62.20 | 4. 20 | 63.7 | 44.0 | 19.7 | 19.3 | 17.6 | 1.7 |
| \$7,500 and over | 100 | 242.60 | 60.60 | 16.60 | 81.00 | 23.90 | 1.40 | 31.20 | 27.90 | 97 | 485.40 | 372.90 | 105. 40 | 7. 10 | 87.5 | 46.4 | 41.1 | 24.2 | 20.3 | 3.9 |
| Family type I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 |  | 21.50 |  | 7.50 | 4. 40 | 40 |  | 5. 10 | 4. 10 | 14 | 22.30 |  | 22.30 |  | 7.4 |  | 7.4 | 7 |  | . 7 |
| \$750-\$999 | 18 | 39.80 | 4.50 | 6. 70 | 14. 20 | 3.40 | . 90 | 5.20 | 4. 90 | 32 | 8.90 | . 80 | 8.10 |  | 10.5 | .1 | 10.4 | 1.3 | 1.1 | . 2 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 55 | 57.70 | 14.80 | 6. 20 | 25.40 | 2.00 | 1. 10 | 3. 60 | 4. 60 | 29 | 12. 20 | 3.00 | 9. 20 |  | 12.3 | 1.0 | 11.3 | 1. 5 | 1.4 | -1 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 51 | 77. 40 | 15.80 | 7. 30 | 38. 10 | 3.00 | . 90 | 6.70 | 5. 60 | 36 | 12. 70 | 5. 40 | 7.30 |  | 8.0 | 2.3 | 5.7 | 3. 7 | 2.6 | 1.1 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 55 | 82. 60 | 16. 80 | 6.70 | 41. 90 | 4. 10 | . 80 | 5. 90 | 6. 40 | 23 | 29.30 | 14. 50 | 14. 80 | ${ }^{*}$ ) | 11.8 | 3.7 | 8.1 | 1.7 | 1.2 | . 3.5 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 80 | 85.30 79.60 | 23.60 | 6. 30 | 39.60 39.40 5 | 3.50 <br> 3.40 | 1. 20 | 4.90 5.60 | 6.20 4.40 | 46 52 51 | 43.70 48.00 | 11.90 | 31.10 27.10 | . 70 | 21.0 23.9 | 3.4 5.6 | 17.6 18.3 | 5.1 2.6 | 1.9 1.7 | 3.2 .9 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 69 | 79.60 103.30 | 20.10 21.30 | 6.30 7.10 | 39.40 <br> 57 | 3.40 5.50 | .40 .40 | 5.60 <br> 4.00 | 4.40 7.20 | 52 51 | 48.00 47.30 | 20.50 19.50 | 27.10 27.60 | . 40 | 23.9 25.3 | 5.6 4.9 | 18.3 20.4 | 2. 6 | 1.7 1.9 | . 9 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 87 | 103.30 113.90 | 21.30 28.70 | 7.10 7.00 | 57.80 57.20 | 5.50 5.50 | .40 1.00 | 4.00 7.50 | 7.20 7.00 | 51 70 | 47.30 50.00 | 19.50 10.50 | 27.60 39.30 | . 20 | 25.3 30.1 | 4.9 | 20.4 27.9 | 2. 5 | 1.9 .6 | .6 1.6 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 91 | 116. 20 | 31.70 | 9.50 | 52. 60 | 6. 20 |  | 7.30 | 8.90 | 94 | 171.00 | 121.40 | 48.80 | . 80 | 48.5 | 23.9 | 24.6 | 10.8 | 8.7 | 2. 1 |
| \$3,500- \$3,999 | 100 | 146.90 | 35. 60 | 8. 70 | 73.10 | 16.20 | . 50 | 5. 00 | 7.80 | 57 | 74.20 | 52.10 | 21. 10 | 1.00 | 29.0 | 13.0 | 16.0 | 5.6 | 4.4 | 1.2 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 97 | 156.60 | 37.70 | 7.30 | 86. 00 | 8.90 |  | 8.10 | 8. 60 | 69 | 176.10 | 159.20 | 16.30 | . 60 | 34.7 | 25.0 | 9.7 | 8.4 | 7.8 | . 6 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 98 | 175.00 | 49.50 | 12.40 | 77.10 | 13.90 | . 50 | 10.60 | 11.00 | 100 | 343. 80 | 281. 00 | 58.10 | 4. 70 | 63.8 | 42.7 | 21.1 | 17.2 | 15.9 | 1.3 |
| \$7,500 and over..... | 100 | 235. 50 | 57.50 | 17.20 | 74.70 | 32.50 | . 70 | 26.00 | 26.90 | 100 | 408.30 | 279.10 | 128.60 | 60 | 87.9 | 34.5 | 53.4 | 17.1 | 13.9 | 3.2 |

Table 8.-Other houshold operation: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for telephone, average expenditure for items of general household operation, percentage of families reporting expenditure, for paid household help and average amount of such expenditure, average number of weeks help employed for all families, and average number of meals per week furnished help, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1935-96-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
atLanta, ga.: WHITE FAMILIES

| Family type and income class | General household operation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Paid household help ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage of families reporting expenditure for telephone <br> (2) | A verage expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Percentage of families reporting expenditure | A verage expenditure |  |  |  | Average weeks help employed |  |  | A verage meals furnished per week |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Laun- | Laun- | Sta- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | All |  | Part- | All |  |  |
|  |  | All | Tele- |  | dry | tion- | press, | Water | Other |  | paid | Fume | Part- | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Aprons, } \\ \text { gifts to } \end{array}\right\|$ | paid | Fun- | Part- | paid | Full- | Part- |
|  |  | items | phone | supplies | sent out | ery, etc. | etc. | rent | Other |  | help | help | help | help | help | help | help | help | help | help |
|  |  | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) | (14) | (15) | (16) | (17) | (18) | (19) | (20) | (21) |
| Family types II and III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749-- .-........... |  | \$14.90 |  | \$5.70 | \$2.90 | \$1. 20 | \$0.60 | \$2. 10 | \$2. 40 | 11 | \$2.90 |  | \$2.90 |  | 5.8 |  | 5. 8 |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$999 | 8 | 32.90 | \$1.60 | 8.20 | 9.50 | 1.60 | . 70 | 5.70 | 5. 60 | 19 | 6.50 | \$3.70 | 2.80 |  | 5. 3 | 2.0 | 3.3 | 2.5 | 2.5 | (*) |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 24 | 44.40 | 5. 80 | 8.00 | 15.30 | 3.00 | 1. 30 | 6.00 | 5.00 | 9 | 3.20 | 1. 30 | 1. 90 |  | 2.7 | . 4 | 2.3 | . 4 | . 4 |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 24 | 53.70 | 6.90 | 8.30 | 22.00 | 3. 20 | 1.90 | 5. 60 | 5.80 | 30 | 16.00 | 2. 30 | 13. 60 | \$0.10 | 12.1 | . 8 | 11.3 | 2.5 | 1.2 | 1.3 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 51 | 69.80 | 14.10 | 7.10 | 29.70 | 3.30 | . 80 | 7.90 | 6.90 | 35 | - 33.80 | 21. 70 | 12. 10 |  | 14.0 | 5.8 | 8.2 | 3.3 | 2.5 | . 8 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 70 | 91.10 | 22.00 | 9.20 | 38. 60 | 4.80 | . 60 | 7.70 | 8.20 | 53 | 52.90 | 39. 30 | 13. 60 | (*) | 21.5 | 10.7 | 10.8 | 4. 4 | 3.7 | . 7 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 66 | 82.90 | 17.70 | 9.00 | 31.60 | 3. 70 | 3. 20 | 9.90 | 7.80 | 59 | 52. 90 | 26. 50 | 25.90 | . 50 | 23.8 | 7.1 | 16.7 | 5.1 | 2.9 | 2. 2 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 77 | 103.70 | 26. 10 | 8.80 | 45. 50 | 6. 50 | . 50 | 8.80 | 7.50 | 60 | 85.80 | 56. 20 | 29. 20 | . 40 | 30.3 | 13.2 | 17.1 | 6.1 | 4.9 | 1.2 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 93 | 108.80 | 31.90 | 9.50 | 41. 40 | 5.70 | 2. 20 | 9.70 | 8.40 | 72 | 103. 50 | 77.00 | 25. 60 | . 90 | 31.6 | 18.5 | 13.1 | 10.0 | 7.9 | 2.1 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 90 | 113.20 | 31.70 | 8.80 | 48.00 | 6.90 | . 90 | 7.70 | 9.20 | 90 | 129.40 | 94.00 | 35. 10 | . 30 | 46.2 | 22.8 | 23. 4 | 11.0 | 8. 6 | 2.4 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 97 | 126. 10 | 37. 20 | 9.70 | 46. 60 | 6. 10 | 1. 20 | 12.10 | 13. 20 | 92 | 228.90 | 181.20 | 45. 50 | 2.20 | 53.4 | 33.7 | 19.7 | 16.2 | 13.0 | 3. 2 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 97 | 152.50 | 36. 30 | 11. 60 | 73.90 | 8.10 | . 90 | 12. 20 | 9.50 | 93 | 240.90 | 181. 70 | 57. 10 | 2. 10 | 57.2 | 32.0 | 25.2 | 16.4 | 12.3 | 4. 1 |
| \$5,000-8*,499 | 100 | 179.60 | 44.00 | 12. 90 | 85.50 | 7. 60 | . 80 | 16. 20 | 12.60 | 100 | 424.40 | 375.20 | 46. 80 | 2.40 | 67.3 | 51.9 | 15. 4 | 26.0 | 24.0 | 2.0 |
| \$7,500 and over | 100 | 216.90 | 54.40 | 12. 50 | 69.90 | 17.50 |  | 38.60 | 24.00 | 100 | 564.50 | 450.00 | 104.70 | 9.80 | 96.2 | 57.2 | 39.0 | 27.7 | 24.6 | 3.1 |
| Family types IV and V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749. |  | 24. 10 |  | 5. 70 | 8.00 | 1. 40 | . 90 | 5.40 | 2.70 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$999. | 28 | 43. 10 | 8.80 | 8.50 | 9.10 | 1. 70 | 1. 30 | 8.60 | 5. 10 | 11 | 4.30 |  | 4.30 |  | 5.7 |  | 5.7 | 1 |  | . 1 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 22 | 39.00 | 5.10 | 8.50 | 10.70 | 1.80 | . 50 | 7.00 | 5. 40 | 15 | 4.90 | . 10 | 4. 80 |  | 5. 2 | 1.8 | 3.4 | 1.8 | 1.5 | ${ }^{3}$ |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 43 | 63.30 | 12. 20 | 8.20 | 23.80 | 2.70 | . 50 | 9. 50 | 6. 40 | 14 | 4. 70 | 1. 80 | 2.90 |  | 4. 3 | . 6 | 3. 7 | 1.0 | 1.0 | (**) |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 40 | 65. 10 | 12. 70 | 7.90 | 23. 70 | 3.50 | . 50 | 10.10 | 6.70 | 30 | 18. 60 | 7.50 | 11. 00 | . 10 | 10.6 | 2. 2 | 8.4 | 2.1 | 1.7 | . 4 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 70 | 82.30 | 22. 60 | 8. 70 | 27.10 | 4.10 | . 90 | 10.80 | 8.10 | 48 | 42. 60 | 19.00 | 23. 30 | ${ }^{30}$ | 21. 3 | 5.6 | 15.7 | 3. 0 | 1.3 | 1.7 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 67 | 79.80 | 21. 30 | 9.20 | 26.50 | 3.90 | . 80 | 10.30 | 7.80 | 45 | 51.20 | 33. 70 | 17. 50 | ${ }^{*}$ ) | 21.9 | 8.6 | 13.3 | 4. 3 | 3.6 | . 7 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 81 | 105. 40 | 26. 20 | 8.40 | 47.50 | 4. 30 | 1. 00 | 11.30 | 6.70 | 47 | 46.80 | 29.60 | 17. 10 | . 10 | 21.3 | 8.6 | 12.7 | 4.1 | 3.6 | . 5 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 92 | 108. 70 | 29.60 | 9.10 | 43.80 | 5.60 | . 50 | 11. 20 | 8.90 | 73 | 90.00 | 53.80 | 35.80 | . 40 | 37.0 | 13.0 | 24.0 | 7.7 | 6.0 | 1.7 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 98 | 128.30 | 37.00 | 9.40 | 50. 30 | 6. 60 | . 50 | 15.10 | 9. 40 | 79 | 142.80 | 100. 30 | 41.80 | . 70 | 42.9 | 22.9 | 20.0 | 11.6 | 9.5 | 2.1 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999. | 93 | 129.40 | 33.10 | 10.10 | 55. 30 | 7.50 | 1. 40 | 11. 90 | 10. 10 | 85 | 155.80 | 99.40 | 56. 40 | (*) | 47.8 | 21.9 | 25.9 | 9.8 | 6.5 | 3.3 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999. | 100 | 149.20 | 38.40 | 13.00 | 60.70 | 9.40 | . 10 | 17.30 | 10.30 | 94 | 214.70 | 145. 20 | 67.20 | 2.30 | 63.2 | 27.7 | 35.5 | 14. 2 | 10.2 | 4.0 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499. | 95 | 177.70 | 40.40 | 10.90 | 83. 50 | 9.60 | . 30 | 21.90 | 11.10 | 99 | 304.90 | 229.60 | 70. 50 | 4.80 | 62.1 | 41.0 | 21.1 | 17.1 | 15.3 | 1.8 |
| \$7,500 and over .......... | 100 | 255,00 | 64. 30 | 17.80 | 87.90 | 22.10 | 2.20 | 31.00 | 29.70 | 94 | 493.90 | 390.10 | 94. 50 | 9.30 | 84.2 | 48.2 | 36.0 | 26.3 | 21.9 | 4.4 |

Table 8.-Other household operation: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for telephone, average expenditure for items of general household operation, percentage of families reporting expenditure for paid household help and average amount of such expenditure, average number of weeks help emploged for all families, and average number of meals per week furnished help, by family type and income, in 1 ycar, 1985-86-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
ATLANTA, GA.: NEGRO FAMILIES


1 See explanation of tables.
*A verages of less than $\$ 0.05$ are not shown.
**A verages less than 0.05 are not shown.

Table 8.-Other household operation: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for telephone, average expenditure for items of general household operation, percentage of families reporting expenditure for paid household help and average amount of such expenditure, average number of weeks help employed for all families, and average number of meals per week furnished help, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1985-35-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
atlanta, Ga.: NEGRO families


1 See explanation of tables.
t A verages and percentages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

[^120]Table 8.-Other household operation: Percentage of families reporting expenditure jor telephone, average expenditure for items of general household operation, percentage of families reporting expenditure for paid household help and average amount of such expenditure, average number of weeks help employed for all families, and average number of meals per week furnished help, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
atlanta, GA.: NEGRO FAMILIES

| Family type and income class <br> (1) | General household operation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Paid household help ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage of families reporting expenditure for telephone <br> (2) | A verage expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Percentage of families reporting expenditure | Average expenditure |  |  |  | Average weeks help employed |  |  | Average meals furnished per week |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Laun- | Laun- | Sta- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | All | Telephone | dry |  | tion- | press, | Water | Other |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { All } \\ & \text { paid } \end{aligned}$ | Fime | Part- | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Aprons, } \\ \text { gifts to } \end{gathered}\right.$ | paid | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Full- } \\ & \text { time } \end{aligned}$ | Part- time | All | Full- | Part- |
|  |  | items | phone | sup- <br> plies | $\begin{aligned} & \text { sent } \\ & \text { out } \end{aligned}$ | ery, etc. | etc. | rent | Other |  | help | help | help | help | help | help | help | help | help | help |
|  |  | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) | (14) | (15) | (16) | (17) | (18) | (19) | (20) | (21) |
| Family type IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250 | 20 | \$7. 60 |  | \$5.00 |  |  |  | \$1.40 | \$1. 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 |  | 10.60 |  | 5.60 | $\$ 0.60$ | \$0. 50 | \$0.50 | 1.00 | 2. 40 | 4 | \$0. 10 |  | \$0.10 |  | 0.2 |  | 0.2 |  |  | --- |
| \$500-\$749 | 5 | 15. 30 | \$1.80 | 6.10 | . 60 | 1. 30 | . 10 | 2. 50 | 2.90 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$999 | 11 | 23. 40 | 3.10 | 7.00 | 2. 20 | 2.00 | . 20 | 5.00 | 3.90 | 5 | . 60 |  | . 60 |  | . 4 |  | . 4 |  |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 14 | 26.40 | $\begin{array}{r}4.20 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 7.40 | 2. 40 | 2. 00 | . 40 | 5. 30 | 4. 70 | 6 | . 70 |  | . 70 |  | . 4 |  | .4 | 0.4 |  | 0.4 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 30 | 53.60 | 10.10 | 7.80 | 13. 70 | 3. 30 |  | 12.40 | 6.30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,500-\$2,249 ... | 82 | 82.00 | 31. 20 | 7.20 | 18.40 | 5.30 | . 40 | 13.50 | 6. 00 | 4 | 1.50 |  | 1.50 |  | 2.0 |  | 2.0 | . 1 |  | . 1 |
| \$2,250 and over. | 87 | 108.90 | 37.60 | 9.70 | 30.10 | 11.50 |  | 11.60 | 8.40 | 39 | 36.30 | \$18.40 | 17.90 |  | 12.8 | 4.3 | 8.5 | 2.1 | 0.7 | 1.4 |
| Family type V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250. |  | ( ${ }^{\text {( })}$ |  | ( $\dagger$ ) |  | ( $\dagger$ ) |  |  | ( $\dagger$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 |  | 10.10 |  | 5.10 | . 10 | . 60 | ( 70 | . 60 | 3.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 3 | 12.20 | (*) | 6. 30 |  | 1. 50 | (*) | 1.70 | 2.70 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$999 | 3 | 17.70 | 1.30 | 7. 40 | (*) | 1. 20 | . 40 | 4. 50 | 2.90 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 27 | 28.50 | 6. 30 | 8.20 | 2. 50 | 1. 30 | . 30 | 4. 60 | 5.30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 25 | 38.40 | 8. 90 | 8. 30 | 4.10 | 4.50 | . 40 | 6.90 | 5.30 | 15 | 8.70 |  | 8.70 |  | 5.2 |  | 5.2 |  |  | - |
| \$1,500-\$2,249.- | 47 | 68.20 | 18.60 | 8.30 | 17.50 | 6.80 | . 30 | 10.90 | 5.80 | ${ }^{6}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$2,250 and over. | 100 | 116.50 | 35.90 | 7.30 | 42.10 | 11. 50 |  | 11. 40 | 8.30 | 33 | 48.00 |  | 47.60 | \$0. 40 | 30.3 |  | 30.3 | 2.3 |  | 2.3 |

1 See explanation of tables.
$\dagger$ Averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.
*Averages of less than $\$ 0.05$ are not shown.

Table 8.-Other household operation: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for telephone, average expenditure for items of general household operation, percentage of families reporting expenditure for paid household help and average amount of such expenditure, average number of weeks help employed for all families, and average number of meals per week furnished help, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
ATLANTA, GA.: NEGRO FAMILIES

| Family type and income class <br> (1) | General household operation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Paid household help ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage of families reporting expenditure for telephone <br> (2) | A verage expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Percentage of families reporting expenditure <br> (11) | A verage expenditure |  |  |  | Average weeks help employed |  |  | A verage meals furnished per week |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Laun- | Laun- | Sta- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Full- |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | All | Tele- |  |  | tion- | press, | Water | Other |  | paid | time | time | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aprons, } \\ & \text { gifts to } \end{aligned}$ | paid | time | time | paid | time | time |
|  |  | items | phone | supplies | sent out | ery, etc. | etc. | rent | Other |  | help | help | help | help | help | help | help | help | help | help |
|  |  | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) |  | (12) | (13) | (14) | (15) | (16) | (17) | (18) | (19) | (20) | (21) |
| Family type VI |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250 |  | ( $\dagger$ ) |  | ( $\dagger$ ) |  | ( $\dagger$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499. |  | \$9.80 |  | \$5. 20 | \$0. 20 | \$0.40 | \$0.20 | \$1.30 | \$2.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749. | 1 | 15.30 | (*) | 6. 50 | 2.00 | 1. 10 | . 80 | 1.00 | 3.90 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$999 | 21 | 19.30 | \$0.30 | 8.20 | . 80 | 1.20 | . 30 | 3.90 | 4.60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 33 27 | 30.20 <br> 48 | 4.80 5 | 8.20 | 2.70 | 1. 40 | . 70 | 7.20 7 | 5. 20 | 8 | \$0.90 | \$0.90 |  |  | 0.3 | 0.3 |  | 1.2 | 1.2 | ----- |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | ${ }^{27}$ | 48.70 | 5.80 | 7.60 | 15.80 | 6.00 | 1.00 | 7.70 | 4.80 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,500-\$2,249. | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ${ }^{(t)}$ |  | ${ }_{2}{ }^{+}$ |  | ${ }_{12}{ }^{+}{ }^{(1)}$ | ( ${ }^{\text {d }}$ ) | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | --.-- |
| \$2,250 and over- | 67 | 74.30 | 23.40 | 9.30 | 19.30 | 2.30 | --..- | 12.00 | 8.00 |  |  | --..- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Family type VII |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250. |  | ( $\dagger$ ) |  | ( $\dagger$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 |  | 9.40 |  | 5.80 |  | . 50 | . 30 | . 40 | 2. 40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 12 | 21.80 | 3.60 | 9.20 |  | . 30 |  | 5. 60 | 3.10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$999 | 31 | 30.50 | 9.40 | 7. 10 | 1.00 | . 90 |  | 8.30 | 3. 80 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 15 | 26.40 | 1. 50 | 8.10 | 3. 40 | 2. 50 | . 70 | 4.80 | 5. 40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 18 | 32.70 | 2.00 | 9.80 | . 10 | 6.60 | . 40 | 8. 60 | 5. 20 | 12 | 3.20 | 1.50 | \$1. 70 |  | 3.1 | . 8 | 2.3 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 0.1 |
| \$1,500-\$2,249.. | 67 | 74. 60 | 23. 60 | 7.30 | 17.50 | 5. 80 |  | 13.40 | 6. 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$2,250 and over | 88 | 101.30 | 31.30 | 10.60 | 22.80 | 12.20 | 1.50 | 13.60 | 9. 30 | 12 | 13.00 |  | 13.00 | ------- | 6.5 |  | 6.5 | . 2 |  | . 2 |

${ }^{1}$ See explanation of tables.
$\dagger$ Averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.
*Averages of less than $\$ 0.05$ are not shown.

Table 3.-Other household operation: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for telephone, average expenditure for items of general household operation, percentage of families reporting expenditure for paid household help and average amount of such expenditure, average number of weeks help employed for all families, and average number of meals per weels furnished help, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
OMAHA, NEBR.-COUNCIL BLUFFS, IOWA: WHITE FAMILIES

${ }^{1}$ See explanation of tables.
$\dagger$ Averages and percentages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.
*A verages of less than $\$ 0.05$ are not shown
**A verages of less than 0.05 are not shown.

Table 8.-Other household operation: I'ercentage of families reporting expenditure for telephone, average expenditure for items of general household operation, percentage of families reporting expenditure for paid household help and average amount of such expenditure, average number of weeks help employed for all families, and average number of meals per week furnished help, by family type and income, in 1
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
OMAHA, NEBR.-COUNCLL BLUFFS, IOWA: WHITE FAMILIES

| Family type and income class <br> (1) | General household operation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Paid household help ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { Percent- } \\ \text { ageo of } \\ \text { families } \\ \text { reporting } \\ \text { expendi- } \\ \text { ture for } \\ \text { tele- } \\ \text { phone } \\ \text { (2) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Average expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Percentage of families expenditure | Average expenditure |  |  |  | Average weeks help employed |  |  | A verage meals furnished per week |  |  |
|  |  | $\underset{\text { items }}{\text { All }}$ items <br> (3) | Telephone <br> (4) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Laun- } \\ \text { dry } \\ \text { sup- } \\ \text { plies } \\ (5) \end{gathered}$ | Laun- dry sent out (6) | Sta- <br> tion- <br> ery, <br> etc. <br> (7) | Ex- press, etc. | Water rent | Other (10) |  | All paid (12) | Fulltime help <br> (13) | Parttime help <br> (14) | Aprons, gifts to help <br> (15) | All paid help <br> (16) | Fulltime help <br> (17) | Parttime help <br> (18) | $\begin{gathered} \begin{array}{c} \text { All } \\ \text { paid } \\ \text { help } \end{array} \\ \text { (19) } \end{gathered}$ | Fulltime help <br> (20) | Parttime help <br> (21) |
| Family types II and III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \$ 500-\$ 749- \\ & \$ 750-\$ 999 \end{aligned}$ | 24 | $\$ 19.70$ 32.00 | $\$ 0.60$ 5.20 | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 8.70 \\ & 10.20 \end{aligned}$ | \$4.10 | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 2.40 \\ & 1.80 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\$ 1.40$ 4.10 |  | 11 | \$1. 50 | \$1. 50 |  |  |  | 0.4 |  | 0.8 | 0.8 |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 41 | 40.60 | 9. 30 | 11.80 | 5. 20 | 2.50 | 1.20 | 4.90 | 5.70 | 6 | ${ }^{.30}$ | . 20 | \$0.10- |  | . 2 |  | 0.1 | 1.3 | 1.3 |  |
| \$1,250- $\$ 1,499$ | 45 | 48. 60 | 11.00 | 10.60 | 10. 10 | 3. 40 | + 40 | 6. 80 | 6. 30 | 19 | 5. 30 | 2. 10 | 3. 20 |  | 7.0 | 4.2 | ${ }_{3}^{2.8}$ | ${ }^{3} .7$ | 3. 2 | 0.5 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999- | 90 | 70.90 | 30.30 | 13.10 | 8.80 | 3.70 | $\stackrel{2}{20}$ | 7.40 | 6. <br> 7 | 33 | 18.40 | 10.60 | 7.70 | \$0. 10 | 8.4 | 3.4 | 3.0 | 4.6 | 3.7 | . 9 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 88 | 69.20 | 27. 10 | 12.70 | 8.80 | 4.60 | 1.40 | 7.20 | 7.40 | 22 | 13.30 | 60 | 12.70 |  | 6.5 | . 2 | 6.3 | 1.0 | 4 | . 6 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 83 | 77.60 | 29.40 | 10.60 | 14.80 | 6.00 | 1.00 | 8.10 | 7.70 | 45 | 28.70 | 7.40 | 21. 20 | . 10 | 13.2 | 1.8 | 11.4 | 3.2 | 2.6 | . 6 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 93 | 93. 40 | 35.50 | 14.80 | 16.30 | 7.50 | 2.00 | 10. 30 | 7.00 | 53 | 34.00 | ${ }^{21.60}$ | 12. 10 | . 30 | 15.1 | 7.6 | 7.5 | 8.6 | 5.0 | 3. 6 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499- | 95 100 | ${ }_{106}^{113.20}$ | 45.30 45 | ${ }_{13}^{12} 30$ | 22. 60 | 9. 50 | ${ }_{\left({ }^{2}\right)^{2.80}}$ | ${ }^{9} 970$ | 11.00 | ${ }_{91}^{70}$ | 105.70 | 81. 30 | 24. 20 | 20 | 29.4 41.3 | ${ }_{24}^{18.6}$ | 10.8 | 10.5 | $7{ }^{7} 9$ | 2.6 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 83 | ${ }_{99} 40$ | 33. 90 | 11.60 | 21. 10 | 6. 7 | ( | 12.50 | ${ }_{12.80}^{1.30}$ | ${ }_{66}$ | 139.80 | 125.20 | 12.90 | 1.70 | 30.5 3 | 24.1 | 6.4 | 18.3 9.0 | ${ }_{8.8}^{13.0}$ | . 2 |
| \$5,000-87,499 | 100 | 179.70 | 45. 40 | 17.50 | 76.70 | 11. 20 | . 90 | 14.30 | 13. 70 | 89 | ${ }^{151.10}$ | 128.50 | 19.90 | 2.70 | 39.9 | 28.6 | 11.3 | 16.4 | 16.0 | . 4 |
| \$7,500 and over.........- | 100 | 189.30 | 54.00 | 14.40 | 70.00 | 22.00 |  | 13.40 | 15.50 | 100 | 286.20 | 240.60 | 7.50 | 38. 10 | 39.2 | 39.2 |  | 19.2 | 19.2 |  |
| Family types IV and V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \$ 500-\$ 749 \\ & \$ 750-\$ 999 \end{aligned}$ | 53 | ${ }_{44}^{27.00}$ | 14.80 | 12.30 9 | 6.00 | ${ }^{6.70}$ |  | 3.70 7.20 | 4.30 4.40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 54 | 49.30 | 16.30 | 13.30 | 3.70 | 3.80 | 20 | 6.30 | 5.70 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 56 | 50.50 | 15.70 | ${ }_{13.30}$ | 2. 10 | 3.80 3.80 | . 20 | ${ }^{7} .50$ | 7.90 | 8 | .30 |  | . 30 |  | . 1 |  | 1 | ${ }^{(* * *}$ |  | (**) |
| \$1,500-\$1,749- | 81 | 63.90 | 28.20 | 12.30 | 3.70 | 3. 50 | 1. 00 | 7.60 | 7.60 | 1 | . 10 |  | . 10 |  | . 1 | - | . 1 | (**) |  | ${ }_{(* *)}^{(* *)}$ |
| \$1,750-\$1,999-- | 90 81 | 70.20 72.70 | 29.40 28.80 | 11. 10 | 10.80 9.60 | 4. 80 5. 50 | . 10 | 6. 40 9.30 | 7.60 6.80 | 2 <br> 9 | 1.20 |  | 1. 20 |  | 1.1 |  | 1.1 | (**) |  |  |
| \$2,250-\$2,499- | 88 | 76.60 | 32.10 | 13.10 | 9.30 | 4. 40 | 1. 10 | 7.10 | 9.50 | 9 | 2.50 |  | 2.50 |  | 1.8 |  | 1.8 | 5 |  | 5 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 100 | 95.90 | 36. 60 | 13. 00 | 18.10 | 6. 30 | . 70 | 12.00 | 9.20 | 22 | 13.60 | 7.50 | 6. 10 | ${ }^{*}$ ) 0 | 4.6 | 1.7 | 2.9 | 2.2 | 1.6 | ${ }_{5}^{6}$ |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 96 | 126. 20 | 40.90 | 12.50 | 47.40 | 5.60 | 70 | 11.00 | 8.10 | 41 | 30.60 | 9.70 | 19.30 | 1.60 | 16.8 | 4.5 | 12.3 | 2. 1 | 1.6 | . 5 |
|  | 100 | ${ }_{122.50}^{122}$ | 40.00 41.80 | 14.00 13.40 | 31.40 35.00 | 11.60 9.70 | 3.00 | 13. 10 | 9.40 12.00 | 66 57 | 88.20 98.70 | 30.70 70.80 | 57.50 22.90 | 5.00 | 37.3 26.4 | -5.1 | ${ }_{12.5}^{32.2}$ | 3. 3 | 2.1 6.4 | 1.2 .3 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 100 | 147.20 | 50.20 | 14. 10 | 40. 10 | 10.50 | 1. 90 | 18. 20 | 12. 20 | 74 | 203.20 | 163.20 | 37.80 | 2.20 | 38.3 | 23.4 | 14.9 | 13.2 | 11.6 | 1.6 |
| \$7,500 and over....-.-.-- | 100 | 295. 20 | 73.30 | 14.40 | 140.50 | 14.50 | 1.10 | 26.10 | 25.30 | 79 | 176.30 | 107. 10 | 64.50 | 4.70 | 15.3 | 10.4 | 4.9 | 9.7 | 8.3 | 1.4 |

1 See explanation of tables.
*Averages of less than $\$ 0.05$ are not shown.
**Averages of less than 0.05 are not shown.

Table 3.-Other household operation: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for telephone, average expenditure for items of general household operation, percentage of families reporting expenditure for paid household help and average amount of such expenditure, average number of weeks help employed for all families, and average number of meals per week furnished help, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
denver, colo.: White families

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Family type and income class} \& \multicolumn{9}{|c|}{General household operation} \& \multicolumn{11}{|c|}{Paid household help \({ }^{\text {t }}\)} \\
\hline \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Percent-
age of
families
raporting
expendi-
ture for
tele-
phone
(2)} \& \multicolumn{8}{|c|}{Average expenditure} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Percent-
age of
families
reporting
expendi-
ture} \& \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{Average expenditure} \& \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Average weeks help employed} \& \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{A verage meals furnished per week} \\
\hline \& \& \begin{tabular}{l}
\(\xrightarrow[\text { items }]{\text { All }}\) \\
(3)
\end{tabular} \& Telephone \& Laundry sup(5) \&  \& Sta-tionery, etc. (7) \& Express, etc. \& Water rent \& Other
(10) \& \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { All } \\
\& \text { paid } \\
\& \text { help }
\end{aligned}
\] \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Fulltime help \\
(13)
\end{tabular} \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Farttime help \\
(14)
\end{tabular} \& Aprons, gifts to help \& \begin{tabular}{l}
All
paid
help \\
(16)
\end{tabular} \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Fulltime help \\
(17)
\end{tabular} \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Part time help \\
(18)
\end{tabular} \& All
paid
help \& Fulltime help \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Parttime help \\
(21)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline All families \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \\
\hline \$500-\$749 \& \multirow[t]{12}{*}{} \& \$28. 80 \& \$4.70 \& \$9.30 \& \$3. 20 \& \$1.30 \& \$0.70 \& \$6. 40 \& \$3. 20 \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \\
\hline \$750-\$999 \& \& 31.90 \& 6.70 \& 9. 20 \& 5.30 \& 3. 10 \& . 40 \& 3. 60 \& 3.60 \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \\
\hline \$1,000-\$1,249 \& \& 37. 60 \& 9.30 \& 10.00 \& 5. 60 \& 2. 80 \& . 80 \& 4. 90 \& 4. 20
4,
5 \& 8 \& \$0.60 \& \$0.20 \& \$0.40 \& \& \({ }^{0.3}\) \& 0.1 \& 0.2 \& 0.9 \& 0.6 \& 0.3 \\
\hline \$1,250-\$1,499 \& \& 52.20
60.50 \& 13.70
17.90 \& 11.00
9.70 \& 11.30 \& 3.80
5.80 \& 1.00
1.10 \& 6.30
6.
60 \& 5. 00
5.00 \& \(\begin{array}{r}8 \\ 10 \\ \hline\end{array}\) \& 2.90
1.70 \& 2.00
.40 \& .90
1.30 \& \& 2.0 \& \({ }^{6} 1\) \& 1.4 \& \(\begin{array}{r}1.1 \\ .6 \\ \hline\end{array}\) \& . 7 \& . 4 \\
\hline \$1,750-\$1,999 \& \& 66.50 \& 22.10 \& 9. 30 \& 15.90 \& 4. 10 \& . 50 \& 9. 20 \& 5.40 \& 16 \& 10.80 \& 6. 40 \& 4. 40 \& *) \& 4.1 \& 1.3 \& 2.8 \& 1.8 \& 1.3 \& . 5 \\
\hline \$2,000-\$2,249 \& \& 82.20 \& 25. 50 \& 11. 10 \& 17. 50 \& 6.50 \& 1.20 \& 12.80 \& 7.60 \& 21 \& 13. 20 \& 3.20 \& 10.00 \& (*) \& 5.1 \& 6 \& 4.5 \& 1.1 \& . 8 \& .3 \\
\hline \$2,250-\$2,499 \& \& 79. 50 \& 25. 20 \& 10. 70 \& 16. 20 \& 6. 20 \& 1. 30 \& 13. 10 \& 6.80 \& 20 \& 16.00 \& 8. 40 \& 7. 40 \& \$0. 20 \& 6.6 \& 2.6 \& 4.0 \& 1.9 \& 1.6 \& \(\cdot 3\) \\
\hline \$2,500-\$2,999 \& \& 91.50 \& 28. 20 \& 10. 90 \& \({ }^{23.20}\) \& 6. 20 \& 1.70 \& 14. 10 \& 7.20 \& 26 \& \({ }^{22.30}\) \& 10.10 \& 12.00 \& .20 \& 8.9
15.4 \& 2.5 \& \({ }_{8}^{6.4}\) \& 2.3 \& 1.6 \& . 7 \\
\hline \$3,000-\$3,499 \& \& 106. 80
131.50 \& 32.00
37.30 \& 10.30
10.70 \& 32.70
32.30 \& 6.70
8.70 \& - \begin{tabular}{l} 
3. 20 \\
2.00 \\
\hline
\end{tabular} \& \({ }_{15 .}^{15.10}\) \& 6.80
25.00 \& 46
52 \& 51.10
75.10 \& 28.40
50.50 \& \(\stackrel{22.00}{23.20}\) \& .70
1.40 \& 15.4
22.5 \& \({ }^{6.6}\) \& 8.8
10.2 \& 6.4
7.1 \& 5.4 \& 1.0 \\
\hline \$4,000-\$4,999. \& \& 128.30 \& 38.30 \& 11. 60 \& 41.40 \& 8.00 \& 1.30 \& 19.70 \& 8.00 \& 61 \& 84.00 \& 63.20 \& 19.60 \& 1.20 \& 19.2 \& 10.8 \& 8.4 \& 7.3 \& 6.2 \& 1.1 \\
\hline \$5,000- 87,499 \& \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{220.90} \& 41. 40 \& 11.70 \& 64.60 \& 13.10 \& 2.50 \& 23.80 \& 11. 20 \& 77 \& 172.60 \& 98.30 \& 72.30 \& 2.00 \& 42.5 \& 16.3 \& 26.2 \& 9.8 \& 8.6 \& 1.2 \\
\hline \$7,500 and over \& \& \& 46.50 \& 14.00 \& 89.00 \& 18.00 \& 6.00 \& 36. 50 \& 10. 90 \& 91 \& 351.10 \& 286.60 \& 59. 20 \& 5.30 \& 56.9 \& 37.7 \& 19.2 \& 18.4 \& 18.1 \& . 3 \\
\hline Family type I \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \\
\hline \$500-\$749.... \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{43
32} \& 30.50 \& 3.90 \& 9. 40 \& 3.90 \& 1. 40 \& 1.00 \& 7.60 \& 3.30 \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \\
\hline \$750-\$999 \& \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{26.50
40.20} \& 4. 40 \& 7.90 \& 5.00 \& 2.90 \& \& 2. 70 \& 3.60 \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \\
\hline \$1,000-\$1,249 \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{73} \& \& 12.40 \& \({ }^{9.80}\) \& 6. 00 \& 2.60 \& . 80 \& 4. 50 \& 4. 10 \& \& . 30 \& \& . 30 \& \& 1.1 \& \& . 1 \& \& \& \\
\hline \$1,250-\$1,499- \& \& 48.70
65.20 \& 9.80
19.00 \& 8.80
7.00 \& 16.70
23.50 \& 4.00
6.00 \& . 40 \& 4.40
4.70 \& 4. 60
4.10 \& 6
3
3 \& 1.30
.70 \& 10 \& 1.20
.70 \& \& 1.3 \& \({ }^{* *}\) ) \& 1.3
.5 \& . 2 \& . 2 \& \({ }^{(* *)}{ }^{3}\) \\
\hline \$1,750-\$1,999 \& 94
91 \& 66.30 \& 23.50 \& 7. 60 \& 15.70 \& 3. 90 \& . 30 \& 10.80 \& 4. 50 \& 20 \& 12.90 \& 6.70 \& 6. 20 \& \& 5.1 \& 1.3 \& 3.8 \& 1.4 \& 1. 3 \& 1 \\
\hline \$2,000-\$2,249 \& 91
81 \& 85.10 \& 24. 60 \& 8.70 \& 25. 40 \& 5. 20 \& . 80 \& 14. 30 \& 6. 10 \& 31 \& 21.60 \& 2.50 \& 19.10 \& \& 8. 5 \& \({ }^{3}\) \& 8. 2 \& 1.3 \& . 8 \& 5 \\
\hline \$2,250-\$2,499 \& 81
89 \& \({ }^{76.120}\) \& \({ }^{24.00}\) \& 8. 00 \& 18. 80 \& 6. 10 \& . 70 \& 13.50 \& 5.10 \& \({ }_{20}^{23}\) \& 12.60 \& 1.70 \& 10.90 \& \& 5.7 \& 3 \& 5.4 \& 1.0 \& 6 \& \(\stackrel{4}{5}\) \\
\hline \$2,500-\$2,999 \& 90 \& 87.30
117.30 \& 27. 60 \& 9.50
10.90 \& 23.30
41.20 \& 6.10
7.70 \& \(\begin{array}{r}\text { - } \\ 20 \\ \hline 80\end{array}\) \& 14.10
17.00 \& 6.20
6.90

6.9 \& 20
46 \& 12. 200 \& 26. 10 \& 12.00
35.60 \& 50 \& 21.4 \& 3.7 \& 17.7 \& 5.4 \& 5.0 \& . 4 <br>
\hline \$3,500-\$3,999 \& 100 \& 187.80 \& 38.20 \& 8.50 \& 48.90 \& 8.30 \& 60 \& 15. 60 \& 167.70 \& 52 \& 87.00 \& 82.60 \& 2.30 \& 2. 10 \& 22.9 \& 21.8 \& 1.1 \& 9.0 \& 9.0 \& <br>
\hline \$4,000-\$4,999. \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{96
100
100} \& 126. 20 \& 35.40 \& 9.70 \& 42.30 \& 7.20 \& 1.60 \& 23. 60 \& 6. 40 \& 61 \& 85.50 \& 70.70 \& 14.70 \& 10 \& 17.4 \& 10.0 \& 7.4 \& 6.2 \& 5.3 \& 9 <br>
\hline \$5,000-\$7,499 \& \& 161. 50 \& 33.70 \& 10.00 \& 78.00 \& 13. 40 \& 3. 20 \& 16. 70 \& 6. 50 \& 85 \& 219.00 \& 114.90 \& 104. 10 \& \& 56.5 \& 13.0 \& 43.5 \& 6.8 \& 5.3 \& 1.5 <br>
\hline \$7,500 and over...... \& 100 \& 228.00 \& 44.00 \& 11.50 \& 100.00 \& 24.20 \& \& 36. 50 \& 11. 80 \& 83 \& 421. 50 \& 343.20 \& 75. 50 \& 2.80 \& 48.0 \& 30.6 \& 17.4 \& 17.2 \& 17.2 \& <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

1 See explanation of tables.
*Averages of less than $\$ 0.05$ are not shown.
**A ver ages of less than 0.05 are not shown.

Table 8.-Other household operation: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for telephone, average expenditure for items of general household operation, percentage of families reporting expenditure for paid household help and average amount of such expenditure, average number of weeks help employed for all families, and average number of meals per weeh furnished help, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1935-35-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
DENVER, COLO.: WHITE FAMILIES

${ }^{1}$ See explanation of tables.
*Averages of less than $\$ 0.05$ are not shown
**A verages of less than 0.05 are not shown.

Table 8.-Other household operation: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for telephone, average expenditure for items of general household operation, percentage of families reporting expenditure for paid household help and average amount of such expenditure, average number of weeks help employed for all families, and average number of meals per week furnished help, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
PORTLAND, OREG.: WHITE FAMILIES


1 See explanation of tables.
*Averages of less than $\$ 0.05$ are not shown.
**Averages of less than 0.05 are not shown.

Table 8.-Other household operation: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for telephone, average expenditure for items of general household operation, percentage of families reporting expenditure for paid household help and average amount of such expenditure, average number of weeks help employed for all families, and average number of meals per weeld furnished help, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1935-86-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
PORTLAND, OREG.: WHITE FAMILIES


Table 8.-Other household operation: Percentage of families reporting exvenditure for telephone, average expenditure for items of general household operation, percentage of families reporting expenditure for paid houschold help and average amount of such expenditure, average number of weeks help employed for all families, and average number of meals per weef furnished help, by family type and income, in 1 ytar, 1935-36-Continued
[Nonrelicf families including husband and wife, both native born]
NEW ENGLAND, 2 MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES: WHITE FAMILIES


[^121]${ }^{* *}$ Percentager of less than 0.5 and averages of less than 0.05 are not shown.

Table 8.-Other household operation: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for telephone, average expenditure for items of general household operation, percentage of families reporting expenditure for paid household help and average amount of such expenditure, average number of weeks help employed for all families, and average number of meals per week furnished help, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
NEW ENGLAND, 2 MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES: WHITE FAMILIES

| Family type and income class <br> (1) | General household operation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Paid housebold help I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage of families reporting expendi ture for telephone <br> (2) | Average expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Percentage of families reporting expenditure(11) | A verage expenditure |  |  |  | Average weeks help employed |  |  | A verage meals furnished per week |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Laun- | Laun- | Sta- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | All | Tele- | dry | dry | tion- | Ex- press, | Water | Other |  | paid | Full- | Parttime | Aprons, gifts to | paid | Full- | Parttime | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { paid } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Full- } \\ & \text { time } \end{aligned}$ | $\xrightarrow{\text { Part- }}$ time |
|  |  | items | phone | supplies | sent out | ery, etc. | etc. |  | Other |  | help | help | help | ${ }_{\text {help }}$ | help | help | help | palp | help | help |
|  |  | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) |  | (12) | (13) | (14) | (15) | (16) | (17) | (18) | (18) | (20) | (21) |
| Family types II and III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499. |  | (i) |  | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) |  |  |  | (i) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 6 | \$17.30 | \$0.10 | \$8.40 | \$1.30 | \$1.00 | \$1.80 |  | \$4. 70 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$999 | 15 | 23.70 | 1. 90 | 10.50 | 4.20 | 1. 70 | . 20 | \$0.40 | 4.80 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 40 | 30.90 | 5.90 | 11. 20 | 4.60 | 2. 40 | . 70 | . 10 | 6.00 | 3 | \$3.20 |  | \$3.20 |  | 1.0 |  | 1.0 | 0.2 |  | 0.2 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 40 | 36. 80 | 8.80 | 12.30 | 5. 70 | 2.40 | 1.10 | . 50 | 6. 00 | 12 | 7.40 | \$2.90 | 4.50 |  | 3.7 | 0.9 | 2.8 | 1.1 | 1.1 |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 54 | 47. 20 | 12.60 | 11.00 | 12.50 | 2. 70 | 1. 20 | 1.40 | 5.80 | 16 | 11.90 | 1.30 | 10.60 |  | 4.0 | .2 | 3.8 | 1.1 | . 9 | . 2 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 76 | 62.50 | 21. 30 | 10.70 | 16. 10 | 3.30 | 1.90 | 1.90 | 7.30 | 22 | 27.90 | 9.40 | 18.50 |  | 8.0 | 1.9 | 6.1 | 1. 6 | 1.1 | . 5 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 83 | 61.40 | 25. 20 | 11.60 | 10. 20 | 3. 60 | . 30 | 2.70 | 7.80 | 17 | 11.80 | 10. 40 | 1. 40 |  | 5.1 | 2.4 | 2.7 | 2.9 | 2.9 |  |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 89 | 72.20 | 23.80 | 12.90 | 19.70 | 3.90 | . 80 | 1. 20 | 9.90 | 44 | 49.90 | 39.70 | 9.90 | \$0.30 | 14.1 | 7.7 | 6.4 | 4.9 | 3.1 | 1.8 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 90 | 105. 40 | 32.00 | 9.70 | 46.90 | 4.10 | 1. 10 | 3. 30 | 8.30 | 50 | 65.70 | 56. 00 | 9.70 |  | 17.9 | 9.4 | 8.5 | 5.0 | 4.2 | . 8 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 92 | 108.90 | 45.10 | 12.50 | 33.20 | 6.00 | 1. 50 | 3.40 | 7.20 | 42 | 129.30 | 127.90 |  | 1.40 | 21.6 | 21.6 |  | 8.5 | 8.5 |  |
| \$3,500-\$3,999. | 100 | 103.30 | 40.00 | 17.00 | 30.30 | 7.00 |  |  | 9.00 | 25 | 58.60 |  | 58. 60 |  | 26.0 |  | 26.0 | 2.0 |  | 2.0 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 .........-- | 100 | 135.90 | 54.90 | 8.40 | 45.60 | 8.80 |  | 7.00 | 11. 20 | 48 | 122.50 | 105.90 | 15. 20 | 1.40 | 24.9 | 17.3 | 7.6 | 6.6 | 6.6 | ------ |
| \$5,000 and over.........- | 100 | 128.90 | 47.60 | 9.90 | 39.10 | 9. 60 | . 60 | 9.70 | 12.40 | 100 | 449.30 | 437.00 | 4.90 | 7.40 | 52.0 | 51.0 | 1.0 | 16.3 | 16.3 | ------ |
| Family types IV and V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499. | 50 | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) |  | ( $\dagger$ ) |  |  | ( $\dagger$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749. | 7 | 21.10 | 2. 70 | 5.00 | 1.90 | 2. 20 | 3. 70 | 3.20 | 2. 40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$999 | 18 | 23.70 | 1. 60 | 10. 20 | 4.10 | 1. 70 | . 80 | 1.30 | 4.00 | 3 | . 10 |  | 10 |  | (*) |  | (*) | (*) |  | (*) |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 44 | 35.90 | 10.90 | 8.70 | 5.10 | 2. 50 | . 80 | 2.00 | 5.90 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 46 | 42. 50 | 12.80 | 11.10 | 6.90 | 2. 20 | . 80 | 2.30 | 6. 40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 56 | 53. 40 | 14. 60 | 12.00 | 11.80 | 3.70 | . 90 | 4. 00 | 6. 40 | 10 | . 90 | . 40 | . 50 |  | .$^{4}$ | ${ }^{1} 1$ | (**) | .4 | .4 | (**) |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 76 | 68.30 | 23.80 | 12. 70 | 15.90 | 4. 00 | . 40 | 3. 50 | 8. 00 | 8 | 11. 80 | 11.50 | $\cdot^{30}$ |  | 2.6 | 2.6 | (**) | 1.0 | 1.0 |  |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | $\stackrel{71}{84}$ | 59.30 | 19.00 | 12.60 | 10.30 | 4.30 | 1.80 | 3.20 | 8.10 | 5 | 1.00 |  | 1. 00 |  | .$^{4}$ |  | . 4 | 5 |  | . 5 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 84 | 82.30 69.90 | 27.20 24 | 11. 80 | 23.80 14.50 | 5.90 | . 80 | 4.10 | 8.70 | 8 | 3.90 19.80 | 3. 80 | ${ }_{19} \cdot 10$ |  | 1.2 | . 6 | +. 5 |  |  |  |
| \$2,500-\$2,999. | 76 92 | 69.90 10850 | 24.90 33.80 | 12. 110 | 14.50 37.30 | 3.40 8.80 | . 40 | 6. 10 | 8.50 | 22 | 19.80 | .70 39.60 | 19.10 |  | 5.6 7.6 | 7.1 | 5.5 | 1.7 | 1.0 | 7 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499- | 92 100 | 108.50 90.90 | 33.80 33.30 | 11.40 10.80 | 37.30 24.90 | 8. 80 3.70 | . 50 | 9.00 5.00 | 8.20 12.70 | 17 | 40. 00 34.50 | 39.60 | 34.50 | . 40 | 7.6 10.9 | 7.6 | 10.9 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 1.2 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 100 | 97.50 | 36. 70 | 12. 70 | 21.50 | 7.80 |  | 7.40 | 11.40 | 67 | 70.20 |  | 69.80 | 40 | 34.7 |  | 34.7 | . 4 |  | 4 |
| \$5,000 and over........... | 75 | 140.50 | 31.00 | 16.70 | 52.00 | 15. 50 | ------ | 14.80 | 10.50 | 100 | 480.60 | 376.40 | 104. 20 | --..--.- | 78.0 | 43.2 | 34.8 | 10.2 | 10.2 |  |

Table 8.-Other household operation: Percentage of families roporting expenditure for telephone, average expenditure for items of general household operation, percentage of families reporting expenditure for paid household help and average amount of such expenditure, average number of weeks help employed for all families, and average number of meals per week furnished help, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1935-96-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
EAST CENTRAL, 3 MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES: WHITE FAMILIES

| Family type and income class <br> (1) | General household operation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Paid household help t |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage of families reporting expenditure for telephone <br> (2) | A verage expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Percentage of families reporting expenditure <br> (11) | Average expenditure |  |  |  | Average weeks help employed |  |  | A verage meals furnished per week |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Laun- | Laun- | Sta- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | All | Tele- | dry | dry | tion- | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ex- } \\ & \text { press, } \end{aligned}$ | Water | Other |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { All } \\ & \text { paid } \end{aligned}$ | Full- <br> time | Parttime | Aprons, gifts to | All | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Full- } \\ & \text { time } \end{aligned}$ | Parttime | All | Full- | Parttime |
|  |  | items | phone | sup- <br> plies | sent out | ery, etc. | etc. |  | Other |  | palp | help | help | help | palp | help | help | help | help | help |
|  |  | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) |  | (12) | (13) | (14) | (15) | (16) | (17) | (18) | (19) | (20) | (21) |
| All families |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 | 11 | \$19.30 | \$ 3. 10 | \$6. 50 | \$1.30 | \$0.50 | (*) | \$5. 10 | \$2. 80 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 12 | 23. 50 | 2. 60 | 8.90 | 1.00 | 1. 10 | \$0. 40 | 5.60 | 3.90 | 2 | \$0. 10 | \$0. 10 | (*) |  | 0.1 | 0.1 | (**) | 0.2 | 0.2 | (**) |
| \$750-\$999 | 12 | 27.70 | 3.10 | 9.80 | 2. 30 | 1. 70 | . 10 | 6. 40 | 4.30 | 2 | . 70 | . 10 | \$0. 60 |  | . 3 | (**) | 0.3 | . 2 | . 1 | ${ }_{(* *)}^{0.1}$ |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 25 | 37.70 | 5.90 | 10.70 | 5. 40 | 2. 20 | . 90 | 7. 70 | 4.90 | 4 | 1.90 | 1. 00 | . 90 | ${ }^{(*)}$ | $\begin{array}{r}.6 \\ \hline 1\end{array}$ | . 2 | . 4 | . 5 | . 5 | (**) |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 28 | 41. 00 | 7.90 11.80 | 11.30 | 5. 90 | 2. 50 | . 50 | 8.10 | 4.80 | 10 | 3.30 | 2. 00 | 1. 20 | \$0. 10 | 1.7 | . 6 | 1.1 | . 9 | .8 | . 1 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 40 56 | 48.50 58.90 | 11.80 16.30 | 10.80 12.40 | 8.60 8.50 | 3.00 4.50 | . 30 | 8.60 9.80 | 5.40 6.60 | 8 | 4.80 10.00 | 3. 10 | 1.70 3.50 | ${ }^{*}{ }^{*} 10$ | 2.1 3.6 | .9 1.6 | 1.2 | 1.0 | .9 <br> 1.0 | .1 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 56 68 | 58.90 65.90 | 16.30 20.10 | 12.40 11.40 | 8.50 13.40 | 4.50 3.50 | .80 1.20 | 9.80 9.80 | 6.60 6.50 | 12 | 10.00 13.00 | 6. 40 | 3. $\mathbf{3 . 3 0}$ 6.30 | (*) $^{10}$ | 3.6 5.2 5. | 1.6 | 2.0 3.4 | 1.2 | 1.0 1.0 | .2 |
| \$2,250-\$2,429 | 77 | 72. 10 | 24. 50 | 11. 80 | 14.00 14.4 | 3.60 4.00 | 1.80 .80 | 10.60 | 6.50 6.40 | 20 | 13. 50 | 6.70 7.20 | 6. 60 | (*) | 5.2 5.0 | 1.8 | 3.4 3.3 | 1.6 | 1.8 | . 3 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 92 | 98. 10 | 32. 60 | 11.30 | 27.70 | 6. 30 | .60 | 12. 50 | 7.10 | 35 | 33. 50 | 24.80 | 8.30 | . 40 | 10.5 | 6.6 | 3.9 | 4.5 | 3.7 | . 8 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 90 | 102. 20 | 34. 70 | 12.40 | 27.30 | 8.00 | . 30 | 12.00 | 7.50 | 52 | 72.40 | 46. 50 | 25.90 | (*) | 22.4 | 10. 2 | 12.2 | 4.5 | 4.1 | 4 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 97 | 105.00 | 36.30 | 14.00 | 25.50 | 7.90 | . 10 | 13.00 | 8.20 | 58 | 81.60 | 50.40 | 30.90 | . 30 | 23.7 | 9.7 | 14.0 | 5.9 | 4.3 | 1. 6 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 100 | 127.00 | 41. 60 | 11. 40 | 40.80 | 8. 40 | 2.30 | 13. 20 | 9.30 | 64 | 92.90 | 49.40 | 42.00 | 1. 50 | 29.8 | 9.5 | 20.3 | 6. 6 | 4.8 | 1.8 |
| \$5,000 and over. | 97 | 130.10 | 41. 70 | 15. 20 | 30.30 | 14.60 | 1.10 | 17.40 | 9.80 | 84 | 235.20 | 154.90 | 77.00 | 3.30 | 50.4 | 27.2 | 23. 2 | 11.6 | 9.5 | 2.1 |
| Family type I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 | 11 | 16. 40 | 3.00 | 3.80 | 2.30 | . 30 |  | 5. 20 | 1.80 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 18 | 24.90 | 4.70 | 7.80 | . 60 | 1. 30 | . 20 | 6. 30 | 4.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$999 | 2 | 25.90 | 2.20 | 8.80 | 4.30 | 1. 30 | (*) | 5. 30 | 4.00 | 5 | 2.00 |  | 2.00 |  | . 7 |  | 7 | . 3 |  | ${ }^{3}$ |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 38 | 43.10 | 9.00 | 9.40 | 9.60 | 2. 70 | . 40 | 7.70 | 4.30 | 5 | 3. 20 | . 50 | 2. 70 |  | 1.1 | . 2 | . 9 | . 6 | . 6 | (**) |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 33 | 49.00 | 11. 10 | 9.90 | 12.70 | 2. 70 | . 10 | 7.70 | 4.80 | 5 | . 70 | . 50 | . 20 |  | $\stackrel{1}{ } \cdot$ | . 1 | (**) | . 9 | . 8 | .1 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 41 | 56.30 | 12. 40 | 9.30 | 19.90 | 2. 90 | .10 | 7. 10 | 4. 60 | 5 | 7.00 | 6. 20 | . 70 | . 10 | 2.0 | 2.0 | (**) | . 6 | .5 | . 1 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 56 58 58 | 65.80 70.90 | 17.40 18.70 | 11. 20 | 15.80 25.80 | 6.00 3.40 3. | 1.10 .50 | 8.70 760 | 5. 60 6.20 | 14 | 8.20 14.10 | 2.90 6.70 | 5.20 740 | . 10 | 2.1 6.5 | .6 1.4 | 1. 5 | 1.1 | $\xrightarrow{7}$ | .4 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 58 89 | 70.90 87.60 | 18.70 | 8.70 10.20 | 25.80 30.10 | 3.40 3.90 | . 50 | 7.60 8.80 | 6.20 <br> 6.40 | 25 23 | 14.10 11.40 | 6. 70 | 7.40 4.20 |  | 6.5 3.5 | 1.4 | 5. 2 | $\begin{array}{r}.8 \\ \times 2.3 \\ \hline 8\end{array}$ | + 2.5 | . 3 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 92 | 120.80 | 36.30 | 8. 60 | 51.20 | 6.50 | 1.00 | 10.60 | 6.60 | 33 | 15. 00 | 3. 40 | 11.60 |  | 8.3 | 1.1 | 7.2 | 4.7 | 3.9 | . 8 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 85 | 102. 60 | 35. 50 | 8.70 | 28.80 | 9.90 | . 80 | 9.20 | 9.70 | 67 | 91. 20 | 46. 30 | 44.80 | . 10 | 29.6 | 10.0 | 19.6 | 3.8 | 3.4 | 4 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 95 | 110.30 | 32.90 | 11. 20 | 40.00 | 6.50 | . 20 | 11. 20 | 8.30 | 69 | 133.10 | 96.20 | 36. 60 | . 30 | 34.5 | 17.6 | 18.9 | 8.0 | 7.2 | 8 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 100 | 130.30 | 45.50 | 7.70 | 50.10 | 6. 60 |  | 13. 20 | 7.20 | 59 | 73.80 | 48.90 | 24.00 | . 90 | 24.8 | 7.0 | 17.8 | 4.1 | 3.3 | . 8 |
| \$5,000 and over..... | 100 | 150.00 | 41.00 | 11. 10 | 58.30 | 5.80 | 1.30 | 17. 60 | 14.90 | 93 | 121.40 | 49.10 | 71.00 | 1.30 | 47.6 | 9.1 | 38.5 | 8.3 | 4.4 | 3.9 |

1 See explanation of tables.

* Averages of less than $\$ 0.05$ are not shown.
**A verages of less than 0.05 are not shown.

Table 8.-Gther household operation: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for telephone, average expenditure for items of general household operation, percentage of families reporting expenditure for paid household help and average amount of such expenditure, average number of weeks help employed for all families, and average number of meals per week furnished help, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
EAST CENTRAL, 3 MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES: WHITE FAMILIES

| Family type and income class <br> (1) | General household operation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Paid household help ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent-age offamiliesreportingexpendi-ture fortele-phone(2) | A verage expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Percentage of reporting expenditure | Average expenditure |  |  |  | Average weeks help employed |  |  | Average meals fur nished per wreek |  |  |
|  |  | $\underset{\text { All }}{\text { All }}$ <br> (3) | Telephone <br> (4) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Laun- } \begin{array}{c} \text { dry } \\ \text { sup- } \\ \text { plies } \end{array} \\ (5) \end{gathered}$ | Laundry sent out (6) | Sta- <br> tionery, etc. <br> (7) | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{c} \text { Ex- } \\ \text { press, } \\ \text { etc. } \end{array} \\ & \text { (8) } \end{aligned}$ | Water rent <br> (9) | Other (10) |  | All paid help | Fulltime help | Parttime help | Aprons, gifts to help | All paió help <br> (16) | Fulltime help <br> (17) | Parttime help | All paid help | Fulltime help <br> (20) | Parttime help <br> (21) |
| Family type II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 |  | \$14.80 |  | \$5. 60 |  | \$1.00 |  | \$5.00 | \$3. 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$8499 | 18 | 23.40 | ${ }_{4}^{\$ 1.80}$ | 7.80 10.10 | \$5. 20 | ${ }_{2}{ }^{60}$ | \$0.20 | 3.50 5.10 | 4.30 5.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 8 | 31.50 | 1.40 | ${ }_{10.90}$ | 5.00 | 2.40 2.40 | 1.80 | 5.1 5.20 | 5.80 | 3 | \$1.20 | \$1.00 | \$0.20 |  | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.5 | (*) |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 25 | 35.30 47.70 | 5.60 12.50 | 10.20 11.90 | 3.50 3.10 | 2.50 2.90 | . 80 | 8. 10 | 4.60 7.10 | 6 | 6. 60 | 5. 40 | . 90 | \$0. 30 | 2.2 | 1.7 | ${ }^{5}$ | .$_{4} 7$ | . 7 | (**) |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 60 | 64.00 | 17.90 | 14.00 | 8 8.00 | 4. 40 | 1.00 | 9. 30 | 9.40 | 15 | 20.10 | 19.30 | . 80 |  | 6.0 | 4.5 | 1.5 | 2.4 | 2.4 |  |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 73 | 68. 10 | 21.40 | 11.00 | ${ }^{13.50}$ | 3. 70 | 2.30 | 9. 70 | 6. 50 | 38 | 33. 50 | 19.40 | 14.10 |  | 11.8 | 4.4 | 7.4 | 2.4 | 1.3 | 1.1 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 91 | 72.90 | ${ }^{27.60}$ | 9.80 | 11.30 | 5.00 | 1.70 | 11. 20 | 6.30 | 40 | 24. 40 | 13. 20 | 11. 20 |  | 11.5 | 3.9 | 7.6 | 4.5 | 3.7 | . 8 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999- | 95 90 | 108.90 103.00 | 34.30 36.70 | 11.40 13.30 | 33.20 25.40 | 7.10 8.80 | . 70 | 15.00 12.00 | 7.20 6.40 | 45 <br> 57 | 64.40 90.90 | 56. 40 | 8.00 8.50 |  | 17.7 | 14.8 16.5 | 6.9 | 8.1 6.0 | 5.7 5.7 | 2.4 .3 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 100 | 83.30 | 40.20 | 12.90 | 5. 10 | 8. 50 | .20 | 9.90 | 6.50 | ${ }_{67}$ | 86.20 | 66.10 | 20.10 |  | 23.5 | 12.0 | 11.5 | 8.2 | 4.8 | 3. 4 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999. | 100 | 137.00 | 37.80 | 13.50 | 40.20 | 17.30 | 4. 60 | 13.10 | 10.50 | 70 | 122.00 | 73.20 | 42. 40 | 6. 40 | 39.0 | 13.5 | 25.5 | 9.0 | 7.2 | 1.8 |
| \$5,000 and over. | 100 | 180.30 | 45. 40 | 12.60 | 70.20 | 22. 50 |  | 21.00 | 8. 60 | 100 | 363.60 | 349.80 | 10.60 | 3. 20 | 73.2 | 62.6 | 10.6 | 22.4 | 22.4 |  |
| Family type III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 |  | 12.00 |  | 3.70 |  |  |  | 6.00 | 2.30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 |  | 19.40 |  | 9.80 |  | 1.30 | . 80 | 3.90 | 3. 60 | 12 | . 60 | . 50 | . 10 |  | 4 | ${ }^{3}$ | . 1 | 1.5 | 1.2 | . 3 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 26 | 21.70 35.80 | 6. ${ }^{.90}$ | 10.40 | 2. ${ }^{7} 8$ | 1.70 | . 80 | 6.00 | 3.30 5.60 | ${ }_{6}^{2}$ | +.900 | 2.90 |  |  | ${ }^{5}$ | $\stackrel{3}{5}$ |  | . 4.4 | 1.4 |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 18 | 36. 30 | 5.70 | 12.00 | 3. 20 | 2.60 | 20 | 8. 30 | 4.30 | 23 | 3.50 | 2.20 | 1. 30 |  | 1.8 | 6 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 1.0 | (**) |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 28 | 40.60 | 8.30 | 11. 40 | 3.40 | 2.80 | 40 | 9.00 | 5. 30 | 36 | 16. 30 | 6.00 | 10.30 | (*) | 10.0 | 1.4 | 8.6 | 4.3 | 3.8 |  |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 75 | ${ }^{60.10}$ | 19.20 | 11.00 | 8.10 | 3.90 | 1.90 | 10.60 | 5. 40 | 26 | 19.50 | 9.80 | 9.30 | . 40 | 9.8 | 2.7 | 7.1 | 2.3 | 1.4 | 9 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249- | 81 | 76.80 | 23.20 | 11.40 | 20.60 | 3.70 | 1.00 | 11. 10 | 5. 80 | 14 | 15. 40 | 4.00 | 11. 40 |  | 6.0 | 1.3 | 4.7 | 2.0 | . 6 | 1.4 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 52 | 63.20 | 18.50 | 11. 40 | 12.50 | 3. 00 | . 20 | 10.90 | 6. 70 | 28 | ${ }^{28} 980$ | 21. 50 | 7.40 |  | ${ }_{19}{ }^{7} 4$ | 5.2 | ${ }_{1}^{2.2}$ | 4.7 | 4.4 | ${ }_{3}$ |
| \$3,000- $\$ 3,499$ | 100 | -85. 10 | 37.60 | 11. 20 | 30. 30 | 6.90 6.40 | . 30 | 14.70 | 8. 60 | 76 | ${ }_{91.80}$ | 62.90 58.00 | 33.80 | 3.00 | 32.3 | 12.4 | 19.9 | 8.2 | 5.8 | 1.2 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 91 | 114.70 | 35. 70 | 13.10 | 30.80 | 7.70 |  | 15.80 | 11.60 | 75 | 85.20 | 33. 60 | 50.70 | 90 | 39.0 | 8.4 | 30.6 | 3.5 | 3.4 |  |
| \$4,000-84,999 | 100 | 126. 10 | 43.60 | 14.60 | 32.10 | 7.50 | 1.20 | 16. 30 | 10.80 | 71 | 146.90 | 110.60 | 33.70 | 2. 60 | 31.1 | 25.0 | 6.1 | 12.5 | 9.0 | 3. 5 |
| \$5,000 and over.... | 100 | 100.30 | 37.30 | 18.90 | 6.80 | 9.10 | 4.20 | 14.20 | 9.80 | 91 | 294.00 | 235.10 | 54.90 | 4.00 | 55.2 | 39.8 | 15.4 | 17.1 | 14.1 | 3.0 |

Table 8.-Other houschold operation: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for telephone, average expenditure for items of general household operation, percentage of families reporting expenditure for paid household help and average amount of such expenditure, average number of weeks help employed for all families, and average number of meals per week furnished help, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
east central, 3 Middle-sized cities: white families

| Family type and income class | General household oporation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Paid household help ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage of families reporting expenditure for telephone (2) | A verage expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Percentage of families reporting expenditure <br> (11) | A verage expenditure |  |  |  | A verage weeks help employed |  |  | A verage meals furnished per week |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Laun- | Laun- | Sta- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Full- | Part- | All | Full- |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | dry | tion- | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ex- } \\ & \text { press, } \end{aligned}$ | Water | Other |  | All | Fime | Part- | Aprons, gifts to | paid | Fime | Part- | paid | time | $\xrightarrow{\text { Part- }}$ |
|  |  | items | phone | supplies | sent out | ery, etc. | etc. | rent | Other |  | help | help | help | help | help | help | help | help | help | help |
|  |  | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) |  | (12) | (13) | (14) | (15) | (16) | (17) | (18) | (19) | (20) | (21) |
| Family type IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 | 25 | \$35.30 | \$7. 50 | \$16.50 |  | \$0. 50 |  | \$5.00 | \$5. 80 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 12 | 25.50 | 2.40 | 9.80 |  | 1. 40 | \$0.40 | 7.10 | 4.40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$999 | 32 | 32.30 | 6. 10 | 9.30 | \$1.20 | 1. 50 |  | 9.90 | 4.30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 40 | 42.80 | 9.50 | 11.30 | 5. 10 | 2.20 | . 70 | 9.30 | 4.70 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 41 | 40. 10 | 11.80 | 10.80 | 2. 20 | 2. 70 | . 40 | 7. 70 | 4. 50 | 4 | \$3. 20 |  | \$3. 20 |  | 3.2 |  |  |  |  | 0.1 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 42 | 49. 20 | 13.90 | 10.20 | 6.70 | 4. 10 | .10 | 8. 90 | 5. 30 | $\stackrel{3}{5}$ | 1. 60 | \$1. 40 | . 20 |  | +5 +4 | 0.5 | $\left({ }^{* *}\right)$ | $\begin{array}{r}.7 \\ .8 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 0.6 .3 | (**) ${ }^{1}$ |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 50 | 53.90 | 14.90 | 12. 20 | 7.30 | 3. 40 | . 10 | 10.50 | 5. 50 | 5 | 5. 70 | 2.70 | 3.00 |  | 2.4 | . 7 | 1.7 .2 | ${ }_{(* *}{ }^{3}$ | . 3 | (**) |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 75 82 | 61. 50 | 22.80 27.60 | 12.10 | 6.60 9.40 | 3.30 4.70 | .60 1.10 | 9. 40 | 6.70 | 7 14 | + 20 2. 70 |  | .20 1.60 |  | $\stackrel{.2}{2}$ |  | 1.2 1.9 | $\stackrel{* *}{*} \times$ |  | ${ }^{* *}{ }^{*} 3$ |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 82 100 | 73.70 81.60 | 27.60 30.90 | 12. 60 | 9.40 15.00 | 4.70 5.60 | 1.10 | 11. 50 | 6.80 7.00 | 14 30 | 2.70 20.10 | 1.10 9.60 | 1.60 10.50 |  | 2.3 6.2 | 1.4 | 1.9 4.3 | .9 2.3 | $\begin{array}{r}.6 \\ 1.8 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | .3 .5 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 96 | 101.80 | 35.50 | 14.00 | 26.20 | 7. 90 | (*) | 11. 70 | 6.50 | 34 | 33.80 | 11.90 | 21.90 |  | 11.0 | 2.2 | 8,8 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 2 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999. | 100 | 112.60 | 37.40 | 17.90 | 27.70 | 7.40 | . 10 | 14.90 | 7.20 | 51 | 56.50 | 15. 20 | 40.90 | $\$ 0.40$ | 17.3 | 3.4 | 13.9 | 5.3 | 3.1 | 2.2 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999.- | 100 | 124.80 | 40.50 | 10.30 | 39.10 | 7.50 | 5.20 | 12. 20 | 10.00 | 65 | 78.50 | 11.70 | 66.80 |  | 32.1 | 2.4 | 29.7 | 5.5 | 2.5 | 3.0 |
| \$5,000 and over. | 96 | 122. 20 | 43.00 | 15.80 | 16.40 | 21.70 | . 70 | 17.10 | 7.50 | 82 | 258.60 | 137.90 | 116.70 | 4.00 | 50.3 | 26.9 | 23.4 | 9.8 | 8.0 | 1.8 |
| Family type V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 | 25 | 31. 60 | 6.80 | 13.00 |  | 1.00 |  | 7.50 | 3.30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749- |  | 15.80 |  | 8.90 |  | . 30 |  | 4.00 | 2.60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$999 | 4 | 28.00 | 1.90 | 12.60 |  | 1.20 | . 20 | 7.80 | 4.30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 11 | 34.40 | 2.90 | 11.40 | 5.00 | 1.60 | . 60 | 7.60 | 5.30 | 2 | 3.90 | 3.90 |  | (*) | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | . 4 | 4 | ---- |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 16 | 31. 70 | 2.80 | 12. 10 | . 50 | 2.00 | . 10 | 9.60 | 4.60 | 7 | 1.90 | 1. 50 | . 40 |  | 1.1 | . 4 | . 7 |  |  |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 43 | 45.00 | 13.40 | 13.50 | . 90 | 1. 90 | . 60 | 10.00 | 4.70 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 53 | 57.00 | 16. 40 | 18. 20 | 3. 60 | 4.80 | . 60 | 10.90 | 7.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 51 | 58.90 53.80 | 12.80 | 12. 50 | 12.50 | 2.60 3.20 | .90 .40 | 11. 10 | 6.50 4.70 | 14 8 | 4. 20 | 6. 20 | 4.00 8.50 |  | 1.3 | (**) | 1.3 | 2.0 .5 | . 4 | 1.2 .1 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 82 | 53.80 99.00 | 19.70 30.30 | 14.10 11.20 | 30.80 | 3.20 6.90 | .40 1.10 | 12.60 | 4. $\mathbf{6 . 7 0}$ | -65 | 15.00 5.30 | 6.50 | 8.50 5.30 |  | 2.7 | 1.1 | 2.7 | . 6 | . 4 | 6 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499. | 88 | 114.30 | 31.20 | 15.20 | 42.00 | 5.00 |  | 9.90 | 11.00 | 32 | 44.70 |  | 44.70 |  | 16.6 |  | 16.6 | . 4 |  | . 4 |
| \$3,500-\$3.989 | 100 | 98. 20 | 36.40 | 13.00 | 17. 20 | 10.70 |  | 12.50 | 8.40 | 25 | 1.80 |  | 1.80 |  | . 4 |  | ${ }^{.4}{ }^{4}$ | 1. 2 |  | 1.2 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999. | 100 | 113.40 | 37.20 | 17.00 | 27.80 | 7.10 |  | 13.30 | 11.00 | 44 | 76. 70 | 36.90 | 38.60 | 1. 20 | 20.0 | 7.8 | 12.2 18.0 | 4. 2 | 4.2 |  |
| \$5,000 and over | 100 | 96.30 | 40.80 | 14.30 | 8.10 | 7.00 |  | 17.40 | 8.70 | 35 | 65.30 |  | 65.30 |  | 18.0 |  | 18.0 | . 3 | ----- | . 3 |

${ }^{1}$ See explanation of tables.

* A verages of less than $\$ 0.05$ are not shown.
**A verages of less than 0.05 are not shown.

Table 8.-Other houschold operation: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for telephone, average expenditure for items of general household operation, percentage of families reporting expenditure for paid household help and average amount of such expenditure, average number of weeks help employed for all families, and average number of meals per week furnished help, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued
[ $N o n r e l i e f$ families including husband and wife, both nativeb orn]
EAST CENTRAL, 3 MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES: WHITE FAMILIES

t See explanation of tables. $\quad \dagger$ Averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.
** A verages of less than 0.05 are not shown.

Table 8.-Other household operation: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for telephone, average expenditure for items of general household operation, percentage of families reporting expenditure for paid household help and average amount of such expenditure, average number of weeks help employed for all families, and average number of meals per week furnished help, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
SOUTHEAST, 2 MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES: WHITE FAMILIES

| Family type and income class <br> (1) | General household operation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Paid household help ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage of families reporting expenditure for telephone <br> (2) | A verage expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Percentage of families reporting expenditure <br> (11) | A verage expenditure |  |  |  | A verage weeks help employed |  |  | A verage meals furnished per week |  |  |
|  |  | All items <br> (3) | Telephone <br> (4) | Laundry supplies <br> (5) | Laundry sent out <br> (6) | Sta-tionery, etc. <br> (7) | Express, etc. <br> (8) | Water rent <br> (9) | Other (10) |  | All paid help | Fulltime help (13) | Parttime help | Aprons, gifts to help (15) | All paid help (16) | Fulltime help <br> (17) | Parttime help (18) | All paid help (19) | Fulltime help | Part$\operatorname{tim} \theta$ help <br> (21) |
| All families |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 | 6 | \$21.80 | \$2. 20 | \$4.30 | \$4.00 | \$0.80 | \$0.30 | \$6.90 | \$3. 30 | 9 | \$1.40 | \$0.10 | \$1.30 |  | 2.1 | 0.1 | 2.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| \$500-\$749 | 3 | 24.50 | . 70 | 5.70 | 5.90 | 1.00 | . 30 | 6.70 | 4.20 | 16 | 4.80 | 2.10 | 2.70 |  | 5.7 | 1.1 | 4.6 | 1.0 | . 8 | . 2 |
| \$750-\$999 | 7 | 32.70 | 1.70 | 6.40 | 8.80 | 1.70 | . 40 | 9.00 | 4.70 | 28 | 8.30 | 1.40 | 6.90 | (*) | 10.1 | .9 | 9.2 | 1.3 | . 5 | . 8 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 16 | 47. 50 | 4.70 | 6.80 | 19.30 | 2. 60 | . 30 | 9.00 | 4.80 | 32 | 14. 40 | 2.90 | 11. 50 |  | 13.5 | 2.2 | 11.3 | 1.3 | .6 | . 7 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 23 | 53. 50 | 7.60 | 7.80 | 20.10 | 2.90 | . 40 | 9.40 | 5. 30 | 40 | 27. 40 | 12. 90 | 14.30 | \$0.20 | 18.6 | 6. 4 | 12.2 | 3.1 | 2.1 | 1.0 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 42 | 68. 30 | 13. 70 | 8.30 | 26. 10 | 2.80 | . 80 | 10. 60 | 6.00 | 51 | 32. 70 | 13.30 | 19.40 | (*) | 23.5 | 7.2 | 16.3 | 3.4 | 2.2 | 1.2 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 50 | 80.80 | 16. 70 | 9.40 | 31.20 | 4.20 | 1.20 | 11. 30 | 6.80 | 66 | 56.00 | 31.00 | 24.80 | . 20 | 32.4 | 13.4 | 19.0 | 6.2 | 4.8 | 1.4 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 58 | 90.50 | 20.60 | 8.10 | 39.70 | 3.80 | . 80 | 11. 50 | 6.00 | 63 | 64. 10 | 37.20 | 26.70 | . 20 | 31.8 | 12.9 | 18.9 | 5.4 | 3.9 | 1. 5 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 70 | 99.60 | 25.30 | 8.40 | 42. 20 | 4.00 | . 30 | 12. 80 | 6.60 | 64 | 72. 50 | 36. 30 | 35. 20 | 1.00 | 34.6 | 13.9 | 20.7 | 7.3 | 5.0 | 2.3 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 80 | 121.50 | 30.80 | 8.80 | 54.40 | 6. 30 | 1.50 | 12. 60 | 7.10 | 73 | 104.30 | 75.50 | 27.80 | 1. 00 | 42.6 | 23.8 | 18.8 | 8.9 | 6.8 | 2.1 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 86 | 124.50 | 35.40 | 8.80 | 51.50 | 6.30 | . 20 | 13.60 | 8. 70 | 91 | 153.30 | 92.90 | 58.70 | 1. 70 | 55.3 | 27.4 | 27.9 | 11.9 | 8.0 | 3.9 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 96 | 135. 30 | 39. 20 | 9.50 | 52.80 | 9. 50 | 1. 60 | 14. 30 | 8.40 | 94 | 189.90 | 134. 60 | 52.00 | 3.30 | 62.1 | 37.1 | 25.0 | 13.7 | 11.0 | 2.7 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 94 | 151.50 | 39.70 | 9.00 | 67.30 | 8.10 | 1. 40 | 18. 70 | 9.30 | 96 | 213.90 | 156. 80 | 55. 30 | 1. 80 | 64.4 | 38.8 | 25.6 | 15.0 | 12. 1 | 2.9 |
| \$5,000 and over | 97 | 196. 50 | 45.80 | 13.80 | 80.40 | 13.60 | 1. 30 | 23.80 | 17.80 | 97 | 354. 70 | 284.00 | 65.50 | 5. 20 | 83.2 | 56.6 | 26.6 | 22.4 | 19.5 | 2.9 |
| Family type I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 |  | 16.00 |  | 3. 70 | 2. 20 | 70 |  | 6. 70 | 2. 70 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 2 | 24. 60 | . 30 | 4. 40 | 6.90 | 1.20 | . 30 | 6. 90 | 4. 60 | 16 | 5. 80 | . 40 | 5. 40 |  | 8.2 | . 8 | 7.4 |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$999 | 10 | 32. 50 | 3. 50 | 5. 90 | 8. 20 | 1. 50 | . 60 | 9.30 | 3. 50 | 26 | 7.70 | 2. 50 | 5. 20 |  | 8.0 | 2.8 | 5. 2 | 1.9 | 1.8 | . 1 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 16 | 51. 50 | 5. 50 | 5. 20 | 23.50 | 3.00 | . 30 | 9.50 | 4.50 | 22 | 12. 10 | 5. 40 | 6. 70 |  | 9.8 | 3.6 | 6.2 | 2.3 | 1.9 | . 4 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 25 | 54. 50 | 9. 00 | 7.00 | 21.50 | 2.40 | . 30 | 9.40 | 4.90 | 41 | 17.70 | 3. 60 | 14.10 |  | 16.3 | 3.2 | 13.1 | 1.2 | . 5 | . 7 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 55 | 82.50 | 18.10 | 7. 60 | 38. 60 | 2.90 | 1. 50 | 8.50 | 5. 30 | 47 | 22. 60 | 13.70 | 8.90 |  | 18.1 | 8.7 | 9.4 | 4.3 | 3.7 | . 6 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 65 | 89.90 | 22.00 | 9. 50 | 33.00 | 3.30 | 3. 20 | 11.30 | 7. 60 | 73 | 68. 90 | 27.00 | 41. 80 | .10 | 40.0 | 11.1 | 28.9 | 4.7 | 2.6 | 2.1 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 66 | 99.40 | 20.80 | 6.70 | 50.60 | 5.10 | 1. 00 | 9.80 | 5. 40 | 62 | 60.80 | 33. 20 | 27. 50 | 10 | 31.1 | 9.3 | 21.8 | 4.0 | 2.5 | 1.5 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 90 | 112. 50 | 33. 70 | 7.20 | 48.90 | 4.90 | . 20 | 11.90 | 5. 70 | 82 | 83.70 | 36.40 | 45. 40 | 1.90 | 47.4 | 13.6 | 33.8 | 6.7 | 4. 0 | 2.7 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 100 | 142.40 | 39.80 | 7.80 | 66. 70 | 8.70 | 1.00 | 13.00 | 5. 40 | 70 | 97.40 | 61. 70 | 32.90 | 2.80 | 49.9 | 23.3 | 26.6 | 7.5 | 4.9 | 2.6 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 91 | 128.30 | 36.60 | 7. 70 | 53.70 | 7.40 |  | 12.30 | 10.60 | 100 | 200.10 | 103. 70 | 93.50 | 2.90 | 62.5 | 27.3 | 35. 2 | 14.8 | 8.0 | 6.8 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999. | 100 | 126.80 | 40.20 | 6.70 | 48.70 | 9.20 | 1. 10 | 13. 80 | 7.10 | 100 | 170.20 | 81. 20 | 84.00 | 5.00 | 61.1 | 25.2 | 35.9 | 10.9 | 6.2 | 4.7 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 89 100 | 114. 10 | 37. 60 | 7.80 | 42. 00 | 5. 60 |  | 13.10 | 8. 00 | 100 | 226. 60 | 172. 40 | 53.90 | . 30 | 67.7 | ${ }_{57}^{38.1}$ | 29.6 | 14.6 | 12.8 | 1.8 |
| \$5,000 and over- | 100 | 147.30 | 41. 40 | 9.20 | 58.20 | 6.00 | 1. 00 | 20.80 | 10.70 | 100 | 423.90 | 321.40 | 99.20 | 3.30 | 85.4 | 57.3 | 28.1 | 25.3 | 18.6 | 6.7 |

Table 8.-Other household operation: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for telephone, average expenditure for items of general household operation, percentage of families reporting expenditure for paid household help and average amount of such expenditure, average number of weeks help employed for all families, and average number of meals per week furnished help, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1995-96-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]

| Family type and income class <br> (1) | General household operation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Paid household help ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage of families reporting expenditure for telephone (2) | Average expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Percentage of families reporting expenditure <br> (11) | Average expenditure |  |  |  | Average weeks help employed |  |  | Average meals furnished per week |  |  |
|  |  | All items (3) | Telephone <br> (4) | Laundry supplies | Laundry sent out (6) | Sta-tionery, etc. (7) | Express, etc. (8) | Water rent (9) | Other |  | All <br> paid <br> help <br> (12) | Fulltime help <br> (13) | Parttime help <br> (14) | Aprons, gifts to help <br> (15) | All paid help (16) | Fulltime help <br> (17) | Parttime belp <br> (18) | All paid help <br> (19) | Fulltime help <br> (20) | Parttime help <br> (21) |
| Family types II and III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499. |  | \$26. 10 |  | \$5. 30 | \$8.10 | \$1. 20 | \$0. 40 | \$6.90 | \$4. 20 | 17 | \$1. 50 | \$0. 20 | \$1. 30 |  | 3.5 | 0.2 | 3.3 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| \$500-\$749 | 2 | 23.50 | \$0.20 | 5.70 | 7.20 | . 90 | . 20 | 5.30 | 4.00 | 7 | . 90 | . 80 | . 10 |  | . 2 | . 2 | (**) | 1.4 | 1.1 | . 3 |
| \$750-\$999 | 1 | 33.10 | . 40 | 6.10 | 11. 40 | 1. 60 | . 20 | 8.20 | 5. 20 | 37 | 12. 00 | 1.30 | 10.70 | (*) | 1.5. 3 | . 4 | 14.9 | 1.2 | 2 | 1. 0 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 10 | 45. 90 | 2.10 | 7. 80 | 20.00 | 2. 90 | . 40 | 7.60 | 5. 10 | 43 | 17.70 | 2.90 | 14.80 |  | 17.2 | 1.9 | 15.3 | 1.1 | 2 | . 9 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 20 | 56. 10 | 6. 40 | 8. 60 | 22.80 | 3. 30 | . 60 | 9.10 | 5. 30 | 55 | 42. 50 | 22. 60 | 19.60 | \$0.30 | 26.6 | 10.0 | 16.6 | 5.7 | 3.9 | 1. 8 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 39 | 62.70 | 12.20 | 7.80 | 21. 60 | 2. 90 | . 90 | 11. 40 | 5. 90 | 69 | 48. 30 | 14.00 | 34, 20 | . 10 | 33.4 | 6.7 | 26.7 | 4.2 | 1.8 | 2. 4 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 56 | 92.80 | 19.30 | 9. 90 | 39.90 | 5.00 | . 40 | 11. 20 | 7. 10 | 77 | 77.70 | 54.60 | 22. 70 | . 40 | 38.6 | 21.7 | 16.9 | 9.6 | 8.0 | 1. 6 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 59 | 99.90 | 22. 50 | 8. 30 | 48. 60 | 3.50 | 1.00 | 9.70 | 6. 30 | 68 | 81. 50 | 48.80 | 32. 30 | . 40 | 35.0 | 16.9 | 18.1 | 8.2 | 5.7 | 2.5 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 66 | 90. 10 | 22.90 | 9. 00 | 36. 10 | 3. 10 | . 40 | 11.70 | 6. 90 | 78 | 104. 90 | 57.40 | 45. 00 | 1. 60 | 40.9 | 20.5 | 20.4 | 11.8 | 8.5 | 3. 3 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 74 | 113. 70 | 28.90 | 8.70 | 49.10 | 5.90 | 3.00 | 10.80 | 7. 30 | 82 | 142. 50 | 117.30 | 24.10 | 1. 10 | 47.9 | 34.6 | 13.3 | 12.6 | 10.4 | 2. 2 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 88 | 116. 20 | 31.20 | 8. 90 | 47. 30 | 7. 70 |  | 13.30 | 7. 80 | 100 | 147. 70 | 106. 40 | 39. 00 | 2. 30 | 55.5 | 32.3 | 23. 2 | 13.3 | 9.9 | 3.4 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 100 | 136.30 | 42. 60 | 11.00 | 48. 10 | 10. 10 | 2.90 | 13. 70 | 7.90 | 100 | 230.60 | 197. 70 | 29.70 | 3. 20 | 77.6 | 54.9 | 22.7 | 18.2 | 15.7 | 2.5 |
| \$4,000- $\$ 4,999$ and over | 100 | 150.50 173.90 | 41. 10 | 10.30 11.30 | 61.70 <br> 73.50 | 7.00 8.50 | 1.10 1.10 | 18.10 25.50 | 11. 20 | 94 100 | 198.50 359.40 | 139.70 248.40 | 56.50 107.10 | 2. 30 3.90 | 64.0 96.0 | 40.4 50.9 | 23.6 | 17.3 20.0 | 12.0 | 5. 3 3.8 |
| Family types IV and $V$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 | 20 | 23.00 | 6. 70 | 3.80 | 1. 30 | . 60 | . 60 | 7.00 | 3.00 | 10 | 2. 60 |  | 2. 60 |  | 2.6 |  | 2.6 | . 1 |  | . 1 |
| \$500-\$749 | 5 | 26. 00 | 1.70 | 6. 80 | 3. 30 | 1.00 | . 50 | 8.80 | 3.90 | 29 | 9.00 | 5.20 | 3. 80 |  | 10.5 | 2.6 | 7.9 | 1.2 | 1.0 | . 2 |
| \$750-\$999 | 15 | 31.90 | 2. 70 | 7.10 | 4. 40 | 2.00 | . 50 | 10.40 | 4.80 | 13 | 2.20 | . 90 | 1.30 |  | 2.5 | . 4 | 2.1 | 1.0 | ( 2 | . 8 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 23 | 45. 60 | 7.50 | 6. 90 | 14. 30 | 1. 70 | . 10 | 10.40 | 4.70 | 26 | 12. 30 | . 40 | 11.90 |  | 12.2 | 1.3 | 10.9 | . 7 | (**) | . 7 |
| \$1,250-\$1,409 | 25 | 49. 10 | 7.80 | 7. 60 | 15. 10 | 2. 80 | . 40 | 9.80 | 5. 60 | 22 | 17.50 | 8.80 | 8.60 | ${ }^{10}$ | 11. 2 | 4.8 | 6.4 | 1. 6 | 1.2 | 4 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 36 | 63.90 | 12.00 | 9. 40 | 22. 00 | 2.70 | . 20 | 11. 20 | 6. 40 | 36 | 23. 40 | 12. 20 | 11. 20 | (*) | 16.9 | 6. 6 | 10.3 | 1.8 | 1. 6 | 2 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 34 | 64. 60 | 11.30 | 8. 90 | 22. 70 | 3.90 | . 60 | 11. 30 | 5. 90 | 52 | 29. 10 | 13. 10 | 15. 80 | . 20 | 22.3 | 7.8 | 14.5 | 4.1 | 3.4 | . 7 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 53 | 78. 60 | 19.10 | 8.90 | 27. 20 | 3.20 | . 40 | 13. 70 | 6. 10 | 59 | 53.00 | 30.70 | 22.20 | . 10 | 29.7 | 11.8 | 17.9 | 4. 1 | 3.4 | .7 1.5 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 63 | 100. 60 | 23. 20 | 8. 60 | 43. 50 | 4. 20 | . 20 | 14. 10 | 6.80 | 46 | 44. 40 | 21.10 | 23. 20 | . 10 | ${ }^{23.8}$ | 9.3 | 14.5 | 4. 4 | 2.9 | 1.5 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 78 | 118.90 | 28.50 | 9. 40 | ${ }^{53.30}$ | 5.70 | . 50 | 13.90 | 7. 60 | 87 | 73. 80 | 45. 00 | 28.70 | 10 | 34.7 | 14.6 | 20.1 | 6.2 | 4.4 | 1.8 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 81 | 129.30 | 38.10 | 9. 40 | 53. 70 | 4.50 | . 60 | 14.60 | 8. 40 | 80 | 133.60 | 76.20 | 56.80 | . 60 | 51.4 | 23. 5 | 27.9 | 9.3 | 6.5 | 2.8 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 91 | 138.90 | 36. 20 | 9.70 | 58. 10 | 9.30 | 1. 10 | 15.00 | 9. 50 | 86 | 170.90 | 117.00 | 51.50 | 2. 40 | 51. 6 | 30.5 | 21.1 | 12.0 | 10.1 | 1.9 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999. | 93 | 167.10 | 40.20 | 9.00 | 79.80 | 960 | 2.00 | 17.50 | 9.00 | 96 | 215.40 | 158.00 | 55. 30 | 2. 10 | 63.2 | 38.4 | 24.8 | 14.1 | 11.9 | 2.2 |
| \$5,000 and 0ver .-..- | 96 | 222. 60 | 48.50 | 16.50 | 90.70 | 18. 20 | 1. 60 | 24.10 | 23.00 | 96 | 329.50 | 286. 70 | 36.30 | 6.50 | 76.8 | 58.7 | 18.1 | 22.5 | 21.2 | 1.3 |

Table 8.-Other household operation: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for telephone, average expenditure for items of general household operation, percentage of families reporting expenditure for paid household help and average amount of such expenditure, average number of weeks help employed for all families, and average number of meals per week furnished help, by family type and income, in 1 year, i935-35-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
SOUTHEAST, 2 MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES: NEGRO FAMILIES

| Family type and income class <br> (1) | General household operation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Paid household help ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage of families reporting expenditure for telephone | A verage expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Percentage of families reporting expenditure <br> (11) | Average expenditure |  |  |  | Average weeks help employed |  |  | A verage meals furnished per week |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Laun- | Laun- | Sta- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | All | Full- | Part- | All | Full- | Part- |
|  |  | All items | T'elephone | $\begin{aligned} & \text { dry } \\ & \text { sup- } \end{aligned}$ | dry sent | tionery, | press, | Water sent | Other |  | paid | Fime | time | ${ }_{\text {gifts to }}$ | paid | time | Part- | paid | Fime | Part- |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { sup- } \\ & \text { plies } \end{aligned}$ | sent | ery, etc. | ete. |  |  |  | help | help | help | help | help | help | help | help | help | help |
|  |  | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) |  | (12) | (13) | (14) | (15) | (16) | (17) | (18) | (19) | (20) | (21) |
| All families |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250. |  | \$7.80 |  | \$3.50 |  | \$0.30 |  | \$2. 20 | \$1.80 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 | 1 | 10.50 14.70 | \$0.20 | 4.10 6.00 | \$0.30 | $\begin{array}{r}.90 \\ 1.40 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\$ 0.20$ .10 | 2.50 3.50 | 2. 80 <br> 3.20 <br>  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.1 |  | 0.1 |
| \$750-\$999 | 5 | 22.00 | $\$ 0.10$ 1.10 | 6. 50 | 1.90 1.9 | 1.80 | . | 6.90 | 3. 60 | 2 | $\begin{array}{r}\text { \$0. } \\ \hline .60\end{array}$ | \$0.10 | $\$ 0.50$ .50 |  | $\begin{array}{r}. \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 0.1 | 0.3 .6 | 0.1 |  | 0.1 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 13 | 30.60 | 4.10 | 7. 60 | 2.70 | 2.90 | . 60 | 7.80 | 4.90 | 6 | 1.40 | . 50 | . 90 | (*) | 1.1 | . 3 | . 8 | 4 | 0.3 | . 1 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 12 | 37.10 | 4.00 | 7.70 | 6.30 | 5.60 | . 40 | 8.90 | 4. 20 | 11 | 6.40 | 1.80 | 4.60 |  | 5.2 | . 9 | 4.3 | . 6 | . 2 | . 4 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 36 | 51.70 | 11.60 | 8.30 | 12.00 | 2.80 | . 20 | 11.00 | 5. 80 | 48 | 27.40 | 21.90 | 5.50 |  | 21.2 | 13.8 | 7.4 | 4.1 | 4.0 | . 1 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 7 | 32.10 | 2.90 | 7.40 | 1.90 | 5.30 | . 30 | 10.60 | 3. 70 | 14 | 6.60 |  | 6. 60 | ---- | 7.4 |  | 7.4 |  |  |  |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 39 | 62.90 | 12.70 | 10.70 | 16. 20 | 5. 60 |  | 13. 20 | 4. 50 | 22 | 4.70 | 3.80 | . 90 |  | 5.8 | 4. 6 | 1.2 | . 1 | . 1 |  |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 31 | 88. 60 | 12.10 | 9.80 | 34. 20 | 13. 20 |  | 12. 90 | 6. 40 | 24 | 19.90 | 1. 60 | 18. 30 |  | 12.7 | 3.1 | 9.6 | 1.1 | . 1 | 1.0 |
| \$2,500 and over- | 55 | 78.30 | 21.60 | 10.10 | 25.00 | 5.80 |  | 10.10 | 5.70 | 64 | 69.50 | 47.30 | 22.20 |  | 52.0 | 18.9 | 33.1 | 7.9 | 7.6 | . 3 |
| Family type I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250 |  | 6.10 |  | 3.20 |  | 10 |  | 1.50 | 1.30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 |  | 10.20 |  | 4.10 |  | 1.10 | . 40 | 2.10 | 2. 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 1 | 15.60 | . 30 | 6.00 | . 10 | 1. 60 | .10 | 4.20 | 3. 30 | (*) | . 10 |  | . 10 |  | . 2 |  | . 2 | (**) |  | (*) |
| \$750-\$999 | 12 | 24.60 | 2. 60 | 6.70 | 2.90 | 1.90 | . 10 | 7.60 | 2.80 | (*) | . 10 |  | . 10 |  | . 2 |  | . 2 |  |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 19 | 32.00 | 5. 70 | 7.40 | 4.00 | 3.00 | 1. 50 | 5.80 | 4. 60 | (*) | 1.40 |  | 1.40 | (*) | 1.5 |  | 1.5 | . 4 |  | 4 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 14 | 47.30 | 5.80 | 8.90 | 13.90 | 3.00 | . 30 | 11. 10 | 4.30 | 10 | 9.00 |  | 9.00 |  | 14.4 |  | 14.4 | . 3 |  | 3 |
| \$1,500-\$2,249. | 71 | 70. 80 | 23.80 | 7.50 | 18.20 | 3.70 |  | 12. 20 | 5. 40 | 71 | 27.90 | 20.50 | 7.40 |  | 33.4 | 26.0 | 7.4 | . 3 | 2 | 1 |
| \$2,250 and over- | 22 | 77.70 | 9.30 | 9.10 | 37.40 | 5. 10 |  | 8.50 | 8.30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

1 See explanation of tables.
*Percentages of less than 0.5 and averages of less than $\$ 0.05$ are not shown.
**Averages of less than 0.05 are not shown.

Tarle 8.-Other household operation: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for telephone, average expenditure for items of general household operation, percentage of families reporting expenditure for paid household help and average amount of such expenditure, average number of weeks help employed for all families, and average number of meals per week furnished help, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
SOUTHEAST, 2 MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES: NEGRO FAMILIES


[^122]Table 8.-Other household operation: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for telephone, average expenditure for items of general household operation, percentage of families reporting expenditure for paid household help and average amount of such expenditure, average number of weeks help employed for all families, and average number of meals per week furnished help, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1935-86--Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born)
WEST CENTRAL, 2 MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES: WHITE FAMILIES


Table 8.-Other houschold operation: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for telephone, average expenditure for items of general household operation, percentage of families reporting exponditure for paid household help and average amount of such expenditure, average number of weeks help employed for all families, and average number of meals per week furnished help, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1935-86-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
WEST CENTRAL, 2 MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES: WHITE FAMILIES

*A verages of less than $\$ 0.05$ are not shown.
**Averages of less than 0.05 are not shown.

Table 8.-Other household operation: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for telephone, average expenditure for items of general household operation, percentage of families reporting expenditure for paid household help and average amount of such expenditure, average number of weeks help employed for all families, and average number of meals per week furnished help, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1985-96-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]


[^123]Table 8.-Other household operation: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for telephone, average expenditure for items of general household operation, percentage of families reporting expenditure for paid household help and average amount of such expenditure, average number of weeks help employed for all families, and average number of meals per week furnished help, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
ROCKY MOUNTAN, 2 MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES: WHITE FAMILIES


[^124][^125]Table 8.-Other household operation: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for telephone, average expenditure for items of general household operation, percentage of families reporting expenditure for paid household help and average amount of such expenditure, average number of weeks help employed for all families, and average number of meals per week furnished help, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1995-36-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
PACIFIC NORTHWEST, 3 MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES: WHITE FAMILIES

| Family type and income class <br> (1) | General household operation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Paid household help ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent- <br> ageof <br> families <br> reporting <br> expendi- <br> ture for <br> tele- <br> phone <br> (2) | Average expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percent- } \\ \text { age of } \\ \text { farilies } \\ \text { reporting } \\ \text { eppendi- } \\ \text { ture } \\ \\ \text { (11) } \end{gathered}$ | Average expenditure |  |  |  | A verage weeks help employed |  |  | A verage meals furnished per week |  |  |
|  |  | $\underset{\text { items }}{\text { All }}$ <br> (3) | Telephone | Laun- <br> dry supplies (5) | Laundry sent out (6) | Sta- <br> tionery, etc. <br> (7) | Express, etc. (8) | Water rent | Other (10) |  | All <br> paid help <br> (12) | Fulltime help | Parttime help (14) | Aprons, gifts to help | All paid help (16) | Fulltime help (17) | Part time help | All paid help (19) | Fulltime help | Parttime help |
| All families |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 | 31 | \$25.60 | \$7. 50 | \$3.90 | \$1.90 | \$1.80 |  | \$7.90 | \$2.60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749- | 46 29 | ${ }_{31}^{41.40}$ | 11.60 | 7.00 | 2.80 .70 | 2. 2.80 | \$1. 10 .90 | 11. 10 | $\begin{aligned} & 5.00 \\ & 5.90 \end{aligned}$ |  | \$1. 20 | \$1. 10 | \$0. 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,000- 81,249 | 45 | 41.10 | 11.50 | 7.40 | 1. 40 | 3.60 | . 40 | 11.60 | 5.20 | 13 | 1.90 | . 80 | 1.10 | (*) | 1.7 | 2 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 0.2 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 51 | 46.50 | 12.30 | 8.20 | 3.30 | 3.80 | 70 | 11.60 | 6. 60 | 17 | 5.10 | 3. 90 | 1.20 |  | 2.6 | 1.5 | 1.1 | 3.1 | 2.7 | 4 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 62 | 55.60 | 15.20 | 8.80 | 6.90 | 4.20 | . 90 | 11.40 | 8.20 | 19 | 7.40 | 4.40 | 3.00 |  | 3.3 | 1.1 | 2.2 | 1.9 | 1.6 | 3 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 70 | 62.40 | 17.90 | 8. 50 | 5. 60 | 5.00 | 1.60 | 14. 10 | 9. 70 | 19 | 7.80 | 4. 50 | 3. 20 | \$0.10 | 4.7 | 1.8 | 2.9 | 1.9 | 1.3 | ${ }^{6}$ |
| \$2,000- \$2,249 | 88 | 71.70 72.60 | 23.20 | 88.90 | ${ }_{8}^{8.60}$ | 5.50 5.40 5 | 1.80 | 13.70 | 10.00 9.20 | 28 | 14. 70 21.30 | 7. ${ }^{\text {7. }} 70$ | 7.00 10.50 | .10 | 6.7 9.5 | 2.5 | 4.2 | 3.0 2.7 | 2.0 2.0 | 1.0 .7 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 94 | 82.30 | 26. 80 | 7.80 | 16.60 | 6. 30 | 1.80 | 13. 90 | 9. 10 | 46 | 35.90 | 23.90 | 11. 40 | 60 | 16.4 | 6.7 | 9.7 | 3.6 | 3.0 | . 6 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 100 | 109.40 | 34.90 | 8.70 | 30.70 | 8.70 | 70 | 13.90 | 11.80 | 48 | 56.70 | 34.60 | 22.00 | 10 | 21.0 | 6.4 | 14.6 | 4.1 | 4.0 | . 1 |
| \$3,500-83,999 | 95 | 101.60 | 29.70 | 10.00 | 17.30 | 11.80 | 1.80 | 16.00 | 15.00 | 54 | 56.50 | 40.40 | 15.90 | 20 | 16.3 | 9.7 | 6.6 | 3.5 | 3.3 | . 2 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999_..... | 97 | 101.70 | 29.40 | 10.30 | 10.60 44.20 | 10.80 16.60 | 1.40 3.10 | 17.50 25.40 | 21.70 31.80 | ${ }_{92}^{68}$ | 70.00 316.30 | 50. 30 | 19.60 87.80 |  | 16.9 76.6 | 10.6 31.6 | 6.3 45.0 | 7.8 13.3 | 6.4 | 1.4 .6 |
| $\$ 5,000$ and over-... Family type I | 100 | 170.60 | 37.60 | 11.90 | 44.20 | 16.60 | 3.10 | 25.40 | 31.80 | 92 | 316. 30 | 225. 10 | 87.80 | 3.40 | 76.6 | 31.6 | 45.0 | 13.3 | 12.7 | . 6 |
| \$250-\$499 | 50 | 32.50 | 11.90 | 3.50 | 1.30 | 2.00 |  | 11.00 | 2.80 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749. | 75 | 53.00 | 19.40 | 6.70 | 4.10 | 3.10 | 2.40 | 12. 50 | 4.80 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$999 | 41 | 39.30 | 9.70 | 6. 50 | 1.70 | 2.20 | . 70 | 11.30 | 7.20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 57 | 41.70 | 14.90 | 6.00 | 3.80 | 4.50 | . 20 | 7.50 | 4.80 | 13 | 2.50 |  | 2.40 | 10 | 2.8 |  | 2.8 | . 1 |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 53 50 | 43.40 | 12.70 | 6. 20 | 5.60 | 3.30 | - 60 1 150 |  | 5.10 | 10 | 4.10 | 2. 50 | 1. 60 |  | 1.5 | ${ }^{7}$ | . 8 | 1.1 | 1.1 | (**) |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 50 60 | 62.20 60.60 | 12.60 17.50 | 8. 60 6.30 | 18.90 7.80 | 4. 20 5.40 | 1.50 .30 | 6.90 13.30 | 9.50 10.00 | 17 | ${ }_{3}^{1.00}$ | 20 | 2.80 280 | . 20 | 1.7 | . 1 | 1.7 | $\stackrel{3}{1.3}$ |  | . 3 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 84 | 77.10 | 22.10 | 7.70 | 21.40 | 5.60 | 40 | 10.90 | 9.00 | 34 | 19.20 | 8.20 | 11.00 |  | 8.4 | 2.2 | 6.2 | 3.2 | 1.1 | 2.1 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 90 | 72. 60 | 19. 10 | 8.80 | 15. 60 | 5. 40 | 1.50 | 11. 80 | 10. 40 | 41 | 21.30 | 3.70 | 17. 50 | . 10 | ${ }_{20}^{17.5}$ | 8.6 | 16.9 | . 5 | 1.5 | ${ }^{(* *)}$ |
| \$2,500- \$2,999 | 92 100 | 94. 60 | ${ }_{27}^{26.10}$ | 5.90 | 34.40 | 6.80 1260 |  | ${ }_{12}^{12.80}$ | 8. 60 <br> 9.20 <br> 8 | ${ }_{11}^{62}$ | 45. 10 1.70 | 35.90 | 9. 20 |  | 20.7 3 | 8.7 | 12.0 3.4 | 1.9 | 1.7 | . 2 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999- | 100 | 125.60 | 31. 20 | 6.60 | 36. 60 | 20.20 | 4.00 | 11.80 | 15. 20 | 60 | 36. 40 | 31.20 | 5. 20 |  | 12.4 | 10.4 | 2.0 | 1.2 | 1.2 |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 100 | 101.30 | 33.70 | 4. 60 | 9.70 | 23. 10 | 7. 10 | 13.50 | 9.60 | 100 | 32.90 | 2. 40 | 30. 50 |  | 9.6 | $1{ }^{3}{ }^{3}$ | 9.3 416 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1 |
| \$5,000 and over | 100 | 176.80 | 40.50 | 11. 30 | 56.80 | 25.00 | 3.60 | 18.90 | 20.70 | 80 | 206.40 | 144.00 | 58.10 | 4.30 |  | 15.6 | 41.6 | 6.5 | 6.3 | $\underline{.}$ |

Table 8.-Other household operation: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for telephone, average expenditure for items of general household operation, percentage of families reporting expenditure for paill household help and average amount of such expenditure, average number of weeks help employed for all families, and average number of meals per week furnished help, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1985-86-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
PACIFIC NORTHWEST, 3 MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES: WHITE FAMILIES

| Family type and income class <br> (1) | General household operation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Paid household help 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage of reporting exure for tele(2) | Average expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Percent-age offamiliesreportingexpendi-ture | Average expenditure |  |  |  | Average weeks help employed |  |  | A verage meals furnished per week |  |  |
|  |  | $\underset{\text { items }}{\text { All }}$ <br> (3) | Telephone <br> (4) | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Laun- } \\ \text { dry } \\ \text { sup- } \\ \text { plies } \\ \text { (5) } \end{array}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Laun- } \\ \text { dry } \\ \text { sent } \\ \text { out } \\ (6) \end{gathered}\right.$ | Sta-tionery, etc. (7) | Express, etc. (8) | Water rent | Other (10) |  | All paid help (12) | Fulltime help (13) | Parttime help (14) | Aprons, gifts to help <br> (15) | $\begin{gathered} \begin{array}{c} \text { All } \\ \text { paid } \\ \text { help } \end{array} \\ (16) \end{gathered}$ | Fulltime help <br> (17) | Parttime help (18) | All paid help | Fulltime help (20) | Parttime help (21) |
| Family types II and III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 250-\$ 499$. |  | \$17.30 |  | \$6. 30 |  | \$2.00 |  | \$6. 30 | \$2. 70 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 16 | 24.70 27.80 | \$1.80 | 8. 40 | \$0. 30 | 2.40 1.90 | $\$ 0.30$ 1.00 | 6.80 880 | 4.70 4.70 | 20 | \$230 | \$2.10 | \$0.20 |  | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 3.8 | 3.8 |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 47 | 41. 40 | 11. 50 | 7.90 | 10 | 2.70 | . 50 | 13. 50 | 5.20 | 16 | 1. 90 | 1. 40 | . 50 |  | 1.8 | . 4 | 1.4 | 2.3 | ${ }_{2.2}$ | ${ }^{*} 0.1$ |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 43 | 47.20 | 10. 40 | 9.10 | 3. 40 | 3.80 | 90 | 11.30 | 8.30 | 32 | 9.20 | 8.30 | 90 |  | 5.3 | 3.1 | 2.2 | 6.7 | 6.0 | . 7 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749- | 61 | 50.10 | 14.10 | 8.90 | 1.70 | 4. 20 | 80 | 13.10 | $7{ }^{7} 30$ | $\stackrel{29}{29}$ | 15. 80 | 10.50 | 5. 30 |  | 7.0 | 2.7 | 4.3 | 4.1 | 3.6 | . 5 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 70 86 | 62.80 70.10 | 17.40 22.20 | 10.30 7.90 | 4. 10 | 4.70 4.70 | 1.00 3.50 | 14.60 | 10.70 10.10 | 29 39 | 12.40 | 8. 14.10 | 4. 30 9.50 | \$0. 30 | 8. 10.5 | 3.2 5.1 | 4.9 5.4 | 2.6 5.7 | 2.3 4.5 | 1.3 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 91 | 71.80 | 28.50 | 9.70 | 4. 40 | 5. 40 | 1.10 | 14. 90 | 7. 80 | 44 | 28.00 | 18.80 | 9.10 | . 10 | 9.0 | 4.6 | 4.4 | 5.7 | 4.8 |  |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 90 | 75.40 | 26.40 | 8.00 | 9.40 | 5.70 | 4. 60 | 12. 50 | 8.80 | 57 | ${ }^{36.80}$ | 20.20 | 14. 90 | 1.70 | 21.7 | 5.5 | 16.2 | 5.4 | 4.3 | 1.1 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499. | 100 | 90. 20 | 25.80 | 7.20 | 25. 50 | 3. 80 | . 80 | 15. 50 | 11. 60 | 52 | 45.30 | 37.10 | 7.70 | . 50 | 20.4 | 11.7 | 8.7 | 7.4 | 7.4 |  |
|  | 100 | 104.20 120 | 34.60 28.10 | 10.50 11.90 | 12.20 | 10.00 10.40 10 | $\begin{array}{r}2.30 \\ \hline \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 16.50 17.00 | 18.10 38.10 | 84 66 | 119.60 71.90 | 92.60 50.70 | 26. 20 | . 80 | 16.4 | 12.1 | 9.1 4.3 | 6.9 10.8 10.8 | 6. 10.5 10.5 | . 3 |
| \$5,000 and over. | 100 | 164. 10 | 46.00 | 11. 40 | 38.70 | 18.70 | 4.50 | 12.90 | 31. 90 | 85 | 375. 60 | 274.30 | 96.70 | 4.60 | 71.7 | 44.3 | 27.4 | 17.8 | 17.5 | . 3 |
| Family types IV and V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 |  | ( $\dagger$ |  | ${ }^{(t)}$ | ${ }^{(+)}$ | ( $\dagger$ ) |  |  | ${ }^{(\dagger)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-8749- | ${ }_{30}^{41}$ | 43. 20 | 9.80 6.40 | 5.80 8.00 | 3.60 .20 | 2.80 3.00 | 1.00 | 14.40 9.10 | 5. 80 5.70 |  |  | 1.40 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  | 1.4 |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 28 | 39. 50 | 7.10 | 8.10 | . 60 | 4.00 | . 80 | 13. 50 | 5. 40 | 10 | 1.00 | 1.80 | . 20 |  | 2 | .1 | . 1 | 1.1 | . 6 | . 5 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 59 | 50.20 | 14.90 | 9.30 | 60 | 4.30 | 60 | 14. 20 | 6. 30 | 7 | 1.10 |  | 1.10 |  | 2 | 1 | . | . 6 | . 3 | . 3 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 74 | 57.20 | 19.00 | 8.80 | 3.40 | 4.00 | 50 | 13. 20 | 8.30 | 6 | 1.80 |  | 1.80 |  | 1.0 |  | 1.0 | 5 |  | .$^{4}$ |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 79 | 63. 40 | 19.10 | 8. 40 | 5. 50 | 5.00 | 3. 40 | 13.80 | 8. 20 | 14 | 6. 70 | 4.30 | 2. 40 |  | 3.6 | 2.0 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.2 |  |
| \$2,000-\$2,249- | 93 85 | 69.40 72.60 | 24.90 22.20 | 10.70 10.80 | 1.50 7.40 | 6. 20 5.30 | 1.10 1.20 | 14.30 16.00 | 10.70 9.70 | $\begin{array}{r}9 \\ 19 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 2. 10 14.50 | 7.40 | 1.70 |  | 1.9 | . 2 | 1.7 3.0 | 1.5 | $\stackrel{4}{3}$ | ${ }^{(* *)}$ |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 100 | 78.20 | 28.00 | 9. 30 | 7.90 | 6. 40 | . 70 | 16.10 | 9.80 | 23 | 26. 90 | 17.00 | 9.90 |  | 7.6 | 6.2 | 1.4 | 3.3 | 3.0 | . 3 |
| \$3,000-83,499 | 100 | 131.80 | 46. 30 | 8. 10 | 35. 10 | 9.00 | 1.10 | 18.30 | 13. 90 | 73 | 104.30 | 58.30 | 46. 00 |  | 34.2 | 7.7 | 26.5 | 4.9 | 4.8 | 1 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 89 | 86.10 | 25. 20 | 11.60 | 10. 30 | 8.40 | . 20 | 18.00 | 12.40 | 27 | ${ }^{21.00}$ | 6.70 | 14. 30 |  | 9.8 | 2.4 | 7.4 | 2.2 | 2.0 | $\cdot 2$ |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 94 100 | 89.90 170.00 | 29.00 | 10.80 12.40 | 8.30 41.10 | 7.90 12.30 | .50 2.40 | 18.80 32.70 | 14.60 35.90 | 61 100 | 78.40 339.60 | 62.30 240.40 | 15.80 96.80 | $\begin{array}{r} .30 \\ \mathbf{2} .40 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 19.1 86.5 | 12.4 33.6 | 6.7 52.9 | 7.6 14.4 | 5.1 <br> 13.6 | 2.5 .8 |

Table 8.-Other household operation: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for telephone, average expenditure for items of general household operation, percentage of families reporting expenditure for paid household help and average amount of such expenditure, average number of weeks help employed for all families, and average number of meals per week furnished help, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1995-86-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
NEW ENGLAND, 4 SMALL CITIES: WHITE FAMILIES


[^126][^127]**Averages of less than 0.05 are not shown.

Table 8.--Other household operation: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for telephone, average expenditure for items of general household operation, percentage of families reporting expenditure for paid household help and average amount of such expenditure, average number of weeks help employed for all families, and average number of meals per week furnished help, by family type and income, in 1
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
NEW ENGLAND, 4 SMALL CITIES: WHITE FAMILIES


Table 8.-Other household operation: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for telephone, average expenditure for items of general household operation, percentage of families reporting expenditure for paid household help and average amount of such expenditure, average number of weeks help employed for all families, and average number of meals per week furnished help, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1995-96-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
EAST CENTRAL, 5 SMALL CITIES, WHITE FAMILIES


1 See explanation of tables.
*Averages of less than $\$ 0.05$ are not shown.

[^128]Table 8.-Other household operation: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for telephone, average expenditure for items of general household operation, percentage of families reporting expenditure for paid household help and average amount of such expenditure, average number of weeks help employed for all families, and average number of meals per week furnished help, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
EAST CENTRAL, 5 SMALL CITIES: WHITE FAMILIES

| Family type and income class <br> (1) | General household operation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Paid household help 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage of families reporting expenditure for telephone <br> (2) | Average expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Percentage of families reporting expenditure <br> (11) | A verage expenditure |  |  |  | Average weeks help employed |  |  | Avcrage meals furnished per week |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Laun- | Laun- | Sta- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | dry | dry | tion- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | items | phone | supplies | sent out | ery, etc. | press, etc. | rent | Other |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { paid } \\ & \text { help } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { time } \\ & \text { help } \end{aligned}$ | time | $\underset{\text { gifts to }}{\text { help }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { paid } \\ & \text { help } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { time } \\ & \text { help } \end{aligned}$ | time <br> help | paid | $\begin{aligned} & \text { time } \\ & \text { help } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { time } \\ & \text { help } \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) |  | (12) | (13) | (14) | (15) | (16) | (17) | (18) | (19) | (20) | (21) |
| Family type II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 |  | \$22. 30 |  | \$6.00 | \$11.00 | \$0. 70 |  |  | \$4. 60 | 33 | \$2.00 | \$2.00 |  |  | 0.7 | 0.7 |  | 7.0 | 7.0 |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 3 | 19.50 | \$1.00 | 10.00 |  | 1.60 |  | \$3.70 | 3. 20 | 10 | 3.70 | 3. 70 |  |  | 1.2 | 1.2 |  | 1.9 | 1.9 |  |
| \$750-\$999 | 18 | 21.20 | 3.20 | 8.80 | 1. 20 | 1.30 | \$2. 20 | 2. 00 | 2.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 12 | 30.80 | 1.70 | 11.90 | 2.90 | 2.30 | . 20 | 5.60 | 6.20 | 13 | 3.20 | 1. 10 | \$2.10 |  | 1. 1 | . 3 | 0.8 | 2.1 | 1.6 | 0.5 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 22 | 40.50 | 4.90 | 11. 70 | 9. 10 | 2.80 | . 20 | 6.90 | 4.90 | 21 | 9.50 | 8.40 | 1. 10 |  | 5.2 | 4.5 | . 7 | 2. 4 | 2.4 |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 49 | 53.50 | 14.30 | 12.20 | 6.80 | 3.70 | 1.10 | 9.50 | 5. 90 | 27 | 12. 40 | 4. 20 | 8.20 |  | 5.8 | 1.4 | 4.4 | 3.1 | 2.8 | . 3 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 72 | 66.30 | 19.20 | 8.40 | 13.50 | 5.00 | 2.00 | 10.90 | 7.30 | 34 | 19. 60 | 10. 20 | 9.40 |  | 11. 1 | 3.5 | 7.6 | 3. 6 | 3.2 | . 4 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 74 | 72. 20 | 22. 60 | 12. 60 | 13.10 | 3.60 | 1. 10 | 12.70 | 6.50 | 29 | 15. 20 | 12.40 | 2.90 |  | 6.0 | 3. 9 | 2. 1 | 3.9 | 3.8 | . 1 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 76 | 78. 80 | 23.70 | 9.70 | 16.90 | 4.50 | 4. 70 | 12.30 | 7.00 | 22 | 17.00 | 11.90 | 5. 10 |  | 6.5 | 2.9 | 3.6 | 2.1 | 1.4 | . 7 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999. | 88 | 98.90 | 31.80 | 10.80 | 32.20 | 4.10 | . 50 | 13.10 | 6.40 | 44 | 44.90 | 31. 20 | 13.50 | \$0.20 | 19.7 | 6.6 | 13.1 | 6.8 | 5. 6 | 1. 2 |
| \$3,000 and over. | 88 | 126.90 | 32.70 | 13.60 | 45.50 | 8.50 | . 10 | 19.90 | 6. 60 | 60 | 84.50 | 78.60 | 5.90 |  | 26.3 | 21.6 | 4.7 | 10.3 | 10.1 | . 2 |
| Family type III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499. |  | 12.60 |  | 6.30 |  | 1.00 | 1.80 |  | 3.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 8 | 26.40 | 1.90 | 10.10 | 4.20 | 1.90 | . 10 | 4.00 | 4.20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$999 | 21 | 26.50 | 4.00 | 11.80 | . 80 | 1.30 | . 20 | 5.80 | 2. 60 | 14 | 1.80 | 1.80 |  |  | . 4 | .4 |  | 2.9 | 2.9 |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 4 | 27.70 | 1.60 | 9.40 | 4. 50 | 1.60 | . 70 | 5. 70 | 4.20 | 5 | 1. 40 | . 60 | . 80 | (*) | . 9 | .1 | . 8 | . 8 | . 5 | . 3 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 32 | 43.50 | 7.80 | 11.80 | 7.30 | 3.00 | . 90 | 8.70 | 4.00 | 12 | 15.00 | 14.00 | . 90 | . 10 | 5. 9 | 4.7 | 1. 2 | 2.1 | 2.1 |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 42 | 48. 50 | 10.10 | 12.80 | 5. 20 | 3. 30 | . 40 | 11. 20 | 5.50 | 6 | 6.80 | 6. 80 |  |  | 2.7 | 2.4 | . 3 | 1.7 | 1. 2 | ${ }^{5}$ |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 66 | 63. 40 | 17.00 | 13. 29 | 8.90 | 2. 90 | 1. 20 | 12.80 | 7.40 | 14 | 6.50 | 5. 70 | . 80 |  | 2.5 | 1.4 | 1.1 | 1.6 | 1.6 | (**) |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 87 | 72.30 | 23.40 | 12.30 | 11. 60 | 3.30 | 1.10 | 13.10 | 7.50 | 31 | 25.20 | 15.80 | 9.30 | . 10 | 7.3 | 4. 6 | 2.7 | 4. 2 | 3.4 | . 8 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499. | 89 | 68.80 | 23.60 | 13.70 | 5.40 | 4. 30 |  | 14. 60 | 7.20 | 30 | 20.20 | 14.50 | 5.70 |  | 9.7 | 3.0 | 6.7 | 3.3 | 2.9 | . 4 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 74 | 75.00 | 18. 40 | 13.00 | 17.20 | 4.40 | 1.80 | 11.50 | 8. 70 | 42 | 61. 20 | 131.40 | 29.30 | . 50 | 14.7 | 6.3 | 8.4 | 6.6 | 3.9 | 2.7 |
| \$3,000 and over. | 100 | 130.30 | 29.30 | 17.20 | 33.60 | 8.30 | 2.80 | 23.90 | 15.20 | 100 | 179.70 | 141.50 | 36. 20 | 2.00 | 51.8 | 31.4 | 20.4 | 14.8 | 14.6 | . 2 |

${ }^{1}$ See explanation of tables.
*Averages of less than $\$ 0.05$ are not shown.
**Averages of less than 0.05 are not shown.

Table 8.--Other household operation: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for telephone, average expenditure for items of general household operation, percentage of families reporting expenditure for paid household help and average amount of such expenditure, average number of weeks help employed for all families, and average number of meals per week furnished help, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
east central, 5 small cities: white families

| Family type and income class <br> (1) | General household operation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Paid household help t |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage of families reporting expenditure for telephone <br> (2) | Average expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Percentage of families reporting expenditure <br> (11) | A verage expenditure |  |  |  | Average weeks help employed |  |  | A verage meals furnished per week |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Laun- | Laun- | Sta- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | All | Tele- | dry | dry | tion- | $\underset{\text { press, }}{\text { Ex- }}$ | Water | Other |  | paid | Full- | Part- | Aprons, gifts to | paid | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Full- } \\ & \text { time } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Part- } \\ & \text { time } \end{aligned}$ | paid | Fime | $\xrightarrow{\text { Part- }}$ time |
|  |  | items | phone | sup- <br> plies |  | ery, etc. | etc. |  | Other |  | help | help | help | help | help | help | help | help | help | help |
|  |  | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) |  | (12) | (13) | (14) | (15) | (16) | (17) | (18) | (19) | (20) | (21) |
| Family type IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 | 14 | \$35. 50 | \$4.80 | \$7. 60 | \$11. 10 | \$0. 70 |  | \$5. 60 | \$5. 70 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 26 | 25. 40 | 5. 90 | 7.50 |  | 1. 20 |  | 7.30 | 3. 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$999 | 41 | 32.50 | 11.30 | 8.90 | . 80 | 1. 60 | \$0.70 | 5. 80 | 3. 40 | 2 | \$0.80 | \$0.80 |  |  | 0.2 | 0.2 |  | 0.4 | 0.4 |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 39 | 41. 60 | 10.00 | 11.30 | 3.70 | 1. 60 | 1.00 | 10.10 | 3.90 | $\stackrel{2}{5}$ | 4.10 | 4.10 |  |  | 1.2 | 1.2 |  | . 5 | . 5 |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 50 | 45. 50 | 13. 20 | 11.80 | 1. 40 | 2.00 | . 60 | 11. 20 | 5. 30 | 5 | 3. 60 | 3.30 | \$0.30 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | . 7 | . 6 |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 79 | 58. 10 | 19.20 | 12.90 | 6. 20 | 3. 40 | . 50 | 12.00 | 3.90 | 11 | 10. 60 |  | 10.60 |  | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | . 7 |  | 0.7 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 64 | 52.50 | 16.90 | 11. 30 | 2.20 | 3.70 | . 20 | 12.80 | 5. 40 | 6 | 4. 50 | 4.30 | . 20 |  | 1.1 | 1.1 | (**) | . 4 | . 4 |  |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 66 | 71. 90 | 19.00 | 11. 50 | 18.30 | 5. 50 | . 10 | 12. 20 | 5. 30 | 9 | 7.90 |  | 7. 90 | (*) | 3.8 |  | 3.8 | . 9 |  | 9 |
| \$2, 250-\$2,499 | 74 | 69.20 | 19.50 | 14.50 | 8.50 | 4.40 |  | 14. 10 | 8.20 | 24 | 27.00 | 17.80 | 9.10 | \$0.10 | 8.7 | 2.9 | 5.8 | 1. 6 | 1. 5 | . 1 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 94 | 84.10 | 28.20 | 13.80 | 12.70 | 5. 20 | . 70 | 15. 90 | 7.60 | 26 | 20.90 | 11. 20 | 9.70 |  | 6. 7 | 2.0 | 4.7 | 2. 6 | 2.2 | 4 |
| \$3,000 and over..... | 95 | 93.50 | 28.90 | 11.20 | 22.70 | 6.60 | . 20 | 15.70 | 8.20 | 43 | 31.30 | 17.30 | 14.00 |  | 11.7 | 4.9 | 6.8 | 3.0 | 2.6 | , |
| Family type V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 | 50 | (t) | $\dagger \dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ ) |  | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 16 | 25. 80 | 3. 60 | 8.90 |  | 1.60 | . 60 | 6.50 | 4. 60 | 13 | . 40 |  | . 40 |  | . 1 |  | . 1 | 2.8 |  | 2.8 |
| \$750-\$999 | 10 | 22.50 | . 20 | 9.70 |  | 1.00 | . 70 | 7.50 | 3. 40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 27 | 30. 50 | 5.50 | 11.70 | . 10 | 1.90 | . 30 | 6.30 | 4.70 | 7 | 4.00 | . 30 | 3.70 |  | . 6 | . 1 | . 5 | 1.5 | . 3 | 1.2 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 16 | 42. 20 | 4.40 | 13. 50 | 3.30 | 3.00 | . 20 | 11. 90 | 5.90 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 54 | 56.80 | 13.50 | 15. 30 | 3.00 | 3.60 | . 70 | 12.50 | 8.20 | 11 | 2.00 | 1.10 | . 90 |  | 1.0 | . 6 | . 4 | 1. 6 | 1.3 | 3 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 56 | 56. 20 | 15.90 | 14.70 | 6.50 | 2.50 | . 40 | 9.90 | 6.30 | 24 | 10.90 | 1. 90 | 9.00 |  | 2.6 | . 8 | 1.8 | 2.4 | 1.7 | 7 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 63 70 | 65.50 | 17.30 | 17.00 | 5. 30 | 3. 60 | $\begin{array}{r}.20 \\ \hline 1.80\end{array}$ | 13.30 11.60 | 8.80 | 88 | 1.60 20.40 |  | 1.60 |  | 2.2 5.7 |  | 2.2 | 2.1 |  | . 1 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 70 | 67.50 | 19.90 | 19.10 | 3.60 | 4.50 | 1. 80 | 11.60 | 7.00 | 11 | 20.40 | $20.40$ |  |  | 5.7 | 5.7 |  | 2.3 | 2.3 |  |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 81 92 | 74.00 | 21. 60 | 14.00 | 1. 39.90 | 5.10 4.10 | 1.80 1.70 | 19.10 17.30 | 11.80 9.70 | 24 | 8.40 31.00 | 17. 40 | 6.90 13.60 | . 10 | 5.9 8.0 | 2. ${ }^{\text {. }}$ 2 | 5. 6 5.8 | 2.7 2.6 | 2.1 | .6 |
| \$3,000 and over.- | 92 | 112.80 | 26.50 | 13.60 | 39.90 | 4.10 | 1. 70 | 17.30 | 9.70 | 24 | 31.00 | 17. 40 | 13.60 |  | 8.0 | 2.2 | 5.8 | 2.6 | 1.7 | . 9 |

*Averages of less than $\$ 0.05$ are not shown.

Table 8.-Other household operation: Percentage of families reporting expenditure for telephone, average expenditure for items of general household operation, percentage of families reporting expenditure for paid household help and average amount of such expenditure, average number of weeks help emplayed for all families, and average number of meals per week furnished help, by family type and income, in 1 year, 1995-96-Continued
[Nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]
EAST CENTRAL, 5 SMALL CITIES: WHITE FAMILIES


1 See explanation of tables.
$\dagger$ Averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases

## Supplement to Tabular Summary

Table A.-Median incomes and percentage distribution by income of families represented by the expenditure data

| City and color | Median income | Percentage of families represented by the expenditure data |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { All fam- } \\ & \text { ilies } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Under } \\ & \$ 1,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \$ 1,000- \\ \$ 1,499 \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 1.500- \\ \$ 1,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 2,000- \\ & \$ 2,499 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \$ 2,500 \\ \$ 2,999 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 3,000- \\ \$ 3,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 4,000- \\ \$ 4,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 5,000$ and over |
| White families |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metropolises: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New York | \$2, 121 | 100.0 | 14.9 | 16.3 | 23.0 | 19.8 | 14. 4 | 13.0 | 2. 6 | 6. 0 |
| Chicago. | 1,860 | 100.0 | ${ }^{1} 10.0$ | 21.8 | 25.5 | 19.0 | 9.1 | 8.8 | 3.1 | 2. 7 |
| Large cities: Providence | 1,607 | 100.0 | 116.4 | 28.7 | 23.2 | 14.1 | 7.1 | 4.9 | 1.9 | 3.7 |
| Columbus. | 1.815 | 100.0 | 110.6 | 23.8 | 24.8 | 16.5 | 9.7 | 9.4 | 2.5 | 2.7 |
| Atlanta. | 1,900 | 100.0 | 111.5 | 18.8 | 24.4 | 19.8 | 11.8 | 6.7 | 3.0 | 4.0 |
| Omaha-Coun |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bluffis--------- | 1,745 | 100.0 | 111.2 | 25.8 | 25.1 | 17.9 | 10. 1 | 5. 1 | 2.2 | 2.6 |
| Denver | 1,785 | 100.0 | ${ }^{1} 111.6$ | 23.9 | 24.3 | 17.4 | 9.6 | 5. 6 | 3.3 | 4.3 |
| Portland Middle-sized cities: | 1,742 | 100.0 | ${ }^{1} 10.6$ | 25.2 | 28.2 | 18.1 | 9.1 | 4.3 | 2.2 | 2.3 |
| New England | 1,467 | 100.0 | ${ }^{2} 19.5$ | 32.6 | 23.4 | 14.0 | 3.9 | 3.2 | 1.8 | 1.6 |
| East Central | 1,510 | 100.0 | ${ }^{2} 17.3$ | 32.3 | 24.1 | 14.6 | 3.4 | 4.3 | 1.8 | 2.2 |
| Southeast. | 1,675 | 100.0 | ${ }^{2} 19.0$ | 22.6 | 23.5 | 15.7 | 4.5 | 7.0 | 3.1 | 4.6 |
| West Central | 1,355 | 100.0 | ${ }^{2} 28.0$ | 29.7 | 22.5 | 12.2 | 2. 6 | 2.7 | 1.1 | 1.2 |
| Rocky Mountain | 1,610 | 100.0 | ${ }_{2}^{2} 13.1$ | 30.2 | 29.4 | 17.1 | 2.8 | 4.0 | 1.5 | 1.9 |
| Pacific Northwest | 1,477 | 100.0 | 216.5 | 35.0 | 26. 1 | 13.9 | 3.0 | 2.8 | 1.2 | 1.5 |
| Small cities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New England | 1,502 | 100.0 | ${ }^{2} 17.0$ | 32.9 | 24. 6 | 14.5 | 3.1 | 37.9 3 | ${ }^{(3)}$ | (3) |
| East Central | 1,450 | 100.0 | ${ }^{2} 22.3$ | 30.1 | 22. 3 | 12.3 | 6.1 | ${ }^{3} 6.9$ | ${ }^{(3)}$ | ${ }^{(3)}$ |
| Negro families |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Large cities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New York | 1,325 | 100.0 | 119.7 | 43.6 | 22.2 | 8.8 | 3.9 | ${ }^{3} 1.8$ | ${ }^{(3)}$ | ( ${ }^{8}$ |
| Columbus. | 1,000 | 100.0 | 249.7 | 38.9 | 8.4 | 1.9 | 1.1 | (4) | (4) | ( ${ }^{(1)}$ |
| Atlanta --- | 740 | 100.0 | 76.6 | 17.9 | 2. 1 | 1.9 | . 6 | . 6 | 5.3 | ( ${ }^{\text {( }}$ |
| Middle-sized cities: Southeast | 575 | 100.0 | 88.9 | 8.9 | . 8 | 1.1 | ${ }^{6} .3$ | ${ }^{6}$ ) | ( ${ }^{6}$ | ( ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |

[^129]
## Table B.-Total expenditure for housing ${ }^{1}$ as a percentage of total expenditure for family living, by occupational group and income class

[White families]

| Income class |  | New York |  |  |  |  |  | Chicago |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Wage earn- er | Clerical | Inde-pendent business | Inde-pendent professional | Salaried business | Salaried pro-fessiona | $\begin{array}{l\|l} \text { Wage } \\ \text { earn- } \\ \text { er } \end{array}$ | Clerical | Inde- <br> pendent business | Inde- <br> pendent professional | Sal. aried business | Salaried pro-fessional |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 |  | 34.7. |  |  |  |  |  | 35.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$999 |  | 34.8 | 37.0 |  |  |  |  | 31.8 | 31.9 |  |  |  |  |
| \$1, 000-\$1, 249 |  | 32.7 | 34.0 |  |  |  |  | 30.0 | 30.9 |  |  |  |  |
| \$1, 250-\$1, 499 |  | 29.8 | 30.3 | 35.4 | 29.0 |  | 34.2 | 27.8 | 31.1 | 34.2 | 34.2 | 30.3 | 29.6 |
| \$1,500-\$1, 749 |  | 29.8 | 29.7 | 28.8 | 28.2 | 31.3 | 29.6 | 27.5 | 27.9 | 28.3 | 30.9 | 28.9 | 29.9 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 |  | 26.5 | 29.1 | 31.8 | 26.1 | 29.3 | 29.9 | 26.8 | 27.7 | 28.1 | 30.7 | 26.9 | 27.1 |
| \$2, 000-\$2, 249 |  | 24.7 | 28.3 | 30.5 | 29.3 | 30.2 | 25.5 | 25. 2 | 26.4 | 27.7 | 24.4 | 425.3 | 26.8 |
| \$2, 200-\$2, 499 |  | 25.3 | 27.3 | 29.2 | 28.2 | 24.9 | 26.8 | 24.7 | 24.9 | 27.6 | 29.4 | 24.9 | 25.5 |
| \$2, 500-\$2,999 |  | 23.0 | 26.4 | 28.3 | 28.8 | 27.2 | 26.1 | 21.7 | 25.8 | 25.7 | 25.7 | 725.6 | 25.5 |
| \$3, 000-\$3, 499 |  | 21.4 | 23.6 | 28.2 | 27.2 | 22.9 | 23.3 | 20.7 | 23.5 | 26.2 | 23.0 | - 22.3 | 23.8 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999. |  | 21.7 | 22.3 | 23.4 | 21.2 | 24.1 | 21.8 | 23.0 | 22.2 | 20.2 | 24.6 | 624.6 | 21.6 |
| \$4, 000-\$4, 999 |  |  |  | 25.1 | 22.4 | 23.7 | 22.8 | 16.7 | 19.5 | 22.3 | 25.0 | 020.9 | 21.7 |
| \$5, 000-\$7, 499 |  |  |  | 22.0 | 21.7 | 21.2 | 21.1 |  |  | 21.8 | 21.2 | 20.7 | 18.0 |
| \$7, 500-\$9,909 |  |  |  | 25.4 | 23.7 | 20. 1 | 23.5 |  |  | 22.3 | 21.1 | 17.0 | 17.5 |
| \$10,000 and over |  |  |  | 21.0 | 18.3 | 17.7 | 24.7 |  |  | 12.7 | 15.4 | 19.2 | 21.5 |
|  | Providence |  |  |  |  |  |  | Columbus |  |  |  |  |  |
| Income class | Wage earner | Clerical |  | Independent business and professional | Sala- <br> ried <br> busi- <br> ness | Salaried professional |  | Wage earner | Clerical | Independent business and professional |  | Salaried business | Salaried professional |
| Under \$250. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749. | 32.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 33.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 25.3 |  | 29.2 | 26.4 | 27.2 |  | 7.2 | 26.7 | 29.4 |  | 28. 7 | 27.2 | 27.0 |
| \$1. 750-\$1,999_.- | 23.8 |  | 26.0 | 29.5 | 27.0 |  | 9.7 | 25.2 | 25.2 |  | 30.0 | 26.3 | 25.9 |
|  | 25.5 |  | 25.1 | 24.6 | 28.6 |  | 7.8 | 23.7 | 26.2 |  | 27.3 | 21.5 | 26.6 |
|  | 21.8 |  | 27.2 | 27.5 | - 25.7 |  | 9.2 | 21.4 | 23.9 |  | 28.6 | 23.6 | 25.6 |
| \$2, 500-\$2.999 | 23.2 |  | 25.2 | 26.6 | - 26.5 |  | 1.7 | 21.0 | 24.4 |  | 23.3 | 23.6 | 25.8 |
| $\$ 3,000-\$ 3,499$$\$ 3,500-\$ 3,999$ |  |  |  | 23.8 | - 25.0 |  | 9.5 | 20.8 | 24.0 |  | 26.0 | 22.0 | 25.2 |
|  |  |  |  | 26.1 | - 24.8 |  | 3.4 | 19.0 | 22.8 |  | 25. 2 | 24.6 | 23.8 |
| \$4, 000-\$4, 909 |  |  |  | 30.8 | - 20.7 |  | 7.6 |  | 20.3 |  | 22.6 | 22.3 | 24.4 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \$ 5,000-\$ 7,499 \\ & \$ 7,500 \text { and over } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | 24.8 | - 21.7 |  | 6.4 |  |  |  | 21.7 | 21.2 | 22.5 |
|  |  |  |  | 21.1 | 22.0 |  | 6.8 |  |  |  | 14.6 | 21.0 | 25.6 |

1 Includes all current money expenditures for the family home and for the vacation home (mortgage interest taxes, repairs, and insurance for owned homes, and rent forirented homes), and expenditures for lodging for family members a way from home, including rent at school. Expenditures for fuel, light, and refrigeration are combined with expenditures for housing, since rent paid by many families included one or more of these items. The net money value of the occupancy of owned homes, the value of rent received as pay or gift and the value of fuel obtained without money expense are also included.

Table B.-Total expenditure for housing as a percentage of total exenditure for family living, by occupational group and income class-Continued
[White families]

| Income class | Atlanta |  |  |  |  | Omaha-Council Blufis |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Wage earner | Clerical | Independent business and professional | Salaried business | Sala ried professional | Wage earner | Clerical | Independent business and professional | Salaried business | Sala- ried professional |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Under } \$ 250 \\ & \$ 250-\$ 499 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-749. | 26.3 |  |  |  |  | 31.9 |  |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$999 | 24.6 | 26.8 |  |  |  | 29.6 | 32.3 |  |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249..- | 23.5 | 23.0 |  |  |  | 29.8 | 30.6 |  |  |  |
| \$1, $250-\$ 1,499 . .-$ | 23.0 | 23.9 | 26.7 | 27.2 | 26.8 | 27.6 | 30.1 | 32.4 | 36.7 | 34.4 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 .- | 20.4 | 24.7 | 28.6 | 27.8 | 23.9 | 27.1 | 30.2 | 33.2 | 29.4 | 31.7 |
| \$1,750-\$1, 999 | 21.9 | 23. 2 | 23.3 | 23.4 | 24.8 | 25.9 | 29.0 | 30.8 | 25.9 | 28.5 |
| \$2,000-\$2, 249 | 19.9 | 22.4 | 21.8 | 23.1 | 22.8 | 25.3 | 28.3 | 26.6 | 25.0 | 29.8 |
| \$2, 250-\$2, 499 $\ldots$ | 21.3 | 21.8 | 23.8 | 22.2 | 23.6 | 28.0 | 26.4 | 25.4 | 26.5 | 31.0 |
| \$2, 500-\$2,999.. | 20.1 | 20.7 | 22.7 | 21.3 | 20.6 | 24.6 | 26.0 | 25.8 | 25.1 | 26.1 |
| \$3, 000-\$3,499. |  |  | 25. 3 | 20.2 | 21.0 |  |  | 24.8 | 23.5 | 27.8 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 |  |  | 22.9 | 21.6 | 21.7 |  |  | 24.9 | 22.7 | 23.4 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 |  |  | 23.7 | 20.3 | 20.6 |  |  | 26.4 | 22.7 | 27.7 |
| \$5, $000-\$ 7,499$ |  |  | 20.8 | 17. 2 | 18.1 |  |  | 21.9 | 18.5 | 22.5 |
| \$7, 500 and over- |  |  | 18.8 | 17.0 | 22.4 |  |  | 14.3 | 17.4 |  |
|  |  |  | Denver |  |  |  |  | Portland |  |  |
| Income class | Wage earner | Cleri- <br> cal | Independent business and professional | Salaried business | Salaried professional | Wage earner | Clerical | Independent business and professional | Sala- <br> ried <br> busi- <br> ness | Salaried professional |
| Under \$250... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499.......- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 32.7 |  |  |  |  | 27.3 |  |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$999 | 30.0 | 30.7 |  |  |  | 24.9 | 27.5 |  |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1, $249 \ldots$ | 26.0 | 26.4 |  |  |  | 24.6 | 23.7 |  |  |  |
| \$1, 250-\$1, 499.-- | 23.9 | 25.2 | 26.2 | 27.7 | 25. 2 | 22.1 | 25.7 | 29.1 | 26.4 | 25.3 |
| \$1, 500-\$1, 749... | 20.8 | 25.4 | 27.6 | 24.6 | 26. 5 | 20.5 | 22.7 | 26.1 | 21.6 | 22.0 |
| \$1, 750-\$1, 999... | 25.5 | 25.6 | 26.8 | 23.3 | 25.1 | 21.0 | 22.6 | 24.8 | 24.5 | 23.0 |
| \$2,000-\$2, 249... | 21.1 | 26.2 | 23.3 | 26.4 | 24.7 | 19.3 | 21.4 | 22.5 | 22.4 | 23.5 |
| \$2, 250-\$2, 499 | 23.0 | 23.8 | 27.2 | 24.3 | 25.7 | 19.9 | 20.8 | 21.4 | 23.7 | 24.3 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999.-. | 22.6 | 22.6 | 24.2 | 24.2 | 24.5 | 18.5 | 20.1 | 23.0 | 21.8 | 23.0 |
| \$3, 000-\$3, 499..- |  |  | 27.8 | 24.4 | 23.4 |  |  | 22.6 | 20.7 | 21.3 |
| \$3, 500-\$3, 999 |  |  | 25.7 | 20.3 | 21.8 |  |  | 20.6 | 19.6 | 20.3 |
| \$4,000-\$4, 999... |  |  | 26.9 | 23.4 | 22.4 |  |  | 20.4 | 19.4 | 20.0 |
| \$5, 000-\$7, 499 |  |  | 21.1 | 23.2 | 20.1 |  |  | 19.3 | 18.5 | 21. 6 |
| \$7, 500 and over- |  |  | 23.9 | 14.2 | 24.4 |  |  | 17.3 | 18.2 | 16.6 |

Table C.-Total expenditure for housing ${ }^{1}$ as a percentage of total expenditure for family living, by family type ${ }^{2}$ and income class
[White families in the East Central region]

| Income class | Columbus |  |  |  |  |  |  | Middle-sized cities ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | I | II | III | IV | V | VI | VII | I | II | III | IV | V | VI | VII |
| \$250-\$499. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 37.9 | 35.6 | 39.9 | 26.8 | 31.8 | 21.5 |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 35.9 | 33.5 | 27.5 | 38.1 | 29.8 | 27.2 |  | 38.3 | 28. 4 | 29.0 | 39.1 | 30.0 | 30.3 | 22.0 |
| \$750-\$999 ...-- | 34.5 | 29.6 | 31.6 | 36.8 | 30.3 | 31.1 | 28.7 | 35.6 | 28.5 | 24.3 | 30.2 | 30.9 | 28.1 | 23.9 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 . | 31.5 | 29.5 | 27. 1 | 32.1 | 26.2 | 28.5 | 28.5 | 32.4 | 27.3 | 27.6 | 34.1 | 27.4 | 29.0 | 25.7 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 .. | 31.9 | 27.2 | 28.1 | 25.7 | 26.3 | 25.6 | 26.1 | 28.6 | 26.4 | 26.1 | 28.7 | 26.4 | 25.1 | 26.0 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 .- | 30.7 | 26.1 | 26.9 | 26.9 | 27.3 | 24.2 | 22.9 | 30.8 | 25.3 | 22.9 | 27.4 | 26.0 | 25.5 | 21.8 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 26.3 | 24.6 | 26.1 | 27.0 | 26.2 | 23.9 | 23.1 | 25.4 | 24.9 | 25.0 | 28.8 | 26.8 | 25.6 | 21.6 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 25.1 | 25.5 | 22.9 | 25.4 | 25.9 | 23.6 | 20.4 | 25.6 | 25.9 | 26.9 | 26.1 | 22.4 | 25.0 | 19.9 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499.- | 23.6 | 22.9 | 24.1 | 24.9 | 22.4 | 24.9 | 21.5 | 25.6 | 24.6 | 25.1 | 26.6 | 24.7 | 21.6 | 27.2 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999.- | 24.2 | 23.7 | 23.2 | 22.9 | 22.7 | 22.7 | 20.4 | 25.4 | 26.5 | 25.1 | 26.4 | 25.2 | 24.5 | 23.5 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 25.4 | 22.8 | 22.8 | 24.0 | 19.0 | 25.2 | 20.3 | 24.3 | 24.1 | 25.0 | 24.7 | 26.7 | 23.0 | 22.2 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 27.4 | 20.6 | 24.1 | 23.4 | 20.5 | 20.0 | 16. 2 | 29.4 | 25.0 | 22.5 | 22.7 | 23.5 | 25.7 | (5) |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 22.7 | 24.4 | 21.9 | 23.5 | 20.9 | 18.7 | 21.3 | 27.1 | 24.8 | 23.3 | 23.7 | 22.0 | 22.4 |  |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 25.5 | 23.0 | 19.5 | 20.5 | 20.5 | ${ }^{(6)}$ | 18.4 | 23.0 | 19.6 | 22.0 | 22.6 | 22.5 | 22.4 | 14.5 |
| over-..... | 25.6 | (b) | (b) | 10.9 | 8.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Sm | 11 cities |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | I | II |  | III | IV | V | V |  | VII |
| \$250-\$499 |  |  |  |  |  | 39.6 | 25.2 |  | 28.9 | 34.9 |  | ( |  | (5) |
| \$500-\$749 |  |  |  |  | - | 51.3 | 26.4 |  | 28.6 | 35.0 | 31.2 |  |  | 23.2 |
| \$750-\$999 |  |  |  |  |  | 28.9 | 25.7 |  | 24.4 | 29.6 | 29.6 |  |  | 25.8 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 |  |  |  |  |  | 30.7 | 23.2 |  | 22.3 | 29.4 | 24.5 |  |  | 24.3 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 |  |  |  |  |  | 23.9 | 23.6 |  | 23.9 | 26.9 | 23.3 |  |  | 20.9 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 |  |  |  |  |  | 28.0 | 24.1 |  | 23.6 | 23.6 | 23.1 |  |  | 20.2 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 |  |  |  |  |  | 24. 5 | 22.8 |  | 23.8 | 26.2 | 21.7 |  |  | 19.6 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 |  |  |  |  |  | 23.5 | 20.2 |  | 22.9 | 22.3 | 22.3 |  | 8 | 20.6 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 |  |  |  |  |  | 21.8 | 22.1 |  | 23.0 | 20.7 | 19.3 |  |  | 19.5 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 |  |  |  |  |  | 23.5 | 22.8 |  | 21.8 | 19.9 | 20.1 |  |  | 17.6 |
| \$3,000 and over |  |  |  |  |  | 21. 9 | 21.3 |  | 20.4 | 20.5 | 15.4 |  |  | 16.5 |

${ }^{1}$ Includes all current money expenditures for the family home and for the vacation home (mortgage interest, taxes, repairs, and insurance for owned homes, and rent for rented homes), and expenditures for lodging for family members away from home, including rent at school. Expenditures for fuel, light, and refrigeration are combined with expenditures for housing, since rent paid by many families included one or more of these items. The net money value of the occupancy of owned homes, the value of rent received as pay or gift, and the value of fuel obtained without money expense are also included.
The 7 family types are distinguished on the basis of the number and age of members other than husband and wife as follows:

I No other persons (families of 2 persons).
II 1 child under 16 (families of 3 persons).
III 2 children under 16 (families of 4 persons).
IV 1 person 16 or over and 1 or no other person, regardless of age (families of 3 or 4 persons).
$V 1$ child under 16, 1 person 16 or over, and 1 or 2 others, regardless of age (families of 5 or 6 persons).
VI 3 or 4 children under 16 (families of 5 or 6 persons).
VII 1 child under 16, and 4 or 5 others, regardless of age (families of 7 or 8 persons).
${ }^{3}$ Springfield, III., Muncie, and New Castle.
4 Beaver Falls, Connellsville, Logansport, Mattoon, and Peru.
s A verages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

Table D.-Total yearly expenditure for housing, ${ }^{1}$ by income class 1. WHITE FAMILIES IN METROPOLISES AND LARGE CITIES

| Income class | New York | Chicago | Providence | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Colum- } \\ & \text { bus } \end{aligned}$ | Atlanta | OmahaCouncil Bluff | Denver | Portland |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All families... | 656 | 516 | 494 | 487 | 453 | 501 | 490 | 409 |
| Under \$250. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749. | \$377 | \$312 | \$265 | \$262 | \$178 | \$288 | \$266 | \$233 |
| \$750-\$899 | 396 | 330 | 287 | 308 | 252 | 299 | 294 | 253 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 417 | 365 | 350 | 350 | 291 | 369 | 311 | 281 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 450 | 413 | 383 | 391 | 339 | 418 | 364 | 340 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 502 | 456 | 442 | 436 | 378 | 462 | 401 | 353 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 539 | 501 | 482 | 459 | 435 | 496 | 468 | 406 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 588 | 527 | 551 | 501 | 447 | 532 | 497 | 435 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 621 | 574 | 571 | 529 | 508 | 579 | 543 | 480 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 684 | 622 | 661 | 560 | 527 | 616 | 587 | 521 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 747 | 654 | 780 | 645 | 635 | 712 | 731 | 615 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 805 | 734 | 787 | 715 | 736 | 764 | 772 | 658 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999. | 1,017 | 794 | 994 | 789 | 799 | 937 | 892 | 734 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 1,212 | 976 | 1,208 | 1,026 | 920 | 965 | 1,058 | 886 |
| \$7,500-\$9,909 | 1. 807 | 1,317 | 1, 739 | 1.819 | 1,380 | 1,294 | 1,634 | 1,342 |
| \$10,000 and over | 2. 868 | 1,885 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

2. WHITE FAMILIES IN MIDDLE-SIZED AND SMALL CITIES

| Income class | New EnglandHaverhill and New Britain | South eastMobile and Columbia | East <br> Central- <br> Spring- <br> field, <br> Muncie, and New Castle | West <br> Central- <br> Springfield and Dubuque | Rocky <br> Moun- <br> tain- <br> Butte and Pueblo | Pacific North-westAberdeen, Bellingham, and Everett | New England small cities ${ }^{2}$ | East Central small cities ${ }^{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under \$250. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499. | \$302 | \$195 | \$216 | \$221 | \$204 | \$182- | \$268 | \$222 |
| \$500-\$749 | 298 | 201 | 261 | 245 | 243 | 245 | 323 | 241 |
| \$750-\$909 | 326 | 256 | 287 | 253 | 277 | 222 | 311 | 250 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 366 | 296 | 348 | 311 | 310 | 268 | 375 | 311 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 406 | 334 | 374 | 360 | 351 | 267 | 391 | 332 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 450 | 394 | 415 | 394 | 370 | 308 | 482 | 386 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 518 | 434 | 455 | 427 | 439 | 374 | 492 | 424 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 528 | 472 | 496 | 466 | 454 | 383 | 527 | 443 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499. | 562 | 498 | 535 | 520 | 495 | 427 | 568 | 457 |
| \$2,500-\$2,989 | 610 | 612 | 626 | 603 | 618 | 493 | 652 | 519 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 722 | 630 | 676 | 628 | 642 | 563 | 809 | 605 |
| \$3,500- $\$ 3,909$ | 734 | 696 | 763 | 654 | 716 | 642 |  |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 751 | 802 | 828 | 805 | 747 | R10 |  |  |
| \$5,000 and over | 1,577 | 1,123 | 952 | 912 | 934 | 1,050 |  |  |

3. NEGRO FAMILIES

| Income class | New York | Columbus | Atlanta | Mobile and Columbia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under \$250. |  |  | \$137 | \$111 |
| \$250-\$499 |  | \$219 | 163 | 135 |
| \$500-\$749 | \$340 | 261 | 187 | 158 |
| \$750-\$999 | 372 | 298 | 221 | 198 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 427 | 314 | 274 | 254 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 451 | 341 | 305 | 271 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 491 | 373 | 350 | 332 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 562 | 471 | 401 | 358 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 598 | 474 | 445 | 351 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 670 | 576 | 464 | 465 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999. | 688 | 485 | 464 | 430 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 1,180 |  | 484 | ---...----. |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 |  |  | 470 | -...-....-- |
| \$4,000 and over |  |  | 667 | ----------- |

[^130]Table E.-Rent received as pay or gift, as a proportion of total expenditure for housing, by occupational group and income class
[White families]

| Income class | New York City |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Wage earner | Clerical | Independent business | Independent professional | Salaried business | Salaried professional |
| Under \$250. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 4.2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$999 | 7.7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 6.4 | 1.0 |  |  | -- |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499... | ${ }_{2}^{6.6}$ | 1.2 | 0.4 |  |  |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749- | 2.7 |  | 1.8 |  | 1.1 | 3.4 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 1.3 | . 2 |  |  |  | 3.4 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 2.2 |  |  |  | 5.4 | 2 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999- |  | 2.7 3.2 |  |  |  | 2.7 |
| \$3,500-83,999.. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$4,000-\$1,999.. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$5,000-\$7,499- |  |  |  |  | 2.3 | 5.4 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 |  |  |  |  |  | 9.3 |
| \$10,000 and over. |  |  |  |  | . 4 |  |

Table F.-Proportion of families reporting ownership of family home, by occupational group and income class ${ }^{1}$
[Nonrelief white families containing husband and wife both native born]

| Income class | Chicago |  |  | Providence |  |  | Columbus |  |  | Atlanta |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Wage earner | Olerical | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { Busi- } \\ \text { ness } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { profes- } \\ \text { sional } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Wage } \\ \text { earn- } \\ \text { er } \end{gathered}$ | Clerical | Business and professional | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Wage } \\ & \text { earn- } \\ & \text { er } \end{aligned}$ | Clerical | Business and professional | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Wage } \\ & \text { earn. } \\ & \text { er } \end{aligned}$ | Clerical | Busi ness and professional |
| All families.... | 21 | 20 | 25 | 14 | 20 | 35 | 35 | 37 | 48 | 29 | 32 | 42 |
| Under \$250 . . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 15 | 10 | 21 | 10 |  | 24 | 24 | 42 | 32 | 12 | 23 | 28 |
|  | 13 | 16 | 26 | 7 | 5 | ${ }^{23}$ | 22 | 26 | 39 | 6 | 13 | 23 |
| \$750-\$74999----- | 12 | 7 | 22 | 5 | 6 | 17 | 20 | 19 | 39 | 12 | 14 | 33 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 13 | 11 | 19 | 7 | 6 | 23 | 21 | 20 | 36 | 13 | 14 | 28 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499-- | 15 | 13 | 27 | 11 | 14 | 18 | 31 | 26 | 39 | 22 | 20 | 32 |
|  | 18 | 15 | 22 | 13 | 14 | 21 | 34 | 27 | 37 | 26 | 24 | 31 |
| \$1,500-\$1,49 | 21 | 16 | 18 | 17 | 23 | 22 | 42 | 33 | 36 | 35 | 19 | 31 |
| \$2,000-\$2,499.- | 29 | 22 | 18 | 36 | 26 | 27 | 50 | 42 | 44 | 49 | 34 | 40 |
|  | 39 | 33 | 28 | 41 | 37 | 34 | 57 | 55 | 57 | 58 | 46 | 41 |
| \$ $\$ 3,5000-\$ 4.999$. | 50 | 34 | 28 | 41 | 44 | 46 | 63 | 54 | 56 | 68 | 53 | 51 |
| $\$ 5,000{ }^{2} \mathrm{and}$ | 69 | 36 | 35 | ${ }^{(2)}$ | $\left({ }^{2}\right)$ | 69 | (9) | 57 | 73 | ${ }^{(2)}$ | 64 | 66 |
| Income class | Omaha-Council Bluff |  |  |  | Denver |  |  |  | Portland |  |  |  |
| All families.-.-.-. - | 44 | 4 | 45 | 54 |  | 36 | 38 | 47 |  | 48 | 47 | 54 |
| Under \$250... \$250-\$499 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 29 |  | (2) |  |  | 24 |  | 33 |  | 43 |  |  |
| \$500-8749-..--- | 2719 |  | 29 | 55 |  | 20 | 28 | 36 |  | 35 | 30 | 47 |
| \$750-8999 |  |  | 22 | 45 |  | 22 | 22 | 47 |  | 31 | 28 | 50 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 . | $\begin{aligned} & 18 \\ & 32 \\ & 44 \end{aligned}$ |  | 26 | 31 |  | 28 | 16 | 33 |  | 35 | 30 | 36 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499.- |  |  | 37 | 51 |  | 35 | 30 | 42 |  | 48 | 45 | 54 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749.- | 48 |  | 37 | 48 |  | 36 | 33 | 34 |  | 51 | 42 | 42 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999-- | 53 |  | 40 | 37 |  | 37 | 28 | 35 |  | 56 | 39 | 44 |
| \$2,000-\$2,499-- | 6468 |  |  | 48 |  | 61 | 49 | 39 |  | 65 | 57 | 57 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999-- |  |  | 67 | 62 |  | 65 | 53 | 54 |  | 74 | 64 | 65 |
| \$3,000-\$4,999 - |  | 70 | 64 | 60 |  | 62 | 55 | 57 |  | 68 | 69 | 62 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \$ 5,000 \text { and } \\ & \text { over } \end{aligned}$ | ${ }^{(2)}$ |  | 58 | 80 |  |  | $\left.{ }^{2}\right)$ | 70 | (2) |  | 76 | 78 |

[^131]
## Table G.-Proportion of families reporting ownership of family home, by family type and income class ${ }^{1}$

[Nonrelief white families containing husband and wife both native born]

| Income class | Family type ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Chicago |  |  |  | Columbus |  |  |  |
|  | I | $\begin{gathered} \text { II and } \\ \text { III } \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\mathrm{V}}{\mathrm{IV}} \underset{\mathrm{and}}{ }$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { VIand } \\ & \text { VII } \end{aligned}$ | I | $\begin{aligned} & \text { II and } \\ & \text { III } \end{aligned}$ | $\text { IV } \underset{\mathrm{V}}{\text { and }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { VIand } \\ & \text { VII } \end{aligned}$ |
| All families.-. | 16 | 12 | 36 | 26 | 39 | 28 | 51 | 33 |
| Under \$500 | 22 | 10 | 30 | 17 | 43 | 18 | 32 |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 21 | 7 | 31 | 15 | 43 | 6 | 35 | 6 |
| \$750-\$999 | 11 | 7 | 26 | 8 | 33 | 11 | 30 | 13 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 11 | 7 | 27 | 14 | 27 | 13 | 37 | 18 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 14 | 10 | 30 | 13 | 37 | 20 | 44 | 25 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 14 | 9 | 33 | 21 | 37 | 24 | 45 | 24 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 13 | 11 | 30 | 25 | 35 | 29 | 47 | 39 |
| \$2,000-\$2,499 | 17 | 14 | 36 | 29 | 41 | 39 | 53 | 44 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 24 | 23 | 43 | 39 | 54 | 53 | 59 | 53 |
| \$3,000-\$4,999 | 21 | 21 | 45 | 44 | 49 | 47 | 65 | 57 |
| \$5,000 and over | 21 | 19 | 46 | 60 | 64 | 66 | 75 | 81 |

[^132]Table H.-Monthly rent of renters and monthly rental value of owned homes, by occupational group and income class ${ }^{1}$
cHICAGO
[Nonrelief white families including husband and wife, both native born]

| Income class | Monthly rent |  |  | Monthly rental value |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Wage earner | Clerical | Business and professional | Wage earner | Clerical | Business and professional |
| All families. | \$27. 60 | \$36.60 | \$46.30 | \$33.00 | \$39.80 | \$48. 40 |
| Under \$500. | 20.60 | 30.00 | 28.90 | 24. 30 | 27.10 | 26. 50 |
| \$500-\$749 | 20.60 | 25.90 | 27.10 | 25.20 | 30.10 | 32.00 |
| \$750-\$999 | 20.60 | 25. 60 | 29. 20 | 27.40 | 28. 10 | 32. 10 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 23. 20 | 28. 20 | 32, 40 | 28.60 | 30.60 | 31.80 |
| \$1, 250-\$1, 499 | 25.90 | 30. 50 | 33.00 | 30.00 | 34.60 | 34. 80 |
| \$1,500-\$1, 749 | 28.40 | 32.40 | 35.60 | 30.90 | 33. 80 | 39.30 |
| \$1, 750-\$1, 909 | 31.00 | 36. 30 | 37.90 | 31. 70 | 35.90 | 39. 60 |
| \$2, 000-\$2, 499 | 34. 40 | 39. 10 | 43. 30 | 34.50 | 37.50 | 42. 70 |
| \$2, 500-\$2, 999 | 37.10 | 43.30 | 45. 60 | 37.90 | 41.60 | 45.00 |
| \$3,000-\$4,999. | 41. 00 | 50.90 | 55.60 | 39.20 | 48.00 | 51.30 |
| \$5, 000 and over | 62.60 | 66.30 | 82. 10 | 46.90 | 61.20 | 77.50 |

[^133]Table I.-Monthly rent of renters and monthly rental value of owned homes, by family type and income class ${ }^{1}$

CHICAGO
[Nonrelief white families including husband and wife, both native born]

| Income class | Monthly rent, family types ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  | Monthly rental value, family types |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | I | $\begin{gathered} \text { II and } \\ \text { III } \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{IV}_{\mathrm{V}} \text { and }$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { VI and } \\ & \text { VII } \end{aligned}$ | I | $\begin{aligned} & \text { II and } \\ & \text { III } \end{aligned}$ | $\text { IV and }_{V}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { VI and } \\ & \text { VII } \end{aligned}$ |
| All families | \$34.60 | \$33.30 | \$37.30 | \$31.00 | \$38. 10 | \$39.40 | \$39.40 | \$38. 50 |
| Under \$500 | 27.20 | 24.20 | 26.80 | 21.30 | 26. 90 | 29. 20 | 27.60 | 28.20 |
| \$500-\$749 | 23.90 | 21.00 | 24. 70 | 23.00 | 29.90 | 33.40 | 27.00 | 24.70 |
| \$750-\$999 | 24.30 | 20.30 | 25. 80 | 20.70 | 30.10 | 28. 20 | 28.70 | 28. 50 |
| \$1,000-\$1, 249 | 28. 20 | 23.80 | 28.10 | 23.30 | 32.50 | 29.40 | 29.80 | 25.90 |
| \$1, 250-\$1, 499 | 30.10 | 27.20 | 28.80 | 23.00 | 32. 90 | 32.90 | 32. 10 | 32. 30 |
| \$1, 500-\$1, 749. | 31.90 | 30.40 | 31.60 | 27.30 | 36. 70 | 34. 20 | 33. 40 | 31.40 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 35.30 | 34.00 | 34.10 | 31.70 | 34.00 | 34. 10 | 35. 90 | 32. 30 |
| \$2, 000-\$2, 499 | 38. 90 | 39.40 | 37.60 | 34.80 | 38.70 | 37. 50 | 37.10 | 34.30 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 43. 70 | 43. 70 | 40.80 | 39.20 | 41. 90 | 43.80 | 41. 40 | 38. 70 |
| \$3,000-\$4,999. | 51. 20 | 55.00 | 50.80 | 51.80 | 51. 20 | 51.80 | 45.80 | 44.90 |
| \$5,000 and over. | 75.00 | 78.90 | 84.80 | 67.20 | 81.30 | 76.00 | 71.40 | 71.30 |

1 These figures are based upon information given by a random sample of families and are published in the 7 regional reports on Family Income and Expenditure, vol. I. (See appendix A.p.371.) This sample differs from the controlled sample of families supplying the detailed data on expenditures.
${ }_{2}$ The 7 family types are distinguished on the basis of the number and age of members other than husband and wife, as follows:

I No other persons (families of 2 persons).
II 1 child under 16 (families of 3 persons).
III 2 children under 16 (families of 4 persons).
IV 1 person 16 or over and 1 or no other person, regardless of age (families of 3 or 4 persons).
V 1 child under 16,1 person 16 or over, and 1 or 2 others regardless of age (families of 5 or 6 persons).
VI 3 or 4 children under 16 (families of 5 or 6 persons).
VII 1 child under 16 , and 4 or 5 others, regardless of age (families of 7 or 8 persons).
Table J.-Yearly rental value of owned homes, by income class ${ }^{1}$

| Income class | White families |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Negro families |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Metropolises |  | Large cities |  |  |  |  |  | East Central cities |  |  |  |
|  | New York | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Chi- } \\ & \text { cago } \end{aligned}$ | Providence | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Co- } \\ & \text { lum- } \\ & \text { bus } \end{aligned}$ | Atlanta | Oma-haCouncil Bluffs | $\underset{\text { ver }}{\text { Den- }}$ | Portland | Middle sized ${ }^{2}$ | Small ${ }^{3}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Co- } \\ & \text { lum- } \\ & \text { bus } \end{aligned}$ | Atlanta |
| Under \$250. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$490 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$219 | \$184 | \$243 | \$121 |
| \$500-\$749 | \$291 | \$284 |  | \$238 | \$241 | \$222 | \$200 | \$180 | 235 | 182 | 226 | 136 |
| \$750-\$999 | 711 | 177 | \$206 | 285 | 197 | 223 | 297 | 215 | 253 | 167 | 273 | 156 |
| \$1,000-\$1, 249 | 497 | 347 | 260 | 307 | 246 | 251 | 269 | 234 | 285 | 253 | 229 | 202 |
| \$1, 250-\$1, 499 | 558 | 351 | 330 | 310 | 277 | 307 | 289 | 266 | 305 | 226 | 281 | 240 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 490 | 395 | 384 | 363 | 317 | 342 | 352 | 281 | 342 | 279 | 272 | 229 |
| \$1,750-\$1, 999 | 465 | 408 | 463 | 394 | 365 | 391 | 373 | 305 | 368 | 305 | 395 | 250 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 574 | 429 | 471 | 421 | 343 | 409 | 376 | 317 | 398 | 319 | 330 | 288 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 521 | 452 | 463 | 421 | 417 | 424 | 406 | 364 | 410 | 327 | 435 | 313 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 514 | 497 | 482 | 464 | 415 | 436 | 495 | 390 | 498 | 362 | 359 | 331 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499. | 663 | 467 | 773 | 533 | 465 | 492 | 594 | 484 | 544 | 423 |  | 348 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999. | 628 | 543 | 593 | 640 | 549 | 571 | 609 | 464 | 592 |  |  | 304 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 819 | 580 | 897 | 642 | 634 | 692 | 657 | 532 | 667 |  |  | 344 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499. | 765 | 733 | 1, 008 | 859 | 681 | 701 | 854 | 673 | 753 |  |  | 475 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | 1,419 | 788 | 1,299 | 1,606 | 1,124 | 814 | 1,379 | 956 |  |  |  |  |
| \$10,000 and over. | 1, 496 | 1,309 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^134]Table K.-Proportion of home owners having expense for improvements on owned homes, by income class ${ }^{1}$
[White families]

| Income class | Metropolises |  | Large cities |  |  |  |  |  | East Central cities |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { New } \\ & \text { York } \end{aligned}$ | Chicago | Providence | $\begin{gathered} \text { Colum- } \\ \text { bus } \end{gathered}$ | Atlanta | OmahaCouncil Bluffs | $\underset{\substack{\text { Den- } \\ \text { ver }}}{ }$ | Port- | Middlesized : | Small ${ }^{3}$ |
| All families | 9.2 | 6.0 | 7.6 | 6.1 | 13.5 | 13.7 | 9.3 | 11.8 | 6.3 | 7.2 |
| Under \$250 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-8749-- |  |  | (4) |  |  |  |  | 4.0 | 4.2 | 2.1 |
| \$750-8999 |  |  |  |  | 25.6 | 7.3 |  | 8.9 | 5.8 | . 7 |
| \$1, 000-\$1, 249- ${ }^{\text {d }}$, 499 | 10.7 | 6.7 .6 | 22.0 | 5. 9 | 13.6 15.3 | 113.0 | 5.8 9.0 | 4.6 12.0 | 2.3 <br> 6.2 | 9.2 4 |
| \$1, 500-\$1, 749 ..- | 6.5 | 5.3 | 1.4 | 5.7 | 21.6 | 18.1 | 4.5 | 12.9 | 1.7 | 4.5 |
| \$1,750-\$1, 999 | 20.6 | . 9 | 11.2 | 7.8 | 19.2 | 16. 5 | 14.2 | 7.3 | 9.2 | 14.1 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 8.1 | 8.0 | 8.4 | 5.1 | 7.3 | 17.6 | 22.0 | 13.4 | 7.5 | 9.7 |
| \$2, 250-\$2, 499 |  | 6. 7 |  | 10.0 | 5.2 | 16. 3 | 10.5 | 24.0 | 8.6 | 8.0 |
| \$2, 500-\$2, 999 | 18.8 | 14. 1 | 14.2 | 9.0 | 7.5 | 15.4 | 7.9 | 19.7 | 9.8 | 13.0 |
| \$3,000-\$3, 499 | 8.0 | 12.7 | 8.6 | 88 | ${ }_{11}^{21.7}$ | 9.6 | 12.2 | 6.7 | 13.0 | 13.6 |
| \$ $\$ 4,000-\$ 4,999 .--$ | 4.7 .3 | 12.8 6.9 |  | 18.0 10.6 | 1.7 | 17.3 | 8.3 12.6 | 18.9 8.7 | 12.5 |  |
| \$5,000-\$7, 499... | 10.0 | 14.8 | 6.4 | 8.5 | 11.2 | 10.2 | 13.6 | 10.8 | 18.8 |  |
| $\$ 7,500-\$ 9,999 .--1$ $\$ 10,000$ and over |  | 33.5 | 15.6 | 5.7 | 12.1 |  | 12.6 | 9.6 |  |  |
| \$10, 000 and over- | 26.2 | 4.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ The proportions of home owners used in deriving these figures are shown in Tabular Summary, table 5. They exclude families which were home owners during only a portion of the year. Thus the averages shown are only approximate for those income levels where families of mixed tenure were found.
${ }^{2}$ Springfield, Ill., Muncie, and New Castle.
${ }^{3}$ Beaver Falls, Connellsville, Logansport, Mattoon, and Peru.

- No owning families.

Table L.-Percentage of owned homes which are mortgaged, by income class ${ }^{1}$
[Nonrelief white families containing husband and wife, both native born]

| Income class | New York | Chicago | Providence | Colum- bus | Atlanta | OmahaCouncil Bluffis | Denver | Portland |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All families... | 82 | 67 | 66 | 56 | 56 | 51 | 55 | 56 |
| Under $\$ 250$. | (2) | 63 | ( ${ }^{\text {2 }}$ | (2) | (2) | (2) | ${ }^{2}$ | 32 |
| \$250-\$499. | 49 | 52 | 59 | 44 | 26 | 32 | 40 | 31 |
| \$500-\$749 | 75 | 64 | 69 | 54 | 38 | 39 | 47 | 49 |
| \$750-\$999 | 81 | 67 | 64 | 48 | 48 | 46 | 52 | 52 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 84 | 68 | 66 | 64 | 50 | 56 | 52 | 53 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 80 | 71 | 69 | 62 | 58 | 59 | 56 | 58 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 86 | 71 | 68 | 60 | 56 | 58 | 58 | 60 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 83 | 69 | 71 | \%9 | 65 | 55 | 58 | 63 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 87 | 71 | 71 | 64 | 58 | 57 | 63 | 58 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499. | 85 | 72 | 70 | 61 | 56 | 53 | 58 | 57 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 83 | 68 | 72 | 57 | 58 | 52 | 60 | 59 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 84 | 65 | 66 | 52 | 62 | 45 | 50 | 58 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 84 | 60 | 62 | 46 | 59 | 46 | 57 | 50 |
| \$4,000-\$4,499. | 87 | 65 | 71 | 48 | 58 | 48 | 56 | 51 |
| \$4,500-\$4,999 | 75 | 55 | 54 | 46 | 51 | 32 | 53 | 56 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 78 | 52 | 56 | 39 | 50 | 33 | 40 | 52 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | 76 | 45 | 49 | 29 | 51 | 30 | ${ }^{(2)}$ | 35 |
| \$10,000 and over | 58 | 35 | 38 | 18 | 39 | ${ }^{(2)}$ | 31 | 38 |

[^135]Table M.-Proportion of home owners having a decrease in mortgage, by income class ${ }^{1}$
A. WHITE FAMILIES

| Income class | Metropolises |  | Large cities |  |  |  |  |  | East Central cities |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | New York | Chicago | Providence | Colum- bus | Atlanta | OmahaCouncil Bluffs | $\underset{\text { ver- }}{\text { Den- }}$ | Portland | Middlesized ${ }^{2}$ | Small ${ }^{3}$ |
| All families.-.....-- | 14.6 | 36.0 | 29.5 | 53.3 | 55.1 | 49.4 | 31.7 | 49.7 | 38.5 | 37.8 |
| Under \$250. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 31.2 |  |
| \$500-\$749 |  |  | (3) | 50.0 | 100.0 | 23.3 | 20.2 | 11.8 | 13.9 | 34.0 |
| \$750-\$999 | 39.1 | 6.8 |  | 21.8 | 37.2 | 61.2 | 23.8 | 60.6 | 23.1 | 40.7 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 |  | 39.9 | 16. 7 | 43.4 | 28.8 | 48.0 | 5.8 | 21.6 | 43.7 | 42.3 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. |  | 29.6 | 31.4 | 57.9 | 73.9 | 36.4 | 29.3 | 40.8 | 41.2 | 29.5 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 9.8 | 41.6 | 46.8 | 54.7 | 44.3 | 47.2 | 29.5 | 49.3 | 38.8 | 44.9 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 14.3 | 30.2 | 33.6 | 64.4 | 59.1 | 47.8 | 42.6 | 59.8 | 41.5 | 38.7 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 12.1 | 52.6 | 29.3 | 53.6 | 61.9 | 60.1 | 46.3 | 52.4 | 38.3 | 44.6 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 15.9 | 43.3 | 44.0 | 61.3 | 59.4 | 61.6 | 27.2 | 88.4 | 55.8 | 47.9 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 20.2 | 39.6 | 42.2 | 60.1 | 51.6 | 59.8 | 43.8 | 46.9 | 43.7 | 30.8 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 16.1 | 38.8 | 34.7 | 62.3 | 65.6 | 56.8 | 33.5 | 57.2 | 52.3 | 33.0 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 19.2 | 52.2 | 46.6 | 54.6 | 48.1 | 26.5 | 45.0 | 51.4 | 35.1 |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 9.6 | 45.9 | 27.5 | 54.2 | 60.4 | 35.6 | 47.8 | 65.0 | 26.0 |  |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 32.2 | 25.1 | 46.9 | 50.0 | 56.5 | 35.1 | 31.9 | 48.4 | 37.8 |  |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | 29.2 | 13.8 | 17.6 | 20.7 | 42.9 | 17.1 | 38.8 | 49.1 |  |  |
| \$10,000 and over. | 65.1 | 51.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

B. NEGRO FAMILIES

| Income class | Columbus | Atlanta | Income class | Columbus | Atlanta |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All families. | 39.5 | 33.4 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | All families-Continued. |  |  |
| Under $\$ 250$ |  |  | \$1,750-\$1,999 | 36.5 | 66.8 |
| $\$ 250-\$ 499$ | 18.9 | 5. 0 | \$2,000-\$2,249 | 66.6 | 52.6 |
| $\$ 500-\$ 749$ |  | 25.3 | \$2,250-\$2,499 | 87.5 | 51.0 |
| $\$ 750-\$ 999$ | 51.9 | 51.1 | \$2,500-\$2,999 | 62.5 | 42.1 |
| $\$ 1,000-\$ 1,249$ | 39.5 | 50.9 | $\$ 3,000-\$ 3,499$ |  | 63.6 |
| $\$ 1,250-\$ 1,499$ | 38.3 | 41. 4 | $\$ 3,500-\$ 3,999$ |  |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 ... | 76.1 | 80.7 | \$4,000 and over. |  | 22.2 |

[^136]Table N.-Proportion of home owners having an increase in mortgage, by income class ${ }^{1}$
A. WHITE FAMILIES

| Income class | Metropolises |  | Large cities |  |  |  |  |  | East Central cities |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | New York | Chicago | Providence | Colum- bus | Atlanta | OmahaCouncil Bluffs | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Den- } \\ & \text { ver } \end{aligned}$ | Portland | Middlesized ${ }^{2}$ | Small ${ }^{3}$ |
| All families...---..- | (4) | 3.9 | (4) | 3.5 | 4.6 | 5. 3 | 4. 0 | 3.1 | 2.5 | 4.0 |
| Under \$250.. |  |  |  |  | ---- |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 18.3 |
| \$500-\$749 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20.3 |  | 7.8 | 3.2 |
| \$750-\$999 |  | 6.8 |  |  |  | 6.7 |  | 1.8 | 2.5 | 4.0 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 |  |  | 7.6 | 3.8 |  | 12.4 | 5. 9 |  | 1.6 |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 21.7 |  | 2.5 |  | 6. 2 | 1.1 | 2.3 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 8. 6 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 15. 2 | 1.8 |  | 8.4 | 12.9 | 10.0 | 7.4 | 7.5 | 1.3 | 5.3 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 $\ldots$ | 10.3 | 6.9 |  | 4. 7 | 4.1 | 4.2 | . 8 | 2.3 | 4.0 | 4.1 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 |  | 5.6 | 8.0 | 5. 1 | 6.0 | 2.9 | 5.5 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 1.5 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 |  | 7.8 |  | 6.1 | 3.2 | 1.8 | 5.3 | 4.0 | 1.4 | 4.1 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 2.8 | 8.7 |  | 2.3 | 3.5 | 5.6 |  | 4.0 | . 9 | . 4 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 |  | . 6 |  | 2.7 | 8.6 |  | 3.4 | 1. 2 |  | 3.2 |
| \$3,500-83,999 |  | 4. 3 |  | . 9 | 7.4 |  | 1.9 | 7.1 | 1. 6 |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 |  | 1.8 |  | 1.9 | 2.4 | 3.4 | 1.7 | 1. 5 | 1.9 |  |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 |  |  |  | 2.9 |  | 3.0 | 3.8 | 2.3 |  |  |
| \$7,500-\$9,999.... |  | 5.0 |  | 3.8 |  |  | 5.4 |  |  |  |
| \$10,000 and over. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

B. NEGRO FAMILIES

| Income class | Atlanta | Income class | Atlanta |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All families. | 4.5 |  |  |
|  |  | All families-Continued. |  |
| Under \$250 | 1.7 | \$1,750-\$1,999 | 4. 7 5.3 |
| \$500-\$749 | 6.3 | \$2,250-\$2,499 | 4.0 |
| \$750-\$999 | 7.1 | \$2,500-\$2,999 | 7.9 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | . 9 | \$3,000-\$3,499 |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 9 | \$3,500-\$3,999 |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749.. | 5.6 | \$4,000 and over. |  |

[^137]Table O.-Proportion of renting families living in multiple-family dwellings, by income class ${ }^{1}$

1. IN ANY MULTIPLE-FAMILY DWELLING

WHITE FAMILIES

| Income class | Metropolises |  | Large cities |  |  |  |  |  | East Central cities |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | New York | Chicago | Providence | $\underset{\text { bus }}{\text { Colum }}$ | Atlanta | OmahaCouncil Bluffs | Denver | Portland | Middlesized ${ }^{2}$ | Small ${ }^{8}$ |
| Under \$250. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20.5 | 18.6 |
| \$250-\$499. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 26.2 | 15. 5 |
| \$500-\$749 | 86.6 | 80.9 | 89.5 | 49.2 | 38.8 | 26.3 | 45.6 | 35.5 | 23.4 | 23.5 |
| \$750-\$999 | 84.1 | 83.7 | 88.9 | 49.2 | 38.3 | 23.5 | 43.6 | 28.7 | 25.1 | 17.2 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 84.2 | 82.4 | 89.0 | 53.6 | 40.6 | 25.3 | 40.4 | 29.9 | 24.4 | 23.1 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 89.0 | 85.9 | 89.8 | 52.3 | 39.8 | 24.9 | 40.9 | 29.5 | 24.4 | 21.0 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 86.2 | 83.8 | 88.4 | 49.9 | 42.2 | 25.8 | 42.2 | 29.5 | 23.2 | 30.7 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 86.0 | 85.2 | 86.0 | 49.0 | 45.1 | 23.5 | 43.0 | 30.5 | 22.6 | 21.4 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 86.0 | 85.7 | 82.9 | 48.7 | 45.3 | 24.8 | 40.0 | 29.8 | 20.4 | 23.3 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 83.7 | 86.4 | 84.6 | 49.0 | 48.6 | 28.8 | 40.0 | 27.0 | 22.5 | 21.1 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 83.2 | 86.0 | 80.4 | 50.0 | 46.9 | 30.5 | 45.5 | 26.0 | 26.1 | 22.7 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 86.3 | 85.2 | 81.1 | 42.8 | 46.8 | 30.0 | 40.6 | 26.6 | 27.2 | 20.5 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 83.0 | 88.7 | 67.3 | 27.0 | 48.0 | 30.2 | 35.4 | 19.2 | 27.2 | 20.5 |
| \$4,000-\$4,499 | 80.5 | 89.1 | 68.4 | 28.6 | 44.1 | 27.8 | 31.6 | 19.5 | 18.3 | 10.7 |
| \$4,500-\$4,999 | 82.9 | 86.9 | 63.9 | 32.7 | 40.8 | 40.0 | 36.1 | 23.6 | 18.3 | 10.7 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499. | 81.2 | 90.0 | 62.9 | 29.1 | 41.5 | 30.9 | 35.4 | 32.3 |  |  |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | 88.9 | 89.7 | 35.4 | 26.3 | 53.0 | 42.9 | 22.2 | 22.2 | 19.0 | 25.0 |
| \$10,000 and over. | 93.9 | 81.9 |  | 35.4 | 53.3 | 25.0 | 40.0 | 33.3 |  |  |

NEGRO FAMILIES

| Income class | New York | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Colum- } \\ & \text { bus } \end{aligned}$ | Atlants | Income class | New York | $\begin{gathered} \text { Colum- } \\ \text { bus } \end{gathered}$ | Atlants |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under \$250. |  |  | 59.6 | \$2,250-\$2,499 | 94.4 | 33.4 | 25.0 |
| \$250-\$499. |  | 53.5 | 56.1 | \$2,500-\$2,999 | 100.0 | 50.0 |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 92.8 | 40.6 | 58.7 | \$3,000-\$3,499 | 91.7 | 50.0 |  |
| \$750-\$999 | 95.2 | 50.7 | 52.0 | \$3,500-\$3,999 | 80.0 | 100.0 |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 92.6 | 49.3 | 49.0 | \$4,000-\$4,499 |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 88.8 | 56.3 | 40.3 | \$4,500-\$4,999 |  |  |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 92.7 | 42.8 | 40.4 | \$5,000-\$7,499 | 33.3 |  |  |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 88.7 | 36.6 | 42.9 | \$7,500 and over- | 100.0 |  |  |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 . . - | 94.2 | 64.7 | 36.9 |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ Data obtained from the random sample published in the Tabular summary of the volumes on family income, sec. B, table 16. Since the sample was not controlled as to family type or occupation, the percentages cannot be applied to the controlled sample to obtain the proportion of families living in multiple-family dwellings.
${ }^{2}$ Springfield, Ill., Muncie, and New Castle.
${ }^{3}$ Beaver Falls, Connellsville, Logansport, Mattoon, and Peru.

Table 0.-Proportion of renting families living in multiple-family dwellings, by income class ${ }^{1}$-Continued
2. IN DWELLINGS FOR 2, 3, OR 4 FAMILIES

WHITE FAMILIES

| Income class | Metropolises |  | Large cities |  |  |  |  |  | East Central cities |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { New } \\ & \text { York } \end{aligned}$ | Chicago | Providence | $\begin{gathered} \text { Colum- } \\ \text { bus } \end{gathered}$ | Atlanta | OmahaCouncil Bluffs | Den- | Portland | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Midd } \\ & \text { sized } \end{aligned}$ | Small ${ }^{3}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 | 29.1 | 50.0 | 81.9 | 43.7 | 33.4 | 12.3 | 17.6 | 9.7 | 21 | 15.0 22.3 |
| \$750-\$999 | 31.8 | 51.3 | 81.8 | 45.2 | 32.2 | 11.7 | 24.8 | 9.4 | 21. | 16.1 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 30.9 | 45. 9 | 82.8 | 48.1 | 29.4 | 12.5 | 21.0 | 10.1 | 21 | 21.8 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 31.6 | 44.5 | 83.7 | 47.8 | 28.8 | 10.4 | 22.8 | 9.2 | 20 | 20.0 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 27.6 | 40.1 | 83.1 | 45.1 | 27.3 | 11.1 | 19.2 | 10.0 | 19. | 27.4 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 28.1 | 38.0 | 83.9 | 44.0 | 23.5 | 9.8 | 22.6 | 7.9 | 18. | 19.0 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 27.0 | 39.1 | 76.4 | 44.3 | 23.4 | 11.1 | 13.9 | 9.0 |  | 20.2 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 25.4 | 37.2 | 81.2 | 44.0 | 20.9 | 10.5 | 14.6 | 5.9 | 16 | 18.2 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 26. 2 | ${ }^{35.2}$ | 74.0 | 41.6 | 18.4 | 13.3 | 16.7 | 5. 1 |  | 18.6 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 18.8 | 36.2 | 73.6 | 36.8 | 15.8 | 9.2 | 14.4 | 3.5 |  |  |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 19.0 | 34.1 | 55.8 | 25.0 | 18.3 | 9.3 | 12.5 | 2.4 | 18.8 | 15.2 |
| \$4,000-\$4,499 | 15.5 | 36.8 | 59.6 | 22.9 | 14.2 | 9.3 | 10.0 |  |  | 10.7 |
| \$4,500-\$4,999 | 11.4 | 40.9 | 55.6 | 31.0 | 9.9 10 | 14.3 | 8.3 | 6.0 |  | 10.7 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \$ 5,000-\$ 7,499 \\ & \$ 7,500-\$ 9,999 \end{aligned}$ | 10.6 3.0 | 29.9 23.5 | 62.9 22.8 | 17.3 26.3 | 10.0 17.7 | 10.9 | 6.2 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| negro families |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Income class | New York | $\begin{gathered} \text { Colur } \\ \text { bus } \end{gathered}$ |  | lanta | Incom | ae class |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { olum- } \\ & \text { bus } \end{aligned}$ | tlanta |
| Under \$250. |  |  |  | 54.3 | \$2,250-\$2, |  |  | . 2 | 33.4 | 25.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-8749........ | 17.8 |  | 6 | 55.7 48.8 | \$3,000-\$3,4, | 1999 |  | 7 | 50.0 100.0 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 12.5 |  | . 2 | 38.3 | \$5,000-\$7, | 499. |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 20.6 |  | . 9 | 36.9 | \$10,000 an | d over |  |  |  |  |

[^138]Table O.-Proportion of renting families living in multiple-family dwellings, by income class ${ }^{1}$-Continued
3. IN DWELLINGS FOR 5 OR MORE FAMILIES

WHITE FAMILIES


1 Data obtained from the random sample published in the Tabular Summary of the volumes on family income, sec. B, table 16. Since the sample was not controlled as to family type or occupation, the percentages cannot be applied to the controlled sample to obtain the proportion of families living in multiple-family dwellings.
${ }^{2}$ Springfield, Ill., Muncie, and New Castle.

* Beaver Falls, Connellsville, Logansport, Mattoon, and Peru.

Table P.-Expenditures for fuel, light, and refrigeration which are made separately from rent as a proportion of total expenditure for housing, by occupational group and income class.

| Income class | Chicago |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Wage earner | Clerical | Independent business | Independent profesional | Salaried business | Salaried professional |
| Under ${ }^{2} 250$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 27.2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$999 | 26.1 | 26.0 |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 27.4 | 20.7 |  |  |  |  |
| \$1, 250-\$1,499 | 25.1 | 16.6 | 19.8 | 22.9 | 12.8 | 19.1 |
| \$1, 500-81, 749 | 22.0 | 20.2 | 20.6 | 13.2 | 16.1 | 17.3 |
| \$1, 750-\$1,999 | 24.0 | 19.8 |  |  | 17.9 | 16.0 |
| \$2,000-\$2, 249 | 21.9 23.8 | 18.3 20.2 | 18.2 17.4 | 13.1 <br> 12.8 | 13.7 11.7 | 16.7 |
|  | 23.8 22.0 | 20.2 17.1 | 17.4 15.9 | 12.8 16.1 | 11.7 | 15.1 16.3 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 25.2 | 16.6 | 16.2 | 13.1 | 14.0 | 15.9 |
| \$3, 500-\$3,999 | 19.4 | 15.8 | 15.5 | 11.9 | 15.0 | 15.6 |
| \$4, 000-\$4, 999. | 23.0 | 14.6 | 17.6 | 15.1 | 15.4 | 15.1 |
| \$5. 000-87, 499 |  |  | 15.3 | 16.8 | 14.0 | 18.9 |
| \$7, $500-\$ 9,999$ $\$ 10000 . \ldots$ |  |  | 15.0 14.3 | 9.6 11.4 | 8.3 11.5 | 18.2 |
| \$10,000 and over. |  |  | 14.3 | 11.4 | 11.5 | 11.5 |

Table Q.-Average expenditure for laundry sent out and laundry supplies, by family type ${ }^{1}$ and income class

| Income class | New York |  |  |  |  |  | Columbus |  | Atlanta |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Type I |  | Type <br> II and III |  | Type <br> IV and $V$ |  | Type I |  |  |  |
|  | White | Negro | White | Negro | White | Negro | White | Negro | White | Negro |
| Under $\$ 250$ $\$ 250-\$ 499$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$2. 20 |
|  |  | \$7.80 | \$18.80 |  | \$31.00 |  | \$9.90 | \$7.00 | \$11.90 | 6.00 11.40 |
| \$750-\$999 | $\$ 15.00$ 1960 | 11.90 | 20.20 | \$12.60 | 27.80 | \$26.80 | 10.00 | 16.80 | ${ }^{20.90}$ | 13.70 |
| \$1,000-\$1, 249 | 28.30 | 24. 20 | 25.00 | 25.90 | 26. 50 | 32.00 | 14. 30 | 19.10 | 31.60 | 21.30 |
| \$11, ${ }^{250}$, $500-81,499$ |  | 19.50 | 32.60 43.70 | 34.30 42.80 | 34.50 33.90 | 28.70 39.70 | 12.50 18.10 | 9.90 24.50 | 45.40 48.60 | 39.40 28.30 |
| \$1, 750-\$1, 999 | 32. 40 <br> 53.60 | 54.30 | 48.90 | 55.10 | ${ }^{38 .} 70$ | 50.30 | ${ }^{28.90}$ |  | 45.60 4.90 |  |
| \$2,000-\$2, 249 | 61.2056.10 | 59.50 | 59.90 | 33.20 | 46.90 | 37.10 | 34.30 | 15. 20 | 45.70 |  |
| \$2, 250- 82,499 |  | 79.10 | 59.30 | 35.80 | 56.50 | ${ }^{23.30}$ | 43.30 |  | 64.90 | 57.80 |
| \$3, $0000-\$ 3,499$ | 74.20 | 78.00 | 81.00 |  | 71.20 | 89.80 | ${ }_{44} \mathbf{3 4} \mathbf{6 0}$ |  | ${ }_{6}^{64.20}$ |  |
| \$3,500-83,999 | 82.4082.90 |  | 74.00 |  | 80.70 |  | 48.20 |  | 81.80 |  |
| \$4, 000-\$4, 999.. |  |  | 100.00 |  | 106.40 |  | 32.60 |  | 93.30 |  |
| \$5,000-\$7, 499.. | $102.20$ |  | 100.10 |  | 114.80 |  | 49.10 |  | 89.50 |  |
| \$7, 500-\$9,999 | $\begin{aligned} & 128.90 \\ & 136.50 \end{aligned}$ |  | 100.00 |  | 118.90 |  | 29.20 |  | 91.90 |  |
| \$10,000 and over |  |  | 130.10 |  | 128.80 |  |  |  |  |  |

[^139]Table R.-Expenditure for telephone service, by occupational group and income class, in Chicago
[White families]

| Income class | All occupations | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Wage } \\ & \text { earner } \end{aligned}$ | Clerical | Independent business | Independent professional | Salaried business | Salaried professional |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under \$250.- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749. | \$5. 80 | \$5. 80 |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$999 | 3.90 | 4.30 | \$2. 50 |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1, 249 | 6. 40 | 6. 10 | 7. 10 |  |  |  |  |
| \$1, 250-\$1, 499 | 12. 10 | 9. 40 | 16.00 | \$17.80 | \$30.70 | \$22.50 | \$10. 50 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 16. 30 | 14.80 | 18.10 | 19. 90 | ${ }^{29.30}$ | 17.00 | 15. 30 |
| \$1, 750-\$1,999 | 21.50 | 19.30 | 23.00 | 31.40 | 31.60 | 22.90 | 26. 50 |
| \$2, 000-\$2, 249 | 22. 00 | 19.90 | 21.60 | ${ }^{26} .00$ | 32.80 | 28. 20 | 28.40 |
| \$2, 250-\$2, 499 $\ldots$ | ${ }^{27.60}$ | 27. 60 | 25. 80 | 30.20 | 45. 20 | 3230 | 24. 20 |
| \$2, 500-\$2,999 | 31. 50 | 31.20 | 31.40 | 30.60 | 39.10 | 33.00 | 33.00 |
| \$3, 000-\$3, 499 | 34. 00 | 28.20 | 34.00 | 41. 50 | 43. 60 | 37.20 | 33.50 |
| \$3, $500-83,999$ | 36. 70 | 32.00 | 37.20 | 36.80 | 39.70 | 42. 80 | 31.90 |
| \$4, 000-\$4, 999 | 43. 40 | 37.30 | 45.00 | 42. 20 | 49.60 | 46. 30 | 40. 70 |
| \$5,000-87, 499 | 54.70 |  |  | 53.50 | 61.30 | 56.60 | 47.20 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999..... | 77.40 81.00 |  |  | 64.90 73.50 | 155.10 107.40 | 55.10 81.70 | 67.30 69.80 |
| \$10,000 and over | 81.00 | --- | ---- | 73.50 | 107.40 | 81.70 | 69.80 |

Table S.-Percentage of families having living quarters equipped with running hot and cold water, inside fush toilet, and electric lights, by occupational group and income class.
[White families]


* Percentages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

Table S.-Percentage of families having living quarters equipped with running hot and cold water, inside flush toilet and electric lights, by occupational group and income class.-Continued

| Income class | Atlanta |  |  |  |  | Omaha-Council Bluffs |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Wage earner | Clerical | Independent business and pro- fessional | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sala- } \\ & \text { ried } \\ & \text { busi- } \\ & \text { ness } \end{aligned}$ | Sala-professional | Wage earner | Cleri- | Independent business and pro- fessional | Salaried busi- | Sala- ried professiona |
| Under \$250 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 24 |  |  |  |  | 39 |  |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$999...-- | 42 | 70 |  |  |  | 76 | 77 |  |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249...- | 52 |  |  |  |  | 57 | 76 |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 53 | 82 | 73 | 82 | 86 | 84 | 81 | 77 | 100 | 86 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749.... | 82 | 87 | 90 | 81 | 95 | 79 | 92 | 87 | 100 | 92 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 86 | 96 | 86 | 100 | 92 | 89 | 100 | 92 | 100 | 100 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 $\ldots$ | 88 | 86 | 98 | 89 | 98 | 97 | 94 | 96 | 87 | 95 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 $\ldots$ | 91 | 98 | 100 | 98 | 100 | 100 | 97 | 94 | 100 | 100 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 $\ldots$ | 93 | 100 | 100 | 97 | 95 | 95 | 97 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499. |  |  | 95 | 100 | 97 |  |  | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| \$3,500- \$3,999 |  |  | 100 | 97 | 100 |  |  | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 |  |  | 100 | 100 | 100 |  |  | 94 | 100 | 100 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 |  |  | 96 | 100 | 100 |  |  | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| \$7,500 and over. |  |  | 100 | 100 | 100 |  |  | 100 | 100 |  |
| Income class | Denver |  |  |  |  | Portland |  |  |  |  |
|  | Wage earner | Clerical | Independent business and professional | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sala- } \\ & \text { ried } \\ & \text { busi- } \\ & \text { ness } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Sala- } \\ \text { ried } \\ \text { profes- } \\ \text { sional } \end{array}$ | Wage earner | Clerical | Independent business fessional | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sala- } \\ & \text { ried } \\ & \text { busi- } \\ & \text { ness } \end{aligned}$ | Sala-professional |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Under } \$ 250 . . . .- \\ & \$ 250-\$ 499 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$999 | 78 | 95 |  |  |  | 80 | 82 |  |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 75 | 95 |  |  |  | 83 | 92 |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 $\ldots$ | 82 | 93 | 72 | 94 | 94 | 84 | 95 | 94 | 90 | 88 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 $\ldots$ | 90 | 97 | 90 | 100 | 91 | 88 | 93 | 90 | 94 | 97 |
| \$1,750-81,999 | 95 | 98 | 91 | 92 | 100 | 92 | 98 | 93 | 95 | 97 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249.... | 95 | 98 | 97 | 100 | 100 | 93 | 100 | 88 | 87 | 94 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 93 | 98 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 98 | 97 | 100 | 95 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 96 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 96 | 100 | 100 | 91 | ${ }_{97}^{95}$ |
| \$3,000-\$3,499...- |  |  | 100 | 100 | 100 |  |  | 100 | 94 | -97 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 |  |  | 100 100 | 100 | 100 100 |  |  | 100 100 | 100 96 | 100 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499. |  |  | 100 | 100 | 100 |  |  | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| \$7,500 and over- |  |  | 100 | 100 | 100 |  |  | 100 | 100 | 100 |
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## Appendix A

## Scope and Method of the Study of Consumer Purchases: Urban Series

The data collected in the Urban Series of the Study of Consumer purchases are presented in three sets of publications. The first two, which have been published in a series of two-volume bulletins, pertain to the distribution of families according to nativity, income, occupational group, family composition, and home tenure; and to the expenditure of current income for the major categories of consumption. ${ }^{1}$

The third set of publications covers the details of expenditures; for example, the report on food presents not only total expenditures for food but also the details on expenditure for meals away from home, and for specific food items, such as white flour and lamb chops. These details are presented in a series of volumes ${ }^{2}$ each containing data for a certain group of expenditures, such as those for food or for housing, for all the communities covered by the Urban Series of the Study of Consumer Purchases. ${ }^{3}$

[^140]> Bull. No. 642.-Family Income and Expenditure in Chicago, 1935-36.

Bull. No. 643.-Family Income and Expenditure in New York City, 1935-36.
Bull. No. 644.-Family Income and Expenditure in Nine Cities of the East Central Region, 1935-36.
Bull. No. 645.-Frmily Income and Expenditure in Selected New England Cities, 1935-36.
Bull. No. 646.-Family Income and Expenditure in Selected Urban Communities of the West CentralRocky Mountain Region, 1935-36.
Bull. No. 647.-Family Income and Expenditure in Selected Southeastern Cities, 1935-36,
Bull. No. 649.-Family Income and Expenditure in Four Urban Communities of the Pacific Northwest, 1935-36.

[^141]
## The Population Covered

Cities studied.-The cities included in the Consumer Purchases Study were chosen to represent the metropolis, the large city with a population from 250,000 to 300,000 , the middle-sized city with a population from 35,000 to 70,000 , and the small city with a population of from 10,000 to 20,000 . In the field work the Bureau of Labor Statistics covered all the cities included in the first three groups, and 10 of the small cities. In the analysis of the results, this Bureau has been responsible for the data from the cities shown in the following table. ${ }^{4}$

Cities included by Bureau of Labor Statistics in the analyses of family expenditures

| Region | Metropolitan and large cities | Middle-sized cities | Small cities |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Northeast.......-- | New York, N. Y Providence, R. I. | Haverhill, Mass New Britain, Conn | Wallingford, Conn. Willimantic, Conn. Westbrook, Maine. Greenfield, Mass. |
| Southeast.......-- | Atlanta, Ga--.....-......-- | Columbia, S. C <br> Moblie, Ala |  |
| East Central. | Chicago, Ill <br> Columbus, Ohio | Muncie, Ind <br> New Castle, Pa <br> Springfield, III. | Beaver Falls, Pa. Connellsville, Pa . Logansport, Ind. Mattoon, Ill. Peru, Ind. |
| West Central.-... | Omaha, Nebr.-Council Bluffs, Iowa. | Dubuque, Iowa Springfield, Mo |  |
| Rocky Mountain. | Denver, Colo....-.-.-...- | Butte, Mont Pueblo, Colo. |  |
| Pacifio Northwest. | Portland, Oreg-...-.-.-.-- | Aberdeen-Hoquiam, Wash. <br> Bellingham. Wash <br> Everett, Wash |  |

Since the purpose of the expenditure survey was to determine, insofar as it is possible to do so, the influence of income, family type, and occupation upon family spending, it seemed wise not to try to cover, with the restricted funds available for the investigation, the total population of each community studied. Instead certain qualifications were set up, for the purpose of eliminating as far as possible the effect of alien customs, economic stress, and broken family ties which might tend to obscure the factors in family spending which it was desired to measure.

Nativity groups.-The expenditure survey was limited to families in which the husband and wife were both native born. In New York, Columbus, and the Southeast, data were obtained not only from white families but also from Negro families; in the other cities the investigation of expenditures was confined to white families.

[^142]Income and occupational groups.--In all cities families having received relief at some time during the year were excluded from the expenditure survey. The population covered was further restricted by the omission of nonrelief families with incomes below the levels which define the customary spending of nonrelief groups. Families with incomes below $\$ 500$ in the metropolises, white families with incomes below $\$ 500$ in the large cities, and white families with incomes below $\$ 250$ in the other cities were omitted. Among Negro families in Columbus, those with incomes below $\$ 250$ were likewise excluded.

In most of the cities covered in the expenditure study, families in the wage earner and clerical groups only were included in the sample at the lowest income levels, and only families in the business and professional groups, at the upper levels, since the families in these groups were considered most representative of the extremes of the income scale.

For purposes of this study, families were classified into six major occupational groups: wage-earner, clerical, independent business, independent professional, salaried business, and salaried professional. The occupational classification of a family was determined by the major source of earnings, whether contributed by one or more members.

Data secured from families with no gainfully employed members, which formed a very small proportion of all families in the selected random sample, were not included in the summary of the details of expenditures. A special study of the expenditures of white families with no gainfully employed members was made for Chicago, Columbus, and the East Central middle-sized cities. For this material, see volume II of Bulletins Nos. 642 and 644.

The lower and upper limits of the income range at which families in different occupational groups were studied is shown in the accompanying table:

Range of family income included in expenditure study, by occupational groups and. tabulation unit

| Tabulation unit | Occupational groups ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Wage earner | Clerical | Independent business and professional ${ }^{2}$ | Salaried business and professional |
| White families |  |  |  |  |
| Metropolises: <br> New York.-... | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 500 \text { to } \$ 3,999_{-} \\ & \$ 500 \text { to } \$ 4,999_{-} \end{aligned}$ | $\$ 750$ to $\$ 3,999$ $\$ 750$ to $\$ 4,999$ | $\$ 1,250$ to $\$ 10,000$ and over $\$ 1,250$ to $\$ 10,000$ and over. | $\$ 1,250$ to $\$ 10,000$ and over. $\$ 1,250$ to $\$ 10,000$ and over. |
| Chicago.-....--- |  |  |  |  |
| Columbus.-. | $\$ 500$ to $\$ 3,999$. <br> $\$ 500$ to $\$ 2,999$ <br> $\$ 250$ to $\$ 2,499$ | $\$ 750$ to $\$ 1,999$ <br> $\$ 750$ to $\$ 2,999$ | $\$ 1,250$ to $\$ 7,500$ and $o v e r$ $\$ 1,250$ to $\$ 7,500$ and over | $\$ 1,250$ to $\$ 7,500$ and over. $\$ 1,250$ to $\$ 7,500$ and over. |
| Other- |  |  |  |  |
| Middle-sized cities |  | \$500 to \$2,499- | \$1,000 to \$5,000 and over-- | \$1,000 to \$5,000 and over. |
| Small cities: <br> New England. | $\$ 250$ to $\$ 2,499$ - <br> $\$ 250$ to $\$ 4,999$ | $\$ 500$ to $\$ 2,499$. $\$ 500$ to $\$ 3,999$ | $\$ 1,000$ to $\$ 3,000$ and over. $\$ 1,000$ to $\$ 3,000$ and over.- | $\$ 1,000$ to $\$ 3,000$ and over. $\$ 1,000$ to $\$ 3,000$ and over. |
| East Central. |  |  |  |  |
| Negro families |  |  |  |  |
| New York | $\$ 500$ to $\$ 2,999$ - <br> $\$ 250$ to $\$ 1,749$ - <br> Under $\$ 250$ to <br> \$1,499. <br> Under \$250 to <br> $\$ 1,499$. | $\$ 750$ to $\$ 2,999$. <br> $\$ 750$ to $\$ 2,999$ - <br> $\$ 250$ to $\$ 2,250$ <br> and over. <br> Under \$250 to $\$ 2,500$ and over. | $\$ 750$ to $\$ 2,999$ <br> $\$ 250$ to $\$ 2,999$ <br> $\$ 250$ to $\$ 2,250$ and over. | $\$ 750$ to $\$ 3,000$ and over. $\$ 500$ to $\$ 2,999$. <br> $\$ 250$ to $\$ 2,250$ and over. |
| Columbus |  |  |  |  |
| Atlanta. |  |  |  |  |
| Middle-sized cities. unit. |  |  | Under $\$ 250$ to $\$ 2,500$ and over. | Under $\$ 250$ to $\$ 2,500$ and over. |

1 The occupational group in which a family was classified was determined by the source from which a major portion of its earnings were derived.

2 Families in which the major portions of earnings were derived from work in which the earner was selfemployed.

Family type groups.-Only families of the relatively more frequent types were included in the sample eligible for the expenditure schedule. For the purpose of distinguishing the expenditure patterns of the predominant family-composition groups, the following types were defined. (See fig. 2):

| Fami | Composition |
| :---: | :---: |
| I | Husband and wife (families of 2 persons). |
| II | Husband and wife, and 1 child under 16 years (families of 3 persons). |
| III | Husband and wife, and 2 children under 16 years (families of 4 persons). |
| IV | Husband, wife, 1 person 16 years or over, and 1 or no other person regardless of age (families of 3 or 4 persons). |
| V | Husband, wife, and 1 child under 16 years, 1 person 16 years or over, and 1 or 2 other persons regardless of age) families of 5 or 6 persons). |
| VI | Husband, wife, and 3 or 4 children under 16 years (families of 5 or 6 persons). |
| VII | Husband, wife, 1 child under 16 years and 4 or 5 other persons regardless of age (families of 7 or 8 persons). |

These seven family types were studied in Chicago, in the white sample in the three East Central city units, and in the Atlanta Negro sample. Elsewhere, the funds available for the expenditure survey made it necessary to restrict the coverage to families of the first five types only.

Other eligibility requirements.-The expenditure survey was further restricted by excluding a few families for the following reasons:

1. The family did not occupy a home in the community for at least 9 months of the schedule year.
2. The family moved from one dwelling unit to another between the end of the schedule year and the date of interview.
3. The family did not have access to housekeeping facilities for at least 9 months of the schedule year.
4. The family had more than the equivalent of one roomer and/or boarder in the household for 52 weeks of the report year.
5. The family had more than the equivalent of one guest for 26 weeks.

## Sampling Procedures

The collection of data on family expenditures and family living requires more than ordinary skill and is very time-consuming. In the interests of economy it was necessary to develop special procedures in order that the families covered might constitute a representative sample of the population included.

Income is the most important single factor influencing expenditure. Since there are no directories of families by income, it was necessary in the first place to secure a random cross-section by income of the families that might be included in the expenditure survey in each community.

The percentage coverage of households for the random sample was determined in large part by the size of the community. The random sample for New York was equivalent to 4 percent of all families-for Chicago, to 10 percent. For the six large cities, this sample represented a 20 to 50 percent coverage; and in the middle-sized and small cities, 50 to 100 percent of the families were interviewed to obtain a minimum of information necessary to identify and classify the family. This random sample (the record card sample) in which data were obtained from about 625,000 families, made it possible to ascertain the distribution of the families in the whole community by nativity, color, and family type composition. From those families which met specified requirements as regards these three items, additional information was secured relating to income, occupation, family composition, and home tenure.

From this random sample, there was determined the number of families eligible for the expenditure schedule on the basis of the eligibility requirements described above. (See pp. 372-374 for the color groups, occupation, income groups, and family types covered in each area.) In order to hold the number of expenditure schedules to a minimum and at the same time to secure adequate information for types of families that are found infrequently in the community, it was decided to secure detailed expenditure data from an approximately equal number of families in the eligible group, for each family type group within each occupational class at each income interval.
$153119^{\circ}-41-25$

Families which were similar in respect to these three controls were taken to represent an expenditure pattern unit, or "cell." So far as practicable, the goal in Chicago, the East Central cities (white sample) and Atlanta (Negro sample) was to schedule ten families to represent each cell in each tabulation unit. In the case of the other city units the goal was to schedule six families for every cell. In each case the families scheduled as regards expenditures were drawn as a random sample of the families listed as eligible for scheduling in a given "cell."

It can be seen from table 1 of the Tabular Summary that the number of families from which data were secured was much larger in some cases than in others; that it was much larger, for instance, at the middle-income levels than at either the top or the bottom of the income scale. It will be seen, too, that despite the special efforts made in the sampling process, some occupational groups and family types are much better represented than others.

The discrepancies between the number of schedules secured and the general goal may be attributed to several factors, ${ }^{5}$ the most impor-

[^143]tant of which was the fact that families of specified types at certain income levels were not sufficiently numerous to yield the desired number of schedules. Furthermore, not all families from which expenditure data were desired contributed the information. Some had moved out of the city, others could not be found at home, while still others were unable or unwilling to give the detailed information requested. Of the schedules which were secured, a number had to be discarded because of inconsistency, incompleteness, or unreliability of data shown.

For some of the cells the random sample did not furnish a sufficient number of cases to permit computation of reliable averages for the expenditure patterns of the families. For example, in a 10 percent sample of Chicago families there were only four salaried professional families with incomes of $\$ 1,750$ to $\$ 2,000$ and with three or four children under 16. In this case and others like it an effort was made to locate more families of the type required in the cell by a process of stratified sampling, as for example by canvassing lists of doctors, lawyers, and the like professional people. Even with the use of the stratified sample, however, some of the cells did not have the desired minimum of families, and therefore had to be combined with contiguous cells to give a somewhat broader classification for analysis than had originally been contemplated.

## Number of families from which expenditure data were secured.

The total number of expenditure schedules used in the tabulation for each of the urban units analyzed by the Bureau of Labor Statistics was follows:

[^144]Number of expenditure schedules completed, by geographic area

| Geographic area and color | Number of schedules | Geographic area and color | Number of schedules |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Metropolitan centers |  | Middle-sized city units |  |
| New York: |  | New England | 854 |
| White families | 1,703 | East Central | ${ }^{1} 2,173$ |
| Negro families | ${ }_{1} 294$ | Southeast: |  |
| Chicago............. | 12,635 | White families. | 1,407 |
| Large cities |  | Nocky Mountain. | 1,620 1,244 |
| Providence | 1,217 | West Central. | 1,187 |
| Columbus: |  | Pacific Northwest | 957 |
| White families | ${ }^{1} 2,259$ |  |  |
| Negro families | 248 | Small city units |  |
| White families | 1,588 | New England. |  |
| Negro families | 869 | East Central. | 1,570 |
| Omaha-Council Bluffs. | 1,073 |  |  |
| Denver- | 1,346 1,738 |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ Excludes 76 families with no gainfully employed members in Chicago, 70 in the Columbus white sample, and 78 in the sample for the East Central middle-sized cities.

The number of families of the types, income, and occupational groups covered by the investigation are given in table $1 .{ }^{6}$

## Method of Securing Averages

Combinations of cities.-As noted above, the cities included in this study were selected to represent the various geographic sections, and size of city groups in the country. Data are presented for the two metropolitan and six large cities separately. The two or three middlesized cities in each geographic area ${ }^{7}$ were combined as a unit.

There were two main reasons for combining the data for the middlesized cities, and for the small cities in each region. In the first place, the number of schedules secured in each of these communities was necessarily smaller than in the case of the metropolitan centers and the large cities. These small numbers made some combinations necessary in order to secure reliable averages, particularly since it was desired to analyze part of the data by occupational group or by family type as well as by income level. In the second place, the middlesized cities and the small cities were selected as representative of the different community types predominating in the regions in which they were located. Significant figures on expenditures by families of given income, family type, and occupation could best be secured for communities with population under 70,000 persons by combining into one set of figures the data secured for all cities of a given size in each area.

[^145]With the tabulation of the data as outlined above, it is possible to make analysis of regional differences in the data secured, as well as of differences growing out of varying degrees of urbanization.

Combinations of occupations and family types.-The number of occupational groups differentiated in the tabulation of the data varied with the number of families in given groups. Data for the six major occupational groups (wage-earner, clerical, independent business, independent professional, salaried business, and salaried professional) were tabulated separately for the white sample in the metropolitan centers. Five occupational groups were differentiated among white families for the large cities with families in the two self-employed groups pooled; four groups were tabulated for white families in the middle-sized cities by further pooling the two salaried groups; only three groups were differentiated for the small cities, with all business and professional families considered as a unit. In the case of Negro families, families in all of the independent and salaried groups, respectively, were pooled for Atlanta; families in the four business and professional groups were pooled for New York and Columbus; and only two groups, wage-earner, and all other, were differentiated for the Southeastern middle-sized cities.

As noted above, expenditure schedules were obtained from families of seven types in Chicago, the East Central city units (white sample) and Negro families in Atlanta. In this region the sample was selected to be large enough to present data for 7 family types separately. In other regions only 5 family types were covered and the sample was smaller. Averages are presented for only three type groups: type I, types II and III combined, and types IV and V combined.

The weighting process.--Since the design of the study called for an equal number of cases in each income-family-type-occupation group, it was necessary to weight the data for each "cell" by its frequency in the total population represented by the survey. That is, in order to secure averages which adequately represent the whole group of families at a given income level, the simple averages for families of a given type within each occupational group were multiplied by the number of eligible families in the given cell. The sum of these products at any income level was then divided by the total number of eligible families at that level, to secure the desired averages.
The figures showing percentages of families at successive income levels reporting expenditures for the various items were derived from the simple percentages in each cell. These were then multiplied by the number of eligible families in the given cell; and the resulting products after being totalled for each income class, were divided by the total number of eligible families at the given level. Similar procedures were followed when computing percentages and average expenditures for specified family type groups at each income level.

That is, the products described above for each cell were totalled by family type-income groups; and were then divided by the corresponding total number of eligible families.

Except where specifically stated to the contrary, the averages are based on all families in any given group without regard to the proportion reporting expenditures on the specific item concerned. Average expenditures at a given income level for those families which reported an expenditure may be computed by dividing the averages based on all families by the corresponding percentage of families reporting an expenditure for the given item.

Averages are presented whenever three or more families furnished an expenditure schedule, although perhaps only one or two families reported an expenditure for the specific item shown. If, for instance, only two families were covered in a given family type-income group in some city or group of cities for which averages are shown, no average is shown for that family type-income group. (The figures for such families are included in any over-all averages.) If, however, five families were scheduled, but only two of them reported expenditure for household help, for example, during the schedule year, data are shown for the average expenditure for household help.

To secure an estimate of the expenditure among the eligible families in any tabulation unit for any specified item of expenditure over a wider income range than that presented in these tables, the average amounts, based on all families scheduled at each income level, may be multiplied by the number of eligible families shown at the corresponding income level (table 1). The sum of these products will furnish an estimate of aggregate amounts; and division of this aggregate by the total number of eligible families in the income classes combined will give an estimate of the average amount per family over the new range.

It must be emphasized, however, that these data refer only to the eligible families, that is, the native white or Negro nonrelief families containing both husband and wife, and meeting the other eligibility requirements outlined in the sampling section above and within the income ranges shown on page 374. No data were secured concerning the disbursements of families other than those which met the eligibility requirements set up for the investigation.

## Appendix B

## Classifications and Definitions of Terms-Glossary

The following glossary of terms is limited to those classifications and definitions needing general explanation for the tabular material on the detail of expenditures. Specific notes pertaining to individual items appear in appendix C of this volume, "Explanation of Tables." Items appearing on the expenditure schedule which are not discussed in the present volume are omitted from the glossary.

Any system of classifying goods and services necessarily has certain limitations and may not meet the needs of all groups or agencies which utilize the data. The classification adopted for the Study of Consumer Purchases is in substance one that has been found useful in other studies and which thus has the advantage of yielding comparable data. Since the uses to which specific goods may be put by consumers vary considerably from family to family and even within the family circle, depending upon the multiplicity of factors, the decisions to classify commodities in one category rather than another were necessarily arbitrary. The classifications determined upon have, however, been applied consistently throughout the tabulations.

Specific definitions of terms should be prefaced by the explanation that, whenever a sales tax was enforced in a particular community during the period covered by the study, the estimated total amount of sales tax paid for each taxable item appearing on the schedule was added to the total expense for the item.

Family.-For purposes of the Study of Consumer Purchases, an economic family was defined as a group of persons belonging to the same household and dependent upon a common income. ${ }^{1}$ Expenditure data were secured only from families including both a husband and a wife.

Family type.-Families were classified, according to the number and age of members, in one of seven types, as follows: ${ }^{2}$

## Family type Composition

I Husband and wife (families of two persons).
II Husband and wife, and one child under 16 years (families of three persons).
III Husband and wife, and two children under 16 years (families of four persons).

[^146]
## Family type-Continued

Composition-Continued
IV Husband, wife, and person 16 years or over, and one or no other person regardless of age (families of three or four persons).
V Husband, wife, and one child under 16 years, one person 16 years or over, and one or two other persons regardless of age (families of five or six persons).
VI Husband, wife, and three or four children under 16 years (families of five or six persons).
VII Husband, wife, one child, under 16 years and four or five other persons regardless of age (families of seven or eight persons).
The above family types are based upon the equivalent number of persons under 16 years of age and the equivalent number 16 years or over in the economic family during the year. By the use of a conversion table the number of weeks or membership of persons in the economic family for only a portion of the schedule year is expressed in terms of equivalent members. If the economic family contained in addition to the married couple, only one person who was a member for 26 weeks or less, he was not regarded as an equivalent member; had he been in the family for 27 weeks he would have been classified as one equivalent member. If two persons, both of whom were under 16 years, were members of the economic family for a total of from 27 weeks through 78 weeks, together they counted as one equivalent member; had there been members for a total of from 79 through 130 weeks, they would have been counted as two equivalent members. The same method of computation applied to persons 16 and over. If, however, the family contained one person 16 years of age or over and one child under 16 years, each for less than 27 weeks, neither would be counted as a member of the economic family, although the period of membership for the two together equalled more than 26 weeks.

Occupational group.-Families were also classified in one of seven occupational groups; wage earner, clerical, independent business, independent professional, salaried business, salaried professional, and families with no gainfully employed members. ${ }^{3}$ In general, the wage earner classification included all types of skilled, semiskilled, and unskilled manual jobs which are usually paid by the hour, day, or week, rather than on monthly or annual salary basis. In the clerical classification were grouped store clerks and salesmen working for others, as well as office workers. Professional, semiprofessional, and technical workers were included in the independent professional group when employed on their own account, and in the salaried professional group when they were employed by others on a salary basis. Persons classified in the independent business group were entrepreneurs owning and operating businesses of any type. Also classified in the inde-

[^147]pendent business category were families which derived the major portion of their earned income from roomers and boarders. The salaried business category consisted mainly of salaried managers and officials; chief officers of corporations who draw salaries, as well as minor executives, were thus classified in the salaried business groups even though some owned controlling interest in the business. The seventh category consisted of families that had no earnings from an occupation. ${ }^{4}$

The occupational classification of a family was determined by the occupational group from which it derived the major portion of its earnings during the report year, whether that portion was contributed by one or more family members. ${ }^{\text {s }}$

Income.-The total income by which the family was classified included money income (derived from earnings and other sources such as interest, dividends, pensions, etc.), and in addition, nonmoney income from housing (for owners, the difference between rental value of the home and current expense for interest, repairs, mortgages, and the like; for renters, the value of rent received as gift or pay).
To arrive at the figure for earnings from gainful occupations of family members (wages, salaries, profits, and other withdrawals from business for family use, tips, commissions, and bonuses), occupational expenses were deducted. Similarly, the figures for earned income not attributable to individual members (i. e., income and family enterprises such as the keeping of roomers and boarders, or casual work in the home) represented net rather than gross income from such sources. Items classified as nonearned money income were: Interest and dividends; rents from property; pensions, annuities, and benefits; gifts in cash, etc. ${ }^{6}$

Adjusted family income, presented in table 2 of the Tabular Summary, consists of total income plus the value of food and fuel obtained without money expense.

Expenditures.-Money expenditures include all money expenses incurred during the report year for current family living, whether or not the full amount was paid during the year. ${ }^{7}$ Balances remaining unpaid at the end of the year were considered as increases in liabilities. Total expenditures include money expenditures and the value of housing, food, and fuel obtained without money expense. All cate-

[^148]gories except housing and food are therfore represented only by money expenditures. Total expenditures are thus synonymous with "Money value of current family living," defined below.

Value of family living.-The money value of current family living consisted of money expenditures for current living, and the value of housing, food, and fuel obtained without money expense. The value of housing included the imputed net income from owned family and vacation homes and the rental value of housing received as gift or pay.

Nonmoney income from housing.-An attempt was made to evaluate all housing received without money expense, whether in the form of rent as pay or gift, or of imputed income from an awned home.

If a family received any rent as part of wages of salary, as in the case of a minister, a resident manager, or a janitor, the estimated monthly rental value was multiplied by the number of months such premises were occupied, and the resulting amount was included as a part of the family's income. If a family occupied rent free during any part of the schedule year, a home that was owned by a relative or friend, the rental value was estimated for the period, and from it was subtracted any housing expenses incurred by the family in connection with such occupancy. The net figure was then added to the family's income. Housing furnished to individual family members while away from home, whether as gift or in return for services, was not included in nonmoney income from housing, which relates solely to housing that served the entire family.

Much more important, on the average, than rent as pay or gift, was imputed income from owned home. If a family during any part of the schedule year occupied a home owned by a member of the family the rental value of this home was estimated (in relation to rental rates on equivalent quarters) for the period of occupancy. From this sum were deducted all expenses incurred for the home,for interest on a mortgage, repairs, taxes, special assessments, and premiums for insurance, during the period of occupancy. The remaining amount was considered as imputed income from housing, and included as a nonmoney part of the family's total income. If the expenses were greater than the estimated rental value, the family was considered to have had a negative income from housing.

This procedure applied to either a family home or an owned vacation home. The net effect was to place many home owners in a higherincome class ( $\$ 250$ intervals) than they would have been classified on the basis of money incomes alone.

Surplus or deficit.-The difference between the family's total money income for the year and its total money expenditure was, if a positive sum, a surplus, or, if a negative sum, a deficit. This surplus or deficit
was accounted for by one or more of a series of changes in assets and liabilities, described below.

Receipts.-The term receipts has been used to designate current money income plus funds made available through liquidation of assets or through credit.

Disbursements.-The term disbursements has been used to designate money expenditures for current family living plus money used to decrease debtsincurred before the beginning of the report year or to increase assets.

Balancing difference.-Due to the difficulty experienced by families, few of which kept detailed records, in accounting in toto for receipts and disbursements, a margin of tolerance was set up for discrepancies between the two. If the difference amounted to less then 5.5 percent of receipts or disbursements, whichever was larger, the schedule was tabulated, this amount being carried as a balancing difference. If the discrepancy was 5.5 or larger, the schedule was discarded. In cases where disbursements exceeded receipts, as accounted for by the family, the balancing difference was negative; if receipts exceeded disbursements, the difference was positive.

## Appendix C

# Explanation of Tables in Tabular Summary 

Table 1. Number of Families

Table 1 presents the number of families eligible for the expenditure study and the number reporting on expenditures. The data are shown by income groups, by family type and income groups, and by occupational and income groups for each of the tabulation units ( 16 for white families and 4 for Negro families) into which the cities were combined.

The "Number of eligible families" as shown in section A was used as the weights when combining the data for the various family types and occupational groups within each income class. ${ }^{1}$ Although the size of sample varied in the different communities, the figures in section A are stated in terms of a 100 percent coverage. ${ }^{2}$ It is necessary to express the weights on a comparable basis so that combinations of the data may be made by geographic areas or by income groups, if desired.

Section B indicates the number of families reporting on expenditures. As stated in the introduction those families having no gainfully employed members have not been included in the figures in this section nor in the remainder of the tables in the volume.

## Table 2. Adjusted Income and Expenditure

Adjusted income and expenditure are shown in table 2 in the same detail as the data on number of families in table 1. Adjusted income, section A, represents money income, nonmoney income from housing, plus the value of food and fuel received without money expenditure. ${ }^{3}$ The expenditure figures in section B represent money expenditure plus the value of housing, food, and fuel received without money expenditure. Thus, free food and fuel have been considered here as an additional part of income; and, in turn, these three items have been considered as part of the value of current family living, the

[^149]total current expenditure of the family for the year. Data on the value of clothing, and other items, received without direct money expenditure were not obtained from all families and hence it was impossible to include them in either the income or expenditure figures.

## Table 3. Living Quarters Occupied

The average number of rooms in the living quarters occupied at the end of the schedule year, the average number of persons per room, and the modern facilities of these dwellings are shown in table 3 . The living quarters occupied at the end of the schedule year were the same as those occupied at the date of interview since, as stated in the Introduction, the families were not eligible for the expenditure study if they had moved from one dwelling unit to another between the end of the schedule year and the date of interview. However, the number of persons occupying the living quarters represents those living in the family home as of the end of the schedule year rather than as of the date of interview. In order to study possible differences in living quarters not only as associated with differences in income, but also as affected by differences in family sizes and in occupational groups the material is presented by income class (all family types and occupational groups combined), by family type and income (occupational groups combined), and by occupational group and income (family type groups combined.) ${ }^{4}$

Average number of rooms in living quarters.-For purposes of this study, a room was defined as one having four walls to the ceiling. Half rooms and "pullman" kitchens were not counted. Bathrooms hallways, pantries, closets, alcoves, porches (unless enclosed and used for living purposes), and rooms used only for business purposes were excluded when determining the number of rooms in the living quarters.

Average number of persons in living quarters.-The number of persons occupying the family living quarters at the end of the schedule year included not only members of the economic family, but also roomers, paid help which lived in, and guests sleeping in the living unit. The average number of persons in the living quarters must be distinguished from the average number of persons in the economic family. ${ }^{5}$

Average number of persons per room.-The average number of persons per room was computed by dividing the average number of persons in the living quarters by the average number of rooms in the living quarters. These averages, shown in column 4, were computed from the weighted aggregates; hence, there may be slight differences in the figures when the rounded data presented in column 3 are divided by the corresponding rounded figures as given in column 2.

[^150]Percentage of families having living quarters with specified combinations of modern facilities. In order to study the extent to which occupied homes were "modernized," data are presented in the second half of table 3 showing the percentage of families which reported specified combinations of modern facilities. Thus the proportion of families reporting both running hot and cold water, inside flush toilet, electric lights, gas or electricity as kitchen fuel, and a central furnace are shown in column 5; and the percentages of families having the same combination of facilities with the exception of a central furnace are shown in column 6.

Further study of these facilities may be made by combining the data in the different columns. For example, the percentage of families having at least the combination of inside flush toilet, electric lights, and running cold water may be computed by adding the figures in columns 5, 6, 7, and 8. Again, the proportion of families having both running hot and cold water, inside flush toilet, and electric lights (disregarding other facilities) may be determined by adding the data in columns 5, 6, and 7.

## Table 4. Housing

A summary of the money value of all housing secured with and without money expenditure is presented in table 4. Expenses incurred during the report year for the family home and for other housing were reported for both owning families and renting families. For owning families all expenses incurred for repairs, interest, insurance, and the like were included. For renting families housing expenses were based on the rental rate contracted for, minus any concessions granted by the landlord, and plus any repairs paid by the tenant. Housing expense for renting families included fuel, light, and/or refrigeration when one or more of these items was included in the rental rate. Because of the fact that fuel, light, and/or refrigeration were frequently included in the rent paid by many renting families, the expense for these items has been included when studying the money value of all housing. ${ }^{6}$ The averages shown in column 3 do not contain an evaluation of the fuel, light, and refrigeration included in the rent; neither do they include an evaluation of free fuel.

No attempt was made to apportion and deduct from family expenditure an amount for space rented to roomers. Since, however, expenditure data were not taken from families having the equivalent of more than one lodger throughout the year the value of such space was not an important item to the family.
Housing expense incurred during the schedule year but not paid at the end of the year was recorded in the housing section of the schedule, and was carried as an increase in liabilities.

[^151]The data are presented in this table for all families (whether or not all families reported expenditure in each case) at successive income levels with family type and occupational groups combined.

Money value of housing.-The money value of housing, excluding expenditure for fuel, light, and refrigeration (when the expense for these items was not included in the rental charge), included any expenditure for family home and other housing as well as imputed income from an owned home and rent received as pay or gift.

Money expenditure for family home.-Money expenditure for the family home included only expense for living quarters occupied by the family whether such quarters were rented or owned. (See discussion above for housing expenses for owners and for renters.)

Other housing.-Expenditures for housing other than for the family home included those for vacation home, lodging for family members while traveling (not on business) or on vacation, and room at school for family members.

Expenses for a vacation home, whether owned or rented, were of the same type as for a family home. If a family owned a vacation and rented it out during any part of the schedule year, the total rent was subtracted from the total expense incurred for the home and only the net amount was tabulated as expense. If the rent received exceeded expenses for the year, no net expense was incurred and the positive balance was included as a part of the family's income.

Excluded from expenditure for lodging while travelling was the amount paid by a family member while travelling on business or while working out of town. These amounts were considered occupational expense and were deducted from gross earnings in arriving at family income.

Imputed income from owned home.-The rental value of a home owned and occupied by the family was estimated (in relation to the rental rates of similar types of dwelling quarters) for the period of occupancy. From this sum was deducted all expense incurred for the home, such as interest on mortgages, repairs, taxes, special assessments, and insurance. The remaining amount was considered as imputed income from housing and included as a nonmoney part of the family's total income. If the expenses were greater than the estimated rental value the family was considered to have a negative income from housing. This procedure applied to either a family home or an owned vacation home. (The net effect was to place many home owners in one higher income class, $\$ 250$ intervals, than they would have been on the basis of money income alone.)

Rent received as pay or gift.-Rent received as pay or gift was also considered as value of housing received without money expenditure. If a family received any rent as part of wages or salary as in the case of a minister, a resident manager, or a janitor, the estimated monthly
rental value was multiplied by the number of months such premises were occupied; or if a family occupied rent free, during any part of the schedule year, a home that was owned by a relative (not a member of the economic family) or a friend, the rental value was estimated for the period and from it was subtracted any housing expense incurred by the family in connection with such occupancy. The net figures were considered as rent received as pay and as gift, respectively, and were also added to the family's income. Housing furnished to individual family members, while away from home, either as gift or in return for services, was not included as nonmoney income from housing for the family.

## Table 5. Family Housing and Facilities Included in Rent

The distribution by tenure of families reporting expenditures, the average housing expenditure for home-owning families and for renting families, and the percentage of renting families having specified facilities included in rent are presented in table 5. For the purpose of comparing housing expenditures of home owners and of renters, a family was classified as owning only if it occupied an owned home during the entire year, and as renting only if it rented during the entire year and received no rent as gift or pay. Families which rented during a part of the schedule year and were home owners during the other part were considered as families of mixed tenure. If any rent was received as gift or pay by a family, such a family was classified as one receiving rent as gift or pay and was not classified as a renting family or as a family of mixed tenure.

The classification of families receiving rent as pay differed in the random sample from that just mentioned for the expenditure study. In the random sample in which income and rent data were secured, families receiving rent as pay were considered as renters and were not grouped with those receiving rent as gift. In classifying the number of eligible families by tenure, then, families receiving rent as pay were defined as renters. In presenting by tenure the distribution of families from whom expenditure data were obtained, such families were grouped with those receiving rent as a gift. The apparent discrepancy between the data for eligible families and the distributions by tenure within the controlled sample is, in part, accounted for by this change in definition of the different tenure groups. ${ }^{7}$

Number of eligible families.-In order to compute average housing expenditure for owning families and for renting families it was necessary to determine the number of home owners and the number of renters in the random sample which were eligible for the expenditure study. These, in turn were used as weights when combining average

[^152]expenditures in each cell for owners and for renters in order to present the data for the two tenure groups by income class, with all occupational and family type groups combined. The number of such eligible owners and renters in the random sample is indicated in columns 3 and 4, respectively, of the table. ${ }^{8}$

The total number of eligible families (column 2) includes families of mixed tenure and those receiving rent as gift; hence the sum of columns 3 and 4 does not in all cases equal the figures in column 2 .

Distribution of families reporting expenditures.-The percentage distribution according to tenure of families included in the expenditure analyses is shown by income classes (family type and occupational groups combined) in columns 5 through 8. ${ }^{9}$ These percentages should not be considered as representing the distribution of families for a particular family type or occupational group since the distribution of families according to tenure may vary considerably within each category.

Housing expenditure.--Housing expenditure for owned home for the year included interest on mortgage, refinancing charges, taxes payable, expense for repairs and replacements, special assessments, and premiums for insurance on the home (fire, tornado, hail, etc.). For all items except insurance, the expenditure refers to amounts incurred during the schedule year whether or not they were entirely paid before the end of the year. Balances remaining unpaid were carried as increases in liabilities. In the case of insurance premiums, no attempt was made to prorate payments for previous or coming years as an allocation of expense for the current year. Repairs and replacement, even though made with more costly material than those originally used, were considered as renovating or replacing worn parts; and because they did not add to the value of the house, their cost was included as a current expenditure. Structural additions and other permanent improvements to the home, on the other hand, were not classified as current expenditures for housing but as increases in assets.

If the home owned and occupied by the family was a two-family or multiple-family house, only that portion of the expense which applied to the living quarters of the owner's family was included as expense for owned home. The basis for this allocation was the comparative monthly rental values of the dwelling units under consideration. The remaining expense was deducted from gross rents, when deriving a net figure that was added to the family's income.

[^153]Expense for renters includes only expense for dwelling units occupied by the entire family group. The number of months of occupancy of the rented home was multiplied by the monthly rental rate to obtain the total amount of rent. From this sum was deducted the value of any rental concessions received by the family. Concessions were distinguished from rent as gift or pay and were defined as occupancy given free by the landlord for a limited time as an inducement to the family to rent the living quarters. To the total rent was added the value of repairs or improvements paid for by the tenant, if the landlord did not reimburse the family. Rent incurred but not paid during the schedule year was included here, and also carried as an increase in family liabilities.

Housing expenditures shown in the table are those based on owning families and on renting families respectively; and are not based on all families regardless of tenure. (See definition of owners and of renters above.)

Housing expenditure, other.-Expenditure for housing other than for the family home included expenditure for vacation home, lodging while traveling (not on business) or on vacation, and room at school. (See discussion under table 4 for more detailed comments.)
Facilities included in rent.-Families which were renting at the end of the schedule year were asked to state which of the specified list of housing facilities were furnished by the landlord and covered by the rental rate for the living quarters. The percentage of these renting families which reported specified facilities included in rent is shown in the latter part of table 5. Also, the percentage reporting none of the facilities listed is shown.

Facilities were not considered as included in the rent if the family paid separate amounts for their use, over and above the rental for the living quarters themselves. Distinction should be made between "mechanical refrigerator" and "refrigeration." The former item refers to the instrument and the latter to the electricity, gas, ice, or other power which is necessary for the operation of the refrigerator.

## Table 6. Housing for Home-Owning Families

The percentage of home-owning families which reported expenditure for repairs and replacements and for insurance premiums, together with the average expenditure for all items of housing for owners, is presented in table 6 for such owning families at successive income levels. These data pertain only to expenditures for owned family homes and for other housing of home-owning families; and the percentages and averages shown have been derived from the cell data by using the number of eligible owners as weights.

Owned family home. - Owned family homes refer to those which were owned and occupied during the schedule year by the family. This
excludes vacation homes even though owned by a member of the family. Total expenditures during the year for interest on mortgages, refinancing charges, current taxes, assessments, repairs and replacements, and insurance were recorded here. Any unpaid balances at the end of the schedule year were considered as increase in liabilities. The total amount of insurance premiums paid during the year was recorded even though such payments covered periods extending beyond the schedule year; similarly, no allocation of amounts paid in prior years was made. Repairs and replacements must be distinguished from structural inprovements, which were classified as increases in assets.

Other housing.-This item is the same as that shown in column 10 of table 5. It is repeated here in order to show all housing expenditures for home owners.

## Table 7. Household Operation-Fuel, Light and Refrigeration

Household operation includes three groups of items: Fuel, light, and refrigeration; items of general household operation; and paid household help. In table 7 the percentage of families reporting expenditure for fuel, light, and refrigeration (sec. A) and the average expenditure for such items (sec. B) are presented. (Data for the other two groups of items for household operation appear in table 8.) In addition to showing the material for families at successive income levels (family type and occupational groups combined), the information is given by family type groups at successive income levels. Averages are based on all families scheduled at each level without regard to the proportion of families reporting expenditure for a given item. Average expenditures for those families reporting a particular expenditure may be derived by dividing the averages for all families, as shown in section B, by the corresponding percentages of families reporting expenditures (sec. A).

Average expenditures presented for fuel, light, and refrigeration represent an understatement of expenditure for this category since in the case of renters one or more of these items was sometimes included in the rent and covered by the rental rate. No evaluation has been made in such cases.

If expenses for fuel, light, and refrigeration were paid by the family for a period of the schedule year when the home was rented to some other family such expenditures were excluded from the scheduled family's total household operation and were deducted from the gross rents received in computing net income from rent.

The percentages shown for total fuel, light, and refrigeration (column 2, sec. A) represent the proportion of families reporting expenditure for any one or more items shown in this table; they are not arithmetic sums of the percentages for the detailed items. This also applies
to the percentages shown for families reporting expenditure for coal in column 3.

Wood and kindling included sawdust, charcoal, and prestologs. Rented heat and fuels other than those specified were entered in the miscellaneous group.

## Table 8. Other Household Operation

Expenditures for household operation other than for fuel, light, and refrigeration have been divided into two parts-those for general household operation and those for paid household help. The data presented at successive income levels (family type and occupational groups combined), and for family type groups at successive income levels (occupational groups combined). Averages are based on all families scheduled within each income class whether or not all families reported an expenditure contributing to a particular average.

General household operation.-The percentage of families reporting expenditure for telephone, and the average expenditures for items of general household operation for all homes occupied during the year are included in this section.

The percentage of families reporting expenditure for telephone at each income level should not be interpreted as an exact percentage of families which subscribe for telephone service, but may be considered as an approximate measure. Some families which were not subscribers may have had expense for coin box calls only or have paid small charges for the use of house telephones. Also, the limitations of the sample must be recalled (white or Negro nonrelief families containing husband and wife). Average expenditure for telephone by those families which reported such expenditures can be derived by dividing the average for all families (column 4) by the percentage of families reporting such expenditures (column 2).

Laundry supplies include cleaning powders, soap bars or flakes, ammonia, starch, etc. Laundry sent out included laundry sent to a laundress as well as to a commercial laundry.

Expenditure for writing supplies (such as writing paper, pens, pencils, ink, etc.) stamps, parcel post, postal money orders, telegrams, and radiograms are included in the averages shown in column 7. Average expenditures shown in column 8 included moving costs as well as expenditures for freight and express.

Expenses for such items as scouring pads, disinfectants, floor wax, furniture polish, clothes pins, paper napkins, matches, flowers for household decoration, plants, and garden supplies were not segregated but were grouped as "other" general household operating expenses.

The high figure of $\$ 67.70$ for "other household operation" reported for Denver families of type I with incomes from $\$ 3,500$ to $\$ 3,999$ is accounted for by an unusual garden expenditure of one family.

Paid household help.-The percentage of families reporting expenditure for paid household help and the average amount of such expenditures are given in the second section of table 8. In addition, the average number of weeks help was employed and the average number of meals furnished per week is shown. In each case the averages are based on all families whether or not they employed help. Average expenditure for all paid help may be derived for families employing help by dividing the expenditure for all families by the corresponding percentage of families having help. The percentages shown cannot be applied to data for full-time or for part-time help because of the large variation in the groups as compared with the total, that is, because not all families have both part-time and full-time help. The families having help classified their household workers as full-time or as part-time employees.

Nursemaids were classified as household help but fees charged by nurses caring for the sick were considered as medical care. Expenses for the employment of seamstresses for the duration of a specific job to make or repair clothing for the family, or to sew household linens was included with the expense for clothing and furnishings, respectively. The cost of employing a laundress was included here only if the laundry work was done on the family's premises. Besides the cash wages paid by the family to servants the total expenditure for household help included carfare for which the servants were reimbursed by the family, the amounts spent by the family during the year to outfit their servants, and amounts given in tips and presents to doormen, elevator men, and delivery boys not directly employed by the family. The money value of gifts which did not represent a direct money expense to the family was not included in the expense for household help. Meals furnished to servants by the family were considered a part of family food expenditure rather than part of the wages paid.

The average number of weeks help was employed represents the extent of employment of help for all families (even though all families did not report employment of help); this does not indicate the extent to which household workers received employment during the year. The extent of employment of household help by families having help, and the average number of meals furnished per week by such families, may be determined by dividing "Average weeks all paid help employed" and also "Average meals furnished all paid help per week" (columns 16 and 19, respectively) by the corresponding percentages of families employing help (column 11).

## Appendix D

## Facsimile of Expenditure Schedule
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## Appendix E

## Communities Included by Bureau of Home Economics in the Analyses of Family Expenditures

A parallel study was conducted by the Bureau of Home Economics of the United States Department of Agriculture which, together with the study made by the Bureau of Labor Statistics, constitutes the Study of Consumer Purchases. The communities in which the families were visited by the agents of the Bureau of Home Economics and the Bureau of Labor Statistics, respectively, are listed in Bulletin 649 , vol. I, page 297 . For consistency in analysis of expenditure data, schedules for all small cities in the regions mentioned hereafter were analyzed by one or the other Bureau, irrespective of which Bureau had gathered the data. The Bureau of Home Economics analyzed all expenditure data for small cities in the Southeast and the West Central-Rocky Mountain Region. Likewise, the Bureau of Labor Statistics analyzed all data for small cities in New England.

List of Communities Included by Bureau of Home Economics in the Analyses of Family Expenditure


## Appendix $\mathbf{F}$

## Cities Included by Bureau of Labor Statistics in the Study of Money Disbursements of Wage Earners and Clerical Workers

An investigation of the income and money disbursements of families of wage earners and clerical workers was undertaken by the Bureau of Labor Statistics in the fall of 1934 for the purpose of revising the cost of living index published currently by the Bureau. The data from that investigation cover 1 year within the period 1934-36 and include details of income, family composition, expenditures for principal categories, and for detailed items of consumption for a total sample of 14,668 families of employed wage earners and lower salaried clerical workers in 42 cities, all with population over 50,000 . Data on quantities of food, clothing, and furnishings and equipment purchased; on types of medical care received; and on changes in assets and liabilities are also included. A summary of these findings is presented in United States Bureau of Labor Statistics Bulletin No. 638.

The individual cities for which data are available and the bulletins in which the detailed data appear are as follows:
North Atlantic Region, New York City (B. L. S. Bull. 637, vol. I): White and Negro families.
North Atlantic Region, Eleven Cities (B. L. S. Bull. 637, vol. II) :

Boston, Mass.
Buffalo, N. Y.
Johnstown, Pa.
Lancaster, Pa .
Manchester, N. H.
Philadelphia, Pa. (white and Negro families).

Pittsburgh, Pa. (white and Negro families).
Portland, Maine.
Rochester, N. Y.
Scranton, Pa.
Springfield, Mass.

East North Central Region (B. L. S. Bull. 636) :
Cincinnati, Ohio (white and Negro Grand Rapids, Mich. families).
Cleveland, Ohio.
Columbus, Ohio.
Detroit, Mich.

West North Central and Mountain Region (B. L. S. Bull. 641):

Denver, Colo.
Kansas City, Mo., and Kansas City, Kans. (white and Negro families).
Southern Region (B. L. S. Bull. 640) :
Baltimore, Md. (white and Negro families).
Birmingham, Ala. (white and Negro families).
Dallas, Tex.
Houston, Tex. (white other than Mexican and Mexican families).
Jackson, Miss. (white and Negro families).
Jacksonville, Fla.
Louisville, Ky. (white and Negro families).
Pacific Region (B. L. S. Bull. 639):
Los Angeles, Calif. (white other than Mexican and Mexican families).
Sacramento, Calif.
42 Cities (B. L. S. Bull. 638).

Minneapolis and St. Paul, Minn.
St. Louis, Mo. (white and Negro families).
Salt Lake City, Utah.

Memphis, Tenn. (white and Negro families).
Mobile, Ala. (white and Negro families).
New Orleans, La. (white and Negro families).
Norfolk and Portsmouth, Va. (white and Negro families).
Richmond, Va. (white and Negro families).

San Diego, Calif.<br>San Francisco and Oakland, Calif. Seattle, Wash


[^0]:    For aale by the Superintendent of Documents, Washington, D. C._Price 45 cents (Paper cover)

[^1]:    1 Included in the money value of housing is the rent of renting families and the rental value of owneroccupied dwellings, expenses for fuel, light, refrigeration, value of free fuel, expenditures for lodging family members away from home including rent at school, and the value of rent received as a gift, or in compensation for services. Total expenditures have been treated as equivalent to the money value of family living which includes money expenditures for all purchases of the family as well as the money evaluation of goods and services received by the family without a direct money outlay.

[^2]:    1 Includes all current money expenditures for the family home and for the vacation home (mortgage interest, taxes, repairs, and insurance for owned homes, and rent for rented homes), and expenditures for lodging for family members away from home, including rent at school. Expenditures for fuel, light, and refrigeration are combined with expenditures for housing, since rent paid by many families included one or more of these items. The net money value of the occupancy of owned homes, the value of rent raceived as pay or gift, and the value of fuel obtained without money expense are also included.
    ${ }_{2}$ Incomes of $\$ 7,500$ and over.

[^3]:    ${ }^{2}$ See Family Income in Chicago, Bureau of Labor Statjstics, Bull. No. 642, vol. I, table 21, p. 39. $153119^{\circ}-41 — 2$

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ Includes all current money expenditures for the family home and for the vacation home (mortgage interest, taxes, repairs, and insurance for owned homes, and rent for rented homes), and expenditures for lodging for family members away from home, including rent at school. Expenditures for fuel. light, and refrigeration are combined with expenditures for housing, since rent paid by many families included one or more of these items. The net money value of the occupancy of owned homes, the value of rent received as pay or gift, and the value of fuel obtained without money expense are also included.
    ${ }^{2}$ Incomes of $\$ 7,500$ and over.

[^5]:    ${ }^{1}$ Includes expenses of owned or rented vacation home and imputed income from owned vacation home, hotel room while traveling or on vacation, room at school, etc.
    ${ }^{2}$ Springfield, Ill., Muncie, and New Castle.
    ${ }^{3}$ Beaver Falls. Connellsville, Logansport, Mattoon, and Peru.
    ${ }^{4}$ Incomes of $\$ 3,000$ and over.
    ${ }^{8}$ Incomes of $\$ 5,000$ and over.
    ${ }^{-}$Incomes of $\$ 7,500$ and over.
    ${ }^{3}$ Included in the figures on other housing expense are the amounts of income imputed to the owners of vacation homes. Such income was reported infrequently and, with two exceptions, amounts to only 1 percent or less of the total money value of nousing. At the highest income level in Providence and Columbus, imputed income from owned vacätion homes equaled 2 percent of total housing expenditures,

[^6]:    ${ }^{4}$ In cases of rent received either as gift or as pay, the family may incur minor expenses for repairs, etc., in connection with the free occupancy of the quarters. Such expenses have been deducted from the rental value of the dwelling in arriving at the money value of rent received as pay or gift.

[^7]:    1 The basic data on which this chapter is based are published in vol. I of each report on Family Income and Expenditure. The proportions and averages shown are based upon a much larger sample of families than the group which gave detailed information on expenditures. Thus wide fluctuations in figures due to small samples are avoided. Although the general patterns of home tenure found among the random sample of families resemble those of families whose detailed housing expenditures are shown in all other chapters of the present report, differences are to be expected both because of differences in the size of the samples and because of the restriction of the controlled sample to certain occupational and family type groups at each income level.

[^8]:    ${ }^{2}$ Supporting data for the analysis of the business and professional groups considered separately are based on the controlled sample and will be found in table 1 of the Tabular Summary.
    ${ }^{8}$ Bull. No. 642: Family Income and Expenditures in Chicago, vol. I, p. 86, table 48.

[^9]:    ${ }^{4}$ In Portland, Oreg., for example, the average number of rooms for the two home tenure groups was as follows:

    | Income class | Average number of <br> rooms |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  |  |

[^10]:    1 These flgures are based upon information given by a random sample of families and are published in the 7 regional reports on Family Income and Expenditure, vol. I (see pt. II, p. 371). This sample differs from the controlled sample of families supplying the detailed data on expenditures.

[^11]:    ${ }^{5}$ Families with these low incomes were either drawing on savings or other assets or were incurring debts in order to meet current living expenses.

[^12]:    ${ }^{6}$ According to the Real Property Inventory, "Urban Housing" report, 77 percent of the houses in the Northwest cities have wooden exteriors while 65 percent in the Northeast cities are wooden.

[^13]:    ${ }^{1}$ These figures are based upon information given by a random sample of families and are published in

[^14]:    ${ }^{1}$ These figures are based upon information given by a random sample of families and are published in the seven regional reports on Family Income and Expenditures, voi. I. (See pt. II, p. 371.) This sample differs from the controlled sample of families supplying the detailed data on expenditures.
    ${ }^{2}$ Percentage is not given because net current incomes under $\$ 250$ formed only a fraction of current receipts, which included borrowings, drawing on savings, etc.

[^15]:    ${ }^{7}$ See ch. I, p. 7.

[^16]:    1 To obtain the percentage which money expenses represent of rental value, the percentages in table 18, following, should be subtracted from 100 percent. The yearly rental value of home owners surveyed in the controlled sample appears in table J of the supplement to the Tabular Summary, p. 360.

[^17]:    ${ }^{1}$ Springfield, ml ., Muncie, and New Castle.
    2 Beaver Falls, Connellsville, Logansport, Mattoon, and Peru.
    ${ }^{3}$ Incomes of $\$ 3,000$ and over.
    4 Incomes of $\$ 5,000$ and over.
    8 Incomes of $\$ 7,500$ and over.

[^18]:    ${ }^{2}$ This regional difference seems to be corroborated by the data from the Real Property Inventory, 1934-36. Approximately 23 percent of the urban dwelling units surveyed in the Southeast as compared with 16 percent in all urban centers surveyed were either unfit for use or in need of major repairs. (Urban Housing, by Peyton Stapp, Washington, 1938, p. 17.)

[^19]:    ${ }^{3}$ Interest on mortgage was separated from the amortization of the mortgage. If families were unable to separate the two payments, an estimate of the amount of interest was computed from an experience table which took into account: (1) The amount of the payment last made, (2) the frequency of payment, whether monthly, quarterly, or yearly, (3) the number of payments that had been made to date, (4) the amount of the mortgage when given, (5) the term of years for which the mortgage contract was written (the amortization period), (6) the interest rate, (7) whether payment included taxes and insurance.
    ${ }^{4}$ See U. S. Bureau of Labor Statisties Bulls. Nos. 642 through 647 and 649: Family Income and Expenditure, vol. I, Washington, 1939.

[^20]:    ${ }^{5}$ The figures for decrease in mortgage represent net decreases. This is true also of increases. Hence, if a family both increased the principal and made payments on it during the year, only the net difference was recorded as either a decrease or an increase.

[^21]:    ${ }^{1}$ The proportions of home owners used in deriving these figures are shown in pt. II, table 5. They exclude families which were home owners during only a portion of the year. Thus the averages shown are only approximate for those income levels where families of mixed tenure were found.
    ${ }^{2}$ Springfield, IIl, Muncie, and New Castle.
    5 Beaver Falls, Connellsville, Logansport, Mattoon, and Peru.
    4 Incomes of $\$ 3,000$ and over.
    ${ }^{5}$ Incomes of $\$ 5,000$ and over.

    - Incomes of $\$ 7,500$ and over.

[^22]:    ${ }^{1}$ The proportions of home owners used in deriving these figures are shown in pt. II, table 5. They exclude families which were home owners during only a portion of the year. Thus the averages shown are only approximate for those income levels where families of mixed tenure were found.
    ${ }_{2}{ }^{2}$ Springfield, I11., Muncie, and New Castle.
    ${ }^{5}$ Beaver Falls, Connellsville, Logansport, Mattoon, and Peru.
    4 Incomes of $\$ 3,000$ and over.
    ${ }^{3}$ Incomes of $\$ 7,500$ and over.
    ${ }_{6}{ }^{6}$ Insufficient number of cases for computation of average.
    ${ }^{6}$ The comparison of the average amount paid on mortgage principal with the average interest payment (part II, table 6) is of interest but should be interpreted with caution since the average for interest payments is based on all home owners, regardless of whether their homes were mortgaged and regardless of whether they made interest payments, while the average for mortgage decreases is based on only those home owners who actually decreased their mortgages.

[^23]:    ${ }^{1}$ Springfield, Ill., Muncie, and New Castle.
    ${ }^{2}$ Beaver Falls, Connellsville, Logansport, Mattoon, and Peru.
    ${ }^{3}$ No tamilies reporting expenditure in income class.
    ${ }^{4}$ Incomes of $\$ 3,000$ and over.
    Incomes of $\$ 5,000$ and over.
    8 Incomes of $\$ 7,500$ and over.

[^24]:    1 Incomes of $\$ 7.500$ and over.
    Wallingford, Williamantic, Greenfeld, and Westbrook.
    ${ }^{3}$ Beaver Falls, Connellsville, Logansport, Mattoon, and Peru.

    - Incomes of \$3,000 and over.

[^25]:    1 The data on type of dwelling of renting families which are presented in table 0 of the supplement to the Tabular Summary were obtained from the random sample of families rather than from the controlled sample giving detailed data on expenditures.

[^26]:    ${ }^{2}$ See Bull. 648, vol. VI: Travel and transportation.
    ${ }^{3}$ No data were obtained on the amount of furnishings and equipment supplied.

[^27]:    ${ }^{1}$ See U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics Bulls. Nos. 642 through 647 and 649: Family Income and Expenditure, vol. II, Washington, 1939.
    ${ }^{2}$ It is almost impossible to differentiate between the items of home maintenance which theoretically should be charged against clothing, food or personal care and those which have to do with providing shelter and living accommodations. So in the present report no distinction is made according to portion of the expenditure which is personal and which is for the home.
    ${ }^{3}$ U. S. Bureau of Labor Statistics, Bull. 648, vol. IV.
    ${ }^{4}$ Included in the expense for fuel, light, and refrigeration is the money value of these items when they were received without direct expense to the family. Families reported free fuel infrequently in most of the cities. In Atlanta however, there was a report at every income level. Free fuel was received by one or more families interviewed for expenditures in every income class up to $\$ 3,000$ in Omaha and up to $\$ 5,000$ in Denver. In no instance did the value of free fuel amount to more than 1 percent of total housing value for native white families, except for the $\$ 250$ to $\$ 500$ level in the East Central small cities, where it was 3 percent. In Atlanta, free fuel amounted to 10 percent of the total value of housing of Negro families receiving incomes under $\$ 250$ and to 2 percent, at the income level of $\$ 250$ to $\$ 500$ but thereafter was only 1 percent or less.

[^28]:    ${ }^{8}$ A verages per family purchasing can be derived from pt. II, table 7.

    * According to the Real Property Inventory of 1934 (Department of Commerce), 20 percent of the dwelling units in Portland were equipped for the use of electricity as a cooking fuel.

[^29]:    1 Springfield, Ill., Muncie, and New Castle.
    ${ }^{2}$ Deaver Falls, Connelisville, Logansport, Mattoon, and Peru. ${ }^{6}$ Incomes of $\$ 7,500$ and over.
    ${ }^{3}$ Incomes of $\$ 3,000$ and over.

[^30]:    ${ }^{8}$ Expense for laundry sent out included cost of linens and other laundry for the home as well as personal items of clothes. Dry cleaning is not included in this category.

[^31]:    $\theta$ See ch. IV, for water rent included in the rent charge.

[^32]:    ${ }^{10}$ All persons employed by the family except nurses for the sick, seamstresses, and laundresses who did not do the laundry work on the family's premises were included as household help regardless of whether such help lived in the family dwelling.
    ${ }^{11}$ The classification of paid help into the full-time and part-time categories was made primarily on the basis of the family's understanding of these terms, rather than by an attempt to differentiate in terms of hours of work per day or week.

[^33]:    ${ }^{12}$ The data on household help for Negro families in New York City and Columbus fluctuate greatly, due to the relatively small number of families at each income level, so no generalizations on the basis of such figures seem advisable.
    ${ }^{13}$ The expenditures do include, however, money expense for aprons, or uniforms for paid help, tips and presents to doormen, elevator operators, janitors, delivery boys, etc., as well as to help paid by the family.

[^34]:    1 The number of rooms in the dwelling quarters does not include bathrooms, hallways, porches (unless enclosed and used for living purposes), alcoves, or rooms used only for business purposes.

[^35]:    2 The averages include all persons sleeping in the family living quarters at the end of the schedule year. Thus guests, roomers, household help living with the families as well as members of the economic family are included.
    ${ }^{3}$ Data on crowding are available from the figures published by the National Health Survey, 1935-36, Preliminary Report, Sickness and Medical Care Series, Bull. No. 5. Five cities which were surveyed by the National Health Survey are also covered by the present report from the Study of Consumer Purchases. The percentage of households with two or more persons per room, among white families, is shown in the table below:

[^36]:    4 Since family types VI and VII, which represent large families of no less than five persons and usually more, were studied in the East Central region only, the average number of persons shown for Chicago and Columbus is slightly higher than it would have been if only five family types had been included; because of the relative infrequency of these large families, however, the averages are not greatly affected.
    ${ }^{5}$ Unfortunately no data are available on the size of rooms, so congestion as such cannot be measured by the data of this study.

    - See ch. II, p. 19, for data from another study on number of rooms among owners and renters by income class.

    7 The National Health Survey for Atlanta, for example, showed the same percentage of colored relief families lived in quarters with more than one person per room as was true for white relief families, 56 percent in each case.

[^37]:    ${ }^{8}$ Data on the type of dwelling are based on the random sample.

[^38]:    1 See explanation of tables for definition of this item.

[^39]:    ${ }^{1}$ See explanation of tables for definition of this item.
    $\dagger$ Averages and percentages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

[^40]:    ${ }^{1}$ See explanation of tables for definition of this item.
    $\dagger$ A verages and percentages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.
    *Percentages of less than 0.6 are not shown.

[^41]:    1 See explanation of tables for definition of this item.
    *Porcontages of less than 0,5 are not shown.

[^42]:    ${ }^{1}$ See explanation of tables for definition of this item.
    $\dagger$ Averages and percentages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

    * Percentages of less than 0.5 are not shown.

[^43]:    1 See explanation of tables for definition of this item.
    $\dagger$ Averages and percentages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

[^44]:    1 See explanation of tables for definition of this item. * Percentages of less than 0.5 are not shown.

[^45]:    1 See explanation of tables for definition of this item.
    $\dagger$ Averages and percentages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.
    *Percentages of less than 0.5 are not shown.

[^46]:    ${ }^{1}$ See explanation of tables for definition of this item. *Percentages of less than 0.5 are not shown.

[^47]:    See explanation of tables for definition of this item.
    $\dagger$ Averages and percentages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.
    *Percentages of less than 0.5 are not shown.

[^48]:    ${ }^{1}$ See explanation of tables for definition of this item.
    $\dagger$ Averages and percentages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

[^49]:    1 See explanation of tables for definition of this item.

[^50]:    See explanation of tables for definition of this item.
    $\dagger$ Averages and percentages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.
    Percentages of less than 0.5 are not shown.

[^51]:    ${ }^{1}$ See explanation of tables for definition of this item.
    $\dagger$ Averages and percentages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.
    *Percentages of less than 0.5 are not shown.

[^52]:    ${ }^{1}$ See explanation of tables for definition of this item.
    *Percentages of less than 0.5 are not shown.

[^53]:    See explanation of tables for definition of this item.
    Percentages of less than 0.5 are not shown.

[^54]:    ${ }^{1}$ See explanation of tables for definition of this item.

[^55]:    1 See explanation of tables for definition of this item.
    *Percentages of less than 0.5 are not shown.

[^56]:    See explanation of tables for definition of this item.
    *Percentages of less than 0.5 are not shown.

[^57]:    1 See explanation of tables for definition of this item. * Percentages of less than 0.5 are not shown.

[^58]:    1 See explanation of tables for definition of this item.
    *Percentages of less than 0.5 are not shown.

[^59]:    ${ }^{1}$ See explanation of tables for definitions of this item.

[^60]:    1 See explanation of tables for definition of this item.
    $\dagger$ Averages and percentages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

[^61]:    ${ }^{1}$ See explanation of tables for definition of this item,

[^62]:    ${ }^{1}$ See explanation of tables for definition of this item.
    $\dagger$ Averages and percentages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

[^63]:    1 See explanation of tables for definition of this item.

[^64]:    ${ }^{1}$ See explanation of tables for definition of this item.

    * Percentages of less than 0.5 are not shown.

[^65]:    1 See explanation of tables for definition of this item.
    $\dagger$ Averages and percentages not computed for fewer than 3 cases,

[^66]:    ${ }^{1}$ See explanation of tables for definition of this item.
    *Average amounts of less than $\$ 0.50$ are not shown.

[^67]:    ${ }^{1}$ See explanation of tables for definition of this item.

    * Average amounts of less than $\$ 0.50$ are not shown.

[^68]:    ${ }^{1}$ See explanation of tables for definition of this item.

    * A verage amounts of less than $\$ 0.50$ are not shown.

[^69]:    1 See explanation of tables for definition of this item

[^70]:    ${ }^{1}$ See explanation of tables for definition of this item.

[^71]:    1 See explanation of tables for definition of this item.

[^72]:    1 See explanation of tables for definition of this item.

[^73]:    *A verage amounts of less than $\$ 0.05$ are not shown

[^74]:    1 See explanation of tables for definition of this item.

[^75]:    ${ }^{*}$ Percentages of less than 0.5 and average amounts of less than $\$ 0.05$ are not shown.

[^76]:    ${ }^{1}$ see explanation of tables for definition of this item.

[^77]:    ${ }^{1}$ See explanation of tables for definition of this item.

[^78]:    ${ }^{1}$ See explanation of tables for definition of this item.

[^79]:    ${ }^{1}$ See explanation of tables for definition of this item.
    $\dagger$ Percentages and averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

[^80]:    ${ }^{1}$ See explanation of tables for definition of this item.

[^81]:    ${ }^{1}$ See explanation of tables for definition of this item.

[^82]:    1. See explanation of tables for definition of this item.
    *A verage amounts of less than $\$ 0.05$ are not shown.
[^83]:    ${ }^{2}$ No family in this group reported an expenditure of more than $\$ 0.49$ for this item.

[^84]:    $\dagger$ Percentages and averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.
    *A verage amounts of less than $\$ 0.05$ are not shown.

[^85]:    ${ }^{1}$ See explanation of tables for definition of this item.
    ${ }^{2}$ No family in this group reported an expenditure of more than $\$ 0.4 \theta$ for this item.

[^86]:    $\dagger$ Percentages and averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases. *Average amounts of less than $\$ 0.05$ are not shown.

[^87]:    ${ }^{1}$ See explanation of tables for definition of this item

[^88]:    ${ }^{1}$ See explanation of tables for definition of this item.
    *Percentages of less than 0.5 and average amounts of less than $\$ 0.05$ are not shown.

[^89]:    *Average amounts of less than $\$ 0.05$ are not shown.

[^90]:    1 See explanation of tables for definition of this item.
    2 No family in this group reported an expenditure of more than $\$ 0.49$ for this item.

[^91]:    ${ }^{1}$ See explanation of tables for definition of this item.

[^92]:    ${ }^{1}$ See explanation of tables for definition of this item.

[^93]:    ${ }^{2}$ No family in this group reported an expenditure of more than $\$ 0.49$ for this item.

[^94]:    ${ }^{1}$ See explanation of tables for deflnition of this item.
    2 Includes $\$ 0.20$ for items reported as other fuel, light, and refrigeration.

[^95]:    ${ }^{1}$ See explanation of tables for definition of this item.

[^96]:    1 See explanation of tables for definition of this item

[^97]:    ${ }^{1}$ See explanation of tables for definition of this item.

[^98]:    ${ }^{1}$ See explanation of tables for definition of this item.

[^99]:    1 See explanation of tables for definition of this item.

[^100]:    See explanation of tables for definition of this item.
    Includes $\$ 0.50$ for items reported as other fuel, light, and refrigeration.

[^101]:    *A verage amounts of less than $\$ 0.05$ are not shown.

[^102]:    ${ }^{1}$ See explanation of tables for definition of this item.

[^103]:    1 See explanation of tables for definition of this item.
    ${ }^{2}$ Includes $\$ 0.60$ for items reported as other fuel light, and refrigeration.

[^104]:    1 See explanation of tables for definition of this item.

[^105]:    ${ }^{1}$ See explanation of tables for definition of this item.

[^106]:    ${ }^{1}$ See explanation of tables for definition of this item.

[^107]:    3 See explanation of tables for definition of this item.
    Includes $\$ 0.50$ for items reported as other fuel, light, and refrigeration.

[^108]:    1 See explanation of tables for definition of this item.

[^109]:    1 See explanation of tables for definition of this item.

[^110]:    ${ }^{1}$ See explanation of tables for definition of this item.
    $\dagger$ Percentages and averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

[^111]:    1. See explanation of tables for definition of this item.
    *Average amounts of less than $\$ 0.05$ are not shown.
[^112]:    See explanation of tables for definition of this item
    ${ }^{2}$ Includes $\$ 0.10$ for items reported as other fuel, light, and refrigeration.

[^113]:    1 See explanation of tahles for definition of this item
    Includes $\$ 0.10$ for items reported as other fuel, ligbt, and refrigeration.

[^114]:    ${ }^{1}$ See explanation of tables for definition of this item.
    Includes $\$ 0.10$ for items reported as other fuel, light, and refrigeration.
    $\dagger$ Percentages and average not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

[^115]:    ** Averages of less than 0.05 are not shown

[^116]:    ${ }^{1}$ See explanation of tables

[^117]:    *Averages of less than $\$ 0.05$ are not shown.

[^118]:    ${ }^{* *}$ A verages of less than 0.05 are not shown

[^119]:    ${ }^{1}$ See explanation of tables.

[^120]:    * Averages of less than $\$ 0.05$ are not shown.
    ** Averages less than 0.05 are not shown.

[^121]:    $\dagger$ Averages and percentages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

[^122]:    ${ }^{1}$ See explanation of tables.

[^123]:    **A verages of less than 0.05 are not shown.

[^124]:    ${ }^{1}$ See explanation of tables.

[^125]:    *A verages of less than $\$ 0.05$ are not shown.

[^126]:    TABULAR SUMMARY

[^127]:    1 See explanation of tables

[^128]:    **A verages of less than 0.05 are not shown.

[^129]:    ${ }^{1}$ Families with incomes below $\$ 500$ were excluded from the expenditure schedule sample
    ${ }^{2}$ Families with incomes below $\$ 250$ were excluded from the expenditure schedule sample
    ${ }^{3}$ Includes all families with incomes of $\$ 3,000$ and over.
    4 Families with incomes above $\$ 3,000$ were excluded from the expenditure schedule sample.
    5 Includes all families with incomes of $\$ 4,000$ and over.
    ${ }^{6}$ Includes all families with incomes of $\$ 2,500$ and over.

[^130]:    1 Includes all current money expenditures for the family home and for the vacation home (mortgage interest, taxes, repairs, and insurance for owned homes, and rent for rented homes), and expenditures for lodging for family members away from home, including rent at school. Expenditures for fuel, light, and refrigeration are combined with expenditures for housing, since rent paid by many families included one or more of these items. The net money value of the occupancy of owned homes, the value of rent received as pay or gift, and the value of fuel obtained without money expense are also included.
    : Wallingford, Willimantic, Greenfield, and Westbrook.
    ' Beaver Falis, Connellsville, Logansport, Mattoon, and Peru.

[^131]:    1 Data obtained from the random sample and published in the Tabular Summary of the volumes on family income, sec. B, table 14 A.
    ${ }^{2}$ Percentages not computed for fewer than 30 cases.

[^132]:    ${ }^{1}$ Data obtained from the random sample and published in the Tabular Summary of the volumes on family income, sec. B, table 14 B .
    ${ }_{2}$ The 7 family types are distinguished on the basis of the number and age of members other than husband and wife, as follows:

    I No other persons (families of 2 persons).
    II 1 child under 16 (families of 3 persons).
    III 2 children under 16 (families of 4 persons).
    IV 1 person 16 or over and 1 or no other person, regardless of age (families of 3 or 4 persons).
    V 1 child under 16, one person 16 or $o v e r$, and 1 or 2 others regardless of age (families of 5 or 6 persons).
    VI 3 or 4 children under 16 (families of 5 or 6 persons).
    VII 1 child under 16 , and 4 or 5 others, regardless of age (families of 7 or 8 persons).

[^133]:    1 These figures are based upon information given by a random sample of families and are published in the seven regional reports on Family Income and Expenditure, vol. I. (See appendix A, p. 371.) This sample differs from the controlled sample of families supplying the detailed data on expenditures.

[^134]:    1 Based on data collected from home owners in the controlled sample.
    2 Springfleld. Ill., Muncie. and New Castle
    ${ }^{3}$ Beaver Falls, Connellsville, Logansport, Mattoon, and Peru.

[^135]:    ${ }^{1}$ Data obtained from the random sample published in the volumes on family income, Bureau of Labor Statistios Bulls. 642-647 and 649, Tabular Summary, table 11. Since the sample was not controlled as to family type or occupation, the percentages cannot be applied to the controlled sample to obtain the average expenditure for interest on mortgage for families reporting this item.
    ${ }^{2}$ Fewer than 30 cases in sample.

[^136]:    ${ }_{1}$ The proportions of home owners used in deriving these figures are shown in Tabular Summary, table 5. They exclude families which were home owners during only a portion of the year. Thus the averages shown are only approximate for those income levels where families of mixed tenure were found.
    ${ }^{2}$ Springfield, Ill., Muncie, and New Castle.
    3 Beaver Falls, Connellsville, Logansport, Mattoon, and Peru.

[^137]:    ${ }^{1}$ The proportions of home owners used in deriving these figures are shown in Tabular Summary, table 5. They exclude families which were home owners during only a portion of the year. Thus the averages shown are only approximate for those income levels where families of mixed tenure were found.
    ${ }_{2}$ Springfield, Ill., Muncie, and New Castle.
    ${ }_{3}$ Beaver Falls, Connellsville, Logansport, Mattoon, and Peru.
    ${ }^{4}$ Less than 0.05 percent.

[^138]:    ${ }^{1}$ Data obtained from the random sample published in the Tabular Summary of the volumes on family income, sec. B, table 16. Since the sample was not controlled as to family type or occupation, the percentages cannot be applied to the controlled sample to obtain the proportion of families living in multiple-family dwellings.

    Springfield, Ill. Muncie, and New Castle.
    Beaver Falls, Connellsville, Logansport, Mattoon, and Peru.

[^139]:    1 The 5 family types are distinguished on the basis of the number and age of members other than husband and wife, as follows:

    I No other persons (families of 2 persons).
    II 1 child under 16 (families of 3 persons).
    III 2 children under 16 (families of 4 persons)
    IV 1 person 16 or over and 1 or no other person regardless of age (families of 3 or 4 persons).
    $\checkmark 1$ child under 16,1 person 16 or over, and 1 or 2 others regardless of age (families of 5 or 6 persons).

[^140]:    ${ }^{1}$ See the following bulletins:

[^141]:    Each bulletin is published in 2 volumes. Vol. I "Family Income" pertains primarily to the income data. However, an analysis of the relation of home tenure, and of rents and rental values to family income has been presented as a section in each of the seven bulletins on Family Income. In these reports separate figures appear for each of the 32 cities surveyed by the Bureau of Labor Statistics in the Study of Consumer Purchases. Vol. II "Tamily Expenditures" contains the summary of expenditures for each of the major categories of consumption such as housing, food, clothing, etc. Thus in these volumes total expenditures for housing are compared with expenditures for these other groups of items of consumption.
    ${ }^{2}$ The volumes which together make up Bull. No. 648, Family Expenditures in Selected Cities, are as follows:

    Volume I. Housing.
    II. Food.
    III. Clothing and Personal Care.
    IV. Furnishings and Equipment.
    V. Medical Care.
    VI. Travel and Transportation.
    VII. Recreation, Reading, Formal Edication, Tobacco, Contributions, and Personal Taxes.
    VIII. Changes in Assets and Liabilities.
    ${ }_{3}$ Families providing income and expenditure data selected the report year that they preferred, either the calendar year 1935 or a 12 -month period ending in 1936 . All data represent family expenditure for a period of 12 months during 1935-36, except the material pertaining to the details of expenditure for food. This is based on 1 week's consumption.

[^142]:    4 See p. 402 for the cities covered by the Bureau of Home Economics.

[^143]:    ${ }^{5}$ A nother obstacle to an exact control of the number of expenditure schedules in each cell resulted from shifts in the cell classification of families after the detailed interview on expenditure data. The income class in which the family was classified on the basis of the relatively short family schedule interview did not always correspond with the income class in which the family fell on the basis of the interview for expenditures. The shifts arose partly from the fact that the methods of computing income differed slightly for the two schedules and partly from the fact that sources of income which the family had forgotten to mention to the agent obtaining the family schedule data occasionally came to light in the course of the long interview in connection with the discussion of expenditures.

    Because of the extensive coverage of the family income survey, it was necessary to keep the time of the family schedule interview as short as possible. On that account information on the expenses of an owned home other than interest payments was not obtained from the families covered in the large random sample. Therefore, in estimating nonmoney income from home ownership for the income report, it was necessary to resort to a table of estimated average expenses at given rental values. During the expenditure interview, however, figures were obtained on expenses such as taxes, repairs, special assessments, and insurance and thus a revised figure on the nonmoney income from owned home was computed by subtracting the actual rather than the estimated expenses.

    Similarly, for families having boarders the income figure on the family schedule included the estimated net income from boarders after deductions had been made for the cost of food; these deductions varied with the amount of the payment for board and were estimated on the basis of data secured in the Bureau of Labor Statistics study of the money disbursements of wage earners and clerical workers. At the time of the expenditure schedule interview, detailed information was obtained on the food expenditures of the family, from which it was possible to compute more accurately the money expense for boarders' food, and thus to gauge more correctly the net income from boarders.

    No attempt was made at the time of the family schedule interview to determine nonmoney income from an owned vacation home. This figure was obtained, however, from information secured during the expenditure intorview, and is included in the income figure by which families in the controlled sample were classified.

    Furthermore, rent received as a gift is not included in the income figure of the family schedule but it was taken into account in deriving the income code of families giving expenditure data.

    Changes in the family type classification also resulted from the longer interview-chiefly because of differences in the definition of the economic family. Whereas all related persons living under the same roof, or eating at least two meals daily with the family whose income could be ascertained were included in coding the family type for purposes of the family schedule tabulation, only persons dependent on a common family fund whose expenditures could also be ascertained were regarded as members of the economic family in the controlled sample. The discrepancies in the codes resulting from these differences, however, were negligible; for example, less than 1 percent of all Chicago families from which expenditure data were secured were classified in a different family type by the two procedures.

    The shifts in occupational code resulting from the longer interview also were relatively infrequent.
    Aside from the effect of discrepancies arising from different definitions of the economic family, the longer interview brought to light additional facts concerning the net earnings of various family members. Detailed

[^144]:    information on automobile expenditure, for example, occasionally revealed a different, and more accurate figure for occupational expenses than that which had been deducted when reporting the net earnings of family members in the family schedule interview.

    In the process of obtaining the last schedule needed to complete a cell according to the basic plan, it sometimes happened that two or more fleld agents secured complete schedules where only one had been required. since these schedules added to the reliability of the averages for the given cells, it was decided to make use of all the completed schedules which fell within the income, occupation, and family type limits set for the investigation.

    The shifts in cells had another effect which, though not numerically very important, made some difficulty in preparing the basic tabulations; namely, expenditure schedules appeared in cells for which no weights were available since no eligible cases had been classified in these cells in the tabulation of the random sample. It was decided to give cells in which no random sample schedules were secured but in which expenditure schedules appeared a weight equivalent to the number of expenditure schedules appearing in the tabulations.

    These arbitrary weights would tend to make the number of families in the city appear greater than was actually found but counterbalancing these added weights was the fact that a number of cells which contained eligible cases in the random sample had no expenditure schedules, and thus were not utilized.

    The above discussion of changes in cell code has been presented primarily to give the reader some insight into the type of problems involved in obtaining equal numbers of cases in each cell in the controlled sample. Since the final code for the family type, income, and occupational group was prepared during the final office edit of an expenditure schedule, it was inevitable that changes would tend to increase the number of schedules in some cells and decrease others.

[^145]:    B In order to make possible comparisons between city and regional groups, the figures on the number of eligible families presented in Table 1 are given in terms of the total number of eligible families in each city or regional group; that is, in terms of 100 -percent coverage even for the cities where the sample was less than 100 percent.

    7 The geographic sections used are Northeast, East Central, Southeast, West Central, Rocky Mountain, and Pacific Northwest.

[^146]:    ${ }^{1}$ For more detailed definition, see vol. I, glossary, bulls. Nos. 642 to 647 and 649.
    See pictogram of family types, p. 9 .

[^147]:    ${ }^{3}$ The occupational categories are based upon the Works Progress Administration's Manual of Work Division Procedure, sec. 2, Occupational Classification (June 1985); and Index of Oceupations, Circ. No. 2A (September 1935).

[^148]:    4 The expenditures of families with no gainfully employed members were excluded from the tabulations shown in this volume. A special study of the expenditure of these families was made for Chicago, for Columbus white families, and for families in the East Central middle-sized cities. For this material, see vol. Il of bulls. Nos. 642 and 644.
    ${ }^{8}$ For more detailed statement, see vol. I, glossary, bulls. Nos. 642 to 647 and 649.
    ${ }^{8}$ For more detailed statement of the components of income as used in the study, see vol. I, glossary, bulls. Nos. 642 to 647 and 649.
    7 This was not true in the case of a few items such as fire insurance premiums on owned homes and contributions to the Community Chest. For these items only the amounts paid during the year were classed as expenditures.

[^149]:    ${ }^{1}$ See the discussion on sampling in appendix A. D. 375.
    2 The data for New York City are stated in terms of a 100 percent coverage of families in census tracts in which more than one-third of all the families were native born; these tracts include approximately 82 percent of native families in the city.
    ${ }^{3}$ Families were classified into income groups according to the amount of their money income plus nonmoney income from housing. The amount of income received by most city families in terms of food and fuel is very small, and no information on income of this type was secured on the income (family) schedule used with the large random sample.

[^150]:    ${ }^{4}$ See appendix A, p. 379, for weighting process.
    5 See table 1-A, vol. III, bull. No. 648, for average number of persons in the economic family.

[^151]:    6 See table 7 for amounts paid for fuel, light, and refrigeration.

[^152]:    ? Shifts in cell classification, as discussed in the introduction, also account for some of the apparent error.

[^153]:    ${ }^{8}$ In order to make possible comparisons between city and regional groups the figures on number of eligible owners and renters, as well as the total, are given in terms of the totalnumber of eligible families in each classification for each city or regional group; that is, in terms of a 100 percent coverage even for cities where the sample was less than 100 percent.

    - These percentages may be considered, by way of analogy to other tables, as the percentage of families reporting expenditure for rent, the percentage reporting expenditure in connection with home ownership, etc. $153119^{\circ}-41-26$

