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## PREFACE

The analysis of family expenditures for the main groups of goods and services included in family living forms volume II of the United States Bureau of Labor Statistics report on the Study of Consumer Purchases in the Southeast. Volume I, dealing with the income, occupational, and family type distributions of the families living in five cities studied in this region, provides the background for the present volume.

The surveys for Atlanta, Ga.; Columbia, S. C.; and Mobile, Ala.; covered in this report, were part of an investigation conducted in 1936 by the Bureau of Labor Statistics in thirty-two cities in selected size ranges located in different geographic regions of the country. They were paralleled by studies of small-city, village, and farm families conducted by the Bureau of Home Economics of the United States Department of Agriculture. Both surveys, which together form the Study of Consumer Purchases, were administered under a grant of funds from the Works Progress Administration. Cooperating in the planning and technical direction of this Nation-wide study were the National Resources Committee and the Central Statistical Board. The plans for the project were developed and its administration coordinated by a technical committee composed of representatives of the following agencies: National Resources Committee, Hildegarde Kneeland, chairman; Bureau of Labor Statistics, Faith M. Williams; Bureau of Home Economics, Day Monroe; Works Progress Administration, Milton Forster; and Central Statistical Board, Samuel J. Dennis.

The present study differs from previous investigations of family living in that it represents the first effort to include in one survey a wide range of socio-economic groups. Past studies of family consumption have generally been confined to limited income and occupational groups, or to a particular locality, or both. Such isolated studies were unable to throw light on the relative position in the population as a whole of the particular groups under investigation. They failed to reveal how the consumption pattern of one group in the population differed from that of families in another occupational group or income class.

The present study of family expenditures will permit comparisons among different sections of the country, among communities of
varying degrees of urbanization, and, in several cities, including those in the Southeast, between white and Negro families. It covers a wide range of family incomes, from those just above the relief levels to upper limits set for each community that include every economic group of any numerical significance. It was planned, moreover, in such a way as to supply a sample that would permit comparisons among occupational groups and among families of varying composition.

The results of the expenditure survey for the Southeastern cities confirm, in broad outline, those revealed by the data for communities in other parts of the country. Although habits, tastes, and individual circumstances make for wide variations among families at the same economic level, both in the level of spending as compared with saving and in its apportionment among the several categories of expenditure, income is nevertheless the basis determinant of both amount and manner of spending. Of some influence, also, is the size and composition of the family, particularly for such categories as food and clothing. The occupation from which the family draws the major part of its earnings appears to have only minor influence on the level of spending for most categories, although differences in housing expenditures between the wage-earner group, on the one hand, and families in white-collar occupations on the other, are quite clear, at least among white families.

While the outlay for each of the main categories of consumption varies directly with income, the relative change over the income scale is much greater for some groups of items than for others. In consequence, the proportion of the total value of family consumption accounted for by some categories declines in successive income classes; while the proportion going to others increases or remains relatively constant. In general, the categories representing chiefly the basic essentials, such as food and shelter, decline in relative importance over the income range, while those that contain large elements of convenience or display, such as automobiles and clothing, increase relatively in successive income classes.

The Bureau of Labor Statistics wishes to acknowledge the assistance received from interested individuals and civic bodies, both within and outside the Government, in addition to the agencies mentioned above. Particular acknowledgment is due to two groups whose collaboration must be recognized as having made these studies possible: The W. P. A. workers who performed the field collection and office tabulation of the data, and the members of the households interviewed, who contributed the time and effort required to answer the detailed questions in the schedules.

In view of the fact that responsibility for certain parts of this survey was shared by persons outside the regular staff of the Bureau of

Labor Statistics, the Bureau takes pleasure in acknowledging the services of Lois E. Gratz and Hallie K. Price, associate regional supervisors in the Southeastern cities; William P. Koepp, supervisor of editing and coding; Olive T. Kephart, Lucile S. Lee, and Harlow W. Harvey, who served as check editors; and the following persons who served as supervisors in the various cities: Lois E. Gratz and Edgar B. McDaniel, Jr., Atlanta; William C. Rees, Columbia; Byron F. Hemphill, Mobile.

Acknowledgment is also made to Frances W. Valentine, Jesse R. Wood, Jr., and William Loudon, who were in charge of computation and tables; Joseph A. Smith in charge of machine tabulation; Dorothy McCamman, who served as chief check editor; Marie Bloch, Ethel Cauman, Verna Mae Feuerhelm, and Trusten P. Lee, who were in charge of editing and review.

May 1939.
Isador Lubin, Commissioner of Labor Statistics.


# Family Expenditure in Three Southeastern Cities, 1935-36 

## Chapter I

## Introduction

The analysis of the family income and expenditure data obtained by the Bureau of Labor Statistics in the Study of Consumer Purchases is divided into three parts, of which the present volume represents the second. Volume I showed the distribution by income class, occupation, family type, nativity, and home tenure, of families studied in selected communities of the Southeast. The second and third parts of the analysis both are concerned with data on expenditures for family living. In the present volume, this analysis will be confined mainly to a consideration of the size and relative importance of expenditures for the main categories of current living among families in these communities, with only incidental reference to the constituent items in these categories. As in volume I, variations associated with income, occupation, and family type are of primary concern. The third part of the analysis involves a study of the detailed items included in each major group, and will take the form of special commodity and service bulletins covering all regions, which will appear later.

In the present report data for Atlanta are shown separately, but those for Columbia and Mobile are combined to form one unit representative of middle-sized cities in this region. ${ }^{1}$ Since Negroes constitute a substantial portion of the population in the Southeast, a separate study of expenditures of Negro families was made in both Atlanta and the middle-sized cities.

The survey of family expenditures was designed to show primarily the way in which expenditures vary with income and with certain other characteristics of the family. It was, therefore, limited to

[^0]families that included a husband and wife, both native born, and that had received no relief during the year. ${ }^{2}$

The samples of families whose expenditures were studied in the Southeastern region were further restricted by eliminating certain income groups among white families, by excluding families with no gainfully employed members, and by limiting the occupational groups represented in the lower and upper portions of the income scale. ${ }^{3}$

Except for Atlanta Negroes, the collection of expenditure schedules was confined to five family types, eliminating thereby the less frequent types in the community. Described in terms of the number and age of members other than husband and wife, these five types, shown pictorially in figure 1 , are as follows:
I. No other person (families of two).
II. One child under 16 (families of three).
III. Two children under 16 (families of four).
IV. One person 16 or over, and one or no other person, regardless of age (families of three or four).
V. One child under 16, one person 16 or over, and one or two others, regardless of age (families of five or six).
Among Atlanta Negroes families of two additional types were studied. These, in addition to the husband and wife, contained:
VI. Three or four children under 16 (five or six persons).
VII. One child under 16, and four or five others, regardless of age (families of seven or eight).
In all three Southeastern communities white families of the first five types accounted for approximately four-fifths of the native white nonrelief families that included a husband and wife. This was also true in the case of Negroes in Columbia and Mobile. The seven family types studied for Negro families in Atlanta accounted for 94 percent of all native complete ${ }^{4}$ families of that race.

[^1]

When all families were taken into consideration in these Southeastern cities it was found that average income in Atlanta for the year 1935-36 ${ }^{5}$ was somewhat higher than that in the middle-sized cities taken together. Half of the Atlanta families had incomes under $\$ 1,028$ while incomes of half in Columbia-Mobile fell below $\$ 836 .{ }^{6}$

While virtually half of the Atlanta families were found in income classes under $\$ 1,000$, only about 1 family in 4 received more than $\$ 2,000$, and only 1 in $10, \$ 3,000$ or over. In the middle-sized cities taken together, 56 percent had incomes under $\$ 1,000$ and only 20 percent received $\$ 2,000$ or more. These figures on family income refer to all families, irrespective of race, nativity, and family composition, and include those that received relief at some time during the year as well as those that remained independent of public assistance.

The various limitations imposed in the selection of families eligible for the expenditure study, as well as the separation of the white and Negro families into two samples in each community, resulted in samples each of which showed a very different income distribution from that for the communities as a whole. In Atlanta, approximately half of the white families represented in the part of the Study that deals with consumer expenditures had incomes below $\$ 1,900$, while in the middle-sized cities half had incomes under $\$ 1,675$. Among Negro families, half in Atlanta were found in income groups below $\$ 740$, and half in Columbia-Mobile, below $\$ 575 .{ }^{7}$

The expenditure schedule used in the Study of Consumer Purchases provided for the collection of information on family expenditures classified under 16 categories, varying in urgency from food and shelter to recreation, gifts, and minor items of a miscellaneous character. The schedule contained information also on such matters as the ownership of automobiles and household equipment, including radios, pianos, mechanical refrigerators, and vacuum cleaners. In addition account was taken of transactions during the report year that increased or decreased the family assets or liabilities. ${ }^{8}$

Expenditure data covering the year 1935-36 were collected from 1,588 white families and 869 Negro families in Atlanta, and from 1,407 white and 620 Negro families in the middle-sized cities, making a

[^2]total of 4,484 schedules for this region. ${ }^{9}$ These data show that as family income rose the amount spent for each important group of consumption goods and services also increased. Expenditures for the different groups of items did not, however, increase with equal rapidity over the income scale. ${ }^{10}$ Thus, for example, expenditures for transportation increased more rapidly than income, at least up to the $\$ 2,000$ level, while those for food and home maintenance, although much greater at all economic levels than transportation expenditures, increased much less, relatively, and so represented a declining proportion of the total in successive income classes.

## Table 1.-- Distribution of adjusted family income ${ }^{1}$

ATLANTA: WHITE FAMILIES

| Income class | Average adjusted income | Percentage of adjusted income represented by 2- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Money value of current family living |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Net } \\ \text { sur- } \\ \text { plus } \\ \text { or } \\ \text { deficit }^{8} \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  | Total | Food ${ }^{3}$ | Home main-tenance ${ }^{4}$ | Clothing and personal care | Trans-portation ${ }^{5}$ | Medical care | Con-tributions and personal taxes ${ }^{6}$ | Other items ${ }^{7}$ |  |
| \$500-\$749. | \$650 | 104.0 | 47.4 | 35.2 | 9.7 | 2.5 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 6.0 | 03.1 |
| \$750-\$999 | 901 | 110.5 | 41.8 | 37.6 | 12.1 | 5.0 | 4.4 | 2.4 | 7.2 | -9.0 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 1,150 | 106.3 | 35.8 | 36. 5 | 12.9 | 9.1 | 3.0 | 2.4 | 6.6 | - 5.0 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 1,394 | 101.9 | 33.0 | 34.2 | 12.1 | 8.3 | 4.6 | 3.2 | 6.5 | ${ }^{9} 1.6$ |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 1,617 | 101. 4 | 31.0 | 34.8 | 11.6 | 9.0 | 4.8 | 2.7 | 7.5 | 80.7 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 1, 876 | 101. 3 | 30.0 | 34.1 | 12.0 | 10.2 | 4.7 | 3.7 | 6.6 | ${ }^{9} .1$ |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 2, 114 | 97.8 | 28.0 | 31.6 | 11.9 | 10.8 | 5.0 | 3.5 | 7.0 | 2.9 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 2, 396 | 96.3 | 26.4 | 31.2 | 12.2 | 10.7 | 5.1 | 4.0 | 6.7 | 4.3 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 2, 736 | 92.1 | 25.8 | 29.3 | 11.7 | 9.1 | 6.0 | 4.3 | 5.9 | 8.2 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 3, 238 | 90.2 | 23.7 | 30.7 | 10.9 | 9.5 | 4.2 | 4.7 | 6.5 | 10.4 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 3, 731 | 89.9 | 22.1 | 30.2 | 11.4 | 10.3 | 4.5 | 5.1 | 6.3 | 10.7 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 4,518 | 83.8 | 19.6 | 27.9 | 11.3 | 9.3 | 4. 5 | 5.0 | 6.2 | 15.8 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 5,946 | 83.4 | 17.7 | 26.6 | 10.3 | 10.5 | 5.7 | 6.1 | 6. 5 | 16.3 |
| \$7,500 and over | 11, 483 | 65.5 | 12.9 | 20.4 | 7.7 | 5.0 | 6.6 | 7.6 | 5.3 | 34.2 |

See footnotes at end of table.


#### Abstract

- Each of these four groups of families constituted a sample composed as nearly as possible of the same number of families in each income class, within each family type and each occupational group. Since this method, by design, failed to preserve the proportions of the several groups that were found in the population of families eligible for the expenditure schedule, it was necessary to use the proportions obtaining in the eligible sample as weights for all averages that represented combinations of occupational groups, of family types, or of income classes. See appendix $A$ for a description of the method of sampling.

10 While the present study represents an investigation of differences in expenditure patterns of families at different income levels, it provides inferential though not direct evidence on how any given group of families would alter the apportionment of their spending if their incomes were raised or lowered. Thus, it is convenient to express differences in expenditures among families at different economic levels as 'relative changes with income." The relative increase over the income range in the outlay for a given category provides an indication of the "elasticity" of expenditures for that category. Elasticity may be measured in terms of the percentage increase over a given income range in average outlay for the category (as in ch. IX), or it may be indicated by a comparison of the increase in average expenditures for the category in question with the increase in income or in total expenditures. Since the expenditure base has generally been used in the distribution of family expenditures, it has been convenient throughout the greater part of the report to speak of expenditures for specific groups of jtems as being relatively elastic or inelastic, according to whether amounts spent constituted an increasing or decreasing proportion of total expenditures. It will be apparent from tables 1,2 , and 3 that the elasticity of any category is much lower when computed in relation to income rather than to expenditures, because of the influence of deficits at the lower economic levels, and of savings in the upper portion of the income scale.


Table 1.-Distribution of adjusted family income-Continued
MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES: WHITE FAMILIES

| Income class | Average adjusted income | Percentage of adjusted income represented by- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Money value of current family living |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Net surplus or deficit |
|  |  | Total | Food | Home main-tenance | Clothing and personal care | Trans-portation | $\begin{gathered} \text { Medi- } \\ \text { cal } \\ \text { care } \end{gathered}$ | Con-tributions and personal taxes | Other items |  |
| \$250-\$499 | \$469 | 129.9 | 52.7 | 48.8 | 13.0 | 3.2 | 5.3 | 1.1 | 5.8 | ${ }^{9} 28.2$ |
| \$500-\$749. | 658 | 109.6 | 45.1 | 38. 5 | 11.0 | 2.1 | 5.3 | 1.4 | 6.2 | $\bigcirc 7.3$ |
| \$750-\$999 | 913 | 103.2 | 38.4 | 35.3 | 10.2 | 5.8 | 4.7 | 1.9 | 6.9 | \%1.5 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 1,140 | 102.0 | 34.9 | 35.6 | 11.2 | 6.1 | 4.8 | 2.2 | 7.2 | ${ }^{9} 1.4$ |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 1,379 | 100.3 | 33.1 | 35.4 | 11.0 | 7.0 | 4.8 | 2.8 | 6.2 | . 4 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 1, 635 | 99.1 | 32.4 | 34.4 | 11.1 | 7.0 | 4.6 | 3.1 | 6.5 | 1.3 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 1, 881 | 98.4 | 29.2 | 34.1 | 10.8 | 9.5 | 4.8 | 3.2 | 6.8 | 1.9 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 2, 145 | 96.7 | 27.8 | 32.9 | 11.6 | 9.3 | 4.4 | 4.0 | 6.7 | 4.0 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 2, 379 | 91.1 | 24.9 | 31.3 | 10.6 | 8.6 | 4.6 | 4.4 | 6.7 | 8.9 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 2, 750 | 92.4 | 23.5 | 33.1 | 11.1 | 10.0 | 3.3 | 4.7 | 6.7 | 7.7 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 3, 245 | 86.9 | 21.3 | 30.4 | 10.6 | 10.0 | 3.5 | 4.4 | 6. 7 | 13.9 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 3, 746 | 84.1 | 19.2 | 29.8 | 10.0 | 9.1 | 4.1 | 6.0 | 5.9 | 16.0 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999. | 4,411 | 84.4 | 19.1 | 28.9 | 9.9 | 9.9 | 3.3 | 6.5 | 6.8 | 16.2 |
| \$5,000 and over. | 7,473 | 71.5 | 13.6 | 25.3 | 9.2 | 7.0 | 2.7 | 7.8 | 5.9 | 28.7 |

ATLANTA: NEGRO FAMILIES

| Under \$250 | \$236 | 143.2 | 55.9 | 65.7 | 6.8 | 1. 7 | 8.1 | 0.8 | 4.2 | ${ }^{8} 41.5$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$250-\$499 | 437 | 109.6 | 45.1 | 41.8 | 8.7 | 2.1 | 4.3 | 2.1 | 5. 5 | 97.3 |
| \$500-\$749 | 667 | 101. 2 | 39.0 | 33.5 | 11.0 | 4.0 | 5.4 | 2.5 | 5.8 | . 3 |
| \$750-\$999 | 917 | 97.5 | 33.9 | 30.3 | 12.8 | 5.8 | 5. 5 | 3.4 | 5.8 | 2.9 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 1,185 | 96.1 | 31.2 | 29.8 | 13.4 | 7.6 | 4. 7 | 3. 5 | 5.9 | 5.0 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 1,409 | 94.1 | 26.8 | 29.8 | 14.0 | 8.2 | 5.0 | 4.3 | 6.0 | 6.2 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 1,677 | 92.5 | 26.0 | 28.5 | 14.3 | 8.5 | 5.2 | 3.1 | 6.9 | 8.3 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 1,914 | 87.0 | 22.7 | 26.7 | 13.5 | 9.8 | 4.9 | 3.3 | 6.1 | 13.9 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 2,147 | 85.9 | 23.9 | 27.3 | 11.4 | 9.4 | 3.5 | 4.2 | 6.2 | 14.0 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499. | 2,374 | 82.6 | 21.6 | 27.2 | 11.3 | 7.3 | 3. 5 | 4.3 | 7.4 | 17.7 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 2, 711 | 75.0 | 18.6 | 24.2 | 12.7 | 4.9 | 4.4 | 4.3 | 5.9 | 25.6 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 3,323 | 74.5 | 17.1 | 23.2 | 13. 1 | 8.0 | 3.2 | 3.8 | 6.1 | 24.4 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 3,929 | 73.2 | 14.5 | 17. 5 | 12. 3 | 13.3 | 6.8 | 1.9 | 6.9 | 25.7 |
| \$4,000 and over | 5,447 | 71.9 | 14.0 | 18.9 | 12.4 | 11.5 | 1.9 | 5. 1 | 8.1 | 27.7 |

MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES: NEGRO FAMILIES

| Under \$250 | \$238 | 115.1 | 51.7 | 50.8 | 5.9 |  | 3.4 | 0.8 | 2.5 | ${ }^{9} 14.3$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$250-\$499 | 403 | 101.4 | 41.6 | 37.7 | 9.9 | 0.5 | 5. 5 | 2.0 | 4.2 | ${ }^{8} 1.2$ |
| \$500-\$749 | 624 | 98.2 | 37.1 | 30.4 | 12.7 | 2.9 | 6.4 | 2.9 | 5.8 | 1.9 |
| \$750-\$999 | 875 | 96.1 | 34.2 | 28.6 | 14. 1 | 2.6 | 5.9 | 4.2 | 6.5 | 3.4 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 1,131 | 96.6 | 31.1 | 30.0 | 13.8 | 5.2 | 5.8 | 4.2 | 6.5 | 3.4 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 1,428 | 98.7 | 29.9 | 25.4 | 15.2 | 8.9 | 6.5 | 3.6 | 9.2 | 1.1 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 1,628 | 93.3 | 25.9 | 26.5 | 12.5 | 11.4 | 5.3 | 6.4 | 5.3 | 7.6 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 1,893 | 84.8 | 26.7 | 27.5 | 11.3 | 4.6 | 4.6 | 2.9 | 7.2 | 13.9 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 2,158 | 76.8 | 22.1 | 21.4 | 10.3 | 6.0 | 4.2 | 7.0 | 5.8 | 21.8 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 2,384 | 88.3 | 19.7 | 28.6 | 11.5 | 11.6 | 4.1 | 6.3 | 6. 5 | 11. 7 |
| \$2,500 and over | 3,170 | 68.0 | 18.6 | 21.7 | 12.7 | 2.6 | 5.0 | 3.9 | 3.5 | 34.0 |

1 The adjusted family income figures used in this table represents total family income as used in the income classification (money income plus the value of housing received without money expense), and in addition the value of food and fuel obtained without money expense.
${ }^{2}$ The value of current family living plus surplus (or minus deficit) does not equal exactly 100 percent of adjusted family income because of the net balancing difference. See glossary, appendix B, and Tabular Summary, table 1.
${ }^{3}$ Includes expenditures for food and the value of food obtained without money expense.
4 Includes expenditures for housing, household operation, and furnishings and equipment, and the value of housing and fuel obtained without money expense.

5 Includes expenditures for automobile purchase and operation, and other transportation.

- Excludes sales taxes, which were included in the expense for the items to which they applied; automobile taxes, which were included in automobile operation expense; taxes on owned homes, included in housing expense; and taxes on other real estate, which were deducted from the gross income from such property.

7 Includes expenditures for recreation, reading, education, tobacco, and miscellaneous items.
${ }^{8}$ Net surplus represents the excess of average money income over average current money expenditures.
Bee ch. II.

The urgency of the demand for food and home maintenance is so great that among the low income groups these two categories together absorbed all but a small proportion of current income. (See table 1.) In fact, among the lowest income class covered in each group average current income was insufficient to cover average expenditures for food and home maintenance alone. ${ }^{11}$ Average net deficits declined as income rose, but the point at which current income balanced current expenditures came at a much lower level among the white group. Among Negroes in Atlanta receiving incomes of $\$ 750$ to $\$ 1,000$, aggregate savings exceeded aggregate deficits, but among white families in the same city that balance was not achieved until the $\$ 2,000$ to $\$ 2,250$ level was reached.

When the other categories of current expense are also considered as a proportion of current income, it appears that each received an increasing proportion of the total up to a certain point in the income scale. After that point, which varied for the several categories, all of them except contributions and personal taxes registered more or less relative decline, giving way to the increased proportion going to savings. Medical care is the only group for which the expenditure pattern is not clearly defined in relation to income. The wide variation from income level to income level in the proportion of income going to medical services and supplies testifies to the emergency character of the great bulk of such expenditures.

The level at which a family lives in any given year depends not only on its current income, its past savings, and its credit standing, but also upon goods and services received without money expense. The most important of these nonmoney items for most families is the unpaid services of the housewife, but it is so difficult to arrive at a satisfactory method of evaluating such services that this factor of income was not included in the present study. It was possible, however, to obtain data on the value of the housing received by home owners without money expense in the year of the survey, of housing received as gift or pay, and of food and fuel received without money expense. The data given in table 1 include all these nonmoney items in the figure given for total income, and in the appropriate categories under the heading "Money value of current family living," ${ }^{12}$ as a percentage of total income. It is of interest, however, to follow average consumption patterns at successive income levels without regard to the source of the funds used, and without regard to savings. The

[^3]$125018^{\circ}-40-2$

Table 2.-Distribution of money value of current family living, by major groups
ATLANTA: WHITE FAMILIES

| Income class | Average total value of family living ${ }^{1}$ | Percentage of money value of current family living ? |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Food | Home maintenance | Clothing and personal care | Trans-portation | Medical care | Contributions and personal taxes | Other items |
| \$500-\$749 | \$676 | 45.5 | 33.9 | 9.3 | 2.4 | 1.8 | 1.3 | 5.8 |
| \$750-\$999 | 996 | 37.8 | 34.1 | 10.9 | 4.5 | 4.0 | 2.2 | 6.5 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 1,222 | 33.6 | 34.3 | 12.1 | 8.6 | 2.9 | 2.3 | 6.2 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 1,420 | 32.5 | 33.6 | 11.8 | 8.2 | 4.5 | 3.1 | 6.3 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 1,639 | 30.6 | 34.3 | 11.5 | 8.8 | 4.7 | 2.7 | 7.4 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 1,901 | 29.6 | 33.7 | 11.8 | 10.1 | 4.7 | 3.6 | 6.5 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 2,068 | 28.6 | 32.4 | 12. 2 | 11.0 | 5.1 | 3.6 | 7.1 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 2,307 | 27.4 | 32.5 | 12.7 | 11.1 | 5.3 | 4.1 | 6.9 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 2,519 | 28.0 | 31.9 | 12.7 | 9.9 | 6.5 | 4.6 | 6. 4 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 2,920 | 26.3 | 34.0 | 12. 1 | 10.5 | 4.6 | 5.2 | 7.3 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 3, 356 | 24.6 | 33.5 | 12.7 | 11.5 | 5. 0 | 5. 7 | 7.0 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 3,785 | 23.5 | 33.4 | 13.4 | 11. 1 | 5.3 | 5.9 | 7.4 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 4,959 | 21.3 | 31.8 | 12.4 | 12.5 | 6.9 | 7.3 | 7.8 |
| \$7,500 and over. | 7,530 | 19.7 | 31.0 | 11.7 | 7.7 | 10.1 | 11.7 | 8.1 |

MYDDLE-SIZED CITIES: WHITE FAMILIES


ATLANTA: NEGRO FAMILIEG

| Under \$250. | \$338 | 39.0 | 45.9 | 4.7 | 1.2 | 5.6 | 0.6 | 3.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$250-\$499 | 479 | 41.1 | 38.2 | 7.9 | 1.9 | 4.0 | 1.9 | 5.0 |
| \$500-\$749 | 675 | 38.5 | 33.1 | 10.8 | 4.0 | 5. 3 | 2.5 | 5.8 |
| \$750-\$999 | 894 | 34.8 | 31.1 | 13.2 | 5.9 | 5.6 | 3.5 | 5.9 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 1,139 | 32.4 | 31.0 | 14.0 | 7.9 | 4.9 | 3.7 | 6.1 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 1,326 | 28.5 | 31.7 | 14.9 | 8.7 | 5. 3 | 4.5 | 6.4 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 1,552 | 28.1 | 30.8 | 15. 5 | 9.1 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 7.4 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 1,665 | 26.2 | 30.7 | 15.5 | 11.2 | 5.6 | 3.8 | 7.0 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 1,845 | 27.9 | 31.8 | 13. 2 | 10.9 | 4.1 | 4. 9 | 7.2 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 1,960 | 26.2 | 32.9 | 13.7 | 8.9 | 4.2 | 5.2 | 8.9 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999. | 2,033 | 24.8 | 32.3 | 16.9 | 6.6 | 5.8 | 5.7 | 7.9 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499. | 2,474 | 23.0 | 31.2 | 17.5 | 10.8 | 4.2 | 5.1 | 8.2 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999. | 2,876 | 19.8 | 23.9 | 16.9 | 18.2 | 9.2 | 2. 6 | 9.4 |
| \$4,000 and over | 3,914 | 19.4 | 26.4 | 17.2 | 16.0 | 2. 7 | 7.1 | 11.2 |

MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES: NEGRO FAMILIES

| Under \$250 | \$274 | 44.9 | 44.2 | 5.1 | 0 | 2.9 | 0.7 | 2. 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$250-\$499. | 409 | 41.1 | 37.1 | 9.8 | . 5 | 5.4 | 1.9 | 4.2 |
| \$500-\$749 | 613 | 37.9 | 31.0 | 12.9 | 2.9 | 6.5 | 2.9 | 5.9 |
| \$750-\$999 | 841 | 35.6 | 29.7 | 14.6 | 2.7 | 6.2 | 4.4 | 6.8 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 1,092 | 32.2 | 31.0 | 14.3 | 5. 4 | 6.0 | 4.4 | 6.7 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 1,410 | 30.2 | 25.7 | 15.4 | 9.0 | 6. 6 | 3.7 | 9.4 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 1,518 | 27.7 | 28.4 | 13.4 | 12.2 | 5.7 | 6.9 | 5.7 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 1,606 | 31.4 | 32.4 | 13.3 | 5.5 | 5. 5 | 3.4 | 8.5 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 1,659 | 28.7 | 27.8 | 13.4 | 7.8 | 5.5 | 9.2 | 7.6 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 2, 105 | 22.3 | 32.3 | 13.0 | 13.2 | 4. 7 | 7.1 | 7.4 |
| \$2,500 and over | 2, 133 | 27.2 | 32.2 | 18.2 | 3. 7 | 7.6 | 5.9 | 5.2 |

[^4]distribution given in table 2 shows expenditures for the major categories as a percentage of total expenditures for current living and makes clear the changes in emphasis that follow changes in income status.

At the lower income levels, food, most urgent of the essentials of living, absorbed a larger proportion of total expenditures than any other category. ${ }^{13}$ Food and home maintenance (housing, household operation, and furnishings and equipment combined) accounted for more than three-fourths of total expenditures among white families with incomes under $\$ 750$ and among Negroes below the $\$ 500$ level. The urgency of these items resulted in very small allowances at such levels for other goods and services. With increases in income the amounts spent for food and home maintenance also increased, but other family wants gained a more important position, relatively, so that the proportion of total outlay going for food and home maintenance declined. Even in the highest income classes, however, these two categories accounted for half, or almost half, of aggregate expenditures.

Expenditures for clothing and personal care generally took the third largest share of total expenditures. Unlike the food and home maintenance categories, this group increased in relative importance in the total expenditure pattern as well as in absolute amount as income rose. This rise in relative importance of clothing and personal care was quite moderate among white families, but among Negroes such expenditures increased greatly as a proportion of total outlay between the lower and upper ends of the income scale.

Although the ownership of an automobile cannot be regarded as essential for families living in urban communities, at least half of the families above the $\$ 1,500$ income level in both racial groups were car owners and among white families at least one in eight reported ownership even at the bottom of the income scale. Thus, transportation expenditures are predominantly expenditures for purchase and operation of automobiles at almost all income levels above the lowest. The rapid growth within a generation in automobile expenditures to rank among the major categories in the family budget probably represents a more striking change in spending habits than has ever before occurred in an equal period of time.

At virtually all income levels in these Southeastern cities outlay for medical care averaged between 4 and 6 percent of aggregate expenditures, with no consistent tendency toward either increase or decrease in relative importance over the income range. Average amounts spent rose in successive income classes, although rather irregularly. There were very wide variations in the amounts spent

[^5]among families in the same income class, with some families reporting no outlay, and a few reporting medical bills of several hundred dollars.

The category designated as contributions and personal taxes in the present study differs in character somewhat from other groups of expenditure items. Insofar as gifts made to persons outside the economic family are balanced by gifts received, such expenditures constitute a part of direct family consumption. Sums spent for items such as money contributions toward the support of individuals or institutions, and personal taxes, represent aspects of family spending that are less directly related to goods and services consumed than are most other expenditures. Nevertheless, for taxes and contributions to religious organizations, at least, the families making such expenditures receive returns in a variety of forms that constitute important elements in their pattern of living.

Expenditures for contributions and personal taxes were more elastic than those for any of the other categories of consumption, rising, for white families, from 1 percent of total expenditures at the lowest income level studied to 11 percent of that total at the top of the income scale. At most income levels donations to church and other religious organizations were the largest item, particularly among Negro families. The taxes included in this category were quite small at all but the highest income levels studied, since they comprise only income, personal property, and poll taxes. ${ }^{14}$

Expenditures for recreation, tobacco, reading, education, and miscellaneous items have been grouped in tables 1, 2, and 3 under the heading "other items." Among these, recreation was the largest at most income levels. Outlay for goods and services included in recreation increased not only in average amounts but as a proportion of the total. Admissions to motion pictures were generally the largest single item in this category. Tobacco accounted for between 1 and 3 percent of expenditures for current living at all income levels, with a slight tendency toward decline in relative importance in the upper part of the income scale. Expenditures for reading, comprising chiefly newspapers, were small, amounting to only about 1 percent of total expenditures throughout the income range. Expenditures for education were even smaller than those for reading except in the upper part of the income scale, and accounted for less than 2 percent of aggregate outlay save among Negro families with incomes above $\$ 1,250$.

In present-day urban communities average family expenditures in money are (table 3) very similar to average total family expenditures (i. e., value of current living) presented in table 2. The value of housing obtained without money expense in the report year was generally the largest nonmoney item in family living, although at some income

[^6]Table 3.-Distribution of money expenditures for current family living, by major groups
ATLANTA: WHITE FAMILIES

| Income class | A verage total money expenditures 1 | Percentage of money expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Food | Home maintenance? | Clothing and personal care | Transportation ${ }^{3}$ | Medical care | Contribu tions and personal taxes ${ }^{4}$ | Other items ${ }^{8}$ |
| \$500-\$749 | \$666 | 45.5 | 33.6 | 9.4 | 2.4 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 5.9 |
| \$750-\$999 | 978 | 37.6 | 33.7 | 11.1 | 4.6 | 4.1 | 2. 2 | 6.7 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 1,179 | 32.9 | 33.8 | 12.5 | 8.9 | 3.0 | 2.4 | 6.5 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 1,381 | 32.4 | 32.7 | 12.2 | 8.4 | 4.6 | 3.2 | 6.5 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 1,581 | 31.1 | 32.5 | 11.9 | 9.1 | 4.9 | 2.8 | 7. 7 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 1, 836 | 29.9 | 32.1 | 12.2 | 10.4 | 4.8 | 3.8 | 6.8 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 1,997 | 29.4 | 30.2 | 12. 7 | 11.4 | 5.3 | 3.7 | 7.3 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 2, 217 | 27.6 | 30.5 | 13.3 | 11.6 | 5.5 | 4.3 | 7. 2 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 2,387 | 28.7 | 29.1 | 13.3 | 10.5 | 6.8 | 4.9 | 6. 7 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499. | 2,797 | 26.9 | 31.6 | 12.6 | 10.9 | 4.8 | 5.5 | 7. 7 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 3. 170 | 25.3 | 30.4 | 13.4 | 12.2 | 5. 3 | 6.0 | 7.4 |
| \$4,000-\$4,989 | 3, 567 | 23.7 | 30.5 | 14. 2 | 11.8 | 5. 7 | 6.3 | 7.8 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 4,649 | 22.0 | 28. 2 | 13.2 | 13.3 | 7.3 | 7.8 | 8.2 |
| \$7,500 and over.. | 6,922 | 20.9 | 25.4 | 12.8 | 8.4 | 11.0 | 12.7 | 8.8 |

MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES: WHITE FAMILIES

| \$250-\$499 | \$561 | 39.7 | 36.6 | 10.9 | 2.7 | 4.4 | 0.9 | 4.8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$500-\$749 | 670 | 40.2 | 34.4 | 10.8 | 2.0 | 5. 3 | 1.3 | 6.0 |
| \$750-\$999 | 891 | 36.8 | 33.0 | 10.5 | 6.0 | 4.8 | 1.9 | 7.0 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 1,117 | 34.3 | 33.7 | 11.4 | 6. 2 | 4.9 | 2.2 | 7.3 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 1,306 | 33.2 | 33.4 | 11.6 | 7.4 | 5.1 | 2.9 | 6.4 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 1,525 | 33.3 | 32.0 | 11.9 | 7.5 | 4.9 | 3.3 | 7.1 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 1,767 | 30.3 | 32.4 | 11.5 | 10.1 | 5.1 | 3.4 | 7.2 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 1,972 | 29.5 | 31.2 | 12.6 | 10.2 | 4.8 | 4.4 | 7.3 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 2,047 | 28.4 | 31.0 | 12.4 | 10.0 | 5.3 | 5.1 | 7.8 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 2,387 | 26.4 | 32.2 | 12.8 | 11.6 | 3.8 | 5. 5 | 7.7 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 2,643 | 25.8 | 31.0 | 13.1 | 12.2 | 4.3 | 5.3 | 8.3 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 2,967 | 23.8 | 31.9 | 12.5 | 11.6 | 5.2 | 7.6 | 7.4 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 3,500 | 23.2 | 31.0 | 12.5 | 12.4 | 4.2 | 8.2 | 8.5 |
| \$5,000 and over | 4,952 | 20.1 | 30.7 | 13.9 | 10.5 | 4.1 | 11.9 | 8.8 |

ATLANTA: NEGRO FAMILEES

| Under $\$ 250$ | \$279 | 36.2 | 45.6 | 5.7 | 1.4 | 6.8 | 0.7 | 3.6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$250-\$499. | 420 | 36.8 | 39.8 | 9.0 | 2.1 | 4. 5 | 2.1 | 5.7 |
| \$500-\$749 | 631 | 36.2 | 33.4 | 11.5 | 4. 3 | 5.7 | 2. 7 | 6.2 |
| \$750-\$999 | 812 | 32.0 | 30.4 | 14.6 | 6.5 | 6.2 | 3.8 | 6.5 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 1, 018 | 29.8 | 29.3 | 15.6 | 8.9 | 5. 5 | 4.1 | 6.8 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 1. 206 | 27.5 | 28.7 | 16.3 | 9.6 | 5.8 | 5. 0 | 7.1 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 1, 434 | 28.6 | 27.0 | 16.9 | 9.8 | 6.1 | 3.6 | 8.0 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 1,617 | 25.6 | 29.9 | 15.9 | 11.6 | 5.8 | 3.9 | 7.3 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 1,716 | 29.5 | 27.1 | 14.2 | 11. 7 | 4. 4 | 5.3 | 7.8 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499. | 1,823 | 27.6 | 28.3 | 14.7 | 9.6 | 4.5 | 5. 6 | 9.7 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 1,856 | 26.7 | 26.3 | 18.5 | 7.3 | 6.4 | 6.2 | 8.6 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 2, 358 | 23.9 | 28.1 | 18.4 | 11.2 | 4. 4 | 5.3 | 8.7 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 2,731 | 18.0 | 22.6 | 17.8 | 19.1 | 9.7 | 2.8 | 10.0 |
| \$4,000 and over | 3,597 | 19.6 | 21.4 | 18.7 | 17.4 | 2.9 | 7.8 | 12.2 |

MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES: NEGRO FAMILIES

| Under $\$ 250$ | \$214 | 38.2 | 47.5 | 6.5 | 0.2 | 3.7 | 0.9 | 3.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$250-\$499.- | 376 | 40.1 | 36.1 | 10.6 | 7 | 5.8 | 2, 1 | 4.6 |
| \$500-\$749 | 580 | 37.8 | 29.3 | 13.6 | 3.1 | 6.9 | 3.1 | 6.2 |
| \$750-\$999 | 783 | 35.0 | 27.7 | 15.7 | 3. 0 | 6.6 | 4.7 | 7.3 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 1,001 | 33.2 | 26.6 | 15. 6 | 5.9 | 6.6 | 4.8 | 7.3 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 1, 270 | 30.2 | 20.9 | 17. 1 | 10.0 | 7.3 | 4. 1 | 10.4 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 1, 418 | 28.8 | 24.2 | 14.4 | 13.0 | 6. 1 | 7.4 | 6.1 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 1, 623 | 31.1 | 33.1 | 13.1 | 5.4 | 5. 3 | 3.4 | 8.6 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 1,554 | 29.9 | 23.7 | 14.3 | 8.3 | 5.8 | 9.8 | 8.2 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 1,876 | 24.4 | 24.7 | 14.6 | 14.8 | 5. 2 | 8.0 | 8.3 |
| \$2,500 and over | 2,050 | 27.4 | 30.4 | 18.9 | 3.8 | 7.9 | 6.1 | 5. 5 |

I See glossary, appendix B, for the definition of expenditures used in this study.
2 Includes expenditures for housing, household operation, and furnishings and equipment.
Includes expenditures for automobile purchase and operation, and other transportation.
${ }^{4}$ Excludes sales taxes, which were included in the expense for the items to which they applied; automobile taxes, which were included in automobile operation expense; taxes on owned homes, included in housing expense; and taxes on other real property, which were deducted from the gross income from such property.

6 Includes expenditures for recreation, tobacco, reading, education, and other items.
levels, particularly among the Negroes, the value of free food was also substantial. Hence, only these two categories represented larger proportions of the total current expenditures than of total money expenditures in any part of the income range. The difference between money expenditures for consumption goods and the total money value of goods consumed average above $\$ 200$ among Atlanta white families with incomes of $\$ 4,000$ and over, but less than $\$ 20$ among those with incomes under $\$ 1,000$.

The ensuing report will attempt to indicate in greater detail the answers to questions toward which the investigation was directed. For example: At succeeding income levels, which categories of expenditure increase most regularly and which most irregularly? How do these changes in expenditures vary as between smaller and larger, or younger and older families? Between wage-earner and professional groups? At what income level do families enter the market or withdraw therefrom, for particular kinds of goods and services? Which are relatively urgent items in the family budget, which assume the behavior of luxuries? It is hoped that consideration given to questions like these may have a bearing on such problems as the establishment of wage levels; the development of family budgets; estimates of national consumption; the relative taxability of successive income strata; the effectiveness of current marketing programs; and, in the large, the problem of keeping production in balance with consumption.

## Chapter II

## The Family Balance Sheet

The balance sheet for families studied in the Southeast communities may be presented by comparing money income with money expenditures for current living. Such a balance sheet, calculated for groups at successive income levels, measures the changing relationship between income and consumption along the income scale, and brings to light the prevalence among low income groups of deficit financing and, in the upper income groups, of surpluses that account for substantial proportions of income.

Table 4.-Average money income and money expenditures for current family living ${ }^{1}$
WHITE FAMILIES

| Income class | Atlanta |  | Middle-sized cities |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Money income | Money expenditures for family living | Money income | Money expenditures for family living |
| \$250-\$499 | (2) | (2) | \$421 | \$561 |
| \$500-\$749 | \$640 | \$666 | 607 | 670 |
| \$750-\$999 | 883 | 978 | 861 | 891 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 1, 107 | 1,179 | 1,094 | 1,117 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 1,355 | 1,381 | 1,302 | 1, 306 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 1,559 | 1,581 | 1,538 | 1,525 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 1,811 | 1,836 | 1,798 | 1,767 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 2,043 | 1,997 | 2,044 | 1,972 |
| \$2,250-\$2;499 | 2, 306 | 2, 217 | 2,259 | 2,047 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 2, 604 | 2,387 | 2, 596 | 2,387 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 3, 115 | 2, 797 | 3, 069 | 2,643 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 3,545 | 3,170 | 3,565 | 2,967 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 4,300 | 3,567 | 4,186 | 3,500 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 5,636 | 4,649 | 3 7,083 | 3 4,952 |
| \$7,500 and over | 10,875 | 6,922 | ${ }^{(3)}$ | $\left({ }^{(3)}\right.$ |

NEGRO FAMILIES


[^7]Among Negro families spending exceeded income only below the $\$ 750$ level in Atlanta and the $\$ 500$ level in Mobile-Columbia. Among white families, however, average money expenditures exceeded average money income in each income class up to $\$ 2,000$ in Atlanta, and $\$ 1,500$ in the middle-sized cities. To make up the difference, which was quite substantial at the lowest levels, particularly among white families, savings were depleted, money was borrowed, or goods were bought on credit. In the income groups whose average income exceeded average expenditures, this favorable balance grew rapidly with income, amounting to nearly $\$ 4,000$, or over one-third of money income, for Atlanta white families in the highest income group covered. (See table 4.)

Current income and family resources.-Over an extended period of time, most families may be expected to strike a balance between their incomes and their expenditures plus savings. This does not mean, however, that in any given year a family will match current expenditures with current income. Older families may be living on the capital as well as the income of past accumulations. A young head of family may assume obligations for durable goods, such as furniture, that cannot be met out of his income for the year. Families of business and professional workers may maintain a fairly constant level of living in spite of year to year variations in income, with the result that deficits are incurred in some years and surpluses are achieved in others. A large emergency expense for medical care may make it necessary to retrench on savings or go into debt. Ordinarily, families in the lower part of the income scale will not be in a position to buy a gas range or an electric refrigerator for cash, and often not out of available savings. Hence, in any particular year, it is to be expected that part of the families will increase their liabilities in order to improve their level of living, while others are keeping well within their incomes, and perhaps reducing their obligations on the previous year's commitments.

It should be noted in this connection that the year covered by the Study of Consumer Purchases (1935-36) was not a "normal" one for a substantial proportion of the families. Incomes of many of them had not regained predepression levels, even though business conditions generally were improving and employment increasing. It is quite probable that some families, after restricted buying for several years, were beginning to incur obligations beyond current incomes, predicated upon the hope of steady employment and future increases in income. Thus, the net deficit for a family or a group of families in the period of the Study may not reflect a chronic tendency to live beyond income, but rather an optimistic outlook in 1935-36 regarding future income. Some evidence on this point is supplied by the data on net installment obligations for Atlanta families, presented in
chapter VIII, which show that the average amounts due on installment purchases at the end of the year were greater than those with which the year began.

Had the Study of Consumer Purchases been conducted at another stage in the business cycle, the surplus and deficit record would perhaps have been different in some important respects. At some later date family balance sheets may be studied for a number of successive years, to determine the regularity with which families balance net increases in assets against net increases in liabilities. Meanwhile, an examination of the surpluses and deficits for the year 1935-36 shows that, in the Southeast, as in other regions studied, there were occasional families with strikingly unbalanced budgets for the year. These cases are apt to distort the averages for the group, but such instances of random fluctuations in the sample do not as a rule obscure the general relationships indicated by the data.

Surplus and deficit by income levels.-The figures presented in table 4 on money income and expenditures for current living represent averages for the entire group of families in the specified income classes. At each income level, however, there were some families that showed a net surplus for the year, and, among the white families, some that reported a deficit. (See table 5.) ${ }^{1}$ In most income groups there were likewise a few families that came out even for the year, and reported neither surplus nor deficit.

Among the white families fewer than half of all those with incomes below $\$ 1,000$ in Atlanta and $\$ 750$ in the middle-sized cities reported a surplus for the year. At the other end of the income scale, approximately 90 percent of those with incomes of $\$ 4,000$ and over in Atlanta, and $\$ 3,000$ or more in Mobile-Columbia, kept money expenditures below money income. Among those families at the lower part of the income scale that showed a surplus, the average amount per family was less than $\$ 100$ up to the $\$ 1,250$ income level. Such averages increased rather steadily with income, however, reaching $\$ 500$ at the $\$ 3,000$ income level in both Atlanta and the middle-sized cities, and well over $\$ 1,000$ for the few families at the top of the income scale.

[^8]Table 5.-Average net surplus and deficit
ATLANTA: WHITE FAMIEIES

| Income class | Families having surplus ${ }^{1}$ |  | Families having deficit ${ }^{1}$ |  | A verage net surplus or deficit ( - ) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage | A verage amount | Percentage | Average amount | Amount ${ }^{\text {2 }}$ | Percentage of money income |
| \$500-\$749. | 62 | \$40 | 38 | \$118 | -\$20 | ${ }^{3} 3$ |
| \$750-\$999 | 41 | 76 | 58 | 192 | -81 | 39 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 51 | 84 | 45 | 225 | -58 | 35 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 52 | 113 | 47 | 173 | -23 | 32 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 56 | 142 | 42 | 217 | -11 | ${ }^{3} 1$ |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 63 | 165 | 37 | 290 | -2 | (*) |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 65 | 241 | 34 | 281 | 60 | 3 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 60 | 363 | 40 | 295 | 102 | 4 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 75 | 381 | 24 | 278 | 221 | 8 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 82 | 502 | 18 | 402 | 336 | 11 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 78 | 636 | 22 | 465 | 399 | 11 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 90 | 835 | 10 | 398 | 714 | 17 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 90 | 1,257 | 10 | 1,750 | 968 | 17 |
| \$7,500 and over. | 96 | 4,157 | 4 | 1,405 | 3,923 | 36 |

MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES: WHITE FAMILIES

| \$250-\$499 | 15 | \$27 | 72 | \$190 | -\$132 | 831 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$500-\$749 | 42 | 38 | 51 | 125 | $\stackrel{-48}{ }$ | 38 |
| \$750-\$999 | 57 | 55 | 36 | 126 | -14 | 32 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 59 | 80 | 34 | 187 | -16 | ${ }^{3} 1$ |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 61 | 120 | 35 | 197 | 5 | (*) |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 62 | 150 | 33 | 230 | 22 | ( 1 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 66 | 190 | 32 | 285 | 35 | 2 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 68 | 239 | 27 | 281 | 86 | 4 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 82 | 321 | 18 | 261 | 213 | 9 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 76 | 391 | 22 | 379 | 214 | 8 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 94 | 505 | 6 | 333 | 452 | 15 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 89 | 692 | 9 | 172 | 600 | 17 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 81 | 994 | 19 | 453 | 716 | 17 |
| \$5,000 and over | 90 | 2, 512 | 10 | 1,191 | 2, 144 | 30 |

ATLANTA: NEGRO FAMILIES

| Under $\$ 250$ | 29 | \$10 | 67 | \$148 | -\$97 | ${ }^{3} 55$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$250-\$490 | 50 | 20 | 41 | 102 | -32 | 38 |
| \$500-\$749 | 63 | 32 | 26 | 69 | 2 | (*) |
| \$750-\$999 | 69 | 71 | 29 | 77 | 27 | (*) 3 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 81 | 98 | 19 | 105 | 59 | 6 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 85 | 127 | 15 | 142 | 87 | 7 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 76 | 255 | 17 | 321 | 140 | 9 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 84 | 378 | 16 | 333 | 267 | 14 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 86 | 383 | 14 | 189 | 301 | 15 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 90 | 476 | 10 | 80 | 420 | 19 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 94 | 756 | 6 | 339 | 695 | 27 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 100 | 810 |  |  | 810 | 25 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999. | 100 | 1,008 |  |  | 1,008 | 27 |
| \$4,000 and over .-....-........... | 100 | 1,509 |  | ---- | 1, 509 | 29 |

MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES: NEGRO FAMILIES

| Under $\$ 250$ | 27 | \$11 | 57 | \$65 | -\$34 | ${ }^{3}-19$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$250-\$499 | 49 | 18 | 24 | 59 | -5 | $3-1$ |
| \$500-\$749 | 60 | 40 | 23 | 52 | 12 | 2 |
| \$750-\$999 | 78 | 67 | 14 | 162 | 30 | 4 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 79 | 83 | 20 | 138 | 39 | 4 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 72 | 148 | 24 | 381 | 16 | 1 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 86 | 254 | 14 | 664 | 123 | 8 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 93 | 328 | 7 | 577 | 264 | 14 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 100 | 470 |  |  | 470 | 23 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 81 | 359 | 19 | 63 | 279 | 13 |
|  | 100 | 1,109 |  |  | 1,109 | 36 |

[^9]For the families that incurred a deficit, on the other hand, the average amount per family was well over $\$ 100$ in every income group. This figure also increased with income, although less consistently than did surpluses. At the top of the income scale average deficits among white families going "into the red" were over $\$ 1,000$.

When these surpluses and deficits are combined to give a net figure for all families at each income level at the lower end of the income scale, the more numerous and more sizable deficits outweigh the surpluses. The net figure is thus an average deficit for all white families with incomes under $\$ 2,000$ in Atlanta, and under $\$ 1,250$ in the middlesized cities.

Among Negro families, as suggested by the figures in table 4, a relatively large percentage reported surpluses, even in the low income groups. Among those receiving less than $\$ 250$, over one-fourth managed to keep current money expenditures below current money income, while above the $\$ 1,000$ level in Atlanta at least four out of five ended the year with a surplus, and above $\$ 3,000$ every Atlanta Negro family studied had a margin of money income over money expenditures. As in the case of white families, average surpluses rose rapidly with income, while average deficits of the comparatively small number that did not make ends meet also rose along the income scale, although much less regularly than surpluses.

Intercity differences in balance-sheet records.-As between Atlanta and the middle-sized cities, families in the latter communities quite consistently had smaller average outlays for current living than did families in the same income groups in Atlanta, and thus came out at the end of the year with smaller deficits or larger surpluses. This relationship held for both white and Negro families, and appeared to rest chiefly on the fact that a greater percentage succeeded in achieving surpluses in Mobile-Columbia than in Atlanta, rather than that surpluses among families making savings were larger in the smaller cities.

Racial differences in balance-sheet records.-Even more striking than intercity differences in the relation between income and expenditures in the Southeast were the differences between white and Negro families. In Atlanta the current money expenditures of Negro families usually averaged from $\$ 100$ to $\$ 500$ below those of white families at the same income level, and the disparity increased along the income scale.

A similar showing was made in the middle-sized cities. In fact, the average expenditures of Negro families at the upper income levels in both urban units were usually less than those of white families at the next lower income level. It is therefore not surprising that, as already noted, Negro families kept expenditures within income at lower levels than did white families, and showed average net surpluses considerably lower in the income scale. Furthermore, even at the
levels at which both racial groups had average net surpluses, those of white families were smaller by $\$ 100$ or more than those of the Negroes. Atlanta Negro families had average surpluses larger by $\$ 80$ to $\$ 400$ than those of the white families in Mobile-Columbia. Both in the percentage of families reporting a surplus and in the average size of surplus of such families Negroes outranked white families in the same income class.

Explanations for these racial differences are not difficult to find. At the lower economic levels Negro families are much less likely than whites to have reserves on which they can draw; and more restricted opportunities to obtain credit. The fact that the difference between the expenditure patterns of the whites and Negroes persists in the upper income levels suggests that the more restricted opportunities of the Negroes for future increases in income (see vol. I of this report) have resulted in a definite difference in the attitude toward savings of the two groups. Apparently Negro families are less likely than white families to expand consumption to keep pace with increased income. The data presented here suggest that, once "necessity" outlays are taken care of, Negro families show less tendency to increase their luxury expenditures, and hence they tend to accumulate more rapidly increasing surpluses.

Surplus and deficit among occupational groups. ${ }^{2}$-Occupational differences in the relation between income and expenditures were not well-defined in the Southeastern communities studied. The smaller number of families in the individual occupational groups resulted in averages that sometimes varied widely from one income class to another and failed to show consistent relationships over the income range or in the different communities. There was, however, some tendency for wage-earner families to have smaller total expenditures and hence larger surpluses (or smaller deficits) than did other occupational groups. (See table 6.) This difference was less marked in the case of Atlanta white families, among which independent business and professional families were about as successful as wage-earner families in making ends meet. In Atlanta the salaried professional families and in Columbia-Mobile all business and professional groups tended to be at the other extreme, reporting the largest average deficits, or the smallest average surpluses. ${ }^{3}$

[^10]Table 6.--- Average net surplus or deficit (-), by occupational group
WHITE FAMILIES

| Income class | Atlanta |  |  |  |  | Middle-sized cities |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Wage earner | Clerical | Independent business and professional | Salaried |  | Wage earner | Clerical | Inde. pendent business and professional | Salaried |  |
|  |  |  |  | Business | Professional |  |  |  | Busi ness | Professional |
| \$500-\$749 | -\$21 | (1) | (1) | (1) | (1) | -\$47 | -\$61 | (1) |  | $)$ |
| \$750-\$999 | -92 | -\$57 | (1) | (1) | (1) | 3 | -67 | (1) |  | ) |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | -38 | -89 | (1) | (1) | (1) | -26 | 4 | -\$26 |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | -3 | -61 | -\$4 | -\$8 | -\$61 | 33 | 3 | $-135$ |  | 9 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | -61 | 31 | 106 | 60 | $-142$ | 81 | 1 | -62 |  |  |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 10 | -21 | 23 | 67 | -87 | 141 | -20 | 54 |  |  |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 152 | 13 | $-70$ | 59 | 61 | 98 | 139 | 57 |  | 4 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 82 | 74 | 272 | 51 | 174 | 240 | 222 | 308 |  |  |
| \$2,500-\$2,999. | 363 | 180 | 161 | 188 | 211 | (1) | (1) | 187 |  | 5 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499. | (l) | (1) | 414 | 366 | 143 | (1) | (1) | 501 |  | 7 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | (1) | (1) | 385 | 332 | 608 | (1) | (1) | 688 |  | 59 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | (1) | (1) | 797 | 692 | 685 | (1) | (1) | 813 |  | 9 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499. | (1) | (1) | 1,073 | 875 | 1,083 | (1) | (1) | (2) |  | ) |

NEGRO FAMILIES ${ }^{3}$

| Under \$250. | -\$98 | (1) | (1) | (1) | -\$33 | -\$54 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$250-\$499. | -30 | -\$50 | -\$87 | \$14 | -5 | -10 |
| \$500-749 | 2 | -9 | -2 | 8 | 12 | 10 |
| \$750-\$999 | 32 | 33 | -30 | -20 | 29 | 34 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 66 | 15 | 55 | -26 | 48 | 6 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 101 | 60 | $-10$ | 54 | 94 | $-212$ |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | ${ }^{(1)}$ | 208 | 249 | -20 | (1) | (2) |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | (1) | 44 | 424 | 340 | (1) | ${ }^{(2)}$ |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | (1) | 387 | 342 | 144 | (1) | ${ }^{2}$ |

1 Expenditure schedules not taken for families at this income level.
${ }_{2}$ Comparable data not available.
${ }^{3}$ In Atlanta all Negro families in salaried business and professional occupations were classified together; in the middle-sized cities all Negro families other than wage-parner were classified together.

Surplus and deficit among family type groups. ${ }^{4}$ - The size and composition of a family appeared to have much more influence on its net surplus and deficit for the year than did its occupational classification. Among the white families in both Atlanta and the middle-sized cities, the two-person families showed average net surpluses at lower income levels than did the larger families, and these surpluses tended to be greater all the way up the income scale. (See table 7.) While this fairly describes the relationships that obtain among Atlanta families with incomes of $\$ 1,500$ or more, at lower income levels the husband-and-wife families tended to have larger deficits than other types of white families. There is a wide age range in these two-person families. The younger husband-and-wife families, starting life in business or one of the professions, frequently were found to be borrowing in anticipation of future increases in income; while some of the older husband-andwife families, finding themselves in the year of the survey with lower incomes than those to which they were accustomed, were able to meet the deficit in the year's current expenditures by drawing on savings.

[^11]On the whole, white families with three to six members, at least three of them 16 or over (types IV and V), tended to have the largest average deficits and the smallest surpluses, the families with one or two children under 16 (types II and III) falling in-between these and the two-person families. This accords with expectations, since the larger the family the more difficult it is to fill all family needs on a

Table 7.-Average net surplus or deficit (-), by family type
ATLANTA: WHITE FAMILIES

| Income class | Family type ${ }^{1}$ |  |  | Income class | Family type ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | I | II and | IV ${ }_{\text {V }}$ |  | I | $\begin{aligned} & \text { II and } \\ & \text { III } \end{aligned}$ | $\text { IV } \underset{\mathrm{V}}{\text { and }}$ |
| \$750-\$999 | -\$97 | -\$35 | -\$130 | \$2,250-\$2,499 | \$201 | -\$6 | \$114 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | -103 | -37 | -36 | \$2,500-\$2,999. | 348 | 224 | 140 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | -34 | -14 | -28 | \$3,000-\$3,499. | 397 | 404 | 243 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | -9 | -34 | 12 | \$3,500-\$3,999 | 402 | 385 | 409 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 66 | -32 | -25 | \$4,000-\$4,999 | 1,032 | 854 | 482 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 193 | 40 | -14 | \$5,000-\$7,499 | 1,372 | 914 | 845 |

MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES: WHITE FAMILIES

| \$500-\$749. | -\$13 | -\$25 | -\$109 | \$2,000-\$2,249 | \$200 | \$48 | \$53 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$750-\$999 | 22 | -21 | -28 | \$2,250-\$2,499 | 199 | 274 | 177 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 22 | -14 | -54 | \$2,500-\$2,999. | 390 | 237 | 115 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 86 | -43 | -4 | \$3,000-\$3,499 | 502 | 434 | 440 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 6 | 29 | 26 | \$3,500-\$3,999 | 1,058 | 398 | 508 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 96 | -31 | 54 | \$4,000-\$4,999 | 926 | 1,026 | 498 |

MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES: NEGRO FAMILIES

| \$250-\$499. | \$5 | -\$11 | -\$16 | \$1,000-\$1,249 | \$5 | \$67 | \$52 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$500-\$749 | 27 | -10 | 10 | \$1,250-\$1,499. | 11 | 95 | -16 |
| \$750-\$999. | 29 | 36 | 26 | \$1,500-\$2,249. | 251 | 412 | 229 |

ATLANTA: NEGRO FAMILIES

| Income class | Family type ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | I | II | III | IV | V | VI | VII |
| \$250-\$498. | -\$54 | -\$15 | -\$9 | -\$25 | -\$4 | (*) | -\$38 |
| \$500-\$749 | 11 | 15 | 5 | -19 | 4 | -\$9 | -16 |
| \$750-\$999 | 55 | 35 | 51 | 3 | 5 | 12 | -4 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 74 | 115 | 57 | 64 | 39 | -4 | -8 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 82 | 103 | 35 | 116 | 91 | 44 | 26 |
| \$1,500-\$2,249.. | 380 | 247 | 199 | 167 | 178 | ( $\dagger$ ) | 120 |

${ }^{1}$ The 7 family types are distinguished on the basis of the number and age of members other than husband and wife, as follows:

II No other persons (families of 2).
III 1 child under 16 (families of 3).
IV 1 person 16 or over and 1 or no other person, regardless of age (families of 3 or 4).
V 1 child under 16, 1 person 16 or over, and 1 or 2 others, regardless of age (families of 5 or 6).
VI 3 or 4 children under 16 (families of 5 or 6 ).
VII 1 child under 16, and 4 or 5 others, regardless of age (families of 7 or 8).

## *Less than \$1.

$\dagger$ Fewer than 3 cases.
given income. Thus, total money expenditures of the families studied generally varied directly with family size among both white and Negro families in the two city units. ${ }^{5}$

Among Negro families in the middle-sized cities, it was the families with children that made the best showing, with little difference between the two-person and the larger families. Among the Atlanta Negroes, also, where seven family types were included in the Study, families with one child were relatively the most successful in making ends meet, followed by the two-person families, with the largest families (type VII) at the other extreme.

The fact that data on expenditures were secured from Atlanta Negro families of seven or eight members (type VII) which were larger than any of the white families studied, makes it the more striking that Negro families of all types combined made a better showing with respect to surplus and deficit than did the white families covered at the same income levels. Even the larger Negro families with from five to eight members (types VI and VII) made a better showing in this respect than Atlanta or Mobile-Columbia white families with three to six members (types IV and V).

[^12]
## Chapter III

## Food

As in the other communities covered by the Study, food ${ }^{1}$ expenditures by families in the Southeastern cities included in the investigation were of outstanding importance among the categories of consumption. At all income levels studied for white families, and in all income groups except the lowest among Negro families, money expenditures for food exceeded those for any other individual category. ${ }^{2}$

White families uniformly spent more for food than Negro families at the same income level, even though in Atlanta the average size of Negro families studied was larger than the white. At the $\$ 500$ level in Atlanta, for example, white families spent an average of $\$ 75$ more during the year than did Negro families. From the $\$ 750$ to the $\$ 2,500$ level, the differences ranged between $\$ 82$ and $\$ 135$; thereafter, they increased rapidly, rising to over $\$ 300$ at the $\$ 3,500$ level. In Columbia-Mobile, the differences were generally smaller, although, with one exception, they amounted to $\$ 50$ or more.

In chapter II, attention was directed to the substantially larger total money expenditures of white families in comparison with Negro families at the same income level. The differences in food expenditures, however, were usually greater than differences in total expenditures for current living. Thus, Negro families generally devoted a smaller proportion of their total expenditures to food than did white families.

The relatively low food bills of Negro families reflect dietary habits distinctly different from those of white families. The food consumed by Negroes consists to a large degree of the simpler, less expensive foods, such as potatoes, greens, cereals, and the cheaper cuts of meat. ${ }^{3}$ Furthermore, Negroes spent relatively little for food away from home, even at the upper income levels. The factor of free food also explains a small part of the racial differences in money expenditures for food,

[^13]Table 8.--Average expenditures for food
ATLANTA: WHITE FAMILIES

| Income class | A verage money expenditures for food |  |  |  | Average value per family of food obtained without money expense | Total value of food per meal per equivalent adult |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Per family |  |  | Per meal per equivalent adult |  |  |
|  | Total |  | Away from home |  |  |  |
|  | Amount | Percentage of total money expenditures ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | \$303 | 45.5 | \$11 | \$0.094 | \$5 | \$0.096 |
| \$750-\$999 | 368 | 37.6 | 30 | . 113 | 8 | . 115 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 389 | 32.9 | 39 | . 124 | 22 | . 131 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 448 | 32.4 | 56 | . 135 | 13 | . 139 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 492 | 31.1 | 67 | . 144 | 10 | . 147 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 549 | 29.9 | 87 | . 158 | 14 | . 162 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 586 | 29.4 | 108 | . 164 | 6 | . 166 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 612 | 27.6 | 93 | . 181 | 19 | . 187 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 683 | 28.7 | 129 | . 186 | 23 | . 192 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 753 | 26.9 | 149 | . 180 | 15 | . 184 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 802 | 25.3 | 169 | . 197 | 25 | . 203 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 845 | 23.7 | 171 | . 201 | 42 | . 211 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499. | 1,019 | 22.0 | 198 | . 212 | 36 | . 219 |
| \$7,500 and over | 1,446 | 20.9 | 302 | . 290 | 34 | . 297 |

MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES: WHITE FAMILLES

| \$250-\$499. | \$223 | 39.7 | \$4 | \$0.070 | \$24 | \$0.078 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$500-\$749 | 269 | 40.2 | 2 | 086 | 28 | . 095 |
| \$750-\$999 | 328 | 36.8 | 14 | . 096 | 23 | 103 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 383 | 34.3 | 22 | . 120 | 15 | 125 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 434 | 33.2 | 30 | . 126 | 23 | 133 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 508 | 33.3 | 45 | 145 | 22 | 151 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 536 | 30.3 | 42 | . 147 | 13 | 151 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 582 | 29.5 | 64 | . 153 | 13 | . 156 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 582 | 28.4 | 55 | . 151 | 11 | . 154 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 631 | 26.4 | 75 | . 151 | 14 | 154 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 681 | 25.8 | 58 | . 164 | 10 | . 166 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999. | 705 | 23.8 | 63 | . 166 | 14 | . 169 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 811 | 23.2 | 100 | . 174 | 35 | 182 |
| \$5,000 and over | 996 | 20.1 | 98 | . 195 | 25 | 200 |

ATLANTA: NEGRO FAMILIES

| Under $\mathbf{\$ 2 5 0}$ | \$101 | 36.2 |  | $\$ 0.033$ | \$31 | \$0.043 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$250-\$499 | 154 | 36.8 | \$3 | . 053 | 43 | . 068 |
| \$500-\$749 | 228 | 36.2 | 8 | . 071 | 32 | . 081 |
| \$750-\$999 | 260 | 32.0 | 11 | . 078 | 51 | . 093 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 303 | 29.8 | 16 | . 087 | 66 | . 106 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 331 | 27.5 | 33 | . 092 | 47 | 105 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 409 | 28.6 | 31 | . 099 | 27 | . 106 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 414 | 25.6 | 35 | . 132 | 21 | . 139 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 504 | 29.5 | 38 | . 129 | 10 | . 132 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 504 | 27.6 | 30 | 124 | 10 | . 126 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 496 | 26.7 | 47 | 132 | 9 | . 134 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 563 | 23.9 | 32 | . 159 | 5 | . 160 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999. | 490 | 18.0 | 19 | . 165 | 80 | . 192 |
| \$4,000 and ove | 704 | 19.6 | 129 | . 155 | 57 | . 168 |

MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES: NEGRO FAMILIES

| Under $\$ 250$ | \$82 | 38.2 | \$1 | \$0.028 | \$41 | \$0.042 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$250-\$499. | 151 | 40.1 | 1 | . 052 | 17 | . 058 |
| \$500-\$749 | 219 | 37.8 | 8 | 074 | 13 | . 078 |
| \$750-\$999 | 274 | 35.0 | 10 | . 087 | 25 | . 095 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 333 | 33.2 | 19 | 101 | 18 | 106 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 384 | 30.2 | 58 | . 099 | 42 | 110 |
|  | 409 | 28.8 | 32 | . 119 | 12 | 122 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 504 | 31.1 | 42 | . 111 |  | 111 |
|  | 465 | 29.9 | 17 | . 126 | 12 | . 129 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499. | 457 | 24.4 | 41 | . 130 | 12 | . 133 |
| \$2,500 and over-.---.....-.-...- | 562 | 27.4 | 23 | . 155 | 19 | . 160 |

[^14]$125018^{\circ}-40-3$
since, particularly in Atlanta, the money value of food obtained from home gardens or as gift or pay was in most comparable income classes larger among Negro families than among whites by amounts that ranged from $\$ 10$ to $\$ 50$. (See table 8.)

Native white families in Atlanta spent about $\$ 300$ a year, or $\$ 25$ a month for food at the income level $\$ 500$ to $\$ 750$, about $\$ 45$ a month at the median income interval ( $\$ 1,750$ to $\$ 2,000$ ), and $\$ 120$ in the highest income group. While food expenditures increased almost fivefold over the income range, they absorbed a sharply decreasing proportion of total money expenditures, declining from over 45 percent of the total, at the lowest income level, to only 21 percent at the highest. (See table 8 and fig. 2.)
Similar tendencies prevailed among both the white families in Columbia and Mobile and the Negro families in the two urban units. Average food outlays by white families in Columbia-Mobile increased more than four times, from about $\$ 19$ a month for those with incomes of $\$ 250$ to $\$ 500$ to $\$ 83$ for those with incomes of $\$ 5,000$ and more. These expenditures represented 40 percent and 20 percent, respectively, of total money expenditures for current living.

In Atlanta and the middle-sized cities, Negro families at the lowest income levels studied (under $\$ 250$ ) spent approximately $\$ 8$ and $\$ 7$ per month, respectively, for food, or 36 and 38 percent of their total money expenditures. Through the $\$ 2,000$ income level, food outlays increased in amount, but declined in relation to total expenditures at succeeding income levels. In the income classes between $\$ 2,000$ and $\$ 4,000$, however, the food expenditures reported by Atlanta Negro families were relatively constant. Even among families with incomes of $\$ 2,500$ and more in Columbia and Mobile, monthly food expenditures amounted to less than \$47, and among Atlanta Negro families with incomes of $\$ 4,000$ and more, less than $\$ 59$.

Money expenditures per meal per equivalent adult. ${ }^{4}$-The rise over the income scale in the amount spent for food is reflected in data on money expenditures per person per meal. Atlanta white families spent less than 10 cents per meal at the lowest income level and between 11 and 16 cents from $\$ 750$ to $\$ 2,250$. Such expenditures were about 18 cents at the three levels from $\$ 2,250$ to $\$ 3,500$ and approximately 20 cents at the three succeeding income levels up to $\$ 7,500$. Families in the highest income class spent 29 cents per meal per equivalent adult.

A comparable increase in money expenditures per meal and similar terracing at the higher levels was observed among Columbia-Mobile white families. Average outlay was under 10 cents, however, for

[^15]
families with incomes below $\$ 1,000$, and did not exceed 20 cents at incomes over $\$ 5,000$.

The extremely low money expenditures for food of Negro families are strikingly portrayed in terms of their expenditures per meal. In both city units, the Negro families with incomes below $\$ 250$ reported an average money expense of only 3 cents, and a total of food consumed only a little over 4 cents. Average outlay per meal per person exceeded 10 cents beginning only at the $\$ 1,500$ level in the middle-sized cities and the $\$ 1,750$ level in Atlanta. At no income level did such expenditures average as much as 17 cents. ${ }^{5}$

Food away from home.-The changing character of food expenditures over the income range is shown in the proportion of the total accounted for by food consumed away from home. ${ }^{6}$ In Atlanta, for example, white families with incomes of $\$ 500$ to $\$ 750$ spent an average of less than $\$ 1$ monthly for food away from home, or about 4 percent of their total outlay for food. The amounts so spent rose rather rapidly in succeeding income classes, and averaged at least $\$ 10$ per month in every group receiving $\$ 2,500$ and over. At the top of the income scale, families devoted an average of $\$ 25$ per month to food away from home, an amount that equalled one-fifth of money expenditures for this category.

Among Negro families, however, no such uniform increase in expenditures for food away from home was registered. Moreover, with two exceptions, the average amounts so spent were never as much as $\$ 4$ per month. These data suggest that Southeastern Negro families, even in comparatively comfortable circumstances, have not developed the habit of frequently eating out.

Food obtained without money expense.-As already suggested, the extremely small money outlay for food among Negro families in the lowest income groups is explained in part by the fact that purchases of food were often supplemented by food obtained from home gardens or received as gift or pay. Among both racial groups average amounts of such food varied rather widely from one income class to another, without a consistent tendency toward either increase or decrease along the income scale. (See table 8.)

[^16]Among white families food obtained without money expense rarely added as much as 10 percent to the total expenditures for food, but among Negroes, particularly in the low income groups, it often made a substantial contribution to family nutrition. Among Atlanta Negroes the value of free food averaged over 30 percent as much as money expenditures for food by families with incomes under $\$ 250$, and up to the $\$ 1,500$ level was at least 14 percent as great as food purchases. In Columbia-Mobile, the value of free food obtained by Negro families was half as much as money outlay for food at the lowest income level, but thereafter did not exceed 11 percent of that figure.

Intercity differences in food expenditures.-Among the white families, money expenditures for food were quite consistently higher in Atlanta than in the middle-sized cities. The differences were never more than $\$ 100$, however, nor even as much as $\$ 50$ up to the $\$ 2,500$ income level. The amounts spent for food away from home were without exception larger among Atlanta families, reflecting the facts both that eating out is more common in a large than a middle-sized city, and, at the upper income levels, that a large city offers more in the way of expensive restaurants and night clubs. It is probable, also, that business and professional men more frequently went home for lunch in Columbia and Mobile than in Atlanta. At every income level above $\$ 1,000$, the differences in outlays for food away from home were slightly greater than the differences in total money expenditures for food. Between $\$ 500$ and $\$ 1,000$, the differences were almost entirely offset by the large amounts of free food obtained in Columbia-Mobile. Thus, it seems evident that the larger money expenditures of Atlanta families for this category were not primarily attributable to higher food prices but to differences in amounts of free food obtained or in the outlay for food away from home.?

Although the value of food received without money expenditure was usually small among white families, those in the middle-sized cities tended to report the larger amounts up to the $\$ 2,250$ income level and the smaller amounts thereafter. It is likely that vegetable gardens are less common in a large city than in one of smaller size, which may account for the showing at the lower income levels.

Among Negro families, there were no consistent intercity differences in total food expenditures. This is somewhat surprising since the Negro families studied in Atlanta included those of seven or eight members (type VII), which generally reported the highest food expenditures. Among Negro families with incomes between $\$ 250$ and $\$ 2,000$ the value of food received without money expense was uni-

[^17]formly greater in Atlanta than in Columbia and Mobile. If these amounts are added to money expenditures for food, the average total value of food consumed was slightly higher for the Atlanta Negro families than for those in the middle-sized cities.

Money expenditures for food among occupational groups.-Among white families occupational differences in money expenditures for food were not large. Wage-earner families spent somewhat more than did those in other occupational groups in both city units. (See table 9.) In Atlanta salaried business families tended to spend the least for food, and in Columbia-Mobile, the salaried business and professional families, ranked lowest. In Atlanta, however, these differences appeared to be related more to family size than to occupation, since average expenditures per meal per person were closely similar in each occupational group. ${ }^{8}$ (See table 10.) In ColumbiaMobile, on the other hand, average outlay per meal per equivalent adult was consistently higher among wage-earner families than among other occupational groups, and relatively low among salaried business and professional families.

Table 9.-Average money expenditures for food, per family, by occupational group
WHITE FAMILIES

| Income class | Atlanta |  |  |  |  | Middle-sized cities |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Wage earner | Clerical | Independent business and professional | Salaried |  | Wage earner | Clerical | Independent business and professional | Salaried |  |
|  |  |  |  | Business | Professional |  |  |  | Business | Professional |
| \$500-\$749 | \$303 | (1) | (1) | (1) | (1) | \$270 | \$263 | (1) |  | (1) |
| \$750-\$999 | 377 | \$349 | (1) | (1) | (1) | 322 | 346 | (1) |  | (1) |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 390 | 387 | (1) | (1) | (1) | 392 | 377 | \$355 |  | 380 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 459 | 428 | \$452 | \$453 | \$452 | 445 | 428 | 428 |  | 403 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 527 | 463 | 455 | 445 | 484 | 528 | 498 | 496 |  | 487 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 593 | 518 | 563 | 510 | 539 | 554 | 530 | 526 |  | 522 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 561 | 603 | 633 | 561 | 559 | 629 | 570 | 555 |  | 563 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 598 | 645 | 531 | 603 | 605 | 614 | 575 | 584 |  | 560 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999. | 721 | 680 | 714 | 618 | 703 | (1) | (1) | 608 |  | 641 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | (1) | (I) | 704 | 785 | 739 | (1) | (1) | 647 |  | 691 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | (1) | ( ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 844 | 814 | 716 | (1) | (1) | 711 |  | 702 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999. | ${ }^{(1)}$ | (1) | 814 | 845 | 889 | $\left.{ }^{1}\right)$ | (1) | 769 |  | 827 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | (1) | (1) | 1,006 | 1,029 | 1,017 | (1) | (1) | (2) |  | (2) |

NEGRO FAMILIES ${ }^{3}$

| Under \$250 | \$101 | (1) | (1) | (1) | \$82 | \$84 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$250-\$499 | 154 | \$196 | \$152 | \$95 | 152 | 142 |
| \$500-\$749 | 228 | 235 | 221 | 223 | 221 | 192 |
| \$750-\$999. | 260 | 265 | 277 | 245 | 275 | 269 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 301 | 331 | 302 | 304 | 341 | 302 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 329 | 319 | 363 | 345 | 372 | 419 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | ${ }^{(1)}$ | 410 | 428 | 391 | $\left.{ }^{1}\right)$ | ${ }^{(2)}$ |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | (1) | 460 | 439 | 339 | (1) | $\left.{ }^{2}\right)$ |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | (1) | 504 | 541 | 482 | (1) | ${ }^{(2)}$ |

[^18]Table 10.-Average money expenditures per meal per equivalent adult, by occupational group

WHITE FAMILIES

| Income class | Atlanta |  |  |  |  | Middle-sized cities |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Wage earner | Clerical | Independent business and professional | Salaried |  | Wage earner | Clerical | Independent business and professional | Salaried |  |
|  |  |  |  | Business | Professional |  |  |  | Business | Professional |
| \$500-\$749 | \$0.094 | (1) | (1) | ( 1 | (1) | \$0.087 | \$0.081 | (1) |  | 1) |
| \$750-\$999 | . 116 | 1\$0.108 | (1) | (1) | ( ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | . 096 | . 097 | (1) |  | () |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | . 127 | . 120 | (1) | (1) | (1) | . 120 | . 121 | \$0. 111 |  | 125 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | . 133 | . 139 | \$0.134 | \$0. 128 | \$0.140 | . 125 | . 124 | . 132 |  | 126 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | . 150 | 137 | . 141 | . 141 | . 149 | . 145 | . 148 | . 143 |  | 136 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | . 164 | . 146 | . 164 | 179 | . 158 | . 153 | . 146 | . 146 |  | 138 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | . 163 | . 164 | . 158 | 171 | . 181 | . 162 | - 149 | . 159 |  | 147 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | . 169 | . 198 | 152 | 171 | . 186 | . 161 | . 139 | . 152 |  | 155 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 192 | 185 | . 175 | 176 | . 210 | (1) | (1) | . 144 |  | 154 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | (1) | (1) | . 174 | . 183 | . 181 | (1) | (1) | . 158 |  | 166 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | (1) | (1) | . 198 | . 208 | . 166 | (1) | (1) | . 157 |  | 171 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999. | (1) | (1) | . 189 | . 200 | . 220 | (1) | (1) | . 181 |  | 171 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499. | (1) | (1) | . 213 | . 212 | . 212 | (1) | (1) | (9) |  | 1 |
| NEGRO FAMILIES ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under $\$ 250$ | \$0.033 | (1) |  |  | 1) | \$0.028. |  | \$0.0 |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 | . 054 | \$0.074 | \$0.053 |  | 034 | . 052 |  | . 0 |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | . 070 | . 077 | . 074 |  | 076 | . 075 |  | . 0 |  |  |
| \$750-\$999 | . 077 | . 087 | . 080 |  | 089 | . 087 |  | . 0 |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | . 084 | . 093 | . 106 |  | 111 | . 102 |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | . 088 | . 107 | . 113 |  | 105 | . 090 |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | (1) | . 117 | . 096 |  | 085 | (1) |  | ${ }^{(2)}$ |  |  |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | (t) | . 123 | . 163 |  | 106 | (1) |  | (2) |  |  |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | (1) | . 116 | 156 |  | 135 | (1) |  | (2) |  |  |

[^19]There were no clear-cut occupational differences among white families in either Atlanta or the middle-sized cities in the average amounts spent for food away from home. ${ }^{9}$

In the case of Negro families differences among the occupational groups in the average money expenditures for food in Atlanta were generally even smaller than were those among the white families in the same city. There was some tendency for clerical families to rank high at the income levels between $\$ 250$ and $\$ 1,250$, and families in the salaried group to rank low. At the income levels between $\$ 1,500$ and $\$ 2,250$, where only white-collar families were represented, the latter uniformly reported lower money expenditures than the former (table 9). Expenditures per meal per equivalent adult were generally smallest among wage-earner families, but no consistent differences appeared among the white-collar groups (table 10). Wageearner and salaried families reported substantially larger amounts of food received without money expense than families in the clerical or self-employed group. ${ }^{10}$ When these values are added to money

[^20]expenditures for food, wage-earner families stand out as having the highest food consumption. It will be impossible to determine whether this larger consumption is related to the greater needs for energyproducing foods of men doing heavy manual labor, until further analysis of data on foods consumed have been completed.

Expenditures for food away from home were so small and varied so irregularly that no occupational pattern could be distinguished. Indeed, at one income level or another between $\$ 250$ and $\$ 1,500$, white families in each of the four occupational groups ranked both highest and lowest. ${ }^{11}$

Table 11.-Average money expenditures for food per family, by family type
ATLANTA: WHITE FAMILIES

| Income class | Family type |  |  | Income class | Family type |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | I | $\begin{aligned} & \text { II and } \\ & \text { III } \end{aligned}$ | IV and |  | I | $\begin{aligned} & \text { II and } \\ & \text { III } \end{aligned}$ | IV and |
| \$750-\$999. | \$320 | \$370 | \$410 | \$2,250-\$2,499 | \$569 | \$621 | \$641 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 348 | 390 | 437 | \$2,500-\$2,999 | 594 | 651 | 761 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 407 | 453 | 476 | \$3,000-\$3,499 | 619 | 744 | 847 |
| \$1,500-\$1749. | 432 | 497 | 539 | \$3,500-\$3,999 | 688 | 778 | 884 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 434 | 563 | 628 | \$4,000-\$4,999 | 668 | 829 | 933 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 509 | 590 | 633 | \$5,000-\$7,499. | 850 | 1,007 | 1,086 |

MIDDLE-SIZED CLTIES: WHITE FAMILIES

| \$500-\$749 | \$249 | \$261 | \$300 | \$2,000-\$2,249 | \$466 | \$557 | \$665 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$750-\$999 | 293 | 326 | 356 | \$2,250-\$2,499 | 508 | 558 | 635 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 339 | 387 | 419 | \$2,500-\$2,999 | 469 | 631 | 704 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 369 | 447 | 469 | \$3,000-\$3,499 | 550 | 669 | 758 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 421 | 513 | 566 | \$3,500-\$3,999. | 493 | 736 | 791 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 457 | 523 | 598 | \$4,000-\$4,999. | 628 | 798 | 891 |

MIDDLE-SIZED CITLES: NEGRO FAMILIES

| \$250-\$499. | \$146 | \$154 | \$157 | \$1,000-\$1,249. | \$304 | \$328 | \$358 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$500-\$749. | 220 | 220 | 218 | \$1,250-\$1,499. | 336 | 356 | 409 |
| \$750-\$999 | 258 | 267 | 293 | \$1,500-\$2,249. | 409 | 390 | 526 |

ATLANTA: NEGRO FAMILIES

|  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Income class |

${ }^{1}$ The 7 family types are distinguished on the basis of the number and age of members other than husband and wife, as follows:

I No other persons (families of 2).
II 1 child under 16 (families of 3 ).
III 2 children under 16 (families of 4).
IV 1 person 16 or over and 1 or no other person, regardless of age (families of 3 or 4).
V 1 child under 16, 1 person 16 or over, and 1 or 2 others, regardless of age (families of 5 or 6 ).
VI 3 or 4 children under 16 (families of 5 or 6 ).
VII 1 child under 16, and 4 or 5 others, regardless of age (families of 7 or 8 ).
$\dagger$ Averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.
${ }^{11}$ See Tabular Summary, table 3.

Among the Negro families in Columbia and Mobile, where only two occupational groups were distinguished, food expenditures for the two groups were about the same at the lowest income level. At the levels between $\$ 250$ and $\$ 1,250$ wage earners spent from $\$ 6$ to $\$ 39$ more than white-collar families. When the money value of free food is combined with money expenditures, it appears that the total value of food reported by wage-earner families was slightly larger than that reported by white-collar families at all levels up to $\$ 1,250 .{ }^{12}$

Money expenditures for food among family type groups. The importance of family size in influencing food expenditures may be seen from the remarkable consistency, among both whites and Negroes, with which the two-person families reported the lowest average money expenditures for food and the highest outlay per meal per equivalent adult, and the large families, the highest total expenditures and the lowest expenditures per meal per person. (See tables 11 and 12.)

Table 12.-Average money expenditures per meal per equivalent adult, by family type
ATLAN'RA: WHITE FAMILIES

| Income class | Family type ${ }^{1}$ |  |  | Income class | Family type ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | I | $\begin{aligned} & \text { II and } \\ & \text { III } \end{aligned}$ | IV and |  | I | $\begin{aligned} & \text { II and } \\ & \text { III } \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{IV}_{\mathrm{V}} \text { and }$ |
| \$750-\$999 | \$0. 148 | \$0. 108 | \$0.090 | \$2,250-\$2,499. | \$0. 250 | \$0. 164 | \$0. 138 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 156 | . 116 | . 098 | \$2,500-\$2,999 | . 259 | . 170 | . 151 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | . 177 | . 130 | . 107 | \$3,000-\$3,499 | . 219 | . 184 | . 152 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | . 183 | . 138 | 118 | \$3,500-\$3 999. | 267 | . 181 | . 170 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | . 180 | . 158 | 138 | \$4,000-\$4,999 | . 270 | . 199 | . 172 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | . 220 | . 158 | 133 | \$5,000-\$7,499. | . 285 | . 212 | . 186 |

MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES: WHITE FAMILIES

| \$500-\$749 | \$0.116 | \$0.076 | \$0.073 | \$2,000-\$2,249 | \$0. 195 | \$0. 148 | \$0. 134 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$750-\$999 | . 137 | 092 | . 076 | \$2,250-\$2,499 | 208 | 146 | . 127 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | . 152 | 114 | . 097 | \$2,500-\$2,999 | 188 | 152 | 134 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | . 157 | 122 | . 106 | \$3,000-\$3,499 | 189 | 165 | . 150 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 181 | 141 | . 123 | \$3,500-\$3,999 | 174 | 173 | . 158 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 189 | 136 | . 129 | \$4.000-\$4,999 | 210 | 179 | 157 |

MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES: NEGRO FAMILIES

| \$250-\$499 | \$0.067 | \$0.046 | \$0.035 | \$1,000-\$1,249 | \$0.137 | \$0.097 | \$0.076 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$500-\$749 | . 099 | . 065 | . 051 | \$1,250-\$1,499 | . 165 | . 094 | . 083 |
| \$750-\$999. | . 117 | . 080 | . 064 | \$1,500-\$2,249 | 186 | 106 | 108 |

[^21]${ }^{12}$ See Tabular Summary, table 3.

Table 12.-Average money expenditures per meal per equivalent adult, by family type-Continued
ATLANTA: NEGRO FAMILIES

| Income class | Family type : |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | I | II | III | IV | V | IV | Vİ |
| \$250-\$499. | \$0.065 | \$0.071 | \$0.039 | \$0. 042 | \$0.029 | \$0.034 | \$0.032 |
| \$500-\$749. | . 091 | . 076 | . 058 | . 064 | . 039 | . 054 | . 039 |
| \$750-\$999 | . 107 | . 073 | . 065 | . 064 | . 061 | . 062 | . 041 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | . 119 | . 096 | . 087 | . 075 | . 062 | . 073 | . 047 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | . 115 | . 107 | . 104 | . 088 | . 071 | . 080 | . 053 |
| \$1,500-\$2,249 | . 164 | . 143 | . 113 | . 107 | . 086 | ( $\dagger$ ) | . 073 |

${ }^{1}$ The 7 family types are distinguished on the basis of the number and age of members other than husband and wife, as follows:

I No other persons (families of 2).
II 1 child under 16 (families of 3 ).
III 2 children under 16 (families of 4).
IV 1 person 16 or over and 1 or no other person, regardless of age (families of 3 or 4).
VI 1 child under 16, 1 person 16 or over, and 1 or 2 others, regardless of age (families of 5 or 6).
VI 3 or 4 children under 16 (families of 5 or 6 ).
VII 1 child under 16, and 4 or 5 others, regardless of age (families of 7 or 8 ).
$\dagger$ Fewer than 3 cases.
Among white families, expenditures for food away from home were in general greatest among families with three to six members, at least three of them over 16 (types IV and V), particularly at the income levels beginning with $\$ 1,750 .{ }^{13}$ The showing was by no means so clear, however, as in respect to total food expenditures. In Atlanta, the two-person families ranked somewhat higher in such expenditures than those with children; but in Columbia-Mobile, where outlay for food away from home was generally lower than in Atlanta, the addition of one or two children appeared to have no effect on expenditures for eating out. Among the Negro families, expenditures for food away from home were so small and so irregular that no family type differences were apparent. In Atlanta, however, where families of seven or eight members (type VII), were studied, such families had the lowest average expenditures of this kind.

The inverse relationship between expenditures per meal per person at given income levels, and family size is well illustrated among the white families in Atlanta. An average expenditure per meal per person of more than 15 cents was reported by two-person families in this group at all income levels above $\$ 1,000$; by families of one or two children under 16 at all levels above $\$ 1,750$; and by the other families (types IV and V) only at the levels above $\$ 2,500$. The indicated relationship was probably due both to the fact that food costs per person are somewhat lower when food is purchased and prepared in relatively large quantities, and to the fact that larger families, particularly at the lower income levels, are likely to restrict their purchase to cheaper foods than those used by small families.

[^22]Although the showing was by no means clear, there is evidence that the larger families tended to obtain the greatest amount of food without money expenditure, probably because home ownership, and consequently the cultivation of vegetable gardens, was most common among those families. Among the white families, those containing only the husband and wife generally reported the least "free food." Among the Negroes, however, the money value of free food did not vary so closely with family size as it did in the case of white families. In Atlanta the two-person Negro families usually reported more free food than did those with one or two children. This may result in part from the fact that members of two-person families were more often employed as domestic servants than were members of families with children. Among the other family types, those with seven or eight members ranked high in reported value of free food, while those with at least three members over 16 in addition to husband and wife (types IV and V), together with the two-person families, came next, and the families with from one to four children under 16 were lowest.

Summary.-Food expenditures, while of predominant importance in the family budget, lost steadily as a percentage of total money expenditures in successively higher income classes. Thus, at the lowest economic levels studied, food took close to 40 percent of total money expenditures among both white and Negro families, but only a little more than half as great a proportion at the top of the income scale. Negro families spent substantially less than whites at corresponding income levels.

In accordance with expectations, family composition had a clear influence on expenditures for food in both racial groups, the amount varying quite directly with family size.

## Chapter IV

## Home Maintenance

Next to food, housing was the most important category in the budgets of families studied in the Southeastern cities included in the investigation. When housing expenditures are combined with those for household operation and for furnishings and equipment, to form a broader group (home maintenance), the average expenditures for the total exceeded those for food among white families above the $\$ 1,000$ income level in both city units, and among Negroes at almost all levels in Atlanta.

Housing. ${ }^{1-}$-The housing category differs somewhat from the others distinguished in the present study of urban families because of the fact that home owners and families occupying houses furnished to them as a gift or as a part of pay were sufficiently numerous at each income level to make the average money expenditures an inadequate representation of the housing obtained during the year. Accordingly, the data on housing expenditures presented here comprise all money outlays for the family home (including fuel, light, and refrigeration) and for lodging for family members away from home, together with the occupancy value of housing obtained without money expense. ${ }^{2}$ As indicated in chapter I, such occupancy values were also included as a part of total family income, realized in the form of housing rather than cash.

[^23]Table 13.--- Average expenditures for home maintenance
ATLANTA: WHITE EAMILIES

| Income class | A verage expenditure |  |  |  |  |  | Percentage of total expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Housing |  |  |  |  |  | Housing |  |  |  |  |
|  | Homemai | $\begin{aligned} & \overrightarrow{\mathbb{E}} \\ & 0 \\ & \text { B } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { T } \\ & 0 \\ & \text { H } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | \$229 | \$178 | \$173 | \$5 | \$27 | \$24 | 33.9 | 26.3 | 26.0 | 0.3 | 4.0 | 3.6 |
| \$750-\$999 | 339 | 252 | 242 | 10 | 44 | 43 | 34. 1 | 25.3 | 24.3 | 1.0 | 4.4 | 4.4 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 419 | 291 | 270 | 21 | 54 | 74 | 34.3 | 23.8 | 22.9 | . 9 | 4.4 | 6.1 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 477 | 339 | 313 | 26 | 75 | 63 | 33.6 | 23.9 | 22.7 | 1.2 | 5.3 | 4. 4 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 562 | 378 | 330 | 48 | 100 | 84 | 34.3 | 23.1 | 20.9 | 2.2 | 6.1 | 5.1 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 639 | 435 | 384 | 51 | 133 | 71 | 33.6 | 22.9 | 20.2 | 2.7 | 7.0 | 3. 7 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 669 | 445 | 380 | 65 | 132 | 92 | 32.4 | 21.6 | 18.5 | 3.1 | 6.4 | 4. 4 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 748 | 508 | 437 | 71 | 164 | 76 | 32.5 | 22.1 | 19.8 | 2.3 | 7.1 | 3. 3 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 802 | 529 | 420 | 109 | 193 | 80 | 31.9 | 21.1 | 16. 7 | 4.4 | 7.6 | 3.2 |
| \$3,000-83,499 | 993 | 635 | 527 | 108 | 266 | 92 | 34.0 | 21. 7 | 18.8 | 2.9 | 9.1 | 3.2 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 1,127 | 736 | 575 | 161 | 293 | 98 | 33.5 | 22.0 | 18. 1 | 3.9 | 8.6 | 2.9 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 1,262 | 799 | 623 | 176 | 367 | 96 | 33.4 | 21.1 | 17.5 | 3.6 | 9.8 | 2.5 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 1,580 | 920 | 646 | 274 | 520 | 140 | 31.8 | 18.5 | 13.0 | 5.5 | 10.5 | 2. 8 |
| \$7,500 and over | 2,334 | 1,379 | 805 | 574 | 728 | 227 | 31.0 | 18.3 | 11.8 | 6.5 | 9.7 | 3.0 |

## MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES: WHITE FAMILIES

| \$250-\$499 | \$229 | \$195 | \$171 | \$24 | \$23 | \$11 | 37.6 | 32.0 | 30.5 | 1.5 | 3.8 | 1.8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$500-\$749 | 253 | 201 | 178 | 23 | 29 | 23 | 35.1 | 27.9 | 26.7 | 1.2 | 4.0 | 3. 2 |
| \$750-\$999 | 323 | 257 | 228 | 29 | 41 | 25 | 34.2 | 27.2 | 25.5 | 1.7 | 4.4 | 2. 6 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 406 | 296 | 265 | 31 | 62 | 48 | 34.9 | 25.5 | 23.8 | 1.7 | 5.3 | 4.1 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 489 | 335 | 281 | 54 | 81 | 73 | 35.3 | 24.1 | 21.6 | 2.5 | 5.9 | 5.3 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 563 | 394 | 319 | 75 | 101 | 68 | 347 | 24.3 | 20.9 | 3.4 | 6.2 | 4.2 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 642 | 434 | 364 | 70 | 137 | 71 | 34.7 | 23.5 | 20.6 | 2.9 | 7.4 | 3.8 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 705 | 472 | 384 | 88 | 154 | 79 | 34.0 | 22.8 | 19.4 | 3.4 | 7.4 | 3.8 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 743 | 498 | 389 | 109 | 172 | 73 | 34.3 | 23.0 | 18.9 | 4.1 | 7.9 | 3.4 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 910 | 612 | 472 | 140 | 226 | 72 | 35.8 | 24.1 | 19.8 | 4.3 | 8.9 | 2. 8 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499. | 988 | 630 | 464 | 166 | 278 | 80 | 35.0 | 22.3 | 17.5 | 4.8 | 9.9 | 2.8 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 1,113 | 695 | 528 | 167 | 325 | 93 | 35.4 | 22.1 | 17.8 | 4.3 | 10.3 | 3.0 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999. | 1,275 | 802 | 612 | 190 | 365 | 108 | 34.2 | 21.5 | 17.5 | 4. 0 | 9.8 | 2.9 |
| \$5,000 and over | 1,887 | 1,123 | 758 | 365 | 551 | 213 | 35.3 | 21.0 | 15.3 | 5.7 | 10.3 | 4. 0 |

## ATLANTA: NEGRO FAMILIES

| Under \$250 | \$155 | \$137 | \$109 | \$28 | \$8 | \$10 | 45.9 | 40.5 | 32.2 | 8.3 | 2.4 | 3.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$250-\$499 | 183 | 163 | 147 | 16 | 11 | 9 | 38.2 | 34.0 | 30.7 | 3.3 | 2.3 | 1.9 |
| \$500-\$749 | 223 | 187 | 175 | 12 | 18 | 18 | 33.1 | 27.7 | 25.9 | 1.8 | 2.7 | 2. 7 |
| \$750-\$999 | 278 | 221 | 190 | 31 | 25 | 32 | 31.1 | 24.7 | 21.3 | 3.4 | 2.8 | 3.6 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 353 | 274 | 219 | 55 | 33 | 46 | 31.0 | 24.1 | 19.2 | 4.9 | 2.9 | 4.0 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 420 | 305 | 232 | 73 | 58 | 57 | 31.7 | 23.0 | 17.5 | 5. 5 | 4.4 | 4.3 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 479 | 350 | 259 | 91 | 79 | 50 | 30.8 | 22.5 | 16.7 | 5.8 | 5.1 | 3.2 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 511 | 401 | 374 | 27 | 77 | 33 | 30.7 | 24.1 | 22.5 | 1.6 | 4.6 | 2. 0 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 585 | 445 | 326 | 119 | 100 | 40 | 31.8 | 24.2 | 17.7 | 6. 5 | 5.4 | 2.2 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 645 | 464 | 337 | 127 | 94 | 87 | 32.9 | 23.7 | 17.2 | 6.5 | 4.8 | 4. 4 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 657 | 464 | 296 | 168 | 119 | 74 | 32.3 | 22.8 | 14.6 | 8.2 | 5.9 | 3.6 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 772 | 484 | 373 | 111 | 214 | 74 | 31.2 | 19.6 | 15.1 | 4. 5 | 8.6 | 3. 0 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 685 | 470 | 405 | 65 | 184 | 31 | 23.9 | 16.4 | 14. 1 | 2. 3 | 6. 4 | 1.1 |
| \$4,000 and over | 1,031 | 667 | 407 | 260 | 255 | 109 | 26.4 | 17.1 | 10.4 | 6.7 | 6.5 | 2.8 |

## MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES: NEGRO FAMILIES

| Under \$250 | \$121 | \$111 | \$92 | \$19 | \$8 | \$2 | 44.2 | 40.6 | 33.6 | 7.0 | 2.9 | 0.7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$250-\$499 | 152 | 135 | 119 | 16 | 10 | 7 | 37.1 | 33.0 | 29.1 | 3.9 | 2.4 | 1.7 |
| \$500-\$749 | 190 | 158 | 138 | 20 | 15 | 17 | 31.0 | 25.8 | 22.5 | 3.3 | 2.4 | 2.8 |
| \$750-\$999 | 250 | 198 | 165 | 33 | 23 | 29 | 29.7 | 23.5 | 19.6 | 3.9 | 2.7 | 3.5 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 339 | 254 | 181 | 73 | 32 | 53 | 31.0 | 23.3 | 16.6 | 6.7 | 2.9 | 4.8 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 363 | 271 | 173 | 98 | 43 | 49 | 25.7 | 19.2 | 13.2 | 6.0 | 3.0 | 3.5 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 431 | 332 | 244 | 88 | 79 | 20 | 28.4 | 21.9 | 16.1 | 5.8 | 5.2 | 1.3 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 521 | 358 | 375 | -17 | 39 | 124 | 32.4 | 22.3 | 23.3 | $-1.0$ | 2.4 | 7.7 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 461 | 351 | 258 | 93 | 68 | 42 | 27.8 | 21.2 | 15.6 | 5.6 | 4.1 | 2.5 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 681 | 465 | 248 | 217 | 109 | 107 | 32. 4 | 22. 1 | 11.8 | 10.3 | 5.2 | 5.1 |
| \$2,500 and over | 686 | 430 | 366 | 64 | 148 | 108 | 32.2 | 20.2 | 17.5 | 2.7 | 6.9 | 5.1 |

[^24]Average expenditures for housing, like those for food, increased fairly steadily over the income range among both white and Negro families. (See table 13.) White families in Atlanta spent only $\$ 178$, or about $\$ 15$ a month, at the income level $\$ 500$ to $\$ 750$; those at the median income interval ( $\$ 1,750$ to $\$ 2,000$ ) spent $\$ 36$ per month; and those with incomes of $\$ 7,500$ or more spent $\$ 115$ per month, or over seven times as much as those receiving $\$ 500$ to $\$ 750$. Increases in housing expenditures were neither so rapid nor quite so regular among Negro families. In Atlanta, Negro families with incomes below $\$ 250$ spent an average of about $\$ 11$ a month, while those with incomes of $\$ 4,000$ and more spent almost $\$ 56$.
Since these outlays increased more slowly than total expenditures, they absorbed a declining proportion of the total at succeeding income levels. Thus, among white families in Atlanta, housing took more than one-fourth of total expenditures of families with incomes of $\$ 500$ to $\$ 750$, whereas among families with incomes of $\$ 7,500$ and more, less than one-fifth went into housing. Similarly, in Columbia and Mobile, white families allocated only about two-thirds as large a proportion of total expenditures to housing at the top of the income scale as at the bottom. Among Negro families in both city units the decline in relative importance of the housing category was from 40 percent of total expenditures at the lowest income level studied to 20 percent or less in the highest income class studied in each city unit. ${ }^{3}$

White families rather consistently reported larger average housing expenditures than did Negroes at the same income level. While the differences were not very great in the lower part of the income range, they were large enough to be significant at most income levels. This racial difference was chiefly accounted for by differences in money expenditures for housing since for all but a few scattered income levels in both city units the value of housing obtained without money expense was at least as great among Negroes as among white families in the same income class.

A part of the difference in level of housing expenditures between white and Negro families is undoubtedly explained by differences in facilities obtained. Although there was little difference between the two racial groups in Atlanta in the number of persons per room, the percentage having a central furnace was consistently higher among white families than among Negroes at the same income level, while the percentage without running hot water, electric lights, and inside flush toilet was much greater among the Negroes. Up to the $\$ 2,000$ level in Atlanta 40 percent or more of the Negro families in Atlanta

[^25]reported that their dwellings were without any of these facilities. In this connection it should be recalled that all but 5 percent of the Negro families in Atlanta had incomes under $\$ 1,500 .{ }^{4}$ Among white families, on the other hand, more than four out of five families above the $\$ 500$ level had at least these three minimum facilities. Similarly indicating a difference in facilities is the fact that a much smaller proportion of the Negro than of the white renting families reported that the rent paid included such items as electric refrigeration, light, heat, or garage. ${ }^{5}$

Among white families, housing expenditures were closely similar in Atlanta and the middle-sized cities for corresponding income groups, although, contrary to what might be expected, the averages for Columbia-Mobile tended to be slightly larger than those for Atlanta. With respect to money expenditures for housing considered separately, however, Atlanta families generally ranked higher than those in the middle-sized cities. ${ }^{6}$ Among Negro families the more usual relationships between city size and housing expenditures obtained, with respect both to dollar expenditures and total value of housing, Atlanta families generally reporting larger average amounts than those in the middle-sized cities.

Table 14.-Percentage of families reporting home ownership

| Income class | White families |  | Negro families |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Atlanta | Middlesized cities | Atlanta | Middlesized cities |
| Under \$250. | (1) | (1) | 11 | 9 |
| \$250-\$499. | (1) | 6 | 12 | 12 |
| \$500-\$749- - | 4 | 9 | 10 | 18 |
| \$750-\$999 | 9 | 14 | 22 | 31 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 18 | 21 | 32 | 48 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 18 | 28 | 55 | 48 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 26 | 42 | 54 | 55 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 27 | 34 | 66 | 79 |
| \$2,000-\$2, 249 | 33 | 41 | 68 | 100 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 35 | 47 | 82 | 81 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 40 | 49 | 70 | 482 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 43 | 50 | 85 | $\left.{ }^{4}\right)$ |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 51 | 61 | 80 | (4) |
| \$4,000-\$4,999. | 59 | 55 | ${ }^{3} 90$ | (4) |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 66 | ${ }^{2} 81$ |  |  |
| \$7,500 and over | 88 | (2) | $\left.{ }^{3}\right)$ |  |

Expenditure schedules not taken for families at this income level.
${ }^{2}$ In the middle-sized cities all white families with incomes of $\$ 5,000$ and over were combined.
${ }^{3}$ In Atlanta the Negro families with incomes of $\$ 4,000$ and over were combined.
${ }^{4}$ In the middle-sized cities Negro families with incomes of $\$ 2,500$ and over were combined.
Home ownership and housing value.-Since the imputed income of home owners constituted the major portion of the nonmoney housing values, the magnitude of these values (as averaged for all families at

[^26]a given income level) depended largely on the proportion of families that owned their homes. Among both whites and Negroes in the two city units, the proportion of home owners increased rapidly in successive income classes. Below the $\$ 1,000$ level in Atlanta and the $\$ 750$ level in Columbia-Mobile less than 10 percent of the white families reported home ownership, while at least half were owners in the income groups above $\$ 3,500$ in Atlanta and $\$ 3,000$ in the middlesized cities. Among Negro families home owners outnumbered renters in all income classes above $\$ 1,250$ in Atlanta and above $\$ 1,500$ in Columbia-Mobile. Even among families with incomes below $\$ 500$, about 10 percent owned their homes.

In general, home ownership was less common among white families at given incomes in the Southeast than among those in all other cities of comparable size except in New England. The proportion of Negro families reporting home ownership, however, was higher in Atlanta than in Columbus, Ohio, at all income levels between $\$ 750$ and $\$ 2,500$.

For home owners considered separately the average net money value of occupancy of homes owned by white families amounted to more than $\$ 100$ at virtually all income levels, and to more than $\$ 600$ for Atlanta families with incomes of $\$ 7,500$ and over. ${ }^{7}$ Except at the lower end of the income scale Negro owners reported smaller net values for occupancy than did white owners in the same income class. Among families in the upper part of the income range the difference was quite substantial, particularly in Atlanta.

Household operation.-This category included two main groups of items-household help, and supplies and services. Unlike expenditures for housing, those for household operation increased more rapidly than total expenditures, with the result that among the higher income groups they absorbed a substantially larger share of total expenditures than in the lower part of the income scale. (See table 13.) Thus, both in Atlanta and the middle-sized cities, and among families of both races, the proportion of total expenditures devoted to household operation more than doubled over the income range. White families in Atlanta, for example, with incomes of $\$ 7,500$ or over spent an average of more than $\$ 60$ per month for household operation, or over half as much as for housing, whereas those at the median interval ( $\$ 1,750$ to $\$ 2,000$ ) averaged only about $\$ 11$ per month, or less than one-fourth as much as for housing, and those with incomes of $\$ 500$ to $\$ 750$, little more than $\$ 2$, barely one-sixth as much as for housing. ${ }^{8}$

[^27]Table 15.-Average money expenditures for household operation
ATLANTA: WHITE FAMILIES

| Income class | Total | Paid household help |  |  | Other services and supplies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Amount | Families reporting |  |  |
|  |  |  | Percentage | Average amount |  |
| \$500-\$749. | \$27 | \$7 | 8 | \$88 | \$20 |
| \$750-\$999 | 44 | 6 | 20 | - 30 | 38 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 54 | 7 | 17 | 41 | 47 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 75 | 12 | 27 | 44 | 63 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 100 | 28 | 30 | 93 | 72 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 133 | 47 | 49 | 96 | 86 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 132 | 51 | 51 | 100 | 81 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499. | 164 | 60 | 52 | 115 | 104 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999. | 193 | 83 | 80 | 104 | 110 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 266 | 146 | 86 | 170 | 120 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 293 | 160 | 81 | 198 | 133 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999. | 367 | 215 | 88 | 244 | 152 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 520 | 342 | 99 | 345 | 178 |
| \$7,500 and over. | 728 | 485 | 97 | 500 | 243 |

MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES: WHITE FAMILIES

| \$250-\$499 | \$23 | \$1 | 9 | \$11 | \$22 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$500-\$749. | 29 | 5 | 16 | 31 | 24 |
| \$750-\$999 | 41 | 8 | 28 | 28 | 33 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 62 | 14 | 32 | 44 | 48 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 81 | 27 | 40 | 68 | 54 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 101 | 33 | 51 | 65 | 68 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 137 | 56 | 66 | 85 | 81 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 154 | 64 | 63 | 102 | 90 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 172 | 72 | 64 | 112 | 100 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 226 | 104 | 73 | 142 | 122 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 278 | 153 | 91 | 168 | 125 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 325 | 190 | 94 | 202 | 135 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999. | 365 | 214 | 96 | 223 | 151 |
| \$5,000 and over | 551 | 355 | 97 | 366 | 196 |

ATLANTA: NEGRO FAMILIES

| Under \$250. | \$8 |  |  |  | \$8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$250-\$499 | 11 | (*) | 1 | \$1 | 11 |
| \$500-\$749. | 18 | (*) | 4 | 10 | 18 |
| \$750-\$999 | 25 | (*) | 2 | 10 | 25 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 33 | \$1 | 3 | 33 | 32 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 58 | 1 | 3 | 33 | 57 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 79 | 12 | 13 | 92 | 67 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 77 | 6 | 9 | 67 | 71 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 100 | 17 | 27 | 63 | 83 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499. | 94 | 9 | 17 | 53 | 85 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 119 | 18 | 24 | 75 | 101 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 214 | 79 | 46 | 172 | 135 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 184 | 68 | 40 | 170 | 116 |
| \$4,000 and over | 255 | 99 | 60 | 165 | 156 |

MIDDLF-SIZED CITIES: NEGRO FAMILIES

| Under $\$ 250$. | \$8 | (*) | 3 | \$2 | \$8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$250-\$499. | 10 |  |  |  | 10 |
| \$500-\$749. | 15 | (*) | 1 | 40 | 15 |
| \$750-\$999 | 23 | \$1 | 2 | 50 | 22 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 32 | 1 | 6 | 17 | 31 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 43 | 6 | 11 | 54 | 37 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 79 | 27 | 48 | 56 | 52 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 39 | 7 | 14 | 50 | 32 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 68 | 5 | 22 | 23 | 63 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 109 | 20 | 24 | 83 | 89 |
| \$2,500 and over. | 148 | 69 | 64 | 108 | 79 |

*Less than $\$ 1$.
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Of the two constituents included under household operation, expenditures for paid household help were far more elastic than those for supplies and services. (See table 15.) Thus, among white families with incomes of less than $\$ 3,000$ in both Atlanta and the middle-sized cities, expenditures for household help were less than those for other items of household operation, such as telephone, laundry, and miscellaneous items like stationery. Among families with incomes above $\$ 3,000$, however, expenditures for paid household help were much larger than those for other household operation. The outlay for household help was consistently smaller among Negro than among white families at the same income level.

Much of the increase over the income scale in the expenditures for household help was attributable to the increasing proportion of families reporting such help. Among white families in the two city units, fewer than one in ten reported household help at the lowest income levels studied, but more than four out of five at the income levels above $\$ 3,000$ had such help and almost every family with an income of $\$ 5,000$ or more had expenditures for domestic service. Among Negro families, by contrast, more than one-half reported payments for household help only at the highest income levels studied.

Preliminary examination of the detailed data on expenditures for household operation indicates that laundry expense was of major importance, with telephone costs running second. At the lowest income levels, families spent more for laundry supplies for washing at home than for laundry sent out. At higher income levels, however, this relationship was reversed, since the average expense for laundry supplies varied little with income, while that for laundry sent out increased rapidly even among Negro families. Average outlays for telephone service also rose rapidly at succeeding income levels. ${ }^{9}$
Expenditures for household operation reported by white families were usually lower in Atlanta than in the middle-sized cities, whereas among Negro families the reverse situation obtained. The larger expenditures by white families in the middle-sized cities was due to the higher proportion of families employing household help, and hence the larger average outlays for that item. When the expenditures of families employing help are compared, there were no significant differences in amounts so spent. Moreover, there were no intercity differences in expenditures for household supplies and services other than help.

Racial differences in expenditures for household operation were much greater with respect to paid household help than with respect to such relatively standardized items as telephone, laundry, and the like. Taking all household operation expenditures together, however, white families in each city unit uniformly spent more than Negro families with the same income.

[^28]Furnishings and equipment.-The character of expenditures for furnishings and equipment is very different from that of the categories previously discussed. Included in this category is a wide variety of items such as kitchenware, glass, china, linens, furniture, and other durable items such as refrigerators. At any given income level, the majority of families spent relatively small sums on routine items that must be replaced frequently, while occasional families made large purchases of durable equipment. As a result of the character of this category of consumption, the range of expenditures for families within a given income class is much wider than appears in average expenditures at successive income levels, and these averages do not, therefore, necessarily represent the level of spending for the majority of the families studied.

Average expenditures for this category were under $\$ 100$ up to the $\$ 3,500$ level. There was a tendency for amounts spent to increase with income, particularly among the Negro families. The rise was not regular, however. In the middle-sized cities, for example, the average expenditures of white families in the income group between $\$ 1,250$ and $\$ 3,500$ varied irregularly from $\$ 68$ to $\$ 80$.

There was no consistent tendency for white families to devote an increasing share of their total expenditures to furnishings and equipment. The proportion of the total so spent generally ranged between 2 and 6 percent. In the case of Negro families the proportion varied somewhat more widely over the income scale, but increased fairly consistently in the income groups below $\$ 1,500$ in Atlanta and below $\$ 1,250$ in the middle-sized cities.

Expenditures for furnishings and equipment, though erratic in their behavior, tended to run higher in Atlanta than in the smaller cities throughout the income range in the case of white families, and below the $\$ 1,750$ level in the case of Negro families.

Although in Atlanta the Negro families reported almost uniformly smaller average amounts spent on furnishings and equipment than white families, the reverse was often the case in the middle-sized cities.

Expenditures for home maintenance.-When expenditures for housing, household operation, and furnishings and equipment are considered together, they amount to something over $\$ 200$ among native white families even at the income level of $\$ 250$ to $\$ 500$ in the Southeastern communities, rising steadily to more than $\$ 2,300$ for Atlanta families with incomes of $\$ 7,500$ and more. Among the Negro families with incomes below $\$ 250$, this important group of expenditures amounted to $\$ 155$ in Atlanta and a little over $\$ 120$ in the smaller cities. As among white families, there was a fairly steady, although less rapid, increase at successive income levels, the average amount reaching
$\$ 1,031$ among that very small proportion of Atlanta Negro families with incomes of $\$ 4,000$ and more.

In spite of the effect of the housing category on the figures for home maintenance, among white families there was practically no decline over the income range in the proportion of total expenditures devoted to home maintenance. There was, however, a fairly substantial decline among the Negroes. Such outlays amounted to more than 30 percent of total expenditures for current living among white families at all income levels in both Atlanta and the middle-sized cities. Among Atlanta Negro families home maintenance absorbed between 31 and 33 percent of total expenditures in the income range between $\$ 500$ and $\$ 3,500$, but less than 30 percent above that level. In the middle-sized cities there was no regular decline in the relative importance of the home maintenance category above the $\$ 1,000$ level, although the proportion of total expenditures allocated to this group fell below 30 percent in several income groups.

When expenditures for total home maintenance are taken as a group, Atlanta families had larger expenditures than did those in the middlesized cities among both white and Negro families. The slightly larger expenditures for housing and furnishings and equipment by Atlanta families outweighed the higher outlays of the families in the smaller cities for household help.

While neither income nor city size exerted a substantial and consistent influence on the proportion of total expenditures going for home maintenance, white families consistently spent more for total home maintenance than Negro families at comparable income levels, in both Atlanta and Mobile-Columbia. In Atlanta, the differences between the two racial groups in expenditures for home maintenance were about the same as the differences in outlays for food, expenditures by Negroes generally ranging from about 70 to 90 percent of expenditures by white families for both categories. In the middlesized cities, however, the differences between white and Negro families were substantially larger in respect to home maintenance than they were with respect to food. Food expenditures reported by Negro families were generally 80 to 90 percent as large as those of white families; their home maintenance outlays, on the other hand, with one exception, never amounted to more than 75 percent of the expenditures of white families.

Racial differences in the relationship between money expenditures for food and home maintenance are worth noting. Among white families in both city units, food expenditures exceeded expenditures for home maintenance at the income levels below $\$ 1,000$, were roughly equal to the latter at succeeding levels up to $\$ 1,750$, and then dropped consistently below home-maintenance expenditures. Among the Negro families, on the other hand, expenditures for home maintenance
exceeded those for food at the lowest and highest income levels studied in each city unit, but throughout the middle income ranges, food expenditures were generally the higher.

Housing expenditures among occupational groups.-There were some rather clear differences among the occupational groups studied in the Southeast in the level of expenditures for housing. Among white families, those in wage-earner occupations consistently reported smaller housing values than did other occupational groups. (See table 16.) Differences among the other groups were considerably less clear. In both city units, however, families in the salaried business and professional groups tended to have the largest expenditures for housing.

The proportion of home owners among wage-earner families was higher than for any occupational group except the self-employed. ${ }^{10}$ This fact suggests that average equities in homes owned by wage earners were lower than for other groups, either because of larger mortgages or because they owned smaller, or less expensively built houses. Among the other groups, families of self-employed workers tended to rank high in value of housing in the middle-sized cities, but in Atlanta salaried workers ranked as high as or higher than selfemployed groups.

For Negro families occupational differences in money expenditures for housing and in housing value were not well-defined. In Atlanta clerical families more often ranked higher in money outlay for this category than did the other groups, but in the middle-sized cities wage-earner families spent more than white-collar groups. In total money value of housing, Negro wage earners tended to rank relatively low in both city units, and in Atlanta salaried business and professional families were generally highest. ${ }^{11}$ On the whole, the data for Negro families indicate that occupation was without much influence on the level of housing expenditures.

Expenditures for household operation and furnishings among occupational groups.-Among the white families studied, those in the wageearner group ranked low not only in housing expenditures but also in outlay for household operation. ${ }^{12}$ Families in independent business and professional groups, on the other hand, spent the most for such items in both Atlanta and Columbia-Mobile. ${ }^{13}$ This showing was particularly clear with respect to paid help in the middle-sized cities, and with respect to other household operation expenses in Atlanta. ${ }^{14}$

[^29]Table 16.-Average expenditures for housing, ${ }^{1}$ by occupational group
ATLANTA: WHITE FAMILIES

| Income class | Wage earner | Clerical | Independent business and professional | Salaried |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Business | Professional |
| \$750-\$999 | \$247 | \$261 | ${ }^{(2)}$ | (2) | (2) |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 281 | 303 | (2) | (2) | (2) |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 319 | 355 | \$372 | \$380 | \$387 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 345 | 392 | 432 | 438 | 431 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 414 | 446 | 434 | 423 | 497 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 393 | 465 | 482 | 490 | 477 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 497 | 508 | 508 | 527 | 530 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 475 | 533 | 600 | 546 | 526 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | (9) | ${ }^{(2)}$ | 707 | 587 | 661 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | (2) | ${ }^{(2)}$ | 769 | 736 | 696 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | ${ }^{(2)}$ | ${ }^{(2)}$ | 883 | 769 | 794 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | ${ }^{(2)}$ | (2) | 975 | 899 | 830 |

MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES: WHITE FAMILIES


ATLANTA: NEGRO FAMILIES

| \$250-\$499 | \$163 | \$136 | \$167 | \$181 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$500- $\$ 749$ | 188 | 181 | 189 | 232 |
| \$750-\$999 | 216 | 272 | 247 | 285 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 270 | 310 | 293 | 288 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 292 | 335 | 356 | 354 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | ${ }^{(2)}$ | 319 | 362 | 370 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | ${ }^{(2)}$ | 420 | 345 | 441 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | (2) | 377 | 555 | 488 |

MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES: NEGRO FAMILIES ${ }^{3}$

| Under $\$ 250$ | \$113 |  | \$111 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$250-\$499 | 134 |  | 151 |
| \$500-\$749 | 155 | - | 187 |
| \$750-\$999 | 194 |  | 231 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 250 |  | 269 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 267 |  | 284 |

[^30]In expenditures for furnishings and equipment occupational relationships were not very clear, probably because of the miscellaneous character of the category and the wide variations from year to year in the amount spent by individual families. In Atlanta, families of wage earners, which ranked low in outlay for housing and household operation, spent more for furnishings and equipment at given income levels than did any other group, while those in self-employed business and professional occupations spent less than the others, ${ }^{15}$

[^31]As in the case of housing, occupational differences among Negroes in the level of spending for household operation and furnishings were insignificant. In Atlanta, variations in expenditures for the former category were associated directly with variations in value of housing, the occupational group with the highest housing values-salaried business and professional-reporting the largest outlay for household operation, and the wage-earner families, the smallest. With respect to furnishings and equipment, on the other hand, the salaried group generally spent less than other families in the same income class, but there was little difference among the other occupations.

Expenditures for home maintenance among occupational groups.Since, among white families, expenditures for housing and household operation both were relatively low for the wage-earner group, families in this occupational category ranked low also in total expenditures for home maintenance. (See table 17.) Families of salaried business and professional workers in both city units had relatively large expenditures for this combined category, chiefly because of their rank with respect to outlay for housing.

Among Negro families, the salaried business and professional group in Atlanta and the clerical group in Columbia-Mobile tended to report larger expenditures for home maintenance than other families in their respective communities. As already indicated, however, there is little clear evidence that occupation was a factor of any real significance in its effect on the pattern of spending of Negro families for the categories included in home maintenance.

Expenditures for home maintenance among family type groups.Family size and composition had no pronounced influence on expenditures for categories included in home maintenance in either city unit. Such family type differences as were found, like occupational differences, were better defined among white families than among Negroes.

On the whole, the only consistent difference in housing among white families of varying composition was found in the proportion of home owners. In both city units the families with at least three members over 16 (types IV and V) reported home ownership with greater relative frequency than did other families. ${ }^{16}$ This difference corresponds with that found in communities surveyed in other regions, and suggests that the greater prevalence of home ownership among such families was associated with the fact that they are usually older than other families included in the Study. In general, two-person families ranked next in the proportion of owners, probably because this group includes elderly couples whose children are no longer at home, as well as young, recently married couples.

[^32]Table 17.-Average expenditures for home maintenance, ${ }^{1}$ by occupational group
White families

| Income class | Atlanta |  |  |  |  | Middle-sized cities |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Wage earner | Clerical | Independent business and professional | Salaried |  | Wage earner | Clerical | Independent business and professional | Salaried business and professional |
|  |  |  |  | Business | Professional |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | \$229 | (2) | (2) | (2) | (2) | \$253 | \$265 | (2) | (3) |
| \$750-\$999 | 338 | \$338 | (2) | (2) | (2) | 314 | 353 | (2) | (3) |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 391 | 457 | (2) | (2) | (2) | 401 | 391 | \$454 | \$431 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 450 | 504 | \$504 | \$508 | \$505 | 440 | 532 | 575 | 489 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 548 | 558 | 579 | 622 | 627 | 479 | 637 | 613 | 579 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 620 | 647 | 624 | 614 | 734 | 562 | 687 | 658 | 688 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 628 | 679 | 701 | 715 | 712 | 647 | 703 | 766 | 750 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 745 | 729 | 747 | 805 | 790 | 712 | 712 | 762 | 805 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 720 | 795 | 937 | 823 | 827 | (2) | (2) | 908 | 909 |
| \$3,000- \$3,499 | (2) | ${ }^{(2)}$ | 1,022 | 954 | 1,060 | (2) | (2) | 982 | 989 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | (2) | (9) | 1,207 | 1,111 | 1,073 | (2) | (2) | 1,161 | 1,092 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | (2) | (9) | 1,385 | 1,206 | 1,306 | (2) | (a) | 1,244 | 1,286 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | (3) | (9) | 1,676 | 1,541 | 1,437 | (3) | (3) | ${ }^{(3)}$ | (3) |

NEGRO FAMILIES :

| Under \$250 | \$156 | (9) | (2) | (2) | \$123 | \$115 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$250-\$499.- | 183 | \$166 | \$197 | \$197 | 151 | 164 |
| \$500-\$749 | 224 | 215 | 219 | 256 | 186 | 224 |
| \$750-\$999- | 272 | 324 | 316 | 343 | 246 | 286 |
| \$1,090-\$1,249 | 349 | 378 | 388 | 352 | 330 | 373 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 407 | 455 | 459 | 469 | 362 | 367 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | (2) | 407 | 493 | 534 | ${ }^{(2)}$ | ${ }^{(3)}$ |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | (2) | 535 | 429 | 572 | (2) | (3) |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | (2) | 514 | 676 | 644 | (2) | (3) |

${ }^{1}$ Includes all current money expenditures for housing (rents, taxes, and current upkeep of owned homes), for fuel, light, and refrigeration, for household operation, furnishings and equipment, and the value of housing and fuel received without money expense.

Expenditure schedules not taken for families at this income level.
a Comparable data not available.

* In Atlanta all Negro families in salaried business and professional occupations were classified together; in the middle-sized cities all Negro families other than wage-earner were classified together.

In both city units expenditures for household operation were greatest among families with one or two children under 16, chiefly because such families reported more household help than did the others. ${ }^{17}$ Families with at least three members 16 or over tended to have the lowest expenditures for paid service and hence for house hold operation as a whole.

While there were no clearly defined differences among the familytype groups in average amounts spent for furnishings and equipment there was some indication in both city units that the older families, with at least three members 16 or over (types IV and V), spent less than the other groups. ${ }^{18}$

[^33]Table 18.-Average expenditures for housing, ${ }^{1}$ by family type
ATLANTA: WHITE FAMILIES

| Income class | Family type ${ }^{2}$ |  |  | Income class | Family type ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | I | $\begin{aligned} & \text { II and } \\ & \text { III } \end{aligned}$ | IV and |  | I | $\begin{aligned} & \text { II and } \\ & \text { IIII } \end{aligned}$ | $\text { IV } \underset{\mathrm{V}}{\text { and }}$ |
| \$750-\$999 | \$279 | \$207 | \$285 | \$2,250-\$2,499 . | \$481 | \$527 | \$519 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 308 | 281 | 283 | \$2,500-\$2,999 | 498 | 524 | 546 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 364 | 317 | 351 | \$3,000-\$3,499 | 652 | 631 | 628 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 385 | 367 | 387 | \$3,500-\$3,999. | 654 | 791 | 739 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 446 | 415 | 449 | \$4,000-\$4,999 | 800 | 769 | 817 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 405 | 447 | 470 | \$5,000-\$7,499. | 1,016 | 1,030 | 836 |

MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES: WHITE FAMILIES

| \$500-\$749 | \$204 | \$174 | \$227 | \$2,000-\$2,249 | \$482 | \$477 | \$463 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$750-\$999 | 238 | 257 | 271 | \$2,250-\$2,499. | 519 | 500 | 489 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 310 | 273 | 315 | \$2,500-\$2,999. | 657 | 594 | 607 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 341 | 326 | 342 | \$3,000-\$3,499 | 655 | 631 | 616 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 386 | 387 | 409 | \$3,500-\$3,999. | 683 | 713 | 689 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 458 | 430 | 425 | \$4,000-\$4,999 | 829 | 786 | 797 |

MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES: NEGRO FAMILIES

| \$250-\$499 | \$131 | \$132 | \$144 | \$1,000-\$1,249 | \$269 | \$231 | \$253 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$500-\$749 | 152 | 146 | 173 | \$1,250-\$1,499 | 259 | 247 | 285 |
| \$750-\$999. | 182 | 206 | 208 | \$1,500-\$2,249 | 381 | 338 | 350 |

ATLANTA: NEGRO FAMILIES

| Income class |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

${ }^{1}$ Includes money expenditures for housing (rent, taxes, and current upkeep of owned homes) and for fuel light, and refrigeration, and the value of housing and fuel obtained without money expense.
${ }_{2}$ The 7 family types are distinguished on the basis of the number and age of members other than husband and wife, as follows:

I No other persons (families of 2).
II 1 child under 16 (families of 3 ).
III 2 children under 16 (families of 4).
IV 1 person 16 or over and 1 or no other person, regardless of age (familes of 3 or 4).
V 1 child under 16, 1 person 16 or more, and 1 or 2 others, regardless of age (families of 5 or 6).
VI 3 or 4 children under 16 (families of 5 or 6 ).
VII 1 child under 16 , and 4 or 5 others, regardless of age (families of 7 or 8 ).
$\dagger$ Fewer than 3 cases.

Table 19.-Average expenditures for home maintenance, ${ }^{1}$ by family type
ATLANTA: WHITE FAMILIES

| Income class | Family type ${ }^{2}$ |  |  | Income elass | Family type ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | I | II-III | IV-V |  | I | II-III | IV-V |
| \$750-\$999. | \$385 | \$279 | \$374 | \$2,250-\$2,499. | \$708 | \$808 | \$733 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 503 | 382 | 367 | \$2,500-\$2,999. | 729 | 816 | 833 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 528 | 451 | 469 | \$3,000-\$3,499. | 1,029 | 953 | 1,001 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 610 | 550 | 535 | \$3,500-83,999. | 985 | 1,275 | 1,092 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 650 | 627 | 642 | \$4,000-\$4,999 | 1,204 | 1,268 | 1,282 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 640 | 694 | 667 | \$5,000-\$7,499 | 1,612 | 1,788 | 1,477 |
| MHDDLE-SIZED CITIES: WHITE FAMILIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | \$281 | \$213 | \$276 | \$2,000-\$2,249 | \$727 | \$762 | \$653 |
| \$750-\$999. | 320 | 326 | 321 | \$2,250-\$2,499. | 791 | 782 | 694 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 394 | 396 | 430 | \$2,500-\$2,999 | 1,000 | 921 | 858 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 507 | 503 | 460 | \$3,000-\$3,499 | 1,069 | 938 | 985 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 604 | 566 | 532 | \$3,500-\$3,999 | 1,080 | 1,182 | 1,083 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 679 | 691 | 580 | \$4,000-\$4,999 | 1,258 | 1,263 | 1. 287 |

MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES: NEGRO FAMILIES

| \$250-\$499. | \$147 | \$150 | \$163 | \$1,000-\$1,249. | \$373 | \$308 | \$327 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$500-\$749. | 183 | 176 | 207 | \$1,250-\$1,499 | 380 | 365 | 358 |
| \$750-\$999 | 243 | 261 | 251 | \$1,500-\$2,249 | 521 | 458 | 464 |

ATLAN'RA: NEGRO FAMILIES

| Income class | Family type ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | I | II | III | IV | V | VI | VII |
| \$250-\$499 | \$193 | \$168 | \$165 | \$189 | \$183 | \$163 | \$179 |
| \$500-\$749 | 216 | 231 | 248 | 227 | 209 | 219 | 229 |
| \$750-\$999 | 277 | 301 | 257 | 306 | 231 | 244 | 273 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 374 | 357 | 324 | 370 | 290 | 356 | 334 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 449 | 408 | 539 | 415 | 371 | 390 | 381 |
| \$1,500-\$2,249 | 456 | 642 | 563 | 556 | 459 | ( $\dagger$ ) | 533 |

${ }^{1}$ Includes all current money expenditures for housing (rent, taxes, and current upkeep of owned homes), for fuel, light, and refrigeration, for household operation, furnishings and equipment, and the value of housing and fuel received without money expense.
${ }^{2}$ The 7 family types are distinguished on the basis of the number and age of members other than husband and wife, as follows:

I No other persons (families of 2).
II 1 child under 16 (families of 3 ).
III 2 children under 16 (families of 4).
IV 1 person 16 or over and 1 or no other person, regardless of age (families of 3 or 4).
$V 1$ child under 16, 1 person 16 or more, and 1 or 2 others, regardless of age (families of 5 or 6 ).
VI 3 or 4 children under 16 (families of 5 or 6 ).
VII 1 child under 16, and 4 or 5 others, regardless of age (families of 7 or 8 ).
$\dagger$ Fewer than 3 cases.

## Summary

Home maintenance expenditures increased with income, but decreased in relative importance over the income range. The decline in the percentage of income spent for this category was not as great, however, as in the percentage spent for food. Housing expenditures were responsible for the decline, since the proportion going to household operation was greater in the upper part of the income scale than in the lower, and the proportion going to furnishings and equipment remained relatively constant throughout the income range. The
proportion of home owners increased in successive income classes, but tended to be lower in the Southeastern cities studied than in those of similar size in most other regions.

On the whole, expenditures for home maintenance by Negro families followed patterns similar to those for whites, except that average amounts spent for each constituent category were substantially smaller among Negroes than among whites.

Among families of each race, within a city unit, occupation was more important than family type in influencing home maintenance expenditures. Among the white families, wage earners quite consistently had lower expenditures than families in other occupational groups. Among the white families, also, those with at least three members over 16 (types IV and V) tended to report the lowest expenditures. Among the Negroes, business and professional families in Atlanta and white-collar families in Columbia and Mobile ranked high. No family type pattern was evident, however, in that racial group.

## Chapter V

## Clothing and Personal Care

Clothing ${ }^{1}$ ranked next in importance to food and housing in the budgets of most groups of families studied in the Southeastern region, although its share of total money expenditures for current living was much less than that of either of the other basic essentials already discussed. Among white families with incomes under $\$ 2,000$, outlay for clothing took scarcely 10 percent of total money expenditures and was thus only about one-third as large as the amount spent for food, and about half of that for housing.

Expenditures for clothing not only increased with income, but, unlike those for food and housing, increased rapidly enough to account for a larger proportion of total money expenditures at the top of the income scale than at the bottom. The relative increase in outlay for clothing was not so great among white families in the Southeast as in other regions included in the investigation. The increase was more pronounced among Negro families, however. The proportion of total money expenditures so used approximately doubled over the income range in which Negro families were studied.

Clothing expenditures, which averaged about $\$ 70$ for white families with incomes under $\$ 1,000$, aveaaged to over $\$ 180$ for those at the median income interval in Atlanta ( $\$ 1,750$ to $\$ 2,000$ ) and somewhat less for those in the middle-sized cities. (See table 20.) At higher incomes the increase continued, average expenditures being over $\$ 350$ for families with incomes of $\$ 3,500$ or more, and well over $\$ 500$ for the relatively few Atlanta families with incomes of $\$ 5,000$ and above. (See fig. 3.)
The small average money outlay for clothing at the low income levels indicates that at such levels the sums spent covered little more than absolute essentials, and that, especially among the Negroes, some of the purchases were probably second-hand garments. lt is probable also that in the larger families the same garment often served two or more members in turn, and that gifts of new or partly worn clothing were received, particularly by families with children. At the upper income levels the amounts spent provided not only clothing essentials but an increasing proportion of articles purchased because of the desire for style and variety.

[^34]

Table 20.-Average money expenditures for clothing and personal care in 1 year, 1935-36

ATLANTA: WHITE FAMILIES

| Income class | Amount |  |  | Percentage of total money expenditures ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Clothing and personal care combined | Clothing | Personal care | Clothing and personal care combined | Clothing | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Personal } \\ & \text { care } \end{aligned}$ |
| \$500-\$749. | \$63 | \$47 | \$16 | 9.4 | 7.0 | 2.4 |
| \$750-8899 | 109 | 85 | 24 | 11.1 | 8.7 | 2.4 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 148 | 118 | 30 | 12.5 | 10.0 | 2.5 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 168 | 135 | 33 | 12.2 | 9.8 | 2.4 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 188 | 153 | 35 | 11.9 | 9.7 | 2.2 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 225 | 182 | 43 | 12.2 | 9.9 | 2.3 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 252 | 209 | 43 | 12.7 | 10.5 | 2.2 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 293 | 245 | 48 | 13.3 | 11. 1 | 2.2 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 319 | 267 | 52 | 13.3 | 11. 1 | 2.2 |
| \$3,000-83,499 | 353 | 293 | 60 | 12.6 | 10.5 | 2.1 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 425 | 351 | 74 | 13.4 | 11. 1 | 2.3 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 509 | 436 | 73 | 14.2 | 12.2 | 2.0 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 614 | 530 | 84 | 13.2 | 11.4 | 1.8 |
| \$7,500 and over. | 888 | 763 | 125 | 12.8 | 11.0 | 1.8 |

MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES: WHITE FAMILIES

| \$250-\$499. | \$61 | \$50 | \$11 | 10.9 | 8.9 | 2.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$500-\$749 | 72 | 58 | 14 | 10.8 | 8.7 | 2.1 |
| \$750-\$999 | 93 | 72 | 21 | 10.5 | 8.1 | 2. 4 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 128 | 102 | 26 | 11.4 | 9.1 | 2. 3 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 152 | 123 | 29 | 11.6 | 9.4 | 2.2 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 182 | 151 | 31 | 11.9 | 9.9 | 2.0 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 203 | 166 | 37 | 11.5 | 9.4 | 2.1 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 249 | 209 | 40 | 12.6 | 10.6 | 2.0 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 253 | 212 | 41 | 12.4 | 10.4 | 2.0 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 306 | 261 | 45 | 12.8 | 10.9 | 1. 9 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 345 | 298 | 47 | 13.1 | 11.3 | 1.8 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 374 | 319 | 55 | 12.5 | 10.7 | 1.8 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999. | 436 | 380 | 56 | 12.5 | 10.9 | 1.6 |
| \$5,000 and over | 684 | 591 | 93 | 13.9 | 12.0 | 1.9 |

ATLANTA: NEGRO FAMILIES

| Under \$250 | \$16 | \$10 | \$6 | 5.7 | 3.6 | 2.1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$250-\$499 | 38 | 27 | 11 | 9.0 | 6.4 | 2.6 |
| \$500-\$749 | 73 | 55 | 18 | 11.5 | 8.7 | 2.8 |
| \$750-\$999 | 118 | 94 | 24 | 14.6 | 11.6 | 3.0 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 159 | 126 | 33 | 15.6 | 12.4 | 3.2 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 197 | 158 | 39 | 16.3 | 13.1 | 3.2 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 240 | 199 | 41 | 16.9 | 14.0 | 2.9 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 258 | 217 | 41 | 15.9 | 13.4 | 2.5 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 244 | 201 | 43 | 14.2 | 11.7 | 2.5 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 268 | 220 | 48 | 14.7 | 12. 1 | 2.6 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 343 | 290 | 53 | 18.5 | 15.6 | 2.9 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 434 | 364 | 70 | 18.4 | 15.4 | 3.0 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 485 | 429 | 56 | 17.8 | 15.7 | 2. 1 |
| \$4,000 and over | 674 | 555 | 119 | 18.7 | 15.4 | 3.3 |

MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES: NEGRO FAMILIES

| Under \$250. | \$14 | \$9 | \$5 | 6.5 | 4.2 | 2. 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$250-\$499 | 40 | 29 | 11 | 10.6 | 7.7 | 2.9 |
| \$500-\$749 | 79 | 62 | 17 | 13.6 | 10.7 | 2.9 |
| \$750-\$999 | 123 | 99 | 24 | 15.7 | 12.6 | 3.1 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 156 | 128 | 28 | 15.6 | 12.8 | 2.8 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 217 | 187 | 30 | 17.1 | 14.7 | 2.4 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 204 | 172 | 32 | 14.4 | 12.1 | 2.3 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 213 | 169 | 44 | 13.1 | 10.4 | 2.7 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 222 | 197 | 25 | 14.3 | 12.7 | 1. 6 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 274 | 234 | 40 | 14.6 | 12.5 | 2.1 |
| \$2,500 and over | 388 | 334 | 54 | 18.9 | 16.3 | 2.6 |

1 See glossary, appendix B , for the definition of expenditures used in this study.

Clothing expenditures of husbands and wives.-In both the urban units studied, the average clothing expenses of husbands equalled or exceeded those of wives among white families at the lower income levels. ${ }^{2}$ In Atlanta this relationship was reversed at the $\$ 2,000$ level and above; in the middle-sized cities, only above the $\$ 3,500$ level. Among the Negro families, there was a clear tendency, particularly in Atlanta, for expenditures of wives to equal or exceed those of husbands throughout the income range.

Personal care.-Since expenditures for personal care (including barber-shop and beauty-parlor services, toilet articles, and preparations) are closely related to those for clothing, it seems appropriate to consider them here. On the whole, average amounts spent for personal care maintained a very constant relationship to total money expenditures, varying from about 2 or 3 percent of this total throughout the income range. (See table 20.) They averaged about onefourth as large as clothing expenditures for families below the $\$ 1,000$ income level, but only about one-sixth as large for the families with incomes of $\$ 5,000$ or more.

Intercity differences in expenditures for clothing and personal care.White families in Atlanta clearly spent more for both clothing and personal care than did the comparable families in the middle-sized cities (table 20). These differences were more marked in respect to outlays for clothing than for personal care, but differences in the combined expenditures were great enough at most income levels so that, although total money expenditures for current living were greater at most income levels in Atlanta than in Columbia-Mobile, a larger share of this total went for these categories in the former city than in the two latter.

Among the Negroes, intercity differences in clothing expenditures were less clearly marked, although, due to the larger families scheduled among Atlanta Negroes, greater differences than appeared among the white families might have been expected. Atlanta Negro families reported average expenditures for personal care that were genererally equal to if not larger than those of families in the middle-sized cities. The share of total expenditures going for clothing and personal care combined was usually smaller in Atlanta than in the middle-sized cities at the lower income levels, and at least as large or larger at the upper income levels.

Among the white families, average clothing expenditures of husbands and wives, as well as total family expense, were generally greater in Atlanta than in the middle-sized cities. This was particularly marked in the case of the wives' expenditures. With reference to the Negro families, however, the intercity differences were very slight, with the expenditures of both husbands and wives tending to be less

[^35]in Atlanta than in Columbia-Mobile. This was to be expected, in view of the larger average size of family in Atlanta, since clothing expenditures of husbands and wives are generally in inverse relationship to size of family.

Racial differences in expenditures for clothing and personal care.The well-defined differences in expenditure patterns between white and Negro families, noted in the case of food and housing, appear also in clothing expenditures. While Negro families in these two city groups consistently spent less for food and housing than did white families at the same income level, they spent more than white families for clothing. At almost every comparable income level, the average clothing outlays of Negro families exceeded those of white families, in both Atlanta and the middle-sized cities. That the difference was not one merely of size of family is shown clearly by the fact that the same relationship appears in the average expenditures of husbands and wives. This was naturally more marked in the middle-sized cities than in Atlanta, since in the latter city the larger number of other family members among the Negroes reduced the average expenditures of husbands and wives. Even in Atlanta, however, average expenditures of Negro husbands were greater than those of husbands in the white families in half of the comparable income classes, and those of Negro wives were greater in two-thirds of the 12 income groups compared.

Racial differences in average clothing expenditures tended to be greater among the wives than the husbands, in both Atlanta and the middle-sized cities. Particularly in Atlanta, the average amounts spent for clothing by white and Negro husbands were more alike than were expenditures of husbands and wives in each racial group separately. ${ }^{3}$

Similar differences between white and Negro families were found in expenditures for personal care, although the size of such differences was naturally small. Hence, when clothing and personal care are combined, the racial difference noted above is still clearly apparent. The differential is summarized below by showing expenditures of Negro families for clothing and personal care as a percentage of those of white families at the same income level:

| Income class | Atlanta | Middlesized cities | Income class | Atlanta | Middlesized cities |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$500-\$749 | 116 | 110 | \$1,750-\$1,999.. | 115 | 105 |
| \$750-\$999 | 108 | 132 | \$2,000-\$2,249 | 95 | 89 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 107 | 122 | \$2,250-\$2,499. | 91 | 108 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 117 | 143 | \$2,500-\$2,999 | 109 |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 128 | 112 | \$3,000-\$3,999 | 123 |  |

Expenditures for clothing and personal care among occupational groups.-Occupational classification appears to have exercised little

[^36]influence on the level of spending for clothing or personal care for the family as a whole. (See table 21.) Among white families in the middle-sized cities, however, wage-earner families had the lowest average outlay for clothing, and salaried workers, the highest. Among the Negro families, on the other hand, those in the wage-earner group tended to have relatively larger expenditures for clothing and personal

Table 21.-Average money expenditures for clothing and personal care, by occupational group, in 1 year, 1935-36

ATLANTA: WHITE FAMHLIES

| Income class | Clothing |  |  |  |  | Personal care |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Wage earner | Clerical | Independent business and professional | Salaried |  | Wage earner | Clerical | Independent business and professional | Salaried |  |
|  |  |  |  | Business | Professional |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Busi- } \\ & \text { ness } \end{aligned}$ | Professional |
| \$750-\$999. | $\$ 82$ | \$93 | (t) | (1) | (1) | \$22 | \$26 | (1) | (1) | (1) |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 122 | 112 | (1) | (1) | (1) | 32 | 28 | (1) | (t) | ( ${ }^{\text {l }}$ |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 132 | 152 | \$111 | \$114 | \$128 | 32 | 35 | \$29 | \$34 | \$30 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 169 | 148 | 105 | 128 | 146 | 36 | 35 | 34 | 34 | 35 |
| \$1,750-\$1,989 | 185 | 183 | 183 | 174 | 177 | 43 | 43 | 39 | 40 | 49 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 202 | 214 | 193 | 217 | 224 | 40 | 45 | 44 | 45 | 50 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499. | 253 | 251 | 210 | 244 | 228 | 41 | 56 | 43 | 48 | 44 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 257 | 270 | 264 | 265 | 280 | 49 | 51 | 52 | 56 | 59 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | (1) | (1) | 254 | 313 | 299 | (1) | (1) | 57 | 61 | 66 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | (1) | (1) | 364 | 349 | 343 | (1) | (1) | 65 | 80 | 66 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | (1) | (1) | 416 | 446 | 422 | (1) | (1) | 71 | 73 | 75 |
| \$5, $000-\$ 7,499$ | (1) | (1) | 523 | 551 | 445 | (1) | (1) | 88 | 84 | 79 |

MIDDLE-SIZED CITHES: WHITE FAMILEES

| \$500-\$749...-.-.....- | \$59 | \$53 | (1) | (1) | \$14 | \$14 | (1) | (1) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$750-\$999. | 72 | 74 | (1) | (1) | 20 | 22 | (1) | (1) |
| \$1,000-\$1,249.......- | 101 | 94 | \$102 | \$137 | 25 | 30 | \$25 | \$26 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499........ | 122 | 118 | 138 | 136 | 28 | 29 | 29 | 28 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 151 | 153 | 150 | 151 | 30 | 32 | 32 | 32 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 140 | 178 | 160 | 194 | 33 | 39 | 31 | 40 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 197 | 211 | 177 | 231 | 37 | 42 | 33 | 46 |
| \$2,250-\$2.499 | 188 | 243 | 195 | 203 | 41 | 44 | 43 | 35 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | (1) | (1) | 252 | 264 | (t) | (t) | 47 | 45 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | (1) | (1) | 314 | 292 | (1) | (1) | 46 | 48 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | (1) | (1) | 305 | 326 | (1) | (1) | 53 | 56 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | (1) | (1) | 347 | 393 | (1) | (1) | 53 | 57 |

ATHANTA: NEGRO FAMILIES

| \$250-\$499. | \$28 | \$23 | \$21 | \$19 | \$11 | \$12 | \$11 | \$7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$500-\$749 | 56 | 66 | 47 | 51 | 18 | 18 | 16 | 18 |
| \$750-\$999_..---.---- | 94 | 88 | 99 | 91 | 23 | 30 | 24 | 23 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 132 | 102 | 81 | 127 | 33 | 33 | 21 | 38 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 163 | 172 | 123 | 127 | 40 | 39 | 37 | 34 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | (1) | 181 | 188 | 225 | (1) | 40 | 42 | 41 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | (1) | 227 | 240 | 181 | (1) | 50 | 35 | 38 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | (1) | 249 | 123 | 171 | (1) | 40 | 35 | 53 |

MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES: NEGRO FAMILIES 2


[^37]care, particularly in the middle-sized cities, than did families in whitecollar occupations. This difference may be due to a larger proportion of wives working outside the home in the wage-earner group. Other studies have shown that women gainfully employed in wage-earner or clerical occupations spend more for clothing than do women of the same age who are not so employed. ${ }^{4}$

Table 22.-Average money expenditures of husbands and wives for clothing, by occupational group, in 1 year, 1935-36

ATLANTA: WHITE FAMHLIES

| Income class | Husbands |  |  |  |  | Wives |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Wage earner | Clerical | Independent business and professional | Salaried |  | Wage earner | Clerical | Independent business and professional | Salaried |  |
|  |  |  |  | Business | Professional |  |  |  | Business | Professional |
| \$750-\$999 | \$30 | \$41 | (1) | (1) | (1) | \$31 | \$33 | (1) | (1) | (1) |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 50 | 43 | (1) | (1) | (1) | 42 | 38 | (1) | (1) | (1) |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 44 | 53 | \$42 | \$44 | \$48 | 45 | 62 | \$35 | \$38 | \$44 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 62 | 51 | 40 | 54 | 57 | 57 | 59 | 33 | 46 | 48 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999... | 64 | 66 | 58 | 67 | 67 | 58 | 70 | 74 | 64 | 68 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 63 | 70 | 59 | 81 | 82 | 61 | 79 | 54 | 84 | 94 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 78 | 81 | 81 | 100 | 78 | 94 | 107 | 73 | 82 | 89 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 73 | 86 | 90 | 93 | 93 | 80 | 104 | 94 | 93 | 103 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | (1) | (1) | 81 | 100 | 95 | (1) | (1) | 101 | 114 | 122 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | (1) | (1) | 121 | 125 | 101 | (1) | (1) | 127 | 139 | 116 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | (1) | (1) | 125 | 129 | 117 | ${ }^{1}$ | (1) | 166 | 158 | 127 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | (1) | (1) | 150 | 155 | 134 | ( ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | (1) | 226 | 208 | 157 |

MIDDLE-SIZED CITLES: WHITE FAMILIES

| \$500-\$749 | \$22 | \$21 | (1) | (1) | \$22 | \$16 | (1) | (1) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$750-\$999 | 25 | 26 | (1) | (1) | 23 | 24 | (1) | (1) |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 33 | 35 | \$37 | \$50 | 36 | 36 | \$37 | \$56 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 36 | 44 | 47 | 52 | 40 | 35 | 50 | 49 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 49 | 61 | 52 | 59 | 46 | 48 | 56 | 54 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 48 | 66 | 56 | 66 | 45 | 64 | 61 | 68 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 62 | 79 | 60 | 78 | 60 | 74 | 63 | 91 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 51 | 80 | 64 | 68 | 60 | 72 | 70 | 72 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | (1) | (1) | 76 | 98 | (1) | (1) | 86 | 80 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | ( ${ }^{\text {( })}$ | (1) | 95 | 107 | (1) | (1) | 115 | 94 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | (1) | (1) | 93 | 104 | (1) | (1) | 112 | 122 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | (1) | (1) | 114 | 114 | (1) | (1) | 111 | 119 |

ATLANTA: NEGRO FAMILIES

| \$250-\$499. | \$10 | \$8 | \$8 | \$10 | \$10 | \$11 | \$8 | \$4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$500-\$749. | 19 | 28 | 16 | 17 | 21 | 24 | 18 | 19 |
| \$750-\$999 | 30 | 29 | 32 | 27 | 35 | 34 | 33 | 40 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 ......- | 36 | 26 | 18 | 55 | 41 | 40 | 44 | 49 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 $\ldots \ldots$ | 50 | 59 | 36 | 51 | 57 | 75 | 25 | 48 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | (1) | 46 | 50 | 77 | (1) | 60 | 71 | 53 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 $\ldots \ldots .$. | (1) | 88 | 69 | 52 | (1) | 75 | 110 | 68 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249.......- | (1) | 65 | 45 | 58 | (1) | 74 | 32 | 57 |

MIDDLE-SIZED CITEES: NEGRO FAMIEIES'

| Under \$250 | \$3 | \$2 | \$4 | \$2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$250-\$499.- | 10 | 10 | 11 | 10 |
| \$500-\$749. | 22 | 22 | 24 | 27 |
| \$750-\$999 | 35 | 27 | 35 | 32 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 43 | 44 | 43 | 51 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 51 | 47 | 56 | 56 |

${ }^{1}$ Expenditure schedules not taken for families at this income level.
${ }^{2}$ In the middle-sized cities all Negro families other than wage earners were classified together.
${ }^{4}$ See U. S. Bureau of Labor Statistics Bull. No. 640, Money Disbursements of Wage Earners and Clerical Workers in Twelve Cities of the South, Washington, 1939.

When clothing expenditures of husbands and wives in families of the several occupational groups are examined there appears a tendency in Atlanta for husbands and wives in families in the self-employed groups to spend slightly less than those in other occupations. This difference, although small, appeared in the data for both racial groups. (See table 22.)
Expenditures for clothing and personal care among family type groups.-As would be expected, the composition of a family had much more effect upon expenditures for clothing than did occupational classification. There was clearly a direct relationship between family composition and average expenditures for both clothing and personal care, however, both among the white and the Negro families. In Atlanta, where seven family types were included among the Negroes covered in the Study, the greater cost of clothing an adult than a child is reflected in the high average expenditures by families of five or six persons with a majority of adult members, as contrasted with those of families at the same income level with five or six members but with at least three under 16. ${ }^{1}$ Average expenditures for personal care were remarkably similar among Negro families of different composition in both Atlanta and the middle-sized cities.

In spite of the fact that the larger and older families spent more for clothing than the smaller and younger ones at the same income level, it appears that an expenditure which would have supplied each family member with clothes comparable to those worn by individuals in the smaller families would usually have required sacrifices of other goods which were regarded as essential by the larger families.
Data from families of varying composition show a very close inverse relationship between family size and the average clothing expenditures of husbands and wives. (See table 24.) The husbands and wives in two-person families almost invariably had the highest expenditures, followed by those in families containing one or two children; the expenditures of husbands and wives in families with three to six members, at least three of them over 16, were lowest. This was equally true at all income levels among the white families in both Atlanta and the middle-sized cities, and the Negro families in the smaller cities. Among the more numerous family types studied for Atlanta Negroes, the average outlays of husbands and wives were always greatest in the families of husband and wife only, followed by those with one or two children under 16. Families with seven or eight members (type VII) and those with five or six, at least three over 16 (type V), usually reported thes mallest clothing expenditures for husbands and wives.

[^38]Table 23.-Average money expenditures for clothing and personal care, by family type, in 1 year, 1935-36
ATLANTA: WHITE FAMILIES

| Income class | Family type ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Clothing |  |  | Personal care |  |  |
|  | I | $\begin{aligned} & \text { II and } \\ & \text { III } \end{aligned}$ | IV and | I | $\begin{gathered} \text { II and } \\ \text { IIII } \end{gathered}$ | IV and |
| \$750-\$899. | $\$ 90$ | \$80 | \$89 | \$23 | \$24 | \$23 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 96 | 132 | 124 | 32 | 29 | 28 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 126 | 135 | 142 | 28 | 33 | 36 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 144 | 156 | 156 | 34 | 37 | 35 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 144 | 189 | 206 | 41 | 43 | 45 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 183 | 217 | 220 | 39 | 42 | 47 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499. | 247 | 226 | 259 | 45 | 52 | 50 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999. | 247 | 291 | 263 | 53 | 52 | 52 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 239 | 318 | 309 | 60 | 65 | 58 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 . | 351 | 312 | 382 | 77 | 64 | 79 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999. | 403 | 394 | 477 | 71 | 64 | 80 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499. | 500 | 488 | 559 | 85 | 86 | 84 |

MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES: WHITE FAMILIES


MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES: NEGRO FAMILIES

| \$250-\$499 | \$27 | \$26 | \$37 | \$9 | \$11 | \$13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$500-\$749 | 52 | 70 | 67 | 16 | 18 | 17 |
| \$750-\$999 | 89 | 98 | 108 | 22 | 23 | 25 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 121 | 116 | 139 | 28 | 29 | 28 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 124 | 159 | 216 | 30 | 31 | 29 |
| \$1,500-\$2,249 | 170 | 152 | 204 | 36 | 26 | 38 |

ATLANTA: NEGRO FAMILIES

| Income class | Family type ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | I | II | III | IV | v | VI | VII |
|  | Clothing |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499.- | \$25 | \$28 | \$35 | \$25 | \$31 | \$20 | \$36 |
| \$500-8749 | 55 | 54 | 48 | 63 | 50 | 64 | 42 |
| \$750-\$999 | 83 | 80 126 | -978 | -9989 | 114 | ${ }^{91}$ | 119 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 115 | 126 | 125 | 123 | 136 | 119 | 166 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 146 | 154 | 126 | 158 | 191 | 134 | 186 |
| \$1,500-\$2,249 | 199 | 142 | 223 | 198 | 254 | ( $\dagger$ ) | 237 |
|  | Personal care |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-8499 - | \$11 | \$11 | \$14 | \$11 | \$10 | \$10 | \$15 |
| \$500-8749 | 20 | 16 | 17 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 16 |
| \$750-\$999 | 24 | 25 | 24 | 23 | 21 | 24 | 25 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 32 | 27 | 24 | 32 | 40 | 33 | 37 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 42 | 38 | 52 | 40 | 36 | 30 | 32 |
| \$1,500-\$2,249 | 34 | 40 | 37 | 45 | 47 | ( $\dagger$ ) | 47 |

[^39]Table 24.-Average money expenditures of husbands and wives for clothing, by family type, in 1 year, 1935-36

ATLANTA: WHITE FAMILIES
Family type ${ }^{1}$

MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES: NEGRO FAMILIES

| \$250-\$499. | \$13 | \$9 | \$8 | \$14 | \$8 | \$11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$500-\$749 | 23 | 24 | 19 | 28 | 26 | 16 |
| \$750-\$999 | 40 | 39 | 26 | 43 | 34 | 26 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 59 | 41 | 32 | 61 | 44 | 34 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 51 | 59 | 46 | 73 | 56 | 52 |
| \$1,500-\$2,249 | 88 | 66 | 52 | 82 | 56 | 45 |

ATLLANTA: NEGRO FAMILIES HUSBANDS

| Income class | Family type ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | I | II | III | IV | v | VI | VII |
| \$250-\$499.- | \$12 | \$11 | \$12 | \$7 | \$5 | \$4 |  |
| \$500-8749. | 26 | 19 | 11 | 17 | 11 | 18 | 8 |
| \$750-\$999. | 35 | 30 | 32 | 25 | 31 | 24 | 18 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 44 | 39 | 39 | 32 | 22 | 33 | 27 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | ${ }^{64}$ | 41 | 24 | 51 | 37 | 33 | 31 |
| \$1,500-\$2,249 | 91 | 50 | 82 |  |  | ( $\dagger$ ) |  |
| WIVES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499-- | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 13 \\ 28 \\ 46 \\ 60 \\ 82 \\ 106 \end{array}$ | $\$ 9$$\$ 9$2129526462 | $\$ 10$162937528080 | $\$ 8$$\$ 8$3838354461 | $\$ 0$$\mathbf{9}$2525254045 | $\$ 5$172734(t)44 | $\$ 4$814242946 |
| \$500-\$749- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$999 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,500-\$2,249 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ The 7 family types are distinguished on the basis of the number and age of members other than husband and wife, as follows:

I No other persons (families of 2).
II 1 child under 16 (families of 3 ).
III 2 children under 16 (families of 4).
IV 1 person 16 or over and 1 or no other person, regardless of age (families of 3 or 4).
VI 1 child under 16, 1 person 16 or more, and 1 or 2 others, regardless of age (families of 5 or 6 ).
VII 3 or 4 children under 16 (families of 5 or 6 ).
VII 1 child under 16, and 4 or 5 others, regardless of age (families of 7 or 8 ).

[^40]
## SUMMARY

Average expenditures for clothing increased somewhat more rapidly than total money expenditures for current living among white families and considerably more rapidly among the Negroes. Negro families spent more for clothing than did white families at the same income level. Outlay for clothing and personal care combined was generally larger in Atlanta than in the middle-sized cities. While there was little difference among the occupational groups in average expenditures for clothing, the outlay for this category varied directly with family composition, although not in proportion to the number of members to be clothed. Accordingly, clothing expenditures of husbands and wives tended to vary inversely with size of family. In the lower part of the income range, the average amount spent for clothing by wives was generally about the same as that for husbands, but at the upper income levels the outlay for wives usually exceeded that for husbands.

## Chapter VI

## Transportation

The importance of the transportation category among families studied in the Southeast appears clearly from its position in relation to other expenditure items. In average outlay it is, of course, substantially less important than food and shelter, and, except among white families at the upper income levels, less important than clothing. There is, however, no mistaking the readiness of the families studied to increase expenditures for transportation as rapidly as income will permit. Atlanta white families with incomes of $\$ 500$ to $\$ 1,000$, for example, spent scarcely one-tenth as much for transportation as for food or home maintenance, while those with incomes of $\$ 5,000$ and more spent about one-half as much for transportation as for either of these two essentials. On the other hand, Atlanta Negro families with incomes below $\$ 500$ spent only about one-twentieth as much for all transportation as for food or home maintenance; those with incomes of $\$ 3,000$ and more about three-quarters as much.

The comparatively large place given to transportation in the family budget has one outstanding explanation, the automobile. Among white families, at virtually all income levels except the lowest, two-thirds or more of the total outlay for transportation took that form. Thus, among white families at least, transportation in this study represents predominantly the family automobile, and the ramifications of automobile use in all spheres of family activity, social and recreational as well as more purely utilitarian, mean that the expenditures presented here are only in part outlays for transportation to and from work, or school or shopping centers.

Expenditures for transportation increased more rapidly over the income range than did those for any of the categories so far discussed, and while they were much smaller than clothing expenditures at the bottom of the income scale, they rose more rapidly, so that white families with incomes of $\$ 1,750$ or more spent at least as much, on the average, for transportation as for clothing. Among Negro families, whose clothing expenditures were relatively high, average transportation outlays were generally lower than clothing expenditures at all but one or two of the highest income levels. (See table 25.)

Among white families the increase in relative importance of expenditures for transportation was largely concentrated in the lower

portion of the income scale. Above the $\$ 2,000$ level in both Atlanta and the middle-sized cities, such expenditures showed comparatively little increase as a proportion of total money outlay for current living. Among Negro families, however, they varied widely from one income level to another, but in general assumed considerably greater importance in the upper part of the income range than in the lower part. (See fig. 4.)

For purposes of this study, the category of transportation included three main items: expense for automobiles purchased (gross price less trade-in allowance on old cars), automobile operation, and other transportation, local and interurban. Transportation expense chargeable to business was deducted from family expense in this field. ${ }^{1}$ All other transportation was included, however, from daily trips to and from office and school to holiday trips by automobile, train, or boat.

Automobile purchase.-Expenditures for automobile purchase, like those for many of the durable items of furnishings and equipment, are irregular in occurrence and vary considerably with general economic conditions. In consequence, although the proportion of families purchasing cars increased at successive income levels in the Southeastern cities studied, the rise was by no means regular. At the lower levels, fewer than 1 in 10 families purchased cars, and only at the highest levels did more than 3 in 10 report purchase.

The average expenditures of all families for automobile purchase increased rapidly with income, although less rapidly than operation expenditures at the lower levels. ${ }^{2}$

Automobile ownership and operation.-Expenditures for automobile operation were the largest element in transportation expenditures at almost every income level. The increase in average outlay for automobile operation at succeeding income levels was far more rapid than the increase in the total money expenditures for current living. Thus, the proportion of each dollar spent that was devoted to automobile operation mounted from less than 1 percent for Atlanta white families with incomes of $\$ 500$ to $\$ 750$, for example, to over 5 percent for those with incomes of $\$ 2,000$ and over. Above that level the relative increase was quite small.

Much of the rapid growth in average expenditures for automobile operation at successive income levels was accounted for by the increasing proportion of families owning and operating automobiles. In Atlanta, only 1 in 6 of the white families with incomes of $\$ 500$ to $\$ 750$ owned automobiles, whereas approximately 3 in every 4 of those at the $\$ 2,000$ to $\$ 2,250$ level, and more than 9 in every 10 of those with incomes of $\$ 5,000$ and over reported automobile ownership. (See Tabular Summary, table 8.) In Mobile-Columbia the proportion of

[^41]Table 25.-Average money expenditures for transportation, percentage of families reporting automobile operation, and average expenditures for automobile operation per family reporting expenditure

ATLANTA: WHITE FAMILIES

| Income class | Average expense for transportation |  |  |  |  | Families reporting car operation ${ }^{1}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total |  | Auto mobile purchase | Automobile operstion | Other | Percentage | Average operation expense |
|  | A mount | Percentage of total money expenditures ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | \$16 | 2.4 | \$4 | \$2 | \$10 | 16 | \$12 |
| \$750-\$999 | 45 | 4.6 | 7 | 17 | 21 | 31 | 55 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 105 | 8.9 | 38 | 46 | 21 | 49 | 94 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 116 | 8.4 | 35 | 48 | 33 | 47 | 102 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 145 | 9.1 | 52 | 62 | 31 | 59 | 105 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 192 | 10.4 | 70 | 85 | 37 | 64 | 133 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 228 | 11.4 | 85 | 112 | 31 | 78 | 144 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 257 | 11. 6 | 111 | 115 | 31 | 75 | 153 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 250 | 10.5 | 74 | 137 | 39 | 87 | 157 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499. | 306 | 10.9 | 117 | 155 | 34 | 78 | 199 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 385 | 12.2 | 161 | 184 | 40 | 88 | 209 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 421 | 11.8 | 178 | 196 | 47 | 87 | 225 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499. | 622 | 13.3 | 289 | 304 | 29 | 92 | 330 |
| \$7,500 and over | 583 | 8.4 | 117 | 377 | 89 | 92 | 410 |

MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES: WHITE FAMILIES

| \$250-\$499 | \$15 | 2.7 | $\$ 2$ | \$4 | \$9 | 12 | \$33 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$500-\$749 | 14 | 2.0 | 3 | 7 | 4 | 12 | 58 |
| \$750-\$999 | 53 | 6.0 | 21 | 25 | 7 | 39 | 64 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 69 | 6. 2 | 10 | 45 | 14 | 47 | 96 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 96 | 7.4 | 23 | 59 | 14 | 63 | 94 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 115 | 7.5 | 32 | 68 | 15 | 61 | 111 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 179 | 10.1 | 66 | 98 | 15 | 74 | 132 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 200 | 10.2 | 86 | 92 | 22 | 73 | 126 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 204 | 10.0 | 77 | 106 | 21 | 79 | 134 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999. | 274 | 11.6 | 119 | 130 | 25 | 81 | 160 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499. | 322 | 12.2 | 151 | 147 | 24 | 87 | 169 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 342 | 11.6 | 154 | 168 | 20 | 97 | 173 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 435 | 12.4 | 211 | 191 | 33 | 93 | 205 |
| \$5,000 and over | 521 | 10.5 | 264 | 235 | 22 | 96 | 245 |

## ATLANTA: NEGRO FAMILIES

| Under $\$ 250$ | \$4 | 1.4 |  |  | \$4 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$250-\$499 | 9 | 2.1 |  | \$1 | 8 | 4 | \$25 |
| \$500-\$749 | 27 | 4.3 | \$3 | 4 | 20 | 9 | 44 |
| \$750-\$999 | 53 | 6.5 | 7 | 12 | 34 | 17 | 71 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 90 | 8.9 | 19 | 28 | 43 | 34 | 82 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 116 | 9.6 | 30 | 35 | 51 | 32 | 109 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 142 | 9.8 | 23 | 81 | 38 | 66 | 123 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 187 | 11.6 | 93 | 44 | 50 | 45 | 98 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 202 | 11.7 | 91 | 78 | 33 | 55 | 142 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 174 | 9.6 | 45 | 76 | 53 | 45 | 169 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 134 | 7.3 | 20 | 83 | 31 | 63 | 132 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 266 | 11.2 | 145 | 53 | 68 | 62 | 85 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 524 | 19.1 | 244 | 238 | 42 | 80 | - 298 |
| \$4,000 and over | 625 | 17.4 | 337 | 247 | 41 | 90 | 274 |

MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES: NEGRO FAMILIES

| Under \$250. | (*) | (*) |  |  | (*) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$250-\$499. | \$2 | 0.7 | (*) | \$1 | \$1 | 2 | \$50 |
| \$500-\$749 | 18 | 3.1 | \$5 | 7 | 6 | 12 | 58 |
| \$750-\$999 | 23 | 3.0 | (*) | 12 | 11 | 14 | 86 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 59 | 5.9 | 13 | 29 | 17 | 27 | 107 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 127 | 10.0 | 70 | 36 | 21 | 34 | 106 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 185 | 13.0 | 82 | 90 | 13 | 81 | 111 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 88 | 5.4 | 25 | 49 | 14 | 43 | 114 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 130 | 8.3 |  | 106 | 24 | 78 | 136 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 277 | 14.8 | 144 | 124 | 9 | 87 | 143 |
| \$2,500 and over | 78 | 3.8 |  | 58 | 20 | 64 | 91 |

[^42]car owners was almost 8 times as large among the white families in the income classes above $\$ 3,500$ as in the $\$ 250$ to $\$ 500$ group. Among white families, moreover, at least half in all income classes over $\$ 1,500$ in Atlanta, and over $\$ 1,250$ in Mobile-Columbia, were automobile owners. At no income level did fewer than one-ninth of the white families own automobiles.

Among Negro families automobile ownership was generally somewhat less prevalent than among whites at the same income level, but in both racial groups owners generally outnumbered nonowners among families with incomes of $\$ 1,500$ and over. Among Atlanta Negro families with incomes of $\$ 4,000$ and over, 9 out of every 10 reported automobile ownership.

A considerable portion of the increase in expenditures for automobile operation, particularly at the upper income levels, was due to the higher operation costs reported by operating families. The average automobile operation expenditures of operating families increased steadily at succeeding income levels, from less than $\$ 100$ among both white and Negro families in Atlanta with incomes under $\$ 1,250$, to more than $\$ 200$ at the levels above $\$ 3,500$ and more than $\$ 400$ among white families with incomes of $\$ 7,500$ and over. Similar increases occurred in Mobile-Columbia, although they were less marked in the case of the Negro families.

Families at the higher income levels doubtless used their cars more extensively, for week-end and vacation trips, than did the lower income families. The greater costs of operating more expensive automobiles, and increased expenses for garage, parking, and the like, were also factors making for increased expenditures for automobile operation in the higher income groups.

Other transportation.-In contrast to expenditures for automobile purchase and operation, average expenditures for other transportation increased slowly over the income range both in Atlanta and in Columbia-Mobile. While average outlay for other transportation was greater at the lowest income levels than were expenditures for automobile purchase and operation combined, it constituted less than 10 percent of total transportation expense among Atlanta white families with incomes of $\$ 5,000$ and over. Such expenditures, consisting chiefly of local bus and trolley fares, showed no consistent increase in average amount above the $\$ 1,500$ level in Atlanta and the $\$ 2,000$ level in Columbia-Mobile.

Intercity differences in transportation expenditures.-Expenditures for transportation were generally higher in Atlanta than in Columbia and Mobile, among both white and Negro families. Because of the wide variation from one income class to another in the average amounts spent for this category, particularly among the Negroes, the size of intercity differences also varied considerably. On the whole,
families in Atlanta allocated to transportation a slightly higher proportion of their total money expenditures than did families in the smaller cities.

In the lower part of the income range this difference appeared most consistently in transportation other than by automobile. For this category Atlanta families spent substantially more than did families in Columbia-Mobile at virtually all income levels. This relationship between city size and expenditures for transportation other than automobile is apparently related to distances between home and work, and home and stores within the metropolitan area. It has been found characteristic in all the regions covered by the Bureau of Labor Statistics in the Study of Consumer Purchases. ${ }^{3}$

Differences between Atlanta and the middle-sized cities in expenditures for automobile operation were not well-marked, either in terms of averages based on all families, or in terms of those based on families operating cars. Among white families, average outlay on either basis tended to be higher in Atlanta than in Columbia-Mobile in income groups above $\$ 2,000$.

Racial differences in transportation expenditures.- On the whole, white families reported larger outlays for transportation than did Negroes at the same income level. Thus, transportation, along with food and home maintenance, represents a category of consumption for which white families in the Southeast spend more than Negroes.

The greater expenditures by white families is explained by differences between the racial groups in frequency of automobile ownership, and hence in expenditures for operation of cars. In Atlanta, average automobile operation expenses were greater for white than for Negro families at virtually all income levels for which comparisons are possible, while in the smaller cities the former ranked ahead of the latter in the income range between $\$ 250$ and $\$ 2,000$. Among operating families, however, expenses for car operation tended to be a little higher among the Negroes than among white families, which suggests that the former may have driven older cars, less economical to operate, or that they may have used their cars more extensively.

Although Negro families as a whole spent less for automobile purchase and operation than did white families, they spent more for transportation other than by automobile. The difference was particularly marked in Atlanta where such outlay was relatively large. In consequence, Negro families in that city spent more for other transportation than for automobile operation at all income levels up to $\$ 1,500$, while this was true of white families only up to the $\$ 1,000$ level.

[^43]Expenditures for transportation among occupational and family type groups.-Occupational differences in transportation expenditures were small in the Southeastern cities studied. (See table 26.) Among white families in neither city unit were there consistent differences in total outlay for transportation or in the level of spending for automobile purchase and operation, although in Atlanta, car ownership tended to be least frequent among clerical and salaried professional families at given income levels. ${ }^{4}$ This difference was accounted for by the higher average outlays for transportation other than automobile by these two occupational groups. ${ }^{5}$ Among car-operating families, however, those in clerical and salaried professional occupations in Atlanta tended to report relatively large expenditures for automobile operation. (See table 27.)

Table 26.-Average money expenditures for transportation, by occupational group
WHITE FAMILIES

| Income class | Atlanta |  |  |  |  | Middle-sized cities |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Wage earner | Clerical | Independent business and professional | Salaried |  | Wage earner | Clerical | Independent business and professional | Salaried |  |
|  |  |  |  | Business | Professional |  |  |  | Business | Professional |
| \$500-\$749. | \$16 | (1) | (1) | (1) | (1) | \$15 | \$13 | (1) |  | 1) |
| \$750-\$999 | 48 | \$38 | (1) | (1) | ${ }^{(1)}$ | 52 | 56 | (1) |  | 1) |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 98 | 115 | (1) | (1) | (1) | 63 | 87 | \$66 |  | 1 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 135 | 98 | \$82 | \$84 | \$92 | 93 | 94 | 125 |  | 2 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 147 | 156 | 97 | 106 | 196 | 113 | 92 | 134 |  | 69 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 158 | 230 | 178 | 192 | 144 | 134 | 206 | 181 |  | 6 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 219 | 212 | 310 | 286 | 191 | 223 | 192 | 253 |  | 62 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499. | 265 | 236 | 301 | 282 | 218 | 167 | 251 | 166 |  | 3 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999. | 228 | 253 | 250 | 261 | 271 | (1) | (1) | 302 |  | 64 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499. | ${ }^{(1)}$ | (1) | 266 | 298 | 382 | (1) | (1) | 337 |  | 18 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | (1) | (1) | 404 | 353 | 454 | (1) | (1) | 296 |  | 62 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999. | (1) | (1) | 406 | 443 | 351 | (1) | (1) | 369 |  | 61 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | (1) | (1) | 564 | 683 | 505 | (1) | (1) | (3) |  | () |
| NEGRO FAMILIES ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250. | \$4 | (1) | (1) |  | ) | (*) |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 | 8 | \$14 | \$11 |  | 1 | \$1 |  | \$6 |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 26 | 25 | 34 |  | 7 | 17 |  | 29 |  |  |
| \$750-\$999 | 53 | 33 | 83 |  | 1 | 20 |  | 43 |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 85 | 140 | 72 |  | 8 | 43 |  | 116 |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 112 | 148 | 122 |  | 1 | ${ }^{(1)} 8$ |  | 360 |  |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | (1) | 144 | 139 |  | 4 | (1) |  | (2) |  |  |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | (1) | 314 | +77 |  | 9 | (1) |  | (2) |  |  |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | ${ }^{1}$ | 150 | 102 |  | 9 | (1) |  | ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ Expenditure schedules not taken for families at this income level.
2 Comparable data not available.
${ }^{3}$ In Atlanta all Negro families in salaried business and professional occupations were classified together; in the middle-sized cities all Negro families other than wage earner were classified together.
*Less than $\$ 1$.
Among Negro families in both Atlanta and the smaller communities automobile ownership and operation expenditures each showed

[^44]some relation to family occupation. Business and professional families in Atlanta ranked above wage-earner and clerical families, while in the middle-sized cities those in the white-collar group ranked above wage-earner families.

Table 27.-Average money expenditures for automobile operation per family reporting expenditure, by occupational group

WHITE FAMILIES

| Income class | Atlanta |  |  |  |  | Middle-sized cities |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Wage earner | Clerical | Independent business and professional | Salaried |  | Wage earner | Olerical | Independent, business and professional | Salaried |  |
|  |  |  |  | Business | Professional |  |  |  | Busi ness | Professional |
| \$500-\$749 | \$19 | ${ }^{(1)}$ | ${ }^{(1)}$ | (1) | ( ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | \$67 | \$29 | (1) |  | ) |
| \$750-\$999. | 67 | \$34 | (1) | (1) | (1) | 60 | 74 | (1) |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 100 | 83 | (1) | (1) | (1) | 87 | 123 | \$92 |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 102 | 105 | \$80 | \$92 | \$128 | 92 | 76 | 100 |  |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 108 | 103 | 80 | 100 | 131 | 117 | 94 | 116 |  |  |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 122 | 144 | 121 | 137 | 138 | 116 | 143 | 124 |  |  |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 120 | 159 | 174 | 146 | 142 | 107 | 124 | 135 |  |  |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 172 | 142 | 146 | 153 | 129 | 123 | 122 | 138 |  |  |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 164 | 183 | 163 | 165 | 189 | (1) | (1) | 201 |  |  |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | (1) | ${ }^{1}{ }^{1}$ | 227 | 163 | 234 | ${ }^{1}$ | (1) | 193 |  |  |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | (1) | (1) | 234 | 200 | 222 | (1) | (1) | 170 |  |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | ${ }^{(1)}$ | (1) | 240 | 222 | 226 | (1) | (1) | 219 |  |  |
| \$5,000-\$7,499. | (1) | (1) | 260 | 396 | 263 | (1) | (1) | (2) |  |  |
| NEGRO FAMILIES ${ }^{\text {3 }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 | \$10 |  | \$33 |  | 3 | \$20 |  | \$50 |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 38 | \$43 | 55 |  | 7 | 58 |  | 88 |  |  |
| \$750-\$999 | 71 | 125 | 89 |  | 29 | 75 |  | 83 |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 83 | 108 | 71 |  | 88 | 91 |  | 127 |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 97 | 116 | 142 |  | 6 | 100 |  | 110 |  |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | (1) | 161 | 129 |  | 6 | ${ }^{(1)}$ |  | (2) |  |  |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | (1) | 82 | 56 |  | 3 | (1) |  | (2) |  |  |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | (1) | 120 | 168 |  | 54 | (1) |  | (2) |  |  |

Expenditure schedules not taken for families at this income level.
2 Comparable data not available.
${ }^{3}$ In Atlanta, Negro families in salaried business and professional occupations were classified together; in the middle-sized cities all families other than wage earner were classified together.

Family composition apparently had as little influence on the level of spending for transportation as did occupational classification. (See table 28.) Among white families the proportion of car owners showed no consistent variation from one family type to another, but among Negroes the small families reported a higher percentage of car ownership and their average expenditures for car operation were higher than those of larger families. Among operating families average expenditures for operation were not consistently different for the several groups, although there was a slight tendency, except among Atlanta white families, for the larger families to spend less than the small. (See table 29.) Other transportation expenses were in general highest among the families with at least three members 16 or over (types IV and V) for both whites and Negroes in the two city units. ${ }^{6}$

[^45]
## Summary

Chiefly because of the outstanding importance of automobiles in present-day American living patterns, transportation now ranks among the large categories of consumption. Average expenditures of families studied in the Southeast increased rapidly at successive income levels, particularly in the lower part of the income range, and accounted for an increasing proportion of total money expenditures for current living.

Table 28.-Average money expenditures for transportation, by family type
ATLANTA: WHITE FAMILIES

| Income class | Family type ${ }^{1}$ |  |  | Income class | Family type ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | I | II and III | $\text { IV }_{\mathbf{V}}^{\text {and }}$ |  | I | $\begin{gathered} \text { II and } \\ \text { III } \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\mathbf{V}}{\mathrm{IV}} \text { and }$ |
| \$750-\$999 | \$44 | \$47 | \$42 | \$2,250-\$2,499 | \$211 | \$290 | \$263 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 140 | 98 | 72 | \$2,500-\$2,999 | 228 | 241 | 270 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 85 | 133 | 117 | \$3,000-\$3,499. | 389 | 259 | 288 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 161 | 150 | 127 | \$3,500-\$3,999 | 419 | 381 | 369 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 245 | 176 | 163 | \$4,000-\$4,999 | 538 | 423 | 368 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 227 | 217 | 237 | \$5,000-\$7,499 | 612 | 569 | 647 |

MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES: WHITE FAMILIES

| \$500-\$749 | \$14 | \$5 | \$25 | \$2,000-\$2,249 | \$216 | \$203 | \$187 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$750-\$999 | 62 | 57 | 41 | \$2,250-\$2,499 | 214 | 180 | 215 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 88 | 65 | 57 | \$2,500-\$2,999 | 251 | 279 | 281 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 108 | 96 | 95 | \$3,000-\$3,499 | 309 | 400 | 266 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 138 | 119 | 97 | \$3,500-\$3,999 | 320 | 414 | 301 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 181 | 211 | 148 | \$4,000-\$4,999 | 423 | 324 | 488 |

MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES: NEGRO FAMILIES

| \$250-\$409. | \$1 | \$4 | \$2 | \$1,000-\$1,249. | \$87 | \$56 | \$38 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$500-\$749. | 25 | 16 | 11 | \$1,250-\$1,499 | 277 | 136 | 83 |
| \$750-\$999 | 28 | 18 | 22 | \$1,500-\$2,249 | 110 | 201 | 92 |

ATLANTA: NEGRO FAMILIES

| Income class | Fsmily type ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | I | II | III | IV | V | VI | VII |
| \$250-\$499 | \$10 | \$5 | \$10 | \$8 | \$4 | \$11 | \$13 |
| \$500-\$749. | 32 | 26 | 27 | 23 | 26 | 22 | 12 |
| \$750-\$999 | 58 | 67 | 37 | 60 | 32 | 28 | 55 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 112 | 73 | 58 | 69 | 126 | 155 | 41 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 143 | 102 | 69 | 101 | 107 | 199 | 75 |
| \$1,500-\$2,249 $\ldots$ | 185 | 202 | 241 | 187 | 92 | ( $\dagger$ | 239 |

[^46]Table 29.-Average money expenditures for automobile operation per family reporting expenditures, by family type

ATLANTA: WHITE FAMILIES

| Income class | Family type ${ }^{1}$ |  |  | Income class | Family type ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | I | $\begin{gathered} \text { II and } \\ \text { III } \end{gathered}$ | IV and |  | I | $\begin{aligned} & \text { II and } \\ & \text { III } \end{aligned}$ | IV and |
| \$750-\$999. | \$62 | \$39 | \$100 | \$2,250-\$2,499 | \$143 | \$150 | \$163 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 124 | 91 | 60 | \$2,500-\$2,999 | 168 | 184 | 172 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 85 | 106 | 108 | \$3,000-\$3,499 | 223 | 191 | 184 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 112 | 100 | 96 | \$3,500-\$3,999 | 233 | 194 | 208 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 153 | 125 | 110 | \$4,000-\$4,999 | 223 | 262 | 207 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 ...... | 148 | 127 | 155 | \$5,000-\$7,499. | 285 | 316 | 351 |

MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES: WHITE FAMILIES

| \$500-\$749 | \$64 | \$60 | \$53 | \$2,000-\$2,249 | \$157 | \$133 | \$109 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$750-\$999 | 50 | 67 | 72 | \$2,250-\$2,499 | 138 | 148 | 123 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 107 | 98 | 80 | \$2,500-\$2,499 | 144 | 166 | 160 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 107 | 90 | 86 | \$3,000-\$3,499 | 195 | 153 | 167 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 105 | 120 | 104 | \$3,500-\$3,999 | 167 | 173 | 176 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 111 | 149 | 136 | \$4,000-\$4,999. | 204 | 179 | 216 |

MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES: NEGRO FAMILIES

| \$250-\$499. | \$20 | \$40 |  | \$1,000-\$1,249 | \$125 | \$100 | \$83 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$500-\$749 | 60 | 62 | \$55 | \$1,250-\$1,499. | 121 | 93 | 100 |
| \$750-\$999 | 113 | 85 | 50 | \$1,500-\$2,249 | 115 | 166 | 84 |

ATLANTA: NEGEO FAMILIES

| Income class | Family type ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | I | II | III | IV | v | VI | VII |
| \$250-\$499 | \$11 | \$20 |  |  |  | $\$ 60$ |  |
| \$500-\$799. | 38 | 50 | \$100 | \$54 | \$25 | 100 |  |
| \$71,000-\$1,249- | 72 98 | $\begin{array}{r}80 \\ 100 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 39 74 | ${ }_{44}^{67}$ | 93 | 88 | 887 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 98 | 107 | 70 | 135 | 156 | 95 | 75 |
| \$1,500-\$2,249 | 111 | 158 | 167 | 122 | 71 | ( $\dagger$ | 112 |

${ }^{1}$ The 7 family types are distinguished on the basis of the number and age of members other than husband and wife, as follows:

I No other persons (families of 2 ).
II 1 child under 16 (families of 3).
III 2 children under 16 (families of 4).
IV 1 person 16 or over and 1 or no other person, regardless of age (families of 3 or 4).
V 1 child under 16, 1 person 16 or more, and 1 or 2 others, regardless of age (families of 5 or 6).
VI 3 or 4 children under 16 (families of 5 or 6 ).
VII 1 child under 16, and 4 or 5 others, regardless of age (families of 7 or 8 ).
$\dagger$ Fewer than 3 cases.
The proportion of car-owning families was higher among white families in the middle-sized cities than in Atlanta; among the Negroes, however, city size appeared to be unrelated to frequency of car ownership. In general, a larger proportion of white than of Negro families reported ownership. Automobile operation expenditures, for the most part, bore the same relationship as car ownership to city size and race.

Other transportation expenses were rather uniformly high in Atlanta
as compared with the middle-sized cities, and also among Negro families as compared with whites.

Neither a family's composition nor its occupational classification bore a consistent relationship to frequency of car ownership or the expenditures for automobile operation. Average outlays for other transportation tended to run higher for the large than the small families, but had no direct relationship to the occupational group in which a family was classified.

[^47]
## Chapter VII

## Minor Categories of Expenditure

When families have taken care of their food, shelter, clothing, and transportation requirements, there still remain goods and services such as medical care, recreation, reading, education, tobacco, and other miscellaneous items to be provided. In the lower income groups, among families studied in the Southeast, the pressure of the major expenditures was so great that only about 10 to 15 cents out of every dollar spent was available for these goods and services. (See table 30.) In the upper income groups, on the other hand, about 25 cents out of every dollar was devoted to these categories.

It should be noted that there is no clear division on the basis of the urgency or elasticity of demand between the major categories of expenditure discussed in previous chapters and the minor categories to be considered in this chapter. At all income levels, but notably in the upper part of the income range, the food budgets of most families included, in addition to a subsistence or even a nutritionally adequate diet, expenditures for entertaining and for candy, liquor, and the like. At the higher income levels there is an element of conspicuous consumption also in expenditures for home maintenance and for clothing and personal care. Although some expenditures for transportation are an essential part of most family budgets, an automobile is rarely indispensable, particularly in a city with adequate transportation facilities.

Expenditures for medical care, on the other hand, although in the group of minor expenditures, are in only small measure a matter of free consumer choice. Even at the low income levels, families are frequently called on to make very substantial expenditures for medical care. Moreover, emergency expenditures of this type often necessitate subsequent reductions in the so-called major expenditures of the family affected. Contributions to community welfare and to religious organizations also represent expenditures which low income families may feel obliged to incur. The taxes (poll, income, and personal-property taxes) included in this section are, however, largely borne by families with incomes over $\$ 2,500$.

Table 30.-Average money expenditures for the minor categories
ATLANTA: WHITE FAMHLIES

| Income class | Total |  | Medical care | Recreation | $\begin{gathered} \text { To } \\ \text { bacco } \end{gathered}$ | Reading | Educa-tion | Contributions and personal taxes ${ }^{\prime}$ | Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Amount | Percentage of total money expenditures ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749. | \$60 | 9.1 | \$12 | \$16 | \$12 | \$8 | \$1 | \$9 | \$2 |
| \$750-\$899 | 127 | 13.0 | 40 | 23 | 25 | 11 | 2 | 22 | 4 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 139 | 11.9 | 35 | 26 | 27 | 12 | 2 | 28 | 9 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 198 | 14.3 | 64 | 32 | 36 | 13 | 4 | 44 | 5 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 242 | 15.4 | 77 | 47 | 44 | 15 | 6 | 44 | 9 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 282 | 15. 4 | 89 | 47 | 41 | 18 | 9 | 69 | 9 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 327 | 16. 3 | 105 | 52 | 48 | 18 | 17 | 74 | 13 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 378 | 17.0 | 123 | 64 | 49 | 20 | 9 | 95 | 18 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 442 | 18. 4 | 163 | 67 | 44 | 22 | 17 | 117 | 12 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 500 | 18.0 | 135 | 92 | 55 | 27 | 27 | 153 | 11 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 592 | 18.7 | 168 | 116 | 53 | 30 | 19 | 190 | 16 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 706 | 19.8 | 202 | 126 | 61 | 35 | 36 | 225 | 21 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 1,088 | 23.3 | 340 | 187 | 72 | 39 | 59 | 362 | 29 |
| \$7,500 and over. | 2,245 | 32.5 | 758 | 269 | 89 | 53 | 92 | 878 | 106 |

MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES: WHITE FAMILIES

| \$250-\$499 | \$57 | 10.1 | \$25 | \$7 | \$12 | \$6 | \$2 | \$5 | \$1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$500-\$749 | 85 | 12.6 | 35 | 11 | 17 | 7 | 2 | 9 | 4 |
| \$750-\$999 | 123 | 13.7 | 43 | 24 | 22 | 9 | 4 | 17 | 4 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 162 | 14. 4 | 55 | 27 | 28 | 11 | 9 | 25 | 7 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 189 | 14. 4 | 66 | 33 | 29 | 13 | 7 | 38 | 3 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 232 | 15. 3 | 75 | 43 | 33 | 13 | 12 | 50 | 6 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 277 | 15.7 | 90 | 49 | 45 | 16 | 12 | 60 | 5 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 324 | 16.5 | 94 | 57 | 41 | 18 | 23 | 86 | 5 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 374 | 18.2 | 109 | 66 | 48 | 18 | 20 | 105 | 8 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 406 | 17.0 | 91 | 75 | 44 | 22 | 40 | 130 | 4 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499. | 473 | 17.9 | 114 | 100 | 57 | 26 | 20 | 141 | 15 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 600 | 20.2 | 153 | 102 | 52 | 29 | 31 | 226 | 7 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999. | 733 | 20.9 | 147 | 143 | 67 | 30 | 49 | 287 | 10 |
| \$5,000 and over | 1,229 | 24.8 | 205 | 242 | 60 | 36 | 76 | 586 | 24 |

ATLANTA: NEGRO FAMILIES

| Under $\$ 250$ | \$31 | 11. 1 | \$19 | \$3 | \$4 | \$2 | (*) | \$2 | \$1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$250-\$499. | 52 | 12.3 | 19 | 5 | 10 | 5 | \$1 | 9 | 3 |
| \$500-\$749 | 92 | 14.6 | 36 | 11 | 15 | 8 | 2 | 17 | 3 |
| \$750-\$999 | 134 | 16.5 | 50 | 16 | 19 | 10 | 4 | 31 | 4 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 168 | 16. 4 | 56 | 25 | 27 | 12 | 5 | 42 | 1 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 215 | 17.9 | 70 | 32 | 25 | 13 | 11 | 60 | 4 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 255 | 17.7 | 88 | 29 | 20 | 19 | 13 | 52 | 34 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 274 | 17.0 | 94 | 39 | 13 | 21 | 30 | 63 | 14 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 300 | 17.5 | 76 | 54 | 20 | 19 | 32 | 91 | 8 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 359 | 19.8 | 82 | 69 | 31 | 21 | 47 | 102 | 7 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 394 | 21.2 | 118 | 45 | 24 | 28 | 59 | 116 | 4 |
| \$3,000- \$3,499 | 434 | 18. 4 | 105 | 85 | 46 | 42 | 19 | 125 | 12 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999. | 612 | 22.5 | 266 | 59 | 62 | 37 |  | 76 | 112 |
| \$4,000 and cver | 823 | 22.9 | 105 | 169 | 26 | 45 | 195 | 279 | 4 |

MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES: NEGRO FAMILIES

| Under $\$ 250$ | \$16 | 7.6 | \$8 | \$1 | \$3 | \$1 | \$1 | \$2 | (*) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$250-\$499. | 47 | 12.5 | 22 | 2 | 9 | 4 | 2 | 8 | (*) |
| \$500-\$749 | 94 | 16.2 | 40 | 11 | 17 | 5 | 2 | 18 | \$1 |
| \$750-\$999 | 146 | 18.6 | 52 | 15 | 17 | 8 | 7 | 37 | 10 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 187 | 18.7 | 66 | 26 | 27 | 11 | 7 | 48 | 2 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 277 | 21.8 | 93 | 36 | 27 | 13 | 35 | 52 | 21 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 277 | 19.6 | 86 | 26 | 21 | 11 | 27 | 105 |  |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 280 | 17.3 | 88 | 43 | 22 | 16 | 55 | 55 |  |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 369 | 23.8 | 91 | 42 | 20 | 17 | 46 | 152 | 1 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 404 | 21.5 | 98 | 50 | 43 | 19 | 31 | 150 | 13 |
| \$2,500 and over | 400 | 19.5 | 162 | 36 | 48 | 22 |  | 125 | 7 |

[^48]The total amount devoted to these so-called minor categories of expenditure thus depended largely upon personal tastes and the individual family situation during the year for which expenditures were reported. It is quite normal for families to differ from the community pattern with respect to amounts spent on any of these groups of items. For that reason, average expenditures for them are less representative of the individual family in any given year than are the amounts spent on a more stable and recurrent category such as food or housing. Particularly with reference to the data for families of a given type or occupational group, it must be borne in mind that, more often than not, the averages reflect the presence of some families that reported no expenditure during the year for the specific category, and of others that reported substantial outlays.

Medical care.-The average amounts spent for medical care, like those for all categories previously discussed, increased with considerable regularity over the income scale. Except among Atlanta white families, however, they showed no consistent tendency to absorb either an increasing or a decreasing proportion of total expenditures at succeeding income levels. White families in Atlanta, at the income levels below $\$ 2,000$, devoted less than 5 percent of the total to medical care, and at all but one of the succeeding levels, more than 5 percent. ${ }^{1}$

Although data from other sources indicate that families at the lower income levels tend to have more illness than families at the upper levels, ${ }^{2}$ medical-care expenses of white families did not average more than $\$ 50$ per year until the $\$ 1,250$ level was reached in Atlanta, and the $\$ 1,000$ level in the middle-sized cities (see table 30). Average outlays amounted to more than $\$ 50$ among Negro families with incomes of $\$ 750$ and over, that is, at their median income interval or higher.

In the present study it seemed impracticable to ask families for estimates of the value of the free medical care received, and such services cannot, therefore, be related to money outlay for medical care. There can be little doubt, however, that the small expenditures at the lower income levels do not represent the full value of medical attention received. Certainly many families made use of clinics that provided care without charge or at merely nominal fees.

Up to the $\$ 1,500$ income level, white families in Atlanta made somewhat smaller average outlays for medical care than did white families in the middle-sized city unit, whereas, above the $\$ 2,000$ level, the former spent more than the latter. Among the Negroes, however, at

[^49]all but two comparable income levels from $\$ 250$ to $\$ 2,500$, families in Atlanta had the smaller expenditures. This suggests that the low income families were afforded more public health and clinic services in Atlanta than in the smaller cities.

Since the distribution of the medical care expenditures of white families was similar in the two city units, the figures for Atlanta may be taken as illustrative (see table 31). Expenditures for the general physician were most important at almost all income levels up to $\$ 7,500$; they increased steadily in amount, but accounted for about one-third of the medical bill at most income levels. Medicine and drugs took the next largest share of medical expenses, up to the $\$ 3,500$ income level, but declined rapidly in relation to total expenditures for medical care at successive income levels. By contrast, dentists took an irregularly increasing share of the total-a share that exceeded that of medicine and drugs above the $\$ 3,500$ level. Average expenditures for oculists were very low, never exceeding 2 percent of all medical care expenses. The outlays for eyeglasses declined as a proportion of the total with increases in income, but at most levels were at least three times as great as expenditures for oculists. This fact suggests frequent resort to examination offered as a free service by optical dealers with the purchase of glasses. Expenditures for other specialists increased in relative size with increases in income, but were not usually substantial except at the highest levels. Likewise, expenditures for nursing, examination, and other unspecified services increased as a proportion of the total. As would be expected, hospital expenses varied irregularly, in accordance with family needs rather than income. Health and accident insurance took between 4 and 8 percent of total expenditures for medical care, with no tendency toward relative increase or decrease over the income range.

Up to the $\$ 2,000$ level in Atlanta and from the $\$ 500$ to the $\$ 1,750$ level in the middle-sized cities, Negro families spent more than white families for medical care. (See table 30.) At succeeding levels (except the $\$ 3,500$ level in Atlanta), Negro families spent less. A comparison of the figures for white and Negro families in Atlanta indicates that the differences in average total medical care expenditures were mainly attributable to the very high outlays of Negro families for health and accident insurance-outlays that averaged more than one-half of the total medical bill up to the $\$ 1,500$ level in Atlanta, and the $\$ 1,000$ level in the smaller cities. If expenditures for medical care, exclusive of insurance payments, are compared for the two racial groups, it is seen that white families generally had considerably higher expenditures than did Negroes.

Table 31.-Distribution of money expenditures of Atlanta families for medical care ${ }^{1}$
WHITE FAMILIES

| Income class | A verage total amount | Percentage of money expenditures for medical care |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Physician | Dentist | Oculist | Other specialists | Hospi-talization | Medicine and drugs | Eyeglasses | Health and accident insurance ${ }^{2}$ | Other ${ }^{3}$ |
| \$500-\$749 | \$12 | 25 | 10 | 2 |  |  | 46 | 8 | 8 | 1 |
| \$750-\$999 | 40 | 39 | 14 | 2 | (*) | 7 | 23 | 8 | 3 | 4 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 35 | 32 | 13 | 1 |  | 7 | 27 | 7 | 8 | 2 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 64 | 36 | 16 | 2 | 6 | 8 | 16 | 5 | 5 | 6 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 77 | 36 | 11 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 19 | 7 | 5 | 8 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 89 | 34 | 15 | 2 | 8 | 10 | 18 | 3 | 6 | 4 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 105 | 36 | 15 | 1 | 9 | 6 | 17 | 4 | 6 | 6 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 123 | 32 | 13 | 1 | 8 | 6 | 14 | 4 | 4 | 18 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 163 | 35 | 14 | 1 | 6 | 11 | 16 | 4 | 6 | 7 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 135 | 36 | 16 | 1 | 9 | 4 | 18 | 3 | 8 | 5 |
| \$3.500-\$3.999 .-- | 163 | 20 | 16 | 1 | 11 | 23 | 14 | 4 | 4 | 7 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 .- | 202 | 28 | 28 | 2 | 6 | 5 | 13 | 4 | 8 | 6 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 340 | 33 | 23 | ${ }^{*}{ }^{1}$ | 10 | 8 | 8 | 3 | 5 | 9 |
| \$7,500 and over. | 758 | 17 | 9 | (*) | 13 | 20 | 7 | 3 | 4 | 27 |

NEGRO FAMILIES


1 Summary of data published in Bull. 648, vol. V.
${ }^{2}$ Excludes automobile-accident insurance.
${ }^{3}$ Includes nursing care, examinations and tests, clinic visits, and medical appliances.

* Less than 1 percent.

A majority of the Negro families at almost every income level reported health or accident insurance policies, whereas at only a few comparable levels did as many as one-third of the white families report such policies. Among the former, health and accident insurance payments averaged $\$ 25$ or more at all income levels above $\$ 500$ in Atlanta and, with one exception, above $\$ 750$ in the middlesized cities, while among the whites in both city units they averaged less than $\$ 8$ at all levels up to $\$ 2,500 .^{3}$ These figures may reflect largely the common practice among Negroes of joining fraternal lodges which offer health as well as burial insurance.

In other respects, also, the distribution of medical expenditures differed for the two racial groups. Average insurance payments by Negro families exceeded outlays for the physician at all but two income levels up to $\$ 3,500$. As among the white families, expendi-

[^50]tures by Negroes for dentists increased over the income scale, but they were in general relatively less important among the latter families than among the former. Outlays for medicine and drugs were rather large for both racial groups, but they usually absorbed a smaller share of the total among Negro families than among whites. It is noteworthy that Negro families reported practically no expenditures for oculists, other specialists, hospitalization, nursing, and similar services, except at the highest levels studied, although white families frequently made substantial outlays for such services. Finally, it is of interest that Negro families reported much smaller expenditures for eyeglasses than did white families. This difference is probably due, at least in part, to the fact that comparatively few Negroes follow occupations that impose a strain on the eyes.
For neither the white families nor the Negroes were there welldefined or consistent differences among occupational or family type groups in the level of expenditures for medical care. ${ }^{4}$ While there was a slight tendency for white families in salaried professional occupations in Atlanta to have relatively high medical care expenditures, in the middle-sized cities wage earners and salaried groups tended to rank above the others in average outlay for this category. Among family type groups, two-person families appeared to spend rather more than the others in Atlanta, but families with one or two children under 16 ranked high in Columbia-Mobile. Data for the Negroes showed differences even less conclusive than those noted for white families.

Recreation.-At most income levels expenditures for amusements and recreational equipment ${ }^{5}$ were next largest after medical care. Such expenditures tended to absorb a slowly increasing proportion of total money expenditures for current living at successive income levels. ${ }^{6}$ Atlanta white families with incomes under $\$ 1,000$ spent an average of less than 50 cents per week for recreation, while those in the highest income class studied averaged $\$ 5$ per week. A similar rise occurred in the middle-sized cities. Among Negro families expenditures for recreation also rose with income, but were less than $\$ 1$ per week per family in all income groups under $\$ 2,000$ in Atlanta, and in all groups studied in the middle-sized cities.

[^51]Expenditures classified under this heading by no means represented the full amounts spent on leisure-time activities. Amounts spent for food and liquor used in entertaining guests have been considered food expenditures. Expenditures for owned and rented vacation homes as well as camping sites used while on vacation were considered under housing. The expense of cruises was allocated to food and transportation. Expenditures for automobile pleasure trips were included under automobile operation expense, and similarly, railroad and other fares for vacation trips were treated as transportation expense. Had such outlays been included under the category of recreation, it is probable that the increase in relation to total expenditures would have been much more marked.

The distribution of recreation expenditures among the constituent items was similar for families of the two races in both city units. ${ }^{7}$ Admissions to motion pictures were generally the largest single item, constituting about one-third of the total at all but the highest levels. Expenditures for other admissions were relatively small, usually absorbing about 9 percent of the total among white families and slightly more among Negroes. Expenditures for active rather than passive forms of recreation, as represented by outlays for fees and equipment necessary for participation in games and sports, increased in relative importance from approximately 5 to 15 percent of the recreation bill among white families in Atlanta. Among the Negroes, however, expenditures for games and sports were relatively less important, particularly at the upper income levels, and did not increase to any marked degree over the income range. All other recreation expenditures together constituted the largest share of the total. ${ }^{8}$ Among all groups the increase in the amount of these expenditures was in large part attributable to the growing outlays for club dues and entertaining. Among white families, expenditures for toys and pets were also of considerable importance at the higher levels.
Although the distribution of recreation expenditures did not differ significantly among the several groups of families studied, certain small though clear distinctions appeared in respect to the total. Among white families, those in the middle-sized cities generally had slightly higher recreation expenditures than did families in Atlanta. Among the Negroes, the reverse was generally true, perhaps because the recreational opportunities are relatively more limited for Negroes than for whites in smaller communities as compared with a large city such as Atlanta. The intercity differences found were so small, however, as to suggest that they may have been the result of chance factors.

[^52]Racial differences were somewhat greater and were consistent in both city units, with white families generally reporting the higher expenditures. In general, of course, leisure-time expenditures are strongly influenced by personal tastes. There are significant differences, however, in the number and type of recreational activities available to white and Negro families. White families have more recreational facilities of the luxury type to choose from than Negro families, and thus have more opportunity for large expenditures for certain kinds of amusements.

The occupational groups in which white families were classified bore no consistent relation to their expenditures for recreation. Among the Negro families in Atlanta, those in the wage-earner, and particularly the clerical, groups tended to spend more than business and professional families. In Columbia and Mobile, where only two occupational groups were distinguished, wage-earner families generally spent more for recreation than did families in the white-collar groups.

Among white families in both city units and Negro families in the middle-sized cities, those with one or two children generally had higher expenditures than the two-person families or those containing at least three members over $16 .{ }^{9}$ Among Negro families in Atlanta, where families of seven family types were studied, those with only two members stood out as having the smallest outlays for recreation. There were no clear differences, however, among the families ranging from three to eight members in size. ${ }^{10}$

Tobacco.-Although the amounts spent for tobacco, as for recreation, depend primarily on tastes and habits, average tobacco expenditures were relatively stable, in relation to total money expenditures, averaging between 1 and 3 percent of the total at almost all income levels, among families of both races in each city unit. ${ }^{11}$ There was a slight tendency for the share of the total taken by tobacco to decline at the higher levels. (See table 30.)

The dollar expenditures for tobacco were similar in the two city units. Almost without exception, however, white families spent substantially more than Negro families. On the whole, this difference appears to rest as much on the quantity of tobacco used as on the prices paid. The average number of packages of cigarettes purchased was generally greater among whites than among Negroes at the same income level, but there was a tendency for the Negroes to purchase more cigars than did the whites. ${ }^{12}$

There were no consistent occupational differences among white

[^53]families in expenditures for tobacco, although the data for Atlanta suggest some tendency for salaried professional families to have relatively small outlays. ${ }^{13}$ Among Negroes, wage earners generally spent somewhat more than other families. Family type differences were likewise insignificant among the white families. Among the Negroes, the husband-and-wife families generally had the highest expenditures. In Atlanta, where seven family types were studied, the families containing only children under 16 in addition to the parents (types II, III, and VI) ranked at the opposite extreme.

Reading.-Expenditures for reading were small among both white and Negro families. Average outlay by white families did not amount to as much as $\$ 2$ monthly until the $\$ 3,000$ income level was reached. Among Negro families it was below $\$ 2$ per month among all income groups studied in Columbia-Mobile, and among all groups below $\$ 2,500$ in Atlanta. These expenditures represented a relatively constant proportion of total money expenditures, about 1 percent at most income levels. ${ }^{14}$ Among virtually all groups newspapers accounted for the bulk of expenditures for reading. ${ }^{15}$

The average expenditures for reading among Negro families in Atlanta were about the same as those of white families at the income levels below $\$ 1,500$, but were greater than those of white families at succeeding levels. These differences probably rest in large part on the fact that public libraries are not as readily available to Negroes as to whites in the cities studied. ${ }^{16}$ Furthermore, it is likely that many Negro families purchase both general newspapers and the special newspaper published in Atlanta for Negroes. In the middle-sized cities Negro families reported expenditures for reading that were appreciably higher than those of white families only at the highest comparable income level, $\$ 2,250$ to $\$ 2,500$.

The relatively greater outlay for reading by Atlanta Negroes above the $\$ 1,500$ level, in comparison with that of whites, is apparent only among business and professional families. Wage earners in the two racial groups had about the same average expenditures, while among clerical families the whites tended to spend more than the Negroes.

Wage-earner families generally reported lower expenditures for reading than did other groups studied in the Southeast. Among the white families, the highest expenditures were reported by those in the salaried professional group in Atlanta and those in the independent business and professional classification in the smaller cities. ${ }^{17}$

[^54]Family type differences in expenditures for reading were not consistent enough to indicate that family composition had any significant effect on the level of outlay for this category. ${ }^{18}$

Education.-Direct outlays for formal education were generally even smaller than those for reading in the lower portion of the income scale but at higher levels the reverse was usually true. (See table 30.) Although education claimed an increasing proportion of total money expenditures as income increased, it never averaged as much as 2 percent of this total among white families nor, with one exception, as much as 4 percent among Negro families. ${ }^{19}$ The increase in expenditures for education as income increased was due in part to the greater frequency of families reporting this type of expenditures. In the lower income groups, fewer than half of the families reported expenditures for education; in the upper income levels, more than half showed some expenditure for this category. In the lower part of the income scale most of the amounts expended went for books and supplies used by children in the public schools, while at the highest income levels, tuition fees accounted for a large share of the expenditures for education. ${ }^{20}$

Expenditures for room or board at school were included in housing and food, respectively, and not in education. Since such expenditures constituted an almost negligible proportion of either housing or food expenditures, it is probable that the educational facilities offered in these cities for whites and Negroes were utilized more frequently than were schools away from home.

Both white and Negro families in the middle-sized cities tended to spend more for education than those in Atlanta. This difference is the more striking in view of the fact that, among white families at least, a larger proportion reported outlays for education in Atlanta than in the smaller cities. Among families that had expenditures for education, families in the middle-sized cities reported larger average amounts than Atlanta families at every income level except one. It may be that much of the difference, at least at the lower income levels, is to be explained in terms of free text books provided in Atlanta schools. Expenditures for parochial schools may also be a factor of some importance, at least in Mobile, where there is a sizable Catholic population.

Racial differences in education expenditures were fairly consistent, with Negroes spending substantially more than white families at the same income level. Among the higher income groups, where the differences in average expenditures were greater, they are probably to be accounted for in considerable measure by the more common

[^55]tendency among Negro families to send their children to private educational institutions.

Family composition was a major factor in determining expenditures for education, ${ }^{21}$ but occupation bore no significant relationship to such expenditures. Two-person families, as would be expected, reported virtually no outlay for this category. Among Negro families in Atlanta, those with seven or eight members usually had the highest expenditures. Among white families, and among Negroes in the middle-sized cities, families containing at least one member over 16, in addition to the husband and wife, reported average outlays that were almost uniformly greater than those of families with one or two children under 16. This probably reflects the larger outlays for education necessary as children reach high school and college age.

Contributions and personal taxes.--Expenditures for contributions to individuals and institutions and personal taxes were among the most elastic categories of expenditure. Average outlay for this group of items increased much more rapidly than did total money expenditures for current living. ${ }^{22}$ Among white families in Atlanta, for example, they amounted to only $\$ 9$, or little over 1 percent of the total at the $\$ 500$ level; at the median income interval they averaged $\$ 69$, or almost 4 percent of the total, and at the highest level, $\$ 878$, close to 13 percent of all money expenditures. (See table 30.)

Donations to the church and other religious institutions were generally larger than any other type of outlays at both the low and the high income levels. This was particularly true among Negro families and among white families in the middle-sized cities. Outlays for the support of relatives were usually next largest; among white families in Atlanta they averaged approximately as large as donations to the church. (See table 32.) Gifts to persons outside the economic family were generally third in importance, followed by tax payments ${ }^{23}$ and contributions to the community chest and welfare agencies.

When these expenditures are considered in combination, no clear intercity differences are apparent. Within each city unit, however, Negro families tended to spend more than white families, at least up to the $\$ 2,500$ income level. This was in part attributable to their larger church donations.

Occupational differences in average expenditures for contributions and personal taxes varied from one city unit to the other and from one racial group to the other, suggesting that particular family cir-

[^56]cumstances influenced these outlays more than the occupation from which the family derived the major portion of its earnings.

Table 32.-Percentage distribution of money expenditures by Atlanta families for contributions and personal taxes ${ }^{1}$
athanta: Whire families

| Income class | Average total amount | Percentage of contributions and taxes |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Religious institututions | Support of relatives ${ }^{\text {? }}$ | Gifts to other persons ${ }^{2}$ | Community welfare | $\begin{gathered} \text { Personal } \\ \text { taxes }{ }^{3} \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\text { All }}{\text { Alter }}$ |
| \$500-\$749. | \$9 | 38 |  | 11 | 4 | 46 | 1 |
| \$750-\$999. | 22 | 19 | 40 | 17 | 4 | 18 | 2 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 28 | 25 | 30 | 21 | 4 | 20 |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 44 | 29 | 32 | 19 | 4 | 14 | 2 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 44 | 35 | 20 | 22 | 4 | 16 | 3 |
| \$1,750-\$1,990 | 69 | 30 | 30 | 23 | 4 | 11 | 2 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 74 | 25 | 35 | 21 | 4 | 12 | 3 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 95 | 30 | 29 | 23 | 5 | 10 | 3 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999. | 117 | 32 | 32 | 19 | 4 | 10 | 3 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 153 | 34 | 27 | 20 | 6 | 8 | 5 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 190 | 29 | 33 | 21 | 7 | 9 | 1 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 225 | 32 | 25 | 25 | 6 | 9 | 3 |
| \$5,000-\$7,199 | 362 | 22 | 35 | 18 | 8 | 16 | 1 |
| \$7,500 and over | 878 | 22 | 18 | 24 | 7 | 27 | 2 |
| ATLANTA: NEGRO FAMILIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250. | \$2 | 43 |  | 1 |  | 56 |  |
| \$250-\$499 | 9 | 45 | 27 | 9 | 2 | 14 | 3 |
| \$500-\$749 | 17 | 45 | 31 | 10 | 2 | 11 | 1 |
| \$750-\$999 | 31 | 36 | 40 | 10 | 1 | 8 | 5 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 42 | 39 | 38 | 13 | 2 | 6 | 2 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 60 | 33 | 43 | 12 | 2 | 8 | 2 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 52 | 54 | 6 | 26 | 6 | 6 | 2 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 63 | 42 | 11 | 31 | 5 | 10 | 1 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 91 | 36 | 25 | 26 | 4 | 8 | 1 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 102 | 28 | 31 | 22 | 5 | 14 | (*) |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 116 | 40 | 15 | 33 | 4 | 5 | 3 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 125 | 27 | 33 | 20 | 12 | 8 |  |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 76 | 39 | 13 | 26 | 5 | 17 |  |
| \$4,000 and over | 279 | 22 | 23 | 23 | 10 | 12 | 10 |

1 Summary of data published in Bull. 648, vol. VII.
${ }_{3}^{2}$ Refers to persons outside the economic family.
${ }_{3}$ Amounts reported for taxes under this heading do not include sales taxes, which were included in the expense for the items to which they applied: automobile taxes, which were included in automobile operation expense; taxes on owned homes, included in housing expense; and taxes on other real property, which were deducted from gross income from such property.

* Averages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

Among white families, these expenditures were inversely related to family size. ${ }^{24}$ Two-person families are naturally in a better position to assist persons outside the economic family than are larger families with the same income. Furthermore, at a given income level, income taxes of husband-and-wife families are generally highest, since they have only the minimum exemption. Families containing at least three members 16 or over (types IV and V) tended to have the smallest expenditures for this category. It should be noted, however, that there was generally less difference between the expenditures of families with one or two children under 16 and of those with at least three adults than between the expenditures of the former and of families containing only husband and wife.

[^57]Among the Negroes, the smallest families consistently reported the largest outlay for contributions and personal taxes. In Columbia and Mobile, however, there were no consistent differences in the expenditures of families in the other type groups. In Atlanta, where seven family types were distinguished, the largest families (type VII) and those with five or six members, at least three of whom were over 16 (types IV and V), were at the opposite extreme from the two-person families.

## Summary

Average expenditures for all the minor categories combined rose rapidly at successive income levels, absorbing a steadily increasing share of total expenditures. This rise reflected the more or less rapid increase in the proportion of the total taken by recreation, education, and contributions and personal taxes. Average expenditures for medical care, reading, and tobacco absorbed a relatively constant share of all expenditures.

When all expenditures for the minor categories are considered together, intercity differences are negligible among either white or Negro families. This reflects the balancing out of differences in respect to the constituent groups of items.

Negroes tended to spend more than whites at the same income level for education, contributions, and personal taxes. In the lower income groups they spent more than whites for medical care, and in the upper groups, more for reading. White families spent more than Negroes for recreation and tobacco.

There were few clear occupational differences in expenditures for the groups of items discussed in this chapter. Only in respect to reading, which was relatively unimportant in amount, was there a consistent occupational difference, wage-earner families ranking low among whites and Negroes in both city units. It is not surprising, therefore, to find no clear occupational patterning of expenditures for the minor categories as a group.

Family type differences in expenditures for the minor categories were somewhat clearer. Average expenditures for formal education were of course directly related to family composition. Outlays for contributions and personal taxes were in general inversely related to family size. When the average expenditures for all the minor categories are combined, however, the differences balance out and no clear pattern appears.

## Chapter VIII

## Surplus and Deficit Items

A summary of the relationship between income and expenditures of the families studied in the Southeast was presented in chapter II. It was found that at succeeding income levels an increasing proportion of families ended the year 1935-36 with a surplus and a decreasing proportion reported a negative balance between income and outgo. Consequently, there was a shift from large average net deficits for families as a group at the lower income levels to substantial net surpluses for families at the higher levels.

The nature of changes in assets and liabilities.-In the present chapter a more detailed analysis of surplus and deficit will be made for Atlanta families in order to show the relative importance at different income levels of negative and positive changes in family assets and liabilities, and the role played in these changes by the various constituent items. ${ }^{1}$ It should be pointed out, however, that no attempt was made in the present study to determine the total assets of the families interviewed, or the sum of their liabilities. They were asked to report only as to increases or decreases that had taken place during the 12 -month period covered by the schedule. Thus, all along the income range, both surplus and deficit items were likely to be reported by the same family, since there is a wide variety of transactions that involve changing either the form of resources and commitments, or the family's net assets.

The more common surplus items throughout the income scale were insurance premiums paid, investments, and increases in savings. The payment of an insurance premium, however, might involve a withdrawal of savings accumulated in previous years, or borrowing the money to avoid lapse of policy. Similarly, investments in securities or other business enterprise might represent merely a change in the form of an asset-from savings accounts to bonds or real estate, for example-or they might represent savings from the year's income. On the liability side there was equal possibility for change both in the form and amount of obligations. Old bills were paid off and new ones incurred. Many home-owning families paid something on the principal of the mortgage, but some families increased their mortgage

[^58]debts. As previously indicated, the net result of such changes was rarely an exact balance; most families had either a surplus or a deficit for the year.

Important surplus items.-The change from average net deficit to average net surplus among all the families at successive income levels, was chiefly the result of the growing amounts of those items which went to increase assets, chiefly insurance premiums, bank accounts, and various forms of investment. Throughout the income range, among both white and Negro families, increases in assets made greater contributions to surplus than did decreases in liabilities. (See table 33.)

Table 33.-Surplus items: Average amount of change in 1 year, 1935-86
ATLANTA: WHITE FAMILIES

| Item | Income class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M } \\ & \text { N } \\ & \text { N } \\ & \text { N } \\ & 8 \\ & 8 \\ & \text { N } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | 哭 | 僢 |  |
| All surplus items ${ }^{1}$ - - --- | (2) | (2) | 64 | 80 | 85 | 129 | 212 | 233 | 291 | 394 | 432 | 697 | 845 | 1,010 | 1,474 | 4,312 |
| Increases in assets..- | ( ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ) | (2) | 35 | 62 | 60 | 98 | 172 | 179 | 219 | 298 | 303 | 598 | 740 | 806 | 1,243 | 3,961 |
| Bank accounts.- | (2) | ${ }^{(2)}$ | 1. | 11 | 8 | 17 | 24 | 29 | 59 | 96 | 93 | 137 | 252 | 279 | 344 | 1,673 |
| Investments_-- | (2) | (3) |  | 3 | 3 | 25 | 73 | 48 | 66 | 85 | 74 | 243 | 221 | 239 | 392 | 1,109 |
| Insurance...-.-.-- | (2) | (2) | 34 | 45 | 46 | 54 | 67 | 95 | 87 | 113 | 129 | 191 | 251 | 271 | 459 | 1,075 |
| Other | (2) | (2) |  | 3 | 3 | 2 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 4 | 7 | 27 | 16 | 17 | 48 | 104 |
| Decreases in liabilities $\qquad$ | (2) | (2) | 29 | 18 | 25 | 31 | 40 | 54 | 72 | 96 | 129 | 99 | 105 | 204 | 231 | 351 |
| Mortgage payments | (2) | (2) | 20 | 4 | 7 | 14 | 19 | 26 | 42 | 50 | 54 | 70 | 84 | 155 | 153 | 208 |
| Loans due.....-- | (2) | (2) |  | 1 | 6 | 5 | 7 | 8 | 11 | 10 | 18 | 5 | (*) | 7 | 22 | 122 |
| Balances due..-- | (2) | (2) | 9 | 11 | 12 | 11 | 14 | 20 | 18 | 36 | 56 | 24 | 21 | 40 | 53 | 20 |
| Other...--.------ | (2) | ( ${ }^{(1)}$ | (*) | 2 | (*) | 1 | (*) | (*) | 1 | (*) | 1 |  |  | 2 | 3 | 1 |

ATLANTA: NEGRO FAMILIES

| All surplus items ${ }^{1}$------- | 14 | 21 | 32 | 80 | 116 | 147 | 365 | 475 | 461 | 602 | 877 | 1,017 | 1,271 | 31,643 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Increases in assets_- | 14 | 19 | 26 | 57 | 87 | 96 | 266 | 360 | 373 | 451 | 683 | 869 | 1,170 | 31,467 |  |  |
| Bank accounts.- | - | (*) | 3 | 10 | 19 | 28 | 61 | 192 | 98 | 202 | 324 | 301 | 930 | ${ }^{3} 686$ |  |  |
| Investments.--- |  |  | (*) | 10 | 8 | 2 | 113 | 84 | 140 | 117 | 194 | 244 | 15 | ${ }^{3} 361$ |  |  |
| Insurance. | 14 | 18 | 22 | 36 | 51 | 63 | 83 | 83 | 135 | 128 | 158 | 324 | 225 | 2405 |  |  |
| Other |  | 1 | 1 | 1. | 9 | 3 | 9 | 1 | (*) | 4 | 7 |  |  | ${ }^{3} 15$ |  |  |
| Decreases in liabilities $\qquad$ |  | 2 | 6 | 23 | 29 | 51 | 99 | 115 | 88 | 151 | 194 | 148 | 101 | 3176 |  |  |
| Mortgage payments |  | (*) | 1 | 13 | 17 | 30 | 76 | 92 | 57 | 96 | 78 | 116 |  | ${ }^{136}$ |  |  |
| Other |  | 1 | 1 | 2 | (*) | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  | ${ }^{(3)}$ |  |  |

[^59]Moreover, increases in assets rose rapidly with income, while decreases in liabilities showed no consistent upward trend over the income range. The following figures for white and Negro families at selected income intervals in Atlanta illustrate the relationship between the two categories, as a percentage of money income:

| Income class | White families |  | Negro families |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Increase in assets | Decrease in liabilities | Increase in assets | Decrease in liabilities |
| Under \$250. | (1) | (1) | 8 |  |
| \$500-\$749. | 5 | 4 | 4 | 1 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 5 | 2 | 8 | 3 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 11 | 3 | 17 | 6 |
| \$2,250-82,499 | 13 | 4 | 20 | 7 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 21 | 3 | 31 | 3 |
| \$7,500 and over. | 36 | 3 |  | ( ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |

${ }^{1}$ Expenditure schedules not taken for families at this income level.
In general, Negro families showed considerably larger increases in assets than did white families at comparable income levels. Nevertheless, the relationship between the factors making up the surpluses was closely similar for white and Negro families in both city units.

Insurance premiums were of major importance in the accumulation of assets. ${ }^{2}$ Among white families, they generally exceeded increases in investments or bank accounts up to the $\$ 3,000$ level, and among Negroes, to the $\$ 1,500$ level. Moreover, they were almost always greater than the total for decreases in liabilities.

The general importance of insurance premium payments is illustrated by the figures in table 34, showing such payments for Atlanta families as a percentage of both their money income and their total surplus for the year. Among white families, insurance payments amounted to 4 or 5 percent of money income up to the $\$ 3,000$ level, and thereafter increased from 6 to 10 percent, while, as a proportion of total surplus, they declined from more than one-half among families with incomes under $\$ 1,250$ to one-quarter at the highest levels. Insurance payments of Negro families were less directly related to money income, ranging rather irregularly from 4 to 10 percent. At the income levels under $\$ 750$, they constituted practically the only surplus items reported, but at succeeding levels generally accounted for a smaller proportion of all amounts spent for surplus items than among white families, although the actual sums paid in insurance premiums tended to run higher among Negro than among white families.

[^60]Increases in bank accounts and money on hand were generally second in importance; although at the higher income levels, among white families in Atlanta, they were not consistently greater than increases in investments. Average increases in bank accounts of Atlanta white families exceeded $\$ 900$, at all levels above $\$ 2,250$, rising rapidly to $\$ 1,675$ in the highest income group. Increases in investments, ${ }^{5}$ rather surprisingly, exceeded bank account increases among families with incomes between $\$ 1,250$ and $\$ 2,250$; thereafter, they were sometimes lower and sometimes higher than the latter, but amounted to an average of more than $\$ 200$ beginning at the $\$ 3,000$ level. Among white families in the middle-sized cities, the reported increases in bank accounts tended to be larger, those in investments, smaller, than among Atlanta white families.

Table 34.-Average insurance premiums paid by Atlanta families, as a percentage of money income and of all surplus items

| Income class (White families) | Percentage of money income | Percentage of all surplus items ${ }^{1}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Income class } \\ & \text { (Negro families) } \end{aligned}$ | Percentage of money | Percentage of all surplus items |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$500-\$749 | 5 | 53 | Under \$250. |  | 100 |
| \$750-\$999. | 5 | 56 | \$250-8499. | 5 | 86 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 4 | 54 | \$500-\$749 |  | 69 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 4 | 42 | \$750-\$999 | 4 | 45 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 4 | 32 | \$1,000-\$1,249 | 5 | 44 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 5 | 41 | \$1,250-\$1,499 | 5 | 43 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 4 | 30 | \$1,500-\$1,749 | 5 | 23 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 5 | 29 | \$1,750-\$1,999 | 4 | 17 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 5 | 30 | \$2,000-\$2,249 | 7 | 29 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499. | 6 | 27 | \$2,250-\$2,499 | 6 | 21 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 7 | 30 | \$2,500-\$2,999 | 6 | 18 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999. | 6 | 27 | \$3,000-\$3,499. | 10 | 32 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 8 | 31 | \$3,500-\$3,999 | 8 | 19 |
| \$7,500 and over..... | 10 | 25 | \$4,000 and over | 8 | 25 |

: Surplus items consist of the sum of increases in assets and decreases in liabilities.
Negro families in Atlanta showed increases in investments that exceeded an average of $\$ 100$ at all but two levels above $\$ 1,500$, whereas in the smaller cities they reported increases in this category at only four scattered levels, the average amounts never exceeding $\$ 34$. Increases in bank accounts generally amounted to more than $\$ 100$ among Negro families with incomes of $\$ 1,500$ and more in Columbia and Mobile, and in Atlanta, beginning at the next higher income level. In general, increases in bank accounts were larger than increases in investments among Negro families.

As has been pointed out, decreases in liabilities were considerably less important than increases in assets. Reductions in mortgages were generally the largest item, except among white families with incomes below $\$ 2,000$ in Columbia and Mobile, among which amounts paid on bills and installment accounts exceeded payments on mortgages. Among other families, the latter items were generally second

[^61]to mortgages in importance. Repayments of loans were a negligible factor.
Important deficit items.-Among deficit items reported by families studied in the Southeast, increases in liabilities were considerably more important than decreases in assets at nearly all income levels among both white and Negro families. In this respect the Southeastern communities studied differ from most other communities covered, since, in the other communities, decreases in assets were generally greater than increases in liabilities, particularly in the upper part of the income range.

With few exceptions, decreases in bank accounts constituted the major portion of the decreases in assets. (See table 35.) Reductions in assets due to the surrender or settlement of insurance policies or the disposal of investments were significant only at scattered points in the income scale.

On the liability side, bills due (charge and installment accounts and other bills) tended to be most important, and frequently exceeded bank withdrawals in amount. New or increased loans and unpaid rents (the latter included under "other" increases in liabilities) were relatively substantial at the lower income levels. New mortgages and increases in mortgages were reported only at the middle income ranges, and rarely averaged as much as $\$ 100$ per family.

Installment purchases.-The situation with respect to increases in liabilities during the year $1935-36$ is well illustrated by the figures reported by Atlanta families on installment accounts, which are included in table 34 under "Balances due". If the figures on increase and decrease in amounts due on installment purchases at each income level are compared, it will be seen that, almost without exception, the proportion of both white and Negro families that reported an increase was greater than the proportion that reduced their obligations. (See table 36.) Moreover, at all but three or four income levels among each group of families, the average amounts of increase exceeded the decreases.

The tendencies revealed by these figures are very clear. For the most part, the proportion of families reporting a net increase in the balance due on installment purchases was at least two or three times as great as the proportion reporting decreases. With few exceptions the average increases reported were substantially greater than the decreases. It is interesting to note that the increases shown for families in the Southeast ran noticeably above those of families in other cities covered by the Bureau of Labor Statistics in the Study of Consumer Purchases.

Table 35.-Deficit items: Average amount of change in 1 year, 1935-36
ATLANTA: WHITE FAMILIES

| Item | Income class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All deficit items ${ }^{1}$ - - | Dol. | Dol. | Dol. | Dol. | Dol. | Dol. | Dol. | Dol. | Dol. | Dol. | Dol. | Dol. | Dol. | Dol. | Dol. | Dol. |
|  | (2) | ${ }^{(2)}$ | 84 | 161 | 143 | 152 | 223 | 235 | 231 | 292 | 211 | 361 | 446 | 296 | 506 | 389 |
| Decreases in assets. .---.--- | (2) | ${ }^{2}$ ) | 24 | 84 | 42 | 45 | 52 | 101 | 45 | 153 | 54 | 113 | 165 | 51 | 181 | 57 |
| Bank accounts. | ${ }^{(2)}$ | ${ }^{(2)}$ | 3 | 67 | 37 | 29 | 24 | 77 | 41 | 135 | 46 | 75 | 134 | 24 | 149 | 47 |
| Investments .-....-...-- | ${ }^{(2)}$ | (2) |  | 1 | 3 | 15 | 2 | 13 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 22 |  | 13 | 8 | 10 |
| Insurance.....-.-.-....-. | ${ }^{(2)}$ | ${ }^{(2)}$ | 21 | 16 | 1 | 1 | 24 | 10 | 1 | 13 | 3 | 7 | 31 | 14 | 15 | (*) |
| Other. | ( ${ }^{(2)}$ | ${ }^{2}$ ) |  |  | 1 |  | 2 | 1 |  |  | 2 | 9 |  |  | 9 | ( |
| Increases in liabilities.------ | (2) | ${ }^{(2)}$ | 60 | 77 | 101 | 107 | 171 | 134 | 186 | 139 | 157 | 248 | 281 | 245 | 325 | 332 |
| Mortgages payable...-- | (2) | $\left.{ }^{2}\right)$ |  |  | (*) | 19 | 57 | 13 | 38 | 26 | 34 | 111 | 166 | 129 | 11 |  |
| Loans due-------....- | (2) | ${ }^{2}$ | 25 | 26 | 27 | 18 | 20 | 39 | 60 | 55 | 56 | 54 | 49 | 38 | 133 | 305 |
| Balances due...-.-.....- | (2) | (2) | 34 | 48 | 72 | 70 | 90 | 82 | 86 | 57 | 66 | 83 | 64 | 76 | 181 | 27 |
| Other--.-.------.-...-.-- | ${ }^{(2)}$ | ${ }^{2}$ | 1. | 3 | 2 | (*) | 4 | (*) | 2 | 1 |  | (*) | 2 | 2 |  | - |

## ATLANTA: NEGRO FAMILIES


${ }^{1}$ All deficit items represent the sum of decreases in assets and increases in liabilities for families at the respective income levels. Many of these families also reported increases in assets and decreases in liabilities, as shown in table 33. The algebraic sum of total surplus and total deficit at a given income level is the average net surplus or deficit, as shown in table 5 , ch . II, of this bulletin.
${ }_{2}^{2}$ Expenditure schedules not taken for white families at this income level.
8 Includes all families with incomes of $\$ 4,000$ and more.

* Less than \$1.

Table 36.-Increases and decreases in amounts due on installment purchases among Atlanta families

WHITE FAMILIES


Sources and disposition of funds used.-The foregoing discussion has indicated three types of sources from which families obtained funds, and also three channels through which such funds were disbursed. Thus, the funds used came from current money income, from accumulations of previous years, and from credit secured during the year of the survey. Disbursements, on the other hand, comprised expenditures for consumer goods and services, increases in assets, and decreases in obligations incurred before the year began. The relation of these constituents to their totals gives a good picture of the year's financial results for the families studied.
In figure 5 for families at selected income levels, total funds used by Atlanta families are distributed by source and disposition. Among white families at the lowest income level ( $\$ 500$ to $\$ 750$ ) money income provided 89 percent of the funds disbursed during the year while increases in liabilities furnished 8 percent and decreases in assets 3 percent. Money expenditures for current living, on the other hand, accounted for 91 percent of the total funds used, and thus exceeded money income by a small margin. The remaining 9 percent of disbursements was divided almost equally between increases in assets and decreases in liabilities.


At the income level $\$ 1,750$ to $\$ 2,000$, Atlanta white families as a group obtained the same percentage (89) of the funds spent from money income as were obtained by the group receiving $\$ 500$ to $\$ 750$, but at this higher level expenditures for current living were approximately balanced by money income. Almost four-fifths of the disbursements other than current expenditures were devoted to an increase in assets.

Among families receiving $\$ 7,500$ and over, 96 percent of the family funds for the year came from income, but only 62 percent of such funds were used for current living. At this level more than one-third of all funds disbursed was used to increase family assets, and the remainder ( 3 percent) to reduce liabilities.

As indicated in chapter II, Atlanta Negro families were more successful than whites at the same income level in keeping expenditures within income. Thus, although the group with incomes under $\$ 250$ obtained from money income only 62 percent of funds used, the families receiving $\$ 1,000$ to $\$ 1,250$ reported that 95 percent of total disbursements were supplied out of money income, and only 90 percent of these disbursements were spent for current living. In the group receiving $\$ 4,000$ and over, 98 percent of funds used came from income, but less than 70 percent went for current family living.

Among both white and Negro families, disbursements other than for current family living were predominantly for increases in assets. At every income level represented in figure 5, increases in assets among Negro families were at least four times as great as decreases in liabilities. Among white families the former were four times as great as the latter beginning with the $\$ 1,750$ to $\$ 2,000$ level.

Thus, figure 5 shows, in another manner, the fact brought out in chapter II, that over the income range there is a steady shift from heavy deficit financing at the lower end of the income scale to substantial savings at the upper end.

## Chapter IX

## Summary

The discussion of family expenditures in the preceding chapters was primarily in terms of averages, and of variations in those averages that were associated with differences in income level, in occupational classification and in family composition. These averages necessarily obscured the differences among individual families within a given classification, in amounts spent and the apportionment of that spending. While it is important to consider how widely families similar in certain established respects differ among themselves in expenditures for consumption goods and services, the averages that have been presented make it possible to discern the broad outlines of family consumption patterns, and the differences in those patterns that may be expected among families of different socio-economic status.

Variation of expenditures among families with similar incomes.Throughout the income range there was wide variation among families in the amounts spent for current living. Among white families, the range was relatively about as great at one end of the income scale as at the other, but among Negroes it was relatively greater in the low income groups than in the high. Among white families with incomes of $\$ 750$ to $\$ 1,000$, expenditures for current living ranged from less than $\$ 700$ to more than $\$ 1,600$. (See table 37.) The highest total expenditures reported at any given income level were from two to four times as great as the lowest among both white and Negro families, although there was a tendency for the range to be relatively smaller among the latter.

The proportion of families reporting expenditures that fell within the income interval in which they were classified was generally greatest in the lower part of the income scale. More than half of the white families with incomes between $\$ 500$ and $\$ 1,750$ reported expenditures within their income interval, and more than one-third at all levels between $\$ 500$ and $\$ 2,000$. (See table 37.) Among Negro families, which were concentrated much lower in the income scale, half or more at the levels up to $\$ 1,250$ reported total expenditures within their income interval.

Table 37.-Percentage distribution of families according to money value of current family living
atlanta: white famlies


At the lower income levels, the bulk of the families that reported expenditures outside the limits of their income interval had expenditures above those limits. At succeeding levels, the proportion with expenditures below these limits increased steadily, while the proportion with higher expenditures declined rapidly.

When the same data are examined for individual categories the variations from family to family are even wider, relatively, than are found in total expenditures for current living. Such variations reflect the wide differences among families in their habits and tastes, as well as in the circumstances that operate in any given year to modify their usual pattern of spending. ${ }^{1}$

The share of food, shelter, and clothing in family expenditures.-It has already been noted that after the three basic essentials, food, shelter, and clothing have been provided, families in the lower part of the income range have comparatively little remaining to cover all the other goods and services included in family living. Hence, the varying proportions of total consumption accounted for by these categories, and by those that may be considered "luxuries," provide an indication of the kind of living secured at different economic levels. ${ }^{2}$

Among white families in the Southeastern cities studied, these three essentials accounted for more than half of total expenditures of all income groups except the highest in Atlanta ( $\$ 7,500$ and over), and more than three-fifths of the total of all families below the $\$ 2,500$ level. (See table 38.) Among Negroes, at least half of total expenditures were so used in all income groups studied, and more than three-fourths, among families receiving less than $\$ 500$. At succeeding income levels, therefore, steadily increasing proportions of total expenditures were available for other consumption purposes.

The decline over the income scale in the share of the total going to the three essentials was much more striking in terms of income than in terms of expenditures. Among white families with incomes under $\$ 750$, food, housing, and clothing accounted for more than 80 percent of income; but among families with incomes of $\$ 5,000$ and over this proportion was less than half as great.

[^62]Table 38.-Average expenditures for food, housing, and clothing, combined, and for automobiles, recreation, and household help, combined

ATLANTA: WHITE FAMILIES

| Income class | Food, housing, and clothing |  |  | Automobiles, recreation, and household help |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Amount | Percentage of total expenditures | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Percentage } \\ & \text { of total } \\ & \text { income } \\ & \text { (adjusted) } \end{aligned}$ | Amount | ```Percentage of total expendi- tures``` | Percentage of total income (adjusted) |
| \$500-\$749. | \$533 | 78.8 | 82.0 | \$29 | 4.3 | 4.5 |
| \$750-\$999 | 713 | 71.6 | 79.1 | 53 | 5.3 | 5.9 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 820 | 67.1 | 71. 3 | 117 | 9.6 | 10.2 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 935 | 65.8 | 67.1 | 127 | 8.9 | 9.1 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 1,033 | 63.0 | 63.9 | 189 | 11.5 | 11.7 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 1, 180 | 62.1 | 62.9 | 249 | 13.1 | 13.3 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 1,246 | 60.2 | 58.9 | 300 | 14.5 | 14.2 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499. | 1,384 | 60.0 | 57.8 | 350 | 15.2 | 14.6 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 1,503 | 59.6 | 54.9 | 361 | 14.3 | 13.2 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 1,696 | 57.9 | 52.4 | 510 | 17.5 | 15.8 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 1,914 | 57.0 | 51. 3 | 621 | 18.5 | 16.6 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 2, 122 | 56. 1 | 47.0 | 715 | 18.9 | 15.8 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499. | 2, 505 | 50.5 | 42.1 | 1, 122 | 22.6 | 18.9 |
| \$7,500 and over. | 3,622 | 48.1 | 31.5 | 1,248 | 16.6 | 10.9 |

MIDDLE-SIZED CLTIES: WHITE FAMILIES

| \$250-\$499 | \$492 | 80.8 | 104.9 | \$14 | 2. 3 | 3.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$500-\$749 | 556 | 77.1 | 84.5 | 26 | 3.6 | 4.0 |
| \$750-\$999. | 680 | 72.1 | 74.3 | 78 | 8.3 | 8.6 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 796 | 68.4 | 69.8 | 96 | 8.3 | 8.4 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 914 | 66.1 | 66.3 | 142 | 10.3 | 10.3 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 1, 075 | 66.3 | 65.7 | 176 | 10.9 | 10.8 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 1,149 | 62.1 | 61.1 | 269 | 14.5 | 14.3 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 1,276 | 61.6 | 59.5 | 299 | 14.4 | 13.9 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 1, 302 | 60.1 | 54.8 | 321 | 14.8 | 13.5 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 1,518 | 59.8 | 55.2 | 428 | 16.9 | 15.6 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 1, 619 | 57.6 | 50.0 | 551 | 19.6 | 17.0 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 1, 733 | 55.1 | 46.3 | 614 | 19.5 | 16.4 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 2,028 | 54.4 | 46.0 | 759 | 20.4 | 17.2 |
| \$5,000 and over | 2,735 | 51.2 | 36.6 | 1,096 | 20.5 | 14.7 |

ATLANTA: NEGRO FAMILIES


MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES: NEGRO FAMILIES

| Under \$250. | \$243 | 88.7 | 102.1 | \$1 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$250-\$499 | 332 | 81.2 | 82.4 | 3 | . 7 | . 7 |
| \$500-\$749 | 452 | 73.7 | 72.4 | 23 | 3.8 | 3.7 |
| \$750-\$999 | 596 | 70.9 | 68.1 | 28 | 3.3 | 3.2 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 733 | 67.1 | 64.8 | 69 | 6.3 | 6. 1 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 884 | 62.7 | 61.9 | 148 | 10.5 | 10.4 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 925 | 60.9 | 56.8 | 225 | 14.8 | 13.8 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 1,031 | 64.2 | 54.5 | 124 | 7.7 | 6.6 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 1, 025 | 61.8 | 47.5 | 153 | 9.2 | 7.1 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 1,168 | 55.5 | 49.0 | 338 | 16.1 | 14.2 |
| \$2,500 and over | 1,344 | 63.0 | 42.4 | 163 | 7.8 | 5.7 |

Expenditures for recreation, automobile, and household help.-In contrast to the large but declining share of the total absorbed by food, housing, and clothing combined, expenditures for automobiles (purchase and operation), recreation, and household help, combined, rose rapidly as a percentage of the total in successive income classes. Expenditures for these goods and services increased much more rapidly than total expenditures and more rapidly even than total income. Up to the $\$ 1,500$ level in Atlanta and the $\$ 1,250$ level in the middle-sized cities, they generally accounted for less than onetenth of total expenditures. Among white families they absorbed one-seventh or more of the total, beginning at the $\$ 2,000$ level in Atlanta and the $\$ 1,750$ level in the smaller city unit. Thus, among Atlanta white families, the proportion of total expenditures accounted for by automobiles, recreation, and household help was four times as great at the top as at the bottom of the income scale in Atlanta and nine times as great in the middle-sized cities. Among Negro families the share going to these goods increased much less regularly, and dropped off sharply at the highest income level studied in each community.

Families in Atlanta spent more than those in the middle-sized cities for the three necessities and less for luxury goods, both in dollar amounts and as a proportion of total expenditures. The direct relationship between city size and necessity outlays was characteristic of the three constituent categories in respect to actual expenditures, but true only of clothing in respect to the ratio of these expenditures to the total. In the case of the luxury goods and services, the inverse relationship was attributable to differences in payments for household help and also, among white families, to differences in expenditures for recreation.

Relative changes in expenditures.-The foregoing chapters have shown differences among the categories in the rate at which expenditures for the several categories of goods and services increase, as successively larger incomes make possible greater latitude in consumer's choices.

It is possible to obtain a rough measure of the elasticity of various types of expenditures over a given income range by computing the percentage increases in average outlay between the lower and upper ends of that range. Elasticity varies in different parts of the income scale, and is also greater or less depending on the length of the range selected for the comparison, since average expenditures for each category increase in successive income classes.

When average expenditures are compared for Atlanta white families over a range that begins with the groups receiving $\$ 500$ to $\$ 1,250$ and ends with those receiving $\$ 5,000$ and over, the following per-
centage increases in expenditures are obtained for the individual categories, arranged in order from the smallest relative increase to the greatest:

|  | Percentage increase |
| :---: | :---: |
| Transportation other than by automobile | 147 |
| Food ${ }^{\text {- }}$ | 213 |
| Furnishings and equipment. | 213 |
| Tobacco. | 235 |
| Personal care | 284 |
| Reading | 291 |
| Housing ${ }^{2}$ | 315 |
| Clothing | 552 |
| Recreation_- | 822 |
| Automobile purchase | 1, 080 |
| Automobile operation | 1, 107 |
| Household operation. | 1,196 |
| Medical care.-- | 1, 356 |
| Contributions and personal taxes_ | 2, 255 |
| Education. | 3, 350 |

${ }^{1}$ Includes the money value of food received without money expense.
${ }^{2}$ Includes fuel, light, and refrigeration, and the money value of housing and fuel received without money expense.

Over this range, which represents a 700 -percent increase in total income, ${ }^{3}$ total expenditures rose 455 percent, while the increase in outlay for the individual categories varied from 147 percent for transportation other than automobile to 3,350 percent for education. Among the more important categories of consumption, food was the least elastic, with an increase in average outlay of 213 percent, and household operation was the most elastic, with average outlay rising nearly 1,200 percent.

The manner in which income elasticity in expenditures varied for Atlanta families in different parts of the income scale is indicated by the lists appearing below, which represent the individual categories arranged according to the percentage increase in average expenditures over the income ranges from $\$ 500-\$ 749$ to $\$ 1,000-\$ 1,249$ and from $\$ 3,000-\$ 3,499$ to $\$ 5,000-\$ 7,499$. The first involves an increase in average income of about 75 percent and an increase in average total expenditures for current living of 80 precent. In the aggregate, the families in these first two groups "went into the red" during the year, the families at the higher income level having a slightly larger average deficit than those at lowest levels. The range between the two upper groups represents an increase in income of slightly over 80 percent, a rise in current expenditures of 70 percent, and an increase in net savings of over 200 percent.

[^63]| \$500-\$749 to \$1,000-\$1,249 | Percentage increase | \$3,000-\$3,499 to \$5,000-\$7,499 | Percentage increase |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Food ${ }^{1}$ | 33 | Tobacco | 31 |
| Reading | 50 | Food ${ }^{\text {1 }}$ | 37 |
| Recreation | 63 | Personal care | 40 |
| Housing ${ }^{\text {2 }}$ | 64 | Reading. | 44 |
| Total expenditures | 80 | Housing ${ }^{2}$ - - - | 45 |
| Personal care | 88 | Furnishings and equipment | 52 |
| Education. | 100 | Total expenditures.....---- | 70 |
| Household operation.--........ | 100 | Clothing - ------- | 81 |
| Transportation other than automobile. | 110 | Household operation. | 95 |
|  | 125 | Automobile operation. | 96 |
| Clothing. | 151 | Recreation. | 103 |
| Medical care | 192 | Education- | 119 |
| Furnishings and equipment | 208 | Contributions and personal taxes | 137 |
| Contributions and personal taxes.---- | 211 | Automobile purchase | 147 |
| Automobile purchase...--------------- | 850 | Medical care.... | 152 |
| Automobile operation. | 2,200 |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ Includes the value of food received without money expense.
${ }^{2}$ Includes fuel, light, and refrigeration, and the money value of housing and fuel received without money expense.

The most striking difference in elasticity in the lower income range and the upper is found in the case of automobile purchase and operation. Comparatively few families in the lowest income class ( $\$ 500$ to $\$ 750$ ) owned cars, so that average outlay for automobile operation rose 2,200 percent between that group and the one receiving $\$ 1,000$ to $\$ 1,250$. Between the two groups in the upper part of the income scale, on the other hand, expenditures for automobile operation rose less than 100 percent, a rise somewhat greater than that in income, but very moderate in comparison with the extraordinary growth in outlay for this category over the income range below the median. Average expenditures for automobile purchase increased 850 percent between the two groups at the lower end of the income scale, but increased less than 150 percent in the range between the group with incomes of $\$ 3,000$ to $\$ 3,500$ and that receiving $\$ 5,000$ to $\$ 7,500 .{ }^{4}$

Elasticity in expenditures for furnishings and equipment, contributions and personal taxes, tobacco, clothing, and personal care was also greater in the lower part of the income scale than in the upper part. Only in the case of recreation was elasticity in expenditures appreciably greater at the top of the income scale. Elasticity in expenditures for food, for household operation, and for medical care was similar at both income levels.

On the whole, as already indicated by the data in ch. II, expenditures for family living showed considerably less elasticity among Negro families than among whites. In the case of recreation and education, however, and to a lesser extent in the case of food, average expenditures rose more rapidly between the income class $\$ 500$ to $\$ 750$ and $\$ 1,000$ to $\$ 1,250$ among Negro families than among whites. Over this income range average income of the Negro as well as the white families increased approximately 75 percent, while average expendi-

[^64]tures increased 81 percent among white families and only 69 percent among Negroes.

The growth with income in average expenditures by white families in Atlanta for the main categories is shown in figures 6 and 7. These figures indicate both the level of outlay and the relative increase in different parts of the income scale. ${ }^{5}$ The relatively small increases for both food and housing noted in chapters III and IV are emphasized in figure 6. Among the other categories a number show relative increases that are closely similar. All categories of current expenditure showed a clear tendency toward relatively slower increase at the higher economic levels, although this is least marked with respect to contributions and personal taxes. The flattening of the curves in the upper part of the income scale reflects the growing importance of various forms of savings with increases in income.

Expenditures at successive income levels.-As already indicated, data for individual families reveal great differences among families both in the level of spending and in its apportionment among consumption goods and services. When families are classified into groups that are homogeneous in certain established respects, however, their average expenditures assume such regularity of design that it becomes possible to speak of the "patterns of expenditures" at successive income levels in the communities studied. The designs become especially apparent when all families studied are grouped into a few broad income classes, and the pattern of expenditures is compared for families at each of these economic levels. (See table 39.)

Among white families with incomes under $\$ 1,000$, in both Atlanta and the middle-sized cities, food and home maintenance together absorbed more than three-fourths of total income, ${ }^{8}$ clothing and personal care about one-tenth, leaving less than one-seventh for all other categories including medical care, transportation, contributions and personal taxes, recreation, reading, and other miscellaneous items. It is not surprising, therefore, that these families were unable to supply all of their current needs out of income, but reported fairly substantial deficits.

In succeeding income groups food and home maintenance took steadily decreasing proportions of total income. This relative decline was balanced in part by decreasing deficits, or, at the higher levels, by increasing surpluses, and in part by relative increases in amounts spent for the smaller categories, such as transportation, clothing, recreation, and contributions and personal taxes. The income group receiving $\$ 5,000$ and over reported average savings that accounted for nearly one-fourth of total income, while expenditures for food and
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home maintenance took less than 40 percent of that total, or only about half the proportion reported at the lowest level.

Table 39.-Percentage distribution of adjusted family income ${ }^{1}$
ATLANTA: WHITE FAMILIES

| Income class | Total adjusted income | Total | Food | Home main-tenance | Clothing and personal care | Trans-portation | $\begin{gathered} \text { Medi- } \\ \text { cal } \\ \text { care } \end{gathered}$ | Contributions and personal taxes | Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$500-\$999 | \$814 | 108.8 | 43.3 | 37.0 | 11.4 | 4.3 | 3.7 | 2.2 | 6.9 |
| \$1,000-\$1,999 | 1,544 | 102.2 | 31.8 | 34.7 | 12.0 | 9.3 | 4. 5 | 3.1 | 6.8 |
| \$2,000-\$2,999 | 2, 430 | 94.9 | 26.6 | 30.5 | 11.9 | 10.1 | 5. 4 | 4.0 | 6.4 |
| \$3,000-\$4,999 | 3,799 | 87.7 | 21.7 | 29.5 | 11.2 | 9.7 | 4.4 | 4.9 | 6.3 |
| \$5,000 and over.-.... | 7,616 | 75.3 | 18. 5 | 23.7 | 9.2 | 8.0 | 6.1 | 6.8 | 6.0 |

MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES: WHITE FAMILIES

| \$250-\$999 | \$788 | 106.3 | 41.0 | 36.9 | 10.5 | 4.7 | 5.0 | 1.6 | 6.6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$1,000-\$1,999 | 1,506 | 99.7 | 32.1 | 34.8 | 11.0 | 7.5 | 4.7 | 2.9 | 6.7 |
| \$2,000-\$2,099 | 2,369 | 93.4 | 25.5 | 32.3 | 11.1 | 9.2 | 4.2 | 4.4 | 6.7 |
| \$3,000 and over | 4,914 | 78.7 | 16.9 | 27.6 | 9.7 | 8.4 | 3.2 | 6.7 | 6. 2 |
| ATLANTA: NEGRO FAMILIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250 | \$406 | 112.6 | 46.1 | 43.8 | 8.6 | 2.0 | 4.7 | 2.0 | 5.4 |
| \$500-\$999 | 777 | 99.2 | 36.3 | 31.8 | 11.9 | 5.0 | 5.4 | 3.0 | 5.8 |
| \$1,000-\$1,999 | 1,319 | 94.5 | 28.7 | 29.5 | 13.6 | 8.0 | 4.9 | 3.7 | 6.1 |
| \$2,000 and over | 2,860 | 78.3 | 19.1 | 24.0 | 12.1 | 8.6 | 3.5 | 4.2 | 6.8 |

MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES: NEGRO FAMILIES

| Under \$500. | \$368 | 103.3 | 43.0 | 39.4 | 9.2 | 0.5 | 5. 2 | 1.9 | 4.1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$500-\$999 | 705 | 97.3 | 35.9 | 29.7 | 13.2 | 2.8 | 6. 2 | 3.4 | 6.1 |
| \$1,000-\$1,999 | 1,255 | 96.2 | 30.1 | 28.4 | 14.0 | 6.5 | 5.9 | 4.1 | 7.2 |
| \$2,000 and over | 2,000 | 78.6 | 20.0 | 24.4 | 11.4 | 7.2 | 4.4 | 5.8 | 5.4 |

1 See glossary for definition of items included in each category.
There was little difference to be observed between Atlanta and the middle-sized cities in the apportionment of income in these broad income intervals, or in the shift over the income range in family spending patterns. Families in the middle-sized cities generally kept expenditures to a slightly lower level and hence had somewhat smaller deficits or larger surpluses than the corresponding groups in Atlanta, but the relative importance of the various consumption categories was closely similar in the two city units.

The shift over the income range in the pattern of spending by Negro families followed lines that were broadly similar to those for white families, although savings bulked larger among Negroes for all comparable groups, as did expenditures for clothing.

## TABULAR SUMMARY

The data presented in the following tables summarize, by major groups, the expenditures of native white and Negro families living in Atlanta, Ga., and a middle-sized city tabulation unit comprising Columbia, S. C., and Mobile, Ala. The data on expenditures, presented separately for white and Negro families, were obtained only from nonrelief families containing husband and wife, both native born. Not all families meeting these requirements were scheduled, but the number of eligible families in the different income, occupational, and family-type groups is given in column 2 of all tables in order to show their relative frequency in the community. (See statement in section on sampling, appendix A, regarding the "eligible" sample.)

Data presented for "All families" and for families of each type, include the different occupational groups at the following income levels:

| Occupational group | Atlanta |  | 2 middle-sized cities |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | White | Negro | White | Negro |
| Wage earner. | \$500-\$2,999 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Under } \$ 250- \\ \$ 1,499 \end{gathered}$ | \$250-\$2,499 | $\text { Under } \$ 290-$ |
| Clerical. | \$750-\$2,999 | \$250 and over | \$500-\$2,499 | Under \$250 and |
| Business and professional | \$1,250 and over | \$250 and over | \$1,000 and over | over. |

Data for "All families" and for each occupational group represent, in the case of the white families and the Negro families in the middlesized city tabulation unit, family types I through V; in the case of the Atlanta Negro families, types I through VII. Data for "All families" are weighted according to the frequency in the eligible sample of the occupational groups and the family types. Data for the occupational groups are weighted according to the frequency of the family type groups studied, and data for the family types are weighted by the frequency of the various occupational groups at the income levels where they are represented. (See section on sampling, appendix A.) Averages are in all cases, except as indicated in table $1-A$, based on the number of families reporting expenditures (column 3 on all tables), whether or not they reported expenditure for the particular item.

Due to the small number of cases at the upper income levels among the Negro families, data for the clerical, business, and professional groups in Atlanta were combined for incomes of $\$ 2,250$ and over. For the family type groups, data were combined for Atlanta families with incomes between $\$ 1,500$ and $\$ 2,250$, and for those with incomes of $\$ 2,250$ and over. For Mobile-Columbia, data on the Negro family type groups were combined for families with incomes between $\$ 1,500$ and $\$ 2,250$ and for those with incomes of $\$ 2,250$ and over.

## CONTENTS

| Table | Page |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Atlanta |  | $2 \underset{\text { cities }}{2 \text { middle-sized }}$ |  |
|  | White | Negro | White | Negro |
| 1. Balance of Family Income and Expenditure: Number of eligible families, number reporting expenditures, average net money and nonmoney income, average money expenditure for family living, net surplus or deficit, and balancing difference, by occupation, family type and income, in 1 year, 1935-36_ | 107 | 147 | 190 | 220 |
| 1-A. Net Surplus or Deficit: Percentage of families having a surplus or deficit, and average amounts reported, by occupation, family type and income, in 1 year, 1935-36 | 110 | 150 | 192 | 222 |
| 2. Summary of Family Expenditure: Average money expenditure for specified groups of goods and services, by occupation, family type and income, in 1 year, 1935-36 | 112 | 153 | 194 | 224 |
| 3. Food: Average value of all family food, money expenditure for food at home and away from home, average value of food home-produced or received as gift or pay, and money expense per meal per food expenditure unit. by occupation, family type and income, in 1 year, 1935-36 | 121 | 164 | 202 | 229 |
| 4. Hoosing: Average value of housing secured with and without money expenditure, by occupation, family type and income, in 1 year, 1935-36 | 124 | 167 | 204 | 231 |
| 4-A. Money Expenditure for Family Home by Owners and Renters, and Facilities Included in Rent for Family Home: By occupation, family type and income, in 1 year, 1935-36_ | 127 | 170 | 206 | 233 |
| 5. Household Operation: Average money expenditure for groups of items of household operation and percentage distribution of such expenditure, by occupation, family type and income, in 1 year, 1935-36 | 130 | 173 | 208 | 235 |
| 6. Clothing: Average money expenditure for clothing for husband and wife and other family members, and percentage distribution of such expenditure, by occupation, family type and income, in 1 year, 1935-36. | 133 | 176 | 210 | 237 |
| 7. Personal Care: Average money expenditure for toilet articles and preparations, and services, and percentage distribution of such expenditure, by occupation, family type and income, in 1 year, 1935-36 | 136 | 179 | 212 | 238 |
| 8. Automobile Operation and Purchase: Percentage of families owning and purchasing automobiles, average money expenditure for all families for operation and purchase, by occupation, family type and income, in 1 year, 1935-36 | 139 | 182 | 214 | 239 |
| 9. Recreation: Average money expenditure for recreation of specified types, by occupation, family type and income, in 1 year, 1935-36.- | 142 | 185 | 216 | 240 |

## ATLANTA, GA.

Table 1.-Balance of family income and expenditure: Number of eligible families, number reporting expenditures, average net money and nonmoney income, average money expenditure for family living, net surplus or deficit, and balancing difference, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1935-36
[W hite nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]

| Occupational group, family type, and income class | Number of families |  | A verage net income |  |  | A verage expendi. ture for family | A verage net surplus or $\underset{(-)^{b}}{ }$ | Average net balancing ence |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eligible ${ }^{1}$ | Reporting extures | Total | Money ${ }^{2}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Non- } \\ \text { money } \\ \text { from } \\ \text { housing } 3 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |  |  |  |
| All families |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-8749 | 608 | 26 | \$644 | \$640 | \$4 | \$666 | -\$20 | -\$6 |
| \$750-\$999 | 1,154 | 70 | 890 | 883 | 7 | 978 | -81 | -14 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 1, 392 | 85 | 1, 126 | 1,107 | 19 | 1,179 | -58 | -14 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 1,512 | 159 | 1,379 | 1,355 | 24 | 1,381 | -23 | -3 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 1, 836 | 162 | 1,605 | 1,559 | 46 | 1,581 | -11 | -11 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 1,924 | 176 | 1,861 | 1,811 | 50 | 1,836 | -2 | -23 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 1,636 | 161 | 2,107 | $\stackrel{2}{2,043}$ | 64 | 1,997 | 60 | -14 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 1,408 | 151 | 2, 376 | 2, 306 | 70 | 2, 217 | 102 | -13 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 1,818 | 192 96 | 2,712 3,222 | 2,604 3,115 | 108 | 2,387 2,797 | 221 | $-4$ |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 538 | 96 | 3, 222 | 3,115 | 107 | 2,797 | 336 | -18 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999. | 492 | 84 | 3,705 | 3,545 | 160 | 3,170 | 399 | $-24$ |
| \$4,000-\$4,999. | 466 | 103 | 4,474 | 4,300 | 174 | 3,567 | 714 | 19 |
| \$5,000-87,499. | 426 | 74 | 5,908 | 5,636 | 272 | 4,649 | 968 | 19 |
| \$7,500 and over. | 184 | 49 | 11, 448 | 10,875 | 573 | 6,922 | 3,923 | 30 |
| Occupational group: Wage earner |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749-. | 608 | 26 | 644 | 640 | 4 | 667 | -21 | -6 |
| \$750-\$999-- | 814 | 41 | 886 | 879 | 7 | 988 | -92 | -17 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 846 | 46 | 1,127 | 1,114 | 13 | 1,163 | -38 | -11 |
| \$1,250-81,499 | 786 | 47 | 1,380 | 1,350 | 30 | 1,349 | -3 | 4 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 850 | 35 | 1,608 | 1,559 | 49 | 1,635 | -61 | -15 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 670 | 45 | 1,868 | 1,829 | 39 | 1,839 | 10 | -20 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 562 | 33 | 2,109 | 2,020 | 89 | 1,873 | 152 | $-5$ |
| \$2,250-\$2,499. | ${ }^{356}$ | 30 | 2,372 | 2,237 | 135 | 2, 186 | 82 | -31 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999. | 392 | 38 | 2, 693 | 2,582 | 111 | 2, 234 | 363 | -15 |
| Clerical |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$999-..- | 340 | ${ }_{39}^{29}$ | 899 | 894 | 5 | 958 | -57 | $-7$ |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 546 | 39 | 1,125 | 1,097 |  | 1,205 | -89 | -19 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 790 | 36 | 1,858 | 1,807 | 51 | 1,856 | -21 | -28 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 724 | 36 | 2,095 | 2, 068 | 27 | 2,073 | 13 | -18 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 610 | 34 | 2,381 | 2, 338 | 43 | 2, 260 | 74 | 4 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 746 | 33 | 2,696 | 2,592 | 104 | 2, 402 | 180 | 10 |
| Independent business and professional |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 130 | 33 | 1,370 | 1, 344 | 26 | 1,348 | -4 | (*) |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 178 | 29 | 1,596 | 1, 532 | 64 | 1,428 | 106 | -2 |
| \$1,750-81,999 | 196 | 37 | 1,854 | 1,783 | 71 | 1,779 | 23 | $-19$ |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 138 | 26 | 2, 111 | 1, 969 | 142 | 2,056 | -70 | -17 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 154 | 27 | 2,381 | 2,301 | 80 | 2, 028 | 272 | 1 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999. | 208 | 45 | 2,780 | 2,637 | 143 | 2, 484 | 161 | -8 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499. | 154 | 32 | 3, 194 | 2,983 | 211 | 2, 574 | 414 | -5 |
| \$3,500- \$3,999 | 122 | 35 | 3,704 | 3, 517 | 187 | 3, 158 | 385 | -26 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 104 | 43 | 4, 472 | 4, 176 | 296 | 3, 407 | 797 | 28 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 156 | ${ }_{3} 3$ | 5,739 | 5, 400 | 339 | 4,322 | 1,073 | 7 |
| \$7,500 and over. | 78 | 24 | 11,349 | 10,850 | 499 | 6,594 | 4, 219 | 37 |

See p. 145 for notes on this table.
*A verage amounts of less than $\$ 1$ and percentages of less than 0.1 are not shown.

## ATLANTA, GA.

Table 1.-Balance of family income and expenditure: Number of eligible families, number reporting expenditures, average net money and nonmoney income, average money expenditure for family living, net surplus or deficit, and balancing difference, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1985-36-Continued

| Occupational group, family type, and income class <br> (1) | Number of families |  | A verage net income |  |  | Average money expenditure for family living | Average net surplus or deficit (一) | Average net balancing difference <br> (9) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eligible (2) | Reporting ex-penditures (3) | Total (4) | Money (5) | Nonmoney from housing <br> (6) |  |  |  |
| Sularied business |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 84 | 28 | \$1,369 | \$1,363 | \$6 | \$1,377 | -\$8 | -\$6 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 94 | 26 | 1,606 | 1, 568 | 38 | 1,528 | 60 | $-20$ |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 156 | 32 | 1, 848 | 1,804 | 44 | 1,748 | 67 | $-11$ |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 126 | 33 | 2, 142 | 2,066 | 76 | 2,027 | 59 | -20 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 186 | 31 | 2, 362 | 2, 329 | 33 | 2, 321 | 51 | -43 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 332 | 39 | 2, 712 | 2,598 | 114 | 2,429 | 188 | -19 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499. | 278 | 31 | 3,230 | 3,184 | 46 | 2,842 | 366 | -24 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 274 | 28 | 3, 696 | 3, 555 | 141 | 3, 236 | 332 | -13 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 292 | 30 | 4,473 | 4,339 | 134 | 3, 604 | 692 | 43 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 226 | 22 | 6, 078 | 5,830 | 248 | 4,926 | 875 | 29 |
| \$7,500 and over | 82 | 13 | 12, 281 | 11,673 | 608 | 7,675 | 3,995 | 3 |
| Salaried professional |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 54 | 21 | 1, 362 | 1,311 | 51 | 1,382 | -61 | -10 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 84 | 29 | 1,607 | 1,594 | 13 | 1,775 | -142 | -39 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 112 | 26 | 1, 872 | 1,799 | 73 | 1,907 | -87 | -21 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 86 | 33 | 2, 130 | 2,058 | 72 | 1,995 | 61 | 2 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 102 | 29 | 2,381 | 2, 320 | 61 | 2, 162 | 174 | -16 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 140 | 37 | 2, 749 | 2,697 | 52 | 2,493 | 211 | $-7$ |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 106 | 33 | 3, 244 | 3, 128 | 116 | 3,007 | 143 | -22 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 96 | 21 | 3,735 | 3, 553 | 182 | 3,000 | 608 | -55 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 70 | 30 | 4,481 | 4,323 | 158 | 3, 649 | 685 | -11 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499. | 44 | 19 | 5, 634 | 5,477 | 157 | 4,386 | 1,083 | 8 |
| \$7,500 and over | 24 | 12 | 8,925 | 8,229 | 696 | 5, 421 | 2, 711 | 97 |
| Family type: Type I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749.. | 172 | 7 | 658 | 644 | 14 | 709 | -50 | -15 |
| \$750-\$999 | 320 | 15 | 906 | 894 | 12 | 1,000 | -97 | $-9$ |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 450 | 19 | 1,149 | 1,125 | 24 | 1,238 | -103 | -10 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 390 | 34 | 1,370 | 1,336 | 34 | 1, 362 | -34 | 8 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749...-............. | 536 | 33 | 1,603 | 1,565 | 38 | 1,579 | -9 | -5 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 540 | 37 | 1,875 | 1,811 | 64 | 1,765 | 66 | -20 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 444 | 31 | 2, 120 | 2,065 | 55 | 1, 874 | 193 | $-2$ |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 434 | 27 | 2,378 | 2,328 | 50 | 2,143 | 201 | -16 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999. | 494 | 40 | 2,710 | 2,631 | 79 | 2, 263 | 348 | 20 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 144 | 18 | 3, 194 | 3,096 | 98 | 2, 701 | 397 | -2 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 118 | 14 | 3,674 | 3,576 | 98 | 3. 202 | 402 | -28 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 98 | 17 | 4,575 | 4,450 | 125 | 3. 405 | 1, 032 | 13 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 86 | 16 | 6,077 | 5,733 | 344 | 4,350 | 1,372 | 11 |
| \$7,500 and over. | 48 | 10 | 13,862 | 13, 059 | 803 | 7,513 | 5,475 | 71 |
| Types II and III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 238 | 9 | 637 | 637 |  | 619 | 20 | -2 |
| \$750-\$999 | 470 | 32 | 876 | 875 | 1 | 924 | -35 | -14 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 580 | 34 | 1,115 | 1,109 | 6 | 1,166 | -37 | -20 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 640 | 75 | 1,377 | 1,364 | 13 | 1,387 | -14 | -9 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 692 | 59 | 1,589 | 1,561 | 28 | 1,605 | -34 | $-10$ |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 720 | 68 | 1,855 | 1,835 | 20 | 1,886 | -32 | -19 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 540 | 73 | 2,106 | 2,052 | 54 | 2,026 | 40 | -14 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 458 | 58 | 2,373 | 2,335 | 38 | 2, 361 | -6 | -20 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 530 | 80 | 2, 673 | 2,568 | 105 | 2, 362 | 224 | -18 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 ...--------------- | 172 | 31 | 3,245 | 3,164 | 81 | 2, 799 | 404 | -39 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 162 | 41 | 3,723 | 3, 532 | 191 | 3,171 | 385 | -24 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 146 | 37 | 4,508 | 4,346 | 162 | 3,492 | 854 | (*) |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 104 | 25 | 5, 893 | 5, 549 | 344 | 4,670 | 914 | $-35$ |
| \$7,500 and over....----------- | 36 | 18 | 11, 995 | 11,513 | 482 | 6,492 | 4,900 | 121 |

* A verage amounts of less than $\$ 1$ and percentages of less than 0,1 are not shown.

ATLANTA, GA.
Table 1.-Balance of family income and expenditure: Number of eligible families, number reporting expenditures, average net money and nonmoney income, average money expenditure for family living, net surplus or deficit, and balancing difference, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1935-96-Continued

| Occupational group, family type, and income class | Number of families |  | A verage net income |  |  | A verage expenditure for family living | A verage plus or deficit (一) <br> (8) | A verage net balancing difference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eligible | Reporting ex-penditures | Total | Money | money from housin |  |  |  |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |  |  |  |
| Types IV and V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 198 | 10 | \$641 | \$641 |  | \$687 | -\$43 | -\$3 |
| \$750-\$999 | 364 | 23 | 894 | 884 | \$10 | 1,032 | -130 | -18 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 362 | 32 | 1,115 | 1,082 | 33 | 1,127 | -36 | -9 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 482 | 50 | 1,390 | 1,360 | 30 | 1,391 | -28 | -3 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 608 | 70 | 1,626 | 1, 552 | 74 | 1,557 | 12 | -17 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 664 | 71 | 1,856 | 1,786 | 70 | 1,840 | -25 | -29 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 652 | 57 | 2,098 | 2,019 | 79 | 2,054 | -14 | -21 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 516 | 66 | 2,379 | 2, 262 | 117 | 2,153 | 114 | -5 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 794 | 72 | 2,733 | 2,612 | 121 | 2,481 | 140 | -9 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499. | 222 | 47 | 3,223 | 3,090 | 133 | 2,860 | 243 | -13 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 212 | 29 | 3,709 | 3,539 | 170 | 3,152 | 409 | -22 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 222 | 49 | 4,407 | 4,204 | 203 | 3, 687 | 482 | 35 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 236 | 33 | 5,852 | 5, 639 | 213 | 4,749 | 845 | 45 |
| \$7,500 and over | 100 | 21 | 10,092 | 9,596 | 496 | 6,794 | 2,825 | -23 |

## ATLLANTA, GA.

Table 1-A.-Net surplus or deficit: Percentage of families having a surplus or deficit, and average amounts reported, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1935-96 ${ }^{1}$
[White nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]

| Occupational group, family type, and income class | Number of families |  | Average net surplus or deficit (-) <br> (4) | Percentage of families having 2- |  | Average amount for families having ${ }^{3}$... |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eligible | Reporting expenditures |  | Surplus | Deficit | Surplus | Deficit |
| (1) | (2) | (3) |  | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| Allfamilies |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749. | 608 | 26 | -\$20 | 62 | 38 | \$40 | \$118 |
| \$750-\$999 | 1,154 | 70 | -81 | 41 | 58 | 76 | 192 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 1,392 | 85 | -58 | 51 | 45 | 84 | 225 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 1,512 | 159 | -23 | 52 | 47 | 113 | 173 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749.................. | 1,836 | 162 | -11 | 56 | 42 | 142 | 217 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 1,924 | 176 | -2 | 63 | 37 | 165 | 290 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 1,636 | 161 | 60 | 65 | 34 | 241 | 281 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 1,408 | 151 | 102 | 60 | 40 | 363 | 295 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 1,818 | 192 | 221 | 75 | 24 | 381 | 278 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 538 | 96 | 336 | 82 | 18 | 502 | 402 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 492 | 84 | 399 | 78 | 22 | 836 | 465 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 466 | 103 | 714 | 90 | 10 | 835 | 398 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 426 | 74 | 968 | 90 | 10 | 1,257 | 1,750 |
| \$7,500 and over....-... | 184 | 49 | 3,923 | 96 | 4 | 4,157 | 1,405 |
| Occupational group: Wage earner |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 ------------------ | 608 | 26 | -21 | 62 | 38 | 40 | 120 |
| \$750-\$999 | 814 | 41 | -92 | 39 | 61 | 82 | 203 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 846 | 46 | -38 | 53 | 42 | 89 | 202 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 786 | 47 | $-3$ | 55 | 45 | 94 | 123 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 850 | 35 | -61 | 52 | 48 | 114 | 249 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 670 | 45 | 10 | 60 | 40 | 154 | 203 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 562 | 33 | 152 | 61 | 39 | 373 | 192 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 356 | 30 | 82 | 65 | 35 | 351 | 417 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999. | 392 | 38 | 363 | 87 | 10 | 428 | 102 |
| Clerical |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$999-- | 340 | 29 | $-57$ | 45 | 52 | 62 | 164 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 546 | 39 | -89 | 49 | 49 | 75 | 257 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 458 | 30 | -61 | 43 | 57 | 155 | 226 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 630 | 43 | 31 | 62 | 38 | 136 | 139 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 790 | 36 | -21 | 67 | 33 | 167 | 404 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 724 | 36 | 13 | 71 | 29 | 165 | 357 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 610 | 34 | 74 | 54 | 46 | 361 | 263 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 746 | 33 | 180 | 72 | 28 | 356 | 276 |
| Independent business and professional |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 130 | 33 | -4 | 66 | 34 | 133 | 273 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 178 | 29 | 106 | 62 | 25 | 271 | 248 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 196 | 37 | 23 | 66 | 34 | 207 | 328 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 138 | 26 | $-70$ | 60 | 40 | 184 | 447 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 154 | 27 | 272 | 73 | 27 | 443 | 199 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 208 | 45 | 161 | 70 | 27 | 399 | 443 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 154 | 32 | 414 | 85 | 15 | 561 | 423 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 122 | 35 | 385 | 82 | 18 | 605 | 626 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 104 | 43 | 797 | 89 | 11 | 972 | 575 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 156 | 33 | 1, 073 | 96 | 4 | 1,150 | 651 |
| \$7,500 and over .-.-.--------- | 78 | 24 | 4,219 | 93 | 7 | 4,599 | 603 |
| Salaried business |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 84 | 28 | -8 | 63 | 33 | 89 | 195 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 94 | 26 | 60 | 74 | 22 | 137 | 186 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 156 | 32 | 67 | 72 | 28 | 148 | 138 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 126 | 33 | 59 | 61 | 39 | 211 | 179 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 186 | 31 | 51 | 53 | 47 | 350 | 292 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 332 | 39 | 188 | 72 | 28 | 362 | 249 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 278 | 31 | 366 | 85 | 15 | 524 | 500 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 274 | 28 | 332 | 74 | 26 | 594 | 424 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999. | 292 | 30 | 692 | 90 | 10 | 804 | 307 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 226 | 22 | 875 | 85 | 15 | 1,378 | 1,973 |
| \$7,500 and over | 82 | 13 | 3,905 | 98 | 2 | 4,186 | 3,792 |

See D. 145 for notes on this table.

## ATLANTA, GA.

Table 1-A.-Net surplus or deficit: Percentage of families having a surplus or deficit, and average amounts reported, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1935-86-Continued


ATLANTA, GA.
Table 2.-Summary of family expenditure: Average money expenditure for specified groups of goods and services, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1935-36
[White nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]

| Occupationalgroup, family type, and income class | Number of families |  |  | Total | Food | Housing ${ }^{2}$ | Household operation |  | Fur-nishings and equipment <br> (10) | Clothing(11) | Auto-mobile ${ }^{3}$ <br> (12) | Other trans-portation <br> (13) | Personal care <br> (14) | Medical care <br> (15) | Recreation ${ }^{4}$ <br> (16) | Tobacco <br> (17) | Reading <br> (18) | Formal education <br> (19) | Con-tributions and personal taxes ${ }^{5}$ | Other items <br> (21) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\underset{\text { ble }}{\text { Eligi- }}$ | Reporting ex-penditures |  |  |  |  | Fuel, light, and refrig- eration | Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Average money expenditure in dollars |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All families |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-5749. | 608 | 26 | 3.3 | 666 | 303 | 105 | 68 | 27 | 24 | 47 | 6 | 10 | 16 | 12 | 16 | 12 | 8 | 1 | 9 | 2 |
| \$750-\$999 | 1, 154 | 70 | 3.4 | 978 | 368 | 158 | 84 | 44 | 43 | 85 | 24 | 21 | 24 | 40 | 23 | 25 | 11 | 2 | 22 | 4 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 1,392 | 85 | 3.2 | 1,179 | 389 | 185 | 85 | 54 | 74 | 118 | 84 | 21 | 30 | 35 | 26 | 27 | 12 | 2 | 28 | 9 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 1,512 | 159 | 3.4 | 1,381 | 448 | 215 | 98 | 75 | 63 | 135 | 83 | 33 | 33 | 64 | 32 | 36 | 13 | 4 | 44 | 5 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 1,836 | 162 | 3.3 | 1,581 | 492 | 229 | 101 | 100 | 84 | 153 | 114 | 31 | 35 | 77 | 47 | 44 | 15 | 6 | 44 | 9 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 1,924 | 176 | 3.3 | 1,836 | 549 | 278 | 106 | 133 | 71 | 182 | 155 | 37 | 43 | 89 | 47 | 41 | 18 | 9 | 69 | 9 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 1,636 | 161 | 3.5 | 1,997 | 586 | 270 | 110 | 132 | 92 | 209 | 197 | 31 | 43 | 105 | 52 | 48 | 18 | 17 | 74 | 13 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499. | 1, 408 | 151 | 3.3 | 2, 217 | 612 | 322 | 115 | 164 | 76 | 245 | 226 | 31 | 48 | 123 | 64 | 49 | 20 | 9 | 95 | 18 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999. | 1,818 | 19. | 3.5 | 2,387 | 683 | 295 | 125 | 193 | 80 | 267 | 211 | 39 | 52 | 163 | 67 | 44 | 22 | 17 | 117 | 12 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 538 | 96 | 3.5 | 2, 797 | 753 | 392 | 135 | 266 | 92 | 293 | 272 | 34 | 60 | 135 | 92 | 55 | 27 | 27 | 153 | 11 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 492 | 84 | 3.6 | 3, 170 | 802 | 434 | 141 | 293 | 98 | 351 | 345 | 40 | 74 | 168 | 116 | 53 | 30 | 19 | 190 | 16 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999. | 466 | 103 | 3.7 | 3,567 | 845 | 465 | 158 | 367 | 96 | 436 | 374 | 47 | 73 | 202 | 126 | 61 | 35 | 36 | 225 | 21 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499. | 426 | 74 | 3.7 | 4,649 | 1,019 | 462 | 184 | 520 | 140 | 530 | 593 | 29 | 84 | 340 | 187 | 72 | 39 | 59 | 362 | 29 |
| \$7,500 and over. | 184 | 49 | 3.7 | 6,922 | 1,446 | 576 | 229 | 728 | 227 | 763 | 494 | 89 | 125 | 758 | 269 | 88 | 53 | 92 | 878 | 106 |
|  |  |  |  | Percentage of total money expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749-............- | 608 | 26 | 3.3 | 100.0 | 45.5 | 15.8 | 10.2 | 4.0 | 3.6 | 7.0 | 0.9 | 1.5 | 2.4 | 1.8 | 2.4 | 1.8 | 1.2 | 0.2 | 1.4 | 0.3 |
| \$750-\$999 .-...-------- | 1,154 | 70 | 3.4 | 100.0 | 37.6 | 16.2 | 8.6 | 4.5 | 4.4 | 8.7 | 2.4 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 4.1 | 2.4 | 2. 6 | 1.1 | . 2 | 2. 2 | . 4 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 1,392 | 85 | 3.2 | 100.0 | 32.9 | 15.7 | 7.2 | 4.6 | 6. 3 | 10.0 | 7.1 | 1.8 | 2.5 | 3. 0 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 1.0 | . 2 | 2.4 | . 8 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 1,512 | 159 | 3.4 | 100.0 | 32.4 | 15.6 | 7.1 | 5.4 | 4.6 | 9.8 | 6.0 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 4.6 | 2.3 | 2.6 | .9 | . 3 | 3.2 | . 4 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749.......... | 1,836 | 162 | 3.3 | 100.0 | 31.1 | 14.5 | 6.4 | 6.3 | 5.3 | 9.7 | 7.1 | 2.0 | 2.2 | 4.9 | 3.0 | 2.8 | . 9 | . 4 | 2.8 | . 6 |


| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 1,924 | 176 | 3.3 | 100.0 | 29.9 | 15.2 | 5. 8 | 7.2 | 3.9 | 9.9 | 8.4 | 2.0 | 2.3 | 4.8 | 2. 6 | 2.2 | 1.0 | 5 | 3.8 | . 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 1,636 | 161 | 3.5 | 100.0 | 29.4 | 13.5 | 5.5 | 6.6 | 4.6 | 10.5 | 9.8 | 1.6 | 2.2 | 5.3 | 2.6 | 2.4 | . 9 | . 8 | 3.7 | . 6 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 1, 408 | 151 | 3.3 | 100.0 | 27.6 | 14.5 | 5.2 | 7.4 | 3.4 | 11.1 | 10.2 | 1.4 | 2.2 | 5.5 | 2.9 | 2.2 | . 9 | .4 | 4.3 | . 8 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 1, 818 | 192 | 3.5 | 100.0 | 28.7 | 12.4 | 5.2 | 8.1 | 3.4 | 11.1 | 8.9 | 1.6 | 2.2 | 6.8 | 2.8 | 1.8 | . 9 | . 7 | 4.9 | . 5 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 $\ldots . . .-{ }^{\text {- }}$ | 538 | 96 | 3.5 | 190.0 | 26.9 | 14.0 | 4.8 | 9.5 | 3.3 | 10.5 | 9.7 | 1.2 | 2.1 | 4.8 | 3.3 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 5.5 | . 4 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 492 | 84 | 3.6 | 100.0 | 25.3 | 13.7 | 4.4 | 9.2 | 3.1 | 11.1 | 10.9 | 1.3 | 2.3 | 5.3 | 3.7 | 1. 7 | : 9 | . 6 | 6.0 | . 5 |
| \$4,000-\$4,989 | 466 | 103 | 3.7 | 100.0 | 23.7 | 13.1 | 4.4 | 10.3 | 2.7 | 12.2 | 10.5 | 1.3 | 2.0 | 5.7 | 3.5 | 1.7 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 6.3 | . 6 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 426 | 74 | 3.7 | 100.0 | 22.0 | 10.0 | 4.0 | 11. 2 | 3.0 | 11.4 | 12.7 | .$^{6}$ | 1.8 | 7.3 | 4.0 | 1.5 | . 8 | 1.3 | 7.8 | . 6 |
| \$7,500 and over.....- | 184 | 49 | 3.7 | 100.0 | 20.9 | 8.3 | 3.3 | 10.5 | 3.3 | 11.0 | 7.1 | 1.3 | 1.8 | 11.0 | 3.9 | 1.3 | . 8 | 1.3 | 12.7 | 1. 5 |
| Occupational group: |  |  |  | A verage money expenditure in dollars |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749.. | 608 | 26 | 3.3 | 667 | 303 | 105 | 68 | 27 | 24 | 47 | 6 | 10 | 16 | 12 | 16 | 12 | 8 | 1 | 10 | 2 |
| \$750-\$999 | 814 | 41 | 3.4 | 988 | 377 | 154 | 83 | 41 | 50 | 82 | 28 | 20 | 22 | 46 | 21 | 23 | 10 | 2 | 24 | 5 |
| \$1,000 \$1,249 | 846 | 46 | 3.2 | 1,163 | 390 | 182 | 85 | 51 | 59 | 122 | 79 | 19 | 32 | 36 | 28 | 27 | 12 | 2 | 30 | 9 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 786 | 47 | 3.5 | 1,349 | 459 | 187 | 100 | 67 | 64 | 132 | 104 | 31 | 32 | 45 | 32 | 34 | 12 | 5 | 39 | 6 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 850 | 35 | 3.5 | 1,635 | 527 | 196 | 99 | 94 | 109 | 169 | 119 | 28 | 36 | 79 | 55 | 50 | 14 | 4 | 43 | 13 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 670 | 45 | 3.4 | 1,839 | 593 | 252 | 121 | 118 | 88 | 185 | 129 | 29 | 43 | 83 | 44 | 48 | 22 | 6 | 67 | 11 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 562 | 33 | 3.5 | 1,873 | 561 | 193 | 109 | 119 | 116 | 202 | 197 | 22 | 40 | 102 | 58 | 58 | 16 | 16 | 59 | 5 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499. | 356 | 30 | 3.5 | 2,186 | 598 | 241 | 120 | 144 | 104 | 253 | 245 | 20 | 41 | 191 | 56 | 37 | 19 | 11 | 90 | 16 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 ........- | 392 | 38 | 3.7 | 2. 234 | 721 | 245 | 117 | 170 | 75 | 257 | 192 | 36 | 49 | 131 | 68 | 44 | 18 | 16 | 86 | 9 |
|  |  |  |  | Percentage of total money expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749-.-...---.-- | 608 | 26 | 3.3 | 100.0 | 45.6 | 15.7 | 10.2 | 4.0 | 3.6 | 7.0 | 0.9 | 1.5 | 2.4 | 1.8 | 2. 4 | 1.8 | 1.2 | 0.1 | 1.5 | 0.3 |
| \$750 \$999 | 814 | 41 | 3.4 | 100.0 | 38.3 | 15.6 | 8.4 | 4.1 | 5.1 | 8.3 | 2.8 | 2.0 | 2.2 | 4.7 | 2.1 | 2.3 | 1.0 | .2 | 2.4 | . 5 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 $\ldots$..----- | 846 | 46 | 3.2 | 100.0 | 33.5 | 15.6 | 7.3 | 4.4 | 5.1 | 10.5 | 6.8 | 1.6 | 2.8 | 3.1 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 1.0 | . 2 | 2.6 | . 8 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499......... | 786 | 47 | 3.5 | 100.0 | 34.0 | 13.9 | 7.4 | 5.0 | 4.7 | 9.8 | 7.7 | 2. 3 | 2.4 | 3.3 | 2.4 | 2.5 | . 9 | . 4 | 2.9 | , 4 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 .......... | 850 | 35 | 3.5 | 100.0 | 32.2 | 12.0 | 6.1 | 5.7 | 6.7 | 10.3 | 7.3 | 1.7 | 2.2 | 4.8 | 3.4 | 3.1 | . 9 | . 2 | 2.6 | . 8 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999... | 670 | 45 | 3.4 | 100.0 | 32.2 | 13.7 | 6. 6 | 6.4 | 4.8 | 10.1 | 7.1 | 1.6 | 2.3 | 4. 5 | 2.4 | 2. 6 | 1.2 | . 3 | 3.6 | . 6 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 562 | 33 | 3.5 | 100.0 | 30.0 | 10.3 | 5.8 | 6.4 | 6.2 | 10.8 | 10.4 | 1.2 | 2.1 | 5.4 | 3.1 | 3.1 | . 9 | . 9 | 3.1 | . 3 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 356 | 30 | 3.5 | 100.0 | 27.3 | 11.0 | 5.5 | 6. 6 | 4.8 | 11. 6 | 11.2 | . 9 | 1. 9 | 8. 7 | 2.6 | 1.7 | . 9 | . 5 | 4.1 | . 7 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 392 | 38 | 3.7 | 100.0 | 32.3 | 10.9 | 5.2 | 7.6 | 3.4 | 11.5 | 8.6 | 1.6 | 2.2 | 5.9 | 3.0 | 2.0 | . 8 | . 7 | 3.9 | . 4 |

See p. 145 for notes on this table.

## atlanta, ga.

Table 2.-Summary of family expenditure: Average money expenditure for specified groups of goods and services, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued


| Independent business |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | rage | ney |  |  | ars |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 130 | 33 | 3.3 | 1,348 | 452 | 233 | 111 | 99 | 33 | 111 | 62 | 20 | 29 | 56 | 34 | 30 | 14 | 3 | 54 | 7 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 178 | 29 | 3.1 | 1,428 | 455 | 264 | 102 | 116 | 31 | 105 | 58 | 39 | 34 | 88 | 26 | 43 | 16 | 6 | 42 | 3 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 196 | 37 | 3.4 | 1, 779 | 563 | 253 | 108 | 130 | 60 | 183 | 144 | 34 | 39 | 78 | 42 | 43 | 16 | 4 | 70 | 12 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 138 | 26 | 3.6 | 2, 056 | 633 | 212 | 127 | 160 | 59 | 193 | 280 | 30 | 44 | 121 | 62 | 46 | 18 | 10 | 57 | 4 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 154 | 27 | 3.5 | 2,028 | 531 | 299 | 126 | 188 | 51 | 210 | 278 | 23 | 43 | 68 | 47 | 54 | 20 | 4 | 85 |  |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 208 | 45 | 3.6 | 2, 484 | 714 | 322 | 133 | 266 | 71 | 267 | 224 | 26 | 52 | 138 | 59 | 50 | 22 | 22 | 111 | 7 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 154 | 32 | 3.6 | 2, 574 | 704 | 343 | 151 | 259 | 56 | 254 | 239 | 27 | 57 | 138 | 68 | 60 | 27 | 22 | 160 | 9 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 122 | 35 | 3.5 | 3, 158 | 844 | 422 | 158 | 315 | 123 | 364 | 370 | 34 | 65 | 95 | 103 | 34 | 29 | 17 | 182 | 3 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 104 | 43 | 3.6 | 3,407 | 814 | 408 | 176 | 410 | 92 | 416 | 373 | 33 | 71 | 151 | 108 | 67 | 35 | 27 | 210 | 16 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 156 | 33 | 3. 7 | 4,322 | 1,006 | 454 | 179 | 544 | 157 | 523 | 533 | 31 | 88 | 161 | 199 | 66 | 37 | 41 | 292 | 11 |
| \$7,500 and over | 78 | 24 | 4.0 | 6,594 | 1,389 | 596 | 241 | 770 | 257 | 769 | 581 | 66 | 111 | 352 | 256 | 99 | 51 | 126 | 808 | 122 |
| Independent business and professional |  |  |  | Percentage of total money expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 130 | 33 | 3.3 | 100.0 | 33.7 | 17.3 | 8.2 | 7.3 | 2.4 | 8.2 | 4.6 | I. 5 | 2.2 | 4.2 | 2.5 | 2.2 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 4.0 | 0.5 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 178 | 29 | 3.1 | 100.0 | 32.0 | 18.5 | 7.1 | 8.1 | 2.2 | 7.4 | 4.0 | 2.7 | 2.4 | 6.2 | 1.8 | 3.0 | 1.1 | . 4 | 2.9 | . 2 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 196 | 37 | 3.4 | 100.0 | 31.6 | 14.2 | 6.1 | 7.3 | 3.4 | 10.3 | 8.1 | 1.9 | 2. 2 | 4. 4 | 2.4 | 2.4 | . 9 | .2 | 3.9 | . 7 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 138 | 26 | 3.6 | 100.0 | 30.8 | 10.3 | 6. 2 | 7.8 | 2.9 | 9.4 | 13.6 | 1.4 | 2.1 | 5.9 | 3.0 | 2.2 | . 9 | . 5 | 2.8 | . 2 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 154 | 27 | 3.5 | 100.0 | 26.2 | 14.7 | 6.2 | 9.3 | 2.5 | 10.4 | 13.7 | 1.1 | 2.1 | 3.4 | 2.3 | 2.7 | 1.0 | . 2 | 4.2 | (*) |
| \$2,500-\$2,999. | 208 | 45 | 3.6 | 100.0 | 28.7 | 13.0 | 5.4 | 10.7 | 2.8 | 10.7 | 9.0 | 1.0 | 2.1 | 5. 6 | 2.4 | 2.0 | . 9 | . 9 | 4.5 | . 3 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 154 | 32 | 3. 6 | 100.0 | 27.4 | 13.3 | 5. 9 | 10.1 | 2.2 | 9.9 | 9.3 | 1.0 | 2.2 | 5.4 | 2.6 | 2.3 | 1.0 | . 9 | 6. 2 | . 3 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 122 | 35 | 3.5 | 100.0 | 26.7 | 13.4 | 5.0 | 10.0 | 3.9 | 11.5 | 11.7 | 1.1 | 2.0 | 3.0 | 3.3 | 1.1 | . 9 | . 5 | 5.8 | . 1 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 104 | 43 | 3. 6 | 100.0 | 23.8 | 12.0 | 5.2 | 12.0 | 2.7 | 12.2 | 10.9 | 1.0 | 2.1 | 4.4 | 3.2 | 2.0 | 1.0 | . 8 | 6.2 | . 5 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499. | 156 | 33 | 3.7 | 100.0 | 23.4 | 10.5 | 4.1 | 12.6 | 3.6 | 12.1 | 12.3 | . 7 | 2. 0 | 3.7 | 4.6 | 1. 5 | . 9 | . 9 | 6.8 | . 3 |
| \$7,500 and over. | 78 | 24 | 4.0 | 100.0 | 21.1 | 9.0 | 3.6 | 11.7 | 3.9 | 11.7 | 8.8 | 1.0 | 1.7 | 5.3 | 3.9 | 1.5 | . 8 | 1.9 | 12.3 | 1.8 |
|  |  |  |  | A verage money expenditure in dollars |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 84 | 28 | 3.5 | 1,377 | 453 | 265 | 107 | 85 | 43 | 114 | 48 | 36 | 34 | 75 | 30 | 36 | 14 | 3 | 31 | 3 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 94 | 26 | 3.1 | 1,528 | 445 | 294 | 104 | 123 | 61 | 128 | 67 | 39 | 34 | 71 | 35 | 35 | 18 | 12 | 60 | 2 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 156 | 32 | 3. 2 | 1,748 | 510 | 292 | 87 | 140 | 51 | 174 | 166 | 26 | 40 | 82 | 46 | 39 | 15 | 9 | 65 | 6 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 126 | 33 | 3.3 | 2,027 | 561 | 300 | 113 | 152 | 73 | 217 | 258 | 28 | 45 | 69 | 50 | 49 | 18 | 6 | 83 | 5 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 186 | 31 | 3.5 | 2,321 | 603 | 382 | 110 | 159 | 119 | 244 | 256 | 26 | 48 | 103 | 72 | 52 | 22 | 11 | 104 | 10 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999. | 332 | 39 | 3.3 | 2,429 | 618 | 304 | 126 | 201 | 76 | 265 | 231 | 30 | 56 | 243 | 74 | 51 | 21 | 9 | 121 | 3 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 278 | 31 | 3.4 | 2,842 | 785 | 412 | 128 | 264 | 103 | 313 | 258 | 40 | 61 | 126 | 86 | 54 | 26 | 21 | 155 | 10 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 274 | 28 | 3.6 | 3, 236 | 814 | 458 | 136 | 292 | 83 | 349 | 310 | 43 | 80 | 191 | 130 | 65 | 33 | 17 | 211 | 24 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 292 | 30 | 3.6 | 3,604 | 845 | 481 | 152 | 348 | 89 | 446 | 397 | 46 | 73 | 216 | 130 | 60 | 33 | 36 | 227 | 25 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499... | 226 | 22 | 3. 6 | 4,926 | 1,029 | 459 | 190 | 506 | 136 | 551 | 658 | 25 | 84 | 480 | 181 | 78 | 38 | 75 | 392 | 44 |
| \$7,500 and over......- | 82 | 13 | 3.5 | 7,675 | 1,603 | 590 | 221 | 724 | 211 | 767 | 456 | 109 | 152 | 1,292 | 288 | 95 | 55 | 63 | 948 | 101 |

*Average amounts of less than $\$ 1$ and percentages of less than 0.1 are not shown.

ATLANTA, GA.
Table 2.-Summary of family expenditure: Average money expenditure for specified groups of goods and services, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1935-96-Continued

| Occupational group, family type, and income class | Number of families |  | A verage num. ber of persons per family | Total | Food | Housing | Household operation |  | Fur-nishings and equipment | Clothing | Auto mobile | Other trans-portation | Personal care | Medical care | Recreation | $\begin{gathered} \text { To- } \\ \text { bacco } \end{gathered}$ | Reading | For- <br> mal education | Con-tributions and personal taxes | Other items |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\underset{\text { ble }}{\text { Eligi- }}$ | Reporting ex-penditures |  |  |  |  | Fuel, light, and refrigeration | Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1) | (2) | (3) |  | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) | (14) | (1.5) | (16) | (17) | (18) | (19) | (20) | (21) |
|  |  |  |  | Percentage of total money expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salarisd business |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 84 | 28 | 3.5 | 100.0 | 32.9 | 19.3 | 7.8 | 6.2 | 3.1 | 8.3 | 3.4 | 2.6 | 2.5 | 5.4 | 2.2 | 2.6 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 2.3 | 0.2 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 94 | 26 | 3.1 | 100.0 | 29.2 | 19.2 | 6.8 | 8.0 | 4.0 | 8.4 | 4.4 | 2.6 | 2. 2 | 4.6 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 1.2 | . 8 | 3.9 | . 1 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 156 | 32 | 3. 2 | 100.0 | 29.3 | 16.7 | 5.0 | 8.0 | 2. 9 | 10.0 | 9.4 | 1.5 | 2.3 | 4.7 | 2.6 | 2.2 | . 9 | . 5 | 3.7 | . 3 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 ......... | 126 | 33 | 3.3 | 100.0 | 27.6 | 14.7 | 5.8 | 7.5 | 3. 6 | 10.7 | 12.7 | 1. 4 | 2.2 | 3.4 | 2.5 | 2.4 | . 9 | . 3 | 4.1 | . 2 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 $\ldots \ldots . . .$. | 186 | 31 | 3.5 | 100.0 | 26.1 | 16.5 | 4.7 | 6.9 | 5.1 | 10.5 | 11. 0 | 1.1 | 2.1 | 4.4 | 3.1 | 2.2 | .9 | . 5 | 4.5 | . 4 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 $\ldots \ldots$ | 332 | 39 | 3.3 | 100.0 | 25.5 | 12.5 | 5. 2 | 8.3 | 3.1 | 10.9 | 9.5 | 1. 2 | 2.3 | 10.0 | 3.0 | 2.1 | . 9 | .4 | 5.0 | . 1 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 278 | 31 | 3.4 | 100.0 | 277 | 14.5 | 4.5 | 9.3 | 3.6 | 11.0 | 9.1 | 1.4 | 2.1 | 4.4 | 3.0 | 1.9 | . 9 | . 7 | 5.5 | . 4 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 274 | 28 | 3.6 | 100.0 | 25.2 | 14.2 | 4.2 | 9.0 | 2.6 | 10.8 | 9. 6 | 1. 3 | 2.5 | 5.9 | 4.0 | 2.0 | 1.0 | . 5 | 6.5 | . 7 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999. | 292 | 30 | 3. 6 | 100.0 | 23.4 | 13.3 | 4.2 | 9.6 | 2.5 | 12.4 | 11. 1 | 1.3 | 2.0 | 6.0 | 3.6 | 1. 7 | . 9 | 1.0 | 6.3 | . 7 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 ........- | 226 | 22 | 3. 6 | 100.0 | 20.9 | 9.3 | 3.8 | 10.3 | 2. 8 | 11.2 | 13.4 | . 5 | 1.7 | 9.7 | 3.7 | 1. 6 | . 8 | 1.5 | 7.9 | . 9 |
| \$7,500 and over | 82 | 13 | 3.5 | 100.0 | 20.9 | 7.7 | 2. 9 | 9.4 | 2.7 | 10.0 | 5. 9 | 1.4 | 2.0 | 16.9 | 3.8 | 1. 2 | . 7 | . 8 | 12.4 | 1.3 |
|  |  |  |  | A verage money expenditure in dollars |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499...--...-- | 54 | 21 | 3.2 | 1,382 | 452 | 254 | 81 | 74 | 44 | 128 | 56 | 36 | 30 | 93 | 27 | 32 | 15 | 14 | 42 | 4 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 84 | 29 | 3.3 | 1,775 | 484 | 319 | 96 | 108 | 88 | 146 | 176 | 20 | 35 | 131 | 51 | 31 | 18 | 11 | 57 | 4 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 112 | 26 | 3. 3 | 1,907 | 539 | 312 | 111 | 186 | 51 | 177 | 90 | 54 | 49 | 130 | 41 | 39 | 16 | 39 | 64 | 9 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 86 | 33 | 3.1 | 1,995 | 559 | 295 | 109 | 154 | 81 | 224 | 156 | 35 | 50 | 108 | 50 | 41 | 21 | 20 | 85 | 7 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 .......... | 102 | 29 | 3.2 | 2, 162 | 605 | 351 | 117 | 158 | 102 | 228 | 164 | 54 | 44 | 112 | 58 | 33 | 24 | 11 | 98 | 3 |


| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 140 | 37 | 3.4 | 2,493 | 703 | 350 | 123 | 215 | 86 | 280 | 231 | 40 | 59 | 131 | 77 | 36 | 25 | 18 | 110 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 108 | 33 | 3.6 | 3,007 | 739 | 411 | 132 | 282 | 117 | 299 | 356 | 26 | 66 | 152 | 144 | 47 | 31 | 48 | 139 | 18 |
| \$3,500-\$8,999 | 96 | 21 | 3. 6 | 3,000 | 716 | 380 | 132 | 269 | 108 | 343 | 416 | 38 | 66 | 197 | 91 | 43 | 24 | 28 | 138 | 11 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 70 | 30 | 3.8 | 3,649 | 889 | 479 | 155 | 379 | 133 | 422 | 281 | 70 | 75 | 222 | 141 | 57 | 42 | 49 | 245 | 10 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 44 | 19 | 3.8 | 4,386 | 1,017 | 501 | 171 | 505 | 102 | 445 | 464 | 41 | 79 | 256 | 181 | 66 | 52 | 38 | 453 | 15 |
| \$7,500 and over....... | 24 | 12 | 3.6 | 5,421 | 1,093 | 467 | 214 | 606 | 186 | 730 | 339 | 97 | 80 | 249 | 241 | 36 | 59 | 88 | 863 | 73 |
|  |  |  |  | Percentage of total money expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salaried prolessional |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 54 | 21 | 3.2 | 100.0 | 32.7 | 18.4 | 5.9 | 5.3 | 3.2 | 9.3 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.2 | 6.7 | 1.9 | 2.3 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 3.0 | 0.3 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 84 | 29 | 3.3 | 100.0 | 27.3 | 18.0 | 5.4 | 6.1 | 5. 0 | 8.2 | 9. 9 | 1.1 | 2.0 | 7.4 | 2.9 | 1.7 | 1.0 | . 6 | 3.2 | . 2 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 112 | 26 | 3. 3 | 100.0 | 28.3 | 16.4 | 5.8 | 9.8 | 2.7 | 9.3 | 4. 7 | 2.8 | 2.6 | 6.8 | 2.1 | 2.0 | . 8 | 2.0 | 3.4 | . 5 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 86 | 33 | 3.1 | 100.0 | 28.0 | 14.8 | 5.5 | 7.7 | 4.1 | 11.2 | 7.8 | 1.8 | 2.5 | 5.4 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 4.3 | . 4 |
| \$2.250-\$2,499 | 102 | 29 | 3.2 | 100.0 | 28.1 | 16.3 | 5.4 | 7.3 | 4.7 | 10.5 | 7.6 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 5.3 | 2.7 | 1.5 | 1.1 | . 5 | 4.5 | . 1 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 140 | 37 | 3.4 | 100.0 | 28.3 | 14. 1 | 4. $\dot{9}$ | 8.6 | 3.4 | 11.2 | 9.2 | 1.6 | 2.4 | 5.3 | 3.1 | 1.4 | 1. 0 | . 7 | 4. 4 | . 4 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499. | 106 | 33 | 3. 6 | 100.0 | 24.5 | 13.7 | 4.4 | 9.4 | 3.9 | 9.9 | 11.9 | . 9 | 2.2 | 5.0 | 4.8 | 1.6 | 1.0 | 1.6 | 4.6 | . 6 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 96 | 21 | 3.6 | 100. 0 | 23.8 | 12.7 | 4.4 | 9.0 | 3.6 | 11.4 | 13.9 | 1.3 | 2. 2 | 6.6 | 3.0 | 1.4 | . 8 | . 9 | 4.6 | . 4 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 70 | 30 | 3.8 | 100.0 | 24.4 | 13.1 | 4. 2 | 10.4 | 3.6 | 11.6 | 7.7 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 6.1 | 3.9 | 1.6 | 1. 2 | 1.3 | 6.7 | 3 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 44 | 19 | 3.8 | 100.0 | 23.3 | 11.5 | 3.9 | 11.5 | 2.3 | 10.1 | 10.6 | . 9 | 1.8 | 5.8 | 4.1 | 1.5 | 1. 2 | . 9 | 10.3 | 3 |
| \$7,500 and over | 24 | 12 | 3. 6 | 100.0 | 20.2 | 8.6 | 3.9 | 11.2 | 3.4 | 13.5 | 6.3 | 1.8 | 1.5 | 4.6 | 4.4 | . 7 | 1. 1 | 1.6 | 15.9 | 13 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | rage | ney e | ndit | in d | lars |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Family type: Type I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749. | 172 | 7 | 2.0 | 709 | 306 | 113 | 72 | 44 | 24 | 49 | 1 | 18 | 18 | 19 | 7 | 11 | 9 |  | 13 | 5 |
| \$750-\$999 | 329 | 15 | 2.0 | 1,000 | 320 | 191 | 73 | 49 | 57 | 90 | 20 | 24 | 23 | 51 | 31 | 31 | 12 |  | 22 | 6 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 450 | 19 | 2.0 | 1,238 | 348 | 205 | 78 | 70 | 125 | 96 | 122 | 18 | 32 | 35 | 15 | 36 | 12 | (*) | 39 | 7 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 390 | 34 | 2.0 | 1,362 | 407 | 242 | 86 | 90 | 74 | 126 | 49 | 36 | 28 | 74 | 24 | 34 | 15 | (*) | 75 | 2 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 536 | 33 | 2.0 | 1,579 | 432 | 262 | 84 | 112 | 113 | 144 | 132 | 29 | 34 | 78 | 50 | 50 | 14 | (*) | 39 | 6 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 ......... | 540 | 37 | 2.0 | 1,765 | 434 | 300 | 81 | 129 | 75 | 144 | 218 | 27 | 41 | 117 | 39 | 39 | 17 | 6 | 91 | 7 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 444 | 31 | 2.0 | 1,874 | 509 | 275 | 74 | 128 | 107 | 183 | 194 | 33 | 39 | 104 | 38 | 46 | 17 | 1 | 118 | 8 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499... | 434 | 27 | 2.0 | 2,143 | 569 | 352 | 78 | 150 | 77 | 247 | 185 | 26 | 45 | 152 | 68 | 50 | 20 |  | 113 | 11 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 494 | 40 | 2.0 | 2,263 | 594 | 318 | 101 | 164 | 67 | 247 | 186 | 42 | 53 | 204 | 63 | 42 | 26 | 5 | 149 | 2 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 ......... | 144 | 18 | 2.0 | 2,701 | 619 | 432 | 121 | 287 | 90 | 239 | 373 | 16 | 60 | 110 | 72 | 60 | 28 |  | 191 | 3 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 118 | 14 | 2.0 | 3,202 | 688 | 458 | 98 | 221 | 110 | 351 | 378 | 41 | 77 | 233 | 86 | 77 | 26 | 4 | 346 | 8 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 98 | 17 | 2.0 | 3,405 | 668 | 549 | 125 | 333 | 71 | 403 | 488 | 50 | 71 | 208 | 120 | 74 | 36 |  | 193 | 16 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499. | 86 | 16 | 2.0 | 4,350 | 850 | 526 | 144 | 519 | 77 | 500 | 571 | 41 | 85 | 268 | 182 | 58 | 50 | 3 | 467 | 9 |
| \$7,500 and over .....-- | 48 | 10 | 2.0 | 7,513 | 1,366 | 461 | 231 | 644 | 156 | $62^{-}$ | 340 | 153 | 145 | 1,576 | 196 | 64 | 50 | 1 | 1,475 | 30 |

*A verage amounts of less than $\$ 1$ and percentages of less than 0.1 are not shown.

ATLANTA, GA.
Table 2.-Summary of family expenditure: Average money expenditure for specified groups of goods and services, by occupation, family

| Occupational group, family type, and income class | Number of families |  | Average ber of persons family | Total | Food | Hous- | Household operation |  | Furnish ings equipment | Cloth ing | Auto. mobile <br> (12) | Other transporta tion | Personal care | Medical care | Recreation <br> (16) | Tobacco | Reading <br> (18) | Formal education <br> (19) | Con-tributions personal taxes | Other items <br> (21) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\underset{\text { ble }}{\text { Eligi- }}$ <br> (2) | Reporting ex-penditures |  |  |  |  | Fuel, light, and rerrig- <br> (8) | Other <br> (9) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Percentage of total money expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-5749.. | 172 | 7 | 2.0 | 100.0 | 43.2 | 15.9 |  | 6.2 | 3.4 | 6.9 | 0.1 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.7 | 1.0 | 1.6 | . 3 |  |  | 0.7 |
| \$750-\$999 | 320 | 15 | 2.0 | 100.0 | 32.0 | 19.1 | 7.3 | 4.9 | 5.7 | 9.0 | 2.0 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 5.1 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 1.2 |  | 2.2 |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 450 | 19 | 2.0 | 100.0 | 28.1 | 16.5 | 6.3 | 5.6 | 10.1 | 7.8 | 9.9 | 1.4 | 2.6 | 2.8 | 1.2 | 2.9 | 1.0 | () | 3.2 | . 6 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 390 | 34 | 2.0 | 100.0 | 29.9 | 17.8 | 6.3 | 6.6 | 5.4 | 9.3 | 3.6 | 2.6 | 2.1 | 5.4 | 1.8 | 2.5 | 1.1 | (*) | 5.5 | . 1 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 536 | 33 | 2.0 | 100.0 | 27.3 | 16.6 | 5.3 | 7.1 | 7.2 | 9.1 | 8.3 | 1.8 | 2.2 | 4.9 | 3.2 | 3.2 | . 9 | (*) | 2.5 | . 4 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 540 | 37 | 2.0 | 100.0 | 24.6 | 17.0 | 4.6 | 7.3 | 4.3 | 8.2 | 12.3 | 1.5 | 2.3 | 6.6 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 1.0 |  | 5.2 | . 4 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 444 | 31 27 | 2.0 2.0 | 100.0 100.0 | 24.2 26.6 | 14.7 16.5 18 | 4.0 3.6 | 6.8 7.0 | 5.7 3.6 | 8.8 11.5 | 12.3 10.3 8.6 | 1.8 1.2 | 2.1 2.1 | ${ }^{5.6}$ | 2.0 3.2 | 2. 2.3 | . 9 | (*) | 6.3 5.3 | .4 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 494 | 40 | 2.0 | 100.0 | 26.2 | 14.1 | 4.5 | 7.2 | 3.0 | 10.9 | 8.2 | 1.9 | 2.3 | 9.0 | 2.8 | 1.9 | 1.1 | . ${ }^{-}$ | 6.6 | .1 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 144 | 18 | 2.0 | 100.0 | 22.9 | 16.0 | 4.5 | 10.7 | 3.3 | 8.8 | 13.8 | . 6 | 2.2 | 4.1 | 2.7 | 2.2 | 1.0 |  | 7.1 | . 1 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 118 |  | 2.0 | 100.0 | 21.5 | 14.3 | 3.1 | 6.9 | 3.4 | 11.0 | 11.8 | 1.3 | 2.4 | 7.3 | 2.7 | 2.4 | . 8 | . 1 | 10.8 |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 98 | 17 | 2.0 | 100.0 | 19.6 | 16.1 | 3.7 | 9.8 | 2.1 | 11.8 | 14.3 | 1.5 | 2.1 | 6.1 | 3.5 | 2.2 | 1.0 |  | 5.7 | . 5 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499.. | 86 | 16 | 2.0 | 100.0 | 19.5 | 12.1 | 3.3 | 11.9 | 1.8 | 11.5 | 13.2 | . 9 | 2.0 | 6.2 | 4.2 | 1.3 | 1.1 |  | 10.7 | . 2 |
| \$7,600 and over.....- | 48 | 10 | 2.0 | 100.0 | 18.2 | 6.1 | 3.1 | 8.6 | 2.1 | 8.3 | 4.6 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 21.0 | 2.6 | . 8 | . 7 | (*) | 19.6 | . 4 |
| Types II and III |  |  |  | Average money expenditure in dollars |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749.. | 238 | 9 | 3.4 | 619 | 281 | 105 | 67 |  |  | 39 | 9 |  |  |  |  | 14 | 6 |  |  |  |
| \$750-8999... | 470 | 32 | 3.5 | 924 | 370 | 125 | 79 | 39 | 33 | 80 | 34 | 13 | 24 | 32 | 23 | 30 | 11 | 2 | 26 | 3 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 580 | 34 | 3.4 | 1,166 | 390 | 186 | 87 | 47 | 54 | 132 | 72 | ${ }_{26}^{26}$ | 29 | ${ }^{36}$ | 33 | ${ }_{34}^{26}$ | 12 | ${ }^{2}$ | ${ }_{39}^{26}$ | 8 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 640 | 75 | 3.5 | 1,387 | 453 | 200 | 103 | 70 | 64 | 135 | 107 | 26 | 33 | 57 | 42 | 34 | 13 | 8 | 39 | 8 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 ---.-...- | 692 | 59 | 3.5 | 1,605 | 497 | 231 | 106 | 104 | 79 | 155 | 122 | 28 | 37 | 69 | 51 | 50 | 17 |  | 45 |  |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 720 | 68 | 3.5 | 1,886 | 563 | 287 | 107 | 144 | 68 | 189 | 151 | 25 | 43 | 94 | 58 | 47 | 21 | 9 | 72 | 8 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 540 | 73 | 3.5 | 2,026 | 590 | 276 | 116 | 136 | 111 | 217 | 198 | 19 | 42 | 127 | 56 | 48 | 21 | 6 | 54 | 9 |



## ATLANTA, GA.

Table 2.-Summary of family expenditure: Average money expenditure for specified groups of goods and services, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1985-36-Continued

| Occupational group, family type, and income class | Number of families |  |  | Total | Food | Housing | Household operation |  | Fur-nishings and equipment <br> (10) | Clothing <br> (11) | Auto-mobile <br> (12) | Other trans-portation | Personal care <br> (14) | Medical care <br> (15) | Recreation <br> (16) | Tobacco <br> (17) | Reading <br> (18) | Formal education | Con-tributions and personal taxes | Other items <br> (21) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eligible | Reporting ex-penditures |  |  |  |  | Fuel, light, and refrigeration | Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Percentage of total money expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749. | 198 | 10 | 4.3 | 100.0 | 47.5 | 14.1 | 9.6 | 3.5 | 3.8 | 8.2 | 1.2 | 1.7 | 2.3 | 0.9 | 2.3 | 1.6 | 1. 2 | 0.4 | 1.6 | 0.1 |
| \$750-\$999. | 364 | 23 | 4.4 | 100.0 | 39.7 | 16.6 | 9.7 | 4. 5 | 4.1 | 8.6 | 1.4 | 2.7 | 2.2 | 4.2 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.0 | . 3 | 1.7 | . 4 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 $\ldots$....... | 362 | 32 | 4.5 | 100.0 | 38.8 | 13.9 | 8.2 | 3.9 | 3.5 | 11.0 | 4. 7 | 1.7 | 2. 5 | 3. 0 | 2.6 | 1.9 | 1.1 | . 4 | 1.7 | 1.1 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 482 | 50 | 4.3 | 100.0 | 34. 2 | 15.6 | 7.3 | 4.9 | 3.6 | 10.2 | 5. 5 | 2.9 | 2. 6 | 4. 6 | 1.8 | 3. 0 | . 9 | .4 | 1.9 | . 6 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 $\ldots$......-- | 608 | 70 | 4.4 | 100.0 | 34.7 | 12.8 | 7.2 | 5. 4 | 4.1 | 10.0 | 5. 7 | 2.4 | 2.2 | 5.4 | 2.6 | 2.1 | . 8 | . 8 | 3.0 | . 8 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 664 | 71 | 4.3 | 100.0 | 34.2 | 13.6 | 6.8 | 6.8 | 3.7 | 11.2 | 5.8 | 3.0 | 2.4 | 3.4 | 2.3 | 1.8 | . 9 | . 7 | 2.7 | . 7 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249........-- | 652 | 57 | 4.4 | 100.0 | 30.9 | 12.7 | 6.2 | 6.4 | 3. 2 | 10.7 | 9. 6 | 1.9 | 2.3 | 4. 3 | 2.9 | 2.3 | . 9 | 1.8 | 2. 9 | 1.0 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 $\ldots \ldots$ | 516 | 66 | 4. 4 | 100.0 | 29.8 | 12.1 | 6. 5 | 7.0 | 2.9 | 12.0 | 10.7 | 1.6 | 2. 3 | 4.2 | 2.6 | 2.2 | . 9 | . 5 | 3.5 | 1.2 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 $\ldots \ldots . . .$. | 794 | 72 | 4.5 | 100.0 | 30.7 | 11.4 | 5.6 | 8.0 | 3.5 | 10.6 | 9.1 | 1.9 | 2.1 | 6.7 | 2.5 | 1.9 | . 8 | 1.0 | 3.4 | . 8 |
| \$3,500-\$3,499 $\ldots \ldots . . .$. | 222 | 47 | 4.5 | 100.0 | 29.6 | 11.7 | 5.6 | 9.5 | 3.6 | 10.8 | 8.4 | 1.7 | 2.0 | 4.6 | 2.6 | 1. 7 | . 9 | 1.7 | 4.9 | . 7 |
| \$3,500 $\$ 3,999 \ldots \ldots .$. | 212 | 29 | 4.5 | 100.0 | 28.1 | 13.0 | 5.0 | 9.0 | 2.2 | 12.1 | 10.2 | 1.4 | 2.5 | 4. 3 | 3.6 | 1.6 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 4.1 | . 8 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 222 | 49 | 4.5 | 100.0 | 25.3 | 11.9 | 4.7 | 9.9 | 2.7 | 12.9 | 8.4 | 1.5 | 2.2 | 5.7 | 4.0 | 1. 6 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 6. 1 | . 7 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 236 | 33 | 4. 4 | 100.0 | 22.9 | 8.9 | 4.2 | 10.2 | 3.3 | 11.8 | 13.0 | ${ }^{.6}$ | 1.8 | 8. 1 | 3.6 | 1.8 | . 8 | 1.4 | 6.7 | 9 |
| \$7,500 and over | 100 | 21 | 4.6 | 100.0 | 22.8 | 9.2 | 3.2 | 11.0 | 3.5 | 12.2 | 8.1 | 1.1 | 1. 7 | 6.1 | 4.5 | 1.6 | . 8 | 2.2 | 9.7 | 2.3 |

## ATLANTA, GA.

Table 3.-Food: Average value of all family food, money expenditure for food at home and away from home, average value of food home-produced or received as gift or pay, and money expense per meal per food expenditure unit, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1935-36
[White nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Occupational } \\ & \text { group, family } \\ & \text { type, and income } \text { class } \end{aligned}$ | Number of families |  | A verage value of all family food | Average expenditure for food purchased |  |  | Percentage of expenditure for food |  | Average value of food home-produced or received or pay <br> (10) | Average money expendimeal per food expenditure? unit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eligible | Reporting er-penditures |  | All | $\begin{gathered} \text { At } \\ \text { home } \end{gathered}$ | Away from home ${ }^{1}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { At } \\ \text { home } \end{gathered}$ | Away from home |  |  |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) |  |  |
| All families |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 608 | 26 | \$308 | \$303 | \$292 | $\$ 11$ | 96.4 | 3.6 | \$5 | \$0.094 |
| \$750-\$999 | 1,154 | 70 | 376 | 368 | 338 | 30 | 96.7 | 3.3 | 8 | . 113 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 1,392 | 85 | 411 | 389 | 350 | 39 | 91.8 | 8.2 | 22 | . 124 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 1,512 | 159 | 461 | 448 | 392 | 56 | 87.5 | 12.5 | 13 | . 135 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 1,836 | 162 | 502 | 492 | 425 | 67 | 86.4 | 13.6 | 10 | . 144 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 1,924 | 176 | 563 | 549 | 462 | 87 | 84.1 | 15.9 | 14 | . 158 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 1,636 | 161 | 592 | 586 | 478 | 108 | 81.6 | 18.4 | 6 | . 164 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 1, 408 | 151 | ${ }^{631}$ | 612 | 519 | 93 | 84.8 | 15.2 | 19 | . 181 |
| \$2,500- \$2,999 | 1,818 | 192 | 706 | 683 | 564 | 129 | 81.0 | 19.0 | 23 | . 186 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | ${ }^{5} 58$ | 96 | 768 | 753 | 604 | 149 | 80.2 | 19.8 | 15 | . 180 |
| \$2,500-\$3,999... | 492 | 84 | 827 | 802 | 633 | 169 | 78.9 | 21.1 | 25 | . 197 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 466 | 103 | 887 | 845 | 674 | 171 | 79.8 | 20.2 | 42 | . 201 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 426 | 74 | 1, 055 | 1, 019 | 821 | 198 | 80.6 | 19.4 | 36 | . 212 |
| \$7,500 and over | 184 | 49 | 1,480 | 1,446 | 1,144 | 302 | 79.1 | 20.9 | 34 | . 290 |
| Occupationalgroup: Wage earner |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-8749. | 608 | 26 | 308 | 303 | 292 | 11 | 96.4 | 3.6 |  | . 094 |
| \$750-\$999. | 814 | 41 | 385 | 377 | 343 | 34 | 91.0 | 9.0 | 8 | . 116 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 846 | 46 | 407 | 390 | 347 | 43 | 89.0 | 11.0 | 17 | . 127 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 786 | 47 | 466 | 459 | 407 | 52 | 88.7 | 11.3 | 7 | . 133 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 850 | 35 | 535 | 527 | 450 | 77 | 85.4 | 14.6 | 8 | . 150 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 670 | 45 | ${ }_{607}$ | 593 | 510 | 83 | 86.0 | 14.0 | 14 | . 164 |
| \$2,600-\$2,249 | 562 | 33 | 567 | 561 | 464 | 97 | 82.7 | 17.3 | 6 | . 163 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 356 | 30 | 612 | 598 | 518 | 80 | 86.6 | 13.4 | 14 | . 169 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 392 | 38 | 727 | 721 | 585 | 136 | 81.1 | 18.9 | 6 | . 192 |
| Clerical |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 500-\$ 749 \ldots$ | 340 | 299 | 358 | 349 | 327 | 22 | 93.7 | 8.3 | 9 | 108 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 546 | 39 | 417 | 387 | 354 | 33 | 91.5 | 8.5 | 30 | . 120 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 458 | 30 | 451 | 428 | 354 | 74 | 82.7 | 17.3 | 23 | . 139 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 630 | 43 | 474 | 463 | 405 | 58 | 87.5 | 12.5 | 11 | . 137 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 $\ldots . .$. | 790 | 36 | 531 | 518 | 427 | 91 | 82.4 | 17.6 | 13 | 146 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 724 | 36 | 604 | 603 | 487 | 116 | 81.0 | 19.0 | 1 | 164 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 610 | 34 | 667 | 645 | 543 | 102 | 84.2 | 15.8 | 22 | . 198 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 746 | 33 | 717 | 680 | 546 | 134 | 80.0 | 20.0 | 37 | . 185 |
| Independent business and professional |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 $\ldots$.... | 130 | 33 | 467 | 452 | 422 | 30 | 93.4 | 6.6 | 15 | . 134 |
| \$1, 500-\$1,749 $\ldots$ - | 178 | 29 | 473 | 455 | 401 | 54 | 88.1 | 11.9 | 18 | 141 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 196 | 37 | 577 | 563 | 470 | 93 | 83.5 | 16.5 | 14 | . 164 |
| \$2, 000-\$2, 249 $\ldots-\ldots$ | 138 | 26 | 641 | 633 | 500 | 133 | 79.0 | 21.0 |  | . 158 |
| \$2, 250-\$2, 499 $\ldots-\ldots-$ | 154 | 27 | 550 | 531 | 474 | 57 | 89.3 | 10.7 | 19 | . 152 |
| \$2,500-52,999 $\ldots$...- | 208 | 45 | 726 | 714 | 599 | 115 | 83.9 | 16.1 | 12 | . 175 |
| \$3,000-\$3, 499...... | 154 | 32 | 711 | 704 | 583 | 121 | 82.8 | 17.2 | 7 | . 174 |
| \$3, 500-\$3, 999 $\ldots$.... | 122 | 35 | 851 | 844 | 668 | 178 | 78.9 | 21.1 |  | . 198 |
| \$4,000-\$4, 999-.... | 104 | 43 | 828 | 814 | ${ }^{637}$ | 177 | 78.2 | 21.8 | 14 | 189 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499-....- | 158 | 33 | 1,030 | 1,006 | 806 | 200 353 | 80.1 | 19.9 | 24 | ${ }^{213}$ |
| \$7, 500 and over-...- | 78 | 24 | 1,399 | 1,389 | 1,036 | 353 | 74.6 | 25.4 | 10 | 237 |

See p. 145 for notes on this table.

## ATLLANTA, GA.

Table 3.-Food: Average value of all family food, money expenditure for food at home and away from home, average value of food home-produced or received as gift or pay, and money expense per meal per food expenditure unit, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1995-36-Continued


ATLANTA, GA.
Table 3.-Food: Average value of all family food, money expenditure for food at home and away from home, average value of food home-produced or received as gift or pay, and money expense per meal per food expenditure unit, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued

| Occupational group, family type, and income class <br> (1) | Number of families |  | A verage value of all family food | Average expenditure for food purchased |  |  | Percentage of expenditure for food |  | Average value of food home-produced or received as gift or pay <br> (10) | Average money expenditure per meal per food expenditure unit <br> (11) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eligible | Reporting ex-penditures |  | All | $\underset{\text { home }}{\text { At }}$ | Away from home | At home | Away from home |  |  |
|  | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) |  |  |
| Types II and IIT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749. | 238 | 9 | \$286 | \$281 | \$270 | \$11 | 96.1 | 3.9 | \$5 | \$0.083 |
| \$750-8999 | 470 | 32 | 380 | 370 | 345 | 25 | 93.2 | 6.8 | 10 | . 108 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 580 | 34 | 413 | 390 | 361 | 29 | 92.6 | 7.4 | 23 | 116 |
| \$1,260-\$1,499 | 640 | 75 | 462 | 453 | 390 | 63 | 86.1 | 13. 9 | 9 | 130 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 $\ldots . . .-{ }^{-}$ | 692 | 59 | 506 | 497 | 444 | 53 | 89.3 | 10.7 | 9 | 138 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 720 | 68 | 580 | 563 | 476 | 87 | 84.5 | 15.5 | 17 | . 158 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 540 | 73 | 597 | 590 | 506 | 84 | 85.8 | 14. 2 | 6 | . 158 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 $\ldots$ | 458 | 58 | 657 | 621 | 530 | 91 | 85.3 | 14.7 | 36 | 164 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999. | 530 | 80 | 667 | 651 | 547 | 104 | 83.7 | 16.3 | 16 | . 170 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 ...... | 172 | 31 | 765 | 744 | 617 | 127 | 82.9 | 17.1 | 21 | . 184 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 $\ldots$...-- | 162 | 41 | 798 | 778 | 654 | 124 | 84.1 | 15.9 | 20 | . 181 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 146 | 37 | 877 | 829 | 656 | 173 | 79.1 | 20.9 | 48 | . 199 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 104 | 25 | 1, 029 | 1,007 | 824 | 183 | 81.8 | 18.2 | 22 | . 212 |
| \$7,500 and over .-.. | 36 | 18 | 1,303 | 1,274 | 974 | 300 | 76.5 | 23.5 | 29 | . 226 |
| Types IV and V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 -----.--- | 198 | 10 | 328 | 326 | 321 | 5 | 98.5 | 1. 5 | 2 | . 069 |
| \$750-\$999. | 364 | 23 | 418 | 410 | 377 | 33 | 92.0 | 8.0 | 8 | . 090 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 362 | 32 | 465 | 437 | 409 | 28 | 92.6 | 6.4 | 28 | . 098 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499...... | 482 | 50 | 497 | 476 | 425 | 51 | 89.3 | 10.7 | 21 | . 107 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 608 | 70 | 556 | 539 | 474 | 65 | 88.0 | 12.0 | 17 | . 118 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 664 | 71 | 647 | 628 | 530 | 98 | 84.4 | 15.6 | 19 | 138 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 652 | 57 | 640 | 633 | 541 | 92 | 85.5 | 14.5 | 7 | 133 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 $\ldots$. . - | 516 | 66 | 656 | 641 | 542 | 99 | 84.6 | 15.4 | 15 | . 138 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 794 | 72 | 799 | 761 | 630 | 131 | 82.8 | 17. 2 | 38 | . 151 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 222 | 47 | $86 \bar{\square}$ | 847 | 686 | 161 | 81.0 | 19.0 | 18 | . 152 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 $\ldots$.-.--- | 212 | 29 | 904 | 884 | 709 | 175 | 80.2 | 19.8 | 20 | . 170 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 222 | 49 | 977 | 933 | 754 | 179 | 80.8 | 19.2 | 44 | . 172 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 236 | 33 | 1,129 | 1,086 | 872 | 214 | 80.3 | 19.7 | 43 | . 186 |
| \$7,500 and over...- | 100 | 21 | 1,594 | 1,546 | 1,158 | 388 | 74.9 | 25.1 | 48 | . 245 |

## ATLANTA, GA.

Table 4.-Housing: Average value of housing secured with and without money expenditure, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1935-36
[White nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]

| Occupational group, family type, and income class <br> (1) | Number of families |  | A ver-agevalueof allhousingplusfuel,fight,and re-friger-ation(4) | Average pense for fuel, light, and refrig eration | Average value of all hous ing | A verage value of housing secured ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Elit- } \\ \text { gible } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Re- } \\ \text { port- } \\ \text { ing ex- } \\ \text { pend- } \\ \text { tures } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | With money expenditure |  |  | Without money expenditure |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | hous | Fam |  | Total | Owned home |  |  |
|  | (2) | (3) |  |  |  | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) |  |
| All families |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749. | 608 | 26 | \$178 | \$68 | \$109 | \$105 | \$105 |  | $\$ 4$ | \$4 |  | 3.7 |
| \$750-\$999. | 1,154 | 70 | 252 | 84 | 165 | 158 | 158 | (*) | 7 | 7 |  | 4.2 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 1,392 | 85 | 291 | 85 | 204 | 185 | 184 |  |  | 19 | ${ }^{*}$ *) | 9. 3 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499... | 1,512 | 159 | 339 | 98 | 239 | 215 | 215 | (*) | 24 | 24 | (*) | 10.0 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 1,836 | 162 | 378 | 101 | 275 | 229 | 228 | 1 | 46 | 46 |  | 16.7 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 1,924 | 176 | 435 | 106 | 328 | 278 | 276 | 2 | 50 | 48 | \$2 | 15.2 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 1,636 | 161 | 445 | 110 | 334 | 270 | 267 | 3 | 84 | 63 | 1 | 19.2 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 1,408 | 151 | 508 | 115 | 392 | 322 | 320 | 2 | 70 | 69 | 1 | 17.9 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999.... | 1,818 | 192 | 529 | 125 | 403 | 295 | 290 | 5 | 108 | 96 | 12 | 26.8 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499.... | 538 | 96 | 635 | 135 | 499 | 392 | 385 | 7 | 107 | 103 | 4 | 21.4 |
| \$3,500-\$3.999 | 492 | 84 | 736 | 141 | 594 | 434 | 423 | 11 | 160 | 156 | 4 | 26.9 |
| \$4,000-\$4,099 | 466 | 103 | 799 | 158 | 639 | 465 | 449 | 16 | 174 | 169 |  | 27.2 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 426 | 74 | 920 | 184 | 734 | 462 | 434 | 28 | 272 | 237 | 35 | 37.1 |
| \$7,500 and over- | 184 | 49 | 1,380 | 229 | 1,150 | 576 | 505 | 71 | 574 | 554 | 20 | 49.9 |
| Occupational group: Wage earner |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749..-- | 608 | 26 | 178 | 68 | 109 | 105 | 105 |  |  | 4 |  | 3.7 |
| \$750-\$999 | 814 | 41 | 247 | 83 | 161 | 154 | 154 |  | 7 | 7 |  | 4.3 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 846 | 46 | ${ }^{281}$ | 85 | 195 | 182 | 182 | ${ }^{*}$ * | 13 | 13 | (*) | 6.7 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 786 | 47 | 319 | 100 | 217 | 187 | 187 | (*) | 30 | 30 |  | 13.8 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 850 | 35 | 345 | 99 | 245 | 196 | 195 | 1 | 49 | 49 |  | 20.0 |
| \$1,750-\$1,909 | 670 | 45 | 414 | 121 | 291 | 252 | 250 | 2 | 39 | 39 |  | 13.4 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 562 | ${ }^{33}$ | 393 | 109 | 282 | 193 | 188 | 5 | 89 | 89 |  | 31.6 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 356 | 30 | 497 | 120 | 376 | 241 | 240 | 1 | 135 | 135 |  | 35.9 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 ...- | 392 | 38 | 475 | 117 | 356 | 245 | 242 | 3 | 111 | 111 |  | 31.3 |
| Clerical |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$999. | 340 | 29 | 261 | 85 | 173 | 168 | 168 | (*) | 5 | 5 |  | 2.9 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 ... | 546 | 39 | 303 | 85 | 216 | 188 | 186 |  | 28 | 28 |  | 13.0 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 .... | 458 | 30 | 355 | 93 | 261 | 249 | 248 | 1 | 12 | 12 |  | 4. 6 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749.... | 630 | 43 | 392 | 105 | 285 | 243 | 242 | 1 | 42 | 42 |  | 14.7 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 $\ldots$ | 790 | 36 | 446 | 95 | 350 | 299 | 296 | 3 | 51 | 51 |  | 14.6 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 ..- | 724 | 36 | 465 | 106 | 358 | 331 | 331 |  | 27 | 27 |  | 10.5 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 610 | 34 | 508 | 111 | 396 | 353 | 350 | 3 | 43 | 43 | 16 | 10.9 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 $\ldots$ | 746 | 33 | 535 | 129 | 403 | 299 | 293 | 6 | 104 | 88 | 16 | 23.1 |
| Independent business and protessional |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-81,499 | 130 | 33 | 372 | 111 | 259 | 233 | 232 | 1 | 26 | 26 |  | 10.0 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 ...- | 178 | 29 | 432 | 102 | 328 | 264 | 264 |  | 64 | 64 |  | 19.5 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 196 | 37 | 434 | 108 | 324 | 253 | 252 | 1 | 71 | 70 | 1 | 21.9 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 138 | 26 | 482 | 127 | 354 | 212 | 207 | 5 | 142 | 142 |  | 40.1 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 154 | 27 | 508 | 126 | 379 | 299 | 298 | 1 | 80 | 80 |  | 21. 1 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 208 | 45 | 600 | 133 | 465 | 322 | 310 | 12 | 143 | 103 | 40 | 30.8 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 154 | 32 | 707 | 151 | 554 | 343 | 331 | 12 | 211 | 211 |  | 38.1 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999.... | 122 | 35 | 769 | 158 | 609 | 422 | 406 | 16 | 187 | 186 | 1 | 30.7 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999.... | 104 | 43 | 883 | 176 | 704 | 408 | 389 | 19 | 296 | 296 |  | 42.0 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 156 | 33 | 975 | 179 | 793 | 454 | 425 | 29 | 339 | 339 |  | 42.7 |
| \$7,500 and over- | 78 | 24 | 1,337 | 241 | 11,095 | 596 | 493 | 103 | 499 | 499 |  | 45.6 |

See p. 145 for notes on this table.
${ }^{*}$ Average amounts of less than $\$ 1$ and percentages of less than 0.1 are not shown.

## ATLANTA, GA.

Table 4.-Housing: Average value of housing secured with and without money expenditure, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Con.

| Occupational group, family type, and income class <br> (1) | Number of families |  | Aver-agevalueof allhousingplusfuel,light,and re-friger-ation(4) |  | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { A ver- } \\ \text { age } \\ \text { aalue } \\ \text { of all } \\ \text { hous- } \\ \text { ing } \\ \\ \text { (6) } \end{array}$ | A verage value of housing secured |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Eli- } \\ & \text { gible } \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{Re}-$ porting ex-penditures |  |  |  | With money expenditure |  |  | Without money expenditure |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | hous- | ily | hous- | Total | Owned home | Rent |  |
|  | (2) | (3) |  |  |  | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) |  |
| Salaried business |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 84 | 28 | \$380 | \$107 | \$271 | \$265 | \$264 | \$1 | \$6 | $\$ 6$ |  | 2.2 |
| \$1,500- \$1,749 | 94 | 26 | 438 | 104 | 332 | 294 | 293 | 1 | 38 | 38 |  | 11.4 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 $\ldots$ | 156 | 32 | 423 | 87 | 336 | 292 | 290 | 2 | 44 | 44 |  | 13.1 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 126 | 33 | 490 | 113 | 376 | 300 | 298 | 2 | 76 | 76 |  | 20.2 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 $\ldots$ | 186 | 31 | 527 | 110 | 415 | 382 | 350 | 2 | 33 | 32 | \$1 | 7.9 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 $\ldots$ | 332 | 39 | 546 | 126 | 418 | 304 | 303 | 1 | 114 | 108 | 6 | 27.3 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 278 | 31 | 587 | 128 | 458 | 412 | 408 | 4 | 46 | 46 |  | 10.0 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 274 | 28. | 736 | 136 | 599 | 458 | 450 | 8 | 141 | 141 |  | 23.5 |
| \$4,000-\$4,099 | 292 | 30 | 769 | 152 | 615 | 481 | 467 | 14 | 134 | 134 |  | 21.8 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 226 | 22 | 899 | 190 | 707 | 459 | 434 | 25 | 248 | 183 | 65 | 35.1 |
| \$7,500 and over- | 82 | 13 | 1,419 | 221 | 1,198 | 590 | 550 | 40 | 608 | 579 | 29 | 50.8 |
| Salaried protessional |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 54 | 21 | 387 | 81 | 305 | 254 | 253 | 1 | 51 | 49 | 2 | 16.7 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 84 | 29 | 432 | 96 | 332 | 319 | 317 | 2 | 13 | 13 |  | 3.9 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 112 | 26 | 497 | 111 | 385 | 312 | 311 | 1 | 73 | 42 | 31 | 19.0 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 86 | 33 | 477 | 109 | 367 | 295 | 288 | 7 | 72 | 59 | 13 | 19.6 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 102 | 29 | 530 | 117 | 412 | 351 | 349 | 2 | 61 | 45 | 16 | 14.8 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999.... | 140 | 37 | 526 | 123 | 402 | 350 | 346 | 4 | 52 | 52 |  | 12.9 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 106 | 33 | 661 | 132 | 527 | 411 | 404 | 7 | 116 | 97 | 19 | 22.0 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 96 | 21 | 696 | 132 | 562 | 380 | 366 | 14 | 182 | 164 | 18 | 32.4 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 70 | 30 | 794 | 155 | 637 | 479 | 461 | 18 | 158 | 122 | 36 | 24.8 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 44 | 19 | 830 | 171 | 658 | 501 | 463 | 38 | 157 | 157 |  | 23.9 |
| \$7,500 and over | 24 | 12 | 1,378 | 214 | 1, 163 | 467 | 389 | 78 | 696 | 642 | 54 | 59.8 |
| Family type: Type I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-8749.- | 172 | 7 | 200 | 72 | 127 | 113 | 113 |  | 14 | 14 |  | 11.0 |
| \$750-\$999 | 320 | 15 | 279 | 73 | 203 | 191 | 191 |  | 12 | 12 |  | 5.9 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 450 | 19 | 308 | 78 | 229 | 205 | 205 |  | 24 | 24 |  | 10.5 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 $\ldots$ | 390 | 34 | 364 | 86 | 276 | 242 | 241 | 1 | 34 | 34 | (*) | 12.3 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 ..-- | 536 | 33 | 385 | 84 | 300 | 262 | 261 | 1 | 38 | 38 |  | 12.7 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 540 | 37 | 446 | 81 | 364 | 300 | 298 | 2 | 64 | 61 | 3 | 17.6 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 444 | 31 | 405 | 74 | 330 | 275 | ${ }_{351}^{274}$ | 1 | ${ }_{50}^{55}$ | 55 |  | 16.7 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 434 | 27 40 | 481 | 101 | 4392 | ${ }_{318}^{352}$ | 351 314 | 1 4 | 50 79 | ${ }_{68} 80$ | 11 | 12.4 19.9 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 144 | 18 | 652 | 121 | 530 | 432 | 419 | 13 | 98 | 98 |  | 18.5 |
| \$3,500-\$8,999 | 118 | 14 | 654 | 98 | 556 | 458 | 437 | 21 | 98 | 98 |  | 17.6 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 98 | 17 | 800 | 125 | 674 | 549 | 540 | 9 | 125 | 125 |  | 18.6 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 86 | 16 | 1,016 | 144 | 870 | 526 | 497 | 29 | 344 | 344 |  | 39.6 |
| \$7,500 and over_ | 48 | 10 | 1,495 | 231 | 1,264 | 461 | 447 | 14 | 803 | 803 |  | 63.5 |

*Average amounts of less than $\$ 1$ and percentages of less than 0.1 are not shown.
atLanta, GA.
Table 4.-Housing: Average value of housing secured with and without money expenditure, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Con.

| Occupational group, family type, and income class <br> (1) | Number of families |  | Average value of all housing plus fuel, light, and re-frigeration | Average expense for fuel, light, and refrig-eration | Average value of all housjng | Average value of housing secured |  |  |  |  |  | Percentage of housing value secured without money expenditure |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eligible | Re-porting ex-penditures |  |  |  | With money expenditure |  |  | Without money expenditure |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | All |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | housing | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fam- } \\ & \text { ily } \\ & \text { home } \end{aligned}$ | housing | Total | Owned home | as pay or gift |  |
|  | (2) | (3) |  |  |  | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) |  |
| Types II and III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 238 | 9 | \$173 | \$67 | \$105 | \$105 | \$105 |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$999 | 470 | 32 | 207 | 79 | 126 | 125 | 125 | (*) | \$1 | \$1 |  | 0.8 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 580 | 34 | 281 | 87 | 192 | 186 | 186 | (*) | 6 | 5 | \$1 | 3.1 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 640 | 75 | 317 | 103 | 213 | 200 | 200 | (*) | 13 | 13 |  | 6.1 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 692 | 59 | 367 | 106 | 259 | 231 | 231 | (*) | 28 | 28 |  | 10.8 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 720 | 68 | 415 | 107 | 307 | 287 | 287 | (*) | 20 | 20 |  | 6.5 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 540 | 73 | 447 | 116 | 330 | 276 | 275 | \$1 | 54 | 54 |  | 20.5 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499...- | 458 | 58 | 527 | 122 | 403 | 365 | 363 | 2 | 38 | 37 | 1 | 9.4 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 530 | 80 | 524 | 126 | 395 | 290 | 286 | 4 | 105 | 87 | 18 | 24.9 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 172 | 31 | 631 | 116 | 514 | 433 | 424 | 9 | 81 | 81 |  | 15.8 |
| \$3,500-83,999 $\ldots$ | 162 | 41 | 791 | 151 | 638 | 447 | 439 | 8 | 191 | 191 | (*) | 29.9 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 146 | 37 | 769 | 157 | 611 | 449 | 437 | 12 | 162 | 151 | 11 | 26.5 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 104 | 25 | 1,030 | 184 | 843 | 499 | 480 | 19 | 344 | 202 | 142 | 40.8 |
| \$7,500 and over- | 36 | 18 | 1,347 | 260 | 1,086 | 604 | 551 | 53 | 482 | 415 | 67 | 44.4 |
| Types IV and V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 198 | 10 | 165 | 66 | 97 | 97 | 97 |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$750-8999 | 364 | 23 | 285 | 100 | 181 | 171 | 171 | (*) | 10 | 10 |  | 5.5 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 362 | 32 | 283 | 92 | 190 | 157 | 154 | 3 | 33 | 33 |  | 17.4 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499..-- | 482 | 50 | 351 | 102 | 247 | 217 | 217 | (*) | 30 | 30 |  | 12.1 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 608 | 70 | 387 | 112 | 273 | 199 | 197 | 2 | 74 | 74 |  | 27.1 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 664 | 71 | 449 | 126 | 321 | 251 | 246 | 5 | 70 | 67 | 3 | 21.8 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 652 | 57 | 470 | 128 | 340 | 261 | 256 | 5 | 79 | 78 | 1 | 23.2 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 516 | 66 | 519 | 140 | 377 | 260 | 256 | 4 | 117 | 114 | 3 | 31.0 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 $\ldots$ | 794 | 72 | 546 | 140 | 404 | 283 | 277 | 6 | 121 | 118 | 3 | 30.0 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 222 | 47 | 628 | 159 | 467 | 334 | 333 | 1 | 133 | 124 | 9 | 28.5 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 212 | 29 | 739 | 157 | 580 | 410 | 402 | 8 | 170 | 162 | 8 | 29.3 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 $\ldots$ | 222 | 49 | 817 | 173 | 640 | 437 | 417 | 20 | 203 | 199 | 4 | 31.7 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499..-- | 236 | 33 | 836 | 199 | 635 | 422 | 391 | 31 | 213 | 213 |  | 33.5 |
| \$7,500 and over. | 100 | 21 | 1,335 | 216 | 1,118 | 622 | 516 | 106 | 496 | 483 | 13 | 44.4 |

*Average amounts of less than $\$ 1$ and percentages of less than 0.1 are not shown.

ATLANTA，GA．
Table 4－A．－Money expenditure for family home by owners and renters， and facilities included in rent for family home：By occupation，family type，and income，in 1 year，1935－36
［White nonrelief families including husband and wife，both native born］

| Occupational group，ramily type，and income class | Number of families |  | Percentage of families ${ }^{1}$ |  | A verage ex－ pense for family home |  | Percentage of renters having specified facilities included in rent ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | （15） |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | （2） |  <br> （3） | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Be } \\ & \text { B } \\ & \text { B } \\ & \text { (4) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 咢 } \\ & \text { 品 } \\ & \text { n } \end{aligned}$ <br> （5） |  <br> （6） |  | （8） |  | 品 <br> 岂 <br> © <br> （10） | （11） | （12） | （13） |  <br> （14） |  |
| All families |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \＄500－\＄749 | 608 | 26 | 4 | 96 | \＄141 | \＄104 |  | 5 | 26 | 15 | 57 | 5 | 5 | 25 |
| \＄750－\＄999 | 1，154 | 70 | 9 | 90 | 128 | 165 | 10 | 4 | 45 | 13 | 46 | 4 | 1 | 19 |
| \＄1，000－\＄1，249 | 1，392 | 85 | 18 | 82 | 139 | 190 | 10 |  | 47 | 12 | 60 | 6 | 6 | 13 |
| \＄1，250－\＄1，499 | 1，512 | 159 | 18 | 79 | 160 | 227 | 22 | 6 | 52 | 8 | 53 | 9 | 2 | 20 |
| \＄1，500－\＄1，749 | 1，836 | 161 | 26 | 72 | 151 | 256 | 26 | 8 | 70 | 10 | 47 | 15 | 6 | 12 |
| \＄1，750－\＄1，999 | 1，924 | 176 | 27 | 72 | 190 | 310 | 37 | 10 |  |  | 53 | 20 | 6 | 12 |
| \＄2，000－\＄2，249． | 1，636 | 161 | 33 | 64 | 161 | 312 | 30 | 2 | 71 | 10 | 50 | 9 | 3 | 8 |
| \＄2，250－\＄2，499 | 1，408 | 151 | 35 | 63 | 227 | 364 | 39 | 6 | 67 | 4 | 53 | 28 |  | 4 |
| \＄2，500－\＄2，999． | 1，818 | 192 | 40 | 57 | 184 | 372 | 33 | 5 | 78 | 6 | 42 | 21 | 10 | 2 |
| \＄3，000－\＄3，499 ．．．－－ | 538 | 96 | 43 | 53 | 243 | 495 | 39 | 8 | 92 | 3 | 46 | 37 | 8 |  |
| \＄3，500－\＄3，999 | 492 | 84 | 51 | 44 | 203 | 612 | 54 | ${ }^{6}$ | 77 |  | 61 | 42 | 2 | 2 |
| \＄4，000－ 84,999 | 466 | 103 | 59 | 38 | 355 | 585 | 55 | 8 | 80 |  | 56 | 35 | 16 |  |
| \＄5，000－\＄7，499 | 426 | 74 | 66 | 32 | 332 | 679 | 38 | 4 | 87 |  | 38 | 35 |  |  |
| \＄7，500 and over－－ | 184 | 49 | 88 |  | 497 | 847 | 39 |  | 61 |  | 39 |  |  | 39 |
| Occupational group：Wage earner |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \＄500－8749 | 608 | 26 | 4 | 96 | 141 | 104 |  | 5 | 26 | 15 | 57 | 5 | 5 | 25 |
| \＄750－\＄999 | 814 | 41 | 11 | 87 | 142 | 163 | 8 |  | 46 | 11 | 46 | 4 |  | 17 |
| \＄1，000－\＄1，249 | 846 | 46 | 10 | 89 | 123 | 188 | 12 |  | 47 | 7 | ${ }_{6}^{62}$ | 8 | 6 | 11 |
| \＄1，250－81，499 | 786 | 47 | 19 | 77 | 124 | 203 | 14 | 3 | 49 | 6 | 53 | 9 |  | 24 18 |
| \＄1，500－\＄1，749 | 850 | 35 | 25 | 75 | 119 | 216 | 16 | 9 | 60 | 14 | 43 | 9 | 4 | 18 |
| \＄1，750－\＄1，999 $\ldots$ ．．． | 670 | 45 | 29 | 69 | 206 | 267 | 8 |  | 71 |  | 29 | 4 | ${ }_{5}^{4}$ | 22 |
| \＄2，000－\＄2，249 | ${ }^{562}$ | ${ }^{33}$ | 49 | 48 | 126 | 235 | 10 | 5 | 85 | 10 | 52 | 18 | 5 | 5 |
| \＄2， $2,500-\$ 2,999 . \ldots$. | 356 392 | 30 38 | 58 49 | 37 46 | 192 | 287 295 | ${ }_{35}^{27}$ | 8 | 69 72 | $\begin{array}{r}9 \\ 18 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | ${ }_{63}^{46}$ | 18 24 | 14 | 3 6 |
| Clerical |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \＄750－\＄999． | 340 | 29 | 3 | 97 | 68 | 170 | 14 | 12 | 43 |  | 45 |  |  |  |
| \＄1，000－\＄1，249． | 546 | 39 | 29 | 71 | 168 | 195 | 8 |  | 47 | 19 | 56 | 4 | 8 | 16 |
| \＄1，250－\＄1，499 | 458 | 30 | 14 | 86 | 177 | 258 | 36 | 13 | 51 | 13 | 58 | 10 | 3 | 16 |
| \＄1，500－\＄1，749 | 630 | 43 | 24 | 69 | 154 | 276 | 32 | 8 | 82 | 8 | 44 | ${ }_{20}^{20}$ | 8 | 7 |
| \＄1，750－\＄1，999．．．．－ | 790 | 36 | 25 | 75 | 177 | 334 | 54 | 15 | 77 |  | 67 | 30 | 9 | 7 |
| \＄2，000－\＄2，249 | 724 | 36 | 17 | 81 | 219 | 350 | 41 |  | 64 |  | 50 | 8 | 2 | 9 |
| \＄2，250－\＄2，499 $\ldots$ | 610 | 34 | 27 | 73 | 274 | 377 | 44 | 10 | 54 | 3 | 60 | 38 |  |  |
| \＄2，500－\＄2，999 $\ldots$ | 746 | 33 | 36 | 64 | 154 | 387 | 28 | 4 | 76 |  | 35 | 19 | 7 |  |
| Independent business and professional |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \＄1，250－\＄1，499 | 130 | 33 | 34 | 60 | 230 | 204 | 6 | 3 | 64 | 8 | 27 |  |  | 25 |
| \＄1，500－\＄1，749 $\ldots$ | 178 | 29 | 37 | ${ }_{6}^{63}$ | 201 | 312 | 42 |  | 79 |  | ${ }_{53}^{68}$ | 2 |  | 10 |
| \＄1，750－\＄1，999．．．．－ | 196 | 37 | 36 | 64 | 165 | 286 | 32 | 12 | 70 | 9 | 53 | 2 |  | 17 17 |
| \＄2，000－\＄2，249 $\ldots$ | 138 | 26 | 62 | 29 | 152 | 290 | 33 |  | 83 |  | ${ }_{35}^{33}$ | 17 |  | 17 |
| \＄2，250－\＄2，499 $\ldots$ | 154 | 27 | 37 | 63 | 211 | 361 | 26 |  | 91 |  | 35 | 13 |  |  |
| \＄2，500－\＄2，999． | 208 | 45 | 36 | 54 | 218 | 417 | 39 | 4 | 75 | 6 | 39 | 26 | 14 | 9 |
| \＄3，000－\＄3，499－ | 154 | 32 | ${ }_{58}^{64}$ | 36 | 268 | 459 | ${ }^{36}$ |  | 96 |  | 40 | 36 |  |  |
| \＄3，500－\＄3，999 | 122 | 35 | 58 | 36 | 326 | 530 | 29 |  | 82 |  | ${ }^{33}$ | 29 | 10 | 9 |
| \＄4，000－\＄4，999 | 104 | 43 | 84 | 12 | 368 | 562 | 62 |  | 100 |  | ${ }_{3}^{62}$ | 4 |  |  |
| \＄5，000－\＄7，499．．．．－ | 156 78 | ${ }_{24}^{33}$ | 84 100 | 16 | 360 503 | 802 | 33 |  | 72 |  | 33 | 22 |  |  |

See p． 146 for notes on this table．

## atlanta，GA．

Table 4－A．－Money expenditure for family home by owners and renters， and facilities included in rent for family home：By occupation，family type，and income，in 1 year，1935－36－Continued

| Occupational group，family type，andincome class <br> （1） | Number of families |  | Percentage of families |  | Average ex－ pense for family home |  | Percentage of renters having specified facilities included in rent |  |  |  |  |  |  | （15） |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 或 } \\ & \text { 畄 } \\ & \text { (2) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 淢 } \\ & \text { Bex } \end{aligned}$ (5) |  <br> （6） |  |  |  |  <br> （10） | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 葆 } \\ & \text { 菏 } \end{aligned}$ <br> （11） | 䜨 <br> （12） | （13） |  |  |
| Salaried business |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \＄1，250－\＄1，499 | 84 | 28 |  | 96 |  | \＄264 | 24 |  | 66 | 4 | 43 | 11 | 3 | 11 |
| \＄1，500－\＄1，749．．．．－ | 94 | 26 | 27 | 73 | \＄239 | 317 | 26 | 6 | 51 | 18 | 57 | 27 | 15 | 20 |
| \＄1，750－\＄1，999．．．．． | 156 | 32 | 19 | 81 | 162 | 321 | 53 | 9 | 68 | 9 | 65 | 24 | 9 | 3 |
| \＄2，000－\＄2，249 | 126 | 33 | 34 | 66 | 167 | 356 | 38 |  | 58 |  | 49 | 22 | 3 | 10 |
| \＄2，250－\＄2，499 | 186 | 31 | 22 | 74 | 196 | 414 | 53 | 6 | 80 |  | 60 | 24 | 11 | 9 |
| \＄2，500－\＄2，999 | 332 | 39 | 42 | 53 | 213 | 369 |  | 3 |  | 13 | 38 | 22 | 13 |  |
| \＄3，000－\＄3，499． | 278 | 31 | 30 | 66 | 174 | 500 | 42 | 10 | 90 | 5 | 46 | 37 | 13 |  |
| \＄3，500－\＄3，999 | 274 | 28 | 49 | 48 | 221 | 672 | 64 | 10 | 80 |  | 74 | 49 |  |  |
| \＄4，000－\＄4，999 | 292 | 30 | 54 | 46 | 366 | 577 | 57 | 9 | 75 |  | 57 | 35 | 22 |  |
| \＄5，000－\＄7，499．．．．－ | 226 | 22 | 56 | 40 | 304 | 655 | 41 | 7 | 91 |  | 41 | 41 |  |  |
| \＄7，500 and over－－． | 82 | 13 | 79 | 19 | 531 | 855 | 44 | －－－－ | 56 |  | 44 |  |  | 44 |
| Salaried profes－ sional |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \＄1，250－\＄1，499 | 54 | 21 | 18 | 77 | 227 | 262 | 40 | 6 | 47 | 12 | 66 | 7 | 13 |  |
| \＄1，500－\＄1，749 | 84 | 29 | 13 | 87 | 175 | 338 | 47 | 8 | 83 | 10 | 67 | 25 | 10 |  |
| \＄1，750－\＄1，999 | 112 | ${ }_{2}^{26}$ | 18 | 69 | 210 | 379 | 43 | 20 | 58 |  | 43 | 39 |  | 14 |
| \＄2，000－\＄2，249－．－－－ | 86 | ${ }_{3}^{33}$ | ${ }_{17}^{23}$ | 70 | 187 | ${ }^{339}$ | ${ }^{36}$ | 7 | 75 | 3 | 57 | 11 | 3 | 7 |
| \＄2，250－\＄2，499．．．．－ | 102 | 29 | 17 | 78 | 213 | 386 | 35 | 4 | 83 |  | 39 | 13 | 7 |  |
| \＄2，500－\＄2，999 | 140 | 37 | 28 | 70 | 209 | 398 | 35 | 15 | 83 |  | 51 | 18 | 8 | 6 |
| \＄3，000－\＄3，499．． | 106 | 33 | 46 | 41 | 333 | 529 | 35 | 15 | 95 |  | 56 | 38 |  |  |
| \＄3，500－\＄3，999 | 96 | ${ }^{21}$ | 50 | 44 | ${ }^{288}$ | 508 | 52 |  | 64 |  | 52 | 33 |  |  |
| \＄4，000－\＄4，999．． | 70 | 30 | 44 | 47 | 284 | ${ }_{584}^{657}$ | 39 | 10 | 84 |  | 46 |  | 5 |  |
| \＄5，500－\＄7，499．．．．．． | $\stackrel{44}{44}$ | 19 12 | $\stackrel{50}{83}$ | $\stackrel{50}{8}$ | 337 388 | ${ }_{780}^{584}$ | 31 |  | 100 |  | 31 |  |  |  |
| Family type： Type I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \＄500－\＄749． | 172 | 7 | 14 | 86 | 141 | 109 |  | 17 | 17 | 17 | 67 | 17 | 17 | 17 |
| \＄750－\＄999－－ | 320 | 15 | 16 | 84 | 62 | 218 | 27 | 10 | 48 | 26 | 68 | 16 | 5 |  |
| \＄1，000－\＄1，249 ．．．．－ | 450 | 19 | 15 | 85 | 122 | 208 | 20 |  | 35 | 5 | ${ }_{57}^{67}$ | 15 | 12 | 10 |
| \＄1，250－\＄1，499 | 390 | 34 | ${ }^{26}$ | 73 | 172 | ${ }^{266}$ | 34 | 8 | 37 | 14 | 57 | 16 | 1 | 25 |
| \＄1，500－\＄1，749 ．．．．－ | 536 | 33 | 19 | 73 | 151 | 295 | 61 | 16 | 78 | 10 | 67 | 35 | 10 |  |
| \＄1，750－\＄1，999．．．．． | 540 | 37 | 21 | 77 | 199 | 332 | 69 | 23 | 87 | 3 | 80 | 33 | 14 | 4 |
| \＄2，000－\＄2，249．．．．． | 444 | 31 | 31 | 69 | 134 | 319 | 77 |  | 54 | 11 | 82 | 23 | 5 |  |
| \＄2，250－\＄2，499 | 434 | 27 | 30 | 70 | ${ }_{2} 246$ | 396 | 74 | 3 | 49 | 6 | 91 | 63 | 4 |  |
| \＄2，500－\＄2，999 $\ldots .$. | 494 | 40 | 34 | 64 | 212 | ${ }^{376}$ | 51 | 8 | 67 | 8 | 60 | 44 | 23 | 2 |
| \＄3，000－\＄3，499 ．．．． | 144 | 18 | 54 | 46 | 252 | 620 | 75 |  | 100 |  | 79 | 54 |  |  |
| \＄3，500－\＄3，999 | 118 | 14 | 29 | 67 | 150 | 576 | 93 |  | 55 |  |  | 65 | 7 |  |
| \＄4，000－\＄4，999 $\ldots$ ．．． | 98 | 17 | 46 | 54 | 345 | 719 | 82 |  | 76 |  | 82 | 58 | 18 |  |
| \＄5，000－\＄7，499－． | 86 | 16 | 46 | 54 | 344 | 613 | 100 |  | 65 |  | 100 | 100 |  |  |
| \＄7，500 and over． | 48 | 10 | 96 | 4 | 463 | 780 |  |  | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |

ATLANTA，GA．
Table 4－A．－Money expenditure for family home by owners and renters， and facilities included in rent for family home：By occupation，family type，and income，in 1 year，1935－36－Continued

| Occupational group，family type，and income class | Number of families |  | Percentage of families |  | Average ex－ pense for family home |  | Percentage of renters having specified facilities included in rent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 荡 <br> （5） |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { せ } \\ & \text { む } \\ & \hline 0 \end{aligned}$ <br> （8） |  |  <br> （10） | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 䓵 } \\ & \stackrel{y}{3} \end{aligned}$ <br> （11） | 免 B <br> （12） |  <br> （13） |  <br> （14） |  |
| Types II and III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \＄500－8749．． | 238 | 9 |  | 100 |  | \＄105 |  |  | 44 | 11 | 56 |  |  | 11 |
| \＄750－\＄999 | 470 | 32 | 4 | 93 | \＄62 | 128 | 4 |  | 38 | 8 | 44 |  |  | 33 |
| \＄1，000－\＄1，249 | 580 | 34 | 11 | 89 | 172 | 185 | 7 |  | 54 | 13 | 56 | 3 | 3 | 17 |
| \＄1，250－\＄1，499 | ${ }_{6}^{640}$ | 75 | 10 | 84 | 203 | 200 | 18 | 6 | 60 | 12 | 61 | 7 | （＊） | 10 |
| \＄1，500－\＄1，749 | 692 | 59 | 21 | 79 | 194 | 240 | 12 |  | 72 | 12 | 42 | 5 |  | 15 |
| \＄1，750－\＄1，999 | 720 | 68 | 20 | 80 | 204 | 313 | 29 | 6 | 67 | 1 | 50 | 19 | 5 | 9 |
| \＄2，000－\＄2，249 $\ldots$ | 540 | 73 | 28 | 67 | 190 | 300 | 14 | 5 | 80 | 18 | 35 | 6 | 3 | 11 |
| \＄2，250－\＄2，499 | 458 | 58 | ${ }^{26}$ | 74 | 1270 | 394 | 29 | 16 | 81 | 5 | 41 | 16 | $\stackrel{2}{2}$ | 4 |
| \＄2，500－\＄2，999． | 530 | 80 | $\stackrel{35}{35}$ | 61 | 159 | 389 493 | ${ }_{38} 3$ | 18 | 75 | 3 9 | 36 | 20 | 18 | 4 |
| \＄3，500－83，999 | 162 | 41 | 64 | 35 | 313 | 678 | 42 |  | 94 |  | 62 | 42 |  | 6 |
| \＄4，000－84，999． | 146 | 37 | 50 | 46 | 320 | 544 | 46 | 4 | 98 |  | 47 | 35 | 13 |  |
| \＄5，000－87，499 ．．． | 104 | 25 | 52 | 40 | 318 | 785 | 51 | 14 | 82 |  | 51 | 42 |  |  |
| \＄7，500 and over－－－ | 36 | 18 | 89 | 6 | 549 | 1，080 |  |  | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Types IV and V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \＄500－\＄749 | 198 | 10 |  | 100 |  | 97 |  |  | 10 | 20 | 50 |  |  | 50 |
| \＄750－\＄999 | 364 | 23 | 8 | 92 | 169 | 172 | 3 | 3 | 54 | 9 | 27 |  |  | 15 |
| \＄1，000－\＄1，249．．．．． | 362 | 32 | 32 | 68 | 132 | 178 | 4 |  | 50 | 19 | 56 |  |  | 8 |
| \＄1，250－\＄1，499． | 482 | 50 | 21 | 78 | 135 | 236 | 19 | 4 | 51 | 4 | 33 | 5 | 5 | 33 |
| \＄1，500－\＄1，749 | 608 | 70 | 36 | 64 | 120 | 241 | 8 | 9 | 60 | 9 | 34 | 11 | 8 | 21 |
| \＄1，750－\＄1，999 | 664 | ${ }_{57}^{71}$ | 39 | 59 | 175 | 286 316 | 17 |  | 65 |  | 30 | 8 |  | 25 |
| \＄2，250－\＄2，499 | 516 | ${ }_{6} 67$ | 47 | 48 | 184 | 302 | 15 |  | 71 |  | 40 | 1 | 1 | 11 |
| \＄2，500－\＄2，999． | 794 | 72 | 46 | 51 | 183 | 354 | 18 | 4 | 90 | 8 | 34 | 4 | 5 | 2 |
| \＄3，000－\＄3，499 ．．．．－ | 222 | 47 | 50 | 46 | 296 | 384 | 8 | 6 | 88 |  | 8 | 13 | 6 |  |
| \＄3，500－\＄3，999 $\ldots$ | 212 | 29 | 54 | 38 | 260 | 577 | 29 |  | 80 |  | 29 | 20 |  |  |
| \＄4，000－\＄4，999 | 222 | 49 | 71 | 27 | 374 | 530 | 46 | 17 | 66 |  | 48 | 19 | 17 |  |
| \＄5，000－\＄7，499 | ${ }^{236}$ | 33 | 80 | 20 | 332 | 642 |  |  | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |
| \＄7，500 and over－－－ | 100 | 21 | 85 | 13 | 486 | 823 | 50 |  | 50 | －－ | 50 |  |  | 50 |

＊Average amounts of less than $\$ 1$ and percentages of less than 0.1 are not shown．

## ATLANTA, GA.

Table 5.-Household operation: Average money expenditure for groups of items of household operation and percentage distribution of such expenditure, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1935-36
[White nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]


See p. 146 for notes on this table.

ATLANTA, GA.
Table 5.-Household operation: Average money expenditure for groups of items of household operation and percentage distribution of such expenditure, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued

| Occupational group, family type, and income class | Number of |  | A verage money expenditure for household operation for- |  |  |  |  | Percentage of total household operation expenditure |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Eligi- } \\ \text { ble } \end{gathered}$ | Reporting ex-penditure | Total | Fuel, light, and re triger- | Paid household |  | Other items | Fuel, light, and re friger-ation ation | Paid house hold help | Other |
|  |  |  |  |  | Average amount | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Percent- } \\ & \text { age of } \\ & \text { families } \\ & \text { having } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) |
| Salaried business |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499.. | 8494 | 2828 | $\begin{array}{\|c} \$ 192 \end{array}$ | $\$ 107$ | $\$ 19$38 | 32 | \$66 | 55.7 45 | 9.8 | 34.5 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 85 | 45.8 | 16.720.7 | 37.5 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 156 | 32 | 227 | 87 | 47 | 52 | 93 | 38.3 |  | ${ }_{39}^{41.0}$ |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 |  | 3331 | 265269 | 113 | 49 | 51 |  | 40.9 | 18.2 |  |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 ..........-- | 186 |  |  |  |  |  | 110 |  |  | 39.6 40.9 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | ${ }_{278}^{332}$ | 39 <br> 31 | 327392 | 126 | 86154154 | 85 | 115 | 38.5 | 26.3 | 35.228.1 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 38.6 <br> 31.8 | 39.336.2 |  |
| \$3,500-\$3,999. | 274292 | 28 | 428 | 136 | 154 <br> 155 <br> 02 | 80 | 137 |  |  | 28.1 32.0 29.2 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999. |  | 30 |  | 152 | 202 | 86 | 146 | 30.4 | 40.4 | 29.2 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499. | 22682 | 2213 | $\begin{aligned} & 696 \\ & 945 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 190 \\ & 221 \end{aligned}$ | 344477 | 100100 | 162247 | 27.323.4 | 49.4 | 23.326.1 |
| \$7,500 and over. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 50.5 |  |
| Salaried professional |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499.. | 54 | ${ }_{29}^{21}$ | 155 | 8196 | 12 | $\stackrel{21}{29}$ | 62 | 52.347.0 | 8.0 | 39.7 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 |  | ${ }_{2}^{29}$ | 297 |  |  |  | 86 |  | 10.8 |  |
| \$1,750-81,999. | 11286 |  |  | 111 | 8366 | 7862 | 1038888 | 37.4 | 27.9 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 34. } 7 \\ & 34.7 \\ & 33.5 \end{aligned}$ |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 |  |  |  | 117 |  |  |  | 42.5 | 21.1 |  |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 102 | 29 | 275 |  | 58 | 49 | 100 |  |  | 36.4 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 140 | 37 <br> 33 | 338414 | 123 | 9815315 | 6190 | 117 | 36.4 | 29.0 | 34.6 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | $\begin{array}{r}10 \\ 10 \\ 9 \\ 7 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 31.9 | 37.0 | 31.1 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999. |  | 21 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 132 \\ & 155 \\ & 171 \end{aligned}$ | 143 | 79 | 126 |  |  | 31.432.8 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999. |  | 311919 | $\begin{aligned} & 534 \\ & 636 \end{aligned}$ |  | 204 <br> 340 <br> 10 | $\begin{array}{r}89 \\ \hline 94 \\ \hline 100\end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 175 \\ & 165 \end{aligned}$ | 29.0 | 38.2 |  |
| \$5,000-87,499. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 26.1 | 50.2 | 24.4 |
| \$7,500 and over. | 70 44 44 | 12 | 676820 | ${ }_{214}$ | 412 | 100 | 194 |  |  |  |
| Family type: Type I | 24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 172320 | 15 | 116 | 7273 | 229 | 14 <br> 32 | 2240 | ${ }_{6}^{62.0}$ | 19.0 | 19.032.839.243.7 |
| \$750-\$999 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7.48.17 |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 450 <br> 390 | 19 <br> 34 | 148176 | $\begin{aligned} & 78 \\ & 86 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12 \\ & 13 \end{aligned}$ | 2936 | ${ }_{7}^{58}$ | 52.748.9 |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7.414.8 |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749------------1-1 | 536 | 33 | 196 | 84 | 29 | 23 | 83 | $42,8$ |  | 43.7 42.4 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 540444 | ${ }_{31}^{37}$ | 202 |  | 44 | 46 | 85 | 38.6 | 20.9 | 40. 5 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. |  |  |  | 74 | 48 | 52 | 80 | 36.6 | 23.8 | 39.6 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 434 | 27 | 228 | 78 | 47 | 51 | 103 | 34.2 | 20.6 | 45.2 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999...-------- | 494 | 40 | 265 | 101 | 50 | 70 | 114 | 38.1 | 18.9 | ${ }^{43.0}$ |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 $\ldots$--..---..- | 144 | 18 | 408 | 121 | 171 | 94 | 116 | 29.7 | 41.9 | 28.4 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 118 | 14 | 319 | 98 | 74 | 57 | 147 | 30.7 | 23.2 | 46. 1 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 98 | 17 | 458 | 125 | 176 | 69 | 157 | 27.3 | 38.4 | 34.3 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 86 | 16 | 663 | 144 | 344 | 100 | 175 | 21.7 | 51.9 | 26.4 |
| \$7,500 and over......... | 48 | 10 | 875 | 231 | 408 | 100 | 236 | 26.4 | 46.6 | 27.0 |

ATLANTA, GA.
Table 5.-Household operation: Average money expenditure for groups of items of household operation and percentage distribution of such expenditure, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued


## ATLANTA, GA.

Table 6.-Clothing: Average money expenditure for clothing for husband and wife and other family members, and percentage distribution of such expenditure, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1935-96
[White nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]

| Occupational group, family type, and income class | Number of families |  | Average money expenditure for clothing ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  | Percentage of total family clothing expenditure |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eligible | Reporting ex-penditure | $\begin{aligned} & \text { All } \\ & \text { family } \\ & \text { mem- } \\ & \text { bers } \end{aligned}$ | Husband | Wife | $\begin{gathered} \text { Other } \\ \text { family } \\ \text { mem- } \\ \text { bers } \end{gathered}$ | Husband | Wife | Other lamily members |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) |
| All familiee |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 608 | 26 | \$47 | \$17 | \$15 | \$15 | 36.2 | 31.9 | 31.9 |
| \$750-\$999 | 1,154 | 70 | 85 | 33 | 32 | 20 | 38.8 | 37.7 | 23.5 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 1,392 | 85 | 118 | 47 | 40 | 31 | 39.8 | 33.9 | 26.3 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 1,512 | 159 | 135 | 47 | 49 | 39 | 34.8 | 36. 3 | 28.9 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 1,836 | 162 | 153 | 56 | 54 | 43 | 36.6 | 35.3 | 28.1 |
| $\$ 1,750-\$ 1,999$ | $\xrightarrow{1,924}$ | 176 | 182 209 | 65 68 | ${ }_{72}^{65}$ | 52 69 | 35.7 32.5 | 35.7 34.5 | 28.6 33.0 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 1.408 | 151 | 245 | 82 | 96 | 67 | 33.5 | 39.2 | 27.3 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 1,818 | 192 | 267 | 85 | 95 | 87 | 31.8 | 35.6 | 32.6 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 538 | 96 | 293 | 94 | 112 | 87 | 32.1 | 38.2 | 29.7 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 492 | 84 | 351 | 119 | 132 | 100 | 33.9 | 37.6 | 28.5 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999. | 466 | 103 | 436 | 126 | 155 | 155 | 28.9 | 35.6 | 35.5 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499. | 426 | 74 | 530 | 151 | 209 | 170 | 28.5 | 39.4 | 32.1 |
| \$7,500 and over-....-- | 184 | 49 | 763 | 251 | 283 | 229 | 32.9 | 37.1 | 30.0 |
| Occupational grevp: Wage earner |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749. | 608 | 26 | 47 | 17 | 15 | 15 | 36.2 | 31.9 | 31.9 |
| \$750-\$999 | 814 | 41 | 82 | 30 | 31 | 21 | 36.6 | 37.8 | 25.6 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 846 | 46 | 122 | 50 | 42 | 30 | 41.0 | 34.4 | 24.6 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 786 | 47 | 132 | 44 | 45 | 43 | 33.3 | 34.1 | 32.6 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749.. | 850 | 35 | 169 | 62 | 57 | 50 | 36.7 | 33.7 | 29.6 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 670 | 45 | 185 | 64 | 58 | 63 | 34.6 | 31.4 | 34.0 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249- | 562 | 33 | 202 | 63 | 61 | 78 | 31.2 | 30.2 | 38.6 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 356 | 30 | 253 | 78 | 94 | 81 | 30.8 | 37.2 | 32.0 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 392 | 38 | 257 | 73 | 80 | 104 | 28.4 | 31.1 | 40.5 |
| Clerical |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$999 | 340 | 29 | 93 | 41 | 33 | 19 | 44.1 | 35.5 | 20.4 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 546 | 39 | 112 | 43 | 38 | 31 | 38.4 | 33.9 | 27.7 |
| \$1,250-\$1,409 | 458 | 30 | 152 | 53 | 62 | 37 | 34.9 | 40.8 | 24.3 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 630 | 43 | 148 | 51 | 59 | 38 | 34.5 | 39.9 | 25.6 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999... | 790 | 36 | 183 | 66 | 70 | 47 | 36.1 | 38.3 | 25.6 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 ............ | 724 | 36 | 214 | 70 | 79 | 65 | 32.7 | 36.9 | 30.4 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499..........-- | 610 | 34 | 251 | 81 | 107 | ${ }^{63}$ | ${ }^{32.3}$ | ${ }^{42.6}$ | 25.1 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 ............ | 746 | 33 | 270 | 86 | 104 | 80 | 31.9 | 38.5 | 29.6 |
| Independent business and professional |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-81,499 $\ldots$........- | 130 | 33 | 111 | 42 | 35 | 34 | 37.8 | 31.5 | 30.7 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 178 | 29 | 105 | 40 | 33 | 32 | 38.1 | 31.4 | 30.5 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 196 | 37 | 183 | 58 | 74 | 51 | 31.7 | 40. 4 | 27.9 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 138 | ${ }^{26}$ | 193 | 59 | 54 | 80 | 30.6 | 28.0 | 41.4 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 154 | 27 | 210 | 81 | 73 | 56 | 38.6 | 34.8 | 26.6 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 208 | 45 | 267 | 90 | 94 | 83 | 33.8 | 35.3 | 30.9 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 ............ | 154 | 32 | 254 | 81 | 101 | 72 | 31.9 | 39.8 | 28.3 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 $\ldots . . . . . . . . . .$. | 122 | 35 | 364 | 121 | 127 | 116 | 33.2 | 34.9 | 31.9 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 104 | 43 | 416 | 125 | 166 | 125 | 30.0 | 39.9 | 30.1 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499... | 156 78 | 33 24 | 523 769 | 150 217 | 226 302 | 147 250 | 28.7 28.2 | 43.2 39.3 | ${ }_{32.5}^{28.1}$ |
| \$7,500 and over- | 78 | 24 | 769 | 217 | 302 | 250 | 28.2 | 39.3 | 32.5 |

See p. 146 for notes on this table.

## ATLANTA, GA.

Table 6.-Clothing: Average money expenditure for clothing for husband and wife and other family members, and percentage distribution of such expenditure, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued

| Occupational group, family type, and income class | Number of families |  | A verage money expenditure forclothing clothing |  |  |  | Percentage of total family clothing expenditure |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eligible | Reporting expend | $\begin{aligned} & \text { family } \\ & \text { mem. } \\ & \text { bers } \end{aligned}$ | Husband | Wife | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Other } \\ & \text { family } \\ & \text { mem- } \\ & \text { bers } \end{aligned}$ | Fusband | Wife | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Other } \\ & \text { family } \\ & \text { mem- } \\ & \text { bers } \end{aligned}$ |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) |
| Salaried business |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 84 | 28 | \$114 | \$44 | \$38 | \$32 | 38.6 | 33.3 | 28.1 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 94 | 26 | 128 | 54 | 46 | 28 | 42.2 | 35.9 | 21.9 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 156 | 32 | 174 | 67 | 64 | 43 | 38.5 | 36.8 | 24.7 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 126 | 33 | 217 | 81 | 84 | 52 | 37.3 | 38.7 | 24.0 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 186 | 31 | 244 | 100 | 82 | 62 | 41.0 | 33.6 | 25.4 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 332 | 39 | 265 | 93 | 93 | 79 | 35.1 | 35.1 | 29.8 |
| \$3,000-83,499 | 278 | 31 | 313 | 100 | 114 | 99 | 31.9 | 36.4 | 31.7 |
| \$3,500-83,999 | 274 | 28 | 349 | 125 | 139 | 85 | 35.8 | 39.8 | 24.4 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 292 | 30 | 446 | 129 | 158 | 159 | 28.9 | 35.4 | 35.7 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 226 | 22 | 551 | 155 | 208 | 188 | 28.1 | 37.7 | 34.2 |
| \$7,500 and over | 82 | 13 | 767 | 293 | 267 | 207 | 38.2 | 34.8 | 27.0 |
| Salaried professional |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 54 | 21 | 128 | 48 | 44 | 36 | 37.5 | 34.4 | 28.1 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 84 | 29 | 146 | 57 | 48 | 41 | 39.0 | 32.9 | 28.1 |
| \$1,750-81,999 | 112 | 26 | 177 | 67 | 68 | 42 | 37. 9 | 38.4 | 23.7 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 86 | 33 | 224 | 82 | 94 | 48 | 36.6 | 42.0 | 21.4 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 102 | 29 | 228 | 78 | 89 | 61 | 34.2 | 39.0 | 26.8 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 140 | 37 | 280 | 93 | 103 | 84 | 33.2 | 36.8 | 30.0 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 106 | 33 | 299 | 95 | 122 | 82 | 31.8 | 40.8 | 27.4 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 96 | 21 | 343 | 101 | 116 | 126 | 29.4 | 33.8 | 36.8 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 70 | 30 | 422 | 117 | 127 | 178 | 27.7 | 30.1 | 42.2 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 44 | 19 | 445 | 134 | 157 | 154 | 30.1 | 35.3 | 34.6 |
| \$7,500 and over-a.-.-- | 24 | 12 | 730 | 222 | 279 | 229 | 30.4 | 38.2 | 31.4 |
| Family type; Type $I^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-8749-- | 172 | 7 | 49 | 24 | 24 | 1 | 49.0 | 49.0 | 2.0 |
| \$750-\$999-.-- | 320 | 15 | 90 | 44 | 45 | 1 | 48.9 | 50.0 | 1.1 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 450 | 19 | 96 | 48 | 47 | 1 | 50.0 | 49.0 | 1.0 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 390 | 34 | 126 | 50 | 75 | 1 | 39.7 | 59.5 | 8 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 -.-.------- | 536 | 33 | 144 | 73 | 70 | 1 | 50.7 | 48.6 | . 7 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 540 | 37 | 144 | 72 | 70 | 2 | 50.0 | 48.6 | 1.4 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 444 | 31 | 183 | 78 | 102 | 2 | 43.2 | 55.7 | 1.1 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 434 | 27 | 247 | 108 | 139 |  | 43.7 | 56.3 |  |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 494 | 40 | 247 | 107 | 138 | 2 | 43.3 | 55.9 | . 8 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499............ | 144 | 18 | 239 | 107 | 132 |  | 44.8 | 55.2 |  |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 ............ | 118 | 14 | 351 | 152 | 199 |  | 43.3 | 56.7 |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 98 | 17 | 403 | 170 | 233 |  | 42.2 | 57.8 |  |
| \$5,000-\$7,499... | 86 | 16 | 500 | 210 | 290 |  | 42.0 | 58.0 |  |
| \$7,500 and over- | 48 | 10 | 625 | 308 | 311 | 6 | 49.3 | 49.8 | . 9 |

## ATLANTA, GA.

Table 6.-Clothing: Average money expenditure for clothing for husband and wife and other family members, and percentage distribution of such expenditure, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued

| Occupational group, family type, and income class | Number of tamilies |  | Average money expenditure for clothing |  |  |  | Percentage of total family clothing expenditure |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eligible | Reporting ex-penditures | All family members | Husband | Wife | Other family members | Husband | Wife | Other family members |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) |
| Types II and III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749. | 238 | 9 | \$39 | \$16 | \$13 | \$10 | 41.0 | 33.3 | 25.7 |
| \$750-\$999. | 470 | 32 | 80 | 30 | 29 | 21 | 37.5 | 36.3 | 26. 2 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 580 | 34 | 132 | 55 | 43 | 34 | 41.7 | 32.6 | 25.7 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 640 | 75 | 135 | 52 | 47 | 36 | 38. 5 | 34.8 | 26.7 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 692 | 59 | 156 | 59 | 56 | 41 | 37.8 | 35.9 | 26.3 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 720 | 68 | 189 | 72 | 71 | 46 | 38. 1 | 37. 6 | 24.3 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 540 | 73 | 217 | 79 | 75 | 63 | 36. 4 | 34.6 | 29.0 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 458 | 58 | 226 | 82 | 79 | 65 | 36.3 | 35.0 | 28.7 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 530 | 80 | 291 | 104 | 110 | 77 | 35.7 | 37.8 | 26.5 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499.....-.....- | 172 | 31 | 318 | 110 | 133 | 75 | 34.6 | 41.8 | 23.6 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999. | 162 | 41 | 312 | 108 | 122 | 82 | 34.6 | 39.1 | 26.3 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 146 | 37 | 394 | 142 | 163 | 89 | 36.0 | 41.4 | 22.6 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 104 | 25 | 488 | 159 | 217 | 112 | 32.6 | 44.5 | 22.9 |
| \$7,500 and over- | 36 | 18 | 774 | 245 | 356 | 173 | 31.7 | 46.0 | 22.3 |
| Type IV and V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 198 | 10 | 56 | 13 | 10 | 33 | 23.2 | 17.9 | 58.9 |
| \$750-\$999 --------------- | 364 | 23 | 89 | 28 | 24 | 37 | 31.5 | 27.0 | 41.5 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 $\ldots . . . . . . . . .$. | 362 | 32 | 124 | 32 | 27 | 65 | 25.8 | 21.8 | 52.4 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 482 | 50 | 142 | 38 | 30 | 74 | 26.8 | 21.1 | 52.1 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 608 | 70 | 156 | 37 | 38 | 81 | 23.7 | 24.4 | 51.9 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 664 | 71 | 206 | 52 | 55 | 99 | 25.2 | 26.7 | 48.1 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 652 | 57 | 220 | 52 | 50 | 118 | 23.6 | 22.7 | 53.7 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499............ | 516 | 66 | 259 | 61 | 74 | 124 | 23.6 | 28.6 | 47.8 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 $\ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 794 | 72 | 263 | 60 | 59 | 144 | 22.8 | 22.4 | 54.8 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 222 | 47 | 309 | 73 | 82 | 154 | 23.6 | 26.5 | 49.9 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999....-...--. | 212 | 29 | 382 | 110 | 103 | 169 | 28.8 | 27.0 | 44.2 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999. | 222 | 49 | 477 | 97 | 116 | 264 | 20.3 | 24.3 | 55.4 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 ----------- | 236 | 33 | 559 | 126 | 177 | 256 | 22.5 | 31. 7 | 45.8 |
| \$7,500 and over.......... | 100 | 21 | 825 | 226 | 243 | 356 | 27.4 | 29.5 | 43.1 |

ATLANTA, GA.
Table 7.-Personal care: Average money expenditure for toilet articles and preparations, and services, and percentage distribution of such expenditure, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1935-36
[White nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]

| Occupational group, family type, and income class <br> (1) | Number of families |  | A verage money expenditure for personal care |  |  | Percentage of total personal care expenditure |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eligible | Reporting ex-penditures | Total | Services ${ }^{1}$ | Toilet articles and preparations | Services ${ }^{1}$ | Toilet articles and preparations |
|  | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| All jamilies |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749. | 608 | 26 | \$16 | \$7 | \$9 | 43.8 | 56.2 |
| \$750-\$999 | 1,154 | 70 | 24 | 9 | 15 | 37.5 | 62.5 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 1,392 | 85 | 30 | 13 | 17 | 43.3 | 56.7 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 1,512 | 159 | 33 | 14 | 19 | 42.4 | 57.6 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 1,836 | 162 | 35 | 14 | 21 | 40.0 | 60.0 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 1,924 | 176 | 43 | 19 | 24 | 44.2 | 55.8 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 1,636 | 161 | 43 | 18 | 25 | 43.2 | 56.8 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499. | 1,408 | 151 | 48 | 21 | 27 | 43.8 | 56.2 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 1,818 | 192 | 52 | 23 | 29 | 44.2 | 55.8 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 538 | 96 | 60 | 28 | 32 | 46.7 | 53.3 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 492 | 84 | 74 | 36 | 38 | 48.6 | 51.4 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 466 | 103 | 73 | 35 | 38 | 47.9 | 52.1 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499. | 426 | 74 | 84 | 46 | 38 | 54.8 | 45.2 |
| \$7,500 and over | 184 | 49 | 125 | 68 | 57 | 54.4 | 45.6 |
| Occupational group: Wage earner |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749. | 608 | 26 | 16 | 7 | 9 | 43.8 | 56.2 |
| \$750-\$999.. | 814 | 41 | 22 | 8 | 14 | 36.4 | 63.6 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 846 | 46 | 32 | 15 | 17 | 46.9 | 53.1 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 786 | 47 | 32 | 12 | 20 | 37.5 | 62.5 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 850 | 35 | 36 | 14 | 22 | 38.9 | 61.1 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 670 | 45 | 43 | 19 | 24 | 44.2 | 55.8 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 562 | 33 | 40 | 17 | 23 | 42.5 | 57.5 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 356 | 30 | 41 | 17 | 24 | 41.5 | 58.5 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 392 | 38 | 49 | 20 | 29 | 40.8 | 59.2 |
| Clerical |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$999 | 340 | 29 | 26 | 10 | 16 | 38.5 | 61.5 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 546 | 39 | 28 | 12 | 16 | 42.9 | 57.1 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 458 | 30 | 35 | 16 | 19 | 45.7 | 54.3 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 630 | 43 | 35 | 14 | 21 | 40.0 | 60.0 |
| \$1,750-\$1.999 | 790 | 36 | 43 | 19 | 24 | 44.2 | 55.8 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 724 | 36 | 45 | 19 | 26 | 42.2 | 57.8 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 610 | 34 | 56 | 25 | 31 | 44.6 | 55.4 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 746 | 33 | 51 | 22 | 29 | 43.1 | 56.9 |
| Independent business and professional |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 130 | 33 | 29 | 12 | 17 | 41.4 | 58.6 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 178 | 29 | 34 | 14 | 20 | 41.2 | 58.8 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 196 | 37 | 39 | 16 | 23 | 41.0 | 59.0 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 138 | 26 | 44 | 20 | 24 | 45.5 | 54.5 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499. | 154 | 27 | 43 | 19 | 24 | 44.2 | 55.8 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 . | 208 | 45 | 52 | 24 | 28 | 46.2 | 53.8 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499.. | 154 | 32 | 57 | 27 | 30 | 47.4 | 52.6 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 122 | 35 | 65 | 33 | 32 | 50.8 | 49.2 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 104 | 43 | 71 | 37 | 34 | 52.1 | 47.9 |
| 85,000-\$7,499. | 156 | 33 | 88 | 47 | 41 | 58.4 | 46.6 |
| \$7,500 and over. | 78 | 24 | 111 | 59 | 52 | 53.2 | 46.8 |

${ }^{1}$ See glossary, appendix $B$, for items included.

## ATLANTA, GA.

Table 7.-Personal care: Average money expenditure for toilet articles and preparations, and services, and percentage distribution of such expenditure, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued

| Occupational group, family type, and income class | Number of families |  | Average money expenditure for personal care |  |  | Percentage of total personal care expenditure |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eligible | Reporting ex-penditures | Total | Services | Toilet articles and prep arations | Services | Toilet articles and preparations |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| Salaried business |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499... | 84 | 28 | \$34 | \$14 | \$20 | 41.2 | 58.8 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 94 | 26 | 34 | 14 | 20 | 41.2 | 58.8 |
| \$1,750-\$1,099 | 156 | 32 | 40 | 18 | 22 | 45.0 | 55.0 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 126 | 33 | 45 | 17 | 28 | 37.8 | 62.2 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 186 | 31 | 48 | 21 | 27 | 43.8 | 56.2 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 332 | 39 | 56 | 27 | 29 | 48.2 | 51.8 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 278 | 31 | 61 | 29 | 32 | 47.5 | 52.5 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 274 | 28 | 80 | 39 | 41 | 48.8 | 51.2 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999. | 292 | 30 | 73 | 34 | 39 | 46.6 | 53.4 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 226 | 22 | 84 | 47 | 37 | 56.0 | 44.0 |
| \$7,500 and over .-.-....------ | 82 | 13 | 152 | 87 | 65 | 57.2 | 42.8 |
| Salaried professional |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499... | 54 | 21 | 30 | 12 | 18 | 40.0 | 60.0 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 84 | 29 | 35 | 14 | $\stackrel{21}{27}$ | 40.0 | 60.0 |
| 81,750-81,999 | 112 | 26 | 49 | 22 | 27 | 44.9 | 55.1 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 86 | 33 | 50 | 24 | 26 | 48.0 | 52.0 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499.. | 102 | 29 | 44 | 20 | 24 | 45.5 | 54.5 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \$ 2,500-\$ 2,999 . \\ & \$ 3,000-\$ 3,499 \end{aligned}$ | 140 | 37 <br> 37 | ${ }_{66}^{59}$ | ${ }_{31}^{29}$ | 30 35 | 49.2 47.0 | 50.8 53.0 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999. | 96 | 21 | 66 | 30 | 36 | 45.5 | 54.5 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999. | 70 | 30 | 75 | 38 | 37 | 50.7 | 49.3 |
| \$5,000-\$7,490 | 44 | 19 | 79 | 41 | 38 | 51.9 | 48.1 |
| \$7,500 and over | 24 | 12 | 80 | 31 | 49 | 38.8 | 61.2 |
| Family type: Type I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749. | 172 | 7 | 18 | 5 | 13 | 27.8 | 72. 2 |
| \$750-\$999. | 320 | 15 | 23 | 8 | 15 | 34.8 | 65.2 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 450 | 19 | 32 | 16 | 16 | 50.0 | 50.0 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 390 | 34 | 28 | 12 | 16 | 42.9 | 57.1 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 536 | 33 | 34 | 13 | 21 | 38.2 | 61.8 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 540 | 37 | 41 | 17 | 24 | 41.5 | 58.5 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 444 | 31 | 39 | 18 | 21 | 46.2 | 53.8 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 434 | 27 | 45 | 19 | 26 | 42.2 | 57.8 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 494 | 40 | 53 | 25 | 28 | 47.2 | 52.8 |
| \$3,000-\$3,409 | 144 | 18 | 60 | 27 | 33 | 45.0 | 55.0 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999---- | 118 | 14 | 77 | 38 | 39 | 49.4 | 50.6 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 98 | 17 | 71 | 33 | 38 | 46.5 | 53.5 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 86 | 16 | 85 | 47 | 38 | 55.3 | 44.7 |
| \$7,500 and over-- | 48 | 10 | 145 | 98 | 47 | 67.6 | 32.4 |
| Types II and III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749.. | 238 | 9 | 14 | 7 | 7 | 50.0 | 50.0 |
| \$750-\$999 | 470 | 32 | 24 | 10 | 14 | 41.7 | 58.3 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 580 | 34 | 29 | 13 | 16 | 44.8 | 55.2 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 640 | 75 | 33 | 13 | 20 | 39.4 | 60.6 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 692 | 59 | 37 | 16 | 21 | 43.2 | 56.8 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 720 | 68 | 43 | 19 | 24 | 44.2 | 55.8 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 540 | 73 | 42 | 18 | 24 | 42.9 | 57.1 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 458 | 58 | 52 | 23 | 29 | 44.2 | 55.8 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 530 | 80 | 52 | 23 | 29 | 44.2 | 55.8 |
| \$3,000-\$3,490 | 172 | 31 | 65 | 32 | 33 | 49.2 | 50.8 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 162 | 41 | 64 | 31 | 33 | 48.4 | 51.6 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 146 | 37 | 64 | 33 | 31 | 51.6 | 48.4 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499...- | 104 36 | 25 18 | 86 | $\stackrel{47}{64}$ | 39 57 | 54.7 5 | 45.3 |
| \$7,500 and over------. | 36 | 18 |  | 64 | 57 | 52.9 | 47.1 |

## ATLANTA, GA.

Table 7.-Personal care: Average money expenditure for toilet articles and preparations, and services, and percentage distribution of such expenditure, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1935-86-Continued

| Occupational group, family type, and income class | Number of families |  | A verage money expenditure for personal care |  |  | Percentage of total personal care expenditure |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eligible | Reporting ex-pendi- | Total | Services | Toilet articles and prep- arations | Services | Toilet articles and prep- arations |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| Types IV and V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749. | 198 | 10 | \$16 | \$8 | $\$ 8$ | 50.0 | 50.0 |
| \$750-\$999 | 364 | 23 | ${ }^{23}$ | 8 | 15 | 34.8 | 65.2 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 362 | 32 | 28 | 11 | 17 | 39.3 | 60.7 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 482 | 50 | 36 | 14 | 22 | 38.9 | 61.1 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 608 | 70 | 35 | 15 | 20 | 42.9 | 57.1 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 664 | 71 | 45 | 21 | 24 | 46.7 | 53.3 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 652 | 57 | 47 | 19 | 28 | 40.4 | 59.6 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 516 | 66 | 50 | 22 | 28 | 44.9 | 55.1 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 794 | 72 | 52 | 22 | 30 | 42.3 | 57.7 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 222 | 47 | 58 | 27 | 31 | 46.6 | 53.4 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 212 | 29 | 79 | 37 | 42 | 46.8 | 53.2 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | ${ }_{22}^{22}$ | 49 | 80 | 38 | 42 | 47.5 | 52.5 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499.- | ${ }^{236}$ | 33 | 84 | 46 | 38 | 54.8 | 45.2 |
| \$7,500 and over.... | 100 | 21 | 117 | 55 | 62 | 47.0 | 53.9 |

## ATLLANTA, GA.

Table 8.-Automobile operation and purchase: Percentage of families owning and purchasing automobiles, average money expenditure for all families for operation and purchase, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1935-36
[White nonrelief farmilies including husband and wife, both native born]

| Occupational group, family type, and income class | Number of families |  | Percentage of all families |  | Average money expenditure of all families |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eligible | Reporting ex-penditures | Owning automobiles | Purchasing automobiles | Operation and purchase | Operation 1 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Purchase } \\ (\mathrm{net})^{2} \end{gathered}$ |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| - All families |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 608 | 26 | 16 | 8 | \$6 | \$2 | \$4 |
| \$750-\$999 | 1,154 | 70 | 29 | 8 | 24 | 17 | 7 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 1,392 | 85 | 48 | 17 | 84 | 46 | 38 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 1,512 | 159 | 45 | 16 | 83 | 48 | 35 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749...........---.-.-. -- | 1,836 | 162 | 56 | 21 | 114 | 62 | 52 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 1,924 | 176 | 64 | 18 | 155 | 85 | 70 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 1,636 | 161 | 77 | 26 | 197 | 112 | 85 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499. | 1,408 | 151 | 75 | 28 | 226 | 115 | 111 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 1,818 | 192 | 87 | 21 | 211 | 137 | 74 |
|  | 538 | 96 | 78 | 28 | 272 | 155 | 117 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 492 | 84 | 88 | 33 | 345 | 184 | 161 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 466 | 103 | 81 | 29 | 374 | 196 | 178 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499. | 426 | 74 | 92 | 45 | 593 | 304 | 289 |
| \$7,500 and over | 184 | 49 | 91 | 18 | 494 | 377 | 117 |
| Occupational group: Wage earner |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749.- | 608 | 26 | 16 | 8 | 6 | 2 | 4 |
| \$750-\$999 | 814 | 41 | 30 | 9 | 28 | 20 | 8 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 846 | 46 | 48 | 20 | 79 | 49 | 30 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 786 | 47 | 45 | 24 | 104 | 50 | 54 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 850 | 35 | 62 | 19 | 119 | 67 | 52 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 670 | 45 | 67 | 16 | 129 | 82 | 47 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 562 | 33 | 91 | 26 | 197 | 109 | 88 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 356 | 30 | 86 | 28 | 245 | 148 | 97 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 392 | 38 | 77 | 23 | 192 | 126 | 66 |
| Clerical <br> \$750-\$999 | 340 | 29 | 25 | 6 | 16 | 11 | 5 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 546 | 39 | 48 | 14 | 90 | 40 | 50 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 458 | 30 | 44 | 8 | 59 | 46 | 13 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 630 | 43 | 51 | 28 | 122 | 60 | 62 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 790 | 36 | 63 | 19 | 186 | 91 | 95 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 724 | 36 | 66 | 24 | 174 | 105 | 69 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 610 | 34 | 62 | 28 | 200 | 88 | 112 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999....................- | 746 | 33 | 78 | 16 | 206 | 143 | 63 |
| Independent business and professional |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 130 | 33 | 46 | 12 | 62 | 37 | 25 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 178 | 29 | 45 | 10 | 58 | 36 | 22 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 196 | 37 | 66 | 25 | 144 | 82 | 62 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 138 | 26 | 90 | 24 | 280 | 157 | 123 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 154 | 27 | 93 | 42 | 278 | 136 | 142 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 208 | 45 | 79 | 27 | 224 | 129 | 95 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499. | 154 | 32 | 79 | 14 | 239 | 179 | 60 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 122 | 35 | 88 | 37 | 370 | 206 | 164 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 104 | 43 | 84 | 32 | 373 | 202 | 171 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499. | 156 | 33 | 92 | 39 | 533 | 239 | 294 |
| \$7,500 and over .................... | 78 | 24 | 90 | 23 | 581 | 429 | 152 |

See p. 146 for notes on this table.

## ATLANTA, GA.

Table 8.-Automobile operation and purchase: Percentage of families owning and purchasing automobiles, average money expenditure for all families for operation and purchase, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued


ATLANTA, GA.
Table 8.-Automobile operation and purchase: Percentage of families owning and purchasing automobiles, average money expenditure for all families for operation and purchase, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued

| Occupational group, family type, and income class | Number of families |  | Percentage of all families |  | Average money expenditure of all families |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eligible | Reporting ex-penditures | Owning automobiles | Purchasing automobiles | Operation and purchase | Operation | $\begin{gathered} \text { Purchase } \\ \text { (net) } \end{gathered}$ |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| Types IV and V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749. | 198 | 10 | 10 | 10 | $\$ 8$ | \$3 | \$5 |
| \$750-\$999 | 364 | 23 | 14 |  | 14 | 14 |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 362 | 32 | 49 | 12 | 53 | 32 | 21 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 482 | 50 | 35 | 11 | 77 | 41 | 36 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 608 | 70 | 49 | 16 | 89 | 47 | 42 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 664 | 71 | 42 | 16 | 107 | 46 | 61 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 652 | 57 | 76 | 22 | 197 | 119 | 78 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 516 | 66 | 75 | 30 | 229 | 122 | 107 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999.. | 794 | 72 | 80 | 25 | 224 | 138 | 86 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 222 | 47 | 77 | 22 | 240 | 142 | 98 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 212 | 29 | 98 | 26 | 324 | 204 | 120 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 222 | 49 | 78 | 24 | 312 | 186 | 126 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | 236 | 33 | 93 | 52 | 620 | 326 | 294 |
| \$7,500 and over. | 100 | 21 | 90 | 21 | 554 | 449 | 105 |

## ATLANTA, GA.

Table 9.-Recreation: Average money expenditure for recreation of specified types, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1935-36
[White nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]

${ }_{1}^{1}$ See glossary, appendix B, for items included.

* Average amounts of less than $\$ 1$ and percentages less than 0.1 are not shown.


## atLanta, Ga.

Table 9.-Recreation: Average money expenditure for recreation of specified types, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued

| Occupational group, family type, and income class <br> (1) | Number of families |  | Average money expenditure for recreation |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eligible | Reporting ex-penditures | Total | Paid admissions |  | Equipment for games and sports | Other <br> (8) |
|  |  |  |  | Movies | Other |  |  |
|  | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |  |  |
| Salaritd business |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 84 <br> 94 <br> 156 <br> 156 <br> 126 <br> 186 | $\begin{aligned} & 28 \\ & 26 \\ & 32 \\ & 33 \\ & 31 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 30 \\ 35 \\ 46 \\ 50 \\ 72 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 12 \\ 14 \\ 20 \\ 19 \\ 24 \end{array}$ | $\$ 2$4538 | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 2 \\ 4 \\ 3 \\ 7 \\ 10 \end{array}$ | \$141318$\mathbf{1 8}$3130 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749.. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$2,500-\$2,999.. | $\begin{array}{r} 332 \\ 278 \\ 274 \\ 292 \\ 226 \\ 82 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 39 \\ & 31 \\ & 28 \\ & 30 \\ & 22 \\ & 13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 74 \\ 86 \\ 130 \\ 130 \\ 181 \\ 288 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26 \\ & 34 \\ & 28 \\ & 37 \\ & 45 \\ & 63 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9 \\ 11 \\ 11 \\ 7 \\ 16 \\ 26 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6 \\ 9 \\ 29 \\ 13 \\ 26 \\ 26 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}33 \\ 32 \\ 62 \\ 73 \\ 94 \\ \mathbf{9 4} \\ \hline\end{array}$ |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$7,500 and over- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salaried professional |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499... | $\begin{array}{r} 54 \\ 84 \\ 112 \\ 86 \\ 102 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21 \\ & 29 \\ & 26 \\ & 33 \\ & 29 \end{aligned}$ | 275141505858 |  |  |  | 1529 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 |  |  |  | 16 | 2 | 4 |  |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. |  |  |  | 16 | 2 | 6 | 17 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 |  |  |  | 19 | 5 | 5 | 21 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 |  |  |  | 19 | 5 | 10 | 24 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 140106 | $\begin{aligned} & 37 \\ & 33 \end{aligned}$ | 77144 | 24 <br> 32 | 87 | 812 | 3793 |
| \$8,000-\$8,499 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 96 | 31 <br> 21 <br> 1 | 91 | 36 | 12 | 5 | 3872 |
| \$4,000-84,999-- | 44424 | 30 | 141 | 43 | 16 | 10 |  |
| \$5,000-87,499.. |  | $\begin{aligned} & 19 \\ & 12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 181 \\ & 241 \end{aligned}$ | ${ }_{33}^{28}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12 \\ & 25 \end{aligned}$ | 50 | 108133 |
| \$7,500 and over- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Family type: Type I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749.- | 172 | 7 | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 |  | 1 | 21589 |
| \$750-\$999 | 320 | $\begin{array}{r}15 \\ 19 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 31 | 12 | 1 | --- |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 320450390536 |  | 15 | 6 |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 |  | 34 | 24 | 11 | 2 | ${ }_{3}^{2}$ |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 |  | 33. | 50 | 17 | 7 |  | 23 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | $\begin{aligned} & 540 \\ & 444 \\ & 434 \\ & 494 \\ & 144 \end{aligned}$ | 37 <br> 31 <br> 27 <br> 40 <br> 48 | $\begin{aligned} & 39 \\ & 38 \\ & 68 \\ & 63 \\ & 72 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 20 \\ & 22 \\ & 24 \\ & 30 \end{aligned}$ | 845577 | $\begin{array}{r} 4 \\ 3 \\ 21 \\ 7 \\ 8 \end{array}$ | 1211202527 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$3,500-\$3,999....... | 118988648 | $\begin{aligned} & 14 \\ & 17 \\ & 16 \\ & 10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 86 \\ 120 \\ 182 \\ 196 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 27 \\ & 29 \\ & 29 \\ & 42 \end{aligned}$ | 17882324 | $\begin{array}{r} 13 \\ 21 \\ 45 \\ 7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}29 \\ 62 \\ 85 \\ \mathbf{8 5} \\ \hline 123\end{array}$ |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$7,500 and over---......--...- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Types II and III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749....................... | $\begin{aligned} & 238 \\ & 470 \\ & 580 \\ & 640 \\ & 692 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathbf{9}$32347579 | $\begin{aligned} & 22 \\ & 23 \\ & 33 \\ & 42 \\ & 51 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3 \\ 6 \\ 9 \\ 12 \\ 18 \end{array}$ | 1 | 1 | 18 |
| \$750-\$899 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 |  |  |  |  | 2 | 1 | 21 |
| $\$ 1,250-\$ 1,499$ <br> $\$ 1,500-\$ 1,749$ |  |  |  |  | 3 4 | 3 4 | 24 25 |
|  | 720 | 687378 | 585656 | 181818 | 444 | 49 |  |
| \$1,750-\$1,999-................... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 540 458 | 5880 |  | 2425 | 87 | 7 | 3038 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 530172 |  | 69 77 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 31 | 132 | 32 | 11 | 14 | 75 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | $\begin{array}{r} 162 \\ 146 \\ 104 \\ 36 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 41 \\ & 37 \\ & 25 \\ & 18 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 144 \\ & 97 \\ & 230 \\ & 262 \end{aligned}$ | 23263635 | $\begin{array}{r} 9 \\ 7 \\ 17 \\ 29 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32 \\ & 11 \\ & 32 \\ & 82 \end{aligned}$ | 8053142116 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$7,500 and over..- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## ATLANTA, GA.

Table 9.-Recreation: Average money expenditure for recreation of specified types, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued

| Occupational group, family type, and income class <br> (1) | Number of families |  | Average money expenditure for recreation |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eligible | Report-penditures | Total | Paid admissions |  | Equipment for games and sports <br> (7) | Other <br> (8) |
|  |  |  |  | Movies | Other |  |  |
|  | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |  |  |
| Types IV and V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 198 | 10 | \$16 | \$8 |  |  | \$8 |
| \$750-\$999 | 364 <br> 362 | ${ }_{32}^{23}$ | $\stackrel{16}{29}$ |  |  | $\stackrel{\$ 2}{3}$ | 6 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 482 | 50 | 25 | 11 | $\stackrel{1}{2}$ | ${ }_{1}^{3}$ | 11 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 608 | 70 | 40 | 16 |  | 4 | 18 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 664 | 71 | 42 | 15 | 2 | 5 | 20 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 652 | 57 | 59 | 20 | 2 | 6 | 31 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 516 | 66 | 56 | 23 | 4 | 2 | 27 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 794 | 72 | 62 | ${ }^{28}$ | 7 | 4 | 23 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 ..---... | 222 | 47 | 75 | 33 | 7 | 7 | 28 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 212 | 29 | 112 | 39 | 10 | 20 | 43 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 222 | 49 | 149 | 49 | 11 | 13 | 76 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 | ${ }_{100}^{236}$ | 33 | 171 306 | $\stackrel{47}{56}$ | ${ }^{14}$ | $\stackrel{21}{35}$ | 89 |
| \$7,500 and over - | 100 | 21 | 306 | 56 | 25 | 35 | 190 |

# Footnotes for Tables in Expenditure Tabular Summary 

## Atlanta (White)

## TABLE 1

${ }^{1}$ See glossary, appendix $B$, for eligibility requirements.
${ }^{2}$ Money income is equal to the sum of money expenditure (column 7) plus net surplus or deficit (column 8) plus net balancing difference (column 9 ).
${ }^{3}$ Nonmoney income from housing includes inputed income from owned family or vacation homes plus rent received as pay or gift (average amounts based on all families, whether or not they reported such nonmoney income).

4 Includes purchases on cash or credit basis. Does not include money disbursements resulting in an increase in family assets or a decrease in liabilities. (Examples of disbursements not treated as expenditures will be found in the glossary, appendix B.)

5 See glossary, appendix B, for definitions of surplus and deficit.

- Represents the average net difference between reported money receipts and reported money disbursements. See glossary, appendix B. A maximum balancing difference within 5.5 percent was allowable on each schedule.

TABLE 1-A
${ }^{1}$ A surplus represents an increase in assets or a decrease in liabilities, or both; a deficit represents a decrease in assets or an increase in liabilities, or both.
${ }^{2}$ Some families reported neither surplus nor deficit for the year; therefore the sum of columns 5 and 6 does not always equal 100 percent.

3 Since the average amounts in these two columns are based on the number of families reporting surplus or deficit, respectively, they do not add to the average net surplus or deficit shown in column 4.

TABLE 2
${ }^{1}$ The averages in this table include money expenditure for goods and services purchased on either cash or credit basis. They do not include value of goods and services received without money expense. Averages are based on all families, whether or not they reported expenditures for the specified catagories.
${ }^{2}$ Housing expenditures include the money expenses of home owners and rent contracted for by renting families for family homes and other housing. The value of fuel, light, and refrigeration is included when furnished by the landlord and included in the rental rate.
${ }^{3}$ Includes all expenditures for operation and maintenance (see table 8), and the net purchase price (gross price less trade-in allowance) of automobiles bought during the schedule year. The proportion of automobile expense chargeable to business has been deducted. See glossary, appendix $B$.
${ }^{4}$ Includes paid admissions, equipment and supplies for games, sports, and other recreation, club dues, and the like. Does not include expense for transportation, food, or lodging while on vacation.
sTaxes include only poll, income, and personal property taxes. All other taxes, such as those on real estate, amusements and retail sales taxes, are included as a part of the expenditure for these items. Gifts do not include gifts from one member of the economic family to another.

TABLE 3
${ }^{1}$ Includes expenditures for board at school, which amounted to less than 5 percent of average food expense for all families. Among families in the business and professional categories, it amounted at most to an average of $\$ 101$, at the income level $\$ 7,500$ and over. For families of types IV and V, it amounted at most to $\$ 127$, at the income level $\$ 7,500$ and over.
${ }^{1}$ See glossary, appendix B, for method of deriving this figure.
TABLE 4
1 Average amounts for renting families based on rental rate contracted for. Value of fuel, light, and refrigeration is included when furnished by the landlord and included in the rental rate. See table 4-A for percentage of families for whom these facilities were included as part of the rent.
${ }^{2}$ See table 4-A for separation of expense for owning and renting families.
${ }^{3}$ Includes net money expenditure for owned or rented vacation homes, lodging while traveling or on vacation, and room at school.

4 See glossary, appendix B, for method of deriving this figure. Includes nonmoney income from owned vacation homes, which amounted at most to an average of less than $\$ 1$ for all families at any income level.
${ }^{5}$ Percentages based on the average value of all housing (column 6).

## TABLE 4-A

${ }^{1}$ These two percentages do not always add to 100 , since families that both owned and rented during the year, or received rent as gift or pay, are not included in columns 4 through 7 .
${ }^{2}$ Percentages based on renting families reporting these facilities included in rent at the end of the schedule year.

## TABLE 5

${ }^{1}$ Excludes value of fuel, light, and refrigeration furnished by the landlord and included in the rental rate. Fuel received without expense is not included in this average, but amounted to less than 5 percent of the money expense for fuel, light, and refrigeration for all families.

2 See glossary, appendix B, for items included.
TABLE 6
IValue of clothing gifts from one family member to another are included in the average expenditure for
the member receiving such gifts. Gifts of clothing to or from individuals outside the economic family are
excluded.
2 For families of type I, averages and percentages shown in columns 7 and 10 are for individuals who were
members of the economic family less than 27 weeks, and were therefore not considered equivalent members
in determining family type. See glossary, appendix $B$, for method of classifying families by type.
TABLE 7
1 See glossary, appendix B, for items included.
TABLE 8
${ }^{1}$ To obtain the average expense of operation for families owning automobiles, divide the average shown in this column by the corresponding figure in column 4 and multiply by 100.
${ }_{2}$ To obtain the average net purchase price (gross price less trade-in allowance) for families purchasing automobiles, divide the average shown in this column by the corresponding figure in column 5 and multiply by 100 .

## TABLE 9

1 See glossary, appendix B, for items included.

## ATLANTA, GA.

Table 1.-Balance of family income and expenditure: Number of eligible families, number reporting expenditures, average net money and nonmoney income, average money expenditure for family living, net surplus or deficit, and balancing difference, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1935-86
[Negro nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]

| Occupational group, family type, and income class | Number of families |  | Average net income |  |  | Average money expenditure for family living * <br> (7) | Average net surplus or deficit (-) ${ }^{5}$ <br> (8) | Average net balancing difference <br> (9) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eligible ${ }^{1}$ | Report- <br> ing ex- <br> penditures | Total | Money ${ }^{2}$ | Non- money from housing ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |  |  |  |
| All families |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250 | 182 | 24 | \$191 | \$177 | \$14 | \$280 | -\$98 | -\$5 |
| \$250-\$499 | 980 | 134 | 390 | 378 | 12 | 420 | -32 | -10 |
| \$500-\$749. | 1,926 | 194 | 634 | 623 | 11 | 631 | 2 | -10 |
| \$750-\$999 | 1,508 | 161 | 864 | 835 | 29 | 812 | 27 | -4 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 698 | 120 | 1,116 | 1,064 | 52 | 1,018 | 59 | -13 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499..................- | 376 | 99 | 1,360 | 1,289 | 71 | 1,206 | 87 | -4 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 62 | 26 | 1,648 | 1,559 | 89 | 1,434 | 140 | -15 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 64 | 25 | 1,891 | 1, 866 | 25 | 1,617 | 267 | -18 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 56 | 22 | 2, 136 | 2,018 | 118 | 1,716 | 301 | 1 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499. | 60 | 24 | 2,364 | 2,237 | 127 | 1,823 | 420 | -6 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999-- -------------- | 36 | 17 | 2,700 | 2, 534 | 166 | 1,856 | 695 | -17 |
|  | 26 | 9 | 3,316 | 3,207 | 109 | 2, 358 | 810 | 39 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 10 | 5 | 3,845 | 3, 784 | 61 | 2,731 | 1,008 | 45 |
| \$4,000 and over | 20 | 9 | 5,387 | 5, 130 | 257 | 3,597 | 1,509 | 24 |
| Occupational group; Wage earner |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250. | 182 | 24 | 191 | 177 | 14 | 280 | -98 | -5 |
| \$250-\$489 | 872 | 103 | 390 | 378 | 12 | 418 | -30 | -10 |
| \$500-\$749. | 1,742 | 123 | 634 | 625 | 9 | 633 | 2 | -10 |
| \$750-\$999 | 1,342 | 99 | 862 | 836 | 26 | 807 | 32 | -3 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 590 | 73 | 1,118 | 1,071 | 47 | 1,018 | 66 | -13 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 ----.-.-.--.---- | 298 | 62 | 1,359 | 1,298 | 61 | 1,199 | 101 | -2 |
| Clerical |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 10 | 5 | 407 | 452 | -45 | 505 | -50 | -3 |
| \$500-\$749------------------ | 54 | 27 | 639 | 626 | 13 | 643 | -9 | -8 |
| \$750-\$999 | 44 | 22 | 869 | 814 | 55 | 806 | 33 | -25 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 46 | 23 | 1,141 | 1,076 | 65 | 1,071 | 15 | -10 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 . .-............... | 24 | 12 | 1,379 | 1,273 | 106 | 1,223 | 60 | -10 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 20 | 9 | 1,599 | 1,536 | 63 | 1,322 | 208 | 6 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 22 | 9 | 1,905 | 1,970 | -65 | 1,981 | 44 | -55 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 28 | 11 | 2,142 | 2,081 | 61 | 1,687 | 387 | 7 |
| \$2,250 and over--..---.-.-.---- | 66 | 28 | 2,788 | 2, 682 | 108 | 1,964 | 714 | 4 |
| Independent business and professional |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499------.-.----------- | 82 | 21 | 398 | 386 | 12 | 458 | -67 | $-5$ |
| \$500-\$749 | 100 | 29 | 636 | 603 | 33 | 614 | -2 | -9 |
| \$750-\$999 | 90 | 24 | 880 | 834 | 46 | 872 | -30 | -8 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 40 | 13 | 1,078 | 990 | 88 | 949 | 55 | -14 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499....-...-.-. --. | 26 | 13 | 1,351 | 1,218 | 133 | 1,231 | -10 | -3 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 20 | 7 | 1,697 | 1,567 | 130 | 1,348 | 249 | -30 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 22 | 6 | 1,909 | 1,848 | 61 | 1, 413 | 424 | 11 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 10 | 4 | 2,146 | 1, 899 | 247 | 1,551 | 342 | 6 |
| \$2,250 and over .------------ | 24 | 10 | 3,883 | 3,680 | 203 | 2,297 | 1,323 | 60 |

See p. 188 for notes on this table.

## ATLANTA, GA.

Table 1.-Balance of family income and expenditure: Number of eligible families, number reporting expenditures, average net money and nonmoney income, average money expenditure for family living, net surplus or deficit, and balancing difference, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1935-96-Continued


[^66]ATLANTA, GA.
Table 1.-Balance of family income and expenditure: Number of eligible families, number reporting expenditures, average net money and nonmoney income, average money expenditure for family living, net surplus or deficit, and balancing difference, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1935-96-Continued

| Occupational group, family type, and income class | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number of fam- } \\ & \text { ilies } \end{aligned}$ |  | Average net income |  |  | Average mpendi ture for family living | Average net surplus or $(-)$ <br> (8) | A verage net balancing ence |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eligible | Reporting ex-penditures | Total | Money | $\underset{\substack{\text { Non- } \\ \text { money }}}{\substack{\text { from }}}$ from housing |  |  |  |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |  |  |  |
| Type V | 46213817896 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{2} \\ & 11 \\ & 21 \\ & 14 \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\left.\stackrel{( }{ }{ }^{+}\right)$ <br> $\$ 398$ 616 900 <br> 1, 132 |  |  | ( ${ }^{\text {) }}$ | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ ) |
| Under \$250 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-8499- |  |  |  |  |  |  | -\$4 | - ${ }^{9}$ |
| \$500-8749 |  |  |  |  | 8 | ${ }_{8}^{615}$ | 4 | $-11$ |
| \$750-\$999 |  |  |  |  | 16 39 | 876 1,049 | - ${ }^{5}$ | 3 5 |
| \$1,500-\$2,249 | 34 | 15 | 1,884 | 1,803 | 81 | 1, 633 | 178 | -8 |
| \$2,250 and over- | 24 | 11 | 3,262 | 3, 034 | 228 | 2, 364 | 706 | -36 |
| Type VI |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250. | 14 | 1 | (t) | (t) |  | ( ${ }^{\text {) }}$ |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 | $\begin{array}{r}54 \\ 170 \\ \hline 1\end{array}$ | 19 | $\begin{array}{r}386 \\ 648 \\ \hline 8\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}374 \\ 648 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | (*) ${ }^{12}$ | $\begin{array}{r}387 \\ 667 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | ${ }^{(*)}{ }_{-9}$ | -13 -10 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 84 24 | 12 | 1,133 | 1,061 | 72 | 1,081 | -4 | $-16$ |
| \$1,250-\$1,499.- | 22 | 11 |  | 1,268 | 114 | 1,231 |  | -7 |
| \$1,500-\$2,249. | 2 | 1 | (t) | (†) | ( ${ }^{\text {) }}$ | ( $\dagger$ ) | (t) | ${ }^{(\dagger)}{ }_{-36}$ |
| \$2,250 and over--------------- | 6 | 3 | 2,381 | 2,173 |  | 1,708 |  | -36 |
| Type VII |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250 | 6 | 1 | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 | 46 | 8 | 458 | 436 | 22 | 486 | $-38$ | -12 |
| \$500-\$749 | 122 | 11 | 625 | 604 | 21 | 641 | -16 | -21 |
| \$750-\$999 | 104 | 13 | 857 | 832 | 25 | 853 | -4 | -17 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 60 | 14 | 1,088 | 1,080 | 8 | 1,114 | -8 | -26 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 34 | 16 | 1,328 | 1,280 | 48 | 1,265 | 26 | -11 |
| \$1,500-\$2,249 | 12 | 5 | 1,838 | 1,756 | 82 | 1,670 | 120 | -34 |
| \$2,250 and over-... | 16 | 8 | 3,002 | 2,921 | 81 | 2,572 | 370 | -21 |

[^67]
## ATLANTA, GA.

Table 1-A.-Net surplus or deficit: Percentage of families having a surplus or deficit, and average amounts reported, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1935-36 ${ }^{1}$
[Negro nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]


See p. 188 for notes on this table.

## ATLANTA, GA.

Table 1-A.-Net surplus or deficit: Percentage of families having a surplus or deficit, and average amounts reported, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued

$\dagger$ Averages and percentages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

## ATLANTA, GA.

Table 1-A.-Net surplus or deficit: Percentage of families having a surplus or deficit, and average amounts reported, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1935-96-Continued


[^68]ATLAN'TA, GA.
Table 2.-Summary of family expenditure: Average money expenditure for specified groups of goods and services, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1935-36 ${ }^{1}$


ATLANTA, GA.
Table 2.-Summary of family expenditure: Average money expenditure for specified groups of goods and services, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1935-86-Continued

| Occupational group, family type, and income class | Number of families |  | Average number of persons per family | Total <br> (5) | Food <br> (6) | Housing <br> (7) | Household operation |  | Fur-nishings and equip ment <br> (10) | Clothing <br> (11) | Auto-mobile <br> (12) | Other trans-portation <br> (13) | Personal care <br> (14) | Medical care <br> (15) | Recreation <br> (16) | Tobacco <br> (17) | Reading <br> (18) | Formal education <br> (19) | Con-tributions and personal taxes | Other items <br> (21) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\underset{\text { ble }}{\text { Eligi- }}$ | Reporting extures |  |  |  |  | Fuel, light, and refrigeration | Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1) | (2) | (3) |  |  |  |  | (8) | (9) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | centage | f tota | money | expend | itures |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All families |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250. | 182 | 24 | 3.0 | 100.0 | 36.1 | 27.8 | 11.4 | 2.8 | 3.6 | 3.6 |  | 1.4 | 2.1 | 6.8 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 0.4 |
| \$250-\$499 | 980 | 134 | 3.2 | 100.0 | 36.8 | 24.1 | 11.0 | 2.6 | 2.1 | 6.4 | 0.2 | 1.9 | 2.6 | 4.5 | 1. 2 | 2.4 | 1.2 | . 2 | 2.1 | . 7 |
| \$500-\$749 | 1,926 | 194 | 3.5 | 100.0 | 36.2 | 18.1 | 9.7 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 8.7 | 1.1 | 3.2 | 2.8 | 5.7 | 1.7 | 2.4 | 1.3 | . 3 | 2.7 | . 5 |
| \$750-\$909 | 1, 508 | 161 | 3. 5 | 100.0 | 32.0 | 15. 1 | 8.3 | 3.1 | 3.9 | 11.6 | 2.3 | 4.2 | 3.0 | 6.2 | 2.0 | 2.3 | 1.2 | . 5 | 3.8 | . 5 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 698 | 120 | 3.7 | 100.0 | 29.8 | 13.8 | 7.8 | 3.2 | 4.5 | 12.4 | 4.7 | 4.2 | 3.2 | 5.5 | 2.4 | 2.6 | 1.2 | . 5 | 41 | . 1 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 $\ldots \ldots . .$. | 376 | 99 | 3.7 | 100.0 | 27.5 | 11.7 | 7.5 | 4.8 | 4.7 | 13.1 | 5.4 | 4.2 | 3.2 | 5.8 | 2.7 | 2.1 | 1.1 | . 9 | 5.0 | . 3 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 ........- | 62 | 26 | 4.1 | 100.0 | 28.6 | 10.5 | 7.5 | 5.5 | 3.5 | 14.0 | 7.2 | 2.6 | 2.9 | 6.1 | 2.0 | 1.4 | 1.3 | . 9 | 3.6 | 2.4 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 64 | 25 | 3.4 | 100.0 | 25.6 | 15.6 | 7.5 | 4.8 | 2.0 | 13.4 | 8.5 | 3.1 | 2.5 | 5.8 | 2.4 | . 8 | 1.3 | 1.9 | 3.9 | . 9 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 $\ldots \ldots . .$. | 56 | 22 | 4.0 | 100.0 | 29.5 | 11.7 | 7.3 | 5. 8 | 2.3 | 11.7 | 9.8 | 1.9 | 2.5 | 4.4 | 3.1 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.9 | 5.3 | . 5 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 ........-- | 60 | 24 | 4.2 | 100.0 | 27.6 | 11.1 | 7.3 | 5.1 | 4.8 | 12.1 | 67 | 2.9 | 2.6 | 4.5 | 3.8 | 1.7 | 1.2 | 2.6 | 5.6 | . 4 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999......... | 36 | 17 | 3.9 | 100.0 | 26.7 | 9.2 | 6.7 | 6.4 | 4.0 | 15.6 | 5.6 | 1.7 | 2.9 | 6.4 | 2.4 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 3.2 | 6.2 | 2 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499.......... | 26 | 9 | 3.2 | 100.0 | 23.9 | 8.7 | 7.2 | 9.1 | 3.1 | 15.4 | 8.3 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 4.4 | 3. 6 | 2.0 | 1.8 | . 8 | 5.3 | . 5 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 10 | 5 | 3.0 | 100.0 | 18.0 | 9.9 | 4. 9 | 6. 7 | 1.1 | 15. 7 | 17.6 | 1. 5 | 2.1 | 9.7 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 1.4 |  | 2.8 | 4. 1 |
| \$4,000 and over-..--- | 20 | 9 | 4.8 | 100.0 | 19.6 | 6.4 | 4.9 | 7.1 | 3.0 | 15. 4 | 16.3 | 1.1 | 3.3 | 2.9 | 4.7 | . 7 | 1.3 | 5.4 | 7.8 | 1 |
| Occupational groux : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | verage m | oney ex | pendit | re in d | llars |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250.. | 182 | 24 | 3.0 | 280 | 101 | 78 | 32 | 8 | 10 | 10 |  | 4 | 6 | 19 | 3 | 4 | 2 | (*) | 2 | 1 |
| \$250-\$499 | 872 | 103 | 3.2 | 418 | 154 | 101 | 46 | 11 | 9 | 28 | (*) | 8 | 11 | 19 | 5 | 10 | 5 | 1 | 8 | 2 |
| \$500-\$749 ------------ | 1, 742 | 123 | 3.5 | 633 | 228 | 116 | 62 | 17 | 19 | 56 | ${ }^{6}$ | 20 | 18 | 36 | 11 | 15 | 8 | 1 | 17 | 3 |
| \$750-\$999............- | 1,342 | 99 | 3.5 | 807 | 260 | 121 | 67 | 24 | 32 | 94 | 19 | 34 | 23 | 51 | 15 | 19 | 10 | 3 | 30 | 5 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 $\ldots . . . .$. | 590 | 73 | 3.7 | 1, 018 | 301 | 143 | 77 | 30 | 49 | 132 | 39 | 46 | 33 | 55 | 25 | 28 | 12 | 5 | 42 | 1 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 ........- | 298 | 62 | 3.7 | 1,199 | 329 | 141 | 88 | 55 | 60 | 163 | 57 | 55 | 40 | 65 | 32 | 27 | 12 | 11 | 60 | 4 |



ATLANTA, GA.
Table 2.-Summary of family expenditure: Average money expenditure for specified groups of goods and services, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued

| Occupational group, family type, and income class | Number of families |  | Average number of persons per family | Total <br> (5) | Food <br> (6) | Housing <br> (7) | Household operation |  | Fur-nishings and equipment <br> (10) | Clothing <br> (11) | Auto-mobile <br> (12) | Other trans-portation <br> (13) | Personal care <br> (14) | Medical care(15) | Recreation <br> (16) | Tobacco <br> (17) | Reading <br> (18) | Formal education <br> (19) | Con-tributions and personal taxes | Other items <br> (21) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\underset{\text { ble }}{\text { Eligi- }}$ | Reporting extures |  |  |  |  | Fuel, light, and refrig- eration | Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1) | (2) | (3) |  |  |  |  | (8) | (9) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Independent business and prolessional |  |  |  | Average money expenditure in dollars |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 | 82 | 21 | 2.9 | 458 | 152 | 105 | 47 | 14 | 16 | 21 | 2 | 9 | 11 | 25 | 10 | 11 | 7 | 1 | 9 | 18 |
| \$500-\$749 | 100 | 29 | 3.1 | 614 | 221 | 95 | 60 | 22 | 8 | 47 | 15 | 19 | 16 | 53 | 6 | 17 | 10 | 5 | 19 | 1 |
| \$750-\$999 | 90 | 24 | 3.7 | 872 | 277 | 123 | 70 | 36 | 33 | 99 | 45 | 38 | 24 | 40 | 13 | 16 | 12 | 11 | 34 | 1 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249.........- | 40 | 13 | 3.4 | 949 | 302 | 104 | 97 | 50 | 45 | 81 | 55 | 17 | 21 | 63 | 18 | 20 | 12 | 7 | 45 | 12 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 $\ldots . . . . . .-$ | 26 | 13 | 3.5 | 1,231 | 363 | 125 | 95 | 63 | 40 | 123 | 98 | 24 | 37 | 98 | 32 | 15 | 18 | 20 | 73 | 7 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 20 | 7 | 4.3 | 1,348 | 428 | 137 | 94 | 95 | 36 | 188 | 103 | 36 | 42 | 73 | 16 | 21 | 19 | 0 | 49 | 5 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 22 | 6 | 3.0 | 1,413 | 439 | 180 | 102 | 66 | 18 | 240 | 18 | 59 | 35 | 103 | 33 | 16 | 22 | 16 | 66 | (*) |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 10 | 4 | 3.2 | 1, 551 | 541 | 162 | 141 | 118 | 3 | 123 | 67 | 35 | 35 | 109 | 51 | 27 | 20 | 50 | 69 |  |
| \$2,250 and over...... | 24 | 10 | 3.5 | 2,297 | 463 | 227 | 146 | 181 | 67 | 295 | 387 | 26 | 55 | 93 | 96 | 19 | 26 | 49 | 155 | 12 |
| Independent business |  |  |  | Percentage of total money expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 | 82 | 21 | 2.9 | 100.0 | 33.2 | 22.9 | 10.3 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 4.6 | 0.4 | 2.0 | 2.4 | 5.5 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 1.5 | 0.2 | 2.0 | 3.9 |
|  | 100 | 29 | 3.1 | 100.0 | 36.0 | 15.5 | 9.8 | 3.6 | 1.3 | 7.6 | 2.4 | 3.1 | 2.6 | 8.6 | 1.0 | 2.8 | 1.6 | . 8 | 3.1 | . 2 |
| \$750-\$999 | 90 | 24 | 3.7 | 100.0 | 31.8 | 14.1 | 8.0 | 4.1 | 3.8 | 11.3 | 5. 1 | 4.4 | 2.8 | 4.6 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 3.9 | .1 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 40 | 13 | 3.4 | 100.0 | 31.9 | 11.0 | 10.2 | 5.3 | 4.7 | 8.5 | 5.8 | 1.8 | 2.2 | 6.6 | 1.9 | 2.1 | 1.3 | . 7 | 4.7 | 1.3 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 $\ldots \ldots$ | 26 | 13 | 3.5 | 100.0 | 29.5 | 10.2 | 7.7 | 5.1 | 3.2 | 10.0 | 8.0 | 1.9 | 3.0 | 8.0 | 2.6 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 5.9 | . 6 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749...----- | 20 | 7 | 4.3 | 100.0 | 31.8 | 10.2 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 2.7 | 13.9 | 7.6 | 2.7 | 3.1 | 5.4 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 1.4 | . 4 | 3.6 | . 4 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 22 | 6 | 3.0 | 100.0 | 31.1 | 12.7 | 7.2 | 4.7 | 1.3 | 17.0 | 1.3 | 4.2 | 2.5 | 7.3 | 2.3 | 1.1 | 1.5 | 1.1 | 4.7 | (*) |
| \$2,000-\$2,249......... | 10 | 4 | 3.2 | 100.0 | 35.0 | 10.4 | 9.1 | 7.6 | .2 | 7.9 | 4.3 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 7.0 | 3.3 | 1.7 | 1.3 | 3.2 | 4.4 |  |
| \$2,250 and over.-...- | 24 | 10 | 3.5 | 100.0 | 20.2 | 9.9 | 6.4 | 7.9 | 2.9 | 12.8 | 16.9 | 1.1 | 2.4 | 4.0 | 4.2 | . 8 | 1.1 | 2.1 | 6.8 | . 5 |


| Salaried business and |  |  |  | A verage money expenditure in dollars |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$250-\$499 | 16 | 5 | 2.9 | 263 | 95 | 55 | 30 | 14 | 2 | 19 | 4 | 7 | 7 | 13 | (*) | 1 | 4 | 1 | 11 |  |
| \$500-\$749. | 30 | 15 | 3.4 | 575 | 223 | 104 | 61 | 19 | 5 | 51 | 8 | 29 | 18 | 20 | 3 | 9 | 8 | 2 | 13 | 2 |
| \$750-\$999. | 32 | 16 | 2.8 | 852 | 245 | 163 | 65 | 36 | 22 | 91 | 10 | 41 | 23 | 47 | 17 | 6 | 19 | 16 | 51 | (*) |
| \$1,000-\$1,249.........- | 22 | 11 | 3.0 | 1,051 | 304 | 109 | 79 | 53 | 11 | 127 | 142 | 16 | 38 | 63 | 19 | 23 | 13 | 2 | 50 | 2 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 $\ldots . . . . .$. | 28 | 12 | 3.6 | 1,248 | 345 | 159 | 105 | 86 | 29 | 127 | 103 | 38 | 34 | 88 | 23 | 15 | 20 | 7 | 66 | 3 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 22 | 10 | 4.7 | 1,617 | 391 | 168 | 123 | 84 | 80 | 225 | 102 | 42 | 41 | 134 | 36 | 16 | 26 | 15 | 48 | 86 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 20 | 10 | 3.3 | 1,441 | 339 | 219 | 137 | 84 | 47 | 181 | 122 | 47 | 38 | 40 | 41 | 12 | 20 | 51 | 61 | 2 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 18 | 7 | 3.7 | 1,854 | 482 | 228 | 125 | 121 | 35 | 171 | 315 | 24 | 53 | 62 | 59 | 22 | 20 | 21 | 113 | 3 |
| \$2,250 and over...... | 62 | 26 | 4.2 | 2, 452 | 557 | 197 | 150 | 162 | 97 | 368 | 243 | 51 | 82 | 117 | 109 | 27 | 40 | 84 | 147 | 21 |
| Salaried business and |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ntag | of tot | one | pend | res |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 | 16 | 5 | 2.9 | 100.0 | 36.0 | 20.9 | 11.4 | 5.3 | 0.8 | 7.2 | 1.5 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 4.9 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 1.5 | 0.4 | 4.2 |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 30 | 15 | 3.4 | 100.0 | 38.8 | 18.1 | 10.6 | 3.3 | . 9 | 8.9 | 1.4 | 5.0 | 3.1 | 3. 5 | . 5 | 1.6 | 1.4 | . 3 | 2.3 | 0.3 |
| \$750-\$999 | 32 | 16 | 2.8 | 100.0 | 28.8 | 19.1 | 7.6 | 4.2 | 2.6 | 10.7 | 1.2 | 4.8 | 2.7 | 5.5 | 2.0 | . 7 | 2.2 | 1.9 | 6.0 | (*) |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 22 | 11 | 3.0 | 100.0 | 29.0 | 10.4 | 7.5 | 5.0 | 1.0 | 12.1 | 13.5 | 1.5 | 3.6 | 6.0 | 1.8 | 2.2 | 1.2 | . 2 | 4.8 | . 2 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 $\ldots . . . . . .-$ | 28 | 12 | 3.6 | 100.0 | 27.7 | 12.7 | 8.4 | 6.9 | 2.3 | 10.2 | 8.3 | 3.0 | 2.7 | 7.1 | 1.8 | 1.2 | 1.6 | . 6 | 5.3 | . 2 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 $\ldots \ldots . .$. | 22 | 10 | 4.7 | 100.0 | 24.3 | 10.4 | 7.6 | 5.2 | 4.9 | 13.9 | 6.3 | 2.6 | 2.5 | 8.3 | 2.2 | 1.0 | 1.6 | . 9 | 3.0 | 5.3 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 20 | 10 | 3.3 | 100.0 | 23.6 | 15.2 | 9.5 | 5.8 | 3.3 | 12.6 | 8.5 | 3.3 | 2.6 | 2.8 | 2.8 | . 8 | 1.4 | 3.5 | 4.2 | . 1 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 $\ldots \ldots$. | 18 | 7 | 3.7 | 100.0 | 26.0 | 12.3 | 6.7 | 6.5 | 1.9 | 9.2 | 17.0 | 1.3 | 2.9 | 3.3 | 3. 2 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 6.1 | . 2 |
| \$2,250 and over...... | 62 | 26 | 4.2 | 100.0 | 22.7 | 8.0 | 6.2 | 6.6 | 3.9 | 15.0 | 9.9 | 2.1 | 3.4 | 4.8 | 4. 4 | 1.1 | 1.6 | 3.4 | 6.0 | . 9 |
| Family type: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | rage | ney ex | ndit | in d |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250... | 88 | 9 | 2.0 | 252 | 84 | 54 | 33 | 10 | 19 | 7 |  | 5 | 5 | 25 | 1 | 1 | 2 |  | 2 | (*) |
| \$250-\$499 | 422 | 34 | 2.0 | 423 | 141 | 104 | 48 | 13 | 11 | 25 | 1 | 9 | 11 | 22 | 2 | 11 | 5 |  | 14 | 6 |
| \$500-\$749 | 678 | 62 | 2.0 | 609 | 201 | 100 | 56 | 20 | 20 | 55 | 8 | 24 | 20 | 46 | 8 | 16 | 9 | (*) | 25 | 1 |
| \$750-\$999 | 516 | 54 | 2.0 | 777 | 239 | 115 | 61 | 27 | 30 | 83 | 21 | 37 | 24 | 43 | 9 | 22 | 11 |  | 46 | 9 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249........- | 212 | 26 | 2.0 | 1,021 | 268 | 139 | 70 | 44 | 66 | 115 | 90 | 22 | 32 | 56 | 20 | 30 | 11 | $\left.{ }^{*}\right)$ | 57 | 1 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499......... | 118 | 25 | 2.0 | 1,216 | 257 | 149 | 91 | 76 | 68 | 146 | 94 | 49 | 42 | 85 | 28 | 27 | 13 |  | 89 | 2 |
| \$1,500-\$2,249 | 44 | 15 | 2.0 | 1,439 | 360 | 171 | 110 | 69 | 48 | 199 | 150 | 35 | 34 | 112 | 28 | 18 | 19 | 5 | 79 | 2 |
| \$2,250 and over.....- | 28 | 11 | 2.0 | 2,030 | 477 | 238 | 149 | 207 | 87 | 280 | 176 | 33 | 52 | 86 | 61 | 51 | 34 |  | 96 | 3 |

*Average amounts of less than $\$ 1$ and percentages of less than 0.1 are not shown.
atlanta, Ga.
Table 2.-Summary of family expenditure: Average money expenditure for specified groups of goods and services, by occupation, family

| Occupational group,family type, andincome class | Number of families |  | Aver-agenum-ber ofpersonsperfamily(4) | Total | Food | $\begin{gathered} \text { Hous- } \\ \text { ing } \end{gathered}$ | Househoid operation |  | Fur-nishings equip ment | Clothing <br> (11) | Auto-mobile | Other trans-portation | Personal care | Medi cal care | Recre ation | T0bacco <br> (17) | Reading | Formal education <br> (19) | Con-tribu-tionsandper-sonaltaxes | Other item <br> (21) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\underset{\text { ble }}{\text { Eligi- }}$ <br> (2) | Reporting ex-pendi(3) |  |  |  |  | Fuel, light, and refrigeration <br> (8) | Other <br> (9) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Percentage of total money expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 | 422 | 34 | 2.0 | 100.0 | ${ }_{33.4}^{33.2}$ | 24.6 | 11.3 | 3.1 | 2.6 2.6 | 5.9 | 0.2 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 5.9 | 0.4 .5 | 2.6 | 1.2 |  | 3.4 | 1.4 |
| \$500-8749 | 678 | 62 | 2.0 | 100.0 | 33.0 | 16.4 | 9.2 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 9.0 | 1.3 | 3.9 | 3.3 | 7.5 | 1.3 | 2.6 | 1.5 | 0.1 | 4.1 | . 2 |
| \$750-\$999 | 516 | 54 | 2.0 | 100.0 | 30.7 | 14.8 | 7.8 | 3.5 | 3.9 | 10.7 | 2.7 | 4.8 | 3.1 | 5.5 | 1.2 | 2.8 | 1.4 |  | 5.9 | 1.2 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 212 | 26 | 2.0 | 100.0 | 26.2 | 13.6 | 6.8 | 4.3 | 6.5 | 11.3 | 8.8 | 2.2 | 3.1 | 5.5 | 2.0 | 2.9 | 1.1 | (*) | 5.6 | .1 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 118 | 25 | 2.0 | 100.0 | 21.1 | 12.3 | 7.5 | 6.2 | 5.6 | 12.0 | 7.8 | 4.0 | 3.4 | 7.0 | 2.3 | 2.2 |  |  | 7.3 |  |
| \$1,500-\$2, 249 | 44 | 15 | 2.0 | 100.0 | 25.0 | 11.9 | 7.7 | 4.8 | 3.3 | 13.8 | 10.4 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 7.8 | 2.0 | 1.3 | 1.3 | . ${ }^{-}$ | 5. 5 | 1 |
| \$2,250 and over.. | 28 | 11 | 2.0 | 100.0 | 23.5 | 11.7 | 7.3 | 10.2 | 4.3 | 13.8 | 8.7 | 1.6 | 2.6 | 4.2 | 3.0 | 2.5 | 1.7 |  | 4.7 | .$_{2}$ |
|  |  |  |  | A verage money expenditure in dollars |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250... | 24 |  | 3.0 | 245 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499.... | 122 | 21 | 3.0 | 410 | 166 | 103 | 42 | 11 | 3 | 29 | (*) | 5 | 11 | 18 | 7 | 5 | 6 |  | 4 | ${ }^{(*)}$ |
| \$500-\$749 | 308 | ${ }^{24}$ | 3.0 | 651 | 235 | 128 | ${ }^{66}$ | 18 | 17 | 54 | 11 | 15 | ${ }_{25}^{16}$ | 38 | 13 | 15 | 9 | 1 | 13 | (*) 2 |
| \$750-\$999 | 164 | 16 | 3.0 | 817 | 230 | 145 | ${ }_{7}^{73}$ | ${ }_{30}^{30}$ | 45 | 80 | 40 | 27 | 25 | 48 | 20 | 14 | 10 | 3 | 27 | (*) |
| \$1,000-\$1,249... | 56 | 14 | 3.0 | 933 | 297 | 111 | 79 | 33 | 46 | 126 | 33 | 40 | 27 | 48 | 16 | 18 | 13 | 4 | 41 | 1 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499... | 22 |  | 3.0 |  |  | 125 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,500-\$2,249 $\ldots$--.... | ${ }_{28}^{24}$ | 9 | 3.0 | 1,673 | 456 | 257 | 134 | 131 | 42 | 142 | ${ }^{171}$ | 311 | 40 | 87 | ${ }^{57}$ | 18 | 17 | 16 | ${ }^{68}$ | ${ }_{7}$ |
| \$2,250 and over--...- | 26 | 10 | 3.0 | 1,856 | 445 | 190 | 125 | 110 | 79 | 178 | 326 | 35 | 36 | 88 | 42 | 29 | 20 | 8 | 138 | 7 |



ATLANTA, GA.
Table 2.-Summary of family expenditure: Average money expenditure for specified groups of goods and services, by occupation, family


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | rage | money | pend | re in | lars |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under \$250 | 4 | 2 | 5.5 | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ ) | $(\dagger)$ | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) |
| \$250-\$499. | 62 | 11 | 5.4 | 397 | 158 | 92 | 48 | 10 | 6 | 31 |  | 4 | 10 | 15 | 10 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 3 | (*) |
| \$500-\$749 | 138 | 21 | 5.6 | 615 | 229 | 114 | 55 | 12 | 19 | 50 | 2 | 24 | 18 | 35 | 17 | 17 | 7 | 4 | 11 | 1 |
| \$750-\$999 | 178 | 14 | 5.3 | 876 | 346 | 109 | 60 | 18 | 26 | 114 |  | 32 | 21 | 55 | 31 | 21 | 10 | 4 | 20 | 9 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249... | 96 | 15 | 5.4 | 1,049 | 355 | 115 | 78 | 28 | 29 | 136 | 30 | 96 | 40 | 45 | 22 | 26 | 11 | 5 | 33 |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 40 | 12 | 5.2 | 1,225 | 393 | 160 | 82 | 47 | 33 | 191 | 44 | 63 | 36 | 51 | 25 | 24 | 17 | 16 | 39 | 4 |
| \$1,500-\$2,249 | 34 | 15 | 5.5 | 1,633 | 504 | 169 | 117 | 68 | 22 | 254 | 27 | 65 | 47 | 119 | 49 | 18 | 19 | 33 | 57 | 63 |
| \$2,250 and over | 24 | 11 | 5.5 | 2,364 | 599 | 206 | 153 | 165 | 50 | 356 | 221 | 26 | 65 | 125 | 101 | 18 | 36 | 120 | 121 | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | entag | of tota | mone | xpen | ures |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250. | 4 | 2 | 5.5 | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ ) |
| \$250-\$499.- | 62 | 11 | 5.4 | 100.0 | 39.8 | 23.2 | 12.1 | 2.5 | 1.5 | 7.8 |  | 1. 0 | 2.5 | 3.8 | 2.5 | 1. 8 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.8 | (*) |
| \$500-8749 | 138 | 21 | 5.6 | 100.0 | 37.2 | 18.5 | 8.9 | 2.0 | 3.1 | 8.1 | 0.3 | 3.9 | 2.9 | 5.7 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 11 | . 7 | 1.8 | 0.2 |
| \$750-\$999 | 178 | 14 | 5.3 | 100.0 | 39.5 | 12.4 | 6.8 | 2.1 | 3.0 | 13.0 |  | 3.7 | 2.4 | 6.3 | 3.5 | 2.4 | 1.1 | . 5 | 2.3 | 1.0 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 96 | 15 | 5.4 | 100.0 | 33.8 | 11.0 | 7.4 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 13.0 | 2.8 | 9.2 | 3.8 | 4.3 | 2.1 | 2.5 | 1.0 | . 5 | 3.1 |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 40 | 12 | 5.2 | 100.0 | 32.1 | 13.1 | 6.7 | 3.8 | 2.7 | 15.6 | 3.6 | 5.1 | 2.9 | 4.2 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 3.2 | . 3 |
| \$1,500-\$2,249 | 34 | 15 | 5.5 | 100.0 | 30.8 | 10.3 | 7.2 | 4.2 | 1.3 | 15. 5 | 1.7 | 4.0 | 2.9 | 7.3 | 3.0 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 2.3 | 3. 5 | 3.7 |
| \$2,250 and over | 24 | 11 | 5.5 | 100.0 | 25.3 | 8.7 | 6.5 | 7.0 | 2.1 | 15.1 | 9.3 | 1.1 | 2.7 | 5.3 | 4.3 | . 8 | 1.5 | 5.1 | 5.1 | . 1 |
| Type VI |  |  |  | Average money expenditure in dollars |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250. | 14 | 1 | 5.0 | $\stackrel{(\dagger)}{387}$ | $\stackrel{(\dagger)}{171}$ | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ ) | $\left.{ }^{( } \dagger\right)$ | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ${ }^{(\dagger)}$ | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ${ }^{(\dagger)}$ | ${ }^{(\dagger)}{ }_{7}$ | ${ }^{(\dagger)}$ | ( $\dagger$ ) | ${ }^{(\dagger)}{ }_{5}$ | ${ }_{(+)}^{*}$ |
| \$250-\$499 | 54 | 19 | 5.2 |  |  | 88 | 44 | 10 | 3 | 20 | 3 | 8 | 10 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749. | 170 | 21 | 5.1 | 667 | 265 | 119 | 67 | 15 | 17 | 64 | 3 | 19 | 19 | 29 | 14 | 14 | 8 | 4 | 9 | (*) |
| \$750-\$999 | 80 | 11 | 5.3 | 794 | 322 | 97 | 71 | 19 | 15 | 91 | 3 | 25 | 24 | 46 | 17 | 10 | 10 | 3 | 40 | 1 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 24 | 12 | 5.2 | 1,081 | 359 | 121 | 89 | 31 | 37 | 119 | 136 | 19 | 33 | 46 | 29 | 20 | 10 | 6 | 24 | 2 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 2226 | 1113 | $\begin{gathered} 5.1 \\ (\dagger) \\ 5.3 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 395 \\ (\dagger) \\ 604 \end{gathered}$ | ${ }_{(\dagger)}^{85}$ | ${ }_{(4)}^{98}$ | $\stackrel{49}{(\dagger)}$ | 42$(\dagger)$110 | $\begin{gathered} 134 \\ (\dot{j}) \\ 219 \end{gathered}$ | 183 | $\stackrel{(\dagger)}{ }{ }_{53}$ |  | 38 |  | 12 | 14 | 5 | 84 | 1 |
| \$1,500-\$2,249 . |  |  |  | ( $\dagger$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  | ( $\dagger$ ) |  | ( $\dagger$ ) 48 | $\stackrel{( }{+}{ }^{1} 09$ | $\left({ }_{(\dagger)}{ }_{46}\right.$ | (t) | $(\dagger)$ | ${ }^{(\dagger)} 8$ | ${ }_{(\dagger)} 95$ | ( ${ }^{1}$ ) 1 |
| \$2,250 and over |  |  |  | 1,708 |  |  |  | 74 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

*A verage amounts of less than $\$ 1$ and percentages of less than 0.1 are not shown.
$\dagger$ A verages and percentages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

ATLANTA, GA.
Table 2.-Summary of family expenditure: Average money expenditure for specified groups of goods and services, by occupation, family

| Occupational group, family type, and income class <br> (1) | Number of families |  | Average ber of persons family <br> (4) | Total <br> (5) | Food <br> (B) | Housing <br> (7) | Household operation |  | Furings and equipment | Cloth ing <br> (11) | Auto$\frac{\text { mo- }}{\text { bile }}$ (12) | Other trans-portation | Personal care | Medical care | Recre ation <br> (16) | Tobacco <br> (17) | Reading <br> (18) | Formal education | Con-tributions andpersonal taxes | Other items <br> (21) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\underset{\text { ble }}{\text { Eligi- }}$ | Report ing ex-peaditures |  |  |  |  | Fuel, light, and refrigeration <br> (8) | Other (9) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Type VI | $\begin{array}{r}1 \\ 5 \\ 17 \\ 8 \\ 8 \\ 2 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 11919211112 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{5 . 0} \\ & \mathbf{5 . 2} \\ & 5.1 \\ & 5.3 \\ & \mathbf{5 . 2} \end{aligned}$ | Percentage of total money expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250.. |  |  |  | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | (t) | ( $\dagger$ ) | (t) | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ | ( + | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ | (t) |
| \$250-4499- |  |  |  | 100.0 | 44.1 | 22.7 | 11.4 | 2.6 | 0.8 | 5.2 | 0.8 | 2.1 | 2.6 | 2.3 | 0.8 | 1.8 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 1.3 |  |
| \$500-\$749-- |  |  |  | 100.0 | 39.9 | 18.0 | 10.1 | 2.2 | 2.5 | 9.6 | .4 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 4.3 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 1.2 | - 6 | 1.3 | 0.1 |
| \$750-\$999 |  |  |  | 100.0 | ${ }^{40.6}$ | 12.2 | 8.9 | 2.4 | 1.9 | 11.5 | . 4 | 3.1 | 3.0 | 5.8 | 2.1 | 1.3 | 1.3 | . 4 | 5.0 | . 1 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. |  |  |  | 100.0 | 33.2 | 11.2 | 8.2 | 2.9 | 3.4 | 11.0 | 12.6 | 1.8 | 3.0 | 4.3 | 2.7 | 1.8 | . 9 | . 6 | 2.2 | . 2 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 <br> $\$ 1,500-\$ 2,249$ <br> $\$ 2,250$ and over | 22 | 1113 | $\begin{gathered} 5.1 \\ (\uparrow) \\ 5.3 \end{gathered}$ | 100.0 $(\dagger)$ 100.0 |  | 6.9 <br> (f) <br> 8.9 <br> 1.9 | 8.0 ¢ ( $\dagger$ ( 8.3 | ¢ 4.0 | 3.4 (t) c) 6.4 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 14.9 \\ & (\dagger) \end{aligned}$ | 1.3 <br> $(\dagger)$ <br> 3.1 | 2.4 $(+)^{+}$ 2.8 | $\begin{gathered} 3.1 \\ (+1) \\ 6.4 \end{gathered}$ | 3.6 (t) 2 2.7 | 1.0 $(\dagger)$ 1.5 | 1.1 $(\dagger)$ 1.3 | ${ }_{(\dagger)}{ }^{4}$ |  | (t) ${ }^{.1}$ |
| Type |  |  |  | A verage money expenditure in dollars |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250 | $\begin{array}{r} 6 \\ 46 \\ 462 \\ 122 \\ 104 \\ 60 \end{array}$ | 1811131414 | $\begin{aligned} & 7.0 \\ & 7.4 \\ & 7.3 \\ & 7.4 \\ & 7.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} (t) \\ 486 \\ 641 \\ 853 \\ 1,114 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (t) } \\ & 232 \\ & 282 \\ & 307 \\ & 356 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} (t) \\ 91 \\ 120 \\ 114 \\ 163 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} (t) \\ 46 \\ 60 \\ 80 \\ 85 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} (\mathrm{t}) \\ 9 \\ 22 \\ 30 \\ 26 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (\dagger) \\ 4 \\ 4 \\ 4 \\ 21 \\ 49 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} (\dagger) \\ 36 \\ 42 \\ 42 \\ 166 \\ 166 \end{gathered}$ | ( $\dagger$ ) | $\begin{gathered} (\dagger) \\ 13 \\ 12 \\ 24 \\ 29 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} (\dagger) \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 16 \\ 25 \\ 37 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} (\dagger) \\ 13 \\ 22 \\ 33 \\ 74 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} (\dagger) \\ 4 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 15 \\ 40 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} (\dagger) \\ 12 \\ 12 \\ 16 \\ 10 \\ 23 \end{gathered}$ | ( $\dagger$ ) ${ }_{4}^{4}$ | $(\dagger)$252016 | $(\dagger)$571622 | ( $\dagger$ |
| \$250-\$499 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 31 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | $\begin{aligned} & 34 \\ & 12 \\ & 16 \end{aligned}$ | 16588 | $\begin{array}{\|} 7.6 \\ 7.3 \\ 7.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,265 \\ & 1,670 \\ & 2,572 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 419 \\ & 516 \\ & 752 \end{aligned}$ | 159 | 98 | 36 | 36 | 186 | 9 | 66 | 32 | 101 | 26 | 19 | 11 | 12 | 39 | 16 |
| \$2,250 and over |  |  |  |  |  | 244 | 142 | 114 | 69 | ${ }_{450}$ | 127 | ${ }_{93}^{32}$ | 78 | 101 | ${ }_{67}$ | 27 | ${ }_{23}^{13}$ | 175 | 34 88 88 | ${ }_{2}^{4}$ |


| Tvpe |  |  |  | Percentage of total money expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under \$250 | 6 | 1 | 7.0 | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ ) | (i) | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ |
| \$250-\$499 | 46 | 8 | 7.4 | 100.0 | 47.7 | 18.7 | 9.5 | 1.9 | 0.8 | 7.4 |  | 2.7 | 3.1 | 2.7 | 0.8 | 2.5 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 1.0 |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 122 | 11 | 7.3 | 100.0 | 44.0 | 18.7 | 9.4 | 3.4 | . 6 | 6.6 |  | 1.9 | 2.5 | 3.4 | 2.2 | 2.5 | 1.2 | . 8 | 1.1 | 1.7 |
| \$750-\$999 | 104 | 13 | 7.4 | 100.0 | 36.0 | 13.4 | 9.4 | 3.5 | 2.5 | 13.9 | 3.6 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 3.9 | 1.8 | 1.2 | . 8 | 2.3 | 1.9 | . 1 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 60 | 14 | 7.3 | 100.0 | 32.1 | 14.6 | 7.6 | 2.3 | 4.4 | 15.0 | 1.0 | 2.6 | 3.3 | 6. 6 | 3.6 | 2.1 | . 9 | 1.4 | 2.0 | . 5 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 34 | 16 | 7.6 | 100.0 | 33.2 | 12.6 | 7.7 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 14.7 | . 7 | 5.2 | 2.5 | 8.0 | 2.1 | 1.5 | . 9 | . 9 | 3.1 | 1.3 |
| \$1,500-\$2,249. | 12 | 5 | 7.3 | 100.0 | 30.9 | 13.1 | 8.1 | 4.5 | 1.4 | 14. 2 | 12.4 | 1.9 | 2.8 | 2.2 | 2.6 | . 5 | . 8 | 2.4 | 2.0 | . 2 |
| \$2,250 and over. | 16 | 8 | 7.3 | 100.0 | 29.2 | 9.5 | 5.5 | 4.4 | 2.7 | 17.5 | 5.0 | 3.6 | 3.0 | 3.9 | 2.6 | 1.1 | . 9 | 6.8 | 3.4 | . 9 |

*Average amounts of less than $\$ 1$ and percentages of less than 0.1 are not shown.
$\dagger$ Averages and percentages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

## ATLANTA, GA.

Table 3.-Food: Average value of all family food, money expenditure for food at home and away from home, average value of food home-produced or received as gift or pay, and money expense per meal per food expenditure unit, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1935-36
[Negro nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]

see p. 188 for notes on this table.

ATLANTA, GA.
Table 3.-Food: Average value of all family food, money expenditure for food at home and away from home, average value of food home-produced or received as gift or pay, and money expense per meal per food expenditure unit, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Occupational } \\ \text { group, family } \\ \text { type, and income } \\ \text { class } \end{gathered}$ | Number of families |  | Average value of all family food | Average expenditure for food purchased |  |  | Percentage of expenditure for food |  | Average value of home-produced ceived as gift or pay | Average money expenditure per per food expenditure |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eligible | Reporting extures |  | All | $\begin{gathered} \text { At } \\ \text { home } \end{gathered}$ | Away from home | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { At } \\ \text { home } \end{array}$ | Away irom home |  |  |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) |  |  |
| Salaried business and professional |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 - | 163032322228 | 515161112 | $\$ 161$253 | $\$ 95$ <br> 223 | \$984 | 81 <br> 9 | 98.996.0 | 1.1 | \$66 | $\begin{array}{r}\$ 0.034 \\ .076 \\ \hline 089\end{array}$ |
| \$500-\$749. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$999 |  |  | 298 | 245 | 229 | 16 | 93.5 | 6.5 | 53 |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 |  |  | 328396 | 304 | 276 | 28 | 90.8 | 9.2 | $\stackrel{24}{51}$ | . 105 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 |  |  |  | 345 | 325 | 20 | 94.2 | 5.8 |  |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 222018182 |  |  | 391 | 354 | $\begin{aligned} & 37 \\ & 14 \\ & 46 \end{aligned}$ | $90.5 \quad 9.5$ |  |  |  |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. |  | $\begin{array}{r}10 \\ 10 \\ \hline 26\end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 400 \\ & 382 \\ & 491 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 339 \\ 482 \end{array}$ | 335325436512 |  | 95.9 | 4.1 | 43 | . 085 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. |  |  |  |  |  |  | 90.5 | 9.5 | 9 | . 135 |
| \$2,250 and over....- |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 402 \\ & 557 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 91.9 | 8.1 | 23 | . 133 |
| Family type: Type I |  | 26 | 580 |  | 512 | 45 |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250 | 88422 |  | 113 | 84141 | $\begin{array}{r}84 \\ \hline 139\end{array}$ | $\cdots$ | 100.098.6 | 1.4 | 2938 | . 038 |
| \$250-\$499. |  |  | 179 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749. | 678 | 34 <br> 62 | 237 | 201 | 194 |  | 98.6 <br> 96.5 | 3.5 | 36 | . 091 |
| \$750-\$999. | 516212 | 54 | 274370 | 239268 | 22625525 | 13 | 94.695.1 | 5.44.9 | 102 | . 107 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 |  | 26 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 119 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499..... | 1184428 | 251511 | 371371513 | 257360477 | 228324452 | 293625 | 88.790.094.8 | 11.310.0 | 11 | . 164 |
| \$1,500-\$2,249 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$2,250 and over |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5.2 | 36 | . 200 |
| Type II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250. | $\begin{array}{r}24 \\ 122 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | ${ }_{21}^{4}$ | 117 | 104 | 104 |  | 100.0 |  | ${ }_{33}^{13}$ | . 032 |
| \$250-\$499. |  |  |  | 166 | 164 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749. | 308 | 24 | 247 | 235 | 225 | 10 | 98.8 <br> 95.7 | $\stackrel{1.2}{4.3}$ | 12 | . 076 |
| \$750-\$999 | 16456 | 1614 | $\begin{aligned} & 296 \\ & 337 \end{aligned}$ | 230297 | $\begin{aligned} & 218 \\ & 289 \end{aligned}$ | 12 | 94.897.3 | $\begin{gathered} 5.2 \\ 2.7 \end{gathered}$ | 6640 |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 096 |
| \$1,250-\$1,489.. | $\begin{aligned} & 22 \\ & 24 \\ & 26 \end{aligned}$ | 11910 | $\begin{aligned} & 385 \\ & 472 \\ & 459 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 347 \\ & 456 \\ & 445 \end{aligned}$ | 309427410 | 38293535 | 89.09.69.6 | 11.06.4 | 4616 | .107.143.143 |
| \$1,500-\$2,249..... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$2,250 and over- |  |  |  |  | 410 |  | 92.1 | 7.9 | 14 |  |
| Type III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250 | 801627640 | ${ }_{13}^{2}$ | ( $\dagger$ ) | ${ }_{152}$ | ${ }_{148}^{(t)}$ | ${ }_{(1)}^{4}$ | $\stackrel{(\dagger)}{97.4}$ | $\stackrel{(1)}{1}_{6}$ | ${ }^{( }+{ }_{48}$ |  |
| \$250-\$499. |  |  | 200 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-8749. |  | 18 | 258 | 229 | 219 | 107 | 95.6 | 4.4 | 29 | . 058 |
| \$750-\$999 |  |  | 291371 | 270362 | 263335 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 97.4 \\ & 92.5 \end{aligned}$ | 2.67.5 | 219 | . 065 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 $\ldots . . .$. |  | 16 |  |  |  | 27 |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499....... | 10144 | 5$\mathbf{6}$$\mathbf{2}$ | $\begin{gathered} 413 \\ (t) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 406 \\ 452 \\ (\dagger) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 324 \\ & 445 \\ & (\dagger) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 82 \\ (f) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 79.8 \\ & 98.5 \\ & (\dagger) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20.2 \\ & { }_{(\dagger)}^{1.5} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $(\dagger)^{14}$ | $\underset{(\dagger)}{ }{ }^{.104}$ |
| \$1,500-\$2,249 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$2,250 and ovor.. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Type IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250. | $\begin{array}{r}40 \\ 194 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 28 | 135201 | 110 |  |  | 100.0 |  | 25525 | . 027 |
| \$250-\$499-- |  |  |  | 149 | 145 | 4 | 97.3 | 2.7 |  | . 042 |
| \$500-\$749--. | 348 <br> 390 | 37 <br> 37 | 308 | ${ }^{235}$ |  | 7 | 97.0 | 3. 0 | 33 | . 064 |
| \$750-\$999. |  |  |  | 236285285 | 225 | 11 | 95.3 | 4.7 | 72 | . 064 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 ......- | 210 | 23 | 345 |  | 269 | 16 | 94.4 | 5.6 | 60 | . 075 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 130 | 19 | 376 | 339 | 293 | 46 | 86.4 | 13.6 | 37 | . 088 |
| \$1,500-\$2,240 | 52 | 22 | 446 | 429 | 394 | 35 | 91.8 | 8.2 | 17 | . 107 |
| \$2,250 and over_... | 48 | 19 | 524 | 504 | 464 | 40 | 92.1 | 7.9 | 20 | . 136 |

$\dagger$ Averages and percentages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

## ATLANTA, GA.

Table 3.-Food: Average value of all family food, money expenditure for food at home and away from home, average value of food home-produced or received as gift or pay, and money expense per meal per food expenditure unit, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1935-86-Continued

$\dagger$ Averages and percentages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

## ATLANTA, GA.

Table 4.-Housing: Average value of housing secured with and without money expenditure, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1985-36
[Negro nonrelief families including husband and wife. both native born]

| Occupational group, family type, and income class <br> (1) | Number of families |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { Aver- } \\ \text { age } \\ \text { value } \\ \text { of all } \\ \text { housing } \\ \text { plus } \\ \text { fuel, } \\ \text { light, } \\ \text { and re- } \\ \text { friger- } \\ \text { ation } \\ (4) \end{array}$ | A verage expense for fuel, light, and refrig-eration | A verage value of all housing <br> (6) | A verage value of housing secured ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  | Percentage of housing value secured without money expenditure ${ }^{6}$ <br> (13) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eli- <br> gible | Re-porting ex-penditures |  |  |  | With money expenditure |  |  | Without money expenditure |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | All <br> housing | Family home ${ }^{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Other } \\ & \text { hous- } \\ & \text { ing }{ }^{3} \end{aligned}$ | Total | Owned home ${ }^{4}$ | Rent as pay or gift |  |
|  | (2) | (3) |  |  |  | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) |  |
| All families |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250 | 182 | 24 | \$138 | \$32 | \$92 | \$78 | \$78 |  | \$14 | \$12 | \$2 | 16.1 |
| \$250-\$499. | 980 | 134 | 163 | 46 | 113 | 101 | 101 | (*) | 12 | 10 | 2 | 10.6 |
| \$500-\$749 | 1,926 | 194 | 187 | 61 | 125 | 114 | 114 | (*) | 11 | 10 | 1 | 8.8 |
| \$750-\$999 | 1,508 | 161 | 221 | 67 | 152 | 123 | 123 | (*) | 29 | 27 | 2 | 19.1 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 .... | 698 | 120 | 274 | 79 | 192 | 140 | 140 | (*) | 52 | 47 | 5 | 27.1 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 376 | 99 | 305 | 91 | 212 | 141 | 140 | \$1 | 71 | 69 | 2 | 33.5 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 $\ldots$ | 62 | 26 | 350 | 108 | 240 | 151 | 150 | 1 | 89 | 74 | 15 | 37.1 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 64 | 25 | 401 | 121 | 278 | 253 | 252 | 1 | 25 | 11 | 14 | 9.0 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 56 | 22 | 445 | 125 | 319 | 201 | 200 | 1 | 118 | 107 | 11 | 37.0 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499...- | 60 | 24 | 464 | 134 | 330 | 203 | 200 | 3 | 127 | 127 |  | 38.5 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 $\ldots$ | 36 | 17 | 464 | 125 | 337 | 171 | 167 | 4 | 166 | 126 | 40 | 49.3 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499.... | 26 | 9 | 484 | 169 | 313 | 204 | 195 | 9 | 109 | 109 |  | 34.8 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 10 | 5 | 470 | 134 | 332 | 271 | 271 |  | 61 | 61 |  | 18.4 |
| \$4,000 and over- | 20 | 9 | 667 | 178 | 486 | 229 | 219 | 10 | 257 | 257 |  | 52.9 |
| Occupational group: Wage earner |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250. | 182 | 24 | 138 | 32 | 92 | 78 | 78 |  | 14 | 12 | 2 | 16. 1 |
| \$250-\$499.. | 872 | 103 | 163 | 46 | 113 | 101 | 101 | (*) | 12 | 10 | 2 | 10.6 |
| \$500-\$749 | 1,742 | 123 | 188 | 62 | 125 | 116 | 116 |  | 9 | 8 | 1 | 7.2 |
| \$750-\$999 | 1, 342 | 99 | 216 | 67 | 147 | 121 | 121 |  | 26 | 25 | 1 | 17. 7 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 590 | 73 | 270 | 77 | 190 | 143 | 143 |  | 47 | 42 | 5 | 24.7 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 $\ldots$ | 298 | 62 | 292 | 88 | 202 | 141 | 139 | 2 | 61 | 61 | (*) | 30.2 |
| Clerical |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499...-.-- | 10 | 5 | 136 | 44 | 92 | 137 | 137 |  | -45 | -49 | 4 | -48.9 |
| \$500-\$749 | 54 | 27 | 181 | 56 | 121 | 108 | 108 |  | 13 | 10 | 3 | 10.7 |
| \$750-\$999 | 44 | 22 | 272 | 76 | 194 | 139 | 139 |  | 55 | 55 | ---..-- | 28.4 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 $\ldots$ | 46 | 23 | 310 | 87 | 223 | 158 | 158 |  | 65 | 65 |  | 29.1 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 .... | 24 | 12 | 335 | 96 | 237 | 131 | 131 |  | 106 | 106 |  | 44.7 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 $\ldots$ | 20 | , | 319 | 106 | 209 | 146 | 145 | 1 | 63 | 63 |  | 30.1 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 22 | 9 | 420 | 125 | 292 | 357 | 356 | 1 | -65 | -65 |  | -22.3 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 $\ldots$ | 28 | 11 | 377 | 118 | 259 | 198 | 198 |  | 61 | 61 |  | 23.6 |
| \$2,250 and over- | 66 | 28 | 446 | 136 | 308 | 201 | 199 | 2 | 107 | 107 |  | 34.7 |
| Independent business and professional |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 | 82 | 21 | 167 | 47 | 117 | 105 | 105 |  | 12 | 12 |  | 10.3 |
| \$500-\$749... | 100 | 29 | 189 | 60 | 128 | 95 | 95 |  | 33 | 31 | 2 | 25.8 |
| \$750-\$999_-.-.- | 90 | 24 | 247 | 70 | 169 | 123 | 123 | (*) | 46 | 46 |  | 27.2 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 40 | 13 | 293 | 97 | 192 | 104 | 104 |  | 88 | 88 |  | 45.8 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 $\ldots$ | 26 | 13 | 356 | 95 | 258 | 125 | 122 | 3 | 133 | 133 |  | 51.6 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 $\ldots$ | 20 | 7 | 362 | 94 | 267 | 137 | 137 | (*) | 130 | 130 |  | 48.7 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 22 | 6 | 345 | 102 | 241 | 180 | 180 |  | 61 | 61 |  | 25.3 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 $\ldots$ | 10 | 4 | 555 | 141 | 409 | 162 | 162 |  | 247 | 247 |  | 60.4 |
| \$2,250 and over. | 24 | 10 | 580 | 146 | 430 | 227 | 217 | 10 | 203 | 203 |  | 47.2 |

See p. 188 for notes on this table.
*Average amounts of less than $\$ 1$ and percentages of less than 0.1 are not shown.

$$
125018^{\circ}-40-12
$$

## ATLANTA, GA.

Table 4.--Housing: Average value of housing secured with and without money expenditure, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1935-86-Con.

| Occupational group, family type, and income class <br> (1) | Number offamilies |  | Aver- <br> age <br> value <br> of all <br> housing <br> plus <br> fuel, <br> light. <br> and re- <br> friger- <br> ation <br> (4) | Average pense for fuel, light, and refrig-era- | $\left.\begin{gathered} \text { A ver- } \\ \text { age } \\ \text { value } \\ \text { of al1 } \\ \text { hous- } \\ \text { ing } \end{gathered} \right\rvert\, \begin{gathered} \\ \text { (6) } \end{gathered}$ | A verage value of housing secured |  |  |  |  |  | Per-centageof hous-ingvaluesecuredwithoutmoneyexpend-iture |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Eli- } \\ & \text { gible } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Re- } \\ \text { port- } \\ \text { ing ex- } \\ \text { pendi- } \\ \text { tures } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | With money expenditure |  |  | Without money expenditure |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | hous- | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fam } \\ & \text { ily } \end{aligned}$ | hous- | Total | Owned home | as pay |  |
|  | (2) | (3) |  |  |  | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) |  |
| Salaried business and professional |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 | 163032322228 | 15 | ${ }_{232}$ | \$30 | $\$ 137$169 | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 55 \\ & 104 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 55 \\ & 102 \end{aligned}$ | \$2 | $\$ 82$65 | $\$ 73$56 | \$9 | 59.938.5 |
| \$500-\$749 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$999 |  | 16 | 285 | ${ }^{65}$ | 213 | 163 | 163 | ${ }^{(*)} 3$ | 5097 | 16 <br> 69 | 34 <br> 28 | 47.1 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249-. |  | 11 | 288 | 79 | 206 | 109 | 106 |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 |  | 12 | 354 | 105 | 246 | 159 | 159 | (*) | 87 | 65 | 22 | 35.1 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749...- | 22201862 | $\begin{gathered} 10 \\ 10 \\ 7 \\ 26 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 370 \\ & 441 \\ & 488 \\ & 513 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 123 \\ & 137 \\ & 125 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 246 \\ & 304 \\ & 362 \end{aligned}$ | 168165 |  | 3 | $\begin{array}{r} 78 \\ 85 \\ 85 \\ 134 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 34 \\ 41 \\ 101 \end{array}$ | 44444333 | 31.728.0 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 $\ldots$ |  |  |  |  |  | 219 | ${ }_{225}^{217}$ | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 |  |  |  |  |  | 228 | 225 | 3 |  |  |  | 37.0 |
| \$2,250 and over. |  |  |  | 150 | 362 | 197 | 192 | 5 | 165 | 142 | 23 | 45.6 |
| Family type: | 62 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250.-..- | 88422 | 34 | 126 | 33 | 78 | 54 | 54 |  | 24 | 24 |  | 30.8 |
| \$250-\$499. |  |  | 169 | 48 | 119 | 104 | 103 | 1 | 15 | 15 | (*) | 12.6 |
| \$500-8749... | 678 | 62 <br> 54 | 176 | 56 | 118 | 100 | 100 |  | 18 | 14 |  | 15.3 |
| \$750-\$999 |  |  | 220 | 61 | 157 | 115 | 115 | (*) | 42 | 39 | 3 | 26.7 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 516 212 | 26 | 264 | 70 | 191 | 139 | 139 | (*) | 52 | 36 | 16 | 27.2 |
| \$1,250-81,499 $\ldots$ | $\begin{gathered} 118 \\ 44 \\ 28 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \\ & 15 \\ & 11 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 305 \\ & 339 \\ & 491 \end{aligned}$ | 91110149 | 213228341 | 149171238 | $\begin{aligned} & 144 \\ & 170 \\ & 238 \end{aligned}$ | 5 | 6457103 | $\begin{array}{r} 64 \\ 49 \\ 103 \end{array}$ | 8 | 30.0 |
| \$1,500-\$2,249 $\ldots$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 25.030.2 |
| \$2,250 and over- |  |  |  |  | 341 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Type II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250 | 24122 | $2{ }_{21}^{4}$ | 106154 | 42 | 71107 | $\begin{array}{r}64 \\ 103 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 64103 |  | 4 | 3 | 1 | 9.93.7 |
| \$250-\$499- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-8749 | 308 | 24 | 196 | 66 | 130 | 128 | 128 |  |  | 1 |  | 1.6 |
| \$750-\$999 | 16456 | 16 | 226 | 73 | 153 | 145 | 145 | (*) | 8888888 | 8 |  | 5.243.7 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 |  | 14 | 278 | 79 | 197 | 111 | 111 |  | 86 | 86 |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 222426 | $\begin{array}{r} 11 \\ 9 \\ 10 \end{array}$ | 299469457 | $\begin{array}{r} 92 \\ 134 \\ 125 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 203 \\ & 332 \end{aligned}$ | ${ }_{257}^{125}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 125 \\ & 257 \end{aligned}$ |  | 7875 | 78 |  | 38.422.6 |
| \$1,500-\$2,249 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$2,250 and over- |  |  |  |  | 330 | 190 | 183 | 7 | 140 | 140 |  | 42.4 |
| Type III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250 | $\begin{array}{r} 80 \\ \mathbf{1 6 2} \\ 76 \\ 40 \end{array}$ | ${ }_{13}^{2}$ | ${ }_{146}^{(t)}$ | (44 | $\stackrel{+}{+}$ | (t) | $\stackrel{+}{+}$ | ( $\dagger$ | (t) | ( | ${ }^{7}$ |  |
| \$250-\$499 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 94 |  |  |  |  | 6.9 |
| \$500-8749 |  | 18 | 200 | 69 | 129 | $\begin{aligned} & 124 \\ & 139 \end{aligned}$ | 124 |  | 1 | 51 |  | 3.9 |
| \$750-\$799 ------ |  | 16 | 219 | 77 | 140 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12.7 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 $\ldots$ |  | 16 | 252 | 78 | 172 | 150 | 150 |  | 22 | 22 |  | 12.8 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | $\begin{array}{r} 10 \\ 14 \\ 4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 6 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 386 \\ 362 \\ (\dagger) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 119 \\ (\dagger) \\ (\dagger) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 262 \\ & 270 \\ & (\dagger) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 125 \\ & 172 \\ & (\dagger) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 124 \\ & 168 \\ & (\dagger) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \frac{1}{4} \\ (\dagger) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 137 \\ 98 \\ (\dagger) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \begin{array}{r} 137 \\ (t) \end{array}{ }^{55} \end{gathered}$ | $(\dagger){ }^{43}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 52.3 \\ & \\ & (\dagger) \end{aligned}$ |
| \$1,500-\$2,249 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 2,250$ and over- Type IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ( $\dagger$ |  |
| Under \$250..... | $\begin{array}{r}40 \\ 194 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 28 | 148 | 27 | 116 | 99 | 104 |  | 12 | 12 | 8 | 7.5 |
| \$250-\$499......- |  |  | 163 | 44 |  | 104 |  |  |  |  |  | 10.3 |
| \$500-8749-.....- | $\begin{array}{r}348 \\ 390 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 3737 | 197 | 63 | 133167 | 120 | 120 |  | 32 | 31 | 1 | 9.8 |
| \$750-\$999 |  |  | 242 | 71 |  |  | 135 | (*) |  |  |  | 19.229.5 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 210 | 23 | 308 | 85 | 220 | 155 | 155 |  | 65 | 64 | 1 |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 $\ldots$ | $\begin{array}{r} 130 \\ 52 \\ 48 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19 \\ & 22 \\ & 19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 301 \\ & 435 \\ & 478 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 87 \\ 121 \\ 147 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 214 \\ & 312 \\ & 329 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 136 \\ & 230 \\ & 239 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 136 \\ & 229 \\ & 186 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 78 \\ 82 \\ 140 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 78 \\ 79 \\ 140 \end{array}$ |  | 36.426.342.6 |
| \$1,500-\$2,249 $\ldots$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ 3 \end{array}$ |  |  | 3 |  |
| \$2,250 and over- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## ATLANTA, GA.

Table 4.-Housing: Average value of housing secured with and without money expenditure, by occupation, family type, and income, $\ln 1$ year, 1935-36-Con.

| Occupational group, family type, and income class <br> (1) | Number of families |  | Aver- <br> age <br> value <br> of all <br> housing <br> plus <br> fuel, <br> light, <br> and re- <br> friger- <br> ation <br> (4) | age pense for fuel, and refrig. era-tion | $\begin{gathered} \text { Aver- } \\ \text { age } \\ \text { value } \\ \text { of all } \\ \text { hous- } \\ \text { ing } \\ \\ \text { (6) } \end{gathered}$ | A verage value of housing secured |  |  |  |  |  | Per-centageof hous-ingvaluesecuredwithoutmoneyexpend-iture |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Eli- } \\ & \text { gible } \end{aligned}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Re- } \\ \text { port- } \\ \text { ing ex- } \\ \text { pendi- } \\ \text { tures } \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ |  |  |  | With money expenditure |  |  | Without money expenditure |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | All housing | $\begin{gathered} \text { Fam- } \\ \text { ily } \\ \text { home } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Other } \\ & \text { hous- } \\ & \text { ing } \end{aligned}$ | Total | Owned | Rent as pay or gift |  |
|  | (2) | (3) |  |  |  | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) |  |
| Type V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under $\$ 250$. | 4 | 2 | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ |
| \$250-\$499. | 62 | 11 | 167 | \$48 | \$106 | \$92 | \$92 |  | \$14 | \$7 | \$7 | 13.2 |
| \$500-\$749 | 138 | 21 | 178 | 55 | 122 | 114 | 114 |  | 8 | 8 |  | 6.6 |
| \$750-\$999 | 178 | 14 | 187 | 60 | 125 | 109 | 109 |  | 16 | 16 |  | 12.8 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 96 | 15 | 233 | 78 | 154 | 115 | 115 |  | 39 | 39 |  | 25.3 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 40 | 12 | 291 | 82 | 204 | 160 | 158 |  |  | 44 |  | 21.6 |
| \$1,500-\$2,249 | 34 | 15 | 368 | 116 | 250 | 169 | 167 | 2 | 81 | 60 | 21 | 32.4 |
| \$2,250 and over- | 24 | 11 | 590 | 153 | 434 | 206 | 197 | 9 | 228 | 198 | 30 | 52.5 |
| Type VI |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250-. | 14 | 1 | (t) | ( ${ }^{\text {( }}$ | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ | ( ${ }^{\text {) }}$ | ( $\dagger$ | (t) | ( $\dagger$ | ( ${ }^{\text {) }}$ |  |
| \$250-8499 | 54 | 19 | 150 | 44 | 100 | 88 | 88 |  |  |  | 6 | 12.0 |
| \$500-\$749.- | 170 | 21 | 187 | 67 | 119 | 119 | 119 |  | (*) | (*) |  | (*) |
| \$750-\$999-- | 80 | 11 | 210 | 71 | 134 | 97 | 97 |  | 37 | 37 |  | 27.6 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249... | 24 | 12 | 288 | 89 | 193 | 121 | 121 |  | 72 | 72 |  | 37.3 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499..-- | 22 | 11 |  | 98 | 199 | ${ }_{8}^{85}$ |  |  | 114 | ${ }^{84}$ | ${ }^{30}$ | 57.3 |
| \$1,500-\$2,249 | 2 | 1 | ( ${ }_{5}$ | ( ${ }^{\text {) }}$ | $\stackrel{(\dagger)}{ }$ | ${ }_{( }{ }^{\text {¢ }}$ | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ | (t) | (t) |
| \$2,250 and over. |  | 3 |  | 142 | 360 | 152 | 152 |  | 208 |  |  | 57.8 |
| Type VII |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250... | 6 |  | ( $\dagger$ ) | (i) | ( $\dagger$ |  |  | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499......- | 46 |  | 166 | 46 | 113 | 91 | 91 |  | 22 | 20 | 2 | 19.5 |
| \$500-\$749 | 122 | 11 | 203 | 60 | 141 | 120 | 120 |  | 21 | 21 |  | 14.9 |
| \$750-\$999 | 104 | 13 | 222 | 80 | 139 | 114 | 114 | (*) | 25 | 21 | 4 | 18.0 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 60 | 14 | 259 | 85 | 171 | 163 | 163 |  | 8 | 8 |  | 4.7 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499.... | 34 | 16 | 309 | 98 | 207 | 159 | 159 |  | 48 | 48 |  | 23.2 |
| \$1,500-\$2,249 $\ldots$ - | 12 | 5 | 435 | 135 | 300 | 218 | ${ }_{2}^{218}$ |  | 88 | 32 | 50 | 27.3 |
| \$2,250 and over. | 16 | 8 | 468 | 142 | 325 | 244 | 230 | 14 | 81 | 81 |  | 24.9 |

[^69]
## ATLANTA, GA.

Table 4-A.-Money expenditure for family home by owners and renters, and facilities included in rent for family home: By occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1935-36
[Negro nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Occupational } \\ \text { group, family } \\ \text { type, and income } \\ \text { class } \end{gathered}$ | Number of families |  | Percentage of families ${ }^{1}$ |  | A verage expense for family bome |  | Percentage of renters having specified facilities included in rent ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  <br> (3) |  |  <br> (5) |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathbf{0}} \\ & \text { 畀 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | (11) | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\omega}}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\omega}}$ <br> (12) |  <br> (13) |  |  |
| All families |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250 | 182 | 24 | 11 | 82 | \$18 | $\$ 84$ |  |  |  |  | 95 |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499. | 980 | 134 | 12 | 85 | 54 | 109 |  | 2 |  |  | 94 |  |  | 5 |
| \$500-\$749 | 1,926 | 194 | 10 | 89 | 52 | 122 | 1 |  | 4 | 2 | 86 |  |  | 12 |
| \$750-\$899 | 1, 508 | 161 | 22 | 75 | 86 | 136 | 2 | (*) | 8 | (*) | 85 |  | (*) | 14 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 1, 698 | 120 | 32 | 64 | 98 | 170 | 4 | (*) | 2 | 4 | 68 |  |  | 22 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499..... | 376 | 99 | 55 | 44 | 105 | 180 | 1 |  | 4 |  | 54 |  |  | 46 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749..... | 62 | 26 | 54 | 37 | 120 | 200 |  |  | 18 |  | 45 |  |  | 55 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999.. | 64 | 25 | 66 | 28 | 272 | 211 |  |  | 33 |  | 67 |  |  |  |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 56 | 22 | 68 | 25 | 163 | 312 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 20 | 40 |  |  | 60 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 ...-- | 60 | 24 | 82 | 15 | 182 | 268 |  |  | 33 |  | 33 |  |  | 67 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 36 | 17 | 70 | 18 | 169 | 252 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 ..... | 26 | 9 | 85 | 15 | 175 | 270 |  |  |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999....- | 10 | 5 | 80 | 20 | 268 | 282 |  |  |  |  | 100 |  |  |  |
| \$4,000 and over . - | 20 | 9 | 90 | 10 | 189 | 480 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100 |
| Occupational group: Wage earner |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$249 | 182 | 24 | 11 | 82 | 18 45 | 84 109 |  |  |  |  | 95 |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 |  | 103 | 10 8 8 | 87 91 | 45 53 | 109 122 | 1 | 2 | 6 3 | $\underline{1}$ | 94 87 |  |  | -5 |
| \$750-\$999 | 1,342 | ${ }_{99}$ | 20 | 78 | 72 | 136 | 2 |  | 8 |  | 85 |  |  | 14 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 $\ldots$... | 590 | 73 | 30 | ${ }^{67}$ | 102 | 167 | 4 |  | (*) | 4 | 78 |  |  | 21 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 298 | 62 | 50 | 49 | 94 | 180 |  |  |  |  | 51 |  |  | 49 |
| Clerical |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499. | 10 | 5 |  | 80 |  | 82 |  |  |  |  | 100 |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 54 | 27 | 15 | 82 | 104 | 114 |  |  |  |  | 79 |  |  | 21 |
| \$750-\$999-- | 44 | 22 | 32 | ${ }^{68}$ | 92 | 162 |  |  |  |  | 93 |  |  | 7 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 46 | 23 | 48 | ${ }_{5}^{52}$ | 131 | 182 |  |  | 8 |  | 75 |  |  | 17 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499....- | 24 | 12 | 83 | 17 | 126 | 158 |  |  |  |  | 100 |  |  |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 . .-. | 20 | 9 | ${ }^{66}$ | 34 | 103 | 230 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 . . . | $\stackrel{22}{ }$ | 9 | 82 | 9 | 373 | 240 |  |  |  |  | 100 |  |  |  |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 ....- | 28 | 11 | 64 | 29 | 155 | 280 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100 |
| \$2,250 and over - - | 66 | 28 | 91 | 6 | 200 | 198 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100 |
| Independent business and professional |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499......... | 82 | 21 | 28 | 72 | 90 | 114 |  |  |  | 8 | 92 |  |  | 8 |
| \$500-\$749 | 100 | 29 | 28 | 71 | 35 | 125 | 3 |  | 15 |  | 74 |  |  | 15 |
| \$750-\$999 | 90 40 | 24 | 47 <br> 57 | 50 <br> 43 | 110 50 | 119 |  |  |  |  | 81 44 |  |  | 19 56 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 40 26 | 13 13 | 57 62 | 43 38 38 | 50 83 | 172 |  |  | 11 |  | ${ }_{60}^{44}$ |  |  | 56 40 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 . .-- | 26 | 13 | 62 | 38 | 83 | 187 | 20 |  |  |  | 60 |  |  | 40 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 20 | 7 | 60 | 30 | 102 | 134 |  |  | 33 |  | 100 |  |  |  |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 22 | 6 | 54 | 46 | 136 | 199 |  |  | 40 |  | 60 |  |  |  |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | $\stackrel{10}{24}$ | ${ }_{10}^{4}$ | 100 |  | 161 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

See p. 189 for notes on this table.
*Average amounts of less than $\$ 1$ and percentages of less than 0.1 for expenditures, or less than 1 for proportions of families reporting, are not shown.

ATLANTA, GA.
Table 4-A.-Money expenditure for family home by owners and renters, and facilities included in rent for family home: By occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued

| Occupational group, family type, and income class <br> (1) | Number of families |  | Percentage of families |  | Average expense for family home |  | Percentage of renters having specified facilities included in rent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 品 } \\ & \text { E } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\Phi} \\ & \text { © } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $$ | $\begin{aligned} & \ddagger \\ & \$ \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | Refrigeration |  |
|  | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) | (14) |  |
| Salaried business and professional |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 | 16 | 5 | 38 | 50 | \$24 | $\$ 92$ |  |  |  |  | 100 |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 30 | 15 | 27 | 60 | 75 | 119 |  |  |  |  | 69 |  |  | 31 |
| \$750-\$999 | 32 | 16 | 56 | 25 | 218 | 159 | 12 | 17 | 29 | 29 | 84 |  | 17 | 16 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 22 | 11 | 36 | 45 | 40 | 217 |  | 12 | 42 | 12 | 88 |  |  | 12 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 28 | 12 | 79 | 14 | 185 | 165 |  |  |  |  | 100 | ----- |  |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 22 | 10 | 36 | 45 | 176 | 222 |  |  | 20 |  | 40 |  |  | 60 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 20 | 10 | 60 | 30 | 252 | 220 |  |  | 33 |  | 67 |  |  |  |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 18 | 7 | 56 | 33 | 175 | 360 | 50 | 75 | 100 | 50 | 100 |  |  |  |
| \$2,250 and over | 62 | 26 | 62 | 32 | 154 | 308 |  |  | 12 |  | 38 |  |  | 62 |
| Family type: Type I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250 | 88 | 9 | 22 | 78 | 18 | 65 |  |  |  |  | 100 |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 | 422 | 34 | 20 | 79 | 61 | 114 |  |  | 10 | 1 | 94 |  |  | 6 |
| \$500-\$749. | 678 | 62 | 15 | 83 | 42 | 114 | 3 |  | 3 | 5 | 88 |  |  | 10 |
| \$750-\$999. | 516 | 54 | 22 | 74 | 80 | 181 | 4 |  | 4 | (*) | 87 |  |  | 13 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 $\ldots$.-- | 212 | 26 | 31 | 60 | 126 | 168 | 12 | 1 | 4 | 13 | 55 |  |  | 44 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 118 | 25 | 40 | 60 | 81 | 179 | 4 |  | 14 |  | $5 \dot{8}$ |  |  | 42 |
| \$1,500-\$2,249 $\ldots$.-- | 44 | 15 | 77 | 18 | 175 | 113 |  |  |  |  | 100 |  |  |  |
| \$2,250 and over .- Type II | 28 | 11 | 86 | 14 | 229 | 165 |  |  |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |
| Under $\$ 250$ | 24 | 4 |  | 75 |  | 76 |  |  |  |  | 100 |  |  |  |
| $\$ 250-\$ 499$ | 122 | 21 | 2 | 98 | 22 | 104 |  | 7 | 7 |  | 93 |  |  | 7 |
| \$500-\$749.........- | 308 | 24 | 1 | 98 | 50 | 130 | 1 |  |  |  | 84 |  |  | 16 |
| \$750-\$999 | 164 | 16 | 20 | 80 | 123 | 150 |  |  | 11 |  | 100 |  |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249....- | 56 | 14 | 45 | 55 | 53 | 158 |  |  |  |  | 100 |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 22 | 11 | 73 | 27 | 128 | 118 |  |  |  |  | 100 |  |  |  |
| \$1,500-\$2,249...-- | 24 | 9 | 50 | 50 | 202 | 282 |  | 20 | 60 |  | 60 |  |  | 20 |
| \$2,250 and over-.- | 26 | 10 | 92 | 8 | 161 | 252 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100 |
| Type III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250.....- |  | 2 | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) |
| \$250-\$499 | 80 | 13 |  | 92 |  | 100 | 8 | 8 |  | 8 | 84 |  |  | 16 |
| \$500-\$749 | 162 | 18 | 4 | 96 | 23 | 128 |  |  | 1 | ----- | 100 |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$999 | 76 | 16 |  | 88 |  | 139 |  |  | 8 |  | 77 |  |  | 15 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 ... | 40 | 16 | 38 | 62 | 150 | 151 |  |  | 10 |  | 90 |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 10 | 5 | 100 |  | 124 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,500-\$2,249_...- | 14 | 6 | 43 | 28 | 108 | 300 | 50 | 25 | 50 | 50 | 100 |  |  |  |
| \$2,250 and over--- | 4 | 2 | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ | ( ${ }^{\text {( }}$ | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | (t) |
| Type IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250. | 40 | 5 |  | 80 |  | 94 |  |  |  |  | 80 |  |  | 20 |
| \$250-\$499 | 194 | 28 | 8 | 92 | 45 | 110 |  |  | 4 |  | 96 |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 358 | 37 | 15 | 85 | 88 | 126 |  |  | 11 |  | 91 |  |  | 7 |
| \$750-\$999 | 390 | 37 | 29 | 70 | 102 | 152 |  | (*) | 14 | (*) | 86 |  | (*) | 14 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 $\ldots$ | 210 | 23 | 36 | 63 | 91 | 198 |  |  | 2 |  | 89 |  |  | 11 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499- | 130 | 19 | 72 | 28 | 118 | 186 |  |  |  |  | 38 |  |  | 62 |
| \$1,500-\$2,249.- | 52 48 | 22 | 87 74 | 13 | 234 | 217 |  |  | 33 |  | 33 20 |  |  | 67 80 |

*A verage amounts of less than $\$ 1$ and percentages of less than 0.1 for expenditures, or less than 1 for proportions of families reporting, are not shown.
$\dagger$ A verages and percentages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

## ATLANTA，GA．

Table 4－A．－Money expenditure for family home by owners and renters， and facilities included in rent for family home：By occupation，family type，and income，in 1 year，1935－36－Continued

| Occupational group，family type，and income class | Number of families |  | Percentage of families |  | A verage expense for family home |  | Percentage of renters having specified facilities included in rent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 肙 } \\ & \text { 旲 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 易 } \\ & \text { 䔍 } \\ & \text { 世 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\tilde{0}} \\ & \text { WH } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 豆 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 䔍 } \\ & \stackrel{y}{*} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| （1） | （2） | （3） | （4） | （5） | （6） | （7） | （8） | （9） | （10） | （11） | （12） | （13） | （14） |  |
| Tupe V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \＄250．．．．－－ | 4 | 2 | （ $\dagger$ ） | （ $\dagger$ ） | （ $\dagger$ ） | （ $\dagger$ ） | （ $\dagger$ ） | （ $\dagger$ ） | （ $\dagger$ | （ $\dagger$ ） | ${ }^{(1)}$ | （ $\dagger$ ） | （ $\dagger$ ） | （ $\dagger$ ） |
| \＄250－\＄499．．．－－－－－－ | 62 | 11 | 9 | 81 | \＄19 | \＄112 |  |  |  |  | 100 |  |  |  |
| \＄500－\＄749 | 138 | 21 | $\cdot 6$ | 94 | 35 | 119 |  |  | 8 |  | 80 |  |  | 12 |
| \＄750－\＄999 | 178 | 14 | 15 | 85 | 51 | 117 |  |  |  |  | 74 |  |  | 26 |
| \＄1，000－\＄1，249 | 96 | 15 | 29 | 71 | 54 | 139 |  |  |  |  | 86 |  |  | 14 |
| \＄1，250－\＄1，499 $\ldots$ | 40 | 12 | 30 | 70 | 96 | 184 |  |  |  |  | 64 |  |  | 36 |
| \＄1，500－\＄2，249 ．．．．－ | 34 | 15 | 35 | 47 | 91 | 212 |  |  | 29 |  | 14 |  |  | 57 |
| \＄2，250 and over－－－ | 24 | 11 | 79 | 12 | 191 | 360 |  |  | 100 |  | 100 |  |  |  |
| Type VI |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \＄250 | 14 | 1 | （t） | $(\dagger)$ | （ $\dagger$ | （t） | （ $\dagger$ ） | （ $\dagger$ ） | （ $\dagger$ ） | （f） | （ $\dagger$ | （ $\dagger$ ） | （ $\dagger$ ） |  |
| \＄250－\＄499． | 54 | 19 | 5 | 86 | 14 | 101 |  |  |  |  | 94 |  |  | 6 |
| \＄500－\＄749． | 170 | 21 |  | 99 |  | 119 |  |  |  |  | 88 |  |  | 12 |
| \＄750－\＄999 | 80 | 11 | 32 | 68 | 78 | 105 |  |  |  |  | 100 |  |  |  |
| \＄1，000－\＄1，249．－．－－ | 24 | 12 | 42 | 58 | 82 | 149 |  |  |  |  | 71 |  |  | 29 |
| \＄1，250－\＄1，499 | 22 | 11 | 54 | 27 | 76 | 134 |  |  |  |  | 75 |  |  | 25 |
| \＄1，500－\＄2，249 | 2 6 | 1 3 | （ ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | （ $\dagger$ ） | （ $\dagger$ ） | （ $\dagger$ ） | （ $\dagger$ ） | （ $\dagger$ | （ $\dagger$ ） | （t） | （ $\dagger$ ） | （t） | （i） | （ $\dagger)$ |
| Type VII |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \＄250．．．－－－ | 6 | 1 | （ $\dagger$ ） | （ $\dagger$ ） | （ $\dagger$ ） | （ $\dagger$ ） | （ $\dagger$ ） | （ $\dagger$ ） | （ $\dagger$ | （ $\dagger$ ） | ${ }^{(\dagger)}$ | （ $\dagger$ | （ $\dagger$ ） | （ $\dagger$ ） |
| \＄250－\＄499．．．．．．．．－ | 46 | 8 | 12 | 75 | 25 | 104 |  |  |  |  | 100 |  |  |  |
| \＄500－\＄749 ．．．．．．－．－ | 122 | 11 | 14 | 86 | 60 | 130 |  |  |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |
| \＄750－\＄999 | 104 | 13 | 26 | 72 | 80 | 129 |  |  | 13 |  | 62 |  |  | 25 |
| \＄1，000－\＄1，249．．．．－ | 60 | 14 | 9 | 83 | 8 | 171 |  |  | 4 |  | 79 |  |  | 21 |
| \＄1，250－\＄1，499．．．．． | 34 | 16 | 53 | 47 | 134 | 198 |  |  |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |
| \＄1，500－\＄2，249 ．．．． | 12 | 5 | 17 | 67 | 108 | 280 |  |  |  |  | 33 |  |  | 67 |
| \＄2，250 and over－ | 16 | 8 | 75 | 12 | 204 | 300 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100 |

[^70]ATLANTA, GA.
Table 5.-Household operation: Average money expenditure for groups of items of household operation and percentage distribution of such expenditure, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1995-96
[Negro nonrelief familles including husband and wife, both native born]


See p. 189 for notes on this table.
*Average amounts of less than $\$ 1$ and percentages of less than 0.1 are not shown.

## ATLANTA, GA.

Table 5.-Household operation: Average money expenditure for groups of items of household operation and percentage distribution of such expenditure, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1985-36-Continued


[^71]$\dagger$ Averages and percentages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

## ATLANTA, GA.

Table 5.-Household operation: Average money expenditure for groups of items of household operation and percentage distribution of such expenditure, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued

| Occupational group, family type, and income class <br> (1) | Number of families |  | A verage money expenditure for household operation |  |  |  |  | Percentage of total household opergtion expenditure |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\underset{\text { ble }}{\text { Eligi- }}$ | Reporting extures | Total | Fuel, light, $\underset{\text { rriger- }}{\text { and re }}$ ation | Paid householdhelp |  | Other items | Fuel, light, and re-riger-ation | Paid house hold help | Other item |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Average | age of |  |  |  |  |
|  | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) |
| Type V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250 | 4 | 2 | (t) | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( ${ }^{\text {) }}$ | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) |  |
| \$250-\$499. | 62 | 11 | \$58 | \$48 |  |  | \$10 | 82.8 |  | 17.2 |
| \$500-\$749. | 138 | 21 | 67 | 55 |  |  | 12 | 82.1 |  | 17.9 |
| \$750-\$999 | 178 | 14 | 78 | 60 |  |  | 18 | 76.9 |  | 23.1 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 96 | 15 | 106 | 78 |  |  | 28 | 73.6 |  | 26.4 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499.. | 40 | 12 | 129 | 82 | $\$ 9$ | 15 | 38 | 63.6 | 7.0 | 29.4 |
| \$1,500-\$2,249... | 34 | 15 | 185 | 117 |  |  | 68 | 63.2 |  | 36.8 |
| \$2,250 and over - | 24 | 11 | 318 | 153 | 48 | 33 | 117 | 48.1 | 15.1 | 36.8 |
| Type VI |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250.............. | 14 | 1 | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) |
| \$250-\$499-- | 54 | 19 | 54 | 44 |  |  | 10 | 81.5 |  | 18.5 |
| \$500-\$749. | 170 | 21 | 82 | 67 |  |  | 15 | 81.7 |  | 18.3 |
| \$750-\$999 | 80 | 11 | 90 | 71 |  |  | 19 | 78.9 |  | 21.1 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 24 | 12 | 120 | 89 | 1 | 8 | 30 | 74.2 | . 8 | 25.0 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499.. | 22 | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1,500-\$ 2,249 \mathrm{ver} \\ & \$ 2.250 \end{aligned}$ | 2 6 | 1 3 | $\stackrel{(\dagger)}{216}$ | $\stackrel{(142}{14}$ | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ | ${ }^{(\dagger)}$ | $(\dagger) 7$ | ( $\dagger$ ) | ${ }_{34}^{(t)} 3$ |
| Type VII |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250. | 6 |  | (i) | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ |
| \$250-\$499.. | 46 | 8 | ${ }^{55}$ | 46 |  |  | 9 | 83.6 |  | 16.4 |
| \$500-\$749 | 122 | 11 | 82 | 60 |  |  | 22 | 73.2 |  | 26.8 |
| \$750-\$999. | 104 | 13 | 110 | 80 |  |  | 30 | 72.7 |  | 27.3 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249.. | 60 | 14 | 111 | 85 |  |  | 26 | 76.6 |  | 23.4 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 34 | 16 | 134 | 98 | 3 | 12 | 33 | 73.2 | 2.2 | 24.6 |
| \$1,500-\$2,249 | 12 | 5 | 210 | 135 |  |  | 75 | 64.3 |  | 35.7 |
| \$2,250 and over | 16 | 8 | 256 | 142 | 13 | 13 | 101 | 55.5 | 5.1 | 39.4 |

$\dagger$ Averages and percentages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

## ATLANTA, GA.

Table 6.-Clothing: Average money expenditure for clothing for husband and wife and other family members, and percentage distribution of such expenditure, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1935-96
[Negro nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]

| Occupational group, family type, and income class | Number of families |  | Average money expenditure for clothing ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  | Percentage of total family clothing expenditure |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eligible | Reporting ex-penditures | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { family } \\ \text { mem- } \\ \text { bers } \end{gathered}$ | Husband | Wife | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Other } \\ & \text { family } \\ & \text { mem- } \\ & \text { bers } \end{aligned}$ | Husband | Wife | Other family bers |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) |
| Allfamilies |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250.... | 182 | 24 | \$10 | \$2 | \$4 | \$4 | 20.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 |
| \$250-8499.- | 980 | 134 | 27 | 10 | 10 | 7 | 37.0 | 37.0 | 26.0 |
| \$500-\$749 | 1.926 | 194 | 55 | 19 | 21 | 15 | 34.5 | 38.2 | 27.3 |
| \$750-\$999 | 1.508 | 161 | 94 | 30 | 35 | 29 | 31.9 | 37.2 | 30.9 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 698 | 120 | 126 | 35 | 42 | 49 | 27.8 | 33.3 | 38.9 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499.. | 376 | 99 | 158 | 49 | 56 | 53 | 31.0 | 35.4 | 33.6 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 |  | 26 | 199 | 58 | 61 | 80 | 29.1 | 30.7 | 40.2 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 64 | 25 | 217 | 70 | 85 | 62 | 32.3 | 39.2 | 28.5 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 56 | 22 | 201 | 60 | 61 | 80 | 29.9 | 30.3 | 39.8 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 60 | 24 | 220 | 58 | 72 | 90 | 26.4 | 32.7 | 40.9 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 36 | 17 | 290 | 75 | 88 | 127 | 25.9 | 30.3 | 43.8 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 26 | 9 | 364 | 96 | 139 | 129 | 26.4 | 38.2 | 35.4 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999.. | 10 | 5 | 429 | 125 | 199 | 105 | 29.1 | 46.4 | 24.5 |
| \$4,000 and over--------- | 20 | 9 | 555 | 129 | 153 | 273 | 23.2 | 27.6 | 49.2 |
| Occupational group: Wage earner |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250..-------1.- | 182 | 24 | 10 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 20.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 |
| \$250-\$499 | 872 | 103 | 28 | 10 | 10 | 8 | 35.7 | 35.7 | 28.6 |
| \$500-\$749 | 1,742 | 123 | 56 | 19 | 21 | 16 | 33.9 | 37.5 | 28.6 |
| \$750-\$999 | 1,342 | 99 | 94 | 30 | 35 | 29 | 31.9 | 37.2 | 30.9 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 590 | 73 | 132 | 36 | 41 | 55 | 27.3 | 31.1 | 41.6 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 298 | 62 | 163 | 50 | 57 | 56 | 30.7 | 35.0 | 34.3 |
| Clerical |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499. | 10 | 5 | 23 | 8 | 11 | 4 | 34.8 | 47.8 | 17.4 |
| \$500-8749 | 54 | 27 | 66 | $\stackrel{28}{28}$ | 24 | 14 | 42.4 | 36.4 | 21.2 |
|  | 44 | 22 | 88 | 29 | 34 | $\stackrel{25}{25}$ | 33.0 | 38.6 | 28.4 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 46 | 23 | 102 | 26 | 40 | 36 | 25.5 | 39.2 | 35.3 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 24 | 12 | 172 | 59 | 75 | 38 | 34.3 | 43.6 | 22.1 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749... | 20 |  | 181 | 46 | 60 | 75 | 25.4 | 33.1 | 41.5 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999............ | 22 | ${ }^{9} 1$ | 227 | 88 | 75 | 64 | 38.8 | 33.0 | 28.2 |
| \$2,000 $\$ 2,249 \ldots \ldots$ | 28 | 11 | 249 | 65 | 74 | 110 | 26.1 | 29.7 | 44.2 |
| \$2,250 and over.........- | 66 | 28 | 282 | 71 | 94 | 117 | 25.2 | 33.3 | 41.5 |
| Independent business and professional |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 | 82 | 21 | 21 | 8 | 8 | 5 | 38.1 | 38.1 | 23.8 |
| \$500-\$749 | 100 | 29 | 47 | 16 | 18 | 13 | 34.0 | 38.3 | 27.7 |
| \$750-\$999. | 90 | 24 | 99 | 32 | 33 | 34 | 32.3 | 33.3 | 34.4 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 40 | 13 | 81 | 18 | 44 | 19 | 22.2 | 54.3 | 23.5 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499.... | 26 | 13 | 123 | 36 | 25 | 62 | 29.3 | 20.3 | 50.4 |
| \$1,500-81,749 ............ | 20 | 7 | 188 | 50 | 71 | 67 | 26.6 | 37.8 | 35.6 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999............- | 22 | 6 | 240 | 69 | 110 | 61 | 28.8 | 45.8 | 25.4 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 10 | 4 | 123 | 45 | 32 | 46 | 36.6 | 26.0 | 37.4 |
| \$2,250 and over-......... | 24 | 10 | 295 | 83 | 98 | 114 | 28.1 | 33.2 | 38.7 |

See p. 189 for notes on this table.

## ATLANTA, GA.

Table 6.-Clothing: Average money expenditure for clothing for husband and wife and other family members, and percentage distribution of such expenditure, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued

| Occupational group, family type, and income class | Number of families |  | Average money expenditure for clothing |  |  |  | Percentage of total family clothing expenditure |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eligible | Report- <br> ing ex-penditures | $\begin{aligned} & \text { All } \\ & \text { family } \\ & \text { mem- } \\ & \text { bers } \end{aligned}$ | Husband | Wife | Other family members | Husband | Wife | Other family members |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) |
| Salaried business and professional |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499. | 16 | 5 | \$19 | \$10 | \$4 | \$5 | 52.6 | 21.1 | 26.3 |
| \$500-\$749 | 30 | 15 | 51 | 17 | 19 | 15 | 33.3 | 37.3 | 29.4 |
| \$750-\$999 | 32 | 16 | 91 | 27 | 40 | 24 | 29.7 | 44.0 | 26.3 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 ............- | 22 | 11 | 127 | 55 | 49 | 23 | 43.3 | 38.6 | 18.1 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 $\ldots . . . . . . . . .$. | 28 | 12 | 127 | 51 | 48 | 28 | 40.2 | 37.8 | 22.0 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 22 | 10 | 225 | 77 | 53 | 95 | 34.2 | 23.6 | 42.2 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999-.-.-.------- | 20 | 10 | 181 | 52 | 68 | 61 | 28.7 | 37.6 | 33.7 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 18 | 7 | 171 | 58 | 57 | 56 | 33.9 | 33.3 | 32.8 |
| \$2,250 and over | 62 | 26 | 368 | 94 | 122 | 152 | 25.5 | 33.2 | 41.3 |
| Family type: Type $I^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250. | 88 | 9 | 7 | 2 | 5 |  | 28. 6 | 71.4 |  |
| \$250-\$499 | 422 | 34 | 25 | 12 | 13 |  | 48.0 | 52.0 |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 678 | 62 | 55 | 26 | 28 | 1 | 47.3 | 50.9 | 1.8 |
| \$750-\$999 | 516 | 54 | 83 | 35 | 46 | 2 | 42.2 | 55.4 | 2.4 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 212 | 26 | 115 | 44 | 60 | 11 | 38.3 | 52.2 | 9.5 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 118 | 25 | 146 | 64 | 82 |  | 43.8 | 56.2 |  |
| \$1,500-\$2,249 | 44 | 15 | 199 | 91 | 106 | 2 | 45.7 | 53.3 | 1.0 |
| \$2,250 and over-.......- | 28 | 11 | 280 | 116 | 164 |  | 41.4 | 58.6 |  |
| Type II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250...........--- | 24 | 4 | 15 | 4 | 6 | 5 | 26.7 | 40.0 | 33.3 |
| \$250-\$499 | 122 | 21 | 28 | 11 | 9 | 8 | 40.7 | 33.3 | 26.0 |
| \$500-\$749 | 308 | 24 | 54 | 19 | 21 | 14 | 35.2 | 38.9 | 25.9 |
| \$750-\$999..-------------- | 164 | 16 | 80 | 30 | 29 | 21 | 37.5 | 36.3 | 26.2 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 56 | 14 | 126 | 39 | 52 | 35 | 31.0 | 41.3 | 27.7 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 22 | 11 | 154 | 41 | 64 | 49 | 26.6 | 41.6 | 31.8 |
| \$1,500-\$2,249 $\ldots$.-....---- | 24 | 9 | 142 | 50 | 62 | 30 | 35.2 | 43.7 | 21.1 |
| \$2,250 and over .---....- | 26 | 10 | 178 | 64 | 73 | 41 | 36.0 | 41.0 | 23.0 |
| Type III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250.....--...-...- | 6 | 2 | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | (t) | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ ) |
| \$250-\$499.. | 80 | 13 | 35 | 12 | 10 | 13 | 34.3 | 28.6 | 37.1 |
| \$500-\$749 | 162 | 18 | 48 | 11 | 16 | 21 | 22.9 | 33.3 | 43.8 |
| \$750-\$999 | 76 | 16 | 97 | 32 | 29 | 36 | 33.0 | 29.9 | 37.1 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249............. | 40 | 16 | 125 | 39 | 37 | 49 | 31.2 | 29.6 | 39.2 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499............ | 10 | 5 | 126 | 24 | 52 | 50 | 19.0 | 41.3 | 39.7 |
| \$1,500-\$2,249.............. | 14 | 6 | 223 | 82 | 80 | 61 | 36.8 | 35.9 | 27.3 |
| \$2,250 and over.......... | 4 | 2 | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | (t) |
| Type IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250...-...---...- | 40 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 16.7 | 16.7 | 66.6 |
| \$250-\$499..................- | 194 | 28 | 25 | 7 | 7 | 11 | 28.0 | 28.0 | 44.0 |
| \$500-\$749-.-..----------- | 348 | 37 | 63 | 17 | 20 | 26 | 27.0 | 31.7 | 41.3 |
| \$750-\$999 ...............-- | 390 | 37 | 99 | 25 | 38 | 36 | 25.3 | 38.4 | 36.3 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 ...-----...-- | 210 | 23 | 123 | 32 | 35 | 56 | 26.0 | 28.5 | 45. 5 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 ...........-- | 130 | 19 | 158 | 51 | 44 | 63 | 32.3 | 27.8 | 39.9 |
| \$1,500-\$2,249 $\ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 52 | 22 | 198 | 47 | 61 | 90 | 23.7 | 30.8 | 45.5 |
| \$2,250 and over........... | 48 | 19 | 371 | 93 | 123 | 155 | 25.1 | 33.1 | 41.8 |

$\dagger$ Averages and percentage not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

ATLANTA, GA.
TABLE 6.-Clothing: Average money expenditure for clothing for husband and wife and other family members, and percentage distribution of such expenditure, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued

| Occupational group, family type, and income class | Number of families |  | Average money expenditure for clothing |  |  |  | Percentage of total family clothing expenditure |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eligible | Report- <br> ing ex- <br> penditures | $\begin{aligned} & \text { All } \\ & \text { family } \\ & \text { mem- } \\ & \text { bers } \end{aligned}$ | Husband | Wife | Other family members | Husband | Wife | Other family members |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) |
| Type V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250. | 4 | 2 | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) |
| \$250-\$499 | 62 | 11 | \$31 | \$5 | \$6 | \$20 | 16.1 | 19.4 | 64.5 |
| \$500-\$749. | 138 | 21 | 50 | 11 | 9 | 30 | 22.0 | 18.0 | 60.0 |
| \$750-\$999 | 178 | 14 | 114 | 31 | 25 | 58 | 27.2 | 21.9 | 50.9 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 ....-.-.....- | 96 | 15 | 136 | 22 | 25 | 89 | 16. 2 | 18.4 | 65.4 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 40 | 12 | 191 | 37 | 40 | 114 | 19.4 | 20.9 | 59.7 |
| \$1,500-\$2,249...---....-- | 34 | 15 | 254 | 58 | 45 | 151 | 22.8 | 17.7 | 59.5 |
| \$2,250 and over--------- | 24 | 11 | 356 | 66 | 76 | 214 | 18.5 | 21.4 | 60.1 |
| Type VI |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250. | 14 | 1 | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ |
| \$250-\$499. | 54 | 19 | 20 | 4 | 5 | 11 | 20.0 | 25.0 | 55.0 |
| \$500-\$749 | 170 | 21 | 64 | 18 | 17 | 29 | 28.1 | 26.6 | 45.3 |
| \$750-\$999. | 80 | 11 | 91 | 24 | 27 | 40 | 26.4 | 29.7 | 43. 9 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 24 | 12 | 119 | 33 | 34 | 52 | 27.7 | 28.6 | 43.7 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 22 | 11 | 134 | 33 | 44 | 57 | 24.6 | 32.8 | 42.6 |
| \$1,500-\$2,249. | 2 | 1 | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) |
| \$2,250 and over | 6 | 3 | 219 | 58 | 72 | 89 | 26.5 | 32.9 | 40.6 |
| Type VII |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250. | 6 | 1 | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ |
| \$250-\$499 | 46 | 8 | 36 | 5 | 4 | 27 | 13.9 | 11.1 | 75.0 |
|  | 122 | 11 | 42 | 8 | 8 | 26 | 19.0 | 19.0 | 62.0 |
| \$750-\$999.....------------- | 104 | 13 | 119 | 18 | 14 | 87 | 15.1 | 11.8 | 73.1 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 .-..........- | 60 | 14 | 166 | 27 | 24 | 115 | 16.3 | 14.5 | 69.2 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499............- | 34 | 16 | 186 | 31 | 29 | 126 | 16.7 | 15.6 | 67.7 |
| \$1,500-\$2,249.............- | 12 | 5 | 237 | 52 | 46 | 139 | 21.9 | 19.4 | 58.7 |
| \$2,250 and over.......... | 16 | 8 | 450 | 64 | 67 | 319 | 14.2 | 14.9 | 70.9 |

[^72]ATLANTA, GA.
Table 7.-Personal care: Average money expenditure for toilet articles and preparations, and services, and percentage distribution of such expenditure, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1935-36
[Negro nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native bornj

| Occupational group, family type, and income class <br> (1) | Number of families |  | Average money expenditure for personal care |  |  | Percentage of total personal care expenditure |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eligible | Report- <br> ing ex-penditures | Total | Services ${ }^{1}$ | Toilet articles and preparations | Services ${ }^{1}$ | Toilet articles and preparations |
|  | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| All families |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250 | 182 | 24 | \$6 | \$2 | \$4 | 33.3 | 66.7 |
| \$250-\$499. | 980 | 134 | 11 | 5 | 6 | 45.5 | 54.5 |
| \$500-\$749 | 1,926 | 194 | 18 | 8 | 10 | 44.4 | 55.6 |
| \$750-\$999. | 1,508 | 161 | 24 | 11 | 13 | 45. 8 | 54.2 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 698 | 120 | 33 | 15 | 18 | 45.5 | 54.5 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 376 | 99 | 39 | 18 | 21 | 46.2 | 53.8 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 62 | 26 | 41 | 21 | 20 | 51.2 | 48.8 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 64 | 25 | 41 | 17 | 24 | 41.5 | 58.5 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 56 | 22 | 43 | 17 | 26 | 39.5 | 60.5 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 60 | 24 | 48 | 20 | 28 | 41.7 | 58.3 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 36 | 17 | 53 | 21 | 32 | 39.6 | 60.4 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 26 | 9 | 70 | 37 | 33 | 52.9 | 47.1 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999. | 10 | 5 | 56 | 25 | 31 | 44.6 | 55.4 |
| \$4,000 and over -- | 20 | 9 | 119 | 56 | 63 | 47.1 | 52.9 |
| Occupational group: Wage earner |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250. | 182 | 24 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 33.3 | 66.7 |
| \$250-\$499. | 872 | 103 | 11 | 5 | 6 | 45.5 | 54.5 |
| \$500-\$749. | 1,742 | 123 | 18 | 7 | 11 | 38.9 | 61.1 |
| \$750-\$999 | 1,342 | 99 | 23 | 10 | 13 | 43. 5 | 56.5 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 --..-------------- | 590 | 73 | 33 | 15 | 18 | 45.5 | 54.5 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 298 | 62 | 40 | 19 | 21 | 47.5 | 52.5 |
| Clerical |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 | 10 | 5 | 12 | 5 | 7 | 41.7 | 58.3 |
| \$500-\$749. | 54 | 27 | 18 | 9 | 9 | 50.0 | 50.0 |
| \$750-\$999 | 44 | 22 | 30 | 14 | 16 | 46.7 | 53.3 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 46 | 23 | 33 | 15 | 18 | 45.5 | 54.5 |
|  | 24 | 12 | 39 | 17 | 22 | 43.6 | 56.4 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 20 | 9 | 40 | 20 | 20 | 50.0 | 50.0 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 22 | 9 | 50 | 23 | 27 | 46.0 | 54.0 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 28 | 11 | 40 | 16 | 24 | 40.0 | 60.0 |
| \$2,250 and over .-...............- | 66 | 28 | 48 | 21 | 27 | 43.7 | 56.3 |
| Independent business and projessional |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 | 82 | 21 | 11 | 5 | 6 | 45.5 | 54.5 |
| \$500-\$749. | 100 | 29 | 16 | 7 | 9 | 43.8 | 56.2 |
| \$750-\$999 | 90 | 24 | 24 | 11 | 13 | 45.8 | 54.2 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 40 | 13 | 21 | 9 | 12 | 42.9 | 57.1 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 26 | 13 | 37 | 19 | 18 | 51.4 | 48.6 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 20 | 7 | 42 | 25 | 17 | 59.5 | 40.5 |
| $\$ 1,750-\$ 1,999$ | 22 | 6 | 35 | 15 | 20 | 42.9 | 57.1 |
| $\$ 2,000-\$ 2,249$ | 10 | 4 | 35 | 11 | 24 | 31.4 | 68.6 |
| \$2,250 and over..... | 24 | 10 | 55 | 27 | 28 | 49.1 | 50.9 |

## ATLANTA, GA.

Table 7.-Personal care: Average money expenditure for toilet articles and preparations, and services, and percentage distribution of such expenditure, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1935-96-Continued

| Occupational group, family type, and income class <br> (1) | Number of families |  | A verage money expenditure for personal care |  |  | Percentage of total personal care expenditure |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eligible | Report-penditures | Total | Services | Toilet articles and prep arations | Services | Toilet and prep arations |
|  | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| $\underset{\text { Sional }}{\text { Salatied business and profes- }}$ sional |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499. | 103322 | 5 | \$7 | \$3 | $\$ 4$ <br> 10 | 42.944.4 | 57.155.6 |
| \$500-\$749- |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$999 |  | 16 | ${ }^{23}$ | 1019 | 13 | 43.5 | 56. 5 |
| \$1,000- \$1,249. |  | 11 | 38 |  | 19 | 50.0 | 50.061.8 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 |  | 12 | 34 | 13 | 21 | 38.2 |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 |  | 10 | 41 | 19 | 22 | 46.3 | 53.7 |
|  | 201862 | 107 | 38 <br> 38 <br> 58 <br> 8 | 14 <br> 23 | 2430 | 36.843.4 | 56.6 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$2,250 and over ...-............. | 62 | 26 |  | 36 | 46 | 43.9 | 56.1 |
| Family type: Type I |  |  | 82 |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250.- | 88422 | ${ }_{34}$ | 5 | 1 | 6 | 20.0 | 80.0 |
| \$250-\$499. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749... | 678 | ${ }_{54}^{62}$ | 20 | ${ }^{9}$ | 11 | 45.041.7 | 55.058.3 |
| \$750-\$999.----.....-------1.-. | 212 | 26 | 24 | 10 |  |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 ........----.......- |  |  | 32 | 13 | 19 | 40.6 | 59.4 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499.. | 1184428 | 251511 | $\begin{array}{r} 42 \\ 34 \\ .52 \end{array}$ | 171423 | $\stackrel{20}{20}$ | 40.541.2 | 58.858.858.8 |
| \$1,500-\$2,249 .................... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$2,250 and over ...-.-........---- |  |  |  |  | 29 | 44.2 |  |
| Type II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250 | ${ }_{122}^{24}$ | 4 | $11^{6}$ | 2 3 | 4 <br> 8 | 33.3 27 | ${ }_{72}^{66.7}$ |
| \$250-\$499. |  |  |  | 3 | 10 | 27.537.5 |  |
| \$500-8749 | 308164 | 24 | 162525 | $\begin{array}{r}6 \\ 13 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |  |  | 62.5 |
| \$750-\$999- |  | 14 |  |  | 12 | 52.0 51.9 | 48.048.1 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 56 |  | 27 | 14 | 13 | 51.9 |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 222426 | $\begin{array}{r} 11 \\ 9 \\ 10 \end{array}$ | 38 |  |  | 47.435.038.9 |  |
| \$1,500-\$2,249 |  |  | 384036 | 181414 | 262222 |  | 65.61.1 |
| \$2,250 and over |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Type III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250. | 680 | ${ }_{13}^{2}$ | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( ${ }^{\text {) }} 7$ | (t) 7 | (t) | (t) ${ }_{50} 0$ |
| \$250-\$499. |  |  | 1417 | 7 | 7 | 41. 4 |  |
| \$500-\$749. | $\begin{array}{r}162 \\ 76 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 13 <br> 18 <br> 16 |  |  | 10 |  | 50.0 58.8 |
| \$750-\$999 |  |  | 2424 | 11 | 13 | 45. 8 | 54.2 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 40 | 16 |  | 10 | 14 | 41.7 | 58.3 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 10144 | 5622 |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 25 \\ (t)^{19} \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 51.9 \\ \text { 48.6 } \\ (\dagger) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 48.1 \\ (\dagger) \\ (t) .4 \end{array}$ |
| \$1,500-\$2,249 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$2,250 and over...-...---------- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Type IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250 | 40 | 5 | ${ }_{11}^{6}$ | ${ }_{5}^{3}$ | 3 | 50.0 | 50.054.5 |
| \$250-\$499.- | 194348390 |  |  |  |  | 45.5 |  |
| \$500-\$749. |  | 37 | 17 | 7 | 10 | 41.2 | 58.856.5 |
| \$750-\$999 | 210 |  | 32 | 10 | 13 | 43.5 |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 ...-.-............. |  | 23 |  | 16 | 16 | 50.0 | 50.0 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499.....-.............. | $\begin{array}{r} 130 \\ 52 \\ 48 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19 \\ & 22 \\ & 19 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 20 | $\begin{aligned} & 50.0 \\ & 51.1 \\ & 50.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50.0 \\ & 48.9 \\ & 50.0 \end{aligned}$ |
| \$1,500-\$2,249 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \\ & \mathbf{4 5} \\ & 74 \end{aligned}$ | 2337 | 2237 |  |  |
| \$2,250 and over-..............-- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

$\dagger$ Averages and percentages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

## ATLANTA, GA.

Table 7.-Personal care: Average money expenditure for toilet articles and preparations, and services, and percentage distribution of such expenditure, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued

| Occupational group, family type, and income class | Number of families |  | Average money expenditure for personal care |  |  | Percentage of tatal personal care expenditure |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eligible | Reporting ex-pendi- tures | Total | Services | Toilet articles and preparations | Services | Toilet articles and prep- arations |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| Type V | 46213817817896 | 211211415 | ${ }_{(1)} \begin{array}{r}10 \\ 18 \\ 21 \\ 21 \\ 40 \\ \end{array}$ | ${ }^{(\dagger)}{ }_{\$ 4}{ }^{7}$ | ${ }^{(\dagger)} \begin{array}{r}\text { \$6 } \\ 11 \\ 11 \\ 11 \\ 22 \\ \\ \\ \hline 17\end{array}$ |  | ${ }^{(\dagger)} 60.0$ |
| Under \$ $250 .$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499- |  |  |  |  |  | 40.0 |  |
| \$500-\$749. |  |  |  |  |  | 38.947.6 | 61.152.45.0 |
| \$750-\$999.-- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 |  |  |  |  |  | 45.0 | 55.0 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 403424 | $\begin{aligned} & 12 \\ & 15 \\ & 11 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 36 \\ & 47 \\ & 65 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19 \\ & 22 \\ & 27 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{1 7} \\ & \mathbf{2 5} \\ & \mathbf{3 8} \end{aligned}$ | 52.846.841.5 | 47.253.268.5 |
| \$1,500-\$2,249 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$2,250 and over |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Type VI |  |  | ( $\dagger$ |  |  |  | (t) |
| Under \$250 | 14 | 1 |  | ( $\dagger$ ) | (t) |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 | 54 | 19 | 10 |  |  | 30.0 | 70.0 |
| \$500-\$749... | 170 | 21 | 19 | 9 | 10 | 47.4 | 52.6 |
| \$750-\$999. | 80 | 11 | 24 | 9 | 15 | 37.5 | 62.5 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 24 | 12 | 33 | 18 | 15 | 54.5 | 45.5 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 2226 | 1118 | (t) ${ }_{48}^{30}$ | (t) $\begin{aligned} & 12 \\ & 27\end{aligned}$ | (t) $\begin{aligned} & 18 \\ & 21\end{aligned}$ | (t) ${ }_{\text {(t) }}^{50.0}$ | $(\dagger)_{43.0}^{60.0}$ |
| \$1,500-\$2,249 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Type VII |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250 | 6 | 1 | $\left({ }^{(4)} \begin{array}{r}15 \\ 16 \\ 25 \\ 37\end{array}\right.$ | ( $\dagger$ | ( ${ }^{\text {) }}$ |  |  |
| \$250-\$499... | 46 | 8 |  |  | 8 | 46.7 |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 122 | 11 |  | 7 | 9 | 43.8 | 56.2 |
| \$750-\$999 | 104 | 13 |  | 13 | 12 | 52.0 | 48.0 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 60 | 14 |  | 18 | 19 | 48.6 | 51.4 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 341216 | 1658 | 324778 | 132122 |  |  |  |
| \$1,500-\$2,249 |  |  |  |  | 1856 | 44.2828.2 | 55.71.8 |
| \$2,250 and over - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

$\dagger$ Averages and percentages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

## atlanta, GA.

Table 8.-Automobile operation and purchase: Percentage of families owning and purchasing automobiles, average money expenditure for all families for operation and purchase, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1935-36
[Negro nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]

| Occupational group, family type, and income class | Number of families |  | Percentage of all families |  | A verage money expenditure of all families |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eligible | Report ing ex-penditures | Owning automobiles | Purchasing automobiles | Operation and purchase | Operation | $\begin{gathered} \text { Purchase } \\ \text { (net) } \end{gathered}$ |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| All families |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250 | 182 | 24 | 7 |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 | 980 | 134 | 4 |  | \$1 | \$1 |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 1,926 | 194 | 9 | 4 | 7 | ${ }_{12}^{4}$ | \$3 |
|  | 1,508 | 161 120 | 17 34 | 4 12 | 19 47 | 12 28 | $\begin{array}{r}7 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 376 | 99 | 32 | 11 | 65 | 35 | 30 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 62 | 26 | 66 | 10 | 104 | 81 | 23 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 64 | 25 | 45 | 16 | 137 | 44 | 93 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 56 | 22 | 55 | 25 | 169 | 78 | 91 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 60 | 24 | 45 | 7 | 121 | 76 | 45 |
| \$2,500-\$2,899 | 36 | 17 | 63 | 6 | 103 | 83 | 20 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 26 10 | 9 5 | 62 80 | 46 40 | 198 | $\begin{array}{r}53 \\ 238 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 145 |
| \$4,000 and over- | 20 | 8 | 90 | 50 | 584 | 247 | 337 |
| Occupational group: Wage earner |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250- | 182 | $\stackrel{24}{103}$ | 7 |  | (*) |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 1,742 | 123 | 9 | 4 | 6 | 3 | 3 |
| \$750-8999 | 1,342 | 99 | 17 | 4 | 19 | 12 | 7 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249.. | 590 | 73 | 29 | 11 | 39 | 24 | 15 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 298 | 62 | 31 | 11 | 57 | 30 | 27 |
| \$250-\$499 Clerical | 10 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8500-8749- | 54 | 27 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 3 | 4 |
| \$750-8999 | 44 | 22 | 4 |  | 5 | 5 |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 46 | 23 | 48 | 17 | 107 | 52 | 55 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 24 | 12 | 25 | 17 | 96 | 29 | 67 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 20 | 9 | 44 | 12 | 107 | 71 | 36 |
| \$1,750-\$1,899-----------------1. | 22 | ${ }_{1}^{9}$ | 45 | 36 | 269 | 37 <br> 5 | 232 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 28 | 11 | 46 |  | 111 | 55 |  |
| \$2,250 and over ................. | 66 | 28 | 51 | 15 | 125 | 79 | 46 |
| Independent business and professional |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499---------------------- | 82 | 21 |  |  | ${ }_{15}^{2}$ | 2 |  |
| \$500-\$749......-----....----...- | 100 | ${ }_{24}^{29}$ | 22 | ${ }^{2}$ | 15 45 | 12 | ${ }^{3}$ |
| $\$ 1,000-\$ 1,249$ <br> \$1,250-\$1,499 | 40 26 | 13 13 | 68 38 | 5 15 | 55 98 | $\stackrel{48}{54}$ | $\stackrel{7}{4}$ |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 20 | 7 | 80 |  | 103 | 103 |  |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 22 | 6 | 32 |  | 18 | 18 |  |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 10 | 4 | 40 |  | 67 | 67 |  |
| \$2,250 and over .-.-.-.-.....-.-. | 24 | 10 | 100 | 42 | 387 | 167 | 220 |
| Salaried business and professional |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \$ 250-\$ 499- \\ & \$ 500-\$ 749 \end{aligned}$ | 16 <br> 30 | $\begin{array}{r}5 \\ 15 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 12 |  | $\stackrel{4}{8}$ | 4 | --------- |
| \$750-\$999 | 32 | 16 | 31 | 6 | 10 | 9 | 1 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 22 | 11 | 64 | 27 | 142 | 56 | 86 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 ..................... | 28 | 12 | 50 | 7 | 103 | 83 | 20 |
|  | 22 | 10 | 73 | 18 | 102 | 70 | 32 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999.....................- | 20 | 10 | 60 | 10 | 122 | 79 | 43 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 18 | 7 | 78 | 56 | 315 | 120 | 195 |
| \$2,250 and over .................. | 62 | 26 | 54 | 19 | 243 | 113 | 130 |

See p. 189 for notes on this table.
*Average amounts of less than $\$ 1$ and percentages of less than 0.1 are not shown.

ATLANTA, GA.
Table 8.-Automobile operation and purchase: Percentage of families owning and purchasing automobiles, average money expenditure for all families for operation and purchase, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued

| Occupational group, family type, and income class | Number of families |  | Percentage of all families |  | A verage money expenditure of all families |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eligible | Reporting extures | Owning automobile | Purchasing automobiles | Operation and purchase | Operation | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Purchase } \\ & \text { (net) } \end{aligned}$ |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| Family type: Type I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250._ | 88 | 9 | 11 |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499. | 422 | 34 | 5 |  | \$1 | \$1 |  |
| \$500-8749 | 678 | 62 |  |  | 8 |  |  |
| \$750-\$999 | 516 | 54 | 18 | 4 | 21 | 13 | 8 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 212 | 26 | 60 | 60 | 90 | 59 | 31 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 118 | 25 | 42 | 14 | 94 | 41 | 53 |
| \$1,500-\$2,249 | 44 | 15 | 57 | 18 | 150 | 63 | 87 |
| Type II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250 . | 24 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 | 122 | 21 | 9 |  | (*) |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 308 | 24 | 16 |  |  |  | 3 |
| \$750-\$999 | 164 56 | 14 | $\stackrel{46}{20}$ | 4 | $\stackrel{40}{30}$ | 37 20 | 13 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,500-\$2,249- | 24 | 9 | 67 | 17 | 171 | 106 | 65 |
| \$2,250 and over. | 26 | 10 | 62 | 38 | 326 | 89 | 237 |
| Type III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250. | 6 | 2 | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ |
| \$250-\$499-- | 80 162 | 18 |  |  |  | 1 |  |
|  | 76 | 16 | 18 | 12 | 14 | 7 | 7 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249-...---..............-- | 40 | 16 | 35 | 5 | 33 | 26 | 7 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 10 |  | 60 |  |  | 42 |  |
| \$1,500-\$2,249......-.-........... | 14 4 | 6 2 | $(\dagger)^{43}$ | (t) ${ }^{29}$ | $(t)^{205}$ |  | (t) 133 |
| Type IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250 | 40 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499- | 194 | 28 |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 348 | 37 |  |  | 9 | 7 |  |
|  | 390 | 37 | 12 | 5 | 19 | 8 | 11 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 $\ldots$.--------------- | 210 | 23 | 25 | 7 | 19 | 11 | 8 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 ..........----..... | 130 | 19 | 20 | 8 | 43 | 27 | 16 |
| \$1,500-\$2,249 | 52 | 22 | 63 | 20 | 148 | 77 | 71 |
| \$2,250 and over -.-.-.-........-- | 48 | 19 | 52 | 12 | 202 | 108 | 94 |
| Type V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250 | 4 | 2 | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ | $\left.{ }^{\dagger}\right)$ | ${ }^{\dagger}$ ) | ( $\dagger$ |
| \$250-\$499 | ${ }^{62}$ | ${ }_{21}^{11}$ |  |  |  | 2 |  |
| \$750-\$999 | 178 | 12 | 8 |  | 2 | 2 |  |
|  | 96 | 15 | 15 | 8 | 30 | 14 | 16 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 40 | 12 | 25 | 5 | 44 | 39 | 5 |
| \$1,500-82,249 | 34 | 15 | 38 |  | 27 | 27 |  |
| \$2,250 and over | 24 | 11 | 79 | 17 | 220 | 138 | 82 |

*Average amounts of less than $\$ 1$ and percentages of less than 0.1 are not shown. $\dagger$ Averages and percentages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.
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atlanta, ga.
Table 8.-Automobile operation and purchase: Percentage of families owning and purchasing automobiles, average money expenditure for all families for operation and purchase, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued

| Occupational group, family type, and income class | Number of families |  | Percentage of all families |  | Average money expenditure of all families |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eligible (2) | Reporting ex-penditures <br> (3) | Owning automobiles <br> (4) | Purchasing automobiles <br> (5) | Operation and purchase <br> (6) | Operation <br> (7) | $\underset{\text { (net) }}{\text { Purchase }}$ (net) <br> (8) |
| Type VI | 14541708024222226 | 11919111112 | ( $\dagger$ )$\begin{array}{r} 5 \\ 1 \\ 11 \\ 50 \\ 64 \end{array}$ | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ ) $\begin{array}{r}\$ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 136\end{array}$ | ${ }^{(\dagger)} \begin{array}{r}\text { \$3 } \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 3 \\ 42\end{array}$ | ( $\dagger$ ) |
| Under \$250.. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | \$2 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 |  |  |  | 33 |  |  | 94 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499... |  | 1113 |  | $(t)^{45}$ | $(\dagger)^{183}$ | $(t)^{61}$ | $(\dagger){ }^{122}$ |
| \$2,250 and over...... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Type VII |  |  | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) |
| Under \$250 | 6 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499.- | 122 | 11 | 11 |  |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$999 | 10460 | 1314 | 131212 | $\stackrel{4}{4}$ | 3112 | 1010 | 212 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 341216 | 1658 | 126725 |  | 9207127 | $\begin{array}{r}9 \\ 75 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 132 |
| \$1,500-\$2,249 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$2,250 and over... |  |  |  |  |  | 81 | 46 |

[^73]ATLANTA, GA.
Table 9.-Recreation: Average money expenditure for recreation of specified types, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1935-86
[Negro nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]

${ }^{1}$ See glossary, appendix B, for items included.
*Average amounts of less than $\$ 1$ and percentages of less than 0.1 are not shown.
atLANTA, GA.
Table 9.-Recreation: Average money expenditure for recreation of specified types, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued


## ATLANTA, GA.

Table 9.-Recreation: Average money expenditure for recreation of specified types, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued

| Occupational group, family type, and income class <br> (1) | Number of families |  | Average money expenditure for recreation |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eligible | Reporting ex-penditures | Total | Paid admissions |  | Equipment for games and <br> (7) | Other |
|  |  |  |  | Movies | Other |  |  |
|  | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |  | (8) |
| Type V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250 . | 4 | 2 | ( $\dagger$ | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( ${ }^{\text {) }}$ | ( $\dagger$ |
| \$500-\$749--- | 138 | ${ }_{21} 1$ | \$10 | ${ }_{4}$ |  |  | ${ }_{9} 9$ |
| \$750-\$999-- | 178 | 14 | 31 | 12 | 1 | 1 | 17 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 96 | 15 | 22 | 9 | 2 | 4 | 7 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 40 | 12 | 25 | 10 | 4 | 1 |  |
| \$1,500-\$2,249 | 34 | 15 | 49 | 17 | 3 | 2 | 27 |
| \$2,250 and over. | 24 | 11 | 101 | 24 | 10 | 2 | 65 |
| Type VI |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 14 | 1 | ( $\dagger$ ) | (t) | (t) | (t) | ( $\dagger$ ) |
| \$250-\$499.. | 54 | 19 | 3 | (*) | (*) | (*) |  |
| \$500-\$749.- | 170 | 21 | 14 | 1 | (*) 1 | ${ }_{2}^{4}$ | 8 |
| \$750-\$999 | 80 24 | 11 | 17 29 | 3 9 | (*) 1 | 2 1 | 12 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 24 | 12 | 29 | 9 | 1 | 1 | 18 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499.. | 22 | 11 | 45 |  | 1 |  | 29 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1,500-\$ 2,249 \ldots \\ & \$ 2,250 \text { and over } \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{2}{6}$ | $\stackrel{1}{3}$ | ${ }^{(\dagger)}{ }_{46}$ | ${ }^{(\dagger)}{ }_{15}$ | ${ }^{(\dagger)} 3$ | $\left.{ }^{( }\right)^{4}$ | ${ }^{(\dagger)} 24$ |
| Type VII |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250... | 6 | 1 | ( $\dagger$ ) |  | () | ( $\dagger$ ) | ( $\dagger$ ) |
| \$250-\$499 | 46 | 8 | 4 |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 122 | 11 | 14 | 7 | (*) | 1 | ${ }^{6}$ |
| \$750-\$999 | 104 | 13 | 15 | 6 | ( 2 | 3 | 4 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 60 | 14 | 40 | 15 | (*) | 1 | 24 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499.....-----------... | 34 | 16 | 26 |  | 1 | 5 | 13 |
| \$1,500-\$2,249 ................... | 12 | 5 | 43 | 8 | 1 | 10 | 24 |
| \$2,250 and over. | 16 | 8 | 67 | 25 | ${ }^{8}$ | 4 | 29 |

*A verage amounts of less than $\$ 1$ and percentages of less than 0.1 are not shown. $\dagger$ A verages and percentages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

# Footnotes for Tables in Expenditure Tabular Summary 

## Atlanta (Negro)

## TABLE 1

I See glossary, appendix B, for eligibility requirements, methods used in deriving weighted averages, and definitions of terms used in this and subsequent tables.
${ }^{2}$ Money income is equal to the sum of money expenditure (column 7) plus net surplus or deficit (column 8) plus net balancing difference (column 9 ).
? Nonmoney income from housing includes imputed income from owned family or vacation homes plus rent received as pay or gift (average amounts based on all families, whether or not they reported such nonmoney income).
${ }^{4}$ Includes purchase on cash or credit basis. Does not include money disbursements resulting in an increase in family assets or a decrease in liabilities. (Examples of disbursements not treated as expenditures will be found in the glossary, appendix $B$.)
${ }^{s}$ See glossary, appendix B, for definitions of surplus and deficit.
${ }^{6}$ Represents the average net difference between reported money receipts and reported money disbursements. See glossary, appendix B. A maximum balancing difference within 5.5 percent was allowable on each schedule.

## TABLE 1-A

${ }^{1}$ A surplus represents an increase in assets or a decrease in liabilities, or both; a deficit represents a decrease in assets or an increase in liabilities, or both.
${ }^{2}$ Some families reported neither surplus nor deficit for the year; therefore the sum of columns 5 and 6 does not always equal 100 percent.
${ }^{3}$ Since the average amounts in these 2 columns are based on the number of families reporting surplus or deficit, respectively, they do not add to the average net surplus or deficit shown in column 4.

TABLE 2
1 The averages in this table include money expenditure for goods and services purchased on either cash or credit basis. They do not include value of goods and services received without money expense. Averages are based on all families, whether or not they reported expenditures for the specified categories.
${ }^{2}$ Housing expenditures include the money expense of home owners and rent contracted for by renting families for family homes and other housing. The value of fuel, light, and refrigeration is included when furnished by the landlord and included in the rental rate.
${ }^{3}$ Includes all expenditures for operation and maintenance (see table 8), and the net purchase price (gross price less trade-in allowance) of automobiles bought during the schedule year. The proportion of automobile expense chargeable to business has been deducted. See glossary, appendix $\mathbf{B}$.

4 Includes paid admissions, equipment and supplies for games, sports, and other recreation, club dues, and the like. Does not include expense for transportation, food, or lodging while on vacation.
$s$ Taxes include only poll, income, and personal property taxes. All other taxes, such as those on real estate, amusements and retail sales taxes, are included as a part of the expenditure for these items. Gifts do not include gifts from one member of the economic family to another.

## TABLE 3

1 Includes expenditures for board at school, which amounted to less than 5 percent of average food expense for all families. Among families in the business and professional categories, it amounted at most to an average of $\$ 4$, at the income levels $\$ 1,250$ to $\$ 1,499$ and $\$ 1,750$ to $\$ 1,999$. For families of type V, it amounted at most to $\$ 3$, at the income level $\$ 1,250$ to $\$ 1,499$.

I See glossary, appendix B, for method of deriving this figure.

TABLE 4
${ }^{1}$ Average amounts for renting families based on rental rate contracted for. Value of fuel, light, and refrigeration is included when furnished by the landlord and included in the rental rate. See table 4-A for percent of families for whom these facilities were included as part of the rest.

2 See table 4-A for separation of expense for owning and renting families.
${ }^{3}$ Includes net money expenditure for owned or rented vacation homes, lodging while traveling or on vacation, and room at school.
\& See glossary, appendix B, for method of deriving this figure. Includes nonmoney income from owned vacation homes, which amounted at most to an average of less than 1 dollar for all families.

- Percentages based on the average value of all housing (column 6).


## TABLE 4-A

${ }^{1}$ These two percentages do not always add to 100 , since families that both owned and rented during the year, or received rent as gift or pay, are not included in columns 4 through 7.
${ }^{2}$ Percentages based on renting families reporting these facilities included in rent at the end of the schedule year.

## TABLE 5

: Excludes value of fuel, light, and refrigeration furnished by the landlord and included in the rental rate. Fuel received without expense is not included in this average, but amounted to less than 5 percent of the money expense for fuel, light, and refrigeration for all families with incomes of $\$ 500$ and over.
${ }^{2}$ See glossary, appendix B, for items included.

## TABLE 6

1 Value of clothing gifts from one family member to another are included in the average expenditure for the member receiving such gifts. Gifts of clothing to or from individuals outside the economic family are excluded.
${ }^{2}$ For families of type I, averages and percentages shown in columas 7 and 10 are for individuals who were members of the economic family less than 27 weeks, and were therefore not considered equivalent menbers in determining family type. See glossary, appendix B, for method of classifying families by type.

## TABLE 7

1 See glossary, appendix B, for items included.

## TABLE 8

${ }^{1}$ To obtain the average expense of operation for families owning antomobiles, divide the average shown in this column by the corresponding figure in column 4 and multiply by 100.
${ }^{2}$ To obtain the average net purchase price (gross price less trade-in allowance) for families purchasing automobiles, divide the average shown in this column by the corresponding figure in column 5 and multiply by 100 .

## TABLE 9

[^74]SOUTHEAST, 2 MIDDLE-SIZED CHTLES
Table 1.-Balance of family income and expenditure: Number of eligible families, number reporting expenditures, average net money and nonmoney income, average money expenditure for family living, net surplus or deficit, and balancing difference, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1995-86
[White nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]


See p. 218 for notes on this table.

## SOUTHEAST, 2 MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES

Table 1.-Balance of family income and expenditure: Number of eligible families, number reporting expenditures, average net money and nonmoney income, average money expenditure for family living, net surplus or deficit, and balancing difference, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued

| Occupational group, family type, and income class | Number of families |  | A verage net income |  |  | Average money ture for family living | A verage plus or deflicit (-) | A verage net balancing differ- |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eligible (2) | Reporting ex-penditures <br> (3) | Total (4) | Money (5) | Nonmoney from housing <br> (6) |  |  |  |
| Salaried business and professional |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 58 | 31 | \$1, 143 | \$1, 107 | \$36 | \$1, 130 | -\$8 | -\$17 |
| \$1,250- \$1,499 | 48 | 30 | 1,340 | 1,311 | 29 | 1,320 | 19 | -28 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 101 | 44 | 1,604 | 1,568 | 36 | 1,614 | -40 | -6 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 115 | 47 | 1,865 | 1,813 | 52 | 1,881 | -54 | -14 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 103 | 36 | 2, 134 | 2,084 | 50 | 2, 092 | -4 | -4 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499. | 121 | 45 | 2,382 | 2,306 | 76 | 2,152 | 136 | 18 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 180 | 47 | 2,730 | 2,595 | 135 | 2, 383 | 225 | -13 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 171 | 42 | 3,232 | 3,069 | 163 | 2,663 | 437 | -31 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 122 | 45 | 3,740 | 3,587 | 153 | 3,014 | 559 | 14 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999. | 128 | 38 | 4,404 | 4,243 | 161 | 3,612 | 679 | -48 |
| \$5,000 and over. | 136 | 22 | 6,805 | 6,477 | 328 | 4,791 | 1,663 | 23 |
| Family type: Type I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499. | 31 | 6 | 430 | 430 |  | 512 | -72 | -10 |
| \$500-\$749 | 100 | 14 | 660 | ${ }_{6}^{633}$ | 27 | 665 | $-13$ | -19 |
| \$750-\$999 | 125 | 15 | 867 | 842 | 25 | 835 | 22 | -15 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 205 | 29 | 1,109 | 1,069 | 40 | 1,052 | 22 | -5 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 153 | 35 | 1,342 | 1,291 | 51 | 1,207 | 86 | -2 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 173 | 28 | 1,610 | 1,561 | 49 | 1, 565 | 6 | -10 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 165 | 33 | 1,877 | 1,800 | 77 | 1,704 | ${ }^{96}$ | ${ }^{(*)}$ |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 106 | 30 | 2.129 | 2, 040 | 89 | 1,847 | 200 |  |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 96 | 32 | 2,370 | 2,251 | 119 | 2.042 | 199 | 10 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999. | 49 | 13 | 2,803 | 2,696 | 107 | 2,313 | 390 | -7 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 49 | 20 | 3,242 | 3,038 | 204 | 2, 528 | 502 | 8 |
| \$3,500-83,999 | 41 | 14 | 3,791 | 3,527 | 264 | 2,486 | 1,058 | -17 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 39 | 13 | 4,379 | 4,183 | 196 | 3,260 | 926 | -3 |
| \$5,000 and over | 49 | 8 | 6,653 | 5,926 | 727 | 4,055 | 1,772 | 99 |
| Types II and III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499- | 34 | 12 | 425 | 425 |  | 617 | -188 | -4 |
| \$500-\$749 | 143 | 23 | 600 | 594 | 6 | 629 | -25 | -10 |
| \$750-\$999 | ${ }^{316}$ | ${ }^{38}$ | 896 | 867 | 29 | 908 | -21 | -20 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 284 | 59 | 1,130 | 1,119 | 11 | 1,143 | -14 | -10 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 228 | 59 | 1,357 | 1,323 | 34 | 1,379 | -43 | -13 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 259 | 62 | 1,605 | 1,527 | 78 | 1,513 | 29 | -15 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | ${ }^{226}$ | 62 | 1,856 | 1,795 | ${ }_{61}^{61}$ | 1,840 | -31 | -14 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 146 | 46 | 2,130 | 2,060 | 70 | 2,024 | 48 | -12 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499. | 144 | 52 | 2,387 | 2,281 | 106 | 2,022 | 274 | -15 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999. | 95 | 31 | 2, 689 | 2,588 | 101 | 2, 351 | 237 | (*) |
| \$3,000-\$3,499.- | 78 | 22 | 3, 228 | 3, 147 | 81 | 2,780 | 434 | $-67$ |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 57 | 20 | 3,723 | 3,586 | 137 | 3,216 | 398 | -28 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999. | 42 | 21 | 4,411 | 4,297 | 114 | 3,292 | 1,026 | -21 |
| \$5,000 and over | 64 | 21 | 7,500 | 7,236 | 264 | 5,146 | 2,022 | 68 |
| Types IVand V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 | 31 | 10 | 433 | 409 | 24 | 550 | -131 | -10 |
| \$500-\$749 | 113 | 25 | 626 | 600 | 26 | 726 | -109 | -17 |
| \$750-\$999 | 182 | 35 | 882 | 862 | 20 | 900 | -28 | -10 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 216 | 60 | 1,130 | 1,085 | 45 | 1,146 | -54 | -7 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 198 | 57 | 1,359 | 1,286 | 73 | 1,300 | -4 | -10 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 247 | 69 | 1,619 | 1,535 | 84 | 1,510 | ${ }_{54}^{26}$ | -1 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 261 | 68 | 1,870 | 1,798 | 72 | 1,743 | 54 | 1 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 195 | 63 | 2,133 | 2,035 | 98 | 2,001 | 53 | -19 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499. | 200 | 53 | 2,354 | 2,247 | 107 | 2,067 | 177 | 3 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 109 | 39 | 2,744 | 2,558 | 186 | 2, 450 | 115 | -7 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 95 | 26 | 3,233 | 3,020 | 213 | 2,590 | 440 | -10 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 80 | $\stackrel{37}{ }$ | 3,709 | 3,570 | 139 | 3,037 | 508 | 25 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999. | 97 | ${ }^{27}$ | 4,358 | 4, 139 | 219 | 3,686 | 498 | -45 |
| \$5,000 and over----......... | 148 | 19 | 7.686 | 7,400 | 286 | 5,165 | 2,321 | -86 |

[^75]
## SOUTHEAST, 2 MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES

Table 1-A.-Net surplus or deflcit: Percentage of families having a surplus or deficit, and average amounts reported, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, $1935-36^{1}$
[White nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]

see p. 218 for notes on this table.

## SOUTHEAST, 2 MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES

Table 1-A.-Net surplus or deficit: Percentage of families having a surplus or deficit, and average amounts reported, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued


# SOUTHEAST, 2 MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES 

Table 2.-Summary of family expenditure: Average money expenditure for specified groups of goods and services, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1995-36 ${ }^{1}$
[White nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]


|  |  |  |  | Percentage of total money expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$250-\$499. | 96 | 28 | 3.3 | 100.0 | 39.6 | 21.9 | 8.5 | 4.1 | 2.0 | 9.1 | 1.1 | 1.6 | 2.0 | 4.4 | 1.2 | 2.1 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 0.2 |
| \$500-\$749. | 356 | 62 | 3.2 | 100.0 | 40.2 | 17.1 | 9.6 | 4.3 | 3.4 | 8.7 | 1.4 | . 8 | 2.1 | 5.3 | 1.6 | 2.5 | 1.0 | . 3 | 1. 3 | . 6 |
| \$750-\$990. | 623 | 88 | 3.5 | 100.0 | 36.8 | 16.7 | 8.9 | 4.6 | 2.8 | 8.1 | 5.2 | . 8 | 2.4 | 4.8 | 2.7 | 2.5 | 1.0 | . 4 | 1.9 | . 4 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 705 | 148 | 3. 2 | 100.0 | 34.3 | 15.7 | 8.1 | 5.6 | 4.3 | 9.1 | 4. 9 | 1.3 | 2.3 | 4.9 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 1.0 | . 8 | 2.2 | 6 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 579 | 151 | 3.4 | 100.0 | 33. 2 | 13.9 | 7.7 | 6.2 | 5.6 | 9.4 | 6.3 | 1.1 | 2. 2 | 5.1 | 2.5 | 2.2 | 1.0 | . 5 | 2.9 | 2 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 679 | 159 | 3.5 | 100.0 | 33.3 | 13.8 | 7.1 | 6.6 | 4.5 | 9.9 | 6.5 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 4.9 | 2.8 | 2.2 | . 9 | . 8 | 3.3 | . 4 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999.. | 652 | 163 | 3.5 | 100.0 | 30.3 | 13.9 | 6.7 | 7.8 | 4.0 | 9.4 | 9.3 | . 8 | 2.1 | 5.1 | 2.8 | 2.5 | . 9 | . 7 | 3.4 | . 3 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 447 | 139 | 3.6 | 100.0 | 29.5 | 13.2 | 6.2 | 7.8 | 4.0 | 10.6 | 9.1 | 1.1 | 2.0 | 4.8 | 2.9 | 2.1 | . 9 | 1.2 | 4.4 | . 2 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 440 | 137 | 3.6 | 100.0 | 28.4 | 12. 6 | 6.4 | 8.4 | 3.6 | 10.4 | 9.0 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 5.3 | 3.2 | 2.3 | . 9 | 1.0 | 5.1 | . 4 |
| \$2,500-\$2,990 ...-....- | 253 | 83 | 3.7 | 100.0 | 26.4 | 13.7 | 6.0 | 9.5 | 3.0 | 10.9 | 10.5 | 1.1 | 1.9 | 3.8 | 3.1 | 1.8 | . 9 | 1.7 | 5. 5 | . 2 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499. | 222 | 68 | 3.5 | 100.0 | 25.8 | 11.9 | 5.6 | 10.5 | 3.0 | 11.3 | 11.3 | . 9 | 1.8 | 4.3 | 3.8 | 2.1 | 1.0 | . 8 | 5.3 | . 6 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999. | 178 | 71 | 3.4 | 100.0 | 23.8 | 12.3 | 5.5 | 11.0 | 3.1 | 10.7 | 10.9 | .7 | 1.8 | 5.2 | 3.4 | 1.8 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 7.6 | . 2 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 178 | 61 | 3.3 | 100.0 | 23.2 | 12.5 | 5.0 | 10.4 | 3.1 | 10.9 | 11.5 | . 9 | 1.6 | 4.2 | 4.1 | 1.9 | . 8 | 1.4 | 8.2 | 3 |
| \$5,000 and over | 261 | 48 | 3.8 | 100.0 | 20.1 | 11.0 | 4.3 | 11.1 | 4.3 | 12.0 | 10.1 | . 4 | 1.9 | 4.1 | 4.9 | 1. 2 | . 7 | 1.5 | 11.9 | 5 |
| cupational group; |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | rage | ney | ndi | in |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499. | 96 | 28 | 3.3 | 562 | 223 | 123 | 48 | 23 | 11 | 51 | 6 | 9 | 11 | 25 | 7 | 12 | 5 | 2 | 5 | 1 |
| \$500-\$749 | 315 | 41 | 3.2 | 668 | 270 | 111 | 64 | 29 | 24 | 59 | 11 | 4 | 14 | 35 | 10 | 17 | 6 | 2 | 8 | 4 |
| \$750-\$999 | 470 | 47 | 3.4 | 873 | 322 | 146 | 79 | 39 | 21 | 72 | 45 | 7 | 20 | 39 | 26 | 21 | 9 | 4 | 17 | 6 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 380 | 52 | 3.3 | 1,116 | 392 | 167 | 88 | 57 | 60 | 101 | 49 | 14 | 25 | 56 | 30 | 27 | 10 | 10 | 20 | 10 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 271 | 43 | 3.4 | 1,262 | 445 | 147 | 100 | 69 | 65 | 122 | 77 | 16 | 28 | 70 | 40 | 29 | 12 | 7 | 33 | 2 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749........- | 271 | 44 | 3.7 | 1,453 | 528 | 167 | 106 | 77 | 48 | 151 | 98 | 15 | 30 | 82 | 37 | 27 | 12 | 12 | 57 | 6 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 216 | 40 | 3.6 | 1,633 | 554 | 207 | 109 | 102 | 69 | 140 | 119 | 15 | 33 | 111 | 46 | 44 | 14 | 9 | 56 | 5 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 118 | 33 | 3.7 | 1,947 | 629 | 206 | 123 | 131 | 77 | 197 | 199 | 24 | 37 | 102 | 45 | 35 | 17 | 23 | 94 | 8 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 $\ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 113 | 34 | 3.8 | 1,963 | 614 | 211 | 124 | 132 | 97 | 188 | 148 | 19 | 41 | 100 | 86 | 57 | 19 | 27 | 88 | 12 |
| Occupational group: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ntag | tota | oney | pend |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499. | 96 | 28 | 3.3 | 100.0 | 39.6 | 21.9 | 8.5 | 4.1 | 2.0 | 9.1 | 1.1 | 1.6 | 2.0 | 4. 4 | 1.2 | 2.1 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 0.2 |
| \$500-\$749 | 315 | 41 | 3.2 | 100.0 | 40.6 | 16.6 | 9.6 | 4.3 | 3. 6 | 8.8 | 1. 6 | . 6 | 2.1 | 5. 2 | 1.5 | 2.5 | . 9 | . 3 | 1.2 | . 6 |
| \$750-\$999 | 470 | 47 | 3.4 | 100.0 | 36.9 | 16.7 | 9.0 | 4.5 | 2.4 | 8.2 | 5.2 | . 8 | 2.3 | 4.5 | 3.0 | 2.4 | 1.0 | . 5 | 1.9 | 7 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 380 | 52 | 3.3 | 100.0 | 35.1 | 15.0 | 7.9 | 5.1 | 5.4 | 9.1 | 4.4 | 1.2 | 2.2 | 5.0 | 2.7 | 2.4 | . 9 | . 9 | 1.8 | 9 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499.........- | 271 | 43 | 3.4 | 100.0 | 35.3 | 11.6 | 7.9 | 5.5 | 5.1 | 9.7 | 6.1 | 1.3 | 2. 2 | 5.5 | 3.2 | 2.3 | .9 | .6 | 2.6 | 2 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 271 | 44 | 3.7 | 100.0 | 36.5 | 11.5 | 7.3 | 5.3 | 3.3 | 10.4 | 6.7 | 1.0 | 2.1 | 5.6 | 2.5 | 1.9 | . 8 | . 8 | 3.9 | . 4 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 216 | 40 | 3.6 | 100.0 | 34.0 | 12.7 | 6.7 | 6.2 | 4.2 | 8.8 | 7.3 | . 9 | 2.0 | 6.8 | 2.8 | 2.7 | . 8 | . 6 | 3.4 | . 3 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 118 | 33 | 3.7 | 100.0 | 32.3 | 10.6 | 6.3 | 6.7 | 4.0 | 10.1 | 10.3 | 1.2 | 1.9 | 5.2 | 2.3 | 1.8 | . 9 | 1.2 | 4.8 | . 4 |
| \$2,250 \$2,499 $\ldots \ldots . . . .$. | 113 | 34 | 3.8 | 100.0 | 31.3 | 10.7 | 6.3 | 6.7 | 4.9 | 9.6 | 7.5 | 1.0 | 2.1 | 5.1 | 4.4 | 2.9 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 4.5 | . 6 |

Table 2.-Summary of family expenditure: Average money expenditure for specified groups of goods and services, by occupation, family


| Independent business |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | rage | ney | di | in |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 $\ldots$...... | 70 | 27 | 3.2 | 1,132 | 355 | 207 | 93 | 82 | 36 | 102 | 53 | 13 | 25 | 50 | 21 | 25 | 14 | 9 | 36 | 11 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 57 | 35 | 3.2 | 1, 440 | 428 | 195 | 103 | 106 | 86 | 138 | 117 | 8 | 29 | 90 | 38 | 42 | 15 | 4 | 38 | 3 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749.......... | 56 | 27 | 3.4 | 1, 582 | 496 | 216 | 111 | 119 | 70 | 150 | 113 | 21 | 32 | 75 | 63 | 36 | 13 | 14 | 48 | 5 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 66 | 38 | 3.5 | 1, 716 | 526 | 210 | 121 | 159 | 56 | 160 | 162 | 19 | 31 | 81 | 50 | 42 | 16 | 14 | 68 | 1 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 49 | 29 | 3.2 | 1,950 | 555 | 260 | 124 | 193 | 70 | 177 | 239 | 14 | 33 | 58 | 57 | 37 | 20 | 13 | 98 | 2 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 55 | 23 | 3. 5 | 1,932 | 584 | 234 | 122 | 207 | 37 | 195 | 144 | 22 | 43 | 82 | 55 | 47 | 18 | 15 | 114 | 13 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999. | 73 | 36 | 3.7 | 2,397 | 608 | 308 | 139 | 203 | 105 | 252 | 276 | 26 | 47 | 99 | 88 | 48 | 21 | 39 | 135 | 3 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 51 | 26 | 3.5 | 2,577 | 647 | 288 | 143 | 309 | 72 | 314 | 307 | 30 | 46 | 111 | 84 | 54 | 25 | 18 | 121 | 8 |
| \$3,500-\$3,989 | 56 | 26 | 3.6 | 2,865 | 711 | 376 | 170 | 340 | 75 | 305 | 288 | 8 | 53 | 101 | 86 | 62 | 24 | 33 | 225 | 8 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 50 | $\stackrel{23}{ }$ | 3.6 | 3,212 | 769 | 362 | 173 | 371 | 78 | 347 | 347 | 22 | 53 | 140 | 114 | 70 | 28 | 42 | 279 | 17 |
| \$5,000 and over.....- | 125 | 26 | 3.8 | 5,127 | 1,034 | 608 | 239 | 594 | 254 | 603 | 485 | 18 | 85 | 168 | 224 | 58 | 30 | 131 | 578 | 18 |
| Independent business |  |  |  | Percentage of total money expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 70 | 27 | 3.2 | 100.0 | 31.4 | 18.3 | 8.2 | 7.2 | 3.2 | 9.0 | 4.7 | 1.1 | 2.2 | 4.4 | 1.9 | 2. 2 | 1. 2 | 0.8 | 3.2 | 1.0 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 57 | 35 | 3. 2 | 100.0 | 29.8 | 13.5 | 7.2 | 7.4 | 6.0 | 9.6 | 8.1 | 1.6 | 2.0 | 6.2 | 2.6 | 2.9 | 1.0 | .8 .3 | 2.6 | 1.0 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 56 | 27 | 3.4 | 100.0 | 31.4 | 13.7 | 7.0 | 7.5 | 4.4 | 9.5 | 7.2 | 1.3 | 2.0 | 4.7 | 4.0 | 2.3 | . 8 | . 9 | 3.0 | 3 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 66 | 38 | 3.5 | 100.0 | 30.7 | 12.2 | 7.1 | 9.3 | 3.3 | 9.3 | 9.5 | 1.1 | 1.8 | 4.7 | 2.9 | 2.4 | . 9 | . 8 | 4.0 | (*) |
| \$2,000-\$2,249... | 49 | 29 | 3.2 | 100.0 | 28.5 | 13.3 | 6.3 | 9.9 | 3.6 | 9.1 | 12.3 | . 7 | 1.7 | 3.0 | 2.9 | 1.9 | 1.0 | . 7 | 5.0 | . 1 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 55 | 23 | 3.5 | 100.0 | 30.4 | 12.1 | 6.3 | 10.7 | 1.9 | 10.1 | 7.5 | 1. 1 | 2.2 | 4.2 | 2.8 | 2.4 | . 9 | . 8 | 5.9 | 7 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 73 | 36 | 3.7 | 100.0 | 25.4 | 12.8 | 5.8 | 8.5 | 4.4 | 10.5 | 11.5 | 1. 1 | 2.0 | 4.1 | 3.7 | 2.0 | . 9 | 1.6 | 5.6 | . 1 |
| \$3,000-\$8,499 | 51 | 26 | 3.5 | 100.0 | 25.1 | 11.2 | 5.5 | 12.0 | 2.8 | 12.2 | 11.9 | 1. 2 | 1.8 | 4.3 | 3.2 | 2.1 | 1.0 | . 7 | 4. 7 | . 3 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 56 | 26 | 3.6 | 100.0 | 24.8 | 13.1 | 5. 9 | 11.9 | 2.6 | 10.6 | 10.0 | . 3 | 1.9 | 3.5 | 3.0 | 2.2 | . 8 | 1. 2 | 7.9 | . 3 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 50 | 23 | 3.6 | 100.0 | 23.9 | 11.3 | 5.4 | 11.6 | 2.4 | 10.8 | 10.8 | . 7 | 1.6 | 4. 4 | 3.5 | 2.2 | . 9 | 1.3 | 8. 7 | . 5 |
| \$5,000 and over | 125 | 26 | 3.8 | 100.0 | 20.1 | 11.8 | 4.7 | 11.6 | 4.9 | 11.8 | 9.4 | . 4 | 1.6 | 3.3 | 4.4 | 1. 1 | . 6 | 2.6 | d1.3 | . 4 |
| Salaried business |  |  |  | A verage money expenditure in dollars |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 58 | 31 | 3.1 | 1,130 | 380 | 184 | 93 | 75 | 42 | 137 | 51 | 10 | 26 | 41 | 23 | 26 | 10 | 4 | 27 | 1 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 48 | 30 | 3.2 | 1,320 | 403 | 219 | 86 | 83 | 69 | 136 | 76 | 16 | 28 | 70 | 29 | 36 | 14 | 9 | 41 | 5 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 101 | 44 | 3.4 | 1,614 | 487 | 247 | 108 | 113 | 72 | 151 | 151 | 18 | 32 | 83 | 48 | 35 | 14 | 6 | 41 | 8 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 115 | 47 | 3.5 | 1,881 | 522 | 283 | 124 | 154 | 74 | 194 | 183 | 13 | 40 | 82 | 60 | 44 | 15 | 24 | 63 | 8 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 ........- | 103 | 36 | 3.6 | 2,092 | 563 | 321 | 121 | 170 | 88 | 231 | 139 | 23 | 46 | 158 | 62 | 43 | 19 | 23 | 78 | 7 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499....--..- | 121 | 45 | 3.5 | 2,152 | 560 | 298 | 138 | 194 | 99 | 203 | 174 | 19 | 35 | 146 | 62 | 45 | 18 | 10 | 142 | 9 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 .......... | 180 | 47 | 3.7 | 2,383 | 641 | 336 | 145 | 235 | 58 | 264 | 239 | 25 | 45 | 87 | 70 | 43 | 23 | 40 | 128 | 4 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 $\ldots . . . . . . . .$. | 171 | 42 | 3. 5 | 2,663 | 691 | 325 | 150 | 268 | 82 | 292 | 296 | 22 | 48 | 115 | 105 | 58 | 26 | 21 | 147 | 17 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999.......--- | 122 | 45 | 3.3 | 3,014 | 702 | 359 | 161 | 318 | 101 | 326 | 336 | 26 | 56 | 177 | 110 | 47 | 32 | 30 | 226 | 7 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 128 | 38 | 3.2 | 3,612 | 827 | 464 | 177 | 363 | 120 | 393 | 423 | 38 | 57 | 149 | 155 | 66 | 30 | 52 | 290 | 8 |
| \$5,000 and over-....- | 136 | 22 | 3.7 | 4,791 | 960 | 488 | 189 | 512 | 175 | 579 | 512 | 26 | 99 | 238 | 259 | 63 | 41 | 25 | 595 | 30 |

*Average amounts of less than $\$ 1$ and percentages of less than 0.1 are not shown.

Table 2.-Summary of family expenditure: Average money expenditure for specified groups of goods and services, by occupation, family

| Occupational group, family type, and income class | Number of families |  | Average number of persons per family | Total(5) | Food <br> (6) | Housing <br> (7) | Household operation |  | Fur. nishings and equipment | Clothing <br> (11) | Auto- <br> mo- <br> bile <br> (12) | Other trans-portation <br> (13) | Personal care <br> (14) | Medical care <br> (15) | Recreation <br> (16) | Tobacco <br> (17) | Reading <br> (18) | Formal education <br> (19) | Con-tributions and personal taxes | Other items <br> (21) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\underset{\text { ble }}{\text { Eligi- }}$ <br> (2) | Reporting ex-penditures |  |  |  |  | Fuel, light, and refrigeration | Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1) |  | (3) |  |  |  |  | (8) | (9) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salaried business |  |  |  | Percentage of total money expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 5848 | $\begin{aligned} & 31 \\ & 30 \end{aligned}$ | 3.13.2 | 100.0 | 33.7 | 16.3 | 8.2 | 6.6 | 3.7 | 12, 1 | 4. 5 | 0.9 | 2.3 | 3.6 | 2.0 | 2.3 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 2.4 | 0.1 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 |  |  |  | 100.0 | 30.5 | 16.6 | 6.5 | 6.3 | 5.2 | 10.3 | 5.8 | 1. 2 | 2.1 | 5.3 | 2.2 | 2.7 | 1.1 | .7 | 3.1 | 4 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 101 | 44 | 3.4 | 100.0 | 30.2 | 15.3 | 6.7 | 7.0 | 4. 5 | 9.3 | 9.3 | 1. 1 | 2.0 | 5.1 | 3.0 | 2.2 | . 9 | . 4 | 2.5 | 5 |
| \$1,750-\$1,992 | 115 | 47 | 3. 5 | 100.0 | 27.8 | 15.0 | 6.6 | 8.2 | 3.9 | 10.3 | 9.8 | . 7 | 2.1 | 4.4 | 3.2 | 2.3 | . 8 | 1.3 | 3.3 | . 3 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 103 | 36 | 3.6 | 100.0 | 26.9 | 15.4 | 5.8 | 8.1 | 4.2 | 11.0 | 6.6 | 1.1 | 2.2 | 7.6 | 3.0 | 2.1 | . 9 | 1.1 | 3.7 | . 3 |
| \$2,250-\$2,490 | 121180 | 45 | $\text { 3. } 5$ | 100.0 | 26.0 | 13.9 | 6.4 | 9.0 | 4.6 | 9.4 | 8.1 | . 9 | 1.6 | 6.8 | 2.9 | 2.1 | . 8 | . 5 | 6.6 | . 4 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999... |  | 4742 |  | 100.0 | 26.9 | 14.1 | 6.1 | 9.9 | 2.4 | 11.1 | 10.0 | 1.0 | 1. 9 | 3.6 | 2.9 | 1.8 | 1. 0 | 1.7 | 5. 4 | . 2 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 171 |  | 3. 5 | 100.0 | 26.0 | 12.2 | 5.6 | 10.1 | 3.1 | 11.0 | 11.1 | . 8 | 1.8 | 4.3 | 3.9 | 2.2 | 1.0 | . 8 | 5. 5 | . 6 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999. | $\begin{aligned} & 122 \\ & 128 \\ & 128 \end{aligned}$ | 4538 | 3. 3 | 100.0 | 23.3 | 11.9 | 5.3 | 10.6 | 3.4 | 10.8 | 11.1 | . 9 | 1.8 | 5.9 | 3.6 | 1.6 | 1.1 | 1. 0 | 7.5 | . 2 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 |  |  | 3.7 | 100.0 | 23.0 | 12.9 | 4.9 | 10.0 | 3.3 | 10.9 | 11. 7 | 1.1 | 1. 6 | 4.1 | 4. 3 | 1.8 | . 8 | 1.4 | 8.0 | . 2 |
| \$5,000 and over....-- | $136$ | 22 |  | 100.0 | 20.0 | 10.2 | 3.9 | 10.7 | 3.7 | 12.1 | 10.7 | . 5 | 2.1 | 5.0 | 5.4 | 1.3 | . 9 | . 5 | 12.4 | . 6 |
| Family type: Type I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | erage m | money e | xpendit | are in d | dlars |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 | 31 | 6 | 2.0 | 512 | 217 | 98 | 53 | 16 | 7 | 35 | 17 | 14 | 9 | 27 | 1 | 11 | 3 |  | 4 | (*) |
| \$500-\$749.. | 100 | 14 | 2.0 | 665 | 249 | 112 | 59 | 31 | 46 | 70 | 10 | 4 | 12 | 19 | 11 | 21 | 7 |  | 9 | 5 |
| \$750-\$999 | 125 | 15 | 2. 0 | 835 | 293 | 137 | 73 | 40 | 42 | 61 | 48 | 14 | 16 | 37 | 17 | 12 | 11 |  | 30. | 4 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 205153 | 29 | 2.02.0 | 1,052 | 339 | 184 | 86 | 63 | 21 | 89 | 76 | 12 | 27 | 59 | 25 | 24 | 10 |  | 27 | 10 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 |  | 35 |  | 1,207 | 369 | 187 | 100 | 72 | 94 | 92 | 91 | 12 | 26 | 43 | 25 | 30 | 14 | (*) | 49 | 3 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749......... | 173165 | 28 | 2.0 | 1,565 | 421 | 237 | 96 | 105 | 113 | 154 | 132 | 6 | 31 | 108 | 57 | 32 | 11 |  | 52 | 10 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 |  | 33 | 2.0 | 1,704 | 457 | 263 | 117 | 159 | 62 | 142 | 177 | 4 | 33 | 101 | 42 | 52 | 17 | --..--- | 78 | (*) |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 $\ldots \ldots$ | 106 | 30 | 2. 0 | 1,847 | 466 | 276 | 117 | 160 | 85 | 205 | 191 | 25 | 41 | 66 | 56 | 45 | 18 |  | 95 | 1 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 $\ldots \ldots . .$. | 96 | 3213 | 2.02.0 | 2, 042 | 508 | 276 | 124 | 196 | 76 | 182 | 200 | 14 | 35 | 133 | 58 | 46 | 20 | 1 | 155 | 18 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 49 |  |  | 2,313 | 469 | 413 | 137 | 239 | 104 | 223 | 236 | 15 | 40 | 143 | 57 | 40 | 18 | --..--- | 177 | 2 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499........- | 49 | 20 | 2.0 | 2,528 | 550 | 305 | 145 | 328 | 86 | 245 | 283 | 26 | 42 | 125 | 111 | 64 | 22 |  | 190 | 6 |
| \$3,500-83,999......... | 413949 | 14 | 2.0 | 2,486 | 493 | 283 | 136 | 297 | 100 | 246 | 316 | 4 | 55 | 103 | 91 | 58 | 27 |  | 275 | 2 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 |  | +8888 | 2.0 | 3,260 | 628 | 474 | 157 | 341 | 88 | 296 | 413 | 10 | 52 | 108 | 168 | 84 | 33 |  | 406 | 2 |
| \$5,000 and over...... |  |  | 2.0 | 4,055 | 735 | 425 | 259 | 571 | 177 | 394 | 533 | 15 | 55 | 60 | 110 | 54 | 31 |  | 634 | 2 |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | entag | of tota | oney | pen |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$250-\$499 | 31100 | $\begin{array}{r}6 \\ 14 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 2.0 | 100.0 | 42.4 | 19.1 | 10.3 | 3.1 | 1.4 | 6.8 | 3.3 | 2.7 | 1.8 | 5.3 | 0.2 | 2.1 | 0.6 |  | 0.8 | 0.1 |
| ㄴ 8500-\$749 |  |  | 2.0 | 100.0 | 37.4 | 16.8 | 8.9 | 4.7 | 6.9 | 10.5 | 1.6 | . 6 | 1.8 | 2.8 | 1.6 | 3.2 | 1.0 |  | 1.4 | . 8 |
| ¢9. ${ }^{\text {8750- } 8199}$ | 125 | 15 | 2.0 | 100.0 | ${ }^{35.1}$ | 16.4 | 8.8 | 4.8 | 5.1 | 7.3 | 5.7 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 4. 4 | 2.0 | 1.4 | 1.3 |  | 3. 6 | . 5 |
| $¢_{\infty} \$ 1,000-\$ 1,249$ | 205 | 29 | 2.0 | 100.0 | 32.2 | 17.5 | 8.2 | 6.0 | 2.0 | 8.5 | 7.2 | 1.1 | 2.6 | 5.6 | 2.4 | 2.3 | .9 |  | 2.6 | . 9 |
| - \$1,250-\$1,499 | 153 | 35 | 2.0 | 100.0 | 30.6 | 15.5 | 8.3 | 6.0 | 7.7 | 7.6 | 7.5 | 1.0 | 2.2 | 3.6 | 2.1 | 2.5 | 1.2 | *) | 4.0 | . 2 |
| - \$1,500-\$1,749 | 173 | 28 | 2.0 | 100.0 | 26.9 | 15.2 | 6.1 | 6.7 | 7.2 | 9.9 | 8.5 | .4 | 2.0 | 6. 9 | 3.6 | 2.0 | . 7 | -- | 3.3 |  |
| - \$1,750-\$1,999 | 165 | 33 | 2.0 | 100.0 | 26.9 | 15.4 | 6.9 | 9.3 | 3.6 | 8.3 | 10.4 | . 2 | 1.9 | 5. 9 | 2.5 | 3.1 | 1.0 |  | 4.6 | ${ }^{*}$ ) |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 106 | 30 | 2.0 | 100.0 | 25.3 | 14.9 | 6.3 | 8.7 | 4.6 | 11.1 | 10.3 | 1.4 | 2.2 | 3. 6 | 3.0 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.3 \\ & 1.7 \end{aligned}$ | 1.0 | (*) | 5.1 |  |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 96 | 32 | 2.0 | 100.0 | 20.3 | 13.5 | 5.9 | 9.6 | 3.7 | 9.6 | 10.2 | $\begin{array}{r} .7 \\ .6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.7 \\ & 1.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.5 \\ & 6.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.8 \\ & 2.5 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | 7.67.7 | . ${ }^{8}$ |
| $\square^{\text {\$2,500-\$2,999 }}$ - | 49 | 13 |  | 100.0 |  | 17.9 |  | 10.3 | 4.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 8 |  |  |  |
|  | 4949493949 | 20 | 2.0 | 100.0 | 21.8 | 12.1 | 5.7 | 13.0 | 3.4 | 9.7 | 11.2 | 1.0 | 1.7 | 4.9 | 4.4 | 2.5 | . 9 |  | 7.5 | . 2 |
|  |  | 14 | 2.0 | 100.0 | 19.8 | 11.4 | 5.5 | 11.9 | 4.0 | 9.9 | 12.7 | . 2 | 2.2 | 4.1 | 3.7 | 2.3 | 1.1 |  | 11.1 | . 1 |
|  | 49 | 8 | 2.0 | 100.0 | 19.3 | 14.5 | 4.8 | 10.5 | 2.7 | 9.1 | 12.7 | . 3 | 1.6 | 3.3 | 5.1 | 2.6 | 1.0 |  | 12.4 |  |
|  |  |  |  | 100.0 | 18.1 | 10.5 | 6.4 | 14.1 | 4.4 | 9.7 | 13.1 | . 4 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 2.7 | 1.3 | . 8 |  | 15.6 | (*) |
| Types II and III |  |  |  | A verage money expenditure in dollars |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 | 34 | 12 | 3.5 | 617 | 234 | ${ }_{106}^{131}$ | 5561 | 28 | 14 |  |  | 5 | 15 |  |  | 17 | 7 | 2 | 3 (*) |  |
| \$500-\$749. | 143 | 23 | 3.4 | 629 |  |  |  | 24 | 15 | 49 | 3 |  | 14 | 48 | 10 | 17 | 6 | 3 | 9 | 1 |
| \$750-\$999 | 316 | 38 | 3.5 | 908 | 326 | 146 | 79 | 45 | 24 | 72 | 51 | 6 | 21 | 52 | 29 | 26 | 10 | 4 | 13 | 4 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 284 | 59 | 3.4 | 1,143 | 387 | 170 | 90 | 64 | 59 | 102 | 49 | 16 | 28 | 61 | 34 | 32 | 11 | 14 | 24 | 2 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 228 | 59 | 3.5 | 1,379 | 447 | 191 | 100 | 98 | 79 | 125 | 80 | 16 | 30 | 84 | 40 | 30 | 14 | 9 | 34 | 2 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 259 | 62 | 3.5 | 1,513 | 513 | 196 | 112 | 111 | 68 | 143 | 104 | 15 | 30 | 57 | 41 | 34 | 14 | 10 | 59 |  |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 226 | 62 | 3.5 | 1,840 | 523 | ${ }^{243}$ | 124 | 171 | 90 | 173 | 201 | 10 | 39 | 73 | ${ }_{5}^{55}$ | 41 | 16 | 10 | 62 | 9 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 146 | ${ }^{46}$ | 3.5 | 2,024 | 557 | 279 | 127 | 182 | 103 | 202 | 182 | 21 | 40 | 120 | 56 | 38 | 18 | 9 | 85 | 5 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499.. | 144 | 52 | 3.5 | 2, 022 | 558 | 264 | 130 | 194 | 88 | 208 | 162 | 18 | 37 | 103 | 76 | 43 | 18 | 8 | 111 | 4 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 ....... | 95 | 31 | 3.6 | 2,351 | 631 | 343 | 149 | 256 | 71 | 226 | 258 | 21 | 43 | 66 | 84 | 53 | 25 | 9 | 113 | 3 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 78 | 22 | 3.4 | 2,780 | 669 |  | 154 | 264 |  | 304 | 384 | 16 | 53 | 133 | 101 | 51 | 29 | 17 | 137 | 30 |
| \$3,500-83,999 | 57 | 20 | 3.5 | 3,216 | 736 | 406 | 170 | 367 | 102 | 308 | 391 | 23 | 50 | 234 | 108 | 63 | 27 | 12 | 215 | 4 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 42 | ${ }_{21}^{21}$ | 2.9 | 3. 292 | 798 | 505 | 167 | 349 | 128 | 324 | 294 | 30 | 51 | 143 | 149 | ${ }^{66}$ | 28 | 27 | 221 | 12 |
| \$5,000 and over...... | 64 | 21 | 3.4 | 5,146 | 983 | 505 | 188 | 533 | 140 | 538 | 565 | 13 | 84 | 262 | 353 | 71 | 46 | 43 | 773 | 49 |

* Average amounts of less than $\$ 1$ and percentages of less than 0.1 are not shown.

Table 2.-Summary of family expenditure: Average money expenditure for specified groups of goods and services, by occupation, family

| Occupational group, family type, and income class | Number of families |  | Average ber of persons per | Total <br> (5) | Food <br> (6) | $\underset{\text { ing }}{\text { Hous- }}$ <br> (7) | Household operation |  | Fur-nishings equipment | Cloth ing <br> (11) | Auto mobile | Other transporta tion | Personal care <br> (14) | Medical care (15) | Recreation | To- <br> (17) | Read-(18) | Formal education | Con-tribu-tionsandper-sonaltaxes | Other items <br> (21) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\underset{\text { ble }}{\text { Eligi- }}$ <br> (2) | Report ing extures |  |  |  |  | Fuel, light, refrigeration | Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1) |  | (3) |  |  |  |  | (8) | ${ }^{(9)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Types II and III |  | 122338395959 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 3. } 5 \\ & \text { 3.4 } \\ & \text { 3.5 } \\ & \text { 3.4 } \\ & \text { 3.5 } \end{aligned}$ | Percentage of total money expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4.5 | 2.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749... |  |  |  | 100.0 | 41.5 | 16.9 | 9.7 | 3.8 | 2.4 | 7.8 | 0.5 | . 3 | 2.2 | 7.6 | 1.6 | 2.7 | . 9 |  | 1.4 | 0.2 |
| \$750-\$999 |  |  |  | 100.0 | ${ }^{35.9}$ | 16.1 | 8.7 | 5.0 | 2.6 | 8.0 | 5.6 | . 7 | 2.3 | 5.7 | 3.2 | 2.9 | 1.1 | . 4 | 1.4 | . 4 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 |  |  |  | 100.0 | 33.8 | 14.9 | 7.9 | 5.6 | 5.2 | 8.9 | 4.3 | 1.4 | 2.4 | 5.3. | 3.0 | 2.8 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 2.1 | . 2 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 |  |  |  | 100.0 | 32.4 | 13.9 | 7.3 | 7.1 | 5.7 | 9.1 | 5.7 | 1.2 | 2.2 | 6.1 | 2.9 | 2.2 | 1.0 | . 6 | 2.5 | . 1 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 259 | 62 | 3.5 | 100.0 | 33.9 | 13.0 | 7.4 | 7.3 | 4.5 | 9.5 | 6.8 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 3.8 | 2.7 | 2.2 | . 9 | . 7 | 3.9 | . 4 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | ${ }_{126}^{226}$ | ${ }_{46}^{62}$ | 3.5 | 100.0 | 28.4 | 13.2 | 6.7 | 9.3 | 4.9 | 9.4 | 11.0 | . 5 | 2.1 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 2.2 | .9 | . 5 | 3.4 | . 5 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 1144 | 46 52 | 3.5 3.5 3.5 | 100.0 100.0 | 27.6 27.6 | 13.8 13.0 18 | 6.3 6.4 | 9.0 9.6 | 5.1 4.4 | 10.0 | 8.9 8.0 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 5.9 5.1 | 2.8 3.8 3.6 | 1.9 | .9 | . 4 | 4.2 | .2 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | $\begin{array}{r}144 \\ 95 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | ${ }_{31}^{52}$ | 3.5 3.6 | 100.0 100.0 | 27.6 26.8 | 13.0 14.6 | 6.4 6.3 | 9.6 10.9 | 4.4 3.0 | $\stackrel{10.3}{9.8}$ | 8.0 11.0 | . 9 | 1.8 1.8 | 5.1 2.8 | 3.8 3.6 | 2.18 | 1.19 | . 4 | 5. 4 4.8 | .1 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499. | 78 | 22 | 3.4 | 100.0 | 24.1 | 14.2 | 5.6 | 9.5 | 1.5 | 11.0 | 13.8 |  | 1.9 | 4.8 | 3.6 | 1.8 | 1.0 | . 6 | 4.9 | 1.1 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 57 | 20 | 3.5 | 100.0 | 22.9 | 12.6 | 5.3 | 11.4 | 3.2 | 9.6 | 12.1 | . 7 | 1.6 | 7.3 | 3.3 | 2.0 | . 8 | . 4 | 6.7 | . 1 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 42 | 21 | 2.9 | 100.0 | 24.3 | 15.3 | 5.1 | 10.6 | 3.9 | 9.8 | 9.0 | . 9 | 1.5 | 4.3 | 4.5 | 2.0 | . 9 | . 8 | 6.7 | 4 |
| \$5,000 and ove | 64 | 21 | 3.4 | 100.0 | 19.1 | 9.8 | 3.7 | 10.4 | 2.7 | 10.5 | 10.9 | . 3 | 1.6 | 5.1 | 6.8 | 1.4 | . 9 | . 8 | 15.0 | 1.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | erage m | money e | xpendit | re in | dlars |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499.. | 31 | 10 | 4.4 | 550 | 219 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749- | 113 | 25 | 4.0 | 726 | 300 | 124 | 71 | ${ }^{35}$ | 14 | 59 | 18 | 7 | 15 | 32 | 13 | 13 | 7 | 3 | 8 | 7 |
| \$750-\$999--------..- | 182 | 35 | 4.5 | 900 | 356 | 161 | 83 | 34 | 16 | 80 | 36 | 5 | 23 | 32 | 21 | 21 | 7 | 7 | 13 | 5 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 216 | 60 | 4.2 | 1, 146 | 419 | 175 | 93 | 58 | 57 | 115 | 43 | 14 | 24 | 46 | 19 | 27 | 11 | 11 | 24 | 10 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 198 | 57 | 4.3 | 1,300 | 469 | 166 | 102 | 67 | 51 | 144 | 80 | 15 | 30 | 62 | 31 | 28 | 11 | 9 | 33 | 2 |


*A verage amounts of less then $\$ 1$ and percentages of less than 0.1 are not shown.

## SOUTHEAST, 2 MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES

Table 3.-Food: Average value of all family food, money expenditure for food at home and away from home, average value of food home-produced or received as gift or pay, and money expense per meal per food expenditure unit, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1935-36 ${ }^{1}$
[White nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Occupational } \\ & \text { group, family } \\ & \text { type, and income } \\ & \text { class } \end{aligned}$ | Number of families |  | A verage value of all family | Average expenditure for food purchased |  |  | Percentage of expenditure for food |  | A verage value of food homeduced or received or pay <br> (10) | Average money expenditure per per food expenditure unit ${ }^{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Eligible | Reporting extures |  | All | $\underset{\text { home }}{\text { At }}$ | Away home ${ }^{2}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { At } \\ \text { home } \end{gathered}$ | Away from home |  |  |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) |  |  |
| All families |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 | 96356 | 2862 | \$247 | \$223 | \$219 | \$4 | 98.2 | 1.8 | \$24 | \$0.070 |
| \$500-8749 |  |  | 297 | 269 | 267 | 2 | 99.3 | . 7 | 28 | . 086 |
| 8750-8999 | $\begin{aligned} & 623 \\ & 705 \\ & 705 \end{aligned}$ | 148 | 351 | 328 | 314 | 14 | 95.7 | 4.3 | 23 | . 096 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 |  |  | 398 | 383 | 361 | 22 | 94.2 | 5.8 | 15 | . 120 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 $\ldots$....- | $\begin{aligned} & 705 \\ & 579 \end{aligned}$ | 151 | 457 | 434 | 404 | 30 | 93.1 | 6.9 | 23 | 126 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749.. | $\begin{gathered} 679 \\ 652 \end{gathered}$ | 159 | 530 | 508 | 463 | 45 | 91.1 | 8.9 | 22 | 145 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 $\ldots \ldots$ |  | 163 | 549 | 536 | 494 | 42 | 92.2 | 7.8 | 13 | . 147 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 447 | 139 | 595 | 582 | 518 | 64 | 89.0 | 11.0 | 13 | . 153 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 440 | 137 | 593 | 582 | 527 | 55 | 90.6 | 9.4 | 11 | . 151 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 253 | 83 | 645 | 631 | 556 | 75 | 88.1 | 11.9 | 14 | . 151 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 ... | 222 | 68 | 691 | 681 | 623 | 58 | 91.5 | 8.5 | 10 | . 164 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 $\ldots$....- | 178178261 | 71 | 719 | 705 | 642 | 63 | 91.1 | 8.9 | 14 | 166 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 $\ldots . .$. |  | 61 | 846 | 811 | 711 | 100 | 87.7 | 12.3 | 35 | . 174 |
| \$5,000 and over...- |  | 48 | 1,021 | 996 | 898 | 98 | 90.2 | 9.8 | 25 | . 195 |
| Occupational group: Wage earner |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499.- | $\begin{array}{r}96 \\ 315 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 28 | 247 | 223 | 219 | 4 | 98.2 | 1.8 | 24 | . 070 |
| \$500-\$749 |  | 41 | 299 | 270 | 268 | 2 | 99.3 | . 7 | 29 | . 087 |
| \$750-\$999 | 470380 | 47 | 347 | 322 | 309 | 13 | 96.0 | 4.0 | 25 | . 096 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 |  | 52 | 414 | 392 | 364 | 28 | 92.9 | 7.1 | 22 | 120 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 271 | 43 | 478 | 445 | 411 | 34 | 92.4 | 7.6 | 33 | . 125 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 271 |  | 556 | 528 | 491 | 37 | 93.0 | 7.0 | 28 | 145 |
| \$1,750-81;999 | 216118118 | 40 | 566 | 554 | 518 | 36 | 93.5 | 6.5 | 12 | 153 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 $\ldots \ldots$ |  | ${ }_{3}^{33}$ | 642 | 629 | 572 | 57 | 90.9 | 9.1 | 13 | . 162 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 $\ldots \ldots$ | 113 | 34 | 624 | 614 | 544 | 70 | 88.6 | 11.4 | 10 | . 161 |
| Clerical |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749........... | 415 | 21 | 279 | 263 | 258 | 5 | 98.1 | 1.9 | 16 | . 081 |
| \$750-\$999.-........ |  | 41 | 360 | 346 | 327 | 19 | 94.5 | 5.5 | 14 | . 097 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 197203 | 38 | 382 | 377 | 363 | 14 | 96.3 | 3.7 | 5 | . 121 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 |  | 43 | 439 | 428 | 402 | 26 | 93.9 | 6.1 | 11 | . 124 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 251 | 44 | 511 | 498 | 451 | 47 | 90.6 | 9.4 | 13 | . 148 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 255177175 | 38 | 541 | 530 | 485 | 45 | 91.5 | 8.5 | 11 | . 146 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 |  | 41 | 577 | 570 | 498 | 72 | 87.4 | 12.6 | 7 | . 149 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499......- |  | 35 | 578 | 575 | 519 | 56 | 90.3 | 9.7 | 3 | . 139 |
| Independent business and professional |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | $\begin{aligned} & 70 \\ & 57 \\ & 56 \\ & 66 \\ & 49 \end{aligned}$ | 273527283829 | 363 | 355 | 339 | 16 | 95.5 | 4.5 | 8 | . 111 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499- |  |  | 441 | 428 | 398 | 30 | 93.0 | 7.0 | 13 | . 132 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 |  |  | 501 | 496 | 443 | 53 | 89.3 | 10.7 | 5 | 143 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 |  |  | 534 | 526 | 471 | 55 | 89.5 | 10.5 | 8 | . 146 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 |  |  | 568 | 555 | 491 | 64 | 88.5 | 11.5 | 13 | . 159 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 $\ldots \ldots .$. | $\begin{array}{r} 55 \\ 73 \\ 51 \\ 56 \\ 50 \\ 125 \end{array}$ |  <br> 23 <br> 36 <br> 26 <br> 26 <br> 23 <br> 26 | 589 | 584 | 527 | 57 | 91.4 | 8.6 | 5 | . 152 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 |  |  | 619 | 608 | 540 | 68 | 88.8 | 11.2 | 11 | . 144 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 $\ldots$....- |  |  | 680 | 647 | 600 | 47 | 92.7 | 7.3 | 13 | . 158 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 $\ldots . .$. |  |  | 741 | 711 | 677 | 34 | 95.2 | 4.8 | 30 | . 157 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 |  |  | 775 | 769 | 680 | 89 | 88.4 | 11.6 | ${ }^{6}$ | . 181 |
| \$5,000 and over.. |  |  | 1,064 | 1,034 | 940 | 94 | 90.9 | 9.1 | 30 | . 193 |

See p. 218 for notes on this table.

SOUTHEAST, 2 MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES
Table 3.-Food: Average value of all family food, money expenditure for food at home and away from home, average value of food home-produced or received as gift or pay, and money expense per meal per food expenditure unit, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1935- 86 -Continued

*A verage amounts of less than $\$ 1$ and percentages of less than 0.1 are not shown.

SOUTHEAST, 2 MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES
Table 4.-Housing: Average value of housing secured with and without money expenditure, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1935-36
[White nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]

| Occupational group, family type, and income class <br> (1) | Number of families |  | A ver-agevalueof allhousingplusfuel,light,and re-friger-ation(4) | Average expense for fuel, light, and refrig-eration | Average value of all bousing | Average value of housing secured ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  | Percentage of housing value secured without money expenditure ${ }^{5}$(13) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eligible | Re-porting ex-penditures |  |  |  | With money expenditure |  |  | Without money expenditure |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | All housing | Fam ily home $^{2}$ | Other housing ${ }^{3}$ | Total | Owned home ${ }^{4}$ | Rent as pay or gift |  |
|  | (2) | (3) |  |  |  | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) |  |
| All families |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 | 96 | 28 | \$195 | \$48 | \$131 | \$123 | \$123 |  | \$8 | \$8 |  | 6.1 |
| \$500-\$749 | 356 | 62 | 201 | 64 | 133 | 114 | 114 |  | 19 | 10 | \$9 | 14.3 |
| \$750-\$999 | 623 | 88 | 257 | 79 | 174 | 149 | 149 |  | 25 | 17 | 8 | 14.4 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 705 | 148 | 296 | 90 | 205 | 175 | 174 | \$1 | 30 | 29 | 1 | 14.6 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 ... | 579 | 151 | 334 | 100 | 232 | 181 | 178 | 3 | 52 | 46 | 6 | 22.0 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 679 | 159 | 394 | 109 | 283 | 210 | 210 | (*) | 73 | 73 |  | 25.8 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999.... | 652 | 163 | 434 | 119 | 314 | 245 | 245 | (*) | 69 | 68 | 1 | 22.0 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 ... | 447 | 139 | 472 | 123 | 348 | 261 | 259 | 2 | 87 | 84 | 3 | 25.0 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499.... | 440 | 137 | 498 | 130 | 368 | 259 | 257 | 2 | 109 | 99 | 10 | 29.6 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 253 | 83 | 612 | 144 | 467 | 328 | 317 | 11 | 139 | 127 | 12 | 29.8 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 222 | 68 | 630 | 148 | 481 | 316 | 312 | 4 | 165 | 135 | 30 | 34.3 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 178 | 71 | 695 | 164 | 531 | 364 | 355 | 9 | 167 | 152 | 15 | 31.4 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 178 | 61 | 802 | 176 | 625 | 436 | 413 | 23 | 189 | 163 | 26 | 30.2 |
| \$5,000 and over. | 201 | 48 | 1,123 | 213 | 908 | 545 | 503 | 42 | 363 | 363 |  | 40.0 |
| Occupational group: Wage earner |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499. | 96 | 28 | 195 | 48 | 131 | 123 | 123 |  | 8 | 8 |  | 6.1 |
| \$500-\$749 | 315 | 41 | 200 | 64 | 131 | 111 | 111 |  | 20 | 10 | 10 | 15. 2 |
| \$750-\$999 | 470 | 47 | 254 | 79 | 171 | 146 | 146 |  | 25 | 17 | 8 | 14.6 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 380 | 52 | 284 | 88 | 195 | 167 | 165 | , | 28 | 28 |  | 14.4 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 271 | 43 | 306 | 100 | 204 | 147 | 141 | 6 | 56 | 45 | 11 | 27.9 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 271 | 44 | 354 | 106 | 245 | 167 | 167 | (*) | 78 | 78 |  | 31.8 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 216 | 40 | 391 | 109 | 280 | 207 | 207 |  | 73 | 73 |  | 26.1 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 . . | 118 | 33 | 439 | 123 | 315 | 206 | 204 | (*) | 109 | 109 |  | 34.6 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499...- | 113 | 34 | 483 | 124 | 359 | 211 | 211 | (*) | 148 | 127 | 21 | 41.2 |
| Clerical |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 .-...... | 41 | 21 | 216 | 62 | 151 | 140 | 140 |  | 11 | 11 |  | 7.3 |
| \$750-\$999------ | 153 | 41 | 269 | 81 | 182 | 156 | 156 |  | 26 | 17 | 9 | 14.4 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 197 | 38 | 304 | 92 | 211 | 180 | 180 |  | 31 | 31 |  | 14.7 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 203 | 43 | 362 | 104 | 257 | 215 | 215 | ${ }^{*}$ *) | 42 | 42 |  | 16.3 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 251 | 44 | 434 | 114 | 319 | 242 | 241 | 1 | 77 | 77 |  | 24.1 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 255 | 38 | 458 | 124 | 334 | 271 | 271 | (*) | 63 | 63 |  | 18.9 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249.... | 177 | 41 | 475 | 124 | 351 | 265 | 262 | 3 | 86 | 86 |  | 24.5 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499.... | 151 | 35 | 495 | 132 | 362 | 275 | 273 | 2 | 87 | 83 | 4 | 24.0 |
| Independent business and professional |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 70 | 27 | 336 | 93 | 238 | 207 | 205 | 2 | 31 | 31 |  | 13.0 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 ... | 57 | 35 | 383 | 103 | 278 | 195 | 195 | (*) | 83 | 83 |  | 29.9 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749.... | 56 | 27 | 424 | 111 | 312 | 216 | 214 | 2 | 96 | 96 |  | 30.8 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 $\ldots$ | 66 | 38 | 443 | 121 | 321 | 210 | 210 | (*) | 111 | 105 | 6 | 34.6 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249...- | 49 | 29 | 503 | 124 | 378 | 260 | 258 | 2 | 118 | 118 | ------- | 31.2 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 $\ldots$ | 55 | 23 | 518 | 122 | 395 | 234 | 232 | 2 | 161 | 161 |  | 40.8 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 73 | 36 | 600 | 139 | 457 | 308 | 302 | 6 | 149 | 149 |  | 32.6 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 51 | 26 | 601 | 143 | 457 | 288 | 287 | 1 | 169 | 169 |  | 37.0 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 56 | 26 | 746 | 170 | 576 | 376 | 365 | 11 | 200 | 200 |  | 34, 7 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 50 | 23 | 795 | 173 | 620 | 362 | 335 | 27 | 258 | 258 |  | 41.6 |
| \$5,000 and over. | 125 | 26 | 1,252 | 239 | 1, 010 | 608 | 544 | 64 | 402 | 402 |  | 39.8 |

See p. 218 for notes on this table.
*Average amounts of less than $\$ 1$ and percentages of less than 0.1 are not shown.

## SOUTHEAST, 2 MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES

Table 4.--Housing: Average value of housing secured with and without money expenditure, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Cont.

*A verage amounts of less than $\$ 1$ and percentages of less than 0.1 are not shown.

## SOUTHEAST, 2 MIDDLE-SIZED CITHES

Table 4-A.-Money expenditure for family home by owners and renters, and facilities included in rent for family home: By occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1935-36
[W hite nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]

| Occupational group, family type, and income class <br> (1) | Number of families |  | Percentage of families ${ }^{1}$ |  | Average expense for family home |  | Percentage of renters having specified facilities included in rent : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (2) | (3) |  |  |  <br> (6) |  | 薈 <br> (8) |  <br> (9) | (10) |  <br> (11) | (12) | $\square$ |  <br> (14) |  |
| All families |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-8499 | 96 | 28 | 6 | 90 | \$74 | \$133 |  |  | 12 |  | 59 |  |  |  |
| \$500-8749. | 356 | 62 | 9 | 86 | 88 | 123 |  | 2 | 33 | 8 | 46 | 6 | 5 | 35 |
| \$750-\$999 | 623 | 88 | 14 | 83 | 65 | 164 |  |  | 45 | 3 | 38 | 1 | 1 | 37 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 705 | 148 | 21 | 79 | 130 | 184 |  | 3 | 56 | 4 | 40 |  | 1 | 23 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499..... | 579 | 151 | 28 | 67 | 121 | 210 | 2 | 3 | 74 | 8 | 35 | 1 | 2 | 12 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 679 | 159 | 42 | 56 | 153 | 257 |  | 4 | 73 | 2 | 35 |  |  | 12 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 652 | 163 | 34 | 65 | 177 | 276 | 1 | 1 | 74 | 3 | 29 | 1 |  | 15 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 447 | 139 | 41 | ${ }_{51}^{58}$ | 195 | ${ }_{3} 300$ | 5 | 4 | 8 | 8 | 30 |  | 3 | 8 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499... | 440 | 137 | 47 | 51 | 176 | 348 | 2 | 4 | 83 |  | ${ }_{28}^{28}$ | 16 | 2 | 12 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999... | 253 | 83 | 49 | 45 | 252 | 403 | 12 | 18 | 92 | 10 | 38 | 16 | 5 | 6 |
| \$3,000-83,499. | 222 | 68 | 50 | 42 | 223 | 423 | 10 | 4 | 81 |  | 23 | 1 | 1 | 13 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999- | 178 | 61 | $\stackrel{5}{5}$ | 42 | 359 | 501 | $\stackrel{12}{12}$ | 11 | 8 |  | 35 | 14 | 6 | 7 |
| \$5,000 and over .- | 261 | 48 | 81 | 19 | 472 | 643 | 18 |  | 94 |  | 40 | 18 | 6 |  |
| Occupational group: Wage earner |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 | 96 | 28 | 6 | 90 | 74 | 133 |  |  | 12 |  | 59 |  | 3 | 30 |
| \$500-8749 | 315 | 41 | 8 | 86 | 69 | 122 |  | 2 | 32 | 7 | 46 | 7 | 5 | 34 |
| \$750-\$999 | 470 | 47 | 14 | 83 | 68 | 162 |  |  | 44 | 3 | 39 |  |  | 38 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 380 | 52 | 19 | 81 | 125 | 169 |  |  | 46 | 2 | 42 |  |  | 29 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 . ... | 271 | 43 | 28 | 64 | 82 | 180 |  |  | 80 | 9 | 36 |  |  | 11 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 271 | 44 | 50 | 50 | 122 | 214 |  |  | 76 |  | 49 |  |  |  |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 216 | $\stackrel{40}{33}$ | 39 59 | 61 | 148 | 229 |  |  | 83 | 8 | 38 |  |  | 9 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 118 | 33 34 | 59 63 | ${ }_{32}^{41}$ | 172 | ${ }_{365}^{247}$ |  |  | 73 57 |  | 32 24 |  |  | ${ }_{27}^{4}$ |
| Clerical |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 41 | 21 | 18 | 82 | 159 | 136 |  |  | 40 | 18 | 54 |  | 7 | 35 |
| \$750-\$999 | 153 | 41 | 14 | 84 | 56 | 171 |  |  | 50 | 3 | 35 | 3 | 3 | 35 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 197 | 38 | 26 | 74 | 117 | 199 |  | 9 | 63 | 8 | 39 |  | 4 | 19 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 $\ldots$ | 203 | 43 | ${ }^{28}$ | 72 | 150 | 241 | 2 |  | 70 | ${ }^{6}$ | 32 | 2 | 2 | 13 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749..... | 251 | 44 | 38 | 59 | 160 | 298 |  | 10 | 69 | 5 | 26 |  |  | 15 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 255 | 38 | 28 | 72 | 175 | 305 |  |  | 67 |  | 23 |  |  |  |
| \$2,000-\$2,249... | 177 | 41 | 38 | 62 | 196 | 306 | 4 | 8 | 76 | 12 | 37 |  |  | 12 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 ....- | 151 | 35 | 33 | 65 | 167 | 338 | 6 | 10 | 96 |  | 27 | 10 | 6 | 4 |
| Independent business and professional |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249..... | 70 | 27 | 21 | 79 | 194 | 206 |  |  | 75 | 4 | 34 |  |  | 11 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499....- | ${ }_{56}^{67}$ | 35 | 45 | 55 | 148 | 244 |  |  | ${ }_{8}^{61}$ | 4 | 39 |  | 5 | 5 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 56 | 27 | 59 | 41 | 203 | 224 |  |  | 88 |  | 30 |  |  | 12 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1,750-\$ 1,999 \ldots \\ & \$ 2,000-\$ 2,249 \end{aligned}$ | 66 49 | 38 29 | 45 50 | 55 50 | ${ }_{186}^{167}$ | 238 315 | 6 | 6 | 79 88 | 6 | ${ }_{22}^{14}$ | 5 |  | ${ }_{6}^{21}$ |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 55 | 23 | 75 | 25 | 197 | 320 |  |  | 84 |  | 34 |  |  | 16 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999...... | 73 | 36 | 68 | 32 | 258 | 369 | 17 |  | 91 |  | 41 | 9 |  |  |
| \$3,000-\$3,499..... | 51 | 26 | 66 | 34 | 213 | 443 | 15 |  | 85 |  | 21 | 8 | 8 |  |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 $\ldots$...- | 56 | 26 | 78 | 22 | 323 | 560 | 45 |  | 55 |  | 5 |  |  |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999....- | ${ }_{5}^{50}$ | 23 26 | 82 95 | 18 | 339 550 | ${ }_{600}^{299}$ |  |  | 100 |  | 25 |  |  |  |

See p. 219 for notes on this table.

SOUTHEAST， 2 MIDDLE－SIZED CHTIES
Table 4－A．－Money expenditure for family home by owners and renters， and facilities included in rent for family home：By occupation，family type，and income，in 1 year，1935－36－Continued

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Occupational } \\ \text { group, family } \\ \text { type, and income } \\ \text { class } \end{gathered}$ | Number of families |  | Percentage of families |  | A verage ex－ pense for family home |  | Percentage of renters having specified facilities included in rent |  |  |  |  |  |  | （15） |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | （2） | （3） | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 邑 } \\ & \text { 号 } \\ & 0 \\ & \text { (4) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{r}\text { 㛿 } \\ \text { 黄 } \\ \hline\end{array}$ <br> （7） | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 岕 } \\ & \text { 苗 } \end{aligned}$ <br> （8） |  <br> （9） |  <br> （10） | 莒 <br> （11） |  | （13） |  <br> （14） |  |
| Salaried business and professional |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1,000-\$ 1,249 \\ & \$ 1,250-\$ 1,499 \end{aligned}$ | 58 48 | 31 30 | 15 10 | 85 83 | $\$ 116$ 190 | ${ }_{233}{ }_{2} 197$ | 16 | 21 | 818 | 20 | 34 <br> 38 | 6 | 9 | ${ }^{9}$ |
| \＄1，500－\＄1，749． | 101 | 44 | 24 | 74 | 174 | 270 |  |  | 70 |  | 28 |  |  | 16 |
| \＄1，750－\＄1，999 | 115 | 47 | 30 | 65 | 260 | 307 | 5 | 4 | 74 |  | 31 | 4 |  | 12 |
| \＄2，000－\＄2，249．．． | 103 | 36 | 20 | 73 | 241 | 331 | 10 | 10 | 90 | 10 | 22 |  | 10 | 6 |
| \＄2，250－\＄2，499 | 121 | 45 | 35 | 62 | 202 | 354 |  |  | 88 |  | 30 |  |  | 9 |
| \＄2，500－\＄2，949 | 180 | 47 42 | 4 | 50 45 | 249 | 409 | 11 | 22 | 93 | 12 | 37 | 17 | ${ }^{-1}$ | 7 |
| \＄3，000－\＄3，499－ | 171 | 42 45 | 46 <br> 53 | 45 45 | 228 | 4489 | 9 | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | 80 90 |  | 23 29 | 5 |  | 10 |
| \＄4，000－\＄4，999．． | 128 | 38 | 45 | 52 | 368 | 550 | 24 | 13 | 87 |  | 37 | 17 | 8 | 8 |
| \＄5，000 and over．．． | 136 | 22 | 68 | 32 | 380 | 650 | 21 |  | 93 |  | 46 | 21 | 7 |  |
| Family type： Type I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \＄250－\＄499 | 31 | 8 |  | 100 |  | 98 |  |  | 17 | 17 | 67 |  |  |  |
| \＄500－\＄749 | 100 | 14 | 16 | 71 | 101 | 135 |  |  | 34 | 15 | 34 | 15 | 15 | 49 |
| \＄750－\＄999 | 125 | 15 | 23 | 77 | 85 | 151 |  |  | 54 | 13 | 50 |  |  | 17 |
| \＄1，000－\＄1，249 | 153 | $\stackrel{39}{29}$ | $\stackrel{24}{32}$ | 76 61 | 121 | 204 | 3 | 5 | 72 89 89 | 4 | ${ }_{35}^{42}$ | 2 | 2 | 10 |
| \＄1，50－\＄－\＄1，749 | 17 | 28 | 34 | 60 | 157 | 290 |  | 8 | 82 | 8 | 48 |  |  |  |
| \＄1，750－\＄1，999 | 165 | 33 | 29 | 71 | 209 | 277 | 5 | 2 | 58 | 2 | 29 |  |  | 30 |
| \＄2，000－\＄2，249． | 106 | 30 | 37 | 63 | 230 | 295 | 12 | 12 | 79 | 19 | 35 |  | 6 |  |
| \＄2，250－\＄2，499 | 96 | 32 | 51 | 49 | 196 | 342 | 8 | 8 | 100 |  | 42 | 8 | 8 |  |
| \＄2，500－\＄2，999． | 49 | 13 | 48 | 52 | 319 | 475 | 40 | 40 | 100 | 20 | 60 | 60 | 20 |  |
| \＄3，000－83，499 | 49 | 20 | 66 | 28 | ${ }^{275}$ | 437 | 4 | 22 | 74 |  | 31 |  |  |  |
| \＄3，500－\＄3，999 | 41 | 14 | 84 | 9 | 254 | 420 |  |  | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |
| \＄4，000－\＄4，999 | 39 | 13 | 64 | 36 | 379 | 611 | 52 |  | 100 |  | 74 | 26 | 26 |  |
| \＄5，000 and over－－－ | 49 | 8 | 84 | 16 | 338 | 576 | 100 |  | 100 |  | 100 | 100 |  |  |
| Types II and III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \＄250－\＄499 | 34 | 12 |  | 100 |  | 131 |  |  | 17 | 17 | 50 |  |  |  |
| \＄500－8749 | 143 | 23 |  | 95 |  | 112 |  | 5 | 33 | 7 | 54 | 1 | 2 | 30 |
| \＄750－\＄999 | 316 | 38 | 13 | 82 | 85 | 164 |  |  | ${ }^{43}$ | 1 | 41 | 1 | 1 | 44 |
| \＄1，000－\＄1，249 | 284 | 59 | 11 | 89 | 88 | 175 |  | 2 | 53 | 9 | 43 |  |  | 26 |
| \＄1，250－\＄1，499 ．．．．－ | 228 | 59 | 17 | 80 | 131 | 202 | 1 | 2 | 74 | 10 | 32 |  | 1 | 13 |
| \＄1，500－\＄1，749 | 259 | 62 | 41 | 58 | 138 | 238 |  | 4 | 76 |  | 23 |  |  | 15 |
| \＄1，750－\＄1，999－ | 226 | 62 | 32 | 66 | 174 | 274 |  | 2 | 80 | ${ }_{3}^{7}$ | 29 | 2 |  | 9 |
| \＄2，000－\＄2，249． | 146 <br> 144 | 46 <br> 52 | 29 38 | 65 58 | 165 178 | $\begin{array}{r}329 \\ 343 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 3 | 3 | 80 84 | 3 | 31 22 |  | 3 | 10 |
| \＄2，500－\＄2，999－．．－－－ | 95 | 31 | 35 | 52 | 298 | 393 | 3 | 14 | 86 | 7 | 29 |  |  | 1 |
| \＄3，000－\＄3，499 $\ldots$ ．．． | 78 | 22 | 39 | 55 | 252 | 429 | 14 |  | 74 |  | 26 | 3 | 3 |  |
| \＄3，500－\＄3，999．．．．． | 57 | 20 | 41 | 54 | 323 | 524 | 25 | 7 | 68 |  | 45 |  |  |  |
| \＄4，000－\＄4，999．．．．－ | 42 | 21 | 48 | 52 | 384 | 573 | 24 | 24 | 88 |  | 36 | 24 |  |  |
| 85，000 and over．．．－ | 64 | 21 | 74 | 26 | 436 | 666 | 20 |  | 80 |  | 20 | 20 | 20 |  |
| Types IV and V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \＄250－\＄499－－－－－－－－－ | 31 | 10 | 20 | 70 | 74 | 173 |  |  |  | 12 | 62 |  |  | 38 |
| \＄500－\＄749 | 113 | 25 | 14 | 86 | 79 | 129 |  |  | 32 | 4 | 47 |  |  | 28 |
| \＄750－\＄999 | 182 | 35 | 11 | 89 | 44 | 172 |  |  | 44 |  | ${ }_{21}^{23}$ |  |  | 37 |
| \＄1，000－\＄1，249 | 216 | 60 | 32 | 68 | 161 | 176 |  |  | 45 |  | 31 |  |  | 30 |
| \＄1，250－\＄1，499 ．．．－－ | 198 | 57 | 39 | 59 | 112 | 214 | 4 | 5 | 62 | 10 | 40 | 3 | 4 | 19 |
| \＄1，500－\＄1，749 | 247 | 69 | 50 | 50 | 160 | 254 |  |  | 62 |  | 41 |  |  | 14 |
| \＄1，750－\＄1，999． | ${ }^{261}$ | 68 | 38 | 61 | 166 | 278 |  |  | 80 |  | 30 | 1 |  | 11 |
| \＄2， $2500-\$ 2,499$ | 195 | 63 <br> 53 <br> 8 | 51 | 49 46 | 168 | 276 |  | 4 | 80 | 4 | 27 |  |  | ${ }^{8}$ |
| \＄2，500－\＄2，999 ．．．．－ | 109 | 39 | 62 | 36 | 202 | 363 | 4 | 8 | 96 | 8 | 33 | 4 |  | 21 |
| \＄3，000－\＄3，499 | 95 | 26 | 52 | 39 | 181 | 419 | 8 |  | 92 |  |  |  |  |  |
| \＄3，500－\＄3，999 | 80 | 37 | 62 | 41 | 318 | 438 |  |  | 92 |  | 29 | 8 |  | 8 |
| \＄4，000－\＄4，999．．． | 97 | 27 19 | 83 | 41 | 343 527 | 397 |  | 7 | 86 |  | 14 |  |  | 14 |
| \＄5，000 and over | 148 | 19 | 83 | 17 | 527 | 645 |  |  | 100 |  | 38 |  |  |  |

SOUTHEAST, 2 MIDDLE-SIZED CLTIES
Table 5.-Household operation: Average money expenditure for groups of items of household operation and percentage distribution of such expenditure, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1935-36
[White nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]


Bee p. 219 for notes on this table.

SOUTHLEAST, 2 MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES
Table 5.-Household operation: Average money expenditure for groups of items of household operation and percentage distribution of such expenditure, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued

| Occupational group, family type, and income class <br> (1) | Number of families |  | Average money expenditure for household operation |  |  |  |  | Percentage of total household operation expenditure |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Eligi- } \\ \text { ble } \end{gathered}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Report- } \\ \text { ing ex- } \\ \text { pendi- } \\ \text { tures } \end{gathered}\right.$ | Total | Fuel,light,and re-friger-ation | Paid householdhelp |  | Other | Fuel, light, and re $\underset{\substack{\text { iriger- } \\ \text { ation }}}{ }$ ation | Paid household | Other items |
|  |  |  |  |  | Average amount <br> (A) | Percent. age of families havin <br> (7) |  |  |  |  |
| Salaried business and professional |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 58 | 31 | \$168 | $\$ 93$ | \$15 | 26 | \$60 | 55.4 | 8.9 | 35.7 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 48 | 30 | 169 | 86 |  | 52 |  | 50.9 | 16.6 | 32.5 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 101 | 44 | 221 | 108 | 46 | 58 | 67 | 49.1 | 20.9 | 30.0 |
| \$1,750-81,999. | 115 | 47 | 278 | 124 | 67 | 68 | 87 | 44.6 | 24.1 | 31.3 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 103 | 36 | 291 | 121 | 64 | 55 | 106 | 41.6 | 22.0 | 36. 4 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 121 | 45 | 332 | 138 | 97 | 85 | 97 | 41. 6 | 29.2 | 29.2 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 180 | 47 | 380 | 145 | 111 | 75 | 124 | 38.1 | 29.1 | 32.8 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499. | 171 | 42 | 418 | 150 | 144 | 90 | 124 | 35.9 | 34.4 | 29.7 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 122 | 45 | 479 | 161 | 185 | 93 | 133 | 33.6 | 38.6 | 27.8 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 128 | 38 | 540 | 177 | 209 | 95 | 154 | 32.8 | 38.7 | 28.5 |
| \$5,000 and over- | 136 | 22 | 701 | 189 | 324 | 100 | 188 | 27.0 | 46.2 | 26.8 |
| Family type: Type I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 | 31 | 6 | 69 | 53 |  |  | 16 | 76.8 |  | . 23.2 |
| \$500-\$749. | 100 | 14 | 90 | 59 | 6 | 16 | 25 | 65.5 | 6.7 | 27.8 |
| \$750-\$999 | 125 | 15 | 113 | 73 | 8 | 26 | 32 | 64.6 | 7.1 | 28.3 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 205 | 29 | 149 | 86 | 12 | 22 | 51 | 57.7 | 8.1 | 34.2 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 153 | 35 | 172 | 100 | 18 | 41 | 54 | 58.1 | 10.2 | 31.7 |
| \$1,500-81,749 | 173 | 28 | 201 | 96 | 23 | 47 | 82 | 47.8 | 11.4 | 40.8 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 165 | 33 | 276 | 117 | 69 | 73 | 90 | 42.4 | 25.0 | 32.6 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 106 | 30 | 277 | 117 | 61 | 62 | 99 | 42.2 | 22.0 | 35.8 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 96 | 32 | 320 | 124 | 84 | 82 | 112 | 38.8 | 26.2 | 35.0 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 49 | 13 | 376 | 137 | 97 | 70 | 142 | 36.3 | 26.0 | 37.7 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 49 | 20 | 473 | 145 | 200 | 100 | 128 | 30.6 | 42.3 | 27.1 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 41 | 14 | 433 | 136 | 170 | 100 | 127 | 31.4 | 39.3 | 29.3 |
| \$4,000-\$4,909 | 39 | 13 | 498 | 157 | 227 | 100 | 114 | 31.5 | 45.6 | 22.8 |
| \$5,000 and over .-......- | 49 | 8 | 830 | 259 | 424 | 100 | 147 | 31.2 | 51.1 | 17.7 |
| Types II and III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499. | 34 | 12 | 83 | 55 | 2 | 17 | 26 | 66.3 | 2.4 | 31.3 |
| \$500-\$749 | 143 | 23 | 85 | 61 | 1 | 7 | 23 | 71.8 | 1.2 | 27.0 |
| \$750-\$999 | 316 | 38 | 124 | 79 | 12 | 37 | 33 | 63.7 | 9.7 | 26.6 |
| \$1,000- \$1,249 | 284 | 59 | 154 | 90 | 18 | 43 | 46 | 58.4 | 11.7 | 29.9 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 228 | 59 | 198 | 100 | 42 | 55 | 56 | 50.5 | 21.2 | 28.3 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 259 | 62 | 223 | 112 | 48 | 69 | 63 | 50.2 | 21.5 | 28.3 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 226 | 62 | 295 | 124 | 78 | 77 | 93 | 42.1 | 26.4 | 31.5 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 146 | 46 | 309 | 127 | 82 | 68 | 100 | 41.1 | 26.5 | 32.4 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 144 | 52 | 324 | 130 | 104 | 78 | 90 | 40.1 | 32.1 | 27.8 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 95 | 31 | 405 | 149 | 142 | 82 | 114 | 36.8 | 35.1 | 28.1 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 78 | 22 | 418 | 154 | 148 | 100 | 116 | 36.8 | 35. 4 | 27.8 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 57 | 20 | 537 | 170 | 231 | 100 | 136 | 31.7 | 43.0 | 25.3 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999. | 42 | 21 | 516 | 167 | 198 | 94 | 151 | 32.4 | 38.4 | 29.2 |
| \$5,000 and over....----- | 64 | 21 | 721 | 188 | 359 | 100 | 174 | 26.1 | 49.8 | 24.1 |
| Types IV and V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 | 31 | 10 | 61 | 35 | 3 | 10 | 23 | 57.4 | 4.9 | 37.7 |
| \$500-8749 | 113 | 25 | 106 | 71 |  | 29 | 26 | 67.0 | 8.5 | 24.5 |
| \$750-\$999 | 182 | 35 | 117 | 83 | 2 | 13 | 32 | 70.9 | 1.7 | 27.4 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 216 | 60 | 151 | 93 | 12 | 26 | 46 | 61.6 | 7.9 | 30.5 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499............- | 198 | 57 | 169 | 102 | 18 | 22 | 49 | 60.4 | 10.6 | 29.0 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 247 | 69 | 203 | 116 | 23 | 36 | 64 | 57.2 | 11.3 | 31.5 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 261 | 68 | 209 | 115 | 29 | 52 | 65 | 55.0 | 13.9 | 31.1 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 195 | 63 | 254 | 122 | 53 | 59 | 79 | 48.0 | 20.9 | 31.1 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 200 | 53 | 278 | 133 | 44 | 46 | 101 | 47.9 | 15.8 | 36.3 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 109 | 39 | 335 | 142 | 74 | 67 | 119 | 42.4 | 22.1 | 35.5 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 95 | 26 | 407 | 144 | 134 | 80 | 129 | 35.4 | 32.9 | 31.7 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 80 | 37 | 484 | 174 | 171 | 86 | 139 | 36.0 | 35.3 | 28.7 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 97 | 27 | 569 | 187 | 215 | 96 | 167 | 32.9 | 37.8 | 29.3 |
| \$5,000 and over.......... | 148 | 19 | 760 | 208 | 329 | 96 | 223 | 27.3 | 43.4 | 29.3 |

GOUTHEAST, 2 MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES
Table 6.-Clothing: Average money expenditure for clothing for husband and wife and other family members, and percentage distribution of such expenditure, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1935-96
[White nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]

| Occupational group, family type, and income class | Number of families |  | Average money expenditure for clothing ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  | Percentage of total fam. ily clothing expenditure |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eligible | Reporting ex-penditures | All family members | Husband | Wife | Other family members | Husband | Wife | Other <br> family <br> mem- <br> bers |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) |
| All families |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499. | 96 | 28 | \$51 | \$18 | \$17 | \$16 | 35.3 | 33.3 | 31.4 |
| \$500-\$749. | 356 | 62 | 58 | 21 | 21 | 16 | 36.2 | 36.2 | 27.6 |
| \$750-\$999 | 623 | 88 | 72 | 25 | 23 | 24 | 34.7 | 32.0 | 33.3 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 705 | 148 | 102 | 36 | 38 | 28 | 35.3 | 37.3 | 27.4 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499..--------. - | 579 | 151 | 123 | 41 | 40 | 42 | 33.3 | 32.5 | 34.2 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 679 | 159 | 151 | 55 | 49 | 47 | 36.4 | 32.5 | 31.1 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 652 | 163 | 166 | 59 | 58 | 49 | 35.6 | 34.9 | 29.5 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 447 | 139 | 209 | 72 | 73 | 64 | 34.5 | 34.9 | 30.6 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 440 | 137 | 212 | 67 | 68 | 77 | 31.6 | 32.1 | 36.3 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 253 | 83 | 261 | 92 | 82 | 87 | 35.3 | 31.4 | 33.3 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 222 | 68 | 298 | 104 | 99 | 95 | 34.9 | 33.2 | 31.9 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 178 | 71 | 319 | 101 | 119 | 99 | 31.7 | 37.3 | 31.0 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999. | 178 | 61 | 380 | 114 | 117 | 149 | 30.0 | 30.8 | 39.2 |
| \$5,000 and over. | 261 | 48 | 591 | 146 | 228 | 217 | 24.7 | 38.6 | 36.7 |
| Occupational group: Wage earner |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$490. | 96 | 28 | 51 | 18 | 17 | 16 | 35.3 | 33.3 | 31.4 |
| \$500-\$749 | 315 | 41 | 59 | 22 | 22 | 15 | 37.3 | 37.3 | 25.4 |
| \$750-\$999 | 470 | 47 | 72 | 25 | 23 | 24 | 34.7 | 31.9 | 33.4 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 380 | 52 | 101 | 33 | 36 | 32 | 32.7 | 35. 6 | 31.7 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 271 | 43 | 122 | 36 | 40 | 46 | 29.5 | 32.8 | 37.7 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 271 | 44 | 151 | 49 | 46 | 56 | 32.5 | 30.5 | 37.0 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 216 | 40 | 140 | 48 | 45 | 47 | 34.3 | 32.1 | 33.6 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 118 | 33 | 197 | 62 | 60 | 75 | 31.5 | 30.5 | 38.0 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499. | 113 | 34 | 188 | 51 | 60 | 77 | 27.1 | 31.9 | 41.0 |
| Clerical |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749. | 41 | 21 | 53 | 21 | 16 | 16 | 39.6 | 30.2 | 30.2 |
| \$750-\$999 | 153 | 41 | 74 | 26 | 24 | 24 | 35.2 | 32.4 | 32.4 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 197 | 38 | 94 | 35 | 36 | 23 | 37.2 | 38.3 | 24.5 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 $\ldots . . . . . . . .$. | 203 | 43 | 118 | 44 | 35 | 39 | 37.3 | 29.7 | 33.0 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 251 | 44 | 153 | 81 | 48 | 44 | 39.9 | 31.4 | 28.7 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 255 | 38 | 178 | 66 | 64 | 48 | 37.1 | 36.0 | 26.9 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 177 | 41 | 211 | 79 | 74 | 58 | 37.4 | 35.1 | 27.5 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 151 | 35 | 243 | 80 | 72 | 91 | 32.9 | 29.6 | 37.5 |
| Independent business and professional |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 .......-....- | 70 | 27 | 102 | 37 | 37 | 28 | 36.3 | 36. 3 | 27.4 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 57 | 35 | 138 | 47 | 50 | 41 | 34.1 | 36.2 | 29.7 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 56 | 27 | 150 | 52 | 56 | 42 | 34.7 | 37.3 | 28.0 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 66 | 38 | 160 | 56 | 61 | 43 | 35.0 | 38. 1 | 26.9 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 49 | 29 | 177 | 60 | 63 | 54 | 33.9 | 35.6 | 30.5 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 55 | 23 | 195 | 64 | 70 | 61 | 32.8 | 35.9 | 31.3 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999. | 73 | 36 | 252 | 76 | 86 | 90 | 30.2 | 34.1 | 35.7 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 51 | 26 | 314 | 95 | 115 | 104 | 30.3 | 36.6 | 33.1 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999. | 56 | 26 | 305 | 93 | 112 | 100 | 30.5 | 36.7 | 32.8 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999. | 50 | 23 | 347 | 114 | 111 | 122 | 32.9 | 32.0 | 35.1 |
| \$5,000 and over-........-- | 125 | 26 | 603 | 144 | 226 | 233 | 23.9 | 37.5 | 38.6 |

See p. 219 for notes on this table.

## SOUTHEAST, 2 MIDDLE-SIZDD CITIES

Table 6.-Clothing: Average money expenditure for clothing for husband and wife and other family members, and percentage distribution of such expenditure, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1995-36-Continued

| Occupational group, family type, and income class | Number of families |  | Average money expenditure for clothing |  |  |  | Percentage of total family clothing expenditure |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eligible | Reporting ex-penditures | All family mem- bers | Husband | Wife | Other family members | Hus- <br> band | Wife | Other family members |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) |
| Salaried business and professional |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 58 | 31 | \$137 | \$50 | \$56 | \$31 | 36.5 | 40.9 | 22.6 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 48 | 30 | 136 | 52 | 49 | 35 | 38. 2 | 36.0 | 25.8 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 101 | 44 | 151 | 59 | 54 | 38 | 39.1 | 35.8 | 25.1 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 115 | 47 | 194 | 66 | 68 | 60 | 34.0 | 35. 1 | 30.9 |
|  | 103 | 36 | 231 | 78 | 91 | 62 | 33.8 | 39.4 | 26.8 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 121 | 45 | 203 | 68 | 72 | 63 | 33.5 | 35.5 | 31.0 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 180 | 47 | 264 | 98 | 80 | 86 | 37.1 | 30.3 | 32.6 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 171 | 42 | 292 | 107 | 94 | 91 | 36.6 | 32, 2 | 31.2 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 122 | 45 | 326 | 104 | 122 | 100 | 31.9 | 37.4 | 30.7 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 128 | 38 | 393 | 114 | 119 | 160 | 29.0 | 30.3 | 40.7 |
| \$5,000 and over | 136 | 22 | 579 | 148 | 230 | 201 | 25.6 | 39.7 | 34.7 |
| Family type: Type $I^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499. | 31 | 6 | 35 | 18 | 17 |  | 51.4 | 48.6 |  |
|  | 100 | 14 | 70 | 36 | 34 |  | 51.4 | 48.6 |  |
| \$750-\$999 | 125 | 15 | 61 | 32 | 28 | 1 | 52.5 | 45. 9 | 1.6 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 205 | 29 | 89 | 36 | 52 | 1 | 40.4 | 58.4 | 1.2 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 153 | 35 | 92 | 46 | 46 |  | 50.0 | 50.0 |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 173 | 28 | 154 | 79 | 73 | 2 | 51.3 | 47.4 | 1.3 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 165 | 33 | 142 | 70 | 71 | 1 | 49.3 | 50.0 | . 7 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 106 | 30 | 205 | 91 | 114 |  | 44.4 | 55.6 |  |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 .............. | 96 | 32 | 182 | 90 | 86 | 6 | 49.5 | 47. 3 | 3. 2 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 $\ldots . .-\ldots . . . .-$ | 49 | 13 | 223 | 121 | 102 |  | 54.3 | 45.7 |  |
| \$3,000-\$3,499. | 49 | 20 | 245 | 118 | 124 | 3 | 48.2 | 50.6 | 1.2 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 41 | 14 | 246 | 117 | 129 |  | 47.6 | 52.4 |  |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 39 | 13 | 296 | 139 | 157 |  | 47.0 | 53.0 |  |
| \$5,000 and over...- | 49 | 8 | 394 | 159 | 234 | 1 | 40.4 | 59.4 | 2.0 |
| Types II and III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499. | 34 | 12 | 66 | 22 | 26 | 18 | 33.3 | 39.4 | 27.3 |
| \$500-\$749. | 143 | 23 | 49 | 19 | 18 | 12 | 38.8 | 36.7 | 24.5 |
| \$750-\$999 | 316 | 38 | 72 | 26 | 25 | 21 | 36.1 | 34.7 | 29.2 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 284 | 59 | 102 | 39 | 36 | 27 | 38.2 | 35.3 | 26.5 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 228 | 59 | 125 | 46 | 42 | 37 | 36.8 | 33.6 | 29.6 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 259 | 62 | 143 | 54 | 50 | 39 | 37.8 | 35. 0 | 27.2 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 226 | 62 | 173 | 67 | 65 | 41 | 38.7 | 37.6 | 23.7 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 ...........-- | 146 | 46 | 202 | 76 | 74 | 52 | 37.6 | 36.6 | 25.8 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 ......-.-.... | 144 | 52 | 208 | 76 | 83 | 49 | 36.5 | 39.9 | 23.6 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999.............- | 95 | 31 | 226 | 99 | 78 | 49 | 43.8 | 34.5 | 21.7 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 78 | 22 | 304 | 126 | 104 | 74 | 41.4 | 34.2 | 24.4 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 57 | 20 | 308 | 105 | 133 | 70 | 34.1 | 43.2 | 22.7 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999. | 42 | 21 | 324 | 129 | 116 | 79 | 39.8 | 35.8 | 24.4 |
| \$5,000 and over | 64 | 21 | 538 | 179 | 243 | 116 | 33.3 | 45.2 | 21.5 |
| Types IV and V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499. | 31 | 10 | 47 | 14 | 8 | 25 | 29.8 | 17.0 | 53.2 |
| \$500-\$749 | 113 | 25 | 59 | 12 | 13 | 34 | 20.3 | 22.0 | 57.7 |
| \$750-\$999 | 182 | 35 | 80 | 19 | 16 | 45 | 23.8 | 20.0 | 56.2 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 .........-- | 216 | 60 | 115 | 30 | 26 | 59 | 26.1 | 22.6 | 51.3 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 198 | 57 | 144 | 32 | 34 | 78 | 22.2 | 23.6 | 54.2 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 247 | 69 | 158 | 40 | 31 | 87 | 25.3 | 19.6 | 55.1 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 261 | 68 | 176 | 44 | 44 | 88 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 50.0 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 195 | 63 | 215 | 60 | 50 | 105 | 27.9 | 23.3 | 48.8 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499. | 200 | 53 | 229 | 50 | 49 | 130 | 21.8 | 21.4 | 56.8 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 ...........-- | 109 | 39 | 308 | 72 | 76 | 160 | 23.4 | 24.7 | 51.9 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 95 | 26 | 319 | 79 | 81 | 159 | 24.8 | 25.4 | 49.8 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999. | 80 | 37 | 364 | 89 | 104 | 171 | 24.5 | 28.6 | 46.9 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 97 | 27 | 438 | 97 | 102 | 239 | 22.1 | 23.3 | 54.6 |
| \$5,000 and over | 148 | 19 | 679 | 127 | 219 | 333 | 18.7 | 32.3 | 49.0 |

See p. 219 for notes on this table.

SOUTHEAST, 2 MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES
Table 7.-Personal care: Average money expenditure for toilet articles and preparations, and services, and percentage distribution of such expenditure, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1935-96
[White nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]

| Occupational group, family type, and income class <br> (1) | Number of families |  | Average money expenditure for personal care |  |  | Percentage of total personal care expenditure |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eligible | Reporting extures | Total | Services ${ }^{1}$ | Toilet <br> articles and prep- arations | Services ${ }^{1}$ | Toilet articles and preparations |
|  | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| All families |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499.. | 96 | 28 | \$11 | \$5 | \$6 | 45.5 | 54.5 |
| \$500-\$749 | 356 | 62 | 14 | 6 | 8 | 42.9 | 57.1 |
| \$750-\$999 | 623 | 88 | 21 | 9 | 12 | 42.9 | 57.1 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 705 | 148 | 26 | 12 | 14 | 46.2 | 53.8 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 579 | 151 | 29 | 12 | 17 | 41. 4 | 58.6 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 679 | 159 | 31 | 14 | 17 | 45.2 | 54.8 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 652 | 163 | 37 | 16 | 21 | 43.2 | 56.8 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 447 | 139 | 40 | 19 | 21 | 47.5 | 52.5 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 440 | 137 | 41 | 19 | 22 | 46.3 | 53.7 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 253 | 83 | 45 | 22 | 23 | 48.9 | 51.1 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \$ 3,000-\$ 3,499 \\ & \$ 3,500-\$ 3,999 \end{aligned}$ | 222 178 | 78 | 47 55 | 22 25 | 25 30 | 45.8 45.5 | 54.2 54.5 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 178 | 61 | 56 | 29 | 27 | 51.8 | 48.2 |
| \$5,000 and over--.---....---.- | 261 | 48 | 93 | 46 | 47 | 49.5 | 50.5 |
| Occupational group: Wage earner |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499. | 96 | 28 | 11 | 5 |  | 45.5 | 54.5 |
| \$500- 8749 | 315 | 41 | 14 | 6 | 8 | 42.9 | 57.1 |
| \$750-\$099 | 470 | 47 | ${ }^{20}$ | 9 | 11 | 45.0 | 55.0 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 380 | 52 | $\stackrel{25}{ }$ | 12 | 13 | 48.0 | 52.0 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 271 | 43 | 28 | 12 | 16 | 42.9 | 57.1 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 271 | 44 | 30 | 13 | 17 | 43.3 | 56.7 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | ${ }^{2116}$ | 40 | 33 | 13 | 20 | 39.4 | 60.6 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 118 | 33 | 37 | 17 | 20 | 45.9 | 54.1 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 113 | 34 | 41 | 19 | 22 | 46.3 | 53.7 |
| Clerical |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749... | 41 | 21 | 14 | 6 | 8 | 42.9 | 57.1 |
| \$750-\$999. | 153 | 41 | 22 | 10 | 12 | 45.5 | 54.5 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 197 | 38 | 30 | 14 | 16 | 46.7 | 53.3 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 203 | 43 | 29 | 12 | 17 | 41.4 | 58.6 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 ..............-- | 251 | 44 | 32 | 15 | 17 | 46.9 | 53.1 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 255 | 38 | 39 | 17 | 22 | 43.6 | 56.4 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 177 | 41 | 42 | 20 | 22 | 47.6 | 52.4 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 151 | 35 | 44 | 19 | 25 | 43.2 | 56.8 |
| Independent bustness and professional |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 .................... | 70 | 27 | 25 | 10 | 15 | 40.0 | 60.0 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499.................... | 57 | 35 | 29 | 13 | 16 | 44.8 | 55.2 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 .................... | 56 | 27 | 32 | 14 | 18 | 43.8 | 56.2 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 66 | 38 | 31 | 13 | 18 | 41.9 | 58.1 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 49 | 29 | 33 | 13 | 20 | 39.4 | 60.6 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 55 | 23 | 43 | 22 | 21 | 51.2 | 48.8 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 73 | 36 | 47 | 25 | 22 | 53.2 | 46.8 |
|  | 51 | ${ }^{26}$ | ${ }_{5}^{46}$ | ${ }^{22}$ | ${ }_{2}^{24}$ | 47.8 | 52.2 |
| \$ \$3,500-\$3,999......................... | 56 50 | ${ }_{23}^{26}$ | $\stackrel{53}{53}$ | 25 28 | ${ }_{25}^{28}$ | 47.2 <br> 52.8 <br> 8 | 52.8 472 |
|  | 125 | 26 | 85 | 50 | 35 | 58.8 | 41.2 |

[^76]
## SOUTHEAST, 2 MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES

Table \%.-Personal care: Average money expenditure for toilet articles and preparations, and services, and percentage distribution of such expenditure, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1995-36-Continued

| Occupational group, family type, and income class | Number of families |  | Average money expenditure for personal care |  |  | Percentage of total personal care expenditure |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eligible | Reporting extures | Total | Services | Toilet articles and prep- arations | Services | Toilet articles and prep arations |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| Salaried business and profes-sional |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249-..-.-.-.---...---. | 58 | 31 | \$26 | \$11 | \$15 | 42.3 | 57.7 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 48 | 30 | 28 | 13 | 15 | 46.4 | 53. 6 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749-.. | 101 | 44 | 32 | 15 | 17 | 46.9 | 53.1 |
| \$1,760-\$1,999 | 115 | 47 | 40 | 18 | 22 | 45.0 | 55.0 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 103 | 36 | 46 | 24 | 22 | 52.2 | 47.8 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 121 | 45 | 35 | 17 | 18 | 48.6 | 51.4 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 180 | 47 | 45 | 21 | 24 | 46.7 | 53.3 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 171 | 42 | 48 | 22 | 26 | 45.8 | 54.2 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999. | 122 | 45 | 56 | 25 | 31 | 44.6 | 55.4 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999. | 128 | 38 | 57 | 29 | 28 | 50.9 | 49.1 |
| \$5,000 and over ....-............ | 136 | 22 | 99 | 41 | 58 | 41.4 | 58.6 |
| Family type: Type I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499.... | 31. | 6 | 9 | 3 | 6 | 33.3 | 66.7 |
| \$500-\$749. | 100 | 14 | 12 | 4 | 8 | 33.3 | 66.7 |
| \$750-\$999 | 125 | 15 | 16 | 5 | 11 | 31.2 | 68.8 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 205 | 29 | 27 | 11 | 16 | 40.7 | 59.3 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 153 | 35 | 26 | 11 | 15 | 42.3 | 57.7 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 173 | 28 | 31 | 12 | 19 | 38.7 | 61.3 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 165 | 33 | 33 | 14 | 19 | 42.4 | 57.6 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 106 | 30 | 41 | 20 | 21 | 48.8 | 51.2 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 96 | 32 | 35 | 15 | 20 | 42.9 | 57.1 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999....---............-- | 49 | 13 | 40 | 19 | 21 | 47.5 | 52.5 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499.. | 49 | 20 | 42 | 18 | 24 | 42.9 | 57.1 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 41 | 14 | 55 | 27 | 28 | 49.1 | 50.9 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999.... | 39 | 13 | 52 | $\stackrel{28}{ }$ | 24 | 53.8 | 46.2 |
|  | 49 | 8 | 55 | 23 | 32 | 41.8 | 58.2 |
| Types II and III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-8499 | 34 | 12 | 15 | 7 | 8 | 46.7 | 53.3 |
| \$500-\$749 | 143 | ${ }_{28}^{23}$ | 14 | 7 | 7 | 50.0 | 50.0 |
| \$750-\$999 | 316 | 38 | 21 | 10 | 11 | 47.6 | 52.4 |
| \$1,000- \$1,249 | 284 | 59 | 28 | 14 | 14 | 50.0 | 50.0 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 228 | 59 | 30 | 13 | 17 | 43.3 | 56.7 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 259 | 62 | 30 | 14 | 16 | 46.7 | 53.3 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 226 | 62 | 39 | 18 | 21 | 46. 2 | 53.8 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 146 | 46 | 40 | 19 | 21 | 47.5 | 52.5 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 144 | 52 | 37 | 18 | 19 | 48.6 | 51.4 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999... | 95 | 31 | 43 | 20 | 23 | 46.5 | 53.5 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 $\ldots$ | 78 | 22 | 53 | 24 | 29 | 45.3 | 54.7 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 57 | 21 | 50 | ${ }_{24}^{23}$ | ${ }_{27}^{27}$ | 46.0 | 54.0 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 42 | ${ }_{21} 1$ | 51 | 24 | 27 | 47.1 | 52.9 |
| \$5,000 and over..---------...- | 64 | 21 | 84 | 48 | 36 | 57.1 | 42.9 |
| Types IV and V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 | 31 | 10 | 9 | 5 | 4 | 55.6 | 44.4 |
| \$500-\$749 | 113 | 25 | 15 | 6 | 9 | 40.0 | 60.0 |
| \$750-8999 | 182 | 35 | 23 | 10 | 13 | 43.5 | 56.5 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 216 | 60 | 24 | 11 | 13 | 45.8 | 54.2 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499...............-....-- | 198 | 57 | 30 | 13 | 17 | 43.3 | 56.7 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 247 | 69 | 32 | 14 | 18 | 43.8 | 56.2 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 261 | 68 | 37 | 15 | 22 | 40.5 | 59.5 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 195 | ${ }^{63}$ | 41 | 19 | 22 | 46.3 | 53.7 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499. | 200 | 53 | 46 | 21 | 25 | 45.7 | 54.3 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 109 | 39 | 50 | 25 | 25 | 50.0 | 50.0 |
|  | 95 |  |  | 22 | 24 | 47.8 | 52.2 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 80 | 37 | 58 | 26 | 32 | 44.8 | 55.2 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 .-...-----.........- | 97 | 27 | 59 | 31 | 28 | 52.5 | 47.5 |
| \$5,000 and over...-....-. | 148 | 19 | 109 | 52 | 57 | 47.7 | 52.3 |

SOUTHEAST, 2 MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES
Table 8.-Automobile operation and purchase: Percentage of families owning and purchasing automobiles, average money expenditure for all families for operation and purchase, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1935-36
[White nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]

| Occupational group, family type, and income class | Number of families |  | Percentage of all families |  | Average money expenditure of all familie |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eligible <br> (2) | Reporting ex-penditures | Owning automobiles <br> (4) | Purchasing automobiles <br> (5) | Operation and purchase <br> (6) | Operation <br> (7) | Purchase (net) ${ }^{2}$ <br> (8) |
| (1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All families | $\begin{array}{r} 96 \\ 356 \\ 623 \\ 705 \\ 579 \end{array}$ | 286288148151 | $\begin{aligned} & 12 \\ & 11 \\ & 40 \\ & 48 \\ & 64 \end{aligned}$ | 52214812 | $\$ 6$10464585 | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 4 \\ & 7 \\ & 75 \\ & 45 \\ & 59 \end{aligned}$ | $\$ 2$321101023 |
| \$250-\$499. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$999. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 679 | 159 | 63 | 12 | 100 | 68 | 32 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 652 | 163 | 74 | 20 | 164 | 98 | 66 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 447 | 139 | 74 | 25 | 178 | 92 | 86 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 440 | 137 | 78 | 20 | 183 | 106 | 77 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999..................- | 253 | 83 | 83 | 24 | 249 | 130 | 119 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499.. | 222 | 68 | 89 | 37 | 298 | 147 | 151 |
| \$3,500-83,999-.. | 178 | 71 | 95 | 36 | 322 | 168 | 154 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 178 | 61 | 92 | 38 | 402 | 191 | 211 |
| \$5,000 and over ----------------1- | 261 | 48 | 97 | 48 | 499 | 235 | 264 |
| Occupational group: Wage earner |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499...-.................... | 96 | 28 | 12 |  | ${ }^{6}$ |  |  |
| \$500-\$749-......................... | 315 | 41 | 10 | 2 | 11 | 8 | 3 |
| \$750-\$999-2 | 470 | 47 | 44 | 16 | 45 | 27 | 18 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 380 | 52 | 46 | ${ }^{6}$ | 49 | 40 | ${ }^{9}$ |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 271 | 43 | 66 | 10 | 77 | 62 | 15 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749-... | 271 | 44 | 66 | 10 | 98 | 77 | 21 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | ${ }_{218}$ | 40 | 63 | 18 | 119 | 73 | 46 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 118 | 33 | 70 | 34 | 199 | 75 | 124 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 113 | 34 | 69 | 21 | 148 | 85 | 63 |
| Clerical |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749.. | 41 | 21 | 19 | 4 | 7 | 4 | 3 |
| \$750-\$999 | 153 | 41 | 29 | 10 | 49 | 20 | 29 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 197 | 38 | 44 | 12 | 70 | 54 | 16 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 203 | 43 | 58 | 14 | 81 | 52 | 29 |
|  | 251 | 44 | 54 | 11 | 79 | 48 | 31 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999.. | 255 | 38 | 81 | 17 | 192 | 116 | 76 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 177 | 41 | 79 | 22 | 170 | 94 | 76 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499...................... | 151 | 35 | 81 | 23 | 227 | 105 | 122 |
| Independent business and professional |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 70 | 27 | 56 | 12 | 53 | 45 | 8 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499....--............. | 57 | 35 | 78 | 14 | 117 | 76 | 41 |
|  | 56 | 27 | 75 | 13 | 113 | 85 | 28 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999..................... | 66 | 38 | 70 | 26 | 162 | 87 | 75 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 ..................... | 49 | 29 | 96 | 31 | 239 | 126 | 113 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 55 | 23 | 78 | 12 | 144 | 102 | 42 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | ${ }_{51} 7$ | ${ }^{36}$ | 86 | 24 | 276 | 173 | 103 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499.---.-............. | 51 | 26 | 90 | 29 | 307 | 174 | 133 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 56 | ${ }_{23}^{26}$ | 100 | 38 | 288 | 170 | 118 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 50 | ${ }_{28}^{23}$ | 84 | 32 | 347 | 188 | 159 |
| \$5,000 and over | 125 | 26 | 95 | 48 | 485 | 238 | 247 |

See p. 219 for notes on this table.

## SOUTHEAST, 2 MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES

Table 8.-Automobile operation and purchase: Percentage of families owning and purchasing automobiles, average money expenditure for all families for operation and purchase, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1935-96-Continued

| Occupational group, family type, and income class | Number of families |  | Percentage of all families |  | A verage money expenditure of all families |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eligible | Report- <br> ing ex- <br> nenditures | Owning automobiles | Purchasing automobiles | Operation and purchase | Operation | Purchase (net) |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| Salaried business and professional |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 58 | 31 | 58 | 2 | \$51 | \$49 | \$2 |
| \$1,250-\$1,490. | 48 | 30 | 59 | 7 | 76 | 55 | 21 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 101 | 44 | 68 | 20 | 151 | 80 | 71 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 115 | 47 | 82 | 27 | 183 | 112 | 71 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249.........---...-- | 103 | 36 | 60 | 18 | 139 | 91 | 48 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499. | 121 | 45 | 81 | 17 | 174 | 130 | 44 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 180 | 47 | 82 | 25 | 239 | 113 | 126 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 171 | 42 | 89 | 40 | 296 | 139 | 157 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 122 | 45 | 93 | 35 | 336 | 166 | 170 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 128 | 38 | 96 | 41 | 423 | 192 | 231 |
| \$5,000 and over | 136 | 22 | 100 | 47 | 512 | 233 | 279 |
| Family type: Type I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 | 31 | 6 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 10 | 7 |
| \$500-\$749. | 100 | 14 | 14 | 2 | 10 | 9 | 1 |
| \$750-\$999 | 125 | 15 | 32 | 19 | 48 | 16 | 32 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 205 | 29 | 61 | 10 | 76 | 65 | 11 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 153 | 35 | 68 | 7 | 91 | 74 | 17 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 173 | 28 | 80 | 19 | 132 | 84 | 48 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 165 | 33 | 88 | 24 | 177 | 98 | 79 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 106 | 30 | 66 | 23 | 191 | 96 | 95 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 96 | 32 | 95 | 20 | 200 | 128 | 72 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 49 | 13 | 68 | 21 | 236 | 98 | 138 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 49 | 20 | 87 | 25 | 283 | 170 | 113 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 41 | 14 | 100 | 41 | 316 | 167 | 149 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 39 | 13 | 94 | 26 | 413 | 192 | 221 |
| \$5,000 and over....-....-......... | 49 | 8 | 100 | 53 | 533 | 191 | 342 |
| Types II and III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 | 34 | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 143 | 23 | 5 |  | 3 | 3 |  |
| \$750-\$999 | 316 | 38 | 43 | 16 | 51 | 29 | 22 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 284 | 59 | 42 | 6 | 49 | 41 | 8 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 228 | 59 | 63 | 12 | 80 | 57 | 23 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 259 | 62 | 66 | 10 | 104 | 77 | 27 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 226 | 62 | 78 | 23 | 201 | 116 | 85 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 146 | 46 | 70 | 24 | 182 | 93 | 89 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 144 | 52 | 64 | 16 | 162 | 105 | 57 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 95 | 31 | 91 | 31 | 258 | 143 | 115 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 78 | 22 | 94 | 60 | 384 | 135 | 249 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 57 | 20 | 85 | 45 | 391 | 156 | 235 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 42 | 21 | 82 | 27 | 294 | 152 | 142 |
|  | 64 | 21 | 100 | 48 | 565 | 248 | 317 |
| Tvpes IV and V' |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499.--- | 31 | 10 | 20 |  | 3 | 3 |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 113 | 25 | 16 | 5 | 18 | 10 | 8 |
| \$750-\$999 | 182 | 35 | 41 | 8 | 36 | 26 | 10 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 216 | 60 | 41 | 8 | 43 | 32 | 11 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 198 | 57 | 60 | 15 | 80 | 51 | 29 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 247 | 69 | 46 | 9 | 76 | 47 | 29 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 261 | 68 | 61 | 16 | 123 | 83 | 40 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 195 | 63 | 82 | 27 | 167 | 88 | 79 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 200 | 53 | 79 | 22 | 188 | 96 | 92 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 109 | 39 | 83 | 20 | 248 | 133 | 115 |
| \$3,000-\$3,490 | 95 | 26 | 86 | 25 | 236 | 144 | 92 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 80 | 37 | 100 | 28 | 275 | 176 | 99 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 97 | 27 | 96 | 48 | 444 | 207 | 237 |
|  | 148 | 19 | 96 | 46 | 460 | 245 | 215 |

$125018^{\circ}-40-15$

## SOUTHEAST, 2 MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES

Table 9.-Recreation: Average money expenditure for recreation of specified types, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1935-96
[White nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]

| Occupational group, family type, and income class <br> (1) | Number of families |  | A verage money expenditure for recreation |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eligible | Reporting extures | Total | Paid admissions |  | Equipment for games and sports <br> (7) | Other ${ }^{1}$ |
|  |  |  |  | Movies | Other ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |
|  | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |  | (8) |
| All families |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 | 96 | 28 | \$7 | \$2 | (*) | \$1 |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 356 | 62 | 11 |  |  |  | 8 |
| \$750-\$999 | 623 | 88 | 24 | ${ }^{6}$ | \$1 | 2 | 15 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 705 | 148 | 27 | 10 | 2 | 2 | 13 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 579 | 151 | 33 | 13 | 3 | 4 | 13 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 679 | 159 | 43 | 15 | 2 | 7 | 19 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 652 | 163 | 49 | 18 | 4 | 7 | 20 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 447 | 139 | 57 | 22 | 4 | 7 | 24 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 440 253 | 137 | 66 75 | 27 | ${ }_{6}^{6}$ | ${ }_{9} 11$ | 29 33 |
| \$2,500-82,999 | 253 | 83 | 75 |  |  |  | 33 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 222 | 68 | 100 | 27 |  | 28 |  |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 178 | 71 | 102 | 27 | 12 | 21 | 42 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 178 | 61 | 143 | 30 | 13 | 20 | 80 |
|  | 261 | 48 | 242 | 41 | 24 | 60 | 117 |
| Occupational group: Wage earner |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499... | 96 | 28 | 7 | 2 | (9) | 1 | 4 |
| \$500-\$749-- | 315 | 41 | 10 | 3 | (9) |  | 7 |
| \$750-\$999 - | 470 | 47 | 26 | ${ }^{5}$ | 1 | 3 | 17 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 380 271 | 52 43 | 30 40 | 11 | 2 | 2 | 17 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 |  | 43 |  | 14 | 3 | 6 | 17 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 271 | 44 | 37 |  |  | 10 | 10 |
| \$1,750- $81,999$. | 216 | 40 <br> 3 | 46 45 | ${ }_{20}^{18}$ | ${ }^{3}$ | ${ }_{8}^{6}$ | 19 |
| $\$ 2,000-\$ 2,249$ $\$ 2,250-\$ 2,499$ | 118 | ${ }_{34}^{33}$ | 45 88 | 20 21 | 6 4 | 8 | 11 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499.. | 113 | 34 | 86 |  | 4 | 13 | 48 |
| \$500-\$749 Clerical |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 41 | 21 | 21 | ${ }_{6}^{6}$ | $\left.{ }^{( }\right)$ | 3 | 12 |
| \$750-\$9099- | 153 | 41 | 19 | 9 | 1 | 1 | 8 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | $\stackrel{1}{203}$ | 43 | 24 | 11 | 2 | 2 | ${ }_{9}$ |
| \$1,500-\$1,749.. | 251 | 44 | 42 | 18 | 2 | 5 | 17 |
| \$1,750-\$1,909. | 255 | 38 | 47 | 17 |  |  |  |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 177 | 41 | 61 | 25 | 4 | 8 | 26 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499....-.-.......-...- | 151 | 35 | 59 | 21 | 5 | 9 | 24 |
| Independent business and professional |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 $\ldots$ | 70 | 27 | 21 | 11 | 1 |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 57 | 35 | 38 | 18 | 2 | 3 | 15 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 56 | 27 | ${ }^{63}$ | 10 | 3 | 1 | 49 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 66 | 38 | 50 | 20 | 4 | 5 | 21 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 49 | 29 | 57 | 17 | 2 | 8 | 30 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 55 | 23 | 55 | 17 | 7 |  |  |
| \$2,500-\$2.999 ----...-.......... | 73 | ${ }^{36}$ | 88 | 32 | 8 | 12 | 36 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 51 | 26 | 84 | 24 | 8 | 25 | 27 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999------------------- | 58 | ${ }^{26}$ | 86 | 27 | 16 | 16 | 27 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 50 | 23 | 124 | ${ }_{51}$ | 14 | 24 | 44 |
| \$5,000 and over------------1-1 | 125 | 26 | 224 | 51 | 25 | 52 | 96 |

${ }^{1}$ See glossary, appendix B, for items included.
*A verage amounts of less than $\$ 1$ and percentages of less than 0.1 are not shown.

SOUTHEAST, 2 MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES
Table 9.-Recreation: Average money expenditure for recreation of specified types, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued

| Occupational group, family type, and income class <br> (1) | Number of families |  | A verage money expenditure for recreation |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eligible | Reporting extures | Total | Paid admissions |  | Equipment for games and sports | Other <br> (8) |
|  |  |  |  | Movies | Other |  |  |
|  | (2) | (3) | (4) | (b) | (8) |  |  |
| Salaried business and professional |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 - | 58 | 31 | \$23 | \$10 | \$3 | \$1 | \$9 |
| \$1,250- $\$ 1,499$ | 48 | 30 44 | 29 48 | 12 | ${ }_{3}^{2}$ | 2 8 8 | 13 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 115 | 47 | 60 | 17 | 5 | 8 | 29 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 103 | 36 | 62 | 22 | 4 | 7 | 29 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 121 | 45 | 62 | 19 | 8 | 11 | 24 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 180 | 47 | 70 | 25 | 6 | 8 | 31 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 171 | 42 | 105 | 28 | 7 | 30 | 40 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 122 | 45 | 110 | 27 | 11 | 24 | 48 |
| \$4,000-84,999 | 128 | 38 | 155 | 30 | 13 | 18 | 94 |
| \$5,000 and over-..--....-- | 136 | 22 | 259 | 32 | 24 | 68 | 135 |
| Family type: Type 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499... | 31 | 6 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 100 | 14 | 11 | 3 | (*) |  | 8 |
| \$750-8999 | 125 | 15 | 17 | 5 |  | (*) | 11 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249... | 205 | 29 | 25 | 8 | 1 | 2 | 14 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 153 | 35 | 25 | 14 | 3 | 4 | 4 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 173 | 28 | 57 | 17 | 2 | 7 | 31 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 165 | 33 | 42 | 14 | 5 | 9 | 14 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 106 | 30 | 56 | 20 | 5 | 7 | 24 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 96 49 | 32 13 | 58 57 | $\stackrel{20}{25}$ | 10 3 | 9 4 | 19 25 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499.. | 49 | 20 | 111 | 24 | 6 | 47 | 34 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999.. | 41 | 14 | 91 | 20 | 12 | 16 | 43 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 39 | 13 | 168 | 28 | 14 | 36 | 90 |
| \$5,000 and over. | 49 | 8 | 110 | 28 | 23 | 4 | 55 |
| Types II and III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499... | 34 | 12 | 9 | 3 | (*) | (*) |  |
| \$500-8749 | 143 | ${ }_{28}^{23}$ | 10 | 3 | (*) | (*) | 7 |
| \$750-\$999 | 316 | 38 | 29 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 20 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249... | 284 228 | 59 59 | 34 40 | 14 12 | 2 3 | $\begin{array}{r}3 \\ 3 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | ${ }_{22}^{15}$ |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 ... | 259 | 62 | 41 | 13 | 3 |  |  |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 226 | 62 | 55 | 20 | 4 | 8 | 23 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 146 | 46 | 56 | 20 | 4 | 7 | 25 |
| \$2, 250-\$2,499 | 144 | 52 | 76 | ${ }_{21}^{18}$ | ${ }_{6}^{6}$ | 15 | 37 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 95 | 31 | 84 | 21 | 7 | 13 | 43 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499.....- | 78 | 22 | 101 | 28 | 8 | 24 |  |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 57 | 20 | 108 | $\stackrel{27}{ }$ | 13 | 16 | 52 |
| $\$ 4,000-\$ 4,999$ <br> $\$ 5,000$ and over. | 42 64 | ${ }_{21}^{21}$ | 149 353 | 25 32 | 13 17 | 27 95 | 84 209 |
| Types IV and V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499.......... | 31 | 10 | 11 | 1 | (*) | 3 |  |
| \$500-\$749.. | 113 | 25 | 13 | 3 | (*) | 1 | 9 |
| \$750-\$999. | 182 | 35 | 21 | 6 | 1 | 4 | 10 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249..... | ${ }^{216}$ | 60 | 19 | 8 | 1 | 1 | ${ }^{9}$ |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 .-.-.................- | 198 | 57 | 31 | 13 | 3 | 4 | 11 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 247 | 69 | 34 | 15 | 2 | 7 |  |
| \$1,750-\$1,999.. | 261 | 68 | 47 | 18 | 4 | 5 | 20 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249... | 195 | 63 | 58 | 25 | 5 | 6 | 22 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499... | 200 | 53 | 63 | 21 | $\stackrel{4}{8}$ | 9 | 29 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999.................... | 108 | 39 | 76 | 32 | 8 | 8 | 28 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499-.................. | 95 | 26 | 94 | 28 | 7 | 22 | 37 |
| \$3,500-83,999 .................... | 80 | 37 | 104 | 30 | 12 | 28 | 34 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 97 | 27 | 131 | 33 | 13 | 10 | 75 |
| \$5,000 and over . ............... | 148 | 19 | 238 | 50 | 28 | 64 | 96 |

[^77]
# Footnotes for Tables in Expenditure Tabular Summary 

## Colombia and Mobile (White)

TABLE 1
1 See glossary, appendix B, for eligibility requirements.
${ }^{2}$ Money income is equal to the sum of money expenditure (column 7) plus net surplus or deficit (column 8) plus net balancing difference (column 9).
${ }^{1}$ Nonmoney income from housing includes imputed income from owned family or vacation homes plus rent received as pay or gift (average amounts based on all families, whether or not they reported such nonmoney income).
${ }^{4}$ Includes purchases on cash or credit basis. Does not include money disbursements resulting in an increase in family assets or a decrease in liabilities. (Examples of disbursements not treated as expenditures will he found in the glossary, appendix 3.)

5 See glossary, appendix B, for definitions of surplus and deficit.

- Represents the average net difference between reported money receipts and reported money disbursements. See glossary, appendix B. A maximum balancing difference within 5.5 percent was allowable on each schedule.

TABLE 1-A
${ }^{1}$ A surplus represents an increase in assets or a decrease in liabilities, or both; a defleit represents a decrease in assets or an increase in liabilities, or both.
${ }^{2}$ Some families reported neither surplus nor deficit for the year; therefore the sum of columns 5 and 6 does not always equal 100 percent.
${ }^{3}$ Since the average amounts in these two columns are based on the number of families reporting surplus or deficit, respectively, they do not add to the average net surplus or deficit shown in column 4 for all families.

TABLE 2
1 The averages in this table include money expenditure for goods and services purchased on either cash or credit basis. They do not include value of goods and services received without money expense. Averages are based on all families, whether or not they reported expenditures for the specified categories.
${ }^{2}$ Housing expenditures include the money expense of home owners and rent contracted for by renting families, for family homes and other housing. The value of fuel, light, and refrigeration is included when furnished by the landiord and included in the rental rate.
${ }^{3}$ Includes all expenditures for operation and maintenance (see table 8), and the net purchase price (gross price less trade-in allowance) of automobiles bought during the schedule year. The proportion of automobile expense chargeable to business has been deducted. See glossary, appendix B.
${ }^{4}$ Includes paid admissions, equipment and supplies for games, sports, and other recreation, club dues, and the like. Does not include expense for transportation, food, or lodging while on vacation.

6 Taxes include only poll, income, and personal property taxes. All other taxes, such as those on real estate, amusements, and retail sales taxes, are included as a part of the expenditure for these items. Gifts do not include gifts from one member of the economic family to another.

## TABLE 3

${ }^{1}$ Includes expenditures for board at school, which amounted to less than 5 percent of average food expense for all families. Among families in the business and professional categories, it amounted at most to an average of $\$ 46$, at the income level $\$ 5,000$ and over. For families of types IV and $V$, it amounted at most to $\$ 39$, at the level $\$ 4,000$ and over.
: See glossary, appendix B, for method of deriving this figure.

TABLE 4
1 Includes housing expenditure for both owners and renters. Average amounts for renting families based on rental rate contracted for. Value of fuel, light, and refrigeration is included when furnished by the landlord and included in the rental rate. See table 4-A for percentage of families for whom these facilities were included as part of the rent.
: See table 4-A for separation of expense for owning and renting families.
: Includes net money expenditure for owned or rented vacation homes, lodging while traveling or on vacation, and room at school.

- See glossary, appendix B, for method of deriving this figure. Includes nonmoney income from owned vacation homes, which amounted at most to an average of less than $\$ 1$ for all families at any income level.
- Percentages based on the average value of all housing (column 6).

TABLE 4-A
1 These two percentages do not always add to 100 , since families that both owned and rented during the year, or received rent as gift or pay, are not included in columns 4 through 7.
${ }^{2}$ Percentages based on renting families reporting these facilities included in rent at the end of the schedule year.

## TABLE 5

${ }^{1}$ Excludes value of fuel, light, and refrigeration furnished by the landlord and included in the rental rate. Fuel received without money expense is not included in this average, but amounted to less than 5 percent of money expense for fuel, light, and refrigeration for all families with incomes of $\$ 1,000$ or over.

- See glossary, appendix B, for items included.


## TABLE 6


#### Abstract

1 Value of clothing gifts from one family member to another are included in the average expenditure for the member receiving such gifts. Gifts of clothing to or from individuals outside the economic family are excluded. : For families of type I, averages and percentages shown in columns 7 and 10 are for individuals who were members of the economic family less than 27 weeks, and were therefore not considered equivalent members in determining family type. See glossary, appendix $\mathbf{B}$, for method of classifying families by type.


## TABLE 7

1 See glosssry, appendix B, for items included.

TABLE 8
${ }^{1}$ To obtain the average expense of operation for families owning automobiles, divide the average shown in this column by the corresponding figure in colurnn 4 and multiply by 100.
${ }^{2}$ To obtain the average net purchase price (gross price less trade-in allowance) for families purchasing automboiles, divide the average shown in this column by the corresponding figure in column 5 and multiply by 100 .

## TABLE 9

${ }^{1}$ See glossary, appendix $B$, for items included.

## SOUTHEAST, 2 MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES

Table 1.-Balance of family income and expenditure: Number of eligible families, number reporting expenditures, average net money and nonmoney income, average money expenditure for family living, net surplus or deficit, and balancing difference, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1935-86
[Negro nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]

| Occupational group, family type, and income class <br> (1) | Number of fam-ilies |  | Average net income |  |  |  |  | A veragenet balnet baldiffer ence ${ }^{\text {B }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eligible ${ }^{1}$ | Reporting ertures | Total | Money ${ }^{\text {2 }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Non- } \\ \text { money } \\ \text { from } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
|  | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |  |  |  |
| All families |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$950 | $\begin{array}{r} 280 \\ \left.\begin{array}{c} 2,041 \\ 1,045 \\ 1,095 \\ 518 \\ 218 \end{array}\right) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{2 9} \\ 140 \\ 140 \\ 120 \\ 122 \\ 96 \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 192$384608849141 | $\begin{array}{r} 8178 \\ 370 \\ 591 \\ 817 \\ 817 \\ \hline, 040 \end{array}$ | 148$\mathbf{1 4}$14173271 | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 214 \\ 376 \\ 580 \\ 783 \\ 1,001 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -\$ 34 \\ -\quad 52 \\ 30 \\ 30 \\ 39 \end{array}$ |  |
| \$ $\$ 250-8749$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$999- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 <br> $\$ 1,750-\$ 1,999$ <br> $\$ 2,000-\$ 2,249$ <br> $\$ \$ 2,250-\$ 2,499$. <br> ,500 and over | $\begin{aligned} & 75 \\ & 14 \\ & 14 \\ & 147 \\ & 170 \\ & 11 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 39 \\ 12 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 16 \\ 16 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,383 \\ & 1,613 \\ & 1,683 \\ & \begin{array}{l} 1,893 \\ 2,146 \\ 2,364 \\ 3,150 \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,288 \\ & 1,528 \\ & 1,520 \\ & 2,910 \\ & 2,1,53 \\ & 2,155 \\ & 3,087 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 95 \\ 85 \\ -17 \\ 93 \\ 209 \\ 203 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,270 \\ & 1,418 \\ & 1,462 \\ & 1,654 \\ & 1,876 \\ & 2,050 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16 \\ 123 \\ 264 \\ 470 \\ 479 \\ 1,109 \end{array}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Occupational group: Wage earner |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under $\$ 250$ | $\begin{array}{r} 263 \\ 973 \\ 1,016 \\ \hline 188 \\ 174 \\ 56 \\ 56 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23 \\ & 88 \\ & 92 \\ & 77 \\ & 67 \\ & 28 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 196 \\ \begin{array}{c} 385 \\ 607 \\ \hline 847 \\ \hline 1,108 \\ 1,107 \end{array} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 16 \\ & 13 \\ & 14 \\ & 25 \\ & 61 \\ & 73 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 215 \\ 378 \\ 581 \\ 787 \\ 1,000 \\ 1,203 \end{array}$ | -33 <br> -5 <br> 12 <br> 29 <br> 48 <br> 48 <br> 94 | (*) $\begin{array}{r}-2 \\ -1 \\ 6\end{array}$ |
| \$500-8799-- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $8750-9999$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Clerical, business and professional |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$ $\$ 250$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17 \\ & 68 \\ & 79 \\ & 70 \\ & 44 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 66 \\ & 52 \\ & 48 \\ & 45 \\ & 29 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 142 \\ \begin{array}{c} 371 \\ 617 \\ \hline 862 \\ 1,862 \end{array} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 140 \\ & 308 \\ & 568 \\ & 568 \\ & \hline 065 \end{aligned}$ | 23394997109 |  | -54-100-1003460 | $\begin{array}{r} -4 \\ -6 \\ -6 \\ -9 \\ \hline-9 \end{array}$ |
| ${ }_{5}^{\$ 5000-7749}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$750-9999 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 19141414172011 | $\begin{aligned} & 11 \\ & 12 \\ & 10 \\ & 11 \\ & 16 \\ & 16 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,400 \\ & 1,613 \\ & 1,893 \\ & 2,146 \\ & 2,364 \\ & 3,150 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,241 \\ & 1,528 \\ & 1,5910 \\ & 2,053 \\ & 2,155 \\ & 3,087 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 159 \\ 85 \\ -17 \\ 93 \\ 209 \\ 209 \end{array}$ |  | ren-2121232644702791,109 | --13-1323( |
| \$1,750-s1,999 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$2, $2000-\$ 2,249$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$ $\$ 2,500$ and over- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Family type: Type I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250 | $\begin{aligned} & 151 \\ & 457 \\ & 451 \\ & 483 \\ & 183 \\ & 75 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13 \\ & 48 \\ & 52 \\ & 51 \\ & 31 \\ & 26 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 174 \\ 382 \\ 616 \\ 616 \\ \hline 832 \\ 1,1115 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1566 \\ \begin{array}{c} 3666 \\ 606 \\ 8061 \\ 1,037 \end{array} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18 \\ & 16 \\ & 10 \\ & 10 \\ & 78 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 193 \\ 361 \\ 580 \\ 582 \\ \hline 762 \\ 1,033 \end{array}$ | -3652729295 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { (*) }^{-1} \\ -1 \\ 10 \\ -10 \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \%750-\$999-- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499- | $\begin{array}{r} 12 \\ 7 \\ 6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{l\|l} 7 \\ 7 \\ 4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,380 \\ & 1,311 \\ & 2,482 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,301 \\ & 1,797 \\ & 2,239 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 79 \\ 144 \\ 243 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,272 \\ & 1,549 \\ & 1,779 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11 \\ & 251 \\ & 484 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18 \\ -34 \\ -24 \end{array}$ |
| \$ $\%$, 250 and over- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

See p. 241 for notes on this table.

* A verage amounts of less than $\$ 1$ and percentages of less than 0.1 are shown.


## SOUTHEAST, 2 MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES

Table 1.-Balance of family income and expenditure: Number of eligible families, number reporting expenditures, average net money and nonmoney income, average money expenditure for family living, net surplus or deficit, and balancing difference, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1985-86-Continued

| Occupational group, family type, and income class | Number of families |  | Average net income |  |  | A verage money ture for family living | A verage net surplus or $\underset{(-)}{ }$ | Average net balancing difference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eligible | Reporting ex-penditure | Total | Money | $\begin{gathered} \text { Non- } \\ \text { money } \\ \text { from } \\ \text { housing } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |  |  |  |
| Types II and III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250 | 77 | 10 | \$223 | \$205 | \$18 | \$217 | -\$10 | -\$2 |
| \$250-\$499 | 339 | 51 | 374 | 365 | 9 | 379 | -11 |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 292 | 44 | 593 | 581 | 12 | 591 | -10 | (*) 5 |
| \$750-\$899. | 130 | 38 | 837 | 806 | 31 | 765 | 36 |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 44 | 32 | 1,090 | 1,017 | 73 | 945 | 67 | 5 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499... | 19 | 13 | 1,384 | 1,309 | 75 | 1,223 | 95 | -9 |
| \$1,500-\$2,249 | 16 | 12 | 1,843 | 1,753 | 90 | 1,344 | 412 | $-3$ |
| \$2,250 and over. | 6 | 5 | 2,347 | 2,160 | 187 | 1,879 | 240 | 41 |
| Types IV and V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250. | 52 | 6 | 198 | 198 |  | 269 | -68 | -3 |
| \$250-\$499 | 245 | 41 | 401 | 383 | 18 | 399 | -16 |  |
| \$500-\$749- | 352 | 44 | 609 | 580 | 29 | 570 | 10 |  |
| \$750-\$999 | 205 | 53 | 871 | 837 | 34 | 812 | 26 |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249...--.-.-------- | 99 | 38 | 1,117 | 1,052 | 65 | 1,002 | 52 | -2 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 44 | 19 | 1,383 | 1,276 | 107 | 1,290 | -16 | 2 |
| \$1,500-\$2,249 | 22 | 14 | 1,941 | 1,027 | 14 | 1,666 | 229 | 32 |
| \$2,250 and over | 19 | 12 | 2,787 | 2,667 | 120 | 2,007 | 707 | -47 |

*Average amounts of less than $\$ 1$ and percentages of less than 0.1 are not shown.

SOUTHEAST, 2 MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES
Table 1-A.-Net surplus or deficit: Percentage of families having a surplus or deficit, and average amounts reported, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1935-36 ${ }^{1}$


See p. 241 for notes on this table.

## SOUTHEAST, 2 MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES

Table 1-A.-Net surplus or deficit: Percentage of families having a surplus or deficit, and average amounts reported, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued

| Occupational group, family type, and income class | Number of families |  | Average net surplus or $\xrightarrow[(\rightarrow)]{\text { deficit }}$ $(-)$ <br> (4) | Percentage of famiilies having- |  | Average amount for families having- |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eligible | Reporting expenditures |  | Surplus | Deficit | Surplus | Deficit |
| (1) | (2) | (3) |  | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| Types IV and V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250.. | 52 | 6 | -\$68 | 17 | 83 | \$6 | $\$ 83$ |
| \$250-\$499.. | 245 | 41 | -16 | 37 | 35 | 22 | 69 |
| \$500-\$749.- | 352 | 44 | 10 | 62 | 26 | 26 | 23 |
| \$750-\$999 | 205 | 53 | ${ }^{26}$ | 73 | ${ }_{20}^{20}$ | 65 | 108 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 99 | 38 | 52 | 82 | 18 | 84 | 95 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 44 | 19 | -16 | 68 | 26 | 123 | 380 |
| \$1,500-\$2,249 | 22 | 14 | 229 | 91 | 9 | 319 | 667 |
| \$2,250 and over. | 19 | 12 | 707 | 93 | 7 | 768 | 168 |

## SOUTHEAST, 2 MIDDLE-SIZED CITILES

Table 2.-Summary of family expenditure: Average money expenditure for specified groups of goods and services, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1935-36 ${ }^{1}$
[Negro nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]

| Occupational group, family type, an income class | Number of families |  | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Aver- } \\ \text { gag } \\ \text { num- } \\ \text { ber or } \\ \text { persons } \\ \text { per } \\ \text { family } \end{array}$ | Total | Food |  | Household operation |  | Furniture and ment | Cloth ing <br> (11) | Autobile ${ }^{3}$ (12) | Other trans-porta-tion | Personal care(14) | $\begin{gathered} \begin{array}{c} \text { Medi- } \\ \text { cal } \\ \text { care } \end{array} \\ \\ \\ (15) \end{gathered}$ | Recreation 4 <br> (16) | Tobacco <br> (17) | Reading <br> (18) | Formal edu-cation | Con-tributions and personastaxes | Other items <br> (21) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Eligi- } \\ \text { ble } \end{gathered}$ <br> (2) | Report ing ex-penditures |  |  |  |  | Fuel, light, and eration <br> (8) | Other <br> (9) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | verage | money | xpendi | ure in | dollars |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All families |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250. | 280 | 29 | 2.8 | 214 | 82 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499- | 1,041 | 140 | 3.0 | 376 | 151 | 80 | 39 | 10 | 7 | 29 | 1 |  | 11 | 22 | 2 | 9 | 4 |  | 8 | (*) |
| \$500-8749 | 1,095 | 140 | 3.1 | 580 | 219 | 88 | 50 | 15 | 17 | 62 | 12 | 6 | 17 | 40 | 11 | 17 | 5 |  | 18 | 1 |
| \$750-\$999 | 518 | 122 | 3.3 | 783 | 274 | 101 | 64 | 23 | 29 | 99 | 12 | 11 | 24 | 52 | 15 | 17 | 8 | 7 | 37 | 10 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 218 | 96 | 3.4 | 1,001 | 333 | 102 | 79 | 32 | 53 | 128 | 42 | 17 | 28 | 66 | 26 | 27 | 11 | 7 | 48 | 2 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 75 | 39 | 3.8 | 1,270 | 384 | 95 | 78 | 43 | 49 | 187 | 106 | 21 | 30 | 93 | 36 | 27 | 13 | 35 | 52 | 21 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 14 | 12 | 3.2 | 1,418 | 409 | 130 | 114 | 79 | 20 | 172 | 172 | 13 | 32 | 86 | 26 | 21 | 11 | 27 | 105 | 1 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 14 | 10 | 4.1 | 1,623 | 504 | 265 | 110 | 39 | 124 | 169 | 74 | 14 | $\stackrel{44}{45}$ | ${ }_{91}^{88}$ | 43 | 22 | 16 | 55 46 | 55 | 1 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249... | 17 | 11 | 3.8 3.5 | 1,554 | 465 457 | ${ }_{133}^{143}$ | 115 | 68 109 | $\stackrel{42}{107}$ | 197 | 106 | 24 9 | 25 | 98 | 42 50 50 | ${ }_{43}^{20}$ | 17 19 | ${ }_{31}^{46}$ | 152 150 150 | ${ }_{13}^{1}$ |
| \$2,500 and over--- | 11 | ${ }_{5}^{18}$ | 3. 9 | 2,050 | 562 | 243 | 123 | 148 | 108 | 334 | +58 | 20 | 54 | 162 | 36 | 48 | 22 |  | 125 | 7 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | centage | of tota | money | expend | itures |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All families |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250 | 280 | 29 | 2.8 | 100.0 | 38.2 | 33.6 | 9.3 | 3.7 | 0.9 | 4.2 |  | 0.2 | 2.3 | 3.7 | 0.5 | 1.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 0.1 |
| \$250-\$499 | 1,041 | 140 | 3.0 | 100.0 | ${ }^{40.1}$ | 21.2 | 10.4 | 2.6 | 1.9 | 7.7 | 0.4 | .$^{3}$ | 2.9 | 5.8 | . 5 | 2.4 | 1.1 | . 5 | 2.1 | . 1 |
| \$500-\$749 | 1,095 | 140 | 3.1 | 100.0 | 37.8 | 15.2 | 8. 6 | 2.6 | 2.9 | 1.7 | 2.1 |  | 2.9 | 6.9 | 1.9 | 2.9 | 1.9 | . 3 | ${ }_{4}{ }^{2} 18$ | .$^{2}$ |
| \$750-8999. | 518 | 122 | 3.3 | 100.0 | 35.0 | 12.9 | 8.2 | 2.9 | 5.7 | 12.6 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 3.1 | 6.6 | 1.9 | 2.2 | 1.1 | . 7 | 4.8 | 1.3 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 218 | 96 | 3.4 | 100.0 | 33.2 | 10.2 | 7.9 | 3.2 | 5.3 | 12.8 | 4.2 | 1.7 | 2.8 | 6.6 | 2.6 | 2.7 | 1.1 | .7 | 4.8 | . 2 |


| \$1,250-\$1,499.. | 75 | 39 | 3.8 | 100.0 | 30.2 | 7.5 | 6.1 | 3.4 | 3.9 | 14.7 | 8.3 | 1.7 | 2.4 | 7.3 | 2.8 | 2.1 | 1.0 | 2.8 | 4.1 | 1.7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 14 | 12 | 3.2 | 100.0 | 28.8 | 9.2 | 8.0 | 5.6 | 1.4 | 12.1 | 12.1 | . 9 | 2.3 | 6.1 | 1.8 | 1.5 | . 8 | 1.9 | 7.4 | . 1 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 14 | 10 | 4. 1 | 100.0 | 31.1 | 16.3 | 6.8 | 2.4 | 7.6 | 10.4 | 4.5 | . 9 | 2.7 | 5.3 | 2.7 | 1.4 | 1.0 | 3.4 | 3.4 | . 1 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 17 | 11 | 3.8 | 100.0 | 29.9 | 9.2 | 7.4 | 4.4 | 2.7 | 12.7 | 6.8 | 1.5 | 1. 6 | 5.8 | 2.7 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 3.0 | 9.8 | . 1 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499.... | 20 | 16 | 3.5 | 100.0 | 24.4 | 7.1 | 6.1 | 5.8 | 5.7 | 12.5 | 14.3 | . 5 | 2.1 | 5.2 | 2.7 | 2.3 | 1.0 | 1.6 | 8.0 | . 7 |
| \$2,500 and over......- | 11 | 5 | 3.9 | 100.0 | 27.4 | 11.9 | 6.0 | 7.2 | 5.3 | 16.3 | 2.8 | 1.0 | 2. 6 | 7.9 | 1.8 | 2.3 | 1.1 |  | 6.1 | 3 |
| Occupational group: |  |  |  | A verage money expenditure in dollars |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250 | 263 | 23 | 2.9 | 215 | 82 | 72 | 21 | 8 | 2 | 9 |  | (*) | 6 | 8 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | (*) |
| \$250-\$499... | 973 | 88 | 3.0 | 378 | 152 | 80 | 39 | 10 | 7 | 30 | (*) | 1 | 11 | 22 | 2 | 9 | 4 | 2 | 8 | 1 |
| \$500-\$749. | 1, 016 | 92 | 3.1 | 581 | 221 | 88 | 50 | 14 | 17 | 62 | 12 | 5 | 16 | 39 | 12 | 17 | 5 | 2 | 19 | 2 |
| \$750-\$999 | 468 | 77 | 3.3 | 787 | 275 | 104 | 64 | 22 | 30 | 100 | 9 | 11 | 24 | 51 | 15 | 18 | 8 | 7 | 37 | 12 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 174 | 67 | 3.5 | 1,000 | 341 | 107 | 81 | 29 | 51 | 130 | 27 | 16 | 29 | 68 | 27 | 27 | 9 | 7 | 48 | 3 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 ........- | 56 | 28 | 4.0 | 1,203 | 372 | 110 | 81 | 33 | 62 | 193 | 26 | 22 | 32 | 103 | 36 | 27 | 11 | 33 | 46 | 16 |
|  |  |  |  | Percentage of total money expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wage earner |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250.-.-.-....- | 263 | 23 | 2.9 | 100.0 | 38.0 | 33.3 | 9.7 | 3.7 | 0.9 | 4.2 |  | 0.2 | 2.8 | 3.7 | 0.5 | 1.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.1 |
| \$250-\$499 | 973 | 88 | 3.0 | 100.0 | 40.2 | 21.2 | 10.3 | 2.6 | 1.8 | 7.9 | 0.2 | . 3 | 2.9 | 5.8 | . 5 | 2.4 | 1.0 | . 5 | 2.1 | . 3 |
| \$500-\$749 | 1,016 | 92 | 3.1 | 100.0 | 38.0 | 15.1 | 8.6 | 2.4 | 2.9 | 10.7 | 2. 1 | . 9 | 2.8 | 6.7 | 2.1 | 2.9 | . 9 | . 3 | 3.3 | . 3 |
| \$750-\$999 | 468 | 77 | 3.3 | 100.0 | 35.0 | 13.2 | 8.1 | 2.9 | 3.8 | 12.7 | 1. 1 | 1.4 | 3.0 | 6.5 | 1.9 | 2.3 | 1.0 | . 9 | 4.7 | 1.5 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 174 | 67 | 3.5 | 100.0 | 34.1 | 10.7 | 8.1 | 2.9 | 5.1 | 13.0 | 2.7 | 1.6 | 2. 9 | 6.8 | 2.7 | 2.7 | . 9 | . 7 | 4.8 | . 3 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 $\ldots \ldots .$. | 56 | 28 | 4.0 | 100.0 | 31.0 | 9.1 | 6.7 | 2.7 | 5. 2 | 16.1 | 2.2 | 1.8 | 2.7 | 8.6 | 3.0 | 2.2 | . 9 | 2.7 | 3.8 | 1.3 |
| Clerical, business, |  |  |  | A verage money expenditure in dollars |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under $\$ 250$ | 17 | 6 | 2.2 | 198 | 84 | 80 | 12 | 4 | (*) | 4 |  |  | 2 | 8 | (*) | 2 | 1 | (*) | 1 |  |
| \$250-\$499. | 68 | 52 | 2.6 | 354 | 142 | 79 | 36 | 11 | 2 | 24 | 3 | 3 | 10 | 22 | 3 | 7 | 5 | 1 | 6 | (*) |
| \$500-\$749 | 79 | 48 | 3.1 | 560 | 192 | 81 | 52 | 24 | 13 | 65 | 21 | 8 | 19 | 42 | 6 | 10 | 8 | 2 | 15 |  |
| \$750-\$999 | 50 | 45 | 3.4 | 740 | 269 | 68 | 64 | 29 | 26 | 88 | 33 | 10 | 21 | 55 | 13 | 13 | 11 | 8 | 32 | (*) |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 44 | 29 | 3.0 | 1,005 | 302 | 83 | 72 | 44 | 60 | 123 | 95 | 21 | 25 | 56 | 22 | 27 | 16 | 9 | 49 | 1 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499.. | 19 | 11 | 3.3 | 1,466 | 419 | 53 | 71 | 73 | 10 | 167 | 342 | 18 | 22 | 60 | 35 | 28 | 21 | 40 | 68 | 38 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 14 | 12 | 3.2 | 1,418 | 409 | 130 | 114 | 79 | 20 | 172 | 172 | 13 | 32 | 86 | 26 | 21 | 11 | 27 | 105 | 1 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 14 | 10 | 4.1 | 1,623 | 504 | 265 | 110 | 39 | 124 | 169 | 74 | 14 | 44 | 88 | 43 | 22 | 16 | 55 | 55 | 1 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 17 | 11 | 3.8 | 1,554 | 465 | 143 | 115 | 68 | 42 | 197 | 106 | 24 | 25 | 91 | 42 | 20 | 17 | 46 | 152 | 1 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 20 | 16 | 3.5 | 1,876 | 457 | 133 | 115 | 109 | 107 | 234 | 268 | 9 | 40 | 98 | 50 | 43 | 19 | 31 | 150 | 13 |
| \$2,500 and over.-....- | 11 | 5 | 3.9 | 2,050 | 562 | 243 | 123 | 148 | 108 | 334 | 58 | 20 | 54 | 162 | 36 | 48 | 22 |  | 125 | 7 |

Table 2.-Summary of family expenditure: Average money expenditure for specified groups of goods and services, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1995-96-Continued

| Occupational group, family type, and income class | Number of families |  | Average number of persons per family | Total <br> (5) | Food <br> (6) | Housing <br> (7) | Household operation |  | Furniture and equipment | Clothing <br> (11) | Auto-mobile <br> (12) | Other trans-portation | Personal care <br> (14) | Medical care <br> (15) | Recreation <br> (16) | Tobacco <br> (17) | Reading <br> (18) | Formal education <br> (19) | Con-tributions and personal taxes | Other items <br> (21) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\underset{\text { ble }}{\text { Eligi- }}$ | Report- <br> ing ex-penditures |  |  |  |  | Futl, light, and refrigeration | Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1) | (2) | (3) |  |  |  |  | (8) | (9) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Clerical, business, and professional |  |  |  | Percentage of total money expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250........... | 17 | 6 | 2.2 | 100.0 | 42.3 | 40.3 | 6.1 | 2.0 | 0.2 | 2.0 |  |  | 1.0 | 4.0 | (*) | 1. 0 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.5 | ${ }^{*}$ ) |
| \$250-\$499. | 68 | 52 | 2.6 | 100.0 | 40.1 | 22.3 | 10.2 | 3.1 | . 3 | 6.8 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 2.8 | 6.2 | 1.1 | 2.0 | 1.4 | . 3 | 1.7 | (*) |
| \$500-\$749 | 79 | 48 | 3.1 | 100.0 | 34.3 | 14.5 | 9.3 | 4.3 | 2.3 | 11.6 | 3.8 | 1.4 | 3.4 | 7.5 | 1.1 | 1.8 | 1.4 | .3 | 2.7 | 0.3 |
| \$750-\$909 | 50 | 45 | 3. 4 | 100.0 | 36.4 | 9.2 | 8.6 | 3.9 | 3.5 | 11.9 | 4.4 | 1.4 | 2.8 | 7.4 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1. 5 | 1.1 | 4.3 | (*) |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 44 | 29 | 3.0 | 100.0 | 29.9 | 8.2 | 7.2 | 4.4 | 6.0 | 12. 2 | 9.5 | 2.1 | 2.5 | 5. 6 | 2.2 | 2.7 | 1.6 | . 9 | 4.9 | . 1 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 19 | 11 | 3.3 | 100.0 | 28.6 | 3.6 | 4.8 | 5.0 | .7 | 11.4 | 23.4 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 4.1 | 2.4 | 1.9 | 1.4 | 2.7 | 4.6 | 2.7 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 14 | 12 | 3.2 | 100.0 | 28.8 | 9.2 | 8.0 | 5.6 | 1.4 | 12.1 | 12. 1 | . 9 | 2.3 | 6.1 | 1.8 | 1.5 | . 8 | 1.9 | 7.4 | . 1 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 14 | 10 | 4. 1 | 100.0 | 31.1 | 16.3 | 6.8 | 2.4 | 7.6 | 10.4 | 4.5 | .9 | 2.7 | 5.3 | 2.7 | 1.4 | 1.0 | 3.4 | 3.4 | . 1 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 17 | 11 | 3.8 | 100.0 | 29.8 | 9.2 | 7.4 | 4.4 | 2.7 | 12.7 | 6.8 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 5.8 | 2.7 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 3.0 | 9.8 | . 1 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 20 | 16 | 3. 5 | 100.0 | 24.4 | 7.1 | 6.1 | 5.8 | 5.7 | 12.5 | 14.3 | . 5 | 2.1 | 5.2 | 2.7 | 2.3 | 1. 0 | 1.6 | 8.0 | .7 |
| \$2,500 and over | 11 | 5 | 3.9 | 100.0 | 27.4 | 11.9 | 6.0 | 7.2 | 5.3 | 16.3 | 2.8 | 1.0 | 2.6 | 7.9 | 1.8 | 2.3 | 1.1 |  | 6.1 | . 3 |
| Family type: Type I A verage money expenditure in dollars |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250. | 151 | 13 | 2.0 | 193 | 67 | 75 | 19 | 6 | (*) | 9 |  | 1 | 5 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | (*) |
| \$250-\$499.- | 457 | 48 | 2.0 | 361 | 146 | 73 | 41 | 10 | ${ }^{6}$ | 27 | (*) | 1 | 9 | 21 | 2 | 11 | 5 |  | 9 | (*) |
| \$500-\$749 | 451 | 52 | 2.0 | 580 | 220 | 89 | 50 | 16 | 15 | 52 | 18 | 7 | 16 | 45 | 7 | 15 | 5 |  | 23 | 2 |
| \$750-\$999 | 183 | 31 | 2.0 | 762 | 258 | 85 | 66 | 25 | 36 | 89 | 17 | 11 | 22 | 58 | 11 | 20 | 7 | (4) | 51 | 6 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 75 | 26 | 2.0 | 1,033 | 304 | 110 | 78 | 33 | 71 | 121 | 73 | 14 | 28 | 68 | 32 | 28 | 11 | -- | 58 | 4 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 12 | 7 | 2.0 | 1,272 | 336 | 97 | 82 | 56 | 65 | 124 | 261 | 16 | 30 | 89 | 19 | 47 | 13 |  | 37 |  |
| \$1,500-\$2,249 $\ldots . .$. | 7 | 7 | 2.0 | 1,549 | 409 | 148 | 119 | 99 | 42 | 170 | 82 | 28 | 36 | 112 | 65 | 43 | 16 |  | 178 | 2 |
| \$2,250 and over--.--- | 6 | 4 | 2.0 | 1,779 | 513 | 63 | 74 | 78 | 84 | 192 | 288 | 13 | 41 | 90 | 50 | 61 | 19 |  | 213 | -...-- |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | nta | of total | oney | xpen | ures |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under \$250..- | 151 | 13 | 2.0 | 100.0 | 34.7 | 38.9 | 9.9 | 3.1 | (*) | 3.6 |  | 0.5 | 2.6 | 4.1 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 |  | 1.0 | 0.1 |
| \$250-\$499. | 457 | 48 | 2.0 | 100.0 | 40.4 | 20.2 | 11.3 | 2.8 | 1.7 | 7.5 | (\%) | . 3 | 2.5 | 5.8 | $\stackrel{.}{ } .6$ | 3.0 | 1.4 |  | 2.5 | (*) |
| \$500-\$749. | 451 | 52 | 2.0 | 100.0 | 37.9 | 15.3 | 8.6 | 2.8 | 2.6 | 8.9 | 3.1 | 1.2 | 2.8 | 7.8 | 1.2 | 2.6 | 9 |  | 4.0 | . 3 |
| \$750-8999 | 183 | 31 | 2.0 | 100.0 | 33.9 | 11.2 | 8.7 | 3.3 | 4.7 | 11.7 | 2.2 | 1.4 | 2.9 | 7.6 | 1.4 | 2.6 | 9 | (*) | 6.7 | . 8 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 75 | 26 | 2.0 | 100.0 | 29.4 | 10.6 | 7.5 | 3.2 | 6.9 | 11.7 | 7.1 | 1.4 | 2.7 | 6.6 | 3.1 | 2.7 | 1.1 |  | 5.6 | .4 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 12 |  | 2.0 | 100.0 | 26.4 | 7.6 | 6.4 | 4.4 | 5.1 | 9.7 | 20.6 | 1.3 | 2.4 | 7.0 | 1.5 | 3.7 | 1.0 |  | 2.9 |  |
| \$1,500-\$2,249 | 7 | 7 | 2.0 | 100.0 | 26.4 | 9.6 | 7.7 | 6.4 | 2.7 | 11.0 | 5.3 | 1.8 | 2.3 | 7.2 | 4.2 | 2.8 | 1.0 |  | 11.5 | 1 |
| \$2,250 and over.....- | 6 | 4 | 2.0 | 100.0 | 28.8 | 3.5 | 4.1 | 4.4 | 4.7 | 10.8 | 16.2 | . 7 | 2.3 | 5.1 | 2.9 | 3.4 | 1.1 |  |  |  |
| Types II and III |  |  |  | Average money expenditure in dollars |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250........ | 77339 | 10 | 3.5 | 217 | ${ }^{95}$ | 6082 | 25 | ${ }_{11}^{9}$ | 7 | 7 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 6 | 3 | 8 | ${ }_{(*)}^{4}$ |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499. |  | 51 | 3.4 | 379 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 11 | 23 |  |  |  | 2 | 6 |  |
| \$500-8749 | 292 | 44 | 3.3 | 591 | 220 | 83 | 49 | 12 | 18 | 70 | 11 | 5 | 18 | 39 | 19 | 21 | ${ }^{6}$ | 4 | 16 | (*) |
| \$750-\$999 | 130 | 38 | 3.4 <br> 3 | 765 | ${ }_{328}^{267}$ | ${ }_{88}^{111}$ | ${ }_{68}^{64}$ | ${ }_{33}^{22}$ | 33 44 | 98 116 | ${ }_{41}^{12}$ | 6 15 | 23 29 | ${ }_{62} 8$ | ${ }_{23}^{21}$ | ${ }_{24}^{17}$ | 10 13 | 5 7 | 23 <br> 51 | ${ }_{3}^{5}$ |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 44 | 32 | 3.3 | 945 | 328 | 88 | 68 | 33 | 44 | 116 | 41 | 15 | 29 | 62 | 23 | 24 |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 ..... | 19166 | 13125 | $\begin{aligned} & 3.5 \\ & 3.4 \\ & 3.2 \end{aligned}$ | ${ }_{1}^{1,223}$ | 356 | 101131132 |  | 5251112 | 6669226 |  | 113 | 231414 | $\begin{aligned} & 31 \\ & 26 \\ & 39 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 85 \\ & 57 \\ & 56 \end{aligned}$ | 40343161 | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 12 \\ & 31 \end{aligned}$ | 131420 | 777 | $\begin{aligned} & 67 \\ & 82 \\ & 96 \end{aligned}$ | 201 |
| \$1,500-\$2,249......... |  |  |  |  | 390385380 |  | 117136 |  |  | 152155275 | 187301 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$2,250 and over ...... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Types II and III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$200 | 77 | 10 | 3.5 | 100.0 | 43.8 | 27.6 | 11.5 | 4.1 | 0.5 | 3.2 |  | 0.5 | 1.8 | 2.8 | (*) | 2.8 | (*) | 0.5 | 0.9 |  |
| \$250-\$499 | 339 | 51 | 3.4 | 100.0 | 40.6 | 21.6 | 9.8 | 2.9 | 1.8 | 6.9 | 0.8 | . 3 | 2.9 | 6.1 | 0.8 | 2.1 | 1.0 | . 5 | 1.6 | 0.3 |
| \$500-\$749 | 292 | 44 | 3.3 | 100.0 | 37.2 | 14.0 | 8.3 | 2.0 | 3.1 | 11.8 | 1.9 | . 8 | 3.1 | 6.6 | 3.2 | 3.6 | 1.0 | . 7 | 2.7 | (*) |
| \$750-\$999 | 130 | 38 | 3.4 | 100.0 | 34. 9 | 14.5 | 8.4 | 2.9 | 4.3 | 12.8 | 1.5 | . 8 | 3.0 | 6.3 | 2.7 | 2.2 | 1.3 | . 7 | 3.0 | . 7 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249........- | 44 | 32 | 3.3 | 100.0 | 34.7 | 9.3 | 7.2 | 3.5 | 4.6 | 12.3 | 4.4 | 1.6 | 3.1 | 6.6 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 1.4 | . 7 | 5.4 | . 3 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 19 | 13 | 3.5 | 100.0 | 29.1 | 8.3 | 5.7 | 4.2 | 5.4 | 13.0 | 9.2 | 1.9 | 2.5 | 7.0 | 3.3 | 1.6 | 1.1 | . 6 | 5.5 | 1.6 |
| \$1,500-\$2,249 | 16 | 12 | 3.4 | 100.0 | 29.0 | 9.8 | 8.7 | 3.8 | 5.1 | 11.3 | 13.9 | 1.1 | 1.9 | 4.3 | 2.5 | . 9 | 1.0 | . 5 | 6.1 | . 1 |
| \$2,250 and over.....- | 6 | 5 | 3.2 | 100.0 | 20.5 | 7.0 | 7.2 | 6.0 | 12.0 | 14.6 | 16.1 | . 1 | 2.1 | 3.0 | 3.2 | 1.6 | 1.1 | . 4 | 5.1 |  |

*Average amounts of less than $\$ 1$ and percentages of less than 0.1 are not shown.

SOUTHEAST, 2 MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES
Table 2.-Summary of family expenditure: Average money expenditure for specified groups of goods and services, by occupation, family

| Occupational group, family type, andincome class | Number of families |  | Aver- <br> age <br> num- <br> ber of <br> persons <br> per <br> family <br> (4) | Total <br> (5) | Food <br> (6) | Housing <br> (7) | Household operation |  | Furniture and equipment | Cloth ing <br> (11) | Auto-mobile <br> (12) | Other trans-portation | Personal care | Medical care | Recre ation <br> (16) | Tobacco <br> (17) | Reading <br> (18) | For-education | Con-tributions personal taxes | Other items |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\underset{\text { ble }}{\text { Eligi- }}$ <br> (2) | Report penditures |  |  |  |  | Fuel, $\underset{\substack{\text { light } \\ \text { and }}}{ }$ refrig <br> eration | Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1) |  | (3) |  |  |  |  | (8) | (9) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Types IV and V | $\begin{array}{r} 52 \\ 245 \\ 352 \\ 3205 \\ 99 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \\ & 41 \\ & 44 \\ & 53 \\ & 38 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.2 \\ & 4.3 \\ & 4.2 \\ & 4.4 \\ & 4.5 \end{aligned}$ | A verage money expenditure in dollars |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under $\$ 250$ <br> $\$ 250-\$ 499$. <br> \$500-\$749 <br> $\$ 750-\$ 999$ <br> $\$ 1,000-\$ 1,249$ |  |  |  | 269 | 107 | 82 | 18 | 12 | 8 | 11 |  | (*) | 10 | ${ }^{10}$ | 2 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 8 |  |
|  |  |  |  | 399 570 | 157 218 | 88 98 | 36 50 50 | 11 17 | ${ }^{8}$ | 37 67 | 6 | ( 2 | 13 17 | ${ }_{33}^{23}$ | 2 10 | 14 | 4 | 3 4 4 | -8888 |  |
|  |  |  |  | 812 | 293 | 109 | 64 | 21 | 21 | 108 | 8 | 14 | 25 | 47 | 15 | 15 | 8 | 15 | 32 | 17 |
|  |  |  |  | 1,002 | 358 | 102 | 85 | 31 | 43 | 139 | 18 | 20 | 28 | 65 | 22 | 28 | 10 | 12 | 40 |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 $\$ 1,500-\$ 2,249$ \$2,250 and over. | 44221919 | 191412 | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} 4.4 \\ 4.5 \\ 4.4 \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | 1,290 <br> 1,666 <br> 2,007 | 409526523 | 92220219 | 82109127 | 3658139 | 37 <br> 61 <br> 77 |  | 6176129 |  |  | 97 |  |  |  |  |  | 28218 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 204293293 |  | 1617 | 384848 | 105150 | 303038 | 2045 | 162121 | 838030 | 102133 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - Percentage of total money expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} 52 \\ \begin{array}{r} 52 \\ 245 \\ 352 \\ 205 \\ 99 \end{array} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}6 \\ 4 \\ 44 \\ 44 \\ 53 \\ 38 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |  | 100.0 | 39.7 | 30.5 | 6.7 | 4.5 | 3.0 | 4.1 |  | (*) | 3.7 | 3.7 | 0.4 | 1.5 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 0.4 | -- |
| Under $\$ 250$ \$250-\$499 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | --.-- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 |  |  | 4.2 | 100.0 | 38.2 | 15.8 | 8.8 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 11.8 | 1.0 | $\stackrel{.}{ } 9$ | 3.0 | 5.8 | 1.8 | 2.4 | 1.0 | . 7 | 2.4 | 0.4 |
| \$750-\$999 |  |  | 4.4 | 100.0 | 36.1 | 13.4 | 7.9 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 13.3 | 1.0 | 1.7 | 3.1 | 5.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.0 | 1.8 | 4.0 | 2.1 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 |  |  | 4.5 | 100.0 | 35.7 | 10.2 | 8.5 | 3.1 | 4.3 | 13.8 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 2.8 | 6.5 | 2.2 | 2.8 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 4.0 | . 1 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 442219 | 19141212 | 4.44.54.4 | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \\ & 100.0 \\ & 100.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 31.7 \\ & 31.6 \\ & 26.1 \end{aligned}$ | 7.17.1210.910.9 | 6.46.56.3 | 2.83.56.9 | 2.93.73.8 | 16.7 <br> 12.2 | 4.74.54.4 | 1.71.0.9 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.3 \\ & 2.3 \\ & 2.4 \end{aligned}$ | 7.56.37.5 | $\begin{aligned} & 3.0 \\ & 1.8 \\ & 1.9 \end{aligned}$ | 1.91.22.2 | 1.01.01.1 | 4.35.01.5 | $\begin{aligned} & 3.8 \\ & 6.1 \\ & 6.6 \end{aligned}$ | 2.2.1.1 |
| \$1, $200-\$ 2,249$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$2,250 and over- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

*A verage amounts of less than $\$ 1$ and percentages of less than 0.1 are not shown.

## SOUTHEAST, 2 MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES

Table 3.-Food: Average value of all family food, money expenditure for food at home and away from home, average value of food home-produced or received as gift or pay, and money expense per meal per food expenditure unit, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1935-36
[Negro nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]

| Occupational group, family type, and income class | Number of families |  | A verage value of all food | Average expenditure for food purchased |  |  | Percentage of expenditure for food |  | A verage value of home-proor received as gift or pay | Average money expenditure per per food expenditure unit? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eligible | Reporting extures |  | All | $\begin{gathered} \text { At } \\ \text { home } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Away } \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { home } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { At } \\ \text { home } \end{gathered}$ | Away from home |  |  |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) |  |  |
| All families |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250... | 280 | 29 | \$123 | \$82 | \$81 | \$1 | 98.8 | 1.2 | \$41 | \$0.028 |
| \$250-\$499 | 1,041 | 140 | 168 | 151 | 150 | 1 | 99.3 | . 7 | 17 | . 052 |
| \$500-\$749 | 1,095 | 140 | 232 | 219 | 213 | 6 | 97.3 | 2.7 | 13 | . 074 |
| \$750-\$999 | 518 | 122 | 299 | 274 | 264 | 10 | 96.3 | 3.7 | 25 | . 087 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249......- | 218 | 96 | 351 | 333 | 314 | 19 | 94.3 | 5.7 | 18 | . 101 |
| \$1,250-1,499 | 75 | 39 | 426 | 384 | 326 | 58 | 84.9 | 15.1 | 42 | . 099 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 14 | 12 | 421 | 409 | 377 | 32 | 92.2 | 7.8 | 12 | . 119 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 $\ldots$ | 14 | 10 | 504 | 504 | 462 | 42 | 91.7 | 8.3 |  | . 111 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 17 | 11 | 477 | 465 | 448 | 17 | 96.3 | 37 | 12 | . 126 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 20 | 16 | 469 | 457 | 416 | 41 | 91.0 | 9.0 | 12 | . 130 |
| \$2,500 and over...- | 11 | 5 | 581 | 562 | 539 | 23 | 95.9 | 4.1 | 19 | . 155 |
| Occupational group: Wage earner |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250....-...- | 263 | 23 | 123 | 82 | 82 | (*) | 99.6 | 4 | 41 | . 028 |
| \$250-\$499... | 973 | 88 | 169 | 152 | 151 |  | 99.3 | . 7 | 17 | . 052 |
| \$500-8749 -...--...- | 1,016 | 92 | 233 | 221 | 215 | 6 | 97.3 | 2.7 | 12 | . 075 |
| \$750-\$999....-..... | 468 | 77 | 301 | 275 | 266 | 9 | 96.7 | 3.3 | 26 | . 087 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 ....... | 174 | 67 | 359 | 341 | 323 | 18 | 94.7 | 5.3 | 18 | . 102 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 $\ldots . . . .-$ | 56 | 28 | 417 | 372 | 331 | 41 | 89.0 | 11.0 | 45 | . 090 |
| Clerical, business and professional |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250...----- | 17 | 6 | 118 | 84 | 80 | 4 | 95.2 | 4.8 | 34 | . 035 |
| \$250-\$499. | 68 | 52 | 156 | 142 | 141 | 1 | 99.3 | . 7 | 14 | . 054 |
| \$500-\$749 | 79 | 48 | 215 | 192 | 185 | 7 | 96.4 | 3.6 | 23 | . 064 |
| \$750-\$999.... | 50 | 45 | 280 | 269 | 248 | 21 | 92.2 | 7.8 | 11 | . 084 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 ......- | 44 | 29 | 322 | 302 | 280 | 22 | 92.7 | 7.3 | 20 | . 098 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 19 | 11 | 454 | 419 | 310 | 109 | 74.0 | 26.0 | 35 | . 126 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 14 | 12 | 421 | 409 | 377 | 32 | 92.2 | 7.8 | 12 | . 119 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 14 | 10 | 504 | 504 | 462 | 42 | 91.7 | 8.3 |  | . 111 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 17 | 11 | 477 469 | 465 457 | 448 416 | ${ }_{41} 17$ | 96.3 | 3.7 | 12 | ${ }^{126}$ |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 20 | 16 | 469 | 457 | 416 | 41 | 91.0 | 9.0 | 12 | . 130 |
| \$2,500 and over $\ldots$.-. | 11 | 5 | 581 | 562 | 539 | 23 | 95.9 | 4.1 | 19 | . 155 |
| Family type: Type I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250.......- | 151 | 13 | 113 | 67 | 67 |  | 100.0 |  | 46 | . 031 |
| \$250-\$499... | 457 | 48 | 154 | 146 | 144 | 2 | 98.6 | 1.4 | 8 | . 067 |
| \$500-\$749... | 451 | 52 | 234 | 220 | 212 | 8 | 96.4 | 3.6 | 14 | . 099 |
| \$750-\$999 | 183 | 31 | 276 | 258 | 249 | 9 | 96.5 | 3.5 | 18 | 117 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 75 | 26 | 330 | 304 | 280 | 24 | 92.1 | 7.9 | 26 | 137 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499....... | 12 | 7 | 358 | 336 | 304 | 32 | 90.5 | 9.5 | 22 | .165 |
| \$1,500-\$2,249 $\ldots$----- | 7 | 7 | 412 | 409 | 392 | 17 | 95.8 | 4.2 | ${ }^{3}$ | . 186 |

See p. 241 for notes on this table.
*A verage amounts of less than $\$ 1$ and percentages of less than 0.1 are not showd.

SOUTHEAST, 2 MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES
Table 3.-Food: Average value of all family food, money expenditure for food at home and away from home, average value of food home-produced or received as gift or pay, and money expense per meal per food expenditure unit, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Continued


SOUTHEAST, 2 MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES
Table 4.-Housing: Average value of housing secured with and without money expenditure, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1935-36
[Negro nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]

| Occupational group, family type, and income class <br> (1) | Number of families |  |  | Average pense for fuel, light, and refrigeration | Average value housing | A verage value of housing secured ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Eli- } \\ \text { gible } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{c\|} \mathrm{Re} \\ \text { port- } \\ \text { ing ex- } \\ \text { pendi- } \\ \text { tures } \end{array}$ |  |  |  | With money expenditure |  |  | Without money expenditure |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | hous- | ily | hous | Total | Owned home | as pay |  |
|  | (2) | (3) |  |  |  | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) |  |
| All families |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250.. | 280 | 29 | \$111 | \$20 | \$86 | \$72 | \$72 |  | $\$ 14$ | \$9 |  | 16.3 |
| \$250-\$499.. | 1,041 | 140 | 135 | 39 | 94 | 80 |  |  | 14 | 13 | 1 | 14.9 |
| \$500-\$749 | 1,095 | - 140 | 158 | 50 | 105 | 88 | 88 |  | 17 | 17 |  | 16.2 |
| \$750-5999 | 518 | 122 | 198 | 64 | 133 | 101 | 100 | \$1 | 32 | 32 | (*) | 24.1 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 $\ldots$ | 218 | 96 | 254 | 79 | 173 | 102 | 101 | 1 | 71 | 60 | 11 | 41.0 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499.... | 75 | 39 | 271 | 78 | 190 | 95 | 89 | 6 | 95 | 64 | 31 | 50.0 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 14 | 12 | 332 | 114 | 215 | 130 | 127 | 3 | 85 | 67 | 18 | 39.6 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 14 | 10 | 358 | 110 | 248 | 265 | 258 | 7 | $-17$ | -17 |  | -6.8 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 17 | 11 | 351 | 115 | 236 | 143 | 140 | 3 | 93 | 93 |  | 39.4 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 20 | 16 | 465 | 115 | 342 | 133 | 123 | 10 | 209 | 179 | 30 | 61.1 |
| \$2,500 and over- | 11 | 5 | 430 | 123 | 306 | 243 | 240 | 3 | 63 | -2 | 65 | 20.6 |
| Occupational group: Wage earner |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250. | 263 |  | 113 |  |  |  | 72 |  | 16 |  | 6 | 18.2 |
| \$250-\$499 | 973 | 88 | 134 | 39 | 93 | 80 | 80 |  | 13 | 12 | 1 | 14.0 |
| \$500-8749 | 1,016 | 92 | 155 | 50 | 102 | 88 | 88 |  | 14 | 14 |  | 13.7 |
| \$750-\$999 | 468 | 77 | 194 | 64 | 129 | 104 | 103 | 1 | 25 | 25 |  | 19.4 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 174 | 67 | 250 | 81 | 168 | 107 | 106 | 1 | 61 | 60 | 1 | 36.3 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 --- | 56 | 28 | 267 | 81 | 183 | 110 | 104 | 6 | 73 | 73 |  | 39.9 |
| Clerical, business, and professional |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250 | 17 | 6 | 111 | 12 | 82 | 80 | 80 |  | 2 |  | 2 | 2.4 |
| \$250-\$499... | 68 | 52 | 151 | 36 | 112 | 79 | 79 |  | 33 | 30 | 3 | 29.5 |
| \$500-8749 | 79 | 48 | 187 | 52 | 130 | 81 | 81 |  | 49 | 49 |  | 37.7 |
| \$750-\$999 | 50 | 45 | 231 | 64 | 165 | 68 | 65 | 3 | 97 | 92 | 5 | 58.8 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 --- | 44 | 29 | 269 | 72 | 192 | 83 | 79 | 4 | 109 | 58 | 51 | 56.8 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 19 | 11 | 284 | 71 | 212 | 53 | 46 | 7 | 159 | 39 | 120 | 75.0 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 14 | 12 | ${ }^{332}$ | 114 | 215 | 130 | 127 | 3 | 85 | ${ }^{67}$ | 18 | 39.6 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 14 | 10 | 358 | 110 | 248 | 265 | 258 | 7 | -17 | -17 |  | -6.8 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 17 | 11 | 351 | 115 | 236 | 143 | 140 | 3 | 93 | 93 |  | 39.4 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499...- | 20 | 16 | 465 | 115 | 342 | ${ }_{243}^{133}$ | 123 | 10 | 209 | 179 | 30 | ${ }_{20.6}^{61.1}$ |
| \$2,500 and over- | 11 | 5 | 430 | 123 | 306 | 243 | 240 | 3 | 63 | -2 | 65 | 20.6 |
| Family type: Type I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250.. | 151 | 13 | 118 | 19 | 93 | 75 | 75 |  | 18 | 13 | 5 | 19.4 |
| \$250-\$499 | 457 | 48 | 131 | 41 | 89 | 73 | 73 |  | 16 | 14 | 2 | 18.0 |
| 8500-8749 | 451 | 52 | 152 | 50 | 99 | 89 | 89 |  | 10 | 10 |  | 10.1 |
| \$750-\$999 | 183 | 31 | 182 | 66 | 116 | 85 | 84 | 1 | 31 | 30 | 1 | 26.7 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249...- | 75 | 26 | 269 | 78 | 188 | 110 | 109 | 1 | 78 | 58 | 20 | 41.5 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 12 | 7 | 259 | 82 | 176 | 97 | 97 |  | 79 | 54 | 25 | 44.9 |
| \$1,500- \$2,249 | 7 6 | 7 4 | 381 380 | 119 74 | 262 306 | 148 63 | 148 59 | 4 | ${ }_{243}^{114}$ | 114 | 120 | 43.5 |

See p. 241 for notes on this table
*Average amounts of less than $\$ 1$ and percentages of less than 0.1 are not shown.

## SOUTHEAST, 2 MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES

Table 4.-Housing: Average value of housing secured with and without money expenditure, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1935-36-Con.

| Occupational group, family type, and income class <br> (1) | Number of families |  | Aver- <br> age <br> value <br> of all <br> housing <br> plus <br> fuel, <br> light, <br> and re- <br> friger- <br> ation <br> (4) | Average pense for fuel, light, and refrig tion | Aver age value of all housing | A verage value of housing secured |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\underset{\text { gible }}{\text { ELi- }}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { Re- } \\ \text { port- } \\ \text { ing ex. } \\ \text { pendi- } \\ \text { tures } \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ |  |  |  | With money expenditure |  |  | Without money expenditure |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Fam- |  |  |  | Rent |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | hous- | ily | hous- | Total | Owned home | as pay |  |
|  | (2) | (3) |  |  |  | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) |  |
| $\operatorname{Types} I I I^{I I I}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250....- | 77 | 10 | \$108 | \$25 | \$78 | \$60 | \$60 |  | \$18 | \$8 | \$10 | 23.1 |
| \$250-\$499......- | 339 | 51 | 132 | 37 | 91 | 82 | 82 |  |  |  | (*) | 9.9 |
| \$500-\$749 | 292 | 44 | 146 | 49 | 95 | 83 | 83 |  | 12 | 12 |  | 12.6 |
| \$750-\$999 | 130 | 38 | 206 | 64 | 142 | 111 | 111 | (*) | 31 | 31 |  | 21.8 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 44 | 32 | 231 | 68 | 161 | 88 | 86 | \$2 | 73 | 64 | 9 | 45.3 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 19 | 13 | 247 | 70 | 176 | 101 | 101 | (*) | 75 | 49 | 26 | 42.6 |
| \$1,500-\$2,249 | 16 | 12 | 338 | 117 | 221 | 131 | 131 |  | 90 | 78 | 12 | 40.7 |
| \$2,250 and over- | 6 | 5 | 457 | 136 | 319 | 132 | 132 |  | 187 | 187 |  | 58.6 |
| Types IV and V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250 | 52 | A | 102 | 18 | 82 | 82 | 82 |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 | 245 | 41 | 144 | 36 | 106 | 88 | 88 |  | 18 | 18 |  | 17.0 |
| \$500-\$749......-- | 352 | 44 | 173 | 50 | 119 | 90 | 90 |  | 29 | 29 |  | 24.4 |
| \$750-\$999. | 205 | 53 | 209 | 64 | 143 | 109 | 107 | 2 | 34 | 34 |  | 23.8 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 $\ldots$ | 99 | 38 | 253 | 85 | 167 | 102 | 101 | 1 | 65 | 59 | 6 | 38.9 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 44 | 19 | 285 | 82 | 199 | 92 | 82 | 10 | 107 | 73 | 34 | 53.8 |
| \$1,500-\$2,249 | 22 | 14 | 345 | 109 | 234 | 220 | 210 | 10 | 14 | 11 | 3 | 6.0 |
| \$2,250 and over- | 19 | 12 | 475 | 127 | 339 | 219 | 209 | 10 | 120 | 88 | 32 | 35.4 |

[^78]
## SOUTHEAST， 2 MIDDLE－SIZED CITIES

Table 4－A．－Money expenditure for family home by owners and renters， and facilities included in rent for family home：By occupation，family type， and income，in 1 year，1935－36
［Negro nonrelief families including husband and wife，both native born］

| Occupational group，family type，and income class | Number of families |  | Percentage of families 1 |  | A veragemoneyexpense forfamily home |  | Percentage of renters having specified facilities included in rent ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | （2） |  <br> （3） |  | （5） |  |  <br> （7） | $\begin{gathered} \text { 苟 } \\ \text { 呺 } \\ (8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 曾 } \\ & \text { 槵 } \\ & \text { 易 } \\ & \text { (9) } \end{aligned}$ |  <br> （10） | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 喜 } \\ & \text { 答 } \end{aligned}$ <br> （11） |  <br> （12） |  |  <br> （14） |  |
| All families |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \＄250 | 280 | 29 | 9 | 90 | \＄35 | \＄77 |  |  | 7 |  | 82 |  |  | 10 |
| \＄250－\＄499 | 1，041 | 140 | 12 | 88 | 32 | 86 |  | （＊） | 1 | （3） | 85 | （＊） |  | 15 |
| \＄500－8749 | 1，095 | 140 | 18 | 82 | 39 | 99 | ${ }^{*}$＊ | （＊） | 4 | 1 | 83 | （＊） | ${ }^{(*)}$ | 16 |
| \＄750－\＄999 | 518 | 122 | 31 | 67 | 78 | 111 |  |  | ${ }^{6}$ |  | ${ }^{63}$ |  |  | 34 |
| \＄1，000－\＄1，249 | 218 | 96 | 48 | 49 | 85 | 121 | 4 | 3 | 11 | 6 | 75 |  | 1 | 24 |
| \＄1，250－\＄1，499 | 75 | 39 | 48 | 47 | 67 | 122 |  | 9 | 36 | 8 | 52 |  | 4 |  |
| \＄1，500－\＄1，749 | 14 | 12 | 55 | 33 | 141 | 152 | 17 | 25 | 42 |  | 42 |  |  | 17 |
| \＄1，750－\＄1，999 | 14 | 10 | 79 | 21 | 246 | 204 |  |  |  |  | 67 |  |  | 33 |
| \＄2，000－\＄2，249 $\ldots \ldots$ | 17 | 11 | 100 |  | 140 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \＄2，250－\＄2，499 $\ldots$ | 20 | 16 | 81 | 12 | 103 | 312 | 30 | 30 | 60 | 30 | 30 |  | 30 | 40 |
| \＄2，500 and over．－ | 11 | 5 | 82 |  | 294 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Occupational group：Wage earner |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \＄250 | 263 | 23 | 10 | 90 | 35 | 76 |  |  | 8 |  | 82 |  |  | 10 |
| \＄250－\＄499 | 973 | 88 | 11 | 89 | 31 | 86 |  |  |  |  | 86 |  |  | 14 |
| \＄500－\＄749 | 1，016 | 92 | 17 | 83 | 39 | 98 |  |  | 4 | 1 | 84 |  |  | 15 |
| \＄750－\＄999． | 468 | 77 | 26 | 71 | 84 | 111 | 5 |  | 6 |  | 64 |  | 1 | 34 |
| \＄1，000－\＄1，249 | 174 | 67 | 47 | 51 | 87 | 124 |  |  | 9 |  | 78 |  |  | 22 |
| \＄1，250－\＄1，499．．．．．． | 56 | 28 | 55 | 45 | 70 | 150 |  |  | 24 |  | 53 |  |  | 24 |
| Clerical，business， and professional |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \＄250．．－－－－－ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 86 71 |  |  | ${ }_{24}^{14}$ |
| \＄250－\＄499 | 68 79 | 52 48 | 40 | 73 60 | 37 | 95 110 | 4 | 3 4 4 | 14 | 3 <br> 4 | 71 63 | 3 <br> 4 | 3 <br> 4 | ${ }_{34} 24$ |
| \＄750－\＄999－．．．．．．．－－ | 50 | 45 | 72 | 25 | 53 | 110 |  |  | 14 |  | 59 |  |  | ${ }_{32}^{34}$ |
| \＄1，000－\＄1，249－－－－－－ | 44 | 29 | 48 | 40 | 81 | 106 | $22-$ | 16 | 22 | 35 | 59 |  | 8 | 35 |
| \＄1，250－\＄1，499．．．．－ | 19 | 11 | 27 | 52 | 55 | 67 |  | 26 | 62 | 24 | 50 |  | 12 | 24 |
| \＄1，500－\＄1，749 | 14 14 | 12 10 | 55 79 | 33 21 | 141 246 | 152 | 17 | 25 | 42 |  | 42 |  |  | ${ }_{33}^{17}$ |
| \＄1，000－\＄2，249－．－－－－ | 17 | 11 | 100 |  | 140 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \＄2，250－\＄2，499 $\ldots$ | 20 | 16 | 81 | 12 | 103 | 312 | 30 | $3{ }^{-}$ | 60 | $3{ }^{-1}$ | 30 |  | $3{ }^{-1}$ | 40 |
| \＄2，500 and over－－ | 11 | 5 | 82 |  | 294 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Family type： Type $I$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \＄250．．．．．． | 151 | 13 | 11 | 87 | 30 | 82 |  |  | 13 |  | 85 |  |  | 1 |
| \＄250－\＄499 | 457 | 48 | 10 | 90 | 31 | 78 |  |  | 1 | （＊） | 84 | （＊） | （＊） | 16 |
| \＄500－\＄749．．．．．．．．． | 451 | 52 | 17 | 83 | 52 | 96 |  |  | 4 |  | 77 |  |  | 22 |
| \＄750－\＄999 | 183 | 31 | 24 | 69 | 57 | 99 | 8 |  | 1 |  | 51 |  |  | 49 |
| \＄1，000－\＄1，249 ．．．．－ | 75 | 26 | 31 | 64 | 90 | 127 | 7 | 7 | 14 | 10 | 79 |  | 3 | 21 |
| \＄1，250－\＄1，499 | 12 | 7 | 43 | 43 | 69 | 154 |  | 21 | 79 |  | 21 |  |  |  |
| \＄1，500－\＄2，249．．．．．． | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | 100 |  | 14888 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^79]${ }^{*}$ Average amounts of less than $\$ 1$ and percentages of less than 0.1 for expenditures，or less than 1 for pro－ portions of families reporting，are not shown．

SOUTHIEAST， 2 MIDDLE－SIZED CITIES
Table 4－A．－Money expenditure for family home by owners and renters， and facilities included in rent for family home：By occupation，family type， and income，in 1 year，1995－36－Continued

| Occupational group，family type，and income class | Number of families |  | Percentage of families |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Average } \\ \text { money } \\ \text { expense for } \\ \text { family home } \end{gathered}$ |  | Percentage of renters having specified facilities included in rent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 佥 } \\ & \text { 第 } \\ & \text { (2) } \end{aligned}$ |  <br> （3） | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 最 } \\ & \text { E } \\ & \text { (4) } \end{aligned}$ | 辟 品 品 <br> （5） |  <br> （6） |  <br> （7） | 荡 <br> （8） |  <br> （9） |  <br> （10） | 劳 $\overrightarrow{7}$ <br> （11） | 总 <br> （12） | （13） |  <br> （14） |  |
| Types II and III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \＄250．．－ | 77 | 10 | 10 | 90 | \＄47 | \＄02 |  |  |  |  | 76 |  |  | 24 |
| \＄250－\＄499 | 339 | 51 | 8 | 91 | 16 | 89 |  | （＊） | 1 |  | 91 |  |  | 9 |
| \＄500－\＄749 | 292 | 44 | 13 | 87 | 25 | 92 |  |  | 7 | 3 | 86 |  |  | 11 |
| \＄750－\＄999 | 130 | 38 | 25 | 75 | ${ }^{67}$ | 125 |  |  | 14 |  | 67 |  | 4 | 23 |
| \＄1，000－\＄1，249 $\ldots$ | 44 | 32 | 52 | 41 | 75 | 101 | 6 |  | 8 | 12 | 72 |  |  | 22 |
| \＄1，250－\＄1，499 | 19 | 13 | 39 | 61 | 89 | 108 |  |  | 52 | 13 | 63 |  | 13 | 12 |
| \＄1，500－\＄2，249 | 16 | 12 | 60 | 29 | 125 | 186 |  | 50 | 50 |  | 58 |  |  | 17 |
| \＄2，250 and over－－－ | 6 | 5 | 80 | 20 | 60 | 420 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100 |
| Types IV and V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under $\$ 250$ <br> $\$ 250-\$ 499$ | $\begin{array}{r}52 \\ 245 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $4{ }^{6}$ | 19 | 100 81 |  | 82 100 |  |  |  |  | 83 78 |  |  | ${ }_{22}^{17}$ |
| \＄500－\＄749－－－－－．．．－－ | 352 | 44 | 25 | 75 | 35 | 108 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 89 | 1 | 1 | 11 |
| \＄750－\＄999 | 205 | 53 | 40 | 60 | 99 | 113 | 4 |  | 4 |  | 72 |  |  | 28 |
| \＄1，000－\＄1，249．．．．－ | 99 | 38 | 58 | 40 | 87 | 123 |  |  | 10 |  | 72 |  |  | 28 |
| \＄1，250－\＄1，499．．．．－ | 44 | 19 | 53 | 42 | 60 | 118 |  |  | 8 | 8 | 58 |  |  | 42 |
| \＄1，500－\＄2，249 | ${ }_{19}^{22}$ | 14 | 888 | 14 | ${ }_{2}^{205}$ | ${ }_{240}^{136}$ | ${ }_{50}$ |  | 133 |  | 5 |  |  | 33 |
| \＄2，250 and over ．－－ | 19 | 12 | 87 | 6 | 225 | 240 | 50 | 50 | 100 | 50 | 50 |  | 50 |  |

＊A verage amounts of less than $\$ 1$ and percentages of less than 0.1 for expenditures，or less than 1 for pro－ portions of families reporting，are not shown．

## SOUTHEAST, 2 MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES

Table 5.-Household operation: Average money expenditure for groups of items of household operation and percentage distribution of such expenditure, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1935-36
[Negro nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]

| Occupational group, family type, and income class | Number of families |  | Average money expenditure for household operation |  |  |  |  | Percentage of total household operation expenditure |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\underset{\text { ble }}{\text { Eligi- }}$ | Report ing ex-penditures | Total | Fuel, light, and re-rriger-ation | Paid household |  | Other items ${ }^{2}$ | Fuel, light, and re-frigeration ${ }^{1}$ | Paidhouse-holdhelp | Otheritems |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{l\|l} \text { Aver } \\ \text { amou } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|c} \text { gercent- } \\ \text { ge } & \begin{array}{c} \text { age of } \\ \text { afamilies } \\ \text { having } \end{array} \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) |
| All families |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under $\$^{250}$ | 280 | 29 | \$28 | \$20 | (*) | 3 | \$8 | 71.4 | (*) | 28.6 |
| \$250-\$499. | 1,041 | 140 | 49 | 39 |  |  | 10 | 79.6 |  | 20.4 |
| \$500-\$749. | 1,095 | 140 | 65 | 50 | (*) | 1 | 15 | 76.9 | (*) | 23.1 |
| \$750-\$999 | 518 | 122 | 87 | 64 | \$1 | 2 | 22 | 73.6 | 1.1 | 25.3 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 ...........- | 218 | 96 | 111 | 79 | 1 | 6 | 31 | 71.2 | . 9 | 27.9 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499.. | 75 | 39 | 121 | 78 | \% | 11 | 37 | 64.5 | 4.9 | 30.6 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 14 | 12 | 193 | 114 | 27 | 48 | 52 | 59.1 | 14.0 | 26.9 |
| \$1,750-81,999 | 14 | 10 | 149 | 110 | 7 | 14 | 32 | 73.8 | 4.7 | 21.5 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 17 | 11 | 183 | 115 | 5 | 22 | 63 | 62.9 | 2.8 | 34.4 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 20 | 16 | 224 | ${ }^{115}$ | 20 | 24 | 89 | 51.3 | 9.0 | 39.7 |
| \$2,500 and over.......... | 11 | 5 | 271 | 123 | 69 | 64 | 79 | 45.4 | 25.5 | 29.1 |
| Occupational group: Wape earner |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250 | 263 | ${ }_{28}^{23}$ | 29 | 21 | (*) | 3 | 8 | 72.4 | (*) | 27.6 |
| \$250-\$499 | 973 | 88 | 49 | 39 |  |  | 10 | 79.6 |  | 20.4 |
| \$500-8749 | 1,016 | 92 | 64 | 50 | (*) | 1 | 14 | 78.1 | *) | 21.9 |
| \$750-\$999 | 468 | 77 | 86 | 64 |  | 2 | 22 | 74.4 | (*) | 25.6 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 174 | 67 | 110 | 81 | (*) | 4 | ${ }_{32}^{29}$ | 73.6 | (*) | 26.4 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 56 | 28 | 114 | 81 | 1 | 4 | 32 | 71.0 | . 9 | 28.1 |
| Clerical, business, and professional |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250............-- | 17 | - | 16 | 12 |  |  | 4 | 75.0 |  | 25.0 |
| \$250-\$499..............- | 68 | 52 | 47 | 36 |  |  | 11 | 75.0 |  | 25.0 |
| \$500-\$749 | 79 | 48 | 76 | 52 | 1 | 4 | 23 | 68.4 | 1.3 | 30.3 |
| \$750-8999.- | 50 | 45 | 93 | 64 | 1 |  | ${ }^{28}$ | 68.8 | 1.1 | 30.1 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 44 | 29 | 116 | 72 | 6 | 14 | 38 | 62.1 | 5.2 | 32.7 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 19 | 11 | 144 | 71 | 22 | 31 | 51 | 49.3 | 15.3 | 35. 4 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 14 | 12 | 193 | 114 | 27 | 48 | 52 | 59.1 | 14.0 | 26.9 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 14 | 10 | 149 | 110 | 7 | 14 | 32 | 73.8 | 4.7 | 21.5 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 17 | 11 | 183 | 115 | 5 | 22 | 63 | 62.8 | 2.8 | 34. 4 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 20 | 16 | 224 | 115 | 20 | 24 | 89 | 51.3 | 9.0 | 39.7 |
| \$2,500 and over.........- | 11 | 5 | 271 | 123 | 69 | 64 | 79 | 45.4 | 25.5 | 29.1 |
| Famity type: Type I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under $\$ 250$. | 151 | 13 | 25 | 19 |  |  | 0 | 76.0 |  | 24.0 |
| \$250-\$499- | 457 | 48 | 51 | 41 |  |  | 10 | 80.4 |  | 19.6 |
| \$500-\$749 | 451 | 52 | 66 | 50 |  | (*) | 16 | 75.8 | (\%) | 24. 2 |
| \$750-\$999 | 183 | 31 | 91 | 66 | (*) |  | 25 | 72.5 |  | 27.5 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 ............- | 75 | 26 | 111 | 78 | 1 | 10 | 32 | 70.3 | O | 28.8 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499_............ | 12 | 7 | 138 | 82 | 9 | 28 | 47 | 59.4 | 6.5 | 34. 1 |
| \$1,500-\$2,249 ............ | 7 | 7 | 217 | 119 | 28 | 71 | 71 | 54.8 | 12.5 | 32.7 |
| \$2,250 and over- | 6 | 4 | 152 | 74 |  |  | 78 | 48.7 |  | 51.3 |

See p. 242 for notes on this table.
*Average amounts of less than $\$ 1$ and percentages of less than 0.1 are not shown.

## SOUTHEAST, 2 MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES

Table 5.-Household operation: Average money expenditure for groups of items of household operation and percentage distribution of such expenditure, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1935-86-Continued


[^80]
## SOUTHEAST, 2 MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES

Table 6.-Clothing: Average money expenditure for clothing for husband and wife and other family members, and percentage distribution of such expenditure, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1935-36
[Negro nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]


See p. 242 for notes on this table.

SOUTHEAST, 2 MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES
Table 7.-Personal care: Average money expenditure for toilet articles and preparations, and services, and percentage distribution of expenditure, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1935-36.
[Negro nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]

| Occupational group, family type, and income class | Number of families |  | Average money expenditure for personal care |  |  | Percentage of total personal care expenditure for- |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eligible | Reporting ex-penditures | Total | Services ${ }^{1}$ | Toilet articles and prep arations | Services ${ }^{1}$ | Toilet articles and prep arations |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| All families |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250. | 280 | 29 | \$5 | \$3 | \$2 | 60.0 | 40.0 |
| \$250-\$499-- | 1,041 | 140 | 11 | 5 | 6 | 45.5 | 54.5 |
| \$500-\$749. | 1,095 | 140 | 17 | 8 | 9 | 47.1 | 52.9 |
| \$750-\$999. | 518 | 122 | 24 | 12 | 12 | 50.0 | 50.0 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 218 | 96 | 28 | 14 | 14 | 50.0 | 50.0 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 75 | 39 | 30 | 14 | 16 | 46.7 | 53.3 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 14 | 12 | 32 | 12 | 20 | 37.5 | 62.5 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 14 | 10 | 44 | 24 | 20 | 54.5 | 45.5 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 17 | 11 | 25 | 9 | 16 | 36.0 | 64.0 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 20 | 16 | 40 | 17 | 23 | 42.5 | 57.5 |
| \$2,500 and over | 11 | 5 | 54 | 25 | 29 | 46.2 | 53.8 |
| Occupational group: Wage earner |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250................ | 263 | 23 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 50.0 | 50.0 |
| \$250-¢499 | 973 | 88 | 11 | 5 | B | 45. 5 | 54.5 |
| \$500-\$749. | 1,016 | 92 | 16 | 7 | 9 | 43.8 | 56.2 |
| \$750-\$999 | 468 | 77 | 24 | 12 | 12 | 50.0 | 50.0 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 174 | 67 | 29 | 15 | 14 | 51.7 | 48.3 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499.-.-.--- | 56 | 28 | 32 | 15 | 17 | 46.9 | 53.1 |
| Clerical, business, and professional |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250 ........ | 17 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 50.0 | 50.0 |
| \$250-\$499.- | 68 | 52 | 10 | ${ }_{9}$ | ${ }^{5}$ | 50.0 | 50.0 |
| \$500-\$749 | 79 | 48 | 19 | 9 | 10 | 47.4 | 52.6 |
|  | 50 | 45 | $\stackrel{21}{25}$ | ${ }_{1}^{8}$ | 13 14 | 38.1 44 | ${ }_{51.9} 9$ |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 .................... | 44 | 29 | 25 | 11 | 14 | 44.0 | 56.0 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 19 | 11 | 22 | 10 | 12 | 45.5 | 54.5 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 14 | 12 | 32 | 12 | 20 | 37.5 | 62.5 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 14 | 10 | $\stackrel{44}{4}$ | 24 | 20 | 54.5 | 45.5 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 17 | 11 | 25 | ${ }^{9}$ | 16 | 36.0 | 64.0 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 20 | 16 | ${ }_{54}^{40}$ | 17 | ${ }_{20}^{23}$ | 42.5 | 57.5 |
| \$2,500 and over. | 11 | 5 | 54 | 25 | 29 | 46.2 | 53.8 |
| Family type: Type I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250 | 11 | 13 |  | 3 | 2 | 60.0 | 40.0 |
| \$250-\$499 | 457 | 48 | 9 | 4 | 5 | 44.4 | 55.6 |
| \$500-\$749. | 451 | 52 | 16 | 7 | 9 | 43.8 | 56.2 |
| \$750-\$999 | 183 | 31 | 22 | 11 | 11 | 50.0 | 50.0 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 75 | 26 | 28 | 13 | 15 | 46.4 | 53.6 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 12 | 7 | 30 | 13 | 17 | 43.3 | 56.7 |
| \$1,500-\$2,249 | 7 | 7 | 36 | 15 | 21 | 41.7 | 58.3 |
| \$2,250 and over .................- | 6 | 4 | 41 | 14 | 27 | 34.1 | 65.9 |
| Types 11 and III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under\$250.. | 77 | 10 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 50.0 | 50.0 |
| \$250-\$499. | ${ }^{339}$ | 51 | 11 | $\begin{array}{r}5 \\ 8 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | ${ }^{8}$ | 45.5 | 54.5 |
| \$500-\$749.. | 292 | 44 | 18 | 8 | 10 | 44. 4 | 55.6 |
| \$750-\$999 | 130 | 38 | 23 | 10 | 13 | 43.5 | 56.5 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 44 | 32 | 29 | 14 | 15 | 48.3 | 51.7 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 19 | 13 | 31 | 14 | 17 | 45. 2 | 54.8 |
| \$1,500-\$2,249 | 16 | 12 | 26 | 12 | 14 | 46.2 | 53.8 |
| \$2,250 and over.................... | O | 5 | 39 | 18 | 21 | 46.1 | 53.9 |
| Types IV and V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250.. | 52 | 6 | 10 | 4 | 6 | 40.0 | 60.0 |
| \$250-\$499.- | 245 | 41 | 13 | 6 | 7 | 46.2 | 53.8 |
| \$500-8749- | 352 | 44 | 17 | 8 | 9 | 47.1 | 52.9 |
| \$750-\$999. | 205 | 53 | 25 | 12 | 13 | 48.0 | 52.0 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249..................... | 99 | 38 | 28 | 15 | 13 | 53.6 | 46.4 |
|  | 44 | 19 | 29 | 15 | 14 | 51.7 | 48.3 |
| \$1,500-\$2,249 | 22 | 14 | 38 | 16 | 22 | 42.1 | 57.9 |
| \$2,250 and over................. | 19 | 12 | 48 | 22 | 26 | 45.8 | 54.2 |

1 See glossary, appendix B, for items included.

SOUTHEAST, 2 MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES
Table 8.-Automobile operation and purchase: Percentage of families owning and purchasing automobiles, average money expenditure for all families for operation and purchase, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1935-36
[Negro nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]


See p. 242 for notes on this table.
*Average amounts of less than $\$ 1$ and percentages of less than 0.1 for expenditures, or less than 1 for proportions of families reporting, are not shown.

## SOUTHEAST, 2 MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES

Table 9.-Recreation: Average money expenditure for recreation of specified types, by occupation, family type, and income, in 1 year, 1935-96
[Negro nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]


1 See glossary, appendix B, for items included.
*Average amounts of less than $\$ 1$ and percentages of less than 0.1 are not shown.

# Footnotes for Tables in Expenditure Tabular Summary 

Columbia and Mobile (Negro)
TABLE 1
1 See glossary, appendix $B$, for eligibility requirements.
${ }^{2}$ Money income is equal to the sum of money expenditure (column 7) plus net surplus or deflcit (column 8) plus net balancing difference (column 9 ).
${ }^{3}$ Nonmoney income from housing includes imputed income from owned family or vacation homes plus rent received as pay or gift (average amounts based on all families, whether or not they reported such nonmoney income).

4ncludes purchases on cash or credit basis. Does not include money disbursements resulting in an increase in family assets or a decrease in liabilities. (Examples of disbursements not treated as expenditures will be found in the glossary, appendix B.)
${ }^{5}$ See glossary, appendix B, for definitions of surplus and defleit.
${ }^{6}$ Represents the average net difference between reported money receipts and reported money disbursements. See glossary, appendix B. A maximum balancing difference within 5.5 percent was allowable on each schedule.

TABLE 1-A
${ }^{1}$ A surplus represents an increase in assets or a decrease in liabilities, or both; a deficit represents a decrease In assets or an increase in liabilities, or both.
${ }^{2}$ Some families reported neither surplus nor deficit for the year; therefore the sum of columns 5 and 6 does not always equal 100 percent.
' Since the average amoints in these two columns are based on the number of families reporting surplus or deficit, respectively, they do not add to the average net surplus or deficit shown in column 4.

TABLE 2
1 The averages in this table include money expenditure for goods and services purchased on either cash or credit basis. They do not include value of goods and services received without money expense. Averages are based on all families, whether or not they reported expenditures for the specified categories.
${ }^{1}$ Housing expenditures include the money expense of home owners and rent contracted for by renting families for family homes and other housing. The value of fuel, light, and refrigeration is included when furnished by the landlord and included in the rental rate.
${ }^{3}$ Includes all expenditures for operation ànd maintenance (see table 8), and the net purchase price (gross price less trade-in allowance) of automobiles bought during the schedule year. The proportion of automobile expense chargeable to business has been deducted. See glossary, appendix $B$.

- Includes paid admissions, equipment and supplies for games, sports, and other recreation, club dues, and the like. Does not include expense for transportation, food, or lodging while on vacation.
${ }^{5}$ Taxes include only poll, income, and personal property taxes. All other taxes, such as those on real estate, amusements and retail sales taxes, are included as a part of the expenditure for these items. Gifts do not include gifts from one member of the economic family to another.


## TABLE 3

${ }^{1}$ Includes expenditures for board at school, which amounted to less than 5 percent of average food expense for all families except those with incomes of $\$ 1,250$ to $\$ 1,999$ and those at the income level $\$ 2,250$ to $\$ 2,498$. Among families in the clerical, business, and professional categories, it amounted at most to an average of $\$ 74$, at the income level $\$ 1,250$ to $\$ 1,499$. For any group of 3 or more families of types IV and $V$, it amounted at most to $\$ 51$, at the income level $\$ 1,250$ to $\$ 1,499$.
${ }^{3}$ See glossary, appendix B, for method of deriving this figure.

## TABLE 4

1 Average amounts for renting families based on rental rate contracted for. Value of fuel, light, and refrigeration is included when furnished by the landlord and included in the rental rate. See table 4-A for percentage of families for whom these facilities were included as part of the rent.

2 See table 4-A for separation of expense for owning and renting families.
${ }^{3}$ Includes net money expenditure for owned or rented vacation homes, lodging while traveling or on vacation, and room at school.

4 See glossary, appendix B, for method of deriving this figure. Includes nonmoney income from owned vacation homes, which amounted at most to an average of less than $\$ 1$ for all families.
$\delta$ Percentages based on the average value of all housing (column 6).
TABLE 4-A
1 These two percentages do not always add to 100 , since families that both owned and rented during the year, or received rent as gift or pay, are not included in columns 4 through 7.
${ }^{2}$ Percentages based on renting families reporting these facilities included in rent at the end of the schedule year.

TABLE 5
1 Excludes value of fuel, light, and refrigeration furnished by the landlord and included in the rental rate. Fuel received without expense is not included in this average, but amounted to less than 5 percent of the money expense for fuel, light, and refrigeration for families with incomes of $\$ 750$ and over, except those with incomes of $\$ 2,250$ to $\$ 2,499$.
${ }^{2}$ See glossary, appendix B, for items included.
TABLE 6
1 Value of clothing gifts from one family member to another are included in the average expenditure for the member receiving such gifts. Gifts of clothing to or from individuals outside the economic family are excluded.

2 For families of type I, averages and percentages shown in columns 7 and 10 are for individuals who were members of the economic family less than 27 weeks, and were therefore not considered equivalent members in determining family type. See glossary, appendix $B$, for method of classifying families by type.

## TABLE 7

1 See glossary, appendix D, for items included.
TABLE 8
${ }^{1}$ To obtain the average expense of operation for families owning automobiles, divide the average shown in this column by the corresponding figure in column 4 and multiply by 100.

2 To obtain the average net purchase price (gross price less trade-in allowance) for families purchasing automobiles, divide the average shown in this cohumn by the corresponding figure in column 5 and multiply by 100 .

## TABLE 9

1 See glossary, appendix B, for items included.

## Appendix A

## Sampling Procedure in Cities of the Southeastern Region <br> The Controlled Sample

Several considerations prompted the decision to employ a relatively unique sampling procedure in the Study of Consumer Purchases. The Study aimed to describe expenditure patterns of families of different size and composition regardless of their numerical importance in the community as a whole. It was necessary therefore to restrict the number of schedules obtained from the more numerous elements of the population and to secure a disproportionate number from the rarer groups. These controls facilitate the type of analysis which "holds other things equal" while the effect of a given factor is investigated. When an equal number of cases is secured for families of given type, occupation, and income, it is much easier to study changes in expenditure patterns with increase in income, holding occupation and family type relatively constant. In addition, since the collection of statistics on family expenditures is very time-consuming and requires great skill, it was necessary to limit the number of schedules secured to a minimum which would yield reliable generalizations. The present study attempted to throw light on the expenditure patterns of all classes of the population-high income groups as well as low, families deriving their incomes primarily from business and professional occupations as well as the clerical and wage-earner groups.

Still another consideration was the desire to provide results which would be comparable from one city to another and in different regions of the country, minimizing or controlling the differences in racial, nativity, or occupational composition of the population in each place. From the point of view of comparability with future studies, furthermore, the restriction of the Study to an American-born population was deemed advisable since the control of immigration is resulting in a progressively larger proportion of native-born individuals in this country.

A "controlled sample" accompanied by a random sample seemed to meet all of these requirements. ${ }^{1}$ Appendix A of volume I pre-

[^81]sented a detailed description of the sampling procedure used in the study of a random sample of families in five Southeastern cities. The present discussion will be concerned primarily with the controlled sample in the cities of Atlanta, Ga., Columbia, S. C., and Mobile, Ala. ${ }^{2}$

Both the collection and tabulation plans of the Study provided that data on expenditures secured from families in Columbia and Mobile were to be combined to yield a pattern of expenditure for families in middle-sized cities of the Southeastern region which could be compared with the expenditures of families in the large city studied in this region. It was recognized that there would be an insufficient number of families in any one middle-sized city to provide a large enough sample for tabulation by the controls desired, but together the middle-sized cities would have a large enough population to yield the desired quota of families of the type to be studied.

General collection procedure.-Before turning to the method by which the sample was controlled, a brief description of the general sampling and collection procedure of the Study is presented.

The information of the Consumer Purchases Study was secured through interviews of families by field agents who recorded the information given by family members upon schedule forms shown on page $259 .{ }^{3}$ The addresses of families to be interviewed were obtained by a random sampling of the addresses listed in the 1935 city directory for Atlanta and Columbia and the 1936 city directory for Mobile. The first field agent assigned to each family obtained the "record card" information (which related primarily to nativity and number of persons in the family) and if the family proved to contain husband and wife, both native born, who had been married at least a year, a "family schedule" (covering data on family membership composition, occupation, and income) was obtained in the same interview. ${ }^{4}$ The random sample in Atlanta, where an 80-percent coverage was taken, yielded 48,434 families, of which 35,246 were eligible for the family schedule information. The combined family population of Mobile

[^82]and Columbia, as determined by a 100 -percent random sample in each city, was approximately 27,100 ; of these families, 17,177 met the eligibility requirements for the family schedule interview.

When the family schedule was returned to the office, it was edited for completeness and consistency. The total family income was computed and codes for the income, occupation, and family type were placed on the card. If the family proved to belong to the group from which expenditure information was desired (on the basis of the controls described below), a different field agent was assigned to secure such data. The second agent explained to the family the purpose and plan of the expenditure study and then proceeded to obtain the details called for on the "expenditure schedule." (See schedule form, p. 259). In the course of this second interview the field agent also reviewed with the family selected items reported on the family schedule. Each family selected for the controlled sample was asked not only for the expenditure schedule information, but also for the detailed check list data. (Check list forms and check list data will be presented in later bulletins.) In Atlanta, 1,588 expenditure schedules were obtained from native white families and 869 from Negro families. A total of 1,407 white families and 620 Negro families supplied the information on expenditures in Columbia-Mobile. Of the families giving expenditure data in these 3 cities, 3,678 families also gave detailed food check lists and 3,754 reported the furnishings and equipment check list detail-over half of each of these two types of cheok lists were provided by Atlanta's families. In addition, information on the clothing check list was supplied for 10,217 family members in this controlled sample-one-half of these check lists were taken in Atlanta.

When the expenditure schedules and check lists were returned to the office, a careful editing and arithmetic check of the entries took place. The repeated and careful editing of each schedule for reasonableness and internal consistency was of vital importance to the quality of the schedules obtained. This process helped not only to identify schedules that were wholly or partly fictitious, but also to correct errors arising from unintentional mistakes on the part of the family or the interviewer. The complexity of the schedule and the interdependence of many sections made intelligent editing at once vitally necessary and unusually effective for attaining reasonable accuracy in the results.

Every expenditure schedule had to meet certain requirements before it was acceptable for tabulation. The more important of these were:
(1) That the schedule meet certain standards with respect to completeness. Schedules were considered sufficiently complete for tabulation if the total expenses of all major groups of items were reported. Thus, because of the limitation of time and funds toward
the close of the field work, schedules were considered acceptable even though the expenditure for every specific item was not recorded. In general, however, relatively few schedules contained unknown expense items since section totals were usually secured by addition of the expenses for specific items.
(2) That the information given appeared to be reliable. None of the standards for acceptability of expenditure schedules was so construed as to permit the acceptance for tabulation of a schedule which was considered unreliable by either the field agent or the supervisor. A schedule with many unexplained omissions and inconsistencies was withheld from tabulation on the grounds of unreliability, even though, strictly speaking, it fell within the definition of an acceptable schedule.
(3) That the discrepancy between receipts and disbursements did not exceed 5.5 percent. This is referred to as the "balancing difference" and is described in the glossary.
(4) That additional information secured during the expenditure interview did not make the family "ineligible" for an expenditure schedule according to the eligibility requirements shown below.

Schedules which were unacceptable for tabulation were returned to the agent or to a check interviewer who attempted to secure the missing information from the family, or, in the case of too large a balancing difference, an effort was made to determine whether the income or the expenditure data, or both, were in error.

A random sample of no less than 1 out of every 5 of the first expenditure schedules submitted by each agent was checked with the family by a member of the supervisory staff. Later a larger or smaller proportion was checked as conditions warranted, but never less than 1 in 20 schedules was "check interviewed," and fictitious schedules or serious errors were thus caught. The early results of rechecking determined, in the case of each agent, whether his work should be more or less intensively checked thereafter, or whether he should be dismissed. On the whole, the percentage of agents guilty of deliberate falsification was very small.

Controls or eligibility requirements.-Only families having specified characteristics were asked to give information on their expenditures. The characteristics of the families treated as eligible for the controlled sample in the Southeastern region were as follows:

1. Nativity and color.-White families or Negro families in which both the husband and wife were born in continental United States or Alaska.
2. Family composition.-Families in which the husband and wife had been married at least a year and families in which both husband and wife were dependent on a common income for at least 27 weeks of the schedule year. Families of types I to V as defined in the glossary, page 266, except for the intensified Negro sample in Atlanta
where families of types VI and VII were also eligible. Except for Negro families in Atlanta, data secured from family type II were tabulated and analyzed with data from type III; similarly, data for types IV and V were pooled in the computation of averages and percentages.
3. Nonrelief status.-Families not having received relief during the schedule year.
4. Living arrangements.--Families maintaining housekeeping quarters for at least 9 months during the schedule year.
5. Roomers and boarders.-Families not having roomers or boarders, or families having not more than the equivalent of one roomer and/or boarder for the year. (Sons and daughters or other relatives with separate incomes, from whom it was impossible to obtain complete information on expenditures, were treated as boarders or as guests, depending on whether they paid the family for room and board.)
6. Guests.-Families with not more than the equivalent of one guest for half a year ( 26 guest weeks). (If guests lived with the family for 27 weeks or more the family was not asked to give the expenditure data.)
7. Occupational group.-Six occupational groups. Families were classified in the occupational group from which the major part of their earnings was secured. In the collection and tabulation of the data on expenditures, however, no distinction was made between independent professional and independent business groups in the Atlanta native white sample. In the Negro sample in Atlanta and the native white sample in Columbia-Mobile, the salaried business group was not separated from the salaried professional group; also the independent professional group was not separated from the independent business families. The four business and professional groups were not separated from the clerical group in the Negro sample of Colum-bia-Mobile. (See table 1, and glossary, p. 266.)
8. Income class.-In Atlanta, native white families having incomes from $\$ 500$ to over $\$ 7,500$, and native Negro families having incomes from under $\$ 250$ to over $\$ 4,000$. In Columbia-Mobile, native white families having incomes from $\$ 250$ to over $\$ 5,000$, and native Negro families having incomes from under $\$ 250$ to over $\$ 3,500$. The income classes eligible varied with the different occupational groups.

Since families in the business and professional categories were relatively infrequent at the lower income levels and since the same was true of wage-earner and clerical families at the upper levels, it seemed inadvisable to attempt to secure data from the same income classes for each occupational group. Not only would it have been very time-consuming and expensive to locate the infrequent occupational groups at the extremes of the income scale, but expenditure

[^83]data secured from such families would not have been representative of any large group. Among the white families, therefore, in the income level under $\$ 1,250$ in Atlanta and under $\$ 1,000$ in ColumbiaMobile, the expenditure study was limited to families in the wageearner and clerical groups. At the higher income brackets of $\$ 2,500$ and over, the wage-earner and clerical groups were relatively infrequent. Families of business and professional persons, on the other hand, predominated at the highest income levels in the white group, so it was from such occupational groups that the expenditure patterns of relatively high income famlies were secured. Among the Negro families, expenditure data for families having incomes under $\$ 250$ were secured in Atlanta from only the wage-earner group, while at the income brackets of $\$ 1,500$ and over the Study excluded families of wage earners.

The classification of families on the basis of the three controls of income class, occupational group, and family type constitutes what has been referred to in this manuscript as a "cell." Since the number of cases to be secured in each cell was limited to six, ${ }^{5}$ it was of paramount importance to obtain randomness in the selection of families for these "cells." Great care was therefore taken to guard against the introduction of a bias. Even the first small random sample would have yielded all the desired cases for the most frequent population groups. If the cells drawn from the modal population group had been filled from the first sample, they would have been much more homogeneous with respect to the period covered by the data than cells which represent the less frequent population groups, and any greater variability within the latter cells might have been attributed to income, occupation, or family type while it might actually have been due to price changes occurring while the Study was in progress. This possible bias was minimized by the provision that not more than 50 percent of the cases in cells representing the most frequent population groups were to be chosen from any one of the series of random samples secured in the family income survey and that all expenditure schedules which were discarded later because income and expenditures did not balance should be replaced by cases drawn from recent samples. It is recognized, however, that these provisions did not entirely eliminate the bias introduced by the time element.

An effort was made to obtain six families of each occupational group or combination of groups in most cells. The number of families called for by plans which were formulated prior to the field work is shown in table 1. Insofar as practicable, the collection staff attempted to

[^84]secure the number of schedules shown in this plan. In the course of the survey, it was observed that the original plans tended to eliminate too many of the lower income wage-earner and clerical families, so the eligibility requirements were altered so as to include such families. The number of expenditure schedules obtained and used in the tabulations varies somewhat from the number shown in the plans. (See table 1.) The discrepancies may be attributed to several factors-the most important of which was the fact that families of specified types at certain income levels were not sufficiently numerous to yield the desired number of schedules. Furthermore, not all families from which expenditure data were desired contributed the information. Some had moved out of the city, others could not be found at home, while still others were unable or unwilling to give the detailed information requested. Of the schedules which were secured, a number had to be discarded because of inconsistency, incompleteness, or unreliability of the data shown.

Another obstacle to an exact control of the number of schedules in each cell resulted from shifts in the cell classification of families after the detailed interview on expenditure data. The income class in which the family was classified on the basis of the relatively short family schedule interview did not always correspond with the income class in which the family fell on the basis of the interview for expenditures. The shifts arose partly from the fact that the methods of computing income differed slightly for the two schedules, and partly

Table 1.-Number of schedules desired and number obtained in controlled sample
[Nonrelief complete families]

| Income class | Atlanta: Native white sample |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Wage earner |  | Clerical |  | Salaried |  |  |  | Independent professional and business |  |
|  |  |  | Professional | Business |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { De- } \\ & \text { sired } \end{aligned}$ | Obtained |
|  | Desired 1 | Obtained |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { De- } \\ & \text { sired } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Obtained | Desired 1 | Obtained | Desired 1 | Obtained |
| Under $\$ 250$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 |  | 26 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$999 | 30 | 41 |  | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 30 | 46 | 30 | 39 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 30 | 47 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 21 | 30 | 28 | 30 | 33 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 30 | 35 | 30 | 43 | 30 | 29 | 30 | 26 | 30 | 29 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 30 | 45 | 30 | 36 | 30 | 26 | 30 | 32 | 30 | 37 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 30 | 33 | 30 | 36 | 30 | 33 | 30 | 33 | 30 | 26 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 34 | 30 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 30 | 27 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 30 | 38 | 30 | 32 | 30 | 37 | 30 | 39 | 30 | 45 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 |  |  |  |  | 30 | 33 | 30 | 31 | 30 | 32 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 |  |  |  | ---.-.--- | 15 | 21 | 15 | 28 | 30 | 35 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 |  |  |  |  | 15 | 30 | 15 | 30 | 30 | 43 |
| \$5,000-\$7,499 |  |  |  |  | 15 | 19 | 15 | 22 | 30 | 33 |
| \$7,500 and over.- |  |  |  |  | 15 | 12 | 15 | 13 | 30 | 24 |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 1.-Number of schedules desired and number obtained in controlled sampleContinued


1 Equally distributed among 5 family types. See p. 266 for description of types.
${ }_{2}$ Equally divided between the 2 cities and distributed among 5 family types. See p. 266 for description of types.
${ }^{3}$ Equally distributed among 7 family types. See p. 266 for description of types.
${ }^{4}$ Equally distributed among 5 family types. See p. 266 for description of types.
\& 140 cases.
${ }^{6} 180$ cases.
from the fact that sources of income which the family had forgotten to mention to the agent obtaining the family schedule data occasionally came to light in the course of the long interview in connection with the discussion of expenditures. ${ }^{6}$

[^85]Altogether 17 percent of the Columbia-Mobile families giving expenditure data and 18 percent of the Atlanta Negroes ${ }^{7}$ fell into a different income class when classified according to the method used and information obtained in the long interview as compared with the short interview. In general, the shifts were compensating. A little more than half of the shifts in Columbia-Mobile were to higher income classes, while correspondingly slightly less than half were to lower income brackets. Almost two-thirds of the shifts in the Atlanta Negro sample, however, were to higher income classes. Approximately seven-tenths of the shifts in cell for ColumbiaMobile and for Atlanta Negro schedules involved changes of only one income interval-to the next higher or lower income class.

Changes in the family type classification also resulted from the longer interview-largely because of differences in the definition of the economic family. Whereas all related persons living under the same roof, or eating at least two meals daily with the family, whose income could be ascertained were included in coding the family type for purposes of the family schedule tabulation, only persons dependent on a common family fund whose expenditures could also be ascertained were regarded as members of the economic family in the controlled sample. The discrepancies in the codes resulting from these differences, however, were negligible; less than 1 percent of all Columbia-Mobile families from which expenditure data were secured and less than 2 percent of the Atlanta Negro families were classified in a different family type by the two procedures.

The shifts in occupational code resulting from the longer interview also were relatively infrequent-1 percent or less of all ColumbiaMobile families and Atlanta Negro families in the controlled sample were classified differently on the basis of the long and short interviews.

Aside from the effect of discrepancies arising from different definnitions of the economic family, the longer interview brought to light

[^86]additional facts concerning the net earnings of various family members. Detailed information on automobile expenditure, for example, occasionally revealed a different and more accurate figure for occupational expenses than that which had been deducted when reporting the net earnings of family members in the family schedule interview.

The above discussion of changes in cell code has been presented primarily to give the reader some insight into the type of problems involved in obtaining equal numbers of cases in each cell in the controlled sample. ${ }^{8}$ Since the final classification of families into family type, income, and occupational group was prepared during the final office edit of an expenditure schedule, it was inevitable that changes would tend to increase the number of schedules in some cells and decrease others.

Weighting the controlled sample.-Having limited the number of schedules obtained from families in different income, occupational, or family type groups, it is obviously impossible to treat the results as though they comprised a random sample and constituted a proportional cross section of each of the elements of the population. It is not valid, for example, to add together the expenditures of an equal number of wage earners, clerical, and business and professional workers at the $\$ 1,250$ to $\$ 1,500$ income level and assume that the resulting figure represents the expenditures of families as a whole in this income bracket. Such an assumption would be justifiable only if (a) the expenditures of the different occupational groups were identical within an income class or if (b) the random sample contained an equal number of families in each occupational group at the given income class. Since one of the purposes of the present study was to discover whatever variations there may be in the expenditure patterns of families in different occupational groups, the first assumption was obviously precluded. As for the second assumption, the random sample analyses have revealed great differences in the proportions of families in the various occupational groups, so simple summation of the expenditures of the several groups will not yield an accurate picture of family disbursements. Family types or income brackets also should not be combined without first taking into account the number of cases in the random sample of eligible families.

All expenditure averages and percentages of families reporting specified expenditures shown in the Tabular Summary and text tables are weighted by the frequency of eligible families in the con-

[^87]stituent groups. ${ }^{9}$ Thus, the figures for each occupational group at each income level were derived by weighting or multiplying the averages for the constituent family type groups by the number of eligible cases in each. Similarly the averages for each family type have been weighted by the frequency of the different occupational groups in given family types. Furthermore, the averages for each income bracket have been built up by weighting the occupational averages which in turn have been weighted by the family type averages. If the reader is interested in further combinations of data by income level, he should multiply the averages shown for such income levels by the number of eligible families in each. The weights for each income class are shown in column 5 of tables 2a-d. These tables also enable the reader to compare the eligible sample of native complete families with the random sample of all native complete families.

Table 2a.-Income distribution of families in Atlanta, Ga., showing relation of controlled sample of white families to random samples ${ }^{1}$

| Income class | All families, ${ }^{2}$ relief and nonrelief | All native white families, relief and nonrelief | Native white complete families |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | All |  | Eligible for controlled Sample, ${ }^{3}$ nonrelief <br> (5) | Controlled sample, 4 nonrelief <br> (6) |
|  |  |  | Relief and nonrelief | Nonrelief |  |  |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |  |  |
| Under \$250 | 6,812 | 1,928 | 782 | 145 |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 | 10,326 | 3,299 | 1,877 | 595 |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 9,125 | 4,425 | 2,726 | 1,666 | 608 | 26 |
| \$750-\$999 | 6,941 | 3,996 | 2,850 | 2,229 | 1,154 | 70 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 5,827 | 4,251 | 3,066 | 2, 714 | 1,392 | 85 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 4,405 | 3,368 | 2,657 | 2, 485 | 1,512 | 159 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 4,343 | 3, 632 | 3, 039 | 2,901 | 1,836 | 162 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 3, 600 | 3, 304 | 2,988 | 2,940 | 1,924 | 176 |
| \$2,000 \$2,249 | 3, 272 | 2,949 | 2, 514 | 2,475 | 1,636 | 161 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 2,894 | 2, 672 | 2, 158 | 2, 133 | 1,408 | 151 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 3,424 | 3, 163 | 2, 808 | $\stackrel{2}{2} 790$ | 1, 818 | 191 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 2,227 | 2,057 | 1,820 | 1,813 | 538 | 96 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 1,649 | 1,553 | 1,356 | 1,347 | 492 | 84 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999.. | 1,498 | 1,388 | 1,191 | 1, 191 | 466 | 103 |
| \$5,000 and over. | 1,389 | 1,205 | 1,087 | 1,087 | 610 | 123 |
| Total | 67, 732 | 43,190 | 32, 919 | 28,511 | ------- |  |

[^88][^89]Table 2b.-Income distribution of families in Atlanta, Ga., showing relation of controlled sample of Negro families to random samples ${ }^{1}$

| Income class | All families, ${ }^{2}$ relief and nonrelief | All Negro families, relief and nonrelief | Negro complete families |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | All |  | Eligible for controlled sample, ${ }^{3}$ nonrelief <br> (5) | Controlled sample, ${ }^{4}$ nonrelief <br> (6) |
|  |  |  | Relief and nonrelief | Nonrelief |  |  |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |  |  |
| Under \$250. | 6,812 | 4,842 | 1,074 | 298 | 182 | 24 |
| \$250-\$489 | 10,326 | 6,985 | 3,493 | 1,336 | 980 | 134 |
| \$500-\$749 | 9, 125 | 4,489 | 3,156 | 2,470 | 1,926 | 194 |
| \$750-\$999 | 6,941 | 2,818 | 2,222 | 1,974 | 1,508 | 161 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 5, 827 | 1,365 | 1,043 | 923 | 698 | 120 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 4,405 | 783 | 553 | 499 | 376 | 99 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 4,343 | 415 | 323 | 289 | 62 | 26 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 3,600 | 169 | 169 | 149 | 64 | 25 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 3,272 | 154 | 154 | 147 | 56 | 22 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 2, 894 | 95 | 95 | 86 | 60 | 24 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 3,424 | 92 | 92 | 88 | 36 | 17 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499. | 2,227 | 43 | 43 | 43 | 26 | 9 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 1,649 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 10 | 5 |
| \$4,000 and over | 2,887 | 41 | 41 | 41 | 20 | 9 |
| Total. | 67, 732 | 22, 302 | 12, 469 | 8,354 |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ Figures in columns 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 represent the estimated number in a 100 -percent coverage of the city ${ }^{2}$ Estimated from samples. Includes all complete and incomplete native, foreign white, and Negro families. (See vol. I, Tabular Summary, sec. A.)
${ }^{3}$ These figures are the weights for the controlled sample. Due to cell shifts (see discussion, p. 249) the number of eligible families used in weighting differs slightly from the number of eligible families obtained on the basis of the family schedule interview. (For occupational groups and family types, see p. 246.)
${ }_{4}$ Unweighted count of the number of expenditure schedules secured. (For occupational groups and family types, see p. 246.)

Table 2c.-Income distribution of families in middle-sized cities ${ }^{1}$ of the Southeastern region, showing relation of controlled sample of white families to random samples

| Income class | All families, ${ }^{2}$ relief and nonrelief | All native white families, relief and nonrelief | Native white complete families |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | All |  | Eligible for controlled sample, ${ }^{3}$ nonrelief | Controlled sample, ${ }^{4}$ nonrelief |
|  |  |  | Relief and nonrelief | Nonrelief |  |  |
| Under \$250. | 3, 286 | 557 | 171 | 61 |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 | 5,552 | 1,299 | 642 | 307 | 96 | 28 |
| \$500-\$749 | 3,841 | 1,599 | 956 | 699 | 356 | 62 |
| \$750-\$999 | 2,570 | 1,537 | 1, 268 | 1,144 | 623 | 89 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 1,957 | 1,462 | 1, 158 | 1,100 | 705 | 148 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 1, 525 | 1,277 | 955 | 913 | 579 | 151 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 1,625 | 1,380 | 1, 041 | 1,016 | 679 | 159 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 1,437 | 1,321 | 999 | 977 | 652 | 163 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 983 | 904 | 719 | 708 | 447 | 139 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 814 | 730 | 662 | 655 | 440 | 137 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 1. 048 | 981 | 896 | 883 | 253 | 83 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 829 | 796 | 609 | 607 | 222 | 68 |
| $\$ 3,500-\$ 3,999$ | 464 | 424 | 390 | 387 | 178 | 71 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 544 | 484 | 400 | 397 | 178 | 61 |
| \$5,000 and over.- | 646 | 579 | 443 | 443 | 261 | 48 |
| Total. | 27. 121 | 15,330 | 11,309 | 10,297 | ---------- | -- |

[^90]Table 2d.-Income distribution of families in middle-sized cities ${ }^{1}$ of the Southeastern region, showing relation of controlled sample of Negro families to random samples

| Income class | All families, ${ }^{2}$ relief and nonrelief | All Negro families, relief and nonrelief | Negro complete familie |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | All |  | Eligible for controlled sample, ${ }^{3}$ nonrelief | Controlled sample, ${ }^{4}$ nonrelief |
|  |  |  | Relief and nonrelief | Nonrelief |  |  |
| Under \$250. | 3, 286 | 2,682 | 720 | 402 | 280 | 29 |
| \$250-\$499. | 5,552 | 4,182 | 2,380 | 1,480 | 1,041 | 140 |
| \$500-\$749. | 3,841 | 2,163 | 1,743 | 1,606 | 1,095 | 140 |
| \$750-\$999 | 2,570 | 962 | 805 | 779 | 518 | 122 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 1,957 | 440 | 361 | 339 | 218 | 96 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 1,525 | 161 | 135 | 137 | 75 | 39 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 1,625 | 111 | 85 | 83 | 14 | 12 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 1,437 | 68 | 55 | 53 | 14 | 10 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 983 | 47 | 47 | 47 | 17 | 11 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 814 | 44 | 44 | 44 | 20 | 16 |
| \$2,500 and over. | 3,531 | 36 | 36 | 35 | 11 | 5 |
| Total. | 27, 121 | 10,896 | 6, 411 | 5, 005 |  | - |

[^91]While it is possible to make an estimate of the total consumption of families in these Southeastern cities by income levels from the expenditure data and the income distribution shown in column 1 of table 2, such an estimate presupposes that expenditures of foreign families, incomplete families, and families securing relief are like those of the nonrelief native complete families surveyed in this study. The Bureau of Labor Statistics is making a comparison of the expenditures of families with native and foreign-born homemakers in the wageearner and clerical groups having comparable incomes and family composition. Preliminary results do not indicate significant differences in expenditures for groups of items by the two nativity groups. As yet, no data are available on the comparison of expenditures of the incomplete and complete families.
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## Facsimile of Expenditure Schedule


U. S. DEPARTMENT OF LABOR BUREAU OF LABOR STATIETICS in cocrenation with NATIONAL RESOURCES COMMITTEE WORES PROGRESS ADMINISTRATION DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE WASHINGTON

STUDY OF
CONSUMER PURCHASES
A Federal Works Project expendture schedule-vrian

Code No. $\qquad$
8chedule No.
City
C. T. or E. D.

Agent
Date of interview 1936


(3)

| IYI. USUAL FOOD EXPENSE DURING EACH SEASON OF SCHEDULE YEAR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | Latest mesoan of vers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| jood at mome |  |  | Esrliser mamens |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Moathe_--.-......- |  |  |  | Montha_ _--.----1 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Per wouk |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Per wook | Prem month |  | Par mok | Prementh | Pex mosta | Pec wook | Pex month | Per mook | Par moath |
| Food expense at- <br> 1. Grocery or general atore (excluding soap, matches, eto.) | \$.-_----- | 8.-..-- | 8.2.-L | \$...-.-. | 8-1.-- | \$........- | \$...an | \$...----- | \$...-- | 8.-_- |
| 2. Meat and fish market |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. Vegetable sad frult market or wagon $\qquad$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Additional expense for food at home- <br> 6. Iee cream, candy $\qquad$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7. Soft drinks, beer, etc.__-_- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8. Other food st home. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - Total for week or | $\cdots$ | - |  | -1.en | - | …ㅈ․… | 프․․․ | - | --- | $\cdots$ |
| 10. Total for beeson --- |  |  | - |  |  |  | - |  | Pec wreek | Per month |
| FOOD AWAT FROM HOMA (Exceludiag meald while aryay at sehool, snd meals carried trom home) | Par wetk | Por monts | Parmok | Pere moanth | Per mook | Par moonth | $\xrightarrow{\text { Per wook }}$ | Par mooth |  |  |
| Expense for- <br> 11. Meals at work |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12. Lunches at achool. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13. Meala while traveling or on vacation. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | -------- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15. Lunchen | ---7-* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16. Dinpera |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17. Ice cresm, candy .-............. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18. Solt drinks, beer, eto |  |  | $\ldots$ |  |  |  | -1....... |  |  |  |
| 19. Toral for week or <br> 20. Total for seabon. $\qquad$ | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL Yood expenee durina achedile tean |  |  |  |  | FOOD BAIBED AT HOME OR RECEIVED AS GIFT OR PAT dubing bchedtle teak |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $\$$ $\qquad$ |  | Money value of food- <br> 24. Raised for family's own use. <br> 25. Received as gift or pay $\qquad$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22. Food away from bome (item 20) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23. Total | --.....- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 25. Received as gift or pay <br> 26. <br> Total |  |  |  |  |  |



[^92]|  |  |  | EIpense tor year |  |  |  |  | Exponeo for |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Intereat on debts incurred for family living other than mortgage on owned home <br> 2. Did family have checking account of any time during sohedule year? Yes口 No $\square$ |  |  | - | 5. Loss, other than business lose $\qquad$ <br> 6. Funeral, cemetery $\qquad$ <br> 7. Other $\qquad$ <br> 8. Total (1-7) $\qquad$ |  |  |  |  |
| XXIV. CHANGES IN PAMILY ASSETS AND LIABILITIES DURING SCERBDULE YEAR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CHANOES IN PROPERTY OUNED BY FAMILY AND AMOUNTS DOE PAMLLY |  |  |  | CHANGES in debts owid by family |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | B |  | $\bigcirc$ |  | D | E |  | \% |
| .Money, stocks, real estate, other semels | Changes in aseets during sctedulo gear |  |  | Lhanitite |  | Changes in libbillties during solededile year |  |  |
|  | Net amount of iccrease | Notam | count ol Cocrease |  |  | Not amount of therrace | Net | nount of decreas |
| 1. Money in mavings accounta | \$ | \$-------------- |  | 21. Mortgagen on owned home $\qquad$ <br> 22. Mortgages on other roal eatate- $\qquad$ <br> 23. Notes due to bankr, insurance companies, small loan companies. $\qquad$ |  | \$ | \$ ------------- |  |
| 2. In checking accounta |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. On haud. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. Investments in busivese. |  |  |  |  |  | 24. Notes due to individuals. $\qquad$ <br> 25. Beck rente (due before schedule year) $\qquad$ |  | 11181318 |  |  |
| 5. Real estate: Purchased. | x $\times$ x $\times$ x $\times$ x | xxixaxix |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6. Sold.-.- |  |  |  | 26. Rente due in schedule year, unpaid. |  |  |  |  |
| 7. Stocke and bonda: Purchased |  | XXIXXXXX |  |  |  |  | 27. Back tares (due before schedule year) <br> 28. Taxes due in achedule year, unpaid. |  |  |  |
| 8.8 Sold |  |  |  |  |  | 1×x $\times$ x |  |  |  |
| 9. Other property: Purchmeed. |  | \12xixix |  | 29. Charge accounts due $\qquad$ <br> 30. Other bills due $\qquad$ |  |  |  |  |
| 10. Sold |  | ------7-1... |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11. Improvements on owned home. |  |  |  | 30. Other bills due- <br> 31. Payments on installment purchases made prior to schedule year (specify goods purchased): <br> (a) |  |  |  |  |
| 12. Improvements on other real estate <br> 13. Insurance premiums paid (life, endowment, annuity) |  |  |  | (b) $\qquad$ <br> (c) $\qquad$ <br> 32. Balance due on installment purchases made in schedule year (specify goods purchased): <br> (a) |  |  |  |  |
| 14. Frequency of payment. |  | $\times \times \times \times \times \times \times \times$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15. Insurance policies surrendered.-.- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16. Insurance policies settied. <br> 17. Loans made by family to othera during schedule year (balance not repaid) |  | $x \times x \times 1 \times x \times$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18. Repaymenta to family on loans made | $x x_{x x y x x}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | x $\times 1 \times 15$ |
| 10. All other (specify).- |  |  |  | 33. All other (epecify). |  |  |  |  |  |
| $20 . T$ Tотли (1-19).. |  |  |  | 34. | Total (21-33). |  |  |  |

## Classifications and Definitions of Terms Used in Text and Tabular Summary

The following glossary of terms is limited to those classifications and definitions needing explanation for the interpretation of the tabular and textual material on the summary of expenditures. Later publications, presenting more detailed data in particular fields of consumption, will contain further definitions. Items appearing on the expenditure schedule and on the expenditure summary which are not discussed in the present volume are omitted from the glossary.

Any system of classifying goods and services necessarily has certain limitations and may not meet the needs of all groups or agencies which utilize the data. The classification adopted for the Study of Consumer Purchases is in substance one that has been found useful in other studies and which thus has the advantage of yielding comparable data. Since the uses to which specific goods may be put by consumers vary considerably from family to family and even within the family circle, depending upon a multiplicity of factors, the decision to classify commodities in one category rather than another were necessarily arbitrary. The classifications determined upon have, however, been applied consistently throughout the tabulations.

The expenditures of each family during the report year have been classified under 16 major groups of goods and services, as presented in table 2 of the Tabular Summary. This classification has been used over a period of years in similar studies of family living by such agencies as the Bureau of Labor Statistics of the Department of Labor and the Bureau of Home Economics of the Department of Agriculture.

Specific definitions of terms should be prefaced by the explanation that, whenever a sales tax was in force in a particular community during the period covered by the Study, the estimated total amount of sales tax paid for each taxable item appearing on the schedule was added to the total expense for the item.

Family.-For purposes of the Study of Consumer Purchases, an economic family was defined as a group of persons belonging to the same household and dependent upon a common income. ${ }^{1}$ Expenditure data were secured only from families including both a husband and a wife.

[^93]Family type.-Families were classified, according to the number and age of members, in one of seven types, as follows: ${ }^{2}$

```
Type
    I No other persons (families of two).
    II One child under 16 (families of three).
    III Two children under 16 (families of four).
    IV One person 16 or over and one or no other person, regardless
        of age (families of three or four).
    V One child under 16, one person 16 or over, and one or two
        others, regardless of age (families of five or six).
    VI Three or four children under 16 (families of five or six).
VII One child under 16, and 4 or 5 others, regardless of age (fami-
        lies of seven or eight).
```

The above family types are based upon the equivalent number of persons under 16 years of age and the equivalent number 16 years or over in the economic family during the year. By the use of a conversion table the number of weeks of membership of persons in the economic family for only a portion of the schedule year is expressed in terms of equivalent members. If the economic family contained, in addition to the married couple, only one person who was a member for 26 weeks or less, he was not regarded as an equivalent member; had he been in the family for 27 weeks he would have been classified as one equivalent member. If two persons, both of whom were under 16 years, were members of the economic family for a total of from 27 weeks through 78 weeks, together they counted as one equivalent member; had they been members for a total of from 79 through 130 weeks, they would have been counted as two equivalent members. The same method of computation applied to persons 16 and over. If, however, the family contained one person 16 years of age or over and one child under 16 years, each for less than 27 weeks, neither would be counted as a member of the economic family, although the period of membership for the two together equalled more than 26 weeks.

Occupational group.-Families were also classified in one of seven groups: Wage earner, clerical, independent business, independent professional, salaried business, salaried professional, and families with no gainfully employed members. ${ }^{3}$ In general, the wage-earner classification included all types of skilled, semiskilled, and unskilled manual jobs which are usually paid by the hour, day, or week, rather than on a monthly or annual salary basis. In the clerical classification were grouped store clerks and salesmen working for others, as well as office workers. Professional, semiprofessional, and technical workers were included in the independent professional group when employed on

[^94]their own account, and in the salaried professional group when they were employed by others on a salary basis. Persons classified in the independent business group were entrepreneurs owning and operating businesses of any type. Also classified in the independent business category were families which derived the major portion of their earned income from roomers and boarders. The salaried business category consisted mainly of salaried managers and officials; chief officers of corporations drawing salaries, as well as minor executives, were thus classified in the salaried business group, even though some owned controlling interest in the business. The seventh category consisted of families that had no earnings from an occupation, whether due to retirement, receipt of a pension, unemployment, or other causes.

The occupational classification of a family was determined by the occupational group from which it derived the major portion of its earnings during the report year, whether that portion was contributed by one or more family members. ${ }^{4}$

Income.-The total income by which the family was classified included money income (derived from earnings and other sources such as interest, dividends, pensions, etc.), and in addition, nonmoney income from housing (for owners, the difference between rental value of the home and current expense for interest, repairs, mortgages, and the like; for renters, the value of rent, received as a gift or pay).

To arrive at the figure for earnings from gainful occupations of family members (wages, salaries, profits, and other withdrawals from business for family use, tips, commissions, and bonuses), occupational expenses were deducted. Similarly, the figures for earned income not attributable to individual members (i. e., income from family enterprises such as the keeping of roomers and boarders or casual work in the home) represented net rather than gross income from such sources. Items classified as nonearned money income were: Interest and dividends; rents from property; pensions, annuities and benefits; gifts in cash, etc. ${ }^{5}$

Adjusted family income, presented in chapters I and IX, consists of total income plus the value of food and fuel obtained without money expense.

Expenditures.-Money expenditures include all money expenses incurred during the report year for current family living, whether or not the full amount was paid during the year. ${ }^{6}$ Balances remaining unpaid at the end of the year were handled as increases in liabilities. Total expenditures include money expenditures and the value of

[^95]food and fuel obtained without money expense. Total expenditures are thus synonymous with "money value of current family living" defined below.

Value of family living.-The money value of current family living consisted of money expenditures for current living, and the value of housing, food, and fuel obtained without direct money expense. The value of housing included the imputed net income from owned family and vacation homes and the rental value of housing received as gift or pay. (See below under nonmoney income from housing.)

Surplus or deficit.-The difference between the family's total money income for the year and its total money expenditure was, if a positive sum, a surplus, or, if a negative sum, a deficit. This surplus or deficit was accounted for by one or more of a series of changes in assets and liabilities, described below.

Receipts.-The term receipts has been used to designate current money income plus funds made available through liquidation of assets or through credit.

Disbursements.-The term disbursements has been used to designate money expenditures for current family living plus money used to decrease debts incurred before the beginning of the report year or to increase assets.

Balancing difference.-Due to the difficulty experienced by families, few of which kept detailed records, in accounting in toto for receipts and disbursements, a margin of tolerance was set up for discrepancies between the two. If the difference amounted to less than 5.5 percent of receipts or disbursements, whichever was larger, the schedule was tabulated, this amount being carried as a balancing difference. If the discrepancy was 5.5 or larger, the schedule was discarded. In cases where disbursements exceeded receipts, as accounted for by the family, the balancing difference was negative; if receipts exceeded disbursements, the difference was positive.

## FOOD

Included here were all family expenses for food, together with expenditure for such items as ice cream, candy, soft drinks, beer, and alcoholic beverages. Cod-liver and haliver oil were also considered food.

Nonfood articles which may be bought in grocery stores, such as cleaning supplies, matches, soap, tobacco, and food for pets were excluded from this category.

Food at home.-A distinction was made between food purchased to be prepared at home and food purchased and eaten away from home. In the former category was included the cost of any food prepared at home but eaten away from home, such as home-prepared lunches for work, school, or picnics. Cost of articles such as coffee, milk, or
other food, bought at work or school to supplement the home prepared lunches, was classified with expense for food away from home.

Food purchased to be prepared in a vacation home occupied by the family was classified as expense for food at home.

The amount spent for food served to boarders was derived through use of the average expense per meal per equivalent adult (explained below), and was deducted from total expense for food at home, so that the figures shown in table 2 , column 6 , and table 3 of the Tabular Summary represent net family expense.

Food away from home.-Included here was expense for meals at work and at school (except for food carried from home), including board at school, meals while traveling or on vacation (except for food prepared in a vacation home), meals purchased on a business trip for which there was no reimbursement by an employer, other meals eaten out, and ice cream and candy, soft drinks, and alcoholic beverages consumed away from home.

Expense for food away from home necessarily included in many cases some expense for service and entertainment as well as food costs proper.

Value of food home-produced or received as a gift or pay.-A value was placed on all food which was raised for family consumption or given to the family as a gift or in lieu of cash payment for services. All such food was evaluated at the retail prices prevailing in the community. No deductions from the evaluation of home-produced food were made for the cost of seeds and implements or for the value or cost of labor.

The money value of food received as gift or pay represents the net value of all food received free plus the value of food received as pay during the year by members of the family. If members of the family received a substantial number of free meals as guests in excess of the number of meals furnished to guests (not counting house guests, since they were treated as members of the household, though not of the economic family, for the period of their stay), the value of meals so received in excess of those furnished was tabulated.

An evaluation was made of meals which were furnished to members of the family without charge by the employer or paid for from expense accounts. Examples of such instances are salesmen reimbursed for meals taken while traveling, students working for their board at school, or waiters who received meals as part of their pay.

Likewise, if the owner of a store or an employee occasionally brought home food which he received from his place of business without payment, such food was evaluated at local retail prices and included in the total value of food received without direct money expense. However, if the store proprietor charged himself for food which he brought home regularly for family consumption, the retail
value of such food was added to his money earnings and the goods were entered as purchases in the subsection for food at home.

Average expenditure per meal per equivalent adult.-In recognition of the variations in quantity, and thus in expense, of food consumption among persons of different ages, the following scale of relative demand for various persons served from the family food supply was adopted: ${ }^{7}$

| Person | Relative food expense |
| :---: | :---: |
| 20 years of age and over | 1. 0 |
| 13 to 19 years | - 1. 1 |
| 6 to 12 years_ | - . 9 |
| Under 6 years. | -- . 6 |

These relatives were applied whether the person was a member of the economic family or a boarder, guest, or domestic servant. The relative factor applied to nurses for the sick was 0.9 . The term equivalent adult is used in the text as representing one food expenditure unit.

It was assumed that 21 meals per week were eaten by each member of the economic family during that portion of the report year spent at home. For other members of the household (boarders, house guests, household help, and nurses) the actual number of meals eaten was ascertained. The average expense per meal per equivalent person was derived by dividing the total family food expense (after subtraction of expense for food eaten while traveling or on vacation) by the total number of equivalent person meals.

In order to determine the expense for meals served to boarders the average expense per meal per food expenditure unit was multiplied by the total number of meals served to boarders; the resulting sum was deducted from the total family expense for food at home.

## HOME MAINTENANCE

Housing expense.-Each family reported expense incurred during the report year, for family home and other housing. Average amounts for renting families are based on the rental rate contracted for, minus any concessions granted by the landlord, and plus any repairs paid by the tenant. Housing expense for renting families included fuel, light, and/or refrigeration when one or more of these items was included in the rental rate. For owning families, all expense incurred for repairs, interest, insurance, and the like was included. Because of the fact that fuel, light, and refrigeration were included in the rent paid by many renting families, all tables in the text of the report that show housing expense include fuel, light, and refrigeration with housing. In tables 2, 4, and 4-A of the Tabular Summary, however, housing expense does not include fuel, light, and refrigeration.

[^96]No attempt was made to apportion and deduct from family expense an amount for space rented to roomers. Since, however, expenditure data were not taken from families having the equivalent of more than one lodger throughout the year, the value of such space was not an important item to the families.

Housing expense incurred during the report year and not paid by the end of the year was included here and also carried as an increase in liabilities.

Family home.-Average expense, as shown in table 4, column 8, includes only expense for living quarters occupied by the family group, whether such quarters were rented or owned.

Other housing.-Included in housing expense as shown in table 2, but shown separately in table 4 , column 9 , is expense for vacation home, lodging while traveling, and room at school.

Expenses for a vacation home, whether owned or rented, were of the same type as for a family home. If a family owned a vacation home and rented it out during any part of the schedule year, the total rent received was subtracted from total expense incurred for the home and only the net amount was tabulated. If the rent received exceeded expenses for the year, no net expense was incurred and the positive balance was included as a part of the family's income.

Excluded from expense for lodging while traveling was the amount paid by a family member while traveling on business or while working out of town. These amounts were considered occupational expense and were deducted from gross earnings in arriving at family income.

Housing received without direct money expenditure.-See below under nonmoney income from housing.

Expenditures for rented homes and owned homes.-For the purpose of comparing the housing expenses of home owners and renters (table 4-A, columns 6 and 7) a family was classified as renting only if it rented during the entire year (and received no rent as gift or pay), and as owning only if it occupied an owned home during the entire year. Expense for renters includes only expense for dwelling quarters occupied by the entire family group. The number of months of occupancy of the rented home was multiplied by the monthly rental rate to obtain the total amount of rent paid. From this sum was deducted the value of any rental concessions received by the family. Concessions were distinguished from rent as pay or gift and defined as occupancy given free by the landlord for a limited time as an inducement to the family to rent the living quarters. To the total rent paid was added the value of repairs or improvements paid for by the tenant, if the landlord did not reimburse the family. Rental expenses incurred but not paid during the schedule year were included here, and also carried as an increase in family liabilities.

Housing expense for owned home for the year included interest on
the mortgage, refinancing charges, taxes payable, expense for repairs and replacements, special assessments, and premiums for fire, tornado, or earthquake insurance on the home. For all these items except insurance, the expense figure refers to amounts incurred during the schedule year, whether or not they were entirely paid before the end of the year. Balances remaining unpaid were carried as increases in liabilities. In the case of insurance premiums, no attempt was made to prorate payments for previous or coming years as an allocation of expense for the schedule year. Structural additions and other permanent improvements to the home were not classed as current expenditures for housing but as increases in assets. (See below, assets and liabilities.)

If the home owned and occupied by the family was a two-family or multiple-family house, only that portion of the expense which applied to the living quarters of the owner's family was included as expense of owned home. The basis for this allocation was the comparative monthly rental values of the dwelling units under consideration. The remaining expense was deducted from gross rents, to derive a net figure which was added to the family's income.

Facilities included in rent.-Families that were renting their homes at the end of the schedule year were asked to state which of a specified list of housing facilities were furnished by the landlord and covered by the rental rate for the living quarters. These data are presented in table 4-A of the Tabular Summary.

Facilities were not considered to have been included in the rent if the family paid separate amounts for their use, over and above the rental for the living quarters themselves.

Nonmoney income from housing.-An attempt was made to evaluate all housing received without direct expense, whether in the form of rent as pay or gift, or of imputed income from an owned family home or an owned vacation home. Average amounts of such value are shown in table 4, columns 10-12, Tabular Summary.

If a family received any rent as part of wages or salary, as in the case of a minister, a resident manager, or a janitor, the estimated monthly rental value was multiplied by the number of months such premises were occupied, and the resulting amount was included as a part of the family's income. If a family occupied rent free during any part of the schedule year, a home that was owned by a relative or friend, the rental value was estimated for the period, and from it was subtracted any housing expense incurred by the family in connection with such occupancy. The net figure was then added to the family's income. Housing furnished to individual family members while away from home, whether as gift or in return for services, was not included in nonmoney income from housing, which relates solely to housing that served as the family home.

Much more important, on the average, than rent as pay or gift, was imputed income from owned home. If a family during any part of the schedule year occupied a home owned by a member of the family the rental value of this home was estimated (in relation to rental rates on equivalent quarters) for the period of occupancy. From this sum were deducted all expenses incurred for the home, for interest on a mortgage, repairs, taxes, special assessments, and premiums for insurance, during the period of occupancy. The remaining amount was considered as imputed income from housing, and included as a nonmoney part of the family's total income. If the expenses were greater than the estimated rental value, the family was considered to have had a negative income from housing. The net effect was to place many home owners in a higher-income class ( $\$ 250$ intervals) than if they had been classified on the basis of money incomes alone.

Fuel gathered by the family or received as gift was valued at local retail prices.

Household operation.-Household operation expenses included amounts incurred or paid for heating and cooking fuels, light, and refrigeration, for paid household help, and for other household items such as water rent, telephone, laundry, and cleaning supplies. The expense for operating both family and vacation homes which were occupied by the family was included in the total operation expense.

If certain expenses for operation, such as fuel, light, or water rent, were paid by the family for a period when the home was rented to some other family, such expenses were excluded from the scheduled family's total household operation expense and were deducted from the gross rents received in computing net income from rent.

The average expense for fuel, light, and refrigeration, as shown in table 2 , column 8 ; table 4 , column 5 ; and table 5 , column 5 , of the Tabular Summary is an understatement of expense for this category since, in the case of renters, one or more of these items was sometimes included in the rent, and covered by the rental rate.

Paid household help.--Included in this subsection was the expense for the employment of household help, both full and part time, by the family during the year.

Nursemaids were classified as household help, but the fees charged by nurses caring for the sick were grouped with medical care. Expense for the employment of seamstresses for the duration of a specific job to make or repair clothing for the family, or to sew household linens or make slip covers was included with expense for clothing and furnishings, respectively. The cost of employing a laundress was included in this subsection only if the laundry work was done on the family's premises.

Besides the cash wages paid by the family to servants, the total expense for household help included carfare for which the servants were reimbursed by the family, and the amounts spent by the family during the year to outfit their servants, as well as amounts given in tips and presents to doormen, elevator men, and delivery boys not directly employed by the family. The money value of gifts which did not represent a direct money expense to the family was not included in the expense of household help. Meals furnished to servants by the family were considered a part of family food expense rather than part of the wages paid.

Other items of household operation expense.-Grouped in this subsection were expenditures for such items of household operation as water rent, telephone, laundry sent out, laundry soap and other cleaning supplies, stationery and postage, telegrams, moving charges, express and freight fees, household disinfectants and insecticides, wood and metal polishes, paper products for household use, and fees for garbage and ash removal.

Furnishings and equipment.-Classified as furnishings and equipment were kitchen, cleaning, and laundry equipment, furniture, glassware and china, silverware, household textiles, floor coverings, luggage, and gardening equipment. Included in this grouping also were articles of household equipment such as mechanical refrigerators, ice boxes, pressure cookers, washing and ironing machines, vacuum cleaners, and sewing machines.

Yard goods for the making of household linens, draperies, and slip covers, as well as the cost of paid help for sewing these articles were likewise combined with expense for furnishings. Premiums paid for fire and theft insurance on furnishings, expense for repairs, the cleaning of furnishings and equipment, and fees for renting furniture were also incorporated in this group of expenditures. Premiums paid during the year for insurance on furnishings were included in the total regardless of the duration of the insurance which the premium covered.

The recorded prices of furniture and equipment included charges for financing articles bought on an installment plan. If, in the purchase of any of these items, a used article of the same kind was traded in, the amount recorded was the net price, that is, the gross price minus the trade-in allowance.

## CLOTHING

Besides those articles ordinarily regarded as clothing, the following items were also so classified: Accessories such as gloves, handkerchiefs, purses, umbrellas, jewelery, ties and collars, belts, garters, and suspenders; yard goods, yarns, and findings for clothing made at home, the cost of paid help for the making of clothing, dry-cleaning and pressing of clothing; repair of shoes and charges for shoeshines; fees for ren ting
articles of clothing; flowers for personal wear; premiums paid for insurance on clothing and jewelery. These items of expense were allocated to the individual members for whom the expense was incurred.

Articles of clothing purchased for wear at work and special clothing bought for participation in sports were included with clothing.

## PERSONAL CARE

Toilet articles and preparations.-Under "toilet articles and preparations" were included the following items: Toilet soaps, dentifrices, shaving soaps and creams, facial and hand creams and lotions, powders, rouge and lipsticks, perfumes, and equipment for personal care such as brushes and combs, razors, files, scissors, curling irons, hair dryers, powder puffs, and sanitary supplies.

Personal services.-The following items were classified as services: Haircuts, shampoos, shaves, all types of waves, manicures, facials, eyebrow trims, dyeing and dressing of hair, Turkish baths.

Tips to barbers and beauty operators were combined with the charge for the service.

## TRANSPORTATION

Automobile expense.-Data on automobile expense refers to automobiles driven by the family which were used either partly or wholly for family purposes. Expense for operation of automobiles used entirely for business purposes was not regarded as a family expense, but was included with other deductible expenses in arriving at net earnings of the family.
"Family" use of a car was defined as operation of the car for such purposes as transportation to and from work, school, theater, and shopping centers, as well as for vacation travel and driving for pleasure. The use of the family car in pursuit of one's gainful occupation was defined as business use of an automobile. The most common example of this is the use of the family car by a physician or a salesman for making professional or business calls.

The procedure used by the agent in obtaining information on automobile expense was to ask for the total annual expense for each item specified on the schedule. If the family used its automobile partly for family and partly for business purposes, the agent then asked the family to estimate the proportion of the use which was chargeable to business. This was expressed as a percentage, and represented the proportion of business use over the period of the entire schedule year.

The family was asked to base its estimate of the proportion of automobile used applicable to business on the mileage and the amount of time during which the car was so used.

The complement of this proportion, representing the expense applicable to family use, was then applied to each item of operating expense, as well as to the net purchase price of a car bought during the year.

Since further refinement of the data was not feasible, the same percentage was applied to each item of expense; no account was taken of internal variations in business use as between different items, as the family was asked to report the over-all percentage.

The amount of the total operating expenses which was chargeable to business was regarded as an occupational expense deductible from gross earnings. Likewise, the proportion of the net purchase price of an automobile bought during the schedule year which was chargeable to business (in the same proportion as the operating expense) was regarded as an investment of the family funds in business and was so classified in the section showing assets and liabilities, unless this amount had been excluded from the total income originally reported on the family schedule. However, the total amount still owing on such a car at the end of the year was recorded as a debt in the section on assets and liabilities, without distinction between family and business proportions.

Included in the gross purchase price of a car were financing charges other than for insurance when the car was purchased on the installment plan. The net price of a car bought during the year was derived by subtracting from the gross contract price the amount allowed on the trade-in of another car.

The expense for operation included the following items: gasoline, oil, tires and tubes, repairs and service, garage rent, parking fees, licenses including registration fees, fines and damages paid, automobile insurance, tolls, accessories for the car, and association dues.

In addition to the cost of operating a car owned by the family, operation expenditures included the amount paid or shared by a family member for operating a car owned by someone not a member of the economic family.

The percentage of families owning automobiles, shown on table 8, column 4, of the Tabular Summary, includes all families reporting ownership for one or more months during the schedule year. This percentage included families which purchased either new or used cars or both during the year, as well as families which had purchased cars prior to the schedule year.

The percentage of families operating automobiles, shown in text table 25, was determined by the number of families reporting any operating expenditures. Hence, a family which shared the cost of operating a car owned by someone not a member of the economic family was classified as an operator. Furthermore, since fees for drivers' licenses were included as operating expense, a family which neither owned a car nor shared actual operating expense might be classified as an operator. On the other hand, if a family owned a car but incurred no operation expense during the year (not even storage nor license fees), the fanily was not classified as an operator. These
factors account for the difference in the percentage of families operating automobiles as shown in text table 25 and in the percentage of families owning automobiles shown in Tabular Summary, table 8.
Other travel and transportation.-The cost of transportation other than by automobile was designated as "other travel and transportation." As in the case of automobile expense, travel for business purposes was excluded from the record of family expenditures. Daily travel to and from work was regarded as a family expense.

Besides the cost of transportation on public vehicles, there was combined under this heading the amount spent for the purchase and operation of vehicles other than automobiles, such as a motorcycle, boat, or airplane. If, however, these vehicles were maintained primarily for recreational purposes, the expense was classified as an expenditure for recreation.

## MEDICAL CARE

Data on expenditures for medical care include all expense incurred for the care of members of the economic family during the schedule year.

The total medical care bill covered fees of physicians, dentists, oculists, and other specialists, cost of hospitalization and nurses' fees, fees for medical examinations and tests, cost of medicines, drugs, and medical appliances and supplies, and health or infirmary fees paid at college. Included also was the amount paid out for premiums on insurance which provided benefits in case of sickness or accident, as well as the cost of subscribing to cooperative "hospital plans" and membership dues to group health associations. When accident or sick benefits were included in a life insurance policy, the proportion of the total premiums which applied to health insurance was ascertained and grouped with medical care expense. A similar procedure was followed with respect to dues to fraternal organizations if dues covered the cost of health and accident insurance. Amounts which employers of family members deducted from wages or salaries for accident or health insurance were included with medical care expense. In these instances, the amount deducted was now added to wages if such amounts had originally been excluded in determining the income of the family.

Benefits received during the year from health and accident insurance were included with current money income and the expense for the illness was recorded as an expense for medical care.

## RECREATION

Expenditures for recreation covered admission fees to commercial entertainments, the cost of supplies and equipment for participating in games and sports, and expense for miscellaneous entertainment items.

Paid admissions to movies, plays, concerts, lectures, ball games and other spectator sports, dances, and circuses were included in the expense for recreation. The expense for commercial entertainment covered the amounts spent by the family for paid admissions for their guests, but food and refreshments bought for guests was classified as food expense.

Included in the recreation category was the cost of equipment, supplies, fees, and licenses necessary for participation in games and sports such as hunting, tennis, golf, the various winter sports, bicycling, billiards and bowling, card and other table games. Expense for lodging while on vacation was classified as housing, rather than as recreation expense. In the case of summer camps, when no allocation of the total expense could be made by the family, one-fourth of the total was classified with recreation expense. The cost of food on vacation trips was classified with other food expense. Expense for sport uniforms or sport clothes was classified with other clothing expense. Expenditures for a vacation cruise were divided among food, lodging, and travel, and were not classified as recreation expense.

The third subdivision of recreation expense covered expenditures for such items as the purchase and repair of radios (not automobile), of musical instruments, care of pets, the cost of children's and play equipment; and dues to social and recreational clubs were also defined as expense for recreation.

## TOBACCO

Besides the outlay for cigars, cigarettes, and other tobacco, total tobacco expenditures included the amount spent for smoking supplies such as pipes, pipe cleaners and racks, cigarette holders, tobacco pouches, and ash trays. Smoking stands, however, were grouped with furniture.

## READING

Expenditures for reading consisted of outlay for general reading matter such as newspapers and magazines, and the purchase and rental of books.

The cost of books and journals which family members purchased for use in their occupational pursuits was deducted from their earnings and thus was treated as an occupational expense. Books and journals purchased for use at schools attended by members of the family were combined with expense for education. Picture books for very young children who were members of the economic family were considered toys and incorporated with expense for recreation.

## EDUCATION

The total expenditures for education consisted of tuition fees and cost of books and supplies for all formal educational pursuits, whether
for initial training, for recreational purposes, or for improving one's occupational qualifications. The cost of room and board at school or college was included under housing and food expense, respectively.

Included in the total expense for education was the cost of lessons in music and art, bridge, games and sports, dancing, knitting and sewing, tuition for religious education that was separate from church dues, and fees for correspondence courses. The supplies for special lessons, however, were not as a rule combined with education expense, but were entered in more appropriate sections of the schedule. For instance, supplies for music lessons, such as sheet music and instruments, were grouped with recreation expense. The same is true of supplies for bridge lessons and of special equipment for lessons in various types of sports. Special clothing for dancing was classified as clothing expense, as were supplies for knitting and sewing lessons.

## CONTRIBUTIONS AND PERSONAL TAXES

Expenditures for gifts which were recorded in this section of the schedule were restricted to gifts and donations made by family members to persons outside the economic family and to organizations. Presents bought by one family member for another were classified in appropriate sections of the schedule.
Gifts to household help and other servants were combined with expense for paid help, while the amount of tips given to persons performing a personal service, such as waitresses, hairdressers, and the like, was added to the expense for the service in question.

Classified in this category were expenditures for presents for special occasions to persons outside the economic family, contributions to the support of relatives not members of the family, charitable donations to individuals and to community chests and other welfare agencies, donations to church and religious organizations, and contributions to political parties, alumni associations, and the like.

Contributions to the support of relatives usually covered such items as cash given to relatives for current living expenses, bills paid (not incurred) for nonmembers of the family for such items as medical care, or for funeral expenses assumed by the family. Amounts paid in premiums for the insurance of persons who were not members of the economic family were also included in gifts made by the family, regardless of the beneficiary of the policy. The purchase price of gifts of property, such as real estate or stocks and bonds, was included with the total expense for gifts if the property was purchased during the schedule year.

Poll, income, and personal property taxes which fall due within the schedule year were incorporated in the total for this section. If the taxes remained unpaid at the end of the year they were also.
entered as an increase in family liabilities. Excluded from the taxes entered here were real property taxes and personal property taxes on automobiles. Sales and other excise taxes were added to the price of each item of expense rather than recorded as a lump sum, and amusement taxes were included with recreation expense.

## OTHER ITEMS OF FAMILY EXPENSE

Miscellaneous items of family expenditure which were not readily classifiable with other major groups of consumer goods and services were combined under this heading.

This included expenditures for such items as interest on debts incurred for family living (other than mortgage on an owned home), fees for legal advice on family problems as opposed to business matters, family losses, cost of funerals for members of the economic family, together with the purchase price and upkeep of a cemetery lot.

Classified as family loss was the amount of money lost through theft or accident, personal loans made during the year which were written off at some time during the year as uncollectible, rent paid for a dwelling after the family had moved from it, or before the family had moved into it, and the amount of installments paid during the year on articles which were repossessed through failure to meet further payments.

## ASSETS AND LIABILITIES

The difference between the family's total money income for the year and its total expenditures was accounted for, apart from the balancing difference, by one or more of a series of changes in assets and liabilities. Assets include all property owned by the family and amounts due to the family; liabilities include all amounts owed by the family.

Among assets, as discussed in chapter IX of the text above, were bank accounts (including checking accounts, savings accounts, and money on hand), investments (including real estate, securities, investments of family funds in business), insurance (premiums paid or policies settled or surrendered), and such items as improvements on owned home or other real estate, loans made to others, and that portion of the soldiers' bonus or of a cash gift or inheritance received during the schedule year which was not spent for current living.

Among liabilities were amounts payable on mortgages on the family home or other real estate, loans due to banks, small-loan companies, insurance companies, or individuals, bills due (including charge accounts and other bills and balances due on installment purchases), and other items such as rents and taxes due.

The record was restricted to money changes, that is, changes in assets and liabilities resulting from purchase and sale of property, and
other money transactions. Changes in assets due to the increase or decrease in market value of real estate, securities, or other personal property were disregarded unless such property was sold.

The record was restricted to the disposition of family funds; business funds were excluded from the analysis.

No attempt was made to determine the total assets or the total liabilities of the families. Rather, they were asked to report only as to increases and decreases that had taken place during the scheduled year. For instance, instead of recording as a liability the total amount which the family owed on installment purchase contracts, only the amount by which such obligations at the end of the year was greater or less than the sum owed at the beginning of the year was ascertained.

In determining the amount of net surplus or of net deficit attributable to each family, four separate totals were obtained. These were: net amount of increase in assets, net amount of decrease in assets, net amount of increase in liabilities, and net amount of decrease in liabilities.

The sum of the total increase in assets plus the total decrease in debt, represents the disposition of funds not used for current expenditures during the schedule year. The sum of the total decrease in assets and the total increase in debt represents funds which were made available to the family for current spending but which were not considered current income.

The difference between these two sums gave the net change in all assets and obligations over the year's period. A positive result denoted a net surplus or an excess of assets over debts, while a negative figure denoted a net deficit, or excess of liabilities over assets.

## Appendix C

## Communities and Racial Groups Surveyed by the Study of Consumer Purchases

The cities covered by the Bureau of Labor Statistics in reports on family expenditures in the Study of Consumer Purchases are as follows:

| Region | Metropolitan and large cities | Middle-sized cities | Small cities |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Northeast..---.-.-.-.--- | New York, N. Y. ${ }^{12}$... Providence, R. I | Haverhill, Mass <br> New Britain, Conn. | Greenfleld, Mass. <br> Wallingford, Conn. <br> Westbrook, Maine. <br> Willimantic, Conn. |
| Southerst. | Atlanta, Ga. ${ }^{2}$ - | Columbia, S. C. 2 |  |
| East Central.-- | Chicago, Ill. 1 $\qquad$ <br> Columbus, Ohio. 2 | Mobile, Ala. Muncie, Ind. | Beaver Falls, Pa. Connellsville, Pa . <br> Logansport, Ind. <br> Mattoon, Ill. <br> Peru, Ind. <br> Billings, Mont. |
|  |  | New Castle, Pa. |  |
|  |  | Springfield, Il . |  |
| West Central-Rocky Mountain. | Omaha, Nebr.-Council Bluffis, Iowa. <br> Denver, Colo. | Dubuque, Iowa------------ |  |
|  |  | Springfield, Mo. <br> Butte, Mont |  |
|  |  | Pueblo, Colo. |  |
| Pacific Northwest -..... | Portland, Oreg.......-.-- | Aberdeen-Hoquiam, Wash. Bellingham, Wash. <br> Everett, Wash. |  |

[^97]Communities covered by the Bureau of Home Economics in reports on family expenditures in the Study of Consumer Purchases, are as follows:

| Region | Small cities | Villages | Farm counties |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New England |  | 6 in Vermont | 2 in Vermont. |
| Central. | Mount Vernon, Ohio New Philadelphia, Ohio. Beaver Dam, Wis. | 8 in Massachusetts. |  |
|  |  | 6 in Ohio. | 1 in Pennsylvania. |
|  |  | 8 in Michigan. | 3 in Ohio. |
|  | Lincoln, Ill. | 6 in Wisconsin. 8 in fllinois. | 1 in Michigan. 1 in Wisconsin. |
|  | Moberly, Mo. | 11 in Iowa. | 4 in Illinois. |
| Mountain and Plains....- | Billings, Mont..........- | 9 in North Dakota. | 4 in North Dakota |
|  | Dodge City, Kans. | 4 in Colorado. | 3 in Colorado. |
|  | Greeley, Colo. | 1 in Montana. | 1 in Montana. |
|  | Provo, Utah. | 2 in South Dakota. |  |
| Pacific. | Astoria, Oreg Eugene, Oreg. Klamath Falls, Oreg. Olympia, Wash. | 12 in California | 1 in central California. |
|  |  | 5 in Oregon. | 2 in southern California. |
|  |  | 7 in Washington. | 5 in Oregon. |
| Southeast: <br> White and Negro families. |  |  |  |
|  | Albany, Ga <br> Gastonia, N. <br> Griffin, Ga. <br> Sumter, S. O. | 8 in Georgia | 2 in North Carolin |
|  |  | 7 in South Carolina. | 2 in Mississippi. |
|  |  | 8 in North Carolina. | 2 in South Carolina. |
|  |  | 10 in Mississippi. | 7 l in Georgia |
| Negro families only |  |  | 2 in Mississippi. |

An investigation of the income and money disbursements of families of wage earners and clerical workers was undertaken by the Bureau of Labor Statistics in the fall of 1934 for the purpose of revising the cost of living index published currently by the Bureau. The data from that investigation cover 1 year within the period 1934-36 and include details on income, family composition, expenditures for principal categories and for detailed items of consumption for a total sample of 14,668 families of employed wage earners and lower salaried clerical workers in 42 cities, all with population over 50,000 . Data on quantities of food, clothing and furnishings, and equipment purchased; on types of medical care received; and on changes in assets and liabilities are also included. A summary of these findings is presented in United States Bureau of Labor Statistics Bulletin No. 638.

The individual cities for which data are available and the bulletins in which the detailed data appear are as follows:

North Atlantic Region, New York City (B. L. S. Bull. 637, Vol. I): White and Negro families.

North Atlantic Region, Eleven Cities (B. L. S. Bull. 637, Vol. II):

Boston, Mass.
Buffalo, N. Y.
Johnstown, Pa.
Lancaster, Pa.
Manchester, N. H.
Philadelphia, Pa. (white and Negro families).

Pittsburgh, Pa. (white and Negro families).
Portland, Maine
Rochester, N. Y.
Seranton, Pa.
Springfield, Mass.

East North Central Region, (B. L. S. Bull. 636):

Cincinnati, Ohio (white and Negro families).
Cleveland, Ohio.
Columbus, Ohio.
Detroit, Mich.

Grand Rapids, Mich.
Indianapolis, Ind. (white and Negro families).
Lansing, Mich.
Milwaukee, Wis.
West North Central and Mountain Region (B. L. S. Bull. 641):

Denver, Colo.
Kansas City, Mo. and Kansas City, Kans. (white and Negro families).
Southern Region (B. L. S. Bull. 640):
Baltimore, Md. (white and Negro families).
Birmingham, Ala. (white and Negro families).
Dallas, Tex.
Houston, Tex. (white other than Mexican and Mexican famulies).

Minneapolis and St. Paul, Minn.
St. Louis, Mo. (white and Negro families).
Salt Lake City, Utah.

Memphis, Tenn. (white and Negro families).
Mobile, Ala. (white and Negro families).
New Orleans, La. (white and Negro families).
Norfolk and Portsmouth, Va. (white

Southern Region (B. L. S. Bull. 640)-Continued.

Jackson, Miss. (white and Negro families).
Jacksonville, Fla.
Louisville, Ky. (white and Negro families).
Pacific Region (B. L. S. Bull. 639):
Los Angeles, Calif. (white other than Mexican and Mexican families).
Sacramento, Calif.
and Negro families).
Richmond, Va. (white and Negro families).

San Diego, Calif. San Francisco, Calif. Seattle, Wash.

## Appendix D

## Analysis of Expenditures by Families of Given Type, Occupational Group, and Income: Rank Test Method and Results ${ }^{1}$

One of the purposes of the present study is to discover whatever differences there may be in the expenditure patterns of families of different composition that belong to the same income and occupational group, and likewise differences in the expenditure patterns of families in different occupational groups but of the same composition and the same income class. The determination of such differences is complicated both by the extreme variability of the expenditures of families of the same composition, occupational group, and income class in any one year, and by the small number of schedules which it was possible to secure for one cell within the time and funds available for the present study. Emergencies of various sorts, differences in debts carried over from the previous year or in accumulated reserves and in personal tastes result in very wide differences in expenditures among families with identical incomes, with children of the same age, and with fathers of the same occupational status. In any extensive investigation of family expenditures, the classification of families must allow for a range of income within each cell, a range in the ages of the children, and the grouping of occupations, thus increasing the possibility of variation.

An examination of the average expenditures of families of a given type, occupational group, and income class emphasizes the need for developing some method of summarizing the differences and of testing their significance.

The method used in this report is based on a chi-square test developed by Milton Friedman and reported in the Journal of the American Statistical Assocation for December 1937. For a description of the application of this method to this problem, see Bulletin 642, volume II, appendix D.

## Application of the Method.

Rank tests were made of the average expenditures of native white families for each of the major groups of expenditures by family type and by occupation, the results of which are summarized in tables 3 and 4.

The family-type tests were based upon three family-type groupsI, II and III, IV and V-the ranks being based upon the sums of the occupational averages within each income class and each family-type group. The occupational tests were based on five occupational groups for Atlanta, and four of the middle-sized city unit, the ranks being based upon the sums of the averages of the three family-type groups within each income class and each occupational group.

The number of Negro families in the white-collar occupations was so small that family-type or occupational tests on the expenditures of these families were not justified.

Certain combinations of items made throughout the study were followed in making the tests. Housing expense includes the cost of fuel, light, and refrigeration. Housing value is housing expense plus free rent and imputed value of owned home. Automobile expense includes both that of purchase and that of operation.

Tables 3 and 4 present for each test, for each item, the deviations of the sums of ranks from the average sum expected. A negative value means that the sum of ranks for a given family type or occupation, the summation extending over all income classes used, is less than the average sum expected; a positive value means the sum of ranks is more than the average sum expected.

The value, $P_{k}$, gives the probability of getting by chance a value of $k$ larger than the one obtained. If this probability is 0.05 or less, we have grounds for rejecting the hypothesis that the average expenditures for that specific item came from the same expenditure universe. We show three levels of probability as follows:
$0.05+$ means a value of $P$ greater than 0.05.
0.05 - means a value of $P$ between 0.05 and 0.01 .
0.01 - means one less than 0.01 .

A test of significance is much more effective in rejecting a hypothesis than in proving one, and this, together with the limitations of the rank test, should caution the reader against making any sweeping generalizations from the test results. At best they suggest hypotheses for further and more refined testing.

Table 3．－－Summary of family type and occupation mean rank tests
ATLANTA：WHITE FAMILIES
INCOME RANGE $\$ 1,250-\$ 3,000$

| Item | Family－type tests（all occupations combined）， family－type deviations ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  | Occupation tests（family types I，II－III，IV－V combined），occupational deviations ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | H | 总 | $\begin{aligned} & \overrightarrow{1} \\ & \overrightarrow{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\pm$ | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Salaried profes- } \\ & \text { sional } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 岩 |  | $\star$ | 2 |
| Food | －6 | 0 |  | 72 | 0．01－ | 4 | －9 | 4 | －2 | 3 | 126 | $0.05+$ |
| Clothing． | －6 | 1 | 5 | 62 | ． $01-$ | 4.5 | －3 | －7 | 6.5 | －1 | 121.5 | ． $05+$ |
| Housing expense ${ }^{3}$ | －2 | 2 | 0 | 8 | ． $05+$ | 6 | 5 | －2 | 2 | －11 | 190 | ．05－ |
| Housing value | －2 | －2 | 4 | 24 | ． $05+$ | 5 | 5 | 4 | －2 | －12 | 214 | ．01－ |
| Household operation ．．．．． | －2 | 5 | －3 | 38 | ．05－ | 2 | 2 | 9 | －1．5 | －11．5 | 223.5 | ． $01-$ |
| Furnishings．－－－－－－－．．．－ | ， | 1 | －4 | 26 | ． $05+$ | －1 | －3 | －9 | 2 | 11 | 216 | ． $01-$ |
| Auto，total | －1 | 2 | －1 | 6 | ． $05+$ | －3 | 2 | 3 | －3 | 1 | 32 | 05＋ |
| Other transportation | －1 | －5 | 6 | 62 | ． $01-$ | ${ }^{6}$ | $-.5$ | －4．5 | 7 | －8 | 169.5 | 05－ |
| Personal care | －4 | 0 | 4 | 32 | ． $05+$ | 4 | 1 | －6 | 5 | －4 | 94 | $05+$ |
| Medical care | ， | －2 | －2 | 24 | ． $05+$ | 6 | －2．5 | $-1.5$ | 1 | －3 | 54.5 | 05 ＋ |
| Recreation． | －2 | 4 | －2 | 24 | ． $05+$ | $-1$ | 0 | －2 | 1 | 5 | 10 | ．05＋ |
| Tobacco． | －1 | 2 | －1 | ${ }_{6}^{6}$ | ． $05+$ | －10 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 138 | ． 05 ＋ |
| Reading． | ${ }^{1}$ | 4 | $-5$ | 42 | ．05－ | 8.5 | $-1$ | －． 5 | ． 5 | －7． 5 | 130 | ． $05+$ |
| Education | －6 | 0 | 6 | 72 | ．01－ | 9 | $-3.5$ | －4 | －． 5 | －1 | 110.5 | ． $05+$ |
| Gifts and taxes | 4 | 0 | －4 | 32 | ． $05+$ | －1 | 3 | －1 | 5 | －6 | 72 | ． $05+$ |
| Changes in assets and liabilities | 6 | －1 | －5 | 62 | ．01－ | －3 | 1 | 2 | －3 | 3 | 32 | ．05＋ |
| Total | －5 | 4 | 1 | 42 | ．05－ | 5 | 0 | －4 | 4 | －5 | 82 | ．05＋ |

1 Deviations from average sum of ranks（12）．
3 Deviations from average sum of ranks（18）．
${ }^{3}$ Includes housing plus fuel，light，and refrigeration．
${ }_{4}$ Includes housing expense plus imputed income from owned home and rent received as pay or gift．
Table 4．－Summary of family type and occupation mean rank tests
MOBILE－COLUMBIA：WHITE FAMILIES
INCOME RANGE $\$ 1,000-\$ 2,500$

| Item | Family－type tests（all occupations combined）， family－type deviations ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  | Occupation tests（family types I，II－III， IV－V combined），occupational devia－ tions ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | － | $\begin{aligned} & \text { E } \\ & \text { E } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \overrightarrow{1} \\ & 1 \\ & \text { R } \end{aligned}$ | $\pm$ | 8 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 帚 } \\ & \text { " } \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  | ＊ | 2 |
| Food | －6 | 0 | 6 | 72 | 0．01－ | －4 | －1 | －4 | 9 | 114 | 0．01－ |
| Clothing． | －4 | －2 | 6 | 56 | ．01－ | 6 | －1 | 2 | －7 | 90 | ． $05-$ |
| Housing expense ${ }^{3}$ | 1 | －1 | 0 | 2 | ． $05+$ | 6 | －1 | 4 | －9 | 134 | ． 01 － |
| Housing value ${ }^{\text {－}}$ | 2 | －3 | 1 | 14 | ． $05+$ | 2 | 6 | 1 | －9 | 122 | ． $01-$ |
| Household operation | 1 | 5 | －6 | 62 | ． $01-$ | 0 | 9 | －1 | －8 | 146 | ． $01-$ |
| Furnishings．．－－－．－．－ | 0 | 4 | －4 | 32 | ． $05+$ | 2 | －3 | 1 | 0 | 14 | ． $05+$ |
| Auto，total． | 4 | －1 | －3 | 26 | ． $05+$ | 1.5 | 3 | 0 | －4．5 | 31.5 | ． $05+$ |
| Other transportation | －3 | 1 | 2 | 14 | ． $05+$ | $-.5$ | 1 | 0 | －． 5 | ． 5 | ． $05+$ |
| Personal care | －3 | 2 | 1 | 14 | ． $05+$ | 0 | $-1$ | 5.5 | －4．5 | 51.5 | ． $05+$ |
| Medical care | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $.05+$ | 3 | －3 | －4 | 4 | 50 | ． $05+$ |
| Recreation． | －2 | 4 | －2 | 24 | $.05+$ | 1 | 5 | $-1.5$ | 0 | 3.5 | $.05+$ |
| Tobacco． | 1 | 0 | －1 | 2 | ． $05+$ | 2.5 | 0 | 1.5 | －4 | 24.5 | $.05+$ |
| Reading． | 0 | 2 | －2 | 8 | ． $05+$ | 3 | 5 | $-1.6$ | －6．5 | 78.5 | ．05－ |
| Education． | －6 | 1 | 5 | 62 | ． $01-$ | 0 | 0 | 2 | －2 | 8 | ． $05+$ |
| Gifts and taxes | 6 | －1 | －5 | 62 | ． $01-$ | －2 | 5 | 0 | －3 | 38 | ． $05+$ |
| Changes in assets and liabilities ．－ | 4 | －4 | 0 | 32 | ． $05+$ | －3 | －3 | 1 | 5 | 44 | ． $05+$ |
| Total | －3 | 2 | 1 | 14 | ． $05+$ | 6 | 2 | －2 | －6 | 80 | ．05－ |

${ }^{1}$ Deviations from average sum of ranks（12）．
${ }_{2}$ Deviations from average sum of ranks（15）．
${ }^{3}$ Includes fuel，light and refrigeration．
－Includes housing expense plus imputed income and rent as gift or pay．

## Appendix E

## Variability in Family Expenditures

The wide variation shown in chapter IX in total expenditures among families with similar incomes is paralleled by even wider relative variations in the outlay for individual categories, since families with the same total expenditures may still differ greatly in the apportionment of the total among the constituent elements in family living. Accordingly, it is important to give consideration to such variations, both because they provide a basis for judging the significance of the averages obtained, and because they offer interesting evidence to students of consumption on questions concerned with the relative diversity or standardization in consumption patterns among families similar in certain established respects.

The time limitations imposed upon the preparation of this report have made it impossible to calculate measures of dispersion about the averages which it presents. The following table presents the range from the mean for four cells, two from the white, and two from the Negro group, as a simple method of illustrating the extent of the variation.

In view of the physiological limitations imposed on the demand for food it accords with expectations to find that expenditures for food generally show less relative variation among families within the same income, occupational, and family-type group than do those for any other category. Thus, for example, among Atlanta white families with one child under 16 that were classified in salaried business occupations, and in the income group $\$ 2,500$ to $\$ 3,000$, expenditures for food by the family reporting the lowest outlay were only about 25 percent below the average for the group, while the family that spent the most reported outlay about 40 percent above the average. (See table 6.)

Expenditures for housing and clothing also were relatively less variable than many other groups of items, and expenditures for personal care likewise showed relatively little dispersion.

It is interesting to note the number of categories for which expenditures ranged from nothing to many times the mean, particularly among Negro families, for which detailed data were available only at the very low income levels.

In general, the mean for a particular category was closer to the minimum than to the maximum expenditure, indicating that most
families made moderate expenditures while a few had very large outlays for the category during the particular year. This was especially true, it should be noted, of such goods and services as furnishings and equipment, automobiles, and medical care.

The range from a relatively large deficit to a large surplus was very striking. The point made in chapter VIII concerning the wide variation among individual families in the balance of income and expenditures is thus emphasized, since these figures represent the net result of transactions with respect to specific asset and liability items.
'Table 5.-Mean expenditure of Atlanta families in selected groups and range of expenditures for individual families
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## Appendix F

## Family Type Composition of Occupational Groups and Occupational Composition of Family Type Groups

The interdependence of occupational and family type groups made it desirable to analyze at given income levels the expenditures of families of given composition holding occupational group constant and, similarly, expenditures of families of different occupational classification holding family type constant. (See appendix D.) Since, however, the data (weighted averages) presented in the text and Tabular Summary for family type groups by income and for occupational groups by income reflect such interdependence, the following tables are presented in order to indicate the relative importance along the income scale of the several occupational groups within each family type and of the several family type groups within each occupational category.

ATLLANTA
Table 6.-Distribution of eligible families of specified occupational groups according to family type, by income
[White nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]


SOUTHEAST: 2 MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES
Table 6.-Distribution of eligible families of specified occupational groups according to family type, by income-Continued
[White nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]

| Income class | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { types } \end{gathered}$ | Family type |  |  | Income class | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { All } \\ \text { types } \end{array}$ | Family type |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | I | II and III | $\underset{\sim}{\text { and }} \mathrm{V}$ |  |  | I | II | $\underset{\text { IV }}{\text { and }}$ |
| Wage earner |  |  |  |  | Independent business and professional-Continued |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$490 | 100.0 | 32 | 36 | 32 |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-8749 | 100.0 | 28 | 41 | 31 | \$1,500-\$1,749. | 100.0 | 32 | 25 | 43 |
| \$750-\$999 | 100.0 | 21 | 51 | 28 | \$1,750-\$1,999 | 100.0 | 29 | 27 | 44 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 100.0 | 27 | 42 | 31 | \$2,000-\$2,249. | 100.0 | 33 | 24 | 43 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 100.0 | 25 | 38. | 37 | \$2,250-\$2,499 | 100.0 | 24 | 38 | 38 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. | 100.0 | 20 | 39 | 41 | \$2,500-\$2,999 | 100.0 | 18 | 27 | 55 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 100.0 | 25 | 30 | 45 | \$3,000-\$3,499 | 100.0 | 20 | 33 | 47 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 100.0 | 20 | 26 | 54 | \$3,500-\$3,999 | 100.0 | 27 | 32 | 41 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 100.0 | 18 | 21 | 61 | \$4,000-\$4,999. | 100.0 | 30 | 20 | 50 |
| Clerical |  |  |  |  | \$5,000 and over | 100.0 | 20 | 22 | 58 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Salaried business and |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749. | 100.0 | 27 | 32 | 41 | professional |  |  |  |  |
| \$750-\$999. | 100.0 | 18 | 49 | 33 |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 100.0 | 29 | 42 | 29 | \$1,000-\$1,249. | 100.0 | 36 | 36 | 28 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 100.0 | 26 | 42 | 32 | \$1,250-\$1,499 | 100.0 | 31 | 38 | 31 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 100.0 | 28 | 40 | 32 | \$1,500-\$1,749 | 100.0 | 29 | 41 | 30 |
|  |  |  |  |  | \$1,750-\$1,999 | 190.0 | 17 | 49 | 34 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. | 100.0 | 20 | 34 | 37 | \$2,000-\$2,249 | 100.0 | 20 | 48 | 32 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 100.0 | 25 | 31 | 44 |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 100.0 | 24 | 31 | 45 | \$2,250-\$2,499 | 100.0 | 22 | 43 | 35 |
|  |  |  |  |  | \$2,500-\$2,999. | 100.0 | 20 | 42 | 38 |
| Independent business and |  |  |  |  | \$3,000-\$3,499 | 100.0 | 23 | 36 | 41 |
| professional |  |  |  |  | \$3,500-\$3,999 | 100.0 | 21 | 32 | 47 |
|  |  |  |  |  | \$4,000-\$4,999 | 100.0 | 19 | 25 | 56 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 100. 0 | 33 | 30 | 37 | \$5,000 and over. | 100.0 | 18 | 26 | 56 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 100.0 | 30 | 38 | 32 |  |  |  |  |  |

ATLANTA
[Negro nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]

| Income class | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { types } \end{gathered}$ | Family type |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | I | II | III | IV | V | VI | VII |
| Wage earner |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under $\$ 250$ | 100.0 | 49 | 13 |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 | 100.0 | 43 | 12 | 8 | 20 | 7 | 8 | 5 |
| \$500-\$749- | 100.0 | 35 | 16 | 8 | 18 | 7 | 9 | 7 |
| \$750-\$899 | 100.0 | 34 | 10 | ${ }_{5}^{5}$ | 26 32 3 | 12 | ${ }^{6}$ | 7 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 100.0 | 28 | 7 | 2 | 37 | 11 | 5 | 10 |
| Clerical |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499.. | 100.0 | 40 | 40 |  |  |  | 20 |  |
| \$500-\$749- | 100.0 | ${ }^{37}$ | 15 | 15 | 15 | 11 | 7 |  |
| \$750-\$999 | 100.0 | 36 | 14 | 4 | $\begin{array}{r}36 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 5 | 5 |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 100.0 100.0 | 26 42 | 8 | 22 | 17 3 | 8 | 9 17 | 9 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 100.0 | 20 | 10 |  | 60 | 10 |  |  |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 100.0 | 27 | 9 | 9 | 28 | 18 |  | 9 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 100.0 | 21 | 7 | 22 |  | 29 | 7 | 14 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 100.0 | 11 | 22 |  | 22 | 6 | 17 | 22 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 100.0 | 33 | 17 |  | 50 |  |  |  |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 100.0 | 60 |  |  | 40 |  |  |  |
| \$3,500-\$3,999. | 100.0 | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  |  |
| \$4,000 and over..... | 100.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100 |
| Independent business and pr |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 | 100.0 | 51 | 12 | 7 |  |  | 5 |  |
| \$500-8749 | 100.0 | 46 | 6 | 8 | 24 | 8 | 4 | 4 |
| \$750-\$999 | 100.0 | 31 | ${ }_{15}^{13}$ | 11 | 16 | 18 | 2 | ${ }^{9}$ |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 100.0 100.0 | 35 38 | 15 | 15 | 25 31 | 23 | 8 | 10 |

ATLANTA
Table 6.-Distribution of eligible families of specified occupational groups according to family type, by income-Continued


SOUTHEAST: 2 MIDDLE-SIZED CITIES
[Negro nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]

| Income class | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { types } \end{gathered}$ | Family types |  |  | Income class | All | Family types |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | I | II and III | IV and V |  |  | I | II and III | $\xrightarrow[\text { IV }]{\text { and }}$ |
| Wage earner |  |  |  |  | Clerical, business, and pro-fessional-Continued |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250 | 100.0 | 52 | 28 | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 | 100.0 | 43 | 33 | 24 | \$750-\$999 | 100. 0 | 32 | 24 | 44 |
| \$500-\$749 | 100.0 | 42 | 27 | 31 | \$1,000-\$1,249 | 100.0 | 43 | 27 | 30 |
| \$750-\$999 | 100.0 | 36 | 25 | 39 |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 100.0 | 32 | 18 | 50 | \$1,250-\$1,499 | 100.0 | 26 | 26 | 48 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 .-.-----...... | 100.0 | 12 | 25 | 63 | $\$ 1,500-\$ 1,749$ $\$ 1.750-\$ 1,999$ | 100.0 100.0 | 21 | 36 57 | 43 43 |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1,750-\$ 1,999 \\ & \$ 2,000-\$ 2,249 \end{aligned}$ | 100.0 100.0 | 23 | 57 18 | 43 59 |
| Clerical, ousiness, and pro- fessional |  |  |  |  | \$2,050-\$2,499. | 100.0 | 20 | 30 | 50 |
| Under \$250. | 100.0 | 76 | 24 |  | \$2,500-\$2,999 | 100.0 | 40 |  | 60 |
| \$250-\$499 | 100.0 | 56 | 25 | 19 | \$3,000-\$3,499 | 100.0 |  |  | 100 |
| \$500-\$749. | 100.0 | 37 | 23 | 40 | \$3,500 and over. | 100.0 |  |  | 100 |

ATLANTA
Table 7.-Distribution of eligible families of specified types according to occupational group, by income
[White nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]


GOUTHEAST: 2 MEDDLE-SIZED CITIES
Table 7.-Distribution of eligible families of specified types according to occupational group, by income-Continued

| Income class | All oceupations | Wage earner | Clerical | Independent business and professional | Salaried business and pro fessional |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Family type I |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 100.0 | 89 | 11 |  |  |
| \$750-\$999 | 100.0 | 78 | 22 |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 100.0 | 51 | 28 | 11 | 10 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 100.0 | 44 | 35 | 11 | 10 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 100.0 | 32 | 41 | 10 | 17 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 100.0 | 32 | 44 | 12 | 12 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 100.0 | 23 | 42 | 15 | 20 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 100.0 | 21 | 37 | 14 | 28 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 100.0 |  |  | 27 | 73 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 100.0 |  |  | 20 | 80 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 100.0 |  |  | 37 | 63 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 100.0 |  |  | 38 | 62 |
| \$5,000 and over-.----------1 | 100.0 |  |  | 51 | 49 |
| Family types 11 and |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-8499- | 100.0 | 100 |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749- | 100.0 | 91 | 9 |  |  |
| \$750-\$999 | 100.0 | 76 | 24 |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 100.0 | 56 | 30 | 7 | 7 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 100.0 | 45 | 37 | 10 | 8 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 100.0 | 40 | 39 |  | 16 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 100.0 | $\stackrel{29}{29}$ | 38 | 8 | 25 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 100.0 | 20 | 38 | 8 | 34 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 100.0 | 17 | 33 | 14 | ${ }^{36}$ |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 100.0 |  |  | 21 | 79 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499. | 100.0 |  |  | 22 |  |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 100.0 |  |  | 32 | 68 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 100.0 |  |  | 24 | 76 |
| \$5,000 and over--.--------------1-1 | 100.0 |  |  | 44 | 56 |
| Family types IV an |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499-- | 100.0 | 100 |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 100.0 | 85 | 15 |  |  |
| \$750-\$999 | 100.0 | 73 | 27 |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 100.0 | 54 | 26 | 12 | 8 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 100.0 | 50 | 33 | 9 | 8 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 100.0 | 45 | 33 | 10 | 12 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 100.0 | 38 | 36 | 11 | 15 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 100.0 | 33 | 39 | 11 | 17 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 100.0 | 35 | 34 | 10 | 21 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 100.0 |  |  | 37 | 63 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 100.0 |  |  |  |  |
| \$3,500-\$3,999. | 100.0 |  |  | 29 | 71 |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 100.0 |  |  | 26 | 84 |
| \$5,000 and over. | 100.0 |  |  | 49 | 51 |

## ATLANTA

Table 7.-Distribution of eligible families of specified types according to occupational group, by income-Continued
[Negro nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]

|  | Income class | All occupations | Wage earner | Clerical | Independent business and professional | Salaried business and professional |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Family type I |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250 |  | 100.0 100.0 | 100 88 | 1 | 10 | 1 |
| \$500-\$749 |  | 100.0 | 89 | 3 | 7 | 1 |
| \$750-\$999 |  | 100.0 | 88 | 3 | 6 | 3 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 |  | 100.0 | 81 | 5 | 7 | 7 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 |  | 100.0 | 71 | 9 | 8 | 12 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. |  | 100.0 |  | 50 | 25 | 25 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 |  | 100.0 |  | 22 | 57 | 21 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 |  | 100.0 |  | 75 | 25 |  |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 |  | 100.0 |  | 50 | 50 |  |
| \$2,500-\$2,999. |  | 100.0 |  | 100 |  |  |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 |  | 100.0 |  | 75 | 25 |  |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 |  | 100.0 |  | 50 |  | 50 |
| \$4,000 and over. |  | 100.0 |  |  | 50 | 50 |
|  | Family type II |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250 |  | 100.0 | 100 |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 |  | 100.0 | 86 | 3 | 8 | 3 |
| \$500-\$749 |  | 100.0 | 93 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| \$750-\$999 |  | 100.0 | 87 | 4 | 7 | 2 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 |  | 100.0 | 82 | 7 | 11 |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 |  | 100.0 | 91 |  |  | 9 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 |  | 100.0 |  | 50 | 50 |  |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 |  | 100.0 | ------- | 33 |  | 67 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 |  | 100.0 |  | 14 | 29 | 57 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499. |  | 100.0 | ------- | 50 |  | 50 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 |  | 100.0 |  | 50 | 50 |  |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 |  | 100.0 |  |  | 67 | $3 \overline{3}$ |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$4,000 and over |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Family type III |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250 |  | 100.0 | 100 |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499. |  | 100.0 | 92 |  | 8 |  |
| \$500-\$749 |  | 100.0 | 90 | 5 | 5 |  |
| \$750-\$999 |  | 100.0 | 84 | 3 | 13 |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. |  | 100.0 | 60 | 25 | 15 |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. |  | 100.0 | 80 |  |  | 20 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 |  | 100.0 |  |  |  | 100 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 |  | 100.0 |  | 100 |  |  |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 |  | 100.0 |  | 60 |  | 40 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499... |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 |  | 100.0 |  |  |  | 100 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$4,000 and over. |  | 100.0 |  |  |  | 100 |
|  | Family type IV |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250. |  | 100.0 | 100 |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 |  | 100.0 | 90 |  | 8 | 2 |
| \$500-\$749 |  | 100.0 | 90 | 2 | 7 | 1 |
| \$750-\$999 |  | 100.0 | 90 | 4 | 4 | 2 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 |  | 100.0 | 89 | 4 | 5 | 2 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 |  | 100.0 | 85 | 6 | 6 | 3 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749. |  | 100.0 |  | 40 | 33 | 27 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 |  | 100.0 |  | 43 |  | 57 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. |  | 100.0 |  |  | 50 | 50 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499. |  | 100.0 |  | 57 |  | 43 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999.. |  | 100.0 |  | 43 |  | 57 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 |  | 100.0 |  | 40 | 20 | 40 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 |  | 100.0 |  | 34 | 33 | 33 |
| \$4,000 and over. |  | 100.0 |  |  |  | 100 |

## ATLANTA

Table 7.-Distribution of eligible fomilies of specified types according to occupational group, by income-Continued

| Income class | All occupations | Wage earner | Clerical | Independent business and professional | Salaried business and professional |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Family type V |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250. | 100.0 | 100 |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 | 100.0 | 94 |  | 6 |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 100.0 | 86 | 4 | 6 | 4 |
| \$750-\$999 | 100.0 | 90 | 1 | 9 |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 100.0 | 92 | 4 |  | 4 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 100.0 | 80 | 5 | 15 |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 100.0 |  | 17 | 50 | 33 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 100.0 |  | 33 | 50 | 17 |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 100.0 |  | 80 |  | 20 |
| \$2,250-\$2,499. | 100.0 |  | 25 |  | 75 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999. | 100.0 |  |  |  | 100 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$3,500-\$3,999.. |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$4,000 and over. | 100.0 |  |  | 100 |  |
| Family type VI |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250_ | 100.0 | 100 |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 | 100.0 | 85 | 4 | 7 | 4 |
| \$500-\$749 | 100.0 | 94 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| \$750-\$999 | 100.0 | 95 | 3 | 2 |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 100.0 | 83 | 17 | ----------- |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 100.0 | 64 | 18 | 9 | 9 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749.. |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,750-\$1,999. |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$2,000-\$2,249. | 100.0 |  | 100 |  |  |
| \$2,250-\$2,499. | 100.0 |  | 100 |  |  |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 3,000-\$ 3,499$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$3,500-\$3,999. |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$4,000 and over. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Famer Faty tye VII |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250.-.---.-.-.-.-.------- | 100.0 | 100 |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499. | 100.0 | 100 |  |  |  |
| \$500-\$749 | 100.0 | 97 |  | 3 |  |
| \$750-\$999- | 100.0 | 90 |  | 8 | 2 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 100. 0 | 87 | 7 | 6 | -.------ |
| \$1,250-\$1,499. | 100.0 | 88 |  |  | 12 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 100.0 | -------- |  |  | 100 |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 | 100.0 | -------- | 100 |  |  |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 100.0 | -------- | 100 |  |  |
| \$2,250-\$2,499 | 100.0 | -------- | 100 | ----------- |  |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 100.0 |  |  |  | 100 |
| \$3,000-\$3,490 | 100.0 |  |  |  | 100 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$4,000 and over | 100.0 |  | 100 | ------...- |  |

SOUTHEAST: 2 MIDDLE-SIZED CITHES
Table 7.-Distribution of eligible families of specified types according to occupational group, by income-Continued
[Negro nonrelief families including husband and wife, both native born]

| Income class | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { occupa } \\ \text { tions } \end{gathered}$ | Wage earner | Olerical, business, and professional | Income class | All oceupations | Wage earner | Clerical, business, and professional |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Family type I |  |  |  | Family types Il and III-Continued |  |  |  |
| Under \$250. | 100.0 | 91 | 9 |  |  |  |  |
| \$250-\$499 | 100.0 | 91 | 9 | \$1,750-\$1,999. | 100.0 |  | 100 |
| \$500-\$749 | 100.0 | 94 | 6 | \$2,000-\$2,249. | 100.0 |  | 100 |
| \$750-\$999 | 100.0 | 91 | 9 | \$2,250-\$2,499 | 100.0 |  | 100 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 100.0 | 75 | 25 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | \$2,500-\$2,999 |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 100.0 | 58 | 42 | \$3,000-\$3,499. |  |  |  |
| \$1,500-\$1,749 | 100.0 |  | 100 | \$3,500 and over. |  |  |  |
| \$1,750-\$1,999 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$2,000-\$2,249 | 100.0 |  | 100 | Family types IV and V |  |  |  |
| \$2,250-\$2,490. | 100.0 |  | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 100.0 |  | 100 |  | 100.0 100.0 | 100 95 | 5 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 |  |  |  | \$500-\$749 | 100.0 | 91 | 9 |
| \$3,500 and over-......... |  |  |  | \$750-\$999 | 100.0 | 89 | 11 |
| Family tupes II and III |  |  |  | \$1,000-\$1,249 | 100.0 | 87 | 13 |
|  |  |  |  | \$1,250-\$1,499. | 100.0 | 80 | 20 |
| Under \$250. | 100.0 | 95 | 5 | \$1,500-\$1,749. | 100.0 |  | 100 |
| \$250-\$499 | 100.0 | 95 | 5 | \$1,750-\$1,999 | 100.0 |  | 100 |
| \$500-\$749 | 100.0 | 94 | 6 | \$2,000-\$2,249 | 100.0 |  | 100 |
| \$750-\$989 | 100.0 | 91 | 9 | \$2,250-\$2,499 | 100.0 | ------- | 100 |
| \$1,000-\$1,249. | 100.0 | 73 | 27 |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 100.0 | 74 | 26 | \$2,500-\$2,999 | 100.0 100.0 |  | 100 100 |
| \$1,500-\$1,749......-.-.-- | 100.0 |  | 100 | \$3,500 and over | 100.0 |  | 100 |

O


[^0]:    1 Vol. I presented income data for these three cities and for Gastonia, N. C., and Albany, Ga. Expenditure data for Gastonia and Albany have been combined with those obtained by the Bureau of Home Economics in Sumter, S. C., and Griffin, Ga., and will be published by the latter agency. (See appendix C for a list of communities covered by the Bureau of Labor Statistics and the Bureau of Home Economics,

[^1]:    2 The purpose of these qualifcations was to eliminate as far as possible factors of economic stress, broken family ties, and alien customs, which might tend to obscure the relationship of income, occupation, and family type to family expenditure patterns. Since native white and Negro families greatly outnumber all other racial and national groups in the Southeastern communities, it seemed wisest to confine the restricted resources available for the survey to a study of the expenditures of these two groups.

    In Atlanta about two-thirds of the families were white, nearly all of which were native born, and the remaining third were Negro. In the middle-sized cities white families, also predominantly native, accounted for three-fifths of the population.
    8 Families were classified in occupational groups according to the source of the major part of the family's earnings. Among white families all those in Atlanta with incomes under $\$ 500$ and all those in ColumbiaMobile with incomes under $\$ 250$ were excluded. All occupational groups were represented for white families in the income classes between $\$ 1,250$ and $\$ 3,000$ in Atlanta, and between $\$ 1,000$ and $\$ 2,500$ in ColumbiaMobile. Above $\$ 3,000$ in Atlanta and $\$ 2,500$ in the middle-sized cities, only business and professional families were studied, while the lowest income class in each city unit included only wage earners, and the next two income classes only wage-earner and clerical families.

    Among Negro families wage earners were included at all levels up to $\$ 1,500$ in both city units. In the middle-sized cities other occupational groups were represented in all income classes, and in Atlanta other occupational groups were represented in all income classes of $\$ 250$ and over.

    Certain other minor eligibility requirements were imposed to eliminate families whose living patterns are not adapted to statistical analysis. (See appendix A.)
    ${ }^{4}$ Families that contained a husband and wife.

[^2]:    ${ }^{5}$ The report year covered a 12-month period ending not earlier than December 31, 1935, and not later than November 30, 1936. The bulk of the schedules pertained to a year ending before July 1, 1936.
    ${ }^{6}$ Incomes in Columbia averaged slightly higher than those in Atlanta, since half of the families reported incomes in excess of $\$ 1,050$, while in Mobile the midpoint in the distribution of families by income was $\$ 725$. (See vol. I.)
    ${ }^{7}$ Since separate samples were taken for the two racial groups, and since the eligibility requirements operated to eliminate families that in general occupied a less favorable economic position than did nonrelief native born complete families, the expenditure data have not been used to represent the spending pattern of the "average" family in the cities covered. Instead, the purpose of the Study is to show how families of the kinds selected for study apportion their expenditures, and how such apportionment is influenced by the income status, occupational classification, and size and composition of the family.
    ${ }^{8}$ See glossary, appendix B, for definitions of the various categories of expenditure and the items included under each.

[^3]:    ${ }^{11}$ Expenditures for current living include the value of all goods and services secured through unpaid bills or loans, as well as cash expenditures. (See glossary, appendix B, for definition of expenditures.)
    ${ }^{12}$ Throughout the bulletin the term "expenditures" is used to include both money expenditures and the estimated money value of certain items obtained without money outlay during the year. The term 'total expenditures for current living" and "money value of current family living" are thus synonymons, and will be used interchangeably. Since nonmoney items of consumption have been recorded only for housing, fuel, and food, money expenditures for all other categories represent the only measure of family spending for those categories.

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ See glossary, appendix B, for definition of money value of current family living.
    See table 1, footnotes 3-7, for definition of categories included in the money value of current family living.

[^5]:    ${ }^{13}$ Except among Atlanta Negro families with incomes under $\$ 250$. In this group, expenditures for home maintenance exceeded those for food.

[^6]:    ${ }^{14}$ See glossary, appendix B, for a statement of the expenditure categories in which other taxes were entered.

[^7]:    1 The difference between average money income and average money expenditures equals the average net surplus or deficit, shown in table 5, except for the balancing difference (never as much as 5.5 percent of total receipts or disbursements, whichever was larger, for any scheduled family); the net balancing difference is given in the Tabular Summary, table 1.
    ${ }^{2}$ No expenditure schedules taken for families at this income level.
    ${ }^{3}$ Data for families with incomes of $\$ 5,000$ and over were combined.
    ${ }^{4}$ Data for families with incomes of $\$ 2,500$ and over were combined.

[^8]:    1 The average surpluses and deficits shown in table 5 are compiled from detailed reports of changes in assets and changes in liabilities. These detailed reports were treated as part of the record of money disbursements and money receipts to determine whether the total reported money disbursements balanced with the total reported money receipts. As used in the present study, the term "disbursements" includes money expenditures for current living and amounts spent to increase assets or decrease liabilities, while receipts include money income and funds used for family living which were obtained through decreasing assets or increasing liabilities. A schedule was accepted if money receipts and money disbursements agreed within 5.5 percent. It follows from this method that the difference between average money income and average money expenditures shown in table 4 will not agree precisely with the average surplus or deficit for all families shown in the last column of table 5 . (See discussion of balancing difference in glossary.)

    At most income levels in each city unit, among both the white and Negro families, the net balancing difference was negative, by amounts that rarely exceeded $\$ 20$ in any income class. No attempt was made to force a balance. It would have been impossible to account precisely for these minor discrepancies without unduly prolonging the field interviews. They may have resulted from incorrect estimates of income, expenditures, savings, or deficits. In any event the average differences were too small to be significant.

[^9]:    1 Excludes families whose schedules showed an exact balance for the year.
    2 For a reconciliation of the average net surplus or deficit with the difference between average income and expenditure, as shown in table 4, above, see Tabular Summary, table 1 ,
    ${ }^{3}$ Deficit.

    * Less than 1 percent.

[^10]:    ${ }^{2}$ Occupational classification is not equally detailed as between Atlanta and the middle-sized cities, nor as between white and Negro families. Among Atlanta white families, five occupational groups are distinguished as follows: Wage earner; clerical; independent business and professional; salaried business; salaried professional. Among Negro families in Atlanta and white families in the middle-sized cities, these five groups are reduced to four by combining salaried business and professional families. Negro families in the middle-sized cities are classified only into the wage-earner and the "other occupations" group.
    ${ }^{3}$ When balance-sheet data are analyzed alter eliminating differences in family-type composition of the several occupational groups, differences in average surpluses large enough to be significant are apparent only in the case of Columbia-Mobile families.

[^11]:    ${ }^{4}$ Expenditure schedules were collected from white families and from Columbia-Mobile Negro families of the first five types given on p. 2. These were combined for tabulation into three groups representing families of type I, those of types II and III combined, and those of types IV and V combined. For Negro families in Atlanta, schedules were collected and tabulated separately for each of the seven types.

[^12]:    ${ }^{5}$ When differences in the occupational composition of families in the several family type groups are eliminated, the data for Atlanta white families indicate a clear correlation between size of family and size of surplus. In the middle-sized cities, while two-person families made the most favorable showing with respect to balance sheet accounts, those with one or two children under 16 had smaller surpluses than did the larger families.

[^13]:    1 The category food includes food prepared and eaten at home or carried in lunches to work or school, meals purchased and eaten away from home, candy, soft drinks and liquor, and all food and drink represented in the family's entertainment activities.

    2 When expenditures for household operation and furnishings and equipment are added to those for housing, and the sum treated as one category, home maintenance, food expenditures no longer ranked first except in the lower part of the income scale among white families and at scattered income levels among Negroes.
    ${ }^{3}$ Edwards, Paul K.: The Southern Urban Negro as a Consumer (1932), pp. 56-58. See also U. S. Department of Agriculture Circular No. 507, Diets of Families of Employed Wage Earners and Olerical Workers in Cities, Washington, 1939.

[^14]:    ${ }^{1}$ See glossary, appendix $B$, for the definition of expenditures used in this study.

[^15]:    ${ }^{4}$ Expenditures per meal per person were computed on the basis of total money expenditures for food (except for food eaten while traveling) divided by the number of equivalent adults who were nembers of the household. Persons who were in the household less than the full year and children whose food consumption was less than an adult's, were counted as fractions of an equivalent adult. For methods of computation and the fractions of standard food unit assigned to a given sex and age, see glossary, appendix $B$.

[^16]:    ${ }^{5}$ While these data on food expenditures per meal per equivalent adult for Negro families at the lowest income level appear to indicate a diet scarcely sufficient for survival, it has been found that Negro families in the Southeast generally make food selections that yield them a maximum for the money spent both in energy and in the necessary minerals. Consumption of cheap leafy vegetables or "greens" supplies calcium and iron and takes the place in part of the much more expensive milk and eggs. In the U. S. Department of Agriculture Circular No. 507, Diets of Families of Employed Wage Earners and Clerical Workers in Cities, it is shown that among the Southern Negro families at the lowest economic level covered in that study half spent between $\$ 0.67$ and $\$ 1.32$ per food-expenditure unit per week, but only 10 percent of the Southern white families at that level spent less than $\$ 1.32$ per food-expenditure unit per week.

    It is possible, also, that the figures collected in the present study on the value of food obtained without money expense may represent some understatement for the Negroes, particularly in the matter of food received as gift or as part of pay.
    ${ }^{6}$ The wide range of choice with respect to "eating out" or using meals as an auxiliary to entertainment affects the comparison of total food expenditures of families at different income levels, since the composition of the food bill thus varies considerably along the income scale.

[^17]:    ${ }^{7}$ See Works Progress Administration bulletin, Intercity Differences in Costs of Living in 59 Cities, March 1935, which indicates that food costs in Atianta were higher than those in Mobile, but enough lower than those in Columbia so that an average for Columbia and Mobile combined would approximate closely the level for Atlanta.

[^18]:    : Expenditure schedules not taken for families at this income level.
    ${ }^{2}$ Comparable data not available.
    ${ }^{3}$ In Atlanta all Negro families in salaried business and professional occupations were classified together; in the middle-sized cities all Negro families other than wage earner were classified together.

    8 When the effect of the varying family type composition of the several occupational groups is eliminated, the average total food expenditures of wage-earner families appear to have been little above the general level, although salaried business families retained their low rank. (See appendix D.)

[^19]:    ${ }^{1}$ Expenditure schedules not taken for families at this income level.
    : Comparable data not available.
    ${ }^{3}$ In Atlanta all Negro families in salaried business and professional occupations were classified together; in the middle-sized cities all Negro families other than wage earner were classified together.

[^20]:    - See Tabular Summary, table 3.

    10 See Tabular Summary, table 3,

[^21]:    See footnotes at end of table.

[^22]:    ${ }^{13}$ See Tabular Summary, table 3.

[^23]:    ${ }^{1}$ Caution must be exercised in making comparison of housing data presented in this chapter and those presented in vol. I, ch. VI. The discussion in vol. I, based on the short schedule used with the large random sample, was concerned solely with the family home, for which data were presented on rents paid by renting families and the rental values of houses occupied by their owners. The present chapter covers the occupancy value of all housing, regardless of tenure, and the expenditures for lodging away from home. In vol. I, money expenditures for fuel, light, and refrigeration were included only when they could not be separated from the rent figure; in this chapter, such expenditures are in all cases included. The imputed value of home ownership, as reported on the family schedule and presented in vol. I, was an estimated figure; the data in this chapter on nonmoney value of housing are calculated on the basis of actual money expenditures of home-owning families. In vol. I, the averages at any given income level, for all families and for families of specified occupational groups, include the large families (types VI, VII, VIII, and other), which did not furnish expenditure schedules; and the averages for all families and families of specified type groups include families with no gainfully employed members, likewise excluded from the expenditure sample.
    ${ }^{1}$ The net occupancy value of owned homes was computed by subtracting from the rental value of the dwelling for the period of occupancy all expenditures for mortgage interest, refinancing charges, taxes, repairs, and insurance. Permanent improvements and payments on the principal of the mortgage were considered investments, and not current expenditures for family living. Expenditures for fuel, light, and refrigeration were combined with those for housing because among renters the rent figure reported often included one or more of these items. (See Tabular Summary, table 4-A.)

[^24]:    I Includes all current money expenditures for the family home and the vacation home (mortgage interest, taxes, repairs, and insurance for owned homes, and rent for rented homes) and expenditures for lodging for the family members away from home, including room rent at school. Expenditures for fuel, light, and refrigeration are combined with expenditures for housing, since rent as paid by many families included one or more of these items. (See Tabular Summary, table 4A.)
    ${ }_{2}$ Includes imputed income from home ownership and rent received as gift or pay, and the value of fuel obtained without money expense.
    ${ }_{3}$ Includes expenditures for household help, laundry, telephone, water rent, and other items of household operation,

[^25]:    ${ }^{3}$ Money expenditures for housing were consistently lower, up to the $\$ 3,000$ level, among white families in Atlanta than among those in Providence, Columbus, and Omaha. In the case of Negro families, likewise, those in Atlanta at almost every income level reported smaller average housing outlays than did those in Columbus.

[^26]:    4 See vol. I, ch. I.
    ${ }^{5}$ Based on tabulations to appear in a later bulletin.
    ${ }^{6}$ The Works Progress Administration report Intercity Differences in Costs of Living in 59 Cities, March 1935, indicates substantially larger housing costs in Atlanta than in either Columbia or Mobile.

[^27]:    7 The average value of imputed income received by home owners may be computed by dividing the average value of such income for all families by the percentage of families reporting home ownership. (See Tabular Summary, table 4-A.)
    ${ }^{8}$ Comparison of the average outlays for household operation reported by white families in the Southeast and by families in other cities of comparable size included in the Study of Consumer Purchases indicates that expenditures of families in the Southeast were uniformly high, beginning at the $\$ 750$ level. This fact is in interesting contrast to the relatively low housing expenditures of Southeastern families.

[^28]:    ${ }^{9}$ Based on tabulations appearing in a later bulletin.

[^29]:    ${ }^{10}$ See Tabular Summary, table 4-A. When housing data were examined for the several occupational groups with family type held constant, wage-earner families still ranked low in comparison with those in other occupations, both in money expenditures for housing and in money value of housing. (See appendix D.)
    ${ }^{11}$ See Tabular Summary, table 4-A.
    ${ }^{12}$ See Tabular Summary, table 5.
    ${ }^{13}$ This occupational difference is maintained when the effect of differences in family type composition of the several occupational groups is eliminated. (See appendix D.)
    ${ }^{14}$ See Tabular Summary, table 5.

[^30]:    ${ }^{1}$ Includes money expenditures for housing (rents, taxes, and current upkeep of owned homes), and for fuel, light, and refrigeration, and the value of housing and fuel, obtained without money expense.
    ${ }_{2}$ Expenditure schedules not taken for families at this income level.
    3 In the middle-sized cities all Negro families in occupations other than wage earner were classified together.

[^31]:    ${ }^{16}$ See Tabular Summary, table 2.

[^32]:    ${ }^{16}$ See Tabular Summary, table 4-A.

[^33]:    17 See Tabular Summary, table 5.
    ${ }^{18}$ See Tabular Summary, table 2.

[^34]:    ${ }^{1}$ Purchases of all wearing apparel, accessories and jewelry, and expenditures for cleaning and pressing and for materials and paid help used in home sewing were classified as expenditures for clothing.

[^35]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Tabular Summary, table 6.

[^36]:    ${ }^{3}$ See Tabular Summary, table 6.

[^37]:    1 Expenditure schedules not taken for families at this income level.
    ${ }_{2}$ In the middle-sized cities all Negro families other than wage earners were classified together.
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[^38]:    ${ }^{6}$ The direct relationship between size of family composition and amount spent for clothing is evident also when average expenditures are compared for the several family type groups with each occupational group given equal weight. (See appendix D.)

[^39]:    1 The 7 family types are distinguished on the basis of the number and age of members other than husband and wife, as follows:

    I No other persons (families of 2).
    II 1 child under 16 (families of 3 ).
    III 2 children under 16 (families of 4).
    IV 1 person 16 or over and 1 or no other person, regardless of age (families of 3 or 4).
    V 1 child under 16, 1 person 16 or over, and 1 or 2 others, regardless of age (families of 5 or 6 ).
    VI 3 or 4 children under 16 (families of 5 or 6 )
    VII 1 child under 16 , and 4 or 5 others, regardless of age (families of 7 or 8)
    $\dagger$ Fewer than 3 cases.

[^40]:    $\dagger$ Fewer than 3 cases.

[^41]:    ${ }^{1}$ See glossary, appendix B.
    See Tabular Summary, table 8.

[^42]:    ${ }^{1}$ Any family which reported expense for automobile operation was tabulated as an operating family. See glossary, appendix B.
    'See glossary, appendix B, for the defnition of expenditures used in this study.
    *Less than \$1.

[^43]:    ${ }^{8}$ In the Southeast this difference rested partly on the fact that local streetcar and bus fares were somewhat lower in the middle-sized cities than in Atlanta.

[^44]:    4 See Tabular Summary, table 8.
    ${ }^{5}$ See Tabular Summary, table 2. The occupational difference in expenditures for transportation other than by automobile remain significant when the effect of the varying family type composition of the occupational groups is eliminated. (See appendix D.)

[^45]:    ${ }^{6}$ This family type difference was particularly clear among Atlanta white families where family type comparisons were made with occupation held constant.

[^46]:    ${ }^{1}$ The 7 family types are distinguished on the basis of the number and age of members other than husband and wife, as follows:

    I No other persons (families of 2).
    II 1 child under 16 (families of 3 ).
    III 2 children under 16 (families of 4).
    IV 1 person 16 or over and 1 or no other person, regardless of age (families of 3 or 4).
    V 1 child under 16, 1 person 16 or more, and 1 or 2 others, regardless of age (families of 5 or 6 ).
    VI 3 or 4 children under 16 (families of 5 or 6).
    VII 1 child under 16, and 4 or 5 others, regardless of age (families of 7 or 8 ).
    $\dagger$ Fewer than 3 cases.
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[^48]:    ${ }^{\text {I }}$ See glossary, appendix B, for the definition of expenditures used in this study.
    ${ }^{2}$ Excludes sales taxes, which were included in the expense for the items to which they applied; automobile taxes, which were included in automobile operation expense; taxes on owned homes, included in housing expense; and taxes on other real property, which were deducted from the gross income from such property.

    Less than \$1.

[^49]:    1 See Tabular Summary, table 2.
    ${ }^{2}$ See, for example, the National Health Survey, Sickness and Medical Care Series, Preliminary Bulletin No. 2: Illness and Medical Care in Relation to Economic Status, U, S. Public Health Service, Washington, 1938.

[^50]:    ${ }^{3}$ Based on tabulations published in Bull. 648, vol. V.

[^51]:    ${ }^{4}$ See Tabular Summary, table 2. Even when the effect of varying family type composition of the occupational groups was eliminated, no significant differences were found among the occupational groups, nor were any found among families of different composition when occupation was held constant. (See appendix D).
    ${ }^{5}$ Includes admissions to motion pictures, theaters, concerts, spectator sports, lectures, and dances; games and sports; club dues; and recreational supplies and equipment.
    ${ }^{6}$ See Tabular Summary, table 2.

[^52]:    ${ }^{7}$ See Tabular Summary, table 9.
    ${ }^{8}$ This category includes expenditures for radios, musical and photographic equipment, toys, pets, entertaining, club dues, and the like.

[^53]:    ${ }^{9}$ The high rank of families with children was found to be characteristic of white families when the effect of the varying occupational composition of the type groups was eliminated.
    ${ }^{10}$ See Tabular Summary, table 2.
    " See Tabular Summary, table 2 .
    ${ }^{12}$ Based on tabulations published in Bull. 648, vol. VII.

[^54]:    ${ }^{13}$ The averages for families of each occupational group with family type held constant likewise fail to reveal significant differences in the level of spending for tobacco. (See appendix D.)
    is See Tabular Summary, table 2.
    ${ }^{16}$ Based on tabulations published in Bull. 648, vol. VII.
    ${ }^{18}$ In A tlanta, Negroes are excluded from the main public library and from all but one branch library.
    ${ }^{17}$ See Tabular Summary, table 2. Although dollar differences in expenditures were small, wage-earner families still rank low, at least among white families, when the effect of family type differences among the occupational groups is eliminated. (See appendix D.)

[^55]:    ${ }^{18}$ See Tabular Summary, table 2.
    ${ }^{10}$ See Tabular Summary, table 2. Public expenditures for education are not, of course, included in these figures.
    ${ }^{20}$ Based on tabulations published in Bull. 648, vol. VII.

[^56]:    ${ }^{21}$ See Tabular Summary, table 2, and appendix D.
    22 See Tabular Summary, table 2.
    ${ }^{23}$ Taxes included in this category comprise only income, poll, and personal-property taxes. Sales taxes were included in the expense for the items to which they applied; automobile taxes were included in automobile operation expense; taxes on owned homes were included with housing expense; and taxes on other real property were deducted from the gross income from such property.

[^57]:    ${ }^{24}$ See Tabular Summary, table 2, and appendix D.

[^58]:    1 In the following discussion, based on a summary of detailed data published in Bull. 648, vol. VIII, two types of surplus items and two types of deficit items are distinguished. Surplusitems represent transactions that increase assets or decrease liabilities, while deficit items represent transactions that decrease assets or increase liabilities.

[^59]:    i All surplus items represent the sum of increases in assets and decreases in liabilities for families at the respective income levels. Many of these families also reported decreases in assets and increases in liabilities, as shown in table 35. The algebraic sum of total surplus and total deficit at a given income level is the average net surplus or deficit, as shown in table 5 , chapter II, of this bulletin.

    Expenditure schedules not taken for white families at this income level.
    ${ }^{3}$ Includes all families with incomes of $\$ 4,000$ and more.

    * Less than $\$ 1$.

[^60]:    In a study among Federal employees conducted by the Bureau of Labor Statistics shortly before the inauguration of this investigation, the schedule provided for information on the type of insurance represented by the premiums reported. Informants were so infrequently able to supply such facts that the question was omitted from the present schedule. It is, therefore, impossible to estimate how much of the amount paid in life insurance premiums represents savings, and how much represents merely insurance protection for the year.

[^61]:    ${ }^{3}$ This item took no account of changes in the market value of securities or real estate held; the amounts reported represented the outlays for new investments.

[^62]:    ${ }^{1}$ See appendix $E$ for further discussion of variability in family expenditures. See also U. S. Bureau of Labor Statistics Bull. No. 642, Family Income and Expenditures in Chicago, vol. II, ch. IX, for data on occupational and family type differences in the range of total expenditures.
    ${ }^{2}$ It should be pointed out that in the higher income groups expenditures for these three essentials include elements of comfort or display, as well as the satisfaction of the basic needs. Thus, it is not possible to make a clear distinction between "necessities" and "luxuries," quite apart from the question as to whether any given group of items belongs in one classification or the other. For present purposes, however, the three largest categories representing goods which no family can do without will be designated as necessities, while three others, automobiles, household help, and recreation, will be classified as luxuries, since they can generally be eliminated from the budget if circumstances require it.

[^63]:    ${ }^{3}$ Money income increased 672 percent over this range.

[^64]:    4 Average expenditures for transportation other than automobile were slightly lower at the $\$ 5,000$ to $\$ 7,500$ level than at the $\$ 3,000$ to $\$ 3,500$ level in Atlanta.

[^65]:    ${ }^{0}$ A verage expenditures were smoothed and plotted on double logarithmic paper.

    - Figures for income and expenditures both include the money value of housing, food, and fuel obtained without money expense in the year of the survey.

[^66]:    * A verage amounts of less than $\$ 1$ and percentages of less than 0.1 are not shown.
    $\dagger$ A verages and percentages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

[^67]:    * A verage amounts of less than $\$ 1$ and percentages of less than 0.1 are not shown.
    $\dagger$ Averages and percentages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

[^68]:    * Average amounts of less than $\$ 1$ and percentages of less than 0.1 are not shown.
    $\dagger$ Averages and percentages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

[^69]:    Average amounts of less than $\$ 1$ and percentages of less than 0.1 are not shown.
    $\dagger$ Averages and percentages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

[^70]:    $\dagger$ Averages and percentages not computed for fewer than 3 cases．

[^71]:    *A verage amounts of less than $\$ 1$ and percentages of less than 0.1 are not shown.

[^72]:    $\dagger$ Averages and percentages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

[^73]:    $\dagger$ Averages and percentages not computed for fewer than 3 cases.

[^74]:    ${ }^{1}$ See glossary, appendix B, for items included.

[^75]:    *Average amounts of less than $\$ 1$ and percentages of less than 0.1 are not shown.

[^76]:    ${ }^{1}$ See glossary, appendix B, for items included.

[^77]:    * A verage amounts of less than $\$ 1$ and percentages of less than 0.1 are not shown.

[^78]:    *Average amounts of less than $\$ 1$ and percentages of less than 0.1 are not shown.

[^79]:    See p． 241 for notes on this table．

[^80]:    *Average amounts of less than $\$ 1$ and percentages of less than 0.1 for expenditures, or less than 1 for proportions of families reporting, are not shown.

[^81]:    ${ }^{1}$ The use of a "controlled sample" was proposed in "A Suggested Plan for an Inquiry into the Economic and Social Well-Being of the American People," prepared by a special committee of the Social Science Research Council in September 1929. The circumstances under which the present study was conducted made possible a large preliminary random sample and thus permitted the selection of families for the expenditure survey by income, occupation, and family type.

[^82]:    ${ }^{3}$ The cities for which income data were described in vol. I included Gastonia, N. C., and Albany, Ga., in addition to the three cities named. Expenditure data for Gastonia and Albany will be published by the Bureau of Home Economics.
    ${ }^{3}$ An investigation of family income and consumption by means of schedules filled after the end of the report year is confronted with questions concerning the degree of accuracy with which families may be expected to remember details of expenditures made over a period of 12 months. It is admittedly impossible to obtain by the schedule method precise records of expenditures for each item included in family living. It is believed, however, that accuracy sufficient for the purposes of generalization can be achieved. One means toward this end followed by the Study of Consumer Purchases was the use of highly detailed schedule forms which served to remind families of the wide variety of items for which they might have incurred expenditures during the year. Such schedules, filled through painstaking interviews, provided data that in the great majority of cases undoubtedly represented closely the spending patterns of the families interviewed.

    - In the cities of the Southeastern region, native Negro families as well as native white families were included as eligible. In addition to the regular sample, a smaller sample consisting of foreign-born families and of incomplete native white and Negro families was asked to give the family schedule data.
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[^84]:    ${ }^{5}$ Except for the intensified sample of Negro families in Atlanta where an attempt was made to secure 10 expenditure schedules in certain cells.

[^85]:    ${ }^{6}$ Because of the extensive coverage of the family income survey, it was necessary to keep the time of the family schedule interview as short as possible. On that account information on the expenses of an owned home other than interest payments was not obtained from the families covered in the large random sample. Therefore, in estimating nonmoney income from home ownership for the income report, it was necessary to

[^86]:    resort to a table of estimated average expenses at given rental values. During the expenditure interview, however, figures were obtained on expenses such as taxes, repairs, special assessments, and insurance; and thus a revised figure on the nonmoney income from owned home was computed by subtracting the actual rather than the estimated expenses.

    Similarly, for families having hoarders the income figure on the family schedule inciuded the estimated net income from boarders after deductions had been made for the cost of food; these deductions varied with the amount of the payment for board and were estimated on the basis of data secured in the Bureau of Labor Statistics study of the money disbursements of wage earners and clerical workers. At the time of the expenditure schedule interview, detailed information was obtained on the food expenditures of the family, from which it was possible to compute more accurately the money expense for boarders' food, and thus to gage more correctly the net income from boarders.
    No attempt was made at the time of the family schedule interview to determine nonmoney income from an owned vacation home. This figure was obtained, however, from information secured during the expenditure interview, and is included in the income figure by which families in the controlled sample were classified.

    Furthermore, rent received as agift is not included in the income figure of the family schedule, but it was taken into account in deriving the income classification of families giving expenditure data.
    ${ }^{7}$ No data on shifts in cell are available for the Atlanta white sample.

[^87]:    ${ }^{8}$ In the middle-sized cities a further obstacle to obtaining the exact number of schedules desired in each cell arose from the fact that schedules from the two rather widely separated cities were used to fill each cell. Since the collection of schedules was being carried on simultaneously, unavoidable duplication resulted because some assignments in each city yielded schedules at the same time.

[^88]:    ${ }^{1}$ Figures in columns $1,2,3,4$ and 5 represent the estimated number in a 100 -percent coverage of the city.
    ${ }_{2}$ Estimated from samples. Includes all complete and incomplete native, foreign white, and Negro families. (See vol. I, Tabular Summary, sec. A.)
    ${ }_{3}$ These figures are the weights for the controlled sample. Due to cellshifts (see discussion, p. 249) the number of eligible families used in weighting differs slightly from the number of eligible families obtained on the basis of the family schedule interview. (For occupational groups and family types, see p. 246.)

    - Unweighted count of the number of expenditure schedules secured. (For occupational groups and fam. ily types, see p. 246.)

[^89]:    - Shifts in cells presented some difficulty in preparing the basic tabulations, namely, expenditure schedules appeared in cells for which no weights were available since no eligible case had been classified in these cells in the tabulation of the random sample. It was decided to give cells in which no random sample schedules were secured but in which expenditure schedules appeared a weight equivalent to the number of expenditure schedules appearing in the tabulations. These arbitrary weights would tend to make the number of families in the city appear greater than was actually found but counterbalancing these added weights was the fact that a number of cells which contained eligible cases in the random sample had no expenditure schedules, and thus were not utilized.

[^90]:    ${ }^{1}$ Mobile, Ala., and Columbia, S. C.
    ${ }^{2}$ Estimated from samples. Includes all complete and ineomplete native, foreign white, and Negro families. (See vol. I, Tabular Summary, sec. A.)
    ${ }^{3}$ These figures are the weights for the controlled sample. Due to cell shifts (sec discussion, p. 249) the number of eligible families used in weighting differs slightly from the number of eligible families obtained on the basis of the family schedule interview. (For occupational groups and family types, see p. 246.)
    ${ }^{4}$ Unweighted count of the number of expenditure schedules secured. (For occupational groups and family types, see p. 246.)

[^91]:    : Mobile, Ala., and Columbia, S. C.
    2 Estimated from samples. Includes all complete and incomplete native, foreign white, and Negro families. (See vol. I, Tabular Summary, sec. A.)
    ${ }_{3}$ These figures are the weights for the controlled sample. Due to cell shifts (see discussion, p. 249) the number of eligible families used in weighting differs slightly from the number of eligible families obtained on the basis of the family schedule interview. (For occupational groups and family types, see p. 246.) ${ }^{4}$ Unweighted count of the number of expenditure schedules secured. (For occupational groups and family types, see p. 246.)
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[^93]:    ${ }^{1}$ For more detailed definition, see vol. I of this bulletin, glossary.

[^94]:    ${ }^{2}$ See pictogram of family types, p. 3 above.
    ${ }^{3}$ The occupational categories are based upon the Works Progress Administration's Manual of Work Division Procedure, sec. 2. "Occupational classification" (June 1935); and "Index of occupations," Circ. No. 2A (September 1935).

[^95]:    - For more detailed statement, see vol. I of this bulletin, glossary.
    ${ }^{5}$ This was not true in the case of a few items such as fire insurance premiums on owned homes and contributions to the Community Chest. For these items only the amounts paid during the year were classed as expenditures.
    - For more detailed statement of the components of income as used in the study, see vol. I, glossary.

[^96]:    ${ }^{7}$ This scale of food relatives was developed from data secured from the Bureau of Home Economics of the Department of Agriculture, which furnished information on standard food allowances, based on actuai food expense records, differentiated by age, sex, and activity.

[^97]:    ${ }^{1}$ The metropolitan centers of Chicago and New York have been treated separately from the other large cities.
    ${ }^{2}$ Information obtained from both white and Negro families.

[^98]:    ${ }^{1}$ Includes imputed income from owned homes and the value of rent received as pay or gift.
    *Less than $\$ 1$.

